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ABSTRACT. We introduce a new general framework for constructing tilings of Euclidean space, which we call multiscale substitution tilings. These tilings are generated by substitution schemes on a finite set of prototiles, in which multiple distinct scaling constants are allowed. This is in contrast to the standard case of the well-studied substitution tilings which includes examples such as the Penrose and the pinwheel tilings. Under an additional irrationality assumption on the scaling constants, our construction defines a new class of tilings and tiling spaces, which are intrinsically different from those that arise in the standard setup. We study various structural, geometric, statistical and dynamical aspects of these new objects and establish a wide variety of properties. Among our main results are explicit density formulas and the unique ergodicity of the associated tiling dynamical systems.
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1. Introduction

In the construction of substitution tilings, which are a classical object of study within the field of aperiodic order and mathematical models of quasicrystals, a standing assumption is that the substitution rule that generates the tiling is associated with a single unique scaling constant. More precisely, given a set of initial tiles, also known as prototiles and usually assumed to be finite, the substitution rule describes a tessellation of each prototile by rescaled copies of prototiles, where the applied scaling constant is unique, and hence we refer to it as a fixed scale substitution rule. This implies that a uniform inflation by the reciprocal constant defines a patch of tiles, each of which is a copy of a prototile under some isometry of the space. By repeating this process countably many times, a tiling of the space can be defined, with the property that all of the tiles that appear in the tiling are copies of the original prototiles. The beautiful examples of the Penrose and pinwheel tilings, see [Pe] and [Rad], among other well-studied examples, can be constructed in this way, with isometry groups of translations and rigid motions, respectively. For a comprehensive discussion and additional examples see [BG] and references therein.

When considering the construction of substitution tilings, a natural question that may arise concerns the scaling constant: what kind of tilings emerge if the standard assumption of a single scaling constant is relaxed?

Clearly, if one is to use multiple distinct scaling constants, as in the example illustrated in Figure 1, an attempt to define tilings by consecutive substitutions and inflations in the way described above may result in tilings with either arbitrarily small or arbitrarily large tiles. These are of interest in their own right, as evident in [Ke3] where substitution rules similar to that of Figure 1 are introduced and studied by Kenyon in the context of self-similar sets. Yet such tilings do not naturally induce Delone sets, which are often used to model physical structures.
In order to generate tilings with tiles of bounded scales, a different procedure must be used, and several concrete constructions of such tilings were previously considered. Sadun’s beautiful generalized pinwheel tilings first appeared in [Sa1], where their geometrical and statistical properties were thoroughly studied. This was later extended to include dynamical and topological properties in [FS2], in which generalized pinwheel tilings are considered in the context of Frank and Sadun’s fusion tilings. A similar analysis was offered for special one dimensional tilings [FS2, §A.5], and we will return to both of these examples in the following sections.

We propose here a general procedure to overcome the above mentioned difficulty. In the present introductory section we shall describe and introduce the process in a somewhat intuitive way, a precise and detailed presentation will be given in the next sections. Start with a single tile, and inflate it continuously. When its volume passes a certain threshold, which is set a priori to be the unit volume, substitute the tile according to the prescribed substitution rule. Continue to inflate, and substitute any tile when its volume reaches the threshold. This defines a continuous family of patches of tiles within some bounded interval of scales, and from which tilings of the entire space can be defined as limiting objects with respect to a suitable topology. For an example of such a patch see Figure 2, and note that it can also be defined by considering an inflation of the original tile, which is then substituted until all tiles are of volume smaller than the threshold. The collection of all tilings generated by a given substitution scheme defines the associated multiscale tiling space, which is a compact space of tilings and is closed under translations.

The aforementioned semi-flow, which we call the substitution semi-flow, is shown to be well defined on the space of tilings. It has periodic orbits which give rise to stationary points in this space, and these stationary tilings can be represented as limits of sequences of nested patches. As we will see, in the fixed scale case, this procedure generates tilings that can be defined by the standard substitution and inflation procedure.

Our focus in this paper is on tilings generated by incommensurable multiscale substitution schemes on a finite set of prototiles, which are classified by a certain irrationality assumption, as will be precisely defined in §3. For example, the substitution scheme illustrated in Figure 1 is incommensurable. In this example incommensurability follows from the fact that the two participating scaling constants 1/5 and 3/5 are such that $(1/5)^m \neq (3/5)^n$ for any $0 \neq m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is true because 3 and 5 are co-prime. The substitution rule of the irrational case of the generalized pinwheel tiling is an early example of an incommensurable substitution scheme, as is the aforementioned one dimensional fusion tiling example. In general, incommensurability amounts to the existence of at least two periodic orbits of the substitution semi-flow for which the logarithms of their lengths are linearly independent over the rationals. As we will see, there are more natural ways to define incommensurability, and incommensurability can be considered a typical
property of multiscale substitution schemes. We remark that as in the fixed scale case, for non-incommensurable schemes, which we refer to as commensurable, the generated multiscale tilings can be defined also in the standard way, though perhaps a larger set of prototiles is required. In some aspects, fixed scale, commensurable and incommensurable schemes are analogous to integer, rational and irrational real numbers. In particular, fixed scale schemes are generalized by commensurable schemes, while incommensurability is a complement, disjoint property.

We present a thorough study of this new class of incommensurable tilings and their properties. Such tilings consist of tiles of finitely many types that appear in infinitely many scales, and are therefore of infinite local complexity. The standard tools of the substitution matrix and the theory of Perron-Frobenius are no longer applicable, and the new tools of the associated directed weighted graph and the recent results of [KSS] on the distribution of paths on incommensurable graphs are introduced. We show that incommensurability replaces the role of primitivity, and present explicit formulas for the asymptotic density of tiles, as well as for frequencies of tiles of certain types and scales and the volume they occupy. In addition we discuss a form of scale complexity and show that under a mild assumption on the geometry of prototiles, the Delone sets associated with incommensurable tilings are not uniformly spread, in the sense that the associated point sets are never bounded displacement equivalent to lattices. All the relevant terms will be precisely defined in the coming sections.

Although the construction is well defined and many of our results hold also for the case where tiles are substituted by rescaled copies of prototiles under some isometry, when
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considering the associated tiling dynamical system, which is defined with respect to the
action by translations, we focus on the case where only translations of rescaled copies are
allowed in the substitution rule. In such a case, the tiling dynamical system is shown to
be minimal. Minimality, combined with the properties of the substitution semi-flow on
the tiling space, allows for the existence of supertiles for any tiling in the tiling space,
which form an extremely useful hierarchical structure on tilings. The appropriate variants
of uniform patch frequencies, in which patches are counted together with their dilations
in some non-trivial interval of scales, are shown to hold. Finally, we show that as in the
fixed scale case, the existence of uniform patch frequencies can be used to establish unique
ergodicity of the tiling dynamical system.

A detailed introduction of multiscale substitution schemes, the associated graphs and
the precise notion of incommensurability, appears in §2 and §3. Two large illustrations of
fragments of multiscale substitution tilings are included as an appendix. The main results
and the structure of the paper are summarized as follows, where the section number
indicates the place in the paper where the result is properly stated and proved.

**Structural results.** An incommensurable multiscale substitution scheme generates a
multiscale tiling space. The substitution semi-flow acts on the multiscale tiling space, and
periodic orbits give rise to stationary tilings §4. Each tiling is equipped with a hierarchical
structure of supertiles, though not necessarily in a unique way §6.

**Geometric results.** For every tiling in the multiscale tiling space, all tiles are similar
to rescaled copies of prototiles §4. They appear in a dense set of scales within certain
intervals of possible scales, and the same holds for any legal patch. Scale complexity is
defined for stationary tilings, and the existence of “Sturmian” tilings is established §5.
Appropriate variants of almost repetitivity and almost local indistinguishability are shown
to hold §6. Tilings are not uniformly spread §8.

**Statistical results.** Explicit asymptotic formulas for the number of tiles of a given type
and scales within a given interval, that appear in large supertiles, are given §7. A variant of
uniform patch frequencies is established, where patches are counted together with dilations.
For any non-trivial interval of dilations, legal patches have positive patch frequencies §9.
When considered without dilations, all patches have uniform frequency zero.

**Dynamical results.** The multiscale tiling space is equipped with an action by translations
to form a tiling dynamical system, which is minimal §6, and in fact uniquely ergodic §10.

The pioneering tiling constructions introduced in [Ke3, Sa1] and [FS2] were shown to
satisfy some of the properties listed above using innovative methods. These include in
particular the construction of stationary pinwheel tilings, a distinction between rational
and irrational pinwheel tilings and tile statistics in the irrational case in [Sa1], and the
unique ergodicity of the one dimensional tiling system in [FS2 §A.5], which are recovered
here. We note that when considered in the context of multiscale substitution tilings,
these constructions have in common that their substitution scheme includes only a single
prototile, and tiles appear in only two distinct scales in the substitution rule, which
allows for direct computations. The new approach developed in this paper puts these
constructions under the umbrella of incommensurable multiscale substitution schemes.
The use of the directed weighted graph model and the properties of its flow allow for
the study of more complicated constructions with any finite number of prototiles and
participating scales. We would also like to mention the tilings studied recently in [BV].
The definition and study of multiscale substitution tilings is part of the recent resurgence of interest in tilings that are not assumed to be of finite local complexity, but still possess rich structure, hierarchies and symmetries. See among others [F1, F2, FR1, FRo, FS1, FS2, Sa1, Sa2] and [LSo], and the earlier [D, Ke1, Ke2, Ke3] and [Sa1]. It is our hope that this new class of tilings and the examples it produces will become an object of study in the community of aperiodic order, as there are still many interesting questions to consider, both of geometric and of dynamical flavor.

The construction of incommensurable tilings and the associated multiscale tiling spaces may prove interesting in relation to various other research directions. Incommensurable α-Kakutani substitution schemes, studied in [Sm] in the context of uniform distribution of Kakutani sequences of partitions [Ka], generate one dimensional tilings. Every tile is a segment, and by identifying the endpoints of segments with point masses, the unique translation invariant measure on the tiling dynamical space defines a new type of point process on the real line, see [BBM, BL] for more on spectral theory, diffraction and point processes in the context of aperiodic order. Another example is the relation between the substitution semi-flow and the theory of hyperbolic dynamics and dynamical zeta functions, see [PP]. The study of uniform distribution and discrepancy of sequences of partitions is also closely related [Sm], as is the study of self-similar non-lattice fractal strings and sprays, see [LV] and references therein.
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2. Substitution schemes and the substitution semi-flow

We begin with an introduction to multiscale substitution schemes and their associated graphs, and with detailed definitions of the basic objects required for the definition and study of multiscale substitution tilings, which will be defined in §4.

2.1. Tiles and multiscale substitution schemes. A tile $T$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded Lebesgue measurable set of positive measure, which is denoted by $\text{vol}T$ and referred to as the volume of $T$, and with a boundary of measure zero. A tessellation $\mathcal{P}$ of a set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a collection of tiles with pairwise disjoint interiors so that the union of their support is $U$. For the sake of clarity, a tessellation of a bounded set will be called a patch and a tessellation of the entire space will be called a tiling. Given a patch $\mathcal{P}$, a tiling $\mathcal{T}$ and a subset $B$ of their support, the sub-patch consisting of all tiles in $\mathcal{P}$ that intersect $B$ is denoted by $[B]^\mathcal{P}$, and the sub-patch $[B]^\mathcal{T}$ is similarly defined. Note that we view tiles as
embedded subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$, though the location of the origin usually does not matter to us. We will specify the location of the origin when it is important.

**Definition 2.1.** A *multiscale substitution scheme* $\sigma = (\tau_\sigma, \varrho_\sigma)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ consists of a finite list of labeled tiles $\tau_\sigma = (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ called *prototiles*, and a *substitution rule* defining a tessellation $\varrho_\sigma(T_i)$ of each prototile $T_i$, so that every tile in $\varrho_\sigma(T_i)$ is a translation of a rescaled copy of a prototile in $\tau_\sigma$. We denote by $\omega_\sigma(T_i)$ the list of rescaled prototiles whose translations appear in the patch $\varrho_\sigma(T_i)$, presented as

$$\omega_\sigma(T_i) = \left( \alpha^{(k)}_{ij} T_j : j = 1, \ldots, n, \ k = 1, \ldots, k_{ij} \right),$$

and referred to as the *tiles of substitution*. Here $\alpha^{(k)}_{ij}$ are positive constants, and $k_{ij}$ is the number of *tiles of type* $j$ in $\varrho_\sigma(T_i)$, that is, the number of rescaled copies of $T_j$ in $\varrho_\sigma(T_i)$.

We remark that for the sake of simplicity of presentation, we assume throughout that $T_i \neq \alpha T_j$ for any $i \neq j$ and all $\alpha > 0$. In this case the geometry of the tile determines its label uniquely, while otherwise one must consider tiles as pairs consisting of sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and labels in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and the notation becomes cumbersome, see also Remark 4.6.

It is helpful to consider the analogy to jigsaw puzzles, where the prototiles $\tau_\sigma$ are puzzles to be solved using the pieces in $\omega_\sigma$, and $\varrho_\sigma$ gives a solution $\varrho_\sigma(T_i)$ to each of the puzzles. We will refer to multiscale substitution schemes also as *substitution schemes*, and occasionally simply as *schemes*. Unless otherwise stated, all schemes are in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\tau_\sigma$ consists of $n$ prototiles $T_1, \ldots, T_n$.

**Figure 3.** A multiscale substitution scheme on two triangles $U$ and $D$.

**Example 2.2.** Figure 3 describes a multiscale substitution scheme $\sigma$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. The set of $n = 2$ prototiles $\tau_\sigma = (U, D)$ consists of an equilateral triangle $U$ directed up and an equilateral $D$ triangle directed down, both of volume 1. The tessellations $\varrho_\sigma(U), \varrho_\sigma(D)$ are illustrated on the right-hand side of the figure. For example, $\omega_\sigma(U)$ consists of three copies of $\frac{2}{5} U$, five copies of $\frac{1}{5} U$, four copies of $\frac{1}{5} D$ and a single copy of $\frac{2}{5} D$.

**Remark 2.3.** Substitution schemes can also be defined so that tiles in $\varrho_\sigma(T_i)$ are only assumed to be isometric to the tiles of substitution, instead of the stronger restriction of being their translations. Examples include the substitution schemes that generate Sadun’s generalized pinwheel tilings, see [Sa1], and we note that many of the constructions and results discussed below may be extended also to this more general construction.
**Definition 2.4.** A substitution scheme is *normalized* if all prototiles are of unit volume. Two substitution schemes are said to be *equivalent* if their prototile sets consist of the same tiles up to scale changes, and the tessellations of the prototiles prescribed by the substitution rules are the same up to an appropriate change of scales.

Geometric objects such as patches, tilings and sequences of partitions that are defined using equivalent substitution schemes are identical up to a rescaling by some positive constant. Clearly, every equivalence class of schemes contains a unique normalized scheme. The geometric nature of our construction implies the following result.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let $\sigma$ be a normalized substitution scheme. For every $i = 1, \ldots, n$ the constants $\alpha_{ij}^k$ satisfy the following algebraic equations:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{ij}} \left( \alpha_{ij}^{(k)} \right)^d = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{ij}} \vol(\alpha_{ij}^{(k)}T_j) = \vol(T_i) = 1.$$

Given a substitution scheme $\sigma$, the constants $\alpha_{ij}^{(k)}$ associated with the equivalent normalized scheme are called the *constants of substitution*. From here on, all substitution schemes are assumed to be normalized.

### 2.2. Graphs associated with multiscale substitution schemes

Denote by $G = (V, E, l)$ a directed weighted multigraph with a set of vertices $V$ and a set of weighted edges $E$, with positive weights which are regarded as lengths. A *path* in $G$ is a directed walk on the edges of $G$ that originates and terminates at vertices of $G$. A *metric path* in $G$ is a directed walk on edges of $G$ that does not necessarily originate or terminate at vertices of $G$. An edge of weight $a$ is equipped with a linear parametrization by the interval $[0, a]$, and the parametrization is used to define the *path distance* $l$ on edges, paths and metric paths in $G$. In our terminology an edge is assumed to contain its terminal vertex but not its initial one, that is, every vertex is seen as a point contained in each of its incoming edges. The path of length zero with initial vertex $i$ is assumed to consist only of the vertex $i$.

We now define the graph associated with a substitution scheme.

**Definition 2.6.** Given a substitution scheme $\sigma$, the *associated graph* $G_\sigma$ is the following directed weighted graph. The vertices $V = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ are defined according to the prototiles $\tau_\sigma = \{T_1, \ldots, T_n\}$, where the vertex $i \in V$ is associated with the prototile $T_i \in \tau_\sigma$. The edges $E$ are defined according to the tiles of substitution in $\omega_\sigma$, where the edge $\epsilon \in E$ associated with the tile $\alpha T_j \in \omega_\sigma(T_i)$ has initial vertex $i$, terminal vertex $j$ and is of length $l(\epsilon) := \log \frac{1}{\alpha}$.

Note that $G_\sigma$ depends only on the elements of $\omega_\sigma(T_i)$ for $T_i \in \tau_\sigma$, and not on the specific configuration in which they appear in the patches $\rho_\sigma(T_i)$. In other words, $G_\sigma$ can be thought of as the abelianization of $\sigma$, just as the substitution matrix is the abelianization of a fixed scale scheme, see e.g. [BG]. We also remark that if $\sigma$ is a fixed scale scheme, the lengths of all the edges in $G_\sigma$ are the same and the adjacency matrix of $G_\sigma$, when thought of as a combinatorial graph, is precisely the substitution matrix of $\sigma$.

**Example 2.7.** The graph associated with the square substitution scheme described in the introduction is illustrated in Figure 4. It consists of a single vertex associated with the single prototile $S$, and self loops associated with the tiles of substitution. There is
a single loop of length $\log \frac{5}{3}$ associated with the larger square $\frac{2}{5}S \in \omega_\sigma(S)$ and sixteen distinct loops of length $\log 5$ associated with the sixteen smaller squares $\frac{1}{5}S \in \omega_\sigma(S)$.

**Figure 4.** The graph associated with the substitution scheme on the square $S$. The large arrow stands for 16 distinct loops of length $\log 5$.

**Example 2.8.** Figure 5 illustrates the graph associated with the triangle substitution scheme described in Figure 3. It consists of $n = 2$ vertices associated with the prototiles $(U, D)$. Edges associated with tiles in $\omega_\sigma(U)$ initiate from the vertex on the left, and edges associated with tiles that are rescaled copies of $D$ terminate at the vertex on the right.

**Figure 5.** The graph associated with the substitution scheme on the triangles $U$ and $D$. Multiple arrows stand for multiple distinct edges.

**Remark 2.9.** Given a substitution scheme $\sigma$, the graph associated with any equivalent scheme can be derived from $G_\sigma$ by sliding its vertices along its paths so that the lengths of all closed paths is not changed. For a general discussion and additional examples of graphs associated with substitution schemes see [Sm, §4].

