Purpose: Use of radiotherapy combined with chemotherapy is increasing in hypopharyngeal cancer. However, many show residual tumor after radiotherapy. Timing for treatment evaluation and salvage therapy is essential. However, optimal timing for salvage surgery has not been suggested. In this study, we tried to evaluate optimal timing for salvage surgery.

Methods and Materials: Patients who were diagnosed with hypopharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma between 2006 and 2015 were retrospectively analyzed. All patients received definitive radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy. Response of all treated patients were analyzed at 1, 3, and 6 months after radiotherapy. Any patients with progression before 6 months were excluded.

Results: A total of 54 patients were analyzed. Complete remission (CR) rates at 1 month (CR1), 3 months (CR3) and 6 months (CR6) were 66.7%, 81.5%, and 90.7%, respectively. Non-CR at 1 month (NCR1), 3 months (NCR3), and 6 months (NCR6) showed poor locoregional recurrence-free survival rates (1-year rates of 63.7%, 66.7%, and 0.0%, respectively) compared to CR1, CR3, and CR6 (1-year rates 94.3%, 88.0%, and 91.5%, respectively). Particularly significant differences were seen between CR6 and NCR6 (p < 0.001). Of 10 patients with NCR3, 5 showed CR at 6 months (NCR3/CR6). There was no statistical difference in locoregional recurrence-free survival between CR3 and NCR3/CR6 group (p = 0.990).

Conclusion: Our data suggest half of patients who did not show CR at 3 months eventually achieved CR at 6 months. Waiting until 6 months after radiotherapy may be appropriate for avoiding additional salvage therapy.
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Introduction

In hypopharyngeal cancer, functional preservation of the larynx is an important issue in choosing treatment. The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) 24891 study demonstrated that induction
Chemotherapy with cisplatin and fluorouracil followed by definitive radiotherapy has comparable survival to surgery followed by adjuvant radiotherapy [1]. The Meta-Analysis of Chemotherapy on Head and Neck Cancer (MACH-NC) Collaborative Group conducted a meta-analysis regarding benefits of adding chemotherapy in the treatment of head and neck cancer [2]. For hypopharyngeal cancer, although timing of chemotherapy has failed to show statistical survival benefit, concurrent chemoradiotherapy showed significant benefit compared to radiotherapy alone. Based on these data, usage of radiotherapy without surgery is increasing in trend [3-5].

Induction chemotherapy followed by radiotherapy alone or concurrent chemoradiotherapy is now the mainstay of treatment for hypopharyngeal cancer. However, tumor response assessment after radiotherapy is often difficult due to treatment-induced changes such as soft tissue edema, skin thickening and fibrosis. Furthermore, head and neck cancers can regress slowly after treatment, which can be difficult to distinguish from residual tumor [6]. For these reasons, many recommend salvage treatment at least 3 months after definitive radiotherapy. Meanwhile, early detection of residual proliferating tumor cells may allow salvage surgery to be performed without significant complications. Thus, optimal timing for salvage surgery can be crucial in patient management. However, less research is done in hypopharyngeal carcinoma.

In this study, we aim to seek optimal timing for evaluation of patients diagnosed as hypopharyngeal cancer after definitive radiotherapy. Particularly, we aimed to assess response at 1, 3 and 6 months after radiotherapy and show which timepoint may have better association with locoregional failures. By evaluating complete remission (CR) at three timepoints, we could deduce optimal timing of salvage surgery after definitive radiotherapy.

Methods and Materials

1. Participants
Patients who were newly diagnosed as hypopharyngeal cancer from 2006 to 2015 were included. All patients received full dose definitive radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) distant metastasis at diagnosis, (2) radical surgery before radiotherapy (however, local excision of hypopharynx and/or neck dissections were allowed), (3) follow-up less than 6 months, (4) previous head and neck radiation history, (5) non-squamous cell histology, and (6) interval locoregional progression within 6 months of follow-up. Patient data were collected retrospectively using electronic medical records and image database. This study was approved by the Health Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital (No. H-1804-011-932).

2. Treatment
Pre-treatment clinical staging was done using laryngoscopy, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT) if possible. After clinical staging, cases were discussed at the multidisciplinary tumor board for head and neck cancers. Aside from the few patients that had early stage hypopharyngeal cancer, most patients were recommended for induction chemotherapy followed by definitive radiotherapy considering larynx function preservation.

