Molecular Methodology for the Detection of the *Leishmania* Genus in Different Biological Samples Extracted from Dogs
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ABSTRACT

**Background:** The leishmaniases are a group of parasitic diseases caused by trypanosomatids belonging to the genus *Leishmania*, members of the class Kinetoplastida, order Trypanosomatidae, family Trypanosomatidae. Despite innumerable wild species that are infected, the domestic dog is a potential reservoir of *Leishmania infantum* in urban areas, which expands the transmission pathway to humans. When infected, the dog becomes Visceral Canine Leishmaniasis (CVL), which is characterized by a diverse clinical picture that ranges from asymptomatic to non-specific signs, such as skin lesions, lymphadenomegaly, weight loss, splenomegaly, and/or ocular lesions, thus impairing accurate and rapid diagnosis. In Brazil, it is considered a public health problem since it is endemic in certain regions. Therefore, parasitological, serological, and molecular methods can be used for the detection of the disease. However, the possibility of serological cross-reaction and the occurrence of co-infection with other trypanosomatids decreases the specificity rate to below 100%, which suggests the use of more accurate diagnostic tools. Several molecular targets and starting samples for leishmaniasis diagnosis are already standardized, but there is lack of data allowing the evaluation of the target, as well as which biological material is more efficient for the molecular diagnosis of CVL. The sensitivity of PCR may vary with DNA quality, primer type, parasitemia level, and number of target copies per cell. The spleen, blood, liver, aspirate of bone marrow and lymph nodes are the most frequently used for molecular diagnosis of CVL. The present study aimed to evaluate and compare three protocols of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the molecular diagnosis of CVL in different biological samples removed from animals with different clinical and anatomopathological signs.

**Materials, Methods & Results:** Fifty seropositive dogs for CVL were used, their clinical and anatomopathological signs were evaluated, and were classified as asymptomatic, oligosymptomatic, and polysymptomatic. The PCR was conducted with specific primers for each region of the smaller subunit of rRNA, ITS-1, and KDNA genes. The four types of biological samples (spleen, blood, lymph nodes, and liver) were analyzed. Among the 50 dogs studied, 19 (38%) were in the asymptomatic group; all the animals showed healthy appearance, 15/50 (30%) of the dogs presented up to two symptoms, being classified as oligosymptomatic. The polysymptomatic dogs [16/50 (32%)] presented with a compromised clinical status. The study showed that all dogs had a positive result in at least one analyzed PCR methodology. Comparing the results of the three types of tests in the four types of studied samples, the nested-PCR was the one which presented a greater frequency of amplifications. Of a total of 200 samples, 124 showed amplification, showing a 62% sensitivity.

**Discussion:** The results revealed that, when 50 dogs were analyzed, the spleen tissue samples had a better performance in all the tests, but the sensitivity of blood samples was also satisfactory in Nested SSU rRNA-PCR and MC-PCR. The blood samples can be easily accessed with minimal invasion. One of the limitations around the use of biopsy of the spleen or its aspirate is the risk of serious bleeding or even death of the animal. Taking into consideration the practicality and because it has a lower grade of invasiveness, the combination of peripheral blood with Nested SSU rRNA-PCR becomes the methodology of first choice.
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INTRODUCTION

Visceral Leishmaniasis (VL) is considered a public health problem with occurrence in several countries, being Brazil one of the affected [13]. The VL is a zoonosis caused by protozoa of the genus *Leishmania* [6]. The transmission is given by the vector of the family sand flies of the genus Lutzomyia [14]. In Brazil, the species associated with the transmission of VL is the *Leishmania infantum*, a synonym for *Leishmania chagasi* [9].

When infected, the dog becomes Visceral Canine Leishmaniasis (CVL), which characterizes by present a diverse clinical picture, that ranges from asymptomatic to non-specific signs, thus impairing the diagnosis [15].

The clinical diagnosis is difficult due to the disease remains asymptomatic for a long time. The immunoenzymatic assay and indirect immunofluorescence are those recommended by the Ministry of Health [10].

