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Abstract: Tumor and inflammation have many common features. One hallmark of both is enhanced vascular permeability, which is mediated by various factors including bradykinin, nitric oxide (NO), peroxynitrite, prostaglandins etc. A unique characteristic of tumors, however, is defective vascular anatomy. The enhanced vascular permeability in tumors is also distinctive in that extravasated macromolecules are not readily cleared. We utilized the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect of tumors for tumor selective delivery of macromolecular drugs. Consequently, such drugs, nanoparticles or lipid particles, when injected intravenously, selectively accumulate in tumor tissues and remain there for long periods. The EPR effect of tumor tissue is frequently inhomogeneous and the heterogeneity of the EPR effect may reduce the tumor delivery of macromolecular drugs. Therefore, we developed methods to augment the EPR effect without inducing adverse effects for instance raising the systemic blood pressure by infusing angiotensin II during arterial injection of SMANCS/Lipiodol. This method was validated in clinical setting. Further, benefits of utilization of NO-releasing agent such as nitroglycerin or angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors were demonstrated. The EPR effect is thus now widely accepted as the most basic mechanism for tumor-selective targeting of macromolecular drugs, or so-called nanomedicine.
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1. Introduction

In the 1980s–1990s, we demonstrated a mechanism that mediates extravasation upon bacterial infection via the activation of protease cascades involving kallikrein system that generates bradykinin (kinin)1)–6) Subsequently we found a similar cascade of kinin generation did involve in vascular permeability in the solid tumor.7)–10) Concomitant generation of nitric oxide (NO) was also discovered. Then prostanclins were also found involved in vascular permeability in solid tumors as was also the case in inflamed tissues.11)–16)

This finding was important because of our interest of drug delivery to solid tumor using macromolecular drugs, more specifically polymer [SMA] conjugated neocarzinostatin [NCS] designated as SMANCS.17),18) SMA is a synthetic polymer of styrene-co-maleic acid of about 1.2 KDa with high lipophilicity, which was covalently conjugated to NCS via amide bond. SMA also conferred albumin binding capacity to SMANCS, as well as quite different pharmacological properties in vivo. Using this polymer conjugate macromolecular drug SMANCS, we found its remarkable accumulation in the tumor tissue. Then we clarified that it was extravasated more selectively in solid tumor as was a case observed by bacterial infected site where blue albumin was extravasated due to kinin generation.8)–10)

Because of the high lipophilicity of SMANCS we also found that it could be solubilized in lipid contrast agent [Lipiodol®] (SMANCS/Lipiodol) (see
discussion later). We further found that arterial injection of Lipiodol, or SMANCS/Lipiodol truly targeted tumor tissue selectively.19),20) There was no other method that can target an anticancer agent with so much selectively.

In this review article, I will therefore discuss the unique vascular pathophysiology, microanatomy of tumors and the biochemical mechanisms involved in the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect. More important, I also describe how we can augment the tumor selective drug delivery and hence improve the therapeutic efficacy using macromolecular anticancer drugs—the issue of greatest importance that addressed in this article.

2. Tumor selective macromolecular drug, SMANCS, and lipid formulation for arterial infusion; The method for pin-pointed tumor delivery

Following the structural study of proteinaceous antitumor agent neocarzinostatin, or NCS, we synthesized a polymer (SMA) conjugated derivative of NCS, designated SMANCS in 1978.17),18) The main objective was to develop a drug that would accumulate in the lymphatic system utilizing SMA-component that would confer lipophilic as well as and macromolecular nature. In lymphology macromolecules or lipidic particle are known to be recovered via the lymphatic system.21) Therefore, a lymphotropic drug would be preferred character to deliver the drug to the lymphatic system, thus ideal drug against lymphatic metastasis.22),21) That is one of the major problems in cancer treatment.

