Home-based Physical Activity to Alleviate Fatigue in Cancer Survivors: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT

HUIZINGA, F., N.-D. L. WESTERINK, A. J. BERENDSEN, A. M. E. WALENKKAMP, M. H. G. DE GREEF, J. K. OUDE NIJEWEEME, G. H. DE BOCK, M. Y. BERGER, and D. BRANDENBARG. Home-based Physical Activity to Alleviate Fatigue in Cancer Survivors: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc., Vol. 53, No. 12, pp. 2661–2674, 2021. Purpose: Physical activity (PA) affects fatigue and mental health in cancer survivors favorably, but participation in PA interventions tends to be low. More participants may be reached by home-based PA owing to greater accessibility and self-monitoring. This systematic review therefore evaluated the effects of home-based PA of low to moderate intensity on symptoms of fatigue, depression, and anxiety among cancer survivors. Methods: PubMed, CINAHL, PsycINFO, and Web of Science were systematically searched for randomized controlled trials. We included investigations of home-based PA interventions in adults treated curatively for cancer and evaluating fatigue, depression, or anxiety as outcomes. We performed a random-effect meta-analysis for the effects of PA interventions on fatigue in the short and long terms. Subgroup analyses were performed for the frequency of counseling. Standardized mean differences (SMD) and 95% confidence intervals are reported. Results: Eleven articles comprising 1066 participants were included: 77% had a history of breast cancer; 14%, ovarian cancer; 4%, colorectal cancer; 4%, prostate cancer; and 1%, “other” cancer (not specified). Concerning the outcomes, nine articles reported on fatigue and two reported on depression or anxiety. Meta-analyses showed a significant effect of home-based PA on fatigue immediately after the intervention (SMD = 0.22 [0.06–0.37]), at 3 months’ follow-up (SMD = 0.27 [0.04–0.51]), and at 6–9 months’ follow-up (SMD = 0.31 [0.08–0.55]). PA interventions that used frequent counseling were associated with larger improvements in fatigue than those using no or infrequent counseling. Conclusions: Home-based PA interventions can reduce fatigue among adult cancer survivors for up to 9 months, and frequent counseling may improve the benefits of these interventions. Key Words: HOME-BASED, PHYSICAL ACTIVITY, CANCER SURVIVORS, FATIGUE, DEPRESSION, ANXIETY

Exercise oncology has been an upcoming field since around the turn of the century (1). It has led to valuable insights into the favorable role of physical activity (PA) on physical health (2–4) and quality of life (5,6) in cancer survivors. Enhancing PA in this group is warranted because only 20%–30% meet guideline recommendations for activity levels (7,8).

PA may play an essential role in alleviating long-term adverse effects related to cancer or its treatment. Patients frequently encounter symptoms caused by physical deconditioning and problems with psychosocial and mental health (9). Fatigue, depression, and anxiety are three frequently reported symptoms among cancer survivors experiencing fatigue (10–12) and 21% experiencing depression or anxiety (12–14). Results of studies implementing PA interventions show that these can reduce symptoms of fatigue (5,15,16), depression (17,18), and anxiety (5,19). Observational studies have also associated higher levels of PA with lower symptoms of fatigue, depression, and anxiety (20–22).
Despite the beneficial effects, participation in PA interventions is generally low, with fewer than half of eligible participants engaging (23–25). Frequently reported barriers include a lack of time, a lack of motivation or confidence to exercise, feeling unwell or tired, or the PA not meeting a patient’s preferences (26,27). Consequently, study outcomes only apply to participants who have completed the program and not to those who drop out or refuse to participate. PA interventions are also frequently performed at a specific location, and the required travel time can result in them not participating (26). Home-based PA interventions have therefore been introduced to address the various barriers to PA and thereby help to reach more cancer survivors. In these interventions, participants exercise at a self-chosen time and location at or around their own home and without direct supervision. Because of the high accessibility, low costs, and self-monitoring, home-based PA interventions may lead to higher participation and adherence. Furthermore, interventions of low to moderate intensity may be used to target a larger group of cancer survivors.

To date, no reviews have synthesized the effects of home-based PA interventions on fatigue, depression, or anxiety among cancer survivors. Existing reviews of home-based PA interventions either included survivors of breast cancer only (28) or did not evaluate the effects on fatigue, depression, or anxiety (4). The aim of this systematic review was to assess the effect of home-based PA interventions that are of low to moderate intensity on symptoms of fatigue, depression, and anxiety in adult cancer survivors.

METHODS

Protocol and registration. The protocol of this systematic review is available on PROSPERO with registration number CRD42020195618. We adhered to the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (29).

Eligibility criteria. Randomized controlled trials meeting the following criteria were included: (i) study sample of cancer survivors (except for nonmelanoma skin cancer); (ii) age 18 yr or older; (iii) completed cancer treatment (chemotherapy, surgery, and/or radiotherapy; being on adjuvant hormonal therapy was allowed); (iv) the intervention was designed to increase PA; (v) the intervention did not target other health behaviors (e.g., smoking or nutrition); (vi) the intervention was home-based; (vii) PA was of low to moderate intensity; (viii) PA was not personally supervised and did not include a structured training regime, such as anaerobic training or yoga; (ix) the control group received usual care or a nonphysical intervention; (x) symptoms of fatigue, depression, or anxiety were assessed with a validated questionnaire, a subscale from a validated questionnaire, or a visual analog scale (VAS); and (xi) scores for the questionnaires were reported.

