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**Abstract:** The demand for high-performance two-dimensional gas barrier materials is increasing owing to their potential for application in optoelectronic devices. These materials can help the devices maintain their properties over a long period. Therefore, in this study, we investigated the gas barrier performance of hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) monolayers grown on copper foils via electrochemical polishing (ECP). The ECP treatment helped reduce the surface roughness of the copper foils. As a result, the nucleation density was reduced and highly crystalline h-BN monolayers were produced. The gas barrier performance of h-BN monolayers on copper foils with ECP was comparable to that of graphene. Our finding demonstrates the potential of monolayer h-BN as a high-performance and economical gas barrier material for organic-based optoelectronic devices.
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1. **Introduction**

The development of organic-based optoelectronic devices has revolutionized the electronics industry owing to their superior material properties such as high quantum efficiency, high carrier mobility, good transparency, and high flexibility [1,2]. Despite the advantages of organic materials in optoelectronic device applications, their material properties become seriously degraded over time when they are exposed to water or oxygen [3]. Hence, the use of gas barriers is required to sustain their material properties. Though several materials have been suggested as candidates for gas barriers, such as metal, glass, SiOx, and Al2O3 [4,5], two-dimensional (2D) materials have come into the limelight because they can utilize the properties of organic materials such as flexibility, lightness, and high optical transparency. Among various 2D materials, graphene has evident potential and is widely used as a gas barrier on account of its great barrier properties and its thermal and chemical stability [6,7].

However, graphene has limitations as a gas barrier. The most critical one is that it can facilitate the oxidation of materials [8]. According to recent reports, the high conductivity...
of graphene can contribute to the supply of electrons for oxidation around defects, instead of serving as a gas barrier [9,10]. In addition, if the number of layers of graphene increases to meet the high criteria of modern packaging applications, an optical loss results because graphene reduces the transmittance by ~2.3% per layer [11]. This reduced transmittance adversely affects the optical properties of organic devices, such as light extraction in light emitting diodes and absorption in solar cells.

Hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) monolayers have recently emerged as an alternative. An h-BN monolayer has several merits as a gas barrier. First, h-BN monolayers have good dielectric properties which can prevent electron transfer for oxidation and protect from strong electric shock [10,12]. Second, h-BN monolayers have great transparency due to the wide energy bandgap (∼6 eV) [13,14]. Furthermore, h-BN monolayers possess the stable material properties and high thermal stability needed for high-power device applications. We selected h-BN monolayers for study because of these advantages. However, one disadvantage is that water or oxygen molecules can penetrate through the defects [15,16], so a technique to minimize defect density is required to enhance the gas barrier performance to the commercial level. Several methods to produce large-grain monolayer h-BN have been reported, such as using an alloy catalyst [17], annealing the catalyst with hydrogen [18], and reducing the roughness of the catalyst by electrochemical polishing (ECP) [19].

In this work, we investigated the gas barrier performance of monolayer h-BN synthesized on electrochemically polished copper foils. Out of various available techniques for reducing the defect density of h-BN monolayers, ECP of copper foil was utilized because it is cheap and simple, and thus suitable for mass production. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Raman spectroscopy, and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were conducted to verify the growth of h-BN monolayers. To study the spatial distribution of the defects and the defect state, atomic force microscopy (AFM) and contact angle analysis of h-BN monolayers were performed. Finally, we measured water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) and water vapor permeability of h-BN monolayers. The performance of an h-BN monolayer as gas barrier on copper foils with ECP was comparable to that of a graphene monolayer [7].

2. Methods

2.1. Electro-Chemical Polishing

To planarize the surface of the copper foils (Alfar Aesar, 046986.RF 0.025 mm thick), ECP was conducted in a solution with phosphoric acid (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA, 85 wt.% in H₂O, 99.99% trace metals basis, 345245-100 ML) and water at 1.8 V for 10 min using Cu plate as a cathode and copper foils (100 mm × 100 mm) as a working electrode, as shown in Figure S1 of the supporting information.

