Effect of Dental Thermoplastic Materials on the Clinical Effectiveness of Clear Aligner
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Introduction

The increasing aesthetic need of patients for orthodontic devices have lead to the development of materials for aligners [1-11]. Kesling was the first who developed the concept of clear orthodontic devices [11]. In 1997, Align Technology developed Invisalign technique to treat malocclusions, such minor crowding and space closure [10-13]. Clear Aligners (CA) have found extend application in orthodontics. The development of new materials which are metal-free and the CAD/CAM engineering technique make it possible to treat the various kinds of malocclusion. Patients prefer clear aligners because of their aesthetics and comfort. The thermoplastic materials have inherent advantages: excellent aesthetic appearance, simple to be used and superior formability. Various thermoplastic materials are being developed for manufacturing of clear aligners. The mechanical properties of polymers play a crucial role in the effectiveness of the therapeutic treatments. Therefore, the elasticity, dimension and environment stability as well as biocompatibility are the main conditions, which the polymer must meet for being used in clear aligners.

Abstract

Objective: The aim of this review is to evaluate the influence of chemical and mechanical material properties properties on the effectiveness of the clinical treatment with a clear aligner.

Methods: A systematic literature study of recent and most relevant publications in this field has been performed to identify the most important material effects on the clinical effectiveness of clear aligners.

Results: Clear aligner devices have found a wide application in orthodontics. The development of new materials which are metal-free and the CAD/CAM engineering technique make it possible to treat the various kinds of malocclusion. Patients prefer clear aligners because of their aesthetics and comfort. The thermoplastic materials have inherent advantages: excellent aesthetic appearance, simple to be used and superior formability. Various thermoplastic materials are being developed for manufacturing of clear aligners. The mechanical properties of polymers play a crucial role in the effectiveness of the therapeutic treatments. Therefore, the elasticity, dimension and environment stability as well as biocompatibility are the main conditions, which the polymer must meet for being used in clear aligners.

Conclusions: The main advantages of clear aligner in comparison to metal or ceramic devices is their excellence aesthetic with better patient acceptance and a general better quality of life. From a clinical point of view, the treatment with clear aligner causes less pain compared to a traditional treatment and also reduce the damage to the gingiva and periodontal tissue. The clinical success of the clear aligner therapy strongly depends on the properties of the used aligner materials.

Significance: The use of thermoplastic materials for orthodontic tooth movements requires a better understanding of the material properties for selecting the optimal material and design.
same glass transition temperature (Tg), deformation behavior and optical properties as PET, but does not exhibit the strain-induced crystallization behavior of PET at the production temperature [10,16,30,39].

PC offers an excellent mechanical strength, low water absorption, and transparency making this material very suitable for orthodontic applications [38]. Its properties are very close to the one of Polymethyl Methacrylate (PMMA), but PC offer a higher mechanical strength and is usable in a wider temperature range [33]. PC also has a high transparency in visible light spectrum and provides a higher light transmission behavior than many kinds of glasses [33,38].

Polyuretane (PU) is another elastic polymer that is resistant to abrasion and oil. Its properties strongly depend on the raw materials used for production [43-50]. Thermoplastic Polyurethane (TPU) is one of the most versatile engineering thermoplastics with elastomer properties. TPU exhibit excellent physical properties, chemical resistance, abrasion resistance, adhesion properties and ease of processing [33,51].

The first aligners developed by Align Technology, consisted of a single-layer rigid polyurethane produced from methylene diphenyl diisocyanate and 1,6-hexanediol. To improve its flexibility, fracture resistance, and transparency Smart Track (Align Technology, 2012) developed a new thermoplastic polyurethane [1-2,10,46-48]. The market demand increase of clear aligners has lead to the development of further thermoplastic materials for clear aligners by other companies; such as e. g. Invisaligh, Duran, Biolon, Zendura, Erkodur, Kombiplast, Imprelon, ClearCorrect, Erkoloc pro, etc. [19, 49-50].

