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Abstract

Background: Long non-coding RNAs (LncRNAs) emerging as pivotal marker in the procession of cancer, including colorectal cancer (CRC). Abnormal O-glycosylation is a crucial modification during cancer malignancy. The aim of this work is to analyze the alteration of O-glycosylation involved in CRC progression.

Methods: qRT-PCR is utilized to screen the differential linc01296 expression in CRC tissues and cell lines. Functionally, CRC cell proliferation, aggressiveness and apoptosis are measured through relevant experiments, including CCK8 assay, colony formation assay, transwell assay, western blot and flow cytometry. Dual-luciferase reporter gene assay and RIP assay confirm the direct interaction between linc01296 and miR-26a. The xenografts and liver metastatic nude mice models are established to show the inner effect of linc01296.

Results: Differential expression of linc01296 is confirmed and closely correlated with the malignancy of CRC cell lines and poor clinical prognosis. Moreover, alteration of linc01296 affects CRC cell proliferation, metastasis and chemoresistance to 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) in vitro. Mechanically, linc01296 acts as a direct target of miR-26a, and thereby influenced CRC malignancy. Our investigation corroborates that linc01296 functions as an endogenous sponge of miR-26a to regulate mucin1 (MUC1) expression, catalyzed by GALNT3, which modulates the activity of PI3K/AKT pathway. Interestingly, upregulated linc01296 promotes the tumorigensis, liver metastasis and chemoresistance of CRC cell lines in vivo.

Conclusion: These new findings indicate that linc01296/miR-26a/GALNT3 axis involves in the progression of CRC cells, illuminating the possible mechanism mediated by O-glycosylated MUC1 via PI3K/AKT pathway. This work renders potential diagnostic biomarkers and prospective therapeutic targets for CRC.
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Background

Colorectal cancer (CRC) remains a common malignant gastrointestinal tumor and is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related mortality in the world [1, 2]. During the past decades, CRC has already become the most rapidly increasing occurrence rate and death rate disease in the worldwide [2]. Patients are often diagnosed at advanced stages with regional node metastasis or liver metastasis [3], eventually lead to a poor prognosis [4]. Furthermore, dissatisfactory response to regulatory chemotherapy remains a major challenge to CRC treatment [5]. Therefore, it is imperative to clarify the underlying molecular mechanism during CRC progression.

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are a typically class of transcripts longer than 200 nucleotides without protein-coding potential. Emerging evidence confirms the regulatory roles of lncRNAs in gene expression [6]. Moreover, lncRNAs participate in biological procession and the pathological progression, including tumorigenesis and malignant behavior [7]. By binding to the associated gene of
cancer, IncRNA functions as oncogene or tumor suppressor in human cancer. With the development of next generation sequencing, linc01296 is recognized as a predictor of CRC prognostic [8] and facilitates prostate cancer cell proliferation and metastasis [9]. According to our microarray analysis, we focus on linc01296, a subset of IncRNA which may interact with the target miRNA via complementary sequence and function as a miRNA sponge. Consequently, miRNAs further affect the downstream protein-coding genes by binding to its 3′-UTR. Recent studies have identified that miR-26a confines epithelial-mesenchymal transition in human hepatocellular carcinoma [10]. SNHG6–003, functions as a competitive endogenous RNA, effectively sponges miR-26a/b and modulates TAK1 expression [11]. However, it is still unclear that whether linc01296 and miR-26a play a modulatory role in this manner of CRC progression.

Glycosylation exists widely in cell biological procession. O-glycosylation is essential for diverse biological process. However, abnormal O-glycosylation induces invasion, metastasis and recurrence of tumor [12]. The UDP-N-acetyl-α-D-galactosamine: poly peptide-N-acetylglactosaminyltransferase family catalyzes the initial O-linked glycosylation [13]. As a member of this family, GALNT2 is identified as an important regulator in hepatocellular carcinoma [14] and oral carcinoma [15]. Abberant expression of GALNT3 contributes to tumor progression of lung cancer [16], gastric carcinoma [17] and CRC [18]. GALNT6 exhibits a critical role in the progression of breast cancer [19]. Moreover, the GALNT family catalyzes the active polypeptide during the formation of O-glycosylation on various proteins, including mucins [20]. Mucins are transmembrane glycoproteins majorly on the glandular or luminal epithelial cell surface, which carries plenty of O-linked glycans. Mucin1 (MUC1) exists as a heterodimeric transmembrane protein, and the aberrantly expression engages in the multiple disease evolution, including tumorigenesis. Abnormal MUC1 showed closely association with pancreatic cancer progression [21]. MUC1 was also identified as a pivotal issue for liver metastasis of CRC [22]. An overall perspective showed that GALNT3 functioned as the key enzyme catalyzed the O-glycosylated MUC1 in epithelial ovarian cancer progression [23]. However, the exactly molecular mechanism that linc01296/miR-26a/GALNT3 crosstalk mediated the CRC progression and clinical prognosis, via modifying O-glycosylated MUC1, remained unknown.