Home-based interventions were defined as those performed by participants in their home environment or in the local community. The level of intensity of PA was determined by metabolic equivalent of task scores (METs) according to the Compendium of Physical Activities (30) or the percentage of maximum heart rate (HRmax) (31). Low intensity was defined as METs <3 and HRmax <63%, moderate intensity as METs 3–6 and HRmax 64%–76%, and high or vigorous intensity as METs >6 and HRmax >77% (30,31).

Search strategy. On May 19, 2020, we searched PubMed, CINAHL, PsycINFO, and Web of Science for relevant studies. A combination of MeSH terms and free-text words was used, including “randomized controlled trial,” “cancer,” “physical activity,” “home-based” or “community-based,” and “fatigue,” “depression,” or “anxiety.” See for the full search strategy Document S1 (see Document, Supplemental Digital Content 1, search strategy, http://links.lww.com/MSS/C359). We applied no restrictions on language or publication date.

Data collection. Articles were first screened by the title and abstract before a full-text review. After a pilot of screening titles and abstracts on 10% of the articles, all articles were screened independently by two authors (F.H. and J.K.O.N.). Interrater agreement in study selection was calculated by Cronbach’s α. Discrepancies between the authors were discussed to reach consensus and, if needed, resolved by a third reviewer (D.B.). We checked the references of included articles and of reviews that evaluated PA interventions among cancer survivors to identify additional records.

Detailed information about demographics, disease characteristics, PA interventions, and study outcomes was extracted (Tables 1, 2). Educational level was represented as the percentage of participants with higher education, defined as postsecondary education or higher (e.g., associate degree, college degree, graduate school). The participation rate was defined as the percentage of participants who engaged in the PA intervention in relation to those eligible to participate. Adherence was expressed as the percentages of participants who complied with guidance on the prescribed amount of PA, attended counseling sessions, and used exercise materials. If needed, we contacted the investigators for unreported data.

Data synthesis. We performed meta-analyses for fatigue immediately after the intervention and at 3 and 6–9 months of follow-up. Subgroup analyses were only performed if at least three studies were available. For counseling, subgroup analyses were performed for frequent counseling (<3-wk intervals) and no or infrequent counseling (>8-wk intervals). We calculated standardized mean differences (SMDs) with the means and SD of the intervention and control groups to present the effects at completion and at 3 and 6–9 months of follow-up. We transformed scores to fit into the same direction, if necessary. When single and combined interventions were compared, we used the scores of the combined intervention group for the meta-analysis. Random-effects meta-analyses were conducted for pooled data, using SMDs and 95% confidence intervals for continuous outcomes. Heterogeneity in effect measures between studies was assessed using the F statistic.

Risk of bias assessment. The methodological quality of the included studies was evaluated independently by two reviewers (F.H. and D.B.) using the Revised Cochrane Risk-of-Bias Tool for Randomized Trials (41). In case of disagreement,
| Study | Sample Size | Age (yr), % | Female, % | Caucasian, % | Higher Education Diagnosis (%) | Treatment (%) | Time Since Diagnosis or Treatment | Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria | Intervention and Control | Primary Outcomes | Fatigue Instrument |
|-------|-------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Baruth et al. (32) | n = 32 (I: 20, C: 12) | 56.46 (6.25), 100% female, 75% Caucasian, 91% higher education | Breast cancer | Surgery 91%, Chemotherapy 72%, Radiation 78%, Hormone 66% | 5.1 (4.1) months | Inclusion: 1) diagnosed with stage I-III cancer, 2) completed adjuvant treatment within the last 12 months, 3) postmenopausal, 4) free of cardiovascular disease and major orthopedic limitations, 5) not regularly active (<5 d wk⁻¹) | Exclusion: --- | I: Home-based walking + counseling | Duration: 12 wk | Intensity: moderate intensity of RPE 10-11 (at weeks 1–8) and moderate to vigorous intensity of RPE 12–15 (at weeks 8–12) | Frequency: from 3 d wk⁻¹ for 20 min (weeks 1–8) to 5 d wk⁻¹ for 30 to 40 min (weeks 8–12) | Counseling: One in-person counseling session of 30 min (baseline) and five telephone counseling calls of 10–15 min (weeks 1, 2, 4, 7, and 10) provided by public health doctoral students. Counseling was based on the social cognitive theory and focused on goal setting and exercise safety. Materials: 1) pedometer and 2) activity logs. C: Wait-list control. Asked to remain usual PA levels and received the intervention upon completion of the study | 1) Quality of life (SF-36 and IBCSG-QLC) and 2) fatigue (FACT-F) |
| Pinto et al. (33) | n = 46 (I: 20, C: 26) | 57.3 (9.7), 56% female, 98% Caucasian, 76% higher education | Colon cancer (57%) and rectal cancer (43%) | Surgery 100%, Chemotherapy 83%, Radiation 43% | 3.0 (1.6) yr | Inclusion: 1) age ≥18 yr, 2) completed primary and adjuvant treatments for colon or rectal cancer, 3) ≤5 yr since treatment completion, 4) able to read and speak English, 5) consent for medical chart review, 6) able to walk unassisted, 7) sedentary (exercising <60 min wk⁻¹ at moderate intensity or <20 min wk⁻¹ of vigorous intensity over the past 6 months), 8) access to a telephone | Exclusion: 1) history of cancer or 2) a medical or current psychiatric illness | I: Home-based PA + counseling | Duration: 12 wk | Intensity: moderate intensity at 64%–76% of HRM Frequency: first few weeks at least 10 min on at least 2 d wk⁻¹ Gradually increase to 30 min on 5 d wk⁻¹ | Counseling: weekly telephone calls provided by research staff to monitor PA participation, identify relevant health problems, problem solve any barriers to PA, and reinforce participants for their efforts. Counseling was based on the transtheoretical model, the social cognitive theory, and motivational interviewing. Materials: 1) pedometer, 2) activity log, and 3) cancer survivorship tip sheets. C: Attentional control. Received weekly calls to monitor problems and cancer survivorship tip sheets | 1) Self-reported PA (7 d PAR), 2) submaximal fitness (treadmill test) |

