Genomic Binding Profiling of the Fission Yeast Stress-Activated MAPK Sty1 and the bZIP Transcriptional Activator Atf1 in Response to H₂O₂

Majid Eshaghi¹, Jong Hoon Lee², Lei Zhu¹, Suk Yean Poon¹, Juntao Li³, Kwang-Hyun Cho², Zhaoqing Chu¹,*, R. Krishna M. Karuturi³, Jianhua Liu¹,4*¹

1 Systems Biology, Genome Institute of Singapore, Singapore, Republic of Singapore, 2 Department of Bio and Brain Engineering, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST), Daejeon, Republic of Korea, 3 Computational and Mathematical Biology, Genome Institute of Singapore, Singapore, Republic of Singapore, 4 Department of Biochemistry, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Republic of Singapore

Abstract

**Background:** The evolutionally conserved MAPK Sty1 and bZIP transcriptional activator Atf1 are known to play a pivotal role in response to the reactive oxygen species in *S. pombe*. However, it is unclear whether all of the H₂O₂-induced genes are directly regulated by the Sty1-Atf1 pathway and involved in growth fitness under H₂O₂-induced stress conditions.

**Methodology/Principal Findings:** Here we present the study on ChIP-chip mapping of the genomic binding sites for Sty1, Atf1, and the Atf1’s binding partner Pcr1; the genome-wide transcriptional profiling of the *atf1Δ* and *pcr1Δ* strains in response to H₂O₂; and the phenotypic assessment of ~90 Atf1/Pcr1-bound or unbound genes for growth fitness under H₂O₂ conditions. ChIP-chip analysis shows that Atf1 and Pcr1 binding sites are overlapped in the genome and constitutively present before H₂O₂ stress. On the other hand, Sty1 recruitment primarily occurs at the Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites and is induced by H₂O₂. We found that Atf1/Pcr1 is clearly responsible for the high-level transcriptional response to H₂O₂. Furthermore, phenotypic assessment indicates that among the H₂O₂-induced genes, Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes exhibit a higher likelihood of functional requirement for growth fitness under the stress condition than the Atf1/Pcr1-unbound genes do. Notably, we found that the Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes regardless of their responsiveness to H₂O₂ show a high probability of requirement for growth fitness.

**Conclusion/Significance:** Together, our analyses on global mapping of protein binding sites, genome-wide transcriptional profiling, and phenotypic assessment provide insight into mechanisms for global transcriptional regulation by the Sty1-Atf1 pathway in response to H₂O₂-induced reactive oxygen species.
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Abstract

**Background:** The evolutionally conserved MAPK Sty1 and bZIP transcriptional activator Atf1 are known to play a pivotal role in response to the reactive oxygen species in *S. pombe*. However, it is unclear whether all of the H₂O₂-induced genes are directly regulated by the Sty1-Atf1 pathway and involved in growth fitness under H₂O₂-induced stress conditions.

**Methodology/Principal Findings:** Here we present the study on ChIP-chip mapping of the genomic binding sites for Sty1, Atf1, and the Atf1’s binding partner Pcr1; the genome-wide transcriptional profiling of the *atf1Δ* and *pcr1Δ* strains in response to H₂O₂; and the phenotypic assessment of ~90 Atf1/Pcr1-bound or unbound genes for growth fitness under H₂O₂ conditions. ChIP-chip analysis shows that Atf1 and Pcr1 binding sites are overlapped in the genome and constitutively present before H₂O₂ stress. On the other hand, Sty1 recruitment primarily occurs at the Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites and is induced by H₂O₂. We found that Atf1/Pcr1 is clearly responsible for the high-level transcriptional response to H₂O₂. Furthermore, phenotypic assessment indicates that among the H₂O₂-induced genes, Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes exhibit a higher likelihood of functional requirement for growth fitness under the stress condition than the Atf1/Pcr1-unbound genes do. Notably, we found that the Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes regardless of their responsiveness to H₂O₂ show a high probability of requirement for growth fitness.

**Conclusion/Significance:** Together, our analyses on global mapping of protein binding sites, genome-wide transcriptional profiling, and phenotypic assessment provide insight into mechanisms for global transcriptional regulation by the Sty1-Atf1 pathway in response to H₂O₂-induced reactive oxygen species.

In *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) Sty1 (aka Spc1 or Phh1) [6–9], homologous to the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Hog1 [10] and the mammalian c-Jun N-terminal kinase and p38 [11], plays a major role in response to ROS-generating agent H₂O₂ and many other stress factors. Upon stresses, Sty1 accumulates in the nucleus and plays a role in the activation of Atf1-dependent transcription and may be involved in mediating transcription through other basic-leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factors such as Atf21, Atf31, Pap1, Pcr1, and Zip1 [12–17]. It has been shown that Sty1 is recruited to the promoter of *gpd1* and *hsp9*, the Atf1/Pcr1 target genes, upon osmotic, oxidative, and high temperature stresses [18,19]. However, it is unclear if Sty1 is recruited to all Atf1/Pcr1 target genes in the genome. In this study, we address this question by using the ChIP-chip analysis for Sty1 binding sites on the genomic level.
Transcriptional profiling of fission yeast cells has shown that Sty1 regulates a large set of genes that are known as the core environmental stress response (CESR) genes [20,21]. Majority of the CESR genes are also found to be dependent on the function of Atf1, a member of the ATF/CREB protein family and homologous to mammalian ATF-2 [12,19,22–27], indicating that Atf1 is a major transcription factor regulated by the MAPK Sty in response to H₂O₂ and a number of other environmental stresses. While Pcr1 is found to be a redox sensor to low levels of H₂O₂ (e.g., ~0.05mM H₂O₂) and could function independent of Sty1, Atf1 is a major player in response to high levels of H₂O₂-induced stress (e.g., ~0.5mM H₂O₂) [28–30]. Zip1 has been shown to be specifically involved in response to arsenite and cadmium induced stresses in S. pombe [31,32]. Disruption of atf21 or aff31 will lead to defects in mating, meiosis and sporulation, indicating their function in regulation of meiotic transcription [13,14]. Atf1 and Pcr1 also play an indispensable role in regulation of meiotic specific transcriptions [14,17]. In addition, Atf1 and Pcr1 are found to form heterodimer in vitro and in vivo [16,22,24,27,33]. Therefore, it has been proposed that the Atf1/Pcr1 heterodimer is important for their function. Nevertheless, aff1Δ exhibits a severer phenotype upon oxidative stress than pcr1Δ, indicating that the Atf1/Pcr1 heterodimer cannot account for all of their functions in transcriptional regulation during response to H₂O₂ [18,34]. It is possible that the heterodimer is essential for transcriptional regulation during meiosis.

Approximately one third of the induced and two thirds of the repressed CESR genes in S. pombe are found to share orthology in the environmental stress response (ESR) or common environmental response (CER) genes in S. cerevisiae [20,35,36], indicating that the transcriptional response to environmental stresses is conserved in the two yeasts. Surprisingly, many ESR or CER genes in S. cerevisiae are found not to be required for growth fitness under the common environmental stresses [37]. Careful investigations of transcriptional profile of budding yeast under various growth conditions have demonstrated that many ESR or CER genes are actually associated with the growth rate, rather than the direct effects of stress [38–40]. However, it is unclear if fission yeast CESR genes are linked to the slow growth.

Physical binding at the promoter is a crucial evidence for a transcription factor to regulate transcription of a gene directly in yeast. Though the transcriptional response profiles of hundreds of CESR genes are found to be altered in aff1Δ cells during response to a number of environmental stress factors, it is unclear if all of the Atf1-dependent CESR-genes are directly regulated by Atf1. We address this question through the analysis of Atf1 binding sites on a genomic scale using ChiP-chip technology. Because the disruption of aff1 causes a severe phenotype under H₂O₂ stress condition, Atf1 target genes are likely to show a high likelihood of requirement for growth fitness under the stress conditions.

In this report, we show the study on ChiP-chip mapping of Atf1, Pcr1, and Sty1 binding sites at the genomic scale, transcriptional profiling of cells defective in Atf1 or Pcr1 function, and phenotypic assessment of ~90 strains bearing a deletion allele of the Atf1/Pcr1-bound or unbound genes. Comparison analyses show that Atf1 and Pcr1 binding sites are overlapped and constitutively present before H₂O₂ stress. On the other hand, Sty1 binding is induced by H₂O₂ treatment and occurs primarily at the Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites. Among the Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes, some are found to be the H₂O₂-induced genes whose transcriptional response profiles are dependent on the function of Atf1 or Pcr1 and some are found be the non-responsive genes upon H₂O₂ treatment. Phenotypic assessment using the mini-culture growth curve assay shows that Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes regardless of their transcriptional responsiveness to H₂O₂ display a high likelihood of requirement for growth fitness under the oxidative stress conditions. Sty1 is found to be primarily recruited at the Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites after H₂O₂ treatment. Hence, our results provide insight into mechanisms for global transcriptional regulation by the Sty1-Atf1/Pcr1 pathway in response to H₂O₂-induced reactive oxygen species.