When SMANCS dissolved in water was injected intravenously, it was accumulated predominantly in the lymph nodule.23) Furthermore, because of its lipophilic nature of SMANCS, I found it could be dissolved in the lipid contrast agent (Lipiodol®, lipophilic nature of SMANCS, I found it could be dissolved in the lipid contrast agent (Lipiodol®, lipophilic nature of SMANCS, I found it could be dissolved in the lipid contrast agent (Lipiodol®, lipophilic nature of SMANCS, I found it could be dissolved in the lipid contrast agent (Lipiodol®). In collaboration with Toshimitsu Konno, Surgery Department, Kumamoto University, we pursued arterial infusion of SMANCS/Lipiodol (SX/LP) into the tumor feeding artery of VX-2 tumor implanted in the rabbit liver to facilitate more tumor targeted delivery. When SMANCS/Lipiodol was infused into the hepatic artery, extraordinary high intratumor concentration was found. Namely the drug concentration in the tumor compared to that of blood was more than 2000 fold in the tumor.24) This pharmacological data of tumor uptake using SMANCS/Lipiodol was so remarkable, we pursued for its clinical development.

In the clinical front then, we had many advanced hepatoma patients in our hospital, and Professor Ikuzo Yokoyama of Department Surgery decided to undertake the evaluation of SX/LP for treatment of primary hepatoma, which exhibition unprecedented targeting and therapeutic response in VX-2 tumor. The method not only offers truly pin-point targeting drug delivery, but it also offers diagnostic information, such as exact tumor size, location, intrahepatic spread, and amount of drug delivered to tumor because the remaining of Lipiodol could be clearly detected by X-ray CT-scan.24)–26) This was the first example of theranostic modality in early 1980s, which is now becoming popular. This therapeutic method using SMANCS was later approved for hepatoma treatment in 1993 by the Japanese Government.

3. Discovery of the EPR effect of macromolecules in solid tumors and its elaboration

We first observed prolonged tumor-selective accumulation of blue albumin (67 kDa) (Fig. 1A,B), which can be readily visualized by injecting Evans blue intravenously as a blue tumor.27) The amount of Evans blue-albumin in the tumor and other organs were quantified, and time dependent progressive accumulation was only seen in the tumor tissues (Fig. 2A). The tumor concentration of blue albumin was about 10 fold higher than that of blood at 145 hrs (Fig. 2A). This phenomenon was coined the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect. We also confirmed the EPR effect by using radio labeled serum proteins; IgG (170 kDa), transferrin (90 kDa), albumin (67 kDa), and ovalbumin (48 kDa). However, we did not observe the EPR effect with low molecular weight proteins such as ovomucoid (29 kDa) and neocarzinostatin (12 kDa).27)

To confirm the molecular weight dependency of the EPR effect, we used biocompatible synthetic copolymers of hydroxypropyl methacrylate (HPMA), which ranged in size from 4.5 to 800 kDa,28)–31) that was kindly provided by Professors Karel Ulbrich and Ruth Duncan. We reconfirmed our earlier findings: most biocompatible plasma proteins and HPMA polymers between 4.5 and 800 kDa exhibited the EPR effect (Fig. 3A,B).28)–33) A more detailed time course study showed that the tumor uptake of HPMA copolymers after intravenous injection was relatively rapid: some showed a marked tumor uptake within a few hours, while smaller HPMA copolymers, about 30 kDa or smaller, showed no EPR effect. Figure 3B illustrates the relationship among tumor uptake, plasma concentration, and renal
clearance in terms of drug size (MW). A relatively long circulation time after intravenous injection was needed for the EPR effect to occur. For progressive drug accumulation to tumor, high drug concentrations in circulation is needed. For albumin and transferrin (not shown), the T/B becomes much higher after 6 h or more (Fig. 2A).^{27–30} Once these macromolecules accumulate in solid tumor tissues, they are not cleared within a few days or weeks, in contrast to the situation in normal tissue. Biocompatible proteins and lipid particles are known to be removed from normal tissues via the lymphatic system in just days, however.^{19–22,27} The prolonged retention time of macromolecular drugs in tumor tissue is thus another important aspect of the EPR effect.^{27,24–36}

