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Abstract

The coupled Chaffee-Infante reaction diffusion (CCIRD) hierarchy associated with a $3 \times 3$ matrix spectral problem is derived by using two sets of the Lenard recursion gradients. Based on the characteristic polynomial of the Lax matrix for the CCIRD hierarchy, we introduce a trigonal curve $K_{m-2}$ of arithmetic genus $m-2$, from which the corresponding Baker-Akhiezer function and meromorphic functions on $K_{m-2}$ are constructed. Then, the CCIRD equations are decomposed into Dubrovin-type ordinary differential equations. Furthermore, the theory of the trigonal curve and the properties of the three kinds of Abel differentials are applied to obtain the explicit theta function representations of the Baker-Akhiezer function and the meromorphic functions. In particular, algebro-geometric solutions for the entire CCIRD hierarchy are obtained.

1. Introduction

It is significantly important to search for solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations of mathematical physics. There are many methods to find the exact solutions [1, 2] and approximate solutions [1–3] of various nonlinear partial differential equations. Reaction diffusion equations are effective and important mathematical models, which contribute to explaining processes of the transition, diffusion, and fluidity of matter. Constructing exact solutions of such equations has been widely used in mathematics, physics, chemistry, biology, and other fields. Therefore, it is necessary for us to study algebro-geometric constructions of the coupled Chaffee-Infante reaction diffusion (CCIRD) hierarchy associated with a $3 \times 3$ matrix spectral problem. The third member in the hierarchy is

\begin{align}
    u_{1,t_2} &= 12u_{1,xx} + 24(u_1 - u_1^2u_2), \\
    u_{2,t_2} &= -12u_{2,xx} - 24(u_2 - u_2^2u_1),
\end{align}

which is called the CCIRD equation compared with Equation (0.4) in Ref. [4].

Algebro-geometric solution is closely associated with the inverse spectral theory [5, 6], and the solution of the KdV equation with an initial value problem was solved by the method in Ref. [7]. Over the recent decades, integrable equations related to $2 \times 2$ matrix spectral problems have been extensively researched. Several systematic methods have been developed to construct algebro-geometric solutions for integrable equations such as KdV, Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, modified KdV, sine-Gordon, Ablowitz-Kaup-Newell-Segur, the Camassa-Holm equations, and Ablowitz-Ladik lattice [8–27]. But the study of algebro-geometric solutions of the whole hierarchy of $3 \times 3$ is still a challenging problem. Fortunately, in Ref. [28], a unified framework was proposed to yield algebro-geometric solutions of the whole Boussinesq hierarchy. Based on the work of that, a systematic method was proposed to define the trigonal curve and develop the framework to analyse soliton equations associated with the $3 \times 3$ matrix spectral problems, from which the algebro-geometric solutions of some entire
hierarchies are obtained [29–34]. In Ref. [29], algebro-geometric quasi-periodic solutions to the three-wave resonant interaction hierarchy related to the trigonal curve with three infinite points were obtained. Wang and Geng constructed algebro-geometric solutions of a new hierarchy of soliton equations associated with a $3 \times 3$ matrix spectral problem [30] based on the methods used in [28, 29]. Later, Ma analysed the four-component AKNS soliton hierarchy, particularly asymptotics of the Baker-Akhiezer functions, in such a way that it proposes a general theory applicable to soliton hierarchies associated with $3 \times 3$ matrix spectral problems [31]. As a continuous study of [31], Ma constructed algebro-geometric solutions of the four-component AKNS problems [31]. As a continuous study of [31], Ma constructed algebro-geometric solutions of the four-component AKNS soliton hierarchy in terms of a general theory of trigonal curves [32]. However, as far as we know, algebro-geometric solutions to the CCIRD hierarchy have not been investigated.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we obtain the CCIRD hierarchy related to a $3 \times 3$ matrix spectral problem based on the Lenard recursion equations. In Section 3, a trigonal curve $\mathcal{H}_{m-2}$ of arithmetic genus $m - 2$ with three infinite points is introduced by the use of the characteristic polynomial of the Lax matrix for the stationary CCIRD equations, from which the stationary Baker-Akhiezer function and associated meromorphic functions are given on $\mathcal{H}_{m-2}$. Then, the stationary CCIRD equations are decomposed into the system of Dubrovin-type ordinary differential equations. In Section 4, we present the explicit theta function representations of the stationary Baker-Akhiezer function, of the meromorphic functions, and, in particular, of the potentials for the entire stationary CCIRD hierarchy. In Section 5, we extend all the Baker-Akhiezer functions, the meromorphic functions, the Dubrovin-type equations, and the theta function representations dealt with in Sections 3 and 4 to the time-dependent case.

2. The CCIRD Hierarchy

In the section, we shall derive the CCIRD hierarchy associated with a $3 \times 3$ spectral problem:

$$
\psi_x = U \psi,
$$

$$
U = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & u_1 & 1 \\ u_2 & -\lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -2i\lambda \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \end{pmatrix},
$$

where the potential $u = (u_1, u_2)^T$ and $\lambda$ is a spectral parameter. Next, we introduce the Lenard gradient sequences

$$
Kg_j = \frac{1}{ju} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} = 0, j \geq 0,
$$

$$
K\bar{g}_j = \frac{1}{ju} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}_j} = 0, j \geq 0,
$$

with two initial points

$$
\gamma_j = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0)^T,
$$

$$
\bar{\gamma}_j = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)^T,
$$

and two operators are defined as

$$
K = \begin{pmatrix}
\partial & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -2u_1 & -u_1 \\
1 & \partial & 0 & -u_1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & \partial & 1 & -1 & 0 & 2u_2 & u_2 \\
0 & -u_2 & 1 & \partial & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \partial & u_2 & 1 & 2 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_1 & \partial & -1 & 1 \\
-u_2 & 0 & u_1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & \partial & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \partial
\end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
J = \begin{pmatrix}
2i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -2i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -3i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3i & 0 & 0 \\
-u_2 & 0 & u_1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & \partial & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \partial
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Then, the sequences $\{g_j\}$ and $\{\bar{g}_j\}, j \geq 0$, can be uniquely determined and the first several members read as

$$
g_0 = \left( iu_1 - i, \frac{1}{3} i - 1, \frac{1}{3} i, 0, 0 \right)^T,
$$

$$
\bar{g}_0 = \left( \frac{1}{2} iu_1 - 2i, \frac{1}{3} i u_2 + \frac{1}{3} i, \frac{1}{3} i, 0, 0 \right)^T,
$$

$$
g_1 = \left( \frac{1}{2} u_2 - 2i, \frac{1}{3} u_2 + \frac{1}{3} i, \frac{1}{3} u_2 - \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} u_2, \frac{2}{3} u_2 \right)^T,
$$

$$
\bar{g}_1 = \left( \frac{1}{4} u_1 - 1, \frac{1}{4} u_2 + \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4} u_1 + \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4} u_1 + \frac{2}{3} \right)^T.
$$
In order to obtain the CCIRD hierarchy, we solve the stationary zero-curvature equation

\[ V_x - [U, V] = 0, \quad V = (V_{ij})_{3 \times 3}, \quad (7) \]

which is equivalent to

\[ V_{11,x} + u_2 V_{12} + V_{13} - u_1 V_{21} - V_{31} = 0, \quad (8) \]
\[ V_{22,x} - u_2 V_{12} + u_1 V_{21} + V_{23} - V_{32} = 0, \quad (9) \]
\[ V_{33,x} - V_{13} - V_{23} + V_{31} + V_{32} = 0, \quad (10) \]
\[ V_{12,x} - 2i\lambda V_{12} + u_1 (V_{11} - V_{22}) + V_{13} - V_{32} = 0, \quad (11) \]
\[ V_{13,x} + i\lambda V_{13} + V_{11} - V_{33} + V_{12} - u_1 V_{23} = 0, \quad (12) \]
\[ V_{21,x} + 2i\lambda V_{21} + u_2 (V_{22} - V_{11}) + V_{23} - V_{31} = 0, \quad (13) \]
\[ V_{23,x} + 3i\lambda V_{23} + V_{22} - V_{33} - u_2 V_{13} + V_{21} = 0, \quad (14) \]
\[ V_{31,x} - i\lambda V_{31} + V_{33} - V_{11} - V_{21} + u_2 V_{32} = 0, \quad (15) \]
\[ V_{32,x} - 3i\lambda V_{32} + V_{33} - V_{22} - V_{12} + u_1 V_{31} = 0, \quad (16) \]

where each entry \( V_{ij} \) is a Laurent expansion in \( \lambda \):

\[ V_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V_{ijk-1} \lambda^{-k}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 3, \quad (17) \]

and \( V_{11}, V_{22}, V_{33} \) satisfy \( V_{11} + V_{22} + V_{33} = 0 \). A direct calculation shows that (8)–(16) implies the Lenard equation

\[ KG = \lambda G, \quad G = (V_{12}, V_{13}, V_{21}, V_{23}, V_{31}, V_{32}, V_{22}, V_{33})^T, \quad (18) \]

Substituting Equation (17) into Equation (18) and collecting the same powers of \( \lambda \), we get the following recursion relations:

\[ KG_{k-1} = J G_k, \quad J G_{-1} = 0, \quad (19) \]

where \( G_k = (V_{12,k}, V_{13,k}, V_{21,k}, V_{23,k}, V_{31,k}, V_{32,k}, V_{22,k}, V_{33,k})^T \). Since equation \( J G = 0 \) has the general solution

\[ G_{-1} = \alpha_0 \bar{G}_{-1} + \beta_0 \bar{G}_{-1}, \quad (20) \]

then \( G_j \) can be expressed as

\[ G_k = \alpha_0 g_k + \beta_0 \bar{g}_k + \cdots + \alpha_k g_k + \beta_k \bar{g}_0 + \alpha_{k+1} g_{-1} + \beta_{k+1} \bar{g}_{-1}, \quad k \geq 0, \quad (21) \]

where \( \alpha_k \) and \( \beta_k \) are arbitrary constants.

Consider the auxiliary problem:

\[ \psi_t = \tilde{V}^{(r)} \psi, \quad \tilde{V}^{(r)} = \left( \tilde{V}^{(r)}_{ij} \right)_{3 \times 3}, \quad (22) \]

where each entry \( \tilde{V}^{(r)}_{ij} \) has the form \( \tilde{V}^{(r)}_{ij} = \sum_{l=0}^{r} V_{ij,l-1} \lambda^{-l}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 3, \quad (23) \)

and satisfies \( \tilde{V}^{(r)}_{11} + \tilde{V}^{(r)}_{22} + \tilde{V}^{(r)}_{33} = 0 \). Then, we introduce \( \tilde{G}_r = (\tilde{V}_{12,r}, \tilde{V}_{13,r}, \tilde{V}_{21,r}, \tilde{V}_{23,r}, \tilde{V}_{31,r}, \tilde{V}_{32,r}, \tilde{V}_{22,r}, \tilde{V}_{33,r})^T \), which is determined by \( \tilde{G}_r = a_0 \tilde{g}_r + \beta_0 \tilde{g}_0 + \cdots + a_r \tilde{g}_r + \beta_r \tilde{g}_0 + a_{r+1} \tilde{g}_{-1} + \beta_{r+1} \tilde{g}_{-1}, \quad -1 \leq r \leq -1, \) and \( \tilde{\beta}_k \) are arbitrary constants. It is easy to find that \( \tilde{G}_r \) satisfies the Lenard equation \( KG_r = J \tilde{G}_{r+1}, J \tilde{G}_{-1} = 0 \).

Then, from the compatibility condition \( U_t - \tilde{V}^{(r)}_{x} + [U, \tilde{V}^{(r)}_{x}] = 0 \), \( \tilde{V}^{(r)}_{x} = 0 \) of Equations (2) and (22), we have

\[ u_t = X_{cr} + \bar{u}_t, \quad r \geq 0, \quad (24) \]

where the vector fields \( X_j = X_j (u; \bar{g}^{(l)}, \bar{g}^{(l)}) = P(K \tilde{G}_{j-1}) = P(J \tilde{G}_j) \), the constant vectors \( \bar{g}^{(l)} = (\bar{a}_0, \ldots, \bar{a}_l) \), \( \tilde{g}^{(l)} = (\bar{\beta}_0, \ldots, \bar{\beta}_l) \), and the projective map \( \gamma = (\gamma^1, \gamma^2, \gamma^3, \gamma^4, \gamma^5, \gamma^6, \gamma^7, \gamma^8)^T \rightarrow (\gamma^1, \gamma^2) \). The third member in the hierarchy (22) is (for convenience, we take \( \bar{a}_1 = \bar{\beta}_1 = 0 \))

\[ u_{1,t} = \bar{a}_0 \left( \frac{1}{2} u_{1,xx} - \frac{26}{9} u_1 - u_1^2 u_2 \right) + \bar{\beta}_0 \left( \frac{1}{4} u_{1,xx} - \frac{1}{3} u_1 - \frac{1}{3} u_1^2 u_2 \right), \quad (25) \]

Taking \( \bar{a}_0 = -11, \bar{a}_1 = 70 \) in system (23), we have

\[ u_{1,t} = 12u_{1,xx} + 24(u_1 - u_1^2 u_2), \quad (26) \]

which is called the CCIRD equation compared with Equation (0.4) in Ref. [4].

