Class C1 decoy oligodeoxynucleotide inhibits profibrotic genes expression in rat hepatic stellate cells
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Abstract. The aim of the present study was to investigate whether class C1 decoy oligodeoxynucleotides (ODNs) can inhibit the expression of pro-fibrotic genes associated with rat hepatic stellate cell (HSC) activation and hepatic fibrosis. Luciferase reporter assays were performed to test the promoter activities of transforming growth factor (TGF)-β and its downstream target genes following transfection of decoy ODNs and plasmids into HSC-T6 cells, and western blot assays were performed to measure the protein expression of those genes following decoy ODN transfection. Class C1 decoy ODNs were confirmed to inhibit the promoter activity of TGF-β and its downstream target genes, such as type I collagen (COLI)α1, tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases (TIMP)1 and α-smooth muscle actin by Gaussia luciferase reporter assay, and to further downregulate the expression of TGF-β, SMAD3, COLIα1 and TIMP1 by western blotting in activated HSC-T6 cells. In conclusion, class C1 decoy ODNs inhibited pro-fibrotic gene expression in rat HSCs by downregulating TGF-β signaling.

Introduction

Hepatic fibrosis is a reversible wound healing process elicited by various damaging factors, such as viruses, parasites and alcohol, all of which lead to liver cell injury accompanied by inflammatory responses. Upon stimulation by a multitude of signals, hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) transform into myofibroblast-like cells, with ensuing excessive production of extracellular matrix (ECM), including type I and type III collagen. Eventually, the disease may progress to liver cirrhosis and even liver cancer (1,2). Therefore, it is crucial to arrest the progression of hepatic fibrosis.

During the process of hepatic fibrosis, the activation of HSCs is fundamental. Once activated, the HSCs transform into myofibroblasts, which characteristically express α-smooth muscle actin (SMA). Furthermore, pro-fibrotic factors are generated, such as transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1 and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs). It was previously demonstrated that TGF-β signaling is key to the development of hepatic fibrosis (3) and it enhances the synthesis of hepatic fibrosis-related proteins, such as type I collagen (COLI)α1, COLIα2 and TIMP1 (4-6). Hence, molecular therapy targeting HSCs and inhibiting the TGF-β signaling pathway may inhibit HSC activation and may block or even reverse the pathological process of liver fibrosis. It is widely accepted that TGF-β canonical signaling is essential for the activation of HSCs; non-canonical signaling, which is associated with multiple different pathways, such as mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), phosphoinositide 3 kinase (PI3K)/protein kinase B (AKT) and Wnt pathways, may also contribute to the activation of HSCs and liver fibrosis (7,8). Recent studies have reported the role of Notch signaling in hepatic fibrosis and the crosstalk between Notch and TGF-β signaling. There is evidence that the expression of major components of the Notch signaling pathway, including Notch3, Jagged1 and the downstream transcription factor (TF) Hairy and Enhancer of Split 1 (HES1), is induced by TGF-β canonical signaling via SMADs (9,10). Another study reported that Notch signaling also contributes...
to TGF-β-induced expression of α-SMA and COLI and, when inhibited, α-SMA and COLI expression decreased (11). Furthermore, the present research group has observed that overexpression of HES1 in the activated HScs can enhance the promoter activity of α-SMA and COLIα2 (12). Taken together, these findings indicate that there may exist a positive feedback loop between Notch and TGF-β canonical signaling. Other experiments have already confirmed that HES1 can upregulate the expression of COLIα1 and COLIα2 on other cell types, such as fibroblast L929 cells and MR-5 cells (13). Therefore, this positive feedback loop between Notch and TGF-β canonical signaling may participate in HSC activation and hepatic fibrosis, with HES1 serving as an important TF in this cross-talk. HES1 belongs to the family of bHLH TFs, which contain the bHLH motif; this motif consists of ~60 amino acids, with a basic region and a helix 1-loop-helix 2, and the length of the loop differs between bHLH proteins (14). The bHLH protein family may be subdivided into three classes, according to their structure and biochemical characteristics, and HES1 belongs to the class C proteins, which bind to the class C DNA-binding domain (CAGCNG) (15,16). Furthermore, the authors of the present study discovered that abundant class C binding domains in the promoter region of pro-fibrotic genes, include TGF-β, COLIα1, COLIα2, TIMP1, α-SMA and Hes1 by the JASPAR database. The existence of Class C binding domains indicate DNA-protein interaction between the pro-fibrotic genes and Class C proteins may contribute to the activation of HSC and hepatic fibrosis.

