Clinical Dental Hygienists’ Experience of the Prevention Based Incremental Oral Health Care: Applying Focus Group Interviews
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Background: In this study, we tried to comprehensively explore clinical dental hygienist’s experience of a prevention-based incremental oral health care program, which was pilot-operated by dental clinics, define prevention-based incremental oral health care as experienced in the field, and identify factors to be considered.

Methods: This study conducted a focus group interview with five dental hygienists who participated in an ongoing oral management pilot project in 2016. The interview was conducted by a researcher, and the co-research team attended as progress assistants and recorded characteristics of the participants, main dictations, and non-verbal characteristics. All interviews were recorded and underwent thematic analysis to examine the questions of the study as the main axis.

Results: As a result of the study, 65 meaningful statements were extracted by code, integrated into 24 sub-categories, and structured into 11 categories. Finally, four keywords were drawn: characteristics, facilitating factors, conflicting factors, and improvement measures for prevention-based incremental oral health care. Regarding prevention-based incremental oral health care in dental clinics, dental hygienists were highly aware of the physical and mental burdens of personalized treatment and education for each individual. They were responsible for the patient and for facilitating changes in the behavior of the client, leading to professional satisfaction. The dental team’s cooperation and supportive attitude were found essential to continue oral health care in the dental clinic.

Conclusion: Through dental team-based treatment philosophy sharing and collaboration, it is possible to provide prevention-based incremental oral health care in dental clinics. In future, it is necessary to develop a system for establishing a sustainable preventative management system for public health promotion.
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Introduction

In modern society, there has been a shift from the treatment-oriented medical system of past communicable diseases to a new, prevention-based medical system focused on controlling common risk factors for non-communicable diseases such as cardiovascular disease, cancer, respiratory disease, and diabetes. This shift has strengthened primary healthcare, emphasizing prevention and continued control of diseases\(^1\). It also places people at the heart of healthcare and enables comprehensive and continuous care with the aim of improving health\(^2\). Many representative oral diseases, such as dental caries and periodontal disease, are also chronic diseases. Oral health-promoting strategies have been suggested to prevent these diseases by controlling shared risk factors such as smoking, drinking, exercise, and diet\(^3\). In this regard, medically advanced nations have highlighted the need to prioritize primary dental care regimes that stress prevention and control over specialized treatment. To implement primary dental care, incremental
oral healthcare needs to be provided, preventing oral disease from manifesting and continuously managing related risk factors. Incremental oral healthcare is a method of dental care that aims to offer regular preventative care appropriate to the patient’s oral health status, provide early treatment for any detected oral disease, and always maintain and improve the patient’s oral health to the highest degree within the given conditions and circumstances. Prevention-based incremental oral healthcare can include care by experts such as regular oral screening, scaling, dental sealants, fluoride application, consultations, and education. There have been studies reporting the effects of prevention-based incremental oral healthcare at different stages of life. In a study by Won et al., a 1-year prevention-based incremental oral healthcare program was implemented individually by dental hygienists and dentists for children aged ≤16 years. They observed positive effects upon management of dental caries of permanent teeth, including significant reductions in a caries index for permanent teeth and in the ratio of permanent teeth showing caries. Sung and Kim reported that when a 4-week oral health program consisting of dental hygiene process of care (dental hygiene assessment, diagnosis, planning, implementation, and evaluation) was implemented for adults, there was a significant decrease in the dental biofilm, which is a major cause of oral disease.

The objectives and composition of prevention-based incremental oral healthcare are closely related to the unique work of dental hygienists, who implement client-focused dental hygiene paradigms. Dental hygienists perform work related to oral disease prevention and hygiene; in other words, they are specialized dental personnel providing dental services focused less on treating oral diseases and more on continuous care to prevent oral disease and promote oral health. In South Korea, the concept of skill-mix, where the whole treatment team offers different services, has been applied to the establishment of a primary dental care facility. Therefore, it is important to ensure that staff with the proper skills are positioned in the right place and at the right time to provide appropriate services to the people who require them. Thus, dental hygienists have a major role in incremental oral healthcare and are considered very important personnel in dental clinics. This helps establish a unique role for hygienists and improve their professional future.