Continued next page
| Study            | Sample Size | Age (yr), % | Female, % | Caucasian, % | Higher Education | Diagnosis (%)                  | Treatment (%)            | Time Since Diagnosis or Treatment | Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria                                                                 | Intervention and Control                                                                                      | Primary Outcomes                                                                 |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Pinto et al. (34) | n = 192     | 60.0 (9.9)  | 100% female, 94% Caucasian, 76% higher education | Breast cancer | Surgery 74% - 100% | Chemo 60% Radiation 72% Hormone 77% | 2.9 (2.1) yr³ | Inclusion: 1) female age ≥ 18 yr; 2) completed primary and adjuvant treatment for breast cancer (patients on hormone treatment such as tamoxifen were eligible), 3) ≤ 5 yr since treatment completion, 4) able to read and speak English, 5) provided consent for medical chart review, 6) able to walk unassisted, 7) relatively inactive (<30 min·wk⁻¹ of vigorous intensity or <90 min·wk⁻¹ of moderate intensity exercise), and 8) had access to a telephone. Exclusion: 1) a history of cancer or 2) a medical or current psychiatric illness | I: Home-based PA + counseling Duration: 12 wk Intensity: low to moderate intensity at 55%–65% of HRM Frequency: First few weeks: at least 10 min on at least 2 d·wk⁻¹, Gradually increase of goals to 30 min on at least 5 d·wk⁻¹ Counseling: at baseline brief PA advice (<5 min) about PA benefits and recommendation of 30 min of moderate-intensity PA on most days of the week. Counseling was provided by research staff through weekly telephone calls at weeks 1–4, and biweekly calls at weeks 5–12. Counseling was based on the transteoretical model, the social cognitive theory, and motivational interviewing, and focused on strengthening self-efficacy for PA, self-monitoring of PA, setting PA goals, and planning for exercise. Materials: 1) pedometer, 2) activity log, 3) PA and cancer survivorship tip sheet (weekly), and 4) feedback letter with participant’s progress (weeks 2, 4, 8, 12). C: Attentional control. Received brief PA advice (<5 min) about PA benefits and recommendation of 30 min of moderate-intensity PA on most days of the week. Weekly calls during which the Symptom Questionnaire was administered to monitor problems and cancer survivorship tip sheets | Self-reported PA (7-d PAR) FACT-F |
| Vallance et al. (35) | n = 377 (PM: 94, PED: 94, COM: 93, C: 96) | 58 (range: 30–90) | 100% female, 65% Caucasian, 30% higher education | Breast cancer | Surgery 100% | Chemo 54% Radiation 69% Hormone current 59% | 3.25 (0.94) yr³ | Inclusion: 1) histologically confirmed stage I to IIIa breast cancer, 2) physician approval, 3) free of chronic medical and orthopedic conditions that would preclude PA, 4) English language, 5) completion of adjuvant therapy except hormone therapy, and 6) absence of current breast cancer Exclusion: --- | I: Home-based use of exercise materials Duration: 12 wk Intensity/frequency: standard recommendation to perform 30 min of moderate/vigorous PA on 5 d of the week Materials: PM group: exercise guide PED group: 1) pedometer and 2) 12-wk step calendar COM group: 1) pedometer and 2) 12-wk step calendar, and 3) exercise guide C: Usual care. Received weekly phone calls and a 26-chapter book that contained ovarian cancer survivorship-related information | Self-reported PA (GLTEQ) FACT-F |
| Zhou et al. (36) | n = 144 (I74, C: 70) | 57.3 (8.6); 100% female, 99% Caucasian, 56% higher education | Ovarian cancer | Chemo 93.1% | Ovarian cancer | Surgery 70% | Chemo 50%; Radiation 50% Hormone current 50% | 1.7 (1.0) yr² | Inclusion: 1) English speaking, 2) age between 18 and 75 yr, 3) diagnosed with ovarian cancer within the past 4 yr, 4) completion of chemotherapy at least 1 month before random assignment, 5) exercising fewer than 90 min·wk⁻¹, and 6) physician consent to start an exercise program Exclusion: --- | I: Home-based PA + counseling Duration: 6 months Intensity: moderate Frequency: 150 min·wk⁻¹ Counseling: weekly telephone counseling provided by a trainer to motivate participants to exercise, and to discuss topics related to exercise and to ovarian cancer survivorship Materials: 1) heart rate monitor and 2) daily activity log C: Attentional control. Received weekly phone calls and a 26-chapter book that contained ovarian cancer survivorship-related information | 1) Quality of life (SF-36) and 2) fatigue (FACT-F) FACT-F |
Bennett et al. (37) n = 56 (I:28, C: 28) 57.8 (10.0), 89% female, 98% Caucasian, 73% higher education Breast cancer NA 5.9 (4.68) yr a
Inclusion: 1) 18 yr or older, 2) completed treatment at least 6 months before enrollment, 3) not fatigued or undereactive in planned exercise fewer than 3 d wk a for 20 min), 4) willing to try to increase PA. Exclusion: 1) prior transplant treatment for cancer, 2) current immunosuppressive therapy, 3) medical conditions that contraindicated moderate exercise, 4) cognitive difficulties, and 5) psychiatric disorders. I: Home-based PA + counseling Duration: 6 months Intensity: moderate Frequency: 30 min on most days of the week Counseling: Counseling was provided by a PA counselor, with the first counseling session in person of 30 min, and three telephone calls of 20 min at 2 wk, 2 months, and 4.5 months. Counseling was based on motivational interviewing, with motivational strategies directed at problem solving, offering encouragement, and reformulating goals.

