A Novel Dialkylamino GFP Chromophore as an Environment-Polarity Sensor Reveals the Role of Twisted Intramolecular Charge Transfer
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Abstract: We discovered a novel fluorophore by incorporating a dimethylamino group (–NMe₂) into the conformationally locked green fluorescent protein (GFP) scaffold. It exhibited a marked solvent-polarity-dependent fluorogenic behavior and can potentially find broad applications as an environment-polarity sensor in vitro and in vivo. The ultrafast femtosecond transient absorption (fs-TA) spectroscopy in combination with quantum calculations revealed the presence of a twisted intramolecular charge transfer (TICT) state, which is formed by rotation of the –NMe₂ group in the electronic excited state. In contrast to the bright fluorescent state (FS), the TICT state is dark and effectively quenches fluorescence upon formation. We employed a newly developed multivariable analysis approach to the FS lifetime in various solvents and showed that the FS → TICT reaction barrier is mainly modulated by H-bonding capability instead of viscosity of the solvent, accounting for the observed polarity dependence. These deep mechanistic insights are further corroborated by the dramatic loss of fluorogenicity for two similar GFP-derived chromophores in which the rotation of the –NMe₂ group is inhibited by structural locking.
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1. Introduction

Environment-sensitive dyes have long been popular research subjects due to their importance in chemistry and chemical biology [1]. In particular, fluorescence imaging and sensing techniques greatly rely on the functionality of these chemical dyes to provide unprecedented accuracy and resolution. The development of fluorescent probes such as fluorogenic dyes to bind fluorogen-activating proteins [2,3] and fluorescent sensors to detect metal ions [4,5] has significantly facilitated the advancement of imaging and sensing toolsets for modernized life sciences. There is currently strong interest and ongoing research to discover and create more photosensitive molecules with appealing traits for the development of next-generation fluorescent probes and sensors across chemical and biological fields. To achieve this goal, a fundamental understanding of the molecular origin underlying the fluorescence–structure–environment relationships is crucial.

Extensive efforts have been reported on environmental factors that influence the chemical and bio-probe fluorescence properties, which range from color (e.g., solvatochromic dyes) to intensity (e.g., fluorogenic dyes). The external factors include the polarity, viscosity, pH, metal ions, temperature, etc. Among them, polarity has drawn the most attention because the energy and transition states of all molecules with certain degrees of polarity (essentially due to intrinsic electronic charge separation and the resultant dipoles) are prone to
environmental polarity and the optical transition gap and reaction barrier to nonfluorescent states could thereby be modified. On the other hand, suppression of solvatochromism with minimized quenching in water has proven useful with bioimaging applications such as two-photon fluorescence in vivo [6], and intramolecular hydrogen (H)-bonding could help to stabilize the initially accessed excited state and lead to dual fluorescence for some ortho-amino analogues of the GFP chromophore [7], both substantiating the rich photochemistry and applications of GFP derivatives with amino substituents.

The twisted intramolecular charge transfer (TICT) represents a common nonradiative pathway to depopulate the excited-state chromophores [8,9]. Though undesirable for bright fluorophore development [10,11], TICT may provide a useful strategy to achieve fluorogenicity. Previously, it has been shown that site-specific incorporation of an amino group to fluorescent scaffolds (e.g., coumarin and naphthalimide) could enable altered fluorescence quantum yields (FQYs) in solvents with different polarities [12–14]. The formation of a dark TICT state due to twisting of the dialkylamino group was typically suggested to result in fluorescence loss in polar solvents [15,16]. In very rare cases, the TICT state is fluorescent such as 4-(dimethylamino)benzonitrile, which shows dual fluorescence bands due to the emission of both the fluorescent state (FS) and TICT state [8,17–19]. This peculiar phenomenon was ascribed to radiative emission from higher vibronic levels of the TICT state and thus referred to as hot fluorescence [20]. Nevertheless, the TICT-induced fluorescence sensitivity to solvent polarity renders it possible to develop polarity sensors for in vitro and in vivo applications (typically with nanomolar concentration and photostability under visible light irradiation for tens of minutes in fluorescence microscopy) [21–23] with a quantitative characterization of the solute–fluorescence–solvent–polarity relationships in various regions of interest.

In this work, we present a novel fluorogenic dye (p-NMe₂-m-HBDI:BF₂, compound 1) engineered from the green fluorescent protein (GFP) chromophore through strategic placement of a dimethylamino group (–NMe₂) on the electron-donating phenolic ring and conformational locking of the chromophore backbone [24,25]. This new compound exhibits dramatic polarity-dependent FQYs in 11 solvents being examined, in sharp contrast to two control compounds. Quantum calculations by systematic scanning of nuclear coordinates suggested the formation of a TICT state as a result of –NMe₂ rotation to be the main driver for the chromophore fluorogenicity. With femtosecond transient absorption (fs-TA) spectroscopy and a newly developed multivariable analysis approach [26], we quantitatively characterized the intrinsic relationship between the solvent polarity and the solute nonradiative decay rate (mainly due to twisting motion of –NMe₂ reaching a TICT state) and found that the FQY is mainly modulated by H-bonding interactions between the chromophore and solvent molecules.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Synthesis of Compounds 1, 2, and 3

Commercially available reagents were used without additional purification for the ensuing synthesis. Merck Kieselgel 60 was used for column chromatography. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ glass-backed plates (MERCK). Visualization was performed using ultraviolet (UV) light (wavelength at 254 or 312 nm) and staining with KMnO₄.

