The relationship between FDG PET/CT-defined metabolic parameters and the histopathological subtype of oesophageal carcinomas

Ulku Korkmaz¹B,D,E, Muhammet Bekir Hacioglu²B,D,F, Osman Kostek²A,C,D,F, Necdet Sut³C, Hilmi Kodaz⁴, Bulent Erdogan²A, Funda Ustun¹B,F, Mert Saynak⁵A,B, Ebru Tastekin⁸B, Irfan Cicin²A,B, Gulay Durmus-Altun¹A,D,E

¹Department of Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey
²Department of Medical Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey
³Department of Statistics, Faculty of Medicine, Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey
⁴Department of Medical Oncology, Acıbadem Eskişehir Hospital, Eskişehir, Turkey
⁵Department of Radiation Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey
⁶Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey

Abstract

Purpose: ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (¹⁸F-FDG) positron emission tomography (PET) combined with computed tomography (CT) scan is accepted as a standard tool in the staging of oesophageal cancer (OC). Histological subtype of tumour is known to be a major determinant of prognosis and metabolic behaviour. In this study, we aimed to evaluate the effect of histological subtypes of OC on standard uptake value (SUVmax), metabolic tumour volume (MTV), and total lesion glycolysis (TLG) obtained by PET/CT, and also to compare this effect with prognosis.

Material and methods: Images and clinical course data of 57 patients who were diagnosed with EC and treated in our hospital between 2009 and 2016 were evaluated in a retrospective manner. PET/CT images were re-analysed in terms of metabolic parameters, and observations were compared with histological subtypes.

Results: No significant difference was observed between histological subtypes with SUVmax, overall survival (OS), or progression-free survival (PFS). Thus, MTV was observed to be related with histological subtype; MTV values of adenocancer patients were significantly higher than those of squamous cell cancer patients.

Conclusions: Metabolic tumour volume was related with histological subtype of OC, but clinical staging, TLG, and SUVmax values were not related with histological subtype, which may suggest the use of MTV as a routine parameter for OC and inclusion of MTV observations in prognostic scoring.
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Introduction

Oesophageal carcinoma (OC) is the eighth most common cancer in the world and the seventh leading cause of cancer-related mortality because of its extremely aggressive nature and poor survival rate [1]. Oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is the predominant histological subtype in the world. However, oesophageal adenocarcinoma (OAC) subtype is more frequent in Western Europe, while in eastern countries the most common subtype is reported as OSCC [2]. Managing OC is difficult, and the response to treatment is generally unsatisfactory. Surgery is the mainstay of treatment for localised OC, with chemoradiotherapy (CRT) being an alternative treatment [3].

Most studies have demonstrated the value of positron emission tomography (PET)/computed tomography (CT)
within the standard of care for the diagnosis, staging and restaging, planning of treatment, and evaluation of response in OC [4-6].

Since the histological subtype determines the clinical behaviour, recent studies have supported the use of volumetric parameters, such as metabolic tumour volume (MTV) and FDG total lesion glycolysis (TLG), which have also proven to be beneficial as prognostic factors in OC. Theoretically, MTV reflects the metabolically active part of tumour burden. Also, it has been defined as the most accurate predictor of outcome. The current data on this topic are results of highly heterogeneous histological subgroups.

The primary aim of this study was to investigate the effects of MTV, TLG, standardised uptake value (SUV\textsubscript{max}) and histologic subtypes (adenocancer and SCC) of OC on clinical outcomes. The secondary aim of the study is to evaluate a relation between histological subtypes and PET/CT parameters.

**Material and methods**

**Patients population**

We retrospectively reviewed the records of patients diagnosed and treated with OC between 2009 and 2016 in Trakya University Medical Oncology Department. A total of 18,216 patients were analysed, and 57 patients (28 with OSCC and 29 patients with OAC) who fulfilled the criteria, with evaluation of PET/CT imaging in our local centre and followed by our oncology clinic, were included in the study. A detailed patient selection process is presented in Figure 1. The cases were divided into three clinical stages as early, locally advanced, and metastatic disease. Because of the low number of patients in the treatment subgroups (surgery alone \([n = 7]\), surgery + adjuvant chemotherapy \([n = 11]\), surgery + neoadjuvant chemotherapy \([n = 14]\), curative CRT \([n = 14]\), palliative therapy \([n = 15]\) only histological subgroups were used for further statistical analysis. PET/CT was performed before treatment in all of the patients.

