Charged-Higgs-boson production at the LHC: Next-to-leading-order supersymmetric QCD corrections
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The dominant production process for heavy charged-Higgs bosons at the LHC is the associated production with heavy quarks. We have calculated the next-to-leading-order supersymmetric QCD corrections to charged-Higgs production through the parton processes $q\bar{q}, gg \rightarrow t\bar{H}^\pm$ and present results for total cross sections and differential distributions. The QCD corrections reduce the renormalization and factorization scale dependence and thus stabilize the theoretical predictions. We present a comparison of the next-to-leading-order results for the inclusive cross section with a calculation based on bottom-gluon fusion $gb \rightarrow t\bar{H}^\pm$ and discuss the impact of the next-to-leading-order corrections on charged-Higgs searches at the LHC.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Higgs mechanism [1] is a cornerstone of the standard model (SM) and its supersymmetric extensions. The masses of the fundamental particles, electroweak gauge bosons, leptons, and quarks, are generated by interactions with Higgs fields. The search for Higgs bosons is thus one of the most important tasks for high-energy physics and is being pursued at the upgraded proton-antiproton collider Tevatron with a center-of-mass (c.m.) energy of $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV and at the proton-proton collider LHC, which started operation in 2010 at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV c.m. energy.

The minimal supersymmetric extension of the standard model (MSSM) requires two Higgs doublets leading to five physical scalar Higgs bosons: two (mass-degenerate) charged particles $H^\pm$, one $CP$-odd neutral particle $A$, and two $CP$-even neutral particles $h$ and $H$. The discovery of a charged-Higgs boson, in particular, would provide unambiguous evidence for an extended Higgs sector beyond the standard model. Searches at LEP have set a limit on the pseudoscalar Higgs boson, $M_A > 93.4$ GeV [7], resulting in $M_{H^\pm} \geq 120$ GeV. At the Tevatron, searches for light charged-Higgs bosons in top-quark decays $t \rightarrow bH^\pm$ have placed some constraints on the MSSM parameter space but do not provide any further generic bounds on $M_{H^\pm}$.

The LHC will extend the search for charged-Higgs bosons to masses up to $M_{H^\pm} \lesssim 600$ GeV [10,11], where the reach depends in detail on the values of the supersymmetric parameters. In this paper we shall focus on the most promising search channel for heavy $H^\pm$ (with $M_{H^\pm} \approx m_t$) at the LHC, which is the associated production of charged-Higgs bosons with heavy quarks,

$$pp \rightarrow t\bar{H}^\pm + X. \quad (1.1)$$

Alternative production mechanisms like quark-antiquark annihilation $q\bar{q} \rightarrow H^\pm, H^\pm + \text{jet}$ production, associated $H^\pm W^\pm$ production, or Higgs pair production have suppressed rates, and it is not yet clear whether a signal could be established in any of those channels (see Ref. [12] and references therein). Some of the above production processes may, however, be enhanced in models with non-minimal flavor violation (see, e.g., Ref. [13]).

Two different formalisms can be employed to calculate the cross section for associated $t\bar{H}^\pm$ production. In a four-flavor scheme (4FS) with no $b$ quarks in the initial state, the lowest-order QCD production processes are gluon-gluon...
fusion and quark-antiquark annihilation, $gg \to tbH^\pm$ and $q\bar{q} \to tbH^\pm$, respectively. The inclusive cross section for $gg \to tbH^\pm$ develops potentially large logarithms $\propto \ln(\mu_R/m_t)$, which arise from the splitting of incoming gluons into nearly collinear $b\bar{b}$ pairs. The large scale $\mu_R$ of $O(M_{t\bar{t}})$ corresponds to the upper limit of the collinear region up to which factorization is valid. The $\ln(\mu_R/m_t)$ terms can be summed to all orders in perturbation theory by introducing bottom parton densities. This defines the so-called five-flavor scheme (5FS) [14]. The use of bottom distribution functions is based on the approximation that the outgoing $b$ quark is at small transverse momentum and massless, and the virtual $b$ quark is quasi-on-shell. In this scheme, the leading-order (LO) process for the inclusive $tbH^\pm$ cross section is gluon-bottom fusion, $gb \to tH^\pm$. The next-to-leading-order (NLO) cross section in the 5FS includes $O(\alpha_s)$ corrections to $gb \to tH^\pm$ and the tree-level processes $gg \to tbH^\pm$ and $q\bar{q} \to tbH^\pm$.

