Dimerization of a Phage-Display Selected Peptide for Imaging of αvβ6-Integrin: Two Approaches to the Multivalent Effect
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Abstract

The integrin αvβ6 is an emerging biomarker for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). An αvβ6-binding peptide was previously selected from a phage-displayed peptide library. Here, we utilize a multivalent design to develop a peptidic probe for positron emission tomography (PET) imaging of αvβ6+ NSCLC tumors. Multimeric presentation of this peptide, RGDLATLRQL, on a bifunctional copper chelator was achieved using two approaches: dimerization of the peptide followed by conjugation to the chelator (H2-D10) and direct presentation of two copies of the peptide on the chelator scaffold (H2-(M10)). Binding affinities of the divalent peptide conjugates are four-fold higher than their monovalent counterpart (H2-M10), suggestive of multivalent binding. PET imaging using the bivalent 64Cu-labeled conjugates showed rapid and persistent accumulation in αvβ6+ tumors. By contrast, no significant accumulation was observed in αvβ6- tumors. Irrespective of the dimerization approach, all divalent probes showed three-fold higher tumor uptake than the monovalent probe, indicating the role of valency in signal enhancement. However, the divalent probes have elevated uptake in non-target organs, especially the kidneys. To abrogate nonspecific uptake, the peptide’s N-terminus was acetylated. The resultant bivalent probe, 64Cu-AcD10, showed drastic decrease of kidney accumulation while maintaining tumor uptake. In conclusion, we developed an αvβ6-integrin specific probe with optimized biodistribution for noninvasive PET imaging of NSCLC. Further, we have demonstrated that use of multivalent scaffolds is a plausible method to improve library selected peptides, which would be suboptimal or useless otherwise, for imaging probe development.
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Introduction

Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is the leading cause of cancer related mortality worldwide, accounting for ~1.2 million deaths each year (1). The lethality of NSCLC is due in part to diagnosis at advanced stages, tumor heterogeneity, and rudimentary tumor classification (2, 3). The advent of targeted therapy has changed the paradigm of cancer management by targeting aberrant molecular and cellular
changes in order to inhibit tumor growth and progression. Noninvasive diagnostic tools that reveal molecular features of a NSCLC tumor are needed to provide personalized molecular medicine for improved therapeutic response and patient survival.

Chest X-ray and Computed Tomography (CT) are the primary diagnostic tools to identify lung lesions. Inherently anatomical imaging techniques, CT and X-ray cannot provide molecular profiles of heterogenic NSCLC tumors (4). By contrast, PET is well suited for molecular profiling due to its high sensitivity and capability of absolute imaging quantification (5, 6). Indeed, PET-CT imaging, which combines the anatomic precision of CT with the functional imaging of PET, has significantly improved detection of tumors, and is increasingly used in clinical setting for noninvasive diagnosis and staging of cancer (4-6). By far, 2-deoxy-2-18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (18F-FDG) is the most widely used PET tracer in diagnosis, staging, and monitoring of NSCLC (4, 7). However, this glucose consumption-based PET tracer plays a limited role in distinguishing tumor masses based on their molecular alterations.

Design of molecular PET imaging probes relies on judicious selection of a cellular biomarker for early detection, treatment planning, and monitoring of disease progression. The α6β6 integrin, a transmembrane protein, is a unique biomarker which is essentially absent in normal adult epithelium but has shown de novo expression during tissue remodeling, epithelial repair, and tumor growth (8, 9). Widespread up-regulation of α6β6-integrin is found in early stage NSCLC and is predictive of reduced survival time for patients. Furthermore, α6β6 expression increases during the epithelial-mesenchymal transition, the process in which cells lose their epithelial phenotypes to become motile, implicating a role in metastasis (10-12). The differential expression of α6β6-integrin in epithelial based tumor cells over normal cells suggests α6β6-integrin peptides will provide a high tumor-to-normal tissue contrast. Thus, developing α6β6-integrin targeted PET imaging probe provides an exciting diagnostic tool to detect and classify an aggressive NSCLC phenotype. Significantly, α6β6-integrin expression is also observed in several other epithelial based tumors, suggesting α6β6 imaging probes might find wide utility beyond NSCLC (13-16).

Specific α6β6-ligands have been identified from natural sources as well as from combinatorial peptide libraries (17-19). Use of these ligands as PET probes has met with mixed success. Improvements in ligand affinity, in vivo stability, and tumor specificity are desired. Recently we reported the selection of a peptide, H2009.1 (sequence: RGDLATLRQL), as an α6β6-integrin specific ligand (20). The isolated monomeric peptide displays high binding affinity (9 nM) and selectivity towards α6β6 integrin over other integrins (8, 20). The peptide was selected from a pIII phage displayed library in which the peptide is displayed in 3-5 copies at the tip of the bacteriophage. As such, the selected peptide most likely interacts with the target receptor in a multivalent fashion. Indeed, multimerization of H2009.1 improves its affinity for α6β6-expressing cells by 800-fold (20).

Multivalent presentation of a ligand is a well-accepted approach to increase the biological potency of a ligand through enhanced ligand-receptor interaction, and in some cases, cellular internalization by surface receptor oligomerization (21, 22). Peptide multimerization can also improve peptide stability and extend its in vivo half-life (23, 24). In the field of molecular imaging, the multivalent effect has been exploited for imaging signal enhancement in order to achieve the desired signal-to-noise level (25, 26). Generally, a multimerized targeting vector is conjugated on a bifunctional chelator (BFC) to render the imaging probe design multivalent effect (27-30). Alternatively, we recently reported a novel and versatile BFC scaffold (BFCS) design, which provides a simple and effective way of imparting multivalency to imaging probes (25, 26). In this work, we utilized these two complimentary approaches to present two copies of H2009.1-10mer at different layers of a BFCS for imaging of integrin α6β6. One is a peptide based multivalency constructed upon the dimerization of the H2009.1-10mer sequence (H2-D10, and H2-AcD10, Scheme 1). The other is a BFCS based multivalency achieved by anchoring the H2009.1-10mer sequence at the two peripheral carboxylate groups of the BFCS (H2-(M10)2 Scheme 1). The resulted divalent conjugates were evaluated and compared to the monovalent conjugate H2-M10 (Scheme 1). The α6β6 imaging properties of the peptide conjugates when labeled with 64Cu were assessed using H2009 (α6β6) and H460 (α6β6) tumor models established in SCID mice.

Methods and Materials

General Methods: All reactions were carried out under N2 atmosphere in degassed, dried solvents. Commercially available starting materials were used without further purification unless otherwise stated. Aqueous solutions were prepared with Milli-Q water (18 MΩ·cm) obtained from a Millipore Gradient Milli-Q water system (Billerica, MA). Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) mass spectra were acquired on an Applied Biosystems Voyager-6115 mass spectrometer. Radiolabeled conjugates were purified by Light C-18 Sep-Pak cartridges (Waters, Milford, MA).
The 1,4,8,11-tetraazabicyclo[6.6.2]hexadecane (Cyclam), (31) α-bromoglutaric acid-1-tertbutylester-4-benzyl ester, compound 1, compound 2, (25) Cys-PEG11-H2009.1-10mer), Cys-K-(PEG11-H2009.1-10mer), and Cys-K-(PEG11-H2009.1-10mer-COCH3)2 were synthesized by standard Fmoc solid phase peptide synthesis according to published procedures (20). All peptides were >95% as determined by analytical HPLC. Copper-64 (t1/2 = 12.7 h, β+ (17.4%; Eβ+ max = 0.656 MeV); EC (43%); β- (39%)) in 0.1 N HCl was purchased from Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis or the University of Wisconsin at Madison.

