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ABSTRACT. We consider large networks of theta neurons on a ring, synaptically coupled with an asymmetric kernel. Such networks support stable “bumps” of activity, which move along the ring if the coupling kernel is asymmetric. We investigate the effects of the kernel asymmetry on the existence, stability and speed of these moving bumps using continuum equations formally describing infinite networks. Depending on the level of heterogeneity within the network we find complex sequences of bifurcations as the amount of asymmetry is varied, in strong contrast to the behaviour of a classical neural field model.

Classical neural field models of large scale activity in the cortex can sustain spatially-localised “bumps” of activity. These bumps move with a constant speed if the coupling kernel in the model is asymmetric. We study such bumps in a “next generation” neural field model derived from a network of theta neurons and find their behaviour to be very different from that in a classical model. We find a complex sequence of saddle-node and Hopf bifurcations, resulting in multistability and a nonmonotonic dependence of bump speed on the amount of asymmetry in the kernel.

1. Introduction

Spatially-localised “bumps” of activity in neuronal networks have been studied intensively over the past few decades [14,16,6,3,12,24], as they are thought to play a role in short term memory [2,5] and the head direction system [28], for example. In continuum neural field models the most-studied systems are phenomenological [1] but recently it has been shown how to derive a neural field model from an infinite network of theta neurons [12,14,4] (or equivalently, quadratic integrate-and-fire neurons [10]) by considering a spatially-extended version of the networks studied by Luke et al. [17] and Montbrió et al. [18]. These derivations rely on the use of the Ott/Antonsen ansatz [22,23] and assume that the neurons are heterogeneous, with the heterogeneous parameter being distributed in a Lorentzian.

An obvious question is: do these “next generation” neural field models have any dynamics which are not seen in classical neural field models? In terms of the existence of stationary bumps, the answer seems to be “no” but next generation models do support more interesting transient or time-dependent solutions than classical ones. For example, Byrne et al. [4] observed both oscillating bump solutions and travelling waves, as well
as more exotic solutions such as wandering bumps. Esnaola-Acebes et al. \cite{10} found oscillatory transients which they referred to as “synchrony-induced modes of oscillation”. Schmidt and Avitabile \cite{26} studied “oscillons” — spatially-localised time-periodic solutions caused by either periodic temporal forcing or the interaction between an excitatory and an inhibitory population. Lastly, Laing \cite{15} showed that a transient excitatory stimulus could switch a next generation model from a stable bump state to a stable quiescent state, which it seems cannot occur in a classical model.

Bump states are often studied on one-dimensional domains with periodic boundary conditions, where the position of the bump encodes a periodic variable such as head direction \cite{28}. One is often interested in switching a network from a stable bump state to the stable “all-off” state or vice versa using transient stimuli, but moving a bump along the domain is also of interest. One way to do this is to break the symmetry of the coupling kernel, thereby destabilising the stationary bump in favour of a bump which travels at constant speed \cite{25, 28, 27}.

In this paper we consider breaking the symmetry of the coupling kernel in a neural field model derived from a heterogeneous network of theta neurons, and investigate the effects of this on the bumps’ speed and structure. We find drastically different behaviour from that found in a classical neural field model. Specifically, we find (i) the speed of a bump is not a monotonic function of the amount of asymmetry, leading to possible bistability, (ii) there may be Hopf bifurcations of travelling bumps, (iii) bumps may develop “twists” in their argument (when described using a complex order parameter-like quantity) corresponding to variations in instantaneous frequency as a bump passes a fixed position.

Our work is similar to that of \cite{19, 20}, although the model here has only one invariance — spatial translation — while the model in \cite{19, 20} also has a global phase shift invariance. Analysis of similar twisted states in heterogeneous networks of Kuramoto phase oscillators was presented in \cite{21}. The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sec. 2 we present the model and briefly show some of the new types of solutions of interest. Sec. 3 contains the results of following bump solutions as the asymmetry parameter is varied, for various values of the neurons’ heterogeneity. We conclude in Sec. 4.

