Dilution of the cell cycle inhibitor Whi5 controls budding–yeast cell size
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Cell size fundamentally affects all biosynthetic processes by determining the scale of organelles and influencing surface transport1,2. Although extensive studies have identified many mutations affecting cell size, the molecular mechanisms underlying size control have remained elusive1. In the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, size control occurs in G1 phase before Start, the point of irreversible commitment to cell division3,4. It was previously thought that activity of the G1 cyclin Cln3 increased with cell size to trigger Start by initiating the inhibition of the transcriptional inhibitor Whi5 (refs 6–8). Here we show that although Cln3 concentration does modulate the rate at which cells pass Start, its synthesis increases in proportion to cell size so that its total concentration is nearly constant during pre-Start G1. Rather than increasing Cln3 activity, we identify decreasing Whi5 activity—due to the dilution of Whi5 by cell growth—as a molecular mechanism through which cell size controls proliferation. Whi5 is synthesized in S/G2/M phases of the cell cycle in a largely size-independent manner. This results in smaller daughter cells being born with higher Whi5 concentrations that extend their pre-Start G1 phase. Thus, at its most fundamental level, size control in budding yeast results from the differential scaling of Cln3 and Whi5 synthesis rates with cell size. More generally, our work shows that differential size-dependency of protein synthesis can provide an elegant mechanism to coordinate cellular functions with growth.

To control size, proliferating cells tie division to growth. However, the molecular mechanisms by which growth triggers division are poorly understood9,10. In *S. cerevisiae*, which divides asymmetrically into a larger mother and smaller daughter cell, size control takes place in the first G1 phase of daughter cells11,12. Progression through G1 is promoted by the upstream G1 cyclin Cln3 in complex with the cyclin-dependent kinase Cdk1. This Cln3–Cdk1 complex is thought to partly inactivate the transcriptional inhibitor Whi5 (refs 13, 14). Inactivation of Whi5 relieves inhibition of the transcriptional factor SBF, whose transcriptional activation completes a positive feedback loop committing the cell to division1. While its upstream position in the G1 regulatory network suggests that Cln3 is the trigger, its concentration does not clearly increase during G1 (refs 8, 15). Although Cln3 is a nuclear protein, the size of the yeast nucleus is proportional to cell size, so that the measured nuclear concentrations reflect nuclear concentrations15. This leads to the question of why G1 progression is size-dependent when the putative trigger protein Cln3 does not increase in concentration.

Two prevailing models propose mechanisms to generate a size-dependent signal from the constant Cln3 concentration. One model proposes that the increasing number of Cln3 molecules is titrated against the fixed number of SBF-binding sites on the genome16. This DNA-titration serves to convert the constant Cln3 concentration to an increasing activity at its target sites on the genome. The other model proposes that Cln3 is retained at the endoplasmic reticulum and is rapidly released upon sufficient growth-dependent accumulation of the chaperone Ydj1 (refs 7, 17). Here we perform a series of experiments whose results are inconsistent with the two existing models. Instead, we identify a new molecular mechanism for cell size control that does not require Cln3 activity increasing with cell size. Rather, we show that cell size promotes G1 progression by diluting the primary target of Cln3, Whi5 (Fig. 1a).

To determine how the G1 regulatory network implements size control, we first examined how the concentration of key regulators changes through G1. We grew cells using ethanol as the carbon source to generate small daughter cells subject to strong cell size control7. We restricted our attention to these daughter cells, and used time-lapse microscopy to measure the concentration of proteins tagged with the fluorescent protein mCitrine and expressed from the endogenous locus (Fig. 1b–g and Extended Data Fig. 1a). The concentration of wild-type (WT) Cln3 cannot be measured with this approach owing to its rapid and constitutive degradation. We therefore examined two mutants expressing stabilized proteins (CLN3–11A and CLN3–1 (refs 18–20); Extended Data Fig. 1b). Consistent with previous bulk measurements of WT Cln3, Cln3–11A and Cln3–1 concentrations are constant through G1 (Fig. 1b). Moreover, we observed no changes in Cln3–11A localization (Extended Data Fig. 2). This is consistent with the Cln3 retention model, which predicts a rapid increase in nuclear Cln3 concentration in mid-G1 (ref. 7). Similarly, the concentrations of the key G1 regulators Swi4, Whi3, and Bck2 are nearly constant through G1 (Fig. 1c–e). In sharp contrast, we found that the concentration of the cell cycle inhibitor Whi5 strongly decreases through G1 (Fig. 1f and Extended Data Fig. 3a). This suggests that the dilution of the cell cycle inhibitor Whi5 is a size-dependent signal promoting cell cycle progression (Fig. 1a). Such an inhibitor-dilution model18 represents a qualitatively distinct mechanism of cell size control that does not require a size-dependent increase in Cln3-activity.

