Role of hypertension in the association of overweight and obesity with diabetes among adults in Bangladesh: a population-based, cross-sectional nationally representative survey
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ABSTRACT

Aims Overweight and obesity (OWOB) is a modifiable risk factor for both hypertension and diabetes. However, the association between OWOB and diabetes among Bangladeshi adults and how hypertension may mediate this relationship are not well explored. This study aimed to examine (1) whether OWOB is independently associated with diabetes among Bangladeshi adults, (2) whether this association is mediated by hypertension, and (3) the effect modification by wealth status and place of residence in the relationships.

Research design and methods We used data of 9305 adults aged ≥18 years from the most recent nationally representative cross-sectional study of Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2017–2018. Design-based logistic regression was used to assess the association between OWOB and diabetes, and counterfactual framework-based weighting approach was used to evaluate the mediation effect of hypertension in the OWOB–diabetes relationship. We used stratified analyses for the effect modifications.

Results The prevalence of OWOB, diabetes and hypertension was 48.5%, 11.7% and 30.3%, respectively. We observed a significant association between OWOB and diabetes and a mediating role of hypertension in the OWOB–diabetes association. The odds of diabetes was 51% higher among adults with OWOB than those without OWOB (adjusted OR: 1.51, 95% CI 1.29 to 1.77). We observed that 18.64% (95% CI 9.84% to 34.07%) of the total effect of OWOB on the higher odds of diabetes was mediated through hypertension, and the mediation effect was higher among adults from non-poor households and from both rural and urban areas.

Conclusions Adult OWOB status is independently associated with diabetes in Bangladesh, and hypertension mediates this association. Therefore, prevention policies should target adults with both OWOB and hypertension, particularly those from non-poor households and from both rural and urban areas, to reduce the growing burden of diabetes and its associated risk.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is a major global public health concern and is the leading cause of mortality and disability.1,2 The number of people with diabetes increased from 108 million in 1980 to 422 million in 2014.3 Besides, diabetes is directly responsible for 1.6 million global deaths, while high blood glucose is responsible for another 2.2 million deaths. In Bangladesh, the prevalence of diabetes increased from 12% in 2011 to 14% in 2018 among men and from 11% in 2011 to 14% in 2018 among women aged 35 years or older.4 It is projected that more than 13.7 million people will have diabetes by 2045.5 Overweight and obesity (OWOB) is also a major public health concern in Bangladesh, leading to risk factors for many chronic non-communicable diseases (NCDs). Due to the increased prevalence of OWOB and diabetes, this concern is termed as the ‘21st century epidemic’.6 The prevalence of OWOB among Bangladeshi adults increased from 23% in 2011 to 35% in 2017–2018. While diabetes mellitus relies on many factors, its association with OWOB is well established in the literature.7–9 A meta-analysis of prospective cohort

Strengths and limitations of this study

► This is the first study to investigate the mediating role of hypertension in the overweight and obesity (OWOB)–diabetes relationship among adults in Bangladesh.
► The sample size was large and representative of the population aged 18 years or older.
► All analyses were appropriately adjusted for survey cluster, strata and weights to account for the complex survey design.
► The study analysed cross-sectional data, which precludes the assessment of temporal relationships.
► More than one mediator variable, such as physical activity and diet, could mediate the OWOB–diabetes relationship.
studies reported three times higher risk of diabetes among adults with OWOB. Studies based in Bangladesh also reported a greater risk of diabetes among adults with OWOB. However, the underlying mechanism of this increased risk of diabetes in adults with OWOB has yet to be investigated in Bangladesh.

OWOB plays a significant role in screening and determining high-risk patients with diabetes. Since the prevalence of both OWOB and diabetes is strikingly increasing, Zimmet et al termed the problem as ‘diabesity’ to exemplify the interdependence between these two major public health concerns. OWOB is also a modifiable risk factor for hypertension. Previous studies reported that OWOB and its associated metabolic abnormalities increase the risk of hypertension. Studies conducted in Bangladesh also found that OWOB is a risk factor for hypertension. Previous studies also pointed out wealth status and rural–urban discrepancies in the prevalence of OWOB, hypertension and diabetes. Since Bangladesh is going through an epidemiological and nutritional transition (ie, a high diet in total fat, cholesterol, sugar and other developed carbohydrates and low in polyunsaturated fatty acids and fibre) and experiencing rapid urbanisation, assessing the OWOB–diabetes relationship and the role of hypertension in this association would be critical to perform in this context.

