Hatching under brownification: DOC-mediated changes in physical, but not chemical properties of water affect hatching patterns of Cladocera resting eggs
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Abstract
Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) is often related to the brownification of water in continental aquatic systems and to changes in the physiology of zooplankton organisms. Zooplankton resting eggs are particularly sensitive to changes in light and chemical characteristics of water, but the physical and chemical effects associated to DOC on dormant stages have never been tested before. Herein, we tested how DOC affects hatching rates and time to hatching of Cladocera (Diaphanosoma birgei) resting eggs. In order to analyze the chemical (i.e., toxic) and physical (i.e., light attenuation) effects of DOC on hatching patterns, resting eggs were exposed to different concentrations of DOC (0, 50, and 100 mg L⁻¹) in an experimental design which isolated chemical from physical effects. When evaluating the physical effects of DOC, hatching was more than 150% less in 100 than 50 mg L⁻¹ DOC and time to hatching was 66% lesser in 50 mg L⁻¹ DOC than control. Hatchling numbers and time to hatching were not affected by DOC chemical effects. We conclude DOC effects on hatching mainly relied on light attenuation, while chemical effects were likely of minor importance. DOC may change Cladocera emergence patterns mainly through light attenuation in the water column.
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Introduction
Freshwater environments worldwide are subjected to an increase in water color through the process called “brownification.” Brownification is an increase in dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentration mainly caused by changes in precipitation and temperature which increase the input of allochthonous carbon (Evans et al. 2005; Roulet and Moore 2006) and accelerates the decomposition of organic matter (Weyhenmeyer and Karlsson 2009). Natural DOC concentrations in water bodies vary around 1–30 mg L⁻¹, but in some cases DOC concentration may reach up to 100 mg L⁻¹, and in some rare cases can exceed 300 mg L⁻¹ (Sobek et al. 2007).

About 95% of DOC in aquatic systems are composed by humic substances (HS), a complex and chemical variable polymer (Ertel and Perdue 1984). HS can affect aquatic ecosystems physically, i.e., by light attenuation (Jones 1992; Ask et al. 2009), and chemically, i.e., through molecule complexation (Steinberg et al. 2003) and toxic effects (Vigneault et al. 2000; Saebelfeld et al. 2017). As a result, the increase in DOC and HS in aquatic systems has been considered an important factor for biodiversity loss (Hedström et al. 2017; Urrutia-Cordero et al. 2017; Arzel et al. 2020). HS may alter the trophic structure of aquatic environments through light attenuation by decreasing primary production (Salonen et al. 1992; Hansson et al. 2013) and by decreasing the detection capacity of visual hunting predators (Santonja et al. 2017). HS may chemically interfere in aquatic systems by serving as an energy source for bacteria and by promoting toxic effects in zooplankton (Jansson et al. 1999; Ask et al. 2009). Due to the complex effects promoted by HS in varying aquatic systems, their effects on aquatic biota can be positive or negative depending on their chemical composition.
and concentration and on species identity. For example, regarding zooplankton organisms, DOC increments can alter vertical migration patterns (Węgleńska et al. 1997; Karpo-wicz and Ejsmont-karabin 2018) and some life history traits (Glover et al. 2005; Carvalho-Pereira et al. 2015; Cruz et al. 2016).

Many zooplankton organisms can produce a resting egg able to survive harsh environmental conditions. Resting eggs usually accumulate in the sediment and create a resting egg bank (Brendonck 1996). Resting eggs hatch when favorable environmental conditions resume and zooplankton colonize the water column. Thus, resting egg banks contribute to the maintenance and resilience of aquatic systems. Resting eggs hatching may be affected by a myriad of factors, but the presence of light is considered the main trigger for starting egg development (Pancella and Stross 1963; Vandekerkhove et al. 2005; Ślusarczyk and Flis 2019).

Although resting eggs are acknowledged to survive under many physical and chemical stressors, some chemical compounds like heavy metals and organic compounds can make resting eggs fail to hatch (Jiang et al. 2007; Aránguiz-Acuña and Serra 2016). The survival of resting eggs may depend on the identity and concentration of stressors, and the ability of eggs to withstand stressors is also taxa dependent (Aránguiz-Acuña et al. 2018). In addition, some compounds do not have direct effects on organisms, but affect the environment so that organisms are affected indirectly (Relyea and Hoverman 2006; Gessner and Tlili 2016), i.e., the triazine hoverman can diminish the phytoplankton biomass which reduces the abundance of herbivore zooplankton (Kasai and Hanazato 1995). Although DOC can be toxic for some active zoo-plankton and promote the “brownification” of aquatic environments, the effects of DOC on hatching patterns have not been evaluated before.

