Road surface mirage: A bunch of hot air?
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The inferior mirage from road surfaces is a common phenomenon, which can be easily seen in everyday life. It has been recognized in the literature as a light refraction phenomenon due to the refractive index gradient caused by the temperature gradient in the air strata above the road surfaces. However, it was also suggested that the mirage is just a phenomenon of specular reflection at grazing incidence. Because of the lack of reasonable and quantitative evidence, the generally accepted light refraction theory has not yet been refuted. Here we show some mirror-like reflection images captured from a road surface stretch in Yujiashan North Road, Wuhan, China, when there was no obvious temperature gradient on or above the road, measured on a winter day in December 2009. This provided direct evidence to doubt the temperature induced light refraction mechanism of the inferior mirage. Furthermore, the critical grazing angle of about 0.2° to the road plane where the mirror-like reflection appears could not make the rough surface scatter incident light as a smooth surface according to the Rayleigh criterion. Therefore the phenomenon is a mirror-like observation effect of scattering from the surface, which cannot be entirely explained by light refraction via air strata. The results demonstrate that the image-formation mechanism and the observer-based-analysis methods shown here potentially offer a means of understanding a wide range of scattering phenomena from rough surfaces at grazing angle; for example, the superior mirages of unusual brightness occasionally observed over frozen lakes and the off-specular reflection phenomenon.
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ally accepted light refraction theory has not yet been totally refuted.

The propagation of electromagnetic waves inside media and their interaction with complicated surfaces has been studied by many researchers [19–21]. For the scattering of electromagnetic waves from rough surfaces, it is common knowledge that if the incident angle and the scattering angle are large, approaching the grazing angle, specular reflection would occur according to the Rayleigh criterion [22–25]. However, it has not been examined whether the mirror-like reflection from a rough road surface is specular reflection phenomenon obeying the Rayleigh criterion. The interests in random roughness surfaces are quite diverse. For example, we have conducted extensive work on ramifications of microscale roughness surfaces in thermal radiative environment [26–28]. The present study is devoted to investigating the mechanism of formation of a road surface mirage with directly measured evidence.

1 Observation results and analysis

1.1 The observation conditions and results

Some typical mirror-like reflection images on summer and winter days, shown in Figure 1(a) and (c), respectively, were captured from a stretch on Yujiaoshan North Road (profile in Figure 1(e)), a local road at the foot of Yujiaoshan Hill in Wuhan, China. The road stretch consists of a descending part, with a slope of about –0.02, from the observation point I, where the images were taken, and an ascending part ending at point II. The camera used was a Canon EOS 500D. The image capturing parameters for Figure 1(a) were: Date/time: 2009-07-12 11:26:15; Exposure time: 1/400 s; F-number: 8; ISO: 100; Focal length: 187 mm. The image capturing parameters for Figure 1(c) were: Date/time: 2009-12-19 12:08:57; Exposure time: 1/250 s; F-number: 16; ISO: 400; Focal length: 250 mm. The temperature gradients over the road surface in the summer day (Figure 1(b)) and in the winter day (Figure 1(d)) were measured as the images in Figure 1(a) and Figure 1(c) were captured. The measurement conditions for Figure 1(b) were: Date: 2009-07-12; Time: 13:12–13:41; Weather: Sunny; High temperature: 35°C; Low temperature: 28°C; Wind: SE 2.0–5.0 m/s. The measurement conditions for Figure 1(c) were: Date: 2009-12-19; Time: 10:50–11:15; Weather: Sunny; High temperature: 7°C; Low temperature: 0°C; Wind: NW 0.3–3.0 m/s. The observation point I was offset 0.6 m from the white and solid line on the south-side to the road center, and its height is 0.65 m above the road surface.

From the images in Figure 1(a) and (c) it is clear that the double-yellow and solid lines in the center of the road are truncated and reflected approximately specularly. At the same time, the cars passing through the area with reflected lines also show clear reflected images under the road surface. Even in summer evenings, we also easily observe the reflected double-yellow and solid lines.

Now we examine the influence of the hot air above the road on the mirror-like reflection. The temperature profiles on and above the road surface displayed in Figure 1(b) and (d) were measured using a copper-constantan thermocouple with an uncertainty less than 1°C. The mean temperature at every elevation in the figure was obtained from 50 or more measurements, which were taken at a 2-Hz sampling rate and the maximum standard deviation is less than 1°C. From Figure 1(b), it can be seen that the temperature on the road in the summer day is about 7°C higher than that in the air 10 mm over the surface, and the air temperature does not change significantly at higher elevations. A similar observation was reported by Ramadas and Malurkar [29].