In the present study, the association of upregulated linc01296 and CRC progression was examined. Linc01296 was evaluated as a molecular sponge for miR-26a, and these ncRNAs further regulated GALNT3 expression. Mechanically, the O-glycosylated MUC1, mediated by linc01296/miR-26a/GALNT3 regulatory network, was further explored as the trigger of downstream PI3K/AKT signaling cascade.

**Methods**

**Samples from CRC patients**

A total of 36 previously diagnostic CRC patients who received surgical operation at the First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University were included. CRC patients accepted informed consent, which was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University (YJ-KY-FB-2016-16). In accordance with the International Union against Cancer (UICC), the samples were identified CRC tissues and nontumor tissues, and further identified different stages (stage I, II, III and IV) based on the histopathological evaluation. The samples were maintained in liquid nitrogen.

**Parental CRC cell culture**

The human CRC cell lines SW620, SW480, HCT-8, 5-FU-resistant HCT-8 cells (HCT-8/5-FU) and LoVo were obtained from KeygenBiotech Co. Ltd. (Nanjing, China), which was recently authenticated as truly CRC cell lines. SW620 and SW480 were cultured in Leibovitz’s L-15 (Gibco, Grand Island, NY) medium containing 10% in activated fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Grand Island, NY). 5-FU was added to LoVo cell culture in stepwise increasing concentrations for over 6 months, namely LoVo/5-FU. HCT-8/5-FU and LoVo/5-FU were maintained in RPMI1640 supplemented with 114.7 μM and 107.0 μM 5-FU, respectively. CRC cells were incubated at 37 °C containing 5%CO². All cells lines were routinely tested for mycoplasma, which were shown to be negative.

**Real-time PCR analysis**

Total RNA was extracted by RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), and cDNA was then synthesized by QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The qRT-PCR was performed under an ABI Prism7500 fast real-time PCR system with mixing a QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Relative RNA expression was calculated by ∆∆Ct method with normalization to U6. The primers for GALNT3 are F: 5′-AAAAAGGGTG TGCAGCTCTATGTG-3′ and R: 5′-TGGATGTGG TGCCGAATTTCA-3′, and the primers for GAPDH were F: 5′-CTCCTCCACCTTTGACGCTG-3′ and R: 5′-TCCT CTTGTGCTTTTGCTGG-3′.

**Western blot analysis**

Cell protein was collected by RIPA lysis buffer (KeyGEN, Nanjing, China) containing 1 mM PMSF (Biotool, Houston, TX, USA). 20 μg protein per well was electrophoresed in 10% SDS-PAGE gels and then transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA), and incubated with different primary antibodies, including GALNT3 (16716–1-AP, Proteintech, China), caspase3 (ab13847, Abcam, the UK), cleaved caspase3 (ab13585, Abcam, the UK), PARP (ab74290, Abcam, the UK), cleaved
PARP (ab4830, Abcam, the UK), MUC1 (ab15481, Abcam, the UK), PI3K-p110α (21890–1-AP, Proteintech, China), p-AKT 308 (AP3743a, Abgent, China), p-AKT 473 (AP3434a, Abgent, China), AKT (ab8805, Abcam, the UK), NF-κB (AP50006, Abgent, China) and GAPDH (AP7873a, Abgent, China), at 4°C overnight. The membrane was treated with anti-rabbit IgG at 37°C for 2h. All bands were detected by an ECL Western blot kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and analyzed by LabWorks (TM ver4.6, UVP, Bio Imaging Systems, NY, USA). GAPDH was used as control.

**Cell transfection**

PCR production of GALNT3 ampliation was cloned into pmirGLO vector (Promega). MiR-26a mimic, inhibitor and miR-NC were synthesized by GenePharma Co.Ltd. (Suzhou, China). Linc01296 pcDNA3.1 vector (linc01296), LV-NC, LV-linc01296, silinc01296, shlinc01296, siSCR were obtained from GenePharma Co.Ltd. (Suzhou, China). The transfection assay was conducted with Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The transfected efficiency was measured by qRT-PCR.

**Dual luciferase reporter gene assay**

A pmirGLO Dual-Luciferase miRNA Target Expression Vector was purchased from GenePharma Co.Ltd. (Suzhou, China). Firefly luciferase functioned as primary reporter to regulate mRNA expression, and renilla luciferase was used as a normalized control. Co-transfection was conducted and the dual luciferase reporter assay system (Promega) was utilized. The mean luciferase intensity was normalized to renilla luciferase. Data were shown as the mean value ± SD and each experiment was performed thrice.

**Cell viability assay**

Cell proliferation assay was conducted by using cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo, Japan). Cells (1 × 10^3 per well) were plated into 96-well plate with the corresponding medium. 11 μL CCK8 were added for 4h. The spectrometric absorbance was measured by microplate reader (Model 680; Bio-199 Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) at 490 nm.

The chemoresistance to 5-FU was detected by CCK-8. Different concentration of 5-FU was added into 96-well plate. The absorbance was then measured to evaluate the chemoresistance to 5-FU. Each experiment was performed thrice.

