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Abstract

**Background:** Cultivated barley belongs to the tertiary genepool of hexaploid wheat. Genes of interest can be transferred from barley into wheat through wide hybridization. The application of wheat-barley introgression lines could provide an excellent tool for the transfer of earliness, favourable amino acid composition, biotic stress resistance, abiotic stress tolerance, or good tillering ability into wheat.

**Results:** A set of 10 wheat-barley ditelosomic addition lines (2HS, 2HL, 3HS, 3HL, 4HS, 4HL, 6HS, 6HL, 7HS and 7HL) was developed from the progenies of an Asakaze/Manas wheat-barley hybrid produced in Martonvásár, Hungary. The addition lines were selected from self-fertilized plants of the BC$_2$F$_2$-BC$_2$F$_4$ generations using genomic in situ hybridization (GISH) and were identified by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) with repetitive DNA probes [HvT01, (GAA)$_7$ and centromere-specific (AGGGAG)$_4$ probes]. The cytogenetic identification was confirmed using barley arm-specific SSR and STS markers. The ditelosomic additions were propagated in the phytotron and in the field, and morphological parameters (plant height, tillering, length of the main spike, number of seeds/spike and seeds/plant, and spike characteristics) were described. In addition, the salt stress response of the ditelosomic additions was determined.

**Conclusions:** The six-rowed winter barley cultivar Manas is much better adapted to Central European environmental conditions than the two-rowed spring barley Betzes previously used in wheat-barley crosses. The production of wheat-barley ditelosomic addition lines has a wide range of applications both for breeding (transfer of useful genes to the recipient species) and for basic research (mapping of barley genes, genetic and evolutionary studies and heterologous expression of barley genes in the wheat background).
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**Background**

Attempts to hybridize wheat with barley were begun more than 100 years ago [1], but the first authentic hybrid was obtained much later by the Danish scientist Kruse [2], who used barley as the female parent [3–5]. The production of the reciprocal hybrid (with wheat as the female parent and barley as the male parent) is more difficult, but this kind of combination is now in the focus of research in this field because of the pistilloidy and male sterility observed in barley × wheat hybrids [6]. The development of the first wheat × barley hybrids was followed by the production of wheat-barley disomic addition lines (2H, 3H, 4H, 5H, 6H and 7H), the first of which arose from crosses between Chinese Spring wheat and the spring barley Betzes [7]. The success of wheat × barley crosses is very dependent on the genotypes used. Previous experience demonstrated that wheat cultivars originating from the Far East have better crossability with related species. Several barley cultivars (Betzes, Igri, Manas and Osnova) were used in wheat-barley crosses in Martonvásár [8]. The Ukrainian six-rowed barley Manas has many useful agronomic characters (e.g. good winter hardiness, abiotic stress tolerance and yield ability) and is well adapted to Central European conditions [9].

The first and as yet only near complete set of hexaploid wheat-barley ditelosomic addition lines was produced by Islam in the 1980’s using a set of monosomic additions of Betzes barley (2n = 2x = 14; HH) chromosomes to hexaploid Chinese Spring wheat (2n = 6x = 42;
AABBDD) [10]. Only 12 of the 14 possible ditelosomic addition lines could be developed, as the monosomic addition involving chromosome 1H was self-sterile, due to the presence of the Shw sterility gene on the long arm of this chromosome [4]. A ditelosomic line involving barley chromosome 1HS was developed later [10]. The wheat-barley chromosome and chromosome arm addition lines are used for assigning genes to chromosomes and chromosome arms and for the characterization of the expression pattern of barley genes in the wheat genetic background. Wheat-barley hybrids can be used for studying the homoeologous relationship between wheat and barley genomes at chromosome level [11, 12]. The barley resistance genes can also be effective in the genetic background of wheat [3], at the same time wheat-barley introgression lines could be an excellent tool for the transfer of earliness, favourable amino acid composition, biotic stress resistance, salt and drought tolerance, or good tillering ability from barley into wheat [5]. Wheat-barley ditelosomic addition lines, on the other hand, can act as bridging materials for generating wheat-barley translocations, which are more stable than aneuploids.

