Stable Isotope Values in South American Fur Seal Pup Whiskers as Proxies of Year-round Maternal Foraging Ecology

Kayleigh A. Jones1,2 · Alastair. M. M. Baylis3,4 · Rachael A. Orben5 · Norman Ratcliffe1 · Stephen C. Votier2 · Jason Newton6 · Iain J. Staniland1

Received: 9 April 2020 / Accepted: 28 August 2020 / Published online: 29 September 2020
© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract
Natural selection should favour strategies that maximise reproductive success. Females may use different resources during progressive stages of reproduction according to energetic demands, behavioural constraints and prey availability. We used South American fur seal, Arctocephalus australis australis, pup whisker isotope values as proxies for maternal diet and habitat use to determine how resource use (1) changes throughout pup development from in utero growth to mid-end of lactation and (2) how it differs among individuals. The longest whisker was cut from 5 male and 5 female fur seal pups (of approximately 8 months of age) at Bird Island, Falkland Islands, in 2018, and δ15N values and δ13C values were analysed every 5 mm along the length of each whisker. Patterns in δ13C values indicated that mothers used different habitats during the annual cycle, likely coinciding with seasonal shifts in prey availability or distribution. The individual specialisation index based on δ13C values was 0.34, indicating that adult females used different habitats, which could reduce intra-specific competition and ultimately enhance pup growth and survival. An increase in δ15N values occurred along every pup whisker from pup birth to mid-end of lactation, which likely reflected trophic enrichment related to suckling and fasting by pups, overriding the maternal isotopic signature. Pup whisker stable isotopes are useful proxies of maternal foraging ecology. However, physiological processes complicate interpretations by altering δ15N values. Interpreting these values therefore requires additional knowledge of the species’ ecology and physiology.

Introduction
In mammals, gestation and lactation have different energetic requirements that influence maternal resource use (Gittleman and Thompson 1988). During gestation, female mammals must grow and maintain foetal, uterine, placental and mammary tissues, which is particularly demanding towards the end of gestation when the foetus is large (Gittleman and Thompson 1988; Hückstädt et al. 2018). During lactation, maternal metabolism dramatically increases as nutrients are transferred to the mammary glands to produce milk for offspring (Crocker et al. 1998). Some otariid (eared seal) species are concurrently pregnant while lactating, so have higher costs of breeding than otariids that do not lactate and gestate simultaneously (Lima and Páez 1995). Otariids are also central place foragers and must alternate between foraging at sea and suckling pups ashore. Hence, the distance and duration of successful foraging trips are limited by the fasting ability of offspring (Villegas-Amtmann et al. 2017). As a result of these changing demands, females may alter their
diet and habitat use during offspring development (Krebs and Davies 1997; Berger 1991; Rachlow and Bowyer 1998).

Changes in maternal foraging ecology during gestation and lactation could also differ among individual mothers (Rea et al. 2015). This is because body size, age and experience can affect diet preference, search efficiency and prey handling ability (Estes et al. 2003; Villegas-Amtmann et al. 2008; Jeglinski et al. 2012; Baylis et al. 2016). Individuals may therefore consistently use only a subset of resources available to all individuals within the population (Zango et al. 2019). Individual specialisation by mothers can reduce competitive interactions within a population and so enhance offspring growth, survival and ultimately maternal fitness (Laidre et al. 2008; Bowman et al. 2010; Urquía and Páez-Rosas 2019). During lactation, individual offspring may also allocate the energy they obtain from ingesting milk differently into their own development, as a result of their sex, condition and body size (e.g. McDonald et al. 2012a, b). For example, female Antarctic fur seal pups, Arctocephalus gazella, and Australian fur seal pups, Arctocephalus pusillus doriferus, may allocate more energy into accumulating fat stores whereas males allocate more energy into growing lean body tissues (Arnould et al. 1996; Arnould and Hindell 2002). Hence, quantifying individual specialisation and accounting for how offspring allocate energy enables a more complete understanding of maternal resource use.