### 3. The Stationary Baker-Akhiezer Function

In the section, we are devoted to detailed study of the stationary Baker-Akhiezer function and the associated meromorphic functions. Then, the system of Dubrovin-type differential equations is derived. Let us consider the stationary CCIRD hierarchy: \( X_0 = 0, \), \( n \geq 0 \), which is equivalent to the stationary zero-curvature equation, \( V^{(n)}_x = [U, V^{(n)}] \), \( V^{(n)} = (\lambda^n V)^x = (V_{ij}^{(n)})_{3 \times 3}, V^{(n)}_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} V_{ij,k-1} \lambda^{-k} \), and \( V^{(n)}_{ij} \) determined by (21). It is easy to verify that the matrix \( y_f - V^{(n)} \) also satisfies the stationary zero-curvature equation. Then, the characteristic polynomial of Lax matrix \( V^{(n)} \), \( \mathcal{F}_m (\lambda, y) = \det (y_f - V^{(n)}) \), is independent of variable \( x \) with the expansion

\[ \det \left( y_f - V^{(n)} \right) = y_f + S_m (\lambda) y - T_m (\lambda), \quad (27) \]
where $S_m(\lambda)$ and $T_m(\lambda)$ are polynomials with constant coefficients of $\lambda$:

$$S_m(\lambda) = \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3} \begin{vmatrix} V^{(n)}_{jj} & V^{(n)}_{ji} \\ V^{(n)}_{ji} & V^{(n)}_{ii} \end{vmatrix} = - (\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2 + \alpha_0 \beta_0) \lambda^{2n}$$

(27)

$$T_m(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} V^{(n)}_{11} & V^{(n)}_{12} & V^{(n)}_{13} \\ V^{(n)}_{21} & V^{(n)}_{22} & V^{(n)}_{23} \\ V^{(n)}_{31} & V^{(n)}_{32} & V^{(n)}_{33} \end{vmatrix} = - \alpha_0 \beta_0 (\alpha_0 + \beta_0) \lambda^{3n}$$

(28)

$$- [(\alpha_0 + \beta_0)(\alpha_0 \beta_0 + \alpha_0 \beta_1 + \alpha_0 \beta_0) \alpha_0 + \beta_0 (\alpha_0 + \beta_1)] \lambda^{3n+1} + \cdots.$$  

It is easy to find that $T_m(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree $3n$ with respect to $\lambda$ as $\alpha_0 \beta_0 (\alpha_0 + \beta_0) \neq 0$. Then, $\mathcal{F}_m(\lambda, y) = 0$ naturally leads to a trigonal curve

$$\mathcal{F}_{m-2} : \mathcal{F}_m(\lambda, y) = y^3 + S_m(\lambda) y - T_m(\lambda) = 0,$$

(29)

with $m = 3n$. For convenience, we denote the compactification of the curve $\mathcal{F}_{m-2}$ by the same symbol $\mathcal{F}_{m-2}$. Hence, $\mathcal{F}_{m-2}$ becomes a three-sheeted Riemann surface of arithmetic genus $m-2$ if it is nonsingular or smooth. Here, the meaning of nonsingular is that at each point $P' = (\lambda', y') \in \mathcal{F}_{m-2}$, $(\partial \mathcal{F}_m(\lambda, y)/\partial \lambda, \partial \mathcal{F}_m(\lambda, y)/\partial y)_{(\lambda, y) = (\lambda', y')} \neq 0$ holds. For $m \geq 4$, these curves are typically nonhyperelliptic. Point $P$ on $\mathcal{F}_{m-1}$ is represented as pairs $P = (\lambda, y(P))$ satisfying (29) along with $P_{\infty} = (\omega_{m}, \omega_{m})$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, the three different points at infinity, which can be computed from the curve $y^3 + S_m(\lambda) y - T_m(\lambda) = 0$ by choosing $\lambda = -1$. The complex structure on $\mathcal{F}_{m-2}$ is defined in the usual way by introducing local coordinate $\zeta_Q = \lambda - \lambda_Q$ near point $Q = (\lambda_Q, y(Q)) \in \mathcal{F}_{m-2}$ which is neither branch nor singular point of $\mathcal{F}_{m-2}$ except the three points $P_{\infty}, P_{\infty}, P_{\infty}$, at infinity with local coordinate $\lambda = -1$ and local coordinate $\zeta_{Q_0} = (\lambda - \lambda_{Q_0})^{-1/3}$ near branch or singular point $Q_0 = (\lambda_{Q_0}, y(Q_0)) \in \mathcal{F}_{m-2}$.

Next, we shall define the meromorphic functions $\phi_2(P, x)$ and $\phi_3(P, x)$ on $\mathcal{F}_{m-2}$ as follows:

$$\phi_2(P, x) = \frac{\psi(P, x, x_0)}{\psi_1(P, x, x_0)} P \in \mathcal{F}_{m-2}, x, x_0 \in \mathbb{C},$$

(30)

$$\phi_3(P, x) = \frac{\psi(P, x, x_0)}{\psi_1(P, x, x_0)} P \in \mathcal{F}_{m-2}, x, x_0 \in \mathbb{C},$$

with the stationary Baker-Akhiezer function $\psi(P, x, x_0)$ defined by

$$\psi(P, x, x_0) = U(u(x); \lambda(P))\psi_1(P, x, x_0),$$

(31)

$$V^{(n)}(u(x); \lambda(P))\psi(P, x, x_0) = y(P)\psi(P, x, x_0),$$

(32)

$$\psi_1(P, x_0, x_0) = 1, \quad P = (\lambda, y) \in \mathcal{F}_{m-2} \setminus \{P_{\infty}, P_{\infty}, P_{\infty}\}, x \in \mathbb{C}.$$  

(33)

By using (31)–(33), a direct calculation gives that

$$\phi_2 = \frac{F_{m-2}}{y^2 V^{(n)}_{13} - y^3 C_{m} + D_{m}} = \frac{y^2 V^{(n)}_{13} - y A_{m} + B_{m}}{y V^{(n)}_{13} + A_{m}},$$

(34)

$$\phi_3 = \frac{F_{m-2}}{y^2 V^{(n)}_{12} - y \mathcal{F}_{m} + \mathcal{D}_{m}} = \frac{y^2 V^{(n)}_{12} - y \mathcal{A}_{m} + \mathcal{B}_{m}}{y V^{(n)}_{12} + \mathcal{A}_{m}},$$

(35)

where

$$A_{m} = V^{(n)}_{11} V^{(n)}_{13} - V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{23},$$

$$B_{m} = V^{(n)}_{11} V^{(n)}_{23} - V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{33} + V^{(n)}_{13} (V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{23} - V^{(n)}_{13} V^{(n)}_{21}),$$

$$C_{m} = V^{(n)}_{13} V^{(n)}_{21} - V^{(n)}_{11} V^{(n)}_{23},$$

$$D_{m} = V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{23} - V^{(n)}_{11} V^{(n)}_{33} + V^{(n)}_{13} (V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{23} - V^{(n)}_{13} V^{(n)}_{21}),$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{m} = V^{(n)}_{11} V^{(n)}_{22} - V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{21},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{m} = V^{(n)}_{11} V^{(n)}_{22} - V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{21} + V^{(n)}_{13} (V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{23} - V^{(n)}_{13} V^{(n)}_{21}),$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{m} = V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{23} - V^{(n)}_{11} V^{(n)}_{33},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{m} = V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{23} - V^{(n)}_{11} V^{(n)}_{33} + V^{(n)}_{13} (V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{23} - V^{(n)}_{13} V^{(n)}_{21}),$$

(36)

$$F_{m-2} = \left(V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{23} - V^{(n)}_{11} V^{(n)}_{33}\right)^2 + \left(V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{23} - V^{(n)}_{11} V^{(n)}_{33}\right) - \left(V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{23} - V^{(n)}_{11} V^{(n)}_{33}\right)^2,$$

(37)

$$E_{m-2} = \left(V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{23} - V^{(n)}_{11} V^{(n)}_{33}\right)^2 + V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{23} - V^{(n)}_{11} V^{(n)}_{33} - \left(V^{(n)}_{12} V^{(n)}_{23} - V^{(n)}_{11} V^{(n)}_{33}\right)^2,$$

(38)

Through straightforward calculations, we obtain some main interrelationships among polynomials $A_{m}$, ..., $D_{m}$, $\mathcal{A}_{m}$, ..., $\mathcal{D}_{m}$, $F_{m-2}$, $F_{m-2}$, $S_{m}$, $T_{m}$, some of which are summarized below:
\[
V_{13}^{(n)} F_{m-2} = V_{23}^{(n)} D_m - S_m \left( V_{13}^{(n)} \right)^2 - C_m,
\]
(39)
\[
A_m F_{m-2} = T_m \left( V_{13}^{(n)} \right)^2 + C_m D_m,
\]
\[
V_{23}^{(n)} E_{m-2} = V_{13}^{(n)} B_m - S_m \left( V_{13}^{(n)} \right)^2 - A_m,
\]
(40)
\[
C_m E_{m-2} = T_m \left( V_{13}^{(n)} \right)^2 + A_m B_m,
\]
\[
V_{23}^{(n)} B_m + V_{13}^{(n)} D_m - V_{13}^{(n)} V_{23}^{(n)} S_m + A_m C_m = 0,
\]
\[
V_{13}^{(n)} T_m + V_{23}^{(n)} A_m S_m + V_{13}^{(n)} C_m S_m - B_m C_m - A_m D_m = 0,
\]
\[
V_{23}^{(n)} A_m T_m + V_{13}^{(n)} C_m T_m + E_m - F_{m-2} - B_m D_m = 0,
\]
(41)
\[
V_{13}^{(n)} \mathcal{F}_{m-2} = V_{32}^{(n)} \mathcal{D}_m - S_m \left( V_{12}^{(n)} \right)^2 - \mathcal{C}_m^2,
\]
(42)
\[
A_m \mathcal{F}_{m-2} = T_m \left( V_{12}^{(n)} \right)^2 + \mathcal{C}_m \mathcal{D}_m,
\]
\[- V_{32}^{(n)} E_{m-2} = V_{12}^{(n)} \mathcal{B}_m - S_m \left( V_{12}^{(n)} \right)^2 - \mathcal{A}_m^2,
\]
\[- \mathcal{C}_m E_{m-2} = T_m \left( V_{12}^{(n)} \right)^2 + \mathcal{A}_m \mathcal{B}_m,
\]
\[
V_{32}^{(n)} \mathcal{B}_m + V_{12}^{(n)} \mathcal{D}_m - V_{12}^{(n)} V_{32}^{(n)} S_m + \mathcal{A}_m \mathcal{C}_m = 0,
\]
\[
V_{32}^{(n)} A_m T_m + V_{12}^{(n)} \mathcal{C}_m T_m + E_m - \mathcal{F}_{m-2} - \mathcal{D}_m \mathcal{D}_m = 0,
\]
(43)
\[
E_{m-2,x} = i \lambda E_{m-2} - u_1 \left( 2 V_{13}^{(n)} S_m - 3 B_m \right) + 2 V_{12}^{(n)} S_m - 3 \mathcal{D}_m,
\]
\[
V_{23}^{(n)} F_{m-2,x} = \left( V_{21} - u_2 V_{23}^{(n)} \right) \left( 2 V_{23}^{(n)} S_m - 3 D_m \right) - \frac{5 i \lambda V_{23}^{(n)} + 3 V_{23}^{(n)}}{2} F_{m-2},
\]
\[
V_{32}^{(n)} \mathcal{F}_{m-2,x} = \left( V_{31} - V_{32}^{(n)} \right) \left( 2 V_{32}^{(n)} S_m - 3 \mathcal{D}_m \right) + \frac{4 i \lambda V_{32}^{(n)} - 3 V_{32}^{(n)}}{2} \mathcal{F}_{m-2}.
\]
(45)

By observing Equations (21) and (38), one infers that \(E_{m-2}, F_{m-2}, \) and \(\mathcal{F}_{m-2}\) are polynomials with respect to \(\lambda\) of degree \(m - 2\). Therefore, we may write them in the following forms:

\[
E_{m-2}(\lambda, x) = \frac{(\alpha_0 - \beta_0)(\alpha_0 + 2\beta_0)(2\alpha_0 + \beta_0)}{2} \prod_{j=1}^{m-2} (\lambda - \mu_j(x)),
\]
(46)
\[
F_{m-2}(\lambda, x) = \frac{(\alpha_0 - \beta_0)(\alpha_0 + 2\beta_0)(2\alpha_0 + \beta_0)}{6} \prod_{j=1}^{m-2} (\lambda - \nu_j(x)),
\]
(47)
\[
\mathcal{F}_{m-2}(\lambda, x) = \frac{(\beta_0 - \alpha_0)(\alpha_0 + 2\beta_0)(2\alpha_0 + \beta_0)}{3} \prod_{j=1}^{m-2} (\lambda - \xi_j(x)),
\]
(48)
where \((\alpha_0 - \beta_0)(\alpha_0 + 2\beta_0)(2\alpha_0 + \beta_0) \neq 0\).