The aim of this work was to select fertile, genetically stable, wheat-barley ditelosomic addition lines from backcrossed progenies of the Asakaze/Manas wheat-barley hybrid produced earlier in Martonvásár [13, 14]. Ten ditelosomic addition lines (2HS, 2HL, 3HS, 3HL, 4HS, 4HL, 6HS, 6HL, 7HS and 7HL) were selected from 860 self-fertilized progenies of the fertile BC₂ plants (Fig. 1). The morphological traits of the plants were analysed in experiments carried out in phytotron climate chambers (Conviron PGV96) in 2013–2014 and in the field in the Türkösi nursery, Martonvásár, Hungary during the 2014–2015 growing season.

In situ hybridization
Mitotic chromosome spreads from germinating root tips were prepared as described by Lukaszewski et al. [15]. The ditelosomic addition lines were selected using GISH from the BC₂F₂–BC₂F₄ generations and identified by FISH using repetitive DNA probes. The GISH experiment was carried out as described by Molnár-Láng et al. [16]. Barley total genomic DNA was labelled with digoxigenin-11-dUTP (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) with a nick translation mix and used as a probe. Unlabelled wheat genomic DNA was used as blocking DNA at a ratio of 35:1. Detection was carried out with anti-digoxigenin-Rhodamine (Roche). The slides were mounted in Vectashield antifade solution (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA).

Methods

Plant material
The Japanese facultative wheat Asakaze was used as female parent and the Ukrainian six-rowed winter barley Manas as pollinator to produce a wheat-barley hybrid. The wheat cv. Asakaze and the barley cv. Manas were provided by the Martonvasar Cereal Gene Bank. The hybrid embryo was dissected three weeks after pollination and raised in embryo culture [13]. The hybrid plant had good viability and developed several tillers. As the hybrid was sterile, it was multiplied from young inflorescences in tissue culture. Spikes from 354 regenerant hybrids were pollinated with the wheat cultivars Asakaze, Mv9 kr1 and Chinese Spring, but a BC₁ progeny was only obtained from the backcross with Chinese Spring. The BC₁ plant was crossed with wheat cultivar Asakaze and 16 BC₂ plants were grown to maturity. The presence of barley chromosomes in the wheat background was analysed in the BC₂ plants with a combination of GISH and molecular markers, as reported earlier by Molnár-Láng et al. [14].
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**Fig. 1** Procedure for isolating barley chromosome ditelosomic addition lines in hexaploid wheat cultivar “Asakaze”
USA) containing 2 μg/mL 4′-6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). After rinsing off the GISH hybridization signals in 4 × SSC Tween at room temperature, FISH was carried out using the (GAA)$_7$ microsatellite probe [17], the barley subtelomere-specific probe HvT01 [18] and barley centromere-specific sequences (AGGGAG)$_4$ [19]. The (GAA)$_7$ microsatellite probe was amplified and labelled with biotin-16-dUTP using PCR. The barley subtelomeric sequence HvT01 was labelled combinatorially with 50 % biotin-16-dUTP and 50 % digoxigenin-11-dUTP. The barley centromere-specific sequences used in this study are presented in Additional file 1.

**SSR and STS marker analysis**

Genomic DNA was extracted from fresh young leaves of wheat cultivar Asakaze, barley cultivar Manas and the ten Asakaze/Manas wheat-barley ditelosomic addition lines using Quick Gene-Mini80 (FujiFilm, Japan) with a QuickGene DNA tissue kit (FujiFilm, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The following set of barley chromosome arm-specific SSR markers and genespecific STS markers was selected: HvCSLF4-2HS [23], Bmag0125-2HL [24], HvLTPPB-3HS [24], HvM60-3HL [25], HvM40-4HS [25], HvM67-4HL [25], Bmac0316-6HS [24], EBmac0806-6HL [24], Bmac0031-7HS [24] and HvCalF6-7HL [23]. All the primer pairs were tested on DNA templates containing: genomic DNA from wheat cultivar Asakaze, barley cultivar Manas and the Asakaze/Manas ditelosomic addition lines. The PCR amplification was performed under the conditions described by Molnár et al. [26]. The primer sequences and annealing temperatures used in this study are presented in Additional file 1. The PCR products were separated with a Fragment Analyzer™ Automated CE System equipped with a 96-Capillary Array Cartridge (Advanced Analytical Technologies, USA). The results were analysed using PROSize v2.0 software (Advanced Analytical Technologies, USA).