While inhibitor dilution explains how growth drives proliferation, it does not immediately explain why smaller-born cells grow more in G1. This would also require that smaller-born cells start G1 with a higher concentration of Whi5. Indeed, we found that the concentration of Whi5 at cell birth monotonically decreases with cell size, whereas the concentration of Cln3–11A and Cln3–1 at cell birth is independent of cell size (Fig. 2a, b). We confirmed that Whi5 is diluted in G1 using quantitative immunoblots (Fig. 2c). Finally, for a given birth size, diploid cells are born with a much higher Whi5 concentration (Fig. 2d and Extended Data Fig. 4a), which is consistent with the long-standing observation that cell size scales linearly with ploidy19,20. Taken together, our data support a size control model in which all cells are born with a similar dose of Whi5, which they dilute by growth to progress through G1.

Inhibitor-dilution results in size-dependent cell cycle progression because smaller cells are born with higher Whi5 concentrations. To identify the origin of this size-dependent Whi5 concentration, we measured the rate of Whi5 synthesis throughout the cell cycle. We found that Whi5 is a stable protein, synthesized primarily during S/G2/M (Fig. 2e and Extended Data Figs 3b and 5a), consistent with previous mRNA measurements21. Whi5 is differentially partitioned so that, following division, its concentration in daughter cells is consistently higher than in mother cells (Extended Data Fig. 6a, b). Critically,
having two copies of mCitrine–CLN3-11A (Fig. 2g). Thus, in diploids the biosynthetic machinery is split between the two copies of the genome. Consistently, a hemizygous diploid synthesizes mCitrine–CLN3-11A protein at a much lower rate than a similarly sized haploid or homozygous diploid (Fig. 2g). In sharp contrast, Whi5–mCitrine synthesis is similar and size-independent in hemizygous diploid and haploid cells (Fig. 2f and Extended Data Fig. 4b). Moreover, a homozygous diploid produces Whi5 at approximately twice the rate, similar to a haploid with two copies of WHI5 (Fig. 2f and Extended Data Fig. 4b). Thus, the rate of Whi5 synthesis is determined by the number of copies of the gene and is independent of cell size and ploidy.

While the inhibitor-dilution model takes into account cell-to-cell variability in birth size, it does not yet include the fact that cells born the same size will vary in how much they grow before Start (Fig. 3a). For a population of similarly sized pre-Start cells, only a fraction will pass Start within the short time interval between movie frames. This allows us to define a rate as this fraction divided by the time interval (Fig. 3b; see Methods). In our inhibitor-dilution model, the rate at which cells pass Start is determined by the concentrations of Whi5 and Cln3. If Cln3 concentration is constant in pre-Start cells, the Whi5 concentration alone should predict the rate at which cells progress through Start. To test this model, we generated haploid strains containing one, two, and four copies of WHI5–mCitrine. We note these experiments are in a bck2Δ background, where Cln3 is essential. As expected, cells containing two and four copies of WHI5 produced proportionally more Whi5 protein, were larger, and exhibited a decreased size-dependent rate of progression through Start (Fig. 3b and Extended Data Fig. 4c, d). We note that these experiments were performed using cells expressing WT Cln3, which is suggested to be at constant concentration in G1 based on our measurements of Cln3-11A and Cln3-1. In complete agreement with an inhibitor-dilution model with a size-independent activator, the concentration of Whi5 alone predicts the rate at which cells progress through Start for all three strains (Fig. 3c). Consistently, the relationship between the rate of progression through Start and Whi5 concentration was not changed in hcm1Δ cells that lack a transcription factor promoting WHI5 expression (Extended Data Fig. 7).

In our inhibitor-dilution model, Cln3 concentration determines the fraction of active Whi5. Thus, for a given Whi5 concentration, it should be possible to drive cell cycle entry by sufficiently increasing Cln3 concentration. To test this prediction, we constructed a bck2Δ strain with mCitrine–CLN3-11A under control of the methionine-regulated MET25 promoter. In this strain, expressing CLN3-11A expression arrests cells in G1, during which they continue to grow. Thus, by first arresting cells for varying durations and then inducing CLN3-11A for varying lengths of time, we were able to examine a wide range of cell sizes and Cln3 and Whi5 concentrations (Fig. 4a). We binned cells by size, which determines Whi5 concentration, and performed a logistic regression to determine the critical Cln3 concentration (pulse amplitude that results in half the cells budding; for example, Fig. 4b and Extended Data Fig. 8). Larger cells required lower Cln3-11A concentrations to enter the cell cycle (Extended Data Fig. 8d), consistent with previous results showing that larger G1 cells were more sensitive to Cln1 expression. Next, we used a strain that carries MET25pr-CLN3-11A and WHI5–mCitrine to measure the average Whi5 concentration as a function of cell size under the same arrest conditions (Extended Data Fig. 8e). The critical Cln3 concentration increases with Whi5 concentration as predicted by the Whi5-dilution model (Fig. 4c).

