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Abstract

Background: Endocytosis is a key regulatory step of diverse signalling pathways, including receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) signalling. Hrs and Stam constitute the ESCRT-0 complex that controls the initial selection of ubiquitinated proteins, which will subsequently be degraded in lysosomes. It has been well established \textit{ex vivo} and during \textit{Drosophila} embryogenesis that Hrs promotes EGFR down regulation. We have recently isolated the first mutations of \textit{stam} in flies and shown that Stam is required for air sac morphogenesis, a larval respiratory structure whose formation critically depends on finely tuned levels of FGFR activity. This suggest that Stam, putatively within the ESCRT-0 complex, modulates FG signalling, a possibility that has not been examined in \textit{Drosophila} yet.

Principal Findings: Here, we assessed the role of the Hrs/Stam complex in the regulation of signalling activity during \textit{Drosophila} development. We show that \textit{stam} and \textit{hrs} are required for efficient FGFR signalling in the tracheal system, both during cell migration in the air sac primordium and during the formation of fine cytoplasmic extensions in terminal cells. We find that \textit{stam} and \textit{hrs} mutant cells display altered FGFR/Btl localisation, likely contributing to impaired signalling levels. Electron microscopy analyses indicate that endosome maturation is impaired at distinct steps by \textit{stam} and \textit{hrs} mutations. These somewhat unexpected results prompted us to further explore the function of \textit{stam} and \textit{hrs} in EGFR signalling. We show that while \textit{stam} and \textit{hrs} together downregulate EGFR signalling in the embryo, they are required for full activation of EGFR signalling during wing development.

Conclusions/Significance: Our study shows that the ESCRT-0 complex differentially regulates RTK signalling, either positively or negatively depending on tissues and developmental stages, further highlighting the importance of endocytosis in modulating signalling pathways during development.

Introduction

Receptor Tyrosine Kinase (RTK) signalling pathways regulate a wide range of biological processes and functions, including cell survival, growth, differentiation and migration [1]. Regulating levels of RTK signalling activity in time and space is an important issue since its deregulation leads to developmental defects and pathologies, including human cancers [2]. Besides ligand availability, the levels of RTK signalling are strongly influenced by endocytic processes [3,4,5]. The Endosomal Sorting Complex Required for Transport (ESCRT) machinery comprises four protein complexes (ESCRT-0 to III) that sequentially act to target activated RTK to multivesicular bodies (MVB), en route to the lysosome for degradation, thus promoting signalling downregulation. After endocytosis from the plasma membrane into early endosomes, ubiquitinated RTKs are bound by the ESCRT-0 complex composed of Hrs (Hepatocyte growth factor-regulated tyrosine kinase substrate) and Stam (Signal Transducing Adaptor Molecule) proteins [6,7]. The Hrs/Stam complex thus plays a key role in sorting cargo proteins either for degradation or recycling to the plasma membrane [8,9]. Indeed, Hrs selects ubiquitinated cargos for lysosomal degradation [7,10,11], recruiting components of other ESCRT complexes (I, II & III), which in turn send cargos to MVB, late endosomes and finally to lysosomes [12,13,14]. Results from numerous studies in cultured cells have shown that the Hrs/Stam complex is required for attenuation of Epidermal...
Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) signalling and suggested a general function in RTK down regulation. However, the Stam protein was reported to interact with deubiquitination enzymes [15,16], raising the possibility that it can also contribute, in interaction with or independently of Hrs, to disengagement of the cargo from the degradation pathway. Therefore, the full deciphering of the role of Hrs and Stam requires in vivo analysis of their respective function during the different processes that are regulated by signalling pathways during development.

Previous works in Drosophila have clearly show that Hrs indeed act to attenuate EGFR signalling during embryogenesis [17]. Embryonic hrs mutant cells display more EGFR signalling activity and accumulate activated receptors and ubiquitinated proteins in enlarged endosomes [17,18,19,20,21]. In addition, hrs mutant cells show a marked accumulation of other activated RTK, including PDGF/VEGF receptors, as well as other types of signalling receptors such as Patched and Smoothened (two members of the Hedgehog pathway), Notch, and Thickveins (a type-I serine-threonine kinase receptor for the TGF-β ligand Dpp) [19]. Strikingly, it has been shown that loss of hrs actually increases Dpp signalling in ovarian follicle cells and wing disc cells [19]. Furthermore, Hrs appears necessary for efficient JAK/STAT signalling during drosophila oogenesis [19]. Nevertheless, the consequences of enhanced signalling activity on developmental process due to the lack of hrs could be weak on development as shown during oogenesis where two RTK signalling pathways, EGFR and PDGF/VEGF are essential for border cell migration [19]. Despite an enhancement of these two pathways in hrs mutant border cells, the cell migration process is not affected. Jekely et al have shown that the total level of RTK activity is not critical for border cell migration but correct migration requires a restricted subcellular localisation of activity in the cell[22]. Those analyses brought new insights on mechanisms controlling signalling activity required for efficient cell migration. Altogether, these data reveal the complexity of the mechanisms relying on Hrs activity to regulate various signalling activities in vivo, suggesting that whereas required for down regulation of RTK, Hrs is also necessary for efficient signalling of other receptors. Whether these differential activities involve the full ESCRT-0 complex, or correspond to Stam-independent function of Hrs, remains yet to be tested.

