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Abstract

Background: In the past few decades, global climate change has accentuated the intensification of aridization in South-Western Romania, with direct and indirect consequences on the quality of forest ecosystems. In addition to qualitative deterioration, the quantitative changes brought about by intensive anthropic deforestation have created the conditions for a decline in the size of forest areas on vast tracts of land. The paper aims to analyze the qualitative and quantitative changes in the forest ecosystems in South-Western Romania, changes due to the synergic context of the global climate changes and the anthropic pressures of the past three decades. In order to capture the evolution of aridization in the study area, specific aridization indexes have been calculated, such as the De Martonne index and the UNEP aridity index. 1990 and 2011 satellite images have been used in order to quantify the qualitative changes.

Results: The results obtained indicated that, in the past two decades, the quality of the biomass declined as a result of the increase in the climatic aridity conditions (De Martonne si UNEP aridity index, indicating in the last decades, annual values under 15 mm/°C, and under 0.5 mm/mm, that means that the values situated under these thresholds, describe arid and semi-arid climate conditions). Also, the uncontrolled logging across vast surfaces caused the loss of forest ecosystems by 7% in the overall study area, during the last three decades.

Conclusions: The severe effects of aridization meant, first of all, a significant decline in the quality of the ecosystem services supplied by forests. In the absence of viable actions to correct the present situation, the extremely undesirable consequences of an ecological and social nature will arise in the near future.
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Introduction

The increasingly strong climate changes of the past few decades have brought about important changes in the forest ecosystem worldwide [1-4]. Drought, one of the most important consequences of this phenomenon, has caused changes at the level of forest ecosystems, such as a decline in bioprodutivity, changes at the level of the structure of ecosystems, an increase in the fragmentation of forest landscapes [5], as well as changes at the level of the physiological processes [6,7]. The survival of the forest ecosystems in the context of environment changes depends mainly on their adaptation potential [8], but the gross variations of the climate variations may profoundly endanger that capacity [9].

According to the latest IPCC report (2007) [10], Romania is one of the top seven countries in Europe in terms of aridity (desertification) risks. Recent studies confirm the steep aridization trends in certain regions of Romania [11] with direct consequences on both the deterioration of the land and on the deterioration of the forest systems [12]. Among the most important changes inside the forest ecosystems one must remark those of a biocenotic nature such as the phenology, the productivity, the drying up of forest vegetation species, obstacles against the natural regeneration of the forests, among others [13].

Our study focuses on quantification of the changes that occurred inside the forest ecosystems in a region of...
Romania that faces the severe effects of aridization, Southern Oltenia. The transformations of the forest ecosystems in the region analyzed have been caused by two distinct processes: degradation and logging. Degradation involves the loss of the forest’s initial characteristics because of certain factors (climate stress, pollution etc.), so that the forest can no longer offer quality ecosystem services. Forest logging is accompanied by replacing forest-covered areas by land with some other destination [14]. The analysis of the spatial and temporal dynamics of the forest ecosystems is necessary for a sustainable administration of those ecosystems, especially in the context where the escalation of the fragmentation and degradation processes may cause perturbations at the level of the geographical landscapes [15].

The study area consists in the lowlands in South-Western Romania (Southern Oltenia) (Figure 1). The administrative criteria delineate the study area, and it contains 113 territorial administrative units, in 3 departments (Mehedinti, Dolj and Olt). From the geographical point of view, its limits are defined by the Danube valley and the Romanian border (the Southern border), the Getic Plateau (the Northwest border), the Romanian Plain (northeast border) and by the availability of the satellite images (Eastern and Western borders).

The relief is conducted on an amplitude of 200 m (25 m in the Danube floodplain and the maximum values of 240 m in the area of the Getic Plateau), with consequences for spatial potential differentiation of the ecological forest ecosystems. This distinction lies in richest precipitation (up to 640 mm/year) and stress lower heat decreased (below 11°C), in the area of the Getic Plateau, compared with the south, where rainfall decreases to 563 mm/year and the average annual temperature rises above 11.5°C [16], the thermal threshold is generally considered the critical threshold triggering drying acacia forest species (the main forest species present in the area of study) [17-21].

