Supersymmetry and Models for Two Kinds of Interacting Particles

Thomas Guhr† and Heiner Köhler‡
† Matematisk Fysik, LTH, Lunds Universitet, Box 118, 22100 Lund, Sweden
‡ Institut für theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Philosophenweg 19, Heidelberg, Germany
(Dated: March 28, 2022)

We show that Calogero–Sutherland models for interacting particles have a natural supersymmetric extension. For the construction, we use Jacobians which appear in certain superspaces. Some of the resulting Hamiltonians have a direct physics interpretation as models for two kinds of interacting particles. One model may serve to describe interacting electrons in a lower and upper band of a quasi–one–dimensional semiconductor, another model corresponds to two kinds of particles confined to two different spatial directions with an interaction involving tensor forces.
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Calogero [1, 2] and Sutherland [3] introduced models for interacting particles, which have much in common with a group theoretical construction by Dyson [4]. Various modifications of these models have been studied, see the detailed reviews in Refs. [3, 4] and references therein. A typical model of the Calogero–Sutherland type is defined by the Schrödinger equation for $N$ particles in one dimension at positions $x_n$, $n = 1, \ldots, N$,

$$H_N^β(x, κ) = \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \kappa_n^2 \right) \nabla_N^β (x, κ),$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$H = -\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} + \beta \left( \frac{3}{2} \right) \sum_{n<m} \frac{1}{(x_n-x_m)^2}.$$  

The many particle wavefunction $Ψ_N^β(x, κ)$ depends on $N$ quantum numbers $κ_n$, $n = 1, \ldots, N$ whose squares add up to the energy on the right hand side of Eq. (1). The Hamiltonian (2) consists of a kinetic and a distance dependent interaction term. The strength is measured by the parameter $β$, the interaction vanishes for $β = 0$ and $β = 2$. Usually, one adds $N$ confining potentials to the Hamiltonian (2). This renders the system a bound state problem. Apart from this, they do not significantly affect the structure of the model. Thus, we will not work with confining potentials in the sequel.

In this contribution, we present a most natural extension of these Calogero–Sutherland models. In our construction, we employ superspaces. We will arrive at various new models which are likely to be exactly solvable. Calogero–Sutherland models were related to supersymmetric quantum mechanics in Refs. [5, 6]. Our approach and the ensuing models are different from this. Our models also extend a recent supersymmetric construction [7, 8]. Importantly, our models allow for a physics interpretation which is also most natural. One of the models describes a quasi–one–dimensional problem, the other one a two–dimensional one. One has previously tried to generalize the models of the type (1) to higher space dimensions [9, 10]. Again, our construction and the results are different from this.

To prepare for the derivation of the new models in superspace, we briefly sketch the group theoretical connection for the models in ordinary space. With the Vandermonde determinant $Δ_N(x) = \prod_{n<m} (x_n - x_m)$, the Jacobian on the space of the real–symmetric $N \times N$, the Hermitean $N \times N$ and the quaternion self–dual $2N \times 2N$ matrices labeled with the parameter $β = 1, 2, 4$, respectively, takes the form $|Δ_N(x)|^β$. These spaces are non–compact forms of the symmetric spaces $U(N)/O(N)$, $U(N)/1$ and $U(2N)/Sp(2N)$. The corresponding radial Laplace–Beltrami operator reads

$$Δ_x = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{|Δ_N(x)|^β} \frac{∂}{∂ x_n} |Δ_N(x)|^β \frac{∂}{∂ x_n}.$$  

The equation $Δ_x Ψ_N^β(x, κ) = -\left( \sum_{n=1}^N \kappa_n^2 \right) Ψ_N^β(x, κ)$ for the eigenfunction $Ψ_N^β(x, κ)$ is mapped onto the $N$ particle Schrödinger equation (1) with the ansatz $Ψ_N^β(x, κ) = Ψ_N^β(x, κ) / Δ_N^β(x) Δ_N^β(k)$. The parameter $β$ is now viewed as positive and continuous, such that the coordinates $x_n$, $n = 1, \ldots, N$ span a space more general than the (radial parts of the) symmetric spaces.

