Superluminous supernovae: $^{56}$Ni power versus magnetar radiation
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ABSTRACT

Much uncertainty surrounds the origin of superluminous supernovae (SNe). Motivated by the discovery of the Type Ic SN 2007bi, we study its proposed association with a pair-instability SN (PISN). We compute stellar evolution models for primordial $\sim 200 \, M_\odot$ stars, simulating the implosion/explosion due to the pair-production instability, and use them as inputs for detailed non-local thermodynamic equilibrium time-dependent radiative transfer simulations that include non-local energy deposition and non-thermal processes. We retrieve the basic morphology of PISN light curves from red supergiant, blue supergiant and Wolf–Rayet (WR) star progenitors. Although we confirm that a progenitor 100 $M_\odot$ helium core (PISN model He100) fits well the SN 2007bi light curve, the low ratios of its kinetic energy and $^{56}$Ni mass to the ejecta mass, similar to standard core-collapse SNe, conspire to produce cool photospheres, red spectra subject to strong line blanketing and narrow-line profiles, all conflicting with SN 2007bi observations. He-core models of increasing $^{56}$Ni-to-ejecta mass ratio have bluer spectra, but still too red to match SN 2007bi, even for model He125 – the effect of $^{56}$Ni heating is offset by the associated increase in blanketing. In contrast, the delayed injection of energy by a magnetar represents a more attractive alternative to reproduce the blue, weakly blanketed and broad-lined spectra of superluminous SNe. The extra heat source is free of blanketing and is not explicitly tied to the ejecta. Experimenting with an $\sim 9 \, M_\odot$ WR-star progenitor, initially exploded to yield an $\sim 1.6 \, B$ SN Ib/c ejecta but later influenced by tunable magnetar-like radiation, we produce a diversity of blue spectral morphologies reminiscent of SN 2007bi, the peculiar Type Ib SN 2005bf and superluminous SN 2005ap-like events.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In the last decade, a number of superluminous supernovae (SNe) have been identified, but their origin remains highly uncertain. Most of these exhibit the Type Ia/Ib/Ic SN light-curve morphology, merely ‘expanded’ to form a broader and brighter peak. In this category, we find a zoo of events including, for example, Type IIn SN 2006gy (Smith et al. 2007), the peculiar Type Ib SN 2005bf (Folatelli et al. 2006; Maeda et al. 2007) with its double-peak light curve, Type Ic SN 2007bi (Gal-Yam et al. 2009) with its extended nebular tail, the linearly declining SN 2008es (Gezari et al. 2009), as well as a rather uniform group of SNe at redshifts of 0.2–1.2 with a unique, nearly featureless, blue continuum, and a fast fading nebular flux (Quimby et al. 2011). Today, three mechanisms are proposed for these superluminous events, representing extreme versions of SNe interacting with a circumstellar medium, $^{56}$Ni-powered SNe and magnetar-powered SNe. For brevity, and since an interaction mechanism is believed not to be relevant to SN 2007bi, we restrict our discussion to the last two mechanisms.

Historically, the most natural way to explain a large SN luminosity is the production of a larger-than-average $^{56}$Ni mass (Colgate & McKee 1969). The essential feature is that because the half-life of $^{56}$Ni is 6.075 d and that of its daughter nucleus $^{56}$Co is 77.23 d, radioactive-decay energy can reheat the ejecta once it has expanded to $\gtrsim 10^{14} \, \text{cm}$ and become less sensitive to $P \, dV$ losses. However, ‘standard’ core-collapse SNe are generally ineffective $^{56}$Ni producers – the $^{56}$Ni production is strongly conditioned and limited by the explosion energy and the progenitor structure. Today, highly energetic magnetorotational explosions are expected to be
the most suitable means to produce superluminous SNe (Burrows et al. 2007). These are generally nicknamed ‘hypervenan’ and are distinct from the more germane neutrino-driven core-collapse SN explosions (Buras et al. 2006).