Results

Atf1 and Pcr1 binding sites in the genome are constitutively present before H₂O₂ stress

To determine the genomic binding profile of the bZIP factor Atf1, we performed the ChiP-chip analysis using a strain bearing the sole chromosomal copy of aff1Δ+HA allele. The HA-tagged strains showed no apparent growth defect under the H₂O₂ stress condition (see Figure S1 in File S1), indicating that the HA-tagged proteins are functional. Therefore, genomic binding profiles of Atf1 (Pcr1 or Sty1) was approximated by its functional HA-tagged protein in this study. We conducted the ChiP-chip experiments in HA-tagged cells prior to and after treatment with H₂O₂ at the final concentration of 0.5mM (see Materials and Methods). Independent repeats of ChiP-chip experiments were performed for reproducibility.

Level of protein occupancies at various loci in the genome was indicated by the ratio between the signals resulted from hybridization with the chromatin-immunoprecipitated (ChiP) DNA (e.g., Cy5 labeled) and the whole-cell-extract (WCE) DNA (e.g., Cy3 labeled) (Figure 1A; see Figure S2 in File S1). All ChiP-chip microarray data were LOWESS normalized in which the array median signal level of all genomic features was set to 1 or 0 in log scale (see Materials and Methods). Occupancies were defined as those whose enrichment level was ≥2.5 MAD (i.e., median absolute deviation) above the array median and were ranked within the top 3% (see Materials and Methods) (Figure 1B). The false discovery rate (FDR) of the identified binding sites in all ChiP-chip experiments was <4% (see Materials and Methods). The analysis identified 250 Atf1 binding sites that located at the probable promoter regions (that were defined as the upstream intergenic sequence up to 1Kb from the start codon [41] or 150bps from the TSS [42] see Materials and Methods) in cells after H₂O₂ treatment. To test whether Atf1 binding sites in cells were present before H₂O₂ treatment, we determined Atf1 occupancies in cells prior to H₂O₂ stress. By using the same method, we identified 245 Atf1 binding sites at the probable promoters in cells prior to H₂O₂ treatment. Comparison of enrichment levels between microarray experiments was performed after quantile normalization and signal smoothing of individual ChiP-chip datasets (see Materials and Methods). Clearly, ~70% (173) of the identified binding sites in cells after H₂O₂ treatment were found to overlap (i.e., the distance between the two binding sites was ≤200bps) with those in cells prior to H₂O₂ treatment (Figure 1C; Table S1). It was obvious that the enrichment level of the binding sites in cells after H₂O₂ treatment was well correlated with that in cells prior to H₂O₂ treatment (correlation coefficient = 0.689, p-value = <2.2e-16) (Figure 1D).

We found that the median enrichment level of the overlapping Atf1 binding sites in cells under both conditions was clearly higher than that of the non-overlapping binding sites (i.e., the binding sites that were found in cells under only one of two conditions) [3.6-4.0-fold versus 2.4-fold higher than the array median; p-value<2.2e-16] (Figure 1D, see M). This result indicates that the overlapping binding sites represent the major Atf1 binding sites in the genome.
On the other hand, the non-overlapping binding sites were the minor binding sites as it was judged by the low-level enrichment. We therefore concluded that the major Atf1 promoter binding sites were constitutively present before H₂O₂ treatment.

A small bZIP factor Pcr1 in *S. pombe* is known to be involved in regulation of transcriptional response to H₂O₂, although it may have a minor role [18,19,23,34]. We mapped the Pcr1 binding sites in cells prior to and after H₂O₂ treatment using the same

---

**Figure 1. Atf1 and Pcr1 binding sites in the genome are present before and after H₂O₂ stress.** (A) A schematic view of the protein occupancy in the genome-wide ChIP-chip analysis. Level of binding affinity is estimated by the ratio between the ChIP-enriched DNA signal and non-enriched (WCE) DNA signal or level of enrichment. Significantly enriched regions (SER) define the protein occupancy. Apex of the occupancy indicates the binding site in the chromosome. (B) Relationship between level of enrichment and rank of percentiles. The array median (Median) is set to 1 or 0 in log scale. Array features’ signal above 2.5 MAD (or Median Absolute Deviation) plus the array median is used as a cutoff for significantly enriched signals. (C) Venn diagram showing the relationship between Atf1 binding sites found in cells prior to and after H₂O₂ treatment. Each dot indicates a binding site. Black dot and grey dot indicate the binding site found in both conditions and in one of the two conditions, respectively. M indicates the median level of the overlapping (black) and non-overlapping (grey) binding sites. Correlation coefficient (R) is indicated. Black dash line indicates the diagonal. Purple lines indicate boundaries within 2-fold change. All overlapping binding sites (black dots) display level change for less than 2-fold except for a few. (E) Venn diagram showing the relationship between Pcr1 binding sites found in cells prior to and after H₂O₂ treatment. (F) Scatter plot showing the relationship between the Pcr1 binding levels found in cells prior to and after H₂O₂ treatment. All overlapping binding sites (black dots) display level change for less than 2-fold except for a few.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011620.g001
H2O2 treatment were overlapped with those found in cells prior to H2O2 treatment (Figure 1E; Table S2). The correlation between enrichment levels of the Pcr1 binding sites that were found in cells prior to and after H2O2 treatment was apparent (correlation coefficient = 0.803, p-value = <2.2e-16) (Figure 1F). Importantly, the median level of the overlapping Pcr1 binding sites was clearly higher than that of the non-overlapping ones (3.9-4.1-fold versus 2.3-2.4-fold higher than the array median; p-value<2.2e-16).

Hence, we concluded that, similar to the Atf1, major Pcr1 promoter binding sites were also present before H2O2 treatment. This conclusion is consistent with, and further supported by, previous analysis of individual loci that are bound by Atf1/Pcr1 heterodimer [18,24,34,43].

**Atf1 and Pcr1 share their promoter binding sites in the genome**

We wanted to know whether Atf1 and Pcr1 shared their promoter binding sites in the genome. For this reason, the chromosomal locations of the Atf1 and Pcr1 binding sites were compared. All 173 major Atf1 binding sites were found to be overlapped with either major (146) or minor (27) binding sites of Pcr1 except for 2 (Figure 2A; Table S3). Similarly, all 176 major Pcr1 binding sites were overlapped with either major (146) or minor (27) binding sites of Atf1 except for 3. The enrichment level of the Atf1 and Pcr1 binding sites were highly correlated (Figure 2B). It was apparent that the median enrichment level of the major/major Atf1/Pcr1 common or overlapping binding sites were much higher than that of the major/minor (or minor/major) Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites (4.1-4.3-fold versus 2.5-2.7-fold higher than the array median; p-value<2.2e-16). These results indicate that Atf1 and Pcr1 share their major binding sites in the genome, consistent with previous studies on individual genes [22]. A set of the overlapping 146 major/major Atf1/Pcr1 common binding sites were designated as the major Atf1/Pcr1 (common) promoter binding sites (see Table S3).

Atf1 or Pcr1 enrichment at the major Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites exhibited a typical peak profile (Figure 2C). Its apex location should enrich the Atf1/Pcr1 DNA-binding motif(s). To determine DNA-binding motif(s) that was enriched at the Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites, we performed the motif-discovery scan [44] using the 150 bps sequence located at the apexes (see Materials and Methods). The motif-discovery scan showed that the DNA binding sequence represented by the position-specific scoring matrix (PSSM) (Figure 2D) or the logo (Figure 2E) was the most enriched DNA binding motif in the identified Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites. The ROC curve showed that PSSM scores of the Atf1/Pcr1 promoter binding sequences were much higher than that of the randomly selected promoter sequences (Figure 2F), indicating that this motif is preferentially bound by the Atf1/Pcr1 in the whole genome. It was noted that this identified motif was encompassed by the ATF/CREB motif or the M26 hotspot sequence that are tested for Atf1/Pcr1 binding in the gel-shift assays [12,17,45].

Of the 146 Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites, 85 (~58%) were found in the tandem intergenic regions, locating at the probable promoter of the downstream ORFs. The remaining 61 binding sites (~42%) were located in the divergent intergenic regions. We found that only 12 out of the 61 divergent intergenic binding sites were shared by the two divergent protein-coding genes. The remaining was found to have either the non-protein-coding genes (e.g., tRNA or 55 s rRNA) or the protein-coding gene with the extended upstream sequence (i.e., greater than 1Kb) at one side of the binding sites. Therefore, 146 major Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites were assigned to the probable promoter of the total of 158 genes. It was clear that the Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes enriched for functions such as cellular response to stress or stimulus (GO:51761 and GO:35554; p-value<4E-21) and glucose/carbohydrate metabolism (GO:006006 and GO:46164; p-value<4E-11). The top 20 Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes are listed in Table 1 (see Table S3) and most of which are the core environmental stress response (CER) genes [20].

**Atf1/Pcr1 bindings are found at their own promoter**

Based on the ORF annotation [41], Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites were found at the probable promoter (upstream intergenic sequences up to 1Kb from the start codon) of pcr1 gene (Figure 3A), but not atf1 gene. The promoter binding sites were only recovered for atf1 gene when TSS annotation [42] was applied (see Materials and Methods), implying that TSS of atf1 gene was located more than 1Kb upstream of the atf1 start codon. This result would indicate a positive feedback loop in regulation of transcriptional response by Atf1/Pcr1. To confirm that atf1 transcript contained the long (>|1Kb) 5′-UTR sequence, we examined the transcription start site using the genome tiling microarray (the same tiling microarray used in ChIP-chip mapping of the protein binding sites) that was hybridized by the fluorescent dye-labeled cDNA (see Materials and Methods).