2.3. The substitution semi-flow and the generating patches. We now introduce important elements in the definition and study of multiscale substitution tilings.

**Definition 2.10.** Let $\sigma$ be a substitution scheme. The substitution semi-flow $F_t(T_i)$, where $t \in \mathbb{R} \geq 0$ is referred to as time, defines a family of patches in the following way. At $t = 0$, set $F_0(T_i) = T_i$, which is a patch consisting of a single tile. As $t$ increases, inflate the patch by a factor $e^t$, and substitute tiles of volume larger than 1 according to the substitution rule $\varrho_\sigma$. Equivalently, $F_t(T_i)$ is the patch supported on $e^tT_i$, which is the
result of the repeated substitution of $e'T_i$ and all subsequent tiles with volume greater than 1 in $e'T_i$, until all tiles in the patch are of unit volume or less.

Fix a position of $T_i$ so that the origin of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is an interior point, and denote

$$\mathcal{P}_i := \{ F_t(T_i) : t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathbb{R}^+ := \{ t \geq 0 : t \in \mathbb{R} \}$. Note that $\mathcal{P}_i$ exhausts $\mathbb{R}^d$. The patches $\mathcal{P}_\sigma := \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_i$ are called the generating patches of the scheme $\sigma$.

**Example 2.11.** Consider the substitution semi-flow associated with the substitution scheme on the two triangles $U,D$ as illustrated in Figure 3. The patches illustrated in Figure 6 are the first elements of $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ with the property that a tile of unit volume appears in the patch. The times $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ in which they appear are also given, and note that the patch $F_t(U)$ is supported on a triangle of side length $e^t$.

![Figure 6](image_url)

**Figure 6.** The patches $F_t(U)$ and $F_t(D)$ for the first values of $t$ for which the patches contain tiles of unit volume.

A very useful observation is that the substitution semi-flow can be modeled by the flow along the edges of the associated graph $G_\sigma$, and that tiles in a patch in $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ correspond to metric paths in $G_\sigma$. This correspondence is summarized in the following proposition, which follows directly from our definitions.

**Proposition 2.12.** Let $\sigma$ be a substitution scheme with an associated graph $G_\sigma$. Let $T_i \in \tau_\sigma$, fix $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and consider a tile $T$ in the patch $F_t(T_i)$.

1. $T$ corresponds to a unique metric path $\gamma_T$ in $G_\sigma$ that originates at vertex $i$ and is of length $t$. The edges in $\gamma_T$ are determined according to the sequence of ancestors of $T$ under the substitution semi-flow.

2. If $T$ is of type $j$ and scale $\alpha = e^{-\delta}$, then $\gamma_T$ terminates at a point on an edge $\varepsilon$ with terminal vertex $j$, and the termination point is of distance $\delta = \log \frac{1}{\alpha}$ from $j$.

3. If $\gamma_T$ is of length $t$ and terminates exactly at vertex $j$, then $T$ is a tile of type $j$ and unit volume.
Corollary 2.13. For every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the patch $F_t(T_i) \in \mathcal{P}_i$ corresponds to the family of all metric paths of length $t$ that originate at vertex $i$, and every path in $G_{\sigma}$ that originates at vertex $i$ and is of length $s > t$ is the continuation of exactly one of these paths.

Example 2.14. A very simple but fundamental family of multiscale substitution schemes are the so-called $\alpha$-Kakutani schemes in $\mathbb{R}$, for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, which can be shown to generate the $\alpha$-Kakutani sequences of partitions of the unit interval, first introduced in [Ka]. The unit interval $I$ is the single prototile, and it is substituted by two intervals, one of length $\alpha$ and the other of length $1 - \alpha$. For $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, the associated graph in this example consists of a single vertex associated with the unit interval $I$, and two loops - the longer one, of length $\log_3 3$, is associated with the interval $\frac{1}{3} I \in g_{\alpha}(I)$, and the shorter, of length $\log_3 \frac{2}{3}$, is associated with $\frac{2}{3} I \in g_{\alpha}(I)$. We remark that Frank and Sadun’s one dimensional fusion tiling that was extensively studied in [FS2, §A.5] and mentioned in the introduction can be generated by the $\frac{1}{3}$-Kakutani scheme.

Figure 7 concerns with the $\frac{1}{3}$-Kakutani substitution scheme, and illustrates the first three elements of $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ with the property that a tile (interval) of unit volume appears in the patch, together with the metric paths associated with the tiles comprising the patches. The right-most interval in each patch corresponds to the top path beneath it. Note that the patch on the left is $F_0(I)$ and so the single tile in it corresponds to the single metric path of zero length. Also note that tiles of volume 1 correspond to metric paths that terminate at the vertex.

3. Incommensurable substitution schemes

The following fundamental properties of substitution schemes are easier to present in terms of the substitution semi-flow and the associated graph.

Definition 3.1. A substitution scheme $\sigma$ is irreducible if for any $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ there exists $t > 0$ so that $F_t(T_i)$ contains a tile of type $j$. 
In terms of the associated graph $G_{\sigma}$, this is equivalent to the statement that $G_{\sigma}$ is strongly connected, that is, for any $i, j \in V = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ there exists a path with initial vertex $i$ and terminal vertex $j$. We remark that this definition coincides with the definition of this notion in the fixed scale setup, see e.g. [BG §2.4]. From here on all schemes are assumed to be irreducible.

**Definition 3.2.** A substitution scheme $\sigma$ is incommensurable if there exist $T_i, T_j \in \tau_{\sigma}$ and two tiles of type $i$ and $j$ in patches in $\mathcal{P}_i$ and $\mathcal{P}_j$, respectively, which are of unit volume at times $t_1$ and $t_2$, with $t_1 \not\equiv Q t_2$. Otherwise, the scheme is called commensurable.

In terms of the associated graph $G_{\sigma}$, incommensurability is equivalent to having two closed paths in $G_{\sigma}$ of lengths $a$ and $b$, with $a \not\equiv Q b$, in which case $G_{\sigma}$ is said to be an incommensurable graph. This follows from part (3) of Proposition 2.12, because a tile $T$ of type $i$ and unit volume in $F(t_i)$ corresponds to a closed path in $G_{\sigma}$ with initial and terminal vertex $i$ and with length $t$. For equivalent definitions and more on incommensurability see §3.1.

In view of Remark 2.9, incommensurability does not depend on the choice of representative of the substitution scheme equivalence class, in the sense of Definition 2.4. Note that examples of incommensurable schemes are quite easy to come up with. In fact, incommensurability can be thought of as typical property of substitution schemes, in the sense that for a naive choice of substitution rules, the resulting scheme is incommensurable.

**Example 3.3.** The substitution schemes illustrated in Figures 2 and 3 are both normalized, since all prototiles are assumed to be of unit volume. In addition they are both irreducible and incommensurable. This can be easily verified by the graphs illustrated in Figures 4 and 5, as both graphs are strongly connected, and both contain pairs of loops of incommensurable lengths.

**Remark 3.4.** Commensurable schemes include all fixed scale substitution schemes, which are the schemes in which all constants of substitution are equal. The Rauzy fractal scheme introduced in [Rau] can be viewed as an example of a commensurable multiscale substitution scheme on a single prototile, which is not of fixed scale. For a further discussion and illustrated examples see [Sm].

### 3.1. Equivalent definitions of incommensurability

As we focus in the coming sections on incommensurable substitution schemes, we now present some useful equivalent conditions to incommensurability of graphs and schemes.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $G$ be a strongly connected directed weighted graph. The following are equivalent:

1. The graph $G$ is incommensurable.
2. Every vertex in $G$ is contained in two closed paths of incommensurable lengths.
3. The set of lengths of closed paths in $G$ is not a uniformly discrete\(^1\) subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

**Proof.** (1) $\iff$ (2) It is enough to show that there exist two closed paths of incommensurable lengths that pass through all vertices of $G$. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be two closed paths of lengths $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \not\equiv Q b$, and assume that $\alpha$ passes through vertex $i$ and $\beta$ passes through vertex $j$. Since $G$ is strongly connected, there exists a closed path $\gamma$ that passes through all the vertices in $G$, and we denote the length of this path by $c$.

\(^1\)A set $S$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is uniformly discrete if $\inf \{ \text{dist}(x, y) : x, y \in S \} > 0$, where $\text{dist}$ is Euclidean distance.
Lemma 3.8. Let \( a + c \) and \( b + c \) are of lengths \( a + c, a + 2c \) and \( b + c \). By direct calculation,

\[
\frac{a + c}{b + c} \in \mathbb{Q} \quad \frac{a + 2c}{b + c} \notin \mathbb{Q},
\]

for otherwise \( \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \), which is a contradiction. We conclude that either the paths of lengths \( a + c \) and \( b + c \) or those of lengths \( a + 2c \) and \( b + c \) constitute a pair of closed paths of incommensurable lengths that pass through all vertices of \( G \). The converse is trivial.

(1) \( \iff \) (3) If there exist two closed paths in \( G \) of lengths \( a, b \) such that \( a \notin Qb \), then for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exist \( p, q \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( |aq - pb| < \varepsilon \), and so the set of lengths of closed paths in \( G \) is not uniformly discrete.

Conversely, assume that \( G \) is commensurable, that is, \( a \notin Qb \) for any two lengths \( a, b \) of closed paths in \( G \). Since \( G \) is a finite graph, there is a finite set \( L \) of lengths for which the length of any closed path in \( G \) is a linear combination with integer coefficients of elements in \( L \). It follows that there exists some \( c > 0 \) so that every closed path is of length which is an integer multiple of \( c \), and so the set of lengths of closed paths is uniformly discrete. □

Definition 3.6. Given a substitution scheme \( \sigma \), denote

\[
\mathcal{I}_{i \to j} := \{ t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \text{a tile of type } j \text{ and unit volume appears in } F_t (T_i) \},
\]

and set \( \mathcal{I}_i := \bigcup_{j=1}^n \mathcal{I}_{i \to j} \).

Irreducibility of \( \sigma \) implies that the sets \( \mathcal{I}_{i \to j} \) are all infinite. Note that by Proposition 2.12 an equivalent definition is

\[
\mathcal{I}_{i \to j} = \{ t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \text{a path of length } t, \text{origin } i \text{ and termination } j \text{ appears in } G_\sigma \}.
\]

Example 3.7. The times \( t \) that appear in Figure 6 are the smallest elements in the sets \( \mathcal{I}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{I}_2 \), where \( T_1 \) is the triangle \( U \), and \( T_2 \) is the triangle \( D \).

Lemma 3.8. Let \( \sigma \) be an irreducible substitution scheme, let \( T_i \in \tau_\sigma \) and let \( s_1 < s_2 < \ldots \) be an increasing enumeration of the set \( \mathcal{I}_{i \to i} \). Then \( \sigma \) is incommensurable if and only if

\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} s_{m+1} - s_m = 0.
\]

Proof. Assume that \( \sigma \) is incommensurable, and let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). By Lemma 3.5 the associated graph \( G_\sigma \) has two closed paths \( \alpha, \beta \) through vertex \( i \), which are of lengths \( a < b \) with \( a \notin Qb \). Therefore, there exists some \( M \in \mathbb{N} \) so that the set

\[
\{ ma (\text{mod } b) : m \in \{1, \ldots, M\} \}
\]

is \( \varepsilon \)-dense in \([0, b)\).

For each \( m = 1, \ldots, M \) let \( k_m \in \mathbb{N} \) be such that \( 0 \leq ma - k_mb < b \). Since \( a < b \) we have \( k_m < M \) for every \( m \). Then the set

\[
\{Mb + (ma - k_mb) : m \in \{1, \ldots, M\}\}
\]

is \( \varepsilon \)-dense in \([Mb, (M + 1)b)\), and hence the set

\[
A := \{Nb + (ma - k_mb) : m \in \{1, \ldots, M\}, M \leq N \in \mathbb{N}\}
\]

is \( \varepsilon \)-dense in the ray \([Mb, \infty)\). On the other hand, \( A \subset \mathcal{I}_{i \to i} \), since \( Nb + (ma - k_mb) \) is the length of a closed path in \( G_\sigma \) that consists of \( m \) walks along \( \alpha \) and \( N - k_m > 0 \) walks along \( \beta \). Since \( \varepsilon \) is arbitrary, the assertion follows.

The converse follows directly from Lemma 3.5. □
Corollary 3.9. Fix $T_i, T_j \in \tau_\sigma$, and let $s_1 < s_2 < \ldots$ be an increasing enumeration of the set $\mathcal{I}_{i-j}$. Then $\sigma$ is incommensurable if and only if
\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} s_{m+1} - s_m = 0.
\]
Proof. Irreducibility implies that for every $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ there is some $t > 0$ so that a tile of type $j$ and unit volume appears in $F_t(T_i)$. So $s + t \in \mathcal{I}_{i-j}$ whenever $s \in \mathcal{I}_{i-i}$, and the assertion follows. \hfill \Box

4. Construction of multiscale substitution tilings and tiling spaces

Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of closed subsets of the metric space $(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{dist})$, where $\text{dist}$ is the Euclidean distance. Define a metric $D$ on $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by
\[
D(A_1, A_2) := \inf \left\{ r > 0 : A_1 \cap B(0, 1/r) \subset A_2^+ r \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 \cap B(0, 1/r) \subset A_1^+ r \right\} \cup \{1\},
\]
where $A^+ r$ stands for the $r$-neighborhood of the set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and $B(x, R)$ is the open ball of radius $R > 0$ centered at $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, both with respect to the metric $\text{dist}$. The topology induced by the metric $D$ is called the Chabauty–Fell topology, and in the context of tiling spaces it is often called the local rubber topology. It follows that $D$ is a complete metric on $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and the space $(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d), D)$ is compact, see e.g. [dH], [LSt] for this and more concerning this topology.

Given a patch $P$ or a tiling $T$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$, it can be identified with the union of the boundaries of its tiles, denoted by $\partial P$ and $\partial T$, respectively. With this identification, the elements of $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$, as well as tilings of unbounded regions, are viewed as elements of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and the metric $D$ can be applied. The following result is straightforward from the definitions.

Proposition 4.1. Let $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be two closed subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$, and assume that
\[
D(T_1, T_2) < \varepsilon
\]
for some $\varepsilon > 0$. If $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a vector of Euclidean norm $\|v\| \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}$, then
\[
D(T_1 - v, T_2 - v) < 2\varepsilon.
\]

Note that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $T_i \in \tau_\sigma$, the patch $F_t(T_i)$ contains finitely many tiles, all of which are of volume at most 1. We deduce the following result from our definition of the substitution semi-flow.

Proposition 4.2. Fix $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$. The function $t \mapsto D(F_t(T_i), F_{t_0}(T_i))$, defined on $\mathbb{R}^+$, is left-continuous at $t_0$, that is,
\[
\lim_{t \to t_0^-} D(F_t(T_i), F_{t_0}(T_i)) = 0.
\]

4.1. The multiscale tiling space. The multiscale tiling space generated by a substitution scheme $\sigma$ is the space of all tilings $T$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the property that every sub-patch of $T$ is a limit of translated sub-patches of elements in $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ with respect to the metric $D$. The multiscale tiling space is denoted by $X^F_\sigma$ and its elements are called multiscale substitution tilings. We will often refer to $X^F_\sigma$ simply as the tiling space. Following the terminology of [LS2], we refer to patches of tilings in $X^F_\sigma$ that are sub-patches of elements of $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ as legal patches, and to the rest of the patches as admitted in the limit.

The following Proposition 4.3 provides an equivalent definition for the tiling space $X^F_\sigma$. 

Proposition 4.3. Let $\sigma$ be a substitution scheme. Then
\[
X_\sigma^F = \left\{ \mathcal{T} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_k + v_k : \mathcal{P}_k \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ and } \mathcal{T} \text{ tiles } \mathbb{R}^d \right\},
\] (4.2)
where limits are taken with respect to the metric $D$.

**Proof.** Denote by $Y$ the right-hand side of (4.2). To see that $X_\sigma^F \subset Y$, take $\mathcal{T} \in X_\sigma^F$ and set $Q_k = [B(0,k)]^\mathcal{T}$, the patch that consists of all tiles in $\mathcal{T}$ that intersect the ball of radius $k$ around the origin. On the one hand, clearly $D(\mathcal{T}, Q_k) \leq 1/k$ by definition of the metric $D$. On the other hand, by definition of the space $X_\sigma^F$, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the patch $Q_k$ is obtained as a limit of the form $\lim_{j \to \infty} \mathcal{R}_j + u_j$, where $\mathcal{R}_j$ are sub-patches of patches $\mathcal{P}_j \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma$ and $u_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$. It follows that there exists $j_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D(Q_k, \mathcal{P}_j + u_j) < 1/k$ for any $j \geq j_k$. Combining the above we get $\mathcal{T} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_j + u_j$, and so $\mathcal{T} \in Y$.

Conversely, every sub-patch of a limiting object of the form $\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_k + v_k$ for $\mathcal{P}_k \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma$, $v_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is a limit of sub-patches of the patches $P_k + v_k$. Assuming additionally that $\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_k + v_k$ implies that the limit is in $X_\sigma^F$. □

**Corollary 4.4.** The space $X_\sigma^F$ is a closed, non-empty subset of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

**Proof.** To see that $X_\sigma^F \neq \emptyset$ one simply takes a limit of a converging sequence of patches $\mathcal{P}_k \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma$ whose supports exhaust $\mathbb{R}^d$. By compactness of the space $(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d), D)$ such sequences exist. To see that it is closed, let $\mathcal{T}_k \in X_\sigma^F$ be a sequence so that $\mathcal{T} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{T}_k$. For each $k$ let $\mathcal{P}_k \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma$, $v_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be so that $D(\mathcal{T}_k, \mathcal{P}_k + v_k) < 1/k$. Then $\mathcal{T} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_k + v_k$ and so $\mathcal{T} \in X_\sigma^F$. □

The following proposition is a simple exercise in convergence of compact sets with respect to the Hausdorff metric, and establishes a first simple result about tiles that appear in multiscale substitution tilings.

**Proposition 4.5.** Let $\sigma$ be a substitution scheme. Every tile of every $\mathcal{T} \in X_\sigma^F$ is similar to one of the prototiles in $\tau_\sigma$.

Notice that due to the nature of the metric $D$, even though in legal patches tiles of unit volume are not yet substituted, the tiling space $X_\sigma^F$ contains tilings in which they are already subdivided, that is, instead of a translated copy of $T_i \in \tau_\sigma$, there appears a translated copy of the patch $\varrho_\sigma(T_i)$. This is only possible in tilings that are admitted in the limit, hence they are negligible for most of our purposes, and certainly there are legal patches that come arbitrarily close to them.