Offspring are usually easier to capture and handle than adults and stable isotopes in young animals have been used as proxies for maternal foraging behaviour in sharks (Olin et al. 2011), cetaceans (Meissner et al. 2012) and pinnipeds (Wolf et al. 2008; Drago et al. 2010; Lowther and Goldsworthy 2011; Hindell et al. 2012). Stable isotopes reflect the ratio of heavy to light isotopes used by an organism, plus an added trophic discrimination factor from processes involved in assimilating into growing tissues (Ben-David and Flaherty 2012). Nitrogen isotope ratios (15N/14N expressed as δ15N) generally indicate trophic position, as they increase with trophic level since 15N is preferentially retained in the body and 14N excreted in urine and faeces (Minagawa and Wada 1984; Fry 1988; DeNiro and Epstein 1976; Post 2002). In marine systems, carbon isotope ratios (13C/12C expressed as δ13C) indicate geographic source of prey, as δ13C values in primary producers generally decline with increasing latitude and are typically higher in benthic and inshore regions than pelagic and offshore regions (Hobson et al. 1994; France 1995; Kelly 2000; McCutchan et al. 2003).

In addition to considering ecological processes, interpreting isotope values relies on an understanding of the influence of physiological processes, such as growth, pregnancy and nutritional stress (McHuron et al. 2019). Foetal development likely requires a constant and reliable supply of energy, in which remobilised endogenous maternal proteins are primarily used for foetal protein synthesis, as revealed by amino acid analyses along southern elephant seal, Mirounga leonina, pup whiskers (Lübcker et al. 2020). Indeed, this mechanism can cause a gradual enrichment in δ13C along pup whiskers during gestation (Lübcker et al. 2020). Furthermore, trophic level enrichment in 15N often occurs from mother to offspring during lactation in mammals (Jenkins et al. 2001; Aurioles et al. 2006). Fasting also leads to increased δ15N values in tissues of several marine species, including northern elephant seals, Mirounga angustirostris (Spurlin et al. 2019), king penguins, Aptenodytes patagonicus (Cherel et al. 2005) and polar bears, Ursus maritimus (Polischuk et al. 2001). Since ecological and physiological processes vary among species, isotope profiles in offspring are species and tissue specific (Jenkins et al. 2001).

Whiskers from South American fur seal pups, Arctocephalus australis australis, provide an ideal case study of maternal resource use during an extended period of pup development. Pup whiskers are composed of keratin, they are inert (in terms of isotope ratios) at formation, continuously grow and reach over 8 cm in length towards the end of lactation. Stable isotopes can therefore be analysed over a fine temporal scale throughout offspring development, from in utero growth to lactation, by sampling segments along the length of pup whiskers. Adult female South American fur seals give birth on pupping beaches around mid-December (Franco-Trecu 2005), then alternate between suckling their pups on land, and foraging at sea over a period of ~ 10 months. Beginning in March–April, adult females must provide energy to their nursing pup as well as their growing foetus (Lima and Páz 1995). They are effectively central-place foragers year-round, only free from breeding constraints for about 2 months between weaning their pup in October and giving birth in December. Changes in δ13C values along whiskers therefore more likely reflect inshore/benthic foraging vs offshore/pelagic foraging, as opposed to foraging over a large latitudinal gradient (e.g. Cherel et al. 2009). Mothers may take longer foraging trips towards the end of lactation, as they have higher energetic demands of supporting a large pup and developing foetus, and their pups can withstand longer fasts for up to several weeks (Thompson et al. 2003; Baylis et al. 2018a). Since fur seals target oceanographic features that enhance biological productivity and aggregate prey (Baylis et al. 2008), they may also feed on different prey according to seasonal changes in oceanography and prey availability (Laptikhovsky 2009). Trends in δ15N values along whiskers can reflect these dietary changes, but physiological processes (i.e. pregnancy, lactation and fasting) should also be accounted for.

Studying maternal foraging ecology in South American fur seals is valuable, given marine megafauna are often regarded as playing important roles in ecosystem structure and function (Estes et al. 2016), and compared to other otariid species, South American fur seals are poorly studied.
Indeed, in the Falklands, which hosts the largest population in the world, research has been limited to only a handful of studies (Thompson et al. 2003; Laptikhovsky 2009; Baylis et al. 2014, 2018a, b, 2019). We aimed to determine how stable isotope values of South American fur seal pup whiskers from the Falklands change throughout pup development from in utero growth to mid-end of lactation and how these differ among individuals. We interpret trends in δ13C and δ15N values by considering habitat use, diet and physiological processes.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

The procedures in this study were reviewed and approved by the Falkland Islands Government (permit R19/2018). Every effort was made to minimise disturbance, and no pups were injured during handling procedures.

