Outcomes after delayed primary percutaneous coronary intervention vs. pharmaco-invasive strategy in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction in Norway
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Aims
Primary percutaneous coronary intervention (pPCI) is the preferred reperfusion strategy in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) provided it can be performed within 120 min from diagnosis. However, it is unclear whether pPCI or a pharmaco-invasive (P-I) strategy is the best choice in patients who cannot receive timely pPCI. The aim of the present study was to compare outcomes after delayed and late pPCI vs. a P-I strategy in STEMI patients who did not receive timely pPCI.

Methods and results
All patients with STEMI registered in the Norwegian Myocardial Infarction Registry (NORMI) between 2013 and 2019, with <12 h from symptom onset to first medical contact and available timelines were included in the study. The primary outcome was all-cause mortality, and follow-up was through 2019. A total of 21,121 (27% of 78,368) STEMI patients were registered in the NORMI. Among patients who met the inclusion criteria, 7238 (54%) patients underwent timely pPCI, 1537 (11%) delayed pPCI (121–180 min), 1012 (7%) late pPCI (>180 min), and 2338 (17%) patients went timely pPCI, 1537 (11%) delayed pPCI (121–180 min), 1012 (7%) late pPCI (>180 min), and 2338 (17%) patients were treated with a P-I strategy. After a median follow-up time of 2.5 years, mortality was higher in the delayed pPCI (adjusted hazard ratio (HR) 1.3, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.0–1.5) compared to the P-I strategy group, but bleeding complications were more frequent after P-I strategy.

Conclusions
In STEMI patients who did not receive timely percutaneous coronary intervention, a P-I strategy seemed to be associated with better long-term survival compared to delayed/late pPCI.
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Introduction
About one out of four myocardial infarctions (MI) is classified as ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).1 The outcome of patients with STEMI is influenced by several factors, including treatment strategy and delay to treatment. The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) recommend primary percutaneous coronary intervention (pPCI) as the preferred reperfusion strategy in STEMI, provided it can be performed in a timely manner.2,3 Timely pPCI has been shown to be superior to fibrinolysis in reducing mortality, re-infarction, and stroke.4,5 A pharmaco-invasive (P-I) strategy recommend if a pPCI strategy cannot be performed within 120 min from STEMI diagnosis and there are no contraindications.3 A P-I strategy implies fibrinolysis followed by rescue percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in case of failed fibrinolysis or routine early PCI strategy (coronary angiography and PCI of infarct-related artery if indicated) in case of successful fibrinolysis.1 The Comparison of primary Angioplasty and Pre-hospital fibrinolysis In acute Myocardial infarction (CAPTIM) and the STRATEGIC...
Reperfusion Early after Myocardial Infarction (STREAM) trials both reported similar rates of mortality between a pPCI strategy and a P-I strategy in patients who did not receive timely pPCI. However, the benefit of fibrinolytic therapy is greatest when it is given within 2 h after symptom onset, and as many as 30% of patients may have contraindications. The proportion of STEMI who receive fibrinolytic therapy has declined over the last decades and it is still discussed whether delayed pPCI or a P-I strategy is the best choice in patients who cannot receive pPCI in a timely manner. Recently published observational data from France suggest reduced mortality in patients treated with a P-I strategy compared to patients treated with delayed pPCI.

Every year about 3600 patients in Norway are hospitalized with a STEMI. Norway has a scattered population, and in many areas, the distance to hospitals performing pPCI is long. STEMI management is organized in accordance with the hub-and-spoke model. In most patients, STEMI diagnosis is made in the out-of-hospital setting via the emergency medical services or in a non-PCI centre (spoke hospital), and the patients transferred to the PCI-centre (hub hospital) when the treatment strategy has been decided.

The aim of the present nationwide study was to compare outcomes after delayed pPCI vs. a P-I strategy in patients with STEMI admitted to hospitals in Norway between 2013 and 2019.

Methods

The Norwegian Myocardial Infarction Registry (NORMI)
The NORMI, a part of the Norwegian Cardiovascular Disease Registry, is a national quality register. Registration into NORMI is mandatory without requiring patient’s consent. The NORMI adhered to the Third Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction during the study period. All MIs were classified as STEMI or non-STEMI according to the universal definition. The registry contains information on gender, age, cardiovascular risk factors, previous diseases and medication, symptoms and clinical findings, therapy and complications, drugs prescribed at discharge, and time of death. The registration and quality of the information in NORMI have been described previously.