We have recently isolated the first mutations inactivating the Stam gene in Drosophila in a genetic screen aiming at identifying novel molecules involved in the formation of the larval tracheal system [23]. The Drosophila tracheal system develops during embryogenesis through the formation of branches arising from epithelial sacs containing approximately 80 cells in ten adjacent segments of the embryo. Through cell migration, rearrangement and intercalation, a complex network of interconnected epithelial tubes is formed to permit gas exchanges throughout the embryo. The development of the tracheal system has proven to be a model of choice to study branching morphogenesis and has been useful to genetically dissect the FGF pathway [24,25,26]. The FGF ligand, Branchless (Bnl), binds and activates the FGF receptor tyrosine kinase Breathless (Btl), which is expressed in all tracheal cells and triggers cell migration upon activation [27,28]. However, despite extensive genetic analyses, relatively little is known about how signalling is finely tuned during embryonic tracheal development. Indeed, genes linked to RTK signalling display pleiotropic functions during embryogenesis; the observed phenotypes, which affect several tissues as well as developmental processes, often hinder interpretations with regards to their role in trachea. Moreover, due to a strong maternal contribution to the egg during oogenesis, the function of many genes cannot be assessed during embryogenesis using zygotic loss-of-function analysis. Some of these problems can be circumvented by studying the development of tracheal structures formed at later stages, in larvae. During the third larval instar, tracheal cells of the transverse connective in the second thoracic segment bud out and form the primordium of a so-called dorsal air sac, or Air Sac Primordium (ASP) (Fig. 1A). The development of ASP relies on both cell proliferation and cell migration [29,30,31]. Genetic analyses of mosaic animals, using the MARCM (Mosaic Analysis with a Repressible Cell Marker) technique [32], have shown that during air sac morphogenesis, the FGFR pathway controls tracheal cell migration at the tip of the
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**Figure 1.** *stam* and *hrs* are required for tracheal cell migration in the air sac primordium. A. Schematic representation of the anterior part of a Drosophila third instar larva. The air sac primordium (ASP) (red) buds from the transverse connective branch (in grey) and is attached to the wing imaginal disc (orange). The tracheal system is drawn in grey and imaginal discs other than the wing disc are colored in yellow. B. Model for the formation of the air sac primordium during larval development. Tracheal cells divide and migrate during ASP formation. Migration occurs under the control of the FGFR signalling pathway. Tracheal cells at the distal tip of the primordium are extending filapodia in the direction of the FGF ligand source (blue). Double arrow indicates the position of ASP distal tip. C. Migration behaviour of wild type, *stam, hrs* and *stam hrs* mutant cells. Confocal micrographs of the ASP of a Drosophila third instar larva are shown. All tracheal cells are labelled in red (RFP-moesin) and MARCM clones are labelled in green (mCD8-GFP). The FRT40A chromosome was used as a wild-type control. MARCM clones were induced for *stam, hrs* and *stam hrs*. Scale bar: 15 µm. White double arrows indicate the position of ASP distal tip. Percentages of distal clones are indicated for each genotype. Note the strong effect of mutations in *hrs* and *hrs, stam* on cell migration. For each genotype, more than 20 clones were scored.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010245.g001
outgrowths (Fig. 1B), while EGFR signalling triggers cell survival and proliferation in the stalk [32,33]. Moreover, the Ras/MAPK cascade, commonly used to transduce RTK signals, is required for both tracheal cell migration and survival. However, the Pointed ETS transcription factor was found to be specifically required downstream of the Ras/MAPK cassette for cell migration [33].

To better understand the molecular mechanisms controlling air sac morphogenesis, we previously carried out a genetic mosaic screen and identified the *stam* gene as being essential for efficient tracheal cell migration in the ASP [23]. In the absence of Stam, mutant cells are unable to reach the distal tip of the ASP, showing that, like FGF signalling, Stam is required for efficient cell migration. These data therefore open the intriguing hypothesis that, during ASP formation, the activity of Stam, and putatively that of the ESCRT-0 complex, may be required for efficient FGF signalling. Here we explore this possibility and show that both Stam and Hrs act as positive regulators of FGF-mediated RTK activity to control cell migration in the ASP. Mutant cells lacking Stam, Hrs, or both, display abnormal accumulation of the FGF receptor Breathless (Btl) in enlarged vesicles, likely representing early endosomes. This is accompanied by a reduced expression of *pointed*, showing that the lack of ESCRT-0 activity specifically impairs efficient FGF signalling in ASP cells. In addition, we show that Stam and Hrs are required for the FGF-mediated formation of fine cytoplasmic extensions in terminal tracheal cells, further illustrating the importance of the ESCRT-0 complex in the positive regulation of FGF signalling. In contrast, we find that, like Hrs, Stam is required for down-regulation of EGFR signalling in the embryo. However, our data provide evidence to show that the ESCRT-0 complex is required for full activation of EGFR signalling during wing development. Taken together, our results indicate that, depending upon signalling pathways and the cellular context, the ESCRT-0 complex promotes either efficient activation or down-regulation of RTK pathways, shedding novel light on the importance of the endocytic control of signalling activities in vivo.