Sample collection and preparation

Fieldwork was conducted at Bird Island, Falkland Islands (52.1678°S, 60.9260°W), from 12th – 18th August 2018. Only 10 pups were sampled as a result of funding and time constraints. Five male and 5 female pups of approximately 8 months of age (assuming peak births occurred in mid-December; Franco-Trecu 2005) were selected at the edge of the colony to reduce disturbance. Each pup was caught in a net and measured (total body length, girth, fore flipper length, fore flipper width, fore flipper end, i.e. length between the first and fifth digits, hind flipper length and ankle), and the longest whisker was cut from the right side of the face as close to the skin as possible. Since pups were caught away from breeding females, body measurements were considered more reliable indicators of pup size than mass, as mass fluctuates substantially according to milk consumption (e.g. Jones et al. 2020a).

Whiskers were cleaned with a sponge and Ecover washing-up liquid, placed in an ultrasonic water bath for 5 minutes, inspected under a microscope (any dirt was removed with a scalpel blade), then rinsed with 100% ethanol. Sample segments weighing a target mass of 0.7 mg were cut every 5 mm along the length of each whisker (from base to tip), then weighed into 3 × 5 mm tin capsules. Samples (n = 173) were loaded into an Elementar (Hanau, Germany) Pyrocube Elemental Analyser (Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre, UK), which converted carbon and nitrogen in the samples to CO2 and N2 gases. Stable isotope ratios (δ13C and δ15N) of evolved gases were measured on a Thermo-Fisher-Scientific (Bremen, Germany) Delta XP Plus Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (IRMS). Ratios were corrected for instrument drift and linearity using interspersed samples of reference materials with known stable isotope values (mean ± SD): GEL (gelatin solution, δ13C = −20.09 ± 0.19‰, δ15N = 5.59 ± 0.12‰), ALAGEL (alanine-gelatine solution spiked with 13C-alanine, δ13C = −8.69 ± 0.17, δ15N = 2.22 ± 0.08‰) and GLYGEL (glycine-gelatine solution spiked with 15N-alanine, δ13C = −38.35 ± 0.13‰, δ15N = 23.19 ± 0.22‰), each dried for 2 h at 70°C. Four USGS 40 glutamic acid standards (Qi et al. 2003; Coplen et al. 2006) were also used as independent checks of accuracy. Stable isotope ratios were expressed in parts per thousand (‰) deviation from the international standards (Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite for carbon and AIR, N2 for nitrogen) according to the following equation:

\[ \delta X = \left[ \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} \right) - 1 \right], \]

where X is 15N or 13C and R is the corresponding ratio (15N/14N) or (13C/12C).

Data analysis

All data analyses were conducted in R version 3.6.0. (R Core Team 2019). To determine whether male pups invested more energy into whisker growth than female pups, as found in adults of closely related otariid species (e.g. Kernaléguen et al. 2015; Jones et al. 2020b), we conducted a Welch’s t-test on whisker lengths (as data were normally distributed and had unequal variances). We also tested whether body morphology significantly differed between 4 male pups (as girth was not obtained for male P7 and we considered girth an important indicator of body size) and five female pups by running a Principal Components Analysis (PCA) on body measurements and testing the output from Principal Component 1 (PC1) and Principal Component 2 (PC2) in Welch’s t-tests.

For each pup whisker, the convex hull area was calculated as a measure of isotopic niche width using the SIBER package (Jackson et al. 2011). Locally weighted scatterplot smoothers (LOESS) were then fitted to δ15N values along the length of each whisker, revealing distinct troughs in values where the minimum δ15N value presumably occurred close to timing of pup birth, as reported in whiskers of Steller sea lion, Eumetopias jubatus, pups (Rea et al. 2015). This trough was used to estimate growth rate of each whisker (per month) by dividing whisker length from the facial end to the minimum δ15N value by pup age at capture (~8 months). Whisker growth rates were then used to estimate pup age at every 5 mm interval along the length of each whisker (including in utero).

To determine how stable isotope values changed on a continuous scale throughout pup development, δ13C and δ15N
values were used as separate response variables in generalised additive mixed models (GAMMs) using the mgcv package in R (Wood 2017). Predictor variables in candidate models included pup age, sex and their interactions. Pup ID was specified as a random intercept and smooth effect to account for variability in $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values among individuals, and a corARMA structure ($p = 2, q = 0$) was applied to account for temporal autocorrelation in residuals. Models were ranked by Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and the best-fit model for each analysis was selected according to the lowest AIC (the simplest model was selected if models differed by less than 2 AIC units). Residual plots were checked for normality and homoscedasticity. To give a broad indication of how pup isotope values compared with those of adult females, mean $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values in whiskers of 14 adult females (Baylis et al. unpublished data) in segments that were grown approximately over the same time period as pup whiskers were included in figures (these females were not the pups’ mothers).