The Whi5-dilution model, unlike DNA-titration models, does not explicitly depend on the DNA content of the cell and predicts that the relationship between the critical Cln3 concentration and Whi5 concentration should be independent of ploidy. To test this, we repeated the same set of pulsing experiments using diploid strains and found a similar relationship between the critical Cln3 and Whi5 concentrations (Fig. 4c; P > 0.05). This is consistent with experiments showing during S/G2/M, Whi5 is synthesized at a rate largely independent of cell size (Fig. 2e, f and Extended Data Figs 3b and 4b). Since S/G2/M duration weakly depends on mother cell size (Extended Data Fig. 6c), small and large cells produce similar amounts of Whi5. This results in larger budded cells having a lower Whi5 concentration just before division (Extended Data Fig. 6d). Since larger mother cells produce larger daughter cells (Extended Data Fig. 6e), this explains the inverse correlation between Whi5 concentration and cell size at birth, which is essential for the inhibitor-dilution size control model.

The size-independent synthesis rate of Whi5 during S/G2/M shows it is not limited by the general biosynthetic capacity of the cell, which increases with cell size. This contrasts with the expectation that transcriptional and translational outputs scale with cell size. Indeed, mCitrine–CLN3-11A synthesis in G1 is proportional to cell size (Fig. 2g and Extended Data Fig. 5b–c). Thus, the differential size scaling of Cln3 and Whi5 synthesis lies at the heart of cell size control in budding yeast. Since ploidy is an important determinant of cell size, we decided to examine how it impacts the differential synthesis of Cln3 and Whi5. As expected for the majority of genes, whose synthesis is limited by the biosynthetic capacity of the cell, Cln3-11A synthesis in a diploid is comparable to the synthesis of a similarly sized haploid cell, despite
**Figure 2** | Differential size-dependence of Cln3 and Whi5.  

a. Mean concentration of mCitrine–Cln3-11A (n = 471), mCitrine–Cln3-1 (n = 234) (a), and Whi5–mCitrine (n = 339) (b) as a function of cell size for daughter cells in G1. Shaded area, s.e.m; bars, mean concentration and associated s.e.m. as a function of cell size at birth; a.u., arbitrary units. c. Top: representative immunoblot of cells arrested in G1 for increasing amounts of time; bottom: quantification of combined data from four independent time courses binned by mean population cell size (see Methods). Bars, means ± s.d. Lanes were normalized by total protein content. Cells were grown on synthetic complete dextrose (SCD).  

b. Characteristic single-cell trace showing the total amount of Whi5–mCitrine in a haploid cell. The approximately linear increase in Whi5 during S/G2/M was fitted to determine the rate of synthesis. f, g, Mean rate of Whi5 (f) and Cln3-11A (g) synthesis as a function of cell size for each genotype indicated in the idealized schematics. Bars, s.e.m. The rate of Cln3 synthesis is proportional to cell size as indicated by its constant concentration in G1 shown in a (see Extended Data Fig. 5), whereas the Whi5 synthesis rate is largely size-independent. See Extended Data Fig. 4a, b for corresponding single-cell data.

**Figure 3** | Whi5 concentration determines the rate at which cells progress through Start.  

a. Size at Start, the point of commitment to cell division, as a function of birth size for haploid bck2Δ daughter cells (n = 658). Bars, mean and s.e.m. b, c. The rate at which daughter cells progress through Start is shown as a function of cell size (b) and Whi5 concentration (c) for bck2Δ haploid cells with one (blue, n = 658), two (green, n = 310), or four (red, n = 142) copies of WHI5–mCitrine. Smooth lines are logistic regressions and the corresponding shaded areas denote 95% confidence intervals. Jagged lines connect means for binned data.
Figure 4 | Cln3 and Whi5 concentrations determine the rate at which cells pass Start irrespective of ploidy and cell size. a, Schematic of Cln3-pulse experiments. MET25pr–mCitrine–CLN3-11A bck2Δ cells are arrested in G1 for 2–4 h by addition of methionine to create G1 daughter cells of varying size. Following arrest, mCitrine–CLN3-11A expression is induced for varying amounts of time (0–60 min). b, Cells are binned by size and a logistic regression is then used to calculate the fraction of cells driven into the cell cycle as a function of the maximum Cln3-11A concentration produced by the exogenous pulse. Regression shown for 200–250 fl cells to determine the critical Cln3 concentration, where 50% of the cells bud. Single-cell data are marked green (budding) or red (not budding). c, The critical Cln3-11A concentration increases with Whi5 concentration, which was determined independently for each size bin (Extended Data Fig. 8). Haploid (filled circles, n = 1195) and diploid (open squares, n = 405) cells show a similar relationship between Whi5 and critical Cln3-11A concentrations (P > 0.05). d, To decouple cell size and Whi5 concentration, the pulse experiment is repeated with a strain expressing both WHI5 and CLN3-11A from exogenously controlled promoters (MET25pr–mCitrine–CLN3-11A LexA pr–WHI5–mCherry bck2Δ). Whi5 concentration and the duration of G1 arrest before the Cln3 pulse are varied. e, Data are displayed using two size and three Whi5 concentration bins. Higher Cln3 pulse amplitudes are needed to drive cells with higher Whi5 levels into the cell cycle, while no significant difference is observed for smaller and larger cells (P > 0.5, n = 471), which we note also have different DNA concentrations. f, Differential size-scaling of protein synthesis underlies budding yeast size control and provides a general mechanism to measure cell size independently of cell geometry.

that introducing heterologous DNA through yeast artificial chromosomes does not affect progression through G1 (ref. 27). However, we note that increased ploidy delays progression through S/G2/M, which results in larger daughter cells and mean population size (Fig. 2f and Extended Data Fig. 9).