**Focus formation assay**

Single-cell suspension was obtained and then seeded in 6-well plate (1 × 10^3 per well). The medium was changed every 4 days. Twenty-one days later, the foci were formed obviously. The colonies were fixed by 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min, and then stained with 0.2% crystal violet. The colonies were photographed and counted.

**Transwell assay**

Cells were cultured in Boyden chambers containing a transwell membrane filter (Corning, New York, USA), and in serum-free medium overnight. Gelatin and matrigel were used to coat the filter, and 5 × 10^4 cells were suspended on top. On the other side, L-15 with 10%FBS was put in the lower part of the chamber. The upper side cells were removed by a cotton swab. The invading cells were counted to estimate the invasive capacity.

**Flow cytometry**

CRC cells were incubated with different concentration of 5-FU for 48 h. 2 × 10^5 cells were collected and resuspended in 100 μL binding buffer. Annexin V and propidium iodide (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) were used to stain for 10 min avoiding light and 400 μL binding buffer was added into the cell suspension. The apoptotic cells were detected by FACS Calibur (Becton-Dickinson, CA, USA).

Cells with corresponding treatment were collected (5 × 10^5). After incubation with 5% BSA, the cells were incubated with FITC-VVA (Vector Laboratories Inc., Burlingame, CA, USA) for 60 min. The FITC fluorescence intensity was detected by FACS Calibur.

**Immunofluorescence staining**

CRC cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min and treated with 0.2% Triton X-100 for 3 min. After incubation with 5% BSA, the primary antibody was added overnight at 4°C, and the secondary antibody was treated. The cells were stained by 4, 6-diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) for nuclear staining. The pictures were obtained with fluorescence microscope (OLYPSAS).

**Immunohistochemistry staining**

Human CRC samples and xenograft tumors were performed on paraffin-embedded sections. The slides were treated with drying, deparaffining and rehydrating. The slides were immersed with 3% hydrogen peroxide and labeled with antibodies overnight. The slides were stained with the secondary streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antibody (Santa Cruz Biotech, Santa Cruz, CA). The slides were then counterstained with hematoxylin for 30s and cover slipped.

**TUNEL assay**

TUNEL assay was carried out to measure the fragmented DNA of apoptotic cells. Apoptotic cells were fixed by 4% formaldehyde for 25 min, and permeabilized by 0.2% TritonX-100 for 5 min. Then the cells were equilibrated with 100 μL Equilibration buffer for 10 min. Cells were labeled with 50 μL TdT reaction mix at 37°C. SSC buffer was used to stop the reaction. The images were pictured by fluorescence microscopy.
Mitochondrial membrane potential detection (JC-1)

JC-1 (5, 5, 6, 6-tetrachloro-1, 1, 3, 3-tetraethylbenzimidazolylcarbocyanineiodide, KeyGen, China) was used to measure the variation of mitochondrial membrane potential. The cells were stained with 5 μM JC-1 for 30 min at 37 °C and pictured with the fluorescence microscopy.

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) assay

The Magna RIPTM RNA Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation Kit (Millipore, USA) was used to conduct RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) assay. The endogenous miR-26a which combined with linc01296 was pulled down. The cell lysis were incubated in RIP immunoprecipitation buffer containing magnetic bead conjugated with human anti-Ago2 antibody (Millipore). The protein was digested by proteinase K to obtain the immunoprecipitated RNA. The qRT-PCR assay was conducted to detect the purified RNA concentration.

Lectin pull-down assay

The cells were lysed and the extracts were treated with 50 μl VVA-agarose at 4 °C with gentle rotation for 16 h. Then the samples were washed by the eluting solution and further suffered the western blot analysis.

In vivo experiments

The experiments were approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of the Dalian Medical University, China. No blinding of experiment groups was conducted. The 4-week-old male nude mice were obtained from the the Model Animal Research Institute of Nanjing University.

Liver metastasis model was built as follows. Four-week-old male nude mice were randomly used to build the liver metastasis model under anesthetizing (n = 6 animals per group). For randomization, the nude mice was then numbered, which further chosen for each group. The endpoints of in vivo metastasis experiments were based on the presence of clinical signs of liver metastasis, weight loss, the appearance of ascites and energies with reduced action. Animals were culled with the above signs or 28 days after surgery based on specific experimental designs. The survival curve was calculated by Kaplan-Meier analysis. The experiments were approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of the Dalian Medical University, China.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 17.0 software was used to analyze the experimental data. Data were presented as means ± standard deviation (SD), and each experiment was carried out thrice at least. Student’s t-test was used to compare the significant difference of two groups. Standard deviation (SD) represented the variation of data values. The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine the significant difference of multiple groups. The survival curves were calculated by Kaplan-Meier method, and the difference was assessed by a log-rank test. Spearman’s correlation analysis was used to identify the association between miRNAs and mRNA expression. Statistical significance was defined as P value < 0.05.