To all orders in perturbation theory the four- and five-flavor schemes are identical, but the way of ordering the perturbative expansion is different, and the results do not match exactly at finite order. For the inclusive production of neutral Higgs bosons with bottom quarks, $pp \to b\bar{b}H + X$, the four- and five-flavor scheme calculations numerically agree within their respective uncertainties, once higher-order QCD corrections are taken into account [15–18]. However, no NLO comparison of the 4FS and 5FS calculations for charged-Higgs production with heavy quarks exists so far.

There has been considerable progress recently in improving the cross-section predictions for the associated production of charged-Higgs bosons with heavy quarks by calculating NLO supersymmetry (SUSY)-QCD and electroweak corrections in the four and five-flavor schemes [19–26] and the matching of the NLO five-flavor scheme calculation with parton showers [27]. The inclusion of higher-order effects is crucial for an accurate theoretical prediction and, eventually, a determination of Higgs-boson parameters from the comparison of theory and experiment. In this paper we present an independent calculation of the NLO supersymmetric QCD corrections to the process $pp \to tbH^\pm + X$ in the 4FS. The calculation within the 4FS allows one to describe the dynamics of the final-state bottom quark, which in the 5FS scheme calculation at LO is assumed to be always produced at small transverse momentum and is thus treated inclusively. However, Monte Carlo simulations show that in about 20% of $pp \to tbH^\pm + X$ events at the LHC the $b$ quark from the production process has a transverse momentum larger than the $b$ quark from the top-quark decay and will thus contaminate the event reconstruction [28]. We therefore provide state-of-the art NLO predictions not only for the inclusive cross section but also for various differential distributions. In contrast to previous analyses our results are based on the consistent use of a four-flavor parton distribution function. Furthermore, we present the first comparison of the 4FS and 5FS calculations at NLO for the inclusive $tH^\pm$ cross section.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we shall describe the calculation of the NLO supersymmetric QCD corrections. Numerical results for MSSM Higgs-boson production at the LHC are presented in Sec. III. We conclude in Sec. IV. The appendix provides details on the scenario of the supersymmetric model under consideration.

II. CALCULATION

A. LO processes and conventions

In the 4FS the production of charged-Higgs bosons in association with top and bottom quarks proceeds at LO through the parton processes [29–31]

$$gg \to tbH^- \quad \text{and} \quad q\bar{q} \to tbH^+,$$

and the charge-conjugate processes with the $tbH^+$ final state. Throughout this paper we present results for the $tbH^-$ channels, unless stated otherwise. Generic Feynman diagrams that contribute to the LO processes (2.1) are displayed in Fig. 1(a).

In the MSSM, the Yukawa coupling of the charged-Higgs boson $H^-$ to a top and bottom quark is given by

$$g_{b\bar{b}H^-} = \sqrt{2} \left( \frac{m_t}{v} P_R \cot \beta + \frac{m_b}{v} P_L \tan \beta \right),$$

where $v = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2} = (\sqrt{2}G_F)^{-1/2}$ is the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field in the standard model and $G_F = 1.16637 \times 10^{-5}$ GeV$^{-2}$ [32] is the Fermi constant. The ratio of the vacuum expectation values $v_1$ and $v_2$ of the two Higgs doublets is denoted by $\tan \beta = v_2/v_1$, and $P_{L/R} = (1 \mp g_5)/2$ are the chirality projectors.

B. NLO supersymmetric QCD corrections

The NLO supersymmetric QCD corrections comprise virtual one-loop diagrams [Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)], gluon radiation processes [Fig. 1(d)], and gluon-(anti)quark scattering reactions [Fig. 1(e)]. The NLO QCD calculation of the SM processes $q\bar{q}, gg \to Q\bar{Q}H$, where $Q$ denotes a generic heavy quark, has been described in some detail in Refs. [33,34] (see also Refs. [35,36]). Following closely Refs. [33,34], we have performed two independent calculations of the virtual and real corrections, which are in mutual agreement. A detailed account of one of the two calculations of the virtual corrections is presented in Ref. [37]. In the following we provide a short summary of our methods and mention the tools that have been used.
The Feynman diagrams and amplitudes that contribute to the virtual corrections have been generated with \textsc{Feynarts} 1.0 \cite{Feynarts1} and \textsc{Feynarts} 3.2 \cite{Feynarts2}. The amplitudes have been processed further with two independent in-house \textsc{Mathematica} routines, which automatically create output in \textsc{Fortran} and \textsc{C++}, respectively. The IR (soft and collinear) singularities have been regularized in $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions and have been separated analytically from the finite remainder as described in Refs. \cite{Reg1,Reg2}. This separation also allows for a transparent evaluation of rational terms that result from $D$-dependent factors multiplying IR divergences appearing as poles in $\epsilon$; in agreement with the general arguments given in Ref. \cite{Gen}, we find that rational terms of IR origin cancel completely. The pentagon tensor integrals have been reduced directly to box integrals following Ref. \cite{Ref42}. This method does not introduce inverse Gram determinants in the reduction process, thereby avoiding numerical instabilities in regions