Define

\[
\tilde{\mu}_j(x) = \left( \mu_j(x), y(\tilde{\mu}_j(x)) \right) = \left( \mu_j(x), -\frac{A_m(\mu_j(x), x)}{V_{13}^{(n)}(\mu_j(x), x)} \right)
\]
\[
= \left( \mu_j(x), -\frac{A_m(\mu_j(x), x)}{V_{13}^{(n)}(\mu_j(x), x)} \right) \in \mathcal{H}_{m-2},
\]
\[
\tilde{\nu}_j(x) = \left( \nu_j(x), y(\tilde{\nu}_j(x)) \right) = \left( \nu_j(x), -\frac{C_m(\nu_j(x), x)}{V_{32}^{(n)}(\nu_j(x), x)} \right) \in \mathcal{H}_{m-2},
\]
\[
\tilde{\xi}_j(x) = \left( \xi_j(x), y(\tilde{\xi}_j(x)) \right) = \left( \xi_j(x), -\frac{\mathcal{C}_m(\xi_j(x), x)}{V_{32}^{(n)}(\xi_j(x), x)} \right) \in \mathcal{H}_{m-2},
\]
\[
1 \leq j \leq m - 2, x \in \mathbb{C}.
\]
(49)

In order to more distinctly put forward the properties of \(\phi_2(P, x), \phi_3(P, x),\) and \(\psi_1(P, x, x_0),\) we introduce the holomorphic map \(\ast\), changing sheets, which is defined by

\[
\ast : \begin{cases} 
\mathcal{H}_{m-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{m-1} \\
P = (\lambda, y_1(\lambda)) \rightarrow P^* = \left( \lambda, y_{j+1}(\text{mod } 3)(\lambda) \right), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \\
P^{**} = (P^*)^*, \text{ etc.,}
\end{cases}
\]
(50)

where \(y_j(\lambda), j = 0, 1, 2,\) satisfy \(\mathcal{F}_m(\lambda, y) = 0,\) that is,

\[
(y - y_0(\lambda))(y - y_1(\lambda))(y - y_2(\lambda)) = y^3 + S_m(\lambda)y - T_m(\lambda) = 0.
\]
(51)

Furthermore, the positive divisors on \(\mathcal{H}_{m-2}\) of degree \(m - 2\) are defined as

\[
\mathcal{D}_{P_1 \ldots P_{m-1}} = \begin{cases} 
\mathcal{H}_{m-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0, \\
P \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{P_1 \ldots P_{m-1}} = \begin{cases} 
0, \quad \text{if } P \notin \{P_1, \ldots, P_{m-1}\}, \\
k, \quad \text{if } P \text{ occurs } k \text{ times } \in \{P_1, \ldots, P_{m-1}\}.
\end{cases}
\end{cases}
\]
(52)

with \(\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\).

Further properties of \(\phi_2(P, x), \phi_3(P, x),\) and \(\psi_1(P, x, x_0),\) are summarized as follows:

\[
\phi_2(P, x) + u_1 \phi_2'(P, x) + \phi_3(P, x) \phi_3(P, x) + 2i \lambda \phi_2(P, x) - \phi_3(P, x) - u_2 = 0,
\]
(53)
\[
\phi_3(P, x) + \phi_2(P, x) + u_1 \phi_2(P, x) \phi_3(P, x) - i \lambda \phi_3(P, x) - \phi_2(P, x) = 1, \quad (54)
\]

\[
\phi_2(P, x) \phi_2(P^*, x) \phi_3(P^{**}, x) = -\frac{F_{m-2}(\lambda, x)}{E_{m-2}(\lambda, x)}, \quad (55)
\]

\[
\phi_3(P, x) \phi_3(P^*, x) \phi_3(P^{**}, x) = \mathcal{F}_{m-2}(\lambda, x), \quad (56)
\]

\[
\phi_3(P, x) + \phi_3(P^*, x) + \phi_3(P^{**}, x) = \frac{2V_{13}^{(n)}(\lambda, x) S_m(\lambda) - 3B_m(\lambda, x)}{E_{m-2}(\lambda, x)} \quad (57)
\]

\[
\phi_2(P, x) + \phi_2(P^*, x) + \phi_2(P^{**}, x) = \frac{2V_{12}^{(n)}(\lambda, x) S_m(\lambda) - 3B_m(\lambda, x)}{E_{m-2}(\lambda, x)} \quad (58)
\]

\[
\psi_i(P, x, x_0) = \exp \left( \int_{x_0}^x [i \lambda + u_1(x')] \phi_2(P, x') + \phi_3(P, x') \right) \, dx', \quad (64)
\]

\[
\psi_i(P, x, x_0) \psi_i(P^*, x, x_0) \psi_i(P^{**}, x, x_0) = \frac{E_{m-2}(\lambda, x)}{E_{m-2}(\lambda, x_0)} \exp \left( 2i \lambda (x - x_0) \right). \quad (65)
\]

The dynamics of the zeros \( \{ \mu_i(x) \}_{j=1}^{m-2}, \{ \nu_j(x) \}_{j=1}^{m-2}, \) and \( \{ \xi_j(x) \}_{j=1}^{m-2} \) of \( E_{m-2}(\lambda, x), \) \( F_{m-2}(\lambda, x), \) and \( \mathcal{F}_m(\lambda, x) \) are described according to Dubrovin-type equations as follows.

**Lemma 1.** Assume the zeros \( \{ \mu_i(x) \}_{j=1}^{m-2}, \{ \nu_j(x) \}_{j=1}^{m-2}, \) and \( \{ \xi_j(x) \}_{j=1}^{m-2} \) of \( E_{m-2}(\lambda, x), \) \( F_{m-2}(\lambda, x), \) and \( \mathcal{F}_m(\lambda, x) \) remain distinct, respectively, and let \( x \in \mathbb{C}. \) Then, \( \{ \mu_i(x) \}_{j=1}^{m-2}, \{ \nu_j(x) \}_{j=1}^{m-2}, \) and \( \{ \xi_j(x) \}_{j=1}^{m-2} \) satisfy the system of differential equations

\[
\mu_{j+1}(x) = \frac{2}{\phi_3(P, x)} \left[ V_{12}^{(n)}(\mu_i(x), x) - u_1 V_{12}^{(n)}(\mu_i(x), x) \right] \frac{[3 \lambda^2 \beta_i(\mu_i(x)) + S_m(\mu_i(x))] \beta_i(\mu_i(x))}{\lambda_1 \beta_1(\mu_i(x)) + \beta_2(\mu_i(x)) \lambda_2 \beta_2(\mu_i(x))}, \quad (66)
\]

\[
\nu_{j+1}(x) = \frac{6}{\phi_3(P^*, x)} \left[ V_{21}^{(n)}(\nu_j(x), x) - u_1 V_{21}^{(n)}(\nu_j(x), x) \right] \frac{[3 \lambda^2 \beta_i(\nu_j(x)) + S_m(\nu_j(x))] \beta_i(\nu_j(x))}{\lambda_1 \beta_1(\nu_j(x)) + \beta_2(\nu_j(x)) \lambda_2 \beta_2(\nu_j(x))}, \quad (67)
\]
Proof. Substituting $\lambda = \mu_j(x)$ into (40) and (43), we have
\[
\left(V_{13}^{(n)} \left( \mu_j(x), x \right) \right)^2 S_m \left( \mu_j(x) \right) - V_{13}^{(n)} \left( \mu_j(x), x \right) B_m \left( \mu_j(x) \right) + A_m^2 \left( \mu_j(x) \right) = 0,
\]
\[
\left(V_{12}^{(n)} \left( \mu_j(x), x \right) \right)^2 S_m \left( \mu_j(x) \right) - V_{12}^{(n)} \left( \mu_j(x), x \right) B_m \left( \mu_j(x) \right) + \partial^2_m \left( \mu_j(x) \right) = 0.
\]
Then, we get
\[
B_m \left( \mu_j(x), x \right) = S_m \left( \mu_j(x) \right) V_{13}^{(n)} \left( \mu_j(x), x \right) + \frac{A_m^2 \left( \mu_j(x) \right)}{V_{13}^{(n)} \left( \mu_j(x), x \right)}
\]
\[
= \left[ S_m \left( \mu_j(x) \right) + y^2 \left( \mu_j(x) \right) \right] V_{13}^{(n)} \left( \mu_j(x), x \right),
\]
\[
B_m \left( \mu_j(x), x \right) = S_m \left( \mu_j(x) \right) V_{12}^{(n)} \left( \mu_j(x), x \right) + \frac{\partial^2_m \left( \mu_j(x) \right)}{V_{12}^{(n)} \left( \mu_j(x), x \right)}
\]
\[
= \left[ S_m \left( \mu_j(x) \right) + y^2 \left( \mu_j(x) \right) \right] V_{12}^{(n)} \left( \mu_j(x), x \right).
\]
Then, inserting $\lambda = \mu_j(x)$ into the first equation of (45) and in view of (70) and (71), we arrive at
\[
E_{m-2,x} \left( \mu_j(x), x \right) = \left[ u_1 V_{13}^{(n)} \left( \mu_j(x), x \right) - V_{12}^{(n)} \left( \mu_j(x), x \right) \right]
\]
\[
\cdot \left[ 3y^2 \left( \mu_j(x) \right) + S_m \left( \mu_j(x) \right) \right].
\]
On the other hand, differentiating (46) with respect to $x$, we find
\[
E_{m-2,x} \left( \mu_j(x), x \right) = \frac{(\beta_0 - \alpha_0)(\alpha_0 + 2\beta_0)(2\alpha_0 + \beta_0) u_1}{2 \cdot \mu_j(x) \cdot \prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^{m-2} \left( \mu_j(x) - \mu_k(x) \right)}.
\]
Comparing (72) and (73), we derive (66). Similarly, we can prove that Equations (67) and (68) hold.

4. Algebro-Geometric Solutions of the Stationary CCIRD Hierarchy

In the section, we continue our study of the stationary CCIRD hierarchy and will obtain explicit Riemann theta function representations for the two meromorphic functions $\psi_2(P, x)$, $\phi_3(P, x, \infty)$, the Baker-Akhiezer function $\psi_1(P, x, x_0)$, and the algebro-geometric solutions $u_i$ and $u_\lambda$ for the CCIRD hierarchy. By introducing the local coordinate $\zeta = \lambda^{-1}$ near $P_{\text{co}_1}$, $P_{\text{co}_2}$, $P_{\text{co}_3}$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Suppose that $u$ satisfies the $n$th stationary CCIRD system $X_n = 0$. Moreover, let $P \in \mathcal{H}_{m-2} \setminus \{P_{\text{co}_1}, P_{\text{co}_2}, P_{\text{co}_3}\}$, $(x, x_0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Then,
\[
\psi_2(P, x) = \begin{cases} -2i u_1^{-1}(x) - \frac{u_{1,0}(x)}{u_1(x)} & \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_1}, \\ \frac{1}{3} + O(\zeta) & \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_2}, \\ \frac{1}{2} u_2(x) - \frac{1}{3} u_{1,0}(x) + O(\zeta) & \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_3}, \end{cases}
\]
\[
\phi_3(P, x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} u_1^{-1}(x) + O(\zeta) & \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_1}, \\ i\zeta^{-1} - \frac{1}{3} u_1(x) + O(\zeta) & \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_2}, \\ i\zeta + \frac{1}{2} u_2(x) + O(\zeta) & \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_3}, \end{cases}
\]
\[
\psi_1(P, x) = \begin{cases} \exp \left( -i(x - x_0) \zeta^{-1} + O(\zeta) \right) & \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_1}, \\ \exp \left( 2i(x - x_0) \zeta^{-1} + O(\zeta) \right) & \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_2}, \\ \exp \left( i(x - x_0) \zeta^{-1} + O(\zeta) \right) & \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_3}. \end{cases}
\]

Proof. Substituting the three sets of ansatz
\[
\phi_3(P, x) \sim x_{1,0}^{-1} + x_{1,0} + O(\zeta), \quad \phi_3(P, x) \sim x_{1,0} + O(\zeta), \quad \phi_3(P, x) \sim x_{1,0}^{-1} + x_{1,0} + O(\zeta), \quad \text{near } P_{\text{co}_1},
\]
\[
\phi_3(P, x) \sim x_{1,0} + O(\zeta), \quad \phi_3(P, x) \sim x_{1,0}^{-1} + O(\zeta), \quad \text{near } P_{\text{co}_2},
\]
\[
\phi_3(P, x) \sim x_{1,0} + O(\zeta), \quad \phi_3(P, x) \sim x_{1,0}^{-1} + O(\zeta), \quad \text{near } P_{\text{co}_3},
\]
into Riccati-type Equations (53) and (54), and comparing the coefficients of the same powers of $\zeta$, we derive (74) and (75). Equation (76) then follows from inserting (74) and (75) into (64).