The upper size limit of macromolecular drugs exhibiting the EPR effect is actually quite large.
Kimura et al. showed earlier that *Lactobacillus* sp., 1–2 µm in size, accumulated more in tumor tissue than in normal. Recently, Zao et al. and Hoffman showed that *Salmonella typhimurium* also preferentially accumulated in tumor compared with normal tissue. Konerding et al. and Hashizume et al. examined tumor vasculature and found that endothelial openings in the vessels could be as large as 4.7 µm (a mean of 1.7 µm) (Fig. 4D vs H) (see Section 4) which are not found in the normal vasculature. These results indeed agree well with the observation noted above that bacteria preferentially accumulated in tumor tissues after intravenous injection. Therefore the upper limit of molecular size to exhibit the EPR effect can be far greater than 10^6 Da, although the rate of intracellular uptake of such large molecules may not be as fast as that for 100-kDa.

With regard to the detection and imaging the tumor based on the uptake of low molecular weight radio contrast agents, which was infused into a tumor-feeding artery, we observed tumor staining lasted only short time (<1 min) in the angiogram. Tumors such as hepatoma and renal cell carcinoma can take up the contrast agent more efficiently than do normal tissues, resulting more tumor-selective staining, and hence detection. However, such X-ray images using an low MW contrast agent will disappear within 10 s or so because of rapid diffusion of the contrast agent. This type of tumor selective imaging may be called passive targeting, but such passive targeting has little value for therapeutic purpose, because conventional low MW anticancer agents also disappear within a few minutes or so.

What I emphasize here is that prolonged retention of drugs in tumor is critically important for therapeutic purpose, and that such retention is possible primarily with macromolecular or lipidic drugs. Arterial infusion of SMANCS/Lipiodol can aid tumor-selective imaging as well as drug delivery.

4. Microanatomy of tumor blood vessels and extravasation of macromolecular drugs: the cause of EPR effect

Morphology of blood vasculature in tumors and normal tissues is uniquely different. Microarchitecture of blood vessels can be visualized clearly by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using vascular casts of plastic resin (Figs. 4A–H). Vascular image of metastatic liver cancer and colon cancer were elegantly described by Professor Paul E. O’Brien and his associates of the University of Melbourne (Fig. 4 A/B, normal, and E/F, tumor), and by Professor Moritz Konerding of the University of Mainz (Fig. 4 D/E, normal, and G/H, tumor) respectively. One can notice a great contrast to the normal blood vasculature in Fig. 4 A/B (liver), C/D (colon) on the top vs tumor vasculature on the bottom (E–H). The metastatic tumor micronodule

---

Fig. 3. Tumortropic uptake of biocompatible macromolecule HPMA (see text for detail). (A) The EPR effect is seen with HPMA copolymers (>40 kDa): tumor (○) compared to the liver (□) and the kidney (△). Amount of tumor uptake is compared with 6h to that of 5 min. (B) Relationship between molecular sizes of putative polymeric drugs (HPMA) and their plasma levels (AUC, area under the concentration-time curve, △), tumor uptake, ○, and renal clearance rate (CL, ●) (Adapted from Noguchi et al.28)).
in Fig. 4E is less than 0.5 mm in diameter, and it also demonstrates extravasation of the polymeric resin. This indicates clear architectural difference of neovascularature, compare with normal vessels. It should be noted that this neovasculature formation of tumor nodule is seen even as small as 200 µm, much smaller than the size reported by Folkman: size of 2–3 mm.44–47 Konerding et al.40 demonstrated large endothelial openings at the luminal surface of tumor vessels (Fig. 4H), and a lack of discrete arteriole-capillary-venule systems (not shown), while revealing the tight cell-cell junctions of vascular endothelial cells in normal vessels (Fig. 4D). Tumor blood vessels also lack smooth muscle cell layer. These structural abnormalities and vascular permeability factors make tumor vessels more leaky than vessels in normal tissue. Polymer resin is being extravasated (Fig. 4E) into the tumor nodule, or in the beginning of leakage process to the outside of blood vessels (Fig. 4F). These extravasations did not occur in normal tissues. Further SEM images of vascular casts indeed demonstrate the facts of leakage of polymeric resin into tumor interstitium selectively, and a clear evidence of the defective functionality of tumor vessels. These defects make tumor vessels being highly permeable to albumin, IgG, and other macromolecules including the lipid contrast agent Lipiodol, and so called nanoparticles.24–36,42–44

5. Factors involved in vascular permeability and the EPR effect in infection and solid tumors

5-1. Bradykinin. Kinin (bradykinin) is one of the most potent endogenous pain inducing nonapeptide, and it is continuously generated at sites of infection and cancer tissues by kallikrein and other proteases, from kininogen. However, it is degraded rapidly with a half-life ($t_{1/2}$) of a few seconds in plasma by host proteases such as kininase and angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) in vivo.

