Timing and spectral study of XB 1254–690 using new RXTE PCA data
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ABSTRACT

We have analysed the new Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer Proportional Counter Array data of the atoll neutron star (NS) low-mass X-ray binary (LMXB) system XB 1254–690. The colour–colour diagram shows that the source was in the high-intensity banana state. We have found two low-frequency candidate peaks with single trial significances of \( \approx 2.65 \times 10^{-8} \) and \( \approx 7.39 \times 10^{-8} \) in the power spectra. After taking into account the number of trials, the joint probability of appearance of these two peaks in the data set only by chance is \( \approx 4.5 \times 10^{-4} \), and hence a low-frequency quasi-periodic oscillation can be considered to be detected with a significance of \( \approx 4.5 \times 10^{-4} \), or \( \approx 3.5\sigma \) for the first time from this source. We have also done the first systematic X-ray spectral study of XB 1254–690, and found that, while one-component models are inadequate, three-component models are not required by the data. We have concluded that a combined broken-power-law and Comptonization model best describes the source continuum spectrum among 19 two-component models. The plasma temperature \( \approx 3 \) keV and the optical depth \( \approx 7 \) of the Comptonization component are consistent with the previously reported values for other sources. However, the use of a broken-power-law component to describe the NS LMXB spectra has recently been started, and we have used this component for XB 1254–690 for the first time. We have attempted to determine the relative energy budgets of the accretion disc and the boundary layer using the best-fitting spectral model, and concluded that a reliable estimation of these budgets requires correlations among time variations of spectral properties in different wavelengths.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The persistent neutron star (NS) low-mass X-ray binary (LMXB) system XB 1254–690 exhibits energy-dependent intensity dips with the binary orbital period (\( \approx 3.88 \) h), thermonuclear X-ray bursts and flares (Griffiths et al. 1978; Mason et al. 1980; Courvoisier et al. 1986; Smale, Church & Balucinska-Church 2002). This is a so-called ‘atoll’ source (Bhattacharyya 2007). An atoll source typically traces a ‘C’-like curve in its colour–colour diagram or CCD (van der Klis 2006). The high-intensity lower part of this curve is known as the banana state (van der Klis 2006). XB 1254–690 has always been found in the banana state, and has never been observed in the spectrally hard low-intensity island state (Bhattacharyya 2007). Note that the position of a source on the CCD is usually correlated with the timing features observed from the source (van der Klis 2006). Therefore, CCDs are useful to determine the source state, and hence to understand the related physics.

Fast brightness oscillations, i.e. burst oscillations, have been observed from thermonuclear bursts from the several NS LMXBs (Strohmayer & Bildsten 2006; Bhattacharyya 2010, and references therein). This timing feature provides one of the two ways to measure NS spin frequencies for the LMXB systems (Chakrabarty et al. 2003; Bhattacharyya 2010). Bhattacharyya (2007) reported a suggestive evidence of 95 Hz oscillations from a thermonuclear burst from XB 1254–690. This indicates that the NS in this source spins with a frequency of 95 Hz, although further confirmation is required to establish this.

Various types of quasi-periodic oscillations (QPOs) are observed from the NS LMXBs (van der Klis 2006). Their frequencies are roughly between millihertz (mHz) and kilohertz (kHz). Properties of one type of QPOs are sometimes correlated with those of another, and typically these properties are correlated with the spectral state inferred from CCD. Therefore, the QPOs can be very useful to understand the accretion process around a NS. In addition, kHz QPOs can be useful to probe the strong gravity regime, and to measure the NS parameters (van der Klis 2006; Bhattacharyya 2010). However, any detection of a QPO from XB 1254–690 was never reported before this paper.

The continuum spectrum of a NS LMXB is typically well described with various models, giving different physical interpretations (Lin, Remillard & Homan 2007). For example, two
classical spectral models, Eastern and Western, have been proposed for the high-intensity states (White, Stella & Parmar 1988; Mitsuda et al. 1989). The Eastern model consists of a multicolour blackbody from the disc and a Comptonized component from the boundary layer (the contact layer between the NS and the disc inner edge), while the Western model has a Comptonized emission from the disc and a single temperature blackbody from the boundary layer. Although further detailed studies have been done with more sources (e.g. Christian & Swank 1997; Barret 2001; Church & Balucinska-Church 2001; Wijnands 2001; Gilfanov, Revnivtsev & Molkova 2003; Maccarone & Coppi 2003; Olive, Barret & Gierliński 2003; Maitra & Bailyn 2004), a general consensus on the appropriate X-ray spectral model has not been achieved. It is therefore essential to do systematic spectral studies of the NS LMXBs using all the reasonable physical models. Even before going into the details, the first aim of such a study will be to identify which spectral component originates from the boundary layer, and which one comes from the disc, and hence to find out the relative energy budgets of these two X-ray emitting components. These relative budgets not only will be useful to understand the accretion process and components, but also will be helpful to constrain the NS parameters and equation of state (EoS) models (Bhattacharyya et al. 2000; Bhattacharyya 2002; Bhattacharyya 2010). Recently, Lin et al. (2007) have done a systematic study for two NS LMXBs (Aql X-1 and 4U 1608–52). However, such a study has never been done for XB 1254–690.