Results

Linc01296 level is remarkable high in CRC tissues and cell lines

According to our previous microarray analysis based on SW620 and SW480 cell lines [24], linc01296 showed an increasing tendency in SW620 cells with high metastasis potential. To validate this conclusion, we examined linc01296 expression between 36 pairs of CRC tissues and the corresponding adjacent tissues. In accordance with the microarray results, linc01296 showed a higher level in tumor tissues. Further investigation was shown in Fig. 1b, overexpression of linc01296 was confirmed in SW620 and 5-FU resistant CRC cells (HCT-8/5-FU cells and LoVo/5-FU cells). Linc01296 level was closely correlated with advanced CRC stages, and CRC patients on stage III and IV exhibited a higher linc01296 level (Fig. 1c). Moreover, linc01296 was also identified to connect with metastasis of CRC patients, including node metastasis, liver metastasis and other distant metastasis (Fig. 1d). Kaplan-Meier analysis was used to analyze the correlation between linc01296 expression and the overall survival rate. As shown in Fig. 1e, CRC patients with high linc01296 level lived with a poor prognosis than those with lower level. To better understand the role of linc01296 in CRC progression, we manipulated the expression of linc01296 by
transfecting linc01296 or silinc01296 in CRC cell lines. The transfected efficiency was measured (Fig. 1f, g). These data indicated that high linc01296 level might correlate with the CRC progression and associate with the poor clinical prognosis.

**Modulation of linc01296 impacts CRC progression**

To evaluate the possible role of linc01296 involved in proliferation, CCK8 assays were used to identify the growth rate of CRC cells. As shown in Fig. 2a, inhibition of linc01296 attenuated CRC cell viability. The colony formation assay also proved a decreased tendency in the cells transfected with silinc01296 (Fig. 2b). 5-Ethynyl-2′-deoxyuridine (Edu) showed a remarkable function in evaluating cell proliferation, which usually participated in DNA synthesis. The merged images were presented, and indicated that knockdown of linc01296 reduced CRC cells proliferation (Fig. 2c). Moreover, as a key indicator of cell proliferation, Ki67 was also measured by immunofluorescence staining. In accordance with Edu staining, Ki67 expressed a weaken fluorescence intensity in CRC cells transfected with silinc01296 (Fig. 2d). As shown in Fig. 2e, depletion of linc01296 decreased the migratory and invasive capability of SW620 cell compared to the cell transfected with siSCR. SW480, HCT-8 and LoVo cells were suffered with vector and linc01296, which were further underwent CCK8 assays. Cells transfected with linc01296 showed higher growth rate than the cells transfected with vector (Fig. 2f). Overexpressed linc01296 in CRC cells promoted the colony formation (Fig. 2g) and DNA synthesis (Fig. 2h). Upregulation of linc01296 promoted Ki67 concentration of transfected CRC cells (Fig. 2i). On the contrary, overexpressed linc01296 led to the enhanced aggressiveness (Fig. 2j). Thus, linc01296 level significantly affected proliferation and aggressiveness of CRC cell lines.

CCK8 assays were performed to confirm the reversal chemoresistance caused by silinc01296 (Fig. 3a), the OD values were significantly decreased in CRC cells transfected silinc01296. The IC₅₀ values were then calculated to intuitively measure the differential chemoresistance between silinc01296 and siSCR transfected CRC cells (Fig. 3b). The control cells and 5-FU resistant CRC cells transfected with siSCR showed no variation in IC₅₀ values. However,
decreased linc01296 level significantly attenuated the IC_{50} values. In response to 5-FU treatment, siLinc01296–5-FU resistant cells showed a reductive colony formation numbers (Fig. 3c). As depicted in Fig. 3d, depletion of linc01296 drastically enhanced apoptotic cell rates, indicating the potential role of silinc01296 in inducing CRC cell apoptosis. Mitochondrial membrane potential damage was a key event during the occurrence of apoptosis, which was caused by the activation of caspases, then the cytochrome c release into cytosol. In this study, JC-1 content was detected by immunofluorescence staining. The results showed that downregulated linc01296 resulted in the lower potential, indicating the apoptosis caused by downregulated linc01296 (Fig. 3e). High fluorescence intensity was captured during the apoptosis occurred after knocking down linc01296 by fluorescence microscope (Fig. 3f). As a key modulator of apoptosis, caspase3 plays an important role in 5-FU induced CRC cells apoptosis. With 5-FU treatment, cells transfected with silinc01296 expressed low caspase3 and PARP levels, and increased levels of cleaved caspase3 and cleaved PARP by western blot (Fig. 3g). In the transfected HCT-8 and LoVo cells, the resistance to 5-FU was assessed by CCK8 (Fig. 3h) and IC_{50} values (Fig. 3i), indicated that linc01296 strengthened the resistance to 5-FU treatment. Enhanced linc01296 promoted colony formation numbers of transfected CRC cells (Fig. 3j). HCT-8 and LoVo cells transfected with linc01296 performed more resistant to 5-FU treatment, showing decreased apoptosis rate (Fig. 3k). JC-1 staining also showed an increased J-aggregates in CRC cells transfected with linc01296 (Fig. 3l). Moreover, TUNEL assay showed less occurrence of apoptosis after transfecting linc01296 (Fig. 3m). Altered level of caspase3, cleaved caspase3, PARP and cleaved PARP were also detected by western blot, suggesting that apoptosis indeed occurred (Fig. 3n). Thus,
alteration of linc01296 in CRC cells exhibited changeable proliferation, migration and chemoresistance to 5-FU.