Decoy oligodeoxynucleotides (ODNs) are also known as a TF ‘trap’. Short-chain DNA fragments containing the DNA-binding site of specific TFs are artificially designed, synthesized and transfected to competitively capture intracellular TFs, thereby inhibiting downstream gene expression (17-19). The present study hypothesized that competitively inhibiting Class C proteins binding by Decoy ODN strategy may downregulate expression of TGF-β, COLIα1, COLIα2, TIMP1, α-SMA and Hes1 and consequently inhibit HSC activation and relieve liver fibrosis.

Materials and methods

Synthesis of ODNs and plasmid construction. The decoy ODNs and scramble (Scr) decoy ODN (Table I) were synthesized by Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. The eukaryotic expression plasmid pGLuc-TRE-MiniTK was constructed when TGF-β-responsive element (TRE) was cloned into the pGLuc-Mini-TK (New England Biolabs, Inc.). The eukaryotic expression plasmids pGLuc-P-SMA, pGLuc-P-COLIα1, pGLuc-P-COLIα2 and pGLuc-P-TIMP1 were constructed when the promoters of α-SMA (P-SMA), COLIα1 (P-COLIα1), COLIα2 (P-COLIα2) and TIMP1 (P-TIMP1) were cloned into the pGLucBasic vector (N8082S; New England Biolabs, Inc.) for luciferase assays (Table II).

Cell culture. HSC-T6 cells, an immortalized rat HSC line provided by the Huazhong University of Science and Technology, were cultured in high-glucose DMEM (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) supplemented with 10% newborn calf serum (Zhejiang Tianhang Biotechnology Co., Ltd). HSC-T6 cells were seeded at a density of 6x10^5 cells/well in a 6-well-plate (Greiner GmbH) for western blot assays or a 24-well-plate (Greiner GmbH) for luciferase assays at 60% confluence per well and cultured in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ for 24 h at 37°C.

Transfection and luciferase reporter assays. The HSC-T6 cells were seeded at a density of 1x10^5 cells/well in a 24-well-plate. After 24 h, the cells had reached 70-80% confluence and were transfected with different plasmids (1 μg per well) using the Tuboject Transfection Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), according to the manufacturer's protocol. The cells were then transfected with different class C (C1/C2/C3/C4) decoy ODNs and Scr decoy ODN (at a concentration of 20 nm/l; 2 μg per well for a 24-well plate), using the Mirus Transfection Reagent (Mirus Bio LLC) after a further 24 h. The luciferase assays were performed using the BioLux® Gaussia Luciferase Assay kit (New England Biolabs, Inc.), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, the supernatants were collected, the cells were lysed, and the total intracellular protein concentration of the supernatant was analyzed as described in the paragraph entitled ‘Western blotting’ of the Materials and methods section to estimate the cell number per well. For normalization, the sampling size for each well was adjusted according to the total intracellular protein levels to detect the Gaussia Luciferase activity. The reactions were examined using a fluorescence detector (Berthold Technologies).