In this paper, we report the first evidence of a QPO from XB 1254 – 690. Moreover, we give the results and interpretations of the first systematic X-ray spectral study of this source. In Section 2, we mention the spectral and timing analysis methods, and report the results. In Section 3, we discuss the interpretation and importance of the observational results.

2 DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The NS LMXB system XB 1254–690 was observed with Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE) between 2008 January 16 (start time: 13:27:50; proposal no. P93062) and 2008 March 13 (end time: 19:42:08) for a total observation time of 283.42 ks (total 60 observations; good-time after excluding bursts, dips, flares, data gaps, etc. is 257.39 ks). We have used the corresponding Proportional Counter Array (PCA) data set for our analysis. We have searched for QPOs in this data set, computed a CCD and a hardness–intensity diagram (HID), and fitted the continuum spectra with various models extensively. We have used Good Xenon data files for the timing analysis, and Standard2 (Std2) data files to perform spectral analysis and compute the CCD and the HID.

2.1 Colour–colour and hardness–intensity diagram

We have shown a CCD and a HID of XB 1254–690 in Fig. 1. A CCD is a plot of a hard colour versus a soft colour. We have defined the soft colour as the ratio of the background-subtracted counts in the energy range 5.7–7.5 keV to that in 4.4–5.7 keV. Similarly, the hard colour has been defined as the ratio of the background-subtracted counts in 11.4–20.7 keV to that in 7.5–11.4 keV. A HID is a plot of hard colour versus intensity. The intensity has been defined as the total background-subtracted counts in the energy range 4.4–20.7 keV. These photon counts have been calculated from the Std2 data of the RXTE PCA instrument. We have used only the PCU2 data, because this Proportional Counter Unit (PCU) operated during all the observations. Furthermore, we have used only the upper layers of PCU2 in order to get a better signal-to-noise ratio.

Fig. 1 shows that the source was in the banana state during all the observations. This is consistent with the earlier results (Section 1; see Bhattacharyya 2007). We have detected two thermonuclear X-ray bursts, and several flares and dips in the lower-banana (LB) state. The bursts have not exhibited signatures of photospheric radius expansion. In addition, we have not detected burst oscillations from these bursts.

2.2 Timing analysis

We have not found any significant kHz QPO in the entire RXTE PCA data set. In order to search for low-frequency QPOs, we have calculated power spectra (for the entire PCA energy range) with a Nyquist frequency of 128 Hz for 250 s intervals, using the

![Figure 1. CCD (left-hand side panel) and HID (right-hand side panel) of the NS LMXB XB 1254–690. Here, the soft colour is defined as the ratio of the background-subtracted counts in the energy range 5.7–7.5 keV to that in 4.4–5.7 keV, and the hard colour is defined as the ratio of the background-subtracted counts in 11.4–20.7 keV to that in 7.5–11.4 keV. A HID is a plot of hard colour versus intensity. The intensity is defined as the total background-subtracted counts in the energy range 4.4–20.7 keV. These photon counts are calculated from the Std2 data of the PCU2 unit of RXTE PCA. Typical error bars on a soft colour and a hard colour are shown. This figure shows that the source was in the banana state during all the observations (Section 2.1; see van der Klis 2006).](https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-abstract/411/4/2717/983218)
Good Xenon data from PCU2. We have found two candidate peaks around the frequency $\sim 50$ Hz. The stronger one has appeared at $64.01$ Hz in the power spectrum corresponding to the observation done on 2008 January 21 (time: 10:44:08 to 14:49:08). We have got a peak power of 2.983, after averaging over forty 250 s intervals and merging four consecutive frequency bins (see Fig. 2, left-hand side panel). The latter has made the frequency resolution 0.016 Hz. The probability of obtaining a power higher than or equal to it in a single trial from the expected $\chi^2$ noise distribution [320 degrees of freedom (d.o.f.)] is $\approx 2.65 \times 10^{-8}$. In order to find this peak, we have searched 60 power spectra, each with 8000 frequencies. Therefore, multiplying with a number of trials of 480 000, we have found a significance of $1.27 \times 10^{-2}$, or $\approx 2.5\sigma$. The rms amplitude of this candidate QPO is $\approx 0.83$ per cent. The other candidate peak of same frequency width and $\approx 7.39 \times 10^{-8}$ single trial significance has been found at 48.63 Hz from the observations on 2008 January 17 and 18 (time: between 22:47:28 to 00:02:10). Its rms amplitude is $\approx 1.3$ per cent (Fig. 2, right-hand side panel), and significance is $3.55 \times 10^{-2}$ after multiplying with the number of trials of 480 000. In Fig. 3, we have marked the data corresponding to the two candidate QPOs in the CCD and the HID. This figure shows that both the candidate QPOs have appeared in the LB state.

