Low Mass Stars or Intermediate Mass Stars? The Stellar Origin of Presolar Oxide Grains Revealed by Their Isotopic Composition
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Among presolar grains, oxide ones are made of oxygen, aluminum, and a small fraction of magnesium, produced by the $^{26}\text{Al}$ decay. The largest part of presolar oxide grains belong to the so-called group 1 and 2, which have been suggested to form in Red Giant Branch (RGB) and Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) stars, respectively. However, standard stellar nucleosynthesis models cannot account for the $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$, $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$, and $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}$ values recorded in those grains. Hence, for more than 20 years, the occurrence of mixing phenomena coupled with stellar nucleosynthesis have been suggested to account for this peculiar isotopic mix. Nowadays, models of massive AGB stars experiencing Hot Bottom Burning or low mass AGB stars where Cool Bottom Process, or another kind of extra-mixing, is at play, nicely fit the oxygen isotopic mix of group 2 oxide grains. The largest values of the $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}$ ratio seem somewhat more difficult to account for.
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INTRODUCTION

Dust is an alternative probe, with respect to direct astronomical observations, to explore stars and their nucleosynthesis. In particular, presolar grains are tiny refractory particles formed in the envelopes of evolved stars; they come to us as inclusions in pristine meteorites, once spread in the interstellar medium by stellar winds. Even if they were involved in the formation of the Solar System, presolar grains preserved the chemical and isotopic composition of the stellar environments where they condensed. They can therefore provide information about the nucleosynthesis of the progenitor stars with an accuracy often higher than direct stellar observations.

The evolutionary phase of the progenitor star is easily recognizable for some types of presolar grains. For example, this is the case of the MainStream SiC grains in which the presence of s-elements is the fingerprint of their origin in Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) stars. Instead, oxide grains do not carry any exotic trace hinting to the type of star in which they were formed. Being essentially made only of oxygen and aluminum, oxide grains are classified into four groups according to their oxygen isotopic compositions, as shown in Figure 1 (Nittler et al., 1997; Zinner, 2014).

- Grains with $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} \geq 3.82 \times 10^{-4}$ (solar value) and $10^{-3} \leq ^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} \leq 2.01 \times 10^{-3}$ (solar value) belong to group 1 and have oxygen isotopic ratios similar to those observed in Red Giant
Branch (RGB) stars (Harris and Lambert, 1984; Abia et al., 2012), and they have therefore been suggested as progenitors of those grains.

- Grains belonging to group 2 show $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O larger than the solar value and large $^{18}$O depletions ($^{18}$O/$^{17}$O ≤ 0.001). Such isotopic mix cannot be reproduced by standard stellar nucleosynthesis; for this reason, several authors have suggested that mixing mechanisms coupled with H-burning nucleosynthesis of low mass red giant stars can account for this grain composition. We will discuss this point in detail in this paper.

- Group 3 grains, with both $^{15}$O/$^{16}$O and $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O ratios smaller than solar ones, might come from low mass stars with lower-than-solar metallicity. The oxygen isotopic ratios of group 3 grains are supposed to reflect the evolution of the oxygen isotope abundances in the Galaxy. They have actually been used to obtain an estimate of the age of the Milky Way (Nittler, 2009).

- Group 4 grains show excesses of both $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O and $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O ratios, and they likely form in supernovae when $^{16}$O-rich material from the He/C zone can be admixed to material from oxygen-rich zones (Choi et al., 1998). However, up to now, only three oxide grains with evidence of SN origins (very rich in $^{18}$O) have been identified (Hynes and Gyngard, 2009). It has been suggested that such a paucity of SN grains is related to the fact that oxide grains from supernovae are smaller than those from red giant stars and, as such, are difficult to spot in meteorite samples (Zinner, 2014).

