Knockdown of Nurr1 in the rat hippocampus: Implications to spatial discrimination learning and memory
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Nurr1 expression is up-regulated in the brain following associative learning experiences, but its relevance to cognitive processes remains unclear. In these studies, rats initially received bilateral hippocampal infusions of control or antisense oligodeoxynucleotides (ODNs) 1 h prior to training in a holeboard spatial discrimination task. Such pre-training infusions of nurr1 antisense ODNs caused a moderate effect in learning the task and also impaired LTM tested 7 d later. In a second experiment, ODN infusions were given immediately after the animals had received two sessions of training, during which all animals showed normal learning. Although antisense treated rats were significantly impaired during the post-infusion stages of acquisition of the task, no group differences were observed during the LTM test given 7 d later. These animals were subjected 3 d later to reversal training in the same maze in the absence of any additional treatments. Remarkably, rats previously treated with antisense ODNs displayed perseveration: The animals were fixated with the previously learned pattern of baited holes, causing them to be significantly impaired in the extinction of acquired spatial preferences and future learning. We postulate that Nurr1 function in the hippocampus is important for normal cognitive processes.

Results

One-day version of the spatial discrimination holeboard task

Initially, a new version of the holeboard spatial discrimination task was established in order to perform a single intrahippocampal microinjection of oligodeoxynucleotides (ODNs). Food-restricted animals were trained in the 1-d modified version of the task, which consisted of four consecutive training sessions separated by a 1-h rest period. Rats subjected to this task efficiently learned to visit a specific pattern of baited holes at the end of 1 d of training and were shown to use an allocentric strategy to acquire and remember the task (data not shown). That is, accurate hole visits during the retention test depended on the use of extramaze spatial cues, consistent with our previous findings with the standard version of this task (Peña de Ortiz et al. 2000).
Hippocampal Nurr1 antisense knockdown experiment design

Figure 1A shows the design of Experiment 1 in which the effects of pre-training hippocampal infusions of nurr1 antisense ODNs were tested on acquisition and retention of spatial discrimination. Free exploration in the fully baited maze was allowed on the day prior to spatial training. On the next day, animals received bilateral hippocampal infusions of saline, sense, or antisense ODNs 1 h before subjecting them to the 1-d version of the holeboard spatial discrimination task. Next, one group of rats was sacrificed immediately after session 4, and their brains used for immunohistochemistry analysis, while others were allowed to rest for 7 d and then received a retention test in order to assess the effects of treatment on LTM. For Experiment 2 (Fig. 1B), we targeted the learning-induced hippocampal expression of Nurr1. As in Experiment 1, free exploration in the fully baited maze was allowed on the day prior to spatial training. On the training day, animals initially received two sessions without prior treatment. Sense or antisense ODN infusions were given immediately after session 2. The following 2 sessions were then resumed 1 h later. In total, rats received four training sessions, each separated by a 1-h rest period, as in the pre-training ODN infusion studies (Fig. 1A). Next, one group of rats was sacrificed immediately after session 4 and their brains used for immunoblotting analysis, while others were allowed to rest for 7 d and then received a retention test in order to assess the effects of treatment on LTM. Finally, Experiment 3 (Fig. 1C) was done to further assess the long-term impact of mid-training nurr1 hippocampal knockdown on spatial learning and memory (Experiment 2, Fig. 1B). After the retention test on day 8, all animals reaching the retention test in Experiment 2 were allowed to rest for 3 d and were then subjected to a reversal learning protocol in the same holeboard maze, but this time without ODN micro-infusions. Animals were subjected to reversal learning by requiring them to acquire a new pattern of food location. Animals received four sessions of training each separated by a 1-h rest period. A retention test was given 7 d later.

Histological analysis

The correct placement of cannulas within the hippocampal CA3 area was corroborated by inspection of coronal serial sections subjected to thionin staining. The distribution of cannula placements directed toward the CA3 subregions across the anterior–posterior coordinates covered from −3.14 to −3.60 mm from bregma (Fig. 2A). We also examined the diffusion and approximate stability of nurr1 antisense ODNs in the dorsal hippocampus. The infused fluo-
nurr1 antisense ODNs block Nurr1–mediated transcriptional activation

The designed antisense ODN, targeting the start codon of rat nur1 mRNA, showed no homology with any other sequence in GenBank, including the other two members of the rat Nur family, ngfl-b and nor-1 (Fig. 2C). The nur1 antisense and sense ODN sequences showed a perfect match with rat nur1 mRNA (shaded area), but not for the other two members of the Nur family, ngfl-b and nor-1. The start codon on each sequence is in bold. (D) In vitro nur1 antisense ODN treatment in cotransfected AD293 kidney cells. Nurr1 antisense ODN-treated cells had lower levels of luciferase activity than sense ODN-treated cells (*P < 0.05). Luciferase activity was normalized to Renilla luciferase activity. Data are presented as the means ± SEM (bars) of independently transfected cultures (N = 6 transfections).

