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Abstract

This study aimed to enhance the understanding of the steriodogenic acute regulatory protein-related lipid transfer (START) domain in *Vitis vinifera*. A total of 23 members of the *VvSTARD* gene family were found, which could be divided into five groups. The analyses of the gene codon preference, selective pressure, and tandem replication events of the *VvSTARD*, *AISTARD*, and *OsSTARD* genomes indicated that tandem replication events occurred in grapes, *Arabidopsis*, and rice genomes. Eight lipid transporter proteins were found in the tertiary structure of the *STARD* gene family in grapes. The analysis of the expression profiles of the three species microarrays showed that the expression sites of the *STARD* gene and the response to abiotic stress in the same subgroup had similar characteristics. In addition, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was used to analyze the expression of the *STARD* gene family in grape leaves in response to different hormones and abiotic stresses, and the obtained results were the same as those predicted by the cis-elements and the expression profiles. Furthermore, 35S:*STARD5:EGFP was successfully constructed to verify the subcellular prediction results, and the results showed that *STARD5* was located in the nucleus. Through the identification of salt tolerance of transgenic tomato, *STARD5* was found to regulate the salt stress of plants. Collectively, these data indicated that the *VvSTARD* gene family plays an important role in response to salt stress.

Key Message

Twenty-three members of the *STARD* gene family were identified in grapes. In addition, "Micro Tom" tomato overexpressing *STARD5* from *Vitis vinifera* increased the tolerance of salt stress.

Introduction

In agricultural production, studying the molecular mechanism and the function of stress-related genes is critically important to improve the crop quality and yield. Salt stress is an important constraint on the crop quality and yield particularly in grapes. Although many studies have reported on the mechanism of plant salt tolerance (Cheong et al. 2003; Shi et al. 2003; Cao et al. 2007), numerous genes have not been excavated and studied yet.

The steriodogenic acute regulatory protein-related lipid transfer (START) domain, which was first discovered in mammals, has a 210-amino-acid conserved sequence, which forms an α/β helix-grip structure, thereby creating a hydrophobic cavity that binds to the ligand and small globular modules (Roderick et al. 2002; Schrick et al. 2004; Clark 2012, 2020; Tillman et al. 2020). Evidence shows that diverse ligands, such as phospholipids, oxysterols, sphingolipids, cholesterol, and possibly fatty acids, bind to START domains in mammal and have functions in controlling thioesterase enzyme activity, tumour suppression and non-vesicular lipid transport (Ponting et al. 1999; Suricata et al. 2000; Roccio et al. 2003; Strauss et al. 2003).

The STARD protein family has been renamed because many proteins contain the START domains in plants, and the homeodomain leucine zipper (HD–Zip III and HD–Zip IV subfamilies) transcription factor family is part of the *STARD* gene family (Nakamura et al. 2006). A total of 21 HD–Zip I START domain transcription factors, which plays an important role in vascular bundle development, meristem formation and polarity construction, are found in *Arabidopsis* (Schtick et al. 2004). These factors include epidermal hair growth (GL2) (Szymanski et al. 1998), anthocyanin accumulation (ANL2 and FWA) (Thirzish et al. 1999; Kubo et al. 1999; Ryo et al. 2008; Fujimoto et al. 2008), floral organ formation (PDF2) (Abe et al. 2003), AMTL1 (A. thaliana MERI STEM LAYER 1) (Sessions et al. 1999; Abe et al. 2003), vascular bundle development (ATHB-8) (Mcconnell et al. 2001; Baima et al. 2001), and polarity of near and far axes of leaves and embryos (PHV, PHB, and REV) (Talbert et al. 1995; Emery et al. 2003; Elhiti et al. 2009). A functional study on the GL2, a member of the HD–Zip START domain family, has found that the HD–Zip domain is required for the GL2 transcription factor activity (Schrick et al. 2014). HD–Zip III subfamily possesses the START domain, HD–START-associated domain, and Me–Gluc–Leu–Ala (MEKHLA) domain, whereas the HD–Zip IV lacks the MEKHLA domain (Williams et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2020). HD–Zip IV genes are expressed explicitly in the outer cell and epidermal and subepidermal cells of multiple species during biotic and abiotic stresses (Ingram et al. 2000; Nakamura et al. 2006). The HD–Zip IV gene *OshDG11* can improve the drought tolerance and increase the grain yield of transgenic rice plants (Yu et al. 2013). Promoter analysis shows that the HD–Zip III genes may be involved in responses to light, hormones, abiotic stressors, and stem development of the HD–Zip family, but this analysis fails to verify the function of such genes (Li et al. 2019).

Although the HD–Zip gene family has been studied (Li et al. 2017a), studies on HD–Zip III and HD–Zip IV containing the START domain, which focus on plant response to various abiotic stresses, are few. In addition, genes that only contain the START domain in grapes have not been reported. Furthermore, previous research has reported that the START domain associates with the pleckstrin homology (PH) domain at the same site used for the PH domain membrane binding and confers the functional regulation of the ceramide transfer (CERT) protein (Prashek et al. 2017). The EDR2 gene was identified, which may serve as an important entry point for understanding the function of plant PH and START domains and possible links amongst lipid signaling, the mitochondria and the activation of programmed cell death (Nie et al. 2011). The EDR2 gene is associated with the regulation of plant defense responses in *Arabidopsis* (Tang et al. 2005; Nie et al. 2011). The AtAPOSTAR1 is an *Arabidopsis* PH–START domain protein involved in seed germination (Resentini et al. 2014). Nevertheless, studies on genes containing the PH–START domain in grapes abiotic stress are not available.

HD–Zip III and HD–Zip IV containing the START domain proteins in plants have been widely examined, but studies on the resistance of such proteins to abiotic stress in plants are limited. Moreover, the function of the PH–START or START domains proteins in plants, particularly grapes, while facing abiotic stress is still not understood. Therefore, this study has focused on identifying the *STARD* gene family and verifying the tolerance of the members of this family to salt stress in grapes. The phylogenetic tree, intragenomic and extragenomic tandem repeat events, selective pressure, codon preference is analyzed to predict the evolutionary relationship amongst grapes, *Arabidopsis*, and rice. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) is conducted on 23 identified *VvSTARD* gene families to verify their expression in grapes in response to different hormones and abiotic stresses. RNA is extracted from “Pinot Noir” grape leaves, and *STARD5* is amplified to verify the tolerance of the family to salt stress. The *STARD5* is used for the subcellular localization of *Arabidopsis* protoplasts and the genetic transformation of "Micro Tom" tomato plants. These findings will lay a solid foundation for further investigations into the molecular mechanism of the *STARD* gene in grape salt stress resistance.
Materials And Methods

Identification of STARD genes in grapes

The STARD gene sequence of Arabidopsis was downloaded from the Arabidopsis genome website (http://www.arabidopsis.org/). Grape and rice genome annotation details were downloaded from the phytozome website (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html) (Goodstein et al. 2012). Arabidopsis STARD protein sequences (accession numbers: At1g05230, At1g17920, At1g34650, At2g32370, At3g03260, At3g61150, At4g00730, At4g04980, At4g17710, At4g21750, At4g25530, At5g17320, At5g52170, At1g73360, At1g79840, At5g46880, At1g30490, At1g52150, At2g34710, At4g32880, At5g60690, At2g28320, At3g54880, At4g19040, At5g45560, At5g35180, At1g64720, At3g13062, At3g23080, At4g14500, At4g26920, At5g07260, At5g49800 and At5g54170) were compared with the grape genome sequences, and all proteins containing the START conserved domain (PF01852) in grapes were obtained. The START conserved domain was used as queries to perform the BLASTP analysis (E < 10^-10). HMMER (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/), and Pfam (http://pfam.xfam.org/) (Potter et al. 2018; El-Gebali et al. 2019) were used to confirm the sequence accuracy. Genes without the START domain were removed, and VvSTARD genes were identified (Fig. S1). A total of 23 STARD genes were obtained from the grape gene database and named in accordance with the conserved domains and the position of the genes on the chromosome (Table 1). Simultaneously, Arabidopsis, and rice STARD genes were also named in the same way (Table S1). The physicochemical properties of the VvSTARD protein, such as molecular weight (MW), isoelectric point (pI), grand average of hydropathicity (GRAVY), aliphatic index and instability index, were obtained from the ExPASy (https://www.expasy.org/) (Wilkins et al. 1999).