Notably, some oxide grains show traces of $^{26}$Mg, hinting at the initial presence of $^{26}$Al ($t_{1/2} = 7.16 \times 10^5$ years, see the right panel of Figure 1). This aspect is important to identify the grain stellar progenitor, but it is also puzzling. Indeed, excluding massive stars (the main contributors to galactic $^{26}$Al), grains with $^{26}$Al must have formed in AGB stars, as their hydrogen-burning shells are efficient $^{26}$Al producers. However, isotopic abundances of oxygen and aluminum in grains have values that can be found in the hydrogen burning shell when the temperature is higher than some $10^7$ K (e.g., to achieve $^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Al ratios ≥ $3 \times 10^{-3}$, the H-shell has to burn at $T \geq 4 \times 10^7$ K): how could material so rich in $^{26}$Al be present in the envelopes of evolved stars with mass smaller than 2 $M_\odot$?

The stellar structure of an AGB star is made of a CO unburning core surrounded by a He- and a H-rich shell. The latter burns radiatively below the convective envelope for most of the evolutionary phase, supplying energy to the star. The H-burning is periodically interrupted by convective episodes due to the activation of the He-burning shell, called thermal pulses. The abundances of CNO isotopes, and light nuclei in general, in the envelope of Red Giant Stars (namely the candidate progenitor of group 1 and 2 grains) are set by the first dredge-up (hereafter FDU). A second dredge-up (SDU) occurs in the very early AGB phase in objects with masses larger than $\sim 4 M_\odot$ (Boothroyd and Sackmann, 1999). Furthermore, at the exhaustion of each thermal pulse, the convective envelope penetrates down to the He-rich layers and enriches the stellar surface with the products of the He-burning and the s-process nucleosynthesis. This mixing episode is called third dredge-up.

---

1The convective mixing episode that affects stars at the beginning of the RGB and enriches the stellar surface with the product of core H-burning nucleosynthesis.

2The slow neutron capture nucleosynthesis process typical of AGB stars and responsible for the production of many elements heavier than Fe in the Galaxy.
carbon stars. However, the higher $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Ar}$ ratio is recordable in group 1 and group 2. A C/O ratio smaller than 1, together with $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ larger than the solar value, $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ much lower than the solar reference, and $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Ar}$ suitable to account for abundances recorded in group 2 oxide grains can be obtained in the envelopes of AGB stars in two possible scenarios: objects with initial mass smaller than 1.5–1.7 $M_\odot$ affected by cool bottom process (CBP) or more massive stars ($M > 4 M_\odot$) in which hot bottom burning (HBB) is at play.

CBP is a non-convective mixing phenomenon operated by conveyor belts, which, from the cool bottom of the convective envelope, drag material toward the inner region of the star and, once they reach the area near the hydrogen burning shell, they collect the fresh nucleosynthesis products and drag those ashes upwards, pouring them into the stellar envelope. It turns out that the surface stellar abundances are modified and assume values similar (if not equal) to those of the H-burning regions. CBP and similar mixing phenomena (also called extra-mixing or non-convective mixing), as well as their possible physical causes, have been investigated by several authors (e.g., Nollett et al., 2003; Eggleton et al., 2006; Palacios et al., 2006; Denissenkov et al., 2009; Busso et al., 2010; Palmerini et al., 2011a). In particular, Nollett et al. (2003), Busso et al. (2010) and Palmerini et al. (2011a) have shown that extra-mixing is able to reproduce the oxygen isotopic mix in group 1 and group 2 oxide grains, eventually preventing stars from becoming carbon stars. However, the higher $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Ar}$ ratios recorded in some group 2 grains (up to a few $10^{-5}$) can be accounted for by just a few extra-mixing models, such as that proposed by Palmerini et al. (2017). In this scenario, the advection into the envelope of magnetic bubbles, formed just above the H-burning shell, allows for effective enrichment of $^{26}\text{Al}$ due to a bottom-up mixing mechanism. This mixing is different from the classic CBP, because the relatively fast up-flow of the magnetic bubbles triggers a diffusive down flow of material (Trippella et al., 2016; Vescovi et al., 2020), hence there is not a real circulation nor the transported matter has time to experience further low temperature proton captures. As it is, instead, in the semianalytic CBP calculation model presented by Nollett et al., 2003, which can account for the highest $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Ar}$ values found in “extreme oxide grains” if the mass circulation reaches the most energetic layers of the H-burning shell; in this case, however, the CBP feedback on the stellar energy balance is not negligible (Palmerini et al., 2011a).

