Maximizing Photosynthesis-Driven Baeyer–Villiger Oxidation Efficiency in Recombinant Synechocystis sp. PCC6803
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Photosynthesis-driven whole-cell biocatalysis has great potential to contribute to a sustainable bio-economy since phototrophic cells use light as the only energy source. It has yet to be shown that phototrophic microorganisms, such as cyanobacteria, can combine the supply of high heterologous enzyme levels with allocation of sufficient reduction equivalents to enable efficient light-driven reدو oxidatio. Here, we demonstrated that the heterologous expression of an NADPH-dependent Baeyer–Villiger monooxygenase (BVMO) gene from Acidovorax sp. CHX100 turns Synechocystis sp. PCC6803 into an efficient oxyfunctionalization biocatalyst, deriving electrons and O₂ from photosynthetic water oxidation. Several expression systems were systematically tested, and a PnrsB-(N²⁺)-controlled expression based on a replicative plasmid yielded the highest intracellular enzyme concentration and activities of up to 60.9 ± 1.0 U g<sub>CDW</sub>⁻¹. Detailed analysis of reaction parameters, side reactions, and biocatalyst durability revealed—on the one hand—a high in vivo BVMO activity in the range of 6 ± 2 U mg<sub>BVMO</sub>⁻¹ and—on the other hand—an impairment of biocatalyst performance by product toxicity and by-product inhibition. Scale-up of the reaction to 2-L fed-batch photo-bioreactors resulted in the stabilization of the bioconversion over several hours with a maximal specific activity of 30.0 ± 0.3 U g<sub>CDW</sub>⁻¹, a maximal volumetric productivity of 0.21 ± 0.1 g L⁻¹ h⁻¹, and the formation of 1.3 ± 0.1 g L⁻¹ of ε-caprolactone. Process simulations based on determined kinetic data revealed that photosynthesis-driven cyclohexane oxidation on a 2-L scale under high-light conditions was kinetically controlled and not subject to a limitation by photosynthesis.
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INTRODUCTION

Biotechnological processes have been developed in the past decades to produce materials, chemicals, and pharmaceuticals (Schmid et al., 2001). Compared to standard chemical processes, they, in general, require less energy due to milder reaction conditions, produce less toxic byproducts, and use less harmful reagents, giving them high potential to be environmentally friendly (Burk and Van Dien, 2016); (Bornscheuer et al., 2012). While there are numerous feasible processes using enzymes as
catalysts or microbes as whole-cell factories, redox biocatalysis remains challenging (Schrewe et al., 2013). This is due to the dependence of redox enzymes on co-substrates such as electron carriers and O₂ (Law et al., 2006). When using isolated enzymes as catalysts, process efficiencies suffer high enzyme and cofactor regeneration costs (Kadisch et al., 2017). Applying whole cells bears the advantage to employ their metabolism to balance redox demands, for example, by oxidizing readily available organic compounds to enable electron supply. However, respective costs and high O₂ demands still often hinder efficient, stable, and cheap redox biocatalysis in heterotrophic cell factories (Baldwin and Woodley, 2006; Kadisch et al., 2017).

To overcome these issues, phototrophic microorganisms, such as the model cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803 (*Syn*6803 from here), are highly attractive host organisms for oxygenases (Lassen et al., 2014a; Lassen et al., 2014b; Böhmer et al., 2017; Hoschek et al., 2019b), reductases (Assil-Companioni et al., 2020), and hydrogenases (Appel et al., 2020). Their photosynthetic apparatus can be exploited to supply oxygenase reactions not only with reduction equivalents but also with O₂ from water and sunlight, the most environmentally friendly co-substrates (Hoschek et al., 2017). As whole-cell biocatalysts, cyanobacteria combine this advantage with their self-re generation capacity, relying on light, water, and CO₂ as main resources (Jodlbauer et al., 2021). Yet, phototrophs so far have gathered little attention as biocatalysts for regio-, chemo-, or stereoselective oxyfunctionalizations. Efforts to engineer cyanobacteria such as introducing a cytochrome P450 monooxygenase (CYP) (Berepiki et al., 2016), fusing a cytochrome P450 to a subunit of photosystem I (PS I) (Lassen et al., 2014a), or implementing CYP-dependent pathways (Włodarczyk et al., 2015) remained on a proof-of-concept level.

Photosynthesis-driven oxyfunctionalization processes are hampered by a gap of knowledge regarding 1) sufficient gene expression (Lassen et al., 2014a), 2) protein stability and folding, and 3) cofactor supply. However, these aspects are of special importance to ensure that biocatalysis can be conducted in an efficient, stable, and host-compatible manner. Another hindrance for efficient photo-biotechnology are the high doubling times of cyanobacteria (8–12 h for *Syn*6803 (Heidorn et al., 2011)) and their light dependency, which limits high-density cultivation and scalability (Hoschek et al., 2019a).

High-level expression of heterologous genes in phototrophic organisms suffers a poorly developed molecular biology tool box compared to typical heterotrophic hosts (Berla et al., 2013). Specific characteristics such as the structure of the RNA polymerase (Imamura and Asayama, 2009), promoter types (Huang et al., 2010), and a circadian program (Johnson and Golden, 1999) are fundamental differences to well-studied heterotrophic hosts and often hamper transpositional engineering (Camsund and Lindblad, 2014; Thiel et al., 2019). There are however pivotal recent developments such as the design of shuttle vectors (Huang et al., 2010) and expression studies focusing on promoters (Englund et al., 2016; Behle et al., 2020) and RBSs (Englund et al., 2016), utilized to increase product titers (Sebesta and Peebles, 2020). For detailed perspectives on regulatory systems and promoter types in cyanobacteria, the reader is referred to Gordon and Pfleger (2018); Immethun and Moon (2018); Gale et al. (2019); Till et al. (2020).

Recent studies employing oxygenases in *Syn*6803 already showed the accessibility of O₂ and electrons derived from the light reaction (Hoschek et al., 2019b). The employment of strictly NADPH-dependent oxygenases, such as cyclohexanone monooxygenase from *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* (AcCHMO), led to rather low activities in *Syn*6803 (Böhmer et al., 2017). This is unexpected as 1) sufficient NADPH supply can be assumed under light conditions, with NADPH as the main product of the photosynthetic light reaction and 2) high-level NADPH supply was shown recently for an NADPH-dependent reductase in *Syn*6803 (Assil-Companioni et al., 2020).

