Global Plant Stress Signaling: Reactive Oxygen Species at the Cross-Road
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Current technologies have changed biology into a data-intensive field and significantly increased our understanding of signal transduction pathways in plants. However, global defense signaling networks in plants have not been established yet. Considering the apparent intricate nature of signaling mechanisms in plants (due to their sessile nature), studying the points at which different signaling pathways converge, rather than the branches, represents a good start to unravel global plant signaling networks. In this regard, growing evidence shows that the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) is one of the most common plant responses to different stresses, representing a point at which various signaling pathways come together. In this review, the complex nature of plant stress signaling networks will be discussed. An emphasis on different signaling players with a specific attention to ROS as the primary source of the signaling battery in plants will be presented. The interactions between ROS and other signaling components, e.g., calcium, redox homeostasis, membranes, G-proteins, MAPKs, plant hormones, and transcription factors will be assessed. A better understanding of the vital roles ROS are playing in plant signaling would help innovate new strategies to improve plant productivity under the circumstances of the increasing severity of environmental conditions and the high demand of food and energy worldwide.
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INTRODUCTION

Plants are increasingly subjected to a variety of environmental stresses which diminish the productivity of various economically important crops. Every year, the world loses a huge amount of crop production through scarcity of water, extreme temperatures, high soil salinity, herbivore attack, and pathogen infection.

The sessile nature of plants has resulted in the evolution of complicated protection mechanisms to survive different environmental challenges. One of the stress tolerance mechanisms is the ability to sense complex stress factors and respond appropriately. Activation of complex signaling pathways helps plants to achieve this. To better understand plant signaling pathways would enable us to modify plants to improve their adaptability. However, this requires reducing the complexity associated with signaling pathways. Focusing on the points at which different signaling pathways converge...
converge, rather than studying the branches of these pathways, would be helpful as a starting point. The rapid generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) represents a common plant response to different biotic and abiotic stresses (Lamb and Dixon, 1997; Orozco-Cardenas and Ryan, 1999; Kovtun et al., 2000; Kotchoni and Gachomo, 2006; Mittler et al., 2011; Petrov and Van Breusegem, 2012; Noctor et al., 2014; Xia et al., 2015) and thus a basis to unify signaling events.

Recent genomic technologies, especially global gene expression tools, have not only produced new details about plant signaling pathways but also raised many historical questions including the followings: Is there a specific linear signaling pathway for each stress? If so, what about the observed cross-talk? Is there a big common signaling network from which many branches arise for specificity? If so, what about the different receptors? What do represent the points at which different branches of signaling pathways converge? If ROS are at the points of integrating signaling outputs from different signaling pathways, then what are the ROS receptors? What are the upstream and downstream signaling components of ROS? How do ROS set signaling specificity? What about the photosynthetic machinery that generates ROS; does it and its ROS and redox system represent a primary source of the plant signaling battery? As discussed throughout this review, most of these questions have been answered (or are being answered) while we will be in a better shape in providing more definite answers to the remaining ones in the near future.

This review presents a discussion about these historical questions by considering the so complex nature of plant stress signaling networks. A special attention will be given on reviewing signaling players and events such as receptors/sensors, secondary messengers, specificity, cross-talk, redundancy, feedback regulations, alternative promoter usage, alternative splicing, nucleo-cytoplasmic trafficking, and epigenetics. Here, an attempt will also be made to indicate the kinds of studies required to fill in the gaps. A specific thought to photosynthetic activities and ROS as the primary source of the signaling battery in plants will be presented. As ROS production represents a common plant response to almost all environmental challenges, a special emphasis will be devoted here to ROS production, scavenging, damaging effects, signaling roles and how they work upstream and downstream of other signaling components, e.g., calcium, redox homeostasis, membranes, G-proteins, MAPKs, plant hormones [such as salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid (JA), abscisic acid (ABA), and ethylene] and transcription factors (TFs). We hope to present a holistic summary of various signaling components and concepts that are important for a plant biologist to take into consideration when analyzing signaling events involved in plant response to the ever changing environment. This understanding would help construct comprehensive signaling networks which in turn innovate new strategies to improve plant productivity under the increasing severity of environmental stress conditions and the high global demand for food and energy.

PLANT SIGNALING NETWORKS

Signaling Networks are Complex

Recently, our knowledge about signaling mechanisms in plants starting from stimulus sensing to final response has increased. It is obvious that there is a large number of components underlying signaling mechanisms, including a high degree of interconnectivity, many spatio-temporal levels, and a complicated tune of signal transduction pathways. For example, the changes at the expression of certain genes under a definite environmental condition are not necessarily translated into metabolic and structural changes where the interactions between various aspects, including post-transcriptional and post-translational modifications, compartmentalization, metabolite stability, substrate availability may lead to an unexpected response (Krasensky and Jonak, 2012).

Moreover, it is becoming increasingly clear that signaling networks are not linear; rather they are part of a complicated and dynamic network with substantial overlap among their branches (Knight and Knight, 2001). Accordingly, rather than one sensor, there are many sensors that perceive certain stress conditions and control all downstream signals (Figure 1). Each sensor controls a branch of the signaling pathway.
activated by one aspect of the stress condition. For instance, temperature stress is well-known to change the physical state (fluidity) of membranes (Murata and Los, 1997; Königshofer et al., 2008), but this may not be the only condition that elicits signaling events under this stress. Changes of the conformation/activity of some intracellular proteins may also be involved in signaling to cold stress. Therefore, it is likely that the initial stress signal is perceived by multiple primary sensors, and then a cascade of signaling events is initiated by secondary signals such as plant hormones and calcium, which differ from the primary signal in time (coming late) and space (different compartments). Also, these secondary signals may differ in specificity from primary stimuli, may be shared by various stress pathways, and may underlie the interaction among signaling pathways for different insults and stress cross-protection (Figure 1). Consequently, multiple signaling mechanisms may be activated by one stimulus/stress initiating pathways differ in time, space, and outputs. Using shared signaling intermediates, such as phytohormones, these pathways may interconnect or interact with one another producing an intertwined signaling network (Xiong et al., 2002).

The changes in gene expression do not represent end points for signaling pathways. There are many other aspects, including transcriptional, post-transcriptional and post-translational regulation, redundancy, alternative promoter usage, alternative splicing, protein trafficking, non-coding RNA, and epigenetic effects (Figure 2) that regulate signaling pathways. In the following sections, these latter aspects will be briefly discussed.

**Redundancy and Signaling**

During stress, plant signaling networks have a high ability to compensate the effects of disturbances in neighboring nodes and related signaling pathways (Chiwocha et al., 2005). The simple explanation for functional redundancy may be brought about by duplicate genes that eukaryotic genomes contain (Stelling et al., 2004; De Smet and Van de Peer, 2012). It was reported that about one-quarter of functional redundancy in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* can be explained by compensation by duplicate genes (Gu et al., 2003). However, while sequence redundancy explains some functional redundancy, the ability of networks to compensate the effects of perturbations in neighboring nodes and related pathways could be the main cause (Chiwocha et al., 2005). Of course, this redundancy represents a great advantage to the organism to cope with the ever changing environment. However, this represents a big problem to scientists who are studying signaling transduction pathways, especially when using knockout mutants. They usually prefer an altered phenotype after modulation of single genes (Chiwocha et al., 2005). Therefore, it is imperative, while studying a signaling pathway, to take into consideration the fact that many signaling components can be functionally redundant under a given experimental condition or current phenotypic methods are not sensitive enough to detect the consequences of knocking out single genes. Therefore, gene knockouts should be examined under a variety of experimental conditions, using genome-wide gene expression profiling and other omic technologies wherever possible.

**Alternative Promoter Usage, Alternative Splicing, and Signaling**

Many eukaryotic genes have multiple promoter elements. Each one is subjected to different regulatory factors under different situations. Alternative promoter usage is greatly linked to alternative splicing of internal exons and often has physiological implications (Kornblihtt, 2005). Alternative splicing produces multiple transcripts from the same gene and potentially different proteins. In turn, it represents a key post-transcriptional regulatory mechanism for expanding proteomic diversity and functional complexity in higher eukaryotes (Reddy, 2007; Carvalho et al., 2012). At the level of post-transcriptional mRNA processing, alternative splicing represents the primary mechanism to control the number of intracellular components (Bardo et al., 2002). There is substantial evidence that cellular signaling networks control the number and types of network components using alternative splicing. In the human genome, it was reported that 40–60% of the genes are subjected to alternative-splicing, with estimates of an average of 8 exons per gene (Thanaraj, 2004). In plants, alternative splicing has received less attention because this phenomenon was rare to be considered (Reddy, 2007). Others reported that, in plants, alternative splicing is ubiquitous and can mediate a bounty of transcriptome and proteome complexity (Kazan, 2003; Wang and Brendel, 2006). In the model plant *Arabidopsis*, 4,707 genes showed 8,264 alternative splicing events (Wang and Brendel, 2006). It was reported that alternative splicing of introns is involved in the regulation of the kinase activity of the MIK

**FIGURE 2** Examples of aspects that have to be taken into consideration while studying signaling networks.
GCK-like MAP4K. Four different mature miRNAs of MIK were found to be accumulated with different expression profiles during maize development (Castells et al., 2006). Under stress conditions alternative splicing of pre-mRNAs dramatically increases (Reddy, 2007). Virus infection led to multiple novel intron-retaining splice variants in Brachypodium distachyon (Mandadi et al., 2015). So, while studying signaling networks, it is important to consider not only the signaling events leading to transcriptional changes, but also protein modifications (such as phosphorylation and glycosylation).

