Biophotonics is not a standard word listed in a classical dictionary. The meaning of “biophotonics” is essentially the use of photonic technologies to detect and perhaps to control a biological phenomenon or event, at different levels ranging from molecules, cells, tissue, organisms, to intact animals and even human bodies (Yeh and Krishnan, 2018). The field of biophotonics is not well defined because it is a multi-disciplinary research field at the intersection of physics, biology, chemistry, medicine and engineering (Tsia, 2016; Vo-Dinh, 2014; Wang and Tuchin, 2016). As alternatives to biophotonics, the term “biomedical photonics” and “biomedical optics” have also been popularly used, with no exact distinction among them (Vo-Dinh, 2014).

On the other hand, the precise meanings of the term optics and photonics are not clear, at least to some extent. Some researchers think that photonics include topics that rely on the interaction of light and matter, while optics is used to study light propagation in both free-space and medium (Saleh and Teich, 2019). Other researchers may consider photonics as a field that involves the energy from the entire electromagnetic spectrum, while optics deals only with a particular type of light radiation that can be seen by the human eye (Vo-Dinh, 2014). After considering the multi-disciplinary nature of biophotonics, we tend to agree with the former opinion, because it provides more room and opportunities for the blooming of biophotonics.

The field of Biophotonics probably began with two Dutch spectacle makers, Hans Jansen and his son Zacharias, who were recognized as the inventors of the first compound microscope in 1595; however, they left no publications describing any observations from their microscope (https://www.nature.com/milestones/milelight/timeline.html). Seventy years later, in 1665, Robert Hooke, who was a Fellow of the Royal Society in London and thus truly a scientist, published a historic book called Micrographia, where he described the modern microscope he refined, including a stage, a light source and three optical lenses, and illustrated many beautiful structures he observed using the microscope. Micrographia demonstrated for the first time what light microscopy could do for science, and inspired a wide public interest in the field of light microscopy (https://www.nature.com/milestones/milelight/timeline.html). However, due to the spherical and chromatic aberration in the compound microscope, many researchers at that time preferred to use simple microscope before achromatic lenses were widely used in the early 19th century (https://www.nature.com/milestones/milelight/timeline.html). And, because simple microscope could resolve detail as small as 1 mm using only a tiny convex lens, they had been popularly used in parallel with compound microscope for a long period of time. Interestingly, although not the first creator of microscopes, the Dutch draper Antonie van Leeuwenhoek constructed more than 500 simple microscopes, which laid the foundation of modern cell biology (Croft, 2006).

Another milestone that nobody would ignore in the early history of light microscopy is the publication of a landmark
paper by Ernst Abbe in 1873. Although no mathematical equations actually appeared in this paper, Abbe reported there the resolution limit of light microscopy—half the wavelength of the imaging light. This limit has been widely accepted for a long time until the invention of super-resolution microscopy in the early 2000s. In this and the following papers, Abbe provided quantitative insights into the image formation and lens aberrations in light microscopy, which pushed forward the proper design of microscope lenses. In collaboration with Carl Zeiss and Otto Schott in Jena, Abbe also made systematic improvements in the production of commercial light microscope in the 19th century (https://www.nature.com/milestones/milelight/timeline.html).

Improving the image contrast of light microscopy (especially bright-field microscopy) has been a long-lasting task. Although Robert Hooke and many others reported their accidental observations on the appearance of a stained object under a light microscope, Joseph von Gerlach deserves credit for recognizing the power of differential staining and for describing his staining method carefully in 1858 (https://www.nature.com/milestones/milelight/timeline.html). And, the development and use of new stains and synthetic dyes in the late 1800s and early 1900s significantly pushed forward the image contrast of bright-field microscopy, and enabled the discovery of many cytological phenomena. For example, the use of silver staining in cytology, pioneered by Camillo Golgi and popularized by Santiago Ramón y Cajal, opened new opportunities in neuroanatomical observations, while the haematoxylin and eosin stain developed by Paul Mayer in 1896 became a key diagnostic method in current clinical laboratory (https://www.nature.com/milestones/milelight/timeline.html).

