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Topological indices are graph-theoretic parameters which are widely used in the subject of chemistry and computer science to predict the various chemical and structural properties of the graphs respectively. Let \( G \) be a graph; then, by performing subdivision-related operations \( S \), \( Q \), \( R \), and \( T \) on \( G \), the four new graphs \( S(G) \) (subdivision graph), \( Q(G) \) (edge-semitotal), \( R(G) \) (vertex-semitotal), and \( T(G) \) (total graph) are obtained, respectively. Furthermore, for two simple connected graphs \( G \) and \( H \), we define \( F \)-sum graphs (denoted by \( G + F H \)) which are obtained by Cartesian product of \( F(G) \) and \( H \), where \( F \in \{ S, R, Q, T \} \). In this study, we determine first general Zagreb co-index of graphs under operations in the form of Zagreb indices and co-indices of their basic graphs.

1. Introduction

Graph theory has given different valuable tools in which likely the best tool is known as topological index (TI) that is used to predict structural and chemical properties of graphs such as connectivity, solubility, freezing point, boiling point, critical temperature, and molecular mass, see [1]. The medical behaviors and drugs' particles of the different compounds are discussed with the help of various TIs in the pharmaceutical industries, see [2]. In addition, for the study of molecules, the quantitative structures’ activity relationships (QSAR) and quantitative structures’ property relationships (QSPR) are very useful techniques which are mostly performed with the help of TIs [3].

There are three basic types of TIs depending on the parameters of degree, distance, and polynomial. According to recent review [4], the degree-based TIs are mostly studied. First of all, Wiener calculated the boiling point of paraffin with the help of a degree-based TI, see [5]. Gutman and Trinajisti introduced Zagreb indices and used them to compute the different structure-based characteristics of the molecular graphs [6].

Later on, Shengui and Huiling characterized the graphs for the first general Zagreb index [7]. Bedratyuk and Savenko calculated the ordinary generating function and linear recurrence relation for the sequence of the general first Zagreb index [8].

Recently, Ashrafi et al. defined Zagreb co-index and computed it for graphs which are formed using various operations, see [9, 10]. Kinkar et al. computed the first Zagreb co-indices of trees under different conditions, see [11]. Mansour and Song established relationship between Zagreb indices and co-indices of graphs [12]. Huaa and Zhang computed sharp bounds on the first Zagreb co-index in terms of Wiener, eccentric distance sum, eccentric connectivity, and degree distance indices [13]. Gutman et al. calculated relations between the Zagreb indices and co-indices of a graph \( G \) and of its complement \( \overline{G} \) [14, 15].
In graph theory, the operations (union, intersection, complement, product, and subdivision) play an important role to develop new structure of graphs. Yan et al. computed the Wiener index for new graphs using five different operations $L, S, Q, R,$ and $T$ on a graph $G$ such as line graph $L(G)$, subdivided graph $S(G)$, line superposition graph $Q(G)$, triangle parallel graph $R(G)$, and total graph $T(G)$, respectively, see [16]. After that, Eliasi and Taeri computed Wiener indices of newly defined $F$-sum graphs represented as $(G_1 \ast F G_2)$, where $F \in \{S, R, Q, T\}$ [17]. Furthermore, Deng et al. calculated Zagreb indices [18], Ibraheem et al. [19] forgot co-index, Liu et al. obtained first general Zagreb (FGZ) index [20], and Javaid et al. [21] computed the bounds of first Zagreb co-index; furthermore, they also studied the connection-based Zagreb index and co-index [22] of these graphs.

In this study, we computed FGZ co-index of graphs under operations such as $\overline{M}_1(G_{sH}), M_1(G_{sH}), M_1(G_{rH}), M_1(G_{sQH}),$ and $M_1(G_{tH})$. The reset of the study is settled as follows. Section 2 contains preliminaries. In Section 3, the main results of our work are discussed, and Section 4 has the conclusion of work.

