Impact of mutant β-catenin on ABCB1 expression and therapy response in colon cancer cells
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BACKGROUND: Colorectal cancers are often chemoresistant toward antitumour drugs that are substrates for ABCB1-mediated multidrug resistance (MDR). Activation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway is frequently observed in colorectal cancers. This study investigates the impact of activated, gain-of-function β-catenin on the chemoresistant phenotype.

METHODS: The effect of mutant (mut) β-catenin on ABCB1 expression and promoter activity was examined using HCT116 human colon cancer cells and isogenic sublines harbouring gain-of-function or wild-type β-catenin, and patients’ tumours. Chemosensitivity towards 24 anticancer drugs was determined by high throughput screening.

RESULTS: Cell lines with mut β-catenin showed high ABCB1 promoter activity and expression. Transfection and siRNA studies demonstrated a dominant role for the mutant allele in activating ABCB1 expression. Patients’ primary colon cancer tumours showed to express the same mut β-catenin allele also expressed high ABCB1 levels. However, cell line chemosensitivities towards 24 MDR-related and non-related antitumour drugs did not differ despite different β-catenin genotypes.

CONCLUSION: Although ABCB1 is dominantly regulated by mut β-catenin, this did not lead to drug resistance in the isogenic cell line model studied. In patient samples, the same β-catenin mutation was detected. The functional significance of the mutation for predicting patients’ therapy response or for individualisation of chemotherapy regimens remains to be established.
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Over 90% of colorectal cancers bear mutations in the Wnt/β-catenin signalling pathway, notably APC and β-catenin, that result in the activation of this pathway (Fearon and Vogelstein, 1990; Bienz and Clevers, 2000; Polakis, 2000; Vogelstein and Kinzler, 2004; Klaus and Birchmeier, 2008; Najdi et al, 2011). Activating mutations of β-catenin affect either the assembly of the phosphorylation complex or the phosphorylation sites on β-catenin. The most frequently observed mutations in β-catenin involve the deletion or the exchange of serine and threonine residues at the positions 45, 41, 37 and 33; interfering with its phosphorylation complex or the phosphorylation sites on β-catenin. The most frequently observed mutations in β-catenin affect either the assembly of the phosphorylation complex or the phosphorylation sites on β-catenin. The most frequently observed mutations in β-catenin involve the deletion or the exchange of serine and threonine residues at the positions 45, 41, 37 and 33; interfering with its efficient ubiquitination and degradation in the proteasome (Orford et al, 1997).

Such mutations are found in a wide variety of human cancers, including the colon, pancreatic, gastric, ovarian and prostate cancer as well as melanoma (Polakis, 2000). They are associated with aggressive tumour growth and poor prognosis, and accumulation of β-catenin in the nucleus has been correlated with late stages of tumour progression and the development of metastases (Ilyas et al, 1997; Morin et al, 1997; Polakis, 1999; Kim et al, 2003; Provost et al, 2003; Wong et al, 2004; Stein et al, 2006).

The phenomenon of multidrug resistance (MDR) was identified as one of the most frequent causes for therapy resistance in cancer and represents a major cause of failure of cancer chemotherapy (Gottesman et al, 2002; Stein and Walther, 2006; Szakacs et al, 2006; Tiwari et al, 2011). The development of MDR is mainly dependent on the expression of MDR-associated genes encoding ABC transporter proteins (Gillet and Gottesman, 2011). The MDR gene ABCB1 (MDR1) encoding the gene product P-glycoprotein was the first human ABC transporter cloned. The generation of the MDR phenotype was shown directly by ABCB1 cDNA transfection (Riordan and Ling, 1979; Ueda et al, 1987). ABCB1 acts as a drug efflux pump lowering the intracellular concentration of cytotoxic drugs. It transports a wide spectrum of hydrophobic, neutral or positively charged substrates such as taxanes and anthracyclines (Tunstaud et al, 2006; Tiwari et al, 2011).

