Association between Intrapancreatic Fat Deposition and the Leptin/Ghrelin Ratio in the Fasted and Postprandial States
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Abstract

Background: The clinical relevance of excess intrapancreatic fat deposition (IPFD) is increasingly appreciated. Leptin and ghrelin are key players in the regulation of food intake, energy balance, and body fat mass. The aim was to investigate the associations of the leptin/ghrelin ratio and its components with IPFD. Methods: All participants underwent magnetic resonance imaging on a 3T scanner to quantify IPFD. Both fasting and postprandial blood samples were analyzed for leptin and acylated ghrelin. Linear regression analysis was conducted, accounting for visceral/subcutaneous fat volume ratio, glycated hemoglobin, and other covariates. Results: A total of 94 participants (32 women) with a median age of 56 (interquartile range 44–66) years were studied. Their median IPFD was 9.6% (interquartile range 8.8–10.4%). In the fasted state, the leptin/ghrelin ratio (β = 0.354; 95% confidence interval 0.044–0.663; p = 0.025, in the most adjusted model) and leptin (β = 0.040; 95% confidence interval 1.003–1.078; p = 0.035, in the most adjusted model) were significantly associated with IPFD. Ghrelin in the fasted state was not significantly associated with IPFD. In the postprandial state, the leptin/ghrelin ratio, leptin, and ghrelin were not significantly associated with IPFD. Conclusion: Fasting circulating levels of leptin are directly associated with IPFD. Purposely designed mechanistic studies are warranted to determine how high leptin may contribute to excess IPFD.

Introduction

Fatty pancreas disease is the most common pathology of the pancreas, with the prevalence of at least 16% in the general population [1, 2]. It is associated with a 1.7-times increased risk of arterial hypertension, 2.1-times increased risk of diabetes, and 2.4-times increased risk of metabolic syndrome [3]. It also affects considerably diseases of the exocrine pancreas, such as pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer [4–6]. Importantly, fatty pancreas disease is a potentially reversible pathology as intrapancreatic fat deposition (IPFD) can be significantly reduced in response to nutritional interventions. Intermittent fasting, as compared with high-fat diet, was shown to significantly reduce IPFD [7]. Reduced IPFD following a low-calorie diet (700 kcal/day) in individuals with diabetes led to normalization of blood glucose [8]. Moreover, only
people with remission of diabetes (but not those who had diabetes at 24 months of follow-up) had a significantly reduced IPFD [9]. Also, a randomized controlled trial of Mediterranean diet (low in carbohydrates and rich in unsaturated fats) versus low-fat diet (both diets aimed at an energy intake of 1,500–1,800 kcal/day) demonstrated that the former results in a significantly lower IPFD [10].

The pathophysiological mechanisms underlying fatty pancreas disease are yet to be fully elucidated. The reduction in IPFD following certain diets points to the possible role of the energy balance and food intake, known to be regulated in antagonistic fashion by 2 hormones – leptin and ghrelin. The “satiety hormone” leptin is a 167-amino-acid peptide predominantly secreted by adipocytes. It regulates food intake mainly by stimulating anorexigenic (pro-opiomelanocortin) neurons and inhibiting orexigenic (neuropeptide Y and Agouti-related protein) neurons in the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus [11]. Most of the effects of leptin on end organs and systems are indirect via the central nervous system. The “hunger hormone” ghrelin is a 28-amino-acid peptide predominantly secreted by enterochromaffin cells located in the stomach. The orexigenic effect of ghrelin is mediated via central activation of neuropeptide Y pathways, which causes a short-term increase in food intake. It also stimulates appetite by increasing gastrointestinal motility and reducing the secretion of insulin [12]. Leptin and ghrelin are reciprocally regulated, and the usefulness of studying the ratio of their concentrations (originally called the “ghrelin-leptin tango” [13]) has been demonstrated in people with obesity. However, a systematic literature review by the COSMOS group has found no study to investigate the leptin/ghrelin ratio specifically in the context of IPFD and taking into account visceral and subcutaneous fat volumes [14]. The aim of the present study was to investigate the associations between IPFD and the leptin/ghrelin ratio, leptin, and ghrelin in both fasted and post-prandial states.

