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ABSTRACT

Evidence suggested that burnout has a detrimental effect on employees and organizations. Hence, burnout prevention is one of the most critical parts of most organizations. Burnout has attracted the attention of many researchers in the past decades. These researchers mainly focus on identifying burnout syndrome, mitigation of burnout, and different methods to predict burnout. In this study, we propose a hierarchical approach to determine the level of burnout stages in hotel industry employees using data from a five-star hotel located in Addis Ababa, the capital city of Ethiopia. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that investigates the level of burnout stages of hotel employees in Ethiopia. The proposed model can also be used for non-hotel industries. Our result shows that 51% of the employees are in the honeymoon stage while 4% are in onset. Employees who are in chronic and burnout stage are 21% and 22%, respectively.
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Introduction

There is high competition in the tourism sector due to the growing numbers of firms like hotels, restaurants, resorts, and tourism-related companies worldwide. Many hotel industries pursue service quality as the core policy to survive in the increasingly competitive marketplace. Hotels often tend to make it mandatory for employees to deliver excellent and extra services to guests to fulfill guest expectations increasingly. This trend is a common and significant cause of employees’ work-related psychological stress and burnout experience, resulting in a high turnover rate. Employee turnover is undoubtedly the main fear for hotel companies. The high turnover rate brings a massive increase in costs, inducing expenses related to employee recruiting and training [1]. Burnout is a condition of emotional exhaustion, reification, and reduced personal accomplishment among individuals who work with people in some capacity. Burnout has been compared with boredom, pressure, displeasure, Unhappiness, estrangement, little confidence, nervousness, tension, conflict, fatigue, poor mental health, crisis, helplessness, vital exhaustion, and hopelessness. Nowadays, burnout is a stable academic issue happening which several investigators have completed around which several assemblies and seminars are said [2,3].

In this research, we propose a new methodology to quantify different burnout stages of hotel employees. Our approach follows a hierarchical investigation to decide the location of burnout for each employee. We collect data from a five-star hotel located in Addis Ababa, the capital city of Ethiopia, and use this data for experiments and investigation of the method.
Related Work

Burnout has attracted the attention of many researchers in the past decades [4-12]. The hotel and tourism industry has a higher impact on the world's economy, which boosts the economy of the tourist recipient countries [13]. Hospitality, a by-product of tourism, is the relationship between the service itself, the service provider; and the service receiver (the guest) in providing a range of services that includes the satisfaction of physical and psychological needs [14]. Hotel employees are constantly under pressure as they need to meet their customers' physical and psychological needs. If these pressures are not handled properly, they will lead the employee to frustration, stress, and loss of interest in their job. Burnout is a condition conceptualized as a result of workplace stress which has not been adequately managed[15,16]. Even if burnout is not yet categorized as a medical condition, it may lead to emotional and physical illness [17]. Burnout happens when an employee is overwhelmed, drained, or unable to meet the continuous demand of their working position. Burnout can affect anyone working in any industry.

The Winona state university adopted Venniga and Spradely’s model of stages of burnout to assess the intensity of burnout risk in employees [18]. This model divides the burnout stages into Four.

Honeymoon Phase

This stage is the beginning of the feeling of burnout, which is not assimilated as burnout in most cases; however, most of the time, it’s hard to be diagnosed. Transferring to a new task and assignment of new responsibility is considered a triggering issue. Personal life-related matters like divorce and having a new baby can also often be triggers [19]. This change of situation has an impact on the level of employee job satisfaction. A change of work environment plays a significant role in preventing entering the next stage of burnout. Employees will experience intense optimism, job satisfaction, commitment to tasks, a desire to prove themselves, and a substantial creativity boost [20]. If employers can maintain these positive changes, they may keep their employees in the honeymoon stage for a long time.

Onset Stress

When a workplace continues, positive incentives are ineffective, employees start to feel that some days are better than others at this stage [21]. Employees sometimes feel like they can’t handle the stress at work. Common stress symptoms which may affect employee emotion will be more common. Employees in this stage may experience fatigue, difficulty to focus, irritability, racing heart, sleeping disturbance, headache, anxiety and less self-care [22].

Chronic Stress

When burnout reaches regular stages, the feeling in the onset stages become more frequent. A decrease in motivation will be noticed more often. At this stage, other people will also see changes in the behaviours like missing deadlines and giving a repetitive excuse. The physical and emotional symptoms intensify at this stage [23,24]. Employees in chronic stress may experience anger or aggressive behaviours, missing work deadlines, procrastination, physical pain or illness, instant panic, lack of interest, chronic fatigue and exhaustion, pressure, and social withdrawal from friends or families [20].

Burnout

When chronic symptoms are not handled properly, they will then transfer to the burnout stage. At this stage, it will not be feasible for the employee to continue working in their position. The continued feeling of powerlessness and failure will eventually run to the belief of disillusionment and desairs [25]. Employees feel like there is no way out of such circumstances and become stranded towards their job. Symptoms at this stage happen more frequently; employees may not even have a single day without feeling. Significant symptoms include Feeling emptiness, self-doubt, denial, desire to move away from work, chronic headache, behavioural changes, pessimistic mood and missing pieces [26].

