Gastric cancer is the third leading cause of cancer-related death in 2012, with deaths in the world 723,000. It is estimated as the fifth most common malignancy worldwide and is the third most frequent cause of death in males and females, a ratio of 2:1. In certain countries, it is the most prevalent malignancy and the leading cause of death by cancer [2]. In Brazil, occupies the third place as a cause of cancer in men and fifth in women, except non-melanoma skin cancer. Currently, the gastric cancer is still a great burden on the resources and health units [3].
However, it was seen a reduction in your focus on rich countries like USA and England, being the 14th most prevalent type of cancer in the country. Noteworthy, the high rate of diagnoses in countries such as Japan, Russia, Chile and Costa Rica, justified by genetic factors [4]. The host bacterial virulence genes and environmental factors contribute to the process of oncogenesis. The development of cancer is a complex process that involves many genes and steps, including the expression and regulation of multiple oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes [5]. Since the development of molecular biology and genetics, many tumor genes have been studied as relevant to the diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis of gastric cancer, including Ras, c-myc, Rb and E-cadherin2. Resides in the respect the importance of studying and knowing the genes implicated in gastric carcinogenesis as a prognostic factor, because it influences the development of more targeted and specific therapies for this cancer, raising the survival and reducing mortality [6].

Therefore, this review aimed to search the most recent discoveries in relation to tumor suppressor genes, oncogenes involved and receptors related to gastric cancer in addition to observing the Association of the presence of these oncogenes with survival rates, prognosis and mortality of patients affected by adenocarcinoma of the stomach.

Materials and Methods

This work was done from an electronic search in the databases PubMed, Scopus and Embase search portal. We collected data from systematic review, random clinical trials, cohort studies and literary reviews, using the key words: stomach neoplasms; tumor biomarkers; therapy; prognostic factors; survival; mortality. The method presented the following guiding question: “what are the main results and scientific evidence identified in the initial survey, the articles went through the evaluation of researchers (authors), in accordance with the following inclusion criteria: articles published in Portuguese, English or Spanish, to submit the combinations of the keywords selected, with publication date between 2015 and 2017 that were accessible. After the initial selection of material, were deleted the articles repeated in different databases and they focus on the gastric cancer and tumor biomarkers. Although picked by articles that cover effective updates in the treatment, the therapeutic failure was not used as a criterion for deletion, considering the particularity of the manifestations of each case.

In this context, the articles were read, selected and grouped into 11 categories:

- a) E-cadherin in patients with gastric cancer; expression of Her-2;
- b) Integrin αvβ6 and Metalloproteinase 9;
- c) KAI1 MACC1 expression and in the metastasis and prognosis;
- d) Meaning of CIP2A expression in advanced gastric cancer;
- e) Antigens MAGE-A and NY-ESO-1;
- f) CA 74-2, CA 125 and radical resection;
- g) AEG-1 Oncogene as prognostic biomarker;
- h) Associated with Macrophages tumors (TAM);
- i) Cancer resectable Score;
- j) Natural therapy.

Discussion

The objective of this study was to discuss the findings in the literature about the genes involved in the pathogenesis of gastric cancer and your impact on therapy, survival, and mortality in the population affected by this disease.

E-cadherin in patients with gastric cancer

According to Rashid H et al., Cadherin-1 gene (CDH1) is critical for maintenance of cell polarity and cell adhesion of epithelial tissue architecture and your expression is often reduced or lost in epithelial tumors, resulting in invasion and metastasis [1].

The hypermethylation gene CDH1 is crucial to reducing your expression, but the pattern of methylation differs according to ethnicity, and maybe by different environmental exposures of the ethnic groups [2]. This study used 80 tissues of gastric cancer and adjacent normal tissues containing areas in Department of General Surgery and Minimally Invasive the Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences (SKIMS). Was found in the promoter region of the gene CDH1 for being hypermethylated in 65% (52/80) of samples of gastric cancer. These 52.8 (15.38%) showed methylation in both tissues (cancerous and normal) and 44 (84.6%) cases showed methylation only in the area of the tumor3. Also, it has been seen that patients with metastatic lymph nodes affected have a bigger hypermethylation compared with patients without lymph nodes affected, moreover, was also increased the methylation in women than men and in larger tumors [1].

Expression of HER-2

The epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2)-human belongs to the family of the epidermal growth factor receptor encoded by a human gene located on the long arm of chromosome 17. Retrospective studies have reported that the positivity of HER-2 is a prognostic factor associated with increased risk of local invasion and metastasis [4-6].

