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Abstract

Background: Diabetic foot ulcer is one of the long standing complications of diabetic mellitus with the life time risk up to 25%. In Africa in general, particularly in Ethiopia, there are limited epidemiological studies related to diabetic foot ulcer.

Objective: The main objective of this study is to assess prevalence and factors influencing diabetic foot ulcer among diabetic patients attending Arbaminch hospital.

Methods: A cross sectional study was conducted on 216 diabetic clients attending Arbaminch hospital from Feb10, 2013 to April 10, 2013. Subjects were identified using simple random sampling and data was collected by four trained diploma level nurses using interviewer administered questioner, record review check list and observation check lists. The data was coded and entered to Epidata version 3.1 and exported to SPSS version 16.0 for analysis. Descriptive analysis was done for sociodemographic variables, diabetic knowledge, diabetes self care practice and attitude and clinical factors. Binary logistic regression analysis was also done to identify independent factors associated with diabetic foot ulcer and significant factors was declared at p<0.05 with 95% confidence interval. Finally data was presented with explanatory statements, tables and graphs.

Result: All of the study subjects were interviewed which gives 100% response rate with the mean ± SD age of 50.72 ± 13.39 years. Out of the total 216 study subjects, about 32(14.8%) has diabetic foot ulcer, 129(59.7%) were male, 61(28.2%) from rural, 132(61.1%) were overweight, 97(44.5%) have poor diabetic foot self care practice and 80(37%) of them have secondary education. rural residence (AOR=4.074, 95% CI 1.262-13.151), absence of co-morbidity (AOR=0.611, 95% CI 0.131-0.955), mean arterial blood pressure greater than 90(AOR=5.113, 95% CI 1.285-20.347), duration of diabetes for more than 10years (AOR=8.452, 95% CI 2.365-30.994), are independent factor associated with DFU.

Conclusions and recommendations: Significant proportion of patients with diabetes developed diabetic foot ulcer. Rural residence; presence of co-morbidity; duration of diabetes, mean arterial blood pressure and occupation are factors associated with diabetic foot ulcer. In addition to regular diabetic care emphasis should be given on enhancing diabetic patient’s knowledge of self care practice and regular diabetic foot evaluation.
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Introduction

Diabetes, considered as a disease of developed countries, is one of the endocrine disorders that reached epidemic proportions worldwide [1]. The metabolic deregulation associated with diabetes mellitus (DM) cause's secondary pathophysiologic changes in multiple organ systems that impose a tremendous burden on the individual with diabetes and on the health care system [2].

Lots of complications are associated with DM. Those complications arise chiefly from the disruption of the vascular system which can result in inadequate circulation to the peripheral body. This places the foot at higher risk of ulceration and infection [3].

As the incidence of diabetes mellitus is increasing globally, increase in complications is also unquestionable. Overall all 15% of individuals with diabetes mellitus will have foot ulcer during their lifetime and the annual incidence is 2-3% [4,5]. Diabetic foot ulcer is becoming major concern of diabetic patients and those who treat them from quality of life, social and economical stand point [6].

According to the 2005 international diabetic federation report 85% of diabetes-related lower extremity amputations are preceded by a foot ulcer. In developed countries one in every six people with diabetes will have an ulcer during their lifetime and even worst in developing countries. Foot problems account for up to 15% of healthcare resources in developed countries and 40% in developing countries [2].

Among Ethiopian diabetic patients foot ulcer is major health problem. Foot ulcer associated with sepsis results in 12% of death. Low follow-up and poor glycemic control are major contributing factors. Understanding of the influential factors of foot ulcer in diabetics will enable high-risk patients to be recognized early [7,8].

Even though studies showed that up to 85% of amputation related to diabetic foot ulcer can be prevented by using simple interventions, the problem is still worsening [2]. In addition preventative strategies may become more effective if new research into how patients with diabetes...
experience and interpret their health threats. So this study will help health educators and diabetic educators in identifying those factors influencing diabetic foot ulcer and helps diabetic patients in modifying their lives. Considering this fact this study is intended to determine the prevalence and potential influential factors of diabetic foot ulcer among diabetic patients attending Arbaminch hospital diabetic clinic (Figure 1).

This conceptual frame work was developed after revision of various studies related to diabetes and diabetic foot ulcer. Variables in each box except the box containing diabetic foot ulcer were possible influential factors. The double arrow indicates the reverse effect of the one variable on the other. For example diabetic foot ulcer will affect clients self care practice and vice versa.

