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Abstract—Michael O. Rabin Cryptosystem can generate same ciphertext form different plaintext as well as multiple plaintext from single ciphertext. There are a number of techniques to reveal original plaintext. But none of them can separate same cyphertext against each plaintext generated from modular reduction arithmetic. If question arises about how one can distinguish particular ciphertext against each plaintext, to answer those questions, I design a new mathematical model for identifying same ciphertext against each plaintext and it also facilitates message encryption and decryption. The proposed mathematical model construction based on quadratic root of quadratic residue, quadratic quotient, floor function and absolute value counting in order to identify the ciphertext against the plaintext. In particular, When same number of residues generated from multiple plaintext applying modular reduction arithmetic. The proposed crypto intensive technique uses symmetric key using Diffie-Hellman key exchange protocol. The advantage of proposed crypto intensive technique is intended receiver getting only one plainvalue distinguishing the ciphertext against the plaintext. The proposed crypto technique requires less time complexity and probably secure against man-in-the-middle, chosen plaintext and cyphertext attack.

Index Terms—Michael O. Rabin Encryption and signature scheme, Diffie-Hellman key exchange protocol, modular arithmetic, Bezout’s Coefficient, Extended Euclidean Algorithm, Chinese Remainder Theorem, Polynomials, Legendre Symbol, Congruence, ASCII- Code, Floor and Absolute Value function.

1 I N T R O D U C T I O N

Since[1-2] publication on January (1976, 1979) by Michael O. Rabin, a huge number of surveys had been carried out over Rabin’s Cryptosystem to find out its efficiency and devise a new method for real life application. It was the first asymmetric cryptosystem in the field of public key cryptography. Security of Rabin’s encryption mechanism relies on prime integer factorization. It was not widely used due to having some computational error, but its theoretical significance widespread. The encryption mechanism used quadratic residue to produce cipher text and Decryption was accomplished by Computing two square root, Bezout’s Coefficient using extended Euclidean algorithm and combining them with Chinese Remainder theorem. Similarly to the RSA and ElGamal cryptosystems, Michael O. Rabin cryptosystem is described in a ring under addition and multiplication modulo composite integer. One of the main disadvantage is to generate four results during decryption and extra effort needed to sort out the right one out of four possibilities. In this paper I design a new crypto intensive technique based on Diffie –Hellman key exchange protocol [3], concept of square modular arithmetic from Michael O. Rabin Cryptosystem, Floor function and absolute value function. The symmetric key generates from Diffie-Hellman key exchange protocol. The sender Bob sends a pair of integer to Alice as an encrypted text \((C) = \{L \cdot m^2 / K, m^2 \mod K\}\). After receiving, Alice decrypts the message \((D) = \{|\sqrt{K+R}\}|\) and gets only one desired plain text unlike Rabin’s Cryptosystem in which she gets four different decryption results. The rest of the paper is organized as a follows. Section 1.1 summarizes Overview of Michael O. Rabin cryptosystem. Section 1.2 gives an overview of Rabin’s Signature Scheme, Section 1.3 provides an overview of Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange protocol. Section 2 gives Literature Review, Section 3 for proposed mathematical model, Section 3.1 for proposed Algorithm, Section 3.2 gives summary of proposed mathematical model, In section 3.3 shows comparisons, Finally, Section 4, 5 give conclusion and acknowledgement.

1.1 Overview of Rabin’s Cryptosystem [4]

SUMMARY: Each entity creates a public key and a corresponding private key. Each entity A should do the following:

1. Generate two large random (and distinct) primes \(p\) and \(q\) each roughly the same size.
2. Compute \(n = p \cdot q\).
3. A’s public key is \(n\); A’s private key is \((p, q)\).

Algorithm for Rabin’s public-key encryption

SUMMARY: B encrypts a message \(m\) for A, which decrypts. 1. Encryption. B should do the following:

(a) Obtain A’s authentic public key \(n\).
(b) Represent the message as an integer \(m\) \(\{0, 1... n-1\}\).
(c) Compute \(c = m^2 \mod n\).
(d) Send the cipher text \(c\) to A.

Algorithm for Rabin public-key Decryption

SUMMARY:
To recover plaintext \( m \) from \( c \), A should find the four square roots \( m_1, m_2, m_3, \) and \( m_4 \) of \( c \) modulo \( n \). The message sent was either \( m_1, m_2, m_3, \) or \( m_4 \). A decides which of these is \( m \) by ascertain replicating bits.

