Assessment of reinforcement corrosion and concrete damage on bridges using non-destructive testing

Management of bridges in Croatia and their vulnerability to reinforcement corrosion is discussed in the paper. New maintenance approach, where visual inspections are combined with the non-destructive testing methods, is proposed and demonstrated on six representative bridges. Using this methodology, reinforcement corrosion on structural elements may be detected earlier and more precisely. Obtained results as well as correlations between different measured parameters are important input parameters for prediction of future degradation of structures and decision making for future maintenance activity.
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1. Introduction

The vast majority of infrastructure, e.g. bridges, buildings, tunnels, dams, is made of concrete. More than 90 % of bridges on Croatian state roads are made of reinforced or prestressed concrete [1] and similar distribution according to the construction materials applies to the bridges on other roads in Croatia, but also worldwide. According to the Croatian National Annex HRN EN 1990:2011/NA:2011 to the European standard HRN EN 1990:2011, design service life is 50 years for bridges of normal level of importance and/or standard size; and 100 years for bridges of high importance and/or large scale. With regular maintenance of the bridge, the structure should be above the minimum permissible level during that prescribed time. However, many concrete bridges are in poor condition as soon as after 20 to 30 years of service and cannot achieve designed service life without complex and expensive repairs due to the structural and material degradation [3]. The causes of bridge deterioration and shortening of its service life are: errors and negligence during design, poor construction quality, lack of maintenance, degradation mechanism caused by aggressive environment, increasing service load and hazards, and very often a combination of several of the aforementioned causes [4-15].

Corrosion of embedded reinforcement is the most prevalent form of degradation of reinforced concrete (RC) structures, especially bridges exposed to the sea and/or de-icing salts. Corrosion process in RC structures is recognized by brown patches of rust that emerge on the concrete surface and/or cracked concrete cover. Chloride-induced corrosion of steel in concrete decreases durability as well as load-bearing capacity and serviceability of the structure [16-24]. Moreover, under special circumstances it may lead to collapse of prestressed concrete structure caused by brittle fracture of corroded tendons [25]. Cracks and concrete damage caused by other mechanical and non-mechanical processes additionally accelerate corrosion processes and cause progressive deterioration of structures [6, 7].

Visual inspection is the most used method to evaluate bridge condition and a basis for maintenance plan, but reinforcement corrosion can be visually observed only in advanced stage. Hence, use of non-destructive testing as a supplement to visual inspection is investigated on six case studies in the framework of this research in order to achieve higher objectivity in bridge structure evaluation and to detect corrosion vulnerability before visible damage and/or to more precisely evaluate structure condition. Moreover, to achieve proactive maintenance of bridges, it is necessary to predict future structure condition using numerical models for service life prediction, where the results of non-destructive testing can be used for calibration and verification of models [26, 27].

2. Bridge management in Croatia

Five road authorities responsible for management, construction and maintenance of approximately 3500 bridges, including overpasses and underpasses on different type of roads in Croatia, are included in this research (Table 1) [26]. They all have an updated bridge inventory, but the bridge management systems (BMS) have been established only by Croatian Motorways and Croatian Roads [1, 26]. Bridge management system for Croatian Motorways and Croatian Roads both have an adequate algorithm to evaluate condition of each structural element based on the comprehensive data on detected damage. The algorithm of Croatian Motorways is stored in a computer system, while the algorithm of Croatian Roads is still on a manual basis [1, 26]. Condition assessment of structural elements of other road bridges is conducted by an inspector without standardized procedure for visual inspection and the results are less objective, especially for bridges on the roads of lower classes (county, local and un-classified roads) [1, 26].

Besides natural hazards (flood, landslide, earthquake, vehicle impact and boat collision) followed by non-periodical visual inspection; results of periodical visual inspection are basis for development of bridge maintenance program and making decisions on non-regular maintenance (repair, strengthening and reconstruction) [1]. Special visual inspection, complemented by testing on a structure and/or in a laboratory, are usually carried out after decision on required increased maintenance, in order to define scope and complexity of rehabilitation work [26].

Most of the road authorities develop a maintenance plan for only one year in advance based on the four-year programme for maintenance of public roads. Moreover, they do not provide the deterioration forecasting modelling and sufficient analyses on structure deterioration over time. Only exceptions from this practice are large and significant bridges and a preliminary analysis on bridges on national roads [28].

2.1. Vulnerability of bridges to chloride-induced reinforcement corrosion

Concrete bridges exposed to chlorides from de-icing salts and/or maritime environment are particularly vulnerable to chloride-induced reinforcement corrosion. Although Croatia has three types of climate: continental, snow forest and Mediterranean, temperature below freezing point and snow are possible on all Croatian roads, even at the southernmost and warmest regions like Dubrovnik.

Sodium chloride (NaCl) and calcium chloride (CaCl₂) with neither sand nor fine gravel are used as de-icing salts on the national roads and the motorways. There are two ways of spreading salts on roads: preventive and curative. Preventing salting is performed:
- on wet road pavement, when the air temperature suddenly drops to 0°C
- just before the start of a snow fall
- on dry or wet roads when freezing rain is expected or predicted.

Curative salting is performed when road adhesion is reduced, and dry or moistened salts can be used depending on the road...
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Salts, moistened by sodium chloride or calcium chloride solution, are used under the following conditions: frozen roadway, increased humidity in the air and low temperatures, freezing and thawing cycles, low mist and rain that falls on the undercooled road pavement, frozen sticking snow, wet pavement conditions indicating the possibility of icing and all the aforementioned factors in combination with a crosswind.

Seasonal de-icing salt consumption per unit of road network length on motorways and national roads in the last decade are shown on Figure 1 based on the analysis of data obtained from the road authorities. The average seasonal consumption of de-icing salts per unit length of national road for both traffic directions (two lanes) is 5.0 t/km. The average seasonal consumption of de-icing salts per unit length of each separate carriageway on motorway (two lanes) is 16.65 t/km for Croatian Motorways and 32.08 t/km for Rijeka - Zagreb Motorway. The 34.9-kilometre-long A6 motorway section Vrata - Vrbosko, with the most pronounced winter conditions, operated by Rijeka - Zagreb Motorway, has the average seasonal consumption of de-icing salts per unit length of each separate carriageway on motorway (two lanes) of even 62.12 t/km.
During the mild and moderate winter conditions, e.g., temperature below 0°C with fog and frost, salting of national roads is performed once a day, while on the motorways once or twice per day. The difference in the frequency of salting between national roads and motorways is more pronounced during the harsh winter conditions: salt is spread on national roads twice per day, while on motorways four to even eight times per day. Higher vehicles speeds on motorways contribute to a more intensive and wider spreading of airborne de-icing salt. Hence, it is not surprising that bridges and overpasses on motorways are more vulnerable to chloride-induced corrosion comparing to structures on roads of lower classes without intensive traffic [3]. Adriatic coast provides an extremely aggressive maritime environment to concrete structures, especially at the location of the large span reinforced concrete arch bridges: Krk Bridge, Pag Bridge and Maslenica Bridge due to the combination of the following phenomena [8, 14, 30]:
- relatively high salinity of the Adriatic Sea of 3.5-3.8 % of water mass
- strong Bora wind causing salt spray and depositing chlorides on all structural elements
- hot summers, with the maximum annual air temperature up to 37°C accelerating the chlorides penetration in concrete
- 10–15 cycles of freezing and thawing per year
- the average annual value of relative air humidity of 71 % favourable for steel corrosion in concrete.