In order to provide patient-centered primary dental care involving the whole dental team, efforts need to be made to improve sustainable incremental oral healthcare systems by collecting and analyzing experiences and opinions on prevention-based incremental oral healthcare systems, in which dental hygienists have a high degree of responsibility. However, previous studies have concentrated mostly on the effects, patient satisfaction and other factors related to incremental oral healthcare. There has been a lack of research focusing on the perspectives of dental hygienists.

The purpose of this study was to comprehensively explore the experiences of clinical dental hygienists with respect to a pilot prevention-based incremental oral healthcare project implemented by primary dental clinic. Using this information, we aimed to define incremental oral healthcare as experienced in a working environment, and identify factors and strategies that need to be considered for the project to continue.

**Materials and Methods**

1. **Study design**

   This qualitative content analysis study used focus group interviews to describe and explore the experiences and perspectives of clinical dental hygienists who participated in a pilot prevention-based incremental oral healthcare project implemented by certain primary dental institutions in Seoul, Gyeonggi-do, and Incheon, South Korea.

2. **Participants**

   A pilot prevention-focused incremental oral healthcare project was implemented in accordance with a model for family dentist programs developed for adults between January and April 2017. The project was implemented at six primary dental clinics in Seoul, Gyeonggi-do and Incheon that expressed voluntary consent to participate. The incremental oral healthcare project model consisted of patient consent, completion of a medical interview form about risk factors, oral examination, oral health evaluation, personalized health coaching, and regular scheduled
monitoring. To ensure that the program was standardized, dental hygienist treatment protocols and detailed guidelines were prepared for the entire treatment process, roles, items to prepare, related forms, management plans for different cases, major educational contents, and phrases.

Before the pilot trial, across two sessions, the principal dental hygienist at each clinic was given an introduction to the project and intensive education about treatment protocols. Dental hygiene treatment protocol manuals, promotional materials for the project, and educational materials were distributed as well. The principal dental hygienist at each clinic prepared for treatment, received patients and obtained consent, completed medical interview charts for oral health-related risk factors, and conducted consultations in accordance with the dental hygiene treatment protocols. Once oral health evaluation was completed based on an oral examination by the dentist, the dental hygienist led personalized health coaching, including self-care and professional care. Personalized health coaching involved education on oral health care including biofilm deposition and assessment, oral hygiene, nutrition, smoking cessation, relationships between chronic disease and oral health, and regular oral health examinations. It also included oral disease prevention using pit and fissure sealants, professional fluoride application, and professional tooth cleaning (scaling, rubber cup polishing, bristle brush polishing, professional mechanical tooth cleaning using EVA tips, flossing, and Watanabe tooth brushing). A total of 30 patients participated in the incremental oral healthcare program during the pilot trial. Preventive care was conducted on a per-visit basis; care after the pilot trial, depending on the results of the oral health evaluation care, was continued on a cycle of 1 ~ 4 visits per year.

Out of the 27 dental hygienists who took part in the pilot incremental oral healthcare project, five dental hygienists who led the program at their respective dental clinic and consented to participate in the study also participated in the focus group interview. The clinical experience of each of the participants was 3 years, 5 years, 7 years, 11 years, and 15 years.

3. Question development and data collection

The focus group interview was conducted in a quiet seminar room on May 11, 2017, and the total time taken for the interview was 2 hours and 30 minutes. The interview was conducted by a researcher after first explaining that the moderator and participants’ self-introductions and recordings of the interview was only be used for research purposes, anonymity would be protected, privacy would be guaranteed in the study results, and the interview would be conducted with the voluntary consent of the participants. Collaborators attended the interview and, as assistant moderators, recorded the participants’ characteristics, important verbal content, and non-verbal traits. At the end of the interview, researchers spoke with participants to confirm their understanding and interpretation of information recorded during the interview. After completing the interview, the research team conducted a debriefing to review the major interview contents.

The interview questionnaire was prepared such that it met the objectives of the study and used questionnaires from studies by Kim11) and Ryu et al.12), which conducted qualitative evaluations of incremental oral healthcare projects, for reference. Draft questions were constructed by specialists on the research team, and the final questions were selected after several rounds of modifications and additions. The interview questions used to collect data were composed, as shown in Table 1, based on derived topics, i.e. while conducting the interview starting with the

| Characteristic       | Item                                                                 |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Introduction question| What do you think of the prevention based incremental oral health care operated by dental clinics? |
| Main questions       | What was your experience in the prevention based incremental oral health care pilot project? |
| Assistant question   | (1) What are some of the positives you have had in participating in the program?  
                        | (2) What are some of the negatives you have had in participating in the program?  
                        | (3) What are some of the improvements you have made in participating in the program? |
| Final question       | Please tell us if there is anything you would like to supplement. |
questions below, more specific questions were added
during the course of the interview to expand and add more
depth.