We studied the vascular permeability of bacterial proteases with regard to the pathogenic mechanism in 1980s, and found that all bacterial proteases...
activate one or more steps in the kallikrein-kinin cascade.\textsuperscript{11–13} Thereby extravasation of plasma components occurs and edema ensues, which are events similar to those occurring in cancer. The kallikrein-kinin cascade is stimulated as a result of activated kallikrein (or Hageman factor/Factor XII and prekallikrein), or microbial proteases cleave off bradykinin directly from either high-molecular-weight or low-molecular-weight kininogen in the plasma\textsuperscript{3–11,13} which causes pain and extravasation.

Most cancer cells produce various proteases, e.g., serine-type proteases, cathepsins, and collagenases. These proteases are also involved in kinin production and thus result in vascular permeability enhancement (the EPR effect). We found that kinin also contributes to the formation of ascitic and pleural fluids in carcinomatosis. Kinin is thus a key factor in extravasation and accumulation of ascitic fluid in the peritoneal and pleural cavity.\textsuperscript{7–10} Based on this fact, one can envision a relationship between kinin generation and clinical manifestations of pain in cancer patients similar event found in infection. However, very few studies on this issue have been undertaken, and investigation of kinin antagonists in cancer patients appears interesting.

Dvorak \textit{et al.} reported vascular permeability factor (VPF) of formation tissue that was generated by cancer cells.\textsuperscript{66,67} Later it was found identical to the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF).\textsuperscript{47,50–53} This means that neoangiogenesis of tumor tissue is reflecting other side of the same coin, vascular permeability, to supply nutrients for tumor growth.\textsuperscript{52,53}

\textbf{5-2. Biological free radicals in vascular permeability in infection, cancer and related issues: ROS and RNS.} ROS (reactive oxygen species), such as superoxide anion radical (O$_2^\cdot$), and RNS (reactive nitrogen species) such as NO and peroxynitrite (ONOO$^-$) are induced in viral and bacterial infections. In influenza virus infection, we found that a critical cause of viral pneumonia was attributable to the excessive generation of O$_2^\cdot$.\textsuperscript{54–56} O$_2^\cdot$ production was increased more than 300-fold in the lung or alveolar lavage fluid of the virus-infected mice compared with healthy control mice. The cause was attributable to the activation of xanthine oxidase (XO).\textsuperscript{54–56} This finding indicates that ROS may be an important direct etiological agent in influenza infection. To confirm this possibility, we removed O$_2^\cdot$ from the site of infection \textit{in vivo} by using a pyran polymer-conjugated derivative of Cu, Zinc superoxide dismutase (SOD); this derivative showed a prolonged \textit{in vivo} $t_{1/2}$ of SOD, and sustained enzyme activity. When the polymer conjugated SOD was injected, the disease severity was markedly reduced and the survival rate of mice was greatly improved, i.e., 97\% survived vs. 3\% of the SOD non-treated group. When we augmented the production of O$_2^\cdot$ by adding the substrate of XO, i.e., inosine or xanthine, however, the disease was severely exacerbated, and the survival rate of mice dropped more rapidly and to far greater extent compared with controls.\textsuperscript{54,55} Under the same experimental settings, we found that NO was generated in parallel with the production of O$_2^\cdot$ in infection with influenza, herpes, and Sendai viruses and \textit{Salmonella} sp. in mice.\textsuperscript{57–62}