Methodology

Initially, a correlation analysis is conducted on the variable to assess their interrelationship between each other. All the variables are classified into four groups based on their attributes, honeymoon indicator, onset indicator, chronic indicator and burnout indicator.

The category of each variable is decided based on previous research papers. Once all the questionnaires are sub-grouped, each respondent’s quantitative responses for questions in the same subgroup are combined and then divided to the total grade assigned to each question which gives us the subgroup average. We then summed up the individual respondents in the subgroup and compared the results against the initially calculated average. If the result is greater than the average in that specific subgroup, then that particular employee has observed all the indications of symptoms in this subgroup. If the result is less than half of the average, that individual is considered free from those symptoms.

After completing the same calculation in all subgroups, the result gives the likelihood of each respondent states whether they are affected by each group or not. However, some employees can be categorized into multiple subgroups of burnout stages. Therefore, a filter is added to decide the current state of each employee. The filter will take all the subgrouped results and only gives back the last positive observation of the burnout stage. For example, suppose an employee is found symptomatic in the onset, chronic and honeymoon stage. In that case, the filter will take the last observation of the positive symptom, which is the chronic stage—assuming that the honeymoon and onset stages have already been passed. The flow chart of this methodology is presented in Figure 1 below.
Results and Discussion

Initially, descriptive statistics of the data is reviewed, followed by a detailed presentation of results. The results of the data analysis are conducted in the manner described in the methods section of this paper.

Descriptive Statistics of the Data

Out of the 80 questionaries distributed, 73 (91%) questionaries were passed the requirement of data quality measures. The remaining 7 (9%) were either below the data quality requirement of this project or the respondent didn’t give back the questionaries. Hence, the analysis is conducted using this data as 91% of the total sample data is valid and statistically significant to conclude the total population.

Figure 3. presents the sample respondent’s gender. Out of the total sample, 54 (73%) respondents were female, and 20 (27%) were male. As seen on the chart (Figure 2), female participants are more than males in the sample, which gives the impression that the data is skewed towards the female samples. However, the distribution of gender of the total population of the hotel is also similarly skewed to female. Most hotel industry employees in Ethiopia are female, as the industry recruits female employees more than males. This is especially true in the housekeeping and spa departments with the highest number of hotel employees.

Amongst the hotel respondent, 60 (82%) of them have a daily base face to face contact with customers, while the 13 (18%) of them have very little or no face-to-face interaction with customers. Departments like housekeeping, spa, front office, security, food and beverage are among the departments with consistent contact with customers. On the other hand, departments like maintenance, finance, and kitchen have very little or no face-to-face contact daily (Figure 3). The relation between face-to-face interaction with customers and burnout is described in the following subsection.

Figure 4. presents the average percentage of the participant by department. This research found that the majority of respondents are in the Spa department (19 or 26%). This result is expected because the total number of employees in the spa department is greater than in other departments. The spa department has three times more shifts and many workloads. The housekeeping department is the 2nd vast department in the hotel, and the percentage of the participant from the housekeeping department is 17(23%). Housekeeping also has more shifts, and it requires a physical job. The 3rd department is security, with 11(15%) of the samples. The security department has two shifts, and night shift guards job requires the employee to be awake at night and sleep during the day. The food and beverage department is the 4th broadest department with a total participant of 8(11%), and this department includes waitress, waiter, and food & beverage control. The finance, front office and kitchen have equal5(7%) participant. The last department is maintenance with a total of the participant of 3(4%).
**Figure 2:** Respondent by gender.

**Figure 3:** Frequency of a participant who has a face-to-face contact with the customer.

**Figure 4:** Percentage of the participant by department.
Correlation of the variables

The correlation of the variables is calculated, and a heat map of the variables is generated using Python package. The purpose of the correlation analysis is to investigate the interrelation of variables in four sub-groups. The aim is to use these interrelations as a signifier of different burnout stages. However, as shown in Figure 5, most of the variables have no significant positive or negative correlation. More than 95% of the interrelation are between -3 and 3, representing a weak correlation among the variables.

As presented in Figure 6, 51.35% of the employees are on the honeymoon stage, implying that half of the employees are in safest burnout stage. However, the hotel needs to implement a strategy that keeps this group of employees in the same state. Otherwise, there will be a great chance this employee will slip to the onset stage. The total number of employees in the onset stage is significantly less than the other groups (4.05%). One of the possible reasons why the number of people in this group is less is that employees might not recognize the symptoms of the onset stage. People on the onset stage might feel like they are in either the honeymoon stage or chronic stage. This depends on the severity of symptoms of the onset stage. It is easy to confuse the indicators of onset with the honeymoon stage and chronic stages. Employees on onset stages are in a better placed to receive treatment for their onset symptoms than the later two stages. Hence, the hotel needs to work on these employees to convert them to the honeymoon stage before the atmosphere converts them to a chronic stage.