The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is now a valuable molecular tool for detecting *Leishmania* sp in different clinical samples since they are tests that present high sensitivity and specificity [1]. Many targets and molecular initiators for the diagnosis of VL have already been standardized, but there is still a lack of data that allow evaluating which target, as well as which biological material is most efficient for the molecular diagnosis of CVL [18].

In front of a scenario in which VL is one of the neglected diseases that more expands in Brazil and in the world, it is fundamental the development of studies that seek to improve and facilitate the molecular diagnosis of the CVL. Therefore, the objective of this study was to compare three different types of methodologies for PCR that use different DNA targets in the diagnosis of VL.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical issues

In this work, reactive dogs were used in serological tests for CVL diagnosis, which were seized at the Center for Zoonoses Control (CCZ) in Montes Claros (Minas Gerais - Brazil). The present study was evaluated by the Commission for Ethics in Animal Experimentation and Welfare (CEEBEA) of the State University of Montes Claros and received a favorable opinion with case number No 151.

Characterization of the dogs

Among the months from January to March 2018, were collected blood, spleen, lymph node and liver samples from 50 seropositive animals for LVC in the rapid test and ELISA with a 1:40 titration seized by the Zoonoses Control Center of the municipality of Montes Claros. Before euthanasia, the dogs were evaluated by a trained professional who observed the presence of symptoms such as alopecia, slimming, nail growth, ocular lesions and cutaneous ulcerations. The dogs were classified as asymptomatic without presence of symptoms, oligosymptomatic when they presented at least two symptoms and polysymptomatic when they presented above three symptoms. They were also observed pathological changes in the spleen and liver during necropsy.

Acquisition of the samples

They were removed from the animal, already anesthetized, 5 mL of punctured venous blood from the cephalic vein using a syringe and tube with the presence of EDTA according to Moraes et al. [11]. After euthanasia, samples of spleen and liver were collected, about 3.0 cm³ and placed in a polypropylene microtube. The lymph node collected was the popliteal. Due to the high sensitivity rate of the PCR technique, all measures to avoid cross-contamination were performed. Samples were refrigerated until arrival at the laboratory and preserved frozen at the temperature of -20°C [16].

The DNA sample that served as positive control was taken from the strain of *Leishmania infantum* (MHOM / BR / 74 / PP75) available by the René Rachou Researches Center from FIOCRUZ. The negative control was a PCR reaction without the presence of DNA.

Extraction of the DNA

DNA from blood, spleen, lymph nodes and liver samples was extracted with the use of the phenol-chloroform technique [12]. The DNA was kept in the freezer at temperature - 20 °C.

Molecular analysis

Molecular analysis was performed with the use of three types of PCR methodologies in different DNA targets of the *Leishmania* parasite (Table 1). The NESTED PCR with the use of an RNA coding region present in the minor ribosome subunit (SSU rRNA); the ITS1 which amplifies a non-coding region of the minor rRNA subunit located between the 5.8S and 18S genes and the MC-PCR which amplifies conserved sequences from the mini-circle of the kinetoplast.
Electrophoresis

The amplified products were separated on 1% agarose gels stained with Ethidium Bromide and visualized and captured on exposure to ultraviolet (UV) light using UV Gel Logic Transilluminated2.

Statistical analysis

The Z test was used to compare the results among each PCR protocol in the different groups of dogs and among the different samples per protocol of PCR at the level of 5% of significance.

Table 1. PCR protocols used for LVC diagnosis.

