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Abstract

The Fredholm representation theory is well adapted to construction of homotopy invariants of non simply connected manifolds on the base of generalized Hirzebruch formula

\[ \sigma(M) = \langle L(M) \text{ch}_A f^* \xi, [M] \rangle \in K^0_A(\text{pt}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \]  

where \( A = C^*[\pi] \) is the group \( C^* \)-algebra of the group \( \pi \), \( \pi = \pi_1(M) \). The bundle \( \xi \in K_A^0(B\pi) \) is canonical \( A \)-bundle, generated by the natural representation \( \pi \rightarrow A \).

In [1] a natural family of the Fredholm representations was constructed that lead to a symmetric vector bundle on completion of the fundamental group with a modification of the Higson-Roe corona when the completion is a closed manifold.

Here we will discuss a homology version of symmetry in the case when completion with a modification of the Higson-Roe corona is a manifold with boundary. The results were developed during the visit of the first author in Ancona on March, 2007. The second version is supplemented by details of consideration the case of manifolds with boundary.

The Fredholm representation theory is well adapted to construction of homotopy invariants of non simply connected manifolds on the base of generalized Hirzebruch formula

\[ \sigma(M) = \langle L(M) \text{ch}_A f^* \xi, [M] \rangle \in K^0_A(\text{pt}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \]  

where \( A = C^*[\pi] \) is the group \( C^* \)-algebra of the group \( \pi \), \( \pi = \pi_1(M) \). The bundle \( \xi \in K_A^0(B\pi) \) is canonical \( A \)-bundle, generated by the natural representation \( \pi \rightarrow A \).

The element \( [\sigma(M)] \in K_A^0(\text{pt}) \) is generated by noncommutative signature of the manifold \( M \) under exchange of rings \( \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \subset A \).

Let \( \rho = (T_1, F, T_2) \) be a Fredholm representation of the group \( \pi \), that is a pair of unitary representations \( T_1, T_2 : \pi \rightarrow B(H) \) and a Fredholm operator \( F : H \rightarrow H \), such that

\[ FT_1(g) - T_2(g)F \in \text{Comp}(H), \ g \in \pi. \]  

Changing the algebra \( B(H) \) for the Calkin algebra \( K = B(H)/\text{Comp}(H) \), one comes to the representation \( \hat{\rho} \) of the group \( \pi \times \mathbb{Z} \) to the Calkin algebra:

\[ \hat{\rho} : \pi \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K, \]
\[ \hat{\rho}(g, n) = T_2(g)F^n = F^nT_1(g), \quad g \in \pi, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \] (5)

\[ \rho_* : K_A(X) \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \otimes \beta} K_A\otimes_{C(S^1)}(X \times S^1) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}} K_K(X \times S^1). \] (6)

Here \( \beta \in K_{C(S^1)}(S^1) \) is the canonical element generated by regular representation of the group \( \mathbb{Z} \).

Applying (6) to the Hirzebruch formula (2) one has homotopy invariance of corresponding higher signature.

1 Construction of Fredholm representation

Let \( T \) be the sum of finite copies of regular representation of the group \( \pi \), \( \Phi \) be the block diagonal operator that is defined as matrix valued function \( F(g), g \in \pi \):

\[ F(g) : V \rightarrow V. \] (7)

Let

\[ H = \bigoplus_{g \in \pi} V_g, \quad V_g \equiv V; \] (8)

\[ T_h : H \rightarrow H, \quad V_g \rightarrow V_{hg}. \] (9)

The condition that \( \Phi \) is Fredholm operator means that

\[ \|F(g)\| \leq C, \quad \|F^{-1}(g)\| \leq C \] (10)

for all \( g \in \pi \) except a finite subset. The condition (11) means that

\[ \lim_{|g| \rightarrow \infty} \|F(g) - F(hg)\| = 0. \] (11)

So if the pair

\[ \rho = (T, \Phi) \] (12)

satisfies conditions (10), (11), then \( \rho \) is Fredholm representation of the group \( \pi \).

