Fever of Unknown Origin Associated with Intrabdominal Lymphadenopathy, the Efficiency of Laparoscopic Biopsy
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Abstract

Introduction: Prolonged fever is a difficult to diagnose febrile disorder appositely termed Fever of Unknown Origin. Abdominal lymphadenopathy mandates diagnostic set up with tissue biopsy in cases where percutaneous biopsy is not feasible or it fails to establish diagnosis. The aim of this study is to evaluate the safety, efficacy and diagnostic yield of laparoscopic lymph node biopsy in patients with Fever of Unknown Origin associated with intrabdominal lymphadenopathy.

Materials and methods: The medical records of patients subjected to laparoscopic lymph node biopsy from August 2005 to December 2011 were reviewed retrospectively. Data collected from our prospective database included patient demographics, anatomical site of lymph node biopsy, operative time, conversion rate, morbidity, mortality, hospital stay and pathology results.

Results: During the study period 32 patients were subjected to laparoscopic lymph node biopsy. The mean operative time was 40min. No intraoperative complications were recorded. There was no conversion to open. The root of the mesentery was the most common site of biopsy and non-Hodgkin lymphoma was the most common diagnosis. All patients had an uneventful recovery and the mean length of stay was 1.8 days. Diagnosis was successfully established in all but one patient where tissue sample was insufficient (diagnostic yield: 96.9%).

Conclusion: Laparoscopic lymph node biopsy is a safe procedure with a high diagnostic yield. It can be performed on an outpatient basis and it should be offered in all patients with Fever of Unknown Origin associated with intrabdominal lymphadenopathy when percutaneous techniques are unsuccessful or not feasible.
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Introduction

Prolonged fever is a difficult to diagnose febrile disorder appositely termed Fever of Unknown Origin (FUO). Fever of unknown origin was first termed "prolonged and perplexing fevers" by Kiefer and Leard [1] and may be defined as disorders with temperatures greater than 38.3°C (101°F) on several occasions with a duration of fever greater than three weeks that were not diagnosed after one week of intensive in-hospital investigation [2]. Durack and Street proposed a new definition that categorizes FUO into four groups according to patient subtype, with each group having unique causes of fever [3]. The most common causes of classic FUO are infection, noninfectious inflammatory diseases and malignancy. Physical examination of the patient is crucial for the diagnosis. When lymphadenopathy is present lymph node biopsy is useful to diagnose lymphomas, lymphogranuloma venerum, toxoplasmosis, Kikuchi’s arteritis and even a granulomatous disorder.

Abdominal lymphadenopathy without a known cause is a pathologic entity that implies the need for tissue sampling. In cases where peripheral lymphadenopathy is also present, biopsy can be easily performed under local anesthesia. In any other case an invasive procedure in the abdominal cavity is required. Currently, ultrasound or computed tomography (CT)-guided biopsies are the gold standard for tissue sampling since they are minimally invasive procedures that are associated with low morbidity and acceptable diagnostic yield [4-6]. Nevertheless, in some cases tissue extraction via core-needle biopsy is not sufficient or tissue architecture is jeopardized and diagnosis cannot be established [6,7]. Furthermore, the proximity of an enlarged pathologic lymph node to vital structures such as major blood vessels, bowel or other viscera makes percutaneous biopsy technically difficult and dangerous even in the hands of an experienced radiologist [8]. In such occasions laparotomy needs to be performed in order to access and obtain adequate tissue. However, the latter is an invasive procedure which is associated with a prolonged convalescence and a delay in the final treatment. Lately, laparoscopy has been increasingly applied for the biopsy of abdominal lymph nodes [9,10]. The profound advantages of this approach are the excellent diagnostic accuracy, the minimal surgical trauma and the fast recovery [10].

The aim of this study is the evaluation of safety, efficacy and diagnostic accuracy of laparoscopic lymph node biopsy in patients with Fever of Unknown Origin associated with intrabdominal lymphadenopathy.

Materials and Methods

The medical records of all patients subjected to laparoscopic lymph node biopsy at the time period of August 2005 to December 2011 were revised. Data collected from our prospective database included patient demographics, preoperative diagnosis, physical laboratory and imaging evaluation, anatomical site of lymph node biopsy, operative time, conversion rate, morbidity, mortality, hospital stay and the final pathology results.
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The data of all patients satisfied the criteria of FUO, prior to the operation, were included in this study. All patients with prolonged fever remained undiagnosed preoperatively after at least one week of extensive physical, laboratory and imaging evaluation. Furthermore all patients included in this study were subjected to a thorough physical examination in order to rule out peripheral lymphadenopathy. Patients subjected to laparoscopic lymph node biopsy with a preoperative diagnosis other than FUO were excluded from the study.

All imaging studies were discussed in depth with an experienced interventional radiologist and the final treatment plan regarding percutaneous or operative lymph node biopsy was established. For patients with an inconclusive percutaneous biopsy result an operative lymph node biopsy was offered. As soon as the decision for surgery was taken all patients were informed in detail about the benefits and the risks of laparoscopy and a written signed informed consent form was obtained.