FIG. 1. A generic set of diagrams (a) for the Born level, (b) for virtual gluon exchange, (c) virtual gluino and squark exchange, (d) gluon radiation, and (e) gluon-(anti)quark scattering in the subprocesses $q\bar{q}, gg \rightarrow t\bar{b}H^-$, etc.
where these determinants become small. Box and lower-point integrals have been reduced to scalar integrals using the standard Passarino-Veltman technique [43]. Sufficient numerical stability is already achieved in this way, but further improvements with the methods of Ref. [44] are in progress. The scalar integrals, finally, have been calculated either analytically or using the results of Ref. [45]. The IR-finite scalar integrals have furthermore been checked with LOOPTOOLS/FF [46].

Both evaluations of the real-emission corrections employ (independent implementations of) the dipole subtraction formalism [47] for the extraction of IR singularities and for their combination with the virtual corrections. Helicity amplitudes for the real-emission processes have been generated and evaluated with MADGRAPH [48] and HELAS [49]. The result has been checked by an independent calculation using standard trace techniques.

C. Parameter renormalization and resummation improvements

The renormalization of the strong coupling $\alpha_s(\mu)$ and the factorization of initial-state collinear singularities are performed in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme. As usual, the top quark and the SUSY particles are decoupled from the running of $\alpha_s(\mu)$. In the 4FS calculation presented here, also the bottom quark is decoupled and the partonic cross section is calculated using a four-flavor $\alpha_s$. While the top- and bottom-quark masses are defined on-shell, the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme is adopted for the renormalization of the bottom-Higgs Yukawa coupling, which is fixed in terms of the corresponding $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalization of the bottom mass. In order to sum large logarithmic corrections $\propto \ln(\mu/m_b)$ we evaluate the Yukawa coupling with the running $b$-quark mass $\tilde{m}_b(\mu)$ [50].

The SUSY loop corrections induce a modification of the tree-level relation between the bottom mass and its Yukawa coupling, which is enhanced at large $\tan\beta$ [51–54]. These corrections can be summed to all orders by the replacement

$$\frac{m_b\tan\beta}{v} \rightarrow \frac{m_b\tan\beta}{v} \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta_b}{\tan^2\beta} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta_b}{\tan^2\beta} \right)$$

in the bottom Yukawa coupling [55,56], where

$$\Delta_b = \frac{C_F}{2} \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \mu \tan\beta I(m_{\tilde{b}_1}, m_{\tilde{b}_2}, m_{\tilde{g}}),$$

with $C_F = 4/3$ and the auxiliary function

$$I(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{(a^2 - b^2)(b^2 - c^2)(a^2 - c^2)} \times \left( a^2 b^2 \ln \frac{b^2}{a^2} + b^2 c^2 \ln \frac{c^2}{b^2} + c^2 a^2 \ln \frac{c^2}{a^2} \right).$$

Here, $\tilde{b}_{1,2}$ are the sbottom mass eigenstates, and $m_{\tilde{g}}$ is the gluino mass. The summation formalism can be extended [56] to include corrections proportional to the trilinear coupling $A_b$. However, for the MSSM scenarios under consideration in this work, these corrections turn out to be small, and the corresponding summation effects may safely be neglected.

