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Abstract

Background: In 2017, an estimated 5.3 million under-five children died annually in Sub-Saharan African countries, more than half of those deaths occurred in East Africa. Though East African countries share the huge burden of global under-five mortality, there is limited evidence on the incidence and predictors of under-five mortality. Therefore, this study investigated the incidence and predictors of under-five mortality in East Africa.

Methods: A community-based cross-sectional study was done based on the Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) data of 12 East African countries conducted from 2008 to 2019. A total weighted sample of 138,803 live births within 5 years preceding the survey were included for analysis. The Kaplan-Meier curve and Log-rank test were done to assess the children’s survival experience across variable categories. The Global Schoenfeld residual test was employed for checking Proportional Hazard (PH) assumptions and it was violated ($p$-value $< 0.05$). Considering the hierarchical nature of DHS data, multilevel parametric survival models were fitted. Model comparison was made by AIC, deviance, and shape of the hazard function. Variables with a $p$-value of less than 0.2 in the bi-variable analysis were considered for the multivariable analysis. In the multilevel Weibull regression analysis, the Adjusted Hazard Ratio (AHR) with 95% Confidence Interval (CI) was reported to declare the significant predictors of under-five mortality.

Results: Under-five mortality rate in East Africa was 51.318 (95% CI: 51.311, 51.323) per 1000 live births. Babies born to mothers attained secondary education and above (AHR = 0.83, 95% CI: 0.75, 0.91), being 2nd - 4th birth order (AHR = 0.62, 95% CI: 0.56, 0.67), ≥ 5th birth order (AHR = 0.68, 95% CI: 0.61, 0.76), health facility delivery (AHR = 0.87, 95% CI: 0.82, 0.93), 1–3 ANC visit (AHR = 0.61, 95% CI: 0.54, 0.68), births interval of 24–48 months (AHR = 0.53, 95% CI: 0.50, 0.57), wanted pregnancy (AHR = 0.72, 95% CI: 0.68, 0.76), middle wealth status (AHR = 0.90, 95% CI: 0.83, 0.97), and richest wealth status (AHR = 0.81, 95% CI:0.73, 0.90) were significantly associated with lower hazards of under-five mortality. Whereas, advanced maternal age ($\geq$ 35 years) (AHR = 1.13, 95% CI: 1.04, 1.24), babies born to household who did not have media exposure (AHR = 1.13, 95% CI: 1.07, 1.20), twin births (AHR = 3.81, 95% CI: 3.52, 4.12), being male child (AHR = 1.27, 95%CI: 1.21, 1.33), small birth size at birth (AHR = 1.73, 95% CI: 1.63, 1.84), and large size at birth (AHR = 1.11, 95% CI: 1.04, 1.11) were significantly associated with higher hazards of under-five mortality.
Conclusion: Under-five mortality is a major public health concern in East African countries. Health facility delivery, ANC visit, higher wealth status, adequate birth spacing, wanted pregnancy, and maternal education were significantly correlated with a lower risk of under-five mortality. Whereas, higher birth order, small or large size at birth, male birth, twin birth, advanced maternal age and mothers who didn’t have media exposure were significantly correlated with a higher risk of under-five mortality. This study highlights that public health programs should enhance health facility delivery, ANC visit, media exposure, maternal education, and adequate birth spacing to decrease the incidence of under-five mortality in East Africa.
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Background
According to the World Health Organization (WHO), under-five mortality is defined as the death of a child before reaching age five [1]. Despite the global progress in under-five mortality [2], East African countries continued to share the huge burden [3]. Reducing under-five mortality is included in the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) as an unfinished agenda of MDGs [4]. Despite the substantial decrement in the global under-five mortality rates from 90.6 per 1000 live births in 1990 to 42.5 per 1000 live births in 2015 [5], an estimated 5.3 million children under age five still died annually [6].

The burden of under-five mortality is unevenly distributed across, it is highly concentrated in middle-income and low-income countries [7, 8]. Public health interventions such as Expanded Program of Immunization (EPI) [9, 10], exclusively breastfeeding practice for 6 months [11], and maternal health service utilization [12] such as Antenatal Care (ANC) visit, Postnatal Care (PNC) visit and health facility delivery are the basic strategies implemented by many African countries to reduce under-five mortality [13, 14].

The leading causes of under-five mortality in SSA are diarrhoeal disease [15], malnutrition [16], pneumonia [17], malaria [18], prematurity [19], and neonatal sepsis [20] which are preventable and treatable. Previous studies revealed that residence [21, 22], maternal education [23], husband education [24], marital status [25], sex of child [26], ANC visit [27], PNC [28], place of delivery [29], preceding birth interval [30], twin births [13], parity [31], maternal age [32], media exposure [33], wealth status [13], child nutritional status [34], mode of delivery [21, 35, 36] and women decision making autonomy [25] as significant predictors of under-five mortality.

Though there are studies reported in several East African countries [29, 37–42], they are unable to capture the incidence and predictors of the level of East African Region. Investigating the inter-country variation in the incidence of under-five mortality and the pooled incidence of under-five mortality at the East Africa level is important for prioritization to design public health interventions. Besides, this study was based on the weighted pooled DHS data of 12 East African countries that have adequate power to detect the true effect of the predictors on under-five mortality using multilevel survival analysis.