All procedures were performed under general anesthesia with the patient in the supine position. An orogastric tube and a Foley catheter were inserted at the induction of anesthesia and were both removed at the conclusion of the procedure. A single dose of a second generation cephalosporin and metronidazole were given at the induction of anesthesia. Pneumoperitoneum was established with a Verres needle and then sent for pathology study.

Results

During the study period 32 patients with FUO and intrabdominal lymphadenopathy were subjected to laparoscopic lymph node biopsy. Patient demographics are shown in Table 1. Twenty-four of our patients were primarily deemed poor candidates for percutaneous biopsy due to the anatomical location of the lymph node. The rest of our patients (n=8) had an earlier unsuccessful percutaneous biopsy. In all patients, lymph node biopsy was offered. As soon as the decision for surgery was taken all patients were informed in detail about the benefits and the risks of laparoscopy and a written signed informed consent form was obtained.

All imaging studies were discussed in depth with an experienced interventional radiologist and the final treatment plan regarding percutaneous or operative lymph node biopsy was established. For patients with an inconclusive percutaneous biopsy result an operative lymph node biopsy was offered. As soon as the decision for surgery was taken all patients were informed in detail about the benefits and the risks of laparoscopy and a written signed informed consent form was obtained.

All procedures were performed under general anesthesia with the patient in the supine position. An orogastric tube and a Foley catheter were inserted at the induction of anesthesia and were both removed at the conclusion of the procedure. A single dose of a second generation cephalosporin and metronidazole were given at the induction of anesthesia. Pneumoperitoneum was established with a Verres needle and then sent for pathology study.

Results

During the study period 32 patients with FUO and intrabdominal lymphadenopathy were subjected to laparoscopic lymph node biopsy. Patient demographics are shown in Table 1. Twenty-four of our patients were primarily deemed poor candidates for percutaneous biopsy due to the anatomical location of the lymph node. The rest of our patients (n=8) had an earlier unsuccessful percutaneous biopsy. In all patients, lymph node biopsy was successfully accomplished by means of laparoscopy

| Gender          | n (%)         |
|-----------------|---------------|
| Male            | 13 (40.6)     |
| Female          | 19 (59.4)     |
| Age, years (range) | 61.2 (24-81) |

| Classification of FUO | n (%) | |
|-----------------------|-------|---|
| Classical FUO         | 28 (87.5) |
| HIV                   | 2 (6.25)   |
| Neutropenic           | 2 (6.25)   |
| Peripheral lymphadenopathy | 0 (0) |
| Previous unsuccessful percutaneous biopsy, n (%) | 8 (25) |
| Poor candidates due to anatomic location, n (%) | 24 (75) |

FUO: Fever of Unknown Origin, HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus

Table 1: Patient demographics and FUO Classification.

Table 2: Perioperative data

| Parameter                        | Value       |
|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Operative time (range) (min)     | 40 (25-70)  |
| Conversion to std laparoscopy, n (%) | 0 (0)      |
| Mortality/Morbidity (%)          | 0/0         |
| Length of hospital stay (range) (days) | 1.8 (1-3)  |
| Site of biopsy n (%)             |             |
| Mesentery                        | 13 (40.6)   |
| Para-aortic                      | 6 (18.7)    |
| Gastrohepatic ligament           | 6 (18.7)    |
| External iliac                   | 5 (15.6)    |
| Retropitoneal                    | 2 (6.2)     |
| Nodes excised n (%)              |             |
| Segmental Biopsy                 | 3 (9.4)     |
| 1 node                           | 6 (18.8)    |
| 2 nodes                          | 6 (18.8)    |
| 3 nodes                          | 15 (46.9)   |
| 4 nodes                          | 2 (6.3)     |

Table 2: Perioperative data

Figure 1: Laparoscopic biopsy of lymph nodes from the iliac vessels (A) and from the gastrohepatic ligament (B).

Results

During the study period 32 patients with FUO and intrabdominal lymphadenopathy were subjected to laparoscopic lymph node biopsy. Patient demographics are shown in Table 1. Twenty-four of our patients were primarily deemed poor candidates for percutaneous biopsy due to the anatomical location of the lymph node. The rest of our patients (n=8) had an earlier unsuccessful percutaneous biopsy. In all patients, lymph node biopsy was successfully accomplished by means of laparoscopy and no conversion was necessary. The mean operative time was 40 min (range 25-70 min). No intraoperative complications were recorded and blood loss was minimal (Table 2).

The root of the mesentery was the most common site of biopsy (Table 2 and Figure 1). In three occasions where a compact lymph block was revealed an incisional biopsy was performed (9.4%). In six occasions a single node was excised (18.8%), in other six occasion's two nodes (18.8%), in 15 patients three nodes (46.9%) and in two patients four nodes (6.3%). Postoperatively no antibiotics were given and liquid diet was instituted the same evening of the operation. Intravenous analgesia was supplied postoperatively upon patient request. All patients had an uneventful recovery and the mean length of stay was 1.8 days (range 1 to 3 days).