Pair-instability SNe (PISNe) represent an alternative for producing a large amount of $^{56}$Ni. In the exceptional instance of stars with a main-sequence mass in the range 140–260 $M_\odot$, expected to form at low metallicity in the early Universe (Bromm & Larson 2004), e + e− pair production may lead to an explosion and give rise to a PISN (Barkat, Rakavy & Sack 1967; Heger & Woosley 2002; Langer et al. 2007; Waldman 2008). Although the explosion mechanism is robust, it is still unclear whether such massive stars can form. If they do form, their mass loss is of concern as it can considerably affect the final stellar mass and radius, and thus the resulting explosion properties, SN radiation and detectability (Scannapieco et al. 2005; Kasen, Woosley & Heger 2011).

An alternative means to produce a bright display is by magnetar radiation (Wheeler et al. 2000; Maeda et al. 2007; Kasen & Bildsten 2010; Woosley 2010). The energy lost in the process leads to the spin-down of the magnetar, which eventually quenches its power. For a dipole field, the spin-down time-scale is $t_{sp} = 4.8B_{15}^{-2}P_{10}^{-4}$ d, where $B_{15}$ is the magnetic field strength in $10^{15}$ G and $P_{10}$ is the rotation period $P$ in units of 10 ms. For suitable choices of $B_{15}$ and $P_{10}$, this time-scale can be comparable to the half-life of $^{56}$Ni/Co and consequently makes magnetar radiation an attractive substitute for long-lived superluminous SNe – in combination with different ejecta masses, it also provides a natural modulation for the time to peak brightness, the luminosity at peak (Kasen & Bildsten 2010) as well as for the fading rate from peak.

The proposition that SN 2007bi is a PISN is controversial. It was discovered around the peak of the light curve ($M_B \sim -21$ mag), and revealed a slowly fading R-band magnitude consistent with full y-ray trapping from $\sim 5 M_\odot$ of $^{56}$Ni (Fig. 1). It exploded in an environment with a metallicity of one-third solar, which conflicts with star formation (Bromm & Larson 2004) and evolution theory (Langer et al. 2007), which expect such stars to form and explode as a Type Ic SN at much lower metallicity only. Gal-Yam et al. (2009) performed a few simulations for SN 2007bi, covering a range of progenitor He cores and thus explosion characteristics, and found their He100 model to be adequate. From their modelling of a nebular-phase spectrum, they infer ejecta masses compatible with the PISN scenario. Improving upon the original work of Scannapieco et al. (2005), Kasen et al. (2011) studied a broad mass range of PISN progenitor models including red supergiant (RSG), blue supergiant (BSG) and Wolf–Rayet (WR) stars. Their He100 model gives a suitable match to the SN 2007bi light curve, as well as a rough agreement with the near-peak spectrum.

An alternative scenario, involving the core-collapse of a massive star, has been proposed by Moriya et al. (2010). In association with the extreme properties of the SN explosion, they also invoke radioactive-decay energy to explain the light curve. The situation remains blurred, epitomized by the rough compatibility of both the $^{56}$Ni model (PISN model He100 or extreme core-collapse SN) and the magnetar model for explaining the SN 2007bi light curve (Kasen & Bildsten 2010; Kasen et al. 2011). Understanding what distinguishes these different scenarios is thus critical to identify the nature of superluminous SNe.

In the next section we present the numerical set-up for the in-depth study of PISN explosions that we have undertaken, and which will be discussed more fully elsewhere (Dessart et al., in preparation). Simulation results for three different PISN progenitor models are presented in Section 3. We then focus on the model He100 that was proposed for SN 2007bi, and discuss its incompatibilities with the observations. Ways of alleviating these incompatibilities are discussed in Section 4. In particular, we propose two means to produce a superluminous SN with a bluer colour – either through an increase in the $^{56}$Ni mass-to-ejecta mass ratio or, alternatively, through a delayed energy injection from the compact remnant (Section 4).