2. Model

We consider the system of synaptically coupled theta neurons \cite{17, 12, 14, 9}

\[
\frac{d\theta_j}{dt} = 1 - \cos \theta_j + (1 + \cos \theta_j)(\eta_j + \kappa I_j); \quad j = 1, \ldots N; \quad \theta_j \in S^1, \tag{1}
\]

where the input current to the \(j\)-th neuron includes a time-dependent term given by the sum

\[
I_j(t) = \frac{2\pi}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} K_{jk} P_n(\theta_k(t)) \tag{2}
\]

and \(P_n(\theta_k) = a_n(1 - \cos \theta_k)^n\) is the pulse-like signal emitted by neuron \(k\) when it fires \((\theta_k\) increases through \(\pi\)). The positive integer parameter \(n\) controls the width of the
pulse and the constant \( a_n = 2^n(n!)^2/(2n)! \) is determined from the normalization condition \( \int_0^{2\pi} P_n(\theta) d\theta = 2\pi \). The excitability parameters \( \eta_j \) are chosen from a Lorentzian distribution with mean \( \eta_0 \) and width \( \gamma > 0 \):

\[
g(\eta) = \frac{\gamma/\pi}{(\eta - \eta_0)^2 + \gamma^2},
\]

(3)

and \( \kappa \) is an overall coupling strength. Moreover, we have \( K_{jk} = K(2\pi|j - k|/N) \) where

\[
K(x) = 0.1 + 0.3 \cos x + B \sin x
\]

(4)

is a \( 2\pi \)-periodic coupling kernel. For \( B = 0 \) the function \( K(x) \) is reflection symmetric and has the Mexican-hat-like profile, while for \( B \neq 0 \) it becomes asymmetric; therefore we call \( B \) the asymmetry parameter.

A mean-field version of model (1)–(2) with constant kernel \( K(x) \) was studied in [17]. In [12] one of the authors of this work derived a neural field model describing the coarse grained long-term dynamics of system (1)–(2) in the limit of infinitely many neurons, \( N \to \infty \). This dynamics is expressed in terms of the local mean field \( z(x, t) \) representing the expected value of \( e^{i\theta} \) at \( x \) and \( t \). The dynamics of local mean field \( z \) satisfies

\[
\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = \left( i\eta_0 - \gamma \right)(1 + z)^2 - i(1 - z)^2 \frac{2}{2} + \kappa i(1 + z)^2 I
\]

(5)

where \( i^2 = -1 \),

\[
I(x, t) = \int_0^{2\pi} K(x - y) H(z(y, t); n) dy
\]

(6)

is the input current at position \( x \) and

\[
H(z; n) = a_n \left[ C_0 + \sum_{q=1}^{n} C_q(z^q + \bar{z}^q) \right].
\]

(7)

The coefficients \( C_q \) in (7) are given explicitly by

\[
C_q = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \delta_{k-2m,q}(-1)^k n! \left[ 2^k(n-k)!m!(k-m)! \right],
\]

(8)

where \( \delta_{i,j} = 1 \) if \( i = j \) and 0 otherwise. From \( z(x, t) \) one can calculate the instantaneous frequency of neurons at position \( x \) and time \( t \) as the flux through \( \theta = \pi \) [15] [18]:

\[
f(x, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Re} \left( \frac{1 - \bar{z}}{1 + \bar{z}} \right).
\]

(9)

In particular, for stationary solutions (when \( z(x, t) \) and hence \( f(x, t) \) do not depend on \( t \) formula (9) yields also the central curve of the distribution of mean frequencies:

\[
f_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left< \frac{d\theta_k}{dt} \right>
\]