Researchers made the following preparations for this
study: gaining of experience in conducting and studying
incremental oral healthcare projects and providing lectures
on qualitative research to graduate students; continuous
participation in education and seminars about qualitative
methodology; publication of qualitative studies.

4. Data analysis

All interview contents were recorded and transcribed to
a computer for data analysis. The records from the
collaborators and the audio recordings were compared to
improve the accuracy of the data. For data analysis, the
thematic analysis method proposed by Braun and Clarke13
was used, taking the research questions as the fundamental
axis. First, the research team repeatedly read the whole or
part of the recorded transcripts containing all participant
statements and comments to understand the data, marked
statements that were considered significant, and performed
primary coding. The marked statements were reviewed
again, and an open coding list was compiled from
significant indicative and repeated concepts and statements.
The characteristics of the concepts and statements
included in the open coding list were compared to
combine codes with similar meanings and abstraction was
then performed by deriving sub-categories that could
encompass all the codes. Significant themes were derived
by combining sub-categories with similar meanings and
characteristics. A review process was completed to more
precisely define and name the initially derived themes by
comparing the analyzed data with each of the themes and
categories, and then opinions were gathered. The research
team convened for categorization and abstraction and
differing opinions were resolved through discussion.

5. Ethical considerations and validation

This study was conducted after receiving approval from
the Gangneung-Wonju University institutional review
board. Before performing the focus group interview, the
participants were given an explanation of the research
objectives and methods. They were informed that their
anonymity and privacy would be strictly protected and
that they could end the interview or withdraw from the
study at any time. The participants were also told that the
interview contents would be recorded in writing and as
audio, the recordings would only be used for research
purposes, and they would be destroyed at the end of the
study. Finally, written consent was obtained from the
participants before proceeding with the study. When
transcribing the recorded interview materials using a
computer program, all personal information relating to the
participants was removed, and the participants were
assigned personal identification numbers.

As suggested by Lincoln and Guba14, this study
accounted for credibility, transferability, dependability,
and confirmability. To ensure credibility, leading questions
were excluded from the interview process to allow
participants to respond freely. At the end of the interview,
additional questions were asked to check that the
researchers’ understanding was consistent with the
participants’ statements. To ensure transferability, the
participants’ statements were used word-for-word to
extract significant concepts and sub-categories based on
their actual experiences. To ensure dependability and
confirmability, separate from the audio recordings, important
details were recorded on a computer during the interview
process and, starting immediately after the end of the
interview, the interview content was transcribed within 1
week. The content was checked by comparing the
transcript with the materials recorded in writing during the
interview. Finally, the researchers repeatedly reviewed
and amended the analysis results at arbitrary time intervals.

Results

When the thematic analysis method was applied to the
contents of focus group interview for participants along
the axis of the research questions, 65 significant statements
were extracted as codes. They were then unified into 24
sub-categories and structured into 11 categories and a total
of four themes were derived (Table 2).
### Table 2. Major Themes and Sub-Categories of Incremental Oral Health Care Program of Dental Clinic in the Focus Group Interview