Methods
Data source
This study was based on the DHS data of 12 East African countries conducted from 2008 to 2019. DHS is a community-based cross-sectional study conducted every five-year to generate updated health and health-related indicators. The DHS conducted in Burundi, Ethiopia, Comoros, Uganda, Rwanda, Tanzania, Mozambique, Madagascar, Zimbabwe, Kenya, Zambia, and Malawi were appended together to determine the incidence and predictors of under-five mortality in East Africa. Each country’s survey consists of different datasets including men, women, children, birth, and household datasets; for this study, we used the Kids Record (KR) file. In the KR file, all mothers with under-five children born in the last 5 years preceding the survey were included. In DHS, a two-stage stratified cluster sampling technique was employed using the Population and Housing Census (PHC) as a sampling frame. In the first stage, Enumeration Areas (EAs) were chosen with probability sampling proportional to the size of the EAs with independent selection in each sampling stratum. In the second stage, households were systematically selected. The detailed sampling procedure was presented in the full DHS report. A total weighted sample of 138,803 live births was included in the study.

Variables of the study
The dependent variable was under-five mortality. A child who has died within 5 years of birth was considered as an event and those who were alive during the study period were considered as censored. Under-five mortality is defined as the death of live birth within 59 months of life. Age at death was recorded in months. Given the hierarchical nature of DHS data, independent variables considered for this study were from two sources (individual-level and community-level variables). The individual-level independent variables were
maternal education, maternal age, husband education, wealth status, media exposure, marital status, maternal occupation, child age, sex of child, birth order, birth size, birth outcome, birth size, place of delivery, mode of delivery, women health care decision making autonomy, unwanted pregnancy, number of ANC visit and preceding birth interval. The community-level variables were the place of residence, country, and distance to the health facility.

Data management and analysis
The data were weighted using sampling weight, primary sampling unit, and strata before any statistical analysis to restore the representativeness of the survey and to account for the sampling design to get reliable statistical estimates. The sampling statisticians determine how many samples are needed in each stratum to get reliable estimates, in DHS, some areas were oversampled, and some areas were under-sampled. So, to get statistics that are representative of the country, the distribution of under-5 children in the sample need to be weighted (mathematically adjusted) such that it resembles the true distribution in East Africa by using sampling weight (v005), primary sampling unit (v021) and strata (v022). The descriptive and summary statistics were conducted using STATA version 14 software. The DHS data has a hierarchical structure, and therefore under-5 children were nested within a cluster/EAs. This violates the traditional regression model assumption, which is the independence of observations and equal variance across clusters. The Proportional Hazard (PH) assumption was assessed using global schoenfeld residual test and it was violated ($p$-value < 0.05), and therefore, the Cox-proportional model was not the appropriate model for the data. To check whether there was clustering or not using Variance Partition Coefficient (VPC), it showed that there was clustering that should be considered using multilevel survival models to get a reliable estimate. For model selection; log-likelihood ratio test, deviance ($-2LL$), Akaike Information Criteria (AIC), and Cox-Snell residual plot were applied. A Cox-Snell residual plot considers the distribution and estimated parameters from the lifetime regression model, and the plot of the Weibull regression model was closer to the bisector than the others. Besides, the multilevel Weibull regression model was the best-fitted model as it had the lowest deviance, AIC, and BIC values.

Weibull regression is the most popular parametric model, as it is highly flexible and has simple hazard and survival functions [43, 44]. It provides estimates of the baseline hazard function. Which is characterized by two parameters: one is the shape parameter denoted by $\gamma$ and the other is the scale parameter denoted by $\lambda$. It is noted that when $\gamma = 1$, the hazard rate remains constant over time and the distribution turns exponential. The hazard rate increases when $\gamma > 1$ and decreases when $\gamma < 1$ over the lifetimes. As a result, Weibull distribution could be used to model the survival distribution of a population that has either increasing or decreasing or constant risk. Besides, we have checked the distribution of the data follows a Weibull distribution or not by plotting the logarithm of survival function against times, and the logarithm of the survival function decreases sharply from 0 to as time increases. Therefore, variables with a $p$-value less than 0.20 in the bivariable multilevel Weibull regression were included in the multivariable analysis. In the multivariable analysis, the Adjusted Hazard Ratio (AHR) with 95% Confidence Interval (CI) was used to declare significant predictors of under-five mortality.

Ethical consideration
Permission for data access was obtained from the measure DHS program through an online request from http://www.dhsprogram.com. The data used for this study were publicly available with no personal identifier.

Result
Socio-demographic and economic characteristics of study participants
Of the total 138,803 under-five children, about 19,563 (14.1%) were from Kenya, and 3235 (2.3%) were from Comoros. More than three-fourths (78.3%) of children’s mothers were rural residents. About 66,070 (47.6%) of their mother were aged 25–34 years and 73,811 (53.2%) of the mothers attained primary education (Table 1).

