Diurnal Variation of Trace Gas Fluxes during the Vegetative Lag Phase in Furrow-Irrigated Rice Production
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Abstract

Studies on diurnal variations of greenhouse gas (GHG) fluxes in rice (Oryza sativa L.) production systems have been limited to flooded, or variations of flooded, soil conditions. This study aimed to quantify hourly CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O fluxes over a period of 20 hours and the effects of site position (up-, mid-, and down-slope) and tillage practice (conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT)) during the vegetative lag phase in a furrow-irrigated rice field on a silt-loam soil in eastern Arkansas. In July 2018 and 2019, using the closed-chamber method, gas sample collection occurred at 1600, 2000, and 2400 hours on the first day and at 0400, 0800, and 1200 hours the second day, for a total of six gas collections at 4-h intervals over a 20-h time period. Hourly CO₂-fluxes differed (P < 0.005) among year-position-sample time treatment combination, while hourly CH₄ and N₂O fluxes differed (P < 0.001) among year-tillage-position-sample time combinations. Temporal analyses showed peak maxima occurred in the late afternoon and minima occurred in the early morning for all the GHGs. Global warming potential was highly variable among chambers, differed (P < 0.001) among year-site position combinations, and was numerically greatest at the down-slope position in 2019. Environmental factors and agricultural practices showed to have temporal and significant effects on the production and release of the GHGs. Results from the current study can provide useful tools to address mitigation practices that aim to reduce the environmental impact in rice fields, while attempting to maintain optimal production.

Keywords

Diurnal fluxes, Tillage, Global warming potential, Furrow-irrigated rice

Introduction

Analysis of greenhouse gas (GHG) budgets, particularly carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and nitrous oxide (N₂O), provides important information on where over- or under-estimations are more prominent and how to improve current methods to enhance the reliability of GHG budget estimations [1-5]. Total CO₂ emissions in 2019 amounted to 11.5 Gt of C per year, which were partitioned between fossil-fuel burning (81%) and land-use changes (19%) [1].

The estimated global CH₄ emissions between 2000 and 2012 were 550 CH₄ Tg yr⁻¹, which represented only 4% of global anthropogenic GHG emissions, but contributed more than 20% to the additional radiative forcing in the troposphere since the preindustrial era [6]. Agricultural activities, specifically livestock and rice (Oryza sativa L.) cultivation, fossil fuel usage, and waste sectors, such as landfill sites, constitute the main human activities responsible for the increase in CH₄ concentrations in the lower atmosphere [6].

Nitrous oxide represents the third most relevant contributor to radiative forcing in the atmosphere [3]. With 17 Tg yr⁻¹ globally, N₂O represents slightly more than 6% of global GHG emissions but constitutes more than 17% of the total radiative forcing [7]. Recent emissions from the agricultural sector alone have been estimated around 5.8 Tg N₂O yr⁻¹ [8], although intergovernmental panel on climate change (IPCC) analyses reported slightly lower emissions (i.e., 5.3 Tg N₂O yr⁻¹) [7].
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Greenhouse gas fluxes are not spatially or temporally constant and are affected by many factors. Consequently, many biases and uncertainties in global GHG budgets have been evaluated at the local level, specifically in sampling protocols and temporal variations of GHG fluxes [9]. Emissions of CO₂ from terrestrial ecosystems have been evaluated in different biomes, although agricultural fields, specifically rice production systems, are still lacking complete and accurate datasets to improve the reliability of emissions estimations and interpolations [10]. At the regional level, micrometeorological and chamber-based, direct field measurement methods are considered more suitable than top-down models to estimate total emissions (i.e., CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O) from cropping systems [9]. However, spatial and temporal variability issues related to the chamber-based technique commonly lead to an overestimation of season-long emissions [9]. Often times, GHG flux measurements over a 1-h time period have been used to interpolate between weekly samplings to estimate season-long emissions [11-14], making the choice of location in a field, time of day, and measurement duration important to capture GHG fluxes representing daily averages rather than minima or maxima.

Studies on diurnal variations of GHG fluxes in rice production systems have been limited to flood-irrigated, or variations of flooded, soil conditions [12], particularly in Arkansas, which is consistently the leading rice-producing state in the US [15]. Soil submergence in a flood-irrigated rice field can lead to significant reductions in CO₂ fluxes and emissions compared to upland crop production [10]. Reports on daily CO₂ fluxes in rice paddies generally describe a decreasing trend during daytime followed by an increasing trend during nighttime [16].

Daily variations in flooded rice paddies generally showed minimum CH₄ fluxes in the early morning and maximum fluxes in the late afternoon [17]. Given the wide daily oscillations of fluxes, hourly measurements that are assumed to represent daily flux averages can under- or over-estimate actual CH₄ release [18]. Summarizing the general patterns observed in previous studies, the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) created a series of recommendations for the closed-chamber method in the analysis of daily and seasonal CH₄ fluxes from flooded rice paddies, suggesting the time periods between 0600 and 0800 and 1300 and 1500 hours as ideal to capture the baseline flux intended to represent the daily average flux [19]. In Arkansas, Brye, et al. (2017) evaluated diurnal CH₄ fluxes as affected by cultivar in a delayed-flood rice production system. Results showed that texture and rice growth stage can affect the time of the day when maximum, minimum, and daily average CH₄ fluxes are measured [20]. Late morning to mid-day was suggested as the optimal time window to measure the mean daily CH₄ flux [20].

