Andersson Type III Lesion Treated by Double Approach

Abstract

Andersson lesion is a destructive vertebral or discovertebral lesion that occurs as a late noninflammatory episode in ankylosing spondylitis. The lesion was first reported by in 1937. Since then many cases have been reported.

Introduction

Incidence of this lesion has been reported about 5% [1,2] but other series have reported higher incidence (17%).

Dihlmann W and Delling G [3] and Cawley et al. [4] have attempted a classification into inflammatory and non-inflammatory types. Cawley [4] also describes the topography of lesion according to whether the lesion was localized or extensive. Localized lesions occurred either at the lim of the vertebra or in a small circumscribed area of end plate while extensive lesions involved both areas and spread across the whole disc margin. Lesion in spines with advanced ossification might be prorogued by minor trauma however there are some cases were traumatic antecedent has not be proven.

The most evident radiographic feature was the irregular disappearance of the vertebral end plate on both the upper and the lower border of the disc. The border between disc and bone was ragged and hazy instead of smooth and sharp, while reactive sclerosis extended for a varying depth into the vertebral body. The disc space was conspicuously more radiolucent than its neighbors which were usually fused. Neurological complications resulting from discovertebral destruction have rarely been reported despite sometimes extensive radiological changes [5] and the severity of symptoms does not always correlate with the severity of pseudarthroses [6].

Case Report

This is the case of a 35 years old male that was diagnosed from AS at the age of 23. The patient presented severe back pain after fall at home. The patient was visited by his GP. Initial X-rays (Figure 1) showed evident radiolucency of the L1-L2 disc. The rest of the spine showed advanced ankylosis. The patient was treated by a rheumatologist with NSAID and then referred to a pain clinic without any improvement. Nine months later, Radiological control demonstrated increased destruction around the disc L1-L2 with a significant segmental kyphosis of 25 degrees at that level (Figure 2). Three months later (one year since the initial X-rays) the patient continued clinical deterioration complaining from an incapacitating back pain and subjective sensation of abnormal mobility in the thoracolumbar area. Neurological exploration was strictly normal. Xrays demonstrated increased destruction at the level of the initial lesion but the sagittal deformity was easily reducible (Figure 3). The patient was finally referred to our Unit. No Increased systemic inflammatory responses, including elevation of ESR and CRP, were found in the preoperative tests.

The patient was treated with staged circumferential fusion. For the first step, posterior pedicle instrumentation above and below of the affected segment was applied (T11, T12 and L2, L3). Correction of the angular deformity and the collapse of the disc space, were easily obtained. In a second stage, anterior interbody fusion was performed with a strut allograft of tibia diaphysis. All fibrous tissue and necrotic bone were excised and were sent for histopathologic and microbiologic study. Vertebral bodies showed irregular destruction of endplates with sclerotic bony spicules.

After surgery, patient rest in bed for three days and ambulated in a plastic TLSO brace which was worn for 12 weeks. The patient had immediate pain relief. Postoperative course was uneventful. Radiographic control showed complete correction of the sagittal deformity. Patient’s bacteriologic testing showed no evidence of infection. Microscopic findings showed hypovascular fibrous tissue with fibrinoid necrosis and chondrodysplasia. One year after surgery the patient continues free of pain, very satisfied with the result and the last control shows a minor collapse of the anterior column with an acceptable segmental kyphosis of 5 degrees (Figures 4 and 5).

Discussion

Pathogenesis of the destructive lesions is disputable: Pathologic
observations strongly implicate mechanical trauma and pseudarthrosis as initiating and perpetuating causes for extensive discovertebral destruction in ankylosing spondylitis. WU et al. [7] reported their histopathological findings in eight specimens showing extensive discovertebral destructive lesions collected from 35 patients with ankylosing spondylitis. A detailed pathologic study revealed a consistent picture of complete or near-complete destruction of the disc-bone border and the intervertebral disc. The disc was replaced by fibrous tissue and/or fibrocartilage showing moderate to severe fibrinoid necrosis and cystic degeneration. Such changes are characteristic of pseudarthrosis, which may follow either fracture or degeneration of the disc perpetuated by abnormal stress and motion. The reparative process included fibrovascular tissue proliferation from the vertebral bone marrow. Fibrovascular tissue also perforated the vertebral end-plate and intervertebral spaces resulting in massive discovertebral destruction. It is difficult to elucidate if uncontrolled disease activity may have an important additional role like some authors proposed. However history of trauma or an inflammatory reaction was not present in all of reported AS patients with pseudarthrosis, although they might be found occasionally.

