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Abstract: Recent technological developments, such as the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence, edge, and cloud computing, have paved the way in transforming traditional healthcare systems into smart healthcare (SHC) systems. SHC escalates healthcare management with increased efficiency, convenience, and personalization, via use of wearable devices and connectivity, to access information with rapid responses. Wearable devices are equipped with multiple sensors to identify a person’s movements. The unlabeled data acquired from these sensors are directly trained in the cloud servers, which require vast memory and high computational costs. To overcome this limitation in SHC, we propose a federated learning-based person movement identification (FL-PMI). The deep reinforcement learning (DRL) framework is leveraged in FL-PMI for auto-labeling the unlabeled data. The data are then trained using federated learning (FL), in which the edge servers allow the parameters alone to pass on the cloud, rather than passing vast amounts of sensor data. Finally, the bidirectional long short-term memory (BiLSTM) in FL-PMI classifies the data for various processes associated with the SHC. The simulation results proved the efficiency of FL-PMI, with 99.67% accuracy scores, minimized memory usage and computational costs, and reduced transmission data by 36.73%.
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1. Introduction

Recent advancements in Internet of Things (IoT) technologies have modernized conventional healthcare systems, e.g., via face-to-face consultations in smart healthcare (SHC) systems [1,2]. Wearable devices are key “enablers” of SHC is. Accordingly, SHC encompasses wearable devices, IoT-enabled technology, and mobile internet connectivity. Wearable devices are electronic devices equipped with multiple sensors, which patients wear in order for their health conditions to be monitored, recorded, and analyzed [3]. SHC dynamically accesses information from wearable devices, connects with healthcare managers/clinicians, materials, and institutions, and then actively manages and intelligently responds to medical ecosystem needs.
Monitoring the activities/movements of patients is one of the essential responsibilities in SHC [1,4]. A person’s movement identification (PMI) is an emerging research field, in which the individual’s movements are extensively monitored and, thus, the person could receive immediate attention if required. Sensors attached with wearable devices are used to identify the movement of a person. The wearable sensor collects all of the physical activities of an individual, which can be used to understand his/her behavior, and is helpful in activity recognition and classification problems [5,6]. Ambient sensors and wearable sensors are two traditional sensors used in PMI. Ambient sensor-based techniques use temperature, sound, and sensors to detect the activity. For health monitoring and to offer clinically valuable data for healthcare, wearable sensors are attached with wearable products or directly with the body [7]. The sensors detect the physical, chemical, or biological property quantities and convert them into readable signals. The signals are then aggregated and transferred to the centralized cloud server for further processing [3]. However, there are a lot of issues in PMI that arise due to sensor data.

The built-in sensors predict day-to-day human behavior, which require heavy intensive processes to annotate the detected data. So, wearable sensors continuously generate unlabeled data. It is essential to build a training model to train unsupervised data [2,8], as the traditional training model uses the training dataset where the data are labeled. Moreover, in real-time, the PMI heavily relies on the age group of the patient and the location of the wearable device. The generated motion patterns may differ from the sensors on different positions on the same person doing the same movements. Existing works [9–17], identify the person’s activity from repetitive actions, such as walking, running, standing, and sitting, with moderately increased accuracy. However, observing, identifying, and processing the sensor data collected by the other wearable devices, such as sensors, GPS, accelerometer, etc., is still a challenge, as it helps to recognize more complex human movements. Moreover, depending on the patient’s age group, the same sensor in the wearable device may produce different results. Hence, feature extraction plays a significant role in PMI, yet the existing works could only extract low-level features. Considering the contexts and the locations, detecting the accurate movements of a person is quite challenging in SHC. Therefore, the advanced techniques that extract the high-level features with more context in promoting accurate PMI are essential. In addition, traditional techniques transfer the data being collected from the wearable sensor to the centralized cloud server [12,18].

In cloud computing, managing a huge amount of raw data is quite complex and, thus, increases computational costs. Moreover, the processing server requires uninterrupted connectivity for the best and most reliable communication, which is a serious concern in SHC when monitoring a patient’s vital signs. Edge computing was introduced to overcome the limitations associated with centralized cloud computing and offloading the computations closer to end-users [7]. Edge computing deploys distributed edge servers for easier computation and resources at the location where data are produced. Tremendous advantages of edge computing over cloud computing include high efficiency, increased scalability, lower response time, improved reliability, privacy, and security [19–21]. Leveraging edge computing in SHC increases the efficiency in PMI and reduces the computational costs. However, traditional intelligent approaches at edge servers expect centrally generated training models, whereas edge computing distributes and processes the sensitive sensor data locally. Thus, to overcome the issue that remains in traditional learning approaches, federated learning (FL) was introduced [22].

FL is a distributed machine learning approach that encourages the participation of all wearable devices and centralized cloud servers to train a shared global model [23–27]. In other words, it is a training method across several decentralized edge devices or servers that keep local data samples without exchanging them [28–30]. In our case, the data collected by each wearable device does not need to be sent to the centralized cloud server, and they are trained using FL across multiple devices. The distinctive feature of FL is that each wearable device can train a local model by utilizing its data. The model parameters, whichever is
achieved from the local model, are then transferred to the cloud server to update the shared global model [31–33].