Similar to CO₂ and CH₄, the sampling frequency for N₂O can alter the measured variation of fluxes [21]. Weekly hourly samplings are often used to interpolate between and estimate season-long emissions [11-14] with more intensified sampling frequency following certain events, like fertilization, that can cause peak of N₂O fluxes [21]. In most environments, N₂O formation is influenced by available nitrogen (N), carbon (C), and soil oxygen (O₂) concentrations and temperature [22]. On a daily basis, soil N and C are not expected to vary greatly, while O₂ concentration and temperature follow diurnal fluctuations that are strongly affected by rainfall events and irrigation applications [22]. Sampling interval and measurement time of the day represent the two main issues with accurate N₂O emissions estimates [9]. Long sampling intervals can misrepresent long-term variation and episodic events, increasing the potential impact of outliers [9]. Sampling protocols for N₂O suggest weekly gas collection, avoiding the time period between 1100 and 2000 hours when peak daily fluxes and largest flux variations have been reported [9]. Furthermore, N₂O fluxes measured at 1000, 1900, and 2000 hours represented intermediate measurements, but close to the daily flux average [22]. Recommendations indicate the time window between 0800 and 1200 hours as ideal to capture the daily N₂O flux average [22].

Though most of the prior work on diurnal variations in GHG fluxes from rice production have been conducted for CH₄ from flooded-soil conditions, for a variety of reasons, different water regimes other than flood-irrigation have been developed for rice production in the past decade, namely furrow-irrigation [10,23]. Della Lunga, et al. (2020b) recently reported on the differential soil moisture and oxidation-reduction (redox) potential conditions that occur throughout a production-scale, furrow-irrigated rice field in east-central Arkansas. Though constant-flooded conditions facilitate CH₄ emissions, with little to no CO₂ or N₂O emissions, frequent soil moisture oscillations between saturated and near-saturated conditions facilitate CO₂ and N₂O emissions, with little to no CH₄ emissions [13,24]. Consequently, the spatially variable soil moisture and redox conditions associated with furrow-irrigated rice production may create an environment where diurnal variations in GHG fluxes may differ from those measured under flooded-soil conditions, thus warranting investigation.

To date, no studies have simultaneously measured CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O fluxes at various periods in the diurnal cycle in a furrow-irrigated production system in Arkansas or in the US. Therefore, the main objective of this study was to simultaneously quantify hourly CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O fluxes at multiple intervals over a period of 20 hours in a furrow-irrigated rice field on a silt-loam soil in eastern Arkansas during the vegetative lag phase. A secondary objective was to evaluate the effects of site position (up-, mid-, and down-slope) and tillage practice (conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT)) on CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O fluxes measured at multiple intervals over the same 20-hour period and estimated GHG emissions. It was hypothesized that GHG fluxes will differ over the diurnal cycle, with greater CO₂, N₂O and CH₄ fluxes in the middle of the day than in the early morning or nighttime hours, due to the greater air temperatures in the second half of the day. It was also hypothesized that hourly fluxes, and resulting daily CH₄ emissions estimates, will be largest at the down-slope position due to the prevalence of anaerobic conditions [24]; hourly fluxes and daily N₂O emissions estimates will be largest at the up-slope position due to the prevalence of aerobic conditions [24]; and hourly fluxes and
daily CO₂ emissions estimates will be largest at the mid-slope position due to frequent alternating wet and dry cycles [24]. In addition, it was hypothesized that hourly fluxes and daily emissions for the three gases combined will be greater under NT than CT due to the greater availability of C and N substrate from the presence of crop residues.

Materials and Methods

Site description

Research was conducted at the University of Arkansas Rice Research and Extension Center (RREC) (34.46 °N, -91.46 °W) near Stuttgart, AR in Arkansas County in July 2018 and 2019. The study area was approximately 400-m long and 12-m wide, with an average slope of 2% oriented in the north-south direction. DeWitt silt loam (fine, smectitic, thermic Typical Albaqualfs) [25,26] is mapped throughout the study area that has been under cultivated agriculture for at least 15 years [24,27].

The climate in the region is warm and moist and is classified as Humid Subtropical (Cfa) by the Koppen Classification System [28]. Within the study region, the 30-yr (1981-2010) mean annual air temperature is 16.5 °C, while the 30-yr mean annual precipitation is 125.2 cm [29]. Air temperature, relative humidity, and barometric pressure data were measured during each gas sampling procedure using a portable meteorological station (S/N: 182090284, Control Company, Webster, TX). Evapotranspiration (ET), rainfall, and solar radiation data were obtained from a micrometeorological weather station (S/N: 182090284, Control Company, Webster, TX). Gas samples were analyzed with a Shimadzu GC-2014 ATFSPL 20-minute intervals (0, 20, 40, 60 minutes) over a 1-h period. Following procedure used in previous studies [11-13], gas samples were collected at 20-minute intervals (0, 20, 40, 60 minutes) over a 1-h period. Gas samples were analyzed with a Shimadzu GC-2014 ATFSPL 115V gas chromatograph (GC; Shimadzu North America/ Shimadzu Scientific Instruments, Inc., Columbia, MD) using a flame ionization detector for CH₄ and CO₂ and an electron capture detector for N₂O. Gas sample analyses in both years were completed within two weeks of sample collection in the study area was prepared with CT, while an adjacent 6-m-wide strip was installed in the major pipeline to which the irrigation system was connected. Irrigation water freely flowed into and out of the base collars through four holes drilled into the bottoms of each collar [14]. Base collars were installed such that each collar contained portions of two rice rows [14].

Gas sampling and analyses

After rice emergence each growing season, three, 30-cm-diameter, 30-cm-tall, poly vinyl chloride base collars were installed on top of the raised beds in each of the six site position-tillage treatment combinations within the study area, for a total of 18 base collars for GHG measurements [14]. Base collars were manually installed in every other bed to a depth of 12 cm [14]. Irrigation water freely flowed into and out of the base collars through four holes drilled into the bottoms of each collar [14]. Base collars were installed such that each collar contained portions of two rice rows [14].