2 NUMERICAL SET-UP OF PISN SIMULATIONS

The work presented in this Letter was produced in several independent steps. First, a large grid of massive-star progenitors with main-sequence masses in the range 160–230 $M_\odot$ was evolved with MESA (Paxton et al. 2011) until central $^{20}$Ne exhaustion, assuming $10^{-4}Z_\odot$ and no rotation. At that time, these stars are either RSG, BSG or WR stars. These simulations are then remapped on to the 1D radiation-hydrodynamical code v10 (Livne 1993), with allowance for (explosive) nuclear burning and radiation transport. At $^{20}$Ne exhaustion, oxygen is naturally ignited and consumed in less than a minute to $^{56}$Ni and intermediate-mass elements (IMEs). We model this explosion phase and the later evolution of the ejecta for a few years (Waldman et al., in preparation).

Here, we focus on three PISN types: (1) II-P – Model R190 from a 190 $M_\odot$ main-sequence star and dying as a 164.1 $M_\odot$ RSG with a surface radius of 4044 $R_\odot$; (2) II-pec – Model B190 from a 190 $M_\odot$ main-sequence star and dying as a 133.9 $M_\odot$ BSG with a surface radius of 186.1 $R_\odot$; (3) Ic (or Ib) – Model He100 from a 100 $M_\odot$ helium core (190 $M_\odot$ initially, artificially stripped of its hydrogen envelope, but without subsequent mass loss) and dying as a 100 $M_\odot$ WR with a surface radius of 1.2 $R_\odot$. In the same order, these models synthesize 2.63, 2.99 and 5.02 $M_\odot$ of $^{56}$Ni, have kinetic energies of 33.2, 34.5 and 37.6 B and a representative expansion velocity $v_{exp} = \sqrt{2E/M} \sim 5000$ km s$^{-1}$.

Although PISNe are thermonuclear explosions, akin to SNe Ia, burning only occurs in the inner ejecta so that $^{56}$Ni is ultimately confined to shells moving with velocities inferior to 2000 km s$^{-1}$ (models R190 and B190) and 4000 km s$^{-1}$ (He100). In the second part of this Letter, we present additional He models, similar to He100, but with initial masses from 105 to 125 $M_\odot$, spaced every 5 $M_\odot$ (Section 4). The properties of our hydrodynamical input

Figure 1. Synthetic bolometric light curves extracted from our cmfgen simulations of PISNe and other ‘standard’ SN models (solid). We include v10 light curves for models He105, He115 and He125 of increasing peak luminosity (dashed) and the estimated SN 2007bi absolute R-band magnitude (Gal-Yam et al. 2009, circles).
results and comparison to SN 2007bi

The synthetic light curves computed for PISN models R190, B190 and He100 reflect their large ejecta and 56Ni masses, and are characterized by a high-brightness phase that lasts a few hundred days. Their peak luminosities are of the order of $10^{40} \text{L}_\odot$. They transition to the nebular phase, with a luminosity reflecting the rate of $^{56}\text{Co}$ decay-energy release, ~200 d after peak (Fig. 1). The morphological diversity of PISN light curves is analogous to that of SNe observed in the local Universe, belonging to the Type II-P class (R190), to the Type II-pec class (B190) and to the Type Ib/c class (He100 and analogues), in qualitative agreement with the RSG, BSG and WR star progenitor, and in quantitative agreement with the simulations of Kasen et al. (2011). For comparison we add the lower energy lower mass counterparts for each SN type (Dessart & Hillier 2010, 2011; Dessart et al. 2011), as well as our results for a delayed-detonation model of a Chandrasekhar-mass white dwarf with $0.67 M_\odot$ of 56Ni (Dessart et al., in preparation).

These PISNe reach photospheric radii of $\sim10^{16}$ cm, but apart from the R190 model which retains a hot photosphere for many weeks after the explosion, we find that PISN photospheres are typically cold. On the rise to peak brightness, the photospheres of models B190 and He100 heat up from $\sim4000$ to $\sim6000$ K. Fading after peak, all PISN photospheres cool to $\sim4000$ K within 100–200 d. As they turn nebular, there is no longer any photosphere, nor any trapped energy, and the ejecta continue to cool, reaching typically $\gtrsim1000$ K 3 years after the explosion. Therefore, the large initial 56Ni mass that causes the large peak brightness is no guarantee of high photospheric temperatures.

