A no-go theorem for nonabelionic statistics in gauged linear sigma-models
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Gauged linear sigma-models at critical coupling on Riemann surfaces yield self-dual field theories, their classical vacua being described by the vortex equations. For local models with structure group $U(r)$, we give a description of the vortex moduli spaces in terms of a fibration over symmetric products of the base surface $\Sigma$, which we assume to be compact. Then we show that all these fibrations induce isomorphisms of fundamental groups. A consequence is that all the moduli spaces of multivortices in this class of models have abelian fundamental groups. We give an interpretation of this fact as a no-go theorem for the realization of nonabelions through the ground states of a supersymmetric version (topological via an $A$-twist) of these gauged sigma-models. This analysis is based on a semi-classical approximation of the QFTs via supersymmetric quantum mechanics on their classical moduli spaces.

1. Introduction

Gauged sigma-models appear in a wide spectrum of physical contexts ranging from models of fundamental forces of nature in high-energy physics to effective field theories describing order parameters of correlated electrons. In the case where the base $\Sigma$ and the target $X$ are chosen to be Kähler manifolds, there exist self-dual versions of these models, and the solutions to the corresponding self-duality equations are called vortices. The moduli spaces of vortices encode rich geometry and topology. It has long been recognized that the study of these spaces should unlock much information about the corresponding field theories (either their Riemannian or Lorentzian versions), which are objects of great interest — for instance, GLSMs (gauged linear sigma models, corresponding to linear target actions) on surfaces are a basic ingredient in mirror symmetry [19]. However, some basic questions
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on these field theories with relevance to model-building in physics remain to be answered.

In this paper, we address one such question: is it possible to use familiar GLSMs to model exotic statistics in quantization — i.e., couple the moduli dynamics with Aharonov–Bohm holonomies to implement anyonic statistics? Such issues have recently been gaining prominence because of the potential relevance of nonabelions [24] in models for the fractional quantum Hall effect and the emergent theory of quantum computation, two areas where both sigma-models and gauge theories have been used extensively.

One way to implement statistical phases into a quantum-mechanical system is to construct local systems over their configuration spaces, and demand that wavefunctions (or waveforms, in supersymmetric extensions of the models) couple to the underlying flat connection. In the situation we want to explore, the role of configuration space is taken by a moduli space of static stable solitons described by the vortex equations [11, 17, 21]. In a semiclassical approach to the quantization, one can lift waveforms on the moduli spaces valued in local systems to ordinary waveforms in supersymmetric quantum mechanics on appropriate covers of the configuration spaces [8, 10]. This procedure is convenient when one needs to deal with families of local systems, which are naturally parametrized by a continuum — namely, for each homotopy class of matter field configurations, parametrized by a representation variety of the fundamental group of a given moduli space. Thus the fundamental groups will severely constrain which type of anyonic statistics can be implemented by this quantization scheme. In particular, a necessary condition for the sigma-models to give rise to nonabelionic particles is that at least one fundamental group corresponding to multivortices (and on a suitable surface $\Sigma$) is nonabelian.

Our final goal in this paper (see Corollary 5.1) is to establish that non-abelian statistics is ruled out for an interesting class of GLSMs defined on all compact Riemann surfaces. The models we will examine are the local $U(r)$-GLSMs studied by Baptista in [2], for which the moduli spaces are rigorously understood for a certain range of the parameters — more precisely, by a degree $d$, the total area of $\Sigma$ and also the vacuum expectation value in the potential term; this will be reviewed briefly in Section 2. One can realize these moduli spaces [6] as Quot schemes fibred over symmetric products of the base Riemann surface $\Sigma$. The fibres of this map in the non-abelian case $r > 1$, which parametrize vortex “internal structures” [2], are described in Section 3. We show in Section 4 that this fibration map induces isomorphisms of fundamental groups for all ranks $r$, all degrees $d$ and all Riemann surfaces $\Sigma$. In Section 5, we draw an implication of this result for
2. Gauged linear sigma-models and vortex moduli spaces

We will be concerned with \((1+2)\)-dimensional sigma-models for fields defined on a connected, compact and oriented Riemannian surface \((\Sigma, g_\Sigma)\); the target \(X = \text{Mat}_{r \times n} \mathbb{C}\) (where \(r, n \in \mathbb{N}\)) of the sigma-model is the vector space of complex \(r \times n\) matrices on which the structure group \(U(r)\) acts by multiplication on the left. In this section we start by fixing our conventions, then recall how moduli spaces of vortices play a role in the description of the classical solutions in these models, and how they can be understood in terms of algebraic geometry.

2.1. GLSMs and the vortex equations

For the moment, we do not impose any restriction on the integers \(r\) and \(n\). Let us fix an \(U(r)\)-invariant inner product on the Lie algebra \(u(r)\), which is tantamount to an \(U(r)\)-equivariant isomorphism \(\kappa : u(r)^* \rightarrow u(r)\). We consider the canonical Kähler structure

\[
\omega_X = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{r,n} dw_{j,k} \wedge d\bar{w}_{j,k}
\]

on \(X \cong \mathbb{C}^{rn}\), which is preserved by the left-multiplication by \(U(r)\) matrices. One readily checks that the moment maps for this action are of the form

\[
\mu_\tau(w) = -\frac{i}{2} \left( ww^\dagger - \tau 1_r \right)
\]

where \(1_r\) is the identity matrix, and \(\tau \in \mathbb{R}\) a constant; we shall write \(\mu_\tau \equiv \kappa \circ \mu_\tau\). Note that the Riemannian structure \(g_\Sigma\) together with the orientation also determine a Kähler structure \((\Sigma, \omega_\Sigma, j_\Sigma)\) on \(\Sigma\).