NMR spectra were recorded on a 700 MHz Bruker Avance III NMR at 303 K, Avance III 800 MHz (with a 5-mm CPTXI cryoprobe), and Bruker Fourier 300. Chemical shifts were reported relative to solvent residual peaks of CDCl₃ (7.27 ppm for 1H and 77.0 ppm for 13C) or DMSO-d₆ (2.51 ppm for 1H and 39.5 ppm for 13C). Melting points (m.p.) were measured on a Stuart SMP 30 apparatus without correction. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a Bruker micrOTOF II instrument and AB Sciex TripleTOF 5600+ system using electrospray ionization (ESI). The measurements were conducted in a positive ion mode (interface capillary voltage of 5500 V on TripleTOF, and 4500 V on micrOTOF II) or in a negative ion mode (4500 V on TripleTOF, and 3200 V on micrOTOF II); mass
range \( (m/z) \) from 50 to 3000; external or internal calibration was done with the ESI Tuning Mix by Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA, USA). A syringe injection was used for solutions in acetonitrile, methanol, or water (flow rate 20 \( \mu \)L/min on TripleTOF; 3 mL/min on micrOTOF II). Nitrogen was applied as a dry gas; interface temperature was set at 180 °C. The synthesis procedures of compounds 1, 2, and 3 are illustrated in Scheme 1. The structural characterization data including NMR spectra for the pertinent intermediate compounds are provided in the Supplementary Materials.

**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of compounds 1 (a), 2 (b), and 3 (c). “MS (3 A + 4 A)” represents molecular sieves with 3 Å and 4 Å pore sizes.

**Compound 1:** \((Z)-5-(2-(difluoroboryl)-4-(dimethylamino)-5-hydroxybenzylidene)-2,3-dimethyl-3,5-dihydro-4\(H\)-imidazol-4-one. Eluent—CHCl\(_3\)/EtOH (v/v = 100/8). Dark solid, m.p. 227–229 °C. Yield 116 mg (19%). \(^1\)H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \( \delta = 2.70 \) (s, 3H), 2.88 (s, 6H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 6.88–6.96 (m, 2H), 7.46 (s, 1H), 9.32 ppm (s, 1H) (see Supplementary S1). \(^13\)C NMR (201 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \( \delta = 12.8, 26.4, 41.9, 118.1, 119.5, 123.9, 125.8, 129.4, 145.0, 147.6, 162.5, 163.8 ppm (see Supplementary S2). HRMS (ESI) \( m/z \) \([M-H]^-\) calculated for C\(_{14}\)H\(_{15}\)BF\(_2\)N\(_3\)O\(_2\): 306.1231, found: 306.1233 (detection in negative ion mode).
Compound 2: (Z)-5-((7-(difluoroboryl)-1-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylene)-2,3-dimethyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one. Eluent—CHCl₃/MeOH (v/v = 100/2). Dark red solid, m.p. 276–279 °C. Yield 268 mg (42%). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ = 1.87 (p, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.64–2.69 (m, 5H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 3.20 (s, 3H), 3.37–3.41 (m, 2H), 6.75 (s, 1H), 7.12 (s, 1H), 7.40 ppm (s, 1H) (see Supplementary S3). ¹³C NMR (201 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ = 12.6, 21.2, 26.3, 26.8, 38.4, 50.8, 113.0, 121.1, 121.1, 121.4, 130.1, 132.6, 149.7, 160.8, 162.0 ppm (see Supplementary S3). HRMS (ESI) m/z [M+H]+ calculated for C₁₅H₁₉BF₂N₃O: 318.1584, found: 318.1586 (detection in positive ion mode).

Compound 3: (Z)-5-((8-(difluoroboryl)-4-methyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-7-y]methylene)-2,3-dimethyl-3,5-dihydro-4H-imidazol-4-one. Gradient elution using CHCl₃/MeOH (v/v = 100/0 to 100/3). Dark red solid, m.p. >330 °C. Yield 212 mg (33%). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ = 2.67 (s, 3H), 2.99 (s, 3H), 3.20 (s, 3H), 3.41–3.45 (m, 2H), 4.11–4.17 (m, 2H), 6.65 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.41 ppm (s, 1H) (see Supplementary S5). ¹³C NMR (201 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ = 12.4, 26.2, 37.9, 48.2, 62.8, 110.3, 121.8, 123.1 (t, J = 3.5 Hz), 129.1, 130.1, 140.8, 146.3, 161.0, 162.1 ppm (see Supplementary S6). HRMS (ESI) m/z [M+H]+ calculated for C₁₅H₁₇BF₂N₃O₂: 320.1376, found: 320.1376 (detection in positive ion mode).

2.2. Steady-State Spectroscopy and Fluorescence Quantum Yield

The steady-state absorption and fluorescence spectra of the sample chromophores in solution at room temperature (22 °C) were measured in a 5-mm pathlength four-sided quartz cuvette with a Thermo Scientific Evolution 201 UV/Visible spectrophotometer (Waltham, MA, USA) and a Shimadzu RF-6000 spectrofluorophotometer (Pleasanton, CA, USA), respectively.