1. Use the extended Euclidean algorithm to find integers \( Y_p \) and \( Y_q \) satisfying \( p.Y_p + q.Y_q = 1 \).
2. Compute \( M_p = c^{(p+1)/4} \mod p \).
3. Compute \( M_q = c^{(q+1)/4} \mod q \).
4. Compute \( x = (Y_p.p. M_q + Y_q.q. M_p) \mod n \).
5. Compute \( y = (Y_p.p. M_q - Y_q.q. M_p) \mod n \).

The four square roots are \( x, -x, y \) and \(-y \mod n \).

For example, Key generation: Entity A chooses the primes \( p = 277, q = 331 \), and computes \( n = p.q = 91687 \). A’s public key is \( n = 91687 \), while A’s private key is \( (p = 277, q = 331) \).

Encryption:

Suppose that the last six bits of original messages are required to be replicated prior to encryption. In order to encrypt the 10-bit message \( m = 1001111001 \), B replicates the last six bits of \( m \) to obtain the 16-bit message \( m = 1001111001111001 \), which in decimal notation is \( m = 40569 \). B then computes \( c = m^2 \mod n = 40569^2 \mod 91687 = 62111 \) and sends this to A.

Decryption:

To decrypt \( c \), A uses aforesaid algorithm and her knowledge of the factors of \( n \) to compute the four square roots of \( c \mod n \): \( m_1 = 69654, m_2 = 22033, m_3 = 40569, m_4 = 51118 \), which in binary are \( m_1 = 10001000000010110, m_2 = 101011000010001, m_3 = 100111100111011, m_4 = 1100011110101110 \). Since only \( m_3 \) has the required redundancy, A decrypts \( c \) to \( m_3 \) and recovers the original message \( (m = 1001111001) \).

1.2 Overview of Rabin’s Signature Scheme

Rabin’s Cryptosystem is composed of Key Setup, Encryption and Decryption. Key Generation step-1: Let, Alice chooses two random prime numbers \( P \) and \( Q \). Compute public key \( N = P.Q \) and \( n = \phi(N) \) as her public key material, and keep \( (P \) and \( Q) \) as her private key.

Encryption step-2:

The sender Bob creates cipher text \( C = m (m + b) \mod N \). Here use of \( b \) is Security purpose only \((0 \leq b < N) \).

Decryption step-3:

Alice solves the quadratic equation \( m^2 - mb + c \equiv 0 \mod N \) to decrypt the ciphertext. Decryption involves computing square roots modulo \( N \). Decryption consisting of \( m \equiv a \) (mod n). This is performed by solving \( M_p = m^2 \equiv a \mod p \) and \( M_q = m^2 \equiv a \mod q \). Pick a random integer \( b \) in range \( 0 \ldots p \) and compute the Legendre symbol \((b^2 - 4a)/p \) i.e., \((b^2 - 4a)^{(p-1)/2} \mod p \) with result \( p-1 \) replaced by \(-1 \), until that’s \(-1 \). Now setup the second degree polynomial arithmetic \( f \) and then compute the polynomial \( x (\theta + 1/2) \mod f \) and \( x (\theta + 1/2) \mod f \) using polynomial arithmetic modulo the polynomial \( f \). Compute Bezout’s coefficient using extended Euclidean algorithm and combine these using the Chinese Remainder Theorem yielding four solutions in most cases, and picking the right one in some way.

Example:

Step 1. Let, two random prime number \( p = 41, q = 53 \) and public key: \( N = p.q = 2173 \). Cipher text \( c = m^2 \mod N = 1945 \). Now compute \( M_p = m^2 \equiv a \mod p = 18 \) and \( M_q = m^2 \equiv a \mod q = 37 \).

Step 2. Choose a random \( b = 2 \) satisfying the condition and setup a polynomial \( f = x^2 - b. x + M_p \) with coefficients in \( Z_n \), that is \( f = x^2 + 39x + 18 \) similarly \( b = 4 \) satisfying the condition and setup a polynomial \( f = x^2 + 49x + 37 \) with coefficients in \( Z_{53} \); \( x \) is the variable of the polynomial and has no particular value.

Step 3. Compute the polynomial \( x (\theta + 1/2) \mod f = x^21 \mod f \). The binary representation of the exponential order (21) is 10101, and compute \( x^2, x^4, x^5, x^{10}, x^{20} \) and finally \( x^{21} \mod f \) by left-to-right binary exponentiation.