Phylogenetic clustering, and gene structural and protein conserved motif analysis

The multiple sequence alignment of the STARD genes of Arabidopsis, rice, and grapes was conducted using the ClustalX 2.0 (Conway Institute, University College Dublin, Dublin, UK) (Larkin et al. 2007). MEGA 7.0 (Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA, USA) was used to perform phylogenetic clustering (Kumar et al. 2016) with the NJ, and the "Poisson model" was adopted. The gap was set to "complete deletion," and the check parameter was bootstrap = 1000 times with random seed. GSDDS 2.0 (http://gdds.cbi.pku.edu.cn/) was used to analyze gene structures, namely, exon and intron (Hu et al. 2015). MEME online software (http://meme-suite.org/) was used to predict to predict the conserved domain of the protein (Bailey et al. 2009), and the number of motifs in the conserved domain was set to 20.

Analysis of the STARD gene duplication and the Ka/Ks in grapes

For the synteny analysis, the MCScanX algorithm was used to detect the synteny or the collinearity (Wang et al. 2012), and the diagram was drawn via TBtools (Chen et al. 2018). The nonsynonymous/synonymous (Ka/Ks) values of duplicate gene pairs or triplicate gene groups (between any two genes in one triplicate gene group) were calculated through DnaSP 6.0, an application released by Universitat de Barcelona.

Codon usage bias analysis

The codon bias refers to the unequal use of synonymous codons for an amino acid (Hershberg et al. 2008; Larracuente et al. 2008; Plotkin et al. 2011; Guo et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2018). The coding sequences of the STARD gene were used to determine the codon adaptation index (CAI), codon bias index (CBI), frequency of optimal codons (FOPs), relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU), GC content and GC content at the third site of the synonymous codon (GC3s content) by using the online software CodonW 1.4.2 (http://codonw.sourceforge.net) (Wang et al. 2018). The R language was used to analyze the correlation amongst the T3s, C3s, A3s, G3s, GC, GC3s, L_sym, L_aa, GRAVY and Aromo.

Subcellular localization and secondary and tertiary structure analyses

WoLF PSORT (https://wolfpsort.hgc.jp/) was used to predict the subcellular localization of the VvSTARD genes. The NPS@: SOPMA secondary structure prediction (https://npsa-prabi.ibcp.fr/cgi-bin/npsa_automat.pl?page=npsa_sopma.html) was used for predicting the secondary structure. SWISS-MODEL (http://www.expasy.org/swissmod/) was used to predict the 3D structure of some atypical HDs, and 3D structure figures were prepared using PyMOL software (DeLano 2002, The PyMOL molecular graphics system. http://www.pymol.org).

cis-Element and expression analyzes of STARD genes in grapes

The promoter sequence of the 2 000 bp upstream of the coding region of VvSTARD genes was obtained from the website of grape genomes, and the PlantCARE online site was used to predict and analyze the gene promoter elements (Lescot et al. 2002). cis-Element diagrams were constructed via GSDS2.0 (http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn/) (Hu et al. 2015). Expression data were revitalized from GEO databases (Affymetrix GeneChip 16K Vitis vinifera Genome Array) (Wang et al. 2018), and selected data on the "Cabernet Sauvignon" grape under different abiotic stresses (accession number: GSE31594) were downloaded from the GEO database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). The expression data of STARD genes were extracted from grapes, and tissue expression data were retrieved from the Bio-Analytic Resource for Plant Biology (BAR, https://bar.utoronto.ca/) databases in grape, Arabidopsis and rice. In addition, stress expression data were retrieved from the BAR databases in Arabidopsis and rice. Heat maps were drawn in accordance with TBtools (Chen et al. 2018).

Plant materials, treatments, and RNA isolation

The V. vinifera "Pinot Noir" tube seedling was used in qRT-PCR and cultured in the Fruit Tree Physiology and Biotechnology Laboratory of Gansu Agricultural University. The single-shoot stem segments of the test tube seedlings were attached to a solid GS (modified B5 solid medium) and cultured under white LED for 35 days. The grape seedlings were treated with 0.2 mmol l^-1 of abscisic acid (ABA), 150 μmol l^-1 of methyl jasmonate (MeJA), 50 μg l^-1 of salicylic acid (SA), 100 μmol l^-1 of indole acetic acid (IAA), 50 μg l^-1 of gibberellin 3 (GA3), 10% PEG6000, and 400 mmol l^-1 of NaCl at low temperature (4 °C) for 12 and
Phylogenetic and structural analyses of the START domain proteins and vacuole, respectively. These results indicated that the STARD transcription factor gene family was a relatively conserved gene family. VvSTARD18, and VvSTARD19) proteins were observed in the cytoplasm, plasma membrane, cytoskeleton, mitochondria, extracellular matrix, Golgi apparatus, VvSTARD13, VvSTARD14, VvSTARD17, VvSTARD18, VvSTARD20, and VvSTARD23), 2 (VvSTARD16, and VvSTARD19), 1 (VvSTARD12), 4 (VvSTARD10, VvSTARD19 were not present in the chloroplast. A total of 13 (VvSTARD2, VvSTARD4, VvSTARD5, VvSTARD9, VvSTARD10, VvSTARD11, VvSTARD12, VvSTARD13, VvSTARD14, VvSTARD17, VvSTARD18, VvSTARD20, and VvSTARD23), 2 (VvSTARD16, and VvSTARD19), 1 (VvSTARD12), 4 (VvSTARD10, VvSTARD16, VvSTARD17, and VvSTARD22), 2 (VvSTARD17, and VvSTARD20), 1 (VvSTARD20) and 6 (VvSTARD9, VvSTARD10, VvSTARD11, VvSTARD13, VvSTARD18, and VvSTARD19) proteins were observed in the cytoplasm, plasma membrane, cytoskeleton, mitochondria, extracellular matrix, Golgi apparatus, and vacuole, respectively. These results indicated that the STARD transcription factor gene family was a relatively conserved gene family.

The spectrum plant total RNA kit (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) was utilized to extract the RNA. The M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase kit (Taka Bio, Inc., Japan) was utilized for the synthesis of the reverse-strand complementary DNA (cDNA). The purified total RNA (0.5–2 μg) was reverse transcribed into the first-strand cDNA and used for qRT-PCR. Subsequently, the TaKaRa Premix Ex Taq. II (Taka Bio, Inc., Japan) was used for qRT-PCR (Light Cycler 96 Real-Time PCR System, Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The cycling parameters were 95 °C for 30 s, 40 cycles at 95 °C for 5 s, and 60 °C for 30 s. For melting curve analysis, a program consisting of 95 °C for 15 s followed by a constant increase from 60 °C to 95 °C, was included following the PCR cycles. VvGAPDH (GenBank accession no. CB973647) and SlActin (GenBank accession no. NM_001330119) were used as internal reference genes. The primer sequence is presented in Table S2. The relative expression levels of the genes were calculated using the 2−ΔΔCT method (Willems et al. 2008), and images were drawn using the Origin 9.0 software.

