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ABSTRACT

We present the first detections of CH$_3$SH, C$_3$H$^+$, C$_2$N, HCOOH, CH$_3$CHCN, and H$_2$CN in an extragalactic source. Namely the spiral arm of a galaxy located at $z = 0.89$ on the line of sight to the radio-loud quasar PKS 1830−211. OCS, SO$_2$, and NH$_2$CN were also detected, raising the total number of molecular species identified in that early time galaxy to 54, not counting isotopologues. The detections were made in absorption against the SW quasar image, at 2 kpc from the galaxy centre, over the course of a Q band spectral line survey made with the Yebes 40 m telescope (rest-frame frequencies: 58.7 – 93.5 GHz). We derived the rotational temperatures and column densities of those species, which are found to be subthermally excited. The molecular abundances, and in particular the large abundances of C$_3$H$^+$ and of several previously reported cations, are characteristic of diffuse or translucent clouds with enhanced UV radiation or strong shocks.
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1. Introduction

Some 220 molecular species, not counting isotopologues, have been identified in the galactic interstellar medium (ISM). Among them are ions, neutral radicals, metallic compounds, and complex organic molecules (COMs), whose relative abundances and isotopic ratios vary drastically due to the type of source and environment (see CDMS$^1$). Conversely, the observation of molecular abundances offers a powerful way to characterise the gas properties and past history. This is particularly true for distant galaxies where we lack spatial resolution.

Distant galaxies tell us about the earlier stages of the ISM. Heavy elements and metals appeared early on in the ISM after a first generation of massive stars released their nucleosynthesis products into space. Secondary elements, such as nitrogen, mostly appeared several billions of years later; after a second generation of stars, low-mass longer-lived stars released their processed material (Johnson 2019). The chemical and isotopic composition of the ISM in a galaxy at redshift $z = 1$, when the Universe was half its present age, should therefore be markedly different from that in the Galaxy and be dominated by the products of massive stars (Muller et al. 2011). An analysis of the molecular content and isotopic ratios in distant galaxies, thus, offers us an opportunity to characterise these types of sources and to check current models of galaxy chemical evolution.

A powerful method to study the ISM of distant galaxies is through the detection of molecular lines in absorption. The strength of the absorption depends on the flux of the background source and the line opacity. The latter can be directly obtained and, if the background quasar image is small enough to be fully covered by the absorbing gas, this strength is decoupled from the distance to the absorber. Therefore, it is not diluted by the telescope beam, allowing for the detection of low abundance species in distant sources.

One of the most studied molecular absorbers is the system located towards the blazar PKS 1830−211 at redshift $z = 2.5$ (Lidman et al. 1999; see Muller et al. 2006 for a detailed description of the system). The blazar image is gravitationally lensed by a foreground, nearly face-on spiral galaxy at $z = 0.89$ that intercepts the line of sight (Wiklind & Combes 1996; Winn et al. 2002). At radio wavelengths, lensing gives rise to two point-like images of the blazar, embedded in a faint Einstein ring of 1′′ in diameter (8 kpc at the distance of the galaxy, Jauncey et al. 1991). The two bright images, that is, only the ones that are still present at millimetre wavelengths, are located 2 kpc SW and 6 kpc NE of the galaxy nucleus, respectively. The redshift of $z = 0.89$ corresponds to an age of 6.4 Gyr (see Wright 2006 for the assumed cosmological parameters) and hence to a lookback time close to half the present age of the Universe.

The molecular absorption arises in two spiral arms symmetrically unrolling on either side of the nucleus (Wiklind & Combes 1996). Several studies using millimetre interferometers (NOEMA, ATCA, and ALMA) have revealed the molecular richness of the SW arm (Muller et al. 2006, 2011, 2013, 2014a,b, 2016a,b, 2017; Muller et al. 2015). More than 45 molecular species, plus more than 15 rare isotopologues, have
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been reported in those studies. Among others, they consist of COMs (such as CH$_3$OH, CH$_2$CN, NH$_2$CHO, and CH$_2$CHO), of hydrocarbons (I-C$_3$H, I-C$_4$H$_2$, and C$_6$H), and of light hydrides and cations (H$_2$C$^+$, ArH$^+$, CF$^+$, OH$^+$, H$_2$O$^+$, CH$^+$, and SH$^+$). Muller et al. (2011, 2014a) suggest that this denotes a chemical signature similar to that of the galactic diffuse and translucent clouds. Furthermore, the analysis of the light hydrides detected in this source points out a multi-phase composition of the absorbing gas (Muller et al. 2016a,b).

PKS 1830–211 is also a strong gamma-ray emitter, with several flaring events in recent years (see e.g. Abdo et al. 2015). During 2019, flares of intense gamma-ray activity were observed in addition to those of three more species that were detected for the first time in this source (Muller & Guélin 2008), we estimated the NE/SW ratio using the strategy of Muller & Guélin (2008) measured a roughly constant flux density ($D_0$) and antenna temperature ($T_A$) at 3 mm and 7 mm. Following the same procedure, Table A.1 lists the telescope aperture efficiency ($\eta_A$), the conversion factor between flux ($S$) and antenna temperature ($T_A$), the half power beam width (HPBW), the system temperature ($T_{sys}$), the integration time, and the noise root mean square (rms). The observational procedure was position switching with the reference position located $240''$ away in azimuth. The telescope pointing and focus were checked every one to two hours through pseudo-continuum observations of VX Sgr, a red giant star close to the target source. VX Sgr shows strong SiO $v = 1 J = 1 - 0$ (at 43.122 GHz) and H$_2$O $J_{K,K} = 6_1.6 - 5_2.3$ (at 22.235 GHz) maser emission. Pseudo-continuum observations consist in subtracting the summed spectral emission from the masers from the rest of the spectrum, while performing pointing and focus scans. This is a useful technique since atmospheric emissivity fluctuations during the scans have a small influence on the results and provide very flat continuum baselines. In addition, we regularly checked the pointing towards the blazar PKS 1830–211 itself (coordinates $\alpha_{2000} = 18^h 33^m 39.9^s$, $\delta_{2000} = -21^\circ 03' 39''$), whose flux ($NE + SW$ images) was $\sim 10$ Jy at intermediate frequencies of the 7 mm band. The pointing errors were always found $<5''$ on both axes. In order to confirm that no spurious signals were contaminating our spectra, the frequency of the local oscillator was changed by 20 MHz from one observing session to the next.

