Possible unconventional pairing in (Ca,Sr)$_3$(Ir,Rh)$_4$Sn$_{13}$ superconductors revealed by controlling disorder
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We study the evolution of temperature-dependent resistivity with added point-like disorder induced by 2.5 MeV electron irradiation in stoichiometric compositions of the “3-4-13” stannides, (Ca,Sr)$_3$(Ir,Rh)$_4$Sn$_{13}$. Three of these cubic compounds exhibit a proposed microscopic coexistence of charge-density wave (CDW) order and superconductivity (SC), while Ca$_3$Rh$_2$Sn$_{13}$ does not develop CDW order. As expected, the CDW transition temperature, $T_{c_{CDW}}$, is universally suppressed by irradiation in all three compositions. The superconducting transition temperature, $T_c$, behaves in a more complex manner. In Sr$_3$Rh$_2$Sn$_{13}$, it increases initially in a way consistent with a direct competition of CDW and SC, but quickly saturates at higher irradiation doses. In the other three compounds, $T_c$ is monotonically suppressed by irradiation. The strongest suppression is found in Ca$_3$Rh$_2$Sn$_{13}$, which does not have CDW order. We further examine this composition by measuring the London penetration depth, $\lambda(T)$, from which we derive the superfluid density. The result unambiguously points to a weak-coupling, full single gap, isotropic superconducting state. Therefore, we must explain two seemingly incompatible experimental observations: a single isotropic superconducting gap and a significant suppression of $T_c$ by non-magnetic disorder. We conduct a quantitative theoretical analysis based on a generalized Anderson theorem which points to an unconventional multiband $s^+$-pairing state where the sign of the order parameter is different on one (or a small subset) of the smaller Fermi surface sheets, but remains isotropic and overall fully-gapped.

I. INTRODUCTION

Extensive studies over the past few decades have identified a number of characteristics that are common in unconventional superconductors. First, unconventional superconductivity (SC) often develops in cooperation, competition, or close proximity to other electronic long-range orders. Second, non-Fermi-liquid behavior is often observed in the normal state around the superconducting “dome”. This behavior can be associated with proximity to a putative quantum critical point (QCP) inside the dome [1, 2]. A QCP occurs when a continuous second-order phase transition is driven at $T = 0$ by a non-thermal parameter, such as composition [11, 12], pressure [1, 13, 14], magnetic field [15, 17] or disorder [2] [18, 20]. It has been suggested that the fluctuations of the coexisting order parameter may act as a “glue” for Cooper pairing of conduction electrons [1, 3, 4, 13]. This approach is actively discussed for high-$T_c$ cuprates [4, 5, 7, 21], heavy-fermion materials [13, 22], and it is particularly relevant in iron based superconductors where there is a significant range of microscopic coexistence of antiferromagnetic and superconducting phases [8, 9, 23–29].

In most known cases, the order parameter tuned to a QCP is spin-density wave (SDW). However, charge-density wave (CDW) ordering is another candidate if it can be continuously suppressed [30–32]. While pressure or magnetic field tuning is particularly useful for singular compositions, it is desirable to find superconducting systems tuneable through QCP by doping, allowing for a wider range of different types of measurements. Unfortunately, in most known CDW/SC systems, CDW ordering appears only in single compositions.

A CDW is formed when electronic energy is sufficiently lowered by opening an energy gap on parts of the Fermi surface [33, 35]. Usually this leads to the formation of a spatially-modulated charge-density state. In a one-dimensional case, a straightforward nesting determines the modulation wave-vector $\mathbf{q}$, as observed in one-dimensional organic materials [35, 36]. In two dimensional systems such as transition metal dichalcogenides, 2H-NbSe$_2$ [37], 2H-TaSe$_2$ [38] and 2H-TaS$_2$ [39], the nesting mechanism is not so obvious. It is even more complicated in three dimensions, such as our 3-4-13 cubic practically isotropic compounds.

The charge density wave in Remeika 3-4-13 series [40] was studied by a variety of the techniques, and has a
number of anomalous features. Modulation of the crystal lattice with the wavevector \( q = (1/2, 1/2, 0) \) was found in \( \text{Ca}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13} \) \[31\] and \( \text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13} \) \[42\], which does not seem to correspond to nesting conditions. Similarly, in \( (\text{Sr}^2\text{Ir})_3\text{Sn}_{13} \) computational mode decomposition has revealed the same \( q \)-vector \((1/2, 1/2, 0)\) \[31\]. In a closely structurally related compound \( \text{Yb}_3\text{Co}_3\text{Ge}_{13} \), charge density modulation was found to depend on sample stoichiometry \[43\]. The EXAFS phase derivative analysis supports the CDW-like formation by revealing different \( q \)-vectors \([43\]) and \( \text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13} \) revealed the same \( q \)-vector \((1/2, 1/2, 0)\) \[31\]. In a closely structurally related compound \( \text{Yb}_3\text{Co}_3\text{Ge}_{13} \), charge density modulation was found to depend on sample stoichiometry \[43\]. The EXAFS phase derivative analysis supports the CDW-like formation by revealing different \( q \)-vectors \([43\]) and \( \text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13} \) revealed the same \( q \)-vector \((1/2, 1/2, 0)\) \[31\].

The CDW transition is enhanced when superconductivity coexists \[55\]. This is indeed frequently observed \[56\]. In the \( \text{YBa}_2\text{CuO}_{6−\delta} \), the CDW transition is enhanced when superconductivity is suppressed by magnetic field, and the superconducting transition temperature increases when CDW ordering is suppressed with pressure \[57\]. In the transition metal dichalcogenides, \( 2\text{H-NbSe}_2 \), \( 2\text{H-TaS}_2 \), and \( 2\text{H-TaSe}_2 \), 2.5 meV electron irradiation experiments suggested that long-range ordered CDW directly competes with SC so that superconducting transition temperature, \( T_c \), increases with the introduction of disorder \[18\]. However, this simple competition between CDW and SC is only part of the story. Further irradiation experiments showed that as soon as the long-range CDW order breaks down above approximately \( 6 \times 10^{18} \) electrons per \( \text{cm}^2 \), \( T_c \) starts to decrease rapidly, initially in a step like fashion \[20\]. This implies that CDW also helps superconductivity which benefits from softening of the phonon modes due to long-range CDW order \[20\]. Phonon softening near the \( T_{CDW} \) transition is also observed in \( \text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13} \) \[45\], \( \text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13} \) \[58\], and \( \text{Ca}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13} \) \[41\]. Furthermore, later studies of \( 2\text{H-NbSe}_2 \) showed that in systems with electron-phonon pairing mechanism, the largest superconducting gaps occur in the regions of the Fermi surface connected by the CDW nesting vector \[59\].

The 3-4-13 family of compounds is well-suited for studying the relationship between CDW and superconductivity. Their CDW transition can be tuned through a broad range of temperatures by the selection of different elements or by the application of pressure. The suppression of CDW ordering extrapolates to a region where the resistivity exhibits non-Fermi liquid behavior, suggesting the existence of a QCP in the phase diagram. This QCP was first discovered in \( \text{Ca}_3\text{Sr}_{1−x}\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13} \) compounds at the pressure of about 20 kbar \[31\], and was later found to be accessible via doping in the \( \text{Ca}_3\text{Sr}_{1−x}\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13} \) series at around \( x = 0.9 \) \[30\] \[58\]. The structural nature of the QCP was confirmed using x-ray diffraction, showing the continuation of the CDW ordering inside of the superconducting dome \[59\]. The summary phase diagram as determined from these measurements, with the location of our samples marked, is shown in Fig. \[1a\](a).

Experimentally, it is determined that CDW materials exhibit mostly conventional electron-phonon mechanism of superconductivity \[60\]. In the 3-4-13 compounds most studies, including this work, are consistent with a single isotropic gap weak-coupling superconductivity. Thermal conductivity measurements of \( \text{Ca}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13} \) found a vanishing residual linear term and a weak increase with applied magnetic field, consistent with a full gap with small or no anisotropy \[61\]. Heat capacity measurements show exponential decrease at low temperatures \[62\] and a linear magnetic field dependence \[63\], which also agree with a full-gap superconducting state. Temperature dependence of the London penetration depth \( \lambda(T) \), determined from lower critical field measurements \[40\] as well as this work discussed later in the text provide strong evidence of a fully-gaped superconducting state. Even more so we found a perfect agreement of the data with \( \lambda(T) \), expected from the weak-coupling isotropic BCS theory, parameter-free, both close to \( T \to 0 \) and in the full temperature range.

On the other hand, an apparent enhancement of the
electronic specific-heat jump at $T_c$ in (Sr)$_3$(Ir)$_4$Sn$_{13}$ and (Sr)$_3$(Rh)$_4$Sn$_{13}$ was interpreted as a sign of a strong-coupling nature of superconductivity in these compounds [64]. Furthermore, there are signs of strong coupling superconductivity in heat capacity measurements around the QCP region [65–68], which could also be due to the contribution of critical quantum fluctuations. Muon-spin rotation ($\mu$SR) experiments under pressure find that the superfluid density strongly increases when the system is tuned closer to the QCP in Ca$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$ [67]. While $\mu$SR measurements of both Ca$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$ [69] and Sr$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$ [70] agree with a single isotropic gap, they also could not rule out possible two-gap superconductivity with two very different gaps on different Fermi surface sheets. The same group discusses possible multi-band physics from the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements [71]. We note that in 2D CDW/SC 2H-NbSe$_2$, angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) [72], specific heat [74] and London penetration depth [74] measurements found strong evidence for multi-gap superconductivity. In Sr$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$, possible importance of multi-band effects was identified in electronic band-structure study where at least four sheets of the Fermi surface with sizes differing by a factor of nearly 20 were found [75].