| Protocol / sequence | Amplification (bp) | PCR Conditions | PCR Cycles | Reference |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------|-----------|
| Nested SSU rRNA-PCR |                    | 1st PCR: 3.0 µL DNA, 4 mM of MgCl₂, 10 U Taq Phneutria, dNTP 0.33 mM and 0.4 mM of each primer 1Xplug | 1st PCR: Denaturation 94°C 30 s, Annealing 60°C 30 s, Extension 72°C 30 s, Final Extension 72°C 6 min | Eys G.J.V et al. [8] |
| 1st PCR: R221: GGTTCCTTTCTGTATTACG | 603 | 2nd PCR: 5.0 µL of product of the 1st PCR, 4 mM of MgCl₂, 10 U Taq Phneutria, dNTP 0.33 mM and 0.4 mM of each primer 1Xplug | 2nd PCR: Change only on annealing 65°C 30 s | Cruz I et al. [5] |
| 2nd PCR: R223: TCCATCGCAACCTCGGT | | | | |
| 3rd PCR: R333: AAAGCGGGGCGGTTGCTG | 358 | Denaturation 95°C 20 s, Annealing 53°C 30 s, Extension 72°C 1 min, Final Extension 72°C 6 min | | El Tai NO et al. [21] |
| ITS1-PCR | | | | |
| LITSR: CTGGATCATTTTCCGATG | 311 | 2.0 µL DNA, 2 mM of MgCl₂, 10 U Taq Phneutria, dNTP 1.0 mM and 0.5 mM primer 1Xplug | | | |
| L5.8S: TGATACCACTTATCGCATT | | | | |
| MC-PCR | | | | |
| MC1: GTTAGCCGATGTTGTTTGTG | 447 | 2.0 µL DNA, 3 mM de MgCl₂, 10 U Taq Phneutria, dNTP 0.4 mM e, 0.5 mM primer 1Xplug | | Cortes S. et al. [4] |
| MC2: CACCCATTTCCTCGATTGTG | | | | |

Bp: base pairs; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; MC: minicircle; ITS1: internal transcribed spacer 1; rRNA: ribosomal RNA gene; SSU: small subunit.

RESULTS

Clinical and anatomopathological signs of the dogs

During euthanasia and subsequent necropsy, the dogs were evaluated and classified for the presence of symptoms. Among the 50 dogs studied, 19 (38%) were in the asymptomatic group, all the animals showed healthy appearance, 15/50 (30%) of the dogs presented up to two symptoms, being classified as oligosymptomatic. Already the polysymptomatic dogs, 16/50 (32%) presented with the clinical status very compromised. The symptoms analyzed and the frequency in each group is described in Table 2.

From 50 dogs analyzed, 32 (64%) presented macroscopic lesions in the spleen with apparent granulations, being 14 of them polysymptomatic, 10 asymptomatic and 8 oligosymptomatic. The liver of 17 animals presented alterations, also with presence of granulations and whitish spots. Of these, 8 were polysymptomatic dogs, 6 oligosymptomatic and 3 asymptomatic.
Molecular diagnosis

Each PCR methodology used presented an amplification product. The NESTED SSUrRNA-PCR with primers 223/333 in 2ndPCR amplified a 358 base pair (bp) DNA fragment (Figure 1).

For the ITS1-PCR protocol, the pair of oligonucleotide used was LITSR, L5.8S that amplify a DNA fragment of 311 base pairs (bp) (Figure 2).

The MC1 and MC2 marker pair amplifies a DNA fragment of 447 base pairs (bp) conserved from the kinetoplast minicircle according to Figure 3.

Figure 1. 1.0% agarose gel with products of the NESTED-PCR reaction with the presence of a 358bp fragment. MM: molecular marker 100pB. A- Spleen samples; B- Lymph node samples; C- Liver samples; D- Blood samples. Positive control CP: *Leishmania infantum*; CN negative control: mixing of PCR reagents without DNA.

Figure 2. 1.0% agarose gel with products of the ITS-PCR reaction with the presence of fragment of 311pB. MM: molecular marker 100pB. A- Blood samples; B- Spleen samples; C- Liver samples; D- Lymph node samples. Positive control CP: *Leishmania infantum*; CN negative control: mixing PCR reagents without DNA.

Figure 3. 1.0% agarose gel with products of the MC-PCR reaction with the presence of fragment of 447pB. MM: molecular marker 100pB. A- Blood samples; B- Spleen samples; C- Liver samples; D- Lymph node samples. Positive control CP: *Leishmania infantum*; CN negative control: mixing of PCR reagents without DNA.

Group of asymptomatic dogs

The comparative results of the three PCR methodologies performed on tissues of spleen, liver, lymph node and in blood of asymptomatic dogs are found in Table 3.

In this group, only 1/19 (5.2%) dog presented the same result for all methodologies evaluated.