Gas sampling occurred on 23 and 24 July in 2018 and 2019, which corresponded to 67 and 68 and 84 and 85 days after planting (DAP), respectively, each year. The stage of the plants during gas sampling, the vegetative lag phase, was selected for the slow growth rate, characteristics of the period of the growing season between vegetative and reproductive stages [31]. Gas sample collection occurred at 1600, 2000, and 2400 hours on the first day and at 0400, 0800, and 1200 hours the second day, for a total of six gas collections at 4-h intervals over a 20-h time period. Following procedure used in previous studies [11-13], gas samples were collected at 20-minute intervals (0, 20, 40, 60 minutes) over a 1-h period. Gas samples were analyzed with a Shimadzu GC-2014 ATFSPL 115V gas chromatograph (GC; Shimadzu North America/ Shimadzu Scientific Instruments, Inc., Columbia, MD) using a flame ionization detector for CH₄ and CO₂ and an electron capture detector for N₂O. Gas sample analyses in both years were completed within two weeks of sample collection in the study area was planted with a similar hybrid cultivar 214-Gemini (RiceTec). After planting each year, the study area was mechanically broadcast-amended with 101 kg ha⁻¹ of potassium as muriate of potash and 67 kg ha⁻¹ of phosphorous applied as diammonium phosphate, while 168 kg ha⁻¹ of N applied as coated urea were applied at the 4- to 5-leaf stage.
field. Additional gas sample vials were prepared and tested over a period of three weeks to ensure that no leaks occurred once gas samples were injected in the vials [27].

Following procedure used in previous studies [11-13], hourly fluxes were calculated based on linear regressions conducted across the four gas concentrations measured over the 1-h sampling period and GHG emissions for the 20-h measurement period, referred to hereafter as daily emissions, were estimated by summing linear interpolations between measured hourly fluxes. Only measured fluxes ≥ 0 were considered for this study [11-13].

Measurements of CO₂ fluxes and calculated emissions in the current study were intended to represent the net sum of soil respiration from roots and microbes, dark plant respiration, and CO₂ uptake from photosynthesis without distinction among processes. Global warming potential (GWP) was determined on a chamber-by-chamber basis using conversion factors of 298 and 34 for N₂O and CH₄, respectively, to obtain CO₂ equivalents [13]. Additional gas sampling and analysis details were reported in Della Lunga, et al. [14].

Statistical analyses

Following similar analyses used recently [24,27], based on a split-split-plot design, a four-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted using PROC GLIMMIX in SAS (version 9.4, SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC), with a gamma data distribution, to evaluate the effects of year (2018 and 2019), tillage (NT and CT), site position (up-, mid-, and down-slope), sampling time (1600, 2000, 2400, 0400, 0800, and 1200 hours), and their interactions on estimated daily CO₂, CH₄, N₂O emissions. Each of the three replicate measurements within the six tillage-site position treatment combinations were assumed to represent independent observations. Raw residuals for each analyzed variable were plotted and visually evaluated for degree of skewedness. Significant treatment effects were judged at P < 0.05. When appropriate, means were separated by least significant difference at the 0.05 level.

Results and Discussion

Meteorological and environmental conditions

Characterization of meteorological parameters depicted similar conditions in the 2018 and 2019 rice growing seasons (Table 1). The difference in the length of the growing seasons (122 days in 2018 and 131 days in 2019) along with the slightly different characteristics of the planted cultivars [32] determined the timing of similar growing stages, such as the lag vegetative phase, at different temporal points in the two growing seasons. Although the 2019 growing season was overall wetter than the 2018 growing season, during the three days preceding the gas samplings, the study area in 2018 received eight times more rainfall than in 2019 (Table 1) [24]. Due to lower rainfall in 2019, irrigation was applied during the day preceding (83 DAP) and during the morning of the first day (84 DAP) of the GHG sampling, while, in 2018, the study area did not receive any irrigation during the 48 hours preceding or during GHG sampling (Table 1).

Air temperature, air pressure, solar radiation, and evapotranspiration showed similar ranges in both growing seasons, reinforcing the validity of the result of the current study (Table 1).
environmental parameters were considered, in both years, the up- and mid-slope positions never reached saturation (i.e., ~0.54 and 0.56 cm$^3$ cm$^{-3}$, respectively), while the down-slope position was considered in saturated conditions due to the extended presence of ponded water.

Soil temperature was similar among the three site positions in both growing seasons (Figure 1). In 2018, soil temperature ranged from 25 to 31 °C, from 24 to 33 °C, and from 26 to 29 °C in the up-, mid-, and down-slope positions, respectively, while, in 2019, soil temperature ranged from 21 to 32 °C, from 22 to 33 °C, and from 23 to 33 °C in the up-, mid-, and down-slope positions, respectively (Figure 1). During the 24-hour period of gas sampling, soil temperature oscillated between values entirely within the optimal range (20 to 30 °C) for microbial activity [34,35]. Soil redox potential in 2018 ranged from -287 to -419 mV at the down-slope position and from 26 to 83 mV, from 96 to 126 mV, and from -289 to -352 mV in 2019 at the up-, mid-, and down-slope positions, respectively (Figure 1). Irrigation and rainfall events were likely responsible for the difference in VWC between years (Table 1 and Figure 1). Across the 5 days prior to GHG sampling during which

![Figure 1: Soil volumetric water content (VWC), soil temperature (Temp), and soil redox potential (Eh) measured at the 7.5-cm soil depth at different site positions (up-, mid-, down-slope) three days before and during the two days encompassed during sampling procedure at the Rice Research and Extension Center near Stuttgart, AR, during the 2018, 2019 growing season. Values were averaged across tillage treatments.](image-url)
positions, respectively (Figure 1).

In both years, the down-slope position experienced anaerobic (< -150 mV) [36] and reducing conditions during the 5-day period prior to GHG sampling (Figure 1). The negative trend of Eh observed at the down-slope position in 2018 was most likely related to rainfall events and irrigation applications that occurred in the several days prior to GHG collection (Figure 1 and Table 1). Soil Eh at the up- and mid-slope positions in 2019 depicted O₂-deficient (from -150 to 200 mV) conditions for the entire 5-day period prior to GHG sampling (Figure 1) [24,36]. The characterization of environmental parameters, such as soil temperature, VWC, and soil Eh, allows for the delineation of the conditions in which microbial processes, like nitrification, denitrification, or methanogenesis, take place [37,38]. A complete description of the season-long soil VWC, soil temperature, and soil Eh trends for 2018 and 2019 within the study field was described in Della Lunga, et al. [24].