**Phenotypic characterization of the plants, and statistical analysis**

The Asakaze/Manas wheat-barley ditelosomic addition lines 2HS, 2HL, 3HS, 3HL, 4HS, 4HL, 6HS, 6HL, 7HS and 7HL, the parental genotypes Asakaze, Chinese Spring and Manas and the Asakaze/Manas disomic addition lines were grown in phytotron chambers (Conviron PGV96) in Martonvásár. Vernalization was carried out at 4 °C for 6 weeks, and the plants were grown until tillering under an initial 15 °C day:10 °C night temperature, 12 h light:12 h dark photoperiod, 200 μmol m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ light intensity (at pot level) and 75 % relative humidity [27]. The temperature rose by increments of 2 °C after vernalization (day length 14 h), stem elongation (16 h illumination) and flowering, and 2 weeks after fertilization. The phenotypic analysis was performed on 10 plants from each genotype. The same genotypes were sown in the field in Martonvásár with 10 seeds in each 1 m row and a row distance of 15 cm. Ten plants were randomly selected from each genotype for analysis. Plant height and tillering were determined immediately before harvest. The length of the main spike, spikelets/main spike, seeds/main spike and seeds/plant were measured after harvest.

The morphological traits of the ditelosomic addition lines were compared with those of the Asakaze and Chinese Spring wheat genotypes using Student’s t test for paired data at the $P = 0.05$ significance level.

**Evaluation of the flowering date of Asakaze/Manas ditelosomic addition lines**

The flowering time of 10 plants was recorded for each genotype in the field experiment. Statistical analysis was performed using Student’s t test for paired data on the flowering date and significant differences at the $P = 0.05$ significance level.

**Evaluation of the salt stress response of Asakaze/Manas ditelosomic addition lines**

The salt stress response of seedlings of Asakaze/Manas ditelosomic addition lines was screened and compared to that of wheat and barley. The other wheat crossing parent Chinese Spring was not used in these investigations, as it had similar or lower salt tolerance than Asakaze, as demonstrated in earlier investigations [28]. In the germination test, 3× 20 seeds of each genotype per treatment were surface-sterilized in 10 % sodium hypochlorite for 15 min, rinsed twice in distilled water and germinated on wet filter paper containing 0, 100, 200 or 250 mM NaCl in Petri dishes for 3 days at a temperature of 25 °C. The percentage of germinated seeds and the length and weight of roots and coleoptiles were determined.
Results
Selection, identification and morphological characterization of the wheat-barley ditelosomic addition lines

All the barley chromosomes were present in one or more of the 16 BC$_2$ plants originating from the Asakaze × Manas hybrid with the exception of the complete 5H, though 5HS was present in one plant. It was not possible to select lines with either the 1H or 5HS additions, because the BC$_2$ plants carrying these barley chromosomes set no seed [9]. Ten wheat-barley ditelosomic additions were selected from the progenies of the BC$_2$ plants. These lines were 2HS, 2HL, 3HS, 3HL, 4HS, 4HL, 6HS, 6HL, 7HS and 7HL. The presence of the barley telocentric chromosomes in the wheat background was detected using GISH (Fig. 2a). The telocentric barley chromosomes were identified using FISH (Fig. 2b) and barley-arm specific SSR and STS markers. The barley subtelomere-specific probe HvT01 and barley centromere-specific sequences (AGGGAG)$_4$ were used to demonstrate that the entire barley chromosome arm was present in the relevant ditelosomic addition line. The presence of 42 wheat chromosomes was detected with wheat-specific DNA repetitive FISH probes (Fig. 3). The wheat-barley ditelosomic addition lines were developed from 860 progenies of selfed BC$_2$ plants, mainly from monosomic addition lines, between 2010 and 2013. Thirty percent of these plants (260) were homozygous telosomic plants, while the barley chromosomes were eliminated from 46 % (395) of the plants. Monotelosomic additions, Robertsonian translocations, mono- and disomic additions and isochromosomes were found among the lines investigated (Table 1).