Diagnosis was successfully established in all but one occasion. In the latter case an incisional biopsy has been performed in a male patient whose tissue specimen was deemed inadequate. Due to the unique location of the pathology the patient was subjected to a second laparoscopy and the whole lymph block was carefully removed. Eventually a successful diagnosis was established. Thus, in our cohort the diagnostic yield of laparoscopy reached 96.9%.

Histopathology tests revealed non-Hodgkin lymphoma in 11 patients, Hodgkin lymphoma in 5 patients, tuberculosis in 3 patients, while 9 patients had malignant infiltration by a primary or metastatic tumor and 4 patients had benign lymphadenopathy. The latter group of patients had a close follow up with a sequence of CTs for at least 3 years in order to assess the progression of lymphadenopathy.
Discussion

Unexplained fever regardless of an extended work up frequently sets a clinical challenge. The presence of lymphadenopathy in the majority of cases poses the need of lymph node biopsy which is useful in order to diagnose lymphomas, lymphogranuloma venerum, toxoplasmosis, Kikuchi’s arthritis and even a granulomatous disorder [11,12]. Abdominal lymphadenopathy is a common clinical concern that requires management with accurate histological typing and immunophenotyping [13]. The advent of technology with the development of instrumentation as well as the improvement of laparoscopic surgical skills have made it possible for laparoscopy to displace laparotomy as the surgical intervention of choice in the diagnostic set up of abdominal lymphadenopathy [14]. Frequently at the time of laparotomy, a large number of patients with FUO are in bad general condition, resulting in high perioperative risk such as wound infection and seroma. Takahashi in his study reported an operative morbidity of 12% and a mortality of 4% [15]. Due to the high laparotomy related morbidity and the longer convalescence which leads to further delay in the definitive treatment, we have recently shifted to laparoscopy for abdominal lymph node biopsy:

By early 1980s minimally invasive techniques such as ultrasound or computed tomography guided percutaneous biopsies were employed for the diagnosis of abdominal lymphadenopathy. Furthermore PET-CT has a known role in the diagnostic strategies for identifying the causal source of FUO [16,17]. Hypermetabolic PET lesions like pathologic lymph nodes may be identified and hence the site for the lymph node biopsy better specified. Unfortunately in our institution (and the wider health district) a PET-CT scan could not be performed. Computed tomography guided biopsies have been associated with a low morbidity and an acceptable diagnostic yield [6,7,18]. For these reasons percutaneous techniques are the gold standard in the diagnostic set up of abdominal lymphadenopathy. However, percutaneous biopsy is not feasible when anatomical obstacles, like vital structures, are situated adjacent to the targeted lymph node. Furthermore tissue sampling via fine needle or core biopsy may be inadequate for histopathologic and immunochemical assay [10]. When the above criteria are met surgical intervention is required. In the present cohort, after assessment of the CT scans by an experienced interventionial radiologist, 24 patients (75%) were deemed poor candidates for percutaneous biopsy due to the anatomical location of the lesion. The rest of patients in the cohort (25%) had been subjected earlier in a percutaneous biopsy where diagnosis could not be established due to insufficient tissue sampling.

At the hands of an experienced surgeon, lymph node biopsy by means of laparoscopy is not technically demanding in the vast majority of cases. However, in some occasions the anatomic location of the lymph node as well as undesirable events such as bleeding from the biopsy site may necessitate conversion of the procedure to open. In the present cohort we were able to achieve a zero conversion rate but the present cohort we were able to achieve a zero conversion rate but the time of laparotomy, a large number of patients with FUO are in bad general condition, resulting in high perioperative risk such as wound infection and seroma. Takahashi in his study reported an operative morbidity of 12% and a mortality of 4% [15]. Due to the high laparotomy related morbidity and the longer convalescence which leads to further delay in the definitive treatment, we have recently shifted to laparoscopy for abdominal lymph node biopsy:

In the literature, the rate of false negative diagnoses after laparoscopic lymph node biopsy ranges from 0% to 14% [20-23]. According to Asoglu the proximity of the pathological nodes to vital structures such as the duodenum, the pancreas and the aorta can increase the prevalence of false negative diagnoses due to inadequate tissue sampling [19]. In agreement with the aforementioned study we had a false negative diagnosis in a patient with a periaortic lymph block where an incisional biopsy was performed. Due to the presence of enlarged mediastinal lymph nodes in a CT scan, malignant disease could not be excluded and this patient was subjected to a second laparoscopy where the entire periaortic lymph block was excised. Eventually, laboratory analysis revealed malignant lymphoma.

The mean hospital stay was 1.8 days (range 1-3). No adverse events were recorded intraoperatively and postoperatively all patients had an uneventful recovery. Initially in our cohort we were reluctant to fast track surgery in these patients. However, as our experience has increased, we have now shifted to a day surgery protocol where patients are discharged the day after the procedure provided that mobilization is sufficient and pain is minimal. We believe that this fast patient trading is a significant advantage of laparoscopy since there is no delay in the final systemic therapy.

In conclusion, laparoscopic lymph node biopsy is a safe procedure with a high diagnostic yield. It can be performed on an outpatient basis and it should be offered in all patients with Fever of Unknown Origin associated with intrabdominal lymphadenopathy when percutaneous techniques are unsuccessful or not feasible.
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