Therefore, in this paper, we propose federated learning-based PMI (FL-PMI) using wearable devices in SHC. The FL-PMI uses bidirectional long short-term memory (BiLSTM) to extract features from the unlabeled sensor data and leverages deep reinforcement learning (DRL) to classify the data. The FL at the edge servers trains the unlabeled data and recognizes human activities effectively. The illustration of the proposed FL-PMI framework is given in Figure 1. In FL-PMI, the data collected by each wearable device do not need to be sent to the centralized cloud server, and they are trained using federated learning (FL) across multiple devices. The distinctive feature of FL is that each wearable device can train a local model by utilizing its data. Hence, the model parameters alone, whichever is achieved from the local model, are then transferred to the cloud server to update the shared global model. Thus, the complexity of the proposed FL-PMI framework is reduced to a greater extent.

![Figure 1. FL-PMI architecture for predicting a person’s accurate movement in a SHC environment.](image)

The key contributions of this paper are:

1. The BiLSTM uses two hidden neural network states to infer high-level features from the unlabeled raw data and enhance the classification module.
2. The interspace reward law in DRL trains the unlabeled data collected from each wearable device and supports the SHC with an auto-labeling module.
3. The FL-based edge learning model receives the local parameter and updates the global model to push it to the wearable devices.
4. Overall, the FL-PMI improves accuracy, boosts learning efficiency, and reduces computational costs.
The remainder of this article is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the deep analysis over the related research works to determine the person’s movement in SHC. Section 3 delivers the overview of the proposed FL-PMI framework, and formulates the components and key functions of FL-PMI. Section 4 envisions the detailed interpretation of the algorithms used in FL-PMI, such as DRL, FL, and BiLSTM. Section 5 discloses the comprehensive performance analysis of the FL-PMI framework and compares the results with the existing related works. Finally, Section 6 concludes the article by giving a promising future direction of this research.

2. Related Work

This section discusses the various related research works in PMI. The techniques used for PMI are applied in various applications, such as physical activity recognition in gyms, fall detection, etc. PMI is a complex time series classification problem and, thus, can be viewed as an artificial intelligence technology that studies the given data and identifies human behavior based on the behavioral observations found through training [9].

Traditionally, ambient and wearable sensors are two sensor-based approaches used in PMI. The ambient sensor-based approach uses temperature, sound, and sensors to detect human activities. Other wearable sensors include electrocardiography (ECG), magnetometer, accelerometer, textile-based sensors, and gyroscope, which are used to predict PMI [34–36]. In [10], ambient sensor usage is discussed, and a unique framework that employs multivariate Gaussian, which analyzes various dependencies and results in one outcome. The system learns the properties of the human activity model through maximum likelihood estimation. The architecture is ideally suited to single and multi-dwelling environments, and it provides a ubiquitous sensible contexts for the aged, paralyzed, and caregivers. However, this framework does not consider three-dimensional data, which is a disadvantage. In [12], the use of intelligent inertial sensors to recognize human activities is demonstrated. However, developing a cost-efficient algorithm to minimize the computational cost in the RF sensors is still a challenge [11].

Smart sensing devices are linked to various sensors, including locomotion sensors, which allow for continuous and passive monitoring of human activity. This model learns and recognizes fine-grained PMI by combining the circumstance factors with activities of daily living. In [13], wearable device-based applications for prevention and rehabilitation related to physical activities through swimming exercise are discussed and a multi-user therapist system is proposed. It collects the actions of the individuals during the restoration. It is transmitted via different sensors to the therapist to provide immediate real-time feedback combined with a cloud-supported system. However, the complexity of the system architecture is high, so real-time communication delays are much costlier in the rehabilitation system.

In [37], the locomotion mode recognition using wearable sensors is demonstrated. The models show an intelligent perception by employing adaptable electromyography (EMG) sensors and a forty-eight-channel plantar stress distribution sensor for locomotion mode recognition. In this model, both sensors collect data sent to end terminals where machine learning algorithms are implemented. In [14], the accelerometer sensor is used to record human activities, and the approach employs the supervised regularization-based robust subspace (SRRS) learning method to learn low-dimensional intrinsic features. Because the sensor provides a time-frequency representation, the influence of noise is eliminated in this model.

In [15], a supervised deep multimodal aggregation architecture for observing and authenticating the medical and healthcare industries, through concurrent processes of multiple motion data collected with a portable sensor, and video data captured by a body-mounted camera, is described. The merging of pictures and inertial data for increasing the performance of PMI with various motion depth data from inertial sensors is described in [16]. To extract essential features from the pictures of inertial sensors, bi-convolutional neural networks (bi-CNNs) were employed, and to train fused features, a recurrent neural
network (RNN) classifier was used. These methods had limitations in the case of unla-
beled data. A multimodal PMI system is proposed in [17] to sense the multiple activities
performed simultaneously. However, in the multimodal classifier system, the trained data
associated with different people did not include user-specific activity deviations and, thus,
resulted in low recognition accuracy results.

