DEVELOPMENT OF A POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION ASSAY FOR THE DETECTION OF PSEUDORABIES VIRUS IN CLINICAL SAMPLES
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ABSTRACT

Aujeszky's disease, also known as pseudorabies causes severe economic losses in swine industry and affects the pig husbandry all over the world. The conventional diagnostic procedure is time-consuming and false-negative results may occur in submissions from latently infected animals. The development, optimization and evaluation of a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay are presented for the diagnosis of pseudorabies infection. This assay was based on the amplification of a highly conserved viral gD gene fragment. PCR products of the expected size were obtained from PRV strains. Non-specific reactions were not observed when a related herpesvirus, other porcine DNA genome viruses and uninfected cells were used to assess PCR. The analytical sensitivity of the test was estimated to be 1.34 TCID$_{50}$/50 uL. The analysis of tissue homogenate samples from naturally infected animals proved the potential usefulness of the method for a rapid disease diagnosis from field cases. A rapid, sensitive and specific PCR-based diagnostic assay to detect pseudorabies virus in clinical samples is provided.
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INTRODUCTION

Aujeszky's disease, also known as pseudorabies leads to severe economic losses in swine industry and affects the pig husbandry all over the world. The etiological agent of this disease is suid herpesvirus type 1, usually named pseudorabies virus (PRV), a pantropic alphaherpesvirus which causes fatal infections in baby pigs, respiratory disease and poor growth in fattening pigs and reproductive disorders in adults (2, 8, 13). The virus principally affects pigs, which are considered to be the natural host for PRV and the reservoir of the virus in nature, but also infects a broad range of wild and non-porcine mammals with the important exception of higher-order primates (8).

The viral agent following a primary replication can establish latent infection and develops a latency-reactivation infection which allows its perpetuation in pig populations (10, 12, 15).

Traditionally, PRV detection is based on direct virus isolation followed by confirmation using immunofluorescence, immunoperoxidase or neutralization tests with specific antiserum (2). However, this method is time-consuming and false negative results may occur in submissions from latently infected animals (14).
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The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) can be used to identify PRV genomes in secretions or organ samples and although some PCR assays for PRV detection with different sensitivities have been reported (3, 7, 9, 15) there is no standard procedure recommended so far (2).

This paper describes the development, optimization and performance assessment of a rapid and highly sensitive PCR test for detection of pseudorabies virus.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Viruses and cells**

PRV reference strain NIA-3, PRV Cuban isolate V208 (4) and porcine parvovirus (PPV) isolated from piglets were grown and titrated in porcine kidney cell line (PK-15) (ATCC CCL 33) following standard procedures. Bovine herpesvirus 1 (BHV1) strain E8 was propagated in Madin-Darby Bovine Kidney cells (MDBK, ATCC CCL 22) by standard protocol. DNA extracted from porcine circovirus type 2 vaccine strain (PCV2) (Suvaxyn® PCV2 Fort Dodge) and from african swine fever virus (ASFV) kindly supplied by OIE Reference Laboratory for African Swine Fever, CISA/INIA, Valdeolmos, Spain were also used in the specificity assays.

**Clinical specimens from field samples**

In order to evaluate the efficiency of the extraction method and for the assessment and optimization of the PCR assay in clinical samples, a collection of nine tissue homogenates samples (spleen, liver and lymph nodes, 10% in PBS) from natural PRV infections (5) from the Virology Laboratory of CENSA (La Habana, Cuba) was used. Seven virus-negative tissues samples from clinically healthy animals were also included.

**Extraction of RNA and DNA**

Total DNA extraction from animal tissues homogenates (spleen, liver and lymph nodes, 10% in PBS) and from supernatants of infected cell cultures, was carried out from 100 µL amounts of samples with Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit, (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) following manufacturer’s protocol recommendations. DNA was resuspended in 10 µL of nuclease free water (Promega, Madison, WI, USA)

**Oligonucleotide primers and restriction endonuclease selection**

PRV specific primers were designed using the Oligo 6.31 program, (Molecular Biology Insights, Inc., USA) based on highly conserved nucleotide region of the viral gD glycoprotein. Nucleotide sequences available in GenBank (AY217094, M1400, M1400, strain Fa AY196984, strain LA AY196984, strain Min-A AY169694, strain Kaplan AJ271966, mutant strain gDiE AJ271967) were aligned using Clustal W 1.8 software and manually examined previously in the search of highly conserved region. A BLAST search at National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) site (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) was performed using blastn algorithm for calculating sequence similarity with primers selected as query sequences against nucleotide databases of different herpesvirus and random nucleotide sequences. Primers sequences, genome positions and the size of PCR products are shown in Table 1.