Computation of \( x \mod f \) that is \( x^2 \equiv (x^2 + 39x + 18) \), that is \( 2x + 23 \)
Computation of \( x^4 \mod f \) that is \( 4x^2 + 10x + 37 - 4(x^2 + 39x + 18) \), that is \( 18x + 16 \).
Computation of \( x^5 \mod f \) that is \( 18x^2 + 6x - (x^2 + 39x + 18) \), that is \( 14x + 4 \).
Computation of \( x^{10} \mod f \) that is \( (x + 4)^2 \mod f \) that is \( 10x + 39 \).
Computation of \( x^{20} \mod f \) that is \( (10x + 39)^2 \mod f \) that is \( 37x + 8 \).
Computation of \( x^{21} \mod f \) that is \( 37x^2 + 8x \mod f \). Finally, the \( x \) term has surprised leaving 31. Thus \( m^2 \equiv a \mod p \) has solution \( M \in \{10, 31\} \mod p \).

Step 4. Compute the polynomial \( x (\theta + 1/2) \mod f \) that is \( x^{27} \mod f \) using polynomial arithmetic modulo the polynomial \( f \). The binary representation of the exponential order (27) is 11011, and compute \( x^2, x^4, x^5, x^{10}, x^{20} \) and finally \( x^{27} \mod f \) by left-to-right binary exponentiation. Similar computation of step 3.

Solve \( m^2 \equiv a \mod q \), with solution \( M \in \{14, 39\} \mod q \).

Step 5. Compute the Bezout’s Coefficient using Extended Euclidean Algorithm those are \( Y_p = 22, Y_q = -17 \).

Step 6. Computation \( R_1 = (Y_p. p. M_q + Y_q. q. M_p) \mod N = 728, R_2 = -R_1 \mod N = 1445, R_3 = (Y_p. p. M_q + Y_q. q. M_p) \mod N = 2081, R_4 = -R_3 \mod N = 92 \). Hence, the potential results are \( m = \{728, 1445, 2081, 92\} \) by applying Chinese remainder theorem.
1.3 Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange protocol [5]

The first published public-key algorithm appeared in the seminal paper by Diffie and Hellman that defined public-key cryptography [8]. It is generally referred to as Diffie-Hellman key exchange protocol. A number of commercial products employ this key exchange technique. The purpose of the algorithm is to enable two users to securely exchange a key that can then be used for subsequent encryption and decryption of messages. The algorithm itself is limited to the exchange of secret values. The Diffie-Hellman algorithm depends for its effectiveness on the difficulty of computing discrete logarithms.

Global Public elements

- q is a prime number which can define a domain so called curve area or elliptic curve,
- α is a primitive root of q such that α < q.

Key Generation for user

- Select private key Xa such that Xa < q. Calculate public key Ya = α^xa mod q

Key Generation for user B

- Select private key Xb such that Xb < q. Calculate public key Yb = α^xb mod q

Secret key for user A

K = (Yb)^xa mod q

Secret key for user B

K = (Ya)^xb mod q

Example:

An integer number q = 353 that is domain size and its primitive root α = 3. A and B select secret keys A = 97 and B = 233, respectively.

Each of them computes public key:
- A computes X = 3^97 mod 353 = 40.
- B computes Y = 3^233 mod 353 = 248.

They compute secret key in the following ways by exchanging public key between each other.

- A computes K = (Y)^a mod 353 = 248^97 mod 353 = 160.
- B computes K = (X)^b mod 353 = 40^233 mod 353 = 160.

2. Literature Review

There are many surveys have been dedicated over Rabin’s cryptosystem. Recently various modifications of Rabin’s cryptosystem have been published in different scientific journals.

Hayder Raheem Hashim [6] proposed an update methodology that used three private keys instead of two. Consequently, the eight non-deterministic plaintext generates from one cypher text. One of them is real plaintext. The advantage of this technique is to make confusing attacker while it is very annoying to receiver as extra effort is required to distinguish original plaintext out of eight text.

Yahia Awad et al. [7] proposed a deterministic method depending on the domain of Gaussian Integer to select right plaintext among four decryption result. Recipient can decide particular plain text form four possible decryption result by selecting obtained square root with redundancies in its imaginary part (a + bi). This is the main benefit of using Gaussian integer technique. The disadvantage, on the other hand, same cyphertext can be generated from different plaintext due to having modular reduction arithmetic. For example, for the four plaintext (m) = {13, 20, 57, 64}, the same cipher text c=15.

Manish Bhatt et al. [8] extended a deterministic technique adding duplicating bits at the beginning of plaintext before encryption. Added replicating bits reflected within one decrypted text among four possible plaintext. The annoying thing is other three false result that refers to time complexity and memory complicity.

Masahiro Kaminaga, et al. [9] discussed a fault attack technique on modular exponentiation during Rabin’s encryption where a complicated situation arose in case of message reconstruction when message and public key were not relatively prime. They also provided a rigorous algorithm to handle message reconstruction.

