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Abstract

In this paper, two novel high order numerical algorithms are proposed for solving fractional differential equations where the fractional derivative is considered in the Caputo sense. The total domain is discretized into a set of small subdomains and then the unknown functions are approximated using the piecewise Lagrange interpolation polynomial of degree three and degree four. The detailed error analysis is presented, and it is analytically proven that the proposed algorithms are of orders 4 and 5. The stability of the algorithms is rigorously established and the stability region is also achieved. Numerical examples are provided to check the theoretical results and illustrate the efficiency and applicability of the novel algorithms.
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1. Introduction

The subject of fractional calculus (theory of integration and differentiation of arbitrary order) can be considered as an old yet novel topic. It is an ongoing topic for more than 300 years, however since the 1970s, it has been gaining increasing attention [1]. Firstly, there were almost no practical applications of fractional calculus (FC),
and it was considered by many as an abstract area containing only mathematical manipulations of little or no use \[2, 3, 4\]. Recently, FC has been widely used in various applications in almost every field of science, engineering, and mathematics and it have gained considerable importance due to their frequent appearance applications in fluid flow, polymer rheology, economics, biophysics, control theory, psychology and so on \[5, 6, 7\].

The main reason that fractional differential equations (FDEs) are being used to modeling real phenomena is that they are nonlocal in nature, that is, a realistic model of a physical phenomenon depends not only on the time instant but also the previous time history \[8\]. In other words, fractional derivative provides a perfect tool when it is used to describe the memory and hereditary properties of various materials and processes \[9, 10\]. Some of the other main differences between fractional calculus and classical calculus are: (1) FDEs are, at least, as stable as their integer order counterpart \[11, 12\]; (2) Using FDEs can help to reduce the errors arising from the neglected parameters in modeling real-life phenomena \[13, 14\]; (3) In some situations, FDEs models seem more consistent with the real phenomena than the integer-order models \[15, 16\]; (4) Fractional order models are more general \[17\] and in the limit results obtained from FC coincide with those obtained from classical calculus \[18\] and so on.

The wide applicability of FC in the field of science and engineering motivates researchers to try to find out the analytical or numerical solutions for the FDEs. It is well known that the analytical and closed solutions of FDEs cannot generally be obtained and if luckily obtained always contain some infinite series (such as Mittag-Leffler function) which make evaluation very expensive \[19, 20\]. For this reason, necessarily, one may need an efficient approximate and numerical technique for the solution of FDEs \[21\].

Odibat et al. constructed a numerical scheme for the numerical solution of FDEs based on the modified trapezoidal rule and the fractional Euler’s method \[22\]. To obtain a numerical solution scheme for the fractional differential equations, authors of \[23\] divided the time interval into a set of small subintervals, and utilized quadratic interpolation polynomial between two successive intervals to approximate unknown functions. Cao and Xu applied quadratic interpolation polynomial to construct a high
order scheme based on the so-called block-by-block approach, for the fractional ordinary differential equations \cite{24}. The convergence order of this scheme is $3 + \alpha$ for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, and 4 for $\alpha > 1$. Diethelm proposed an implicit numerical algorithm for solving FDEs by using piecewise linear interpolation polynomials to approximate the Hadamard finite-part integral \cite{25}. Yan et al. designed a high order numerical scheme for solving a linear fractional differential equation by approximating the Hadamard finite-part integral with the quadratic interpolation polynomials \cite{26}. This method is based on a direct discretisation of the fractional differential operator and the order of convergence of the method is $O(h^{3-\alpha})$. A high order fractional Adams-type method for solving a nonlinear FDEs is also obtained in this paper. Pal et al. designed an extrapolation algorithm for solving linear FDEs based on the direct discretization of the fractional differential operator \cite{27}.

In this paper, we will introduce two new numerical algorithms for solving the nonlinear FDEs, which are expressed in terms of Caputo type fractional derivatives. In these algorithms properties of the Caputo derivative are used to reduce the FDE into a Volterra type integral equation of the second kind. We then use the Lagrange interpolation polynomials of degree three and four to approximate the integral and the proposed numerical algorithms has the truncation error $O(h^4)$ and $O(h^5)$ for all $\alpha > 0$. The stability of the numerical method is proved based on the properties of the weights in the numerical algorithm under the assumption that the time $T > 0$ is sufficiently small. Such properties are used in the first time to prove the stability of the numerical methods for solving fractional differential equations. To our best knowledge, there is no numerical algorithm for solving nonlinear fractional differential equation with the convergence order greater than 4 in the literature. We also introduce a new way to analyze the stability of the numerical methods for solving fractional differential equations.

The outline of the paper is as follows. Numerical algorithms are presented in Section 2 by using the piecewise Lagrange interpolation polynomial of degree three and degree four. Section 3 deals with the error analysis of the presented algorithms and stability analysis of these algorithms is given in Section 4. Linear stability analysis of the proposed schemes is given in Section 5 to achieve stability region of these methods. To demonstrate the effectiveness and high accuracy of the proposed methods some
2. Numerical algorithms

Consider the nonlinear fractional differential equation, with $\alpha > 0$,

$$
\begin{cases}
C_0^\alpha y(t) = f(t, y(t)), & 0 \leq t \leq T, \\
y^{(k)}(t_0) = y_0^{(k)}, & k = 0, 1, \ldots, \lceil \alpha \rceil - 1
\end{cases}
$$

(1)

where $C_0^\alpha$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative and $f(t, u)$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to the second variable, i.e., there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$
|f(t, u_1) - f(t, u_2)| \leq L|u_1 - u_2|, \quad \forall u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

(2)

It is well known that the initial value problem (1) is equivalent to the Volterra integral equation

$$
y(t) = h(t) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha - 1} f(\tau, y(\tau))d\tau,
$$

(3)

where $h(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil \alpha \rceil - 1} \frac{t^j}{j!} y^{(j)}(0)$, in the sense that a continuous function solves (3) if and only if it solves (1). The piecewise Lagrange interpolation polynomial of degree three and degree four are used to approximate the integral in (3). For an integer $N$ and the given time $T$, the interval $[0, T]$ is divided into $t_j = jh, \; j = 0, \ldots, N$ where $h = T/N$ is the step length. The numerical solution of Eq. (1) at the point $t_j$ is denoted by $y_j$. For notational convenience, let $F(\tau) = f(\tau, y(\tau))$ and $F_j = f(t_j, y_j)$.

2.1. Numerical algorithm I

We start with computing the value of $y(t)$ at $t_1$, $t_2$, and $t_3$, simultaneously. Consider the following integral for the first three steps ($k = 0, 1, 2$)

$$
I_{k+1} = \int_0^{t_{k+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha - 1} F(\tau) d\tau = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha - 1} F(\tau) d\tau
$$

$$
\approx \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha - 1} F(\tau) d\tau = \sum_{j=0}^{k} d_j^{k+1} F(t_j)
$$

(4)
where $\tilde{F}(\tau)$ is chosen to be the piecewise Lagrange cubic interpolation polynomial of $F(\tau)$ associated with the nodes $t_0, t_1, t_2$ and $t_3$. In this way, we have

$$d_j^1 = \begin{cases} 
6\alpha^3 + 25\alpha^2 + 23\alpha, & j = 0 \\
6(3\alpha^2 + 10\alpha + 6), & j = 1 \\
-9\alpha^2 - 21\alpha, & j = 2 \\
-2\alpha^2 - 4\alpha, & j = 3 
\end{cases}$$

$$d_j^3 = \begin{cases} 
3\alpha^3 + 3\alpha^2 + 3\alpha, & j = 0 \\
2 \times 3^{\alpha+3} \alpha^2, & j = 1 \\
-3^{\alpha+3}(\alpha^2 - 3\alpha), & j = 2 \\
2 \times 3^{\alpha+1}(\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 6) & j = 3 
\end{cases}$$

$$d_j^2 = \begin{cases} 
2^{\alpha+1} \alpha(\alpha + 2)(3\alpha + 1), & j = 0 \\
3 \times 2^{\alpha+2} (3\alpha^2 + 5\alpha), & j = 1 \\
3 \times 2^{\alpha+1} (-3\alpha^2 + \alpha + 6), & j = 2 \\
2^{\alpha+2}\alpha^2 - \alpha & j = 3 
\end{cases}$$

For this reason, after some elementary calculations $y_{k+1}$ for the first three steps $k = 0, 1, 2$ can be approximated as follows:

$$y_{k+1} = h(t_{k+1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{3} d_j^{k+1} f(t_j, y_j), \quad k = 0, 1, 2. \quad (6)$$

As it is mentioned above, the first three step solutions $y_1, y_2$ and $y_3$ are coupled in $(6)$, thus need to be solved simultaneously. An explicit solution of these three equations is given in Appendix A section.