In this study we seek to evaluate the effects of DOC on hatching patterns of Diaphanosoma birgei (Cladocera) resting eggs through changes in the chemical and physical properties of water. We hypothesized that higher DOC concentrations cause chemical stress and at the same time attenuate light intensity in the water. As a consequence, hatching rates decrease and time to first hatching may increase. We encountered a predominant effect of light attenuation over chemical stress in the hatching rates of D. birgei resting eggs exposed to DOC concentrations.

Materials and methods

Resting eggs

The experiment used resting eggs obtained in sediment samples from an artificial, > 50-year-old lake located in the city of Seropédica (22° 45′ 56″ S, 43° 41′ 28″ W), Rio de Janeiro State, Southeastern Brazil. The water volume in the lake is exclusively maintained by rain and DOC concentrations vary around 12 mg L⁻¹. Sediment was collected in May 2017 with an 8 cm diameter sediment core. Sediment was kept in the dark under room temperature until the beginning of the experiment (2 months later) as described below.

Resting eggs were isolated from the sediment using the sugar flotation method (Onbé 1978; Vandekerkhove et al. 2004) and separated under a stereomicroscope. A total of 400 healthy-looking resting eggs of the cladoceran Diaphano-soma birgei (Korinek 1981) were used. Diaphanosoma resting eggs are released without ephippia, thus increasing the exposure of the embryo to light. The resting eggs were assigned to one of five treatments immediately after isolation from the sediment.

Dissolved organic carbon

We used water from Atoleiro pond as the source of DOC. Atoleiro is a natural intermittent small pond formed by the semi-permanent rising of the water table in the sandy soil in the Restinga de Jurubatiba National Park (22° 13′ S and 41° 29′ W, Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil). The water of this pond usually contains large amounts of DOC, with more than 90% of its concentration composed by allochthonous humic substances, mostly derived from organic matter present in the impermeable soil layer (Suhett et al. 2013). Water from this environment was previously used to evaluate the effects of different DOC concentrations on the life history of the cladoceran Moina macrocopa (Suhett et al. 2011). After collection, the water was kept in a dark room to protect the humic DOC from photo-oxidation and filtered shortly before use through GF/F glass-fiber filters (0.7 µm particle retention) to remove solid particles. After filtering, pond water was kept in the fridge at −4 °C to maintain its physical–chemical properties.

The DOC concentration in the pond water was measured using a high-temperature catalytic oxidation analyzer (Shimadzu TOC 5050) with Pt catalyst at 680 °C. A sample with phosphoric acid was purged before analyses to remove inorganic carbon. Synthetic air was used as carrier gas in the TOC analyzer. The DOC color measured at 254 nm wavelength was 0.3452 of absorbance (Shimadzu 1700 UV–Vis spectrophotometry). DOC concentration in the pond water was 123 mg L⁻¹.