High ABCB1 levels have been detected in normal tissues with excretory or secretory function, which include colorectal epithelium, and in tumours originating from these organs (Thiebaut et al, 1987; Cordon-Cardo et al, 1990). Overexpression of ABCB1 correlates with a negative prognosis in several types of cancer. ABCB1 expression is inherently overexpressed in tumours of the colon, making them primarily chemotherapy-resistant towards a wide panel of anticancer drugs. Consequently, there is only a limited selection of chemotherapeutics for treatment of
gastrointestinal cancer (Weinstein et al., 1991; Litman et al., 2001; Ho et al., 2003; Tiwari et al., 2011).

Reports of T-cell factor 4 (TCF4)-binding sites in the ABCB1 gene promoter (Yamada et al., 2000, 2003) suggest that β-catenin/TCF4 signalling could provide an underlying mechanism contributing to the chemoresistance phenotype. In this report, we have utilised isogenic colon cancer cell lines to investigate the effects of a common oncogenic β-catenin mutation on chemoresistance under conditions where the tumour genotype could be controlled experimentally and sought to confirm the findings using patient tumour samples. We used high throughput screening with MDR-related and non-related antitumour compounds in order to evaluate the impact of mutant (mut) β-catenin on in vitro drug sensitivity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tumour cell lines, transfections and sulindac treatment

The human colon carcinoma cell line HCT116 showed a moderate ranking in terms of MDR amongst the 60 cell line panel of the National Cancer Institute (Wu et al., 1992; Izquierdo et al., 1996), making these cells and isogenic derivatives suitable models for investigating modulation of chemosensitivity. HCT116 cells (heterozygous for β-catenin Δ45, exon 3; resulting in the loss of the serine phosphorylation site, S45), the β-catenin knockout cell lines HAB-18mut and HAB-68mut (express only the mut β-catenin allele), and HAB-85mut and HAB-92mut (express only one wild-type (wt) β-catenin allele) were kindly obtained from Todd Waldman, Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center, Georgetown University School of Medicine, Washington, DC (Kim et al., 2002). β-Catenin genotypes were previously confirmed by sequencing exon 3 and by reverse transcription (RT)–PCR-based restriction fragment length polymorphism (Stein et al., 2006). Transfections of wt and Δ45-mut β-catenin cDNA, kindly provided by Bert Vogelstein, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore MD, USA, were performed using lipofectin. β-Catenin siRNA (β-catenin_1 sense 5′-GGGUGGUGUUUAAAGGGCU-3′, β-catenin_1 antisense 5′-GCCUUAUAAACACCAACC-3′, β-catenin_2 sense 5′-CCUAUACUUGAGAAGAAUUUGCU-3′, β-catenin_2 antisense 5′-AGUUUUGUGAUAAGUGG-3′) or scrambled control siRNA (all from Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) were transfected using oligofectamine. For each transfection experiment, at least three independent transfected clones of each cell line were analysed; representative clones are shown. Sulindac treatment was performed with 100 μM sulindac sulphoxide (Sigma, Munich, Germany; Boon et al., 2004) dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide and diluted in growth medium for 24 h.

Patients and tumour tissues

Tissue specimens from 33 colon cancer patients (20 male, 13 female; age range 54–93) were obtained with written consent of the patients (approved by the local ethics committee of the Charité, Berlin). These patients with adenocarcinomas of UICC stages I–III were transfected using oligofectamine. For each transfection experiment, at least three independent transfected clones of each cell line were analysed; representative clones are shown. Sulindac treatment was performed with 100 μM sulindac sulphoxide (Sigma, Munich, Germany; Boon et al., 2004) dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide and diluted in growth medium for 24 h.

ABCB1 gene promoter analysis

The influence of β-catenin/TCF4-mediated gene expression was analysed in pTOP-CAT- and pPOPCAT- (kindly provided by Walter Birchmeier, Max-Delbrück-Center for Molecular Medicine, Berlin, Germany) transfected cells. pTOP-CAT utilises the multi-merised TCF4 consensus DNA-binding sequence to drive the CAT-reporter expression (van de Wetering et al., 2002). pPOP-CAT contains a mutated TCF4 sequence and serves to establish the level of background, non-specific signal. The ABCB1 promoter CAT-construct pMDR-CAT1 (~1974 to +121) was kindly provided by Kimioshi Kohno, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Kitakyushu, Japan (Kohno et al., 1990). For CAT-ELISA, the promoter-less plasmid pCAT-Basic (Promega, Mannheim, Germany) and transfections without DNA served as controls. Transfer efficiency was controlled by transfection of the GFP-expressing pEGFP-N1 plasmid (Clontech, Heidelberg, Germany) and subsequent flow cytometry. Transfections and CAT-ELISA were carried out as described previously (Stein et al., 2006). The amount of CAT protein was normalised to the protein content of the respective lysate, expressed as pg CAT per mg protein, and calculated as percentage of CAT reporter gene expression in HCT116 cells. Values are given as average of triplicates.