To determine that the relevant parameter for Start is Whi5 concentration, rather than cell size, we repeated the pulse experiments with a strain that carries a hormone-inducible promoter expressing a WHI5–mCherry allele in addition to the MET25pr–mCitrine–CLN3-11A allele (Fig. 4d). This allowed us to generate cells of different sizes containing similar Whi5 concentrations. As predicted, the probability of a cell passing Start increases with Cln3 concentration (P < 10−5), decreases with Whi5 concentration (P < 10−5), but is independent of cell size (P > 0.5) (Fig. 4e).

Taken together, our data support a new inhibitor-dilution model for size control in budding yeast. In this model, cell growth dilutes the cell cycle inhibitor Whi5 to drive progression through the cell cycle, whereas Cln3 concentration and activity remain constant. In this model, any regulation of Cln3 transcription, translation, or stability that affects Cln3 concentration can be used to modulate cell size in different environmental conditions. While inhibitor dilution immediately leads to a growth requirement, this requirement is not necessarily different for larger- and smaller-born daughter cells, which is necessary for cell size control. For the inhibitor-dilution mechanism to control cell size it is crucial that the amount of Whi5 that cells are born with does not scale with size as we have shown here. In contrast, the cell cycle activator Cln3 is produced in proportion to size. This differential size-dependency of cell cycle activator and inhibitor synthesis constitutes the basis of size control in budding yeast (Fig. 4f).

Inhibitor-dilution is an elegant mechanism to control cell size independently of other aspects of cell geometry. In rod-shaped cells, such as fission yeast and bacteria, geometric mechanisms measuring lengths or surface areas can sensitively measure cell size because these metrics are directly proportional. However, such geometric measurements would perform poorly in near-spherical budding yeast, where intracellular lengths scale with the cube root of cell volume, so that a doubling of cell size results in only an ~25% increase in characteristic length. Geometric mechanisms are also unlikely to be applicable to more irregularly shaped metazoan cells. In contrast, inhibitor-dilution mechanisms can measure cell volume with no geometric constraints. All that is required is the differential size scaling of a cell cycle activator relative to an inhibitor. Because of the simplicity of this requirement, we anticipate the wide application of inhibitor-dilution mechanisms to control cell size.

Online Content Methods, along with any additional Extended Data display items and Source Data, are available in the online version of the paper; references unique to these sections appear only in the online paper.
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We used a similar method to estimate the much lower Whi5 synthesis rate (Extended Data Fig. 4b); mean values for size-binned data are shown in Fig. 2f. We fitted a line to the data and took the slope as an estimate of the Whi5 synthesis rate cell cycle (the budded phase). This phase was determined as described above. We then performed a logistic regression using the MATLAB glmfit to estimate the probability of a cell passing Start as a function of either cell size or Whi5 concentration.

We note that Fig. 3c shows that the instantaneous probability for a cell to pass Start is determined by the Whi5 concentration, not volume. However, this does not necessarily mean that cells with different Whi5 copy number need to reach the same Whi5 concentration. Consider two cells born with different Whi5 concentrations. The one with the higher Whi5 concentration has to grow for a certain amount of time to reach the initial Whi5 concentration of the second cell. Since the probability for passing Start is always non-zero, there is a certain chance that the cell enters the cell cycle even before reaching the birth concentration of the one with lower Whi5 concentration. Thus, on average, cells born with higher initial Whi5 concentrations will pass Start at higher Whi5 concentrations. Adding an extra copy of Whi5 does result in an increase of cell size, however, cell size is not doubled. Thus, 2 × Whi5 cells are on average born with higher Whi5 concentrations, but also pass Start at higher Whi5 concentrations, even though the instantaneous probability of passing Start as a function of Whi5 is the same as in WT cells.

We also note that the series of experiments described in Figs 3 and 4 were performed in a bck2A background. In the absence of Cln3, Bck2 drives large cells into the cell cycle22. However, since Bck2 concentration is constant through G1 phase, and the targets of this transcriptional regulator extend across the entire cell cycle22,23, we decided to focus exclusively on the Cln3–Whi5 mechanism, which is specific for G1 progression, and performed subsequent analyses in a bck2A background.