To construct the scheme for the next steps, $I_{k+1}, k \geq 3$ is described as follows:

$$I_{k+1} \approx \left[ \sum_{j=j_{k+1}}^{3} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} F(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=3}^{k} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \tilde{F}_{j+1}(\tau) d\tau \right] (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} d_j^{k+1} F(t_j), \quad (7)$$

in which like as $(4)$, for the first three integrals ($j = 0, 1, 2, 3$), $F$ is the piecewise Lagrange cubic interpolation polynomial of $F(\tau)$ associated with the nodes $t_0, t_1, t_2$ and $t_3$. For the reminder integrals ($j = 3, 4, \ldots, k+1$), $\tilde{F}_{j+1}$ is chosen to be the piecewise Lagrange cubic interpolation polynomial of $F(\tau)$ associated with the nodes $t_{j-2}, t_{j-1}, t_j$ and $t_{j+1}$. In this way, for $k \geq 3$ we have

$$d_0^{k+1} = (k+1)^{\alpha} \left[ 6\alpha^3 + 25\alpha^2 + 23\alpha + 12\alpha k^2 - k \left( 11\alpha^2 + 31\alpha + 6(k-2)(k-1) \right) \right] + 2P(1)(k-2)^{\alpha+1},$$

$$d_j^{k+1} = \frac{h^\alpha}{6\alpha(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)(\alpha + 3)} d_j^k, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$
\[d_{1}^{k+1} = 6(k+1)^{\alpha+1} \left[ 3k(k-3) - 5k\alpha + 3\alpha^2 + 10\alpha + 6 \right] + \frac{6}{3} P(2)(k-3)^{\alpha+1} - \frac{24}{3} P(1)(k-2)^{\alpha+1}, \]

\[d_{2}^{k+1} = -3(k+1)^{\alpha+1} \left[ 6k(k-2) - 8k\alpha + 3\alpha^2 + 7\alpha \right] + 2P(3)(k-4)^{\alpha+1} - \frac{24}{3} P(2)(k-3)^{\alpha+1} + 12P(1)(k-2)^{\alpha+1}, \]

\[d_{3}^{k+1} = 2(k+1)^{\alpha+1} \left[ \alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 3k^2 - 3\alpha k - 3k \right] + 2P(4)(k-5)^{\alpha+1} - 8P(3)(k-4)^{\alpha+1} + 12P(2)(k-3)^{\alpha+1} - 8P(1)(k-2)^{\alpha+1}, \]

\[d_{j}^{k+1} = 2P(j-3)(k-j+2)^{\alpha+1} - 8P(j-2)(k-j+1)^{\alpha+1} + 12P(j-1)(k-j)^{\alpha+1} - 8P(j)(k-j-1)^{\alpha+1} + 2P(j+1)(k-j-2)^{\alpha+1}, \quad 4 \leq j \leq k-2, \]

\[\hat{d}_{k-1}^{k+1} = 6d_{k+1} - 2^\alpha \phi + 2 \times 3\alpha^2 \left[ \alpha^2 + 14\alpha + 60 \right], \quad \hat{d}_{k}^{k+1} = 2^\alpha \phi - 4\hat{d}_{k+1}, \quad \hat{d}_{k+1}^{k+1} = 2\alpha^2 + 16\alpha + 36, \]

in which \(d_{j}^{k+1} = \frac{\alpha^2}{6\alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)} \hat{d}_{j}^{k+1} \) and

\[\phi = \alpha^2 + 11\alpha + 36, \quad P(j) = \alpha(\alpha + 2) + 3j^2 - 3j(\alpha + 2k + 1) + 3k^2 + 3(\alpha + 1)k. \]

The following special cases should be excluded:

\[
\begin{cases}
\hat{d}_{2}^{k} &= -3 \left[ 4^{\alpha+1} (3\alpha^2 - 17\alpha + 18) - 2\hat{d}_{k+1}^{k+1} \right], \\
\hat{d}_{3}^{k} &= 2 \left[ 4^{\alpha+1} (\alpha^2 - 7\alpha + 18) - 2\hat{d}_{k+1}^{k+1} \right], \\
\hat{d}_{3}^{k} &= 2 \left[ 5^{\alpha+1} (\alpha^2 - 10\alpha + 36) - 2\alpha^3 \phi + 3\hat{d}_{k+1}^{k+1} \right].
\end{cases}
\]

For this reason, after some explicit calculations \(y_{k+1} \) for \(k \geq 3 \) can be approximated as follows:

\[y_{k+1} = h(t_{k+1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} d_{j}^{k+1} f(t_{j}, y_{j}), \quad k \geq 3. \quad (10)\]

To summarize, we obtain the following novel scheme:

\[y_{k+1} = h(t_{k+1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} d_{j}^{k+1} f(t_{j}, y_{j}), \quad k \geq 1, \quad (11)\]

where \(d_{j}^{k+1} \) are defined as above.
2.2. Numerical algorithm II

Consider the following integral for the first four steps \((k = 0, 1, 2, 3)\)

\[
I_{k+1} = \int_0^{t_{k+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} F(\tau) d\tau = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} F(\tau) d\tau
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \tilde{F}(\tau) d\tau = \sum_{j=0}^{4} \tilde{b}_j^{k+1} F(t_j) \quad (12)
\]

where \(\tilde{F}(\tau)\) is the piecewise Lagrange interpolation polynomial of degree four associated with the nodes \(t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3\) and \(t_4\). Therefore, one can achieve the following weights

\[
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{b}_0^{k+1} &= \alpha \left[ 12\alpha^3 + 95\alpha^2 + 230\alpha + 165 \right], \\
\tilde{b}_1^{k+1} &= 4 \left[ 12\alpha^3 + 82\alpha^2 + 157\alpha + 72 \right], \\
\tilde{b}_2^{k+1} &= -6\alpha \left[ 6\alpha^2 + 35\alpha + 47 \right], \\
\tilde{b}_3^{k+1} &= 4\alpha \left[ 4\alpha^2 + 22\alpha + 27 \right], \\
\tilde{b}_4^{k+1} &= -\alpha \left[ 3\alpha^2 + 16\alpha + 19 \right], \\
\tilde{b}_0^{k+1} &= 2\alpha^{1+1} \alpha \left[ 6\alpha^3 + 35\alpha^2 + 55\alpha + 20 \right], \\
\tilde{b}_1^{k+1} &= 2\alpha^{5+1} \alpha \left[ 3\alpha^2 + 14\alpha + 14 \right], \\
\tilde{b}_2^{k+1} &= 3 \times 2\alpha^{3+1}(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 3)(4 - 3\alpha), \\
\tilde{b}_3^{k+1} &= 2\alpha^{5+1} \alpha \left[ \alpha^2 + 2\alpha - 2 \right], \\
\tilde{b}_4^{k+1} &= 2\alpha^{1+1} \alpha \left[ -3\alpha^2 - 5\alpha + 4 \right], \\
\end{aligned}
\]

