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DNA-functionalized particles have great potential for the design of complex self-assembled materials. The major hurdle in realizing crystal structures from DNA-functionalized particles is expected to be kinetic barriers that trap the system in metastable amorphous states. Therefore, it is vital to explore the molecular details of particle assembly processes in order to understand the underlying mechanisms. Molecular simulations based on coarse-grained models can provide a convenient route to explore these details. Most of the currently available coarse-grained models of DNA-functionalized particles ignore key chemical and structural details of DNA behavior. These models therefore are limited in scope for studying experimental phenomena.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is now well recognized that self-assembly of particles functionalized with biomolecules is a promising way to form unique nanostructured materials. The distinct advantage of inter-particle interactions mediated by DNA molecules is the specificity of Watson-Crick (WC) pairing; the functionalized particles can be bridged together either by direct hybridization of single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) molecules (sticky end) or indirectly via “linker” DNA molecules that can bind simultaneously to the complementary ssDNA sequences on two different particles. While significant progress has been made in recent years, the fundamental details of DNA-mediated particle assembly are not very well understood. Specifically, the assembly of micron-sized particles into crystalline structures is still quite challenging, though nanoparticles have been assembled into a wide variety of periodic arrangements via DNA-mediated interactions.

Computer simulations can provide a convenient route to explore the large parameter space of DNA-functionalized particles (DFPs), which can be useful for developing basic understanding and to test existing theoretical design models. Using all-atom models to study the properties of DFPs is still beyond the current state-of-the-art computational capabilities. Simple and accurate coarse-grained (CG) models are, therefore, needed to overcome existing computational challenges and are actively being developed. Starr and coworkers recently introduced a CG DFP model which is based on an earlier model by Travesset et al. In this model, the DNA molecule is made up of three parts: single-stranded DNA, double-stranded DNA (dsDNA), and a “sticky end”. Connected beads of different sizes are used to represent ssDNA and dsDNA. The sticky end is modeled by multiple beads to take into account the selectivity and directionality of hydrogen bonding between complementary DNA bases. With this model, Li et al. reproduced all nine crystal structures observed experimentally by Macfarlane et al. and also proposed new linker sequences for future experiments. Frenkel and coworkers have developed a CG “core-blob” DFP model by further reducing the DNA degrees of freedom. In their model, the sticky end of tethered DNA is modeled as a blob connected to an effective spherical core. This model can capture several important features of...
DNA-mediated particle assembly, from pair-particle interactions\textsuperscript{9} to thermodynamic phase transitions\textsuperscript{10,25}.

When moving from the CG model with explicit DNA-like chains to a simplified core-blob model, the structural and chemical details of DNA molecules are gradually lost. Although it is obvious that significant coarse graining is needed to explore DFP system behavior (in particular spontaneous crystallization), certain molecular details, which are often ignored in these previous models, are important to understand DNA-mediated particle assembly. For example, most current models use an average base representation (ignoring the differences between A:T and G:C pair interactions), thereby neglecting the dependence of particle interactions on the DNA sequence. Not only is the difference between strengths of G:C pairing (stronger due to the presence of three interbase hydrogen bonds) compared to A:T pairing (two interbase hydrogen bonds) important, but the actual DNA sequence can affect properties of hybridized DNA molecules\textsuperscript{19,26}. In addition, it has been reported that in order to capture surface-adsorbed or interfacial DNA structure, one needs to account for non-WC base pairing as well, since dsDNA melting and ssDNA properties will be affected by these additional interactions\textsuperscript{27–30}. Base stacking interactions, which drive the coplanar alignment of neighboring bases, are also important to the overall stability of helical duplexes and are also sequence-dependent\textsuperscript{31}. Furthermore, the intra-particle interactions, which are the interactions between DNA strands on the same particle, are often ignored but can be quite important for particle diffusion and kinetics of assembly\textsuperscript{32}.

