The physical properties of the stick insect pad secretion are independent of body size
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Many insects use adhesive organs to climb. The ability to cling to surfaces is advantageous but is increasingly challenged as animals grow, due to the associated reduction in surface-to-volume ratio. Previous work has demonstrated that some climbing animals overcome this scaling problem by systematically altering the maximum force per area that their adhesive pads can sustain; their adhesive organs become more efficient as they grow, an observation which is also of substantial relevance for the design of bioinspired adhesives. What is the origin of this change in efficiency? In insects, adhesive contact is mediated by a thin film of a liquid, thought to increase adhesive performance via capillary and viscous forces. Here, we use interference reflection microscopy and dewetting experiments to measure the contact angle and dewetting speed of the secretion of pre-tarsal adhesive pads of Indian stick insects, varying in mass by over two orders of magnitude. Neither contact angle nor dewetting speed change significantly with body mass, suggesting that the key physical properties of the pad secretion—its surface tension and viscosity—are size-invariant. Thus, the observed change in pad efficiency is unlikely to arise from systematic changes of the physical properties of the pad secretion; the functional role of the secretion remains unclear.

1. Introduction

Bioinspired adhesives are an important area of biomimetic innovation [1]. However, despite significant progress [2–4], key challenges remain. Technical adhesives often need to cover large areas and carry heavy loads, resulting in a classic scaling problem: large contacts suffer reduced strength due to stress concentrations, and heavy weights lead to poorer relative performance due to changes in the support-surface-to-volume ratio [4,5]. A similar problem arises for climbing animals of various sizes [6]. How do they resolve this problem?

Previous work has revealed that some climbing animals are able to systematically alter the sustainable force per area—the efficiency of their adhesive pads—as they increase in size [6–10]. In insects [11], tree frogs [7,8] and arachnids [12,13], adhesive surface contacts are mediated via thin films of a liquid secretion, and it has been speculated that systematic changes to the physical properties of this secretion represent a possible strategy to alter pad efficiency with size [6]. The basis of this hypothesis is the long-standing assumption that the secretion’s functional significance is to promote attachment via capillary and viscous forces [14–19]. Here, we test experimentally whether Indian stick insects alter the physical properties of their pad secretion as they grow, in order to increase pad efficiency and overcome the scaling problem.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study animals

Stick insects (Carausius morosus, Sinéty 1901, Phasmatodea, Phasmatidae) were taken from an all-female laboratory colony, fed with bramble and water ad libitum, and
kept in a climate chamber at 60% RH/25°C with a 12/12 h light cycle. We randomly collected 54 individuals to cover a body mass range of 2.57–892.03 mg (EX124, Explorer Analytical Balance, OH, USA; readability = 0.1 mg), close to the maximum mass range of the colony (figure 1a). All experiments were conducted with live insects and adhesive pads (arolium) on the forelegs, which were confirmed to be free of damage by visual inspection (Leica S APO stereomicroscope, Leica Microsystems, Germany).

### 2.2. Contact angle and dewetting speed measurements

We estimated the physical properties of the secretion—its surface tension and viscosity—by interference reflection microscopy (IRM) and dewetting experiments, performed with a five-component set-up: (i) an insect mounting apparatus as described in Labonte et al. [20]; (ii) a borosilicate glass coverslip coated with conducting indium-tin-oxide on the bottom side to enable surface temperature control via Joule heating (see electronic supplementary material for surface preparation and characterization); (iii) a coverslip holder to secure the coverslip in place and to connect it to a temperature control unit; (iv) a K-thermocouple (TP870, Extech, USA) connected to a custom-built Arduino temperature controller to maintain a constant surface temperature of 30°C during all experiments; and (v) an inverted microscope (DMi8, Leica Microsystems, Germany) connected to a high-speed camera (Blackfly S USB3, BFS-U3-16S2C-CS, FLIR, USA; figure 1b).

In order to assess whether the secretion’s surface tension or viscoelasticity varied with size, we measured contact angles of droplets deposited on glass using IRM, following earlier work [21,22]. In brief, we performed artificial ‘steps’ with the mounted arolium, using a micromanipulator (M3301R, World Precision Instruments, UK), which resulted in the deposition of small droplets (average radius approx. 5 μm, below the capillary length). The droplets were then imaged at 63× magnification, an illuminating numerical aperture of 0.8, and with a wavelength of 445 nm from a pE-300 ultra LED (filter with 10 nm bandwidth, CoolLED, UK; figure 1c). We approximated the contact angle as the slope calculated from the first two height increments derived from the interference fringes, to minimize a bias introduced by the curvature of the droplets. We measured contact angles from three droplets per individual and 23 individuals across the size range (n = 23, N = 69). The relationship between contact angle and surface tension is complex [23], but simplifies for a dominantly dispersive fluid such as the insect pad secretion (see electronic supplementary material) [24–26].