Molecular analysis

ODN (data not shown). The NGFI-B response element (NBRE, AAAGGTCA) is the known binding site for members of the Nur family of orphan nuclear receptors that includes NGFI-B, NOR-1, and Nurr1 (Wilson et al. 1991; Peña de Ortiz and Jamieson Jr. 1996; Cheng et al. 1997). Transfected cells were treated with antisense or sense ODNs 24 h later. Approximately 24 h after ODN treatment, cells were harvested for detection of luciferase and Renilla luciferase activity. The results are shown in Figure 2D. Protein extracts prepared from cells treated with nur1 antisense ODNs displayed significantly lower levels of luciferase activity than extracts prepared from sense ODN-treated cells (Student’s t-test: t₁₀ = 2.234, *P < 0.05; N = 6 each group). The results demonstrate the effectiveness of our antisense ODNs in suppressing the expression and transactivation function of Nurr1. Importantly, neither sense nor antisense ODNs affected cell survival in culture.

Hippocampal Nurr1 antisense knockdown

Immunohistochemistry analysis was used to verify that any observed behavioral effects of hippocampal pre-training infusions of nur1 antisense ODNs (Experiment 1, see Fig. 1A) were due to suppression of Nurr1 protein (N = 5–7). Expression was quantified as the number of Nurr1-positive nuclei. As seen in Figure 3A, antisense ODN treatment significantly decreased the number of Nurr1-positive nuclei in the CA3 hippocampal subregion, compared to sense ODN infusions (Student’s t-test: t₁₀ = 3.203, **P < 0.01). No significant difference was observed in the number of Nurr1-immunopositive granule cells of the dentate gyrus (DG) (data not shown). Thus, the observed behavioral effects cannot be attributed to suppression of Nurr1 expression within the DG, which normally shows low or no expression of the protein (Xiao et al. 1996; Zetterstrom et al. 1996).

For all Western blotting experiments (Experiment 2, see Fig. 1B), values for Nurr1 immunoreactivity were normalized to levels of β-Actin in order to account for variations in gel loading. Protein was extracted from pooled dorsal hippocampi of three rats (data not shown) or from pooled CA3 tissue obtained from the vicinity of the tip of the injection cannula from three rat brains. The results of immunoblotting assays from protein extracts prepared from tissue close to the injection site showed reduced Nurr1 levels in hippocampal antisense, compared to sense, treated rats (Student’s t-test, t₂ = 9.743, *P < 0.05; N = 2 pools of tissue prepared from three animals each) (Fig. 3B,D). Importantly, no significant differences were observed for c-Fos (Student’s t-test, t₂ = 0.5605, P > 0.05) (Fig. 3C) or β-Actin (Fig. 3D) protein expression between the groups, indicating the specificity of the effect of the nur1 antisense ODN. Overall, our data support the
notion that the cognitive impairments of nurr1 ODN antisense treated rats are related to suppression of hippocampal Nurr1 expression.

Behavioral analysis

Pre-training infusions

The behavioral results obtained in Experiment 1 are shown in Figure 4, A and B. Only the data obtained for animals subjected to both acquisition and retention are shown. Two-Way repeated measures ANOVA of the acquisition (sessions 1–4) and retention (session 5) data confirmed that all groups [saline (N = 8), sense (N = 7), or antisense (N = 6)] displayed spatial learning as shown by a significant decrease in the searching time (Fig. 4A) and the number of errors (Fig. 4B) observed throughout training (sessions 1–4) and the number of errors significant decrease in the searching time (Fig. 4A) and the number of errors (Two-Way repeated measures ANOVA; sessions factor: \( F_{4,72} = 39.09, ** \*P < 0.0001; \) and \( F_{4,72} = 14.57, ** \*P < 0.0001, \) respectively). The repeated measures analysis also established a significant effect of subject matching throughout sessions \( (F_{21,84} = 2.126, ** \*P < 0.01). \) With respect to searching time (or the latency to find the four baited holes), no overall difference was detected between groups throughout acquisition and retention (Two-Way repeated measures ANOVA; treatment factor: \( F_{2,72} = 2.375, P > 0.1) \) (Fig. 4A). These results suggest that the antisense ODN treatment did not cause alterations in the states of arousal, activity, or motor or sensory systems of the animals, compared to sense ODN-treated animals. On the other hand, as seen in Figure 4B, we observed a moderate, yet significant, increase in the number of errors committed by the antisense-treated rats, compared to the control groups (Two-Way repeated measures ANOVA; treatment factor: \( F_{2,72} = 5.709, ^*P < 0.05). \) Although multiple comparisons post-testing did not identify specific significant differences between the groups during particular sessions, the plotted data suggest that antisense treated rats were impaired during acquisition, which probably resulted in impaired LTM observed during the retention test. Similar acquisition results as those reported above, with respect to searching time (Two-Way repeated measures ANOVA; sessions factor: \( F_{3,51} = 51.52, ** \*P < 0.0001; \) treatment factor: \( F_{1,51} = 0.00050, P > 0.9) \) and number of errors (Two-Way repeated measures ANOVA; sessions factor: \( F_{3,51} = 23.60, ** \*P < 0.0001; \) treatment factor: \( F_{1,51} = 7.013, ^*P < 0.05) \) were obtained for animals used in molecular studies. Overall, the results suggest that suppression of hippocampal Nurr1 impairs learning and thereby LTM by affecting accuracy (number of errors), but not latency (searching time), of task completion.

