phoP, SPI1, SPI2 and aroA mutants of *Salmonella* Enteritidis induce a different immune response in chickens
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**Abstract**

Poultry is the most frequent reservoir of non-typhoid *Salmonella enterica* for humans. Understanding the interactions between chickens and *S. enterica* is therefore important for vaccine design and subsequent decrease in the incidence of human salmonellosis. In this study we therefore characterized the interactions between chickens and *phoP*, *aroA*, SPI1 and SPI2 mutants of *S. enteritidis*. First we tested the response of HD11 chicken macrophage-like cell line to *S. enteritidis* infection monitoring the transcription of 36 genes related to immune response. All the mutants and the wild type strain induced inflammatory signaling in the HD11 cell line though the response to SPI1 mutant infection was different from the rest of the mutants. When newly hatched chickens were inoculated, the *phoP* as well as the SPI1 mutant did not induce an expression of any of the tested genes in the cecum. Despite this, such chickens were protected against challenge with wild-type *S. enteritidis*. On the other hand, inoculation of chickens with the *aroA* or SPI2 mutant induced expression of 27 and 18 genes, respectively, including genes encoding immunoglobulins. Challenge of chickens inoculated with these two mutants resulted in repeated induction of 11 and 13 tested genes, respectively, including the genes encoding immunoglobulins. In conclusion, SPI1 and *phoP* mutants induced protective immunity without inducing an inflammatory response and antibody production. Inoculation of chickens with the SPI2 and *aroA* mutants also led to protective immunity but was associated with inflammation and antibody production. The differences in interaction between the mutants and chicken host can be used for a more detailed understanding of the chicken immune system.

**Introduction**

Non-typhoid *Salmonella enterica* serovars are among the most common causative agents of food-borne diseases worldwide [1]. Since poultry is the most frequent reservoir of salmonellosis for humans, vaccination of chickens is understood as an effective measure to decrease *S. enterica* incidence in humans. Currently, construction of attenuated vaccine strains of *S. enterica* is not an issue and many different mutants have been tested in mice, chickens and even humans [2-7]. However, the main dilemma is which mode of attenuation to choose out of the many possibilities [8]. More detailed information on host response to *S. enterica* infection or vaccination is therefore needed. Such information can be obtained either by generating chickens with knocked out genes involved in innate or acquired immune response or by preparing *S. enterica* mutants with clearly defined defects in pathogenesis and analysis of chicken immune response. Since the former possibility is still an issue in chickens, the latter approach represents a feasible alternative.

Mutants with clearly different defects in *Salmonella* pathogenesis include those with deletions in *aroA*, *phoP*, SPI1 or SPI2. Reduced virulence of *aroA* mutants can be explained by their inability to produce aromatic compounds as well as having a high sensitivity to serum [2,9]. *phoP* mutants belong to the most attenuated ones as they fail to survive inside phagocytic cells [10], perhaps due to their high sensitivity to acidification and host antimicrobial peptides [11]. However, *phoP* mutants also exhibit intracellular overgrowth in fibroblasts [12]. Recently, mutants defective in virulence genes specific to
**S. enterica** such as those localized on the **Salmonella** pathogenicity island (SPI) 1 and SPI2 have been successfully tested [5,13]. SPI1 mutants are impaired in invading non-professional phagocytes while SPI2 mutants are unable to survive intracellularly for a prolonged time [14-17]. SPI1 mutants are also defective in induction of apoptosis in macrophages [18,19]. Interestingly, when we recently used SPI1 and SPI2 mutants of **S. enterica** serovar Enteritidis for vaccination of chickens, higher antibody levels were observed in chickens vaccinated with the SPI2 mutant than in chickens vaccinated with the SPI1 mutant [13]. Inactivation of different branches of **S. enterica** virulence may therefore lead to its different recognition by the chicken immune system and induction of a different type of specific immunity.