Analysis using segmentation algorithm [46] indicated that the 5′-end of atf1+ transcript was located at ~100bps downstream from the Atf1/Pcr1 binding site. On the other hand, the algorithm detected two potential 5′-ends for the atf1+ transcript: one was proximal to the atf1+ coding sequence (~340bps) and the other was distal to it (~1.4Kb) (Figure 3B). Interestingly, a major Atf1 or Pcr1 binding site was found to be located at ~100bps upstream of the distal 5′-end of the atf1+ transcript. This distal 5′-end signal could be resulted from a small transcription unit of ~100bps in length [47]. Alternatively, the small distal transcript could be part of the atf1+ transcript, provided that there was an intron in the 5′-UTR sequence [42]. To test this possibility, we carried out the PCR assay using sequence-specific primers with either the genomic DNA or cDNA template. It was obvious that a ~1.4Kb fragment was produced after amplification by PCR on genomic DNA (Figure 3C). On the other hand, a ~0.5Kb fragment was amplified when cDNA was used as template, indicating that an intron of ~0.9Kb in size is present in the 5′-UTR sequence of the atf1+ transcript. This was further confirmed by nucleotide sequencing of the PCR amplified products (Figure 3D). Therefore, we confirmed that the promoter of atf1+ contained the major Atf1/Pcr1 binding site. It implies that transcriptional activation of atf1+ and pcr1+ in response to H2O2 is regulated by the positive feedback loop that could accelerate the transcriptional response.

To examine whether the transcriptional response of atf1+ or pcr1+ required the function of both Atf1 and Pcr1, we performed the real-time quantitative PCR analysis to determine the transcriptional profiles of atf1+ and pcr1+ of various strains in response to H2O2 treatment. Clearly, both atf1+ and pcr1+ increased their transcript levels in wild type cells after H2O2 treatment, consistent with the previous report [20,21]. We found that the response profile of atf1+ transcript was hardly altered in pcr1Δ cells, suggesting that Pcr1 is dispensable for the atf1+ transcriptional induction (Figure 3E), although the level of Atf1 protein is reduced in pcr1Δ cells [18]. On the other hand, the level of the pcr1+ transcriptional induction was significantly reduced in atf1Δ cells, indicating the Atf1 play an essential role in pcr1+ transcriptional response upon H2O2 treatment (Figure 3F). Pcr1 level is known to be reduced in atf1Δ cells [18]. These results...
suggest that reduced Atf1 level in \textit{pcr1} \textit{D} cells is sufficient for self transcriptional activation. In contrast, the reduced Pcr1 level in \textit{atf1} \textit{D} cells is insufficient for self transcriptional activation in response to H2O2 stress.

\textit{Atf1/Pcr1} is responsible for the high-level transcriptional response upon H2O2 treatment

We assumed that H2O2-induced transcriptional profiles of the \textit{Atf1} or Pcr1 regulated genes would be disrupted in \textit{atf1} \textit{D} or \textit{pcr1} \textit{D} cells. To find the H2O2-responsive genes that are dependent on the function of Atf1 or Pcr1, we determined transcriptional profiles of \textit{atf1} \textit{D}, \textit{pcr1} \textit{D}, and wild type cells in response to H2O2 treatment by using the ORF-specific oligonucleotides-based expression microarray in triplicates (see Materials and Methods). SAM analysis of the transcriptional response of wild type cells identified 613 differentially expressed genes: 368 were induced and 245 were repressed (Figure 4A; Table S5). Approximately 73\% of the CESR genes [20] were found among the H2O2-responsive genes (\textit{p}-value\textless 2.2e-16) (see Materials and Methods).

**Figure 2. Atf1 and Pcr1 share their major binding sites in the genome.** (A) Venn diagram showing the relationship between Atf1 major binding sites and Pcr1 major binding sites. The intersection shows the Atf1/Pcr1 major:major binding sites. Most of the Atf1 or Pcr1 Major binding sites in the non-intersection part are overlapped with the minor binding sites except for a few (see number in the parentheses) that are not overlapped with either major or minor binding sites indicated. (B) Scatter plot showing the relationship between enrichment levels of Atf1 and Pcr1 at the same loci. The display is identical to Figure 1D. (C) Profile of the Atf1 and Pcr1 occupancies. The heat-maps show the occupancy profile or the level of enrichment within a window of 1 Kb in length (\textasciitilde 33 probes) at each binding site. The profiles of binding sites are aligned at the apex. Average profiles of Atf1 and Pcr1 binding sites in cells prior to and after H2O2 treatment are shown at the bottom. (D) Position-specific scoring matrix (PSSM) showing the probability of nucleotide at each position. The most enriched motif matrix is shown: the column indicates the nucleotide position of the motif and the row indicates the nucleotide. (E) Motif logo based on the PSSM in (D). (F) ROC curve showing the PSSM scores of the Atf1/Pcr1 binding sequences (the true discovery) versus the randomly selected promoter sequences (the false positives). Black line indicates the randomly occurred motif and the red line indicates the enriched motif in the Atf1/Pcr1 binding sequences.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011620.g002
Comparison between transcriptional profiles of wild type and atf1Δ or per1Δ cells revealed that 148 H2O2-induced genes were significantly disrupted in atf1Δ cells and 120 were disrupted in per1Δ cells, suggesting that the transcriptional response of these genes was dependent on the function of Atf1 or Pcr1. Approximately 92% (110) of the Pcr1-dependent H2O2-induced genes were also found to be dependent on Atf1 function, indicating that most of the H2O2-induced Pcr1-dependent genes are co-regulated by both Atf1 and Pcr1 (i.e., the Atf1/Pcr1 heterodimer) (Table 2). Consistent with this, the degree of transcriptional disruption (judged by the ratio between transcriptional response levels in mutant and wild type cells) in atf1Δ cells was highly correlated with the degree of transcriptional disruption in per1Δ cells (correlation coefficient = 0.88; p-value = 2.2e-16) (Figure 4B). Interestingly, we found that the genes whose H2O2-induced transcription profiles were disrupted in atf1Δ and per1Δ cells appeared to exhibit higher level of transcriptional response when compared to those whose transcriptional induction was not significantly disrupted in mutant cells (p-value = 2.2E-16) (Figure 4C). This result indicates that Atf1 and Pcr1 are primarily responsible for the regulation of high-level transcriptional induction in response to H2O2.

Among the top 25 H2O2-induced genes (based on the average response level of 3 repeats at 30, 60, 120 min after H2O2 treatment), all showed disrupted transcription profiles in both atf1Δ and per1Δ cells except for sxx1Δ, indicating that their expression response is dependent on the function of both Atf1 and Pcr1, or the Atf1/Pcr1 heterodimer (see Table 2). It was noted that sxx1Δ, one of the top 25 H2O2-induced genes whose transcriptional response was Atf1-specific. We found that 38 induced genes were Atf1-specific in response to H2O2 and only 10 were Pcr1-specific, besides the 110 H2O2-induced genes that were dependent on both Atf1 and Pcr1 (Table 3). This result implies that Atf1 plays a more prominent role in response to H2O2 than Pcr1 does, consistent with the observation that atf1Δ cells display a severe phenotype whereas pcr1Δ exhibits a weak phenotype under the H2O2 stress condition (see Figure S1 in File S1) [18,19,34] and further supported by the previous analysis of Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites [23].

Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes regardless of transcriptional responsiveness to H2O2 exhibit the high likelihood of requirement for growth fitness in H2O2

Table 1. List of the top 20 Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes.

| No. | Gene name            | Atf1 level (log2) | Pcr1 level (log2) | A/P- Rank | CESR | Product      |
|-----|----------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|------|--------------|
| 1   | SPCC320.03           | 3.479445         | 3.957555         | 1         | +    | transporter  |
| 2   | SPACUNK4.19          | 3.612945         | 3.77028          | 2         |      | unknown      |
| 3   | SPBC1685.13          | 3.46139          | 3.850725         | 3         |      | transporter  |
| 4   | SPBC660.05           | 3.37339          | 3.562445         | 4         | +    | unknown      |
| 5   | SPBC232.11|tdh1               | 3.30439          | 3.626775   | 5     | glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase |
| 6   | SPAPBA3.04hsp9       | 3.16572          | 3.74333          | 6         | +    | heat shock protein |
| 7   | SPACUNK4.15          | 3.156445         | 3.4805           | 7         | +    | cyclic-nucleotide phosphodiesterase |
| 8   | SPAC1039.11c         | 3.213335         | 3.35761          | 8         |      | alpha-glucosidase |
| 9   | SPBC1105.13c         | 3.1415           | 3.264165         | 9         | +    | unknown      |
| 10  | SPBC1105.14|rs2               | 3.1415           | 3.264165   | 9     | transcription factor |
| 11  | SPCC63.14            | 3.198835         | 3.18039          | 10        | +    | unknown      |
| 12  | SPBC29B5.01|atf1              | 3.068055         | 3.25811    | 11    | transcription factor |
| 13  | SPCC1672.02c|sap1             | 3.242055         | 2.85228    | 12    | switch-activating protein |
| 14  | SPCC569.05c          | 2.856775         | 3.190445         | 13        |      | transporter  |
| 15  | SPAC328.03|tps1              | 2.92722          | 3.0935     | 14    | trehalose-phosphate synthase |
| 16  | SPAC16A10.01         | 2.95439          | 3.060225         | 15        | +    | unknown      |
| 17  | SPAC24C9.13c|spn5          | 2.95439          | 3.060225   | 15    | septin       |
| 18  | SPBC354.11c          | 2.95222          | 3.047945         | 16        |      | unknown      |
| 19  | SPBC215.05gpd1       | 2.93778          | 2.97672          | 17        | +    | glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase |
| 20  | SPAC17A2.11          | 2.837775         | 2.890225         | 18        |      | unknown      |