Another feature of the landscape is related to the presence of sand dunes, east of the Danube and Jiu, these
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stations come from the European climate database ECAD (European Climate Assessment & Dataset) [38].

The gaps in the data series from the Drobeta Turnu Severin and Turnu Magurele weather stations were filled in by means of a linear regression by means of the data from the Craiova weather stations, the sole weather station in the area with an uninterrupted set of data. Because of the proximity and to a relative similarity of the physico-geographical contexts of the three weather stations, the correlation between the data series was a close one (the r correlation quotient exceeded 0.92), justifying the use of the respective method.

The De Martonne aridity index (I ar-DM) was calculated on the basis of the connection between temperature and precipitations [39]: I ar-DM = P/(T + 10), where P and T are precipitation (mm), and average annual temperatures (°C), respectively. The UNEP aridity index (lar-P/PET), suggested by the United Nations Environment Programme in 1992, was calculated on the basis of the formula: lar-P/PET = P/PET [40], where P means average annual precipitations (mm), and PET is potential evapotranspiration (mm) calculated by means of the Thornthwaite methodology [41], the methodology most frequently used in Romania’s case [42].

Although these aridity indexes can be calculated rapidly, not factoring in many climate variables, they are genuinely useful, because they offer a big picture of the climate changes and therefore of the changes in the ecologic potential at the local level, with direct consequences on the quality of forest ecosystems in the region.

The second phase of the analysis consists in quantifying the effects of the degradation and logging processes on the forest ecosystems in the study area. Processing and using satellite images is an efficient manner of identifying natural perturbations (such as climate stress) that occur at the level of forest ecosystems [43]. In the case of the present study, too, the use of satellite images and processing digital indexes are a satisfying way of assessing the deterioration of the state of the forest ecosystems, especially in the context where those ecosystems are in one of the regions worst hit by aridization in Romania.

In assessing the degradation of forested areas, satellite images were used with a view to obtaining specific digital indexes in the analysis of the quality of the biomass: NDVI and MSAVI 2. We selected the year 1990 and 2011 in order to cover as closer as possible the economic transition period in Romania (which started after the Revolution in December 1989). Confining our analysis to the transition period was deliberate, because in the study area not just natural trends have been changing in the past two decades, but socio-economic characteristics (including agriculture and land-use patterns) have changed as well, and 1990 represents the threshold year. Our choice took also into consideration the availability of the images, as well as a relative coincidence of the day of acquiring the scenes (11 July 1990 [44] and 22 August 2011 [45]) in order to minimize likely differences in phenological state of the forest vegetation. Image processing steps followed standard procedure of radiometric calibration and atmospheric correction of Landsat STM images, used by U.S. Geological Survey [46,47]. There were two scenes for each time-slice, located on the same path (184) and two neighboring rows (029 and 030); with about 1 min time difference in acquiring the scenes within each pair we can consider that no temporal shift correction is needed. Taking account that our study area represents a plain, with quite low values of relief energy, it was considered that a topographic correction is not necessary in this case.

The NDVI index is particularly important in the analysis of the quality and the productivity of the biomass [48], and it is therefore very useful in the analysis of the degradation of forested areas. It features the major benefits of being relatively easy to calculate and of supplying qualitative information on the state of the vegetal layer on large surfaces:

$$\text{NDVI} = \frac{\text{NIR} - \text{RED}}{\text{NIR} + \text{RED}}$$

where NIR – near infrared spectral band, RED – red spectral band of a multispectral satellite image. This index was successfully used in various studies on the degradation of the forest ecosystems in various areas of the world suffering from aridization/desertification [49-51].

The theoretical values of the NDVI index range from −1 to +1, with the minimal values demarcating areas with no vegetation, and the maximal values demarcating areas with high-density vegetation. In fact, the extreme values are never reached, in general the real span of the index ranges from around −0.8 to +0.9. The areas without vegetation, with exposed rock, sand or water are assigned values below 0.1 while high-density vegetation is assigned values above 0.3.

