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Abstract

Sulconazole has been reported to degrade into sulconazole sulfoxide via sulfur oxidation; however, structural characterization data was lacking and the potential formation of an N-oxide or sulfone could not be excluded. To clarify the degradation pathways and incorporate the impurity profile of sulconazole nitrate, chemical synthesis of the degradant via a hydrogen peroxide-mediated oxidation reaction, semi-preparative HPLC purification, and structural elucidation by LC-MS/MS and NMR spectroscopy. Structural determination was primarily based on the comparison of spectroscopic data of sulconazole sulfoxide and the oxidative degradant. The mass spectrometric data have revealed a McLafferty-type rearrangement as the characteristic fragmentation pathway for alkyl sulfoxides with a β-hydrogen atom, and was used to distinguish the sulfoxide from N-oxide or sulfone derivatives. Moreover, the generated sulconazole sulfoxide was utilized as reference material for compendial procedure development and validation, which provides support for USP monograph modernization.

1. Introduction

Sulconazole, 1-[2,4-dichloro-β-[4-chlorobenzyl]thiophenethyl]-imidazole, is an azole antifungal drug belonging to the family of the imidazole class, and is typically used as a topical antifungal cream or drug substance. HPLC methods have been reported for the analysis of sulconazole in biological samples or drug products [4-7], but sulconazole related compounds were not included for method development or evaluation. In terms of stability studies, comprehensive degradation studies under various stress conditions have not been reported. Chen et al. [8] investigated the kinetics of oxidation of sulconazole with peracetic acid and hydrogen peroxide; sulconazole sulfoxide and sulfone were assumed to be the oxidation products. Iwasawa et al. [9] reported the pharmacological studies of the metabolites of sulconazole and highlighted the liability of the molecule towards oxidative conditions. However, no structural information was disclosed in either of those papers.

Oxidation of organic sulfides (thioethers) to sulfoxides is an important degradation and metabolic pathway for a variety of sulfur-containing pharmaceutical agents, such as montelukast [10], ranitidine [11], penicillin [12], pergolide [13], and methionine- or cysteine-containing peptides [14]. The oxidation process could be complicated as N- or S-oxidation might occur [11], and over-oxidation of a sulfoxide to the corresponding sulfone is frequently a competing reaction [15]. To gain a better understanding of the stability of sulconazole nitrate and incorporate the impurity profile into USP monographs, it is critical to differentiate the oxidation pathways and confirm the structures of the degradation products [16].

Here we report the structural determination of a major degradation product of sulconazole nitrate, sulconazole sulfoxide, which was generated under oxidative conditions. In addition, this material was subsequently employed as an impurity reference for...
compendial procedure development and validation. The monograph modernization efforts have eventually culminated in an official compendial procedure and a newly introduced impurity, sulconazole related compound A [17,18].

2. Material and methods

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

The drug substance sulconazole nitrate was obtained from the USP Reference Standard. Commercial samples of sulconazole nitrate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MI, USA), Spectrum Chemical (New Brunswick, NJ, USA), Glenham Life Sciences (Corsham, UK), and Erregerrie (Soverie, Italy). Hydrochloric acid (37%), glacial acetic acid (≥ 99.7%), sodium hydroxide solution (10 N, J.T.Baker), trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, 99.5%, Acros Organics), ammonium acetate (LC/MS), methanol (LC/MS), and acetonitrile (HPLC and LC/MS) were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Hydrogen peroxide (~ 30%) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Water was purified with a Milli-Q plus system from Millipore (Bedford, MA, USA).

2.2. Instrument and analytical parameters

2.2.1. UHPLC/HPLC

UHPLC-UV analyses were performed on an Agilent Infinity 1290 UHPLC system (Santa Clara, CA, USA). Separation was carried out on an Acquity BEH C18 column (2.1 mm × 100 mm, 1.7 μm) from Waters (Milford, MA, USA) using a mobile phase system consisting of mobile phase A: methanol and ammonium acetate buffer (pH 5.0; 0.1 mM) (20:80, v/v); and mobile phase B: methanol and acetonitrile (40:60, v/v). Analyses were performed at ambient temperature with a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min and a gradient elution varied according to the following program: 0 min, 40% B; 8 min, 90% B; 8.1 min, 40% B; and 10 min, 40% B. UV detection wavelength was set at 210 nm. The injection volume was 0.4 mL. Fractions were collected based on time of 600.13 MHz (1H) and 150.90 MHz (13C). Samples were dissolved in DMSO- d6 and all NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C. Chemical shifts were reported in ppm downfield from tetramethylsilane (TMS, δ = 0) as the internal reference.