In order to estimate the viscosity of the secretion, we quantified its dewetting speed [22]. Hydrodynamic theory predicts that surface tension, \( \gamma \), and dewetting speed, \( v_d \), are related to viscosity, \( \eta \), via

\[
v_d \propto \frac{\gamma}{\eta}
\]

where \( \theta \) is the contact angle [27–29]. To quantify dewetting speed, we connected the micromanipulator to a hydraulic drum controller (MHW-3, Narishige, Japan), to rapidly slide pads in surface contact in parallel to the coverslip. The subsequent dewetting of the thin film left behind was recorded at 20× magnification and 226 fps (figure 1d). We recorded a minimum of three dewetting videos per stick insect. Every dewetting experiment was conducted on a clean part of the glass coverslip. A period of at least 10 min separated consecutive experiments....
with the same individual in order to provide time for recovery of a sufficient footprint volume [17]. The rate of growth of dry patches, the dewetting speed ($v_d = \frac{dR}{dt}$), was extracted with a custom-written Fiji macro [30]. A minimum of three dry patches, tracked for at least four frames, were analysed for 54 stick insects ($n_d = 54$ individuals).

3. Results and discussion

The contact angle of the pad secretion does not vary significantly with size (log-log slope obtained via ordinary least-squares (OLS) regression $= -0.057$ (95% CI $(-0.181 \pm 0.067)$), $F_{1,21} = 0.911, p = 0.351$; figure 2a). The mean contact angle of $17 \pm 6^\circ$ (mean $\pm$ s.d.) is in excellent agreement with previous measurements in ants, stick insects and cockroaches ($18 \pm 7^\circ$, $18 \pm 1^\circ$ and $17 \pm 1^\circ$, respectively) [17,22,31]. As the secretion is immiscible in water and almost entirely dispersive [24–26], this result strongly suggests a size-invariant surface tension (see electronic supplementary material for a detailed argument).

The dewetting speed showed a small but insignificant trend to decrease with size (OLS log-log slope $= -0.080$ (95% CI $(-0.172 \pm 0.013)$), $F_{1,52} = 2.993, p = 0.090$, $n = 54$; figure 2b). The mean dewetting speed was $69 \pm 39 \mu m \text{s}^{-1}$ (mean $\pm$ s.d.), comparable to preliminary results reported for ants ($v_d = 60 \pm 5 \mu m \text{s}^{-1}$) [22]. As both contact angle and dewetting speed do not vary significantly with size, neither does viscosity (OLS log-log slope $= 0.004$ (95% CI $(-0.149 \pm 0.158)$), $F_{1,52} = 0.003, p = 0.955$; see electronic supplementary material). Any change in pad efficiency is thus unlikely to arise from changes of the physical properties of the pad secretion.

Although contact angle and dewetting speed are size-invariant, they scatter notably around the mean (38% and 56% coefficient of variation, respectively). A linear mixed model with random intercept for the 23 paired individuals suggested that the dewetting speed variation arises largely from inter-individual variation (moderate intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) of 0.56), and thus the dewetting measurements are robust. When the variation in contact angle is included ($v_d/\theta^3 \propto \gamma/\eta$, see equation (2.1)), the ICC increases to 0.88, highlighting that the nonlinear contribution of the contact angle further accentuates the differences among individuals (see electronic supplementary material).

The insect pad secretion is a multi-phase emulsion, consisting of aqueous droplets dispersed in an oily continuous phase [22,25]. IRM imaging of the pad contact area across the body range revealed a qualitatively similar appearance and a similar amount and size of aqueous phase droplets (electronic supplementary material, figure S3). In combination with the size-invariance of the contact angle and dewetting speed, this finding suggests that the chemical composition of the pad secretion may also vary little with size.

4. Conclusion

Our results demonstrate that stick insects do not systematically alter the physical properties of their pad secretion to avoid a size-related reduction in safety factors. Indeed, a size-specific change in efficiency is only observed in the presence of shear forces [10], and is thus likely explained by the shear-sensitivity of biological adhesive pads [9,32,33]. Potential changes in the physical properties of the pad secretion will only affect adhesive performance if attachment is dominated by capillary or viscous forces. Although this has been a long-standing assumption [15–19], strong direct evidence in support of it is absent, and recent research has put forward alternative functional interpretations [34–36]. Systematic changes in adhesive performance may also arise from a size-specific variation of elastocapillary effects [37], or the pad’s viscoelastic properties, which thus need to be investigated in future work.
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