Efficacy and safety of trimodulin, a novel polyclonal antibody preparation, in patients with severe community-acquired pneumonia: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, multicenter, phase II trial (CIGMA study)
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Abstract

Purpose: The CIGMA study investigated a novel human polyclonal antibody preparation (trimodulin) containing ~23% immunoglobulin (Ig) M, ~21% IgA, and ~56% IgG as add-on therapy for patients with severe community-acquired pneumonia (sCAP).

Methods: In this double-blind, phase II study (NCT01420744), 160 patients with sCAP requiring invasive mechanical ventilation were randomized (1:1) to trimodulin (42 mg IgM/kg/day) or placebo for five consecutive days. Primary endpoint was ventilator-free days (VFDs). Secondary endpoints included 28-day all-cause and pneumonia-related mortality. Safety and tolerability were monitored. Exploratory post hoc analyses were performed in subsets stratified by baseline C-reactive protein (CRP; ≥70 mg/L) and/or IgM (<0.8 g/L).

Results: Overall, there was no statistically significant difference in VFDs between trimodulin (mean 11.0, median 11 [n = 81]) and placebo (mean 9.6; median 8 [n = 79]; p = 0.173). Twenty-eight-day all-cause mortality was 22.2% vs. 27.8%, respectively (p = 0.465). Time to discharge from intensive care unit and mean duration of hospitalization were comparable between groups. Adverse-event incidences were comparable. Post hoc subset analyses, which included...
Introduction
Community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) has an incidence of ~1.2 per 1000 persons per year in Europe, varying with region, age, and comorbidities [1]. Up to 21% of hospitalized patients with CAP are admitted to intensive care units (ICUs), thus impacting healthcare costs and clinical outcomes [2]. Severe CAP (sCAP), usually defined as CAP that requires treatment in an ICU, is associated with high mortality of up to 58% [3].

Antibiotic therapy with supportive care alone has limited success in sCAP; hence, targeting inflammatory responses may improve patient outcomes. This approach is supported by the observation that a systemic and complex cytokine response (interleukin-6 and -10) is linked to greater mortality in patients with CAP; irrespective of the presence of sepsis [4]. Further, therapeutic response and treatment outcomes in acute respiratory distress syndrome may be worse in patients with a hyperinflammatory disease phenotype [5]. A recombinant tissue factor pathway inhibitor, activated protein C, corticosteroids, intravenous immunoglobulins (Igs), and intravenous IgM-enriched Igs have been investigated for their potential as add-on therapies targeted at the immune response in patients with sCAP [6–9].

IgM plays a critical role in the immediate defense against severe bacterial infection. Reduced IgM levels have been observed in patients with CAP, sepsis, and severe pandemic influenza; consequently, interest in add-on therapy with IgM-enriched preparations has increased [10–12]. The evidence for IgM-enriched Ig preparations in patients with severe sepsis and septic shock is incompletely understood, although systematic reviews have generally concluded that IgM-enriched Ig preparations are associated with a reduction in mortality [6, 7]. Relevant mechanisms of action include opsonization of causal pathogens, neutralization of microbial pathogens and virulence factors, and modulation of the inflammatory response [13–15].

Trimodulin is a novel human plasma-derived native polyclonal antibody preparation for intravenous administration [16, 17]. Trimodulin contains IgM (~23%), IgA (~21%), and IgG (~56%).

Methods
Study design and patient population
The CIGMA study (NCT01420744 [18, 19]), a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, multicenter, parallel-group, phase II trial, was conducted in hospitals in Germany, Spain, and the UK. The study was conducted in accordance with the International Council for Harmonisation, Good Clinical Practice standards, and the Declaration of Helsinki, and with local institutional review board/independent ethics committee approval. All patients (or their representatives) provided written informed consent.

Patients ≥18 years of age with sCAP (diagnosed clinically and radiologically), requiring IMV and receiving standard antibiotics, were enrolled. Patients with suspected hospital-acquired pneumonia; severe lung diseases interfering with sCAP therapy (e.g., cystic fibrosis); life expectancy of ≤28 days due to medical conditions not related to sCAP or to sCAP-associated sepsis; selective, absolute IgA deficiency with antibodies to IgA, neutrophil count <1000/mm³; or platelet count <50,000/mm³ were excluded. Study-specific guidance on IMV, weaning procedures based on the concept of lung-protective ventilation, and on antibiotic treatment according to the Infectious Diseases Society of America/American Thoracic Society guidelines [20] was provided.