Experimental design

Filtered pond water was diluted with mineral water (Minalba®, Brazil) shortly before (<24 h) the beginning of the experiment to obtain target concentrations of 50 and 100 mg L⁻¹ DOC and stored in the fridge during the experiment. The pH of all solutions was adjusted to 7.0.
with Ca(OH)₂ to avoid confounding effects of pH variability. Flasks of different volumes were used to isolate resting eggs from chemical (i.e., toxic) and physical (i.e., light attenuation) effects of DOC (Fig. 1). Small flasks (50 mL volume) were used to expose resting eggs to direct effects of DOC (chemical effects and physical effects) by incubating resting eggs in water containing the target DOC concentrations, while big flasks (500 mL volume) surrounding small flasks were used to expose resting eggs to physical effects of DOC. Thus, a small flask was kept inside a big flask in each replicate without the exchange of water between them. The small flasks were fixed in a glass structure at the bottom of the big flasks during the experiment (Fig. 1). The experiment design was composed of five treatments allowing to separate chemical from physical effects of DOC: (A) control treatment with 0 mg L⁻¹ DOC (mineral water) in both flasks; (B and D) water with 50 mg L⁻¹ or 100 mg L⁻¹ of DOC in both flasks, respectively. These treatments simultaneously evaluated both chemical and physical effects of DOC on hatching (“chemical + physical effect”); (C and E) mineral water in the small flask and water with 50 or 100 mg L⁻¹ DOC in the big flask, respectively (Fig. 1). These treatments evaluated indirect effects of DOC on hatching (“physical” effect) since resting eggs had no direct contact with DOC. DOC concentrations of 50 and 100 mg L⁻¹ were chosen based on the range (10 to > 100 mg L⁻¹) commonly observed in Brazilian humic lakes and ponds (Suhett et al. 2013). Each replicate received 10 resting eggs from D. birgei and each treatment had 4 replicates, resulting in 20 experimental units. All flasks were then kept at 22 °C under a 12:12-h light/dark cycle in a chamber, resembling the environment the resting eggs originated. The small flasks were observed under a stereoscopic microscope every other day and hatchlings were quantified and removed. Medium and flasks were changed every other day to keep the same experimental conditions throughout the experiment. Unhatched resting eggs were transferred to a Petri dish, quantified, and then transferred to a small new flask with renewed medium. Water temperature in the new flasks was allowed to stabilize at 22 °C before resting eggs were transferred. The experiment lasted 20 days while the last hatchling was observed 12 days after starting the experiment.

Treatments with DOC in small flasks and mineral water in big flasks were not used because light attenuation would occur inside the small flasks, so it was not possible to experimentally evaluate the chemical effects of DOC only. However, the chemical effects of DOC were inferred by comparing “chemical + physical” effects (treatments B and D) and “physical” effect treatments (C and E) under the same DOC concentration. If differences were observed, then we could assume it was due to chemical effects, because all treatments were under the same influence of physical effects.

### Data analysis

We used generalized linear models (GLMs) with a quasi-Poisson model and log link function to evaluate the “chemical + physical” and the “physical” effects of DOC in two response variables: hatching numbers and time for first hatching. First, two independent models were used to evaluate the “chemical + physical” and the “physical” effects of DOC by comparing treatments A, B, and D, and treatments A, C, and E, respectively (Fig. 1). Pairwise comparisons were performed between pairs of treatments when significant effects were observed. Additionally, treatments with the same DOC concentrations (50 or 100 mg L⁻¹) were compared to evaluate possible chemical effects of DOC (treatments B×D and C×E). If these pairs of treatments...
did not differ, then we assumed DOC had no chemical effects on hatching patterns. The significance of the models was assessed using likelihood ratio tests with quasi $F$-test. A bootstrap procedure resampling (499 replicates) was previously performed to compare the first hatching time, since some replicates had no hatching. This procedure was necessary to balance all factor levels (Warton et al. 2016).

All analyses were performed using R 4.0 (R Core Team 2020) using the vegan package for GLM analyses and emmeans package for pairwise post hoc tests. Graphs were constructed in the ggplot2 package.

Results

The first hatchlings were observed on the 2nd day after starting the experiment, and no more hatchlings were observed after 12 days (Fig. 2). Hatching numbers in the treatments varied from 2.25 ± 0.47 to 0.25 ± 0.25 in “physical” 50 and 100 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC concentration treatments, respectively. No difference in hatching numbers was observed when comparing the “chemical + physical” effects of DOC ($p=0.45$, $F=0.86$; treatments A, B, and D), while comparing “physical” effects the difference in treatments was significant ($p=0.04$, $F=4.57$; treatments A, C, and E). Post hoc tests showed that treatments 50 and 100 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC differed ($p=0.02$; treatments C and E) and hatching was more than 150% less in 100 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC treatment.

When comparing treatments with the same DOC concentration, no difference was found between “physical” and “chemical + physical” treatments with 50 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC ($p=0.78$, $F=0.08$, treatments B and C). Similarly, no difference was observed between “physical” and “chemical + physical” treatments with 100 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC ($p=0.30$, $F=1.28$, treatments D and E). Combined, these results suggest that physical effects of DOC are more important than chemical effects to determine hatching rates (Fig. 3).

