**Abstract**

**Background:** There is limited data examining the association between cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) and health-related quality of life (HRQOL) in healthy young adults. We examined the association between CRF and the HRQOL Physical Component Summary (PCS) and Mental Component Summary (MCS) scores in apparently healthy males in the United States Navy.

**Methods:** A total of 709 males (18–49 yr) performed a submaximal exercise test and HRQOL assessment (SF-12v2™) between 2004–2006. CRF level was classified into fourths depending on age distribution with the lowest fitness quartile serving as the referent group. PCS and MCS scores \( \geq 50 \) were defined as above the norm. Logistic regression was used to obtain odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI). Results: The age-standardized prevalence of above the norm scores was lowest in the referent CRF quartile, PCS 56.6% and MCS 45.1%. After adjusting for age, systolic blood pressure, body mass index, smoking habit, alcohol habit and using the lowest CRF group as the reference, the OR (95% CI) for PCS scores above the norm across the fitness quartiles (\( P < 0.003 \) for trend) were 1.51 (1.04–2.14), 2.24 (1.29–3.90), and 2.44 (1.30–4.57); for MCS the OR (95% CI) were across the fitness quartiles (\( P \) trend < 0.001) 2.03 (1.27–3.24), 4.53 (2.60–7.90), 3.59 (1.95–6.60).

**Conclusion:** Among males in the United States Navy relative higher levels of CRF are associated with higher levels of HRQOL.

**Introduction**

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality consider the surveillance of mental and physical health to be imperative in understanding health-related quality of life (HRQOL) and its impact on increasing the quality and years of healthy life, eliminating health disparities, and predicting future medical health care costs [1, 2]. Higher levels of cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) have been shown to be associated with higher levels of HRQOL in the older and chronically diseased populations [3, 4]. However, there is a dearth of evidence on the association of CRF level and HRQOL in apparently healthy young adults. A recent systematic review highlighted the public health
importance of better understanding the relation between physical activity and HRQOL in the general adult population [5]. Specifically, the authors noted that cross-sectional studies demonstrated positive associations between physical activity and HRQOL. The review presented minimal evidence for the relationship of objectively measured CRF and the mental and physical health components of HRQOL. It is well accepted that the primary marker for habitual physical activity is objectively measured CRF [6]. Therefore, this observational study sought to evaluate the association between CRF level and the physical and mental components of HRQOL in apparently healthy young males.

Methods

Data Source

The data were obtained from the Naval Hospital Yokosuka, Japan, Health Promotion Center health fitness assessment (HFA) database. A component of the HFA was the Short Form 12 version 2 (SF-12v2™) questionnaire [7]. Trained U.S. Navy medical personnel record the data during each initial HFA. The HFA data for this observational study was approved as exempt research by the Navy Medical Research Center, San Diego Institutional Review Board.

Participants

For these analysis, data were examined from the review of 1127 HFA records of male United States Navy service members who were self-referred or referred by their primary care manager for health related lifestyle management counseling during 2004–2006. Participants included in the study were 18–49 years old, had been in the Navy for at least 6 months, graduated high school, and were considered apparently healthy documented by medical record review. Exclusion criteria included any participant with a history of a chronic condition or disease, psychotropic medication, cholesterol medication, blood pressure medication, an inability to reach 85% of maximal heart rate during the submaximal graded exercise test, or were missing any data required for the analysis. Any participant who reported smoking on the morning of the HFA was excluded from the database to avoid inaccurate prediction of metabolic equivalent (MET) capacity from the treadmill test. After review of the database 709 (62.9% of the original sample), apparently healthy participants were eligible for inclusion. Ethnicity and education level beyond high school were not recorded. All participants had a review of their medical record when they arrived for the HFA, which is primarily used to note any relative or absolute contraindications prior to exercise testing [8]. The SF-12v2™ was completed along with a generic self-report health risk appraisal that included tobacco and alcohol use questions. All medications were verified and documented prior to resting blood pressure and cardiorespiratory fitness testing. Resting heart rate and auscultatory blood pressure were completed per the JNC 7 guidelines [9].

Assessment and definition of health-related quality of life. HRQOL is defined as the perception of overall satisfaction with life and involves the measurement of functional status in the domains of physical, cognitive, emotional, and social health, and is a fundamental assessment in understanding the health status of a population [10]. The SF-12v2™ is a generic health status instrument that assesses HRQOL by asking twelve Likert scale questions that measure eight domains: physical function, role-physical, bodily pain, general health, vitality, social functioning, role-emotional, and mental health [7]. These eight domains are summarized into physical (PCS) and mental (MCS) component summary scales via established norm based scoring (NBS) algorithms [11]. Once the scores are transformed, the general population has a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 10. Therefore when compared to the general population, HRQOL is considered to be below the norm if PCS or MCS scores are calculated to be below 50.