**Materials and Methods**

**Drosophila stocks and genetics**

The following fly strains were used: *stam*^{2L2896}, *stam*^{2L3297} [23] and *hrs*^{2L2896} [gift from H. Bellen]. The *stam*^{2L2896} line harbours a non sense mutation in codon 6 suggesting that this *stam* allele represents a null allele. In addition, an Ala^{395} to a Thr substitution was found in the *stam*^{2L2896} line. The *stam*^{2L2896} line also carried two mutations in the *stam* locus: a Phe^{220} to Ser mutation and a Tyr^{320} to His substitution. This latter change may be the one affecting Stam protein function since Tyr^{320} is a favourable environment for phosphorylation. The *hrs* stam recombinants were generated via standard genetic procedures. As a wild type control, we used a FRT40A line. The following strains were used: UAS-stam [23], UAS-Rab5-GFP (gift from M. Gonzalez Gaitan) to detect early endosomes and UAS-Rab5-GFP/TM6C to visualize the clones in the ASP and *y,w,hsFlp; tubGal80, FRT40A/GyO; btl enhancer-mRFP1moe, btl-Gal4, UAS-mCD8-GFP/TM6C* to detect the clones in the ASP with either Rab5-GFP or Bil-GFP.

**Clonal analysis using the MARCM technique**

**Induction of MARCM clones in the tracheal system and in the air sac primordium.** Clones in the air sacs were obtained according to procedures previously described in Cabernard and Affolter, (2005) and using the following MARCM lines: *y,w,hsFlp; tubGal80, FRT40A/GyO; btl enhancer-mRFP1moe, btl-Gal4, UAS-mCD8-GFP/TM6C* to visualize the clones in the ASP and *y,w,hsFlp; tubGal80, FRT40A/GyO; btl enhancer-mRFP1moe, btl-Gal4; UAS-mCD8-GFP/TM6C* to detect the clones in the ASP with either Rab5-GFP or Bil-GFP.

**MARCM females were crossed to males carrying the mutation of interest.** The progeny of these crosses was staged, and embryos that had reached 4-6 hr of development were heat-shocked for 1 hr at 38°C. They were further grown at 25°C until the third instar larval stage. GFP-positive larvae were sorted using a GFP stereomicroscope (Leica MZFLIII) and wing imaginal discs were dissected [33]. For terminal cell analysis, GFP positive larvae were heat-shocked in a heat-block for 30 seconds at 70°C and mounted in glycerol.

**Induction of clones in the wing imaginal discs and pupal wings.** The following lines (gift from B. Bello) were used to induce MARCM clones:

- *y,w,hsFlp; FRT40A, tub-Gal80//CytoActinGFP; Tub-Gal4, UAS-mCD8-GFP/TM6, Tb, Hs* to detect clones in the wing imaginal discs and pupal wing
- *y,w,hsFlp; FRT40A, tub-Gal80//CytoActinGFP; Tub-Gal4; 4TM6, Tb, Hs* to visualise the clones in the wing imaginal discs with *UAS-Rab5-GFP*.

**MARCM females were crossed to mutant males and first instar larvae of the progeny received one pulse of heat shock at 38°C for 1 hr.**

**Staining, electronic microscopy experiments and imaging**

Immunostaining of dpERK in embryos lacking the maternal contribution of *hrs* and/or *stam* genes was performed using standard procedures (anti dpMAPK, Sigma, 1/500).

To visualise *pointed* and *argos* expression, lacZ staining was performed on wing imaginal discs or dissected pupal wings: Anti mouse Alexa 568 and 633 (1:500 Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) were used as secondary antibodies to detect the staining.

Confocal pictures were made using a Leica TCS SP2 microscope. Pictures from the entire ASP were made to detect the position of the clones. To visualise the localisation of Btl-GFP and Rab5-GFP, the pictures correspond to single section of the ASP. To count the branching points of terminal cells, pictures of all branches were done.

Garland cell preparation was performed according to procedures described previously [17,35]. Sections were photographed with a Leo 510 (Zeiss) microscope (Zentrum Mikroskopie der Universität Basel). Endosome areas were measured using ImageJ software for each genotype.

**Results**

The Hrs/Stam complex is essential for FGF-mediated tracheal cell migration in the air sac primordium (ASP)

Using the MARCM technique, we have recently shown [23] that the loss of Stam impairs the migration of air sac primordium (ASP) cells; with only 16% of mutant clones detected at the ASP tip (Fig. 1C). Interestingly, migration of cells at the tip of the air sac primordium requires FGFR signalling activity, since mutant cells
lacking components of the pathway (like btl mutant clones, for instance) are unable to reach the distal tip of the ASP.