Individual specialisation indices in pup $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values were also quantified to determine the average similarity among individuals and the sample population (Araújo et al. 2011). The variance components were partitioned from each best-fit model and the within individual component (WIC) was divided by the total niche width (TNW, i.e. the sum of the variance components). An individual specialisation value of zero indicates individuals are complete specialists, whereas a value of one indicates individuals occupied the whole range of the sample population’s (isotopic) niche (Roughgarden 1972; Bolnick et al. 2002).

To broadly determine whether isotope values differed between the sexes and among different stages of pup growth, as well as quantify variance in isotopes values among these stages, $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values were used as separate response variables in linear mixed effects models using the nlme package (Pinheiro et al. 2019). Predictor variables in candidate models included stage of pup growth based on the known life cycle (pup growing in utero while mother suckles an older pup; pup growing in utero while mother is free from nursing constraints; pup suckling from the mother), sex, and their interactions. Pup ID was also specified as a random intercept to account for variability in $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values among individuals. Levene’s tests were conducted to determine whether variance in $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values differed among stages of pup growth. To indicate the statistical power of the linear mixed effects models, repeatability indices of $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values were estimated (while accounting for individual and stage of pup growth) using the R package rptR (Stofell et al. 2019). Repeatability indices show the proportion of variation that is reproducible by comparing the original data with simulated response data from the fitted model using parametric bootstrapping (Nakagawa and Schielzeth 2010). All results were reported as means ± standard deviation unless otherwise stated.

### Results

#### Pup morphology

Pup whisker length averaged $9.28 \pm 0.38$ cm and did not significantly differ between the sexes (Welch’s $t$-test: $t = 0.35, P = 0.74$; Table 1). Pup whisker growth rates averaged $0.67 \pm 0.14$ cm per month and also did not differ significantly between the sexes (Welch’s $t$-test: $t = 1.33, P = 0.84$; Table 1). Males were slightly larger in body size than females, as indicated by PCA. Specifically, loadings for PC1 were highest for fore flipper end (0.44), followed by fore flipper width (0.42), hind flipper length (0.40), body length (0.36), ankle (0.36), fore flipper length (0.33) and girth (0.30), while loadings for PC2 were highest for girth (0.62), followed by fore flipper length (−0.59), body

### Table 1

| Pup ID | Sex | Body length (cm) | Whisker length (cm) | Whisker growth rate (cm/month) | $\delta^{13}C$ range (‰) | $\delta^{15}N$ range (‰) | Convex hull area |
|--------|-----|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| P1     | F   | 78               | 8.7                 | 0.75                          | −16.15 to −14.43         | 15.57–17.21             | 2.02            |
| P2     | F   | 81               | 10                  | 0.75                          | −16.76 to −14.85         | 13.52–16.52             | 1.11            |
| P8     | F   | 95               | 10                  | 0.81                          | −16.08 to −14.19         | 15.38–17.75             | 2.34            |
| P9     | F   | 78               | 7.5                 | 0.56                          | −17.11 to −15.13         | 13.90–17.13             | 3.57            |
| P10    | F   | 88               | 9.5                 | 0.69                          | −15.81 to −14.15         | 15.25–18.53             | 2.86            |
| P3     | M   | 93               | 10                  | 0.81                          | −16.46 to −14.75         | 14.92–17.68             | 2.95            |
| P4     | M   | 87               | 7.1                 | 0.38                          | −15.71 to −14.56         | 15.64–17.04             | 0.95            |
| P5     | M   | 95               | 10.5                | 0.56                          | −15.87 to −14.89         | 15.37–17.06             | 0.69            |
| P6     | M   | 83               | 10.5                | 0.56                          | −16.29 to −15.09         | 15.11–17.42             | 1.87            |
| P7     | M   | 84               | 9                   | 0.69                          | −16.73 to −14.62         | 15.06–17.77             | 2.68            |
length (0.39), ankle (−0.31), hind flipper length (−0.11) fore flipper end (0.03) and fore flipper width (0.03) (Fig. 1). PC1 and PC2 explained 72.5 and 15.5% of the variability in pup morphology measurements respectively. The mean scores between males and females differed by 2.84 for PC1 (Welch’s t-test: \( t = −38, P = 0.05 \)) and 0.18 for PC2 (Welch’s t-test: \( t = −0.22, P = 0.84 \)) (Fig. 1).