Other possible mechanisms for the formation of pseudarthrosis have been suggested. Some authors [6-8] hypothesized that since spinal ossification in AS may not be contiguous, the skipped segments that have not become completely ossified are exposed to increased stress due to the long ankylosed segments. The mechanical stresses may cause increased wear at the disco-vertebral junction, followed by fibrous repair.

Regarding pathogenesis of the pain, our case with immediate pain relief after the first surgery of posterior stabilization seems to support that the pain was related to the hypermobility of the lesion rather than to the pseudarthrosis/inflammatory process.

Destructive lesions in AS were also classified by Cawley (4) into three types:

**Type 1** lesions are localized at the central subchondral portions of the discovertebral junction with migration of the discal content into the vertebral body and this can occur in both ankylosed and non-ankylosed spines.

**Type 2** are peripheral localized lesions occurring in the anterior or posterior part of discovertebral junction. The anterior lesion is attributed to collapse of osteoporotic anterior vertebral margin as occurs in osteoporotic kyphosis and also alternatively to injury to the
anterior fibers of annulus fibrosus leading to invasion and replacement of discal material by vascular fibrous tissue as in senile kyphosis.

Type 3 Destruction of the whole discovertebral junction of two adjacent vertebral bodies occurs in patients with advanced ankylosis. There may be a history of trauma and associated fracture through the ankylosed portion. Looking at the present case it seems that type three would be the final evolution of the initial lesion, central (type 1) or peripheral (type 2), rather than a different type of lesion.

These lesions have been observed in the early and late phases of the disease and occur in traumatic and non-traumatic situations [9]. But Park YS et al. [2] pointed out the importance of the etiology on the prognostic of the lesion. They retrospectively reviewed 622 patients with ankylosing spondylitis. In all, 33 patients (5.3%) had these lesions, affecting 100 spinal segments. Inflammatory lesions were found in 91 segments of 24 patients (3.9%) and traumatic lesions in nine segments of nine patients (1.4%) he inflammatory lesions were associated with: recent-onset disease; a low modified Stoke ankylosing spondylitis spine score (mSASSS) due to incomplete bony ankylosis between vertebral bodies; multiple lesions; inflammatory changes on MRI; reversal of the inflammatory changes and central bony ankylosis at follow-up; a good response to anti-inflammatory drugs.

Traumatic lesions were associated with: prolonged disease duration; a high mSASSS due to complete bony ankylosis between vertebral bodies; a previous history of trauma; single lesions; acute kyphoscoliotic deformity with the lesion at the apex; instability, and the need for operative treatment due to that instability Park YS et al. concluded that it is essential to distinguish between inflammatory and traumatic Andersson lesions, as the former respond to medical treatment whereas the latter require surgery.

Treatment for cases with small destruction can be managed with internal [10] or external immobilization with cast [11] but cases with severe destruction would need a complete biomechanical reconstruction with anterior support [12]. Due to the usual osteoporosis of the AS, posterior instrumentation with multiple anchors would be preferable. Fixed sagittal deformities would need a more aggressive treatment with posterior osteotomies or with combined approaches [13].

Conclusion
Andersson lesion should be suspected in Ankylosing Spondylitis patients, complaining of persistent back pain, especially after minor trauma. Diagnosis is very evident with conventional image exploration (radiography, MRI). Appropriate initial treatment, immobilization with cast or percutaneous stabilization can solve the problem. In cases with massive anterior destruction, surgical reconstruction of the anterior and middle columns seems to be mandatory.
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