Since Stam is known to be a direct partner of Hrs within the ESCRT-0 complex [6], we tested a putative role of hrs during tracheal cell migration in the ASP using the hrs\textsuperscript{null} null allele. Cell migration is strongly affected in hrs mutants, as only 4% of hrs clones are able to colonize the distal tip of the ASP (Fig. 1C). Cells lacking both hrs and stam exhibited a severe migration phenotype; double mutant clones were never detected at the distal tip of the ASP (Fig. 1C). Such a strong migration phenotype was previously only observed following the inactivation of genes encoding essential components of the FGF, signalling pathway [33]. In addition to the failure to locate at the tip of outgrowing ASP, hrs stam double mutant clones often exhibited a reduced number of cells compared to stam or hrs single mutant clones suggesting that the lack of ESCRT-0 function also affects cell proliferation to a certain extent. Taken together, these results show that hrs and stam are required for the FGF-dependent migration of tracheal cells during larval development.

Btl/FGFR accumulates in enlarged vesicles in stam and hrs mutant air sac cells

Since the ESCRT-0 complex is known to promote the endocytic degradation of many signalling receptors [17,19], we analyzed the consequences of the loss of Stam and Hrs on endosome formation in ASP cells. We induced MARCM clones carrying either stam single mutations or hrs, stam double mutations. Clones were visualised via the expression of the fusion protein Rab5-GFP, Rab5 being a marker for early endosomes [36]. In wild type cells, Rab5-GFP-positive early endosomes were detected as small dot-like structures in the cytoplasm (Fig. 2A). In stam and hrs single mutant, as well as in stam, hrs double mutant cells, Rab5-GFP accumulates in larger dots (Fig. 2B–D), suggesting that early endosomes were enlarged in the absence of ESCRT-0 activity. Interestingly, this early endosome size defect was not restricted to tracheal cells, since we observed a similar phenotype in wing imaginal cells lacking stam, hrs or both (Fig. 2E–H). To better characterise the role of ESCRT 0 complex, we performed transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analyses of Garland cells, which are known to have a rapid rate of fluid phase endocytosis [35]. HRP (Horseradish Peroxidase) uptake experiments allow the detection of the lumen and endosome membranes. Ultrastructural analysis of wild-type Garland cells has demonstrated that endosomes undergo maturation via a step of membrane invagination [17] (Fig. 2I). We measure the endosome area and we showed that in wild type Garland cells, the average area is 0,071+/−0,048 mm\(^2\) (Table 1). Consistently with a previous report [17], we observed that the endosomes of Garland cells lacking hrs are 2,7 fold larger than wild type ones (average area 0,199+/−0,110 mm\(^2\)) (p<0,005) and do not exhibit HRP staining in the lumen, a phenotype likely due to defective membrane invagination (Fig. 2J and Table 1). Our analyses further revealed that stam mutant cells displayed even larger endosomes (1,192+/−0,557 mm\(^2\), 16,6 fold compared to wild type) (p<0,005) than those observed in the absence of hrs (Fig. 2J and Table 1). Garland cells lacking simultaneously hrs and stam mutations exhibited endosomes of the size of those observed in hrs mutant cells (0,216+/−0,108 mm\(^2\)) (3 fold larger than wild type (p<0,005) (Fig. 2L and Table 1), suggesting that while hrs and stam are both involved in endosome maturation, they may have partly distinct roles during this process [7].

Having shown that the absence of the ESCRT-0 complex leads to endosomal defects in different tissues, we next examined

---

**Figure 2. hrs and stam mutants display enlarged early endosomes in Drosophila.** A–D. Close-up pictures of wild type, stam, hrs and hrs, stam mutant cells induced with the MARCM system in the dorsal ASP. Scale bar: 15 μm. Mutant clones are visualised by the expression of Rab5-GFP to detect early endosomes. Note the large size of early endosomes in stam (B), hrs (C) and hrs, stam (D) mutant cells compared to FRT40A cells used as a wild type control (A). The tracheal cells were labeled with RFP-moesin (red). Arrows indicate early endosomes stained with GFP. Pictures shown are single scan sections. E–H. MARCM clones mutant for hrs, stam and hrs, stam in the wing imaginal disc of third instar larvae. Scale bar: 15 μm. Mutant cells express the Rab5-GFP fusion protein. As in the ASP, early endosomes are enlarged in stam (F), hrs (G) and hrs, stam (H) mutant cells compared to FRT40A cells used as a control (E). The cells were detected with phalloidin to follow F-actin and the shape of the cells. Arrows indicate early endosomes stained with GFP. Pictures shown are single scan sections. I–L. HRP uptake experiment in Garland cells of wild-type, stam, hrs and hrs, stam larvae. I. In wild-type Garland cells, many small endosomes are observed (highlighted in light red). Scale bar equals 460 nm. Endosomes from stam and hrs mutant larvae are dramatically enlarged (J–L), especially in stam mutants (J), compared to FRT40A larvae (I).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010245.g002
whether it affected the distribution of the FGF-R Btl in ASP cells. We performed mosaic analyses using a Btl-GFP fusion protein, specifically expressed in clones of control, stam or hrs mutant cells. In wild type cells, Btl-GFP mostly accumulated at the cell membrane (Fig. 3A, white arrow) and also as fine dotted structures in the cytoplasm, often lined up along the cell membrane (Fig. 3A, yellow arrow). In hrs and stam mutant clones, Btl-GFP was no longer detected at the membrane but was found in severely enlarged cytoplasmic vesicles (Fig. 3B–C). These data show that the lack of ESCRT-0 activity in tracheal cells of the ASP leads to the accumulation of the Btl receptor in enlarged vesicles, likely corresponding to the abnormal early endosomes revealed by Rab-5 staining.

