Evaluation of the Efficiency Energy of Wood Stove from Irati Brazilian City
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Abstract This study aimed to evaluate the energy efficiency, thermal power and amount of volatiles released (CO2, CO and SO2) by three models of wood-metallic cookstoves into single-family residences in the city of Irati / PR, Brazil. All cookstoves had a low average energy efficiency from 2.9% ± 0.3 (stove 1), 2.6% ± 0.5 (stove 2) to 4.6% ± 0.2 (stove 3). The thermal power was 159.3 W ± 14.2 (stove 1), 142.7 W ± 39.2 (stove 2) and 176.5 W ± 21.7 (stove 3). It was observed a high pollutant levels of volatile compounds such as CO 34.9 Nm3/kg ± 3.1; 35.2 Nm3/kg ± 8.6 and 24.5 Nm3/kg ± 2.1; CO2 314.4 Nm3/kg ± 28.3; 316.5 Nm3/kg ± 77.0 and 220.9 Nm3/kg ± 19.0, lastly SO2 0.027 Nm3/kg ± 0.0; 0.027 Nm3/kg ± 0.0 and 0.019 Nm3/kg ± 0.0, respectively from first to third stove. The results of the analysis of variance showed that the stove 3 showed to be the most efficient among the models investigated. In general, the obtained data indicate a low energy conversion and a potential health risk to the user due the release of toxic gases, such as carbon monoxide, present in the smoke inside the house’s kitchen.
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1. Introduction

Circa three billion people worldwide depend on biomass plant for cooking food and heating. Approximately 50-60% use wood, often indoors with poor ventilation, subjecting themselves to daily exposure to high concentrations of smoke from the stove inside the kitchen, making a big impact on health especially of women and their young children. The smoke from burning wood contains hundreds of toxic substances, including carbon monoxide and dioxins and furans which are the most toxic compounds known to science[1-3].

Obtaining energy from biomass for cooking food is traditionally performed by direct combustion (burning) of wood or on a smaller scale charcoal in thermal equipment called "wood stoves". However, the efficiency of these ranges, which can vary from 2% to 36%, depends upon the conversion of fuel into heat energy, technology and type of construction[4-5]. Table 1 shows the global efficiency data recorded in different types of ovens (Figure 1) for cooking food in commercial use.

Table 1. Efficiency data of different models of charcoal or wood stoves

| Type of stove   | Efficiency (%) |
|----------------|----------------|
| Three stones (A) | 7 - 15          |
| "Heavy" with fireplace (B) | 15 - 23         |
| No chimney for a pot (D) | 30 - 35         |
| No chimney pot for two (E) | 18 - 22         |
| Compact sawdust (H) | 15/32 – 36      |
| Gas stove       | 57             |
| Electric stove  | 50             |
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Figure 1. Different models of stove: Source: Regueira[5]
From Table 1 and Figure 1, A illustrates the three-stone stove, the stove B "heavy" with chimney, C "light" with chimney, D no chimney for a pot, and no chimney for two pots, metallic F for coal vegetable charcoal, ceramic, G and H compact sawdust.

In the Brazilian scenario, the wood has a significant stake of 7.2%, while in the residential wood is used mainly for cooking food on a smaller scale, especially in temperate regions in home heating. In the context of thermal energy consumption by households, the wood has an interest equivalent to that of liquefied petroleum gas (Figure 2), which according to Brito[6], has been the natural replacement in most urban households and for which, the unlike wood, official policy incentives have always been present.

The conventional wood stoves have low energy efficiency, around 7% in the central southern Brazilian. The inefficiency is due to the burning flame rapidly diffuse. The use of thermal energy released is not complete in equipment with inadequate insulation of the combustion chamber and insufficient air circulation. These stoves produce emission of volatiles and particulates, causing serious pollution when located inside the residences. It is quite common that users have respiratory failure, eye diseases, chronic bronchitis and skin darkening[5-3].

Borges[7] states that the inefficiency of stoves enhances the emission of toxic gases such as carbon monoxide, besides promotes a large wood consumption. Additionally, there is a high occurrence of deforestation, since the majority of the wood used does not come from planted forests rather than manual collection in native forests.