Assessment and definition of cardiorespiratory fitness. Each submaximal CRF test began within 30 minutes post completion of the SF-12v2™ questionnaire. A modified Balke protocol started at 88 m·mm⁻¹(3.3 mph) and began with a grade of 0% and after every 3 minutes the grade was increased by 3% until the participant reached 85% of their age predicted heart rate max. Maximal MET level was estimated by using the method of extrapolation to the age predicted maximal heart rate [8]. ACSM guidelines for submaximal exercise testing were followed throughout the course of each test and all tests were administered by ACSM certified personnel [8]. We categorized participants into quartiles depending on age-specific (18–24, 25–29, 30–34, 35–39, 40–45, and 45–49) distributions of estimated maximal MET level.

Data Analysis

Estimated maximal MET capacity levels were divided into quartiles with the lowest quartile serving as the referent group. Descriptive statistics were examined across fitness quartiles. Logistic regression was used to calculate odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) with the lowest CRF quartile as the reference category. OR were adjusted for age, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, current smoking (yes or no), and current alcohol intake (<14 or ≥14 drinks per week). The Statistical Package for Social Science (version 12.0) was used for statistical analysis (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). All probability values of P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Table 1 depicts baseline characteristics of the subjects (N = 709) according to CRF. Men in the referent quartile (9.7 ± 1.1 METS) had mean PCS and MCS scores below 50. Table 2
Table 1: Baseline characteristics of men according to cardiorespiratory fitness levels

| Characteristics          | All men | Q1 (Referent) | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 (High) |
|--------------------------|---------|---------------|----|----|-----------|
| n                        | 709     | 175           | 178| 180| 176       |
| Age (years)              | 31.6 ± 7.4 | 31.7 ± 7.4  | 31.6 ± 7.4 | 31.7 ± 7.4 | 31.5 ± 7.4 |
| PCS                      | 52.3 ± 7.3 | 49.6 ± 7.8  | 52.0 ± 7.2 | 53.4 ± 7.0 | 54.3 ± 6.4 |
| MCS                      | 51.3 ± 8.3 | 48.0 ± 6.8  | 50.7 ± 8.0 | 53.5 ± 7.4 | 52.8 ± 7.7 |
| Body mass index (kg·m⁻²) | 28.7 ± 4.3 | 32.9 ± 3.8  | 29.6 ± 3.1 | 27.2 ± 2.9 | 25.3 ± 3.1 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)| 124.1 ± 11.6 | 126.1 ± 11.4 | 125.6 ± 12.6 | 122.2 ± 11.1 | 127.7 ± 10.8 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)| 74.9 ± 10.3 | 76.7 ± 10.4 | 76.4 ± 10.3 | 73.6 ± 10.4 | 72.8 ± 9.6 |
| Current smokers (%)      | 23.1    | 27.4          | 25.3 | 21.1 | 18.8      |
| Current drinkers (%)     | 2.7     | 2.9           | 2.2  | 3.3  | 2.3       |

PCS, Physical Component Summary; MCS, Mental Component Summary.

*Data are means ± SD, unless otherwise specified.

Table 2: Odds ratios above the norm for MCS and PCS according to cardiorespiratory fitness levels

| Cardiorespiratory fitness levels, quartiles | n | Q1 (Referent) | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 (High) | P for trend |
|-------------------------------------------|---|---------------|----|----|-----------|-------------|
| Prevalence of PCS Scores ≥ 50             |   |               |    |    |           |             |
| Age-adjusted OR (95% CI)                  | 1.00 | 1.72 (1.11–2.67) | 2.81 (1.76–4.48) | 3.35 (2.06–5.44) | < 0.001     |
| Multivariate OR* (95% CI)                 | 1.00 | 1.51 (0.94–2.41) | 2.24 (1.29–3.90) | 2.44 (1.30–4.57) | 0.003       |
| Prevalence of MCS Scores ≥ 50             |   |               |    |    |           |             |
| Age-adjusted OR (95% CI)                  | 1.00 | 2.06 (1.33–3.19) | 4.45 (2.77–7.13) | 3.62 (2.28–5.75) | < 0.001     |
| Multivariate OR* (95% CI)                 | 1.00 | 2.03 (1.27–3.24) | 4.53 (2.60–7.90) | 3.59 (1.95–6.60) | 0.001       |

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

*Adjusted for age, BMI, systolic blood pressure, alcohol, habit, smoking habit.
The present study had several strengths. First, CRF and BMI were measured objectively. In comparison with self-report methods of estimated physical activity, CRF is a more objective measure [6]. It has also been found that self-report methods of BMI are influenced by underreporting for weight and overreporting for height [16]. Second, we used a well established, valid, and reliable measure of HRQOL that utilized norm-based scoring methodology. Norm based scoring allows for comparison between other studies that evaluate PCS and MCS regardless of the SF version used and avoids the ceiling effect sometimes seen in the eight SF-36 v2™ domains [5,11]. The third unique strength of this study was that the population we observed was young and apparently healthy U.S. Navy personnel.