Spectroscopically, our PISN simulations reflect in many ways the properties of lower mass lower energy SNe II-P, II-pec and Ib/c (Dessart et al. 2012). For model He100, the proposed model for SN 2007bi, our synthetic spectra become bluer on the rise to peak due to decay heating (Fig. 2). Bound–bound and bound–free processes in IMEs dominate the opacity, producing lines of Ca II, Mg II, Mg II, Si II and O I. As the photosphere recedes deeper into the ejecta, at and beyond the peak of the light curve, the mass fraction of iron-group elements (IGEs) in the photosphere increases, and so do the effects of line blanketing, first by Fe II, and later by Fe I. Exacerbated by the photosphere cooling, the emergent spectrum reddens, fading strongly shortwards of 5000 Å. By 500 d after explosion, the spectrum is nebular and reveals lines of O I and Ca II.

With a peak $M_\text{bol} \sim -20.2 (M_B = -20.5)$ and slow nebular fading, our model He100 reproduces well the light-curve morphology of SN 2007bi, although it is too faint by $\sim0.8$ mag in the $R$ band. The red colour of model He100 at 54 d after peak ($B - R = 1.47$) strongly contrasts with the blue colour of SN 2007bi at that time (we estimate $B - R \approx 0.45$ mag from the observed spectrum, while Young et al. 2010 infer a $K$-corrected $B - R$ of 0.23 mag).

Further beyond the peak, model He100 shows a spectrum strongly blanketed by Fe II and Fe I, with narrow lines that form in ejecta regions moving at $\lesssim4000$ km s$^{-1}$, and little flux shortwards of 5000 Å (Fig. 2). This contrasts with SN 2007bi which shows a sustained blue spectrum with significant flux shortwards of 3500 Å and broad lines of Ca II, Fe II and Si II, which form in an ejecta expanding at $\gtrsim6000$ km s$^{-1}$ (Gal-Yam et al. 2009). Model He100, proposed by Gal-Yam et al. (2009) as viable for SN 2007bi, is thus disfavoured on numerous grounds. While 56Ni is a suitable energy source for producing a superluminous SN, the associated IGE line blanketing and cool photospheric temperatures after the light-curve peak conspire to produce spectra that are red, rather than blue as observed in SN 2007bi, or generally in superluminous SNe.

The apparently satisfactory spectral fit obtained by Kasen et al. (2011) results from the inadequate choice of epoch for their He100 model spectrum, as they use a 50-d pre-peak synthetic spectrum to match the 50-d post-peak spectrum of SN 2007bi. The colour change as the PISN model bridges the peak was thus unfortunately ignored. Gal-Yam et al. (2009) find a match to the low-quality nebular-phase spectrum but do not model the earlier higher quality observations, nor do they explain the early blue colours, the weak blanketing, the broad features or the apparent transition to a nebular spectrum between $\sim400$ and 500 d after peak. Their estimate of a 100 B kinetic energy (ignoring the $\sim10$ B binding energy of the progenitor) requires a much more efficient burning than they claim, yielding not $\sim5 M_\odot$ as suggested but few $\times10 M_\odot$ of 56Ni – this then places the luminosity at odds with the SN 2007bi light curve.
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Proposing a consistent PISN model that matches the light curve, the colour evolution and the spectral properties (ions, line-profile widths and strengths, etc.) as well as agrees with massive-star evolution and formation is a considerable challenge. As a reminder, standard stellar evolutionary models do not support the existence of Type Ic PISNe at metallicities as high as $Z_{\odot}/3$ (Langer et al. 2007), even if suitable supermassive progenitors existed. In the next section, we discuss two different models that may cure some of the discrepancies between model He100 and SN 2007bi, and also generalize our ideas to other, non-interacting, superluminous SNe.

