INVERTIBLE $K(2)$-LOCAL $E$-MODULES IN $C_4$-SPECTRA
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ABSTRACT. We compute the Picard group of the category of $K(2)$-local module spectra
over the ring spectrum $E^{hC_4}$, where $E$ is a height 2 Morava $E$-theory and $C_4$ is a subgroup
of the associated Morava stabilizer group. This group can be identified with the Picard
group of $K(2)$-local $E$-modules in genuine $C_4$-spectra. We show that in addition to a cyclic
subgroup of order 32 generated by $E \wedge S^1$ the Picard group contains a subgroup of order 2
generated by $E \wedge S^{3+\sigma}$, where $\sigma$ is the sign representation of the group $C_4$. In the process,
we completely compute the $RO(C_4)$-graded Mackey functor homotopy fixed point spectral
sequence for the $C_4$-spectrum $E$.
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1. Introduction

Starting with the computations by Hopkins and Mahowald of the homotopy groups of the spectrum of topological modular forms, there has been a proliferation of computations related to various invariants associated to the homotopy fixed points for the action of finite subgroups of the Morava stabilizer group $G = G_n$ on Morava $E$-theory $E = E_n$. In theory, one would like to understand $E^{hG}$ itself as this is a model for the $K(n)$-local sphere. At heights $n > 2$, there has been little progress in this direction, and the case $n = p = 2$ remains the focus of current research.

If one restricts to a finite subgroup $G$ of $G$, questions about $E^{hG}$ and its module category become more tractable using techniques introduced by Hopkins and Miller. Among other things, the methods of Hopkins–Miller allow one to understand $E_n$ as a $G$-module. These ideas first appeared in print in [Nav99]. They were generalized by Hill, Hopkins and Ravenel in unpublished work and also in [HHR16]. The whole program has recently been enhanced by [HS17].

Roughly, one fixes a real orientation
\[ MU_R \rightarrow E \]
where $MU_R$ is the real bordism spectrum. Then for any subgroup $G$ of $G$ which contains $C_2 = \{\pm 1\}$, one can use the norm to construct a map
\[ N_{C_2}^G MU_R \rightarrow E \]
which provides information on the structure of $E$ as a $G$-spectrum.

The main application of these ideas is the computation of the homotopy groups $\pi_4 E^{hG}$, which in turn, provides the information needed to study the Picard group of the category of $K(n)$-local $E^{hG}$-module spectra, $Pic(E^{hG})$. Recall that, for a symmetric monoidal category, the Picard group consists of isomorphism classes of invertible objects with respect to the symmetric monoidal product, whenever this forms a set. The problem of computing $Pic(E^{hG})$ appears in various forms throughout the literature. Classical examples are the folklore results of Hopkins which state that $Pic(KU) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $Pic(KO) \cong \mathbb{Z}/8$ (see also Gepner–Lawson [GL16]) and the fact that $Pic(E_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ for all $n$, a result of Baker–Richter [BR05]. The problem has been extensively revisited by Heard–Mathew–Stojanoska [MS16, HMS17]. For example, they compute $Pic(E_n^{hG})$ for the finite subgroups $G \subseteq G$ at chromatic heights $n = p - 1$ for $p$ odd. Most recently, Heard–Li–Shi [HLS18] have also computed $Pic(E_n^{hC_2})$ at all heights $n$ when $p = 2$.

The spectra $E^{hG}$ are periodic. Therefore, $Pic(E^{hG})$ always contains a cyclic subgroup generated by $\Sigma E^{hG}$. In all of the examples studied so far $Pic(E^{hG})$ was found to be exactly this cyclic group. For example, if $n = p - 1$ and $G$ is a maximal finite subgroup of $G$ containing the $p$-torsion, $Pic(E_n^{hG})$ is cyclic of order $2n^2p^2$, which is the periodicity of $E_n^{hG}$ [HMS17]. When $p = 2$, then $Pic(E_n^{hC_2})$ is cyclic of order $2n^2 + 2$ [HLS18], which is again the periodicity of $E_n^{hC_2}$.

In this paper, we compute an example of $Pic(E_n^{hG})$ which is not a cyclic group. The example is the following. We work at the prime $p = 2$ and chromatic height $n = 2$. Any formal group law $\Gamma$ of height 2 has an automorphism $\gamma$ of order 4 over the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_2$ and the subgroup $C_4$ this automorphism generates is unique up to conjugation in the associated Morava stabilizer group. The spectrum $E^{hC_4}$ has already received much attention in the literature. It is closely related to the spectrum $TMF_0(5)$ of topological modular forms with $\Gamma_0(5)$ level structure. The latter was studied extensively by Behrens–Ormsby in [BO16]. Further, $E$ as a $C_4$-module spectrum is closely related to the spectrum $K_{[2]}$ studied
in Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel [HHR17]. It also play a key role in Bobkova–Goerss [BG18] and in Henn [Hen18]. In fact, the computation of the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for the spectrum $E^{hC_4}$ can be mined from these references and its homotopy groups are now well understood. The spectrum $E^{hC_4}$ is $32$-periodic, so $\text{Pic}(E^{hC_4})$ necessarily contains a cyclic group of that order. However, in this case, it turns out that the Picard group also contains elements which are not suspensions of $E^{hC_4}$.