Subcellular localization and identification of the heterologous expression of STARD5

Green fluorescent protein (EGFP) fusion vectors containing STARD5 fused to the N-terminal of EGFP driven by the 35S promoter were constructed to investigate the subcellular localization of STARD5. The coding sequences of STARD5 were amplified and inserted into pBI221–EGFP by using the NovoRec®PCR One Step Cloning Kit (Novoprotein Scientific Inc., China). Constructs were transferred to Arabidopsis protoplasts, and the EGFP fluorescence was detected using confocal laser-scanning microscopy (Olympus FV1000 Viewer, Tokyo, Japan). Arabidopsis protoplasts were prepared in accordance with the method of Yoo et al. (2007).

"Micro Tom" Tomato was used for the transformation of the STARD5. The complete coding regions of STARD5 were inserted behind the 3SS promoter and constructed 3SS-STARD5:FLAG plasmids that were introduced into the Agrobacterium strain GV3101. The Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of the "Micro Tom" leaves was performed as previously described (Ruf et al, 2001). The genomic DNA was extracted using the TransDirect Plant Tissue PCR Kit (Beijing Quantising Biotechnology Co., Ltd.), and positive plants were detected using gene-specific primers (35S-F: 5′-TGACGCACAATCCCACTATC-3′; STARD5-R: 5′-CGATGGTAGCGCTTCTTCTT-3′).

Statistical analysis

Data obtained from the qRT-PCR of three biological replicates were subjected to two-way ANOVA and Bonferroni’s post-test for data comparison. Data analysis was conducted using the IBM SPSS v.22 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). P < 0.05 indicated a significant difference, which was determined on the basis of the Duncan method. In graphs, notable differences were marked using different letters (a–f). Other data analysis methods were added in the corresponding figure and table captions.

Results

Identification of the STARD genes in grapes

The BLASTP was used to search for the grape STARD proteins by utilising the START domain homologous sequence in Arabidopsis as a standard, and multiple sequence alignments was performed by DNAMAN to remove redundant sequences. A total of 23 STARD candidate genes were observed on the grape genome (12X) database from this research. VvSTARD1–VvSTARD23, which were named on the basis of the order of their gene and conserved domains (Table 1), were disseminated broadly on 12 chromosomes. The largest distribution was established on the second chromosome, and only one gene was located on the 5th, 6th, 11th, 16th, and 17th chromosomes. One gene distributed on the 9th chromosome, whereas ve gene distributed on the 4th, 10th, 12th, 13th, and 15th chromosomes. The CDS coding sequences of the START domain in grapes encoding 237–886 amino acids ranged from 714 bp (VvSTARD23) to 2658 bp (VvSTARD7). The MW of VvSTARD ranged from 26.77 kD (VvSTARD23) to 99.56 kD (VvSTARD7), showing large differences. VvSTARD proteins had hydrophilic values ranging from −0.466 to −0.077. The predicted pI values of the VvSTARD proteins ranging from 5.60 (VvSTARD5) to 9.66 (VvSTARD22).

Cotyledons of newborn "Micro Tom" tomato were used to transform the STARD5 gene, and young seedings of 3 weeks were used for the salt tolerance assay. For the salt stress assay, the transgenic tomato was watered every 3 h with 400 mmol l−1 of NaCl, and the control was supplemented with the same volume of distilled water. Three biological replicates for each treatment and fresh sample leaves of tomato (0.1 g) were collected. The relative electrical conductivity and proline and malondialdehyde contents of tomato leaves were determined using the commercial ELISA kit (Jiangsu Keming Biotechnology Institute, Suzhou, China) in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol.

Further analysis showed the VvSTARD proteins were precached within the nucleus, chloroplast and cytoplasm (Table S3). Many proteins except for VvSTARD19, VvSTARD21 and VvSTARD22 were found in the nucleus. Unlike other proteins, the VvSTARD1, VvSTARD2, VvSTARD8, VvSTARD14, and VvSTARD19 were not present in the chloroplast. A total of 13 (VvSTARD2, VvSTARD4, VvSTARD5, VvSTARD9, VvSTARD10, VvSTARD11, VvSTARD12, VvSTARD13, VvSTARD14, VvSTARD17, VvSTARD18, VvSTARD20, and VvSTARD23), 2 (VvSTARD16, and VvSTARD19), 1 (VvSTARD12), 4 (VvSTARD10, VvSTARD16, VvSTARD17, and VvSTARD22), 2 (VvSTARD17, and VvSTARD20), 1 (VvSTARD20) and 6 (VvSTARD9, VvSTARD10, VvSTARD11, VvSTARD13, VvSTARD18, and VvSTARD19) proteins were observed in the cytoplasm, plasma membrane, cytoskeleton, mitochondria, extracellular matrix, Golgi apparatus, and vacuole, respectively. These results indicated that the STARD transcription factor gene family was a relatively conserved gene family.

Phylogenetic and structural analyses of the START domain proteins
STARD protein sequences were used to construct the phylogenetic tree in grapes, Arabidopsis and rice (Fig. 1A). The phylogenetic distribution showed that the START domain proteins could be divided into five major subgroups (groups 1–5). Twenty members of the START domain proteins family were included in group 1 (4, 8, and 8 members from grapes, rice, and Arabidopsis, respectively), which contained the structural START and HD domains. Eighteen members were included in group 2 (4, 4, and 10 members from grapes, rice, and Arabidopsis, respectively), which contained the structural START and HD domains. Eighteen members were included in group 3 (5, 8, and 5 members from grape, rice and Arabidopsis, respectively), which contained the structural START, HD and MEKHLA domains. Thirteen members were included in group 4 (4, 2, and 7 members from grapes, rice, and Arabidopsis, respectively), which contained the structural START domain. Fourteen members were included in group 5 (6, 3, and 5 members from grapes, rice, and Arabidopsis, respectively), which contained the structural START, PH and DUF1336 domains. The conserved sequences included START, HD, MEKHLA, PH domains, and DUF1336 sequences of the eight conserved domains (Fig. S1).

Further analysis showed that members from the same subgroups had similar exon/intron structures and motifs. As shown in Fig. 1B, a gene with only one exon in the coding sequence of the entire VvSTARD gene family was not found, and the exon ranged from 5 to 22. Moreover, 20 motifs of VvSTARD proteins (Figs. 1C and S2) were analyzed using the MEME online software to gain insights into the characteristic region of the VvSTARD proteins. Six conserved motifs (motifs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 13) were shared by groups 1, 2, and 3 of the VvSTARD protein family. Six motifs (motifs 8, 9, 11, 15, 17, and 18) were shared by groups 1 and 2. Four motifs (motifs 6, 7, 16, and 19) were shared by group 3, and three motifs (motifs 10, 12, and 14) were shared by group 5. However, no system-conserved motif in the VvSTARD protein family was observed in group 4. In addition, the motif 16 was shared by groups 1 and 2. These results indicated that genes with very similar structures distributed in the same subgroups might have similar biological functions, whereas the genes distributed in different subgroups likely have different biological functions.

**Codon preference analysis of VvSTARD, AtSTARD, and OsSTARD genes**

Codons and related parameters in grapes, Arabidopsis, and rice were obtained and compared to further evaluate the evolutionary relationship of VvSTARD genes. A total of 23 VvSTARD 35 AtSTARD, and 25 OsSTARD genes contained 15 989, 24 209, and 31 815 codons, respectively (including stop codons), which had RSCU > 1 codons of 9916, 15 413, and 10 459, respectively. Among the RSCU > 1 codons, those ending in A or U had preferred codons in the grape, and the Arabidopsis STARD gene families. A total of 2193, 4674, and 3049 codons ending in A, U, and G or C, respectively, were found in grapes, accounting for 22.12%, 47.14%, and 30.74%, respectively, of the total number of codons in RSCU > 1. In Arabidopsis, codons ending with A, U and G or C accounted for 21.83%, 49.45%, and 28.72%, respectively, of the total codons in RSCU > 1. However, rice contained codons ending in G and C, accounting for 43.24% and 46.17%, respectively, of the total codons in RSCU > 1, whereas codons ending in A or U only accounted for 10.59% of the total codons in RSCU > 1 (Fig 2 and Table S4).