| Theme                                                                 | Category                  | Sub-category                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Work characteristics of prevention-based incremental oral healthcare | Labor-intensive work      | - Two-way communication and medical treatment are performed simultaneously   |
|                                                                      |                           | - The mental burden of patient satisfaction is high                            |
|                                                                      |                           | - The cost of treatment is low compared to the intensity of work               |
|                                                                      | Work that increases professional self-esteem | - Can lead to patient behavioral changes                                         |
|                                                                      |                           | - Have a professional sense of professionalism                                |
|                                                                      | Mandatory work            | - Responsible for the patient                                                 |
|                                                                      |                           | - Satisfaction with work increases                                             |
| Factors promoting the implementation of prevention-based incremental oral healthcare | Work perception and capacity of dental hygienists | - Dental hygienist’s occupational competence and philosophy                      |
|                                                                      | Supportive attitude of work colleagues | - The will of the dental hygienist                                             |
|                                                                      | Positive feedback from patients | - The will of the dentist                                                       |
|                                                                      | Uncooperative attitudes of work colleagues | - Support from dentists and dental hygienists                                   |
|                                                                      | Physical and mental burden of work | - Patient satisfaction                                                          |
|                                                                      | Negative effects on other treatment schedules | - Indifference between dentists and dental hygienists                          |
|                                                                      | Changes in attitudes towards dental hygienists | - Low participation of fellow dental hygienians                                |
|                                                                      | Establishment of related systems | - There is a lot to do in the dental process                                   |
|                                                                      |                           | - Feeling uncomfortable with other colleagues due to long treatment hours      |
| Ideas for improvements to the implementation of prevention-based incremental oral healthcare | - Fellow medical staff is overworked                                           |
|                                                                      |                           | - Longer waiting times for other patients                                      |
|                                                                      | - Need to change patient’s perception of the role and work of dental hygienists | - Need to change dentists’ perception of the role of dental hygienists          |
|                                                                      |                           | - A policy is required to be included as an essential task in the medical treatment process |
|                                                                      |                           | - Need a systematic system                                                     |

1. **Work characteristics of prevention-based incremental oral healthcare**

The participants perceived the work of prevention-based incremental oral healthcare in a dental clinic as “labor-intensive work”, “work that increases professional self-esteem”, and “mandatory work”.

1) **Labor-intensive work**

The participants perceived that, when prevention-based incremental oral healthcare is performed, a large volume of bidirectional communication and treatment actions occur simultaneously. Various types of conversation need to be conducted in the process of evaluating risk, requiring over 30 minutes per patient and thought to impose a heavy physical burden. Alongside these conversations, the required items and materials need to be prepared. Additionally, because certain treatments such as scaling can only be performed alone, the dental hygienists experienced high levels of physical fatigue. The treatment of each patient by single dental hygienists also implied that patient satisfaction and assessment of treatment was regarded as a personal evaluation of the hygienist, causing a significant psychological burden. Relative to the effort required while bearing this physical and mental burden, the treatment fees are low and the patient’s response is not readily apparent, and therefore the program was perceived to be unhelpful from a business perspective.

"It takes around 40 minutes, which, when you think about it, is too difficult compared to other tasks. Because you have to speak a lot... and there’s a lot of detailed manual work... You have to talk and move your hands constantly for 40 minutes..."

"To fill in the chart, you have to talk at the patient’s chairside while clicking on the computer, and so you have to talk a lot... After you’ve seen three or so patients, it gets..."
difficult because you’re talking so much…”

“Yes, it’s very stressful. Not all the patients are normal and gentle, and you have to satisfy them all…”

2) Work that increases professional self-esteem

The participants considered prevention-based incremental oral healthcare to be a valuable activity since it contributes to changing people. Because it was a specialized work that only dental hygienists could perform, it instilled a sense of work ethic as a professional. In addition, during treatment, dental hygienists formed relationships of trust with the patients, and had a clear goal of bringing about change in the patient. This inculcated a stronger sense of responsibility towards the patient. The participants reported a direct sense for the patients’ responses, and felt greater work satisfaction when they verified that the changes brought about in the patients’ were sustained.

“I feel proud; in a way, we’re helping enable [the patients] to prevent [disease]. We’re able to take more responsibility for the patients’ health, and not only that, but while performing things like tooth brushing instruction (TBI) with the patient, we’re encouraging them to change or think differently, which I think is valuable (laughs)”

“I think one positive aspect is that, because we’re working with an objective, I felt like a professional and it was well organized.”

“Being a dental hygienist is a professional occupation, you know. To provide care as a professional… I felt more like a professional…”

“There were three patients who said that they’d never been informed like this before, that they’d never been to a hospital that gave them this much detailed information. It was so positive and so I felt a strong sense of satisfaction while working. I realized that there was actually some effect to all this talking, and so I felt pleased with myself.”

3) Mandatory work

Before starting the pilot project, the participants were made aware of the meaning of the project through participation in multiple sessions of group and individual education about prevention-based incremental oral healthcare. Because they were leading participation within their dental clinics, they were put to work after duties had already been divided. Nevertheless, in the actual clinical setting, the participants felt a decrease in motivation when the overall treatment situation was perceived to be a priority. Furthermore, the project required medical personnel to proactively suggest participation to the patients, rather than the patients demanding to participate first. Given the lack of sufficient personnel or equipment in the clinics, the program was felt to be excessive and non-essential.