Western blot analysis. The cells were collected for western blot assays after decoy ODNs (at a concentration of 20 nm/l; 6 μg per well for a 6-well plate) were transfected into HSC-T6 cells for 48 h, then lysed in lysis buffer [25 mmol/l Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 2.5 mmol/l EDTA, 137 mmol/l NaCl, 2.7 mmol/l KCl, 1% sodium deoxycholic acid, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, and 2 mmol/l PMSF] and protease inhibitor cocktail for 30 min at 4°C. Cell lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 7,200 x g for 10 min at 4°C and the supernatants were collected. Protein concentration was measured using a BCA Protein Assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). An equal amount of protein (40 μg loaded per lane) from each sample was separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane. The membrane was firstly incubated with blocker (5% defatted milk) for 2 h at room temperature and subsequently incubated with the following antibodies at 4°C overnight: Anti-TGF-β1 (1:1,000; cat. no. sc-146; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), anti-TIMP1 (1:1,000; cat. no. sc-6834; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), anti-COLIα1 (1:1,000; cat. no. sc-25974; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), anti-COLIα2 (1:1,000; cat. no. sc-8788; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), anti-SMAD3 (1:3,000; cat. no. sc-133098; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and anti-β-actin (1:3,000; cat. no. sc-47778; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.). Following the primary antibody incubation, membranes were incubated with horse-radish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:3,000; cat. no. sc-2031 and sc-516721; 1:8,000; cat. no. sc-2354; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) for 1 h at room temperature. The membranes were treated using Immobilon Western Detection Reagents (EMD Millipore). Chemiluminescence was detected using the VersaDoc system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). Densitometric analyses of the band intensities were performed using ImageJ software, version 1.38 (National Institutes of Health). All the western blot analysis were repeated three times.
Table I. The sequences of each Decoy ODN.

| Decoy ODN name | Sequence (5′-3′)* |
|----------------|-------------------|
| Class C1 Decoy ODN | F: CGACACGTGATCACCGTGGAC  
R: GTCCACGTGATCACCGTGGAC |
| Class C2 Decoy ODN | F: CGACACCGATCACCGGAC  
R: GTCCCGGTGATCCCGTGGAC |
| Class C3 Decoy ODN | F: CGACCAGATCACCGGAC  
R: GTCTCTCGATCTCCTGTTCG |
| Class C4 Decoy ODN | F: CGACACGGATCACGGGAC  
R: GTCCCCGTGATCCCGTGGAC |
| Scramble | F: CGAACCTGATACCTGGAC  
R: GTCCACGTGATACCGTGGAC |

*Core DNA binding domains are underlined. ODN, oligodeoxy-nucleotide; F, forward; R, reverse.

**Bioinformatics analysis.** The JASPAR 2020 database (http://jaspar.genereg.net) and UCSC Genome Browser Gateway (http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgGateway) were used for the bioinformatics analysis. Full-length Promoter sequences of α-SMA, COL1α1, COL1α2 and TIMP1 were identified by UCSC Genome Browser Gateway. Detailed information of Class C TFBS (Basic helix-loop-helix factors) were identified by the JASPAR database. The distribution of Class C TFBS on Promoters of α-SMA, COL1α1, COL1α2 and TIMP1 were analyzed by the JASPAR database.

**Statistical analysis.** GraphPad Prism version 7.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc.) was used for the statistical analysis. Data are presented as the mean ± SD and represent three independent experimental repeats. Differences between three or more groups were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test for multiple comparisons. P < 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

The class C sequence is present in the promoter region of TGF-β and its target genes. The JASPAR database is one of the most comprehensive and reliable public databases of TFs and DNA-binding motifs, and the data published there are rigorously screened from multiple randomized experiments and integrated by computer-aided software. This database was used in the present study to analyze the binding potency between the promoters of TGF-β signaling pathway-related genes and class C sequences. The present study found at least one class C sequence that was present in the promoter region of TGF-β and its downstream genes, namely COL1α1, TIMP1, HES1 and α-SMA (Table III).

Class C decoy ODNs decrease TGF-β synthesis in HSC-T6 cells. The bioinformatics analysis revealed that there was at least one binding site in the promoter region of TGF-β for each class C sequence. Class C decoy ODNs were transfected into HSC-T6 cells for 48 h and the expression of TGF-β was tested through western blot assays. Except for class C4 decoy ODNs, which had no impact on TGF-β expression, the other three decoy ODNs were able to significantly downregulate TGF-β expression (P < 0.05; Fig. 1A), indicating that class C1/C2/C3 decoy ODNs can decrease TGF-β synthesis in HSC-T6 cells. Furthermore, plasmid pTRE-Mini-TK-Gluc, the Gaussia luciferase reporter gene for TRE was constructed and transfected into HSC-T6 cells for 24 h; decoy ODNs were transfected for another 24 h and the results revealed that the luciferase activities of the pTRE-Mini-TK-Gluc had significantly decreased in all class C decoy ODN groups compared with Scr (P < 0.001; Fig. 1B), suggesting the four class C decoy ODNs can inhibit the transcriptional activity of TRE.