As shown in Fig. 3A and Table S5-4, VvSTARD genes were unevenly distributed in four linkage groups (chr). The chr6/chr13 linkage group had two VvSTARD gene pairs. chr1, chr3, chr14, chr18, and chr19 had no synteny VvSTARD gene. Gene duplication, through either segmental or tandem duplication, played important roles in the expansion of new members during the evolution of a gene family (Holub 2001). In this study, tandem duplication genes, namely, VvSTARD14/ VvSTARD15 and VvSTARD10/ VvSTARD13, were discovered on chr6 and chr13, respectively. A pair of collinear genes (VvSTARD6/ VvSTARD7) was observed on chr5 and chr9, respectively. A pair of collinear genes (VvSTARD9/ VvSTARD11) was found on chr4 and chr9. These results suggested that some VvSTARD genes might be manufactured via gene duplication, and the primary driving force of the VvSTARD evolution was these duplication events.

Three representative comparative systematic maps of Arabidopsis, grapes, and rice were constructed to further forecast the phylogenetic element of the VvSTARD family (Fig. 3B and Table S5-5). A total of 13, 14, and 9 STARD genes in grapes, Arabidopsis, and rice showed a collinearity relationship. Amongst these genes, 15 were homologous pairs of the STARD genes in grape and Arabidopsis, and 14 were homologous pairs of the STARD genes in grapes and rice. Some VvSTARD genes particularly the grapes and Arabidopsis STARD genes were linked with three pairs of synonymous genes, such as VvSTARD7, which might play a critical role in the evolution of the STARD gene family. Some STARD collinear gene pairs found between grapes and Arabidopsis were settled on highly conserved synonymous blocks. The phylogenetic relationship and codon preference analyses demonstrated that the evolutionary relationship between grapes and Arabidopsis might be close.
The results of the analysis of the grape abiotic stress expression data (Fig. 5C and Table S8-3) showed that six genes (at different developmental stages of each organ and tissue, and 5. different developmental stages of each organ and tissue). VvSTARD11, VvSTARD8, VvSTARD7, VvSTARD6, VvSTARD5, VvSTARD4, VvSTARD3, VvSTARD2, VvSTARD1 were expressed in the leaves, buds, fruits, skin, seed, stamen, petals, pericarp, and carpel. However, the expression data for organs/tissues and abiotic stress in grapes, rice, and Arabidopsis were downloaded from the BAR database. Tissue expression analysis indicated that the expression levels of the VvSTARD genes in different tissues at different developmental stages of grapes were uneven.

Secondary and tertiary structure analyses of VvSTARD proteins

The results of the secondary structure analysis of the VvSTARD protein family demonstrated that the secondary structures were α helix, β turn, and random coil (Table S6). The percentages of α helix, β turn and random coil were 30.52% (VvSTARD17) to 44.11% (VvSTARD13), 3.24% (VvSTARD16) to 6.75% (VvSTARD23), 32.91% (VvSTARD23) to 49.05% (VvSTARD17), respectively. The 3D structure analysis showed structures peculiar to several STARD proteins (Fig. S3 and Table S7). These proteins included thioesterase adipose-associated isoform brown fat-inducible thioesterase 2 (BFIT2; observed in VvSTARD14, VvSTARD16, and VvSTARD18), CERT (observed in VvSTARD2, VvSTARD3, VvSTARD4, VvSTARD5, VvSTARD6, VvSTARD7, VvSTARD8, VvSTARD15, VvSTARD17, VvSTARD20, and VvSTARD21), metastatic lymph node 64 (MLN64) protein (observed in VvSTARD9, VvSTARD10, VvSTARD11, and VvSTARD12), PCTP (observed in VvSTARD21 and VvSTARD22), START protein3 (observed in VvSTARD4 and VvSTARD7), cholesterol-regulated START protein4 (observed in VvSTARD11, VvSTARD13, and VvSTARD19), START protein5 (observed in VvSTARD10 and VvSTARD13) and START protein3 (observed in VvSTARD1–VvSTARD13 and VvSTARD20).

The secondary and tertiary structure analyses of the VvSTARD protein family demonstrated that the secondary structures were α helix, β turn, and random coil (Table S6). The percentages of α helix, β turn and random coil were 30.52% (VvSTARD17) to 44.11% (VvSTARD13), 3.24% (VvSTARD16) to 6.75% (VvSTARD23), 32.91% (VvSTARD23) to 49.05% (VvSTARD17), respectively. The 3D structure analysis showed structures peculiar to several STARD proteins (Fig. S3 and Table S7). These proteins included thioesterase adipose-associated isoform brown fat-inducible thioesterase 2 (BFIT2; observed in VvSTARD14, VvSTARD16, and VvSTARD18), CERT (observed in VvSTARD2, VvSTARD3, VvSTARD4, VvSTARD5, VvSTARD6, VvSTARD7, VvSTARD8, VvSTARD15, VvSTARD17, VvSTARD20, and VvSTARD21), metastatic lymph node 64 (MLN64) protein (observed in VvSTARD9, VvSTARD10, VvSTARD11, and VvSTARD12), PCTP (observed in VvSTARD21 and VvSTARD22), START protein3 (observed in VvSTARD4 and VvSTARD7), cholesterol-regulated START protein4 (observed in VvSTARD11, VvSTARD13, and VvSTARD19), START protein5 (observed in VvSTARD10 and VvSTARD13) and START protein3 (observed in VvSTARD1–VvSTARD13 and VvSTARD20).

cis-Element and expression pattern analyses of VvSTARD genes

cis-acting elements related to the hormone and abiotic stress responses were speculated in the promoter region of the VvSTARD genes. Nine types of hormone- and stress-related cis-acting regulatory elements were presented in the promoters of STARD genes in grapes. (Fig. 5A and Table S8-1). Three stress-related cis-acting elements, including TC-rich repeats (defense and stress), MBS (drought), and low-temperature-responsive elements, were detected. Six hormone-related cis-acting elements, including TGA element/AuxRR core (auxin), O₂ site (zein metabolism), TCA element (salicylic acid), abscisic acid (ABA)-responsive element, GARE-motif/P-box/TATC-box (gibberellin), and CGTCA/TGACG motif (MeJA responsive element), were identified. All VvSTARD genes contained cis-acting elements associated with abiotic stress or hormonal responses. Amongst the VvSTARD genes, 14 genes related to the ABA response element were found, and 14 genes were detected in the drought response element. In addition, the VvSTARD gene contained 14 auxins, 10 zeins, 9 GA3, 11 SA, and 13 MeJA-responsive elements. The results showed that the VvSTARD gene could regulate the metabolism of various hormones and abiotic stresses in response to different environmental factors. The expression mode and function of the STARD gene family in plants were not clear. Therefore, the STARD gene expression data for organs/tissues and abiotic stress in grapes, rice, and Arabidopsis were downloaded from the BAR database. Tissue expression analysis indicated that the expression levels of the VvSTARD genes in different tissues at different developmental stages of grapes were uneven.

