An Unusual Cause of Low Back Pain: Uterine Conditions
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Abstract: The etiology of low back pain is often thought to include musculoskeletal disorders, such as lomber disc herniation or strain. Less commonly, low back pain is thought to refer from viscera. Sources of pain referred to the low back in females include uterine conditions. We aimed to investigate the female population of a rheumatology clinic to define the rate of uterine conditions that a rheumatologist can meet, and explore the possible association between uterine conditions and low back pain. Clinical data and imaging findings of 320 female patients who admitted to rheumatology clinic with the complaint of low back pain were retrospectively reviewed. Uterine myoma was detected in 20 patients (6.25%), and uterus retroversion was detected in only 3 patients (0.93%). Any reason of low back pain, except uterine myoma could be found in 4 of 20 patients. Patients did not meet the criterias for any of the inflammatory or mechanical causes of low back pain including fibromyalgia syndrome, and their sedimentation and CRP rates were normal, too. Low back pain which was explained by uterine anomaly rate was 2.18%. All 7 patients with uterine anomaly were complaining of low back pain with mechanical characteristics. Uterine myoma and uterus retroversion should be kept in mind by the physicians who meet with female patients at premenopausal ages suffering from low back pain after excluding the common causes of this condition.
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1. Introduction

Prevalence of low back pain (LBP) is high in urban societies, and there is a high demand for medical care. Because of being a common cause of disability and work loss, LBP is emerging as a common socioeconomic and sociomedical problem. Approximately, 60-80% of the adults suffer from LBP during their life. Despite the high frequency of LBP, incidence of lomber disc herniation (LDH) is less than the expected, and it is nearly 1-3% of the general population [1]. The etiology of LBP is often thought to include musculoskeletal disorders, such as LDH or strain [2].

Less commonly, LBP is thought to refer from viscera, such as from kidney, [3, 4] aorta, [5] or metastasis [6, 7]. Other sources of pain referred to the low back in females include uterine conditions [8-13]. But these conditions are unusual and very difficult to discriminate from other common causes of LBP. In this study, we aimed to investigate the female population of a rheumatology clinic to define the rate of uterine conditions that a rheumatologist can meet, and explore the possible association between uterine conditions and LBP.

2. Materials and Methods

Clinical data and imaging findings of female patients who admitted to rheumatology clinic with the complaint of LBP from January 1 to October 31, 2015 were retrospectively reviewed. Exclusion criteria for the study were age <18 years, patients without sacroiliac joint magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), patients without lomber MRI, and patients without written consents to use their data. Three hundred and twenty patients who meet the criteria were included in the study. Age, diagnosis, duration of complaint, and type of the LBP (mechanical or inflammatory) were recorded. All images were examined for possible uterine anomalies. Patients who didn’t have other possible causes for LBP, except uterine anomalies were discriminated.

In this study, data analysis was made using the SPSS 21 software package. Frequencies of the variables were calculated. Due to not providing assumption of normality, to compare the variables Kruskal-Wallis test was used. P<0.05
was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Three hundred and twenty female patients’ data were included in the study. Mean age was 37±11.4 years. Mean of the duration of complaints was 18.01±17.04 months.

Uterine myoma was detected in 20 patients (6.25%), and uterus retroversion was detected in only 3 patients (0.93%). We couldn’t find another type of uterus anomaly in MRI. There wasn’t another defined gynecologic diagnosis of patients.

Any reason of LBP, except uterine myoma could be found in 4 of 20 patients (1.25% of all patients, and 20% of patients with uterine myoma). MRI did not show any evidence of spinal canal stenosis or nerve root impingement in these patients. Patients did not meet the criteria for any of the inflammatory or mechanical causes of LBP including fibromyalgia syndrome, and their sedimentation and CRP rates were normal, too. We couldn’t establish an association between LBP and uterine myoma in 16 patients, because we explained LBP with other possible causes. Also we couldn’t find another possible reason of LBP, except uterus retroversion in 3 patients. All of these 3 patients were suffering from dysmenorrhea, one of them was suffering from premenstrual pain, and the other one from dyspareunia. We found any uterus anomaly in 297 of 320 patients with LBP. Low back pain which was explained by uterine anomaly rate was 2.18% (7 of 320 patients).

Mean age of the patients with LBP associated with uterine myoma was 43.75±4.35 years (Median 42.5 years), where the mean age of the patients with LBP associated with uterus retroversion was 34.33±17.39 years (Median 34 years). However, mean age of the patients with LBP which wasn’t associated with uterine anomaly was 37.27±11.4 years (Median 37 years). There was no difference among these groups according to mean age (p>0.05, Table 1). Also, there was no difference among these groups according to duration of complaints (p>0.05, Table 1).

Table 1. Mean of the age, and mean of the duration of complaints of the patients with LBP associated or not associated with uterine conditions.

|                | MU (N=4) | UR (N=3) | LBP (N=313) | P value |
|----------------|----------|----------|-------------|---------|
| Mean age (years) | 43.75±4.35 | 34.33±17.39 | 37.27±11.4  | 0.364   |
| Duration of complaints (months) | 13.25±7.89 | 16±6.93 | 18.09±17.19 | 0.839   |

Significance level: p<0.05, LBP: Low back pain, MU: Low back pain associated with myoma uteri, UR: Low back pain associated with uterus retroversion, LBP: Other possible causes of low back pain except uterine anomalies.