**Hourly fluxes**

The wide variation of hourly GHG fluxes measured in both seasons indicates that several factors, like climate, soil properties, and agricultural practices, are involved in the production and release of GHGs [17]. Hourly CO₂ fluxes differed (P < 0.005) among year-site position-sampling time treatment combinations, while hourly CH₄ and N₂O fluxes differed (P < 0.001) among year-tillage-site position-sampling time combinations (Table 2). 

**CO₂**

Averaged across tillage treatments, hourly CO₂ fluxes, as hypothesized, were greatest for the 2018/mid-slope/1600-hour combination, which did not differ from the 2018/up-slope/1600-hour combination (Table 2 and Table 3). However, hourly CO₂ fluxes were lowest for the 2019/up-slope/0400-hour combination, which did not differ from the 2019/mid-slope/0400-, 2018/up- and mid-slope/0800-, and the 2019/ up-slope/2400-hour combinations (Table 2 and Table 3). The combination of large air temperature during the mid-day and the greater number of wet-dry cycles at the mid-slope position [24] likely enhanced soil respiration and CO₂ release [14].

Among the environmental parameters, air temperature variation and solar radiation oscillations likely played a fundamental role in enhancing or reducing CO₂ fluxes (Figure 2). Temporal CO₂ flux tended to decrease from 1600 one day to 0800 hours the next day and tended to increase from 0800 to 1200 hours in both years (Figure 1 and Figure 2). Although not clearly evident in this study, a bimodal pattern of CO₂ fluxes in rice fields has been described in previous studies [39]. Many C₄ plants, including rice, showed a mid-day depression of photosynthesis, related to an increase in photorespiration due to photoinhibition and reduced stomatal conductance [39]. However, large-yielding hybrid rice showed a greater resistance to photoinhibition due to the capacity to maintain large photosynthetic rates even at large solar irradiance and air temperatures [40].

Generally, CO₂ fluxes closely followed the daily soil temperature oscillations (Figure 2). In a study conducted in 2008 in Japan, continuous measurements for CO₂ were taken during the entirety of the growing season in rice paddies characterized with a clay-loam topsoil [10].

Table 2: Analysis of variance summary of the effects of year (2018 and 2019), tillage (conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT)), site position (up-, mid-, down-slope), sampling time (1600, 2000, 2400, 0400, 0800, and 1200 hours), and their interactions on carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and nitrous oxide (N₂O) fluxes measured from a silt-loam soil during the 2018 and 2019 rice growing seasons at the Rice Research and Extension Center near Stuttgart, AR.

| Source of variation       | CO₂      | CH₄      | N₂O      |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Year                      | 0.068    | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Tillage                   | 0.051    | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Year x tillage            | 0.318    | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Position                  | 0.020    | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Year x position           | 0.003    | 0.018    | < 0.001  |
| Position x tillage        | 0.071    | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Year x tillage x position | 0.960    | 0.004    | 0.516    |
| Time                      | < 0.001  | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Year x time               | < 0.001  | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Tillage x time            | 0.641    | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Position x time           | < 0.001  | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Year x tillage x time     | 0.379    | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Year x position x time    | 0.005    | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Tillage x position x time | 0.726    | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Year x tillage x position x time | 0.293 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
Results showed small diurnal variations during the submergence of the soil with negative fluxes (i.e., CO$_2$ uptake) during nighttime [10]. However, during the draining period in the middle of the growing season, a significant correlation between CO$_2$ efflux and soil temperature was observed, although a lag time between daily maximum temperature and daily maximum CO$_2$ flux was present [10]. In a multi-approach study conducted on rice paddies in China in 2009, canopy CO$_2$ fluxes closely followed PAR variations, with maximum fluxes measured around noon [41]. Results showed that light intensity constituted a main controlling factor of CO$_2$ uptake via photosynthesis [41]. However, the relevance of light in CO$_2$ fluxes was shown to decline with rice plants’ development towards senescence [41].

In a furrow-irrigated system in eastern Arkansas, along the predominant slope, multiple environmental settings are present, affecting the potential control of meteorological conditions on GHG fluxes [24]. Of the 12 measurements per site position across years and sampling times, the up- and mid-slope positions significantly differed only for the 2019/NT/down-slope/2400-, 0400-, 0800, and 1200-hour combinations, while in all other treatment combinations there was no difference between the up- and mid-slope position (Table 3). In contrast, a significant difference between up- and mid-slope positions occurred in 50% of the treatment combinations across years and sampling times (Table 3). Generally, within a site position, the down-slope position experienced fewer significant differences than the up- and mid-slope positions, likely due to the presence, although not continuous, of ponded water (Table 3). The presence of the flood at the down-slope position, in conjunction with the specific heat of water, likely maintained narrower soil temperature fluctuations, particularly in 2018, than at the up- and mid-slope position, which affected CO$_2$ production and release (Table 3 and Figure 1) [24].

The adaptive capacity of the different hybrid cultivars grown each year likely contributed to the absence of CO$_2$ flux differences between tillage treatments (Table 2). The hybrid cultivars developed in the last decade have enhanced growth under different tillage treatments and water regimes [42]. Tillage practices increase the availability of soil organic carbon (SOC) that can be oxidized by increasing the surface area for microbial action [43]. The mechanical agitation during tillage practices destroys the aggregation of particles that were protecting organic material from further decomposition [43]. In a study conducted in West Africa on loamy soils cultivated with the same rice variety, CO$_2$ fluxes and emissions were two times greater in fields with CT than with NT practices early in the growing season; however, by two weeks after tilling, CO$_2$ emissions from the two tillage treatments did not differ [43]. The more advanced growth stage in the season during which GHG fluxes were assessed could have masked the early season tillage effect on production and release of CO$_2$.