For the supersymmetric generalization, we consider the two sets of $k_1$ variables $s_{p1}$, $p = 1, \ldots, k_1$ and of $k_2$ variables $s_{p2}$, $p = 1, \ldots, k_2$ and the function

$$B_{k_1 k_2}(s) = \frac{\prod_{p=q}(s_{p1} - s_{q1})^β_1 \prod_{p=q}(s_{p2} - s_{q2})^β_2}{\prod_{p,q}(s_{p1} - c s_{q2}) V^{β_1 β_2}},$$

with the parameters $β_1, β_2 ≥ 0$ and $c = ±i$. For certain values of these parameters, Eq. (4) is the Jacobian (or Berezinian) $\Omega^β$ on symmetric superspaces. In the case $β_1 = β_2 = 2$ and $c = +i$, the function (4) is the Jacobian on Hermitean supermatrices, i.e. on the non–compact form of $U(k_1/k_2) \Omega^β_1 \Omega^β_2$, where $U(k_1/k_2)$ is
the unitary supergroup \[13, 14, 15, 16, 17\]. Apart from an absolute value sign which is unimportant here, the choices \( \beta_1 = 1, \beta_2 = 4, e = +i \) and \( \beta_1 = 4, \beta_2 = 1, e = -i \) in Eq. (4) yield the Jacobians \[14, 18\] for the two forms of the symmetric superspace \( \text{GL}(k_1/2k_2)/\text{OSp}(k_1/2k_2) \), respectively. They are denoted \( \text{AI}1 \text{II} \) and \( \text{AI} \text{II} \) in the classification of Ref. \[18\]. Here, \( \text{GL}(k_1/2k_2) \) is the general linear supergroup and \( \text{OSp}(k_1/2k_2) \) is the orthosymplectic supergroup \[13, 16, 17\]. The imaginary unit in the classification of Ref. \[19\]. Here, \( \text{Gl}(k_1, k_2) \) where the wavefunctions are related by the ansatz \( \psi_{k_1, k_2}(s, r) \to \psi_{k_1, k_2}(s, r) \).

The constant on the right hand side of Eq. (6) is interpreted as energy later on. We write it in terms of two sets of variables \( r_{p1}, p = 1, \ldots, k_1 \) and \( r_{p2}, p = 1, \ldots, k_2 \) to which we refer as quantum numbers. It turns out convenient to take the scaling factors \( 1/\sqrt{\beta_1} \) and \( 1/\sqrt{\beta_2} \) into the definition. In Ref. \[4\] a similar construction was performed. However, the resulting operator depends on one parameter only. The natural dependence on two strength parameters \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) is an essential point in the present study. Thus, our approach contains the one in Ref. \[4\] as a special case.

The family of models \[7\] ought to be exactly solvable. For the parameter values corresponding to the symmetric superspaces, the wavefunctions can be written as supergroup integrals \[13, 20, 21\]. Thus, the wavefunctions are uniquely characterized by the two sets of quantum numbers \( r_{p1}, p = 1, \ldots, k_1 \) and \( r_{p2}, p = 1, \ldots, k_2 \). This feature should extend to all values \( \beta_1, \beta_2 \geq 0 \), since the operators \( \Delta_s \), and \( \tilde{H} \) are analytic in the upper right quadrant of the complex plane \( \beta_1 + i\beta_2 \). However, a final statement needs more mathematical work.

