Anti-cancer effects of polyphenol-rich sugarcane extract
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Abstract

Plant polyphenols have an array of health benefits primarily thought to be related to their high content of anti-oxidants. These are commonly undervalued and knowledge of their biological properties have grown exponentially in the last decade. Polyphenol-rich sugarcane extract (PRSE), a natural extract from sugar cane, is marketed as high in anti-oxidants and polyphenols, but its anti-cancer activity has not been reported previously. We show that, PRSE exerts anti-cancer properties on a range of cancer cells including human (LIM2045) and mouse (MC38, CT26) colon cancer cell lines; human lung cancer (A549), human ovarian cancer (SKOV-3), pro-monocytic human leukemia (U937) and to mouse melanoma (B16) cell lines; whereas no effects were noted on human breast (ZR-75-1) and human colon (HT29) cancer cell lines, as well as to human normal colon epithelial cell line (T4056). Anti-proliferative effects were shown to be mediated via alteration in cytokines, VEGF-1 and NF-kB expression.

Introduction

Sedentary lifestyle and poor diet have been linked to cancer incidence [1] with strong evidence for increased risk associated with consumption of alcohol and red or processed meat [2]. These diet and lifestyle factors are particularly prevalent in tumors of the breast and colon, with other factors such as UV exposure (melanoma), genetics, chronic infection (leukaemia) and cigarette smoking contributing to varying degrees in other tumour oncogenesis [3]. In contrast, there is a decreased risk of cancer in those with high quality diet, such as those who consume wholegrains and have a high intake of dietary fibre [4, 5], and those with active lifestyles [6] and lower stress levels [7]. As the burden of cancer continues to increase, novel, non-pharmacological adjuncts to traditional chemotherapy are required to continue to improve patient care. Approaches involving immunotherapy or immunomodulation are gaining attention in this field. Compounds that are able to modulate the inflammatory environment of
tumors are able to improve the outcomes in patients when used alongside standard treatments [8, 9].

Polyphenols have the ability to aid in the treatment and prevention of cardiovascular diseases, inflammation, diabetes, osteoporosis, cancer, neurodegenerative diseases etc [10]. A number of plant compounds are also associated with protective effects in cancer, including polyphenols. Polyphenols are structurally diverse plant metabolites that have at least one phenol group, and include syringic acid, caffeic acid, vanillin, chlorogenic acid, orientin etc [11]. Polyphenols may help protect the body against cancer by anti-proliferative, anti-angiogenic and anti-metastatic effects via cell apoptosis, anti-inflammatory or anti-oxidative mechanisms [12]. Polyphenols are found in plant-derived foods/beverages such as fruits, vegetables, beans, nuts, grains, cloves and other spices, soy, coffee, tea, red wine, cocoa powder and dark chocolate with major classes including flavonoids, lignans, phenolic acids, etc [13, 14]. It is also known that particular polyphenols are able to exert a strong immunomodulatory effect in a range of inflammatory conditions including cancer [15–17], however a number of sources of these compounds are not yet evaluated.

Sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum L.) contains a large amount of polyphenols with high anti-oxidant activity [18]. Sugar cane is of great economic importance for food production and processing, including that of food preservation, ethanol and sugar production including syrup, juices and molasses [19]. Sugarcane process streams have been previously demonstrated to be rich in polyphenols [19] and in general provide health benefits in reducing obesity, aiding in diabetes management, controlling blood glucose levels [20] and decreasing the glycemic index of high carbohydrate foods [21]. A patented polyphenol-rich sugarcane extract (PRSE) was recently shown to have high concentrations of polyphenols and anti-oxidant compounds, prevented glucose and fructose uptake by human epithelial colorectal cancer cells (Caco-2) and restored insulin secretion by dysfunctional pancreatic β-cells [22].

