Hereditary hemochromatosis (HH) is a common autosomal-recessive disorder associated with pathogenic HFE variants, most commonly those resulting in p.Cys282Tyr and p.His63Asp. Recommendations on returning incidental findings of HFE variants in individuals undergoing genome-scale sequencing should be informed by penetrance estimates of HH in unselected samples. We used the eMERGE Network, a multicenter cohort with genotype data linked to electronic medical records, to estimate the diagnostic rate and clinical penetrance of HH in 98 individuals homozygous for the variant coding for HFE p.Cys282Tyr and 397 compound heterozygotes with variants resulting in p.[His63Asp];p[Cys282Tyr]. The diagnostic rate of HH in males was 24.4% for p.Cys282Tyr homozygotes and 3.5% for compound heterozygotes (p < 0.001); in females, it was 14.0% for p.Cys282Tyr homozygotes and 2.3% for compound heterozygotes (p < 0.001). Only males showed differences across genotypes in transferrin saturation levels (100% of homozygotes versus 37.5% of compound heterozygotes with transferrin saturation > 50%; p = 0.003), serum ferritin levels (77.8% versus 33.3% with serum ferritin > 300 ng/ml; p = 0.006), and diabetes (44.7% versus 28.0%; p = 0.03). No differences were found in the prevalence of heart disease, arthritis, or liver disease, except for the rate of liver biopsy (10.9% versus 1.8% [p = 0.013] in males; 9.1% versus 2% [p = 0.035] in females). Given the higher rate of HH diagnosis than in prior studies, the high penetrance of iron overload, and the frequency of at-risk genotypes, in addition to other suggested actionable adult-onset genetic conditions, opportunistic screening should be considered for p.[Cys282Tyr];p[Cys282Tyr] individuals with existing genomic data.

**Introduction**

Hereditary hemochromatosis (HH [MIM: 235200]) is the most common genetic disorder identified in those of European ancestry and is characterized by an inappropriate increased absorption of dietary iron. If left untreated, HH can lead to morbidity and mortality, including liver cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, diabetes, and heart disease. If treatment with regular phlebotomy is initiated before organ damage develops, these complications can be prevented, and people with HH can have a normal life expectancy. Variants in HFE (MIM: 613609; GenBank: NM_000410.3) are associated with the majority of adult-onset HH cases; the pathogenic variant with the highest penetrance is c.845G>A, a missense mutation (minor allele frequency [MAF] = 4% in the 1000 Genomes European population) that results in the substitution of tyrosine for cysteine in the protein product (p.Cys282Tyr) and accounts...
for 80%-85% of individuals with HH.\textsuperscript{3,4} A more common HH variant (MAF = 17% in the 1000 Genomes European population) is c.187C>G, which results in the substitution of aspartate for histidine at amino acid position 63 (p.His63Asp); this variant causes a milder degree of iron overabsorption and is most relevant to disease when paired with the allele for p.Cys282Tyr in compound heterozygotes.\textsuperscript{3} To improve clarity, we will henceforth use the protein change as a surrogate for genotype. Several other hemochromatosis-associated pathogenic variants in \textit{HFE} have been described, as well as variants in genes other than \textit{HFE} (e.g., \textit{HJV} [MIM: 608374], \textit{HAMP} [MIM: 606464], and \textit{TFR2} [MIM: 604720]), but these are rare.\textsuperscript{5,6}

Estimates of penetrance for HH-related variants vary widely depending on the signs or symptoms used in disease assessment.\textsuperscript{7} For instance, in p.Cys282Tyr homozygotes from a racially diverse cohort, the prevalence of elevated serum ferritin (>300 ng/ml for males and >200 ng/ml for females) and transferrin saturation levels (>50% in men and >45% in women) was 40%-60% in females and 75%-100% in males.\textsuperscript{8,12} In contrast, in another cohort of northern European descent, the prevalence of iron-overload-related disease (defined as liver fibrosis, elevated transaminases, hepatocellular carcinoma, arthropathy, or physician-diagnosed HH in individuals with high ferritin or transferrin saturation) in p.Cys282Tyr homozygotes was 1.2% (95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.03-6.5) in females and 28.4% (95% CI = 18.8-40.2) in males.\textsuperscript{13} The lower clinical penetrance in women has been suggested to result from iron loss through menstrual bleeding and childbirth, although evidence is lacking.\textsuperscript{5}