The MSAVI2 index is another means to assess the degradation of forested areas, coming in to supplement the NDVI index by measuring the degree of brightness of exposed soil. Although it was initially developed as the SAVI (Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index) [52] and then modified as the MSAVI2 index [53], the index proved to be quite efficient in specialized analysis work, and it was later borrowed in various studies [54-57].

It is calculated as follows: $\text{MSAVI2} = \frac{2\text{NIR} + 1 - \sqrt{(2\text{NIR} + 1)^2 - 8(\text{NIR} - \text{RED})}}{2}$, and value fluctuation is similar to that of the NDVI index (values below 0.1 are typical of exposed soil, sand, exposed rock or bodies of water).

The data used to assess the effects of forest clear-cutting come from two distinct sources which span an
interval of 25 years: 1:25,000-scale topographic maps, the 1981 edition, and the Corine Land Cover European database for 2006.

The analysis of the evolution of the forested areas was supplemented by three case studies. Those case studies amount to circa 16% of the total surface of the study area (116 thousand hectares out of 737 thousand hectares), and they are mostly located in the region of sandy soils and sand dunes, an area characterized by one of the most vulnerable landscapes in Romania.

In the case of two out of the three examples, an analysis of the evolution of the forest species was conducted by means of the method of the Markov land use transition matrix, a method frequently used in the analysis of spatial and temporal changes in land use [58,59]. The data regarding the distribution of the dominant forest species in the past was extracted from the topographic maps (the 1981 edition). The data on the present distribution of the species come from two sources: the contours of the areas they cover were extracted from 1:5,000-scale orthophotographic plans (the 2008 edition), and the information on the floral composition of those areas was extracted from the latest forestry-planning documents (Forestry planning documents of the Jiana (1997 [17], Sadova (2003 [18], Calafat (2004 [19], Poiana Mare (2004) [20] and Simian (2010) [21] forestry districts). The selection of the areas where the respective method was used was determined by the availability of the sources of information.

Eventually, a cluster analysis was conducted at the level of territorial-administrative units, starting from two indicators: the total surface of the forests and the increase/decrease (in absolute units) of the forested areas within the borders of the respective territorial-administrative units. Clusterization was achieved by means of the R statistical programming language by means of Ward’s method, using the Euclidian distance.

Results
Climate aridization
The De Martonne aridity index features a major fluctuation during the time period analyzed, with its values on a downward trend (Figure 2). The lower the values, the more excessive the aridization [39]. The most visible downward trend of the De Martonne index values is registered after 1982 when the values start to fluctuate within the 15–20 range (mm/°C), a range that, according to the De Martonne classification, is typical of arid steppe areas.

In turn, the UNEP aridity index values also display a downward trend (Figure 3). In their case, too, the lower the values, the more arid the climate. Starting 1985, the index values frequently fall in the 0.2 - 0.5 range (mm/mm), a range typical for a semiarid climate [40].

Forest ecosystem degradation
The two indexes analyzed (NDVI and MSAVI2) allow a visual and quantitative assessment of the changes in the quality of the vegetation. The spatiotemporal analysis of the NDVI index in the two reference years (Figure 4) offers an overall view of the degradation of the vegetal layer. Because the 0.1 – 0.2 and 0.2 - 0.3 index groups are not typical of forest ecosystems, but merely areas covered with more-or-less-developed vegetation, the comparative analysis focused on the value > 0.3 group. In general, values > 0.3 match high vegetation density [60] and therefore, to a certain extent, forest ecosystems.

While by 1990 the areas matching the > 0.3 value group covered an area of around 459,460 hectares (62%) of the total area, by 2011 they only amounted to 299,450 hectares (40%). Thus, over the 20-year time span analyzed, the areas with high-density vegetation shrank by 20%.