(13)

Here \(\tilde{b}_j^{k+1} = \frac{\hat{b}_j}{\Gamma(\alpha) \left[ \alpha + 1 \right] \left[ \alpha + 2 \right] \left[ \alpha + 3 \right] \left[ \alpha + 4 \right]} \tilde{b}_j^{k+1}\). Hence \(y_{k+1}\) for the first four steps \(k = 0, 1, 2, 3\) can be determined as follows:

\[
y_{k+1} = h(t_{k+1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{4} \tilde{b}_j^{k+1} f(t_j, y_j), \quad 0 \leq k \leq 3. \quad (14)
\]

It is obvious that, the first four step solutions \(y_1, y_2, y_3\) and \(y_4\) are coupled in (14), thus need to be solved simultaneously. An explicit solution of these four equations is given in Appendix B section.
To design the schema for the next steps, \( I_{k+1}, k \geq 4 \) is descritized as follows

\[
I_{k+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{3} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} F(\tau) \, d\tau + \sum_{j=4}^{k} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \tilde{F}_{j+1}(\tau) \, d\tau \quad (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \, d\tau = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \tilde{b}_j^{k+1} F(t_j), \quad (15)
\]

in which like as (12), for the first four integrals \((j = 0, 1, 2, 3)\), \( F \) is the piecewise Lagrange interpolation polynomial of degree four associated with the nodes \( t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3 \) and \( t_4 \). For the reminder integrals \((j = 4, 5, \ldots, k+1)\), \( \tilde{F}_{j+1} \) is the piecewise Lagrange interpolation polynomial of degree four associated with the nodes \( t_{j-3}, t_{j-2}, t_{j-1}, t_j \) and \( t_{j+1} \). In this way, for \( k \geq 4 \) we have the following weights

\[
\tilde{b}_0^{k+1} = (k+1)^\alpha \left[ 12\alpha^4 + 5\alpha^2 (7k^2 - 31k + 46) - 5\alpha(k-3) (6k^2 - 13k + 11) 
+ 5\alpha^3 (19 - 5k) + 12k(k-3) (k-2) (k-1) \right] - P(2)(k-3)^{\alpha+1},
\]

\[
\tilde{b}_1^{k+1} = -4(k+1)^{\alpha+1} \left[ h_2(-2) - 15(\alpha + 4) (\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 3k^2 - \alpha k + 3k + 6) \right] 
- P(3)(k-4)^{\alpha+1} + 5P(2)(k-3)^{\alpha+1},
\]

\[
\tilde{b}_2^{k+1} = 6(k+1)^{\alpha+1} \left[ h_2(-2) - (\alpha + 4) (9\alpha^2 + 37\alpha + 42k^2 - 8\alpha k + 60k + 72) \right] 
- P(4)(k-5)^{\alpha+1} + 5P(3)(k-4)^{\alpha+1} - 10P(2)(k-3)^{\alpha+1},
\]

\[
\tilde{b}_3^{k+1} = -4(k+1)^{\alpha+1} \left[ h_2(-2) - (\alpha + 4) (7\alpha^2 + 32\alpha + 39k^2 - 3\alpha k + 69k + 72) \right] 
- P(5)(k-6)^{\alpha+1} + 5P(4)(k-5)^{\alpha+1} - 10P(3)(k-4)^{\alpha+1} + 10P(2)(k-3)^{\alpha+1},
\]

\[
\tilde{b}_4^{k+1} = (k+1)^{\alpha+1} \left[ h_2(-2) - 6(\alpha + 4) (\alpha^2 + 5\alpha + 6k^2 + 12k + 12) \right] - P(6)(k-7)^{\alpha+1} 
+ 5P(5)(k-6)^{\alpha+1} - 10P(4)(k-5)^{\alpha+1} + 10P(3)(k-4)^{\alpha+1} - 5P(2)(k-3)^{\alpha+1},
\]

\[
\tilde{b}_j^{k+1} = -P(j+2)(j-k-3)^{\alpha+1} + P(j-3)(j-k+2)^{\alpha+1} - 5P(j-2)(j-k+1)^{\alpha+1} 
+ 10P(j-1)(j-k)^{\alpha+1} + 5P(j+1)(j-k+1)^{\alpha+1} - 10P(j)(j-k+1)^{\alpha+1}, 
\quad 5 \leq j \leq k-3,
\]

\[
\tilde{b}_{k-2}^{k+1} = 2^{\alpha+2} \left[ 5\psi_2 + 2^\alpha (3\alpha^3 + 71\alpha^2 + 674\alpha + 2520) \right] - 5 \times 3^{\alpha+2} \psi_1 - 10\tilde{b}_{k+1}^{k+1},
\]
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\[ \hat{b}^{k+1}_i = 10 \left[ \hat{b}^{k+1}_{i+1} - 2^\alpha \psi_2 \right] + 3^{\alpha+2} \psi_1, \quad \hat{b}^{k+1}_k = 2^{\alpha+1} \psi_2 - 5\hat{b}^{k+1}_{k+1}, \]
\[ \hat{b}^{k+1}_{k-1} = 3 \alpha^3 + 38 \alpha^2 + 173 \alpha + 288, \]

where \( \hat{b}^{k+1}_j = \frac{h^k \hat{b}^{k+1}}{12(a(a+1)(a+2)(a+3)(a+4))} \)

and

\[ P(j) = 3 \alpha^3 + \alpha \left( 18 j^2 - 36 jk - 41 j + 18k^2 + 41k + 19 \right) + \alpha^2 \left( -11 j + 11k + 16 \right) - 12(j-k-2)(j-k-1)(j-k), \]

\[ \psi_1 = \alpha^3 + 20 \alpha^2 + 157 \alpha + 480, \quad \psi_2 = 3 \alpha^3 + 49 \alpha^2 + 304 \alpha + 720. \]

The following special cases should be excluded:

\[
\begin{cases}
\hat{b}^5_2 = -10 \left[ 3 \times 5^\alpha \left( 6 \alpha^3 - 41 \alpha^2 + 91 \alpha - 96 \right) + \hat{b}^{k+1}_{k-1} \right], \\
\hat{b}^5_3 = 10 \left[ 3^\alpha \left( 8 \alpha^3 - 68 \alpha^2 + 278 \alpha - 288 \right) + \hat{b}^{k+1}_{k-1} \right], \\
\hat{b}^5_4 = -5 \left[ 3^\alpha \left( 3 \alpha^3 - 28 \alpha^2 + 143 \alpha - 288 \right) + \hat{b}^{k+1}_{k-1} \right], \\
\hat{b}^5_5 = 10 \left[ 2^{\alpha+1} \psi_2 - \hat{b}^{k+1}_{k-1} \right] + 2^{\alpha+3} \alpha^2 \left( 3 \alpha^3 - 12 \alpha^2 + 68 \alpha - 120 \right), \\
\hat{b}^5_6 = 10 \left( -2^{\alpha} \psi_2 + \hat{b}^{k+1}_{k-1} \right) - 2^{\alpha+1} \alpha^2 \left( 3 \alpha^3 - 13 \alpha^2 + 88 \alpha - 240 \right), \\
\hat{b}^5_7 = 7^{\alpha+1} \left( -3 \alpha^3 + 50 \alpha^2 - 421 \alpha + 1440 \right) - 5 \times 3^{\alpha+2} \psi_1 + 5 \times 2^{\alpha+2} \psi_2 - 10 \hat{b}^{k+1}_{k+1}.
\end{cases}
\]

Therefore \( y_{k+1} \) for \( k \geq 4 \) can be approximated as follows:

\[ y_{k+1} = h(t_{k+1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} b^{k+1}_j f(t_j, y_j), \quad k \geq 4. \]

Thus a new numerical algorithm II is described by (14) and (19) with the weights \( b^{k+1}_j \)
defined as above.