In our separate research, we had found that NO synthase (NOS) was highly expressed in a solid tumor, and demonstrated that NO facilitated the EPR effect.\textsuperscript{11–13} Therefore, iNOS induction in infection would contribute vascular permeability. We\textsuperscript{11–13} found in other studies that NO and ONOO$^-$ could facilitate the vascular permeability of solid tumor, with ONOO$^-$, which being a reaction product of NO and O$_2^\cdot$ (i.e., NO + O$_2^\cdot$ \rightarrow ONOO$^-$). Then ONOO$^-$ can activate pro-matrix metalloproteinases to generate active matrix metalloproteinases (proMMPs \rightarrow MMPs or collagenase),\textsuperscript{12–14} This collagenase also facilitated vascular permeability.\textsuperscript{11–13} These three components (NO, ONOO$^-$, collagenase) can thus enhance the vascular permeability of normal blood vessels as well as tumor blood vessels, as described below.

Investigations in the same line of research revealed that ONOO$^-$, in addition to affecting vascular permeability by itself and via activation of procollagenase (\textit{→} metastasis), it facilitates genomic mutation via oxidative cleavage of DNA/RNA or via nitrination, oxidation and hydroxylation of guanosine at 8th position, with formation of 8-nitroguanosine and 8-oxoguanosine.\textsuperscript{60,62,64–67} Furthermore, we found 8-nitroguanosine can be a preferred substrate of cytochrome $b_5$ reductase (as well as the reductase domain of NOS),\textsuperscript{68} and thus, in the presence of NADPH it can generate superoxide, which reacts with NO generated by NOS, with concomitant formation of ONOO$^-$, ONOO$^-$ then reacts with guanosine, which results in nitrination of guanosine and formation of 8-oxoguanosine.\textsuperscript{65–67} This type of propagation reaction involves a stoichiometry greater than 1:1 (Fig. 5), in that 8-nitroguanosine facilitates formation of both O$_2^\cdot$ and thus ONOO$^-$. The consequence of this process in solid tumor and inflamed tissue is an accelerated production of...
mutations and thus genetic diversification. Under such circumstances, which generates great genetic diversification, however, it poses a problem against the development of molecular target drug. Recent advances in cancer genomics have provided ample evidence that many human solid cancers undergo disease progression for 10–30 years, and individual malignancies such as breast cancer or colon cancer often have numerous genetic alterations in the same patients.69)–71)

Molecular target drug development has aroused great expectations in the cancer treatment community. However, clinical responses to many of these drugs such as antibody drugs are no better than those of conventional anticancer agents.72),73) In addition to the very low response rate to these drugs, they are so expensive that the National Institute of Clinical Excellence (NICE) and other agencies in the UK expressed concern about their use,73)–75) notwithstanding one rather exceptional success of molecular target drug, imatinib used for chronic myelogenous leukemia.

In this context, tumor targeting via the EPR effect appears to have a more universal application to solid tumors in general, with improved drug delivery, and thus better clinical outcomes (see, e.g., the AT-II-based arterial infusion of SMANCS/Lipiodol section 6.2.1.).

**5-3. Prostaglandins, VEGF, carbon monoxide (CO), and cytokines.** Prostaglandins and VEGF will result in activation of NOS, and thus generating consequently NO, which nitrates guanosine to form 8-nitroGua; it then becomes substrate of NOS (reductase domain) generating either NO or O₂⁻, and this reaction continues on. NOS consists of an oxidase domain and a reductase domain. This reductase is similar to cytochrome b₅ reductase that is responsible for O₂⁻ generation. CaM, calmodulin; FAD, flavin adenine dinucleotide; FMN, flavin mononucleotide; Gua, guanosine; L-cit, L-citrulline.