Nysrop et al. (38) n = 62 (131, C: 31) 63.8 (8.3), 100% female, 74% Caucasian, 77% higher education Breast cancer Surgery: 84–100% Radiation 74% Chemo 65% Hormone 29% currently 53–100% Inclusion: 1) adherent to AI prescription for at least 4 wk; 2) age 21 yr or older; 3) not undergoing chemotherapy or radiation treatment during the study period; 4) score of 3 out of 5 on a scale about joint pain, stiffness, or achiness intensity; 5) exercising less than 150 min wk a. Exclusion: — I: Home-based walking Duration: 6 wk Intensity: NA Frequency: 150 min wk a Materials: 1) daily activity log, 2) workbook with strategies for starting and sustaining a daily walking program, and 3) brochure with topics related to walking, joint pain and treatment, and experiences of women who had completed the walking program before C: Wait-list control. Asked to await further contact from the research team and received intervention after 6 wk I: Home-based PA + counseling Duration: 12 wk Intensity: low to moderate intensity at 55–65% of HRM Frequency: first few weeks at least 10 min on at least 2 d wk a. Gradually increase to 30 min on at least 5 d wk a Counseling: During intervention weekly, telephone calls from research staff to monitor PA participation, identify relevant health problems, problem solve any barriers to PA, and reinforce participants for their efforts. Counseling was based on the transtheoretical model. After intervention, monthly calls for 3 months to prompt and reinforce regular PA. Materials: 1) pedometer, 2) activity log, 3) PAand cancer survivorship tip sheet (weekly), and 4) feedback letter with participant’s progress (weeks 2, 4, 8, 12). C: Attentional control. Asked not to change current level of PA and received weekly phone calls and cancer survivorship tip sheet

Pinto et al. (39) n = 86 (143, C: 43) 53.14 (9.76), 100% female, 95% Caucasian, 81% higher education Breast cancer Surgery: 49–93% Chemo 56% Radiation 69% Hormone 62% Inclusion: 1) hospitalized exercised < one time per week for 20 min at vigorous intensity or < two times per week for 30 min at moderate intensity for the past 6 months; 3) diagnosed with stage 0 to II breast cancer over the last 5 yr; 4) completed surgery, chemotherapy and/or radiation; 5) ambulatory; and 6) willing to be randomized. Exclusion: 1) history of cancer (exception: nonmelanoma skin cancer), 2) medical or current psychiatric illness that could make compliance with the study protocol difficult or dangerous I: Home-based PA counselling Duration: 12 months Intensity: moderate Frequency: 30 min on most days of the week Counseling: Counseling was provided by a PA counselor, with the first counseling session in person of 30 min, and three telephone calls of 20 min at 2 wk, 2 months, and 4.5 months. Counseling was based on motivational interviewing, with motivational strategies directed at problem solving, offering encouragement, and reformulating goals. Materials: pedometer C: Attentional control. Asked to maintain their current levels of PA and received two telephone calls without motivational interviewing content (at 2 and 4.5 months) I: Home-based walking Duration: 6 wk Intensity: NA Frequency: 150 min wk a Materials: 1) daily activity log, 2) workbook with strategies for starting and sustaining a daily walking program, and 3) brochure with topics related to walking, joint pain and treatment, and experiences of women who had completed the walking program before C: Wait-list control. Asked to await further contact from the research team and received intervention after 6 wk I: Home-based PA + counseling Duration: 12 wk Intensity: low to moderate intensity at 55–65% of HRM Frequency: first few weeks at least 10 min on at least 2 d wk a. Gradually increase to 30 min on at least 5 d wk a Counseling: During intervention weekly, telephone calls from research staff to monitor PA participation, identify relevant health problems, problem solve any barriers to PA, and reinforce participants for their efforts. Counseling was based on the transtheoretical model. After intervention, monthly calls for 3 months to prompt and reinforce regular PA. Materials: 1) pedometer, 2) activity log, 3) PAand cancer survivorship tip sheet (weekly), and 4) feedback letter with participant’s progress (weeks 2, 4, 8, 12). C: Attentional control. Asked not to change current level of PA and received weekly phone calls and cancer survivorship tip sheet