2.2.2. LC–MS/MS analysis

The LC–MS/MS was performed on an Agilent 6540 UHD Accurate-Mass QTOF LC–MS using an Agilent 1290 UHPLC as an inlet with UV detection at 210 nm prior to the mass spectrometer. Data acquisition and analysis were performed using Agilent MassHunter software. ESI was used in positive ion mode. Except for the injection volume (2 μL), LC conditions were the same as those used for UHPLC–UV analysis in Section 2.2.1. The mass spectrometer was tuned in 2 GHz extended dynamic range mode (24,350 FWHM resolution at m/z 1521.9715) for accurate mass analyses. Mass accuracy was less than 0.2 ppm for reference masses across the mass range of m/z 100–1700. The mass range analyzed was m/z 100–1200.

2.3. Solution and sample preparation for UHPLC method

A solution of water and methanol (60:40, v/v) was used as the diluent. A resolution solution was prepared by dissolving sulconazole nitrate and sulconazole sulfoxide in the diluent to give a solution having a concentration of 0.15 mg/mL for sulconazole and 1.5 μg/mL for sulconazole sulfoxide. The standard solution was prepared at a concentration of 1.5 μg/mL for sulconazole and sulconazole sulfoxide. The sample solution at a concentration of 1.5 mg/mL was prepared by dissolving a sulconazole nitrate bulk material in the diluent.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. HPLC method development

In light of the structural similarities between sulconazole and econazole (another azole antifungal drug), the mobile phases used in the European Pharmacopoeia econazole monographs (econazole and econazole nitrate) were directly adopted as a starting point for UHPLC method development [19]. Column optimization led to the identification of ethylene bridged hybrid (BEH) C18 silica as the choice of stationary phase. The effective chromatographic separation between sulconazole and the major degradant was achieved using a Waters Acquity BEH column (2.1 mm × 100 mm, 1.7 μm) and a mobile phase of methanol-ammonium acetate buffer and methanol-acetonitrile in a gradient elution. In addition to the separation of the major degradant and sulconazole, the method was also capable of separating the major degradant from a minor secondary degradant with a resolution of 1.4.

An HPLC method was also developed as a guiding method for subsequent preparative HPLC operation (Section 2.2.1). Complete separation was achieved for sulconazole and the major degradant using a Waters Atlantis column and a mobile phase of acetonitrile and 0.05% TFA. Although the method did not provide sufficient resolution for the major degradant and the secondary degradant, our initial scale-up experiments on preparative HPLC revealed that the lack of resolution did not pose a problem for the overall purification.

3.2. Forced degradation of sulconazole nitrate

Forced degradation studies of sulconazole nitrate were performed under thermal, thermal and humidity, photolytic, hydrolytic (acid and base), and oxidative conditions (Fig. 1). The degradation was monitored and analyzed using the UHPLC method with UV and MS detection and both detection techniques revealed that degradation of sulconazole only occurred under oxidative conditions (Table S1 and Fig. S1). In addition to the major degradant, a trace amount of secondary degradant was also detected when the stress time was prolonged or the concentration of the
oxidant was increased (Fig. 2). PDA peak purity testing and LC–MS were performed for the sulconazole peak and no co-elution of impurities was detected.

The LC–MS analysis showed that the masses of sulconazole and a major oxidation product were m/z 397.0094 and 413.0045, respectively (Fig. S1). The major oxidative degradant showed a protonated molecule that had a delta of 16 amu difference from sulconazole, suggesting a single N or S oxidation. A minor ion at m/z 428.9997 with a mass change from sulconazole of 32 amu indicated that a secondary degradation, the possible oxidation of sulfoxide to sulfone, or S-oxidation followed by N-oxidation, might occur.