If the LO cross section is expressed in terms of the bottom Yukawa coupling including the summation of the $\tan\beta$-enhanced corrections (2.3), the corresponding NLO contribution has to be subtracted from the one-loop SUSY-QCD calculation to avoid double counting. This subtraction is equivalent to an additional finite renormalization of the bottom mass according to

$$\frac{\delta m_b}{m_b} = \Delta_b \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\tan^2\beta} \right)$$

As we shall demonstrate in the numerical analysis presented in Sec. III, the SUSY-QCD radiative corrections are indeed sizable at large $\tan\beta$. After summation of the $\tan\beta$-enhanced terms, however, the remaining one-loop SUSY-QCD corrections are very small, below the percent level.

III. PHENOMENOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

In this section we present NLO SUSY-QCD predictions for the production of heavy charged MSSM Higgs bosons at the LHC. We discuss total cross sections and differential distributions and compare with the 5FS calculations at NLO for the inclusive $t\bar{b}H^\pm$ cross section.

A. Input parameters

Let us first specify the values of the input parameters that enter the numerical analysis. Here, we follow closely the recommendations of the LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group [57].

SM and MSSM masses.—The top-quark mass is defined on-shell and set to 172.5 GeV [32]. For the bottom pole mass we adopt the value used in the Martin-Stirling-Thorne-Watt (MSTW) four-flavor parton distribution function (pdf) [58], i.e. $m_b = 4.75$ GeV, corresponding to a MS mass $\tilde{m}_b(m_b) = 4.40$ GeV. The bottom pole mass enters the calculation of the matrix elements and the phase space, while the Higgs Yukawa coupling is evaluated using the running bottom mass. As for the MSSM parameters, we will focus on the benchmark scenario SPS 1b [59] which is characterized by a large value of $\tan\beta = 30$ and a correspondingly large associated production cross section $pp \rightarrow t\bar{b}H^\pm + X$ at the LHC. The SPS 1b input parameters are specified in the appendix. The MSSM tree-level relations are used to determine the squark masses that enter the SUSY-QCD corrections. The charged-Higgs mass is calculated from $\tan\beta$ and the mass of the pseudoscalar Higgs, $M_{A^0}$, taking into account higher-order corrections up to two loops in the effective potential approach [60,61] as included in the program HDECAY [62]. For the Higgs mass determination we use a five-flavor $\alpha_s$ with...
The top quark, the squarks, and the gluino are always decoupled from the running of the strong coupling.

Higgs Yukawa coupling.—The evaluation of the bottom-Higgs Yukawa coupling, which involves the running b-quark mass and the summation of the tanβ-enhanced SUSY-QCD corrections through $\Delta_b$, is also based on a five-flavor $\alpha_s$ with $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.120$. Our default choice for the renormalization scale that enters the calculation of the running $b$-quark mass is the average mass of the final-state particles, $\mu = (m_b + m_\gamma + M_{H^-})/3$. The scale of $\alpha_s$ in the summation factor of the Yukawa coupling [cf. Eq. (2.4)], on the other hand, is determined by the masses of the supersymmetric particles in the loop and is chosen as $\mu = (m_{\tilde{b}_1} + m_{\tilde{b}_2} + m_{\tilde{\gamma}})/3$. This scale choice for the effective short-distance contributions included in the resummed bottom Yukawa coupling is justified by the recent NNLO results for the $\Delta_b$ corrections [64].

Hadronic cross section.—Our cross-section calculation is defined in the four-flavor scheme, i.e. with no $b$ quarks in the initial state. Thus, for a consistent evaluation of the hadronic cross sections we adopt the recent MSTW four-flavor pdf set [58]. The partonic cross section is calculated using the corresponding four-flavor $\alpha_s$ with $\Lambda^{(4)} = 0.371$ GeV [63, 1149] at NLO, except for the Higgs Yukawa coupling which is evaluated with a five-flavor $\alpha_s$ as explained above. Our default choice for the renormalization and factorization scales that enter the partonic cross section and the pdf is $\mu = (m_t + m_b + M_{H^-})/3$. Note that the LO cross-section predictions have been obtained by using the corresponding LO four-flavor pdf set [58], a LO $\alpha_s$ with $\Lambda^{(4)} = 0.322$ GeV [$\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.13355$] for the partonic cross section, and a LO running $b$-quark mass using a LO five-flavor $\alpha_s$ with $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.139$ [63].

