Roles of microRNAs in prenatal chondrogenesis, postnatal chondrogenesis and cartilage-related diseases
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Abstract

Cartilage has limited repair and regeneration capacity, thus damage of cartilage often results in its dysfunction and even chronic diseases like osteoarthritis (OA). Chondrogenesis induced by tissue-engineering methods is essential to treating cartilage-related diseases. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of small non-coding single-stranded RNAs which exert their biological effects by binding to the target messenger RNAs (mRNAs), resulting in decay or translation suppression of target mRNAs. There are emerging evidence indicating that miRNAs may play important roles in regulating both prenatal and postnatal chondrogenesis. During embryonic skeletal development, prenatal chondrogenesis is thought to be a precondition for formation of cartilage in developing limbs. Plenty of studies on different types of stem cells have undoubtedly proven their capacity of differentiating into chondrocytes. MiRNAs are found to comprehensively modulate these processes by establishing an interaction network with target genes, transcription factors and cytokines et al. In addition, translational application of miRNA technology has also been explored. In this review, we focus on the up-dated progress on regulatory mechanisms of miRNAs in prenatal and postnatal chondrogenesis. In addition, several miRNA target genes and roles of miRNAs in cartilage-related diseases are also discussed. This will contribute to studies of chondrogenesis mechanisms and development of new treating methods.

Keywords: microRNA ● chondrogenesis ● stem cell ● embryonic development ● cartilage-related disease

Introduction

Providing the characteristics of skeletal systems, cartilage is an avascular tissue without nerves, and it consists of only one cell type—chondrocytes. Thus, due to the simplicity of its formation and construction, the repair and regeneration capacity of cartilage is consequently limited, resulting in its vulnerability to changeable immediate environment. In some cases, damage of cartilage
resulted from traumatic injuries or autoimmune reactions leads to its dysfunction, and even severe joint diseases, such as osteoarthritis (OA) and rheumatoid arthritis (RA). In recent years, there has been increasing demand for inducing chondrogenesis and regenerating completely functional cartilage to treat cartilage-related diseases [1, 2]. A number of tissue-engineering methods including cell therapy, gene therapy and biomaterial have been adopted to induce chondrogenesis and obtain functionally improved cartilage [3]. However, it is still a challenge to regenerate completely effective and functional cartilage [4]. Many metabolic and regulatory problems related with chondrogenesis and cartilage formation are still unknown. Therefore, the detailed mechanisms of chondrogenic differentiation need to be elucidated.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a crowd of small non-coding single-stranded RNAs whose lengths are about 17–24 nucleotides. They often reside outside genes, or sometimes, within introns of other genes. Usually, miRNAs bind to the 3'–untranslated region (3'-UTR) of the target messenger RNAs (mRNAs) through perfect or imperfect complementary base pairing to induce mRNAs' decay or translational suppression [5]. The synthesis of miRNAs in cells is precisely regulated. Firstly, a miRNA gene is transcribed by RNA polymerase II or III in the nucleus, and the transcribed product is called pri-miRNA, which contains the sequence of the mature miRNA in a stem-loop structure. Then, a pri-miRNA is regularly transferred to be cleaved by a protein complex comprising RNA endonuclease III (known as Doshka) and DGCIR8 (DiGeorge syndrome critical region gene 8). This cleaving produces the precursor miRNA (pre-miRNA), which has a short stem-loop of 70–100 nucleotides in the nucleus [6]. The pre-miRNA is exported by exportin-5 to the cytoplasm, in which the short stem-loop is removed by Dicer, another RNA endonuclease III, leaving a miRNA duplex alone [7]. The double strands then are incorporated into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), where the duplex binds to proteins of the Argonaute family to degrade one strand—passenger miRNA and release the other strand—mature miRNA. The released mature miRNA with RISC contains the seed sequence which interacts with 3'-UTR, or in unusual cases, 5'-UTR and protein coding regions of the target mRNA through fully or partially complementary base pairing [8]. When the base pair match is perfect, RISC degrades the target mRNA; otherwise, RISC inhibits the translation of the target mRNA or deacylates and/or dacaps the target mRNA [9]. Up till now, published data have identified more than 1000 miRNAs in human, and it is estimated that they may target about 60% of total human mRNAs [10]. Since miRNAs were first discovered in C. elegans in 1993 [11, 12], a lot of studies have proven that miRNAs exert their functions in a great variety of biological processes including embryo development, cell proliferation, cell differentiation, signal transduction, immune response and apoptosis [13–15].