Stam and Hrs positively regulate FGFR activity in tracheal cells
Together with a reduced migration at the distal tip of the ASP, the abnormal accumulation of Btl in cells lacking Stam or Hrs suggests that ESCRT-0 regulate the FGF pathway. However, both the lack and the excess of FGF activity blocks tracheal cell migration. To determine a possible influence of the ESCRT-0 on FGFR activity in ASP cells, we analysed the expression of the pointed (pnt) gene, an early target of the pathway that is specifically required for tracheal cell migration in the ASP [33]. Using a pnt-lacZ reporter line, we detected accordingly a staining in the distal...
part of the ASP in wild-type cells (Fig. 3D–D′). This is in agreement with the view that tracheal cells of the ASP distal tip actively migrate under the influence of the FGFR signalling. In stam mutant clones located in the proximal part of the ASP, we did not detect modification of the pointed staining, not even in cells at the most distal part of the clones, indicating that the expression of pnt is not upregulated under conditions in which activated Btl accumulates in enlarged endosomes (Fig. 3E–E′). Furthermore, when stam mutant cells were located close to the distal tip of the ASP, they did not express pnt despite the fact that they are in the vicinity to the source of the FGF ligand (Fig. 3F–F′). Hence these results are in line with stam being required for pnt activation and thus efficient FGFR signalling in the migrating cells of the ASP. Due to their absence at the tip of the ASP, we could not use however pnt expression as readout for FGFR activity in hrs single mutant and hrs, stam double mutant backgrounds.

To further characterize the function of the Stam/Hrs complex in the regulation of FGFR signalling, we therefore analysed the formation of fine cytoplasmic extensions by terminal tracheal cells (TCs), a process which is also known to rely on Btl function. TC extensions are the finest branches of the tracheal system, which directly provide oxygen to individual cells. During larval development, the number of TC branches changes according to the needs of tissues for oxygen and this process is controlled, in a dose-dependent manner, by levels of FGFR signalling [37,38,39]. We thus analysed whether stam and hrs influenced the formation of TC extensions. We generated MARCM TC and counted the number of branch points formed by these mutant cells, taking into account both thick (primary) and thin (secondary and tertiary) projections [37]. To reliably compare the different genetic backgrounds, we focused on dorsal TCs. In wild type TCs, the average number of branching points was 24.8±7.6 (Fig. 4A and table 2). In stam mutant cells, this number decreased to 21.4±6.5 (p<0.001) (Fig. 4B). hrs mutant and hrs, stam double mutant cells displayed a much reduced capacity to sprout since we observed 16.6±5.0 (p<0.001) and 15.7±4.9 (p<0.001) branching points, respectively (Fig. 4C–D and table 2). These results thus indicate that stam and hrs are necessary for efficient TC sprouting.

As previously described [28], lowering levels of FGF activity leads to a similar reduction in the number of TC extensions. For example, the strong bnlp<sup>PP1</sup> allele of branchless in heterozygous condition leads a clear decrease in the number of branching points (15.8±5.7), when compared to wild-type controls (p<0.001) (Fig. 4E–F and table 2). In terminal cells heterozygous for bnlp, the absence of stam further increased the observed defects, with 13.3±4.5 branching points per cell. Although we recovered very few larvae carrying MARCM clones in the tracheal system for both hrs single and hrs, stam double mutants, these mutations also enhanced the phenotype resulting from lowering levels of FGF signalling.

Taken together, our results show that the function of the ESCRT-0 complex is required for efficient FGFR signalling in different aspects of tracheal cell development, including migration at the distal tip of the ASP and the formation of cytoplasmic projections in terminal cells.

The ESCRT-0 complex displays opposite roles in the regulation of EGFR signalling throughout development

While our results show that the ESCRT-0 complex can positively regulate RTK activity during development, Hrs is required for down-regulating the EGFR activity in embryos [17]. We therefore tested whether stam acts similarly to hrs regarding

![Figure 4](https://example.com/figure4.png)
EGFR signalling during embryogenesis. We used an antibody specific for the double phosphorylated form of ERK (dp-ERK) since EGFR signalling triggers ERK/MAPK phosphorylation in the early embryo [40]. In order to circumvent the maternal contribution of stam and hrs, we obtained embryos from germ-line clones lacking maternal and zygotic expression of stam, hrs, or both, and compared the results to wild type embryos.

During embryogenesis, the EGFR pathway is essential to pattern the neuroectoderm. dpERK is observed in three to four rows of cells, on each side along the ventral midline in wild-type embryos, when the Spitz ligand activates the EGFR pathway (Fig. 5A). Compared to wild-type embryos, we detected an expansion of dpERK staining in the ventral ectoderm in embryos lacking the maternal contribution for hrs (Fig. 5B) in agreement with the study of Lloyd et al (2002). In embryos lacking stam and those lacking simultaneously stam and hrs (Fig. 5C–D), we observed a similar enhancement of dpERK staining, attesting for elevated levels of EGFR signalling. Moreover, mutant embryos showed morphological defects due to aberrant folding of the embryo during germ band elongation, defects that are likely due to the expanded EGFR activation. We also monitored dpERK staining in stage 10 embryos, when the EGFR signalling pathway becomes required for the invagination of tracheal cells and the formation of tracheal placodes. At this stage of embryonic development, dpERK staining is detected in tracheal pits [40]. When compared to wild type, embryos lacking hrs or stam, or both stam and hrs display a clear expansion of the dpERK staining around the tracheal pits for all mutant conditions (Fig. 5E–H). Therefore, the data indicate that Stam and Hrs play similar role in the attenuation of EGFR signalling throughout embryonic development.