Corrosion of steel reinforcement in concrete consists of two stages (Figure 2): initiation and propagation [21, 31, 32].

During the initiation phase, chlorides penetrate the concrete cover, which directly leads to a reduction of reinforcement cross section, and formation of corrosion products (rust). The volume of corrosion products is 2 to 7 times greater than the volume of reactants, which results in local concrete cracking and reduction of bond between concrete and rebar [14, 33]. Development of cracks in concrete and reduction of bond between concrete and reinforcement leads to concrete cover delamination. Reinforcement corrosion in concrete can be observed by visual inspection only in advanced stage [26]. Namely, before reinforcement depassivation there is no corrosion induced damage, while damage at the beginning of propagation, in forms of concrete micro-cracks, is hardly detected without special equipment. Also, visual inspection, as the main tool for bridge condition evaluation, is based on subjective decision of an inspector, which may vary a lot due to the different levels of knowledge and experience [1].

2.2. Impact of concrete damage on reinforcement corrosion

Undamaged, good quality concrete with sufficient concrete cover depth acts as a physical barrier which protects passive film of steel reinforcement from depassivation caused by reaching chloride threshold content in concrete at the reinforcement level. Furthermore, cracked concrete cover enables faster chloride penetration to the reinforcement level, which shortens the depassivation time, while in propagation phase allows enough amounts of oxygen on the anodic and cathodic parts of reinforcement, resulting in the higher corrosion rate. Influence of cracks on chloride penetration in concrete has been extensively investigated on specimens in laboratory conditions, where experimentally determined diffusivity of cracked concrete, with crack width varying from 0.2 mm to 0.4 mm, is 10 to 103 times higher than in un-cracked concrete of the same quality [34-37]. However, limited amount of research is available aiming at quantifying the influence of the concrete cracks, as well as other defects, on the reinforcement corrosion in existing structures in real environment, followed by numerical analysis [38, 39].

3. Bridge case studies

The proposed methodology of novel pro-active maintenance program, where visual inspection is combined with the non-destructive testing to measure material, structural and corrosion related performance indicators and to determine their interaction, is demonstrated on six concrete road bridges of different type, age, material and traffic demands (Table 2, Figure 3) [26, 27]. Maslenica Bridge on the motorway and Pag Bridge on the national road are exposed to maritime environment, while other bridges are located in continental climate. For each bridge, a preliminary visual inspection is performed to detect damage (cracks) on structural members, and thus identify the locations for NDT. Measuring locations are distributed among the bridge portions that can be accessed without special platforms, but in such a manner to include different structural members, i.e. columns, abutments, deviators, hangers and girders. Moreover, the locations are selected in a way to include...
Table 2. Basic data on case studies bridges [26, 27]

| Bridge          | Maslenica Bridge | Pag Bridge | Žeinci Bridge | Adriatic Bridge | Bridge of Youth | Homeland Bridge |
|-----------------|------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Open to traffic | 1997             | 1968       | 1913          | 1981           | 1974           | 2006           |
| Type of bridge  | Deck arch        | Deck arch  | Tied arch     | Continuous girder | Continuous girder | Extradosed prestressed girder |
| Main span [m]   | 200              | 193,20     | 24,50         | 63             | 66             | 120            |
| Length [m]      | 374,74           | 279,6      | 24,5          | 479            | 294            | 879            |
| Width [m]       | 20,40            | 9,0        | 6,0           | 36,8           | 36,5           | 34             |

Superstructure

| Bridge          | Maslenica Bridge | Pag Bridge | Žeinci Bridge | Adriatic Bridge | Bridge of Youth | Homeland Bridge |
|-----------------|------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Type of binders | Portland cement PC 45 with 30 % slag | Portland cement PC 350 | unknown | unknown | unknown | unknown |
| Year of last repair | 2017 | 1999 | - | - | 2010.* (*pristupni vijadukti) | 2012 |

Figure 3. Case studies: bridges layouts with specified measuring locations
different causes of concrete damage and cracks: shrinkage, settlement, thermal action, errors in design and detailing, overload, reinforcement corrosion etc.

3.1. Maslenica Bridge

Maslenica Bridge on the A1 motorway is located on the Adriatic coast crossing Novsko Ždrilo strait characterized by very harsh micro-climate. During the design of the Maslenica Bridge special attention was given to durability issues:
- application of a numerical model for service life prediction to optimize concrete mixture
- reduced number of structural joints
- increased thickness of concrete cover of 10 cm for arch foundation and 5 cm for other elements
- better detailing, in comparison to older RC bridges
- installation of permanent structural health monitoring systems.

However, after nine years of maritime condition exposure, signs of chloride-induced corrosion were detected on the bridge during the first visual inspection in 2006 [40, 41]. Damage caused by chloride-induced corrosion has propagated significantly in next few years [27, 42-44] and the bridge is repaired in 2018. Surface protection of structural elements involves corrosion inhibiting impregnation, structural repair mortar and protective coating, while at the most exposed and deteriorated elements high strength steel fibre reinforced concrete is applied and concrete cover depth is increased [27, 44]. The upper part of the pier P2 foundation (mark: MB1), exposed to the airborne chlorides, was not included in repair in 2018; hence, it is used as a measuring location in the framework of this research (Figure 3).

3.2. Pag Bridge

Pag Bridge provides fixed road connection between the island of Pag and Croatian mainland across Ljubačka Vrata sea strait. Overall concrete structure was completed in 1968 employing at that time innovative large-span RC arch cantilever construction method [45].

The bridge is set in extremely aggressive maritime environment with high winds frequently splashing and spraying concrete members with sea water and airborne salt [8]. Additionally, the bridge has sustained direct missile hits during the Homeland war in the 1990s. The arch was strengthened and protected by an additional reinforced mortar layer and coating in 1991, while the thorough reconstruction was carried out in 1999, when the concrete superstructure was completely removed and replaced with the steel structure, the spandrel columns were jacketed with concrete and steel, and the arch coating was renewed [46].