The data were reduced using the CLASS software of the GILDAS package$^4$. A polynomial baseline of low order (typically second or third order) was removed from the spectrum obtained for each session. All sessions were then averaged together. In this paper, we adopt $z = 0.885875$ ($v_0 = 0$ km s$^{-1}$ in the local standard of rest, LSR) to convert the observed frequencies to rest-frame frequencies. For each observed frequency, Table A.1 lists the telescope aperture efficiency ($\eta_A$), the half power beam width (HPBW), the system temperature ($T_{sys}$), the integration time, and the noise root mean square (rms).

### 3. Continuum emission

The intensity scales of the spectra shown in this Letter were normalised to the total (NE + SW) continuum intensity of the source. The latter varies with frequency as indicated (in $T_A$ scale) in Table A.1. The molecular lines presented in this article are all in absorption against the SW image (the NE image absorption, which is much weaker, appears at markedly different velocities). In order to derive the line opacities, we had to determine the contribution of the SW image to the total continuum flux detected by the telescope. This can be done by assuming that the HCO$^+$ and HCN ($J = 1 - 0$) line absorption (see Fig. A.1) is at its total at velocities near $v_0 = 0$ km s$^{-1}$, which corresponds to the arm located in front of the SW source. This assumption, which was already discussed by Muller & Guélin (2008) for the $J = 2 - 1$ lines, is supported by the obvious saturation of the flattened absorption profiles and by the near-stability of the saturation level despite strong blazar intensity variations.

Muller & Guélin (2008) measured a roughly constant flux ratio of the NE to SW components, $R \sim 1.7$, over several observations between 1995 and 2007 at 3 mm. This value is similar to that obtained by Subrahmanyan et al. (1990) at the centimetre wavelengths. Using ATCA data from 2011, Muller et al. (2013) derived an $R$ of $\sim 1.5$ at 3 mm and 7 mm. Following the strategy of Muller & Guélin (2008), we estimated the NE/SW flux ratio using the $J = 1 - 0$ HCO$^+$ and HCN lines. As these saturated lines absorb 45% of the total flux, the NE component
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We note that the $T_{\text{rot}}$ obtained for CH$_3$H*, C$_3$N, and HCOOH is close to the temperature of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) at $z = 0.89$ ($T_{\text{CMB}} = 5.08 \pm 0.10$ K, Muller et al. 2013). This result was already noted in previous surveys (Combes & Wiklind 1999; Menten et al. 1999; Henkel et al. 2009; Muller et al. 2011, 2013). It implies an excitation dominated by radiative coupling with the CMB since the gas kinetic temperature in the SW source is found from NH$_3$ lines that are much higher (~80 K, according to Henkel et al. 2008). It also means that the bulk of the gas has a moderate or low density: $\leq 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$ (e.g. Henkel et al. 2009; Muller et al. 2013).

It is important to note that CH$_2$CHCN, OCS, and SO$_2$ have a somewhat higher $T_{\text{rot}}$ (8–10 K). This suggests that either the observed lines arise in the denser parts of the absorbing clouds or these molecules present lower critical densities than those of C$_3$H*, C$_3$N, and HCOOH, which are, therefore, more sensitive to collisions.

The case is more extreme for NH$_2$CN, which has a somewhat higher $T_{\text{rot}}$ (8–10 K). This suggests that either NH$_2$CN traces a denser and hotter component of the absorbing gas or a surprisingly low critical density for this species.

To confirm the line identifications, we produced synthetic spectra of the putative species using the MADEX tool (Cernicharo 2012). For this, we assumed LTE approximation and adopted the $T_{\text{rot}}$ and $N$ values derived from the rotational diagrams. In the cases of CH$_3$SH and H$_2$CN, for which we do not have enough lines to draw a rotational diagram, we fixed $T_{\text{rot}}$ to 5 K and varied $N$ until we obtained a reasonable fit to the line profiles. The $T_{\text{rot}}$ and $N$ values as well as the fitted $v_0$ and $\Delta$FWHM for all species are shown in Table 1. Since MADEX computes the line opacities in the absence of a continuum background source ($\tau_r$), we derived the line absorption profile against the SW continuum image, normalised to the total (NE + SW) continuum emission by re-arranging Eq. (1) to obtain $I_v$. We note that the absorption by the SW spiral arm occurs at velocities of $0 \pm 50$ km s$^{-1}$, which are quite different from those caused by the NE arm around $-140$ km s$^{-1}$, and that no absorption is detected at the latter velocity for the nine species considered here. The resulting synthetic spectrum is overlaid over the observed lines in Fig. 1. This simple model reproduces the observed lines. The model also allows us to distinguish an additional component for CH$_3$H* and H$_2$CN between 0 km s$^{-1}$ and 10 km s$^{-1}$ that was not taken into account in our model. This component is also seen in the lowest energy lines of CH$_3$SH and CH$_3$CHCN. However, the weakness of this component in the mentioned lines prevents us from further evaluating its properties.

5. Discussion

This work expands the inventory of extragalactic species, particularly in the SW line of sight towards PKS 1830–211 with the detection of nine new species. With these new species, the number of molecular species towards the SW line of sight of PKS 1830–211 raises to more than 50.

The sample of molecules detected here points to a large diversity in molecular environments:

1. Carbon chains (C$_3$N) and ion-molecule gas phase-produced –CN species (H$_2$CN and CH$_3$CHCN) have been detected...
Fig. 1. Absorption lines towards PKS 1830−211 observed with the Yebes 40 m telescope. The species identified for the first time in an extragalactic source are highlighted in red. The molecules highlighted in blue are those that were identified for the first time in this source, but observed previously in other extragalactic sources. The $y$-axis is the normalised intensity to the total (NE + SW) continuum level (see Sect. 3). We assume a $z = 0.885875$ ($v_0 = 0$ km s$^{-1}$ in the local standard of rest).

\[ N_{\text{H}_2\text{CN}} = 1_{0,1} \rightarrow 0_{0,0} \]
LTE model of the new molecules detected in this work.