Take-home message
In mechanically ventilated patients with severe community-acquired pneumonia, add-on therapy with trimodulin, a novel polyclonal antibody preparation, did not statistically significantly increase ventilator-free days (primary endpoint) vs. placebo. Exploratory post hoc analyses suggest reductions in mortality for trimodulin compared with placebo in subsets of patients with elevated C-reactive protein levels and/or reduced immunoglobulin M levels, and warrant investigation in a phase III study with a targeted patient population.

The CIGMA (Concentrated IgM for Application) study reported here aimed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of trimodulin as an add-on therapy in patients with sCAP requiring invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV).
Thoracic Society consensus guidelines on the management of CAP in adults was provided to minimize potential differences among sites and countries [18, 20].

Eligible patients were randomized 1:1 to receive either trimodulin (5% protein solution; BT086; Biotest AG, Dreieich, Germany) or an equal volume of human albumin as placebo (1% protein solution), both as intravenous infusion. Dosing of trimodulin based on IgM content (42 mg IgM/kg body weight) or placebo was once daily for five consecutive days. Infusion was initiated ≥1 and ≤12 h following commencement of IMV at a rate of 0.1 mL/min, increasing by 0.1 mL every 10 min to a maximum of 0.5 mL/min (target infusion rate).

Patients were followed until day 28 or hospital discharge, whichever occurred first (with an additional safety follow-up visit at day 43 in the UK). Mortality was followed up until day 28 in discharged patients.

Patients were assigned a unique randomization number generated by Accovion GmbH (Eschborn, Germany; now Clinipace Worldwide, Morrisville, North Carolina, USA) using Rando® and recorded by the investigator. Randomization numbers were grouped in blocks of four to facilitate equal distribution into the two groups. Blinding was maintained by a similar appearance of placebo to trimodulin, and vials were covered with transparent colored foil.

Pathogen detection was performed according to local standards, with sputum and blood cultures being the most frequently analyzed, followed by smear and bronchoalveolar lavage.

**Efficacy assessments**

The primary endpoint was ventilator-free days (VFDs), defined as the number of days between extubation from IMV to day 28 after enrollment. VFDs were set to “0” if the patient died before day 28 or required IMV for ≥28 days [21].

Secondary endpoints included 28-day all-cause mortality, 28-day investigator-determined pneumonia-related mortality, time from admission to discharge from the ICU, time from hospital admission to discharge, change in Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score (measured on days 1 and 28), and days free from inotrope/vasopressor (dobutamine, epinephrine, dopamine, or norepinephrine).

**Safety**

Safety was assessed throughout the trial, with events classified using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) version 17.1. Treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs; defined as an adverse event [AE] that occurred from the time of first dose of study medication until the final follow-up visit, independent of relation to study drug), infusion-related reactions (defined as TEAEs that occurred during or within 24 h after infusion of study medication), vital signs, electrocardiograms, and laboratory parameters including clinical chemistry, hematology, and coagulation were recorded. Renal function was monitored through serum creatinine. Estimated glomerular filtration rate was calculated post hoc using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation considering serum creatinine, age, gender, and race [22]. An independent data and safety monitoring board (DSMB) closely monitored the first six sequentially enrolled patients. The DSMB also reviewed unblinded safety data of patients during the course of the study.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical methods, unless otherwise specified, were prespecified in the study protocol.

A group-sequential adaptive design was chosen that allowed modifications, such as sample size re-estimation or planning of further analyses based on interim results. After the first of two interim analyses, the sample size was adjusted to 160 patients [18].

VFDs were evaluated using a one-sided Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test with an α level of 0.025. Twenty-eight-day all-cause mortality was evaluated using the Kaplan–Meier method and analyzed using a Mantel–Haenszel test. The study was powered to detect a difference of two VFDs between the treatment arms.

Efficacy analyses were performed in the intent-to-treat set, which included all randomized patients who received at least one dose of study drug and at least one efficacy assessment, assigned as randomized. Safety analyses were performed using the safety set, which comprised all patients who received at least one dose of study drug according to medication received, regardless of randomization.