The relationship between hypertension and diabetes is complicated, but both are associated with an elevated risk of cardiovascular diseases. Furthermore, many previous studies suggested that hypertension increases the risk of diabetes. In other words, hypertension is considered an independent risk factor for diabetes. For instance, a cohort study in the UK, which included 4.1 million adults, reported 52%–77% increased risk of diabetes with increasing blood pressure (BP). Notably, the frequent coexistence of diabetes and hypertension in the same subject is often considered a coincidence. However, many previous studies explained that patients with hypertension and diabetes share some common aspects of pathophysiology, particularly those related to OWOB and insulin resistance.

Hypertension is widely considered a confounding variable in the association between OWOB and diabetes. However, hypertension could be a mediator or a collider in the OWOB–diabetes relationship. Consider the hypothetical examples in online supplemental figures S1 and S2, where we want to explore the total effect of OWOB on diabetes. In online supplemental figure S1, OWOB causes hypertension, and hypertension causes diabetes; therefore, hypertension is a mediator (or an intermediate variable) in the OWOB–diabetes relationship. In online supplemental figure S2, hypertension is the effect of both OWOB and diabetes; therefore, hypertension is a collider in the OWOB–diabetes relationship. Adjusting for a mediator leads to decompose the total effect into direct and indirect effects, while adjusting for a collider introduces bias. Therefore, adjusting for hypertension will bias the OWOB–diabetes relationship in both examples.

This study had three aims. First, we assessed the association between OWOB and diabetes among the adult population in Bangladesh using the most recent nationally representative data. Second, we evaluated the mediating role of hypertension in the OWOB–diabetes relationship. Third, we explored the effect modification by wealth status and place of residence in the OWOB–diabetes relationship in the total effect and the mediated effect through hypertension. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first ever study in Bangladesh to explore the mediation effect of hypertension in the OWOB and diabetes relationship and will guide future studies in a similar context.

METHODS
Data preparation
Data used in this study were extracted from the most recent nationally representative Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey (BDHS) 2017–2018. A two-stage stratified cluster random sampling design was applied to collect the sample. In this survey, survey clusters (250 from urban stratum and 425 from rural stratum) were selected with a probability proportional to cluster size in the first stage. The BDHS 2017–2018 used a list of enumeration areas (ie, clusters) as a sampling frame, gathered from the 2011 Population and Housing Census of the People’s Republic of Bangladesh. Each cluster consists of an average of about 120 households. In the second stage, a systematic sample of an average of 30 households per cluster was chosen. The BDHS 2017–2018 report provides a detailed methodology about survey sampling. For the present study, 13252 participants aged ≥18 years selected for blood glucose measurements were considered. Individuals were excluded in this study owing to missing or implausible blood glucose measurement, pregnant women, not the usual resident of the selected households, missing values in body mass index (BMI), underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m²) and having missing values in covariates (see figure 1 for details). The final analytic sample consisted of 9305 unweighted (9136 weighted) adults aged 18 years or older with a BMI of ≥18.5 kg/m².

Study variables
Outcome
Diabetes status was used as the outcome variable for this study. Individuals were considered to have diabetes if they had a fasting blood glucose equivalent level of ≥7 mmol/L or reported taking prescribed medication to reduce high blood glucose or diabetes.

Blood glucose was measured using the HemoCue 201 RT analyser. The sample collection technique was described in the BDHS 2017–2018 final report.

Exposure
The exposure variable of interest was OWOB, created based on the Asian BMI cut-off. Individuals with a BMI of ≥23.0 kg/m² were categorised as overweight and obese.
BMI was calculated as the ratio of weight in kilograms to the square of height in metres (ie, kg/m²). Respondents' weight was obtained using lightweight electronic SECA 878 scales with a digital screen, while standing height was measured using measuring boards.

