We analyze the equilibrium and non-equilibrium frequency-dependent spin current noise and spin conductance through a quantum dot in the local moment regime. Spin current correlations are shown to behave markedly differently from charge correlations: Equilibrium spin cross-correlations are suppressed at frequencies below the Kondo scale, and are characterized by a universal function that we determine numerically for $T = 0$ temperature. For asymmetrical quantum dots dynamical spin accumulation resonance is found at the Kondo energy, $\omega \sim T_K$. At higher temperatures surprising low-frequency anomalies related to overall spin conservation appear.
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1. Focusing on the Kondo regime, we shall assume that there is a single spin $S = 1/2$ electron on the quantum dot, which couples to the electrons on the leads through the Kondo interaction$^{10}$,

$$H_{\text{int}} = \sum_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}'} \int \frac{d \varepsilon}{2} v_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'} S \psi^\dagger_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} c_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}'} \psi_{\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{s}'} . \tag{1}$$

Here $\sigma$ stands for the three Pauli matrices, the fields $\psi_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} = \int_D \psi_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} (\varepsilon) d \varepsilon$ destroy electrons of spin $\sigma$ in leads $\mathbf{r} \in \{L, R\}$, and their dynamics are governed by the non-interacting Hamiltonian, $H_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} \int \varepsilon c_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}}^\dagger (\varepsilon) c_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} (\varepsilon) d \varepsilon$. The coupling $j$ in Eq. (1) is the usual dimensionless coupling, which incorporates already the density of states in the leads, and is related to the Kondo temperature as $T_K \approx D e^{-j/2}$, with $D$ the cut-off energy appearing in $\psi_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}}$. The dimensionless hybridization parameters are given by $v_L = \cos (\phi/2)$ and $v_R = \sin (\phi/2)$, with $\phi$ parametrizing the asymmetry of the dot: $\phi = \pi/2$ corresponds to a symmetrical quantum dot with maximum transmittance.

Equilibrium noise. In view of the special structure of Eq. (1), it is natural to introduce the 'even' and 'odd' linear combinations, $\Psi = \cos (\phi/2) \psi_L + \sin (\phi/2) \psi_R$, and $\Psi = \sin (\phi/2) \psi_L - \cos (\phi/2) \psi_R$. Although only $\Psi$ couples to the spin in $H_{\text{int}}$, changing the chemical potential in one of the leads couples the fields $\Psi$ and $\Psi$, and both

Here we carry out a detailed analysis of the full frequency spectrum of the spin-dependent current noise in the Kondo regime. We show that equilibrium spin current correlations are characterized by two universal functions, which we determine numerically for $T = 0$ temperature using the method of numerical renormalization group (NRG)$^{18}$, and compute analytically for large frequencies. At finite temperatures, we analyze spin correlations using a perturbative approach. We find in all regimes that correlations between electrons of the same and opposite spins behave markedly differently. In the perturbative regime these remarkable differences emerge at frequencies below the Korringa relaxation rate: while a dip appears in the frequency-dependent noise of opposite spin directions, a large peak develops for the parallel spin components. These surprising features are all intimately related to spin conservation.
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Model. Focusing on the Kondo regime, we shall assume that there is a single spin $S = 1/2$ electron on the quantum dot, which couples to the electrons on the leads through the Kondo interaction$^{10}$,
contribute to the spin noise.

To compute the noise, we first define the spin component $\sigma$ of the current in lead $r$ through the equation of motion, $J_{r\sigma} = e \dot{N}_{r\sigma} = e i [H_{\text{int}}, N_{r\sigma}]$. The corresponding current is found to have two distinct (even and odd) parts, $J_{r\sigma} = I_{r\sigma} + \tilde{I}_{r\sigma}$, with

$$I_{r\sigma} = e \int d\omega \left( F_\sigma^\dagger \Psi_\sigma - \Psi_\sigma^\dagger F_\sigma \right),$$

$$\tilde{I}_{r\sigma} = e \int d\omega \left( \tilde{F}_\sigma^\dagger \tilde{\Psi}_\sigma - \tilde{\Psi}_\sigma^\dagger \tilde{F}_\sigma \right),$$

(2)

and the prefactors defined as $\gamma_{L/R} = [1 \pm \cos(\phi)]/4$ and $\gamma_{L/R} = \pm \sin(\phi)/4$. The operator $F_\sigma = (S_\sigma \Psi)$ denotes the so-called composite fermion operator $[20]$, and represents the universal (Kondo) part of the dot-electron.

