Endoscopic Third Ventriculostomy in Noncommunicating Hydrocephalus: Report on a Short Series of 53 Children

Abstract

Introduction: Endoscopic third ventriculostomy (ETV) is currently considered the best alternative to cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) shunt systems in the treatment of obstructive hydrocephalus. The aim of ETV is to communicate the third ventricle with the interpeduncular cistern and create CSF flow which bypasses an obstruction to the circulation of the CSF. Aims and Objectives: The purpose of this study was to elucidate the indications, efficacy, safety and outcome of ETV pediatric patients of noncommunicating hydrocephalus. Material and Methods: This study is a 3 year prospective study from June 2012 to May 2015. Records were kept for age, gender, etiological factors, symptoms, signs, previous use of shunt or external ventricular device, imaging findings, and surgical complications (intraoperative and postoperative). Only those patients with age between 6months and 18 years with symptoms of intracranial hypertension and radiographic evidence of noncommunicating hydrocephalus were included in the study. Results: A total of 53 patients were studied, out of these 29 were boys and 24 were girls. The mean age of the patients was 6.6 years. Overall a total of 50 successful ETVs were done in 53 patients. The success rate is estimated to be 94%. There was no mortality. The average postoperative hospital stay was 4 days. The followup ranged from 6 to 16 months (mean, 12 months). Conclusion: ETV in children is a safe, simple and effective treatment and a logical alternative to shunting procedure for patients of noncommunicating hydrocephalus.
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Introduction

The first successful endoscopic third ventriculostomy (ETV) was performed by Mixter, a urologist in Chicago in 1923.[1] However, ventriculoperitoneal shunt (VPS) is still the most common procedure for hydrocephalus. The rate of long-term shunt failure in an individual going from childhood through adulthood over a 20-year period is in the range of 80%.[2] Against this background, ETV is currently considered the best alternative to cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) shunt systems in the treatment of triventricular hydrocephalus. The aim of ETV is to communicate the third ventricle with the interpeduncular cistern and create CSF flow which bypasses an obstruction to the circulation of the CSF.[3]

Patients and Methods

This study is a 3-year prospective study from June 2012 to May 2015. This study was carried out in the Departments of Neurosurgery, Radiodiagnosis, and Neuroanesthesiology, Sher-I-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India. Records were kept for age, gender, etiological factors, symptoms, signs, previous use of shunt or external ventricular device, imaging findings including Evans ratio, and surgical complications (intraoperative and postoperative). Only those patients who had symptoms of intracranial hypertension and radiographic evidence of noncommunicating hydrocephalus were the candidates for the procedure. Patients with age range 6 months to 18 years and who presented with symptoms of raised intracranial hypertension and imaging showed of noncommunicating hydrocephalus were included in the study.

Surgical technique

The burr hole was placed in the right prefrontal area in the mid-pupillary line just anterior to the coronal suture. The optimal trajectory into the third ventricle through the foramen of Monro and into the interpeduncular cistern is usually
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achieved with this burr hole. A rigid 0° endoscope in a
4.6-mm double irrigating sheath (Aesculap, Tuttlingen,
Germany) would be introduced into the lateral ventricle
by following the catheter under video guidance. ETV was
performed in supine position with head flexed so that the
burr hole site was at the highest point. The foramen of
Monro was identified by the confluence of thalamostriate
vein, septal vein, and choroid plexuses. Ringer’s lactate at
a temperature of 90°F was used for irrigation. Perforation
in the third ventricle floor was made after negotiating
endoscope through the foramen of Monro and then
puncturing with cautery probe in between mammillary
bodies and infundibular recess at the most transparent
site. An initial fenestration was then dilated by inflating Fogarty
catheter. Gelfoam plug (Pfizer Inc., New York, USA) was
inserted into the cortical tract at the end of the procedure.