Class C decoy ODNs downregulate the expression of TIMP1 in HSC-T6 cells. TIMP1 is a downstream gene of TGF-β (4). A total of five binding sites for class C proteins in the promoter of TIMP1 were identified through bioinformatics analysis. The Gaussia luciferase activities of pTIMP1-GLuc-Basic significantly decreased in the four experimental groups treated by Class C1-4 decoy ODNs compared with Scr (P < 0.001; Fig. 2A), suggesting the four decoy ODNs can inhibit the activation of the TIMP1 promoter. The expression of TIMP1 was also tested using western blot assays. There were significant decreases in TIMP1 expression in the four class C decoy ODN groups compared with Scr (Fig. 2B).

Class C decoy ODNs downregulate the expression of COL1α1 in HSC-T6 cells. COL1α1 is one of the downstream genes of TGF-β and it is positively correlated with TGF-β (4). Bioinformatics analysis demonstrated that there are five binding sites for class C sequences in its promoter. The results of the Gaussia luciferase assay revealed that, in the class C1 and class C4 decoy ODN groups, luciferase activity decreased compared with the Scr (Fig. 3A). The expression of COL1α1 was also tested using western blot assays, but only class C1 decoy ODNs were proven to downregulate the expression of COL1α1 (Fig. 3B).

Class C decoy ODNs do not affect COL1α2 expression in HSC-T6 cells. COL1α2 is also regulated by the TGF-β signaling pathway (4). Using a Gaussia luciferase assay, none of the four class C decoy ODNs were found to exert any effect on COL1α2 promoter activity (Fig. 4A). In addition, it was also confirmed that the four class C decoy ODNs exerted no effect on COL1α2 expression in HSC-T6 cells by western blotting (Fig. 4B).

Class C decoy ODNs downregulate α-SMA and HES1 promoter activity. Previous studies have demonstrated that TGF-β regulates the expression of α-SMA and HES1 (20-23). Bioinformatics analysis revealed that there are five and ten binding sites for class C sequences in the promoters of α-SMA and HES1, respectively. The Gaussia luciferase activities of pSMa-GLuc-Basic were found to be significantly decreased in all experimental groups compared with Scr (P < 0.001; Fig. 5A), suggesting that the four class C decoy ODNs can downregulate the activity of the α-SMA promoter, whereas only class C1 and class C2 decoy ODNs affect the activity of the HES1 promoter (Fig. 5B).
Table II. Primers used for promoter cloning into pGLucBasic vector.

| Gene                                      | Primer sequence (5'→3')                                      |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| P-Hairy and Enhancer of Split 1 (HindIII) | F: CGAAGCTTGAGCCTGAAGAGGTAGAGAGT                             |
|                                           | R: ATGGATCCCGCTTACGTCCCCCTTACTTG                             |
| P-α-smooth muscle actin (EcoR1)           | F: CCGGAATTCACGGTCTTAAGCAGATATC                             |
|                                           | R: CCGGATCCCTTACCCCCGTATGGCAGCT                             |
| P-type I collagen α1 (EcoR1)              | F: CCGGAATTCGCGAGGTCTACAGAGAGA                              |
|                                           | R: CCGGATCCAGCAGCAGAGAGA                                    |
| P-tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1 | F: CGGGAATTCCTAACATCTCTACTGTGTAT                            |
|                                           | R: CGGGAATCCCTTACTGGAAGCTATCAAT                             |

P, promotor; F, forward; R, reverse.