Comparison of chicken response to inoculation with different **S. enterica** mutants is further complicated by the fact that with increasing age, chickens become quite resistant to **S. enterica** infection [20]. Consequently, although there are numerically lower counts of **Salmonella** in the liver and spleen, and lower inflammatory responses are recorded in 6-week-old vaccinated chickens in comparison with non-vaccinated controls after challenge, such differences do not always reach statistical significance with the numbers of chickens commonly used under laboratory conditions. This was the reason why we recently initiated research activities using genomic and proteomic tools which led to the identification of tens of genes whose expressions change after **S. Enteritidis** infection of newly hatched chickens [21,22]. Some of them can be induced by **S. Enteritidis** infection even in 42-day-old chickens [21], although our subsequent study indicated that induction of these genes in 42-day-old chickens might not be as reliable as we initially expected [23]. In this study we therefore first characterized the response of chicken macrophage cell line HD11 to infection with wild-type **S. Enteritidis** and **aroA**, **phoP**, SPI1 and SPI2 mutants, as macrophages are considered to play a key role in the immune response to **Salmonella** infection. In the second part of this study we performed in vivo experiments and compared the type of immunity induced by oral inoculation of newly hatched chickens with wild-type **S. Enteritidis** and its mutants. We found out that the SPI1 or **phoP** mutants stimulated protective immunity without inducing inflammation and immunoglobulin production in vivo in the chicken cecum. **aroA** or SPI2 mutants also induced protective immunity, however, inoculation of chickens with these mutants resulted in moderate inflammation and antibody production.

**Materials and methods**

**Bacterial strains and in vitro testing in HD11 cells**

**S. Enteritidis** 147 spontaneously resistant to nalidixic acid with a proven virulence in chickens and mice [6,24] was used in this study. All isogenic mutants had been constructed earlier and are listed in Table 1. Chicken macrophage-like cell line HD11 was cultured at 37 °C under 5% CO₂ atmosphere in RPMI-1640 (Sigma). Bacteria were grown statically in LB broth at 37 °C for 18 h. This culture was diluted 800× in LB broth and incubated for an additional 3 h at 37 °C to obtain bacteria in the late logarithmic growth-phase of a highly invasive phenotype. Prior to infection of HD11, the bacteria were pelleted by centrifugation (10 min at 6500 × g) and resuspended in PBS to OD = 0.3. HD11 cells were infected with **S. Enteritidis** or its mutants at a multiplicity of infection equal to 1 for 1 h. Free bacteria were then washed away and gentamicin was added to fresh RPMI-1640 medium (100 μg/mL) to kill any remaining extracellular bacteria. One hour later, the medium was replaced with fresh medium containing 15 μg/mL gentamicin to prevent multiplication of extracellular bacteria that were eventually released during culture from dead cells. Two and 22 h later, i.e. 4 and 24 h after the infection of HD11 cells, the appropriate number of wells were either lysed with 1% Triton X-100 to release intracellular bacteria or treated with TRI Reagent for RNA purification (see below). Serial decimal dilutions were plated on LB agar plates to count released bacteria. The whole experiment was performed in duplicates on two independent occasions.

**In vivo experimental design and sample collection**

Male ISA Brown chickens (Hendrix Genetics, the Netherlands) were obtained from a local commercial hatchery on day of hatch. Chickens were reared in perforated plastic boxes with free access to water and feed. Each experimental or control group was kept in a separate room.

In the first experiment, 4 newly hatched chickens per group were orally inoculated with 0.1 mL of wild-type **S. Enteritidis** 147 and SPI1, SPI2, **aroA** or **phoP** mutants. Infectious dose was approx. 10⁸ CFU and infected chickens were euthanized 4 days post infection (dpi). The control group consisted of 4 non-infected chickens euthanized on day 5 of life. During necropsy, approx. 30 mg of the cecum was collected from each chicken, placed into RNALater (Qiagen) and kept at −70 °C prior to RNA isolation.

| Table 1 **Salmonella** Enteritidis strains used in the study |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Strain                          | Resistance | Reference   |
| **S. Enteritidis** 147          | Nal       | [6]         |
| **S. Enteritidis** 147 ΔSPI1    | Nal       | [6]         |
| **S. Enteritidis** 147 ΔSPI2    | Nal       | [6]         |
| **S. Enteritidis** 147 ΔaroA::Cm | Nal, Crm  | [9]         |
| **S. Enteritidis** 147 ΔphoP::Cm | Crm       | [46]        |

1 Nal, nalidixic acid; Crm, chloramphenicol.
In the second experiment, 4 chickens were orally infected on day of hatch (day 1), on day 22 or day 43 of life with approx. 10^8 CFU of S. Enteritidis 147. Infected chickens were euthanized 4 dpi. Four age-matched non-infected control chickens were also included. During necropsy, cecum samples were collected into RNALater and kept at -70 °C.