Mid-training infusions

In previous studies (Peña de Ortiz et al. 2000), we demonstrated that nurr1 mRNA is significantly up-regulated in the hippocampus during the initial and mid-acquisition stages of the hole-board spatial discrimination task. Thus, we examined the effects of mid-training antisense ODN infusions on the latter stages of acquisition and on retention. The behavioral results of Experiment 2 are shown in Figure 4C. Only the data obtained for animals subjected to both acquisition and retention are shown (N = 10 for sense group and 12 for antisense group). Two-Way repeated measures ANOVA of the errors data showed a significant effect of treatment \( (F_{1,84} = 10.24, ** \*P < 0.005) \) and of training \( (F_{4,84} = 5.201, ** \*P < 0.001). \) The repeated measures analysis also established a significant effect of subject matching throughout sessions \( (F_{21,84} = 2.126, ** \*P < 0.01). \) As seen in Figure 4C, the behavioral data from sessions 1 and 2 demonstrated that untreated rats, assigned to both sense and antisense ODN groups, showed normal spatial learning as indicated by a significant decrease in the number of errors. No significant differences were detected between groups during these pre-treatment learning sessions. Multiple comparisons testing showed specific significant effects for the post-treatment session 4 \( (*P < 0.05), \) revealing that antisense treated rats committed a significantly higher number of errors during the latter stage of acquisition than controls. Compared to sense ODN-treated rats, these animals were unable to use the spatial information learned in the first two sessions and displayed a random pattern of hole visits as observed during the initial trials of session 1. No significant difference was ob-
served between groups, however, during the LTM test (session 5) given 7 d after acquisition training, although the antisense treated rats showed a tendency to commit more errors than the sense treated animals (Mean Number of Errors; antisense, 15.67 ± 1.51; sense, 12.82 ± 1.46). Similar acquisition results as those reported above (Number of Errors, Two-Way repeated measures ANOVA; sessions factor: $F_{4,57} = 7.194$, ***P < 0.0005; treatment factor: $F_{1,57} = 13.16$, **P < 0.005) were obtained for animals used in molecular studies. The fact that no difference was found between the groups during the retention test could indicate that the first two sessions of training were sufficient for all animals to consolidate the mnemonic components of the task, that is, the specific location of the baited holes. To test this idea, we subjected two additional groups of rats (N = 12), which had not been subjected to intracranial surgeries, to one or two sessions of training and compared their memory during a retention test 7 d after acquisition training. The results are shown in Figure 4D. As expected, rats subjected to two sessions of training committed significantly less errors than the rats that only received one session of training ($t_{22} = 3.733$, **P < 0.005). The fact that the rats receiving two training sessions in this experiment displayed a lower mean number of errors than the ones used in the ODN microinfusion studies (Fig. 4, cf. D with C) probably reflects the fact that these animals were not subjected to permanent intracerebral cannulation and microinfusions. Thus, we can conclude that two sessions of training do elicit learning and memory for this task.

**Figure 4.** Pre- and mid-training microinfusions of antisense ODNs into hippocampal CA3 impair both the acquisition and LTM of spatial discrimination. Only the data for animals subjected to both acquisition and retention are shown. (A) Plot depicting the decreases in searching times during acquisition and retention for rats subjected to pre-training microinfusions of either saline, sense, or antisense ODNs. No overall difference was detected in latency to complete the task between groups throughout acquisition or retention. (B) Plot depicting the number of errors committed by rats in the three groups throughout acquisition and retention. Overall, antisense ODN-treated rats committed significantly more errors compared to sense ODN- or saline-treated animals during acquisition and retention (Two-Way ANOVA with repeated measures, *P < 0.05), although no specific group differences were identified in particular sessions when using multiple comparison testing. (C) Behavioral analysis of rats receiving mid-training microinfusions confirms that sense and antisense ODN-treated animals displayed comparable spatial learning during sessions 1 and 2 (prior to ODIN treatment). Antisense ODIN-treated rats committed significantly more errors than sense ODIN-treated rats at the latter stages of acquisition (Two-Way ANOVA with repeated measures, *P < 0.05). Post hoc analysis identified specific differences between the groups during session 4 of acquisition (**P < 0.05). No significant differences between the groups were seen during the retention test. (D) Bar graph depicting the results of an LTM test for intact rats subjected to one or two training sessions during acquisition. The rats that received two sessions of training committed significantly fewer errors during their retention test than the rats that received only one training session (Student t-test, **P < 0.005). Data are presented as the ± SEM of rats trained with one (gray bar) or two (black bar) sessions.