**MiR-26a is a direct target of linc01296 and a negative regulator of GALNT3**

Bioinformatic analysis predicts that miR-26a is closely associated with linc01296. Interestingly, miR-26a showed certain difference between CRC tissues and the adjacent tissues (Fig. 4a). Furthermore, SW620 and 5-FU resistant CRC cells revealed decreased miR-26a level (Fig. 4b). The negative correlation was found between linc01296 and miR-26a expression (Fig. 4c). According to the bioinformatic analysis, we determined the predicted binding sites. Dual-luciferase reporter gene assay confirmed that miR-26a was a direct target of linc01296 (Fig. 4d). According to our previous results, GALNT3 functioned as a downstream of linc01296. GALNT3 expression of CRC tissues was analyzed by qRT-PCR (Fig. 4e) and measured by IHC staining. Higher GALNT3 mRNA level was determined in CRC tumor tissues than the adjacent nontumor tissues. GALNT3 expression of CRC cell lines was also determined by qRT-PCR and western blot. As shown in Fig. 4f, SW620 and 5-FU resistant CRC cells showed high GALNT3 level. Moreover, immunofluorescence staining also confirmed high GALNT3 expression in CRC tissues (Fig. 4g). The negative association of miR-26a and GALNT3 was calculated (Fig. 4h). Dual-luciferase reporter gene assay convinced miR-26a directly target GALNT3 (Fig. 4i). Argonaute 2 (Ago2) protein could bind miRNAs and lncRNAs. As shown in Fig. 4j, linc01296 and miR-26a enriched in Ago2 pellet compared to the IgG immuno-precipitates. RIP assay illustrated that linc01296 and miR-26a existed in CRC cell lines, which corroborated the correlation between linc01296 and miR-26a. As shown in Fig. 4k, upregulation of linc01296 promoted GALNT3 expression determined by qRT-PCR. Western blot also revealed a higher GALNT3 level in CRC cells.
transfected with linc01296 compared to the control. Downregulation of linc01296 presented opposite density by qRT-PCR (Fig. 4k) and western blot (Fig. 4l). Co-transfection of miR-26a and linc01296 was conducted to further measure the altered GALNT3. Cells transfected with miR-26a mimics showed decreased GALNT3 level by qRT-PCR (Fig. 4m), on the contrary, high linc01296 level enhanced GALNT3 expression. Interestingly, co-transfected linc01296 and miR-26a mimic into CRC cells revealed an attenuation of GALNT3 level, indicated the reversal effect of miR-26a on the upregulated GALNT3 caused by linc01296. Similar results were also detected by western blot in CRC cell lines. Increased GALNT3 was detected in the cells transfected with miR-26a inhibitor, and knockdown of linc01296 decreased GALNT3 expression in CRC cell lines (Fig. 4n). Moreover, co-transfection of miR-26a inhibitor and silinc01296 regained high GALNT3 level compared to the group transfected silinc01296 only, demonstrated the miR-26a inhibitor reversal effect of silinc01296. These results demonstrated that miR-26a functioned as a
target of linc01296, and targeted GALNT3 in CRC progression.

Modulation of miR-26a reverses the oncogenic effect of linc01296 by mediating GALNT3 expression in CRC progression

To identify the molecular mechanism of CRC progression, linc01296 and miR-26a expression were modulated in CRC cell lines. *Vicia villosa* agglutinin (VVA) recognized Tn antigen (GalNAc-O-Ser/Thr) generated by GalNAc transferase, and detected by flow cytometry. A significant difference in O-glycosylation in CRC cell lines was estimated by fluorescence intensity of VVA (Fig. 5a). High expression of GALNT3 was corresponding with high fluorescence intensity of VVA lectin in CRC cell lines. The growth rate was lower in the cells transfected with silinc01296, and much higher in the cells transfected with miR-26a inhibitor than the control groups (Fig. 5b). Colony formation assay also depicted higher numbers than the control group, and the colony formation ability was regained with transfected miR-26a inhibitor (Fig. 5c). As shown in Fig. 5d, lower aggressiveness was shown in SW620 cells transfected with silinc01296, which was enhanced in group with miR-26a inhibitor. However, co-transfected silinc01296 and inmiR-26a depicted a revised migration and invasion than the cell only transfected with silinc01296, suggesting that miR-26a might reverse the migratory ability of SW620. CCK8 assay showed that miR-26 inhibitor promoted chemoresistance to 5-FU, and silinc01296 facilitated the cells sensitive again (Fig. 5e). The IC_{50} values showed similar tendency (Fig. 5f). Colony formation assay further proved 5-FU-resistant cell lines had a variable degree in response to 5-FU treatment (Fig. 5g).