**Cln3 pulse experiments.** For the experiments shown in Fig. 4, cells were grown on media lacking methionine, SCD-Met (MET25pr–mCitrine–CLN3–11A on). After 50 min of growth in the microfluidic device, 10−4 methionine was added to arrest cells in G1 (MET25pr–mCitrine–CLN3–11A off). After varying arrest times (2, 3, or 4 h for haploids, 3 h for diploids) methionine was removed for 0, 30, 40, 50, or 60 min (20, 30, 40, or 50 min for diploids) to induce a pulse of mCitrine–Cln3-11A expression. For daughter cells born during the experiment, we determined whether the cell budded during a 2 h time window following the onset of Cln3-11A induction. In addition, we measured the maximum Cln3-11A concentration. Cell size was measured at the time of maximum Cln3-11A concentration. For each strain, we then pooled all the data (22 independent experiments for haploids, 6 for diploids) and binned cells according to their size. For each size bin, we used a logistic regression to calculate the critical mCitrine–Cln3-11A concentration where 50% of the cells bud. To determine the median Whi5 concentration as a function of cell size we arrested MET25pr–Cln3-11A bck2A WH5–mCitrine cells and measured Whi5 concentration as a function of cell size during the arrest (Fig. 1e), and the targets of this transcriptional regulator extend across the entire cell cycle22,23, we decided to focus exclusively on the Cln3–Whi5 mechanism, which is specific for G1 progression, and performed subsequent analyses in a bck2A background.

**Cln3 pulse experiments.** For the experiments shown in Fig. 4, cells were grown on media lacking methionine, SCD-Met (MET25pr–mCitrine–CLN3–11A on). After 50 min of growth in the microfluidic device, 10−4 methionine was added to arrest cells in G1 (MET25pr–mCitrine–CLN3–11A off). After varying arrest times (2, 3, or 4 h for haploids, 3 h for diploids) methionine was removed for 0, 30, 40, 50, or 60 min (20, 30, 40, or 50 min for diploids) to induce a pulse of mCitrine–Cln3-11A expression. For daughter cells born during the experiment, we determined whether the cell budded during a 2 h time window following the onset of Cln3-11A induction. In addition, we measured the maximum Cln3-11A concentration. Cell size was measured at the time of maximum Cln3-11A concentration. For each strain, we then pooled all the data (22 independent experiments for haploids, 6 for diploids) and binned cells according to their size. For each size bin, we used a logistic regression to calculate the critical mCitrine–Cln3-11A concentration where 50% of the cells bud. To determine the median Whi5 concentration as a function of cell size we arrested MET25pr–Cln3-11A bck2A WH5–mCitrine cells and measured Whi5 concentration as a function of cell size during the arrest (Fig. 1e), and the targets of this transcriptional regulator extend across the entire cell cycle22,23, we decided to focus exclusively on the Cln3–Whi5 mechanism, which is specific for G1 progression, and performed subsequent analyses in a bck2A background.
promoter resulted in severe cytokinesis defects. We therefore added an additional mutation (R108A), which was reported to increase protein stability, but reduce the ability of Cln3 to drive cell cycle progression30. Also, R108A has been examined in the context of the double mutant K106A R108A (see variant Cln3-A10 in ref. 35) that increased steady state protein levels, but was less able to rescue a cln1A cln2A cln3A bck2A strain. Cln3-A10 was also reported to decrease the interaction with Cdc28 in ref. 36 (where it is known as the Cln3-A13 mutation). Combining the ten stabilizing alanine mutations from ref. 18 with the R108A mutation from ref. 19 resulted in a stabilized, less active Cln3 protein (Extended Data Fig. 1b), which we refer to as Cln3-11A, whose concentration and amount were measurable in single cells without disrupting cytokinesis. For Figs 1b and 2a, we measured the concentration of Cln3 protein expressed from a previously characterized stabilized C-terminal truncation allele CLN3-1 (refs 20, 37).