Mediator
Hypertension status was the mediator variable for this study. Individuals with an average systolic BP ≥140 mm Hg, or diastolic BP ≥90 mm Hg, or taking antihypertensives were categorised as hypertensive. BDHS used the Lifesource UA-767 Plus BP monitor, an automatic device, to measure BP. Trained health technicians measured three BP measurements at approximately 10 min intervals. The average of the second and third measurements was used to report BP values. Details can be found in the BDHS 2017–2018 report.

Effect modifiers
In this study, household wealth status (poorest, poorer, middle, richer and richest) and place of residence (rural and urban) were the effect modifiers. We merged the poorest and poorer categories of wealth status variable into the poor class and other types into the non-poor class.

Covariates
Based on previous literature, the following covariates were used to adjust for potential confounders: age (<35, 35–49, 50–64 and 265 years), sex (male or female), marital status (never married, currently married and others), education (no education, primary incomplete, primary or secondary incomplete, and secondary or higher), working status (yes or no), household wealth status, place of residence and division of residence (Barishal, Chattogram, Dhaka, Khulna, Mymensingh, Rajshahi, Rangpur and Sylhet).

Statistical analysis
We had only 16 (0.17%) missing values in the covariates (figure 1). Therefore, the complete case analysis that justifies the missing completely at random assumption seems to be satisfied in this study. All analyses were appropriately adjusted for survey weights, clusters and strata to account for the complex survey design. Descriptive statistics were calculated to assess the distribution of variables. Design-based binary logistic regression was used to determine the crude and adjusted association of OWOB with diabetes. A counterfactual framework-based weighting approach was used to explore the effect of OWOB on diabetes into (1) natural direct effect (NDE) of OWOB on diabetes is the primary effect of OWOB on diabetes (OWOB → diabetes), and the natural indirect effect (NIE) is mediated through hypertension (OWOB → hypertension → diabetes).

Figure 2 Diagram showing the hypothesised relationship of overweight and obesity (OWOB) with diabetes among the adult population in Bangladesh. The natural direct effect (NDE) of OWOB on diabetes is the primary effect of OWOB on diabetes (OWOB → diabetes), and the natural indirect effect (NIE) is mediated through hypertension (OWOB → hypertension → diabetes).
of residence. We implemented the above-described methods to calculate the total effect, NDE, NIE and proportion mediated separately for the poor, non-poor, rural and urban groups.

As a sensitivity analysis, we categorised the hypertension variable using the American College of Cardiology (ACC) and the American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines. Individuals with average systolic BP ≥130 mm Hg, or diastolic BP ≥80 mm Hg, or taking antihypertensives were categorised as hypertensive. Then we applied the same technique as described above to explore the effect of OWOB on diabetes into NDE and NIE. All analyses were conducted using the statistical software R V.4.0.3.44

**Patient and public involvement**

Patients and other members of the public were not involved in the study.

**RESULTS**

**Study sample characteristics**

Descriptive statistics of the variables stratified by OWOB are presented in table 1. Among the selected individuals, 48.5% were overweight and obese and 11.7% had diabetes. The prevalence of hypertension was 30.3%, while it was 60.0% based on the ACC-AHA guideline. Approximately 43.1% of the study participants were <35 years old, 56.8% were female, 82.4% were currently married, 23.8% had no education, 19.3% had completed secondary or higher education, 62.4% were currently working, 23.4% were from Dhaka division, 71.7% were from rural areas, and 35.6% were from poor households. The prevalence of diabetes was comparatively higher among adults with OWOB (15.1% vs 8.4%). A higher proportion of individuals with OWOB were hypertensive, female, completed secondary or higher education, from urban areas and from non-poor households.