Let \(P \rightarrow \Sigma\) be a principal \(U(r)\)-bundle, and let

\[
\text{pr}_2 : \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma
\]

be the projection onto the second factor. The GLSMs of our interest describe dynamics parametrized by some time interval \(I \subset \mathbb{R}\). The solutions determined by these data are stationary points \((\tilde{A}, u)\) of the Yang–Mills–Higgs
functional

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{A}, u) := -\frac{1}{2e^2} \|F_{\widetilde{A}}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|d\widetilde{A} u\|^2 - \frac{e^2 \xi}{2} \|\mu_{\kappa} \circ u\|^2,
\end{equation}

where \(e\) and \(\xi\) are real parameters. We use \(\| \cdot \|\) generically to denote \(L^2\)-norms for differential forms on \(I \times \Sigma\) with respect to the Lorentzian metric \(dt^2 - g_{\Sigma}\) on \(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma\), the inner product on \(u(r)\) associated to \(\kappa\) as well as the standard (flat) metric on \(X\) determined by \(\omega_X\). The variables in (2.1) are a \(U(r)\)-connection \(\widetilde{A} = A_t dt + A(t)\) on \((pr_2^* P)|_{I \times \Sigma}\), and a path \(u : I \rightarrow C^\infty(P,X)^{U(r)}\) of smooth \(U(r)\)-equivariant maps satisfying appropriate boundary conditions. We may as well interpret \(u\) as a section of the associated rank \(rn\) complex vector bundle \((pr_2^* P) \times_{U(r)} X\), and \(\widetilde{A}\) as a connection on this vector bundle. As usual, \(F_{\widetilde{A}}\) and \(d\widetilde{A}\) denote the curvature and covariant derivative determined by the connection \(\widetilde{A}\).

One should regard \(A_t\) as a Lagrange multiplier in this problem, since its time derivative does not feature in the integrand of (2.1). The corresponding Euler–Lagrange equation is a constraint that enforces the paths \(t \mapsto (A(t), u(t))\) to be instantaneously \(L^2\)-orthogonal to the orbits of the gauge group \(G := \text{Aut}_\Sigma(P)\), acting as

\((A(t), u(t)) \mapsto (\text{Ad}_g(t) A(t) - \sqrt{-1} g(t) dg(t), g(t)^{-1} u(t))\).

Ultimately, one is only interested in solutions of the Euler–Lagrange equations for the dynamical fields \(A(t)\) and \(u(t)\) (i.e., the equations of motion of the GLSM) up to the action of the group of paths in \(G\), under which (2.1) is manifestly invariant.

For these sigma-models, the equations of motion are second-order PDEs in three dimensions, and difficult to study. But there is a well-known procedure to approximate slow-moving solutions at the self-dual point \(\xi = 1\) in the so-called BPS sector, where

\[\text{deg} P = [c_1(A(t))] = d[\Sigma] \in H^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\]

is a positive multiple \((d \in \mathbb{N})\) of the fundamental class, by geodesics in a moduli space of vortices in two dimensions. The basic idea [23] is to approximate solutions by paths of stable static solutions of the model, up to the action of \(G\). So each point on such a path is itself a \(G\)-orbit of solutions.
of the sigma-model — more precisely, it can be represented by a constant path \((A, u)\) of minimal potential energy. The potential energy can be read off from (2.1) to be a sum of \(L^2\)-norms of the various forms pulled back to Cauchy slices \(\{t\} \times \Sigma\), and we can write it as

\[
V(A, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{1}{e^2} |F_A|^2 + |d^A u|^2 + e^2 \xi |\mu^\Sigma_c \circ u|^2 \right)
\]

\[
= \pi \tau d + \frac{\xi - 1}{2} e^2 \int_{\Sigma} |\mu^\Sigma_c \circ u|^2
\]

\[
+ \int_{\Sigma} \left( |\bar{\partial}_{j^\Sigma}^A u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{e} F_A + e (\mu^\Sigma_c \circ u) \omega^\Sigma \right|^2 \right),
\]

where \(|\cdot|\) denotes pointwise norms with respect to \(g^\Sigma\) and the same target data as before, and \(\bar{\partial}_{j^\Sigma}^A\) is the usual holomorphic structure on the vector bundle

\[P \times_{U(r)} X \rightarrow \Sigma\]

constructed from the connection \(A\) in \(P\) and the complex structure \(j^\Sigma\). This rearrangement of the squares is known as the “Bogomol’ny˘ı trick”, and it makes it clear that, for \(\xi = 1\), the minima of \(V\) (for each topological class with \(d > 0\) fixed) are described by the first-order PDEs

\[
(2.2) \quad \bar{\partial}_{j^\Sigma}^A u = 0, \quad F_A + e^2 (\mu^\Sigma_c \circ u) \omega^\Sigma = 0
\]

called the vortex equations [11, 17, 21]. One can run a similar argument for \(d < 0\) using the “anti-vortex equations” and employing a variant of the Bogomol’ny˘ı trick.