**Remark 4.6.** In view of Proposition 4.5 it is possible to assign labels (or equivalently colors) to every tile of every tiling in $X_\sigma^F$. Under the assumption that distinct prototiles are geometrically different, that is, that $T_i \neq \alpha T_j$ for any $i \neq j$ and all $\alpha > 0$, this can be done in a unique way. Relaxing this assumption, there may exist multiple possible labelings. In such a case we can define a space of labeled tilings as a product space of $X_\sigma^F$, and consider the natural metric on this product space, equipped with an additional discrete component that takes into account that labeled patches are close not only if they are close geometrically, but also only if their corresponding tiles have matching labels. Then we may restrict to the sub-space of all limits of translations of sub-patches of labeled elements of $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ with respect to this refined metric, to define the labeled tiling space generated by $\sigma$. We note that this is similar in nature to the space of Delone $\kappa$-sets considered in [LSQ]. All results of this paper hold for such labeled tilings as well, with similar proofs, but the notations become rather cumbersome. Therefore, for simplicity of presentation,
we assume that prototiles are geometrically different and proceed to work with the metric $D$ and the space $\mathcal{X}_\sigma^F$ as defined above.

4.2. The substitution semi-flow on $\mathcal{X}_\sigma^F$ and stationary tilings. Consider a substitution scheme $\sigma$ and a tiling $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{X}_\sigma^F$. By Proposition 4.5, every tile $T$ in $\mathcal{T}$ is a rescaled copy of a prototile in $\tau_\sigma$. The substitution semi-flow $F_t$, which was defined for prototiles in Definition 2.10, can thus be naturally extended to tiles in $\mathcal{T}$ and to patches in $\mathcal{T}$, and so to the entire tiling $\mathcal{T}$. It follows that for every $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{X}_\sigma^F$ one has:

1. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $F_t(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathcal{X}_\sigma^F$.
2. For any $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $F_t(F_s(\mathcal{T})) = F_{t+s}(\mathcal{T})$.

Thus, the substitution semi-flow defines a semi-flow on the space $\mathcal{X}_\sigma^F$. Also note that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$F_s(\mathcal{T} - x) = F_s(\mathcal{T}) - e^s x,$$

(4.3)

which brings to mind the relationship between the geodesic and horospheric flows in homogeneous dynamics. Theorem 4.7 below establishes the existence of non-trivial periodic orbits for the substitution semi-flow.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible substitution scheme. There exist an $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and a tiling $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{X}_\sigma^F$ so that $F_s(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$.

**Proof.** Irreducibility of $\sigma$ implies that for every $i$ there are infinitely many $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for which the patch $F_t(T_i)$ contains a tile of type $i$ that does not share its boundary. It follows that there are infinitely many $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau_\sigma}$ for which the patch $F_t(T_i)$ contains a translate of $T_i$ that does not share its boundary. For any such $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau_\sigma}$ there exists a unique location in which $T_i$ can be initially positioned around the origin, so that the inflated patch $F_s(T_i)$ contains the patch $T_i = F_0(T_i)$ as a sub-patch with support in that very same location. Note that under our assumption, this control point is an interior point of $T_i$. In such a case also $F_{2s}(T_i)$ contains $F_i(T_i)$ as a sub-patch, and more generally the patch $F_{ks}(T_i)$ contains $F_{(k-1)s}(T_i)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, that is, the patches $F_{ks}(T_i)$ define a nested sequence of patches. Therefore, the union

$$\mathcal{S} := \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} F_{ks}(T_i)$$

is a tiling in $\mathcal{X}_\sigma^F$, and it clearly satisfies $F_s(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$. \hfill \square

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be as above, then for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$

$$F_s(F_a(\mathcal{S})) = F_a(F_s(\mathcal{S})) = F_a(\mathcal{S})$$

(4.4)

and $F_a(\mathcal{S}) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} F_{a+ks}(T_i)$, which leads us to the following definition.

**Definition 4.8.** A tiling $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{X}_\sigma^F$ of the form

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} F_{a+ks}(T_i),$$

(4.5)

where $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau_\sigma}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $F_{a+ks}(T_i)$ define a nested sequence of patches, is called a stationary tiling. As in the construction in the proof of Theorem 4.7, we assume throughout that the origin is an interior point of $T_i$. We also assume that $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau_\sigma}$ is minimal in the sense that the associated closed path in $G_\sigma$ is a prime orbit, that is, not the concatenation of multiple copies of a single closed orbit.
We remark that in fact there are infinitely many values of \( s \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) for which there are tilings \( S \in \mathcal{X}_d^f \) that satisfy \( F_s(S) = S \). Moreover, in view of (4.4), for every \( P \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma \) there exists a stationary tiling that contains a translate of \( P \) as a sub-patch. In addition, every stationary tiling can be represented as

\[
S = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} F_{ks}(T),
\]

where \( T \) is some rescaled copy of a prototile.

**Example 4.9.** Once again we consider the square substitution scheme with \( \tau_\sigma = (S) \), illustrated in Figure 1 and discussed in previous examples. The large square in the middle of the patch \( \varrho_\sigma pS_\sigma q \) is associated with the single loop of length \( \log \frac{5}{3} \) in the associated graph \( G_\sigma \) illustrated in Figure 4. It follows that for \( s = \log \frac{5}{3} \) and the initial positioning of \( S \) so that the origin is in the center of the square, we can define a sequence of patches \( F_{ks}(S) = F_{k \log (5/3)}(S) \) with support \( e^{ks} = (5/3)^k \) so that the patch \( F_{ks}(S) \) contains \( F_{(k-1)s}(S) \) for every \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). For example, the patches illustrated in Figure 8 are the \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, 6 \) elements of the nested sequence.

**Example 4.10.** The three patches illustrated in Figure 9 are the \( k = 0, 1 \) and 2 elements of the nested sequence of patches of the stationary tiling construction associated with the choice of the central copy of \( \frac{1}{2} U \) in \( \varrho_\sigma(U) \), where \( \sigma \) is the substitution scheme on two triangles illustrated in Figure 3.

**Remark 4.11.** Stationary tilings can be generated using the more general definition of substitution schemes in which isometries are allowed in the substitution rule, and not only translations, see Remark 2.3. Assume \( F_s(T_\iota) \) contains a copy of \( \varphi(T_\iota) \), where \( \varphi \) is an isometry of \( \mathbb{R}^d \). The patch \( \varphi^{-1}(F_s(T_\iota)) \) contains a copy of \( T_\iota \) as a sub-patch, and similarly as described above, we get a sequence of patches \( \varphi^{-k}(F_{ks}(T_\iota)) \) which define a stationary tiling \( T \) in the sense that \( \varphi^{-1}(F_s(T)) = T \). Generalized pinwheel tilings, that were introduced and studied in a most illuminating way in [Sa1], can be formulated as stationary tilings in this way, with \( \varphi \) taken to be rotations by an appropriate angle.
Figure 9. The patches $F_0(U)$, $F_{1\log 5}(U)$ and $F_{2\log 5}(U)$, the first three elements of the nested sequence of patches that define a stationary tiling.

We end this section with a remark on tilings generated by commensurable schemes.

**Remark 4.12.** Let $\sigma$ be a (not necessarily normalized) fixed scale scheme with scaling constant $\alpha$, then all edges in the associated graph $G_\sigma$ are of length $\log \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Define a type of substitution semi-flow $F_t$ in which the tiles are substituted simultaneously when they all reach the volumes of the original prototiles they are associated with. The patches $F_{k\log(1/\alpha)}(T_i)$ are simply the patches $(1/\alpha)^k g^k_{\alpha}(T_i)$, and all patches in $\mathcal{P}_i$ are inflations of such patches for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Recall that in the classical theory of fixed scale tilings, the well studied tiling space, which we denote here by $X_\sigma$, can be defined using the patches $(1/\alpha)^k g^k_{\alpha}(T_i)$, see [BG] and references within. It follows that in such a case, the space $X_\sigma^F$, defined using the semi-flow $F_t$, can be expressed simply as the product $X_\sigma \times \{\alpha,1\}$. It was shown in [Sm] that Kakutani sequences of partitions generated by commensurable schemes can be represented as subsequences of generation sequences of partitions generated by fixed scale schemes. In our setting and language, this simply means that given a commensurable scheme $\sigma$ there exists a fixed scale scheme $\widehat{\sigma}$ so that $X_\sigma^F = X_{\widehat{\sigma}}^F$, and so for the study of commensurable multiscale tilings, one should refer to results on standard fixed scale substitution tilings and the standard spaces of tilings. As we will see below, incommensurable tilings and multiscale tiling spaces differ from the classical setup in various ways.
5. Scales and complexity in stationary tilings

From here on all schemes are assumed to be incommensurable. We show that an incommensurable stationary tiling has tiles in a set of scales which is dense within a certain interval of scales. In particular, it is of infinite local complexity, see e.g. [BG].

**Definition 5.1.** Given a substitution scheme \( \sigma \) and a prototile \( T_j \in \tau_\sigma \), denote
\[
\beta_j^{\min} := \min \{ \alpha : \exists i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, g_\sigma(T_i) \text{ contains a copy of } \alpha T_j \}. \tag{5.1}
\]
The interval \((\beta_j^{\min}, 1]\) is called the interval of legal scales of tiles of type \( j \). Note that the prototiles \( T_i, T_j \in \tau_\sigma \) on the right-hand side of (5.1) are assumed to be of unit volume. A tile of legal type and scale \( \beta_j^{\min} \) is any translated copy of \( \alpha T_j \) for \( T_j \in \tau_\sigma \) and \( \alpha \in (\beta_j^{\min}, 1] \). Note that tiles of type \( j \) and scale \( \beta_j^{\min} \) can appear in tilings that are admitted in the limit, but never in any legal patch or in a (translation of a) stationary tiling.

Recall that a fixed scale substitution scheme is called primitive if there exists \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) so that all types of tiles belong to the patch that is the result of \( k \) applications of the substitution rule on any initial prototile. The following theorem demonstrates how incommensurability takes the part of primitivity in multiscale substitution tilings. It also plays an important role in the proof of minimality of the tiling dynamical system in [S].

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \( \sigma \) be an irreducible incommensurable substitution scheme, let \( S \in \mathcal{X}_\sigma^F \) and \( s \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) be so that \( S = F_s(S) \), and let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Then there exists \( K \in \mathbb{N} \) so that for every integer \( k \geq K \), a tile \( T \in S \) and \( j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), the set
\[
\text{Scales}(e^{ks}T, j) := \{ \alpha : \text{the patch supported on } e^{ks}T \text{ in } F_{ks}(S) = S \text{ contains a copy of } \alpha T_j \}
\]
is \( \varepsilon \)-dense in \((\beta_j^{\min}, 1]\). In particular, the set of scales in which tiles of each type appear in stationary tilings is dense within their intervals of legal scales.

**Proof.** Since every tile \( T \) in \( S \) is a translated copy of \( \alpha T_i \) for some \( T_i \in \tau_\sigma \) and some \( \alpha \in (\beta_i^{\min}, 1] \), the patch supported on \( e^{ks}T \) is a translated copy of \( F_{ks}(\alpha T_i) = F_{ks-\log(1/\alpha)}(T_i) \). Note that since \( F_s(S) = S \), the set \( e^{ks}T \) is indeed a support of a patch in \( S \).

Fix \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \). We show that there exists \( K_j \in \mathbb{N} \) so that \( \text{Scales}(e^{ks}T, j) \cap (c, c + \varepsilon] \neq \emptyset \) for every \( c \in (\beta_j^{\min}, 1 - \varepsilon] \), every tile \( T \in S \), and every integer \( k \geq K_j \). Set \( \ell = \ell(j) \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) so that \( g_\sigma(T_i) \) contains a copy of \( \beta_j^{\min} T_j \). First observe that for every \( c \in (\beta_j^{\min}, 1 - \varepsilon] \) and \( t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \), the following implication holds:
\[
F_t(T_i) \text{ contains a copy of } T_{\ell} \quad \implies \quad F_{t + \log c - \log \beta_j^{\min}}(T_i) \text{ contains a copy of } F_{\log c - \log \beta_j^{\min}}(\beta_j^{\min} T_j) = c T_j \tag{5.2}
\]
For \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) let \( s_1(i) < s_2(i) < \ldots \) be an increasing enumeration of \( \mathcal{S}_{i-\ell} \), then by Corollary 3.9
\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} s_{m+1}(i) - s_m(i) = 0.
\]
It follows that for every \( \delta > 0 \) there exists \( K_j \in \mathbb{N} \) so that for every \( k \geq K_j, i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, \alpha \in (\beta_i^{\min}, 1] \) and \( c \in (\beta_j^{\min}, 1 - \varepsilon] \) there exists some \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) with
\[
ks - \log c - \log \beta_j^{\min} - \delta < s_m(i) + \log(1/\alpha) \leq ks - \log c + \log \beta_j^{\min}. \tag{5.3}
\]
Put \( h := s_m(i) + \log(1/\alpha) + \log c - \log \beta_j^{\min} \), then (5.3) simply says \( h \in (ks - \delta, ks] \).

Suppose that \( T \) is of type \( i \) and scale \( \alpha \). Since \( s_m(i) \in \mathcal{S}_{i-\ell} \), the patch \( F_{s_m(i) + \log(1/\alpha)}(T) = F_{s_m(i)}(T_i) \) contains a copy of \( T_{\ell} \). By the implication in (5.2), \( F_h(T) \) contains a copy of \( c T_j \).
Write \( \eta := ks - h \), then \( \eta < \delta \) and \( F_{ks}(T) = F_{\eta}(F_h(T)) \) contains a copy of \( F_{\eta}(cT_j) = e^\eta cT_j \), where the equality holds whenever \( \delta \) is small enough. In particular, for \( \delta \leq \log (1 + \varepsilon / \beta) \), where \( \beta := \min_i \beta^\text{min}_j \), we have \( e^\eta c \in (c, c + \varepsilon] \), because \( c \geq \beta \). Taking \( k = \max_j K_j \) completes the proof.

5.1. Tile complexity. Let \( \sigma \) be an irreducible substitution scheme, and consider a stationary tiling \( S = \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty F_{ks}(T) \in \mathbb{X}_\sigma^F \). For every \( k \geq 0 \), denote by \( c_S(j)(k) \) the number of distinct scales in which a tile of type \( j \) appears in \( F_{ks}(T) \), and set \( c_S(k) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_S(j)(k) \). So \( c_S(k) \) is the number of distinct tiles up to translation in \( F_{ks}(T) \), and \( c_S(k) \) is called the tile complexity function of \( S \). Note that it is well defined because \( s \) is assumed to be minimal with the property \( F_s(S) = S \). Since \( F_{ks}(T) \) is a nested sequence of patches, clearly \( c_S(k) \) is non-decreasing.

Theorem 5.3. Let \( \sigma \) be an irreducible substitution scheme and let \( S = \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty F_{ks}(T) \in \mathbb{X}_\sigma^F \) be a stationary tiling. If \( c_S(\ell + 1) = c_S(\ell) \) for some \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( c_S(k) = c_S(\ell) \) for all \( k \geq \ell \). Moreover, this is the case if and only if the scheme \( \sigma \) is commensurable.

Proof. Assume \( c_S(\ell + 1) = c_S(\ell) \). This means that every type and scale that appears in the patch \( F_{(\ell+1)s}(T) \) also appears in the patch \( F_{\ell s}(T) \). Since the tiles in \( F_{(\ell+1)s}(T) \) are all sub-tiles in patches defined by applying \( F_{\ell s}(T) \), we deduce that applying \( F_{\ell s}(T) \) to the tiles of \( F_{(\ell+1)s}(T) \) defines a patch with tiles of the same set of types and scales. Therefore, repeated applications of \( F_s \) will always result with patches whose types are of the same types and scales, and so \( c_S(k) = c_S(\ell) \) for every \( k \geq \ell \).

For the second part of the theorem, if \( \sigma \) is incommensurable then Theorem 5.2 in particular implies that \( c_S(k) \) tends to infinity. Conversely, assume \( c_S(k) \) is strictly increasing, then in particular it is unbounded as an increasing sequence of integers. If \( \sigma \) is commensurable, then Remark 4.12 implies that there exists a standard substitution tiling \( T \) generated by a fixed scale substitution scheme, so that for any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) the patches \( F_{ks}(T) \) are sub-patches of \( T \) (see also \[Sm\] Theorem 7.2). Since the fixed scale substitution tiling \( T \) has only finitely many tiles up to translation, the complexity function \( c_S(k) \) is bounded, contradicting our assumption.

Given a finite alphabet \( \mathcal{A} \) and an infinite sequence \( u \in \mathcal{A}^\mathbb{N} \), the cardinality \( p_k(u) \) of the set of words in \( u \) of length \( k \) defines the complexity function of \( u \). The statement of Theorem 5.3 resembles that of the well-known result that a sequence \( u \in \mathcal{A}^\mathbb{N} \) is periodic if and only if there exists \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) for which \( p_k(u) = p_{k+1}(u) \), see e.g. \[BG\] Proposition 4.11. Non-periodic sequences with minimal complexity function \( p_k(u) = k + 1 \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) are called Sturmian sequences. The following Proposition 5.4 establishes the existence of “Sturmian” incommensurable stationary tilings.

Proposition 5.4. There exist incommensurable stationary tilings so that for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) we have \( c_S(k + 1) = c_S(k) + 1 \).

Proof. Let \( S \) be the stationary tiling with square tiles, constructed in Example 4.9 using the substitution scheme on the unit square \( S \). Here \( s = \log(5/3) \) and \( T = S \), and we denote by \( S_k \) the patch \( F_{k \log(5/3)}(S) \). Clearly \( c_S(0) = 1 \), and from Figure 8 we deduce \( c_S(1) = 2 \). Assuming, by induction, that \( c_S(m) = m + 1 \) for all \( 1 \leq m < k \), we prove that \( c_S(k) = k + 1 \).

Since \( S \) is stationary, for every \( m \) the set of \( c_S(m) \) different scales that appear in \( F_{ms}(T_i) \) contains the \( c_S(m-1) \) scales that appear in \( F_{(m-1)s}(T_i) \). In particular, using the induction
hypothesis, the set of $k$ scales that appear in $S_{k-1}$ consists of the $k-1$ scales that appear in $S_{k-2}$, and one additional scale $\alpha \in \left(\frac{1}{5}, 1\right]$. Therefore, squares in $S_k$ that are descendants of squares of these $k-1$ scales in $S_{k-1}$, appear in $k$ scales. Regarding the scale $\alpha$, we consider two cases: If $\alpha \leq \frac{3}{5}$, then applying $F_s$ to squares of scale $\alpha$ results in squares of side length $e^s \alpha = \frac{5}{2} \alpha \leq 1$, and so at most one new scale appears in $S_k$. Otherwise $\alpha > \frac{3}{5}$, and so applying $F_s$ to squares of scale $\alpha$ results in a patch consisting of a single central square of scale $\frac{3}{5} \cdot e^s \alpha = \alpha$, and 16 smaller squares of scale $\frac{1}{5} \cdot e^s \alpha$. And so once again, at most one new scale appears in $S_k$. Since the scheme is incommensurable, by Theorem 5.3, at least one new scale must appear in $S_k$, finishing the proof. $\square$

As an immediate corollary of Lemma 8.4 that is more naturally suited in §8, we deduce the following result.