CH$_4$

Hourly CH$_4$ flux trends somewhat differed from those for CO$_2$ and appeared to be less related to soil temperature fluctuations (Table 3 and Figure 2). The decreasing temporal trend at the down-slope position in 2019 was likely related to the decreasing VWC recorded throughout the entire study area during the 24-hour period prior sampling (Figure 1 and Figure 2). Hourly CH$_4$ fluxes, as hypothesized, were largest for the 2019/NT/down-slope/1600-hour combination and did not differ from that for the 2019/NT/down-slope/2000-, 2400-, 0400-, 0800, and 1200-hour combinations. The presence of the flood at the down-slope position in 2019 was likely related to the decreasing VWC recorded throughout the entire study area during the 24-hour period prior sampling (Figure 1 and Figure 2). Hourly CH$_4$ fluxes, as hypothesized, were largest for the 2019/NT/down-slope/1600-hour combination and did not differ from that for the 2019/NT/down-slope/2000-, 2400-, 0400-, 0800, and 1200-hour combinations. The presence of the flood at the down-slope position in 2019 was likely related to the decreasing VWC recorded throughout the entire study area during the 24-hour period prior sampling (Figure 1 and Figure 2). Hourly CH$_4$ fluxes, as hypothesized, were largest for the 2019/NT/down-slope/1600-hour combination and did not differ from that for the 2019/NT/down-slope/2000-, 2400-, 0400-, 0800, and 1200-hour combinations.

Table 3: Summary of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) fluxes measured from a silt-loam soils at the Rice Research and Extension Center near Stuttgart, AR across years (2018 and 2019), sampling times (1600, 2000, 2400, 0400, 0800, and 1200 hours), and site positions (up-, mid-, and down slope). Reported values are least square means.

| Year | Time | Up | Mid | Down |
|------|------|----|-----|------|
|      |      | mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ |     |      |
| 2018 | 1600 | 2092 AB† | 2542 A | 1761 B-D |
|      | 2000 | 1197 F-K | 1548 C-F | 1169 G-L |
|      | 2400 | 1017 H-N | 1276 E-I | 971 J-O |
|      | 400  | 894 L-O  | 950 K-O | 878 M-O |
|      | 800  | 784 N-Q  | 784 N-Q | 884 M-O |
|      | 1200 | 1528 C-F | 1573 B-F | 1412 D-G |
| 2019 | 1600 | 1463 C-G | 1858 BC | 1935 BC |
|      | 2000 | 886 L-O  | 993 I-O | 1659 B-E |
|      | 2400 | 770 O-Q  | 1017 H-N | 1371 D-H |
|      | 400  | 611 Q    | 636 PQ  | 1157 G-M |
|      | 800  | 883 M-O  | 861 NO  | 1240 F-K |
|      | 1200 | 801 N-P  | 1290 E-H | 1270 F-I |
†Means across years, times, and site positions with different letters are different at P < 0.05.
height combinations and from the 2019/CT/down-slope/1600-hour combination (Table 4). The lowest hourly \( \text{CH}_4 \) flux was recorded for the 2018/NT/up-slope/0800-hour combination and did not differ from the 2018/NT/mid-slope/1200- and 2019/NT/mid-slope/0800-hour combinations (Table 4). The greater magnitude of hourly fluxes observed at the down-slope position in 2019 was likely related to the relatively continuous presence of ponded water that created ideal conditions for anaerobic environments and methanogenesis [24].

Spatial and temporal \( \text{CH}_4 \) flux variability has been often associated with the complex nature of the soil environment [17]. Previous studies in rice paddies reported maximum daily \( \text{CH}_4 \) fluxes between 1100 and 1500 hours [44], 1600 and 1900 hours [45], and between 2000 and 2200 hours [46]. Methanogenesis and methanotrophic processes can be directly and indirectly affected by air, soil, and water temperature, and plant eco-physiological characteristics [18]. The driving factors for \( \text{CH}_4 \) production can vary hourly, weekly, and/or seasonally, leading to wide \( \text{CH}_4 \) flux variations [18].

In a study conducted at the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI) on diurnal GHG variations in rice paddies on clay soils, \( \text{CH}_4 \) fluxes were strongly correlated \( (r = 0.78) \) to 7.5 cm depth soil temperature [47]. However, in a study on a paddy rice field in Japan, diurnal \( \text{CH}_4 \) fluxes were significantly correlated to 2-cm soil temperature, but not to 10 cm soil temperature [47]. In contrast to the results of the current study, Brye, et al. (2017) reported maximum \( \text{CH}_4 \) fluxes at night and minimum fluxes during late afternoon in a study conducted at the RREC in 2014 on a silt-loam soil under
delayed-flood rice production practices. In the current study, the combination of soil temperature and particularly soil Eh likely played fundamental roles in CH$_4$ flux variations among site positions (Figure 1 and Figure 2). Mid-morning-measured CH$_4$ fluxes examined over the entire 2018 and 2019 growing seasons were indeed strongly correlated with soil Eh ($r = -0.59$) [14].

**N$_2$O**

Hourly N$_2$O fluxes showed somewhat opposite trends compared to CH$_4$ fluxes (Table 4 and Figure 2). As hypothesized, hourly N$_2$O fluxes were largest from the 2018/NT/up-slope/1600-hour treatment combination, which did not differ from the 2018/CT/mid-slope/1600-hour combination, while the lowest hourly N$_2$O flux occurred from the 2019/NT/down-slope/1600-hour combination, which did not differ from the 2018/NT/down-slope/0800-, the 2019/NT/down-slope/0400-, and the 2019/NT/mid-slope/0800-hour combinations (Table 4). Similar results were obtained in previous studies conducted on fertilized soils in Scotland and in Argentina in 2008, where maximum N$_2$O fluxes were reported at 1500 hours [22]. Generally, the up-slope position, especially in 2018, produced greater N$_2$O fluxes than the mid- and down-slope positions due to relatively consistent aerobic conditions that enhanced nitrification [13,24]. The decreasing N$_2$O fluxes over time at the up-slope position in 2018 were likely due to the decreasing VWC during the diurnal sampling intervals (Figure 1 and Figure 2).