**Fig. 5** The effect of co-expression linc01296 and miR-26a on CRC progression. a Flow cytometry showed O-linked glycosylation level detected by fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated VVA on the cell surface of transfected CRC cells. b CCK8 assay showed variant growth rate of transfected CRC cells. c Colony formation assay detected proliferative formation with different treated CRC cells. d Aggressiveness changed was determined by transwell assay, the migratory and invasive cells were counted. e CRC cells were treated with 5-FU, and the cell viability was detected by CCK8 assay. f IC_{50} values of treated CRC cells were calculated. g The effect of 5-FU on CRC cell proliferation was determined by colony formation assay. h Flow cytometry showed the apoptosis rate of transfected CRC cells in response to 5-FU. i FITC-WVA on transfected CRC cells surface was detected by flow cytometry. j The growth curves of transfected CRC cells were pictured after conducting CCK8 assay. k Proliferation of treated CRC cells was examined by colony formation assay. l Migration and invasion were observed in transfected SW620 cells. m With 5-FU treatment, the cell viability was determined by CCK8 assay. n The IC_{50} values were subsequently calculated. o 5-FU resistant CRC cells were transfected with linc01296 or miR-26a mimic, and the colony formation was counted in response to 5-FU. p The apoptosis rate of transfected CRC cells was detected after 5-FU treatment by flow cytometry. The data were means ± SD of three independent assays (*P < 0.05)
The apoptotic rate of silinc01296 cells exhibited less survival, and knockdown of miR-26a exhibited more survival. Moreover, the apoptotic cells were decreased when the cells treated with silinc01296 and miR-26a together relative to the cells treated with silinc01296 (Fig. 5h). In brief, miR-26a reversed the anti-tumor effect of silinc01296 by regulating GALNT3 expression in CRC progression.

As shown in Fig. 5i, altered terminal O-glycosylation in CRC cell lines was revealed by fluorescence intensity on VVA. Cell viability was significantly increased in CRC cells transfected with linc01296 (Fig. 5j). Similarly, the viability was reversed with co-transfection with miR-26a. In brief, miR-26a rapidly reversed the cell growth rate by enhancing linc01296. Colony formation assay also depicted similar tendency (Fig. 5k). As shown in Fig. 5l, the migratory and invasive abilities were reconsolidated by transfection with miR-26a mimic. As shown in Fig. 5m, cells with high linc01296 level were more resistant to 5-FU, while co-transfected linc01296 and miR-26a re-versed the anti-tumor effect of silinc01296 by regulating GALNT3 expression in CRC progression.

In brief, miR-26a rapidly reversed the cell growth rate by enhancing linc01296. Colony formation assay also depicted similar tendency (Fig. 5k). As shown in Fig. 5l, the migratory and invasive abilities were reconsolidated by transfection with miR-26a mimic. As shown in Fig. 5m, cells with high linc01296 level were more resistant to 5-FU, while co-transfected linc01296 and miR-26a resumed 5-FU sensitivity. We further detected the IC_{50} values (Fig. 5n). With 5-FU treatment, the colony formation number was counted (Fig. 5o). Acquired chemosensitivity was also confirmed by flow cytometry analysis. As shown in Fig. 5p, HCT-8 transfected with linc01296 was less sensitive to 5-FU treatment, and HCT-8 cells transfected with miR-26a showed more sensitive to 5-FU than the control groups. MiR-26a performed a reversal ability to make CRC cells more sensitive to 5-FU. Thus, miR-26a indeed affected the malignancy by regulating linc01296, and further affect the CRC progression via regulating GALNT3.

**Linc01296/miR-26a/GALNT3 crosstalk mediates the O-glycosylation on MUC1 protein and activates PI3K/AKT cascade**

As a key enzyme of O-glycosylation, GALNT3 performed significant alteration during CRC progression. To further clarify the internal mechanism, O-glycosylated glycan-binding lectin VVA agarose pull-down was performed and analyzed for western blot (Fig. 6a). The VVA-precipitated MUC1 was upregulated in the cells transfected with GALNT3 (SW480 and HCT-8) compared to the control. In contrast, SW620 and HCT-8/5-FU cells transfected with shGALNT3 revealed decreased VVA-binding MUC1 level. However, the total extracted proteins of CRC cell lines showed no changes, illustrating the altered O-glycosylation of CRC cells. As shown in Fig. 6b, the PI3K/AKT signaling was inactivated by MUC1-blocking with the specific antibody in HCT-8 and HCT-8/5-FU cells. In combination with VVA, the signaling cascade was further suppressed. Moreover, upregulation of GALNT3, contributed to the enriched O-glycosylation, further activated PI3K/AKT cascade (Fig. 6c). Knockdown GALNT3 inhibited the activation of PI3K/AKT pathway. Manipulation of linc01296 and miR-26a could affect expression of the main molecules of PI3K/AKT pathway. Simultaneously, as an effective inhibitor of PI3K/AKT pathway, LY294002 further confined the signaling cascade in HCT-8/5-FU cells (Fig. 6d). As shown in Fig. 6e, up-regulation of miR-26a inhibited PI3K/AKT signaling activity in HCT-8 cells. Overexpressed linc01296 triggered the activation of the pathway. However, co-transfection of miR-26a and linc01296 in HCT-8 cells significantly reversed the activity of the pathway in relation to the cells transfected with miR-26a or linc01296. Similarly, co-transfection of inmiR-26a and silinc01296 in HCT-8/5-FU cells revealed a reversal effect on the phosphorylated status in the cells transfected inmiR-26a or silinc01296 only. Moreover, regulation of miR-26a and linc01296 affected GALNT3 level, which in consequence modified the O-glycosylation on MUC1. These results provided the novel notion that linc01296 and miR-26a exhibited regulatory role in O-glycosylation of MUC1 via PI3K/AKT signaling cascade.

