Lack of correlation between tubular dentine cement penetration, adhesiveness and leakage in roots filled with gutta percha and an endodontic cement based on epoxy amine resin
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To analyze possible correlations among tubular dentine cement penetration, adhesiveness and apical leakage in fillings performed with gutta percha and an endodontic cement based on epoxy amine resin. Material and Methods: Sixty similar, extracted human mandibular central incisors were irrigated, instrumented and filled following the same protocol. First, apical leakage was quantified by fluid filtration tests. Then, these same specimens were sectioned for analysis of tubular dentine cement penetration and the middle thirds were submitted to push-out tests to analyze the adhesiveness of the fillings. Results: In brief, the means and standard deviations with a confidence interval of 95% were as follows: tubular dentine cement penetration (8.875±4.540), adhesiveness (4.441±2.683) and apical leakage (0.318±0.215). The data were confronted using the Pearson’s test (P>0.05), and it was possible to prove that there was no correlation between (1) tubular dentine cement penetration and apical leakage ($r^2$: 0.08276), (2) tubular dentine cement penetration and adhesiveness ($r^2$: 0.2412) and (3) adhesiveness and apical leakage ($r^2$: 0.1340). Conclusion: After analysis of these data, it could be observed that there exists no correlation among the variables analyzed in this study.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the evolution of Endodontics has broken several paradigms, driven by technological and technical advances in all its phases of execution. However, despite all these technical and scientific developments, some concepts have not changed. The main objectives of root canal treatment continue to be the elimination of or reduction in the number of microorganisms within the root canal space, and the prevention of possible infection or reinfection¹⁸,²⁰.

With this in mind, there is a clear interest in improving the effectiveness of the root canal filling techniques. Proof of this can be found in the great number of different systems recently developed to limit microbiological action capable of inducing or even causing resistance of a periapical lesion⁷,²⁵.

The fillings failures observed in several studies, resulting from many different methodologies, have given rise to a global trend, evidenced by the literature, toward enhancing the ability of endodontic filling materials to project themselves into the dentinal tubules⁷,³¹. These materials not only act as antibacterial agents, theoretically speaking, but can also optimize the quality of the seal provided by these penetrations. This hypothesis is based on the concept that tubular dentine cement penetration considerably increases the contact surface of the filling material with the root canal walls, thereby improving the seal¹⁶,¹⁹,²⁴. The possibility of improving the adhesiveness of
the filling materials also has the ultimate goal of preventing leakage\textsuperscript{13}.

However, these hypotheses have not yet been completely clarified in the literature, and few studies have been performed to investigate these possible correlations\textsuperscript{4,6,12,19,23,24}.

Based on the above, this study aimed to analyze possible correlations between tubular dentine cement penetration, adhesiveness and leakage in roots filled with gutta percha and an endodontic cement based on epoxy amine resin.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Specimen selection**

After approval of the Ethics Committee (process 5314), sixty mandibular central incisor teeth with single straight canals, complete rhizogenesis, with no resorption or previous endodontic treatment, and free foraminal access, were provided by the university tooth bank and selected for this study. All this information was verified by clinical analysis and vestibulo-lingual and mesiodistal radiographs. The crowns were then removed using a low-speed steel cutting disc (Isomet-Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA), standardizing all roots at 13 mm in length.

**Specimen preparation**

The accesses were performed using a tapered-tip bur 3082 (KG Sorensen, Barueri, SP, Brazil). Working length was established by subtracting 1 mm from the point where the file was visible at the apical foramen. The coronal and middle thirds of each canal were prepared using Gates Giidden drills (Dentsply-Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) sizes 4, 3 and 2, by placing each instrument 2 mm deeper than the previous one. The apical foramina were standardized using real length instrumentation of the teeth up to instrument 25 K-Flexofile (Dentsply-Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland), and the apical thirds were prepared with the Profile 04 System (Dentsply-Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) up to size 35 at working length. The canals were irrigated between each instrumentation with 2 mL of freshly prepared 2.5\% NaOCl plus a flush of 3 mL of 17\% EDTA for 3 min. Five milliliters of sterile water was prepared 2.5\% NaOCl plus a flush of 3 mL of 17\% NaOCl. The inside of the pipette and the entire system was filled with distilled water and a pressure of 10 psi was applied. After making sure there was no leakage in the connections, the system was activated and balanced for 4 minutes. The volume of fluid was calculated by observing the air bubble displacements, expressed in µL/min\textsuperscript{10 psi}.

Measurements were made at 2-minute intervals in a period of 8 minutes\textsuperscript{22,27}.