Quantitative real-time RT–PCR

RT reaction was performed with 100 ng of total RNA (MuLV Reverse Transcriptase, Applied Biosystems, Weiterstadt, Germany). Quantitative real-time PCR (95 °C 60 s, 45 cycles of 95 °C 10 s, 62 °C 10 s, 72 °C 20 s) was performed using the LightCycler (DNA Master Hybridization Probes Kit, Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) as previously described (Stein et al., 2002). Expression of ABCB1, ABCC1, ABCG2, MVP and of the housekeeping gene glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH; h-G6PDH Housekeeping Gene Set, Roche) was determined in parallel from the same RT reaction by using gene-specific hybridisation probes, each done in duplicate per sample. For ABCB1: forward primer 5′-TGGTAGATGAAAATTGTGTTCG-3′, reverse primer 5′-CAAAGAAAACACCCGGTTCCC-3′, FITC probe 5′-CAGTTGAAGATAAAGGAACATCTAGAGAAGAGTC-3′, LCRed640 probe 5′-GGGAGATGCCTGACTGAGGCAAATGAGGCAAACAAG-3′, ABCC1: forward primer 5′-TGGCCGAGGAGATCATCATGAC-3′, reverse primer 5′-GCGGAGGAGAAGAAACAA-3′, FITC probe 5′-GGCGGAGAGGAACAGAGG-3′, LCRed640 probe 5′-GCTGCAATCCTCGAATCTG-3′, MVP: forward primer 5′-GCCGCTGGAATCAATCTGATAGAGGAGAACAACCAT-3′, reverse primer 5′-TGCACATCTCGGCTGAGAATG-3′, G6PDH: h-G6PDH Housekeeping Gene Set, Roche) for: ADR (kindly provided by Henk J Broxterman, Free University Amsterdam, The Netherlands).
peroxidase was inactivated and cell membranes were permeabilised. After blocking, cells or tissue sections were incubated with the anti-ABCBl or the anti-β-catenin antibody for 2 h. Detections were performed with the StreptABComplex/HRP Duet system (DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark). Nuclei were counterstained with hemalum (hematoxylin/alum mixture; Carl Roth GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany). Microphotographs were taken with a Zeiss Axioplan 2 microscope and an AxioCam HRc camera (Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany) using the Axiovision 4.2 software (Zeiss).

**Immuno flow cytometry**

We used a monoclonal anti-ABCBl antibody (MRK16, antibodies-online, Atlanta, GA, USA; 1:20), a monoclonal anti-ABCBl antibody (MRPm6, Acris antibodies, Herford, Germany; 1:20), a monoclonal anti-ABCBl2 antibody (BXP-21, Abnova, Taipei, Taiwan; 1:20), a monoclonal anti-MVP antibody (MVP 1014, Gene Tex, Irvine, CA, USA; 1:20). Goat anti-mouse IgG1 and IgG2a antibodies were from Life Technologies (Darmstadt, Germany). Cells were prepared and were incubated as previously described (Stein et al, 1996). Fluorescence intensity of $10^5$ cells was measured with a FACSCalibur (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and expressed as mean fluorescence. After titration of the antibody, at least two independent experiments, each performed in duplicate, were carried out.