**Quantitative Whi5 immunoblot.** Strain JTY6 (cln1A cln2A cln3A GAL1pr-CLN1 WHI5–3 × Flag) was generated using plasmid pMK15 digested with MluI (New England BioLabs). Cells were grown at 30 °C to mid-log phase (absorbance at 600 nm = 0.2) in synthetic complete media with 2% galactose and 2% raffinose before being washed once and resuspended in an equal volume of synthetic complete with 2% glucose and incubated for 120 min at 30 °C. Ten millilitre samples were removed, sonicated, and analysed with a Coulter Z2 cell counter to measure cell size. Samples were pelleted and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Concurrently, 1 ml samples were diluted to a maximum volume of 12 ml in urea lysis buffer (20 mM Tris•Cl pH 7.5, 7.5 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 65 mM CHAPS, 65 mM DTT, 50 mM NaF, 100 mM β-glycerophosphate, 1 mM NaVO3, 1 mM PMSF), and homogenized for 40 s at 4 °C in a FastPrep homogenizer (MP Biomedicals) using an equal volume of 0.5 mm diameter ceramic beads. Cell lysates were transferred to fresh microfuge tubes by puncturing the bottom of the tubes used for lysis, placing them in fresh tubes, and centrifuging for a few seconds at low speed. Lysates were then cleared by centrifuging at 17,000 g for 10 min. Total protein concentration in the lysates was determined by Bradford analysis, and samples were diluted to a maximum volume of 12 ml in urea buffer, of which 10 ml were mixed with 5 ml 6 × Laemmli sample buffer and run on a 12% (29:1) polyacrylamide gel. Gels were cut to include only the relevant molecular weight range, and proteins from all gels were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane using program 8 for 7 min on an iBlot dry transfer device (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Membranes were blocked in Licor Odyssey blocking buffer (TBS; 927-50010) for 30 min at room temperature (−23 °C). Membranes were incubated with 1:1,000 M2 mouse monoclonal anti-Flag (Sigma F1804) and 1:5,000 rat monoclonal anti-tubulin YOL1/34 (Abcam ab6161) diluted in Licor Odyssey blocking buffer + 0.2% Tween-20 for 60 min, and they were washed 1 × 15 min and 3 × 5 min in TBS + 0.1% Tween-20. Membranes were then incubated in 1:1,000 goat anti-mouse conjugated to Alexa Fluor 680 (Thermo Fisher Scientific A-21058) and goat anti-rat conjugated to Licor IRDye 800CW (Licor, 925-32219) in the same buffer as for primary antibodies and washed as before. Membranes were imaged in a Licor Odyssey CLX-0670.

Immunoblot images were analysed by manually specifying band boundaries and measuring total intensity using Licor Image Studio Light. Background regions for each lane were also specified manually. These values were used to generate background-subtracted values, which were analysed with respect to mean population size using R.
Extended Data Figure 1 | Size distributions of strains expressing mCitrine fusion proteins or CLN3 mutant alleles. **a**, Cell size distributions were measured in a Coulter counter for five strains expressing the indicated mCitrine fusion proteins from the endogenous locus and a WT control. These five strains were used in Fig. 1. All strains were grown on synthetic complete 2% glycerol, 1% ethanol. **b**, Size distributions measured using a Coulter counter for cln3Δ cells expressing CLN3 alleles from a CLN3 promoter integrated at the URA3 locus. See Methods for description of CLN3 mutant alleles. Cells were grown on synthetic complete 2% glucose.
Extended Data Figure 2 | mCitrine–Cln3-11A is consistently nuclear during G1. We see no evidence of a rapid re-localization of Cln3-11A into the nucleus at mid-G1 (n = 471). Nuclear signal measured and nucleus segmented as described in ref. 31. Thick line denotes mean; shaded area denotes s.e.m.
Extended Data Figure 3 | Single-cell analysis of Whi5 dilution and synthesis. a, We randomly selected 40 out of 339 single-cell traces that correspond to the data shown in Fig. 1f for display here. The relative change of Whi5 concentration during G1 is shown in grey (thin lines). Blue thick line shows the mean of all 339 cells. b, We randomly selected 40 out of 147 single-cell traces of Whi5 amount for display. Traces are aligned by bud emergence ($t = 0$). G1 (cell birth to bud emergence) is shown in red (mean of all 147 cells is shown in blue). S/G2/M (bud emergence to cytokinesis) is shown in green (mean is shown in black). Black crosses denote time points of full nuclear Whi5 exit, blue crosses denote time points of full nuclear Whi5 re-entry. The rate of synthesis of Whi5 in S/G2/M phase is 6.6-fold higher than in G1 phase. Eighty-nine per cent of total Whi5 in this experiment is synthesized in S/G2/M. c, Control for the effect of Whi5 localization on concentration measurements. Rapid relocalization of Whi5 at Start (c), and just before cytokinesis (d), does not affect concentration measurements. c, Mean relative change of cellular Whi5 concentration during G1 aligned by Start (50% nuclear Whi5 exit as determined from a logistic fit to the nuclear signal) and s.e.m. are shown in blue. The corresponding nuclear Whi5 signal is shown in black (mean and s.e.m.; nuclear signal measured and nucleus segmented as described in ref. 31); $n = 320$. d, Mean relative change of cellular Whi5 amount during S/G2/M in mother-bud pairs aligned by the time point of 50% Whi5 entry into the nucleus (as determined from a logistic fit to the nuclear signal) and s.e.m. are shown in blue. The corresponding nuclear Whi5 signal is shown in black (mean and s.e.m.; nuclear signal measured and nucleus segmented as described in ref. 31); $n = 133$. Cells express Whi5–mCitrine from the endogenous locus. Cells were grown on synthetic complete 2% glycerol, 1% ethanol.
Extended Data Figure 4 | Whi5 concentration and synthesis rate. Single-cell data corresponding to Fig. 2d, f. a, Whi5 concentration at cell birth is shown as a function of cell size for individual haploid (n = 339) and diploid (n = 385) cells. b, The rate of Whi5 synthesis as a function of cell size for each genotype as indicated. c, The rate of Whi5 synthesis as a function of cell size for bck2Δ strains expressing one, two, or four copies of WHI5–mCitrine (n = 353, 129 and 66, respectively). Bars denote means and s.e.m. d, Cell size distributions measured using a Coulter counter for the indicated strains. Cells were grown on synthetic complete 2% glycerol 1% ethanol.
Extended Data Figure 5 | Whi5 and Cln3-11A stability. a, Whi5–mCherry was expressed from a hormone-inducible promoter (LexApr–WHI5–mCherry), which was inactivated before the experiment (see Fig. 4d, e). The mean amount of Whi5–mCherry in G1-phase daughter cells was measured for each cell relative to its amount at \( t = 0 \). The distance between the black arrows indicates the s.e.m. We estimated a half-life >6 h for cells grown in synthetic complete 2% glucose. b, c, mCitrine–Cln3-11A was expressed from a MET25 promoter for (b) 1 h on SC-Met 2% glucose or (c) 4.5 h on SC-Met 2% glycerol 1% ethanol. Next, transcription was inactivated by switching cells to media composed of either (b) synthetic complete + 10× methionine 2% glucose or (c) synthetic complete + 10× methionine 2% glycerol 1% ethanol. So that the cells had sufficient time to inactivate protein synthesis, we began our protein half-life measurement 21 min (33 min for synthetic complete 2% glycerol 1% ethanol) after methionine addition. Data and exponential fit shown for daughter cells in G1 phase. Black line indicates means; grey area indicates s.e.m. The short half-life of Cln3-11A relative to the doubling time of cell volume, together with the constant concentration of Cln3-11A through G1 (Fig. 1–2), implies that Cln3-11A synthesis is proportional to cell volume. To see this, consider the time-dependent equation for changes in Cln3 concentration:

\[
\frac{d[Cln3]}{dt} = \frac{r}{V} - [Cln3] \times (d + g),
\]

where \( r \) is the rate of Cln3 protein synthesis (units of molecules \( \times \) time\(^{-1} \)), \( V \) is the cell volume, \( d \) is the degradation rate of Cln3 (units of time\(^{-1} \)), and \( g \) is the rate of dilution of Cln3 due to cell growth (units of time\(^{-1} \)). Since \([Cln3]\) is constant, the left hand side = 0. Also, the half-life of Cln3-11A is larger than that of Cln3, but much smaller than the time it takes to double the cell volume (\( \sim 90 \) min on SCD, \( \sim 180 \) min on synthetic complete 2% glycerol 1% ethanol), so that \( d \ll g \). Thus, the equation simplifies to:

\[
0 = \frac{r}{V} - [Cln3] \times d
\]

so that the rate of Cln3 synthesis is proportional to cell volume, \( r = V \times d \times [Cln3] \). This is consistent with our estimates of Cln3-11A synthesis rates shown in Fig. 2g.
Extended Data Figure 6 | Linking Whi5 partitioning and synthesis to concentration at birth.  

**a, b**, Daughter cells begin G1 with 1.49 ± 0.03-fold higher concentration of Whi5 than their mother cells. Shown is the ratio of Whi5–mCitrine concentrations (**a**) and amount (**b**) for daughter–mother pairs at the beginning of G1 phase.  

**c**, The duration of S/G2/M exhibits small, but significant size-dependence (**P** < 0.01).  

**d**, The total Whi5 concentration in first-generation mother cells just before cytokinesis decreases as a function of cell size.  

**e**, The size of daughter cells is correlated with the size of their mothers at the time of bud emergence. Cells were grown on synthetic complete 2% glycerol 1% ethanol; *n* = 151. Points denote single-cell data. Bars denote mean values and s.e.m.
Extended Data Figure 7 | Size control and Whi5 synthesis in hcm1Δ cells.