These variations in estimates of penetrance have resulted in insufficient evidence for confidently projecting the impact, or estimating the benefit, of widespread genetic screening for HH.\textsuperscript{14} Whereas newborn screening for HH has not been adopted because of its adult onset and incomplete penetrance,\textsuperscript{15} the utility of using existing genetic data for screening for HH risk has not been carefully addressed. The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) does not currently include HH among the gene-disease pairs it recommends evaluating and returning as incidental findings for genome-scale sequencing,\textsuperscript{16} although other recommendations have been proposed.\textsuperscript{1,7} Development of such recommendations would be informed by the penetrance and rate of diagnosis of HH in unselected samples.

The primary objective of this study was to determine the frequency of diagnosis of \textit{HFE}-related HH and to estimate the penetrance of clinically related variables in the Electronic Medical Records and Genomics (eMERGE) Network, a national consortium organized by the National Human Genome Research Institute to develop, disseminate, and apply approaches to research by combining DNA biorepositories with electronic-medical-record systems for high-throughput genetic research. The network’s goals include returning genomic testing results to individuals in a clinical setting.\textsuperscript{18,19} This cohort was generally ascertained independently of HH diagnosis. By identifying all participants carrying the p.[Cys282Tyr];[Cys282Tyr] and p.[Cys282Tyr];[His63Asp] genotypes and reviewing their medical records, we obtained a minimally biased estimate of general population frequency of HH diagnosis and related signs in those at genetic risk. A secondary objective of this study was to serve as a proof of principle to determine the efficacy of this multicenter consortium to estimate the penetrance of common phenotypes associated with relatively uncommon genetic variants.

\textbf{Subjects and Methods}

\textbf{eMERGE Network Participants}

The eMERGE Network is a consortium of seven adult and two pediatric US cohorts with DNA biorepositories linked to electronic-medical-record data for large-scale, high-throughput genetic research.\textsuperscript{18-20} Participating sites for the adult cohort included the following: (1) Group Health Cooperative and University of Washington, Seattle, WA; (2) Marshfield Clinic, Marshfield, WI; (3) Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; (4) Northwestern University, Evanston, IL; (5) Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN; (6) Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY; and (7) Geisinger Health System, Danville, PA.\textsuperscript{21} Northwestern’s cohort included participants ascertained from a liver clinic; these participants were excluded from the calculation of HH penetrance. The Geisinger cohort included participants from a weight-loss and gastric-bypass clinic, which included liver biopsy in its routine care. These individuals were excluded from the calculation of the rate of liver biopsy. Because MAFs for rs1800562 and rs1799945 are more common in individuals of European ancestry and HH is most common in this ancestry, we limited our analysis to this population. The human-subjects procedures that we followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation at each institution, and proper informed consent was obtained from each participant.

\textbf{Genotyping and Imputation}

We selected participants who were either homozygotes for rs1800562 (p.Cys282Tyr) or compound heterozygotes for both rs1800562 and rs1799945 (p.His63Asp). Genotypes for these \textit{HFE} variants were either directly measured or imputed for all sites. Genotyping, quality-control, and imputation procedures for the eMERGE Network have been previously described.\textsuperscript{21,22} Participants from eMERGE phase I were genotyped on the Illumina Human 660W-Quadv1_A or Illumina Human 1 M-Duo platform. Genotypes for both platforms were called at the Center for Inherited Disease Research and the Broad Institute with BeadStudio version 3.3.7 and Gentrain version 1.0. eMERGE phase II includes genotype data from a variety of platforms: Illumina 550, 610Q, 660W Quad-v1, 1M-Duo, 1M-Quad, OmniExpress, Metabochip, OMNI-1, OMNI-5, and Affymetrix 6.0.\textsuperscript{19}