2. Preliminaries

Let $G$ be a simple and connected graph with vertex and edge set denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. The degree of vertex any $v \in G$ is the number of edges incident on it and denoted by $d(v)$. Let $G$ be a graph; then, its complement is defined as $|V(G)| = |V(G)|$ and $uv \notin G$ iff $uv \in G$ denoted as $\overline{G}$. Gutman and Trinajstic introduced the first and second Zagreb indices as [6]

$$M_1(G) = \sum_{p_1,p_2 \in E(G)} [d_G(p_1) + d_G(p_2)],$$

$$M_2(G) = \sum_{p_1,p_2 \in E(G)} [d_G(p_1)d_G(p_2)].$$

Ashrafi et al. defined first Zagreb co-index $\overline{M}_1(G)$ as follows, see [10]:

$$\overline{M}_1(G) = \sum_{y_1,y_2 \notin E(G)} [d_G(y_1) + d_G(y_2)].$$

Let $G$ be a graph; then, $S(G)$ is obtained by adding one vertex in every edge of $G$.

(i) $R(G)$ is obtained from $S(G)$ by inserting an edge between the vertices that are adjacent in $G$

(ii) $Q(G)$ is obtained from $S(G)$ by inserting an edge between new vertices that adjacent edges of $G$

(iii) Apply both $R(G)$ and $Q(G)$ on $S(G)$; then, $T(G)$ is obtained

Suppose two connected graphs $G$ and $H$; then, their $F$-sum graph is represented by $G_{xH}$ having vertex set $|V(G_{xH})| = |V(G)| \times |V(H)|$ and $(y_1, y_2) \in V(G_{xH})$ iff $y_1 = z_1 \in V(G)$ and $y_2 = z_2 \in V(H)$ and $y_1 \sim z_1 \in E(F(G_{xH}))$, where $F \in \{S, R, Q, T\}$.

For details, see Figure 1 and 2.

3. Main Results

This section contains results about FGZ co-index of graphs under operations.

Theorem 1. Let $G_{sH}$ be $S$-sum graph; then, its first general Zagreb co-index is given as

$$\overline{M}_1'(G_{sH}) = 2^{\theta}(n_2e_1^2 - n_2e_1) + 2^{\theta}e_1[2n_1(e_2 + e_3) + n_2(n_1 - 2)]$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{d} \binom{\theta}{i} \left[ M_{i}^{\theta-j}(G)M_{i+j+1}^{\theta-i}(H) + M_{i}^{\theta-j}(G)M_{i+1}^{\theta-i}(H) + M_{i}^{\theta-j}(G)M_{i}^{\theta-j}(H) + M_{i+1}^{\theta-j}(G) + M_{i+1}^{\theta-j}(G) + M_{i}^{\theta-j}(H) \right]$$

$$\left( M_{i}^{\theta-j}(G) + M_{i}^{\theta-j}(G) + M_{i}^{\theta-j}(H) \right) + M_{i+1}^{\theta-j}(G)M_{i}^{\theta-j}(H) + M_{i}^{\theta-j}(G)M_{i}^{\theta-j}(H) \right],$$

(3)
where $\theta = \gamma - 1$.

Proof. Using equation (2), we have

\[
\overline{M}(G_{3,H}) = \sum_{(y_1,y_2) \in E_{G_{3,H}}} \left[ d(y_1, z_1) + d(y_2, z_2) \right],
\]

\[
\overline{M}'(G_{3,H}) = \sum_{z_1,z_2 \in H} \left[ \sum_{y_1,y_2 \in V(S(G) - G)} \left[ d^{G_{3,H}}_{G}(y_1, z_1) + d^{G_{3,H}}_{G}(y_2, z_2) \right] + \sum_{y_1,y_2 \in V(G)} \left[ d^{G_{3,H}}_{G}(y_1, z_1) + d^{G_{3,H}}_{G}(y_2, z_2) \right] \right]
\]

\[
= \sum A + \sum B + \sum C,
\]