In this study, we aimed to establish and scale efficient NADPH-dependent BVMO biocatalysis driven by light. To this end, we chose a BVMO from *Acidovorax* sp. CHX100 for heterologous expression in *Syn*6803. This NADPH-dependent BVMO has been shown to exhibit high activity in *Pseudomonas taiwanensis* VLB120 and used for efficient cascade designs (Schäfer et al., 2020; Bretschneider et al., 2021b). We systematically investigated how expression levels of the respective gene in *Syn*6803 can be enhanced and investigated the resulting BVMO performance in *Syn*6803. Furthermore, we examined limitations regarding gene expression, reaction kinetics, and host metabolism. Respective reaction engineering and scaling are shown to enable BVMO catalysis with an efficiency auguring well for future applications of light-driven redox biocatalysis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains, Chemicals, and Cultivation Methods**

DNA oligonucleotides, plasmids, and bacterial strains used for cloning procedures are given in the Supporting Information (Supplementary Table S1). *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803 (Stanier et al., 1971) was cultivated in 20 or 50 ml YBG11 medium (Shcolnick et al., 2007) with 50 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinethanesulfonic acid, pH 7.2, using 100 or 250 ml baffled shaking flasks with cotton stoppers, respectively. Cultivation conditions were 30°C, 150 rpm [2.5 cm amplitude], 50 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, ambient CO₂ 0.06–0.08. Plate cultivation was conducted on BG11 agar plates with 1.5–2.0% agar at 30°C and 25 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. If required, kanamycin (Km) was used at a final concentration of 50 µg ml⁻¹. Cyclohexanone, ≥ 99.5% purity, was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Cyclohexanol, ≥ 99% purity, was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). All other chemicals were purchased from Carl Roth GmbH (Karlsruhe, Germany), Merck, or Sigma-Aldrich at the highest purity available.

**Cloning and Transformation**

Standard molecular biology procedures were applied as described by Sambrook and Russell (2001). For construction of pAH059, a
fragment coding for the C-terminal Strep-tagged bvmo gene (Schäfer et al., 2020) was amplified from pCom10_Capro (Karande et al., 2018) via Phusion PCR using the primer pair PAH096/097 and cloned via Gibson assembly (Gibson et al., 2009) into pEERM3_Km, linearized by XbaI/PstI double digestion. For construction of pAH063, the expression cassette of PrnsB_bvmo_Strep-tag_terminator was amplified via Phusion PCR using the primer pair BVMO1/2 and cloned via Gibson assembly into pPMQAK1, linearized with EcoRI/PstI double digestion. Escherichia coli DH5a (Hanahan, 1983) was transformed with Gibson products via electroporation and correct assembly of plasmids was confirmed via colony PCR of transformants and subsequent sequencing. Commercial kits from Macherey-Nagel (Düren, Germany) were used for plasmid DNA extraction and DNA purification.

For electroporation of Syn6803, cells were grown under standard conditions to an OD750 = 0.5–0.7 determined with a LibraS11 spectrophotometer (Biochrom, Cambridge, United Kingdom), harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 g and 4°C, washed and 50 x concentrated in 1 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinethanesulfonic acid, pH 7.5 [according to (Ludwig et al., 2008)]. In total, 60 µl of cell suspension was supplied with 0.5–1 µg of plasmid DNA and electroporated (2.5 kV; 5 ms). After 24 h recovery in YBG11 under standard conditions, selection was performed at 25 µmolphotons m⁻² s⁻¹ on BG11 agar plates containing Km. Transformants grown within 7–10 days were confirmed via colony PCR and sequencing. For chromosomal integration, complete segregation was achieved by iterative plating on selective agar plates with increasing Km concentration.

**Protein Analysis**

Exponentially growing Syn6803_Ni_cBVMO, Syn6803_Ni_pBVMO, and Syn6803_Cu_pBVMO cultures (see Supplementary Table S1 for strain designations) were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 g and 4°C 24 h after induction with defined concentrations of NiSO₄ and concentrated to an OD750 = 20 in 1 x TBS (1 mM phenyl-methyl-sulfonyl-fluoride, pH 7.5). Cells were disrupted in a Precellys® homogenizer for 3 cycles á 3 x 30 s with 30 s pause. After centrifugation at 13,300 g and 4°C for 15 min, the supernatant was used for protein concentration determination via the Bradford analysis (Bradford, 1976). Protein abundance was visualized using SDS-PAGE according to Laemmli (1970), with 3.6 and 12% acrylamide in the stacking and in the separation gels, respectively.

**Whole-Cell Activity Assays and Determination of Reaction Kinetics**

Syn6803_Cu_pBVMO, Syn6803_Ni_cBVMO, and 6803_Ni_pBVMO cultures (Supplementary Table S1) were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 g and 4°C 24 h after induction with defined concentrations of NiSO₄ and adjusted to a defined cell concentration by re-suspending in YBG11 containing 50 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinethanesulfonic acid and 10 µM NiSO₄, pH 7.2, if not stated otherwise. Cells were equilibrated for 10 min to assay conditions. Standard assay conditions were 30°C, 200 rpm, 150 µmolphotons m⁻² s⁻¹, ambient CO₂ (0.04%). The conversion of cyclohexanone (C-one) to ε-caprolactone (ε-Cl) was started by adding C-one to a final concentration of 5 mM and was stopped after 30 min by either quenching with 1 vol diethylether containing 0.2 mM diethylether containing 0.2 mM n-decane as an internal standard (for GC analysis) or by adding 0.1 vol of acetoniitrile for HPLC analysis.

For short-term assays (30 min), a cell concentration of 0.7–1 gCDW L⁻¹ was used in a total volume of 1 ml in 10 ml Pyrex tubes. For the investigation of whole-cell kinetics, the cell concentration was reduced to 0.25–0.5 gCDW L⁻¹ and the reaction time to 5 min to record initial activities.