3. Error analysis

For the numerical algorithm I the truncation error at the step \( k+1 \) is defined by

\[ r_{k+1}(h) := y(t_{k+1}) - \tilde{y}_{k+1} \]
where \( \tilde{y}_{k+1} \) is an approximation to \( y(t_{k+1}) \), evaluated by using the algorithm I \([11]\) with exact previous solutions, i.e. for \( k \geq 3 \),

\[
\tilde{y}_{k+1} = h(t_{k+1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} d_j^{k+1} F(t_j).
\] (21)

For the numerical algorithm II \([19]\), the definition of truncation error is the same as \([20]\), where \( \tilde{y}_{k+1} \) for \( k \geq 4 \) is as follows:

\[
\tilde{y}_{k+1} = h(t_{k+1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} b_j^{k+1} F(t_j)
\] (22)

**Theorem 1.** Let \( r_{k+1}(h) \) be the truncation error defined in \([20]\). If \( F(\tau) \in C^4[0,T] \) for some suitable chosen \( T \), then for the numerical algorithm I \([11]\) there exist a positive constant \( C > 0 \), independent of \( h \), such that

\[
|r_{k+1}(h)| \leq Ch^4
\]

**Proof.** We have, by Eqs. \([3]\), \([7]\) and \([21]\).

\[
|r_{k+1}(h)| = |y(t_{k+1}) - \tilde{y}_{k+1}| = \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} b_j^{k+1} F(t_j) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} F(\tau) d\tau
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \tilde{F}_j(\tau) d\tau - \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \tilde{F}_j(\tau) d\tau
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=3}^{k} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \tilde{F}_j(\tau) d\tau,
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \left| F(\tau) - \tilde{F}(\tau) \right| d\tau + \sum_{j=3}^{k} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \left| F(\tau) - \tilde{F}_j(\tau) \right| d\tau \right].
\]
where $\tilde{F}(\tau)$ and $\tilde{F}_{j+1}$ are defined by (7). Thus we have

$$|r_{k+1}(h)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{k} \left( \frac{F^{(4)}(\cdot)}{4!} (\tau - t_j)(\tau - t_1)(\tau - t_2) \right) + \sum_{j=3}^{k} \frac{F^{(4)}(\cdot)}{4!} (\tau - t_{j-2})(\tau - t_{j-1}) \right].$$

where $\xi_1(\tau) \in [t_0, t_3]$ and $\xi_j(\tau) \in [t_{j-2}, t_{j+1}]$.

$$|r_{k+1}(h)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{k} \left( \frac{F^{(4)}(\cdot)}{4!} (\tau - t_j)(\tau - t_1)(\tau - t_2) \right) + \sum_{j=3}^{k} \frac{F^{(4)}(\cdot)}{4!} (\tau - t_{j-2})(\tau - t_{j-1}) \right].$$

and the second integral mean value theorem is used.

$$|r_{k+1}(h)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{F^{(4)}(\cdot)}{4!} \left( \tau - t_j \right) \left( \tau - t_1 \right) \left( \tau - t_2 \right) \right] + \frac{3^3 \|F^{(4)}\|_\infty T^\alpha}{8\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} h^4 (t_{k+1} - t_0)^\alpha = \left( \frac{3^3 \|F^{(4)}\|_\infty T^\alpha}{8\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right) h^4.

\[\Box\]

**Theorem 2.** Let $r_{k+1}(h)$ be the truncation error defined in (20). If $F(\tau) \in C^5[0, T]$ for some suitable chosen $T$, then for the numerical algorithm II (14) and (19) there exists a positive constant $C > 0$, independent of $h$, such that

$$|r_{k+1}(h)| \leq Ch^5$$

**Proof.** The details of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 1, so are neglected. We
have, by Eqs. (3), (15) and (21),

\[
|r_{k+1}(h)| = |y(t_{k+1}) - \bar{y}_{k+1}| = \left| h(t_{k+1}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{l_{k+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} F(\tau)d\tau \right.
\]

\[
\left. - h(t_{k+1}) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \tilde{P}_{j+1} F(t_j) \right|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[ \int_{t_{j+1}}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} |F(\tau) - F(\tau)| d\tau \right.
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=4}^{k} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} |F(\tau) - \tilde{F}_{j+1}(\tau)| d\tau \right],
\]

where \( \tilde{F}(\tau) \) and \( \tilde{F}_{j+1} \) are defined by (15).

\[
|r_{k+1}(h)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[ \int_{t_{j+1}}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \left| \frac{F^{(5)}(\xi_1(\tau))}{5!}(\tau - t_0)(\tau - t_1)(\tau - t_2) \right. \right.
\]

\[
\left( \tau - t_1)(\tau - t_4) \right| d\tau + \sum_{j=4}^{k} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \left| \frac{F^{(5)}(\xi_j(\tau))}{5!}(\tau - t_{j-3}) \right.
\]

\[
\left. (\tau - t_{j-2})(\tau - t_{j-1})(\tau - t_j)(\tau - t_{j+1}) \right| d\tau \right],
\]

where \( \xi_1(\tau) \in [t_0, t_4] \) and \( \xi_j(\tau) \in [t_{j-3}, t_{j+1}] \).

\[
|r_{k+1}(h)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \frac{1}{5!} \left| (\tau_j - t_0)(\tau_j - t_1)(\tau_j - t_2)(\tau_j - t_3)(\tau_j - t_4) \right| \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \right.
\]

\[
\left. + \sum_{j=4}^{k} \frac{1}{5!} \left| (\tau_j - t_{j-3})(\tau_j - t_{j-2})(\tau_j - t_{j-1})(\tau_j - t_j)(\tau_j - t_{j+1}) \right| \right]
\]

\[
\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} d\tau \right],
\]

in which \( \tau_j \in [t_j, t_{j+1}] \).

\[
|r_{k+1}(h)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[ \frac{\|F^{(5)}\|_{\infty}}{5!}(4h)^5 \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left| (t_{k+1} - t_j)^\alpha - (t_{k+1} - t_{j+1})^\alpha \right| \right.
\]

\[
\left. + \frac{\|F^{(5)}\|_{\infty}}{5!}(4h)^5 \sum_{j=4}^{k} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left| (t_{k+1} - t_j)^\alpha - (t_{k+1} - t_{j+1})^\alpha \right| \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{4^5\|F^{(5)}\|_{\infty}}{5!\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} h^5 (t_{k+1} - t_0)^\alpha = \left( \frac{4^5\|F^{(5)}\|_{\infty}}{5!\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right) h^5.
\]
4. Stability analysis

The stability of a numerical scheme mainly refers to that if there is a perturbation in
the initial condition, then the small change cause small errors in the numerical solution
[28, 29]. Suppose that $y_{k+1}$ and $\tilde{y}_{k+1}$ are numerical solutions in (11), and the initial
conditions are given by $y_0^{(i)}$ and $\tilde{y}_0^{(i)}$ respectively. If there exists a positive constant $C$
independent of $h$, such that

$$|y_{k+1} - \tilde{y}_{k+1}| \leq C_{\alpha,r} \|y_0 - \tilde{y}_0\|_\infty,$$

then it concluded that the scheme (11) is stable [30]. It is similar to define the nu-
merical stability for the numerical algorithm II (14) and (19). Assume that $F(\tau)$ is
sufficiently smooth and $C_{\alpha} > 0$ is independent of all discretization parameters. Firstly,
we introduce two lemmas which will be used in stability analysis.

**Lemma 1.** For the weights of the novel scheme (11) we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} |d_j^{k+1}| \leq C_{\alpha} T^\alpha,$$

where $C_{\alpha}$ only depends on $\alpha$.