2. Material and Methods

In this study was performed a survey of user profiles, characteristics of thermal equipment by visual inspection and frequency of use (Table 1). The method used was the open interviews with both the user as to the manufacturer of the product. The three metal stoves were evaluated (Figure 3), with the primary fuel burning, have two mouths, with chimney and located inside the kitchen. Despite the presence of chimney, it was observed the occurrence of pollution from large-scale production of smoke, but without release of soot within the kitchen.

Only the stove 3 presents thermal insulation of the combustion chamber with clay bricks laid by a mixture of cement and coarse sand in the lower compartment for storage and drying of the wood. Additional information can be found in Table 2, where there is a low incidence of respiratory diseases and variable use frequency of the families.
The determination of the percentage of fixed carbon (FC) was taken as the difference between volatile and ash content of 100%. Thus, the fuel can be considered as being made in terms of chemical analysis, three fractions: fixed carbon, ash and volatiles. The test was conducted with 4 replicates. The Eucalyptus grandis showed 83.8±0.43% of volatiles, 0.31±0.00 ash and 15.89±2.71% fixed carbon.

The calorific value (GCV) was determined using data from the Immedite Analysis by means of Equation 4, as proposed by Parikh et al. [10].

\[
PCS = 0.3536 \cdot CF + 0.1559 \cdot TV + 0.0078 \cdot CZ \quad (\text{kcal/kg})
\]  

(4)

With the results obtained from PCS was determined value lower calorific (PCI) of firewood through Equation 5, considering the percentage of hydrogen (H) of wood less than 6%[8]:

\[
PCI = PCS - 600 \cdot \left( \frac{9H}{100} \right) \quad (\text{kcal/kg})
\]  

(5)

Having the value of moisture content of wood (U) and lower heating value (LHV), the net calorific value (PCU) was calculated by Equation 6[8].

\[
PCU = PCI - (1 - U) - 600 \cdot U \quad (\text{kcal/kg})
\]  

(6)

The efficiency of a wood stove is the dimensionless ratio between the useful energy effect and power consumption of the fuel. The efficiency was calculated based on the assessment of the amount of heat absorbed by the water in a metal pan (Qútil) and the amount of heat supplied by the fuel (Qtenha)[4].

There were performed five consecutive repetitions on each stove. Each test had duration of 20 minutes and consisted in weighing a certain amount of fuel before and after heating 2 kg of water, without the occurrence of boiling in an aluminum pan with lid 3 liters of capacity. The lid was attached to a central shaft with propeller in its bottom end, allowing the water to be mechanical agitation to homogenize the temperature. In course of time each test, every 5 minutes, the temperature measurements made with the aid of a thermometer. Initially the stove was lit to make your heating. After preheating the stove, began the first test where the pot remained capped all the time, except at the measurement temperature. The pot was only placed on the stove when the timber started its burning. Since \(m_{H2O}\) mass of water to be heated (kg) \(C_{H2O}\) the specific heat of water (kcal / kg °C) and \(\Delta T_{H2O}\) the temperature difference between the beginning and end of the test (°C) useful heat absorbed by the water in the pan (Qútil) was calculated with possession of Equation 7.

\[
Q_{u til} = m_{H2O} \cdot C_{H2O} \cdot \Delta T_{H2O} \quad (\text{kcal})
\]  

(7)

After 20 minutes of each test, the fire was put out to dry, and all the wood waste was removed to be weighed. To achieve precision weighing, burning the residues were removed from the oven and stored in closed containers of aluminum and then placed in a closed Styrofoam box with five and cooled gel packs coolant. Subsequently, the combustion residues were taken to the Laboratory of

---

**Table 2. Specifications stove and frequency of use**

| Data | Stove 1 | Stove 2 | Stove 3 |
|------|---------|---------|---------|
| Type of use | Lunch | Dinner | Lunch |
| Frequency of use | 2 days/week | All days | Weekend |
| Pollution generated | Smoke | Smoke | Smoke |
| Use of the stove | Frequent | Meal of the day | During the week |
| Combustible | Native Forest | Exotic Forest | Exotic Forest |
| Family members | Five | Three | Two |
| Respiratory diseases | Bronchitis | Nothing in | Rhinitis |