However, we found that there was no obvious temperature difference on and over the road surface in the winter day (Figure 1(d)). Nevertheless, we captured clear mirror-like reflection images on that day (Figure 1(c)). This clearly contradicts the commonly accepted mechanism of the inferior mirage phenomenon caused by the temperature gradient above a road surface.

From our observations it is also seen that the mirror-like reflection is not disturbed by the passing of cars. The mirror-like reflection is a common phenomenon which can be easily seen everywhere from a grazing angle. We have captured many reflection images around the campus of Huazhong University of Science and Technology within three kilometers of point I. Holding the camera 5 to 15 cm over the ground, similar reflected images could be captured from the road surfaces 10 to 20 m ahead.

It is not the case that we can observe the mirror-like reflection at any time under any condition for the same road stretch where the reflection appeared. We failed to capture the images from the road in Figure 1(e) before 12 a.m. on a winter morning. At that time the sun is hanging in front of us, and the sun light scattered by the road surface is stronger than that from the cars and the road lines. We also did not capture the mirror-like reflection from the same place after about 4 p.m. on a winter day. At that time, the road surface is shadowed by Yujiaoshan Hill to the south. In the scattered light by the road surface, the part from of the cars and road lines was weaker than that directly from the background sky. As shown in Figure 2(a), the mirror-like reflection is a kind of secondary scattering phenomenon of the light from the background, and it is easily covered by the primary scattering light from the road surface under certain conditions. Therefore the mirror-like reflection can only be observed on a road stretch under the right environmental light conditions.

It can now be concluded that the inferior mirage seen from a rough road surface is a specular reflection from the surface at a grazing angle, not caused by the refractive index gradient in the air strata over the road. The latter, at best, is a secondary effect.
1.2 Analysis of the phenomenon

The scattering of electromagnetic waves from rough surfaces at large incident and scattering angles is especially complicated [22–25]. We can check if the scattering angles in Figure 1(a) and (c) satisfy the Rayleigh criterion, which can be used to determine if a given reflection is specular using the factor \( g \) [25]:

\[
g = \frac{4\pi \sigma_h \cos(\theta_i)}{\lambda},
\]

where \( \sigma_h \) is the roughness of the surface, \( \lambda \) the wavelength, and \( \theta_i \) the incident angle. If \( g \ll 1 \), then reflection is likely to be specular, and if \( g \gg 1 \), then the reflection is diffuse.

The grazing angle \( \alpha \) of the observation point I, shown in Figure 2(a), was determined from the local road stretch where a mirror-like reflection occurred in Figure 1(a) and (c). For this purpose, we used a pole standing on the road to mark the far point IV (Figure 2(b)), and the near point III (Figure 2(c)), of the reflected images, and the distances from points I to IV and III are 275 and 92 m, respectively. The calculated results for the grazing angle \( \alpha \) are shown in Figure 2(d). It can be seen that the grazing angles for the observer in the point I to the points III and IV are nearly equal \((0.2^\circ)\). Then \( \theta = (90−0.2)^\circ = 89.8^\circ \).

The roughness of the road surface was measured using a digital level instrument on July 13 and 14, 2009. The measurement was made on the road surface at a distance of 280 m from point I, close to point IV. Four surface squares with dimensions of 10 cm×10 cm were measured with 5-mm increments on each axis, and the total measurement points for each square are \( 21 \times 21 = 441 \). The height measurement uncertainty was less than 0.1 mm. For the road surface, \( \sigma_h \) varied from 0.5 mm to 1.0 mm with a mean value of 0.7015.
The mean distance between adjacent peaks in the profile, was about 1.72 cm. Therefore, $\sigma_l / \sigma_h \approx 24.5$. For the visible wavelength of 400–800 nm, the factor $g$ for the rough road surface is $27.42 < g < 98.70$.

From this result, it can be seen that even though the surface is not perfectly diffuse, it is far from specular. It is easy to estimate for the critical grazing angle which guarantees the road surface acting as a specular surface under the Rayleigh criterion. It turns out that the angle is less than 0.01°. This is significantly smaller than 0.2°, the critical angle by which the mirror-like reflection has been observed from the surface. Obviously, the phenomenon studied in the present study only appears in the far-field circumstance, and it depends on the observation conditions.