In this paper, we present a new CG DFP model in which we use a two-bead representation for each nucleotide of tethered DNA molecules. The DNA model, which is based on a previously proposed model by Dorfman and co-workers\textsuperscript{33}, displays typical experimentally observed temperature melting behavior for dsDNA hybridization and ssDNA hairpin formation. The DNA strands are connected to spherical particle cores (made up of smaller point particle beads) by FENE bonds to simulate DFPs. We use this model to study the potential of mean force (PMF) between two DFPs as a function of DNA grafting density, spacer length, sticky end length, and temperature. The results presented in this paper suggest that this new DFP model can be useful for studying the thermodynamics and kinetics of particle assembly mediated by DNA hybridization.

The paper is organized as follows: In section II, we outline the details of the model and potential parameters. In section IIIA, we present data on the melting behavior of ssDNA hairpin and dsDNA in the absence of particles. Next, in section IIIB, we show potentials of mean force between two DFPs and discuss their connection with previous experimental and theoretical data. In section IV, we conclude with some key observations from this work and discuss our future goals.
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### II. MODEL AND SIMULATION DETAILS

#### A. DNA and particle models

In order to achieve an accurate description of DNA behavior in a CG DFP model, the DNA model itself should be suitable for studying the properties of ssDNA and dsDNA. The model proposed here is expected to include the following key aspects of DNA behavior that may be important for understanding the assembly of DFPs:

- (i) attractive interactions between complementary base pairs A:T and G:C,
- (ii) attractive interactions between adjacent bases to capture base stacking,
- (iii) the ability to study sequence-dependent behavior,
- (iv) hairpin formation in a suitable ssDNA sequence with complementary WC pairs at both ends,
- (v) hybridization between two fully or partially complementary strands, and
- (vi) no multi-strand association or aggregation in the form of large bundles.

It is also desirable to include base pair dependent non-WC interactions\textsuperscript{28}, but is something that will require extensive parameterization and testing. We plan to do this in future refinement of the model proposed here. By taking into account the requirements above, we have developed our CG model, which is based on an earlier DNA model proposed by Dorfman and co-workers\textsuperscript{33}. Our choice is based on a compromise between computational efficiency and accurate representation of DNA behavior. Other DNA models are available in the literature, which can be computationally more efficient or physically more accurate. In our model (see Figure 1), a two bead representation is used for a nucleotide, one bead for the phosphate-sugar backbone and another for the nitrogenous base.

The connectivity between base and backbone beads...
and between adjacent backbone beads is modeled by the finite extensible nonlinear elastic (FENE) bond potential\textsuperscript{34}, which is given by

$$U_{\text{bond}}(r_{ij}) = -\frac{k_{\text{bond}}}{2} R_0^2 \ln \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{r_{ij}}{R_0} \right)^2 \right],$$

(1)

where $k_{\text{bond}}$ is the effective strength of the potential, and $R_0$ is the cutoff distance at which this potential diverges. Following Kenward \textit{et al.}, we use $k_{\text{bond}} = 30 \varepsilon / \sigma^2$ and $R_0 = 1.5 \sigma$ here\textsuperscript{33}. Here, $\varepsilon$ is the characteristic energy scale and $\sigma$ is the characteristic length scale. The angle potential is used to provide additional bending rigidity to the backbone with the following functional form:

$$U_{\text{angle}}(\theta) = \frac{k_{\text{angle}}}{2} \left( \cos \theta + \cos \theta_0 \right)^2,$$

(2)

where $\theta$ is the angle between three adjacent backbone beads, $\theta_0 = 180^\circ$ is the reference bending angle, and $k_{\text{angle}} = 24 \varepsilon$ is the stiffness parameter. Inter-chain and intra-chain stacking (st) and WC hydrogen bonding (hb) attractions between DNA base beads are modeled as\textsuperscript{33}

$$U_k(r_{ij}) = -\epsilon u_k \delta_{ij} \exp \left( 20 \left[ \frac{r_{ij}}{\sigma} - \Gamma_s \right] \right) + 1 \right)^{-1},$$