In the rotational diagram in order to address the blending of the hyperfine components (see Appendix C).

Although OCS, SO$_2$, and HCOOH have been detected in a large variety of environments, these molecules usually trace hot and shocked gas in star forming regions (Tercero et al. 2013, López et al. 2014).

Although OCS, SO$_2$, and HCOOH have been detected in a large variety of environments, these molecules usually trace hot and shocked gas in star forming regions (Tercero et al. 2010, 2018; Esplugues et al. 2013).

4. C$_2$H$^+$ traces the edge of dense molecular clouds illuminated by UV radiation. In our Galaxy, this species has only been unambiguously detected towards the Horsehead and the Orion Bar (Pety et al. 2012; McGuire et al. 2014; Cuadra et al. 2015), which are two well-known photodissociation regions (PDRs). After the first detection of C$_2$H$^+$ towards the Horsehead (Pety et al. 2012), McGuire et al. (2013) tentatively detected C$_2$H$^+$ via absorption lines (J = 1−0, 2−1) in diffuse, spiral arm clouds along the line of sight to Sgr B2(N). These authors (McGuire et al. 2014), using the CSO telescope operating at 1 mm, conducted a further search for C$_2$H$^+$ towards 39 galactic sources including hot cores, evolved stars, dark clouds, class 0 objects, PDRs, HII regions, outflows, and translucent clouds. Interestingly, they only detected this species towards the Orion Bar, a prototypical high-UV-flux hot PDR, with a far-UV radiation field of a few 10$^4$ times the mean interstellar field (see Cuadra et al. 2015 and references therein). It is possible that the negative results of McGuire et al. (2014) towards translucent clouds were due to a bias introduced by the high energies of the searched transitions ($E_u/k_B > 50$ K). Although the gas in PDRs is also subthermally excited, these regions are usually hotter and denser ($T_K \sim 150$ K and n(H$_2$) $> 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$) for the Orion Bar, see Cuadra et al. (2015) than translucent clouds, allowing for the excitation of more energetic transitions.

A large variety of conditions coexist in sources such as SgrB2, and absorption measurements against a bright and hot continuum source are a powerful way of revealing all types of clouds. As we mention in Sect.4, the gas intercepting the SW line of sight at $v_0 \sim 0$ km s$^{-1}$ mostly consists of translucent clouds with a moderate density (10$^3$ cm$^{-3}$) and with a kinetic temperature of ~80 K (Henkel et al. 2009; Muller et al. 2013). The wide detection of cations towards the SW line of sight (Muller et al. 2014b, 2016a,b, 2017; Müller et al. 2015) indicates that non-thermal mechanisms, such as high UV or X-ray illumination of the gas or shocks, dominate the chemistry of this region. The previous tentative detection of C$_2$H$^+$ in diffuse spiral arm clouds towards Sgr B2(N) and its detection towards PKS 1830–211 suggests that translucent clouds may produce this cation in significant abundances. The gas-phase production of C$_2$H$^+$ via C$_2$H$_2$ + C$^+$ competes with its destruction pathway via H$_2$ leading to small hydrocarbons (Pety et al. 2012). To detect observable abundances of C$_2$H$^+$, the UV-radiation field should be greatly enhanced relative to the mean interstellar value to produce sufficient C$^+$. The detection of C$_2$H$^+$ towards the translucent clouds intercepting the SW line of sight of PKS 1830–211 indicates the large average UV-field in this medium.

It is worth noting that many COMs in our Galaxy have been detected, for the first time, in absorption and in the centimetre domain pointing towards the hot cores of SgrB2 (see e.g. CH$_3$CHCHO, CH$_3$CH$_2$CHO, CH$_2$OHCHO, c-C$_3$H$_5$O, CH$_2$CONH$_2$, CH$_3$CHNHO, HNCHCN, and CH$_2$CH$_2$CHO). Hollis et al. 2004a, 2004b, 2006a,b; Loomis et al. 2013; Zaleski et al. 2013; McGuire et al. 2016). These detections via absorption lines indicate that these species are associated with the moderate density ($n(H_2) \sim 10^3 - 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$) and warm ($T_K \sim 100 - 300$ K) envelope of Sgr B2. This envelope around the hot cores near the galactic centre also consists of a subthermally excited

| Molecule       | µ (Debye) | No. Lines | Table | $T_{rot}$ [K] | $N \times 10^{12}$ [cm$^{-2}$] | $v_0$ [km s$^{-1}$] | $v_{FWHM}$ [km s$^{-1}$] | $T_{rot}$ [K] | $N \times 10^{12}$ [cm$^{-2}$] | Notes |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| A/E-CH$_3$SH   | µ$_a$ = 1.3 (a) | 3        | B.1  | ...         | ...                        | -1.0            | 7.0                  | 5.0          | 20                         |       |
| t-C$_2$H$_3$H$^+$ | µ$_a$ = 3.0 (b) | 3      | B.2  | 5 ± 1        | 1.2 ± 0.2                  | -0.6            | 6.0                  | 5.0          | 1.0                        |       |
| C$_2$N         | µ$_a$ = 2.8 (c) | 4      | B.3  | 5 ± 1        | 4 ± 1                      | -0.5            | 5.0                  | 5.0          | 3.0                        | (f)   |
| t-HCOOH        | µ$_a$ = 1.4 (d) | 5      | B.4  | 5 ± 1        | 2.3 ± 0.5                  | -0.7            | 6.0                  | 5.0          | 2.3                        | (+)   |
| CH$_3$CHCN     | µ$_a$ = 3.8 (e) | 7      | B.5  | 10 ± 4       | 6 ± 2                      | -0.5            | 5.0                  | 10           | 5.5                        |       |
| α-H$_2$CN      | µ$_a$ = 2.5 (f) | 4      | B.6  | ...         | ...                        | -1.0            | 5.0                  | 5.0          | 4.0                        |       |
| OCS            | µ$_a$ = 0.7 (g) | 3      | B.7  | 8 ± 3        | 43 ± 15                    | -0.7            | 5.5                  | 8.0          | 43                         |       |
| SO$_2$         | µ$_a$ = 1.6 (h) | 3      | B.8  | 8 ± 1        | 25 ± 4                     | -0.9            | 7.0                  | 8.0          | 25                         |       |
| O$^+$-NH$_2$CN | µ$_a$ = 4.3 (i) | 6      | B.9  | 30 ± 5       | 11 ± 2                     | -0.9            | 7.0                  | 30           | 11                         |       |