N-oxidation of the imidazole of miconazole nitrate, a similar imidazole antifungal drug, has been reported under hydrogen peroxide-mediated oxidation conditions [20]. It is well-documented that both N- and S-oxidation could be competing pathways for drug substances containing both N and S sites susceptible to oxidation, such as ranitidine [21]. More importantly, S-oxidation is not always inherently favored over N-oxidation [22]. For instance, zofenopril was recently demonstrated to undergo N-oxidation selectively using hydrogen peroxide as an oxidant [23]. On the basis of these precedents, the possibility of N-oxide products of sulconazole cannot be excluded at this stage.

3.3. Synthesis and preparative isolation of the degradation product

The scale-up oxidation experiment was performed in a concentrated sample solution using 30% hydrogen peroxide. Complete conversion of sulconazole nitrate to the major degradant was achieved within 20 h (Fig. S2). The experiment was halted when an over-oxidation started to occur. As the reaction proceeded to 100% conversion, the challenge of the purification step was the removal of the minor degradant, which had a retention time close to that of the major peak.

The injection and loading amount were carefully evaluated on an analytical column (Waters Atlantis C18, 4.6 mm × 150 mm, 5 mm) to ensure the sufficient separation of the two peaks on the similar preparative column (Prep-C18, 20.1 mm × 150 mm, 5 mm) while maintaining separation efficiency. To this end, the heart-cutting technique was applied and multiple injections of the crude reaction mixture at an appropriate concentration were performed (Fig. S3). The isolated compound was re-analyzed on both analytical HPLC and UHPLC to confirm the identity and purity (Fig. S4). The degradant was isolated as a colorless oil in 93% yield and 98% purity based on HPLC.

3.4. Structural characterization of the major degradation product

3.4.1. NMR studies of sulconazole and sulconazole sulfoxide

Samples of sulconazole nitrate and the isolated major degradation product were subjected to NMR spectroscopic analyses including one- and two-dimensional NMR such as gradient-selected correlation spectroscopy (gCOSY), heteronuclear single-quantum coherence (HSQC) and heteronuclear multiple bond correlation (HMBC) experiments. The NMR spectroscopic data were consistent with the proposed structures of sulconazole and sulconazole sulfoxide (Supplementary material). The 1H and 13C assignments were made based on characteristic chemical shifts (1D NMR) and were supported by 2D NMR data. The 1H and 13C NMR data and proposed assignments for the two compounds are presented in Table 1 for comparison.

Direct assignments for protons of the two substituted aromatic rings were difficult due to the high degree of similarity in...
the $^1$H and $^{13}$C chemical shifts. In the $^1$H NMR spectrum, a significant chemical shift was observed for methine 5 and methylene 12 protons (Table 1). The change of the splitting pattern indicated the corresponding conformational change after the oxidation of the sulfur [24]. More drastic downfield shifts of methine 5 and methylene 12 were detected in the $^{13}$C NMR. In contrast, negligible changes of chemical shifts ($^1$H and $^{13}$C) on the imidazole ring were observed, indicating that the N-oxidation of imidazole did not occur. Notably, a slight downfield shift was detected for methylene 4 in the $^1$H NMR, and a slight upfield shift was observed for the same methylene in the $^{13}$C NMR. These results were in good agreement with NMR data for alkyl groups in a beta orientation to sulfur. The HMBC data showed connections between H1 and C2 and C3, H4 and C5, and H5 and C12 (Fig. 3). The $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data, in combination with the LC–MS results, provided reasonable evidence in support of the S-oxidation. However, the NMR data alone were not conclusive to differentiate sulfoxide from sulfone, the potential over-oxidation. However, the NMR data alone were not conclusive to differentiate sulfoxide from sulfone, the potential over-oxidation. However, the NMR data alone were not conclusive to differentiate sulfoxide from sulfone, the potential over-oxidation.