One infers from Equations (34), (35), (74), and (75) that the divisors $(\phi_3(P, x))$ and $(\phi_3(P, x))$ of $\phi_3(P, x)$ and $\phi_3(P, x)$ are as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
(\phi_2(P, x)) &= \mathfrak{I}_{\bar{P}_{\text{co}_1}} \bar{\xi}_1(x), \cdots, \bar{\xi}_{m-2}(x) \mathcal{P}(P), \\
(\phi_3(P, x)) &= \mathfrak{I}_{\bar{P}_{\text{co}_1}} \bar{\xi}_1(x), \cdots, \bar{\xi}_{m-2}(x) \mathcal{P}(P),
\end{align*}
\]

which means that \( P_{\text{co}_1}, \bar{v}_1(x), \cdots, \bar{v}_{m-2} \) are \( m-1 \) zeros of \( \phi_2(P, x) \) and \( P_{\text{co}_1}, \bar{\mu}_1(x), \cdots, \bar{\mu}_{m-2} \) its \( m-1 \) poles, \( P_{\text{co}_1}, \bar{\xi}_1(x), \cdots, \bar{\xi}_{m-2} \) are \( m-1 \) zeros of \( \phi_3(P, x) \) and \( P_{\text{co}_1}, \bar{\mu}_1(x), \cdots, \bar{\mu}_{m-2} \) its \( m-1 \) poles.

A tedious calculation reveals that the asymptotic behaviors of \( y(P) \) and \( S_m \) near \( P_{\text{co}_1}, P_{\text{co}_2}, P_{\text{co}_3} \) are given as

\[
y(P) \mathcal{P}(P) \mathfrak{I}_{\bar{P}_{\text{co}_1}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\xi^{-n} \left[ a_0 + a_1 \xi + O(\xi^2) \right], \quad \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_1}, \\
\xi^{-n} \left[ b_0 + b_1 \xi + O(\xi^2) \right], \quad \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_2}, \\
-\xi^{-n} \left[ c_0 + c_1 \xi + O(\xi^2) \right], \quad \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_3},
\end{array} \right.
\]

\[
S_m = -\xi^{-2m} \left\{ \left[ a_0 + b_0 + a_1 + b_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right] \right. \\
\left. - a_0 b_0 + c_0 + c_1 + O(\xi^2) \right\}, \quad \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_j}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.
\]

Equip the Riemann surface \( \mathcal{X}_{m-2} \) with an appropriate fixed homology basis \( \{a_j, b_j\}_{j=1}^{m-2} \), in such a way that the intersection matrix of cycles satisfies \( a_j \cdot b_k = \delta_{jk} \); \( a_j \cdot a_k = 0 \), \( b_j \cdot b_k = 0 \), \( k = 1, \cdots, m-2 \). For the present, we introduce the holomorphic differentials \( \omega_i(P) \) on \( \mathcal{X}_{m-2} \) defined by

\[
\omega_i(P) = \frac{1}{3y^2(P) + \sum l_{m-2}} \begin{cases} 
\lambda^{l-1} d\lambda, & 1 \leq l \leq 2n - 1, \\
\gamma^{l} d\gamma, & 2n - 1 \leq l \leq m - 2.
\end{cases}
\]

By using the basis \( a_j \) and \( b_j \), the matrices \( A \) and \( B \) can be constructed from

\[
A_{jk} = \int_{a_j} \omega_k, \\
B_{jk} = \int_{b_j} \omega_k,
\]

and it is possible to show that matrices \( A \) and \( B \) are invertible. Now, we define the matrices \( C \) and \( \tau \) by \( C = A^{-1}, \tau = A^{-1} B \). One can see that matrix \( \tau = (\tau_{jk})_{(m-1) \times (m-1)} \) is symmetric, and it has a positive definite imaginary part. If we normalize \( \omega_j \) into the new basis \( \omega_j \),

\[
\omega = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} C_{ij} \omega_i, \quad \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_1},
\]

then we have \( \int_{a_0} \omega_j = \delta_{jk} \int_{b_0} \omega_j = \tau_{jk}, j, k = 1, \cdots, m-2 \).

Then, the Laurent expansion of (83) near \( \{P_{\text{co}_1}, P_{\text{co}_2}, P_{\text{co}_3}\} \) yields the following results:

\[
\omega = (\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_{m-2}), \quad \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_1},
\]

\[
\omega = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
-(C_{2,2n-1} + C_{2,2m-2}) + O(\xi) d\xi, \quad P \to P_{\text{co}_1}, \\
-(C_{2,2n-1} + C_{2,2m-2}) + O(\xi) d\xi, \quad P \to P_{\text{co}_2}, \\
-(C_{2,2n-1} + C_{2,2m-2}) + O(\xi) d\xi, \quad P \to P_{\text{co}_3},
\end{array} \right.
\]

Let \( \omega^{(2)}_{P_{\text{co}_2}}(P), s = 1, 2, 3, \) denote the normalized Abelian differential of the second kind satisfying

\[
\int_{a_{s}} \omega^{(2)}_{P_{\text{co}_2}}(P) = 0, \quad k = 1, \cdots, m-2,
\]

and introduce

\[
\Omega^{(2)}(P) = -i\omega^{(2)}_{P_{\text{co}_2}}(P) + 2i\omega^{(2)}_{P_{\text{co}_2}}(P) + i\omega^{(2)}_{P_{\text{co}_2}}(P),
\]

then we have

\[
\int_{Q_0} \Omega^{(2)}(P) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\iota + e_2^{(2)}(Q_0) + O(\xi), \quad \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_1}, \\
-2\iota + e_2^{(2)}(Q_0) + O(\xi), \quad \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_2}, \\
-\iota + e_2^{(2)}(Q_0) + O(\xi), \quad \text{as } P \to P_{\text{co}_3},
\end{array} \right.
\]

where \( e_2^{(2)}(Q_0), e_2^{(2)}(Q_0), e_3^{(2)}(Q_0) \) are integral constants and \( Q_0 \) is an appropriately chosen base point on \( \mathcal{X}_{m-2} \setminus \{P_{\text{co}_1}, P_{\text{co}_2}, P_{\text{co}_3}\} \). The \( b \)-periods of the differential \( \Omega^{(2)}(P) \) are denoted by

\[
U^{(2)}_{2k} = \left( U^{(2)}_{2,k}, \cdots, U^{(2)}_{2,m-2} \right),
\]

\[
U^{(2)}_{2k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b_k} \Omega^{(2)}(P), \quad k = 1, \cdots, m-2.
\]
Then, from (85) and (87), we have

\[
U^{(2)}_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ \int_{b_\alpha} \omega^{(2)}_{P_{\alpha,0}}(P) + 2i \int_{b_\alpha} \omega^{(2)}_{P_{\alpha,1}}(P) + i \int_{b_\alpha} \omega^{(2)}_{P_{\alpha,-1}}(P) \right]
\]

\[
= -i \frac{C_{k,2n-1} + \alpha_0 C_{k,2n-2}}{(a_0 - b_\alpha)(2a_0 + b_\alpha)} + \frac{C_{k,2n-1} + \beta_0 C_{k,2n-2}}{(a_0 + 2b_\alpha)(2a_0 + b_\alpha)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(a_0 + 2b_\alpha)(2a_0 + b_\alpha)} \cdot \left[ 4\pi i a_0 + 5i\beta_0 \right] C_{k,2n-1} + \left( 2i\pi a_0 + 6i\alpha_0 \beta_0 + i\beta_0^2 \right) C_{k,2n-2}
\]

(90)

in which we used \(1/2\pi i \int_{b_\alpha} \omega^{(2)}_{P_{\alpha,2}}(P) = \rho_{k,0} \) if \( \omega_{k} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho_{k,i} \delta_{Q_i} \).

Furthermore, the normalized Abelian differential of the third kind \( \omega^{(3)}_{P_{\alpha,0}}(P), j = 1, 2 \), is holomorphic on \( K_{m-2} \setminus \{ P_{\alpha,0}, P_{\alpha,0} \} \) with simple poles at \( P_{\alpha,0} \) and \( P_{\alpha,0} \) with residues 1 and -1, respectively, that is,

\[
\omega^{(3)}_{P_{\alpha,0}}(P) = \begin{cases} (-\zeta^{-1} + O(1)) d\zeta, & \text{as } P \rightarrow P_{\alpha,0}, \\ \zeta^{-1} - O(1) d\zeta, & \text{as } P \rightarrow P_{\alpha,0}, \\ \zeta^{-1} + O(1) d\zeta, & \text{as } P \rightarrow P_{\alpha,0}, \end{cases}
\]

(91)

\[
\omega^{(3)}_{P_{\alpha,0}}(P) = \begin{cases} (-\zeta^{-1} + O(1)) d\zeta, & \text{as } P \rightarrow P_{\alpha,0}, \\ \zeta^{-1} + O(1) d\zeta, & \text{as } P \rightarrow P_{\alpha,0}, \end{cases}
\]

(92)

Then, \( \omega^{(3)}_{P_{\alpha,0}}(P) \) is not holomorphic on \( K_{m-2} \setminus \{ P_{\alpha,0}, P_{\alpha,0} \} \) with simple poles at \( P_{\alpha,0} \) and \( P_{\alpha,0} \) with residues 1 and -1, respectively, that is,

\[
\omega^{(3)}_{P_{\alpha,0}}(P) = \begin{cases} (-\zeta^{-1} + O(1)) d\zeta, & \text{as } P \rightarrow P_{\alpha,0}, \\ \zeta^{-1} + O(1) d\zeta, & \text{as } P \rightarrow P_{\alpha,0}, \end{cases}
\]

(93)

where \( \delta_{k,0}^{(3)}(Q_0), k = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, 3, \) are integration constants.

Let \( T_{m-2} \) be the period lattice \( \{ Z \in \mathbb{C}^{m-2} \mid Z = N + rL \mid N, L \in \mathbb{Z}^{m-2} \} \). The complex torus \( F_{m-2} = \mathbb{C}^{m-2}/T_{m-2} \) is called the Jacobian variety of \( K_{m-2} \). An Abel map \( A : K_{m-2} \rightarrow F_{m-2} \) is defined by

\[
\mathcal{A}(P) = (\mathcal{A}_1(P), \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{m-2}(P)) = \left( \int_{Q_0}^{P} \omega_1, \ldots, \int_{Q_0}^{P} \omega_{m-2} \right) \mod T_{m-2},
\]

with the natural linear extension to the factor group \( \text{Div}(K_{m-2}) \),

\[
\mathcal{A}(\sum n_k P_k) = \sum n_k \mathcal{A}(P_k).
\]

(96)

Considering the nonspecial divisor \( D_{\mu(x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \mu_k(x), \) and \( D_{\xi(x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \xi_k(x), \) we define

\[
\mathcal{A}(\sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \mu_k(x), \xi(x)) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \int_{Q_0}^{P} \omega = \rho^{(j)}(x), \quad j = 1, 2, 3,
\]

(97)

where \( \rho^{(j)}(x) = \mu(x), \rho^{(j)}(x) = \nu(x), \rho^{(j)}(x) = \xi(x), \) \( \nu(x) = (\mu(x), \ldots, \mu_{m-2}(x)) \in \sigma^m \mathcal{H}_{m-2}, \) \( \nu(x) = (\nu_1(x), \ldots, \nu_{m-2}(x)) \in \sigma^m \mathcal{H}_{m-2}, \)

(98)

Theorem 3. Assume that the curve \( K_{m-2} \) is nonsingular, and let \( x, \xi(x) \in \mathbb{C}. \) Then,

\[
\rho^{(1)}(x) = \rho^{(1)}(x) + U^{(2)}_2(x - x_0),
\]

(99)
which yields by the use of the standard Lagrange interpolation argument that

$$\frac{d}{dx} \rho^{(1)}(x) = U_{2j}^{(2)}(x),$$

(100)

which implies the first representation of (98). The second and third equalities in (98) follow from the same calculation.

Denote by \( \theta(\xi) \) the Riemann theta function associated with \( \mathcal{M} \) equipped with a fixed homology basis. For convenience, the function \( \xi : \mathcal{M} \times \sigma^{m-2} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) is defined as

$$\xi(P, Q) = M - \sigma(P) + \sum_{Q'} \mathcal{D}(Q') \sigma(Q'), \quad P \in \mathcal{M},$$

$$Q = (Q_1, \ldots, Q_m) \in \sigma^{m-2} \mathcal{M}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

(101)

where \( M \) is the vector of Riemann constants. Then, we get

$$\xi(P, \tilde{\mu}(x)) = M - \sigma(P) + \rho^{(1)}(x), \quad P \in \mathcal{M},$$

$$\xi(P, \tilde{\nu}(x)) = M - \sigma(P) + \rho^{(2)}(x), \quad P \in \mathcal{M},$$

$$\xi(P, \tilde{\xi}(x)) = M - \sigma(P) + \rho^{(3)}(x), \quad P \in \mathcal{M},$$

(102)

In view of (98), we could rewrite them as

$$\xi(P_{coy}, \tilde{\mu}(x)) = M_1^{(j)} + U_{2j}^{(2)}(x),$$

$$\xi(P_{coy}, \tilde{\nu}(x)) = M_2^{(j)} + U_{2j}^{(2)}(x),$$

$$\xi(P_{coy}, \tilde{\xi}(x)) = M_3^{(j)} + U_{2j}^{(2)}(x),$$

(103)

where \( M_1^{(j)} = M - \sigma(P_{coy}) + \rho^{(1)}(x_0) - U_{2j}^{(2)}(x_0) \), \( j = 1, 2, 3 \).