We performed imputation with a reference panel from the October 2011 release of the 1000 Genomes Project by using BEAGLE version 3.3.1. Both SNPs were genotyped on the Illumina Metabochip and 1M-Duo, rs1800562 was genotyped on the Illumina 660W, and rs1799945 was genotyped on the Illumina OMNI. For all other genotyping platforms, the imputed genotypes for the SNPs were used. Directly genotyped SNPs and imputed SNPs are summarized by site in Table S1.
We genotyped the two HFE SNPs with the TaqMan at Geisinger Health System in a subset of 179 eMERGE participants to evaluate the concordance between the imputed genotypes and TaqMan genotypes. The concordance between TaqMan and imputed genotypes was 98.9% for rs1800562 and 98.3% for rs1799945.

Clinical Data
A chart-abstraction form was designed in accordance with published recommendations. This common collection instrument was developed with a Research Electronic Data Capture form shared between all sites. Electronic and paper medical records were reviewed. Demographic data were extracted from existing eMERGE databases. The information extracted from medical records included medical history of hemochromatosis or iron-overload-related conditions, iron-related laboratory studies, imaging studies, and physical findings consistent with HH (Table S2).

In the absence of secondary iron-overload state, HH diagnosis was based on the physician annotation of HH in the medical records, including a recent clinical note, problem list, or ICD-9 (International Code of Diseases, Ninth Revision) codes 275.01, 275.02, or 275.03. We used a broad definition of liver disease and included individuals with liver cirrhosis, other chronic hepatic phenotypes (i.e., alcoholic liver disease, chronic viral hepatitis, fatty liver disease, or non-specific liver enzyme elevation), hepatocellular carcinoma, hepatomegaly, ascites, history of liver biopsy, and elevated liver function. A manual of procedures was written for the data abstractors’ training and reference, and common examples of situations encountered by abstractors were discussed before the final form was distributed. A pilot test form was designed to ensure the reliability and validity of the data-collection instruments. Monthly teleconferences with all sites took place during the form-development and abstraction phases of the project. These calls addressed questions about coding to ensure consistency, accounting for differences in medical-record structures (including different measuring units in the laboratories), and disparities in the availability of certain data.

### Analysis
All statistical analyses and penetrance calculations were done in R statistical computing software. We stratified by sex and compared p.Cys282Tyr homozygous to p.[Cys282Tyr];[His63Asp] compound-heterozygous genotypes. Differences in the rates of HH diagnosis between genotypes were tested with a Chi-square test. Other HH-related phenotypes and related clinical and laboratory values between genotypes were tested with Fisher’s exact test because of small sample sizes for some of the variables. Because the tests are correlated rather than independent, they were not adjusted for multiple comparisons.

### Results
In the cohort of approximately 39,000 individuals with genotype data available in the eMERGE Network, we identified 618 individuals with the p.Cys282Tyr homozygous or p.[Cys282Tyr];[His63Asp] compound-heterozygous genotype; of these, 538 individuals had corresponding data from electronic medical records. Demographic characteristics of these individuals are summarized in Table 1. The mean age of participants was 66.4 years (±15.8), and the average age at HH diagnosis was 59.6 years (±12.5). The subsequent analysis included a total of 495 individuals of European ancestry (92%), 98 with the p.[Cys282Tyr];[His63Asp] genotype. We excluded six Northwestern participants who were ascertained from a liver clinic from the calculation of HH penetrance; 95 p.Cys282Tyr homozygotes and 392 compound heterozygotes with information on HH diagnosis were included in this analysis.

## Results

| Sex          | Number of Individuals | Proportion of Total |
|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Male         | 542                   | 44.98%              |
| Female       | 456                   | 44.98%              |

The frequency of HH diagnosis was 24.4% in male p.Cys282Tyr homozygotes and 3.5% in male p.[Cys282Tyr];[His63Asp] compound heterozygotes (p < 0.001), whereas the diagnostic rate was 14.0% in female p.Cys282Tyr homozygotes and 2.3% in female compound heterozygotes (p < 0.001). A summary of the differences in diagnostic rate and relevant phenotypes of HH across genotypes is