After replacing $E$ by an equivalent cofree spectrum, the fact that $E^{hG} \to E$ is a faithful $K(n)$-local Galois extension of $G$-spectra implies that the homotopy category of $K(n)$-local $E^{hG}$-module spectra is equivalent to the homotopy category of $K(n)$-local $E$-modules in genuine $G$-spectra. The latter is the category of genuine $G$-spectra with a compatible $E$-module structure, whose Picard group we denote by $\text{Pic}_G(E)$. This allows us to identify the Picard groups

$$\text{Pic}(E^{hG}) \cong \text{Pic}_G(E).$$

This translates our problem into that of computing the Picard group of the $C_4$-equivariant ring spectrum $E$.

In general, if $R$ is a $G$-equivariant commutative ring spectrum, then the groups

$$\text{Pic}_H(R) = \text{Pic}_H(i_H^* R),$$

as $H$ runs through the subgroups of $G$, assemble into a Mackey functor which we will denote by $\text{Pic}(R)$. The restriction maps come from the ordinary restriction functors in genuine $G$-spectra. Since these are strong symmetric monoidal functors, they induce homomorphisms on Pic. The transfer maps are given by the norm maps in the category of $R$-modules. These are also strong symmetric monoidal functors, so they induce homomorphisms on Pic. The Mackey compatibility is inherited from the corresponding statements in the homotopy category of $R$-modules.

Our main result is then the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $\Gamma$ be a formal group law of height $2$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$ and let $k$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_2$. Let $E = E(k, \Gamma)$ be the associated Morava $E$-theory and $G = G(k, \Gamma)$ the associated Morava stabilizer group. Let $C_4 \subseteq G$ be a cyclic subgroup of order $4$, which necessarily contains $C_2 = \{ \pm 1 \}$. Then there are isomorphisms

$$\text{Pic}_{C_4}(E) \cong \mathbb{Z}/32\{ E \wedge S^1 \} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{ E \wedge S^{7+\sigma} \}$$

and

$$\text{Pic}_{C_2}(E) \cong \mathbb{Z}/16\{ E \wedge S^1 \}.$$ 

As a Mackey functor, this assembles into

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Pic}(E)(C_4/C_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}/32 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \\
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 8 \\ 26 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
\text{Pic}(E)(C_4/C_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/16 \\
\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Pic}(E)(C_4/\{ e \}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \end{pmatrix}. $$

The result (and its proof) for $E^{hC_2}$ is a special case of [HLS18]. We include the computations here since they are necessary for our analysis of the Mackey functor $\text{Pic}(E)$. Together with [HMS17, Proposition 3.10], Theorem 1.1 has the following immediate consequence:
Corollary 1.2. Let $C_6 \subseteq G$ be the subgroup generated by $-1$ and a third root of unity. There is an isomorphism $\text{Pic}(E^hC_6) \cong \mathbb{Z}/48$.

Our approach is to study the map $J^G_R : RO(G) \to \text{Pic}_G(R)$, for $R$ an $E_\infty$-ring $G$-spectrum, given by

$$J^G_R(V) = R \wedge S^V.$$ 

When $R$ is a $G$-equivariant commutative ring spectrum, then these homomorphisms assemble into a map of Mackey functors

$$J_R : RO \to \text{Pic}(R),$$ 

where here $RO$ is the representation ring Mackey functor. We determine the image of $J_E$, which gives us lower bounds for the Picard groups.

To prove that these are also upper bounds, we use the Picard homotopy fixed point spectral sequence. In fact, we need the structure of this spectral sequence as a spectral sequence of Mackey functors for a crucial step in the argument. On the way, as an input to the $E_2$-term of this spectral sequence, we also compute the algebraic Picard groups $\text{Pic}_{C_4}(E_0)$ and $\text{Pic}_{C_2}(E_0)$. These also naturally assemble into a coefficient system, where the value at $G/H$ is the Picard group of the category of $i^*_H E_0$-modules in $H$-modules. This coefficient system can be computed via the isomorphism

$$\text{Pic}(E_0)(G/H) \cong H^1(H; i^*_H E_0^X).$$

The restriction maps are determined by naturality for group cohomology, while the transfer maps are given on representing modules by tensor induction in the category of $E_0$-modules.

We find that $\text{Pic}_G(E_0)$ are cyclic groups of order 4 when $G = C_4$ and 2 when $G = C_2$. Note that in both cases, $2|\text{Pic}_G(E_0)|$ is the periodicity of the cohomology $H^*(G, E_t)$ in $t$, which in turn is the size of $\text{Pic}_G(E_t)$.

A key input to our computations is the knowledge of the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence of Mackey functors computing $\pi_* E$. The ingredients for such a computation appear in various places in the literature. In particular, many of the pieces necessary to do this computation appear in [HHR17]. In Section 5.2, we describe this computation. Many results and much notation from Section 5.2 are used in proofs throughout the paper, but we have attempted to keep the narrative as free of this dependence as possible. Note in passing that these computations together with our result on the Picard group give a complete description of the $RO(C_4)$-graded Mackey functor $\pi_* E$ (Remark 4.9).

1.1. Organization. This is a brief outline of the paper. In Section 3, we discuss the map $J^G_R$. In Section 4 compute its image in the cases of interest. This gives a lower bound on the order of $\text{Pic}(E^hC_4)$ and, in particular, proves that this group is not cyclic. In Section 5, we review the computations of the homotopy fixed point spectral sequences needed for the rest of the paper. In Section 6, we compute the Mackey functor $H^1(C_4, E_0^X)$. In Section 7, we discuss some equivariant properties of the Picard spectral sequence and then use this spectral sequence to give the upper bounds on $\text{Pic}(E^hC_4)$ and $\text{Pic}(E^hC_2)$. Section 8 contains tables and figures.
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2. Preliminaries

2.1. Equivariant homotopy theory. We review some notation and results from equivariant homotopy theory that will be used throughout the paper. For a finite group $G$, we will be working in the category of genuine $G$-spectra as in [HHR16].

