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Abstract
Background: Mangifera mekongensis (Anacardiaceae) is cultivated for its edible fruit and has been used in traditional Vietnamese medicine for its anti-aging properties and for treating diabetes, vermifuge, and dysentery. As part of a search for biologically active compounds with reduction of the rate of glucose absorption, a screening has been initiated to evaluate natural product extracts for the inhibition of enzyme α-glucosidase. A n-hexane extract of the bark of M. mekongensis showed strong α-glucosidase inhibitory activity with IC50 value of 1.71 µg/mL. Thus, the constituents of this plant were examined.

Results: Two new steroids named mekongsterol A (1) and mekongsterol B (2), were isolated from the n-hexane extract of the bark of M. mekongensis (Anacardiaceae), together with seven known compounds (3–9). Their chemical structures were elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic data. All compounds possessed significant α-glucosidase inhibitory activity in a concentration-dependent manner, except for 3 and 4. Compounds 1, 2, 5–9 showed more potent inhibitory activity, with IC50 values ranging from 1.2 to 112.0 µM, than that of a positive control acarbose (IC50 = 214.5 µM).

Conclusions: These results suggested that the traditional use of the bark of M. mekongensis for the treatment of diabetes diseases in Vietnam may be attributable to the α-glucosidase inhibitory activity of its steroid and cycloartane constituents.
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Background
Mangifera mekongensis (Anacardiaceae), commonly known as mango, is widely distributed in tropical and subtropical regions of Asia. In Vietnam, M. mekongensis is called as “Xoai Thanh Ca”, and this plant is cultivated for its edible fruit and has been used in traditional Vietnamese medicine for treating anti-aging, diabetes, vermifuge, dysentery [1, 2]. A research for biologically active compounds with reduction of the rate of glucose absorption, a screening has been initiated to evaluate natural product extracts for the inhibition of enzyme α-glucosidase. It is effective in controlling postprandial hyperglycaemia and prevents complications associated with type-II diabetes, such as microvascular (i.e., retinal, renal, and possibly neuropathic), macrovascular (i.e., coronary and peripheral vascular), and neuropathic (i.e., autonomic and peripheral) complications [3, 4]. Previously, we reported that the methanolic extracts of Embelia ribes, Oroxylum indicum, and Artocarpus altilis exhibited significant inhibitory activity on α-glucosidase [5–8]. In a part of our continued research on the screening of medicinal plants of different origins, we also found that the n-hexane extract of the bark of M. mekongensis showed strong α-glucosidase inhibitory activity with IC50 value of 1.71 µg/mL. Thus, we carried out the bioactivity-guided fractionation of n-hexane extract of this plant and isolated two new steroids, mekongsterols A (1) and B (2), together with seven known compounds (3–9) (Fig. 1). In this paper, we describe the isolation and structural elucidation of these compounds by spectroscopic methods as well as their α-glucosidase inhibitory activity.
Result and discussion
Chemistry
The dried powdered bark of *M. mekongensis* was extracted with *n*-hexane in Soxhlet extractor to yield *n*-hexane fraction. Further separation and purification of this fraction led to the isolation of two new steroids, mekongsterols A (1) and B (2), together with seven known compounds (3–9). The known compounds were identified by the analysis of their spectroscopy data and comparing with the literature data to be as β-sitosterol (3), stigmastane-3,6-dione (4), β-sitosteryl-3-O-β-D-glucopyranosyl-6′-O-palmitate (5), mangiferonic acid (6), ambonic acid (7), ambonic acid (8), and ambolic acid (9) (Fig. 1).