**Trends in isotope values along whiskers**

The \( \delta^{15}N \) values along the length of pup whiskers did not differ significantly between sexes and were best explained by age: a trough in \( \delta^{15}N \) values occurred during the transition from gestation to lactation, then \( \delta^{15}N \) values increased throughout lactation (GAMM; \( s(Age) < 0.0001, R\text{-squared} = 0.54 \); Fig. 2a; Table 2). The individual specialisation index was 0.48, indicating that individuals used almost half of the sample population’s isotopic niche.

The \( \delta^{13}C \) values along the length of whiskers did not differ significantly between sexes and were best explained by age: a trough in \( \delta^{13}C \) values also occurred during the transition from gestation to lactation, but this was less prominent along individual pup whiskers than the trough in \( \delta^{15}N \) values (GAMM; \( s(Age) < 0.0001, R\text{-squared} = 0.27 \); Fig. 2b; Table 2). The individual specialisation index was 0.34. Individuals therefore used a lower proportion of the isotopic niche along the \( \delta^{13}C \) axis than \( \delta^{15}N \) axis. Two female pups (P2 and P10) had noticeably lower mean \( \delta^{13}C \) values and \( \delta^{15}N \) values than other pups.

**Shifts in isotope values during pup growth**

Pup isotope values shifted among stages of pup growth and there were no significant differences in \( \delta^{13}C \) or \( \delta^{15}N \) values between the sexes (Fig. 3; Table 3). The mean \( \delta^{13}C \) value changed from \(-15.84 \pm 0.12\%o\) when the pup was in utero while the mother suckled another pup, to \(-15.28 \pm 0.12\%o\) when the pup was in utero and its sibling had weaned, to \(-15.59 \pm 0.10\%o\) when the pup suckled from the mother (Linear mixed effects model: \( P < 0.001, \text{conditional } R\text{-squared} = 0.31, \text{marginal } R\text{-squared} = 0.09 \)). The mean \( \delta^{15}N \) value changed from \(15.57 \pm 0.18\%o\) when the pup was in utero while the mother suckled another pup, to \(16.32 \pm 0.18\%o\) when the pup was in utero, to

---

**Table 2** Generalised Additive Mixed Model selection with \( \delta^{13}C \) and \( \delta^{15}N \) values modelled as separate response variables and individual seal modelled as a random effect

| Model terms | d.f | Log-likelihood | AIC | \( \Delta \text{AIC} \) |
|-------------|-----|----------------|-----|------------------|
| \( \delta^{15}N \) response | | | | |
| \( s(Age) \) | 9 | −126.2 | 270.3 | 0 |
| \( s(Age) + \text{Sex} \) | 10 | −125.8 | 271.6 | 1.3 |
| \( s(Age + \text{Sex}) \) | 11 | −132.6 | 287.3 | 17 |
| \( s(Age + \text{Sex}) + \text{Sex} \) | 12 | −132.2 | 288.4 | 18.1 |
| \( \text{Sex} \) | 8 | −208.3 | 432.5 | 162.2 |
| \( \delta^{13}C \) response | | | | |
| \( s(Age) \) | 9 | −108.4 | 234.9 | 0 |
| \( s(Age) + \text{Sex} \) | 10 | −108.3 | 236.5 | 1.6 |
| \( s(Age + \text{Sex}) \) | 11 | −114.6 | 251.2 | 16.3 |
| \( s(Age + \text{Sex}) + \text{Sex} \) | 12 | −114.5 | 253.1 | 18.2 |
| \( \text{Sex} \) | 8 | −133.2 | 282.3 | 47.4 |

---

**Table 3** Linear Mixed Model selection with \( \delta^{13}C \) and \( \delta^{15}N \) values modelled as separate response variables and individual seal modelled as a random effect

| Model terms | d.f | Log-likelihood | AIC | \( \Delta \text{AIC} \) |
|-------------|-----|----------------|-----|------------------|
| \( \delta^{15}N \) response | | | | |
| Stage | 6 | −196.0 | 404.6 | 0 |
| Sex + Stage | 7 | −195.6 | 405.8 | 1.2 |
| Sex + Stage + Sex × Stage | 9 | −194.7 | 408.4 | 3.8 |
| Sex | 5 | −208.3 | 426.9 | 22.3 |
| \( \delta^{13}C \) response | | | | |
| Stage | 6 | −113.9 | 240.2 | 0 |
| Sex + Stage | 7 | −113.7 | 242.1 | 1.9 |
| Sex + Stage + Sex × Stage | 9 | −112.6 | 244.2 | 4.0 |
| Sex | 5 | −133.2 | 276.7 | 36.5 |