The 2HS and 2HL ditelosomic addition lines were selected from a 2H monosomic addition. Some of its progenies carried telocentric chromosomes, which were assumed to be 2HS or 2HL telocentrics. The barley telocentric chromosome pair was detected in the wheat background using GISH. The cytological analysis was followed by the identification of the alien chromatin using the HvCSLF4 STS marker to identify the 2HS arm and the Bmag0125 SSR marker for the 2HL chromosome arm (Fig. 4). The 2HS addition line had awnless, tapered spikes with a specific curvature and hard culm, while the spike of the 2HL addition plants was awnless with a laxed structure (Fig. 5). In the phytotron experiments the two lines showed significantly better tillering and number of seeds/plant than the Asakaze wheat genotype (Additional file 2).
The 3HS chromosome addition was selected from the progenies of a 3H monosomic addition, while the 3HL was identified among the descendants of a 3H disomic addition. After detecting the barley chromatin using GISH, the 3HS and 3HL additions were identified with the help of the HvLPTTB and HvM60 SSR markers, respectively (Fig. 4). The 3HS line had lax spikes with awnstubs, while the 3HL line had short, awnless spikes with a denser structure (Fig. 5). In both phytotron and field experiments the 3HL line had one of the shortest spikes of all the ditelosomic additions developed, yet the 1000-kernel weight calculated for the field experiment was better than that of almost all the other ditelosomic and disomic addition lines (Additional files 2 and 3).

Table 1 The number of seeds examined for the development of each wheat-barley ditelosomic addition line

| Genotypes | Barley chromosomes detected in the seeds analysed | Barley chromosomes eliminated | Number of seeds examined |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2HS       | 17 telocentrics 6 telocentrics 0 Others 14 |                             | 37                      |
| 2HL       | 19 telocentrics 4 telocentrics 6 Others 17 |                             | 46                      |
| 3HS       | 51 telocentrics 19 Others 1 38              |                             | 109                     |
| 3HL       | 12 telocentrics 24 Others 3 86              |                             | 125                     |
| 4HS       | 33 telocentrics 45 Others 1 115             |                             | 194                     |
| 4HL       | 23 telocentrics 10 Others 0 16              |                             | 49                      |
| 6HS       | 13 telocentrics 19 Others 25 56             |                             | 113                     |
| 6HL       | 29 telocentrics 13 Others 0 6               |                             | 48                      |
| 7HS       | 10 telocentrics 6 Others 0 8                |                             | 24                      |
| 7HL       | 53 telocentrics 17 Others 6 39              |                             | 115                     |
| Σ         | 260 telocentrics 163 Others 42 395         |                             | 860                     |

Fig. 4 Capillary gel electrophoresis pattern of the ditelosomic addition lines using HvCSLF4-2HS, Bmag0125-2HL, HvLTPPB-3HS, HvM60-3HL, HvM40-4HS, HvM67-4HL, Bmac0316-6HS, EBmac0806-6HL, Bmac0031-7HS and HvCSLF6-7HL barley chromosome arm-specific markers on the following DNA templates: Asakaze wheat (A), Manas barley (M), A/M ditelosomic addition lines (2HS, 2HL; 3HS, 3HL; 4HS, 4HL; 6HS, 6HL; 7HS, 7HL). Barley chromosome arm-specific bands are indicated by arrows. A 50-bp DNA ladder (L) was used to estimate fragment size.

The 4HS and 4HL ditelosomic addition lines were derived from the 4H monosomic addition line. Telocentric barley chromosomes were identified in the wheat background on the mitotic chromosome spreads of its progenies using GISH. The cytological identification was confirmed using SSR markers specific for the 4HS (HvM40) and 4HL (HvM67) barley chromosome arms (Fig. 4). The 4HS telocentric chromosome was eliminated from the analysed plants with the highest frequency (Table 1), while the homozygous 4HS ditelosomic addition was quite stable. The 4HS line had flared spikes with awnstubs, while 4HL had shorter, dense spikes also possessing awnstubs (Fig. 5).

The 4HL ditelosomic addition plants were the shortest in the series of ten ditelosomic addition lines; the plant height
was significantly lower than that of the wheat genotypes both in the phytotron and in the field. The fertility of both the 4HS and 4HL lines was better than that of the other eight ditelosomic addition lines, both in the phytotron and in the field experiment (Additional files 2 and 3).

A double monosomic addition line carrying the 6H and 7H barley chromosomes was the parental genotype for the 6HS, 6HL and some of the 7HL wheat-barley ditelosomic additions. The telocentrics of the 6H chromosome were transmitted with higher frequency than that of the 7H. The 7HS arm was not detected in the progenies of these plants. The arms of the 6H chromosome were detected using GISH and identified with barley arm-specific SSR markers. The Bmac0136 marker revealed the presence of the 6HS barley chromosome arm, while the EBmac0806 confirmed the presence of 6HL (Fig. 4). The 6HS line had long spikes with apical awnspikes, while the 6HL spike was awnless (Fig. 5).