When analyzing the three assays, NESTED SSUrRNA-PCR, ITS1-PCR, MC-PCR applied to blood samples, the positivity ranged from 73.68%, 47.36%, 63.15% respectively. When comparing the spleen samples, we noticed an increase in the sensitivity of the tests to 78.94%, 57.89%, 84.21%. The lymph node samples only presented increase in relation to the other samples in the ITS1-PCR protocol, in which 15/19 (78.94%) were positive. In counterpart, in the other two tests (MC-PCR and NESTED SSUrRNA-PCR) there was a decrease in lymph node positivity compared to blood and other tissues.

The hepatic tissue was which presented the lowest sensitivity in the three methodologies evaluated with a variation of 26.31% in the NESTED SSUrRNA-PCR and ITS1-PCR to 15.7% in the MC-PCR.

Only 2/19 (10.5%) animals presented negative results in blood in the three PCR assays.

It was observed compatibility in the results of the samples in all the methodologies evaluated. It was observed that in the samples of the splenic tissue there was greater similarity in the positive results and the liver in the negative results (Figure 4).

Group of oligosymptomatic dogs

It was observed that in the ITS-PCR protocol, 13/15 (86.6%) of the dogs presented positive lymph node versus 8/15 (53.3%) in the NESTED SSUrRNA-PCR and 7/13 (46.6%) in the MC-PCR.

In the splenic tissue of oligosymptomatic dogs, the methodology that demonstrated the best result was MC-PCR since 13/15 (86.6%) of them presented amplification products.

In the blood tissue, it was observed a smaller difference between NESTED SSUrRNA-PCR and MC-PCR. Only 3/15 (20%) of the dogs presented negative results for the blood. The liver tissue presented a 60% positivity in NESTED SSUrRNA-PCR and 40% and 26.6% in ITS-1 and MC respectively (Table 4).

Figure 5 demonstrates the compatibility in the results of the samples in the 3 tests evaluated. In this group of dogs, the greatest similarity was found in the
blood and lymph node samples with 9 independent coincident results of being positive or negative.

**Group of polysymptomatic dogs**

The positivity for LVC in this group in NESTED SSUrRNA-PCR varied greatly, obtaining a better result in blood samples that was of 15/16 (93.75%) of the dogs, followed by spleen samples 11/16 (68.75%), lymph node 8/16 (50%) and liver 5/16 (31.25%).

The ITS1-PCR was the molecular test that showed greater efficiency in the detection of infected dogs in spleen samples (15/16) and lymph node (14/16); however, in the blood samples were what detected the least number of infected dogs (9/16).

The MC-PCR technique was more efficient in detecting the DNA of the parasite in spleen and blood samples with 87.5% and 75% of the dogs respectively. Among the tissues analyzed, the liver was one that presented the lowest detection rate in all techniques. This index ranged from 31.25% in NESTED SSUrRNA-PCR to 18.75% in the ITS1 and MC-PCR (Table 5).

In this group of polysymptomatic dogs, 3/16 (18.7%) of them presented the same result for all tissues and blood in the three PCR methodologies used. It was detected the presence of the DNA of the Leishmania sp. in blood samples of the 16/16 (100%) of the dogs.

Figure 6 demonstrates the compatibility in the results of the samples in the three tests evaluated per sample. Analyzing the data of the figure, it is observed that none of the protocols presented similarity in the negative results in the blood, spleen and lymph node.

**All dogs**

When analyzing the results of the NESTED SSUrRNA-PCR, MC-PCR and ITS1-PCR in the four types of studied samples in the 50 dogs, the NESTED SSUrRNA-PCR was which presented the highest amount of amplifications. Of the total of 200 samples, 124 amplified, demonstrating a sensitivity of 62% (Table 6).

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** Relation of the compatibility of results per sample in the 3 types of PCR in the group of asymptomatic dogs.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Relation of the compatibility of results by sample in the 3 types of PCR in the oligosymptomatic dog group.
Table 2. Frequency of the symptoms in the three groups of dogs.

| Symptom          | Asymptomatic | Oligosymptomatic | Polysymptomatic |
|------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Alopecia         | 0 (0%)       | 5/15 (33.3%)     | 15/16 (93.7%)   |
| Onychogrifose    | 0 (0%)       | 14/15 (93.3%)    | 15/16 (93.7%)   |
| Skin ulcerations | 0 (0%)       | 7/15 (46.6%)     | 10/16 (62.5%)   |
| Weight Loss      | 0 (0%)       | 4/15 (26.6%)     | 11/16 (68.7%)   |
| Eye lesions      | 0 (0%)       | 0 (0%)           | 7/16 (43.7%)    |

Frequency in percentage.

