Utility of gastric ultrasound in evaluating *nil per os* status in a child

**ABSTRACT**

Although rare, the aspiration of gastric contents can lead to significant morbidity or even mortality in pediatric patients receiving anesthetic care. For elective cases, routine preoperative practices include the use of standard *nil per os* (NPO) times to decrease the risk of aspiration. However, patients may fail to adhere to provided NPO guidelines or other patient factors may impact the efficacy of standard NPO times. Gastric point-of-care ultrasound provides information on the volume and quality of gastric contents and may allow improved patient management strategies. We present a 4-year-old patient who presented for bilateral myringotomy with tympanostomy tube insertion, who was found to have evidence of a full stomach during preoperative gastric ultrasound examination. The use of preoperative gastric point-of-care ultrasound in evaluating stomach contents and confirming NPO times is reviewed and its application to perioperative practice discussed.
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**Introduction**

The aspiration of gastric contents is a rare yet potentially fatal consequence of anesthetic care with an incidence of 5.5 in 10,000 cases.[1] Measures to decrease the incidence of and complications from aspiration include the use of standardized *nil per os* (NPO) times prior to elective procedures to ensure that the stomach is empty.[2] However, patients may fail to adhere to these NPO requirements or other factors may affect gastric emptying thereby increasing the risk of aspiration. Point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) has seen increased use in the perioperative arena for vascular access, cardiac and pulmonary examinations; and the evaluation of gastric contents.[3] Gastric POCUS has been useful to guide anesthetic management in evaluating NPO status prior to elective, urgent, and emergent surgery.[4,5] Gastric POCUS can measure the quantity of fluid as well as assess its quality (liquid versus solid).[6] This objective information may be used to supplement clinical information and guide clinical decisions regarding the timing of surgery, anesthetic induction techniques, and airway management strategies. We describe a 4-year-old patient who presented for bilateral myringotomy with tympanostomy tube insertion who was found to have evidence of a full stomach during preoperative gastric POCUS despite parental report of an adequate NPO time. The use of preoperative gastric POCUS
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in evaluating stomach contents is reviewed and its application to perioperative practices discussed.

**Case Report**

Review of this case and presentation in this format was in accordance with the guidelines of the Institutional Review Board of Nationwide Children's Hospital (Columbus, Ohio). A 4-year-old, 18.8 kilogram girl with a history of chronic bilateral serous otitis media with effusion presented for bilateral myringotomy with tympanostomy tube insertion. Her past medical history was significant for chronic serous otitis media and Wolff Parkinson-White syndrome. Her home medication regimen included only cetirizine, administered as needed. By parental report, the patient had fasted for more than 8 h prior to the POCUS examination and surgery. Her last per os intake was the evening before at a fast food restaurant. Her family consented to enrollment in a separate clinical trial to assess gastric contents and aspiration risk using gastric POCUS. The patient was enrolled in the healthy, fasted, control arm of the trial. Twenty minutes prior to scanning, the patient received oral midazolam and acetaminophen (total volume of 8 mL) for premedication. Ultrasonography was performed in the preoperative care unit using a Sonosite X-Porte (Fujifilm Sonosite Inc, Bothweell, WA) with a mid-frequency (3-8 MHz) curvilinear probe in the standard abdominal setting. During gastric POCUS, the presence of gastric contents was observed. In the right lateral decubitus position (RLD), the cross-sectional area of the gastric antrum was traced and found to measure 5.9 cm$^2$ [Figure 1]. The pattern of the material in the gastric antrum was heterogeneous suggesting the recent intake of solid food. Based on the grading system for antrum assessment, she was classified as a high risk of aspiration. To reduce aspiration risk, surgery was postponed for an additional 2 h. A repeat ultrasound was performed noting an empty stomach and a Grade 0 score [Figure 2]. In the operating room, standard American Society of Anesthesiologists’ monitors were placed. Following pre-oxygenation with 100% oxygen, general anesthesia was induced with sevoflurane in nitrous oxide and oxygen. The patient received intranasal fentanyl (25 µg) to provide postoperative analgesia. She tolerated the procedure well and her postoperative course was unremarkable.