Notes: (f) This slight difference in N (within the uncertainty) between the two methods is mainly caused by adapting the C$_2$N spectroscopic values in the rotational diagram in order to address the blending of the hyperfine components (see Appendix C). (f) For the $n_a = 0$ ladder (see Appendix C).

References: (a) Tsunekawa et al. (1989), (b) Pety et al. (2012), (c) McCarthy et al. (1995), (d) Kuze et al. (1982), (e) Kraśnicki & Kissel (2011), (f) Cowle et al. (1991), (g) Tanaka et al. (1985), (h) Patel et al. (1979), (i) Read et al. (1986).

Table 1. Dipole moments (µ), number of detected lines, table number listing the spectroscopic and observational line parameters, rotational temperatures ($T_{rot}$) and column densities (N) obtained with the rotational diagram (RD) analysis, and physical parameters assumed for the MADEX LTE model of the new molecules detected in this work.

in dense (~10$^4$ cm$^{-3}$) and cold ($T_K \sim 10$ K) prestellar cores (Ohishi et al. 1994; Kaifu et al. 2004) of our Galaxy.
molecular gas (Hüttemeister et al. 1995; de Vicente et al. 1997; Jones et al. 2008; Etxaluze et al. 2013). This suggests that both the heating of this envelope and the production of these complex species might be mainly related to non-thermal processes, such as shocks or an enhanced UV or X-ray flux in the surrounding medium. Thus, we may consider that similar mechanisms may produce the diversity of molecular species detected towards the translucent clouds in the SW line of sight towards PKS 1830–211.
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Appendix A: Complementary material

Table A.1 shows the aperture efficiency ($\eta_A$), the conversion factor between the flux ($S$) and antenna temperature ($T_A^*$), the half power beam width (HPBW), the system temperature ($T_{\text{sys}}$), the integration time, the noise root mean square (rms), and the source continuum emission in $T_A^*$ along the covered frequency range.

Figure A.1 shows the HCO$^+$ ($J = 1 - 0$) and HCN ($J = 1 - 0$) lines, which are clearly saturated at the SW line of sight in our data.

Table A.1. Aperture efficiency ($\eta_A$), antenna temperature ($T_A^*$) to flux ($S$) conversion factor, half power beam width (HPBW), system temperature ($T_{\text{sys}}$), integration time, noise root mean square (rms), and source continuum emission in $T_A^*$ along the covered frequency range.

| Frequency [GHz] | $\eta_A$ | $S/T_A^*$ [Jy/K] | HPBW ["] | $T_{\text{sys}}$ (a) [K] | $T_{\text{sys}}$ (b) [K] | Average | Integration time (c) [h] | rms [mK] | $T_A^*$ (a) [K] (continuum) | $T_A^*$ (b) [K] (cont.) | Average |
|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|--------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| 24.0           | 0.50     | 4.2             | 72.2      | 102 – 112     | 108            | 10.5   | 5.3             | 2.9 – 3.1 | 3.0             |                 |        |
| 32.5           | 0.45     | 4.5             | 53.5      | 73 – 124      | 100            | 35.7   | 2.4             | 2.3 – 3.0 | 2.7             |                 |        |
| 34.7           | 0.44     | 4.6             | 50.1      | 75 – 123      | 100            | 37.9   | 1.8             | 2.3 – 3.0 | 2.5             |                 |        |
| 37.0           | 0.43     | 4.7             | 47.0      | 83 – 132      | 107            | 35.7   | 1.7             | 2.0 – 2.7 | 2.4             |                 |        |
| 39.3           | 0.42     | 4.8             | 44.2      | 91 – 141      | 115            | 35.7   | 1.8             | 1.8 – 2.5 | 2.3             |                 |        |
| 41.6           | 0.41     | 4.9             | 41.7      | 112 – 161     | 135            | 35.7   | 2.3             | 1.6 – 2.4 | 2.2             |                 |        |
| 43.9           | 0.39     | 5.2             | 39.6      | 138 – 188     | 157            | 37.9   | 2.6             | 1.2 – 2.2 | 1.9             |                 |        |
| 46.2           | 0.37     | 5.5             | 37.6      | 179 – 229     | 205            | 37.9   | 2.9             | 1.1 – 2.0 | 1.7             |                 |        |
| 48.5           | 0.35     | 5.8             | 35.8      | 240 – 365     | 295            | 35.7   | 4.8             | 0.8 – 1.9 | 1.4             |                 |        |

Notes. (a) Value interval over the different observing sessions (three for 24 GHz and 12 for the rest of the frequencies). (b) Averaged value for the final spectrum. (c) Adding the two polarisations.
Appendix B: Spectroscopic and observational line parameters

Tables B.1—B.9 show spectroscopic and observational line parameters for the detected species.