Exploratory post hoc analyses were performed to identify patient subsets that may benefit most from trimodulin treatment. Subsets with a high mortality delta (defined as the difference between the percentage of patients who received trimodulin and died, and the percentage of patients who received placebo and died) were considered for further analyses (if the subsets were of sufficient size). Markers were selected taking into account the possible targeting of the inflammatory response or immunomodulatory capacity of trimodulin [23]. C-reactive protein (CRP) and IgM cutoff values were chosen to identify maximal differences between the trimodulin and placebo groups (Supplementary Figs. S1 and S2). Four subsets were identified for use in the post hoc analyses: patients with baseline levels of ≥70 mg/L CRP (high CRP), ≤0.8 g/L IgM (low IgM), CRP ≥70 mg/L and ≤0.8 g/L IgM (combined high CRP/low IgM), and CRP ≤70 mg/L and ≥0.8 g/L IgM (combined low CRP/high IgM).
and ≥ 2 ng/mL procalcitonin (high procalcitonin [PCT]). For statistical methods and sample size calculation, refer to the Supplementary Methods.

Results
A total of 161 eligible patients were enrolled (from 24/41 sites [Germany, 10; Spain, 10; and UK, 4]) between October 4, 2011 and February 25, 2015; 160 patients were randomized (81 trimodulin; 79 placebo) and received at least one dose of study medication in addition to antibiotic treatment. Patient disposition is shown in Fig. 1.

No significant differences in patient demographics and other baseline characteristics (Table 1) were identified between the treatment groups except for higher PCT levels and higher Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II values in the placebo group. These higher levels of PCT at baseline were partially due to outliers (median 8.7, interquartile range [IQR] 1.9–30.6 ng/mL). Common comorbidities included hypertension, cardiac disorders, renal/urinary disorders, and nervous system disorders in both groups.

About 50% of patients had positive diagnosis by means of microbiological testing (Supplementary Table S1). The most frequent pathogens identified in both groups were species of Streptococci and Staphylococci, and approximately 20% of patients were diagnosed with viral pneumonia.

Antibiotic treatment and use of systemic corticosteroids were comparable between the treatment groups (Supplementary Tables S2 and S3). The majority of patients were treated according to the recommendations of the Infectious Diseases Society of America/American Thoracic Society consensus guidelines on the management of CAP in adults and received antibiotic combination therapy (β-lactams + macrolides or β-lactams + fluoroquinolones). No significant differences in time to ICU admission were identified between treatment groups or study centers (data not shown).

Overall, the difference in the mean number of VFDs (primary endpoint) between the trimodulin and placebo groups was 1.4 days in favor of trimodulin, which was not statistically significant (Table 2).

There was an absolute reduction in 28-day all-cause mortality of 5.6% (Table 2 and Fig. 2) and a relative reduction in mortality of 20.1% in the trimodulin group versus placebo. For pneumonia-related mortality, the absolute reduction was 6.5% (Table 2) and the relative reduction was 51.2%. Time to discharge from ICU to the ward, mean duration of hospitalization, and vasopressor-free days were not significantly different (Table 2).

TEAE incidences (including serious AEs [SAEs]), overall and throughout the majority of System Organ Classes (SOCs), were comparable between the trimodulin and placebo groups. Differences considered relevant for the trimodulin group were observed in the SOCs “renal and urinary disorders” and “hepatobiliary disorders”; these mainly resulted from “acute renal failure” (reported in 17 [21%] individuals in the trimodulin group and 8 [10.1%] in the placebo group) and “cholestasis” (reported by 8 [9.9%] and 2 [2.5%] individuals in the trimodulin and
placebo groups, respectively). There were significantly more TEAEs in the SOC “infections and infestations” reported in the placebo group ($p = 0.004$; Table 3).

Exploratory post hoc analyses were performed to identify patient subsets that may benefit most from trimodulin treatment (Supplementary Figs. S1 and S2). Cutoff values were set to values with maximum mortality difference between the treatment groups. Only groups representing the majority of the study population are reported here. The post hoc subsets presented included 124 (77.5%) patients with baseline levels of high CRP, 111 (69.4%) patients with low IgM, 92 (57.5%) patients with combined high CRP and low IgM, and 94 (58.8%) patients with high PCT.