Methodology

This Cross-sectional study was conducted in SNNP region of Ethiopia, Gamo Gofa zone, Arbaminch town, Arbaminch hospital. Arbaminch has two Sub cities, namely Secha and Sikela. Arbaminch town is located 505 Km away from Addis Ababa, the capital of Ethiopia. The hospital is located in Secha which is the administrative center of Arbaminch town. Though Arbaminch hospital is technically a regional hospital, it is acting like a referral hospital. It serves more than 2 million people in the region. The hospitals annual patient flow is more than 100,000. The following services are provided by the Hospital: Delivery service. Dental treatment, Emergency services, and Eye treatment, which are coded as '1' and an incorrect answer as '2'; then score will be computed. All factors with p<025 in the univariate analysis were considered as candidates for the multivariate regression model. The 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated wherever found appropriate. P-value less than 0.05 of the multivariate analysis were considered significant (influential) factors.

Operational definitions

Diabetes self care attitude: Measured using statements related to diabetic self care. Likert scale of attitude measurement will be used to classify patients to sat have favorable attitude or unfavorable attitude towards diabetes self care.

Diabetic foot self care: Ability of the patient to perform self care activities that help the feet to be healthy

Neuropathy: is assessed and determined from the patient’s medical history meaning the patient's medical card was reviewed for the presence of neuropathy

Diabetic knowledge

Knowledge of patients’ relating to diabetes and self-care practice will be assessed by using ‘yes/no’ questions. A correct answer will be coded as ‘1’ and an incorrect answer as ‘2'; then score will be computed. Patients will be labeled as have knowledge of diabetes if the score is greater than the mean unless not knowledgeable (poor knowledge).

Attitude: Likert like scale was used to determine attitude of diabetic patients. Before attitude scoring negative statements were coded in the reverse way. If the score is ≥ the mean value for items of attitude he/she will be leveled as have unfavorable attitude

Diabetic foot self care practice

For diabetic foot self care self-care practices if the score is ≥ the mean value for items of self care practice he/she will be leveled as practice self care practice if not do not practice diabetic foot self care

For sample size determination, single population proportion statistical formula, considering α, 95% confidence interval, an expected prevalence15% and 10 % non response was used. The final sample size was 216. Diabetic patients, who were on follow up, card number was used as sampling frame. Simple random sampling technique was used to select those 216 diabetic patients.

Jimma university ethics and research committee approval was sought before starting the research. In addition to this informed consent was secured from the participants.

For data collection Structured medical record review guide, diabetic patients foot observation check list and structured patient interview questionnaire, adopted after reviewing different studies [5,9-14] were used. In order to collect data four Diploma level nurses with data collection experience were recruited considering their previous data collection experience, communication skill. Before the actual data collection one day training was given data collectors.

Statistics

The collected data was checked manually for its completeness. After this the data was coded and entered to epidata version 3.1 and then it was exported to SPSS version 16.0. Before the actual data analysis the data was explored for its completeness, outliers and missing values. Following data exploration descriptive statistical analysis was done for variables such as socio-demographic characteristics and clinical factors. For identification of independent variables which have association with the dependent variable, DFU, chi-square test and student t-test was used. All factors with p<025 in the univariate analysis were considered as candidates for the multivariate regression model. The 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated wherever found appropriate. P-value less than 0.05 of the multivariate analysis were considered significant (influential) factors.

Diabetic foot ulcer.

Figure 1: Conceptual frame work showing possible predictors of diabetic foot ulcer.
**Diabetic foot ulcer:** Non traumatic lesions of the skin (partial or full thickness) on the foot of a person who has diabetes mellitus.

**Results**

Two hundred sixteen diabetic patients were involved in the study of prevalence and factors influencing diabetic foot ulcer with the response rate one hundred percent. Diabetic foot ulcer was observed among 32 (14.8%) diabetic patients. The mean age of the study population was 50.72 ± 13.39 years. With regard to gender distribution 129(59.7%) were male. Considering place of residence 61(28.2%) was from rural area. One hundred sixty eight (77.8%) were married. Corresponding to educational status 80(37%) have secondary education. Regarding age classification 38% were 48-57 years. Almost thirty two percent of study populations were employed meaning they have paying job either from governmental or nongovernmental organizations.