**Cell Lines and Animals:** H2009 and H460 cell lines were provided by the Hamon Center for Therapeutic Oncology Research (UT Southwestern Medical Center) and cultured according to established conditions (32). The cell lines were tested for Mycoplasma infection and DNA fingerprinted before use. Animal protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at UT Southwestern which is accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International. The protocols adhere to standards set forth by the Animal Welfare Act and the US government principals regarding the care and use of laboratory
animals. To establish tumor xenografts, NOD/SCID mice were injected with 5 × 10⁶ H2009 or H460 cells in the upper flank towards the shoulder. Tumors were grown to 100 – 200 mm³ (tumor volume = ½(length × width³) before imaging (33).

**HPLC Methods:** Peptide conjugates were purified by HPLC using a Waters 600 Multisolvent Delivery System. The mobile phase consisted of H₂O with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, solvent A) and acetonitrile with 0.1% TFA (solvent B). Peptide conjugate purification was performed on a semi-preparative XTerra RP18 Column (250 × 10 mm) with a gradient of 0% B to 100 % B in 50 min at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. Analytical HPLC was performed on an XTerra RP18 column (150 × 4.6 mm) with a gradient of 0 % B to 100 % B in 50 min at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min.

**Synthesis of H₂-M10:** CB-TE2A(‘Bu)₂-Mal (1.8 mg, 2.8 µmol) and Cys-PEG₆₁₁-10mer peptide (1.3 mg, 0.7 µmol) were reacted in a 10 mM PBS containing 10 mM ethyldiaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA, 500 µL, pH 7.0) at room temperature for 12 h under N₂. The conjugate was purified by semi-preparative reverse-phase HPLC. Lyophilization yielded (‘Bu)₂·M10 as white solid (1.2 mg; yield: 70%). MALDI-TOF/MS: 2492.71 [M+H]+. Calc’d MS: 2491.44. The protected conjugate, (‘Bu)₂·M10, was dissolved in 95% of TFA and stirred at room temperature for 12 h. Solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by semi-preparative reverse-phase HPLC. The collected fraction of multiple runs was pooled and lyophilized to give H₂-M10. MALDI-TOF/MS: 2380.76 [M+H]+. Calc’d MS: 2379.23.

**Synthesis of H₂-D10 and H₂-AcD10:** To a mixture of CB-TE2A(‘Bu)₂-Mal (1.0 mg, 2.1 µmol) and Cys-K(PEG₆₁₁-10mer)₂ peptide (3.0 mg, 0.7 µmol) was added 10 mM PBS solution containing 10 mM EDTA (500 µL, pH 7.0). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 12 h under N₂. The reaction mixture was purified by semi-preparative reverse-phase HPLC and lyophilized to give protected (‘Bu)₂·D10 as white solid (1.8 mg; yield: 56%). MALDI-TOF/MS: 4967.07 [M+H]+. Calc’d MS: 4966.46. The (‘Bu)₂·D10, was dissolved in 95% of TFA and stirred at RT for 12 h to remove the ‘Bu protecting groups. Semi-preparative reverse-phase HPLC followed by lyophilization to yield H₂-D10. MALDI-TOF/MS: 4847.23 [M+H]+. Calc’d MS: 4846.89. The acetylated conjugate was prepared following the same procedure using CB-TE2A(‘Bu)₂-Mal (1.0 mg, 2.1 µmol) and Cys-K(PEG₆₁₁-10mer-COCH₃)₂ peptide (3.0 mg, 0.68 µmol). Protected (‘Bu)₂-AcD10 was obtained as a white solid (2.5 mg; yield: 73%). MALDI-TOF/MS: 5048.15 [M+H]+. Calc’d MS: 5047.49. After deprotection, H₂-AcD10 was obtained in quantitative yield. MALDI-TOF/MS: 4935.46 [M+H]+. Calc’d MS: 4934.85.

**Synthesis of H₂(M10)₂:** To the mixture of CB-TE2A(‘Bu)₂-(Mal)₂ (0.2 mg, 0.23 µmol) and Cys-PEG₆₁₁-10mer peptide (1.3 mg, 0.7 µmol) was added 10 mM PBS solution containing 10 mM EDTA (500 µL, pH 7.0). The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h under N₂. The reaction mixture was purified by semi-preparative reverse-phase HPLC. The collected fractions from multiple runs were pooled and lyophilized to give protected (‘Bu)₂·(M10)₂ (0.67 mg; yield: 63%). MALDI-TOF/MS: 4529.62 [M+H]+. Calc’d MS: 4528.53. The protected conjugate, (‘Bu)₂·D10, was dissolved in 95% of TFA and stirred at room temperature for 12 h. Solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by semi-preparative reverse-phase HPLC. Lyophilization afforded H₂(M10)₂ as white solid in quantitative yield. MALDI-TOF/MS: 4414.57 [M+H]+. Calc’d MS: 4413.37.

**Radiolabeling of H₂-M10, H₂-D10, H₂-AcD10, and H₂(M10)₂ with ⁶⁴Cu:** To a 100 µL vial containing 5-10 µg of a respective conjugate in 50 µL of 0.4 M NH₄OAc buffer (pH = 6.5), 1-2 mCi of ⁶⁴CuCl₂ was added. The reaction mixture was shaken at 75°C for 30 min. Then, 2 µL of 5 mM EDTA was added to the reaction mixture, which was allowed to incubate for 5 min. Purification of ⁶⁴Cu-labeled conjugate was carried out on a Sep-Pak C-18 light cartridge preconditioned with 10 mL EtOH followed by 10 mL H₂O. After thorough rinsing (3 × 3 mL, water) of the cartridge, the ⁶⁴Cu-labeled conjugate was eluted by an ethanol-PBS (10 mmol) mixture (70:30). The product was analyzed on instant thin-layer chromatography (ITLC-SG) plates (Pall Life Sciences, East Hills, NY) read by a Rita Star Radioisotope TLC Analyzer (Straubenhardt, Germany). The radiochemical purity of the ⁶⁴Cu-labeled conjugates after cartridge purification was > 97% as determined by radio-HPLC. The overall radiochemical procedure including the synthesis and purification steps took less than 45 min and gave a decay-corrected radiochemical yield of > 70%. The specific activity of the purified ⁶⁴Cu-labeled conjugates was in the range of 15-30 GBq/µmol.