![Diagram of the reaction generating O₂⁻ via 8-nitroguanosine (nitroGua) involving nitric oxide synthase (NOS) or cytochrome b₅ reductase in the presence of oxygen and L-arginine.](image)
6. Heterogeneity of the EPR effect and enhancement of tumor-selective drug delivery by augmenting the EPR effect

6-1. Heterogeneity of the EPR effect and drug delivery. In general, solid tumors are quite heterogeneous in terms of histopathology, size, genomics and local environment. For example, a small experimental mouse sarcoma S-180 tumor demonstrates relatively homogeneous EPR effect (cf. Fig. 1A); the tumor is completely filled with Evans blue-labeled albumin. The same tumor of large size, however, reveals a large unstained area in the inner core that is either necrotic or hypovascular (Fig. 1B). One could thus argue that the EPR effect is not universal and that it may apply to only a few types of tumor, or small size tumor. In this regard, human HCC (hepatoma) and renal cell carcinoma, which are tumors with high vascular density, show good or homogenous Lipiodol staining when examined by X-ray CT scan in the clinical setting as discussed later. We defined such tumor staining as type A staining.25),26) On the contrary to this, the metastatic liver cancer stains predominantly at tumor periphery, defined as type B staining in CT scan image (Fig. 7 A,C,E → B,D,F)20) similar to that seen in Fig. 1B. The poor uptake of Lipiodol at the central area in type B staining, however, can be augmented by elevating the systemic blood pressure using angiotensin II (AT-II)-induced hypertension during arterial infusion (Fig. 7 B,D,F). The effect of this AT-II induced hypertension is shown diagrammatically in Fig. 8. Heterogeneity could be also seen in micronodule of metastatic tumor in the liver, as small as 0.5 mm in diameter, which shows a necrotic center of about half the diameter of the micro tumor nodule (Fig. 1C).

The tumor image of Fig. 1B demonstrate that a necrotic center will show no blue albumin leakage near the central area, which is in great contrast to a rapidly growing tumor periphery with blue staining. It is of interest that the growing region of a tumor nodule occurs primarily at the tumor periphery, where it exhibits high EPR effect (cf. Fig. 1B) and indeed good macromolecular delivery.43),84)

6-2. Modulation of vascular flow and augmentation of drug delivery. In general, metastatic cancers such as metastatic liver cancer are hypovascular as described. Likewise, prostate and pancreatic cancers are tumors with low vascular density. These tumors presumably take up less anticancer agent compared with highly vasculated tumors, and drug
concentrations in such tumors are usually significantly lower than the drug concentrations in plasma. Thus chemotherapy of these tumors is often, if not always, very poor. The hypovascular characteristic and poor blood flow of these tumors with poor drug delivery, can be improved, however, by modulating vascular effectors or by elevating systemic blood pressure via the slow infusion of angiotensin II (AT-II), as well as other means as described below.

6-2-1. Augmentation of the EPR effect by modulating tumor blood flow by raising blood pressure. As demonstrated by Suzuki et al.\textsuperscript{85} and Hori et al.\textsuperscript{86} of Tohoku University, blood flow in tumor tissues can be increased by raising the systemic blood pressure; for instance, from 100 mmHg (normal) to 150 mmHg by slow intravenous infusion of AT-II. The blood flow volume (rate) in normal tissue, in contrast, remains constant regardless of artificially elevated blood pressure. Under this AT-II-induced hypertension, a relatively hypovascular tumor image can be changed to a well vascularated image with rich blood flow\textsuperscript{86} as seen in angiogram being highly stained (Fig. 9A vs. 9B). The same angiographic enhancement will be seen in the clinical setting. For example, a metastatic abdominal tumor, originating from liver cancer was visualized in abdominal cavity clearly (Fig. 9C vs. 9D, see circled area at right), only by using AT-II induced hypertension. This hypertension facilitates tumor selective drug delivery and contrast agent (\textit{cf.} Figs. 8–11), while reducing leakage of drug from

Fig. 7. Retention of SMANCS/Lipiodol in the metastatic liver cancer (A/C/E). (A) and (C) CT scans of images of colon cancers metastasized to the liver. SX/LP (SMANCS/Lipiodol) was infused via the hepatic artery under normotension. Retention of drug SX/LP given ia (intraartery) under normotensive state reveal very little drug retention. Three and five days later to (A) and (C), respectively, SX/LP was infused similarly but under AT(angiotensin)-II-induced hypertension; clearly more deposition of the drug near the tumor periphery is clearly seen in (B) and (D). (E) is a massive cholangio cell carcinoma in the liver which did not take-up SX/LP administered ia under normotensive state. Then SX/LP was infused ia under AT-II induced hypertension, and the CT scan reveal intense peripheral staining (F) (\textit{cf.} Fig. 8). All (B), (D) and (F) are classified as type B staining.$^{26}$
blood vasculature in normal tissue, thus preventing adverse side effects. The arterial infusion of SMANCS/Lipiodol under AT-II-induced hypertension markedly enhanced the delivery (Fig. 7 A,C,E → B,D,F/Fig. 10 C,E → D,F/Fig. 11 A,C → B,D) and therapeutic effect was much improved (Figs. 10,11).30) –32),88)