Concerning knowledge about diabetes, 99(45.83%) of client have good knowledge about diabetes. Diabetic foot self care practice was observed among 119(55.1%) of clients. Pertaining to attitude towards diabetic foot self care 96(44.4%) have favorable attitude. Considering body mass index majority of the study population lie within the range of 24.5-29.9 kg/m² that indicates they are overweight. Looking to the blood pressure, majority of the study population 183(84.7%) has systolic blood pressure less than 139 mmhg. With regard to diastolic blood pressure 41(19%) of the study population have DBP greater than 90 mmhg. Regarding fasting blood glucose level, the mean fasting blood glucose level among diabetic patients with foot ulcer is 177.97 mg/dl which is higher than those diabetic clients without diabetic foot ulcer.

Among the study population 23% were diabetic for more than 9 years and above regarding to foot skin texture 31% have dry and cracked skin. Concerning to smoking status 13(6%) of the study populations were smokers. Among the study population 115(53.2%) have chronic health problem or co-morbidity other than diabetes. Regarding use of ill fitting shoes majority of respondents use shoes that doesn’t fit their foot well. Sensation to vibration (often the first sense to be lost due to ill fitting shoes) is higher than those diabetic clients without diabetic foot ulcer.

Concerning to smoking status 13(6%) of the study populations were smokers. Among the study population 23% were diabetic for more than 9 years and above regarding to foot skin texture 31% have dry and cracked skin. Concerning to smoking status 13(6%) of the study populations were smokers. Among the study population 115(53.2%) have chronic health problem or co-morbidity other than diabetes. Regarding use of ill fitting shoes majority of respondents use shoes that doesn’t fit their foot well. Sensation to vibration (often the first sense to be lost due to ill fitting shoes) is higher than those diabetic clients without diabetic foot ulcer.

Concerning knowledge about diabetes, 99(45.83%) of client have good knowledge about diabetes. Diabetic foot self care practice was observed among 119(55.1%) of clients. Pertaining to attitude towards diabetic foot self care 96(44.4%) have favorable attitude. Considering body mass index majority of the study population lie within the range of 24.5-29.9 kg/m² that indicates they are overweight. Looking to the blood pressure, majority of the study population 183(84.7%) has systolic blood pressure less than 139 mmhg. With regard to diastolic blood pressure 41(19%) of the study population have DBP greater than 90 mmhg. Regarding fasting blood glucose level, the mean fasting blood glucose level among diabetic patients with foot ulcer is 177.97 mg/dl which is higher than those diabetic clients without diabetic foot ulcer.

**Table 1:** Demographic variables among diabetic patients with and without foot ulcer, Arbaminch, south Ethiopia April 2013.
Factors influencing diabetic foot ulcer

Callus of the foot makes diabetic patients 18.6 times more likely to have DFU as compared to diabetic patients without callus on the foot (OR=18.6, 95% CI 2.48, 139). Diabetic patients with loss of sensation to vibration of 128Hz of tuning fork were 3.91 times more likely to have DFU as compared to those without sensory lose (OR=3.91, 95%CI 1.78, 8.54). If all the factors are kept constant, diabetic patients using ill fitting shoes were 12.2 times more likely to have foot ulcer as compared to those who do not use ill fitting shoes (OR=12.2, 95% CI 1.6-91.7). Patients from rural area are 3.6 times more likely to develop diabetic foot ulcer compared to those from urban area (OR=3.6, 95%CI 1.66, 7.81). Regarding foot skin texture the odds in favor of having diabetic foot ulcer among those diabetic patients with dry and cracked foot skin are 3.5 times higher as compared to those with smooth and moist foot skin (OR = 3.5, 95%CI 1.63, 7.65). The odds ratio for co-morbidity indicates that, when holding all other factors constant diabetic patients with co-morbidity are 7.8 times more likely to have foot ulcer than those who don’t have co-morbidity (OR= 7.8, 95% CI 2.63, 23.14).

Controlling all other factors constant, overweight diabetic patients (BMI 24.5-29.9 kg/m²) are 4 times more likely to have DFU as compared to those diabetic patients with normal body mass index (16.5-24.5 kg/m²) (OR=4, 95% CI 1.332, 12.01). Patients with hypertension were selected as reference group because of their abundance. Keeping all other factors constant patients with neuropathy were 4.68 times more likely to develop diabetic foot ulcer than diabetic patients with hypertension (OR=4.68, 95% CI 1.48, 14.8).

