Ownership and Usage of Mobile Devices Among Ophthalmology Residents and Attending Physicians: Identifying the Generation Gap
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Background: Ophthalmology residents strongly rely on digital technology in training. This characteristic may not be shared by their teachers, attending physicians. Therefore, the aim of this study was to describe the ownership and usage of mobile devices among Saudi ophthalmology residents and attending physicians and to compare ownership and usage patterns between both groups.

Methods: A survey was conducted to determine the rates of ownership of mobile devices and the patterns of usage among Saudi ophthalmology residents and their attending physicians. The survey was sent to 305 eligible participants.

Results: The overall response rate was 81%. The mean age of residents and attendings was 27.4 and 48.6 years, respectively. The ownership of mobile phones was higher among attendings (1.21 ± 0.4 vs 1.36 ± 0.5, p = 0.02), whereas the ownership of tablets was higher among residents (1 ± 0.6 vs 0.7 ± 0.6, p = 0.01). Residents utilized mobile devices to access online educational resources more frequently compared to attendings. A statistically significant difference between residents and attendings was reported in the utilization of wikis (91% vs 46%), e-books (90% vs 54%), file sharing sites (84% vs 52%), and videocasts (78% vs 58%). Both groups also differed with regards to reasons of utilization. While residents most commonly used mobile devices to answer clinical questions on demand (87%) and to acquire basic knowledge (84%), the main reasons of utilization cited by attendings were to look up controversial issues (77%) and to connect with peers (72%).

Conclusion: The two studied groups differ from each other in the type of devices owned, reasons for usage, and frequency of utilization. The difference in behavior between educators and their students can lead to a generation gap that halts the progress of residents’ training.
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Background

Digital technology has become an integral part of practice in the healthcare sector. As a result, mobile devices (laptops, tablets, and smartphones) are currently widely distributed among healthcare professionals. The majority of training doctors today are members of the millennial generation (also known as Generation Y), which were born in the 1980s and 1990s. A significant characteristic of this generation is that they strongly rely on digital technology to obtain and share medical information.

A major advantage of digital technology in medical education is the availability of online educational resources (OERs). Internet-based education was shown to be
as effective as traditional methods in educating healthcare professionals. Moreover, studies have shown that Millennial learners in the medical field are inclined towards the incorporation of OERs into their learning process. This trend has been further emphasized with the introduction of smartphones into the technology market. A high level of smartphone ownership and medical education App usage is observed among medical students and junior doctors.

The majority of teachers involved in the training of junior doctors are members of Generation X, which were born in the period between 1960 and 1980, or older. This generation has specific qualities, attitudes, and learning preferences. A major characteristic is that they are less inclined towards embracing digital technology when compared to their students. This may introduce a generation gap that may halt the learning process of future doctors.

The primary objective of this study was to describe the ownership and usage of mobile devices among Saudi ophthalmology residents and their attending physicians. A secondary objective was to compare ownership and usage patterns between residents and their attendings.

Methods
This is a cross-sectional study based on an electronic self-administered questionnaire. The study protocol was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards set by the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. The questionnaire was developed based on a review of the pertinent literature. Then, a focus group drafted the initial survey that was divided into the following domains: personal information, ownership of mobile devices, reasons for usage, and utilization of OERs. A pilot survey was sent to 20 healthcare professionals, not included in the study, and their feedback was used to refine the survey for better readability and understanding.

The final survey was delivered through a secure online portal (SoGoSurvey). Invitations to participate were sent to all residents and attendings currently involved in all ophthalmology training programs in Saudi Arabia. In order to ensure a high response rate and minimize non-response bias, a modified Dillman method was used. First, the survey was sent electronically to all the target population. Then, a reminder is sent to non-responders after two weeks and four weeks. Finally, those that still did not respond were approached either personally or through a phone call. Responses were collected during the period between December 2017 and October 2018.

All responses were anonymous and the completion of the survey was voluntary. An entry to a draw for an iPad was used as an incentive to motivate participants.