As usual, $RO(G)$ denotes the ring of real orthogonal virtual representations of the group $G$. For a genuine $G$-spectrum $X$, its equivariant homotopy groups assemble into an $RO(G)$-graded Mackey functor $\pi_*^G X$ given by

$$\pi_V(X)(G/H) = \pi_V^H(X) = [S^V, X]^H.$$ 

Here $V \in RO(G)$ and $[S^V, X]^H$ denotes the $H$-equivariant homotopy classes of maps. We simply write $\pi^G X = [S^V, X]^e$ in the case of the trivial group $H = e$. The conjugation action of $G$ on homotopy classes $[S^V, X]^e$ induces an action of $G$ on $\pi^G X$. For $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, we may also use the notation $\pi_d^G X = [S^d, i^*_d X]$ when we want to stress the fact that we are considering the homotopy groups of the underlying spectrum $i^*_d X$.

Spectra like the Morava $E$-theory spectra arise naturally not as genuine $G$-spectra but rather as $G$-objects in the category of spectra. We have a homotopically meaningful way to lift these $G$-objects in spectra to genuine $G$-spectra, however. The cofree localization

$$R \mapsto F(EG_+, R)$$

takes equivariant maps which are underlying weak equivalences to genuine equivariant equivalences. As such, we can view it as a functor

$$F(EG_+, -) : \text{Sp}^{BG} \to \text{Sp}^G$$

from $G$-objects in spectra to genuine $G$-spectra [HM17]. Moreover, this functor is lax symmetric monoidal, and hence takes $E_{\infty}$-ring objects on which $G$ acts via $E_{\infty}$-ring maps to $E_{\infty}$-ring objects in genuine $G$-spectra. In fact, if $R$ is an $E_{\infty}$-ring spectrum in $\text{Sp}^{BG}$, then the cofree spectrum $F(EG_+, R)$ inherits an action of a $G$-$E_{\infty}$-operad, and hence we have all norms [HM17]. Since $G$-$E_{\infty}$-ring spectra are equivalent to commutative ring objects in genuine $G$-spectra, we can therefore view any of these as equivariant commutative ring spectra.

Remark 2.1. If $\Gamma$ is a formal group law of height $n$ over a perfect field $k$ of characteristic $p$ such that $G \subseteq \text{Aut}_k(\Gamma)$ and $E(k, \Gamma)$ is the associated Morava $E$-theory spectrum, then by the Goerss–Hopkins–Miller theorem, $E(k, \Gamma)$ is an $E_{\infty}$-ring and the action of $G$ is by $E_{\infty}$-ring maps. So this allows us to view $E(k, \Gamma)$ as a commutative ring object in genuine $G$-equivariant spectra.

Notation 2.2. If $X$ is a spectrum with $G$-action, then let $X^h = F(EG_+, X)$. For a subgroup $K$ of $G$, the homotopy fixed point spectrum $X^{hK}$ is just the $K$-fixed points of $X^h$.

2.2. The Mackey functor homotopy fixed points spectral sequences. Next, we recall the setup for working with a full Mackey functor of homotopy fixed point spectral sequences (Mackey HFPSS). We work in the same context as in [HM17].

For any $G$-module $M$, we let $H^*(G, M)$ be the Mackey functor determined by

$$H^*(G, M)(G/K) = H^*(K, i^*_K M)$$

with the standard group cohomology restrictions and transfers.
As in the construction of the classical homotopy fixed point spectral sequence, the filtration on $F(EG_+, X)$ induced from the skeletal filtration of $EG \simeq \lim EG^{(i)}$ gives rise to an $RO(G)$-graded spectral sequence of Mackey functors [HM17, Proposition 2.8] with $E_{s,V}^{2} = \mathbb{H}^s(G, \pi V X) \Rightarrow \pi_{V-s}X^h$. Here, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $V \in RO(G)$. The differentials $d_r = d_r^{s,V} : E_r^{s,V} \to E_r^{s+r,V+r-1}$ commute with the restrictions and transfers. In particular, if we restrict $V$ to the trivial representations, evaluating the Mackey HFPSS at $G/H$ recovers the standard homotopy fixed point spectral sequence computing $\pi_* (X^hH)$. If $X$ is a ring spectrum, then the spectral sequence is multiplicative.

**Remark 2.3.** The homotopy fixed point spectral sequence can also be constructed using the filtration of $F(EG_+, X)$ induced by the slice filtration $X \simeq \lim \leftarrow P \cdot X$. The natural map $P \cdot X \to F(EG_+, P \cdot X)$ induces a map of spectral sequences from the slice spectral sequence $E_2^{s,V} = \mathbb{H}_{V-s}^s P d X \Rightarrow \pi_{V-s}X$ (where $d = \dim(V)$) to the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence of $X$. If $X$ is a ring spectrum, this is a map of multiplicative spectral sequences.

