GIST suture-line recurrence at a gastrojejunal anastomosis 8 years after gastrectomy: can GIST ever be described as truly benign? A case report

Alexandros Papalambros¹, Athanasios Petrou², Nicholas Brennan²*, Kostantinos Bramis³, Evangelos Felekouras³, Efstatios Papalambros⁴

Abstract

We present the case of a 71 year old man with recurrence of a Gastro Intestinal Stromal Tumour (GIST) at the gastrojejunal anastomosis eight years following partial gastrectomy for a very small primary gastric GIST. He presented acutely on both occasions with haemodynamic shock secondary to massive haematemesis. During his initial presentation in 2001, an emergency laparotomy was performed, demonstrating a pre-pyloric ulcerative lesion. The histopathology was in keeping with a diagnosis of a gastric GIST with a < 2 cm tumour, with <5 mitosis per 50/HPF, no signs of necrosis and invasion limited to the mucosa. Eight years later the same patient presented with a similar clinical picture of haemodynamic instability secondary to haematemesis. Emergency endoscopy showed an irregularly shaped elevated lesion on the gastrojejunostomy line suggestive of recurrence. He subsequently underwent completion gastrectomy and the histology revealed a 0.8 cm GIST tumour composed of spindle cells with <5 mitosis per 50/HPF, tumor invasion into the submucosa and positive expression of c-kit and SMA. The patient remains recurrence free 18 months post surgery. The literature suggests that tumour size, mitotic rate and tumour site are the most important predictive factors of recurrence. Additional features such as the presence of necrosis, local tumour invasion and positive resection margins, can also influence recurrence rates. In this case the lesion was a gastric GIST, very small (<2 cm), had low proliferation rate (<5 mitosis/HPF), lacked necrosis and was limited to the mucosa. Recurrence of such a primary GIST at the anastomotic line, eight years after initial resection has never been demonstrated among review of several thousand primary GISTs. This case highlights how even the most innocent GISTs can never be described as truly benign.

Background

Gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GISTs) are the most common form of mesenchymal tumours found in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract. GISTs most commonly occur in the stomach and small intestine but can also be found in smaller numbers in the colon, rectum and oesophagus [1]. Many GISTs are asymptomatic and are discovered incidentally, however over half of gastric GISTs present with signs of GI bleeding and anaemia with a smaller proportion presenting with abdominal pain or as an abdominal mass [2]. Histologically, GISTs are often composed of spindle shaped cells with a smaller number dominated by epithelioid or a mixture of both spindle and epithelioid cells [3,4]. Although GISTs are a relatively newly discovered cancer, there has been increased attention due to the development of effective targeted agents [5]. Tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) therapy with imatinib has significantly prolonged progression free survival in advanced unresectable disease with over 80% of advanced GIST patients benefiting [5].

Primary GISTs have uncertain malignant potential and the long term prognosis of GIST has been challenging for clinicians and pathologist alike. Large multi centre studies on primary GISTs have lead to the development of prognostic scoring systems based on tumour histopathology [6,7]. Within these studies, several thousand primary GIST cases have been reviewed and none of them have demonstrated late local recurrence of a very small (<2 cm), low mitotic rate (<5 mitosis/50 High...
Power Field (HPF)) gastric tumour [3,4,6-9]. For this reason these tumours have been described as essentially benign [7,10]. In this report we discuss recurrence in such a case.

Case Presentation
A 71 year old man with signs of syncope and haemorrhagic shock secondary to massive haemetemesis was referred for emergency treatment and investigation to the 1st Department of Surgery, University of Athens Medical School in 2009. Eight years earlier the same patient, who had a known history of gastric ulcers, presented with a similar clinical picture to a different surgical unit. On admission he showed signs of haemorrhagic shock with a haemoglobin level (Hg) of 7 g dL. Emergency upper GI endoscopy was unable to identify the source of bleeding due to large volumes of blood in the stomach. Surgical treatment with a laparotomy was decided and the intraoperative findings demonstrated an acute gastric hemorrhage secondary to a massive propyloric ulcerative lesion. Resection of the lesion was decided and the intraoperative findings demonstrated an acute gastric hemorrhage secondary to a massive propyloric ulcerative lesion. Resection of the lesion was decided and a distal gastrectomy and Billroth II reconstruction performed. The subsequent histology revealed a <2 cm gastrointestinal stromal tumour, with a mitotic rate of < 5 mitosis/50 per HPF, lacking necrosis and localized to the gastric mucosa. The patient made an uneventful recovery and was discharged eleven days post surgery. The patient was reviewed over the following two years and repeat endoscopies failed to reveal any signs of recurrence. The patient subsequently declined further surveillance and follow up.