Protein Trafficking and Signaling
The nuclear envelope separates the nuclear compartment containing the genes from the cytoplasm where mRNA translation and protein synthesis occurs. Therefore, all nuclear proteins, including TFs, must be imported to the nucleus. This nucleo-cytoplasmic trafficking is under complex control. The Arabidopsis genome, for example, contains at least 17 genes encoding importin B-like nuclear transport receptors (Bollman et al., 2003). TFs and kinases are the main regulatory components in almost all signaling pathways. Hence, it is important, while studying signal transduction pathways, to consider not only the signaling events modulating the expression of regulatory genes and proteins and their downstream interactors, but also to think about how the access of these TFs to the target genes is regulated. In general, control of trafficking on both the level of TF activity and the level of nucleo-cytoplasmic partitioning are combined to create a redundant network of regulatory switches to orchestrate different signaling mechanisms (Merkle, 2004; Parry, 2015). During pathogen infection, recent reports have suggested the involvement of the nucleo-cytoplasmic trafficking of plant R proteins to achieve effector-triggered immunity and mediate disease resistance (Shen et al., 2007; Liu and Coaker, 2008). For more intensive discussion on nucleo-cytoplasmic trafficking and signaling, see Merkle (2004) and Parry (2015).

MicroRNA and Signaling
At the post-transcriptional level, microRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of small non-coding RNAs that are increasingly being recognized as key modulators of gene expression (Covarribias and Reyes, 2010; van Rooij, 2011; Ding et al., 2013, 2015). miRNAs regulate the expression of relevant genes by binding to reverse complementary sequences, resulting in cleavage or translational inhibition of the target RNAs (Khraiwesh et al., 2012). miRNAs are reported to play important roles in biotic and abiotic stress responses in plants. Through repressing the expression of the respective target genes encoding regulatory and functional proteins, various miRNAs were reported to play crucial roles in drought stress responses, including ABA response, osmoprotection, and antioxidant defense (Ding et al., 2013). It was reported that H$_2$O$_2$ stress led to differential expression of seven miRNA families. The targets of these H$_2$O$_2$-responsive miRNAs were found to be involved in different cellular responses and metabolic processes including transcriptional regulation, nutrient transport, and programmed cell death (PCD; Li et al., 2011). The downregulation of miR398 was found to mediate post-transcriptional induction of two Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase (SOD) genes and be important for oxidative stress tolerance in Arabidopsis (Sunkar et al., 2006). During biotic stress, miRNAs were found to contribute to antibacterial resistance of Arabidopsis against Pseudomonas syringae via repressing auxin signaling (Navarro et al., 2006).

Epigenetic Effects and Signaling
Epigenetics (the study of heritable changes in gene expression that are not due to changes in DNA sequence; Bird, 2007) has become one of the hottest subjects of research in plant functional genomics since it plays an important role in developmental gene regulation, response to environmental stresses, and in natural variation of gene expression levels (Chinnusamy and Zhu, 2009; Sahu et al., 2013; Springer, 2013). Epigenetic effects are ascribed to a variety of molecular mechanisms including stable changes in protein structure, expression of small RNAs, and chromatin modifications. Chromatin modifications include DNA methylation, histone variants, remodeling of chromatin structure, and modification of histones including acetylation, methylation, ubiquitination, and phosphorylation (Springer, 2013). These mechanisms have the ability to regulate almost all genetic functions, including replication, DNA repair, gene transcription, gene transposition, and cell differentiation. For example, modifications in chromatin and generation of small RNAs have been shown to be involved in transcriptional and post-transcriptional control of gene expression during stress responses in plants (Madlung and Comai, 2004; Angers et al., 2010). These modifications are tissue-, species-, organelle-, and age-specific (Vanyakshin and Ashapkin, 2011). The changes in hormonal levels that occur during biotic and abiotic stresses can control DNA methylation and other epigenetic effects (Zhang et al., 2012) resulting in plant adaptation (Mironze and Paszkowski, 2011). Consequently, decoding how epigenetic mechanisms work in developmental gene regulation and during plant response to the environmental stresses is important. In turn, deciphering these mechanisms will also provide valuable information for potential applications, including genetic manipulation of plants toward enhanced tolerance to environmental stresses (Sahu et al., 2013; Springer, 2013).

Construction of Ever-Larger Signaling Networks is an Urgent Task
Indeed, cellular, genetic, genomic, proteomic, and metabolomic data platforms have resulted in increasingly more detailed descriptions of signaling mechanisms, which have raised the necessity for construction of ever-larger signaling networks (Papin et al., 2005; Baginsky et al., 2010). Understanding the function of these signaling networks through reconstructing the available data about signaling pathways is crucial for studying plant's responses to different diseases and stresses.

Therefore, it is important to somewhat simplify this complexity. The start could be at the points at which the different signaling pathways converge, rather than studying the
branches. Consequently, studying the phenomenon of cross-talk may represent a good point to start to unravel global signaling networks. Additionally, tolerance across different stresses is extremely important for agriculture where plants with tolerance to more than one stress can be produced through breeding as well as transformation (Sewelam et al., 2014). It was stated that, although different environmental challenges use unique mechanisms to initiate their specific responses, all forms of stresses seem to induce a common set of responses (Levitt, 1972). More recently, it was reported that different stress-induced changes in gene and protein expression include similar fingerprints under various environmental insults in different organisms (Desikan et al., 2001; Scandalios, 2002; Laloi et al., 2004; Polidoros et al., 2005; Walley and Dehesh, 2009; Baena-González, 2010; Atkinson and Urwin, 2012). In this regard, it was found that the accelerated generation of ROS is a common plant response to different biotic and abiotic stresses (Allen et al., 1995; Goulet et al., 1997; Noctor, 1998; Orozco-Cardenas and Ryan, 1999; Asai et al., 2000; Miller et al., 2010; Petrov and Van Breusegem, 2012; Noctor et al., 2014; Perez and Brown, 2014; Hossain et al., 2015; Xia et al., 2015). The remainder of this review will be devoted for studying ROS signaling. ROS production, scavenging, damaging effects, signaling roles and how ROS work upstream or downstream of other signaling components will be discussed.

REACTIVE OXYGEN SPECIES AT THE CROSS-ROAD

During normal growth and development, ROS are produced in different cellular compartments in living cells with increased production under biotic and abiotic challenges (Figure 3; Møller et al., 2007; Miller et al., 2010). The traditional notion that ROS are toxic by-products of plant metabolism has changed. Substantial experimental data are available assuring that ROS are highly controlled signaling molecules able to transfer the environmental signals, with other signaling intermediates, to the genetic machinery (Polidoros et al., 2005). Here, we present a summary about ROS chemistry and signaling that would help understanding of the next sections. For detailed descriptions, we suggest the following reviews; Mittler (2002), Apel and Hirt (2004), Laloi et al. (2004), Mittler et al. (2004, 2011), Asada (2006), Halliwell (2006), Møller et al. (2007), Heller and Tudzynski (2011), Wrzaczek et al. (2013), Baxter et al. (2014).

Definition and Chemistry of ROS

Molecular oxygen, in its ground state, is relatively unreactive. Nevertheless, during normal metabolic activity, and as a result of various environmental stresses, O2 is capable of giving rise to dangerous reactive states such as free radicals (Polidoros et al., 2005; Phaniendra et al., 2015). Reactive oxygen intermediates may result from the excitation of O2 to form singlet oxygen (1O2; Triantaphylides and Havaux, 2009) or from the transfer of one, two, or three electrons to O2 to form, respectively, a superoxide radical (O2•−), H2O2 or a hydroxyl radical (OH•; Mittler, 2002). The free radical might be defined as any species capable of an independent existence that contains one or more unpaired electrons; an unpaired electron being one that is alone in an orbital (Halliwell, 1991; Figure 4).