Analysis of VvSTARD gene family tissues (Fig. S8 and Table S8-2) demonstrated that the tissue expression of the VvSTARD genes in the same group was similar, but the tissue expression sites differed because of evolutionary differences. VvSTARD4, VvSTARD5, VvSTARD6, and VvSTARD7 were members of the group 1, which contained the HD–START domain. Interestingly, VvSTARD4, VvSTARD5, and VvSTARD6 were expressed in the leaves, seedling, stems, flowers, buds, fruits, skin, seed, stamen, petals, pericarp, and carpel. However, the VvSTARD7 was only expressed in the leaves and seed-post fruits. VvSTARD1 and VvSTARD8, which were classified into group 2 and contained the HD–START domain, were expressed in the leaves, buds, flowers, pollen and seeds. VvSTARD9, VvSTARD10, VvSTARD11, VvSTARD12, and VvSTARD12 belonged to group 3 and contained the HD–START–MEKHLA domain. VvSTARD10 and VvSTARD11 were not expressed in the pollen, seed, flesh, rachis, pericarp, and other tissues and organs. VvSTARD9 and VvSTARD12 were detected in the tendrils, leaves, seedling, stems, roots, flowers, buds, fruits, and carpels. Nevertheless, VvSTARD13 was extremely lowly expressed or not expressed in many tissues. VvSTARD20, VvSTARD21, VvSTARD22, and VvSTARD23, which were classified into group 4 and contained the START domain only, were expressed at different developmental stages of each organ and tissue. VvSTARD14, VvSTARD15, VvSTARD16, VvSTARD17, VvSTARD18, and VvSTARD19 belonged to group 5. VvSTARD14, VvSTARD15, and VvSTARD18 were expressed in other tissues except for seed, petals, seedling and bud winter. The VvSTARD16 was expressed at different developmental stages of each organ and tissue, and VvSTARD17 was downregulated or not expressed in many organs. The VvSTARD19 was upregulated in the pollen, flesh midripening, flesh ripening, flesh, pericarp, and skin. VvSTARD23 was also upregulated in the tendrils, young leaves, seedlings, stalks, flowers, carpel, stamen, petals, pollen, seed vernalis, flesh vernalis, skin vernalis, and pericarp vernalis.

The results of the analysis of the grape abiotic stress expression data (Fig. 5C and Table S8-3) showed that six genes (VvSTARD1, VvSTARD2, VvSTARD3, VvSTARD5, VvSTARD6, and VvSTARD8) belonged to groups 1 and 2, whereas five genes (VvSTARD9, VvSTARD10, VvSTARD11, VvSTARD12, and VvSTARD13) belonged to group 3, and such genes were related to salt stress. The expression profiles indicated that most VvSTARD genes were highly expressed at different
times of NaCl, PEG and low-temperature (5 °C) treatments. Genes belonging to groups 5 (VvSTARD15, VvSTARD16, VvSTARD19, and VvSTARD23), 4 (VvSTARD20 and VvSTARD22) and 3 (VvSTARD9, VvSTARD10, VvSTARD11, and VvSTARD13) were related to drought stress. VvSTARD genes related to low-temperature stress were distributed in different groups, and two genes were found in groups 1 and 2 (VvSTARD6 and VvSTARD8).

The expression patterns of various tissues and organs of the AtSTARD gene family demonstrated that the expression of genes in different subfamilies had similarities (Fig. S4A and Table S8-4). Most STARD genes in group 1, such as AtSTARD15, AtSTARD10, AtSTARD1, AtSTARD6, and AtSTARD9, were expressed in Arabidopsis seeds. Two STARD genes (AtSTARD5 and AtSTARD19) belonged to group 2, and such genes were expressed in Arabidopsis seeds. Most STARD genes in group 3, such as AtSTARD17, AtSTARD18, AtSTARD19, AtSTARD20, and AtSTARD21, were not expressed in the Arabidopsis pollen but normally expressed in other tissues and organs. Two STARD genes in group 5 (AtSTARD24 and AtSTARD25) were expressed in all Arabidopsis organs and tissues. AtSTARD22 belonging to group 5 was expressed in all tissues and organs except in seeds. AtSTARD26 belonged to group 5, and it was expressed only in the roots and stamens. Most of the STARD genes in group 4, such as AtSTARD28 and AtSTARD30, were not expressed in the Arabidopsis pollen, seed, shoot and root but normally expressed in other tissues. AtSTARD27 and AtSTARD30 were not expressed in the shoot, and AtSTARD27 was not expressed in the root. Only AtSTARD31 could be expressed in various tissues and organs.

The results of abiotic stress expression analysis demonstrated that the AtSTARD genes clustered in the same group had similar resistance and different expression patterns (Fig. S4B and Table S8-5). In group 4, one gene (AtSTARD28) was highly expressed in the shoot and root under control, cold, salt, drought, wound, and heat stresses. Group 3 had three genes (AtSTARD18, AtSTARD19, and AtSTARD21) under the control, cold, salt, drought, wound, and heat stresses that were expressed higher in the root than in the shoot. In addition, under the control, cold, salt, drought, wound, and heat stresses, some genes showed a higher expression level in root than in shoot, with one gene belonging to group 5 (AtSTARD29) and another gene belonging to group 4 (AtSTARD31). Moreover, under the control, cold, salt, drought, wound, and heat stresses, the expression level in the shoot was higher than that in the root, and the genes were distributed in groups 1 (AtSTARD10 and AtSTARD12) and 4 (AtSTARD27, AtSTARD29, and AtSTARD30).

The expression patterns of the OsSTARD gene family in various tissues and organs showed that the expression of genes in different subfamilies had similarities (Fig. S4C and Table S8-6). Most of the STARD genes in groups 1 and 2, such as OsSTARD5, OsSTARD9, OsSTARD10, OsSTARD11, OsSTARD12, and OsSTARD6, were expressed in rice shoots, shoot apical meristem (SAM) and inflorescence. Some OsSTARD genes (OsSTARD15 and OsSTARD13) were placed in group 3 and expressed in SAM, inflorescence and seedling root. Furthermore, OsSTARD14 and OsSTARD12 were expressed in SAM and inflorescence. Group 4 only contained one gene, that is, OsSTARD21, which was expressed in mature leaves, inflorescence P2, and seeds S2–S5. Group 5 contained three OsSTARD genes, namely, OsSTARD18, OsSTARD19, and OsSTARD20. OsSTARD19 was highly expressed in inflorescence P6 and seed S5. OsSTARD20 was highly expressed in SAM and young inflorescence. OsSTARD18 was highly expressed in mature and young leaves.

The analysis of rice abiotic stress expression data demonstrated that 17 genes were expressed in the normal growing shoot and root and evenly distributed in five subgroups (Fig. S4D and Table S8-7). Nine genes belonged to groups 1 (OsSTARD5, OsSTARD10, OsSTARD4, and OsSTARD2), 3 (OsSTARD16, OsSTARD13, and OsSTARD14), and 5 (OsSTARD19 and OsSTARD18), and such genes were highly expressed in the root and shoot under salt stress and evenly distributed amongst four subgroups. Groups 2, 1, 3, and 5 with 1 (OsSTARD24), 1 (OsSTARD7), 1 (OsSTARD2), 2 (OsSTARD12 and OsSTARD15), and 1 (OsSTARD20) genes were expressed in the root and shoot under cold stress and evenly distributed in six subgroups.

qRT-PCR of the VvSTARD gene family

qRT-PCR was utilized to determine the cis-acting elements and the expression profile data and further verify the physiological characteristics of the VvSTARD gene family. The results showed that most of the VvSTARD gene families could be expressed in grape leaves in response to hormones and abiotic stresses. The expression levels of different hormones and abiotic stresses at 24 h were more evident than those at 12 h (Fig. 6 and Table Table S8-8). A considerable degree of agreement was found amongst the predicted results. As shown in the chip expression profile, the VvSTARD gene family was expressed in grape leaves (Fig. 6), which could respond to the exogenous hormone treatment and presented a high expression level. The expression levels of MeJA, SA, IAA, and GA3 were the same as those of VvSTARD1–VvSTARD4, VvSTARD14–VvSTARD15, VvSTARD7–VvSTARD10, VvSTARD16–VvSTARD21, VvSTARD10, VvSTARD13, and VvSTARD23. After 24 h in 400 mmol l⁻¹ NaCl treatments, 17 genes (VvSTARD1–VvSTARD15, VvSTARD17, and VvSTARD19) were upregulated with expression levels of hundreds or even tens of thousands more than those of the control. The genes of this family could severely respond to high-salt stress conditions. For instance, the expression levels of VvSTARD5 and VvSTARD8 were higher by 880- and 675-fold, respectively, than those of the control after 24 h salt stress treatment (400 mmol l⁻¹).