Consider universal covering \( \tilde{B}\pi \) of classifying space \( B\pi \) endowed with left action of the group \( \pi \). In correspondence to the construction by [2] the vector bundle generated by the representation \( \rho \) on the space \( B\pi \) can be represented as an equivariant continuous family of Fredholm operators on the space \( E\pi = \tilde{B}\pi \). The property of equivariance corresponds to diagonal action on Cartesian product

\[ T_h : E\pi \times H, \quad (x, \xi) \mapsto (hx, T_h(\xi)). \] (13)

Namely, let the space \( B\pi \) be endowed with a structure of simplicial space and \( E\pi = \tilde{B}\pi \) be endowed with the structure of simplicial structure derived from the covering

\[ E\pi = \tilde{B}\pi \xrightarrow{p} B\pi \] (14)
Let \( \{x_i\} \) be the family of vertices of \( E\pi = \tilde{B}\pi \), one from each of orbits of the action of \( \pi \). Then each simplex \( \sigma \) of \( E\pi = \tilde{B}\pi \) is defined completely by their vertices
\[
\sigma = (h_0x_{i_0}, \ldots, h_nx_{i_n}), \ h_0, \ldots, h_n \in \pi.
\]
(15)

Any point \( x \in \sigma \) is uniquely defined as a convex linear combination of vertices
\[
x = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_k h_k x_{i_k}
\]
(16)

Then the equivariant family of Fredholm operators which corresponds to the Fredholm representation \( \rho \) \(^{(12)} \) one can define by the formula
\[
\Phi_x = \Phi_x(\rho) = \\
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_k h_k x_{i_k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_k T_{h_k} \Phi_{x_{i_k}} T_{h_k}^{-1} = \\
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_k T_{h_k} \Phi T_{h_k}^{-1}.
\]
(17)

Hence
\[
(\Phi_x)_g = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_k F_{h_k^{-1}g}.
\]
(18)

It is clear that the family \(^{(17)} \) is equivariant. Indeed,
\[
h x = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_k h_k x_{i_k}.
\]
(19)

Hence
\[
\Phi h x = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_k T_{h_k} \Phi T_{h_k}^{-1} = T_{h} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_k T_{h_k} \Phi T_{h_k}^{-1} \right) T_{h}^{-1} = T_{h} \Phi x T_{h}^{-1}.
\]
(20)

Also it is clear that the operators \(^{(17)} \) are Fredholm by \(^{(18)}, \,(11)\) and \(^{(10)}\).

On the other side the operators \(^{(17)} \) generate the continuous family
\[
F_x : V \rightarrow V, \ x \in E\pi
\]
(21)

using formula
\[
F_x = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_k F(h_k^{-1}).
\]
(22)
This family one can consider as a linear mapping of the trivial bundle:

$$F_x : E \pi \times V \longrightarrow E \pi \times V.$$  \hfill (23)

Consider the universal covering

$$p : E \pi \longrightarrow B \pi.$$  \hfill (24)

Denote

$$K^i(E \pi) = \limleftarrow K^i_{\text{c}}(p^{-1}(X)),$$  \hfill (25)

where the inverse limit takes by the family of all compact subsets $X \subset B \pi$.

**Theorem 1** The map (23) defines the element

$$F(\rho) \in K^0(E \pi).$$  \hfill (26)

Consider the direct image of the bundle (23) over $B \pi$:

$$A \longrightarrow B \pi,$$  \hfill (27)

where the fibre is the direct sum of the fibers of the bundle (23) over each orbit of
the action of the group $\pi$ in the space $E \pi$. The total space $A$ is defined as

$$A = \{(u, \xi) : u \in B \pi, \xi \in \bigoplus_{x \in u} (x \times V)\}. \hfill (28)$$

Let

$$\tilde{A} \longrightarrow E \pi$$  \hfill (29)

be the inverse image of the bundle (23). The total space $\tilde{A}$ is defined as

$$\tilde{A} = \{(x, \xi) : x \in E \pi, \xi \in \bigoplus_{y \in [x]} (y \times V)\} = \{(x, \xi), x \in E \pi, \xi \in \bigoplus_{g \in \pi} (gx \times V)\}. \hfill (30)$$