4 BLUE-COLOURED SUPERLUMINOUS SNe

Despite the large initial $^{56}\text{Ni}$ mass, the large ejecta mass in model He100 renders the heating from decay ineffective at producing and sustaining a hot photosphere/ejecta. One remedy is to increase the $^{56}\text{Ni}$ mass-to-ejecta mass ratio, from the 5 per cent in model He100, quite typical of core-collapse SNe, to a few tens of per cent, more typical of SNe Ia. In SNe Ia, blanketing is certainly severe, but the enhanced heating produces relatively bluer colours. This in part stems from the lower mass of the ejecta, which turns transparent at $\sim30$ d (rather than $\sim300$ d), i.e. when the decay-energy rate is $\sim10$ times larger.

To experiment with this idea, we ran models similar to He100 but with masses between 105 and 125 $M_{\odot}$. When exploding as PISNe, these synthesize between 8.5 and 32.4 $M_{\odot}$ of $^{56}\text{Ni}$. The spectra at 54 d post-peak (selected to correspond to the first spectrum of 07bi) are now bluer, and the more so as the $^{56}\text{Ni}$-to-ejecta mass ratio increases from 8 to 26 per cent (Fig. 3; labels give additional model properties). While models He105–He115 better match the luminosity of SN 2007bi (Fig. 1), they retain a similar, and discrepant, colour as model He100. For model He125, despite obvious signs of blanketing by Fe II, the colour matches more closely that of SN 2007bi, but this model is now 1 mag too bright in the $R$ band.

In a similar fashion to model He100 and SNe Ia, it will not only be heated by $32 M_{\odot}$ of $^{56}\text{Ni}$, but it will also be drastically reddened by $32 M_{\odot}$ of iron $\gtrsim200$ d after explosion.

What emerges, though, is the difficulty, even for extreme $^{56}\text{Ni}$ yields, to produce high photospheric temperatures. Being fundamentally associated with large ejecta masses and huge explosion energies, PISNe ejecta inevitably recombine: their photospheres adjust to reside in the transition zone between optically thick and thin conditions. Consequently, their spectra tend to peak in the optical, reflecting the low recombination temperature of the dominant ion at the photosphere (as in SNe II-P; Dessart & Hillier 2011).

This difficulty of the PISN scenario motivated us to explore the radiative signatures that would result from delayed energy injection, as in a magnetar. Woosley (2010) studied this context in otherwise ‘standard’ core-collapse SN explosions, although he focused exclusively on the resulting bolometric light curve. We already know that with arbitrary choices of ejecta mass, magnetic field and initial spin, a broad diversity of luminous light curves can be produced (Kasen & Bildsten 2010). Here, we investigate the spectroscopic properties of an ejecta subject to an energy injection $E_{\text{dep}}$ at a constant rate up to a post-explosion time $\delta t$ (given the many parameters tuning this scenario, it is not critical for our exploration to be more accurate). We simulate this scenario with $\nu10$ using the approach of Dessart, Livne & Waldman (2010) starting from a 1.56 $B\times6.94 M_{\odot}$ ejecta (with $0.17 M_{\odot}$ of $^{56}\text{Ni}$) produced from the explosion of an $8.74 M_{\odot}$ WC star (model s40 of Woosley, Heger & Weaver 2002). Using a unique $\delta t = 20$ d, we compute models with $E_{\text{dep}} = 0.1, 0.3$ and $1.0 B$ (models pm0p1, pm0p3 and pm1p0), producing 40-d broad bolometric light-curve peaks $\sim30$ d after explosion with representative luminosities of $10^9$–$10^{10} L_{\odot}$. Were these luminosities powered by $^{56}\text{Ni}$ decay alone, they would require $\gtrsim1 M_{\odot}$ of $^{56}\text{Ni}$, an amount anomalously large for standard Type Ib/c SNe.

Using the $\nu10$ ejecta structure around the broad light-curve peak, we generate steady-state non-LTE spectra with CMFGEN (Fig. 4).