In PMI, to provide an accurate output, a large amount of user data, mostly unlabeled
raw data, must be trained. Because user data are scattered across multiple places, aggrega-
tion is challenging to achieve. DRL is a subfield of machine learning, which incorporates
both reinforcement learning (RL) and deep learning [38]. It demonstrates the use of DRL
for PMI. This model uses the camera of a robot to sense the activity. The activities are
identified with greater accuracy and minimal energy consumption with the help of DRL
with a sophisticated environment to explore. In [39], the use of FL to tackle the problems,
such as computing the numerous data from various users and the centralized cloud server,
is discussed. It uses FL to aggregate data before using transfer learning to create person-
alized models. FL with edge computing is described in [40]. The approach divides the
updating of the local model process, which is expected to be accomplished independently
by edge devices. To boost learning efficiency and reduce global communication frequency,
the outputs of edge devices are consolidated in the edge server. This model gives increased
performance. In [41], FL is shown as a generic classifier by combining multiple machine
learning models. The proposed model uses deep neural networks and a softmax regression.
This model also offers a new FL model that detects and rejects the erroneous clients that
improve performance.

Though the existing works have relatively high accuracy in PMI, there are some lim-
itations. The first limitation is that they lag complex sensor visions to identify complex
movements. The second limitation is the low-level consideration of the unlabeled data.
The third limitation involves computational costs, where the techniques that do not con-
sider unlabeled data result in lower accuracy in PMI, whereas the methods that consider
unlabeled data end up with high computational costs. The final limitation involves the
complexities associated with the centralized server. Therefore, this paper proposes FL-PMI,
in which the BiLSTM extracts the high-level features, the DRL enhances the auto-labeling
model, and the FL at the edge servers process on the sensor data, and allow the model
parameters alone to share on the centralized server.

3. Federated Learning-Based Person Movement Identification through Wearable
Devices in Smart Healthcare Systems

This section encompasses the introduction and formal description of the PMI problem,
particularly under SHC environments, and the core functions of the DRL mechanism in the
FL-PMI framework.

3.1. Problem Definition

In the SHC environment, PMI is an important task that must be monitored continu-
ously, as wearable devices will constantly generate the data. One of the most challenging
aspects of creating the classifier is determining how to reasonably separate the data sup-
plied by various devices. This separation helps the PMI system to extract various attributes
in each movement of the person. The BiLSTM model, in particular, is a type of RNN
that combines certain gates and memory cells to capture the long-term dependencies of
the sequence data. The RNN model works on labeled data. So, FL-PMI utilizes the BiL-
STM model, which will be incorporated with the DRL framework to detect the behavioral
activities of humans. DRL in FL-PMI discovers what actions an agent can take in each state.

As discussed earlier, the data propagated by sensors in wearable devices, such as
accelerometers and gyroscopes, are unlabeled data. Given the PMI problem in SHC
environments, the concept of data may be defined as follows: at a particular time \( t \), the
unlabeled data $D_t$ obtained from various sensors in various wearable devices are commonly expressed as a set of unlabeled data, $UD$, as,

$$UD(D_t, C, W)$$

where $D_t = \{d^n_t | n \in 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N\}$ denotes the unlabeled dataset and $N$ is the number of data in the dataset. $C = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \ldots, c_n\}$ denotes the patient’s personal data, and $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, \ldots, w_n\}$ denotes the set of wearable devices.

The change in context will cause a massive shift in the person’s behavior. As a result, understanding the person’s actions in connection to his/her surroundings is crucial. The context is determined by height, weight, gender, age, and other factors. In addition, to focus on the specific characteristics of the contexts individually, a four-dimensional tuple is constructed as follows:

$$CTX_i = (h_i, v_i, g_i, a_i)$$

where $h_i$, $v_i$, $g_i$, $a_i$ denotes the height, weight, gender, and age of the person.

In addition to the characteristics of the person, the wearable devices can be located in different regions (e.g., inside the body, such as the brain, skin, heart, lungs, etc., as well as on mobile devices), which has a greater impact on the movement prediction. While training the model using the data, the region where the wearable devices are present should also be considered. Multiple wearable device data generated for the given context have different characteristics. The location/position where the wearable device $w_i$ is located is described as $loc_i$.

In FL-PMI, three contextual models of sensor data are considered—intensity, inclination, and the location. Thus, it is mathematically formulated as,

$$SD_i(\zeta_i, \angle_i, loc_i)$$

where $SD_i$ is the sensory data, $\zeta_i$, $\angle_i$, and $loc_i$ are the characteristics of the sensor such as, light intensity, angular direction, and the location, respectively.

3.2. Framework Overview

DRL combines neural networks with a framework of reinforcement learning that helps them reach their goals. Reinforcement learning does not depend on labeled datasets, and it uses rewards and penalties instead of labeled data to train and learn about the dataset. The data collected by wearable sensors are unlabeled data trained using DRL. Moreover, a framework was developed that integrates all of the data obtained from different sensors and trains the model. A DRL framework classifies the PMI in SHC contexts. Figure 2 displays the workflow of the proposed FL-PMI framework.