B. Total cross section and scale dependence

We first discuss the scale dependence of the total $pp \to t\bar{b}H^- + X$ cross section at the LHC, both for the current c.m. energy $\sqrt{S} = 7$ TeV and for the LHC design energy of $\sqrt{S} = 14$ TeV. Note that in NLO QCD the cross section for the charge-conjugate process $pp \to \bar{t}\bar{b}H^+ + X$ at the LHC is identical to $pp \to t\bar{b}H^- + X$ and can be included by multiplying the results presented below by a factor of 2. The renormalization and factorization scales that enter the hadronic cross section and the running $b$-quark mass are identified and varied around the central value $\mu_0 = (m_t + m_b + M_{H^-})/3$; the scale of $\alpha_s$ in the summation factor of the Yukawa coupling [cf. Eq. (2.4)], on the other hand, is kept fixed. Figure 2 shows the scale dependence of the LO and complete NLO SUSY-QCD cross sections at the LHC with 7 and 14 TeV energy, for the SPS 1b benchmark point and $M_A = 200$ GeV, corresponding to $M_{H^\pm} = 214.27$ GeV. As anticipated, the scale dependence of the theoretical prediction is significantly reduced at NLO, with a remaining uncertainty of approximately $\pm 25\%$ when $\mu$ is varied between $\mu_0/3$ and $3\mu_0$, compared to approximately $\pm 100\%$ at LO. At the central scale, the $K$ factor $K = \sigma_{\text{NLO}}/\sigma_{\text{LO}}$ is close to 1 for both c.m. energies. Note, however, that the $K$ factor strongly depends on the definition of the LO cross section. As described above, our LO cross-section prediction includes the summation of a certain class of QCD corrections through a running Yukawa coupling and has been evaluated using a LO pdf and $\alpha_s$. We also find a significant reduction of the spurious scale dependence at NLO for the exclusive cross section, where the $b$ quark is required to be produced with $p_{T,b} > 20$ GeV; see Fig. 3. The QCD corrections for the exclusive cross section are moderate and negative at the central scale, with a corresponding $K$ factor of $K = 0.85$.

The total LO and NLO SUSY-QCD cross sections for $pp \to t\bar{b}H^- + X$ at the LHC with 7 and 14 TeV are shown...
in Fig. 4 as a function of the Higgs-boson mass. Note that the \( t\bar{b}H^- \) production at the LHC is dominated by gluon-induced processes which provide more than 95% of the cross section. The \( K \) factor is displayed in the lower part of the plots, together with the scale dependence of the LO and NLO predictions. We observe that for our choice of the central scale, \( \mu_0 = (m_t + m_b + M_{H^-})/3 \), the \( K \) factor is moderate over the whole range of Higgs-boson masses. Furthermore, the scale dependence is reduced at NLO also for large Higgs masses, indicating that the perturbative expansion is well under control. Representative values for the total cross section at 14 TeV are listed in Table I.

To facilitate the comparison with other calculations we also show in Table I the running quark mass, which enters the Higgs Yukawa coupling and thus strongly affects the overall normalization of the cross section. Requiring the bottom quark to be produced with \( p_{T,b} > 20 \) GeV reduces the inclusive cross section by approximately 60%; see Fig. 5. We note that our numerical results for the exclusive cross section with \( p_{T,b} > 20 \) GeV and \( |\eta_b| < 2.5 \) do not agree with those presented in Ref. [24]. The cross-section predictions in Ref. [24] are a factor of 2–3 smaller than ours.

If we adopt—inconsistently—the five-flavor MSTW pdf [63], on which the four-flavor set is based, the cross section decreases by approximately 10%; Gluon splitting into bottom-quark pairs is included in the evolution of the five-flavor pdf and depletes the gluon flux compared to the four-flavor pdf. Note that the recent fixed-flavor parton densities of Ref. [65] are based on three active flavors in the proton and five active flavors in the evolution of \( \mu \); we can thus not use the pdf set of Ref. [65] without modification of our calculation.

In Table II we show the individual contributions to the NLO cross section due to the standard model QCD corrections and the genuine SUSY-QCD effects, split further into the impact of the \( \tan\beta \)-enhanced corrections included in the summation factor \( \Delta_{\text{b}} \) and the remainder of the genuine SUSY contributions. The cross section labeled \( \sigma_0 \) denotes the LO parton cross section evaluated...
with NLO running \( b \)-quark mass, pdf, and \( \alpha_s \). The NLO standard model QCD corrections \( \delta_{\text{QCD}} \) increase the prediction by approximately 60%, nearly independent of the value of the Higgs-boson mass. This increase is partially compensated by the \( \tan \beta \)-enhanced SUSY corrections \( \delta_{\tan \beta-\text{resum}} \), which amount to approximately -30%. The impact of the remaining one-loop SUSY-QCD corrections \( \delta_{\text{SUSY}} \) is marginal, below the percent level. We also show the result of a fixed-order SUSY-QCD calculation, \( \sigma_{\text{fixed-order}} \), which does not include the \( \tan \beta \)-enhanced corrections beyond NLO. We find that the effect of the \( \tan \beta \) summation beyond NLO, included in our best cross-section prediction \( \sigma_{\text{NLO}} \), is moderate, at the level of 10%.