Define the action of the group $\pi$ on the total space $\tilde{A}$ by the formula

$$f_h(x, \xi) = (hx, \eta),$$

$$\xi = \bigoplus_{g \in \pi} (gx \times V),$$

$$\eta = \bigoplus_{g \in \pi} (ghx \times V),$$

$$\eta_g = \xi_{gh}.$$  

It is clear that

$$A = \tilde{A}/\pi.$$  \hfill (31)
On the other side there is an isomorphism between the bundle (29) and the bundle (13):

\[ \varphi : E_\pi \times \bigoplus_{g \in \pi} V_g \longrightarrow \tilde{A}, \]  

\[ \varphi(x, \oplus \xi_g) = (x, \oplus \xi_g^{-1}). \]  

(32)

(33)

This isomorphism is equivariant. The map (23) goes to the map of the direct image as the mapping

\[ \tilde{F} : \tilde{A} \longrightarrow \tilde{A}, \]  

\[ \tilde{F}(x, \oplus \xi_g) = (x, \oplus F_{g^x}(\xi_g)) = \left( x, \oplus \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_k F_{h_k^{-1}g^{-1}}(\xi_g) \right). \]  

(34)

(35)

\[ \tilde{F}(x, \oplus \xi_g) = (x, \oplus F_{g^x}(\xi_g)) \]  

It is clear that the map (34) goes to (17) under the isomorphism (32). So the following theorem holds:

**Theorem 2** Consider the Fredholm representation of the group \( \pi \) of the form (12). Let \( \xi_\rho \in K(B\pi) \) be the element defined by the mapping (17). Then

\[ p!(F(\rho)) = \xi_\rho \in K^0(B\pi), \]  

(36)

where

\[ p! : K^0(E_\pi) \longrightarrow K^0(B\pi) \]  

(37)

is the direct image in \( K \)-theory.

Consider the action of the group \( \pi \) on the Cartesian product \( E_\pi \times V \) as the left action on the first factor and identical on the second one.

Consider on the space \( E_\pi \) a metric with the property

\[ r(xg, yg) \longrightarrow 0, \quad |g| \longrightarrow \infty. \]  

(38)

Let \( \overline{E_\pi} \) be the completion of the space \( E_\pi \) (with respect to the metric \( r \)). Then any continuous mapping

\[ f : (E_\pi, \overline{E_\pi \setminus E_\pi}) \longrightarrow (B(V), \ U(V)) \]  

(39)

defines the continuous family of the Fredholm representations \( \rho(x), \ x \in E_\pi \).

By the theorem 1 the family \( \rho(x) \) generates the equivariant family

\[ F_{x,y} : E_\pi \times E_\pi \times V \longrightarrow E_\pi \times E_\pi \times V. \]  

(40)
and therefore the element
\[ F(\rho(x)) \in \mathcal{K}^0 ((E_\pi \times E_\pi)/\pi). \] (41)

Let
\[ p_! : \mathcal{K}^0 ((E_\pi \times E_\pi)/\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^0 (B_\pi \times B_\pi) \] (42)
be the direct image in \( K \)-theory. Then
\[ p_!(F(\rho(x))) = \xi_{\rho(x)} \in \mathcal{K}^0(). \] (43)

The symmetric property of the element \( \xi_{\rho(x)} \) holds:
\[ (1 \otimes u) \xi_{\rho(x)} = (u \otimes 1) \xi_{\rho(x)} \in \mathcal{K}^0(B_\pi \times B_\pi), \quad u \in \mathcal{K}^0(B_\pi). \] (44)

2 **Symmetric cohomology classes in \( H^* (M \times M) \)**

In the case when the space \( B_\pi \) is a compact manifold and the space \( E_\pi \) is compactified to the disk with extension of the action of \( \pi \), we obtain new proof of the Novikov conjecture in the case [3].

For that consider a closed orientable compact manifold \( M \) and a cohomology class \( w \in H^*(M \times M; \mathbb{Q}) \). Assume that \( w \) satisfies a symmetric condition:
\[ w \cdot (1 \otimes x) = (x \otimes 1) \cdot w, \quad x \in H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}). \] (45)

Our aim is to describe such symmetric elements \( w \). Let \( x_i, 0 \leq i \leq N \) be a (homogenous) basis in \( H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \), \( x_0 = 1 \in H^0(M; \mathbb{Q}) \), \( x_N \in H^n(M; \mathbb{Q}) \), \( \dim M = n, \langle x_N, [M] \rangle = 1 \).