Therefore, the aim of this study was to study the influence of materials on the therapeutic treatment with clear aligners.

**Material Properties of Aligners**

**Water absorption**

The mechanical properties of dental polymers show a multitude of influence factors, such as structural factors (molecular and crystal structures etc.) and environmetal factors, (temperature, humidity etc.) [3,29]. The employed polymers are typically either amorphous or semi-crystalline. Amorphous polymers usually appear clear because visible light can pass through, while many semi-crystalline and crystalline polymers are opaque because they consist of mixture of crystalline and amorphous phases with different refractive indexes [3, 29]. The crystalline phase in semi-crystalline polymers can serve as a reinforcement gridleading to an improvement in the mechanical properties over a wide range of applications. Low crystallinity of polymers typically means: high flexibility, high elasticity, well-adaptable to the tooth morphology, but on the other hand they providing low tensile strength, low chemical resistance and stability [29]. From a clinical point of view, polymers with high flexibility and elasticity are more comfortable for patients to insert or remove the aligners. Furthermore, they adapt better to the complexity of the tooth morphology, adhere perfectly to any surface. Compared to aligners of rigid materials they also ensure a continuity of force expression during the orthodontic treatment [29].

Ryokawa et al. investigated the properties of dental thermoplastic materials for clear aligner [3]. The polymeric materials for orthodontic applications used in this study are listed in (Table 1).

Figure 1 displays the time-dependent water absorption behavior of the investigated polymers. Depending on their water absorption behavior the investigated polymers can be divided into two groups. The group 1 polymers include EVA, PETG, PC, A+, and PUR. These polymers show a steep increase of water absorption within the first 24 hours of water exposure before reaching their corresponding saturation level. This saturation level can strongly vary depending on the respective polymer.

The group 2 polymers include PE, PP, and C+. They exhibit a rather small increase of water absorption within the first 72 h of water exposure before reaching their corresponding saturation limit.

| Code | Thickness (mm) | Product Name | Component | Manufacturer |
|------|----------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Eva  | 1              | Bioplast     | ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer | Sheu-Dental, Gmbh |
| PE   | 1              | Copyplast    | polyethylene | Sheu-Dental, Gmbh |
| PETG | 1              | Duran        | polyethylene terephthalate glycol | Sheu-Dental, Gmbh |
| PP   | 0.8            | Hardcast     | polypropylene | Sheu-Dental, Gmbh |
| PC   | 0.75           | Imprelon « S » | polycarbonate | Sheu-Dental, Gmbh |
| A+   | 1              | Essix A+     | copolyester | Raintree Essix, Inc. |
| C+   | 1              | Essix C+     | polypropylene/ethylene copolymer | Raintree Essix, Inc. |
| PUR  | 0.76           | Invisa lign  | polyurethane from methylene diphenyl diisocyanate and 1,6 hexanediol, additive | Align Technology |

**Table 1:** Polymers used in this study by Ryokawa [3].
In this study PUR showed the highest water absorption of approximately 1.5 wt% (Figure 1), followed by PETG and A+. PE had the lower water absorption after two weeks, with about 0.03 wt%. So, in absolute numbers, the water uptake of group 2 polymers is only a fraction of the one of group 1 polymers.

Typically the water absorption behavior of polymers is influenced by several factors. The major factors among them there are: 1) the interaction of water molecule with hydrophilic/polar groups of polymer (hydrogen bonding) and 2) the level of free volume depending on the polymer structure (amorphous, crystalline). As a result, crystalline polymers with high molecular densities show a lower rate of water absorption, while amorphous polymers show higher rates of water absorption due to the drastically larger free volume [3].

Furthermore Ryokawa et al. also investigated the effect of thermoforming and thermoforming with subsequent water exposure on the thickness of the polymer sheet. This subsequent water absorption of the polymer is of high importance because it is can result in intraoral dimension changes leading to changes in the orthodontic forces of the aligner [3,42].