In the third experiment, 6 chickens per group were orally inoculated with wild-type S. Enteritidis 147 and SPI1, SPI2, aroA or phoP mutants on day 1 of life, orally challenged with 10^8 CFU of the wild-type S. Enteritidis on day 22, and euthanized 4 days later. Six age-matched, non-infected control chickens and 6 non-inoculated but challenged chickens were also included in this experiment.

In the last experiment we verified results from the first and third experiment. Sixteen chickens per group were orally inoculated with wild-type S. Enteritidis 147 and SPI1, SPI2, aroA or phoP mutants on day 1 of life. Six chickens from each group were euthanized 4 days post inoculation, another six chickens from each group were euthanized prior to challenge on day 22 of life. The remaining chickens were challenged on day 22 of life and euthanized 4 days post challenge. Non-infected control chickens sacrificed on day 5 and 26 of life (4 chickens per each time point), and 4 non-infected control chickens sacrificed on day 22 of life and euthanized 4 days later were included as well. Since the same experimental set up was used in the experiments 1, 3 and 4, data from these are combined in all figures or tables as appropriate.

All animal treatment and handling was performed in accordance with the current Czech legislation (Animal protection and welfare Act No. 246/1992 Coll. of the Government of the Czech Republic) and has been approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Welfare of the Ministry of Agriculture of the Czech Republic (permit number MZe 1226).

**Bacteriology**

Approx. 0.5 g of liver tissue and cecal content was collected from chickens during necropsy performed after all experiments. The samples were homogenized in peptone water, tenfold serially diluted and plated on XLD agar plates (HiMedia) supplemented with nalidixic acid, or Brilliant Green Agar (Oxoid) supplemented with chloramphenicol in the case of the *phoP* mutant. Detection limit of direct plating was 500 CFU/g of sample. Samples negative after direct plating were subjected to enrichment in modified semi-solid Rappaport-Vassiliadis medium (Oxoid) for qualitative *S. Enteritidis* counts determination. Counts of *S. Enteritidis* were determined as 2^ΔCt to an average Ct value of three house-keeping genes, i.e. glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), TATA box binding protein (TBP) and ubiquitin (UB). The relative expression of each gene of interest was then calculated as 2^-DCt. For statistical analyses, the cDNA was diluted 10× with sterile water and stored at -20 °C prior to quantitative real-time PCR.

Mucosal immune response was characterized by real-time PCR based on the expression of 36 genes identified earlier [21,22]. Primers for the quantification of gene expression by real-time PCR are listed in Additional file 1. Real-time PCR was performed in 3 μL volumes in 384-well microplates using QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Qiagen) and Nanodrop II Stage pipetting station (Innovadyne) for PCR mix dispensing. The amplification and signal detection were performed using a LightCycler II (Roche) with an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 15 min followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 20 s, 60 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s. Each sample was subjected to real-time PCR in a duplicate and the mean Ct value of duplicates was used for subsequent calculations. The Ct values of the genes of interest were normalized (ΔCt) to an average Ct value of three house-keeping genes, i.e. glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), TATA box binding protein (TBP) and ubiquitin (UB). The relative expression of each gene of interest was then calculated as 2^-DCt.

**Results**

**Infection of HD11 cells**

First we tested whether the mutants and wild-type *S. Enteritidis* will differently interact with chicken macrophage-like cell line in vitro being aware that the interaction with HD11 cell line may not directly correlate with the interaction of the strain with chicken immune system in vivo.
SPI1, *aroA* and *phoP* mutants were present at lower intracellular counts than the wild-type *S. Enteritidis* or the SPI2 mutant 4 h post infection (Figure 1) but none of the comparisons reached statistical significance. Twenty four hours post infection, intracellular counts of the wild-type strain and the mutants decreased 3 to 5 fold.

