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**ABSTRACT**

*Staphylococcus aureus* (S. aureus) was the most prevalent bacteria recorded from clinical and subclinical mastitis. The main objective of the present work is to prepare and evaluate the effectiveness of locally prepared inactivated S. aureus vaccine using different adjuvants Montanide ISA-206, Montanide ISA-70 and alum hydroxide gel for detection of cellular and humeral immune responses. Seventy dairy heifers in the last trimester of gestation divided into (5) groups. Twenty pregnant cows in three groups (G1, G2, and G3) were inoculated intramuscularly with the prepared vaccines four weeks prior to calving then a booster dose at two weeks pre-expected calving. The animals in remaining groups (G4 and G5) were considered as control and safety test respectively. Serum samples from vaccinated and non-vaccinated cows were collected at the 1st and 2nd immunization then at 0, 1, 2, 3 months and (7, 10, 14) days post calving and vaccination and evaluated immunologically using ELISA and Lymphocyte transformation assay, respectively. The results revealed that a progressive increase of immune response in the vaccinated groups (G1 and G2) than that of the third and control groups. These results could be indicated the safety and effectiveness of the Montanide ISA-206, ISA-70 vaccines than alum adjuvant vaccine that reduce the incidence and severity of clinical cases of mastitis. Hence, further studies should be done to elucidate the possibility of field application.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Bovine mastitis is one of the most frequent and costly infectious diseases present in all dairy operations worldwide. Several microorganisms have been described to produce bovine mastitis but most cases are caused by different species of Streptococcus, Staphylococcus and coliform bacteria (Blowey and Edmondson, 2010). *Staphylococcus aureus* is considered the most contagious mastitis pathogen of dairy cattle in most countries and usually related to subclinical or chronic mastitis. The major reservoir for infection is the udder and transmission occurs during milking time (Middleton et al., 2006). Therefore, control has been focused on milking hygiene and dry cow therapy. However, the cure rate of antibiotic treatment for *S. aureus* mastitis pathogen is very low. Excessive use of antibiotics for *S. aureus* mastitis treatment and other infectious diseases in domestic animals have induced the emergence of highly resistant bacterial strains to antibacterial drugs, making more difficult to control *S. aureus* mastitis (San Martín et al., 2002).

During the last years, vaccination has been introduced to combat *S. aureus* bovine mastitis (Pereira et al., 2011, Schukken et al., 2014 and Bradley et al., 2015). Vaccination against mastitis pathogens is a good control strategy and can be used as a complement of traditional control programs based upon hygiene and management. Traditional *S. aureus* mastitis vaccines have included killed or attenuated bacteria, toxoids and cell wall extracts from selected laboratory or field strains (Watson, 1992 and Watson et al., 1996) and Ismail (2017). Numerous recent attempts to develop *S. aureus* vaccine including whole organism, DNA encoding clumping factor A, live attenuated *S. aureus*, capsular polysaccharide CPS-
protein conjugate and DNA recombinant *S. aureus* protein vaccines (Pereira et al., 2011).

Adjuvants have been defined as agents added to vaccine formulations in order to trigger the immunogenicity of antigens and induce protection against infection. Past approaches of vaccine formulation with adjuvants were focused on single-type adjuvants such as alum. Alum salts are relatively weak adjuvants and rarely induce cellular immune responses but, these slow down the rate of release of the antigen and also increase the duration of antigen interaction with the immune system, thus enhancing the humoral immune response against the antigen (Lindblad 2004). However, new vaccine targets require the induction of well-defined CMI in addition to high titer of antibody. Consequently, new immunostimulant adjuvants in vaccine formulations are needed in order to stimulate robust immune responses including humoral immunity and CMI (Lee and Nguyen, 2015).

Montanide is a family of oil-based adjuvants that have been used in experimental vaccines. Emulsions of montanide are composed of metabolizable sequence-based oil with a mannide mono-oliate emulsifier shown to induce high antibody titer and cytotoxic T-lymphocytes CTL responses in a variety of animal species. (Teena et al., 2013).