Regarding the superconducting gap(s) anisotropy, most measurements are consistent with a fully-gapped isotropic superconducting state described by a weak-coupling Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) theory [76], which is natural for a phonon-mediated attractive pairing potential. In the case of SDW antiferromagnetic fluctuations as in the cuprates, a sign-changing $d$–wave pairing is favored [77]. In the present case of CDW/SC compounds, the pairing type is an open question and our present work strongly suggests a possibility of an unconventional multiband $s^{\pm}$-pairing state where the sign of the order parameter is different on one (or a small subset) of the smaller Fermi surface sheets, but remains isotropic and overall fully-gapped. Such a state will manifest itself only in select experiments, such as the response to a non-magnetic disorder. On a general note, there is currently significant revived interest in superconductivity in seemingly conventional compounds, such as elemental niobium where the response to disorder has helped to reveal anisotropic strong-coupling superconductivity [78], or in the case of a Dirac semi-metal from our earlier work [79].

It should be noted that thermodynamic measurements are not sensitive to the sign of the order parameter. On the other hand, studying the variation of $T_c$ when changing the non spin-flip (non magnetic) scattering rate is a phase-sensitive method that provides insights into the nature of the order parameter and pairing mechanisms [79] [80]. In the well-known limit of an isotropic single-band $s$–wave superconductor, $T_c$ is not affected by weak non-magnetic disorder, known as the “Anderson theorem” [81] [82]. In a stark contrast, the transition temperature in materials with anisotropic gap(s) [78] [83] [84], or sign-changing $d$–wave superconductivity in the cuprates [85], as well as $s^{\pm}$ pairing states in iron-based superconductors [86], is strongly affected by non-magnetic disorder. A generalized treatment extending the original Abrikosov-Gor’kov theory [82] for anisotropic order parameters is given by Openov [87] [88], and it can be easily extended to a multiband case with different gap amplitudes [89]. In multi-band and multi-orbital systems, particularly in the presence of spin-orbit coupling, the suppression of $T_c$ is expected to be somewhere in between these two limits [79] [80] [90]. Importantly, combined with independent measurements of the superfluid density and theoretical calculations that take into account particular crystal and electronic structure, the evolution of $T_c$ with disorder is a powerful tool to extract important information about the superconducting order parameter [78] [79].

In this work, we use artificial point-like disorder to study the relationship between superconductivity and CDW ordering in the stoichiometric compounds of the (Ca,Sr)$_3$(Ir,Rh)$_4$Sn$_{13}$ “3–4–13” Rem elk series. The low-temperature (20 K) 2.5 MeV electron irradiation produces vacancy-interstitials “Frenkel pairs”, which leave a metastable population of vacancies upon warming up to room temperature due to very different rates of diffusion of vacancies and interstitials [93–95]. This leads to a residual resistivity increase which is monotonic with the irradiation dose, reflecting the increase in the scattering rate. We find that in 3–4–13 compounds, the CDW transition is universally suppressed by disorder. We also observe a weak increase of the superconducting transition temperature, $T_c$, in Sr$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$, and a non-linear scattering-rate dependence of $T_c$ in Sr$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$ and Ca$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$. Contrary to the expectations for conventional superconductivity, $T_c$ is rapidly suppressed with disorder in Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$, which does not exhibit any long-range CDW order. This behavior became puzzling when precision London penetration depth measurements found a full and isotropic single superconducting gap in this compound. This apparent contradiction is resolved by a detailed theoretical analysis of possible pairing states, which provides strong argument in favor of unconventional multiband $s^{\pm}$-pairing state where the sign of the order parameter is different on one (or a small subset) of the smaller Fermi surface sheets, but remains overall fully-gapped. We note that the influence of atomic defects produced by rapid quenching from high temperatures in Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$ was studied thirty years ago using x-ray spectroscopy [95]. The observed reduction of $T_c$ [96] was attributed to the creation of Sn-Ca ions exchange anti-sites. Unfortunately, no physical properties, for example conductivity, were measured, hence the dimensionless scattering rate was not determined. The authors of Ref. [95] speculated that $T_c$ decreased due to the suppression of the density of states at the Fermi level due to the disturbance of the Ca-Ca bond length. However, we believe that it is more likely that they dealt with the same unconventional mechanism as proposed in our report here. As discussed below, our electron irradiation creates roughly one atomic defect per thousand formula
units, which has no appreciable effect on the density of states.

The paper is organized as follows: details of sample preparation and methods are provided in Section II. The experimental results for all compounds can be found in Section III and theoretical analysis in Section IV. Finally, Section V summarizes our findings.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Single crystals of $(\text{Ca,Sr})_3(\text{Rh,Ir})_4\text{Sn}_{13}$ were grown using a high temperature self-flux method, as described in Ref. [63]. X-ray diffraction (XRD) data were taken with Cu K$_\alpha$ ($\lambda = 0.15418$ nm) radiation of a Rigaku Miniflex powder diffractometer, and the elemental analysis was performed using an energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX) in a JEOL JSM-6500 scanning electron microscope.

Electrical resistivity measurements were conducted in a Quantum Design PPMS using a conventional four-probe method. The contacts to the crystal surface were made by soldering silver wires with tin [97, 98]. The contact resistance is below 100 $\mu$Ω, and they are sufficiently mechanically stable to withstand electron irradiation [99]. The samples for resistivity measurements were cut and polished from single crystals, with typical sample sizes of $(1-2)\times0.3\times0.1$ mm$^3$. The long sample axis was arbitrary with respect to the cubic structure of these crystals. Standard resistivity runs were made on both cooling and heating, with negligible hysteresis.

The variation of the in-plane London penetration depth, $\Delta \lambda(T)$, was measured using a sensitive self-oscillating tunnel-diode resonator (TDR) described in detail elsewhere [100, 103]. In brief, the TDR circuit resonates at approximately 14 MHz, and the frequency shift, which is proportional to the sample magnetic susceptibility, is measured with precision better than one part per billion (ppb). The coefficient of proportionality that includes the demagnetization correction is measured directly by pulling the sample out of the resonator at base temperature [103]. This technique was developed specifically to detect minute changes in the London penetration depth and is now considered one of the sensitive tools for studying the anisotropy of the superconducting order parameter [104, 105]. We use this technique to determine the superconducting gap structure, as well as to show that we do not induce magnetic states with disorder, and that our crystals are very homogeneous.

Point-like disorder was introduced at the SIRIUS facility in the Laboratoire des Solides Irradiés at École Polytechnique, Palaiseau, France. Electrons accelerated in a pelletron-type accelerator to 2.5 MeV knock out ions creating vacancy - interstitial Frenkel pairs [93, 94]. During irradiation the sample is held in liquid hydrogen at around 20 K. The low-temperature environment is needed not only to remove the significant amount of heat produced by sub-relativistic electrons upon collisions, but also to prevent the immediate recombination and migration of produced atomic defects. The acquired irradiation dose is determined by measuring the total charge collected by a Faraday cage located behind the sample. As such, the acquired dose is measured in the “natural” units of C/cm$^2$, which is equal to $1 \text{C} \equiv 1/e \approx 6.24 \times 10^{18}$ electrons per cm$^2$. Upon warming the sample to room temperature, the interstitials, which have a lower barrier of diffusion [93, 94], migrate to various sinks (dislocations, surfaces etc). This leaves a metastable population of vacancies. The resultant vacancy density is determined by the highest temperature the sample was exposed to. In most materials, including 3-4-13, vacancies are stable as verified by the transport measurements of the same samples years apart and even if the density would slowly change, the resistivity measurement provides a snapshot of the current scattering rate in a particular sample. This is the point-like disorder discussed in this paper [107, 108]. Practically, the level of disorder induced by the irradiation is gauged experimentally by the change of resistivity well above the CDW transition, at the room temperature, where the carrier density is roughly constant across all compositions and the only change in resistivity comes from the difference in the residual resistivity. We also calculated the number of defects per formula unit (dpf) numerically using specialized “SECTE” software developed in École Polytechnique (Palaiseau, France) specifically to describe ion-resolved knock-out cross-sections for MeV-range electron irradiation. The summary of the results for our four compositions is given in Table IV. The first three columns show...
TABLE I. Head-on knock out partial cross-sections by 2.5 MeV electron irradiation (1 barn = \(1 \times 10^{-24}\) cm\(^2\)). The last column shows the number of defects created per formula unit, per 1 C/cm\(^2\). Roughly 1 defect per 1000 formula units is created. This is sufficiently close to the dilute limit to avoid significant compositional or electronic change.

| compound      | Sr/Ca | Ir/Rh | Sn | total \(\sigma\) | dpf\(\times 10^{-3}\) | \(N\) |
|---------------|-------|-------|----|-----------------|-----------------|------|
| \(\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13}\) | 139   | 261   | 148| 181             | 1.13            |      |
| \(\text{Sr}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}\) | 139   | 158   | 145| 147             | 0.92            |      |
| \(\text{Ca}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13}\) | 79    | 258   | 145| 177             | 1.11            |      |
| \(\text{Ca}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}\) | 84    | 155   | 143| 142             | 0.89            |      |

FIG. 2. Total knock-out cross-sections for studied compounds as function of electron energy. Our operating energy of 2.5 MeV is marked by a dotted line. The difference between Ca/Sr pairs is negligible and is not large between Ir/Rh, being about 30 barn larger for Ir compounds.