Consent was obtained from all participants included in the study, so that their data could be used for scientific purposes. This study was approved by the local Ethics Committee.

**Positron emission tomography/computed tomography images**

PET/CT imaging was performed with a combined whole-body PET/CT system (Discovery STE; GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI). All patients were fasted for at least six hours (blood glucose level < 200 mg/dl) with pre-imaging oral contrast hydration, beginning about 60 minutes after intravenous injection of 370-555 MBq (10-15 mCi) FDG. After scanning with low-dose CT for attenuation correction and to define anatomic localisation without contrast enhancement, patients were analysed using a three-dimensional mode with a three-minute intake and six or seven bed positions from the top of the skull to the upper thigh. After decay and scatter correcting, PET data were reconstructed with attenuation correction and redirected to axial, sagittal, and coronal sections for three-dimensional reconstruction.

**Image analysis**

PET/CT images were reviewed individually by three authors (UK, FU, GDA), who were board-certified nuclear medicine specialists with over five years of experience in PET/CT reading. The lesions with different interpretations among the experts were re-evaluated together and a consensus decision was obtained. Finally, all three experts agreed on all of the lesions evaluated. Focal FDG uptake area with higher density than the neighbouring tissue that did not correspond to physiological uptake sites was accepted as positive for tumour. A spherical volumetric region of interest was drawn semi-automatically over the primary tumour site in the oesophagus and the area of the suspected metastasis. Metabolic tumour volume, \(\text{SUV}_{\text{max}}\) and TLG were calculated and recorded automatically for each lesion using PET VCAR software (Advanced Workstation 4.4; GE Medical Systems). Metabolic tumour volume was defined from the region enclosed by a 42\% isocountour threshold around the maximum uptake voxel of the primary tumour. The VOI border was corrected manually if the volume extended beyond the borders of the primary lesion on checking the sagittal and coronal images and the counterpart CT images. All patients had

---

**Figure 1. Flow chart of patient selection process**

18,206 patients assessed for eligibility (18,033 of them were oncological)
- 173 patients had non-oncological diagnosis excluded
- 17,912 patients had none oesophageal malignancies was excluded
- 121 of total amount of oncological PET/BT studies were for OC
- 54 patients without primary tumour and a patient with small cell carcinoma were excluded
- 67 OC patients had primary tumour at the time of PET/CT
- 7 OAC and 3 OSCC patients who were not followed up and treated at our centre were excluded
- 28 OSCC and 29 OAC (57 in total) patients were included in the study

PET = positron emission tomography, BT = , OC = oesophageal carcinoma, OAC = oesophageal adenocarcinoma, OSCC = oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma
normal body mass index, and standardised uptake values (SUVs) were calculated as follows: SUV = radioactivity in ROI (Bq/ml) × lean body mass (kg)/injected radioactivity (Bq). TLG was calculated using the following formula: TLG = SUV\text{max} × MTV (cm³) described as by the Usmanij et al. [7]. Figure 2 shows the SUV\text{max}, MTV, and TLG calculation of primary tumour and metastasis of an EAC from to the same patient.

**Statistical analysis**

Categorical variables were compared by chi-square test. The Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparison of demographic and metabolic parameters by histopathological subtypes (EAC vs. ESCC) and mortality (alive vs. dead). Overall and progression-free survival time by histological types were analysed by Kaplan-Meier Survival analysis, and then the Log-rank test was used for comparison of the types.

Diagnostic accuracies of the MTV, TLG, SUV\text{max} on histological subtypes and prognosis, and cut-off thresholds were analysed by using the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve.