Then the multiplication tensor \( \lambda^k_{ij} \) is defined by the formula
\[ x_i \cdot x_j = \lambda^k_{ij} x_k, \] (46)
\[ \lambda^k_{i0} = \delta^k_{i0}, \] (47)
\[ \lambda^N_{ij} = \langle x_i \cdot x_j, [M] \rangle. \] (48)

Associativity of the multiplication means that
\[ (x_i \cdot x_j) \cdot x_k = x_i \cdot (x_j \cdot x_k), \] (49)
that is
\[ \lambda^l_{ij} \lambda^k_{lk} x_s = (\lambda^l_{ij} x_l) \cdot x_k = \] (50)
\[ = (x_i \cdot x_j) \cdot x_k = x_i \cdot (x_j \cdot x_k) = \] (50)
\[ = x_i \cdot (\lambda^l_{jk} x_l) = \lambda^k_{i0} \lambda^l_{jk} x_s, \]
that is
\[ \lambda^l_{ij} \lambda^k_{lk} = \lambda^k_{i0} \lambda^l_{jk}. \] (51)

Represent the element \( w \) in the form
\[
  w = \mu^{ij} x_i \otimes x_j.
\] (52)

Then the condition (45) can be written as
\[
  \mu^{il} x_i \otimes x_j \cdot x_k = \mu^{lj} x_k \cdot x_i \otimes x_j
\] (53)
or
\[
  \mu^{il} x_i \otimes (\lambda^j_{lk} x_j) = \mu^{lj} (\lambda^i_{kl} x_i) \otimes x_j,
\] (54)
or
\[
  \mu^{il} \lambda^j_{lk} = \mu^{lj} \lambda^i_{kl}.
\] (55)

Assume that we have the case
\[
  \mu^N j = \mu^i N = \delta^i_j.
\] (56)

Then from (55) one has
\[
  \mu^{il} \lambda^N_{lk} = \mu^i N \lambda^l_{kl}.
\] (57)
or
\[
  \mu^{il} \lambda^N_{lk} = \delta_{l0} \lambda^i_k = \lambda^i_k = \delta_{l0}.
\] (58)

This means that the matrix \( ||\mu^{ij}|| \) is the inverse matrix of the matrix \( ||\lambda^N_{ij}||; \)
\[
  ||\mu^{ij}|| = ||\lambda^N_{ij}||^{-1}.
\] (59)

The rest of relations from (55) are the consequence from associativity (51):
\[
  \lambda^N_{vi} \mu^{il} \lambda^j_{lk} = \lambda^N_{vi} \mu^{ij} \lambda^l_{kl},
\]
\[
  \delta^i_{lj} \lambda^j_{lk} = \lambda^N_{vi} \mu^{ij} \lambda^l_{kl},
\]
\[
  \lambda^j_{ik} = \mu^{lj} \lambda^i_{kl} \lambda^N_{vi},
\]
\[
  \lambda^N_{ji} \lambda^j_{lk} = \lambda^N_{ji} \mu^{ij} \lambda^l_{kl} \lambda^N_{vi},
\]
\[
  \lambda^N_{jj} \lambda^i_{lk} = \delta^i_{lj} \lambda^N_{ji} \lambda^N_{vi},
\]
\[
  \lambda^N_{jj} \lambda^i_{lk} = \lambda^i_{lk} \lambda^N_{ji},
\]
\[
  \lambda^N_{ji} \lambda^j_{lk} = \lambda^N_{ji} \lambda^i_{lk}.
\] (60)

Compare with (51):
\[
  \lambda^i_{lj} \lambda^j_{lk} = \lambda^N_{ji} \lambda^N_{ji}.
\] (61)

As a consequence from (59) one can obtain relations for symmetric elements of the form
\[
  w = (x \otimes 1)(\mu^{ij} x_i \otimes x_j)(1 \otimes y) = (\mu^{ij} x_i \otimes x_j)(1 \otimes xy).
\] (62)
3 Manifolds with boundary