For the determination of moisture content of wood, dry basis, it was used the method of oven drying, which consisted in placing three small logs, representative of the sample in the oven at 105° C, with successive weighings until constant mass was obtained. \(m_i\) is the initial mass of the sample and \(m_d\) dry weight, moisture content (U) is given by Equation 1[8]:

\[
U = \frac{m_i - m_d}{m_i} \cdot 100 \%\)  

(1)

For the determination of the calorific value of wood was initially performed the chemical analysis of the sample ground and then sieved, using the fraction retained on the sieves 40-60 mesh, in accordance with ASTM Standard E711-87[9]. Instant Analysis consists in determining the volatile content, ash and fixed carbon. \(m_i\) is the initial dry sawdust and dry mass after heat treatment \(m_r\) the volatiles content (TV) was determined as the weight loss at 600° C for 10 minutes in a muffle furnace and by Equation 2.

\[
TV = \frac{m_i - m_r}{m_f} \cdot 100 \%\)

(2)

For the determination of the ash content, the samples were placed in a porcelain crucible in a muffle furnace at a temperature of 750° C for 6 hours to complete the thermal degradation of organics. The calcined samples remained in a desiccator for cooling. The ash content (CZ) was calculated by dividing the mass of the sample after pyrolysis (m_c) and initial sample dry mass (m_i) by using Equation 3.

\[
CZ = \frac{m_c}{m_i} \cdot 100 \%
\)

(3)
Technology and Utilization of Forest Products, State University Midwestern (UNICENTRO), Irati campus, to be weighed in a semi-analytical.

Sendo a massa de lenha consumida no aquecimento da agua (m_q), diferença entre a massa de lenha inicial e a massa do resíduo da combustão e PCU, o poder calorífico líquido da lenha, o calor total fornecido pela queima da lenha (Q_{lenha}) é calculado com o uso a Equação 8.

The mass of consumed firewood in heating water (m_q), the difference between the initial mass and the mass of wood waste combustion and PCU; the net calorific value of the fuel, the total heat supplied by burning firewood (Q_{lenha}) is calculated using Equation 8.

\[ Q_{lenha} = PCU_1 \cdot m_q \ (\text{kcal}) \]  
\[ \text{Ef} = 100 \cdot \frac{Q \text{util}}{Q_{lenha}} \ (\text{kcal}) \]  
\[ P_f = \frac{m_q \cdot PCU_1 \cdot Ef}{\Delta T} \ (\text{kW}) \]

The efficiency of the stove (Ef) was obtained through the result of the ratio of the heat absorbed by the water (useful heat) and the energy released by firewood (Equation 9).

A potência calorífica do fogão está diretamente relacionada com o calor produzido pela lenha, através do seu poder calorífico, o tempo de queima da lenha (\(\Delta T\)) e a eficiência. A potência do fogão (Pf) é dada pela Equação 10, sendo m_q a massa de lenha queimada.

The heating power of the stove is directly related to the heat produced by burning through its calorific value, time of burning firewood (\(\Delta T\)) and efficiency. The power of the stove (Pf) is given by Equation 10, where m_q the mass of fuel burned.

The majority of the methods used to evaluate the wood stoves are based only on the thermal performance of the device, ignoring the emissions of pollutants. However, wood stoves release large amounts of volatile and toxic gases, the user submitting the exhibits extremely harmful to health. Thus, it was used to estimate the amount of CO, SO_{2} and CO released by metal stoves.

According to literature data, the average amount of CO released in incomplete burning of wood, wood stoves metal is ten percent content of the gases from the combustion of carbon[11]. By having the Elementary Analysis values, it is possible to present the balanced equation combustion of firewood, Equation 10, and thus determine the concentrations of CO, CO_{2}, SO_{2} released for each test conducted by means of gas density at CNTP.

The Elementary Analysis of the wood was obtained from specialized literature, dry basis, for the same species in the study, consisting of 48.33% carbon (C), 5.89% hydrogen (H), 45.13% oxygen (O), 0.15% nitrogen (N) 0.01% sulfur (S) and 0.52% ash [A][12].

