Heat Exchanger Performance and Stability Improvement Using H$_2$ Synthesis Control Design Technique
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ABSTRACT

The heat exchanger is a device that helps to circulate calculated amount of heat in a system. It can be applied in order to reduce the number of heat sources while maintaining a precise level of heat. Heat exchanger is expected to be part of the solution to CO$_2$ emission and climate issues since its application reduces the sources of heat and cost of production such as in electrical power plant. Due to the critical need for the solution to the enormous emission of CO$_2$ and the need to reduce cost of running power plants, the study and improvement of the heat exchanger has become very important. The heat exchanger suffers from disturbances due to its harsh environment. In order to maintain desired performance the heat exchanger requires an adequate control measure. Many types of controllers have been designed, however from the review it was observed that most of the controllers produced marginal stability which will not maintain good performance of the system in the presence of significant disturbance. The major objectives of this work are to reduce the tracking error for performance improvement, to reduce the peak sensitivity for better disturbance rejection.
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and to improve the stability margins for stability robustness. In this work, an optimal robust control was developed for the heat exchanger using H₂ synthesis technique. From the results, the controlled system trajectory tracking error and overshoot were reduced to zero and the peak sensitivity to disturbance was reduced to 0.189 dB. Gain and phase margins satisfied the robust stability characteristics; gain margin was greater than 20 dB and phase margin was greater 60 dB. This means that the designed optimal controller will guarantee robust performance and stability of the system even in the presence of large disturbance.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Growing demand for environmentally friendly gas-turbine engines with lower emissions and improved specific fuel consumption can be met by incorporating heat exchangers into gas turbines [1]. Environmental issues require gas turbine manufacturers to produce environment friendly gas-turbine engines with lower emissions and higher specific fuel consumption (SFC) ratings. The requirements can be met if heat exchangers are incorporated into gas turbines [1]. Heat exchanger has been applied in many areas that require circulation of specific amount of heat in other to cut down cost of installing many heat sources and also cut down the amount of carbon dioxide emission. In order to achieve the circulation of specific amount of heat, the heat exchanger requires an optimal controller.

In order to control the temperature of outlet fluid of the heat exchanger system a conventional Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) controller was used in many works such as in [2,3,4]. Due to inherent disadvantages of conventional control techniques, advanced control measures such as Fuzzy logic controller was employed to control the temperature of outlet fluid of the heat exchanger system in [4,5]. However, in these works the performance of the heat exchanger was not analyzed based on reference tracking on frequency domain and the disturbances and sensitivity of the heat exchanger were not considered. The heat exchanger is a non-linear system that is supposed to be designed to manage the circulation of heat and maintain a particular desired or expected temperature for an optimal industrial processed performance.

Control of a heat exchanger is a complex process due to its non-linear behavior and complexity caused by many phenomena such as leakage, friction, temperature-dependent flow properties, contact resistance, unknown fluid properties etc. [6,7,8,9]. The mathematical model of the heat exchanger is mostly simplified and linearized in order to achieve the control goal. By so doing, the model suffers from unmodelled dynamics and other forms of uncertainties. As a result of this, an optimal control method such as the H₂ synthesis technique which takes the uncertainties that are contained in the modeling of the system into consideration while enhancing the performance of the system is considered in this work.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Sivakumar et al. stated in [5] that temperature control of the shell and tube heat exchanger has characteristics of nonlinear, time varying and time lag. Since the temperature control with conventional PID controller cannot meet a wide range of precision temperature control requirement, the temperature control system of the shell and tube heat exchanger by combining fuzzy and PID control methods was designed in their work. The simulation and experiments were carried out; making a comparison with conventional PID control showing that fuzzy PID strategy can efficiently improve the performance of the shell and tube heat exchanger.

Trafczynski et al indicated in [2] that, for several decades since Ziegler and Nichols proposed their first PID tuning method, the proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controllers are used in many industrial control systems e.g., temperature control at heat exchanger outlet. On the one hand, PID controller structure is simple and its functioning principle is easier to understand than those of other advanced controllers. Different conventional controllers implemented to control the outlet fluid temperature of shell and tube heat exchanger system have been surveyed.

Vasičkaninová and Bakošová [10] opined that heat exchanger can be represented as a system with interval parametric uncertainty, as a result, it requires a robust controller which can take the uncertainties existing in the system into
consideration during design in order to achieve a system that can perform optimally in the presence of the uncertainties. They suggested that fuzzy and neuro-fuzzy controllers can be better alternative to the PID control, although many industrial applications use PID control to maintain constant process variables. However, this method did not address the issue of disturbance rejection or sensitivity of the system to perturbations and also it did not optimize the performance of the heat exchanger as expected and as shown in [10]. In order to address these issues for robustness and optimization of the heat exchanger performance, optimal robust control design was considered. The comparison of H₂ with classical PID control in [11] demonstrates the superiority of the proposed H₂ control especially in the case where the controlled process is affected by disturbances.