### Table B.1. Line parameters of CH$_3$SH.

| Transition | $\nu_{\text{rest}}$ | $\nu_{\text{z}=0.885875}$ | $E_u/\text{KB}$ | $A_u$ | $S_u$ | $\mu_u^2$ | $g_u$ | $\int r dv$ | $v_0$ | $\sigma_{\text{FWHM}}$ |
|------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----|------|----------|-------|----------------|------|---------------------|
| $(J_K h$ $\rightarrow$ $(J_K h)$ | [MHz] | [MHz] | [K] | [s$^{-1}$] | [10$^{13}$ km s$^{-1}$] | [km s$^{-1}$] | [km s$^{-1}$] |
| A, $3^+_1$ $\rightarrow$ $2^+_1$ | 75085.910 | 39814.892 | 8.6 | $3.24 \times 10^{-6}$ | 4.60 | 7 | 30.5 (5) | $-0.8$ (0.9) | 11 (2) |
| A, $3^+_0$ $\rightarrow$ $2^+_0$ | 75862.870 | 40226.881 | 5.1 | $3.75 \times 10^{-6}$ | 5.17 | 7 | 85.8 (5) | $-0.7$ (0.5) | 12 (1) |
| E, $3_0$ $\rightarrow$ $2_0$ | 75864.430 | 40227.709 | 5.2 | $3.76 \times 10^{-6}$ | 5.18 | 7 | 8 (3) | $-1.8$ (0.4) | 2.4 (0.7) |

Notes. Spectroscopic data from Betens et al. (1999). Parentheses indicate the uncertainty obtained by the Gaussian fitting programme.

### Table B.2. Line parameters of I-C$_2$H$^+$.

| Transition | $\nu_{\text{rest}}$ | $\nu_{\text{z}=0.885875}$ | $E_u/\text{KB}$ | $A_u$ | $S_u$ | $g_u$ | $\int r dv$ | $v_0$ | $\sigma_{\text{FWHM}}$ |
|------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----|------|-------|----------------|------|---------------------|
| $J_u$ $\rightarrow$ $J_l$ | [MHz] | [MHz] | [K] | [s$^{-1}$] | [10$^{13}$ km s$^{-1}$] | [km s$^{-1}$] | [km s$^{-1}$] |
| 2 $\rightarrow$ 1 | 44979.544 | 23850.756 | 3.2 | $3.81 \times 10^{-6}$ | 2.0 | 5 | 57 (8) | $-0.5$ (0.4) | 5.4 (0.8) |
| 3 $\rightarrow$ 2 | 67468.856 | 35775.890 | 6.5 | $1.38 \times 10^{-5}$ | 3.0 | 7 | 84 (10) | $-0.6$ (0.2) | 7.0 (0.9) |
| 4 $\rightarrow$ 3 | 89957.617 | 47700.731 | 10.8 | $3.39 \times 10^{-5}$ | 4.0 | 9 | 55 (6) | $-0.8$ (0.3) | 4.5 (0.5) |

Notes. Spectroscopic line parameters have been obtained using MADEX by fitting the rotational lines reported by Pety et al. (2012) and Cuadrado et al. (2015). Parentheses indicate the uncertainty obtained by the Gaussian fitting programme.

### Table B.3. Line parameters of C$_3$N.

| Transition | $\nu_{\text{rest}}$ | $\nu_{\text{z}=0.885875}$ | $E_u/\text{KB}$ | $A_u$ | $S_u$ | $g_u$ | $\int r dv$ | $v_0$ | $\sigma_{\text{FWHM}}$ |
|------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----|------|-------|----------------|------|---------------------|
| $(\nu, J_{K,A})$ $\rightarrow$ $(\nu, J_{K,B})$ | [MHz] | [MHz] | [K] | [s$^{-1}$] | [10$^{13}$ km s$^{-1}$] | [km s$^{-1}$] | [km s$^{-1}$] |
| (6, 13/2, 13/2) $\rightarrow$ (5, 11/2, 11/2) | 59361.053 | 31476.664 | 10.0 | $2.18 \times 10^{-7}$ | 0.15 | 14 |
| (6, 13/2, 11/2) $\rightarrow$ (5, 11/2, 9/2) | 59361.383 | 31476.839 | 10.0 | $8.87 \times 10^{-6}$ | 5.38 | 12 |
| (6, 13/2, 11/2) $\rightarrow$ (5, 11/2, 11/2) | 59361.386 | 31476.840 | 10.0 | $8.91 \times 10^{-6}$ | 6.31 | 14 |
| (7, 15/2, 13/2) $\rightarrow$ (6, 13/2, 13/2) | 59361.418 | 31476.857 | 10.0 | $9.13 \times 10^{-6}$ | 7.38 | 16 |
| (7, 15/2, 11/2) $\rightarrow$ (6, 13/2, 11/2) | 59364.084 | 31478.271 | 10.0 | $2.53 \times 10^{-7}$ | 0.15 | 12 |
| (7, 15/2, 11/2) $\rightarrow$ (6, 13/2, 11/2) | 59377.528 | 31485.400 | 10.0 | $2.95 \times 10^{-7}$ | 0.18 | 12 |
| (6, 11/2, 9/2) $\rightarrow$ (5, 9/2, 7/2) | 59380.159 | 31486.795 | 10.0 | $8.64 \times 10^{-6}$ | 4.36 | 10 |
| (6, 11/2, 9/2) $\rightarrow$ (5, 9/2, 9/2) | 59380.192 | 31486.812 | 10.0 | $8.70 \times 10^{-6}$ | 5.27 | 12 |
| (6, 11/2, 9/2) $\rightarrow$ (5, 9/2, 11/2) | 59380.194 | 31486.813 | 10.0 | $9.00 \times 10^{-6}$ | 6.36 | 14 |
| (6, 11/2, 9/2) $\rightarrow$ (5, 9/2, 9/2) | 59380.192 | 31486.812 | 10.0 | $8.70 \times 10^{-6}$ | 5.27 | 12 |
| (6, 11/2, 9/2) $\rightarrow$ (5, 9/2, 11/2) | 59380.194 | 31486.813 | 10.0 | $9.00 \times 10^{-6}$ | 6.36 | 14 |
| (6, 11/2, 9/2) $\rightarrow$ (5, 9/2, 9/2) | 59380.192 | 31486.812 | 10.0 | $8.70 \times 10^{-6}$ | 5.27 | 12 |
| (6, 11/2, 9/2) $\rightarrow$ (5, 9/2, 11/2) | 59380.194 | 31486.813 | 10.0 | $9.00 \times 10^{-6}$ | 6.36 | 14 |