The values for each candidate model include degrees of freedom (d.f.), log-likelihood, AIC value and difference in AIC value (\( \Delta \text{AIC} \)) between the candidate model and best-fit model.
16.17 ± 0.15‰ when the pup was suckling (Linear mixed effects model: \(P < 0.001\), conditional \(R^2 = 0.36\), marginal \(R^2 = 0.13\)) (Table 3). The variance in \(\delta^{13}C\) values significantly differed among stages of pup growth, as variance was greater when mothers were not suckling (due to large differences among individuals) than during other time periods (Levene’s test: \(F = 5.8, P = 0.004\)). However, the variance in \(\delta^{15}N\) values did not differ significantly among stages of pup growth (Levene’s test: \(F = 2.4, P = 0.09\)). Our sample size provided sufficient power for these results, as the repeatability indices of isotope values were significant at 0.11 ± 0.05 (CI 0.03–0.23, \(P < 0.001\)) for \(\delta^{13}C\) and 0.22 ± 0.12 (CI 0.06–0.50, \(P < 0.001\)) for \(\delta^{15}N\).

### Discussion

This is the first study to quantify trends in stable isotope values along the length of South American fur seal pup whiskers, providing insights into maternal resource use during pup development. We found a characteristic trough in both \(\delta^{15}N\) and \(\delta^{13}C\) values, which likely occurred around the timing of pup birth, and that \(\delta^{15}N\) values gradually increased during the lactation period. Isotope values also indicated individual specialisation in resource use by the mothers, particularly along the \(\delta^{13}C\) axis. We explore the underlying drivers of these findings by addressing maternal resource use, as well as physiological processes occurring in mothers and pups, during gestation and lactation.

### Gestation

Trophic enrichment of \(^{15}N\) was apparent in pup whiskers in utero, as \(\delta^{15}N\) values were higher than the mean \(\delta^{15}N\) value in whiskers of adult females breeding at Bird Island (Fig. 2a). Isotopic fractionation in \(\delta^{15}N\) between mother and offspring during gestation has been found in several marine mammal species, including northern elephant seals and harbour porpoises, *Phocoena phocoena* (Habran et al. 2010; Fontaine 2002). Small isotope fractionations may occur from placental uptake and excretion between mother and offspring during gestation, and harvesting success has been found in several marine mammal species, including northern elephant seals and harbour porpoises, *Phocoena phocoena* (Habran et al. 2010; Fontaine 2002). Small isotope fractionations may occur from placental uptake and excretion between mother and offspring in utero (Fuller et al. 2004). However, the degree of fractionation may change according to maternal foraging success and body condition during pregnancy (Lübcker et al. 2020).

A characteristic trough in \(\delta^{15}N\) values occurred along pup whiskers (Fig. 2a), which presumably corresponded to timing around pup birth as documented in Steller sea lion pup whiskers (Rea et al. 2015). This pattern may occur in other otariid species, but is often missed as fewer samples are cut from each pup whisker (e.g. Urquía and Páez-Rosas 2019; Baylis et al. 2016). The decline in \(\delta^{15}N\) values prior to birth may result from maternal changes in prey selection or physiological processes when the pup is still in utero. In some marine mammals, such as bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops truncatus*, pregnancy poses an energetic cost by increasing drag forces during swimming (Noren et al. 2011). Pregnancy could also limit dive capacity, for example, dive duration in northern elephant seals declines during the last weeks of pregnancy, potentially because of increased foetal \(O_2\) demand (Hückstädt et al. 2018).

Females may therefore consume lower trophic level prey that is easier to capture or in higher abundance to meet their physiological abilities and energetic requirements. A change in maternal foraging behaviour is also supported by the corresponding decline in \(\delta^{13}C\) values prior to pup
birth. Adult females are free from central place foraging constraints at this time, so have the option of foraging further offshore where baseline $\delta^{13}C$ values and $\delta^{15}N$ values tend to be lower. Indeed, tracking data suggest that some individuals move further offshore on extended foraging trips (Thompson et al. 2003; Baylis et al. 2018a).