Assume now that a closed orientable compact manifold $M$ has nonempty boundary $\partial M$. Then one has the Poincare duality as a commutative diagram

$$
\cdots \rightarrow H_{k+1}(M) \xrightarrow{j} H_{k+1}(M, \partial M) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_k(\partial M) \xrightarrow{i} \cdots
$$

$$
\uparrow D \quad \uparrow D \quad \uparrow D
$$

$$
\cdots \rightarrow H^{n-k}(M, \partial M) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^{n-k}(M) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^{n-k}(\partial M) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} \cdots
$$

$$
\uparrow D \quad \uparrow D
$$

$$
\cdots \rightarrow H^{n+1-k}(M, \partial M) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^{n+1-k}(M) \xrightarrow{i^*} \cdots
$$

The Poincare duality has the relation with multiplication in cohomology by the formula

$$
\langle x \wedge y, [M] \rangle = (x, Dy).
$$

Here $x \in H^*(M), y \in H^*(M, \partial M)$, or $y \in H^*(M), x \in H^*(M, \partial M)$ and the operation $\wedge$ defines the pairing

$$
\wedge : H^i(M) \times H^j(M, \partial M) \rightarrow H^{i+j}(M, \partial M)
$$

such the pairing (65) generates the module structure over the ring $H^*(M)$ with the action on the $H^*(M, \partial M)$:

$$
y \wedge (x_1 \cdot x_2) = (y \wedge x_1) \cdot x_2 = \pm x_1 \cdot (y \wedge x_2),
y \in H^*(M), \quad x_1, x_2 \in H^*(M, \partial M).
$$

Consider Cartesian square $M \times M$. The boundary $\partial(M \times M)$ is the manifold which is splitted into the union

$$
\partial(M \times M) = (M \times \partial M) \cup (\partial M \times M),
$$

$$
(M \times \partial M) \cap (\partial M \times M) = \partial M \times \partial M.
$$

Consider a cohomology class $w \in H^*(M \times M, \partial M \times M; \mathbb{Q})$. This cohomology can be described as a tensor product

$$
H^*(M \times M, \partial M \times M; \mathbb{Q}) \approx H^*(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}).
$$

Assume that $w$ satisfies a symmetric condition:

$$
w \cdot (1 \otimes y) = (y \otimes 1) \cdot w \in H^*(M \times M, \partial M \times M), \quad y \in H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}).
$$

The result is similar to the manifolds without boundary:
Theorem 3  Let \( w \in H^* (M \times M, \partial M \times M) \) satisfy the symmetry condition (69). Let \( x_i \in H^*(M, \partial M) \), \( y_j \in H^*(M) \) be bases, \( w = \mu^{ij} x_i \otimes y_j \). (70) Then \[ \| \mu^{ij} \| = \| \lambda^N_{ij} \|^{-1}. \] (71) where \( \lambda^N_{ij} = \langle y_i \wedge x_j, [M, \partial M] \rangle \). (72) To prove this consider (homogenous) bases in the cohomology groups \( H^* (M; \mathbb{Q}) \) and \( H^*(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Q}) \): \( x_i \in H^*(M, \partial M), y_j \in H^*(M) \). Let \( y_0 = 1 \in H^0(M; \mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathbb{Q} \), \( x_N \in H^n(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathbb{Q} \), \( \dim M = n \), \( (x_N, [M, \partial M]) = 1 \). The pairing (65) is defined by the formula \( y_i \wedge x_j = \lambda^k_{ij} x_k \). (73) If \( y_i, y_k \in H^*(M) \) then \( y_i \wedge y_k = \nu^s_{ik} y_s \), (74) such that \( \nu^s_{0k} = \nu^s_{k0} = \delta^s_k \). The property (69) can be rewrited as \( \mu^{ij} x_i \otimes y_j y_k = \mu^{ij} y_k \wedge x_i \otimes y_j \) (75) or \( \mu^{ij} \nu^s_{jk} x_i \otimes y_s = \mu^{ij} \lambda^s_{ki} x_i \otimes y_j \). (76) Hence \( \mu^{ij} \nu^s_{jk} = \mu^{ls} \lambda^i_{kl} \). (77) In particular when \( i = N \) one has \( \mu^{Nj} \nu^s_{jk} = \mu^{ls} \lambda^N_{ki} \). (78) Assume similar to manifolds without boundary that the element \( w \) satisfies the condition (69): \( \mu^{Nj} = \delta^j_0 \). (79) Hence \( \delta^s_k = \mu^{ls} \lambda^N_{ki} \). (80)
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