The latest inspection and testing of concrete members of the Pag Bridge was carried out in 2015 [47] and followed by repair design in 2017 [48], which included six different repair techniques depending on damage assessment of structural members. For the most affected structural members repair envisaged the complete replacement of concrete cover with steel fibre reinforced concrete C60/75 of increased depth as well as applying reinforcement protective coat and corrosion migration inhibitors with polymer-cement and polymer surface coating.

The measuring locations in the framework of this research are selected at the top surface of the arch, close to the arch abutment on the Pag island (mark: PB1 and PB2, Figure 3).

3.3. Bridge of Youth

Out of the three bridges in Zagreb chosen for this project, Bridge of Youth is the oldest one, built in 1974. Bridge is 1188 m long, divided in three dilatations. Main bridge (central dilatation) is a composite structure, while north and south viaducts (side dilatations) comprise prestressed ribbed superstructure [49]. During the last visual inspection it was determined that most of the bridge is structurally and functionally compromised [50]. Signs of reinforcement corrosion are present on all the structural elements as a result of the following factors: no waterproofing exists on the RC deck exposed to the de-icing salts; improper functioning of the bridge drainage system, especially inside steel jackets of the concrete columns; bridge components such as expansion joints and bearings are severely damaged; poor quality and insufficient thickness of concrete cover. The eastern part of the south approaching viaduct was repaired in 2010, while rehabilitation of the western half of the south viaduct is planned in the near future.

Measuring locations for this bridge include (Figure 3): bottom of the west rib girder on the south west approaching viaduct (marks: BY1, BY2) and north abutment wall of the north approaching viaducts (marks: BY3, BY4).

3.4. Adriatic Bridge

Adriatic bridge was built in 1981 and was the first contemporary prestressed concrete bridge in the Croatian capital. It consists of the main bridge (central dilatation) with seven spans and north and south approaching viaducts with one and four spans, respectively. Most of the superstructure comprises prefabricated girders 39 m long, a concrete deck and cross girders. The largest span above the river consists of 39 m long prefabricated girders and 12 m long pier cantilevers comprising box cross sections [49]. Various damage and deficiencies were noted during the last main inspection: threshold value of chlorides in concrete on the reinforcement level, concrete cracking and delamination due to reinforcement corrosion on main girders, columns, abutments; damaged or deteriorated bridge components. It was concluded that the bridge bearing capacity is reduced [51].

Measuring locations for this bridge include (Figure 3): column (mark: AB1), abutment wall (mark: AB2) and wing (mark: AB3), main prestressed concrete girder (mark: AB4-AB6) and bottom plate (mark: AB7).

3.5. Homeland bridge

Homeland bridge built in 2006 is the newest Sava river crossing in Zagreb. The superstructure is extradosed prestressed box girder.
Eight pairs of external tendons were used for each of the four deviators [49]. Certain problems were recorded during construction including concrete failing compressive test checks, cracks in the concrete after formwork removal, and additional prestressing of the box girder was required as a rehabilitation measure during construction. Additional repair work was done in 2012 due to the inadequate drainage solution around the cable stay anchors, which caused leak around the anchors inside the box girder [52]. New waterproofing and concrete layer for drainage slope was provided, but same problem persists even today. The conclusion of the last visual inspection is that the structure was overall in a good condition, except for some minor cracking in concrete around the anchor beams and cable stay deviators. However, no visible signs of reinforcement corrosion were detected. Measuring locations include elements where concrete cracking is detected: internal face of the north east deviator (mark: HB1) and two locations on anchor frame inside the box girder (mark: HB2, HB3).

3.6. Žeinci Bridge

Žeinci Bridge is an RC tied arch bridge built in 1913, by far the oldest among the structures considered. Set in continental climate, it carries county road with imposed limits on vehicle weight up to 8 tonnes and speed up to 10 km/h. The data on the bridge design, construction and maintenance is extremely limited, thus even the basic geometry is determined on site. The superstructure comprising of two RC longitudinal and seventeen cross girders joined by slab above is laterally suspended from two arch ribs by eight concrete hangers on each side. Superstructure underside reveals large portions of exposed corroded reinforcement. Hanger 3 (from the west) on the southern bridge edge was selected as the measuring location (mark: ŽB1).

4. Non-destructive testing on concrete bridges

It is important to detect reinforcement corrosion, but also other damage in concrete, at an early stage in order to develop optimal maintenance plan resulting in reduction of cost and complexity of rehabilitation work and better control of structure deterioration progression. Available non-destructive testing (NDT) for evaluation of concrete structure durability and mechanical parameters includes following methods: acoustic (mechanical: chain drag, impact echo; and ultrasonic: ultrasonic pulse velocity, acoustic emission), electro-magnetic (ground penetrating radar), electro-chemical (half-cell potential, electrical resistivity, polarization resistance, galvanostatic pulse method, electrochemical noise), thermal (infrared thermography) and digital imaging (3D optical evaluation, viewer camera remote, digital image correlation) [39, 53-58]. In contrast to the destructive testing methods, NDT methods can be applied several times during the structure service life on greater number of structural elements and on larger surfaces in order to detect the locations with defects, rank damage levels and quantify measured properties. However, each NDT technique depends on numerous parameters (e.g. concrete mixture, material inhomogeneity, water content, etc) and results of bridge condition assessment using NDT are susceptible to environment condition, human error influence and data interpretation [56, 59]. In order to achieve better objectivity of results, it is recommended to combine several NDT methods during the structure condition assessment, especially those that do not depend on the same parameters [59]. Damage and deficiencies of a structure, detected by NDT, can be additionally assessed by semi-destructive and destructive testing, especially if there is a need for increased maintenance to slow down and localize structural deterioration.

The first objective of this research is validation of the proposed methodology, where visual inspections are combined with the simple NDT methods in order to detect damage earlier and/or to assess structure condition more precisely in comparison with the cases when visual inspection is performed without NDT. After obtaining, analysing and interpreting NDT results, conclusions are compared with the results of the independently conducted additional tests, e.g. determination of chloride content in concrete and carbonatization depth, removal of concrete cover for visual inspection of reinforcement condition. The second goal is data collection from existing structures in real environmental conditions as input parameters for numerical models to predict further degradation and remaining service life of structures based on more relevant, directly measured data.

4.1. Selected NDT methods

In the framework of this research, NDT methods are selected based on their availability among bridge inspectors. Namely, cost effective and time efficient NDT methods can be more easily implemented as a standard component of the main visual inspection in order to assure more reliable bridge condition assessment. Moreover, measured parameters can be used for calibration of numerical models and simulations of structure deterioration during its remaining service life [15, 26, 27]. Depending on construction time and quality, executed concrete cover thickness can differ a lot from the design value required by the current codes [60]. Since construction quality, executed concrete cover thickness and concrete damage have significant impact on the structure durability [61], survey by cover meter, determination of concrete uniformity, detection and measurements of concrete cover for visual inspection of reinforcement condition. Additionally, conducted additional tests, e.g. determination of chloride content in concrete and carbonatization depth, removal of concrete cover for visual inspection of reinforcement condition. The following subchapters describe the suitable NDT methods.