TABLE II. Parameters of studied compositions in the pristine state, including CDW and superconducting transition temperatures, and the resistivity at room temperature averaged over indicated number of samples, \(N\).

| compound      | \(T_{\text{CDW}}\) (K) | \(T_c\) (K) | \(\rho_{RT}\) (\(\mu \Omega \text{cm}\)) | \(N\) |
|---------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|------|
| \(\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13}\) | 145.2 ± 0.5             | 5.11 ± 0.03 | 168 ± 29                         | 3    |
| \(\text{Sr}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}\) | 135.76 ± 0.14           | 4.59 ± 0.1  | 129 ± 17                         | 9    |
| \(\text{Ca}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13}\) | 39.0 ± 0.59             | 7.17 ± 0.02 | 120 ± 16                         | 3    |
| \(\text{Ca}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}\) | no CDW                  | 8.29 ± 0.01 | 112 ± 3.87                       | 3    |

avoiding uncertainties from possible variation of stoichiometry within each batch, geometric factors and other parameters unique to each sample. For most compositions, measurements were performed on at least three samples to obtain as objective results as possible, see Table II.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We now discuss the experimental results obtained in our irradiation studies for the following compounds, ordered by a decreasing value of \(T_{\text{CDW}}\): \(\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13}\) [A], \(\text{Sr}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}\) [B], \(\text{Ca}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13}\) [C] and \(\text{Ca}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}\) [D]. This way, we are moving from left to right towards and beyond the quantum critical point in the generic phase diagram shown in Fig. 1(a). The trend in the superconducting transition temperature, \(T_c\), is non-monotonic in this sequence, with \(\text{Sr}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}\) having the lowest transition at \(T_c = 4.2\) K, and overall representing a typical for unconventional superconductors shallow “dome” of superconductivity. The characteristic transition temperatures and resistivity values at room temperature in the pristine state were determined by averaging the measurements of multiple samples as summarized in Table II.
The overall resistivity decreases with decreasing $T_{\text{CDW}}$, which is particularly obvious from the measurements on the samples selected for electron irradiation, shown in Fig. 1(b). That comparison also reveals similar slopes of the temperature-dependent resistivity near room temperature. In the full temperature range, the temperature dependence of the resistivity, $\rho(T)$, is quite unusual. In all compounds, the resistivity in the metallic phase, above $T_{\text{CDW}}$, extrapolates to a very high residual resistivity, similarly to the tantalum dichalcogenides. The resistivity “bump” when crossing into the CDW phase (therefore, lowering carrier density, hence increasing $\rho$) barely reaches 10% of the resistivity value at $T_{\text{CDW}}$, and a significant decrease in the resistivity is observed on further cooling down to low temperatures. This behavior suggests that the loss of the carrier density due to the opening of the CDW gap is small, as would naturally be expected for a three-dimensional CDW material. This is in line with NMR measurements of Sr$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$, which found that only approximately 13% of the total density of states is lost in the CDW transition. The very high values of $\rho$ obtained by linear extrapolation from high temperatures to $T = 0$, and the quick loss of resistivity upon CDW ordering suggest significant contribution of charge-disorder scattering, similar to that suggested by Naito and Tanaka for the transition metal dichalcogenides. Interestingly, a similar type of $\rho(T)$ behavior is observed in Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$, in which long-range CDW is not observed. This may be indicate that despite the total suppression of the long-range CDW ordering in that compound, short-range correlations may persist similarly to the case of CDW suppression by disorder in 2H-NbSe$_2$ and in doped ZrTe$_3$.

### A. Sr$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$

The temperature dependent electrical resistivity for Sr$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$ and its evolution with electron irradiation are shown in the main panel of Figure 3. The resistivity value for the selected sample of Sr$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$ is in reasonable agreement with previous reports of 120 $\mu\Omega$ cm \cite{52, 53}. Irradiation shifts the $\rho(T)$ curves upward at high temperatures, but they remain nearly parallel to each other above $T_{\text{CDW}}$. This can be seen in the plot of the difference between the two curves $\Delta \rho = \rho(4.4 \text{C/cm}^2) - \rho(0 \text{C/cm}^2)$, which is shown as the green line in Fig. 3. Matthiessen’s rule is largely obeyed above the transition temperature, suggesting that we are in a normal metallic state, albeit one with very high residual resistivity. The minimum in the difference plot is caused by the shift in the CDW transition temperature as irradiation disrupts the long-range order. The suppression of that transition temperature is shown in the left inset via a plot of the derivative of the resistivity, $d\rho/dT$, with arrows indicating the location of $T_{\text{CDW}}$. The superconducting transition temperature is monotonically suppressed with disorder, and sharpens after irradiation.

### B. Sr$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$

In Sr$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$, similarly to Sr$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$, the CDW transition is monotonically suppressed with the increase of disorder. However, Sr$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$ is the only compound in which the expected increase of the superconducting transition temperature, $T_c$, with the suppression of CDW is actually observed. The response of $T_c$ to disorder is distinctly non-linear, with a significant initial increase which becomes smaller at higher doses. Also, we found a larger variation of $T_c$ between the samples from the same batch when performed initial screening, suggesting that the superconducting state is sensitive to disorder either directly or via the disruption of CDW order. It is possible that in Sr$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$ the incipient superconductivity is too weak and $T_c (x)$ is too shallow to show any response to the suppressed CDW. In other words, CDW is too strong. Then next in line, Sr$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$, has just right ratio of CDW and SC phases strength to see the effect. Of course, the $T_c$ is always monotonically suppressed if CDW is not considered. The full range of resistivity is shown for a selected representative sample in Fig. 4(b). Matthiessen’s rule is largely obeyed above the CDW transition, similar to Sr$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$.

### C. Ca$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$

Ca$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$ is the compound with the lowest $T_{\text{CDW}}$. As shown in Fig. 5, a clear feature in the temperature-
The superconducting transition temperature in Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$ is significantly affected by electron irradiation. $T_c$ is suppressed from $T_{c,0} = 8.2$ K by more than 2 K after 2.17 C/cm$^2$ of irradiation using the zero-resistance offset criterion, and the transition broadens. Potential scattering is not expected to suppress $T_c$ in conventional isotropic single-band s-wave superconductors, so we must consider the possibility of nodal superconductivity, or at least a strong variation of the superconducting gap magnitude on the Fermi surfaces. This will be addressed in detail in the next section.
FIG. 6. Temperature-dependent resistivity of Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$ in the pristine state before irradiation (blue curve) and after 2.1 C/cm$^2$ irradiation (red curve). The green dashed line shows the difference between the curves, finding strong Matthiessen’s rule violation at all temperatures above the superconducting transition. The small cartoon in the top left corner indicates the position of the compound on the generic phase diagram, - the right-most, with no CDW transition. The inset shows resistivity in the vicinity of the superconducting transition, revealing substantial $T_c$ suppression by electron irradiation.

IV. DISCUSSION

The increase of a sample’s residual resistivity as a function of irradiation dose is an intrinsic measure of the disorder introduced by irradiation. However, because the resistivity in the CDW state depends on the size of the gapped part of the Fermi surface, which is compound-dependent, a direct comparison across chemical compositions is not very informative. A better proxy for the quantification of the effect of disorder across compounds is the resistivity in the metallic state above $T_{CDW}$. As can be seen from Fig. 1(b), the $\rho(T)$ curves for all compounds are nearly parallel approaching room temperature, and the overall resistivity variation does not exceed 30% or so. The values of $\rho(300\,\text{K})$ are listed in Table II. This validates the assumption of practically negligible differences in the carrier density between the different compounds in the normal state at elevated temperatures. By measuring the change in the resistivity in the normal state, we can thus determine the change in the disorder scattering. Combined with the numerical estimates of the defect density as described in Section II, these measures allow for a direct comparison between different samples.

A. Interplay of charge-density wave and superconductivity

In the following, we summarize critical temperatures extracted from figures 3-6 as a function of irradiation.

FIG. 7. (a) The evolution of the CDW transition temperature normalized by the value before irradiation, $T_{CDW}/T_{CDW,0}$, and (b) similar plot of the superconducting transition temperature normalized by the value in pristine samples, $T_c/T_{c,0}$ with the induced disorder in units of defects per formula unit (dpf), see text for details. The X and Y scales on both graphs are the same for easy comparison. Note a significant increase of $T_c$ suppression in samples where CDW does not coexist with superconductivity.

Ideally, such summary plots would show the error bars in both X and Y directions. However, it cannot be done in our case because we did not measure many samples that would allow statistical analysis. On the other hand, each critical temperature is determined with such precision that the corresponding uncertainty error bar is smaller than the symbol size. This is also true for estimating the X axis values that involve measured total irradiation dose and residual resistivity.

Figure 7(a) shows the evolution of the CDW ordering temperature, $T_{CDW}$, with the defects per formula unit (dpf). The observed dependence is striking. While the CDW is suppressed at the same rate in Sr$_3$(Ir,Rh)$_4$Sn$_{13}$ compounds, the closer to QCP Ca$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$ shows a much larger suppression rate. Intriguingly, this is the composition where the Matthiessen’s rule is violated above the CDW transition, as it is expected that quantum fluctuations affect the properties near QCP. A similar graph of the normalized $T_c/T_{c,0}$ in Fig. 7(b) shows complex behavior. The rate of suppression is similar in samples [A]...
and [C], while $T_c$ increases in sample [B]. Such increase is expected when superconducting pairing and charge-density wave inter-band interaction energies are comparable and the enhancement of superconductivity due to CDW suppression over-weights the natural suppression of $T_c$ by disorder. For Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$ which is away from CDW phase, the suppression of $T_c$ is dramatic, despite the fact that its $T_{c,0}$ is similar to [B] and [C]. Interestingly, and consistent with this picture as soon as CDW is completely suppressed in sample [C], the $T_c$ suppression becomes much faster and similar to sample [D]. Our measurements establish that in 3-4-13 stannides, there is a direct competition of CDW and superconductivity, in addition to quantum fluctuations around QCP that affect even normal-state properties. Of course, despite similarities, we are dealing with four distinctly different compounds and some unique structural and/or electronic features may certainly contribute to the results.

\[ \gamma^\lambda = \frac{\hbar \Delta_0^{-1}}{2 \pi k_B T_{c,0}} = \frac{\hbar}{2 \pi k_B T_{c,0}} \frac{\lambda^\lambda_{\text{clean}}}{\lambda^\lambda_{\text{clean}}(0)/T_{c,0}}. \]  