While these studies have identified a number of benefits to antioxidant sugarcane extracts, their effects on a number of cancer cell types is still unknown. Secondly, the mechanism underpinning these beneficial effects is still not fully evaluated. Therefore, in this study we identified the effects of PRSE on a number of cancer cell lines in vitro to identify any anti-cancer potential of the anti-oxidant supplement. The study was designed particularly to detect anti-proliferative and anti-inflammatory changes which may provide mechanisms for the anti-cancer effects of polyphenols.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

PRSE was prepared via the method described previously [22]. PRSE powder (rich in polyphenols, 200 mg/g as gallic acid equivalent) was provided by The Product Makers Pty Ltd (Cat no. 003684SD, Keysborough, VIC Australia) and ibuprofen (analytical standard >98% GC sodium salt powder) [α-Methyl-4-(isobutyl)phenylacetic acid, (±)-2-(4-Isobutylphenyl)propanoic acid] was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Australia (Cat no. 14883). PRSE is batch validated for purity and polyphenol content by the manufacturer. The production, properties and composition of the PRSE has been described in detail previously [23]. Briefly, sugarcane molasses is mixed with water and ethanol, before allowing a precipitate to form. This precipitate is the washed twice with water and once with ethanol, before being vacuum evaporated, freeze dried and powdered. Cancer cell lines were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI-1640) media supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Interpath Services Pty Ltd), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, VIC Australia) and 0.1% glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich, VIC Australia) and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂. All cell lines were passaged until 80–90%
confluency before use, and trypsin/EDTA was used for adherent cell lines (LIM2045, HT29, MC38, CT26, A549, SKOV-3, B16) to detach adherence to plastic flasks.

**Cell culture**

The HT29 (ATCC® HTB-38) and CT26 colon (ATCC® CRL-2638®), A549 lung (ATCC® CCL-185®), SKOV-3 ovarian (ATCC® HTB-77®), B16 melanoma (ATCC® CRL-6323®), ZR-75-1 breast (ATCC® CRL-1500®) and U937 leukemic (ATCC® CRL-1593.2®) cell lines used in this study were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC®). MC38 cells were acquired from Kerafast (Boston, MA, USA), where they are authenticated, characterised and certified as mycoplasma free. Secondarily, stored cultures are routinely tested for mycoplasma contamination by PCR.

**Cell proliferation assays**

MTT (3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide, a tetrazole) assay was used to measure the amount of proliferating cells as previously described [24]. Cancer cell lines were seeded in 96 well plates and PRSE added at varying concentrations (0–500 μg/ml) over 6 days, with media change containing PRSE on day 3. Cultures were grown in humidified incubator at 5% CO₂ and 37 °C. Cellular proliferation was assessed via spectrophotometry (Biorad microplate reader, 6.0) using wavelength 570 nm on days 3–6. From three to five independent experiments were performed in each cell line, each conducted in triplicate.

**Cytokine analysis**

Cells were cultured at an appropriate density in either media alone, media + 400 μg/ml PRSE or media + 100 μg/ml ibuprofen (control) [25]. Culture supernatants were sampled and stored at -80 °C for analysis using the 8-plex bioplex cytokine bead array kit (Bio-Rad, Melbourne VIC Australia). Cells were trypsinized, counted and 1x10⁵ cells from each culture lysed in 500 μl lysis buffer for NF-κB ELISA and stored at -80 °C. The remaining cells were fixed and labelled for intracellular cytokines using the BD Cytofix/Cytoperm kit. Antibodies to each target were used at pre-titrated 1:200–1:500 dilutions, alongside appropriate isotype controls. For human cell lines we used the following human antibodies from Biolegend (San Diego, CA, USA): IL-4-APC (Cat. 500812), IL-6-APC (Cat. 501112), IL-8-APC (Cat. 511410), IL-10-APC (Cat. 501410), IFN-γ-APC (Cat. 502512), TNF-α-APC (Cat. 502912) (all at 1:200), and a VEGF-1-AlexaFluor647 antibody from BioRad (Cat. ab206887) (1:500). For mouse antibodies we used IL-6-APC (1:100) (Cat. 504508), IL-10-APC (1:100) (Cat. 505010), IFN-γ-PE (1:200) (Cat. 505808), TGF-beta-BV421 (1:200) (Cat. 141408) and TNF-alpha-BV421 (1:500) (Cat. 506328) from Biolegend. Cells were analysed by flow cytometry using the BD FACSCanto II. Once all lysates were collected, NF-κB ELISA (Abcam, Melbourne VIC Australia) was performed using 1x10⁴ cells (50 μl lysate) per well as per manufacturer’s instructions. All supernatants were analyzed for cytokine secretion using the 8- or 9-plex bioplex cytokine bead array assay as per manufacturer’s instructions.