**Western blotting**

Total protein extractions of the cells were performed with RIPA buffer (50 m/M Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 m/M NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, supplemented with complete protease inhibitor tablets; Roche Diagnostics) for 30 min on ice. The nuclear, cytoplasmic and membrane protein fractions were isolated with the Qproteome Cell Compartment Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Western blotting was carried out as described previously (Stein et al, 2009). Membranes were incubated overnight at 4 °C with a monoclonal anti-ABCBl antibody (CZ19, Novus Biologicals, Cambridge, UK; 1:50), a monoclonal anti-ABCBl antibody (MRPm6, Acris antibodies; 1:50), a monoclonal anti-ABCBl2 antibody (BXP-21, Abnova; 1:50) and a monoclonal anti-MVP antibody (MVP 1014, Gene Tex; 1:50). Western blotting for β-tubulin (Becton Dickinson; 1:1000) served as loading control.

**Rhodamine assay**

HCT116 cells and the β-catenin knockout cell lines HAB-68mut and HAB-92wt were incubated for 10 or 15 min at 37 °C with rhodamine 123 (0.75 mg/ml; Sigma, Taufkirchen, Germany), and were then kept in rhodamine 123-free medium for another 60 min at 37 °C. Fluorescence intensity of $1 \times 10^5$ cells per group was measured in duplicate per sample by using the FACSCalibur (Becton Dickinson, Cell Quest program).

**High throughput drug sensitivity phenotyping**

A library of 24 prototype drugs (Table 1) including well known MDR substrates, small molecules not associated with MDR and drugs targeting kinases and other potentially relevant targets was assembled, dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide, further diluted in isopropanol and distributed to wells of 384-well plates with a liquid handling robot in a randomised fashion such that each dilution was present in three replicate dilutions at random locations across the plates. The plates were then dried down in a SpeedVac, sealed and stored frozen at −20 °C. For use in assays, plates were thawed, compounds were re-solubilised in dimethyl sulfoxide and further diluted with growth medium (RPMI-1640 supplemented with 5% foetal bovine serum and 2 mM glutamine). Aliquots of diluted compounds were then transferred to 384-well plates containing tumour cells and the plates were incubated for 4 days in a 37 °C, humidified incubator with an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. Viable cell numbers were then evaluated using an Alamar Blue assay. Briefly, cells were treated with Alamar Blue dissolved in serum-free RPMI-1640 and incubated for 4 h. Plates were then read on a Wallac Victor reader (PerkinElmer) at an excitation wavelength of 530 nm and emission wavelength of 590 nm. Values for triplicate wells at each concentration were then averaged and expressed as percent of original treatments (vehicle, dimethyl sulfoxide) control. Concentration-response curves were generated and IC₅₀ values extracted from the curves by linear interpolation. Response of cell lines across the drug library was compared in terms of concentration-response curves, derived IC₅₀ values and patterns of sensitivity in relation to genotype.

**Statistical analysis**

Levels of statistical significance were evaluated by using the t-test or the non-parametric two-sided Mann–Whitney rank sum test depending on whether the data passed or failed a normal distribution test.

| Drug | Cell line, IC₅₀ (μM) |
|------|----------------------|
| HAB-68mut | HAB-92wt |
| **Adriamycin** (Sigma S9820) | **Standard cytotoxic agent** |
| **Paclitaxel** | **Standard cytotoxic agent** |
| **Vincristine** | **Standard cytotoxic agent** |
| **Etoposide (VP-16)** | **Standard cytotoxic agent** |
| **Mitoxantrone** | **Standard cytotoxic agent** |
| **Topotecan** | **Standard cytotoxic agent** |
| **Melphan** | **Standard cytotoxic agent** |
| **S-Fluorouracil** | **Standard cytotoxic agent** |
| **Gemcitabine** | **Standard cytotoxic agent** |
| **S-Azaцитidine** | **Epigenetic modulator** |
| **PXD101** | **Epigenetic modulator** |
| **Cyclophosphamide** | **Hedgehog pathway inhibitor** |
| **Bortezomib (Velacl)** | **Proteasome inhibitor** |

By high throughput screening, 24 chemotherapeutic drugs were applied in 18 different concentrations to HCT116, HAB-68mut and HAB-92wt cells. Chemosensitivities of HCT116, HAB-68mut and HAB-92wt cells, expressed as IC₅₀ values and given as averages of triplicates, did not differ significantly despite their differences in β-catenin genotype and ABCBl expression levels, when comparing HAB-92wt with HCT116 cells, or HAB-92wt with HAB-68mut cells. Concentration-dependent growth of HCT116, HAB-68mut and HAB-92wt cells, treated with the marked drugs, is illustrated in Figure 7.
RESULTS