The site position where peak N$_2$O fluxes occurred and the observable temporal trends suggest that VWC and soil temperature, in this order, played a major role in regulating

---

**Table 4:** Methane (CH$_4$) and nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) fluxes from a silt-loam soil at the Rice Research and Extension Center near Stuttgart, AR among years (2018 and 2019), tillage (conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT)), sampling times (1600, 2000, 2400, 0400, 0800, and 1200 hours), and site positions (up-, mid-, down-slope). Reported values are least square means.

| Year | Tillage | Time  | CH$_4$ | N$_2$O |
|------|---------|-------|--------|--------|
|      |         |       | Up     | Mid    | Down   | Up     | Mid    | Down   |
|      |         |       | mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ | mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ |
| 2018 | NT      | 1600  | 0.15' R-W' | 0.04' V-Y | 2.27 E-K | 9.43' A | 1.02 B-H | 0.08' M-W |
|      |         | 2000  | 0.17' Q-V  | 0.03' X-Y | 3.20' D-I | 2.85 BC | 0.28' G-P | 0.01' b-e |
|      |         | 2400  | 0.10' S-Y  | 0.25' N-U | 2.78 E-J | 1.53 B-F | 0.34 F-M | 0.14' K-S |
|      |         | 400   | 0.30' M-T  | 0.08' T-Y | 2.34 E-J | 0.74 C-I | 0.17' I-R | 0.02' V-c |
|      |         | 800   | < 0.01' Z  | 0.21' O-U | 3.78 C-H | 0.37 E-M | 0.09' L-V | < 0.01' f |
|      |         | 1200  | 0.03' W-Y  | < 0.01' Z | 1.52 F-K | 0.41 D-K | 0.18' I-R | 0.05' S-Y |
|      | CT      | 1600  | 0.48 K-S  | 0.31' M-T | 1.51 F-L | 1.61 B-F | 3.74' AB  | < 0.01' e |
|      |         | 2000  | 1.32 G-M  | 0.26' N-U | 1.29 G-M | 0.32' G-N | 1.77 B-D  | 0.12' L-T |
|      |         | 2400  | 0.18' Q-V  | 0.02' Y  | 1.14 G-N | 0.10' L-V | 1.25 B-G  | 0.09' L-V |
|      |         | 400   | 0.17' Q-V  | 0.13' S-X | 0.64 J-R | 0.31' G-O | 0.67 C-J  | 0.01' a-e |
|      |         | 800   | 0.69 I-R  | 0.76 I-Q  | 0.78 I-Q | 0.25' H-Q | 0.40 E-M  | 0.03' S-Z |
|      |         | 1200  | 0.07' T-Y  | 0.17' Q-V | 1.31 G-M | 0.36 E-M | 0.56 D-J  | 0.05' S-Y |
| 2019 | NT      | 1600  | 1.13 G-N  | 0.31' L-T | 35.88' A | 0.03' S-a | 0.08' M-W | < 0.01' f |
|      |         | 2000  | 0.31' M-T  | 0.11' S-X | 29.14' AB | 0.05' Q-Y | 0.03' T-a | 0.01' T-d |
|      |         | 2400  | 0.06' U-Y  | 0.19' P-V | 21.99' AB | < 0.01' c-e | 0.02' U-b | 0.05' S-Y |
|      |         | 400   | 2.55 E-J  | 0.07' T-Y | 17.76' A-C | 0.01' Z-e | 0.02' X-d | < 0.01' f |
|      |         | 800   | 0.25' N-U  | < 0.01' Z | 15.49' A-C | 0.03' T-b | < 0.01' f | 1.70 B-E |
|      |         | 1200  | 0.03' XY  | 0.15' R-W | 14.39' A-D | 0.02' W-d | 0.05' S-Y | < 0.01' de |
|      | CT      | 1600  | 0.92 G-P  | 0.88 H-P  | 14.40' A-E | 0.03' S-a | 0.19' I-R | 0.09 C-I |
|      |         | 2000  | 0.06' U-Y  | 0.02' Y  | 6.98' C-F | 0.03' T-a | 0.10' L-V | 0.06' O-X |
|      |         | 2400  | 0.08' T-Y  | 0.15' R-W | 4.25' C-G | < 0.01' e | 0.12' L-T | 0.03' S-a |
|      |         | 400   | 0.08' T-Y  | 0.18' Q-V | 2.82 E-J | 0.06' P-X | 0.12' L-U | 0.07' N-X |
|      |         | 800   | 0.95 G-O  | 1.02 G-N  | 1.78 F-K | 0.10' L-V | 0.11' L-V | 0.20' I-R |
|      |         | 1200  | 0.08' T-Y  | 0.15' R-W | 1.61 F-K | 0.06' Q-Y | 0.15' J-S | 0.03' T-a |

* Means across years, tillage, and site positions with different letters are different at P < 0.05. Due to the large number of treatment combinations, letter notations started with a set of capital letters and continued to a set of lower-case letters if necessary. *An asterisk (*) indicates mean value is different than zero (p < 0.05).
Nitrous oxide flux trends in agricultural fields have been shown to exponentially increase with soil temperature and moisture content due to the expansion of anaerobic zones and to the acceleration of soil respiration [22]. Studies in rice paddies in the Philippines suggested that seasonal N₂O variations were more prominent than diurnal fluctuations, likely due to the large range of daily fluxes due to N₂O hotspot distribution [48]. However, in contrast to results of the current study, an opposite trend was observed in a study conducted on subtropical estuarine wetlands, where N₂O fluxes measured over a 24-hour period increased significantly during the evening hours, reaching a peak around 2300 hours [49].

Using just the raw data acquired from direct measurements, the overall average hourly CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O fluxes (i.e., 1220, 2.88, and 0.44 mg m⁻² h⁻¹, respectively) measured at 4-hour intervals over a 20-hour period was best represented by the fluxes measured at 0800 hours. The overall average hourly CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O fluxes were within 15% of the direct measurements at 0800 hours. These results strengthen the validity of the method used to interpolate among hourly fluxes measured in the early to mid-morning in previous studies [11-13,20]. Additionally, flux measurements made at 2000 hours were intermediate and close to the average hourly fluxes, as observed in previous studies in rice paddies [9,22].