The objective of this study was the preparation of *S. aureus* vaccine from isolated field strain by using different types of adjuvants and detection of cellular and humeral immune responses to control mastitis in dairy farm and prevent of bulky milk loss.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals and samples**

A total of 400 quarter milk samples (QMS) were collected from 100 Holstein cows reared in a semi-intensive system herd suffered from clinical and subclinical mastitis were used in the present study. Milk samples were collected in sterilized tubes after disposal of the three first milk streams and applying 70 % alcohol on the external teat skin. Milk samples transported in an icebox to laboratory of the Animal Reproductive Research Institute (Giza).

Each sample was subjected to California mastitis test (CMT) according to Schalm and Norlander (1957) and the results were interpreted as suggested by Ferronatto et al. (2018) and Hoque et al. (2015) classified as follows: negative (score 0), trace (score 1), weakly positive (score 2), positive (score 3) and strongly positive (score 4).

**Isolation and identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* Strain for Vaccine development**

Isolation of *S. aureus* was attempted according to Thaker et al., (2013) with some modifications. Enrichment of each milk sample was carried out in Peptone Water (PW) and enriched for 24 hrs at 37 ºC. After pre-enrichment, a loopful of inoculum was transferred to Baird Parker and Mannitol salt agar plates as a selective media then incubated for 48 hours at 37ºC.

Colonies suspected of being *Staphylococci* were initially identified by their colony morphology and Gram staining. The pure cultures were sub cultured on Nutrient agar and incubated for 24 hours at 37ºC for further biochemical identifications. Catalase activity and coagulase tests were performed to distinguish catalase-negative *Streptococcus spp.* from catalase-positive, coagulase production by coagulase-positive *Staphylococci* was examined using the tube coagulation method. Quinn et al. (2003).

**Molecular characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* using PCR**

All initially identified isolates were further confirmed by duplex PCR targeting 16S rRNA and nuc genes (nuc; *Staphylococcus aureus* thermonuclease gene) using genus specific oligonucleotide primers as previously described by Wang et al. (2012). Finally, all isolates were stored in brain heart infusion broth with 15.0% glycerol at–80 ºC until further use. Bacterial investigation of *S. aureus* successfully isolated and confirmed was used for preparation of *S. aureus* vaccine.

1. **Adjuvants and vaccine formulation**

1.1. **Montanide ISA70 and ISA 206**

The oil-adjuvanted vaccine was prepared using a mineral oil (SEPPIC Montanide ISA-70, ISA- 206 SEPPIC Division Cosmetique-Pharmacie, and Paris, France) Montanide oils adjuvants were formulated as a water-in-oil emulsion. The antigens were mixed with the oil in Montanide ISA-70 (30/70) (v/v) ratio while in Montanide ISA-206 (50/50) as recommended by the manufacturer.

1.2. **Alum adjuvant**

Aluminum Hydroxide 1% gel was used as adjuvant for the inactivated vaccine (Biowest France).

2. **Preparation of *S. aureus* vaccine**

It was prepared according to Opdebeeck and Norcross (1984). The Bacterin was prepared by growth of pure *S. aureus* strain isolated from mastitic milk samples on blood agar medium for 24 hours. The organisms were harvested from the surface of the medium by gentle agitation using sterile saline solution and glass beads, and then centrifuged at 17000 xg for 15 minutes at 4ºC. The pellet was suspended in PBS (Phosphate Buffer Saline) (pH 7.6) and washed with sterile saline solution. The end product solution containing microorganisms was inactivated by suspension in 0.3% formalized saline. Antigen
concentration was adjusted to $8 \times 10^8$ cfu/ml. The bacterial antigen was mixed with adjuvants Montanide® ISA 206, Montanide ISA 70 and alum as recommended by the manufacturer.