Given \(n, r, d \in \mathbb{N}\) as above, we define the moduli space of vortices valued in \(r \times n\) matrices at degree \(d\) to be the quotient

\[
(2.3) \quad \mathcal{M}_\Sigma(n, r, d) := \{(A, u) : \bar{\partial}_{j^\Sigma}^A u = 0 = F_A + e^2 (\mu^\Sigma_c \circ u) \omega^\Sigma, [c_1(A)] = d[\Sigma]\} / G.
\]

This space is known to be smooth at least for a range of the parameters (as detailed in Section 2.2), and then it acquires a Kähler structure; this Kähler structure is often denoted \(\omega_{L^2}\). The underlying metric \(g_{L^2}\) (see e.g. [4]) can also be thought of as being induced by the kinetic energy part of the functional (2.1). It is the geodesic flow of \(g_{L^2}\) that approximates the field dynamics at low energies, for initial conditions that solves the linearization of the equations (2.2) — see [23], and [27] for an analysis of the case...
$\Sigma = \mathbb{C}$, $r = n = 1, d = 2$. One can summarize this situation by saying that at low energies the $(1 + 2)$-dimensional sigma-model is well described by a one-dimensional sigma-model whose target is the Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{M}_\Sigma(n, r, d), g_{L^2})$.

### 2.2. Vortex moduli, $n$-pairs and Quot schemes

Recall that the operator $\bar{\partial}^A_{\Sigma}$ acting on sections of any vector bundle associated to a the principal $U(r)$-bundle $P \to \Sigma$ such as $E := P \times_{U(r)} \mathbb{C}^r$ or $P \times_{U(r)} X \cong E^{\oplus n}$ endows it with the structure of holomorphic vector bundle. Thus we can regard a solution $u = s$ of the first equation in (2.2) as a so-called $n$-pair $(E, s)$ with $s \in H^0(\Sigma, E^{\oplus n}) \cong H^0(\Sigma, E^{\oplus n})$, see [5]. One groups any two of such objects $(E, s)$ and $(E', s')$ in an isomorphism equivalence class whenever there is an isomorphism of holomorphic vector bundles $\psi : E \to E'$ with $\psi^* s' = s$. Alternatively, one says that such equivalence classes correspond to orbits of the action of the complexification $G^C$ of the gauge group we introduced above, which preserves the first equation in (2.2) but not the second one.

There is a way to relate equivalence classes of $n$-pairs with points in the moduli space (2.3) we introduced above, generalizing results in [12] for $n = 1$. Let $\text{Vol}(\Sigma) := \int_{\Sigma} \omega_\Sigma$ denote the total area of the surface. One shows ([5, 13]) that whenever $(E, s)$ is $e^{2\tau} \text{Vol}(\Sigma)$-stable in the sense that [6]

- $\frac{\deg E'}{\text{rk} E'} < \frac{e^{2\tau}}{4\pi} \text{Vol}(\Sigma)$ for all holomorphic subbundles $E' \subseteq E$,
- $\frac{\deg (E/E_s)}{\text{rk}(E/E_s)} > \frac{e^{2\tau}}{4\pi} \text{Vol}(\Sigma)$ for all holomorphic subbundles $E_s \subseteq E$ containing all the component sections of $s$,

there is exactly one $G$-orbit of solutions $(A, u)$ to the second equation (2.2) inside a $G^C$-orbit of a solution $(A, u)$ to the first equation, and this produces a bijection between the two quotients that preserves their natural complex structures (this is an example of the so-called Hitchin–Kobayashi correspondence). One can check that whenever $n \geq r$ and

$$e^{2\tau} \text{Vol}(\Sigma) > 4\pi \deg(E)$$

are assumed (as we will do from now on), then both conditions itemized above are automatically met if $s$ has maximal rank generically on $\Sigma$. The main advantage is that one can then describe $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma(n, r, d)$ purely in terms of algebraic geometry. We point out that there are other natural stability
conditions on \( n \)-pairs; the reader is referred to [28] for a discussion of this, as well as for an illustration of how these moduli spaces may undergo rather dramatic changes for other values of the stability parameter.

In [6], setting \( n \geq r \) we considered for each \( n \)-pair \((E, s)\) as above a homomorphism of holomorphic vector bundles

\[
(2.5) \quad f_s : \mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}_\Sigma \longrightarrow E
\]
given by \((x; c_1, \ldots, c_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot s_i(x)\), where \( x \in \Sigma \) and \( c_i \in \mathbb{C} \). The image \( \text{im}(f_s) \) is a coherent analytic sheaf which is torsion-free because it is contained in the torsion-free sheaf \( E \), and \( \text{im}(f_s) \) generically generates \( E \). Considering the dual homomorphism to (2.5), we obtain a short exact sequence

\[
(2.6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E^* \xrightarrow{f_s^*} (\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^{\oplus n})^* = \mathcal{O}_\Sigma^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0,
\]
where \( Q \) is of rank \( n - r \); so \( Q \) a torsion sheaf if \( n = r \). The support of the torsion part of \( Q \) consists of points where \( \text{im}(f_s) \) fails to generate \( E \).