Maternal obstetric and child-related characteristics
The majority (56.5%) of the children were aged 12–36 months and about 50.6% were females. Nearly three-fourths (72.6%) of the children were born at the health facility and 4415 (3.2%) were multiple births (Table 2).

Incidence of under-five mortality
The under-five mortality rate in East Africa was 51.318 (95% CI: 51.311, 51.323) per 1000 live births, ranged from 38.608 (95% CI: 38.605, 38.615) per 1000 live births in Rwanda to 74.333 (95% CI: 74.332, 74.341) to 1000 live births in Mozambique (Fig. 1). The overall Kaplan-Meier failure curve indicated that the probability of under-five mortality increased over time. The risk of dying was increased alarmingly in the first year of life and slowly to aged 3 years and then it remains steady (Fig. 2). The log-rank test showed that there was a significant difference in under-five mortality probability across the residence, country, mode of delivery, birth order, ANC, birth outcome, place of delivery, preceding birth interval, health insurance coverage, distance to the health facility, wanted pregnancy, birth size, maternal
Table 1 The socio-demographic and economic characteristics of the study participants in East Africa

| Variable                        | Weighted frequency ($N = 138,803$) | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| **Country**                     |                                    |                |
| Burundi                         | 13,611                             | 9.8            |
| Ethiopia                        | 11,022                             | 7.9            |
| Kenya                           | 19,563                             | 14.1           |
| Comoros                         | 3235                               | 2.3            |
| Madagascar                      | 12,686                             | 9.1            |
| Malawi                          | 17,395                             | 12.5           |
| Mozambique                      | 11,704                             | 8.4            |
| Rwanda                          | 8003                               | 5.8            |
| Tanzania                        | 10,052                             | 7.2            |
| Uganda                          | 15,270                             | 11.0           |
| Zambia                          | 9841                               | 7.1            |
| Zimbabwe                        | 6418                               | 4.6            |
| **Residence**                   |                                    |                |
| Rural                           | 30,108                             | 21.7           |
| Urban                           | 108,695                            | 78.3           |
| **Maternal age**                |                                    |                |
| 15–24                           | 41,683                             | 30.0           |
| 25–34                           | 66,070                             | 47.6           |
| ≥ 35                            | 31,050                             | 22.4           |
| **Maternal education status**   |                                    |                |
| No                              | 33,448                             | 24.1           |
| Primary                         | 73,811                             | 53.2           |
| Secondary and above             | 31,544                             | 22.7           |
| **Husband education status**    |                                    |                |
| No                              | 22,654                             | 16.3           |
| Primary                         | 57,352                             | 41.3           |
| Secondary and above             | 58,797                             | 42.4           |
| **Wealth status**               |                                    |                |
| Poorest                         | 33,229                             | 23.9           |
| Poorer                          | 29,866                             | 21.5           |
| Middle                          | 26,820                             | 19.3           |
| Richer                          | 25,590                             | 18.4           |
| Richest                         | 23,298                             | 16.8           |
| **Media exposure**              |                                    |                |
| No                              | 48,776                             | 35.1           |
| Yes                             | 90,027                             | 64.9           |
| **Marital status**              |                                    |                |
| Single                          | 6482                               | 4.7            |
| Married                         | 118,613                            | 85.4           |
| Divorced/widowed/separated      | 13,708                             | 9.9            |
| **Respondent working**         |                                    |                |
| No                              | 44,616                             | 32.1           |
| Yes                             | 94,187                             | 67.9           |
| Variables                                           | Weighted frequency | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| **Child age (in months)**                           |                    |                |
| < 12                                                | 27,400             | 19.7           |
| 12–36                                               | 78,409             | 56.5           |
| 37–60                                               | 32,994             | 23.8           |
| **Sex of child**                                    |                    |                |
| Male                                                | 70,206             | 50.6           |
| Female                                              | 68,597             | 49.4           |
| **Birth outcome**                                   |                    |                |
| Single                                              | 134,388            | 96.8           |
| Multiple                                            | 4415               | 3.2            |
| **Birth size**                                      |                    |                |
| Large                                               | 40,812             | 29.4           |
| Average                                             | 64,315             | 46.3           |
| Small                                               | 33,676             | 24.3           |
| **Birth order**                                     |                    |                |
| First                                               | 32,654             | 23.5           |
| 2–4                                                 | 66,883             | 48.2           |
| ≥ 5                                                 | 39,266             | 28.3           |
| **Place of delivery**                               |                    |                |
| Home                                                | 38,005             | 27.4           |
| Health facility                                     | 100,798            | 72.6           |
| **Mode of delivery**                                |                    |                |
| Vaginal                                             | 130,518            | 94.0           |
| Caesarean delivery                                  | 8285               | 6.0            |
| **Women health care decision making autonomy**       |                    |                |
| Respondent alone                                    | 84,970             | 18.6           |
| Jointly with their husband/parent                   | 55,653             | 40.1           |
| Husband/parent alone                                | 57,402             | 41.3           |
| **Distance to health facility**                     |                    |                |
| A big problem                                       | 84,970             | 61.2           |
| Not a big problem                                   | 53,833             | 38.8           |
| **Unwanted pregnancy**                             |                    |                |
| No                                                  | 82,691             | 59.6           |
| Yes                                                 | 56,112             | 40.4           |
| **Number of ANC visit**                            |                    |                |
| No                                                  | 6127               | 4.4            |
| 1–3                                                 | 39,791             | 28.7           |
| ≥ 4                                                 | 92,885             | 66.9           |
| **Preceding birth interval (in months)**            |                    |                |
| < 24                                                | 18,963             | 13.7           |
| 24–48                                               | 58,591             | 42.2           |
| ≥ 49                                                | 61,249             | 44.1           |
Table 2  The maternal obstetric and child related characteristics of the study participants in East Africa (Continued)