**Proof.** For $d_0^{k+1}$, we have

$$|d_0^{k+1}| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{2} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\tau - t_1}{t_0 - t_1} \frac{\tau - t_2}{t_0 - t_2} \frac{\tau - t_3}{t_0 - t_3} \ d\tau \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^{2} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} |(t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1}| \frac{\tau - t_1}{t_0 - t_1} \frac{\tau - t_2}{t_0 - t_2} \frac{\tau - t_3}{t_0 - t_3} \ d\tau$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^{2} \frac{|\tilde{\tau}_j - t_1|}{t_0 - t_1} \frac{|\tilde{\tau}_j - t_2|}{t_0 - t_2} \frac{|\tilde{\tau}_j - t_3|}{t_0 - t_3} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \ d\tau, \quad \tilde{\tau}_j \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]$$

$$|d_0^{k+1}| \leq \frac{1}{6h^3} |(\xi_1 h - h)(\xi_1 h - 2h)(\xi_1 h - 3h)| \frac{1}{\alpha} [(t_{k+1})^\alpha - (t_{k+1} - t_1)^\alpha]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{6h^3} |(\xi_2 h - h)(\xi_2 h - 2h)(\xi_2 h - 3h)| \frac{1}{\alpha} [(t_{k+1} - t_1)^\alpha - (t_{k+1} - t_2)^\alpha]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{6h^3} |(\xi_3 h - h)(\xi_3 h - 2h)(\xi_3 h - 3h)| \frac{1}{\alpha} [(t_{k+1} - t_2)^\alpha - (t_{k+1} - t_3)^\alpha],$$
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where $j - 1 \leq \xi_j \leq j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore we have

$$
|d_j^{k+1}| \leq \frac{1}{6h^3} \frac{1}{\alpha} t_{k+1}^\alpha (6h^3 + 2h^3 + 2h^3) \leq \frac{5}{3\alpha} T^\alpha.
$$

Using similar analysis it can be shown that for $j = 1, 2, 3, k - 1, k, k + 1$ there exist $C_\alpha$, which is dissimilar values at each cases, such that the following inequality is holds.

$$
|d_j^{k+1}| \leq C_\alpha T^\alpha, j = 1, 2, 3, k - 1, k, k + 1. \quad (25)
$$

For $j = 4, 5, \ldots, k - 2$ we have

$$
\sum_{j=4}^{k-2} |d_j^{k+1}| \leq \sum_{j=4}^{k-2} \left[ \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \left| (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \right| \left| \frac{\tau - t_{j-3}}{t_j - t_{j-3}} \frac{\tau - t_{j-2}}{t_j - t_{j-2}} \frac{\tau - t_{j-1}}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \right| d\tau \right.
$$

$$
+ \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \left| (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \right| \left| \frac{\tau - t_{j-2}}{t_j - t_{j-2}} \frac{\tau - t_{j-1}}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \frac{\tau - t_{j-1}}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \right| d\tau
$$

$$
+ \int_{t_{j+1}}^{t_{j+2}} \left| (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \right| \left| \frac{\tau - t_{j-1}}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \frac{\tau - t_{j+1}}{t_j - t_{j+1}} \frac{\tau - t_{j+1}}{t_j - t_{j+1}} \right| d\tau
$$

$$
+ \int_{t_{j+2}}^{t_{j+3}} \left| (t_{k+1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \right| \left| \frac{\tau - t_{j+1}}{t_j - t_{j+1}} \frac{\tau - t_{j+2}}{t_j - t_{j+2}} \frac{\tau - t_{j+3}}{t_j - t_{j+3}} \right| d\tau
$$

$$
\left. \right] d\tau
$$

where $\bar{\xi}_1 \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]$, $\bar{\xi}_2 \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]$, $\bar{\xi}_3 \in [t_{j+1}, t_{j+2}]$, and $\bar{\xi}_4 \in [t_{j+2}, t_{j+3}]$. Hence, above equation has the simplify form,

$$
\sum_{j=4}^{k-2} |d_j^{k+1}| \leq \frac{6h^3}{6h^3} \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{j=4}^{k-2} \left[ (t_{k+1} - t_{j-1})^\alpha - (t_{k+1} - t_j)^\alpha \right] + \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{j=4}^{k-2} \left[ (t_{k+1} - t_{j+1})^\alpha - (t_{k+1} - t_{j+2})^\alpha \right]
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{j=4}^{k-2} \left[ (t_{k+1} - t_{j+2})^\alpha - (t_{k+1} - t_{j+3})^\alpha \right]
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ \left((t_{k+1} - t_3)^\alpha - (t_{k+1} - t_2)^\alpha\right) \right] + \left[ (t_{k+1} - t_4)^\alpha - (t_{k+1} - t_3)^\alpha \right]
$$

$$
+ \left[ (t_{k+1} - t_5)^\alpha - (t_{k+1} - t_4)^\alpha \right] + (t_{k+1} - t_6)^\alpha
$$
Combining all above results, by choosing sufficiently large \( C_\alpha \), and also sufficiently small \( T \) one can get (24) to complete the proof of the Lemma.

\[ \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} |d_j^{k+1}| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} (t_{k+1} - t_1)^\alpha + (t_{k+1} - t_2)^\alpha + (t_{k+1} - t_3)^\alpha - \left[ t_{\alpha}^\alpha + t_{k+1}^\alpha - t_6^\alpha \right] \]

\[ \leq \frac{4}{\alpha} t_{k+1}^\alpha \]

Lemma 2. For the weights of the novel scheme (19) we have

\[ \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} |d_j^{k+1}| \leq C_\alpha T^\alpha \]

where \( C_\alpha \) only depends on \( \alpha \).

Proof. The idea of the proof is similar to that of Lemma 1, so is omitted.

Theorem 3. Assume that \( y_j \) \( (j = 1, 2, \ldots, k) \) are the solutions of the scheme (11). Then for sufficiently small \( T > 0 \), the scheme (11) is stable.

Proof. Suppose that \( y_{k+1} \) and \( \bar{y}_{k+1} \) are numerical solutions in (11), and the initial conditions are given by \( y_0^{(i)} \) and \( \bar{y}_0^{(i)} \) respectively. We shall use mathematical induction. Assume that

\[ |y_j - \bar{y}_j| \leq C_\alpha \| y_0 - \bar{y}_0 \|_\infty \]

is true for \( (j = 0, 1, \ldots, k) \). We must prove that this also holds for \( j = k + 1 \). Note that, by assumptions of the given initial conditions, the induction basis \( (j = 0) \) is true. We have, using the Lipschitz condition assumption (2).

\[ |y_{k+1} - \bar{y}_{k+1}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{t_{k+1}^\alpha}{t_j^\alpha} |y_0^{(i)} - \bar{y}_0^{(i)}| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k} |d_j^{k+1}| \right) \left| f(t_j, y_j) - f(t_j, \bar{y}_j) \right| \]

\[ + |d_{k+1}^{k+1}| \left| f(t_{k+1}, y_{k+1}) - f(t_{k+1}, \bar{y}_{k+1}) \right| \]

\[ \leq C_1 \| y_0 - \bar{y}_0 \|_\infty + \frac{\| y_{k+1} - \bar{y}_{k+1} \|_\infty + |d_{k+1}^{k+1}| |y_{k+1} - \bar{y}_{k+1}| }{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k} |d_j^{k+1}| \right). \]

By Lemma 1 one can get

\[ |y_{k+1} - \bar{y}_{k+1}| \leq C_1 \| y_0 - \bar{y}_0 \|_\infty + L \frac{\| y_{k+1} - \bar{y}_{k+1} \|_\infty + |d_{k+1}^{k+1}| |y_{k+1} - \bar{y}_{k+1}| }{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k} |d_j^{k+1}| \right), \]
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which implies that

\[ |y_{k+1} - \tilde{y}_{k+1}| \leq \frac{1}{1 - (|\mu|C_{2,\alpha}T^{\alpha})/|\Gamma(\alpha)|} \left( C_{1}||y_{0} - \tilde{y}_{0}||_{\infty} + |\mu|C_{1,\alpha}T^{\alpha} \max_{0 \leq j \leq k} |y_{j} - \tilde{y}_{j}| \right). \]

Now for sufficiently small \( T \), one can complete the proof by using the mathematical induction \([27]\) and by choosing constant \( C_{\alpha,T} \) sufficiently large.