---

### Table 1. Site Distribution and Demographic Characteristics of Individuals by Genotype

| No. of Samples per Site | p.[Cys282Tyr];[Cy282Tyr] | p.[Cys282Tyr];[His63Asp] | Total |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Geisinger Health System | 12                       | 61                       | 73    |
| Group Health Cooperative and UW | 12                        | 48                       | 60    |
| Marshfield Clinic       | 15                       | 52                       | 67    |
| Mayo Clinic             | 30                       | 117                      | 147   |
| Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai | 1                        | 13                       | 14    |
| Northwestern University | 16                       | 61                       | 77    |
| Vanderbilt University   | 20                       | 80                       | 100   |
| Total                   | 106                      | 432                      | 538   |

### Sample Descriptions

| Proportion of males | Age at time of abstraction | Age at diagnosis of HH |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| 45.28% (48/106)     | 67.5 ± 17.1               | 61.5 ± 14.6            |
| 44.91% (194/432)    | 66.1 ± 15.5               | 56.3 ± 7.2             |
| 44.98% (242/538)    | 66.4 ± 15.8               | 59.6 ± 12.5            |

### Race

| Race          | Number of Individuals | Proportion of Total |
|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| White         | 92.45% (98/106)       | 91.90% (397/432)    |
| African American | 0% (0/106)          | 0.46% (2/432)       |
| Other, unknown, or missing | 7.55% (8/106) | 7.64% (33/432)      |

For individuals with the HFE genotypes resulting in p.[Cys282Tyr];[Cys282Tyr] or p.[Cys282Tyr];[His63Asp] and available linked data from electronic medical records. Sex (males) and race data are represented as a percentage, and the proportion of affected individuals is in parentheses. Age is represented as the mean in years ± SD. Only the 495 individuals of European ancestry were included in the analysis. The following abbreviation is used: UW, University of Washington.
shown in Table 2, and a comprehensive list of phenotypes is shown in Table S3. The diagnostic rates by genotype and study site are shown in Table S4. The Kaplan-Meier curve (Figure 1) demonstrates the frequency of HH diagnoses by age and sex for p.Cys282Tyr homozygotes and p.Cys282Tyr;[His63Asp] compound heterozygotes separately.

As expected, for many signs of HH, the penetrance was higher in p.Cys282Tyr homozygotes than in p.Cys282Tyr;[His63Asp] compound heterozygotes, although some signs

| Clinical Features | Male (n = 222) | Female (n = 273) | p Value |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------|
| Diagnostic rate of HH | 24.4% (11/45) | 3.4% (6/174) | <0.001 |
| Liver disease | 34.3% (12/35) | 24.4% (29/119) | 0.279 |
| Liver biopsy (not incidental to gastric bypass) | 10.9% (5/46) | 1.8% (3/166) | 0.013 |
| Liver cirrhosisa | 4.5% (2/44) | 4.8% (8/166) | 1 |
| Other chronic liver diseaseb | 7.0% (3/43) | 6.7% (11/164) | 1 |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma | 0% (0/46) | 0% (0/169) | NA |
| Congestive heart failure | 21.7% (10/46) | 16.8% (29/173) | 0.515 |
| Cardiomyopathy | 6.7% (3/45) | 7.5% (13/174) | 1 |
| Diabetes | 44.7% (21/47) | 28.0% (49/175) | 0.034 |
| Arthritis | 29.5% (13/44) | 35.3% (61/173) | 0.594 |
| Hypogonadism | 2.2% (1/45) | 1.8% (3/167) | 1 |

| Family History | Male (n = 222) | Female (n = 273) | p Value |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------|--------|
| Family history of HH | 8.1% (3/37) | 0% (0/157) | 0.006 |
| Medications | 6.7% (3/45) | 7.5% (13/174) | 1 |
| Physical Exam | 6.5% (3/46) | 3.0% (5/166) | 0.375 |
| Laboratories | 2.2% (1/45) | 0% (0/161) | 0.218 |

Clinical features are arranged by genotype. The total number of individuals might vary for different variables as a result of missing or unavailable clinical data. Data are represented as a percentage, and the proportion of affected individuals is in parentheses. Abbreviations are as follows: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; HH, hereditary hemochromatosis; MCP, metacarpophalangeal; NA, not available; PIP, proximal interphalangeal.