2 Road mirage formation models

We employ the validation conditions of the geometric-optics (GO) ray-tracing method to analyze the interaction between incident light waves and rough surfaces discussed in [30,31]. From the above analysis, parameters used in the regime map are: $\sigma_l / \sigma_h$ is about 0.04, and $\sigma \cos(\theta) / \lambda$ is about 3.06 to 6.12 in visible light area [31]. The GO ray-tracing method is valid and accurate for the analysis of the light scattering from rough surfaces that are considered in this case. In a similar way, image processing techniques are effective for the characterization of scattering/reflecting in the radiative transfer process [32]. Based on the GO ray-tracing concept and the image formation process, a mechanism to explain the mirror-like reflection from a rough road surface is proposed in Figure 3. On a rough surface with a relatively low slope, or $\sigma_l / \sigma_h > 1$, the local area around a peak is relatively smooth, which is true especially for the road surface. As shown in Figure 3(a) without any temperature gradient, the specular component of the reflection from the peaks can form a “continuous” mirror-like reflection image seen by an observer at a certain distance away under the following conditions:

1. The specular component of an object scattered from at least three or more peaks can arrive simultaneously at the eyes of an observer;

2. The distance between the reflection surface and the observer is far enough so that the discontinuous specular
reflection from the adjacent peaks cannot be distinguished by the eye of the observer;

(3) The forward scattering dominates the scattering from the surface at large incident/scattering angles;

(4) The light of the background sky or the sun directly scattered from the surface at the grazing angle is weaker than the secondary scattering light from the surface at the same grazing angle which comes from the primary scatter-
ing light from the object.

If a stable air layer with a temperature gradient exists over the road surface, as shown in Figure 3(b), the refractive effect of the air layer will cause an additional deviation angle $\Delta \alpha$, which makes the total deviation angle for light reflected from the road surface increase to $\alpha_r = \alpha_i + \Delta \alpha$. In that case, an observer would be able to see a wider area of “reflecting surface.” This case may occur from a hot desert surface in summer without winds, where no moving objects on the surface will disturb the stable air layer. However as we have observed over the road surface, the heavy traffic breaks the air layer over it and any stable air layer with temperature gradient would not exist for some time, as was previously argued by Riggs [16].

Furthermore, the image-formation mechanism and the observer-based-analysis method shown here potentially offers a means of understanding a wide range of scattering phenomena from rough surfaces at grazing angles. For example, the superior mirages of unusual brightness occasionally observed over a frozen lake were reported [33]. In this case, at grazing angle of 0.046°, the luminance of the image is stronger than that of the diffuse scattering from the surface. Another example is the off-specular reflection phenomenon for both a metal and a non-metal rough surface [34]. In these cases, the enhanced reflectance at the grazing angles can be explained by the mechanism shown in Figure 3(c). Consider the reflectance angle to the normal $n$, $\theta_i$ ($\theta_r \neq \theta_i$ and $\theta_r < 90^\circ$), larger than 80° and/or even approaching 90°. There exists a point $P'$ on the surface with a normal $n'$ that makes a specular reflection for the light from $O$ to the observer at $E$. As $\theta_r$ approaches 90°, there is an increasing number of points like $P'$ on the adjacent peaks within the resolution limit of a human’s eyes, which results in a light-enhancing effect for the off-specular reflection at large reflectance angles.

For the observation of the bright superior mirage [33], there is no special object in the sky background which can be seen by the observer, and the reflected lights in the bright mirage come from the sky with different incident directions. As shown in Figure 3(c), the reflectance of the lights by the lake ice will aggregate in the scattering angles larger than 89°, resulting in the bright mirage. The phenomenon is also not dominated by the refractive index gradient in the air over the lake ice.

### 3 Conclusion

The mechanisms of reflectance at grazing angles can be summarized as below. The phenomenon of image formation, which becomes a mirage seen from rough road surfaces and in deserts, comes from light scattered from an object with an incident angle equal to the reflectance angle. This is a specular reflection to the normal of the road surface plane. In this case, the grazing angle is normally less than 1°. If the incident light comes from an incident angle much smaller than 90°, for example, 60°, the reflectance becomes stronger as the scattering angle approaches 90°. This is caused by the light-enhancing effect, which results in the off-specular reflection [34]. The bright superior mirage observed from the lake ice [33] may be another kind of off-specular phenomena. However, these inferences will need further research and quantitative validation in the future.
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