For many years, the urgent demand of setting up interdisciplinary tissue-engineering strategies to treat cartilage-related diseases has always been addressed by both patients and doctors. Much evidence has proven that miRNAs play important roles in regulating chondrogenesis and pathogenesis of cartilage-related diseases [16]. MiRNAs may be developed as new candidates for diagnostic markers and therapeutic targets in treating cartilage-related diseases. So in this review, we focus on miRNA functions in regulating prenatal chondrogenesis of embryonic development and postnatal chondrogenesis of different types of stem cells in vitro. In addition, we also discussed several miRNA target genes and roles of miRNAs in cartilage-related diseases.

**MicroRNAs in prenatal chondrogenesis**

**MicroRNAs are essential in prenatal chondrogenesis**

Chondrogenesis is believed to be the onset of embryonic skeletal development and a premise for formation of cartilage in developing limbs. During this process, mesenchymal cells are recruited and undergo a succession of alterations, including proliferation, progenitor condensation, differentiation, hypertrophy, mineralization, apoptosis and displacement by bone, resulting in cartilage formation and endochondral ossification [17, 18]. Many factors participate in the regulatory mechanisms of prenatal chondrogenesis, such as transcription factors, growth factors, extracellular matrix (ECM) and miRNAs. The depletion of RNA endonuclease Dicer severely injured proliferating chondrocytes and accelerated postmitotic chondrocytic hypertrophy, leading to skeletal growth defects, and even deaths of mice [19]. This result indicated that miRNAs were essential to chondrocyte survival and inhibition of premature hypertrophic differentiation of chondrocytes in skeletal development.

**Expression patterns of miRNAs in prenatal chondrogenesis**

The expression patterns of miRNAs in prenatal chondrogenesis are both species-specific and tissue-specific. In axolotls, two different microarray platforms identified overexpression of miR-21 in mid-bud blastemas compared with stump tissue [20]. During chick development, miR-455-3p was expressed in developing long bones [21]. In terms of tissue-specific expression patterns of miRNAs, a miRNA expression profiling study of medaka embryos found specific expression of miR-140 and miR-199a in developing cartilage compared with other tissues [19]. In the developing zebrafish embryos, 10 miRNAs, including miR-23a, miR-27a, miR-27b, miR-140, miR-140*, miR-145, miR-146, miR-199a, miR-199a* and miR-214, were mainly found in pharyngeal arches, indicating that these miRNAs were highly specific in cartilaginous tissues [22]. Moreover, the Solexa sequencing identified both increased and decreased miRNAs only in developing articular cartilage of rats [23]. The aforementioned results displayed the species-specific and tissue-specific characteristics of miRNA expression and may provide research targets in the developmental biology.
Functions of miRNAs in prenatal chondrogenesis