When gene expression was determined, 14 genes out of 36 tested were considered as not expressed in HD11 cells as their relative expression was lower than 0.05, i.e. their expression did not reach 5% of the expression of the house-keeping genes (data not shown). Genes such as TRAP6, AH221, STAT3, C3, ASL2, STAT1, EPSTI1, IFIT5, RSFR, MPEG1, ITGLB2 and HCLS1 were expressed in HD11 cells but though inducible in the chicken cecum following *S. Enteritidis* infection [22], these were not induced HD11 macrophages in response to *S. Enteritidis* infection. Instead, RSFR, MPEG1, ITGLB2 and HCLS1 were suppressed in HD11 cells 24 h after infection with wild type *S. Enteritidis*. These genes were usually suppressed also after infection with the SPI2, *phoP* and *aroA* mutants but not with the SPI1 mutant (Figure 2).

The last group of genes included IL-1β, CXCL2 (IL-8), AVD, IRG1, iNOS, ExFABP, TGM4 and SAA whose expression in HD11 cells increased after the infection with *S. Enteritidis* (Figure 2). IL-1β, CXCL2 (IL-8), AVD, IRG1 and iNOS were induced by all the strains and at both time points. Significant induction was less frequent for ExFABP, TGM4 and SAA due to their lower induction rate in comparison to IL-1β, CXCL2 (IL-8), AVD, IRG1 and iNOS. However, the only strain which never significantly induced ExFABP, TGM4 and SAA in HD11 macrophage cell line was the SPI1 mutant (Figure 2).

Chicken response to inoculation with SPI1, SPI2, *phoP* and *aroA* mutants of *S. Enteritidis*

As there were differences in the gene expression response of HD11 macrophages to the infection with different mutants, in the next experiment we tested whether chickens would also recognize and respond differently to inoculation with 4 different mutants and wild-type *S. Enteritidis*. Four days after the inoculation, SPI1, SPI2, *phoP* and *aroA* mutants colonized the cecum similarly as wild-type *S. Enteritidis* and *Salmonella* counts in the cecum in all inoculated groups were around $10^8$ CFU/g (Figure 3). However, systemic spread of all 4 mutants was limited as their counts in the liver were significantly lower than that of the wild-type strain (Figure 3).

Although *S. Enteritidis* counts in the cecum and liver of the chickens inoculated with different mutants did not indicate any difference in their residual virulence, differences were observed in the gene expression in the cecum. Except for MUC2L, IFIT5, LG2, Ig λ light chain, EPSTI1 and STAT1, expression of the remaining genes was always numerically the highest in chickens infected with wild-type *S. Enteritidis* (Figure 4). Expression of MUC2L, IFIT5, LG2, Ig λ light chain, EPSTI1 and STAT1 was the highest in chickens inoculated with either *aroA* or SPI2 mutant. In addition, SPI2 and *aroA* mutants induced 18 and 27 genes of the 36 tested in inoculated chickens, respectively. On the other hand, not a single gene out of those tested was significantly upregulated after inoculation of the chickens with the SPI1 and *phoP* mutants and the chickens inoculated with these mutants clustered with the non-inoculated control group (Figure 4). SPI1 and *phoP* mutants therefore did not stimulate an inflammatory response in inoculated chickens, SPI2 and *aroA* mutants stimulated moderate response and the highest response was induced by the wild-type *S. Enteritidis*.

**Figure 1** Invasion and intracellular survival of the wild-type *S. Enteritidis* and its mutants in HD11 cells. HD11 cells were inoculated with approx. $10^5$ CFU *S. Enteritidis* wild-type or the SPI1, SPI2, *aroA* or *phoP* mutant (MOI = 1). Four and 24 h post infection, intracellular bacterial counts were determined. Bars represent mean and SD.