As mentioned, aggregating the data (generated by multiple wearable devices) into a single cloud server and then training the model is costly. So, in this framework, FL is utilized to resolve this issue. As shown in Figure 2, the FL-PMI framework consists of a data pre-processing component followed by three major components—the deep reinforcement auto-labeling component, the FL-classification component, and the fine-tune component. In the pre-processing step, the unlabeled raw data undergo a data cleaning process by removing irrelevant, duplicated, and adding missing values. Thus, the data cleaning procedure includes finding and removing duplicate values, converting data types from the observed sensor data, and adding missing values based on the other observations. After the data cleaning procedure is completed, the data are delivered to the auto-labeling component to manipulate any imperfect, inaccurate, or irrelevant sections of the raw data. The first component encompasses the DRL technique and the interspace reward “law” for auto-labeling. The input for this component are the sensory data, person’s data, position of sensors, and contextual sensor data.
In the DRL-based auto-labeling component, the data are labeled using reinforcement learning-based auto segmentation, where rewards and penalties are given based on the interspace. These labeled data are given as the input to the neural networks. Given the data $D_t$, the auto-labeling component converts the data to labeled data. At a given state $s_t$, for an input data $d_t$ at a time $t$, an agent $agt_t$ conducts an action $act_t$ to assign a target label $o_t$ to the given data. The agent rewards the correct set data and penalizes for wrongly assigned data. In this paper, an interspace-based reward and penalty rule was constructed. Each time the agent accurately predicts a label, it will be rewarded with a positive reward $1 \times \omega$. If the agent does not anticipate the label correctly, it will be penalized by $-1 \times \omega$, the interspace-based weight parameter.

After labeling the data, the data are passed to the FL and classification component. The FL network consists of multiple wearable devices. Wearable devices, such as biological sensors, gyroscopes, accelerometers, etc., can collect healthcare-related data. Each device is enabled with on-device model training through the BiLSTM-based DRL model for training the data. The local model is trained in each wearable device from the sensory data collected over time. After training, the model parameters extracted are transferred to the edge server to update the local model. The local models are then aggregated and transmitted to the cloud server to generate the global model. In the centralized server, the model change is done using the training parameters given by each device, and the new model is pushed back to the wearable device. Furthermore, the high-level features from the input data are extracted via the K-layer BiLSTM-based neural network. BiLSTM uses two hidden states to allow information to flow backward and forwards. As a result, BiLSTM has a greater understanding of the context. When the LSTM is used twice, it improves the learning of long-term dependencies and, as a result, the model’s accuracy. BiLSTM is made up of the following main components:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_t &= \sigma( W_{tf} \cdot [H_{d_{t-1}}, D_t] + B_f ) \\
    i_t &= \sigma( W_{ti} \cdot [H_{d_{t-1}}, D_t] + B_i ) \\
    o_t &= \sigma( W_{to} \cdot [H_{d_{t-1}}, D_t] + B_o ) \\
    C_t &= \tanh( W_{lc} \cdot [H_{d_{t-1}}, D_t] + B_c ) \\
    h_t &= o_t \times \tanh(C_{1t})
\end{align*}
\]
where \( f_t, i_t, \) and \( o_t \) are the forget gate, an input gate, and an output gate of BiLSTM that produce the target label, respectively. \( C_t, C_1 \) are the two storage cells for the state where the person does any activity, and \( h_t \) represents the hidden layer of BiLSTM. The sigmoid function \( \sigma \) introduces non-linear variations for the changes in sensory input of the wearable device. \( W_{lt}, W_{it}, W_{ltc}, \) and \( W_{lb} \) are the weights assigned to each component, and \( B_f, B_i, B_c, \) and \( B_o \) are the biases. To improve the training in the model, the Gaussian noise and dropout layer are included in the neural network. The sparse max function is used for the multi-classification.

4. Mechanisms for Unsupervised Person’s Movement Identification in a Smart Healthcare System

In this section, we introduce how to address the PMI problem with unlabeled data using an auto-labeling propagation technique that incorporates a reinforcement learning model. A DQN-based auto-labeling technique is shown, as well as an FL mechanism and a BiLSTM-based PMI classifier.

4.1. Reinforcement-Learning-Based Auto-Labeling Scheme

This auto-labeling scheme is used to label the unlabeled data using reinforcement learning, which has an optimal strategy to maximize the reward. DRN, a hybrid of Q-learning and deep neural networks, can solve complicated problems with uncertainty. The agent describes the auto-labeling scheme and a three-dimensional tuple \((s_t, act_t, rew_t)\) at a timestamp \(t\), where \(s_t, act_t, rew_t\) denotes the agent’s current state, the action performed by the agent, and the rewards for that particular action respectively. The reward function (RF) for evaluating the actions for each state is designed. The factors, such as state, action, and weight factor, are used for evaluation. This is expressed as,

\[
RF(s_t, act_t, \omega) = E \left( rew_{t+1}, \omega \Pi^Q(s_t, act_t, \theta) | s_t, act_t \right)
\]

(5)

The Q-function \(Q^\Pi(s_t, act_t, \theta)\) is a function that evaluates the agent’s cumulative reward anticipation based on stand \( act_t \), which is expressed as follows,

\[
Q^\Pi(s_t, act_t, \theta) = E_{\Pi} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega^i rew_{t+i} | s_t, act_t \right\}
\]

(6)

where \( \theta \) is the DRL parameter and \( \omega \in [0, 1] \) is the discount factor.