Pinto et al. (40) n = 86 (143, C: 43) See Pinto et al. (40) See Pinto et al. (40) See Pinto et al. (40) See Pinto et al. (40) See Pinto et al. (40) See Pinto et al. (40) See Pinto et al. (40) See Pinto et al. (38) See Pinto et al. (40) n = 86 (7-d PAR) 43, C: 43) Self-reported PA (CHAMPS) SCFS 7-d PAR, 7-d Physical Activity Recall; AI, aromatase inhibitors; BMI, body mass index; C, control; CHAMPS, Community Health Activities Model Program for Seniors; COM, combined group; FACT-F, Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy—Fatigue; GLTEQ, Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire; HRM, maximum heart rate; I, intervention; IBCSG-QLC, International Breast Cancer Study Group QOL Core Questionnaire; NA, not available; PA, physical activity; PED, pedometer group; PM, print material group; RPE, rating of perceived exertion; SF-36, Short Form Health Survey 36; SCFS, Schwartz Cancer Fatigue Scale; POMS, Profile Of Mood States; VAS, visual analog scale; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index. aTime since diagnosis. bTime since treatment.
TABLE 2. Outcomes of the randomized controlled trials evaluating the effects of home-based PA on fatigue.

| Study          | N     | Participation Dropout | Adherence | Fatigue Instrument | Time of Measurement | Intervention | Control | Study Outcomes | PA Outcomes     |
|----------------|-------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| Baruth et al. 32 | n = 32 | NA                    | ≥ 10% (n=2) | C: 0%              | 86.2% (11.9)        | FACT-F       | Baseline | 36.7 (9.2)²   | Change I vs C = 3.3, d = 0.36, P < 0.05 | I: higher increase in walking energy expenditure (CHAMPS) from baseline to 12 wk than C (d = 2.89). |
| Pinto et al. 33 | n = 46 | 27.4% (n=1)           | ≥ 10% (n=1) | C: 11.5%           | 64.7%               | FACT-F       | Post: 12 wk | 36.7 (9.2)²   | Change 3 months I vs C = 3.3, P = 0.021; d = 0.36, P = 0.009. No differences between I and C on 7-d PAR and CHAMPS at FU of 3 (d = 1.18, P = 0.149; d = 0.48, P = 0.117)) and 9 months (d = 0.38, P = 0.223; d = 0.15, PA = 0.028). |
| Pinto et al. 34 | n = 192 | 71.1% (n=22)          | ≥ 10% (n=8)  | C: 93.3%           | Ped: 40.3 (8.9)     | FACT-F       | Post: 12 wk | 42.1 (8.2)²   | Change COM vs C = 2.3, d = 0.25, P = 0.052 | PED and COM: higher increase in moderate to vigorous PA (GLTEQ) from baseline to 12 wk compared with C (d = 0.38, P = 0.017; d = 0.37, P = 0.022), no significant increase in PM compared with C (d = 0.25, P = 0.117). PM, PED and COM: significantly increased in minutes of brisk walking from baseline to 12 wk compared with C (d = 0.48, P = 0.006; d = 0.62, P = 0.003; d = 0.39, P = 0.028). PM, PED, and COM: no increase in steps per day (pedometer) at 12 wk compared with C (d = 0.727; P = 0.885; P = 0.710). Changes in moderate to vigorous PA (r = 0.17, P = 0.02) and brisk walking (r = 0.14, P = 0.013) were associated with changes in fatigue. |
| Valiante et al. 93 | n = 377 (FM: 94, PED: 94, COM: 93, C: 96) | 29.5% (n=13) | ≥ 10% (n=6)  | C: 9.7% (n=9)  | 11.5% (n=11)        | FACT-F       | Post: 12 wk | 42.6 (7.9)²   | Change COM vs C = 2.3, d = 0.25, P = 0.052 | PED and COM: higher increase in moderate to vigorous PA (GLTEQ) from baseline to 12 wk compared with C (d = 0.38, P = 0.017; d = 0.37, P = 0.022), no significant increase in PM compared with C (d = 0.25, P = 0.117). PM, PED and COM: significantly increased in minutes of brisk walking from baseline to 12 wk compared with C (d = 0.48, P = 0.006; d = 0.62, P = 0.003; d = 0.39, P = 0.028). PM, PED, and COM: no increase in steps per day (pedometer) at 12 wk compared with C (d = 0.727; P = 0.885; P = 0.710). Changes in moderate to vigorous PA (r = 0.17, P = 0.02) and brisk walking (r = 0.14, P = 0.013) were associated with changes in fatigue. |
| Zhou et al. 30 | n = 144 | 24.2% (n=14)          | ≥ 10% (n=12) | C: 25.7%           | 79.7% (13.5)        | FACT-F       | Post: 6 months | 36.7 (11.1) | Change I vs C = 2.8, d = 0.26, P = 0.06 | NA |
| Bennett et al. 37 | n = 56 | 66.7% (n=6)           | ≥ 10% (n=1)  | C: 3.6% (n=1)  | 98% (≥ 20 out of 28 calls 79.7% 1 and 73.5% C | SGFS²       | Baseline | 15.65 (3.6)5 | Change 3 months I vs C = 2.5, Unknown | I: higher increase in caloric expenditure (CHAMPS) from baseline to 3 and 6 months (d = 0.55, P < 0.05) than C |
| Nyrop et al. 38 | n = 62 | 64.6% (n=7)           | ≥ 10% (n=2)  | C: 6.5% (n=2)  | NA                | VAS²       | Baseline | 4.2 (2.81)    | Change I vs C = 0.18, d = 0.06, P = ns | I: higher increase in walking time (minutes per week) from baseline to 6 wk than C (d = 1.17, P < 0.01). |
Participants. In total, 1066 participants were included in the study. Ninety-six percent of the participants attended higher education (postsecondary education or higher). Seventy-seven percent of the participants had a history of breast cancer; 14%, ovarian cancer; 4%, colorectal cancer; 4%, prostate cancer; and 1%, other cancer (not specified). On average, participants either were diagnosed or had completed their treatment 17.59 yr before study inclusion, except for one study reporting a mean time of 5.1 months since the end of treatment (32). Scores represent mean (SD), study outcomes in bold face represent significant outcomes.