The primary limitation of this study is that it was a cross-sectional design from which we cannot determine a direct cause and effect relationship. Generalizability of this study may be limited because it was conducted only with males in the U.S. Navy. However, it should be noted, that baseline HRQOL norms for the healthy U.S. population with no chronic conditions, for PCS and MCS are 54.3 ± 6.2 and 52.3 ± 7.9, respectively [11]. These scores are only slightly higher from our observed baseline PCS and MCS mean scores of 52.3 ± 7.3 and 51.3 ± 8.3 respectively. Furthermore, there appears to be some similarity between our PCS and MCS baseline means and the U.S. Military whereby the Millennium cohort study of (N = 77,047) unadjusted means for PCS and MCS norms were 53.4 and 52.8 respectively [17]. Although education level beyond high school may be considered a confounding variable, the Millennium cohort study indicated minimal to no significant differences in education level for adjusted PCS and MCS means [17]. The referral process (self or primary care) may be considered a possible limitation influencing motivation. The final limitation of our study is that submaximal testing was used to estimate maximal MET level. However, in its scientific statement on the Assessment of Functional Capacity in Clinical and Research Settings, the American Heart Association remarked that submaximal testing is a valid method to assess CRF [18].

Conclusion
In conclusion the results of this study suggest that low CRF is associated with lower HRQOL in apparently healthy young men. Future studies should focus on apparently healthy women along with prospective and clinical designs that demonstrate cause and effect. Also because of the independent association found in our study and other studies [4,12,14,15] between physical activity or CRF and HRQOL, studies investigating the role of BMI level on HRQOL should not exclude measures of physical activity or CRF.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions
RS designed and conducted the study and drafted the manuscript. RS performed the data management, RA and SS contributed to the statistical analysis. RS and SS participated in the study design. RS, SS, CM, TC and SB contributed substantially to the manuscript. All authors read an approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements
We thank Samuel Sloan for his editing support. Corby Martin is supported by grant IK23 DK068052 from the National Institutes of Health.

References
1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion Health-Related Quality of Life [http://www.cdc.gov/hrqol/publications_chrono.htm]
2. Fleishman JA, Cohen JW, Manning WG, Kosinski M: Using the SF-12 health status measure to improve predictions of medical expenditures. Med Care. 2006, 44(5 Suppl):S4-S163.
3. Lavie CJ, Milani RV: Disparate effects of improving aerobic exercise capacity and quality of life after cardiac rehabilitation in young and elderly coronary patients. J Cardiopulm Rehabil 2000, 40:235-40.
4. Rejeski WJ, Lang W, Neiberg RH, Van Dorsten B, Foster GD, Maciejewski ML, Rubin R, Williamson DF, Look AHEAD Research Group: Correlates of health-related quality of life in overweight and obese adults with type 2 diabetes. Obesity 2006, 14:870-83.
5. Bize R, Johnson JA, Plo micronoff RC: Physical activity level and health-related quality of life in the general adult population: a systematic review. Prev Med 2007, 45:401-15.
6. Blair SN, Cheng Y, Holder JS: Is physical activity or physical fitness more important in defining health benefits? Med Sci Sports Exerc 2001, 33:379-99.
7. Ware JE, Kosinski M, Keller SD: A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. Med Care 1996, 34:220-233.
8. American College of Sports Medicine: ACSM’S Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription 7th edition. Baltimore (MD): Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2005.
9. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL, Jones DW, Materson BJ, Oparil S, Wright JT, Roccella EJ, National High Blood Pressure Education Program Coordinating Committee Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. Hypertension 2003, 42:1206-52.
10. Ware JE, Sherbourne CD: The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med Care 1992, 30:473-83.
11. Ware JE, Kosinski M, Keller SD: SF-12: how to score the SF-12 physical and mental health summary scales 3rd edition. Lincoln (RI): Quality Metric Incorporated; 1998.
12. Brown DW, Brown Dr, Health GW, Balluz L, Giles WH, Ford ES, Mohdad AH: Associations between physical activity dose and health-related quality of life. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2004, 36:890-896.
13. Haskell WL, Lee IM, Pate RP, Powell KE, Blair SN, Franklin BA, Macera CA, Heath GW, Thompson PD, Bauman A: Physical Activity and Public Health: Updated recommendation for adults from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. Circulation 2007, 116:1051-93.
14. Puetz TW: Physical Activity and Feelings of Energy and Fatigue: Epidemiological Evidence. Sports Medicine 2006, 36:767-80.
15. Galper DI, Trivedi MH, Barlow CE, Dunn AL, Kampert JB: Inverse association between physical inactivity and mental health in men and women. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2006, 38:173-8.

16. Gorber SC, Tremblay M, Mohler D, Gorber B: A comparison of direct vs. self-report measures for assessing height, weight and body mass index: a systematic review. Obes Rev 2007, 8:307-26.

17. Smith TC, Zamorski M, Smith B, Riddle JR, Leardmann CA, Wells TS, Engel CC, Hoge CW, Adkins J, Blaze D, Millennium Cohort Study Team: The physical and mental health of a large military cohort: baseline functional health status of the Millennium Cohort. BMC Public Health 2007, 26:340.

18. Arena R, Myers J, Williams MA, Gulati M, Kligfield P, Balady GJ, Collins E, Fletcher G: Assessment of Functional Capacity in Clinical and Research Settings: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association Committee on Exercise, Rehabilitation, and Prevention of the Council on Clinical Cardiology and the Council on Cardiovascular Nursing. Circulation 2007, 116:329-343.