| Variables | Weighted frequency | Percentage (%) |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------|
| **Weight/age** |                  |                |
| Normal    | 126,731           | 91.3           |
| Moderately underweight | 9089         | 6.6            |
| Severely underweight | 2983         | 2.2            |
| **Height/age** |                |                |
| Normal    | 107,654           | 77.6           |
| Moderately stunted | 19,032     | 13.7           |
| Severely stunted | 12,117     | 8.7            |
| **Weight/height** |              |                |
| Normal    | 134,523           | 96.9           |
| Moderately wasted | 3044        | 2.2            |
| Severely wasted | 1236         | 0.9            |

Predictors of under-five mortality

Maternal age, maternal education, media exposure, birth outcome, sex of the child, birth size, birth order, place of delivery, number of ANC visits, preceding birth interval, wanted pregnancy, wealth, women autonomy and country were significant predictors of under-five mortality. Children born to mothers aged 35 years and above had 1.13 times (AHR = 1.13, 95% CI: 1.04, 1.24) higher hazard of death in the first 5 years compared to a child born to a mother aged 15–24 years. A child born to a mother who attained secondary education and above had decreased risk of under-five mortality by 17% (AHR = 0.83, 95% CI: 0.75, 0.91) than a child born to a mother who had no formal education. A child born to a mother who had no media exposure was 1.13 times (AHR = 1.13, 95% CI: 1.07, 1.20) higher risk of under-five mortality than a child born to a mother who had media exposure. The hazard of under-five mortality among twin births was 3.81 times (AHR = 3.81, 95% CI: 3.52, 4.12) higher than single births. Being male had an increased hazard of under-five mortality by 27% (AHR = 1.27, 95% CI: 1.21, 1.33) than a female child. Children who were small and large size at birth had 1.73 times (AHR = 1.73, 95% CI:
1.63, 1.84) and 1.11 times (AHR = 1.11, 95% CI: 1.04, 1.17) higher hazard of under-five mortality than average size baby at birth respectively.

The hazard of under-five mortality among second to fourth, and five and above birth order was decreased by 38% (AHR = 0.62, 95% CI: 0.56, 0.67), and 32% (AHR = 0.68, 95% CI: 0.61, 0.76) than first birth respectively. The hazard of under-five mortality among children born at a health facility was decreased by 13% (AHR = 0.87, 95% CI: 0.82, 0.93) than those born at home. Children born to mothers who had 1–3 ANC visits during pregnancy had 0.61 times decreased hazard of mortality (AHR = 0.61, 95% CI: 0.54, 0.68) than a child born to a mother who didn’t have ANC visit during pregnancy. Children who were born within 24–48 birth intervals had decreased hazard of mortality by 47% (AHR = 0.53, 95% CI: 0.50, 0.57) than a child born within 23 months of birth interval. Children who were wanted having decreased hazard of mortality within 5 years of birth by 28% (AHR = 0.72, 95% CI: 0.68, 0.76) than unwanted childbirth.

The hazard of under-five mortality among child in the middle and richest household were decreased by 10% (AHR = 0.90, 95% CI: 0.83, 0.97) and 19% (AHR = 0.81, 95% CI: 0.73, 0.90) than child in the poorest household, respectively. Child in Burundi, Kenya, Comoros, Madagascar, Mozambique, and Zimbabwe were 1.23 times (AHR = 1.23, 95% CI: 1.06, 1.44), 0.79 times (AHR = 0.79, 95% CI: 0.68, 0.91), 0.66 times (AHR = 0.66, 95% CI: 0.53, 0.83), 1.10 times (AHR = 1.10, 95% CI: 0.95, 1.28), 1.42 times (AHR = 1.42, 95% CI: 1.21, 1.66), and 1.20 times (AHR = 1.20, 95% CI: 1.01, 1.45) higher hazard of under-five mortality than child in Rwanda respectively (Table 6).

