Cysteine-10 on 17β-Hydroxysteroid Dehydrogenase 1 Has Stabilizing Interactions in the Cofactor Binding Region and Renders Sensitivity to Sulfhydryl Modifying Chemicals
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17β-Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 (17β-HSD1) catalyzes the conversion of estrone to the potent estrogen estradiol. 17β-HSD1 is highly expressed in breast and ovary tissues and represents a prognostic marker for the tumor progression and survival of patients with breast cancer and other estrogen-dependent tumors. Therefore, the enzyme is considered a promising drug target against estrogen-dependent cancers. For the development of novel inhibitors, an improved understanding of the structure-function relationships is essential. In the present study, we examined the role of a cysteine residue, Cys

10

, in the Rossmann-fold NADPH binding region, for 17β-HSD1 function and tested the sensitivity towards sulfhydryl modifying chemicals. 3D structure modeling revealed important interactions of Cys

10

with residues involved in the stabilization of amino acids of the NADPH binding pocket. Analysis of enzyme activity revealed that 17β-HSD1 was irreversibly inhibited by the sulfhydryl modifying agents N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) and dithiocarbamates. Preincubation with increasing concentrations of NADPH protected 17β-HSD1 from inhibition by these chemicals. Cys

10

Ser mutant 17β-HSD1 was partially protected from inhibition by NEM and dithiocarbamates, emphasizing the importance of Cys

10

in the cofactor binding region. Substitution of Cys

10

with serine resulted in a decreased protein half-life, without significantly altering kinetic properties. Despite the fact that Cys

10

on 17β-HSD1 seems to have limited potential as a target for new enzyme inhibitors, the present study provides new insight into the structure-function relationships of this enzyme.

1. Introduction

17β-Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (17β-HSD) enzymes are involved in the interconversion of inactive and active sex-steroid hormones, thereby playing an essential role in the intracrine regulation of estrogen-, androgen-, and progesterone-dependent physiological functions [1]. 17β-HSD1 is responsible for the conversion of estrone to estradiol, the most potent natural estrogen. The highest expression of this enzyme is found in breast and ovarian tissue. Importantly, 17β-HSD1 expression showed a negative correlation with breast cancer progression and was identified as an independent prognostic marker for the disease-free and overall survival of patients with breast cancer [2, 3]. Elevated expression of 17β-HSD1 was observed in endometrial cancer [4] and nonsmall cell lung cancer [5]. Furthermore, the expression ratio of 17β-HSD1 and 17β-HSD2 was found to be a predictor of the response to tamoxifen in postmenopausal breast cancer patients [6].

The administration of specific 17β-HSD1 inhibitors led to significantly decreased tumor growth in breast cancer cell xenograft tumor mouse models [7, 8] and reversed estrogen-dependent endometrial hyperplasia in transgenic mice [9], indicating that this enzyme is a promising drug target against estrogen-dependent diseases such as endometriosis and endometrial cancer as well as breast and ovarian tumors.
Several classes of chemicals inhibiting 17β-HSD1 were tested in vitro, including steroid-like molecules, nonsteroidal compounds, and chimeric molecules, acting on both the active center and the cofactor binding site of the enzyme [8, 10–16]. However, only a limited number of inhibitors have been tested in vivo so far and further research is needed. In order to develop potent and selective 17β-HSD1 inhibitors, a profound understanding of the structure-function relationships of the enzyme is essential.

17β-HSD1 belongs to the short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase (SDR) family and contains the conserved Rossmann-fold for nucleotide binding, the catalytic triad with residues Ser142, Tyr155, and Lys159 and a dimerization region. Lys149 is a critical residue for the discrimination between C-18 and C-19 steroid substrates [18].

Targeting of functionally essential cysteine residues in proteins is suggested as a promising approach for the design of new types of pharmaceutical agents [19]. 17β-HSD1 contains six cysteines. In a previous study, we demonstrated that the structurally related enzyme 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2 (11β-HSD2) contains a cysteine residue at position 90, analogous to Cys10 on 17β-HSD1 [20]. Mutation of Cys90 on 11β-HSD2 led to almost complete inactivation of the enzyme. Therefore, we hypothesized that Cys10 on 17β-HSD1 may represent a target site for novel inhibitors. In the present study, we applied 3D structure modeling and enzyme activity measurements to investigate the biochemical properties of Cys10Ser mutant 17β-HSD1 and to compare its sensitivity towards sulfhydryl modifying agents with that of wild-type 17β-HSD1.