**Theorem 4.** Assume that \( y_{j} (j = 1, 2, \ldots, k) \) are the solutions of the algorithm \( II \) \([14]\) and \([19]\). Then, for sufficiently small \( T > 0 \), the algorithm \( II \) is stable.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem \([3]\). \( \square \)

5. Linear stability analysis

Consider the following test problem to investigate stability region of the presented methods:

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_{0}^{\alpha} y(t) = \lambda y(t), \quad y(t_{0}) = y_{0}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

The new method \([11]\) gives the following iteration formula for solving \([28]\):

\[ y_{k+1} = y_{0} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{12\alpha(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)(\alpha + 3)(\alpha + 4)} \tilde{d}_{j}^{k+1} \lambda y_{j}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (29)

Denoting \( z = \lambda h^{\alpha} \), we get

\[ z = 12\Gamma(\alpha + 5) \frac{y_{k+1} - y_{0}}{\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \tilde{d}_{j}^{k+1} y_{j}}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (30)

Let \( y_{j} = \xi_{j} \), then by assuming \( \xi = e^{i\theta} \) with \( 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi \) we get the following stability region for the scheme \([11]\)

\[ S = \left\{ z : z = 12\Gamma(\alpha + 5) \frac{\xi_{k+1} - \xi_{0}}{\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \tilde{d}_{k+1-j}^{k+1} \xi_{j}} \right\}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (31)

The stability region of the algorithm \( II \) \([14]\) and \([19]\) can be achieved in a quite similar way. The stability region of the numerical algorithm \( I \) is obtained in Figs. \([1]\) and \([2]\) by choosing \( k=2000 \) and of the numerical algorithm \( II \) are shown in Figs. \([3]\) and \([4]\) by choosing \( k=500 \). The stability region in Figs. \([1]\) and \([3]\) are inside of the boundary and it is outside of the boundary in Figs. \([2]\) and \([4]\).
6. Numerical results

To check the numerical errors between the exact and the numerical solution, numerical experiments are carried out in this section. The presented examples have exact solutions and also have been solved by other numerical methods from literature. This allows one to compare the numerical results obtained by the presented schemes with the analytical solutions or those obtained by other methods.

**Example 1.** Consider the following fractional differential equation

\[ C_0^\alpha D_t^\alpha y(t) = \mu y(t) + g(t), \quad y(0) = 0, \quad y'(0) = 0. \tag{32} \]

where

\[ \mu = -1, \quad g(t) = \frac{\Gamma(5)}{\Gamma(5 - \alpha)} t^{4-\alpha} + \tau^4. \]

The exact solution is \( y(t) = t^4 \). At the time \( t = 1 \) for different step sizes \( h \) and different \( \alpha \), the approximate solutions for the given equation are obtained by using presented algorithms and the method reported in Ref. [31]. The absolute error of presented algorithms and of the method reported in Ref. [31] are shown in Tables 1–3. These Tables show that the proposed novel schemes are valid methods in solving fractional differential equation.

**Example 2.** Consider the differential equation of fractional order

\[ C_0^\alpha D_t^\alpha y(t) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(3 - \alpha)} t^{2-\alpha} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} t^{1-\alpha} - y(t) + t^2 - t, \quad y(0) = 0. \tag{33} \]

The exact solution to this initial value problem is \( y(t) = t^2 - t \). The absolute errors of schemes given in Diethelm et al. [32], Deng and Li [31], Li et al. [30] and the presented new schemes are shown in Tables (4)–(6) and they are compared for different values of \( h \) and \( \alpha \) at the time \( t = 1 \). For brevity, we use \( E_1 \) to denote improved algorithm I and \( E_2 \) denotes improved algorithm II of [30]. Tables (4)–(6) show that the absolute errors of the new presented methods are improved significantly in compared with the literature.
Example 3. Consider the following fractional differential equation

\[ 0^C D^\alpha_t y(t) = \frac{24}{\Gamma(5-\alpha)} t^{4-\alpha} - \frac{3}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)} t^{3-\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} t^3 - y(t) + t^4, \quad y(0) = 0. \]  

(34)

The exact solution is \( y(t) = t^4 - \frac{1}{2} t^3 \). Table 7 shows the absolute errors of the presented schemes and the method reported in Ref. [27] at the time \( t = 1 \). From this Table it is observed that the error of presented method is decreased significantly.

7. Conclusion

This paper provides two high order numerical schemes with theoretically proved convergence order of 4 and 5 for solving FDEs. The properties of the Caputo derivative are used to reduce the FDEs into a Volterra integral equation. After dividing total domain into a set of grid points, the piecewise Lagrange interpolation polynomial of degree three and degree four are utilized to approximate unknown functions. The stability and error estimate of the methods are investigated. Moreover, graphical illustrations for stability region of the schemes are derived. The obtained solutions with the presented schemes demonstrate that the schemes give a more accurate approximation and superior than the numerical results obtained using other schemes. In the future, we shall try to follow this idea to construct higher order schemes for solving nonlinear FDEs.

Appendix A

The idea of solving \( y_1, y_2 \) and \( y_3 \) form (6) is as follows. For simplicity, we assume that \( f(t,y) = \mu y + g(t) \) for understanding the idea of the numerical method. We have the following linear system of equations, from (6),

\[
\begin{align*}
  y_1 &= \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \left[ h(t_1) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{3} d^1_j (\mu y_j + g(t_j)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} d^1 g(t_1) \right], \\
  y_2 &= \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \left[ h(t_2) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{3} d^2_j (\mu y_j + g(t_j)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} d^2 g(t_2) \right], \\
  y_3 &= \frac{1}{\gamma_3} \left[ h(t_3) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{2} d^3_j (\mu y_j + g(t_j)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} d^3 g(t_3) \right],
\end{align*}
\]  

(35) (36) (37)
in which \( \gamma_j = 1 - \frac{\mu d_j}{\Gamma(\alpha_j)} \), \((j = 1, 2, 3)\). Putting Eq. (35) into Eq. (36) yields

\[
y_2 = \frac{1}{H_2} \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \left[ Y_0^2 h(t_1) + h(t_2) \right] + \frac{1}{H_2} \left[ Y_0^2 F(0) + Y_1^2 g(t_1) + Y_2^2 g(t_2) + Y_3^2 (\mu y_3 + g(t_3)) \right] \right],
\]

(38)
in which

\[
H_2^1 = 1 - \frac{\mu B}{H_2^2}, \quad H_2^2 = C \gamma_2 \Gamma(\alpha), \quad B = \mu d_1^2, \quad C = \gamma_1 \Gamma(\alpha), \quad Y_0^2 = \frac{B}{C},
\]

\[
Y_0^2 = Bd_0 + Cd_0^2, \quad Y_1^2 = Bd_1 + Cd_1^2, \quad Y_2^2 = Bd_2 + Cd_2^2, \quad Y_3^2 = Bd_3 + Cd_3^2
\]

Substituting (35) and (38) to (37) leads to

\[
y_3 = \frac{1}{H_3} \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma_3} \left( \frac{Y_0^3}{CH_2^3} h(t_1) + \frac{D_3}{H_2^2} h(t_2) + h(t_3) \right) + \frac{1}{H_3^3} \left[ Y_0^3 F(0) + Y_1^3 g(t_1) + Y_2^3 g(t_2) + Y_3^3 g(t_3) \right] \right],
\]