Kinetic parameters (Vmax, Ki, and Ks) were obtained by fitting according to Michaelis-Menten kinetics with substrate inhibition using OriginPro2019 following Eq. 1, where V is the specific activity in U gCDW⁻¹ and [S] is the substrate concentration.

\[
V = \frac{V_{\max} [S]}{K_s + [S]} \left(1 + \frac{I}{K_i} \right) \text{ U gCDW} ^{-1}
\]

For competitive and non-competitive inhibitors, Ki and Vmax in Eq. 1 were replaced by Ki' according to Eq. 2 or Vmax' according to Eq. 3, respectively, where [I] and Kij are the concentration and the inhibition constant of the respective inhibitor.

\[
K_i' = K_i \left(1 + \frac{[I]}{K_i} \right) \text{ [µM]}.
\]

\[
V'_{\max} = \frac{V_{\max}}{\left(1 + \frac{[I]}{K_i} \right)} \text{ U gCDW} ^{-1}
\]

**Production Process in a 2-L Stirred Tank Photo-Bioreactor**

Whole-cell biotransformations were conducted in a stirred tank photo-bioreactor Labfors 5 Lux (Infors AG, Bottmingen, Switzerland) with 2 L culture volume. Cells were grown for 3–4 days at 30°C, 2 L min⁻¹ aeration with (high carbon, HC,
additional 20 ml min\(^{-1}\) CO\(_2\)) or without (low carbon, LC, no additional CO\(_2\)), 300 rpm stirrer speed, and specific light intensities (for details, see Table 1). Induction with 10 \(\mu\)M NiSO\(_4\) was performed 24 h prior to biotransformation start. During biotransformation, aeration was reduced to 0.2 L min\(^{-1}\) and light intensity was set to low light (LL, 150 \(\mu\)mol\(_{\text{photosynth}}\) m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) or high light (HL, 700 \(\mu\)mol\(_{\text{photosynth}}\) m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)). After pre-conditioning for 30 min, biotransformation was initiated by starting a continuous feed of 0.25 M C-one in the YBG11 medium. Samples were taken at regular time intervals and quenched immediately by adding either 1 vol diethylether or 0.1 vol acetonitrile.

### Analytics

The cell concentration was assessed by determining OD\(_{750}\) in a LibraS11 spectrophotometer (Biochrom, Cambridge, United Kingdom). The previously determined correlation factor of 0.225 g\(_{\text{CDW}}\) L\(^{-1}\) per OD\(_{750}\) unit was used to calculate cell dry weight (CDW) concentrations (Hoschek et al., 2017). Chl a concentration was determined as described by Grund et al. (2019). Concentrations of cyclohexanol (C-ol), C-one, and \(\varepsilon\)-Cl were determined by gas chromatography (GC) as described before (Schäfer et al., 2020). See Supplementary Figure S1 for exemplary calibration curves.

Concentrations of 6-hydroxyhexanoic acid (6-HA) were determined by HPLC on a Dionex Ultimate 3,000 system equipped with an Acclaim OA column (both Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The sample was acidified with 1 M HCl to pH 3.0. The mobile phase A consisted of 100 mM sodium sulfate, adjusted with methanesulfonic acid to pH 3. Acetonitrile (>99.95% purity, ProtoChem) was used as mobile phase B. Sample volumes of 10–20 \(\mu\)L were injected, while the flow and the column temperature were kept constant at 0.4 ml min\(^{-1}\) and 60°C, respectively. The flow profile was as follows: 5% B for 2 min, 5–30% B in 6 min, 30–80% B in 1 min, 80% B for 1 min, 80–5% B in 2 min, and 5% B for 5.5 min. Detection was accomplished via a UV detector at 210 nm.

### Kinetic Bioprocess Modeling

For kinetic bioprocess modeling, the Berkeley Madonna software (version 8.3.18) was used. The biotransformation converting cyclohexanone (C-one) to \(\varepsilon\)-caprolactone (\(\varepsilon\)-Cl) as a product and cyclohexanol (C-ol) as a by-product was described in a kinetic model. The concentration courses for substrate (S), C-one, product (p′, \(\varepsilon\)-Cl), and by-product (B′, C-ol) were calculated using Eqs 4–6:

\[
S' = F - kB - kP \quad [\text{mM min}^{-1}],
\]

\[
B' = kB \quad [\text{mM min}^{-1}],
\]

and

\[
p' = kP \quad [\text{mM min}^{-1}],
\]

where the C-one feed rate F in mM min\(^{-1}\), the reaction rate of the keto reduction kB (C-ol formation), and of \(\varepsilon\)-Cl formation kP, described in Eqs 7, 8. The reaction rates kB and kP were calculated based on determined kinetic parameters (K, and V\(_{\text{max}}\) for keto reduction and K\(_{\text{s}}\), V\(_{\text{max}}\), and K\(_{\text{i,C-one}}\) for \(\varepsilon\)-Cl formation); kP was extended by the competitive inhibition by C-ol and the non-competitive inhibition by \(\varepsilon\)-Cl as follows:

\[
kP = \frac{V_{\text{max}}\ast [S]}{K_S (1 + \frac{[B]}{K_{C-ol}}) + [S] (1 + \frac{[S]}{K_{C-ol}}) (1 + \frac{[P]}{K_{\varepsilon-Cl}})} \ast X \quad [\text{mM min}^{-1}]
\]

and

\[
kB = \frac{V_{\text{max}}\ast [S]}{K_S + [S]} \ast X \quad [\text{mM min}^{-1}],
\]

where the biomass concentration X is in g\(_{\text{CDW}}\) L\(^{-1}\) and the inhibition constants K\(_{C-ol}\), K\(_{C-one}\), and K\(_{\varepsilon-Cl}\) for C-ol (B), C-one (S), and \(\varepsilon\)-Cl (p), respectively. See section 4.4 for the exact values chosen for the kinetic parameters.

S, P, and B were initially set to 0, and the parameters X (biomass concentration in g\(_{\text{CDW}}\) L\(^{-1}\) and F (C-one feed rate in mM min\(^{-1}\)) were fed into the model according to the respective experiment. The kinetic process model can be found in the supplemental material.