Table III. Analysis of the possible binding sites on the promoters of TGF-β signal pathway-related genes for four class C sequences by JASPAR database.

| Gene promoter                                      | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | Total |
|----------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|-------|
| Transforming growth factor-β                       | 1  | 6  | 1  | 3  | 11    |
| Type I collagen α1                                  | 5  | 1  | 2  | 1  | 5     |
| Type I collagen α2                                  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     |
| Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1             | 0  | 0  | 2  | 3  | 5     |
| Hairy and Enhancer of Split 1                       | 4  | 1  | 3  | 2  | 5     |
| α-smooth muscle actin                               | 1  | 0  | 3  | 1  | 5     |

Figure 1. Influence of class C Decoy ODNs on TGF-β and TRE in HSC-T6 cells. (A) Influence of four class C Decoy ODNs on the expression of TGF-β by western blot assays in HSC-T6 cells. The β-actin protein served as a control. Scramble served as control. Quantification of TGF-β expression in HSc-T6 cells by western blot showed significant decreases in class C1 Decoy ODN, class C2 Decoy ODN and class C3 Decoy ODN group compared with scramble control, respectively. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001. Data are presented as the mean ± SD of three individual experiments. The band intensities were normalized to β-actin in the quantitative analysis. (B) Influence of four class C Decoy ODNs on the activity of TRE in HSC-T6 cells. After pGLuc-TRE-MiniTK was transfected into HSC-T6 cells for 24 h, Decoy ODNs were transfected for another 24 h. ***P<0.001. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of three individual experiments. SD, standard deviation; TGF, transforming growth factor; ODN, oligodeoxynucleotides; P-TRE, TGF-β-responsive element promoter; RLU, relative light units.
Figure 2. Influence of four class C Decoy ODNs on TIMP1 in HSC-T6 cells. (A) Luciferase reporter assays of four class C Decoy ODNs on the activity of TIMP1 promoter in HSC-T6 cells. After pTIMP1-GLuc-Basic was transfected into HSC-T6 cells for 24 h, four class C Decoy ODNs were transfected for another 24 h. Scramble group served as control. ***P<0.001. Data are presented as the mean±SD of three individual experiments. (B) Influence of four class C Decoy ODNs on the expression of TGF-β by western blot assays in HSC-T6 cells. The β-actin protein served as control. Scramble served as control. Quantification of TGF-β expression in HSC-T6 cells by western blotting showed significant decreases in four class C Decoy ODNs groups compared with scramble control, respectively. ***P<0.001. Data are presented as the mean±SD of three experiments. The band intensities were normalized to β-actin in the quantitative analysis. SD, standard deviation; P-TiMP, promoter tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase; ODNs, oligodeoxynucleotides; TGF, transforming growth factor; RLU, relative light units.

Figure 3. Influence of four class C Decoy ODNs on COL1α1 in HSC-T6 cells. (A) Luciferase reporter assays of four class C Decoy ODNs on the activity of COL1α1 promoter in HSC-T6 cells. After pCOL1α1-GLuc-Basic was transfected into HSC-T6 cells for 24 h, four class C Decoy ODNs were transfected for another 24 h. The Scramble group served as control. *P<0.05. Data are presented as the mean±SD of eight wells. (B) Influence of four class C Decoy ODNs on the expression of COL1α1 by western blot assays in HSC-T6 cells. The two protein bands represent COL1A1 and COL1A1 precursor respectively, in accordance with the protocol of the COL1A1 antibody. The β-actin protein served as a control. The Scramble group also served as a control. Quantification of COL1α1 expression in HSC-T6 cells by western blotting showed a significant decrease only in the class C1 Decoy ODN group compared with the Scramble control group. *P<0.05. Data are presented as the mean±SD of three experiments. The band intensities were normalized to β-actin in the quantitative analysis. SD, standard deviation; COL, collagen; ODN, oligodeoxynucleotides; RLU, relative light units.
In conclusion, class C1 decoy ODNs exerted the most prominent effect on TGF-β signaling pathway-related genes and it downregulated the expression of TGF-β, TIMP1, HES1, α-SMA and COL1α1.