As can be seen from Figure 2, all investigated polymers exhibit a thickness reduction after thermoforming. A subsequent water exposure for 24 hours results in a mixed behavior among investigated polymers with PE showing the smallest linear expansion rate followed by C+, PUR, PP, PV, PETG, EVA and A+. Taking this into account and the time-dependent water absorption behavior of different polymers one can be concluded that the thermoforming process plays an important role for the application of clear aligners. To improve the mechanical properties of clear aligner Ahn et al. produced a three-layers clear aligner. The first layer is composed of a reinforced resin core, the middle layer of a soft-type of Thermoplastic Polyurethane (TPU), and the outer layer of a hard-type thermoplastic material (PETG).

Figure 3 presents the time-dependent and layer number dependent water absorption behavior. The time-dependence is very similar to the one shown by Ryokawa et al. in [3]. The lowest water absorption with 0.77 wt% after 336 hours is observed for the single-layer specimens, while the double-layer specimens show the highest water absorption. Adding a third layer results in a water uptake between both arrangements. One can understand this finding in the way that the PETG as third layer is shielding the underlying TPU from water uptake, so that the overall water uptake of the three-layer stack is reduced compared to the double-layer stack. This shielding ability is especially important taking into account that water-induced polymer swelling after intraoral exposure can causes dimensional change of the aligner. This could also lead to irreversible degradation of the polymer backbone chain by hydrolysis [42].

Boubakri et al. studied the kinetics of water diffusion into TPU. They investigated the effect of drying on the water absorption rate. They formed two groups:
- The specimens are dried at temperature of 70ºC for 48 h
- The specimens were not dried.

Figure 4 depicts the water absorption rate of the dried and not-dried TPU specimens. One can clearly see that the dried TPU specimens exhibit a steeper increasing slope of the water absorption rate compared to the not-dried ones. In addition to that the saturation level of the dried TPU specimens is significantly higher compared to not-dried ones.

These authors assume that the time to reach the saturation level...
The lowest water absorption after 336 hours was achieved for a PETG/PC/TPU blending ratio of 80/10/10 (0.52 wt%), which is significantly lower compared to the control group of Erkodur (0.80 wt%) and Biolon (0.81 wt%). Similar results were also obtained for the blending ratio 70/10/20 (0.57 wt%).

These authors assume that the water absorption of PETG/PC/TPU increases with an increase in TPU blending ratio due to the polar group [33].

Water absorption can have negative effects on the mechanical properties of polymers leading to irreversible degradation, because water uptake is often accompanied by swelling and thus, a degradation of the polymers [33, 44]. Besides the degradation effect, the swelling also leads to dimensional changes of the mouth devices, which influences the orthodontic forces them. Therefore, an ideal thermoplastic material for clear aligner should have a low water absorption [33,44].

**Mechanical properties**

According to Ryokawa et al. the tensile yield stress of the aligners depends on the chemical composition of the polymers as depicted in (Figure 6) [3].

As can be seen from Fig. 6 the tensile yield stress of the investigated polymers decreases as following: PC ≥ PUR > PETG ≥ A+ > PP ≥ C+ > PE ≥ EVA. This result can be understood in term of the presence respectively the degree of crystallinity of the corresponding polymers. PC, PETG, A÷, PUR as amorphous polymers show a higher tensile yield stress than PP, C+, PE and EVA which are semi-crystalline. Besides this structural influence on the mechanical properties, also the glass transition temperature (Tg) plays an important role. Below Tg amorphous and semi-crystalline polymers behave very similar. Their mechanical behavior is typically rigid and glassy. Above Tg the behavior of amorphous and semi-crystalline polymers partially deviates. Amorphous polymers soften and can become flexible elastomers or even a viscous liquid [3]. Semi-crystalline polymers also soften and can become flexible depending strongly on the degree of crystallinity [3]. Typically, well above Tg the crystalline phase of the semi-crystalline polymer can also vanish due to melting.