aDiagnosed by notes and ICD-9 code 571.
bDiagnosed by notes, ICD-9 codes 571, 794.8, 790.4, and 790.6, and lab criteria (liver enzyme elevation for >6 months).
of HH did not differ by genotype. Iron studies were significantly different between genotypes in males only, where transferrin saturation above 50% was more common in homozygotes than in compound heterozygotes (100% versus 37.5%; p = 0.003), and serum ferritin higher than 300 ng/ml was more frequent in homozygotes (77.8% versus 33.3%; p = 0.006). No differences in iron studies were found across genotypes in females.

The overall prevalence of liver disease in males was 34.3% in homozygotes and 24.4% in compound heterozygotes (p = 0.279), and in females the prevalence was 29% for both genotypes. The rate of liver biopsy was also significantly different between homozygotes and compound heterozygotes for males (10.9% versus 1.8%; p = 0.013) and females (9.1% versus 2%; p = 0.035). No significant genotype differences were found for other liver phenotypes, which included the presence of any liver disease, liver cirrhosis, other chronic liver diseases, hepatocellular carcinoma, elevated transaminases, or physical findings consistent with liver disease (e.g., hepatomegaly or ascites).

Differences between genotypes were found in the proportion of individuals with a history of phlebotomy in males (19.6% in homozygotes versus 2.9% in compound heterozygotes; p = < 0.001) and females (8% versus 0.5%; p = 0.005), as expected. Males, but not females, had differences between genotypes in the rates of diabetes (44.7% versus 28%; p = 0.03) and family history of HH (8.1% versus 0%; p = 0.006), whereas females had genotype differences in the proportion of hand X-ray for evaluation of arthritis (24.5% versus 11.5%; p = 0.023). No significant differences were found between genotypes for either sex in the rates of congestive heart failure, cardiomyopathy, osteoarthritis, hypogonadism, history of alcohol or tobacco abuse, use of over-the-counter medication for arthritis, diabetes medication (including insulin), proportion of individuals with imaging studies (e.g., abdominal ultrasound or echocardiogram), presence of arthralgia, pain on palpation of proximal interphalangeal or metacarpophalangeal joints, or skin hyperpigmentation.

In one of our study sites (Vanderbilt University), it was noted that among 41 p.Cys282Tyr homozygotes, 7 (17%) were receiving iron, and none of these individuals had a diagnosis of HH. Out of these seven individuals, three had iron labs tested: two had normal studies, and one underwent Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery, after which iron was prescribed (iron saturation was initially normal at 37% but then jumped to 71% after 6 months of iron-replacement therapy).

Discussion

In this study, we estimated the diagnostic rate and clinical penetrance of HH in p.Cys282Tyr homozygous and
heterozygotes met these transferrin and ferritin thresholds, whereas only 37% and 33% of p.[Cys282Tyr];[His63Asp] heterozygotes met these transferrin and ferritin thresholds, respectively. The biochemical penetrance (i.e., presence of iron overload by transferrin saturation and serum ferritin concentration only) was similar to estimates from previous studies of large prospective cohorts.\(^8,13,25\)

The overall prevalence of liver disease ranged from 24% to 34%, higher than the 10% or lower reported for homozygous males in prior studies.\(^9,13,26,27\) This might be due to our broad definition of liver disease and the inability to separate HH-related liver disease from other causes of liver disease. The prevalence of liver cirrhosis in p.Cys282Tyr homozygous males was 4.5%, consistent with previous reports of 3.4%–5% in this population.\(^25\) The only liver-related phenotype that significantly differed between genotypes was the proportion of individuals who underwent liver biopsy, which was higher for homozygous females and males than for compound heterozygotes. A hypothesis for this difference is that the referral of individuals for liver biopsy happened after a suspicion of hemochromatosis was made on the basis of biochemical (i.e., abnormal iron studies) rather than clinical abnormalities.