Mekongsterol A (1) was obtained as a white crystal and showed the quasimolecular ion at *m/z* 733.6223 [M + K]+, corresponding to the molecular formula C_{38}H_{64}O_{4}K in HR-ESI–MS. The IR spectrum of 1 showed absorption of ester carbonyl (1720 cm−1), double bond (1610 cm−1), and methyl, methylene, and methine (2950 and 2870 cm−1) groups. The 1H NMR spectrum of 1 (Table 1) displayed signals due to two methyl singlets (δ_H 0.68, 1.02, each s), three methyl doublets (δ_H 0.81, d, J = 6.8 Hz; δ_H 0.84, d, J = 6.8 Hz; δ_H 0.92, d, J = 6.5 Hz), a methyl triplet (δ_H 0.82, t, J = 7.5 Hz), an oxymethine (δ_H 4.62, m), and trisubstituted olefinic bond (δ_H 5.38, d, J = 4.4 Hz), together with many aliphatic methylene and aliphatic methine groups (δ_H 0.95–2.30). The 13C NMR (Table 1) and DEPT spectra of 1 exhibited signals for six methyls (δ_C 12.0, 12.1, 18.9, 19.2, 19.5, 19.9), an oxymethine (δ_C 73.8), and two olefinic carbons (δ_C 122.7 and 139.9). These data closely resembled those of β-sitosterol (3), a common steroid found in plants, but they were characterized by the presence of additional signals due to a saturated fatty ester chain having 19C, which showed ester carbonyl (δ_C 173.5), many methylenes (δ_H 1.20–2.27; δ_C 22.8–34.9), and one methyl triplet (δ_H 0.88, t, J = 6.9 Hz). The location of saturated fatty ester chain was determined to be at C-3 on the basis of the low-field shift of H-3 (δ_H 4.62) compared to that of 3 (δ_H 3.51), which was confirmed by the HMBC correlation from H-3 to C-1′ (Fig. 2). The orientation of saturated fatty ester group at C-3 was determined β-equatorial from the NOESY correlations H-3/H-2α and H-3/H-4α, and large J value (7.7 Hz) between H-3 and H-4β (Fig. 3).

The relative stereochemistry of 1 was assigned on the basis of NOESY correlations and coupling constant data. The NOESY correlations H-3/H-2α, H-3/H-4α, H-14/H-17, H-2β/H3-19, H-4β/H19, H-19/H-8, H-8/H3-18, and H3-18/H-20, together with the large coupling constant (J = 11.9) between H-8 and H-14 suggested that rings C and D to be trans-fused. From this spectroscopic evidence, the structure of 1 was concluded as 3β-nonadecanoylβsitosterol (mekongsterol A).

Mekongsterol B (2) was obtained as a white amorphous solid and showed the quasimolecular ion at *m/z* 607.4719 [M + Na]+, corresponding to the molecular formula C_{25}H_{45}O_{4}Na in HR-ESI–MS. Absorption bands at 3500, 1710, 1730, 1600, 2960 and 2860 cm−1 in the IR spectrum of 2 indicated the presence of hydroxyl, acid carbonyl, ester carbonyl, double bond, methyl, methylene, and methine groups. The 1H NMR spectrum of 2 (Table 1) displayed signals due to two methyl singlets (δ_H 0.68, 1.02, each s), three methyl doublets (δ_H 0.81, d, J = 6.8 Hz; δ_H 0.84, d, J = 6.8 Hz; δ_H 0.92, d, J = 6.5 Hz), a methyl triplet (δ_H 0.84, t, J = 7.5 Hz), an oxymethine
Table 1 $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR (500 and 125 MHz) of 1 and 2 in CDCl$_3$ (6 in ppm, multiplicities, $J$ in Hz)