**Small Animal PET/CT Imaging:** Imaging studies were performed on a Siemens Inveon Multimodality PET/CT system. One hour prior to imaging, each mouse bearing a H2009 or H460 tumor was injected with 100 – 125 µCi of a ⁶⁴Cu labeled conjugate in 100 µL of saline via the tail vein (n=3 for each experiment). Ten minutes prior to imaging, the animals were anesthetized using 3% isofluorane in O₂ at room temperature until vital signs stabilized. The animal was placed onto the imaging bed under 2% isofluorane anesthesia for the duration of the imaging. At each time point (1 h, 4 h, and 24 h) post-injection (pi.),
a CT scan was performed (6 min), immediately followed by a static PET scan (15 min). The CT imaging was acquired at 80kV and 500 μA with a focal spot of 58 μm. Total rotation of the gantry was 360° with 360 rotation steps obtained at an exposure time of approximately 180 ms/frame. The images were attained using CCD readout of 4096 × 3098 with a binning factor of 4 and an average frame of 1. Under low magnification the effective pixel size was 103.03 μm. The CT images were reconstructed with a down sample factor of 2 using Cobra Reconstruction Software. The PET images were reconstructed using Fourier Rebinning and Ordered Subsets Expectation Maximization 3D (OSEM3D) algorithm. Reconstructed CT and PET images were fused and analyzed using Inveon Research Workplace (IRW) software. For quantification, regions of interest were placed in the areas expressing the highest 64Cu-labeled conjugate activity as determined by PET and guided by visual inspection of CT images. The resulting quantitative data were expressed in % injected dose/g (%ID/g).

Serum stability test: In vitro stability test was performed in rat serum. Briefly, 64Cu labeled conjugate (0.74 MBq, 5 μL) was added into 100 μL of rat serum and incubated at 37 °C (n = 3). After 1 h, 4 h and 24 h incubation, 50 μL of the sample was taken out and mixed with 250 μL of ethanol. The solution was vortexed and centrifuged for 5 min at 21,000 g. Both the pellet and the supernatant were counted and the supernatant was further analyzed by radio-HPLC.

Peptide Competition Assay: Binding affinity of the peptide-conjugates (H2-M10, H2-D10, H2-AcD10, and H2-(M10)2) to H2009 cells was determined as previously described by varying the peptide concentration by factors of 10 ranging from 0.1 to 1000 nM (34). Peptide stock solutions were prepared in phosphate buffered saline (pH 7.4) and concentrations were determined by 3-(4-carboxybenzoyl)quinoline-2-carboxaldehyde assay (CBCQA assay, Invitrogen). Peptide stock solutions were diluted in PBS/BSA containing 107 particles of the H2009.1 phage clone containing 108 particles of the H2009.1 phage clone previously described by varying the peptide concentration in PBS for 30 minutes at room temperature. Excess biotin binding sites on streptavidin were then quenched by the addition of an equal volume of RPMI. Culture medium was removed from each well and the indicated concentration of the peptide added and incubated with cells for 1 hour at 37°C. Peptide-SAPE was removed and the cells were washed 3 times in PBS, 2 times in acid wash pH 2.2, and removed from the well in PBS containing 10 mM EDTA. Peptide-mediated SAPE uptake in cells was determined by flow cytometry on a Beckman Coulter Cell Lab Quanta (Beckman Coulter Inc., Indianapolis, IN). Collected data was evaluated using WinMDI v2.9 flow analysis software. The arbitrary binding units reflect the mean fluorescence intensity.

Statistical analysis: Quantitative data were expressed as the mean ± SD. Unpaired t test (two-tailed, confidence intervals: 95%) was performed using GraphPad Prism. P values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Synthesis

The chelator 4,11-bis(carboxymethyl)-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclo[6.6.2]hexadecane (CB-TE2A) forms a thermodynamically and kinetically stable complex with Cu(II). In our design, the peptide attachment is
through the peripheral carboxylate groups (Scheme 1). As such, the unique feature of CB-TE2A is preserved: the two inner carboxylates to form an octahedral complex with Cu(II) along with the four nitrogen atoms of the macrocyle. This results in a stable and neutral copper complex to which multiple ligands can be attached.

Synthetic routes to the monovalent (H2-M10) and divalent conjugates (H2-(M10)2, H2-D10, and H2-AcD10), (Scheme 1) consist of three parts, i) synthesis of BFCS scaffolds 1 and 2,(25) ii) formation of maleimide derivatives: CB-TE2A ’(Bu)2-Mal and CB-TE2A’(Bu)2-(Mal)2, and iii) conjugation of the H2009.1-10mer peptide to the BFCS, CB-TE2A’(Bu)2-Mal or CB-TE2A’(Bu)2-(Mal)2, followed by deprotection of the α-carboxylate groups to provide H2-M10, H2-(M10)2, H2-D10, and H2-AcD10 as final products.

Scaffolds 1 and 2 were synthesized in quantitative yield by reaction of CB-cyclam with α-bromoglutaric acid-1-tertbutylester-4-benzyl ester (25). They were further functionalized with one or two maleimide groups, to yield CB-TE2A’(Bu)2-Mal and CB-TE2A’(Bu)2-(Mal)2 in 34 and 45% yields, respectively. The maleimide moiety reacts selectively with thiols, thus minimizing issues with chemical regiospecificity upon conjugation. Also this imparts flexibility in the design of peptides as cysteine is not a regiospecificity upon conjugation. Also this imparts flexibility in the design of peptides as cysteine is not a significant fraction of the multivalent conjugates re-

Binding Assays

The in vitro αβ6 binding affinities of H2-M10, H2-(M10)2, H2-D10, and H2-AcD10 were determined by our previously described method using αβ6 integrin-positive H2009 human NSCLC cells (20, 34). All the conjugates inhibited the binding of H2009.1 peptide phage to the αβ6 integrin-positive H2009 cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1). The IC50 values of the parental H2009.1 peptides, which represent their concentrations required to inhibit 50% of the H2009.1 peptide phage binding, were 9.2 nM (monomeric 10mer), and 0.56 nM ((10mer)-PEG11)2-K-Cys), respectively. The IC50 values of the synthesized peptide conjugates were 4.5 (H2-M10), 0.89 nM (H2-(M10)2, 0.85 nM (H2-D10), and 1.3 nM (H2-AcD10)), indicating that the conjugation of the H2009.1-10mer peptides to the CB-TE2A scaffolds has minimal effect on the peptide’s binding ability. The improved affinity of H2-M10 compared to the parental monomeric 10mer may be due to the addition of the PEG linker. All three dimers showed the anticipated multivalent effect as measured by the multivalent enhancement ratio (MVE) – the fold of IC50 value decrease of the dimers as compared to H2-M10: 5.1 for H2-(M10)2, 5.3 for H2-D10, and 3.5 for H2-AcD10. Surprisingly, H2-(M10)2 and H2-D10 displayed virtually the same binding affinity. This indicates that the peptide’s multivalent presentation format is not as critical as we expected in our αβ6 imaging probe design.

Serum stability

The serum stability of 64Cu labeled conjugates was evaluated by incubating the 64Cu labeled conjugate in rat serum at 37°C. After 1, 4 and 24 h, an aliquot was removed and the serum proteins were precipitated in ethanol. The supernatants were analyzed by radio- HPLC. At 1 h post incubation, serum stability of all four conjugates was in the range of 60-73%. A significant fraction of the multivalent conjugates remains intact (64Cu-D10 (35%), 64Cu-AcD10 (33%) and 64Cu-(M10)2 (38%)) at 4 h. As expected, the multivalent conjugates (60-73% at 1h, 33-38% at 4 h) showed higher serum stability than the monovalent conjugate (55% and 20% at 1 and 4 h). It is important to note that all the divalent conjugates showed similar stability irrespective of their design. Surprisingly, acetylation of the amino terminus of the peptide did not increase the serum stability of the peptide according to this assay. However, rat serum has notorious endopeptidase activity which would not be blocked by acetylation (35).