The operator identity, $I_{\uparrow} + I_{\downarrow} = 0$, and the simple even-odd decomposition of $J_{r\sigma}$ imply that, in equilibrium and in the absence of external magnetic field, the sixteen components of the symmetrized noise $S_{rr'}^{\sigma\sigma'}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \langle (J_{r\sigma}(t), J_{r'\sigma'}(0)) \rangle$, depend on just two universal functions, $s$ and $\tilde{s}$. Maybe the most interesting left-right noise component, $S_{L/R}^{\sigma\sigma'}(\omega)$, can be expressed, e.g., as

$$S_{L/R}^{\sigma\sigma'}(\omega) = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi} T_K \sin^2(\phi) \left( \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \tilde{s}(\omega) + \sigma' \sigma s(\omega) \right),$$

where $e^2/2\pi = e^2/h$ denotes the universal conductance unit, and the dimensionless functions $s$ and $\tilde{s}$ depend exclusively on the ratios $\omega/T_K$ and $T/T_K$. The function $s$ is related to the ‘even’ current component, and it governs the correlations between spin up and spin down carriers, however, its contribution cancels in the charge noise and charge conductance, which are exclusively determined by the ‘odd’ component of the current, incorporated in $\tilde{s}$.

In equilibrium, the fluctuation-dissipation theorem relates $S_{rr'}^{\sigma\sigma'}(\omega)$ to the real part of the spin-conductance through the dot, $\text{Re} \ G_{rr'}^{\sigma\sigma'}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\omega} \coth(\omega/2T) S_{rr'}^{\sigma\sigma'}(\omega)$, which can therefore also be expressed in terms of two dimensionless universal conductance functions, $g(\omega, T)$ and $\tilde{g}(\omega, T)$. The left-right conductance, e.g., reads

$$\text{Re} \ G_{L/R}^{\sigma\sigma'}(\omega) = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi} \sin^2(\phi) \left( \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \tilde{g}(\omega, T) + \sigma' \sigma g(\omega, T) \right).$$

Using tedious but straightforward manipulations, we can express $g$ and $\tilde{g}$ in terms of the spectral functions $g_F(\omega, T)$ and $g_{\tilde{F}}(\omega, T)$ of the composite fermion and of the “current” operator $I_\sigma \equiv i (F_\sigma^\dagger \Psi_\sigma - \Psi_\sigma^\dagger F_\sigma)$,

$$\tilde{g}(\omega, T) = \frac{1}{2\omega} \int d\omega' \left[ g_F(\omega', T) - g_F(0) \right] f(\omega' - \omega) - f'(\omega' + \omega) \right],$$

$$g(\omega, T) = -\frac{1}{2\omega} g_F(0) g_{\tilde{F}}(\omega, T),$$

(3)

with $f(\omega)$ denoting the Fermi function $[21]$. Since $F_\sigma$ and $I_\sigma$ are local operators, we can compute $g$ and $\tilde{g}$ (and thus $s$ and $\tilde{s}$) by using the powerful method of numerical renormalization group (NRG) $[18, 22]$.

**FIG. 1:** (color online) Zero-temperature universal functions $s$ and $\tilde{s}$ computed by NRG. Inset: universal spin conductance functions $g$ and $\tilde{g}$.

$T = 0$, **equilibrium results.** The $T = 0$ temperature universal functions $\tilde{s}(\omega/T_K)$, and $s(\omega/T_K)$ and the conductance functions $g(\omega/T_K)$ and $\tilde{g}(\omega/T_K)$ are displayed in Fig. 1. The high-frequency behavior of $s$ and $\tilde{s}$ can be captured by doing perturbation theory in $j$ and summing up the leading logarithmic corrections to give

$$\tilde{s}(\omega/T_K) \approx \frac{3}{2} \frac{s(\omega/T_K)}{T_K \ln(10|\omega/T_K|)}$$