### Table 1

| Position | Sulconazole nitrate chemical shifts (ppm) | Sulconazole sulfoxide chemical shifts (ppm) |
|----------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
|          | $^{13}$C | $^1$H | No. of H | multiplicity | $^{13}$C | $^1$H | No. of H | multiplicity |
| 1        | 136.5  | 9.03 | 1H | s          | 136.2  | 9.06 | 1H | s          |
| 2        | 120.1  | 7.61 | 1H | d, J = 1.5 | 120.3  | 7.61 | 1H | m          |
| 3        | 122.3  | 7.61 | 1H | d, J = 1.5 | 122.4  | 7.65 | 1H | m          |
| 4        | 50.9   | 4.82, 4.75 | 2H | d, J = 18.0 | 46.7   | 5.02–5.14 | 2H | m          |
| 5        | 44.6   | 4.65 | 1H | t, J = 6.0 | 58.8$^*$ | 5.06 | 1H | m          |
| 6        | 131.3  |        |    |            | 133.1  |        |    |            |
| 7        | 134.3  |        |    |            | 134.5  |        |    |            |
| 8        | 129.1  | 7.58  |    |            | 129.4  | 7.67 | 1H |            |
| 9        | 133.7  |        |    |            | 131.1  |        |    |            |
| 10       | 128.0  | 7.47  | d, J = 6.0 |            | 128.3  | 7.67 | 1H | m          |
| 11       | 130.5  | 7.57  | d, J = 6.0 |            | 129.7$^*$ | 7.64 | 1H | m          |
| 12       | 34.2   | 3.89, 3.72 | 2H | d, J = 12.0 | 55.3   | 4.20, 4.26 | 2H | d, J = 12.0 |
| 13       | 135.9  |        |    |            | 134.7  |        |    |            |
| 14, 18   | 130.6  | 7.27  | 2H | d, J = 6.0 | 132.1  | 7.35 | 2H | d, J = 6.0 |
| 15, 17   | 128.4  | 7.34  | 2H | d, J = 6.0 | 128.7  | 7.43 | 2H | d, J = 6.0 |

* $^{13}$C signals were not readily apparent in the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum, and C5 and C11 were identified using HMBC experimental data.

![Fig. 3. Key $^1$H–$^{13}$C HMBC correlations of sulconazole sulfoxide. Correlations are shown with arrows. The numbering system is based on the NMR assignments presented in Table 1.](image)

3.4.2. LC–MS/MS studies

The LC–MS/MS analysis was performed using the same UHPLC method (Section 2.2.1). The presence of multiple chlorine atoms in the molecules allows for more precise determination of the fragment ions based not only on the exact mass but also the isotope abundance ratio. The LC–MS spectrum of the oxidative degradant of sulconazole showed a protonated molecule [M+H]$^+$ at m/z 413.0048 (Fig S5), suggesting an elemental composition of C$_{25}$H$_{20}$Cl$_2$N$_2$O$_2$S, which is consistent with the structure resulting from S- or N-oxidation. The MS/MS spectrum and possible fragmentation pathways are shown in Fig. 4. The MS/MS fragmentation of the [M+H]$^+$ ion exhibited a diagnostic fragment ion at m/z 239.0136 (elemental composition of C$_{10}$H$_{10}$N$_2$Cl$_2$), which can be derived from the synth-elimination of alkyl sulfenic acid via a 5-membered cyclic transition state. This McLafferty-type fragmentation has been revealed as a dominant fragmentation pathway for peptides containing oxidized methionine or cysteine residues [27,29]. In addition, it was verified as the most facile fragmentation for the styryl- and alkyl-propenyl sulfoxides by deuterium labeling studies [29]. Mechanistically, for sulfur-containing molecules this fragmentation only occurs for sulfoxides with a $\beta$-hydrogen atom, and it was indeed observed in numerous reported cases [30–33]. The McLafferty-type fragmentation might be a characteristic fragmentation pathway for sulfoxides with a $\beta$-hydrogen atom and could also be used here to distinguish the sulfoxide from the sulfone. Initial examination of the isotopic pattern of this fragment ion indicated that there may be overlapping ion distributions, and a possible fragment at m/z 205.0527 was also generated via a McLafferty-type fragmentation after dechlorination of the [M+H]+ ion [34–36]. In addition to major fragments, the ions at m/z 125.0147 (C$_5$H$_4$Cl, e) and 287.9879 (C$_{10}$H$_{10}$Cl$_2$N$_2$O$_2$, f), generated from cleavage of S–CH$_2$ bond were also observed, but were much less abundant (0.05% and 0.02%, respectively), suggesting that this disassociation pathway is not favored.