Subcellular localization and identification of the heterologous expression of STARD5

A fusion protein of STARD5 and EGFP was introduced into Arabidopsis protoplasts to determine the subcellular localization of STARD5. Confocal microscopy revealed that 3SS:STARD5:EGFP fluorescence signal was localized to the nucleus (Figs. 7A–7B). The overexpression vector map and salt-tolerant phenotype of wild-type (WT) and transgenic tomatoes are shown in Figs. 7C–7D. The PCR amplification bands of STARD5, 3SS:STARD5:EGFP and 3SS:STARD5:FLAG bacterial liquid are shown in Fig. S5A–S5C. The process of obtaining transgenic tomatoes is shown in Figs. S6A–S6H. Combined with the result of the qRT-PCR analysis, STARD5 showed a high level of expression under 24 h salt stress (Fig. 7E and Table S8-9).

In high-salt environments, the most significant (p<0.01) physiological responses of plants were the inhibition of leaf growth and the reduction of organic matter accumulation. The cell membrane was an important part of plants, which suffered from salt damage in a high-salt environment. Relative conductivity could reflect the severity of the cell membrane injury and the membrane permeability. Thus, the relative conductivity was often used to identify the salt tolerance of plants. In addition, the changes in the malondialdehyde and proline contents were the main physiological indices to determine plants under salt stress. The relative electrical conductivity and malondialdehyde and proline contents of WT and transgenic tomatoes after salt stress were measured. Results showed that the relative electrical conductivity of transgenic tomatoes was significantly (p<0.01) lower than that of WT tomato, and the contents of MDA and
proline in transgenic tomatoes were significantly ($p<0.01$) lower and significantly ($p<0.01$) higher, respectively, than those in WT tomato. These results showed that the heterologous overexpression of STARD5 could significantly enhance the salt tolerance of tomatoes plants.

The relative electrical conductivity and malondialdehyde and proline contents in transgenic tomato leaves showed that the tomato plants with the heterologous overexpression of STARD5 displayed evident resistance to salt stress compared with the WT tomato after 24 h salt stress (Figs. 7F–7H and Table S8-9). These results suggested that the STARD gene might exercise certain functions in the nucleus to regulate the changes in plant hormones and improve plant salt tolerance.

**Discussion**

Prior studies that have highlighted the importance of the HD–Zip transcription factor family (Ding et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2017, Zhang et al. 2020). However, a few studies have explored the HD–Zip III and the HD–Zip IV subfamily members containing the START domain proteins on plant salt stress. In addition, studies on proteins containing START and PH–START domains in grapes have not been conducted. Therefore, this study has focused on the STARD gene identification and salt stress tolerance in grapes.

Previous studies have observed that 35 members and 25 members in Arabidopsis and rice (Schrick et al. 2004). In the current study, 23 VvSTARD genes are found from the grape genome database, and these genes are less than those of the AtSTARD and the OsSTARD families. The number of STARD genes does not correlate with the genome size of the plant species, which may partly result from tandem and segmental duplication events in grapes. On the basis of previous studies, the members of the Arabidopsis, rice and grape STARD gene families, namely AtSTARD1–AtSTARD35, OsSTARD1–OsSTARD25, and VvSTARD1–VvSTARD23 are renamed in accordance with the sequence of the gene containing the conserved domains and the position of the gene on the chromosome. The STARD gene family is divided into five subfamilies (groups 1–5) on the basis of the inclusion of HD–START, HD–MEKHLA, START, and PH–START–DUF1336 structural domains, and this finding is different from those observed in previous research (Soccio et al. 2003; Schrick et al. 2004; Clark 2012). The results show that the positions of 23 VvSTARD genes on chromosomes are different, and the most relevant members in the same subfamily have similar exons/introns. Moreover, some differences in physical and chemical properties are observed in different groups. These results are consistent with those in previous studies (Schrick et al. 2004; Hill et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2020). The analysis of the tertiary structure shows that eight major functional structural proteins, namely, MLN64 (Soccio et al. 2003; Murcia et al. 2006), PCTP (Alpy et al. 2005; Krisko et al. 2017), BFIT2 (Adams et al. 2001; Chen et al. 2012), CERT (Kudo et al. 2008; Agaisse et al. 2014), cholesterol-regulated START protein4 (Tan et al. 2019), START protein3 (Vassilev et al. 2015), START protein5 (Lorin et al. 2013), and START protein13 (Zhou et al. 2017), are verified by the VvSTARD gene family, and this finding is similar to the results of previous studies (Schrick et al. 2004).

Various abiotic stresses are related to the expansion of some genes because of tandem and segmental duplication events (Cannon et al. 2004; Lynch et al. 2000; Raes et al. 2003; Otto et al. 2002; Duarte et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2010; Finet et al. 2013). For example, the expression of VvSTARD15 is 20-fold higher than that of the control when the plant is exposed to low-temperature stress, whereas VvSTARD14 is not tolerant to low-temperature stress. Collinearity analysis of the VvSTARD gene family reveals four pairs of tandem repeat genes distributed in a common subfamily probably because certain fragments of the gene have been copied, exchanged, inverted, and changed during evolution and other events (Shen et al. 2014; Li et al. 2017a). In addition, the collinearity analysis of grapes and Arabidopsis shows that 14 pairs of tandem repeat genes are distributed in the same subfamily, and only one pair of genes (VvSTARD12/AtSTARD33) does not belong to the same group, VvSTARD12 belongs to group 3, and the AtSTARD33 belongs to group 2. Collinearity analysis of grapes and rice has revealed nine pairs of tandem repeat genes distributed in the same subgroup (Fig. 3B and Table S6). The Ka/Ks analysis suggests that the evolution of the grapes, Arabidopsis and rice STARD gene families is primarily a purification choice (Yang, 2007; Wang et al. 2018).

Previous studies show that the proteins containing the START domain include the HD–Zip III, HD–Zip IV, PH–START and the START subfamilies. However, studies on these proteins under abiotic stress are relatively few. The members of the STARD gene family are analyzed using the evolution and the tertiary structure analyses. The analyses of transgenic Arabidopsis plants carrying the gene-specific promoter fused to the bacterial β-glucuronidase reporter gene have revealed that some of the promoters have high activities in the epidermal layer of SAM and developing shoot organs, whereas others are temporally active during the development of the reproductive organ (Nakamura et al. 2006; Khosla et al. 2014). However, the main functions of STARD genes in plants remain unclear. The HD–Zip genes of subfamilies III and IV encode an additional conserved domain called the START domain (Ponting et al. 1999), which has a putative function in sterol binding (Schrick et al. 2004).

In this study, the members of groups 1–3 belong to the HD–Zip genes of subfamilies III and IV (Li et al. 2017b), according to the accession numbers of grapes, such as group 1 members HDZ8 (GSINV01035612001), HDZ19 (GSINV01012643001), HDZ20 (GSINV01030605001) and HDZ26 (GSINV01027508001); group 2 members HDZ6 (GSINV01013073001), HDZ10 (GSINV01035238001), HDZ16 (GSINV01017073001), and HDZ21 (GSINV01029396001); and group 3 members HDZ11 (GSINV01025193001), HDZ15 (GSINV010170701001), HDZ18 (GSINV01021625001), HDZ21 (GSINV01016272001) and HDZ29 (GSINV01016060001). This result suggests that the HD–Zip IV has a potential role in the defense environment, and HD–Zip IV is influenced by ethylene (Li et al. 2017b). The members of HD–Zip I and HD–Zip II are reported to be related to salt stresses in Eucalyptus (Zhang et al. 2020). EgHD–Zip27 from the HD–Zip II subfamily and EgHD–Zip37 from the HD–Zip I subfamily play an essential role in coping with salt stress (Zhang et al. 2020), but the members of HD–Zip III and HD–Zip IV with salt stress are not mentioned.