\[
= \sum A + \sum B + \sum C,
\]
\[ \sum A = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in V(S(G) - G)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \in V_{G2}} \left[ d_{G_{aH}}^{a}(y_1, z_1) + d_{G_{aH}}^{a}(y_2, z_2) \right], \]
\[ \sum A = 2^\theta \left(n_2^2 e_1^2 - n_2 e_1 \right), \]
\[ \sum B = \sum B_1 + \sum B_2 + \sum B_3 + \sum B_4 + \sum B_5 + \sum B_6, \]
\[ \sum B_1 = \sum_{y \in V(G) \setminus z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \left[ d_{G_{aH}}^{a}(y, z_1) + d_{G_{aH}}^{a}(y, z_2) \right] \]
\[ = \sum_{y \in V(G) \setminus z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ i \end{array} \right) \left[ d_{G_{aH}}^{a-i}(y) d_{H}^{i}(z_1) + d_{G}^{a-i}(y) d_{H}^{i}(z_2) \right] \]
\[ = \sum_{y \in V(G) \setminus z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ i \end{array} \right) d_{G}^{a-i}(y) \left[ d_{H}^{i}(z_1) + d_{H}^{i}(z_2) \right], \]
\[ \sum B_2 = \sum_{y, y_2 \in E(G) \setminus z \in V(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \left[ d_{G_{aH}}^{a}(y, z) + d_{G_{aH}}^{a}(y_2, z) \right] \]
\[ = \sum_{y, y_2 \in E(G) \setminus z \in V(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ i \end{array} \right) \left[ d_{G}^{a-i}(y_1) d_{H}^{i}(z) + d_{G}^{a-i}(y_2) d_{H}^{i}(z) \right] \]
\[ = \sum_{y, y_2 \in E(G) \setminus z \in V(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ i \end{array} \right) d_{H}^{i}(z) \left[ d_{G}^{a-i}(y_1) d_{G}^{a-i}(y_2) \right], \]
\[ \sum B_3 = \sum_{y, y_2 \in E(G) \setminus z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \left[ d_{G_{aH}}^{a}(y_1, z_1) + d_{G_{aH}}^{a}(y_2, z_2) \right] \]
\[ = \sum_{y, y_2 \in E(G) \setminus z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ i \end{array} \right) \left[ d_{G}^{a-i}(y_1) d_{H}^{i}(z_1) + d_{G}^{a-i}(y_2) d_{H}^{i}(z_2) \right], \]
\[ \sum B_4 = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in E(G) \setminus z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \left[ d_{G_{aH}}^{a}(y_1, z_1) + d_{G_{aH}}^{a}(y_2, z_2) \right] \]
\[ = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in E(G) \setminus z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ i \end{array} \right) \left[ d_{G}^{a-i}(y_1) d_{H}^{i}(z_1) + d_{G}^{a-i}(y_2) d_{H}^{i}(z_2) \right], \]
\[ \sum B_5 = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in E(G) \setminus z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \left[ d_{G_{aH}}^{a}(y_1, z_1) + d_{G_{aH}}^{a}(y_2, z_2) \right] \]
\[ = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in E(G) \setminus z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ i \end{array} \right) \left[ d_{G}^{a-i}(y_1) d_{H}^{i}(z_1) + d_{G}^{a-i}(y_2) d_{H}^{i}(z_2) \right], \]
\[ \sum B_6 = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in E(G) \setminus z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \left[ d_{G_{aH}}^{a}(y_1, z_1) + d_{G_{aH}}^{a}(y_2, z_2) \right] \]
\[ = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in E(G) \setminus z_1, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \begin{array}{c} \theta \\ i \end{array} \right) \left[ d_{G}^{a-i}(y_1) d_{H}^{i}(z_1) + d_{G}^{a-i}(y_2) d_{H}^{i}(z_2) \right]. \]
\[
\sum B = \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{i} \left[ M_i^{\theta-i}(G)M_i^{\theta+i}(H) + M_i^{\theta-i}(G)M_i^{\theta+i}(H) + M_i^{\theta-i}(G) + M_i^{\theta+i}(G) + M_i^{\theta+i}(H) \right],
\]

\[
\sum C = \sum C_1 + \sum C_2 + \sum C_3 + \sum C_4 + \sum C_5,
\]

\[
\sum C_1 = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \notin \{S(G)y_1 \in V(G) y_2 \in V(S(G) - G) z \notin H} \sum_{z \notin H} d_{G,H}^\theta(y_1, z) + d_{G,H}^\theta(y_2, z) = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \notin \{S(G)y_1 \in V(G) y_2 \in V(S(G) - G) z \notin H} \sum_{z \notin H} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{i} \left[ d_G^\theta(y_1) d_H^\theta(z) + 2^\theta \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{i} M_i^{\theta-i}(G)M_i^{\theta+i}(H) + 2^\theta n_1 e_1 (n_1 - 2),
\]