MicroPET Imaging

The feasibility of αβ6-integrin targeted NSCLC tumor detection in vivo was evaluated in SCID mice.
bearing H2009 (αvβ6+) xenografts. Effect of multivalency on imaging signal amplification was evaluated by comparing the tumor uptake of divalent probe (64Cu-(M10)2) with the monovalent one (64Cu-M10). As summarized in Table 1, 64Cu-M10 showed moderate H2009 tumor uptake (0.55 ± 0.17 %ID/g) at 1 h p.i., but the tumor became barely visible at 4 h (0.33 ± 0.11 %ID/g) and 24 h p.i. (0.28 ± 0.11 %ID/g). By contrast, the scaffold based divalent probe, 64Cu-(M10)2, showed an approximate 3-fold tumor uptake increase (p < 0.01) at all three time points (1.6 ± 0.20 %ID/g, 0.85 ± 0.19 %ID/g, and 0.79 ± 0.03 %ID/g at 1 h, 4 h, and 24 h p.i., respectively) (Figure 2, Table 1), supporting the premise of signal enhancement through dimerization of the H2009.1-10mer peptide. It is noteworthy that although the peptide based (64Cu-D10) and scaffold based (64Cu-(M10)2) divalent probes are considerably different in their peptide’s spatial orientations, they displayed a similar level of tumor signal enhancement at all three time points (Figure 2, Table 1). This observation is consistent with their binding affinity measurements.

Figure 1. The integrin αvβ6 binding affinities of (A) H2009.1-peptide dimer, H2-(M10)2, H2-D10, and H2-AcD10, (B) H2009.1-peptide monomer and H2-M10 measured by a competitive cell-binding assay using H2009.1 peptide phage as the integrin-specific competitor. The IC50 values were calculated to be 9.2 nM (H2009.1-monomer, 95% confidence interval: LogIC50: -8.244 to -7.831, Goodness of fit (R2): 0.9932), 0.56 nM (H2009.1-dimer, 95% confidence interval: LogIC50: -9.336 to -9.142, Goodness of fit (R2): 0.9978), 4.5 nM (H2-M10, 95% confidence interval: LogIC50: -8.421 to -8.275, Goodness of fit (R2): 0.9993), 0.89 nM (H2-(M10)2, 95% confidence interval: LogIC50: -9.151 to -8.947, Goodness of fit (R2): 0.9983), 0.85 nM (H2-D10, 95% confidence interval: LogIC50: -9.345 to -8.800, Goodness of fit (R2): 0.9904), and 1.3 nM (H2-AcD10, 95% confidence interval: LogIC50: -9.002 to -8.783, Goodness of fit (R2): 0.9978).

Figure 2. (A) Decay-corrected trans-axial PET images of 64Cu-M10, 64Cu-(M10)2, 64Cu-D10, and 64Cu-AcD10 in SCID mice bearing H2009 tumor at 1 h, 4 h, and 24 h p.i. Tumors are indicated by red arrow. (B) Comparative tumor uptake (%ID/g) of 64Cu-M10, 64Cu-(M10)2, 64Cu-D10, and 64Cu-AcD10 at 1 h, 4 h, and 24 h p.i. (C) Uptake of 64Cu-M10, 64Cu-(M10)2, 64Cu-D10, and 64Cu-AcD10 in other organs of interest at 24 h p.i. Data are presented as %ID/g ± s.d. (n = 3).
Table 1. Uptake $^{64}$Cu-M10, $^{64}$Cu-(M10)$_2$, $^{64}$Cu-D10, and $^{64}$Cu-AcD10 at 1 h, 4 h and 24 h p.i. in major organs and tumor of H2009 tumor bearing mice determined by quantitative PET imaging analysis. Data are presented as %ID/g ± s.d. (n = 3).

|                | $^{64}$Cu-M10 |                       | $^{64}$Cu-(M10)$_2$ |                       |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                | 1 h           | 4 h                   | 24 h                | 1 h                 |
| Tumor          | 0.55 ± 0.17   | 0.33 ± 0.11           | 0.28 ± 0.11         | 1.6 ± 0.20          |
| Kidney         | 6.83 ± 0.35   | 6.23 ± 0.32           | 3.50 ± 0.36         | 53.6 ± 8.68         |
| Heart          | 1.02 ± 0.07   | 0.42 ± 0.06           | 0.16 ± 0.05         | 1.56 ± 0.15         |
| Liver          | 2.03 ± 0.23   | 1.53 ± 0.21           | 1.30 ± 0.10         | 2.13 ± 0.73         |
| Lung           | 0.66 ± 0.08   | 0.33 ± 0.11           | 0.15 ± 0.04         | 1.66 ± 0.15         |
| Muscle         | 0.30 ± 0.02   | 0.12 ± 0.02           | 0.14 ± 0.02         | 0.87 ± 0.04         |
| Tumor/Lung     | 0.83 ± 0.18   | 1.0 ± 0.15            | 1.86 ± 0.11         | 1.0 ± 0.25          |

|                | $^{64}$Cu-D10 |                       | $^{64}$Cu-AcD10 |                       |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
|                | 1 h           | 4 h                   | 24 h            | 1 h                   |
| Tumor          | 1.53 ± 0.15   | 1.2 ± 0.26            | 0.89 ± 0.26     | 1.46 ± 0.15           |
| Kidney         | 43.46 ± 1.8   | 39.3 ± 5.66           | 21.36 ± 0.57    | 18.8 ± 2.2            |
| Heart          | 1.26 ± 0.37   | 0.79 ± 0.27           | 0.42 ± 0.10     | 0.78 ± 0.05           |
| Liver          | 2 ± 0.1       | 1.56 ± 0.11           | 1.01 ± 0.14     | 1.08 ± 0.11           |
| Lung           | 1.86 ± 0.20   | 0.99 ± 0.18           | 0.62 ± 0.23     | 1.33 ± 0.23           |
| Muscle         | 0.80 ± 0.11   | 0.40 ± 0.03           | 0.27 ± 0.13     | 0.83 ± 0.14           |
| Tumor/Lung     | 0.8 ± 0.25    | 1.2 ± 0.31            | 1.4 ± 0.34      | 1.1 ± 0.27            |

It must be pointed out that the higher tumor uptake of $^{64}$Cu-(M10)$_2$ and $^{64}$Cu-D10 was accompanied by their higher uptake in other organs, particularly kidneys (Table 1 and 2). Being the major clearance organ for all the probes, kidneys showed the highest uptake with respect to other organs at every time point. Among the three probes ($^{64}$Cu-M10, $^{64}$Cu-(M10)$_2$, and $^{64}$Cu-D10), the monovalent $^{64}$Cu-M10 showed the lowest kidney uptake (6.23 ± 0.32 %ID/g, and 3.50 ± 0.36 %ID/g at 1 h, 4 h, and 24 h p.i., respectively), while an approximate 6-fold increase of kidney uptake was observed for both divalent probes ($^{64}$Cu-(M10)$_2$: 53.6 ± 8.68 %ID/g, and 23.73 ± 7.3 %ID/g at 1 h, 4 h, and 24 h p.i., respectively; $^{64}$Cu-D10: 43.46 ± 1.8 %ID/g, 39.3 ± 5.66 %ID/g, and 21.36 ± 0.57 %ID/g at 1 h, 4 h, and 24 h p.i., respectively) (Figure 3 and Table 1).