Notes. Spectroscopic data from CDMS catalogue. Parentheses indicate the uncertainty obtained by the Gaussian fitting programme. Fully overlapping transitions are marked with connecting symbols. $^a$Blended with A-CH$_3$CHO $3_{1,2} \rightarrow 2_{1,1}$. $^b$Blended with A-CH$_3$CHO $4_{1,3} \rightarrow 3_{1,2}$. $^c$Value obtained for the blended line of the overlapping hyperfine components.
### Table B.4. Line parameters of HCOOH.

| Transition  | \(v_{\text{rest}}\) | \(v_{z=0.858575}\) | \(E_u/k_{\text{B}}\) | \(A_u\) | \(S_u\) | \(g_u\) | \(\int rdv\) | \(v_0\) | \(\sigma_{\text{FWHM}}\) |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------|-------|--------|----------------|--------|------------------|
| \((J_{K_a},K_a),h \rightarrow (J_{K_a},K_a),h\) | [MHz] | [MHz] | [K] | [s\(^{-1}\)] | | | | [km s\(^{-1}\)] | [km s\(^{-1}\)] | [km s\(^{-1}\)] |
| 3_{1,3} \rightarrow 2_{1,2} | 64936.268 | 34432.965 | 9.4 | 2.45 \times 10^{-6} | 2.67 | 7 | 39 (4) | -1.0 (0.2) | 5.3 (0.6) |
| 3_{0,3} \rightarrow 2_{0,2} | 67291.121 | 35681.645 | 6.5 | 3.07 \times 10^{-6} | 3.00 | 7 | 34 (3) | -0.7 (0.2) | 4.2 (0.4) |
| 3_{1,2} \rightarrow 2_{1,1} | 69851.954 | 37039.546 | 9.9 | 2.44 \times 10^{-5} | 2.67 | 7 | 42 (2) | -0.6 (0.2) | 6.1 (0.5) |
| 4_{1,4} \rightarrow 3_{1,3} | 86546.180 | 45891.790 | 13.6 | 6.35 \times 10^{-5} | 3.75 | 9 | 38 (8) | -0.6 (0.7) | 6 (1) |
| 4_{0,4} \rightarrow 3_{0,3} | 89579.168 | 47500.056 | 10.8 | 7.51 \times 10^{-6} | 4.00 | 9 | 27 (6) | -0.9 (0.4) | 2.8 (0.6) |

**Notes.** Spectroscopic line parameters were obtained using MADEx by fitting all the rotational lines reported by Winnewisser et al. (2002) and Cazzoli et al. (2010). Parentheses indicate the uncertainty obtained by the Gaussian fitting programme.

### Table B.5. Line parameters of CH₂CHCN.

| Transition  | \(v_{\text{rest}}\) | \(v_{z=0.858575}\) | \(E_u/k_{\text{B}}\) | \(A_u\) | \(S_u\) | \(g_u\) | \(\int rdv\) | \(v_0\) | \(\sigma_{\text{FWHM}}\) |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------|-------|--------|----------------|--------|------------------|
| \((J_{K_a},K_a),h \rightarrow (J_{K_a},K_a),h\) | [MHz] | [MHz] | [K] | [s\(^{-1}\)] | | | | [km s\(^{-1}\)] | [km s\(^{-1}\)] | [km s\(^{-1}\)] |
| 7_{1,7} \rightarrow 6_{1,6} | 64749.012 | 34333.671 | 14.6 | 2.11 \times 10^{-5} | 6.86 | 15 | 26.1 (3.2) | -0.2 (0.4) | 5.7 (0.7) |
| 7_{0,7} \rightarrow 6_{0,6} | 66198.347 | 35102.193 | 12.7 | 2.30 \times 10^{-5} | 7.00 | 15 | 26.7 (3.5) | -0.6 (0.3) | 6.3 (0.8) |
| 7_{5,6} \rightarrow 6_{1,5} | 67946.687 | 36029.263 | 15.2 | 2.44 \times 10^{-5} | 6.86 | 15 | 28.6 (4.4) | -0.9 (0.4) | 6.1 (0.9) |
| 8_{1,8} \rightarrow 7_{1,7} | 73981.555 | 39229.299 | 18.2 | 3.19 \times 10^{-5} | 7.87 | 17 | 21.5 (3.2) | -2.2 (0.3) | 5.0 (0.8)

**Notes.** Spectroscopic line parameters were obtained using MADEx by fitting all the rotational lines reported by Kisiel et al. (2009). Parentheses indicate the uncertainty obtained by the Gaussian fitting programme. (†)Owing to the weakness of these lines, we fixed \(\sigma_{\text{FWHM}}\) to 5.0 km s\(^{-1}\) in order to fit a Gaussian profile. We assume an uncertainty of 15% for this value. (††)These lines are below a 3\(\sigma\) level. We did not include them in the analysis of the rotational diagrams.

### Table B.6. Line parameters of o-H₂CN.

| Transition  | \(v_{\text{rest}}\) | \(v_{z=0.858575}\) | \(E_u/k_{\text{B}}\) | \(A_u\) | \(S_u\) | \(g_u\) | \(\int rdv\) | \(v_0\) | \(\sigma_{\text{FWHM}}\) |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------|-------|--------|----------------|--------|------------------|
| \((N_{K_a},N_{F_a} \rightarrow (N_{K_a},N_{F_a} \rightarrow (N_{K_a},N_{F_a})\) | [MHz] | [MHz] | [K] | [s\(^{-1}\)] | | | | [km s\(^{-1}\)] | [km s\(^{-1}\)] | [km s\(^{-1}\)] |
| (l_{1,1}, 3, 5/2) \rightarrow (0_{0,0}, 2, 3/2) | 73345.486 | 38892.019 | 3.5 | 2.83 \times 10^{-6} | 0.57 | 6 | 15 (4) | -1.7 (0.6) | 4 (1) |
| (l_{1,1}, 3, 5/2) \rightarrow (0_{0,0}, 0, 5/2) | 73349.648 | 38884.226 | 3.5 | 3.29 \times 10^{-6} | 0.89 | 8 | 20 (6) | -0.4 (0.4) | 4 (1) |
| (l_{1,1}, 3, 5/2) \rightarrow (0_{0,0}, 3, 3/2) | 73409.042 | 38925.720 | 3.5 | 3.17 \times 10^{-6} | 0.64 | 6 | 22 (6) | -1.0 (0.6) | 4 (1) |
| (l_{1,1}, 3, 5/2) \rightarrow (0_{0,0}, 5/2) | 73444.240 | 38944.384 | 3.5 | 2.73 \times 10^{-6} | 0.55 | 6 | 15 (4) | -0.4 (0.8) | 6 (2) |

**Notes.** Spectroscopic data from CDMS catalogue. Parentheses indicate the uncertainty obtained by the Gaussian fitting programme.