\[
\sum C_2 = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \notin \{S(G)y_1 \in V(G) y_2 \in V(S(G) - G) z_1, z_2 \notin E(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \notin E(H)} d_{G,H}^\theta(y_1, z_1) + d_{G,H}^\theta(y_2, z_2) = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \notin \{S(G)y_1 \in V(G) y_2 \in V(S(G) - G) z_1, z_2 \notin E(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \notin E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{i} \left[ d_G^\theta(y_1) d_H^\theta(z_1) + 2^\theta \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{i} M_i^{\theta-i}(G)M_i^{\theta+i}(H) + 2^\theta n_2 e_2 (n_2 - 2),
\]

\[
\sum C_3 = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \notin \{S(G)y_1 \in V(G) y_2 \in V(S(G) - G) z_1, z_2 \notin \notin E(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \notin \notin E(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \notin \notin E(H)} d_{G,H}^\theta(y_1, z_1) + d_{G,H}^\theta(y_2, z_2) = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \notin \{S(G)y_1 \in V(G) y_2 \in V(S(G) - G) z_1, z_2 \notin \notin E(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \notin \notin E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{i} \left[ d_G^\theta(y_1) d_H^\theta(z_1) + 2^\theta \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{i} M_i^{\theta-i}(G)M_i^{\theta+i}(H) + 2^\theta n_3 e_3 (n_3 - 2),
\]

\[
\sum C_4 = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \notin \{S(G)y_1 \in V(G) y_2 \in V(S(G) - G) z_1, z_2 \notin \notin E(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \notin \notin E(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \notin \notin E(H)} d_{G,H}^\theta(y_1, z_1) + d_{G,H}^\theta(y_2, z_2) = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \notin \{S(G)y_1 \in V(G) y_2 \in V(S(G) - G) z_1, z_2 \notin \notin E(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \notin \notin E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{i} \left[ d_G^\theta(y_1) d_H^\theta(z_1) + 2^\theta \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{i} M_i^{\theta-i}(G)M_i^{\theta+i}(H) + 2^\theta n_4 e_4 (n_4 - 2),
\]

\[
\sum C_5 = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \notin \{S(G)y_1 \in V(G) y_2 \in V(S(G) - G) z_1, z_2 \notin \notin E(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \notin \notin E(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \notin \notin E(H)} d_{G,H}^\theta(y_1, z_1) + d_{G,H}^\theta(y_2, z_2) = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \notin \{S(G)y_1 \in V(G) y_2 \in V(S(G) - G) z_1, z_2 \notin \notin E(H)} \sum_{z_1, z_2 \notin \notin E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{i} \left[ d_G^\theta(y_1) d_H^\theta(z_1) + 2^\theta \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{i} M_i^{\theta-i}(G)M_i^{\theta+i}(H) + 2^\theta n_5 e_5 (n_5 - 2),
\]

\[
\sum C = \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{i} \left[ M_i^{\theta-i}(G)M_i^{\theta+i}(H) + M_i^{\theta-i}(G)M_i^{\theta+i}(H) + M_i^{\theta-i}(G) + M_i^{\theta+i}(G) + M_i^{\theta+i}(H) \right] + 2^{\theta+1} n_1 e_1 (n_2 + e_2).
\]

We arrived at desired result by putting the values in equation (4).

\[\square\]

**Theorem 2.** Let $G \neq H$ be R-sum graph; then, its first general Zagreb co-index is given as
\[
\mathcal{M}_I^\prime (G_{SR}H) = 2^\theta (n_2^2 \epsilon_1^2 - n_2 \epsilon_1) + 2^\theta \epsilon_1 [2n_1 (\epsilon_2 + \bar{\epsilon}_2) + n_2 (n_1 - 2)] 2 \sum_{i=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{i} 
\]
\[
[M_I^{\theta^+ - i} (G) \mathcal{M}_I^{i+1} (H) + (M_I^{-\theta^+} (G) + \mathcal{M}_I^{-i} (G)) + \mathcal{M}_I^{i+1} (H)(M_I^{-\theta^+} (G) + \mathcal{M}_I^{-i} (G))]
\]
\[
+ \mathcal{M}_I^{\theta - i} (G) M_I^i (H) + M_I^{\theta - i} (G)(M_I^i (H) + \mathcal{M}_I^{i+1} (H)) + \mathcal{M}_I^{\theta - i} (G)(M_I^i (H) + \mathcal{M}_I^{i+1} (H)),
\]

where \( \gamma = \theta - 1 \).