3. Vaccination of cattle

Seventy (70) dairy heifers in the last trimester of gestation divided into (5) groups. Twenty pregnant cows in each group (G1,G2,G3) were inoculated intramuscularly with 5 ml/cow of the prepared vaccine 4 weeks prior to calving then a booster dose of 5 ml/cow 2 weeks after the primary injection. (G1) was vaccinated by Staph vaccine with Montanide ISA-206, (G2) was vaccinated by Staph. vaccine with Montanide ISA-70 and (G3) vaccinated by staph vaccine with alum. While four and six cows in (G4&G5) were kept as non-vaccinated control and safety groups respectively.

Blood samples were collected at 7, 10 and 14 days after first injection for lymphocyte proliferation activity using (MTT) and COMET assay. Pooled serum samples were collected at the 0, 1, 2- and 3-months post vaccination.

4. Quality control testing of the prepared vaccine

The prepared vaccine was test according to OIE, 2013 for sterility, safety and potency as a quality control tests.

4.1 Sterility test
Sterility test was done according to British veterinary pharmacopoeia (1993) to confirm the sterility in all production steps.

4.2 Safety
Six animals (G5) were inoculated with double dose of each vaccine (two animals for each vaccine). The inoculated animals were observed for 2 weeks after inoculation for development of any clinical signs.

5. Determination of immune response to the prepared vaccines by indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbertent Assay (ELISA)

ELISA was performed as previously described by Leitner et al., (2000). Each serum sample was tested for *S. aureus* antibodies before immunization. In brief, 96-well immunoplates (Nunc-Immuno Plate Maxi SorbTM) were coated with killed sonicated *S. aureus*, as antigen and incubated 2 hours at 37°C and then overnight at 4°C. The coating solution was then washed and the plates were blocked with bovine serum albumin 3% at 37°C for one hour. After washing the plates three times with PBS-Tween (PBS 0.1M pH 7.4, 0.5% Tween 20), tested serum (1:200) was added in duplicate, incubated for 1 h at 37°C then affinity-purified goat anti-Bovine IgG(g) chain peroxidase conjugate (1:2000) (KPL company) was added.

The bound antibodies were detected by adding TMB peroxidase substrate (Kirkegaard and Perry Laboratories). Plates were read in a microplate auto reader at 450nm. Negative serum samples from uninfected cows were used to calculate the cut-off value of the indirect ELISA. Cut-off = Mean of Negatives + (3 x standard deviation of Negatives). Samples was considered as positive if the OD values were higher than the cut-off value, otherwise, the sample was regarded as negative if the OD was below the cut-off value (Tong et al., 2014).

6. Blood cell’s DNA integrity using comet assay

According to Singh et al. (1988) single cell gel electrophoresis assay (SCGE) was performed for simple evaluation of cellular DNA damage (under alkaline conditions). First, individual cells are mixed with low melting agarose before application to the OxiSelect Comet Slide.

These embedded cells are then treated with a lysis buffer and alkaline solution, which relaxes and denatures the DNA. Finally, the samples were electrophoresed in a horizontal chamber to separate intact DNA from damaged fragments. Following alkaline electrophoresis, the samples are dried, stained with ethidium bromide stain 12µg/ml, and visualized by epifluorescence microscopy. Under these conditions, the damaged DNA (containing cleavage and strand breaks) will migrate further than intact DNA and produce a “comet tail” shape (Figure 2A). Tail moment (arbitrary unit) = Length of DNA migration (um) × percentage (%) of migrated DNA.

7. Lymphocytes transformation assay

Lymphocyte proliferation assay (LPA) measures the ability of lymphocytes to undergo clonal proliferation when stimulated by a foreign molecule, antigen or mitogen in vitro. Blood samples were taken during the experiment on heparin for separation of T-lymphocyte by aseptically collection, centrifugation at 2500 rpm at 4°C for 30 minutes, the leukocytic layer transferred on to sterilized tissue culture tube, overlayed on ficol (1.077) in sterilized tissue culture tube, centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 30 minutes at room temperature. The mononuclear interphase layer was taken for separation of T-lymphocyte for lymphocyte transformation assay using MTT reduction assay according to Chin et al. (2000).