**Blocking Nurr1 expression during mid-acquisition affects future learning in the same maze**

To further assess the long-term impact of mid-training *nurr1* hippocampal knockdown on spatial memory, all animals reaching the retention test in Experiment 2 were allowed to rest for 3 d and were then subjected to a reversal learning protocol in the same holeboard maze, but this time without ODN microinfusions (Fig. 1C). Animals were subjected to reversal learning by requiring them to acquire a new pattern of food location. Animals received four sessions of training each separated by a 1-h rest period. The retention test was given 7 d later. Remarkably, even in the absence of new ODN treatment, we found significant differences between the groups when analyzing the number of errors displayed by the rats during reversal training (Fig. 5A). Both groups showed learning as indicated by a significant decrease in the number of errors as the number of sessions increased (Two-Way repeated measures ANOVA; sessions factor: $F_{3,57} = 5.632$, **P < 0.001). However, rats that had previously received mid-training hippocampal infusions of *nurr1* antisense ODNs (N = 12) during their first spatial learning experience were significantly less accurate than the previously treated sense ODN controls (N = 7; instead of 10, since three animals from this group were lost before completion of the reversal task) during the reversal training and retention test (Two-Way repeated measures ANOVA; treatment factor: $F_{2,30} = 6.654$, *P < 0.05). This group difference was also observed during the retention test for the reversal task in which the antisense treated rats showed a tendency to commit more errors than the sense treated animals (Mean Number of Errors; antisense, 11.05 ± 1.289; sense, 6.457 ± 1.179). It is unlikely that these differences are due...
to sensorial deficiencies in the antisense group of rats since they committed a similar number of errors as the sense treated animals during sessions 1 and 2 of the reversal training. Rather, these results suggest that suppressing the expression of Nurr1 during mid-training in the first spatial learning experience impacted future learning in the same maze.

This idea is supported by the results of comparing the search patterns used by the animals in the retention test of the reversal task to the search patterns that each animal learned during their first training experience. Figure 5B shows the perseveration index (or relative preference to use the old spatial pattern of baited holes vs. the new pattern) displayed by the animals of each group during their retention test for the reversal training task. Rats that received antisense ODN treatments during their first learning experience ($N = 12$) showed significantly higher preference for the old pattern of baited holes than the previously treated sense ODN ($N = 7$) controls ($t_{17} = 2.446$, *$P < 0.05$). Overall, the data support the notion that Nurr1 suppression during mid-acquisition of the first spatial learning experience caused the animals to perseverate on the spatial information learned just prior to treatment (also see Fig. 5C).

**Discussion**

Mutant mice heterozygous for the nurr1 allele display increased spontaneous and amphetamine- (or stress-) induced locomotor activity (Eells et al. 2002; Backman et al. 2003), as well as deficient wheel running behavior (Werme et al. 2003). In addition, these mutant mice are predisposed to impairment in prepulse inhibition, which is thought to involve the hippocampus (for review, see Bast and Feldon 2003; Eells et al. 2006). These results support the notion raised by gene expression studies (Peña de Ortiz et al. 2000; Ressler et al. 2002; Ge et al. 2003; Al Banchaabouchi et al. 2004) that Nurr1 could be related to cognitive processes. In this study, we used an antisense knockdown approach designed to acutely and transiently disrupt hippocampal Nurr1 expression and thereby investigate the role of this immediate-early transcription factor in spatial discrimination learning and memory. Overall, the results from our behavioral studies strongly implicate hippocampal Nurr1 function in the normal processing and use of spatial information for discrimination of relevant versus irrelevant stimuli.

**Spatial discrimination LTM effects of Nurr1 suppression in CA3**

The results suggest that when Nurr1 function in the CA3 hippocampal region is disrupted before and throughout acquisition (pre-training infusion experiments), LTM is compromised. This effect might be explained by the blockade of putative Nurr1 target genes related to the establishment of synaptic connections, such as contactin (Yoshihara et al. 1995). These LTM effects of nurr1 antisense ODNs also support previous studies on the role of the CA3 subregion in spatial memory (Steffenach et al. 2002). It has been demonstrated that the hippocampal CA3 region supports the formation of arbitrary associations because of its autoassociative network function, enabling animals to remember a particular object and its location (Rolls et al. 1989; Gilbert and Kesner 2003; Florian and Roulet 2004; Lee and Kesner 2004b). In addition, other studies demonstrated that this autoassociative network in CA3 can support a recall mechanism that is important for spatial pattern completion and that depends on N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA) receptors (Nakazawa et al. 2002; Gold and Kesner 2005; Kishimoto et al. 2006). Antisense knockdown of Fos, another immediate-early transcription factor, within the rat CA3 region disrupted spatial memory in the radial arm maze (He et al. 2002). More importantly, a recent study by Florian et al. (2006) showed that antisense knockdown of cAMP Responsive Element Binding protein (CREB) in the CA3 region of the dorsal hippocampus impaired LTM of spatial learning in the Morris water maze. Related to this might be the fact that we have found that induction of Nurr1 expression in the CA3, but not the CA1, region following context fear conditioning is dependent on CREB function (J. Wang, S.V. Rivera-Beltrán, I. Chévere-Torres, and S. Peña de Ortiz, unpubl.). Overall, our results suggest that Nurr1 function is required in the CA3 hippocampal region for normal LTM formation, although its role in the CA1 remains to be clarified.
Suppression of Nurr1 in CA3 during mid-acquisition results in an abnormal cognitive disorder