| Position | $^1$H | $^1$C | $^1$H | $^1$C |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1        | 1.15 m| 37.2  | 1.14 m| 37.2  |
|          | 1.86 m|       | 1.86 m|       |
| 2        | 1.84 m| 27.9  | 1.84 m| 27.9  |
|          | 1.57 m|       | 1.57 m|       |
| 3        | 4.62 m| 73.8  | 4.62 m| 73.8  |
| 4        | 2.30 d (7.7)| 38.3 | 2.30 d (7.6)| 38.3 |
| 5        | 139.9 | 5     | 139.9 | 5     |
| 6        | 5.38 d (4.4)| 122.7| 5.38 d (4.5)| 122.7|
| 7        | 1.98 m| 32.0  | 1.98 m| 32.0  |
|          | 1.48 m|       | 1.48 m|       |
| 8        | 1.44 m| 32.0  | 1.43 m| 32.0  |
| 9        | 0.95 m| 50.2  | 0.95 m| 50.2  |
| 10       | 36.7  | 10    | 36.7  | 10    |
| 11       | 1.00 m| 21.1  | 1.47 m| 21.1  |
|          | 1.47 m|       | 1.00 m|       |
| 12       | 1.20 m| 39.9  | 1.20 m| 39.9  |
|          | 2.02 m|       | 2.02 m|       |
| 13       | 42.5  | 13    | 42.5  | 13    |
| 14       | 1.07 ddd (11.9, 60, 58)| 56.9 | 1.07 m| 56.9  |
| 15       | 1.61 m| 24.4  | 1.61 m| 24.4  |
|          | 1.08 m|       | 1.08 m|       |
| 16       | 1.85 m| 28.4  | 1.85 m| 28.4  |
|          | 1.28 m|       | 1.28 m|       |
| 17       | 1.11 m| 56.2  | 1.11 m| 56.2  |
| 18       | 0.68 s| 12.0  | 0.68 s| 12.0  |
| 19       | 1.02 s| 19.5  | 1.02 s| 19.5  |
| 20       | 1.35 m| 36.3  | 1.35 m| 36.3  |
| 21       | 0.92 d (6.5)| 18.9 | 0.92 d (6.5)| 18.9|
| 22       | 0.98 m| 34.1  | 0.98 m| 34.1  |
| 23       | 1.15 m| 26.2  | 1.15 m| 26.2  |
| 24       | 0.95 m| 46.0  | 0.95 m| 46.0  |
| 25       | 1.33 m| 29.2  | 1.33 m| 29.2  |
| 26       | 0.84 d (6.8)| 19.9 | 0.84 d (6.8)| 19.9|
| 27       | 0.81 d (6.8)| 19.2 | 0.81 d (6.8)| 19.2|
| 28       | 1.25 m| 23.2  | 1.25 m| 23.2  |
| 29       | 0.82 t (7.5)| 12.1 | 0.84 t (7.5)| 12.1|
| 1’       | 173.5 |       | 173.5 |       |
| 2’       | 2.27 t (7.6)| 34.9 | 2’     | 2.27 t (7.6)| 34.7|
| 3’       | 1.62 m| 25.2  | 3’     | 1.61 m| 25.1  |
| 4’-17’   | 1.20-1.40 m| 29.3-30.0 | 4’-6’ | 1.20-1.40 m| 29.0 |
| 18’      | 228   |       | 7’     | 1.62 m| 24.9  |
| 19’      | 0.88 t (6.9)| 14.3 | 8’     | 2.34 t (7.7)| 33.8 |
|          |       |       | 9’     | 178.5 |       |

($^1$H 4.62, m), and trisubstituted olefinic bond ($^1$H 5.38, d, $J = 4.5$ Hz), together with many aliphatic methylene and aliphatic methine groups ($^1$H 0.95–2.30). The $^{13}$C NMR (Table 1) and DEPT spectra of 2 exhibited 38 carbons including six methyls ($^1$C 12.0, 12.1, 18.9, 19.2, 19.5, 19.9), an oxymethine ($^1$C 73.8), two olefinic carbons ($^1$C 122.7 and 139.9), an ester carbonyl carbon ($^1$C 173.4), and an acid carbonyl carbon ($^1$C 178.5). These $^1$H and $^{13}$C data were similar to those of β-sitosterol (3) [9], the steroid isolated from the same extract, except for the presence of additional signals due to monoester derivative of nonadioic acid. This was confirmed by the COSY and HSQC spectra, and from them, the partial structure $^1$C(2’)$^1$H$_2$–C(3’)$^1$H$_2$–C(4’)$^1$H$_2$–C(5’)$^1$H$_2$–C(6’)$^1$H$_2$–C(7’)$^1$H$_2$–C(8’)$^1$H$_2$ were deduced. Furthermore, the HMBC correlations from two methylene groups H$_2$-2’ and H$_2$-3’ to the ester carbonyl carbon C-1’, while two methylene groups H$_2$-7’ and H$_2$-8’ gave significant correlations to the acid carbonyl carbon C-9‘ suggesting the monoester azelaic acid. The location of this moiety was determined to be at C-3 based on HMBC correlations from H-3 to C-1’ (Fig. 2). The configuration of monoester nonadioic acid moiety at C-3 to be β-equatorial orientation from the NOESY correlations H-3/H-2α and H-3/H-4α, and large $J$ value (7.6 Hz) between H-3 and H-4β (Fig. 3). The relative stereochemistry of 2 was confirmed to be the same as 1 based on the results of difference NOE experiments. Thus, the structure of 2 was concluded as 3β-(8-carboxyoctanoyl)sitosterol (mekongsterol B).