The RF is used to categorize unlabeled data accurately. So, the DRL in the initial stage employs unsupervised learning methodology to cluster the data and estimate the interspace between unlabeled and nearby labeled data. The interspace estimation helps the DRL in deducing \( \omega \) for each propagation action. Initially, at time \( t \), the input dataset \( X_t \) is grouped into \( m \) groups as \( K = \{k_1, k_2, k_3, \ldots, k_m\} \). Assuming that the adjacent group has labeled data \( k_m \), each unlabeled data \( d^m_l \in D_t, m \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, M\} \) computes the RF based on the interspace reward rule. The rule estimates the Euclidean space between the data \( d^m_l \) and group center \( k_m \). The propagation entropy of the labeling can be calculated in more detail as follows:

\[
\omega_{jm} = - \ln(\text{EucInterspace}(d^m_l, k_m))
\]

(7)

If the value exceeds a certain threshold, the label from this cluster \( k_m \) will be assigned to \( d^m_l \). The threshold is determined by the precision–recall curve approach, which focuses on the performance of the classifier on the positive only. To determine the threshold value, the entire dataset is divided into subsets, such as, training, validation, and testing. Among them, the training subset is used to determine the threshold value and the optimality of the obtained threshold is validated using the validation subset. Finally, by evaluating the state \( s_t \) at \( t \), auto-labeling aims to discover an optimal action \( act^*_t \) at each step. The pseudo-code for the DRL algorithm for auto-labeling is given in Algorithm 1. In the algorithm, episodes \( E \) refer to the entire task of auto-labeling, in which it holds the set of states, actions, and
rewards until it reaches the desired auto-labeling task. Total timestamp $T$ refers to the total time taken for the auto-labeling task.

**Algorithm 1** DRL-based auto-labeling algorithm.

**Input:** Unlabeled dataset $UD_t = \{d^n_t \mid n \in 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N\}$, clustering set $K = \{k_1, k_2, k_3, \ldots, k_m\}$

**Output:** Labeled action $act_t$ based on reward

1: Initialize a random value to the weight $\omega$ for the action value function
2: Initialize a random value to the weight $\omega$ for the target action value function
3: Initialize total episodes $E$
4: Initialize the total timestamp $T$
5: for $e$ in $E$ do
6: Initialize the starting state $S = \{s_1\}$
7: for $t$ in $T$ do
8: Perform a random action $act_t$ and observer the rewards $rew_t$ given by the agent $agt_t$ based on the state $s_t$, action $act_t$, and weight function $\omega$
9: Set $s_{t+1} = s_t$
10: Perform the transition between two states in mini-batches
11: if $e$ is completed then
12: Set $o_j = rew_j$
13: else
14: Set $o_j = RF(s_t, act_t, \omega)$
15: end if
16: Perform gradient descent to correct the weight
17: Reset all the variables
18: end for
19: end for
20: return labeled action $act_t$

4.2. Federated Learning-Based Classification of Labeled Data for Effective PMI

The data collected are each wearable device stored at the edge of the devices. In each wearable device, the characteristics of the person are stored, which is used while learning about the data.

In each wearable device, BiLSTM recurrent neural network extracts the features from the data. The training is done using the data for $I$ iterations with a batch size of BS and the weight for each BiLSTM model $wt_{i,j}$. Each batch of data goes through Gaussian noise, BiLSTM, drop out, and sparse max layers. The cost function calculates the training loss and the weights computed are transferred to the cloud server for updating and generating the global model. When the loss value is lesser than the threshold, the generated global model is applied to all the wearable devices for PMI efficiently in SHC environments. The pseudo-code for the process of FL and BiLSTM in classification is given in Algorithm 2.

The server receives the local parameters from each wearable device, where the global model will be updated using federated averaging and the weights associated with the local model. The estimated average is then used to update the model at the edge server and, thus, the global model is generated. This newly updated model will be pushed to each wearable device for the next round of training. Thus, learning and computation at the edge of the SHC network enhances higher accuracy through FL and minimizes computation costs.
Algorithm 2 FL-based classification algorithm

**Input:** labeled dataset $LD_t = \{d^n_t \mid n \in 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N\}$

**Output:** updated weight $W_{t}^{u}$

1: Initialize iteration $I$
2: Initialize batch size $BS$
3: Initialize random weight $w_{t,rd}^{e}$ for the local BiLSTM model in the edge (wearable device) at round $r_d$.
4: Initialize the learning rate $LR$
5: for $itr = 1$ to $I$ do
6: for $bs$ in edge servers do
7: Filter the data through the Gaussian noise layer $g_k = G(bs)$
8: Extract features using BiLSTM neural network $f_k = \text{biLSTM}(g_k)$
9: Pass through the dropout layer $DO_k = \text{DROPOUT}_{0.5}(f_k)$
10: Predict the activity for the human behavior $o_k$
11: Compute loss using the cost function of stochastic gradient descent $gd_k$
12: Update the local model in edge servers by $w_{t,rd}^{e} = w_{t,rd}^{e} - LR_{bs} \times gd_k$
13: end for
14: Send the weights $w_{t,rd}^{e}$ to the cloud server for generating global model
15: end for

5. Performance Evaluation

Comparison studies with various sensor data obtained in the actual world were done to evaluate the effectiveness of our solution to the PMI in SHC.