Radicals are generally more reactive than non-radicals because electrons are more stable when paired together in orbitals, but when an electron occupies an orbital by itself it has two possible directions of spin. On the other hand, H2O2 and singlet oxygen, themselves, can be quite toxic to cells although they are non-radicals. Accordingly, the term ROS has been introduced to describe collectively, not only free radicals but also other toxic non-radicals (Halliwell, 1991).

ROS Production In vivo

Reactive oxygen species are generated during normal metabolic processes. In addition, they are produced as an inevitable result of electron transport chains in chloroplast and mitochondria. As a result, electrons fall onto O2, generating different ROS. Furthermore, abiotic and biotic stresses can further exaggerate the production and accumulation of ROS (Bhattacharjee, 2005). Mittler (2002) mentioned ten sources for production of ROS in plant cells, including, in addition to photosynthetic and respiratory electron transport chains, NADPH oxidase, photorespiration, amine oxidase, and cell wall-bound peroxidases. In chloroplasts, for example, ROS can be produced at photosystem I (PSI) as well as at PSII. During stress conditions the absorbed light energy exceeds the capacity of photosynthesis to use it through photosynthetic electron transport. As a result, various ROS are formed, including singlet oxygen (1O2) at PSI and superoxide radicals (O2•−) at PSI and

![Figure 3](https://example.com/figure3.png) Schematic presentation showing that ROS are versatile signaling molecules during plant response to different stresses.

![Figure 4](https://example.com/figure4.png) Hydroxyl radical (OH•) as an example for ROS.
PSII as byproducts (Pospisil et al., 2004; Asada, 2006; Schmitt et al., 2014). At PSII, the excess energy may be transferred from excited chlorophyll to molecular oxygen (energy is transferred not electrons) forming \( 1 \text{O}_2 \) as indicated below;

\[
\text{Pigment} + \text{light} \rightarrow \text{excited pigment (P*)} \\
P^* + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{P} + \text{O}_2 \\
\text{Reaction (1)}
\]

Under certain conditions (when the transport of photosynthetic products out of the chloroplast or the re-oxidation of NADPH is inhibited as occurs during different stresses) and \( \text{O}_2 \) reduction (electron transfer) at PSI, superoxide radical formation takes place (Furbank et al., 1983). Then these \( \text{O}_2^- \) radicals are dismutated into \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) spontaneously as well as through the action of SOD. Later on, inside the chloroplast, \( \text{O}_2^- \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) can react with each other in the presence of soluble metal ions, such as iron, to form the more reactive hydroxyl radicals according to the Haber–Weiss reaction (Bowler et al., 1992).

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2^- + \text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{OH}^* + \text{O}_2 + \text{OH}^- \\
\text{Reaction (2)}
\]

On the other hand, mitochondria represent a main source for ROS generation in aerobic organisms. It was estimated that from 1 to 5% of the oxygen taken up by isolated mitochondria is used in ROS production (Møller et al., 2007). The complete reduction of \( \text{O}_2 \) to water through the respiratory electron transport chain requires four electrons.

\[
\text{O}_2 + 4\text{e}^- + 4\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{Reaction (3)}
\]

But, as a consequence of spin restrictions, \( \text{O}_2 \) cannot accept the four electrons at once, but one at a time. As a result, during \( \text{O}_2 \) reduction, stable ROS intermediates such as \( \text{O}_2^2^- \), \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), and \( \text{OH}^* \) are formed in a stepwise fashion as follow:

\[
\text{O}_2 + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{O}_2^2^- \\
\text{O}_2^2^- + \text{e}^- + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \\
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{e}^- + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{OH}^* \\
\text{OH}^* + \text{e}^- + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{Reaction (4)}
\]

In peroxisomes, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is produced during the process of photosynthesis via the action of the enzyme glycolate oxidase (Møller et al., 2007). Also, the plasma membrane-bound NADPH oxidases make a big contribution to ROS production in plant cells, especially during pathogen infections (Torres and Dangl, 2005).

**Damaging Effects and Scavenging of ROS**

Plants are well-adapted for minimizing the damage that could be induced by ROS under natural growth conditions. However, \( \text{O}_2 \) toxicity emerges when the production of ROS exceeds the quenching capacity of the protective systems due to stress conditions (Bowler et al., 1992; Yuasa et al., 2001; Miller et al., 2009; Akter et al., 2015). As a consequence, different ROS, including \( \text{O}_2^2^- \), \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), \( \text{OH}^* \), and singlet oxygen, are formed, leading to oxidizing and destroying lipids, proteins, and DNA in the stressed cells (for intense information on this topic see Scandalias, 2005; Møller et al., 2007; Vanderauwera et al., 2011). Thus, plant cells have evolved antioxidant mechanisms to combat the danger posed by the presence of ROS (Baxter et al., 2007; Gill and Tuteja, 2010; Miller et al., 2010; Heller and Tudzynski, 2011; Wrzaczek et al., 2013; Schmitt et al., 2014). Mittler (2002) has reported the presence of ten mechanisms to remove ROS, in addition to five ways to avoid ROS production in plant cells. These include several enzymatic and non-enzymatic mechanisms. The enzymatic mechanisms include antioxidant enzymes, such as SOD (which converts \( \text{O}_2^2^- \) to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)), catalases and peroxidases (which remove \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)). The non-enzymatic mechanisms of ROS removal include antioxidant molecules, such as ascorbic acid, glutathione, carotenoids, and \( \alpha \)-tocopherol (Noctor, 1998; Asada, 1999; Mittler, 2002). It was reported that there is a network of 152 genes involved in managing the level of ROS in *Arabidopsis* (Mittler et al., 2004).

In addition to these antioxidant mechanisms which scavenge the already formed ROS, plants have evolved smart ways to avoid the production of toxic forms of oxygen. These avoiding mechanisms include anatomical adaptations, such as leaf movement and curling, C4 or CAM (Crassulacean Acid Metabolism), chlorophyll movement, suppression of photosynthesis, and photosystems and antenna modulators (Maxwell et al., 1999; Mittler, 2002).

**ROS Signaling and Specificity**

For a signaling molecule to be effective, it needs to be produced quickly and efficiently on demand, to induce distinct effects within the cell, and to be removed rapidly and efficiently when no longer required (Neill et al., 2003). ROS are produced instantly after the onset of the stress. In addition, ROS are very reactive; they can react with membrane lipids, carbohydrates, proteins and DNA. ROS such as \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) can diffuse through the biological membranes through aquaporins (Bienert et al., 2007; D’Autreaux and Toledano, 2007; Dynowski et al., 2008; Mubarakashina et al., 2010; Borisova et al., 2012) leading to systemic responses. Moreover, living cells have very efficient antioxidant systems, including enzymatic and non-enzymatic mechanisms, to put ROS under a precise control (Foyer and Noctor, 2005). Collectively, all of these features of ROS render them ideal signaling components.

Levine et al. (1994) have suggested a signaling role for \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), controlling the hypersensitive response and promoting the expression of glutathione-S-transferase and glutathione peroxidase encoding genes. Many studies have suggested signaling roles for ROS in developmental processes as well as biotic and abiotic responses (Apel and Hirt, 2004; Foyer and Noctor, 2005; Gadjev et al., 2006; Miller et al., 2009; Mittler et al., 2011; Wrzaczek et al., 2013; Perez and Brown, 2014). In an early study, the genomic response of *Escherichia coli*
cells to H$_2$O$_2$ treatment was examined with a DNA microarray composed of 4169 open reading frames (Li et al., 2001). In this study, the mRNA of 140 genes (in wild-type) was considerably induced after H$_2$O$_2$ treatment. On exposure of S. cerevisiae cells to H$_2$O$_2$, expression of about one-third of all yeast genes had changed suggesting that ROS can cause massive alterations in the biology of the oxidative-stressed cells (Gash et al., 2000). Using cDNA microarray technology from a sample of 11,000 expressed sequence tags (ESTs), 175 non-redundant EST were identified that are regulated by H$_2$O$_2$ in Arabidopsis (Desikan et al., 2001).

To this end, it is quite evident that ROS operate as intracellular signaling molecules, but how they can set specific signaling duties is still controversial. This controversy arises from what seems to be a paradox between the reactive nature of ROS that renders them indiscriminate and the specificity that is required for signaling (D’Autreaux and Toledano, 2007). In general, the specificity in signaling pathways is mediated via the non-covalent binding of a ligand to its cognate receptor through a shape-complementary fit between macromolecules. On the other side, ROS deliver signaling events via chemical reactions with specific atoms, such as iron (Fe) and sulphur (S), of target proteins that lead to protein modifications (Nathan, 2003). ROS can also react with different target proteins whenever the chemical reaction is possible. The remaining question is how specificity in ROS signaling is managed? By looking into the chemical characteristics and the biological activities of each ROS, including O$_2^*$, H$_2$O$_2$, OH* and singlet oxygen (1O$_2$), an answer to this question could be revealed.