Biological assay

Among three fractions extracted from the bark of M. Mekongensis, n-hexane fraction showed α-glucosidase inhibitory activity with IC$_{50}$ value of 17.1 µg/mL. This fraction was subjected to silica gel column chromatography to yield twelve fractions. All these fractions possessed inhibitory activity, with IC$_{50}$ values ranging from 1.9 to 69.3 µg/mL (Table 2).

The isolated compounds were tested for their α-glucosidase inhibitory activity (Table 3). The assay was carried out at various concentrations ranging from 1 to 250 µM. Compounds 1, 2, 5–9 possessed significant α-glucosidase inhibitory activity in a concentration-dependent manner, and showed more potent inhibitory activity, with IC$_{50}$ values ranging from 1.2 to 112.0 µM, than that of a positive control acarbose (IC$_{50}$, 214.5 µM), which is currently used clinically in combination with either diet or anti-diabetic agents to control blood glucose level of patients [14]. Among isolated compounds, the sterol compounds (1–5) with saturated fatty ester chain or sugar group at C-3 (1, 2, and 5) showed potent α-glucosidase inhibitory activity, while the compounds with hydroxyl or ketone group at C-3 (3 and 4) were inactive. On the other hand, all isolated cycloartane triterpenes (6–9) showed strong α-glucosidase inhibitory activity, however, their structure–activity relationships...
have not been discussed yet due to the limited number of compounds. These results indicated that the strong active compounds such as mekongsterol B (2; IC\textsubscript{50}, 2.5 \textmu M) and magiferonic acid (8; IC\textsubscript{50}, 1.2 \textmu M) can potentially be developed as a novel natural nutraceutical to decrease the blood glucose level because of their strong \(\alpha\)-glucosidase inhibitory activity.

**Methods**

**General experimental procedures**

The IR spectra were measured with a Shimadzu IR-408 spectrophotometer in CHCl\textsubscript{3} solution. The NMR spectra were taken on a Bruker Advance III 500 spectrometer with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard, and chemical shifts are expressed in \(\delta\) values. The HR-ESI–MS was performed on a Bruker MicroTOF-QII spectrometer. The absorbance (OD) was measured with a Shimadzu UV-1800 UV–Vis spectrophotometer.

**Chemicals**

\(\alpha\)-Glucosidase (EC 3.2.1.20) from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (750 UN) and \(p\)-nitrophenyl-\(\alpha\)-d-glucopyranoside were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Acarbose and dimethylsulfoxide were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Silica gel 60, 40–63 \(\mu\)m (230–400 mesh ASTM), for column chromatography was purchased from Scharlau (Barcelona, Spain). Analytical and preparative TLC were carried out on precoated Kiesegel 60F\textsubscript{254} or RP-18F\textsubscript{254} plates (0.25 or 0.5 mm thickness) (Merck, Germany). Other chemicals were of the highest grade available.

**Plant material**

The bark of *M. mekongensis* was collected at Ben Tre province, Vietnam, in March 2013, and was identified by Ms. Hoang Viet, Faculty of Biology, University of Science, Vietnam National University-Hochiminh City (VNU-HCMC). A voucher specimen (MDE0047) was deposited at the Division of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Science, VNU-HCMC.

**Extraction and isolation**

The dried powdered bark of *M. mekongensis* (6.0 kg) was refluxed with \(n\)-hexane (5.0 L) in Soxhlet extractor to yield a \(n\)-hexane fraction (14.7 g), continuously extracted with EtOAc (5.0 L) to obtain EtOAc fraction (65.0 g), and...
then extracted with MeOH (5.0 L) to give MeOH fraction (108.0 g). The n-hexane fraction (12.5 g) was subjected to silica gel column (6.5 × 120 cm) chromatography, eluted with acetone–n-hexane (0–80 %) to yield 12 fractions: fr. 1 (0.1 g), fr. 2 (1.8 g), fr. 3 (1.1 g), fr. 4 (2.6 g), fr. 5 (1.4 g), fr. 6 (0.8 g), fr. 7 (0.3 g), fr. 8 (0.8 g), fr. 9 (0.7 g), fr. 10 (0.6 g), fr. 11 (0.9 g), fr. 12 (1.4 g). All extractions and fractions were tested for their α-glucosidase inhibitory activity (Table 2).