The FQYs of compounds 1, 2, and 3 in various solvents were measured according to the procedure described in the literature [27] with 4-(dicyanomethylene)-2-methyl-6-(4-dimethylaminostyryl)-4H-pyran (DCM dye) in ethanol (for compound 1) and rhodamine 6G (R6G) in ethanol (for compounds 2 and 3) as standards. The excitation wavelengths at 480 nm for compound 1 as well as 490 nm for compounds 2 and 3 were used. All measurements were performed with five concentration values (optical density or OD of 0.02, 0.04, 0.06, 0.08, and 0.1 per 5 mm). The new compound FQY was calculated by Equation (1):

\[
\phi_x = \phi_{st} \times \frac{F_x}{F_{st}} \times \frac{f_x}{f_{st}} \times \frac{n_x^2}{n_{st}^2}
\]

where \(F\) is the area (integrated intensity) under the emission peak; \(f\) (calculated by \(1 - 10^{-A}\), while \(A\) is the unitless absorbance value at the excitation wavelength) is the absorption factor; \(n\) is the refractive index of the solvent used; \(\phi\) is the FQY; the subscript \(x\) corresponds to the sample to be measured (e.g., compounds 1–3 in this work); and the subscript \(st\) stands for the known standard (e.g., DCM dye, FQY = 0.435) [28].

2.3. Time-Resolved Electronic Spectroscopy and Global Analysis

The excited-state electronic spectra of compounds 1, 2, and 3 in various solvents were collected using a home-built femtosecond transient absorption (fs-TA) setup. The detailed description of the optical setup can be found in our previous work [26,29,30]. Excitation wavelengths of 490–505, 500–520, 500–510 nm were used for compound 1, 2, and 3 in various solvents (Figure S1), respectively, with the pump power in the range of 0.2–0.3 mW. The sample concentrations for fs-TA measurements were in the OD range of 0.1–0.5 per mm, and all spectral data were collected using a 1-mm-pathlength quartz cuvette (1-Q-1, Starna Cells, Inc., Atascadero, CA, USA).

Global analysis of the fs-TA spectra was performed using the open-access Glotaran program [31]. A sequential kinetic model was deemed sufficient [32,33] and used to retrieve the intrinsic rate constants underlying the chromophore excited-state dynamics in the nonequilibrium regime after actinic photoexcitation.
2.4. Computational Methods

Ground- and excited-state calculations were performed with density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent (TD)-DFT using the commercial Gaussian 16 program [34]. The geometries of the ground singlet state (S\textsubscript{0}) and bright state/dark TICT of the first excited singlet state (S\textsubscript{1}) were optimized using DFT and TD-DFT methods, respectively, at the B3LYP/6-31G+(d,p) level. The specific dihedral-angle (defined in Figure 1a, see pertinent bonds highlighted in red to characterize the rotational angle between the amino substituent and main chromophore scaffold—a single bond torsion) scans in S\textsubscript{0} and S\textsubscript{1} were performed by DFT and TD-DFT, respectively, at the same theory level and with identical basis sets. All calculations were carried out with the implicit polarizable continuum model (default IEFPCM method in Gaussian software) to account for solvation effects.

![Figure 1. Rational design and photophysical properties of a GFP-derived fluorogen and control fluorophores. (a) Design of the fluorogenic compound 1. (b) Solvatochromism and fluorogenicity of compound 1 in a variety of solvents. (c) Correlation between the observed FQY and solvent polarity. (d) Structures and FQYs of two control compounds 2 and 3 in the same solvents for direct comparison with compound 1. The colors for various solvents in (c,d) are defined in (b).](image)

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Rational Design and Photophysical Properties of the GFP-Derived Fluorogen

It is well-known that the GFP chromophore loses its characteristic bright fluorescence outside the protein matrix due to facile ring-twisting motions, which induce ultrafast nonradiative decay pathways [35,36], as exhibited by the synthetic chromophore \textit{p}-HBDI (Figure 1a) in solution [37–39]. To avoid the interference or destruction of the proposed amino-group-enabled fluorogenicity by the highly favorable \textit{Z}/\textit{E} isomerization coordinate, a coordination-assisted borylation reaction was utilized to conformationally lock the HBDI...
backbone and hence suppress the two-ring twisting motions \[24,25\]. Previously locked GFP chromophore derivatives have exhibited high FQYs in solution \[24,32\]. A dimethylamino group (–NMe$_2$) is then incorporated into the para site of the phenolic (P-) ring as the electron donor while the original para-hydroxy group (commonly found in GFP or HBDI motifs \[40–42\]) is moved to the meta site. This structure is in accordance with our previously proposed double-donor-one-acceptor structure, wherein the –NMe$_2$ and –OH groups on the P-ring act as electron donors while the 2-position-substituent on the imidazolinone (I-) ring acts as an electron acceptor \[43,44\]. The associated notable excited-state intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) likely contributes to a large Stokes shift as well as red emission of the chromophore (Figure 1b).