8. Statistical analysis

All data were subjected to Statistical analysis according to Snedecor and Cochran (1982) and results were expressed as means± standered error SE. Differences between means in different groups were tested for significance using student T test as independent T test for all parameters.
RESULTS

One hundred dairy cows were examined for the presence of clinical and subclinical mastitis. The incidence of clinical and subclinical mastitis in examined dairy cows and bacterial isolation from positive cases are shown in table (1), were 8% (32/400) and 49.8% (199/400), respectively. On the other hand, S. aureus were found in 90.6% of the clinical mastitis (29/32) and in 70.4% of the subclinical mastitis samples (140/199).

Regarding quality control of the prepared vaccines it was found that all of the prepared vaccines proved free from any contaminants (aerobic, anaerobic bacteria, fungi and mycoplasma). Also, they were found to be safe where no post vaccinal reaction was recorded on vaccinated animals.

As shown in table (2) and Fig (1) the antibody response in sera of vaccinated cows by Montanide ISA-206 (G1) recorded the highest antibody titers present after the 2nd immunization till the third month after calving 3.264, 3.482, 2.866, 2.337 and 1.426. While (G 2) cows vaccinated by Montanide ISA-70 showed increase of antibody titers as 3.024, 3.203, 2.773, 2.189 and 1.370 in the same periods. Otherwise (G 3) vaccinated by alum registered low antibody titers 2.221, 2.850, 2.773, 2.189 and 1.163. In contrary no increase in antibody titers was detected in the control group.

The results in (Table, 3) showed that the DNA damage was significantly increased in G3 in both comet percent and tail moment while in G1 and G2 showed significant increase in comet percent with non-significant increase in tail moment when compared with control group.

Table 1: Incidence of clinical and subclinical mastitis in examined dairy cows

| No. of examined quarters | Clinical mastitis* | Subclinical mastitis** |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
|                          | QMS from clinically infected cows | Bacterial pathogens isolated | QMS from apparently healthy cows | Bacterial pathogens isolated |
|                          | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| 400                      | 32  | 8 | 29  | 90.6| 199 | 49.8| 140 | 70.4|

* Hot, hard sensitive udder that is acute painful to the animal with changes in milk composition.
** No visible changes in appearance of udder and/or the milk but the milk samples were positive for (CMT).

Table 2: The mean serum Optical Density (OD) values in dairy cows vaccinated with inactivated S. aureus vaccines and control heifers measured by ELISA

| Animal groups | 1st immunization (4 weeks pre-expected calving) | 2nd immunization (2 weeks pre-expected calving) | Months after calving |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|
|               |                                               |                                               | 0       | 1       | 2       | 3       |
| Vaccinated groups |                                              |                                               |         |         |         |         |
| G 1           | 0.042                                        | 3.264                                         | 3.482   | 2.866   | 2.337   | 1.426   |
| G 2           | 0.411                                        | 3.024                                         | 3.203   | 2.773   | 2.189   | 1.370   |
| G 3           | 0.415                                        | 2.221                                         | 2.850   | 2.773   | 2.189   | 1.163   |
| Control Group | 0.433                                        | 0.421                                         | 0.445   | 0.411   | 0.453   | 0.427   |

Cut off value =0.44.
Fig. (1): The mean serum Optical Density (OD) values in dairy cows vaccinated with inactivated S. aureus vaccine and control heifers measured by ELISA
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Fig. (1): ELISA antibody titers of vaccinated calves' groups and control group

N.B.
The first group (G1) vaccinated by Montanide ISA-206
The second group (G2) vaccinated by Montanide ISA-70
The third group (G3) vaccinated by alum
The fourth group (G4) as control