for $\omega \gg T_K$. Though they look similar at high frequencies, $s$ and $\tilde{s}$ behave markedly differently in the Fermi liquid regime, $\omega \ll T_K$, where $\tilde{s} = 3/2 \omega/T_K + \ldots$, while $s = \omega/T_K + \ldots$, with $\tilde{s}$ and $s$ universal constants of the order of unity. The $\omega^3$ scaling of $s$ is related to spin conservation: In the absence of external spin relaxation mechanism, the total number of spin up electrons can fluctuate between two values, $N_\uparrow$ and $N_\downarrow + 1$. Since the spin up electrons couple to the spin down electrons only at a single point (the quantum dot), no steady spin current can be generated for the spin down electrons by injecting spin up electrons in one of the leads. Thus the spin conductance $G_{L/R}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(\omega)$ must vanish at $\omega = 0$, and by analyticity, $G_{L/R}^{\uparrow\downarrow} \sim \omega^2$. In equilibrium, however, the spin current noise is simply related to the spin conductance by the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, implying a $|\omega|^3$ scaling of $s$ at $T = 0$. This argument carries over to finite temperatures too, where it leads to an asymptotic behavior, $s \sim T \omega^2$ in the absence of external spin relaxation. We should emphasize that, in our calculations, spin relaxation is due to the interaction part of the Hamiltonian which, however, conserves the total spin, and leads to the vanishing of $\uparrow\uparrow$ spin noise component at $\omega = 0$. Introducing some source of an external spin relaxation, however, leads to a violation of spin conservation, and amounts in a finite $S_{L/R}^{\uparrow\uparrow}(\omega = 0) \neq 0 [21]$.

The fundamental difference between $\uparrow\uparrow$ and $\uparrow\downarrow$ correlations shows up even more strikingly in the spin-conductance (see Fig. 1): While $G_{L/R}^{\uparrow\uparrow}(\omega)$ is dominated by $\tilde{g}(\omega)$ and behaves qualitatively the same way as the conductance through the dot, $G_{L/R}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(\omega) \sim g(\omega)$ exhibits a
resonance at a frequency $\omega \approx 0.5 T_K$. This can be understood in a simple and intuitive way: The spin conductance $\uparrow\downarrow$ is generated by flips of the localized spin. For $\omega > T_K$, the coupling to the conduction electrons gets stronger with decreasing $\omega$, and increases the conductance. At very small energy scales, $\omega \ll T_K$, however, the impurity spin is quenched, and with the above mechanism being absent, the $\uparrow\downarrow$ conductance must vanish.

$T \neq 0$, perturbative regime. Computation of the finite temperature noise requires care: Usually finite-temperature NRG broadening procedures lead to an unphysical finite linear coefficient for $s(\omega)$, conflicting with our exact finite $T$ result, $s(\omega) \sim \omega^2$. Therefore, for $T \neq 0$, other methods must be used. For $T \gg T_K$, we carried out a systematic expansion in $j$ for the time-dependence of the reduced density matrix of the spin and the spin current noise using the formalism of Refs. [21, 22]. Details of this involved calculation shall be published elsewhere [21], here we just outline the main results.

Naively, to calculate the noise in leading order, one would just compute the first (connected) noise diagram of Fig. 2 $S_{\text{conn}}(\omega)$. This diagram accounts for short time current correlations mediated by electron-hole excitations in the leads, and dominates indeed the noise at high and intermediate frequencies, $\omega \gtrsim T$. At small frequencies, however, a resummation of the perturbation series is necessary, because there the “disconnected” contribution, $S_{\text{disc}}(\omega)$, turns out to be of the same order in $j$ as $S_{\text{conn}}(\omega)$, and becomes also important: This contribution accounts for correlations between subsequent incoherent tunneling processes, generated by the impurity spin itself. These correlations are due to the mere fact that a spin flip process where a conduction electron’s spin is flipped from up do down, $\uparrow \rightarrow \downarrow$, must be followed by a process $\downarrow \rightarrow \uparrow$. To account for them, one needs to solve a Dyson equation for the propagator $\Pi$ of the reduced density matrix of the spin, as sketched in Fig. 2.

In this approach, spin relaxation is characterized by the relaxation rate, $\Gamma(\omega)$, appearing in the self-energy $\Sigma$ of the propagator $\Pi$ [23, 24],

$$
\Gamma(\omega) = T \sum_{r,r'} j^2 v_r^2 v_r'^2 \hat{L} \left( \frac{\omega}{T}, \frac{\mu_r - \mu_{r'}}{T} \right),
$$

where $\text{Re} \hat{L}(x,y) = \pi y + \pi[x \sin(y) - y \sin(x)]/[\sin(x) - \sin(y)]$, and $\text{Im} \hat{L}(x,y) = 1/2 \int dx' \text{Re} \hat{L}(x', y)/(x - x')$. In the $\omega \rightarrow 0$ limit, $\Gamma(\omega)$ can be identified as the Köringa relaxation rate, $E_K \equiv \Gamma(0)/2$, of the impurity spin, which for a simple voltage-biased quantum dot reads

$$
E_K = \pi j^2 T \left[ 1 + \cos \phi \frac{\omega}{2} + \frac{1 - \cos \phi}{2} eV \frac{t}{2T} \coth \left( \frac{eV}{2T} \right) \right].
$$