To reproduce the oxygen and aluminum isotopic ratios recorded in group 2 grains, an extra-mixing mechanism has to efficiently pature in $^{15}\text{O}$ the stellar envelope as well as efficiently enrich it in $^{26}\text{Al}$. The mixed material must therefore experience temperatures higher than 4–5 $10^7$ K. This fact rules out the thermohaline mixing (for details see e.g., Eggleton et al., 2008; Lagarde et al., 2012; Lattanzio et al., 2015) as being responsible for the changes in the envelope composition necessary to reproduce the composition of those grains. It is actually not deep enough because the average molecular weight inversion, which is due to the burning of the $^3\text{He}(^3\text{He},2p)^4\text{He}$ reaction and triggers this diffusive mixing, occurs in relatively superficial layers of the H-shell where the temperature never exceeds 3–3.5 $10^7$ K (Busso et al., 2010; Palmerini et al., 2011b).

An alternative explanation comes from HBB in more massive ($M > 4 M_\odot$) AGB stars (Iben, 1975; Boothroyd and Sackmann, 1992; D’Antona and Mazzitelli, 1996; Ventura and D’Antona, 2005). In this case, the temperature at the base of the convective envelope is high enough to allow the local burning of some proton capture reactions. As a matter of fact, HBB efficiently modifies the distribution of light isotopes, enhancing the abundances of some of them ($^{13}\text{C},^{14}\text{N},^{26}\text{Al}$) and efficiently destroying others ($^{12}\text{C},^{18}\text{O}$). In massive AGBs (in particular at metallicities lower than solar), two competing mechanisms are at work: TDU (which carries carbon to the surface) and HBB (which destroys it). Presolar SiC grains (formed in C-rich environments) may thus grow depending on which of the two above-mentioned mechanisms is dominating. Iliadis et al. (2008) suggested that, in the light of a new measurement of the $^{16}\text{O}(p,\gamma)^{17}\text{F}$ reaction rate, $^{16}\text{O}$ could not be destroyed, even partially, by red giant stars (also of intermediate mass), and group 2 oxide grains therefore have to form in low-mass AGB stars. The situation took a different turn when Lugaro et al. (2017) showed that massive AGB star models are able to reproduce the oxygen isotopic composition of group 2 grains if the $^{17}\text{O}(p,\alpha)^{14}\text{N}$ reaction rate measured by Bruno et al. (2016) is used. However, in this case, grains with $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Ar} > 0.06$ cannot be reproduced by those models. In this paper, we review the possibility of reproducing the isotopic abundances of oxygen and aluminum recorded in group 2 grains with low and intermediate mass AGB models by adopting the $^{17}\text{O}+p$ reaction rates proposed by different authors.

To provide the reader with a better comparison of the discussed mixing models, we report in Figure 2 a scheme of the three mentioned mechanisms: the conveyor belt CBP, the magnetic induce extra-mixing, and the HBB. Before analyzing in detail their effects on the oxygen and aluminum isotopic mix in the envelopes of AGB stars, in the next section, we discuss how reaction rates affect the oxygen isotope nucleosynthesis during H-burning.