Methods

Study Design and the Eligibility Criteria
This was a cross-sectional study as part of the ARIES project [15]. The study was conducted at the COSMOS clinic (University of Auckland) and the university’s center of advanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Participants were included if they were at least 18 years of age, provided informed consent, resided in Auckland at the time of the study, and had a history of acute pancreatitis (no earlier than 3 months prior to the study date). Diagnosis of acute pancreatitis required the presence of at least 2 of the following 3 criteria: characteristic findings on computed tomography, MRI, or ultrasonography during hospitalization; pain typical of acute pancreatitis; and serum amylase and/or lipase levels that are >3 times higher the upper limit of normal. Participants were excluded if they had post-endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography pancreatitis, chronic pancreatitis, hereditary pancreatitis, pancreatic cancer (or another malignancy), pancreatic lipomatosis or lipomatous pseudohypertrophy, congenital anomalies of the pancreas, cognitive disability, and cystic fibrosis, received surgical, endoscopic, or radiologic interventions involving the pancreas, received steroid therapy, were pregnant or postpartum, and had metallic foreign body implantsations, heart pacemakers, or other implanted electronic devices.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics Mac Version 25 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). χ² test and independent t test were used to compare baseline characteristics of the overall cohort and the subset of participants who underwent the mixed-meal test. Categorical and continuous variables were presented as frequency (percentage) or median (interquartile range), respectively. Linear regression analysis using generalized linear models of data in the fasted state was performed to investigate the associations between IPFD and the leptin/ghrelin ratio, leptin,
and ghrelin. Three adjusted models were built alongside the unadjusted model (model 1). Model 2 was adjusted for age and sex; model 3 – age, sex, V/S fat volume ratio, and glycated hemoglobin; and model 4 – age, sex, V/S fat volume ratio, glycated hemoglobin, etiology of pancreatitis, recurrence of pancreatitis, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation (APACHE) II score, and time since pancreatitis. All the statistical assumptions were met. Results were reported as β coefficients, 95% confidence intervals, and p values. A p value of <0.05 was deemed to be statistically significant. Changes in postprandial levels of the leptin/ghrelin ratio, leptin, and ghrelin were analyzed by calculating the area under curve using the trapezoidal rule. Linear regression analysis was used to investigate the associations between IPFD and total areas under curve of the leptin/ghrelin ratio, leptin, and ghrelin, as described above.

**Results**

**Characteristics of Participants**

The study cohort used for the analysis in the fasted state included 94 individuals with a median age of 56 (44–66) years. Of these, there were 62 men and 32 women. A subset of 37 individuals, whose baseline characteristics did not differ significantly from the overall cohort (Table 1), was used for the analysis in the postprandial state. The intra-class correlation coefficient was 0.97 for IPFD measurements by 2 independent raters (Fig. 1). Both subcutaneous fat volume and visceral fat volume measurements had the intra-class correlation coefficient of 0.99.

| Characteristics                          | Fasted state (n = 94) | Postprandial state (n = 37) | p value |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Age, years                               | 56 (44–66)            | 56 (41–66)                  | 0.941   |
| Sex                                      |                       |                             | 0.684   |
| Men                                      | 62 (65.9)             | 26 (70.3)                   |         |
| Women                                    | 32 (34.1)             | 11 (29.7)                   |         |
| Weight, kg                               | 84 (72–99)            | 86 (73–102)                 | 0.878   |
| Height, m                                | 1.72 (1.65–1.81)      | 1.75 (1.66–1.84)            | 0.759   |
| Body mass index, kg/m²                   | 27.5 (24.5–33.4)      | 27.8 (24.9–33.8)            | 0.802   |
| Visceral fat volume, L                   | 1.94 (1.23–2.67)      | 1.86 (1.13–2.47)            | 0.610   |
| Subcutaneous fat volume, L               | 2.88 (2.09–4.08)      | 2.74 (2.03–3.84)            | 0.483   |
| Glycated hemoglobin, mmol/mol            | 37 (34–41)            | 38 (35–40)                  | 0.413   |
| Total cholesterol at baseline, mmol/L    | 5.0 (4.0–5.7)         | 4.8 (3.9–5.5)               | 0.845   |
| HDL cholesterol at baseline, mmol/L      | 1.3 (1.1–1.6)         | 1.2 (1.0–1.6)               | 0.715   |
| LDL cholesterol at baseline, mmol/L      | 2.8 (1.9–3.2)         | 2.9 (2.0–3.2)               | 0.929   |
| Triglycerides at baseline, mmol/L        | 1.5 (0.9–2.5)         | 1.6 (1.0–2.5)               | 0.821   |
| Etiology                                 |                       |                             | 0.561   |
| Biliary                                  | 44 (46.8)             | 20 (54.1)                   |         |
| Nonbiliary                               | 50 (53.2)             | 17 (45.9)                   |         |
| Recurrence                               |                       |                             | 0.664   |
| No                                       | 70 (74.5)             | 26 (70.3)                   |         |
| Yes                                      | 24 (25.5)             | 11 (29.7)                   |         |
| APACHE II score                          | 5.5 (3–8)             | 7 (2–10)                    | 0.385   |
| Time since pancreatitis (months)         | 23 (15.8–43.5)        | 19 (11.5–25.5)              | 0.190   |

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or frequency (percentage). APACHE, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein. 