In the voltage-biased case, we can express the left-right component of the spin current noise in a compact form

$$
S_{LR}(\omega) = \frac{e^2}{2\pi} \text{Re} \left[ \frac{\sigma \sigma'}{16} \frac{\tau^2(\omega) - R^2(\omega)}{-i\omega + \Gamma(\omega)/2} \right]
$$

$$
+ \frac{3 - \sigma \sigma'}{32} T j^2 \sin^2(\phi) \left[ \hat{L} \left( \frac{\omega}{T}, \frac{V}{T} \right) + \hat{L} \left( \frac{\omega}{T}, -\frac{V}{T} \right) \right],
$$

with $R(\omega) = j^2 \cos(\phi) \hat{L}(\omega/T, 0)$. The symmetrized noise is shown in Fig. 3. At high frequencies, $\omega \gg E_K$, the noise is dominated by the result of simple-minded perturbation theory, corresponding to the second line of Eq. 3. This part of the correlation function describes short-time correlations within a single tunneling process, generated by the dynamics of electron-hole excitations in the leads. However, at time scales $t \sim 1/\omega > 1/E_K$, consecutive incoherent tunneling processes start to correlate by the constraint mentioned before. These correlations are captured by the first term in Eq. 3, coming from the “disconnected” part of the noise (see Fig. 2). As a consequence, for $\omega < E_K$, a large dip appears in the noise component $S_{LR}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$, while a bump emerges in $S_{LR}^{\uparrow\uparrow}$. For zero-bias, $V = 0$, we find that $S_{LR}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(\omega = 0, V = 0) = 0$, in agreement with the fluctuation-dissipation theorem and the observation that the linear spin conductance between spin up and spin down electrons must vanish. This has a simple physical explanation: A spin-$\uparrow$ electron injected from the left can give rise to a spin-$\downarrow$ outgoing electron on the right with a certain probability (see Fig. 3). However, before such a process occurs again, another spin flip process must take place, where the dot spin is flipped back.
In equilibrium, this second process (on the average) removes exactly the same amount of ↓ spin from the right lead as injected in the first process. Therefore, no equilibrium ↑↓ dc spin conductance is possible.

Remarkably, the above correlations only show up in the spin current noise, and cancel out in the charge current noise, \( S_{LR} = \sum_{\sigma, \sigma'} S_{LR}^{\sigma \sigma'} \). Being the result of rather classical correlations between subsequent incoherent processes, these low frequency features can also be captured by a much simpler rate equation approach (see Refs. [17, 21]), which, however, is unable to account for the high frequency part of the noise at \( \omega > T \).

Although the above results are perturbative in \( j \), they carry over to the whole regime \( \max\{T, \omega, E_K\} > T_K \) with the small modification that \( j \) must be replaced by the renormalized coupling \( j \rightarrow j(T, \omega, eV) \approx 1/\ln(\max\{T, \omega, E_K\}/T_K) \).

**Fermi liquid regime.** In the Fermi liquid regime, \( \omega, T, eV \ll T_K \), one can compute the spin current correlations by describing the dot in terms of scattering states that interact at the impurity site. This is a rather cumbersome approach for finite frequencies. However, observing that correlations between spin up and down electrons are generated only through the residual electron-electron interaction, simple phase space arguments immediately give that the \( T = 0 \) shot noise is just given by \( S_{LR}^{\uparrow \downarrow}(V) = (e^2/2\pi) \gamma \sin^2(\phi)(eV)^3/T_K^2 \), while for equilibrium we recover the numerically observed result, \( S_{LR}^{\uparrow \downarrow}(\omega) = (e^2/2\pi) \alpha \sin^2(\phi)|\omega|^3/T_K^2 \), with \( \gamma \) and \( \alpha \) two universal numbers. The discussion of the finite temperature and finite frequency noise and the precise determination of these universal constants is very complicated, and shall be considered in a future publication.

**Conclusions.** Analyzing the full frequency dependence of the spin current noise through a quantum dot in the Kondo regime we found that ↑↓ correlations are strongly suppressed at frequencies below the Kondo temperature and below the Korringa relaxation rate as compared to ↑↑ correlations due to overall spin conservation. In the ↑↓ conductance a resonance is predicted at \( \omega \sim T_K \). Observing these striking features is within reach with present-day noise measurement techniques.
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The annihilation operators $c_{\sigma}(\varepsilon)$ satisfy

$$\{c_{\sigma}(\varepsilon), c_{\sigma'}(\varepsilon')\} = \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} \delta(\varepsilon - \varepsilon').$$

In the numerical calculations, we define $T_K$ as the half-width of the composite fermion’s spectral function.