Figure 3. Montage of synthetic spectra for models He100 to He125 at 54 d after peak. Models of increasing $\nu_{\text{SN}}/\nu_{\text{Ejecta}}$ have bluer colours, although redder than SN 2007bi, whose $B-R \sim 0.45$ at that time.

Figure 4. Comparison between SNe PTF 09atu, 2007bi and 2005bf, synthetic spectra of PISN models He100, He110 and He125, and magnetar-powered models pm0p1, pm0p3 and pm1p0. Some dust extinction $E(B-V) = 0.4$ mag is applied to the last two models for convenience. Labels indicate the time since bolometric maximum.
For the lowest energy injection (model pm0p1), the heating is very weak and produces hardly any hardening of the spectrum compared to the default model with no energy injection (thus not shown). However, by increasing the deposition of energy from 0.1 B (pm0p1) to 1.0 B (pm1p0), the colours of the resulting spectrum dramatically change. We obtain bluer spectra roughly compatible with SN 2007bi, the peculiar SN Ib 2005bf (Folatelli et al. 2006) and even the 2005ap-like event PTF09atu (Quimby et al. 2011). These three models mimic the effect of magnetars/pulsars of varying powers, something not unexpected from nature.

SNe that are superluminous due to the magnetar-energy deposition do not suffer from excess line blanketing inherent to an anomalously large production of $^{56}$Ni, which is inevitable in PISNe and other extreme core-collapse SN events. Instead, the extra energy injected heats the material and thermally excites the gas (provided thermalization takes place), producing lines of Si II, C II and He I (SN 2005bf and 2007bi; model pm0p1), and in extreme cases O II, C II, Si III and Fe II (PTF09atu; model pm1p0). This exploration is not fully satisfactory (e.g. [Ca II] 7300 Å is not predicted in pm0p1 but is seen in SN 2007bi), but it is indicative – the spectra of these models are more fundamentally in agreement with SN 2007bi than $^{56}$Ni-powered superluminous SN models. The 0.11 M$_\odot$ of helium in our ‘pm’ models is thermally excited and produces He I lines. The temperatures are, however, too low, even in model pm1p0, to produce He II emission (e.g. at 4686 Å), as observed in SN 2008es (Gezari et al. 2009).

In contrast to the irreversibly high-mass PISNe, magnetar-powered ejecta, which can be of any mass, can naturally produce broad lines at all times. The large energy needed to power the light curve launches a snowplough of the inner ejecta layers, forming a dense shell at constant velocity. This inner shell velocity is 3000 km s$^{-1}$ in model pm0p1, 3800 km s$^{-1}$ in pm0p3 and 5000 km s$^{-1}$ in pm1p0. In SN 2007bi, the width of spectral features hardly changes from 54 to 414 d after the light-curve peak, which is something difficult to explain with the He100 PISN model (Fig. 2). Non-local energy deposition can counteract the recession of the spectral formation region, but this influences the most optically thick lines and will struggle to produce broad nebular lines in such massive PISN ejecta; its impact is inhibited due to the low metallicity of our PISN model.

An interesting issue about superluminous SNe is their systematic detection near, or after, the peak of the light curve. In the $^{56}$Ni-powered model, the heat generated at depth in these massive ejecta conspires to produce a near-symmetric light-curve peak (Fig. 1), and so one would expect to discover a fraction of these on the relatively long rise to peak. In the magnetar model, the lack of a pre-peak detection is naturally explained. The relatively large $B$ and $\Omega$ needed to power the light curve imply a fast spin-down, and a fast rise to the light-curve peak is compatible with a low-/moderate-mass ejecta. Similarly, the post-peak fading may cover a range of slopes reflecting the differing instantaneous contributions of $^{56}$Ni and magnetar-energy injection.

The magnetar model is also well supported by the large number of such objects in the Galaxy (Muno et al. 2008). They are obviously easy to form, in contrast to PISNe, which are expected to exist primarily in the early Universe. They are also routinely produced at low metallicity by massive-star evolution with fast rotation (Woosley & Heger 2006; Georgy et al. 2009), perhaps providing an alternate channel to black hole formation (Dessart et al. 2008; Metzger et al. 2011; Dessart, O’Connor, Ott 2012).