When animals received narr1 antisense CA3 infusions after they had received two sessions of training, they were unable to use the recently acquired information. Rather, antisense treated rats reverted to the random type of maze searching observed during the initial training trials and during habituation (when they learned that all holes in the maze were baited with food). That is, animals were incapable of using the recently acquired information and seemed to return to previously learned and consolidated spatial representations. However, when tested 7 d later, the antisense treated rats showed normal LTM of the spatial information acquired in sessions 1 and 2. Thus, spatial information acquired during these initial two sessions given in the absence of ODN treatment was consolidated into LTM.

The contrast between the results obtained with the pre-training and mid-training CA3 infusions of narr1 antisense ODNs with respect to LTM suggests a possible dual role of Nurr1 in learning and memory. According to our findings, Nurr1 expression present at the start of training or induced early during acquisition within CA3 cells is important for LTM, whereas Nurr1 expression and function during the latter stages of acquisition may be required for activating synaptic growth processes that could be important to enable future learning and memory. Indeed, we found that compared to sense ODN treatment, mid-training narr1 antisense ODN CA3 infusions during the first spatial learning experience impaired spatial learning in the reversal task. Rats that had been treated with narr1 antisense ODN during their first spatial learning experience displayed a higher number of errors throughout acquisition training and in the retention test of the reversal task, than sense ODN-treated animals. Under normal conditions, rats would be expected to display both spatial extinction and new spatial acquisition (Lattal and Abel 2001; Lattal et al. 2003, 2004): learning that the old spatial representation of baited holes is no longer relevant and learning that a new spatial representation is now required in order to find the food in the maze, respectively. Accordingly, sense ODN-treated rats displayed progressively decreasing tendencies to visit the holes that were baited in their first spatial learning experience as the number of sessions increased in the reversal task. However, the rats that received narr1 antisense ODN CA3 infusions during the first spatial training showed continued preference (or perseveration) for visiting the previously baited holes. Even 7 d after the reversal training, the antisense group of animals showed significantly higher preference to visit the baited holes learned during the first training.

Such behavior may represent impaired spatial extinction or impaired acquisition of the new spatial representation in the maze. Since both spatial extinction and acquisition of the new spatial representation in the maze are active learning processes occurring during reversal training (Lattal and Abel 2001), we can conclude that suppression of Nurr1 expression during mid-acquisition of the first spatial learning experience impaired future learning in the maze. Previous studies have shown that the hippocampus may be associated to internal inhibition and perseverative responses that contribute to impairments in new learning (Kimble 1968; Whishaw and Tomie 1997). The hippocampus has also been implicated in cognitive coordination processes (Wesierska et al. 2005; Olypher et al. 2006) that allow individuals to discriminate between relevant and irrelevant stimuli and that are thought to be impaired in disorganized schizophrenia (Phillips and Silverstein 2003). Indeed, the observed cognitive inflexibility in our studies is reminiscent of behavioral symptoms, such as perseveration and cognitive disorganization (manifested as an inability to discriminate between relevant vs. irrelevant stimuli), in schizophrenia, manic depression, and obsessive-compulsiveness (Moricz 1990; Joseph 1999; Clarke et al. 2004, 2005; Gray and Snowden 2005; Lubow and Kaplan 2005).

Are perseverative responses resulting from Nurr1 knockdown in CA3 related to compromised hippocampal plasticity?