(3)

where $k \in \{\text{hb, st}\}$ and $\Gamma_s$ sets the range of interaction; we use $\Gamma_s = 1.5$. The interactions between possible base pairs out of the four base alphabet (A, T, C or G) can be simply represented in a matrix form $[\delta_{ij}]$ as\textsuperscript{33}

$$[\delta_{ij}] = \begin{pmatrix}
\delta_{AA}^s & \delta_{AT}^s & \delta_{AC}^s & \delta_{AG}^s \\
\delta_{TA}^s & \delta_{TT}^s & \delta_{TC}^s & \delta_{TG}^s \\
\delta_{CA}^s & \delta_{CT}^s & \delta_{CC}^s & \delta_{CG}^s \\
\delta_{GA}^s & \delta_{GT}^s & \delta_{GC}^s & \delta_{GG}^s
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 4 & 1 & 4 \\
1 & 1 & 3 & 3 \\
2 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\
\end{pmatrix},$$

(4)

$$[\delta_{hb}] = \begin{pmatrix}
\delta_{AA}^b & \delta_{AT}^b & \delta_{AC}^b & \delta_{AG}^b \\
\delta_{TA}^b & \delta_{TT}^b & \delta_{TC}^b & \delta_{TG}^b \\
\delta_{CA}^b & \delta_{CT}^b & \delta_{CC}^b & \delta_{CG}^b \\
\delta_{GA}^b & \delta_{GT}^b & \delta_{GC}^b & \delta_{GG}^b
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

(5)

In Eq. (3), $u_k$ sets the relative energy scale between base stacking ($u_{st}$) and hydrogen bonding ($u_{hb}$). We use $u_{st} = 2.5$ and $u_{hb} = 1.0$ and keep $u_{st}/u_{hb} = 2.5$ as suggested previously by Linak \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{35}. The stacking potential $U_{st}$ is only applied to adjacent bases in a DNA strand connected to backbone beads $i$ and $i \pm 1$, which are less than distance $2\sigma$ apart. The hydrogen bonding potential $U_{hb}$ is applied between all possible WC inter-strand base pairs and intra-strand base pairs, which are less than distance $2\sigma$ apart, excluding adjacent ($i$ and $i \pm 1$) and next-nearest neighbors ($i$ and $i \pm 2$). In addition, short-range repulsive interactions between backbone-base and base-base beads are modeled by the Weeks-Chandler-Andersen (WCA) potential as

$$U_{\text{WCA}}(r_{ij}) = \begin{cases}
4 \varepsilon \left( \frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^6 \varepsilon, & \text{if } r_{ij} \leq 2\frac{1}{2} \sigma, \\
0, & \text{if } r_{ij} > 2\frac{1}{2} \sigma.
\end{cases}$$

(6)

The repulsive interactions between negatively charged backbone beads are modeled by the Yukawa potential (screened electrostatics) as\textsuperscript{36}

$$U_{\text{ele}}(r_{ij}) = A \frac{e^{-r_{ij}}}{r_{ij}},$$

(7)

where $A$ is a prefactor with units of energy$\times$distance, $\kappa = 2 / \sigma$ is the screening length, and $r_{cut} = 3.2 \sigma$ is the potential cutoff value. In our reduced unit model, the actual strength of electrostatic interactions ($A = 100 \varepsilon \sigma$) is selected so as to prevent triple- or multi-strand aggregation as shown in Figure 2. The undesired aggregation of multiple DNA strands results from the absence of electrostatic repulsion between backbone beads, whereas well-separated dsDNA pairs form in the presence of such repulsion modeled as the Yukawa interaction potential (eq. 7). We note that aggregation of multiple DNA strands can also be avoided by accounting for the directional nature of hydrogen bonding interactions between DNA base beads with a multi-body interaction potential instead of the isotropic distance dependent potential given by eq. 3. To account for electrostatic interactions in a more transparent manner, we are currently developing a DNA model in real units with appropriate size scaling for the backbone and base beads as well as disparate bond lengths for backbone-backbone and base-backbone bonds\textsuperscript{37}. No attempt is made here to convert the reduced simulation units to SI units as was done previously by Linak \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{35,38} for the temperature based on the experimental data. Without significant experimental input, such a mapping will likely yield inconsistent results.