Alternatively, physiological mechanisms may have driven the decline in $\delta^{15}N$ values prior to pup birth. During pregnancy nitrogen stress could activate a complex physiological process, whereby urea synthesis and excretion decrease, while nitrogen is retained and returned to the maternal metabolic pool (Forrester et al. 1994; McClleland et al. 1997; King 2000; Fuller et al. 2004). This may cause a decline in $\delta^{15}N$ values in maternal tissues and offspring tissues, which is observed in the fingernails of human mothers and their newborn children (Fuller et al. 2006). The decline in $\delta^{15}N$ values may result from more direct routing of amino acids from the diet towards tissues synthesis and/or increased urea salvage in the colon (whereby $\delta^{15}N$ values in the diet and urine are lower than the consumer’s tissues) (Fuller et al. 2004). This process may occur in otariids, including towards the end of South American fur seal gestation, when pup growth and maintenance place greater demands on the mother. Given that the trend in $\delta^{15}N$ values is apparent in humans, Steller sea lion pup whiskers, Zalophus wollebaeki (Urquía and Páez-Rosas 2019) and may be present in other otariid species.

Variation in adult female habitat use, as indicated by the near-cyclic pattern in $\delta^{13}C$ values, likely reflects seasonal changes in maternal foraging behaviour, according to the availability and distribution of preferred prey and changing pup needs. In early lactation (mid Dec–Feb), pups are small and could benefit from regular meals (Thompson et al. 2003). Lactating females therefore concentrate their foraging efforts near to Bird Island (Thompson et al. 2003), which is reflected by an increase in $\delta^{13}C$ values after pup birth. At this time, adult females potentially feed on lobster krill, Munida sp. (a near-shore species), which is a common prey species in the diet of South American fur seals (Strange 1992; Thompson and Moss 2001) that aggregates in dense shoals in January–March (Baylis et al. 2014).

**Lactation**

During the lactation period adult female otariids are limited in the duration and distance of their foraging trips, so they likely exploit a lower variety of habitats than when they are not suckling a pup (Páez-Rosas and Aurioles-Gamboa 2010; Urquía and Páez-Rosas 2019). Indeed, adult female South American fur seals tracked from Bird Island in 1999 made shorter trips during lactation than when they were freed from central place foraging constraints in spring (Oct–Dec) (Thompson et al. 2003). The $\delta^{13}C$ values along pup whiskers were therefore more consistent when mothers were suckling pups, as mothers were obliged to forage closer to the colony since time constraints prevented them from accessing habitats further afield. This pattern has also been documented in Galapagos sea lion pup whiskers, Zalophus wollebaeki (Urquía and Páez-Rosas 2019) and may be present in other otariid species.

![Fig. 3 Means (points) and standard deviations (lines) of South American fur seal pup whisker stable isotope values during stages of pup growth: a pup growing in utero while mother suckles an older sibling; b pup growing in utero after sibling has weaned; c pup suckling from mother](image-url)
April–May, the peak in δ^{13}C values and similarity in δ^{13}C values among individuals may indicate an influx of prey, driving females to forage in particular regions with higher baseline isotope signatures. For example, Patagonian squid, *Doryteuthis gahi*, is one of the more frequently occurring prey species in the South American fur seal diet (with that of Falkland herring, *Sprattus fuegensis*; Baylis et al. 2014), and was particularly abundant in April 2018 (Falkland Islands Government 2019). As the lactation period progresses (mid-May–August), pups can withstand longer fasts and the decline in δ^{13}C values indicates that mothers forage further offshore, where they may maximise energy intake. Determining more precise foraging locations from isotopes is difficult, due to complex physical structures and oceanography in this region, such as shelf breaks (where baseline δ^{13}C values could be lower than offshore areas from upwelled δ^{13}C-depleted dissolved inorganic carbon (e.g. Troina et al. 2020), coupled with incursions of the Falklands current. However, our results indicate that maternal foraging ecology changes throughout reproduction, enabling sufficient allocation of resources to pup growth and development.

The δ^{15}N values along every pup whisker increased as pups aged from 0 to 8 months. This pattern also occurred along Steller sea lion pup whiskers (although δ^{15}N values levelled off around mid-lactation) (Rea et al. 2015) and along fingernails of children (Fuller et al. 2006). It is unlikely that mothers consumed higher trophic level prey, as there was no concurrent increase in δ^{15}N values along adult female whiskers (Baylis et al. unpublished data). Physiological processes in pups had likely overridden the maternal δ^{15}N signatures. During lactation, mothers catabolise their own tissues to synthesise milk and pups start digesting and incorporating nutrients from milk, therefore feeding at a higher trophic level than their mothers (Newsome et al. 2010). Indeed, the tissues of suckling northern fur seal pups, *Callorhinus ursinus*, and California sea lion pups, *Zalophus californianus*, were δ^{15}N-enriched by ~3‰ relative to their mothers (Newsome et al. 2006). Changes in diet are not instantaneously reflected by isotope values, as a result of tissue turnover and the use of amino acids from dietary components as well as endogenous protein reserves (Ayliffe et al. 2004).