Postoperative follow-up

Patients were generally discharged from the hospital on
the 2nd or 3rd postoperative day unless some complication
arose. They were followed up at 2 weeks, 1, 3, and
6 months postoperatively and every 6 months thereafter.
A postoperative follow-up magnetic resonance imaging/
computed tomography (MRI/CT) scan brain was done after
3 months to see the ventricular size; however, if patient
developed features suggestive of failed ETV, then imaging
was done earlier. Cine phase-contrast (PC) MRI was done
in all patients and used to determine the patency of the
stoma. No flow across the stoma was taken as the sign of
stoma closure.

Success was defined as the avoidance of shunt insertion
and relief from symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure,
such as irritability and vomiting, resolution of eye findings
(for example, sunsetting or sixth cranial nerve palsy), and
a decrease or arrest in ventriculomegaly as determined on
ultrasonography (in infants and children with open anterior
fontanelle) or MRI/CT scanning using Evans index or
fronto-occipital horn ratio and also demonstration of CSF
flow on cine PC MRI through the newly formed stoma in
the floor of the third ventricle.

Statistical analysis

All the information were recorded in a prestructured pro
forma, and data were analyzed by Statistical Package for
Social Sciences version 19, Chicago, IL, USA. Statistical
significance was defined as $P \leq 0.05$.

Results

A total of 53 patients were studied, 29 (54.7%) were boys
and 24 (45.3%) were girls. The mean age of the patients
was 6.6 years. The most common symptoms were headache
and increased head size [Table 1]. The etiological factors for
hydrocephalus are given in Table 2. A total of 52 successful
ETVs were done in 53 patients, out of which on follow-up,
two patients had to be reoperated upon. The success rate
for the procedure was 98% (52/53). There was no mortality
related to the procedure. One patient experienced repeated
seizures in the early postoperative period but responded well
to antiepileptic treatment. Three patients experienced CSF
leak, which responded to conservative management. No
lumbar puncture was required. The average postoperative
hospital stay was 4 days. We were not able to complete the
procedure in one patient. In this case, we could navigate
the endoscope to the floor of the third ventricle; however,
defining the landmarks was not possible. A VPS was placed
in the same setting.

Nine patients underwent ETV for malfunction of a
preexisting VPS [Figure 1], with 100% success in this
subgroup. Out of these nine patients, seven patients had

| Presentation            | Number of patients |
|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Headache                | 42                 |
| Increased head circumference | 32               |
| Gait disturbance        | 23                 |
| Bulging fontanelle      | 23                 |
| Nausea and vomiting     | 17                 |
| Urinary incontinence    | 16                 |
| Locomotor ataxia        | 16                 |
| Altered mental status   | 13                 |
| Hemiparesis             | 7                  |
| Parinaud’s syndrome     | 4                  |

Figure 1: Computed tomography scan axial sections show malfunctioning
shunt (a), postendoscopic third ventriculostomy size of the ventricles has
not changed though (b) (though patient improved clinically)
VPS placements for aqueductal stenosis and two had hydrocephalus due to obstruction by a tumor. The duration between the initial VPS to subsequent ETV in this entire group of nine patients ranged from 5 to 13 years.

Kaplan–Meier survival analysis did not show any correlation between different age groups, i.e., 6 months - 2 years, >2–5 years, >5–10 years, and >10 years and ETV failure rate, $P = 0.60$ (not significant) [Figure 2a] nor between different indications of ETV and failure rates, $P = 0.38$ (not significant) [Figure 2b].

On follow-up, clinical improvement did not necessarily correlate well with the radiological improvement [Table 3]. Out of 53 patients, reduction in ventricle size was achieved in 33 patients (62.27%) [Figure 3], but ventricle size did not change in 20 (37.73%) [Figure 1]. However, cine PC MRI was used in all the patients for checking the effectiveness of ETV in postoperative period and showed a flow in all but two patients [Figure 4]. These two patients had a secondary ETV failure. MRI in one of these patients showed CSF flow through the stoma and another showed stenosis of the stoma. The former patient had a VPS placement and the later had a repeat ETV done.