| Primer     | Nucleotide Sequence (5’-3’) | Genome position (5’-3’) | Amplicon length (pb) |
|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| PRV-sense  | GGT GGA CCG GCT GCT GAA CGA | 280-300                 | 455 pb               |
| PRV-antisense | GCT GCT GGT AGA ACG GCG TCA | 734-714                 |                      |

* PRV strain (GenBank accession no. AY217094)
Sequence analysis of the PRV genome region delimited by PRV sense/PRV antisense primer pair allowed to locate a Sma I restriction endonuclease site, splitting the amplicon in two fragments of 241 and 214 bp, that was conserved in the PRV nucleotide sequences analysed. The Sma I restriction endonuclease site was used for additional specificity confirmation of the amplification products.

Optimization of PCR conditions

Optimization of the critical parameters of the PCR for the detection PRV was performed, including concentration of reagents and PCR cycling parameters. The different concentration of magnesium chloride (MgCl2), and primers were evaluated according to the manufacture’s protocol (Promega PCR Core Systems TB 254 bulletin/Promega, Madison, USA).

For the determination of optimal parameters was considered the amplicon’s intensity visualized by electrophoresis on 2.0% agarose gel in TBE buffer (90mM Tris–borate, 2 mM EDTA). Thus, the optimal concentration of MgCl2 and primers were 1.5mM and 0.6 µM respectively (data not shown).

The annealing temperature and number of cycles were determined experimentally. The best results were obtained with a temperature of 68°C for 35 cycles. Annealing and elongation were performed at the same step of each cycle at 68°C for 2 min. This was possible due to the high annealing temperature of the primers pair designed and contributes to the reaction efficiency.

PCR assay

The PCR reaction was performed in 50 µL volumes, in which the reaction mixture contained 2 µl of DNA, 1x GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega) [200µM of each dNTP, 1.5mM MgCl2 (pH 8.5)] and 0.6 µM of each primer. The cycling protocol consisted of an initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, then 35 cycles which consisted of denaturation at 94°C for 15 sec., annealing and extension at 68°C for 2 min. The sample was then heated at 72°C for 7 min for a final extension. Negative controls were run with each test. Agarose gel electrophoresis was used to detect PCR products.

Restriction enzyme analysis

Analysis with Sma I restriction endonuclease of the PRV amplicon was performed in a 20 µL volume reaction, using 5 µL of the amplification products, previously purified by Wizard® PCR Preps DNA Purification System (Promega), and 5 U of enzyme. Reactions were incubated for at least 4h at 25 °C. Restriction fragments were analysed by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel.

RESULTS

PCR experiments were performed on serial ten-fold dilutions of a viral suspension of PRV isolate V208 with a titer of $10^{6.3}$TCID$_{50}$/mL in animal tissues homogenate sample from a healthy pig that was negative by the proposed PCR, in order to determine the PCR’s detection limit. The analytical sensitivity of the test was consistently observed to be 1.34 TCID$_{50}$/ reaction volume (Fig.1).

Figure 1. PCR sensitivity assay for PRV detection. DNA´s extracted from serial dilutions, in pig tissue homogenate samples, of a PRV strain viral suspension with a titer of $10^{6.3}$TCID$_{50}$/mL were employed under reaction conditions above described. M: molecular weight marker 100pb (Promega)
A PCR product from the expected size was obtained when DNA from PRV strain NIA-3 and Cuban PRV V208 isolate (4) were used as templates for amplification reactions. Specificity of the PRV amplicons was furthermore confirmed by Sma I restriction endonuclease analysis which generated the two expected fragments of 241 and 214 bp in length (Fig. 2).

Figure 2. Sma I restriction endonuclease analysis of amplification products of PRV NIA-3 and PRV V208 strains. M: molecular weight marker 100pb (Promega), PRV NIA-3 (1 and 2), PRV V208 (3 and 4). Lanes 1 and 3 are amplification products, Lanes 2 and 4 are amplification products after digestion with Sma I.

Moreover, the viral genomes of a related herpesvirus and other DNA genome porcine viruses as follow: HVB1, PPV, CVP2 and PPA, were assayed, giving not amplification signal (Fig.3). Especially HVB1 is an important target for specificity assay because it is a related herpesvirus which is known to infect swine BHV-1 (4). Finally, nucleic acids from tissue homogenates samples derived from seven healthy pigs, and a non infected PK-15 cell line were also tested showing no positive products (data not shown).