The resultant compound 1 (Figure 1a) named p-NMe$_2$-m-HBDI:BF$_2$ demonstrates pronounced solvatochromism and marked fluorogenicity as a function of solvent polarity. From the most polar (water) to nonpolar (toluene) solvents investigated, we observed the increase in FQY from 2% to 69.3%, a 35-fold fluorescence enhancement (Figure 1b). Using the empirical polarity parameter $E_T$ (30) or its normalized value $E_T^N$ \[45\], a general linear correlation between FQY and $E_T^N$ can be observed (Figure 1c, red line). This solvent-dependent FQY change is corroborated by a previous report on a red-shifted (versus p-HBDI) fluorescent aminated derivative of a conformationally locked GFP chromophore (compound 2b in that work) without the meta-OH group \[46\], which shows a smaller increase in FQY from water (5%) to dioxane (78%) and bluer emission (e.g., ~564 nm in water, 552 nm in ACN, and 532 nm in dioxane) than compound 1 in this work. In addition, the opposite direction of the chromophore emission wavelength change from water to ACN for these two aminated compounds suggests the important role played by the meta-OH group on the P-ring, both spatially (steric hindrance with the adjacent para-NMe$_2$ group) and electronically (double-donor strategy in effectively red-shifting the emission peak), for compound 1 to acquire the appealing properties (e.g., prominent fluorogenicity, high sensitivity of TICT formation rate to solvent polarity/H-bonding parameters) as dissected and discussed in detail below.

Notably, the electronic absorption and emission wavelengths of compound 1 were not in line with this single polarity parameter, indicating its inadequacy in fully describing the solute–solvent interactions. Instead, Kamlet–Taft solvent polarity parameters \[47\] are more robust in modeling and explaining solvatochromism (see Equation (2)) due to the separation of specific (H-bonding) and nonspecific (dipolar) solute–solvent interactions:

\[
\tilde{\nu} = \tilde{\nu}_0 + a\alpha + b\beta + p\pi^+ \tag{2}
\]

where $\tilde{\nu}$ is the absorption or emission energy with a unit of 10$^3$ cm$^{-1}$; $\alpha$ and $\beta$ describe the solvent H-bond donating (acidity) and accepting (basicity) capability, respectively; and $\pi^+$ measures the dipolarity/polarizability of the solvent. This solvatochromic analysis shows that the emission wavelength for compound 1 is dominated by the solvent basicity or $\beta$ (Table S1). This is likely due to the presence of an –OH group in the protonated chromophore (e.g., pH = 7.4 in aqueous buffer that is below the chromophore –OH/–O$^-$ equilibrium pK$_A$) acting as the H-bond donor, while all the observed emission band maxima can be attributed to a single excited state (S$_1$). The same analysis for absorption is complicated by the complex spectral profile of the absorption band (with uncertainty of recording a peak value) and hence not reliably obtained (see Figure S1).

The polarity-dependent FQY of compound 1 can be attributed to the twisting of the ~NM$_2$$_2$ group that forms a dark (nonfluorescent or weakly emissive) TICT state after the electronic excitation. This mechanism has been suggested for some aminostubstituted fluorophores with similar fluorogenic properties such as 7-aminocoumarin and 4-aminonaphthalimide \[11,15\]. For example, a coumarin dye with an –NM$_2$$_2$ group showed a ~9-fold FQY increase from 50:50 ethanol/water mixture (0.11) to dioxane (0.94) \[15\], and an aminonaphthalimide dye with an –NM$_2$$_2$ group showed a ~76-fold FQY increase from MeOH (0.01) to dioxane (0.76) \[13\]. To substantiate this mechanism for compound 1, we synthesized two other similar fluorophores with a locked amino group, compounds 2 and 3,
so that the aforementioned twisting is inhibited [46]. As a consequence, the fluorogenicity significantly diminishes while the observed FQY stays high and only varies slightly in different solvents (see Figure 1d and Table S2). The FQY ratios of the chromophore in toluene over water are ~1.6- and 1.8-folds for compounds 2 and 3, respectively, in contrast to 35-fold for compound 1 (Figure 1c). In comparison, a similar fluorophore to compound 2 by replacing the methyl group with a hydrogen atom on the aromatic amino group (nitrogen site) displayed essentially no solvent-dependent FQY change (e.g., ~63% in water, 67% in ACN, and 65% in dioxane) [46], indicating that the increased electron-donating capability of the rigid cycloalkylamino substituent with the methyl group (this work) contributes to the observed small fluorogenicity of compound 2 (a mainly electronic effect, see discussions below), although it primarily serves as a control sample for compound 1 with pronounced fluorogenicity (Figure 1). In addition, a previous report by introducing various substituents around the nitrogen site of conformationally locked GFP derivatives adopted multiple strategies (rigid analogues, cyclic substituents at the nitrogen site) in reducing TICT state formation and observed much less FQY variation than chromophores with free alkyls, and also proposed that the electronic effects of amino substituents exert a greater impact on the solvent-dependent FQY variation of chromophore than conformational factors [48].

3.2. Theoretical Calculations for Elucidation of the TICT State

To provide further insights into the TICT intermediate in compound 1, we performed DFT calculations to identify the presence and characteristics of such a TICT state. By scanning the twisting coordinate defined as the dihedral angle (\(\phi\)) between one arm of the –NMe\(_2\) group and P-ring of the chromophore (see Figure 1a, relaxed coordinates for rest of the molecule), two local energy minima were found in all solvents investigated (see Figures 2, S2 and S3). The one with a relatively flat –NMe\(_2\) geometry \((\phi \approx 20^\circ)\) is attributed to the bright fluorescent state (FS). The non-zero dihedral angle is due to steric hindrance from the adjacent –OH group to achieve a local minimum in the excited state. The other one with a perpendicular geometry \((\phi \approx 90^\circ)\) is readily assigned to a TICT state that lies lower in energy than FS, also featuring a much reduced energy gap with respect to its ground state counterpart (see Figure 2, two downward arrows) [9,49].