The 7HS and 7HL addition lines were selected from the progenies of the 7H monosomic addition. The cytogenetic identification of the barley chromatin in the wheat background was followed by SSR and STS marker analysis, using the Bmac0031 SSR and HvCSLF6 STS markers, which distinguished the 7HS and 7HL barley chromosome arms, respectively (Fig. 4). Though the 7HL ditelosomic addition line was stable, more than two telocentric chromosomes were detected in some of the mitotic chromosome spreads of homozygous plants. As GISH did not reveal the barley origin of these chromosomes, FISH was applied on the same chromosome preparations after washing off the GISH signals. Wheat-specific FISH probes (pSc119.2, Afa family and pTa71) were used to identify the fragmented wheat chromosome. In all the preparations analysed this was found to be the 4B chromosome. Both the 7HS and 7HL additions had awnless spikes that were not very dense, but the 7HS spike was much longer (Fig. 5). In plants carrying the fragmented 4B wheat chromosome, the spikes of the 7HL addition were much shorter and had very low fertility. The fertility of the 7HS line was also low, as its spikes had many sterile spikelets on the apical part.

During the propagation of the lines the stability of the lines was also investigated in the progenies of plants homozygous for the presence of the barley telocentric chromosomes. The stability of all ten ditelosomic addition lines was higher than 50 % and varied from 57.14 % for the 2HS addition of (28 progenies of ditelosomics, 16 were also ditelosomics) and 100 % for 2HL (all 26 progenies of plants homozygous for the barley telocentrics were also ditelosomics) (Table 2).

**Evaluation of flowering time of Asakaze/Manas ditelosomic addition lines in the field experiment**

The flowering time of the ditelosomic addition lines was evaluated in the field experiment together with that of the Asakaze/Manas disomic 2H, 3H, 4H, 6H and 7H addition lines, the Asakaze and Chinese Spring wheat cultivars and the Manas barley cultivar. The earliest flowering genotype was Manas, where all the main spikes of the ten assigned plants flowered between 4 and 6 May. The earliest addition

| Genotypes | Number of progenies of ditelosomic analysed | Ditelosomic plants | Rate of ditelosomics among progenies |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2HS       | 28                                          | 16                 | 57.14 %                             |
| 2HL       | 26                                          | 26                 | 100 %                               |
| 3HS       | 36                                          | 30                 | 83.33 %                             |
| 3HL       | 30                                          | 19                 | 63.33 %                             |
| 4HS       | 45                                          | 38                 | 84.44 %                             |
| 4HL       | 20                                          | 19                 | 95 %                                |
| 6HS       | 44                                          | 28                 | 63.63 %                             |
| 6HL       | 36                                          | 28                 | 77.77 %                             |
| 7HS       | 23                                          | 18                 | 78.26 %                             |
| 7HL       | 81                                          | 73                 | 90.12 %                             |
line was 7HL, which began flowering on 7 May, followed immediately on 8 May by the Asakaze wheat cultivar and the 2H, 3HS, 3HL, 4HL, 7HS and 7H addition lines, though the flowering interval was longer for most of these lines than for the 7HL addition line. The latest flowering lines were 6HS and 6HL on 13 May and 6H on 15 May (Fig. 6, Table 3).

**Evaluation of the salt stress response of Asakaze/Manas ditelosomic addition lines**

Germination tests were used for evaluating the salt stress response of Asakaze/Manas ditelosomic addition lines. The results were compared with those of the wheat parent Asakaze and the barley parent Manas and the differences were presented in Fig. 7. Without salt treatment, the genotypes showed only slight variability. The ditelosomic addition lines 2HL and 4HS had better growth vigour than Asakaze, while lines 6HS, 6HL and 7HS had poorer vigour. The 2HL addition line exhibited higher root and shoot length than wheat Asakaze. The root growth (both length and weight) was also higher in ditelosomic line 4HS than in Asakaze. These differences were more pronounced under mild salt stress (100 mM salt treatment), but disappeared when higher salt concentrations (200 and 250 mM) were applied (Fig. 7). The salt-induced decrease in germination rate and root and shoot growth (both length and weight) was considerable in the case of the 4HL, 6HS, 6HL and 7HS ditelosomic lines. Inversely, higher growth potential was retained under salt stress conditions in ditelosomic line 7HL and Manas, which had higher root and shoot lengths and weight data than Asakaze. However, it should also be mentioned that salt stress inhibited shoot growth more intensively than root growth. The severe (200 and 250 mM) salt treatment led to 1–2 mm shoot primordia, which made it impossible to discriminate between the genotypes, as indicated in Additional file 4.