### RESULTS

#### Plasmid-Based Ni\(^{2+}\)-Induced Gene Expression Leads to High Levels of Active BVMO

In order to systematically analyze different expression strategies in Syn6803, three different strains were constructed: Syn6803\(_{\text{Cu_pBVMO}}\) for plasmid-based BVMO gene expression under control of the Cu\(^{2+}\)-inducible \(\text{PpetE}\) promoter and Syn6803\(_{\text{Ni_pBVMO}}\) and Syn6803\(_{\text{Ni_cBVMO}}\) for plasmid- and genome-based BVMO gene expression, respectively, under control of the Ni\(^{2+}\)-inducible \(\text{PnrsB}\) promoter. All designed strains were compared in the detail regarding protein level and specific C-one to \(\varepsilon\)-Cl conversion activity in short-term assays. Activities of the Ni\(^{2+}\)-inducible strains Syn6803\(_{\text{Ni_pBVMO}}\) and Syn6803\(_{\text{Ni_cBVMO}}\) were found to increase with increasing Ni\(^{2+}\) concentration yielding maximum specific activities of 52.2 ± 0.3 (10 \(\mu\)M Ni\(^{2+}\)) and 23.8 ± 4.0 U g\(_{\text{CDW}}^{-1}\) (15 \(\mu\)M Ni\(^{2+}\)), respectively (Figure 1A). The keto reduction of C-one to cyclohexanol (C-ol) was found to occur at a low rate of 0.5 ± 0.2 U g\(_{\text{CDW}}^{-1}\) (for details, see Inhibitory Effects of Substrate and By-Product Necessitate Precise Reaction Control section). It is important to note that expression induced by 15 \(\mu\)M Ni\(^{2+}\) did not significantly affect growth of Syn6803\(_{\text{Ni_pBVMO}}\), whereas this Ni\(^{2+}\) concentration slightly affected growth independently of BVMO gene expression (Supplementary Figure S2). Cu\(^{2+}\)-induced Syn6803\(_{\text{Cu_pBVMO}}\) yielded a maximal specific activity of 29.7 ± 0.7 U g\(_{\text{CDW}}^{-1}\) (with 0.5 \(\mu\)M Cu\(^{2+}\), Figure 1B). Ni\(^{2+}\)-inducible systems resulted in tightly regulated expression, whereas the Cu\(^{2+}\)-inducible system showed slight leakiness and poor titratability by the inducer concentration. Due to these reasons and the lower activity obtained upon plasmid-based expression, we focused on the Ni\(^{2+}\)-inducible system and
investigated plasmid- and genome-based expression in more detail. SDS-PAGE analyses of protein extracts of *Syn*6803_Ni_pBVMO and *Syn*6803_Ni_cBVMO showed a distinct band at ∼60 kDa, coinciding with the BVMO size (57.8 kDa) (Figures 1C,D). This band intensified with increasing Ni²⁺-concentration and was absent in un-induced cells and cells carrying an empty vector. Densitometric analysis indicated that BVMO accounted for 1.1 ± 0.2% of total soluble protein (TSP) in *Syn*6803_Ni_pBVMO induced with 10 µM Ni²⁺, a remarkably high value for heterologous expression in *Syn*6803. Assuming a total protein content of 0.32–0.57 g gCDW⁻¹ (Zavrel et al., 2017), the measured specific activity of 52.2 ± 0.3 U g⁰CDW⁻¹ translates into an in vivo activity of 7–18 U mgBVMO⁻¹ or a kcat of 6.9–17.6 s⁻¹ as a rough estimation.

In summary, we were able to construct a highly active BVMO-containing *Syn*6803 strain. Our data show that the *PnrsB*-based expression system proved to be a useful tool allowing tight,
tunable, and high-level expression. Syn6803_Ni_pBVMO was thus chosen for further characterization of photosynthesis-driven BVMO catalysis.

**The BVMO Reaction in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803 Is Light Dependent**

The BVMO reaction depends on NADPH as electron donor and thus is expected to constitute an artificial electron sink and thus to depend on the major electron source in phototrophs—the photosynthetic water oxidation. To test if and to what extent the BVMO reaction in *Syn*6803 depends on incident light, short-term activity assays at light intensities ranging from 0 to 250 µmolphotons m⁻² s⁻¹ were performed in medium deficient in organic compounds as potential electron donors. Clearly, specific activities increased with increasing light intensity with the maximal activity of 60.9 ± 1.0 U gCDW⁻¹ measured at 150 µmolphotons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Figure 2A). With chlorophyll a content of 11.8 ± 0.8 µgChla gCDW⁻¹, this activity translates into 5.2 ± 0.8 U mgChla⁻¹. Higher light intensities (such as 250 µmolphotons m⁻² s⁻¹) led to a slight activity decrease, most likely due to photoinhibitory effects. When blocking electron transfer from PS II to the PQ pool by means of the respective inhibitor 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU) or upon incubation in the dark, residual activities of 13.6 ± 0.3 or 19.0 ± 0.8 U gCDW⁻¹ were observed, respectively. These typical background/dark activities are most likely fueled by reducing equivalents derived from storage compounds. We could show that extended periods (up to 16 h) of incubation in the dark or with DCMU, which involves the consumption of storage compounds and thus a reduction of their availability, decreases the activities to 4.7 ± 0.1 and 1.3 ± 0.2 U gCDW⁻¹, respectively (Figure 2B). These results clearly indicate a close coupling of the BVMO reaction to the photosynthetic electron transport chain.

