Penetrating trans-abdominal bamboo stick injury: An unusual case report
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Abstract
Penetrating abdominal trauma secondary to bamboo stick injury is a rare entity in the developed world. Most of such kind of trans abdominal penetrating bamboo stick injury is associated with multiple solid and hollow viscus injuries. In developing countries like India, where nearly 70% of the population reside in rural areas, it is not that uncommon. Aim of this report is to recognition of risk factors and explain optimum surgical management in trans-abdominal bamboo stick injury.

Case Presentation: Here we describe a case of penetrating trans abdominal bamboo stick injury resulting due to fall over a branch of bamboo stick with multiorgan damage, which was successfully managed by early surgical exploration in our institute.

Conclusion: There are many factors which can prognosticate the penetrating trauma cases. The most important factors include a long interval between injury and surgical exploration, presence or absence of shock, and other associated organ injuries at the time of admission. Early recognition of the severity of injury with surgical management is utmost necessary. These patients have high morbidity and mortality rates due to multiorgan damage and post-operative infection.
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With secondary intention. was closed in layers, and the skin was left open for healing because there was no active intraabdominal bleeding. The liver laceration was left as such resected and both ends were brought outside as double barrel ileostomy. The liver laceration was found to pierce the mid part of the sigmoid colon and the part of the omentum was also seen coming out from the exiting point on the right side. Tenderness was present on per abdomen examination, and bowel sounds were absent. Per rectal examination was normal. He was managed according to standard trauma guidelines. Two wide borne intravenous lines were established, and urinary catheterization was done to measure urine output. Baseline investigations were done with blood for grouping and cross-matching. Routine tetanus prophylaxis with antibiotics and pain killers were given to the patient. We did not perform any imaging investigation as the intraperitoneal breach was very obvious, and also the condition of the patient did not allow it. He was immediately shifted to the operating room for exploratory laparotomy.

Abdomen was opened transversely parallel to the direction of the bamboo stick. After opening of all layers, intraoperative findings were noted. The wooden stick was found to pierce the mid part of the sigmoid colon and the proximal part of the ileum with minimal intraperitoneal faecal contamination. Also, there were multiple mesenteric tears with a liver laceration in the right lobe. There were moderate hemoperitoneum and minimal biliary collection. Wooden stick was removed carefully to avoid further damage to intra-abdominal organs. Thorough abdominal lavage was done. The damaged part of the sigmoid colon was resected, and primary anastomosis was done. The injured proximal part of the ileum, which was found 3 feet proximal to the ileocecal junction, was resected and both ends were brought outside as double barrel ileostomy. The liver laceration was left as such because there was no active intraabdominal bleeding. After careful inspection of all other areas, the abdomen was closed in layers, and the skin was left open for healing with secondary intention.

Postoperatively the patient was shifted to the surgical ICU for better post-operative care. Ileostomy became functioning on the second post-operative day. The only complication was post-operative sepsis suggested by continuous fever and minimal purulent drainage into the pelvic drain. Antibiotics were upgraded on the basis of routine blood and pus culture reports. Slowly the patient responded to it and was discharged on the 13th post-operative day.

Discussion
Penetrating abdominal trauma with the bamboo stick is rare in developed countries but in countries like India where nearly 70% of the population reside in rural areas, it is not that uncommon. Young productive age group is more affected by this type of injury (1). There are many factors which can prognosticate penetrating trauma cases. The most important factors include: interval between injury and surgical exploration, presence or absence of shock, and other associated organ injuries at the time of admission (2). Penetrating abdominal Injuries are known to have high morbidity and mortality rates. Also, these injuries pose a challenge to hospitals, surgeons and critical care team for preoperative and post-operative management (3). Most of the mortality can occur within the first 24 hours (4). Clinical features of these patients depend on the injured parts of the body, organ and the shape as well as the size of the penetrating object (5). There is a high chance of intraperitoneal breach with hollow viscus perforation and other intra-abdominal visceral injuries (6). It is very important to properly expose the patient from top to bottom by completely removing clothes. Also, as bamboo stick may be a potential source of infection, prophylactic tetanus administration and broad spectrum antibiotic coverage are essential to prevent preoperative and postoperative sepsis (7). It is important to remove the foreign object as a single piece to avoid further injury and also to prevent any missing particle of foreign objects. (8). Once the wound is debrided after the foreign object is removed, a thorough lavage is mandatory. It minimizes contamination, and post-operative infection rate and the resulting wound could be left to either primary or delayed intention healing (6).

Conclusion
Transabdominal penetrating injuries with foreign bodies such as wooden or bamboo stick of tree pose a great challenge to the surgeons and critical care team. Time to reach the hospital, proper surgical management and preventing post-operative infection are the key factors for the management of the patient.
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