Rural residence increases the chance of having DFU by factor of 4.074 as compared urban residence (AOR 4.07, 95% CI 1.262-13.151). Farmer diabetic patients were 6.54 times more likely to develop diabetic foot ulcer as compared to employees of either government or non government organizations (AOR 6.54, 95% CI 2.841-49.035). The odds in favor of DFU increases by 3.11 times for diabetic patients with mean arterial blood pressure greater than 90 compared to those whose mean arterial blood pressure is less than 90 (AOR=5.41, 95%CI 1.285-20.347). The odds in favor of developing diabetic foot ulcer was 38.9% less likely in patients without chronic co-morbidity as compared to those with chronic co-morbidity (AOR=0.611, 95%CI 0.131-0.955). Diabetic patients with duration of diabetes more than 10 years are 8.452 times more likely to develop diabetic foot ulcer as compared to those whose duration of diabetes is less than 10 years (AOR, 8.452, 95% CI 2.305, 30.994) (Table 2).

| Factors                  | foot ulcer present | foot ulcer absent | COR(95% CI) | AOR(95% CI) |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Foot skin texture        |                    |                   |             |             |
| Dry & craked             | 18                 | 49                | 3.5 (1.63, 7.65) * |             |
| Smooth & moist           | 14                 | 135               | 1           |             |
| Callus of the feet       |                    |                   |             |             |
| Present                  | 31                 | 69                | 18.6 (2.48, 139) * | 9.542(0.889,102.444) |
| Absent                   | 1                  | 115               | 1           |             |
| Use of ill fitting shoe  |                    |                   |             |             |
| Yes                      | 31                 | 132               | 12.2 (1.62, 9.17) * |             |
| No                       | 1                  | 52                | 1           |             |
| Sensory loss to vibration|                    |                   |             |             |
| Present                  | 20                 | 55                | 3.91 (1.78,8.54) * |             |
| Absent                   | 12                 | 129               | 1           |             |
| Residence                |                    |                   |             |             |
| Urban                    | 15                 | 140               | 1           |             |
| Rural                    | 17                 | 44                | 3.6 (1.66, 7.81) * | 4.074(1.282, 13.151) ** |
| Age in years             |                    |                   |             |             |
| <45                      | 4                  | 57                | 1           |             |
| ≥ 45                     | 28                 | 127               | 3.142(1.053-9.375) * |             |
| Occupation               |                    |                   |             |             |
| Employees                | 3                  | 65                | 1           |             |
| Farmers                  | 14                 | 34                | 7.92(2.397, 33.202) * | 6.542(2.841, 49.035) ** |
| Merchant                 | 10                 | 37                | 5.86(1.515, 22.631) * | 5.349(0.766, 37.346) |
| Housewife                | 5                  | 27                | 4.02(0.895, 17.98) | 3.353(0.368, 30.579) |
| Co-morbidity             |                    |                   |             |             |
| Yes                      | 28                 | 87                | 7.8 (2.63, 23.14) * | 1           |
| No                       | 4                  | 97                | 1           | 0.611(0.131, 0.955) ** |
| ≥ 90                     | 20                 | 72                | 2.59(1.195, 5.652) * | 5.113(1.285, 20.347) ** |
| <90                      | 12                 | 112               | 1           |             |
| ≥10 years                | 20                 | 30                | 8.56(3.785, 19.34) * | 8.452(2.305, 30.994) ** |
| <10 years                | 12                 | 154               | 1           |             |
| Favorable                | 8                  | 88                | 1           |             |
| Unfavorable              | 24                 | 96                | 2.75(1.174, 6.439) * |             |
| Good practice            | 12                 | 107               | 1           |             |
| Poor practice            | 20                 | 77                | 2.32(1.059, 5.019) * |             |
| 16.5-24.5                | 4                  | 72                | 1           |             |
Influential factors for developing diabetic foot ulcer, with higher risk over weight. Body weight and body mass index were emerged as an four times more likely as compared to diabetic patients who were not healing.

The feet of patients with diabetes can lead to ulceration due to poor healing. These people are commonly subject to rodent bites, especially bites to foot. The study area often spent most of their time in farm area or outdoors. Due to the fact that individuals in rural areas of Ethiopia particularly in south Ethiopian population.

The association between residence and diabetic foot ulcer may be affecting diabetic foot ulcer found that 53.1% of diabetic patients with DFUs and this is consistent with the findings of previous works [13,15,16]. The difference might be due to variation in sample size, study population, study area or it may be explanation of sociocultural variation of the study participants. In spite of that, this study finding was comparable with the study conducted in North India [13] and Nigeria [17].