(10)

where \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) denotes time average. In [12] it was shown that for a certain range of widths \( \gamma \) the system (1)–(2) exhibits a bistable behaviour. The “all off” state, where most of neurons are quiescent, stably coexists with a so-called “bump” state, where neurons in part of the domain are quiescent, while neurons in the rest of the domain fire with mean
Figure 1. (a), (b) Spatially uniform partially synchronized states and (c) a bump state coexisting stably in system (1)–(2) for $\kappa = 2$, $\eta_0 = -0.4$, $n = 2$ and $\gamma = 0.01$. The first and second rows show snapshots and mean frequencies (see (10)) of these states for theta neurons, while the third and fourth rows show the moduli and the arguments of the corresponding stationary solutions $z(x)$ to the neural field Eq. (5). The thin lines in the second row show instantaneous frequencies computed by formula (9). Panel (a) shows a state in which most neurons are quiescent, with mean frequency zero, whereas panel (b) shows a state in which most neurons are firing, with mean frequency approximately 0.25. In neither case does the mean frequency depend on spatial position, unlike for the state shown in panel (c).

By analogy with other phase models with broken reflection symmetry, we may expect to find moving bumps in model (1)–(2) with $B > 0$. It turns out that such bumps do exist, see Fig. 2, but their behaviour is quite different from that in a classical neural field model

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -u(x,t) + \int_0^{2\pi} K(x-y) F(u(y,t)) \, dy \quad (11)$$

where $F$ is some nondecreasing function (a sigmoid, or Heaviside) in at least three aspects: (i) the speed is not a monotonic function of $B$, leading to possible bistability,
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Figure 2. Moving bumps in system (1)-(2) for (a) $B = 0.08$, (b) $B = 0.12$ and (c) $B = 0.16$. All three are moving to the right. Other parameters: $\kappa = 2$, $\eta_0 = -0.4$, $n = 2$ and $\gamma = 0.1$. The first row shows snapshots of these states for theta neurons, while the second, third and fourth rows show the instantaneous frequencies $f$ (see (9)), the moduli and the arguments of the corresponding travelling wave solutions $z(x,t)$ to the neural field Eq. (5), where $s$ is the speed at which they travel.

(for (11), one can show that for $K(x)$ given by (4), the speed is $B/0.3$ (25)) (ii) there may be Hopf bifurcations, and (iii) solutions may develop twists in their argument corresponding to oscillations in average frequency as a bump passes a fixed position; for example, moving bumps shown in Fig. 2(a),(b) and (c) are twist-3, twist-2 and twist-1, respectively. (The twist of a state is simply the net number of multiples of $2\pi$ through which the argument of the complex quantity $z$ decreases as the spatial domain is traversed once.)

Stable moving bumps in system (1)-(2) can be studied by direct numerical simulations. For this we used a Runge-Kutta integrator with the fixed time step $dt = 0.02$ and the data processing algorithm described in [20, Sec. 2.1] allowing us to extract the instantaneous position of a bump. Taking a motionless bump as initial condition in system (1)-(2) with $N = 8192$ neurons we increased the asymmetry parameter from $B = 0$ to $B = 0.2$ with the step $\Delta B = 0.001$. For each value $B$ we integrated system (1)-(2) over 2000 time units and computed the mean lateral speed $s$ from the last 1000 time units of the trajectory. The results of this forward $B$-sweep are shown with crosses in Figure 3. Similarly, decreasing the asymmetry parameter from $B = 0.2$ to $B = 0$ we obtained a backward
Figure 3. Lateral speed $s$ versus asymmetry parameter $B$ for moving bumps in system (1)–(2) with $N = 8192$ neurons. Crosses and circles show lateral speeds obtained in the forward and the backward $B$-sweeps, respectively (see details in the text). Solid curve shows the lateral speed of travelling wave solutions to the associated neural field Eq. (5) (see Fig. 13 below). Three vertical arrows indicate parameters of the travelling bumps shown in Fig. 2 ($B = 0.08, 0.12$ and $0.16$). Other parameters: $\gamma = 0.1, \kappa = 2, \eta_0 = -0.4, n = 2$.

$B$-sweep shown with circles in Figure 3. Obviously, there are several hysteretic loops in the resulting diagram indicating that the appearance of moving bumps is mediated by a complicated bifurcation scenario. It turns out that this scenario can be completely revealed by path-following of spatially constant solutions and travelling wave solutions to Eq. (5).