**Discussion**

Gastric POCUS can define both the volume and quality of contents in the gastric antrum, thereby defining the presence or absence of a full stomach and potentially decreasing the risk of aspiration during anesthetic care. The qualitative assessment allows the healthcare provider to differentiate the type and volume of gastric content that are present based on its sonographic appearance and potentially determine timing of surgery and thereby mitigate the risk of gastric aspiration during anesthetic induction. In a fasted patient, presenting for surgery, one would expect to see an empty gastric antrum with a characteristic “bulls eye” pattern, which is classified as Grade 0 and which suggests a low aspiration risk. This pattern was seen on the second ultrasound in our patient. Solid food or thick liquid demonstrated by an expanded gastric antrum and the presence of hyperechoic material is considered a high risk of aspiration. The hyperechoic material will appear either homogenous for thick liquids or heterogenous with a mixture of air and fluid for solid food. The latter was noted on the first gastric POCUS in our patient. This grading system can also be used to estimate the quantity of clear liquids compared to baseline fasting secretions, both of which appear hypoechoic. A low volume

![Figure 1: Gastric point-of-care ultrasound image showing solid/thick fluid in the gastric antrum suggestive of recent food ingestion. The cross-sectional area of the gastric antrum was calculated to be 5.9 cm². Based on the grading system for gastric antrum assessment, she was classified as a high risk for potential aspiration.](image1)

![Figure 2: Repeat gastric point-of-care ultrasound performed 2 hours later showing a small gastric antrum with minimal echogenic fluid, demonstrating a bull’s eye sign (arrow).](image2)
of clear (hypoechoic) gastric fluid is classified as Grade I and suggests a low aspiration risk; whereas a higher volume is classified as Grade II and indicates a higher aspiration risk. The more accepted upper limit for gastric clear fluid secretions is 1.2–1.5 mL/kg. The presence of solid content or thick fluid during gastric POCUS raises the possibility of noncompliance with the NPO fasting instructions. However, other factors including genetic factors, comorbid conditions, or acute processes can delay gastric emptying resulting in a full stomach despite appropriate NPO times. In our patient, even with repeated questioning, there was no history of failure to adhere to the recommended NPO times. Previous clinical studies have suggested that a full stomach may be presented despite adhering to the 6–8 h fasting times suggested for elective surgery. In a prospective series of 116 patients, ranging in age from 2 to 17 years old, who were presented for procedural sedation, 69% were found to have a full stomach on gastric POCUS despite a median fasting time of 5.8 h. The authors used a gastric volume of less than 1.2–1.5 mL/kg to define appropriate NPO. The majority of patients had eaten full meals and were taking opioid analgesics for pain before surgery, thereby explaining the high incidence of a full stomach noted in the series.

Gastric POCUS performed preoperatively may limit unnecessary delays in surgery and may also provide insight into situations where standard NPO practices cannot ensure an empty stomach including acute abdominal processes, traumatic injuries, and in the presence of comorbid features which may delay gastric emptying. In our patient, gastric POCUS identified the presence of echogenic material in the gastric antrum suggestive of an NPO violation. Given this finding, surgery was delayed 2 h and a repeat gastric ultrasound performed prior to providing with surgery.

When there is evidence of a full stomach on gastric ultrasound before an elective surgery, the procedure should be delayed to allow additional time for gastric emptying. An observational prospective cohort study assessed the gastric antrum prior to pyloromyotomy in infants. The authors reported a strong correlation between the antral area measured in the RLD position and the volume of gastric content aspirated from the nasogastric tube. Gastric POCUS led to a change of the planned induction technique for approximately half of the patients.

In summary, we present anecdotal evidence that gastric POCUS may be useful in identifying inadequate stomach emptying related to NPO violations or other factors that may delay gastric emptying. Although standard of care mandates use of appropriate NPO times to limit the incidence of perioperative aspiration, gastric POCUS may also provide useful information especially in clinical situations where comorbid features, genetic variability, or associated clinical conditions affect gastric emptying. Despite careful history taking, it may not always be feasible to ensure appropriate adherence to NPO times. Based on the gastric POCUS, timing of surgery may be adjusted or induction techniques modified to limit the risk of aspiration. In our patient, we were able to change patient management by delaying surgery, confirming appropriate gastric emptying at a later time, and then safely proceeding with anesthesia.
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