**Association between OWOB and diabetes**

Table 2 shows the crude and adjusted association between OWOB and diabetes. In the crude analysis, the odds of diabetes was 94% higher among adults with OWOB compared with those without OWOB (OR: 1.94, 95% CI 1.67 to 2.24). We also observed a significant association between OWOB and diabetes after adjusting the model for potential confounders. We found that the adjusted odds of diabetes was 51% higher among adults with OWOB than those without OWOB (adjusted OR (AOR): 1.51, 95% CI 1.29 to 1.77).

**Role of hypertension in the OWOB–diabetes association**

In estimating the mediating effect, we first modelled the relationship of hypertension (the mediator) with OWOB exposure and diabetes outcome. We found that OWOB was significantly associated with higher odds of hypertension (AOR: 2.28, 95% CI 2.03 to 2.57). The mediator was also strongly associated with diabetes (AOR: 1.69, 95% CI 1.45 to 1.97).

Finally, we implemented the counterfactual framework-based weighting approach to estimate the mediating effect. Table 3 shows the NDE of OWOB on diabetes and the NIE of OWOB on diabetes mediated through hypertension. A 50% higher odds of diabetes among adults with OWOB was deconstructed into a direct OR of 1.39 (95% CI 1.18 to 1.65) and a hypertension-mediated indirect OR of 1.08 (95% CI 1.05 to 1.12). Approximately 18.64% (95% CI 9.84% to 34.07%) of the total effect of OWOB on the higher odds of diabetes was mediated through hypertension.

**Effect modification by wealth status and place of residence**

The results of effect modification by wealth status and place of residence are also shown in table 3. The odds of diabetes was 70% higher among adults with OWOB compared with those without OWOB in poor households (AOR: 1.70, 95% CI 1.25 to 2.37), 59% higher in non-poor households (AOR: 1.59, 95% CI 1.33 to 1.96), 55% higher in rural areas (AOR: 1.55, 95% CI 1.27 to 1.89) and 42% higher in urban areas (AOR: 1.42, 95% CI 1.10 to 1.89). We observed that the total effect of OWOB on the higher odds of diabetes mediated through hypertension was comparatively higher among adults from non-poor households than poor households (20.0% vs 6.1%) and urban areas than rural areas (26.8% vs 16.1%).

**Sensitivity analyses**

Online supplemental table 1 shows the results of the sensitivity analysis of the NDE of OWOB on diabetes and the NIE of OWOB on diabetes mediated through hypertension, where hypertension was defined based on the ACC-AHA guideline. Similar to the primary analysis, we observed a statistically significant direct and hypertension-mediated indirect effect of OWOB on diabetes. The results were also consistent for the stratified analyses.

**DISCUSSION**

Using the most recent national survey data, we found that OWOB is an independent risk factor for diabetes in Bangladesh. Adults with OWOB were 51% more likely to have diabetes than their counterparts. We found a similar strength of association using the counterfactual framework-based weighting approach. Besides, hypertension substantially mediated the OWOB–diabetes relationship. There was a comparatively higher mediated effect of hypertension in the OWOB–diabetes relationship among adults from non-poor households than from poor households and from urban areas than rural areas. A sensitivity analysis using a different definition of hypertension revealed consistent results. These findings contribute to a better understanding of the relationship between OWOB and diabetes and the mediation effects of hypertension in the OWOB–diabetes association needed to develop appropriate preventive strategies.

In line with earlier studies, adults with OWOB were more likely to develop diabetes. The potential reason
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Table 1  Characteristics of the study sample (N=9305) by OWOB* among adults aged 18 years or older in the Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2017–2018.