**Proof.** Using equation (2), we have

\[
\mathcal{M}_I^\prime (G_{SR}H) = \sum_{(y,z), (z',z'') \in E_{G_{SR}H}} [d^\theta (y, z_1) + d^\theta (y, z_2)],
\]
\[
\mathcal{M}_I^\prime (G_{SR}H) = \sum_{z, z' \in H} \sum_{y, y' \in V(G_{SR}H)} [d^\theta_{G_{SR}H} (y_1, z_1) + d^\theta_{G_{SR}H} (y_2, z_2)] + \sum_{y, y' \in V(G)} [d^\theta_{G_{SR}H} (y_1, z_1) + d^\theta_{G_{SR}H} (y_2, z_2)]
\]
\[
+ \sum_{y, y' \in V(G_{SR}H)} [d^\theta_{G_{SR}H} (y_1, z_1) + d^\theta_{G_{SR}H} (y_2, z_2)]
\]
\[
= \sum A + \sum B + \sum C.
\]

Using equation 4, we directly have

\[
\sum A = 2^\theta (n_2^2 \epsilon_1^2 - n_2 \epsilon_1),
\]
\[
\sum B = \sum B_1 + \sum B_2 + \sum B_3 + \sum B_4 + \sum B_5,
\]
\[
\sum B_1 = \sum_{y \in V(G) \cup z, z' \in E (H)} \sum_{i=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{i} [2d^\theta_{G_{SR}H} (y_1, z_1) + 2d^\theta_{G_{SR}H} (y_2, z_2)]
\]
\[
= 2 \sum_{y \in V(G) \cup z, z' \in E (H)} \sum_{i=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{i} d^\theta_{G_{SR}H} (y_1, z_1) + d^\theta_{G_{SR}H} (y_2, z_2) = 2 \sum_{i=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{i} M_I^{\theta^+ - i} (G) \mathcal{M}_I^{i+1} (H),
\]
\[
\sum B_2 = \sum_{y, y' \in E (G) \cup z, z' \in E (H)} \sum_{i=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{i} [2d^\theta_{G_{SR}H} (y_1, z_1) + 2d^\theta_{G_{SR}H} (y_2, z_2)] = 2 \sum_{i=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{i} M_I^{\theta^+ - i} (G) \mathcal{M}_I^{i+1} (H),
\]
\[
\sum B_3 = \sum_{y, y' \in E (G) \cup z, z' \in E (H)} \sum_{i=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{i} [2d^\theta_{G_{SR}H} (y_1, z_1) + 2d^\theta_{G_{SR}H} (y_2, z_2)] = 2 \sum_{i=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{i} M_I^{\theta^+ - i} (G) \mathcal{M}_I^{i+1} (H),
\]
\[
\sum B_4 = \sum_{y, y' \in E (G) \cup z, z' \in E (H)} \sum_{i=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{i} [2d^\theta_{G_{SR}H} (y_1, z_1) + 2d^\theta_{G_{SR}H} (y_2, z_2)] = 2 \sum_{i=0}^\theta \binom{\theta}{i} M_I^{\theta^+ - i} (G) \mathcal{M}_I^{i+1} (H),
\]
\[
\sum B_5 = \sum_{j_1, y, \ell \in E(G) \ z, z_2 \in E(H)} \left[ d_{G, a}^b (y_1, z_1) + d_{G, a}^b (y_2, z_2) \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{j_1, y, \ell \in E(G) \ z, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \frac{\theta}{i} \right) \left[ 2d_G^{\theta-i} (y_1) d_H^i (z_1) + 2d_G^{\theta-i} (y_2) d_H^i (z_2) \right]
= 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \frac{\theta}{i} \right) M_1^{\theta-i} (G) M_1^{i+1} (H),
\]

\[
\sum B = 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \frac{\theta}{i} \right) \left[ M_1^{\theta-i} (G) M_1^{i+1} (H) + (M_1^{\theta-i} (G) + M_1^{i+1} (G)) \right],
\]

\[
\sum C = \sum C_1 + \sum C_2 + \sum C_3 + \sum C_4 + \sum C_5,
\]

\[
\sum C_1 = \sum_{j_1, z, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \frac{\theta}{i} \right) \left[ 2d_G^{\theta-i} (y_1) d_H^i (z) + 2^\theta \right]
= 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \frac{\theta}{i} \right) M_1^{\theta-i} (G) M_1^i (H) + 2^\theta n_2 e_1 (n_1 - 2),
\]