These results also suggested that the ESCRT-0 complex acts to down-regulate EGFR signalling throughout development. To further test this conclusion, we then extended our analysis to adult wing development, for which the role of EGFR regulatory cascade is well established [41]. To analyse a putative influence of hrs and stam on the regulation of EGFR signalling during wing patterning, we followed the expression of argos, a target gene of the EGFR pathway [42]. The vein differentiation process that initiates during the 3rd larval instar proceeds into the pupal stage and, during the entire process, argos is activated in vein tissues (Fig. 6A). Cells that lack stam displayed a strong reduction of the argos expression, when clones of mutant cells were located in the vein tissue (Fig. 6B). Although stam, hrs mutant clones are tiny and do not permit to analyse argos expression, we also found a strong reduction of argos in vein cells carrying simultaneously the stam and hrs mutations (Fig. 6C). In contrast to its role in EGFR attenuation in embryonic tissue, these results thus support that the Hrs/Stam complex is required to efficiently activate EGFR signalling in the developing wing veins.

**Discussion**

In this study, we have analyzed roles of the endocytic ESCRT-0 complex, in vivo, through the genetic ablation of its two main components Hrs and Stam, at different steps of the Drosophila development. We show that the loss of Hrs and Stam produce similar phenotypes in all tissues examined, indicating that these proteins mainly function as a single complex throughout development. Our results indicate that Stam and Hrs participate in the efficient activation of the FGFR pathway in larval tracheal cells. In contrast, the ESCRT-0 acts to attenuate the extent of EGFR activation during embryogenesis. However, we find that Stam and Hrs are also required for the expression of EGFR targets in the adult wing. These data therefore support the view that the ESCRT-0 complex mediates directly or indirectly both positive and negative regulation of RTK signaling, depending on developmental contexts.
**stam** and **hrs** are positive regulators of FGFR signaling

Tracheal cell migration in the air sac primordium (ASP) is controlled by FGFR signaling [29,33]. Indeed, tracheal cells are not able to populate the distal tip of the ASP when FGF signal reception or transmission is defective (in **btl** mutant cells, for instance) or when cells experience too much FGF signaling [33]. We show here that **stam** and **hrs** are required for tracheal cells to efficiently colonize the tip of the ASP. Consistent with a role of Hrs and Stam in endosomal cargo sorting, we found that Btl/FGFR receptors accumulate in enlarged cytoplasmic vesicles in **stam** and **hrs** mutant cells, whereas they accumulate at the plasma membrane in wild type cells. Rab5-positive vesicles corresponding to early endosomes were also found to be enlarged in the absence of **hrs** and **stam**, suggesting that Btl/FGFR accumulates in altered early endosomes in mutant cells.

To test whether FGFR signaling is either enhanced or diminished in the absence of **stam**, we monitored the expression of a *pnt-lacZ* reporter gene and found that **stam** is required for its transcriptional activation, and hence most probably for high levels of FGFR signaling. These data show that the total level of FGF activity in tracheal cells is essential for efficient cell migration, which is in contrast with border cell migration, where localized signaling activity in cell is necessary for migration and not general level [22]. In order to further investigate the role of Hrs and the Hrs/Stam complex in FGFR signaling, we analyzed the effect of these mutations in the formation of projections by tracheal TCs, another cellular process which requires FGFR signaling. It has previously been shown that the number of branches formed by terminal cells increases with increasing FGFR signaling activity [39]. We found that **stam** and **hrs** are required in terminal cells to form branches efficiently. In addition, **hrs** and **stam** genetically interact with FGFR signaling during this process, to promote the formation of terminal cell projections. Therefore, both in TC and in ASP cells, our data demonstrate that **hrs** and **stam** are positive regulators of FGFR signaling in the *Drosophila* larval tracheal system.

Interestingly, it has been previously reported that *awd/Nm23* (abnormal wing disc) and *shibire/dynamin* down-regulate FGF signaling during embryonic tracheal development through endocytosis of Btl/FGFR [43]. It is therefore possible that the ESCRT-0 complex might also act to attenuate FGFR signaling during tracheal development in embryos, albeit the early lethality of embryos lacking Stam or Hrs prevents this hypothesis to be tested experimentally. Alternatively, different machineries regulating endocytosis and eventual endosome sorting might display antagonistic activity toward FGFR signaling. For example, work in mammalian cell culture has shown that Rab5, a key component of the early endocytic pathway, colocalizes with activated FGFRs and that the depletion of *rab5* decreases FGFR signaling [44]. Finally, it has been shown that two different FGF ligands, KGF (Keratinocyte Growth Factor) and FGF10, can target the FGFR to the degradation pathway or the recycling pathway, respectively [45]. These results show that early endocytosis is critical for fine tuning of FGFR signaling activity; depending on the context, different endocytic mechanisms might differentially regulate FGFR signaling.