Assuming that all carbon in the wood during the combustion reacts only producing CO and CO_{2}, and that sulfur is completely converted into SO_{2}, H_{2}O and hydrogen in nitrogen in N_{2}, the overall equation of the combustion 100 kg of wood in air (O_{2} + 3,76 N_{2}) on the basis of work, with a moisture content of 11.8% and the conversion factor for dry basis worked 0.8820, is given by:

\[ \begin{align*}
3,5491 & \ C + 5,1950 \ H + 2,4878 \ O + 0,0094 \ N + 0,0003 \ S \\
& + 0,6555 \ H_{2}O + 0,4586 + 3,4268 (O_{2} + 3,76 N_{2})
\end{align*} \]

In the statistical analysis, the influence of the type of stove (1, 2, 3) in response variables of interest were evaluated using analysis of variance (ANOVA), Kruskal-Wallis test (nonparametric) and multiple comparisons between gas Student-Newman-Keuls test with the aid of BioEstat® version 5.0. The significance level (\(\alpha\)) set for the ANOVA was 5%, and the null hypothesis (H_{0}) formulated consisted of equivalence between medium, implying the non-equivalence between means for the alternative hypothesis (H_{1}). P-value of the Kruskal-Wallis higher than the level of significance involves accepting H_{0}, rejecting it otherwise.

3. Results

Table 3 presents the results of the physical and chemical properties of the investigated ranges evaluated, \(\overline{X}\) e cv medium sample and coefficient of variation, respectively.

| Table 3. Results of the physical and chemical properties investigated |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Stove 1** | \(\overline{X}\) | cv(%) |
| Efficiency | 2.916 ± 0.254 | 9 |
| Potency (W) | 159.33 ± 14.18 | 9 |
| Vol. of CO_{2} (Nm³/kg) | 314.38 ± 28.29 | 9 |
| Vol. of CO_{2} (Nm³/kg) | 0.027 ± 0.007 | 9 |
| Vol. of CO (Nm³/kg) | 34.93 ± 3.14 | 9 |

| **Stove 2** | \(\overline{X}\) | cv(%) |
| Efficiency | 2.58 ± 0.47 | 18 |
| Potency (W) | 142.73 ± 39.17 | 27 |
| Vol. of CO_{2} (Nm³/kg) | 316.52 ± 77 | 24 |
| Vol. of CO_{2} (Nm³/kg) | 0.027 ± 0.007 | 24 |
| Vol. of CO (Nm³/kg) | 35.17 ± 8.56 | 24 |

| **Stove 3** | \(\overline{X}\) | cv(%) |
| Efficiency | 4.57 ± 0.24 | 5 |
| Potency (W) | 176.53 ± 21.71 | 12 |
| Vol. of CO_{2} (Nm³/kg) | 220.91 ± 19 | 8 |
| Vol. of CO_{2} (Nm³/kg) | 0.019 ± 0.002 | 8 |
| Vol. of CO (Nm³/kg) | 24.55 ± 2.06 | 8 |

Figure 4 illustrates the results investigated and the properties shown in Table 3 for the three types of oven evaluated.
Table 4 shows the results of analysis of variance according to the Kruskal-Wallis test and multiple comparisons between stations Student-Newman-Keuls test for the response variables investigated, being underlined P-values below 0.05 were considered significant to a confidence level of 95%. The value 1, 2 and 3 of the groups shown in table 2 refers to the three types of ovens evaluated, respectively.

Table 5 shows the results of groups based on data in Table 4. Different letters determine medium statistically different at the 95% level of probability.

The results obtained from Table 5 reveal that the Stove 3...
presented to be the most efficient in terms of power that no significant differences between the ranges evaluated, and with respect to emissions, the stove 3 showed the lowest values, enabling complete, in general, that the stove was the third best of the stoves evaluated.

4. Conclusions

The results show that the stoves had low energy efficiency, and the stove 3, by having a system of thermal insulation of the combustion chamber, the model more efficient, powerful and that result in lower polluted emissions. The stove 2 showed the worst results. This is justified due to its lower efficiency in the use of fuel as well as the loss of heat to the metal walls. One improvement that could be applied to ranges 1 and 2 would be the introduction of thermal insulation in the combustion chamber, thereby avoiding excessive heat loss in the metal walls of high thermal conductivity. All stoves have high levels of volatile release, with the presence of toxic gases such as carbon monoxide. Thus, there is great interest in research aimed at evaluating the efficiency of thermal power and fuel wood traditionally used in Brazil, as well as proposals for new designs that have higher efficiency and lower emissions.
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