**Apoptosis assay**

The 6 adherent cancer cell lines that showed an anti-proliferative response to PRSE (A549, LIM2045, SKOV-3, B16, CT26 and MC38) were cultured in the presence of one of the following for 72 hours (h); (a) no treatment, (b) 400 μg/ml PRSE or (c) 100 μg/ml ibuprofen. Cells were then labelled with annexin-V and propidium iodide (PI) for viability according to manufacturer’s instructions and analyzed by flow cytometry.
**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with the GraphPad Prism software (V9.0.0, GraphPad, San Diego, CA, US). ANOVA was used to identify mean differences between groups, with a post-hoc Tukey’s test used to identify specific differences. Flow cytometry analysis was performed using the BD FACSDiva software (v8.01, BD Biosciences, NJ, US), with quartile gating used to identify changes in proportionate expression of markers in the population.

**Results and discussion**

In western society, cancer is the leading cause of death affecting 1 in 3 individuals and constitutes a major threat to public health. Amongst the many potential contributors to the complexity of the disease are genetic, environmental and behavioral factors. Physical inactivity and poor diet play a major role in cancer development [26–29]. Polyphenols, found in common dietary foods, have been shown to have anti-cancer properties and are powerful therapeutics against cancer. Polyphenols have an array of anti-cancer properties including inhibition of gene expression, angiogenesis, metastasis and reduction of cell proliferation [30]. The mechanism of action have been well established for some of the best studied polyphenols (i.e. resveratrol, kaempferol, quercetin), and their anti-proliferative effects is due to anti-VEGF-1-mediated anti-angiogenic properties [31]. Here, we show anti-proliferative effects on cancer cell lines in the presence of PRSE, rich in polyphenols, and elucidate some mechanistic attributes to their anti-proliferative properties.

**PRSE exerts anti-proliferative effects on cancer cell lines**

Polyphenols from an array of food sources such as honey, virgin argan oil, green tea, blackberries and pomegranate juice have been shown to have anti-proliferative, pro-apoptotic, anti-angiogenic, anti-oxidant effects to cancer cell lines [32–35]. Commercial sugar cane bagasse cultivated in Brazil containing high levels of phenolic compounds are cytotoxic to cancer cell lines and inhibits cell growth [36]. Isolation of phenolics from sugar cane bagasse showed that luteolin, p-coumaric acid and protocatechuic acid had anti-proliferative effects [37]. Culturing a number of mouse and human cancer cell lines in the presence of PRSE showed anti-proliferative activity.

**Colon cancer cell lines.** Anti-proliferative effects were not noted in T4056 (human normal colon epithelial cell line) at all PRSE doses tested. However, anti-proliferative effects in a dose-dependent manner were evident in the human colon cancer cell line LIM2045 and the 2 mouse colon cancer cell lines, MC38 and CT26. The sensitivity of each cell line varied, with complete inhibition of LIM2045 cells at 300 μg/ml and above (p<0.005) and partial inhibition at 100–200 μg/ml (p<0.05); CT26 at 150 μg/ml and above; MC38 at 300 μg/ml and above (Fig 1). PRSE had no anti-proliferative effect to the human colon cancer cell line HT29.