Electro-chemical methods are the most suitable NDT methods to evaluate reinforcement corrosion in a concrete structure. Among them, measurements of half-cell potential and surface electrical resistivity of concrete are selected, since they provide evaluation of corrosion probability with acceptable accuracy (Table 3) [53, 62, 63]. Their results can be implemented in the numerical models for service life prediction and required devices are more affordable in engineering practice than devices for measurements of current density and polarization resistance [26].
The activities carried out on the bridges include: rebar detection, measurements of rebar diameter and concrete cover, crack detection, determination of crack geometry and crack cause identification, estimation of strength and dynamic elastic modulus of concrete, measurements of surface electrical resistivity and half-cell potential. The principles of ultrasound crack depth measurement, half-cell potential measurement and electrical resistivity Wenner probe measurement are illustrated in Figure 4.

Table 3. Probability of active corrosion [53, 62, 63]

| Corrosion activity                        | High     | Moderate | Low     | Negligible |
|-------------------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|------------|
| Half-cell potential [mV] (Cu/CuSO4, reference electrode) | < -350   | -200 to -350 | > -200  | -          |
| Electrical resistivity [kΩcm]             | < 10     | 10 to 50 | 50 to 100 | >100       |

4.1.1. Cover meter

Within this research, a cover meter is used during an on site bridge testing for two applications [64]:
- to determine the location of reinforcement as a preliminary test to some other form of testing in which reinforcement should be avoided or its nature taken into account (ultrasonic pulse velocity measurement and measurement of the electrical resistivity of concrete in this case)
- investigation of concrete members for which records are not available or need to be checked, including on site determination of concrete cover depth and bar sizes.

The instrument uses electromagnetic pulse induction technology; coils in the probe are periodically charged by current pulses, thus, generating a magnetic field and, as eddy currents are produced on the surface of any electrically conductive material in magnetic field, magnetic field in the opposite direction is induced, resulting in the change in voltage which is utilized for the measurement [65]. The used instrument has measuring accuracy that complies with BS 1881: Part 204 [64] and determination of the rebar diameter is limited to a maximum cover of about 63 mm. Measured values require correction if there are multiple reinforcement layers, overlapping areas or welded mesh. Determined values of rebar diameters are validated by reviewing the bridge design documentation, delamination survey findings and/or measurement of the diameter of exposed rebars in vicinity of the measuring location, while for some measuring locations testing with a ground penetrating radar is also conducted.

4.1.2. Optical microscope technique

Optical high-quality microscope is used for measuring crack width in concrete. Instrument is placed with the lighted scope centred on the crack and focusing of the concrete surface is done by turning the knob. An eyepiece scale is then turned until it aligns perpendicular to the crack which allows for the crack width to be read. Using a phone camera, a photograph of the crack can be taken through the eyepiece for more accurate reading value (Figure 5).
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4.1.3. Schmidt hammer

Schmidt hammer is used to assess the uniformity of concrete strength and determine the potential locations of lower quality [66-69]. The device measures the velocities of impact, \(v_0\), and of the rebound, \(v_R\), immediately before and after the impact, giving the basic parameter - rebound value, \(Q\):

\[
Q = 100 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{E_0}{E_0}} = 100 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{m \cdot v_0^2}{m \cdot v_R^2}} = \frac{v_R}{v_0}
\]

where \(m\) is the mass of hammer, \(E_0\) and \(E_R\) are kinetic energy of the needle impact just before and after the impact.

For the pier foundation of the Maslenica Bridge, a correlation function is found between the rebound values, \(Q\), and the laboratory tested compressive strength of four concrete core samples, taken from the same location, to calculate the characteristic strength of concrete, \(f_{ck}\) [N/mm²], considering the specific concrete mix and aggregate structure [66, 67]:

\[
f_{ck} = 0.000153Q^3 - 0.021193Q^2 + 1.739453Q + 0.000235
\]

where \(Q\) is the measured rebound value.

The lower 10th percentile curve, which means that 90 % of the samples lie above the curve and only 10 % below, is used for the other measuring locations, where defining the correlation function was not possible due to the insufficient number of laboratory-determined compressive strength of concrete samples taken from the same measuring location. For these cases, measurements are taken to qualitatively evaluate concrete uniformity and strength and to indicate possible areas of low quality.

4.1.4. Ultrasonic pulse velocity

An ultrasound instrument is used for determination of the homogeneity of concrete, presence of voids and cracks, quality of concrete, crack depth and Young module, by sending ultrasonic waves and measuring the velocity of sound propagation inside the material [70]. Due to the nature of the field testing, the position of the 54 kHz transducers is always put for indirect (surface) transmission. Indirect placement of the transducers, symmetrical to the crack axis, allows calculation of the crack depth, \(c_d\), assuming that the crack is perpendicular to element surface (Figure 4a) [70]:

\[
c_d = x \cdot \sqrt{\frac{4T_2^2 - T_1^2}{T_2^2 - T_1^2}}
\]

where \(x\) [mm] is a distance between the transducer and the crack axis, while \(T_1\) and \(T_2\) are the measured transit times across the crack for two different arrangements of the transducers (Figure 4a).

This is a recommended method for ultrasound crack measurements given in HRN EN 12504-4:2004 [71], and if the assumptions are met most of the results are within 15 % of the actual depths [72].

4.1.5. Half-cell potential

Open circuit potential measurement is one of the most widely used methods for rebar corrosion assessment on existing reinforced concrete structures (Figure 4b) [73]. The most commonly applied standard for this method, ASTM C876-09 [62], describes standard test method for corrosion potentials of uncoated reinforcing steel in concrete and evaluation of results (Table 3). The standard specifies that the method is limited to the uncoated reinforcing steel and by electrical circuitry, and highlights that the results obtained using this test method shall not be considered as a means for estimating the structural properties of the steel or of the reinforced concrete member. It is often necessary to use other data such as chloride contents, depth of carbonation, delamination survey findings, rate of corrosion results, and environmental exposure conditions, in addition to the corrosion potential measurements, to formulate conclusions concerning corrosion activity of embedded steel and its probable effect on the service life of a structure. The main influences on the half-cell potentials are: moisture, concrete cover thickness, electrical resistivity of the concrete, temperature and oxygen content at the reinforcement. When preparing the electrode, it is important to ensure:

- that the solution used to fill the electrode is saturated,
- that the electrode is filled as completely as possible with minimum of air in the compartment so that the solution is in contact with the wooden plug even when measuring in an upwards direction.

| Concrete condition                     | Half-cell potential [mV] |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                       | Minimum value | Maximum value |
| Water saturated concrete without O₂    | -1000         | -900          |
| Wet, chloride contaminated concrete    | -600          | -400          |
| Humid, chloride free concrete          | -200          | +100          |
| Humid, carbonated concrete             | -400          | +100          |
| Dry, carbonated/non-carbonated concrete| 0             | +200          |

Table 4. Typical orders of magnitude for the half-cell potential of steel in concrete measured by a Cu/CuSO₄ reference electrode [74]
It is recommended to mark out a grid on the surface. Coarser grids are recommended for the first estimate and finer grid for the suspect areas. The potential field measurement even with a coarse grid delivers good results for chloride-induced corrosion. Corrosion due to carbonation is typified by the development of smaller macro-elements and is only possible to determine by using a very fine grid if at all.