5.1. Dataset for the Experiment

Two free datasets from [http://www.sal.disco.unimib.it/technologies/unimib-shar/](http://www.sal.disco.unimib.it/technologies/unimib-shar/) and [https://sensor.informatik.uni-mannheim.de/#dataset_realworld](https://sensor.informatik.uni-mannheim.de/#dataset_realworld) were downloaded for the comparative evaluation of the proposed method, which was obtained using mobile phones and wearable sensors [6,18]. The devices with wearable sensors were connected and adequately managed with the help of a network provider in the SHC environment. The dataset holds acceleration data obtained from the smartphone with an android operating system. The wearable devices are smartphones with the sensors, such as sound level data, magnetic field, GPS, gyroscope, acceleration, and light data of the activities, such as walking, standing, lying, sitting, climbing stairs down and up, jumping, and running/jogging. For each activity, the on-body positions chest, head, shin, thigh, arm, and waist were simultaneously recorded. The characteristics of the dataset could be divided into two sub-categories. One is the characteristics of the sensor, such as, light intensity, angular direction, and the location. Another is the characteristics of the person such as height, weight, gender, age of the person. The dataset is displayed in Table 1.

Table 1. Position of the wearable devices over the persons.

| Wearable Device | Position on the Person |
|-----------------|------------------------|
| W1              | Arm                    |
| W2              | Thigh                  |
| W3              | Head                   |
| W4              | Shin                   |
| W5              | Waist                  |
| W6              | Chest                  |

The first dataset consists of 13,112 actions completed by 28 people ranging in age from 17 to 65 years old [6]. This data collection included nine different types of everyday activities and eight different types of falls. In our proposed FL-PMI approach, we considered the
“whole” actions, such as standing, sitting, lying, climbing up, climbing down, walking, and jogging. On the other hand, the sensors on the wearable devices collect another dataset, including many context details, such as accurate positions through GPS, electric charges, acoustic measurement, light intensity, light refraction, and light reflection. This dataset included acceleration data from 15 people (8 women and 8 men, ages 32.8 ± 11.5, height 162.1 ± 7.7, and weight 75.3 ± 12.5) who participated in eight different types of activities. The on-body positions of the head, chin, forearm, chest, waist, and thigh were simultaneously recorded for each exercise.

5.2. Experimental Setup

The complete dataset, which is composed of two datasets as mentioned in Section 5.1, was broken into three sections: (1) a training subset of 65%; (2) a validation subset of 15%; and (3) a testing subset of 20%. The unsupervised learning model was built using both the wearable devices and the context information of humans in the training set, and the parameters in DQN and BiLSTM were trained. The validation subset was used to alter the model’s pattern and variables to avoid the overfitting problem.

The criteria utilized to evaluate the performance of the recommended method are precision, recall, and F1-score. The unlabeled data were divided into different ratios ranging from 30% to 99.9%. For PMI performance comparison in SHC contexts, the CNN [16], SRRS [14], and DRL [38]-based classifiers were considered. For CNN, initially, the dataset was divided into five groups. The first two groups were used for training. The third group was used for testing. Among the remaining two groups, one group was used for feature extraction and another was used for classification. For training, we used mean squared error as the loss function and trained the CNN with the learning rate of 0.06. For SRRS, we split the dataset into five subsets with equal size, and each time, one subset was used for testing and the remaining subsets were used for training. For training, we used stochastic gradient descent as the loss function and trained the SRRS with the learning rate of 0.06. For DRL, we first trained a neural network for PMI. The entire dataset was divided into three groups. The first group contained 65% of data for training the mechanism. The second group had 15% of data for validating. The final group had 20% of data for testing. The DRL had a learning rate of 0.06. The auto-labeling, classification, and fine-tuning components use gradient descent optimizer and sparsemax regression to get classification results after fully connected layers. To avoid overfitting, dropout regularization with a dropout of 0.32 was used. With a batch size of 28.6, the learning rate was set to 0.06. Dropout regularization was a method of dropping the sample along with the connections for increasing the training time and avoiding the overfitting problem. So, in order to avoid the overfitting problem and to increase the training time, we fixed the dropout regularization as 0.32. Another important factor was learning rate. Lowering the learning rate lowers the possibility of overfitting. Hence, we fixed the learning rate as 0.06. Every 150 steps inside each episode, we reset the value of the Q-function.

5.3. Evaluation of Auto-Labeling

Considering the unlabeled data in the SHC environment, we evaluated the efficacy of the FL-PMI auto-labeling approach. We approached this by trying to predict unusual activities that refer the actions, whichever was not listed early, such as standing, sitting, lying, climbing up, climbing down, walking, and jogging. To compare the effectiveness of FL-PMI with the existing approaches, evaluations were done using sections of the unlabeled data ranging from 30% to 99.9%. The comparison results of F1-score, precision, and recall, are shown in Figures 3–5, respectively.