**Proposition 5.5.** The tile complexity function growth rate is at most polynomial, that is, for any stationary tiling $S$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $c_S(k) = O(k^m)$, where $m$ depends on the parameters of the generating substitution scheme and on the parameter $s$ of the stationary tiling.

### 5.2. Patches and scales.

Recall that given a substitution scheme $\sigma$, a patch is called legal if it appears as a sub-patch of some element of $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$. We show here that if $\sigma$ is irreducible and incommensurable, then for every legal patch, every stationary tiling contains rescaled copies of the patch, with a dense set of scales.

**Lemma 5.6.** Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible incommensurable substitution scheme, and let $\mathcal{P}$ be a legal patch. Then every stationary tiling $S \in \mathcal{X}^\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ contains a translated copy of $\alpha \mathcal{P}$ for some scale $\alpha > 0$.

**Proof.** Since $\mathcal{P}$ is legal, there is $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ so that $\mathcal{P}$ is a sub-patch of $F_t(T_i)$ for some $T_i \in \tau_\sigma$. Since $\mathcal{P}$ contains finitely many tiles, there are $t_{\text{min}} < t \leq t_{\text{max}}$ so that every element of
\{F_t(T_1) : t_{\min} < t \leq t_{\max}\} contains a rescaled copy of \(P\) as a sub-patch. We remark that in \(F_{t_{\max}}(T_1)\) the dilation of \(P\) is such that the tiles of maximal volume are of volume 1, and that in \(F_{t_{\min}}(T_1)\) an ancestor of at least one of the tiles of the patch \(P\) appears at volume exactly 1.

Let \(S \in \mathcal{X}_s^F\) be a stationary tiling for which \(F_s(S) = S\). Fix \(m \in \mathbb{N}\) so that \(ms > t_{\max}\). By Theorem 5.2 the tiles in \(S\) appear in a dense set of scales within the intervals of legal scales. In particular, by irreducibility, there exists a tile \(T\) in \(S\) so that \(F_{ms-t_{\max}}(T)\) contains a translated copy of \(\alpha T_i\) for some \(\alpha \in (e^{t_{\min} - t_{\max}}, 1]\). This can be deduced by considering a segment associated with the interval of scales \((e^{t_{\min} - t_{\max}}, 1]\), which is a subset of an edge of the associated graph \(G_\sigma\) that terminates at vertex \(i\) of \(\mathcal{V}\). This segment can be mapped to another segment on the edges of \(G_\sigma\) by choosing a “reverse walk” of length \(ms - t_{\max}\). Any point in the resulting segment corresponds to a choice of some rescaled prototiles, that is, some tile of legal type and scale. Since tiles appear in \(S\) in a dense set of scales within all legal scales, it is guaranteed that indeed there is a tile \(T\) in \(S\) which is a copy of \(\alpha T_i\) with \(\alpha \in (e^{t_{\min} - t_{\max}}, 1]\).

It follows that \(F_{ms}(T) = F_{t_{\max}}(F_{ms-t_{\max}}(T))\) contains \(F_{t_{\max}}(\alpha T_i) = F_{t_{\max} - \log(1/\alpha)}(T_i)\) as a sub-patch. Clearly

\[
t_{\min} \leq t_{\max} - \log(1/\alpha) \leq t_{\max},
\]

and so \(F_{ms}(T)\) contains a rescaled copy of \(P\). Since \(F_{ms}(T)\) is a patch in \(F_{ms}(S) = S\), the result follows. \(\square\)

Let \(S \in \mathcal{X}_s^F\) be a stationary tiling with \(S = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} F_{ks}(T)\). For any fixed patch \(P_0\) in \(S\), there exists a smallest \(k \geq 1\) for which \(P_0\) is a sub-patch of \(F_{ks}(T)\). The patch \(P_0\) can also be considered in the context of the continuous family \(\{F_i(T) : t \in \mathbb{R}^+\}\). As in the proof of Lemma 5.6, we define a maximal non-trivial interval \(t_{P_0} := [t_{\min}, t_{\max}]\) so that \(ks \in t_{P_0}\), and such that for any \(t \in t_{P_0}\) the patch \(F_i(T)\) contains a rescaled copy of \(P_0\) as a sub-patch. If the original patch \(P_0\) is thought of as being of scale 1, then these rescaled copies of \(P_0\) in \(\{F_i(T) : t \in t_{P_0}\}\) appear in scales within an interval \(I_{P_0}\) of scales that contains 1, and by left continuity also some left neighborhood of 1.

Now let \(P\) be a patch in \(S\), consider all of its translated copies in \(S\) and let \((P_j)_{j \geq 1}\) be an enumeration of them. Each \(P_j\) is a patch in \(S\) and has its own interval of times \(t_{P_j}\) and interval of scales \(I_{P_j}\) as described above. Clearly all the intervals of the form \(I_{P_j}\) have the same maximum, and the associated rescaled copies of these patches have maximal tiles of volume 1. Also note that since there are only finitely many tiles in a patch, and since tile scales are bounded, the intervals \(I_{P_j}\) are all bounded uniformly from below. We can thus define the \textit{interval of legal scales} for the patch \(P\) in \(S\) as the union of all these intervals, and denote it by \(I_P\). Clearly \(I_P\) contains 1 and a left neighborhood of 1.

**Lemma 5.7.** Let \(\sigma\) be an irreducible incommensurable substitution scheme, and let \(S \in \mathcal{X}_\sigma^F\) be a stationary tiling. Let \(P\) be a patch in \(S\), and let \(I_P = (\beta_{\min}^P, \beta_{\max}^P]\) be the interval of scales in which \(P\) appears in \(S\). Then the set

\[
\text{Scales}(S, P) := \{\alpha : S \text{ contains a copy of } \alpha P\}
\]

is dense in \(I_P\).

**Proof.** Let \((P_j)_{j \geq 1}\) be an enumeration of translated copies of \(P\) in \(S\). Fix a patch \(P_j\), and as in the discussion above let \(t_{P_j}\) and \(I_{P_j}\) be the associated intervals of times and of scales, respectively.
Assume \( s \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) is such that \( F_s(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S} \) and write \( t_{\mathcal{P}_j} = (t_{\min}, t_{\max}) \). As in the proof of Lemma 5.6 if we pick \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) so that \( ms > t_{\max} \), then \( F_{ms}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S} \) contains a rescaled copy of \( \mathcal{P}_j \). In fact, it follows from the proof of Lemma 5.6 that \( \mathcal{S} \) contains rescaled copies of \( \mathcal{P}_j \) with scales which are dense in the interval \( I_{\mathcal{P}_j} \). Since \( I_P \) is defined as the union of the intervals \( I_{\mathcal{P}_j} \), the proof is complete. \( \square \)

**Corollary 5.8.** Every stationary tiling contains rescaled copies of every legal patch, and the patches appear in a dense set of scale.

It will follow from Corollary 9.6 that the above holds for any tiling \( \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{X}_\sigma^F \).

### 6. The tiling dynamical system

A dynamical system is a pair \((X, G)\) where \(X\) is a topological space and \(G\) is a group that acts on \(X\). The orbit of a point \(x \in X\) is the set \(O(x) := \{g.x : g \in G\}\). A subsystem of \((X, G)\) is a closed, non-empty and \(G\)-invariant set \(Y \subset X\). Examples of subsystems include orbit closures \(\overline{O(x)}\), for \(x \in X\).

We study the dynamical system \((\mathbb{X}_\sigma^F, \mathbb{R}^d)\), where the group \(\mathbb{R}^d\) acts on tilings of \(\mathbb{R}^d\) by translations, and the topology on \(\mathbb{X}_\sigma^F\) is determined by the metric \(D\), defined in (4.1). Traditionally, we call it the tiling dynamical system.

#### 6.1. Minimality

Recall that a dynamical system \((X, G)\) is called minimal if it contains no proper subsystems, or equivalently, if every orbit is dense.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let \(\sigma\) be an irreducible incommensurable substitution scheme in \(\mathbb{R}^d\). Then the dynamical system \((\mathbb{X}_\sigma^F, \mathbb{R}^d)\) is minimal.

The following result is a key step in the proof of Theorem 6.1.

**Lemma 6.2.** Let \(\sigma\) be an irreducible incommensurable substitution scheme in \(\mathbb{R}^d\), and let \(\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty F_{ks}(\mathcal{T}_i) \in \mathbb{X}_\sigma^F\) be a stationary tiling. Then \(O(\mathcal{S})\) is dense in \(\mathbb{X}_\sigma^F\).

**Proof.** Let \(\varepsilon > 0\). We need to show that for every \(\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{X}_\sigma^F\), there exists \(u \in \mathbb{R}^d\) so that

\[
D(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S} - u) < \varepsilon.
\]

(6.1)

First, in view of Proposition 4.3, the tiling \(\mathcal{T}\) may be described as the limit \(\lim_{\ell \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_\ell - v_\ell\), where \(\mathcal{P}_\ell \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma\) and \(v_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^d\). Let \(\mathcal{P}_\ell \in \mathcal{P}_\sigma\) and \(v_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^d\) be such that

\[
D(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P}_\ell - v_\ell) < \varepsilon/2.
\]

(6.2)

Note that in particular, the support of \(\mathcal{P}_\ell - v_\ell\) contains the ball \(B(0, 2/\varepsilon)\).

Write \(\mathcal{P}_\ell = F_\alpha(T_j)\) for some \(T_j \in \tau_\sigma\) and \(\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+\). Let \(b \in \mathcal{J}_{i-j}\), and let \(s_1 < s_2 < \ldots\) be an increasing enumeration of the set \(\mathcal{J}_{i-j}\). By Lemma 3.8 for any \(\delta > 0\) there exists \(M\) so that \(s_{m+1} - s_m < \delta\), for every \(m \geq M\). Choose a minimal \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) such that \((ks - b - s_M) - a \geq 0\). Since \(s_m \to \infty\) and the gap between two sequential elements in \(\mathcal{J}_{i-j}\) with indices greater than \(M\) is smaller than \(\delta\), there exists some \(m \geq M\) for which

\[
0 \leq a - (ks - b - s_m) < \delta
\]

holds. Since the patch \(\mathcal{P}_\ell\) has finitely many tiles, for small enough values of \(\delta\) the patch \(\mathcal{P}_\ell\) is a small inflation of the patch \(F_{ks-b-s_m}(T_j)\), and by taking small values of \(\delta\) the inflation can be made arbitrarily small. In particular, by appropriate choices of \(\delta\) there exist choices of \(m\) for which the two patches have arbitrarily small Hausdorff distance,
and this is also true for their translations by \( v_\ell \). Since the support of \( \mathcal{P}_\ell - v_\ell \) contains \( B(0, 2/\varepsilon) \), it follows that

\[
D(\mathcal{P}_\ell - v_\ell, F_{ks-b-sm}(T_j) - v_\ell) < \varepsilon/2.
\]

By our choice of \( b \) and \( s_m \), we have \( b + s_m \in \mathcal{J}_{i-j} \), and so \( F_{b+s_m}(T_i) \) contains a translated copy of \( T_j \). Hence \( F_{ks}(T_i) = F_{ks-b-sm}(F_{b+s_m}(T_i)) \) contains a translated copy of the patch \( F_{ks-b-sm}(T_j) \) as a sub-patch. In turn, \( F_{ks}(T_i) - v_\ell \) contains a translated copy of the patch \( F_{ks-b-sm}(T_j) - v_\ell \) as a sub-patch. Therefore, there exists some \( w_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^d \) with

\[
D(\mathcal{P}_\ell - v_\ell, F_{ks}(T_i) - v_\ell - w_\ell) < \varepsilon/2.
\]

Then setting \( u := v_\ell + w_\ell \), we have

\[
D(\mathcal{P}_\ell - v_\ell, S - u) < \varepsilon/2. \tag{6.3}
\]

Combining (6.2) and (6.3), the triangle inequality implies (6.1), finishing the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 6.1.** Given any \( \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2 \in \mathbb{X}_\mathcal{E}^F \), we show that \( \mathcal{T}_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{T}_1)} \). Let \( \mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k \mathcal{S}_0 \) be a stationary tiling, for some \( s > 0 \) and \( T_i \in \tau_{p_\mathcal{S}} \). By Lemma 6.2, \( \mathcal{T}_2 \) is \( \mathcal{S} \)-dense in the interval \( (\beta_i^{\min}, 1] \). In particular, there exists \( 0 < \delta < \log(\varepsilon/2) \) so that for every \( 0 \leq \eta < \delta \) the support of \( F_{ms-\eta}(T_i) \) contains \( B(0, 2/\varepsilon) \) and

\[
D(F_{ms-\eta}(T_i), F_{ms}(T_i)) < \varepsilon/2. \tag{6.4}
\]

We first show that there exists some \( R = R(\varepsilon) > 0 \) so that for every \( z \in \mathbb{R}^d \) the patch \( [B(z,R)]^S \) contains a translated copy of the patch \( F_{ms-\eta}(T_i) \), for some \( 0 \leq \eta < \delta \). By Theorem 5.2 in particular, there exists \( K > 0 \) so that for every tile \( T \) in \( \mathcal{S} \) the set

\[
\{ \alpha : \text{the patch supported on } e^{Kz}T \text{ in } \mathcal{S} \text{ contains a copy of } \alpha T_i \}
\]

is \( \delta \)-dense in the interval \( (\beta_i^{\min}, 1] \). In particular, for every tile \( T \) in \( \mathcal{S} \), the patch supported on \( e^{Kz}T \) contains a copy of \( \alpha T_i \) for \( \alpha \in (1 - \delta, 1] \), and hence the patch supported on \( e^{(K+m)s}T \) contains a copy of \( F_{ms-\eta}(T_i) \), where here \( \eta = 1 - \alpha \in [0, \delta) \). Set

\[
R = R(\varepsilon) := e^{(K+m)s} \cdot \max_j \{ \text{diameter}(T_j) \}.
\]

Then every patch \( [B(z,R)]^S \), for \( z \in \mathbb{R}^d \), contains a translated copy of the patch \( F_{ms-\eta}(T_i) \), for some \( 0 \leq \eta < \delta \).

Invoking Lemma 6.2 once again, we find some \( z \in \mathbb{R}^d \) so that \( D(\mathcal{S} - z, T_i) < 1/R \). This implies that \( [B(z,R)]^S \) and \( [B(0,R)]^T \) are \( 1/R \)-close, with respect to the Hausdorff metric. Since \( [B(z,R)]^S \) contains a copy of \( F_{ms-\eta}(T_i) \), for \( 0 \leq \eta < \delta \), the patch \( [B(0,R)]^T \) contains a patch \( \mathcal{P} \) whose Hausdorff distance is less than \( 1/R \) from \( F_{ms-\eta}(T_i) \). Recall that \( F_{ms-\eta}(T_i) \) contains a ball of radius \( 2/\varepsilon \), then in particular there exists some \( u \in B(0,R) \), with \( B(u, 2/\varepsilon) \subset B(0,R) \), such that

\[
D(T_i - u, F_{ms-\eta}(T_i)) \leq D(\mathcal{P} - u, F_{ms-\eta}(T_i)) < \varepsilon/2. \tag{6.5}
\]

Combining (6.4) and (6.5) we obtain \( D(T_i - u, F_{ms}(T_i)) < \varepsilon \). But since \( \mathcal{S} \supset F_{ms}(T_i) \), this implies \( D(T_i - u, \mathcal{S}) \leq D(T_i - u, F_{ms}(T_i)) < \varepsilon \), and the proof is complete.
6.2. **Geometric interpretation of dynamical properties.** A finite local complexity tiling $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is called **repetitive** if for every $r > 0$ there is a radius $R := R(r) > 0$, so that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the patch $[B(x, R)]^T$ contains translated copies of all the patches of the form $[B(y, r)]^T$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (see e.g. [BG, Section 5.3], [BSI], and also [FRi] and [LP] for a clearer distinction between similar, common, definitions of repetitivity).

Recall that a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is called **relatively dense**, or syndetic in the topological dynamics setup, if there exists some $R > 0$ so that $S$ intersects every ball of radius $R$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$. A relatively dense set with parameter $R$ is called $R$-dense. Note that repetitivity means that for every $r > 0$ and every $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the set $Z_y := \{t \in \mathbb{R}^d : [B(y, r)]^T - y = [B(t, r)]^T - t\}$ of return times to $[B(y, r)]^T$ is relative dense. Since $[B(y, r)]^T - y = [B(0, r)]^T - y$, the set $Z_y$ is simply the collection of $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for which $\mathcal{T} - y$ and $\mathcal{T} - t$ agree on the ball of radius $r$ around the origin.

An immediate corollary of Theorems 6.1 and 5.2 is that if $\sigma$ is incommensurable then every $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{X}_\sigma^F$ is of infinite local complexity. Since repetitivity is clearly impossible for such tilings, we consider the following suitable variant.

**Definition 6.3.** Given $\varepsilon > 0$, a tiling $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{X}_\sigma^F$ is called **$\varepsilon$-repetitive** if there exists some $R = R(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the set $A_y := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : D(\mathcal{T} - y, \mathcal{T} - x) < \varepsilon\}$ of return times to the $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of $\mathcal{T} - y$ is $R$-dense. $\mathcal{T}$ is **almost repetitive** if it is $\varepsilon$-repetitive for every $\varepsilon > 0$ (compare [FRi, Definitions 2.13 and 3.5]).

Recall that two tilings $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ are called **locally indistinguishable** (LI) if $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ have the same collection of patches of compact support (see e.g. [BG, Definition 5.5], [LP] Definition 1.6)). This notion induces an equivalence relation on tilings of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and one denotes by $\text{LI}(\mathcal{T})$ the equivalence class of the tiling $\mathcal{T}$. As in the case of repetitivity, we consider the suitable variant of local indistinguishability.

**Definition 6.4.** Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we say that two tilings $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}' \in \mathbb{X}_\sigma^F$ are **$\varepsilon$-locally indistinguishable** ($\varepsilon$-LI), if for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ there exist $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $D(\mathcal{T} - y, \mathcal{T}' - x_1), D(\mathcal{T}' - y, \mathcal{T} - x_2) < \varepsilon$.

$\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}' \in \mathbb{X}_\sigma^F$ are called **almost locally indistinguishable** (ALI), if they are $\varepsilon$-LI for every $\varepsilon > 0$ (compare [FRi, Definition 3.9]). We denote by $\text{ALI}(\mathcal{T})$ the collection of tilings in $\mathbb{X}_\sigma^F$ that are ALI with $\mathcal{T}$.