Here, $\Delta_0^\lambda$ is the change of the residual resistivity after irradiation compared to the pristine state value, and $\lambda^\lambda_{\text{clean}}(0)$ is the zero temperature penetration depth in the pristine sample. Note that we obtain $\Delta_0^\lambda$ by extrapolation to $T = 0$. Inserting the dimensional constants and using units of $\mu B cm$ for $\Delta_0^\lambda$, $10^{-7}$ m for the penetration depth $\lambda^\lambda_{\text{clean}}(0)$, and K for $T_{c,0}$, Eq. [1] takes the form $\gamma^\lambda = 0.97 \Delta_0^\lambda/\lambda^\lambda_{\text{clean}}(0)/T_{c,0}$. To arrive at Eq. [1] we used the simple Drude model for resistivity, $\rho = m^*/(ne^2 \tau)$, and the London model for the penetration depth, $\lambda^\lambda_{\text{clean}}(0) = m^*/(\mu_B n e^2) \Omega cm$ (see also Appendix D of Ref. [79]). Note that we have used that the superfluid density equals the total carrier density at zero temperature. This allows expressing the (change of the) normal-metal scattering rate via measurable parameters, $\Delta \tau^{-1} = \Delta_0^\lambda/\mu_B \lambda^\lambda_{\text{clean}}(0)$. We note that $\lambda^\lambda_{\text{clean}}(0)$ and the normal-state scattering time, $\tau$, do not depend on parameters of superconductivity and Eq. [1] can thus be used for different gap symmetries.

\[ \lambda^\lambda_{\text{clean}}(0) = \frac{\hbar}{2 \pi k_B T_{c,0}} \frac{\lambda^\lambda_{\text{clean}}}{\lambda^\lambda_{\text{clean}}(0)/T_{c,0}}. \]
Now we can compare the results of 3–4–14 stannides with various theoretical expectations as well as other superconductors in which the effect of disorder was studied. Figure 8 shows normalized $T_c$ suppression for our four systems as a function of $\gamma^\lambda$. The data are compared with nodal $s^\pm$ BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$, [117], Dirac semi-metal PdTe$_2$ [79], and CDW superconductor 2H-NbSe$_2$ [20]. The expectation from the Abrikosov-Gor’kov theory for a single-band $d$-wave superconductor with non-magnetic scattering [82, 87, 88], shown by the dashed line, provides the scale for the largest suppression rate possible. While in three CDW/SC 3–4–13 compounds, it can be argued that anything is possible due to cooperation and/or competition between these two quantum orders, the significant $T_c$ suppression rate in Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$ is shown to be intermediate between nodal and nodeless superconductors. In fact, it is comparable to the $T_c$ suppression rate in the nodeless sign-changing $s^±$ state of the optimally doped Ba(Fe$_{1−x}$Ru$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ [105], and is significantly higher than that of a two-gap $s^{++}$ 2H-NbSe$_2$ after the suppression of CDW order. This relatively high $T_c$ suppression rate naturally raises questions about the superconducting gap structure of Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$ and to get an insight into the momentum dependence of the order parameter, we measured the London penetration depth in Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$.

D. London penetration depth of Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$

To examine the anisotropy of the energy gap, we used a sensitive tunnel-diode resonator technique, described in the experimental methods, Sec. II, to measure the low-temperature variation of the London penetration depth in Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$. Figure 9 shows the variation of the superfluid density, $\rho_s = \lambda^\lambda(0)/\lambda^\lambda(T)$, calculated from the measured variation of the London penetration depth, $\Delta \lambda(0)$, over the whole temperature range. This is important to detect possible signatures of two-gap superconductivity. The top right inset in Fig. 9 shows a full-range variation of $\Delta \lambda(T)$ and the lower left inset zooms on the characteristic low-temperature range, approximately $T < T_c/3$, where the order parameter amplitude is practically constant and any changes in $\lambda(T)$ come from the quasiparticles generated due to angular variation of the gap function. The red line in the bottom-left inset shows an excellent fit to the isotropic single-gap $s$-wave function with $\lambda(0) = 330$ nm and $\Delta \lambda(T)/\sqrt{\lambda(0) T_c} = 1.764$. There are no reported measurements of $\lambda(0)$ in Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$, however $\mu$SR measurements report $\lambda(0) = 291$ nm in Sr$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$ [70] and $\lambda(0) = 351$ nm in Ca$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$ [69]; so our measurement is perfectly in range considering that $\lambda(0)$ is a normal-state property that depends only on the parameters of electronic bandstructure. The superfluid density calculated from the obtained $\lambda(T) = \lambda(0) + \Delta \lambda(T)$ (main panel, symbols) is in very good agreement with the parameter-free prediction for an isotropic full-gap $s$-wave superconducting state (main panel, thick orange line). For comparison, the expectation for a $d$-wave superconductor is shown by the dashed line. This nearly perfect and robust agreement with the simplest isotropic BCS is at odds with the significant rate of the disorder-induced reduction of the $T_c$. As we show below, these conclusions are impossible to reconcile without invoking unconventional pairing in Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$.

Measurements of $\lambda(T)$ allow us to address the question of whether the defects induced by electron irradiation become magnetic. In principle, non-magnetic ions may become magnetic when their ionization changes. Such magnetic defects can cause pair-breaking due to spin-flip scattering, resulting in a $T_c$ suppression even in isotropic $s$-wave fully-gapped superconductors [82, 87, 88]. Our precision measurements of the London penetration depth in this system exclude this scenario. Due to the sensitivity of these measurements, even a minute paramagnetic signal coming from magnetic defects would be detected. In particular, in the presence of magnetic impurities, the London penetration depth estimated from the magnetic susceptibility measurements (such as tunnel-diode resonator) is renormalized as $\lambda_m(T) = \sqrt{\mu(T)} \lambda(T)$, where $\lambda(T)$ is the London penetration depth of a superconducting sample without magnetic impurities and $\mu(T)$ is the normal-state magnetic permeability due to
dilute non-interacting magnetic moments (ions, impurities etc). We refer to Ref. [113], which shows what the measured penetration depth looks like when this effect is relevant. Here we do not see any trace of the paramagnetic upturn expected if we had magnetic impurities. From the concentration of defects induced by irradiation, which is up to $5 \times 10^{-3}$ dpf, the volume of the conventional unit cell, $(9.7 \text{ Å})^3$, one obtains with $Z = 2$ formulas for the the concentration of defects in conventional units $n_d \approx 1 \times 10^{25} \text{ m}^{-3} = 18 \text{ mol/m}^3$. Now we can evaluate the Curie constant. Assuming the simplest case, that each scattering center is a two-level system with the magnetic moment of one Bohr magneton, $\mu = \mu_B = 9.27 \times 10^{-24} \text{ J/T}$. With the estimated $n_d$ we obtain, $C = \mu_0 n_d \mu_B^2 / k_B \approx 7.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ K}$. This is a very small number even for such a large moment. It gives a correction to our penetration depth, $\lambda (0) = 330 \text{ nm}$, of approximately $\Delta \lambda (0.4 \text{ K}) \approx 0.32 \text{ Å}$ at the minimum temperature of 0.4 K. This is a negligible correction. Of course, when $T \to 0$, it will grow large, but in this paper we are mostly examining what happens at $T_c$, and such a dilute system will not be able to shift $T_c$ in any appreciable way. If for some reason the magnetic moment is larger or more defects are generated, the measurements of London penetration depth are capable of resolving sub-nm variation and would pick up such a signal. We can therefore say with confidence that magnetism of the defects induced by electron irradiation does not play a role in the obtained results.

Finally, together with a very sharp resistive and magnetic transitions in pristine sample, the behavior of $\lambda (T)$ also rules out possible chemical and structural inhomogeneities that were shown to lead to a significant spread of the observed $T_c$ in polycrystalline Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$ [109].

E. Candidate pairing states for Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$

Since Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$ does not exhibit a transition into a CDW phase, the normal state symmetries out of which superconductivity emerges are expected to be those of the room-temperature symmetry group of the 3-4-13 series—the space group $Pn\bar{3}m$ (no. 223) with associated point group $O_h$; this is confirmed by XRD measurements [119]. Both in the literature and in our measurements, there are no indications of multiple consecutive superconducting transitions. Therefore, we can use the irreducible representations (IRs) of the normal-state symmetry group [120] to classify the superconducting order parameters. In the absence of magnetic fields, it is further natural to assume that the pairing order parameter transforms trivially under lattice translations and we can focus on the IRs of the point group $O_h$. Note that the involved atoms are moderately heavy and we thus expect spin-orbit coupling to be sufficiently strong such that the symmetries of $O_h$ should be thought of as acting on the spatial coordinates (three-dimensional momentum $\mathbf{k}$) and spin simultaneously.

Since $O_h$ contains inversion, $i$, all bands are doubly-degenerate despite the presence of spin-orbit coupling. We label the degeneracy with a pseudospin quantum number. Another consequence of $i \in O_h$ is that all IRs decay into even, $g$, and odd, $u$, representations under $i$, associated with pseudospin singlet and triplet. For each $\mu = g, u$, $O_h$ has two 1D IRs, $A_\mu$ and $A_{2\mu}$, one 2D IR, $E_\mu$, and two 3D IRs, $T_\mu$ and $T_{2\mu}$, leading to a total number of 10 IRs. This gives rise to a large number (26) of possible pairing states [120]. However, most of these states necessarily have nodes which is not consistent with the observed temperature dependence of the penetration depth in Fig. 9. As summarized in Table III, only six states are left that can be fully gapped. When specifying the superconducting order parameter, $\Delta_\mathbf{k}$, in Table III we focus on generic momenta on the Fermi surfaces without additional degeneracies between different bands. Therefore, $\Delta_\mathbf{k}$ can be taken to be a $2 \times 2$ matrix in pseudospin-space, which we have expanded in terms of Pauli matrices $\sigma_j$ in Table III.

These six candidate states can be further divided into two categories: (i) four states that will be fully gapped right below $T_c$, since their primary order parameters are associated with a full gap: these are the regular BCS s-wave, spin singlet state, transforming under $A_1g$, a helical triplet $(A_{1u})$, and two triplets transforming under $E_u$ and $T_{2u}$, respectively; (ii) two states where the primary order parameter has line nodes but which, once non-zero, can induce secondary superconducting orders that have a full gap: these are two singlets, one transforming under $E_g$ and one under $T_{2g}$.