The assignments for FS and TICT states are supported by their dramatic difference in oscillator strength (OS). For example, the former state has a calculated OS of 0.8381 in water (Figure 2) while the latter state is zero, confirming its dark-state nature. This intrinsic difference between FS and TICT states persists for many other solvents being systematically calculated (Figures S4 and S5), which could be rationalized by the electron density distribution and overlap of molecular orbitals (MOs) during the vertical S\(_1\)-to-S\(_0\) transition of the chromophore. For both FS and TICT states, the S\(_0\)-S\(_1\) transition is solely contributed by HOMO–LUMO transition. At the largely flat geometry (FS), the HOMO–LUMO transition mainly has a \(\pi\pi^*\) character with slight charge transfer (CT) from the P-ring to I-ring (Figure S4) [38,39]. At the perpendicular geometry (TICT), it becomes an \(n\pi^*\) transition with significant CT from the –NMe\(_2\) group to the conjugated chromophore backbone (supporting a notable electronic effect, see Figure S5). The minimal orbital overlap between HOMO and LUMO for the TICT state accounts for its forbidden transition with the calculated OS being zero, in contrast to FS with a significant orbital overlap, and hence a large downward transition OS.

Meanwhile, the calculated dipole moment for the TICT state is 4.3–4.9 Debye, much larger than that of FS at 0.5–1.7 Debye (Table S3). This result is consistent with previous reports on TICT systems where FS is referred to more as a locally excited (LE) state with medium polarity [8,17]. The large dipole moment (and polarity) of the TICT state has also been suggested to explain its solvatochromic emission for dual-fluorescence chromophores [7,8,20].
HOMO and LUMO for the TICT state accounts for its forbidden transition with the calculated OS being zero, in contrast to FS with a significant orbital overlap, and hence a large downward transition OS.

Figure 2. Calculated potential energy surfaces (PESs) along the twisting coordinate of the dimethylamine in \( S_0 \) and \( S_1 \) for compound 1. Red circles, red squares, and green squares denote the optimized \( S_1 \) energy, \( S_0 \) energy at the optimized \( S_1 \) geometry, and optimized \( S_0 \) energy, respectively. The grayed-out red trace with red circles displays the \( S_1 \) energy scan with –OH pointing toward –NMe\(_2\) on the phenol ring. Electron density distributions of HOMO (H) and LUMO (L) are shown for the FS and TICT states, accompanied by the \( S_1 \rightarrow S_0 \) transition oscillation strengths and energy gaps.

Notably, the orientation of the –OH group has noticeable impacts on the calculated energy and even potential energy surface (PES) profile in some nonpolar solvents. The calculated energy path with –OH pointing toward –NMe\(_2\) yields consistently higher energy than that with –OH pointing away from –NMe\(_2\) in \( S_1 \) (Figure S3). Therefore, the latter orientation with less steric hindrance is considered the preferred structure during the –NMe\(_2\) twisting process following photoexcitation. For the former orientation, TICT is absent for dioxane and toluene as the PES profile is drastically changed (see Figure S3, dark traces in the last two panels). The ground state (\( S_0 \)) scan always converges to the same PES with –OH pointing away from –NMe\(_2\) regardless of the initial set-up orientation, confirming the preferred –OH group orientation in solution at equilibrium.
Despite these useful insights, some discrepancy remains between the calculations and experimental observations. The fact that FQY of compound 1 decreases with increasing solvent polarity indicates that the light-induced twisting reaction barrier is reduced as solvent polarity increases (also see fs-TA analysis in Section 3.3). The calculated barrier in the excited state, however, shows an opposite trend (see Figure S2, see the S1 energy plot in red from water to toluene). This is likely due to intrinsic limitations of the current calculation method to accurately describe CT processes in the excited state [50,51] and/or the lack of consideration of specific solute–solvent H-bonding interactions as the implicit IEFPCM solvent model was used (Section 2.4) [9,33,49].

3.3. Time-Resolved Spectroscopic Characterization in the Electronic Domain

We employed fs-TA spectroscopy to probe the excited-state dynamics underlying the solvent-polarity-dependent FQY of compound 1. The fs-TA spectra in all solvents exhibited a dominant negative stimulated emission band that red-shifts in time (Figures 3a and S6). This observed redshift from early time to tens of picoseconds (ps) is due to the ultrafast solvation process that stabilizes the chromophore electronic excited state (commonly S1), which has been extensively studied [25,52–54]. After solvation, the chromophore reaches its relaxed FS and decays thereafter on the hundreds-of-ps to nanosecond (ns) time scales via both radiative and nonradiative pathways.

![Figure 3](https://example.com/fig3.png)

**Figure 3.** Excited-state dynamics and decay rate constants of the newly designed polarity sensor. (a) Semilogarithmic contour plots and global analysis of fs-TA spectra for compound 1 in solvents with decreasing polarity. The arrow in each contour plot denotes the position and decay of the relaxed FS. The lifetimes retrieved from global analysis are color-coded in accordance with the corresponding EADS. Steady-state fluorescence spectrum is inversed and overlaid as a gray shaded band for each sample. (b) Relationships between the observed decay rate constants and intrinsic solvent polarity $E_T^I$. The colors for solvents are defined the same way as Figure 1.