### 2.3. Real Representations of $C_2$ and $C_4$

We recall the structure of the representation rings $RO(G)$, when $G = C_4$ or $C_2$, establishing some notation for the rest of the paper. Let $\gamma = \gamma_4$ be a generator of $C_4$ and $\gamma_2$ a generator of $C_2$. These are the real representations of interest:

- The trivial representation $1$;
- The sign representation $\sigma$ of $C_4$ (on which $\gamma$ acts as $-1$);
- The sign representation $\sigma_2$ of $C_2$ (on which $\gamma_2$ acts as $-1$);
- The two-dimensional irreducible $C_4$-representation $\lambda$; this is $\mathbb{R}^2$ on which $\gamma$ acts by rotation by $\pi/2$;
- The regular representation of $C_2$, $\rho_2 = 1 + \sigma_2$; and
- The regular representation of $C_4$, $\rho = \rho_4 = 1 + \sigma + \lambda$.

Then we have

$$RO(C_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\sigma_2]/(\sigma_2^2 - 1), \text{ and}$$

$$RO(C_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\sigma, \lambda]/(\sigma^2 - 1, \sigma \lambda - \lambda, \lambda^2 - 2 - 2\sigma).$$

We also use the following standard notation throughout. See for example Definition 3.4 of [HHR17].

**Notation 2.4.** For $V \in RO(G)$, the inclusion $S^0 = \{0, \infty\} \to S^V$ is an equivariant map denoted by $a_V \in \pi_{-V}G^S S^0$. If $R$ is a ring, the image of $a_V$ under the unit in $\pi_{-V}G^R$ is also denoted by $a_V$.

### 3. The Homomorphism $J^G_R$

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an $E_\infty$-operad, and suppose that $R$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-algebra in genuine equivariant spectra. There is a good symmetric monoidal category of $R$-modules in genuine $G$-spectra [BH15], and base-change along the unit map

$$S^0 \to R$$
Explicitly, this homomorphism is given by
\[ J^G_R : RO(G) \to \text{Pic}_G(R), \]
where $\text{Pic}_G(R)$ is the Picard group of the category of $R$-modules in genuine $G$-spectra. Explicitly, this homomorphism is given by
\[ J^G_R(V) = R \wedge S^V, \]
and since the target depends only on the equivariant equivalence class of the $V$-sphere, this factors through the $JO$-equivalence classes of representations (i.e., equivalences of associated spherical bundles). This gives us the name.

To apply this for spectra like Morava $E$-theory, we must promote this naive equivariant commutative ring spectrum to a genuine equivariant ring spectrum. The formal procedure to do this was described in Section 2.1 and has nice properties for Pic.

Let $\text{Mod}(R)$ be the homotopy category of $R$-modules in spectra and let $\text{Mod}_G(R)$ be the homotopy category of $R$-modules in the category of $G$-spectra. The proof of the following result is the discussion immediately following the statement of Theorem 6.4 in [HM17].

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $R^{hG} \to R$ be a faithful $G$-Galois extension, where $R$ is a cofree $G$-ring spectrum. Then $\text{Mod}(R^{hG})$ and $\text{Mod}_G(R)$ are equivalent categories. In particular, $\text{Pic}(R^{hG}) \cong \text{Pic}_G(R)$.

**Corollary 3.2.** If $M$ and $N$ are $R$-modules for $R$ as in Proposition 3.1, then $M^{hG} \cong N^{hG}$ if and only if $M \cong N$ as $R$-modules in $G$-spectra. In particular, $M^{hG}$ and $N^{hG}$ represent the same elements in $\text{Pic}(R^{hG})$ if and only if there is a $G$-equivariant equivalence of $R$-modules $M \cong N$.

We will use the following notation. If $X$ is a spectrum and $u : X \to M$ is a map where $M$ is an $R$-module spectrum, we let $u^R$ be the composite
\[ R \wedge X \xrightarrow{R \wedge u} R \wedge M \xrightarrow{\mu_M} M, \]
where $\mu_M : R \wedge M \to M$ is the module structure map. In particular, we can apply this construction for any map $X \to R$ using the $R$-module structure on $R$ given by multiplication $\mu : R \wedge R \to R$.

The group $\text{Pic}_G(R)$ contains a cyclic subgroup generated by $\Sigma R$, or equivalently the image $J^G_R(\mathbb{Z}[1])$ of $\mathbb{Z}[1] \subseteq RO(G)$, where $1$ denotes the trivial one-dimensional representation. We let $d \in \text{Pic}_G(R)$ denote the element $\Sigma^d R$.

**Definition 3.3.** Let $V$ be a $G$ representation of dimension $d$. An $R$-orientation $u_V$ for $V$ is a $G$-equivariant map
\[ u_V : S^d \to R \wedge S^V \]
such that $u_V^R : R \wedge S^d \to R \wedge S^V$ is a $G$-equivalence.

A representation $V$ is $R$-orientable if there exists an $R$-orientation for $V$.

**Remark 3.4.** Given a $G$-equivalence $u_V^R : R \wedge S^d \to R \wedge S^V$ which is also a map of $R$-modules, we can precompose $u_V^R$ with $1 \wedge S^d : S^0 \wedge S^d \to R \wedge S^d$ where $1$ is the unit of $R$ to obtain an orientation $u_V$.

By construction, weak equivalences between cofree spectra are detected on the underlying, non-equivariant homotopy. This gives us a way to detect $R$-orientability.

**Proposition 3.5.** If $R$ is cofree and there is an element $u_V \in \pi^G_d(R \wedge S^V)$ such that $u_V^R$ induces an underlying equivalence, then $V$ is $R$-orientable.
Proposition 3.6. Let \( V \) be a \( G \)-representation of dimension \( d \), and assume that \( R \) is cofree. Then the representation \( V \) is \( R \)-orientable if and only if \( J_R^G(V) = d \) in \( \text{Pic}(R) \).