All 7 patients with uterine anomaly were complaining of LBP with mechanical characteristics. A hundred and ninety of 313 patients (60.7%) with other causes of LBP were complaining of pain with mechanical characteristics, where 123 of 313 patients (39.3%) were complaining of LBP with inflammatory characteristics. Other diagnoses of patients with LBP, except uterine anomalies, and their rates are shown in Table 2. Sacroiliac MRI results of 7 patients with uterine anomalies were normal. Other 313 patients’ MRI results of sacroiliac joints are shown in Table 3.

Table 2. Other diagnoses of patients with low back pain, except uterine conditions.

|                | N | Percent |
|----------------|---|---------|
| AS             | 57| 17.8    |
| SpA            | 35| 10.9    |
| Bruc           | 4 | 1.2     |
| FMS            | 82| 25.3    |
| Spon           | 14| 4.4     |
| HNP            | 10| 3.1     |

AS: Ankylosing spondylitis, SpA: Spondyloarthropathy, Bruc: Brucellosis, FMS: Fibromyalgia syndrome, Spon: Spondylosis, HNP: Hernia nucleus pulposus, FacD: Facet dysfunction, PMR: Polymyalgia rheumatica, Sacra: Sacralization, Other: Other inflammatory or mechanical disorders like psoriatic arthritis, inflammatory bowel diseases, Tarlow’s cyst, sacral arachnoidal cyst etc.

Table 3. Magnetic Resonance Imaging results of sacroiliac joints of 313 patients with low back pain.

|                | N | Percent |
|----------------|---|---------|
| Bilateral active sacroilitis | 52 | 16.6 |
| Chronic sacroilitis | 25 | 8 |
| Unilateral sacroilitis | 24 | 7.7 |
| Active-chronic sacroilitis | 2 | 0.6 |
| Normal | 210 | 67.1 |

4. Discussion

Uterine myoma is a common condition (1 of 5) for the females in reproductive ages [14]. Abnormal uterine bleeding, pain, compression signs like urine retention or constipation, infertility and loss of pregnancy are the most seen symptoms of uterine myoma [15]. Uterine anomalies like leiomyoma, [8] uterus didelphys, [9] uterine fibroid, [10] hematometra [11] are unusual causes of LBP, and it is difficult to connect them with LBP instead of the other common causes of LBP. In our study, rate of uterine myoma was found 6.25%, which was lower than the literature and the symptomatic uterine myoma (which was thought to be associated with LBP) rate was 1.25%. In patients with myoma we couldn’t find the data of dysmenorrhea, premenstrual pain, dyspareunia or bladder and bowel symptoms. Because of the intensity of gynecologic symptoms, patients may appeal to gynecology clinics rather than rheumatology clinics, and this may be the cause of the lower rates.

Among healthy women, 1 in 5 have a uterus retroversion either as a normal variant of uterine position or as an acquired condition. Retroversion can be associated with LBP, as well as the chronic pelvic pain. Also it can cause congestive dysmenorrhea, deep dyspareunia, and bladder and bowel symptoms [16, 17]. There are surgical interventions for the treatment of the symptomatic uterus retroversion, and it is important to diagnose and treat this abnormality. In our study, uterus retroversion was detected in 0.93% of patients which was contrast to the literature [16, 17]. Existing dysmenorrhea, premenstrual pain and dyspareunia in our patients intensified our diagnosis of symptomatic uterus retroversion.
Referred pain is the pain that is felt in a part of the body at a distance from its area of origin [18]. It has been described as nonspecific, deep, diffuse, and dull [19]. The exact mechanism is not yet known, although a number of neuroanatomic and physiologic theories have been suggested [2, 18, 20]. Furthermore, referred pain differs from radicular pain, which is pain originating from a nerve root [21]. According to our knowledge, sympathetic fibers deriving from the superior hypogastric plexus, and the parasympathetic fibers from S2, S3, and S4- which are related with the uterosacral ligaments- are associated with the menstrual pain [16]. Probably, the same mechanism causes the LBP. As we stated before LBP of our patients with uterine conditions had mechanical characteristics. Also, lomber and sacroiliac MRI results were normal. There was no radiculopathy sign or muscle spasm in all 7 patients, and they didn’t meet the criterias of fibromyalgia syndrome. We found any abnormality in the physical examination of these patients. So we couldn’t define the cause of LBP with a common cause of LBP and thought that it was a reffered pain emerged from uterine condition.

We thought that it is important to discriminate the characteristics of LBP whether it is mechanical or inflammatory. Furthermore, all other common causes of LBP should be excluded with detailed physical examination, and laboratory and radiological investigation. Indisputably, every kind of diagnostic method should be used to reduce our doubts about the diagnosis. All these patients were referred to gynecology clinic. We couldn’t follow these patients’ investigation and treatment process at gynecology clinic. This is the limitation of our study, because we have to know the response of LBP to the gynecological treatment to confirm the referred pain due to uterine conditions. Despite being a rare clinical entity, further studies should be conducted with large subject numbers and patients should be followed by both rheumatology and gynecology clinics.

5. Conclusion

Uterine myoma and uterus retroversion should be kept in mind by the physicians who meet with female patients at premenopausal ages suffering from LBP after excluding the common causes of LBP.
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