**Dual function of stam and hrs in EGFR signaling**

The role of the ESCRT-0 complex in regulating the degradation of EGFR receptors has been well studied in cultured cells [10,46]. Functional assays using RNA interference have shown that Hrs and Stam trigger the sorting of ubiquitinated receptors and downregulate EGFR signaling activity [10]. During *Drosophila* embryogenesis, **hrs** was also well established as a negative regulator of signaling RTK pathways such as EGFR and Tors [17]. Our recent isolation of the first mutations of the **stam** gene in flies [23] allowed us to show, here, that **stam** attenuates the EGFR activity during gastrulation and formation of tracheal placodes,
suggesting that Stam and Hrs likely function within a common ESCRT-0 complex during embryogenesis.

Having shown that EGFR signaling is strongly upregulated in the absence of hrs and stam in the embryo, we tested whether EGFR signaling was also over-activated in the pupal wing upon reduction of EGFR and hrs activity. Assuming that angiogenesis in veins represents a faithful readout of EGFR signaling, we observed instead a loss of EGFR activity in the absence of hrs and stam at the pupal stage. Supporting our conclusions, it was recently reported that hrs is also required for EGFR signaling at earlier stages, during the patterning of larval discs [29]. Therefore, these data provide independent evidence that, contrary to what was observed during embryogenesis, hrs and stam are necessary for high level EGFR signaling during wing development, from larval to pupal stages.

Concluding remarks

We show that stam and hrs modulate RTK signalling activities either positively or negatively according to the tissue and the developmental stage. hrs and stam are essential for efficient activation of the FGFR signalling in the tracheal system, e.g., they may promote recycling of the FGFR/Btl receptor to the plasma membrane. In addition, hrs and stam contribute to either enhance or attenuate EGFR signalling activity, depending on the tissue context. Similar to what has been proposed for FGFR signalling, positive versus negative modulation of EGFR activity may depend on the ligand which activates the receptors. Whereas Spitz is the only known EGFR ligand acting during early embryogenesis, Keren could be an additional ligand with Spitz to activate EGFR during vein differentiation [47,48]. Another possible explanation for the tissue-specific antagonistic activities of the ESCRT-0 complex concerns the phosphorylation state of its main components. Depending of the amino acid residues which are phosphorylated in the Hrs and Stam proteins, their influence on the modulation of signalling activity vary [49]. Modulation of the phosphorylation code of Stam and Hrs between tissues may turn those molecules into pathway-specific activators or repressors.

Our compared analysis of the individual roles of Hrs and Stam in two strongly support that these two proteins act together to modulate RTK signaling, i.e., within the ESCRT-0 complex. Regarding endosome maturation in Garland cells, our analyses show, however, that hrs and stam mutant cells do not display identical phenotype in this process; endosomes being much larger in the absence of stam than in the absence of hrs (or in the absence of both stam and hrs). Assuming that available mutations for both Stam and Hrs correspond to null alleles, which is fully compatible with their molecular nature, it remains possible that these different roles on endosome maturation may be linked to distinct protein partners of Stam and Hrs. The availability of stam loss of function mutations and rescuing transgenes, provide novel tools that will allow further dissection of the Stam protein function in vivo, and ultimately help in gaining further insights into the role of endocytosis during development.

Acknowledgments

We thank Hugo Bellen, Marcos Gonzalez-Gaitan and the Blomington stock center for sending flies. We also thank Martin Mueller, Fusan Hamaratoglu, all members of the Affolter and Payne laboratories for comments on the manuscript. We are grateful to Ursula Sauder (Zentrum für Mikroskopie, Biozentrum, Universität Basel) for the electron microscopy experiments and to Markus Durrenberger for help with the confocal microscope.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: HCD ACJ MB MA. Performed the experiments: HCD ACJ MB LL. Analyzed the data: HCD ACJ MB MA. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: HCD ACJ MB LL. Wrote the paper: HCD ACJ MB FP MA.