| Study | Participants | Baseline | Post: 12 wk | FU: 3 months | FU: 6 months |
|-------|--------------|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Pinto et al. (39) | n = 86 (43, C 43) | 69.9% | 9.3% (n = 4) | 42.47 (23.54) | 41.66 (25.04) |
| See Pinto et al. (40) | n = 96 (44, C 44) | 9.3% (n = 4) | 9.3% (n = 4) | 34.86 (28.16) | 34.96 (28.16) |
| See Pinto et al. (40) | n = 96 (44, C 44) | 9.3% (n = 4) | 9.3% (n = 4) | 34.86 (28.16) | 34.96 (28.16) |

Scores were adjusted for baseline values and full-time employment status.

7-d PAR, 7-d Physical Activity Recall; Adj, adjusted; C, control; CHAMPS, Community Health Activities Model Program for Seniors; COM, combined group; FACT-F, Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy—Fatigue; FU, follow-up; GLTEQ, Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire; I, intervention; NA, not available; ns, nonsignificant; PA, physical activity; PED, pedometer group; PM, print material group; Post, postintervention; SCFS, Schwartz Cancer Fatigue Scale; POMS, Profile Of Mood States; VAS, visual analog scale.

RESULTS

Study Selection

In total, 1738 records were found. After removing duplicates, 1387 titles and abstracts were screened. This resulted in 72 full-text records for screening of which 11 were included in the meta-analysis. Seven studies included survivors of breast cancer only (33-37,39), three did so at 3 months of follow-up (33,34,39), and one of anxiety symptoms (44), the results of which are shown in Tables S2 and S3 (see Tables, Supplemental Digital Content 1). The results of which are shown in Tables S2 and S3 (see Tables, Supplemental Digital Content 1). The results of which are shown in Tables S2 and S3 (see Tables, Supplemental Digital Content 1). The results of which are shown in Tables S2 and S3 (see Tables, Supplemental Digital Content 1). The results of which are shown in Tables S2 and S3 (see Tables, Supplemental Digital Content 1). The results of which are shown in Tables S2 and S3 (see Tables, Supplemental Digital Content 1). The results of which are shown in Tables S2 and S3 (see Tables, Supplemental Digital Content 1). The results of which are shown in Tables S2 and S3 (see Tables, Supplemental Digital Content 1). The results of which are shown in Tables S2 and S3 (see Tables, Supplemental Digital Content 1).

Two of the studies were high risk of bias because, compared with multiple-item questionnaires, they can more easily be influenced by knowledge of the intervention received. We judged there as being a high risk of deviation from the intervention if a study lacked an attentional or a wait-list control group, because this could increase the risk of control group contamination. In case of a limited number of studies being included in the meta-analysis (n < 10), we decided not to evaluate the risk of publication bias by funnel plot because the power of the test would be too low to distinguish chance from real asymmetry (42).
Intervention and control. Eight studies allowed participants to choose their preferred home-based PA (33–36,37,39,40,43), and three studies sought to increase walking (32,38,44). All interventions were performed individually in or around the home, except for one study that included community-based group walking sessions (44). The interventions ranged in duration from 6 wk (38) to 6 months (36,37), with the majority lasting 11–12 wk (32,33–35,39,40,43,44). In most studies (32,33–40), the goal of the intervention was to achieve 150 min of PA per week. The remaining studies targeted achieving 30 min of PA on most days of the week (37), 300 min of PA per week (43), or 10,000 steps per day (44). The intensity of the intervention was moderate in six studies (32,33,35,36,37,43) and low to moderate in three studies (34,39,40), but two studies that aimed to increase walking did not specify the required intensity of PA (38,44). Various materials were used to support the interventions, including activity trackers or pedometers (32,33–36,37,39,40,43,44), activity logs (32,33–40,43,44), and information brochures about PA (34,35,38–40).

Counseling was provided in eight studies and was primarily based on the transtheoretical model (33,34,39,40), social cognitive theory (32,33,34), and motivational interviewing (33,34,37). The first session was often supervised in person, with subsequent sessions conducted by telephone. Counseling sessions were offered weekly (33,36,39,40), every 1–2 wk (34), every 2–3 wk (32), every 3 wk (43), or every 2 months (37). Counseling primarily targeted exercise motivation, goal setting, self-efficacy, and problem solving.
In six studies (33,34,36,37,39,40), the control group received an attentional control condition by telephone calls and/or information brochures that were unrelated to PA. Three studies included wait-list control groups (32,38,43), and two included usual care control groups (35,44).

### Outcomes

Table 2 shows the intervention outcomes and the scores for fatigue. The Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy—Fatigue questionnaire (FACT-F) was the most frequently used measure (32,33–36), but a VAS of some form was used in three studies (38–40), and the Schwarz Cancer Fatigue Scale was used in one study (37).