Statistical analyses were performed by using the SPSS 20.0 version (IBM Corp. Released 2011. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). A p value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**Patient characteristics**

Of a total of 57 patients, 29 with (50.9%) OAC and 28 with (49.1%) OSCC were included in the study. Primary tumours were predominantly located in the distal oesophagus (78.9%, n = 45). The mean age of the patients with proximal or distal location differed. Patients with proximal OC were significantly younger (proximal oesophagus: mean 45, distal oesophagus mean 61, p = 0.004). Although tumour localisation was not related with histology (p = 0.002), OACs were observed to be proximally localised (only one OAC was distally localised). Thirteen patients were observed to have early stage disease (24.5%), while 24 had advanced (45.3%), and 16 had metastatic disease (30.2%). The general features of the patients are summarised in Table 1.

**Results**

**Treatment**

Seven patients received surgery alone (13.2%), while 11 had surgery with adjuvant chemotherapy (20.7%), 14 had surgery and neoadjuvant chemotherapy (11.3%), 14 had curative CRT (26.4%), and 15 had palliative therapy alone (28.4%). Because of the low number of patients in the treatment subgroups, no further evaluation was made for these.
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Metastatic state

There were no metastases (lymph nodes or organ) in 17 (29.8%) patients. Twenty (35%) patients had only lymph node metastasis. Distant metastasis was detected in 20 (35.1%) patients. Fifteen (26.3%) patients had both organ metastasis and lymph node metastasis. Five patients (8.8%) had only organ metastasis. The difference between the histological subgroups in terms of metastasis rate was not statistically significant.

The most common sites of metastasis were lungs 27%, adrenal gland 21%, bone 18.9%, and liver 10.8%, respectively. The distribution of metastases according to histological subgroup and organs is given in Table 2.

The relation of metabolic parameters with study subjects

All patients had FDG-avid tumours at PET/CT.

Survival aspect: The median overall survival of the whole cohort, OACs, and OSCCs was 10 months, 10 months, and 12 months, respectively. There was no difference between

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patient cohort

| Parameter                  | Patients |
|----------------------------|----------|
| Age, years                 | n        | %        |
| Median                     | 60       |          |
| Range                      | 36-84    |          |
| Gender                     |          |          |
| Female                     | 17       | 29.8     |
| Male                       | 40       | 70.2     |
| Histology                  |          |          |
| Adenocarcinoma             | 29       | 50.9     |
| Squamous cell carcinoma    | 28       | 49.1     |
| Tumour location            |          |          |
| Proximal oesophagus        | 12       | 21.1     |
| Distal oesophagus          | 45       | 78.9     |
| Clinical stage             |          |          |
| Early stage                | 11       | 19.3     |
| Locally advanced stage     | 30       | 52.6     |
| Metastatic stage           | 16       | 28.1     |
| Type of treatment          |          |          |
| Surgery alone              | 5        | 8.8      |
| Surgery + adjuvant chemotherapy | 10     | 17.5     |
| Surgery + neoadjuvant chemotherapy | 6      | 10.5     |
| Curative chemoradiotherapy | 21       | 36.9     |
| Palliative therapy         | 15       | 26.3     |

Table 2. Descriptive data of the distribution of distant metastases according to organ, histological subgroup, and primary tumour location

| Organ       | EAC | ESCC | Proximal tumour | Distal tumour | Total |
|-------------|-----|------|-----------------|---------------|-------|
| Lung        | 6   | 4    | 3               | 7             | 10    |
| Adrenal     | 7   | 1    | –               | 8             | 8     |
| Liver       | 4   | 1    | –               | 5             | 8     |
| Bone        | 4   | 3    | 1               | 6             | 7     |
| Brain       | 1   | –    | –               | 1             | 1     |
| PVT         | 1   | –    | –               | 1             | 1     |

Table 3. Statistical presentation of survival rates of the two groups

| Histology   | Mean | Standard error | 95% Confidence interval | Median | Standard error | 95% Confidence interval |
|-------------|------|----------------|-------------------------|--------|----------------|-------------------------|
|             | Lower bound | Upper bound | Estimate | Lower bound | Upper bound | Estimate |
| OAC         | 22.289 | 5.004 | 12.480 | 32.097 | 10.000 | 5.831 | .000 | 21.428 |
| OSCC        | 19.955 | 4.488 | 11.159 | 28.751 | 12.000 | 2.175 | 7.737 | 16.263 |
| Overall     | 21.627 | 3.473 | 14.821 | 28.434 | 10.000 | 3.169 | 3.789 | 16.211 |

OAC – oesophageal adenocancer, OSCC – oesophageal squamous cell cancer.
the overall (OS) and progression-free (PFS) survival rates of the two subgroups (statistical data presented in Figure 3 and Table 3).