The techniques have higher performance when the dataset holds completed labeled data, as shown in Figures 3–5. However, as the percentage of unlabeled data increases, the outcomes of all approaches deteriorate. Because the FL-PMI’s auto-labeling approach benefits the suggested unsupervised framework with an average F1-score of 0.81, even under the deviations in unlabeled data.
Figure 3. Analysis of auto-labelling in terms of the F1-score.

Figure 4. Analysis of auto-labelling in terms of precision.

Figure 5. Analysis of auto-labelling in terms of recall.

5.4. Performance Evaluation for FL-PMI

To effectively predict the PMI, we considered eight regular activities of a human, such as standing, sitting, lying, climbing up and down, walking, and jogging, which are the parts of the initial datasets taken from [6,18]. Initially, we analyzed the performance of the proposed FL-PMI mechanism to observe the level of classification in regular human activities. Thus, the ROC curve demonstrates the level of classification. The ROC curve compares performance for various activities between FL-PMI and the existing approaches. The comparative analysis is plotted in Figure 6.
From Figure 6, it is observed that the entire ROC curves are centered (black line) on the inclined line in the top left corner, indicating that all of the approaches in the test scenario generally have favorable impacts. Compared to the other three approaches, it is clear that the FL-PMI produces a significant gain in the area under the curve (AUC) value. FL-PMI, in particular, obtains an AUC of 0.98, whereas the CNN, DRL, and SRRS methods can only reach values of 0.78, 0.76, and 0.92, respectively.

In addition, the performance of FL-PMI was evaluated against the existing system, which uses the same dataset. For this evaluation, we considered the most popular and widely used UniMiB SHAR [18] dataset. The existing systems, such as fall detection from activities of daily living (FDC-ADL) [42], hybrid CNN and LSTM (H-CL) [43], and the hidden Markov model-based technique for human activity recognition (HMM-HAR) [44] are used for the comparative analysis for evaluating the performance metrics, such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. Among the 13,112 actions, 20% actions were used for testing. So, we tested 2622 actions and measured the accuracy. The comparative results are displayed in Table 2. From the table, it is observed that the FL-PMI outperforms the existing systems, which use the same dataset with higher performance metrics.

Table 2. Comparative analysis of FL-PMI with existing system, which used the same dataset.

| Metrics  | FL-PMI | FDC-ADL [42] | H-CL [43] | HMM-HAR [44] |
|----------|--------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Accuracy | 99.67  | 99.02        | 98.43     | 99.32        |
| Precision| 99.37  | 98.65        | 98.21     | 98.98        |
| F1-Score | 98.92  | 98.34        | 97.73     | 98.44        |
| Recall   | 99.11  | 98.06        | 98.56     | 98.84        |

5.5. Evaluation Based on Feature Quantities

Furthermore, we experimented by mixing different types of sensor data in terms of recognition performance to evaluate how the FL-PMI framework influenced the technique recognition performances. For the evaluation, 45 characteristics were collected from the sensors in wearable devices, such as contextual data and other characteristics of the sensor data. To see how these attributes impacted the technique identification performances, we ran tests on all of them, taking into account features ranging from 4 to 45. Figures 7–9 depict the experimental outcomes accordingly.
The following are some notable observations from the evaluation results achieved.

First, as shown in Figure 7, we examined the F1-score metric for the methods. We find that the FL-PMI approach significantly outperforms the other three methods in terms of F1-score. The proposed scheme has a higher overall F1-score than the others, especially when all characteristics are considered. It suggests that FL can help PMI function better in SHC situations.

Second, the precision findings for the techniques are depicted in Figure 8. The graph shows that all four accuracy curves exhibit a generally rising trend as the number of features grows. It is worth noting that all of the curves emerge mainly when the feature quantity approaches 28 and stabilizes at 33, indicating that the five features (numbers 29–33) can improve performance by around 19.4% and provide considerable support for PMI in SHC situations.
settings. Although all techniques produce good precision values when all the characteristics are comprised in the dataset, the FL-PMI outperforms with the highest precision value and achieves overall higher performance.

Finally, the recall results for the four approaches are shown in Figure 9. From the figure, it is clear that the deep learning approaches outperform a traditional machine learning method, implying that deep learning-based methods are more suited to this issue. Furthermore, our technique surpasses the CNN technique, suggesting that an auto-labeling strategy based on unsupervised learning might improve recall values. In recall, the unlabeled data help the training model to extract the essential high-level features from the raw data encompassing both labeled and unlabeled data.

5.6. Computational Complexity Analysis

This section provides an analysis and comparison of FL-PMI’s computational complexity with the existing techniques, such as DRL, CNN, and SRRS. This evaluation considers the crucial parameters, such as total training time, memory usage, and amount of data transmitted. The total training time in computational complexity is employed to assess the time required to train the model. Memory usage assesses the total memory needed to transmit and process the data received from the sensors attached with wearable devices. The amount of data transmitted directly estimates the communication overhead that deals with the total amount of data being exchanged between the edge servers and the cloud server.