Activity-dependent plasticity allows functional, structural, and molecular adaptations in synaptic connections during development and learning (Nedivi et al. 1996; Andresson and Kaufmann 2002; Knott et al. 2002). The narr1 gene is necessary for the development and differentiation of dopamine precursor neurons (Castillo et al. 1998; Saez-Cardenas et al. 1998; Witta et al. 2000). Additional studies demonstrated that dopamine progenitor cells bearing a disrupted narr1 gene failed to complete normal migration and the formation of synaptic connections with their normal targets in the striatum (Wallen et al. 1999). In addition, the activation of Ephrin B1 receptor by its ligand ephrin-B2 enhances narr1 mRNA and protein levels in the midbrain, suggesting a possible role of narr1 in axonal outgrowth (Calo et al. 2005). Previous work by Castro and colleagues (Castro et al. 2001) demonstrated that narr1 expression in dopaminergic cell lines can induce the extension of neurites. Interestingly, the gene encoding neurtrophin 3 (NT-3) is among the transcriptional genes containing NBE elements in their 5’-promoter upstream region. Neurotrophins in general, and NT-3 in particular, have been associated with sprouting, axonal growth, synaptic plasticity, and learning (Kang and Schuman 1996; Kaisho et al. 1999; Schwyzer et al. 2002; Xu et al. 2002; Mizuno et al. 2003). Hence, one possible role of Nurr1 during learning is the activation of cellular machineries necessary to promote synaptic connectivity and plasticity, which are also relevant during development. Spatial learning has been shown to induce increased numbers of mossy fiber terminals (ssynaptogenesis) in the dorsal hippocampal CA3 region (Ramirez-Amaya et al. 1999, 2001). Thus, suppression of Nurr1 expression in hippocampal CA3 cells during mid-acquisition of the first spatial learning experience may have prevented the formation of new synaptic terminals, which could be available for establishing future synaptic connections during future learning experiences. Disrupting the normal balance between the stable fixation of memory representations via the establishment of strong synaptic connections and the generation of new potential pre-synaptic and post-synaptic sites for use in future learning experiences could explain the perseverative responses caused by Nurr1 suppression in our experiments, and at least part of the cognitive symptoms in schizophrenia. In fact, a recent study found reduced mossy fiber-CA3 synapses in post-mortem tissue of schizophrenic patients (Kolomeets et al. 2005). Taken together, these results support the hypothesis that Nurr1 is important for hippocampal-dependent cognitive processes that may be impaired in patients suffering from neuropsychiatric conditions such as schizophrenia.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

Male Long Evans rats weighing between 275 and 300 g (Harlan Sprague Dawley) were used in our studies. Upon arrival, rats were taken to the behavioral testing room and placed in home cages in pairs. Food and water were available at all times except when the rats entered the food restriction protocol, in which they were maintained at 85% of free feeding weight in preparation for the holeboard spatial discrimination training. The rats were kept on a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle (lights on at 6:00 a.m.). All procedures were conducted in agreement with the National Institutes
of Health Guide for care and use of laboratory animals and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Antisense ODNs and microinfusion procedure

Fully phosphorothioate-modified ODNs were synthesized and purified by Biognostik. All three batches of ODNs used to complete these studies were received as sterile phosphorothioate DNA-Na salts, lyophilized, depentected, and fully purified by high performance liquid chromatography. The sequence design of the 21-bp nur1 antisense ODN was 5′-CATGCGCTTACAGCAGGTGAATATT-3′; and sense ODN was 5′-AATTCACTCGGTGAGACCCATGATGTT-3′; these sequences correspond to nucleotides 25–45 of rat nur1 (hvf-3) mRNA (Peña de Ortiz and Jamieson Jr. 1996). The nur1 antisense ODN was designed to target the start codon of rat nur1 mRNA. Phosphorothioated ODNs were used because this modification has shown resistance to nuclease degradation (Agrawal 1999). In addition, the antisense sequence was designed taking into consideration factors such as length of the oligomer, base composition, and specificity for the nur1 mRNA. In addition, FITC-labeled nur1 antisense ODNs were used for diffusion studies. The complementary sense or reverse ODN and vehicle (saline) were used as controls. BLAST searches of the above sequences were performed on the National Center for Biotechnology Information BLAST server using the GenBank database (McGinnis and Madden 2004). ODNs were dissolved in sterile 0.9% saline solution with a final concentration of 16.8 µg/µL (2 nmol), a concentration used previously with antisense ODN delivered intracerebrally to inhibit protein expression in vivo (Lamprecht et al. 1997).

Plasmids

The pCI-Nur1 encoding full-length mouse nur1 as well as the luciferase reporter construct NBRE3x (pGL2 plasmid containing three canonical NBREs) (Woroniecz et al. 1995) were generously provided by Michael J. Bannon (Wayne State Univ. School of Medicine, Detroit, MI) (Sacchetti et al. 2001). Also, Carlos González (Univ. of Puerto Rico, San Juan, PR) kindly provided the pRL-CMV encoding the Renilla luciferase control reporter.

Cell culture and transfections

Transient transfection studies were performed in embryonic human AD293 kidney cell lines, grown at 37°C in a 5% CO2 humidified atmosphere in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium high glucose (Invitrogen) containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (GIBCO). Cells were plated in six-well plates (5 × 10³ cells/well) 24 h before transfection. Typically, cells were cotransfected with 3 µg of the reporter construct and 3 µg of expression vectors, each complexed at a ratio of 1 µg DNA/3 µL of liposome reagent (FuGene 6; Roche Applied Science), following the instructions of the manufacturer. The plasmid pRL-CMV Renilla was also included in the cotransfection (1:10 of total pCI-Nur1) in all experiments as an internal control for normalization of transfection efficiency. After 24 h of incubation, the lipid/DNA mix was replaced with fresh medium before ODN treatment. Before treatment, ODNs were dissolved in ultrapure water with a final concentration of 8.4 µg/µL (1 nmol), half of the dose used in in vivo studies. One microliter of ODN solution was incubated with FuGene 6 (Roche) in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (without serum) for 30 min at room temperature and was then added to the cells and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. Approximately 24 h after ODN treatment, cell extracts were analyzed for luciferase and Renilla activities using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) and a Luminometer (TD20/20 Luminometer; Turner Designs).