“[The other staff] tried to adhere to the program at first, but eventually I noticed that they were stopping (laughs). It was an inconvenience, and when we were busy, patients would be sent home without TBI… I was motivated at first, but my motivation gradually faded…”

“What I felt during the project was that, well, I’m busy as well, and so… because my willpower was declining…”

2. Factors promoting the implementation of prevention-based incremental oral healthcare

For the participants, factors facilitating the implementation of prevention-based incremental oral healthcare in dental clinics were “work perception and capacity of dental hygienists”, “supportive attitude of work colleagues”, and “positive feedback from patients”.

1) Work perception and capacity of dental hygienists

The participants perceived that the work ethic and capacity of dental hygienists are important factors in enabling prevention-based incremental oral healthcare in dental clinics.

“The start [of incremental oral healthcare] is predominantly influenced by the Chief, but its persistence depends on the capacity of dental hygienists.”

“To take the lead in performing [incremental oral healthcare], I think the occupational mindset of dental hygienists is important.”

2) Supportive attitude of work colleagues

In order for dental hygienists to perform prevention-based incremental oral healthcare, the participants felt that
support was required for positive motivations of hygienists
towards the work and that encouraging, support attitudes
from work colleagues was essential.

“Because this program was first suggested by the Chief,
we felt that it was naturally accepted by all the other
staff.”

“[The program] was positively introduced according to
the Chief’s intentions. I think it’s right that [a program
like this] should be started by the Chief.”

“When I think about myself doing work like this, I still
feel glad that this is a wonderful job that lets me do work
like this, and it suits me. That can only happen because the
Chief and I are a good match; the Chief makes allowances
for me and supports me, and I think that’s what lets me
keep going.”

“The attitude of the dental hygienist is important and,
as such, I think that it is even more important for the Chief
to give trust and responsibility [to the hygienist]. If the
hygienist is to take the lead, it would be difficult without
the whole-hearted support of the other staff.”

“When the Chief showed their intention [to participate
in this program], I wanted it too, and I hoped that the
Chief would create an atmosphere that would allow me to
do the work … It’s something that takes time, and you find
yourself worrying about what the other staff are
thinking…”

3) Positive feedback from patients
As the practitioners of the program, the participants felt
great work satisfaction through positive feedback and high
satisfaction from patients who participated in incremental
oral healthcare. As the patients also became motivated to
regular visit the clinic and receive continuous care, this
was perceived as a factor that could help sustain
incremental oral healthcare in the clinic.

“The patients receiving incremental care showed high
satisfaction because they used to come [to the clinic] when
they were hurting, but now we schedule an appointment
for them before they start to hurt. Some patients said that
they would come once a month now, even if they didn’t
hurt, and so I think the patients who received incremental
care were very satisfied.”

“I thought that patients showed very strong satisfaction,
even just with TBI. When we took photos of the patients’
gums, gave them education, and then they saw the much
improved photos 2 or 3 months later, it seemed to give the
patients motivation and so they continued to visit [the
clinic].”

“There were some patients who expressed their
gratitude that we were able to preserve their teeth instead
of removing them.”

3. Factors hindering the implementation of
prevention-based incremental oral healthcare
For the participants, factors making it difficult to
implement prevention-based incremental oral healthcare
in dental clinics were “uncooperative attitudes of work
colleagues”, “physical and mental burden of work”, and
“negative effects on other treatment schedules”.

1) Uncooperative attitudes of work colleagues
The participants experienced difficulties leading in-
cremental oral healthcare when dentists or dental
hygienists showed negative or uninterested attitudes, or
when the participation levels of fellow dental hygienists
waned.

“[The Chief] just handed [the program] over [to me]
and didn’t show any real interest; she didn’t speak to
patients about preventive care even once …”

“The Chief wanted to participate, but because she was
busy, I think [the program] wasn’t managed very well. It
seemed like she wanted be to just deal with it myself…”

“I think the reception from other dental hygienists is
important … Some of the staff would ask, “Why do we
have to provide incremental oral healthcare? Do we
absolutely have to do this?”

2) Physical and mental burden of work
While providing prevention-based incremental oral
healthcare, the participants had to perform various
treatment actions and conduct patient education at the
same time by themselves. This resulted in high levels of
physical fatigue. Moreover, because they had to take
complete responsibility for the preventive treatment of every patient, the participants felt a mental burden. Finally, the participants reported that colleagues were uncomfortable with the long treatment time, since the mean treatment time per patient was over 30 minutes.