In general, no significant differences in patient demographics and other baseline characteristics were identified between the patient subsets of the treatment groups (Supplementary Tables S4–7) with the following exceptions: lower SOFA scores and PCT values in the trimodulin group (CRP and PCT subsets), lower APACHE II scores and PCT levels in the IgM subset, and lower levels of PCT in the trimodulin group (CRP/IgM subset). Adjustment for the difference in PCT values was performed by exclusion of PCT outliers.

### Table 1 Demographics and other baseline characteristics in all patients

| Characteristic | Trimodulin ($n=81$) | Placebo ($n=79$) | $p$ value* |
|----------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Sex, $n$ (%)   |                      |                  |           |
| Male           | 56 (69.1)            | 57 (72.2)        | 0.675     |
| Female         | 25 (30.9)            | 22 (27.8)        |           |
| Age (years)    | Mean (SD)            | Mean (SD)        |           |
|                | 63.7 (14.5)          | 66 (13.3)        | 0.435     |
| BMI (kg/m²)    | 26.5 (5.0)           | 25.7 (2.3–29.6)  | 0.410     |
| APACHE II      | 23.1 (8.1)           | 23 (18–29)       | 0.036     |
| SOFA           | 9.7 (3.8)            | 11 (7–12)        | 0.071     |
| CURB-65        | 2 (1.1)              | 3 (2–4)          | 0.637     |
| PaO₂/FiO₂      | 134.7 (72.3)         | 110.0 (72.3)     | 0.937     |
| IgM (g/L)      | 0.8 (0.79)           | 0.6 (0.4–0.9)    | 0.186     |
| IgG (g/L)      | 6.8 (2.73)           | 6.7 (5.0–8.9)    | 0.530     |
| IgA (g/L)      | 2.3 (1.14)           | 2.1 (1.4–3.1)    | 0.322     |
| CRP (mg/L)     | 220 (130.8)          | 217 (121.5–325.3)| 0.723     |
| PCT (ng/mL)    | 8.3 (12.9)           | 2.3 (0.7–10.4)   | 0.0004    |

### Medical history, $n$ (%)

| Cardiac disorders | 39 (48.1) | 41 (51.9) | 0.752 |
| Hypertension      | 42 (51.9) | 42 (53.2) | 0.876 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 15 (18.5) | 6 (7.6)   | 0.060 |
| Nervous system disorders | 21 (25.9) | 22 (27.8) | 0.859 |
| Neoplasm          | 22 (27.2) | 17 (21.5) | 0.463 |
| Renal and urinary disorders | 41 (50.6) | 40 (50.6) | 1.000 |
| Sepsis            | 13 (16.0) | 10 (12.7) | 0.654 |
| Septic shock      | 35 (43.2) | 44 (55.7) | 0.154 |
| Hepatic cirrhosis | 5 (6.2)   | 0 (0.0)   | 0.059 |

### Medication at baseline, $n$ (%)

| Corticosteroids for systemic use | 24 (29.6) | 21 (26.6) | 0.375 |
| Antivirals for systemic use     | 11 (13.6) | 12 (15.2) | 0.824 |

| Data not available for 8 patients; 42 patients; 5 patients; 11 patients; Baseline data substituted by day 1 data in 5 patients because of missing values. Data not available for 4 patients; Baseline data substituted by day 1 data in 10 patients because of missing values. Data not available for 15 patients; System Organ Class; Preferred Term |

Data are $n$ (%), mean (SD), or median (IQR, 25th–75th percentile)

APACHE II Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; BMI body mass index; CRP C-reactive protein; CURB-65 Confusion, elevated blood Urea nitrogen, Respiratory rate, Blood pressure, 65 years of age and older; IgM, IgG, IgA immunoglobulin M, G, and A; IQR interquartile range; PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio of arterial oxygen partial pressure to fractional inspired oxygen; PCT procalcitonin; SD standard deviation; SOFA Sequential Organ Failure Assessment score

*p values were calculated post hoc by Chi-square test for sex, two-sided t test for age, BMI, PaO₂/FiO₂, IgM/A/G, CRP, and PCT; by two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test for APACHE II, SOFA, and CURB-65; or by Fisher exact test for all medical history outcomes and concomitant medication
remaining patients had comparable PCT values and still showed substantial mortality difference between the treatment arms. In summary, the difference in PCT values does not explain the observed mortality difference in the subsets.