Surgery

Animals were kept in the Animal House Facilities of the Department of Biology at the University of Puerto Rico and were handled immediately upon arrival for several days in order to minimize stress before the surgery procedure. The handling and surgical procedures used were similar to those reported previously by us (Vázquez and Peña de Ortiz 2004). On the day of surgery, rats were first injected with atropine (s.c. 0.54 mg/kg) and were then anesthetized with 2.5% sodium pentobarbital at an intraperitoneal dose of 50 mg/kg. Standard stereotaxic procedures were used to implant bilateral indwelling guide cannulae aimed at the hippocampus using coordinates based on the Paxinos and Watson rat atlas (Paxinos and Watson 1998). The coordinates for the hippocampal CA3 cannulations were as follows: anteroposterior (AP), 3.3 mm from bregma; medio-lateral (ML), ±2.7 mm from midline; dorso-ventral (DV), –2.7 mm from skull. The cannulae (8 mm long) were secured to stainless steel screws with dental cement and light-curable resin. Wire stylets were inserted in the guides and checked every day to ensure clean and functional cannulae. After surgery, animals were allowed to recover for 4 d before behavioral experiments.

Diffusion experiments

After cannulae implantation, injector cannulae were inserted, and a group of animals were microinfused with FITC-nur1 antisense ODNs to estimate the area of ODN diffusion within the hippocampus. An infusion of 1 µL of FITC-nur1 antisense ODNs was delivered bilaterally into the dorsal hippocampus. Animals were sacrificed at the following time points: 1, 3, 6, and 24 h (N = 2 for each time point). All animals were anesthetized and decapitated, and the brains were isolated and stored at –80°C. Coronal hippocampal sections 20 µm thick were visualized using a fluorescence microscope (Pixcell II; Arcturus), and digitized pictures were obtained.

Food restriction and habituation

Following the recovery period from surgery, rats entered a food restriction and habituation period to prepare them for training in the holeboard spatial discrimination maze apparatus, as described before by us (Peña de Ortiz et al. 2000; Vázquez et al. 2000; Robles et al. 2003; Vázquez and Peña de Ortiz 2004). Rats received two habituation trials (in the same day), each separated by a 1-h rest period. The habituation trials were as described by us previously (Peña de Ortiz et al. 2000).

Intrahippocampal microinfusions

In order to ensure the effectiveness of the infusion pump system and to get the animals adapted to receiving intracerebral micro-infusions, we subjected each animal to bilateral infusions (2 min at 0.5 µL/min) of 0.9% saline prior to the first habituation trial (see above). The infusion was accomplished by inserting a 30-gauge stainless steel injector into the guide cannulae so that they extended 1.0 mm beyond the tip of the guide right above the CA3 pyramidal neurons of the hippocampus. Previous to spatial training, rats were randomly distributed into three groups that received saline, antisense, or sense ODN infusions. In the training day, rats were microinjected with a single infusion of the ODNs or saline 1 h prior to sessions 1 (pre-training infusions) or 3 (mid-training infusions) of spatial training. After removing the stylets, bilateral intracerebral microinfusions were given with the injection needle connected to a 10-µL Hamilton syringe by polyethylene tubing. ODNs or vehicle were administered with an infusion time of 2 min followed by a 1-min diffusion time using a microdrive pump (Harvard Apparatus). An infusion of 1 µL of ODN (2 nmol) was delivered into each side of the hippocampus. After ODN or vehicle infusions, the injectors were removed, the stylets were replaced, and the animals were returned to their home cages. All injections were done using a blind experimental design.

Spatial discrimination learning

Spatial training began the day after habituation and was done following similar protocols as those reported previously (Peña de Ortiz et al. 2000; Vázquez et al. 2000; Robles et al. 2003; Vázquez and Peña de Ortiz 2004; Álvarez-Jaimes et al. 2004). During the acquisition phase of spatial learning, animals received four sessions of training (each consisting of five trials lasting a maximum of 5 min) and each separated by a 1-h rest period. The behavioral
parameters recorded in each trial were the following: (1) the total time to complete each trial measured by time watches, (2) the number of errors (visits to empty holes and repeat visits to baited holes), and (3) the search strategy (pattern of search behavior). After acquisition training, animals rested for 7 d without any further training, but were maintained in the food-restriction protocol. The rats then received a retention test, which consisted of five training trials each lasting a maximum of 5 min. Food pellets were placed in the same locations in which they were during acquisition training.