### Sensitivity of $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ Ratio to the H-Burning Temperature and the Nuclear Reaction Rates

The nucleosynthesis of $^{17}\text{O}$ and $^{26}\text{Al}$ is so sensitive to the temperature that their abundances can be used as a thermometer for the H-burning of the stellar layers where they form. In the case of $^{26}\text{Al}$, the higher the temperature the larger is the abundance. This is valid also for the $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}$ isotopic ratio, being the proton capture on $^{27}\text{Al}$ less efficient than that on $^{26}\text{Al}$. On the other side, the situation is a bit more complicate for $^{17}\text{O}$. Indeed, the $^{18}\text{O}$ is efficiently consumed by the...
The $^{16}$O($p$,γ)$^{17}$F reaction only in the inner and hotter layers of the H-burning shell, while the $^{17}$O+p reaction rate has not a linear or monotonic dependence with temperature. It turns out that in the temperature range typical of H-burning in red giants, the equilibrium value of the $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O as a function of the temperature has the trend shown in Figure 3. Such a value is uniquely determined by the proton capture reactions. From this competition, the temporal evolution of the $^{17}$O abundance is described by the equation

$$\frac{dY_{^{17}O}}{dt} = Y_{^{16}O}Y(p)\langle\sigma v\rangle_{^{16}O(p)} - Y_{^{17}O}Y(p)\langle\sigma v\rangle_{^{17}O(p)} + \langle\sigma v\rangle_{^{17}O(p)}\right)$$

(1)

and then in equilibrium condition

$$\frac{Y_{^{17}O}}{Y_{^{16}O}} = \frac{\langle\sigma v\rangle_{^{16}O(p)}}{\langle\sigma v\rangle_{^{17}O(p)} + \langle\sigma v\rangle_{^{17}O(p)}}$$

(2)

From Eq. 2, it is clear that if the reaction rates are well known, the isotopic ratio $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O can reveal the temperature of the stellar envelope where the nucleosynthesis took place as well as the maximum temperature experienced by the materials reversed into the stellar envelope by convective or non-convective mixing phenomena. In this way, the $^{17}$O abundance can be a valuable probe to investigate stars and their interiors. For example, Abia et al. (2012) have suggested an estimation of the stellar mass of α-Boo and α-Tau, with a precision of 1/10 of the solar mass, on the basis of the $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O surface abundances observed for the two red giants. However, this scenario is affected by a very strong criticality: each new measurement of a nuclear reaction rate might
lead to significant changes in the nucleosynthesis predictions and their agreement, or disagreement, with the observational constraints. This is exactly the case of the puzzling isotopic composition of the presolar oxide grains, which has been gradually understood over the years as new measures have allowed us to increase the accuracy of nuclear physics inputs in stellar nucleosynthesis models. An extended discussion on the state of the art of measurements and uncertainty estimations on the $^{17}\text{O} + p$ reaction rate is presented in review paper by Sergi et al. (2020).

As mentioned in the previous section, the envelope abundances of oxygen isotopes of red giant stars are set by the FDU (or in a few cases the SDU) and they will remain unchanged until CBP or HBB takes place. The $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ ratio with which a star approaches the AGB phase is thus determined by the H-burning temperature in its interior and the stellar mass. Firstly, Boothroyd et al. (1994) and Wasserburg et al. (1995) pointed out that just the temperature in its interior and the stellar mass. Firstly, Boothroyd et al. (1994) and Wasserburg et al. (1995) pointed out that just the narrow range of $10^{-3} \leq ^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} \leq 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$ was accessible to stars with mass smaller than $2\text{M}_\odot$ while more massive objects (up to $7\text{M}_\odot$) were suggested to be the progenitor of grains showing larger values of $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$. In any case, CBP (in low mass stars) and HBB (in more massive ones) were needed to reduce the $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ isotopic ratio from values around 0.0015 (the average value set by FDU and SDU) down to a few $10^{-4}$, as recorded in the first presolar grains analyzed. Moreover, in the $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ vs. $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ plane, a forbidden region was found at $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} < 0.0005$ and $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} < 0.0015$. This portion of the plane, even if occupied by several oxide grains, was not accessible by HBB nor CBP models.

