Microstructure, Corrosion, and Fatigue Properties of Alumina-Titania Nanostructured Coatings
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ABSTRACT

Air Plasma spray process was used to deposit a conventional and nanostructured Al₂O₃-13 wt% TiO₂ coatings on a stainless steel substrates. Morphology of the powder particles, microstructure and phase composition of the coatings were characterized by XRD and SEM. Potentiodynamic polarization tests and Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) were used to analyze the corrosion of the coated substrate in 3.5% NaCl solutions to determine the optimum conditions for corrosion protection. The fatigue strength and hardness of the coatings were investigated. The experimental data indicated that the nanostructured coated samples exhibited higher hardness and fatigue strength compared to the conventional coated samples. On the other hand, the conventional coatings showed a better localized corrosion resistance than the nanostructured coatings.
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1. Introduction

The plasma-sprayed Al₂O₃ coatings have been extensively used in many applications due to their thermal, chemical and mechanical stability. The Al₂O₃ phase is characterized by the highest chemical resistance among all oxides, good heat and electric insulations, high hardness and wear resistance, etc [1].

Plasma sprayed Al₂O₃–TiO₂ (AT-13) coating is one of the most important coatings for many industrial applications [1-6]. It provides a dense and hard surface coating which is resistant to abrasion, corrosion, cavitation, oxidation and erosion. AT-13 has been used for wear resistance, electrical insulation, thermal barrier applications etc. several researchers reported that the Al₂O₃–TiO₂ coating containing 13 wt.% of TiO₂ showed the most excellent wear resistance among the Al₂O₃–TiO₂ ones [2-6].

Nanostructured materials are one of the highest profile classes of materials in science and engineering today, and will continue to be well into the future. Development of nanostructured ceramic coatings has become an important research area mainly due their interesting chemical, physical, and mechanical properties. For example, nanostructured AT-13 ceramic coatings show much higher wear resistance than conventional AT-13 coatings [3-7].

Fatigue and corrosion resistance are important properties for many coatings selected for critical applications. Plasma-sprayed Al₂O₃ coatings are often used in corrosion-resistant applications [8,9]. Because of their lamellar structure, ceramic coatings usually are characterized by a relatively high open porosity and incomplete bonding between lamellae, which are detrimental when the coatings have to perform in an aggressive environment. The porosity allows a path for electrolytes from the outer surface to the substrate [10,11].

It is widely recognized that thermal spray coatings can significantly influence the fatigue strength of coated components [12-14].

This paper presents the findings of a research on the microstructure, fatigue and corrosion behavior of thermally sprayed nanostructured and conventional AT-13 titania coatings.

2. Experimental procedure

2.1. Feedstock powders

Nanostructured (2613S) and conventional (ALO187) AT-13 feedstock powders employed in this study obtained from Inframat Corp. (Farmington, CT, USA) & Praxair, Indiana, USA. The morphologies of both AT-13 powders are shown in Figure 1. The nanostructured was agglom-
erated, spherical nanoparticles with high flowability and an average diameter of 30 µm. The conventional powder exhibited an angular and irregular morphology with size between 10 and 45 µm. Coatings were deposited using a Sulzer-Metco 9MB plasma torch under atmospheric conditions. Stainless steel cylindrical coupons were used as substrates. The typical spraying parameters for both conventional and nanostructured coatings are summarized in Table 1.

Figure 1. Morphologies of the AT-13 powders: (a) conventional; (b) nanostructured.

Table 1. Summary of the plasma spraying parameters.

| Parameters          | Conventional Coating | NanoCoating |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Torch Metco         | 9MB                   | 9MB         |
| Current             | 470 A                 | 500 A       |
| Voltage             | 75 V                  | 70 V        |
| Argon Flow Rate Argon | 80 SCFH               | 80 SCFH     |
| Pressure Hydrogen   | 100 PSI               | 100 PSI     |
| Pressure Powder Carrier | 50 PSI               | 50 PSI      |
| Flow                | 15-18 SCFH            | 15-18 SCFH  |
| Spray Distance      | 4.5 inch              | 4 inch      |

2.2. Characterization of Coatings

The phase compositions of the as sprayed coatings were determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Philips X-ray diffractometer (Philips APD 3520). The microstructure of the as-sprayed coatings was examined by a LEO field emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

The microhardness measurements were conducted on the cross section of the as-sprayed coatings using Vickers Indentor. Microhardness values of the coatings were measured by digital hardness tester with load of 300 g on the cross-section of the polished samples.