a, Cell size distributions of WT (blue solid line) and hcm1Δ (red dashed line) cells, both carrying a WHI5–mCitrine allele, were measured in a Coulter counter. b, Size at Start as a function of birth size is shown for WT (n = 339) and hcm1Δ (n = 262) daughter cells. Bars denote mean and s.e.m. Note that small hcm1Δ cells exhibit poor size control (leftmost bin). c, Change in cellular Whi5 concentration during G1 for daughter cells. Cells are born at t = 0 and the change in concentration is shown with s.e.m. Blue denotes WT (see also Fig. 1f), red denotes hcm1Δ cells. d, Whi5 concentration at cell birth is shown as a function of cell size for WT (n = 339) and hcm1Δ (n = 284) daughter cells. e, The rate of Whi5 synthesis as a function of cell size is shown for WT (n = 151) and hcm1Δ (n = 106) cells. Bars denote mean values and s.e.m. Squares and circles denote single-cell data. f, The rate at which daughter cells progress through Start is shown as a function of Whi5–mCitrine concentration for WT (blue, n = 334) and hcm1Δ (red, n = 262) cells. Smooth lines are logistic regressions and the corresponding shaded areas denote 95% confidence intervals. Jagged lines connect means for binned data. Cells were grown on synthetic complete 2% glycerol 1% ethanol.
Extended Data Figure 8 | Data supporting Cln3-11A-pulse experiments shown in Fig. 4. a, Composite phase and fluorescence images of bck2Δ MET25pr–mCitrine–CLN3-11A haploid cells used in the pulse experiment shown in Fig. 4a–c. Cells were grown in the absence of methionine (MET25pr–mCitrine–CLN3-11A on). After 150 min (see image), cells were arrested in G1 by addition of 10× methionine to the SCD-Met medium. After variable lengths of arrest (3 h for the images shown here; see second image), a pulse of Cln3-11A was expressed by removal of methionine from the medium (1 h pulse for the experiment shown here: see third image). b, For daughter cells born during the experiment, we determined the maximum Cln3-11A concentration during the pulse, the corresponding cell volume, and whether the cell budded. Data from 22 different experiments were pooled. c, Cells were binned according to their size. For each 50 fl size bin, we used a logistic regression to calculate budding probability as a function of Cln3-11A peak concentration. d, For each size bin, the critical Cln3-11A concentration was determined as the amplitude of the pulse where 50% of the cells budded. A similar set of experiments was done for diploid cells; n = 1195 for haploids, and n = 405 for diploids. e, The bck2Δ MET25pr–CLN3-11A WHI5–mCitrine cells were arrested in G1 by addition of 10× methionine to the SCD-Met medium. Cells were tracked during the G1 arrest and the median Whi5–mCitrine concentration was measured as a function of size; n = 162 for haploids, and n = 148 for diploids. f, Single-cell data corresponding to Fig. 4e. MET25pr–mCitrine–CLN3-11A LexApr–WHI5–mCherry bck2Δ haploid cells were used for Cln3-11A pulse experiments to decouple cell size and Whi5 concentration. Maximum Cln3-11A concentration, corresponding cell size and Whi5 concentration, and whether or not the cell budded were determined in 18 independent experiments for a total of 471 daughter cells (see Methods). This generated a four-dimensional data set that we used build a logistic regression model. In this model, we predicted cell cycle entry (budding) using a linear combination of cell size, Whi5, and Cln3-11A. This resulted in a model based solely on Cln3-11A and Whi5. Thus, once Cln3-11A and Whi5 concentrations are measured, cell size yields no additional information. To visualize this result in Fig. 4e, we binned our data into six bins based on cell size (greater or less than 295 fl) and Whi5 concentration (<10, 10–25, and 25–40 arbitrary units). For each of these six bins, we performed a logistic regression to estimate the probability of entering the cell cycle as a function of the peak mCitrine–Cln3-11A concentration produced by the pulse.
Extended Data Figure 9 | Ploidy increases S/G2/M duration and cell size at birth.  

a, Histogram showing the duration of S/G2/M for haploid cells containing an extra copy of WHI5 (blue, n = 220) and WT diploid cells (red, n = 176). b, The size of daughter cells is shown as a function of the size of their mothers at the time of bud emergence. At a given mother size, diploid cells produce larger daughter cells. Cells were grown on synthetic complete 2% glycerol 1% ethanol. Bars denote means and s.e.m.
Extended Data Figure 10 | Photobleaching control and size-dependent background subtraction.  

a, The concentration of Whi5–mCitrine decreases during G1, as shown in Fig. 1f. Increasing the time between frames from 3 min (n = 339) to 10 min (n = 75) did not significantly affect our concentration measurements, indicating that photobleaching of the mCitrine fluorescent protein was not significant in our experiments.  

b, Auto-fluorescent signal in the mCitrine channel during G1 arrest for an unlabelled strain (bck2Δ MET25pr-CLN3) in two independent experiments (blue: n = 79; green: n = 89). Cells were grown on SCD+10× methionine. Bars denote mean and s.e.m. for each size bin. The average of these two experiments was used for background subtraction in Fig. 4. A similar size-dependent background subtraction was performed for each experimental condition and for the mCherry red fluorescent channel.  

c, Cell-to-cell variation in background-subtracted auto-fluorescence concentration measured in an unlabelled cell. One of the experiments with the unlabelled WT strain used to determine the auto-fluorescence signal for the experiments shown in Fig. 1–3 is analysed the same way as experiments shown in Fig. 2a, b (n = 164). This illustrates cell-to-cell variation in auto-fluorescence. Owing to experiment-to-experiment variation, a single control experiment with an unlabelled strain will typically result in a mean ‘concentration’ of ±5 arbitrary units (compared with the average autofluorescence used for analysis), while cell-to-cell variability in autofluorescence within one experiment exhibits a standard deviation of ~10 arbitrary units. Note that the arbitrary units in a and b are not comparable, because different settings were used to export the microscopy data for the pulse experiments shown in Fig. 4.