The particles are modeled as rigid body hollow spheres (radius $5\sigma$ used throughout this work), and each particle is made up of 100 beads uniformly distributed on the surface of a sphere\textsuperscript{39}. The particle beads are uncharged and interact with DNA and other particle beads via the WCA interaction potential. Single strand DNA molecules are covalently linked to the particle surface beads by the FENE bond potential. We select particle surface beads that are farther than a certain distance value, which varies with DNA grafting density, to ensure uniform distribution of DNA strands on the particle surface. Figure 1 shows a snapshot of two CG DFPs with several ssDNA molecules attached at random locations to the particle surface. In our model, DNA molecules that are attached to the same particle can interact via the WC base pair interactions, in contrast to previous CG DFP models that neglect intra-base pair interactions.
even between A:T and G:C base pairs. Therefore, appropriate DNA sequences in our model can form hairpin or loop structures, which have been proposed as ideal candidates for novel design schemes\textsuperscript{40–43}. Both inter-particle and intra-particle base pair interactions are naturally incorporated in our CG DFP model.

B. Simulation details

To enhance equilibrium sampling, the replica exchange molecular dynamics (REMD) method is used for all of our simulations\textsuperscript{44}. REMD simulations are carried out under the canonical ensemble using a Langevin thermostat with damping parameter $\tau = 1\, (\epsilon/m/\sigma^2)^{-1/2}$ in a cubic simulation box with periodic boundary conditions in all directions. The size of the simulation box is chosen to be 100$\sigma$ so that there are no interactions between molecules and their periodic images. In our REMD simulations, we typically use 16 to 48 temperature replicas to obtain sufficient exchange probability and, therefore, convergence. The replica temperatures were chosen by trial and error such that the potential energy distributions adjacent replicas overlap sufficiently to ensure exchange between any two adjacent replicas with at least 50\% probability. The total number of timesteps that we performed for each simulation is of the order of $10^8$ steps with a step size of $\Delta t = 0.01\, (\epsilon/m/\sigma^2)^{-1/2}$. About $10^8$ timesteps per replica are required to obtain a reasonable estimate of the melting profiles of the hairpin and the duplex, which takes approximately 144 hours of processor time (for 32 replicas) on the Lehigh computing server corona (corona.cc.lehigh.edu) equipped with AMD Opteron 8-core 6128, 2GHz processors. For DFPs, we need about $5 \times 10^8$ timesteps per replica, requiring approximately 2304 hours of processor time (for 32 replicas) on the Lehigh computing server corona.

The DNA strands (hairpin or ssDNA) or DFPs are placed randomly inside the simulation box. The initial part of the simulation is discarded as equilibration, and the equilibration length is decided based on observables such as number of hydrogen bonds and distance between the particles. For hairpin and dsDNA duplex simulations the equilibration length is less than $10^7$ steps, while the equilibration length for DFPs is about $10^8$ steps. We use the block averaging scheme to estimate errors by dividing the production data into three sets and calculating the standard deviation from the mean. In case of the duplex/hairpin, the error bars of melting curves are smaller than the symbol size.

When simulating DFPs, we also tested to ensure that results remain unchanged with a box size of 200$\sigma$. For the larger box size, we find it useful to employ umbrella sampling simulation\textsuperscript{45} to obtain free energies as a function of pair distance between particles in a reasonable time. This also helps validate results obtained from REMD simulation. For umbrella sampling, we use harmonic umbrella potential with spring constant $= 5.0\, \epsilon/\sigma^2$ along the pair distance between the particles with a sufficient number of copies to obtain overlap between distance distributions.

Finally, we have used two different pairs of complementary DNA sequences in our simulation\textsuperscript{46,47}: a 12-mer, long sticky end (TTTTTTATGTATCAAGGT and TTTTTACCTTGATACAT) and a 7-mer, short sticky end (TTTTT=TTTGTCTACC and TTTTTTTTTGCTAGAC).

