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Abstract

Background: Social anxiety disorder (SAD) patients commonly have broad dimensions of psychopathology. This study investigated the relationship between a wide range of psychopathology and attention or cognitions during cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) for SAD.

Methods: We treated 96 SAD patients with group CBT. Using multiple regression analysis, we examined the associations between the changes in broad dimensions of psychopathology and the changes in self-focused attention or maladaptive cognitions in the course of CBT.

Results: The reduction in self-focused attention was related to the decreases in somatization, obsessive-compulsive, interpersonal sensitivity, anxiety, phobic anxiety, and global severity index. The reduction in maladaptive cognitions was associated with decreases in interpersonal sensitivity, depression, and global severity index.

Conclusions: The present study suggests that changes in self-focused attention and maladaptive cognitions may predict broad dimensions of psychopathology changes in SAD patients over the course of CBT. For the purpose of improving a wide range of psychiatric symptoms with SAD patients in CBT, it may be useful to decrease self-focus attention and maladaptive cognitions.
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Introduction

Social anxiety disorder (SAD) patients commonly have broad dimensions of psychopathology [1]. SAD with comorbid psychiatric disorders is thought to be severe condition [2].

The efficacy of cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) for SAD has been well established [3]. Several studies reported that the effects of CBT may extend beyond targeted anxiety disorder symptoms to improvements in broad dimensions of psychopathology [4, 5].

Models of SAD suggest that heightened self-focused attention and maladaptive cognitions influence the development and maintenance of social anxiety. Self-focused attention is a shift in attention from external stimuli to detailed monitoring of the self in threatening social situations [6]. Reductions in self-focused attention and maladaptive cognitions may be associated with improvements in SAD symptoms after CBT [7, 8]. However, whether self-focused attention or maladaptive cognitions is related to a wide range of psychopathology during CBT has not been well studied.

This study examined the relationship between broad dimensions of psychopathology and attention or cognitions in the course of CBT for SAD.

Materials and Methods

Participants

One hundred ten Japanese SAD patients attended the group CBT program. Entry criteria were principal Axis I diagnosis of SAD according to the Diagnosis and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (fourth edition) [9], as evaluated by using the Structured Clinical Interview for the Diagnosis and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (fourth edition) [10]. Exclusion criteria for this study were current psychosis, bipolar disorder and substance use disorder. The patients provided their written informed consent after receiving a full explanation of the purpose and procedures of the study. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the study’s protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of our institute.

Treatments

Our CBT program for SAD was originally based on the treatment manual developed by Andrews et al [11]. The program was composed of psychoeducation, behavioral experiments,
First, we used an independent samples t-test to compare the baseline demographic and clinical data between the patients who finished the program and those who did not. Second, we performed multiple linear regression analysis using the variables about the changes in BFNE and self-focused attention subscale of FAQ as independent variables and the changes in the 10 subscales of SCL-90-R as dependent variables. All the statistical tests were two-tailed. Except for the multiple linear regression analysis, P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. In the multiple linear regression analysis, we used the Bonferroni correction in order to counteract the problem of multiple comparison. We divided the original alpha value by the number of analyses on the dependent variable. The alpha value of less than 0.005 was considered statistically significant in the multiple linear regression analysis.

### Results

Fourteen patients out of 110 who entered the treatment dropped out prematurely from the group CBT program. There was no statistically significant difference in the baseline characteristics between the subgroups.

In multiple regression analysis (Table 1), the reduction in self-focused attention subscale of FAQ was associated with the decreases in somatization, obsessive-compulsive, interpersonal sensitivity, anxiety, phobic anxiety, and global severity index. The reduction in BFNE was related to decreases in interpersonal sensitivity, depression, and global severity index.

### Conclusion

The present study showed that the reductions in self-focused attention and maladaptive cognitions predicted broad dimensions of psychopathology reductions in SAD patients over the course of CBT.

A novel finding of our study is that the changes in self-focused attention may predict the changes in anxiety symptoms, whereas the changes in maladaptive cognitions may predict the changes in depression symptoms during CBT for SAD. For the purpose of improving anxiety symptoms with SAD patients in CBT, it may be useful to decrease self-focus attention. Moreover, it may be beneficial for SAD patients with comorbid depression symptoms to reduce maladaptive cognitions.

The present study has a number of limitations. First, this work was carried out as a single-arm and naturalistic study. Second, antidepressant and benzodiazepine medications were permitted during CBT. It is hard to consider dose effects of medications on this treatment program. Third, we used only self-reported questionnaires to assess dependent and independent variables.
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