“It’s difficult to keep talking and you want to give up … I think the more experienced staff don’t want to do this.”

“At first, while performing scaling, why tried to operate a system of one hygienist per patient … But when one hygienist has to take complete responsibility for a patient, it’s too difficult for that hygienist.”

“Some of our hygienists were avoiding this work … Just educating patients itself. Explaining this program to the patients, some people felt uncomfortable doing that.”

“Because you have to satisfy the patients, at first they were satisfied, but when they came back to the clinic and they say they want to be treated by ‘that person’, and the expectations of the patients are so high …”

“I felt self-conscious. There are so many patients waiting, is it really okay for me to take up 40 ~50 minutes of their time? That’s what I was thinking.”

3) Negative effects on other treatment schedules

Because of the long treatment time required for the incremental oral healthcare work, the participants were concerned about excessive work for their colleagues, who had to take care of even more patients in the same amount of time. They also felt a burden because of longer waiting times for patients.

“First of all, aside from the incremental care work, there are a large number of patients receiving scaling or other treatment, if I leave everything up to other staff, it takes longer because of this. As a result, it was sometimes more difficult for the other staff when they had to assist treatment. Because everything gets put on hold …”

“Our hospital takes appointments from 5 or 6 patients every 30 minutes, and so we’re already short on chairs. There are always patients waiting, and so you can’t readily ask patients to try incremental oral healthcare …”

4. Ideas for improvements to the implementation of prevention-based incremental oral healthcare

To implement prevention-based incremental healthcare at dental clinics in the future, the participants perceived the need for changes in attitudes towards dental hygienists as professional workers responsible for preventive care. They also suggested the establishment of a system to support this.

1) Changes in attitudes towards dental hygienists

The participants suggested that, if dentists and patients were to perceive dental hygienists as professional dental personnel preventing and managing oral disease, dental hygienists would be able to approach patients more easily with regard to the incremental oral healthcare. It would also facilitate the division of duties based on professional roles within the operating system of the dental clinic, and/or to generate a suitable treatment system.

“There are dental hygienists at our hospital who specialize in prevention and control, that’s what they should say. I think that would allow us to take responsibility only for our work as dental hygienists, and to call back and take care of patients, wouldn’t it? Not just an employee …”

“Patients think of dental hygienists as just standing there and assisting, taking impressions, that sort of thing. They don’t really know about our educational work, our work as unique educators. A lot of people still don’t know … And so [they feel uncomfortable about] completely entrusting my oral health to this person … That’s why attitudes towards the work of dental hygienists need to be changed …”

2) Establishment of related systems

To achieve prevention-based incremental oral healthcare, the participants perceived that it was necessary to prepare institutional devices to enable this to be included as essential work within the dental clinical environment. They also acknowledged that this would require changes within the medical system.
"A system. When the Seoul Family Doctor Project was first introduced it was very stressful as well. ‘Why are we doing this?’; ‘Add that to the pile of work we have to do’ … But it works because it’s been established as part of the system. People do it without complaint because they have come to see it as something that obviously has to be done."

“If it was made clear that this is something that absolutely has to be done … Like scaling is performed at all dentists … Couldn’t it be established as just one part of dental treatment?”

**Discussion**

As the global disease burden of non-communicable diseases like cardiovascular disease increases, people have actively explored changes in the healthcare system from treatment-based to prevention-based care to effectively cope with the healthcare requirements of those with multiple chronic diseases in an economically sustainable manner. FDI World Dental Federation has claimed that because oral disease is a non-communicable chronic disease that shares many risk factors with other chronic disease, it is related to systemic factors and requires integrative management alongside other chronic diseases. The disease burden of oral disease is constantly increasing, and due to this sizable burden, some authors have proposed the need for a paradigm shift to enable integrative prevention and control of oral disease together with other chronic diseases.