Study population
All patients admitted to hospitals in Norway with acute STEMI between 1 January 2013 and 31 December 2019 and registered in the NORMI were considered for inclusion in this study. In patients with more than one MI during the inclusion (study) period, only data from the first MI (index MI) were used. Patients presenting more than 12 h after symptom onset, patients without available timelines and patients without reperfusion therapy were excluded from the study (Figure 1).

Outcomes
The primary outcome was all-cause mortality during follow-up. The secondary outcome was a composite of all-cause mortality, non-fatal stroke, or non-fatal MI. Follow-up data were available until 31 December 2019.

Definitions
Delay to reperfusion therapy
The time of STEMI diagnosis was not registered in NORMI, but time of first medical contact (FMC) was recorded. Therefore, we chose time from FMC to reperfusion as the best available estimate of system delay. If time from FMC to reperfusion therapy was missing, time from the diagnostic electrocardiogram (ECG) was used. If both time from FMC and time from diagnostic ECG were missing, time from hospital admission to reperfusion was used. NORMI used ‘balloon-time’ (first balloon inflation in the infarct related artery) as time of pPCI reperfusion.

We defined the following groups depending on the reperfusion strategy:

(1) Timely pPCI: coronary angiography and PCI of infarct-related artery if indicated within 120 min from FMC.
(2) Delayed pPCI: coronary angiography and PCI of infarct-related artery if indicated 121–180 min from FMC.
(3) Late pPCI: coronary angiography and PCI of infarct-related artery if indicated >180 min from FMC.
(4) P-I strategy: Fibrinolysis followed by coronary angiography and PCI of infarct-related artery if indicated regardless of time delays.

Major bleeding
NORMI defines major bleeding as (i) fatal bleeding, (ii) bleeding in a critical area or organ, such as intracranial, intraspinal, retroperitoneal, intraocular, intra-articular or pericardial, or intramuscular with compartment syndrome, and/or (iii) bleeding with haemoglobin drop >5 g/dL or ≥2 blood transfusions.

Patient and public involvement
This study used existing data from Norwegian national health registries. Registration into these registries is mandatory (the Norwegian Cardiovascular Disease Registry Regulation and the Norwegian Health Register Act), and consent by the patient was not required.

Statistics
Continuous variables are reported as means ± standard deviations or medians (25th percentile–75th percentile), and differences between groups were analysed using independent samples t-tests or Mann–Whitney non-parametric tests, as appropriate. Categorical variables are presented as numbers and percentages, and differences between groups were analysed by the chi-squared or Fisher’s exact tests. Kaplan–Meier curves for crude and event-free survival after hospital admission for the first MI in the study period were estimated, and Cox regression analyses were used to calculate hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for outcomes. The following covariates were included in the multivariable analyses: gender, age, smoking, previous stroke, previous MI, history of heart failure, diabetes, antihypertensive treatment, renal failure (estimated glomerular filtration rate <60 mL/min), and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (prior to hospitalization for index MI).

To account for confounding, three propensity score (PS) matched sets (timely pPCI matched with P-I strategy, delayed pPCI matched with P-I strategy, and late pPCI matched with P-I strategy) were constructed based on the following covariates: age, gender, smoking, previous stroke, previous MI, history of heart failure, diabetes, antihypertensive treatment, renal failure, and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. The balance between the groups was assessed by investigating absolute standardized mean differences (SMDs) of all baseline covariates before and after the matching, using a threshold of 0.1 to indicate imbalance. Cox regression analyses using one-to-one nearest neighbour matching within 0.1 standard deviation of the PS were performed for timely pPCI vs. P-I strategy, delayed pPCI vs. P-I strategy, and late pPCI vs. P-I strategy.

