Laparoscopic reinforcement suture on staple-line of duodenal stump using barbed suture during laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric cancer
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INTRODUCTION

The overall incidence of duodenal stump fistula (DSF) or duodenal stump leakage is reportedly between 1.6% to 5% and is one of the most serious complications of Billroth-II or Roux en Y reconstruction after gastrectomy for gastric cancer [1,2]. Several investigators presented their clinical experience, such as the clinical course and the pertinent management of DSF [3,4]. Conservative approach for DSF is the treatment of choice, eventually associated with percutaneous drainage [4]. It is possible to predict possibilities of DSF in some patients, such as patient’s age, comorbidity, nutritional status impairment and technical difficulties during surgery [5,6]. However, surgeons make few technical attempts to prevent fistula from staple-line of duodenal stump during laparoscopic gastrectomy.

During the past 2 decades, laparoscopic gastrectomy for stage I gastric cancer has become an attractive alternative to open gastrectomy in Korea, Japan, and China [7-12]. Although the incidence of wound complication in laparoscopic gastrectomy is significantly lower in open gastrectomy, the incidence of overall complication is similar between the 2 groups [7,8]. However, the advantage of laparoscopic gastrectomy in major complication,
such as DSF or intra-abdominal bleeding remains unclear [9]. The apparent cause of DSF is an area of active investigation because of several complicated risk factors associated with DSF.

Irrespective of cause, DSF should be prevented with various methods. We have performed additional mechanical reinforcement on staple-line of duodenal stump. Herein, we introduced a new and simple surgical technique for reducing DSF during laparoscopic gastrectomy.

**METHODS**

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records for consecutive 99 patients who underwent laparoscopic reinforcement suture (LARS) during laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric cancer from April 2014 to February 2016 in 2 institutes. Written informed consents were provided to all patients. The indication of laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric cancer was less than preoperatively clinical T2N1M0, except the indication of endoscopic submucosal dissection.

We defined DSF by the presence of biliary-enteric drainage, which exists through the abdominal wall confirmed by an abdominal-pelvic CT scan or fistulography. Image studies were performed in patients who represented symptoms and signs such as severe and abrupt abdominal pain, fever, worsening leukocytosis, and clinical suspects of DSF.

We analyzed clinicopathologic features, such as age, sex, body mass index, comorbidities including duodenal ulcer, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status classification, tumor location, number of retrieved lymph node and TNM stage. Postoperative outcomes included LARS method.

| Variable                  | Value       |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| Age (yr)                  | 60.86 (34–84) |
| Sex                       |             |
| Male                      | 63          |
| Female                    | 36          |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)   | 23.91 (17.4–38.6) |
| Comorbidity               |             |
| No                        | 43          |
| Yes                       | 56          |
| Duodenal ulcer            |             |
| No                        | 94          |
| Yes                       | 5           |
| ASA PS classification     |             |
| I                         | 32          |
| II                        | 48          |
| III                       | 19          |
| Tumor location            |             |
| Upper                     | 3           |
| Middle                    | 41          |
| Lower                     | 55          |
| Retrieved lymph node      | 46.73 (17–111) |
| Stage                      |             |
| I                         | 77          |
| II                        | 17          |
| III                       | 5           |

Values are presented as mean (range) or number.

ASA PS, American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status classification.

*Based on the American Joint Committee on Cancer 7th TNM classification.

---

**Table 1. Clinicopathologic features of the patients (n = 99)**

**Fig. 1.** (A) Laparoscopic reinforcement suture (LARS) commence from upper to lower part on staple-line of duodenal stump using barbed suture. (B) Continuous suture with invagination is completed after 5 or 6 stitches.

**Fig. 2.** In case of patient with short duodenal stump because of chronic ulcer or ectopic pancreas at duodenal bulb or cancer invasion to pylorus, 2 or 3 interrupted sutures without invagination of duodenal stump is conducted using barbed sutures.
operation time, combined surgery, intraoperative blood loss, type of surgery, distance of resection margin, postoperative hospital stay, postoperative complication with Clavien-Dindo classification and mortality.

For laparoscopic gastrectomy in cases of gastric cancer, 5 trocars were used while standing at the patient’s right side during the entire procedure, as described in our previous report [13]. After cutting of duodenal stump of about 1.5- to 2-cm length using linear stapler, LARS commenced from upper to lower part on staple-line of duodenal stump. Continuous suture with invagination was performed using a barbed suture (Fig. 1). In case of patient with short duodenal stump because of chronic ulcer or ectopic pancreas at duodenal bulb or cancer invasion to pylorus, 2 or 3 interrupted sutures without invagination of duodenal stump (Fig. 2) was conducted using barbed sutures.