**Discussion**

ETV has been popularized due to the fact that, if successful, a shunt-free period is guaranteed and a lifelong dependency on a VPS could be avoided. ETV has been established as a reasonable alternative to VPS and ventriculoatrial shunts (VASs) or as treatment for VPS/VAS failure. The central dogma that “a shunt is always a shunt” has been disfranchised with the experience with ETV. The main issue related to ETV is whether if it is a safer and better treatment for pediatric patients with hydrocephalus as compared to VPS/VAS.[4-6]

Although different opinions exist in the literature about the effectiveness of ETV in children under 1-year age,[5,10] the question whether infants and very young children have a higher risk of treatment failure after ETV than older children is still being debated. There seems to be growing evidence that the success of ETV depends mainly on the etiology of the hydrocephalus and not on the age of the patient alone.[11-16] In their study, Cinalli et al.[15] have shown that ETV could be successfully performed even in patients <6 months of age even though this young age was previously considered a contraindication.[17] In their study, Gorayeb et al.[16] reported a success rate of 64% in children younger than 1 year who have undergone ETV for obstructive hydrocephalus and they advocated the use of ETV when appropriate regardless of age younger than 1 year. In our series, only children >6 months of age were included because most of the literature reports a higher incidence of ETV failure in patients <6 months of age.[17,18]

### Table 2: Etiology of hydrocephalus in relation to procedure outcome, success, and complications

| Cause of hydrocephalus on imaging | Number of cases | Procedure success | Outcome on follow-up | Complications |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Posterior fossa mass              | 7              | 7/7               | 7/7                  | 1/7 CSF leak  |
| Myelomeningocele associated       | 11             | 10/11             | 9/10                 | 1/11 stomal block* |
| Primary aqueductal stenosis       | 15             | 15/15             | 14/15                | 1/15 CSF leak |
| Posterior third ventricular mass/cyst | 6          | 6/6               | 5/6                  | 1/6 persistent hydrocephalus, however stoma on cine MRI was open |
| Previous VPS failure              | 9              | 9/9               | 8/9                  | 1/9 CSF leak  |
| Dandy-Walker syndrome             | 5              | 5/5               | 5/5                  |                |
| Total                             | 53             | 52/53             | 50/53                | 7 complications |

This patient needed VPS. VPS – Ventriculoperitoneal shunt; CSF – Cerebrospinal fluid; MRI – Magnetic resonance imaging

### Table 3: Assessment of radiological effectiveness of endoscopic third ventriculostomy in noncommunicating hydrocephalus of various etiologies

| Etiology of hydrocephalus (number of patients) | Reduction of ventricular diameter | Ventricular diameter unchanged |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Posterior fossa mass (7)                      | 4                                | 3                              |
| Myelomeningocele associated (11)              | 7                                | 4                              |
| Primary aqueductal stenosis (15)              | 11                               | 4                              |
| Posterior third ventricular mass (6)          | 4                                | 2                              |
| Previous VPS failure (9)                      | 5                                | 4                              |
| Dandy-Walker syndrome (5)                     | 2                                | 3                              |
| Total (53) (%)                                | 33 (62.27)                       | 20 (37.73)                     |

VPS – Ventriculoperitoneal shunt
Our patients with a previous VPS and known obstructive hydrocephalus (aqueduct stenosis or tumor) were optimal candidates for ETV even if the VPS was performed many years before. Woodworth et al.\(^{19}\) reported 71% immediate success with ETV for obstructive hydrocephalus in patients with VPS obstruction, but only 25% remained recurrence free after 2 years. Baldauf et al.\(^{20}\) reported a 60% success rate with ETV in obstructed VPS in a mixed pediatric and adult population but advised against ETV if no obstruction was identified on MRI. In our series, we did have late failure in one out of nine cases. In this patient, cine phase MRI was done which showed closure of stoma, hence a repeat ETV was performed.

On follow-up, a total of fifty successful ETVs were done in 53 patients. The success rate is estimated to be 94% which is in concordance with various other reported studies in literature.\(^{16-19}\) Among factors that have been advocated as possible failure scenarios are: age <1 year, preexisting shunt infection, and postoperative infection.\(^{16,21-25}\)

In our study, we did not get a statistically significant correlation between age and ETV failure or etiology of hydrocephalus and ETV failure (\(P > 0.05\)). This however could be because of the small sample size and an overall very low complication rate in our series.