Before radiotherapy, CT simulation was done with a thermoplastic immobilization device. All target volumes and organs at risk were contoured on the simulation CT. Gross tumor volume (GTV) consisted of the hypopharyngeal mass and enlarged neck lymph nodes, if any. High-risk clinical target volume (CTV<sub>High</sub>) was defined as GTV plus 5 mm margins. Intermediate risk CTV (CTV<sub>Intermed</sub>) included areas of the hypopharyngeal mass expanded with 1 cm superior-inferior margins and the uninvolved 1st echelon neck lymph nodes. Low-risk CTV (CTV<sub>Low</sub>) included ipsilateral uninvolved 2nd echelon neck lymph nodes and contralateral neck level II, III lymph nodes in cases with no evidence of contralateral neck metastasis. All CTVs were given 3 mm margins for planning target volume (PTV). Before 2013, radiotherapy was administered as three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy (3D-CRT) with shrinking volume technique with dose of 44 Gy to PTV<sub>Low</sub>, 54 Gy to PTV<sub>Intermed</sub>, and 70 Gy to PTV<sub>High</sub> in 2 Gy dose per fraction. After 2013, simultaneous integrated boost (SIB) technique was commonly used. PTV<sub>High</sub>, PTV<sub>Intermed</sub>, PTV<sub>Low</sub> was usually prescribed as 67.5 Gy, 54 Gy and 48 Gy in 30 fractions, respectively.

For chemotherapy, usually induction was done for patients with advanced hypopharyngeal cancer. Regimen contained mainly docetaxel-cisplatin (DP) and few received docetaxel-5FU-cisplatin (DFP). At the start of radiotherapy, concurrent chemoradiotherapy was offered in patients with advanced hypopharyngeal cancer who could tolerate treatment, mainly with weekly cisplatin. If patients seemed intolerable to concurrent chemoradiotherapy with cisplatin, cetuximab or no chemotherapy was administered.
3. Surveillance and tumor assessment
Patients were routinely assessed at 2 weeks, 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months after completion of radiotherapy. At 2 weeks, we evaluated acute toxicity induced by radiotherapy without any image findings. For 1-month follow-up, acute toxicity and early response was usually evaluated by laryngoscopy, physical neck examination, and image work up such as CT or MRI. At 3 months and 6 months, clinicians evaluated tumor response with laryngoscopy and all patients went through image work up using CT, MRI or PET-CT. The surveillance scheme is described in Fig. 1.

Tumor assessment was categorized into three timepoints (1 month, 3 months, and 6 months). All response assessment at the outpatient clinic was done according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST v1.1) [7]. Response evaluation was classified by a single radiation oncologist with 25 years of experience with head and neck cancer. CR was defined as no suspicious mucosal lesion with normal motility of vocal folds on laryngoscopy and no contrast enhancing or hypermetabolic lesions on image findings. Because partial remission (PR) and stable disease (SD) are difficult to distinguish in hypopharyngeal cancer, we categorized PR and PD as non-CR (NCR). All patients with evident progressive disease (PD) went through salvage operation or chemotherapy depending on patient and disease characteristics. Patients with PD occurring within 6 months of follow-up were offered salvage treatment. These patients were excluded from the final analysis, considering that salvage treatment affects response assessment at 6 months, possibly leading to higher chance of selection bias. For patients with CR at 6 months, no further pathologic confirmation was given per institutional protocol. If suspicious mucosal lesion was sighted, suspension biopsy was done.

4. Outcomes and statistics
CR was defined as CR at the nth month after radiotherapy. PR or SD at the nth month were defined as NCR. For example, CR at 3 months were categorized as CR3, while PR or SD at 6 months were defined as NCR6. Locoregional failure was defined as signs of PD in head and neck lesions, namely the hypopharynx and regional lymph nodes. Locoregional recurrence-free survival was defined as the base of follow-up to the day when locoregional failure was observed, excluding distant metastasis before locoregional failure. Comparing images between simulation CT and follow-up image, patterns of failure were analyzed according to the radiation field, as either in-field or out-of-field. In-field failures were distinguished by PTVhigh, PTVintermed, and PTVlow areas.

The primary endpoint for this study was locoregional recurrence-free survival. Chi-square analysis for categorical data and Student t-test for continuous variables were used to evaluate any differences between two groups. The Kaplan-Meier method and the Mantel-Cox log-rank test were used to compare survival data. Cox proportional hazards regression model was used for multivariate analysis of factors, where p-value was <0.1 in the univariate analysis. Factors with p < 0.05 in each analysis were defined as statistically significant. All the analyses were performed using R 3.4.3 (http://www.r-project.org/).