**Peptide Acetylation**

Acetylation of the N-terminus of the H2009.1-10mer peptide was employed to protect the peptide from serum peptidases (36). This is particularly important as the critical RGD lies directly at the N-terminus of the peptide. Although acetylation did not affect serum stability in rat serum, it may protect the peptide in vivo. Additionally, acetylation reduces the charge of each peptide branch by +1 which may reduce nonspecific binding of the peptide and improve the in vivo biodistribution characteristics. The acetylated divalent probe, $^{64}$Cu-AcD10, was produced by capping the N-terminus of H2009.1-10mer peptide. Tumor accumulation of the acetylated probe $^{64}$Cu-AcD10 was almost identical to the non-acetylated dimeric probes ($^{64}$Cu-(M10)$_2$ and $^{64}$Cu-D10) (Table 1). Impressively, $^{64}$Cu-AcD10 showed a drastic reduced kidney uptake level as compared to the non-acetylated $^{64}$Cu-D10 and $^{64}$Cu-(M10)$_2$ (Figure 3). Remarkably, it also displayed a much more favorable biodistribution profile than the non-acetylated dimers (Table 1). Of particular importance, the low background uptake of $^{64}$Cu-AcD10 in lung (1.33 ± 0.23 %ID/g, 0.65 ± 0.03 %ID/g, and 0.34 ± 0.08 %ID/g at 1 h, 4 h, and 24 h p.i., respectively) and liver (1.08 ± 0.11 %ID/g, 0.72 ± 0.09 %ID/g, and 0.55 ± 0.10 %ID/g at 1 h, 4 h, and 24 h p.i., respectively) is the highly desirable feature for NSCLC imaging. Not only was liver uptake of $^{64}$Cu-AcD10 significantly lower than the liver accumulation of the non-acetylated dimers (p < 0.01), in fact it was also at the same level as or less than that was observed for monomeric $^{64}$Cu-(M10)$_2$ (Table 1). The $^{64}$Cu-AcD10 showed highest tumor-to-lung contrast among all the probes (Table 1). In sum, $^{64}$Cu-AcD10 exhibits superior biodistribution properties compared to the other probes.

**Integrin Specificity**

To assure that the probes are specific for $\alpha_v\beta_6$, the four probes were tested in animals bearing xenograft tumors which do not express $\alpha_v\beta_6$. The large cell lung cancer cell line H460 was employed as this cell line does not express $\alpha_v\beta_6$ as determined by western immunoblotting, flow cytometry, and immunocytochemistry (Figure 4). In addition, no specific staining of $\alpha_v\beta_6$ was observed in a H460 tumor harvested from the xenograft model. By comparison, strong staining was observed in a H460 tumor harvested from the xenograft model. Consistent with these observations, the fluorescently labeled dimeric H2009.1-10mer peptide binds H2009 cells but not H460 cells as determined by flow cytometry over a concentration range of 0.10-200 nM. Binding was undetectable on H460 cells even at concentrations as high as 200 nM (Figure 6A). These data support the use of H460 NSCLC tumors an appropriate $\alpha_v\beta_6$-negative control.
Figure 3. (A) Decay-corrected whole-body coronal microPET images of $^{64}$Cu-(M10)$_2$, $^{64}$Cu-D10, and $^{64}$Cu-AcD10 in SCID mice bearing H2009 tumor at 1 h, 4 h, and 24 h p.i. The kidney uptake was clearly alleviated by the peptide’s N-terminus acetylation. (B) Comparative kidney uptake (%ID/g) of $^{64}$Cu-M10, $^{64}$Cu-(M10)$_2$, $^{64}$Cu-D10, and $^{64}$Cu-AcD10 at 1 h, 4 h, and 24 h p.i. in H2009 tumor bearing SCID mice. Data are presented as %ID/g ± s.d. (n = 3).

Figure 4. The integrin αvβ6 is expressed in H2009 cells but not in H460 cells. (A) Immunoblotting detects the β6 subunit in H2009 cells but not H460 cells (Rabbit polyclonal β6-antibody H00003694, Novus). Although weak, the β3 integrin is detected in both cell lines (Mouse monoclonal β3-antibody Ab716, Abcam). Lysate from 200,000 cells was loaded in each well. (B) Fluorescent microscopy detects expression of β6 on the surface of H2009 cells but not H460 cells (Mab2074Z). This expression is maintained in tumors grown in animals. (C) Expression of β6 on the surface of H2009 cells but not H460 cells as determined by flow cytometry. D. H2009 cells bind the H2009.1 peptide whereas the H460 cells do not, indicating the specificity of the peptide.
Consistent with the H2009.1-10mer binding αvβ6, the radiotracers showed significantly lower (p < 0.01) uptake in H460 tumor at all three time points compared to the H2009 tumors (Figure 5, Table 2). Furthermore, the ratio of H460 tumor-to-lung and tumor-to-heart ratios are ≤ 1 at 24 hours for all probes. Most importantly, individual probes showed similar biodistribution profile in H2009 and H460 tumor bearing mice (Tables 1 and 2). These data demonstrate the αvβ6-integrin specificity of the probes, 64Cu-M10, 64Cu-(M10)2, 64Cu-D10, and 64Cu-AcD10. Probe uptake is not a general phenomenon of all tumors but requires expression of αvβ6.

One concern is the potential for our RGD containing peptide to bind the more common αvβ3 integrin, which is a marker for neovasculature and is found on virtually all tumor vasculature. While αvβ6 is expressed specifically in H2009 tumors, αvβ3 is expressed in both H2009 and H460 tumors (Figure 4A) and tumor vasculature. Although our previous data suggests that this peptide is specific for αvβ6, we determined the binding affinity of the H2009.1-10mer peptide on purified αvβ6, αvβ3, and αvβ5 (Figure 6). The dimeric H2009.1-10mer peptide has a Kd of 0.65 ± 0.26 nM for αvβ6, 100 ± 8.5 nM for αvβ3, and 133 nM for αvβ5. This represents a 160-fold preference for αvβ6. As a reference point, the maximum concentration of the imaging probe in the blood of a mouse is ~5 nM; this is substantially below the Kd of the H2009.1-10mer dimeric peptide for αvβ3, and αvβ5 and no binding of the peptide to these purified integrins was detected at this concentration. In the same assay, the well characterized αvβ3–binding peptide cRGDyK was determined to have a Kd of 4.9 ± 2.1 nM for αvβ3 but no detectable binding to αvβ6 was observed up to 1 µM.