In previous experiments several wheat/barley addition and translocation lines carrying chromosomes from different wheat and barley cultivars were studied for aluminium and salt tolerance [29, 30]. A comparison of the salt stress responses of Asakaze/Manas wheat–barley ditelosomic addition lines (2H, 3H, 3HS, 4H, 6H, 7H and 7HL) to those of the parental genotypes (Asakaze, Manas and Chinese Spring) revealed that the disomic addition line 7H and the ditelosomic line 7HL exhibited higher salt tolerance both during germination and in the
Table 3  Average flowering date of the genotype analysed (No. of days from 1st January 2015)

| Genotype | 2H5 | 2HL | 2H | 3H5 | 3HL | 3H | 4H5 | 4HL | 4H | 6H5 | 6HL | 6H | 7H5 | 7HL | 7H | Asakaze | CS | Manas |
|----------|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|----|--------|----|-------|
| Average flowering date | $132.4^{a, b, c}$ | $131.6^{a, b, c}$ | $129.8$ | $129.2^{b}$ | $130.3^{a}$ | $130^{a}$ | $132.5^{a, b}$ | $128.8^{b}$ | $130.8^{a}$ | $134.2^{a, b}$ | $134.5^{a, b}$ | $136^{a, b}$ | $129.4^{a}$ | $128.7^{b}$ | $128.3^{b}$ | $129$ | $130.4$ | $124.7$ |
| Standard deviation | 1.35 | 1.26 | 1.13 | 1.14 | 0.67 | 0.97 | 1.31 | 0.42 | 1.14 | 1.59 | 0.81 | 0.70 | 1.16 | 0.67 | 0.81 | 1.07 | 0.82 |

*Significantly different from the Asakaze wheat cultivar at the $P < 0.05$ significance level
*Significantly different from the Chinese Spring wheat cultivar at the $P < 0.05$ significance level
*Significantly different from the 2H line at the $P < 0.05$ significance level
*Significantly different from the 2H line at the $P < 0.05$ significance level
early developmental stages than the wheat parents, among which Asakaze had higher salt tolerance than Chinese Spring [30]. The present experiment using a set of ditelosomic lines (2HS, 2HL, 3HS, 3HL, 4HS, 4HL, 6HS, 6HL, 7HS and 7HL) confirmed the previous results. The ditelosomic line 7HS showed a higher reduction in growth under salt stress conditions than the wheat parent Asakaze, and the ditelosomic line 7HL and the barley parent Manas exhibited higher salt-tolerance at germination than Asakaze. These results suggest that lines carrying the long arm of the 7H barley chromosome in the wheat background could be used as genetic material for improving the salt tolerance of wheat. Higher root and shoot growth was also observed in the ditelosomic lines 2HL and 4HS than in wheat Asakaze during germination under control and mild salt stress conditions, but this improved vigour disappeared under severe salt stress, indicating that the intense growth was not related to the salt stress response. Intense root growth was also observed previously in 4H disomic addition lines [29], and the present results demonstrate that this is associated with the 4HS chromosome arm.

**Discussion**

The present paper reports the development of a new set of wheat-barley ditelosomic addition lines (2HS, 2HL, 3HS, 3HL, 4HS, 4HL, 6HS, 6HL, 7HS and 7HL) obtained by incorporating the chromosomes of the six-rowed Ukrainian winter barley cultivar Manas into the facultative Japanese wheat cultivar Asakaze. The 5HS and 5HL ditelosomics could not be selected from the Asakaze/Manas combination, as the 5H chromosome was eliminated most frequently from the backcross progenies and the plant carrying the arms of this chromosome was sterile [14]. Each ditelosomic addition line developed contained the full complement of wheat chromosomes and a single telocentric chromosome pair from barley, though in some of the plants analysed wheat chromosome breakages or chromosome duplications were observed. Barley telocentric chromosomes may result from the misdivision of barley univalents during meiosis. The first and as yet only near complete set of wheat-barley ditelosomics, including 13 of the 14 possible ditelosomic addition lines (except 1HL) was produced by Islam in the 80's through the addition of barley chromosomes from the Betzes barley cultivar to the Chinese Spring wheat cultivar. These lines were characterized on the basis of their morphological, physiological and biochemical features and the N-banding analysis of the chromosomes [10]. The newly developed Asakaze-Manas wheat-barley ditelosomic addition lines were identified using GISH, FISH and molecular marker analysis, while the morphological traits and yield components were studied in phytotron and field experiments.
Manas is a winter barley cultivar with good winter hardiness making it better adapted to Central European climatic conditions than Betzes. It also has favourable agronomic traits, including good yielding ability [9] and better salt and aluminium tolerance than the other two barley genotypes previously used to develop addition lines [28–30]. Wheat-alien ditelosomic additions have also been developed using other cultivated and wild relatives of wheat, including various cultivars of rye, Aegilops species, Thinopyrum ponticum, Elymus species, Leymus racemosus and Hordeum chilense. These ditelosomic addition lines can be utilized for a wide range of purposes [31–40].