Meng et al. [4] studied the epidermal growth factor receptor human-2 (HER-2), which is an oncoprotein belonging to the
family of transmembrane human epidermal growth factors. Your activity on tyrosine kinase plays important roles in proliferation, differentiation, migration and survival cell [4,7].

Originally, the HER-2 was widely studied in breast cancer and your typical expression was correlated with more aggressive tumor activity and a worse prognosis. Target successfully for trastuzumab for the treatment of breast cancer, studies of the HER-2 in other solid tumors were also analyzed. The HER-2 expression was detected in lung cancer, endometrial carcinoma of type I and esophageal cancer [5,6]. In gastric cancer, it was observed that the high expression of HER-2 is associated with aggression and adverse outcomes [7].

The study by Chong et al. with 103 cases assessed the proportion of gastric cancers positive for the epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2) human, because these patients may benefit from targeted therapy. Of the 103 cases, 14 cases were positive for HER-2 (13.6%), being significantly higher in elderly patients and that showed extensive disease [8]. Takahashi et al. [9] showed that the expression of HER2 in tumor tissue was observed in 6 to 23% of the cases of advanced gastric cancer.

**Trastuzumab therapy in HER-2 positive gastric cancer**

Meng et al. stated that the study of trastuzumab for gastric cancer (ToGA) showed that the addition of trastuzumab chemotherapy was beneficial for advanced gastric cancer HER-2 positive. The trastuzumab was approved for the treatment of patients with metastatic HER-2 positive adenocarcinoma of the stomach or gastroesophageal junction [4].

Takahashi et al. [9] showed that trastuzumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody that induces antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity, inhibits HER-2-mediated signaling and prevents the cleavage of extracellular domain of HER-2. Following this line of research, Chong VH et al. [8] analyzed the ToGA test that evaluated patients with gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma 3,803 advanced untreated and 594 patients with Her-2 positive tumors randomized to receive chemotherapy (cisplatin/fluoropyrimidine) associated with trastuzumab and chemotherapy alone. The primary objective of raising the overall survival was achieved (13.8 months for chemotherapy combined and 11.1 months for isolated/p = 0.0046). The trastuzumab so it was considered safe to be given as standard chemotherapy, the patient Her-2 positive [9-11].

**Avβ6 Integrin and Metalloproteinase 9**

Analyzing other markers, Lian et al. reported that the avβ6 Integrin is a member of the family and is expressed only in epithelial cells, fibronectin (FN) as your primary binder. The expression of avβ6 is rare and can hardly be detected in normal epithelial cells, but has substantially high levels in response to injury and/or inflammation in epithelial tumors [12].

Previous studies demonstrated that the expression of avβ6 Integrin is involved in pathogenic processes of gastrointestinal malignancies, including cell adhesion, proliferation, apoptosis and secretion of matrix metalloproteinase. The MMP-9 (Metalloproteinases 9) were involved in the invasion and metastasis of tumors that are characterized by a zinc atom at the active site and classified according to homology in sequence and substrate affinity. The expression of avβ6 and MMP-9 is closely correlated and can serve as a more efficient and effective prognostic index in patients with gastric cancer [13-15].

Among the 126 patients analyzed in this study, 34.92% were positive for avβ6 and 42.06% expression to expression of MMP-9. The expression of avβ6 was associated with the Lauren’s rank, TNM/N differentiation. While that MMP-9 was associated with stage TNM/T differentiation [16]. Survival analysis by the Kaplan-Meier curve showed that patients with expression of avβ6 or MMP-9 isolated died sooner than those with negative expression and that patients who were both avβ6 and MMP-9 positives obtained a shorter overall survival than those with opposite pattern [12].

In this same study, it was observed a significant mortality risk stratification when were evaluated four different combinations of levels of avβ6 and MMP-9 (i.e. positive markers, negative markers, positive with MMP-9 avβ6 negative and negative avβ6 with MMP-9 positive) by your relative effect on survival. Kaplan-Meier curves showed that patients who were both avβ6 and MMP-9 positive died earlier (26.45±5.54) than the other three groups (5.90±5.40, 51.54±6.86 and 68.86±4.87, respectively, p = 0.000) [12].

The Cox model indicated that the positive expression of avβ6 and MMP-9, Lauren’s rank diffuse, as well as high levels of N, M and TNM were predictors of a poor prognosis in univariate analysis [11]. The biggest difference in survival rate was found among patients with both positive markers and those with the opposite pattern (both negative markers). Clinical follow-up data were obtained sufficient of all 126 patients, allowing the assessment of the association between protein change and outcome prognosis. Of these, 69 (54.8%) cases were confirmed as cancer-related deaths in live years [12].