ABCB1 expression is dependent on β-catenin mutation status

Initially, we investigated the dependence of ABCB1 expression on the mutation status of β-catenin. We compared ABCB1 gene expression levels in the parental human colon carcinoma cell line HCT116, that is heterozygous for an in-frame Δ45 deletion in exon 3 of the β-catenin gene, in the HAB-18mut and HAB-68mut as well as in the HAB-85wt and HAB-92wt knockout strains of HCT116 cells, in which either the wt or the mut β-catenin allele was ablated by homologous recombination (Kim et al, 2002). Derivatives that contain only the mut β-catenin allele showed high levels of ABCB1 mRNA, comparable to the parental cells, as determined by quantitative real-time RT-PCR. Derivatives that carry the wt β-catenin allele showed high levels of ABCB1 expression levels (Figure 1A).

Next, we reconstituted the heterozygous β-catenin genotype of the knockout strains: HAB-68mut cells were stably transfected with wt β-catenin cDNA and HAB-92wt cells with Δ45-mutated β-catenin cDNA (empty vector transfections served as controls; Figure 1B). The reintroduction of the mut β-catenin allele into HAB-92wt cells led to an up to four-fold increase in ABCB1 expression thereby restoring the expression level of the parental heterozygous HCT116 cell line. ABCB1 levels remained almost unchanged in HAB-68mut cells following transfection of wt β-catenin. We also analysed β-catenin-controlled expression regulation of ABCB1 by treating HCT116 cells with siRNA acting on β-catenin (Figure 1C). A clear, up to 10-fold reduction in ABCB1 expression was measured 48 h and 72 h post siRNA treatment. Transfection of control siRNA had no effect. Furthermore, we treated HCT116, HAB-68mut and HAB-92wt cells with a pharmacologic inhibitor. Sulindac is known to reduce β-catenin expression and its nuclear translocation, as well as to induce its proteasomal degradation, thereby modulating β-catenin target gene expression (Rice et al, 2003; Boon et al, 2004; Gardner et al, 2004; Han et al, 2008; Figure 1D). Here we probed the effect of sulindac on the β-catenin target gene ABCB1. ABCB1 expression levels in solvent-treated cells were dependent on β-catenin genotype, with reduced ABCB1 expression in HAB-92wt cells harbouring only the wt allele of β-catenin. Sulindac treatment reduced ABCB1 mRNA expression in HCT116, HAB-68mut and HAB-92wt cells by seven-fold, five-fold and five-fold, respectively.

On the protein level, we found two-fold higher ABCB1 expression in cells harbouring mut β-catenin, HCT116 and HAB-68mut,
compared with HAB-92wt cells by immuno flow cytometry (Figure 2A and B). Immunocytochemistry confirmed these data: strong ABCB1 protein signals were observed in HCT116 and HAB-68mut cells and much lower signals were observed in HAB-92wt cells that express wt β-catenin exclusively (Figure 2C). Next, we analysed ABCB1 protein expression by western blotting, using isolated nuclear, cytoplasmic and membrane fractions of the cells (Figure 2D). Interestingly, we found ABCB1 in the membrane fractions of HCT116 and HAB-68mut cells, whereas membranous ABCB1 was not detected in HAB-92mut cells.

**ABCB1 promoter activity depends on β-catenin mutation status**

Functional assays with the TCF4 reporter TOP-CAT confirmed that cells with the oncogenic allele of β-catenin had elevated levels of TCF4-mediated transactivation (Figure 3A). Substantially, up to 10-fold reduced signals were observed in the cell strains in which the mut allele was knocked out. To examine whether the β-catenin genotype determines the ABCB1 promoter activity, we searched the promoter for response elements for TCF4 proteins. Within the
mu� β-catenin, HCT116, HAB-18mut and HAB-68mut, compared with HAB-85wt and HAB-92wt cells harbouring wt β-catenin exclusively (Figure 3C).