3. Results

In this section we carry out a detailed analysis of the following solutions to Eq. (5):

- spatially uniform states, i.e. fixed points $z(x, t) = a_0$ with constant profiles,
- stationary bumps, i.e. fixed points $z(x, t) = a(x)$ with $2\pi$-periodic non-constant profiles $a(x)$,
- moving bumps, i.e. travelling wave solutions of the form $z(x, t) = a(x - st)$ where $a(x)$ is a non-constant bump profile and $s$ is a non-zero bump speed.

The numerical methods used are as follows. We discretised the domain $[0, 2\pi]$ using 256 equally-spaced points and implemented the convolution in (6) using the fast Fourier transform. Travelling wave solutions of (5) with speed $s$ are steady states of

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} = \frac{(i\eta_0 - \gamma)(1 + a)^2 - i(1 - a)^2}{2} + \kappa \frac{i(1 + a)^2}{2} I + s \frac{\partial a}{\partial x}. \quad (12)$$

Having found a stable travelling wave through numerical integration of (5) and estimated its speed, we used this as an initial guess to find a steady state of (12) using Newton’s method. The spatial derivative was evaluated spectrally. The stability of such a steady state is determined by the eigenvalues of the linearisation of (12) about the solution. A
pinning condition
\[ \int_0^{2\pi} \text{Re}[a(x)] \cos(x) \, dx = 0 \] (13)

was appended to (12) to remove the invariance under spatial shift of fixed points of this equation. Pseudo-arclength continuation was used to follow steady states of (12) as a parameter was varied [13, 8, 11] and the twist of a state was determined by measuring the net variation in the phase of \( z \) over the spatial domain using the Matlab command \texttt{unwrap}. Several computations were repeated with 512 spatial points rather than 256 and no differences in results were observed.

3.1. **Symmetric coupling kernel** \( B = 0 \). For the symmetric coupling kernel \( K(x) \) and sufficiently small values \( \gamma \) equation (5) has three spatially uniform fixed points \( z(x) = \text{const.} \) as well as a pair of spatially modulated bump states \( z(x) \neq \text{const.} \). Two of the spatially uniform states are stable and differ in the level of synchrony between neurons. They appear as spatially extended counterparts of partially synchronous rest (PSR) and partially synchronous spiking (PSS) states reported in [17]. More precisely, the PSR state is characterized by a higher level of synchrony \( (|z| \approx 1) \) and mostly vanishing firing rates, see Fig. 1(a), while in the PSS state the neurons fire almost asynchronously and uniformly in space, see Fig. 1(b). The bump states, see Fig. 1(c), are spatially modulated states comprising both active (firing) and quiescent spatial domains. In equation (5) they appear as a pair of stable and unstable solutions. As \( \gamma \) grows they move towards each other and eventually collide and disappear in the saddle-node bifurcation for \( \gamma \approx 0.19 \); see Fig. 4. The same scenario occurs for the unstable spatially-uniform state and the spatially-uniform PSR state. In contrast, the PSS state exists as a separate stable branch for all values \( \gamma \).

3.2. **Asymmetric coupling kernel** \( B \neq 0 \). Every symmetric solution to Eq. (5) existing for \( B = 0 \) remains a solution to this equation for an asymmetric coupling kernel too. However, its stability properties may change as the asymmetry parameter \( B \) grows. For example, for a fixed \( \gamma \) there is a spatially-uniform PSS state, independent of \( B \). However, it loses stability as \( B \) is increased through a Hopf bifurcation for which the eigenfunctions have spatial structure i.e. to a travelling wave, at points shown in Fig. 5.

In Figs. 6–15 we plot the imaginary part \( \omega \) of the Hopf eigenvalue \( \lambda_H \) as a function of \( B \) (dash-dotted curve). At the point of bifurcation the branch of travelling waves bifurcates from this curve and the speed of the travelling wave is \( \omega \). For all of these figures we use \( \kappa = 2, \eta_0 = -0.4, n = 2 \).