Typical orders of magnitude (for information only) for the half-cell potential of steel in concrete measured by a Cu/CuSO₄ reference electrode according to the RILEM TC 154-EMC [74] are given in Table 4, while the most commonly used criteria according to the ASTM C 876 are given in Table 3.

4.1.6. Electrical resistivity of concrete

Surface electrical resistivity of concrete is one of the key durability performance indicators for evaluation of reinforcement corrosion in concrete. It provides additional information on current material and structure condition, but also enables to predict remaining service life of structures [75-79]. The device used for the measurement is based on the Wenner probe technique with alpha configuration, where four electrodes are in contact with the concrete surface (Figure 4c). The small current \( I \) is passed between the two outer probes, while potential difference \( \Delta V \) between two inner probes is measured and the electrical resistivity \( \rho \) is obtained by:

\[
\rho = \frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot a \cdot \Delta V}{I}
\]

where \( a = 0.05 \text{ m} \) is the distance between the two probes. Most important factor for reliable measurement is a good connection between the concrete surface and the electrodes. Thus, before the measurements the concrete surface should be smooth and cleaned of any coatings and dirt. Finally, as electrical current is transferred through the ions in the pore liquid, surface has to be wet for the successful measurements [80, 81]. During the measurements, the Wenner probe is oriented diagonally to the rebar mesh and 6 to 12 measurements are provided in each field within the rebar mesh, depending on the size of the measurement location (higher number of individual tests in each field is provided if the total area of measurement location is smaller).

On-site resistivity measurements depend on many factors: concrete mixture, water content, porosity, chloride content, environment condition, temperature, etc. However, this simple NDT enables evaluation of current and future condition of reinforcement corrosion in initiation and propagation phase, because it is inversely proportional to chloride diffusivity and corrosion rate expressed as current density [75, 82]. Hence, in the framework of this research the aim is to qualitatively assess the range of values that can be obtained on existing structures.

4.1.7. Ground penetrating radar (GPR)

Ground penetrating radar (GPR) is used to determine the location of rebars at the abutment wall of the Bridge of Youth (measuring location BY3). The instrument measuring principle is stepped-frequency continuous-wave GPR. Area scan showed the 1st layer of rebars (Figure 6), while the wall depth and the 2nd layer of rebars are not detected. This is probably due to the wall depth greater than the instrument measuring range (70 cm). The detected rebar layout corresponds quite well to the results obtained by cover meter, however, the time for preparation, testing and reporting is significantly reduced as real-time time-slice view is available.

4.2. NDT methodology

Preparation for bridge testing starts by obtaining and reviewing the documents on design and maintenance available for each bridge, summarised in Section 3. During preliminary visual inspection locations for testing are defined. NDT starts with cleaning of concrete surface and identification of reinforcement grid, then concrete cover and rebar diameter are measured. Crack width is
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measured by a ruler (crack width rod) and optical microscope, while crack depth and dynamic elastic modulus is estimated by ultrasonic pulse velocity device. Crack pattern and concrete cover delamination for the location with significantly damaged concrete due to reinforcement corrosion are recorded based on visual inspection and by sounding it (tapping it) with a hammer. Concrete strength is determined by Schmidt hammer. At the end of testing, half-cell potential and concrete resistivity are measured after wetting the concrete surface.

On some measuring locations, half-cell potential and concrete resistivity were not measured because of the presence of a surface coating on the concrete. Connection to the reinforcement, required for the half-cell potential measurements, could not be achieved on all measuring locations due to restrictions imposed by bridge owners (if the concrete cover is relatively deep and structure is in good condition or recently repaired). However, these limitations are overcome by adding sufficient number of total measuring locations on six bridges to achieve the research objectives. Locations where a measurement could not be provided are marked with “/” sign in Table 5.