β-Catenin mutation, subcellular localisation and ABCB1 expression in human colon carcinomas

We analysed tissue specimens from 33 colon cancer patients with adenocarcinomas of UICC stages I, II and III. These patients did not receive any pretreatment (for further characteristics: see Materials and Methods). Using a previously developed RT–PCR-based restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis, we characterised these specimens for their β-catenin mutation status (Stein et al., 2006). We identified three of these patient tumours that harbor the heterozygous in-frame deletion mutation of Δ45 in exon 3 of the β-catenin gene (Figure 4A). Consistent with the presence of this mutation, we observed nuclear localisation of β-catenin together with high ABCB1 levels in all three tumours that are heterozygous for mut β-catenin mutation (Figure 4B).

β-Catenin mutation status and expression of ABCCI, ABCG2 and MVP

We also analysed the expression of ABCCI, ABCG2 and MVP in HCT116, HAB-68mut and HAB-92wt cells. Expression of each of these chemoresistance-associated genes remained unchanged in the knockout sublines compared with HCT116, and was not dependent on the β-catenin genotype as demonstrated at the mRNA level by quantitative RT–PCR (Figure 5A), and at the protein level by western blot analyses (Figure 5B) as well as by immuno-flow cytometry (Figure 5C and D).

β-Catenin mutation status and in vitro sensitivity towards antitumour drugs

To analyse whether drug accumulation is affected in cells with different β-catenin genotype and ABCB1 expression, we performed accumulation assays for rhodamine 123. However, rhodamine 123 accumulation was comparable in all the three cell lines, either after 10 min or 15 min of rhodamine 123 uptake (Figure 6A and B), despite different expression β-catenin genotype and ABCB1 levels.

As shown in Table 1 and Figure 7, the response of HCT116 and the β-catenin knockout cell lines to a mechanistically diverse library of anticancer drugs and prototype compounds did not reveal evidence of drug resistance mediated by β-catenin. A focus on known MDR substrates in this library does not indicate a consistent pattern of relative resistance based on the presence of oncogenic β-catenin. Indeed, the response of HCT116 and the derived knockout cell lines to the 24 compounds in this library were remarkably similar.

DISCUSSION

In this report we addressed the potential interplay between Wnt/β-catenin pathway activation and intrinsic response to chemotherapy, as activation of this pathway is observed in almost all colorectal cancers. We investigated this hypothesis using a frequently occurring mutation of β-catenin in the context of its MDR-associated target gene ABCB1. Here we report that mut β-catenin signalling regulates the expression of the ABCB1 gene in a dominant fashion. We investigated the relevance of mut β-catenin with respect to ABCB1 expression levels that clinical cancer with surgical samples of primary colon carcinomas. We demonstrate that tumours heterozygous for mut β-catenin showed nuclear β-catenin together with overexpression of ABCB1. However, chemosensitivities towards MDR-related as well as non-related antitumour compounds measured by high throughput screening in
tumour cell lines harbouring gain-of-function or wt β-catenin did not differ despite their differences in β-catenin genotype and ABCB1 expression levels.

We began our studies with HCT116 cells that are heterozygous for gain-of-function β-catenin (Chan et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2002). To address the role of gain-of-function β-catenin, knockout strains harbouring either the mut or the wt β-catenin allele exclusively, and cell clones with reconstituted heterozygous β-catenin genotype were analysed (Kim et al., 2002). We clearly demonstrated that cells with gain-of-function β-catenin, either intrinsically or by transfection, show higher ABCB1 levels than cells with wt β-catenin. Moreover, consistent with the β-catenin mutation status, we found higher ABCB1 promoter activity in cells harbouring gain-of-function β-catenin. These findings underline in particular that gain-of-function β-catenin acts in a dominant manner to control ABCB1 transcription. Thus, the dependence of ABCB1 expression on β-catenin genotype was clearly shown using this cell line model.

Furthermore, intervening in β-catenin expression using siRNA acting on β-catenin led to ABCB1 expression knockdown. Treatment with sulindac reduced ABCB1 expression independent of the β-catenin genotype. Sulindac inhibits expression and nuclear accumulation of β-catenin in colorectal cancer cell lines, and induces proteasome-dependent degradation of β-catenin. Consequently, β-catenin target genes like Met, c-myc, cyclinD1 and S100A4 are downregulated following sulindac treatment (Rice et al., 2003; Boon et al., 2004; Gardner et al., 2004; Han et al., 2008; Stein et al., 2011). Thus, downregulation of ABCB1 by sulindac further supports the role of ABCB1 as β-catenin transcriptional target.