Meta-analysis showed a significant effect in favor of the intervention group immediately after the intervention (SMD = 0.22 [0.06–0.37], Z = 2.76, P = 0.006), at 3 months of follow-up (SMD = 0.27 [0.04–0.51], Z = 2.31, P = 0.02), and at 6–9 months of follow-up (SMD = 0.31 [0.08–0.55], Z = 2.61, P = 0.009; Fig. 2). Heterogeneity between studies in the meta-analyses was small and nonsignificant ($I^2 = 0%–9\%$, $P = 0.35–0.93$).

Subgroup analyses showed a difference between the studies that used frequent counseling versus no or infrequent counseling ($\chi^2(1) = 2.70, P = 0.10$), with a significant effect for the studies with frequent counseling (SMD = 0.32 [0.12–0.52], Z = 3.08, $P = 0.002$) and a nonsignificant effect for studies with no or infrequent counseling (SMD = 0.05 [−0.19–0.29], Z = 0.45, $P = 0.66$; Fig. 3). Subgroup analyses on the type of intervention (walking vs other types of PA), the intensity of PA (low vs moderate), and cancer diagnosis (breast cancer vs other cancers) were not analyzed because too few studies were included per subgroup. Visual inspection revealed no obvious differences between these subgroups.

**Participation, dropout, and adherence.** The participation rate was reported in 10 of the 11 studies and averaged 55.3% (range, 24.2%–84.9%). The average dropout rates in the intervention and control groups were 11.2% (range, 0%–22.6%) and 7.4% (range, 0%–25.7%), respectively.

Adherence was reported in seven studies. Five reported on adherence to the prescribed PA guidelines, for which the average adherence was 77.9% (range, 46.7%–96%). In two studies that reported on adherence to the counseling sessions, one reported 80% adherence to at least 20 of 26 telephone calls, whereas the other reported 98% adherence to 4 telephone calls. Two studies reported on adherence to the use of exercise materials, with 81%–83.3% adherence to recording pedometer steps on study days.

**Change in PA.** All studies that evaluated change in PA by self-reported questionnaires from baseline to the end of the intervention showed a significant increase in minutes engaged in PA (33–35,39) and in energy expenditure (32,33,37) in the intervention group compared with the control group. However, results varied at 3 and 6–9 months of follow-up (33,34,40), with some studies reporting a higher increase in self-reported PA in the intervention group and others reporting no differences between the intervention and control groups. Varying results
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**FIGURE 2**—Forest plot of the meta-analysis on fatigue after the intervention (A), at follow-up of 3 months (B), and at follow-up of 6 to 9 months (C). CI, confidence interval.
were also found in the objective measurement of PA at the end of the intervention and at 3 months of follow-up.

Risk of Bias

The studies by Zhou et al. (36), Bennett et al. (37), and McNeil et al. (43) showed the lowest risk of bias (Fig. 4). The highest risk of bias was observed in the studies by Nyrop et al. (38), Pinto et al. (40), and Pernar et al. (44). Risk of bias was introduced in several ways. First, a single-item VAS scale was used in three studies (38–40), resulting in an increased risk of bias for the outcome measurement. Second, one study was at risk of deviation from the intended interventions because of the lack of an attentional control or wait-list control group (44).

FIGURE 3—Forest plot of the meta-analysis on fatigue for no or infrequent counseling (A) and frequent counseling (B). CI, confidence interval.

FIGURE 4—Risk of bias assessment of the included studies.
DISCUSSION

Our results indicate that home-based PA interventions reduce fatigue in adult cancer survivors immediately after the intervention (SMD = 0.22) and at 3 months (SMD = 0.27) and 6–9 months (SMD = 0.31) of follow-up. Those PA interventions that used frequent counseling (SMD = 0.32) also showed improved effects on fatigue compared with interventions in which counseling was infrequent or not provided (SMD = 0.05). Because of the small number of studies included, however, there is insufficient evidence to conclude on how home-based PA affects symptoms of depression and anxiety.

The effects of home-based PA on fatigue at the three assessment points in this study are consistent with those previously reported in research for primarily clinic-based interventions, where the effect sizes ranged from 0.10 to 0.54 (47–49). The improvement in the FACT-F score observed in the intervention group (range, 3.1–4.5) immediately after intervention is at the lower end of the minimal clinically important difference (50,51). As such, the intervention may clinically alleviate fatigue in cancer survivors, but this cannot be assured. It should also be noted that the studies in this review were not performed in participants with clinical symptoms of fatigue. Implementing a home-based PA intervention in cancer survivors suffering from fatigue may result in larger effect sizes.

Interestingly, we found behavioral counseling in PA interventions to be a potential facilitator in efforts to reduce fatigue. PA interventions with frequent counseling showed improved effects on fatigue (SMD = 0.32), where studies with no or infrequent counseling did not show such effects (SMD = 0.05). This is supported by earlier research showing the added value of counseling and behavioral change strategies in PA interventions on fatigue, depression, and physical function (4,52). PA counseling in the included studies made use of theoretical models to induce behavioral change, with the most common being the transtheoretical model (53), the social cognitive theory (54), and the self-determination theory (55). These models seek to promote increased motivation, self-monitoring, problem solving, and autonomy. PA interventions that include counseling may result in larger health effects by promoting self-efficacy and autonomy. Furthermore, by increasing motivation, self-monitoring, and problem solving in this way, counseling could induce sustainable behavioral change. This may have contributed to the observed long-term effects after 3 and 6–9 months of follow-up.