Daily emissions

Greenhouse gas emissions were estimated as interpolations between direct measurements, on a chamber-by-chamber basis, over the 20-hour study period across the two consecutive days each year and are hereafter referred to as daily emissions. Daily CO₂ emissions differed (P = 0.005) among year-site position treatment combinations, daily CH₄ emissions differed among year-tillage (P = 0.038), year-site position (P = 0.011), and tillage-site position (P < 0.001) treatment combinations, while daily N₂O emissions differed among year-tillage-site position (P = 0.046) treatment combinations (Table 5). Global warming potential, as estimated from the sum of the daily CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O emissions on a chamber-by-chamber basis, differed among year-site position treatment combinations (P = 0.001; Table 5).

Averaged across tillage treatments, daily CO₂ emissions were greatest from the 2019/down-slope treatment combination and did not differ from the 2018/up-, mid-, and down-slope treatment combinations (Table 6). More treatment variations were observed in 2019 than in 2018. Daily CO₂ emissions from the up- and mid-slope positions were low compared to all other year-site positions treatment combinations, but did not differ from each other (Table 6). The generally lower VWC throughout the entire 2018 season likely attenuated difference along the predominant slope of the field, while the wetter season in 2019 created a more prominent water movement along the slope and length of the study area, highlighting the difference between the relatively dry up-slope, the relatively moist mid-slope, and the saturated/flooded down-slope position (Table 6) [24].

In contrast to CO₂ emissions, treatment effects on daily CH₄ emissions were more complex (Table 6). Averaged across site positions, daily CH₄ emissions were greatest from the 2019/NT and did not differ from the 2019/CT treatment combination, while the lowest daily CH₄ emissions occurred from the 2018/NT, which did not differ from the 2018/CT treatment combination (Table 6). Although lower VWC was measured during gas sampling at the up- and mid-slope positions in 2019 than in 2018, the generally wetter conditions in 2019, specifically at the down-slope position, created more anaerobic/reducing soil conditions to enhance methanogenic activity [24]. Additionally, the more uniform soil Eh at the down-slope position in 2019 favored CH₄ production and release (Figure 1). The difference between tillage treatments across years was likely related to the numerically greater VWC under CT in 2018 and under NT in 2019 [24].

Averaged across tillage, daily CH₄ emissions were largest from the 2019/down-slope and lowest from the 2018/mid-slope treatment combination, which did not differ from the 2018/up- and 2019/mid-slope treatment combinations (Table 6). Daily CH₄ emissions at the down-slope position represented 93 and 80% of the total CH₄ daily emissions averaged across tillage treatments in 2019 and 2018, respectively (Table 6).

Averaged across years, daily CH₄ emissions were largest from

| Source of variation | CO₂ | CH₄ | N₂O | GWP |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Year                | 0.70 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | 0.013 |
| Tillage             | 0.131 | 0.976 | 0.399 | 0.675 |
| Year x tillage      | 0.308 | 0.038 | 0.321 | 0.654 |
| Position            | 0.186 | < 0.001 | 0.145 | 0.029 |
| Year x position     | 0.005 | 0.111 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Tillage x position  | 0.227 | < 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.661 |
| Year x tillage x position | 0.948 | 0.068 | 0.046 | 0.091 |

Table 5: Analysis of variance summary of the effects of year (2018 and 2019), tillage (conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT)), site position (up-, mid-, and down-slope), and their interactions on daily estimated carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions and global warming potential (GWP) measured from a silt-loam soil during the 2018 and 2019 rice growing season at the Rice Research and Extension Center near Stuttgart, AR.
**Table 6:** Estimated daily carbon dioxide (CO$_2$), methane (CH$_4$), and nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) emissions from a silt-loam soil at the Rice Research and Extension Center near Stuttgart, AR across years (2018 and 2019), tillage [conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT)], and site positions (up-, mid-, and down-slope). Reported values are least square means.

| Gas | Year | Position | Tillage | Emissions (mg CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) |
|-----|------|----------|---------|--------------------------------------|
| CO$_2$ | 2018 | Up | - | 24596 AB† |
|      |      | Mid | - | 28470 A |
|      |      | Down | - | 23351 ABC |
|      | 2019 | Up | - | 18203 C |
|      |      | Mid | - | 21873 BC |
|      |      | Down | - | 28965 A |
| CH$_4$ | 2018 | - | CT | 11.3 BC |
|      |      | - | NT | 7.2 C |
|      | 2019 | - | CT | 17.5 AB |
|      |      | - | NT | 26.8 A |
|      | 2018 | Up | - | 5.5 CD |
|      |      | Mid | - | 3.7 D |
|      |      | Down | - | 36.0 B |
|      | 2019 | Up | - | 10.6 C |
|      |      | Mid | - | 4.6 D |
|      |      | Down | - | 207.8 A |
|      | - | Up | CT | 8.8 C |
|      |      | Mid | - | 6.9 C |
|      |      | Down | - | 45.7 B |
|      | - | Up | NT | 6.5 C |
|      |      | Mid | - | 2.5 D |
|      |      | Down | - | 163.8 A |
| N$_2$O | 2018 | Up | CT | 8.9 BC |
|      |      | Mid | - | 27.1 AB |
|      |      | Down | - | 1.2 DE |
|      |      | Up | NT | 46.5 A |
|      |      | Mid | - | 6.5 C |
|      |      | Down | - | 1.0 DE |
|      | 2019 | Up | CT | 1.0 DE |
|      |      | Mid | - | 2.7 CD |
|      |      | Down | - | 3.4 CD |
|      |      | Up | NT | 0.5 E |
|      |      | Mid | - | 0.6 E |
|      |      | Down | - | 7.1 C |

†Means with different letters within table sections, divided by horizontal lines, are different at $P < 0.05$.

day, the NT/down- and lowest from the NT/mid-slope treatment combination (Table 6). Daily CH$_4$ emissions measured at the down-slope position represented 95 and 74% of the total CH$_4$ emissions averaged across years under NT and CT, respectively (Table 6). The extreme variability in environmental conditions encountered in a furrow-irrigated rice production system, specifically under NT where soil disturbance is reduced, is highlighted by the maximum and minimum daily CH$_4$ emissions that occurred under NT (Table 6).