Result
1. Patient characteristics
A total of 54 patients were analyzed. The median age was 66.3 years (range, 52.2 to 81.1 years) and majority were males (90.7%). ECOG performance status was generally good, except 1 patient that had ECOG-PS 2. Induction chemotherapy was delivered in 30 patients (55.6%) and all received cisplatin-based chemotherapy. DP was used in 15 patients and DFP was used in 7 patients. Nine patients (16.7%) underwent modified radical neck dissection without total hypopharyngectomy before radiotherapy. Forty-two patients (77.8%) received concurrent chemoradiotherapy.
For concurrent chemoradiotherapy, 38 patients received cisplatin as concurrent agents, while 3 received cetuximab and 1 received both cisplatin and cetuximab. The median radiation dose was 67.5 Gy (range, 63.0 to 70.0 Gy). Thirty patients received intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) and 24 patients received 3D-CRT. Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

2. Clinical outcomes
The median follow-up period was 42.3 months (range, 9.4 to 144.4 months). There were 20 locoregional failures during follow-up. Estimated crude locoregional recurrence-free survival at 1 year and 3 years were 84.5% and 64.1%, respectively. In univariate analysis, age, surgery and RT technique had p-value less than 0.1. However, multivariate analysis revealed no statistical significant prognostic factors, with only marginal benefit for surgery (p = 0.081). Cox-regression analysis of prognostic factors are summarized in Table 2.

3. Tumor response assessment
Thirty-six patients showed CR, while 18 showed NCR. Locoregional recurrence-free survival of 1-year and 3-year were 94.3% and 73.4% in the CR group and 63.7% and 44.6% in the NCR group, respectively (p = 0.036). At 3 months, 44 showed CR, including 8 patients who were NCR. Locoregional recurrence-free survival at 1 year and 3 years were 88.0% and 68.3% in the CR group and 66.7% and 44.4% in the NCR group, respectively (p = 0.080). Additional 5 patients who were NCR achieved CR at 6 months. CR had favorable outcomes.

| Table 1. Clinical characteristics of patients (n = 54) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Characteristic | Value |
| Age (yr) | 66.3 (52.2–81.1) |
| Sex | 49 (90.7) |
| Male | 49 (90.7) |
| Female | 5 (9.3) |
| ECOG performance status | 9 (16.7) |
| 0 | 9 (16.7) |
| 1 | 44 (81.5) |
| 2 | 1 (1.8) |
| T stage | 32 (59.3) |
| T1/T2 | 32 (59.3) |
| T3/T4 | 22 (40.7) |
| N stage | 19 (35.2) |
| N0/N1 | 19 (35.2) |
| N2/N3 | 35 (64.8) |
| Induction chemoradiotherapy | 30 (55.6) |
| Yes | 30 (55.6) |
| No | 24 (44.4) |
| Surgery | MRND |
| MRND | 9 (16.7) |
| No | 45 (83.3) |
| Concurrent chemotherapy | 42 (77.8) |
| Yes | 42 (77.8) |
| No | 12 (22.2) |
| Radiation technique | 3D-CRT |
| 3D-CRT | 24 (44.4) |
| IMRT | 30 (55.6) |
| Radiation dose (Gy) | 67.5 (63.0–70.0) |

Values are presented as median (range) or number (%).

| Table 2. Cox-regression analysis of locoregional recurrence-free survival of prognostic factors |
|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| HR (95% CI) | p-value | HR (95% CI) | p-value |
| Age (incremental) | 1.08 (1.02–1.14) | 0.006* | 1.02 (0.95–1.09) | 0.615 |
| Sex (male vs. female) | 0.69 (0.58–8.85) | 0.264 |
| ECOG performance status (0 vs. 1/2) | 0.73 (0.24–2.22) | 0.575 |
| T stage (T1/2 vs. T3/4) | 0.82 (0.35–1.94) | 0.655 |
| N stage (N0/N1 vs. N2/N3) | 1.27 (0.54–2.98) | 0.582 |
| Surgery (MRND vs. no) | 3.42 (0.80–14.7) | 0.076* | 6.03 (0.80–45.4) | 0.081* |
| Induction chemoradiotherapy (yes vs. no) | 1.33 (0.59–3.02) | 0.496 |
| Concurrent chemotherapy (yes vs. no) | 1.37 (0.57–3.32) | 0.479 |
| Radiation dose (incremental) | 1.00 (1.00–1.00) | 0.448 |
| Radiation technique (3D-CRT vs. IMRT) | 2.12 (0.92–4.95) | 0.076* | 1.8 (0.72–4.56) | 0.210 |

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; MRND, modified radical neck dissection; 3D-CRT, three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy; IMRT, intensity-modulated radiotherapy.