Our findings are in line with observations of Yamada et al. (2000, 2003), who employed microarray technology in the colorectal cell line DLD1 and identified ABCB1 to be transcriptionally downregulated after inactivation of TCF4. The positive correlation of expression of β-catenin and ABCB1 was also shown in side-population colon cancer cells, however, ABCG2 expression was also found to be dependent on β-catenin levels (Chikazawa et al., 2010). The dependence of ABCB1 expression on β-catenin signalling was also reported for breast cancer cells (Bourguignon et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010).

Figure 4  β-Catenin and ABCB1 expression in human colon carcinomas. (A) Thirty three primary colon carcinomas from untreated patients were analysed for β-catenin Δ45 mutation by RT–PCR-based restriction fragment length polymorphism (Stein et al., 2006). We identified three tumours to be heterozygous for the β-catenin Δ45 mutation. The mut β-catenin RT–PCR product is cut by Bsl I (fragments 72 and 48 bp), whereas the wt β-catenin RT–PCR product (123 bp) is not. (B) The nuclear localisation of β-catenin is clearly seen in these three tumours, together with high expression levels of ABCB1 protein. Subcellular localisation of β-catenin as well as ABCB1 protein expression was determined by immunohistochemistry in consecutive sections of these heterozygous tumours. Sections without primary antibodies served as controls; bars, 20 μm.
A large number of genes have been identified as β-catenin targets, including c-myc and cyclin D1, which are implicated in the development of colorectal cancer (Arber et al, 1996; He et al, 1998; Klaus and Birchmeier, 2008; Najdi et al, 2011). The importance of gain-of-function β-catenin has also been shown for β-catenin targets such as matrilysin, BMP4 and S100A4, thereby underlining the impact of activated β-catenin on tumourigenesis and metastasis (Kim et al, 2002; Ougolkov et al, 2002; Stein et al, 2006). Although β-catenin/TCF4-mediated ABCB1 expression represents only one mechanism within the complex transcriptional regulation of the ABCB1 gene, our findings on the dominant action of gain-of-function β-catenin adds to the current knowledge on the role of ABCB1 in the biology of colorectal cancer.

We extended our in vitro analyses to archival samples of human primary cancer. We identified tumours that are heterozygous for this in-frame deletion mutation of Δ45 in exon 3 of the β-catenin gene. These tumours showed concomitant nuclear β-catenin and high ABCB1 expression. This finding, exploiting the defined Δ45 mutation as an example for gain-of-function β-catenin, provides a new link between high ABCB1 levels in human colon tumours and an activated Wnt pathway. Furthermore, increased ABCB1

**Figure 5** Expression of ABCC1, ABCG2 and MVP is independent of gain-of-function β-catenin. ABCC1, ABCG2 and MVP were not differentially expressed in cells with different β-catenin genotype. (A) ABCC1, ABCG2 and MVP mRNA expressions were determined by quantitative real-time RT–PCR; values represent the ratios of gene and G6PDH control mRNA (HCT116 cells: set 100%). Experiments were performed in duplicate and average values are shown. At the protein level, no significantly different expression levels of ABCC1, ABCG2 and MVP have been detected by western blot analysis (B) or by immuno flow cytometry (C, D).