The time to first hatching varied from 2 to 12 days in “physical” 50 and 100 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC concentration treatments, respectively. Significant differences were observed in “chemical + physical” ($p<0.01$, $F=3.37$; treatments A, B, and D) and “physical” effects of DOC ($p<0.001$, $F=5.14$; treatments A, C, and E). The time to first hatching in “chemical + physical” treatments was shorter in 50 than control, which was shorter than 100 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC. Compared to control, time to first hatching was 66% lesser in 50 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC and 100% higher in 100 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC. Similarly, time to first hatching in “physical” effects treatments was shorter in 50 than control, which was shorter than 100 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC. Compared to control, time to first hatching was 66% lesser in 50 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC and 66% higher in 100 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC.

Fig. 2 Cumulative number of hatchlings over time. No hatchlings were observed after the 12th day of the experiment. Resting eggs were exposed to DOC-mediated changes in chemical and physical characteristics of water. Descriptions of treatments: A, control; B, 50 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC chemical and physical effects; C, 50 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC physical effects; D, 100 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC chemical and physical effects; E, 100 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC physical effects

Fig. 3 Hatching responses (mean ± 1 SE) of Diaphanosoma birgei resting eggs exposed to DOC-mediated changes in chemical and physical characteristics of water: a Number of eggs that hatched and b time to first hatching. Different letters above bars denote differences in treatments comparing “chemical + physical” effects (lowercase letters, treatments A, B, and D) or “physical” effects only (uppercase letters, treatments A, C, and E). Horizontal lines denote comparisons of treatments with the same DOC concentrations. Descriptions of treatments: A, control; B, 50 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC chemical and physical effects; C, 50 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC physical effects; D, 100 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC chemical and physical effects; E, 100 mg L$^{-1}$ DOC physical effects
Finally, no differences were observed in 50 \((p = 1.00,\) treatments B and C) or 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) DOC \((p = 0.08, F = 3.18,\) treatments D and E) when comparing time to first hatching in treatments with the same DOC concentration.

**Discussion**

This study assessed how DOC affects the hatching patterns of resting eggs of a common tropical cladoceran, *Diaphanosoma birgei*. We observed that the joint exposure of resting eggs to chemical and physical effects of DOC (treatments A, B and D) did not affect the hatching numbers, but affected the time to first hatching in opposing directions depending on DOC concentration, i.e. 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) DOC decreased the time to first hatching, while 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) DOC increased it. Similarly, we observed that physical effects of DOC affected hatching numbers and time to hatching in opposing directions (treatments A, C and E), since treatments with 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) DOC displayed higher hatching numbers and lower time to hatching, while the opposite pattern was observed in 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) treatments. Because comparisons of treatments with the same DOC concentration (treatments B × C, and D × E) did not differ in any response variable, we conclude that chemical effects of DOC have weak to no effects on hatching patterns. As such, physical effects of DOC, especially through light attenuation under increasing DOC concentrations, seems to be the main cause of DOC changing hatching patterns of resting eggs. These findings partially corroborate our hypothesis that increasing DOC reduces hatching numbers and increases the time to hatching, because responses of resting eggs to increasing DOC were non-linear and responded solely to light attenuation.

Light attenuation by DOC is mainly caused by the ability of humic substance carbon chains to reflect light (Danilov and Ekelund 2001; Huovinen et al. 2003; Faithfull et al. 2015). Light and temperature are largely acknowledged as the main triggers for hatching zooplankton resting eggs (Vandekerkhove et al. 2005). The light effect on hatching was first acknowledged in temperate systems, where abrupt changes in the photoperiod over seasons signalize changes in food abundance and other environmental conditions (Taghavi et al. 2013; Stewart et al. 2017). The photoperiod in tropical ecosystems where the resting eggs of this study originated does not drastically change over seasons, but light intensity may still vary as water depth and turbidity changes, thus potentially influencing hatching patterns. More recently, light intensity has been pointed out as an additional important factor for terminating diapause, as *Daphnia magna* resting eggs exposed to the same photoperiod under different light intensities showed higher hatching rates under higher light intensities (Ślusarczyk and Flis 2019). Our results are thus in agreement with earlier studies showing that light affects hatching patterns, but contrast with the results from Ślusarczyk and Flis (2019) as intermediate light intensities promoted higher hatching rates in our experiment. Thus, we suggest for the first time that DOC may affect hatching patterns of resting eggs by attenuating light intensity.