Table 3. Results of the PCR analyzes in asymptomatic dogs.

| Primer | Blood | Spleen | Lymph node | Liver |
|--------|-------|--------|------------|-------|
| ITS1   | 9(47.37)Ab | 11(57.89)Aab | 15(78.95)Aa | 5(26.32)Ab |
| NESTED | 14(73.68)Aa | 15 (78.95)Aa | 12(63.16)Aa | 5(26.32)Ab |
| MC     | 12(63.16)Aa | 16 (84.21)Aa | 11(57.89)Aa | 3(15.79)Ab |

Averages followed by the same capital letter in the column and lowercase in the row, do not differ from each other, according to the Z test for proportions at the level of 5% of significance.

Table 4. Results of PCR analysis in oligosymptomatic dogs.

| Primer | Blood | Spleen | Lymph node | Liver |
|--------|-------|--------|------------|-------|
| ITS1   | 6 (40)Ab | 9 (60)Aab | 13(86.67)Aa | 6 (40)Ab |
| NESTED | 10 (66.67)Aa | 11(73.33)Aa | 8 (53.33)Ba | 9 (60)Aa |
| MC     | 11 (73.33)Aab | 13(86.67)Aa | 7 (46.67)Bb | 4(26.67)Ab |

Averages followed by the same capital letter in the column and lowercase in the row do not differ from each other, according to the Z test for proportions at the level of 5% of significance.

Table 5. Results of the PCR assays in polysymptomatic dogs.

| Primer | Blood | Spleen | Lymph node | Liver |
|--------|-------|--------|------------|-------|
| ITS1   | 9(56.25)Bb | 15 (93.75)Aa | 14(87.5)Aa | 3(18.75)Ac |
| NESTED | 15(93.75)Aa | 11(68.75)Aab | 8 (50)Bb | 5(31.25)Ab |
| MC     | 12(75)ABab | 14 (87.5)Aa | 9(56.25)Bb | 3(18.75)Ac |

Averages followed by the same capital letter in the column and lowercase in the row do not differ from each other, according to the Z test for proportions at the level of 5% of significance.
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**Table 6. Results of the PCR assays of the 50 dogs.**

| Primer | Blood | Spleen | Lymph node | Liver |
|--------|-------|--------|------------|-------|
| ITS1   | 25(50)Ba | 37(74)Ab | 41(82)Ab | 13(26)Aa |
| NESTED | 40(80)Aa | 37(74)Aa | 26(52)Bb | 21(42)Ab |
| MC     | 32(64)ABB | 43(86)Aa | 27(54)Bb | 10(20)Ac |

Averages followed by the same capital letter in the column and lower case in the row do not differ from each other, according to the Z test for proportions at the level of 5% of significance.

**DISCUSSION**

According to data provided by the Center for Control of Zoonoses of Montes Claros, the period from January 2017 to February 2018, 2659 dogs had positive serology for Leishmaniasis. This data confirms the high index of reservoirs in the disease transmission cycle. Many dogs infected by the LVC may be asymptomatic for a long time [2]. In the present study, 19/50 (38%) of the dogs were under these conditions and among them only two were not detected the presence of the parasite DNA in the three tests performed. The result demonstrates that even without the presence of symptoms, the dog may be in the pathway of transmission of the disease, since the parasite is present in the blood tissue [1,22].

Among the asymptomatic dogs, (17/19) were positive for the ITS1, (19/19) for the NESTED SSUrRNA PCR and (19/19) for the MC PCR in at least 1 of the samples analyzed. The results demonstrate that the NESTED PCR with primers SSU rRNA and the MC presented 100% sensitivity in the diagnosis of apparently healthy dogs. The results corroborate with Albuquerque et al., (2017) [1]. who found high positivity for the same methodologies in bone marrow aspirate of asymptomatic dogs.