O$_2^*$ is a by-product of electron transport chains of photosynthesis and respiration and is produced by NADPH oxidases and cell wall peroxidases. In E. coli, the steady-state concentration of O$_2^*$ is very low (∼10$^{-11}$ M; Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1999), which reflects its instability; this is mainly due to spontaneous and SOD-mediated O$_2^*$ dismutation to H$_2$O$_2$. The instability of O$_2^*$ and its inability to diffuse through membranes because of its negative charge make this ROS relatively poor signaling molecule. However, due to high attraction, O$_2^*$ oxidizes Fe–S clusters at a rate that is almost diffusion limited (Storz et al., 1990; Storz and Imlay, 1999).

H$_2$O$_2$ is actually a poor oxidant and reacts mildly with [Fe–S] (rate constant of 10$^2$–10$^3$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$), loosely bound metals and, very slowly, with glutathione and free cysteine (Cys) (Imlay, 2003). By contrast, its reactivity toward Cys residues can significantly increase to 10$^{-6}$–10$^{-5}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. H$_2$O$_2$ is relatively stable (cellular half-life ∼1 ms, steady-state levels ∼10$^{-7}$ M; D’Autreaux and Toledano, 2007), and can diffuse through biological membranes because it is not charged. Its selective reactivity, stability and diffusability make H$_2$O$_2$ fit for signaling. As a second messenger, H$_2$O$_2$ can mediate intracellular signal transduction through chemoselective oxidation of Cys residues in signaling proteins, such as glutathione, thioredoxins, and peroxiredoxins (Paulsen and Carroll, 2010).

OH* is the most highly toxic ROS. It has high indiscriminate reactivity, which limits its diffusion to sites of production (half-life 10$^{-9}$ s; Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1999), even though OH* seems to operate in H$_2$O$_2$ sensing (D’Autreaux and Toledano, 2007).

Singlet oxygen (1O$_2$) is an excited state molecule. The half-life time of 1O$_2$ is very short (∼100 ns) and it can travel only a very short distance in cells (<100 nm; Moan, 1990; Niedere et al., 2002). This could be because it reacts very rapidly with amino acids, unsaturated lipids, and other cell constituents. As a result, 1O$_2$ can react directly only with molecules in close proximity to its production location, i.e., in the chloroplast (Kochevar, 2004; Triantaphylides and Havaux, 2009). This means that 1O$_2$ could deliver specific signaling events mainly through spatial aspects of ROS production.

In addition to the previous chemical characteristics that render ROS able to set specificity as signaling molecules, a non-ROS intermediate in a ROS signaling pathway can regulate additional pathways that are physically non-adjacent to the pathway in which it was formed (Nathan, 2003). For instance, a ROS that is produced in a cellular compartment could specifically activate a secondary messenger such as a MAPK or a plant hormone, which in turn activate remote signaling pathways. In Arabidopsis, it was suggested that histidine kinase ethylene receptor ETR1 is important for H$_2$O$_2$ perception during stomatal closure (Bright et al., 2006). In addition, it was reported that indirect activation of TFs by ROS may be mediated by some members of MAPK cascades (Asai et al., 2000). Interestingly, it was shown that H$_2$O$_2$ originating in different subcellular sites induces different responses. H$_2$O$_2$ produced in chloroplasts was found to activate early signaling responses, including TFs and biosynthetic genes involved in production of secondary signaling messengers; while H$_2$O$_2$ produced in peroxisomes was found to induce transcripts involved in protein repair responses (Sewelam et al., 2014a). Moreover, ROS-mediated changes in the cellular redox homeostasis could set highly specific signaling roles for ROS. For example, different pathways could sense and weigh the change in cellular redox balance resulting from the change of intracellular ROS concentration, then translate these changes into highly specific cellular signals that direct the cell to produce a relevant adaptive response (Foyer and Noctor, 2005). In simple organisms, such as bacteria and yeast, the enhanced production of ROS is perceived by change in redox homeostasis which in turn is delivered to redox sensitive TFs (Costa and Moradas-Ferreira, 2001; Georgiou, 2002). In addition, it has been proposed that ROS may be perceived indirectly by sensing changes in the cellular redox potential (Price et al., 1994) or by detecting the products of ROS-inflicted damage (Evans et al., 2005). In addition, ROS can generate specific signaling effects through the peptides produced from proteolytic breakdown of oxidatively damaged proteins which act as secondary ROS messengers and contribute to a retrograde ROS signaling during different environmental challenges that generate oxidative stress (Moller and Sweetlove, 2010).

**Components Involved in ROS Signaling**

The perceived ROS signals work upstream as well as downstream from many other second messengers in addition to many feedback and feedforward regulations in an interwoven manner to establish specific responses to different developmental and environmental cues. Currently, a major gap exists in our understanding of how ROS induce large-scale and coordinated
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expression from many genes. In addition, the big challenge is to identify the upstream sensing and signaling events through which ROS are perceived and delivered to the ROS-induced TFs. Do ROS activate the expression of TFs directly or through another set of signaling intermediates? How could secondary messengers such as G proteins, MAPKs, Ca^{2+}, JA, SA, and ABA mediate the ROS signals and which one is upstream or downstream from each other? Does ROS, produced passively during different stresses through their damaging effects on cellular structures, induce signaling events that differ from those signaling events produced actively through activation of cell membrane-bound enzymes, like NADPH oxidases? The discussion below is an overview of the interconnectivity between ROS and other individual components involved in plant signal transduction pathways (Figure 5).

**Photosynthetic Activity**

Photosynthesis represents the most peculiar feature that distinguishes plant and animal systems. In photosynthesis, through intersystem electron transport, the light energy captured by photosynthetic pigments is transformed into chemical energy which pumps reductants (NADPH) and ATP into the Calvin cycle (dark reactions) supplying carbon skeletons (sugars) for all major metabolic processes (Kromer, 1995). In addition to this role, plastids synthesize and store a large number of biomolecules, including carbohydrates, amino acids, fatty acids, and plant hormones (Buchanan et al., 2000). Therefore, it is self-evident that any change or imbalance in the function of the chloroplast will affect directly or/and indirectly the other cellular functions in plant cells. Consequently, environmental challenges can be primarily sensed via production of ROS and the concomitant changes of redox homeostasis of the chloroplast that act synergistically with other signaling pathways inducing then adaptive molecular and physiological responses (Huner et al., 1996). Earlier studies have suggested that the redox state of plastoquinone controls the rate of transcription of the chloroplast genes encoding reaction-center apoproteins of photosystem I and photosystem II (Pfannschmidt et al., 1999, 2009). Recently, it was reported that chloroplasts are able to sense light conditions and generate a remote control to modulate the nuclear gene expression to face the changing environment (Koussevitzky et al., 2007; Pogson et al., 2008; Pfannschmidt et al., 2009; Godoy Herz et al., 2014). As a retrograde signaling pathway, the redox state of components of the photosynthetic electron transport chain can sense the changes in photosynthetic activity and in turn affect the nuclear gene expression (Fey et al., 2005; Nott et al., 2006; Godoy Herz et al., 2014). The plastidial metabolite, methylenetriol cycldiphosphate (MEcPP), was found to regulate the expression of nuclear stress-response genes through a retrograde signal from the chloroplast to the nucleus (Xiao et al., 2012).

The thylakoid membranes and the involved redox complexes of the photosynthetic apparatus, especially the light energy harvesting PSII, are very sensitive targets to various environmental stress factors. During stress, excess of photosynthetically active light leads to the formation of ROS (e.g., \(O_2^-\) and \(OH^-\); Glatz et al., 1999), which can induce membrane damage by attacking double bonds of unsaturated fatty acids. These effects are also expected to feedback signals for stress gene expression via the pathway which senses the physical state of the membrane (Glatz et al., 1999). This observation may reinforce the idea that receptors/sensors at the cell surface or the cell membranes could perceive stimuli, not directly from the stress, but indirectly through the chloroplast stress signals. It was reported that \(H_2O_2\) from chloroplasts led to the induced expression of many genes coding for membrane-bound receptor proteins and signaling components (Sewelam et al., 2014a). In ozone-treated *Arabidopsis* leaves, Joo et al. (2005) reported that the chloroplastic ROS signal contributes to activating the membrane associated NADPH oxidases in intercellular signaling during the early component of the oxidative burst. Accordingly, they suggested that signaling from the chloroplast is central for oxidative stress induction by \(O_3\). Other studies also found that induction of light and stress response requires chloroplast signaling mediated by ROS (Allen et al., 1999; Fryer et al., 2003; Agrawal et al., 2004; Serrato et al., 2013).