Indirectly, the analysis of the changes occurring at the level of vegetation-less areas, matching the value < 0.1

![Figure 2 Annual evolution of the De Martonne aridity index and the linear trend at the Craiova, Drobeta Turnu Severin and Turnu Magurele weather stations (1961–2009) (data processing ECAD).](image-url)
The group offers information on the degradation of the vegetal layer. Over the time span analyzed, exposed-soil areas had expanded by 88% by 2011 (95,382 hectares) compared to 1990 (11,052 hectares), a situation mainly due to climate-related causes.

The MSAVI2 index, indirectly, provides yet another opportunity to assess the evolution of the ecological state of the vegetal layer by means of the analysis of the evolution of exposed areas, areas lacking any vegetation. The steep expansion of the exposed areas (< 0.1) in the
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**Figure 3** Annual evolution of the UNEP aridity index and the linear trend at the Craiova, Drobeta Turnu Severin and Turnu Magurele weather stations (1961–2009) (data processing ECAD).
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**Figure 4** Spatiotemporal evolution of the NDVI and MSAVI2 index values from 1990 to 2011.
past two decades (Figure 4), highlights important changes in vegetation, as in its disappearance. Thus, while by 1990 exposed areas covered a surface of around 38,900 hectares (5.6% of the total surface), by 2011 they covered around 53,600 hectares (close to 8% of the total).

The analysis of the exposed areas, classified below the 0.1 threshold, reveals a similar spatial evolution in the case of the NDVI and MSAVI2 indexes in the two reference years. By matching these threshold values against the 16 relief units present in the analyzed area, one notices a similar expansion of the areas they cover. Thus, significant increases in the critical areas occurred in the central region (the Bâleşti, Nedeia and Sâlcuţa plains) and the North-Eastern region (the Leu-Rotunda and Caracal plains) of the study area. The correlation of the spatial evolution of the exposed areas, obtained by means of linear regression (Figure 5), indicates a close connection in the case of the 2011 reference year.

In order to take into consideration the qualitative changes of the forest ecosystems and not of the vegetal layer in all, the NDVI index is particularly useful in delimiting the higher thresholds of the respective values. In general, the 0.6 threshold is typical of forest areas in temperate regions [60], but, in the case of the study area, this threshold lowers the very-high density areas (typical of forest areas) too much, so that a proper threshold would be one roughly included in the 0.55 – 0.57 range (Figure 6).

By setting the threshold of the NDVI index in the two reference years at 0.55 one notices a close to 55% diminishing of the areas with very-high density vegetation, that is from around 87,000 hectares by 1990 to around 40,000 hectares by 2011. A higher threshold value (0.57) preserves a 50%-plus difference between the two years, that is from around 63,700 hectares by 1990 to around 38,900 hectares by 2011.

**Clear-cutting of forest ecosystems**

The analysis of the evolution of forest-covered areas across the overall study area highlights a 7.2% decline of the respective areas (4,485 hectares), that is, from 62,058 hectares by 1981 to 57,573 hectares by 2006. However, this process registers certain quite sizeable fluctuations in space. For a more detailed assessment of the situation, three case studies were selected, located at the same time in the areas with the steepest drops in forest areas and on sandy soil: Ciuperceni Noi - Rast (in the Southern part of the study area), Jiana – Patulele – Izvoare (the Western part) and Dabuleni – Apele Vii (the Eastern part) (Figure 7).

**Case study: Ciupercenii Noi – Rast.** This case stands out because of a rapid change of forest areas, which shrank by 12.3% (1,515 hectares) during the 25-year-span, that is from around 12,397 hectares by 1981 to 10,881 hectares by 2006 (Figure 7a). The most visible decline of the forest areas occurred to the South and the South-East of the township of Desa in an area of sand deposits, with false-acacia (*Robinia pseudoacacia*) the predominant species, as well as in the Danube river valley, with white willow (*Salix alba*) and poplar (*Canadian poplar in general*) the predominant species.

The Markov matrix method was used to achieve a detailed analysis of the evolution of the forest ecosystems in that area (Table 1). The area analyzed was divided into 8 main categories of land use, and forest areas were divided according to the three dominant forest species of the area analyzed: false-acacia, poplar and willow.