Combined with the above results, the theta function representations of \( \phi_2(P, x) \), \( \phi_3(P, x) \), \( \psi_1(P, x, x_0) \) and the algebro-geometric solutions of the stationary CCIRD hierarchy are presented in the next theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Assume that the curve \( \mathcal{M} \) is nonsingular. Let \( P = (\lambda, y) \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \{P_{coy}, P_{coy}, P_{coy}\} \) and let \( (x, x_0) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \). Suppose that \( \mathcal{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \) or \( \mathcal{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}} \) or \( \mathcal{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\xi}}} \) is nonspecial. Then,

$$\phi_2(P, x) = N_1(x_0) \theta \left( \xi(P, \tilde{\nu}(x)) \right) \theta \left( \xi(P_{coy}, \tilde{\mu}(x)) \right) \exp \left( \int_{Q_0}^{p} \omega^{(3)}_{coy} + \left( e^{(2)}_j(Q_0) - e^{(2)}_j(Q_0) \right) x \right),$$

(104)

$$\phi_3(P, x) = N_2(x_0) \theta \left( \xi(P, \tilde{\xi}(x)) \right) \theta \left( \xi(P_{coy}, \tilde{\mu}(x)) \right) \exp \left( \int_{Q_0}^{p} \omega^{(3)}_{coy} + \left( e^{(2)}_j(Q_0) - e^{(2)}_j(Q_0) \right) x \right),$$

(105)

$$\psi_1(P, x, x_0) = \theta \left( \xi(P, \tilde{\nu}(x)) \right) \theta \left( \xi(P_{coy}, \tilde{\mu}(x)) \right) \theta \left( \xi(P, \tilde{\xi}(x)) \right) \theta \left( \xi(P_{coy}, \tilde{\mu}(x)) \right) \exp \left( \left( e^{(2)}_j(Q_0) - e^{(2)}_j(Q_0) \right) x \right),$$

(106)

$$N_1(x_0) = \frac{-2i}{u_j(x_0)} \theta \left( \xi(P_{coy}, \tilde{\mu}(x)) \right) \exp \left( \left( e^{(2)}_j(Q_0) - e^{(2)}_j(Q_0) \right) x \right),$$

(107)

$$N_2(x_0) = i \theta \left( \xi(P_{coy}, \tilde{\mu}(x)) \right) \exp \left( \left( e^{(2)}_j(Q_0) - e^{(2)}_j(Q_0) \right) x \right),$$

(108)

Finally, the theta representations of \( u_1(x) \) and \( u_2(x) \) are of the form

$$u_1(x) = u_1(x_0) \theta \left( \xi(P_{coy}, \tilde{\nu}(x)) \right) \theta \left( \xi(P_{coy}, \tilde{\mu}(x)) \right) \exp \left( \left( e^{(2)}_j(Q_0) - e^{(2)}_j(Q_0) \right) x \right),$$

(109)

$$u_2(x) = u_2(x_0) \theta \left( \xi(P_{coy}, \tilde{\xi}(x)) \right) \theta \left( \xi(P_{coy}, \tilde{\mu}(x)) \right) \exp \left( \left( e^{(2)}_j(Q_0) - e^{(2)}_j(Q_0) \right) x \right).$$

(110)

**Proof.** Let \( \Psi_1 \) be defined by the right-hand side of (106). We intend to prove that \( \psi_1 = \Psi_1 \) with \( \psi_1 \) given by (64). For that purpose, we first inspect the zeros and poles of \( \psi_1 \). Since they can only come from zeros of \( \phi_2 \) and \( \phi_3 \), one can compute by using (34) and (35) that
It remains to identify the essential singularities of \( \psi \). The results in the holomorphic function \( \Psi \) on \( \mathcal{M} - \{ P_{\text{co}} \} \) are simple and coincident. It remains to identify the essential singularities of \( \psi \) and \( \Psi \) at \( P_{\text{co}}, P_{\text{co}}, P_{\text{co}} \). Considering (64), (76), (87), and the expression for \( \Psi \) in (106), we deduce that \( \psi \) and \( \Psi \) share the same singularities and zeros. The Riemann-Roch uniqueness results in the holomorphic function \( \psi / \Psi = y \), where \( y \) is a constant. By (76), (87), and the right-hand side of (106), we have

\[
\psi(P, x, x_0) = \exp \left[ \int_{x_0}^{x} \left( i \lambda + u_1(x') \phi_2(P, x') + \phi_3(P, x') \right) dx' \right]
\]

\[
\psi(P, x, x_0) = \exp \left( \frac{\lambda - \mu_j(x)}{\lambda - \mu_j(x_0)} O(1) \right)
\]

where \( O(1) \neq 0 \). Consequently, all zeros and poles of \( \psi \) and \( \Psi \) on \( \mathcal{M} - \{ P_{\text{co}} \} \) are simple and coincident.

Then, we conclude \( y = 1 \), with which the proof of (106) is completed. By using the asymptotic properties of \( \psi \) near \( P_{\text{co}} \), we get (109). Equations (78), (79), and (93) immediately yield that \( \phi_2 \) and \( \phi_3 \) have the following forms:

\[
\phi_2(P, x) = \tilde{N}_1(x) \frac{\theta(z(P, \tilde{x}(x)))}{\theta(z(P, \tilde{\mu}(x)))} \exp \left( \int_{Q_{0x}}^{P} \omega_{P_{\text{co}}, P_{\text{co}}}^{(3)} \right)
\]

\[
\phi_3(P, x) = \tilde{N}_2(x) \frac{\theta(z(P, \tilde{x}(x)))}{\theta(z(P, \tilde{\mu}(x)))} \exp \left( \int_{Q_{0x}}^{P} \omega_{P_{\text{co}}, P_{\text{co}}}^{(3)} \right)
\]

Taking into account the asymptotic expansions of \( \phi_2 \) and \( \phi_3 \) near \( P_{\text{co}}, P_{\text{co}} \), we have

\[
u_1(x) = -2i \frac{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\mu}(x)))}{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{x}(x)))} \exp \left( -e_{1,\text{co}}^{(3)}(Q_0) \right),
\]

\[
u_2(x) = 2i \tilde{N}_1(x) \frac{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{x}(x)))}{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\mu}(x)))} \exp \left( e_{1,\text{co}}^{(3)}(Q_0) \right),
\]

\[
1 < \frac{1}{\psi_1(x)} = \frac{1}{\tilde{N}_2(x)} \frac{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{x}(x)))}{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\mu}(x)))} \exp \left( e_{2,\text{co}}^{(3)}(Q_0) \right),
\]

which together with (109) show the expressions (107), (108), and (110).

5. **Algebro-Geometric Solutions of the CCIRD Hierarchy**

In this section, we extend the results of Sections 3 and 4 to the time-dependent CCIRD hierarchy. In particular, we obtain Riemann theta function representations for the time-dependent Baker-Akhiezer function, the meromorphic function, and algebro-geometric solutions of the CCIRD hierarchy.

Similar to (31)–(33), we consider the following time-dependent Baker-Akhiezer function:

\[
\psi_s(P, x, x_0, t_r, t_{0r}, t_{0s}, t_{0r}) = U(u(x, t_r); \lambda(P))\psi(P, x, x_0, t_r, t_{0r}),
\]

\[
\psi_s(P, x, x_0, t_r, t_{0r}) = V^{(r)}(u(x, t_r); \lambda(P))\psi(P, x, x_0, t_r, t_{0r}),
\]

\[
V^{(n)}(u(x, t_r); \lambda(P))\psi(P, x, x_0, t_r, t_{0r}) = y(P)\psi(P, x, x_0, t_r, t_{0r}),
\]

\[
\psi_1(P, x, x_0, t_r, t_{0r}) = 1,
\]

\[
P = (\lambda, y) \in \mathcal{M} - \{ P_{\text{co}}, P_{\text{co}}, P_{\text{co}} \}, x, t_r, t_{0r}, t_{0r} \in \mathbb{C}.
\]

The compatibility conditions of the first three equations in (116) show that

\[
U_{t_r} - V^{(r)} = U, V^{(r)} = 0,
\]

\[
-V^{(n)} + [U, V^{(n)}] = 0,
\]

\[
-V^{(n)} + [\tilde{V}^{(r)}, V^{(n)}] = 0.
\]

It is easy to find that \( yI - V^{(n)} \) satisfies (118) and (119). Then, the characteristic polynomial of Lax matrix \( V^{(n)} \) for the CCIRD hierarchy is a constant independent of variables \( x \) and \( t_r \) with the expansion
\[ \det \left( yI - V^{(m)} \right) = y^3 + S_m(\lambda)y - T_m(\lambda), \]  
\text{(120)}

where \( S_m(\lambda) \) and \( T_m(\lambda) \) are defined as in (27) and (28). Then, the CCIRD curve \( \mathcal{M}_{m-2} \) is determined by

\[ \mathcal{M}_{m-2} : \mathcal{M}_m(\lambda, y) = y^3 + S_m(\lambda)y - T_m(\lambda) = 0. \]  
\text{(121)}

Closely related to \( \psi(P, x, x_0, t_0, t_{0,0}) \) are the following two meromorphic functions \( \phi_2(P, x, t_r) \) and \( \phi_3(P, x, t_r) \) on \( \mathcal{M}_{m-2} \) defined by

\[ \phi_2(P, x, t_r) = \frac{\psi_2(P, x, x_0, t_r, t_{0,0})}{\psi_1(P, x, x_0, t_r, t_{0,0})}, P \in \mathcal{M}_{m-2}, x, t_r, x_0, t_{0,0} \in \mathbb{C}, \]  
\text{(122)}

\[ \phi_3(P, x, t_r) = \frac{\psi_3(P, x, x_0, t_r, t_{0,0})}{\psi_1(P, x, x_0, t_r, t_{0,0})}, P \in \mathcal{M}_{m-2}, x, t_r, x_0, t_{0,0} \in \mathbb{C}, \]  
\text{(123)}

which imply from (116) that

\[ \phi_2(P, x, t_r) = \frac{F_{m-2}(\lambda, x, t_r)}{y^2V_{13}(\lambda, x, t_r) - yC_m(\lambda, x, t_r) + D_m(\lambda, x, t_r)} = \frac{y^2V_{13}(\lambda, x, t_r) - yA_m(\lambda, x, t_r) + B_m(\lambda, x, t_r)}{E_{m-2}(\lambda, x, t_r)} = \frac{yV_{13}(\lambda, x, t_r) + C_m(\lambda, x, t_r)}{yV_{13}(\lambda, x, t_r) + A_m(\lambda, x, t_r)}, \]  
\text{(124)}

\[ \phi_3(P, x, t_r) = \frac{F_{m-2}(\lambda, x, t_r)}{y^2V_{12}(\lambda, x, t_r) - yC_m(\lambda, x, t_r) + D_m(\lambda, x, t_r)} = \frac{y^2V_{12}(\lambda, x, t_r) - yA_m(\lambda, x, t_r) + B_m(\lambda, x, t_r)}{-E_{m-2}(\lambda, x, t_r)} = \frac{yV_{12}(\lambda, x, t_r) + C_m(\lambda, x, t_r)}{yV_{12}(\lambda, x, t_r) + A_m(\lambda, x, t_r)}, \]  
\text{(125)}

where \( P = (\lambda, y) \in \mathcal{M}_{m-2}, (x, t_r) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \) and \( A_m(\lambda, x, t_r), D_m(\lambda, x, t_r), \ldots, D_m(\lambda, x, t_r), \mathcal{F}_m(\lambda, x, t_r), \mathcal{F}_{m-2}(\lambda, x, t_r) \) are defined as in (36)–(38). Hence, (39)–(45) also hold in the present context. Similarly, we have

\[ E_{m-2}(\lambda, x, t_r) = \frac{(a_0 - \beta_0)(a_0 + 2\beta_0)(2\alpha_0 + \beta_0)}{2} \cdot u_1(x, t_r) \prod_{j=1}^{m-2}(\lambda - \mu_j(x, t_r)), \]  
\text{(126)}

\[ F_{m-2}(\lambda, x, t_r) = \frac{(a_0 - \beta_0)(a_0 + 2\beta_0)(2\alpha_0 + \beta_0)}{6} \cdot u_2(x, t_r) \prod_{j=1}^{m-2}(\lambda - \nu_j(x, t_r)), \]  
\text{(127)}

\[ F_{m-2}(\lambda, x, t_r) = \frac{(\beta_0 - a_0)(a_0 + 2\beta_0)(2\alpha_0 + \beta_0)}{3} \prod_{j=1}^{m-2}(\lambda - \xi_j(x, t_r)). \]  
\text{(128)}