![Fig. 1. Intra-class correlation plot for intrapancreatic fat measurements by 2 independent raters.](image-url)
Associations between IPFD and the Leptin/Ghrelin Ratio (and Its Components) in the Fasted State

IPFD was not significantly associated with the leptin/ghrelin ratio in the univariate analysis, but was significantly associated with the ratio across all the adjusted models (Table 2). IPFD was not significantly associated with circulating levels of leptin in the univariate analysis, but was significantly associated with them across all the models.

Table 2. The studied associations in the fasted state

| Variables                | Model | Intrapancreatic fat deposition | p value |
|--------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|---------|
|                          |       | β coefficient                  | 95% confidence interval |          |
| Leptin/ghrelin ratio     | 1     | 0.266                          | −0.056, 0.587            | 0.106    |
|                          | 2     | 0.405                          | 0.085, 0.725             | 0.013    |
|                          | 3     | 0.416                          | 0.102, 0.731             | 0.009    |
|                          | 4     | 0.354                          | 0.044, 0.663             | 0.025    |
| Leptin, ng/mL            | 1     | 0.034                          | −0.004, 0.072             | 0.082    |
|                          | 2     | 0.052                          | −0.012, 0.091             | 0.010    |
|                          | 3     | 0.046                          | 0.008, 0.085             | 0.019    |
|                          | 4     | 0.040                          | 0.003, 0.078             | 0.035    |
| Ghrelin, pg/mL           | 1     | 0.240                          | −0.011, 0.059             | 0.179    |
|                          | 2     | 0.026                          | −0.007, 0.059             | 0.129    |
|                          | 3     | 0.017                          | −0.017, 0.050             | 0.330    |
|                          | 4     | 0.028                          | −0.005, 0.060             | 0.099    |

Model 1 was based on the univariate analysis. Model 2 was adjusted for age and sex. Model 3 was adjusted for age, sex, visceral-to-subcutaneous fat volume ratio, and glycated hemoglobin. Model 4 was adjusted for age, sex, visceral-to-subcutaneous fat volume ratio, glycated hemoglobin, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II score, etiology, recurrence, and time since first pancreatitis episode. Significance was set at p < 0.05. p values < 0.05 are shown in bold.

Model 1 was based on the univariate analysis. Model 2 was adjusted for age and sex. Model 3 was adjusted for age, sex, visceral-to-subcutaneous fat volume ratio, and glycated hemoglobin. Model 4 was adjusted for age, sex, visceral-to-subcutaneous fat volume ratio, glycated hemoglobin, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II score, etiology, recurrence, and time since first pancreatitis episode. Significance was set at p < 0.05. p values < 0.05 are shown in bold.

Table 3. The studied associations in the postprandial state

| Variables                | Model | Intrapancreatic fat deposition | p value |
|--------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|---------|
|                          |       | β coefficient                  | 95% confidence interval |          |
| Leptin/ghrelin ratio     | 1     | 0.206                          | −0.428, 0.841            | 0.524    |
|                          | 2     | 0.641                          | −0.012, 1.295             | 0.054    |
|                          | 3     | 0.454                          | −0.225, 1.132             | 0.190    |
|                          | 4     | 0.306                          | −0.342, 0.953             | 0.355    |
| Leptin, min × ng/mL      | 1     | 0.275                          | −0.325, 0.875             | 0.369    |
|                          | 2     | 0.654                          | −0.050, 1.258             | 0.034    |
|                          | 3     | 0.445                          | −0.204, 1.094             | 0.179    |
|                          | 4     | 0.389                          | −0.236, 1.013             | 0.222    |
| Ghrelin, min × pg/mL     | 1     | 0.302                          | −0.829, 1.433             | 0.601    |
|                          | 2     | 0.268                          | −0.798, 1.334             | 0.622    |
|                          | 3     | 0.074                          | −0.945, 1.094             | 0.886    |
|                          | 4     | 0.288                          | −0.761, 1.336             | 0.591    |

Model 1 was based on the univariate analysis. Model 2 was adjusted for age and sex. Model 3 was adjusted for age, sex, visceral-to-subcutaneous fat volume ratio, and glycated hemoglobin. Model 4 was adjusted for age, sex, visceral-to-subcutaneous fat volume ratio, glycated hemoglobin, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II score, etiology, recurrence, and time since first pancreatitis episode. Significance was set at p < 0.05. p values < 0.05 are shown in bold.
adjusted models (Table 2). IPFD was not significantly associated with ghrelin levels, in both the unadjusted and adjusted models (Table 2).