RESULTS

Clinicopathologic characteristics of the patients were shown in Table 1. Mean age was 60.9 years, and 63 patients (63.6%) were male. Fifty-six patients (56.6%) had comorbidity including 5 patients with duodenal ulcers. Most patients had <2 ASA physical status classification and pathologic stage I or II cancer.

In postoperative outcomes (Table 2), continuous suture with invagination was successfully performed in 94 cases and interrupted sutures without invagination in 5 cases. The mean operation time was 179.8 minutes, and the mean time for LARS was mean 8 minutes. It took >15 minutes for this procedure in initial period. However, it took <8 minutes on average after 20 cases. There were 17 combined surgeries, including 11 cases of cholecystectomy, 2 of nephrectomy, open heart surgery, appendectomy, thyroid surgery and breast mass excision.

Postoperative complication occurred in 14 patients (14%). DSF after laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric cancer did not occur in this study, although esophagojejunosotomy leakages and leakage at artificial lesser curvature site of remnant stomach occurred in 2 and 1 case, respectively. According to Clavien-Dindo classification, 9 patients were classified as <II and 5 patients IIIa. Only 1 patient underwent surgical intervention, such as laparoscopic adhesiolysis and jejunojejunosotomy, due to the postoperative ileus.

Fig. 3 presented CT finding of duodenal stump treated LARS at postoperative 6 months.

DISCUSSION

DSF is a rare complication but is associated with a high morbidity and mortality rate. The mortality rate of DSF is reported as 16% to 20% [1]. This serious complication affects not
only patients and their families, but also the surgeon especially in cases with laparoscopic gastrectomy. Factors associated with DSF can be divided into patient factors and surgeon factors. Patient factors include age, comorbidity, nutritional status, existence of chronic ulcer or ectopic pancreas at duodenal bulb, cancer invasion to pylorus, gastric outlet obstruction and previous abdominal surgery, and so on [3-5]. Surgeon factors could be related to several surgical techniques, such as excessive vascular or pancreatic dissection around duodenal stump, direct thermal injury by ultrasonic shears and reinforcement suture [5,14]. In addition, DSF can also be associated with difficulties in emptying the afferent jejunal loop due to stricture of gastrojejunostomy or acute pancreatitis.

According to a multicenter randomized controlled trial (KLASS 01) for laparoscopic versus open gastrectomy for stage I gastric cancer, laparoscopic gastrectomy is safe and has a benefit of lower occurrence of wound complication [9]. However, anastomotic leakage after laparoscopic gastrectomy occurs more frequently than after open gastrectomy, without statistical significance [9]. Cozzaglio et al. [1] also reported that the laparoscopic technique increases the risk of DSF about 5 times, possibly due to learning curve of laparoscopic skills and the lack of reinforcement of the duodenal stump, which can be performed routinely in open approach.

Recently, minimally invasive surgery has been replaced by totally laparoscopic surgery from assisted laparoscopic surgery, which needs a mini-laparotomy wound for specimen retrieval and reconstruction [15-17]. Most experienced laparoscopic surgeons are sufficiently skilled in intracorporeal suturing for bowel reconstruction. We conducted LARS on staple-line to prevent DSF using barbed suture. Some surgeons applied fibrin-sealant (Greenplasty, Green Cross Corp., Seoul, Korea) or absorbable reinforcement felt (Neoveil, Gunze Ltd., Kyoto, Japan) at staple-line of duodenal stump to prevent the DSF. However, its clinical efficacy remains unclear [2].

In laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy for morbid obesity patients, several reinforcement techniques on staple-line, such as buttressing with specific bioabsorbable materials, oversewing, or application of sealant agents have been introduced [18-21]. In vitro, Lembert’s suture reinforcement technique on stapled human stomach has less leakage rate, as compared to through-and-through reinforcement and nonreinforced staple-line [21]. Using a systematic review with meta-analysis, the leak rate in laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy was significantly lower using buttressing of staple-line with absorbable polymer membrane than oversewing, nonabsorbable bovine pericardial strips reinforcement, or no reinforcement [19]. However, the standard technique for prevention of leakage from staple-line in laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy has not yet been established by well-designed randomized prospective multicenter study.

In our study, DSF did not occur after LARS on staple-line at duodenal stump using barbed suture during laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric cancer. Additional mechanical reinforcement after stapling of the duodenum can be helpful to prevent DSF during laparoscopic gastrectomy. Barbed suture is very useful to perform an intracorporeal suturing because laparoscopic knotting is unnecessary. Furthermore, this simple LARS procedure did not need much time and also did not increase the total operation time.

The study had some limitations including a small number of patients from 2 institutes and its retrospective nature. However, it serves as a pilot study for future multicenter randomized controlled trials on clinical significance of LARS for prevention of DSF during laparoscopic gastrectomy.

In conclusion, LARS on staple-line of duodenal stump can be helpful to prevent DSF after laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric cancer.
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