| Table 3 | Log rank test for the predictors of under-five mortality in East Africa |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Variable | p-value | Variable | p-value |
| Residence | < 0.0001 | Mode of delivery | 0.03 |
| Country | < 0.0001 | Media exposure | 0.14 |
| Covered by health insurance | < 0.0001 | Maternal education | < 0.0001 |
| Wealth index | < 0.0001 | Husband education | < 0.0001 |
| Birth outcome | < 0.0001 | Wanted pregnancy | < 0.0001 |
| Distance to health facility | < 0.0001 | Women decision making autonomy | 0.005 |
| Place of delivery | < 0.0001 | Maternal age | < 0.0001 |
| ANC visit during pregnancy | < 0.0001 | Birth order | < 0.0001 |
| Birth interval | < 0.0001 | Birth size | < 0.0001 |
| Sex of child | < 0.0001 | |

| Table 4 | Schoenfeld residual test for checking proportional hazard assumption for the incidence of under-five mortality and its predictors among live births in the 12 East African countries |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Variables | Rho | Chi2 | Df | Prob > chi2 |
| Residence | 0.006 | 0.23 | 1 | 0.62 |
| Country | 0.022 | 3.54 | 1 | 0.06 |
| Wealth index | 0.04 | 12.48 | 1 | 0.0004 |
| Sex of child | 0.045 | 16.53 | 1 | < 0.0001 |
| Women education | 0.02 | 2.95 | 1 | 0.09 |
| Husband education | 0.034 | 8.53 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Place of delivery | 0.022 | 3.56 | 1 | 0.06 |
| ANC visit | 0.084 | 58.48 | 1 | < 0.0001 |
| Birth interval | 0.006 | 0.23 | 1 | 0.63 |
| Mode of delivery | 0.03 | 5.54 | 1 | 0.02 |
| Birth outcome | 0.08 | 42.99 | 1 | < 0.0001 |
| Maternal age | 0.04 | 13.76 | 1 | 0.0002 |
| Media exposure | 0.011 | 0.90 | 1 | 0.34 |
| Wanted pregnancy | 0.018 | 2.24 | 1 | 0.13 |
| Distance to health facility | 0.017 | 2.04 | 1 | 0.15 |
| Covered by health insurance | 0.0006 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.96 |
| Women autonomy | 0.0007 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.95 |
| Maternal age | 0.003 | 0.07 | 1 | 0.79 |
| Birth order | 0.0019 | 0.03 | 1 | 0.987 |
| Birth size | 0.093 | 71.41 | 1 | < 0.0001 |
| Global test | 333.84 | 20 | < 0.0001 |

Discussion

Under-5 mortality is an important global public health issue particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa since it is commonly used as one of the most sensitive measures of

| Table 5 | Model comparison parameters |
|----------|----------------------------|
| Parameter | Deviance | AIC | BIC |
| Weibull regression | 84,122.42 | 84,164.42 | 84,371.03 |
| exponential regression | 112,215.08 | 112,255.1 | 112,451.9 |
| gamma regression | 99,804.58 | 99,846.59 | 100,053.2 |
| lognormal regression | 99,575.74 | 99,617.74 | 99,824.35 |
| log- logistic regression | 98,813.36 | 98,855.35 | 99,061.96 |
Table 6 The multilevel Weibull regression analysis of individual and community level predictors of under-five mortality in East Africa

| Variable                          | Null model | Model 1 (AHR with 95% CI) | Model 2 (AHR with 95% CI) | Model 3 (AHR with 95% CI) |
|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Maternal age                     |            |                           |                           |                           |
| 15–24                            | 1          |                           |                           |                           |
| 25–34                            | 0.94 (0.88, 1.01) | 0.95 (0.89, 1.01)          |                           |
| ≥ 35                             | 1.13 (1.03, 1.23) | 1.13 (1.04, 1.24)*        |                           |
| Maternal education status        |            |                           |                           |                           |
| No                               | 1          |                           |                           |                           |
| Primary                          | 1.04 (0.98, 1.11) | 1.06 (0.99, 1.13)          |                           |
| Secondary and above              | 0.81 (0.74, 0.88) | 0.83 (0.75, 0.91)*        |                           |
| Husband education status         |            |                           |                           |                           |
| No                               | 1          |                           |                           |                           |
| Primary                          | 1.03 (0.96, 1.11) | 1.03 (0.96, 1.11)          |                           |
| Secondary and above              | 1.00 (0.92, 1.08) | 1.03 (0.95, 1.12)          |                           |
| Media exposure                   |            |                           |                           |                           |
| Yes                              | 1          |                           |                           |                           |
| No                               | 1.10 (1.05, 1.17) | 1.13 (1.07, 1.20)*        |                           |
| Birth outcome                    |            |                           |                           |                           |
| Single                           | 1          |                           |                           |                           |
| Multiple                         | 3.87 (3.57, 4.18) | 3.81 (3.52, 4.12)*        |                           |
| Sex of child                     |            |                           |                           |                           |
| Male                             | 1.23 (1.18, 1.29) | 1.27 (1.21, 1.33)*        |                           |
| Female                           | 1          |                           |                           |                           |
| Birth size                       |            |                           |                           |                           |
| Average                          | 1          |                           |                           |                           |
| small                            | 1.69 (1.60, 1.79) | 1.73 (1.63, 1.84)*        |                           |
| Large                            | 1.15 (1.08, 1.22) | 1.11 (1.04, 1.17)*        |                           |
| Birth order                      |            |                           |                           |                           |
| First                            | 1          |                           |                           |                           |
| 2–4                              | 0.61 (0.56, 0.67) | 0.62 (0.56, 0.67)*        |                           |
| ≥ 5                              | 0.68 (0.61, 0.76) | 0.68 (0.61, 0.76)*        |                           |
| Place of delivery                |            |                           |                           |                           |
| Home                             | 1          |                           |                           |                           |
| Health facility                  | 0.95 (0.90, 1.01) | 0.87 (0.82, 0.93)*        |                           |
| Mode of delivery                 |            |                           |                           |                           |
| Vaginal                          | 1          |                           |                           |                           |
| Caesarean section                | 1.06 (0.96, 1.17) | 1.09 (0.99, 1.21)          |                           |
| Number of ANC visit              |            |                           |                           |                           |
| No visit                         | 1          |                           |                           |                           |
| 1–3                              | 0.61 (0.54, 0.68) | 0.61 (0.54, 0.68)*        |                           |
| ≥ 4                              | 1.01 (0.90, 1.13) | 1.02 (0.91, 1.14)          |                           |
| Preceding birth interval (in months) |         |                           |                           |                           |
| < 24                             | 1          |                           |                           |                           |
| 24–48                            | 0.54 (0.51, 0.58) | 0.53 (0.50, 0.57)*        |                           |
| ≥ 49                             | 0.50 (0.46, 0.54) | 1.02 (0.91, 1.14)          |                           |
| Wanted birth                     |            |                           |                           |                           |
| No                               | 1          |                           |                           |                           |
| Yes                              | 0.68 (0.65, 0.72) | 0.72 (0.68, 0.76)*        |                           |
countries’ well-being and development. Newborns and children have improved substantially over the last three decades even though the targeted two-thirds reduction was not achieved in East Africa. The incidence of neo-natal mortality rate in East Africa was 51.32 (95% CI: 51.31, 51.32) per 1000 live births, and significantly varied across the East African countries. It was higher than the SDG target for child mortality to reduce under-5 mortality of at least as low as 25 deaths per 1000 live births [45]. It could be due to lack of access to healthcare facilities, improper hygiene and sanitation, unclean water and continued risk of malnutrition in East African countries contributes to the huge burden of under-five mortality [46]. Besides, many of the African countries are continued to be prone to the leading causes of infectious diseases such as pneumonia, malaria, and diarrheal diseases that are closely linked to poverty [47, 48].