To uncover the intrinsic rate constants, we performed global analysis [55] of the fs-TA spectra by simultaneously treating the transient spectral data in spectral and temporal dimensions. The resultant spectra termed as evolution-associated difference spectra (EADS) under a sequential kinetic scheme provide useful information on transient states/species...
with their intrinsic lifetimes on the excited-state PES. Four to five components were consistently required to achieve the best fit due to the difference in solvation processes among various solvents.

Taking water, for example (Figure 3a), black (<100 fs) and red (900 fs) EADS can be attributed to the multistep solvation process evinced by a continuous redshift in SE band maximum from black to red, and then to the next magenta EADS (Figure 3a, leftmost bottom panel). An additional component (blue EADS) is needed to fit the solvation process for some solvents (e.g., Figure 3a for compound 1 in toluene and Figure S7). The magenta EADS (49 ps) could be attributed to a rotational diffusion step of the photoexcited chromophore as corroborated by some previous reports [9,32,53,56]. It is likely because of the same polarization instead of polarizations at the magic angle (54.7°) for pump and probe pulses during the measurement. However, our main focus was to collect fs-TA spectra with high signal-to-noise ratio and perform a quantitative analysis using the retrieved fluorescence time constant (the longest time constant on the ns timescale, colored in green in Figure 3a and Figure S7 for compound 1) and the aforementioned FQY (see more details below), while this dimethylamino group twisting motion differed from the two-ring twisting motions of the chromophore backbone that lead to a more red-shifted stimulated emission band with much shorter lifetimes in solution [39,57,58]. The last green EADS was associated with the decay of FS with an apparent lifetime of 0.78 ns. This assignment was firmly supported by its high resemblance to steady-state fluorescence (the gray-shaded band overlaid in Figure 3a bottom panels) in peak wavelength [26].

Through systematic analysis of the newly synthesized compound 1 in 11 solvents (see detailed global analysis results of fs-TA spectra in Figure S7), a clear trend in the FS lifetime (green EADS) was observed as the solvent polarity was altered (Table 1). It is largely consistent with the ordering in their FQYs and indicates that the contribution of nonradiative pathways increases primarily due to the formation of a TICT state. The following equations (Equations (3)–(5)) were used to calculate all the characteristic decay time constants from the experimental observables:

\[
\frac{1}{\tau_{FS}} = k_{FS} = k_{nr} + k_r, \tag{3}
\]

\[
k_{nr} = k_{FS} \cdot (1 - \phi) \tag{4}
\]

\[
k_r = k_{FS} \cdot \phi \tag{5}
\]

where \(\tau_{FS}\) is the apparent FS lifetime obtained directly from green EADS in global analysis; \(k_{FS}, k_{nr},\) and \(k_r\) are rate constants of FS, nonradiative, and intrinsic radiative decay pathways, respectively (all with the unit of s\(^{-1}\)); and \(\phi\) is the FQY of the chromophore (see Figure 1b and Table S2). Interestingly, such systematic use and mathematical treatment of fluorescence lifetimes is reminiscent of the previously reported phasor approach to fluorescence lifetime imaging analysis with a readily available software [59,60], although the pertinent focus on microscopy significantly differs from our current work on the spectroscopy-aided multivariable analysis in retrieving the fundamental effects of various molecular parameters in governing the observed FQY (see Section 3.4 below). In other words, we aimed to dissect the fluorogenicity in real time (along the reaction coordinate on ultrafast timescales like the schematic in Section 3.4 below after the multivariable analysis), but there is no spatial resolution such as the focus of the aforementioned phasor approach.
Table 1. Decay rate constants of compound 1 in 11 solvents.

| Solvent      | $\tau_{FS}$ (ns) | $k_{FS}$ $(10^8 \text{ s}^{-1})$ | $k_{nr}$ $(10^8 \text{ s}^{-1})$ | $k_r$ $(10^8 \text{ s}^{-1})$ |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 H$_2$O     | 0.78             | 12.90                         | 12.65                         | 0.25                          |
| 2 MeOH       | 0.54             | 18.55                         | 17.43                         | 1.12                          |
| 3 EtOH       | 1.05             | 9.90                          | 8.31                          | 1.19                          |
| 4 2-ProOH    | 1.32             | 7.56                          | 6.32                          | 1.24                          |
| 5 ACN        | 1.38             | 7.25                          | 6.10                          | 1.15                          |
| 6 DMP        | 1.85             | 5.39                          | 4.59                          | 0.80                          |
| 7 acetone    | 2.23             | 4.48                          | 3.15                          | 1.33                          |
| 8 DCM        | 4.24             | 2.36                          | 0.88                          | 1.48                          |
| 9 EtOAc      | 9.94             | 1.01                          | 0.54                          | 0.47                          |
| 10 dioxane   | 3.72             | 2.69                          | 1.10                          | 1.59                          |
| 11 toluene   | 6.10             | 1.64                          | 0.50                          | 1.14                          |