Haytham Gani [10] performed study over Rabin and RSA Cryptosystem and provided insightful discussion. The computation speed of RSA and Rabin’s Cryptosystem were roughly same. Both algorithm’s security relied on prime integer factorization.

Preeti Chandrakar [11] discussed about a secure two factor remote authentication scheme using Rabin Cryptosystem. This paper showed an extended usages of Rabin’s cryptosystem.

Xue-dong DONG, et al. [12] modified Rabin’s cryptosystem using cubic residue technique which successfully removed the long cherished inconsistency so called four to one function in Rabin’s cryptosystem. But, it was insecure against chosen cipher text attack that was pointed out by authors. Interestingly, the novel method of computing cubic root from a cubic residue prohibited the revealing private key.
3. Proposed Mathematical Model

3.1 Proposed Algorithm

Key Generation Algorithm:

\[ K = (Y_b)^{x_a} \mod q = (\alpha^{xb} \mod q)^{x_a} \mod q = (\alpha^{xb})^{x_a} \mod q = (\alpha^{xa})^{xb} \mod q = (Y_a)^{xb} \mod q \]

Encryption Algorithm:

\[ Q = (m^{2/} K \mod q) \]
\[ R = m^2 \mod K \]
\[ C = (Q, R) \]

Decryption Algorithm:

\[ D = \sqrt{Q \cdot K + R} \]

3.2 Summary of Proposed Mathematical Model

The proposed crypto technique ensures secure communication among two parties. For example, at the initial stage Alice and Bob create a shared secret key. In the second stage Bob chooses a message \( A = 065 \) according to the ASCII - Binary Character Table [13]. It is a character encoding standard for electronic communication. It represents text in a computer, telecommunication equipment and other devices. The following simplified snapshot of ASCII codes has been shown as an explanatory purpose of proposed crypto-intensive technique. Although, total number of ASCII Codes 128.

Then, He encrypts the message like a pair of integer using shared secret key and sends to Alice. Finally, Alice decrypts the message. The following description describes the entire mathematical process.
3.3 Comparisons

The comparison between proposed crypto technique and Michael O. Rabin Cryptosystem as follows.

**Rabin’s Crypto Scheme**
- Cyphertext is a quadratic residue.
- Decryption generates four plain text
- It uses assymetric key
- It is vulnerable against chosen ciphertext and plaintext attack.

**Proposed Crypto technique**
- Cyphertext is a pair of integer
- Decryption generates single plaintext
- It uses symmetric key
- It is not vulnerable against man in the middle attack, because, the key may be stolen but computation scheme is unknown to adversary.

Michael O. Rabin’s Encription and signature scheme cannot identify same ciphertext generated from different plaintext.

Michael O. Rabin Cryptosystem cannot identify same ciphertext against different plaintext.

A disadvantage of Michael O. Rabin crypotosystem:

\[
\begin{align*}
C_1 &= 13^2 \mod 77 & C_2 &= 20^2 \mod 77 & C_3 &= 57^2 \mod 77 & C_4 &= 64^2 \mod 77 \\
C &= C_1 + C_2 + C_3 + C_4 \\ 
\end{align*}
\]

The same encryption result (15) generates from four distinct plaintext

\[M = \{13, 20, 57, 64\} \] those results cannot be identified separately by Michael O. Rabin’s Cryptosystem.

An advantage of proposed crypto technique:

\[
\begin{align*}
R_1 &= 13^2 \mod 77 & Q_1 &= \frac{13^2}{77^2} & C_1 &= 13, 20, 57, 64 \\
C &= (2, 15) \\
R_2 &= 20^2 \mod 77 & Q_2 &= \frac{20^2}{77^2} & C_2 &= (2, 15) \\
C &= (5, 15) \\
R_3 &= 57^2 \mod 77 & Q_3 &= \frac{57^2}{77^2} & C_3 &= (2, 15) \\
C &= (42, 15) \\
R_4 &= 64^2 \mod 77 & Q_4 &= \frac{64^2}{77^2} & C_4 &= (2, 15) \\
C &= (53, 15) \\
\end{align*}
\]

The proposed crypto intensive technique can uniquely identify each cipher text against plaintext.

4. Conclusion

The proposed crypto intensive mathematical technique is efficient for solving four to one mapping ciphertext. Its objective to identify each cipher text separately because modular arithmetic can generate same cyphertext from different plaintext. The proposed model can efficiently identify each cipher text separately form modular reduction arithmetic, while Rabin’s cryptosystem fails. There is a security vulnerability in symmetric key generation stage that is man in the middle attack because it does not authenticate the participants. Even though proposed scheme ensures security as computation procedure is unknown to adversary.
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