INTRODUCTION

Even at low doses, the toxicity of phenols and phenolic compounds is well known and their existence as toxins in the atmosphere is progressively recorded (Christen et al., 2012; Luo et al., 2012; Zulkharnain et al., 2013; Affandi et al., 2014; Bay et al., 2014; Ghazali & Johari, 2015). Meanwhile, there are some physicochemical methods for the removal of these toxins, these include biodegradation, which is still being studied to date as an environmentally friendly process (Saravanan et al., 2008; Hasan & Jabeen, 2015).

Furthermore, the typical representatives of chlorinated nitroaromatics include chloronitrophenols, which are commonly used in the synthesis of dyes, pesticides, fungicides, medicines, colorants, and others (Fuentes et al., 2013; Arora et al., 2018; Kasana & Pandey, 2018). The most common CNP isomer that is used for the manufacture of the herbicide dicapthone and the fungicide nitrofungin is 2-chloro-4-nitrophenol (Ghosh et al., 2010; Arora & Jain, 2011; Pandey et al., 2011). This isomer has caused significant degradation to agricultural soils and water supplies due to its solubility and high mobility, which results in the extreme negative health effects on humans and animals. Therefore, the removal of 2-chloro-4-nitrophenol from the environment has recently aroused wide biodegradation concern, which had been presented as a potential environmentally friendly method as several degrading bacterium have been isolated (Ghosh et al., 2010; Arora & Jain, 2011; Pandey et al., 2011). Virtually all microorganisms are specifically affected by temperature due to their size. By regulating the internal biochemical pathways, temperature affects and influence microorganism physiology as an adaption tool to suit new environments. Therefore, temperature is a significant consideration which must be taken into account when studying the biodegradation of compounds. Furthermore, the Arrhenius model is a popular method in investigating the effects of temperature on bacterial growth rates. It is also used to quantify the apparent activation energy or $\Delta H^*$, for growth or degradation on substrates.

The values are normally falsely assumed to be constant, even though $\Delta H^*$ diverges either three or fourfold but conditional to the range of temperature being studied (Singh et al., 2008). Likewise, its applicability over the entire temperature of the bacterial process is
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constrained and may not be reliable (Reardon et al., 2000). However, this model is commonly used in the simulation of the temperature effect in a small range (Onysko et al., 2000). Furthermore, Arrhenius plot show a significant but an unknown breakpoint, which is a sudden shift of the activation energy (Angelova et al., 2008) when the growth rate is tested over a wide temperature.

In contrast to other models such as the Schulefield, Eyring and Urry, Sharpe and DeMichele, Arrhenius includes the least amount of parameters and therefore is generally accepted by most investigators (Onysko et al., 2000). Ratkowsky is another model competitor, however, its biological foundation tends to be insufficient and relies on the analytical study of the linear relationship between the temperature and the square root of the growth rate (Zwietering et al., 1991). Therefore, Arrhenius models are commonly used in explaining the effects of temperature on bacterial growth. The estimation of its parameter is derived from the linear regression of Arrhenius plot.

In this analysis, activation energies for the degradation of 2-chloro-4-nitrophenol by a bacterium demonstrated a breakpoint indicating that it has more than one possible value. Furthermore, the activation energy is not only fundamentally interesting, but it is very helpful in predicting the biodegradation and transport of phenols during bioremediation works.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Activation energy of growth on 2-chloro-4-nitrophenol. The data on the rate of biodegradation in Table 1 (Min et al., 2018) at various temperatures was processed using Webplotdigitizer 2.5 (Rohatgi, 2018). Furthermore, Arrhenius equation (Arrhenius, 1889) was utilized to model the effect of temperature on biodegradation rate as follows,

$$\mu = Ae^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}}$$

[1]