**Associations between IPFD and the Leptin/Ghrelin Ratio (and Its Components) in the Postprandial State**

Changes in the leptin/ghrelin ratio, leptin, and ghrelin during the mixed-meal test are presented in Figure 2. IPFD was not significantly associated with either the leptin/ghrelin ratio or ghrelin levels, in both unadjusted and adjusted models (Table 3). IPFD was significantly associated with circulating levels of leptin in the model adjusted for age and sex, but not the other models (Table 3).

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the associations between IPFD and the leptin/ghrelin ratio as well as its components, in both fasted and postprandial states. The main finding was that the leptin/ghrelin ratio was significantly directly associated with IPFD in the fasted state (but not in the postprandial state). Further, this finding was driven by circulating levels of leptin, but not acylated ghrelin. Importantly, the observed associations held true independent of age, sex, abdominal fat volumes, and glycated hemoglobin – the factors that are known to affect both IPFD and the studied hormones [3, 14].

It is well known that, in general, circulating levels of leptin are strongly associated with fat mass in both humans and animals [21]. However, considerable differences in leptin gene expression between various fat depots have been observed. For example, leptin mRNA levels were up to 5 times higher in subcutaneous fat than visceral fat in both lean and obese humans [22]. In rodents, leptin mRNA levels were significantly higher in retroperitoneal than in inguinal adipose tissues [23]. The present study adds to the growing body of knowledge on the associations between leptin and ectopic fat depots by showing that, for every 1 ng/mL change in levels of leptin, there is a 0.04% increase in IPFD (after adjustment for age, sex, V/S fat volume ratio, glycated hemoglobin, and other covariates). This finding implicates leptin as an important contributor to morphology of both the exocrine and endocrine pancreas, which ultimately leads to altered functions of this organ. Leptin is known to promote the production of type 1 collagen and growth factor β1 by activated stellate cells [24]. Hence, it is possible that leptin contributes to reduced regenerative capacity of the pancreas, fibrosis, and fatty replacement of pancreatic acini via pancreatic stellate cells [25]. Leptin may also affect the endocrine pancreas as β cells are known to express the leptin receptor [26]. Changes in leptin signaling in β cells could potentially lead to hyperinsulinemia, exacerbating the adipogenic effect of insulin and resulting in the accumulation of fat [27, 28].

This study had several strengths. First, it was informed by 2 complementary systematic reviews on IPFD by the COSMOS group that enabled benchmarking of available evidence on the subject [3, 14]. Second, as body mass index is a crude proxy for body fat at an individual level, we used MRI as the modern gold standard for measurement of abdominal fat distribution. Further, visceral and subcutaneous fat depots were reported as volumes, not areas.
(the latter is less time-consuming approach but is also less comprehensive). Third, all MRI measurements were done independently by 2 raters (in a blinded fashion) for the entire cohort and yielded excellent inter-rater reliability (intra-class correlation coefficients >0.90). Fourth, we investigated all the fat depots on a continuous scale because there is a lack of consensus on cutoff values for these. This approach increased generalizability of the reported findings. Last, several robust statistical models were built to investigate the effect of possible confounders, including but not limited to age, sex, glycated hemoglobin, and abdominal fat distribution. The findings across most of the adjusted models were consistent, reducing the possibility that the associations were observed merely due to chance.

One of the main limitations of the present study was its cross-sectional study design. Therefore, no causal inference can be drawn from the presented findings. While the putative pathways described above may explain how increased leptin and the leptin/ghrelin ratios can lead to excess IPFD, one cannot conclude whether increased leptin and the leptin/ghrelin ratio cause high IPFD or the other way around. Also, given that this was the first study in the literature to investigate the association between IPFD and the leptin/ghrelin ratio, we had no data to inform our power calculation. Hence, the study might have been underpowered, overpowered, or adequately powered. Last, albeit the presented estimates were adjusted for several possible confounders (including but not limited to age, sex, and V/S fat volume ratio), it is conceivable that some important covariates of the studied associations were not investigated.

In conclusion, the leptin/ghrelin ratio in the fasted state was significantly directly associated with IPFD. In considering the components of the ratio individually, circulating levels of leptin (but not ghrelin) were significantly directly associated with IPFD. When the observed findings are externally validated and the mechanistic basis of the link between leptin and IPFD is elucidated, leptin can be considered as a potential therapeutic target with a view to reducing the burden of fatty pancreas disease.
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