### Table 2. Uptake 64Cu-M10, 64Cu-D10, 64Cu-AcD10 and 64Cu-(M10)2 at 1 h, 4 h and 24 h p.i. in major organs and tumor of H460 tumor bearing mice determined by quantitative PET imaging analysis. Data are presented as %ID/g ± s.d. (n = 3).

|       | 64Cu-M10 1 h | 64Cu-D10 1 h | 64Cu-AcD10 1 h | 64Cu-(M10)2 1 h | 64Cu-M10 4 h | 64Cu-D10 4 h | 64Cu-AcD10 4 h | 64Cu-(M10)2 4 h | 64Cu-M10 24 h | 64Cu-D10 24 h | 64Cu-AcD10 24 h | 64Cu-(M10)2 24 h |
|-------|--------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| Tumor | 0.34 ± 0.05  | 0.24 ± 0.01  | 0.19 ± 0.01    | 0.71 ± 0.05     | 0.83 ± 0.04  | 0.53 ± 0.17  | 64Cu-M10 24 h | 0.39 ± 0.04    | 0.73 ± 0.13  | 0.45 ± 0.11  | 0.35 ± 0.05  | 64Cu-D10 24 h |
| Kidney| 14.03 ± 2.40 | 12.50 ± 2.33 | 5.97 ± 1.01    | 85.4 ± 17.47    | 92.9 ± 11.31 | 68.9 ± 8.13  | 64Cu-M10 24 h | 19.83 ± 2.38  | 19.5 ± 0.36  | 18.43 ± 0.92 | 3.43 ± 1.8   | 64Cu-D10 24 h |
| Heart | 1.02 ± 0.17  | 0.65 ± 0.12  | 0.33 ± 0.03    | 1.70 ± 0.00     | 1.25 ± 0.07  | 0.83 ± 0.17  | 64Cu-M10 24 h | 0.43 ± 0.05    | 0.82 ± 0.05  | 0.50 ± 0.04  | 0.26 ± 0.00  | 64Cu-D10 24 h |
| Liver | 0.93 ± 0.12  | 0.77 ± 0.06  | 0.43 ± 0.05    | 5.45 ± 0.49     | 4.80 ± 0.14  | 3.25 ± 0.21  | 64Cu-M10 24 h | 0.38 ± 0.02    | 1.50 ± 0.14  | 1.35 ± 0.07  | 0.77 ± 0.01  | 64Cu-D10 24 h |
| Lung  | 0.35 ± 0.09  | 0.34 ± 0.07  | 0.18 ± 0.02    | 1.50 ± 0.14     | 1.35 ± 0.07  | 0.77 ± 0.01  | 64Cu-M10 24 h | 0.45 ± 0.18    | 0.43 ± 0.08  | 0.31 ± 0.06  | 0.38 ± 0.08  | 64Cu-D10 24 h |
| Muscle| 0.15 ± 0.07  | 0.11 ± 0.04  | 0.10 ± 0.03    | 0.45 ± 0.18     | 0.31 ± 0.06  | 0.38 ± 0.08  | 64Cu-M10 24 h | 0.75 ± 0.24    | 0.31 ± 0.06  | 0.33 ± 0.08  | 0.35 ± 0.05  | 64Cu-D10 24 h |

Figure 5. (A) Decay-corrected trans-axial microPET images of 64Cu-M10, 64Cu-D10, and 64Cu-AcD10 in SCID mice bearing H460 tumor from static scan at 1 h, 4 h, and 24 h p.i. Tumors are indicated by red arrow. (B) Comparative tumor uptakes (%ID/g) of 64Cu-M10, 64Cu-D10, and 64Cu-AcD10 at 1 h, 4 h, and 24 h p.i. Data are presented as %ID/g ± s.d. (n = 3).
Figure 6. (A) The dimeric H2009.1 peptide binds specifically to αvβ6-expressing H2009 cells but not to αvβ6-negative H460 cells. Cells were incubated with the indicated concentration of labeled dimeric peptide for 1 h at 37°C. Peptide uptake in cells was determined by flow cytometry. The arbitrary binding units reflect the mean fluorescence intensity. (B-D) Representative binding curves are shown for the H2009.1 dimeric peptide on purified αvβ6 (B) and αvβ3 (C). Control CRGDfK peptide was used to assure the activity of the αvβ3 protein (D). Dissociation constants were determined using nonlinear regression in GraphPad Prism using assuming a one-site binding model. All experiments were repeated 3-times.

We further evaluated the specific αvβ3 integrin expression in H2009 and H460 tumors using our previously reported peptide-RGD based dimeric probe (64Cu-CB-TE2A-(c(RGDyK))2) (Figure 7 and Table 3). Unlike 64Cu-M10, 64Cu-(M10)2, 64Cu-D10, and 64Cu-AcD10, 64Cu-CB-TE2A-(c(RGDyK))2 clearly visualized both tumors and showed nearly identical tumor uptake at all three time points (1 h: 1.58 ± 0.13 %ID/g in H2009 vs 1.64 ± 0.20 %ID/g in H460; 4 h: 1.07 ± 0.21 %ID/g in H2009 vs 1.09 ± 0.24 %ID/g in H460; 24 h: 0.70 ± 0.29 %ID/g in H2009 vs 0.72 ± 0.10 %ID/g in H460). In sum, our data confirms that four probes built upon the H2009.1-10mer peptide do not recognize αvβ3 but specifically bind to αvβ6 in NSCLCs. Of note, 64Cu-CB-TE2A-(c(RGDyK))2 exhibited significantly lower kidney uptake in both tumor models than 64Cu-(M10)2, 64Cu-D10, or 64Cu-AcD10 (p < 0.0001), which indicates that the CB-TE2A chelator scaffold has a negligible role in the high kidney accumulation.

Discussion

The role of molecular tumor profiling is ever-increasing in clinical practice for diagnosis, treatment planning and monitoring of disease. There is an increasing demand to diagnose tumors based on prognostic biomarkers in order to select the therapy that is most likely to successfully treat an individual patient’s cancer. The αvβ6 integrin is an emerging prognostic biomarker for NSCLC as well as other epithelial based cancer. Expression of αvβ6 in NSCLC patients is associated with poor survival. The up-regulation of αvβ6-integrin in early stage NSCLC, as well as its association with a pro-invasive NSCLC phenotype, makes it highly desirable target for diagnostic and therapeutic application (11, 12). To date, a few αvβ6-targeted probes have been reported. Of which, most efforts are based on a 20 amino acid peptide derived from the coat protein of foot and mouth disease virus (A20FMDV). This peptide sequence binds to αvβ6 with low nanomolar affinity. When modified and labeled with 18F, the peptidic PET probe ([18F]FBA-A20FMDV) was able to show a reasonable tumor uptake (0.66 %ID/g at 1 h) in an αvβ6 tumor model, although the tumor uptake retention needs to be further improved (37, 38).