At his readmission in 2009 the patient was primarily treated conservatively due to his hemodynamic instability. After successful resuscitation, an emergency upper GI endoscopy was performed which revealed an irregularly shaped elevated lesion on the gastrojejunostomy line and a thrombus at the center of the lesion. The hemorrhagic lesion was situated along the posterior anastomotic suture line. Multiple biopsies were performed and a definitive endoscopic haemostasis was obtained.

Preoperative staging computed tomography (CT) showed no lymphadenopathy or hepatic metastasis and as the patient’s performance status was otherwise excellent, the decision for a second operation was deemed favorable. The patient went on to have a successful completion gastrectomy with regional lymphadenectomy and the continuity of the gastrointestinal tract was maintained through the Roux-en-Y method. It is important to note that lymphadenectomy is not routinely performed in GIST as metastatic spread rarely occurs through the lymphatic system. However the unusual presentation of the case created uncertainty over the malignant potential of the tumour and the experienced surgeons deemed lymphadenectomy the most appropriate measure in this instance. Histological review of the specimen showed macroscopically an ulcerative lesion on the suture-line, measuring 0.8 cm in diameter. The cut surface was gray with a rubbery consistency. Microscopically, it was a gastrointestinal stromal tumor (figure 1), composed of spindle cells with mild to moderate nuclear pleomorphism. The stroma focally had a myxoid appearance. The tumor invaded into the submucosa, showed no signs of necrosis and had positive expression of c-kit (figure 2), focally positive expression of SMA, and negative expression of CD34. The postoperative course was uneventful, and the patient shows no evidence of recurrence 1 year and 6 months after the last surgery. It is noteworthy to mention that GIST in this patient occurred sporadically and that there were no clinical findings suggestive of familial GIST which can be seen in patients with neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) or in the Carney-Stratakis dyad.

Conclusions
The significant majority of mesenchymal tumors of the stomach are believed to derive from the interstitial cells of Cajal, the gut pacemaker cells [11]. Since this cell expresses CD117, it was assumed that expression of CD117 by GIST was evidence of origin from that cell type [11]. GIST can occur anywhere in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract but most commonly occurs in the stomach. The median age of presentation is 60 years with no significant differences between males and females [12]. The presentation varies according to tumour site with GI bleeding and abdominal pain being most common [12]. Endoscopy with biopsy is used to identify the tumour with the definitive diagnosis depending on histological and immunohistochemical analysis. GISTs show a wide range of histologic appearances but are broadly divided into spindle and epithelioid cell types. In general, the risk of malignancy is greater in