Due to the growth of the elderly population, the disease burden of chronic disease has been gradually increasing, and problems of rising medical costs and degraded quality of medical services due to such issues have emerged as major challenges in the field of healthcare. To meet these contemporary demands, advanced nations have tried to improve the quality of medical services and the efficiency and equality of healthcare by reforming primary care. Primary care not only has positive effects on health improvement and disease prevention, but can also be efficiently applied to a larger population because the costs are lower than specialty care, thus proving that it is effective for achieving health equality. Accordingly, in a study using the Delphi method to define the concept of primary care in agreeable terms, Lee et al. defined primary care as “the first form of healthcare encountered for the benefit of health, and the field in which residents’ common health issues are resolved by collecting and appropriately adjusting healthcare resources while maintaining a patient-physician relationship between the patient and a family doctor who is familiar with the patient’s family and the local community”. The Institute of Medicine suggested that most health problems can be effectively resolved by primary care, and that treatment based on a patient-physician relationship can improve continuity, strengthen the physician’s sense of responsibility towards the patient, and be effective at preventing disease and improving health. They also explained that this requires medical personnel to adopt a patient-centered approach. Although a conceptual definition for primary dental care has not yet been agreed upon in South Korea, based on the concept of primary care, the primary dental care system in South Korea can be understood in terms of constructing a patient-centered incremental oral healthcare system and implementing this system not in the local community, but in dental clinics.

The paradigm of dental hygiene aims to improve health through dental hygiene process of care with a client-centered approach. Thus, pursuing health improvements by applying prevention-based incremental oral healthcare based on the dental hygiene process of care is seen as a treatment domain that can help accomplish and improve primary dental care in South Korea. Furthermore, dental hygienists are specialized dental personnel responsible for prevention and control of oral disease as a legal task. In order to implement a primary dental healthcare system in South Korea, political and institutional efforts will be needed to use the expertise of dental hygienists, and to expand the scope of their unique work. Currently, strategies to efficiently and effectively utilize dental hygienists need to be explored.

In the present study, when exploring dental hygienists’ experiences of incremental oral healthcare in a dental clinic setting, client-centered treatment and education was perceived to cause a heavy physical and mental burden, but the incremental healthcare program was also felt to
increase work satisfaction and pride by inculcating in the dental hygienists a stronger sense of responsibility towards patients and the need to induce changes in their patients. To maintain the incremental oral healthcare programs in dental clinics, a cooperative and a supportive attitude from work colleagues were considered essential. Even though dental hygienists are the main providers of the incremental oral healthcare, this can only be implemented as a sustainable system in a clinical setting when the whole dental team agrees on the philosophy and directions of care. To this end, a shift towards a dental team-based treatment paradigm is required. Starting with curricula for developing dental personnel, the meaning and importance of dental team-based treatment and the roles and expertise of each member of the team need to be recognized to enable team-based treatments in a clinical setting. In addition, continuous efforts are needed to move towards a team-based treatment paradigm, such as continuing education and workshops for dental personnel. Sustained education and support is needed to allow different positions within the dental team to display clinical leadership according to their respective roles.

Politically, institutions need to be developed to construct a sustainable preventive care system to improve the oral health of the national population. Prevention-based incremental oral healthcare is a comprehensive concept for expert management of the dental biofilm, including scaling, personalized patient education, and consultation. However, the scope of treatment for which treatment costs can be claimed from health insurance is limited to ‘calculus removal’. Therefore, depending on the treatment environment and the practitioner’s personal work ethic, there may be differences in the scope of treatment provided. Moreover, the value of incremental oral healthcare as a treatment is its ability to induce change, but since it imposes a heavy physical and mental burden on dental hygienists, a suitable compensatory system needs to be developed. Institutional support is needed to generate a system that can actually be implemented on a daily basis in a clinical setting. This would enable a primary dental care system that can not only maintain, but even improve the oral health of the whole population through prevention-based incremental oral healthcare.

The participants in this study were clinical dental hygienists working at dental clinics that participated in a pilot project for patient-centered incremental oral healthcare. Moreover, various environmental factors, such as the region, size of the dental hospital/clinic, and composition of personnel and patients were not considered. The study was conducted using an interview survey and therefore, there are some limitations with regard to generalization of the results. Nevertheless, this study is valuable because, by selecting participants who ran a standardized pilot incremental oral healthcare program, we assessed experiences from the same intervention. We analyzed the perspectives of clinical dental hygienists who experienced incremental oral healthcare in a working environment. In order to drive the paradigm shift towards prevention-based care and implement primary dental care by extending insurance coverage for dental work, active political efforts are needed to expand the roles of dental hygienists and increase recognition of the value of their unique work.
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