2.3. Electrochemical Testing

2.3.1. Electrochemical Impedance

EIS technique was used to evaluate the electrochemical behaviour of the coated samples in 3.5% NaCl solution open to air and at room temperature for up to three weeks. A three-electrode set-up was used with impedance spectra being recorded at the corrosion potential Ecorr. A saturated calomel electrode (SCE) was used as the reference electrode. It was coupled capacitively to a Pt wire to reduce the phase shift at high frequencies. EIS was performed between 0.01 Hz and 65 kHz frequency range using a frequency response analyzer, FRA, (Autolab PGSTAT30, Eco-Chemie, The Netherlands). The amplitude of the sinusoidal voltage signal was 10mV.

2.3.2. Polarization Measurements

Linear polarization measurements were performed for the samples previously immersed for 30 minutes in 3.5% NaCl solution using Autolab PGSTAT30, Eco-Chemie, system. The scan rate was 0.05 mV/sec and the scan range was +/–20 mV with respect to the open circuit potential. The exposed surface area was 4 cm². All curves were normalized to 1 cm²

2.4. Fatigue Testing

Rotating-beam fatigue testing was conducted on coated AISI low-carbon steel. The fatigue-testing machine is an RBF-200, rotating beam fatigue machine (Fatigue Dynamics Inc., Walled Lake, MI). The test specimen used in the fatigue testing was a 12.7 mm (1/2-inch) hourglass bar prepared according to ASTM E466 [15]. The nominal coating thickness was 100 µm (0.004 inches). The fatigue experiments were conducted at room temperature under a rotating beam fatigue and stress ratio of R = –1 configuration at a load frequency of 50 Hz. The surface of the specimen was prepared for coating by grit blasting with #24 alumina, and no grinding was performed so as to not alter the surface roughness of the coatings. Fatigue life data generated in the fatigue tests were analyzed to determine the relationship between number of cycles to failure, N and probability of failure, P, for the samples tested.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Phase Composition of the Coatings

The XRD analysis of the AT-13 powders was confirmed in several previous studies [2-6] that both powders are prominently \( \alpha \)-\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) Rutile phase of TiO\(_2\). The XRD analysis of the conventional and nanostructured coatings are shown in Figure 2(a) & (b). The XRD patterns of the coatings show that most of \( \alpha \)-alumina in the nanostructured powder converted into \( \gamma \)-\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) after plasma spraying process, which was similar to that in the conventionally commercial powder. It is well established that \( \gamma \)-\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) tends to be nucleated from the melt in preference to \( \alpha \)-\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) due to the higher cooling rate [4]. It can be seen from Figure 2 that both nanostructured and conventional coatings mostly contained the \( \gamma \)-\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) phase.

3.2. Microstructure of Coatings

The cross-sectional morphologies of the plasma sprayed coatings are shown in Figure 3. From the cross-sectional microstructures, it can be seen that both coatings consist of the lamella built up from the molten droplets impinging on the substrate. In case of the nanostructured coating, the interface between the steel substrate and the coating appears much stronger than that of the conventional coating. The “conventional” coating (Figure 3(a)) has a layered microstructure, typical of plasma sprayed coatings, which is the result of full melting (FM) of the ceramic feedstock powder and its solidification as “splats” on the substrate. The FM regions in the conventional coating consist of nanocrystalline \( \gamma \)-\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) [1,17-20]. In all the coatings, some pores are observed, and splat boundaries are not clearly visible. A considerable amount (\( \sim \)16\%) of partially melted regions (PM) is observed in the nanostructured coating (Figure 3(b)).

An SEM micrograph of the nanostructured coating is shown in Figure 3(b). This coating shows a bimodal microstructure composed of the two regions where nanopowders were fully (FM) and partially melted (PM). The partially melted region was formed when TiO\(_2\) was selectively melted because the temperature of nanopowders was not high enough during spray coating [2]. The partially melted (PM) rounded feature appears to consist of grains surrounded by a matrix phase, something similar to micro-structures of liquid-phase sintered materials [6].

![Figures 2 and 3](resources/figures.png)
Figure 4 shows a high-magnification SEM image of the partially-melted (PM) region in the nanostructured coating. The partially-molten (PM) microstructural features consists mainly of submicron $\alpha$-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ fine equiaxed grains surrounded by a TiO$_2$-rich amorphous phase.

3.3. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy

EIS has been successfully applied to the study of corrosion systems for over thirty years and has been proven to be a powerful and accurate method for measuring corrosion rates especially for coatings and thin films. An important advantage of EIS over other laboratory techniques is the possibility of using very small amplitude signals without significantly disturbing the properties being measured. To make an EIS measurement, a small amplitude signal is applied to a specimen over a range of frequencies.

The expression for impedance is composed of a real and an imaginary part. If the real part is plotted on the Z axis and the imaginary part on the Y axis of a chart, we get a “Nyquist plot”. However, Nyquist plots have one major shortcoming. When you look at any data point on the plot, you cannot tell what frequency was used to record that point. Therefore other impedance plots such as “Bode plots” are important to make a correct interpretation. In Bode plots, the impedance is plotted with log frequency on the x-axis and both the absolute value of the impedance ($|Z| = Z_0$) and phase-shift on the y-axis. Unlike the Nyquist plot, the Bode plot explicitly shows frequency information.