Relatively little attention has been given to the role of photoproduced \(H_2O_2\) and other ROS in defense signaling (Delledonne et al., 2001; Parker et al., 2001). This may be because the current models of signaling pathways controlling plant defense against pathogen infection are based mainly on animal models. Recently, new research has led to the development of models incorporating how the signaling pathways that are involved in light perception and in defense could operate and interact to form a complete defense signaling network, which includes systems to sense light and regulate gene expression. In this context, it was suggested that signals from
the chloroplast and LESION SIMULATING DISEASE1 are integrated to mediate crosstalk between light acclimation and disease resistance in Arabidopsis (Mühlenbock et al., 2008). ROS produced from chloroplasts during the infection with Xanthomonas campestris play a major role in localized cell death in the non-host interaction between tobacco and this bacterial species (Zurbriggen et al., 2009). It was suggested that the chloroplast protein RPH1, a positive regulator of Phytophthora brassicaceae-induced oxidative burst, plays a role in the defense response of Arabidopsis and potato to P. brassicaceae (Belha et al., 2009). Based on these observations, it is clear that thylakoid membranes and hence photosynthesis, play a vital and very early role in stress sensing and signaling in plants, an idea that should be considered when constructing plant signaling networks.

**Redox Homeostasis**

In plants, the continuous energy conversions, in the chloroplast and mitochondria, and the optimal use of the available light energy are only guaranteed when all reduction–oxidation (redox) processes are under precise control. Information on the redox situation is generated and transferred by various redox components, including various ROS and different antioxidants, that are parts of a robust network that links metabolism with regulation and signaling. Under environmental challenges, the imbalance in the network is sensed, and transformed into redox signals that are transmitted in order to elicit specific responses at various levels of regulation and in different subcellular compartments (Scheibe and Dietz, 2012). Thus, ROS and redox cues, generated under stress conditions, are essential to control the main metabolic processes through which cells convert and distribute the energy and metabolic fluxes, optimize different cell functions, activate acclimation responses through retrograde signaling, and control whole-plant systemic signaling pathways (Noctor, 2006; Suzuki et al., 2012). Redox homeostasis in the plant cell is considered to be an “integrator” of information from the environment controlling plant growth and stress responses, as well as cell death events (Dietz, 2003; Foyer and Noctor, 2009; Potters et al., 2010). The antioxidants, ascorbate, glutathione, carotenoids, and tocopherol, are information-rich redox buffers that affect numerous cellular components. In addition to their vital roles in stress response and as enzyme cofactors, cellular redox components influence plant growth and development by orchestrating processes from cell division to senescence and cell death (de Pinto and De Gara, 2004; Potters et al., 2004; Tokunaga et al., 2005; Halliwell, 2006). Most importantly, antioxidants influence gene expression associated with responses to different environmental cues to maximize defense through tuning cellular ROS levels and redox state (Foyer and Noctor, 2005). Proteins with oxidisable thiols such as glutathione and thioredoxin-1 are crucial for many functions of cell nuclei, including transcription, chromatin stability, nucleo-cytoplasmic trafficking, and DNA replication and repair (Nose, 2005; Go and Jones, 2010; Lukosz et al., 2010). From bacteria to humans, the triplet peptide, glutathione, is involved in protein S-glutathionylation where it regulates a variety of cellular processes by modulating protein function and prevents irreversible oxidation of protein thiols under unfavorable conditions (Dalle-Donne et al., 2009).

As redox homeostasis is greatly influenced by most, if not all, conditions that affect plant growth and development, the changes of intracellular redox determine various signaling events through their interaction with many other secondary messengers, such as protein kinases and phosphatases, phytohormones and calcium. Intensive current research might confirm that ROS-antioxidant interactions act as a metabolic interface between environmental changes and the concomitant signaling responses (Foyer and Noctor, 2005, 2012). For example, the redox state determined by the ROS-antioxidant interactions could regulate, directly or indirectly, the work of TFs, such as TGA, Athb-9, and RAP2 and hence the regulation of the expression of their downstream genes (Dietz, 2008).

**Membranes**

The plasma membrane, as the selective barrier between living cells and their environments, plays a pivotal role in the perception of the changes in the surrounding environment (Guo et al., 2002). As a consequence of their rapid ability to modify their physical state, cellular membranes are not only the primary sites of stress damage, but also able to perceive environmental insults and activate remotely stress-defense genes (Glaz et al., 1999). The microdomain organization and physical state of cell membranes is known to be a very sensitive monitor of different environmental changes (Horvath et al., 1998). It was stated that heat stress changes the membrane fluidity and H2O2 responds rapidly to this change, leading to the activation of small heat shock protein synthesis (Königshofer et al., 2008).

As a component of cell membranes, ion channels play a vital role in the transduction of environmental and internal signals (Binder et al., 2003). Ion channels are proteins forming hydrophilic pathways through the plasma membranes (Barnes et al., 1997). They function as permeation pores through which the electrically charged species can pass across biological membranes. It was reported that ion channels are directly involved in important cellular processes, such as plant defense responses induced by elicitors (Czempinski et al., 1997), light perception (Ermolayeva et al., 1997), and mechanical signals (Cosgrove and Hedrich, 1991). For example, it was reported that the efficiency of H2O2 signaling between cells is controlled by plasma membrane aquaporin pores where the expression of several plant plasma membrane aquaporins in yeast, such as Arabidopsis plasma membrane intrinsic protein Pip2.1, was found to enhance the toxicity of H2O2 when yeast cells were exposed to H2O2 treatment (Dynowski et al., 2008). It was found that the disruption of a cyclic nucleotide-gated calcium channel gene causes a hyper-thermosensitive phenotype in Arabidopsis and moss indicating that the plasma membrane cyclic nucleotide-gated calcium channels control plant thermal sensing and acquired thermotolerance (Saidi et al., 2009; Finka et al., 2012).

During exposure to stress, the major role of phospholipids, the backbone of cellular membranes, may be to serve as precursors for the generation of secondary messenger signaling molecules, such as phosphatidylinositol, inositol 1,4,5-tiphosphate (IP3),
diacylglycerol, and jasmonates. IP3 and diacylglycerol are secondary messengers that can activate protein kinase and induce Ca^{2+} release, respectively. Additionally, IP3 itself is a signal and may be involved in several processes, such as the recruitment of signaling complexes to specific membrane location and their assembly (Guo et al., 2002). In addition, under oxidative stress, polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) are attacked by different ROS, specially {O}_2^- and OH^·. This causes production of lipid hydroperoxides, leading to a decrease of membrane fluidity (Møller et al., 2007). In turn, this change in membrane physical state could activate downstream signaling intermediates.

**NADPH Oxidases**

Membrane-bound NADPH oxidases are a group of enzymes that catalyze the production of superoxide radicals (O_{2}^-) in animals and plants (Sagi and Fluhr, 2006). In mammals, NADPH oxidases are also called respiratory burst oxidases (Rboh). Because of their functional homology with mammals, plant NADPH oxidases are known as respiratory burst oxidase homolog (Rboh; Torres and Dangl, 2005). In plants, Rboh enzymes are the source of ROS production under pathogen infection and in many of other processes (Torres and Dangl, 2005). The ability of Rboh enzymes to integrate various signaling players, such as calcium and protein phosphorylation with ROS production, suggests a crucial role for Rboh in many different biological processes in cells, and places them at the core of the ROS signaling network of cells, revealing their important functions in plants (Suzuki et al., 2012; Kadota et al., 2014).

In *Arabidopsis*, there are ten Rboh genes (Torres et al., 1998; Dangl and Jones, 2001). Many studies have reported the induction of Rboh gene expression by pathogens and fungal elicitors (Simon-Plas et al., 2002; Yoshioka et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2006). In addition, using mutant analysis, it was suggested that RbohD and RbohF are required for ROS production and cell death in *Arabidopsis* plants infected with *P. syringae* or *Peronospora parasitica* (Torres et al., 2002). The same group (Torres et al., 2005) reported that RbohD is required for ROS production but this ROS antagonizes cell death induced by *Pseudomonas* infection. In *Nicotiana benthamiana*, silencing of NbrbohA and NbrbohB led to reduction of ROS production and reduced resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* infection (Yoshioka et al., 2003). The *Arabidopsis* RbohF was suggested to be a vital player in defense-associated metabolism and a key factor in the interaction between oxidative stress and pathogen infection (Chaouch et al., 2012). Regarding the involvement of Rboh in abiotic interactions, it was reported that the *Arabidopsis* RbohD gene is involved in ROS-inducing a rapid systemic signal during various stress factors, such as heat, cold, high light, and salinity (Miller et al., 2009). The abiotic stress-mediating phytohormone ABA was reported to be regulated by the action of RbohD and RbohF in different ROS-ABA signaling pathways (Kwak et al., 2003; Joo et al., 2005; Xue and Seifert, 2015). In a microarray experiment, RbohD expression was downregulated by ABA treatment but upregulated by H_{2}O_{2} treatment in *Arabidopsis* (Barraud et al., 2006). During salt stress, ROS produced by both AtrbohD and AtrbohF seem to function as signal molecules to regulate Na^+/?K^+ homeostasis, where the two *Arabidopsis* double mutants atrbohD1/F1 and atrbohD2/F2 were found to produce less ROS and to be much more sensitive to NaCl treatments than wild-type (Ma et al., 2012). RbohD was found to contribute to the ROS-responsive expression of ERF6, a ROS regulator TF in *Arabidopsis* (Sewelam et al., 2013). As NADPH oxidases are physically located at the plasma membrane, they are proposed to play an early and vital signaling role and should be highly considered when constructing plant signaling networks.