When seeking to understand how PA can be implemented to alleviate fatigue symptoms, it is important to have knowledge of how fatigue manifests and how we can influence symptom severity. The manifestation of fatigue involves a variety of demographic, medical, biological, psychological, and behavioral factors (56). PA may act primarily on biological, psychological, and behavioral characteristics, with low physical condition and a maladaptive coping style representing significant risk factors for the manifestation of fatigue (56,57). Such coping patterns can include negative feelings of helplessness and worthlessness, low disease acceptance, and low enjoyment (57). PA can contribute in alleviating fatigue not only by improving cardiorespiratory fitness and physical health but also by helping cancer survivors how to cope with their diagnosis and posttreatment recovery by increasing self-efficacy, improving disease acceptance, and reducing negative feelings of helplessness and worthlessness (57). Furthermore, PA can increase enjoyment when it is personalized and adapted to patients’ goals and preferences. The latter, especially, can be of added value in home-based PA interventions.

Unfortunately, too few studies of depression and anxiety were included to allow us to conclude about the effect of home-based PA on symptoms of depression and anxiety. Earlier research showed that one in five cancer survivors experienced symptoms of depression and anxiety (12–14), with clinic-based PA alleviating these symptoms (17–19). It should also be noted that symptoms of fatigue, depression, and anxiety often occur together (58). Some authors even consider these to present in a cluster set as symptoms that are strongly interrelated (57,59,60). The co-occurrence of fatigue, depression, and anxiety is not surprising because all three share underlying components of loss of energy or loss of initiative. Perhaps of even greater interest, however, is that the improvement of one symptom is likely to improve another (60). From that viewpoint, home-based PA may indirectly reduce symptoms of depression and anxiety by alleviating fatigue, and vice versa. There is too little evidence in this review to draw firm conclusions about these effects.

Participation, adherence, and dropout. The participation rate in home-based PA interventions included in this review (mean, 55.3%) was higher than that reported in studies of primarily clinic-based PA interventions both after (37%) (23) and during (41%–43%) treatment (24,25). Also, adherence to PA guidelines was higher than previously reported, with a rate of 77.9% in this review compared with 65% in the earlier review by Bullard et al. (60), who included clinic-based interventions. The mean dropout rates in the intervention (11.2%) and control (7.4%) groups in this review were comparable with those reported by Bullard et al. (61). Participation and adherence may be greater for interventions where participants choose the location (e.g., home or community) and self-monitor engagement compared with clinic-based PA interventions where they have no control over the location or supervision. This may allow for a larger group of cancer survivors to be reached and improving outcomes on fatigue as a result (36,62). Nevertheless, PA interventions must be designed specifically for survivors of cancer because this group typically shows lower adherence, higher dropout rates, and larger variability in adherence and dropout compared with patients who have other chronic diseases (61).

Strengths, limitations, and future research. This is the first review to have evaluated the effects of home-based PA interventions on symptoms of fatigue, depression, and...
anxiety in cancer survivors. This is also the first review to have differentiated the effects of frequent versus infrequent or no counseling. Nonetheless, several limitations must be considered.

First, a selective group of participants were included in this systematic review, which limits the generalizability of results. Most of the participants were female (94%), of Caucasian ethnicity (82%), and of higher educational level (56%), with breast cancer the most frequent diagnosis (77%). This finding is comparable with other reviews, representing predominantly female breast cancer survivors (5,15,17,18). However, more importantly, with more than half of the participants of high educational level, this group may represent participants of high socioeconomic status with little barriers related to PA. Socioeconomic status is well known to influence PA participation, with people of higher socioeconomic status being more physically active, especially in leisure time PA (63,64). We should strive for PA interventions that reach cancer survivors with low socioeconomic status (63); providing social support to this group may be of particular importance (65).

Second, the studies in this review inevitably have limitations in their design. It was not possible, for example, to blind participants to the intervention or outcome assessment. This could lead to the control group increasing their PA behavior or to the control and PA groups overestimating and underestimating self-reported symptoms, respectively. Such risk can be minimized by offering the control group an attentional control condition or wait-list control. Using multiple-item validated questionnaires, such as the FACT-F, may also help to minimize the influence of patient knowledge of the intervention on the outcome assessment.

Third, although the positive effects at follow-up were promising, it should be noted that these arose from three studies conducted by the same author and that only included breast cancer survivors. Consequently, there may be risks of bias in the study design, study process, and study population.

In the future, research must evaluate the effects of home-based PA interventions on symptoms of depression and anxiety in cancer survivors because there is a lack of research with these outcomes. Furthermore, evaluating symptoms of fatigue, depression, and anxiety over longer-term follow-up (e.g., 12 months) is of great importance because symptoms can persist up to 10 yr after cancer diagnosis (13,66).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Home-based PA interventions that are of low to moderate intensity reduce fatigue in adult cancer survivors immediately after the intervention, and those favorable effects may even persist for prolonged periods thereafter. Frequent counseling also seems to improve these favorable health outcomes. Therefore, we conclude that home-based PA interventions with frequent counseling offer a suitable and effective intervention for alleviating fatigue in survivors of cancer.
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