Evaluation of the matrix is done both on the vertical and on the horizontal. The data in the land-type categories, listed in columns (on the vertical) represent surface losses to the detriment of the data on the horizontal. The gains are listed in rows (on the horizontal), meaning that the difference between losses and gains is the positive or negative balance of the areas of the types of land demarcated by the two reference years. The figures in the column-row intersections of a single category of land mean areas unmodified during the time span analyzed.

Out of the total area covered with false-acacia, most of it (1,378 hectares, the difference between losses and gains) was eliminated especially to the detriment of

![Figure 5 Spatial correlation (R² coefficient of determination, r – correlation coefficient) across relief units of the values matching exposed areas (< 0.1) of the NDVI and MSAVI2 index by 1990 (panel A) and 2011 (panel B).](image-url)
agricultural land (grazing land, meadows, vineyards, orchards, arable land). The situation is relevant at least up to 1990 when a large part of the forest-covered land was deforested so as to expand agricultural land.

**Case study: Jiana – Patulele.** In the Jiana – Patulele area (Figure 7b) one notices the 37% decline in forest surface (1,666 hectares) during 1981–2006. In this case, too, the disappearance of the forest ecosystems occurred mostly to the detriment of sandy soil, thus bringing about the onset of wind erosion, with negative effects on the environment and human settlements.

In order to create a Markov matrix, three dominant forest species present in the study area were taken into consideration: false acacia, oak and poplar (Table 2). In this case, too, the loss in forested areas occurred at the expense of acacia-covered areas. Out of the 222 hectares lost (total losses – gains balance), close to 100% occurred in favor of the agricultural land. Poplar registered 20% losses (90 hectares) from 1981 to 2008, while oak registered a slight 3% increase in area (22 hectares).

**Cluster analysis at commune (territorial-administrative units) level.** The cluster analysis revealed 5 groups (clusters) of communes (Figure 8). Out of the total number of communes in the study area, the 7 communes, which constitutes the class 2 group on the figure, are the only ones to display a visible trend towards an increase of forest-covered areas, and they account for a mere 6% of the area's surface. The communes in classes 3 and 4 stand out because of the visible trend towards a decrease of forest surfaces. Here, the communes with the biggest surfaces register the biggest drops, as well (class 4). However, the communes in class 3, despite the relatively small drops in forest-covered areas, are those that suffer the worst because of deforestation, because the
respective forests are small. In all, classes 3 and 4 account for circa 1/4 of the total surface of the study area. The spatial distribution of the classes reveals that communes in the South-Western and Western parts of the region, as well as those along the Jiu river valley, are worst hit by the decrease of forested areas (Figure 9).

**Discussions**

Transformation of the local climate is one of the major causes of the escalation of aridization. The analysis of the De Martonne (Figure 2) and UNEP (Figure 3) aridity indexes reveals the obvious trend towards aridization in the past three decades. Therefore, as shown by the