Supersymmetric electroweak \( O(\alpha) \) corrections have been studied in Ref. [25] for charged-Higgs production in the five-flavor scheme and in Ref. [66] for the related process of neutral MSSM Higgs production in bottom-quark fusion. It has been shown in [66] that the leading electroweak corrections can be taken into account by an appropriate definition of the couplings and the running \( b \) mass in an improved Born approximation. The remaining nonuniversal corrections have been found to be small, typically of the order of a few percent. It would be interesting to see whether similar conclusions also hold for the process of charged-Higgs production in the four-flavor scheme studied here.

C. Differential distributions

Let us now turn to the transverse-momentum and rapidity distributions of the final-state particles shown in Fig. 6. The distributions have been evaluated for the default scale choice \( \mu = \left( m_t + m_b + M_H \right)/3 \). The \( p_T \) distributions of the top quark and the Higgs boson are rather similar, with a maximum at \( p_T = 100 \) GeV. The transverse-momentum distribution of the bottom quark is much softer with \( \sigma_{\text{NLO}}(p_{T,b} < 25 \text{ GeV})/\sigma_{\text{NLO}} \approx 0.7 \). The heavy particles, i.e. the top quark and the Higgs boson, are preferentially produced at central rapidities with \(|y| \leq 2.5\), while the rapidity distribution of the bottom quark is rather flat in the region \(|y| \leq 4\).

The impact of the higher-order corrections on the shape of the Higgs and top- and bottom-quark transverse-momentum and rapidity distributions is shown in Figs. 7–9, respectively. The lower part of each plot shows the \( K \) factor. We find that the shape of the top and Higgs transverse-momentum distribution is not strongly affected by the higher-order corrections in the range of \( p_T \) relevant for the experimental analysis. On the other hand, the bottom-quark \( p_T \) distribution, which extends to \( p_{T,b} \gg m_b \), is softened at NLO, with the \( K \) factor decreasing from \( K = 1.1 \) at \( p_{T,b} = 20 \) GeV to \( K = 0.5 \) at \( p_{T,b} = 300 \) GeV. The large impact on the \( p_{T,b} \) distribution is due to collinear gluon radiation off bottom quarks that is enhanced by a factor \( \alpha_s \ln(m_b/p_{T,b}) \). The enhancement should be significantly reduced if the bottom quarks are reconstructed from jets, since the application of a jet
algorithm treats the bottom-gluon system inclusively in the collinear cone, so that the logarithmic enhancement cancels out. The NLO corrections do not significantly change the shape of the rapidity distributions.

We have also evaluated the differential distributions with the renormalization and factorization scales set to the MSTW fit [63] and the set of input parameters described above. In particular, the renormalization and factorization scales have been set to $\mu = (m_t + m_b + M_H)/3$, where $m_{T,H} = \sqrt{m_b^2 + p_{T,b}^2}$, etc. We find that the shapes of the NLO distributions are not significantly affected by such a change. The LO transverse-momentum distributions, however, do provide a better description of the NLO shapes when evaluated with $\mu = (m_{T,b} + m_{T,t} + M_{T,H})/3$.