2. Materials and Methods

Cell culture media were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA) and [2,4,6,7-3H]-estrone from Amersham Pharmaicia (Piscataway, NJ, USA). All other chemicals were from Fluka AG (Buchs, Switzerland) and of the highest grade available.

2.1. Construction of Expression Plasmids and Site-Directed Mutagenesis. In order to facilitate detection, an octahistidine tag was added to the C-terminus of human 17β-HSD1 by PCR amplification using oligonucleotide primers containing the tag-coding sequence (forward primer 5'-TAAACC-CTGAGGAGGTGCGGGGAGTCTCTC-3', reverse primer 5'-TGCTCTAGAGGCTAATGATGATATGATGTATGTATG-ATGATGCTCGGGGCGCCGAGGATCG-3'). The activity of the tagged 17β-HSD1 was indistinguishable from that of the untagged enzyme. The substitution of Cys10 to serine was introduced into the C-terminal histidine-tagged 17β-HSD1 cDNA in Bluescript vector by site-directed mutagenesis using the forward primer 5'-TCAATCACC-GGCTTTCCCTG-3' and the reverse primer 5'-AGAGGAAGGACCGGTATGAG-3' according to the Quick Change mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), followed by subsequent recloning into pcDNA3.1 expression plasmid.

2.2. Cell Culture, Transfection, and Western Blotting. HEK-293 cells were grown to 60–70% confluence in Dulbecco's Modified Eagles Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 4.5 g/L glucose, 50 units/mL penicillin/streptomycin, and 2 mM glutamine, followed by transfection using the calcium phosphate precipitation method. The transfection efficiency was 32 ± 3%. To examine the expression levels of the overexpressed proteins, we performed SDS-PAGE (30 µg of total cellular proteins loaded per lane) followed by immunoblotting and detection of the histidine-tagged proteins using tetra-His antibody (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany; Cat. No. 11561526). As a loading control β-Actin was visualized using an anti-β-actin antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA; Cat. No. B1024).

2.3. 17β-HSD1 Activity Measurements. To determine 17β-HSD1 activity, HEK-293 cells were transfected by the calcium phosphate precipitation method. Cells were harvested 48 h after transfection, washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and centrifuged for 4 min at 150 × g. Supernatants were removed and the cell pellets were quick-frozen in a dry ice-ethanol bath and stored at −80°C. The reductase activity of 17β-HSD1 was measured by incubation of cell lysates for 10 min at 37°C in the presence of 200 nM radiolabeled estrone and 400 µM NADPH in a reaction buffer containing 20% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, and 50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4. Inhibitors were diluted from stock solutions in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and immediately used in the assays. The DMSO concentration did not exceed 0.1% and had no effect on the enzyme activities.

For the enzyme activity assay in intact cells, HEK-293 cells were grown in 10 cm culture dishes, transfected with plasmids for histidine-tagged wild-type 17β-HSD1 or Cys10Ser mutant, detached 24 h after transfection and distributed in 96-well plates at a density of 10,000 cells per well. After 16 h, cells were incubated in serum- and steroid-free medium, and the conversion of radiolabeled estrone to estradiol was determined upon incubation for 30 min at 37°C in a total volume of 50 µL containing 200 nM estrone. The reaction was stopped by adding methanol containing 2 mM unlabeled estrone and estradiol, followed by separation of steroids by TLC and scintillation counting.

For determination of enzyme kinetics, estrone concentrations ranging from 10 to 800 nM were used. Data (mean ± SD) were obtained from three independent experiments and were calculated using the Data Analysis Toolbox (Elsevier MDL, Allschwil, Switzerland).

2.4. Analysis of the Protein Stability of Wild-Type 17β-HSD1 and Mutant Cys10Ser. The stability of wild-type and mutant 17β-HSD1 protein was analyzed basically as described previously [21]. Briefly, to investigate the protein half-life of wild-type 17β-HSD1 and mutant Cys10Ser, HEK-293 cells grown in six-well plates were transfected with plasmids for mutant and wild-type enzyme, washed once with PBS 24 h after transfection, and incubated with fresh medium containing 50 µg/mL cycloheximide. At 0, 12, 24, and 48 h, aliquots of cells were harvested, followed by immunodetection using...
BaFBr:Eu proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE, the gel was dried (Qiagen AG, Hombrechtikon, Switzerland). After elution, using a Ni-NTA agarose kit according to the manufacturer frozen prior to purification of histidine-tagged proteins. At 0, 12, 24, and 48h, aliquots of cell were snap-frozen prior to purification of histidine-tagged proteins by DMEM. At 0, 12, 24, and 48h, aliquots of cell were snap-frozen prior to purification of histidine-tagged proteins by DMEM. After elution, proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE, the gels were dried and exposed to tritium-sensitive screens (TR uncoated BafBr:Eu²⁺ screens) for 16h, followed by analysis using a Cyclone Phosphor-Imager (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Shelton, CT, USA).