| Land use type/Forest species | Bodies of water | Agricultural | Built areas | Marshes | Sandy areas | Poplar | False-acacia | Willow | Total 2008 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|--------------|--------|------------|
| Bodies of water             | 11.9            | 1.9          | 0.1         | 0.7    | -           | 0.3    | -            | -      | 14.9       |
| Agricultural                | 166.9           | 20995.7      | 55          | 1747.5 | 76.3        | 1030.4 | 2275.2       | 6.8    | 26353.8    |
| Build areas                 | 57              | 481.3        | 1665.3      | 3.8    | -           | 3.9    | 13.3         | -      | 2224.6     |
| Marshes                     | 116.7           | 500.4        | -           | 1448.4 | 0.2         | 14.8   | 8            | -      | 2088.5     |
| Sandy areas                 | 0.9             | 204          | -           | 5      | 726         | 17.7   | 9.5          | -      | 309.7      |
| Poplar                      | 4.1             | 191.1        | -           | 13.1   | 0.8         | 1720   | 28.4         | -      | 1975.7     |
| False-acacia                | 0.3             | 897.1        | 12.4        | 13     | 2.1         | 95     | 6566.7       | -      | 7586.6     |
| Willow                      | -               | 6.2          | -           | -      | -           | 0.3    | 49.7         | 83.6   | 1398       |
| Total 1981                  | 357.8           | 23277.7      | 1732.8      | 3231.5 | 152         | 2882.4 | 8950.8       | 90.4   | 40675.4    |
evolution of both indicators, we may conclude that, from the mid-1980s onwards, a climate with semiarid features sets in the study area, with a visible trend towards an escalation of aridization. The amplifying of aridity conditions had a significant impact on forest ecosystems degradation. Taking the example of 1992 and 2000, when the Martonne index presented the lowest values (the minimum being 11.7 mm/°C, respectively 11.9 mm/°C, registered at Craiova weather station, values corresponding to semiarid climatic conditions) (Figure 2). Apparently, they were characterized by very low amounts of annual rainfall (254.8 mm and 271.2 mm) and a high thermal stress annually (average annual temperature of 11.8°C, 12.7°C respectively). Given the contribution of very low moisture and temperature conditions (which led to heat stress with surpassing 11.5°C, generally considered critical, which can lead to dryness species of acacia), it can be concluded that all these climatic conditions have considerably reduced the ecologic potential of the forest ecosystems, causing their degradation over the past two - three decades.

Forest ecosystem degradation
Climate change impact on forest ecosystems is revealed by the two indexes, NDVI and MSAVI2. As NDVI shows, extending of critical areas – lacking vegetation cover (NDVI < 0.1) – by 85 thou. ha in 2011 comparing to 1990 has taken place at the expenses of forest areas. This process was mainly caused by the increase in the frequency of droughts starting 1980 [33], events that caused the drying-up of forest species on significant surfaces. Similar trends are shown by MSAVI2 index, however, increase in critical areas is less spectacular (15 thou. ha).

There is a strong relationship between the changes of the two indexes. Although by 1990 there was no obvious spatial correlation between the exposed areas as shown by the two indexes as a result of the qualitatively

| Land use type/Forest species | Bodies of water | Agricultural | Build areas | Marshes | Sandy areas | Poplar | False-acacia | Oak | Total 2008 |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------|-------------|--------|--------------|-----|------------|
| Bodies of water             | 1.2            | 0.2         | -           | -       | -           | -      | -            | -   | 1.4        |
| Agricultural                | 28             | 23819.8     | 87.4        | 3646.3  | 614         | 137.7  | 747.5        | 42  | 28570.1    |
| Build areas                 | -              | 152.3       | 1227.2      | 5.2     | -           | -      | 1.1          | -   | 1385.8     |
| Marshes                     | 0.3            | 122.1       | 0.5         | 705.9   | -           | 1.4    | 0.5          | 0.5 | 831.2      |
| Sandy areas                 | -              | 112.5       | -           | 5.7     | 9.3         | 8.2    | -            | -   | 135.7      |
| Poplar                      | -              | 52.4        | -           | 5.2     | -           | -      | 336.3        | 0.4 | 394.3      |
| False-acacia                | 7.7            | 469.6       | 0.9         | 49.3    | -           | 0.1    | 2825.5       | 0.3 | 3353.4     |
| Oak                         | 5.4            | 44.5        | -           | 14.4    | -           | -      | 0.2          | 664.6| 729.1      |
| Total 1981                  | 42.6           | 24773.4     | 1316        | 4432    | 70.7        | 483.7  | 3575.2       | 707.4| 35401      |

Table 2 Changes in land use (1981 – 2008) as shown by the Markov matrix method in the Jiana – Pătulele area (ha)

Figure 8 Commune clusters grouped in terms of the size and evolution of forested areas.
speaking better situation of the vegetal layer (a situation caused by the more favorable ecologic potential in the context of a less intense aridization of the area), by 2011 there can be noticed a much more marked spatial correlation (Figure 5). The existence of a 0.94 $R^2$ determination quotient, as well as the existence of the 0.97 $r$ correlation quotient, emphasizes a close spatial correlation of the no-vegetation areas as shown by means of the two indexes, NDVI and MSAVI2. Thus, the close mathematical correlation present at the level of the two indexes suggests a bilateral spatial evolution of degradation of the vegetal layer and implicitly of the forest ecosystems, as a result of the increase in the intensity of aridization in the past two decades.