(39)
in which

\[
D_1 = H_1^3 H_2, \quad D_2 = \mu d_1^3, \quad D_3 = \mu (D_2 d_2^3 + d_3^3 C), \quad H_3^1 = 1 - \frac{\mu (D_1 D_2 d_1^3 + \mu D_3 Y_1^2)}{H_3^3},
\]

\[
H_3^2 = CH_2^3 \gamma_2 \Gamma(\alpha), \quad H_3^3 = \Gamma(\alpha) H_1^2 \gamma_3 C D_2 + D_3 B,
\]

\[
Y_0^3 = D_1 (D_2 d_0^3 + Cd_0^2) + D_3 Y_0^2, \quad Y_1^3 = D_1 (D_2 d_1^3 + Cd_1^2) + D_3 Y_1^2,
\]

\[
Y_2^3 = (D_1 + \mu Y_2^2)(D_3 / \mu), \quad Y_3^3 = D_1 (D_2 d_3^3 + Cd_3^2) + D_3 Y_3^2
\]

Now, firstly one can calculate \( y_3 \) from given initial conditions and known function \( g(t) \). Then \( y_2 \) and \( y_1 \) can be calculated by (38) and (35), respectively.
Appendix B

We have the following linear system of equations, from [13].

\[
\begin{align*}
y_1 &= \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \left[ h(t_1) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{3} b_j^1 (Ay_j + g(t_j)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} b_1^1 g(t_1) \right], \\
y_2 &= \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \left[ h(t_2) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{4} b_j^2 (Ay_j + g(t_j)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} b_2^2 g(t_2) \right], \\
y_3 &= \frac{1}{\gamma_3} \left[ h(t_3) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{4} b_j^3 (Ay_j + g(t_j)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} b_3^3 g(t_3) \right], \\
y_4 &= \frac{1}{\gamma_4} \left[ h(t_4) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{j=0}^{3} b_j^4 (Ay_j + g(t_j)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} b_4^4 g(t_4) \right],
\end{align*}
\]

in which \( \gamma_j = 1 - \frac{\mu b_j^{4+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \), \( j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \). Putting Eq. (43) into Eq. (42) gives

\[
y_3 = \frac{1}{H_3^1} \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma_3} \left( h(t_3) + Y_{01}^3 h(t_4) \right) + \frac{1}{H_2^3} \left[ Y_0^3 F(0) + Y_1^3 (\mu y_1 + g(t_1)) + Y_2^3 (\mu y_2 + g(t_2)) \right. \\
+ \left. Y_3^3 g(t_3) + Y_4^3 g(t_4) \right] \right],
\]

in which

\[
\begin{align*}
H_3^1 &= 1 - \frac{\mu B^3 b_3^4}{H_2^3}, \quad H_2^3 = C \gamma_3 \Gamma(\alpha), \quad B^3 = \mu b_3^3, \quad C = \gamma_4 \Gamma(\alpha), \quad Y_{01}^3 = \frac{B^3}{C}, \\
Y_0^3 &= B^3 b_0^3 + C b_0^3, \quad Y_1^3 = B^3 b_1^3 + C b_1^3, \quad Y_2^3 = B^3 b_2^3 + C b_2^3, \quad Y_3^3 = B^3 b_3^3 + C b_3^3,
\end{align*}
\]

Inserting (43) and (44) into (41) gives

\[
y_2 = \frac{1}{H_2^1} \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \left( h(t_2) + \frac{D_2}{H_2^1} h(t_3) + \frac{Y_{01}^2}{H_2^1} h(t_4) \right) + \frac{1}{H_3^2} \left[ Y_0^2 F(0) + Y_1^2 (\mu y_1 + g(t_1)) + Y_2^2 g(t_2) \right. \\
+ \left. Y_3^2 g(t_3) + Y_4^2 g(t_4) \right] \right],
\]

in which

\[
\begin{align*}
D_1 &= H_3^2, \quad D_2 = \mu (C b_2^3 + B^2 b_4^3), \quad B^2 = \mu b_2^2, \quad H_2^2 = C H_1^3 \gamma_3 \Gamma(\alpha), \\
H_3^2 &= 1 - \frac{\mu (D_1 B^2 b_4^3 + \mu D_2 Y_2^3)}{H_3^1}, \quad H_2^2 = \Gamma(\alpha) C D_1 \gamma_2, \quad Y_{01}^2 = \Gamma(\alpha) B^2 H_1^3 \gamma_3 + D_2 Y_{01}^3,
\end{align*}
\]

\[\text{20}\]
\[ Y_2^2 = D_1 \left( B^2 b_0^2 + C b_0^2 \right) + D_2 Y_3^3, \quad Y_1^2 = D_1 \left( B^2 b_1^2 + C b_1^2 \right) + D_2 Y_1^3, \]
\[ Y_2^2 = D_1 \left( B^2 b_2^2 + C b_2^2 \right) + D_2 Y_2^3, \quad Y_3^2 = \frac{D_2}{\mu} \left( D_1 + \mu Y_3^3 \right), \quad Y_4^2 = D_1 \left( B^2 b_4^2 + C b_4^2 \right) + D_2 Y_4^3. \]

Finally we have, by substituting \((43), (44)\) and \((45)\) into \((40)\)
\[ y_t = \frac{1}{H_1^2} \left[ \frac{b(t_1)}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{H_2} \left( Y_0^1 h(t_2) + Y_1^1 h(t_3) + Y_2^1 h(t_4) \right) \right] + \frac{1}{H_3} \left[ Y_0^1 F(0) + Y_1^1 g(t_1) + Y_2^1 g(t_2) \right. \\
+ Y_3^1 g(t_3) + Y_4^1 g(t_4) \left. \right], \quad \ldots (46) \]
in which
\[ B^1 = \mu b_1^4, \quad E_1 = \mu \left( E_2 b_2^4 + \mu b_3^4 Y_2^3 \right), \quad E_2 = E_2 b_2^4 + \mu b_3^4 Y_2^3, \quad E_3 = E_3 b_3^4, \]
\[ E_4 = E_6 H_2^3 H_3^3, \quad E_5 = \mu H_2^2 H_3^3 b_3^4, \quad E_6 = H_2^2 H_3^3, \quad E_7 = H_1^2 H_2^2, \quad E_8 = H_1^2 H_3^2, \]
\[ H_1^1 = \frac{\mu}{H_3} \left[ B^1 E_4 b_1^4 + \mu E_3 Y_3^1 \left( C b_3^4 + B^1 b_3^4 \right) \right] + Y_1^1 \left( \mu C E_2 + B^1 E_1 \right) \]
\[ H_2^1 = H_3^1 \frac{\gamma \gamma H_2^2}{H_3^2}, \quad H_3^1 = \Gamma(\alpha) C E_2 \gamma, \]
\[ Y_0^1 = \gamma H_2^2 \left( \mu C E_2 + B^1 E_1 \right), \quad Y_1^1 = \mu \left[ E_6 \gamma \left( C b_3^4 + B^1 b_3^4 \right) + D_3 \gamma \left( C E_2 + E_1 b_3^4 \right) \right], \]
\[ Y_2^1 = \gamma H_2^2 \left( \mu C E_2 + B^1 E_1 \right), \quad Y_3^1 = \mu \left[ E_3 \gamma \left( C b_3^4 + B^1 b_3^4 \right) \right] + \mu Y_3^1 \left( E_2 C + E_1 b_3^4 \right) \]
\[ \ldots \]
\[ Y_0^1 = C \left( E_4 b_0^4 + E_3 Y_0^3 + \mu E_2 Y_0^3 \right) + B^1 \left( E_4 b_0^4 + E_3 Y_0^3 + E_1 Y_0^2 \right), \]
\[ Y_1^1 = C \left( E_4 b_1^4 + E_3 Y_1^3 + \mu E_2 Y_1^3 \right) + B^1 \left( E_4 b_1^4 + E_3 Y_1^3 + E_1 Y_1^2 \right), \]
\[ Y_2^1 = C \left( E_4 b_2^4 + E_3 Y_2^3 + \mu E_2 Y_2^3 \right) + B^1 \left( E_4 b_2^4 + E_3 Y_2^3 + E_1 Y_2^2 \right), \]
\[ Y_3^1 = C \left( E_4 b_3^4 + E_3 Y_3^3 + \mu E_2 Y_3^3 \right) + B^1 \left( E_4 b_3^4 + E_3 Y_3^3 + E_1 Y_3^2 \right), \]
\[ Y_4^1 = C \left( E_4 b_4^4 + E_3 Y_4^3 + \mu E_2 Y_4^3 \right) + B^1 \left( E_4 b_4^4 + E_3 Y_4^3 + E_1 Y_4^2 \right). \]