With these two candidate peaks, next we ask the question what would be the probability of having two such peaks simultaneously in our data set containing 60 power spectra only by chance. The 480 000 powers mentioned in the previous paragraph are expected to be independent of each other, otherwise the significance of each peak would increase by the decrease of the number of trials. Therefore, naively the probability that the two candidate peaks would appear simultaneously in our data set only by chance is $1.27 \times 10^{-2} \times 3.55 \times 10^{-2} = 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$. However, this number is expected to be an upper limit, i.e. this significance is expected to be better for the following reason. Suppose, there are $10^5$ data sets like ours. Then, on an average, the first peak should appear in 1270 data sets, and the second peak should appear in 3550 data sets. Now we ask the question: in how many data sets at least one power would exceed the first candidate peak power (i.e. the larger power) and at
least two powers would exceed the second candidate peak power (i.e. the smaller power). This number will be less than 45, which is the above-mentioned naively expected value, because there would be a chance that in some of the data sets more than one power would exceed the first candidate peak power and/or more than two powers would exceed the second candidate peak power. If this is true then the $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ would be the conservative value of the significance of simultaneous appearance of the two candidate peaks in our data set. We have verified this logical conclusion using simulated data with a pure Poisson process in the next paragraph.

Our simulated time series and power spectra have been rigorously tested, and have passed the following tests among others: (1) the counts in 1/4096 s bins of the time series follow the Poisson statistics extremely well; (2) when the simulated Leahy power spectra are fitted with a constant, the best-fitting value is consistent with 2 (an example value is 1.9995 $\pm$ 0.0014 for a 1 ks time series with the observed count rate) and (3) the cumulative power distribution of the simulated power spectra matches well with $\chi^2$ distribution with the appropriate d.o.f. We have generated 10 ms of simulated data with the observed count rate, which has been divided into 10 groups, each with 1000 time series of 1 ks. Note that, while counting within one group gives a random value, the sample of 10 groups gives the mean and the standard deviation. We have assumed two values of power: $p_1$ and $p_2$ ($p_1 > p_2$), and estimated the number ($N$) of 1 ks time series (within one group) with at least one power above $p_1$ and at least two powers above $p_2$ in the three following ways. (1) In the first way, we have used the conservative significance mentioned in the previous paragraph. We have calculated the significance ($S_1_{p1}$ and $S_1_{p2}$, respectively) of $p_1$ and $p_2$ in a 1 ks time series. Then with $S_1_{joint} = S_1_{p1} \times S_1_{p2}$, the number $N$ would be $N_{conservative} = 1000 S_1_{joint}$. (2) In the second way, we have taken into account the fact (mentioned in the previous paragraph) that $p_1$ may be exceeded more than once, and/or $p_2$ may be exceeded more than twice in a 1 ks time series. Therefore, we have counted the number of 1 ks time series in which $p_1$ is exceeded, and divided this number with 1000 to estimate the significance $S_2_{p1}$ of $p_1$. Similarly, we have estimated the significance $S_2_{p2}$ of $p_2$. Then with $S_2_{joint} = S_2_{p1} \times S_2_{p2}$, the number $N$ would be $N_{realistic} = 1000 S_2_{joint}$. (3) In the third way, we have simply counted the number $N = N_{counted}$. For various combinations of $p_1$ and $p_2$ values, we have found that $N_{counted}$ is always consistent with $N_{realistic}$, but always significantly less than $N_{conservative}$. This verifies the logical conclusion of the previous paragraph that $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ is the conservative value of the significance of simultaneous appearance of the two candidate peaks in our data set.

Note that the single trial significance (typically say $1.25 \times 10^{-5}$ and $5.0 \times 10^{-5}$) of our assumed $p_1$ and $p_2$ values is much worse than those of the candidate peaks. We have assumed such powers, because (1) much larger powers would not change our conclusions and (2) a similar simulation with the significances of the candidate peaks would need $\sim 3 \times 10^8$ Ms simulated data, which would require $\sim 10^8$ GB of computer disc space and an unrealistic amount of time. Therefore, since the probability of the appearance of both the candidate peaks in our data set only by chance is less than $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$, one of them can be a signal with the significance of $\sim 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$. Since both of them are of low frequency, a low-frequency QPO can be considered to be detected from XB 1254−690 with a significance of $\sim 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$, or $\sim 3.5\sigma$.