After defining \( \hat{\mu}_j(x, t_r), \hat{\nu}_j(x, t_r), \hat{\xi}_j(x, t_r) \) as (46)–(48) by replacing \( x \) with \( (x, t_r) \), one infers from (74), (75), (124), and (128) that the divisors \( (\phi_2(P, x, t_r)) \) and \( (\phi_3(P, x, t_r)) \) of \( \phi_2(P, x, t_r) \) and \( \phi_3(P, x, t_r) \) are as follows:

\[ (\phi_2(P, x, t_r)) = \mathcal{D}_{\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_{m-2}}(P) - \mathcal{D}_{\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_{m-2}}(P), \]  
\text{(129)}

\[ (\phi_3(P, x, t_r)) = \mathcal{D}_{\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_{m-2}}(P) - \mathcal{D}_{\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_{m-2}}(P). \]  
\text{(130)}

Further properties of \( \phi_2(P, x, t_r) \) and \( \phi_3(P, x, t_r) \) can be presented, similar to (55)–(63), replacing \( x \) with \( (x, t_r) \), \( (P, x) \) with \( (P, x, t_r) \), etc. The four important ones of that are given as follows:

\[ \phi_{2,3}(P, x, t_r) + u_1(x, t_r)\phi_2^2(P, x, t_r) + \phi_2(P, x, t_r)\phi_3(P, x, t_r) + 2i\lambda\phi_2(P, x, t_r) - \phi_3(P, x, t_r) - u_2(x, t_r) = 0, \]  
\text{(131)}

\[ \phi_{3,2}(P, x, t_r) + \phi_3^2(P, x, t_r) + u_1(x, t_r)\phi_2(P, x, t_r)\phi_3(P, x, t_r) - i\lambda\phi_3(P, x, t_r) - \phi_2(P, x, t_r) - 1 = 0, \]  
\text{(132)}
\[ \phi_{2,1}(P, x, t_r) = \bar{V}_{21}^{(r)}(x, t_r) + \left( \bar{V}_{22}^{(r)}(x, t_r) - \bar{V}_{11}^{(r)}(x, t_r) \right) \]
\[ - \bar{V}_{12}^{(r)}(x, t_r) \phi_2(P, x, t_r) - \bar{V}_{13}^{(r)}(x, t_r) - \bar{V}_{2}^{(r)}(x, t_r) \phi_2(P, x, t_r), \]
\[ \phi_{3,1}(P, x, t_r) = \bar{V}_{31}^{(r)}(x, t_r) + \left( \bar{V}_{32}^{(r)}(x, t_r) - \bar{V}_{11}^{(r)}(x, t_r) \right) \]
\[ - \bar{V}_{13}^{(r)}(x, t_r) \phi_3(P, x, t_r) - \bar{V}_{12}^{(r)}(x, t_r) - \bar{V}_{3}^{(r)}(x, t_r) \phi_2(P, x, t_r), \]
\[ \text{(131)} \]

**Lemma 5.** Assume (116) and (117) and let \((\lambda, x, t_r) \in \mathbb{C}^3\). Then,
\[ E_{m-2,1}(\lambda, x, t_r) = E_{m-2,2}(\lambda, x, t_r) \frac{V_{21}^{(n)}(x, t_r)}{u_2 V_{11}^{(n)} - u_1 V_{21}^{(n)}} \]
\[ + E_{m-2} \left[ 3 \left( \bar{V}_{21}^{(r)} - \frac{u_1 \bar{V}_{12}^{(r)} - u_2 \bar{V}_{22}^{(r)}}{u_1 V_{11}^{(n)} - u_2 V_{21}^{(n)}} \right) - i \lambda \frac{V_{23}^{(n)} \bar{V}_{12}^{(r)} - V_{12}^{(n)} \bar{V}_{23}^{(r)}}{u_1 V_{11}^{(n)} - u_2 V_{21}^{(n)}} \right], \]
\[ \text{(132)} \]
\[ F_{m-2,1}(\lambda, x, t_r) = F_{m-2,2}(\lambda, x, t_r) \frac{\bar{V}_{21}^{(r)}}{u_2 V_{21}^{(n)}} + F_{m-2,2}(\lambda, x, t_r) \]
\[ - 3 \left( \bar{V}_{22}^{(r)} - \frac{u_2 \bar{V}_{22}^{(r)}}{u_2 V_{21}^{(n)}} \right) + 5 i \lambda \frac{V_{23}^{(n)} \bar{V}_{22}^{(r)} - V_{12}^{(n)} \bar{V}_{23}^{(r)}}{u_2 V_{21}^{(n)}}, \]
\[ \text{(133)} \]
\[ \mathcal{F}_{m-2,1}(\lambda, x, t_r) = \mathcal{F}_{m-2,2}(\lambda, x, t_r) \frac{V_{31}^{(n)} \bar{V}_{32}^{(r)} - V_{21}^{(n)} \bar{V}_{32}^{(r)}}{V_{31}^{(n)} - V_{32}^{(n)}} \]
\[ + \mathcal{F}_{m-2,2}(\lambda, x, t_r) \frac{V_{33}^{(n)} \bar{V}_{32}^{(r)} - V_{32}^{(n)} \bar{V}_{33}^{(r)}}{V_{31}^{(n)} - V_{32}^{(n)}}, \]
\[ \text{(134)} \]

**Proof.** Differentiating (59) (by replacing \((x, t_r)\) with respect to \(t_r\), we get
\[ \left( \frac{E_{m-2,1}}{E_{m-2,2}} \right)_{t_r} = \partial_x \partial_{t_r} \left( \ln E_{m-2,1}\right) \]
\[ = \left[ u_1 (\phi_2 + \phi_2^* + \phi_2^* \phi_1^*) + \phi_2 + \phi_2^* + \phi_2^* \phi_1^* \right] \]
\[ = \left[ u_1 (\phi_2 + \phi_2^* + \phi_2^* \phi_1^*) + \phi_2 + \phi_2^* + \phi_2^* \phi_1^* \right], \]
\[ \text{(135)} \]

Without loss of generality, we take the integration constant as zero, then get
\[ \partial_t (\ln E_{m-2}(\lambda, x, t_r)) = \bar{V}_{12}^{(r)}(\phi_2 + \phi_2^* + \phi_2^* \phi_1^*) + \bar{V}_{13}^{(r)}(\phi_2 + \phi_2^* + \phi_2^* \phi_1^*) \]
\[ + 3 \bar{V}_{11}^{(r)} = \frac{E_{m-2,1}}{E_{m-2,2}} \left( \frac{\bar{V}^{(r)}_{12}/\lambda}{\bar{V}^{(r)}_{12}/\lambda} \right) \]
\[ + 3 \left( \bar{V}^{(r)}_{11} - \frac{u_1 \bar{V}^{(r)}_{12} - \bar{V}^{(r)}_{12}}{u_1 V_{11}^{(n)} - V_{12}^{(n)}} \right), \]
\[ \text{(136)} \]

which implies equation (132). Differentiating (55) (by replacing \((x, t_r)\) with respect to \(t_r\) and using (55), (60), and (63), we can deduce
\[ \frac{E_{m-2,1}}{E_{m-2,2}} = \phi_{2,1} \phi_{2,1}^* \phi_{2,1}^{*} \phi_{2,1}^{*} \phi_{2,1}^{*}, \phi_{2,1}^{*} \phi_{2,1}^{*} \phi_{2,1}^{*} \phi_{2,1}^{*} + \phi_{2,1}^{*} \phi_{2,1}^{*} \phi_{2,1}^{*} \phi_{2,1}^{*} \]
\[ + 3 \bar{V}_{11}^{(r)} = \frac{E_{m-2,1}}{E_{m-2,2}} \left( \frac{\bar{V}^{(r)}_{12}/\lambda}{\bar{V}^{(r)}_{12}/\lambda} \right) \]
\[ + 3 \left( \bar{V}^{(r)}_{11} - \frac{u_1 \bar{V}^{(r)}_{12} - \bar{V}^{(r)}_{12}}{u_1 V_{11}^{(n)} - V_{12}^{(n)}} \right), \]
\[ \text{(137)} \]

Thus, we prove the expression (133). The last one can be proved in the same way.

We present some properties of \(\phi_{1}(P, x, x_0, t_r, t_{0,r})\) as follows.

**Lemma 6.** Assume (116) and (122), \(P = (\lambda, y) \in \mathcal{F}_{m-2} \setminus \{P_{\infty}\}\), and let \((\lambda, x, x_0, t_r, t_{0,r}) \in \mathbb{C}^3\). Then,
\[ \psi_{1}(P, x, x_0, t_r, t_{0,r}) = \exp \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \left[ i \lambda + i t_r \phi_{1} (P, x', t_r) \right] dt', \]
\[ + \phi_{1} (P, x', t_r) \right] dt' + \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \left[ \bar{V}^{(r)}_{11} (\lambda, x_0, t') + \bar{V}^{(r)}_{12} (\lambda, x_0, t') \right] dt', \]
\[ \phi_{1}(P, x_0, t_r) \right] dt', \]
\[ \psi_{1}(P, x, x_0, t_r, t_{0,r}) \psi_{1}(P^*, x, x_0, t_r, t_{0,r}) \psi_{1}(P^{*}, x_0, t_r, t_{0,r}) \]
\[ = \frac{E_{m-2}(\lambda, x, t_r)}{E_{m-2}(\lambda, x_0, t_{0,r})} \exp (2i \lambda (x - x_0)). \]
\[ \text{(139)} \]
Similar to Lemma 1, the zeros \( \{\mu_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{m-2}, \{\nu_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{m-2}, \) and \( \{\xi_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{m-2} \) of \( E_{m-2}(\lambda, x), F_{m-2}(\lambda, x), \) and \( \mathcal{F}_m(\lambda, x) \) are described in terms of Dubrovin-type equations as follows.

**Lemma 7.**

(i) Assume the zeros \( \{\mu_j(x, t_r)\}_{j=1}^{m-2} \) of \( E_{m-2}(\lambda, x, t_r) \) remain distinct for \( (x, t_r) \in \Omega_\mu, \) where \( \Omega_\mu \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \) is open and connected. Then, \( \{\mu_j(x, t_r)\}_{j=1}^{m-2} \) satisfy the system of differential equations

\[
\mu_{j,\lambda}(x, t_r) = \frac{2 \left[ V_{21}^{(n)} \mu_{j}(x, t_r), x, t_r \right] - \mu_{1}(x, t_r) V_{23}^{(n)} \left( \mu_{j}(x, t_r), x, t_r \right)}{\left( \alpha_0 - \beta_0 \right) \left( \alpha_0 + 2 \beta_0 \right) \left( 2 \alpha_0 + \beta_0 \right) u_1(x, t_r) \prod_{k=1}^{m-2} \left( \mu_{j}(x, t_r) - \mu_k(x, t_r) \right)} \quad 1 \leq j \leq m-2,
\]

and connected. Then, \( \{\nu_j(x, t_r)\}_{j=1}^{m-2} \) satisfy the system of differential equations

\[
\nu_{j,\lambda}(x, t_r) = \frac{6 \left[ V_{21}^{(n)} \mu_{j}(x, t_r), x, t_r \right] - \mu_{1}(x, t_r) V_{23}^{(n)} \left( \mu_{j}(x, t_r), x, t_r \right)}{\left( \alpha_0 - \beta_0 \right) \left( \alpha_0 + 2 \beta_0 \right) \left( 2 \alpha_0 + \beta_0 \right) u_2(x, t_r) \prod_{k=1}^{m-2} \left( \mu_{j}(x, t_r) - \mu_k(x, t_r) \right)} \quad 1 \leq j \leq m-2,
\]

(ii) Assume the zeros \( \{\nu_j(x, t_r)\}_{j=1}^{m-2} \) of \( E_{m-2}(\lambda, x, t_r) \) remain distinct for \( (x, t_r) \in \Omega_\nu, \) where \( \Omega_\nu \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \) is open and connected. Then, \( \{\nu_j(x, t_r)\}_{j=1}^{m-2} \) satisfy the system of differential equations

\[
\xi_{j,\lambda}(x, t_r) = \frac{-3 \left[ V_{31}^{(n)} \xi_{j}(x, t_r), x, t_r \right] - \mu_{1}(x, t_r) V_{33}^{(n)} \left( \xi_{j}(x, t_r), x, t_r \right)}{\left( \alpha_0 - \beta_0 \right) \left( \alpha_0 + 2 \beta_0 \right) \left( 2 \alpha_0 + \beta_0 \right) u_1(x, t_r) \prod_{k=1}^{m-2} \left( \xi_{j}(x, t_r) - \xi_k(x, t_r) \right)} \quad 1 \leq j \leq m-2,
\]

