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Abstract. We show that the unit ball of $\ell_p(\Gamma)$ in its weak topology is a
continuous image of $\sigma_1(\Gamma)^N$, and we deduce some combinatorial properties of
its lattice of open sets which are not shared by the balls of other equivalent
norms when $\Gamma$ is uncountable.

For a set $\Gamma$ and a real number $1 < p < \infty$, the Banach space $\ell_p(\Gamma)$ is a reflex-
ive space, hence its unit ball is compact in the weak topology, and in fact, it is
homeomorphic to the following closed subset of the Tychonoff cube $[-1,1]^\Gamma$:

$$B(\Gamma) = \left\{ x \in [-1,1]^\Gamma : \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |x_\gamma| \leq 1 \right\}.$$ 

The homeomorphism $h : B_{\ell_p}(\Gamma) \to B(\Gamma)$ is given by $h(x)_\gamma = \text{sign}(x_\gamma) \cdot |x_\gamma|^p$. The spaces homeomorphic to closed subsets of some $B(\Gamma)$ constitute the class of
uniform Eberlein compacta, introduced by Benyamini and Starbird [6]. The space
$\sigma_k(\Gamma)$, the compact subset of $\{0,1\}^\Gamma$ which consists of the functions with at most
$k$ nonzero coordinates ($k$ a positive integer), is an example of a uniform Eberlein
compact. In fact, the following result was proven in [5].

Theorem 1 (Benyamini, Rudin, Wage). Every uniform Eberlein compact of weight
$\kappa$ is a continuous image of a closed subset of $\sigma_1(\kappa)^N$.

In the same paper [5], the problem was posed of whether it was possible to get any
uniform Eberlein compact as a continuous image of the full $\sigma_1(\Gamma)^N$. This question
was answered in the negative by Bell [2], by considering the following property:

A compact space $K$ verifies property (Q) if for every uncountable regular cardinal
$\lambda$ and every family $\{U_\alpha, V_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \lambda}$ of open subsets of $K$ with $\overline{U_\alpha} \subset V_\alpha$ one of the
following two alternatives must hold:

1. either there exists a set $A \subset \lambda$ with $|A| = \lambda$ such that $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta = \emptyset$ for
every two different elements $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $A$,
2. or there exists a set $A \subset \lambda$ with $|A| = \lambda$ such that $V_\alpha \cap V_\beta \neq \emptyset$ for every
two different elements $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $A$.
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Bell proved in [2] that property (Q) is satisfied by all polyadic spaces, that is, continuous images of $\sigma_1(\Gamma)^\Lambda$ for any sets $\Gamma$ and $\Lambda$ (this concept was introduced in [8] and studied earlier by Gerlits [7]), but he constructed a uniform Eberlein compact without property (Q). Later, Bell [4] provided another example of a uniform Eberlein compact which is not a continuous image of any $\sigma_1(\Gamma)^N$ but which is nevertheless polyadic. Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 2.** $B(\Gamma)$ is a continuous image of $\sigma_1(\Gamma)^N$.

As a consequence, $B(\Gamma)$ satisfies property (Q) as well as other properties of the same type introduced by Bell in [4] and [3]. However, if $\Gamma$ is uncountable, we show in Theorem 3 that a modification of one of the examples of Bell provides an equivalent norm on $\ell_0(\Gamma)$ whose unit ball is not a continuous image of $\sigma_1(\Gamma)^N$, indeed not satisfying property (Q). In particular, we are showing the existence of equivalent norms in the nonseparable $\ell_0(\Gamma)$ whose closed unit balls are not homeomorphic in the weak topology. This contrasts with the separable case, since the balls of all separable reflexive Banach spaces are weakly homeomorphic [1] Theorem 1.1. We refer to [2] for information about the problem of whether the balls of equivalent norms in a Banach space are weakly homeomorphic in the separable case.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** For a set $\Delta$, we will use the notation $B^+(\Delta) = B(\Delta) \cap [0, 1]^\Delta$. First, we point out that $B(\Gamma)$ is a continuous image of $B^+(\Gamma)$. Indeed, if we consider $\Gamma^0 = \Gamma \times \{a, b\}$, we have a continuous surjection $\psi : B^+(\Gamma^0) \rightarrow B(\Gamma)$ given by $\psi(x)_\gamma = x(\gamma, a) - x(\gamma, b)$.