Given an auxiliary ample line bundle \( L \longrightarrow \Sigma \) of sufficiently large degree so that

\[
H^1(\Sigma, E^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes \delta}) = 0
\]

for all integers \( \delta \geq \delta_E \) (where \( \delta_E \in \mathbb{N} \) depends only on \( n, r \) and \( \text{deg} E \)), and after tensoring (2.6) with \( \mathcal{L}^{\otimes \delta} \), one extracts a short exact sequence of vector spaces

\[
(2.7) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^0(\Sigma, E^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes \delta}) \longrightarrow H^0(\Sigma, (\mathcal{L}^{\otimes \delta})^{\oplus n}) \xrightarrow{Q} H^0(\Sigma, Q \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes \delta}) \longrightarrow 0
\]
from the long exact sequence of cohomologies associated to (2.6) tensored with \( \mathcal{L}^{\otimes \delta} \). In [6, Lemma 3.2], it was shown that the homomorphism \( f_s \) (and thus the \( n \)-pair \((E, s)\) up to isomorphism) can be reconstructed from the quotient \( Q \) in (2.7). This construction realizes (the algebraic-geometric version of) the moduli space of vortices \( \mathcal{M}_\Sigma(n, r, d) \) as a Quot scheme [18]. One can take advantage of this viewpoint to study properties of the moduli space under the assumption (2.4) — for example, show that it is smooth, projective, and realize the Kähler class \([\Omega_{L^2}]\) geometrically [6].
3. Internal structures of nonabelian local vortices

In this section we shall assume that \( r = n \), which is usually referred to as the case of local vortices, in contrast with the nonlocal case \( r < n \). We will give a description of our Quot scheme in the nonabelian situation \( r > 1 \), by means of Hecke modifications [16, 20] on holomorphic vector bundles over \( \Sigma \). So from now on we shall set

\[
\mathcal{M}_\Sigma := \mathcal{M}_\Sigma(n, n, d)
\]

(see (2.3)). We know that the objects parametrized by this space can also be described as isomorphism classes of \( n \)-pairs \((E, s)\) if the condition (2.4) holds; the corresponding \( n \) sections generically generate the vector bundle \( E \rightarrow \Sigma \).

Take any \((E, s) \in \mathcal{M}_\Sigma\). Consider the homomorphism \( f_s \) in (2.5). Since the sections of \( E \) in \( s \) generate \( E \) generically, we know that the quotient \( \text{coker} f_s = E/f_s(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^{\otimes n}) \) is a torsion sheaf supported on finitely many points, and we have

\[
\dim H^0(\Sigma, E/f_s(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^{\otimes n})) = d.
\]

Note that \( \mathcal{M}_\Sigma(1, 1, d) \) is identified with the \( d \)-fold symmetric product \( \text{Sym}^d(\Sigma) \) by sending any \((E, s) \in \mathcal{M}_\Sigma(1, 1, d)\) to the scheme-theoretic support of the quotient \( E/f_s(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^{\otimes n}) \). For general \( n \geq 1 \), consider the \( n \)-th exterior product

\[
\bigwedge^n f_s : \bigwedge^n \mathcal{O}_\Sigma^{\otimes n} = \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \rightarrow \bigwedge^n E
\]

of the homomorphism in (2.5). Let

\[
\Phi : \mathcal{M}_\Sigma = \mathcal{M}_\Sigma(n, n, d) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Sigma(1, 1, d) = \text{Sym}^d(\Sigma)
\]

be the map that sends any \((E, s)\) to the pair \((\bigwedge^n E, \bigwedge^n f_s)\) constructed above from \((E, s)\). To explain what this map \( \Phi \) does, let \( m_x \) denote the dimension of the stalk of \( E/f_s(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^{\otimes n}) \) at each point \( x \in \Sigma \). Since \( E/f_s(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^{\otimes n}) \) is a torsion sheaf, we have \( m_x = 0 \) for all but finitely many \( x \). The map \( \Phi \) sends \((E, s)\) to \( \sum_{x \in \Sigma} m_x \cdot x \).

The map \( \Phi \) in (3.2) is clearly surjective. In what follows, we shall describe step by step its fibers, which parametrize the vortex internal structures introduced in [2]. We shall obtain a description of the moduli space as a stratification by the type of the partitions of \( d \) associated to effective divisors of degree \( d \). This description will be fully algebraic-geometric, contrasting to the one in reference [2], which depended on a choice of Hermitian inner product on the fibres of \( E \).
3.1. The case of distinct points

Let $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ be the projective space parametrizing all hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Take $d$ distinct points

$$x_1, \ldots, x_d \in \Sigma.$$ 

Let $x \in \text{Sym}^d(\Sigma)$ be the point defined by $\{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$. We will show that the fiber of $\Phi$ over $x$ is the Cartesian product $(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^d$. This is a description of the generic fiber of the map $\Phi$, and it coincides with the one in [2].