(iii) Assume the zeros \( \{\xi_j(x, t_r)\}_{j=1}^{m-2} \) of \( \mathcal{F}_m(\lambda, x, t_r) \) remain distinct for \( (x, t_r) \in \Omega_\xi, \) where \( \Omega_\xi \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \) is open and connected. Then, \( \{\xi_j(x, t_r)\}_{j=1}^{m-2} \) satisfy the system of differential equations

\[
\xi_{j,\lambda}(x, t_r) = \frac{-3 \left[ V_{31}^{(n)} \xi_{j}(x, t_r), x, t_r \right] - \mu_{1}(x, t_r) V_{33}^{(n)} \left( \xi_{j}(x, t_r), x, t_r \right)}{\left( \alpha_0 - \beta_0 \right) \left( \alpha_0 + 2 \beta_0 \right) \left( 2 \alpha_0 + \beta_0 \right) u_2(x, t_r) \prod_{k=1}^{m-2} \left( \xi_{j}(x, t_r) - \xi_k(x, t_r) \right)} \quad 1 \leq j \leq m-2.
\]
with materials and the corresponding homogeneous cases
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where \( \kappa_{1,j-1} \) and \( \chi_{1,j} \) are defined in (74) and (75). Substituting (155) and (156) into (154) and comparing the same powers of \( \zeta \) yield

\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{1,j} &= \left( u_1 \kappa_{1,j} + \chi_{1,j} \right) _{t_r}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots , \\
\zeta_{10} &= \left( u_1 \kappa_{1,0} + \chi_{1,0} \right) _{t_r} = \left[ \frac{2i}{u_1} \bar{V}^{(1,0)} \right] , \\
\zeta_{11} &= \left( u_1 \kappa_{1,1} + \chi_{1,1} \right) _{t_r} = \left[ \frac{-2i}{u_1} \bar{V}^{(1,0)} - \frac{u_{1x}}{u_1^2} V^{(1,0)} \right] , \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{3u_1} \left( \bar{V}^{(1,0)} - \frac{1}{u_1} \bar{V}^{(1,0)} + \frac{2i}{u_1} \bar{V}^{(1,0)} \right) ,
\end{align*}
\]

(157)

from which it can be inferred

\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{10}(x, t_r) &= \epsilon_{1,0}(t_r) + \frac{2i}{u_1} \bar{V}^{(1,0)} , \\
\zeta_{11}(x, t_r) &= \epsilon_{1,1}(t_r) - \bar{V}^{(1,0)} - \frac{u_{1x}}{u_1^2} V^{(1,0)} , \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{3u_1} \left( \bar{V}^{(1,0)} - \frac{1}{u_1} \bar{V}^{(1,0)} + \frac{2i}{u_1} \bar{V}^{(1,0)} \right) ,
\end{align*}
\]

(158)

where \( \epsilon_{1,0}(t_r) \) and \( \epsilon_{1,1}(t_r) \) are integration constants. We find that the coefficients of the power series for \( \phi_2(P, x, t_r), \phi_3(P, x, t_r) \) near \( P_{\infty} \) and the coefficients of the homogeneous polynomials \( \bar{V}^{(1,0)} \) are differential polynomials in \( u \), with no arbitrary integration constants in their construction, and the definition of \( \bar{V}^{(1,0)} \), it follows that it also can have no arbitrary integration constants and must consist purely of differential polynomials in \( u \), and \( \epsilon_{1,0}(t_r) = \epsilon_{1,1}(t_r) = 0 \). Therefore, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{I}^{(1,0)}(P, x, t_r) &= \zeta^{-r} + \frac{2i}{u_1} V^{12,x} - \left( \frac{e^{(1,0)}}{V^{11,x}} + \frac{u_{1x}}{u_1^2} \right) V^{12,x} \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{3u_1} \left( \frac{e^{(1,0)}}{V^{13,x}} - \frac{1}{u_1} \frac{e^{(1,0)}}{V^{12,x}} - \frac{2i}{u_1} \frac{e^{(1,0)}}{V^{12,x+1}} \right) \zeta \\
&\quad + O(\zeta^2) \quad \text{as} \; P \rightarrow P_{\infty} ,
\end{align*}
\]

(159)

On the other hand, we find

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{I}^{(1,0)}(P, x, t_r) &= \bar{V}^{11,x} + \bar{V}^{(1,0)} \phi_2 + \bar{V}^{12,x} \phi_3 \\
&\quad = \zeta^{-r} \phi_2^{(1,0)} + \bar{V}^{11,x} + \bar{V}^{12,x} \phi_2 + \bar{V}^{13,x} \phi_3 \\
&\quad = \zeta^{-r-1} + \frac{2i}{u_1} V^{12,x+1} + O(\zeta^2) \quad \text{as} \; P \rightarrow P_{\infty} ,
\end{align*}
\]

(160)

(ii) When \( P \rightarrow P_{\infty} \), by analysing (153), one can assume that \( \bar{I}^{(1,0)}(P, x, t_r) \) has the following form:

\[
\bar{I}^{(1,0)}(P, x, t_r) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \zeta_{2,j}(x, t_r) \zeta^j , \quad \text{as} \; P \rightarrow P_{\infty} ,
\]

(161)

for some coefficients \( \{ \zeta_{2,j}(x, t_r) \} _{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \). Suppose that \( (P \rightarrow P_{\infty}) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_2 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \zeta_{2,j} , \\
\phi_3 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \chi_{2,j} \zeta^{j-1} ,
\end{align*}
\]

(162)

where \( \zeta_{2,j} \) and \( \chi_{2,j-1} \) are defined in (74) and (75). Inserting (161) and (162) into (154) and comparing the same powers of \( \zeta \) imply

\[
\zeta_{2,j} = \left( u_1 \kappa_{2,j} + \chi_{2,j} \right) _{t_r}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots ,
\]

\[
\zeta_{21} = \left( u_1 \kappa_{2,1} + \chi_{2,1} \right) _{t_r} = \left[ -i \bar{V}^{13,x} \right] ,
\]

(163)

from which it can be inferred

\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{20} &= \left( u_1 \kappa_{2,0} + \chi_{2,0} \right) _{t_r} = \left[ -i \bar{V}^{13,x} \right] , \\
\zeta_{21} &= \left( u_1 \kappa_{2,1} + \chi_{2,1} \right) _{t_r} = \left[ -i \bar{V}^{13,x} \right] ,
\end{align*}
\]

(164)

where \( \zeta_{2,0}(t_r) \) and \( \zeta_{2,1}(t_r) \) are integration constants. Managed together, we find that \( \zeta_{2,0}(t_r) = \zeta_{2,1}(t_r) = 0 \). Then,

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{I}^{(1,0)}(P, x, t_r) &= -i \bar{V}^{13} - \frac{1}{3} \left( \bar{V}^{12} - u_1 \bar{V}^{11} \right) \\
&\quad + i \bar{V}^{13} \zeta + O(\zeta^2) \quad \text{as} \; P \rightarrow P_{\infty} .
\end{align*}
\]

(165)
On the other hand, we get
\[
\tilde{I}_{r,1}^{(1,0)}(P, x, t_r) = \tilde{v}_{11}^{(r+1,1,0)} + \tilde{v}_{12}^{(r+1,1,0)} \phi_2 + \tilde{v}_{13}^{(r+1,1,0)} \phi_3
\]
\[
= \zeta^{-1}\tilde{V}_{11}^{(1,0)} + \tilde{V}_{11, r}^{(1,0)} \phi_2 + \tilde{V}_{13, r}^{(1,0)} \phi_3
\]
\[
= -i\tilde{V}_{13, r+1}^{(1,0)} + O(\zeta), \text{ as } P \rightarrow P_{\text{co} r}.
\]

(iii) When \(P \rightarrow P_{\text{co} r}\), in terms of (153), one can assume that \(\tilde{I}_{r,1}^{(1,0)}(P, x, t_r)\) has the following expansion:
\[
\tilde{I}_{r,1}^{(1,0)}(P, x, t_r) = \zeta^{-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \zeta_3(x, t_r) \tilde{r}_j, \text{ as } P \rightarrow P_{\text{co} r},
\]
for some coefficients \(\{\zeta_3(x, t_r)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\). Suppose that (as \(P \rightarrow P_{\text{co} r}\))
\[
\phi_2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \kappa_3 \tilde{r}_j,
\]
\[
\phi_3 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \chi_3 \tilde{r}_j,
\]
where \(\kappa_3\) and \(\chi_3\) are defined in (74) and (75). Substituting (167) and (168) into (154) and comparing the same powers of \(\zeta\) yield
\[
\zeta_{3,j}(x) = \left( u_1 \kappa_3 + \chi_3 \right)_{t_r}, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,
\]
\[
\zeta_{3,1}(x) = \left( u_1 \kappa_3 + \chi_3 \right)_{t_r} = -\tilde{V}_{11, r}^{(1,0)},
\]
from which one can infer
\[
\zeta_{3,1}(x, t_r) = \epsilon_{3,1}(t_r) - \tilde{V}_{11, r}^{(1,0)},
\]
where \(\epsilon_{3,1}(t_r)\) is an integration constant. Similarly, one can conclude \(\epsilon_{3,1}(t_r) = 0\). Therefore,
\[
I_{r,1}^{(1,0)}(P, x, t_r) = -\zeta^{-1} - \tilde{V}_{11, r}^{(1,0)} \zeta + O(\zeta^2), \text{ as } P \rightarrow P_{\text{co} r},
\]
On the other hand,
\[
\tilde{I}_{r,1}^{(1,0)}(P, x, t_r) = \tilde{v}_{11}^{(r+1,1,0)} + \tilde{v}_{12}^{(r+1,1,0)} \phi_2 + \tilde{v}_{13}^{(r+1,1,0)} \phi_3
\]
\[
= \zeta^{-1}\tilde{V}_{11}^{(1,0)} + \tilde{V}_{11, r}^{(1,0)} \phi_2 + \tilde{V}_{13, r}^{(1,0)} \phi_3
\]
\[
= -\tilde{V}_{13, r+1}^{(1,0)} + O(\zeta), \text{ as } P \rightarrow P_{\text{co} r}.
\]

Thus, we complete the proof of (151). Similarly, we can prove that (152) is right.
From (116), one infers that
\[
I_r(P, x, t_r) = \sum_{l=0}^{r} \alpha_{l,1} \tilde{I}_{r, l}^{(1,0)}(P, x, t_r) + \beta_{r,1} \tilde{I}_{r, r}^{(1,0)}(P, x, t_r).
\]
\[
(173)
\]

Therefore,
\[
\int_{t_r}^{t_r} I_r(P, x, t') dt' = \left( t_r - t_r \right) \sum_{l=0}^{r} \alpha_{l,1} \tilde{I}_{r, l}^{(1,0)} + O(\zeta), \text{ as } P \rightarrow P_{\text{co} r},
\]
\[
\int_{t_r}^{t_r} \beta_{r,1} \tilde{I}_{r, r}^{(1,0)} + O(\zeta), \text{ as } P \rightarrow P_{\text{co} r},
\]
\[
(174)
\]

Let \(\omega_{l,2}^{(2)}(j) \in \mathbb{N}, l = 1, 2, 3\), be the normalized differential of the second kind holomorphic on \(\mathbb{R}_{m-2} \setminus \{P_{\text{co} r}\}\) with a pole of order \(j\) at \(P_{\text{co} r}\),
\[
\omega_{l,2}^{(2)}(P) = \left( \zeta^{-j} + O(1) \right) d\zeta, \text{ as } P \rightarrow P_{\text{co} r}.
\]
\[
(175)
\]

Furthermore, we define the normalized differential of the second kind by
\[
\tilde{Q}_{r,1}^{(2)}(P) = \sum_{l=0}^{r} \alpha_{l,2} \omega_{l,2}^{(2)}(P) + \sum_{l=0}^{r} \beta_{l,2} \omega_{l,2}^{(2)}(P),
\]
\[
(176)
\]

In addition, we define the vector of \(b\)-periods of the differential of the second kind \(\tilde{U}_{r,1}^{(2)}\),
\[
\tilde{U}_{r,1, k}^{(2)} = \left( \tilde{U}_{r,1, k+1}, \ldots, \tilde{U}_{r,1, m-2} \right),
\]
\[
(177)
\]

Integrating Equation (176) gives rise to
\[
\int_{Q_{0,1}}^{P} \tilde{Q}_{r,1}^{(2)} = \left( -\sum_{l=0}^{r} \alpha_{l,2} \tilde{r}_l^{(2)}(Q_0) + O(\zeta), \text{ as } P \rightarrow P_{\text{co} r},
\]
\[
(178)
\]
where \( \epsilon_1^{(2)}(Q_0), \epsilon_2^{(2)}(Q_0), \epsilon_3^{(2)}(Q_0) \) are constants.

Given these results, the theta function representations of \( \phi_j(P, x, t_r) \), \( \psi_j(P, x, t_r) \), and \( u_j(P, x, x_0, t, t_0) \), particularly, the algebro-geometric solutions of CCIRD hierarchy, are shown as follows.