**Figure 6** Rhodamine 123 accumulation assay. Functional impact of gain-of-function β-catenin was tested by incubating HCT116, HAB-68mut and HAB-92wt cells for 10 min (A) and 15 min (B) with rhodamine 123. Fluorescence intensity of the cells was measured after another 60 min in rhodamine 123-free medium. Despite their different β-catenin genotype and ABCB1 expression, no differences in accumulation of rhodamine 123 were measured.
Figure 7  β-Catenin genotype did not alter chemosensitivity towards chemotherapeutic drugs. By high throughput screening, chemotherapeutic drugs were applied in 18 different concentrations to HCT116, HAB-68mut and HAB-92wt cells (for IC50 and for additional drugs see Table I). Treatment responses of these cell lines towards the MDR-associated drugs adriamycin (A), paclitaxel (B), vincristine (C), etoposide (D), mitoxanthrone (E) and topotecan (F), as well as towards the chemotherapeutics melphalan (G) and 5-fluorouracil (H) are shown. Chemosensitivity of HCT116, HAB-68mut and HAB-92wt cells did not differ despite their differences in β-catenin genotype and ABCB1 expression levels. Cell survival is expressed as percent of control growth. Values are given as averages of triplicates.
expression also correlated with \( \beta \)-catenin in adenomatous polyps from patients with familial adenomatous polyposis (Yamada et al., 2000) as well as in side-population colon cancer cells (Chikazawa et al., 2010).

To assess the impact of gain-of-function \( \beta \)-catenin on ABCB1 and drug resistance and potential response to therapy in colon cancer cells we screened a mechanistically diverse library of anticancer drugs and prototype compounds. Using a high throughput screening approach designed to minimise the impact of drug sample handling on measured sensitivity, we found no evidence for dependence of cell response on \( \beta \)-catenin genotype. This was rather unexpected, particularly for MDR substrate drugs, in view of the clear evidence for upregulation of ABCB1 by oncogenic \( \beta \)-catenin. On the other hand, these results are consistent with the rhodamine efflux studies, which showed no difference between the cell lines. It may be that the extent of upregulation in these cell lines is not sufficient to confer functional drug resistance. It is also possible that expression of other genes in this HCT116-based cell line model, that is, ABCC1, ABCG2 and MVP, contribute to the measured drug sensitivity phenotype. The simultaneous expression of MDR genes has already been described for HCT116 cells (Izquierdo et al., 1996; Stein et al., 1996, 1997a). We report here that the expression levels of ABCB1, ABCC1, and MVP were independent of the \( \beta \)-catenin genotype in HCT116 cells and the knockout sublines thereof. The simultaneous, unmodulated expression of the MDR-associated proteins ABCB1, ABCC1, ABCG2, and MVP may well contribute to the comparable chemosensitivities towards the 24 drugs tested.

In SW480 colon cancer cells, however, increased chemosensitivities towards paclitaxel and irinotecan were reported following \( \beta \)-catenin silencing by siRNA (Chikazawa et al., 2010). In breast cancer cells, knockdown of \( \beta \)-catenin by siRNA resulted in increased chemosensitivity towards doxorubicin and etoposide (Bourguignon et al., 2009); although these MDR-associated drugs are also transported by other ABC transporters than ABCB1, ABCB1 is highly overexpressed in this cell line when compared with other MDR-related genes (Stein et al., 1997b). For locally advanced breast cancer, no link between \( \beta \)-catenin and ABCB1 expression was found with respect to neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Shekhar et al., 2010).

For advanced and metastatic tumours, chemotherapy is frequently the only feasible treatment. However, the success of chemotherapy differs from patient to patient. Some patients show complete responses, others respond partially and/or transiently. Here we report the impact of gain-of-function \( \beta \)-catenin on intrinsic ABCB1 expression and in vitro chemotherapy response. We clearly demonstrate that the mutation status of \( \beta \)-catenin determines ABCB1 expression in a defined cell line model and in colon cancer specimens; however, no correlation of this finding with chemosensitivity towards 24 MDR-related and non-related antitumour compounds was detected. Further work is needed to evaluate the role of gain-of-function \( \beta \)-catenin with respect to additional anticancer compounds of potential use in treatment of colorectal cancer. Further studies will also be required to reveal the potential importance of an activated Wnt/\( \beta \)-catenin pathway for intrinsic ABCB1-mediated resistance in other tumour types. Likewise, additional insight is needed into factors important for expression of other ABC transporters, which may mediate drug resistance. In summary, although ABCB1 is validated as \( \beta \)-catenin target gene in a cell line model with defined \( \beta \)-catenin genotypes, the facile detection of \( \beta \)-catenin mutations using the diagnostic PCR procedure reported here is not sufficient for predicting therapy response or for individualisation of chemotherapy regimens for patients.
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