We now describe the series of transitions that occur to the bump states as \( \gamma \) is decreased. For \( \gamma = 0.3 \) there is a single stable branch of travelling solutions which start out as twist-0 but become twist-1 as \( B \) is increased (see Fig. 6). Between \( \gamma = 0.3 \) and \( \gamma = 0.25 \) another family of solutions is created which form an isola (see Fig. 7). Decreasing \( \gamma \) to 0.23 these two branches merge, resulting in one branch of solutions with twists 0, 1 and 2 (see Fig. 8). At \( \gamma = 0.205 \) another isola has been created (Fig. 9), and
Figure 4. Maximum over $x$ of $|z|$ for spatially-uniform states (blue curves; solid: stable, dashed: unstable) and bump states (red symbols; circles: stable, crosses: unstable). Other parameters: $\kappa = 2$, $\eta_0 = -0.4$, $n = 2$. Note that the two types of solution do not merge at $(\gamma, \max |z|) = (0, 1)$.

this merges with the main branch as $\gamma$ is decreased to 0.2, and the first twist-3 solution appears (see Fig. 10). For $\gamma = 0.25$ the only changes of stability are due to saddle-node bifurcations but for $\gamma \leq 0.23$ Hopf bifurcations also occur, so branches do not simply alternate in stability as $B$ increases and decreases.

At $\gamma = 0.191$ the main branch of solutions nearly reaches the origin (Fig. 11) and for $\gamma \leq 0.19$ there are two branches which leave the origin, one stable one with lower speed, and an unstable one with higher speed. Notice that this value $\gamma$ corresponds to that of the saddle-node bifurcation of stable and unstable bumps observed in the symmetric coupling kernel case. From Fig. 4 we know that for $B = 0$ and $\gamma \leq 0.19$ there are two stationary bumps ($s = 0$), one stable and one unstable.

As $\gamma$ is decreased further an unstable branch appears from large $B$ (Fig. 12) and merges with the main branch. For $\gamma \leq 0.16$ we see that on the stable branch leaving the origin the twist increases and then decreases as the speed increases. The main curve also develops a “knot” at approximately $B = 0.1$ and speed 0.85 (see Fig. 13). As an example of the types of solution found we show several stable solutions for $\gamma = 0.1$ in Fig. 14, other examples can be also found in Fig. 2. In particular, two stable solutions coexisting for
$B = 0.0285$ are shown in Fig. 14(a),(b) (the third solution coexisting stably for the same parameters is omitted). The solution on the upper branch (Fig. 14(a)) looks rather as a spatially modulated version of the PSS state, while the lower branch solution (Fig. 14(b)) is recognisably a “bump” although with many oscillations in instantaneous frequency in the active part of the bump. Obviously, the latter has twist 4. As $B$ grows the twist value grows too and achieves its maximum value of 7 for $B \approx 0.036$. The corresponding twist-7 solution is shown in Fig. 14(c). For further growth of $B$ the twist value of the bump starts to decrease, Fig. 13(b), and the speed-asymmetry curve is not a simple function of $B$. Notice that the twist-3, twist-2 and twist-1 solutions found for larger asymmetry, Fig. 2, could perhaps be better thought of as “3-shot, 2-shot and 1-shot waves” in which neurons at a fixed position have several bursts of activity during one complete pass of the wave. Remarkably, the behavior of the lower branch in Fig. 13 resembles the speed-asymmetry diagram computed for travelling chimera states in asymmetrically coupled phase oscillators [20, Fig. 4]. However, the complete bifurcation scenario of moving bumps in general is more complicated, which follows apparently from the complexity of the neural field equation (5) in comparison with the mean field equation analyzed in [20].

As $\gamma$ is decreased further the stable branch emanating from the origin develops more and more oscillations (Figs. 15–16) and the maximum twist value on this branch increases. For $\gamma = 0$ the branches with different twists are disconnected as shown in Fig. 17 (only waves with twists 2-7 are shown). These waves have $|z| = 1$. It seems that a branch with twist $k$ is stable in an interval $B \in [0, B_k]$ where, numerically, $B_k \sim k^{-2.07}$. The existence of these solutions is in contrast to the results in [19] where it was found that travelling chimera states have no well-defined limiting behaviour for $\gamma \to 0$.