The most remarkable therapeutic consequences of AT-II-induced hypertension were observed in patients with difficult-to-treat advanced cancers—metastatic liver cancer, cancers of the gallbladder, bile duct, pancreas, and kidney, and primary liver cancers88) (Figs. 10,11). Beneficial effects included a shorter time to achieve tumor regression. In the normotensive state, massive HCCs (diameters >5 cm) needed three arterial infusions in 6 months to reduce the tumor volume to 10% of the original size. With AT-II-induced hypertension, however, only one infusion produced a remarkable response in one month.88) Many metastatic liver cancers regressed to less than 10% of the original tumor volume in 1–2 months without appreciable toxicity such as bone marrow suppression, or damage to the kidney or the liver.88)

6-2-2. Augmentation of the EPR effect by utilizing NO-releasing agents. As described above, we found that NO plays an important role in the vascular permeability and growth of solid tumors.11)–13),35) During my research, I conceived an analogy between hypoxic solid tumor (hence showing less staining) and infarcted cardiac tissue (as in angina pectoris), because both are similarly hypoxic.89),90) In the latter case use of nitroglycerin (NG) has been recognized for more than a century. NG liberates nitrite by action of denitrase, and nitrite is then converted by nitrite reductase to NO in the infarcted tissue.91)–93) This pharmacological benefit of NG was also validated in mouse tumor models in vivo that showed an improved therapeutic effect when anticancer agents were combined with NG.35),89) Figure 12A illustrates the mechanism of NO ointment generation in solid tumor. An application of NG ointment to the skin of tumor-
bearing mice produced increased blood flow in only tumor tissue, but not in normal tissue, and hence increased macromolecular drug delivery to tumor was achieved (Fig. 12 B,C). Clinical evaluations of NG used in combination with conventional low MW anticancer agents were recently undertaken by Yasuda et al.94–96) and Siemens et al.97) and both studies showed significant clinical improvement in therapeutic response. In earlier study NG was found to enhance the therapeutic effect of radio therapy of cancer.98) By the administration of NG, enhanced blood flow resulted higher \(pO_2\) of tumor tissue, consequently better therapeutic outcome.93),98) Higher \(pO_2\) of cancer tissue also facilitated the modulation of cellular signaling such as HIF-1\(\alpha\) (hypoxia inducible factor) that down-regulation of growth signals (VEGF) or their further signaling pathway.94),96) These data therefore indicate that NO clearly benefits patients undergoing chemotherapy. Also, nitro agents per se showed tumor suppression in animal models and in humans.89),95)

6-2-3. Augmentation of EPR effect by using ACE inhibitors and a prostaglandin \(I_2\) agonist (beraprost sodium). Another line of research on enhancing tumor drug delivery concerns the use of angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors. ACE can degrade angiotensin-I (AT-I) to generate AT-II, which results in hypertension. On the other hand, ACE also inhibits degradation of (brady)kinin, whose C-terminal amino acid sequence is similar to that of AT-I. Consequently, it results in an increased local concentration of kinin, and hence vascular permeability of tumor will be increased, as will delivery of drugs, including the antibody IgG.31),35),99),100) ACE inhibitors are nontoxic, usually producing no adverse