Analysis of forest areas, which corresponds to higher thresholds of the normalized digital vegetation index (NDVI $>$ 0.55, $>$ 0.57) reveals significant reduction in 2011 in comparison to 1990 (Figure 6). However, these significant drops that occur at high thresholds, assigned to forest areas, do not reflect the disappearance of 50% of the forests from 1990 to 2011, but merely a decline in the spectral brightness of the vegetation. Thus, while by 1990, one notices on the pixel histogram a high concentration of the values above the 0.55 and 0.57 thresholds (Figure 6), values in general typical of forested areas, by 2011 one notices a significant drop in those values above the respective thresholds. This decline occurred to the detriment of the increase of the concentration of values approximately located in the 0.15 - 0.5 range. One thus notices a decline in the spectral brightness of the very dense vegetation in the context of the degradation of forest ecosystems.

Clear-cutting of forest ecosystems
Case study: Ciupercenii Noi – Rast. Starting with the politico-economical transition begun in early 1990 and up to the present, a part of the agricultural land was abandoned as a result of its return to the former owners and the owners’ subsequent neglect. Thus, although by
2008 the farmland surface was circa 3,076 hectares bigger than by 1981, as a result of expansion, mainly to the detriment of false-acacia forests, nowadays a large part of the agricultural land is unused. However, one can also notice, by 2008, expansion of the false-acacia areas (cause of reforestation, natural regeneration) to the detriment of other land types, so that the final balance from 1981 to 2008 is 1,364 hectares lost.

The situation is similar in the case of poplar, with 90% of the decline of the poplar surface during 1981 – 2008 (839 hectares) replaced by agricultural land, out of a total 925 hectares lost (Table 1). The areas covered with willow species registered a positive evolution, expanding by 65% (50 hectares) over the 27-year time span. One of the main reasons is connected to their location in the Danube river valley, an area with minimal anthropogenic influence, which allowed their natural regeneration.

Other causes of the decline/deforestation of false-acacia and poplar areas are linked to these species dying up on significant areas, as a result of both changes in the climate (the increase of drought frequency), and changes in local conditions (changes in the local hydrological regime as a result of the decline in groundwater levels, as a result of the water withdrawing from the dead arms of the Danube).

In the context of the drying up of forest species, excessive forest-clearing work (in terms of the local Calafat and Poiana Mare forestry districts) as a form of shelter belts rather than copses of trees, the use of the Markov matrix method was no longer viable. Qualitatively, shelter belts are in an advanced state of deterioration, and the causes are connected both to the deficient administration at the level of forestry districts [30]. There are other related causes, such as the absence of the mechanized tools to prepare the land, insufficient afforestation materiel, low financial resources, increasingly limited manpower, among others, which outweigh the afforestation capacity of forestry districts [21]. At the same time, the modification of the water flow balance upon the construction of the Portile de Fier II hydro power plant, upstream on the Danube river, is yet another cause for the drying up (and later excessive cleaning) of Canadian poplar species present in the areas between the sand dunes [21].

**Case study: Dabuleni – Apele Vii.** The last case study, Dabuleni – Apele Vii (Figure 7c) is a special situation because of the manner of technical administration of the forest ecosystems in the region. The construction of the Sadova - Corabia irrigation system during 1972–1974 involved the deforestation of around 5,000 hectares of forest [18] during 1969–1970, a situation that made it necessary to create a vast system of shelter belts as a result of the environment imbalances generated by the re-activation of the sand dunes. As the acacia shelter belts present up to 1970 in the form of copses of trees were cut down to allow the construction of the irrigation system, and later on reconverted for agriculture, most of the Dabuleni – Apele Vii study area has been arranged so far into acacia shelter belts, with protective purposes.