The states (ii) are not consistent with experiment for the following reasons: they will have line nodes in a finite range below $T_c$, which together with the temperature-dependent admixture of a secondary order parameter, is generically expected to lead to a more unconventional temperature dependence of the penetration depth than what is seen in Fig. 9. Further, the admixture of the secondary component has to be extremely large to not only remove the nodes but also lead to an approximately isotropic gap function (see also Appendix A1).

Among the remaining four states of type (i) in Table III we can further distinguish between (ia) states that can have a fully isotropic gap function and (ib) states which are, by symmetry, forced to have a momentum-dependent order parameter that generically leads to a significantly momentum-dependent gap. The ratio of the maximum to minimum gap size, $\Delta_{\text{max}} / \Delta_{\text{min}}$, on the Fermi surface is expected to be of the order of 2 for the (ib) states. Based on the penetration-depth data, the (ia) states thus seem more natural candidates. We therefore focus for the following analysis of the irradiation data on the $A_{1g}$ singlet and $A_{1u}$ triplet states.
TABLE III. Possible fully gapped pairing states for Ca₃Rh₄Sn₁₃ as constrained by the point group O₈. The first four states above the horizontal line can be fully gapped right below the superconducting critical temperature \(T_c\). The two states below the horizontal line exhibit line nodes right below \(T_c\) but can, in principle, be fully gapped at sufficiently low \(T\). The column \(d_o\) denotes the dimensionality of the IR and the column TRS states whether the pairing state has time-reversal symmetry. We use the short-hand notation \(X = X_k, Y = Y_k, Z = Z_k\) to denote real-valued Brillouin-zone-periodic functions that transform as \(x, y, z\) under \(O_h\). We also indicate the ratio of the maximal to minimal value of the superconducting gap, \(\Delta_{\text{max}}/\Delta_{\text{min}}\), for an isotropic Fermi surface around \(k = 0\) and with \((X, Y, Z) = (k_x, k_y, k_z)\). As discussed in the text, only the states of “type” (ia) are natural candidates consistent with the temperature dependence of the superfluid density in Fig. 5.

| IR | pairing | \(d_o\) | TRS | order parameter \(\Delta_k i\sigma_y\) | \(\Delta_{\text{max}}/\Delta_{\text{min}}\) | type |
|----|---------|-------|-----|---------------------|-----------------|-----|
| \(A_{1g}\) | \(s\) wave | 1 | ✓ | \(a + b(X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2)\) | 1 | (ia) |
| \(A_{1u}\) | \(p\) wave | 1 | ✓ | \(X\sigma_x + Y\sigma_y + Z\sigma_z\) | 1 | (ia) |
| \(E_u\) | \(e_u(0,1)\) wave | 2 | ✓ | \(2Z\sigma_x - X\sigma_z - Y\sigma_y\) | 2 | (ib) |
| \(T_{2u}\) | \(t_{2u(1,1,1)}\) wave | 3 | ✓ | \((Y + Z)\sigma_x + (X + Z)\sigma_y + (X + Y)\sigma_z\) | 2 | (ib) |
| \(E_g\) | \(e_g(0,1)\) wave | 2 | ✓ | \(2Z^2 - X^2 - Y^2\) | ∞ (line nodes) | (ii) |
| \(T_{2g}\) | \(t_{2g(1,1,1)}\) wave | 3 | ✓ | \(YZ + ZX + XY\) | ∞ (line nodes) | (ii) |

F. Constraints on pairing from sensitivity to disorder scattering

To quantitatively analyze the measured impact of impurities on \(T_c\) in Ca₃Rh₄Sn₁₃, we use the general expression derived in Ref. [79] for the sensitivity parameter \(\zeta\) that describes the disorder-induced reduction of the superconducting critical temperature according to

\[
\frac{T_{c,0} - T_c(\tau^{-1})}{T_{c,0}} \sim \frac{\pi}{4T_{c,0}} \tau^{-1} \zeta. \quad (2)
\]

This expression holds in the limit of weak scattering rates, \(\tau^{-1} \to 0\), where \(\zeta\) corresponds to the linear slope of the \(T_c\) reduction as a function of \(\tau^{-1}\). With the normalization in Eq. (2), we have \(\zeta = 1\) for magnetic impurities in a single-band, isotropic, spin-singlet superconductor and \(\zeta = 1/2\) for a single-band d-wave superconductor in the presence of non-magnetic impurities (see grey dashed line in Fig. 5). Comparison of the slopes in Fig. 5 allows to extract \(\zeta \approx 1/9\) for our Ca₃Rh₄Sn₁₃ sample. Ref. [79] related \(\zeta\) for a general superconductor and a general form of disorder to a (properly normalized) Frobenius norm of the commutator appearing in the generalized Anderson theorem of Refs. [121,122]. The full expression for general disorder potentials and pairing states is defined in Appendix A.2 [see Eq. (A7)]. In the following, we apply it to the relevant pairing states in Ca₃Rh₄Sn₁₃ that were identified above. Since electron irradiation creates point-like, non-magnetic defects, we focus on this type of disorder.

We begin with the \(A_{1g}\) singlet and assume a general momentum-dependent order parameter, \(\Delta_k = \Psi_k i\sigma_y\) where \(\Psi_k\) is invariant under all symmetries of \(O_h\). Considering point-like, non-magnetic disorder without any momentum dependence in the pseudospin basis, Eq. (A7) readily yields

\[
\zeta = \frac{\langle |\Psi_k|^2 \rangle_{FS} - |\langle \Psi_k \rangle_{FS}^2|}{2 |\langle \Psi_k^2 \rangle_{FS}|}; \quad (3)
\]

here \(\langle \ldots \rangle_{FS}\) denotes the average over all momenta \(k\) on the Fermi surfaces of the system (normalized such that \(\langle 1 \rangle_{FS} = 1\)). Note that our assumption of disorder neglects the fact that the wavefunctions at the Fermi surfaces are composed of \(k\)-dependent superpositions of spin and different orbitals, which is expected [79, 90–92] to reduce the impact of disorder on \(T_c\) further. Therefore, the following values of \(\zeta\) should technically be viewed as upper bounds.

It holds \(\zeta = 0\) in Eq. (3) if \(\Psi_k\) is independent of \(k\), recovering the well-known Anderson theorem [51]. Therefore, to obtain finite \(\zeta\) in Eq. (3) for the \(A_{1g}\) singlet, we need to allow for momentum dependent \(\Psi_k\). Let us first assume that this momentum dependence arises from \(\Psi_k\) varying within a closed Fermi sheet. To illustrate the consequences for \(\zeta\), we will for concreteness focus on a single Fermi surface enclosing the Γ point. Let us approximate it to be spherical, and only include the lowest-order lattice harmonic (\(g\)-wave in this case) correction to \(\Psi_k = \Psi_0\) that transforms under the trivial IR \(A_{1g}\) of \(O_h\),

\[
\Psi_k = \Psi_0 (1 + \delta [k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2]) . \quad (4)
\]

Here the parameter \(\delta\) determines the strength of the momentum-dependent perturbation and has to be real as a gauge has to exist where \(\Psi_k \in \mathbb{R}\) (due to time-reversal symmetry in the normal state). Note that the superconductor will be nodal if and only if \(-3 < \delta < -1\). From Eq. (3), it is straightforward to evaluate \(\zeta\) which is found to be

\[
\zeta(\delta) = \frac{8\delta^2}{5\delta(4\delta^2 + 12) + 525}. \quad (5)
\]

As expected, we have \(\zeta(\delta = 0) = 0\) since the order parameter is momentum independent when \(\delta = 0\). The maximal value of 1/2 is reached when \(\delta = -5/3\) for which the Fermi surface average of \(\Psi_k\) vanishes. For large \(|\delta|\), the order parameter approaches that of the subleading, \(g\)-wave basis function, associated with a value \(\lim_{|\delta| \to \infty} \zeta(\delta) = 8/205 \approx 0.039\).
FIG. 10. Calculated normalized superfluid density to examine the influence of gap anisotropy. (a) $g$-wave correction to $s$-wave pairing, as defined in Eq. (4), with relative strengths $\delta = -3.66$ (blue) and $-1.08$ (black), needed to reproduce the observed suppression of $T_c$. The 3D structure of the gap in the reciprocal space is shown as insets. The standard $s$-wave, $\Psi_k = \Psi_0$, and $d$-wave, $\Psi_k = \Psi_0 (k_x^2 - k_y^2)$, cases are shown by dashed lines. Clearly, the computed superfluid density is far from the experimental data shown in Fig. 9. (b) Two-band $A_{1g}$ superconductor with two different gap magnitudes, $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$. To reproduce the observed $T_c$ suppression, the gap ratio should be $\Delta_1/\Delta_2 = 2.78$, see text. There are many sets of the interaction matrix elements to obtain that value at $T_c$ but with different temperature dependencies of $\Delta_1/\Delta_2$ below $T_c$ (see inset). We show the computed $\rho_s(T)$ for several choices, but none of them is consistent with the experimental data. See Appendix B for more details of the computations.

Most importantly, for the experimental value $\zeta = 1/9$, Eq. (5) is only consistent with two possible values of $\delta$: either $\delta \approx -1.08$, which leads to a superconductor with nodal lines, or $\delta \approx -3.66$, for which the superconductor almost exhibits nodal lines; the associated anisotropy is quite large, $\Delta_{\text{max}}/\Delta_{\text{min}} \approx 12$. For both values of $\delta$, we have computed the temperature dependence of the superfluid density $\rho_s$, see Fig. 10(a) for the results and Appendix B for more details. As can be clearly seen, the strong anisotropy or presence of nodes leads to a $\rho_s(T)$ profile that differs significantly from the observed $s$-wave-like behavior and more closely resembles that of a $d$-wave state. Since none of these two values of $\delta$ are consistent with our data, we conclude that the momentum dependence of $\Psi_k$ on one (or several) Fermi sheets is not a possible cause of the observed suppression of $T_c$.