\[
\sum C_2 = \sum_{j_1, y, \ell \in E(G) \ z, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \frac{\theta}{i} \right) \left[ 2d_G^{\theta-i} (y_1) d_H^i (z_1) + 2^\theta \right]
\]

\[
\sum C_3 = \sum_{j_1, y, \ell \in E(G) \ z, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \frac{\theta}{i} \right) \left[ 2d_G^{\theta-i} (y_1) d_H^i (z_2) + 2^\theta \right]
\]

\[
\sum C_4 = \sum_{j_1, y, \ell \in E(G) \ z, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \frac{\theta}{i} \right) \left[ 2d_G^{\theta-i} (y_2) d_H^i (z_1) + 2^\theta \right]
\]

\[
\sum C_5 = \sum_{j_1, y, \ell \in E(G) \ z, z_2 \in E(H)} \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \frac{\theta}{i} \right) \left[ 2d_G^{\theta-i} (y_2) d_H^i (z_2) + 2^\theta \right]
\]

\[
\sum C = 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \frac{\theta}{i} \right) \left[ M_1^{\theta-i} (G) M_1^i (H) + M_1^{\theta-i} (G) (M_1^i (H) + M_1^i (H)) \right] + 2^\theta e_1 \left[ 2n_1 (e_2 + e_3) + n_2 (n_1 - 2) \right].
\]

(7)

We arrived at desired result by putting the values in equation (6).

\[\square\]

Theorem 3. Let $G_{x\alpha} H$ be Q-sum graph; then, its first general Zagreb co-index is given as
Using equation (2), we have

$$
\mathcal{M}'_{\theta}(G_{\alpha}H) = \sum \left[ d^\theta(y_1, z_1) + d^\theta(y_2, z_2) \right],
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}'_{\theta}(G_{\alpha}H) = \sum_{z, z' \in H} \left[ \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in V(Q(G) - G)} \left[ d^\theta_{G_{\alpha}H}(y_1, z_1) + d^\theta_{G_{\alpha}H}(y_2, z_2) \right] + \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in V(H)} \left[ d^\theta_{G_{\alpha}H}(y_1, z_1) + d^\theta_{G_{\alpha}H}(y_2, z_2) \right] \right]
$$

$$
= \sum A + \sum B + \sum C,
$$

$$
\sum A = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in V(Q(G) - G)} \left[ d^\theta_{G_{\alpha}H}(y_1, z_1) + d^\theta_{G_{\alpha}H}(y_2, z_2) \right] = \alpha_1.
$$

Using equation 4, we directly have

$$
\sum B = \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \binom{\theta}{i} \left[ M^{\theta-i}_{i} (G) M^{\theta-i}_{i+1} (H) + M^{\theta-i}_{i} (G) M^{\theta-i}_{i+1} (H) \right]
$$

$$
+ M^{\theta-i}_{i+1} (H) \left[ M^{\theta-i}_{i+1} (G) + M^{\theta-i}_{i+1} (G) \right] + M^{\theta-i}_{i+1} (H) \left[ M^{\theta-i}_{i+1} (G) + M^{\theta-i}_{i+1} (G) \right] + M^{\theta-i}_{i+1} (G) M^{\theta-i}_{i+1} (H) (M^{\theta-i}_{i+1} (G) + M^{\theta-i}_{i+1} (G)) + \alpha_1,
$$

where \( \gamma = \theta - 1 \).

Proof. Using equation (2), we have
\[ C_4 = \sum_{y, z \in E(G)} \sum_{i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}} \sum_{i \leq j} \left( \frac{\theta}{\theta} \right) \left( d_{G,i}^j(y) e_{ij}^j(z) + 2\theta \right) = \sum_{y, z \in E(G)} \sum_{i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}} \sum_{i \leq j} \left( \frac{\theta}{\theta} \right) M_{G, i}^{j-1}(G) M_{i}^{j-1}(H) + 4^{\theta+1} e_i e_j (n_j - 2), \]

\[ C_5 = \sum_{y, z \in E(G)} \sum_{i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}} \sum_{i \leq j} \left( \frac{\theta}{\theta} \right) \left( d_{G,i}^j(y) e_{ij}^j(z) + 4\theta \right) = \sum_{y, z \in E(G)} \sum_{i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}} \sum_{i \leq j} \left( \frac{\theta}{\theta} \right) M_{G, i}^{j-1}(G) M_{i}^{j-1}(H) + 4^{\theta+1} e_i e_j (n_j - 2), \]

\[ C = \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \frac{\theta}{\theta} \right) \left[ M_i(G) (M_{i-1}(G) + M_{i-1}(H)) + M_{i-1}(G) M_i(H) + M_{i-1}(G) (M_i(H) + M_i(H)) \right] + 4^\theta [n_2^2 (n_1 - 2) + 4e_1 (n_1 + 2) (e_2 + e_3)]. \]