Note: a, Average level of Atf1 enrichment before and after H2O2 stress; b, Average level of Pcr1 enrichment before and after H2O2 stress; c, Ranks are based on the average level of Atf1/Pcr1 (A/P) enrichment; d, CESR is based on the study by Chen et al [34]; e, gene product is mainly based on the S. pombe gene database at www.genedb.org/gedenb/pombe. For a complete list of genes whose promoter is bound by Atf1/Pcr1, see Table S4.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011620.t001

Comparison between transcriptional profiles of wild type and atf1Δ or per1Δ cells revealed that 148 H2O2-induced genes were significantly disrupted in atf1Δ cells and 120 were disrupted in per1Δ cells, suggesting that the transcriptional response of these genes was dependent on the function of Atf1 or Pcr1. Approximately 92% (110) of the Pcr1-dependent H2O2-induced genes were also found to be dependent on Atf1 function, indicating that most of the H2O2-induced Pcr1-dependent genes are co-regulated by both Atf1 and Pcr1 (i.e., the Atf1/Pcr1 heterodimer) (Table 2). Consistent with this, the degree of transcriptional disruption (judged by the ratio between transcriptional response levels in mutant and wild type cells) in atf1Δ cells was highly correlated with the degree of transcriptional disruption in per1Δ cells (correlation coefficient = 0.88; p-value = 2.2e-16) (Figure 4B). Interestingly, we found that the genes whose H2O2-induced transcription profiles were disrupted in atf1Δ and per1Δ cells appeared to exhibit higher level of transcriptional response when compared to those whose transcriptional induction was not significantly disrupted in mutant cells (p-value = 2.2E-16) (Figure 4C). This result indicates that Atf1 and Pcr1 are primarily responsible for the regulation of high-level transcriptional induction in response to H2O2.

Among the top 25 H2O2-induced genes (based on the average response level of 3 repeats at 30, 60, 120 min after H2O2 treatment), all showed disrupted transcription profiles in both atf1Δ and per1Δ cells except for sxx1Δ, indicating that their expression response is dependent on the function of both Atf1 and Pcr1, or the Atf1/Pcr1 heterodimer (see Table 2). It was noted that sxx1Δ, one of the top 25 H2O2-induced genes whose transcriptional response was Atf1-specific. We found that 38 induced genes were Atf1-specific in response to H2O2 and only 10 were Pcr1-specific, besides the 110 H2O2-induced genes that were dependent on both Atf1 and Pcr1 (Table 3). This result implies that Atf1 plays a more prominent role in response to H2O2 than Pcr1 does, consistent with the observation that atf1Δ cells display a severe phenotype whereas pcr1Δ exhibits a weak phenotype under the H2O2 stress condition (see Figure S1 in File S1) [18,19,34] and further supported by the previous analysis of Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites [23].

Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes regardless of transcriptional responsiveness to H2O2 exhibit the high likelihood of requirement for growth fitness in H2O2

Genome-wide expression profiling identified that 158 out of 368 H2O2-induced genes whose response profile was clearly disrupted in atf1Δ and/or per1Δ cells, indicating that their transcriptional response is Atf1- and/or Pcr1-dependent (see Figure 4A). Global Atf1/Pcr1-binding profiling also revealed 158 genes whose promoter contained major Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites, suggesting that they are the major genomic targets for Atf1/Pcr1. Given that the bindings were constitutively present before and after H2O2 stress, some of these Atf1/Pcr1-bound or target genes would not necessarily be involved in response to H2O2. According to transcriptional response profiles, we found that, among the 158 Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes, 71 (~46%) were H2O2-responsive and 85 (~54%) were not. Of the 71 Atf1/Pcr1-bound H2O2-responsive genes, 61 were induced, supporting the notion that Atf1/Pcr1 generally play an active role in transcriptional response to H2O2 (Figure 5A).

We assumed that Atf1/Pcr1-bound H2O2-induced genes would preferentially show the Atf1/Pcr1-dependent transcriptional profile in response to H2O2 treatment. To test this assumption,
we determined the enrichment level of Atf1/Pcr1-bound H₂O₂-induced genes in groups of the Atf1 and/or Pcr1-dependent or independent genes. We found that ~27.3% of the Atf1/Pcr1-dependent genes was bound by Atf1/Pcr1, which was 1.65-fold of the level by chance (16.6%, \( p \)-value, 0.01) (Figure 5B). And ~34.2% of the Atf1-specific genes was bound by Atf1/Pcr1, which was more than 2-fold of the level by chance (\( p \)-value, 0.01). In the contrary, we found that 7.6% of the Atf1/Pcr1-independent genes were bound by Atf1/Pcr1, which was less than 50% of the level by chance (\( p \)-value<0.001). It was noted that no significant enrichment for the Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes in the group of Pcr1-specific genes, because this group contained only 10 genes, 2 of which were bound by Atf1/Pcr1. We therefore concluded that Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes were generally the Atf1/Pcr1-dependent or Atf1-specific H₂O₂-induced genes.

Expression profiling indicated that 158 H₂O₂-induced genes were dependent on the function of Atf1 and/or Pcr1, of which, 45 were the major Atf1/Pcr1 binding targets and 50 were the minor targets. The remaining 63 genes were not bound by Atf1/Pcr1, implying that these genes are unlikely to be regulated by Atf1/Pcr1 directly. We assumed that the decay rate for all transcripts was similar and proposed that the induction of these Atf1/Pcr1-unbound genes were the indirect result of the Atf1/Pcr1-regulated transcriptional response to H₂O₂ treatment. This is because,
during response to H$_2$O$_2$ stress, the induction of some of the Atf1/Pcr1 direct targets (or the bound induced genes) such as cgs2 [33] could be responsible for the induction of the Atf1/Pcr1-unbound genes. If this was the case, we would see a time delay in transcriptional response for these unbound induced genes (i.e., the indirectly regulated genes) when compared to that of the bound induced genes (i.e., the directly regulated genes). For this reason, we examined the time that was needed to reach the level of 4-fold increase in the average transcript level of the Atf1/Pcr1-bound induced and Atf1/Pcr1-unbound induced genes. It took a little bit more than 10min for the Atf1/Pcr1-bound H$_2$O$_2$-induced genes to reach the level of 4-fold increase (Figure 5C). On the other hand, it took nearly 30min to reach that level for the Atf1/Pcr1-unbound H$_2$O$_2$-induced genes. This result support the idea that some of the Atf1/Pcr1-bound Atf1/Pcr1-dependent genes are responsible for the transcriptional response the Atf1/Pcr1-unbound Atf1/Pcr1-dependent genes. Furthermore, we tested if the Atf1/Pcr1 indirectly regulated (i.e., Atf1/Pcr1-unbound) H$_2$O$_2$-induced genes would exhibit a lower probability of requirement for growth fitness under the H$_2$O$_2$ stress conditions than did the Atf1/Pcr1 directly regulated (i.e., Atf1/Pcr1-bound) ones. To this end, we selected the 60 mutant strains bearing a deletion allele of the Atf1/Pcr1-dependent H$_2$O$_2$-induced genes available from the Bioneer haploid deletion strain set (see Materials and Methods). Among these strains, 26 contained a deletion allele of the Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes and 34 contained the Atf1/Pcr1-unbound genes deletion allele.