Responsiveness of chickens of different ages to *S. Enteritidis* infection

In the next experiment we determined resistance of differently aged chickens to *S. Enteritidis* infection. *Salmonella* counts in the liver of chickens infected on day 1 were significantly higher than in chickens infected on day 22 (Figure 5). On the other hand, differences in counts of *S. Enteritidis* in the liver and cecum of chickens infected on day 22 and 43 did not reach statistical significance. When immune response was determined in the cecum, the infection of newly hatched chickens with *S. Enteritidis* led to a significantly increased expression of all tested genes with matrix metalloproteinase 7 (MMP7) being upregulated nearly 1000×. An additional 21 genes were induced more than 10× (Table 2). In 22-day-old chickens, 33 out of 36 tested genes were significantly upregulated.
MMP7 was induced 139× (although not significantly due to a high variation among individual chickens) and 8 other genes (IL-22, ExFABP, IRG1, ES1, SAA, IL-17, MRP126 and AVD) were upregulated more than 10×. Infection of 43-day-old chickens led to a significant upregulation of 22 genes (Table 2). Since 22-day-old chickens were more responsive to S. Enteritidis infection than 43-day-old chickens, 22-day-old chickens were selected for the comparison of the immune response of naive and inoculated chickens in the following experiment.

Response of chickens inoculated with SPI1, SPI2, phoP and aroA mutants to challenge with wild-type S. Enteritidis

In the last experiment we addressed whether the inoculation of newly hatched chickens with the mutants would also result in a different interaction with the wild-type S. Enteritidis. First we checked the colonization of 22-day-old chickens by strains used for initial inoculation. Except for 2 or 3 chickens inoculated with the SPI2 and aroA mutant, respectively, all the remaining chickens were free of S. Enteritidis in the liver. However, all the chickens, irrespective of the strain used for the inoculation on day 1 of life, were still positive for S. Enteritidis in the cecum (Figure 3).

Four days after the challenge, S. Enteritidis counts in the cecum of chickens originally inoculated with the SPI1, SPI2 and aroA mutant did not significantly differ from the counts in chickens which were infected with wild-type S. Enteritidis on day of hatch and re-infected on day 22, or which were infected only on day 22 of life (Figure 3). Only phoP-inoculated chickens were significantly protected against wild-type S. Enteritidis challenge since S. Enteritidis counts in the vaccinated birds were significantly lower than in the non-vaccinated controls. Differences in Salmonella counts in the liver were only of numerical value which did not reach statistical significance, in this case including the group inoculated with the phoP mutant (Figure 3).

However, there were clear differences when chicken gene expression profiles were compared. During the challenge experiment at 22 days of age, the responses induced by challenge with S. Enteritidis in birds pre-exposed to the mutants or the wild type S. Enteritidis were different to those birds challenged for the first time. Except for all immunoglobulin coding genes,
MPEG1, TGM4, MUC2L, ITGB2, HCLS1, RSFR and C3, the naïve chickens infected with the wild type S. Enteritidis for the first time expressed the majority of the tested genes at high levels (Figure 6).

The second group was formed by chickens inoculated on day 1 with the wild-type S. Enteritidis and re-infected on day 22. All immunoglobulin coding genes, MPEG1, TGM4, MUC2L, ITGB2, HCLS1, RSFR, C3, STAT1, IFNγ and ASL2 were expressed the most in chickens belonging to this group (Figure 6).

The third group was formed by chickens inoculated with the SPI2 or aroA mutant and challenged with the wild-type S. Enteritidis (Figure 6). Response of chickens vaccinated with the SPI2 or aroA mutant resulted in a significant upregulation of 13 or 11 genes, respectively, with IRG1, ExFABP, MRP126 (calprotectin), HCLS1, IgY, IgA and Ig λ chain being significantly induced in both groups (Figure 6).

The last group consisted of chickens inoculated with the SPI1 or phoP mutant and challenged with the wild-type S. Enteritidis. These were both protected against the challenge as not a single gene was significantly induced after the challenge with the wild-type and these chickens therefore clustered with non-infected controls (Figure 6).

Discussion

In this study we found out that 4 tested mutants and the wild-type S. Enteritidis were differently recognized and processed by HD11 macrophage cell line and the chicken immune system in general. HD11 macrophages responded to the infection with the wild-type S. Enteritidis and SPI2, phoP and aroA mutants by an increase in transcription of inflammatory genes such as IL-1β, CXCL12 (IL-8), ExFABP, AVD, IRG1 or iNOS. Repeatedly lower induction of these genes was observed in