2.3 Spectral analysis

The NS LMXB XB 1254−690 was observed with several X-ray observatories, e.g. BeppoSAX, Chandra (HETGS), XMM–Newton, RXTE (PCA) (Iaria et al. 2001; Smale et al. 2002; Díaz Trigo et al. 2006; Iaria et al. 2007). In order to fit the continuum spectra, these papers reported the usage of a few xspec models, such as DISKBB + COMPTT (or COMPST), POWERLAW + BBODY, CUTOFFPL + BBODY. But a systematic analysis of the XB 1254−690 continuum spectra using all the reasonable xspec models was previously not done. As mentioned in Section 1, Lin et al. (2007) have recently performed a systematic analysis using various continuum spectral models for two NS LMXBs. In this spirit, we have done the first systematic continuum spectral analysis for XB 1254−690.

Let us first discuss the currently understood X-ray components of the NS LMXBs. Such a source plausibly has two primary X-ray emitting regions: a geometrically thin accretion disc, and a boundary layer. Each of the disc and the boundary layer is expected to be optically thick, and hence to emit like a blackbody. However, note that the disc blackbody should be a multicolour blackbody, as the blackbody temperature should be a function of the radial distance from the centre of the NS (Bhattacharyya et al. 2000). In addition, the disc and/or the boundary layer may be covered by one or more
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**Figure 4.** CCD (left-hand side panel) and HID (right-hand side panel) of the NS LMXB XB 1254−690 (same as Fig. 1). The diamond signs (20.7 ks) and the cross signs (1.1 ks) mark the continuous data sets which were used to extract the LB spectrum and the UB spectrum, respectively (Section 2.3).
coronae (hot electron gas), which may reprocess (Comptonize) the emitted radiation. Therefore, depending on the nature of the cover (e.g. partial versus full, optical depth), the observed spectrum of each of the disc and the boundary layer may be a blackbody, or Comptonized, or a combination of both. In this paper, we have studied these various possibilities systematically in order to identify the nature of the X-ray emitting components.

We have used the Std2 data of the upper layers of PCU2 in order to do the continuum spectral analysis. We have made two spectral files (one for the LB state, another for the UB state) corresponding to the largest uninterrupted observational ‘good-time’ data sets after filtering out the bursts, dips and flares. Since the LB data set (20.7 ks) is much larger than the UB data set (1.1 ks; see Fig. 4), we have first modelled the LB spectrum, which has helped us to decide on the procedure. Then, we have modelled the UB spectrum following this procedure. We have done the fitting in the energy range of 2.5–18.0 keV using xspec, including 1 per cent systematic instrumental error.

We have used the xspec models BBODY, DISKBB and COMPTT for a blackbody, a multicolour blackbody and a Comptonized radiation, respectively. In addition, we have used POWERLAW, CUTOFFPL and BKNPOWER for the phenomenological models power law, cut-off power law and broken power law, respectively. We have used the last model following the work of Lin et al. (2007). Note that, while the first two models can represent the Comptonized radiation (Rybicki & Lightman 1979), the broken-power-law model can be an approximation for Comptonization under complex conditions or in combination with another radiation process, such as synchrotron radiation (Lin et al. 2007).

First, we have checked whether a single component can fit the LB spectrum well. We have found that CUTOFFPL, multiplied with xspec Galactic neutral hydrogen absorption model WABS, gives the best fit among all the single components, and the corresponding \( \chi^2 \) (d.o.f) is \( \approx 3.23 (33) \). This clearly suggests that at least two model components are required to fit the continuum spectrum. In the two-component scenario, there can be the following possibilities: (1) both the accretion disc and the boundary layer are purely thermal (DISKBB, BBODY); (2) the disc emission is thermal, but the boundary layer emission is Comptonized; (3) the boundary layer emission is thermal, but the disc emission is Comptonized and (4) both of them are Comptonized. Therefore, for the six xspec model components, which we have considered, there can be 19 two-component models (see Table 1). We have fitted the LB continuum spectrum with each of these 19 models, multiplied with WABS model. Note that we have frozen the neutral hydrogen column density \( N_H \) of WABS to \( 0.29 \times 10^{22} \) cm\(^{-2} \) (estimated with NASA’s HEASARC nH tool; see also Smale et al. 2002) for every model fitting reported in this paper. This is because the RXTE PCA cannot reliably measure \( N_H \), which affects the X-ray spectrum mostly at lower energies. Among these 19 models only six models have given good fits (\( \chi^2 \leq 1 \)), while for all other models \( \chi^2 > 1.5 \) (see Table 1). Therefore, we will consider only these six models (BKNPOWER + DISKBB, COMPTT + COMPTT, COMPTT + POWERLAW, COMPTT + CUTOFFPL, BKNPOWER + COMPTT and BKNPOWER + CUTOFFPL) for further discussion. Note that, since several two-component models fit the LB spectrum well, we have not considered more complex models involving partially thermal and partially Comptonized emission from each of the disc and the boundary layer. Moreover, addition of an iron line to the spectral model does not improve the fitting significantly, and does not change the best-fitting parameter values substantially.