In this study maternal age, maternal education, media exposure, birth outcome, sex of the child, birth size, birth order, place of delivery, number of ANC visits, preceding birth interval, wanted pregnancy, wealth status, and women autonomy were significant predictors of

| Variable                              | Null model | Model 1 (AHR with 95% CI) | Model 2(AHR with 95% CI) | Model 3 (AHR with 95% CI) |
|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| **Wealth status**                     |            |                            |                          |                          |
| Poorest                               | 1          |                            |                          |                          |
| Poorer                                | 0.98 (0.91, 1.04) | 0.95 (0.89, 1.02)          |                          |                          |
| Middle                                | 0.93 (0.86, 1.01) | 0.90 (0.83, 0.97)*         |                          |                          |
| Richer                                | 0.99 (0.91, 1.06) | 0.93 (0.86, 1.01)          |                          |                          |
| Richest                               | 0.89 (0.82, 0.98) | 0.81 (0.73, 0.90)*         |                          |                          |
| **Women health care decision making autonomy** |            |                            |                          |                          |
| Respondent alone                      | 1          |                            |                          |                          |
| Jointly with husband/partner          | 1.07 (1.01, 1.15) | 1.06 (0.99, 1.14)          |                          |                          |
| Husband/partner alone                 | 1.13 (1.06, 1.21) | 1.15 (1.07, 1.23)*         |                          |                          |
| **Residence**                         |            |                            |                          |                          |
| Rural                                 | 1.12 (1.05, 1.18) | 0.95 (0.89, 1.03)          |                          |                          |
| Urban                                 | 1          |                            |                          |                          |
| **Country**                           |            |                            |                          |                          |
| Rwanda                                | 1          |                            |                          |                          |
| Burundi                               | 1.43 (1.25, 1.64) | 1.23 (1.06, 1.44)*         |                          |                          |
| Ethiopia                              | 1.57 (1.37, 1.81) | 1.01 (0.84, 1.19)          |                          |                          |
| Kenya                                 | 1.12 (0.98, 1.28) | 0.79 (0.68, 0.91)*         |                          |                          |
| Comoros                               | 1.08 (0.87, 1.33) | 0.66 (0.53, 0.83)*         |                          |                          |
| Madagascar                            | 1.49 (1.30, 1.71) | 1.10 (0.95, 1.28)*         |                          |                          |
| Malawi                                | 1.25 (1.09, 1.49) | 1.07 (0.91, 1.25)          |                          |                          |
| Mozambique                            | 1.97 (1.73, 2.26) | 1.42 (1.21, 1.66)*         |                          |                          |
| Tanzania                              | 1.35 (1.17, 1.55) | 1.01 (0.86, 1.20)          |                          |                          |
| Uganda                                | 1.38 (1.21, 1.57) | 0.98 (0.84, 1.16)          |                          |                          |
| Zambia                                | 1.27 (1.11, 1.47) | 1.02 (0.86, 1.22)          |                          |                          |
| Zimbabwe                              | 1.43 (1.22, 1.68) | 1.20 (1.01, 1.45)*         |                          |                          |
| **Distance to health facility**       |            |                            |                          |                          |
| Not a big problem                      | 1          |                            |                          |                          |
| A big problem                         | 1.03 (0.98, 1.09) | 0.98 (0.93, 1.03)          |                          |                          |
| VPC 0.11                              | 0.08       |                            | 0.09                     | 0.07                     |
| LR test                               |             |                            | LR test vs. Weibull model: chibar2(01) = 27.11 Prob > = chibar2 = 0.0000 | LR test vs. Weibull model: chibar2(01) = 20.46 Prob > = chibar2 = 0.0000 |
| Deviance                              | 85,876.04  | 83,370.89                  | 85,648.85                | 83,211.55 |