Next, we consider the possibility that the order parameter of the $A_{1g}$ state varies between different sheets. As can be seen in Fig. 11, the normal state of Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$ has eight bands crossing the Fermi level, giving rise to very complex Fermi surfaces. Assuming that $\Psi_k$ is constant on each Fermi sheet, we write $\Psi_k = \Delta_n$ if $k$ belongs to the $n$th sheet. Denoting the density of states at the Fermi level of the $n$th Fermi surface by $\rho_n$, we find

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\sum_n \rho_n |\Delta_n|^2}{\left( \sum_n \rho_n |\Delta_n|^2 \right)^2 \sum_n \rho_n} \right]$$

(6)

from Eq. (3). We note in passing that it is also possible that the order parameter on different, symmetry unrelated pockets exhibits non-trivial complex phases, due to frustration [123, 124], $\Delta_n^* \Delta_n' \notin \mathbb{R}$. However, this can only happen via two (or more) consecutive superconducting transitions, as a result of time-reversal symmetry. As there are no indications of multiple transitions in Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$ we will assume $\Delta_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since $\Delta_n^* \Delta_n' < 0$ is expected to be impossible for a
conventional phonon-mediated pairing mechanism [124, 126], we first focus on the case where $\Delta_1^u, \Delta_2^u > 0$ for all $n, n'$, which we refer to as the $A_{1g}^{+}$ state. In the simplest case of only two different gap magnitudes in Eq. (9), it is straightforward to show via optimization of the respective density of states that the maximum possible $\zeta$ for given $\Delta_1/\Delta_2$ reads as

$$\zeta_{\text{max}} \left( \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_1} \right) = \zeta_{\text{max}} \left( \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2} \right) = \frac{(\Delta_2/\Delta_1 - 1)^2}{2(1 + \Delta_2/\Delta_1)^2}. \quad (7)$$

From this, it is easy to see that $\zeta = 1/9$ can only be reached when $\Delta_2/\Delta_1 > 2.78$ (or $\Delta_1/\Delta_2 < 0.35$), which is not consistent with the penetration depth measurement, see Fig. (10b). For reference, $\zeta_{\text{max}} \approx 0.004 < 1/9$ assuming a maximal imbalance of 20%. $\Delta_2/\Delta_1 = 1.2$. In Appendix A, we show that this conclusion is not altered by allowing for three or more independent gaps. We also use values of $\rho_0$ determined from first-principle calculations to show that, irrespective of how two different gap magnitudes are distributed among the various Fermi surface sheets, the minimal gap anisotropy consistent with $\zeta = 1/9$ is $\Delta_{\text{max}}/\Delta_{\text{min}} \approx 2.86$.

Since our data cannot be explained by the $A_{1g}^{+}$, we now allow for $\Delta_1^u, \Delta_2^u < 0$. Such a multiband $s^+$-state, which we denote by $A_{1g}^{-}$, cannot be stabilized by electron-phonon coupling alone and, hence, requires an unconventional pairing mechanism. As a consequence of the sign change, two different gap values $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$ with $\Delta_1/\Delta_2 < 0$ are sufficient to cause much larger $\zeta$ in Eq. (9): the maximum possible value of $\zeta = 1/2$ is reached when $\rho_1|\Delta_1| = \rho_2|\Delta_2|$. Consequently, for the $A_{1g}^{-}$ states, the crucial question is whether $\zeta = 1/9$ is too small. In Appendix A, we show that there are multiple different ways of distributing $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$ with $\Delta_1/\Delta_2 \approx -1$, i.e., with almost identical (and isotropic) gaps, among the various Fermi sheets. Consequently, the unconventional $A_{1g}^{-}$ state is thus far the only option consistent with our measurements.

Finally, let us look into the $A_{1u}$ triplet. As readily follows from the general expression for $\zeta$ derived in [79], it holds $\zeta = 1/2$ for the $A_{1u}$ triplet state. In fact, $\zeta = 1/2$ holds for any other unconventional pairing state such as the $E_g$ and $T_{2g}$ singlets in Table II as already discussed, these latter two are less natural candidates for $\text{Ca}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}$ since their gap function is expected to have an anisotropy of about 2, while the gap of the $A_{1u}$ triplet state can be completely isotropic. The value of $\zeta = 1/2$ is still too large by about a factor of four. However, as alluded to above, assuming impurities that have $k$-independent, pseudospin trivial matrix elements on the Fermi surfaces may not be such a good approximation in a complex multi-orbital material such as $\text{Ca}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}$. In particular, the presence of spin-orbit coupling can further reduce $\zeta$ significantly as discussed in several previous works [79, 108, 102]. Therefore, the $A_{1u}$ triplet cannot be excluded based on our observations, but requires the additional, yet not implausible, assumption of spin-orbit-coupling-induced suppression of disorder matrix elements between relevant states at the Fermi surface (see, e.g., Ref. [79] for a general discussion of this aspect). One observation that further disfavors the $A_{1u}$ triplet, however, is that the amount of spin-orbit coupling in the Bloch states at the Fermi surface should vary significantly among the four stannides studied and yet the suppression of $T_c$ with disorder is of the same order in $\text{Ca}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}$ and $\text{Ca}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13}$ after CDW order has been fully suppressed in the latter, see Fig. [7] right panel. Taken together, the $A_{1u}$ triplet cannot be rigorously excluded based on our data but requires more fine-tuning and additional assumptions than the $A_{1g}^{-}$ superconductor.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the impact of controlling the number of non-magnetic defects on the transition temperatures of the superconducting, $T_c$, and CDW, $T_{\text{CDW}}$, phases in the four stoichiometric 3-4-13 stannides $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13}$, $\text{Sr}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}$, $\text{Ca}_3\text{Ir}_4\text{Sn}_{13}$, and $\text{Ca}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}$. While $T_{\text{CDW}}$ is suppressed with increasing defect concentrations in the three compounds that exhibit CDW order, the behavior of superconductivity is more complex, see Fig. [7] and reveals non-trivial microscopic physics. The suppression of $T_c$ with weak disorder is by far the strongest in $\text{Ca}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}$, which does not exhibit any long-range CDW. Furthermore, $T_c$ increases with weak disorder in $\text{Sr}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}$.

Quantitatively, the suppression of $T_c$ with disorder in $\text{Ca}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}$ is about only 4.5 times weaker than the theoretical expectation for a nodal superconducting gap function, such as $d$-wave, with a vanishing average order parameter on the Fermi surface, see Fig. [8]. However, the measured temperature dependence of the London penetration depth, Fig. [9] indicates a full isotropic gap. Based on the symmetries of the normal state, we classified the pairing states in $\text{Ca}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}$ and list those which can have a full gap in Table III. Among those, only the $A_{1g}$ singlet and $A_{1u}$ triplet are naturally consistent with the nearly isotropic gap. Based on a quantitative comparison [74] of theory and the measured disorder-induced change of $T_c$ in $\text{Ca}_3\text{Rh}_4\text{Sn}_{13}$, a conventional $A_{1g}$ singlet, where the sign of the order parameter is the same on all Fermi surfaces, is not consistent with the data. Instead, the $A_{1g}^{-}$ singlet, a multiband $s^+$-state, where the sign of the order parameter is different on one (or a small subset) of the smaller Fermi surfaces, naturally reproduces the observed suppression of $T_c$. While we cannot rigorously exclude the $A_{1u}$ triplet, further assumptions about the matrix elements of the disorder potential on the Fermi surfaces are required to reduce the impact of disorder on its critical temperature. In either case, the pairing mechanism giving rise to the $A_{1g}^{+}$ or $A_{1u}$ superconductor cannot [125, 126] be based entirely on electron-phonon cou-
pling, and thus must be unconventional. Similarly, since regular time-reversal-invariant CDW fluctuations cannot induce unconventional pairing [125], our work motivates further investigations into a possible microscopic origin of unconventional pairing.

While this conclusion about unconventional pairing only directly applies to Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$, it is natural to expect that the superconductivity has a very similar nature in all of the studied stannides. We observed an extremely similar superfluid density in Ca$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$ (a separate study to be published), which indicates that it is also a fully gapped superconducting state. As shown in Fig. 7, $T_c$ is only weakly suppressed in Ca$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$ when CDW is present, but is suppressed at a similar rate to Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$ as soon as CDW is suppressed. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that the underlying $T_c$ suppression rate is the same in Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$ as in Ca$_3$Ir$_4$Sn$_{13}$, implying similar unconventional pairing. In this sense, Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$ could be the key compound to unravel the microscopic physics of superconductivity in the 3-4-13 series.
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Appendix A: Pairing states and impact of disorder

In this appendix, we provide more details on the superconducting pairing states for Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$ and their sensitivity to point-like disorder.

1. Admixture of secondary order parameter

To understand why the superconducting order parameters in the last two lines of Table III allow for admixture of a secondary order parameter that can be fully gapped, let us first focus on the $e_g(0, 1)$ state. When the order parameter $\Delta_k = \eta_2^{E_g}(2Z_k^2 - X_k^2 - Y_k^2)i\sigma_y$ becomes non-zero at $T_c$, it reduces the point symmetries not only in charged but also in charge-0 observables, such as the spectrum $E_k$ of the Bogoliubov quasi-particles. Formally, this means that for some $g \in O_h$, no $\varphi_g \in \mathbb{R}$ exists such that

$$\Delta_{gk} = e^{i\varphi_g} \Delta_k, \ \forall \ k.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

For the $e_g(0, 1)$ state, the maximal set of $g \in O_h$ for which a $\varphi_g$ in Eq. (A1) exists forms the subgroup $D_{4h}$ of $O_h$; therefore, $E_k$ will only be invariant under these symmetries, while $E_{gk} \neq E_k$ for $g \in O_h \setminus D_{4h}$ (such as three-fold rotational symmetry). Since the order parameter of the $e_g(0, 1)$ state transforms under the trivial representation, $A_{1g}$, of $D_{4h}$ [$\leftrightarrow \varphi_g = 0$ in Eq. (A1) for all $g \in D_{4h}$], it can couple linearly to the $A_{1g}$ singlet in Table III. This coupling requires $O_h$ to be broken due to $\eta_2^{E_g} \neq 0$ and is thus a higher-order process in $\eta_2^{E_g}$. As such, we expect the admixed component to have a temperature dependence $\propto (T_e - T)^{n/2}$, with $n > 1$, close to $T_c$. We note that this would be different in case of the $e_g(1, 0)$ superconductor with order parameter $\Delta_k = \eta_1^{E_g}(X_k^2 - Y_k^2)i\sigma_y$; while it will also reduce $O_h$ to $D_{4h}$, we will have $\varphi_{C_2} = \varphi_{C_4} = \pi$ in Eq. (A1) such that the order parameter will transform as $B_{1g}$ under $D_{4h}$. Being odd under the mirror planes $\sigma_d$ of $D_{4h}$, any $B_{1g}$ singlet will necessarily have line nodes.