Therefore, to compute the image of the map \( J_R^G \), it is useful to have a criterion for recognizing \( R \)-orientable representations.

Now, let \( V \) be a \( d \)-dimensional real representation of \( G \). Recall that \( V \) is orientable in the classical sense if \( G \to O(d) \cong \text{Aut}(V) \) factors through \( \text{SO}(d) \). Since \( \text{SO}(d) \) is path connected, for any orientable representation \( V \) of dimension \( d \), the action of \( g \in G \) is homotopic to the identity on \( S^V \). It follows that there is an equivariant isomorphism of \( \pi_*i_e^*R \)-modules

\[
\pi_*(i_e^*R \wedge S^d) \cong \pi_*(i_e^*(R \wedge S^V)).
\]

(3.1)

Since the source is a free \( \pi_*i_e^*R \)-module on a fixed class in dimension \( d \) (corresponding to the element 1 in \( \pi_0i_e^*R \)), the isomorphism is equivalent to an element

\[
u_V \in (\pi_d i_e^*(R \wedge S^V))^G.
\]

However, this is not the same as having an equivariant map

\[
S^d \to R \wedge S^V,
\]

since the homotopy groups we are computing are just the underlying homotopy classes of maps. Even if \( R \) is cofree, this does not imply that \( V \) is \( R \)-orientable.

Definition 3.7. Let \( V \) be a (classically) orientable representation of dimension \( d \). A pseudo \( R \)-orientation is a map of underlying spectra

\[
u_V \in (\pi_d i_e^*(R \wedge S^V))^G
\]

such that \( \nu_V^G : i_e^*R \wedge S^d \to i_e^*(R \wedge S^V) \) induces an isomorphism

\[
\pi_*(i_e^*R \wedge S^d) \to \pi_*(i_e^*(R \wedge S^V))
\]

of \( G \cdot \pi_*i_e^*R \)-modules.

Remark 3.8. In fact, if \( R \) is cofree, a pseudo \( R \)-orientation

\[
u_V : S^d \to R \wedge S^V.
\]

is an \( R \)-orientation if and only if \( \nu_V \) underlies a \( G \)-equivariant map of \( G \)-spectra.

Remark 3.9. By construction, the pseudo \( R \)-orientations are units in the ring \((\pi_4i_e^*R)^G\).

Proposition 3.10. Let \( V \) be a classically orientable \( G \)-representation of dimension \( d \), and let \( R \) be cofree. Then \( V \) is \( R \)-orientable if and only if there exists a pseudo \( R \)-orientation

\[
u_V \in (\pi_d i_e^*(R \wedge S^V))^G \cong H^0(G, \pi_d(R \wedge S^V))
\]

which is a permanent cycle in the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence

\[
H^*(G, \pi_*(R \wedge S^V)) \to \pi_{*-3}(R \wedge S^V)^{hG}.
\]

Proof. If \( V \) is \( R \)-orientable, then the map \( \nu_V^R : R \wedge S^d \to R \wedge S^V \) induces an isomorphism of spectral sequences which maps 1 \( \in H^0(G, \pi_0R) \cong H^0(G, \pi_d(R \wedge S^d)) \) to \( \nu_V \in H^0(G, \pi_d(R \wedge S^V)) \). Since 1 is a permanent cycle, so is \( \nu_V \).

Conversely, assume that there is a class \( \bar{\nu}_V \in (\pi_d i_e^*(R \wedge S^V))^G \) as in Definition 3.7 which is a permanent cycle. This means that it represents a class

\[
\bar{\nu}_V \in \pi_*(R \wedge S^V)^{hG} \cong [S^d, R \wedge S^V]^G,
\]
since $R$ is cofree and $S^V$ is a finite $G$-CW complex. In other words, $\tilde{u}_V$ is an equivariant map

$$S^d \to R \wedge S^V,$$

and by base change, we get an equivariant map

$$\tilde{u}_V^R : R \wedge S^d \to R \wedge S^V.$$ 

By assumption, this map induces the same map as $\tilde{u}_V^R$ on $\pi_\ast i^* \langle - \rangle$, and so is an underlying equivalence. Since $R$ is cofree, the map $\tilde{u}_V^R$ is then an equivariant equivalence, and thus $\tilde{u}_V$ is an orientation. □

We close this section by connecting the orientability for representations of subgroups to the orientability of the induced representations. This uses in an essential way the full equivariant commutative ring structure on spectra like $E$, rather than the results so far which have just used an $E_\infty$-ring structure.

**Proposition 3.11 ([BH15]).** If $R$ is an equivariant commutative ring spectrum, then the symmetric coefficient system of $R$-modules has homotopically meaningful norm maps

$$R \wedge_{N_G^G} M \to R \wedge_{N_G^G} M,$$

where $N_G^G(M)$ is the ordinary norm from $H$-spectra to $G$-spectra, and where $R$ is an $N_G^G R$-module via the counit of the norm forget adjunction.

**Corollary 3.12.** The coefficient system

$$G / H \mapsto \text{Pic}_H(i^*_H R)$$

extends to a Mackey functor, where the transfer maps in Pic are given by the norm maps.