A few years later, Nollett et al. (2003), in examining the effects of CBP on the C, N, O, and Al isotopic ratios in AGB star nucleosynthesis, demonstrated that oxide grain isotopic ratios could be accounted for by the conveyor belt mixing model. This is so because $^{17}\text{O}$ can produce or destroyed according to the mixing depth, the $^{16}\text{O}$ depleted, and the $^{26}\text{Al}$ efficiently synthesized. However, the region accessible to low-mass star nucleosynthesis remained limited to $5 \times 10^{-4} \leq ^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} \leq 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$.

The situation was improved by a new measurement of the bottleneck reaction of the CNO cycle: the $^{14}\text{N}(p,p')^{15}\text{O}$. Indeed, the reaction rate was found to be the 50% smaller and this requires the CNO burning to take place at higher densities than a few $10^{-4}$, as recorded in the first presolar grains analyzed. Moreover, in the $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ vs. $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ plane, a forbidden region was found at $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} < 0.0005$ and $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} < 0.0015$. This portion of the plane, even if occupied by several oxide grains, was not accessible by HBB nor CBP models.

As mentioned in the introduction and shown by Palmerini et al. (2017), the sole low mass AGB nucleosynthesis model able to simultaneously reproduce the $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$, the $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ and the $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}$ ratios measured in group 2 oxide grains is the one based on the theory by Nucci and Busso (2014). In this scenario the natural expansion of magnetic structures from above the H-burning shell induces a bottom-up mixing that reverses in the stellar envelope materials relatively hot and rich enough in $^{26}\text{Al}$ to reproduce also the highest value of the Al isotopic ratio recorded in group 2 oxide grains. In the same year, Lugaro et al. (2017) suggested that group 2 oxide grains condense in the envelope of more massive AGB stars (4.5–6 $\text{M}_\odot$) of solar metallicity. Their HBB models well reproduce the oxygen isotopic mix shown by those grains and also the $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}$ isotopic ratio when smaller than 0.06. This paper has brought attention back to the hypothesis that oxide grains might have formed in intermediate mass stars due to the presence of HBB: a scenario that had been cast aside in the last years.

Beside the stellar models, the papers by Palmerini et al. (2017) and Lugaro et al. (2017) differ for the nuclear physics inputs employed: the first study mainly uses reaction rates (for proton captures on oxygen isotopes) measured with the Trojan Horse Method by the AsFiN collaboration (Tribble et al., 2014, set A in Table 1), while the second uses reaction rates directly measured
MAGNETIC INDUCED MIXING IN LOW MASS AGB STARS

The occurrence of mixing mechanisms during the AGB phase is one of the most critical issues in the stellar modeling; they have been invoked to explain both the superficial anomalies of light element/isotope abundances and the formation of a reservoir of $^{13}$C in the He-rich layers below the H-burning shell, which provides the necessary neutrons for the $s$-process in low mass AGBs ($M \leq 3 M_\odot$). Single and coupled effects of stellar rotation, magnetic fields, inversion of the average molecular weight, gravity waves, overshooting, and many other phenomena have been extensively studied over the decades to explain the peculiarities observed in the nucleosynthesis of red giant stars (for an extended discussion see the reviews by Lattanzio, 2019; El Eid, 2016; Nomoto et al., 2013; Herwig, 2005, and references therein).

We concentrate on the mixing induced by stellar magnetic fields that, as demonstrated in Nucci and Busso (2014), might trigger the formation and the expansion of bubbles of magnetized materials in the radiative regions of low mass red giant stars. Trippella et al. (2016) have shown that such a mechanism can induce the formation of a $^{13}$C-pocket suitable to address the observational constraints to $s$-process nucleosynthesis in low mass AGB stars, but our interest in the magnetic induced mixing is due to the possibility of reproducing the isotopic composition recorded in both SiC and oxide presolar grains of AGB origin (Palmerini et al., 2017, Palmerini et al., 2018).