Future work requires the modelling of magnetar radiation in various massive-star progenitors using y10 and CMgen to characterize the range of superluminous SNe this scenario can produce in terms of ejecta, spectral and light-curve properties, e.g. rise time, peak luminosity, colour and fading rate at nebular times.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

LD and SB acknowledge support from European-Community grant PIRG04-GA-2008-239184 and from ANR grant 2011-Blanc-SIMI-5-6-007-01. DJH acknowledges support from STScI theory grants HST-AR-11756.01.A and HST-AR-12640.01, and NASA theory grant NNX10AC80G.

REFERENCES

Barkat Z., Rakavy G., Sack N., 1967, Phys. Rev. Lett., 18, 379
Bromm V., Larson R. B., 2004, ARA&A, 42, 79
Buras R., Janka H.-T., Rampp M., Kifonidis K., 2006, A&A, 457, 281
Burrows A., Dessart L., Livne E., Ott C. D., Murphy J., 2007, ApJ, 664, 416
Colgate S. A., McKee C., 1969, ApJ, 157, 623
Dessart L., Hillier D. J., 2010, MNRAS, 405, 2141
Dessart L., Hillier D. J., 2011, MNRAS, 410, 1739
Dessart L., Burrows A., Livne E., Ott C. D., 2008, ApJ, 673, L43
Dessart L., Livne E., Waldman R., 2010, MNRAS, 408, 827
Dessart L., Hillier D. J., Livne E., Yoon S.-C., Woosley S., Waldman R., Langer N., 2011, MNRAS, 414, 2985
Dessart L., Hillier D. J., Livne E., Woosley S., 2012, MNRAS, 424, 2139
Dessart L., O’Connor E., Ott C. D., 2012, ApJ, 754, 76
Folatelli G. et al., 2006, ApJ, 641, 1039
Gal-Yam A. et al., 2009, Nat, 462, 624
Georgy C., Meynet G., Walder R., Folini D., Maeder A., 2009, A&A, 502, 611
Gezari S. et al., 2009, ApJ, 690, 1313
Heger A., Woosley S. E., 2002, ApJ, 567, 532
Hillier D. J., Dessart L., 2012, MNRAS, 424, 252
Hillier D. J., Millier D. L., 1998, ApJ, 496, 407
Kasen D., Bildsten L., 2010, ApJ, 717, 245
Kasen D., Woosley S. E., Heger A., 2011, ApJ, 734, 102
Langer N., Norman C. A., de Koter A., Vink J. S., Cantiello M., Yoon S.-C., 2007, A&A, 475, L19
Livne E., 1993, ApJ, 412, 634
Maeda K. et al., 2007, ApJ, 666, 1069
Metzger B. D., Giannios D., Thompson T. A., Bucciantini N., Quataert E., 2011, MNRAS, 413, 2031
Moriya T., Tomimaga N., Tanaka M., Maeda K., Nomoto K., 2010, ApJ, 717, L83
Muno M. P., Gaensler B. M., Nechiita A., Miller J. M., Slane P. O., 2008, ApJ, 680, 639
Paxton B., Bildsten L., Dotter A., Herwig F., Lesaffre P., Timmes F., 2011, ApJS, 192, 3
Quimby R. M. et al., 2011, Nat, 474, 487
Scannapieco E., Madau P., Woosley S., Heger A., Ferrara A., 2005, ApJ, 633, 1031
Smith N. et al., 2007, ApJ, 666, 1116
Walsh R., 2008, ApJ, 685, 1103
Wheeler J. C., Yi I., Hollich P., Wang L., 2000, ApJ, 537, 810
Woosley S. E., 2010, ApJ, 719, L204
Woosley S. E., Heger A., 2006, ApJ, 637, 914
Woosley S. E., Heger A., Weaver T. A., 2002, Rev. Mod. Phys., 74, 1015
Young D. R. et al., 2010, A&A, 512, A70

This paper has been typeset from a TeX/LATEX file prepared by the author.