Pup fasting cycles likely also contributed to the increasing δ^{15}N values along whiskers. As the lactation period progressed, pups can withstand longer fasts as their mothers undertake longer foraging trips (female trip duration lasts ~100 h, ~170 h and 190 h for those breeding at Bird Island, North Fur Island and Volunteer Rocks, respectively; Thompson et al. 2003; Baylis et al. 2018a). During the austral winter in 2018, adult females breeding at Bird Island had exceptionally long foraging trips and spent up to 3 weeks at sea when pups were approximately 8 months old (Baylis et al. unpublished data). During this time pups fasted ashore and mainly relied on their own energy stores. When animals are under nutritional or physiological stress, they effectively ‘feed on themselves’ (Cherel et al. 2005). If nitrogen from lean tissues (which is δ^{15}N enriched relative to diet) is used for protein synthesis, then δ^{15}N is preferentially returned to the nitrogen pool and recycled again, resulting in tissues enriched in δ^{15}N (Kurle and Worthy 2001; Fuller et al. 2005; Habran et al. 2010; Newsome et al. 2010). Indeed, this catabolism occurs in Steller sea lion pups after only 2.5 days of fasting (Rea et al. 2000). We therefore propose that South American fur seal pups were in a catabolic–anabolic state during lactation, as their sources of nutrition alternated between milk and their own endogenous stores.

**Individual specialisation**

Individual offspring may allocate resources differently because of their sex, size, and condition (Arnould et al. 1996; McDonald et al. 2012a, b). Male South American fur seal pups were slightly larger in body size than females, potentially reflecting the importance of a large body size to future male reproductive success in otariids (Cappozzo et al. 1991). However, whisker length, whisker growth rate, and stable isotope values along whiskers did not significantly differ between male and female pups, indicating that similar resources were allocated into growing these vital sensory organs at this early life stage. For 3 male pups a greater proportion of whisker was present representing in-utero growth, presumably because less abrasion of whisker tips occurred as either a result of pup behaviour or due to more resilient whiskers. Whisker lengths and whisker growth rates may also differ among individual pups as a result of individual differences in maternal investment (Rea et al. 2015).

Stable isotopes in offspring tissues can provide insights into maternal individual specialisation (e.g. Lowther and Goldsworthy 2011). The δ^{13}C values along South American fur seal pup whiskers indicated that mothers used less than half the proportion (34%) of the population’s niche along the δ^{13}C axis. For example, pups P2 and P9 had notably lower δ^{13}C and δ^{15}N values than other pups, suggesting their mothers foraged further offshore and consumed lower trophic level prey than other mothers, representing an alternative foraging strategy. Both δ^{13}C and δ^{15}N values were more variable among individuals during early lactation when central place foraging constraints were greater, than during mid-late lactation when these constraints were more relaxed (Fig. 2). During early lactation, mothers’ foraging distributions may overlap spatially and competition may force them to specialise (e.g. on benthic vs pelagic prey or different trophic level prey) to enhance their foraging success. Other marine species, such as Gentoo penguins, *Pygoscelis papua*, also show higher individual specialisation when foraging effort and competition increase (e.g. Ratcliffe et al. 2018).
Specialisation in South American fur seals potentially developed from intense competition, as a result of their colonial breeding and central place foraging, as well as their prolonged lactation period (e.g. Urquía and Páez-Rosas 2019).

**Conclusion**

Stable isotopes in offspring tissues can improve understanding of maternal foraging ecology and physiology. We found that sampling offspring was a valuable method, because offspring could be handled more easily than adults and stable isotopes in their tissues revealed distinct trends during reproduction (e.g. during birth and lactation) and over a relatively long time scale (i.e. over a year). Stable isotope values along otariid pup whiskers can provide broad-scale information on their mother’s habitat use, whether foraging habitat or prey changes over time, and whether individual specialisation is present. South American fur seal mothers changed their foraging strategies throughout offspring development, as a result of their changing energetic needs, changes in the pup’s ability to fast, and seasonal changes in prey. Individual foraging specialisation was evident, which may enable mothers to forage successfully to enhance pup growth and survival, as well as their own survival. Since both ecological and physiological processes influence isotope values (e.g. with trophic enrichment and pup fasting cycles during lactation complicating the overall picture), interpreting isotope values requires additional knowledge of the species’ diet, ecology, and physiology.
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