Class C1 decoy ODNs downregulate SMAD3 expression. Class C1 decoy ODNs were found to exert the broadest and most prominent effect on TGF-β signaling pathway-related genes, and it inhibited the promoter activity of TGF-β and
Liver fibrosis and portal hypertension. TGF-β remodeling, which is considered as the pathological basis of the occurrence of liver fibrosis and intrahepatic structural activation and proliferation. Through proliferation, secretion of TiMPs that can inhibit matrix synthesis and secretion. Furthermore, it may also promote secretion of TIMPs that can inhibit matrix degradation. It is broadly accepted that TGF-β1 canonical signaling, also known as the TGF-β1/SMADs signaling pathway, is crucial for the occurrence and progression of hepatic fibrosis, whereas non-canonical signaling, which is associated with multiple different pathways, such as MAPK, PI3K-AKT and Wnt, also contributes to the activation of HSCs and liver fibrosis (7,8). Several previous studies have revealed the existence of crosstalk between Notch and TGF-β signaling in the activation of HSCs, and the Notch downstream TF HES1 plays an important role in this crosstalk (9-13). Thus, blocking the signal transduction of TGF-β1 or regulating the effect of SMADs on the expression of target genes in order to decrease ECM synthesis and increase ECM degradation may be a promising approach to reversing hepatic fibrosis.

HES1 belongs to the highly conserved bHLH family of TFs, which are ~60 amino acids in length and named according to their β helix-loop-helix structure. The C-type TF of the bHLH family serves a role as a homologous or heterodimeric form that binds to the class C sequence (CACGNG) (15). Bioinformatics analysis demonstrated that the CACGNG sequence was present on the promoter region of the TGF-β1, COLIα1, TIMP1, HES1 and α-SMA genes, indicating that the C-type TF of the bHLH family may modulate the expression of those pro-fibrotic genes. The results of the bioinformatics analysis were consistent with the literature review (11-13). Using the decoy ODN strategy, it was confirmed that class C decoy ODNs have different capacities of inhibiting the expression of pro-fibrotic genes, such as TGF-β, SMAD3, COLIα1 and TIMP1, and downregulating the transcriptional activity of the HES1 and α-SMA promoters, as well as TRE. Among the four decoy ODNs, class C1 decoy ODNs, which carry a class C TF trap sequence (CACGTG), are the most efficient for downregulating those target genes, following by class C2, which indicate Class C1&2 DNA binding domains has a greater affinity for Class C proteins than C3&4. It seemed to be paradoxical that by bioinformatic analysis, the binding site of Class C3&4 are outnumbered compared with the binding site of Class C1&2. This is especially true in the promoter region of Hes1, where Class1&2 has 1 binding site and Class C3&4 has 4 binding sites and in the promotor region of TIMP1, where Class1&2 has 0 binding sites and Class C3&4 has 5 binding sites. The present study assumed that the Class C proteins bind to Class C3&4 binding sites in Hes1 and the TIMP1 promoter, and after Class C1&2 decoy ODN which carried a binding domain with better affinity was conducted into the cell, it captured Class C proteins and competitively inhibited their binding with the Class C3&4 binding site in the reporter plasmid, and then the G-luciferase activity decreased. Similarly, Class C1&2 can downregulate TIMP-1 promoter activity and its expression, which can also be explained by the strong affinity to TFs of exogenous Class C1&2 sequence.

By reducing COLIα1 synthesis and promoting ECM degradation via downregulating TIMP1, as well as repressing HSC transactivation via downregulating TGF-β and α-SMA, class C1 decoy ODNs appear to be promising for preventing HSC activation and hepatic fibrosis. The possible mechanism underlying the anti-fibrotic effects of class C1 decoy ODNs is competitive binding of class C TFs, including HES1, or indirect repression by inhibiting the TGF-β/SMADs pathway, as...
the synthesis of TGF-β and SMAD3 was downregulated and the transcriptional activity of TRE was inhibited. However, the applicability of class C1 decoy ODNs in the clinical setting requires further investigation.
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