To demonstrate the admixture for $E_g$ pairing more explicitly and determine the exponent $n$ in the temperature dependence of the secondary order parameter, we will next discuss it on the level of a Ginzburg-Landau expansion. To this end, we expand the order parameter in the $E_g$ and $A_{1g}$ representation of $O_h$ as

$$\Delta_k i\sigma_y = \eta_1^{E_g}\sqrt{3}(X_k^2 - Y_k^2) + \eta_2^{E_g}(2Z_k^2 - X_k^2 - Y_k^2) + \eta^{A_{1g}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

As they transform under different IRs of $O_h$, there cannot be a quadratic coupling of the form $(\eta^{E_g})^* \eta^{A_{1g}}$, but upon noting that $E_g \otimes E_g \otimes E_g = A_{1g} \otimes A_{1g} \otimes 3E_g$, it is clear that quartic terms of the form $(\eta^{E_g})^* (\eta^{E_g})^* \eta^{E_g} \eta^{A_{1g}}$ exist. As $\eta^4 \eta^x \eta^y \eta^z$ with $\eta = (\eta_1^{E_g}, \eta_2^{E_g})^T$, transform as $\sqrt{3}(x^2 - y^2)$ and $2z^2 - x^2 - y^2$ under $O_h$, the following coupling is allowed in the free energy:

$$\kappa (\eta^{A_{1g}})^* \left( \eta^1 \sigma_x \eta^2 \eta^{E_g} + \eta^2 \sigma_y \eta^1 \eta^{E_g} \right) + c.c.,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A3)

where $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ as a consequence of time-reversal symmetry. In agreement with our discussion above, we find that the coupling vanishes for the $e_g(1, 0)$ superconductor, where $\eta_2^{E_g} = 0$; the same holds for the time-reversal-symmetry-breaking $e_g(1, i)$ state for which $\eta_1^{E_g} = (1, \pm i)$. On the other hand, it is non-zero and given by

$$-2\kappa |\eta_2^{E_g}|^2 \text{Re}(\eta^{A_{1g}})^* \eta_2^{E_g})$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

for the $e_g(0, 1)$ pairing phase. We thus see that $\eta_2^{E_g} \neq 0$ will induce a finite $\eta^{A_{1g}} \propto |\eta_2^{E_g}|^3 \propto (T_e - T)^{3/2}$ close to $T_c$ (yielding $n = 3$).
While the behavior of \( \eta^{A1\sigma}(T) \) and \( \eta^{E\sigma}(T) \) further below \( T_c \) cannot be captured by the leading-order Ginzburg-Landau expansion and will depend on microscopic details, we can estimate the gap anisotropy as a function of the ratio \( \eta = \eta^{A1\sigma}/\eta^{E\sigma} \). Using, as in the main text, \((X,Y,Z) = (k_x,k_y,k_z)\), the gap anisotropy of the \( e_g(0,1) \) state on a spherical Fermi surface reads as

\[
\Delta_{\text{max}}/\Delta_{\text{min}} = \begin{cases} \frac{2\eta + \eta^2}{2\eta - 1} & \eta > 1, \\ \infty & \eta \leq 1. \end{cases}
\] (A5)

For instance, if we want \( \Delta_{\text{max}}/\Delta_{\text{min}} < 1.1 \), we need \( \eta > 31 \), i.e., the secondary order parameter has to be about 30 times larger than the primary one, which does not seem to be a natural assumption.

The analysis for the \( t_{2g}(1,1,1) \) singlet is similar. In this case, the coupling analogous to Eq. (A3) is associated with the \( A_{1g} \) term in \( T_{2g} \otimes T_{2g} \otimes T_{2g} = A_{1g} \oplus A_{2g} \oplus 2E_g \oplus 3T_{1g} \oplus 4T_{2g} \).

### 2. General expression for \( \zeta \)

To be self-contained, we here provide the general expression for the disorder sensitivity parameter \( \zeta \) in Eq. (2) derived in [79]. The central quantity is

\[
C_{k,k'} = \Delta_k T^d W_{k,k'} - t_W W_{k,k'} \Delta_k T^d,
\] (A6)

which is either a commutator or an anti-commutator depending on whether we consider time-reversal-even \( (t_W = +1) \) or -odd \( (t_W = -1) \) disorder, respectively; it also appeared in the generalized Anderson theorem of [121,122]. In Eq. (A6), \( \Delta_k \) is the superconducting order parameter at \( T_c \), in our case a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix in pseudospin space, and \( T \) is the unitary part of the time-reversal operator \( (T = i\sigma_y) \) for the states in Table III. Finally, \( W_{k,k'} \) are the matrix elements of the impurity potential \( W \) with respect to the Bloch states, \( |k,s\rangle \), at the Fermi surface, i.e., \( \langle W_{k,k'} \rangle_{s,s'} = \langle k,s|W|k',s'\rangle \), with \( s \) labeling all bands including spin.

Defining the Fermi-surface Frobenius norm according to \( ||C||_F^2 := \frac{1}{N_{FS}} \sum_{k,k' \in FS} \text{tr} \left[C_{k,k'}^d C_{k,k'}^d\right] \), where \( k \in FS \) indicates that the sum involves all momenta in a finite vicinity around the Fermi surfaces and \( N_{FS} = \sum_{k \in FS} \), we can write [79]

\[
\zeta = \frac{||C||_F^2}{2 \text{tr} [W^d W] / \langle |\Delta_k^d| |k\rangle_{FS}} ,
\] (A7)

where \( \langle |k\rangle_{FS} := \frac{1}{N_{FS}} \sum_{k \in FS} \rangle \) denotes the normalized Fermi surface average, as also used in the main text, see Eq. (3).

Due to the generality of Eq. (A7), it can be readily applied in many different systems, see, e.g., Refs. [121,122] and [127] for two recent applications. Most importantly for us here, Eq. (3) in the main text is readily derived by focusing on \( \Delta_k \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} \), \( k \)-dependent pseudospin-singlet pairing, \( \Delta_k = \Psi_k i\sigma_y \) and scalar non-magnetic \((t_W = +1)\) disorder of the simple form \( W = W_{k,k'} = W_0 \delta_{0,0}, W_0 \in \mathbb{R} \).

### 3. Different gaps on different Fermi sheets

Finally, we discuss in more details which order parameter ratios \( \Delta_n/\Delta_1 \) in Eq. (6) are consistent with the observed \( \zeta = 1/9 \).

In our DFT calculations for Ca\(_3\)Rh\(_4\)Sn\(_{13}\) (with details in Appendix C) we identify eight bands that give rise to Fermi surfaces, see Fig. 11. Their respective density of states at the Fermi level, \( \rho_n \), in decreasing order of magnitude are listed in Table IV. In principle, the order parameter can be different for any of these bands. For simplicity, we will first assume that there are only two different values, \( \Delta_1 \) and \( \Delta_2 \), and the three bands with smallest \( \rho_n \) are combined into one, i.e., we take them to exhibit the same \( \Delta_n \); this amounts to studying the effective six band problem with respective density of states

\[
\rho_n' = \rho_n, \quad 1 \leq n \leq 5,
\] (A8)

\[
\rho_6' = \rho_6 + \rho_7 + \rho_8.
\]

There are still many (31) inequivalent ways of distributing two gaps on the six Fermi surfaces, as listed in Table V together with the associated anisotropy ratio consistent with \( \zeta = 1/9 \). We can see that the smallest possible anisotropy ratio for the \( A_{1g}^{++} \) state is 2.86. We have checked that this value does not change when allowing for \( \Delta_1 \) and \( \Delta_2 \) to be distributed arbitrarily on all eight Fermi surfaces in Table IV. As it should be, this value is larger than the theoretical lower bound (for \( \zeta = 1/9 \)) of \( (11 + 6\sqrt{2})/7 \approx 2.78 \) based on Eq. (7) for arbitrary ratio of the density of states; due to the multitude of different Fermi surfaces, it is also natural that the \( A_{1g}^{++} \) state can almost reach this theoretical bound.