Table 1. A list of two-component models used to fit the RXTE PCA continuum spectra of the NS LMXB XB 1254–690 (Section 2.3).

| Serial No. | Fitting models | \( \chi^2 \) (d.o.f.) | Number of free parameters |
|------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| 1          | DISKBB + COMPTT | 1.95 (30)       | 6                        |
| 2          | DISKBB + POWERLAW | 1.95 (32)     | 4                        |
| 3          | DISKBB + CUTOFFPL\(^d\) | 1.97 (31)     | 5                        |
| 4          | DISKBB + BKNPOWER\(^c\) | 0.52 (30)     | 6                        |
| 5          | COMPTT\(^c\) + BBODY | 1.50 (30)     | 6                        |
| 6          | POWERLAW + BBODY\(^c\) | 2.24 (32)     | 4                        |
| 7          | CUTOFFPL + BBODY | 1.65 (31)      | 5                        |
| 8          | BKNPOWER + BBODY | 2.39 (30)      | 6                        |
| 9          | DISKBB + BBODY | 2.75 (32)       | 4                        |
| 10         | COMPTT + COMPTT | 0.91 (28)      | 8                        |
| 11         | POWERLAW + COMPTT | 0.95 (30)     | 6                        |
| 12         | CUTOFFPL + COMPTT | 0.91 (29)    | 7                        |
| 13         | BKNPOWER + COMPTT | 0.54 (28)     | 8                        |
| 14         | POWERLAW + POWERLAW | 32.31 (32)   | 4                        |
| 15         | CUTOFFPL + POWERLAW | 2.33 (31)    | 5                        |
| 16         | BKNPOWER + POWERLAW | 3.47 (30)    | 6                        |
| 17         | CUTOFFPL + CUTOFFPL | 1.54 (30)    | 5                        |
| 18         | BKNPOWER + CUTOFFPL | 0.53 (29)     | 7                        |
| 19         | BKNPOWER + BKNPOWER | 3.73 (28)    | 8                        |

\(^a\)All models have been multiplied with the WABS absorption model of xspec with the fixed \( N_H = 0.29 \times 10^{22} \) cm\(^{-2} \).
\(^b\)Reduced \( \chi^2 \) (d.o.f.) for the LB spectrum.
\(^c\)Multicolour blackbody model of xspec.
\(^d\)A power-law model with high-energy exponential cut-off.
\(^e\)A broken power law with break energy \( E_{\text{break}} \).
\(^f\)A Comptonization model in xspec.
\(^g\)Blackbody model in xspec.

Table 2. Flux values of XB 1254–690 from fitting of the LB continuum spectrum with the six two-component models, which give \( \chi^2 \leq 1 \).

| Models | BKNPOWER + DISKBB | Model Group I | BKNPOWER + CUTOFFPL | Model Group II |
|--------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|
|        | \( \chi^2 \) (d.o.f.) | BKNPOWER + COMPTT | COMPTT + CUTOFFPL | COMPTT + POWERLAW |
|        | 0.52 (30)         | 0.53 (29)     | 0.54 (28)           | 0.91 (28)     |
| Absorbed total flux\(^a\) | 7.88              | 7.88          | 7.90                | 7.96          |
| Unabsorbed total flux\(^a\) | 8.02              | 8.02          | 8.04                | 8.11          |
| Flux of the first component\(^a\) | 5.28              | 5.60          | 5.44                | 7.25          |
| Flux of the second component\(^a\) | 2.74              | 2.42          | 2.60                | 0.86          |
| Ratio of the two fluxes | 1.92              | 2.31          | 2.09                | 8.42          |