The development of Chinese Spring/Betzes wheat-barley ditelosomic addition lines opened the door for the flow sorting of barley telocentric chromosomes at high purity [41], making it possible to construct chromosome arm-specific DNA libraries and to perform the cytogenetic mapping needed for the development of physical contig maps [42]. Mayer et al. [43] reported the use of flow-sorted barley chromosome 1H and chromosome arms 2HS to7HL to construct a high resolution sequence-based gene map containing an estimated 86 % of the genes in the barley genome. It also proved possible to stretch flow-sorted plant chromosomes longitudinally, thus increasing the physical resolution of maps constructed using FISH [44].

The most widespread wheat-alien introgression is the 1BL.1RS wheat-rye translocation where the 1RS chromosome arm carries resistance genes to biotic and abiotic stresses, and genes affecting yield potential or protein content. The 1BL.1RS translocation is present in several hundreds of wheat cultivars, and has therefore received great attention from researchers and breeders. Flow-sorted 1RS chromosomes from the 1RS wheat-rye (Chinese Spring-Imperial) ditelosomic addition line were used to construct BAC libraries specific for 1RS rye chromosome. Chromosome arm-specific BAC libraries make it possible the high-resolution analysis of a particular region of complex plant genomes and developing molecular markers for these regions [45].

With the help of the Chinese Spring-Aegilops geniculata 5M8 ditelosomic addition line, the arm of an Aegilops chromosome, was successfully flow-sorted for the first time and sequenced using Illumina technology. Next-generation sequencing offers a cheap way to develop sequence-based markers for the molecular analysis of Aegilops chromosomes [46].

The Asakaze/Manas wheat-barley ditelosomic addition lines were compared with the Asakaze/Manas disomic addition lines, previously selected from the same wheat-barley cross [9]. As aneuploids, disomic and ditelosomic additions exhibit a certain degree of instability which necessitates regular cytological analysis. Based on the experiments carried out to date it can be concluded that the Asakaze/Manas ditelosomic addition lines are more stable than the disomic addition lines. The 3H disomic addition, in particular, showed an unexpectedly high level of chromosome instability in comparison with that developed from Mv9kr1 and Igri [9, 47], while both 3HS and 3HL were more stable during the propagation of the ditelosomic addition lines (Table 2). The transmission of the alien chromosomes was more reliable when single alien chromosomes were replaced by their telocentrics [48].

Differences were revealed in the plant and spike morphology and in the fertility of ditelosomic additions compared with those of disomic addition lines. The 4HL addition was the shortest of all the ditelosomic addition lines and the plants were also significantly shorter than the wheat parental genotypes, both in the phytotron and in the field. The 6HS, 6HL and 7HS additions had the longest spikes among the ditelosomic additions, while 4HS and 4HL had significantly shorter spikes but better fertility than the wheat parental genotypes (Figs. 5 and 8). This was especially true of 4HL, which had spikes with a dense...
structure and a significantly higher number of seeds/spike than Asakaze and Chinese Spring in the experiment carried out in the Tükörös nursery. While the 7H disomic addition line had the lowest fertility ([9] and data presented in this work) and that of 7HL was the lowest of all the ditelosomics, 7HS exhibited higher fertility in the field. The addition line carrying the 7HL chromosome arm was the earliest flowering of the addition lines, flowering later than Manas but earlier than the wheat genotypes, whereas the latest flowering lines were those carrying the entire 6H or the telocentric 6HS and 6HL chromosomes. These results are in agreement with data previously presented by Molnár-Láng et al. [49]. In the field experiment the 2H disomic addition line flowered significantly earlier than lines carrying the short or long arm of this barley chromosome. The major photoperiod sensitivity locus Ppd-H1 located on 2HS, results in accelerated plant development under a short photoperiod in barley [50, 51]. Winter barleys require vernalization and flowering is usually promoted by long days. Dominant alleles at Ppd-H1 confer early flowering under long days, but have no effect under short days. The 2H chromosome also contains many important genes for barley development and adaptation, such as row-type vrs1 [52], earliness per se (eps25) [53, 54] and early maturity Eami1 [55]. Further genetic and genomic studies will be required to determine how these genes located on the short or long arms of chromosome 2H function separately in a wheat background.