5. Results and discussion

Summarized test results carried out on 18 measuring locations in total are presented in Table 5. Most measured locations (AB1-7, HB2-3, BY1-4, MB1) include at least one crack and the surrounding uncracked area. At deviator of Homeland Bridge (HB1) and hanger of Žeinci Bridge (ŽB1) the concrete is evenly cracked, while on Pag Bridge (PB1-2) no crack is identified at the concrete surface. Causes of cracks are as follows: reinforcement corrosion (AB2-3, AB5, AB7, MB1), shrinkage (HB1), overloading (BY1-2), settlement (BY3), freezing-thawing process (ŽB1), local stress concentration due to prestressing cables (AB4, AB6) and cables anchoring (HB2-3). Due to the limitation of the paper length, measured values are presented in detail for three locations (MB1, BY4 and AB2). Surface plots of measured half-cell potential, electrical resistivity, rebound values and photo of the measuring location on the pier P2 foundation of the Maslenica Bridge (MB1) are shown in the Figure 7. Surface plots of half-cell potential and electrical resistivity are overviewed for all provided measurements in Figure 10.
| Measuring location | Concrete cover [mm] | Diameter [mm] | Concrete cover [mm] | Diameter [mm] | Coating | [kΩcm] | [mm] | Width [mm] | Depth [mm] | Lengt [mm] |
|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|---------|---------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| AB1 Adriatic Bridge Column S7 | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | Coating | / | / | 0.25 | 75; 126 | 2*300 mm column edge |
| AB2 Adriatic Bridge Abutment U4 wall | min | 34 | 18 | 52 | 28 | -434 | 6.3 | Q-value | 39.2-65.1 |
| mean | 56 | 27 | 52 | 28 | -126 | 39.1 | Q st. deviation | 3-9.7 |
| max | 43.21 | 23.75 | 52 | 28 | -291.51 | 16.54 | f (sklerometer) | 18-63 |
| std. dev. | 6.12 | - | 7.19 | 6.33 | f (drilled cores) | |
| AB3 Adriatic Bridge Abutment U4 wing | min | 32 | 11 | Location only | Location only | -390 | 20.3 | Q-value | 57-58.5 |
| mean | 40.75 | 13.50 | Location only | Location only | -250.17 | 30.91 | f (sklerometer) | 44-46 |
| max | 6.34 | - | Location only | Location only | 87.0 | 6.58 | f (drilled cores) | |
| std. dev. | 37 | 11 | Location only | Location only | 473.01 | f (drilled cores) | |
| AB4 Adriatic Bridge Girder N6 side | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | Zaštitni sloj | / | / | 0.15 | 105; 160 | 650 |
| mean | 37 | 11 | Location only | Location only | 156 | Q-value | 71.6 |
| max | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 1530 | Q st. deviation | 2.0 |
| mean | 64.90 | 14 | Location only | Location only | 973.1 | f (sklerometer) | 86.0 |
| std. dev. | 10.62 | - | Location only | Location only | 473.01 | f (drilled cores) | |
| AB5 Adriatic Bridge Girder N6 bottom | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | Zaštitni sloj | / | / | 0.078 | 131 | 300 (flange width) |
| mean | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 33 | 18 | -215 | 16.1 | Q-value | 70.7 |
| max | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 40 | 23 | -50 | 388 | Q st. deviation | 1.6 |
| mean | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 36.40 | 21.00 | -8.56 | 132.3 | f (sklerometer) | 82.5 |
| std. dev. | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 2.70 | 30.88 | 117.36 | f (drilled cores) | |
| AB6 Adriatic Bridge Girder N6 flange | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | No connection | / | / | 0.08 | 210; 203 | 280 |
| mean | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 548 | Q-value | 70.2 |
| max | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 760 | Q st. deviation | 3.7 |
| mean | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 662.5 | f (sklerometer) | 80.5 |
| std. dev. | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 473.01 | f (drilled cores) | |
| AB7 Adriatic Bridge Girder N6 bottom plate | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | No connection | / | / | 0.15 | 9; 102 | 110 |
| mean | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | -65 | 49.3 | Q-value | 56-79 |
| max | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | -18.66 | 61.4 | Q st. deviation | 2.2-4.8 |
| mean | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | -47.69 | 55.62 | f (sklerometer) | 72-94 |
| std. dev. | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 18.70 | 4.08 | f (drilled cores) | |
| HB1 (15 °C) Domovinski most Devijator S9 sa strane | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | No connection | / | / | 0.05 | 25 | 25 |
| mean | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | -70.25 | 53.92 | Q-value | 56-79 |
| max | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | -18 | 99.17 | Q st. deviation | 2.2-4.8 |
| mean | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | -44.67 | 75.18 | f (sklerometer) | 72-94 |
| std. dev. | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 15.96 | 12.39 | f (drilled cores) | |
| HB2 Homeland Bridge 25 anchor frame 1 | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | No connection | / | / | 0.2 | 133; 116 | 1320 |
| mean | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 46 | 20 | 40 | 22 | 172.5 | Q-value | |
| max | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 46 | 35 | 44 | 26 | 238 | Q st. deviation | |
| mean | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 51.40 | 27.80 | 41.50 | 24.00 | 207.35 | f (sklerometer) | |
| std. dev. | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 5.68 | 1.52 | 46.19 | f (drilled cores) | |
| HB3 Homeland Bridge 25 anchor frame 2 | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | No connection | / | / | 0.2 | 125; 32 | 1105 |
| mean | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 46 | 20 | 40 | 22 | 58.8 | Q-value | |
| max | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 46 | 35 | 44 | 26 | 80.8 | Q st. deviation | |
| mean | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 51.40 | 27.80 | 41.50 | 24.00 | 69.19 | f (sklerometer) | |
| std. dev. | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 5.68 | 1.52 | 7.38 | f (drilled cores) | |
| BY1 Bridge of Youth Girder bottom 1 | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | No connection | / | / | 0.45 | 46 | 400 |
| mean | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 20 | 19 | -400 | 6.9 | Q-value | 65.3-71.5 |
| max | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 40 | 28 | -211 | 77.5 | Q st. deviation | 3.2-4.3 |
| mean | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 30.00 | 23.50 | -308.65 | 34.04 | f (sklerometer) | 63.5-85.5 |
| std. dev. | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 14.14 | 46.56 | 16.92 | f (drilled cores) | |
| BY2 Bridge of Youth Girder bottom 2 | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | No connection | / | / | 0.55 | 142; 295 | 400 |
| mean | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 22 | 10 | 44 | 21 | -144 | 14.1 | Q-value | 72.5-73.8 |
| max | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 28 | 22 | 44 | 21 | -53 | 40.6 | Q st. deviation | 2.3-2.8 |
| mean | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 24.50 | 13.25 | 44.00 | 21.00 | -98.6 | 25.09 | f (sklerometer) | 90-95.5 |
| std. dev. | Location only | Location only | Location only | Location only | 2.65 | - | 31.73 | 9.18 | f (drilled cores) | |
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Table 5. Summarized results of provided NDT - continued

| Structural element | Measuring location | Concrete cover [mm] | Diameter [mm] | Concrete cover [mm] | Diameter [mm] | st. dev. | [ml] | [kΩcm] | Q-value | fck (drilled cores) | Compressive strength [MPa] | Schmidt hammer test | Width [mm] | Depth [mm] | Lenght [mm] | Cracks |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|---------|------|--------|---------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|--------|
| BY3 Bridge of Youth Abutment wall 1 | min | Location only | 40 | 20 | 16 | 2 | -492 | 12.3 | Q-value | 58.1-69.2 | fck (drilled cores) | 700 | 3 - 3.5 | / | / |
| max | Location only | 59 | 17 | -331 | 11.5 | Q st. deviation | 28.7-71.1 | / | / |
| mean | 58.33 | 16.33 | -439.7 | 46.03 | fck (schleimeter) | 49-76.5 | 33.59 | 25.49 | / | / |
| st. dev. | 0.58 | 5.58 | / | / | / | / |
| BY4 Bridge of Youth Abutment wall 2 | min | Location only | 40 | 18 | 17 | 1.3 | -549 | 14.2 | Q-value | 53.3-67.8 | / | / | / | / |
| max | 50 | 17 | 37.5 | 13 | -333 | 44.4 | Q st. deviation | 4.1-9 | / | / |
| mean | 44.86 | 20.36 | 41.73 | 14.00 | -441.44 | 68.13 | fck (schleimeter) | 39.5-72 | 48.88 | 40.78 | / | / |
| st. dev. | 3.65 | / | / | / | / | / | / |
| ŽB1 Žeinci Bridge Hanger 3 | min | Location only | 37 | 19 | 19 | 0.5 | -209 | 8.2 | Q-value | 66.5-67.4 | / | / | / | / |
| max | 40 | 30 | 40.78 | 3.01 | -135.47 | 23.07 | fck (schleimeter) | 68-70.5 | / | / |
| mean | 38.00 | 25.33 | / | / | / | / | / | / |
| st. dev. | 1.73 | / | / | / | / | / | / |
| MB1 Maslenica Bridge S2 Foundation | min | Location only | 40 | 19 | 19 | 1 | -657 | 2.10 | Q-value | 41.5-76.1 | 0.08 | / | 0.1 | / |
| max | 48 | 20 | 48.20 | 2.6-37 | -371 | 24.80 | Q st. deviation | 2.6-37 | 308 | 730 | / | / |
| mean | 44.14 | 19.43 | / | / | / | / | / | / |
| st. dev. | 3.01 | 0.51 | / | / | / | / | / | / |
| PB1 Pag Bridge Arch 1 | min | 40 mm of shotcrete strengthened by reinforcement mesh Ø 4.2 / 100x100 mm | 331 | 69.0 | 14-32.5 | 3.9 | / | / |
| max | 70 | 77.8 | Q st. deviation | 8.4-12 | / | / |
| mean | 252.39 | 41.2 | fck (schleimeter) | 14-32.5 | / | / |
| st. dev. | 52.46 | 24.72 | / | / |
| PB2 Pag Bridge Arch 2 | min | 40 mm of shotcrete strengthened by reinforcement mesh Ø 4.2 / 100x100 mm | 410 | 13.0 | 41.5-48.4 | 3.9 | / | / |
| max | 203 | 41.4 | Q st. deviation | 11.2-12.4 | / | / |
| mean | 295.89 | 14.2 | fck (schleimeter) | 20.5-28.5 | / | / |
| st. dev. | 46.28 | 10.03 | / | / |