According to Nucci and Busso (2014) the velocity $v(r)$ by which the bubbles of magnetized material expand from above the H-burning shell of AGB stars to the base of the convective envelope is described by the following equation:

$$v(r) = v(r_0) \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{k+1},$$

where $v(r_0)$ is the velocity at the base of the convective envelope, $r$ is the radius, and $k$ is a constant that depends on the magnetic field strength and the radius of the bubble. The bubble expansion is modeled by the LUNA collaboration (Broggini et al., 2018, set B in Table 1).

### Table 1: The 2 sets of reaction rates employed in our nucleosynthesis calculations.

| Reaction | Set A     | Set B   |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| $^{16}$O($p,\gamma)^{17}$F | Iliadis et al. (2010) | Iliadis et al. (2010) |
| $^{17}$O($p,\alpha)^{14}$N | Sergi et al. (2015) | Bruno et al. (2016) |
| $^{17}$O($p,\gamma)^{18}$F | Sergi et al. (2015) | Di Leva et al. (2014) |
| $^{18}$O($p,\alpha)^{15}$N | La Cognata et al. (2010) | Iliadis et al. (2010) |
| $^{18}$O($p,\gamma)^{19}$F | Iliadis et al. (2010) | Di Leva et al. (2014) |
| $^{25}$Mg($p,\gamma)^{26}$Al | Straniero et al. (2013) | Straniero et al. (2013) |
| $^{26}$Al($p,\gamma)^{27}$Si | Iliadis et al. (2010) | Iliadis et al. (2010) |
where $r$ is the position along the stellar radius, $k$ is the index of the power law $\rho \propto r^k$, which deals with the density distributions of the stellar plasma in the region of our interest, and $r_k$ and $v(r_k)$ are the mixing starting depth and starting velocity, respectively. In AGBs with $M \leq 2 M_\odot$ when the H-shell is active, $k$ is consistently equal to -3 for most of the radiative region, from the base of the envelope to the stellar interior layers, and it suddenly drops to very small values, $\sim -10$, in close proximity to the H-shell layers that are burning and producing the most of the energy. From this point and beyond the analytical solutions of the MHD equations found by Nucci and Busso (2014) are not held. The deepest layer in the radiative region from which $k$ keeps to be constant (and $\sim -3$ in our case) can thus be chosen as the deepest layer from which the magnetic mixing starts, namely, where magnetic bubbles start their expansion (see Figure 1B in Palmerini et al., 2017, and the text for more details). Moreover, the quoted authors assume that the bubble will cross the border between the radiative region and the convective envelope with a velocity a bit smaller than the velocity of the deepest convective layer (e.g., $v(r_k) \sim 10^4$ cm s$^{-1}$), so that the bubbles are rapidly destroyed releasing the trapped mass. If it so $v(r_k)$ turns to be of the order of a few tenths cm s$^{-1}$. To complete the extra-mixing model, the mixing rate is estimated as discussed by Trippella et al. (2016), where

$$M = 4n_p^2 \nu(r_k) f_1 f_2,$$

being $\rho$, the density of the radiative layers just below the border of the convective envelope. The factors $f_1 \sim (0.01+0.02)$ and $f_1 \sim 0.01$ represent the fraction of the stellar surface covered by the magnetized bubbles and their filling factor, respectively. These values are assumed, following the hypothesis that (i) the fraction of stellar surface covered by the bubbles (which are portions of magnetic flux tubes) at the base of convective should be less than 1/100 Nucci and Busso (2014), and ii) the mass in flux tubes is concentrated in thin current sheets of about 1/100 of the tube section (Hirayama, 1992). As mentioned at the beginning of this section, this magnetic mixing scenario has been demonstrated to account for both the anomalies in light element abundances and the formation of the $^{13}$C-pocket responsible for the typical s-process nucleosynthesis in low mass AGB stars by adopting the same choices for the free parameters of the mixing model (as the reader can infer from Trippella et al., 2016; Palmerini et al., 2017, Palmerini et al., 2018). To be efficient enough, the mixing has to be triggered by a magnetic field of a few $10^5$ G. Such a value is in perfect agreement with the intensity of internal magnetic fields estimated with asteroseismology studies for many low mass red giants by the Kepler Observatory (Fuller et al., 2015).