MicroRNAs are critical regulators in prenatal chondrogenesis. In prenatal chondrogenesis, the morphological transition of mesenchymal cells from a fibroblastoid shape to a round one is a persuasive indicator of differentiation [24]. In the chick embryonic development, the inhibition of miR-34a led to the rearrangement of actin cytoskeleton by modulating cross-talk between RhoA and Rac1. At the same time, miR-34a overexpression could cause intensified stress fibres [25]. In addition, miR-34a could strongly constrain chondroblasts from migrating by targeting EphA5 and assist in Interleukin-1β-induced damage of chondrocytes. These findings suggested multiple functions of miR-34a in chick prenatal chondrogenesis [26]. Position-dependent chondrogenesis is a common phenomenon in limb development [27]. Kim et al. showed that by enhancing Adam9 transcription, miR-142-3p inhibited chondrocyte migration and pre-cartilage condensation and increased cell death. These alterations contributed to the limb type-specific outcome of transforming growth factor-β3 (TGF-β3) upon chick leg versus wing mesenchymal cells [28]. Similarly, miR-375 was also found to negatively regulate chick chondrocyte migration and pre-cartilage condensation by down-regulating cadherin-7 [29]. Moreover, Kim et al. also confirmed that blockade of miR-221 obviously promoted proliferation of chick chondrogenic progenitors and repression of target Mdm2 by inhibiting ubiquitylation and proteosomal degradation ofSlug protein [30]. In terms of control of miRNAs, miR-140 was modulated by tran-scription factor LEF-1 through PKA-signalling by targeting ACVR2B, SMAD2 and CHRD1 [21]. In addition, miR-449a inhibited Lymphoid Enhancer Binding Factor-1(LEF-1) expression in a dose-dependent and sequence-specific manner during chondrogenesis of human MSCs. And inhibition of LEF-1 by miR-449a repressed expression of Sox9, leading to delayed progression of chondrogenesis [43]. Moreover, a small molecule H-89 could induce miR-23b expression, and miR-23b was a positive regulator which therefore promoted chondrogenic differentiation of human MSCs by inhibiting protein kinase A (PKA) signalling [44]. During chondrogenic differentiation of mouse MSCs, miR-145 repressed Col2a1, LEF-1, Sox9, and Acan, which were strongly overexpressed and five miRNAs were under-expressed during TGF-β3-induced chondrogenic differentiation [38]. In addition, the expression levels of seven miRNAs altered more than fivefold during chondrogenic differentiation of mouse MSCs [39]. It should be noted that different studies found more or less different changes of miRNA expression patterns during chondrogenic differentiation of human MSCs, and so was the case in mouse MSCs. This inconsistency in different findings may be attributed to the various sources of MSCs [40, 41], diverse culturing methods and different micro-environments of MSCs.

MicroRNAs in postnatal chondrogenesis of stem cells in vitro

The postnatal chondrogenic differentiation of different types of stem cells in vitro has been comprehensively studied and it is under a complex regulatory regime. The important roles of miRNAs in various types of stem cells, such as mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs) and unrestricted somatic stem cells (USSCs), have been recognized and discussed. Up to now, a number of significant findings have presented individuals with a clearer picture of miRNA integral part of regulatory mechanisms in chondrogenic differentiation of stem cells.

MicroRNAs in chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs

Mesenchymal stem cells, also referred to as mesenchymal stromal cells, have the capacity of self-renew and differentiation into multiple cell lineages including osteocytes, chondrocytes, adipocytes, whereas the differentiation capacity into other cell types remains to be controversial [32-34]. Many studies have identified miRNA expression profiling during chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs and these findings provide new insights into the detailed mechanisms of MSC chondrogenic differentiation.

Expression patterns of miRNAs during MSC chondrogenic differentiation

The aberrant expression patterns of miRNAs are identified during MSC chondrogenic differentiation. By using miRNA microarrays, five miRNAs (miR-26b, miR-28, miR-130b, miR-152, miR-193b) were significantly overexpressed in chondrogenic differentiated human MSCs compared with undifferentiated MSCs in two samples [35]. Similarly, miRNA microarray analysis identified 10 up-regulated miRNAs (miR-15b, miR-16, miR-23b, miR-27b, miR-140, miR-148, miR-197, miR-222, miR-328 and miR-505) through comparison between human MSCs and human articular chondrocytes [36]. Furthermore, in isolated human CD146+ MSCs, 12 miRNAs were up-regulated and 24 miRNAs were down-regulated during chondrogenic differentiation [37]. In terms of mouse MSCs, eight miRNAs were strongly overexpressed and five miRNAs were under-expressed during TGF-β3-induced chondrogenic differentiation [38]. In addition, the expression levels of seven miRNAs altered more than fivefold during chondrogenic differentiation of mouse MSCs [39]. It should be noted that different studies found more or less different changes of miRNA expression patterns during chondrogenic differentiation of human MSCs, and so was the case in mouse MSCs. This inconsistency in different findings may be attributed to the various sources of MSCs [40, 41], diverse culturing methods and different micro-environments of MSCs.