This means, the mechanical properties of polymers with Tg around room temperature may be influenced tremendously by changes in temperature [3]. Another factor affecting the properties of the polymers is the molecular orientation of the polymer chains. From the results of the study of Ryokawa et al. it can be concluded that the mechanical properties depend on a multitude of factors, starting from the phase state (crystalline or amorphous) of the polymers, their

---

**Table 2: Fluid absorption after storage in artificial saliva** [52].

| Material | Fluid absorption (%wt) |
|----------|------------------------|
| Duran    | 0.375                  |
| Biolon   | 0.438                  |
| Zendura  | 0.719                  |

---

**Figure 5:** Destruction of intramolecular bonds between polymer chains by water molecule diffusion [44].

**Figure 6:** Comparison of tensile yield stress of different polymers for aligners in simulated intraoral environment [3].

---

Depending on the diffusion kinetics, the layers thickness and on the temperature (Figure 4). These results are in good agreement with the results of other research studies about polyurethane [3,42].

TPU has two functional groups (C=O and N-H) that form intermolecular hydrogen bonds that are responsible for the material cohesion. The absorption of water molecules destroys these intramolecular bonds between the chains. This leads to a decrease in the mechanical properties such as tensile strength, Young’s modulus, wear resistance and an increase in molecular mobility. The increase of molecular mobility is responsible for the decrease in the glass transition Temperature (Tg) and the reorganization of the polymer chain structure. This results in an increase in free volume, schematically shown in (Figure 5) [44]. The absorption of water molecule does not change the chemistry of the polymers, but destroy the hydrogen bond between C=O and N-H groups having a negative effect on the mechanical properties [3,18,20,51].

Tamburino et al. studied the properties of materials for the thermforming production of aligners. The materials used in their study were: Duran® (PETG, Sheu dental GmbH), Biolon (PETG, Dreve Dentamid GmbH) and Zendura® (PU, Zendura Dental). Artificial saliva was used as an aging agent at a temperature of 37°C for 7 days [52]. The water absorption of these tested materials is presented in (Table 2).

As can be seen from (Table 2), the fluid uptake of Duran material is only almost half of the Zendura one. In addition to higher water uptake the authors found a deterioration of the mechanical properties of the Zendura that can be explained by the previously described mechanism of intramolecular bond destruction by water molecules [52].

Zhang et al. studied the properties of three aligner materials (table 3). They mixed the polymers in various combinations and ratios before performing the thermforming process generating PETG/PC/TPU composites. As control groups they used Erkodur (PETG) and Biolon (PETG).

---

**Diagram:**

- **Polymer + water** ➔ **polymer plasticized by water**
- **Figure 6:** Graph showing comparison of tensile yield stress of different polymers for aligners in simulated intraoral environment. The graph illustrates that TPU has the highest tensile yield stress, followed by PETG, and then PC. The yield stress decreases with an increase in TPU content. 

---
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Mean value of tensile yield stress for each materials and test condition (DS: Data Sheet; AS: As Supplied; T: Thermoformed; SAS: Stored in Artificial Saliva) [52].

Figure 7: Mean value of tensile yield stress for each materials and test condition (DS: Data Sheet; AS: As Supplied; T: Thermoformed; SAS: Stored in Artificial Saliva) [52].

Ahn et al. investigated the tensile strength of three layers clear aligner in various layer stacks at room temperature, but not the effect of water absorption on the tensile strength. The highest tensile strength is obtained for a three-layer clear aligner (469 N) followed from double-layer (317 N) and single-layer (258 N). Based on this study it seems that the three-layer clear aligner shows the best mechanical performance, but the lack of aging results make it difficult to evaluate the positive effect of three-layer clear aligner on the mechanical properties of this new design.