\(^a\)In the range 2.5–18.0 keV and in unit of \( 10^{-10} \) erg cm\(^{-2} \) s\(^{-1} \).
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COMPTT, while the second group consists of COMPTT + CUTOFFPL, COMPTT + COMPTT and COMPTT + POWERLAW. This is because Table 2 shows that the flux ratios of the components are close to 2 for all the models of group I, with BKNPOWER as the dominant model, while those are greater than 5.5 for all the models of group II, with COMPTT as the dominant model. Moreover, Fig. 5 clearly shows that the normalizations and the shapes of the two-component curves of a model are very similar within a group, but differ significantly between the groups. Is it now possible to determine which group is preferred? We have found that the best-fitting optical depth ($\approx 10^{-2}$) of a COMPTT component of the COMPTT + COMPTT model is unphysically small (discussed with Lev Titarchuk), and we propose to rule out this model on this ground. Moreover, the CUTOFFPL and POWERLAW components of the COMPTT + CUTOFFPL and COMPTT + POWERLAW models are likely to represent the 'unphysical'

Figure 5. RXTE PCA continuum spectrum of the LB state of the NS LMXB XB 1254–690. Fitting with six two-component models (see Section 2.3) is shown in various panels: (a) BKNPOWER + DISKBB; (b) BKNPOWER + CUTOFFPL; (c) BKNPOWER + COMPTT; (d) COMPTT + CUTOFFPL; (e) COMPTT + COMPTT and (f) COMPTT + POWERLAW. $kT$ is the plasma temperature of a COMPTT component. In each panel, the upper subpanel shows the data points with error bars, two model component curves and the total model curve, while the lower subpanel shows the residuals. The normalizations and shapes of the model curves suggest that the panels a, b and c (model group I) may represent a particular physical picture of the source, while the panels d, e and f (model group II) may represent a different physical picture (see Section 2.3 and Table 2).
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Table 3. Spectral fitting parameters of the LB state of XB 1254 – 690 for the three two-component models (see Table 2 and Section 2.3). Best-fitting values are accompanied with 90 per cent errors.

| Serial No. | Model | Component | Parameters | Best-fitting values | Component | Parameters | Best-fitting values |
|------------|-------|-----------|------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------|
| 1          | BKNPOWER+CUTOFFPL | BKNPOWER | $\Gamma_1$ $^a$ | $2.40^{+0.17}_{-0.09}$ | DISKBB | Tin $^b$ | $2.82^{+0.20}_{-0.34}$ |
|            |       |           | $E_{\text{break}}$ $^a$ | $6.29^{+0.18}_{-0.18}$ |           | norm 0.02 | $0.27^{+0.16}_{-0.062}$ |
|            |       |           | $\Gamma_2$ $^a$ | $3.85^{+0.83}_{-0.39}$ |           |           |                     |
|            |       |           | norm | $0.43^{+0.057}_{-0.042}$ |           |           |                     |
| 2          | BKNPOWER+CUTOFFPL | BKNPOWER | $\Gamma_1$ | $2.43^{+0.53}_{-0.10}$ | CUTOFFPL | $\alpha$ $^c$ | $-0.36^{+1.1}_{-0.36}$ |
|            |       |           | $E_{\text{break}}$ | $6.25^{+0.31}_{-0.22}$ |           | $\beta$ $^c$ | $3.00^{+1.4}_{-1.2}$ |
|            |       |           | $\Gamma_2$ | $3.83^{+1.6}_{-0.36}$ |           | norm 0.02 | $0.01^{+0.052}_{-0.011}$ |
|            |       |           | norm | $0.48^{+0.066}_{-0.14}$ |           |           |                     |
| 3          | BKNPOWER+COMPTT | BKNPOWER | $\Gamma_1$ | $2.52^{+0.80}_{-2.2}$ | COMPTT | $T_0$ $^d$ | $1.12^{+1.1}_{-1.1}$ |
|            |       |           | $E_{\text{break}}$ | $6.26^{+0.56}_{-0.26}$ |           | $K_T$ $^e$ | $3.00^{+2.7}_{-2.6}$ |
|            |       |           | $\Gamma_2$ | $3.89^{+1.3}_{-0.45}$ |           | TAUP $^f$ | $6.82^{+183}_{-6.8}$ |
|            |       |           | norm | $0.48^{+1.2}_{-0.38}$ |           | norm 0.02 | $0.02^{+0.023}_{-0.013}$ |

$^a$A broken power law: $A(E) = KE^{-\Gamma_1}$ for $E \leq E_{\text{break}} = KE_{\text{break}}^{-\Gamma_2} \times (E/1\text{keV})^{-\Gamma_2}$ for $E \geq E_{\text{break}}$. $E_{\text{break}}$ is in keV.

$^b$Temperature at inner disc radius (in keV).

$^c$A power law with high-energy exponential cut-off: $A(E) = KE^{-\alpha} \exp(-E/\beta)$, where $\beta$ is in keV.