Where,

T (Kelvins or K = °C + 273.15) is the absolute temperature,  \(R\) (8.314 J mol\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\)) is the universal gas constant, \(E_a\) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) is the activation energy while the physical meaning of \(A\) relates to the rate constant of which all of the participating molecules acquires enough energy before the reaction (\(E_a = 0\)). The linearized form the equation is attained by plotting the normal logarithms of the growth rate against 1/T as follows;

$$\ln \mu = \ln A - \frac{E_a}{RT}$$

[2]

The analysis of the breakpoint, statistical evaluation of the resultant Arrhenius plot, and regression coefficients estimation were carried out using the two-part least-squares linear regression (Angelova et al., 2008; Gafar et al., 2019).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A Chevron-like graph was obtained when plotting \(\ln \mu_{\text{m}}\) against 1/T showing a discontinuous part for the whole temperature range studied (Fig. 1). The presence of an inflection point is an important observation found near the midrange at 27.75°C. At 20–25°C, the regression analysis result, which is summarized in Table 1 indicates that the growth on 2-chloro-4-nitrophenol exhibited an activation energy of 75.165 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) while at 30–40°C, it was 88.711 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). The overlapped 95% confidence interval between these two values indicate that there is no significant difference in terms of activation energy value. Furthermore, the apparent Arrhenius activation energy for growth on 2-chloro-4-nitrophenol for the temperature studied is within the range of the reported values in the literature for halogenated phenol and is much higher than several phenol-degrading bacteria, which ranged from 28.4 to 57.74 Kj.mol\(^{-1}\) (Table 2). It appears that more energy is needed to break the halogenated bonds. Moreover, increasing the temperature reduces the energy needed. This is probably the reason for the lower activation energies observed in the degradation of polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) by the thermophilic Bacillus sp. JF8, where two activation energies; 12.1 KJ mol\(^{-1}\) and 31.4 KJ mol\(^{-1}\) were reported for 50–70°C and 20–46°C, respectively (Mukerjee-Dhar et al., 2005). However, this study shows the breakpoint for growth on 2-chloro-4-nitrophenol for the first time.
The higher the activation energy, the more energy is required by the bacterium to metabolize phenolics. Based on Table 2, *Pseudomonas* species tend to be more energetic than other organisms in degrading phenol. However, this does not mean a better phenol degradation efficiency compared to other bacteria. As mentioned above, the activation energy, which ranges from 33.5 to 50.3 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) are far higher than those needed by mesophilic (Tchobanoglous & Schoeder, 1985).

Furthermore, the criticism of Arrhenius model is based on the observation that the activation energy, is generally believed to be a constant value when it is actually not, and it is dependent on the temperature selected as observed in the present study (Ratkowsky et al., 1982). Therefore, the model is observational, which is not easily understood as the complicated interacting biological processes occurs simultaneously in thousands at the same time. Strictly speaking, the activation energy value is not used in chemical reactions but is the measurement of the overall response of the microbe (Melin et al., 1997). Despite these challenges, the model is still popular among scientists worldwide.

The sudden transition or breaking point of the activation energy as temperature shift is an integral physiology of microorganisms and has been documented using different substrates. For instance, the biodegradation of dye (Angelova et al., 2008), Nitrosomonas cells nitrification rate (Benyahia & Polomarkaki, 2005), bacterial utilization of glucose (Kuhn et al., 1980), utilization of ethanol (Mutafov & Minkevich, 1986), biodegradation of EDTA (Minkevich et al., 2006), biomass yield (Chistyakova et al., 1983; Mutafov & Minkevich, 1986), and the thermal inactivation of microorganisms (Verrips & Kwast, 1977; Kuhn et al., 1980).