III. RESULTS

A. Melting behavior of ssDNA hairpin and dsDNA

In order to validate the CG DNA model presented in section II A, we first present the simulation data to characterize the melting behavior of ssDNA and dsDNA. The melting temperature is identified as the temperature at which the heat capacity (calculated from potential energy fluctuations) shows a maximum, the so-called calorimetric definition\textsuperscript{48}. We also use a structure based definition to define melted or unhybridized states. We define ssDNA hairpin or dsDNA duplex configurations to be hybridized (see Supplemental Material (SM) Figure S1\textsuperscript{49}) if at least a certain number of the possible complementary base pairs are bonded. Up to a certain threshold value of number of bonded pairs (see SM Figures S2 and S3\textsuperscript{49}), the melting curves are quite similar. The melting temperature, identified from this structure based criteria as the temperature at which 50\% of the states are melted, is similar to the calorimetric definition based on the heat capacity.

Figure 3 shows the melting curves for a ssDNA hairpin: $A_{10}G_{20}T_{10}$ and a dsDNA S1S2: GCAGT'CAGA CTGC obtained from REMD simulations. The shape of these melting curves is similar to what is expected based on
FIG. 3. Thermodynamic melting behavior. The fraction of melted states as a function of temperature (red symbols) (typical DNA configurations) and heat capacity (black symbols) are shown for (a) a ssDNA hairpin:A_{10}G_{20}T_{10} and (b) a pair of dsDNA S1S2:GCGTCATACAGTGC.

According to the thermodynamic melting behavior of hairpins and dsDNA formation from experiments, although the widths of the melting transitions are much broader as found by Linak et al. as well for a similar model as used here. Such broad transitions (unphysical) are also found in more detailed atomistic models of biomolecular systems such as proteins. From Figure 3 we observe that at very low temperatures almost all states are found to be hybridized and at high temperatures all states are melted. The transition between these two states is occurring in a cooperative manner, more so in the case of dsDNA. The temperature at which the fraction of melted states equals the fraction of hybridized states can be defined as the melting temperature. We note that the melting curve obtained from simulation of a single pair of duplex in the canonical ensemble is different from the bulk experimental situation involving hybridization between many pairs of complementary strands, due to finite-size effects, as discussed in detail by Ouldridge et al. If a comparison between simulation data from a finite system and experiment is desirable, melting temperature can be estimated as the temperature at which 33% of states are melted, as opposed to 50%. We also note that in the case of dsDNA hybridization, the melting temperature is also a function of the DNA concentration or simulation box volume for a fixed number of DNA strands.

Based on the results above, the CG DNA model is expected to capture the essential thermodynamics of ds-DNA hybridization and ssDNA properties. Specifically, cooperative transitions between hybridized and unhybridized states for dsDNA and ssDNA, as a function of temperature are captured. Next, we use this model to study interactions between a pair of DNA-functionalized particles.

B. Pair interactions between DNA-functionalized particles

Since attentive control of particle interactions is needed to obtain ordered structures, several previous efforts have been focused on understanding pair interactions between DNA-functionalized particles. On the experimental side, Crocker and co-workers measured DNA-mediated pair interactions between micron-sized particles. The separation distances between particles were obtained by confining two DFPs in harmonic potentials in an optical tweezer setup. The pair interaction potential (or free energy as a function of distance) is then obtained by the Boltzmann relation, accounting for optical forces. These direct measurements have allowed the development of statistical physics-based theoretical models for understanding the underlying physical phenomena of interactions between micron-sized particles. Though experimentally very challenging, it is desirable to obtain such information for interactions between nanoparticles as well.

Estimates of pairwise interactions between nanoparticles have been obtained by simulation with the help of CG DFP models (see section I). These previous studies consider pair interactions between DFPs for very low DNA grafting densities of 4 to 6 DNA molecules per particle. Frenkel and co-workers have developed numerical schemes to extract pair interactions using their core-blob DFP model with a combination of statistical mechanics theory and Monte Carlo simulations. Our new DFP model presented here is meant to act as a bridge between the core-blob type simplified description (which is computationally tractable even for phase behavior calculations) and experiment by including a more detailed description of DNA degrees of freedom (see section IIA). Ultimately, it may turn out that some of these details are not necessary to capture essential details of DFP assembly thermodynamics, including phase diagrams. But we anticipate that some of these additional details in our model may be important for understanding issues related to particle dynamics and the kinetics of assembly.
We simulate two DFPs grafted with either the 12-mer long sticky end or the 7-mer short sticky end as described previously. The potential of mean force (PMF) or free energy as a function of pair distance between two DFPs, with respect to unhybridized particles, is calculated as