In contrast to daily CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ emissions, daily N$_2$O emissions varied widely, confirming the dynamic nature of coupled nitrification-denitrification processes often associated with hotspots [13]. Daily N$_2$O emissions were largest from the 2018/NT/up-, which did not differ from the 2018/CT/mid-slope treatment combination, while the lowest daily N$_2$O emissions occurred from the 2019/NT/upt-, which did not differ from the 2019/NT/mid-, 2019/CT/upt-, and 2018/CT/NT/ down-slope treatment combinations (Table 6). The drier 2018 season and the greater aerobic conditions at the up-slope position created more optimal conditions for N$_2$O production and release following nitrification processes [14]. The 2018 growing season generally had numerically greater N$_2$O emissions than the 2019 growing season, likely due to the difference in VWC between the two years [24]. Surprisingly, the down-slope position under NT in 2019 had greater daily N$_2$O emissions than the up- and mid-slope positions (Table 6). The TN content in the NT/down-slope treatment combination (26 Mg ha$^{-1}$) was between 5 and 15% greater than in the other tillage-site position treatment combinations [27]. It is likely the greater TN content in the NT/down-slope treatment combination played a role providing greater substrate for microbial activity [27].

**Table 7:** Estimated daily global warming potential (GWP) from a silt-loam soil at the Rice Research and Extension Center near Stuttgart, AR across years (2018 and 2019), tillage [conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT)], and site positions (up-, mid-, and down-slope). Reported values are least square means.

| Gas | Year | Position | Tillage | Emissions (mg CO$_2$ equivalents m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) |
|-----|------|----------|---------|--------------------------------------|
| GWP | 2018 | Up | - | 32811 A† |
|      | Mid | - | 33653 A |
|      | Down | - | 25118 B |
|      | 2019 | Up | - | 18827 C |
|      | Mid | - | 22538 BC |
|      | Down | - | 40569 A |

†Means with different letters are different at $P < 0.05$.

emissions measured at the up-slope position created more optimal conditions for N$_2$O production and release following nitrification processes [14]. The 2018 growing season generally had numerically greater N$_2$O emissions than the 2019 growing season, likely due to the difference in VWC between the two years [24]. Surprisingly, the down-slope position under NT in 2019 had greater daily N$_2$O emissions than the up- and mid-slope positions (Table 6). The TN content in the NT/down-slope treatment combination (26 Mg ha$^{-1}$) was between 5 and 15% greater than in the other tillage-site position treatment combinations [27]. It is likely the greater TN content in the NT/down-slope treatment combination played a role providing greater substrate for microbial activity [27].

**Daily global warming potential**

The estimated daily GWP from diurnal measurements closely followed the CO$_2$ emissions trends (Table 5, Table 6 and Table 7). The estimated daily GWP was largest for the 2019/down-, which did not differ from the 2018/mid- and up-slope treatment combinations, while estimated daily GWP was lowest for the 2019/up-, which did not differ from the 2019/mid-slope treatment combination (Table 7). The predominance and magnitude of daily CO$_2$ emissions in the GWP estimation cause GWP to vary as daily CO$_2$ emissions varied (Table 5, Table 6 and Table 7). However, results for
estimated daily GWP clearly highlight the relevance of VWC in GHG evaluations. The different rainfall amounts, 36.2 and 59.3 cm in 2018 and 2019, respectively, and irrigation applications, 15 and 12 cm ha⁻¹ in 2018 and 2019, respectively, each year created contrasting environmental conditions between years [24,27].

Implications

The large variety of GHG sources increases the uncertainties in estimating CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O emissions at local and global scales [2]. The current study, using a bottom-up approach, attempted to reduce the level of uncertainties in GHG analyses in the relatively new furrow-irrigated rice production system. Although limited to a specific point in the growing seasons, the data obtained from the current study clearly established that hourly fluxes of GHG (i.e., CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O) behaved similar to what has been reported in rice production systems under flooded conditions [9,20,22]. Although the overestimation issue, characteristic of bottom-up approaches, is still a factor in the current study, results strengthened the reliability of the closed-chamber method and the sampling protocols used to evaluate GHG fluxes and emissions in rice fields [11-13,20]. Environmental factors and agricultural practices clearly showed temporal and significant effects on GHG production and release, underlining the large degree of variability of the microbial community in general in an environment characterized by the coexistence of dry, moist and saturated conditions, such as in a furrow-irrigated rice field.

Conclusions

Based on results from two consecutive growing seasons in a furrow-irrigated rice production system under different tillage treatments in eastern Arkansas, hourly GHG fluxes differed among years, diurnal sampling times, tillage treatments, and site positions, while daily GHG emissions differed among years, tillage treatments, and site positions. Temporal analysis, although limited to a 20-hour period, clearly showed flux maxima in the late afternoon and flux minima in the early morning for CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O, which was tightly connected with environmental parameter trends. Soil temperature and VWC likely drove magnitudes and temporal and spatial variability of hourly CO₂, N₂O, and CH₄ fluxes and daily emissions, while soil Eh played a major role in CH₄ production and release. The optimum measurement time of the day to capture hourly GHG flux averages appeared to be close to the 0800-hour sampling time, strengthening the validity and reliability of the sampling protocols and procedures developed for rice fields under flooded conditions and used for environmental analysis in the relatively new furrow-irrigated rice production system. Results related to GWP suggested that agricultural practices aimed to control soil VWC could be used as effective tools to reduce GHGs emissions and enhance environmental sustainability of rice production.
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