In a second step, we apply the standard procedure to express the space $B^+(\Gamma)$ as a continuous image of a totally disconnected compact $L_0$. We fix a sequence $(r_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ of positive real numbers such that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty r_n = 1$ and such that the continuous map $\phi : \{0, 1\}^N \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by $\phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty r_n x_n$ is surjective, for example, $r_n = \frac{1}{2^n + 1}$. We consider the power $\phi^\Gamma : \{0, 1\}^{\Gamma \times N} \rightarrow [0, 1]^\Gamma$ and then we set:

$$L_0 = (\phi^\Gamma)^{-1}(B^+(\Gamma)),$$

$$f = \phi^\Gamma_{|L_0},$$

so that $f : L_0 \rightarrow B^+(\Gamma)$ is a continuous surjection. It will be convenient to have an explicit description of $L_0$. For $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\Gamma \times N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $N_n(x) = |\{\gamma \in \Gamma : x(\gamma, n) = 1\}|$.

$$x \in L_0 \iff \phi^\Gamma(x) \in B^+(\Gamma) \iff \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \phi^\Gamma(x)_\gamma \leq 1 \iff \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sum_{n=0}^\infty r_n x(\gamma, n) \leq 1 \iff \sum_{n=0}^\infty r_n N_n(x) \leq 1.$$

The compact space $L_0$ can be alternatively described as follows. Let $Z$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{N}^N$ such that if $\sigma \in Z$ and $\tau_n \leq \sigma_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\tau \in Z$. Associated to such a set $Z$ we construct the following space:

$$\mathcal{K}(Z, \Gamma) = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^{\Gamma \times N} : (N_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in Z\}.$$
We have that $L_0 = \mathcal{K}(Z_0, \Gamma)$ where $Z_0 = \{ s \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} : \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} r_i s_i \leq 1 \}$. Note that $Z_0$ is indeed compact since it is a closed subset of $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{0, \ldots, M_n\}$ where $M_n$ is the integer part of $\frac{1}{r_n}$. The proof will be complete after the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** Let $Z$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ such that if $\sigma \in Z$ and $\tau_n \leq \sigma_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\tau \in Z$. Then $\mathcal{K}(Z, \Gamma)$ is a continuous image of $\sigma_1(\Gamma)^{\mathbb{N}}$.

**Proof.** First we check that $\mathcal{K}(Z, \Gamma)$ is a closed subset of $\{0, 1\}^{\Gamma \times \mathbb{N}}$ and hence compact. Namely, if $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\Gamma \times \mathbb{N}} \setminus \mathcal{K}(Z, \Gamma)$, then $(N_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \notin Z$ and since $Z$ is closed in $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$, there is a finite set $F \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma \notin Z$ whenever $\sigma_n = N_n(x)$ for all $n \in F$. Indeed, by the assumptions on $Z$, if $\tau \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ and $\tau_n \geq \sigma_n$ for all $n \in F$, then also $\tau \notin Z$. In this case,

$$W = \{ y \in \{0, 1\}^{\Gamma \times \mathbb{N}} : y_{\gamma, n} = 1 \text{ whenever } n \in F \text{ and } x_{\gamma, n} = 1 \}$$

is a neighborhood which separates $x$ from $\mathcal{K}(Z, \Gamma)$ and this finishes the proof that $\mathcal{K}(Z, \Gamma)$ is closed. Since $Z$ is compact, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $M_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma_n \leq M_n$ for all $\sigma \in Z$. We define the following compact space:

$$L_1 = Z \times \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{\gamma = 0}^{M_n} \sigma_m(\Gamma).$$

Note that $L_1$ is a continuous image of $\sigma_1(\Gamma)^{\mathbb{N}}$. On the one hand, since $Z$ is a metrizable compact, it is a continuous image of $\{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}$ and in particular of $\sigma_1(\Gamma)^{\mathbb{N}}$. On the other hand, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the space $\sigma_i(\Gamma)$ can be viewed as the family of all subsets of $\Gamma$ of cardinality at most $i$. In this way, we consider the continuous surjection $p : \sigma_1(\Gamma)^i \twoheadrightarrow \sigma_1(\Gamma)$ given by $p(x_1, \ldots, x_i) = x_1 \cup \cdots \cup x_i$. From the existence of such a function follows the fact that any countable product of spaces $\sigma_i(\Gamma)$ is a continuous image of $\sigma_1(\Gamma)^{\mathbb{N}}$, and in particular, the second factor in the expression of $L_1$ is such an image.