Contrary to our expectations, DOC had no effects on hatching patterns when in direct contact with resting eggs. DOC is acknowledged to directly affect several life history parameters of cladocerans, as HS may be taken up by organisms and interact with biochemical constituents and signaling pathways (Steinberg et al. 2006; Suhett et al. 2011; Nova et al. 2018). Additionally, DOC may dye the body surface of cladocerans turning them darker. If DOC also dyes the external layer of resting eggs, then the amount of light reaching resting eggs will diminish and hatching patterns may change. It is possible that the exposure time of resting eggs to chemical effects of DOC in our experiment was not long enough to allow DOC to dye the surface of resting eggs. However, this could be tested by exposing resting eggs to DOC under inhibiting conditions for hatching for a longer time, and then testing for changes in surface pigmentation and hatching patterns of resting eggs.

The absence of chemical DOC effects on hatching patterns may be a consequence of the ability of resting eggs to tolerate high concentrations of chemical stressors, mainly due to the resistance and low permeability of resting eggs’ superficial layers. Indeed, earlier studies testing the effects of salinity, heavy metals and organic compounds other than DOC have shown that resting eggs can resist the chemical stress (Yan et al. 2004; Jiang et al. 2007; Aránguiz-Acuña and Serra 2016) and hatch when favorable conditions resume. However, earlier studies that sought to evaluate the chemical substances that affect hatching patterns usually tested substances which are highly toxic and under unrealistic high concentrations (Hanazato 1998, 2001). The toxic potential of DOC is low in comparison with most other compounds currently tested, as it is naturally found in different concentrations ranging from low levels up to 300 mg L\(^{-1}\) (Blodau et al. 2004; Sobek et al. 2007).

Interestingly, DOC effects on hatching patterns were non-linear, as intermediate concentrations (50 mg L\(^{-1}\) DOC) determined higher hatching numbers and lower time to hatching. This finding agrees with earlier studies on DOC effects on active cladocerans. Overall, intermediate DOC concentrations are more beneficial than extreme values (Suhett et al. 2011). For active organisms, it has been suggested that intermediate DOC concentrations benefit organisms by inducing genes related to stress responses (Steinberg et al. 2010). The higher hatching in intermediate concentrations of DOC can possibly occur because light attenuation promotes an optimal light stimulus in eggs. Moreover, the toxic effect of DOC would only occur in high concentrations, with an intermediate
concentration to be inert or little toxic. Thus, the light attenuation process can be a dominant factor in resurgence processes mediated by DOC mainly in intermediate concentrations. DOC of any source with high HS concentration attenuates light intensity. Factors other than DOC in natural systems which can affect light intensity in bottom sediments where resting eggs accumulate are depth, inorganic turbidity, and algal biomass (Scheffer 1999; Henley et al. 2000; Donohue and Garcia Molinos 2009). Resting eggs deposited in the sediment receive less light as those factors increase, but DOC is commonly acknowledged as the main factor of light attenuation in aquatic systems (Morris et al. 1995; Karlsson et al. 2009).

Changes in DOC concentrations can affect the reestablishment of zooplankton populations by changing the hatching success and time to hatching. Resting egg banks are the main contributors for community resilience after disturbances in natural environments (Hairston et al. 2000; Brendonck and De Meester 2003). DOC effects can change the relative abundances of species which are more sensitive to light and create a numeric advantage in individuals able to reproduce fast in the water column.

This is the first study assessing how DOC affects zooplankton resting eggs and provided an effective separation of physical and chemical effects of DOC. Our study suggests that DOC mainly affects hatching patterns through light attenuation, and this effect can influence the number of hatchlings and the time to hatching. The increase of DOC in freshwater systems worldwide (water brownification) will affect hatching patterns and may have important ecological consequences in the future. Moreover, the effects of DOC in resting eggs of varying taxa and at the community level remains to be evaluated, as species may differ in their responses to varying DOC concentrations.

Acknowledgements We are indebted to the staff of the Núcleo de Desenvolvimento Sócio-Ambiental de Macaé (NUPEM/UFRJ). We also thank one anonymous reviewer for comments that improved the final version of this manuscript.

Author contribution The main idea, scientific experiment and statistical analysis were performed by A.L.V. with supervision of the other authors. J.M.S. helped in the material collection, analysis supervision and writing the text. R.L.B. helped in the material, chemical and biological analyses and text supervision.