Figure 3. PCR specificity assay for PRV detection. M: molecular weight marker 100 pb (Promega), lane 1-IBR; lane 2-PPV; lane 3-PCV2; lane 4- ASFV; lane 5-PRV

Each one of the nine tissue field samples from pigs diagnosed as PRV infected based on clinical signs and laboratory methods yielded the corresponding PRV amplified product when analyzed. Seven tissue samples from clinically healthy animals were negative for PCR amplification (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

The serious reduction to the pig production caused by Aujeszky’s disease can be explained by the pathogenesis picture of PRV infections. The virus primarily replicates in the respiratory tract, spreads along cranial nerves to the brains and via lymph and blood to internal organs, with the reproductive organs being affected. Replication in the respiratory tract, central nervous system and reproductive organs is responsible for pathological changes causing different disorders (13).

In general, PRV infections must be considered in the differential diagnosis of respiratory, reproductive and nervous disorders. For instance, Aujeszky’s disease must be confused in cases of reduced fertility with parvovirus infection, CSF, PRRS, and leptospirosis (6). Under typical conditions of intensive swine production, several clinically similar viral diseases can occur which require laboratory differential diagnosis. A rapid and accurate diagnosis of PRV infection is important for the initiation of appropriate control strategies. Since the rapid detection of infected animals would reduce the potential transmission of the viruses to uninfected herds avoiding the spread of the diseases (11).

The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a rapid tool that can be used not only to detect acutely PRV infected pigs but it is the recommended test for detect PRV latent infection. The trigeminal ganglion is the most consistent site for virus isolation, although latent virus is usually difficult to culture or even impossible (1, 13) and PCR is the method recommended to detect viral genome present in this site.
The PCR for PRV genome detection is also an important method in screening pig specimens collected for xenotransplantation to increase the safety of organ transplantation (7) and to detect viral infection in a wide spectrum of species reported to be susceptible to PRV, through either natural or experimental infections (8).

The nucleotide sequence amplified in this study corresponds to a 455 bp fragment in the gD gene of the PRV genome (16). This gene codes for an envelope glycoprotein named gD which plays an important role in binding cellular receptors and is critical for virus replication in different organs (12). This region was highly conserved for all reported genomes as shown by aligning these sequences. Also, the BLAST search against nucleotide databases of different herpesvirus and random nucleotide sequences revealed this region is very specific for PRV genomes.

Mostly, the specificity of PCR is regulated by the length of the oligonucleotide and/or the temperature of annealing of the primer to the template. The intrinsic attributes of the two designed primers, such as equivalent and high Tm lead to and optimal PCR temperature, determined empirically, that assures "non-specific" amplification and consequently “non-reduction” in yield of the desired product. The possibility to perform annealing and elongation in one single step of the thermal profile contributed to the specificity and the efficiency of the assay and allowed the use of a very fast PCR program.

The assay specificity was demonstrated by the absence of amplifications in all heterologous viruses evaluated and in tissue samples derived from seven healthy pigs. In particular, the ability of the PCR assay to distinguish PRV from HVB1, a related alphaherpesvirus, which infects swine, is critical for reliable PRV diagnosis.

The assay proved to be very sensitive due to as little as 1.34 TCID50/50 uL was detected. In addition, positive amplifications were obtained in all the tissue samples, from PRV natural infected pigs, evaluated. The analysis directly from clinical samples from naturally infected animals proved the potential usefulness of the method for a rapid disease diagnosis from field cases.

The PCR assay described here provides a rapid, highly sensitive, and cost-effective laboratory diagnosis for pseudorabies infections.

**RESUMO**

**Desenvolvimento de um ensaio de Reação de Polimerase em Cadeia para detecção do vírus da pseudo-raiva em amostras clínicas**

A doença de Aujeszky, também conhecida como pseudo-raiva, causa perdas econômicas graves na indústria suína e afeta a criação de suínos em todo o mundo. O procedimento de diagnóstico convencional é demorado, podendo ocorrer resultados falsos-negativos em animais infectados de forma latente. Este estudo apresenta o desenvolvimento, otimização e avaliação de um ensaio de Reação de Polimerase em Cadeia para o diagnóstico da pseudo-raiva. O ensaio baseou-se na amplificação do fragmento genético viral gD altamente conservado. Os produtos da PCR de tamanho esperado foram obtidos a partir de isolados de PRV. Não foram observadas reações inespecíficas quando foram testados herpes-virus relacionados, outros vírus DNA de suínos e células não infectadas. A sensibilidade analítica estimada do teste foi 1,34 TCID50/50□L. A análise de homogenatos feitos com tecidos de animais naturalmente infectados mostrou que o método é útil para o diagnóstico rápido da doença no campo, sendo um ensaio rápido, sensível e específico para detectar o vírus da pseudo-raiva em amostras clínicas.

**Palavras-chave:** doença de Aujeszky, pseudo-raiva, ensaio de PCR.
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