The differences in mean VFDs for trimodulin versus placebo were 2.9, 3.5, 3.9, and 3.4 days in the high CRP, low IgM, combined high CRP/low IgM, and high PCT subsets, respectively (Table 4). Importantly, 28-day all-cause mortality was substantially reduced in the trimodulin group versus placebo in each subset (absolute reductions of 16.7%, 16.6%, 24.8%, and 8.1%, respectively), with a reduction in absolute mortality of up to 24.8% ($p=0.006$) in the combined high CRP/low IgM subset (68.0% relative reduction; Fig. 3). Kaplan–Meier analyses for the high CRP, low IgM, and combined subsets are presented in Supplementary Figs. S3–5. Data on 28-day all-cause mortality for all patient groups analyzed, including those that did not represent the majority of the study population, are reported in Supplementary Table S8.

**Table 2 Overview of primary and secondary efficacy endpoints in trimodulin and placebo treatment groups in all patients**

| Endpoint | Trimodulin | Placebo | $p$ value |
|----------|------------|---------|-----------|
| | Mean (SD) | Median (IQR) | Mean (SD) | Median (IQR) |
| VFDs | n = 81 | n = 79 | | |
| | 11.0 (9.5) | 11.0 (0–20) | 9.6 (9.4) | 8.0 (0–19) | 0.173 * |
| Duration of mechanical ventilation (days)* | n = 81 | n = 79 | | |
| | 12.8 (8.5) | 10.0 (6–19) | 13.8 (8.6) | 11.0 (7–21) | Not calculated |
| VFDs in surviving patients | n = 63 | n = 57 | | |
| | 14.2 (8.4) | 18.0 (8–21) | 13.3 (8.5) | 16.0 (4–20) | 0.271 † |
| Vasopressor-free days | n = 81 | n = 79 | | |
| | 13.0 (8.9) | 14.0 (6–20) | 13.7 (8.4) | 15.0 (8–20) | 0.639 † |
| Discharge time from ICU (days) | n = 81 | n = 79 | | |
| | 13.4 (5.9) | 11.0 (9–17) | 14.4 (5.8) | 13.0 (10–18) | 0.161 † |
| Discharge time from hospital (days) | n = 81 | n = 79 | | |
| | 19.5 (5.5) | 20.0 (15–24) | 19.0 (4.9) | 19.0 (15–23) | 0.479 |
| 28-day all-cause mortality, n (%) | 18/81 (22.2) | 22/79 (27.8) | 0.465 † |
| 28-day pneumonia-related mortality, n (%) | 5/81 (6.2) | 10/79 (12.7) | Not calculated |
| Change in SOFA score from day 1 to 7 | | | | |
| | −4.8 (7.5) | −7.0 (−10–0) | −5.4 (8.5) | −7.0 (−10 to 3.0) | Not calculated |

* Mortality is not considered for duration of mechanical ventilation; †34 patients were missing in the trimodulin group and 38 in the placebo group

ICU intensive care unit, IQR interquartile range, SD standard deviation, SOFA Sequential Organ Failure Assessment, VFD ventilator-free day

Data are n (%), mean (SD) and median (IQR, 25th–75th percentile)

*One-sided Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test; †Wilcoxon test; ‡Mantel–Haenszel test

**Discussion**

The CIGMA study was designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of a novel therapeutic polyclonal antibody preparation, trimodulin, in severely ill patients with sCAP.

Although the difference observed in the primary endpoint of VFDs, with a mean increase of 1.4 days and median increase of 3 days in favor of trimodulin, was not significant, this difference may be considered clinically relevant. According to the clinical study protocol, the study was powered to detect a mean difference of 2 days between treatment groups. Further, although the study was not powered for mortality, and the reductions in 28-day all-cause and pneumonia-related mortality were
not significant with add-on trimodulin compared with placebo, the relative reductions of 20% in all-cause mortality and 51% in pneumonia-related mortality in trimodulin-treated patients warrant further investigation.

In the CIGMA study, IgM levels were low in the majority of patients compared with the normal range. IgM levels range from 0.4 to 2.5 g/L [24]. Historical data suggest that low IgA and IgG levels are associated with a greater risk of mortality in CAP [25], and that low IgM concentrations in patients with sepsis or viral infections are related to a negative outcome [11, 12, 26]. Moreover, high CRP and PCT levels may be prognostic of poor outcomes in CAP [27, 28]. In our subgroup analysis, the cutoffs for levels of CRP (≥ 70 mg/L) and PCT (>2 ng/mL) are comparable to historically published values, and high levels of each may generally be considered to indicate systemic and severe infections [29, 30]. Torres et al. showed that methylprednisolone reduced treatment failure compared with placebo in 120 patients with sCAP and a high systemic inflammatory response (CRP > 150 mg/L); in-hospital mortality, however, was similar [9].