We arrived at desired result by putting the values in equation (9). \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.** Let \( G_{\theta, H} \) be \( T \)-sum graph; then, its first general Zagreb co-index is given as

\[ M_1^G(G_{\theta, H}) = 4^\theta \left( n_2^2 e_1^2 - n_2^2 e_1 \right) + 2 \]

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{\theta} \left( \frac{\theta}{\theta} \right) \left[ M_{i-1}(G) M_{i-1}(H) + (M_{i-1}(G) + M_{i-1}(G)) + M_{i-1}(H) (M_{i-1}(G) + M_{i-1}(H)) + M_{i-1}(G) (M_{i-1}(H) + M_{i-1}(H)) \right] + 4^\theta [n_2^2 (n_1 - 2) + 4e_1 (n_1 + 2) (e_2 + e_3)], \]

where \( \gamma = \theta - 1. \)

**Proof.** Using equation (2), we have

\[ M_1^G(G_{\theta, H}) = \sum_{y, z \in E(G)} \left[ d^G(y, z) + d^G(y, z) \right], \]

\[ M_1^G(G_{\theta, H}) = \sum_{z, z' \in H} \sum_{y, z \in (V(T(G)) - V(G))} \left[ d_{G,H}(y, z) + d_{G,H}(y, z) \right] + \sum_{y, z \in V(G)} \left[ d_{G,H}(y, z) + d_{G,H}(y, z) \right] + \sum_{y, z \in V(G)} \left[ d_{G,H}(y, z) + d_{G,H}(y, z) \right] \]

\[ = A + \sum B + \sum C. \]
Using equation 9, we directly have
\[ \sum A = 4^\theta \left( n_2^2 e_1^2 - n_2 e_1 \right). \] (13)

The value \( \sum A \) and \( \sum B \) are by equations (7) and (9) as follows:

\[ \sum C = \sum C_1 + \sum C_2 + \sum C_3 + \sum C_4 + \sum C_5, \]

\[ \sum C_1 = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in E(T(G))} \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in E(T(G))} \left[ d_{G_{1,t}^H}(y_1, z) + d_{G_{1,t}^H}(y_2, z) \right] \]

\[ \sum C_2 = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in E(T(G))} \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in E(T(G))} \left[ d_{G_{1,t}^H}(y_1, z) + d_{G_{1,t}^H}(y_2, z) \right] \]

\[ \sum C_3 = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in E(T(G))} \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in E(T(G))} \left[ d_{G_{1,t}^H}(y_1, z) + d_{G_{1,t}^H}(y_2, z) \right] \]

\[ \sum C_4 = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in E(T(G))} \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in E(T(G))} \left[ d_{G_{1,t}^H}(y_1, z) + d_{G_{1,t}^H}(y_2, z) \right] \]

\[ \sum C_5 = \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in E(T(G))} \sum_{y_1, y_2 \in E(T(G))} \left[ d_{G_{1,t}^H}(y_1, z) + d_{G_{1,t}^H}(y_2, z) \right] \]

By substituting the values of \( \sum A, \sum b, \) and \( \sum C \) in equation (12), we obtained required proof.

4. Conclusion

The study of the basic or factor graphs is an interesting problem in the theory of graphs where the original graphs becomes complex. In this study, we have computed FGZ co-index of graphs under operations such as \( \overline{M}_1(G_{s,5}^H), \overline{M}_1(G_{s,R}^H), \overline{M}_1(G_{s,Q}^H), \) and \( \overline{M}_1(G_{s,T}^H) \) in the terms of indices and co-indices of their basic or factor graphs.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of the study are included within article. However, for more details of the data can be obtained from the corresponding author.
Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Authors’ Contributions

Muhammad Javaid and Uzma Ahmad contributed to the discussion of the problem, validation of results, final reading, and supervision; Muhammad Ibraheem contributed to the source of the problem, the collection of material, analyzing and computing the results, and initial drafting of the study; Ebenezer Bonyah and Shaohui Wang contributed to the discussion of the problem, the methodology, and the proofreading of the final draft.