Functions of miRNAs during MSC chondrogenic differentiation

The special expression patterns of miRNAs during MSC chondrogenic differentiation are essential to miRNA function. Laine et al. found that during chondrogenic differentiation of human MSCs, miR-124 down-regulated ACAN expression, whereas miR-199a up-regulated ACAN expression [42]. ACAN is a marker gene of chondrogenic differentiation. Besides, miR-455-3p acted in TGF-β signalling by targeting ACVR2B, SMAD2 and CHRD1 [21]. In addition, miR-449a inhibited Lymphoid Enhancer Binding Factor-1(LEF-1) expression in a dose-dependent and sequence-specific manner during chondrogenesis of human MSCs. And inhibition of LEF-1 by miR-449a repressed expression of Sox9, leading to delayed progression of chondrogenesis [43]. Moreover, a small molecule H-89 could induce miR-23b expression, and miR-23b was a positive regulator which therefore promoted chondrogenic differentiation of human MSCs by inhibiting protein kinase A (PKA) signalling [44]. During chondrogenic differentiation of mouse MSCs, miR-145 repressed Sox9 expression and down-regulated miRNA levels such as Col2a1, Comp, Acan, Col9a2, which were all chondrogenic marker genes [45]. In addition, through modulating transcription factor Smad1, miR-199a* decreased expression of...
mouse early chondrogenic marker genes [46]. These findings clearly showed that by targeting certain genes and transcription factors, miRNAs contributed a lot to the regulation and modulation of chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs.

MicroRNAs in chondrogenic differentiation of other types of stem cells

Although MSCs show a variety of favourable cellular capacities in tissue engineering, there are other types of stem cells which have their own advantages and could also be good candidates. One example comes from the unrestricted somatic stem cell (hUSSC). USSCs can also differentiate into many cell lineages. Compared with MSCs, USSCs are less mature and have a longer life span and extended telomere length [47, 48]. By using miRNA microarray and RT-qPCR, Bakhsandeh et al. identified many up-regulated and down-regulated miRNAs and their families during USSC chondrogenic differentiation, such as miR-376, miR-624, miR-630 and miR-1268. Particularly, expression of miR-16 decreased about threefold in chondrocytes compared to hUSSCs. However, expression of miR-16 was increased in primary chondrocytes compared with hMSCs [36]. The conflicting results for miR-16 may be due to the different characteristic of hUSSCs and hMSCs. Human MSC populations are quite heterogeneous. Another reason may be that miR-16 is modulated by some other factors except for chondrogenesis [49]. Another example is human adipose-derived stem cell (hADSC). ADSCs are capable of self-renewing and differentiating into multiple cell lineages. Besides, ADSCs are easily available since adipose tissue is abundant [50, 51]. MiRNA microarray analysis confirmed 12 differentially expressed miRNAs during chondrogenic differentiation of ADSCs, including miR-193b, miR-199a-3p/miR-199b-3p, miR-455-3p, miR-210, miR-381, miR-92a, miR-320c, miR-136, miR-490-5p, miR-4287, miR-BART8* and miR-US25-1* (Table 1). The predicted target genes of these microRNAs were also analysed, which exerted huge effects on chondrogenic differentiation of ADSCs [52]. In addition, miR-194 inhibited chondrogenic differentiation of ADSCs by targeting SOX5 [53]. The functions of major miRNAs in chondrogenesis are listed in Table 2. These findings are significant and instructive, but there are still many unknown details which remain to be elucidated in the mechanisms of miRNA function.

Target genes of miRNAs in chondrogenesis

Regulating certain target genes is one of the most important ways for miRNAs to exert their multiple functions in chondrogenesis. One miRNA may have many target genes, and one gene may be regulated by many miRNAs. The genes targeted by miRNAs often play vital roles in the overall progression of chondrogenesis.