The results are summarised in Fig. 18, where many of the saddle-node bifurcations shown in Figs. 6–13 and 15–17 are continued as both $\gamma$ and $B$ are varied. There appears to be some self-similarity in the arrangement of saddle-node bifurcations, but we have not investigated this further.

4. Discussion

We numerically investigated moving bump solutions in the continuum equations describing an infinite network of theta neurons nonlocally coupled through the asymmetric kernel (4). Depending on the level of heterogeneity within the network given by the parameter $\gamma$, different complex scenarios occurred as the asymmetry parameter was increased, in strong contrast to the behaviour of a classical neural field (11) for which a single bump exists for all $B$ with speed proportional to $B$ [25]. We found multistability, isolas of solutions, and Hopf bifurcations. On many branches of solutions the “twist” of a solution increased from zero to a maximum and then down again as the branch was traversed. This variety of time-dependent behaviour in “next generation” neural field models, as opposed to classical ones, is consistent with the observations of others [4, 10, 26].
Above, we have used the pulse profile \( P_n(\theta) \) with \( n = 2 \). However, moving bumps can be also found for other positive integers \( n \). In particular, we have checked that the phenomena reported in this paper also occur for \( n = 5, n = \infty \) [when \( H(z; \infty) = (1-|z|^2)/(1+z+\bar{z}+|z|^2) \)] and also when synaptic dynamics are included, i.e. replacing (6) by

\[
\tau \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = \int_0^{2\pi} K(x-y)H(z(y, t); n)dy - I(x, t) \tag{14}
\]
Figure 7. Speed of travelling wave for $\gamma = 0.25$. (a) blue: stable, red: unstable. (b) the same graph but with twist indicated.

Figure 8. Speed of travelling wave for $\gamma = 0.23$. (a) blue: stable, red: unstable. (b) the same graph but with twist indicated.

Figure 9. Speed of travelling wave for $\gamma = 0.205$. (a) blue: stable, red: unstable. (b) the same graph but with twist indicated.

where $\tau$ is the timescale of the synaptic dynamics (results not shown). Thus it seems that the scenarios observed here are generic rather than extraordinary.
Figure 10. Speed of travelling wave for $\gamma = 0.2$. (a) blue: stable, red: unstable. (b) the same graph but with twist indicated.

Figure 11. Speed of travelling wave for $\gamma = 0.191$. (a) blue: stable, red: unstable. (b) the same graph but with twist indicated.

Figure 12. Speed of travelling wave for $\gamma = 0.16$. (a) blue: stable, red: unstable. There are two branches with similar speeds for large $B$. Two branches leave the origin. (b) the same graph but with twist indicated.
Figure 13. Speed of travelling wave for $\gamma = 0.1$. (a) blue: stable, red: unstable. (b) the same graph but with twist indicated.

Figure 14. Travelling wave solutions to the neural field Eq. (5). Columns (a) and (b) show the fastest and the slowest solutions, respectively, coexisting stably for $B = 0.0285$; column (c) shows a stable solution for $B = 0.036$. All three travelling waves are moving to the right. Other parameters: $\kappa = 2$, $\eta_0 = -0.4$, $n = 2$ and $\gamma = 0.1$. Top row: instantaneous frequency $f$ (see (9)). Middle row: $|z|$. Bottom row: $\arg(z)$.

In terms of future work, it would be interesting to investigate the case of conductance-based synaptic input [7] and opposed to the current-based approach in [1]. Naturally, the existence of the complex scenarios observed here in networks of more realistic neurons is also of interest.
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Figure 15. Speed of travelling wave for $\gamma = 0.03$. (a) blue: stable, red: unstable. (b) the same graph but with twist indicated.

Figure 16. A zoom of Fig. 15. Speed of travelling wave for $\gamma = 0.03$. (a) blue: stable, red: unstable. (b) the same graph but with twist indicated. (Both branches pass through the origin.)
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