Table 3: The results of the prepared vaccines on blood cell integrity using comet assay in comparison with non-vaccinated

| Groups     | % Damage | Tail length | % DNA in tail | Tail movement |
|------------|----------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| G1         | 10.2     | ± 0.14      | ± 0.092       | ± 0.017       |
|            | 6.92     | 0.27        | 0.26          |               |
| G2         | 9.4      | ± 0.26      | ± 0.28        | ± 0.06        |
|            | 10.2     | 0.62        | 0.64          |               |
| G3         | 15.5     | ± 1.4       | ± 0.95        | ± 0.14        |
|            | 6.64     | 0.68        | 0.601         |               |
| Control    | 7.4      | ± 0.8       | ± 0.46        | ± 0.05        |
| group      | 7.85     | 1.09        | 0.54          |               |
|           |          | 0.46        | 0.39          |               |

Means with different superscript letters in the same column are significantly different at (p<0.05).

Fig. (2) A and B illustrate severe DNA damage in blood cells of cows vaccinated with S. aureus vaccine by the comet assay. The increase in DNA damage was mostly evidenced by an increase of comet tail.
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Lymphocyte transformation

Table 4 shows that (G1) induced significant increase of lymphocyte transformation 2.003 ± 0.39 at the 7th day post vaccination, 2.19 ± 0.17 on the 10th day post vaccination and 2.65 ± 0.20 on the 14th day post vaccination when compared with the vaccinated (G2) that recorded significant increase in lymphocyte transformation 1.83 ± 0.50 and 2.03 ± 0.003 on the 7th, 10th day post vaccination and 2.31 ± 0.17 on the 14th day post vaccination when compared with vaccinated group (G3).

Table 4: The results of Lymphocyte transformation assay on vaccinated and control heifers

| Days post vaccination | Groups | 7th day | 10th day | 14th day |
|-----------------------|--------|---------|----------|----------|
|                       | G1     | ±       | ±        | ±        |
|                       |        | 0.39a   | 0.17a    | 0.20a    |
|                       | G2     | ±       | ±        | ±        |
|                       |        | 0.50b   | 0.003a   | 0.17a    |
|                       | G3     | ±       | ±        | ±        |
|                       |        | 0.14c   | 0.24b    | 0.11b    |
|                       | Control| ±       | ±        | ±        |
|                       |        | 0.02d   | 0.027c   | 0.13c    |

Means with different superscript letters in the same column are significantly different at (p<0.05)

DISCUSSION

Common mastitis control measures, have been applied for many years, however, mastitis still remains as one of the most frequent and costly diseases of dairy herds. Vaccination against S. aureus mastitis has been studied with very few products making it to market. Kotb (2008) The ideal S. aureus mastitis vaccine should either prevent infection or facilitate clearance of the bacteria from the mammary gland very shortly after IMI thus eliminating the possibility of a long-term intramammary infection that can serve as a reservoir for infection of herd-mates. To date, a S. aureus mastitis vaccine that meets these criteria has not been developed. Rainard et al., (2018).

Past approaches of vaccine formulation with adjuvants were focused on single-type adjuvants such as alum or emulsions. However, new vaccine targets require the induction of well-defined CMI in addition to high titer of antibody. Consequently, new immunostimulant adjuvants in vaccine formulations are needed in order to stimulate robust immune responses including humoral immunity and CMI. (Lee and Nguyen 2015).

A total of 100 dairy cows were examined for clinical and subclinical mastitis. The results showed that cows with clinical mastitis were exhibited hotness, redness, edema, enlargement and hardness of the affected quarter. This observation is in accordance with that represented by Amer et al., (2018) who observed similar clinical findings of mastitis in Ecuador. CMT is a screening test and considered as rapid and characteristics indicator for detection of subclinical mastitis in dairy fields (Shitandi and Kihumbu, 2004 and Al-Anbari et al., 2006) and the prevalence of subclinical mastitis was 49.8% nearly close to that recorded in Tanzania and Rwanda by
Suleiman et al., 2018 and Mpatswenumugabo et al., (2017). About (10-20%) of cows sampled for bacterial culture based on CMT score will have no growth due to a number of factors including short lived infections that have been cleared by the cow or infections that are characterized by intermittent shedding of bacteria (S. agalactiae, S. aureus and Mycoplasma spp.) (Sayed et al. 2015).