Funding This study was supported by research grants from CNPq, finance code: 133418/2017-0. This study was also supported by research grants from FAPEERJ (Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa no Estado do Rio de Janeiro) [grant numbers E-26/203.249/2016 to J.M.S and E-26/201.399/2014; E-26/203.062/2017 to R.L.B.], and CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico) [grant numbers 306105/2014-4; 304289/2019-1 to R.L.B]. A.L.V is grateful to CAPES and CNPq for master’s degree scholarships.

Data Availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethical approval Not applicable.

Consent to participate All participants of that article consent to participate this manuscript.

Consent to publish All participants of that article consent to publish this manuscript.

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Aránguiz-Acuña A, Pérez-Portilla P, De la Fuente A, Fontaneto D (2018) Life-history strategies in zooplankton promote coexistence of competitors in extreme environments with high metal content. Sci Rep 8:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-29487-3

Aránguiz-Acuña A, Serra M (2016) Diapause as escape strategy to exposure to toxicants: response of Brachionus calyciforus to arsenic. Ecotoxicology 25:708–719. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-016-1029-7

Arzel C, Nummi P, Arvola L, et al (2020) Invertebrates are declining in boreal aquatic habitat: The effect of brownification? Sci Total Environ 724. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138199

Asier J, Karlsson J, Persson L et al (2009) Terrestrial organic matter and light penetration: Effects on bacterial and primary production in lakes. Limnol Oceanogr 54:2034–2040. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2009.54.6.2034

Bldau C, Basiliko N, Moore TR (2004) Carbon turnover in peatland mesocosms exposed to different water table levels. Biogeochemistry 67:331–351. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:BIOG.0000015788.30164.e2

Brendonck L (1996) Diapause, quiescence, hatching requirements: what we can learn from large freshwater branchiopods (Crustacea: Branchiopoda: Anostraca, Notostraca, Conchostraca). Hydrobiologia 320:85–97. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00016809

Brendonck L, De Meester L (2003) Egg banks in freshwater zooplankton: evolutionary and ecological archives in the sediment. Hydrobiologia 491:65–84. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024454905119

de A de Carvalho-Pereira TS, de Santos T, Pestana EMS, et al (2015) Natural humic substances effects on the life history traits of Lato nopsis australis SARS (1888) (Cladocera - Branchiopoda). Hydrobiologia 723:223–226. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-014-2034-2

Brendonck L, De Meester L (2003) Egg banks in freshwater zooplankton: evolutionary and ecological archives in the sediment. Hydrobiologia 491:65–84. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024454905119

de A de Carvalho-Pereira TS, de Santos T, Pestana EMS, et al (2015) Natural humic substances effects on the life history traits of Lato nopsis australis SARS (1888) (Cladocera - Branchiopoda). Hydrobiologia 723:223–226. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-014-2034-2

Cruz LC, Rietzler AC, Dumont HJ (2016) Superior life history traits of Micromoina arboricola in a humic over a non-humic environment. Limnologica 56:1–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.limno.2015.11.002

Danilov RA, Ekelund NGA (2001) Effects of solar radiation, humic substances and nutrients on phytoplankton biomass and distribution in Lake Solumsjö, Sweden. Hydrobiologia 444:203–212. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017538530980

Donohue I, Garcia Molinos J (2009) Impacts of increased sediment loads on the ecology of lakes. Biol Rev 84:517–531. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2009.00081.x

Ertel J, Perdue E (1984) Lignin Signature of Aquatic Humic Sub- stances. Science 223:485–487. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.223.4635.485