SUV$_{\text{max}}$ and TLG aspect: Mean tumour SUV$_{\text{max}}$ was 11.8 ± 4.4 for the OAC group, 13.9 ± 5.4 for OSCC group, and 12.9 ± 5 for the whole cohort ($p = 0.193$). Mean tumour TLG was 316.3 ± 252.5 for the OAC group, 283.4 ± 376 for the OSCC group, and 299.9 ± 318 for the whole cohort ($p = 0.249$). As expected, mean SUV$_{\text{max}}$ of the primary tumour was higher than those of lymph node and distant metastasis (12.8 vs. 7.2). There was no significant difference between the two histological subgroups for TLG and SUV$_{\text{max}}$

MTV aspect: MTV was significantly higher in the OAC group. Mean tumour MTV was 30.5 ± 20.2 for the OAC group, 21.7 ± 22.1 for the OSCC group, and 26 ± 21.4 for the whole cohort ($p = 0.017$). Mean total MTV was 102.9 ± 159.2 for the OAC group, 46.31 ± 67.88 for the OSCC group, and 74.6 ± 124.5 for the whole cohort ($p = 0.028$). Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and accuracy of metabolic PET parameters are listed as 57.7%, 84.6%, 78.9%, 66.7%, and 71.2%, respectively, for tumour MTV; 76.9%, 61.5%, 66.7%, 72.7%, and 69.2%, respectively, for total MTV (Figure 4, Table 4).

There was no significant association with MTV, TLG, and SUV$_{\text{max}}$ for OS or PFS.

Pre-test clinical staging vs. PET/CT staging: According to clinical data before PET/CT imaging, 19% of the patients were in the early stage, 52.6% were in the local advanced stage, and 28.1% were in the metastatic stage. According to the data after PET/CT, 29.8% of the patients were in the early stage, 35.1% were in the local advanced stage, and 35.1% were in the metastatic stage (Table 5).

**Discussion**

Although the first and most commonly used PET parameter is SUV$_{\text{max}}$, an increasing number of studies highlight the prognostic value of other metabolic parameters such as MTV and TLG for oesophageal carcinomas [8,9]. In our study, we found that only the MTV of the primary tumour and whole body was associated the histological subtype and MTV values of OAC significantly higher than OSCC (cut-off value > 26.89 for both primary tumour MTV and total MTV; detailed sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and accuracy data are given in Table 4 and Figure 3). A similar cut-off value was suggested by two previous studies for the prediction of local tumour recurrence [10,11].

Both TLG and MTV have previously been defined as effective biomarkers for predicting survival and response to treatment in oncological patients [5,12]. Fan et al. reported that local recurrence in oesophageal cancer appears mostly within high-FDG-uptake regions. Patients with MTV ≥ 27 cm$^3$ are more inclined to have recurrence in these regions [10]. Similarly, Kim et al. assessed independent risk factors associated with local recurrence and lymphatic metastasis in OC. The results of this study proved that pretreatment MTV is an independent risk factor for local recurrence and lymphatic metastasis. Also, values over 27 cm$^3$ predict recurrence in these metabolically highly active regions. In the same study, TLG has been found as an independent risk factor for lymphatic metastasis [11]. In addition to these studies, which have similar results to ours in terms of MTV cut-off values, we have shown that OAC and OSCC can be distinguished by an MTV value of > 26.89, and MTV is higher in EACs that tend to have worse prognosis. In our study, 25% of patients with distant metastasis were OSCC and 44.8% were OAC, but the difference was not statistically significant. However,
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Table 5. Comparison of pre-test clinical staging and positron emission tomography (PET) combined with computed tomography (CT) staging results of the cohort

| Staging method   | n  | %   |
|------------------|----|-----|
| Clinical         |    |     |
| Early stage      | 11 | 19.3|
| Locally advanced stage | 30 | 52.6|
| Metastatic stage | 16 | 28.1|
| PET/CT           |    |     |
| Early stage      | 17 | 29.8|
| Locally advanced stage | 20 | 35.1|
| Metastatic stage | 20 | 35.1|