Mini-culture growth curve analysis was performed to determine if the deletion strains exhibited growth defect in presence of H$_2$O$_2$. We found that half-maximal time $T_{50}$ (i.e., the time required for culture to reach half-maximal concentration) was inversely proportional to the initial culture concentration (see Figure S4 in File S1). Thus, the difference $|AT_{50}|$ between $T_{50}$ of cultures in media supplemented with and without H$_2$O$_2$ would indicate the level of growth defect under H$_2$O$_2$-induced stress condition. We defined the normalized $AT_{50}$ of individual deletion strains (i.e., $AT_{50}$ of mutant strains was divided by $AT_{50}$ of the wild type) as deficient score. The strain was designated as H$_2$O$_2$-sensitive if its deficient score was 2 or greater. The mini-culture growth curve assay identified 6 out of 26 ($\sim$23%) Atf1/Pcr1-bound-gene deletion strains that exhibited H$_2$O$_2$ sensitivity (Figure 5D; Table S6). On the other hand, only 1 out of 34 ($\sim$2.9%) Atf1/Pcr1-unbound-gene deletion strains showed H$_2$O$_2$ sensitivity. Clearly, this result supports the idea that Atf1/Pcr1 directly regulated...
unresponsive genes were sensitivity to H2O2 (Figure 5F), display-survival as the Atf1/Pcr1-unbound H2O2-induced genes. The wanted to know if the Atf1/Pcr1-bound H2O2-unresponsive genes Since the Atf1/Pcr1 bindings were present before H2O2, surprisingly, mini-culture growth curve assay indicated that strains bearing a deletion allele of the Atf1/Pcr1-bound H2O2- those at the induced genes (Figure 5E), suggesting that the primary transcription was significantly altered in response to other stress factors but not H2O2. Therefore, we determined the Sty1 binding sites in cells after H2O2 based on two independently repeated ChIP-chip experiments. Approximately 186 (~81%) Sty1 binding sites were identified in cells after H2O2 treatment in two independently repeated ChIP-chip experiments (Figure 6A). The enrichment level of Sty1 binding sites was well correlated between the two experiments (correlation coefficient = 0.84; p-value = 0.013). Among the 89 major Sty1 binding sites that overlapped with the major Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites, a significantly correlation was found between the enrichment levels of Sty1 binding sites in cells after H2O2 treatment. The analysis showed that there are ~250 promoter binding sites in cells after H2O2 treatment. On the other hand, less than 100 promoter binding sites were found in cells prior to H2O2 treatment. No apparent correlation between levels of Sty1 binding in cells prior to and after H2O2 treatment was found (see Figure S5 in File S1). This result suggests that the Sty1 recruitment are primarily induced by H2O2 stress, consistent with the observation that Sty1 was translocated into nucleus upon H2O2 treatment [15,19,27]. Although about half of the identified Sty1 binding sites in cells prior to H2O2 stress were found to be overlapped in the two independent repeats, hardly any correlation was found between the enrichment levels of Sty1 binding sites in the two repeats (see Figure S6 in File S1), suggesting that the Sty1 binding in the genome prior to H2O2 stress is less specific. Hence, we determined the Sty1 binding sites in cells after H2O2 based on two independently repeated ChIP-chip experiments. Among the 89 major Sty1 binding sites that overlapped with the major Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites, a significantly correlation was found between the enrichment levels of Sty1 and Atf1/Pcr1 (i.e., the average level of Atf1 and Pcr1) (correlation coefficient = 0.5, p-value = 4.61e-07) (Figure 6C). This result suggests that the level of Sty1 recruitment is correlated with the enrichment level of the major Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites. Consistent with this, we found that the median enrichment level of Sty1 at the binding sites overlapped with the major Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites was higher than that of the non-overlapping ones (~82%) were found to overlap with the Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites (89 overlapped with the major Atf1/Pcr1 common binding sites and 63 with Atf1 and/or Pcr1 binding sites), indicating that Sty1 is primarily recruited at the Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites in the genome induced by H2O2 treatment. To test if the recruitment of Sty1 was dependent on the function of Atf1/Pcr1, we performed ChIP-chip analysis of Sty1 in cells after treatment with H2O2. Atf1/Pcr1 dependency of Sty1 recruitment was estimated by the ratio between the enrichment levels of Sty1 in atf1Δ or per1Δ cells and wild type cells.

### Table 2. List of the top 25 H2O2 induced Atf1/Pcr1 dependent genes.

| No. | Resp. rank | Gene name       | Product                                      |
|-----|------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 1   | 1          | SPACUNK4.17     | NAD binding dehydrogenase family protein     |
| 2   | 2          | SPBC16E9.16c    | Lsd90 protein                                |
| 3   | 3          | SPBC37.E0024jshp16 | heat shock protein                           |
| 4   | 4          | SPBC365.12jshl1  | LEA domain protein                           |
| 5   | 5          | SPAC637.03      | conserved fungal protein                     |
| 6   | 6          | SPAC139.05      | succinate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase         |
| 7   | 7          | SPAC2F3.05c     | xylose and arabinose reductase               |
| 8   | 8          | SPBC725.03      | conserved fungal protein                     |
| 9   | 9          | SPAC19DS.01jpp2 | tyrosine phosphatase                         |
| 10  | 10         | SPBC660.05      | conserved fungal protein                     |
| 11  | 11         | SPAC36G6.07     | unknown                                      |
| 12  | 12         | SPAC535.02      | phosphoglycerate mutase family               |
| 13  | 13         | SPAC15E1.02c    | unknown                                      |
| 14  | 14         | SPCC338.18      | unknown                                      |
| 15  | 15         | SPAC2F8.05      | trehalose-phosphate synthase                 |
| 16  | 16         | SPCC23C11.06c   | hydrolyase                                   |
| 17  | 17         | SPCC1223.03cgu2 | glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase           |
| 18  | 18         | SPBC1773.05cmts1 | hexitof dehydrogenase                      |
| 19  | 19         | SPBC16D1.08c    | heat shock protein                           |
| 20  | 20         | SPCC1223.02mmt1 | no message in thiamine                      |
| 21  | 21         | SPBC1C11.06c    | unknown                                      |
| 22  | 22         | SPAC22A12.17c   | short chain dehydrogenase                   |
| 23  | 23         | SPCC1739.08c    | short chain dehydrogenase                   |
| 24  | 24         | SPCC191.01      | unknown                                      |
| 25  | 25         | SPCC16A11.15c   | unknown                                      |

Note: a, Responsive (Resp.) rank is based on the average level of transcriptional induction at 30, 60, and 120 min after H2O2 stress in three repeats when compared to the level at 0 min. For a complete list of the Atf1/Pcr1-dependent H2O2-induced genes, see Table S10.

H2O2-induced genes play a major role but not the Atf1/Pcr1 indirectly regulated H2O2-induced genes in regulation of growth fitness in H2O2 stress conditions (p-value = 0.013).

We found that 87 out of 158 Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes were not responsive to H2O2 treatment (see Figure 5A, grey bars). The Atf1/Pcr1 binding level at these genes were slightly lower than those at the induced genes (Figure 5E), suggesting that the primary role for Atf1/Pcr1 is to induced transcriptional response to H2O2. Since the Atf1/Pcr1 bindings were present before H2O2 treatment, many of the Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes might be involved in response to other stress factors but not H2O2. Therefore, we wanted to know if the Atf1/Pcr1-bound H2O2-unresponsive genes (13 bound unresponsive genes were excluded because their basal transcription was significantly altered in atf1Δ and/or per1Δ cells) would show a low likelihood of requirement for H2O2 resistance and survival as the Atf1/Pcr1-unbound H2O2-induced genes. Surprisingly, mini-culture growth curve assay indicated that ~25% (6 out of 24 strains) of the random selected mutant strains bearing a deletion allele of the Atf1/Pcr1-bound H2O2-unresponsive genes were sensitivity to H2O2 (Figure 5F), displaying a high likelihood of requirement as the Atf1/Pcr1-bound H2O2-induced genes. This result indicates that Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes, regardless of transcriptional induction by H2O2, exhibit the high likelihood of requirement for growth fitness in H2O2 stress condition.

**Sty1 is primarily recruited at the Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites upon H2O2 treatment**

To determine the genomic binding profiles of Sty1, we performed the ChIP-chip analysis of Sty1 in cells prior to and after H2O2 treatment. The analysis showed that there are ~250 promoter binding sites in cells after H2O2 treatment. On the other hand, less than 100 promoter binding sites were found in cells prior to H2O2 treatment. No apparent correlation between levels of Sty1 binding in cells prior to and after H2O2 treatment was found (see Figure S5 in File S1). This result suggests that the Sty1 recruitment are primarily induced by H2O2 stress, consistent with the observation that Sty1 was translocated into nucleus upon H2O2 treatment [15,19,27]. Although about half of the identified Sty1 binding sites in cells prior to H2O2 stress were found to be overlapped in the two independent repeats, hardly any correlation was found between the enrichment levels of Sty1 binding sites in the two repeats (see Figure S6 in File S1), suggesting that the Sty1 binding in the genome prior to H2O2 stress is less specific. Hence, we determined the Sty1 binding sites in cells after H2O2 based on two independently repeated ChIP-chip experiments.
Table 3. List of the top 7 H₂O₂ induced Atf1 or Pcr1 specific genes.