One of the target genes is C/EBPβ, C/EBPβ was regulated by several miRNAs during chondrogenic differentiation of hADSCs and its

| Table 1 | Changes of microRNA expression during chondrogenic differentiation of different stem cells |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| Name    | Cell types         | References |
| miR-26b | hMSCs              | [35]       |
| miR-28  | hMSCs              | [35]       |
| miR-130b| hMSCs              | [35]       |
| miR-152 | hMSCs              | [35]       |
| miR-193b| hMSCs              | [35]       |
| miR-15b | hMSCs              | [35]       |
| miR-16  | hMSCs              | [36]       |
| miR-23b | hMSCs              | [36]       |
| miR-27b | hMSCs              | [36]       |
| miR-140 | hMSCs              | [36]       |
| miR-148 | hMSCs              | [36]       |
| miR-197 | hMSCs              | [36]       |
| miR-222 | hMSCs              | [36]       |
| miR-328 | hMSCs              | [36]       |
| miR-505 | hMSCs              | [36]       |
| miR-127 | Mouse MSCs         | [38]       |
| miR-140 | Mouse MSCs         | [38]       |
| miR-125b*| Mouse MSCs         | [38]       |
| miR-99a | Mouse MSCs         | [38]       |
| miR-140*| Mouse MSCs         | [38]       |
| miR-181a-1| Mouse MSCs         | [38]       |
| let-7f  | Mouse MSCs         | [38]       |
| miR-30a | Mouse MSCs         | [38]       |
| miR-24  | Mouse MSCs         | [39]       |
| miR-101 | Mouse MSCs         | [39]       |
| miR-124a| Mouse MSCs         | [39]       |
| miR-199a| Mouse MSCs         | [39]       |
| miR-199b| Mouse MSCs         | [39]       |
| miR-1207-5p| hUSSCs          | [49]       |
| miR-1225-5p| hUSSCs          | [49]       |
| miR-1246| hUSSCs          | [49]       |
| miR-1268| hUSSCs          | [49]       |
expression level was up-regulated after chondrogenesis [52]. In addition, C/EBP\(\beta\) was confirmed to facilitate transition of chondrocytes from proliferative state to hypertrophic state and down-regulation of C/EBP\(\beta\) inhibited progression of OA [54]. Moreover, C/EBP\(\beta\) could degrade type II collagen and aggrecan and induce expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines [55, 56]. Besides, by usage of alternative translation, the multi-isoforms of C/EBP\(\beta\) could be obtained from a single mRNA [55, 57, 58].

Sox gene family includes over 30 members and plays a master role in chondrogenesis. Smits et al. displayed the importance of Sox5 in chondrogenesis by use of genetically manipulated mice with knock-out of Sox5 [59]. Sox5 and Sox6 could be found in differentiating cartilage and they were essential to the control of ECM by enhancing expression of Col2a1 and Acan [60, 61]. Furthermore, Sox9 is a strong regulator of chondrogenesis. It induced Col2a1 expression by activating a 48-bp enhancer residing in the first intron [62] and promoted differentiation of MSCs into chondrocytes.

In terms of target gene Adam9, up-regulation of Adam9 could lead to apoptosis of chondrogenic progenitors and inhibition of mesenchymal cell migration [28]. Besides, Adam9 could bind to myeloma cells with the help of αvβ5 integrin [63] and promote fibroblast adhesion by interacting with α6β1 integrin [64]. Moreover, by targeting several integrins, ADAM9 enhanced invasion of cancer cells [65]. The regulation mechanisms of prenatal and postnatal chondrogenesis by miRNAs through targeting genes are presented in Figure 1.

### MicroRNAs in cartilage-related diseases

MicroRNAs are highly involved in cartilage-related diseases. These diseases, such as OA, RA and psoriatic arthritis, often lead to severe joint pain and even disability of elderly persons [66–68]. A lot of
studies have been done to elucidate the miRNA roles in the pathogenesis and progression of these diseases.