The magnetic extra-mixing model, once applied to a 1.2 $M_\odot$ AGB star with solar metallicity, modifies the oxygen isotopic ratios in the stellar envelopes as reported in Figure 4. Panel A shows nucleosynthesis calculations run by Palmerini et al. (2017) with nuclear reaction rates from set A. The descending blue curves show the evolution of the oxygen isotopic mix due to magnetic buoyancy during the RGB and AGB phase, starting from the surface composition left by the FDU and adopting different values of $k (-3.5, -3.4, -3.3, -3.2, \text{and} -3.1)$. The smaller the value of $k$, the darker the curve. In the same way, panel B of Figure 4 shows the evolution of the surface abundance of $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O as a function of the $^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Al ratio for the same models. Oxide grains of group 1 (open blue circles) and group 2 (open red circles) are reported Figure 4 for comparison with model predictions. The various choices of $k$, for the reported models, correspond to various depth of the mixing starting layers and define a zone thick enough to host different abundances and temperatures. For this reason models with a smaller $k$ are more efficient in destroying $^{18}$O and enriching the stellar envelope in $^{26}$Al. The dependence of the final values of the $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O isotopic ratio to the adopted $k$ is instead a bit more complicated because the trend of the equilibrium value of this isotopic ratio as a function of the temperature is not linear (see Figure 3). From panel A of Figure 4, one can observe that magnetic mixing calculations for the 1.2 $M_\odot$ AGB well accounts for the majority of the grains, with small changes in the value of the parameter $k$. In the same way, panel B of the Figure shows that the 1.2 $M_\odot$ AGB model is also a valuable candidates to be progenitors of group 2 grains because the whole range of the $^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Al isotopic ratio recorded in the grains is covered by the lines dealing with the surface abundance evolution due to the magnetic mixing. In the figures we only report the models that better match the oxygen isotopic mix of the grains, but even if the agreement between predictions and observations is slightly worse for the oxygen isotopes, the 1.5 $M_\odot$ model in Palmerini et al. (2017) also results in being a possible candidate as a progenitor of at least group 2 oxide grains with $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O $> 0.001$.

HOT BOTTOM BURNING AND ALUMINA DUST PRODUCTION IN INTERMEDIATE MASS STARS

The detection of an Al$_2$O$_3$ dust shell in several oxygen-rich AGB stars (through mid-infrared interferometric observations at VLT/MIDI, Karovicova et al., 2013), as long with the detection of a rotational line emission of AlO in the wind of a few stars of the same kind (De Beck et al., 2017), proves that O-rich AGB stars are the main source of alumina dust in the Galaxy, as suggested by several authors starting from Onaka et al. (1986). It is without doubt that more massive objects reverse a major amount of dust in the interstellar medium and that the mass of the Al$_2$O$_3$ grains produced increases with metallicity; intermediate-mass AGB stars, namely, those with a mass up to 7–8 $M_\odot$ and those with solar metallicity, or higher, affected by HBB, are reliable progenitors of presolar oxide grains (Ventura et al., 2020, and references therein). Moreover, the thesis of the production of alumina dust in AGB stars experiencing HBB is so
strong and shared that the aluminum abundance in grains has been suggested as an indicator of the mass and of the evolutionary stage of the stellar progenitor (Dell’Aglì et al., 2014). Even if several authors discuss the elemental abundances of Al and O in AGB dust, only a few papers in the literature show nucleosynthesis calculations with predictions for oxygen and aluminum isotopic abundances to be compared with the ratios recorded in presolar oxide grains and those of group 2 in particular. Such a lack of data might be due to our still partial knowledge of the physical processes at play and the related computational limitations strongly limits the predictive power of intermediate mass AGB models. The modeling of those stars is actually far from being completely understood and is dependent on many theoretical aspects:

- first, the mixing scheme adopted is extremely important. The use of instantaneous convective mixing (Iben 1973) leads to different (wrong) abundances with respect to the adoption of a time-dependent convective algorithm (Sackmann and Boothroyd, 1992).
- second, the presence of a strong mass-loss hampers the core mass growth, strongly limiting the increase of the HBB efficiency (Mazzitelli et al., 1999).
- last but not least, the resulting nucleosynthesis distribution of light isotopes depends on the degree of coupling between the different physical processes at work at the base of the envelope of massive AGB, particularly the one between mixing and burning (Ventura and D’Antona, 2005).

As already highlighted in the text, the delicate balance between TDU and HBB establishes the production of presolar oxide and SiC grains in massive AGBs, and Lugaro et al. (2017) show that AGB stellar models with mass between 4.5 and 6 M⊙ (and solar metallicity) and HBB at play might account for the oxygen isotopic ratios observed in group 2 oxide grains. Such agreement is possible thanks to the increased rate of 17O(p,α)14N reaction rate measured by Bruno et al. (2016), while data grains are not reproduced by the same nucleosynthesis models run with a different reaction rate, such as the one reported by Iliadis et al. (2010). The comparison of the model predictions with grain isotopic mix is shown by the red curves in Figure 4, where the darker the color the more massive the star is. The red solid lines deal with the evolution in the stellar envelopes of the 16O/18O isotopic ratio as a function of the 17O/16O and the 26Al/27Al (in panel A and B, respectively). The stellar models are supposed to approach the AGB phase with 17O/16O ≥ 0.0023, 18O/16O ≤ 0.0014 (see the corner points of the curves in panel A) and 26Al/27Al ≥ 0.005; beyond these values, the HBB is at play. A careful inspection of Figure 4 might lead to the conclusion that intermediate mass models are not compatible to the oxygen ratios measured in oxide grains because the solid curves are too rich in 17O/16O to match the grains area for most of the grains in panel A. Moreover, the models reach a range of 26Al/27Al values of the grains when the 18O/16O is two or three orders of magnitude smaller than the observed ones (panel B). However, Lugaro et al. (2017) highlighted the possibility that material coming from ancient AGB stars may have been diluted with solar-system material, as illustrated by the dashed lines in Figure 4 that nicely cross the plot areas occupied by grains. If real, such a dilution should be applied to isotopes that are mainly destroyed by HBB, such as 16O, but it should have a comparatively minor effect on those isotopes which are mainly produced in AGBs, as 17O and 26Al.

CONCLUSION

At the state of the art, low mass AGB stars with a bottom-up extra-mixing (as the magnetic induced one) are likely candidates to be progenitors of group 2 presolar oxide grains. This conclusion is based on the comparison between nucleosynthesis prediction and the isotopic mix recorded in the grains. On the other hand, intermediate mass AGB stars, where HBB is at play, are recognized to be the main sources of alumina dust in the galaxy, but the nucleosynthesis models of those stars are able to reproduce the O and Al isotopic abundances of the grain sample only, 1) assuming a dilution of the stellar wind of the progenitor star with solar-system material and 2) employing in calculations the nuclear data set B (and the 17O(p,α)14N reaction rate by Bruno et al., 2016 in particular). These are two factors that weaken the likelihood of this second hypothesis.

To definitively answer the question whether the progenitors of group 2 oxide grains are low mass AGB with a bottom-up mixing or intermediate mass AGB affected by HBB, a study of the sensitivity of those two scenarios to the nuclear physics inputs should be carried out. A possible way is recomputing the nucleosynthesis of both low mass and intermediate mass AGB stars with the two sets of nuclear reaction rates reported in Table 1. The results of this former analysis will be presented in a future paper (Palmerini et al., in preparation).
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