\[\text{Fig. 9. Visualization of hypovascular tumor by AT-II-induced hypertension. (A) Under normal blood pressure, this tumor, encircle mark T, in which rat Yoshida LY80 tumor was clamped with Lucite® window, shows only weak blood flow. (B) Blood flow became highly visible under AT-II-induced hypertension (adapted from Hori et al.86)). This type of enhanced angiographic staining can be observed with human case. As seen in the angiogram (C), (D), which show an abdominal metastatic tumor (encircled T) from the primarily liver cancer, it is only visible after AT-II-induced hypertension (D). Under the normotensive state of arterial phase angiography, no tumor was revealed in the circle area at the lower left (C). But AT-II-induced angiography at the venous phase demonstrated easily detectable tumor in (D) (arrows in circled area at the lower right) (C and D adapted from ref. 36)).}\]
effects in healthy people and are active only in hypertensive patients and more selectively at tumor sites in this case. Data indicate that ACE inhibitors, such as enalapril increased drug delivery about 2- to 3-fold (Fig. 13).99),100) Professor Felix Kratz also confirmed this effect in different tumor models with different polymeric drugs (personal communication).

We likewise found that beraprost sodium, a stable analogue (prolonged plasma t1/2, 30 times) of prostaglandin I2, which administered orally, enhanced tumor-targeted drug delivery in mouse tumors.78)

7. Concluding remarks

Tumor vasculature is structurally unique and different from normal vasculature. Tumor tissue also shows highly up-regulated production of vascular effectors. As a consequence extravasation of macromolecules into the interstitial space would occur. The effectors affecting vascular permeability factors includes (brady)kinin, NO, prostaglandins, VEGF (or vascular permeability factor), and CO (by HO-1) in or near the most solid tumors. This enhanced vascular permeability also commonly occurs in inflamed tissue at the sites of infection that is affected by many similar vascular mediators.

Once macromolecules extravasate from the circulation or blood vessels into the tumor interstitium, they remain in the tumor for a long time without being cleared. This situation is in great contrast to normal tissue, in which macromolecules are cleared via the lymphatic system. Thus, prolonged retention of macromolecules—for more than days to weeks—is a unique characteristic of the EPR effect in tumor tissue. These features led to this phenomenon being named the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect of macromolecules in solid tumor.26),32),35),36) The EPR effect is applicable to biocompatible macromolecules with MW > 40 KDa.

However, the EPR effect occurs frequently heterogeneously, which means that tumor selective macromolecular drug delivery based on the EPR effect may not proceed homogeneously. Consequently, drug delivery may be less efficient to

Fig. 10. CT scan images of primary and recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Patient on the top with massive primary liver cancer received about 5–6 mg SMANCS(SX)/5–6 mL Lipiodol(LP) (A). Subsequently, the patient received three injections of the drug i.a. under normotensive state in 6 mon, then marked regression was obtained (→ B). CT scans in (C) and (E) patients received i.a. infusion of SX/LP under hypertension induced by intravenous infusion of AT-II. In about 1 mon, the size of tumors in both cases was reduced to less than 10% of the original size (Fig. 10 C → D; E → F) (adapted from ref. 88)).
metastatic liver cancers and to less vascularized cancers, e.g., cancers of the pancreas and prostate, rendering poor EPR effect. Therefore better therapeutic outcomes for such cancers depends on further augmentation of drug delivery to such tumors. We thus developed measures to enhance the EPR effect. One method involves raising the systemic blood pressure, e.g., from 100 to 160 mmHg by using angiotensin II during arterial infusion of a macromolecular drug, e.g., SMANCS/Lipiodol. This method produced excellent clinical results even in advanced and difficult-to-treat tumors such as metastatic liver cancer, cholangiocarcinoma, and cancers of the pancreas, and others.88) Another method is utilization of NO-releasing agents such as NG for advanced and poor-EPR tumors. Hypoxic tumor tissues and infarcted cardiac tissue (as in angina pectoris) seem to possess similar NO-related mechanisms. Topical application of NG results the site-selective increase of NO concentration, which did facilitate an improved EPR effect89),90) and clinical benefit.94)–98) Similarly, ACE inhibitors can increase the local kinin concentration, and thus enhanced EPR effect without any adverse effects.99),100) These methods of enhancing the EPR effect will likely achieve better clinical outcomes for cancer patients without any adverse effects and warrant continuing development.
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