Although the specific TICT features were not readily observed in fs-TA spectra likely because of its dark-state nature (i.e., calculated OS is zero), the related rate constants can be inferred from the apparent FS lifetime when the FS $\rightarrow$ TICT transition dominates the nonradiative energy relaxation pathway (see below). The logarithmic plots of rate constants against the solvent polarity show that log($k_{FS}$) and log($k_{nr}$) are almost linearly correlated with $E_N^T$ (Figure 3b, top and middle panels) while log($k_r$) largely stays constant except for water and EtOAc (Figure 3b, bottom panel; and Table 1, rightmost column). The latter part serves as an intrinsic validation since the radiative transition (fluorescence) lifetime of these GFP-derived chromophores is typically on the few ns timescale [9,26,33,61], in accordance with the current average value of ~8.9 ns (see the dashed line in bottom panel of Figure 3b). In particular, the clear correlation between log($k_{nr}$) and $E_N^T$, reminiscent of the Arrhenius equation, suggests that the barrier crossing from FS to TICT is significant with an activation energy strongly dependent on solvent polarity. For further corroboration, compounds 2 and 3 exhibit high FQYs (close to unity) and a lack of the aforementioned strong correlation due to an effective inhibition of twisting motions of the electron donor (i.e., the cycloalkylamino substituent on the P-ring in Figure 1d, also see Figures S8–S12). It was also noted for these two control compounds that weak correlations (e.g., much smaller slopes than that in compound 1, see Figure S12 middle panels and Table S2) were present between log($k_{nr}$) and $E_N^T$, which implies that some other nonradiative channels via electronic means could occur in the presence of molecular structural rigidities. In aggregate, these results suggest that the TICT pathway is the main cause of fluorogenicity for compound 1 and higher solvent polarity favors the formation of a TICT state (large $k_{nr}$ in Table 1) with a reduced excited-state twisting energy barrier.

3.4. Multivariable Analysis of Chromophore-Solvent Interactions Regarding TICT

Though the single-parameter ($E_N^T$) analysis for compound 1 showed a strong correlation between log($k_{nr}$), mainly determined by the TICT-state formation, and $E_N^T$, it remains inadequate to demonstrate a rigorous linear relationship as seen from the noticeably curved trend of log($k_{nr}$) in Figure 3b (middle panel). This is because the empirical polarity scale ($E_N^T$) does not discriminate the nonspecific (dipolar) and specific (H-bonding) solute–solvent interactions, which could exert different effects on the twisting barrier. Meanwhile, solvent viscosity has been overlooked throughout the correlation analysis and is also an important influencer for many twisting molecular systems.

To take these factors into consideration for a more inclusive and comprehensive investigation, we have recently developed a multivariable linear analysis approach to identify primary contributing interactions for the observed fluorogenicity [26], though the GFP-derived chromophore in that work was unlocked (i.e., no –BF$_2$ group) and the fluorogenicity is readily achieved inside a fluorogen-activating protein (e.g., inhibiting two-ring twisting motions of the chromophore). In brief, the isomerization (e.g., a rotation around a specific dihedral angle for the GFP chromophore derivatives) reaction rate can
typically be described by the Kramer’s expression (Equation (6)) [62] that has the same form as the Arrhenius equation,

\[ k_{iso} = F(\eta) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{k_B T}\right) \tag{6} \]

where \( E_a \) represents the isomerization energy barrier for the chromophore in a specific solvent of interest; \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant; and \( T \) is temperature for experimental data collection. The viscosity-dependent prefactor \( F(\eta) \) can be described by an empirical expression (Equation (7)) that has satisfactorily modeled spectral data for many molecular systems [61,63,64],

\[ F(\eta) = A \cdot \eta^{-\delta} \tag{7} \]

where \( A \) is the proportionality constant and \( \delta \) reflects the dependence on \( \eta \) (0 < \delta < 1, while \( \delta = 1 \) at the high friction limit). Similar to the Kamlet–Taft analysis, \( E_a \) can be linearly modeled by the H-bonding (\( \alpha \) and \( \beta \)) and dipolar (\( \pi^* \)) solvent parameters, reflecting the potential energy difference between the FS and transition state. The \( k_{iso} \) can finally be described by all four parameters in a linear manner (Equation (8)) using the logarithm of \( k_{iso} \) to base 10,

\[ \log(k_{iso}) = a\alpha + b\beta + p\pi^* - \delta \log(\eta) + \log(k_0) \tag{8} \]

where \( k_0 \) can be understood as the original rate constant in the absence of chromophore–solvent interactions.