**Canal filling**

The prepared canals were filled using the lateral compaction technique. After drying with paper points, a size 20 file was used to place 10 µL of an endodontic cement based on epoxy amine resin – AH Plus (Dentsply-DeTrey, Konstanz, Germany) – into the canal, using a counterclockwise rotation. The sealer was labeled with a 0.1\% Rhodamine B dye (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for the purpose of further analysis by optical light microscopy.

A prefitted size 35, 0.04-taper gutta-percha cone (Dentsply-Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) was used as the master cone, and two accessory cones were used in addition. The filled roots were stored at 37\%C and 100\% humidity for 7 days, to allow the sealer to set.

**Apical leakage analysis by fluid filtration tests**

The fluid filtration method was used to determine apical leakage. The root apex was connected to a Luer-type metal needle by means of a plastic tube. The allowed leakage margin for the tested groups was quantified according to the movement of a small air bubble inside a 25 µL micropipette (Microcaps-Fisher Scientific, Philadelphia, PA, USA). The inside of the pipette and the entire system was filled with distilled water and a pressure of 10 psi was applied. After making sure there was no leakage in the connections, the system was activated and balanced for 4 minutes. The volume of fluid was calculated by observing the air bubble displacements, expressed in µL/min\textsuperscript{10 psi}.

Measurements were made at 2-minute intervals in a period of 8 minutes\textsuperscript{22,27}.

**Tubular dentine cement penetration analysis by optical microscopy**

Each specimen was sectioned horizontally at 3, 6 and 8 mm from the apex, using a low-speed steel cutting disc (Isomet-Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA). Three slices per root were created, resulting in a total of 180 slices. A standard polishing procedure using SiC paper (200, 300, 400, 600) followed by 3 µm diamond paste was employed on the coronally facing surface of each slice to produce a high-reflection surface (Figures 1A and B), and each slice was observed in a high-resolution stereomicroscope to acquire images at 1048x1048 pixels, covering the entire root surface. For each image, the outer perimeter of the root and the inner perimeter of the root canal walls were outlined and measured using the AxioVision Software 4.11 (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) (Figures 1C and D). The total cross-sectional area of the canal wall was obtained for each section by subtracting the value of the outer perimeter from the inner perimeter. The absolute cement penetration values (Figure 2) for each section were then converted into a percentage of cement penetration into dentinal tubules, by calculating the total cross-sectional area of the canal wall previously obtained in the high-resolution stereomicroscope. The percentages were averaged for each specimen.
**Adhesiveness analysis by mechanical push-out tests**

Firstly, the thickness of each slice of the 60 sections corresponding to the middle third was measured with a digital caliper (Mitutoyo IP67-Mitutoyo, Neuss, Germany). Then, the specimens were submitted individually to push-out bond strength tests using a universal-testing machine (EMIC DL200MF, São José dos Pinhais, PR, Brazil) at a speed of 0.5 mm/min up to bond failure using a 0.50-mm diameter stainless steel cylindrical plunger. The plunger tip was sized and positioned so that it contacted only the filling material. Because of the convergence of the root canal sections, the push-out force was applied from apical to coronal. The bond strength expressed in MPa at failure was calculated by dividing the load in newtons by the area of the bonded interface. The area of the bonded interface was calculated according to the following formula: area=2\(\pi\)X h, where \(\pi\) is kept constant at 3.14, and r and h are the radius and height measured in millimeters of the filling material.

*Figure 1-* Coronal surfaces of the same slice after metallographic treatment. Total cross-sectional area (A) and canal cross-sectional area (B). The outer (C) and the inner (D) perimeter of the root canal walls outlined and measured using AxioVision Software 4.11 (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany)

*Figure 2-* Absolute cement penetration values outlined and measured using AxioVision Software 4.11 (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany)
that was pushed out\textsuperscript{1,23}.

**Statistical analysis**

The results obtained were submitted to the Pearson test with a significance level of 95% (p<0.05) to perform the correlation analysis among the variables of the study using the statistical software SPSS 11.5 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**RESULTS**

In isolated analyses of the three variables, the means and standard deviations, with a confidence interval of 95%, were the following: tubular dentine cement penetration (8.875±4.540), adhesiveness (4.441±2.683) and apical leakage (0.318±0.215). The results obtained in the correlation analysis among these study variables were confronted and are shown in Figures 3, 4 and 5, respectively. There was no statistically significant correlation...
DISCUSSION

The hypothesis that tubular dentine cement penetration could improve sealability was firstly proposed in 1984. Later, other studies were performed to address this premise. These other studies were based on the original hypothesis that a better cement penetration into the dentinal tubules would somehow improve the quality of endodontic fillings. Therefore, previous reports that tubular dentine cement penetration was one of the most important factors to be considered in choosing the filling material justify the importance of conducting comparative studies on this matter.