Take any $(H_1, \ldots, H_d) \in (\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^d$. So each $H_i$ is a hyperplane in $\mathbb{C}^n$. The fiber of the trivial vector bundle $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\oplus n$ over $x_i$ is identified with $\mathbb{C}^n$. Thus the hyperplane $H_i \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ defines a hyperplane $\tilde{H}_i$ in the fiber of $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\oplus n$ over the point $x_i$. Let

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{q} : \mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\oplus n \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^d (\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\oplus n)_{x_i}/\tilde{H}_i$$

be the quotient map. The kernel of $\tilde{q}$ will be denoted by $\tilde{K}$, and we have the following short exact sequence of sheaves on $\Sigma$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{K} \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\oplus n \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} \bigoplus_{i=1}^d (\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\oplus n)_{x_i}/\tilde{H}_i \longrightarrow 0.$$ 

Now consider the dual of the homomorphism $h$ above,

$$h^* : (\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\oplus n)^* = \mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\oplus n \longrightarrow \tilde{K}^*.$$ 

It is easy to see that the pair $(\tilde{K}^*, h^*)$ defines a point in the fiber of $\Phi$ (see (3.2)) over the point $x$, and that each point in the fiber can be obtained by choosing the hyperplanes $H_i$ suitably. This construction identifies the fiber of $\Phi$ over $x$ with the Cartesian product $(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^d$.

Employing the usual terminology, we can say that we have constructed the bundle $E = \tilde{K}$ of an $n$-pair by performing $d$ elementary Hecke modifications (one at each $x_i$) on the trivial bundle of rank $n$ over $\Sigma$, and the inclusion $h$ yields the morphism $h^* = f_s$ in (2.5) which is equivalent to a holomorphic section $s \in H^0(\Sigma, E^\oplus n)$ that generate $E$ over a nonempty Zariski open subset of $\Sigma$. 
3.2. Case of multiplicity two

Now take \(d - 1\) distinct points

\[ x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1} \in \Sigma. \]

Let \(x \in \text{Sym}^d(\Sigma)\) be the point defined by \(2x_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{d-1} x_j.\) We will describe the fiber of \(\Phi\) over \(x.\)

Let \(H_1\) be a hyperplane in \(\mathbb{C}^n.\) Let

\[ q_1 : \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}^{\oplus n})_{x_1} / \tilde{H}_1 \]

be the quotient map, where, just as in (3.3), \(\tilde{H}_1\) is the hyperplane in the fiber of \(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}^{\oplus n}\) over \(x_1\) given by \(H_1.\) Let \(K(H_1)\) denote the kernel of \(q_1.\) So we have a short exact sequence of sheaves on \(\Sigma\)

\[(3.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow K(H_1) \xrightarrow{h'} \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}^{\oplus n})_{x_1} / \tilde{H}_1 \longrightarrow 0.\]

Consider the space \(S_2\) of all objects of the form

\[(H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{d-1}; H^1),\]

where \(H_i, 1 \leq i \leq d - 1,\) is a hyperplane in \(\mathbb{C}^n,\) and \(H^1\) is a hyperplane in the fiber over \(x_1\) of the above vector bundle \(K(H_1).\) There is a natural surjective map from this space \(S_2\) to the fiber of \(\Phi\) over the point \(x\) of \(\text{Sym}^d(\Sigma).\) To construct this map, first note that for any point \(x \in \Sigma\) different from \(x_1,\) the fibers of \(K(H_1)\) and \(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}^{\oplus n}\) over \(x\) are identified using the homomorphism \(h'\) in (3.4). Hence for any \(2 \leq j \leq d - 1,\) the hyperplane \(H_j\) gives a hyperplane in the fiber of \(K(H_1)\) over the point \(x_j;\) this hyperplane in the fiber of \(K(H_1)\) will be denoted by \(\tilde{H}_j.\) Let \(K\) be the holomorphic vector bundle over \(\Sigma\) that fits in the following short exact sequence of sheaves:

\[(3.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{h} K(H_1) \longrightarrow (K(H_1)_{x_1}/H^1) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=2}^{d-1} K(H_1)_{x_j}/\tilde{H}_j \longrightarrow 0.\]

Consider the composition

\[ h' \circ h : K \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}^{\oplus n}, \]

where \(h'\) and \(h\) are constructed in (3.4) and (3.5) respectively. Let

\[ (h' \circ h)^* : (\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}^{\oplus n})^* = \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow K^* \]
be its dual. The pair \((K^*, (h' \circ h)^*)\) defines an element of the moduli space \(\mathcal{M}_\Sigma\) that lies over \(x\) for the surjection \(\Phi\). Moreover, each element in the fiber over \(x\) arises in this way for some element of \(\mathcal{S}_2\).

Sending any \((H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{d-1}; H^1) \in \mathcal{S}_2\) to \((H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{d-1}) \in (\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^{d-1}\), we see that \(\mathcal{S}_2\) is a projective bundle over \((\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^{d-1}\) of relative dimension \(n - 1\). Therefore, we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.1.** The fiber \(\Phi^{-1}(x)\) admits a natural isomorphism with \(\mathcal{S}_2\). The variety \(\mathcal{S}_2\) is a projective bundle over \((\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^{d-1}\) of relative dimension \(n - 1\).