Recently, a αvβ6-binding cysteine knot was engineered to improve in vivo stability (39, 40). This ligand displays similar affinity and specificity for αvβ6 as the A20FMDV peptide. When modified and labeled with 64Cu, the cysteine knot showed good tumor uptake and retention (1.8 ± 0.50%, 1 h and 1.46 ± 0.43%, 24 h). However, the modest tumor to lung ratio (1.89 ± 0.81%, 1 h and 1.25 ± 0.28%, 24 h) may hamper the use of this probe for detection of NSCLC tumors. Additionally, this probe requires biosynthesis and may invoke an immunological response upon repeat injections.
Success of a $\alpha_\beta_6$-specific PET imaging probe depends upon the choice of a ligand with high binding affinity and selectivity towards $\alpha_\beta_6$-integrin. The H2009.1 peptide was selected by biopanning a phage displayed-peptide library on intact H2009 NSCLC cells. Subsequently, we determined that the H2009.1 peptide binds with high binding affinity and selectivity to $\alpha_\beta_6^+$ (8, 20). Not surprisingly, the selected peptide sequence contains an Arginine-Glycine-Aspartate (RGD) tripeptide sequence, a well-known ligand for $\alpha_\beta_6$-integrins (41, 42). However, the peptide is specific for $\alpha_\beta_6$ and does not bind other RGD-binding integrins (8). Importantly, this peptide does not bind cells that express other RGD-binding integrins including $\alpha_\beta_3$, $\alpha_\beta_5$, and $\alpha_\beta_6$ but lack $\alpha_\beta_6$. Furthermore, we have shown here that the H2009.1-10mer dimeric peptide has a >160-fold preference for purified $\alpha_\beta_6^+$ for compared to $\alpha_\beta_3$ and $\alpha_\beta_5$. The specificity of the H2009.1 peptide for $\alpha_\beta_6$-integrin arises from the peptide sequence LATL directly to the carboxy-terminal side of the RGD. The sequence LXXL has been shown to impart $\alpha_\beta_6$-specificity when it flanks the RGD domain (18, 43-46). Notably, the binding of H2009.1 peptide showed a linear correlation with the $\alpha_\beta_6$-integrin expression on the cell surface, which enables the H2009.1 peptide as an ideal ligand for $\alpha_\beta_6^+$ tumor detection as well as quantification (8, 20). However, like other peptides selected from phage displayed libraries, the corresponding synthetic monomer peptide was not ideal for molecular imaging, especially at the later time points, primarily due to suboptimal binding affinity (47). The phage-displayed library, from which the H2009.1 peptide was selected, presents the peptide at the N-terminus of the phage pIII coat protein. As such, the peptide is displayed at one end of the filamentous phage in 3 - 5 copies. Thus, target-specific binding of the phage is likely driven by both the peptide sequence and multimeric presentation of peptides.

Multimeric presentation of the H2009.1-10mer peptide was sought to increase the receptor-ligand binding affinity while maintaining the specificity of the peptide for tumor detection with the aim to enhance the specific PET imaging signal (22, 26, 29). Given that juxtaposition positioning of H2009.1-10mer peptide in the three dimensional space might play a key role in ligand-receptor interaction on the cell surface, we employed two complimentary multimerization approaches to evaluate the anticipated multivalent effect. First, simultaneous presentation of two monomeric peptides on a divalent BFC scaffold (CB-TE2A-(c(RGDyK))$_2$) gave a scaffold-based divalent conjugate H$_2$(M10)$_2$. Second, the isolated H2009.1-10mer peptide was dimerized and subsequently presented on a monovalent BFC scaffold (CB-TE2A/(Bu)$_2$-Mal) to provide peptide-based divalent conjugates H$_2$(D10) and H$_2$(Ac)D10. In all cases, a polyethylene glycol (PEG) linker was included in the peptide design in order to increase the solubility of the resulted peptide conjugates in aqueous solution.
and to act as a spacer between the chelating scaffold and the peptide moiety for optimal in vivo kinetics and high tumor uptake. Indeed, it was reported that attaching a defined length 28-PEG spacer to A20FMDV2 could provide a 3-fold tumor uptake increase, although higher uptake was also observed in non-target organs (37).

The multivalent effect on binding affinity of isolated peptides was evaluated in a cell-based assay using H2009 human NSCLC cell line. As expected, divalent conjugates of H2009.1-10mer peptide (H2-(M10)2, H2-D10, and H2-AcD10) showed a 4–6 fold enhancement in their binding affinity over the monovalent one (H2-M10). The greater than 2-fold increase in affinity is indicative of multivalent binding of the peptide to the cell surface and not merely an increase in the number of peptide units. Attachment of the peptides to the CB-TE2A chelator does not affect the affinity of the peptide compared to the parental dimer of H2009.1-10mer. Interestingly, the two divalent conjugates, H2-(M10)2 and H2-D10, have the same affinity for H2009 cells. Thus displaying the peptide off a lysine core or the divalent CB-TE2A core does not significantly affect the specific cell binding. Of note, CB-TE2A conjugates of the A20FMDV peptide have also been reported (48). However, in the modification one of the carboxylate groups was consumed for the peptide conjugation, which might compromise the stability of the Cu(II) complex moiety. In addition, it adds an extra positive charge to the peptide conjugate when labeled with 64Cu, which might contribute to the high kidney uptake. In contrast, all our peptide conjugates maintain an intact CB-TE2A core to form the neutral Cu(II)-CB-TE2A complex with non-compromised stability.

Towards the goal of utilizing the multivalent effect for αvβ6-integrin PET imaging, we conducted non-invasive imaging evaluation studies in two SCID mouse models bearing H2009 (αvβ6) and H460 (αvβ6) tumors. The H2009 (αvβ6) tumor was clearly visualized by all four probes, monovalent (64Cu-M10) and divalent (64Cu-(M10)2, 64Cu-D10, and 64Cu-AcD10), at 1 h p.i. In contrast, much lower tumor uptake was observed for all the probes in H460 (αvβ6) tumor while their distribution profiles in the other tissue were almost identical to those observed in the H2009 tumor-bearing mice. This result demonstrates the αvβ6 binding specificity of the H2009.1-10mer peptide. However, though the H2009 tumor was visualized by 64Cu-M10 at 1 h p.i., its imaging contrast became barely visible at 4 and 24 h p.i. The low tumor contrast of 64Cu-M10 is likely caused by its suboptimal in vivo kinetics, receptor binding, and stability. These drawbacks can be potentially resolved or mitigated by multimeric presentation of the peptide on a scaffold. Indeed, the divalent probes (64Cu-(M10)2, 64Cu-D10, and 64Cu-AcD10) showed an approximate 3-fold tumor uptake increase as compared to 64Cu-M10, which clearly demonstrates the role of the multivalent effect in imaging signal amplification. In addition, the tumor PET signal retention of the three divalent probes stayed above 0.70 %ID/g even at 24 h p.i. While the increased affinity of the dimeric probes contributes to the increased tumor accumulation, increased stability and improved in vivo kinetics are likely to play a role as well. Interestingly, no significant tumor uptake difference was observed for the three divalent probes out to 24 h p.i., indicating the two multivalent presentation approaches afforded virtually the same result. While this observation was somewhat to our surprise, it may result from the flexible PEG spacer that has been incorporated into our probe design, which provides no spatial constraints to the ligand-receptor binding. All three divalent probes showed a similar biodistribution profile in the H2009 and H460 tumor models with elevated uptake (< 3 fold) in major organs other than kidneys as compared to the monovalent one. This is likely caused by the molecular weight induced difference of in vivo kinetics.

