Abstract. Cisplatin resistance has been a major factor limiting its clinical use as a chemotherapy drug. The present study aimed to investigate whether SET and MYND domain-containing protein 3 (SMYD3), a histone methyltransferase closely associated with tumors can affect the sensitivity of tumors to cisplatin chemotherapy. Real time-qPCR, western blotting, the luciferase reporter, MTT and clonogenic assays were performed to detect the effects of SMYD3 on the chemotherapy capacity of cisplatin. In the present study, SMYD3 exhibited different expression patterns in MCF-7 and T47D breast cancer cells. In addition, this differential expression was associated with tumor cell resistance to cisplatin. Furthermore, SMYD3 knockdown following small interfering RNA transfection increased cisplatin sensitivity, whereas SMYD3 overexpression decreased cisplatin sensitivity. In addition, SMYD3 knockdown synergistically enhanced cisplatin-induced cell apoptosis. SMYD3 expression was downregulated during cisplatin treatment. In addition, transcriptional regulatory activities of SMYD3 3’-untranslated region were also downregulated. These results suggested that SMYD3 may affect cell sensitivity to cisplatin and participate in the development of cisplatin resistance, which is a process that may involve microRNA-124-mediated regulation.

Introduction

Cisplatin is an important chemotherapy drug that was approved by the Food and Drug Administration 40 years ago (1). It has since been widely used in the clinical treatment of various types of solid tumor including breast, bladder, head and neck, lung, ovarian and testicular cancers (2-4). However, its use has been limited due to inherent and acquired resistance (5).

The pathways involved in the acquired cisplatin resistance are complex. The main resistance mechanisms include DNA damage repair and cell cycle interference (6), mitochondrial dysfunction (7), inhibition of cell apoptosis (8), formation of an anoxic microenvironment (9) and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (10). Numerous strategies can be used to avoid cisplatin resistance by influencing the molecular mechanisms of resistance in tumor cells. These strategies include the development of novel platinum antitumor drugs, the promotion of platinum-based drug transport into tumor cells, the development of inhibitors that regulate the mechanisms of resistance, and the synergistic use of cisplatin with other drugs presenting specific and targeted effects on tumor cells (11,12).

SET and MYND domain containing 3 (SMYD3) is a protein that catalyzes the methylation of histones at H3K4, H4K5 and H4K20, and which elicits oncogenic effects by activating the transcription of downstream target genes in hepatocellular, colorectal, cervical and breast cancers (13). For example, SMYD3 can promote epithelial-mesenchymal transition (14), interfere with the cell cycle (15), promote cell proliferation (16), increase the activity of telomerase and promote cell immortalization (17). These processes are also closely associated with chemotherapy-resistance (18). In addition, SMYD3 deficiency induces DNA-damage hypersensitivity, decreases the number of repair foci and leads to impaired homologous recombination, all of which are also associated with chemotherapy resistance (19). At present, research around SMYD3 signaling has mainly focused on its downstream pathways. Studies have found that hepatitis C virus core proteins can inactivate miR-124 through DNA methylation, thereby up-regulating SMYD3, while miR-124 can promote cancer cell sensitivity to cisplatin (20-22). In addition, previous studies from our laboratory demonstrated that SMYD3 can increase cellular resistance to dexamethasone (23) and regulate the ATM-CHK2/p53-cdc25c pathway (15), suggesting that SMYD3 may also be involved in the development of chemotherapy resistance. However, the direct effect of cisplatin on SMYD3 and the underlying...
mechanisms are unclear. Therefore, the present study assessed these issues. Research on this topic is of vital importance, and may serve the development of novel treatment strategies that would overcome cisplatin resistance and allow the clinical treatment of tumors.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and transfection. MCF-7 and T47D human breast cancer cells (The Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences) were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Hangzhou Sijiqing Biological Engineering Materials Co., Ltd.), 100 U/ml penicillin G and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin and placed at 37°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO2. The overexpression plasmid of SMYD3 was a gift from Professor Philip Tucker (Institute for Cellular and Molecular Biology, University of Texas, Austin, TX, USA), and the empty vector was used as a control. The small interfering (si) RNAs were synthesized by Guangzhou RiboBio Co., Ltd. The sequences of these siRNAs were as follows: siRNA targeting SMYD3 (si-SMYD3), sense, 5'-CAA GGA uGC uGA uAu GCu AdT dT-3' and antisense, 3'-dTdT GUUCCUCAGUAUACAGAU-5'. The sequence of the control siRNA was 5'-ACTGTTCTATGACTTGTG GTGATAA-3'. Transient transfection was performed using TurboFect reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 1 µg plasmid or siRNA were transfected into MCF-7 or T47D cells with 2 µl TurboFect reagent. Cells were collected 24 or 48 h later for subsequent testing.