We were not able to complete the procedure in one patient. In this case, we could navigate the endoscope to the floor of the third ventricle; however, defining the landmarks was not possible. A VPS was placed in the same setting. Many endoscopists report one or two failures in their series and some have even reported a 31% failure rate.\(^{8,26}\)

Puncturing the third ventricular floor when it is opaque is dangerous and should not be done. The major risk is that of basilar artery injury. In such situation, indocyanine green (ICG) dye administered intravenously can visualize the vessels under green filter and hence prevent injury.\(^{27}\) We did not have such a technological support.

It is well known that the radiological improvements after ETV are less than that in postshunt, as the fluid is maintained in the same physiological space, the ventricle will not shrink as in a patient who has functioning shunt. Nowoslawska et al.\(^{28}\) studied the ventricle size and head enlargement after ETV and compared these with patients who have a shunt and concluded that patients treated with

---

Figure 2: Kaplan–Meier analysis shows no relation of age (a) and etiology of hydrocephalus (b) on endoscopic third ventriculostomy success rate

Figure 3: Computed tomography scan axial sections show hydrocephalus (a), postendoscopic third ventriculostomy ventricle size has reduced (b)

Figure 4: Postendoscopic third ventriculostomy cine phase magnetic resonance imaging shows good flow across the stoma
ETV have larger ventricle and head circumference but that this is not related to their clinical improvements. This belief is shared by many authors.\cite{29,30}

In our study, radiological improvement was found in 62.26% (33/53) of patients whereas 94% patients improved clinically which supports the fact ETV restores the disturbed CSF flow to a particular set point rather than merely decreasing the size of the ventricle.

The literature describes a number of complications that were not encountered in our series. Such complications include pituitary stalk and hypothalamic damage that usually presents itself as diabetes insipidus.\cite{31,32} Cardiac arrhythmias and respiratory arrest could occur due to hypothalamic irritation and manipulation.\cite{33,34} The most feared of these complications is damage to vascular structures such as the basilar artery due to the proximity in the perforation field.\cite{35,36}

Basilar artery injury occurs if the fenestration in the floor of the third ventricle is made with potassium titanyl phosphate laser\cite{27} even blunt perforations made with endoscope or Fogarty balloon also have resulted in basilar artery injury.\cite{38}

To avoid basilar artery injury, microvascular Doppler probes have been used to identify the artery;\cite{39} if the floor is not transparent intravenous ICG dye, administration has been used to visualize the basilar artery through the opaque third ventricular floor.\cite{27}

There are reports of failure of ETV. It can be early or late. Early occurs within 4 weeks and late after this period. Inability to absorb CSF leads to early failure, whereas gliosis of the stoma causes late failure. We also had two cases of ETV failure, one belonging to each group. We managed early failure by VPS and late by a repeat ETV as has been recommended.\cite{18}

In general, the rate of complications for neuroendoscopic interventions, particularly ETV, is reported to be between 6% and 20%.\cite{18,29,34,40}

Our morbidity rate remained low at 13% (7/53) and we had no mortality. The complications encountered in our experience were the emergence of postoperative CSF leak in three patients, seizure in one patient, and ETV failure in two patients, which are all in concordance with many recently published studies.\cite{41-45}

**Conclusion**

ETV, when performed correctly by an experienced surgeon, is a safe, simple, and effective treatment and a logical alternative to VPS for patients of noncommunicating hydrocephalus. Radiological improvements after ETV are less than that in postshunt, as the fluid is maintained in the same physiological space, the ventricle will not shrink as in a patient who has functioning shunt. The primary result of ETV procedures performed for patients who present with shunt malfunction is encouraging, thus allowing for more shunt-free patients. In general, the rate of complications and failure rates for ETV is reported to be low. Each ingredient of technological advancement in the form of microvascular Doppler or ICG dye can enhance the safety of ETV in children. Neurosurgeons should be encouraged to do more of endoscopic CSF diversion procedures in children as the results are encouraging.
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