* p-value < 0.05, ** p-value < 0.01, ANC Antenatal Care, VPC Variance Partition Coefficient, LR Likelihood Ratio
under-five mortality. Maternal age was the important predictor of under-5 mortality in East Africa, children born to mothers aged 35 years and above had a higher risk of under-5 mortality than children born to mothers aged 15–24 years. It was consistent with studies reported in Nigeria [49], and SSA [21, 50], it could be due to children born to advanced age mothers are at higher risk of low birth weight, prematurity, a congenital abnormality such as down syndrome, and malnutrition, this might be the possible reason for the increased risk of under-5 mortality among births to advanced age mothers [51]. Maternal education and media exposure were significant predictors of under-5 mortality. It was in line with study findings in SSA [23], India [52], Nigeria [33], and Ghana [13], this might be because maternal education and media exposure are the most powerful tool in reducing under-five mortality [53]. Educated mothers and mothers who have media exposure are more likely to use information more effectively when caring for children and tend to seek appropriate health care more effectively than mothers who are not educated [54, 55]. Besides, educated mothers are more aware of the importance of childhood vaccination and basic child health care services. This could reduce the risk of under-five mortality [56]. Health facility delivery and ANC visit were significant predictors of decreased risk of under-5 mortality. It was consistent with studies reported in Nigeria [57], and SSA [21, 58], it is since ANC visit during pregnancy and health facility delivery has a direct effect through prevention of infection, birth trauma, asphyxia and to rapidly detect and treat the complications [59]. Furthermore, ANC visit and health facility delivery is the entry point for the utilization of basic children health care services such as PNC visit, and childhood vaccination that could prevent the leading causes of under-5 mortality like pneumonia, malaria, and diarrheal diseases. This might be the possible explanation for the decreased risk of under-five mortality [60]. Male children were at increased risk of under-five mortality, this was supported by previous studies reported in India [61] and Iraq [62]. The excess risk of mortality among male children has been because the male sex is more vulnerable to morbidities such as low Apgar score, Intra-uterine Growth Restriction (IUGR), respiratory insufficiency, and prematurity than female sex [63]. As well, there is a hormonal difference among males and females, that males have a higher level of testosterone that has an association with pulmonary biomechanics and vascular development that could make males more vulnerable to respiratory and neurological diseases [64, 65]. Twin births were at higher risk of under-5 mortality than singletons. This was consistent study findings in Ethiopia [66], Burkina Faso [67], and Jordan [68], the excess risk of under-5 mortality among twin births is due to twin births are more likely to be born prematurely, to have intrauterine growth restriction, to be of lower birth weight, to have congenital abnormalities and to have complications around the time of labor and delivery, such as umbilical cord prolapse or premature separation of the placenta than singletons this might be the possible justifications [69, 70]. Having a preceding birth interval of 24–48 months had decreased the hazard of under-5 mortality than less than 24 months of birth interval. This was consistent with prior findings reported in developing countries [30, 71], the possible explanation could be due to the reason that mothers having shorter preceding birth intervals are less able to provide nourishment for the fetus because her body has less time to recuperate from the previous pregnancy. In addition, the uterus had less time to recover, and also lactation will deplete maternal nutrition [72] this could result in low birth weight, child malnutrition, lack of care, attention, and competition of children that could increase the risk of under-5 mortality. Children who were a small size or large size at birth had a higher hazard of under-five mortality than children who were average size at birth, it could be due to low birth weight babies and macrosomic babies have immaturity of organs and underlined medical conditions like congenital heart diseases, down syndrome, HIV/AIDS, and Diabetic Mellitus (DM) that might increase their risk of mortality within 5 years of life. Birth order has a significant influence on the hazard of under-5 mortality. Under-5 mortality was highest in the first birth, it was consistent with studies reported in Nigeria [49] and India [53]. The possible explanation is that the first birth has increased susceptibility to pregnancy-related complications such as Antepartum Haemorrhage (APH), preeclampsia, prematurity, and fetal distress that could increase their risk of mortality before their fifth birthday. Wealth inequality and women’s autonomy in health care decision-making had a significant effect on child survival, it was consistent with Bangladesh [73] and Ghana [74]. Under-5 mortality is highly concentrated in developing countries and is considered an indicator of the country’s poverty level [74, 75]. Children from wealthier households might have good nutrition and childhood health care services such as vaccination service, sunlight exposure, and good breastfeeding practices [76]. Unwanted births had a higher risk of under-five mortality than wanted births, this was supported by previous studies reported in Tanzania [42], it could be due to unwanted births may not have got adequate nutrition and basic childhood services.