$$\Delta F_I(r) = -k_B T \ln[g(r)] + c,$$

where $g(r)$ is the pair correlation function (normalized with respect to an ideal gas), $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $c$ is an additive constant, and $I$ is used to identify particle types in a pair, i.e., $I = \{A-A, B-B, A-B\}$. In addition to binning data collected at a single temperature in an REMD simulation, we also use the weighted histogram analysis method (WHAM)\textsuperscript{59,60} to combine data from all of the temperatures to obtain PMFs.

$$\Delta F_I(r) = -k_B T \ln[g(r)] + c$$

1. Effect of temperature

Figure 4 shows a representative set of PMFs for different temperatures (see legend) between three possible pairs of particles (A-A, B-B, and A-B). The symbols represent data from the REMD simulations sampled at a single temperature, and the lines are the WHAM reconstruction based on the data from all temperatures. The agreement between the two estimates clearly indicates that the simulation times are long enough to obtain PMFs. The interactions between like pairs (A-A and B-B) are purely repulsive at all of the temperatures, as shown in Fig. 4b, as these ssDNA sequences were designed to have minimal self-interactions. Moreover, the repulsive interactions between like pairs are independent of the temperature, except at very low pair distances.

The PMFs between unlike particle pairs (A-B), which are coated with complementary ssDNA with a long sticky end, are shown in Fig. 4a. The free energy as a function of pair distance shows a distinct minimum at low temperatures, which corresponds to the bound configuration between particles A and B (stabilized by DNA hybridization). Specifically, the general shape of the pair potential and its dependence on temperature (compare Fig. 4a with Fig. 1E from Ref. 46) resemble the experimentally measured effective pair potentials\textsuperscript{61}. For two particle simulations in a large enough box (approaching zero density limit), the minimum in free energy is an important parameter to characterize interactions between particles as a function of system parameters such as temperature, DNA grafting density, and length of sticky or spacer end\textsuperscript{61}.

Figure 5 shows the minimum interaction free energy as a function of temperature, which we find to vary in a highly non-linear manner. Previously, Dreyfus et al.\textsuperscript{61} also predicted a similar non-linear dependence of the minimum interaction free energy on temperature with the help of a theoretical model. In their model, the minimum interaction free energy depends on the number of bonds formed between the two particles as well as the entropy associated with the selection of various combinations of strands or bonds on the two particles; the sharp decrease in the minimum interaction free energy as a function of decreasing temperature is most likely due to enhanced hydrogen bonding (due to the increase in the number of hydrogen bonds as the temperature decreases and the increased stability of a hydrogen bond with decreasing temperature), which is further facilitated by shorter distances at which the minimum occurs. However, in their model DNA is simplified as a rigid rod with a sticky point at the end (to represent complementary ssDNA), and the two particles are approximated as flat plates. In our model, ssDNA strands are relatively flexible, and the length of the sticky end is comparable to the length of the spacer. Given these differences between our simulation model and the theoretical model of Dreyfus et al., the non-linear dependence of the minimum interaction free energy on temperature is expected to be a
FIG. 5. The minimum interaction free energy is shown as a function of temperature corresponding to system parameters used in Fig. 4a. The inset shows a zoomed-in view of the main plot.

FIG. 6. The minimum interaction free energy is shown as a function of temperature for different grafting densities (see legend) for the short sticky end.

general feature of DNA-mediated particle interactions. At low temperatures, the minimum interaction free energy can be quite significant compared to the thermal energy, thereby leading to irreversible particle binding. Appearance of such irreversible particle binding at temperatures not too far from the desired temperature range (weak binding regime) due to non-linearity is likely to be an inherent hindrance to particle rearrangement, and, therefore, crystallization in DNA-mediated particle assembly.