Finally, our study revealed that most of what is considered the extreme phenotype of hemochromatosis—which includes liver cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, and cardiac phenotypes, specifically cardiomyopathies—does not differ across genotypes, which is consistent with the fact that these late manifestations are nowadays uncommon as a consequence of HH and can be prevented by the early detection and treatment of HH with phlebotomy. The exception to this was diabetes, a late HH complication that we found more frequently among homozygous than among compound-heterozygous males. Furthermore, early signs of hemochromatosis (e.g., fatigue, arthritis, and skin hyperpigmentation) did not significantly differ by genotype, possibly because these signs are difficult to define, might not be captured in the electronic health record, and occur commonly in the population at large and thus limit power.

Finding a higher rate of diagnosis of HH in our study has implications for the return of incidental genomic findings. Currently, the return of pathogenic \(HFE\) variants to individuals who have genomic information available (opportunistic screening) is not yet recommended by the ACMG.\(^16\) Although the adult onset and incomplete penetrance of HH might be inadequate to justify screening of children\(^14,15\) and the ACMG currently does not recommend directed HH testing unless an individual has iron overload or a family history of \(HFE\)-associated HH, a lower threshold of risk might be considered for opportunistic screening of existing genomic data. New recommendations should address the effectiveness of opportunistic screening and population screening for hemochromatosis under this new evidence, as well as penetrance data from other cohorts unselected for genetic phenotypes and large-scale genetic data linked to medical-record information. Moreover, with the decreasing cost of sequencing, and the additive effectiveness of finding a predisposition not only to hemochromatosis but also to cancer and to other common diseases, the use of genomic tests (i.e., exome or genome sequencing) for newborn or adult screening in the general population might be clinically beneficial. This incorporation of genomic technologies for screening purposes will need to be supported by studies of cost effectiveness and outcomes research.

A second clinical implication results from the possibility of preemptively identifying individuals with a high-risk phenotype and currently receiving medications that might exacerbate a condition or make it clinically evident. A single eMERGE site observed that 17% of individuals with the p.Cys282Tyr homozygous genotype were known to have received oral iron, and one of these individuals developed significant iron overload, possibly as a result of this medication. The finding of common use of iron supplementation in these individuals is not unexpected, given the general frequency of iron supplementation. Had the HH susceptibility of these individuals been known, iron supplementation most likely would have been avoided or more carefully monitored. Although not an original objective of the study, eMERGE sites capable of re-contact are addressing the possible return of HH genotype results to relevant individuals. For other sites, either data are unidentified and re-contact is not possible or affected participants are now deceased. Future prospective studies will need to evaluate clinical outcomes in individuals identified through preemptive diagnosis of hemochromatosis.

From a research perspective, another important implication from our study is that multicenter retrospective cohorts might be useful for determining penetrance estimates of inherited disorders. This idea is supported by the fact that most of our penetrance estimates were consistent with those from studies of prospective hemochromatosis cohorts. Our findings could serve as a proof of concept that linking genotype data to medical-record information might be useful for estimating clinical penetrance of relatively uncommon genotypes associated with common diseases, for example, the estimation of neurologic disease in individuals harboring glucocerebrosidase (\(GBA\) [MIM: 606463]) variants, which have been found to cause Parkinson disease in Ashkenazi Jews,\(^28\) or the contribution of \(BRCA1\) (MIM: 113705) and \(BRCA2\) (MIM: 600185) variants to the development of breast cancer in the general population. Given that several phenotypes could be studied under one cohort, this might also represent a cost-effective method for penetrance estimation.