2.2. Synthesis of h-BN Layers

The h-BN layers investigated in this work were synthesized on copper foils (Nippon Mining Co. Ltd., Hitachishi, Japan) via chemical vapor deposition (CVD). Borazine (B₃N₃H₆) was used as a precursor for growing the h-BN and was kept in a chiller at −10 °C in a canister with a bubbler system. Then, the copper foil with ECP treatments was placed at the center position of a quartz tube and heated by a split-tube furnace. The annealing process, under 15 standard cubic centimeters per minute (sccm) of H₂ gas at low pressure (specific value), was performed at 1040 °C for 60 min. 0.3 sccm of borazine and 70 sccm of H₂ were supplied at 1040 °C for 90 s under a growth pressure of 5 mTorr. These are the optimal conditions for obtaining high quality and uniform h-BN, as shown in Figure S2 of the supporting information. As a final step, the samples were rapidly cooled down to room temperature under a hydrogen atmosphere. The as-grown h-BN monolayers were transferred by a method similar to graphene transfer onto various substrates, such as PET film, glass, and SiO₂/Si, to characterize and investigate the gas barrier properties of the h-BN. Details can be found in our previous report [7].
2.3. Structure Characterizations

Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, Quanta 200 FEG, FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR, USA) was used to observe the domain and surface morphology of the h-BN studied in this work. The quality of graphene was characterized by Raman spectroscopy (RX210 Analyser, Renishaw, Wotton-under-Edge, UK) using the 514 nm line of an Ar ion laser as an excitation source. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, K-Alpha spectrophotometer, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) was conducted with an AXIS Ultra DLD model with a monochromatic Al Kα line at 1486.69 eV. The surface topography of h-BN on copper foils after a film-induced frustrated etching (FIFE) test was investigated with an atomic force microscope (AFM, XE-200 System, PSIA, Suwon, Korea) in tapping mode. The contact angle was measured using a water contact measurement (PHX300, Surface Electro Optics, Suwon, Korea). The water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) was evaluated by a commercial AQUATRAN model 3 WVTR analyzer (MOCON, Minneapolis, MN, USA) at room temperature under 1 atm.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows SEM images of h-BN monolayers on copper foils with and without ECP treatment. In both cases, h-BN monolayer fully covered the copper foils after 90 s’ growth (Figure 1a,b). In these samples, wrinkles were commonly observed for thermal stress minimization. They could stem from the nucleation of defects on the step edges of Cu terraces during cooling process, and their presence is indirect evidence of the successful continuous growth of h-BN monolayer [20].

Once the copper foils were fully covered by h-BN monolayers, it was not possible to analyze the difference in the growth of h-BN monolayers on the two copper foil samples with and without ECP. To investigate how ECP influences the growth of an h-BN monolayer, we grew h-BN monolayers for a shorter time: 60 s (Figure 1c,d). At the initial stage of growth, we could detect small domains as well as large ones in both samples. The shape of the small domains was random, while that of the large domains was triangular. The areal number density of domains was noticeably different in the two cases; it was higher on copper foil without ECP than with ECP. The root-mean-square (RMS) roughness of the copper foils was measured by AFM (Figure S3). The RMS roughness of copper foils with ECP was measured to be 37 nm while that of copper foils without ECP was 78 nm, which is consistent with SEM images. It is well known that surface irregularities such as wrinkles,
steps, grain boundaries, and defects have much higher surface energy than a flat surface, and such features could serve as nucleation sites [21–23]. Hence, the higher irregularity of the surface of copper foils without ECP caused a higher areal nucleation density of h-BN monolayer than copper foils with ECP [7]. If the areal number density of initial domains is higher, it will lead to a higher defect density when the domains eventually merge as the growth proceeds [24–26]. As a result, h-BN monolayers grown on copper foils with ECP are expected to show better gas barrier performance owing to a lower defect density.