Data were exported from SoGoSurvey for analysis. Incomplete surveys were not included in the study. Descriptive statistics were used to present frequencies, means, SD, and percentages. To compare between both groups t-test was used for continuous variables, and chi-square test was used for categorical variables. Statistical significance was set at a P value of < 0.05. Statistical analysis was conducted using R (RStudio version 1.1.463 Mac, RStudio Inc., Boston, MA).

Results
Out of 305 eligible participants, 248 completed the survey, creating a response rate of 81%. The residents’ response rate was 87% (146/167) and the response rate among attendings was 74% (102/138). Five participants did not complete all the components of the survey, and therefore, were not included in the analysis. A flow chart of eligibility and study participation is shown in Figure 1.

The mean age of residents was 27 years while the mean age of attendings was 48 years. Males comprised the majority (76%) of attendings while male residents were 58%. About half of the study participants (53%) were from Riyadh residency training program. Detailed demographics are shown in Table 1.

Details on the ownership of mobile devices among the respondents are shown in Table 2. The overall mean

![Figure 1 Flow chart of eligibility, participation, and survey completion.](image-url)
The current study demonstrates that respondents of our survey, both residents and attendings, are adopters of digital technology with a mean number of owned devices reaching three per individual. These results are in concordance with previously published reports both locally and internationally that have also shown a high level of ownership of mobile devices among healthcare professionals.

There is a notable difference between both groups with regards to the type of mobile device owned. For example, 84% of residents have at least one tablet compared to 39% of attendings that do not have one. Previous reports have also shown an increasing prevalence and frequency of usage of tablet computers among junior physicians. From the standpoint of millennial doctors, tablets are considered a valuable utility that complements their learning experience.

Looking into the utilization of mobile devices, several differences exist between both groups. The frequency of residents accessing online wikis and reading books in an electronic format (91% and 90%) is near to double that of attendings (46% and 54%). This reflects the difference in learning habits between the two generations as attendings are probably still attached to physical textbooks as a major source of acquiring information.

The utilization of file sharing sites was higher among residents (84%) compared to their attendings (52%). In recent years, the popularity of file hosting cloud-based applications increased among healthcare professionals as a method of storing, indexing, and sharing clinical content. Our findings suggest that the penetration of such technology into members of generation X is less compared to their students.

The survey also showed a difference in utilizing mobile devices to access video content (78% vs 58%). The use of video in medical education has increased exponentially over the last years as an effective tool to complement traditional lectures as well as teach procedural skills. The difference in the uptake of video between the two...
studied groups is of great importance. If teachers do not utilize video content as much they will be less inclined to suggest suitable content for their students.

Mobile devices, particularly phones and tablets, provide clinicians with the luxury of acquiring on-demand answers to clinical questions arising at point of care. Our survey showed that 87% of residents utilize their mobile devices for such purpose. A valid point of criticism of this method is the unknown quality and reliability of such information. A survey published by Duran-Nelson et al. reported a high reliance of medical residents on Google to look up information at point of care. Despite being quick and easy to access, Google shows search results from various web pages regardless of their quality. Therefore, residents should have appropriate information management skills to be able to scrutinize online information for credibility.

Our study has several limitations. First, since the survey was electronic it was subject to sampling bias as those individuals that utilize mobile devices more frequently were more likely to participate, however, we tried to minimize such an effect by inviting non-participants through a phone call. Second, the survey was also subject to non-response bias, however, many measures were introduced to limit it such as a defined target population, availability of contact information for all subjects, and the implementation of a modified Dillman method. Subsequently, we had a high overall response rate (81%). Finally, the majority of our questions were closed-ended. We deliberately formulated the survey in this manner as we assumed that this will increase completion rate and reduce recall bias.

### Conclusions

The current study demonstrates a high level of ownership of mobile devices among both residents and their attendings. These devices are used in various aspects of medical education and clinical practice. The two studied groups differ from each other in the type of devices owned, reasons for usage, and frequency of utilization. Compared to their attendings, a higher number of residents own a tablet computer, furthermore, residents utilize OERs more frequently. The difference in behavior between educators and their students can lead to a generation gap that halts the progress of residents’ training. Future research is needed to clearly define the implication of such a gap and discuss potential solutions to bridge it.

### Abbreviation

OERs, online educational resources.
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