**Melanoma, lung cancer and ovarian cancer cell lines.** Weak anti-proliferative effects of PRSE were noted on lung cancer cell line A549 at doses >200 μg/ml (p<0.05) (Fig 1). In addition, there were dose-dependent anti-proliferative effects of PRSE in both murine melanoma B16 (complete inhibition at 400 μg/ml and above (p<0.05) and partial inhibition at 200 μg /ml (p<0.05) and human ovarian cancer cell line SKOV-3 (complete inhibition at 200 μg/ml and above; partial inhibition at 100 μg/ml). PRSE had no anti-proliferative effect on the human breast cancer cell line ZR-75-1.

**Pro-monocytic leukemia cell line.** Anti-proliferative effects of PRSE on human pro-monocytic leukemia cell line (U937) was noted at doses 200 μg/ml and above (Fig 1).
Visual anti-proliferative effects of PRSE

Cell lines that exhibited an anti-proliferative response to PRSE were cultured in the presence (+) or absence (-) of PRSE (25–500 µg/ml; for U937 cells) and (400 µg/ml; for A549, B16, LIM2045, SKOV-3, CT26, MC38 cells) for 24–72 hours and imaged. As U937 cells are non-adherent cells, they pelleted in the U-bottom wells prior to imaging, so pellet size is representative of cell number (Fig 2).
PRSE exerts anti-inflammatory properties on cancer cell lines

Cytokines are known to play pivotal roles in cancer initiation, progression and pathogenesis. These cytokines may be secreted by immune cells or by the cancer cells themselves. Changes to cytokines secreted by cancer cell lines were assessed in the presence and absence of PRSE. LIM2045, SKOV-3, MC38, CT26 and B16 cells were cultured for 3 days with or without PRSE (400 μg/ml) for 24–120 h. Cells were isolated and analysed by flow cytometry for the expression of intracellular TNF-α, VEGF-1, and lysates were prepared from the same samples for analysis of NF-κB expression by ELISA. Supernatants were also collected and analysed for cytokine secretion using the 8- or 9-plex bioplex cytokine bead array kit.

Fig 2. Cell imaging using light microscope, of cancer cell line in the absence (-) or presence of 400 μg/ml PRSE (+). Scale bars represent 100um, with images at 10x magnification.
PRSE decreases IL-4 cytokine secretion by human colon cancer cell line LIM2045 and IL-8 by lung cancer cell line A549

Cultured supernatants were used in the bioplex assay for the determination of secreted cytokines (IL-1, IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, TNF-α, IFN-γ). All cytokines were detected and secreted in the cultured supernatants of the human cancer cell lines, A549 (lung cancer), LIM2045 (colon cancer) and SKOV-3 (ovarian cancer), however, the only cytokines which showed significant differences between PRSE treated (yellow bars) and untreated (blue bars) were IL-4 and IL-8 (Fig 3). PRSE reduced IL-4 cytokine by LIM2045 and both PRSE and ibuprofen (red bars) reduced IL-8 cytokine by A549 cells (p<0.05) (Fig 3).

Although IL-4 is known as an anti-inflammatory cytokine and induces Th2 type immune responses, it has been shown to have paradoxical roles in cancer. IL-4 has been shown to possess anti-tumor activity by inhibiting cell growth and inducing apoptosis, whilst other studies have shown IL-4 to stimulate tumor cell growth and proliferation [38]. In fact, IL-4 has been shown to enhance proliferation of human pancreatic cancer cells via MAPK, Akt-1 and Stat-3 pathways [39]. IL-4 has also been shown to enhance proliferation of breast cancer cells and blocking IL-4 compromises breast cancer cell proliferation, invasion and growth. In thyroid cancer tissue, high levels of endogenous IL-4 are noted which contributes to cancer cell survival. Culturing human colon cancer cell line LIM2045 in the presence of PRSE decreased the secretion of IL-4, and may contribute to PRSE reducing LIM2045 cell proliferation.