### 3.3. Case of multiplicity \(m > 2\)

Let \(m\) be an integer satisfying \(2 < m \leq d\), and fix \(d - m + 1\) distinct points \(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{d-m+1}\) of \(\Sigma\). Let

\[
x \in \text{Sym}^d(\Sigma)
\]

be the point defined by

\[
m \cdot x_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{d-m+1} x_j.
\]

Let \(H_1\) be a hyperplane in \(\mathbb{C}^n\). Construct \(\mathcal{K}(H_1)\) as in (3.4). Let

\[
H^1 \subset \mathcal{K}(H_1)_{x_1}
\]

be a hyperplane in the fiber of the vector bundle \(\mathcal{K}(H_1)\) over the point \(x_1\). Let \(\mathcal{K}(H^1)\) be the holomorphic vector bundle over \(\Sigma\) that fits in the following exact sequence of sheaves

\[
0 \to \mathcal{K}(H^1) \to \mathcal{K}(H_1) \to \mathcal{K}(H_1)_{x_1}/H^1 \to 0.
\]

Now fix a hyperplane

\[
H^2 \subset \mathcal{K}(H^1)_{x_1}
\]

in the fiber of \(\mathcal{K}(H^1)\) over \(x_1\). Let \(\mathcal{K}(H^2)\) be the holomorphic vector bundle over \(\Sigma\) that fits in the following short exact sequence of sheaves

\[
0 \to \mathcal{K}(H^2) \to \mathcal{K}(H_1) \to \mathcal{K}(H^1)_{x_1}/H^2 \to 0.
\]

Inductively, after \(j\) steps performed as above, fix a hyperplane

\[
H^{j+1} \subset \mathcal{K}(H^j)_{x_1}
\]
and construct the vector bundle $\mathcal{K}(H^{j+1})$ that fits in the short exact sequence

$$\begin{align*}
0 & \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(H^{j+1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(H^j) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(H^j)_{x_1}/H^{j+1} \longrightarrow 0.
\end{align*}$$

Consider the space $S_m$ of all elements of the form

$$(H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{d-m+1}; H^1, H^2, \ldots, H^{m-1}),$$

where $H_i$ is a hyperplane in $\mathbb{C}^n$, while $H^1$ is a hyperplane in $\mathcal{K}(H_1)_{x_1}$, and each $H^j$ is a hyperplane in the fiber over $x_1$ of the vector bundle $\mathcal{K}(H^{j-1})$. There is a natural map from $S_m$ to the fiber of $\Phi$ over the point $x$. To construct this map, first note that from (3.6) it follows inductively that for any point $x \in \Sigma \setminus \{x_1\}$, the fiber of $\mathcal{K}(H^{j+1})$ over $x$ is identified with the fiber of $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^{\oplus n}$ over $x$. Therefore, for any $2 \leq i \leq d-m+1$, the hyperplane $H_i$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ defines a hyperplane in the fiber of $\mathcal{K}(H^{m-1})$ over the point $x_i$; this hyperplane in the fiber $\mathcal{K}(H^{m-1})_{x_i}$ will be denoted by $\tilde{H}_i$. Let $K$ be the holomorphic vector bundle over $\Sigma$ that fits in the following short exact sequence of sheaves:

$$\begin{align*}
0 & \longrightarrow K^h \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(H^{m-1}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=2}^{d-m+1} \mathcal{K}(H^{m-1})_{x_j}/\tilde{H}_j \longrightarrow 0.
\end{align*}$$

Let $h' : \mathcal{K}(H^{m-1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Sigma^{\oplus n}$ be the natural inclusion.

The pair $(K^*, (h' \circ h)^*)$ in (3.7) defines a point of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma$ that lies over $x$. Every point in the fiber over $x$ arises in this way for some element of $S_m$.

Consider the $m-1$ maps

$$S \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^{d-m+1}$$

defined by

$$(H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{d-m+1}; H^1, H^2, \ldots, H^{m-1}) \mapsto (H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{d-m+1}; H^1, H^2, \ldots, H^{m-2})$$

$$\mapsto \cdots \mapsto (H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{d-m+1}).$$

Each of these is a projective bundle of relative dimension $n-1$. Therefore, we have the following generalization of Lemma 3.1:
Lemma 3.2. The fiber $\Phi^{-1}(x)$ is naturally isomorphic to $S_m$. There is a chain of $m - 1$ maps starting from $S_m$ ending in $(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^{d-m+1}$ such that each one is a projective bundle of relative dimension $n - 1$.

3.4. The general case

The general case is not harder to understand than the previous case. Take any point $x := \sum a_i = 1 m_i \cdot x_i$ of $\text{Sym}^d(\Sigma)$, where $m_i$ are arbitrary positive integers adding up to $d$ and $x_i \in \Sigma$, $i = 1, \ldots, a$. For each point $x_i$, fix data $(H_i, H_i^1, \ldots, H_i^{m_i-1})$, where $H_i$ is a hyperplane in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and the $H_i^j$ are hyperplanes in the fibers, over $x_i$, of vector bundles constructed inductively as in the previous case. From the set of such objects, there is a canonical isomorphism to the fiber of $\Phi$ over $x$. Indeed, this is obtained by repeating the above argument.

4. Fundamental groups of nonabelian vortex moduli spaces

In this section, we take advantage of the map $\Phi$ defined in the previous section to compute the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_\Sigma)$.