**Proof.** The functor Pic is natural for symmetric monoidal functors, and therefore the symmetric monoidal functors $i^*_H$ and $R \wedge_{N_G^G} -$ induce maps on Pic. We need only check that the Mackey double-coset formula is satisfied on Pic. However, this is exactly the condition that the symmetric monoidal coefficient system

$$\text{Pic}_H(i^*_H R)$$

with its norm maps forms a symmetric monoidal Mackey functor. □

**Proposition 3.13.** The homomorphisms $J^H_R$ as $H$ varies over the subgroups of $G$ give a map of Mackey functors

$$RO \to \text{Pic}(R).$$

**Proof.** The maps $J^H_R$ visibly commute with the restriction maps. We need only check that these commute with the transfer maps. The transfers in $RO$ are given by induction, while in $\text{Pic}(R)$, we have the norms. The result then follows from the computation

$$R \wedge_{N_G^G} (i^*_H R \wedge S^W) = R \wedge_{N_G^G} (i^*_H R \wedge S^W \langle - \rangle) \cong R \wedge S^{\text{Ind}_H^G} W,$$

where the last isomorphism is the usual cancellation formula and the computation of the norm of a representation sphere. □

**Corollary 3.14.** Let $R$ be an equivariant commutative ring $G$-spectrum. Suppose that $H$ is a subgroup of $G$ and $W$ is a virtual $H$-representation of dimension 0. Let $V = \text{Ind}_H^G(W)$. If $W$ is $i^*_H R$-orientable, then $V$ is $R$-orientable.
4. THE $C_4$-SPECTRUM $E$ AND THE NON-CYClicity OF ITS PICARD GROUP

The goal of this section is to compute the image of the map $J^G_\varepsilon$ for the groups $G = C_2$ and $C_4$ acting on the Morava $E$-theory spectrum.

4.1. A CONVENIENT CHOICE OF $E$-THEORY. We begin by fixing a choice of $E$-theory that will be convenient for computations. The methods of this section are due to Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel [HHR16, HHR17] and were the motivation for the work of Hahn–Shi [HS17]. In fact, an analogous construction of genuine equivariant Morava $E$-theory at $p = 2$ can be done at all heights and will soon appear in work of Shi. It is also used at higher heights in computations of Hill–Shi–Wang–Xu [HSWX18].

We write $MU^G_\mathbb{R}$ to denote the 2-localization of the real bordism spectrum. From [HHR16] there are classes $\tilde{r}^C_i \in \pi_{i*}^C MU^G_\mathbb{R}$ whose underlying homotopy classes, which we denote by $r^C_i \in \pi_{i*}^C MU_\mathbb{R}$, give a set of polynomial generators

$$\pi_{i*}^C MU^G_\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[1, r_2, \ldots].$$

Let $N^4_2 := N^C_2$ be the norm functor from the category of $C_2$-spectra to the category of $C_4$-spectra. The spectrum $MU^{C_4}_\mathbb{R}$ is defined to be the $C_4$-spectrum

$$MU^{C_4}_\mathbb{R} := N^4_2 MU^G_\mathbb{R} = MU^G_\mathbb{R} \wedge \wedge MU^G_\mathbb{R}$$

with action of $\gamma$ given by a cyclic permutation of the factors twisted by the conjugation action on $MU^G_\mathbb{R}$ analogous to the action of the form $\gamma(x, y) = (y, x)$ in algebra. Again, in Section 5 of [HHR16], it is shown that there are classes

$$\tilde{r}_{i,0} = r^C_i,$$

$$\tilde{r}_{i,1} = \gamma \tilde{r}^C_i,$$

in $\pi_{i*}^C MU^{C_4}_\mathbb{R}$ with the property that for $r_{i,\varepsilon} \in \pi_{2i*}^C MU^{C_4}_\mathbb{R}$ corresponding to $\tilde{r}_{i,\varepsilon}$ we have

$$\pi_{i*}^C MU^{C_4}_\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[r_{1,0}, r_{1,1}, r_{2,0}, r_{2,1}, \ldots].$$

The action of $\gamma$ on $\pi_{i*}^C MU^{C_4}_\mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\gamma(r_{i,0}) = r_{i,1}, \quad \gamma(r_{i,1}) = (-1)^{i} r_{i,0}.$$
The spectrum $K_{[2]}$ is obtained from $k_{[2]}$ by inverting an element $D \in \pi^{C_2}_{4\rho_2} k_{[2]}$ whose restriction in $\pi^{C_2}_{8\rho_2} k_{[2]}$ is $\bar{r}_{1,0} \bar{r}_{1,1} C_2$. See [HHR17, (7.4)]. Since inverting $D$ inverts its restrictions, it also inverts the classes $\bar{r}_{1,0}$ in $\pi^{C_2}_{4\rho_2} k_{[2]}$. So, the homotopy classes

$$
\mu_0 = \frac{\bar{r}_{1,0} - \bar{r}_{1,1}}{\bar{r}_{1,0}} = \frac{\bar{r}_{1,0}^{C_2} - 2 \bar{r}_{1,1} \bar{r}_{1,0}}{\bar{r}_{1,0}} \quad \mu_1 = \frac{\bar{r}_{1,0} + \bar{r}_{1,1}}{\bar{r}_{1,1}} = \gamma(\mu_0) = \frac{\bar{r}_{1,0}^{C_2}}{\bar{r}_{1,1}}.
$$

are defined in $\pi^{C_2}_{4\rho_2} K_{[2]}$. We also denote by $\mu_0$ and $\mu_1$ their restrictions to $\pi^{i_{K}^{2} K_{[2]}}$. Note that in $\pi^{i_{K}^{2} K_{[2]}}$ we have

$$r_{1,1} = r_{1,0}(1 - \mu_0)$$

and that there is an isomorphism

$$\left(\pi_{i_{K}^{2} K_{[2]}}\right)^{\wedge}_{(2, c_{3})} \cong \left(Z_{(2)[r_{1,0}, r_{1,1}]}(\text{res}_{2}^{D})\right)^{\wedge}_{(2, r_{0})} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}[\mu_{0}[r_{1,0}^{\pm 1}].$$