This study has several strengths. First, the study was based on pooled weighted nationally representative DHS surveys of 12 East African countries that were weighted to make the resulting representative and to get a reliable
estimate. Secondly, multilevel survival analysis was fitted by considering the hierarchical nature of the DHS data to get identify community and individual-level predictors of under-5 mortality. Furthermore, the study was based on the large sample size, this could increase the power of the study to get the true effect of the predictors. This finding should be interpreted in light of the following limitations. First, this study was based upon recall by mothers and it is prone to recall bias. Second, the pooled incidence of under-five mortality has considerable heterogeneity across countries ($I^2 < 0.05$), and we tried to identify the source of heterogeneity through meta-regression and sub-group analysis but this was not significant. Furthermore, variables such as underlined medical conditions such as congenital heart diseases, pneumonia, malaria, etc. were not included in this study since these variables were not collected in DHS. Moreover, the DHS survey year was not the same in all countries, it was based on DHS conducted 2008 to 2019. This might overestimate or underestimate the incidence of under-five mortality.

**Policy implications**

Globally, evidence on the incidence and predictors of under-five mortality has grown substantially currently. This information has been used as a preventive measure that is linked to maternal and child health. From a policy point of view, the interventions which are designed to tackle under-five mortality such as child-hood vaccination, health facility delivery, periodic child growth monitoring, exclusive breastfeeding practice, and maternal education should be scaled to sustain the reduction in under-five mortality in East African countries. Maternal education is central to improve birth spacing, childhood mortality, and morbidity. Enhancing the availability of education to women is needed to increases the chance of child survival as they adhered to the maternal and child health guidelines and recommendations.

**Conclusion**

Under-five mortality remains the major public health problem in East Africa which significantly varied across countries. The multilevel survival analysis demonstrated different individual and community level predictors that have a significant influence on under-5 mortality. Advanced maternal age, twin births, low birth weight, macrosomia, and women who didn’t participate in health care decision-making autonomy were significantly associated with an increased hazard of under-5 mortality. Whereas, maternal education, media exposure, higher order of birth, health facility delivery, having ANC visit, birth interval $\geq 24$ months, wanted pregnancy and rich wealth index were significantly associated with a lower risk of under-5 mortality. These findings are important to guide public health programs and interventions targeting enhancing health facility delivery, empowering women in making health care decision making, ANC visits, and birth space using family planning services to reduce the incidence of under-5 mortality in East Africa.

**Abbreviations**

AHR: Adjusted hazard ratio; AIC: Akaike information criteria; ANC: Antenatal care; AHR: Adjusted hazard ratio; CI: Confidence interval; DHS: Demographic health survey; DM: Diabetic mellitus; LLR: Log-likelihood ratio; PH: Proportional hazard; PNC: Postnatal care; SDGs: Sustainable development goals; SSA: Sub-Saharan Africa; VPC: Variance partition coefficient; WHO: World Health Organization

**Acknowledgments**

We greatly acknowledge MEASURE DHS for granting access to the East African DHS data sets.

**Authors’ contributions**

GAT, ABT, and ZTT conceived the study. GAT, ABT, and ZTT analyzed the data, drafted the manuscript, and reviewed the article. GAT, ABT and ZTT extensively reviewed the article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding**

No funding was obtained for this study.

**Availability of data and materials**

Data is available online and you can access it from www.measuredhs.com.

**Declarations**

**Ethical approval and consent to participate**

Since the study was a secondary data analysis of publically available survey data from the MEASURE DHS program, ethical approval and participant consent were not necessary for this particular study. We requested DHS Program and permission was granted to download and use the data for this study from http://www.dhsprogram.com. There are no names of individuals or household addresses in the data files.

**Consent for publication**

Not applicable since the study was a secondary data analysis.

**Competing interests**

Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Ahmad OB, Lopez AD, Inoue M. The decline in child mortality: a reappraisal. Bull World Health Organ. 2000;78(10):1175–91.
2. Lim SS, Allen K, Bhutta ZA, Dandona L, Forouzanfar MH, Fullman N, et al. Measuring the health-related sustainable development goals in 188 countries: a baseline analysis from the global burden of disease study 2015. Lancet. 2016;388(10053):1813–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31467-2.
3. Lozano R, Fullman N, Abate D, Abay SM, Abbafati C, Abbas N, et al. Measuring progress from 1990 to 2017 and projecting attainment to 2030 of the health-related sustainable development goals for 195 countries and territories: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. Lancet. 2018;392(10159):2091–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32281-5.
4. Kumar S, Kumar N, Vivekadhish S. Millennium development goals (MDGS) to sustainable development goals (SDGS): addressing unfinished agenda and strengthening sustainable development and partnership. Indian J Commun Med. 2016;41(1):1–4. https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-0218.170955.