**Inhibitory Effects of Substrate and By-Product Necessitate Precise Reaction Control**

To specify the optimal substrate concentration, the reaction kinetics were analyzed for *Syn6803_Ni_pBVMO* by varying the C-one concentration between 50 µM and 3 mM in 5 min assays. The cells showed Michaelis–Menten-type kinetics with
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**FIGURE 3** Kinetic characterization of C-one oxidation by *Syn6803_Ni_pBVMO*. (A) Kinetics for C-one oxidation. Kinetic experiments were performed with 1 ml induced cells (0.25–0.5 gCDW L⁻¹) in 10 ml Pyrex tubes. BVMO reactions were started by adding varying amounts of C-one (50 µM–5 mM) to cells equilibrated at standard assay conditions for 10 min and was stopped after 5 min. (B) Effect of C-ol on C-one oxidation activity. Varying amounts of C-ol (0–5 mM) were added to 1 ml induced cells (0.7–1.0 gCDW L⁻¹) in 10 ml Pyrex tubes. Also here, cells were equilibrated for 10 min, and the reaction was started by substrate addition. Reactions were stopped after 30 min. Depicted are mean values and standard deviations from ≥2 independent experiments. (C) Reactions and parameters influencing ε-CI formation by *Syn6803*: BVMO-catalyzed conversion C-one into ε-CI; intrinsic alcohol dehydrogenases catalyze C-one reduction to C-ol, which inhibits C-one oxidation. ε-CI is hydrolyzed to 6-HA by intrinsic hydrolases.
low light/low carbon (LLLC): C-one was fed at a rate matching a specific activity of 25 U gCDW\(^{-1}\) for 21.5 h with an initial biomass concentration of 0.55 \(\pm\) 0.09 gCDW L\(^{-1}\). (B) High light/low carbon (HLLC): C-one was fed at a rate matching 15 U gCDW\(^{-1}\) for 24.5 h and then at a rate matching 10 U gCDW\(^{-1}\); the initial biomass concentration was 0.61 \(\pm\) 0.04 gCDW L\(^{-1}\). (C) High light/high carbon (HLHC): C-one was fed at a rate matching 30 U gCDW\(^{-1}\) for 4 h, which then was decreased by 30\% until 8 h; the initial biomass concentration was of 1.02 \(\pm\) 0.01 gCDW L\(^{-1}\). Experimental data are given as black bars: specific activity in U [\(=\) \(\mu\)mol (\(\varepsilon\)-CL + 6-HA) min\(^{-1}\)] gCDW\(^{-1}\); black squares: C-one in mM; green triangles: total product in mM; red circles: C-ol in mM; and blue circles: sum of all analytes in mM. Depicted are mean values and standard deviations from technical replicates. Dashed line (blue): Total CO\(_2\) supplied were completely converted to 14.2 \(\pm\) 0.15 mM products \(\varepsilon\)-CL (Supplementary Figure S3). Additionally, 6-hydroxyhexanoic acid (6-HA) was detected as by-product, which can be ascribed to abiotic hydrolysis of \(\varepsilon\)-CL (Supplementary Figure S4). Potential inhibition by these by-products was also tested in activity assays. Whereas 6-HA did not significantly affect C-one oxidation (Supplementary Figure S6), C-ol was found to be a strong inhibitor with a \(K_s\) of 0.03 mM, determined via kinetic fittings as described in Whole-cell activity assays and determination of reaction kinetics section (Figure 3B), corresponding to a \(K_{s,C-ol}/K_{s,C-one}\) ratio of 0.38. Figure 3C depicts a reaction scheme based on the findings described in this paragraph. Since intrinsic alcohol dehydrogenase activity can barely be avoided without drastically interfering with host metabolism, high BVMO levels can be considered pivotal to promote \(\varepsilon\)-CL formation. In this way, low yields due to by-product formation and—even more relevant—inhibition by C-ol can largely be avoided.

**Gram Scale \(\varepsilon\)-Caprolactone Production in a 2-L Photo-Bioreactor**

In order to test the feasibility of Syn6803_Ni_pBVMO to produce \(\varepsilon\)-CL in the gram scale, this strain was applied in a 2-L stirred-tank photo-bioreactor (photo-STR) providing an efficient \(O_2\) and \(CO_2\) mass transfer and a controlled environment. The latter included the application of a limiting continuous substrate feed to minimize both substrate and by-product inhibition. To establish different source/sink conditions, light intensity (low light, LL: 150 \(\mu\)mol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) or high light, HL: 700 \(\mu\)mol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) and \(CO_2\) supply via aeration (low \(CO_2\), LC: ambient \(CO_2\) or high \(CO_2\), HC, 1 and 10\% \(CO_2\) during growth and biotransformation, respectively) were varied to establish the three source/sink regimes LLLC, HLLC, and HLHC (for details, see Table 1).
After a growth phase of 3–4 days, including induction by adding 10 µM NiSO₄ 24 h before biotransformation start, C-one feeding was initiated at a fixed rate matching a specifically chosen activity. The first reactor was run with 0.55 ± 0.09 gCDW L⁻¹ under LLHC and a feed rate set to 25 U gCDW⁻¹. A final product titer (sum of ε-Cl and 6-HA) of 11.6 ± 1.0 mM was reached after 28 h (see Figure 4A). However, 5.4 ± 0.1 mM C-one remained unconverted, as the specific activity strongly decreased within the first hours. A second reactor was set up for HLHC conditions to rule out light limitation as a reason for the decreasing activity. To avoid substrate accumulation, the initial substrate feed rate was adjusted to match an activity of 15 U gCDW⁻¹ using 0.61 ± 0.04 gCDW L⁻¹. This lead to a prolonged product formation phase, yielding however a slightly lower final product titer of 9.8 ± 1.6 mM after 25 h (Figure 4B). To test, if product formation benefits from higher biomass concentrations, the third photo-STR was conducted using HLHC conditions. These conditions allowed for a 70% higher initial biomass concentration (1.02 ± 0.01 gCDW L⁻¹, see Table 1). To increase the C-one conversion rate, substrate supply was set to match 30 U gCDW⁻¹. Comparable to the previous reactor runs, this preset activity of 30 U gCDW⁻¹ only was met initially and was decreasing in time, resulting in C-one accumulation, requiring adjustment of the C-one feed rate (decrease to 70% after 4 h and stop of C-one feed after 8 h, Figure 4C). The final product titer was 11.5 ± 0.2 mM after 27 h, corresponding to a volumetric productivity of 48.6 ± 0.8 mg L⁻¹ h⁻¹ and a product yield of 0.88 (mol ε-Cl + 6-HA) molC-one⁻¹). Thus, all experiments led to similar product titers (9.8–11.6 mM corresponding to 1.12–1.32 g L⁻¹).

Irrespective of the applied source/sink conditions, the specific activity decreased in all setups at substrate (C-one) and by-product (C-ol) levels not expected to lead to significant inhibition (Figures 4A–C). The independency of initial specific activities on the applied biomass concentration (varied between 0.55 and 1.02 gCDW L⁻¹) indicates that light availability did not limit the specific activity (Table 1 and Figures 4A–C). This was further confirmed by light intensity variation (250 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ vs. 700 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) under otherwise identical conditions as in the HLHC experiment (Figure 4C). This low-light high-carbon (LLHC) experiment resulted in a similar initial volumetric productivity as in the HLHC experiment corroborating that light did not limit the biocatalytic activity (Supplementary Table S2). These results indicate that neither inhibition by C-one nor C-ol nor photosynthesis limited the biocatalytic activity. Instead, product inhibition may have prevented a more effective and stable conversion. Indeed, ε-Cl was found to compromise BVO activity in short term assays (Supplementary Figure S6). As no product inhibition has been observed on the enzyme level (Schäfer et al., 2020), ε-Cl rather inhibits on a physiological level, which can best be described as a non-competitive type of inhibition. With the respective fit (Eqs 1, 3), the inhibitory studies yielded a Kᵢ,Cl of 0.83 mM.