The occurrence of DFUs mostly in males and middle aged subjects has been reported by several researchers [18-20]. Those figures have slight variation with the present finding. This may be reflection of regional variation in the prevalence of diabetes mellitus and locally operating factors like sociodemographic and sociocultural variables. This study found, 62.5% of males and 37.5% of females with a mean age of 55.81 years having DFUs and this is consistent with the findings of previous works [13,19,21]. The variation of DFU related to sex and age, might be reflection of variation in societal role between male and female.

This study which is aimed to assess the prevalence and factors Influencing Diabetic Foot Ulcer among Diabetic Patients Attending Arbaminch Hospital, South Ethiopia. J Diabetes Metab 2: 322. doi:10.4172/2155-6156.1000322

| Category                          | N   | Mean | 95% CI          |
|----------------------------------|-----|------|-----------------|
| 24.5-29.5                        | 24  | 108  | 4.0(1.332, 12.01) * |
| ≥ 29.5                           | 4   | 4    | 18.0(3.246, 99.82) * |
| Hypertension                     | 7   | 51   | 1               |
| Kidney disease                   | 7   | 12   | 4.25(1.25, 14.42) * |
| Neuropathy                       | 9   | 14   | 4.68(1.48, 14.8) * |
| HPN & kidney disease             | 6   | 7    | 6.24(1.62, 24.01) * |

Note: * variable with p value of < 0.05 in univariate analysis ** statistically significant associations in univariate analysis. ‘1’ reference group, AOR stands for adjusted odds ratio, COR stands for crude (unadjusted) odds ratio.

**Table 2:** Factors associated with diabetic foot ulcer among diabetic patients attending Arbaminch hospital diabetic clinic, Arbaminch south Ethiopia, 2013.

### Discussion

This study shows that significant number of diabetic patients (14.8%) coming to Arbaminch Hospital diabetic follow up clinic have DFUs. According to standard books this prevalence is within the reference range. The possible explanation for this high prevalence of DFU among diabetic patients of Arbaminch might be related to diabetic foot self care practice, health seeking behavior and diabetes related knowledge of patients. Besides significant number of patients were coming from far rural areas which are far away from the hospitals catchment area. Besides our study’s result flashed the need to do lots of job to prevent diabetic foot ulcer. Previous studies in different areas have reported the prevalence of DFUs in the range of 4.6-11.9% among diabetic patients [2,15,16]. The difference might be due to variation in sample size, study population, study area or it may be explanation of sociocultural variation of the study participants. In spite of that, this study finding was comparable with the study conducted in North India [13] and Nigeria [17].

The occurrence of DFUs mostly in males and middle aged subjects has been reported by several researchers [18-20]. Those figures have slight variation with the present finding. This may be reflection of regional variation in the prevalence of diabetes mellitus and locally operating factors like sociodemographic and sociocultural variables. This study found, 62.5% of males and 37.5% of females with a mean age of 55.81 years having DFUs and this is consistent with the findings of previous works [13,19,21]. The variation of DFU related to sex and age, might be reflection of variation in societal role between male and female.

### Conclusion

The prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer among diabetic patients in Arbaminch hospital was 14.8%. This study con-firm that foot ulcers in diabetes result from multiple influential factors. Significant influential factors were rural residence, mean arterial blood pressure, Co-morbidity, occupation and duration of diabetes.

### Recommendations

Based on the study finding the following recommendations are drown to reduce the prevalence of DFU and its associated unwelcomed effects.

- Even though the prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer is within the expected range, Gamo Gofa zone health sector and Arbaminch hospital diabetic clinic diabetic care providers should strive to reduce its prevalence through enhancing the knowledge, diabetic self care practice and regular diabetic foot evaluation.

- In addition to the routine care, especial emphasis should be
given by treating health care provider for patients coming from rural area, those with co-morbidity and sensory loss.

- To minimize the risk of developing diabetic foot ulcer, Health educators should emphasize on the benefit of weight reduction, blood pressure monitoring and avoiding wearing of ill-fitting shoes.

- Further prospective study is recommended to identify the real life determinants of diabetic foot ulcer.

Authors’ Contribution

BD wrote the proposal, participated in data collection, analyzed the data and drafted the paper. KW and GN participated by revising and approving the proposal, data analysis and revised subsequent drafts of the paper. SE has been involved in drafting the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors’ Information

BD is lecturer in department of nursing of Jimma University, KW is professor of epidemiology in college of public health and medicinal science of Jimma University and GN is senior lecturer in Jimma university department of nursing and nursing service director in Jimma University specialized hospital. All authors are currently staff members in their respective departments in Jimma University.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Jimma University for providing fund to conduct the study. We would also like to forward our gratitude to all data collectors, facilitator and study participants involved in this study.