The proportional hazard assumptions were checked with the proportional-hazards assumption test based on Schoenfeld residuals and log–log plot of survival. A P-value of <0.05 was regarded as statistically significant. The data were analysed using STATA version 16 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA) and PS analyses were conducted with the user-developed command ‘psmatch2’.
Figure 1 Patients admitted to hospitals in Norway with myocardial infarction from 2013 to 2019. FMC, first medical contact; MI, myocardial infarction; P-I strategy, pharmaco-invasive strategy; pPCI, primary percutaneous coronary intervention; STEMI, ST-elevation myocardial infarction.
Results

From 1 January 2013, through 31 December 2019, a total of 78,369 patients with MI were registered in the NORMI (Figure 1). Of these, 21,121 (27%) were diagnosed with STEMI. pPCI was the major reperfusion strategy [n = 14,404 (68%)]. A P-I strategy was preferred in 2,408 (11%) patients. Fibrinolytic therapy without later PCI was applied in 566 (3%) cases, while 3,743 (18%) patients with STEMI were not offered any reperfusion therapy.

A total of 8,996 (43%) STEMI patients were excluded from this study due to no reperfusion therapy, missing information on time delays or time delay >12 h from onset of symptoms to FMC, or no subsequent coronary angiography after fibrinolytic therapy (Figure 1, Supplementary material online, Table S1).

Of the remaining 12,125 patients, 7,238 (60%) patients underwent timely pPCI, 1,537 (13%) delayed pPCI, 1,012 (8%) late pPCI, and 2,338 (19%) patients were treated with a P-I strategy. A prehospital ECG was available in 8,544 (70%) of these patients.

Clinical characteristics

Baseline characteristics of the included patients are described in Table 1.
treated with a P-I strategy. Patients with delayed or late pPCI were more likely to have hypertension, diabetes, and previous cardiovascular diseases, while patients treated with a P-I strategy were more likely to smoke. We found only minor differences in clinical characteristics between patients treated with timely pPCI and patients treated with a P-I strategy. In the P-I strategy group, the median delay from prehospital diagnostic ECG to fibrinolytic therapy was 33 (25th–75th percentile 20–53) min, and the median delay from fibrinolytic therapy to coronary angiography was 255 (25th–75th percentile 145–833) min.

In-hospital complications
The rate of in-hospital complications is shown in Table 2.

Outcomes
After a median follow-up time of 944 days (25th–75th percentile 396–1583), 1328 (11%) patients had died. Both the crude and the adjusted risk of all-cause mortality was higher in the delayed and late pPCI groups compared to the P-I strategy group (Table 3, Figure 2). For the composite endpoint death, non-fatal stroke or non-fatal MI, the patients were followed for a median time of 913 days (25th–75th percentile 335–1522). Event-free survival was higher in patients treated with a P-I strategy compared to patients treated with delayed and late pPCI (Table 3, Figure 2).

A total of 1276 (55%) patients in the P-I strategy group received fibrinolytic therapy within 120 min from onset of symptoms. Event-free survival did not differ from fibrinolytic therapy after 120 min from onset of symptoms (adjusted HR 1.0, 95% CI 0.8–1.3). The proportional hazard assumption was fulfilled for all analyses.

Propensity score-matched cohorts
After the PS matching, 4676 patients were included in the timely pPCI—P-I strategy cohort, 2870 in the delayed pPCI—P-I strategy cohort, and 1938 in the late pPCI—P-I strategy cohort. Baseline characteristics of the three PS-matched cohorts were balanced with SMD below 0.1 in the delayed pPCI—P-I strategy cohort and the late pPCI—P-I strategy cohort. In the timely pPCI—P-I strategy cohort, the SMD was between 0.1 and 0.2 for male, previous MI, diabetes and smoking, but still acceptable (Supplementary material online, Table S2).

The rate of in-hospital complications in the PS matched cohorts is shown in Supplementary material online, Table S3. Major in-hospital bleeding was more frequent in the P-I strategy group compared to timely and delayed pPCI.

Using the P-I strategy as the reference group in all three cohorts, the HR and 95% CI for death were 1.2 (1.0–1.4) for timely pPCI, 1.3 (1.1–1.6) for delayed pPCI, and 1.6 (1.2–2.0) for late pPCI. For the composite of all-cause death, MI, or stroke, the HR for timely pPCI was 1.1 (0.9–1.3), for delayed pPCI 1.2 (1.0–1.4), and for late pPCI 1.4 (1.1–1.7) (Figures 3 and 4).