Introgression lines are useful for investigating heterologous expression of genes from alien chromosomes in the wheat genetic background [56]. In previous experiments several wheat/barley addition and translocation lines carrying chromosomes from different wheat and barley cultivars were studied for aluminium and salt tolerance [29, 30]. A comparison of the salt stress responses of Asakaze/Manas wheat–barley disomic addition lines (2H, 3H, 3HS, 4H, 6H, 7H and 7HL) to those of the parental genotypes (Asakaze, Chinese Spring and Manas) revealed that the disomic addition line 7H and the ditelosomic line 7HL exhibited higher salt tolerance both during germination and in the early developmental stages than the wheat parents, among which Asakaze had higher salt tolerance than Chinese Spring [28]. The present experiment, using a set of ditelosomic lines (2HS, 2HL, 3HS, 3HL, 4HS, 4HL, 6HS, 6HL, 7HS and 7HL), confirmed the previous results. The ditelosomic line 7HS exhibited a greater reduction in growth under salt stress conditions than the wheat parent Asakaze, while ditelosomic line 7HL and the barley parent Manas had better salt-tolerance in the germination stage than Asakaze. These results suggest that lines carrying the long arm of 7H barley chromosome in the wheat background could be useful genetic material for improving the salt tolerance of wheat. Higher root and shoot growth was also observed in the ditelosomic lines 2HL and 4HS than in Asakaze during germination under control and mild salt stress conditions, but this improved vigour disappeared under severe salt stress, indicating that the intense growth was not related to the salt stress response. Intense root growth was also observed previously in 4H disomic addition lines [29], and the present results demonstrated that this is associated with the 4HS chromosome arm.

Ditelosomic addition lines can also be used for the development of wheat-alien translocation lines, which are more stable than additions. The progenies of a monosomic 7H addition line originating from the Asakaze × Manas hybrid were screened for the presence of barley chromatin, revealing the presence of a 4BS.7HL wheat-barley Robertsonian translocation [57]. An increased (1,3;1,4)-β-D-glucan level was detected in the translocation line, demonstrating that the HvCSLF6 gene, present on the centromeric region of the 7H barley chromosome arm and responsible for β-glucan production, was expressed in the genetic background of hexaploid wheat. Based on this knowledge, a compensating 7BS.7HL wheat-barley Robertsonian translocation has been developed, as homoeologous chromosomes of barley are better able to compensate the loss of a certain wheat chromosome. The 7BS.7HL translocation line was developed from a cross between the 7B monosomic Rannaya wheat cultivar and the 7H Asakaze/Manas disomic addition. Plants carrying 42 chromosomes (monosomic for the 7B wheat chromosome and for the 7H barley chromosome) were selected from the F1 generation using GISH. The F1 plants were self-fertilized and the presence of the short or long arm of the 7H barley chromosome was detected using 7H arm-specific molecular markers in the F2 generation. Six plants carrying a monosomic 7BS.7HL centric fusion were selected from the F2 generation and were selfed. In the F3 generation three plants with 42 chromosomes were identified as disomic 7BS.7HL translocations using GISH, FISH and molecular markers [58]. The (1,3;1,4)-β-D-glucan content of the seeds will be determined after the propagation of these lines. If the HvCSLF6 gene is expressed in this background, the 7BS.7HL Robertsonian translocation stock could be of potential importance for the manipulation of wheat (1,3;1,4)-β-D-glucan levels.

Conclusions

The present study reports the development of a set of wheat-barley ditelosomic addition lines and ways in which these lines could be used in breeding programmes and for basic research. Several of the agronomic characters of the Manas barley cultivar are better than those of the Betzes cultivar previously used for the development of wheat-barley ditelosomic addition lines. The analysis
of the salt tolerance of lines carrying the 7HL chromosome arm is the first step in exploitation of these traits. However, if this genetic material is to be used in wheat breeding, it will be necessary to develop wheat-barley translocation lines carrying only a small fragment of the barley chromatin.
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