**G Proteins**

GTP-binding proteins (G proteins) are found in almost all organisms from prokaryotes to humans (Assmann, 2004). G proteins mediate stimulus perception by G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCR), in addition to other regulatory proteins. In humans, there are about 1000 GPCR, representing the largest group of cell surface receptors encoded by mammalian genome (Nagarathnam et al., 2012). It is estimated that about 60% of all drugs currently available target G-protein-based pathways and G protein component disorders have been found to cause various genetic diseases (Assmann, 2004). G proteins are heterotrimeric proteins composed of three monomers; α, β, and γ. About 20 G protein α subunits (Gα), 6 Gβ subunits, and 20 Gγ subunits have been characterized in mammals (Gutkind, 2000). Controversially, in plants the situation seems to be much simpler than that in animal systems. For example, it was reported that the *Arabidopsis* genome encodes only single Gα and Gβ subunits, two Gγ subunits, just one GPCR protein, and one regulator of G protein signaling (Assmann, 2004).

The involvement of G proteins in plant stress signaling is evident, especially in plant-pathogen interactions (Assmann, 2005; Trusov et al., 2009; Maruta et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015). Regarding ROS, many studies have suggested a tight relationship between ROS and G proteins in stress-mediated plant signaling. It was reported that, on exposure of *Arabidopsis* leaves to ozone, the first biphasic oxidative burst is greatly attenuated or completely absent in mutant plants lacking Gα protein or Gβ protein. This finding suggests that the ROS produced by ozone in the apoplastic fluid do not themselves enter cells to activate intracellular ROS-producing systems. Rather, the extracellular ROS activate the G protein either directly or indirectly (Joo et al., 2005). It is possible that G proteins themselves are directly activated by ROS. In this regard, it has been reported that two mammalian Gα proteins, Gai and Gao, are redox-controlled (Fujiki et al., 2000). The membrane-bound NADPH oxidases D and F were suggested to receive initial signals from G proteins to mediate ozone responses in *Arabidopsis* guard cells (Suharsono et al., 2002). The absence of the Gα subunit in the *gpa1* mutant disrupts the interplay between ABA perception and ROS production, with a consequent inhibition of Ca^{2+} channel activation (Zhang et al., 2011). The membrane-bound ROS producing enzymes AtRbohD and AtRbohF work in the same pathway with the Gβ subunit of the heterotrimeric G protein for full disease resistance to different *P. syringae* strains (Torres et al., 2013). In plants, further studies are required to unravel the roles of G proteins and their signaling roles.
Calcium Signaling

The use of calcium ions as a secondary messenger represents an integral part in many signal transduction pathways in all life forms, from vertebrate animals to plants (Berridge et al., 2000; Staele et al., 2012). In contrast to other similar ions, such as Mn$^{2+}$, the Ca$^{2+}$ ion has many peculiar features, including a favorable ionic radius and hydration status, an irregular geometry, and flexible coordination chemistry (Jaiswal, 2001). The main calcium stores in plant cells are: the vacuole, the endoplasmic reticulum and the apoplast (Staele et al., 2012). Elevation in cytoplasmic Ca$^{2+}$ represents an early response to many different biotic and abiotic stresses, including oxidative (McAinsh and Pittman, 2009; Dodd et al., 2010). As a second messenger in a wide range of signaling pathways in plants, calcium connects the perception of different stimuli and stresses to their downstream cellular responses (Evans et al., 2005). It has been stated that transient cellular calcium elevations are sensed by several Ca$^{2+}$ sensors such as calmodulin (CAM), calmodulin-like (CML), calcium-dependent protein kinase (CDPK), and calcineurin B-like protein (CBL; Bouche et al., 2005; McCormack et al., 2005; Das and Pandey, 2010; Asai et al., 2013). A direct interconnection between CBL-CIPK-mediated Ca$^{2+}$ and ROS signaling in plants was reported as evidence for a synergistic activation of the NADPH oxidase RbohF by direct Ca$^{2+}$-binding to its EF-hands (Drerup et al., 2013). The Arabidopsis CPK5, an isoform of the plant CDPK family, was activated rapidly in response to infection with P. syringae, resulting in Rboh-mediated ROS production and enhanced SA-mediated resistance to this bacterial pathogen (Dubie et al., 2013). In the same study, RbohD was reported to be an in vivo phosphorylation target of CPK5. Ca$^{2+}$ ions also regulate long-distance root-to-shoot signaling and may also have roles in transmitting ROS signals (Choi et al., 2014).

The concentration of cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$, the expression level of calmodulin 1 (CAM1) gene, the content of CAM proteins and the expression of many antioxidant genes in maize are increased after treatment with ABA or H$_2$O$_2$. Furthermore, pre-treating plants with CAM inhibitors almost completely blocked the upregulation of many antioxidant enzymes (Hu et al., 2007). These findings show that the increase in cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ requires CAM to deliver its signal to the downstream targets. Ca$^{2+}$ elevations have been suggested, in some cases, to be upstream of ROS production; in other cases, Ca$^{2+}$ elevations have been reported to be downstream of ROS production (Bowler and Fluhr, 2000). Several works showed that oxidative stress results in increased cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$. In tobacco seedlings, oxidative stress stimulates cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ increases (Bhattarchjee, 2005). The allelopathic toxin catechins was reported to cause rapid ROS production, followed by ROS-induced Ca$^{2+}$ increases in diffuse knapweed (Centaurea diffusa) and Arabidopsis roots (Bais et al., 2003). It was reported that pre-treatment of Arabidopsis plants with the calcium channel blocker lanthanum chloride (LaCl$_3$) attenuated the inducing effect of H$_2$O$_2$ on ERF6, suggesting that Ca$^{2+}$ is playing a signaling role, which is downstream from ROS, in the induction of this TF by H$_2$O$_2$ (Sewelam et al., 2013). On the contrary, other research groups have reported that Ca$^{2+}$ works upstream of ROS. For example, it was reported that inhibitors of Ca$^{2+}$ fluxes inhibit both increase in cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ and H$_2$O$_2$, whereas inhibitors of NADPH oxidase blocks only the oxidative burst (Abuharbeid et al., 2004). Mechanical forces (e.g., touch) were found to trigger rapid and transient increases in cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ and to stimulate apoplastic ROS production. The production of ROS was inhibited by pre-treatment with Ca$^{2+}$ channel blockers (Monshausen et al., 2009), suggesting a role for Ca$^{2+}$ as a prerequisite of ROS production under mechanical stimuli. To avoid this ostensible contradiction, future studies should consider the presence of a large number of sources for ROS production as well as a plethora of Ca$^{2+}$ subcellular sources, in addition to the expected feedback mechanisms. Nevertheless, these studies, at least, designate a crucial role for ROS-Ca$^{2+}$ signaling during plant responses to stresses that should be considered when constructing global plant signaling networks.

Nitric Oxide (NO)

Nitric oxide (NO) is a small, water-, and lipid-soluble free radical gas with well-characterized signaling roles in mammalian systems (Furchgott, 1995; Neill et al., 2003; Moreau et al., 2010). Nitric oxide production by plants and its involvement in plant growth were described in the late 1970s (Anderson and Mansfield, 1979; Klepper, 1979). Research on the effects of NO in plants focused on atmospheric pollution by the oxides of nitrogen, NO and NO$_2$ (nitrogen dioxide; Hufton et al., 1996). It was revealed that plants not only respond to atmospheric NO, but also produce considerable amounts of endogenous NO (Wildt et al., 1997). However, research on NO as a signaling molecule in plants started with the work done by Lesch and Haramaty (1996) and became well-established after the description of its role in plant defense signaling (Delledonne et al., 1998; Durner et al., 1998, 1999). Currently, it is well-known that NO plays an important signaling role in plant growth, development and defense responses (Besson-Bard et al., 2008; Moreau et al., 2010). It was reported that ROS and NO are produced concomitantly under various stresses and can interact with each other to induce a defense response (Neill et al., 2002; Yoshioka et al., 2009; Molassiotis and Fotopoulos, 2011). NO could have toxic or protective effects, depending on its concentration, combination with ROS compounds, and its subcellular localization (Correa-Aragunde et al., 2015).