### Table B.7. Line parameters of OCS.

| Transition  | \(v_{\text{rest}}\) | \(v_{z=0.858575}\) | \(E_u/k_{\text{B}}\) | \(A_u\) | \(S_u\) | \(g_u\) | \(\int rdv\) | \(v_0\) | \(\sigma_{\text{FWHM}}\) |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------|-------|--------|----------------|--------|------------------|
| \(J_a \rightarrow J_l\) | [MHz] | [MHz] | [K] | [s\(^{-1}\)] | | | | [km s\(^{-1}\)] | [km s\(^{-1}\)] | [km s\(^{-1}\)] |
| 5 \rightarrow 4 | 60814.270 | 32247.244 | 8.8 | 6.09 \times 10^{-7} | 5.0 | 11 | 52 (4) | -0.8 (0.1) | 5.4 (0.4) |
| 6 \rightarrow 5 | 72976.781 | 38696.510 | 12.3 | 1.07 \times 10^{-6} | 6.0 | 13 | 66 (4) | -0.8 (0.1) | 5.8 (0.4) |
| 7 \rightarrow 6 | 85139.104 | 45145.677 | 16.3 | 1.71 \times 10^{-6} | 7.0 | 15 | 42 (10) | -0.7 (0.8) | 6 (1) |

**Notes.** Spectroscopic line parameters were obtained using MADEx by fitting all the rotational lines reported by Golubiatsikov et al. (2005). Parentheses indicate the uncertainty obtained by the Gaussian fitting programme.
Quantum number. For very polar molecules, subthermal excitation happens at relatively high densities. Therefore, accurate column densities and rotational temperatures from rotational diagrams can only be obtained if the individual column densities for each rotational ladder are computed independently (see Cuadrado et al. 2016, 2017). This effect has also prevented the analysis of the CH$_3$SH lines since we have only detected one line with $K_a = 0$ and another with $K_a = 1$ per state (A/E).

For HCOOH, we built specific rotational diagrams for different sets of lines with the same $K_a$ quantum number. The total column density of the molecule is obtained by adding the column density of each rotational ladder. CH$_3$CHCN shows a similar tendency. However, due to the large uncertainties of the individual points and the limited number of observed transitions, we performed the rotational diagram from a single linear least square fitting. For the other molecules, it is possible to fit the lines from different $K_a$-ladders by a single $T_{rot}$ and $N$.

Due to the reduced number of rotational transitions, the rotational diagram of O$^+$-NH$_2$CN (the lower inversion state of NH$_2$CN) was built by taking the different statistical weights for the ortho ($K_a = 1$) and para ($K_a = 0$) states into account (see $g_u$ in Table B.9). Interestingly, the rotational diagram of the very polar and asymmetric-top NH$_2$CN shows the points of different $K_a$-ladders merging in a single straight line. This characteristic straight diagram is typically seen towards high density regions, such as hot cores (see e.g. López et al. 2014). For this kind of species, this merging of the $K_a$-ladders only occurs at very high gas densities, which are higher than the critical density for collisional excitation.

For C$_3$N, different hyperfine structure components of the same $(N,F)$$_u$ $(N,F)$$_u$ transition are blended in a single line. Thus, to correctly determine $T_{rot}$ and $N$, the line strength ($S_{ul}$) was calculated as the sum of all allowed hyperfine components of each $(N,F)$$_u$ $(N,F)$$_u$ transition. The characteristic

### Table B.8. Line parameters of SO$_2$.

| Transition $(J_{K_a,K_c})_u$ $(J_{K_a,K_c})_h$ | $\nu_{rest}$ | $\nu_{z0.888875}$ | $E_u/k_B$ | $A_u$ | $S_{ul}$ | $g_u$ | $\int \tau dv$ | $v_0$ | $\sigma_{FWHM}$ |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|
| $4_{1,3} \rightarrow 4_{0,4}$ | 59224.870 | 31404.452 | 12.0 | $3.01 \times 10^{-6}$ | 4.20 | 9 | 86 (3) | $-0.9 (0.1)$ | 6.8 (0.3) |
| $6_{1,5} \rightarrow 6_{0,6}$ | 68972.159 | 36573.028 | 22.5 | $4.34 \times 10^{-6}$ | 5.54 | 13 | 49 (5) | $-0.7 (0.3)$ | 8.3 (0.8) |
| $1_{1,1} \rightarrow 1_{0,0}$ | 69575.929 | 36893.182 | 3.3 | $3.49 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.00 | 3 | 90 (5) | $-1.0 (0.1)$ | 6.7 (0.4) |