2.5. Multiple Sequence Alignment. The protein sequences of 17β-HSD1 from different species and different human SDRs were compared using the Clustal W algorithm, run on http://www.ebi.ac.uk/, with default program parameters.

2.6. Structural Modeling. To investigate the effect on the structure of 17β-HSD1 of mutating Cys¹⁰ to Ser, we extracted 1FDT from the Protein Data Bank (PDB). 1FDT contains human 17β-HSD1 cocrystallized with estradiol and NADP⁺ [22]. Cys¹⁰ was converted to serine using the Biopolymer option in the Insight II software package. The structure of the Ser¹⁰ mutant 17β-HSD1 was refined with Discover for 10,000 iterations, using a distant dependent dielectric constant of 2.

3. Results

3.1. Multiple Sequence Alignments and 3D Structure Modeling. The analysis of the peptide sequence of human 17β-HSD1 using the Clustal W algorithm [23] revealed that residue Cys¹⁰ is highly conserved among species, including rodents and zebrafish (Figure 1), suggesting a role for this residue in the stability and/or function of the protein. Cys¹⁰ is located between the first two of three highly conserved glycine residues of the Rossmann-fold nucleotide binding domain. Interestingly, the cysteine and the two downstream serine residues also are present in all-trans retinol dehydrogenase RDH8, which like 17β-HSD1 belongs to the SDR28C family. Moreover, the cysteine residue at this position is conserved in all members of the SDR9C family, except for 17β-HSD2, which has a cysteine residue downstream by two positions.

To begin to understand the role of Cys¹⁰ for 17β-HSD1 function, we analyzed the interactions of Cys¹⁰ with adjacent amino acids in 17β-HSD1 cocrystallized with estradiol and NADP⁺ [22]. The crystal structure of 1FDT reveals that Cys¹⁰ has van der Waals contacts with Ile², Gly⁹, Gly¹⁵, Ala¹⁶, and Thr⁰. Gly², Gly⁵, and Thr⁰ directly stabilize the binding of the cofactor NADP⁺ (Figure 2(a)). The numerous close contacts of Cys¹⁰ with amino acids in the NADP(H)-binding site indicate that substitution of the sulfhydryl group with a larger chemical would disrupt this site and probably alter NADP(H) binding and catalytic activity.

To begin to study the function of this cysteine residue, we substituted Cys¹⁰ with serine, the biochemically most similar amino acid. As revealed by the 3D model (Figure 2(b)), mutating Cys¹⁰ to serine does not lead to significant changes in contacts between Ser¹⁰ and Ile², Gly⁹, Gly¹⁵, and Ala¹⁶. However, all three contacts between Ser¹⁰ and Gly¹⁵ are shorter than between Cys¹⁰ and Gly¹⁵, and Gly¹⁵ is more distant from a phosphate oxygen on NADP⁺ (Figure 2(b)). Also, Ser¹⁰ is a
little more distant from the backbone oxygen on Thr\(^{35}\), andThr\(^{35}\) is 4.7 Å from the oxygen on the adenosine phosphate. The backbone nitrogen on Gly\(^{\beta}\) is 4.5 Å from the same phosphate oxygen on NADP\(^{+}\) (Figure 2(b)).

3.2. Inhibition of 17β-HSD1 by Sulphhydryl Modifying Agents. In a previous study, sulphhydryl modifying chemicals were found to exert potent inhibitory effects on 11β-HSD2 and substitution of Cys\(^{90}\) by serine abolished enzymatic activity [20]. To test the hypothesis that Cys\(^{10}\) on 17β-HSD1 has similar essential stabilizing interactions in the NADPH binding region, we assessed the inhibitory potential of the cysteine modifying agent N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) and of dithiocarbamate chemicals. NEM appeared to be a weak inhibitor with an IC\(_{50}\) of 22 ± 6 μM upon simultaneous incubation of lysates of 17β-HSD1 expressing HEK-293 cells with 200 nM estrone and increasing concentrations of NEM. In line with an irreversible mode of inhibition, preincubation with NEM resulted in a more pronounced inhibitory effect in a time-dependent manner. Preincubation of lysates with 20 μM NEM for 1 h prior to the addition of estrone completely abolished 17β-HSD1 activity (data not shown).