2. Effect of DNA grafting density

In experiments, DNA grafting density on the particle surface can be controlled by synthesis methods as well as by adding non-hybridizing ssDNA in the solution buffer. By controlling the fraction of sticky ends that can hybridize with complementary ssDNA on other particles, it was shown that the particle dissociation behavior (melting temperature and sharpness) can be controlled quantitatively. It is still less well understood how this particular parameter can affect the pair interaction potential and the associated assembly mechanism. Here, we study the first question by calculating PMFs as a function of DNA grafting density ranging from 1 DNA molecule per particle to 32 DNA molecules per particle.

Figure 6 shows the minimum interaction free energy as a function of temperature for varying DNA grafting densities for the case of a short sticky end. For a given temperature, the minimum interaction free energy becomes lower with increasing DNA grafting densities as a greater number of complementary DNA molecules can hybridize between a pair of particles. According to Dreyfus et al., the non-linear dependence of the minimum interaction free energy can be categorized into three different regimes. The weak-binding regime, in which the minimum interaction free energy is comparable to the thermal energy, is most relevant for successful DNA-mediated particle assembly in laboratory experiments. In this regime, the minimum interaction free energy is expected to be proportional to the average number of hydrogen bonds formed. In Fig. 6, we limit the range of the minimum interaction free energy from $-10k_B T$ to $-k_B T$, which approximately corresponds to the so-called weak-binding regime. We find that even in this regime, the variation in minimum interaction free energy as a function of temperature is quite non-linear. Similar behavior in the weak binding regime was also observed previously by Mirjam et al.

To identify changes in pair PMF as a function of DNA grafting density, we use two special cases – constant temperature and constant minimum interaction free energy. Figure 7a shows PMFs between unlike particles (A-B) for different grafting densities, but the minimum interaction free energy is kept constant at $-4k_B T$ by changing the temperature (see legend). We find that the change in temperature is related logarithmically to the DNA grafting density in order to obtain a constant minimum interaction free energy (data not shown). Though not entirely unexpected, the DNA grafting density significantly alters the shape of the pair interaction potential or PMF. The minimum in free energy is quite broad for lower grafting densities, but the attractive well becomes narrower with increasing DNA grafting density. This narrowing of the attractive well is most likely due to stronger repulsion caused by overlap between grafted DNA molecules at small pair distances. Although some previous work has been done relating shape of the pair potential with
particle dynamics, there is no general framework to determine which of these potential shapes will be most desirable for ordered particle assembly. Our hypothesis is that intermediate DNA grafting densities will yield an optimum in the width of the attractive well so that pair distance can change as needed for structural rearrangements, while the resulting ordered structure is still stable without significant fluctuations in lattice parameters.

In Figure 7b, we compare PMFs at the same temperature for varying DNA grafting densities. The minimum interaction free energy decreases with increasing DNA grafting density as expected due to the higher number of complementary strands that can hybridize. However, the maximum number of hydrogen bonds, defined as the number of hydrogen bonds formed between the two particles at the lowest temperature of our simulation, does not linearly increase with the number of grafted DNA chains (see SM Figure S4). This is most likely due to the excluded volume (repulsive) interactions between the DNA molecules on the same particle, thereby limiting the number of strands that can hybridize with increasing DNA grafting density. Similar to Figure 7a, we also observe narrowing of the attractive well in addition to deepening at higher DNA grafting densities, again due to enhanced repulsion between DNA molecules at small pair distances.

Finally, we note that the current model, due to its approximate nature, can only provide qualitative changes in the potential function, and therefore the observed changes in the potential width should be interpreted accordingly.

3. Effect of spacer length

Experimentally, Jin et al. showed that an increase in the spacer length (the non-hybridizing part of the ss-DNA) increases the melting temperature of DNA grafted on nanoparticles. Later, Sun et al. also observed a similar increase in DNA melting temperature with increasing spacer length. From molecular simulations of the CG DFP model, Fernando et al. found that longer spacer length can actually destabilize a preformed crystal lattice of DFPs at lower temperatures. Although there are several studies on the relationship between spacer length and DNA melting temperature, relatively little information is available on the dependence of the pair interaction potential between DFPs on spacer length.