Evans CD, Monteith DT, Cooper DM (2005) Long-term increases in surface water dissolved organic carbon: Observations, possible
causes and environmental impacts. Environ Pollut 137:55–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2004.12.031
Faithfull CL, Mathisen P, Wenzel A et al (2015) Food web efficiency differs between humic and clear water lake communities in responses to nutrients and light. Oecologia 177:823–835. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-3132-2
Gessner MO, Tili A (2016) Fostering integration of freshwater ecology with ecotoxicology. Freshw Biol 61:1991–2001. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12852
Glover CN, Pane EF, Wood CM (2005) Humic substances influence sodium metabolism in the freshwater crustacean Daphnia magna. Physiol Biochem Zool 78:405–416. https://doi.org/10.1086/430036
Hairston NG, Hansen AM, Schaffner WR (2000) The effect of diapause emergence on the seasonal dynamics of a zooplankton assemblage. Freshw Biol 45:133–145. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.2000.00386.x
Hanazato T (2001) Pesticide effects on freshwater zooplankton: An ecological perspective. Environ Pollut 112:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(00)00110-X
Hanazato T (1998) Response of a zooplankton community to insecticide application in experimental ponds: A review and the implications of the effects of chemicals on the structure and functioning of freshwater communities. Environ Pollut 101:361–373. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(98)00053-0
Hansson LA, Nicolle A, Granéli W et al (2013) Food-chain length alters community responses to global change in aquatic systems. Nat Clim Chang 3:228–233. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1689
Hedström P, Bystedt D, Karlsson J et al (2017) Brownification increases winter mortality in fish. Oecologia 183:587–595. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-016-3779-y
Henley WF, Patterson MA, Neves RJ, Dennis Lemly A (2000) Effects of Sedimentation and Turbidity on Lotic Food Webs: A Concise Review for Natural Resource Managers. Rev Fish Sci 8:125–139. https://doi.org/10.1080/106412009.1121998
Huovinen PS, Penttilä H, Soimasuo MR (2003) Spectral attenuation of solar ultraviolet radiation in humic lakes in Central Finland. Chemosphere 51:205–214. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(02)00634-3
Jansson M, Bergström AK, Blomqvist P et al (1999) Impact of allochthonous organic carbon on microbial food web carbon dynamics and structure in Lake Ortrasket. Arch Fur Hydrobiol 144:409–428. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-015-9672-3
Jiang DX, Wang GZ, Jing LIS, Feng HEJ (2007) Heavy metal exposure reduces hatching success of Acartia pacifica resting eggs in the sediment. J Environ Sci 19:733–737. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(07)0122-3
Jones R (1992) Jones, R. (1992). The influence of humic substances on lacustrine planktonic food chains. Hydrobiologia, 73–91. Hydrobiologia 1:73–91
Karlsson J, Byström P, Ask J et al (2009) Light limitation of nutrient-poor lake ecosystems. Nature 460:506–509. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08179
Karpowicz M, Ejsmont-Karabin J (2018) Influence of environmental factors on vertical distribution of zooplankton communities in humic lakes. Ann Limnol - Int J Limnol 58:17. https://doi.org/10.1051/limn/2018004
Kasai F, Hanazato T (1995) Effects of the triazine herbicide, simetryn, on freshwater plankton communities in experimental ponds. Environ Pollut 89:197–202 (0269-7491/95 $0.50+0.00)
Korinek V (1981) Diaphanosoma birgei n. sp. (Crustacea, Cladocera). A new species from America and its widely distributed subspecies Diaphanosoma birgei ssp. lacustris n. sp. Can J Zool 59:1115–1121. https://doi.org/10.1139/z81-155
Morris DP, Zagarese H, Williamson CE et al (1995) The attenuation of solar UV radiation in lakes and the role of dissolved organic carbon. Limnol Oceanogr 40:1381–1391. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1995.40.8.1381
Nová CC, Bozelli RL, Spitzy A, Müller-Navehra D (2018) Living in a browning environment: Effects on Daphnia’s growth and fatty acid pattern. Limnol Oceanogr 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1002/loio.11016
Önö T (1978) Sugar flotation method for sorting the resting eggs of marine cladocerans and copepods from the sea-bottom sediment. Bull Japanese Soc Sci Fish 44:1411. https://doi.org/10.2331/suisan.44.1411
Pancelona JR, Stross RG (1963) Light induced hatching of Daphnia resting eggs. Chесп Sci 4:135–140. https://doi.org/10.2307/1350746
R Core Team (2020) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/
Relyea R, Hoverman J (2006) Assessing the ecology in ecotoxicology: a review and synthesis in freshwater systems. Ecol Lett 9:1157–1171. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00966.x
Roulet N, Moore TR (2006) Browning the waters. Nature 444:283–284. https://doi.org/10.1038/444283a
Saaebelfeld M, Mingeüz L, Griebl J et al (2017) Humic dissolved organic carbon drives oxidative stress and severe fitness impairments in Daphnia. Aquat Toxicol 182:31–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2016.11.006
Salonen K, Kankaala P, Tulonen T et al (1992) Planktonic food chains of a highly humic lake - II. A mesocosm experiment in summer during dominance of heterotrophic processes. Hydrobiologia 229:143–157. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00069997
Santos J, Mingeüz L, Gessner MO, Sperfeld E (2017) Predator–prey interactions in a changing world: humic stress disrupts predator threat evasion in copepods. Oecologia 183:887–898. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-016-3801-4
Scheffer M (1999) The effect of aquatic vegetation on turbidity; how important are the filter feeders? Hydrobiologia 408–409:307–316. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-2986-4_34
Słusarczyk M, Flis S (2019) Light quantity, not photoperiod terminates diapause in the cladoceran Daphnia. Limnol Oceanogr 64:124–130. https://doi.org/10.1002/loio.11023
Sobek S, Tranvik LJ, Prairie YT et al (2007) Patterns and regulation of dissolved organic carbon: An analysis of 7,500 widely distributed lakes. Limnol Oceanogr 52:1208–1219. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2007.52.3.1208
Steinberg CEW, Kamara S, Prokhortskaya KY et al (2006) Dissolved humic substances - Ecological driving forces from the individual to the ecosystem level? Freshw Biol 51:1189–1210. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2006.01571.x
Steinberg CEW, Ouerghemmi N, Herrmann S et al (2010) Stress by poor food quality and exposure to humic substances: Daphnia magna responds with oxidative stress, lifespan extension, but reduced offspring numbers. Hydrobiologia 652:223–236. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-010-0334-4
Steinberg CEW, Paul A, Pflugmacher S et al (2003) Pure humic substances have the potential to act as xenobiotic chemicals - a review. Fresenius Environ Bull 12:391–401
Stewart SD, Hamilton DP, Baisden WT et al (2017) Variable littoral-pelagic coupling as a food-web response to seasonal changes in pelagic primary production. Freshw Biol 62:2008–2025. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13046
Suhett AL, Amado AM, Meireles-Pereira F et al (2013) Origin, concentration, availability and fate of dissolved organic carbon in coastal lagoons of the Rio de Janeiro State. Acta Limnol Bras 25:326–340. https://doi.org/10.1590/2179-9755201300030011
Suhett AL, Steinberg CEW, Santangelo JM et al (2011) Natural dissolved humic substances increase the lifespan and promote transgenerational resistance to salt stress in the cladoceran Moina macrocopa. Environ Sci Pollut Res 18:1004–1014. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-011-0455-y
Taghavi D, Farhadian O, Soofiani NM, Keivany Y (2013) Effects of different light/dark regimes and algal food on growth, fecundity, ephippial induction and molting of freshwater cladoceran, Ceriodaphnia quadrangula. Aquaculture 410–411:190–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.06.026