| Characteristics                  | Total (N=9305) | OWOB No (n=4770) | OWOB Yes (n=4535) |
|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Diabetes                         | 1077 (11.67)  | 389 (8.42)       | 688 (15.11)       |
| Hypertension†                    | 2879 (30.30)  | 1103 (22.69)     | 1776 (36.38)      |
| Hypertension by ACC-AHA‡          | 5670 (59.97)  | 2470 (50.82)     | 3200 (69.69)      |
| Age in years                     |               |                  |                   |
| <35                              | 3992 (43.07)  | 2160 (45.26)     | 1832 (40.75)      |
| 35–49                            | 2971 (31.88)  | 1318 (27.35)     | 1653 (36.68)      |
| 50–64                            | 1568 (16.83)  | 818 (17.42)      | 750 (16.21)       |
| ≥65                              | 774 (8.22)    | 474 (9.96)       | 300 (6.37)        |
| Sex                              |               |                  |                   |
| Female                           | 5242 (56.83)  | 2366 (50.25)     | 2876 (63.81)      |
| Male                             | 4063 (43.17)  | 2404 (49.75)     | 1659 (36.19)      |
| Marital status                   |               |                  |                   |
| Never married                    | 900 (8.89)    | 600 (11.39)      | 300 (6.24)        |
| Currently married                | 7584 (82.43)  | 3700 (78.75)     | 3884 (86.34)      |
| Others§                          | 821 (8.68)    | 470 (9.86)       | 351 (7.42)        |
| Education                        |               |                  |                   |
| No education                     | 2111 (23.81)  | 1310 (28.46)     | 801 (18.88)       |
| Primary incomplete¶             | 954 (10.05)   | 526 (10.59)      | 428 (9.49)        |
| Primary or secondary incomplete**| 4272 (46.87)  | 2158 (45.76)     | 2114 (48.04)      |
| Secondary or higher              | 1968 (20.96)  | 776 (16.18)      | 1192 (23.60)      |
| Currently working                | 5807 (62.61)  | 3171 (66.79)     | 2636 (58.18)      |
| Administrative division          |               |                  |                   |
| Barishal                         | 970 (5.50)    | 478 (5.05)       | 492 (5.50)        |
| Chattogram                       | 1298 (17.67)  | 591 (15.72)      | 707 (19.74)       |
| Dhaka                            | 1242 (23.35)  | 557 (20.71)      | 685 (26.15)       |
| Khulna                           | 1340 (12.89)  | 609 (11.80)      | 731 (14.05)       |
| Mymensingh                       | 974 (7.43)    | 591 (8.98)       | 383 (5.80)        |
| Rajshahi                         | 1239 (14.60)  | 669 (15.85)      | 570 (13.27)       |
| Rangpur                          | 1196 (12.43)  | 672 (14.34)      | 524 (10.40)       |
| Sylhet                           | 1046 (6.13)   | 603 (7.11)       | 443 (5.10)        |
| Place of residence               |               |                  |                   |
| Rural                            | 5794 (71.71)  | 3235 (76.22)     | 2559 (66.94)      |
| Urban                            | 3511 (28.29)  | 1535 (23.78)     | 1976 (33.06)      |
| Household wealth status          |               |                  |                   |
| Poorest                          | 1589 (17.00)  | 1074 (22.18)     | 515 (11.50)       |
| Poorer                           | 1662 (18.59)  | 1057 (22.90)     | 605 (14.02)       |
| Middle                           | 1834 (20.72)  | 1003 (21.96)     | 831 (19.41)       |
| Richer                           | 1930 (20.96)  | 931 (19.31)      | 999 (22.70)       |
| Richest                          | 2290 (22.73)  | 705 (13.65)      | 1585 (32.37)      |

*Except where indicated otherwise, values are number (%), where the number comes from the sample and % comes from the survey design that accounts for survey features such as strata, cluster and survey weights.
†Individuals with average systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg, or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mm Hg, or taking antihypertensives were categorised as hypertensive.
‡Individuals with average systolic blood pressure ≥130 mm Hg, or diastolic blood pressure ≥80 mm Hg, or taking antihypertensives were categorised as hypertensive.
§Divorced, separated or widowed.
¶Primary incomplete means completing grades 1–4.
**Primary or secondary incomplete means completing grades 5–9.
ACC, American College of Cardiology; AHA, American Heart Association; OWOB, overweight and obesity.
of diabetes, as loss of weight may enhance the action of lifestyle—physical inactivity, higher sedentary behaviours and other related factors. Loss of weight can reduce the risk of diabetes, as loss of weight may enhance the action of insulin and the concentration of fasting glucose. Therefore, public health programmes for changing diets and lifestyles can prevent adults from developing OWOB and reduce the burden of diabetes.