Next, we tested dithiocarbamate chemicals for inhibition of 17β-HSD1. In contrast to the previously observed potent inhibition of 11β-HSD2, dithiocarbamates turned out to have rather modest inhibitory effects on 17β-HSD1. The IC\(_{50}\) values for thiram (21 ± 4 μM), disulﬁrim (8 ± 1 μM), maneb (25 ± 3 μM), and zineb (24 ± 3 μM) upon simultaneous incubation of the 17β-HSD1 enzyme preparation with substrate and inhibitor were about two orders of magnitude higher than those obtained for 11β-HSD2, indicating that 17β-HSD1 is much less prone to inhibition by sulphhydryl modiﬁcation. Importantly, as shown in Figure 3, preincubation with NADPH for 15 min protected against inhibition by NEM, an effect which was concentration dependent. Preincubation with NADPH also protected from inhibition by dithiocarbamates (data not shown), suggesting that binding of NADPH prevents the covalent modiﬁcation of Cys\(^{10}\) in the cofactor binding region.

3.3. Substitution of Cys\(^{10}\) with Serine Leads to Decreased Protein Stability. To study the role of Cys\(^{10}\) for 17β-HSD1 function, we generated mutant Cys\(^{10}\)Ser and compared the expression of histidine-tagged wild-type and mutant enzymes upon transient expression in HEK-293 cells, which lack endogenous 17β-HSD1 expression. Despite comparable
transfection efficiency, mutant Cys10Ser was expressed at approximately twofold lower levels than wild-type 17β-HSD1 (Figure 4). Moreover, several low-molecular weight bands were detected, indicating a lower stability of the Cys10Ser mutant protein.

3.4. Mutant Cys10Ser Retains Catalytic Activity and Is Protected from Inhibition by Sulfhydryl Modifying Agents. A comparison of the enzyme activities of lysates expressing wild-type 17β-HSD1 or mutant Cys10Ser showed approximately 50% lower activity for the mutant (data not shown). However, after correction for protein expression using anti-histidine tag antibody there was no significant difference between wild-type and mutant enzymes. The analysis of enzyme kinetics revealed a slightly higher apparent $K_m$ but no significant change in the maximal velocity ($V_{max}$) for estrone reduction (Table 1). In intact cells, the activity of mutant Cys10Ser was indistinguishable from that of the wild-type enzyme (data not shown).

Next, we compared the effect of different dithiocarbamate chemicals on the activity of wild-type 17β-HSD1 and mutant Cys10Ser. Mutant Cys10Ser was protected from inhibition by all of the dithiocarbamates tested (Figure 6). Similarly, the cysteine-modifying agent NEM inhibited the activity of the
In the presence of 400 nM dithiocarbamate chemicals. The conversion of estrone (200 nM) to estradiol in the reaction was equalized to exclude differences due to unspecific properties of the thiol group on Cys
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and residues involved directly in the binding of NADPH. The 3D structure of 17\(\beta\)-HSD1 predicts several van der Waals contacts between Cys
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wild-type enzyme approximately two times stronger than that of mutant Cys\(^{10}\)Ser, confirming the role of this cysteine for 17\(\beta\)-HSD1 function (data not shown).

4. Discussion

Analysis of the 17\(\beta\)-HSD1 protein sequences from different species revealed that the cysteine at position 10 in the human enzyme is highly conserved. Protein sequence alignment further revealed that a cysteine at this position in the Rossmann-fold nucleotide binding region is conserved in the SDR subfamilies SDR28C and SDR9C. The 3D structure of 17\(\beta\)-HSD1 predicts several van der Waals contacts between Cys

and residues involved directly in the binding of NADPH. The 3D model of Ser

mutant 17\(\beta\)-HSD1 finds that although most contacts are conserved there are changes that may be significant. For example, Ser

is closer to Gly\(^{15}\), and Gly\(^{15}\) is more distant from a phosphate oxygen on NADPH\(^+\). Also, in the Ser

mutant 17\(\beta\)-HSD1, the backbone oxygen on Thr\(^{35}\) and backbone nitrogen on Gly\(^{9}\) move to 4.7 Å and 4.5 Å, respectively, from the oxygen on the adenosine phosphate. In wild-type 17\(\beta\)-HSD1, the backbone oxygen on Thr\(^{35}\) and backbone nitrogen on Gly\(^{9}\) are 3.3 Å and 3.8 Å, respectively, from this oxygen on NADPH\(^+\). The loss of these two stabilizing contacts could reduce the affinity for NADPH(H) in the Ser

mutant 17\(\beta\)-HSD1. Another possible contribution to lower stability of NADPH(H) in the Ser

mutant may be the different chemical properties of the thiol group on Cys\(^{10}\) and alcohol on Ser\(^{10}\). For instance, only the thiol group is predicted to be partially deprotonated at neutral pH, which could better stabilize the site around Cys\(^{10}\). \(K_m\) values for NADPH will need to be determined in follow-on studies to address this question.