| No. | Resp.rank | Gene name       | Dependency | Product                                         |
|-----|-----------|-----------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | 25        | SPBC106.02c|srx1       | Atf1 sulfiredoxin                               |
| 2   | 39        | SPBC660.07|ntp1       | Atf1 alpha,alpha-trehalase                      |
| 3   | 47        | SPBC19C7.04c |           | Atf1 unknown                                    |
| 4   | 50        | SPAC22H12.01c |           | Atf1 unknown                                    |
| 5   | 53        | SPBC30D10.14 |           | dienelactone hydrolase family                   |
| 6   | 60        | SPCC70.08c |           | Atf1 methyltransferase (predicted)              |
| 7   | 77        | SPBC16A3.02c |           | Atf1 mitochondrial peptidase (predicted)        |
| 8   | 57        | SPAC23G3.03|sib2       | Pcr1 ornithine N5 monoxygenase                  |
| 9   | 76        | SPAC513.07 |           | flavonol reductase/cinnamoyl-CoA reductase family |
| 10  | 106       | SPBC2D10.05|exg3       | Pcr1 glucan 1,3-beta-glucosidase                |
| 11  | 178       | SPAC343.12|rds1       | Pcr1 conserved fungal protein                   |
| 12  | 186       | SPCC830.08c |           | Pcr1 ER membrane protein DP1/Yop1              |
| 13  | 199       | SPAC4H3.08 |           | Pcr1 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase            |
| 14  | 216       | SPBC388.04c |           | Pcr1 membrane transporter                      |

Note: a, Responsive (Resp.) rank is based on the average level of transcriptional induction at 30, 60, and 120 min after H₂O₂ stress in three repeats when compared to the level at 0 min; b, specific dependency is indicated as Atf1 (Atf1-specific) or Pcr1 (Pcr1-specific). For a complete list of the Atf1 or Pcr1-specific H₂O₂-induced genes, see Table S11.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011620.t003

Figure 5. Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes exhibit high likelihood of requirement for resistance and survival to H₂O₂. (A) Histogram showing the number of Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes that exhibit various levels of average transcriptional response. Induced, repressed, and unresponsive genes are indicated by red, green, and grey, respectively. (B) Table showing the number of Atf1/Pcr1-bound H₂O₂-induced genes in various gene groups indicated. The p-value at 0.01 or 0.001 is indicated by 1 asterisk or 2 asterisks. Red and green indicate the enrichment and depletion, respectively. (C) Average expression profile of various gene groups: the Atf1/Pcr1 (major)-bound genes (in red), Atf1/Pcr1 (minor)-bound genes (in grey), and Atf1/Pcr1-unbound genes (in black). The purple dash line indicates the 4-fold increase in level of average transcription. Red and black arrows indicate the time when the average transcription level of the (major) Atf1/Pcr1-bound and unbound genes reaches 4-fold higher than the initial level at 0-min, respectively. (D) Scatter plot showing the level of transcript increase versus the deficient score (the level of requirement for growth fitness). Circle and cross indicate the Atf1/Pcr1-bound H₂O₂-induced genes and the unbound induced gene, respectively. (E) Box-plot indicating the distribution of the average level of Atf1 and Pcr1 enrichment at the H₂O₂-induced genes (red) and unresponsive genes (blue). (F) Scatter plot showing the level of Atf1/Pcr1 binding versus the deficient score. Circle and cross indicate the Atf1/Pcr1-bound H₂O₂-induced genes and Atf1/Pcr1-bound H₂O₂-unresponsive genes, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011620.g005
Figure 6. Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites are the major targets of the Sty1 recruitment upon H2O2 treatment. (A) Venn diagram showing the relationship between the Sty1 binding sites found in two independently repeated ChIP-chip experiments. (B) Scatter plot showing the relationship between the levels of Sty1 recruitment in two repeats. Black and grey dots indicate the overlapping and non-overlapping binding sites in two repeats. The diagonal and two-fold lines are indicated in black and purple, respectively. (C) Scatter plot showing the relationship between the levels of average Atf1/Pcr1 enrichment and average Sty1 enrichment at the 89 loci containing both major Atf1/Pcr1 and major Sty1 binding sites. The diagonal and two-fold lines are indicated in black and purple, respectively. (D) Box-plot showing the average levels of Sty1 enrichment at the loci that are overlapped with (OL w/) major Atf1/Pcr1 (in black) or not overlapped with (NOL w/) Atf1/Pcr1 (in grey) binding sites. (E) Box-plot showing the average levels of Atf1/Pcr1 enrichment at the loci that are overlapped with (OL w/) major Sty1 (in black) or not overlapped with (NOL w/) Sty1 (in grey) binding sites. (F) Scatter plot showing the ratio between Sty1 enrichment levels in atf1Δ or pcr1Δ and wild type cells. The solid line indicates the median and the dash line indicates the ratio at 50% reduction (−0.585 in log2 scale). (G) Histogram showing the number of Sty1-bound genes that exhibit various ratios between Sty1 enrichment levels in atf1Δ/pcr1Δ and wild type cells. Sty1-bound genes that are also Atf1/Pcr1-bound (OL w/) or not bound (NOL w/) are indicated in black or grey. (H) Histogram showing the number of Sty1-bound Atf1/Pcr1-bound genes that exhibit various ratios between Sty1 enrichment levels in atf1Δ/pcr1Δ and wild type cells. Genes that are induced or unresponsive upon H2O2 treatment are indicated by red or grey bars. The arrow indicates the cutoff for the Atf1/Pcr1-dependently Sty1-recruited genes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011620.g006
H2O2-induced genes, but not at the H2O2-responsive genes. The dependence of Sty1 recruitment on Atf1 or Pcr1 is apparent at the unresponsive genes, which was only 40% of the background level atf1Δ unresponsive genes. Notably, the ratio between Sty1 recruitment atf1Δ or pcr1Δ cells (Figure 6G, see grey bars). The level of reduction was not dramatic, it was considered to be statistically significant when compared to those at the Atf1/Per1-bound loci (p-value = 4.77e-06).

Many Atf1/Per1-bound genes were found to be unresponsive to H2O2 treatment (see Figure 3A). We therefore wanted to know if the Atf1/Per1-binding of Sty1 recruitment would preferentially occur at the H2O2-induced genes. To this end, 89 Sty1-recruited Atf1/Per1-binding sites were assigned to 101 genes (see Materials and Methods) (Table S8). Among the 101 Sty1-recruited genes, 44 were H2O2-responsive (39 were induced and 5 were repressed) genes and the remaining 57 were H2O2-unresponsive genes. Notably, the ratio between Sty1 recruitment levels in atf1Δ or pcr1Δ and wild type cells at the H2O2-induced genes was lower than that at the unresponsive genes (0.56 versus 0.92; p-value < 0.001) (Figure 6H). This result indicates that the dependency of Sty1 recruitment on Atf1 or Per1 is apparent at the H2O2-induced genes, but not at the H2O2-unresponsive genes.

We defined that the Sty1 recruitment was Atf1/Per1-dependent if the ratio between the Sty1 recruitment levels in atf1Δ or pcr1Δ and wild type cells was less than 0.6. Hence, 31 out of 101 Sty1-recruited genes exhibited Atf1/Per1-dependent recruitment (one of the 101 genes was not presented in the expression microarray) (Table 4). Clearly, 24 out of 31 Atf1/Per1-dependent Sty1-recruited genes were found in the group of 39 Atf1/Per1-bound Sty1-recruited H2O2-induced genes, which was 2-fold higher than the background level (~30%, p-value = 1.8e-03). On the other hand, only 7 Atf1/Per1-dependent Sty1-recruited genes were found in the group of 57 Atf1/Per1-bound Sty1-recruited H2O2-unresponsive genes, which was only 40% of the background level (p-value = 1.3e-04). We hence conclude that Atf1/Per1-dependent Sty1 recruitment primarily occurred at the Atf1/Per1-bound H2O2-induced genes.

Discussion

The evolutionarily conserved MAPK Sty1 and bZIP transcription factor Atf1 in fission yeast play a major role in response to various environmental stress factors [9,26]. In this study, we demonstrate that the major Atf1 binding sites in the genome are present before and after H2O2 stress (Figure 1C–D). The Atf1 binding partner, a small bZIP protein Per1, is found to share most of the Atf1 binding sites (Figure 2A–B), though the phenotype of per1Δ is much weaker than that of atf1Δ upon H2O2 stress (see Figure S1 in File S1) that has been reported [18,23,34]. Because Atf1 or Per1 alone can also bind DNA [22], it is therefore conceivable that Atf1 and Per1 are able to act as either heterodimer or homodimer to regulate transcription during response to H2O2.

Phenotypic assessment indicates that the bound-induced genes have a higher likelihood of requirement for growth fitness under the H2O2-induced stress condition than do the unbound-induced genes (Figure 3D), indicating that the bound-induced genes are the primary set of genes that are regulated by Atf1/Per1 in response to H2O2. Indeed, the unbound induced genes show a delayed response when compared to the bound induced genes (Figure 3C), suggesting that the unbound induced genes may be controlled by the product of the bound induced genes such as gpd2 [33], but not regulated directly by Atf1/Per1. Interestingly, of the Atf1/Per1-dependent H2O2-induced genes, Atf1/Per1-bound ones show a severer reduction in transcriptional response in atf1Δ cells than that in pcr1Δ (see Figure S7 in File S1). Furthermore, we found that 13 Atf1-specific H2O2-induced genes are bound by Atf1/Per1 but only 2 Per1-specific genes are bound by Atf1/Per1 (Figure 3B). These results may explain why atf1Δ exhibits a severer phenotype than the pcr1Δ.