Figure 10 shows the analysis of training time of various algorithms with the FL-PMI methodology by varying the training data size. In this evaluation, we considered the negligible round trip time (RTT) between the edge and cloud servers. The analysis shows that the proposed FL-PMI has the lowest training time range, with 3.5 min even for the highest data sizes. On the other hand, SRRS shows the highest training time. The lowest training time for FL-PMI is due to the training and processing of FL taking place at the edge servers. The edge servers in the FL-PMI store the hyperparameters analyzed by the FL technique and endeavor to enhance the classification and accuracy of PMI.

![Figure 10. Comparative analysis of training time concerning training data size.](image)

In addition, all of the edge servers in the network voluntarily participate in the training process until the global model is achieved. Thus, FL-PMI distributes the training dataset among the edge servers. Existing solutions that rely entirely on the cloud server achieve higher training time, as the cloud server is distributed as public, private, and hybrid. Moreover, the increased training time in the cloud server raises the question of scalability.

The analysis of the memory used concerning the number of sensors in the wearable devices are shown in Figure 11. The figure shows that when the number of sensors increases, the memory used by the data transmitted by the devices also increases. We considered a maximum of 1000 sensors from the network of several wearable devices in different subjects. After training the FL-PMI framework with the downloaded datasets, we assume that the trained wearable devices (containing local model) held sensors ranging from 0...
to 1000. These sensors generate multimodal data based on the activities of the person. The data size we considered here was in a range from 0.36 to 0.5 MB. Considering these simulation parameters, we simulated the proposed FL-PMI approach and the existing approaches; the obtained results are plotted in Figures 10–13. However, FL-PMI occupies less memory as it allows the storage of the parameters of the trained data on the cloud. The reason behind this is, when concerning the number of sensors in the wearable devices, the trained wearable devices transmit the model parameters alone to the cloud server instead of the raw sensor data. This reduces the large raw data to be stored in the cloud server, minimizing the memory usage of the cloud server. As a result, in the FL-PMI framework, even though the number of sensors increases, the memory usage of the cloud server will be less, this in turn minimizes the complexity of the entire system. At the same time, other mechanisms that store the complete data on the cloud occupy more memory.

Figure 11. Comparative analysis of memory usage concerning the number of sensors in wearable devices.

Figure 12. Comparative analysis of data transmitted concerning the number of sensors in the wearable device.

Figures 12 and 13 show the communication overhead of the FL-PMI, DRL, CNN, and SRRS algorithms with varying data sizes, and the number of sensors in the wearable devices. The data transmission directly affects the communication overhead, as it computes the total amount of data being transmitted between the edge server and the cloud server while training the BiLSTM model. Thus, the overall data transmitted is calculated by considering the estimated number of rounds, the total BiLSTM cell weights involved in the transmission, the number of edge servers, and the random number of edge servers shortlisted in each round of computation. The edge server transmits the local model for each estimated number of rounds to the cloud server in FL-PMI. Finally, the cloud server re-transmits the computed global model to the shortlisted edge servers.
Figure 12 compares the total amount of data transmitted with the total number of sensors attached to the wearable devices. It is observed from the figure that, when compared with the other centralized cloud-based mechanisms, FL-PMI has a lower amount of data transmission, which reduces the communication overhead.

Similarly, Figure 13 shows the comparative analysis of data transmission concerning the size of the dataset. In consideration of various datasets, the FL-PMI transmits lower data as the granularity of the dataset increases with time. Another reason behind the lower data transmission for FL-PMI is that the FL algorithm only sends the local model to the cloud servers, reducing the total data transmission to a greater extent. In other words, the pre-processing steps carried out by the FL in FL-PMI and allowing the parameters alone to store on the cloud minimize the data being transmitted over the communication medium. Thus, FL-PMI has reduced communication overhead compared with the DRL, CNN, and SRRS methodologies. The simulation results reveal that FL-PMI has 99.67% accuracy as well as reduced computation costs 5 times than the existing, reduced memory usage 2 times than the existing, and reduced transmission data by 36.73%.

6. Conclusions

This paper proposed FL-PMI, a FL-based health monitoring system that identifies and observes patients’ activities and movements through wearable sensing devices. The unlabeled data received from the wearable devices are labeled by the DRL algorithm employed in FL-PMI. Unlike traditional methodologies, which store all data on the cloud, FL-PMI stores only the parameters of the trained data stored in the cloud via the employed FL in the edge servers. Further, the leveraged BiLSTM methodology helped classify data for future medical processes. The performance of the proposed FL-PMI was evaluated with existing solutions, in terms of accuracy, computation cost, memory usage, and transmission data. The simulation results reveal that FL-PMI has 99.67% accuracy, which is 0.35% more than existing and reduced computation costs, memory usage, and transmission data. However, FL-PMI may be vulnerable to privacy issues and security threats, leading to serious concerns in SHC. Blockchain technology enhances the user’s privacy and, thus, locally trained models are secured. Hence, we are planning to embed blockchain techniques to avoid malicious attacks in the future.
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