*p < 0.1.
while all NCR<sub>6</sub> patients had locoregional failure within 1 year (p < 0.001) (Fig. 2, Table 3).

There were 13 patients who were NCR<sub>1</sub> and CR<sub>6</sub> (NCR<sub>1</sub>/CR<sub>6</sub>). We compared this group with patients with CR<sub>1</sub>. Difference in locoregional recurrence-free survival between NCR<sub>1</sub>/CR<sub>6</sub> and CR<sub>1</sub> were not shown in survival analysis (p = 0.440). Also 5 patients who showed NCR<sub>3</sub> and CR<sub>6</sub> (NCR<sub>3</sub>/CR<sub>6</sub>) were compared to CR<sub>1</sub> group. There were no statistically significant difference between the two groups (p = 0.990) (Fig. 3).

Five patient with NCR<sub>6</sub> had extremely poor prognosis. Although there was no significant difference in prognostic factors between CR<sub>6</sub> and NCR<sub>6</sub>, 3 patients had T4 tumors and all showed N2b or more nodal disease. All had locoregional recurrence with or without distant metastasis within 1 year. Two patients had both locoregional and pulmonary metastasis. Two patients had salvage operation, while 3 received salvage chemotherapy. However, shortly after salvage surgery, 2 patients had progression within the tumor bed and developed lung metastasis. Three patients that received chemotherapy also progressed shortly after. All patients died within 2 years after initial treatment.

4. Patterns of locoregional failure
Of the 20 locoregional failures, 14 were isolated local failures, 4 were isolated regional nodal failures, and 2 were both. Of the 6 regional nodal failures, failures involved ipsilateral neck level II in 3 patients, ipsilateral neck level III in 1 patient, paraesophageal lymph node in 1 patient, and bilateral neck level II in 1 patient. Among the locoregional failures, 17 were observed in the PTV<sub>High</sub> region (75.0%), while 2 were in the PTV<sub>Intermed</sub> region and 1 involved both PTV<sub>High</sub> and PTV<sub>Intermed</sub> regions. One patient had out-of-field failure (paraesophageal lymph node), but was also accompanied by local failure within the PTV<sub>High</sub> region.

Discussion and Conclusion
In this study, 54 hypopharyngeal cancer patients treated by definitive radiotherapy were analyzed. Our data revealed that half of the patients who did not show CR at 3 months resulted to have CR at 6 months. This result implies that closely monitoring residual tumor after radiotherapy up to 6 months might be a reasonable follow-up approach. Meanwhile, residual tumor at 6 months were related with very bad prognosis.

Veterans Affairs (VA) trial and EORTC 24891 trial have shown comparable treatment outcome with ‘functional preservation’
using induction chemotherapy followed by radiotherapy [1,8]. Following results of these landmark trials, usage of radiotherapy combined with chemotherapy has increased for hypopharyngeal and laryngeal cancer [3-5]. However, due to the biologic nature of radiotherapy, there has been difficulties in assessing tumor response. Historically, otolaryngologic examination combined with physical examinations were commonly used for evaluation. More recently, image modalities such as MRI and PET-CT showed good sensitivity and specificity especially in assessing nodal response [9,10]. Based on findings mainly from PET-CT, individualized salvage treatment was provided.

Table 3. Clinical outcomes for tumor response assessment at 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months

| Assessment | No. of patients | Locoregional recurrence-free survival | 1-yr (%) | 3-yr (%) | Crude HR | Adjusted HR<sup>a</sup> | p-value |
|------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|------------------------|---------|
|            |                |                                      |         |         |          |                        |         |
| At 1 months|                |                                      |         |         |          |                        |         |
| CR<sub>1</sub> | 36             | 94.3                                 | 73.4    | 2.51    | 2.63     | 0.042                 |         |
| NCR<sub>1</sub> | 18             | 63.7                                 | 44.6    |         |          |                        |         |
| At 3 months|                |                                      |         |         |          |                        |         |
| CR<sub>3</sub> | 44             | 88.0                                 | 68.3    | 2.42    | 1.94     | 0.091                 |         |
| NCR<sub>3</sub> | 10             | 66.7                                 | 44.4    |         |          |                        |         |
| At 6 months|                |                                      |         |         |          |                        |         |
| CR<sub>6</sub> | 49             | 91.5                                 | 69.4    | 34.2    | 24.2     | <0.001                |         |
| NCR<sub>6</sub> | 5              | 0.0                                  | 0.0     |         |          |                        |         |