The spectrum $K_{[2]}$ also inherits a real orientation and it follows from (4.1) that the formal group law $F_{[2]}$ of $K_{[2]}$ reduces to a formal group law $\Gamma_{[2]}$ of height 2 defined over

$$\pi_{i_{K}^{2} K_{[2]}}/(2, r_{1,0}^{C_2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}[r_{1,0}^{-1}],$$

whose [2]-series satisfies

$$[2]F_{[2]}(x) = r_{1,0}^{3} x^{4} + \ldots$$

In fact, the [2]-series of $F_{[2]}$ satisfies

$$[2]F_{[2]}(x) = r_{1,0}^{3} x \equiv 0 \mod (2, x^{5}),$$

$$[2]F_{[2]}(x) = r_{1,0}^{3} x^{4} \equiv 0 \mod (2, x^{5}).$$

Define $F_{[2]}(x, y) = r_{1,0} F_{[2]}(r_{1,0}^{-1} x, r_{1,0}^{-1} y)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[\mu_{0}]$ and $\Gamma_{[2]} = r_{1,0} \Gamma_{[2]}(r_{1,0}^{-1} x, r_{1,0}^{-1} y)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. Let $k$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ and

$$W := W(k)$$

be the ring of Witt vectors over $k$, so that $k = W/2$. We consider $\tilde{\Gamma}_{[2]}$ as a formal group law defined over $k$ and $\tilde{F}_{[2]}$ as a formal group law defined over $W[\mu_{0}]$. Then $(W[\mu_{0}], F_{[2]})$ is a universal deformation of $(k, \tilde{\Gamma}_{[2]})$. We let $E_{[2]}$ be the associated $E$-theory spectrum with distinguished unit $r_{1,0} \in \pi_{1} E_{[2]}$ and let $\phi^{\mu} : MU \to E_{[2]}$ be a complex orientation chosen so that $\pi_{0} \phi^{\mu}$ classifies $F_{[2]}$. By Hahn–Shi [HS17], $E_{[2]}$ is Real oriented, and $\phi^{\mu}$ has an equivariant refinement $\phi : MU_{R} \to E_{[2]}$. That is, $\phi$ is an $\mathbb{S}_{2}$-equivariant map and $\pi_{0} \phi^{\mu} = \pi_{0} \phi^{b}$. Further, norming up gives a $C_{4}$-equivariant map $MU^{C_{4}} \to E_{[2]}$ (for $C_{4} \subseteq \text{Aut}_{k}(\Gamma_{[2]}))$, which factors through $K_{[2]}$:

$$MU^{C_{4}} \to E_{[2]}.$$

By construction, the action of $C_{4}$ on $\pi_{0} i_{K}^{2} E_{[2]}$ is determined by the action on $\pi_{0} i_{K}^{2} K_{[2]}$.

**Remark 4.1.** The $C_{4}$ equivariant spectrum $E_{[2]}$ is 32 periodic, with periodicity generator a permanent cycle which we denote by $\Delta_{4} \in \pi^{C_{4}}_{32} E_{[2]}$, and whose restriction in $\pi^{i_{K}^{2} E_{[2]}}$ is $(r_{1,0}^{2} r_{1,1}^{2})^{4}$. See Table 1.
The map $K_{[2]} \to E_{[2]}$ induces a map of slice spectral sequences so slice differentials for $K_{[2]}$ imply slice differentials for $E_{[2]}$. Further, it follows from [Ull13, Theorem 9.4] that the slice spectral sequence and homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for $E_{[2]}$ are isomorphic in, for example, the range $s + 5 \leq t - s$. One can obtain a better range from Ullman’s results, but in practice, the periodicity of the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for $E_{[2]}$ implies that one only needs to look in a range where $(t - s)$ is large enough with respect to $s$ to make the comparison.

**Notation 4.2.** We use the convention

$$E := E_{[2]} = E(k, \tilde{\Gamma}_{[2]})$$

and write

$$E_s = \pi_s i_\ast E.$$ 

We implicitly replace $E$ with $E^h = F(EC_4[1], E)$ so that everywhere, we are working with a cofree spectrum.

### 4.2. The homomorphisms $J^C_4$ and $J^C_2$

The structure of $RO(C_4)$ and $RO(C_4)$ is reviewed in Section 2.3. The ring $RO(C_4)$ is of rank 3 as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, generated by the trivial representation $1$, the sign representation $\sigma$, and the 2-dimensional irreducible representation $\lambda$. The representation $\lambda$ is (classically) orientable as it is modeled by a rotation by $\pi/2$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$. The representation $\sigma$ is not an orientable representation of $C_4$, but $2\sigma$ is orientable. Similarly, $RO(C_2)$ is of rank 2 over $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by 1 and $\sigma_2$. Again, the sign representation $\sigma_2$ is not orientable, but $2\sigma_2$ is.