**KAI1 and MACC1 expression in the metastasis and prognosis**

The KAI1 is a tumor suppressor gene that acts by inhibiting phosphorylation on tyrosine B-catenin and stabilizing the complex E-cadherin-B-catenin to suppress tumor metastasis. In addition, inhibits the process mediated by B-catenin to prevent tumor angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis [13-16]. The expression decreased or lost is linked to metastasis and prognosis of tumors as larynx, prostate carcinoma, breast carcinoma, lung carcinoma, gastric carcinoma, colon carcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma. MACC1 is already connected to the promoter gene of Epithelial Mesenchymal Transition (MET), promoting the proliferation, invasion and metastasis of cancerous cells. It is still
A factor predictor of metastasis and prognosis for other types of cancer (lung, liver, pancreatic, ovarian, gastric, malignant glioma, breast and cervical carcinoma) [11].

Lu et al. [16] analyzed tissue 325 of Gastric Adenocarcinoma (AG) by immunohistochemistry and as a result that the expression of MACC1 (metastasis associated with colon cancer 1) was significantly higher in tissues with AG than in control tissues, being positively correlated with tumor size, grade, invasiveness and advanced TNM staging.

KAI1 expression (Kangai1) has been correlated with carcinogenesis, cancer metastasis and bad prognosis. The KAI1 is a tumor suppressor gene, so patients with positive expression of KAI1 had a significant increase in survival compared to negative KAI1. The metastasis and recurrence are the most common reasons of deaths in AG. The TNM staging is used, however, do not provide us with necessary information on the biological behavior of cancer and, why the need to search for biomarkers to predict recurrence and metastasis [17-19].

The overall survival time in patients with positive expression of MACC/AG and has been reduced from 56.1 months to 32.7 months. The survival time for patients who expressed KAI1 rose from 35.4 months to 52.6 months, compared to patients who did not have the suppressor gene expression in question [16].

Meaning of CIP2A expression in advanced gastric cancer

Chen et al. stated that the marker CIP2A (Cancerous Inhibitor of Protein Phosphatase 2A) is expressed in a variety of cancers. In this study was evaluated the expression and clinical significance of CIP2A in patients with advanced gastric cancer. CIP2A protein was expressed in 25 of 37 cancer tissue specimens. There was no correlation between the expression CIP2A and PGP, GST-Î³, Top-II and LRP. The expression of CIP2A may not have a prospective value to optimize the chemotherapy treatment regimens, but it can be an indicator for the prognosis of the patient [20].

Uncontrolled cell proliferation is a characteristic of cancer cells and tumorigenesis is related to disordered expression of some key factors that participate in the regulation of cell cycle progression, differentiation, senescence and apoptosis [21]. Thus, the aberrant expression of some proteins may result in the formation of cancer in humans. For example, the inhibitor protein phosphatase 2A carcinogen (CIP2A) is a recently identified human oncoproteína that inhibits the degradation of c-MYC protein in cancer cells, highly expressed in different human cancers [22].

The MYC protein is a transcription factor all-in-one that has been associated with a wide range of cellular functions, such as cell cycle regulation, proliferation, growth, differentiation and metabolism. The MYC signalling abnormal was observed in human cancers and demonstrated that this factor promotes cell transformation and tumor progression [23].

Many studies have reported that phosphatase protein (PP2A) causes proteolytic degradation of oncoproteína, MYC, and prevents the malignant cells grow [24]. However, CIP2A can stabilize the MYC protein inhibiting PP2A activity and promotes the formation of tumor in vivo. To sum up, the survival rate of patients with positive CIP2A expression was significantly different from the negative patients [25]. It was observed that the CIP2A is expressed at low levels in most tissues not malignant, but is elevated in malignant cells by stabilizing the MYC protein by inhibition of PP2A activity and thus promotes tumor formation in vivo. CIP2A protein is expressed mainly in the cytoplasm and nucleus of cells from gastric cancer [23-26].

H. pylori infection and expression of CIP2A

Infection by H. pylori has cancerous relationship in the development of gastric cancer. In addition, it was shown that patients with gastric cancer very young (30 years) are less likely to become infected with H. pylori and have less exposure to environmental toxins, suggesting that hereditary factors may be more important than the H. pylori infection in tumorigenesis [27,28].