**Linc01296 mediates liver metastasis and tumorigenesis of CRC cells in vivo**

To further confirm whether linc01296 involved in CRC liver metastasis and chemoresistance, the nude mice model was built. The liver metastasis of CRC model was conducted to clarify the effect of linc01296. Shlinc01296 decreased the tumor volume of liver metastasis (Fig. 7a up panel), and overexpression of linc01296 facilitated the liver metastasis of CRC (Fig. 7a down panel). As shown in Fig. 7b, GALNT3 expressed at a lower level in the model built by shlinc01296 transfected SW620 cells, and Ki67 also showed a lower level than the control group. Upregulation of linc01296 promoted the liver metastasis degree, in the meantime, overexpression of GALNT3 and Ki67 were also determined by IHC staining. To further confirm the CRC cell tumorigenesis, and the effect of concomitant application of shlinc01296, 5-FU or LY294002 in HCT-8/5-FU cells, green fluorescent protein applied for tumor-bearing nude mouse in vivo. Twenty-one days later, shlinc01296 sensitized 5-FU-resistant CRC cells compared to the control group. Moreover, inhibition of PI3K/AKT pathway decreased the tumor volume (Fig. 7c). IHC staining was used to identify the involvement of GALNT3 in CRC progression (Fig. 7d). Ki67 staining confirmed the lower proliferation in the mediation of shlinc01296 and LY294002. Tumor weight and volume were also recorded (Fig. 7e, f). Thus, the procession of liver metastasis and chemoresistance to 5-FU could efficiently reverse by shlinc01296 or combination with 5-FU and LY294002, which offered promising therapeutic targets.

**Discussion**

CRC patients often diagnosed with metastasis, which led to the poor clinical prognosis. Chemotherapy resistance
to 5-FU often resulted in the treatment failure of CRC patients. Abnormal O-glycosylation exerted promising potential for CRC progression. This study provided us a deeper clarification into the potential mechanism that ncRNAs-GALNT3-MUC1 network modulated the CRC progression via PI3K/AKT pathway.

Dysregulation of lncRNAs often leads to tumorigenesis and malignant progression. Linc01296 is verified as a key regulator in a variety of human tumors. Overexpression of linc01296 facilitates the progression of CRC [8]. During the proliferation and metastasis of prostate cancer, upregulation of linc01296 also presented potential effect to promote the procession [9]. High Linc01296 was confirmed as a promoted factor, and induced the malignant behaviour of bladder cancer [25]. In accordance with our study, linc01296 was overexpressed in CRC tissues and cell lines. High linc01296 level exhibited a close association with CRC prognosis, which also modulated CRC progression. The malignancy of CRC cell lines was reversed by knocking down linc01296. Our results indicated that linc01296 might function as potential therapy target of CRC.

Competitive endogenous RNA (ceRNA) was reported to form a large-scale regulatory network across the transcriptome. LncRNAs modulated the genetic message by using miRNA response elements. The functional genetic information of human genome was largely expanded. CeRNA molecular mechanism
involved in the procession of many diseases. H19 and HULC sponged let-7a/let-7b and miR-372/miR-373 to further regulate IL-6 and CXCR4 via ceRNA patterns in cholangiocarcinoma [26]. In renal cancer, IncARS was confirmed by binding miR-34/miR-449, in a ceRNA modulation manner, further affected AXL and c-MET level [27]. As a sponge of SNHG6–003, miR-26a also regulated hepatocellular carcinoma as ceRNA manner [11]. The molecular mechanism was further expounded of our research, indicated that linc01296 acted as a ceRNA of miR-26a. MiR-26a exhibited a repressive potential in hepatocellular carcinoma progression [28]. Down-regulation of miR-26a also correlated with the malignant procession of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [29]. In our previous study, we systematically proved the significant role of miR-26a in colorectal cancer aggressiveness [30]. In this research, we clarified the ceRNA mechanism between linc01296 and miR-26a. MiR-26a was collaborated as a direct target of linc01296 by dual-luciferase reporter gene assay. RIP assay was proved that miR-26a exhibited the endogenous interaction with linc01296 by utilizing the Ago2 antibody in CRC cell lines. Co-transfection of linc01296 and miR-26a both impacted CRC malignancy. Up regulation of linc01296 promoted CRC progression, while miR-26a played an inhibitory role to CRC procession. Interestingly, miR-26a could effectively reversed the facilitation to CRC. Functionally, miR-26a was found to be involved in the development of CRC.