Theorem 4.1. The homomorphism $\Phi_* : \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_\Sigma) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\text{Sym}^d(\Sigma))$ induced by $\Phi$ in (3.2) is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let

$$D \subset \Sigma \times \text{Sym}^d(\Sigma)$$

be the universal divisor, consisting of all $(x, y = \sum a_i = 1 m_i \cdot y_i)$ such that $\sum a_i = 1 m_i = d$ and $x \in \{y_1, \ldots, y_a\}$. Then the natural homomorphism

$$O_{\Sigma \times \text{Sym}^d(\Sigma)}^{\oplus n} \hookrightarrow O_{\Sigma \times \text{Sym}^d(\Sigma)}(D) \oplus O_{\Sigma \times \text{Sym}^d(\Sigma)}^{\oplus (n-1)}$$

over $\Sigma \times \text{Sym}^d(\Sigma)$ produces a morphism

$$\theta : \text{Sym}^d(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Sigma.$$  

This is a section of $\Phi$ in the sense that

$$\Phi \circ \theta = \text{Id}_{\text{Sym}^d(\Sigma)}.$$  

Therefore, the homomorphism $\Phi_*$ in the statement of the lemma is surjective.
Let $U \subset \text{Sym}^d(X)$ be the Zariski open subset parametrizing reduced effective divisors in $\Sigma$, i.e., points of the form $y = \sum_{i=1}^d y_i$ with all $y_i$ distinct. Let

$$\theta_0 := \theta|_U : U \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Sigma$$

be the restriction of the map $\theta$ in (4.1). Also, consider the restriction

$$\Phi_0 := \Phi|_{\Phi^{-1}(U)} : \Phi^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow U.$$

As we saw in Section 3.1, the fibers of $\Phi_0$ are identified with $(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})^d$. From the homotopy exact sequence associated to $\Phi_0$ it now follows that the induced homomorphism of fundamental groups

$$\Phi_{0,*} : \pi_1(\Phi^{-1}(U)) \longrightarrow \pi_1(U)$$

is an isomorphism. The variety $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma$ is smooth, and $\Phi^{-1}(U)$ is a nonempty Zariski open subset of it. Therefore, the homomorphism

$$\iota_* : \pi_1(\Phi^{-1}(U)) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_\Sigma)$$

induced by the inclusion $\iota : \Phi^{-1}(U) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Sigma$ is surjective. Since $\Phi_{0,*}$ is an isomorphism, this implies that the homomorphism

$$\theta_{0,*} : \pi_1(U) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_\Sigma)$$

induced in $\theta_0$ in (4.3) is surjective. Since $\theta_0$ extends to $\theta$, this immediately implies that the homomorphism

$$\theta_* : \pi_1(\text{Sym}^d(X)) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_\Sigma)$$

induced in $\theta$ in (4.1) is surjective. Since $\theta_*$ is surjective, and the composition $\Phi_* \circ \theta_*$ is injective (see (4.2)) we conclude that $\Phi_*$ is injective. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 4.2.** $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_\Sigma(n,n,d)) \cong H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{Z})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d > 1$.

**Proof.** If $n > 1$, one has $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_\Sigma(n,n,d)) \cong \pi_1(\text{Sym}^d(\Sigma))$ according to Theorem 4.1. The same is true in the abelian case $n = 1$; this follows directly from $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma(1,1,d) \cong \text{Sym}^d(\Sigma)$ under the stability assumption (2.4), which was established e.g. in [11, 17]. Finally, by the Dold–Thom theorem [15] one also has $\pi_1(\text{Sym}^d(\Sigma)) \cong H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{Z})$ for $d > 1$. \hfill \Box
5. No-go theorem for nonabelions in gauged linear sigma-models

We start by recalling how to build on the geodesic approximation to classical dynamics of GLSMs (see Section 2.1) to study the quantization of certain supersymmetric extensions of these models. This will follow the basic semiclassical scheme proposed in [8, 10, 26], here aimed at studying ground states of the topological A-twist [3] in terms of supersymmetric quantum mechanics on the moduli spaces \( \mathcal{M}(n, r, d) \). An immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1 in this context (for \( n = r \)) is given in Section 5.2.

5.1. A semi-classical quantization scheme for supersymmetric GLSMs

We have seen that setting \( \xi = 1 \) renders the GLSM defined by the action (2.1) self-dual, in the sense that one can describe the static stable field configurations as solutions to the system (2.2) via the Bogomol'nyi trick. Another important feature of this critical value of \( \xi \) is that it allows for the construction of \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) supersymmetric versions of the GLSMs (see [3]), provided that one supplements the bosonic fields \((A, u)\) by other fields so as to fill out vector and chiral supermultiplets. More concretely: to implement such an extension for a local Euclidean model, one would need to add terms to the action that also involve an adjoint scalar field \( \sigma \), four fermionic fields \( \psi_\pm, \lambda_\pm \) and two scalar auxiliary fields \( F, D \).

However, in order to have a supersymmetric version of the model defined on an arbitrary surface \( \Sigma \), one needs to perform a topological twist [30]. The twist we will be interested in is the A-twist that uses the vector (global) circle R-symmetry. The Lagrangian and spectrum of the corresponding twisted version of the two-dimensional GLSM is described in Section 3.1 of reference [3]. In Section 3.3 of the same reference, it is argued that the path integrals of the twisted model localize to the moduli space of vortices defined in (2.3), and that its observables can be interpreted in terms of the Hamiltonian Gromov–Witten invariants of [14].