Although we observe relatively high tumor uptake compared to background accumulation in the mediastinum region, we recognize the need to further increase accumulation of radiolabel in the tumor. Improved tumor uptake is anticipated to increase sensitivity of detection. One advantage of our bifunctional chelator design is that we can layer the valency, moving rapidly from monomeric to octomeric peptides. As the valency increases, we anticipate an increase in affinity for αvβ6 and improved in vivo kinetics (30). Additionally, the design allows for facile PEGylation of both the peptide ligand and the chelator which may improve tumor uptake by increasing circulation time of the probe (49). Defined length PEG linkers are available allowing for controlled, empirical testing.

Rapid kidney uptake and efficient clearance is a desired feature of targeted PET imaging probes. However, high kidney uptake is unwanted even for lung cancer imaging because it renders the kidneys to unnecessary radiation exposure. Given the high kidney uptake and retention observed for both 64Cu-(M10)2 and 64Cu-D10 (Figure 3, Table 1), they may not find practical applications in PET imaging of αvβ6-integrin. By immunocytochemical staining, we found negligible expression of αvβ6 integrin in the kidneys of H2009 tumor bearing mice (data not shown), indicating the non-specific nature of the observed high kidney accumulation. Further, as shown in the PET imaging results of 64Cu-CB-TE2A-
(c(RGDyK))₂ in both H2009 and H460 tumor models, the CB-TE2A-based construct is not the culprit of the high kidney uptake. Therefore we reasoned that the high kidney uptake and retention resulted from the peptide itself. Two factors may play an important role – the peptide’s stability and charge in vivo.

As such, we introduced an acetyl group to cap the N-terminus of the H2-D10 conjugate, which led to H2-AcD10. The acetylation reduces the overall charge from +4 (H2-D10) to +2 (H2-AcD10) while limiting proteolysis of the peptide by N-terminal peptidases (36). As anticipated, ⁶⁴Cu-AcD10 showed a drastic decrease in kidney uptake and retention and the level of tumor uptake was maintained compared to its non-acetylated counterpart, ⁶⁴Cu-D10 (Figure 3). In addition, a significant uptake reduction was also observed in other non-target organs, including lung and liver. The improved tumor-to-lung contrast is of great importance to the imaging detection of NSCLCs. These observations clearly demonstrate that acetylation of peptide’s N-terminus is an efficient way to reduce the nonspecific kidney accumulation and optimize the in vivo kinetics of peptide-based imaging probes. To our surprise, the apparent stability profile of all three divalent probes (⁶⁴Cu-(M10)₂, ⁶⁴Cu-D10, and ⁶⁴Cu-AcD10) measured in rat serum out to 24 h were almost identical. This indicates that the in vivo properties of the divalent probe were improved as the result of its positive charge reduction. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that acetylation improves the in vivo stability in mice. It is important to note that the previous PET studies with A20FMDV2 also used a capped N-terminus and the kidney uptake varied greatly. Similarly, the αβ₆-binding cysteine knot probes showed persistent kidney retention. Therefore, simple capping of the N-terminus does not abrogate kidney retention for all αβ₆ PET probes. Additionally, it should be noted that multimerization of other peptidic ligands has also lead to a significant increase in kidney accumulation (30, 48).

Kidney retention of peptidic probes is a complicated, multifactorial issue and involves numerous factors including peptide stability, charge, hydrophobicity, choice of radiolabel, peptide sequence, and chemical modifications of the peptide (50-52). Due to their size, peptides are filtered by glomeruli and excreted; however, a small percentage of the peptide or peptide fragments are reabsorbed by the proximal tubules and retained. Although unlikely to induce substantial renal toxicity within the context of imaging, retention of the radiolabel can affect detection of tumors within or around the kidneys. However, kidney accumulation can be problematic for therapeutic applications in which the peptide is used to deliver radiopharmaceuticals or chemotherapeutics. As such efforts to reduce peptidic retention in the kidneys is of key importance. Minor changes in the charge and/or chemical structure of a peptide have been shown to dramatically affect renal uptake. Pre-dosing animals with polycationic species or Gelofusine prior to administering radiolabeled peptides has been observed to reduce kidney retention. PEGylation of peptides has also been shown to improve tumor-to-kidney uptake ratio. Although we have shown significant reduction of kidney retention by acetylated the peptide, we are performing further empirical studies to minimize kidney accumulation of the radiolabeled peptidic probes.

Integrins are commonly found in tumor cells as well as in angiogenic tumor vasculature. As previously mentioned, the H2009.1-10mer peptide sequence contains an RGD moiety, which is an overlapping ligand for various integrins such as αβ₃ integrin. Although, in vitro data support the specificity of the H2009-10mer peptide for αβ₆, one may question whether our imaging results indeed reflect the αβ₆ expression in tumor. To answer this question, we performed an imaging study in the same tumor models using our recently reported conjugate (⁶⁴Cu-CB-TE2A-(c(RGDyK))₂ built on the same BFCS, which specifically targets the αβ₃ integrin. The cRGDyX peptide is widely used as an αβ₃-specific ligand for both molecular imaging of angiogenic tumor vasculature and anti-angiogenic therapies (53). Unlike the H2009.1-10mer conjugates, the ⁶⁴Cu-CB-TE2A-(c(RGDyK))₂ showed virtually identical uptake in H2009 and H460 tumors out to 24 h p.i. (1.8 %ID/g at 1h p.i.; 0.7 %ID/g at 24 h p.i.). Lack of specificity of ⁶⁴Cu-CB-TE2A-(c(RGDyK))₂ can be attributed to ubiquitous expression of αβ₃ integrin in angiogenic vessels as well as in tumor cells. Unlike ⁶⁴Cu-CB-TE2A-(c(RGDyK))₂, the specific imaging of H2009 tumor by ⁶⁴Cu-(M10)₂, ⁶⁴Cu-D10, or ⁶⁴Cu-AcD10 is primarily due to the restrictive expression of αβ₆ integrin in H2009 tumor. These results clearly demonstrate the potential use of our designed probes for molecular profiling of αβ₆ NSCLC.

Conclusions

Imparting multivalency is an effective way to improve biopotency of a phage selected peptide. The designed multivalent probes showed enhanced binding affinity, which was utilized for PET signal enhancement in αβ₆⁺ tumor imaging. Significantly, multivalent probes maintained the desired specificity to image αβ₆⁺ H2009 tumor, while low signal was observed in αβ₆⁻ H460 tumor. We showed that N-terminus acetylated probe (⁶⁴Cu-AcD10) provided drastic uptake reduction in kidney and other non-target organs while maintaining tumor uptake.
Further evaluation of this methodology is under way to realize its full potential in imaging probe design by utilizing existing ligands selected from combinatorial library screening. Overall, the selective tumor uptake of $^{64}$Cu-AcD10 along with its favorable distribution in major organs makes it an ideal candidate to be developed for specific imaging of $\alpha_v\beta_6$ expression. Additionally, $^{64}$Cu can be used for radiotherapy, opening the possibility of using this probe as a therapeutic as well.
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