Reverse transcription quantitative (RT-q)PCR and semi-qPCR. Total RNA was isolated from MCF-7 and T47D cells using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) under RNase‑free conditions. After quantification of RNA using a photometer, cDNA was synthesized using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) from 2 µg total RNA, according to the manufacturer's instructions. For semi-qPCR, primers specific for the cDNAs of the SMYD3 gene and the constitutive GAPDH gene were used (Genewiz Inc.). For SMYD3, the forward primer positions were 647-670 (5'-CCC AGT ATG TCT TTG CTC AAT CAC -3') and reverse, 5'-GCA GAA GGG GCG GAG ATG A-3'; and siRNA targeting SMYD3 (si-SMYD3), sense, 5'-CAAGGAGCGUAUAGCUAdTdT-3' and antisense, 3'-dTdT GUUCCUCAGUAUACAGAU-5'. The sequence of the control siRNA was 5'-ACTGTTCTATGACTTGTG GTGATAA-3'. Transient transfection was performed using TurboFect reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 1 µg plasmid or siRNA were transfected into MCF-7 or T47D cells with 2 µl TurboFect reagent. Cells were collected 24 or 48 h later for subsequent testing.

Luciferase reporter assay. The SMYD3 3'-untranslated region (3'UTR) was subcloned into pGL3 Basic vector (Promega Corporation). MCF-7 or T47D cells were seeded into 24-well plates at a density of 1x10^4 cells/ml and then treated with different concentrations of cisplatin (0, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32 or 64 µM) for 24 h and transfected with pGL3-SMYD3 3'UTR and pEGFP-C3 plasmids using TurboFect reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The luciferase activity was measured using the Luciferase assay system (Promega Corporation) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The values were normalized to the signal of enhanced green fluorescent protein and the 0 µM cisplatin group was used as control group (25).

Western blotting. A total of 48 h after transfection, MCF-7 and T47D cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and lysed at 4°C with RIPA lysis buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors (cat. no. R0010; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) for 30 min. The lysates were centrifuged at 17,000 x g for 10 min, the supernatants were quantified using the BCA protein concentration assay kit (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) and mixed with SDS sample buffer. Subsequently, proteins (50 µg/lane) were separated using 12% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked using 5% non-fat dry milk dissolved in PBS for 90 min at 20°C. Membranes were then incubated with primary antibodies against SMYD3 (rabbit anti-human monoclonal antibody; 1:1,000; cat. no. ab187149; Abcam) and GAPDH (mouse anti-human; dilution; 1:5,000; cat. no. sc-365402; Santa Cruz) at 4°C overnight. Subsequently, membranes were incubated with infrared fluorescent goat anti-rabbit (1:10,000; cat. no. 926-68071; LI-COR Biosciences;) and goat anti-mouse (1:10,000; cat. no. 926-32210; LI-COR Biosciences;) secondary antibodies for 2 h in the dark at room temperature. Signals were visualized using an Odyssey Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences).
(OD) was measured at 570 nm using a Synergy4 microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc.; Agilent Technologies, Inc.). Cell viability was calculated as follows: Cell viability (%) = \frac{\text{OD (treated)} - \text{OD (blank)}}{\text{OD (untreated)} - \text{OD (blank)}} \times 100.

Each sample was examined in duplicate, and the experiment was repeated three times.

Clonogenic assay. Following transfection with siRNA or treatment with 0, 2, 4, 8, 16 and 32 µM cisplatin at 37˚C for 24 h, cells were seeded in a 6-well plate at a density of 1,000 cells/well. Cells were subsequently cultured for 10 days at 37˚C to obtain visible colonies. Cells were washed twice with PBS, fixed with methanol for 15 min at room temperature and stained with Giemsa for 30 min at room temperature. An inverted fluorescence microscope, ECLIPSE TE2000 (20x) was used to count colonies containing at least 50 cells (Nikon Corporation).