Figure 8 shows PMFs between a pair of unlike DFPs (A-B) when each particle is grafted with 16 complementary ssDNA molecules with the long sticky end at the same temperature. The minimum interaction free energy decreases slightly (by about 1 $k_B T$) with increasing spacer lengths from 0 to 8 bases. This suggests that increasing the spacer length may help in the formation of more hydrogen bonds between DFPs, but other parameters such as DNA grafting density and particle curvature may be more important determinants of enhanced hydrogen bond formation. More importantly, the pair distance at which the minimum in the PMF occurs shifts to a higher value with increasing spacer length. These results are consistent with experimental observations, as the decrease in the minimum interaction free energy will lead to a higher melting temperature and the increase in preferred pair distance will lead to a longer translational lattice parameter. As the width of the PMF also increases with increasing spacer length, one may expect destabilization of certain crystal lattices as observed by Fernando et al. in their simulations.

4. Effect of sticky end length

In addition to varying the DNA grafting density, one can also alter the minimum interaction free energy between DFPs by changing the number of complementary base pairs (or type of base pairs formed, A:T versus G:C) of the sticky end. Crocker et al. found that reducing the sticky end length leads to a decrease in melt-
FIG. 8. Effect of spacer length on the potential of mean force for long sticky end with 16 DNA molecules per particle at temperature 0.224.

FIG. 9. Comparison of potential of mean force between short and long sticky ends at three different temperatures. ss: short sticky end; ls: long sticky end.

ing temperature. Similar conclusions were also drawn by others using separate linker-mediated interactions between DFPs$^{70}$. Figure 9 compares PMFs obtained for a pair of DFPs with short and long sticky ends for three temperatures. Both of the DNA sequences (long and short sticky ends) have a total of 18 bases, out of which 7 and 12 are part of the sticky end for short and long sticky ends, respectively. Intuitively, varying the length of the sticky end (while keeping the total DNA length constant) can have two effects on the shape of the PMF – change in the depth and change in the width of the attractive potential well. As shown in Fig. 9, the minimum interaction free energy for the short sticky end is higher than for the long sticky end at the same temperature, as expected. The minimum in free energy for the short sticky end is also shifted to longer pair distances due to shorter overlap between shorter sticky ends as compared to long sticky ends. Interestingly, the PMF well in the case of the short sticky end is wider as compared to that of the long sticky end. Current CG DFP models, which assume a point-like sticky end, cannot capture the effect of sticky end length on the shape of the PMF. This is not expected to be limiting for small variations in sticky end length but may be important for large changes in the sticky end length to model associated changes in the particle assembly behavior.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we present a new coarse-grained model for studying the behavior of DNA-functionalized particles. The coarse-grained DNA model used here provides explicit DNA representation (at the nucleotide level) and complementary interactions between Watson-Crick base pairs, which lead to the formation of ssDNA hairpins and dsDNA. Aggregation between multiple complementary strands is prevented. We use this model to calculate the dependence of the free energy on the distance between a pair of DNA-coated particles as a function of temperature, DNA grafting density, and lengths of sticky end and spacer DNA. The change in the minimum interaction free energy as a function of system temperature is found to be non-linear even in the weak-binding regime. Our results of particle pair potentials as a function of system parameters can also be useful for future design of crystal lattices based on DNA-mediated particle assembly. For example, Macfarlane et al.$^7$, suggests that the lattice spacing can be adjusted by varying the hydrodynamic size ratio between two types of particles grafted with complementary DNA molecules. Based on our effective pair potentials, one should be able to modify the lattice spacing with the same hydrodynamic particle size ratio by varying the sticky end length while keeping the total DNA length the same. Moreover, we find that several system parameters (such as DNA grafting density and sticky end length) can be used to change the width of the attractive well. We hypothesize that shallower and broader attractive potentials may be preferable for particle assembly in ordered structures due to dynamic DNA hybridization$^{21}$, but may also lead to lower lattice stability. In the future, we plan to address these questions with multiparticle assembly simulations using the new coarse-grained model.
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