A standard theorem in the theory of tilings, or discrete patterns, states that repetitivity, having a closed LI equivalence class, and having a minimal orbit closure with respect to translations are all equivalent properties (see e.g. [BG, Theorem 5.4], [LP] Theorem 3.2)). A variant of this theorem for $r$-separated point sets in a setting that resembles the one studied here is given in [FRi, Theorem 3.11]. Our proof of the multiscale substitution tilings variant is similar, and included here due to small differences in the definitions.

**Theorem 6.5.** Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible incommensurable substitution scheme and let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{X}_\sigma^F$. The following are equivalent:

1. The orbit closure $\overline{O}(\mathcal{T}) := \{\mathcal{T} + x : x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ is minimal.
(2) ALI(\(T\)) is closed in \((X_\sigma^F, D)\).

(3) \(T\) is almost repetitive.

Proof. (1) \(\Rightarrow\) (2): It suffices to show that \(\overline{O(T)} = \text{ALI}(T)\). First, clearly \(\overline{O(T)} \supset \text{ALI}(T)\), because if \(T' \in \text{ALI}(T)\), putting \(y = 0\) in Definition 6.4 implies that for every \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) there exists some \(z_k \in \mathbb{R}^d\) with \(D(T - z_k, T') < 1/k\), and so \(T' \in \overline{O(T)}\).

Let \(T' \in \overline{O(T)}\), \(\varepsilon > 0\) and \(y \in \mathbb{R}^d\). Then \(T' - y \in \overline{O(T)}\), hence there exists some \(x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d\) so that \(D(T' - y, T - x_1) < \varepsilon\). By minimality \(T\), and hence \(T - y\), belong to \(\overline{O(T')}\), so there exists some \(x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d\) with \(D(T - y, T' - x_2) < \varepsilon\). We conclude that \(T' \in \text{ALI}(T)\).

(2) \(\Rightarrow\) (3): Assume that (3) does not hold. Then there is some \(\varepsilon > 0\) for which \(T\) is not \(\varepsilon\)-repetitive. Namely, there is some \(y \in \mathbb{R}^d\) so that the set of return times to the \(\varepsilon\)-neighborhood of \(T - y\) is not \(R\)-dense for any \(R > 0\). Then there is a sequence \(x_k \in \mathbb{R}^d\) for which the sequence of patches \([B(x_k, k)]^T\) does not contain a patch that, after translation, is \(\varepsilon\)-close to \(T - y\). The inclusion

\[
\overline{O(T)} \supset \text{ALI}(T) \supset O(T),
\]

combined with the assumption that ALI(\(T\)) is closed, implies that \(\overline{O(T)} = \text{ALI}(T)\).

Let \(T' \in X_\sigma^F\) be a limit of some subsequence of \([B(x_k, k)]^T\). Then no translation of \(T'\) is \(\varepsilon\)-close to \(T - y\). Since \(T'\) is a limit of the sequence \(T - x_k\), then \(T' \in \overline{O(T)} = \text{ALI}(T)\). This means that there is some \(x \in \mathbb{R}^d\) so that \(D(T - y, T' - x) < \varepsilon\), a contradiction.

(3) \(\Rightarrow\) (1): Let \(T' \in \overline{O(T)}\) and let \(\varepsilon > 0\), then there exist \(y_k \in \mathbb{R}^d\) with \(D(T - y_k, T') < 1/k\). By (3), \(T\) is \(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\)-repetitive. In particular, for \(y = 0\) in Definition 6.3 there is some \(R > 0\) for which the set

\[
A_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : D(T, T - x) < \varepsilon/2\}
\]

is \(R\)-dense, and we may assume that \(R > 2/\varepsilon\). Fix \(K \geq 2R\). Since

\[
D(T - y_K, T') < 1/K \leq 1/2R, \tag{6.6}
\]

by the definition of \(D\), the patches supported on the \(2R\)-ball around the origin in \(T'\) and in \(T - y_K\) are \(1/2R\)-close. Since \(A_0\) is \(R\)-dense and \(R > 2/\varepsilon\), there is some \(x_0 \in B(y_K, R)\) so that \(D(T, T - x_0) < \varepsilon/2\) and

\[
B(x_0, 2/\varepsilon) \subset B(y_K, 2R). \tag{6.7}
\]

Set \(x_1 = x_0 - y_K\). Since \(||x_1|| < R\), combining (6.6) and (6.7) implies that

\[
D(T - x_0, T' - x_1) = D(T - y_K - x_1, T' - x_1) < \varepsilon/2,
\]

and by the triangle inequality this yields

\[
D(T, T' - x_1) \leq D(T, T - x_0) + D(T - x_0, T' - x_1) < \varepsilon.
\]

It follows that \(T \in \overline{O(T')}\), and minimality is established. \(\square\)

Corollary 6.6. Let \(\sigma\) be an irreducible incommensurable substitution scheme. Then every \(T \in X_\sigma^F\) is almost repetitive, and every \(T, T' \in X_\sigma^F\) are ALI-equivalent.
6.3. **Supertiles.** Consider an irreducible incommensurable substitution scheme $\sigma$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Minimality of $(\mathbb{X}_\sigma^F, \mathbb{R}^d)$, combined with the construction of stationary tilings, allows for the existence of the powerful hierarchical structure known as supertiles on all tilings in the multiscale tiling space $\mathbb{X}_\sigma^F$.

**Definition 6.7.** Let $S \in \mathbb{X}_\sigma^F$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ be so that $F_s(S) = S$. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $F_{ms}(S) = S$. We denote by $S^{-m}$ the tiling whose tiles are $\{e^{ms}T : T \text{ is a tile in } S\}$. These tiles are called order $m$ supertiles of $S$, or simply $m$-supertiles, and are denoted by $T^{(m)}$. The type of the supertile $e^{ms}T$ is inherited from the type of $T$, and for every $\ell \geq m$ every $\ell$-supertile can be decomposed into a union of $m$-supertiles.

As a consequence of minimality, every tiling $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{X}_\sigma^F$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ inherits a hierarchical structure of supertiles from any given stationary tiling. Given $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{X}_\sigma^F$ and a stationary tiling $\mathcal{S}$ with $F_s(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$, for $s > 0$, fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ so that $\mathcal{T} = \lim_{n \to \infty} F_{s}^{n} \mathcal{S} + v_n$. Since $\partial S^{-m} \subset \partial S$ and $\partial S + v_n \to \partial \mathcal{T}$, the sequence $\partial S^{-m} + v_n$ is convergent and the limit is a subset of $\partial \mathcal{T}$, which defines $m$-supertiles of $\mathcal{T}$. Note that by (4.3), for any tiling in the $\mathbb{R}^d$-orbit of a stationary tiling $F_s(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$, supertiles are of the form $e^{ms}T$ for some tile $T$ of legal type and scale.

**Example 6.8.** Figure [11] illustrates tiles, thought of as 0-supertiles, within 1-supertiles and a single 2-supertile, in a stationary tiling generated by the scheme on two triangles illustrated in Figure [3].

![Figure 11. Tiles as 0-supertiles, within 1-supertiles (in bold boundaries), all within a single 2-supertile. Compare also with Figure 9.](image-url)
The counting result on metric paths in graphs associated with incommensurable schemes.

**Definition 7.1.** Let \( G \) be a directed weighted graph with a set of vertices \( V = \{1, \ldots, n\} \). Let \( i, j \in V \) be a pair of vertices in \( G \), and assume that there are \( k_{ij} \geq 0 \) edges \( \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k_{ij}} \) with initial vertex \( i \) and terminal vertex \( j \). The graph matrix function of \( G \) is the matrix valued function \( M : \mathbb{C} \to M_n (\mathbb{C}) \), its \((i, j)\) entry the exponential polynomial

\[
M_{ij} (s) = e^{-s I (\varepsilon_1)} + \cdots + e^{-s I (\varepsilon_{k_{ij}})}.
\]

If \( i \) is not connected to \( j \) by an edge, put \( M_{ij} (s) = 0 \). Note that the restriction of \( M \) to \( \mathbb{R} \) maps \( \mathbb{R} \) to real valued matrices.

Note that given a substitution scheme \( \sigma \), the \((i, j)\) entry of \( M_{\sigma} (s) \), where \( M_{\sigma} \) is the graph matrix function of the associated graph \( G_{\sigma} \), is given by

\[
\left( \alpha_{ij}^{(1)} \right)^s + \cdots + \left( \alpha_{ij}^{(k_{ij})} \right)^s.
\]

### 7.1. The number of tiles of given types and scales.

First we need the following counting result on metric paths in graphs associated with incommensurable schemes.

**Theorem 7.2.** Let \( \sigma \) be a normalized irreducible incommensurable scheme in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), and let \( G_{\sigma} \) be the associated graph. Let \( I \) be an interval that is contained in an edge \( \varepsilon \in E \) with initial vertex \( h \in V \), and assume that \( I \) is of length \( \delta > 0 \) and of distance \( \alpha_0 \) from the vertex \( h \). Then the number of metric paths in \( G_{\sigma} \) of length \( x \), with initial fixed vertex \( i \in V \), that terminate at a point in \( I \), grows as

\[
ie^{-\alpha_0 d} \frac{1 - e^{-\delta d}}{d} q_h e^{dx} + o \left( e^{dx} \right), \quad x \to \infty,
\]

independent of \( i \), where \( q_h \cdot \mathbf{1} \) is column \( h \) of the rank 1 matrix

\[
Q_{\sigma} = \frac{\text{adj} \left( I - M_{\sigma} (d) \right)}{-\text{tr} \left( \text{adj} \left( I - M_{\sigma} (d) \right) \cdot M_{\sigma}^* (d) \right)},
\]

with \( \mathbf{1} = (1, \ldots, 1)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n \), and \( M_{\sigma} \) is the graph matrix function of \( G_{\sigma} \).

**Sketch of proof.** The proof follows from a slight adjustment of the proof of Theorem 1 in [KSS], and so we only give an outline of the proof. A main tool is the Wiener-Ikehara Tauberian theorem, by which the exponential growth rate of our counting function follows from special properties of its Laplace transform, and in particular the location of its poles, see [MV]. Roughly speaking, if there exists \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \) for which the Laplace transform has a simple pole at \( s = \lambda \), and there are no other poles in the half plane \( \text{Re}(s) \geq \lambda \), then the counting function grows exponentially with exponent \( \lambda \).

The counting function we are interested in can be written as

\[
B_{i,I} (x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma (i,h)} \chi (\ell (\gamma) + \alpha_0 I (\gamma) + \alpha_0 + \delta) (x), \quad (7.1)
\]

where \( \Gamma (i,h) \) is the set of paths in \( G_{\sigma} \) with initial vertex \( i \) and terminal vertex \( h \). Note that although \((7.1)\) is written as if \( I \) is assumed to be open, the arguments and results presented here are not changed if \( I \) is assumed to contain one or both of its endpoints, as the Laplace transform is not changed if only countably many values of the counting
function are varied. A direct computation, details of which can be found in [KSS], shows that the Laplace transform is
\[ \mathcal{L} \{ B_{i,t}(x) \} (s) := \int_0^\infty B_{i,t}(x) e^{-xs} dx = e^{-\alpha_0 s} \frac{1 - e^{-\delta s}}{s} \cdot \frac{\text{det} (I - M_\sigma (s))}{\text{det} (I - M_\sigma (s))_{ik}}. \]

As a result of Proposition 2.7, since \( G_\sigma \) is associated with a substitution scheme, the value of \( \lambda \), which due to the form of the Laplace transform is the maximal real value for which the spectral radius of \( M_\sigma (\lambda) \) is exactly 1, is the dimension \( d \), see [Sm] Lemma 8.1. The Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of the non-negative matrix \( M_\sigma (d) \) is indeed 1, and a corresponding eigenvector can be chosen to be the vector of volumes of prototiles in \( \tau_\sigma \). Since \( \sigma \) is normalized, this vector is \( \mathbf{1} \), from which it follows that \( Q_\sigma \) is a positive matrix. Since the columns of \( Q_\sigma \) are multiples of the eigenvector \( \mathbf{1} \), all the rows of \( Q_\sigma \) are identical, from which the independence of \( i \) stems in the statement of the result.

The rest of the proof concerns the careful analysis of the poles of the Laplace transform, and more specifically the zeros of the exponential polynomial \( \det (I - M_\sigma (s)) \), to which the bulk of [KSS] is dedicated. The steps taken there provide proof that there are no poles in the half plane \( \text{Re}(s) \geq d \), except for a simple pole located at \( s = d \), establishing the properties required for the application of the Wiener-Ikehara theorem.

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 7.3.** Let \( \sigma \) be an irreducible incommensurable scheme in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). For every \( j = 1, \ldots, n \) and \( 0 \leq a < b \leq 1 \), the number of tiles of type \( j \) in \( F_1(T_1) \) with scale in \( [a,b] \), or equivalently with volume in \( [a^d, b^d] \), grows as
\[ \varphi_{j, [a,b]} e^{dt} + o(e^{dt}), \quad t \to \infty, \]

independent of \( i \), where \( \varphi_{j, [a,b]} := \sum_{h=1}^n c_{hj, [a,b]} q_h \) and \( q_h \) is as in Theorem 7.2.

\[ c_{hj, [a,b]} := \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{hj}} \left( \alpha_{hj}^{(k)} \right)^d \left( r_{hj}^{(k)} (a) \right)^{-d} - \left( \mu_{hj}^{(k)} (b) \right)^{-d}, \quad (7.2) \]

\( \alpha_{hj}^{(k)} \) are the constants of substitution as in Definition 2.1, and
\[ \alpha_{hj}^{(k)} (a) := \max \left\{ a, \alpha_{hj}^{(k)} \right\}, \quad \mu_{hj}^{(k)} (b) := \max \left\{ b, \alpha_{hj}^{(k)} \right\}. \quad (7.3) \]

Although the formulas given in Theorem 7.3 seem complicated, in fact they are easily evaluated in examples, as will be demonstrated in Example 7.8 at the end of this section.

**Proof.** Tiles of type \( j \) in \( F_{1}(T_1) \) correspond to metric paths of length \( t \) that terminate on edges with end point \( j \). Let \( \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E} \) be such an edge in \( G_\sigma \), and assume \( \varepsilon \) is of length \( l(\varepsilon) = \log \frac{1}{\alpha} \), corresponding to tiles of scale in \((\alpha, 1]\). Let \( \delta \) and \( \alpha_0 \) be as in the statement of Theorem 7.2. There are three distinct cases:

1. If \( \alpha < a < b \) then
\[ \alpha_0 = \log \frac{1}{\alpha} - \log \frac{1}{a} = \log \frac{a}{\alpha}, \]
\[ \delta = \log \frac{1}{\alpha} - \log \frac{1}{b} - \alpha_0 = \log \frac{b}{a}. \]
Therefore
\[ e^{-\alpha_0 d} \frac{1 - e^{-\delta d}}{d} = \frac{1}{d} \alpha^d (a^{-d} - b^{-d}) \].
(2) If \( a \leq \alpha < b \) then \( \alpha_0 = 0 \) and
\[
\delta = \log \frac{1}{\alpha} - \log \frac{1}{b} - \alpha_0 = \log \frac{b}{\alpha}.
\]
Therefore
\[
e^{-\alpha d} (1 - e^{-\delta d}) = \frac{1}{d} \alpha \left( \alpha^{-d} - b^{-d} \right).
\]
(3) If \( a < b \leq \alpha \) then \( \alpha_0 = 0 \) and \( \delta = 0 \) and there is no contribution to the counting.

Summing the contributions from all edges in \( G_\sigma \) that terminate at the vertex \( j \), we establish the required formula. \( \square \)

Note that by Theorem 7.2 and its proof, the exact same formula arises for scales in the interval \([a, b]\), \((a, b)\) or \([a, b)\). In particular, \( \varphi_{j, [a, a]} = 0 \) and we deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 7.4.** For every \( j = 1, \ldots, n \) and \( \alpha \in (\beta_j^{\min}, 1] \), the number of tiles of type \( j \) and scale exactly \( \alpha \) in \( F_1(T) \) is \( o\left(e^{d t}\right) \) as \( t \) tends to infinity.

The results stated above can be described in terms of supertiles.

**Corollary 7.5.** Under the notation of Theorem 7.3, for every tile \( T \) of legal type and scale (see Definition 5.1), the number of tiles of type \( j \) in \( F_1(T) \) with scale in \([a, b]\) grows as
\[
\varphi_{j, [a, b]} \text{vol} \left( e^t T \right) + o \left( \text{vol} \left( e^t T \right) \right), \quad t \to \infty.
\]
In particular, for every sequence \((T^{(m)})_{m \geq 0}\) of supertiles of growing order in \( T \in X_\sigma^F \), the number of tiles of type \( j \) with scale in \([a, b]\) in the patch \([T^{(m)}]_T \), grows as
\[
\varphi_{j, [a, b]} \text{vol} \left( T^{(m)} \right) + o \left( \text{vol} \left( T^{(m)} \right) \right), \quad m \to \infty.
\]
**Proof.** If \( T \) is a rescaled copy of \( \alpha T_i \), then
\[
F_1(T) = F_1(\alpha T_i) = F_{1 - \log(1/\alpha)}(T_i),
\]
and by Theorem 7.3 the number of tiles we are interested in grows as
\[
\varphi_{j, [a, b]} e^{d(t - \log(1/\alpha))} + o \left( e^{d t} \right), \quad t \to \infty.
\]
But \( e^{d(t - \log(1/\alpha))} = e^{d t} \alpha = \text{vol}(e^t T) \), and the result follows. \( \square \)

We remark that Corollary 7.5 gives an alternative proof that the scales in which tiles appear in incommensurable tilings are dense within the intervals of legal scales, see Theorem 5.2. For the next results, recall that for a tiling \( T \) and a set \( B \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) we denote \([B]_T \) to be the patch of all tiles in \( T \) that intersect \( B \).

**Corollary 7.6.** Let \((T^{(m)})_{m \geq 0}\) be a sequence of supertiles of growing order in \( T \in X_\sigma^F \). The number of tiles of type \( j \) in \([T^{(m)}]_T \) grows as
\[
\varphi_{j, (\beta_j^{\min}, 1]} \text{vol} \left( T^{(m)} \right) + o \left( \text{vol} \left( T^{(m)} \right) \right), \quad m \to \infty
\]
with
\[
\varphi_{j, (\beta_j^{\min}, 1]} = \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{h_j}} \left( 1 - \left( \alpha_{h_j}^{(k)} \right)^d \right) q_h. \tag{7.4}
\]
In particular, the total number of tiles in $[T^{(m)}]^T$ grows as
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varphi_{j,(\beta_{j}^{\min},1)} \text{vol}(T^{(m)}) + o\left(\text{vol}(T^{(m)})\right), \quad t \to \infty, \]

independent of $i$.

**Proof.** Simply note that the parameters in (7.3) satisfy $\eta_{j,i}(0) = \alpha_{h_{j}}^{(k)}$ and $\mu_{h_{j}}^{(k)}(1) = 1$, for all $i,j,k$. \qed

Manipulations of the formulas given above allow for computations of other quantities. For example, we give below the asymptotic formula for the relative number of tiles of a given type and scales within a fixed interval of scales, within all tiles in supertiles. Various other quantities can be similarly derived.