**HR, hazard ratio; CR<sub>n</sub>, complete remission in n-th month; NCR<sub>n</sub>, non-complete remission in n-th month.**

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted for confounding factors (age, radiotherapy technique, surgery).

With advance of image findings, question may arise concerning when it would be appropriate to assess tumor response. Although there is no direct randomized trial solely done for hypopharyngeal cancer regarding early surgery versus active surveillance, many trials were conducted comparing these options in head and neck cancers in general. Mehanna et al. [11] conducted a randomized prospective trial comparing PET-CT and early neck dissection at 12 weeks after completion of chemoradiotherapy. In this trial, overall survival was comparable between the PET-CT group and early neck dissection group. Moreover, approximately 80% of patients in the PET-CT group were spared of neck dissection, showing CR.

![Kaplan-Meier curve of locoregional recurrence-free rate (LRFS)](image)

**Fig. 3.** Kaplan-Meier curve of locoregional recurrence-free rate (LRFS) between (A) CR at 1 month (CR<sub>1</sub>) and non-CR at 1 month with CR at 6 months (NCR<sub>1</sub>/CR<sub>6</sub>) and (B) CR at 3 months (CR<sub>3</sub>) and non-CR at 3 months with CR at 6 months (NCR<sub>3</sub>/CR<sub>6</sub>). CR, complete remission.
Traditionally, timing of image modality was done around 12–16 weeks after radiotherapy, including aforementioned trials [9,11,12]. However, Huang et al. [6] reported nodal CR was observed even 6 months after radiotherapy. This is consistent with our results. Two-thirds who were NCR, changed into CR, . Also, one half of patients who had NCR, turned to CR, . This implies even residual disease at early tumor assessment time point have high possibility of regression after short term of follow-up after treatment.

Salvage surgery, which is mainly total hypopharyngectomy with muscle flap, is closely related with poor quality of life [13,14]. Also 5-year overall survival after salvage surgery for recurrent hypopharyngeal cancer has been reported as 20%–40% [15-17]. Considering high morbidity and poor prognosis after salvage surgery, optimal timepoint for tumor assessment should be made. Many surgeons advocate early biopsy for residual tumor in short follow-ups, considering early salvage operation when feasible. However, early biopsy which show residual tumor might have high possibility of being necrotic tumor cells after radiotherapy. In our data, 10 patients did not show CR at 3 months. However, 5 had turned to CR at 6 month. Among them, 4 patients locoregionally had no evidence of disease during follow-up. Also, CR, and NCR, / CR, showed comparable locoregional recurrence-free survival. Thus, early salvage surgery for these patients would have rather been harmful.

Meanwhile, 5 patients who were NCR, had extremely poor prognosis. Although our data do not make conclusion for future treatment for patients who show CR at 6 months, results imply that residual tumor show progression soon after 6 months. Thus, salvage treatment should be considered for patients who show residual disease after 6 months. Since it is reasonable that salvage therapy should be done for patients with evident signs of progression within 6 months, we recommend timing of salvage therapy according to Fig. 4.

The limitation of this study lies in its retrospective nature with small numbers in a single institution. As stated above, complexities in tumor response assessment after definitive radiotherapy could possibly show intra-observer and inter-observer variability. Also at post-radiation status, PET-CT, which has an important role in primary and nodal tumor response assessment, were not routinely used in the 2000s. There may have been possible bias in assessing tumor response without PET-CT. HPV or p16, known as highly radiosensitive factors, was not routinely done in the late 2000s in our institution. As only one-third in our cohort had known HPV status, we could not analyze the difference between HPV-positive and HPV-negative cancer.

In conclusion, our data suggest that many who did not show CR at 1 or 3 months show CR at 6 months. Earlier assessment with early salvage treatment may bring unnecessary surgery. Our data suggests waiting for tumor regression until 6 months after radiotherapy may be appropriate.
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