We observed that the association between OWOB and diabetes among Bangladeshi adults was substantially mediated by hypertension. More than 18% of the total effect of OWOB on the higher odds of diabetes was mediated through hypertension. It is, therefore, essential to understanding the underlying mechanism of being a mediator. The mechanism by which OWOB is linked to hypertension may include activation of the sympathetic nervous system and the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system, endothelial dysfunction and renal functional abnormalities. The pathophysiology of linking hypertension with the risk of diabetes is still not clear; however, there are several hypotheses. Microvascular dysfunction induced by hypertension leads to the development of diabetes. Also, endothelial dysfunction is associated with insulin resistance, which leads to diabetes development. Further, inflammatory markers are associated with both hypertension and diabetes.

In earlier research in Bangladesh, hypertension was considered a predictor of diabetes, and it was not fully clarified whether hypertension acts as a confounder, mediator or collider. However, hypertension should be considered a mediator or a collider but not a confounder in the OWOB–diabetes relationship (see online supplemental figures 1 and 2). Since adjusting for a mediator in the regression analysis decomposes the total effect into direct and indirect effects, we should not adjust for hypertension in the OWOB and diabetes association analysis if our goal is to get the total effect. Moreover, since adjusting for a collider introduces bias, we should not adjust for hypertension in the OWOB and diabetes association analysis. Thus, regardless of considering hypertension as a mediator or a collider, we should not consider it an adjustment variable in the regression analysis of the total effect of the OWOB–diabetes association. Future diabetes research should take care of this factor during their analysis. In the present study, we gave a concrete explanation of the mediating role of hypertension in the OWOB–diabetes relationship. Future studies could conduct the mediation analysis in a similar context. For example, future studies could explore the mediating role of diet in the OWOB-diabetes relationship.

Mediation analysis also exhibits wealth group and rural–urban differences in the role of hypertension as a mediator in the OWOB–diabetes association, with the highest contribution in non-poor and urban adults. The higher mediation effect of hypertension among adults from non-poor households might be due to the risk of hypertension among those adults. Therefore, we recommend targeting adults with both OWOB and hypertension, particularly those from non-poor households from both rural and urban households might be due to the risk of hypertension as a mediator or a collider, but not a confounder. Hypertension should be considered a predictor of diabetes, and it was not fully clarified whether hypertension acts as a confounder, mediator or collider. In earlier research in Bangladesh, hypertension was considered a predictor of diabetes, and it was not fully clarified whether hypertension acts as a confounder, mediator or collider. However, hypertension should be considered a mediator or a collider, but not a confounder in the OWOB–diabetes relationship. Thus, regardless of considering hypertension as a mediator or a collider, we should not consider it an adjustment variable in the regression analysis of the total effect of the OWOB–diabetes association. Future diabetes research should take care of this factor during their analysis. In the present study, we gave a concrete explanation of the mediating role of hypertension in the OWOB–diabetes relationship. Future studies could conduct the mediation analysis in a similar context. For example, future studies could explore the mediating role of diet in the OWOB-diabetes relationship.

Mediation analysis also exhibits wealth group and rural–urban differences in the role of hypertension as a mediator in the OWOB–diabetes association, with the highest contribution in non-poor and urban adults. The higher mediation effect of hypertension among adults from non-poor households might be due to the risk of hypertension among those adults. Therefore, we recommend targeting adults with both OWOB and hypertension, particularly those from non-poor households from both rural and

### Table 2

| Exposure of interest | Unadjusted COR (95% CI) | Adjusted* AOR (95% CI) |
|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| OWOB                |                         |                        |
| No                   | Ref                     | 1.94 (1.67 to 2.24)    |
| Yes                  | Ref                     | 1.51 (1.29 to 1.77)    |

*Adjusted for age, sex, marital status, education, working status, division of residence, place of residence and household wealth status.

AOR, adjusted OR; COR, crude/unadjusted OR; OWOB, overweight and obesity; Ref, reference.