References

1. Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H (2004) Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes Care 27: 1047-1053.

2. International Diabetes Federation (2012) The Global Burden. IDF Diabetes Atlas Fifth Edition.

3. Alvin C (2005) Diabetes mellitus. Harrison T (edn) Principle of internal medicine (16th edn), New York. McGraw-Hill Companies: 830-835.

4. Vileikyte L (2001) Diabetic foot ulcers: a quality of life issue. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 17: 246-249.

5. Reiber GE, Boyko E, Smith DG (1995) Lower extremity ulcers and amputations in individuals with diabetes. In Diabetes in America (2nd edn), Harris MI (ed.), National Institutes of Health Publication No. 95-1468.

6. Leung PC (2007) Diabetic foot ulcers--a comprehensive review. Surgeon 5: 219-231.

7. Amogne W, Reja A, Amare A (2011) Diabetic foot disease in Ethiopian patients: A hospital based study. Ethiopia J Health Dev 25: 17-21.

8. WHO (2006) Core health indicators: the latest data from multiple WHO sources. United Republic of Tanzania. Geneva: World Health Organization.

9. Robert A (2011) The Awareness and Performance of Appropriate Foot Self-Care Practices Among Diabetic Patients Attending Dr. Yusuf Dadoo Hospital, Gauteng Province, South Africa.

10. Insight Health Economics (2012) Foot Care for People with Diabetes: The Economic Case for Change.

11. Apelqvist J, Bakker K, van Houtum WH, Nabuurs-Franssen MH, Schaper NC (2000) International consensus and practical guidelines on the management and the prevention of the diabetic foot. International Working Group on the Diabetic Foot. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 16 Suppl 1: S84-92.

12. Pecoraro RE, Reiber GE, Burgess EM (1990) Pathways to diabetic limb amputation. Basis for prevention. Diabetes Care 13: 513-521.

13. Shahi SK, Kumar A, Kumar S, Singh SK, Gupta SK, et al. (2012) Prevalence of Diabetic Foot Ulcer and Associated Risk Factors in Diabetic Patients From North India. The Journal of Diabetic Foot Complications 4: 83-91.

14. Vincent Lopez Rowe. Diabetic Ulcers.

15. Palumbo PJ, Melton III LJ (1985) Peripheral vascular disease and diabetes. In Diabetes in America, Harris MI, Hammon RF (eds). US Government Printing Office: Washington.

16. Boulton AJM (1993) The diabetic foot. Medicine International: 271-274.

17. Unachukwu C, Babatunde S, Ihekwa AE (2007) Diabetes, hand and/or foot ulcers: a cross-sectional hospital-based study in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 75: 148-152.

18. Hitman G, Chowdhury T (2007) Diabetes and other metabolic disorders. In: Michael S, Michael G (eds). Hutchison’s clinical methods, Elsevier: 280-292.

19. Assal JP, Mehrnt H, Tritoschler HJ, Sidorenko A, Keen H; Hellmut Mehrnt Award Workshop Participants (2002) On your feet! Workshop on the diabetic foot. J Diabetes Complications 16: 183-194.

20. Nyamu PN, Otieno CF, Amayo EO, McLagey SO (2003) Risk factors and prevalence of diabetic foot ulcers at Kenyatta National Hospital, Nairobi. East Afr Med J 80: 36-43.

21. Ogbera AO, Fasanmade O, Owovoriecile AE, Aderidran O (2006) An assessment of the disease burden of foot ulcers in patients with diabetes mellitus attending a teaching hospital in Lagos, Nigeria. Int J Low Extrem Wounds 5: 244-249.

22. Snyder RJ, Hanft JR (2009) Diabetic foot ulcers--effects on QOL, costs, and mortality and the role of standard wound care and advanced-care therapies. Ostomy Wound Manage 55: 28-38.

23. Khan H, Khan Z, Khan I, Jamal ud Din, Rehman S, Khan B (2011) Factors contributing to the development of diabetic foot ulcers and role of health literacy. RMJ 36: 34-37.

24. Manda V, Sreedharan J, Mutappalpynyayli J, Das R, Hisamatsu E (2012) Foot ulcers and risk factors among diabetic patients visiting Surgery Department in a University Teaching Hospital in Ajman, UAE. International Journal of Medicine and Public Health 2: 34-38.

25. Larsson J (1994) Lower extremity amputation in diabetic patients. Doctoral thesis, Lund University, Lund, Sweden.