### Table B.9. Line parameters of NH$_2$CN.

| Transition $(J_{K_a,K_c})_u$ $(J_{K_a,K_c})_h$ | $\nu_{rest}$ | $\nu_{z0.888875}$ | $E_u/k_B$ | $A_u$ | $S_{ul}$ | $g_u$ | $\int \tau dv$ | $v_0$ | $\sigma_{FWHM}$ |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|
| O$^+$, $3_{1,3} \rightarrow 2_{1,2}$ | 59587.700 | 31596.845 | 20.2 | $1.76 \times 10^{-5}$ | 8.00 | 21 | 30 (3) | $-0.7 (0.3)$ | 7.2 (0.7) |
| O$^+$, $3_{0,3} \rightarrow 2_{0,2}$ | 59985.700 | 31807.888 | 5.8 | $2.02 \times 10^{-5}$ | 3.00 | 7 | 16 (3) | $-1.4 (0.7)$ | 7.0 (1.0)$^\dagger$ |
| O$^+$, $3_{2,2} \rightarrow 2_{1,1}$ | 60378.911 | 32016.391 | 5.8 | $2.02 \times 10^{-5}$ | 3.00 | 7 | 16 (3) | $-1.4 (0.7)$ | 7.0 (1.0)$^\dagger$ |
| O$^+$, $4_{0,4} \rightarrow 3_{1,3}$ | 79449.729 | 42128.842 | 24.0 | $4.55 \times 10^{-5}$ | 11.25 | 27 | 43 (5) | $-1.2 (0.3)$ | 6.0 (0.7) |
| O$^+$, $4_{1,4} \rightarrow 3_{3,3}$ | 79979.596 | 42409.808 | 9.6 | $4.95 \times 10^{-5}$ | 4.00 | 9 | 30 (4) | $-1.4 (0.7)$ | 7.0 (1.0)$^\dagger$ |
| O$^+$, $4_{1,3} \rightarrow 3_{1,2}$ | 80504.600 | 42688.195 | 24.2 | $4.74 \times 10^{-5}$ | 11.25 | 27 | 48 (6) | $-0.4 (0.3)$ | 6.6 (0.9) |

Notes. Spectroscopic line parameters were obtained using MADEX by fitting all the rotational lines reported by Müller et al. (2000). Parentheses indicate the uncertainty obtained by the Gaussian fitting programme.

$^\dagger$Owing to the weakness of these lines, we fixed $\sigma_{FWHM}$ to 7.0 km s$^{-1}$ in order to fit a Gaussian profile. We assume an uncertainty of 15% for this value.

### Appendix C: Rotational diagrams

As we detected several molecules in more than one transition, we can estimate rotational temperatures ($T_{rot}$) and molecular column densities ($N$) for the detected species by constructing rotational diagrams (see e.g. Goldsmith & Langer 1999). This analysis assumes the Rayleigh-Jeans approximation, optically thin lines, and LTE conditions. The equation that derives the total column density under these conditions can be re-arranged as

$$\ln \left( \frac{8\pi k_B v^2}{\hbar^3 A_u g_u} \int \tau dv \right) = \ln \left( \frac{N}{Q_{tot} T_{rot}} \right) = \frac{E_u}{k_B T_{rot}},$$

(C.1)

where $g_u$ is the statistical weight in the upper level, $A_u$ is the Einstein A-coefficient for spontaneous emission, $Q_{tot}$ is the rotational partition function which depends on $T_{rot}$, $E_u$ is the upper level energy, and $v$ is the frequency of the transition. We obtained the integrated opacity of the line, $\int \tau dv$, by multiplying $\tau dv$ by $\sigma_{FWHM}$.

The first term of Eq. (C.1), which only depends on spectroscopic and observational line parameters, is plotted as a function of $E_u/k_B$ for the different detected lines. Thus, the $T_{rot}$ and $N$ can be derived by performing a linear least square fit to the points (see Fig. C.1). Although we detected four lines of H$_2$CN, it is not possible to perform a rotational diagram for this species since these lines correspond to different hyperfine structure transitions with equal $(N_{K_a,K_c})_u \rightarrow (N_{K_a,K_c})_h$ state (and also equal $E_u/k_B$, see Table B.6).

Under the conditions of a subthermally excited gas, the rotational population diagram of symmetric- and asymmetric-top molecules such as HCOOH shows separate rotational ladders for each set of transitions with the same $K_a$ quantum number. For very polar molecules, subthermal excitation happens at relatively high densities. Therefore, accurate column densities and rotational temperatures from rotational diagrams can only be obtained if the individual column densities for each rotational ladder are computed independently (see Cuadrado et al. 2016, 2017). This effect has also prevented the analysis of the CH$_3$SH lines since we have only detected one line with $K_a = 0$ and another with $K_a = 1$ per state (A/E).

For HCOOH, we built specific rotational diagrams for different sets of lines with the same $K_a$ quantum number. The total column density of the molecule is obtained by adding the column density of each rotational ladder. CH$_3$CHCN shows a similar tendency. However, due to the large uncertainties of the individual points and the limited number of observed transitions, we performed the rotational diagram from a single linear least square fitting. For the other molecules, it is possible to fit the lines from different $K_a$-ladders by a single $T_{rot}$ and $N$. Due to the reduced number of rotational transitions, the rotational diagram of O$^+$-NH$_2$CN (the lower inversion state of NH$_2$CN) was built by taking the different statistical weights for the ortho ($K_a = 1$) and para ($K_a = 0$) states into account (see $g_u$ in Table B.9). Interestingly, the rotational diagram of the very polar and asymmetric-top NH$_2$CN shows the points of different $K_a$-ladders merging in a single straight line. This characteristic straight diagram is typically seen towards high density regions, such as hot cores (see e.g. López et al. 2014). For this kind of species, this merging of the $K_a$-ladders only occurs at very high gas densities, which are higher than the critical density for collisional excitation.

For C$_3$N, different hyperfine structure components of the same $(N,F)_u$ $(N,F)$$_u$ transition are blended in a single line. Thus, to correctly determine $T_{rot}$ and $N$, the line strength ($S_{ul}$) was calculated as the sum of all allowed hyperfine components of each $(N,F)_u$ $(N,F)$$_u$ transition. The characteristic
frequency ($\nu$) was determined using the weighted average with the relative strength of each line as weight and $A_{ul}$ was calculated using these derived values.

Results for $T_{\text{rot}}$ and $N$ using the population diagram procedure are shown in Table 1 and Fig. C.1. The uncertainties were calculated using the statistical errors given by the linear least squares fit for the slope and the intercept. The individual errors of the data points are those derived by taking into account the uncertainty obtained in the determination of the observed line parameters (see Tables B.1–B.9).

**Fig. C.1.** Rotational diagrams of the detected molecules towards PKS 1830–211. Derived values of the rotational temperature, $T_{\text{rot}}$, column density, $N$, and their respective uncertainties are also indicated for each molecule.