3. METHODOLOGY

The control of temperature in a shell-and-tube heat exchanger is demonstrated in Fig. 1, with cold water flowing on the tube side and steam on the shell side [12] where steam condenses and heats the water in the tubes. The controlled variable is the tube-side outlet temperature, and the manipulated variable is the steam flow rate on the shell-side. In order to achieve optimization of the process performance, the controlled variable is measured and feedback to the input, to be compared with the reference input or the desired input in order to produce an error signal. The error signal can then be compensated in order to optimize the plant output. The first step to optimize a system involves the derivation or expression of the mathematical model or representation of the system. However, the mathematical model of any plant cannot be exactly the same with the physical plant. This means that, there exist some differences between the plant model and the plant itself. The difference is actually called uncertainty or error or fault. The flow equation of the heat exchanger is represented as [5]:

\[ M_c C_p (T_{in} - T_{out}) = M_s A \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( M_c, M_s, C_p, T_{in}, \) and \( T_{out} \) and refer to cold water flow rate, steam flow rate, specific heat of water, inlet water temperature, and outlet water temperature respectively.

The dynamics of the process are complex because of various nonlinearities introduced into the system [5]. The installed valve characteristic of the steam may not be linear [13]. Dead-time depends on the steam and water flow rates, the location and the method of installation of the temperature-measuring devices. To take into account the non-linearity and the dead-time, gain scheduling features and dead-time compensators have to be added. Also, the process is subjected to various external disturbances such as pressure fluctuations in the steam header, disturbances in the water line, and changes in the inlet steam enthalpy and so on [5].

The transfer function of controlled object was derived in [5] and described as the first-order with pure time delay, expressed as follows in equation 2.

\[ G_p = \frac{k}{(r s + 1)^n} e^{-Ds} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( r, k \) and \( D \) refer to time constant, system gain, and delay time respectively.

The heat exchanger can be represented also as a system with interval parametric uncertainty; various step responses were obtained at intervals for values of the gain \( k \), the time constant \( r \) and the time delay \( D \) as shown in Table 1. The system order \( n = 3 \).

| Table 1. Process dynamics [10] |
|-------------------------------|
| **Time Constant**             | \( r_{min} \) | 15  |
|                               | \( r_{mean} \) | 19.33 |
|                               | \( r_{max} \)  | 26  |
| **System Gain**               | \( k_{min} \) | 3.734E4 |
|                               | \( k_{mean} \) | 5.4136E4 |
|                               | \( k_{max} \)  | 7.8407E4 |
| **Delay Time**                | \( D_{min} \)  | 0.24 |
|                               | \( D_{mean} \) | 2   |
|                               | \( D_{max} \)  | 0.91 |

3.1 Controller Design

The major objectives of the design in this work are to improve the performance of the system through reference tracking error reduction, to reduce sensitivity to disturbance and to achieve stability robustness [14] using H₂ optimal robust control. The H₂ controller design method here involves the control of the tracking performance of the heat exchanger and its stability with the H₂ weights W₁ and W₂ based on the heat exchanger parameters. The weight parameters are varied randomly in order to improve the
iteration results and to improve the performance trajectory response. The weighting functions were chosen based on the industrial performance specifications for $H_2$ and $H$-Infinity weights in [15,16]:

3.1.1 The $H_2$ controller design algorithm

i. Establish the heat exchanger model $G(s)$
ii. Apply weight $W_1$ to control the plant sensitivity to disturbance
iii. Apply Weight $W_2$ on the control signal $u$
iv. Apply weight $W_3=0$ on the closed loop system (T) control.
v. Augment the plant $G(s)$ with weighting functions $W_1(s)$, $W_2(s)$ and $W_3(s)$ to form an “augmented plant” $P(s)$
vi. Apply $H_2$ synthesis and generate the controller, $K$
vii. Develop the loop gain: $L = K \times P$
viii. Develop the system Sensitivity function: $S = (1+L)^{-1}$
ix. Develop the Complementary Sensitivity function: $T = 1-S$
x. Analyze $L$, $S$ and $T$ for tracking error and stability for the heat exchanger

Fig. 1. Shell-and-tube heat exchanger [5]
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
The controller was designed following the three experiment or scenarios of the existing parameters adopted in this work.