$^d$Input soft photon (Wien) temperature (in keV).

$^e$Optical depth (in keV).

$^f$A broken power law: $A(E) = KE^{-\Gamma_1}$ for $E \leq E_{\text{break}} = KE_{\text{break}}^{-\Gamma_2} \times (E/1\text{keV})^{-\Gamma_2}$ for $E \geq E_{\text{break}}$. $E_{\text{break}}$ is in keV.

COMPTT component (see Fig. 5). Therefore, we argue that each of the three models of group II probably represent the same physical scenario, and hence the entire group is not preferred. As a result, we tentatively support the group I models, and give the best-fitting model parameter values in Table 3.

Can we now differentiate the three models of group I? The normalization parameter of DISKBB component is given by $(R_{\text{in}}/D)^{2} \cos \theta$, where $R_{\text{in}}$ is the apparent inner edge radius of the disc in km, $D$ is the distance in 10 kpc and $\theta$ is the observer’s inclination angle. We have assumed $D = 10$ kpc (Díaz Trigo et al. 2006) and $\theta = 60$ – 75° from the observed dips and lack of eclipses (Courvoisier et al. 1986; Frank, King & Lasota 1987; Motch et al. 1987). The best-fitting normalization of the DISKBB component of BKNPOWER + DISKBB model is 0.27 (Table 3). Therefore, even with a conservative value of the colour factor ($f = 2.0$), the maximum value of actual disc inner edge radius comes out to be $\approx 4$ km. This is less than any realistic value of a NS radius, and hence is unphysical. We therefore propose to rule out the BKNPOWER + DISKBB model. However, this does not necessarily rule out the BKNPOWER + CUTOFFPL and BKNPOWER + COMPTT models, because while DISKBB is a thermal component, COMPTT, as well as CUTOFFPL (which probably is a phenomenological representation of COMPTT; see Fig. 5) are Comptonized components. Therefore, we suggest that BKNPOWER + COMPTT is the preferred model of the LB continuum spectrum of XB 1254–690 in the energy range of 2.5–18.0 keV.

We have then repeated the above-mentioned procedure for the UB continuum spectrum. Even for this spectrum, the single-component models do not give a very good fit, and among the 19 two-component models, the group I models give the best reduced $\chi^2_r$: $\chi^2_r = 1.31$ for BKNPOWER + DISKBB, $\chi^2_r = 1.36$ for BKNPOWER + CUTOFFPL and $\chi^2_r = 1.39$ for BKNPOWER + COMPTT. In comparison, the physical model COMPTT + COMPTT of group II gives $\chi^2_r = 1.83$. However, in case of UB spectrum, the $\chi^2_r$ values for several other two-component models are not very different from those for group I models. Therefore, it is difficult to identify the correct UB spectral model from the current data set. Nevertheless, following the results of the LB spectrum, and keeping in mind that the group I models do give the best $\chi^2_r$ values, we suggest that the group I models are the preferred models even for UB spectrum. Note that, unlike the LB spectrum, the BKNPOWER component of the group I models of UB spectrum is not the dominant component (see Fig. 6). The DISKBB component of BKNPOWER + DISKBB model suggests an unphysically small disc inner edge radius (<5.4 km) even for the UB spectrum. Therefore, we propose that BKNPOWER + COMPTT is the most suitable model to describe the UB continuum spectrum of XB 1254–690 in the energy range of 2.5–18.0 keV. The corresponding best-fitting model parameter values are given in Table 4. Note that the addition of an absorption line or edge to the UB spectral model does not affect our conclusions substantially.

3 DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have studied the timing and spectral properties of the NS LMXB XB 1254–690. This atoll source was in the banana state during all the RXTE observations. We have not detected burst oscillations from the two bursts in the analysed data set. This is not surprising as only a fraction of bursts from burst-oscillation sources show oscillations (Galloway et al. 2008). However, this somewhat reduces the significance of the plausible burst-oscillation feature reported in Bhattacharyya (2007) by increasing the number of trials. We report the first evidence of QPOs from this source with frequencies consistent with the ~30–80 Hz QPOs typically observed from atoll sources in intermediate to high states (van der Klis 2006).