**Table 1.** The two-part linear regression analysis for the Arrhenius plot of phenol growth rate

| Distribution of the experimental points | Three points to the left, two points to the right |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Temperature range °C**               | Left part                                      |
|                                        |                                                |
| Regression equation                    | y=9.0452x-29.23                                |
| Coefficient of determination           | 0.970                                          |
| tan \( \theta \) ± Standard error       | 9.045±1.602                                    |
| \( E_a \) ± Standard error, kJ mol\(^{-1}\) | 75.165±13.312                                 |
| t-Statistic                            | 65535                                          |
| Degrees of freedom                     | 2                                              |
| **Temperature range °C**               | Right part                                     |
|                                        |                                                |
| Regression equation                    | y=-10.675x+40.75                               |
| Coefficient of determination           | 1.00                                           |
| Tan \( \theta \) ± Standard error       | -10.675±0.000                                  |
| \( E_a \) ± Standard error, kJ mol\(^{-1}\) | 88.711±0.000                                  |
| t-Statistic                            | 5.64                                           |
| Degrees of freedom                     | 1                                              |
| **Intersection coordinates, (x, y)**   | Break points data                              |
|                                        | (3.549,2.868)                                 |
| **Break point temperature °C**         | 27.75                                          |
Table 2. Arrhenius temperature characteristics for growth on phenol and phenolics

| Microorganisms | Temperature range (°C) | Substrate | ΔH\textsuperscript{a}apparent activation energy (KJ.mol\textsuperscript{-1}) | Ref |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----|
| activated sludge | 10–20                  | phenol    | 39.0                          | Benedek & Farkas, 1970 |
| Selanastrum capricornutum aerobic fluidized-bed reactors (FBRs) | 20–28                  | phenol    | 28.4                          | Reynolds et al., 1974 |
| TCP and TeCP | 126-194                  | PCP 59-130                  |       | Melin et al., 1998 |
| Pseudomonas putida Q5 | 10–25                  | phenol    | 61.6                          | Onysko et al., 2000 |
| Acclimated cultures | 15-30                  | nonylphenol | 42.7                          | Jahan et al., 2008 |
| Pseudomonas putida MTCC 1194 | 15-30                  | phenol    | 57.74                         | Bandyopadhyay et al., 1981 |
| Bacillus sp. JF8 | 20-70                  | polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) | 12.1 (20–46°C) | Mukerje-Dhar et al., 2005 |
| 31.4 (50–70°C) |       |         |       | |
| Pseudomonas sp. AQ5-04 | 15-45                  | phenol    | 38.92 (15–30°C) | Aisami et al., 2017 |
| 11.34 (35–45°C) |       |         |       | |
| Pseudomonas sp. Strain DrYJ17 | 15-45                  | acrylamide | 14.96 (10–20°C) | Gafar et al., 2019 |
| Cupriavidus sp. strain CNP-8 | 20-40                  | 2-chloro-4-nitrophenol | 75.16 (30-40°C) | This study |
| 88.71 (20-25°C) |       |         |       | |

What triggers the transformation is still unclear, however, two theories are proposed. The first is that a difference in the physical properties of water is induced during the transition at 15°C (Kuhn et al., 1980). The second is the theory of "bottle neck", which indicates a small rate reactions across a series of enzyme in succession. The fact that the Arrhenius breakpoint temperatures have been observed to be truly diverse seems not to support the first hypothesis (Angelova et al., 2008). Since each of the chained enzyme has special thermal properties, it is difficult to prove the "bottle-neck" theory. Moreover, the cell membrane varies depending on the surrounding temperature, and this need to be taken into account (Ceuterick et al., 1978). From the many hypothesis, the “bottle neck” continues to be prevalent among scholars (Mutafov and Minkevich, 1986; Angelova et al., 2008).

CONCLUSION

This is the first study to demonstrate that the required activation energy for the biodegradation of 2-chloro-4-nitrophenol by Cupriavidus sp. strain CNP-8 displays a broken profile with the two observed in the Arrhenius plot. This discontinuous activation energy covers the temperature range of 20 to 25 and 30 to 40°C with values that are not significantly different. However, the quantum are much higher than the typical energies observed in mesophilic microorganisms. Furthermore, the halogenated bond is postulated to hold much higher activation energy to be broken. Finally, further investigations are been carried out particularly on the use of parameters to determine the effects of temperature on growth kinetics.
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