**Corollary 7.7.** For every $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$, the relative number of tiles of type $j$ with scale in $[a,b]$ within the total number of tiles in a patch supported on an $m$-supertile, tends to
\[ \frac{\varphi_{j,[a,b]}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varphi_{j,(\beta_{j}^{\min},1)}} + o(1), \quad m \to \infty, \]

where $\varphi_{j,[a,b]}$ and $\varphi_{j,(\beta_{j}^{\min},1)}$ are as in Theorem 7.2 and Corollary 7.6, respectively.

**Example 7.8.** Let $\sigma$ be the substitution scheme in $\mathbb{R}^2$ on $T_1 = U$ and $T_2 = D$ the two equilateral triangles, as described in Figure 3. By a direct computation
\[ Q_{\sigma} = \frac{\text{adj}(I - M_{\sigma}(2))}{-\text{tr}(\text{adj}(I - M_{\sigma}(2)) \cdot M_{\sigma}'(2))} = \frac{1}{\frac{25}{4} \log 2 + \frac{1}{4} \log 5} \left( \frac{1}{4} \frac{8}{25} \right) \]
and so
\[ q_1 = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{25}{4} \log 2 + \frac{1}{4} \log 5}, \quad q_2 = \frac{8}{25} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{25}{4} \log 2 + \frac{1}{4} \log 5}. \]

Consider rescaled copies of $U$ (tiles of type 1) with scales within the interval $[\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}]$. Since $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for any scale $\alpha$ in which tiles appear in the substitution scheme $\sigma$, we have $\eta = \frac{3}{5}$ and $\mu = \frac{4}{5}$ in (7.3). Plugging this into (7.2) we get
\[ c_{11,[\frac{3}{5},\frac{4}{5}]} = \frac{119}{288}, \quad c_{21,[\frac{3}{5},\frac{4}{5}]} = \frac{175}{1152}, \quad c_{21,[\frac{3}{5},\frac{4}{5}]} = \frac{175}{1152}, \]

and so
\[ \varphi_{1,[\frac{3}{5},\frac{4}{5}]} = c_{11,[\frac{3}{5},\frac{4}{5}]} q_1 + c_{21,[\frac{3}{5},\frac{4}{5}]} q_2 \approx 0.296. \]

We now use the above computation to derive a couple of results about tiles that are rescaled copies of $U$ with scales in the interval $[\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}]$. First, according to Corollary 7.5 for every tiling $T \in \mathcal{X}_{\sigma}^{P}$ and every sequence $(T^{(m)})_{m \geq 0}$ of supertiles of growing order in $\mathcal{T}$, the number of such tiles in the patch supported on $T^{(m)}$ grows approximately as
\[ 0.296 \cdot \text{vol}(T^{(m)}) + o\left(\text{vol}(T^{(m)})\right), \quad m \to \infty. \]

Next, using (7.4) we have
\[ \varphi_{1,(\beta_{j}^{\min},1)} \approx 2.016 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{2,(\beta_{j}^{\min},1)} \approx 1.841, \]
and by Corollary 7.7 we deduce that the relative number of such tiles within the total number of tiles in a patch supported on an \( m \)-supertile, tends to

\[
\frac{\varphi_1(\frac{1}{\min,1})}{\varphi_1(\beta_{\min,1}) + \varphi_2(\beta_{\min,1})} + o(1) \approx 0.076 + o(1), \quad m \to \infty.
\]

7.2. The volume occupied by tiles of given types and scales. For the main results of this section we turn to probabilistic results on graphs.

**Theorem 7.9.** Let \( \sigma \) be a normalized irreducible incommensurable scheme in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), and let \( G_\sigma \) be the associated graph. For any \( i \in \mathcal{V} \) and \( \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E} \) with initial vertex \( i \) let \( p_{\varepsilon} \) be the probability that a walker who is passing through vertex \( i \) chooses to continue his walk through the edge \( \varepsilon \), and assume that the sum of the probabilities over all edges originating at any vertex is equal to 1. Let \( I \) be an interval that is contained in some edge \( \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E} \) with initial vertex \( h \in \mathcal{V} \), and assume that \( I \) is of length \( \delta > 0 \) and distance \( \alpha_0 \) from the vertex \( h \). Then the probability that a walker originating at vertex \( i \in \mathcal{V} \) and advancing at unit speed is on the interval \( I \) after walking along a metric path of length \( x \), tends to

\[
p_{\varepsilon} \delta q_{h} + o(1), \quad x \to \infty,
\]

independent of \( i \) and of \( \alpha_0 \), where \( q_{h} \) is as in Theorem 7.2.

**Proof.** The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 7.2 given above for counting paths terminating in given intervals. Here we adjust Theorem 2 in [KSS] in the same way Theorem 1 in [KSS] is adjusted for the proof of Theorem 7.2.

**Theorem 7.10.** Let \( \sigma \) be an irreducible incommensurable scheme in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). For every \( j = 1, \ldots, n \) and \( 0 \leq a < b \leq 1 \), the volume covered by tiles of type \( j \) in \( F_i(T_i) \) with scale in \([a,b]\), or equivalently with volume in \([a^d,b^d]\), grows as

\[
\nu_{j,[a,b]} e^{dt} + o(e^{dt}), \quad t \to \infty,
\]

where \( \nu_{j,[a,b]} := \sum_{h=1}^{n} d_{hj,[a,b]} q_{h} \) and \( q_{h} \) is as in Theorem 7.2

\[
d_{hj,[a,b]} := \sum_{k=1}^{k_{hj}} \left( \alpha_{hj}^{(k)} \right)^{d} \log \left( \frac{\eta_{hj}^{(k)}(a)}{\mu_{hj}^{(k)}(b)} \right),
\]

with \( \eta_{hj}^{(k)}(a) \) and \( \mu_{hj}^{(k)}(b) \) as in (7.3), and independent of \( i \).

**Proof.** We assign probabilities to the graph \( G_\sigma \) in the following way. Assume \( \varepsilon \) is an edge with initial vertex \( h \) that is associated to a tile \( T = \alpha T_j \in \omega_\sigma(T_h) \). Then put \( p_{\varepsilon} = \text{vol}T = \alpha^d \), which is the probability for a point in \( T_i \) to belong to the tile \( T \) after the substitution rule \( \sigma \) is applied to \( T_i \). By (2.1), for every vertex the sum of the probabilities on its outgoing edges is 1.

Let \( \varepsilon \) be an edge as above, and recall that \( l(\varepsilon) = \log \frac{1}{\alpha} \). We wish to calculate the probability that a metric path terminates at a point on \( \varepsilon \) associated with a tile of scale in \([a,b]\). Once again there are three distinct cases:

1. If \( \alpha < a < b \) then \( \delta = \log \frac{b}{\alpha} \), and so

\[
p_{\varepsilon} \delta = \alpha^d \log \frac{b}{\alpha}.
\]

2. If \( a \leq \alpha < b \) then \( \delta = \log \frac{b}{\alpha} \), and so

\[
p_{\varepsilon} \delta = \alpha^d \log \frac{b}{\alpha}.
\]
(3) If \( a < b \leq \alpha \) then \( \delta = 0 \) and there is no contribution to the counting.

Summing the contributions from all edges in \( G_\sigma \) that terminate at the vertex \( j \), we establish that the probability for a point in the patch \( F_t(T_i) \) to be in a tile of type \( j \) and scale in \([a,b]\) tends to

\[
\sum_{h=1}^{n} d_{h,[a,b]} q_h + o(1), \quad t \to \infty,
\]

and since the volume of this patch is \( e^{dt} \) we arrive at the required formula. \( \square \)

The proof of Corollary 7.6 yields also the following analogous formula.

**Corollary 7.11.** Let \((T^{(m)})_{m \geq 0}\) be a sequence of supertiles of growing order in \( \mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{F}^F \). The volume of the region covered by tiles of type \( j \) in \([T^{(m)}]^T\) grows as

\[
\nu_j,(\beta_{j},1] \vol(T^{(m)}) + o(\vol(T^{(m)})), \quad m \to \infty.
\]

where

\[
\nu_j,(\beta_{j},1] = \sum_{h=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{h}} \left( \alpha_{hj}^{(k)} \right)^d \log \frac{1}{\alpha_{hj} q_h}.
\]

**Remark 7.12.** The results and formulas stated above can be used to recover the formulas originally obtained by Sadun for irrational generalized pinwheel tilings in [Sa1, Theorem 8], as these tilings can also be described as stationary tilings generated by incommensurable substitution schemes on a single right triangle, as described in Remark 4.11. We note that the computations for Corollaries 7.6 and 7.11 appear also in [Sm, §2, §8], which includes additional explicit formulas for various examples in the context of Kakutani sequences of partitions.

### 8. Multiscale tilings are not uniformly spread

Following Laczkovich [L], we say that a point set \( Y \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) is uniformly spread if there exists some \( \alpha > 0 \) and a bijection \( \phi: Y \to \alpha \mathbb{Z}^d \) satisfying

\[
\sup_{y \in Y} \| y - \phi(y) \| < \infty.
\]

Such a mapping \( \phi \) is called a bounded displacement (BD). Given a tiling \( \mathcal{T} \) of \( \mathbb{R}^d \), by tiles of uniformly bounded diameter, we say that \( \mathcal{T} \) is uniformly spread if there exists a point set \( Y_\mathcal{T} \), that is obtained by picking a point from each tile in \( \mathcal{T} \), which is uniformly spread. Note that since the tiles are of uniformly bounded diameter, if \( \mathcal{T} \) is uniformly spread then every point set \( Y_\mathcal{T} \) obtained in the above manner is uniformly spread.

The following criterion, phrased here for tilings instead of point sets, was proved by Laczkovich, see [L, Theorem 1.1]. Recall that for a set \( A \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) and a constant \( r > 0 \), we denote \( A^{+r} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \text{dist}(x,A) \leq r \} \).

**Theorem 8.1** ([L]). Let \( \mathcal{T} \) be a tiling of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) by tiles of uniformly bounded diameter, then \( \mathcal{T} \) is uniformly spread if and only if there exist positive constants \( c, \alpha > 0 \) so that

\[
|\#(U)^\mathcal{T} - \alpha \cdot \vol(U) | \leq c \cdot \vol((\varepsilon U)^{+1})  \tag{8.1}
\]

holds for every bounded, measurable set \( U \).
The question whether fixed scale substitution tilings are uniformly spread was studied in [ACG, FSS, yS1] and [yS2], where it was shown that certain conditions on the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the substitution matrix imply a positive answer to this question. Our goal in this section is to show that under a mild assumption on the geometry of the boundaries of the participating prototiles, incommensurable multiscale substitution tilings are never uniformly spread, emphasizing once again the difference between the tilings in the standard setup and the tilings being studied here.

**Theorem 8.2.** Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible incommensurable substitution scheme in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and assume that the boundary of every prototile $T_i \in \tau_\sigma$ satisfies
\[
\text{vol}((\epsilon \text{supp} F_i(T_i))^{1+\epsilon}) = O(e^{(d-\eta)t})
\]
for some fixed $\eta > 0$. Then every $T \in X^F_\sigma$ is not uniformly spread.

Note that for polygonal prototiles (8.2) clearly holds with $\eta = 1$. In a subsequent work [SS] we show that as a result of Theorem 8.2 and the minimality of the dynamical system $\mathcal{X}^F_\sigma$, the set of BD-equivalence classes that appear in $\mathcal{X}^F_\sigma$ has the cardinality of the continuum.

We present two independent proofs of Theorem 8.2. Both proofs rely on finding sufficiently large lower bounds on the discrepancy in (8.1), allowing the application of Laczkovich’s criterion from which Theorem 8.2 is implied.

**Proof 1 of Theorem 8.2.** The first proof follows from the lower bound given in Lemma 8.3. This is standard in the context of the dynamical and the fractal zeta functions, to which the books [PP] and [LV] are respectively dedicated, and so we only sketch a proof.

Let $E(t)$ denote the error term in Theorem 7.3.

**Lemma 8.3.** Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible, incommensurable substitution scheme in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then for no constant $\beta < d$ do we have
\[
E(t) = O(e^{\beta t}).
\]
In particular, if $E_m$ denotes the error term in (7.5), for no $\varepsilon > 0$ do we have
\[
E_m = O\left(\left(\text{vol}(T^{(m)})^{1-\varepsilon}\right)\right).
\]

**Sketch of proof.** The result follows from information on the location of the poles of the Laplace transform of the counting function as appears in (7.1), which are the zeros of the exponential polynomial $\det(I - M_\sigma(s))$.

First, assume by contradiction that the error term is bounded by $e^{\beta t}$ for some $\beta < d$. Then by inverse Laplace transform theory, all poles $s \in \mathbb{C}$ of the Laplace transform other than $s = d$ have real part less or equal to $\beta < d$, see [Po, Proposition 6]. Therefore, they must be bounded away from the vertical line $\text{Re}(s) = d$.

On the other hand, incommensurability of $\sigma$ implies that $d$ is a limit point of the real parts of zeros of $\det(I - M_\sigma(s))$. Similarly to [LV, Theorem 3.23], this is deduced by considering rational approximations to the exponential polynomial $\det(I - M_\sigma(s))$, and using Rouche’s theorem. See also [Po, Proposition 7].

**Proof 1 of Theorem 8.2.** Let $T \in X^F_\sigma$ and let $(T^{(m)})_{m \geq 0}$ be a sequence of supertiles of growing order in $T$. Denote by $\#[T^{(m)}]^T$ the number of tiles in $[T^{(m)}]^T$. By Corollary
7.6. $\# [T^{(m)}]^T$ grows as $C \text{vol}(T^{(m)}) + E_m$ for some constant $C$. Hence for any $\alpha \neq C$, the inequality (8.1) clearly fails for $U = T^{(m)}$. For $\alpha = C$ we obtain that

$$\left| \# [T^{(m)}]^T - \alpha \cdot \text{vol} (T^{(m)}) \right| = E_m.$$  

$T^{(m)}$ are $m$-supertiles and hence by (8.2)

$$\text{vol} \left( \left( \partial T^{(m)} \right)^{+1} \right) \leq C \left( \text{vol} (T^{(m)}) \right)^{(d-\eta)/d}$$

for some constant $C'$. In view of Lemma 8.3 we obtain that for any constant $c$

$$\left| \# [T^{(m)}]^T - \alpha \cdot \text{vol} (T^{(m)}) \right| > c \cdot \text{vol} \left( \left( \partial T^{(m)} \right)^{+1} \right)$$

for $m$ large enough. We deduce that for any constant $c$ the inequality (8.1) in Laczkovich’s criterion fails for $U = T^{(m)}$, for $m$ large enough, and the proof is complete. $\Box$

**Proof 2 of Theorem 8.2.** The following reasoning, including the proof of Lemma 8.5 below, was pointed out to us by the anonymous referee of the first version of the paper. We are truly grateful for the suggestions and for the opportunity to include a second approach in this revised version.

Given a multiscale substitution scheme $\sigma$, say that edges of the same length and with the same initial and terminal vertices are equivalent, and denote by $E_0$ the set of equivalence classes of edges of $G_\sigma$.

**Lemma 8.4.** There exists an absolute constant $C$, that depends on the parameters of $\sigma$, with the following property: For every $t > 0$ and $T_i \in \tau_\sigma$ the patch $F_t(T_i)$ contains at most $C \cdot t^{|E_0|}$ tiles that are pairwise translation non-equivalent.

**Proof.** First, observe that by Proposition 2.12 tiles of type $j$ in $F_t(T_i)$ correspond to paths of length $t$ in $G_\sigma$ that initiate at vertex $i \in V$ and terminate on an edge that terminates at vertex $j$. In addition, translation equivalent tiles are in particular of the same scale, and so the corresponding paths terminate at the same distance from $j$. Note that the number of edges in a path of length $t$ is bounded between $t/M$ and $t/m$, where $M$ and $m$ are the lengths of the longest and shortest edges of $G_\sigma$, respectively. Let $N_\gamma$ denote the number of edges in a path $\gamma$, not including the last partial edge (if there is one). In particular, if $\gamma$ and $\eta$ are two paths that initiate at vertex $i$, terminate on equivalent edges, and contain the same collection of edges counted with multiplicities, then $\gamma$ and $\eta$ correspond to tiles in $F_t(T_i)$ that differ by a translation. In other words, if $N_\gamma = N_\eta$ and the paths $\gamma$ and $\eta$ correspond to translation non-equivalent tiles, then these two paths contain different collections of edges, counted with multiplicities.

In view of the above, the number of different partitions of $N$ edges into $|E_0|$ cells is an upper bound for the size of the largest set of translation non-equivalent tiles of type $j$ in $F_t(T_i)$ that correspond to paths with $N$ edges, that is, to paths $\gamma$ with $N_\gamma = N$. The number of such partitions is

$$\left( N + |E_0| - 1 \right) \left( |E_0| - 1 \right) = O(N |E_0|^{-1}) = O(t^{|E_0|^{-1}}),$$

where the implied constants depend only on the parameters of $\sigma$. Since there are finitely many types of tiles, there are $O(t^{|E_0|^{-1}})$ pairwise translation non-equivalent tiles in $F_t(T_i)$ that correspond to paths with $N$ edges. Recall that $N$ is an integer between $t/M$ and $t/m$, thus there are $O(t^{|E_0|})$ pairwise translation non-equivalent tiles in $F_t(T_i)$, as required. $\Box$
We denote by \( \#\mathcal{P} \) the number of tiles in a patch \( \mathcal{P} \).

**Lemma 8.5.** For every \( t_0 > 0 \) and \( T_i \in \tau_\sigma \) there exist \( t \geq t_0 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that for every \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0) \) we have

\[
\#F_{t+\varepsilon}(T_i) - \#F_t(T_i) \geq C \frac{\text{vol}(\text{supp}F_t(T_i))}{\log|\varepsilon_0| \text{vol}(\text{supp}F_t(T_i))} = C \frac{e^{dt}}{t|\varepsilon_0|^t},
\]

(8.3)

where \( C \) depends only on the parameters of \( \sigma \).