Chen et al. [20] still correlated to H. pylori infection and expression of CIP2A. The H. pylori infection is today considered a risk factor for gastric cancer. The continuing colonization of H. pylori in the stomach leads to a high risk of peptic ulcers and gastric cancer. It was discovered that the positive rate of CIP2A was much more prominent in the Hp-positive group compared to the Hp-negative group (P=0.009), suggesting that the H. pylori infection correlates with excessive expression of c-MYC, inducing the tumorigenesis of gastric mucosa. Thus, CIP2A gene may play a role in H. pylori infection related to gastric carcinogenesis.

Antigen MAGE-A and NY-ESO-1

Kerkar et al. [29] studied two antigens of testicular cancer, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in New York-1 (NY-ESO-1) and the family of the antigens of melanoma (MAGE), which represent promising immunotherapy targets due to the low expression of these antigens in not malignant tissue. Was found a significantly higher expression of MAGE-A (>50% in tumor cells) compared to NY-ESO-1 in various carcinomas. Only two stained not carcinomas to MAGE-the thyroid follicular cancer and kidney cancer. In summary, MAGE-A is widely expressed in various typical histology of cancer prevalence and mortality. In addition, the statistical analysis showed that most of the cancers evaluated has an expression MAGE-significantly higher than the NY-ESO-1 [30].

Thus, Kerkar et al. concluded that testicular cancer antigens represent immunotherapeutics ideal targets due to your restricted expression in normal tissue combined with high expression in malignantly transformed cells. MAGE-A is more widely expressed that NY-ESO-1 in a wide range of common carcinomas. Despite the classical vision of that, NY-ESO-1 is
a promising target for immunotherapy; the study agrees that the NY-ESO-1 is not highly expressed in common carcinomas. The highest percentage of positivity of NY-ESO-1 is observed in gastric adenocarcinomas, present in seven patients of 50 cases of positive staining (14%) [29].

CA 72-4, CA 125 and radical resection

Zhou et al. [31] retrospectively reviewed all gastric cancer resections in patients undergoing surgical procedures 4671 and endoscopies carried out between 2004 to 2014. The worst prognostic factors resulting from included high levels of CA72-4, CA 125, positive resection margin and tumors in stage pII-pIV. The 5-year survival rate was significantly higher in patients with radical resection than those without this type of resection. Early detection of elevated serum levels of CA72-4, CA-125 and radical resection rather than palliative, may raise the rates of survival, especially for those with family history [31-33].

AEG-1 oncogene as prognostic biomarker

Luo Y et al. studied the gene-1 astrocytic elevation (AEG-1), also known as metaderin (MTDH) that was first identified in 2002 as a new protein induced in astrocytes primary human infected by human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV) -1 and factor tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) [34].

The AEG-1 gene is an oncogene, located on chromosome 8q22 region, and noted that the high expression your promoted proliferation, tumor progression or metastases in multiple carcinomas such as gastric cancer, neuroblastoma, breast cancer, prostate cancer and malignant glioma [35]. In addition, the AEG-1 can activate multiple molecular mechanisms to carry out its functions, including the nuclear factor κ-B (NF-κB), Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) and c-Myc, Wnt/b-catenin signaling pathway/GSK3b/C-MYC in GC [36]. In summary, the AEG-1 is a potential target to cure gastrointestinal cancer and clinical studies will be required in developing medicines AEG-1 inhibitors in order to explore therapeutic and prognostic value as your new biomarker tumor [38].

Macrophages associated with tumors (TAM)

Kim et al. [41] demonstrated that the tumor microenvironment plays a crucial role in many malignant tumors, and involves several factors, including immune cells, fibroblasts, blood vessels, extracellular matrix and soluble factors, among which, the macrophages are the immune most abundant populations. The main functions and characteristics of macrophages associated with tumors (TAM) previously have been studied by many researchers. In general, the TAMs release numerous factors such as cytokines, chemokines and growth factors that influence the behaviors of the tumor cells [42]. It is considered that monocytes have a functional and phenotypic plasticity that allows them to differentiate into two states of polarization-macrophages M1 and M2-depending on the kind of tumor microenvironment in immune [43].

Macrophages induced by cytokines of the Th1 type (M1) as interferon-γ and microbial stimuli, such as lipopolysaccharides, produce pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and reactive nitrogen intermediates/oxygen. Thus, these cells are involved in antimicrobial activity and tumoricidal [40,41].

In contrast, alternatively activated macrophages (M2) are induced by Th2 cytokines, including interleukin-4 (IL-4), IL-10 and IL-13 and show immunoregulatory activity, anti-inflammatory and promoter of tumors [43].