References

1. Schlessinger J (2000) Cell signaling by receptor tyrosine kinases. Cell 103: 211–225.
2. Bache KG, Slagsvold T, Stemmark H (2004) Defective downregulation of receptor tyrosine kinases in cancer. Endocr. J. 23: 2707–2712.
3. Fischer JA, Eun SH, Do olan BT (2006) Endocytosis, endosome trafficking, and the regulation of Drosophila development. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 22: 181–206.
4. Katzmann DJ, Ondrziuz G, Emer SD (2002) Receptor downregulation and multivesicular-body sorting. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 3: 993–999.
5. Marmor MD, Yarden Y (2004) Role of protein ubiquitylation in regulating endocytosis of receptor tyrosine kinases. Oncogene 23: 2057–2070.
6. Asao H, Sasaki Y, Arita T, Tanaka N, Endo K, et al. (1997) Hrs is associated with STAM, a signal-transducing adaptor molecule. Its suppressive effect on cytokine-induced cell growth. J Biol Chem 272: 32785–32791.
7. Bache KG, Raiborg C, Møhlin A, Stemmark H (2003) STAM and Hrs and Hrs are subunits of a multivalent ubiquitin-binding complex on early endosomes. J Biol Chem 278: 12513–12522.
8. Prag G, Watson H, Kim YC, Beach BM, Ghirlando R, et al. (2007) The Vps27/Vps25 multivesicular body formation via ESCRT recruitment to endosomes. J Cell Biol 176: 205–219.
9. Kanazawa C, Morita E, Yamada M, Ishii N, Miura S, et al. (2003) Effects of the pleckstrin homology domain of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase on endocytosis and signal transduction. J Biol Chem 278: 34428–34435.
10. Katzmann DJ, Stefan C, Bahr M, Emer SD (2003) Vps27 recruits ESCRT-0 to endosomes. EMBO Rep 4: 1163–1168.
28. Sutherland D, Samakovlis C, Krasnow MA (1996) branchless encodes a Drosophila FGF homolog that controls tracheal cell migration and the pattern of branching. Cell 87: 1091–1101.

29. Sato M, Kornberg TB (2002) FGF is an essential mitogen and chemotactic factor for the air sacs of the drosophila tracheal system. Dev Cell 3: 193–207.

30. Sato M, Kitada Y, Tabata T (2008) Larval cells become imaginal cells under the control of homothorax prior to metamorphosis in the Drosophila tracheal system. Dev Biol 316: 247–257.

31. Weaver M, Krasnow MA (2008) Dual Origin of Tissue-Specific Progenitor Cells in Drosophila Tracheal Remodeling. Science.

32. Lee T, Luo L (1999) Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker for studies of gene function in neuronal morphogenesis. Neuron 22: 451–461.

33. Cabernard C, Alföldi M (2003) Distinct roles for two receptor tyrosine kinases in epithelial branching morphogenesis in Drosophila. Dev Cell 9: 831–842.

34. Samakovlis C, Hacoeben N, Manning G, Sutherland DC, Guillemin K, et al. (1996) Development of the Drosophila tracheal system occurs by a series of morphologically distinct but genetically coupled branching events. Development 122: 1395–1407.

35. Kosaka T, Ikeda K (1983) Reversible blockage of membrane retrieval and endocytosis in the garland cell of the temperature-sensitive mutant of Drosophila melanogaster, shibirets1. J Cell Biol 97: 499–507.

36. Wucherpfennig T, Wilsch-Brauninger M, Gonzalez-Gaitan M (2003) Role of Drosophila Rab5 during endosomal trafficking at the synapse and evoked neurotransmitter release. J Cell Biol 161: 609–624.

37. Centanin L, Dekanty A, Romero N, Irisarri M, Gorr TA, et al. (2008) Cell autonomy of HIF effects in Drosophila: tracheal cells sense hypoxia and induce terminal branch sprouting. Dev Cell 14: 547–558.

38. Ghabrial A, Luschnig S, Metzstein MM, Krasnow MA (2003) Branching morphogenesis of the Drosophila tracheal system. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 19: 623–647.

39. Jarecki J, Johnson E, Krasnow MA (1999) Oxygen regulation of airway branching in Drosophila is mediated by branchless FGF. Cell 99: 211–220.

40. Gabay L, Seger R, Shilo BZ (1997) MAP kinase in situ activation atlas during Drosophila embryogenesis. Development 124: 3335–3541.

41. Blair SS (2007) Wing vein patterning in Drosophila and the analysis of intercellular signaling. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 23: 293–319.

42. Golenbo M, Schweitzer R, Freeman M, Shilo BZ (1996) Argos transcription is induced by the Drosophila EGF receptor pathway to form an inhibitory feedback loop. Development 122: 223–230.

43. Damman V, Adryan B, Laverenburg KR, Hsu T (2003) Drosophila awd, the homolog of human nm23, regulates FGF receptor levels and functions synergistically with shi/dynamin during tracheal development. Genes Dev 17: 2812–2824.

44. Vecchione A, Cooper HJ, Trim KJ, Akbarzadeh S, Heath JK, et al. (2007) Protein partners in the life history of activated fibroblast growth factor receptors. Proteomics 7: 4565–4578.

45. Belludi F, Leone L, Nobili V, Raffa S, Francescangeli F, et al. (2007) Keratinocyte growth factor receptor ligands target the receptor to different intracellular pathways. Traffic 8: 1054–1072.

46. Urbe S, Nacsh M, Row PE, Preisinger C, Barr FA, et al. (2003) The UIM domain of Hrs couples receptor sorting to vesicle formation. J Cell Sci 116: 4169–4179.

47. Reich A, Shilo BZ (2002) Keren, a new ligand of the Drosophila epidermal growth factor receptor, undergoes two modes of cleavage. Embo J 21: 4207–4296.

48. Shilo BZ (2003) Signaling by the Drosophila epidermal growth factor receptor pathway during development. Exp Cell Res 284: 140–149.

49. Row PE, Clague MJ, Urbe S (2005) Growth factors induce differential phosphorylation profiles of the Hrs-STAM complex: a common node in signalling networks with signal-specific properties. Biochem J 389: 629–636.