Brown spots and/or concrete cover delamination, as obvious signs of reinforcement corrosion, are observed only on six measuring locations (AB3, AB5, BY1, ŽB1, PB1, PB2), where measured values of half-cell potential and electrical resistivity indicate moderate to high risk of reinforcement corrosion (Table 5, Figure 10). Measured values of electrical resistivity below 15 kΩcm and half-cell potential bellow -400 mV at four measuring locations (AB2, BY3, BY4, MB1) indicate high corrosion risk, despite the absence of visible signs of reinforcement corrosion (Table 5, Figure 10). These results confirm the statement that chloride-induced reinforcement corrosion based on low values of electrical resistivity and half-cell potential are found at some locations on the arch of the Pag Bridge (Figure 10, Table 5). Results of comprehensive investigation works on the bridge confirms this conclusion and comprehensive repair is planned in the near future. On the diagonally opposite side of Figure 10, the area with high values of electrical resistivity and half-cell potential indicates low or negligible risk of reinforcement corrosion, as was measured on the bottom of the girder on the Adriatic Bridge (ABS) and on the deviator of the Homeland Bridge (HB1). On the bottom of the girder on the Adriatic Bridge (ABS), measured electrical resistivity is relatively low (16–30 kΩcm) in cracked region where brown spots from reinforcement corrosion are presented (Table 5, Figure 10). However, the resistivity of sound (un-cracked) concrete 80 cm away is approximately 20 times higher (Table 5, Figure 10). Relatively high values of electrical resistivity in uncracked concrete is consequence of low moisture in concrete, since the coating layer was applied on the lower side of the concrete surface.
the surface. Although the brown spots from rust are visible on the cracked concrete surface, the values of half-cell potential are relatively high (0 to -200 mV). This leads to conclusion that there is a possibility of reinforcement corrosion which progresses slowly, and it is limited to the cracked area only. Due to the high values of half-cell potential reinforcement corrosion is mainly induced by carbonatization.

High values and low gradients of half-cell potential on the deviator of the Homeland Bridge (HB1), the youngest bridge among the case studies, indicate passive reinforcement condition (Table 5, Figure 10). Nevertheless, multiple high-density cracks, caused by plastic shrinkage, in which water is retained long after the rainfall reduce electrical resistivity of concrete. This enables higher corrosion rates in the future following reinforcement depassivation. Measurements HB1 (20°C) and HB1 (15°C) are provided in different weather conditions, confirming dependence of the electrical resistivity on the temperature (i.e. electrical resistance decreases with increasing temperature) (Table 5, Figure 10).

High values of half-cell potential (Table 5, Figure 10), measured on the hanger of the Žeinci Bridge (ŽB1) indicate low risk of reinforcement corrosion. However, due to long service life of the structure, slow progressive reinforcement corrosion caused by carbonation is possible, according to Table 4. According to the same table, values of half-cell potential indicate no presence of chlorides in the concrete. The facts that the structural element was covered by mortar layer until the NDT and that the Žeinci Bridge carries a road of lower importance, where significantly smaller amounts of salt are used, support this conclusion.

Most of the values measured on Bridge of Youth and Adriatic Bridge indicate moderate to high risk of corrosion according to the half-cell potential, while electrical resistivity indicates low to moderate risk of corrosion (Table 5, Figure 10). This is a consequence of concrete carbonation. Namely, due to the loss of the concentrated alkaline pore solution, carbonated concrete shows high resistivity [74]. Carbonation of concrete on the reinforcement level is confirmed by laboratory tests on samples taken from the measuring location of these two bridges [50, 51], while active corrosion is confirmed by visual inspection after spalling of concrete cover on the same measuring location.

It should be noted that moisture content in concrete can change the value of half-cell potential. However, the potential gradients and location of potential minima do not depend on the...
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change of the water amount in concrete [74]. Hence, it is useful to present half-cell potential map for measured location (Figures 7c, 8a, 9a). The most negative values of half-cell potential indicate anode, corroding site, while more positive values indicate cathode, passive area. The most negative half-cell potential measured on the Maslenica Bridge (Figure 7c, left), with values up to -657 mV, are marked with red and lilac colour; while the most positive half-cell potential, marked in blue, amounts up to -371 mV. The most negative half-cell potential measured on the north abutment of the Bridge of Youth (Figure 8a, above), with values up to -594 mV, are marked with yellow colour; while the most positive half-cell potential, marked in blue, amounts up to -333 mV. The

Figure 9. Measuring location on the southern U4 abutment wall of the Adriatic Bridge (AB2): a) surface plot of measured half-cell potential with 20mV intervals in legend (left) and using ASTM standard C876-91 for boundary values (right) and b) surface plot of measured electrical resistivity with 1 kΩcm intervals in legend (left) and using RILEM’s recommendations for boundary values (right)

Figure 10. Correlation between half-cell potential and electrical resistivity for all measuring location
most negative half-cell potential measured on the southern U4 abutment wall of the Adriatic Bridge (Figure 9a, left), with values up to -434 mV, are marked with red and lilac colour; while the most positive half-cell potential, marked in blue, amounts up to -126 mV. The biggest half-cell potential difference is measured on the Maslenica Bridge, and with the lowest measured electrical resistivity it can be concluded that among all measuring locations, the highest corrosion rate (current density) and the most progressive reinforcement corrosion appears on the pier P2 abutment of the Maslenica Bridge. On the Maslenica Bridge (Figure 7), area with the lowest half-cell potential coincides with the area with the lowest electrical resistivity (lower right part in Figure 7 c-d), which is typical for chloride-induced corrosion [74]. Partial overlapping of extreme values of the half-cell potential and the electrical resistivity is also observed on the abutment of the Bridge of Youth (Figure 8). However, on the abutment of the Adriatic Bridge, this phenomenon was not observed due to the influence of carbonation (Figure 9).