IL-8 is a pro-inflammatory cytokine and involved in chemotaxis. Expression of IL-8 by cancer cells aids angiogenesis, increases proliferation and survival of cancer cells and promotes tumor

![Bioplex assay showing cytokine secretion (pg/ml) by LIM2045 (IL-4, p<0.05), A549 (IL-8, p<0.05) and CT26 (IFN-γ, p<0.05) in the presence of 400 μg/ml PRSE (yellow bars), ibuprofen (red bars) and untreated controls (blue bars). Flow cytometry (dot plots, lower right) of intracellular TNF-α expression by CT26 cells in the presence of 400 μg/ml PRSE or 100 μg/ml ibuprofen compared to untreated cells.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0247492.g003)
escape from immune cells [40]. In addition, expression of IL-8 by cancer cells is associated with poor prognosis in cancer patients. PRSE decreased the secretion of IL-8 by human lung cancer cell line, A549, suggesting that PRSE exerts anti-cancer effects via downregulation of IL-8.

PRSE increases IFN-γ secretion by mouse colon cancer cell line CT26

IFN-γ plays an important role in promoting innate and adaptive immune responses [41]. IFN-γ in cancer cells has been shown to be anti-proliferative and provide protection against tumor development. In mouse colon cancer cell line CT26 and mouse melanoma cell line B16, no significant changes of cytokines IL-1β, IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-10, GM-CSF and TNF-α were noted in the presence of PRSE or ibuprofen. However, there was a significant increase in IFN-γ production by the mouse colon cancer cell line CT26 in the presence of PRSE (increase from 264.5 pg/ml to 414 pg/ml; 36% increase; p<0.05), but not ibuprofen (Fig 3); IFN-γ was also increased in the presence of PRSE but not ibuprofen by B16 melanoma cells but this increase did not reach significance. Exogenous IFN-γ was previously shown to have strong anti-proliferative activity in 15 different cancer cell lines [42].

PRSE decreases intracellular TNF-α expression by mouse colon cancer cell line CT26

TNF-α plays dual functions in cancer cells, where in some cases it induces apoptosis and necrosis, and in others it promotes tumor growth. However, there is strong evidence that TNF-α is pro-tumorigenic, promoting progression and metastasis of cancer cells [43]. In fact, targeting transmembrane TNF-α with an anti-TNF-α monoclonal antibody, suppresses growth of breast cancer cells [43]. In our analysis of intracellular cytokine expression in cancer cell lines, only one positive sample was found, albeit weakly; TNF-α expression in mouse CT26 colon cancer cells which was reduced by PRSE. PRSE decreased intracellular expression of TNF-α by 70.8% although not all cells were positive for TNF-α (Fig 3). It is possible that one of the anti-proliferative mechanisms of PRSE may be due to the decreased TNF-α expression.

PRSE decreases expression of VEGF-1 on human colon cancer cell line LIM2045

Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF-1) is a signal protein expressed by cells that initiates angiogenesis (development of new blood vessels). Cancer cells express VEGF in order to help receive adequate blood supply to support their rapid growth. Cancer patients have overall reduced survival if their tumor is shown to overexpress VEGF-1 [44]. PRSE was shown to decrease VEGF-1 expression by 26.6% in the human colon cancer cell line, LIM2045 (Fig 4).

Fig 4. Flow cytometry analysis of VEGF-1 expression by cancer cell lines in the presence of 400 μg/ml PRSE.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0247492.g004
This has also been shown in other polyphenol rich food sources such as, extra virgin olive oil, red wine and green tea [45–47].

**PRSE decreases NF-κB expression in mouse colon (CT26) and human ovarian (SKOV-3) cancer cell lines**

NF-κB controls gene transcription, thereby regulating cytokine production and cell survival. It is involved in cancer development, and in many solid tumors, increased expression of NF-κB is noted. Activation of NF-κB is a result of an inflammatory microenvironment during cancer progression. We therefore determined the effects of PRSE on NF-κB expression by cancer cell lines (A549, B16, CT26, LIM2045, SKOV-3). Ibuprofen was used as a control, however, it did not prove to be a good positive control for decreased NF-κB expression in all cancer cell lines. However, at 400 μg/ml PRSE treatment there was considerable decrease in NF-κB expression in CT26 mouse colon cancer cell line and SKOV-3 human ovarian cancer cell line, and, to a lesser extent in B16 mouse melanoma cell line (Fig 5). There was no decrease in NF-κB expression in A549 human lung cancer or LIM2045 human colon cancer cell lines. Some other plant polyphenols have also been shown to decrease NF-κB expression such as those present in green tea [17].