4.1 For System Parameter Minimum Values Experiment

In Fig. 2, the complementary sensitivity $T$, graph recorded zero dB peak gain at low frequencies and recorded values less than zero at high frequencies. This shows that the system can track the input signal without error, thereby producing desired performance and also attenuate noise very well. The sensitivity $S$, graph recorded low values less than zero at low frequencies. This signifies that the system will be able to reject disturbances. It also recorded peak sensitivity of 0.348 dB. This means that the system can perform optimally even in the presence of disturbances. The state space model of the controller $K$, was achieved for the minimum value experiment as follows:

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} -0.471 & -0.8341 & -1.484 & 0.4095 \\ 0.125 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0625 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1e \cdot 06 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.001953 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$c = \begin{bmatrix} -0.01694 & -0.04547 & -0.09039 & 0.02559 \end{bmatrix}, d = [0]$$

![Fig. 2. T, S and L graphs for the system minimum value experiment](image-url)
Fig. 3. Step response graph of the system minimum value experiment

Fig. 4. Bode plot of the system minimum value experiment
4.2 For Plant Parameter Mean Values Experiment

In Fig. 5, the \( T \), graph recorded zero dB peak gain at low frequencies and also recorded values less than zero at high frequencies. This means that the controlled system can track the input signal without error, thereby producing desired performance and also attenuate noise. The \( S \), graph recorded low values less than zero at low frequencies. This specifies that the system will be able to reject disturbances. It also recorded peak sensitivity of 0.189dB. This means that the system can perform optimally even in the presence of disturbances. The state space model of the controller \( K \), was achieved for the mean values experiment as follows:

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
-0.8546 & -2.889 & -19.64 & 0.819 \\
0.125 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.03125 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1.0e-06
\end{bmatrix}, \\
B = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0.001953
\end{bmatrix}, \\
C = \begin{bmatrix}
-0.02186 \\
-0.08828 \\
-0.6128 \\
0.02559
\end{bmatrix}, \\
d = [0]
\]

4.3 For Plant Parameter Maximum Values Experiment

In Fig. 8, the \( T \), graph recorded zero dB peak gain at low frequencies and recorded values less than zero at high frequencies. This means that the controlled system can track the input signal without error, thereby producing desired performance and also attenuate noise. The \( S \), graph recorded low values less than zero at low frequencies. This implies that the system will be able to reject disturbances. It also recorded peak sensitivity of 0.222 dB. This means that the system can perform optimally even in the presence of disturbances. The state space model of the controller \( K \), was achieved for the maximum values experiment as follows:

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
-0.7185 & -4.094 & -23.49 & 1.638 \\
0.0625 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.03125 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1.0e-06
\end{bmatrix}, \\
B = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0.001953
\end{bmatrix}, \\
C = \begin{bmatrix}
-0.009423 \\
-0.06286 \\
-0.3665 \\
0.02559
\end{bmatrix}, \\
d = [0]
\]

Fig. 5. \( T \), \( S \) and \( L \) graphs for the system mean values experiment
Fig. 6. Step response graph of the system mean values experiment

Fig. 7. Bode plot of the system mean value experiment
Fig. 8. T, S and L graphs for the system maximum value experiment

Fig. 9. Step response graph of the system maximum values experiment
The results of the controller design using $H_2$ synthesis for the heat exchanger system based on the minimum, mean and maximum plant parameter values are summarized in Table 2.

5. CONCLUSION

The aim of this research work which was to design an optimal controller that can improve the performance and stability of the heat exchanger system was successfully achieved. The heat exchanger control designs was reviewed and it was found that it achieved marginal stability in most works which does not guarantee optimal performance because it will not be able to withstand significant disturbance. Since the heat exchanger is located in a harsh environment where there are significant disturbances which affect its parameters, it becomes imperative to design a controller that considers the presence of disturbance in order to achieve a robust system that can function optimally with such disturbances. From the controller design results, the system reference tracking error and overshoot were reduced to zero and the sensitivity to disturbance was reduced to 0.189dB. From the results, the controlled system trajectory tracking error and overshoot were reduced to zero and the peak sensitivity to disturbance was reduced to 0.189dB. Gain and phase margins satisfied the robust stability characteristics; gain margin was greater than 20dB and phase margin was greater 60dB. This means that the designed optimal controller will guarantee robust performance and stability of the system.
system even in the presence of large disturbance. The results also show that gain and phase margins satisfied the robust stability characteristics: gain margin is greater than 20 dB and phase margin is greater 60dB. This means that the optimal robust controller designed using H$_2$ synthesis control achieved performance and stability robustness improvement for the heat exchanger.
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