Figure 3 Salmonella counts in organs of chickens inoculated with wild-type S. Enteritidis and its mutants. Chickens were inoculated on day of hatch with SPI1, SPI2, phoP and aroA S. Enteritidis mutants and challenged on day 22 of life. The data represent individual values and median CFU/g tissue. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences from chickens inoculated with wild-type S. Enteritidis at $P \leq 0.05 (*)$. Non-vaccinated chickens infected on day 22 of life are designated as “nv”. Some values from the chicken cecum are missing due to an overgrowth of plates with non-Salmonella microbiota resistant to nalidixic acid.
HD11 cells infected with the SPI1 mutant. This was in contradiction with the high inflammatory signaling of porcine alveolar macrophages infected with the SPI1 mutant when compared with those infected with the wild-type S. enteritidis [25]. The likely explanation is the different origin of the cell, primary porcine macrophages and cell line in the case of HD11 chicken macrophages. Behavior of HD11 macrophages was therefore dependent on SPI1-dependent invasion with the invasion deficient SPI1 mutant inducing the lowest inflammatory signaling.

Inoculation of newly hatched chickens with the SPI1 and also phoP mutant did not result in inflammation, which corresponds with our previous observations on vaccination with the SPI1 mutant [13]. Although the chickens at the time of challenge were still positive for the mutants used for inoculation on day 1 of life, we believe that this did not negatively affect results as it has been shown that inflammatory response decreases in chickens between the 2nd and 3rd week of life [22]. The fact that we did not record extensive differences in bacterial counts after challenge in different groups was likely due to an early time point for analysis, i.e. 4 days post infection. Moreover, since we did not discriminate between the counts of vaccine and challenge strains, especially the counts in the cecum have to be taken with a certain care since these could be a mixture of vaccine and challenge strains. Despite this, immune responses to challenge were quite different across all groups. Chickens inoculated with the SPI1 or phoP mutant were resistant to the wild-type S. enteritidis challenge as this did not trigger any inflammatory response at 4 days post challenge (Figure 6). Antibody production was stimulated in the chickens inoculated with SPI2 and aroA mutants and challenged with the wild-type, similarly, though to...
a lesser extent, to chickens inoculated twice with the wild-type S. Enteritidis. Recently we documented that vaccination with the SPI2 mutant resulted in a higher antibody production determined by ELISA than vaccination with the SPI1 mutant [13]. However, it should be reminded that in all the experiments we used a single time point for analysis of immune response. We therefore cannot exclude a similar response to vaccination or challenge with different dynamics, i.e. we cannot exclude an earlier or delayed response of the chickens to the vaccination or challenge with different mutants or wild type S. Enteritidis.

The comparative approach used in this study also allowed us to address the function of individual genes involved in the chicken response to S. Enteritidis infection. Cluster I in Figure 6 represents genes of early response that are highly inducible in response to S. Enteritidis especially in non-protected chickens. These genes include ES1, IFIT5, EPSTI1, LYG2 and MMP7 expressed in cells of non-leukocyte origin [26-31], IRG1, AVD, ExFABP, SAA, IL-1β and TRAP6 expressed in macrophages and heterophils [21,32], CXCL12 (IL-8) produced by both intestinal epithelial cells and phagocytes [33-35], and IL-17 and IL-22 expressed in T-lymphocytes [33]. Most of these genes were significantly induced in 22-day-old chickens only after repeated Salmonella infection. Some of these genes were induced also in the chickens inoculated with the aroA and SPI2 mutants. These genes are associated with B-lymphocyte differentiation and consequently with specific immune response and antibody production [44,45].

However, the results following the inoculation with phoP and SPI1 mutants were the most surprising. One would expect that if these mutants did not induce at least moderate inflammation as did the SPI2 or aroA mutant, specific immunity could not develop and challenged chickens should respond as the naive controls, which was not the case. The reason for the different development of specific immunity is not known. However, it is possible that due to a decreased ability to invade intestinal epithelial cells, S. Enteritidis SPI1 mutant should be present mainly in professional phagocytes and antigen presenting cells without being able to cause their
Table 2 Age-dependent responsiveness of chickens to S. Enteritidis infection