The results of the sterility test showed that the prepared vaccines were proved to be free from any extraneous contaminants (aerobic and anaerobic bacteria, mycoplama and fungi). No general adverse reactions to the prepared vaccines were observed in vaccinated or re-vaccinated cows throughout the entire experimental period indicating the safety of the prepared vaccines (Mella et al. 2017).

ELISA has emerged as a simple important tool for diagnosis as well as monitoring the immune status of animals vaccinated against S. aureus vaccine. ELISA, used as a quick technique for detection of antibodies. In this study it was shown that vaccinated heifers had serum antibody titers significantly higher than control animals after first and second immunization Table (2) and Fig. (1). Similar results were obtained by Hogan et al. (2005), Pellegrino et al. (2010) and Perez et al. (2009) who vaccinated cattle with a mineral oil-adjutanted vaccine and demonstrated a marked serum IgG response.

Adjuvants not only enhance the levels of immune responses, but also have immune modulatory properties that can influence the type and character of induced immune responses. Morein et al., 2004 and Lee and Nguyen 2015

The role of cell-mediated immunity in MG defense has been overshadowed by the traditional focus on toxin-neutralizing and opsonizing antibodies, as exemplified by the absence of cell mediated adaptive immune response section in a recent comprehensive review (Schukken et al., 2011). Currently there is an increasing interest in different T-cell subpopulations contributed to anti-staphylococcal immune defense (Broker et al., 2016).

DNA strand breaks are measured by the comet assay which is an important biomarker of genotoxicity. Comet assay is widely used as a simple and sensitive technique for evaluating in vivo, in vitro, and in situ DNA damage in different tissues as gill, liver, kidney, and blood after exposure to different kinds of pollutants or drugs (Dhawan et al., 2009).

In studying (Table 3) DNA integrity of blood cells using comet assay, our results recorded that DNA damage was significantly increase in (G3) which expressed by increase in comet % and tail moment with non-significant increase in (G1) and (G2) when compared with control group. These results were compatible with Asfour et al., (2016), Genghini et al., (2006) and Maletić et al. (2015) who revealed genotoxic effects of different vaccines by assessing the ability of the vaccine to damage DNA in comet tail with significant increase in cell chromosomal alterations in case of vaccinated animals compared with control one.

The lymphocyte transformation test (LTT) relies on the ability of memory T cells to proliferate once they have been stimulated by an antigen (Cabañas et al., 2018). Like other in vitro tests, it is safer than in vivo tests available (Lochmatter et al., 2009). In the present study there was significant increase in lymphocyte transformation after 7,10 and 14 day of immunization in animal vaccinated with montanide ISA 70 and montanide ISA 206 vaccine.

Emulsion of Montanide shown to induce high antibody titer and CTL responses in a variety of animal species. Thus, there are two distinct reasons to incorporate an adjuvant into a vaccine. First as adjuvants are currently used clinically to increase the response to a vaccine in the general population and increasing mean antibody titers and/or the fraction of subjects that become protectively immunized. (Teena et al., 2013).

The results of Montanide ISA 206 and ISA 70 agree with the earlier report of Gamal et al., (2014) and Savoji et al., (2019) who found that the Montanide ISA oil vaccine contains an improved mineral oil enabling the preferential stimulation of Th1-type cell-mediated immunity against pathogens of poultry, cattle, and small ruminants.

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that S. aureus vaccine of Montanide ISA 206 and Montanide ISA 70 adjuvants were able to stimulate the humeral immune response in vaccinated heifers inducing a high level of serum antibodies than alum adjuvant gel vaccine. This could have a beneficial effect on the mammary gland against S. aureus new intramammary infections. These results could be indicated the safety and effectiveness of the vaccines in reduction of incidence and severity of clinical cases of mastitis, but further studies should be done to elucidate the possibility of field application and effectively.
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