Discussion
In this nationwide study including all patients with STEMI admitted to hospitals in Norway from 2013 to 2019, 68% of the patients underwent pPCI, while 11% of patients were treated with a P-I strategy. As many as 18% of the patients with STEMI were not offered any reperfusion therapy at all. Only 61% of the patients treated with a pPCI strategy underwent pPCI within recommended timelines. In patients who did not have pPCI performed within 120 min, a P-I strategy was associated with better survival compared to delayed or late pPCI. However, major bleeding was more frequent in patients treated with P-I strategy compared to pPCI.

Early restoration of coronary blood flow is essential to optimize myocardial salvage and to reduce mortality in patients with MI. The ESC guidelines recommend early reperfusion with pPCI or a P-I strategy for all patients with STEMI. Nonetheless, this study showed that many patients in Norway were not offered reperfusion treatment. High age, comorbidities (e.g. high proportion smokers) and prehospital cardiac arrest and/or cardiogenic shock in some patients with STEMI, and consequently greater risk of complications to the treatment, may partly explain the finding. Geographical differences have previously been demonstrated in the treatment of MI in Norway, and

Table 2  In-hospital complications in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction receiving timely, delayed, and late pPCI compared to P-I strategy, Norway 2013-2019

|                       | Timely pPCI (≤120 min) | Delayed pPCI (121–180 min) | Late pPCI (>180 min) | P-I strategy |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
|                       | n = 7238               | n = 1537                   | n = 1012             | n = 2338     |
| Recurrent myocardial infarction, n (%) | 74 (1.0) | 16 (1.0) | 5 (0.5) | 11 (0.9) |
| Stroke, n (%)          | 10 (0.1)               | 6 (0.4)                    | 4 (0.4)              | 18 (0.8)     |
| Major bleeding, n (%)  | 78 (1.1)               | 21 (1.4)                   | 12 (1.2)             | 57 (2.4)     |
| Atrial fibrillation (new), n (%) | 233 (3.2) | 68 (4.4) | 44 (4.4) | 83 (3.6) |
| Ventricular tachycardia/fibrillation, n (%) | 408 (5.6) | 89 (5.8) | 60 (5.9) | 99 (4.2) |
| Cardiogenic shock, n (%) | 280 (3.9) | 99 (6.4) | 66 (6.5) | 129 (5.5) |
| In-hospital death, n (%) | 239 (3.3) | 99 (6.4) | 68 (6.7) | 93 (4.0) |

P-I strategy, pharmao-invasive strategy; pPCI, primary percutaneous coronary intervention.
more patients with MI were invasively assessed if they were admitted to hospitals with easy access to PCI facilities.

In this study, we did not investigate whether there were geographical differences. Achieving timely performance of pPCI is often more difficult than expected, and a large proportion of patients with STEMI in Norway were not offered reperfusion therapy within guideline-recommended time frames. Similar findings have been reported from other European countries. Potential causes are prehospital transportation delay, in-hospital delay at PCI-centres, or lack of fibrinolytic agents in the emergency medical services. Since in-hospital delay was short, and fibrinolytic agents available in most ambulances in the rural areas, transportation delay was the most probable reason for the treatment delay in our study population. Consequently, a P-I approach might have been a better option in many patients according to current guidelines.

The STREAM trial showed effective reperfusion with prehospital fibrinolysis followed by timely coronary angiography in patients with STEMI who could not undergo primary PCI within 1 h after FMC, but no difference in survival between the P-I strategy and delayed PCI.

Table 3  Outcomes in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction receiving timely, delayed, and late pPCI compared to P-I strategy, Norway 2013–2019

|                      | Timely pPCI (<120 min) | Delayed pPCI (121–180 min) | Late pPCI (>180 min) | P-I strategy |
|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| **n**                | 7238                   | 1537                       | 1012                 | 2338         |
| **Death**            | 742 (10.3%)            | 224 (14.6%)                | 157 (15.5%)          | 205 (8.9%)   |
| (95% CI)             | 1.3 (1.1–1.5)          | 1.9 (1.5–2.3)              | 2.1 (1.6–2.5)        |
| **Composite endpoint** | 1015 (14.0%)          | 290 (18.9%)                | 206 (20.4%)          | 320 (13.7%)  |
| (death, non-fatal stroke, and non-fatal MI) | 1.1 (1.0–1.2) | 1.5 (1.3–1.8) | 1.7 (1.4–2.0) |
| **Hazard ratio**     | 1.0 (0.9–1.2)          | 1.2 (1.0–1.4)              | 1.3 (1.1–1.5)        |
| **Adjusted HR**      |                        |                            |                      |
| (95% CI)             |                        |                            |                      |