Fig. 7 Linc01296 mediates liver metastasis and tumorigenesis of CRC cells in vivo. a CRC liver metastasis model were photographed of SW620 cells transfected with shSCR or shLinc01296 (up panel), and SW480 cells transfected with LV-NC and LV-Linc01296 (down panel). b H&E and IHC staining of liver and spleen derived from transfected SW620 cells (up panel) and transfected SW480 cells (down panel) were performed. c GFP signals of xenograft tumors were obtained with DMSO, 5-FU, 5-FU + LY294002 treated nude mice. d GALNT3 and Ki67 expression was shown by IHC staining. e Tumor weight of each group was detected. f Tumor volume was recorded.
through repressing linc01296 function both in vitro and in vivo. A closely association between linc01296 and miR-26a was identified and further modulated CRC progression.

O-linked glycosylation has been reported in the formation of tumor cell-surface, which is also very important during tumor occurrence and procession. O-linked glycans facilitate the interaction with tumor cells and normal cells [31]. Benzyl-α-GalNAc is common O-glycosylation inhibitor, which could effectively reduce mucin expression of cell surface but show no impacts on cell dynamics. During pancreatic cancer progression, mucin induced the limits of 5-FU therapy, which could be reversed by Benzyl-α-GalNAc [32]. Aberrant O-glycans indeed involved in tumor procession, which was regarded as potential therapeutic target of cancer. In pancreatic cancer, GALNT3 also play an indispensable role to facilitate tumor cell proliferation [33]. GALNT3 is predicted as an independent prognostic factor in ovarian cancer, via O-glycosylation of MUC1 protein on tumor cell surface [23]. The oncogenic potential of MUC1 has been fully illustrated in the previous study [21–23]. However, the exactly mechanism that the O-glycosylated MUC1, modified by GALNT3, influenced the CRC malignant behavior has not been demonstrated yet. Based on our work, upregulated GALNT3 was detected in CRC tissues and cell lines. GALNT3 was also validated as a direct target of miR-26a. Alteration of miR-26a obviously influenced GALNT3 level in CRC cell lines. Differential GALNT3 expression induced proliferation, aggressiveness, oncogenesis and chemoresistance both in vitro and in vivo. GALNT3 also revealed positive correlation with CRC malignancy. With the direct mediation of linc01296 and miR-26a, GALNT3 also exhibited a regulatory role in CRC malignancy. The altered VVA level indicated that GALNT3 modified O-glycosylation, emerging as the pivotal issue during CRC progression. The internal mechanism was further expounded, VVA binding MUC1 protein was altered in accompany with the GALNT3 level. The direct association between GALNT3 and O-glycosylated MUC1 was clarified. Logically, the downstream was further studied to illustrate the complete mechanism of CRC procession.

As a key oncogenic signaling pathway, PI3K/AKT pathway plays a pivotal role in various cancers, including breast cancer [34], colorectal cancer [35], hepatocellular carcinoma [36] and chronic lymphocytic leukemia [37]. More researchers clarify the molecular mechanism involved in proliferation, metastasis and chemoresistance both in vitro and in vivo [38, 39]. Although the involvement of PI3K/AKT pathway in CRC has been declared, O-glycosylated MUC1 mediated the signaling cascade has not been fully explained so far. Blocking MUC1 proteins decreased the main molecules levels of the pathway, indicating the indispensable effect of MUC1 on activating the cascade. Treatment with VVA, the pathway was further inhibited. O-glycosylated MUC1 was confirmed as the critical modulator of PI3K/AKT pathway. In accordance with the effect of MUC1, GALNT3 level also influenced the activation of the cascade. Altered linc01296 and miR-26a could effect-ively influence the expression of PI3K/AKT pathway molecules. Our data showed that the linc01296/miR-26a/GALNT3/ O-glycosylated MUC1 regulatory network might be the possible mechanism involved in CRC development. Moreover, our results also confirmed the altered linc01296 could obviously change the liver metastatic degree in vivo. Combination of 5-FU and LY294002 could significantly attenuate the CRC tumor growth by in-situ observation. These results further revealed that linc01296/miR-26a/O-glycosylated MUC1 regulatory crosstalk might postpone CRC progression through PI3K/AKT pathway, which offered a promising therapy target for CRC patients.

In summary, our present research provided convincing evidence that linc01296/miR-26a axis regulated GALNT3 expression, and further modified O-glycosylation of CRC cells (Additional file 1: Figure S1). The regulatory linc01296/miR-26a/GALNT3/MUC1 crosstalk activated PI3K/AKT cascade during CRC procession. However, the mechanisms involved in metastasis and chemoresistance remained challenging issue of CRC clinical therapy. CRC evolution was still a multifactorial event and our work provided promising biomarkers for CRC diagnosis and therapeutic application.

Conclusions
The regulatory linc01296/miR-26a/GALNT3 axis modified MUC1 with O-glycosylation efficiently during CRC procession. This modulatory crosstalk might be applied as novel biomarker and therapeutic target in CRC progression.
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Additional file 1: Figure S1. Mechanism for the regulatory function of linc01296/miR-26a/GALNT3 axis modulating O-Glycosylated MUC1 via PI3K/AKT pathway. (DOCX 366 kb)
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