Many reports have suggested interconnected signaling roles between ROS and NO during plant response to different stresses. Generation of NO at the same time as H$_2$O$_2$ in response to pathogen infection was found to mediate defense responses similar to those seen following H$_2$O$_2$ production (Delledonne et al., 1998; Durner et al., 1998, 1999; Asai and Yoshioka, 2009; Del Rio, 2015). Delledonne et al. (1998) reported that treatment of soybean cultures with avirulent P. syringae induces rapid NO synthesis with kinetics similar to H$_2$O$_2$ generation, indicating an interaction between NO and H$_2$O$_2$ during plant response to pathogen attack. NO biosynthesis was reported to be regulated by H$_2$O$_2$-mediated activation of MAP Kinase 6 in Arabidopsis (Wang et al., 2010). A proteomic study on salt-stressed citrus plants pre-treated with H$_2$O$_2$ or NO has suggested an overlap between H$_2$O$_2$ and NO signaling pathways in acclimation to salinity (Tanou et al., 2009, 2010). Under drought stress, it was suggested that ROS and NO interact to
induce ABA biosynthesis to affect stomatal closure (reviewed by Neill et al., 2003). Regarding the mechanisms by which NO exerts its effects, it is suggested that NO may deliver its signaling roles via modulating the activity of proteins through nitrosylation and probably tyrosine nitration, in addition to the role that NO can act as a Ca-mobilizing messenger (Besson-Bard et al., 2008). ABA signaling in guard cells was found to be negatively regulated by NO through S-nitrosylation-mediated inhibition of the open stomata 1 (OST1)/sucrose non-fermenting 1 (SNF1)-related protein kinase 2.6 (SnRK2.6; Wang et al., 2015). In fact, NO can interact with ROS in different ways and might work as an antioxidant molecule during various stresses (Beligni and Lamattina, 1999; Correa-Aragunde et al., 2015). Moreover, modulation of superoxide formation by NO (Caro and Puntarulo, 1998) and inhibition of lipid peroxidation (Boveris et al., 2000) could illustrate a potential antioxidant role for NO. The oxidative damage in sorghum embryos was found to be alleviated by pre-treatment with sodium nitroprusside and diethylenetriamine NONOate as sources of exogenous NO (Jasid et al., 2008). Alternatively, excess NO can result in nitrosative stress (Hausladen et al., 1998), so a positive balance of ROS/NO is essential.

**Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases**

Mitogen (induces mitotic division)-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) are evolutionary conserved enzymes. In eukaryotes, signaling pathways arbitrated by MAPKs have been considered as a general signal transduction mechanism that links different receptors to their cellular and nuclear targets (Tena et al., 2001). The signaling events mediated by MAPKs are composed of three functionally interlinked protein kinases: a MAP kinase kinase kinase (MAPKKK), a MAP kinase kinase (MAPKK), and a MAP kinase (MAPK; Rodriguez et al., 2010; Sinha et al., 2011). In this phosphorylation module, a MAPKKK phosphorylates and activates a particular MAPKK, which in turn phosphorylates and activates a MAPK by phosphorylation of the tyrosine and threonine residues in the TXY motif (Qi and Elion, 2005).

In the *Arabidopsis* genome, 20 MAPK, 10 MAPKK, and 60 MAPKKK encoding genes were identified (Ichimura et al., 2002). The current functional analysis of MAPK cascades, mainly in *Arabidopsis*, revealed that plants have an overall of 24 MAPK pathways of which only a small set has been investigated so far (Wrzaczek and Hirt, 2001). This may reflect why MAPK signaling cascades are so complicated. The challenge ahead is to describe the elements of plant MAPK cascades and to specify roles of individual MAPK cascade genes, in particular signaling pathways (Wrzaczek and Hirt, 2001). The spatial and temporal expression and interaction characteristics of MAPKs are suggested to define their specificity in different signaling pathways (Dietz et al., 2010). The *Arabidopsis* mitogen-activated protein kinase 8 (MPK8) was reported to connect protein phosphorylation, Ca$^{2+}$, and ROS in wound signaling pathways (Takahashi et al., 2011).

Some of the components of MAPK cascades are elicited by cold, drought, H$_2$O$_2$, heat, wounding, pathogens, elicitors, ABA, SA, and ethylene (reviewed by Bowler and Fluhr, 2000). In many eukaryotes, the transmission of oxidative signals is controlled by protein phosphorylation involving MAPKs (Kyriakis and Avruch, 1996; Gustin et al., 1998; Pitzschke and Hirt, 2006; Xing et al., 2008). On the one hand, MAPKs can be activated by accumulation of H$_2$O$_2$, on the other hand they can trigger an H$_2$O$_2$-induced oxidative burst (Nakagami et al., 2005; Pitzschke et al., 2009; reviewed by Petrov and Van Breusegem, 2012). In *Nicotiana benthamiana*, the MAPK cascades MEK2-SIPK/NTF4 and MEK1-NTF6 were reported to participate in the regulation of the radical burst induced by the oomycete pathogen *P. infestans* through NO and RbohF-dependent ROS generation (Asai et al., 2008). Using *Arabidopsis* protoplasts, a correlation was revealed between the activation of plant MAPK cascade and H$_2$O$_2$, which is generated by various stress factors. In this study, it was observed that H$_2$O$_2$ activates the MAPKKK, ANP1, which in turn phosphorylates the downstream kinases, AtMPK3 and AtMPK6 (Kovtun et al., 2000). Protein phosphorylation through MAPK cascades was suggested to trigger a positive feedback regulation of Ca$^{2+}$ and ROS via the activation of RbohD and RbohF in *Arabidopsis* (Kimura et al., 2012). A maize MAPK, MAP65-1a, was reported to positively control H$_2$O$_2$ amplification and to enhance the antioxidant enzymes SOD and APX through the brassinosteroid signaling pathway (Zhu et al., 2013). The expression of the *Arabidopsis* OXI1 gene, encoding a serine/threonine kinase, was induced in response to a broad range of H$_2$O$_2$-producing stimuli and OXI1 kinase activity itself was also induced by H$_2$O$_2$ in vivo (Rentel et al., 2004). Application of bioinformatics and computational analysis would be required to illuminate how different MAPKs coordinate different plant signaling events.

**Abscisic Acid (ABA)**

Substantial evidence postulates that ABA plays a vital role in controlling downstream responses essential for adaptation to stress (Leung and Giraudat, 1998; Raghavendra et al., 2010). These responses include changes in stomatal conductance, growth, osmolite accumulation, and gene expression (Chen et al., 2002; Verslues and Zhu, 2004; Krasensky and Jonak, 2012). In contrast to the positive role of ABA in abiotic stress response, ABA has been considered as a negative regulator of disease resistance. This negative effect appears to be due to the obstruction by ABA of biotic stress signaling pathways that are orchestrated by SA, JA, and ethylene (Coego et al., 2005). ABA can also improve disease resistance by modifying cell wall deposits, such as callose (Mauch-Mani and Mauch, 2005).

A simultaneous enhanced level of ROS and ABA in plant tissues has been monitored under different types of environmental stresses. The concomitant enhancement of ROS and ABA during stress has been suggested to be a node in cross-tolerance to multiple types of stresses (Verslues and Zhu, 2004). It has been indicated that ROS generated by NADPH oxidases work downstream of ABA in mediating stomatal closure during stress (reviewed by Verslues and Zhu, 2004). It was reported that the production of H$_2$O$_2$ in the chloroplasts, mitochondria and peroxisomes under water stress was abolished in the leaves of maize plants pre-treated with the ABA biosynthesis inhibitor (tungstate) or in an ABA mutant plants, indicating that ABA is
required for H$_2$O$_2$ production in these compartments (Hu et al., 2006). It was demonstrated that a temporal-spatial interaction between ROS and ABA regulates rapid systemic acquired acclimation to environmental challenges in plants (Suzuki et al., 2013). In response to heat and oxidative stresses, it was reported that H$_2$O$_2$ mediates a crosstalk between the plant hormones; brassinosteroid and ABA, via a signaling pathway through which brassinosteroid induces a rapid and transient H$_2$O$_2$ production by NADPH oxidase. The process in turn activates increased ABA levels, leading to further increases in H$_2$O$_2$ production and improved stress tolerance in tomato plants (Zhou et al., 2014).