We present a physical interpretation. The operators \( \Delta_s \) and \( \tilde{H} \) are not Hermitian, which is solely due to the Wick-type–of rotation mentioned above. We consider \( c = +i \) and undo this rotation by replacing \( i s_{p2} \) with \( s_{p2} \). By also introducing the momenta \( \pi_{p1} = -i\partial/\partial s_{p1} \) and \( \pi_{p2} = -i\partial/\partial s_{p2} \), we transform the operator \( \tilde{H} \) into the Hermitian Hamiltonian for two kinds of \( k_1 \) particles at positions \( s_{p1}, p = 1, \ldots, k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) particles at positions \( s_{p2}, p = 1, \ldots, k_2 \) on the s axis.
orthogonal and symplectic groups \( \mathbb{R} \). They have a natural supersymmetric extension as well. We introduce the two sets of \( 2k_1 \) variables \( s_{p1}, p = 1, \ldots, k_1 \) and of \( 2k_2 \) variables \( s_{p2}, p = 1, \ldots, 2k_2 \). We notice that the number of variables in the second set has to be even. Instead of \( 2k_1 \), we could also consider an odd number \( 2k_1+1 \). As the ensuing models differ only slightly, we restrict ourselves to \( 2k_1 \). We study the function

\[
C_{2k_12k_2}(s) = \prod_{p<q}(s_{p1}^2 - s_{q1}^2)^{\beta_1} \prod_{p<q}(s_{p2}^2 - s_{q2}^2)^{\beta_2} \prod_{p=1}^{k_1} s_{p1}^{\beta_2} \prod_{p=1}^{k_2} s_{p2}^{\beta_2} \prod_{p,q}(s_{p1}^2 + s_{q2}^2)^{\gamma}, \tag{10}
\]

which is the Jacobian (or Berezinian) on the supergroup \( \text{OSp}(2k_1/2k_2) \) for \( \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 2 \), see a derivation in Ref. [23]. For \( \beta_1 = 1, \beta_2 = 4 \) and \( \beta_1 = 4, \beta_2 = 1 \), Eq. (10) gives the Jacobians on the two forms of the symmetric superspace \( \text{OSp}(2k_1/2k_2)/\text{GL}(k_1/k_2) \) which are named CI|DIII and DII|CI in Ref. [14]. We proceed exactly as before and derive an eigenvalue equation of the form \( \mathcal{H} \) where the operator now reads

\[
\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \sum_{p=1}^{k_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_{p1}^2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \sum_{p=1}^{k_2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_{p2}^2} - g_{11} \sum_{p<q} \frac{2s_{p1}^2 + 2s_{q1}^2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{q1}^2)^2} + g_{22} \left( \sum_{p<q} \frac{2s_{p2}^2 + 2s_{q2}^2}{(s_{p2}^2 - s_{q2}^2)^2} \right)^2 + \sum_{p=1}^{k_1} \frac{1}{2s_{p1}^2} - 2g_{12} \sum_{p<q} \frac{2s_{p1}^2 - 2s_{q2}^2}{(s_{p1}^2 + s_{q2}^2)^2} + \sum_{p,q} \frac{2h_{12}}{s_{p1}^2 + s_{q2}^2}, \tag{11}
\]

with \( g_{11}, g_{22} \) and \( g_{12} \) as given in Eq. (8) and with

\[
h_{12} = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} \left( \sqrt{\beta_1} - \sqrt{\beta_2} \right). \tag{12}
\]

We exploit the symmetry by writing \( \mathcal{H} \) as a Hamiltonian for \( 2k_1 \) plus \( 2k_2 \) particles, i.e. \( k_1 \) plus \( k_2 \) pairs of particles sitting at positions \((-s_{p1},+s_{p2})\) on the \( s_1 \) axis and \((-s_{p2},+s_{p2})\) on the \( s_2 \) axis. This is a two-dimensional situation. Here, we assume that the initial condition is invariant under mirror reflection of the positions \( s_{p1} \) and \( s_{p2} \). Each particle on the \( s_j \) axis carries a (fixed and non-quantized) dipole vector \( \vec{d}_j = \sigma (\cos \theta_j, \sin \theta_j), j = 1, 2 \). The masses \( m_j = \sqrt{\beta_j/\pi}, \ j = 1, 2 \) are both positive. The interaction comprises three parts. First, there are central forces with strengths

\[
f_1 = \frac{\beta_1}{8} \left( \frac{\beta_1}{2} - 1 \right) \quad \text{and} \quad f_2 = -\frac{\beta_2}{8} \left( \frac{\beta_2}{2} - 1 \right). \tag{14}
\]