Most of the papers have analyzed the three variables studied in our paper – tubular dentine cement penetration, adhesiveness, and leakage, merely comparing different materials. Only a few articles have tried to analyze possible correlations among these variables. Comparing four endodontic cements (Diaket, Endomethasone, CRCS and Ketac Endo) in relation to their projections into the dentinal tubules and their sealability using the same filling technique, Sen, et al. (1996) showed that the best results were obtained by different materials in isolated analyses. As in our results, it was not possible to observe any correlation between these two variables. The methods used (scanning electron microscopy and dye leakage test) were different from those adopted in this study (optical microscopy and fluid filtration test), but the main methodological point was to use the same specimens to analyze different points, an approach considered essential for analyzing possible correlations among two or more variables.

Using a different protocol, another study investigated the dentine permeability obtained by two substances used in the final irrigation of root canals, namely, sodium hypochlorite and ethanol 95%. This permeability was measured by analyzing the cement penetration using optical microscopy. In addition, specimens from the different study groups were also submitted to the fluid transport test to analyze the sealability of their fillings. Although a greater cement penetration and a lower level of leakage were observed in the group that used ethyl alcohol, this correlation was not verified statistically, thereby showing results similar to those of our study.

After analyzing our results and most of the studies performed to date, it appears that no correlation exists between tubular dentine cement penetration and sealability, or else the research methods used were unable to detect any correlation. The majority of the leakage tests, including fluid filtration, showed leakage only when there was at least one void extending from the apical to the coronal thirds. A root canal filling that looks poorly condensed on the radiograph may contain many “cul-de-sac”-type voids and no leakage. On the other hand, very small “through-and-through”-type voids that are invisible on radiographs may be detected by the fluid filtration test and show considerable leakage rates. In this case, the
leakage rates would probably not be smaller if a major amount of sealer penetrated into the dentinal tubules. It seems that a more plausible hypothesis would be that this variable may be improved when there is a better adaptation of the cement to the canal walls.

Addressing adhesiveness and cement penetration, a classic study correlating these variables was performed to compare the adhesiveness of two filling systems (Gutta Percha/Kerr Pulp Canal Sealer EWT and Resilon/Epiphany) and the authors found more favorable results for the Resilon/Epiphany system. However, an analysis of images by SEM demonstrated no large cement penetrations into the dentinal tubules. In view of this finding, the authors suggested that there was no effective correlation between cement penetration and adhesiveness, a conclusion corroborated by the results of this study. Our correlation results ($r^2$: -0.2412) and those mentioned above suggest that better adhesiveness is not related to a possible mechanical overlap provided by cement penetration or adhesive tags into the dentinal tubules. It seems legitimate to state that the establishment of a consistent hybrid layer in intratubular dentine plays a more important role in achieving better adhesiveness to the root dentine.

Nagas, et al. (2007) analyzed the adhesiveness and leakage in root fillings comparing different methods of photoactivation (quartz halogen light for 40 seconds, light-emitting diode for 20 seconds, and plasma ARC for 6 seconds). It is worth highlighting that there were some important methodological differences in relation to the current study. The authors used different specimens to perform the push-out and leakage tests, and also carried out different statistical tests to analyze each variable individually. In the results related to adhesion, there were significant statistical differences among the three groups compared. However, when the leakage was analyzed separately, the differences between the two groups with the best results were not significant, suggesting a lack of correlation between these variables. This conclusion was demonstrated by the correlation results of our study as well ($r^2$: 0.1340).

To date, in the only study that has shown a positive correlation between adhesiveness and leakage, there was an important methodological difference from those used in this research. The authors did not use gutta-percha or any solid material associated to the cement, which was used alone. Considering that the fluid filtration test shows leakage only when there is at least one void extending from the apical to the coronal thirds, it is possible that the sealer alone may be able to improve the sealability observed by this methodology.

The main point of our study was designed to produce a large experimental group. This is an important experimental design feature, because standard correlation analysis posit that any random factor affects only one subject, and not others. This requirement is violated when two or more different experimental groups are created, as was the case in all the aforementioned studies. In fact, there was no rationale to justify the creation of two or three experimental groups when the main goal was restricted to verifying a potential cause-and-effect correlation. Therefore, when attempting to verify a potential cause-and-effect correlation, a single sizeable group should be created. However, even when a single well-standardized group was used, assessed through updated and refined experimental models, a correlation could not be established among the variables.

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the experimental conditions and the results observed in this *in vitro* study, it is possible to prove that there are no correlations among tubular dentine cement penetration, adhesiveness and leakage.
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