We have also done the first systematic study of X-ray continuum spectrum from XB 1254–690. We have fitted a LB spectrum and a UB spectrum with 19 models (see Section 2.3 and Table 1). In both cases, a broken power law plus a Comptonization model...
A. Mukherjee and S. Bhattacharyya

Figure 6. RXTE PCA continuum spectrum of the UB state of the NS LMXB XB 1254−690 (similar to Fig. 5). Fitting with three two-component models (see Section 2.3) is shown in various panels: (a) BKNPOWER + DISKBB; (b) BKNPOWER + CUTOFFPL and (c) BKNPOWER + COMPTT. The normalizations and shapes of the model curves suggest that these panels may represent the similar physical pictures of the source (see Section 2.3). (BKNPOWER + COMPTT) is favoured, and the plasma temperature and the optical depth of the Comptonization component are consistent with the previously reported values for the other sources (Lin et al. 2007). A broken-power-law component was never used to fit the XB 1254−690 continuum spectrum, although it has been recently successfully used for the spectral fitting of Aql X-1 and 4U 1608−52. This component can represent Comptonization either under complex conditions or in combination with another radia-
tion process (e.g. synchrotron; see Section 2.3). These imply that the blackbody radiations from the disc and the boundary layer are entirely processed before reaching the observer. Note that the classical models Eastern and Western give a much worse fit than the BKNPOWER + COMPTT model. For example, $\chi^2$ (d.o.f.) values for Eastern, Western and BKNPOWER + COMPTT models are 1.96 (30), 1.50 (30) and 0.54 (28), respectively, for the LB spectrum. Besides the CUTOFFPL + BBODY and DISKBB + POWERLAW models, which were previously used for XB 1254−690 (Smale et al. 2002; Iaria et al. 2007), give much worse fits ($\chi^2$ (d.o.f.): 1.65 (31) and 1.95 (32), respectively, for the LB). However, although a broken-power-law component gives the best fit, note that it is only a phenomenological model, and should be replaced with a physical model in the future in order to clearly understand the meaning of the best-fitting parameter values. A physical model will also be necessary to interpret the high $\Gamma_2$ of the UB broken power law (Table 4), which indicates a quick cut-off after the first power-law component.

With the best-fitting model in hand, we have attempted to determine the relative energy budgets of the disc and the boundary layer. For the LB spectrum, the flux from the BKNPOWER component is about 2.1 times larger than the COMPTT component (Table 2). Therefore, assuming one component entirely originates from the disc and the other component fully comes out of the boundary layer, we can make the following conclusions using the fig. 5 of Bhattacharyya et al. (2000). (1) The spin frequency depends on the chosen EoS model of the NS, with larger frequencies for softer EoS models. Therefore, an independent measurement of the stellar spin frequency can be useful to constrain the EoS models. (2) If the BKNPOWER component originates from the disc, then the NS is spinning with a frequency close to the break-up frequency (irrespective of the EoS model). However, these simple conclusions may not be reliable for one or more of the following reasons. (1) Each of the spectral components may originate partially from the disc and partially from the boundary layer. (2) Disc emission and/or boundary layer emission may be partially obscured. (3) Boundary layer emission (and also the inner disc emission) may be partially reprocessed by the disc. Besides the BKNPOWER flux is smaller than the COMPTT flux for the lower quality UB spectrum, although the spectral shapes and parameters of these two components are very similar to those of the LB spectrum (see Tables 3 and 4; Figs 5 and 6). This difference in the normalization ratio of the two components may be due to one or more of the above three reasons. One main problem in identifying and understanding the emissions from various source components is the lack of simultaneous broadband [optical, ultraviolet (UV) and X-ray] data. The optical and UV emissions are expected to originate by the reprocessing of the X-ray photons at the outer disc, and hence the correlations among time variations of spectral properties (e.g. Balucinska-Church, Church & Szostek 2004), especially in different wavelengths, will significantly contribute to the understanding of the NS LMXB components. The proposed Astrosat satellite will be useful for such a study in the near future.
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Table 4. Spectral fitting parameters of the UB state of XB 1254–690 for a two-component model (see Section 2.3). Best-fitting values are accompanied with 90 per cent errors.

| Model          | Component | Parametersa | Best-fitting values | Component | Parametersa | Best-fitting values |
|----------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|
| BKNPOWER + COMPTT | BKNPOWER | $\Gamma_1$  | 2.32$^{+0.35}_{-0.32}$ | COMPTT    | $T_0$       | 0.67$^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$ |
|                |           | $E_{\text{break}}$ | 7.22$^{+0.40}_{-0.97}$ |           | $kT$        | 2.79$^{+0.18}_{-0.12}$ |
|                |           | $\Gamma_2$  | 9.99$^{+0.008}_{-0.1}$ |           | TAUP        | 7.78$^{+2.2}_{-1.7}$  |
|                |           | norm        | 0.12$^{+0.22}_{-0.07}$ |           | norm        | 1.08$^{+0.1}_{-0.4}$  |

aDefinitions and units of parameters are same as in Table 3.
bThe large value of the photon index indicates a quick cut-off after the first power-law component (see Section 3).
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