Condo et al. investigated the effect of additive on the mechanical properties of two generations of teeth aligners in-vitro tests. For their study they used two polymers: EX30 and LD30. Both materials are polyurethane-based and have almost the same chemical composition. Both materials are considered semi-crystalline materials. The difference between both materials is the second compound in the LD30. EX30 exhibited higher crystallinity (37%) than LD30 (28%). LD30 is more translucent, more flexible and more elastic than EX30. After oral aging, both materials showed an increase in crystallinity. The mechanical properties of EX30 and LD30 changed after oral usage. They became harder and more hyperplastic which is uncomfortable for the patients [29]. The authors emphasize that the quality of the orthodontic force exerted by aligner depends on the mechanical properties in the manufacturing process of materials itself [29]. From clinical application’s point view, the orthodontic devices are subjected to both short- (occurs on inserting or removing the aligner) and long-term load (occurs at night as a result of chewing force) [29]. Although there is long-term stress in the oral cavity, a thermoplastic orthodontic device must, however, exercise continuous and controlled orthodontic forces, like those that are supposed to cause tooth movement [29,53,47]. Therefore, these authors concluded that LD30 material meet aligner requirements better than EX30 material [29].

Brandely et al. [18], Papadopoulou et al. [46], Schuster et al. [50] examined the mechanical properties of the Invisalign aligner after intra-oral aging. According to these authors different factors might be responsible for the deterioration of the aligner’s mechanical properties after oral use. The first can be attributed to the material itself. Polyurethane-based materials are made up of hard and soft segments. The hard segment, a biphenyl structure, provides stability and sufficient reactivity to form a byproduct-free polymer [50]. The soft segments tend to be oriented perpendicular to the applied stress direction causing them to fracture into smaller segments and thus, enabling further deformation. A second factor could be the leaching of matrix plasticizers causing a reduction of residual stresses from the manufacturing process. Another factor could be attributed to the fact that during the intraoral use the material is exposed to mechanical abrasion generated during masticating movement, along with chemical abrasion from the consumption of acidic beverages and the action of enzymes. Human saliva contains a complex of minerals, lipids, proteins and has a fluctuating pH, which may also affect the mechanical properties of polymers. [46,50]. Papadopoulou et al. concluded that the use of these devices is not recommended for more than two weeks due to the intra-oral aging effect on the above described properties [46].

Tamburino et al. investigated the mechanical properties of the aligner materials Duran, Bioion and Zendura in the as delivered state, after thermoforming and after storage in artificial saliva [52].

The results for the tensile yield stress measurements are depicted in Figure 7. The authors found that the tensile yield stress of the Duran and Bioion materials only slightly changed after thermoforming (9% increase for Duran, 6% decrease for Bioion), while is decrease by one third for the Zendura.

After exposure to artificial saliva, the tensile yield stress of the Duran material decrease back to it as-supplied strength, while the tensile yield stress of Bioion and Zendura materials slightly increase (to -3% respectively to -28%). Based on their finding these authors propose to select a material for orthodontic devices after characterizing its mechanical properties after the corresponding manufacturing process and storage test in an intraoral simulation environment [52].

Zhang et al. investigated the mechanical properties of PETG, PC, and TPU in various blending ratios. As control group they used Erkdour and Biolon materials. The aim of their study was to improve the mechanical properties of PETG because the tear strength (42.12 MPa) and elongation-at-break value (141.22%) were lower than Erkdour and Biolon [33]. These authors achieved optimal results for a PETG/PC/TPU blending ratio of 70/10/20: tear strength is about 50.23 MPa with an elongation-at-break of about 155.99% and a stress relaxation rate 0.0136 N/s after 1 hour. The authors concluded that PETG/PC/TPU at a properly blending ratio could provide sufficient and more sustainable orthodontic forces during oral treatment than Erkdour and Biolon [33].

### Elastic modulus

A higher elastic modulus is beneficial for aligners as it increases the force delivery capacity of the aligner under constant strain [10]. In addition, materials with a higher elastic modulus can provide the same forces from thinner dimensions [10].