For the \( A_{1g}^{+-} \) state, there are several solutions with \( \Delta_1/\Delta_2 \) very close to 1 already in the six-band model, see Table V. As can also be seen in the table, this is possible for distributions of order parameters where the sign change happens between a set of Fermi surfaces and its complement exhibiting a ratio of density of states of about 6-7%.
TABLE V. Ratio of order parameters consistent with \( \zeta = 1/9 \) in Eq. (A8) for all possible independent distributions of the two different values, \( \Delta_1 \) and \( \Delta_2 \), among the six sets of Fermi sheets defined in Eq. (A8). Here \( S \) defines the set of sheets with order parameter \( \Delta_1 \), while the order parameter is \( \Delta_2 \) on the complement \( \bar{S} = \{1,2,3,4,5,6\} \setminus S \). The relative fraction of the density of states of \( S \) is \( \nu_S = \sum_{n \in S} \rho_n / \sum_{n \in \bar{S}} \rho_n \). For a more clear representation of the gap anisotropy, we define \( \Delta_n / \Delta_1 \) as \( \max \{\Delta_1 / \Delta_2, \Delta_2 / \Delta_1\} \).

| \( S \) | \( \nu_S \) | \( (\Delta_n / \Delta_1)_{1} \) | \( (\Delta_n / \Delta_1)_{2} \) |
|---|---|---|---|
| \{1\} | 1.07 | 3.39 | 3.21 |
| \{2\} | 0.56 | 2.86 | 4.91 |
| \{3\} | 0.03 | 4.37 | -0.56 |
| \{4\} | 0.03 | 4.41 | -0.55 |
| \{5\} | 0.03 | 4.59 | -0.50 |
| \{6\} | 0.04 | 4.27 | -0.60 |
| \{1,2\} | 7.02 | -0.35 | 3.03 |
| \{1,3\} | 1.21 | 3.61 | 3.09 |
| \{1,4\} | 1.21 | 3.61 | 3.09 |
| \{1,5\} | 1.19 | 3.58 | 3.10 |
| \{1,6\} | 1.23 | 3.63 | 3.08 |
| \{2,3\} | 0.64 | 2.92 | 4.30 |
| \{2,4\} | 0.64 | 2.91 | 4.31 |
| \{2,5\} | 0.63 | 2.91 | 4.37 |
| \{2,6\} | 0.65 | 2.92 | 4.26 |
| \{3,4\} | 0.07 | 3.55 | -0.93 |
| \{3,5\} | 0.06 | 3.61 | -0.99 |
| \{3,6\} | 0.07 | 3.51 | -0.88 |
| \{4,5\} | 0.06 | 3.63 | -0.99 |
| \{4,6\} | 0.07 | 3.52 | -0.90 |
| \{5,6\} | 0.07 | 3.57 | -0.95 |
| \{1,2,3\} | 9.77 | -0.57 | 3.22 |
| \{1,2,4\} | 9.68 | -0.57 | 3.22 |
| \{1,2,5\} | 9.29 | -0.54 | 3.19 |
| \{1,2,6\} | 10.05 | -0.59 | 3.24 |
| \{1,3,4\} | 1.38 | 3.91 | 2.99 |
| \{1,3,5\} | 1.36 | 3.87 | 3.00 |
| \{1,3,6\} | 1.4 | 3.84 | 2.98 |
| \{1,4,5\} | 1.35 | 3.86 | 3.00 |
| \{1,4,6\} | 1.39 | 3.93 | 2.98 |
| \{1,5,6\} | 1.37 | 3.89 | 2.99 |

One might wonder whether more than two different values of \( \Delta_n \) in Eq. (6) will allow for an \( A_{1g}^{++} \) state with smaller gap anisotropy,

\[
A_\Delta := \max_{n,n'} \frac{\Delta_n}{\Delta_n'}, \tag{A9}
\]

for given \( \zeta \) (in our case \( \zeta = 1/9 \)). Instead of systematically studying all possible ways of distributing \( N > 2 \) different order parameters, \( \Delta_n > 0 \), \( n = 1,2,\ldots,N \), on the eight different Fermi surfaces in Table V, we here derive a lower bound on \( A_\Delta \). To this end, let us assume we are given \( \Delta_n > 0 \) which we order, without loss of generality, such that \( \Delta_n > \Delta_{n+1} \). It is not difficult to show that the maximum value of \( \zeta \) in Eq. (6) is reached when \( \rho_n = 0 \) for all \( n \neq 1,N \). Consequently, only the smallest and largest \( \Delta_n \) enter and we are back to the case with only two gaps, which we have already analyzed in Section IV F of the main text; the maximum value \( \zeta_{\text{max}} \) thus only depends on \( \Delta_1 / \Delta_N = A_\Delta \) with form given in Eq. (6), i.e.,

\[
\zeta_{\text{max}}(\{\Delta_n\}) = \frac{(A_\Delta - 1)^2}{2(1 + A_\Delta)^2}, \tag{A10}
\]

irrespective of how many different \( \Delta_n \) are taken into account. Specifically, the lower bound for \( \zeta = 1/9 \), \( A_\Delta > (11 + 6\sqrt{2})/7 \approx 2.78 \), still applies and the \( A_{1g}^{++} \) state with three or more different gaps is not a natural candidate state either.

Appendix B: Superfluid density in different models

Having established in Section IV F, which fully gapped conventional singlets are consistent with the observed suppression of \( T_c \) with impurity concentration, we next investigate more quantitatively how the respective temperature dependence of the penetration depth or superfluid density compares with that measured experimentally (see Fig. 9).

1. Anisotropic, single Fermi surface

We first consider the anisotropic singlet on a single, isotropic Fermi surface as defined in Eq. (4). As discussed in the main text, only the values of \( \delta = -1.08 \) and \( \delta = -3.66 \) reproduce the observed suppression of \( T_c \) with impurity concentration. The former is nodal and cannot possibly explain the exponential attenuation of the penetration depth. The latter is not nodal, but highly anisotropic. To see whether this anisotropy is consistent with the superfluid density \( \rho_s \) of Fig. 9, we computed \( \rho_s(T) \) for this model.

The calculations followed the Eilenberger formalism with a common ansatz that temperature and angular parts of the order parameter can be separated, \( \Delta(T,k_F) = \Psi(T) \Omega(k_F) \), where \( k_F \) is Fermi wave vector and the angular part obeys the normalization condition for the Fermi surface average, \( \langle \Omega^2 \rangle_{FS} = 1 \).

Specifically, for the anisotropic \( A_{1g} \) state in Eq. (4), the angular part in spherical coordinates, \( k_F = k_F(\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta) \), reads as

\[
\Omega(\theta,\phi) = \frac{1 + \delta \left[(\sin \theta \cos \phi)^4 + (\sin \theta \sin \phi)^4 + \cos^4 \theta \right]}{\sqrt{1 + (6/5)\delta + (41/105)\delta^2}}. \tag{B1}
\]

The temperature-dependent order parameter magnitude, \( \Psi(T) \), is then obtained by solving the Eilenberger self-consistency equation and after that any thermodynamic quantity, including superfluid density, is calculated. The result for both values of \( \delta \) is shown in Fig. 10(a) along with the curves for a weak-coupling isotropic s-wave BCS (\( \Omega = 1 \)) and d-wave (\( \Omega = \sqrt{2} \cos 2\phi \)) order parameters. The inset shows the angular dependence of the gap magnitude, \( \langle \Omega(k_F) \rangle \), for the
FIG. 12. (Top) Band structures and (bottom) partial density of states of the eight bands across the Fermi level in Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$.

same two values of $\delta$. Clearly, $\rho_s(T)$ differs strongly from $s$-wave behavior and, hence, from the data in Fig. 9 for all of these models.

2. Isotropic self-consistent two-band model

Another way to obtain substantial $T_c$ suppression in a conventional superconductor is to consider a two band system with two isotropic $s$-wave bands of different amplitude but same sign, denoted as $A_{1+}^{++}$ in the main text.

To compute the superfluid density $\rho_s$ for this scenario, we use the self-consistent Eilenberger scheme, called the $\gamma$-model, which is detailed in Ref. [29]. Starting with an interaction matrix containing two intra-band and one inter-band interaction constants, a system of $2 \times 2$ self-consistency equations yields two order parameters from which the total $\rho_s$ can be calculated. Note that the temperature dependencies of the order parameters no longer follow the standard isotropic single-band curve, implying that the gap ratio is temperature-dependent; furthermore, its precise temperature evolution depends crucially on the interaction parameters while the amount of $T_c$ suppression is dictated by the gap ratio at $T_c$ (see Appendix A2). Therefore, we selected several combinations of the interaction parameters, varying intra- and inter-band contributions, with the constraint that the gap ratio at $T_c$ is $\Delta_1/\Delta_2 = 2.78$, needed to obtain the measured $T_c$ suppression. In Fig. 10(b), we present the resulting temperature dependence of $\rho_s$ (main panel) and of the gap ratio $\Delta_1/\Delta_2$ (inset) for three different sets of interaction parameters, with roughly constant, increasing, and decreasing $\Delta_1/\Delta_2$. While the low-temperature behavior exhibits saturation, it occurs below the temperature where the small gap saturates, much lower than $T_c/3$ of isotropic $s$-wave. Most importantly, as before, none of these models of conventional pairing agree with the measured superfluid density. We therefore have a strong case in favor of unconventional pairing.

Appendix C: Density functional theory calculations

We carry out first principles calculations to investigate the electronic structures in Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$. Ca$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$ crystallizes in the cubic Yb$_3$Rh$_4$Sn$_{13}$-type ($Pm\bar{3}n$, space group no. 223) structure. The primitive cell contains two formula units. Ca atoms occupy the $6c (4m2)$ site, and Rh atoms occupy the $8e (32)$ site. The Sn atoms are divided into two sublattices; out of 13 Sn atoms in one formula unit, 12 Sn$_1$ atoms occupy the $24k (m3)$ site, and one Sn$_2$ atom occupies the $2a (m3)$ site. We adopt the experimental crystal structure parameters [130] in all calculations.

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations are performed using a full-potential linear augmented plane wave (FP-LAPW) method, as implemented in WIEN2K [131]. The generalized gradient approximation of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof [132] is used for the exchange-correlation potentials. To generate the self-consistent potential and charge, we employed $R_{MT} \cdot K_{\text{max}} = 8.0$ with Muffin-tin radii $R_{MT} = 2.2, 2.4,$ and $2.4$ a.u., for Ca, Rh, and Sn, respectively. The $k$-point integration is performed using a tetrahedron method with Blöchl corrections [133] with 119 $k$-points in the irreducible Brillouin zone (BZ). The calculations are iterated until the charge difference between consecutive iterations is smaller than $10^{-4}$ e and the total energy difference is lower than 0.01 mRy.

Figure 12 shows the DFT band structure along the $\Gamma-X-M-\Gamma$ high-symmetry path and band-resolved partial density of states (PDOS) near the Fermi level. There are eight bands across the Fermi level. Figure 11 shows the Fermi surface contours calculated at various $k_z$. We use the same color scheme to denote the eight bands.
in the Fermi surface contours (Fig. 11) and the band-resolved PDOS (Fig. 12 bottom) plots.
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