In this work, coated samples were immersed in NaCl solution for 21 days. Nyquist and Bode plots have been used to evaluate the corrosion resistance of the nanostructured and conventional sprayed coatings.

The EIS results shown in Figure 5 and 6 as well as the visual inspection of the tested samples suggested that the conventional sprayed samples have a strong surface resistance to chloride ion corrosion after three weeks of immersion in NaCl solution. Figure 5 (Nyquist plot) showed that the surface resistance of the conventional coated samples is $2.0 \times 10^4 \, \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$ which is almost 10 times of that obtained from the nanostructured coated samples, Al-Ti N, ($2.5 \times 10^3 \, \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$).

Figure 6 indicated that the pitting resistance of the conventional coated samples was generally improved which was confirmed by the relaxation of the impedance spectra. It seems that the presence of partially melted regions (PM) in the nanostructured coating which contain many micro- and/or nano-pores affect negatively the the pitting corrosion resistance. Pitting corrosion can easily be done through the micro- or nano-pores in the nanocoatings. Therefore, the number of pitting areas increased sharply in the nanocoated samples compared with the conventional.

3.4. Linear Polarization Measurements

Polarization measurements (Figure 7) were performed for the conventional and nano-coatings after 30 minutes of immersion.

![Figure 5. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (nyquist Plots) of alumina-titania coated samples: Al-Ti C (Conventional), Al-Ti N (Nanostructured).](image1)

![Figure 6. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (bode plots) of alumina-titania coated samples: Al-Ti C (Conventional), Al-Ti N (Nanostructured).](image2)
immersion in NaCl solution. Conventional coatings samples showed a better polarization resistance than the nanocoated ones. The corrosion potential of the conventional coated samples was shifted about 50 mV toward the passive direction compared with the nanocoated samples.

3.5. Hardness of the Coatings

Vickers microhardness measurements were performed under a 300 gf load for 15 s on the cross-sections of the coatings. A total of 10 microhardness measurements were carried out for each coating. The mean microhardness of conventional coating is about 840, which is lower than the mean microhardness of 965 achieved by the nanostructured coating. The observed hardness difference is believed to result partially from different coating microstructures and phase compositions, even though all these coatings have the same nominal chemical composition. Specifically, the distribution of TiO\textsubscript{2} in these Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/TiO\textsubscript{2} coatings affects the coating hardness. It is interesting to notice that the nanostructured coating contains partially melted regions (PM) contain many micro- or nano-pores and thus these regions should have a relatively low microhardness.

3.6. Results of the Fatigue Tests

Fatigue life data generated in the fatigue tests were analyzed to determine the relationship between stress level, S, number of cycles to failure, N and probability of failure, \( P_f \), for the samples tested. The failure probability \( P_f \) corresponding to the order number \( i \) is given by:

\[
P_f = \frac{i}{n+1}
\]  

(1)

Figure 8 shows the fatigue life (cycles) at 50% failure probability for the coated and uncoated specimens. The results indicate that the nanostructured alumina-titania coating exhibited higher fatigue lives compared to the conventional alumina-titania coating. The increase in the fatigue strength of nanostructured coatings can be related to the crack propagation resistance of these coatings. Several investigators have shown that the crack propagation resistance of nanostructured coatings is superior to that of the conventional coatings [2,19,20]. It is important to notice that the partially melted (PM) regions in the coating act as a crack arrest as seen in Figure 3. Another important factor that can play a major role in increasing the fatigue resistance is the interfacial toughness. Bansal et al. [20] have measured the interfacial toughness of the conventional and the nanostructured AT-13 plasma sprayed coatings on steel substrates; the values were 22 and 45 J m\textsuperscript{-2}, respectively.

4. Conclusions

- The microstructure of the conventional coating consists primarily of fully-molten (FM) regions. The microstructure of nanostructured coating is a bimodal in nature and it consists of regions of (FM) mixed with partially-molten (PM) microstructural features.
- The presence of the partially melted (PM) region in the nanostructured coating act as a crack arrest and play a major role in strengthening the crack propagation resistance.
- The significant increase in the fatigue strength of the nanostructured coatings compared to the conventional coating is attributed to the improvement in the crack propagation resistance resulted from the presence of the partially melted (PM) region.
- The presence of the partially melted regions (PM) in the nanostructured coating which contain many micro- and nano-pores affect negatively the pitting corrosion resistance. Therefore, conventional coatings showed a much better localized corrosion resistance than the nanocoatings.
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