Analysis of cell mitochondrial membrane potential. Previous studies demonstrated that cisplatin can promote apoptosis by reducing mitochondrial membrane potential (26,27). In the present study, MCF-7 cells were treated with 0 or 8 µM cisplatin and/or siRNA targeting SMYD3 mRNA for 48 h at 37˚C. Then cells were harvested with trypsin and resuspended in medium at a density of 1x10^6 cells/ml. Subsequently, cells were mixed with 50 µg/ml rhodamine 123 dye solution (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA), which is a positively charged pigment that can bind to mitochondria with a high negative membrane potential (28). After being incubated at 37˚C and 5% CO₂ for 30 min. Cells were collected by centrifugation at 1,700 x g for 10 min at room temperature, washed twice with PBS and analyzed in the Accuri C6 flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson Biosciences, USA) using FlowJo v 10.2 (FlowJo LLC).

Statistical analysis. Data are presented as the means ± standard deviation (mean ± SD). Significant differences were evaluated using two-way ANOVA. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.00 (GraphPad Software, Inc.). All experiments were carried out at least three times. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Cisplatin sensitivity may be inversely related to endogenous SMYD3 expression. MTT assays were performed to assess the effect of cisplatin on MCF-7 and T47D cell viability after 24 and 48 h treatment. As presented in Fig. 1A, following cisplatin treatment, viability of T47D cells was lower compared with MCF-7 cells. This indicates that MCF-7 cells may be more sensitive to cisplatin. Furthermore, the expression of SMYD3 in these two cell lines was further investigated by RT-qPCR and western blotting. The results demonstrated that both mRNA and protein level of SMYD3 in the T74D cells were higher compared with MCF-7 cells (Fig. 1C). To quantitatively assess the effects of cisplatin on these two cell lines, the half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) values of cisplatin were calculated. As presented in Fig. 1B, following 24 h of cisplatin treatment, the observed IC₅₀ values for MCF-7 and
T47D cells were 62.13±3.58 and 342.76±17.66 µM, respectively. These results indicated that the differential expression of SMYD3 observed may be associated with the different cisplatin sensitivities of these tumor cell lines.

**SMYD3 knockdown increases cisplatin sensitivity in tumor cells.** SMYD3 is often highly expressed in cancer tissues and is closely associated with malignancy and poor prognosis of patients (29,30). However, the association between SMYD3 expression, chemotherapy and its outcomes remains unclear. In the present study, SMYD3 was significantly knocked down by siRNA transfection in MCF-7 and T47D cell lines (Fig. 2A), and MTT and colony-formation assays were performed to assess the cisplatin sensitivity in these two cell lines. The results demonstrated that SMYD3 knockdown significantly reduced the cell viability following cisplatin treatment (Fig. 2B and C), suggesting that SMYD3 may be involved in the development of cell resistance to cisplatin. To verify this hypothesis, further colony-formation assays were performed using MCF-7 cells (Fig. 2D) and the sensitivity of these cells to cisplatin was enhanced after SMYD3 knockdown. In addition, to investigate whether SMYD3 can affect the effect of cisplatin on the mitochondrial membrane potential of cells, rhodamine 123 efflux assays were performed using MCF-7 cells. Mean ± SD. n=3. **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 vs. si-control. si, small interfering; SMYD3, SET and MYND domain-containing protein 3; nc, negative control.

Figure 2. SMYD3 knockdown increases cisplatin sensitivity in tumor cells. (A) MCF-7 and T47D cells were transfected with si-SMYD3 or si-control before exposure to different concentrations of cisplatin. Changes in SMYD3 mRNA level were detected by reverse transcription quantitative PCR. Effect of SMYD3 knockdown on (B) MCF-7 and (C) T47D cell sensitivity to cisplatin sensitivity measured with the MTT assay and (D) colony-formation assay. (E) The membrane potential of mitochondria was assessed by Rhodamine 123 efflux assays with MCF-7 cells. Mean ± SD. n=3. **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 vs. si-control.
SMYD3 overexpression reduces cisplatin sensitivity. MCF-7 cells were successfully transfected with the overexpression plasmid of SMYD3 or a control empty plasmid. (Fig. 3A). Following transfection for 24 h, SMYD3-overexpressing cells exhibited increased cell viability and increased tolerance to cisplatin compared with control (Fig. 3B). Similar results were also observed following transfection of cells with the SMYD3 overexpression plasmid for 48 h (Fig. 3B). The results of the colony-formation assay also demonstrated that overexpression of SMYD3 could significantly increase the tolerance of cells to cisplatin (Fig. 3C).