References

[1] G. Rücker and C. Rücker, “On topological indices, boiling points, and cycloalkanes,” Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 788–802, 1999.
[2] H. González-Díaz, S. Vilar, L. Santana, and E. Uriarte, “Medicinal chemistry and bioinformatics - current trends in drugs discovery with networks topological indices,” Current Topics in Medicinal Chemistry, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 1015–1029, 2007.
[3] A. R. Matamala and E. Estrada, “Generalised topological indices: optimisation methodology and physico-chemical interpretation,” Chemical Physics Letters, vol. 410, pp. 343–347, 2005.
[4] K. Xu, M. Liu, K. C. Das, I. Gutman, and B. Furtula, “A survey on graphs extremal with respect to distance-based topological indices,” Match Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 461–508, 2014.
[5] H. Wiener, “Structural determination of paraffin boiling points,” Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 17–20, 1947.
[6] I. Gutman and N. Trinajstić, “Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total \(\varphi\)-electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons,” Chemical Physics Letters, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 535–538, 1972.
[7] S. Zhang and H. Zhang, “Unicyclic graphs with the first three smallest and largest first general Zagreb index,” Match Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry, vol. 55, no. 20, pp. 427–438, 2006.
[8] L. Bedratyuk and O. Savenko, “The star sequence and the general first zagreb index,” Match Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry, vol. 72, 2017.
[9] A. R. Ashrafi, T. Došlić, and A. Hamzeh, “The Zagreb coindices of graph operations,” Discrete Applied Mathematics, vol. 158, no. 15, pp. 1571–1578, 2010.
[10] A. R. Ashrafi, T. Došlić, and A. Hamzeh, “Extremal graphs with respect to the Zagreb coindices,” Match Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 85–92, 2011.
[11] K. C. Das, N. Alkunes, M. Togan, A. Yurttas, I. N. Cangul, and A. S. Cevik, “On the first Zagreb index and multiplicative Zagreb coindices of graphs,” Analele Universitatii “Ovidius” Constanța - Seria Matematică, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 153–176, 2016.
[12] T. Mansour and C. Song, “The a and (a, b) analogs of zagreb indices and coindices of graphs,” International Journal of Combinatorics, vol. 2012, Article ID 909285, 10 pages, 2012.
[13] H. Hua and S. Zhang, “Relations between Zagreb coindices and some distance-based topological indices,” Match Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 199–208, 2012.
[14] I. Gutman, B. Furtula, Z. K. Vuškicevic, and G. Popivoda, “On Zagreb indices and coindices,” Match Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 5–16, 2015.
[15] I. Gutman, “On coindices of graphs and their complements,” Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 305, pp. 161–165, 2017.
[16] W. Yan, B.-Y. Yang, and Y.-N. Yeh, “The behavior of wiener indices and polynomials of graphs under five graph decorations,” Applied Mathematics Letters, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 290–295, 2007.
[17] M. Eliasi and B. Taeri, “Four new sums of graphs and their wiener indices,” Discrete Applied Mathematics, vol. 157, no. 4, pp. 794–803, 2009.
[18] D. Sarala, H. Deng, C. Natarajan, and S. K. Ayyaswamy, “F index of graphs based on four new operations related to the strong product,” AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics, vol. 14, pp. 1–13. In press, 2018.
[19] M. Ibraheem, M. M. Aljohani, M. Javaid, and A. M. Alanazi, “Forgotten coindex for the derived sum graphs under cartesian product,” Journal of Chemistry, vol. 2021, pp. 1–7, Article ID 3235068, 2021.
[20] J. B. Liu, S. Javed, M. Javaid, and K. Shabbir, “Computing first general zagreb index of operations on graphs,” IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 47494–47502, 2017.
[21] M. Javaid, M. Ibraheem, U. Ahmad, and J. B. Liu, “Sharp bounds of first Zagreb coindex for sum graphs,” Journal of Mathematics, vol. 2021, pp. 1–7, Article ID 9984412, 2021.
[22] M. Javaid, U. Ali, and J. B. Liu, “Computing analysis for first zagreb connection index and coindex of resultant graphs,” Mathematical Problems in Engineering, vol. 2021, pp. 1–7, Article ID 6019517, 2021.