Scientists had been trying many other ways to improve the image contrast of light microscopy (https://www.nature.com/milestones/milelight/timeline.html). The physicist Oskar Heimstadt successfully constructed the first fluorescence microscope in 1911, shortly after the observation by August Kohler who, in 1904, found that some objects emitted light of longer wavelength when illuminated with UV light. In 1914, Stanislav von Prozvacek reported the first use of a fluorescent dye as a stain to enhance the autofluorescence of cells and tissues. The German pharmacologist Philipp Elßinger and anatomist August Hirt invented the first epi-fluorescence microscope in 1929, and used it to image kidney and liver tissue in rodents injected with fluorescent dyes. In 1942, Albert Coons and coworkers successfully obtained bright fluorescent antibodies without disturbing their antigen-binding properties, which started the era of immunofluorescence microscopy (https://www.nature.com/milestones/milelight/timeline.html).

Fluorescence could provide infinite contrast if the right imaging conditions are used. With the invention of dichromatic mirrors in 1967, and more importantly the lasers in 1960s as the powerful light source, many advanced fluorescence microscopy techniques had been invented and/or upgraded (https://www.nature.com/milestones/milelight/timeline.html), including confocal microscopy (constructed in 1955 (Croft, 2006), patented in 1957 (Pawley, 2013), granted in 1961 (https://www.nature.com/milestones/milelight/timeline.html), Forster (or fluorescence) resonance energy transfer microscopy in 1976, total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRF) in 1981, deconvolution microscopy in 1983, two-photon microscopy in 1990, light sheet microscopy and near-field scanning optical microscopy in 1993, as well as the successful implementation of stimulated emission-depletion (STED) microscope in 2000. Note that STED opens a new era of super-resolution microscopy. In addition to the invention of various fluorescence microscopy techniques, other approaches not relying on fluorescence as the contrast were also invented and popularly used, including but not limited to phase contrast microscopy in 1935, polarization microscopy in 1939, and differential interference contrast microscopy in 1955 (https://www.nature.com/milestones/milelight/timeline.html).

It should be mentioned that many useful technologies developed for other applications have significantly pushed the advances of light microscopy by providing upgrades for its components. The most famous examples include the lasers for the light source, the charge-coupled device (CCD) cameras for the detector, the micro-electronic circuitries (microchips) for system control, and the computer for the design and manufacture of optics and image processing (Vo-Dinh, 2014). Furthermore, although the principle and basic design of light microscopy has remained almost constant for more than a century (Piston, 2009), the performance of various light microscopy techniques has been steadily improved in response to the practical needs from different application fields. For example, to study the structure-function relationship of the nervous system, which is important in the field of neuroscience, a large amount of innovations in biophotonics have been proposed (Lichtman and Denk, 2011). One amazing example is the development of calcium imaging technique for detecting a fast event called action potential. Action potential is an explosion of electrical activity that sends out information from one part of a neuron to a distant site, and direct detection of action potentials from many neuron cells simultaneously would be a big challenge because action potentials occur typically only in several milliseconds (Grienberger and Konnerth, 2012). After the continuous efforts in the development of genetically encoded calcium indicators (Dana et al., 2016; Lin and Schnitzer, 2016) and in vivo two-photon microscopy (Cheng et al., 2011; Sofroniew et al., 2016), calcium imaging can be now routinely performed in vivo and in awake animals. Intensive efforts have also been made to solve another big challenge in
neuroscience—mapping neural connectivity. It is known that synaptic connections among neurons are distributed through branches in two important components called axons and dendrites, and the length of all the branches of a neuron (for example, pyramidal cell) may exceed a centimeter in a mouse. If one wants to figure out the 3D projection of a neuron in a mouse brain, the imaging would need to be performed at very high resolution (to identify all the fine branches) and a large sample size (to collect all branches). Luo and his team have been focusing on whole-brain optical imaging technologies for more than 10 years, and finally developed a complete technical system for visualizing brain-wide networks of neuronal connectivity. Recently, by combining fluorescence micro-optical sectioning tomography (MOST) technique with monosynaptic rabies viral tracers, they mapped long-range inputs to GABAergic interneurons in the mouse medial prefrontal cortex.