**Proof.** Let \( t_0 > 0 \) and \( T_i \in \tau_\sigma \) and consider the patch \( \mathcal{P} = F_{t_0}(T_i) \). By the definition of the semi-flow \( F_t \) we have \( \text{vol}(\text{supp}(\mathcal{P})) = e^{d_0} \), which implies that \( \#\mathcal{P} \geq e^{d_0} \) since all tiles are of volume at most 1. Combining Lemma 8.4 and the pigeonhole principle, there is a tile \( T \) in \( \mathcal{P} \) and a constant \( c > 0 \), so that \( T \) has at least \( c e^{d_0} \) many translation equivalent copies in \( \mathcal{P} \). Suppose that \( \alpha \leq 1 \) is the scale of \( T \), and set \( t = t_0 + \log 1/\alpha \). Then the patch \( \mathcal{P}_1 := F_t(T_i) \) contains a tile \( F_{\log 1/\alpha}(T) \) which is of unit volume, and has at least \( c e^{d_0} \) many translation equivalent copies in \( \mathcal{P}_1 \). Let \( \beta < 1 \) be the maximal scale of a tile in \( \mathcal{P}_1 \) whose scale is strictly smaller than 1, and set \( \varepsilon_0 := \log 1/\beta > 0 \). Then the number of tiles in the patch \( F_{t+\varepsilon}(T_i) \) is the same for any choice of \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0) \). Pick some \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0) \) and let \( \mathcal{P}_2 := F_{t+\varepsilon}(T_i) \). Then all of the copies of \( F_{\log 1/\alpha}(T) \) in \( \mathcal{P}_2 \) are of unit volume, where in \( \mathcal{P}_2 \) all of these tiles were already subdivided via \( \sigma \), and (8.3) follows. \( \square \)

**Proof 2 of Theorem 8.2.** Observe that it follows from Theorem 8.1 that for any minimal space \( X \) of Delone sets, or tilings, if there exists some \( T \in \mathcal{X} \) that is not uniformly spread, then every \( T \in \mathcal{X} \) is not uniformly spread (compare [FG, Theorem 3.2], the argument holds in any minimal space). In fact, under the assumption of minimality, if some \( T \in \mathcal{X} \) satisfies (8.1) for all measurable sets \( U \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) with some positive constants \( \alpha \) and \( c > 0 \), then every \( T \in \mathcal{X} \) satisfies (8.1) with the same constants \( \alpha \) and \( c \). So in view of Theorem 6.1 we may assume by contradiction that there exist constants \( \alpha, c > 0 \) for which

\[
\forall T \in \mathcal{X}_\sigma \quad \forall U \subset \mathbb{R}^d \text{ measurable : } \quad \|\#[U]^T - \alpha \cdot \text{vol}(U)\| \leq c \cdot \text{vol}((\partial U)^+) \tag{8.4}
\]

Consider sets \( U \) of the form \( \text{supp}(F_t(T_i)) \), with \( t > 0 \) and \( T_i \in \tau_\sigma \). By (8.2) there exist \( \delta > 0 \) and \( t_0 \) large enough so that for every \( t \geq t_0 \) and every \( T_i \in \tau_\sigma \)

\[
\frac{C}{3 t |\varepsilon_0|^t} > c \cdot e^{(d-\eta-\delta)t} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{(d-\eta-\delta)t} > \text{vol}((\partial \text{supp}(F_t(T_i)))^+),
\]

(8.5)

where \( C \) is the constant in (8.3). By Lemma 8.5 there exist some \( t \geq t_0 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) that satisfy (8.3). Since

\[
|\text{vol}(\text{supp}(F_t(T_i))) - \text{vol}(\text{supp}(F_{t+\varepsilon}(T_i)))| \leq \text{vol}((\partial \text{supp}(F_{t}(T_i)))^+) \tag{8.4}
\]

we deduce from (8.3) that either

\[
|\#F_{t+\varepsilon}(T_i) - \alpha \cdot \text{vol}(\text{supp}(F_{t+\varepsilon}(T_i)))| \geq \frac{C}{3 t |\varepsilon_0|^t} > c \cdot e^{(d-\eta-\delta)t},
\]

or

\[
|\#F_{t}(T_i) - \alpha \cdot \text{vol}(\text{supp}(F_{t}(T_i)))| \geq \frac{C}{3 t |\varepsilon_0|^t} > c \cdot e^{(d-\eta-\delta)t}.
\]

Combined with (8.5) this contradicts (8.4), which completes the proof. \( \square \)
Remark 8.6. Let $d \geq 2$. A point set $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is called rectifiable, or bi-Lipschitz equivalent to a lattice, if there exists a bi-Lipschitz bijection $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$. Namely, a bijection $\phi$ for which there is some constant $L \geq 1$ that satisfies
\[
\frac{1}{L} \leq \frac{\|\phi(y_1) - \phi(y_2)\|}{\|y_1 - y_2\|} \leq L
\]
for every two distinct points $y_1, y_2 \in Y$.

Rectifiability is often established using a sufficient condition of Burago and Kleiner, which requires an appropriate upper bound on the discrepancy $\left| \# [U]^T - \alpha \cdot \text{vol}(U) \right|$ for large cubes $U$, see [BK2]. Improving the lower bound of $E(t)$ from Lemma 8.3 to a polynomial error term of the form $e^{dt}/t^\delta$ for some $\delta < 1$, would imply the failure of Burago-Kleiner condition for all point sets that arise from multiscale substitution tilings. Löhöfer and Mayer claim this with $\delta = 1$ for what in our context would be constructions associated with the golden ratio, which heuristically is the case with the smallest error term, see [LM, p. 5]. Unfortunately, this appears without proof.

Consider the “Sturmian” tiling discussed in Proposition 5.4, for which $c_S(k) = k + 1$ and the generating substitution scheme consists of a single square prototile. Namely, there are only $k + 1$ tiles in the patch $F_{k_5}(T)$ that are pairwise translation non-equivalent. Repeating the arguments of Lemma 8.5 and Proof 2 of Theorem 8.2 yields a sequence $(U_k)_{k \geq 0}$ of square patches that grow exponentially, with
\[
\left| \# [U_k]^T - \alpha \cdot \text{vol}(U_k) \right| = \Omega \left( \frac{\text{vol}(U_k)}{\log(\text{vol}(U_k))} \right).
\]
This shows in particular that the Burago-Kleiner sufficient condition fails for this tiling!

We remark that the existence of non-rectifiable point sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a non-trivial result, see [BK1] and [McM]. Finding concrete non-rectifiable examples, which currently include only those described in [CN] and in [G], is a very interesting problem.

9. Uniform patch frequencies

This section is dedicated to the study of patch frequencies in incommensurable tilings. We define a multiscale tiling variant of patch frequency, where instead of counting appearances of patches up to translation equivalence as done in standard constructions, we group together patches that are dilations of each other. This is crucial in order to establish the existence of positive uniform patch frequencies for dilations of legal patches, which is key in our proof of unique ergodicity of incommensurable tiling dynamical system in §10.

Our proof follows the framework suggested in appendix A.1 of [LMS2] for the existence of uniform patch frequencies of fixed scale substitution tilings. Indeed, some of the steps are identical to those of Lee, Moody and Solomyak, while others require new ideas and results that strongly depend on the incommensurability of the underlying substitution scheme. In particular, the assumption of primitivity and the theory of Perron-Frobenius are replaced by our results on patches in incommensurable tilings and their scales developed in Sections 5 and 7.

Definition 9.1. A sequence $(A_q)_{q \geq 1}$ of bounded measurable subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is van Hove if
\[
\lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{\text{vol} \left( (\partial A_q)^{++} \right)}{\text{vol}(A_q)} = 0
\]
for all $r > 0$, where as before $A^+ r = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \text{dist}(x, A) \leq r \}$. In addition, we denote

$$A^{- r} := \{ x \in A : \text{dist}(x, \partial A) \geq r \}$$

for any $r > 0$ and a bounded set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible incommensurable substitution scheme in $\mathbb{R}^d$. By our definition of tiles and by Proposition 4.5, all tiles in a tiling $T \in \mathbb{R}^d$ have boundary of measure zero, and so every sequence of supertiles with growing order is van Hove. Given a patch $P$ in a tiling $T \in \mathbb{R}^d$, a bounded interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and a bounded set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, denote

$$L_{P, I}(A, T) := \#\{ g \in \mathbb{R}^d : \exists \alpha \in I \text{ s.t. } g + \alpha P \subset T, (g + \text{supp}(\alpha P)) \subset A \},$$

$$N_{P, I}(A, T) := \#\{ g \in \mathbb{R}^d : \exists \alpha \in I \text{ s.t. } g + \alpha P \subset T, (g + \text{supp}(\alpha P)) \cap A \neq \emptyset \},$$

where once again $\#B$ denotes the number of elements in a finite set $B$.

**Theorem 9.2.** Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible incommensurable substitution scheme in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and let $S \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a stationary tiling. Let $P$ be a patch in $S$ and let $I$ be a bounded interval that contains 1 and a left neighborhood of 1. Then for every van Hove sequence $(A_q)_{q \geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$\text{freq}(P, I, S) := \lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{L_{P, I}(A_q + h, S)}{\text{vol}(A_q)}$$

(9.1)

exists uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and is positive.

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ be so that $S = F_s(S)$. Since $S$ is fixed, we simplify notation and set $L_{P, I}(A) := L_{P, I}(A, S)$ and $N_{P, I}(A) := N_{P, I}(A, S)$.

**Lemma 9.3.** Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded set and let $(A_q)_{q \geq 1}$ be a van Hove sequence in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $P$ be a patch in $S$ and let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded interval that contains 1 and a left neighborhood of 1. Then

1. there exists $c_1 > 0$, which depends only on $\sigma$, so that

$$L_{P, I}(A) \leq c_1 \text{vol}(A).$$

2. there exist $c_2, q_0 > 0$, which depend on $P, I$ and $(A_q)_{q \geq 1}$, so that for all $q \geq q_0$

$$L_{P, I}(A_q + h) \geq c_2 \text{vol}(A_q).$$

3. $\lim_{q \to \infty} N_{P, I}(\partial(A_q + h))/L_{P, I}(A_q + h) = 0$ uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

**Proof.** We follow the proof of Lemma A.4 in [LMS2]. Parts (1) and (3) are identical but are short and so we include them here. Lemma 5.7 replaces primitivity in part (2).

1. Select a tile from the patch $P$. Distinct patches of the form $\alpha P$ in $S$ will have distinct selected tiles, therefore

$$L_{P, I}(A) \leq V_{\text{min}}^{-1} \text{vol}(A),$$

where $V_{\text{min}}$ is the infimum over tile volumes in $S$.

2. By Lemma 5.7 and since $S$ is stationary, there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every tile $T$ in $S$, the patch $F_{\ell \alpha}(T)$ contains a copy of the patch $\alpha P$ as a sub-patch, for some $\alpha \in I$. It follows that for any set $A$, the number $L_{P, I}(A)$ is at least the number of $\ell$-supertiles with support contained in $A$. Since tiles in $S$ are of volume
at most 1, the volume of an $\ell$-supertile is at most $e^{\ell s d}$. Let $r$ be the supremum of the diameters of $\ell$-supertiles. We have obtained
\[
L_{P,I}(A_q + h) \geq e^{-\ell s d} \text{vol}(A_q^{-r} + h) = e^{-\ell s d} \text{vol}(A_q^{-r}) \\
\geq e^{-\ell s d} \left( \text{vol}(A_q) - \text{vol} \left( (\partial A_q)^{+r} \right) \right).
\]

Since $(A_q)_{q \in \mathbb{P}}$ is van Hove, the proof is complete.

(3) Let $r$ denote the supremum of the diameters of the supports of $\alpha T$, for $\alpha \in I$. In view of parts (1) and (2) of this lemma
\[
\frac{N_{P,I}(\partial A_q + h)}{L_{P,I}(A_q + h)} \leq \frac{L_{P,I}((\partial A_q)^{+r} + h)}{L_{P,I}(A_q + h)} \leq \frac{c_1 \text{vol}((\partial A_q)^{+r} + h)}{c_2 \text{vol}(A_q + h)} = \frac{c_1 \text{vol}(\partial A_q)^{+r}}{c_2 \text{vol}(A_q)} \to 0,
\]
uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, as required.

Consider [2] for $q$-supertiles, that is, $A_q = e^{\varepsilon q} \text{supp} T$, where $T$ is a tile in $S$. Since there are only finitely many types of tiles and the set of scales in which they appear is bounded, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 9.4.** Under the assumptions of Lemma 9.3, if $A_q = e^{\varepsilon q} \text{supp} T$, where $T$ is a tile in $S$, then there exists a constant for which (2) holds for all tiles $T$ in $S$, and the convergence in (3) is uniform in the choice of $T$.

The main step of the proof of Theorem 9.2 is given by the following Lemma.

**Lemma 9.5.** Let $S, P$ and $I$ be as above. Then
\[
c_{P,I} := \lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{L_{P,I}(e^{q \text{supp} T})}{\text{vol}(e^{q \text{supp} T})} > 0
\] (9.2)
exists uniformly in tiles $T$ in $S$.

**Proof.** Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 9.3 and Corollary 9.4, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $m \geq m_0$ and every tile $T$ in $S$
\[
N_{P,I}(\partial e^{m \text{supp} T}) \leq \varepsilon L_{P,I}(e^{m \text{supp} T}),
\] (9.3)
Choose a tile $T$ in $S$, and assume it is of type $j$ and scale $\alpha$, that is, $T$ is a translated copy of $\alpha T_j$, and set $A = \text{supp} T$. For $q > m > m_0$, consider the decomposition of the $q$-supertile $e^{q \text{supp} T}$ into $m$-supertiles. The support of an $m$-supertile of type $i$ is of the form $e^{m \beta A_i}$, where $A_i$ is a translation of the support of the prototile $T_i \in \tau_{\sigma}$, and $\beta \in (\beta_{i \text{min}}^m, 1]$ is the scale in which the corresponding tile appears in $F_{(q-m)\alpha}(T_j)$.

Observe that the set $\{F_{m \beta}(T_i) : \beta \in (\beta_{i \text{min}}^m, 1]\}$ consists of a finite number of patches up to rescaling, because $F_{m \beta}(T_i) = F_{m - \log(1/\beta)}(T_i)$ and
\[
\mathcal{P}_i \cap \{m s - \log(1/\beta) : \beta \in (\beta_{i \text{min}}^m, 1]\}
\] is a finite set. Therefore, there is a finite number of tile substitutions under the semi-flow $F_i(T_i)$ for $m s - \log(1/\beta_{i \text{min}}^m) < t \leq m s$. It follows that $L_{P,I}(e^{m \beta A_i})$ is a piecewise constant function of the variable $\beta \in (\beta_{i \text{min}}^m, 1]$. Namely, there is a finite partition of the interval $(\beta_{i \text{min}}^m, 1]$ into $M_i$ sub-intervals $\{I_i, \ldots, I_i, M_i\}$, depending only on $P, I$ and $m$, so that for every choice of representatives $\{\beta_{i, \ell} \in I_i, \ell = 1, \ldots, M_i\}$ the equality
\[
L_{P,I}(e^{m \beta A_i}) = L_{P,I}(e^{m \beta_{i, \ell} A_i}).
\] holds for every $\beta \in I_{i, \ell}$.
Denote by \( n_{i,\ell,q} \) the number of \( m \)-supertiles with support \( e^{ms} \beta A_i \) with \( \beta \in I_{i,\ell} \) in the decomposition of \( e^{qs} T \) into \( m \)-supertiles. Together with (9.3), we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{M_i} L_{i,\ell}(e^{ms} \beta_i,\ell A_i) n_{i,\ell,q} \leq L_{i,\ell}(e^{qs} A)
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{M_i} L_{i,\ell}(e^{ms} \beta_i,\ell A_i) n_{i,\ell,q} + N_{i,\ell}(\partial e^{ms} \beta_i,\ell A_i) n_{i,\ell,q} \tag{9.4}
\]

\[
\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{M_i} L_{i,\ell}(e^{ms} \beta_i,\ell A_i) n_{i,\ell,q}.
\]

Note that \( n_{i,\ell,q} \) is exactly the number of tiles of type \( i \) and scale in the interval \( I_{i,\ell} \) in the patch \( F_{(q-m)s-\log(1/\alpha)}(T_j) \). By Theorem 7.3 there exists a constant \( \varphi_{i,\ell} > 0 \) independent of \( j \) so that

\[
\lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{n_{i,\ell,q}}{e^{((q-m)s-\log(1/\alpha))d}} = \varphi_{i,\ell}. \tag{9.5}
\]

Dividing (9.4) by \( \text{vol}(e^{qs} A) = e^{(qs-\log(1/\alpha))d} \), this yields

\[
\lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{n_{i,\ell,q}}{\text{vol}(e^{qs} A)} = \varphi_{i,\ell} e^{-msd}. \tag{9.6}
\]

By (1) of Lemma 9.3 and since tiles in \( S \) are of volume at most 1, we have

\[
L_{i,\ell}(e^{ms} \beta_i,\ell A_i) \leq c_1 \text{vol}(e^{ms} \beta_i,\ell A_i) \leq c_1 e^{msd}.
\]

The right-hand side of (9.6) is thus bounded by

\[
\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{M_i} c_1 \varphi_{i,\ell} \leq \varepsilon \bar{c},
\]

with \( \bar{c} \) independent of \( q \) and of \( m \). Since \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is arbitrarily, the limit in (9.2) exists. This limit, denoted by \( c_{p,1} \), satisfies

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{M_i} L_{i,\ell}(e^{ms} \beta_i,\ell A_i) \varphi_{i,\ell} e^{-msd} \leq c_{p,1} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{M_i} L_{i,\ell}(e^{ms} \beta_i,\ell A_i) \varphi_{i,\ell} e^{-msd},
\]

independent of the choice of tile \( T \in S \). By (2) of Lemma 9.3, for large enough values of \( m \) the left-hand side is positive, and so \( c_{p,1} \) is positive.  

The proof of Theorem 9.2 now follows by the same arguments as those given in detail in the proof of Lemma A.6 in [LMS2].

**Sketch of proof of Theorem 9.2.** Consider the decomposition of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) into the \( m \)-supertiles \( e^{ms} T \) for \( T \in S \). \( L_{i,\ell}(A_q + h) \) can be approximated by sums of \( L_{i,\ell}(e^{ms} \text{supp} T) \), using Lemma 9.5. The van Hove property is then used to show that boundary effects are negligible.  

In fact, the arguments used above can be used to establish the existence of uniform patch frequencies for general tilings \( T \in X_\sigma^F \).
Corollary 9.6. Let $T \in \mathcal{X}_s^F$. For any legal patch $P$ in $T$ and a bounded interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ that contains a left neighborhood of 1

$$\text{freq}(P, I, T) = \text{freq}(P, I, S) > 0,$$

and in particular, Corollary 5.8 holds for $T$. Non-legal patches have zero frequency.

Proof. We only sketch the proof, since it follows from standard arguments about supertiles as in the proof of [LS, Theorem 4.11 (ii)]. If $P$ is a legal patch and $I$ is an interval as above, then for any tiling $T \in \mathcal{X}_s^F$ the associated frequency in supertiles approaches $c_{P, I}$, and when considering general sets the difference amounts to boundary effects. In the non-legal case, since such patches must intersect the boundaries of supertiles of arbitrarily large order, the van Hove property of sequences of supertiles deem the frequencies negligible. □

We end this section by showing that in the classical sense of uniform patch frequency, all patches in tiling $\mathcal{X}_s^F$ have zero frequency.