Nevertheless, our prediction is supported by results from Huang et al. who demonstrated that insertion of a positively charged lysine residue in the neighborhood of Cys\(^{10}\) and Ser\(^{12}\) led to a more than 20-fold increase in the preference of 17\(\beta\)-HSD1 for NADPH(H) against NAD(H) [24]. In a previous study, we found that the related SDR enzyme 11\(\beta\)-HSD2 also contains a cysteine residue at the position corresponding to Cys\(^{10}\) on 17\(\beta\)-HSD1 in the cofactor binding region [20]. The 11\(\beta\)-HSD2 Cys\(^{90}\)Ser mutant almost completely lost its enzymatic activity, due to impaired protein folding and mislocalization of the mutant protein.

Interestingly, mutations of the analogous residue Cys\(^{69}\) in the human (R)-3-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase led to a slight increase in the apparent \(K_m\) for both NADPH(H) and NAD(H) [25]. The Cys\(^{69}\)Ser mutant of the (R)-3-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase, analogous to 17\(\beta\)-HSD1 Cys\(^{10}\)Ser, showed a twofold lower apparent \(V_{\text{max}}\) compared with the wild-type enzyme. The slight increase in the apparent \(K_m\) of 17\(\beta\)-HSD1 mutant Cys\(^{10}\)Ser for estrone, observed in our measurements in cell lysates, indicates a decreased affinity for the substrate as a result of disturbed interactions with the cofactor NADPH. A careful structural comparison between the interactions of residues with the cofactor and substrate in 17\(\beta\)-HSD1, 11\(\beta\)-HSD2, and (R)-3-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase should offer an explanation for the different effects of the modification of the analogous cysteine residues of the three SDRs. In human retinol dehydrogenase, the function of the analogous Cys\(^{38}\) is not studied in detail; however, Boerman and Napoli showed that the protein contains cysteine residues in close proximity, which are essential for the catalytic activity [26].

In our experiments, the preincubation with increasing concentrations of NADPH was able to protect 17\(\beta\)-HSD1 from inhibition by the sulfhydryl modifying agents NEM and dithiocarbamates, suggesting an indirect role of Cys\(^{10}\) in stabilizing the binding of the cofactor.

Dithiocarbamates and NEM were able to inhibit the activity of 17\(\beta\)-HSD1, although at concentrations significantly higher than those needed for inhibition of 11\(\beta\)-HSD2. The high sensitivity of 11\(\beta\)-HSD2 toward sulphydryl modifying chemicals was recently shown to be dependent on the presence of a cysteine residue in the substrate binding region [27]. An analogous cysteine residue is absent in the substrate binding region of 17\(\beta\)-HSD1. Our western blot experiments detected low-molecular bands for the 17\(\beta\)-HSD1 Cys\(^{10}\)Ser mutant, suggesting increased degradation of the mutant enzyme. The more rapid degradation is likely due to changes in the protein conformation and thus exposure of amino acid residues normally buried inside the protein, followed by activation of the proteasome. A limitation of the present study includes that wild-type and mutant enzymes were overexpressed and expression levels are higher than endogenous levels. Thus, the estimated half-life of the proteins may be different in an endogenous situation. Nevertheless, the results demonstrate a reduced stability of the mutant compared with the wild-type enzyme.

![Figure 6: Inhibition of 17\(\beta\)-HSD1 and Cys\(^{10}\)Ser mutant by dithiocarbamate chemicals. The conversion of estrone (200 nM) to estradiol in the presence of 400 \(\mu\)M NADPH and various dithiocarbamates (80 \(\mu\)M final concentration) was measured in lysates of transfected HEK-293 cells. Black bars, wild-type 17\(\beta\)-HSD1 enzyme (WT); white bars, Cys\(^{10}\)Ser mutant 17\(\beta\)-HSD1 (C10S). The amount of total protein in the reaction was equalized to exclude differences due to unspecific binding of the inhibitors to cellular proteins.](image)
The present work provides novel information on the structure-activity relationship of 17β-HSD1 and reveals that Cys10 is involved in essential stabilizing interactions in the cofactor binding region. Furthermore, we showed that Cys10 is the target for sulfhydryl modifying agents. Future studies using protease digestion of purified 17β-HSD1 wild-type and Cys10 Ser mutant proteins should provide further mechanistic insight into the stabilizing interactions of this residue.
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