It has been shown that atf1Δ promoter-controlled Atf1 in per1Δ background or Per1 in atf1Δ background can localize to nucleus, indicating that their nucleus localization does not require the Atf1/Per1 heterodimer function [34]. It is therefore most likely that Atf1 or Per1 can bind to their genomic targets without formation of heterodimer [22]. If this is true, one would expect that Atf1 or Atf1 homodimer is more active than Per1 homodimer in transcriptional response to H2O2 stress, while the heterodimer is the most active form. Alternatively, Atf1 could form alternative heterodimer more efficiently than does Per1. It has been shown that Per1 is only required for localization of the Atf1/Per1 heterodimer to the recombination hotspot ade6 [48]. Tethering of Atf1 through a heterologous DNA binding domain to ade6 without Pcr1 can promote meiotic recombination [48,49]. Therefore, we conclude that Atf1 plays a more important role in transcriptional response to H2O2 stress than does Per1.

In this study, we show that Atf1/Per1 bind at the promoter of both atf1Δ and per1Δ genes (Figure 3), indicating a positive feedback loop in transcriptional regulation of atf1Δ and per1Δ that has been hypothesized by others [14]. Clearly, transcriptional response of per1Δ is largely diminished in atf1Δ cells, consistent with the previous report [18]. In contrast, the transcriptional response of atf1Δ is hardly affected in per1Δ cells, although the level of Atf1 protein is impaired in per1Δ cells [18]. This result suggests that the reduced level of Atf1 protein in per1Δ cells does not affect the atf1Δ self transcriptional response.

Hundreds of genes are found to be differentially transcribed upon H2O2 stress in this study (see Figure 4A). Approximately 40% (158) of the induced genes and 10% (24) of the repressed genes are dependent on the function of Atf1 and/or Per1. On the other hand, ChIP-chip analysis shows that 45 out of 158 induced dependent genes and 2 out of 24 repressed dependent genes are bound by Atf1/Per1 at the promoter. These results indicate that Atf1/Per1 is primarily involved in regulation of many induced genes but not repressed genes upon H2O2 stress. It has been shown that the Atf1 binding levels increased at the promoter of the stress-induced gpd1 and hsp9 genes after osmotic stress [18]. Although Atf1 binding levels are not apparently increased at the promoter of gpd1 and hsp9 after H2O2 stress in this study, we do observed that the levels of Atf1 binding at the induced genes but not at the unresponsive genes appear to be increased after stress (p-value = 0.013) (see Figure S8 in File S1). However, the tendency for binding level increase at the induced genes is not obvious for Per1. This probably is a result of stabilization of Atf1 by Per1 upon H2O2 stress, as proposed by Lawrence et al. [18], at the induced genes but not at the unresponsive genes.

We show here that majority of the Atf1/Per1-bound genes are not responsive to H2O2 stress (Figure 3A). Significantly, these unresponsive genes display a similar likelihood of requirement for growth fitness under H2O2-induced stress condition as the bound-
induced genes (Figure 5F). We propose that the Atf1/Pcr1-bound H2O2-unresponsive genes are involved in response to other stress factors. Thus, exposure of cells to other stress factors that induce the Atf1/Pcr1-bound H2O2-unresponsive genes would enable the cells to adapt to the H2O2 stress conditions. Exposure of cells to one stress may enable them to adapt to other stress conditions. It is therefore conceivable that the Sty1-Atf1 pathway could play a role in the acquired stress adaptation in fission yeast.

In this study, we show that, unlike Atf1/Pcr1, Sty1 is recruited to the promoter after H2O2 treatment (see Figure S5 in File S1). Our analysis indicates that Sty1 is primarily recruited to the Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites in the genome (Figure 6D), indicating that Atf1/Pcr1 is a major nuclear target for Sty1. Sty1 recruitment shows the apparent dependence on Atf1/Pcr1 at the Atf1/Pcr1-bound H2O2-induced genes but not at the bound-unresponsive genes (Figure 6H). This result implies that other factors are bound at the promoter of the Atf1/Pcr1-bound H2O2-unresponsive genes. We propose that these unknown factors would play at least two roles: suppression of Atf1/Pcr1 activity upon H2O2 treatment and recruitment of Sty1 when Atf1 or Pcr1 is absent. Further studies on transcription factors involved in response to other stresses will allow us to identify these factors. In conclusion, our analysis of genomic binding profiling of MAPK Sty1 and bZIP transcription factors Atf1/Pcr1, global transcription profiling of cells in response to H2O2 stress, and phenotypic assessment of 90 deletion strains provide insight into mechanisms for global regulation of transcriptional response to H2O2 by the Sty1-Atf1/Pcr1 pathway in fission yeast.

Materials and Methods

DNA, strains and culture manipulations

The strains used in this study are shown in Table 5. Deletion or epitope-tagging strains were constructed as previously described [50]. Log-phase growth cells (OD600 = 0.3–0.4) were subject to

Table 4. List of the 31 genes exhibit the apparent Atf1/Pcr1-dependent recruitment for Sty1.

| No. | Sty1 rank | Gene name | Resp. rank | Sty1 level | Ratio (mut/wt) | Resp. depend. | Atf1/Pcr1 rank |
|-----|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1   | 11        | SPBC1683.01 | 217        | 2.94       | 0.54           | Atf1          | 47            |
| 2   | 18        | SPBC1105.13c | 52         | 2.71       | 0.57           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 9             |
| 3   | 18        | SPBC1105.14Crsv2 | 114     | 2.71       | 0.57           | Atf1          | 9             |
| 4   | 21        | SPAC1751.01Cgt1 | 44      | 2.61       | 0.41           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 90            |
| 5   | 23        | SPAC343.12Rds1 | 178     | 2.53       | 0.44           | Pcr1          | 33            |
| 6   | 25        | SPAP8A3.04Chsp9 | 102     | 2.49       | 0.35           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 6             |
| 7   | 32        | SPAC258E.12c | NA         | 2.35       | 0.59           | Pcr1          | 30            |
| 8   | 40        | SPBC660.05  | 10         | 2.26       | 0.31           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 4             |
| 9   | 43        | SPCC794.12Cmae2 | NA      | 2.21       | 0.56           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 31            |
| 10  | 44        | SPAC22F8.05 | 15         | 2.20       | 0.25           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 50            |
| 11  | 48        | SPAC328.03Tps1 | 87      | 2.16       | 0.39           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 14            |
| 12  | 49        | SPAC16A10.01 | 98        | 2.15       | 0.38           | Atf1          | 15            |
| 13  | 49        | SPAC24C9.15CSpn5 | NA    | 2.15       | 0.38           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 15            |
| 14  | 53        | SPACUNK4.17  | 1         | 2.09       | 0.46           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 26            |
| 15  | 59        | SPACUNK4.15  | 68        | 2.03       | 0.47           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 7             |
| 16  | 61        | SPBC21C3.19  | 62        | 2.01       | 0.43           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 70            |
| 17  | 63        | SPBC29B5.01Atf1 | 175    | 1.99       | 0.55           | Atf1          | 11            |
| 18  | 65        | SPB8B21E7.08 | NA         | 1.96       | 0.20           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 69            |
| 19  | 69        | SPAC23H3.15C | 30        | 1.86       | 0.30           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 36            |
| 20  | 69        | SPAC25H1.02Jn1 | 155     | 1.86       | 0.30           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 36            |
| 21  | 70        | SPCC757.07Cctt1 | 137    | 1.85       | 0.59           | Atf1          | 75            |
| 22  | 79        | SPAC19D5.01Jpp2 | 9       | 1.73       | 0.58           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 27            |
| 23  | 83        | SPAC3A11.07  | NA         | 1.67       | 0.52           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 107           |
| 24  | 85        | SPCC1322.07C | 64        | 1.66       | 0.37           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 32            |
| 25  | 85        | SPCC1322.08Srkl | 54      | 1.66       | 0.37           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 32            |
| 26  | 89        | SPAC13F5.03C | 38        | 1.61       | 0.58           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 41            |
| 27  | 106       | SPBP4G3.02Pho1 | NA      | 1.52       | 0.46           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 137           |
| 28  | 135       | SPBC717.11Cjmmp3 | NA     | 1.28       | 0.50           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 96            |
| 29  | 141       | SPCC31B10.06  | 61        | 1.25       | 0.43           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 24            |
| 30  | 159       | SPAC32A11.02C | 56        | 1.18       | 0.56           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 54            |
| 31  | 162       | SPAC8C9.03Cgpl | 71       | 1.16       | 0.46           | Atf1/Pcr1     | 44            |

Note: a, Sty1 rank is based on the level of Sty1 recruitment; b, responsive rank is based on the level of transcription induction (unresponsive genes are indicated as not applicable or NA); c, Sty1 level is in log2 scale; d, ratio between Sty1 level in mutants and level in wild type cells; e, Response dependency (depend.) indicates the dependence of transcriptional responses; f, Atf1/Pcr1 rank is based on the average level of Atf1 and Pcr1 enrichment.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011620.t004
the treatment with 0.5 mM H₂O₂ (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) for various periods of time for ChIP-chip binding analysis (0 and 30 min) or transcription profiling analysis (0, 10, 30, 60, and 120 min). Cells from ~20 ml cultures at various time points was spun out, snap-chilled in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C for later RNA extraction using hot-phenol protocol [51]. For ChiP experiment, cells from ~200 ml cultures were treated with 1% formaldehyde (v/v) (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 min before harvest.