In the post hoc analyses of the CIGMA study reported here, absolute mortality reduction was 16.7% for the high CRP subset, 16.6% for the low IgM subset, and 24.8% for the combined high CRP/low IgM subset; the relative reduction in mortality was 54–68% in favor of trimodulin.

The definition of pneumonia-related death or comorbidity was challenging in this complex patient population and required a subjective assessment of each case by the investigator. Further, comorbidities at study entry may particularly influence outcome in elderly patients—the relatively high all-cause mortality in trimodulin-treated patients > 65 years of age compared with patients 40–65 years of age may be associated with comorbidities rather than with an underlying infection (data not shown). Indeed, many patients who died did not have elevated CRP (data not shown). Generally, diagnosis of CAP is complex and may result in misdiagnosis, and inflammation parameters, such as CRP, have been investigated to support diagnosis [31]. In a future clinical trial with trimodulin in sCAP, CRP could help to support the

| Table 3 Overview of treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs; safety analysis set) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                 | Trimodulin (n = 81) | Placebo (n = 79) | p value* |
| Overall incidence               | 75 (92.6)         | 75 (94.9)       | 0.746    |
| TEAEs with onset during infusion and up to 24 h after infusion end | 67 (82.7)         | 67 (84.8)       | 0.831    |
| Serious TEAEs                   | 62 (76.5)         | 62 (78.5)       | 0.850    |
| TEAEs with fatal outcomea       | 20 (24.7)         | 23 (29.1)       | 0.594    |
| Discontinuation due to TEAEs    | 2 (2.5)           | 1 (1.3)         | 1.000    |

| TEAEs by System Organ Class (≥ 5% of patients) |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Blood and lymphatic system disorders          | 33 (40.7)         | 34 (43.0)       | 0.873    |
| Cardiac disorders                             | 31 (38.3)         | 27 (34.2)       | 0.624    |
| Vascular disorders                            | 30 (37.0)         | 30 (38.0)       | 1.000    |
| Metabolism and nutrition disorders            | 29 (35.8)         | 29 (36.7)       | 1.000    |
| Respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders | 29 (35.8)         | 40 (50.6)       | 0.079    |
| Gastrointestinal disorders                    | 27 (33.3)         | 36 (45.6)       | 0.145    |
| Infections and infestations                   | 27 (33.3)         | 45 (57.0)       | 0.004    |
| General disorders and administration-site conditions | 22 (27.2)         | 31 (39.2)       | 0.131    |
| Renal and urinary disorders                   | 20 (24.7)         | 12 (15.2)       | 0.167    |
| Psychiatric disorders                         | 18 (22.2)         | 23 (29.1)       | 0.367    |
| Investigations                                | 15 (18.5)         | 13 (16.5)       | 0.836    |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders        | 14 (17.3)         | 14 (17.7)       | 1.000    |
| Nervous system disordersb                     | 13 (16.0)         | 8 (10.1)        | 0.350    |
| Hepatobiliary disorders                       | 12 (14.8)         | 4 (5.1)         | 0.063    |
| Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders | 9 (11.1)          | 11 (13.9)       | 0.639    |
| Injury, poisoning, and connective tissue disorders | 8 (9.9)           | 8 (10.1)        | 1.000    |

Data are n (%)

There were no adverse drug reactions leading to death in either treatment group

* Safety set includes patients with TEAE onset before day 28, but who died due to TEAE after day 28

* All events were reported for single patients only, except for critical illness polyneuropathy and vocal cord paralysis, each reported for 2 (2.5%) patients in the trimodulin group, and headache, which was reported for 3 (3.7%) patients in the trimodulin group

p values were calculated post hoc by Fisher exact test
diagnosis and differentiate sCAP from other conditions (by excluding patients with similar symptoms who have other underlying diseases), thereby enrolling a more targeted study population.

In the present study, incidences of TEAEs (including SAEs) were comparable between both treatment groups. Most patients had multiple comorbidities. SOCs with TEAEs reported more frequently in the trimodulin group.