These findings prompted us to describe the biotransformations by means of a kinetic bioprocess model using Berkeley Madonna software. The model was parametrized using the kinetic data reported in the Potential of Photosynthesis-Driven Redox Biocatalysis, section, which were derived from fitting according to Michaelis–Menten kinetics with substrate inhibition (Eq. 1). Taking into account that this approach neglects by-product and product inhibition, which occur, but were not considered for the determination of Vmax and Kᵢ,C-one these parameters were set to the upper boundaries of the fittings, namely, 91 U gCDW⁻¹ and 5.9 mM. The inhibition by ε-Cl observed under assay conditions (Supplementary Figure S6, Kᵢ,ε-Cl = 0.83 mM) appeared to be less pronounced in bioreactor experiments. Thus, Kᵢ,ε-Cl was fitted to bioreactor data resulting in a value of 2.0 mM. This allowed to simulate concentration courses for substrate (C-one), product (ε-Cl + 6-HA), and by-product (C-ol) (depicted as lines in Figures 4A–C) with striking accuracy. This high simulation accuracy obtained with a mere kinetic model, not considering possible influences of light intensity and CO₂ supply variation in the experiments, indicates that C-one conversion was kinetically controlled under the conditions applied, including a physiological effect of ε-Cl, and was not influenced by the tested variation in source/sink regime.

Furthermore, the process model was used to identify optimal process parameters to handle the complex reaction kinetics, namely, the feed regime and the biocatalyst concentration. For this purpose, only reaction kinetics were considered, and avoidance of a possible light limitation was assumed. To explore the sensitivity regarding the biocatalyst concentration, values of 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 gCDW L⁻¹ were chosen, being aware that high cell concentrations such as 5 gCDW L⁻¹ would involve light limitation issues in the experimental setup (as further discussed in the Potential of Photosynthesis-Driven Redox Biocatalysis section). Thereby, the feed regime applied in the HLHC experiment (Figure 4C) was scaled to different biocatalyst concentrations (Figure 5A). The highest biomass concentration tested (5 gCDW L⁻¹) indeed yielded the highest product titer (17.0 mM) but accumulated C-one (36.9 mM) and C-ol (11.4 mM) in high amounts. To avoid extreme substrate and by-product accumulation and thereby optimize product titer and yield, a more conservative C-one feed was targeted. To this end, an ideal C-one conversion rate was calculated by considering product inhibition only and neglecting substrate and by-product inhibition, reflecting the upper limit of C-one supply which can be handled by the catalyst. This continuously decreasing rate was translated into a feed rate followed by simulation using the kinetic model, again testing different biocatalyst concentrations. Irrespective of the initial feed rate (30, 20, or 10 U gCDW⁻¹, Figures 5B–D), the overall picture remained the same: Higher biocatalyst concentrations (2 and 5 gCDW L⁻¹) heavily accumulated C-one and— to a minor extent— C-ol, limiting product formation. Although lower biocatalyst concentrations (0.75 and 1 gCDW L⁻¹) suffered less C-one accumulation and consequential C-ol formation, the final product titer did not exceed 10.7 mM. To conclude, the “optimized” C-one feed regime led to only a moderate increase in final product titer compared to the HLHC experiment (15.5 vs. 11.5 mM), but only with 5 times higher biocatalyst concentration and thus on the cost of low biocatalyst-based yield (0.4 vs. 1.3 g ε-Cl + 6-HA gCDW⁻¹) and product yield (0.15 vs. 0.88 mol ε-Cl + 6-HA molC-one⁻¹). With the
same biocatalyst concentration as in the HLHC experiment, the achieved product titer was even lower (10.7 vs. 11.5 mM), which emphasizes the strong impact of the by-product C-ol demanding a more aggressive feed rate decrease. Overall, the reaction system remains intricate, as product titer and yield are not only restricted by product inhibition but also by the delicate interplay between competitive by-product inhibition and the formation of this by-product: A high substrate concentration can counteract competitive inhibition but simultaneously increases inhibitor formation. Importantly, assuming the absence of light limitation for higher cell densities did not enable significantly higher product titers than experimentally obtained, reemphasizing that kinetic restrictions are the primary factors limiting the performance of the investigated reaction system.

DISCUSSION

Photosynthesis is the central biochemical transformation process converting light energy into chemical energy and therefore a highly attractive module for environmentally friendly industrial processes. It can be exploited for biotechnology by making use of photosynthetically active microorganisms such as cyanobacteria (Barber, 2009); (Angermayr et al., 2009). Their capability to fix CO₂ gives rise to the conversion of CO₂ into organic chemicals such as biofuels (Gao et al., 2016). Inter alia, the production of ethanol (Gao et al., 2012), 2,3-butanediol (Oliver et al., 2013), glycerol (Savakis et al., 2015), and 1-butanol (Atsumi et al., 2009) has been investigated, typically achieving rather low productivities, not exceeding 10 mg L⁻¹ h⁻¹. These processes mainly suffer low energy efficiency as respective metabolic pathways are linked to carbon fixation and central carbon metabolism, which are evolutionary optimized for biomass formation under given conditions and not explicitly with respect to energy efficiency. One option to increase light-to-product energy efficiency is to link production processes closer to the photosynthetic electron transport chain and thus avoid downstream energy loss (Barber, 2009).

Following this idea, recent studies focused on the utilization of photosynthesis-derived electrons for redox biocatalysis. The coupling is realized either by directly linking electron consuming enzymes to PS I (Lassen et al., 2014a; Appel et al., 2020) or via reduced cofactors produced by the light reaction (Hoschek et al., 2019b; Assil-Companioni et al., 2020), for example, NADPH as in the presented study. This approach is particularly elegant for oxygénation reactions as not only electron supply but also O₂ supply—often a limiting factor in aerobic large-scale bioprocesses—is realized in situ via photosynthetic water oxidation (Hoschek et al., 2018). In this study, the use of a 2-L stirred tank photo-bioreactor setup enabled light-driven ε-Cl
formation in the gram scale within 1 day exemplifying the potential of this approach.