| Function/gene | Description | Fold increase in chickens* |
|--------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| **Cytokines** |             |                           |
| IL-1β        | interleukin 1 | 21.1 ± 14.4                |
| CXCL2 (IL-8 L2) | interleukin 8 | 5.9 ± 1.6                  |
| IL-17        | interleukin 17 | 2.9 ± 1.5                  |
| IL-22        | interleukin 22 | 50.1 ± 30.4                |
| IFNγ         | interferon gamma | 20.1 ± 12.1               |
| AH221        | chemokine AH221 (CCLI9) | 36.8 ± 7.4               |
| **Immunoglobulins** |             |                           |
| IgM          | immunoglobulin M heavy chain, C-region | 25.8 ± 10.0               |
| IgY          | immunoglobulin Y heavy chain, C-region | 44.9 ± 18.2               |
| IgA          | immunoglobulin A heavy chain, C-region | 19.3 ± 11.8               |
| Igλ          | immunoglobulin lambda light chain, C-region | 25.1 ± 8.8               |
| **Other immune response proteins** |             |                           |
| IRG1         | immune responsive gene 1 | 186.2 ± 42.9              |
| iNOS         | inducible NO synthase | 58.1 ± 18.1               |
| MRP126       | MRP-126 (S100A9, calprotectin, calgranulin B) | 33.0 ± 13.6              |
| PTGDS        | prostaglandin D2 synthase 21 kDa (brain) | 11.9 ± 4.0               |
| C3           | complement 3 | 11.4 ± 2.2                 |
| IFIT5        | interferon-induced protein with tetricopeptide repeats 5 | 3.6 ± 0.9               |
| ASL2         | argininosuccinate lyase | 4.5 ± 1.0               |
| MPEG1        | macrophage-expressed gene 1 protein-like | 4.0 ± 0.6               |
| ITGB2        | integrin beta-2 precursor | 6.6 ± 1.1               |
| TAP1         | transporter 1, ATP-binding cassette, sub-family B | 5.0 ± 1.4               |
| STAT1        | signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 | 4.1 ± 0.6               |
| STAT3        | signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 | 1.9 ± 0.2               |
| **Acute phase response** |             |                           |
| SAA          | serum amyloid A | 150.7 ± 61.1              |
| AVD          | avidin | 27.0 ± 8.4               |
| HPX          | hemopexin | 7.0 ± 2.7               |
| **Mucosal defense** |             |                           |
| MMP7         | matrix metallopeptidase 7 (matrylsin, uterine) | 939.1 ± 287.1          |
| ExFABP       | extracellular fatty-acid binding protein (P20K, LCN8) | 177.0 ± 57.4           |
| TRAP6        | trappin-6 | 64.8 ± 22.5               |
| LYG2         | lysozyme g-like 2 | 32.9 ± 6.3               |
| MUC2L        | mucin-2-like | 3.5 ± 1.3               |
| **Hematopoiesis, angiogenesis** |             |                           |
| SERPINB10    | serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade B (ovalbumin), member 10 | 18.0 ± 9.8              |
| HCLS1        | hematopoietic lineage cell-specific protein 1 | 7.8 ± 1.5               |
| RSFR         | leucocyte ribonuclease A-2, angiogenin | 7.5 ± 1.7               |
| **Other**    |             |                           |
| TGM4     | glutamine γ-glutamyltransferase 4 | 37.5 ± 12.0              |
| ES1         | ES1 protein homolog | 21.4 ± 8.9               |
| EPST21       | epithelial stromal interaction 1 (breast) | 3.6 ± 0.9               |

*Chickens were infected on day 1, 21 or 42 with S. Enteritidis and euthanized 4 days after the infection. The table presents a fold increase in gene expression after the infection with 95% confidence interval.

$Values in bold indicate significant difference from the expression in age-matched non-infected control chickens.
apoptosis [24,25]. Similarly, phoP mutant exhibits increased intracellular replication without causing cell death [18]. It is therefore tempting to speculate that if the whole tissue is inflamed, immune response is polarized towards Th2 response and antibody production. On the other hand, if cells present in the cecal tissue are not stimulated for inflammatory signaling, the immune system is then polarized towards cell-mediated response. Although the above mentioned hypothesis will have to be proven experimentally, it can be concluded that phoP, aroA, SPI1 and SPI2 mutants were recognized and processed differently by the chicken immune system which might help in developing vaccines against either systemic or gut infection.
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