**Theorem 9.** Assume that the curve \( \mathcal{K}_{m-2} \) is nonsingular. Let \( \Lambda = (\lambda, \gamma) \in \mathcal{K}_{m-2} \setminus \{P_{\text{co}}, P_{\text{co'}}, P_{\text{co''}}\} \) and let \( (x, x_0, t, t_0) \in \mathbb{C}^4 \). Suppose that \( \Sigma_\mu^{(x, t_r)} \) or \( \Sigma_{\nu}^{(x, t_r)} \) or \( \Sigma_{\sigma}^{(x, t_r)} \) is nonspecial. Then,

\[
\phi_j(P, x, t_r) = N_j(x, x_0, t_0) \frac{\theta(z(P, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))}{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))} \frac{\theta(z(P, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))}{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))} \times \exp \left( \int_0^r a_{j_{\mu, P_{\text{co}}}^\mu}^{(2)}(x, t_r) \left( \epsilon_1^{(2)}(Q_0) - \epsilon_2^{(2)}(Q_0) \right) x + \left( \epsilon_3^{(2)}(Q_0) - \epsilon_1^{(2)}(Q_0) \right) t_r \right) \tag{179}
\]

\[
\psi_j(P, x, x_0, t_0, t_0) = N_j(x, x_0, t_0) \frac{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))}{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))} \frac{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))}{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))} \times \exp \left( \int_0^r b_{j_{\mu, P_{\text{co}}}^\mu}^{(2)}(x, t_r) \left( \epsilon_1^{(2)}(Q_0) - \epsilon_2^{(2)}(Q_0) \right) x + \left( \epsilon_3^{(2)}(Q_0) - \epsilon_1^{(2)}(Q_0) \right) t_r \right) \tag{180}
\]

\[
\psi_j(P, x, x_0, t_0, t_0) = N_j(x, x_0, t_0) \frac{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))}{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))} \frac{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))}{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))} \times \exp \left( \int_0^r c_{j_{\mu, P_{\text{co}}}^\mu}^{(2)}(x, t_r) \left( \epsilon_1^{(2)}(Q_0) - \epsilon_2^{(2)}(Q_0) \right) x + \left( \epsilon_3^{(2)}(Q_0) - \epsilon_1^{(2)}(Q_0) \right) t_r \right) \tag{181}
\]

\[
N_j(x, x_0, t_0) = -\frac{2i}{\mu_j(x_0, t_0)} \frac{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))}{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))} \times \exp \left( \int_0^r d_{j_{\mu, P_{\text{co}}}^\mu}^{(2)}(x, t_r) \left( \epsilon_1^{(2)}(Q_0) - \epsilon_2^{(2)}(Q_0) \right) x + \left( \epsilon_3^{(2)}(Q_0) - \epsilon_1^{(2)}(Q_0) \right) t_r \right) \tag{182}
\]

Finally, the theta representations of \( u_1(x, t_r) \) and \( u_2(x, t_r) \) read

\[
u_1(x, t_r) = \frac{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))}{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))} \frac{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))}{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))} \times \exp \left( \int_0^r e_{j_{\mu, P_{\text{co}}}^\mu}^{(2)}(x, t_r) \left( \epsilon_1^{(2)}(Q_0) - \epsilon_2^{(2)}(Q_0) \right) x + \left( \epsilon_3^{(2)}(Q_0) - \epsilon_1^{(2)}(Q_0) \right) (t - t_0) \right) \tag{184}
\]

\[
u_2(x, t_r) = \frac{4}{u_j(x_0, t_0)} \frac{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))}{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))} \frac{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))}{\theta(z(P_{\text{co}}, \tilde{\phi}(x, t_r)))} \times \exp \left( \int_0^r f_{j_{\mu, P_{\text{co}}}^\mu}^{(2)}(x, t_r) \left( \epsilon_1^{(2)}(Q_0) - \epsilon_2^{(2)}(Q_0) \right) x + \left( \epsilon_3^{(2)}(Q_0) - \epsilon_1^{(2)}(Q_0) \right) (t - t_0) \right) \tag{185}
\]

**Proof.** The proof of (179), (180), and (182)–(185) is similar to that of Theorem 4, so we only need to prove (181). Let \( \Psi_j \) be defined by the right-hand side of (181). We want to prove that \( \Psi_j = \Psi_j \) with \( \Psi_j \) given by (183). Then, we compute by using (124) and (125) that

\[
i \lambda + u_j(x, t_r) \phi_j(P, x, t_r) + \phi_j(P, x, t_r)
\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
i \lambda + u_j(x, t_r) \phi_j(P, x, t_r) + \phi_j(P, x, t_r)
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
i \lambda + u_j(x, t_r) \phi_j(P, x, t_r) + \phi_j(P, x, t_r)
\end{aligned}
\]
Then,
\[ \psi_1(P, x, x_0, t, t_{0,r}) = \exp \left( \int_{x_0}^{P} i\lambda + u_1(x', t') \phi_2(P, x', t') \right. \]
\[ + \phi_3(P, x_0, t') \right) \text{d}x' + \left( \int_{x_0}^{P} \left( \tilde{v}_{11}^{(s)}(x, x_0, t') + \tilde{v}_{12}^{(s)}(x, x_0, t') \right) \text{d}t' \right) \]
\[ = \frac{\lambda - \mu(x, t)}{\lambda - \mu(x_0, t_0)} O(1) \]
\[ = \frac{\lambda - \mu(x, t)}{\lambda - \mu(x_0, t_0)} O(1), \quad \text{for } P \text{ near } \tilde{\mu}(x), \]
\[ = \frac{\lambda - \mu(x_0, t_0)}{\lambda - \mu(x_0, t_0)} O(1), \quad \text{for } P \text{ near } \tilde{\mu}(x_0), \]
\[ = \frac{\lambda - \mu(x_0, t_0)}{\lambda - \mu(x_0, t_0)} O(1), \quad \text{for } P \text{ near } \tilde{\mu}(x_0, t_0), \]
\[ = \frac{\lambda - \mu(x_0, t_0)}{\lambda - \mu(x_0, t_0)} O(1), \quad \text{for } P \text{ near } \tilde{\mu}(x_0, t_0), \]
\[ (187) \]

where \( O(1) \neq 0 \). Consequently, all zeros and poles of \( \psi_1 \) and \( \Psi_1 \) on \( \mathcal{M}_{-2} \setminus \{ P_{C_0}, P_{C_1}, P_{C_2} \} \) are simple and coincident. Similar to Theorem 4, one can find that \( \psi_1 \) and \( \Psi_1 \) have the same essential singularities at \( P_{C_0}, P_{C_1}, P_{C_2} \). Then, the Riemann-Roch uniqueness results in \( \psi_1 = \psi_1 \).

We can explicitly rewrite \( \theta(\bar{z}(P_{C_0}, \tilde{\mu}(x, t))), \theta(\bar{z}(P_{C_0}, \tilde{\mu}(x, t))) \) as
\[ \theta(\bar{z}(P_{C_0}, \tilde{\mu}(x, t))) = \theta(M_{1}^{(s)} + U_{2}^{(s)} x + U_{r}^{(s)} t), \]
\[ \theta(\bar{z}(P_{C_0}, \tilde{\mu}(x, t))) = \theta(M_{2}^{(s)} + U_{2}^{(s)} x + U_{r}^{(s)} t), \]
\[ \theta(\bar{z}(P_{C_0}, \tilde{\mu}(x, t))) = \theta(M_{3}^{(s)} + U_{2}^{(s)} x + U_{r}^{(s)} t), \]
\[ (188) \]

where
\[ M_{j}^{(s)} = M - \mathcal{A}(P_{C_0}) + \phi^{(s)}(x_0, t_0) - U_{2}^{(s)} x_0 - U_{r}^{(s)} t_0, j = 1, 2, 3, s = 1, 2, 3, \]
with the aid of the following theorem.

**Theorem 10.** Assume that the curve \( \mathcal{M}_{-2} \) is nonsingular and let \( (x, t), (x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \). Then,
\[ \rho^{(1)}(x, t) = \rho^{(1)}(x_0, t_0) + U_{2}^{(1)}(x - x_0) + U_{r}^{(1)}(t - t_0), \]
\[ \rho^{(2)}(x, t) = \rho^{(2)}(x_0, t_0) + U_{2}^{(2)}(x - x_0) + U_{r}^{(2)}(t - t_0), \]
\[ \rho^{(3)}(x, t) = \rho^{(3)}(x_0, t_0) + U_{2}^{(3)}(x - x_0) + U_{r}^{(3)}(t - t_0). \]
\[ (189) \]

**Proof.** First, we consider a meromorphic differential
\[ \Omega(x, x_0, t, t_0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \ln (\psi_1(P, x, x_0, t, t_0)) \text{d}\lambda. \]
\[ (190) \]

From the representation (180), we have
\[ \Omega(x, x_0, t, t_0) = -(x - x_0) \Omega^{(2)}(t - t_0, t_0) + \tilde{\omega}, \]
\[ (191) \]

where \( \tilde{\omega} \) denotes a holomorphic differential on \( \mathcal{M}_{-2} \), that is, \( \tilde{\omega} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \omega_j, e_j \) for some \( e_j \in \mathbb{C}, j = 1, \ldots, m - 2 \). Because \( \psi_1(P, x, x_0, t, t_0) \) is single-valued on \( \mathcal{M}_{-2} \), all a- and b- periods of \( \Omega \) are integer multiples of \( 2\pi i \), and hence,
\[ 2\pi i m_k = \int_{\theta} \Omega(x, x_0, t, t_0) = \int_{\theta} \tilde{\omega} = \tilde{e}_k, \quad k = 1, \ldots, m - 2, \]
\[ (192) \]

for some \( m_k \in \mathbb{Z} \). Similarly, for some \( n_k \in \mathbb{Z} \),
\[ 2\pi i n_k = \int_{\theta} \Omega(x, x_0, t, t_0) = -(x - x_0) \int_{\theta} \Omega^{(2)}(t - t_0, t_0), \]
\[ (193) \]

in terms of
\[ \int_{\theta} \omega^{(3)}(x_0, t_0) = 2\pi i \int_{Q_s} \omega^{(3)}(x_0, t_0), \quad k = 1, \ldots, m - 1. \]
\[ (194) \]

By symmetry of \( \tau \), this is equivalent to \( (x, t) \in \Omega_{\mu} \).

\[ \rho^{(1)}(x, t) = \rho^{(1)}(x_0, t_0) + U_{2}^{(1)}(x - x_0) + U_{r}^{(1)}(t - t_0). \]
\[ (195) \]

Using this equality and the linear equivalence \( \mathcal{D}_{P_{C_0}} \tilde{u}(x, t) \sim \mathcal{D}_{P_{C_0}} \omega^{(2)}(x, t) \), and \( \mathcal{D}_{P_{C_0}} \tilde{u}(x, t) \sim \mathcal{D}_{P_{C_0}} \omega^{(3)}(x, t) \), that is,
\[ \mathcal{A}(P_{C_0}) + \rho^{(1)}(x, t) = \mathcal{A}(P_{C_0}) + \rho^{(2)}(x, t), \]
\[ \mathcal{A}(P_{C_0}) + \rho^{(1)}(x, t) = \mathcal{A}(P_{C_0}) + \rho^{(3)}(x, t), \]
\[ (196) \]
we can present the other two equalities

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_{3}^{(2)}(x, t_{r}) &= \rho_{3}^{(2)}(x_{0}, t_{0,r}) + U_{2}^{(2)}(x - x_{0}) + \dot{U}_{rr}^{(2)}(t_{r} - t_{0,r}), \\
\rho_{3}^{(3)}(x, t_{r}) &= \rho_{3}^{(3)}(x_{0}, t_{0,r}) + U_{2}^{(2)}(x - x_{0}) + \dot{U}_{rr}^{(2)}(t_{r} - t_{0,r}).
\end{align*}
\]

(197)

6. Conclusions

In this paper, firstly we obtain the CCIRD hierarchy related to a $3 \times 3$ matrix spectral problem based on the Lenard recursion equations, and a trigonal curve $\cal H_{m-2}$ of arithmetic genus $m - 2$ with three infinite points is introduced by using the characteristic polynomial of Lax matrix for the stationary CCIRD equations, from which the stationary Baker-Akhiezer function and associated meromorphic functions are given on $\cal H_{m-2}$. Then, the stationary CCIRD equations are decomposed into the system of Dubrovin-type ordinary differential equations. Furthermore, we present the explicit theta function representations of the stationary Baker-Akhiezer function, of the meromorphic functions, and, in particular, of the potentials for the entire stationary CCIRD hierarchy. Finally, we extend all the Baker-Akhiezer function, the meromorphic functions, the Dubrovin-type equations, and the theta function representations dealt with in Sections 3 and 4 to the time-dependent case. The technology presented in this paper can be applied to other hierarchies related to $3 \times 3$ matrix spectral problems, to get more various algebro-geometric solutions, which will enrich and supplement the known results.
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