Values of half-cell potential and resistivity, measured on both abutments (BY4, AB2), indicate moderate risk of corrosion with lower corrosion rate comparing to the Maslenica Bridge. Causes of corrosion are chlorides, due to leakage of water with the de-icing salts through deteriorated expansion joint, and carbonation, whose influence is more pronounced on the Adriatic bridge.

One of the most important parameters related to reinforcement corrosion is concrete cover of sufficient quality and thickness. Measured concrete covers on analysed case studies (Table 5) are generally lower than the prescribed values according to the exposure classes in the current European Standard EN 206:2013 [83]. Measured concrete cover on abutments of Adriatic Bridge and Bridge of Youth (Table 5) vary from 30 to 59 mm, while on some parts of the abutment wings rebars are exposed either due to the lack of concrete cover or it being only a few millimetres thick. Insufficient thickness and non-uniformity in execution is also determined on main girders of those bridges, with mean values of concrete cover thickness ranging from 24.50 mm to 49.40 mm. Designed values for those older bridges vary from 40 to 50 mm. Younger bridges, e.g. Maslenica Bridge and Homeland Bridge, are designed according to the more recent codes with greater awareness of durability issues and higher designed values of concrete cover. However, concrete cover for the pier foundation of the Maslenica Bridge has designed value of 50 mm, while executed thickness varies from 40 to 48 mm. Smaller concrete cover presents higher reinforcement corrosion risks. However, due to the differences among case studies in terms of types of structures, used materials, environmental exposure, condition, damage, age etc., more exact correlation with half-cell potential and electrical resistivity could not be established. Similar observation applies to concrete strength: in general, it can be concluded that the higher concrete strength by itself does not guarantee smaller corrosion risk and longer durability of a structure.

5.1. Application of NDT results in service life prediction

In order to implement sustainable bridge management, it is necessary to determine future deterioration and remaining service life of structures using numerical models. Although numerical models for service life prediction related to chloride-induced reinforcement corrosion have been developed in recent four decades, there is still a huge space for improvement, such as [7, 29]: modelling the effect of damage (cracks) on transport and electrochemical processes in concrete; more accurate determination of the mutual dependence between corrosion, material, mechanical, structural and environmental parameters; modelling propagation phase of reinforcement corrosion including corrosion induced cracking of concrete; validation and improvement of existing models using data measured on existing bridges, etc.

Presented NDT results will be applied for the realistic simulation of processes before and after depassivation of reinforcement in concrete using the 3D chemo-hygro-thero-mechanical (CHTM) model [82, 84-90]. The coupled 3D CHTM is one of the most comprehensive models for service life prediction. It includes transport processes in cracked and un-cracked concrete, wetting and drying cycles, calculation of current density and electrical potential distribution, transportation of rust into cracks and pores in concrete, corrosion-induced cracking of concrete cover, etc.

The parameters measured by the NDT (width, length and depth of crack, concrete cover, rebar diameter, concrete resistivity and gradient of half-cell potential) can serve as input parameters for calibration and verification of numerical models to simulate structure deterioration during remaining service life [7]. Damage and cracks in concrete caused during construction and/or service life significantly accelerate reinforcement corrosion. Measured crack widths (0.05-3.5 mm) can significantly increase transport of chloride, water and oxygen in concrete comparing to the un-cracked concrete of the same quality.

Another time-varying parameter important for concrete durability is its electrical resistivity. Electrical resistivity depends on many parameters, e.g. porosity, water-to-cement ratio, aggregate, concrete curing, water and ions content in concrete, etc. Although several researches have been focused on determining influence of various parameters on electrical resistivity, development of numerical models of resistivity as a function of the most influencing parameters is still a challenging task. Also, electrical resistivity measurement technique is becoming a popular non-destructive method in the last two decades, due to its simplicity, rapidness, and cost during test conduction. Hence, measured electrical resistivity presents an important input parameter for service life prediction of a concrete structure. Although measured half-cell potential cannot be directly compared with the calculated values of electric potential in numerical model, great gradients and very negative values measured on real structures provide useful information for
anode and cathode configuration in the numerical models, whose surface and position should be assumed in advance due to computation issues.

6. Conclusion

An overview of bridge management in Croatia is given and causes of bridge deterioration are described. One of the main causes of premature deterioration of concrete bridges is reinforcement corrosion. Bridges exposed to the maritime environment or large amounts of de-icing salts during winter season, such as motorway bridges in the mountain regions, are especially vulnerable. Cracks and other damage in concrete additionally accelerate bridge deterioration.

The key activity in current bridge management is visual inspection. However, reinforcement corrosion can be detected by visual inspection only at the advanced stage, when structural repairs are required and chance for optimal maintenance of bridges is lost.

Hence, new approach of pro-active maintenance is proposed, where visual inspection is combined with the simple and efficient NDT methods. The proposed method is demonstrated on six representative case studies (Maslenica Bridge, Pag Bridge, Žeinci Bridge, Adriatic Bridge, Bridge of Youth and Homeland Bridge). Measurements performed on 18 locations in total are showing that all bridge elements, e.g. girders, deviators, hangers, abutments and piers, can be vulnerable to concrete cracking and reinforcement corrosion. Although each NDT has limitations in terms of measurement accuracy, use of NDTs increases the objectivity and precision of the visual inspection results and allows the detection of invisible defects, which is confirmed by the independent destructive testing in the presented case studies. On the other hand, if damage is already visible, NDT can more accurately determine the degree of deterioration.

Based on the conducted measurements, the highest corrosion rate and the fastest structure deterioration can be expected on the pier P2 foundation of Maslenica Bridge and on the arch of the Pag Bridge. Both bridges are exposed to the harsh maritime environment and active chloride-induced corrosion is confirmed on these structures. The youngest Homeland Bridge is in the best condition among the case studies; however, repair of the observed cracks is recommended to ensure the durability and reduce the risk of corrosion in the future. Older bridges (Žeinci Bridge, Bridge of Youth and Adriatic Bridge) have moderate risk of reinforcement corrosion: although active reinforcement corrosion is present on measured locations, corrosion rate is lower than on Adriatic bridges due to the influence of concrete carbonation.

For more accurate determination of reinforcement corrosion progress in the future, numerical model for service life prediction are required. In the frame of this project [91], the recently developed 3D chemo-hygro-thermo mechanical model will be used for realistic simulation of corrosion processes before and after steel depassivation. Data from bridge testing will be used for improvement and verification of the numerical models. Finally, future condition of bridges during the remaining service life, predicted by the numerical models, can serve as an important basis for optimal bridge maintenance.
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