Figure 1 Gastric GIST in H-E stain (x20).
epithelioid tumors than in spindle-celled neoplasms [11,12]. The most important immunohistochemical markers of GISTs are expression of KIT (CD117), which is found in over 90 percent of GISTs, and CD34 which occurs in over 80 percent [11]. SMA is demonstrable in about 25 percent and a smaller number of GISTs (3% to 5%) have mutations in platelet derived growth factor receptor alpha (PDGFRA) instead [11]. Imatinib, a tyrosine kinase (TKI) inhibitor, antagonizes the effects of the KIT and PDGFRA proteins and has revolutionized the treatment of advanced and unresectable GISTs [5]. There is growing evidence that responsiveness to TKI inhibitors is dependent on the type and site of mutation with deletions appearing to be more aggressive than point mutations and exon 9 mutations showing less responsive to imatinib therapy than exon 11 lesions [5]. Primary GISTs have the potential for curative treatment, with surgical resection the first line option for all resectable non metastatic tumours. The overall 5 year survival rate for resectable GISTs has been shown to range from 46% to 78.5% [3,4]. However, predicting the recurrence rate of primary resectable GISTs has been shown to be very low risk (tumour < 2 cm, < 5 mitosis/50HPF), low risk (tumour 2-5 cm, < 5 mitosis/50HPF), intermediate risk (tumour 5 cm-10 cm, < 5 mitosis/50HPF or tumour < 5 cm and 6-10 mitosis/50HPF) and high risk (tumour > 5 cm, >5 mitosis/50HPF or tumour >10 cm and any mitotic rate) [6]. This prediction scheme was later validated with large population studies on GISTs. Nilsson et al reviewed 288 patients with primary GIST and reported no recurrence in the very low risk group and a 1.9% recurrence in the low risk group [8]. Tryggvason et al performed a similar study and also demonstrated no recurrence in the very low risk group [9]. This risk stratification was further expanded by Miettinen and Lasota by including tumour size and this system was adopted by the NCCN [7,10]. Gastric GISTs had the lowest rate of recurrence with the highest rates in duodenal and rectal GISTs. In the largest ever series of GIST patients (actual data for over 1900 GIST patients) Miettinen and Lasota incorporated mitotic rate, tumour size and tumour location as predictors for tumour recurrence [7]. In the lowest risk group, tumour size <2 cm and < 5 mitosis/50HPF, there was no reported recurrence of GIST from any gastrointestinal site and this group was essentially considered benign. Tumour size <5 cm and < 5 mitosis/50 HPF (NIH very low risk score) carries a 1.9% risk of recurrence from gastric GIST increasing to 8.3% and 8.5% for duodenal and rectal GIST respectively. The TNM system proposed by the UICC applies a similar system to Miettinen and Lasota and categorizes tumors into four major T-categories and corresponding UICC stages. The main purpose of the TNM system is to produce a more standardized surgical and oncological treatment for patients with GIST. The usefulness of this system will become evident with future clinical studies. There have been subsequent studies and case reports documenting late GIST recurrence with metastasis from small (>2 cm but < 5 cm) tumours but no reported cases, from our literature review, of local recurrence of a very small (<2 cm), < 5 mitosis/50HPF, gastric GIST [15]. Additional risk factors associated with recurrence include presence of necrosis, infiltration of neighbouring structures, high cellularity, serosal invasion, high vascularity and positive tumour margins [12]. The original primary GIST in this report was located in the stomach, very small (< 2 cm), < 5 mitosis/50HPF, showed no signs of necrosis, was localised to the mucosa and had negative tumour margins.

There are several plausible hypotheses for tumour recurrence in this instance. Despite the fact that the histopathological specimen resected was R0 there may still have been some local infiltration of the tumour margin. In addition there are several studies which highlight the risk of tumour recurrence with intraoperative tumour
rupture or laceration [16]. Although this was not reported at the time of surgery it would be a reasonable explanation for recurrence of such a low risk GIST. Multiple sporadic GISTs have been described in patients who do not have germline mutations in KIT/PDGFRα or neurofibromatosis [17]. In this instance there would be development of an independent, potentially different histopathologically, GIST [17]. Unfortunately the original specimen is no longer available for further comparative analysis and this theory could not be further investigated.

According to the literature recurrence of GIST is dependent on tumour size, mitotic rate and tumour site, with additional factors such as necrosis, local invasion and tumour free margins influencing recurrence also. In the current case, the mass was very small, located in the stomach, exhibited very low mitotic activity, showed no signs of necrosis and was limited to the mucosa. Recurrence of such a GIST tumour on the suture line eight years after resection presents a previously undocumented case and demonstrates that even the most subtle GISTs can never be considered as truly benign.

Consent

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and any accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this journal.

Author details

1. Department of Pathology, University of Athens, Medical School, Greece. 2. Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Churchill Hospital, Oxford, UK. 3. First Department of Surgery, University of Athens Medical School, Greece. 4. Professor of Surgery, University of Athens Medical School, Greece.