### D. Comparison with the 5FS calculation

As discussed in Sec. I, in the 5FS the LO process for the inclusive $tH^+$ cross section is gluon-bottom fusion, $gb \rightarrow tH^+$. The NLO cross section includes $O(\alpha_s)$ corrections to $gb \rightarrow tH^+$ and the tree-level processes $gg \rightarrow tH^+$ and $q\bar{q} \rightarrow tH^+$ and has been calculated in Refs. [21,22,27]. In Fig. 10 we present a comparison of the 4FS and 5FS calculations at NLO QCD for the inclusive $pp \rightarrow tH^+ + X$ cross section at the LHC. The 5FS calculation is taken from Ref. [21] and is evaluated with the five-flavor MSTW pdf [63] and the set of input parameters described above. In particular, the renormalization and factorization scales have been set to $\mu_0 = (m_t + m_b + M_{H^-})/3$, as in the 4FS calculation. The error band indicates the theoretical uncertainty when the renormalization and factorization scales are varied between $\mu_0/3$ and $3\mu_0$. Thus, the error band also includes the scale choice $\mu_F = (m_t + M_{H^-})/5$ for the 5FS calculation advocated in Refs. [21,22]. The cross sections shown in Fig. 10 do not include the NLO SUSY effects, which can be incorporated within good precision by simply adjusting the bottom Yukawa coupling according to Eq. (2.3). Taking the scale uncertainty into account, the 4FS and 5FS cross sections at NLO are consistent, even though the predictions in the 5FS at our choice of the central scale are larger than those of the 4FS by approximately 25%, rather independent of the Higgs-boson mass. Qualitatively similar results have been obtained from a comparison of 4FS and 5FS NLO calculations for single-top production at the LHC [67]. Note that the bottom pdf of the recent five-flavor MSTW fit [63] is considerably smaller than that of previous fits [68] and has lead to a significant decrease in the 5FS cross-section prediction.
Accurate theoretical predictions for the charged-Higgs production cross section are crucial to exploit the LHC potential for MSSM Higgs-boson searches. To exemplify the importance of reducing the theoretical uncertainty through NLO calculations, we consider the discovery reach in the search channel $pp \rightarrow tbH^- + X$ followed by the hadronic decay $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$, with $\tau \rightarrow$ hadrons + $\nu_\tau$, as analyzed for the CMS detector in Refs. [28,69]. The number of signal events is given by

$$N_{\text{signal}} = \int \mathcal{L} \times \sigma(pp \rightarrow tbH^- + X) \times \text{BR}(H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau) \times \text{BR}(\tau \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) \times \text{exp.efficiency},$$

(3.1)
where $\int \mathcal{L}$ denotes the collider luminosity. The experimental efficiency has been determined in Ref. [28] as a function of the Higgs-boson mass:

$$M_H \ [\text{GeV}] \quad 171.6 \quad 180.4 \quad 201.0 \quad 300.9 \quad 400.7 \quad 600.8$$

$$\text{exp. eff.} \ [10^{-4}] \quad 3.5 \quad 4.9 \quad 5.0 \quad 23 \quad 32 \quad 42$$

The QCD background processes lead to $1.7 \pm 1$ events after cuts, independent of $M_{H^+}$, so that 14 or more signal events are needed for a $5\sigma$ discovery [28]. We determine the number of signal events from Eq. (3.1) for the benchmark scenario SPS 1b, varying $\tan \beta$ and $M_A$ while keeping all other supersymmetric parameters fixed. The branching ratio $\text{BR}(H^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_\tau)$ varies strongly with $M_A$ and has been calculated with SUSY-HIT [70]. The branching ratio of the hadronic decay has been set to $\text{BR}(H^+ \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) = 0.65$ [32], and we assume an integrated luminosity of $\int \mathcal{L} = 30 \text{ fb}^{-1}$. In Fig. 11 we show the $5\sigma$ discovery contours for $H^+$ as a function of $\tan \beta$ and $M_{H^+}$, where

$\text{FIG. 9 (color online).}$ LO and NLO transverse-momentum and rapidity distributions of the bottom quark for $pp \rightarrow tbH^- + X$ at the LHC (14 TeV). The lower plot shows the $K$ factor $K = \sigma_{\text{NLO}}/\sigma_{\text{LO}}$.

$\text{FIG. 10 (color online).}$ Total NLO cross section for $pp \rightarrow tH^- + X$ at the LHC as a function of the Higgs-boson mass in the 4FS and the 5FS. Shown are the central prediction and the scale dependence for $\mu_0/3 < \mu < 3\mu_0$.