Cisplatin inhibits SMYD3 expression. The aforementioned results demonstrated that cell sensitivity to cisplatin may be associated with SMYD3 expression. To determine the underlying mechanisms of cisplatin-mediated cytotoxicity, MCF-7 and T47D cells were treated with cisplatin at different concentrations for 24 or 48 h, and SMYD3 expression level was assessed by RT-PCR and western blotting. Following treatment with cisplatin for 24 and 48 h, SMYD3 mRNA level was significantly downregulated, suggesting that cisplatin can inhibit the transcription of SMYD3 (Fig. 4A and B). These results of western blotting analysis also demonstrated that SMYD3 expression was decreased following cisplatin treatment in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 4C).

miR-124 may be involved in the cisplatin-mediated inhibition of SMYD3 expression. Since miRNAs can combine with the 3’UTRs of mRNAs to inhibit transcription (31). In the present study, a luciferase reporter assay was used to test whether cisplatin-mediated inhibition of SMYD3 expression may occur via the regulation of a specific miRNA that mediates SMYD3 transcription. This assay assessed the transcriptional activity of SMYD3 3’UTR following treatment with different concentrations of cisplatin (Fig. 5). Following cisplatin treatment, the luciferase activity of the SMYD3 3’UTR was significantly downregulated (Fig. 5A), suggesting that cisplatin may inhibit SMYD3 expression by upregulating the transcription of a miRNA that could promote the degradation of SMYD3 mRNA.

As previous studies reported that miR-124 is an upstream regulator of SMYD3 (20,32), the present study hypothesized that miR-124 may be involved in the inhibitory effect of cisplatin on the expression of SMYD3. To verify this hypothesis, MCF-7 and T47D cells were treated for 24 h with different concentrations of cisplatin and the level of miR-124 was assessed by RT-qPCR. For both cell lines, miR-124 level was significantly increased following cisplatin treatment in concentration-dependent manner in MCF-7 and T47D cell lines, respectively (Fig. 5B), suggesting that cisplatin may inhibit SMYD3 expression by upregulating miR-124.

Discussion

The present study demonstrated that SMYD3 expression may affect cell sensitivity to cisplatin, SMYD3 overexpression or knockdown could decreased or enhanced the sensitivity of MCF-7 and T47D cells to cisplatin. The results demonstrated that the use of SMYD3-targeting siRNA may reduce cell resistance to cisplatin, providing therefore a novel approach to the treatment of cancer.

Cisplatin causes cytotoxicity by inducing apoptosis, which involves the induction of exogenous and endogenous death receptors through mitochondrial pathways (33). The main mechanism of cisplatin resistance involves the inactivation of apoptotic protein p53 (34). Dai et al (35) reported that SMYD3 regulates p53 protein expression, which is essential in SMYD3-induced glioma cell proliferation.
MAPK family members are also involved in the cisplatin resistance mechanism. The N-terminal kinases of c-JUN and MAPK1 cannot be activated in cisplatin-resistant cells, leading to an inability to initiate the FAS signaling pathway and therefore cell apoptosis (36). In addition, previous studies demonstrated that SMYD3-mediated MAP3K2
methylation prevents MAP3K2 from binding to the protein phosphatase 2, inhibiting the effect of this negative regulator on Ras-ERK1/2 signals, which could lead to the development of lung and pancreatic adenocarcinomas (37,38). The results from the present study demonstrated that the combination of SMYD3 knockdown and cisplatin-mediated SMYD3 expression inhibition may promote the mitochondrial membrane potential collapse and subsequently decrease the viability of MCF-7 cells.

In the present study, cisplatin treatment could downregulate SMYD3 expression and inhibit the transcriptional regulatory activity of the SMYD3 3'UTR. Specific interactions between miRNAs and 3'UTRs are known to promote the degradation of target mRNA (31). Furuta et al (21) reported that miR-124 can inhibit the growth of cancer by targeting SMYD3. Furthermore, it has been reported that miR-124 can promote cancer cell sensitivity to cisplatin (22), and the findings of the present study demonstrated that the expression of SMYD3 has a negative regulatory relationship with miR-124. In addition, sulforaphane can enhance the effects of cisplatin by activating miR-124 (39). Our previous study also demonstrated that SMDY3 may have a crucial role in the anti-tumor effect of sulforaphane (15). Taken together, these findings suggested that SMYD3 may impair cell sensitivity to cisplatin, and that miR-124 may serve a crucial role in this process. These results may help future investigation on SMYD3 expression interference by cisplatin, contribute to the development of therapeutic options to circumvent cisplatin resistance and therefore allow cancer treatment.
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