*Follow-up to 31 December 2019. Death: median follow-up 944 days (25th–75th percentile 396–1583). Composite endpoint: median follow-up 913 days (25th–75th percentile 335–1522).

†Reference: Pharmaco-invasive (P-I) strategy.

Gender, age, smoking, previous stroke, previous AMI, history of heart failure, diabetes, antihypertensive treatment, renal failure (eGFR < 60 mL/min), and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.

P-I strategy, pharmaco-invasive strategy; pPCI, primary percutaneous coronary intervention.

Figure 2  Adjusted survival and event-free survival in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction receiving timely, delayed, and late pPCI compared to P-I strategy, Norway 2013–2019.
groups.\(^6\) From the French registry of Acute ST-elevation and non-ST-elevation Myocardial Infarction (FAST-MI), Danchin et al.\(^{25}\) recently reported better long-term survival in patients treated with P-I therapy compared to delayed pPCI. The results of our study are in accordance with the findings from France, supporting a P-I strategy as an alternative strategy in patients who cannot receive timely pPCI.

Patients treated with P-I therapy were more likely to have a major bleeding complication during hospitalization compared to patients treated with pPCI. The increased risk of bleeding, especially intracranial bleeding, associated with fibrinolytic therapy is well known.\(^{5,26}\) The location of the bleeding is unfortunately not specified in NORMI. The additional risk of bleeding may be reduced by the dose reduction of tenecteplase for patients over 75 years as recommended in the recent ESC guidelines.\(^3\)

The main strengths of this study are the large and unselected population comprising nearly all patients hospitalized with STEMI in Norway from 2013 to 2019 and a nearly complete follow-up. However, there are several important limitations associated with the study design and the NORMI. The study was an observational study, making it difficult to demonstrate causal associations between treatment and outcomes. Only STEMIs that led to hospitalization were registered in the NORMI. A few hospitals did not deliver complete data for the whole period, but the coverage compared with the Norwegian Patient Register was \(>90\%).\(^1\) Information about time delay from symptom onset to FMC was missing in a proportion of patients. Furthermore, in 3566 patients (17\%), more than 12 h had passed from symptom onset to FMC. In accordance with the exclusion criteria, these patients were excluded. This may however represent a potential selection bias since the excluded patients were older and had more comorbidity. We only obtained deidentified data from the NORMI and the Norwegian Patient Registry and could not verify the information through medical records at the individual patient level. Consequently, we have only been able to adjust for a limited number of covariates. Nevertheless, the degree of completeness and correctness of most variables in the NORMI have been shown to be high.\(^{27}\) However, unmeasured confounders still exist. NORMI used balloon time as reperfusion time for pPCI, despite ESC guidelines recommending use of wire passage time. We did not have the opportunity to correct for this. Parts of Norway have very scattered settlements with long distances to invasive hospitals. Generalization of the findings to other countries must therefore be done with great caution.

In conclusion, many patients still received reperfusion therapy later than the guideline-recommended timelines. Efforts should be made to reduce the time delay. In patients with long transfer distances to pPCI, this study has shown that a P-I strategy may be associated with improved outcomes compared to delayed/late pPCI. In accordance with current guidelines,\(^3\) a P-I strategy should be considered as an alternative reperfusion strategy in patients with long transfer distances to pPCI.

**Supplementary material**

Supplementary material is available at *European Heart Journal – Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy* online.
Figure 4. Survival and survival free of non-fatal stroke and non-fatal myocardial infarction in propensity score-matched cohorts of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction receiving timely, delayed, and late pPCI compared to P-I strategy, Norway 2013–2019. P-I strategy: pharmaco-invasive strategy; pPCI, primary percutaneous coronary intervention (delayed: 121–180 min, late: >180 min).
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