In the present study, VvSTARD5 (HDZ20) from the HD–Zip IV subfamily plays significant roles in salt stress. In addition, the present study has described the functional characterization of the PH–START protein AtAAP01 (A. thaliana APOSTART1), indicating that the AAP01 is involved in the control of seed germination (Resentini et al. 2014), whereas plants withstand drought and low-temperature conditions. However, in the present study, the expression of PH–START proteins VvSTARD14 and VvSTARD15 are upregulated when exposed to salt and cold conditions, and HD–START proteins can also exhibit high expression levels under high-salt stress conditions. For instance, the HD–Zip IV subfamily member VvSTARD5 has high expression level under salt stress.
Moreover, members with only one START domain have low or even no expression under high-salt stress conditions (Fig. 6). The relative electrolyte leakage serves as an indicator for the damage caused by salt stress (Cao et al. 2007), and the proline and the malondialdehyde contents can change under the salt stress in plants (Fedina et al. 2002). Therefore, the relative electrolyte leakage and proline and malondialdehyde contents are determined from transgenic tomato leaves, indicating that the salinity causes little cell membrane damage in the leaves of STARD5 plants and corroborating STARD5’s role in the positive regulation of salinity responses. The data from the present study strongly indicate the important functions of VvSTARD genes in response to salt stress.

**Conclusion**

In this study, 23 STARD genes are identified in grapes. Subsequently, these genes are divided into five subgroups and disseminated broadly on 12 chromosomes of grape genomes. Dramatic differences in the function of this family of genes are predicted amongst different species through phylogenetic analysis, tandem repeat gene analysis and the expression data prediction with Arabidopsis and rice STARD genes. The qRT-PCR of the grape STARD gene family indicates that most genes show high expression level in response to 24 h salt stress. The results of STARD5 subcellular localization is verified, and the relative expression of STARD5 is detected. These findings provide insight into the potential function of VvSTARD genes. Therefore, comprehensive analysis is important to screen STARD genes for further functional identification and the genetic improvement of agronomic traits of grapes.
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Tables

Table1. Characteristic of START domain-encoding genes in grapes.
| Gene name   | GenBank accession numbers | Gene accession No. | Position | Location | Structure | CDS (bp) | Peptide (aa) | Mw (kD) | GRAVY | pI   | Il   | A |
|-------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|--------------|---------|-------|------|------|--|
| VvSTARD1    | XM_002277637             | GSVIVT01013073001| 8739164-8746005 | 2        | HD-START | 2397    | 799         | 88.50  | -0.341 | 5.65 | 54.35 | 8 |
| VvSTARD2    | XM_002268236             | GSVIVT01035238001| 10997910-11025564 | 4        | HD-START | 2145    | 714         | 78.77  | -0.258 | 5.95 | 43.56 | 8 |
| VvSTARD3    | XM_002284466             | GSVIVT01017073001| 3967669-3972062   | 9        | HD-START | 2253    | 750         | 82.96  | -0.466 | 6.09 | 48.69 | 7 |
| VvSTARD4    | XM_002266652             | GSVIVT01012643001| 300629-304962     | 10       | HD-START | 2181    | 726         | 79.67  | -0.31  | 5.91 | 43.11 | 8 |
| VvSTARD5    | XM_010659009             | GSVIVT01030605001| 7101002-7106874   | 12       | HD-START | 2274    | 757         | 82.70  | -0.289 | 5.6  | 41.78 | 7 |
| VvSTARD6    | XM_002272228             | GSVIVT01027508001| 16132617-16138896 | 15       | HD-START | 2316    | 771         | 83.91  | -0.231 | 5.86 | 49.68 | 8 |
| VvSTARD7    | CB31820                  | GSVIVT01010600001| 16145022-16162952 | 16       | HD-START | 2658    | 886         | 99.56  | -0.306 | 6.48 | 46.32 | 8 |
| VvSTARD8    | XM_002270976             | GSVIVT01029396001| 16227031-16239059 | 17       | HD-START | 2025    | 674         | 74.79  | -0.367 | 6.16 | 48.05 | 8 |
| VvSTARD9    | XM_002283681             | GSVIVT01035612001| 2700607-2710044   | 4        | HD-START-MEKHLA | 2520 | 839        | 92.47  | -0.155 | 5.8  | 51.04 | 8 |
| VvSTARD10   | XM_010652862             | GSVIVT01025193001| 3507793-3517339   | 6        | HD-START-MEKHLA | 2535 | 844        | 92.25  | -0.093 | 5.87 | 48.36 | 8 |
| VvSTARD11   | XM_002283967             | GSVIVT01017010001| 3414695-3425101   | 9        | HD-START-MEKHLA | 2508 | 835        | 91.99  | -0.142 | 6.06 | 48.4  | 8 |
| VvSTARD12   | XM_002281832             | GSVIVT01021625001| 8333352-8341424   | 10       | HD-START-MEKHLA | 2538 | 845        | 92.84  | -0.161 | 5.93 | 51.31 | 8 |
| VvSTARD13   | XM_002274158             | GSVIVT01016272001| 5640475-5655021   | 13       | HD-START-MEKHLA | 2523 | 841        | 91.83  | -0.131 | 6.28 | 51.49 | 8 |
| VvSTARD14   | XM_010652877             | GSVIVT01025201001| 3373992-3381809   | 6        | PH-START-MEKHLA | 2205 | 734        | 82.96  | -0.417 | 7.56 | 48.19 | 7 |
| VvSTARD15   | XM_002274017             | GSVIVT01016264001| 5771590-5801747   | 13       | PH-START-DUF1336 | 2187 | 728        | 83.17  | -0.464 | 7.02 | 46.5  | 7 |
| VvSTARD16   | XM_010666453             | GSVIVT01022620001| 13478753-13522538 | 2        | START-DUF1336 | 2133 | 710        | 81.23  | -0.472 | 6.32 | 40.81 | 8 |
| VvSTARD17   | XM_002262825             | GSVIVT01022623001| 13595184-13673525 | 2        | START-DUF1336 | 2205 | 734        | 84.15  | -0.47  | 6.32 | 36.41 | 7 |
| VvSTARD18   | XM_010658253             | GSVIVT01001043001| 6787163-6911536   | 11       | START-DUF1336 | 2289 | 762        | 85.80  | -0.426 | 6.45 | 37.46 | 8 |
| VvSTARD19   | CB38216                  | GSVIVT01011334001| 8665113-8695586   | 15       | START-DUF1336 | 1923 | 641        | 72.54  | -0.077 | 5.81 | 37.64 | 9 |
| VvSTARD20   | XM_002278741             | GSVIVT01019684001| 2435453-2439133   | 2        | START     | 1155 | 384        | 42.47  | -0.263 | 7.46 | 52.29 | 5 |
| VvSTARD21   | XM_010651704             | GSVIVT01018120001| 6714620-6724790   | 5        | START     | 1215 | 404        | 45.93  | -0.388 | 9.51 | 42.1  | 7 |
| VvSTARD22   | XM_010656935             | GSVIVT01029461001| 22597050-22602216 | 9        | START     | 1068 | 355        | 40.15  | -0.374 | 9.66 | 46.55 | 8 |
| VvSTARD23   | XM_002272438             | GSVIVT01023115001| 22403922-22405945 | 12       | START     | 714  | 237        | 26.77  | -0.22  | 6.75 | 67.4  | 9 |

Notes: isoelectric point (pI), molecular weight (Mw), instability index (I.I), aliphatic index (A.I) and grand average of hydropathicity (GRAVY)

**Figures**
Figure 1
Phylogenetic relationships, gene structure and architecture of conserved protein motifs in VvSTARD proteins. A Phylogenetic analysis of STARD proteins in Arabidopsis (At), rice (Os), and grapes (Vv). represents grapes; represents rice; represents Arabidopsis. The background color of the genes in the same group are displayed with the same color. B Exon–intron structure of VvSTARD genes. Blue boxes indicate untranslated 5′- and 3′-regions; pink boxes indicate exons; green lines indicate introns. C Motif composition of VvSTARD proteins. The motifs, numbers 1–20, are displayed in different colored boxes.