Figure 2a shows Raman spectra of the h-BN monolayers grown on copper foils without ECP and with ECP (red line). The peaks observed at 1369.8 cm\(^{-1}\) from both the samples are indicative of an h-BN monolayer [27,28]. Typically, to compare the crystallinity of h-BN monolayers, the intensities of the spectra are compared. However, it would be hard to compare the crystallinity directly using this method because of the scattering of the laser spot by the surface roughness of the copper foils. Instead, we compared the crystalline quality of h-BN monolayers on copper foils based on the full width at half maximum (FWHM). The FWHM values of h-BN monolayer grown on copper foils without ECP and with ECP were \(\sim\)25.02 cm\(^{-1}\) and \(\sim\)17.41 cm\(^{-1}\) respectively, indicating that the crystallinity of h-BN monolayers on copper foils with ECP was higher than that of h-BN monolayers on copper foils without ECP. To further confirm the growth of the h-BN monolayers, we measured the 1s core level XPS spectra of boron and nitrogen in the h-BN monolayers on copper foils with and without ECP (Figure 2b,c). From both samples, peaks in the XPS spectra were observed at \(~\)190.8 eV, which corresponds to the binding energy of boron atoms [29,30]. Peaks were also observed at \(~\)398.2 eV in both samples. This energy corresponds to the binding energy of nitride atoms [29,30].

Figure 2. (a) Raman spectra of h-BN monolayers on copper foils without ECP (black line) and with ECP (red line). (b,c) show the 1s core level XPS spectra of boron and nitrogen of h-BN monolayers on copper foils with and without ECP, respectively.

To investigate the spatial distribution of the defects, the surface morphology of h-BN monolayers on copper foils without ECP and with ECP was examined by AFM (Figure 3a,b). Before the measurements, a film-induced frustrated etching (FIFE) was completed to reveal the spatial distribution of the defects. The etched fit densities for h-BN monolayers on copper foils without ECP and with ECP were estimated to be\(1.3 \times 108/\text{cm}^2\) and \(3 \times 107/\text{cm}^2\), respectively (Figure 3c). In addition, etched to total area ratios were obtained by an image processing program (Image J 1.52p, National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) based on a randomly selected area (indicated by a white rectangle in Figure 3a,b) of the AFM images of both samples [31]. The etched to total area ratios of h-BN monolayers on copper foils without ECP and with ECP were 23\% and 3\%, respectively. It is obvious that the h-BN monolayer on copper foils with ECP had a lower etched pit density with a smaller etched area, indicating that the ECP methods significantly reduced the defect density.
Figure 3. AFM images of the surface of h-BN monolayers on copper foils (a) without ECP and (b) with ECP after a film-induced frustrated etching. (c) Etched pit density observed from AFM images. (d–f) Contact angles of water on a (d) native copper foil, (e) an h-BN monolayer on copper foils without ECP, and (f) that with ECP, respectively.

We also evaluated contact angles of water on native copper foils, an h-BN monolayer on copper foil without ECP, and one with ECP, respectively (Figure 3d–f). Contact angle analysis is a fast and straightforward technique for estimating the defect density of 2D materials such as graphene and h-BN monolayers [32–34]. The measured contact angle of water on a native copper foil was 46.1°, which was low due to the high surface energy between water and copper foil. The contact angles were higher in the other samples as the copper substrates were passivated by the h-BN monolayers; they were found to be 67.4° without ECP and 80.1° with ECP. It is well known that h-BN is totally charge neutral and nonpolar, satisfying the octet rule. However, when the defects are created, the resulting dangling bonds break the charge neutrality. Because of this, defects in h-BN monolayer contribute to a change in the contact angle by increasing the surface energy and surface polarities [35]. The h-BN monolayer on the copper foil without ECP had higher surface energy than that with ECP, implying that the defect density of h-BN monolayer was higher on the copper foil without ECP than that with ECP. This result is consistent with our discussion of defect density based on the results of SEM, Raman spectroscopy, and AFM analyses.

WVTR was measured with varying time for bare polyethylene terephthalate (PET), an h-BN monolayer without ECP on PET, an h-BN monolayer with ECP on PET, and graphene on PET by a commercial MOCON’s proprietary AQUATRAN model 3 WVTR analyzer (Figure 4a). Recently, we studied the gas barrier properties of graphene grown on copper foils with ECP treatment [7]. Here, we compare the gas barrier performance of h-BN monolayers and graphene. All four samples arrived at a steady state of WVTR within 1 day. The WVTR values of h-BN monolayers without and with ECP were measured to be, respectively, 0.798 and 0.774 g m⁻² day⁻¹. These values were slightly higher than that of graphene on PET (0.728 g m⁻² day⁻¹), but significantly lower than that of bare PET (1.101 g m⁻² day⁻¹). The gas barrier properties of h-BN are slightly lower than that of graphene, but after a long term, we believe that gas barrier properties of h-BN will be improved than that of graphene. This is because h-BN is not easily oxidized by the absence of itinerant electron. Between h-BN monolayers, the one with ECP showed a lower WVTR value than that without ECP. We expect that the lower WVTR value of the h-BN monolayer with ECP is associated with its reduced defects and grain boundaries. The results indicate that the h-BN monolayer with ECP successfully acted as a protective layer for PET against
water. Recently, the gas-barrier performance of a wafer-scale single-crystal h-BN monolayer on PET was reported, and was 0.60 g m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) [36]. A single-crystal h-BN monolayer is ideal for the gas barrier application since it can minimize the diffusion of gas molecules through the grain boundary. However, the synthesis of a single-crystalline h-BN monolayer is very expensive and not generic yet. Thus, our approach to use poly-crystalline h-BN monolayers composed of large grains as gas barriers is more realistic since they are more economical and can be readily mass produced.