Second, there are distance dependent forces between the particles on the same axis with strengths

\[
h_{jj} = \sqrt{\beta_j} \left( \frac{\beta_j}{2} - 1 \right) + \sigma^2 \cos 2\theta_j, \quad j = 1, 2 \tag{15}
\]

and there is a distance dependent force between the particles on different axes with strength \( h_{12} \) as given by Eq. (12). Third, there are tensor or dipole–dipole forces. The last term of the Hamiltonian (13) is a two-dimensional dipole–dipole interaction (24) between the particles on different axes. The unit vector \( \vec{e}_{pq} \) points from the particle \( p \) on the \( s_1 \) axis to the particle \( q \) on the \( s_2 \) axis. The strength of the dipoles follows from the relation \( \sigma^2 \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) = 2g_{12} \) with \( g_{12} \) as defined in Eq. (8). Consistently, the tensor force also acts between the dipoles on the same axis. As the latter are parallel, the tensor force acquires a form identical to the distance dependent interaction. This explains the additional term in the constants \( h_{jj}, j = 1, 2 \) as compared to the constants \( g_{jj} \). In Fig. 2 we illustrate the model. Other choices of the parameters are also possible, leading, for example, to different angles of the dipoles on the positive and negative side of the same axis, see Fig. 2.
models, we consider the simplest case to be possible. A rigorous proof has yet to be given.

\[ 2 \kappa_1 = 4 \text{ particles on the } s_1 \text{ axis} \quad 2 \kappa_2 = 6 \text{ particles on the } s_2 \text{ axis.} \]

The dipole vectors on the same axis have the same direction. Tensor forces are indicated as thicker and thinner dashed lines, corresponding to the strength of the force. Right: a case with different directions of the dipole vectors on different sides of the same axis.

Again, the family of models \([15]\) should be exactly solvable. For the parameter values corresponding to \(\text{OSp}(2\!|\!2),\) the wavefunctions are supergroup integrals \([23]\). Analytical continuation in \(\beta_1 + i \beta_2\) should be possible. A rigorous proof has yet to be given.

To gain some first intuition for the solutions of these models, we consider the simplest case \(k_1 = k_2 = 1\) and \(c = +i\) of Eq. (5). The operator \(7\) has then a simple structure which yields the wavefunction

\[
\psi^{(+i\beta_1, \beta_2)}_{11}(s, r) = 
\exp\left( \frac{-i}{\sqrt{\beta_1 s_{11} - i \sqrt{\beta_2 s_{12}}}} \left( r_{11} - i r_{12} \right) \right) 
\frac{z^{\beta_1} H_{\frac{\nu}{2}}(z)}{\left( s_{11} - i s_{12} \right)^{\nu}}
\]

Here, \(H_{\frac{\nu}{2}}(z)\) is the Hankel function \([25]\) of order \(\nu = \sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} / 2 + 1/2\) and we use the dimensionless, complex variable \(z = (\sqrt{\beta_1 r_{11} - i \sqrt{\beta_2 r_{12}}})/(\sqrt{\beta_1} - \sqrt{\beta_2})\). The appearance of the differences in the denominator in Eq. (16) is typical for superspaces, see Refs. \([21]\). In ordinary space, those differences are found in the numerator, see Refs. \([26, 27]\). In particular, this affects the behavior of the wavefunctions at the origin. Work on further analytical results is in progress.

In conclusion, we derived natural supersymmetric extensions of models for interacting particles. The corresponding physics is most natural as well, involving two kinds of particles. We presented two possible applications, a quasi–one–dimensional and a two–dimensional one. From a more general perspective, one might say that our results yield a conceptually new interpretation of supersymmetry. In high–energy physics, the physical bosons and fermions are represented by commuting and anticommuting variables, see Ref. \([28]\). This is also so in the interacting boson–fermion model \([29]\) of nuclear physics. In chaotic and disordered systems \([14]\), the commuting and anticommuting variables serve to considerably reduce the numbers of the degrees of freedom, they are not seen as physical particles. Here, we showed that the radial coordinates on certain superspaces can be viewed as the positions of interacting particles.
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