Here we present a brief survey of the history and some important milestones in light microscopy, which is one of the most valuable biophotonic techniques; however, we should remember that biophotonic technologies and methods include not only light microscopy. However, due to the limited space in this special topic, we do not have a chance to give a survey of other important biophotonic techniques, including but not limited to spectroscopy, sensing and manipulation, which have surely made a major impact on biophotonics. To give a sense of such an impact, optogenetics, a method that combines optics and genetics to control the activities of cells or even live animals, completely changes the way neuroscientists think about biophotonic manipulation. Another great example is optical tweezers, which use optical forces to provide a precise manipulation and measurement on the forces and displacements of small particles and macromolecules in live cells. Note that the work of optical tweezers have been awarded the Nobel Prize of Physics twice. Regarding the clinical applications of biophotonic techniques, optical coherence tomography (OCT) has become the golden standard in ophthalmology by providing high-resolution 3D images of the retina, the retinal nerve fiber layer and the optic nerve head, which help to detect and manage retinal diseases. Additionally, although sometimes Biophotonics is considered to cover only applications in life sciences and fundamental biomedical research, the term Biophotonics seems to have evolved historically, and now even reaches into application fields in everyday life, for example, food and pharmaceutical analysis.

In the last year, we selectively invited several Chinese research groups to contribute to this special topic of *Science China Life Sciences*. Now we have a collection of one research article and four review articles to show new findings or understandings on the biophotonic techniques and methods. We expect this collection of papers will represent, at least to some extent, the current multidisciplinary research of biophotonics in China. For an introduction to the research groups in the biophotonics field in China and their representative contributions, we recommend our previous review.

The research paper by Tingwei Quan and coworkers aimed to solve a data processing problem in calcium imaging. It is generally believed that calcium imaging is a powerful technique for measuring the functional activity of neural populations. In this technique, a threshold is necessary to transform the fluorescence signals from neurons into action potential (AP) trains, and the reconstruction accuracy is determined by the threshold selection. However, it is hard to find an optimal threshold. Tingwei Quan and coworkers proposed a new reconstruction method that is based on the prior shape of the AP train and calcium signal baseline. In their method, the reconstructed AP train can be directly obtained without a threshold operation, which makes the reconstruction robust to the baseline fluctuations of the calcium signal. They verified the performance of the new method using experimental and simulation calcium signals.

The review paper given by Prof. Zhen-Li Huang and his group members aimed to provide an updated understanding on how to estimate the resolution of fluorescence microscopy. Resolution is undoubtedly the most important parameter in light microscopy. However, with the blooming of various advanced fluorescence microscopy techniques, especially after the invention of super-resolution fluorescence microscopy in the past two decades, many methods have been proposed for estimating the resolution of fluorescence microscopy, and researchers who are not experts in light microscopy would sometimes be confused on which method they should use for their specific experimental conditions. The review paper by Zhen-Li Huang et al. (*Li and Huang, 2020*) answered this question in a timely manner. They discussed the theoretical and practical issues regarding the resolution estimation in fluorescence microscopy.

Another review by Hangrong Chen and collaborators discussed the design strategy of optical probes for tumor hypoxia imaging. Solid tumors usually form a complex microenvironment to promote the transformation of normal cells into cancerous ones, and hypoxia is a common feature of most solid tumors. The design and use of optical probes for imaging hypoxia sites and monitoring tumor oxygen levels has been a hot topic in precision tumor therapy. This review discusses two most promising strategies to detect tumor hypoxia: oxygen probes for detecting the hy-
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