Fraction 2 (1.8 g) was applied to silica gel column chromatography with acetone–n-hexane gradient system to give six subfractions (fr. 2.1, 1.2 g; fr. 2.2, 134 mg; fr. 2.3, 75 mg; fr. 2.4, 47 mg; fr. 2.5, 89 mg; fr. 2.6, 270 mg). Subfraction 2.1 was chromatographed further using an CHCl$_3$-n-hexane (0–80 %) to yield two subfractions fr. 2.1.1–2; fr. 2.1.1 (451 mg) was separated further using an CHCl$_3$-n-hexane (25:75) to give 2 (8.0 mg). Subfraction 6.2 was rechromatographed further using EtOAc-n-hexane (0–80 %) and then purified by normal-phase preparative TLC with CHCl$_3$-n-hexane (25:75) to give 2 (8.0 mg).

Fraction 4 (2.6 g) was chromatographed on silica gel column chromatography, eluted with EtOAc-n-hexane gradient system to give six subfractions (fr. 4.1, 717 mg; fr. 4.2, 202 mg; fr. 4.3, 993 mg; fr. 4.4, 150 mg; fr. 4.5, 78 mg; fr. 4.6, 460 mg). Subfraction 4.4 was recrystallized with MeOH-CHCl$_3$ to give 4 (12.0 mg).

Fraction 5 (1.4 g) was rechromatographed to silica gel column chromatography with CHCl$_3$-n-hexane gradient system to yield seven subfractions (fr. 5.1, 81 mg; fr. 5.2, 94 mg; fr. 5.3, 57 mg; fr. 5.4, 260 mg; fr. 5.5, 190 mg; fr. 5.6, 88 mg; fr. 5.7, 630 mg). Subfraction 5.3 was chromatographed with EtOAc-n-hexane (0–50 %), and then purified by normal-phase preparative TLC with CHCl$_3$ (100 %) to give 3 (2.5 mg). Fraction 6 (0.8 g) was applied to silica gel column chromatography, eluted with CHCl$_3$-n-hexane gradient system to yield five subfractions (fr. 6.1, 124 mg; fr. 6.2, 192 mg; fr. 6.3, 272 mg; fr. 6.4, 42 mg g; fr. 6.5, 130 mg). Subfraction 6.1 was also chromatographed on silica gel with EtOAc-n-hexane (0–80 %) and then followed by normal-phase preparative TLC with ethyl acetate-n-hexane (25:75) to give 2 (8.0 mg). Subfraction 6.2 was rechromatographed further using EtOAc-n-hexane (0–80 %) and then purified by normal-phase preparative TLC with CHCl$_3$-n-hexane (10:90) to give 6 (6.0 mg) and 8 (10.0 mg).

Fraction 9 (0.7 g) was chromatographed on silica gel column chromatography, eluted with CHCl$_3$-n-hexane gradient system to give four subfractions (fr. 9.1, 150 mg; fr. 9.2, 125 mg; fr. 9.3, 360 mg; fr. 9.4, 47 mg). Subfraction 9.3 was subjected to silica gel with EtOAc-n-hexane (0–80 %) to yield two subfractions fr. 9.3.1–2; fr. 9.3.1 (190 mg) was separated further using a CHCl$_3$-n-hexane (0–80 %), and then purified by normal-phase preparative TLC with EtOAc-n-hexane (10:90) to give 7 (6.0 mg) and 9 (10.0 mg).

Fraction 11 (0.9 g) was chromatographed on silica gel column chromatography, eluted with CHCl$_3$-MeOH gradient system to give five subfractions (fr. 11.1, 42 mg; fr. 11.2, 139 mg; fr. 11.3, 93 mg; fr. 11.4, 30 mg; fr. 11.5, 570 mg). Subfraction 11.2 was subjected to silica gel with EtOAc-n-hexane (0–50 %) to yield two subfractions fr. 11.1.1–2; fr. 11.2.1 (60 mg) was separated further using an CHCl$_3$-MeOH (0–30 %), and then purified by normal-phase preparative TLC with CHCl$_3$-MeOH (96:4) to afford 5 (8.0 mg).