In general, the TAMs are considered more alike with the phenotype M2 when compared to the M1. Therefore, the TAMs are associated with reduced survival of cancer patients promoting invasion, metastasis, angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis.
In fact, the TAMs were related to reduced survival of patients with many solid tumors (testicles, ovarian, melanoma, lung, endometrium, breast and kidney) [44]. However, several other studies in gastric carcinoma and colon-rectal cancers showed a better prognosis in patients with high density of TAM, which indicates that the functional role of these can be different depending on the type of fabric and, therefore, the type of cancer in which are enabled [41,42].

Cancer resectable score

Qian et al. [45] developed a prognostic scoring system simple and reliable for gastric cancer (GC) treated with the D2 lymphadenectomy is associated with gastrectomy combined with adjuvant chemotherapy. A classification system of risk assessment of prognosis of three classes was established by integrating levels of hemoglobin, CEA in the serum, preoperative postoperative state of lymphovascular invasion (LVI) and lymph nodes (NRL) [45]. This system can identify the subsets of high-risk patients of stage II or III which will be forwarded for more intensive treatment, which has potential benefits of chemotherapy based on paclitaxel or oxaliplatin before chemotherapy administration adjuvant. Therefore, the scoring system with the three model classes is recommended to predict the prognosis [46].

Although the benefit of gastrectomy for patients with resectable GC is clear and that some kind of neoadjuvancy, perioperative chemotherapy or adjuvant therapy is necessary to improve the survival of patients, there is no international consensus on the best approach, resulting in different guidelines that vary between countries and regions [41-43]. One of the key findings of this study is that the current scoring system identified patients with different long-term forecasts inside every pTNM stage (I-III), suggesting a series of high-risk patients are underestimated using only the classification of pTNM. These high-risk subgroups eventually benefit from a more intensive postoperative treatment [44,45].

To evaluate the role of prognostic score in several adjuvant chemotherapy regimens was also examined the difference in survival of chemotherapy of paclitaxel (Taxol), oxaliplatin and cisplatin by the model A and model B. The results showed patients who received paclitaxel showed better results, but only in the high-scoring group. No difference was observed in the group of low-scoring [39-42]. In model B, patients in the high-risk group also seemed to benefit from chemotherapy based on paclitaxel or oxaliplatin, but not in the low and intermediate risk groups. In addition, patients in low and high risk groups have not reached any survival benefit when subjected to cisplatin-based chemotherapy in models A and B [44-46].

The prognostic value of number of cytotoxic agents was also examined in the model A and model B. The triple chemotherapy correlated with a better prognosis compared to duplicate therapy or monotherapy, but again only in high-risk subgroups according to the models A and B [45-47].

Natural therapy

In relation to natural treatments, Gao et al. evaluated the herbs chinese medicines (CHM), in the treatment of stage IV gastric adenocarcinoma. The average survival was higher in patients who made use of the CHM to the detriment of those who did not, from 18 months to 9 months [48]. Of the 294, 13 were correlated with favorable results, acting on some targets the proliferation of epidermal growth receptor, fibroblast growth factor, proliferating cell nuclear antigen and metastasis process cancer in the families of collagen, fibronectin 1 and matrix metalloproteinases [47-50].

Conclusion

The prognosis and mortality rate of gastric adenocarcinoma is closely related to the expression of tumor markers and study specific oncogenes in this review. That is, genes such as E-cadherin, HER-2 receptor, the Integrin ανβ6, MMP-9 and CIP2A are directly involved in oncogenes of gastric cancer. The KAI1 and the MACC1, on the other hand, relate to the prognosis and severity of the disease, and therefore of important research. The studies of tumors associated with macrophages (TAMs) has your importance as it draws attention of the role of the macrophages activated in tumor genesis by promoting the theory that inflammatory cytokines influence the behavior and growth of tumor cells. Already the AEG-1 is an important prognostic biomarker, as it brings to your positivity, an indication of possible metastatic involvement and lymph node invasion. Worth mentioning also the MAGE-A antigens and NY-ESO-1 immunotherapy in promising, for your high expression in tissues, including malignant carcinomas definitely acid.

You can't forget the importance of radical resection of tumor lesion when the serum levels of CA 72-4 and CA 125 are elevated in patients with positive family history for gastric neoplasm, since it was considered a superior conduct to the palliative resection. In addition, finally, essential to recall the value diagnosis of H. pylori infection in the digestive epithelium, a significant role for attacker, mutagenic and predictor of potential gastric cancer lesion. Therefore, the identification and determination of these receptors/markers in therapeutic and research wins predictive of disease, since it helps the clinical and pathophysiological understanding, supporting the alternative therapy to be employed.
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