Enhanced Gene Expression Makes *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803 an Attractive Biocatalyst

The development and application of photosynthetically active microorganisms as microbial hosts is still restricted by the limited availability of molecular biology tools and the comparably poor knowledge on cell metabolism and physiology. Here, we investigated different expression systems regarding expression levels and specific activities of BVMO from *Acidovorax* sp. CHX100 in *Syn6803*. The Ni^{2+}-inducible *PnrsB* promoter system was found to enable high BVMO expression levels and be superior to the Cu^{2+}-inducible *PpetE* promoter system in terms of inducibility and expression level, in accordance with previous studies (Englund et al., 2016; Liang et al., 2018). It is important to note that the expression level achieved with a promoter depends on the genetic context (Englund et al., 2016). The expression levels of up to 1.1 ± 0.2% of TSP reached in this study are to our best knowledge unprecedented in *Syn6803*. Numerous studies have characterized different controllable promoter systems in *Syn6803*: non-native ones such as the LacI-repressible *Ptrc1O* system (Huang et al., 2010), the TetR-regulated L03 promoter (Huang and Lindblad, 2013), and other *Plac* variants (Thiel et al., 2018) as well as native ones like Cu^{2+}-inducible *PpetE* (Briggs et al., 1990) and Ni^{2+}-inducible *PnrsB* promoters (Englund et al., 2016). However, most studies focused on the optimization of expression systems rather than on designing suitable biocatalysts and use fluorescent proteins as read-out for expression strength. Thus, not much is known about the cellular concentrations of heterologous protein. For catalytically active enzymes, such as dehydrogenases or monooxygenases (Lassen et al., 2014a), constitutive systems, such as the discovered “super strong” promoter *Ppcp560* (Zhou et al., 2014) or the light-inducible promoter *PpsbA*, have been applied (Wang et al., 2018). They yield high expression levels up to 15% (Zhou et al., 2014) and 12.6% of TSP (Wang et al., 2018). However, for oxygenase biocatalysis, well-steerable promoter systems are more desirable to control metabolic burden such as ROS formation. They yield lower but still remarkable expression levels of 0.4% (Sebesta and Peebles, 2020) and 1.1% of TSP (Savakis et al., 2015), of which the latter was met by *Syn6803_Ni_pBVMO* generated in this study.

With *PnrsB*, plasmid-based expression yielded a 2.6 times higher BVMO level and activity than chromosome-based expression (Figure 1). This difference may be due to a higher copy number of the plasmid than the chromosome (Huang et al., 2010). It however has been reported that the copy number of *pPMQA1K1* is comparable to that of the chromosome (Jin et al., 2018). Such contradiction has been explained by the observation that both plasmid and chromosome copy numbers vary strongly in *Syn6803* (Heidorn et al., 2011). This makes chromosomal integration the more laborious method due to the necessity for segregation, whereas the plasmid-based approach can suffer instability and the necessity for constant antibiotic selection (Kadisch et al., 2017).

The strong correlation of specific BVMO activity and the expression level (Figure 1) suggests that the achieved BVMO level still limits the biocatalyst activity. Future advances regarding molecular biology tools for cyanobacteria can be expected to enable even higher biocatalyst activities. Promising candidate expression systems include the recently characterized rhamnose-inducible promoter *PrhaBAD* (Kelly et al., 2018) or the vanillate-inducible promoter *PvanCC* (Behle et al., 2020).

Photosynthesis-Driven BVMO Catalysis Is Hampered by Reactant Inhibition Rather Than Host Metabolism

BVMO containing *Syn6803* was found to feature a 3.7 times lower apparent uptake constant *Ks* for C-one (80 ± 23 µM) than *Pseudomonas taiwanensis* VLB 120 containing the same enzyme (316 ± 21 µM) (Bretschnieder et al., 2021a). This indicates a rather efficient substrate mass transfer into the cell. Furthermore, we observed moderate substrate inhibition, an often encountered effect with BVMOs (Schurkus et al., 2017; Fürst et al., 2019; Schmidt and Bornscheuer, 2020). The host metabolic background interferes with the biotransformation in terms of C-one reduction to C-ol as reported before (Böhmer et al., 2017), but with a rather low *Vmax* (2.75 ± 0.15 mM) and a rather high *Ks* (7.4 ± 0.6 mM). More important was the inhibition of BVMO activity by C-ol already at low concentrations (*Ks* = 0.03 mM). This is in agreement with published data on heterotrophs containing the same enzyme (Schäfer et al., 2020), indicating an inhibitory effect on the enzyme level. To reduce formation of the (inhibiting) by-product, the introduction of an enzyme for re-conversion of C-ol to C-one could be an interesting solution (Bayer et al., 2017). Deletion of intrinsic alcohol dehydrogenases constitute another option but may harm cell viability and thus be tedious. Taken together, these data show that controlling substrate concentration is key for efficient photosynthesis-driven BVMO catalysis.

The optimized strain *Syn6803_Ni_pBVMO* reached a maximal specific activity of 60.9 ± 1.0 U g_{CDW}^{-1}. This is around 4 to 5 times lower than published for the heterotrophic host *Pseudomonas taiwanensis* VLB120 (Schäfer et al., 2020), which showed significantly higher BVMO expression levels (Bretschnieder et al., 2021a) and features a highly active energy and redox metabolism based on organic acids and sugars (Volmer et al., 2014). It is however noteworthy that the BVMO exhibited a much higher *in vivo* activity in *Syn6803* than in *P. taiwanensis*, that is, a 4.6 to 11 times higher *kcat* (6.9–17.6 s^{-1} vs. 1.5 s^{-1} (Bretschnieder et al., 2021a)). It should be noted that BVMO catalysis in *Syn6803* appeared not to be limited by the metabolic capacity to supply reduction equivalents as the photoproduction of NADPH in *Syn6803* is in the range of 9–18 U mg Chl_{a} (Kauny and Setif, 2014), which translates to 106–212 U g_{CDW}^{-1}. Presumably, this limitation was decisive for BVMO catalysis in *P. taiwanensis*. The activities achieved already indicate the great potential of *Syn6803* as host for energy-dependent biotransformations. Future research efforts to fill
knowledge gaps regarding expression systems, other molecular biology tools, and cofactor regeneration can be expected to further improve photosynthesis-driven biotransformation efficiency (Hitchcock et al., 2020).