Salicylic Acid, Jasmonic Acid, and Ethylene

Various plant developmental and stress responses require a tuned coordination between the phytohormones SA, JA and ethylene. It is thought that, in Arabidopsis, a JA-ethylene signaling pathway is important to mediate resistance to necrotrophic pathogens (feed on dead tissues), such as Botrytis cinerea. On the other hand, the SA signaling pathway is supposed to mediate resistance to biotrophic pathogens (feed on living tissues), such as P. syringae (Thomma et al., 2001; Anderson et al., 2004). However, it has been suggested that many genes are co-regulated by these hormones and there is considerable genetic evidence for crosstalk between these signaling pathways (Schenk et al., 2000; Glazebrook et al., 2003; Leon-Reyes et al., 2009). Regarding ROS signaling, it was suggested that SA, JA, and ethylene work together with ROS and play crucial regulatory roles in plant defense responses (Mur et al., 2006; Mhamdi et al., 2010).

Salicylic acid (SA) is well-known to regulate both systemic acquired resistance (SAR) and local disease resistance mechanisms, including host cell death and defense gene expression (Park et al., 2007; Vlot et al., 2008). It was reported that SA elicits an oxidative burst, which in turn promotes SAR (Senaratna et al., 2000). One of the proposed modes of action of SA is the inhibition of catalase, a major enzyme scavenging H$_2$O$_2$, thereby increasing cellular concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$, which acts as a second messenger and activates defense-related genes (Ananieva et al., 2002). The extracellular production of ROS was found to enhance SA production inducing stomatal closure in Arabidopsis (Khokon et al., 2011). It was reported that SA accumulation in szl mutant plants enhances stomatal closure and drought tolerance through controlling ROS accumulation in Arabidopsis guard cells (Miura et al., 2013). It was suggested that SA accumulation and signaling is activated by increased H$_2$O$_2$ levels through changes in the glutathione pool in an Arabidopsis catalase 2 (cat2) mutant (Han et al., 2013a).

Jasmonic acid (JA) and methyl jasmonate (MeJA), are natural products regulating plant development, response to environmental challenges, and gene expression (Bell et al., 1995). A signaling role for JA in defense responses has been suggested in plants (Farmer and Ryan, 1992; Wasternack and Hause, 2013). Currently, there is accumulating evidence suggesting a strong relationship between ROS and JA signaling. For instance, it was suggested that MeJA pretreatment of Arabidopsis inhibited O$_3$-induced H$_2$O$_2$ production and SA accumulation and completely abolished O$_3$ induced cell death (Rao et al., 2000). It was reported that ROS generated by RbohD and RbohF enzymes are important for JA-induced expression of genes regulated by MYC2, a TF involved in the JA-mediated response, where treating RbohD and RbohF mutant plants with MeJA failed to increase the expression levels of various MYC2 downstream genes (Maruta et al., 2011). A dynamic interaction between JA and ROS was characterized to regulate lignin biosynthesis in response to cell wall damage where ROS produced by RbohD and JA-isoleucine generated by JASMONIC ACID RESISTANT1 were found to form a negative feedback loop that influence lignin accumulation (Denness et al., 2011). It was revealed that the intracellular ROS production in cat2 mutant Arabidopsis plants leads to activating the JA pathway and its related genes with glutathione accumulation as an intermediate (Han et al., 2013b).

Ethylene (C$_2$H$_4$) is one of the simplest organic molecules that have biological activity in plants (Zarembinski and Theologis, 1994). It is well-documented that ethylene is a main player in PCD, either during senescence (Orzaez and Granell, 1997), oxidative stress imposed by ozone (Overmyer et al., 2000), or plant pathogen interactions (Lund et al., 1998). In addition, it was suggested that ethylene is crucial for H$_2$O$_2$ production during PCD in tomato suspension cells (de Jong et al., 2002). It was reported that the ethylene receptor ETR1 mediates H$_2$O$_2$ signaling in guard cells in Arabidopsis (Desikan et al., 2005). Together, these findings suggest a cross-talk between ethylene and ROS in plant signaling. Treating Arabidopsis plants with the bacterial elicitor flagellin (flg22) enhanced an oxidative burst which was inhibited in ethylene-insensitive mutants, etr1 and ein2, indicating a requirement of ethylene signaling for ROS production (Mersmann et al., 2010). A synergistic biosynthesis of ethylene and ROS production, mediated by the plasma membrane bound enzymes RbohD and RbohF, was reported in tobacco plants infected with the hemibiotrophic Phytophthora parasitica (Wi et al., 2012).

Transcription Factors

Regulation of gene expression at the transcriptional level influences or controls many of the biological processes in a cell or organism, such as progression through the cell cycle, metabolic and physiological balance, and responses to environment (Riechmann et al., 2000). Plant stress responses are regulated by multiple signaling pathways that activate gene transcription and its downstream machinery. Using data from ROS-related microarray studies, Gadjev et al. (2006) examined the expression of 1,500 TFs in Arabidopsis in response to different ROS, including singlet oxygen, H$_2$O$_2$ and OH$.^-$. They reported that different ROS induced or repressed the expression of about 500 of these annotated TFs in Arabidopsis. Nevertheless, the transcriptional regulation mechanisms mediating ROS signaling is not fully understood. It is suggested that the regulation of the TFs activity by the most important ROS, H$_2$O$_2$, is managed at several levels including: (1) upregulation of TF expression or increasing both mRNA stability and translation; (2) increasing the stability of the TF by decreasing its association with the protein degrading ubiquitin E3 ligase complex or by inhibiting this complex; (3) nucleo-cytoplasmic traffic by transferring or
masking nuclear localization signals, or by releasing the TF from partners or from membrane anchors; and (4) DNA binding and nuclear transactivation by adapting TF affinity toward DNA, co-activators or repressors, and by targeting specific regions of chromatin to activate individual genes (Marinho et al., 2014). Many examples of TFs that are regulated by ROS have been revealed. Simple organisms, such as bacteria and yeast, sense the enhanced production of ROS using redox sensitive TFs (Mittler et al., 2004). In bacteria, OxyR (oxygen regulated) and PerR (Peroxide Regulon Repressor) are TFs that are directly activated by H$_2$O$_2$. The tetrameric OxyR protein is characterized as a regulatory protein that activates nine out of twelve early H$_2$O$_2$-induced proteins. The OxyR transcription activator exists in two forms, reduced and oxidized; only the oxidized state is able to initiate transcription (Storz et al., 1990; Storz and Inmlay, 1999). In yeast, four TFs, namely, Yap1, Maf1, Hsf1, and Msn2/4, were reported to be regulated by ROS. For example, Yap1 is regulated by H$_2$O$_2$ at the level of nucleo-cytoplasmic trafficking. Under oxidative stress, the export of Yap1 to the nucleus is decreased and Yap1 is kept longer in the nucleus where it regulates its target genes (Delaunay et al., 2000). Yap1 has a key role in the oxidative stress response, redox homeostasis and electrophilic response, regulating the transcription of genes encoding antioxidant and detoxification enzymes in yeast cells (Marinho et al., 2014). In multicellular organisms, nine different TFs, namely AP-1, NRF2, CREB, HSF1, HIF-1, TP53, NF-κB, NOTCH, SP1, and SCREB-1, are well-characterized to be regulated by ROS (Marinho et al., 2014).

CONCLUSION

As reviewed here, plants have evolved complicated protection mechanisms to survive different environmental challenges. The recent functional molecular and physiological studies have produced new details attempting to unravel the complexity of these signaling pathways. It is evident now that there is no a specific linear signaling pathway for each stress, instead, there are interconnected networks including common signaling events that are shared by various pathways represented by what we call cross-talk. From these big signaling networks many branches arise for specificity. As ROS are well-known to be produced by plants in response to different biotic and abiotic stresses, they are designated to work at the cross road within these complex signaling networks. ROS play this central signaling role through their evident interactions, whether upstream or downstream, with other key signaling components, including membranes, NADPH oxidases, G-proteins, calcium, redox homeostasis, MAPKs, plant hormones (such as SA, JA, ABA, and ethylene) and TFs. The recent research implies an early and vital role for photosynthesis in sensing various environmental insults, not only abiotic, but also biotic ones, a concept that needs to be taken into consideration when studying stress signaling pathways in plants. Despite all of these achievements, great efforts are still required to be able to reconstruct larger signaling networks that may include ROS at the convergent points. In this regard, bioinformatics and systems biology approaches are nominated to greatly help in constructing global signaling networks. As a result, these global networks would improve our understanding of plant biology and assist us to develop new strategies for higher plant productivity in the face of increasingly severe environmental conditions and the high demand for food, fiber, and energy crops.
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