---

\(^8\) Arnold, D., & Nickerson, D. A. (2015). The American Journal of Human Genetics, 97, 512–520, October 1, 2015.
Our study has several major limitations. First, because this was a retrospective cohort study collecting phenotype and diagnosis data with chart abstractions, the data from the pre-existing clinical records were incomplete. For example, in many cases, imaging data, specific clinical findings, and laboratory values sought for the chart abstraction were not found in the clinical record (see Table S3 for differences in the total number of individuals for each variable). Some clinical sites, such as those with health-maintenance-organization care models, had very complete clinical records, whereas referral centers in general had higher numbers of records missing the data we sought for our purposes, which could have led to underestimation of penetrance. Alternatively, in many participants with a HH diagnosis, it was unclear which criteria were used for diagnosis; it is possible that some of these participants did not manifest HH signs or symptoms but were genotyped specifically for \(HFE\) variants on the basis of family history of this disorder instead of clinical presentation and were incorrectly diagnosed with HH. Although possible inclusion of participants with a HH family history and predisposing genotype without clinical signs or symptoms might lead to overestimation of the HH diagnostic rate, it does not lead to overestimation of the required clinical care. It can be argued that even if a person lacking signs and symptoms is clinically misdiagnosed with HH because of a family history and genotype, the fact that the test was done and a HH diagnosis was made would support the advantage of opportunistic screening as opposed to clinical screening. It also might be an opportunity for a geneticist or other expert to clarify whether or not the individual is indeed affected by HH.

A second limitation is the lack of a true control group who did not have genotypes at risk for HH. This was due to resource limitations for the chart reviews. Although such a group was not necessary for evaluating diagnostic rates, it would have been useful for determining the baseline rate of the potential HH-related phenotypes, such as liver disease that could not be attributed to HH. Because we expected a lower rate of end-organ damage in the compound heterozygotes, these provided a good comparison to the p.Cys282Tyr homozygotes. The lower clinical and biochemical penetrance of HH in compound heterozygotes than in p.Cys282Tyr homozygous individuals has been documented in large prospective studies, and our estimates were overall consistent with these estimates. For example, in the Pedersen et al. study, a transferrin saturation >50% was found in 88.9% of p.Cys282Tyr homozygotes and 22.7% of p.[Cys282Tyr];[His63Asp] compound heterozygotes (in comparison to 100% and 37.5%, respectively, in our study). In that same study, the penetrance rate of this phenotype was 14.9% in p.His63Asp homozygous individuals and 5.7% in HH wild-types, confirming the strong effect of genotype on biochemical penetrance. The higher penetrance in p.Cys282Tyr homozygotes than in compound heterozygotes, as well as the even lower penetrance in p.His63Asp homozygotes and \(HFE\) wild-types, has also been documented for clinical phenotypes, including liver disease, fatigue, and arthritis. The disease penetrance attributable to compound heterozygotes or p.Cys282Tyr homozygotes when compared to individuals with wild-type HH or single p.Cys282Tyr or p.His63Asp heterozygotes in multicenter cohorts with genotype data linked to medical records will need to be determined by future studies.

A third major limitation was heterogeneity in terms of clinical sites and the subject selection, which was not random when compared to the general population. Our study collected data from seven sites, some of which ascertained people from outpatient clinics (others recruited in hospitals), and there was also heterogeneity in the phenotype selection. To address this limitation, we looked for potential sources of confounding with the HH diagnosis and the pleiotropic effect of iron overload. This concern was mitigated by exclusion of participants ascertained in a liver clinic and the exclusion of liver-biopsy data for those ascertained because of gastric-bypass surgery with concurrent routine liver biopsy.

A fourth limitation is that because of a low number of participants from other races and ethnicities, our analysis was restricted to individuals of European ancestry; thus, our conclusions cannot be generalized to other populations. Future studies will be needed to address the question of clinical penetrance of HH in non-Europeans, who have a considerably lower frequency of HH-causing \(HFE\) variants.

In summary, we evaluated the diagnostic rate and estimated the penetrance of iron overload and associated organ damage for the two most common HH genotypes in a densely phenotyped cohort selected for reasons unassociated with HH. We found that the rate of HH diagnosis is higher than previously reported and confirmed that the prevalence of iron overload is higher in HH p.Cys282Tyr homozygotes than in compound heterozygotes. We also found that, compared to large prospective cohorts, unselected multicenter retrospective cohorts might be good models for obtaining penetrance estimates. The use of opportunistic screening for HH p.Cys282Tyr homozygosity conferring risk of HH in those with existing genomic data should be reconsidered in light of this and future evidence. Furthermore, genetic testing in the general population should be evaluated with studies of outcomes research and cost effectiveness, especially considering the decreasing cost of genomic-technology applications and the additive effectiveness of assessing the risk for multiple adult-onset diseases.
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