The MS/MS results of sulconazole nitrate were significantly different from those of sulconazole sulfoxide (Fig S6). As expected, McLafferty-type fragmentation corresponding to the cleavage of S–CH$_2$ bond was not observed. The ion g at m/z 125.0158 is predominant under similar activation conditions, suggesting that the cleavage of S–CH$_2$ bond is the favored dissociation process resulting in the stable carbocation (Fig S7). Another main fragment ion j at m/z 183.0030 was generated as a result of the consecutive loss of imidazole and chlorobenzene, which also led to the...
formation of a stable benzyl thirane methyl carbocation \( j \). In addition, the intermediate ion \( h \) at \( m/z \) 328.9717 resulting from the loss of imidazole was also detected.

As shown in Table 2, all fragmentation assignments were supported by accurate mass data. The accuracy for the masses of these ions, determined relative to the values calculated from their assigned structures, was within 5 ppm error.

The comparative MS/MS studies provided compelling evidence that the oxidation occurred on the sulfur atom only, and that the sulfoxide was the primary degradant. Sulconazole sulfone is most likely the product of over-oxidation. Moreover, previous investigations on \( N \)-oxides indicated that the mass spectrum of an \( N \)-oxide is in general similar to that of parent compound [20], which is also in agreement with our conclusion in support of \( S \)-oxidation.

### 3.5. UHPLC method validation

With the sulconazole sulfoxide in hand, the UHPLC method was validated for specificity, linearity, accuracy, precision and...
robustness. System suitability was verified by determining the % RSD of six injections of the standard solution. Retention time, tailing factor and resolution between the sulconazole and sulco-

Table 2: Accurate mass measurement of the molecular ions observed from the MS/MS data of sulconazole sulfoxide and sulconazole. The MS/MS spectrum of sulconazole nitrate and proposed fragmentation pathway are provided in Figs. S6 and S7.

| Fragment | Observed mass m/z | Composition | Theoretical mass m/z | Error in ppm |
|----------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------|
| [M + H]^+ | 413.0048 | C_{18}H_{15}Cl_{2}N_{2}S | 413.0043 | 1.21 |
| a | 239.0136 | C_{10}H_{12}Cl_{2} | 239.0137 | -0.42 |
| b | 240.0212 | C_{12}H_{14}Cl_{2}N | 240.0216 | 1.67 |
| c | 205.0527 | C_{12}H_{14}Cl_{2} | 205.0527 | 0 |
| d | 171.9841 | C_{6}H_{18}Cl_{2} | 171.9847 | -3.49 |
| e | 125.0147 | C_{4}H_{8}Cl | 125.0153 | -4.80 |
| f | 287.9885 | C_{16}H_{19}Cl_{2}N | 287.9889 | -2.08 |
| [M + H]^+ | 397.0089 | C_{18}H_{15}Cl_{2}S | 397.0094 | -1.26 |
| g | 125.0158 | C_{4}H_{8}Cl | 125.0153 | 4.00 |
| h | 328.9717 | C_{10}H_{12}Cl_{2}S | 328.9720 | -0.91 |
| i | 361.0315 | C_{12}H_{14}Cl_{2}N_{2}S | 361.0328 | -3.60 |
| j | 183.0030 | C_{6}H_{18}Cl_{2} | 183.0030 | 0 |

degradation pathway. In addition, the generated degradation product was utilized as a reference standard for organic impurity HPLC method development and validation. This work displays a typical approach used to support USP monograph modernization.
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4. Conclusions

In summary, a systematic UHPLC study was conducted on sulco-

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.jpha.2017.12.007.
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