Now, firstly from \((46)\) one can calculate \(y_1\) by given initial conditions and known function \(g(t)\). Then \(y_2, y_3\) and \(y_4\) can be calculated by \((45), (44)\) and \((43)\), respectively.
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Figure 1: Stability region of the numerical algorithm I.
Figure 2: Stability region of the numerical algorithm I.

| α   | $E_{II}$   | $E_{I}$   | [31] | $E_{II}$   | $E_{I}$   | [31] |
|-----|------------|-----------|------|------------|-----------|------|
| 0.1 | 1.3548e-07 | 1.38e-05  | 3.64e-01 | 1.2028e-06 | 2.42e-05  | 3.55e-02 |
| 0.5 | 4.7060e-09 | 9.46e-07  | 1.70e-01 | 4.4641e-08 | 1.57e-06  | 8.79e-03 |
| 0.7 | 1.9210e-10 | 6.35e-08  | 7.13e-02 | 1.7177e-09 | 1.00e-07  | 2.16e-03 |
| 0.8 | 3.3420e-11 | 4.18e-09  | 2.88e-02 | 6.6297e-11 | 6.37e-09  | 5.31e-04 |

Table 1: The absolute errors of the presented algorithm I ($E_I$), algorithm II ($E_{II}$) and numerical method of [31] for [32].
Figure 3: Stability region of the numerical algorithm II.

Figure 4: Stability region of the numerical algorithm II.
Table 2: The absolute errors of the presented algorithm I ($E_I$), algorithm II ($E_{II}$) and numerical method of [31] for (32).

| $h$ | $E_{II}$ | $E_I$ | [31] | $E_{II}$ | $E_I$ | [31] |
|-----|----------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|
| 1/10 | 5.5858e-07 | 7.95e-06 | 1.07e-02 | 3.8819e-07 | 2.97e-05 | 8.48e-03 |
| 1/20 | 2.7279e-08 | 5.70e-07 | 2.31e-03 | 3.8522e-08 | 2.56e-06 | 2.03e-03 |
| 1/40 | 1.3292e-09 | 3.96e-08 | 5.21e-04 | 2.2108e-09 | 2.10e-07 | 5.00e-04 |
| 1/80 | 6.0469e-11 | 2.70e-09 | 1.22e-04 | 1.3614e-10 | 1.67e-08 | 1.24e-04 |

Table 3: The absolute errors of the presented algorithm I ($E_I$), algorithm II ($E_{II}$) and numerical method of [31] for (32).

| $h$ | $E_{II}$ | $E_I$ | [31] | $E_{II}$ | $E_I$ | [31] |
|-----|----------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|
| 1/10 | 5.4931e-06 | 6.86e-06 | 8.58e-03 | 1.6634e-05 | 6.80e-05 | 9.04e-03 |
| 1/20 | 3.5763e-07 | 6.93e-06 | 2.12e-03 | 1.5899e-06 | 8.64e-06 | 2.25e-03 |
| 1/40 | 2.9070e-07 | 6.60e-07 | 5.28e-04 | 1.6571e-07 | 1.04e-06 | 5.63e-04 |
| 1/80 | 2.5398e-09 | 6.11e-08 | 1.32e-04 | 1.7950e-08 | 1.21e-07 | 1.41e-04 |

Table 4: Absolute errors of the present presented algorithm I ($E_I$), algorithm II ($E_{II}$) and the numerical methods of Deng and Li [31] and Diethelm et al. [32], with $\alpha = 0.1$ for (33).

| $h$ | $E_{II}$ | $E_I$ | [31] | [32] |
|-----|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1/10 | 3.4944e-06 | 8.19e-06 | 0.104 | 0.103 |
| 1/20 | 9.9500e-07 | 1.90e-06 | 4.66e-02 | 4.95e-02 |
| 1/40 | 6.402e-07 | 4.73e-07 | 1.87e-02 | 2.09e-02 |
| 1/80 | 6.9544e-08 | 1.21e-07 | 7.39e-03 | 8.65e-03 |
Table 5: Absolute errors of the presented algorithm I ($E_I$), algorithm II ($E_{II}$) and numerical methods of Diethelm et al. [32] and Li et al. [30] with $\alpha = 0.3$ for (33).

| h    | $E_{II}$     | $E_I$   | [32] | [30] | $E_1$   | $E_2$   |
|------|--------------|---------|------|------|---------|---------|
| 1/10 | 6.0368e-05   | 1.19e-04| 3.14e-02| 2.25e-02| 2.1e-03| 1.61e-02|
| 1/20 | 1.9179e-05   | 3.23e-05| 1.10e-02| 1.24e-02| 4.53e-04| 5.9e-03 |
| 1/40 | 5.7565e-06   | 9.26e-06| 3.91e-03| 6.1e-03| 8.61e-05| 2.1e-03 |
| 1/80 | 1.7261e-06   | 2.72e-06| 1.42e-03| 2.9e-03| 1.19e-05| 7.52e-04|

Table 6: Absolute errors of the presented algorithm I ($E_I$), algorithm II ($E_{II}$) and numerical methods of Deng and Li [31], Diethelm et al. [32] and Li et al. [30], with $\alpha = 0.5$ for (33).

| h    | $E_{II}$     | $E_I$   | [31] | [32] | [30] | $E_1$   | $E_2$   |
|------|--------------|---------|------|------|------|---------|---------|
| 1/10 | 3.6057e-04   | 6.08e-04| 9.27e-03| 1.44e-02| 4.1e-03| 1.8e-03| 3.6e-03 |
| 1/20 | 1.2875e-04   | 1.96e-04| 2.29e-03| 4.52e-03| 3.1e-03| 7.2e-04| 1.1e-03 |
| 1/40 | 4.4935e-05   | 6.60e-05| 5.87e-04| 1.46e-03| 1.8e-03| 2.8e-04| 3.4e-04 |
| 1/80 | 1.5699e-05   | 2.27e-05| 1.56e-04| 4.81e-04| 1.0e-03| 1.0e-04| 1.07e-04|

Table 7: Absolute errors of the presented algorithm I ($E_I$), algorithm II ($E_{II}$) and the numerical methods of [27], with $\alpha = 0.3$ for (34).

| h    | $E_{II}$     | $E_I$   | [27] |
|------|--------------|---------|------|
| 1/10 | 8.8773e-07   | 2.6193e-05| 1.4571e-04|
| 1/20 | 3.0045e-08   | 1.7205e-06| 2.3118e-05|
| 1/40 | 1.0533e-09   | 1.1167e-07| 3.6127e-06|
| 1/80 | 1.2938e-10   | 7.2496e-09| 5.6030e-07|