The analyzes with the use of the molecular target SSU rRNA identify the protozoan *Leishmania* at the genus level [8]. Already the MC1 and MC2 primers allowed to identify the region of the mini circle of the kDNA, which is usually one of the regions most studied for the diagnosis of LVC, since it is present in several copies per cell. The aforementioned initiators were used by Cortes et al. (2004) [4]. The authors obtained satisfactory results for the diagnosis of canine and human visceral leishmaniasis caused by the species *L. infantum* and *L. donovani*.

In the group of asymptomatic dogs, it was found a significant difference in the results of splenic and lymph node tissue in relation to hepatic tissue and blood tissue in the ITS1-PCR protocol. Solcá *et al.* (2014) [20] in their study suggest that the low sensitivity of these markers in the conventional PCR increases due to the presence of PCR inhibitors in the blood and the low parasitic load.

In the group of the 16 oligosymptomatic dogs, 100% of them were positive in the three methodologies analyzed in at least one of the samples. It was not observed significant difference between blood tissue and splenic tissue samples in the three PCR methodologies. However, in the lymph node and hepatic tissue samples was observed a significant difference highlighting a higher positivity in these tissues in the ITS1-PCR and NESTED SSU rRNA-PCR assays respectively.

In the group of polysymptomatic dogs, highlight a high positivity in the blood tissue samples in the NESTED SSU rRNA-PCR and in the samples of splenic tissue and lymph node in the ITS1-PCR.

According to the obtained results, when analyzing the 50 dogs, the splenic tissue samples performed better in all the trials, however the blood tissue sensitivity was also satisfactory in the NESTED SSU rRNA-PCR and MC-PCR. Blood tissue is a sample that is easier to access and with less invasive obtention. One of the concerns surrounding the use of spleen biopsy or its aspirate is the risk of severe bleeding or even death of the animal [16]. The collection of splenic tissue requires the participation of veterinarian with experience and with deep knowledge of canine anatomy, [3] which makes it difficult to use in an epidemiological inquiry. Therefore, when considering the health risks of the animal, blood becomes the best option for the molecular diagnosis of LVC in these two molecular targets, the NESTED SSU-rRNA and the kDNA.

The MC-PCR methodology used in the present work was performed with primers MC1 and MC2 that were designed specifically for the species *L. donovani* and *L. infantum* [1,4,18]. The positivity for these
primers was 49/50 (98%) of the dogs that presented amplification in at least one of the analyzed samples. The observed result proves that the species prevalent in the city of Montes claros is *L. infantum*, since it is the species associated with the transmission of CVL in urban areas [19].

The possibility of serological cross-reaction and the occurrence of co-infection with other trypanosomatids makes the specificity rate to be below 100%, which has suggested the use of more accurate diagnostic tools [17]. The study confirmed that there was no difference between the serological results indirect immunofluorescence (RIFI) and immunoenzymatic assay (ELISA) with the adopted PCR protocols, once that 100% of the dogs researched had positive serology and positive molecular diagnosis in at least one of the analyzed materials and protocols.

According to Dourado (2007)[7] and Silveira *et al.* (2018) [19] PCR results can be influenced by parasite load, used methodology to DNA purification, primer selection, sample type, and presence of inhibitors. For this reason the difference in sensitivity of each methodology studied.

Regarding the samples, the work demonstrated that spleen and lymph node biopsies are considered efficient for molecular diagnosis of the LVC, since *Leishmania infantum* tends to migrate to these organs during the course of the disease. However, the risk to the animal should be considered in choosing the biological material to be used. Already the liver tissue was the least reactive in the three groups of analyzed dogs.

**CONCLUSION**

The present study demonstrated that the Nested SSU rRNA-PCR methodology is the best choice for the molecular diagnosis of the LVC in both asymptomatic and symptomatic dogs. As this methodology presented satisfactory results for blood tissue samples and taking into account the practicality and being a less invasive collection, this peripheral blood combination with Nested SSU rRNA-PCR was shown in this work the best methodology for the molecular diagnosis of canine Leishmaniasis.
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