Authors’ contributions

APe and NB wrote the manuscript. KB, EF and EP where the surgical team and reviewed the manuscript. APa reviewed the pathology. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 16 August 2010 Accepted: 14 October 2010 Published: 14 October 2010

References

1. Lingl-Atzwanger B, Fletcher JA, Fletcher CD. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors. J Clin Oncol 2010, 28(3):111-27, Epub 2010 Feb 18. Review.
2. Seya T, Tanaka N, Yokoi K, Shinji S, Oaki Y, Taji T. Life-threatening bleeding from gastrointestinal stromal tumor of the stomach. J Nippon Med Sch 2008, 75(5):306-11.
3. Naguib SF, Zaghloul AS, El Marakby H. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) of the stomach: retrospective experience with surgical resection at the National Cancer Institute. J Egypt Natl Canc Canc Inst 2008, 20(1):89-9.
4. Cao H, Zhang Y, Wang M, Shen DP, Sheng ZY, Ni XZ, Wu ZY, Liu Q, Shen YY, Song YY: Prognostic analysis of patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumors: a single unit experience with surgical treatment of primary disease. Chin Med J (Engl) 2010, 123(2):131-6.
5. Reichardt P: Optimal use of targeted agents for advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumours. Oncology 2010, 78(2):130-40, Epub 2010 Apr 13. Review.
6. Fletcher CD, Berman JJ, Caffey C, Garren FE, Lasota J, Longley BI, Miettinen M, O’Leary TJ, Remotti H, Rubin BP, Shmookler B, Sobin LH, Weiss SW. Diagnosis of gastrointestinal stromal tumors: A consensus approach. Hum Pathol 2002, 33(5):459-65.
7. Miettinen M, Sobin LH, Lasota J. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors of the stomach: a clinicopathologic, immunohistochemical, and molecular genetic study of 1765 cases with long-term follow-up. Am J Surg Pathol 2005, 29(1):52-68.
8. Nilsson B, Bumminger P, Meis-Kobihlom JM, Odén A, Dortot A, Gustavsson B, Sabinska K, Kibihlom LG: Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: the incidence, prevalence, clinical course, and prognosis in the preimatinib mesylate era—a population-based study in western Sweden. Cancer 2005, 103(4):821-9.
9. Tryggvason G, Gilsalon HG, Magnusson MK, Jonsson JG. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors in Iceland, 1990-2003: the Iceland GIST study, a population-based incidence and pathologic risk stratification study. Int J Cancer 2005, 117(2):289-93.
10. Demetri GD, von Mehren M, Antonious CR, DeMatteo RP, Ganjoo KN, Maki RG,Protens PW, Raut CP, Riedel RF, Suarez S, Sundar HM, Trent JC, Wayne JD. NCN Task Force report: update on the management of patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumor. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2010, 8(Suppl 2):S1-41, quiz S42-4.
11. Steigen SE, Eide TJ: Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST): a review. APMS 2009, 117(2):73-86. Review.
12. Cichoz-Lach H, Kasztelan-Szczerbińska B, Slomka M. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: epidemiology, clinical picture, diagnosis, prognosis and treatment. Pol Arch Med Wewn 2008, 118(4):216-21. Review.
13. Edge SE, Byrd DR, Carducci MA, Compton CC, eds: AJCC Cancer Staging Manual New York, NY: Springer, 7 2010.
14. International union against cancer (UICC): TNM classification of malignant tumours. Edited by: Sobin LH, Wittekind Ch. New York: Wiley; , 7 2010.
15. Trivadar B, Setban B, Micea M, Trivadar B Jr, Leonard A, Daniela P, Simonia M: Late hepatic metastasis in the evolution of gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Hepatogastroenterology 2010, 57(97):95-7.
16. Hohenberger P, Ronellenitsch U, Oladeji O, Pink D, Strobé P, Wardemann E, Reichardt P: Pattern of recurrence in patients with ruptured primary gastrointestinal stromal tumour. Br J Surg 2010.
17. Agaimy A, Dinhofer S, Wunsch PH, Terracciano LM, Tomillo L, Bihl MP: Multiple sporadic gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) of the proximal stomach are caused by different somatic KIT mutations suggesting a field effect. Am J Surg Pathol 2008, 32(10):1553-9.

Cite this article as: Papalambros et al: GIST suture-line recurrence at a gastrojejunal anastomosis 8 years after gastrectomy: can GIST ever be described as truly benign? A case report. World Journal of Surgical Oncology 2010; 8:90.