**PRSE induces apoptosis in a proportion of cells within a cancer cell population**

Annexin-V is a calcium-dependent phospholipid-binding protein, which binds to phosphatidylserine (PS) exposed on apoptotic cells. Annexin-V stains cells early in apoptosis, whereas propidium iodide (PI) stains apoptotic cells at a much later-stage (cell death). We therefore determined whether PRSE induces apoptosis of cancer cells by determining Annexin-V / PI staining of cells in the presence of PRSE.

Human ovarian cancer cell line, SKOV-3. PRSE significantly increased the PI<sup>+</sup>Annexin-V<sup>-</sup> population from 7.6% to 20.4% (62.7% increase) compared to ibuprofen which increased from 7.5% to 11.3% (13.3% increase). Thus, in this cell line, PRSE increases the proportion of cells undergoing cell death. PRSE had no significant effect on the PI<sup>+</sup>Annexin-V<sup>+</sup> double positive...
population although ibuprofen increased this population from 5% to 10.4% (51.9% increase) (Fig 6).

Human colon cancer cell line LIM2045. PRSE increased the percentage of cells undergoing apoptosis from 0.3% to 2.2% (86% increase) and ibuprofen from 0.3% to 4.7% (94% increase) of the Annexin-V $^+$-PI $^+$ double positive cell population. With PRSE there appears to be a decrease in Annexin-V $^-$ PI $^+$ cell population between control and PRSE from 19.6% to 15.8%.

Mouse colon cancer cell line MC38. PRSE and ibuprofen behaved similarly increasing the Annexin-V $^+$ apoptotic cell population from 44.3% to 50.4% (12.1% increase) and 44.3% to 49.2% (10% increase) respectively; this resulted in the double positive PI $^+$Annexin-V $^+$

Fig 6. Flow cytometry analysis of Annexin-V vs propidium iodide staining of cancer cells in the presence of 400 μg/ml PRSE or 100 μg/ml ibuprofen.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0247492.g006
population to significantly decrease and the PI-Annexin-V+ population to decrease. Hence, PRSE has no effect on cell death, but induces apoptosis to a proportion of MC38 cells.

Mouse melanoma cell line, B16. PRSE significantly increased the PI-Annexin-V+ population from 11.8% to 24.2% (51.2% increase) compared to ibuprofen which increased from 11.8% to 27.6% (57.2% increase); demonstrating cell death to a proportion of cells. In addition, both PRSE and ibuprofen increased the PI-Annexin-V+ double positive population, 4.7% to 6.6% (28.8% increase) and 4.7% to 12.4% (62.1% increase) respectively.

There were no significant effects of PRSE on apoptosis of human lung cancer cell line A549 and mouse colon cancer cell line CT26. It is clear that PRSE causes apoptosis and/or cell death only to a proportion of cells within the cancer cell population, in particular to human ovarian cancer cell line SKOV-3, human and mouse colon cancer cell lines LIM2045 and MC38, and to mouse melanoma cell line (Fig 6).

Conclusions

In this study we demonstrate an anti-cancer effect of PRSE in a number of different cell lines. These are partially due to an anti-inflammatory through modulation of the IL-4, IL-8, IFN-γ and TNF-α cytokines in cancer cell lines, reducing expression of NF-κB and VEGF-1 as well as inducing cell death and/or apoptosis in a proportion of cancer cells. The data shown in our study will contribute towards understanding the efficacy and activity of nutraceuticals extracted from sugarcane, and further detailed mechanism studies, such as next generation sequencing and bioinformatics are warranted to understand the full spectrum of PRSE actions and pathways activated and downregulated in the presence of PRSE.
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