![Correlation matrix result.](image)

Around 20% of the hotel employees are in chronic stage, which is a red flag for the hotel to consider. Chronic stage is a stage that must be addressed before employees reached to uncurable phase. The number of employees on this stage cannot be ignored as it can poison the whole work environment. The hotel needs to take intensive measures to tackle this issue by implementing a rigorous treatment plan that needs to be followed by a plan to measure the success of this implementation. The number of employees who are in the final stage (burnout) is 22.97%. This raises an alarm of concern that the hotel was neglecting a significant margin of its employee. As most studies agreed, employees on this stage require an extensive effort to bring them back to other stages. In some cases, it might even be impossible for the employee to be healed at this stage. There are currently 20% additional employees in the chronic phase, which can shift to burnout stage.

Figure 6. shows amongst the participant, 51.35% of them are in the honeymoon stage. Onset stages 4.05% the smallest amount of the stage. Shifting this population to the honeymoon requires less efforts and resources than shifting chronic and burnout stage to honeymoon. 20.27% of the respondents are in chronic stage. This stage has a lower figure comparing to the honeymoon stage. 22.97% of the participants are in the burnout stage, which is very alarming to the hotel.
Burnout Stage by Gender

As explained in the descriptive statistics, most of the employees in this hotel are females. Out of the total sample investigated, 7 (9.77%) male employees are in the honeymoon stage and 32 (44.4%) female employees are on the honeymoon stage. The honeymoon stage is the desired target stage that all employees and employers want to be. It creates a favourable environment for employees and increases productivity and profit for the company. The result reflects that the percentage of workers on honeymoon stages by gender is less than 50% for both genders. Therefore, even if 51.3% of the total employees are on the honeymoon stage, the result by gender shows that the hotel needs to work on both genders. This result revealed a need for a burnout prevention strategy. The number of male employees in the honeymoon stages has a lower percentage than that of female employees. Only 1(1.3%) of the male and 2(2.78%) of the female employees are on onset stages, respectively.

Considering the distribution of the participant’s gender, the distribution of gender in chronic stage is almost even. The number of male employees in the chronic phase is 4 (5.56%), while the female employees count 11 (15.28%). The hotel needs to invest in both genders at this stage as this will be the last chance before it is too late to recover employees from burnout. The number of male and female employees in burnout stage is the same, 9 (12.5%). However, as described in Figure 3, the number of samples taken from male and female employees is not equal. Female respondents count 73%, and male respondents estimate 27%. Hence, the number of male employees in burnout stage is 21% higher than that of female employees. The hotel needs to investigate why male employees in burnout stage are higher than the female. The hotel needs strict treatment measures to decrease these employee numbers, especially male employees in the last stage. As some studies suggested, it is severely challenging to treat employees who are on the final stage; it’s more often uncurable at this stage (Figure 7).
Burnout Stages by Face-To-Face Contact with Customers

The result presented in Figure 8 showed the distribution of burnout stages related to employees’ job responsibility of face-to-face interaction with customers. The departments with face-to-face contact with customers are a spa, housekeeping, security front office, and the maintenance team. On the other hand, the Main kitchen, Finance and part of the maintenance team don’t have face-to-face contact with customers. The result shows that employees who have a face-to-face interaction with customers have a higher margin in the four burnout stages. Hence, this indicates the possible link between face-to-face contact with customers and burnout. One of the probable causes for this is that this employee takes the load handling customers’ demands, complaints and enquires. Therefore, the hotel needs special training for this group of customers, which can decrease the pressure of their position.

![Figure 8: Stages difference by face-to-face contact.](image1)

Burnout Stages Distribution by Department

The housekeeping department is the only department where 100% of employees are on the honeymoon stage, followed by the front office (80%) and food and beverage (64%). There are few employees in onset stage from the food and drink and spa departments. The majority of the chronic stage employees are from the security department, followed by spa and finance. Housekeeping
is the department that the hotel needs to critically work to save its employee from moving in the burnout stage. The result also shows that majority of the employees in maintenance departments are in the burnout stage. Security, spa food and beverage, front office and kitchen has a share of employees in burnout stages. Based on these results, the hotel must design the best possible combination of treatment for each department as per its need.

**Conclusion**

In this research, we propose a new hierarchical methodology to evaluate the burnout stage of employees in hotel industry. Using the proposed method, we quantify the burnout stage of five start hotel employees. The hotel investigated in this study is located in Addis Ababa, the capital city of Ethiopia. Our result shows that 51% of the hotel employees are in the honeymoon stage while 4 % are in onset. Employees who are in chronic and burnout stage are 21% and 22%, respectively. We quantify results by gender, department, and face-to-face contact with the customer. The proposed method can also be used to quantify employee burnout stages in other sectors, different from hotel industries.
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