OACs appear to be more willing to metastasise. After PET/CT imaging, nine (15.8%) patients (six OAC and three OSCC) were upstaged and 12 (21%) patients (five OAC and seven OSCC) were downstaged. Overall, the disease stage changed in 20 (36.9%) of 50 patients.

MTV has been suggested as one of the important independent prognostic factors, predicting survival better than SUVmax for primary tumours in patients with OC [13]. Our results showed that MTV is the only parameter that differs from the histological subtype. Although our results did not show significant differences between histological subgroups in terms of OS and PFS, this change in MTV values could be used to predict the progression of the disease.

There is no standard cut-off value for metabolic response to treatment. PERCIST recommends a 30% reduction in SUVmax as a cut-off value in solid tumours, but there is no defined value for MTV [14]. Although, some studies assert that SUV values are limited in predicting histological CRT response and pathological complete remission in OC, the same studies also reveal that MTV may be a better predictor of response [4]. Recently, Zhang et al. investigated the prognostic value of interim FDG PET in order to determine the objective tumour response for CRT in 47 patients with OSCC, and they reported that MTV tended to be decreased from baseline to interim PET. Based on these data, a threshold of 54% alteration on MTV distinguishes responders to therapy from those who do not respond [5]. Soydal et al. showed that patients who have higher preoperative MTVs could be good candidates for more aggressive CRT regiments [15].

Likewise, in another study by Li et al., in 2015, initial evaluation of MTV was observed to be an independent factor for OS in patients with OSCC, who have received chemotherapy alone. In the same study, optimal initial MTV and TLG thresholds for predicting overall survival was determined as 22 cm³ and 170, respectively. In this regard, it was interpreted that patients with lower MTV and TLG levels may have longer survival time [16]. Although the distribution of histologic subgroups in our cohort was balanced, we could not observe the predictive value of MTV in terms of response to treatment, which may be due to the limited number of patients.

Chhabra et al. investigated the predictive values of SUVmax and MTV for survival among 57 patients who were diagnosed with OSCC. Their results affirm that the baseline SUVmax and MTV are significantly associated with better OS. Interestingly, detailed analysis of post-CRT data shows that MTV is the only prognostic parameter for OS in univariate analysis while SUVmax is prognostic for DFS in multivariate analysis [17]. The findings of Schuurbiers et al. show that OSSC generally has higher FDG uptake, which is considered as a poor prognostic factor, but OACs have worse DFS and greater potential for metastasis, with an explanation that squamous cell carcinomas exhibit glycolysis essentially under hypoxic conditions [18].

Certain studies have demonstrated that SUVmax is an independent predictor of stage and a determinant of survival in patients with OC [8,19]. However, in our study, we did not observe a relationship between histological subtypes in terms of TLG and SUVmax.

The major limitations of our study were the low number of cases, the retrospective design of the study, and the heterogeneity of the treatment protocols of patients, which is due to the clinical variation and the individuality of the treatment. We believe that the inclusion of the histological subgroups brought a fresh, although limited, perspective to the prognostic value of MTV and TLG.

Conclusions

This study assessed whether PET/CT defined MTV, TLG, and SUVmax would differentiate patient endpoints (live vs. dead), and whether they could discriminate between the two histological subtypes (OAC vs. OSCC) of OC. Our results were revealed in the interpretation of the question. MTV has the ability to distinguish between histological subgroups of OC with > 26.9 cut-off value. The pre-treatment MTV value can be helpful in evaluating the prognosis.
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