With this sophisticated separation of electrostatic (\( \alpha \), \( \beta \), \( \pi^* \)) and steric (\( \eta \)) effects, one can quantitatively figure out dominant factors for the fluorogenicity through a rigorous linear regression analysis directly using Equation (8), which should be generalizable for chromophores with an isomerization coordinate in solution. We applied this numerical analysis to compound 1 in 11 solvents that yielded \( \log(k_{iso}) = 0.68\alpha + 1.27\beta + 7.05 \), which was corroborated by systematic spectral data (e.g., fluorescence lifetimes from fs-TA spectra in Figure S7, and FQYs from steady–state emission spectra/data in Figure S1/Table S2 for compound 1) in a sufficient number of solvents for a robust linear regression. This finding indicates that H-bond donating (\( \alpha \)) and accepting (\( \beta \)) capabilities of the solvent govern \( k_{nr} \). In particular, positive prefactor coefficients mean that the higher H-bonding capabilities of the solvent led to a faster TICT state formation (\( k_{is} \approx k_{nr} \), see Equation (4)) and reduced FQY. Meanwhile, the dipolar interaction (\( \pi^* \)) from the solvent was much less important for the fluorogenicity due to the associated statistical insignificance (i.e., \( p \)-value is large, Table S4). This result explains the slight deviation from linearity between \( \log(k_{iso}) \) and \( E_N^N \) as dipolarity of the solvent does not contribute as much as its H-bonding capabilities, both of which constitute the dimensionless normalized \( E_N^N \) value [45]. Similar to the interpretation of the Kamlet–Taft analysis, we can infer that strengthening of H-bonding interactions between the chromophore and solvent stabilizes the transition state more than FS and hence reduces the excited-state twisting barrier height (Figure 4) for a more effective formation of the TICT state and decrease in the observed chromophore FQY. In addition, the creation of a larger dipole moment in TICT state (see Table S3) is consistent with better stabilization by ultrafast solvation (e.g., 900 fs in water, 7.7 ps in MeOH, and 16.8 ps in EtOH, see Figure S7 for compound 1) for a nonstationary dialkylamino group in the excited state, and the pertinent electronic interaction map over the solute–solvent complex (likely including H-bonding to both –OH and –NMe2 groups) governs the ultrafast charge separation and twisting-assisted relaxation of the photoexcited solute molecules. Such effects exerted by multiple H-bonds for GFP derivatives have been explored for anti-solvatochromic emission in an aqueous environment with rational design and tuning of the two-ring substituents and electronic structure (mainly via extending the I-ring with an aminobenzene moiety), although the light-induced P-ring-twisting motion therein [6] differed from the dominant TICT state formation via a dimethylamino group on the P-ring of a conformationally locked GFP chromophore in this work (see Figures 1a and 4).
Notably, the steric effect ($\eta$) plays a negligible role compared to electrostatics ($\alpha$, $\beta$, $\pi^*$, or $E_I^{N}$). The same conclusion holds with a similar but coarse fitting of the rate constant with $E_I^{N}$ and $\eta$,

$$\log(k_{iso}) = eE_I^{N} - \delta \log(\eta) + \log(k_0)$$

(9)

The least-squares fitting with Equation (9) yielded $\log(k_{iso}) = 1.84E_I^{N} + 7.63$ without any significant dependence on solvent viscosity (Table S4), which is in accordance with the rotatory decay barrier for ICT $\rightarrow$ TICT deactivation of an amino-substituted coumarin in room-temperature fluid media [15]. We note that highly viscous solvents like glycerol could expand the solvent space for us to further investigate the steric effect using Equation (8) or Equation (9), however, three hydroxy groups per glycerol molecule could modify the H-bonding interactions to the chromophore and complicate the ensuing multivariable analysis. The insignificant effect from sterics for compound 1 is in contrast to many molecules with facile twisting coordinates. For example, a fluorescent marker for detection of amyloid fibrils in Alzheimer’s disease, thioflavin-T (ThT), exhibits a strong linear correlation between $\phi/(1-\phi)$ (i.e., $k_r/k_{nr}$) and $\eta$ [65]. The GFP chromophore in solution also showed some viscosity-dependent dynamics in the excited state due to characteristic ring-twisting motions [38,61]. This interesting difference may arise from the small size of the $\text{–NMe}_2$ group in compound 1 (conjugated two-ring locked by BF$_2$) that could hardly result in significant intermolecular frictions (via conformational change) with the surrounding solvent molecules, however, the polarity-induced reaction energy barrier change (via more electronic effects for charge stabilization) [39,66] in the electronic excited state (see Figure 4) dominates the functional group twisting rate, as shown in Equation (6). The relative position of the $\text{–NMe}_2$ group with respect to the adjacent $\text{–OH}$ group on the P-ring of the chromophore also contributes to the intricate electronic map over the conjugated scaffold (see Figures S4 and S5). These spectroscopic and mechanistic insights could facilitate future engineering of this environment–polarity sensor with higher
fluorogenicity by further modification of the H-bonding network around this compact and locked GFP-derived chromophore.

4. Conclusions

In this work, we reported a novel GFP chromophore-derived fluorogenic dye (compound 1) that adopted dimethylamine (–NMe₂) as an electron donor and exhibited a dramatic dependence of FQY on solvent polarity, making this small organic molecule (with current and engineerable properties: non-toxic, cell-permeable, robust fluorescence, photostable, on-demand labeling) a potential polarity sensor for in vitro or in vivo applications [2,23,67]. Quantum calculations suggested the favorable formation of a dark TICT state from the near-planar FS, caused by facile rotation of the –NMe₂ group in the electronic excited state. The fluorogenicity loss of two other control compounds with the amino group locked (compounds 2 and 3) indicates that TICT is the main cause of the fluorogenicity for compound 1. For a mechanistic investigation, we employed fs-TA spectroscopy and global analysis to systematically retrieve the nonradiative rate constant being dominated by a light-induced FS → TICT reaction in 11 different solvents, covering a broad range in solvent parameters that include polarity. The excited-state decay rate constant demonstrates a strong correlation with solvent polarity by the $E_N^T$ scale. With a more sophisticated multivariable regression approach using the finer Kamlet–Taft solvatochromic parameters, we found that solvent H-bonding (donating and accepting) capabilities govern the barrier crossing rate of the FS$\rightarrow$TICT reaction while solvent dipolarity and viscosity play insignificant roles. These deep mechanistic insights from multivariable analysis provide fundamental knowledge about the fluorescence modulation by amino groups, which can enable future precise and targeted design of more fluorophores with controllable photophysical properties for more versatile and advanced sensing and imaging applications.
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