Despite keeping by-product and substrate strictly below inhibiting values, the biocatalyst performance was found to be compromised in the long term. Neither increased light nor CO₂ supply had a positive effect (Figure 4B). Instead, the product ε-Cl itself was found to affect biocatalyst performance (Supplementary Figure S6). Such product inhibition also was the decisive limitation in simulations based on kinetic data, which overall fit well the bioreactor data. Interestingly, product inhibition was not detected with heterotrophic hosts (Schäfer et al., 2020) and seems to be Syn6803 specific. Effects of ε-Cl on Syn6803 physiology may involve inhibition of metabolism leading to NADPH limitation as discussed below. To address product inhibition, in situ product removal technologies can be applied (Dafoe and Daugulis, 2014). Whereas two liquid-phase approaches are compromised by the intermediary polarity of the product, solid-phase extraction may be a promising but costly solution. Alternatively, BVO catalysis can be amended by a lipase (Scherkus et al., 2017) or lactonase (Schäfer et al., 2020) reaction to obtain 6-HA which is less problematic in terms of inhibition but still feasible as a polymer precursor.

**Potentials and Limitations of Photosynthesis-Driven Redox Bicatalysis**

The biocatalyst-based yield (gₚroduct g₉CDW⁻¹) has been proposed as an important measure for catalyst performance (Fürst et al., 2019). Syn6803_Ni_pBVMO reached a yield of 1.3 and 1.6 gₚroduct g₉CDW⁻¹ in a 2-L photo-bioreactor and in shaking flasks, respectively. A sensitivity analysis based on the kinetic model affirmed a yield of 1.5 gₚroduct g₉CDW⁻¹ to be realistic for the used bioreactor setup. This is on the same level as an industry-relevant BVO-based process with *Escherichia coli* featuring a yield of 1.6 gₚroduct g₉CDW⁻¹ for a however more complex product (Woo et al., 2018). However, photooxidative processes are not on the same readiness level as heterotrophic biocatalytic processes: It should be noted that the abovementioned metric neglects the immense differences in cell densities applied. The mentioned *E. coli*-based process uses around 25-times higher biomass concentrations than those applied in this study and thus features a higher volumetric productivity. On the other hand, Syn6803-based biocatalyst production, regeneration, and operation are based on CO₂ as carbon and water as electron source, making the addition of an organic carbon and energy source such as glucose dispensable. Future improvements of reactor design and cultivation strategies such as the use of capillary biofilm reactor concepts (Karande et al., 2014; Hoschek et al., 2019a) or immobilized cells (Vajravel et al., 2020) and internal illumination (Hobisch et al., 2021) are expected to push the boundaries of phototrophic cultivation/process operation. Already noteworthy are the initial and 24-h-average productivity (187 ± 1 mg L⁻¹ h⁻¹ and 59 ± 1 mg L⁻¹ h⁻¹, respectively), ranging among the highest productivities for biotransformations using cyanobacteria. Additionally, the product yield of 0.88 (molₐ₃Cl₆-HA molₐ₃-Cₘₐₙ⁻¹) prefigures the high potential of phototrophic hosts for biocatalysis.

The comparably high in vivo BVMO activity obtained in this study indicates that—sufficient light supply provided—NADPH can efficiently be withdrawn from phototrophic metabolism to fuel productive reactions. As confirmed by process simulation merely based on whole-cell reaction kinetics, the photosynthesis-based NADPH supply capacity did not limit the biotransformation, with efficient illumination as a prerequisite. The product itself might interfere with NADPH supply at higher concentrations by affecting membrane integrity (Sikkema et al., 1995) and thus photosynthetic electron transfer. In contrast, electron consuming redox biocatalysis transformed by the ene-reductase Yqjm were found to be limited by NADPH availability at activities above 150 U g₉CDW⁻¹ (Assil-Companioni et al., 2020). By inactivating the proteins Flv1/Flv3, an approach known to reduce electron loss to unproductive O₂ reductions (Thiel et al., 2019), Syn6803 reached specific activities up to 170 U g₉CDW⁻¹. It has to be investigated though, if this elevated electron supply can be sustained in the long term.

As oxygenases are prone to uncouple, that is, reduce O₂ without concomitant substrate oxidation, ROS formation represents another electron sink increasing the electron demand. Furthermore, ROS formation possibly affects photosynthetic metabolism (Nishiyama et al., 2005). Cyanobacteria have evolved different mechanisms to cope with and detoxify ROS (Latifi et al., 2009), which however involves additional electron/energy investment further increasing the electron demand.

Today, the disposability of electrons from water oxidation, for example, by water electrolysis, is a field of particular interest, especially for H₂ production. In this context, envisaged light-to-H₂ solutions using cyanobacterial photosynthesis as a transformation module are highly attractive (Appel et al., 2020). Success towards this goal relies, beside O₂ tolerance of biocatalysts and high cell-density cultivation concepts, on the electron supply capacity of photoautotrophic microbes. It is still unclear, if a high electron demand for technically feasible H₂ production can be met by cells still able to sustain themselves. Interestingly, additional electron sinks were shown to increase photosynthetic efficiency and thereby electron fluxes through the photosynthetic apparatus (Zhou et al., 2016; Grund et al., 2019). This observation reinforces the sink-limitation hypothesis, which states that photosynthesis is rather limited by the availability of electron sinks than by electron (energy) sources (Berepiki et al., 2016). This gives the possibility to tap unused electron supply potential for electron consuming reactions. Limits of and factors influencing electron supply via the photosynthetic light reaction for biotechnological application remain to be investigated. Reductases, such as Yqjm (Assil-Companioni et al., 2020), and oxygenases, such as the cycloalkane hydroxylating cytochrome P₄₅₀ monoxygenase (Hoschek et al., 2019b) and the BVO investigated in this study, have now been established as prominent electron sinks in cyanobacteria and constitute excellent blueprints to decipher the potential of the
photosynthetic light reaction as electron source for biotechnological application.
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