It remains to define a continuous surjection $g : L_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{K}(Z, \Gamma)$. We first fix some notation. An element of $L_1$ will be written as $(z, x)$ where $z \in Z$ and $x \in \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{\gamma = 0}^{M_n} \sigma_m(\Gamma)$. At the same time, such an $x$ is of the form $(x^m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $x^m \in \prod_{\gamma = 0}^{M_n} \sigma_m(\Gamma)$ and again each $x^m$ is $(x^m, i = 1)^{M_n}$ where $x^m, i \in \sigma_i(\Gamma)$. Finally $x^m, i = (x^m, i = 1)_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \in \sigma_i(\Gamma) \subset \{0, 1\}^\Gamma$. The function $g : L_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{K}(Z, \Gamma) \subset \{0, 1\}^{\Gamma \times \mathbb{N}}$ is defined as follows:

$$g(z, x)_{\gamma, m} = x^m_{\gamma, z(m)}.$$

Observe that $g(z, x)$ maps $L_1$ onto $\mathcal{K}(Z, \Gamma)$ because for every $m$, $(x^m_{\gamma, z(m)})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is an arbitrary element of $\sigma_{z(m)}(\Gamma)$.

**Theorem 4.** Let $\Gamma$ be an uncountable set and $1 < p < \infty$. There exists an equivalent norm on $\ell_p(\Gamma)$ whose unit ball does not satisfy property (Q) and hence it is not polyadic.

**Proof.** This is a variation of an example of Bell [2], originally a scattered compact, that makes it absolutely convex. We will consider $\omega_1$ as a subset of $\Gamma$. Let $\phi : \omega_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a one-to-one map and

$$G = \{ (\alpha, \beta) \in \omega_1 \times \omega_1 : \phi(\alpha) < \phi(\beta) \iff \alpha \leq \beta \}.$$

We define an equivalent norm on $\ell_p(\Gamma)$ by $\| (x, y) \|' = \sup\{ \|x\|_p, \|y\|_p, |x_\alpha| + |y_\beta| : (\alpha, \beta) \in G \}$.
and let $K$ be its unit ball considered in its weak topology. Fix numbers $1 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < 2^{1-p}$. The families of open sets

$$U_\alpha = \{(x, y) \in K : |x_\alpha| + |y_\alpha| > \xi_2\}, \quad \alpha < \omega_1,$$

$$V_\alpha = \{(x, y) \in K : |x_\alpha| + |y_\alpha| > \xi_1\}, \quad \alpha < \omega_1,$$

verify that $\bigcap U_\alpha \subset V_\alpha$ and that for any $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$, $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta = \emptyset$ if and only if $(\alpha, \beta) \in G$ if and only if $V_\alpha \cap V_\beta = \emptyset$. Namely, if there is some $(x, y) \in V_\alpha \cap V_\beta$, then

$$|x_\alpha| + |y_\alpha| + |x_\beta| + |y_\beta| > \xi_1 + \xi_1 > 2$$

and therefore either $|x_\alpha| + |x_\beta| > 1$ or $|y_\alpha| + |y_\beta| > 1$ and this implies that $(\alpha, \beta) \notin G$ since $(x, y) \in K$. On the other hand, if $(\alpha, \beta) \notin G$, then the element $(x, y) \in \ell_p(\Gamma) \times \ell_p(\Gamma)$ which has all coordinates zero except $x_\alpha = x_\beta = y_\alpha = y_\beta = 2^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ lies in $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Since there is no uncountable well-ordered (or inversely well-ordered) subset of $\mathbb{R}$, there is no uncountable subset $A$ of $\omega_1$ such that $A \times A \subset G$ or $(A \times A) \cap G = \emptyset$. Therefore, the families $\{U_\alpha\}$ and $\{V_\alpha\}$ witness the fact that $K$ does not have property $(Q)$ and hence, it is not polyadic.
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