Ryokawa et al. calculated the elastic modulus from the measured elastic stress and strain. The elastic modulus is proportional to the material stiffness. According to the authors, EVA and PE exhibit the lowest elastic modulus and tensile yield stress. In addition they also have a lower Tg and thus, are more strongly affected by temperature changes during oral application. These materials show a significant...
decrease in their mechanical properties after oral use (Figures 6,8) [3]. The decrease in elastic modulus is due to an increase in temperature and water absorption. It is accompanied by the decrease in the initial forces that the aligner applies in the early phase after intraoral use [34].

In their study [52], Tamburino et al. also investigated the elastic modulus of the aligner materials Duran, Biolon and Zendura in the as delivered state, after thermoforming and after storage in artificial saliva.

As can be seen from (Figure 9), the elastic modulus of the Duran and Zendura materials increased by 11% respectively 17% after thermoforming, while the one of the Biolon material falls by 7%.

Looking at the elastic modulus after artificial saliva exposure of the materials shows a different behavior. The elastic modulus of Bicolon and Zendura material is rather stable, while a significant decrease was observed for Duran [52]. This decrease can be explained by water absorption occurring during the storage in artificial saliva fluid. The absorption of water can act as a plastizier, which leads to a Tg reduction, especially for amorphous polymers. It is known for these polymers that their elastic modulus exhibit a linear temperature dependence, near their corresponding Tg the elastic modulus reduces [51,54].

**Influence of Thermoforming**

The thermoforming process affects the mechanical properties of clear aligners [3,10,53]. After thermoforming a reduction in the tensile yield stress and elastic modulus of the investigated polymers is found.

The authors explain this behavior in terms of a decrease in molecular weight due to the heat-treatment, the molecular orientation, and the rapid cooling preventing further crystallization of the polymers and generating residual stresses. [3,10].

Ruy et al. investigated the effect of thermoforming on the physical and mechanical properties of materials for clear aligners. They observed that the optical transparency, the tensile force and elastic modulus of the aligner materials decrease after the thermoforming process, while water absorption was increased. The materials subjected to a temperature above their corresponding Tg can easy deform and decrease in thickness. The crystallinity of thermoplastic materials is changed after thermoforming accounting for the change in the mechanical properties. Thus these authors concluded that the properties of materials such transparence, water absorption and solubility, surface hardness, flexure and elastic moduli, and the tensile and flexural forces can alter significantly after thermoforming [31]. Furthermore, they recommended to evaluate the durability of these materials after thermoforming in order to characterize their properties for their clinical application [31]. From the clinical point of view, the authors also suggested choosing the polymers depending on the treatment required, as some of them show a significant decrease in flexural strength after thermoforming and exhibit permanent deformation during treatment. On the other hand, the application of large forces to the teeth can lead to absorption of the apical root [31].

**Future**

The dental industry developed a 3D-printing method for the production of clear aligners in order to reduce the negative impact of thermoforming on the properties of clear aligners. In the future, the properties of these new clear aligner types will be investigated.

**Conclusion**

Clear aligner are used in orthodontic to treat mild to complex dental malocclusions. They can be used by orthodontist and general dentists. The main advantages of clear aligner in comparison to metal or ceramic devices is their excellence aesthetic with better patient acceptance and a general better quality of life. From a clinical point of view, the treatment with clear aligner causes less pain compared to a traditional treatment and also reduce the damage to the gingiva and periodontal tissue. The clinical success of the clear aligner therapy strongly depends on the properties of the used aligner materials.

Here, especially the mechanical and chemical properties of the used polymers play a crucial role in the effectiveness of therapeutic treatmens because the invisible orthodontic forces is derived from the deformation and elasticity of the aligner. Thus, the elasticity,
dimension and environment stability as well as biocompatibility, are of the major importance depends. These materia properties can be further ascribed to the chemical composition of the polymers, the arrangement and entanglement of the polymer chains, the layer thickness and the clinical protocols.
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