### Table 3

| Group       | Total effect AOR (95% CI) | NDE AOR (95% CI) | NIE AOR (95% CI) | Mediation effect, % |
|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Overall     | 1.50 (1.27 to 1.76)     | 1.39 (1.18 to 1.65) | 1.08 (1.05 to 1.12) | 18.64              |
| Poor        | 1.70 (1.25 to 2.37)     | 1.65 (1.20 to 2.31) | 1.03 (0.97 to 1.10) | 6.10               |
| Non-poor    | 1.59 (1.33 to 1.96)     | 1.45 (1.20 to 1.83) | 1.10 (1.06 to 1.14) | 19.97              |
| Rural       | 1.55 (1.27 to 1.89)     | 1.44 (1.17 to 1.80) | 1.07 (1.03 to 1.12) | 16.11              |
| Urban       | 1.42 (1.10 to 1.89)     | 1.29 (0.98 to 1.70) | 1.10 (1.05 to 1.16) | 26.82              |

*Individuals with average systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg, or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mm Hg, or taking antihypertensives were categorised as hypertensive.

†The reference was individuals without OWOB.

AOR, adjusted OR; NDE, natural direct effect; NIE, natural indirect effect; OWOB, overweight and obesity.
urban areas, to reduce the growing burden of diabetes in Bangladesh. Since OWOB is a modifiable risk factor for hypertension and diabetes, efforts should be taken to reduce the prevalence of OWOB. Notably, OWOB is interconnected with many other lifestyle factors, such as physical activity, diet, smoking and alcohol consumption. Therefore, public health policies could focus on proper behavioural change communication, initiating and properly maintaining innovative technologies, cost-effective strategies (eg, increasing physical activity, maintaining a good diet, cessation of smoking, screening) and lifestyle interventions. These techniques are proven useful tools for preventing overall NCD burden in low-income and middle-income country settings.49

The present study has several strengths. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to assess the association between OWOB and diabetes in Bangladesh and estimate the role of hypertension in the OWOB–diabetes relationship among adults using mediation analysis. Overall, adults with an overnourished profile exhibited a higher risk of suffering from diabetes, and hypertension acts as a mediator in the relationship between OWOB and diabetes. Moreover, this study has used robust statistical techniques to estimate a reliable association and reduce bias, such as design-based binary logistic regression and counterfactual framework-based weighting approach.

This study also has some limitations that need to be acknowledged. First, this study’s cross-sectional nature prevents us from evaluating the temporal relationship between the exposure, mediator and outcome. Second, generalisations based on our findings may be limited due to the complex effects of OWOB on diabetes in adults and having unmeasured confounders, such as dietary and physical activity behaviour, genetics and environmental influences, and regional variability. Future studies could use the data from the WHO STEPSwise Approach to NCD Risk Factor Surveillance (STEPS) survey in Bangladesh which collected information on many covariates that are associated with NCDs.19 30 However, the WHO STEPS survey results could not be generalisable to all adults since the study was restricted to adults aged 18–69 years old only. Third, we did not use the standardised methods using fasting venous plasma glucose, repeat measurements or haemoglobin A1c to diagnose diabetes. Therefore, our estimates are likely to be underestimated since our random glucose definition is conservative (more specific but less sensitive).51 Finally, the OWOB–diabetes relationship is likely to be mediated by more than one mediator variable, such as physical activity and diet. Future studies could explore the mediation role of these factors in the OWOB–diabetes relationship.

CONCLUSION
We found that OWOB is an independent risk factor for diabetes among the adult population in Bangladesh. We observed that adults with OWOB were more than twice more likely to be diabetic compared with those without OWOB. We also observed a significant mediating role of hypertension in the OWOB–diabetes association. Approximately 18.64% of the total effect of OWOB was mediated through hypertension, and the mediation effect was pronounced among those adults from non-poor households and both rural and urban areas. Thus, the targeting of adults with OWOB and hypertension, particularly those from non-poor households from both the rural and urban areas, could be an effective public health strategy to reduce the burden of diabetes and improve the quality of life among the adult population in Bangladesh.
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