Figure 2
Synonymous codon preference and correlation analysis of VvSTARD, AtSTARD and OsSTARD genes. Correlation analysis using the Pearson method. Blue represents positive correlation; red represents negative correlation, and white represents no correlation. The larger the circle is, the darker the color is, the stronger the correlation is, and vice versa, the weaker the correlation is.

Figure 3
Interchromosomal relationships of grape and synteny analysis of STARD genes between grapes and two representative plant species. A Chromosomal distribution and interchromosomal relationships of VvSTARD genes. Gray lines indicate all syntenic blocks in the grape genome, and the red, green, blue, and yellow lines indicate duplicated STARD gene pairs. The chromosome number is indicated at the bottom of each chromosome. B Synteny analysis of STARD genes among Arabidopsis, grapes, and rice. Gray lines in the background indicate the collinear blocks within Arabidopsis, grapes, and rice genomes, whereas the purple line highlights the syntenic STARD gene pairs in grapes and Arabidopsis, and the red line highlights the syntenic STARD gene pairs in grapes and rice.

Figure 4
Ka/Ks analysis of STARD genes among grapes, Arabidopsis, and rice.

Figure 5
cis-Element and hierarchical clustering of the expression profiles of STARD genes in grapes. A Distribution of major stress-and hormone-related cis-acting regulatory elements in the promoters of VvSTARD genes. TC-rich repeats (defense and stress), MBS (drought), and LTR (low-temperature responsive) elements, TGA-element/AuxRE/AuxRR-core (auxin), O2-site (zein metabolism), TCA-element (salicylic acid), ABRE (abscisic acid), GARE-motif /P-box /TATC-box (gibberellin), and CGTCA-motif /TGACG-motif (MeJA responsive element) are represented by different colors, as indicated in figure legend at the bottom. B Hierarchical clustering of the expression profiles of 23 VvSTARD genes at different abiotic stress experiments in grape. Abiotic stress experiments: salt, PEG, and cold. Heatmap experiments were performed with GeneChip microarrays, which were from Affymetrix GeneChip 16K with short-term abiotic stress ‘Cabernet Sauvignon.’ Red or blue shading represented the upregulated or downregulated expression level, respectively. The scale denoted the relative expression level. C Hierarchical clustering of the expression profiles of 23 VvSTARD genes at different organizations experiments in grape. Heatmap experiments were performed with GeneChip microarrays, which were from Grape eFP Browser in grape. Red or blue shading represented the upregulated or downregulated expression level, respectively. The scale denoted the relative expression level. Note: Stamen, pool of stamens from undisclosed flowers at 10% and 50% open flowers; BerryPericarp-FS, berry pericarp fruit set; BerryPericarp-PFS, berry pericarp post-frutit set; BerryPericarp-V, berry pericarp véraison; BerryPericarp-MR, berry pericarp mid-ripening; BerryPericarp-R, berry pericarp ripening; Bud-S, bud swell; Bud-B, bud burst (green tip); Bud-AB, bud after-burst (rosette of leaf tips visible); Bud-L, latent bud; Bud-W, winter bud; BerryFlesh-PFS, berry flesh post fruit set; BerryFlesh-V, berryflesh véraison; BerryFlesh-MR, berry flesh mid-ripening; BerryFlesh-R, berry flesh ripening; BerryFlesh-PHWI, berry flesh post-harvest withering I (1st month); BerryFlesh-PHWII, berry flesh post-harvest withering II (2nd month); BerryFlesh-PHWIII, berry flesh post-harvest withering III (3rd month); BerryFlesh-PHWII, berry flesh post-harvest withering II (2nd month); BerryFlesh-PHWII, berry flesh post-harvest withering III (3rd month); Pollen, pollen from disclosed flowers at more than 50% open flowers; Rachis-FS, Rachis fruit set; Rachis-PFS, Rachis post fruit set; Rachis-V, Rachis véraison; Rachis-MR, Rachis mid-ripening; Rachis-R, Rachis ripening; Seed-S, Seed séraison; Seed-MR, seed mid-ripening; Seed-FS, seed fruit set; Seed-PFS, seed post-fruit set; Seedling, seedling pool of 3 developmental stages; BerrySkin-PFS, berry skin post-fruit set; BerrySkin-V, berry skin véraison; BerrySkin-MR, berry skin mid-ripening; BerrySkin-R, berry skin ripening; BerrySkin-PHWI, berry skin post-harvest withering I (1st month); BerrySkin-PHWII, berry skin post-harvest withering II (2nd month); BerrySkin-PHWIII, berry skin post-harvest withering III (3rd month); Stem-G, green stem; Stem-W, woody stem; Tendril-Y, young tendril (pool of tendrils from shoot of 7 leaves); Tendril-WD, well developed tendril (pool of tendrils from shoot of 12 leaves); Tendril-FS, mature tendril (pool of tendrils at fruit set).

Figure 6
Note: Stamen, pool of stamens from undisclosed flowers at 10%
Expression levels of VvSTARD in grape leaves after 12 h and 24 h under different treatments: 0.2 mmol l−1 ABA, 150 μmol l−1 MeJA, SA, 50 mg l−1 SA, 100 μmol l−1 IAA, 50 mg l−1 gibberellic 3 (GA3), 10% PEG6000, 400 mmol l−1 NaCl, 4°C low temperature, and control. The red axis on the left represents 12 h treatment, and the blue axis on the right represents 24 h treatment. Specimens are analyzed through real-time PCR. GAPDH (CB973647) is identified as an internal reference gene. Gene expression is normalized to the control unstressed expression level, which is assigned to a value of 1. Values represent the average of three independent experiments ± SD. Standard errors are shown as bars above the columns. a, b, c, d, e, and f denote a significant difference at the level of p < 0.05.

**Figure 7**

Subcellular localization and verification of salt stress resistance of transgenic tomato (STARD5). A Schematic of the p35S:STARD5:EGFP construct. B Subcellular localization of STARD5 in Arabidopsis protoplast. C Schematic of the p35S:STARD5:FLAG construct. D Phenotypes of WT and transgenic tomato plants grown in a greenhouse under natural conditions (CK) and under salt treatments at 12 and 24 h. E STARD5 expression in tomato leaves of wild-type (WT) and transgenic lines (#1, #2, and #4) under the treatment 12 or 24 h with 400 mmol l−1 of NaCl. Values represent the means ± SD of three replicates. Asterisks (**) and (*) indicate significant differences compared with the CK (control) at P<0.01 and P<0.05 (Student's t-test), respectively. F Relative electrical conductivity (%) of tomato leaves of wild-type (WT) and transgenic lines (#1, #2, and #4) in a greenhouse under natural conditions and under the treatment 24 h with 400 mmol l−1 of NaCl. G Proline content of tomato leaves of wild-type (WT) and transgenic lines (#1, #2, and #4) in a greenhouse under natural conditions and under the treatment 24 h with 400 mmol l−1 of NaCl. H Malondialdehyde content of tomato leaves of wild-type (WT) and transgenic lines (#1, #2, and #4) in a greenhouse under natural conditions and under the treatment 24 h with 400 mmol l−1 of NaCl. F–H Values represent the means ± SD of three replicates. Asterisks (**) and (*) indicate significant differences of transgenic lines (#1, #2, and #4) compared with the wild-type (WT) at P<0.01 and P<0.05 (Student's t-test).
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