Theorem 9.7. Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible incommensurable substitution scheme in $\mathbb{R}^d$, let $S \in \mathcal{X}_s^F$ be a stationary tiling, and let $P$ be a patch in $T \in \mathcal{X}_s^F$. For any van Hove sequence $(A_q)_{q \geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$\text{freq}(P, T) := \lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{L_P(A_q + h)}{\text{vol}(A_q)} = 0$$

uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where

$$L_P(A) := L_{P, \{1\}} = \{g \in \mathbb{R}^d : g + P \subset T, (g + \text{supp}(P)) \subset A\}.$$

Proof. The proof follows from the fact that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $m \geq m_0$

$$\frac{L_P(e^{ms}A)}{\text{vol}(e^{ms}A)} < \varepsilon$$

holds, for every set $A$ that is the support of a tile $T$. This is true because the patch $P$ contains at least one tile, say a translated copy of $\beta T_i$ for some prototile $T_i \in \tau_{\sigma}$ and $\beta \in (\beta_{\min}, 1]$. Clearly, $L_P(e^{ms}A)$ is smaller than the number of copies of $\beta T_i$ contained in $F_{ms}(A)$, which is $o(\text{vol}(e^{ms}A))$ by Corollary 7.4. As in Theorem 9.2, the proof now follows from standard approximation arguments for supertiles and the van Hove property. □

10. Unique Ergodicity

This section is dedicated to the proof of unique ergodicity of incommensurable tiling dynamical systems.

Theorem 10.1. Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible incommensurable substitution scheme in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then the dynamical system $(\mathcal{X}_s^F, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is uniquely ergodic.

Our proof draws inspiration from that given in [LS] for the case of tiling spaces associated with fixed scale substitution tilings of infinite local complexity. Although the approach and framework follow that of Lee and Solomyak, since Theorem 9.7 implies that the standard patch frequencies are all zero, their arguments cannot be applied directly. The main innovation is given in Lemma 10.3 in which we take advantage of the fact that patch frequencies are non-zero only when patches are counted with their rescalings in some non-trivial interval of scales. This allows us to use the theory of Riemann-Stieltjes integration in order to evaluate the countable sum to which the ergodic averages converge.
10.1. **Cylinder sets and partitions of the space of tilings.** Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible incommensurable multiscale substitution scheme in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and let

$$
\mathcal{X}_S := \overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{S})} = \{ \mathcal{S} - x : x \in \mathbb{R}^d \},
$$

where $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{X}_\sigma^F$ is a stationary tiling. As shown in §6, the dynamical system $(\mathcal{X}_\sigma^F, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is minimal, and so $\mathcal{X}_S = \mathcal{X}_\sigma^F$. Nevertheless, we use the notation $\mathcal{X}_S$ to emphasize that the space is the orbit closure of a specified stationary tiling $\mathcal{S}$. We begin by defining a sequence of partitions of $\mathcal{X}_S$ into finitely many cylinder sets.

The idea is to create “pixelized” images from patches and to define cylinders as the collection of tilings with the same “pixelized” image on a large centered cube

$$C_m := [-2^m, 2^m]^d.$$

Subdivide $C_m$ into small cubes $c_\omega$ of side length $\frac{1}{2^m}$ taken to be products of half open intervals (this are the “pixels”), and write

$$C_m = \bigsqcup_{\omega=1}^{2^{2m+1}d} c_\omega.$$

Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{X}_S$, and consider the patch $[C_m]^T$, which consists of all tiles in $\mathcal{T}$ that intersect $C_m$. We use this patch to color the small cubes with colors $\{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ according to the following rule. Recall that by Proposition 4.5, every tile in every tiling in $\mathcal{X}_\sigma^F$ is similar to one of the prototiles in $\tau_\sigma$ and can be assigned a type. If $c_\omega$ is contained in the interior of the support of a tile of type $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we color the cube $c_\omega$ in color $i$. Otherwise, $c_\omega$ intersects the boundary of the support of a tile in $\mathcal{T}$. In such a case we color $c_\omega$ in the color 0. This coloring of the small cubes in $C_m$ according to $[C_m]^T$ is the $m$-pixelization of $\mathcal{T}$, and is denoted by $\Pi_m(\mathcal{T})$, see Figure 12 for an illustration.

**Figure 12.** A patch $[C_m]^T$ and its $m$-pixelization.

Next, denote by $\{U_{m,\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^{N_m}$ the finite set of all different colorings of the small cubes in $C_m$ using the colors $0, 1, \ldots, n$. For $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, N_m\}$, let

$$X(U_{m,\ell}) := \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{X}_S : \Pi_m(\mathcal{T}) = U_{m,\ell} \}.$$
be the set of tilings in \( X_S \) whose \( m \)-pixelization is \( U_{m,\ell} \). This is a cylinder set in \( X_S \), and clearly for every \( m \in \mathbb{N} \)
\[
X_S = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{N_m} X(U_{m,\ell}),
\]
(10.1)
where \( \bigcup \) denotes a disjoint union.

Fix \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \ell \in \{1, \ldots, N_m\} \), and consider the set \( G_{m,\ell} \) of all patches of the form \([C_m]^{S-x}\) with \( S - x \in X(U_{m,\ell}) \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \). For every patch \( P \in G_{m,\ell} \), there is a Borel set \( V_P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) of maximal wiggle, for which \( \Pi_m(P - x) = U_{m,\ell} \) for all \( x \in V_P \) and \( V_P \) is maximal with this property with respect to inclusion. Since the small cubes \( c_\omega \) are products of half open intervals, for every patch \( P \in G_{m,\ell} \) the set \( V_P \) contains an open set. Note that although \( V_P \) always contains the origin, it is not necessarily an interior point of \( V_P \).

The tiling \( S \) has countably many patches up to translation equivalence, and so there are countably many patches of the form \([C_m]^{S-x}\) in \( G_{m,\ell} \), modulo translation equivalence. We choose a set \( (G_{m,\ell})_\equiv \) of representatives in the following way. First, note that given a patch \( P \in G_{m,\ell} \), there exists an interval of scales in which dilations of \( P \) have \( U_{m,\ell} \) as their \( m \)-pixelization, where the \( m \)-pixelization of a patch with support that covers \( C_m \) is defined similarly to the \( m \)-pixelization of a tiling. If this interval of scales is degenerate and contains only 1, we can take advantage of the fact that the set \( V_P \) of maximal wiggle contains an open set, and so for some small translation of \( P \) the corresponding set of scales is non-degenerate. In addition, recall that by Lemma \[5.7\] patches appear in \( S \) in a dense set of scales. Combining the above we conclude that it is always possible to choose a set \( (G_{m,\ell})_\equiv \) of representatives modulo translation equivalence of the form
\[
(G_{m,\ell})_\equiv = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} \{s_{ij}P_j\}_{i \geq 1},
\]
(10.2)
with the property that for every \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), the scaling constants \( \{s_{ij}\}_{i \geq 1} \) are dense in some interval \( I_j \) that contains 1 and a left neighborhood of 1.

Given a patch \( P \) and a set \( V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \), define
\[
X(P, V) := \{T \in X_S : \exists x \in V \text{ s.t. } P - x \subset T\}.
\]
It follows that
\[
X(U_{m,\ell}) = \bigcup_{i,j \geq 1} X(s_{ij}P_j, V_{s_{ij}P_j}) \bigcup \tilde{X}_{m,\ell},
\]
where \( P_j, \{s_{ij}\}_{i \geq 1} \) and \( I_j \) as in (10.2), \( V_{s_{ij}P_j} \) are the sets of maximal wiggle for the patches \( s_{ij}P_j \), and
\[
\tilde{X}_{m,\ell} := \{T \in X_S : \Pi_m(T) = U_{m,\ell}, \exists x \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ s.t. } [C_m]^T + x \subset S\}
\]
are the tilings so that the patch \([C_m]^T\) is only admitted in the limit, compare \[LS0\].

10.2. **Proof of unique ergodicity.** Denote by \( \chi_{m,\ell} \) the characteristic function of \( X(U_{m,\ell}) \). Using the decomposition (10.1), in order to establish unique ergodicity for \((X_S, \mathbb{R}^d)\) it is enough to show that for every van Hove sequence \((A_q)_{q \geq 1}\) and every pair \((m, \ell)\)
\[
\lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(A_q)} \int_{A_q} \chi_{m,\ell}(S - x - h) \, dx = u_{m,\ell}
\]
uniformly in \( h \in \mathbb{R}^d \), where \( u_{m,\ell} \) is a constant depending only on \( m \) and on \( \ell \). We note that relying on the decomposition of the space (10.1) into finitely many pairwise disjoint
By definition of the Riemann-Stieltjes integral and by our construction, we have

\[
\lim_{q \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{\text{vol}(A_q)} \int_{A_q} x_{m,t}(S - x - h)dx - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{vol}(V_{s_{i,j},p_{j}}) L_{s_{i,j},p_{j}}(A_q + h)}{\text{vol}(A_q)} \right) = 0.
\]

Here \( L_{P}(A) := L_{P,\{I\}}(A) \), where as in (9) we simplify notation and put \( L_{P,I}(A) = L_{P,I}(A,S) \). Combined with (10.3), unique ergodicity of \((\mathbb{X}_S, \mathbb{R}^d)\) will follow from the next result.

**Proposition 10.2.** There exist constants \( \tilde{v}_j \) so that

\[
\lim_{q \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{vol}(V_{s_{i,j},p_{j}}) L_{s_{i,j},p_{j}}(A_q + h)}{\text{vol}(A_q)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{v}_j \text{freq}(P_j, I_j, S) < \infty,
\]

uniformly in \( h \in \mathbb{R}^d \), with \( P_j, \{s_{i,j}\}_{i \geq 1} \) and \( I_j \) as in (10.2) and \( \text{freq}(P, I, S) \) as in (9.1).

For the proof of Proposition 10.2 we establish the following two lemmas. Lemma 10.3 in particular is a key step in the proof, and the density of scales in which patches appear in incommensurable tilings plays an important role, together with the existence of uniform patch frequencies as established in §9.

**Lemma 10.3.** For every \( j \geq 1 \) there exists a constant \( \tilde{v}_j \) so that

\[
\lim_{q \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{vol}(V_{s_{i,j},p_{j}}) L_{s_{i,j},p_{j}}(A_q + h)}{\text{vol}(A_q)} = \tilde{v}_j \text{freq}(P_j, I_j, S),
\]

uniformly in \( h \in \mathbb{R}^d \).

**Proof.** Fix \( j \), and write \( a := \inf I_j \) and \( b := \sup I_j \). For every \( x \in [a, b] \) denote \( I(x) := [a, x] \). Define the functions \( f, g_{q,h} : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) by

\[
f(x) = \text{vol}(V_{x,p_{j}}),
\]

\[
g_{q,h}(x) = \frac{L_{P,I(x)}(A_q + h)}{\text{vol}(A_q)},
\]

where \( f(a) = 0 \) if \( \Pi_m(aP_{j}) \neq U_{m,t} \). By definition, \( f \) is continuous and \( g_{q,h} \) is monotone for every \( q \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( h \in \mathbb{R}^d \), and so the Riemann-Stieltjes integral

\[
\int_a^b f dg_{q,h},
\]

exists (see [A §7]). Fix \( N \in \mathbb{N} \), and relabel the elements of \( \{s_{i,j}\}_{i=1}^N \) in an increasing order. Let \( \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N \) be so that

\[
a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_N = b
\]

\[
x_{i-1} \leq s_{i,j} \leq x_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq N.
\]

By definition of the Riemann-Stieltjes integral and by our construction, we have

\[
\int_a^b f dg_{q,h} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(s_{i,j}) (g_{q,h}(x_i) - g_{q,h}(x_{i-1})) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{vol}(V_{s_{i,j},p_{j}}) L_{s_{i,j},p_{j}}(A_q + h)}{\text{vol}(A_q)},
\]

(10.4)
where the second equality holds because for fixed $q$ and $h$ the sum on the right-hand side is finite. We remark that even though almost every term in the sum established in (10.4) is equal to 0, as $q$ increases and $h$ varies the quantity $L_{s_{i,j}P_j}(A_q + h)$ gets positive values for every $i$.

By Theorems 9.2 and 9.7, the function

\[ g(x) := \lim_{q \to \infty} g_{q,h}(x) = \text{freq}(P_j, I(x), S) \]

is well defined, and the convergence is uniform in $h$. Observe that $f$ is bounded and that $g(x)$ is monotone. By the first mean value theorem for Riemann-Stieltjes integrals (see [A, Theorem 7.30]) and Theorem 9.7, there exists a positive constant $\inf \{ f(x) \} \leq \bar{v}_j \leq \sup \{ f(x) \}$ for which

\[ \int_a^b f dx = \bar{v}_j(g_x(b) - g_x(a)) = \bar{v}_j \text{freq}(P_j, I_j, S). \]  

(10.5)

In view of (10.4) and (10.5), to finish the proof we therefore must show that the order of the limit and the integration can be switched, that is

\[ \lim_{q \to \infty} \int_a^b f dx = \int_a^b f \left( \lim_{q \to \infty} g_{q,h} \right) = \int_a^b f \text{d}g_x. \]  

(10.6)

Indeed, let us fix $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Using integration by parts (see [A, §7.5]) we obtain

\[ \int_a^b f dx = f(b)g_{q,h}(b) - f(a)g_{q,h}(a) - \int_a^b g_{q,h} df. \]  

(10.7)

Note that $g_{q,h}$ is a monotone, piecewise-constant function, and that $f$ is continuous. Then the integral on the right-hand side of (10.7) exists, and can be viewed as a Lebesgue integral. Taking limits as $q \to \infty$ in (10.7) we obtain

\[ \lim_{q \to \infty} \int_a^b f dx = f(b)g_{q,h}(b) - f(a)g_{q,h}(a) - \lim_{q \to \infty} \int_a^b g_{q,h} df. \]  

(10.8)

Since the patches $s_{i,j}P_j$ are of bounded diameter $\rho$ (which is related to $m$), we have

\[ g_{q,h} \leq C(\rho), \]

where $C(\rho)$ is a constant that depends only on the tiling $S$ and on $\rho$. Therefore, by the Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem and another integration by parts

\[ \lim_{q \to \infty} \int_a^b g_{q,h} df = \int_a^b g_x df = g_x(b) f(b) - g_x(a) f(a) - \int_a^b f \text{d}g_x. \]  

(10.9)

Combining (10.8) and (10.9) we arrive at (10.6), thus finishing the proof.

**Lemma 10.4.** Assume that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $q_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $q \geq q_0$ and every $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$

\[ \sum_{j=q_0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{vol}(V_{s_{i,j}P_j} L_{s_{i,j}P_j}(A_q + h))}{\text{vol}(A_q)} < \varepsilon. \]

Then Proposition 10.2 holds.

**Proof.** The proof is very similar to the proof of [LS0, Corollary 3.3]. The key step is to use Lemma 10.3 in order to apply Fatou’s lemma and bound from below the limit inferior of the expression on the left-hand side of (10.2). The limit superior is then bounded from above using the assumed inequality. □
We now use Lemmas 10.3 and 10.4 to establish Proposition 10.2, from which unique ergodicity of the dynamical system follows, thus proving Theorem 10.1.

Proof of Proposition 10.2. Fix $r > 0$ and enumerate all patches in $S$ that have diameter less than $r$. A patch $\mathcal{P}$ is called $k$-special if it occurs as a sub-patch of a $k$-supertile, with $k$ minimal, and we denote $sp(\mathcal{P}) = k$. As shown in the proof of Lemma 9.5, up to dilation there are finitely many patches that can be supported on a $k$-supertile. Group all inflations of a given patch together. By the above and by Lemma 10.4, it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{sp(\mathcal{P}) > k} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{s_i \mathcal{P}}(A_q + h) \frac{\text{vol}(A_q)}{\text{vol}(A_q)}$$

(10.10)

can be made arbitrarily small, where $(s_i)_{i \geq 1}$ is an enumeration of the set of possible scales in which $\mathcal{P}$ can appear. Namely, we need to show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $k_1, q_1 > 0$ such that for every $k \geq k_1$ and $q \geq q_1$ the quantity in (10.10) is less than $\varepsilon$.

Indeed, since the support of every $k$-special patch intersects the boundary of some $k$-supertile, we have

$$\sum_{sp(\mathcal{P}) > k} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{s_i \mathcal{P}}(A_q + h) \leq \# \left\{ \mathcal{P} : \text{diam}(\mathcal{P}) \leq r, \text{supp}(\mathcal{P}) \subset \bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{A}} \left( \mathcal{O}(e^{k \text{supp} T}) \right)^{+r} \right\}$$

(10.11)

where the union is taken over the set $\mathcal{A}$ of all tiles $T \in S$ such that $e^{k \text{supp} T} \subset (A_q + h)^{+r}$. The rest of the proof is now identical to that of [LSol, Theorem 4.14]. There exists a constant $C_r$ such that the right-hand side of (10.11) is bounded by

$$C_r \sum_{T \in \mathcal{A}} \text{vol} \left( \mathcal{O}(e^{k \text{supp} T}) \right)^{+r}.$$  

(10.12)

Given $\delta > 0$, since $(e^{k \text{supp} T})_{k \geq 1}$ is van Hove, (10.12) can be bounded by

$$C_r \delta \text{vol} \left( (A_q + h)^{+r} \right) = C_r \delta \text{vol} \left( (A_q)^{+r} \right)$$

for sufficiently large $k$. The sequence $(A_q)_{q \geq 1}$ is also van Hove, and so

$$\text{vol} \left( (A_q)^{+r} \right) \leq (1 + \delta)\text{vol} A_q$$

for sufficiently large $q$. Therefore, for any sufficiently large $k$, for sufficiently large $q$

$$\sum_{sp(\mathcal{P}) > k} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{s_i \mathcal{P}}(A_q + h) \frac{\text{vol}(A_q)}{\text{vol}(A_q)} \leq C_r \delta (1 + \delta).$$

Since the right-hand side is arbitrarily small, the proposition follows.

Remark 10.5. Unique ergodicity for certain fusion tilings was established in [FS2], and in particular for their one dimensional construction, which as mentioned in Example 2.14 corresponds to the $\frac{1}{3}$-Kakutani tiling. We note that in contrast to their approach, the proof described here does not require recognizable.

We believe that the arguments in §9 and §10 can be extended to the case of schemes with “incommensurability of orientations”, in the sense of the pinwheel tilings. Presumably, an additional layer of isometries would be added to the information carried by the associated graph, and in the definition of patch frequencies, patches would be counted together with isometric copies within a neighborhood of the identity in the associated isometry group. More on this will appear in future work.
Appendix 1. Patches of multiscale substitution tilings

Figure 13. A fragment of an incommensurable multiscale substitution tiling of $\mathbb{R}^2$, generated by the square scheme illustrated in Figure 1.
Figure 14. A fragment of an incommensurable multiscale substitution tiling of $\mathbb{R}^2$, generated by the triangles scheme illustrated in Figure 3.
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