Reversal training
For the mid-training infusion experiments, antisense and sense spatially trained animals rested for 3 d after their retention test without any further training, but the food-restriction protocol was maintained. Animals were then subjected to a new spatial acquisition protocol in the holeboard maze without any ODN or vehicle microinfusions. For the reversal training, a new pattern of food location was used for each animal. After acquisition training in the reversal task, animals were allowed to rest, while continuing in the food-restriction protocol. The retention test was given 7 d after the reversal training. The searching trajectory, number of errors, and time needed to complete the task were recorded for each trial. The perseveration index for the retention test, consisting of five trials (see above), was calculated using the following formula: Perseveration Index = [(5 trials) − (number of trials in which animals used the new pattern of baited holes)] / [(number of trials in which animals used the new pattern baited holes) + (number of trials in which animals used the old pattern of baited holes)].

Histological analyses
In order to verify cannula/injector positions for animals used in behavioral studies, rats were decapitated immediately after the end of the retention test. Brains were dissected, washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS), placed on dry ice, and stored at −80°C. Fresh frozen coronal sections were mounted on gelatin-coated slides and fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde for 20 min and washed twice with PBS 1×, 5 min each time. The sections were defatted by washing in chloroform for 5 min and then stained with 0.1% thionin (Nissl stain) dissolved in ultrapure water. A light binocular microscope was used for corroboration of cannula/injector placements, and infusion sites were confirmed visually (see Results). Only the data obtained from animals showing correct cannula placements were used for our analyses of the effects of ODN treatment.

Immunohistochemistry
A group of animals that had received pre-training CA3 ODN infusions was sacrificed immediately after the end of session 4 of training. Brains were dissected, washed with phosphate buffer saline, placed on dry ice, and stored at −80°C. Fresh frozen alternate coronal sections (20 μm thick) were obtained in a cryostat at −20°C, placed on positively charged glass slides, and stored at −80°C. The sections were then used for Nurr1 immunohistochemistry as described previously (Ge et al. 2003; Al Banchaabouchi et al. 2004). Diaminobenzidine (DAB)-oxidized brown precipitates were visualized with a binocular light microscope (Olympus BX40 microscope), and photomicrographs were taken with a Polaroid DMC digital camera (1600 × 1200 dpi) with 10× objective magnification. Nurr1-immunopositive nuclei were counted within the CA3 and dentate gyrus hippocampal subregions surrounding the microinfused area using the Image J program (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij) as described by us previously (Ge et al. 2003; Al Banchaabouchi et al. 2004; Vázquez and Peña de Ortiz 2004). A total of six slides (each containing three to four serial sections) per animal (~24 serial coronal sections for each animal) were used for the counting of Nurr1-immunopositive nuclei of the dorsal hippocampus. The analyzed portion of dorsal hippocampus represents the area at and the area surrounding (anteri orly and posteriorly) the site of microinfusions. Image J counting was done by a technician who was blind in terms of which sections corresponded to treated or control animals.

Nuclear protein extraction and Western blotting
Tissue from the dorsal hippocampus or the hippocampal CA3 was collected in pools of three rats per condition. The procedures for nuclear protein extraction (performed at 4°C) were modified from Best et al. (1999) and were similar to those previously used by us (Ge et al. 2003; Al Banchaabouchi et al. 2004; Santini et al. 2004). Protein samples were stored at −80°C until used. Western blotting was performed as described previously (Ge et al. 2003; Al Banchaabouchi et al. 2004). The blotted membrane was incubated first with 1:500 Nurr1 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and subsequently with 1:5000 anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase conjugated secondary antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.). Antibody binding specificity was confirmed by immunoadsorption with a Nurr1 peptide. The ECL Western blotting system (Amersham Biosciences) was used for immune detection. Membranes were then stripped of antibodies and re-probed for Fos (1:200 c-Fos antibody) (see Santini et al. 2004 for detailed conditions) and later for β-actin as described previously (Ge et al. 2003; Al Banchaabouchi et al. 2004). Films were scanned and analyzed in a densitometer (Molecular Dynamics). Expression was normalized by dividing the mean Nurr1 or Fos optical densities per condition by the corresponding β-actin optical densities.

Statistical analysis
Prism 4 software (GraphPad Software) was used to carry out statistical analysis on all the behavioral and biochemical data. For all experiments, we assumed statistical significance at P < 0.05. Two-Way repeated measures ANOVA was used for comparing performance of rats treated with the vehicle, antisense, or sense ODNs for each learning measure during acquisition and retention tests (searching time and number of errors). Bonferroni posteriori tests were performed when significance was reached (P < 0.05) in the ANOVAs. Finally, the Student’s t-test was used to determine the statistical significance of the retention test data for rats trained with one versus two sessions, of the effect of sense versus antisense ODN treatments on the perseveration index during the retention test of the reversal training, and in all the biochemical studies.
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