### Table 4 VFDs in trimodulin and placebo groups in patient subsets

| Patient subset | Trimodulin VFD mean (95% CI) | Placebo VFD mean (95% CI) | p value* |
|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| CRP ≥ 70 mg/L  | n = 65                        | 12.1 (9.8–14.4)            | n = 59   |
| IgM ≤ 0.8 g/L  | n = 56                        | 12.4 (10.0–14.9)           | n = 55   | 0.044 |
| CRP ≥ 70 mg/L and IgM ≤ 0.8 g/L | n = 51                     | 12.6 (10.1–15.2)           | n = 41   | 0.031 |
| PCT ≥ 2 ng/mL  | n = 43                        | 11.2 (8.2–14.1)            | n = 51   | 0.047 |

Data are mean ± 95% confidence interval (CI)
CRP, IgM, and PCT were measured at baseline
CI confidence interval, CRP C-reactive protein, IgM immunoglobulin M, PCT procalcitonin, VFD ventilator-free day
*One-sided p values based on Wilcoxon rank sum test with continuity correction (0.5); significance level 0.025

**Fig. 3** Twenty-eight-day all-cause mortality in trimodulin and placebo treatment groups in all patients and in patient subsets. Mortality was assessed in all patients and in stratified patient populations based on baseline levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) ≥ 70 mg/L, immunoglobulin (IgM) ≤ 0.8 g/L, or both criteria combined, or procalcitonin (PCT) ≥ 2.0 ng/mL. Actual numbers of deaths are given at the bottom of each column.
were “renal and urinary disorders” and “hepatobiliary disorders” mainly resulting from patients experiencing “acute renal failure” (21% patients in the trimodulin group vs. 10.1% in the placebo group) and non-serious “cholestasis” (9.9% vs. 2.5%, respectively). Acute renal failure is a common comorbidity in sCAP [3], and is an established potential risk during conventional intravenous IgG treatment [32]. All cases of acute renal failure in the current study occurred in patients with pre-existing renal impairment and in patients at high risk for renal failure. Notably, the incidence of renal TEAEs in the trimodulin group (21%) was lower than would be expected in patients with sCAP, in whom the incidence of acute kidney injury has been reported to be up to 38% (10/26) [33].

Confounding factors were identified in all patients with cholestasis, including parallel intake/infusion of multiple drugs with possible effects on the liver, and/or confounding medical history. All adverse drug reactions of cholestasis were reported from one study center, so the possibility of a treatment interaction with concomitant medication should be considered.

Targeting the immune response with novel therapies such as trimodulin may provide additional protection against secondary infections and reduce mortality due to infection-related TEAEs such as sepsis. In this study, the incidence of TEAEs of all types of infection was significantly lower with trimodulin add-on treatment than with placebo, suggesting an additional protective effect against infection.

All of the above TEAEs are considered to be controllable under ICU conditions and close monitoring. Despite the failed primary endpoint, but given the trend in reduction of mortality further solidified by post hoc analyses, the risk profile of trimodulin is considered favorable for further clinical investigations.

Limitations of this study were that biomarkers and cutoff values used in the post hoc analyses were not prespecified in the study protocol. In addition, for the stratification of the patients into subsets, only baseline PCT values were used: data from serial measurements were not considered. There was a significant difference in baseline PCT values, with higher mean values in the placebo group. Further statistical tests were performed to adjust for this difference (data not shown). However, the difference in baseline PCT values does not explain the observed mortality differences between the treatment arms in the patient subsets. In future clinical trials with trimodulin, serial PCT measurements will be considered, as lack of reduction in PCT levels may better predict treatment failure and mortality than absolute values. Additionally, differences in severity of illness (APACHE II and SOFA score) were observed in this study in favor of the control group. However, these differences were not significant in the high CRP/low IgM subset, which showed the highest survival benefit for trimodulin. Finally, although patients received antibiotics on the day of randomization, the exact time point of treatment initiation was not recorded.

Conclusions

The phase II CIGMA study reported here did not meet the primary endpoint of VFDs following add-on treatment with trimodulin in severely ill patients with sCAP. However, post hoc analyses of patient subsets with high CRP, low IgM, and combined high CRP/low IgM baseline levels could be used to inform further investigation of trimodulin in a large, global phase III study that is powered for mortality in a targeted patient population with sCAP.
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