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** (a) WVTR and (b) water vapor permeability of pure PET, an h-BN monolayer without ECP on PET, an h-BN monolayer with ECP on PET, graphene on PET.

The water permeability of samples can be obtained from Equation (1) [37]

$$P^0 = P \left( \frac{4d^2}{\pi D t} \right)^{0.5} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp \left[ - \frac{d^2}{4Dt} (2n + 1)^2 \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here, \(J\) and \(J_s\) are the water vapor molar flux at time \(t\) and at steady state, respectively, \(d\) is the sample thickness, \(P\) is the permeability, \(D\) is the diffusivity, \(S\) is the solubility, and \(P^0 = Jd, P = J_s d = SD\). The permeability, diffusivity, and solubility of all samples were determined from the best fitting of Fick’s second law of diffusion in Figure 4b. Table 1 shows the estimated permeability, diffusivity and solubility of bare PET, a h-BN monolayer without ECP on PET, a h-BN monolayer with ECP on PET, and graphene on PET, respectively. The permeabilities were 7.430 \(\times\) 10\(^{-14}\), 5.36 \(\times\) 10\(^{-14}\), 5.14 \(\times\) 10\(^{-14}\), and 4.88 \(\times\) 10\(^{-14}\) mols\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-2}\) atm\(^{-1}\), respectively. Interestingly, the decreased permeability was not correlated to the diffusivity; the diffusivity of all samples was nearly identical. Meanwhile, the solubilities of the h-BN monolayer without ECP on PET, the h-BN monolayer with ECP on PET, and graphene on PET were decreased by 25.4\%, 26.4\%, and 27.0\%, respectively, compared to the solubility of bare PET. These results indicate that when adopting 2D material as a gas barrier, the reduction of permeability is caused by reduced solubility rather than the diffusion path blocking effect.

|                  | Bare PET | h-BN Without ECP/PET | h-BN with ECP/PET | Graphene/PET |
|------------------|----------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| **Permeability** |          |                      |                   |              |
| \(\times10^{-14}\) mol s\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-2}\) atm\(^{-1}\) | 7.43     | 5.36                 | 5.14             | 4.88         |
| **Diffusivity**  |          |                      |                   |              |
| \(\times10^{-14}\) m\(^{2}\) s\(^{-1}\) | 0.30     | 0.28                 | 0.28             | 0.27         |
| **Solubility**   |          |                      |                   |              |
| [mol m\(^{-3}\) atm\(^{-1}\)] | 24.8     | 18.5                 | 18.2             | 18.1         |
4. Conclusions

In summary, we investigated the gas barrier performance of h-BN monolayers on copper foils with ECP treatment. The ECP treatment of copper foils significantly reduced the defect density of h-BN monolayers. WVTR and permeability of h-BN monolayers on PET were significantly lower than those of bare PET and were comparable to those of graphene on PET. In addition, the ECP treatment of copper foils resulted in improved gas barrier performance of h-BN monolayers. The results demonstrate the potential of h-BN monolayers as gas barriers in organic-based optoelectronic devices.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/app11104599/s1, Figure S1: Schematics and experimental conditions of electrochemical polishing for Cu foils. Figure S2: The optimization process of synthesis conditions for high quality and uniform h-BN. Figure S3: AFM images and RMS roughness of Cu foils (a) without ECP and (b) with ECP, respectively.
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