Initially, these belts covered an area of around 1,600 hectares across a total distance of 1,700 km, spanning an area of sandy soil of around 45,000 hectares [26].

In general, the distance between the belts is limited, and they are located at close distance (288 m) so as to limit the effects of wind erosion, protect crops against physical damage caused by sand-storms, and limit the silting of water installations, among others. Although the average width is 8–10 meters, and their predominant direction is Northeast – Southwest, perpendicular to the direction of the predominant winds in the region, the spatiotemporal analysis (Figure 7c) only captured a part of the shelter belts (the densest), as a result of the limited spatial resolution of the Corine Land Cover, 2006 database. Therefore, in the context of the presence of the spatial distribution of the forest vegetation in the form of shelter belts rather than copses of trees, the use of the Markov matrix method was no longer viable.

However, mention must be made of several negative aspects concerning the present state of the shelter belts. Quantitatively speaking, a large part of them have disappeared as a result of drying up in the context of severe aridization, but especially because of the numerous instances of illegal tree-cutting, in particular after 1990 [30]. Qualitatively, shelter belts are in an advanced state of deterioration, and the causes are connected both to the deficient administration at the level of forestry districts (the absence of the proper technologies to restore shelter belts, the absence of security that would fight illegal tree-cutting and the fragmentation of shelter belts, among others), as well as to the changes in the ecologic potential in the context of the modification of the weather conditions [17-21].

**Conclusions**

Climate aridization in Southern Oltenia is a real process that has been on a more or less constant trend in the past half-century. Arid climate conditions set in from the mid-1980s on in the study area, while the aridization process
goes on; this situation led to the escalation of the climate stress on the forests. The aridization of the weather conditions, the increase in climate stress leads to the premature drying-up of the vegetation in the area, the degradation of the forest ecosystems. The results of this study, based on remote-detection materials, spanning the past 20 years, confirm the presence and the severity of this process, which eventually leads to the decline in the quality of the ecosystem services offered by forests.

Aridization, a process that occurs independently from local factors, is accompanied by deforestation. Deforestation began in the second half of the 20th century and it has continued, intermittently, up to the present time. Curiously, neither the 1990 events nor Law 18 did anything to introduce a new trend in the evolution of forested areas in the region, but, on the contrary, they boosted the previous trend and diversified it in space. Our results, based on comparing forest surfaces over the past 30 years, reveal an overall 7% decline of forest surface in the area. At regional scale, the figure rises as high as 33%, which justifies our view of a large-scale deforestation process. Isolated attempts at afforestation on a significant scale (in the case of 7 communes) seem imperceptible in the context of the entire region.

The case studies emphasize the fact that the areas most vulnerable to aridization (sandy-texture soil and sand dunes) are the first to suffer deforestation on a massive scale. The cross-species analysis indicates that false acacia (R. pseudoacacia), a species frequently used in the past to stabilize frail soil, suffers the worst as a result of deforestation. Surface losses, but smaller in scale, also plagued poplars (Populus sp.), another species used in land improvement (especially Canadian poplar – P. canadensis).

In the past few years, several regulations were drafted at governmental level, whose purpose was alleviating the imbalances visible at territorial system level. According to those regulations, the administrative units with inadequate surface areas are required to take steps so as to expand forest areas, by means of actions that would encourage land owners to change the destination of the land (Government decree 994/2004; Law 46/2008 – Forestry Code).

Alleviating the imbalances identified is conditioned by the implementation of territorial management strategies, which would offer to the decision-makers efficient solutions in terms of the decision-making component of the territorial systems impacted [61–66].

As the results of our research indicate in the present study, forests in Southern Oltenia have suffered a lot in the past 30 years, in terms of both the decline of the quality of forest ecosystems and the absolute surface they cover. Here, the quasi-natural global causes (climate change) and local anthropogenic causes (logging) acted unidirectionally towards the destruction of the forested areas in the study areas. If measures to improve the situation are not urgently taken, the continuation of the current trend will mean the consequences in natural and socio-economic terms would be very grave.
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