Urrutia-Cordero P, Ekvall MK, Ratcovich J et al (2017) Phytoplankton diversity loss along a gradient of future warming and brownification in freshwater mesocosms. Freshw Biol 62:1869–1878. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13027

Vandekerckhove J, Declerck S, Brendonck L et al (2005) Hatching of cladoceran resting eggs: Temperature and photoperiod. Freshw Biol 50:96–104. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2004.01312.x

Vandekerckhove J, Niessen B, Declerck S et al (2004) Hatching rate and hatching success with and without isolation of zooplankton resting stages. Hydrobiologia 526:235–241. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:HYDR.0000041598.68424.fc

Vigneault B, Percot A, Lafleur M, Campbell PGC (2000) Permeability changes in model and phytoplankton membranes in the presence of aquatic humic substances. Environ Sci Technol 34:3907–3913. https://doi.org/10.1021/es001087r

Warton DI, Lyons M, Stoklosa J, Ives AR (2016) Three points to consider when choosing a LM or GLM test for count data. Methods Ecol Evol 7:882–890. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12552

Węgleńska T, Ejsmont-Karabin J, Rybak JI (1997) Biotic Interactions of the Zooplankton Community of a Shallow, Humic Lake. Hydrobiologia 342:185–195. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-5648-6_20

Weyhenmeyer GA, Karlsson J (2009) Nonlinear response of dissolved organic carbon concentrations in boreal lakes to increasing temperatures. Limnol Oceanogr 54:2513–2519. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2009.54.6_part.2.2513

Yan ND, Girard R, Heneberry JH et al (2004) Recovery of copepod, but not cladoceran, zooplankton from severe and chronic effects of multiple stressors. Ecol Lett 7:452–460. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00599.x

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.