**MicroRNAs in OA**

Osteoarthritis is a commonly seen chronic joint disease. The pathogenesis of OA is closely related to the disrupted homeostatic balance between synthesis and degradation of ECM, resulting in cartilage dysfunction and repair failure [69–71]. Up till now, effective treatments in OA therapies are limited and the molecular mechanisms underlying the onset and development of OA need to be elucidated urgently. There have been evidences that miRNAs are highly involved in the pathogenesis and progression of OA. Microarray analysis found nine up-regulated miRNAs (miR-16, miR-22, miR-23b, miR-30b, miR-103, miR-223, miR-377, miR-483 and miR-509) and seven down-regulated miRNAs (miR-25, miR-26a, miR-29a, miR-140, miR-210, miR-337 and miR-373) in OA cartilage compared with normal cartilage [72].

Inflammatory mediators often participate in OA pathogenesis. Miyaki et al. found that the expression of miR-140 was inhibited when chondrocytes were treated with IL-1β, indicating the role of miR-140 in OA pathogenesis [36]. Moreover, by generating a mouse line with miR-140 deletion, this group proved that miR-140−/− mice showed age-related OA-like changes. Meanwhile, transgenic mice with overexpressed miR140 showed resistance to joint damage from OA. This study clearly revealed the critical roles of miR-140 in OA [73].

**MicroRNAs in RA**

Rheumatoid arthritis is an autoimmune disease which is characterized by chronically inflammatory synovial tissue and irreversible joint damage [67]. Recent studies have suggested that miRNAs play crucial roles in RA pathogenesis. Overexpression of miR-16, miR-132, miR-146a and miR-155 was detected in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from RA patients [74]. In addition, the increased expression level of miR-124 was identified in RA synoviocytes [75]. MiR-124 was found to play a role in cell proliferation. In vivo experiments, Nakasa et al. administered double-stranded miR-146a to prevent joint destruction in arthritic mice [76]. This finding indicated the therapeutic potential of miR-146a in treating RA.

**Application of microRNAs in translational medicine**

It is crucial to develop methods for in vivo application of miRNA technology to regenerate damaged cartilage tissue in clinics. Up till now, intra-articular injection and intravenous injection have showed their...
great potential. Intra-articular injection of double-stranded miRNA-15a induced cell apoptosis in the synovium of mice with autoantibody-mediated arthritis [77]. In addition, administration of miR-210 by intra-articular injection effectively promoted the healing of partly damaged anterior cruciate ligaments (ACLs) [78]. Moreover, intravenous injection of miR-146a could protect joint from inflammatory damage in arthritic mice [76]. Individuals may learn a lot from these trials and develop new methods for in vivo application of miRNA technology. Roles of miRNAs in prenatal chondrogenesis, postnatal chondrogenesis and cartilage-related diseases are presented in Figure 1.

Conclusion and prospects

Chondrogenesis is critical in cartilage repair and regeneration. A great amount of studies have proven that miRNAs are important multifunctional regulators in chondrogenesis of embryos and stem cells. miRNAs exert their functions through interactions with their targets, which could be genes, transcription factors, signalling pathways and intracellular functional molecules. Despite the numerous findings about miRNAs, there are still many questions on regulatory mechanisms of miRNAs which remain to be illustrated. The expression levels of miRNAs during chondrogenesis are not static, so information about the continuous variation of miRNA expression levels would help know more about miRNA roles in chondrogenesis. In addition, it should be noted that miRNAs themselves are modulated in various manners. Thus in most cases, miRNAs and their targets often interact with each other to form a whole regulatory network to affect multiple cellular activities. Much more attention should be paid on this network. Besides, several studies found that miRNAs can be packed into microvesicles and secreted outside as exosomes from one cell to another [79, 80]. This finding indicated extracellular regulation of miRNAs. In terms of disorders, many cartilage-related diseases are accompanied by various pathological processes such as hypoxia, inflammation and stress. So the exact roles of miRNAs in these pathological conditions need further description and elucidation. To sum up, miRNAs are highly involved in the prenatal and postnatal chondrogenesis, and also play important roles in cartilage-related diseases. We are sincerely hopeful that more breakthroughs in regulatory mechanisms of miRNAs will be made, and effective and applicable therapeutic methods can be developed to treat cartilage-related diseases to benefit countless patients.
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