Sequence-specific primers (primer A: 5′-TACGAATTCTC-3′ and primer B: 5′-AGTACTGGAAG-3′) were used in PCR amplification using either genomic DNA or cDNA.

Phenotypic assessment

Plating assay was applied for testing sensitivity to H₂O₂ in various HA-tagged strains used in ChiP-chip analysis. The 10-fold serial diluted cells were spotted on plates supplemented with or without H₂O₂ and incubated for 2–4 days at 30°C. The Bioscreen-C system (Growth Curves USA Inc., Piscataway, NJ) was used to determine the mini-culture growth curve for quantitative measurement of H₂O₂ sensitivity in various gene deletion strains (Bioneer Corp., Daejeon, South Korea). The timing at the half-maximal concentration (Chalf-max) was found to be proportional to the concentration of the initial cultures (see Figure S4A in File S1). Cells under the stress condition would take longer time (T50-stress) to reach the Chalf-max when compared to the T50-optimal of cells under the optimal condition. The time difference (ΔT50 = T50-stress - T50-optimal) in reaching the Chalf-max, between stress and optimal conditions was proportional to the level of sensitivity to H₂O₂ in a/αA and per1ΔA cells (see Figure S4B in File S1). The ratio (average of at least 3 repeats) between the T50 in the deletion and wild type strains was defined as the deficient score. Genes whose disruption confers the sensitivity to H₂O₂ would be functionally required for growth under the H₂O₂ stress condition. The deficient score was proportional to the requirement for growth under stress.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChiP)

Cell lysate was prepared using the Fast Prep glass-beads beater (Bio 101, Carlsbad, CA) for 30 min bursts with a interval of minimal 2 min. The lysate was further homogenized 3 times at 30% of maximal strength for 30 sec sonication (Branson Power Company, Danbury, CT). Part of the resulting lysate was used for DNA extract (e.g., WCE-DNA) and the remaining was mixed with anti-HA antibody-coupled agarose beads (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, CA) to enriched DNA molecules that are bound by the HA-tagged proteins (ChiP-DNA). Both ChiP-DNA and WCE-DNA were linear amplified by the GenomePlex amplification kit (Sigma-Aldrich) before labeling for microarray hybridization (second chip).

Labeling of nuclear acids and hybridization of microarrays

Linear- amplified DNA was labeled with amino-allyl-dUTP by random priming using the BioPrime DNA Labeling kit (Invitrogen Co.). Amino-allyl-dUTP-containing DNA was coupled to the Cyanine dyes Cy5 or Cy3 (Amersham). Cy5-labeled ChiP-DNA and Cy3-labeled WCE-DNA were co-hybridized to the genome-tiled DNA microarrays. In transcriptional profile analysis, cDNA was synthesized from the hot-phenol extracted RNA using the Transcript II (Invitrogen Co., Carlsbad, CA) and poly(dT) primers in presence of amino-allyl-dUTP. Cy5-labeled sample cDNA and Cy3-labeled common reference cDNA (e.g., log-phase growth wild type cells) were co-hybridized to the ORF-specific DNA microarrays. The washing and scanning of the microarray were done as previously described [51].

Microarray design and data acquisitions

High-resolution genome tiling microarrays were designed to cover both strand of the S. pombe genome with ~380 thousands 50-mer oligonucleotides tiled 17bps at alternate strand. The customized microarrays were manufactured using the mask-less NimbleGen technology (Roche NimbleGen Inc., Madison, WI). Approximately 10 thousand 50-mer oligonucleotides representing ~5,000 ORFs were spotted by the Arrayer (GeneMachines, San Carlos, CA) on the poly-Lysine coated glass slides and processed as described previously [51].

Hybridized microarray slides were scanned using the Axon GenePix 4000B scanner (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) at a resolution of ~5 µm at 532 and 635 nm. The NimbleScan 3 (Roche NimbleGen Inc.) software and GenePix Pro7 (Molecular Devices) were used to acquire the fluorescence signals of array features in NimbleGen microarrays and Spotted ORF-specific microarrays, respectively. LOWESS normalization [52,53] was subsequently applied to all microarray data.

Identification of the significantly enriched region (SER)

Enrichment level at various microarray features/probes is indicated by the ratio between Cy5 (enriched) and Cy3 (non-enriched) signals in log2 scale. All ChiP-chip microarray data were quantile normalized. Individual occupancies (or SER) were assessed in a moving window of 9-probes (or ~300bps) in length. Binomial test was applied to determine the probability of probe that passes the threshold within the window: $p = \sum_{k=0}^{C} C^k Pr^k (1 - Pr)^{k - C}$, where $Pr = N_{R=SER}/N$, $N = 338,000$, the total number of probes representing the genome sequences in the array; $MAD_R = Median(R_i)/n \times MAD(R)$, $n = 2.5$, $R$ is the enrichment level of the probe, $R_i$ is the level of the ith probe along the chromosome, $m$ is the number of probes whose level was greater than the MAD_R in a window of L numbers of probes in size, where $L = 9$. Occupancies were defined as the regions where there were at least 4 consecutive probes whose enrichment was greater than MAD_R at p-value of 0.001 or less. Enrichment level and binding site (i.e., position of the Apex) of individual occupancies were determined after 3 round linear smoothing of microarray data at a window of 5 probes.

Table 5. List of strains used for microarray analyses in this study.

| ID       | Relevant genotype                      | Comment          |
|----------|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| LJY188   | h-leu1-32 ura4-D18::ura4             | Lab stock        |
| LJY2257  | h-leu1-32 ura4-D18 atfl1::ura4       | This Study       |
| LJY2261  | h-leu1-32 ura4-D18 pcr1::ura4        | This Study       |
| LJY1728  | h-leu1-32 ura4-D18 atf1::3HA-6His::ura4 | This Study   |
| LJY2223  | h-leu1-32 ura4-D18 pcr1::3HA-6His::ura4 | This Study   |
| LJY1894  | h-leu1-32 ura4-D18 sty1::3HA-6His::ura4 | This Study   |
| LJY2851  | h-leu1-32 ura4-D18 sty1::3HA-6His::LEU2 atf1::ura4 | This Study   |
| LJY2852  | h-leu1-32 ura4-D18 sty1::3HA-6His::LEU2 pcr1::ura4 | This Study   |

DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0011620.t005
small fraction of occupancies are found in the intragenic sequences. In this study, intragenic occupancies are not discussed. However, genes whose intragenic sequences contain Atf1 and/or Pcr1 binding sites are listed in Table S9. Two binding sites are overlapping if the distance between the two is less than 200bps. Major binding sites are overlapping binding sites between cells prior to and after H2O2 stress (e.g., Atf1 and Pcr1) or between two repeats under the same condition (e.g., Sty1). Common major Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites are overlapping major Atf1 and major Pcr1 binding sites.

Expression microarray data analyses

All expression microarray data were LOWESS normalized [33]. Significance analysis of microarrays (SAM) [54] was applied for identification of differentially expressed genes between wild type cells after H2O2 treatment for various times (e.g., 10, 30, 60, and 120min) and those prior to H2O2 treatment (e.g., 0min). To ensure the change of gene expression levels was not random, differentially expressed genes have to show the significance difference (i.e., fold change >2 and FDR<0.05) in at least two consecutive time points between cells prior to and after H2O2 treatment. Atf1 or Pcr1-dependent expression-response would be disrupted in atf1Δ or pcr1Δ cells. To determine the Atf1 or Pcr1-dependent H2O2-responsive genes, we compared the (0min-normalized) transcriptional levels at various time points between atf1Δ or pcr1Δ and wild type cells using SAM analysis. To ensure the non-random changes, response-disrupted genes in atf1Δ or pcr1Δ cells have to show the significant difference (i.e., fold change >2 and FDR<0.05) in at least two consecutive time points between atf1Δ or pcr1Δ and wild type cells. The H2O2-responsive genes are listed in Table S5.

Motif discovery scan

The 150bps sequences at the Atf1/Pcr1 binding sites were used in DNA-binding motif discovery. We applied Motif Discovery scan [44] methodology using the 30 top ranked Atf1/Pcr1 binding sequences to determine the binding motifs and using the next 30 top ranked Atf1/ Pcr1 binding sequences to refine the motifs. The motif with the highest information content \(\sum_{i=1}^{W} \sum_{j=1}^{T} p_{ij} \log_{2}(4 \times p_{ij})\) is presented. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of the motif was plotted by comparing the PSSM scores of the Atf1/Pcr1-bound promoter sequences (true discovery) and the unbound promoter sequences (false positive) to assess the genome-wide performance of the motif.

Statistical analyses

Binomial test is applied to examine the significance of the non-random distribution. Fisher exact test is used to determine the significance of association between two groups, and T-test is applied to assess the significance of difference between two groups. The supplemental materials File S1.pdf and Excel tables are available at the publisher website and the authors' website (http://pombe.gis.a-star.edu.sg/). The complete microarray datasets are available at the GEO database with the accession number GSE13053.

Supporting Information

File S1  Supplemental information.
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