---

Table 3  α-Glucosidase inhibitory activity of the isolated compounds

| Compounds | Inhibition (I %) | IC$_{50}$ (µM) |
|-----------|----------------|--------------|
|           | 250 (µM) | 100 (µM) | 50 (µM) | 25 (µM) | 10 (µM) |
| 1         | *        | 91.8 ± 1.1 | 67.7 ± 1.4 | 38.6 ± 1.2 | 244 ± 1.8 | 27.7 |
| 3         | –        | –         | –        | –        | –        | >250 |
| 4         | –        | –         | –        | –        | –        | >250 |
| 5         | *        | 90.9 ± 1.4 | 75.9 ± 2.6 | 49.7 ± 3.1 | 32.1 ± 2.3 | 21.1 |
| 6         | *        | 95.2 ± 2.3 | 85.6 ± 1.0 | 70.8 ± 1.2 | 390 ± 1.8 | 13.2 |
| 7         | *        | 88.5 ± 1.0 | 75.7 ± 1.2 | 68.0 ± 1.1 | 329 ± 1.6 | 16.7 |
| 9         | 95.9 ± 1.0 | 32.2 ± 1.0 | 15.9 ± 1.1 | –        | –        | 1120 |
| Acarbose$^a$ | 59.8 ± 1.2 | 212 ± 2.2 | 98 ± 1.1 | 32 ± 1.7 | –        | 214.5 |

| Compounds | Inhibition (I %) | IC$_{50}$ (µM) |
|-----------|----------------|--------------|
|           | 25 (µM) | 10 (µM) | 5.0 (µM) | 2.5 (µM) | 1.0 (µM) |
| 2         | *        | 93.1 ± 1.2 | 82.6 ± 1.4 | 50.8 ± 1.1 | 15.2 ± 1.2 | 2.5 |
| 8         | *        | 87.5 ± 1.0 | 78.4 ± 1.6 | 62.5 ± 1.1 | 46.5 ± 1.1 | 1.2 |

$^a$ Not tested due to inessential result (IC$_{50}$ values can be identified without these results)
– Not identified
$^a$ Positive control
**Mekongsterol A (1):** white crystal; IR $\nu_{max}$ (CHCl$_3$) 2950, 2870, 1720, 1610 cm$^{-1}$; HR-ESI–MS positive $m/z$ 733.6223 [M + K]$^+$ (calcd for C$_{48}H$_8O$_2$K$^+$, 733.6259, error of $-3.6$ mmu); $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz) and $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz), see Table 1 (For further information, see Additional file 1).

**Mekongsterol B (2):** white crystal; IR $\nu_{max}$ (CHCl$_3$) 3500, 2960, 2860, 1730, 1710, 1600 cm$^{-1}$; HR-ESI–MS positive $m/z$ 607.4719 [M + Na]$^+$ (calcd for C$_{38}H$_64O$_4$Na$^+$, 607.4697, error of 2.2 mmu); $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz) and $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz), see Table 1 (For further information, see Additional file 1).

### α-Glucosidase inhibitory assay

The inhibitory activity of α-glucosidase was determined according to the modified method of Kim et al. [15]. 3 mM $p$-nitrophenyl-$α$-$d$-glucopyranoside (25 μL) and 0.2 U/mL α-glucosidase (25 μL) in 0.01 M phosphate buffer (pH = 7.0) were added to the sample solution (625 μL) to start the reaction. Each reaction was carried out at 37 °C for 30 min and stopped by adding 0.1 M Na$_2$CO$_3$ (375 μL). Enzymatic activity was quantified by measuring absorbance at 401 nm. One unit of α-glucosidase activity was defined as amount of enzyme liberating $p$-nitrophenol (1.0 μM) per min. The IC$_{50}$ value was defined as the concentration of α-glucosidase inhibitor that inhibited 50 % of α-glucosidase activity. Acarbose, a known α-glucosidase inhibitor, was used as positive control.

### Conclusions

In this paper, we have reported two new compounds, mekongsterol A (1) and mekongsterol B (2), together with seven known compounds isolated from the bark of *M. mekongensis*. Seven compounds possessed α-glucosidase inhibitory activity. This is the first report on α-glucosidase inhibitory activity of the bark of this plant. These results suggested that the traditional use of the bark of *M. mekongensis* for the treatment of diabetes diseases in Vietnam may be attributable to the α-glucosidase inhibitory activity of its steroidal and cycloartane constituents.

### Additional file

**Additional file 1.** $^1$H, $^{13}$C, DEPT, COSY, HSQC, HMBC, and NOESY NMR, and MS spectra of new compounds (1 and 2) have been provided as an online file.
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