$\text{FIG. 11 (color online).}$ Discovery reach for MSSM charged-Higgs bosons $H^+$, with $H^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_\tau$, at CMS [28] as a function of $\tan \beta$ and $M_{H^+}$. All other supersymmetric parameters have been fixed to the SPS 1b values. Higgs-boson discovery with $\int \mathcal{L} = 30 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ is possible in the areas above the curves. Shown are results based on the LO and NLO cross-section calculations in the 4FS with the central scale $\mu_0 = (m_t + m_b + M_{H^-})/3$ and scales set to $\mu = \mu_0/3$ and $3\mu_0$, respectively.
the number of signal events in Eq. (3.1) has been evaluated using the LO and NLO 4FS calculation presented in this paper. We show results for the central scale $\mu_0 = (m_t + m_b + M_{H^\pm})/3$ and results for the renormalization and factorization scales set to $\mu = \mu_0/3$ and $3\mu_0$, respectively. Higgs-boson discovery is possible in the areas above the curves shown in the figure. Figure 11 demonstrates that the reduction of the scale uncertainty is crucial to exploit the potential of the LHC for charged-Higgs-boson discovery. Note that a more detailed study of the supersymmetric parameter dependence of the discovery contours is presented in Ref. [69]. The importance of a reduced scale dependence through the calculation of higher-order corrections for charged-Higgs-boson discovery, however, is generic and largely independent of the supersymmetric scenario considered.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented the next-to-leading-order supersymmetric QCD corrections to charged-Higgs-boson production at the LHC in the four-flavor scheme through the parton processes $q\bar{q}, gg \to tH^\pm$. While the $K$ factor is moderate at the central scale $\mu = (m_t + m_b + M_{H^\pm})/3$, the QCD corrections considerably reduce the renormalization and factorization scale dependence and thus stabilize the theoretical predictions. We find that the shapes of the top-quark and Higgs transverse-momentum distributions are not strongly affected by the higher-order corrections. On the other hand, the bottom-quark $p_T$ distribution is softened at NLO, depending in detail on the reconstruction method of the bottom quarks. The NLO corrections do not significantly change the shape of the rapidity distributions. We have presented a first comparison of the four-flavor scheme NLO inclusive cross sections with a five-flavor scheme calculation based on bottom-gluon fusion. The results of the two schemes are consistent within the scale uncertainties, with the central predictions in the five-flavor results of the two schemes being larger than those of the four-flavor scheme by approximately 25%. Finally, by referring to a recent CMS study [28] we have demonstrated that NLO predictions for the charged-Higgs production cross section are crucial to exploit the LHC potential for MSSM Higgs-boson searches.
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APPENDIX: SPS 1B BENCHMARK SCENARIO

For the SPS 1b benchmark [59] scenario discussed in this work we use the following input for $\tan\beta$, the supersymmetric Higgs mass parameter $\mu$, the electroweak gaugino mass parameters $M_{1,2}$, the gluino mass $m_{\tilde{g}}$, the trilinear couplings $A_{t,b}$, the scale $\mu_R(\overline{\text{DR}})$ at which the DR input values are defined, the soft SUSY-breaking parameters in the diagonal entries of the squark and slepton mass matrices of the first and second generation $M_{ji}$ (where $i = L, R$ refers to the left- and right-handed sfermions, $f = q, l$ to quarks and leptons, and $f = u, d, e$ to up and down quarks and electrons, respectively), and the analogous soft SUSY-breaking parameters for the third generation $M_{ji}^{3G}$:

$$\tan\beta = 30.0,$$
$$\mu = 495.6 \text{ GeV},$$
$$M_1 = 162.8 \text{ GeV},$$
$$M_2 = 310.9 \text{ GeV},$$
$$m_{\tilde{g}} = 916.1 \text{ GeV},$$
$$A_t = -195.8 \text{ GeV},$$
$$A_b = -987.4 \text{ GeV},$$
$$\mu_R(\overline{\text{DR}}) = 706.9 \text{ GeV},$$
$$M_{qL} = 836.2 \text{ GeV},$$
$$M_{dR} = 803.9 \text{ GeV},$$
$$M_{uR} = 334.0 \text{ GeV},$$
$$M_{qL} = 762.5 \text{ GeV},$$
$$M_{dR} = 780.3 \text{ GeV},$$
$$M_{uR} = 670.7 \text{ GeV},$$
$$M_{qL} = 323.8 \text{ GeV},$$
$$M_{dR}^{3G} = 218.6 \text{ GeV}.$$

The mass of the $CP$-odd Higgs boson $M_A$ is varied and taken as input to calculate the charged-Higgs-boson mass $M_{H^\pm}$, taking into account higher-order corrections up to two loops in the effective potential approach [60,61] as included in the program HDECAY [62].
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