In view of this localization phenomenon, one should hope to understand the “BPS sector” of the quantized twisted supersymmetric GLSMs via canonical quantization of the truncated phase spaces \( \mathbb{T}^* \mathcal{M}_\Sigma(n, r, d) \). It is well known [19] that one-dimensional sigma-models onto a Kähler target manifold such as our moduli spaces \( \mathcal{M}_\Sigma(n, r, d) \) (whenever smooth) admit extensions with \( \mathcal{N} = (2, 2) \) supersymmetry, and can thus accommodate
even the full amount of local supersymmetry present in the classical two-
dimensional theory. This “semi-classical” regime should capture the physics
at low energies for each \( d > 0 \), and in particular the structure of the ground
states, which can be described using the framework of supersymmetric quan-
tum mechanics \([19, 29]\). We shall assume from now on that \( n = r \) is fixed as
in (3.1).

According to the original proposal of Witten \([29]\), the ground states in
the effective supersymmetric quantum mechanics should correspond to har-
monic waveforms on each moduli space \( \mathcal{M}_\Sigma = \mathcal{M}_\Sigma(n, n, d) \), with respect to
its natural Kähler metric \( g_{L^2} \). The supersymmetric parity of such states will
be governed by their degree as differential forms reduced mod 2. However,
multiparticle quantum states, corresponding to moduli spaces at degrees
\( d > 1 \), may also admit an interpretation in terms of individual solitonic par-
ticles. This leads to an expectation that the Hilbert spaces obtained from the
quantization of each component \( \mathcal{M}_\Sigma(n, n, d) \) with \( d > 1 \) might split nontriv-
ially into sums of tensor products of elementary Hilbert spaces correspond-
ing to constituent particles. This phenomenon is illustrated in reference \([26]\)
in the context of the simplest possible gauged sigma-model with nonlinear
target (the round two-sphere with usual circle action).

In the picture we are proposing, it is natural to extend the semi-classical
approximation by allowing nontrivial holonomies of the waveforms in super-
symmetric quantum mechanics. This grants to the quantum particles the
possibility of braiding with nontrivial anyonic phases, in analogy with the
Aharonov–Bohm effect. Following \([30]\), one could thus advocate that the
waveforms be valued in local systems over the moduli space (constructed
from representations of its fundamental group); or equivalently \([8]\), one per-
forms the quantization of a cover of the moduli space \( \mathcal{M}_\Sigma \) where the relevant
local systems trivialize — of course, this will always be the case for the uni-
versal cover \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Sigma \).

Another extension \([8, 10]\) is to allow for wavepackets of waveforms, using
linear combinations over the representation variety of \( \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_\Sigma) \) rather than
a fixed representation. So we are lead to taking as quantum Hilbert space
the \( L^2 \)-completion of the space of forms with compact support \([1]\)

\[
L^2 \Omega^* \left( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Sigma; \mathbb{C} \right) \cong \Omega^* (\mathcal{M}_\Sigma; \mathbb{C}) \otimes \ell^2 (\pi_1 \mathcal{M}_\Sigma) .
\]

The ground states are to be sought among the harmonic forms with re-
spect to the metric \( g_\Sigma \), but we expect the space of harmonic forms to be
infinitely generated in crucial examples — this follows from the fibration
(3.2) and results in \([7, \text{Sec. 4}]\). In order to count ground states meaningfully,
one needs to resort to renormalized dimensions in the sense of Murray–von Neumann [8, 25], and then such counting corresponds to the computation of analytic $L^2$-Betti numbers [22] of the covers. For $d = 1$ the situation is rather simple, and it was dealt with in Theorem 14 of reference [8] (using local systems of rank one, and assuming that the genus $g$ of $\Sigma$ is positive). It was shown that the ground states of single solitons are fermionic, and can be understood effectively in terms of “Pochhammer states” constructed from certain pair-of-pants decompositions of the surface $\Sigma$.

5.2. On the realization of nonabelionic statistics

It would be desirable to calculate the $L^2$-Betti numbers of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma$ beyond the $d = 1$ case, and draw conclusions about the spectrum of multiparticle ground states of the GLSMs. In particular, one would like to classify the constituent particles according to their statistics (equivalently, understand how they braid on the surface $\Sigma$). This is to be contrasted with ordinary nonrelativistic quantum-mechanics, in which the quantum Hilbert space of one particle is constructed first, and then multiparticle states are obtained a posteriori, implementing by hand bosonic/fermionic/anyonic statistics. In our context, the statistics of the particles are imposed by the geometry and topology of the moduli spaces.

For the GSLMs studied in this paper, all these tasks are difficult, and require detailed information about the structure of the fibration (3.2) — not only a description of the fibres, as given in Section 3, but also how the different strata (corresponding to partitions of $d$) glue together. However, one has the following immediate corollary of Theorem 4.1:

**Corollary 5.1.** An irreducible local system over a multiparticle moduli space (3.1) with $d > 1$ must have rank one, and the semi-classical quantization scheme discussed in Section 5.1 rules out constituent particles with nonabelionic statistics.

**Proof.** By Corollary 4.2, we have $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_\Sigma(n, n, d)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2g}$, where $g$ is the genus of the surface $\Sigma$. In particular, all its irreducible representations are one-dimensional. $\square$

The situation here is analogous to the abelian linear model $r = n = 1$, discussed briefly in [8]. We would like to point out that there exist plenty of abelian gauged sigma-models constructed from nonlinear target actions, for which the associated vortex moduli spaces turn out to have nonabelian fundamental groups, see [9]. Those models may already support nonabelions
in quantum multiparticle states, in contrast to the GLSMs we considered in this paper.
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