At this point, we relate our definition of pseudo $E$-orientation to the elements $u_V$ that appear in the slice spectral sequence computations of [HHR17]. These classes are, for example, defined in [HHR16, Definition 3.12]. If $V \subseteq RO(G)$ of dimension $d$ is classically orientable when restricted to a subgroup $G' \subseteq G$, then one can fix a choice of generator

$$u_V \in \pi^G_d H\mathbb{Z} \cong H^G_d(S^d, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_0(S^d, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$ 

These classes satisfy the relation $u_V u_W = u_{V+W}$.

When $G = C_4$, this gives classes

$$u_{\lambda} \in \pi_{2-\lambda} H\mathbb{Z}(C_4/C_4), \quad u_\sigma \in \pi_{2-\sigma} H\mathbb{Z}(C_4/C_4), \quad u_{\sigma_2} \in \pi_{2-\sigma_2} H\mathbb{Z}(C_4/C_2)$$

together with their restrictions and products. Similarly, when $G = C_2$, we get classes

$$u_{\sigma_2} \in \pi_{2-\sigma_2} H\mathbb{Z}(C_2/C_2), \quad u_{\sigma_2} \in \pi_{2-\sigma_2} H\mathbb{Z}(C_2/C_2).$$

Let $V$ be classically orientable for the subgroup $G' \subseteq C_4$. Since $\mathcal{P}^0 MU^{hC_4} \cong H\mathbb{Z}$, the map from the slice spectral sequence of $MU^{hC_4}$ to the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence of $E$ gives a commutative diagram

$$\xymatrix{ \pi_0 i_\ast H\mathbb{Z} & \ar[r]^-{\varepsilon} \ar[l] & \pi_0 G^C_d H\mathbb{Z} & \ar[r]^{\varepsilon} \ar[l] & H^0(G', \pi_0 E) & \ar[l]^-{i^\ast} \ar[r]^-{i^\ast} & \pi_{d-V} H\mathbb{Z} & \ar[r]^-{\varepsilon} \ar[l] & \pi_{d-V} G^C_{d-V} H\mathbb{Z} & \ar[r] \ar[l] & H^0(G', \pi_{d-V} E) }$$

where the vertical isomorphisms are induced by precomposition with a chosen underlying equivalence $i: S^{d-V} \to S^0$. The top inclusion is the natural ring map. It follows that the class $u_V \in \pi_{d-V} G^C_{d-V} H\mathbb{Z}$ maps to a pseudo-orientation in $H^0(G', \pi_{d-V} E)$ which we denote by the same name.
Remark 4.3. By the discussion above, there are pseudo-orientations
\[ u_\lambda \in \underline{E}^{0,2-\lambda}(C_4/C_4), \quad u_{2\sigma} \in \underline{E}^{0,2-2\sigma}(C_4/C_4), \quad u_\sigma \in \underline{E}^{0,1-\sigma}(C_4/C_2). \]
As was noted in Remark 3.9, the classes \( u_\lambda \) are all invertible in \( \underline{E}^{0,*} = H^0(G, \pi_* E) \).

Let \( \tilde{r}_{1,0} \in \pi_{2,4}^C i_{C_2}^* C \) be the image of the same-named class of \( \pi_{2,4}^C \). By the Hahn–Shi Real orientation \( MU_\mathbb{R} \to E \). By our construction of \( E \), this class is a unit and hence induces an equivalence
\[ i_{C_2}^* C \wedge S^{2\lambda} \cong i_{C_2}^* C. \]
In particular, this means that \( J_{E}^{C_2}(\rho_2) = 0 \). By Corollary 3.14, we have \( J_{E}^{C_4}(\rho_4) = 0 \).

Proposition 4.4. In \( \text{Pic}^C_{C_4}(E) \), \( J_{E}^{C_4}(\rho_4) = 0 \), where \( \rho_4 = 1 + \sigma + \lambda \) is the regular representation of \( C_4 \).

Before turning to the more technical computations of the next sections, we state two results which are proved in Section 5. We use them here to compute the image of \( J_{E}^{C_4} \) and \( J_{E}^{C_2} \). The first result we state follows from the computations of [HHR17] and is proved in Proposition 5.23 below:

Proposition 4.5. The classes \( u_4^4, u_2^2 \) and \( u_{2,4} u_4^4 \) are permanent cycles in the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for \( E_2^{hC_4} \). Therefore, the representations \( 8\lambda, 4\sigma \) and \( 2\sigma + 4\lambda \) are \( E \)-orientable for \( C_4 \). In particular, the images under \( J_{E}^{C_2} \) of
\[ 16 - 8\lambda, \quad 4 - 4\sigma, \text{ and } 10 - 2\sigma - 4\lambda \]
are zero in \( \text{Pic}^C_{C_4}(E) \).

The class \( u_{2,4}^2 \) is a permanent cycle in the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for \( E_2^{hC_2} \). So, the image under \( J_{E}^{C_2} \) of
\[ 8 - 8\sigma \]
is zero in \( \text{Pic}^C_{C_2}(E) \).

The second result we state is proved in Corollary 5.29 and Table 6:

Proposition 4.6. There is no integer \( d \) such that \( E \wedge S^{\sigma - 1} \cong E \wedge S^d \) as \( C_4 \) equivariant \( E \)-modules. In particular, \( J_{E}^{C_4}(\sigma - 1) \) is not in the cyclic subgroup generated by \( \Sigma E^{hC_4} \).

Proposition 4.7. The image of the map \( J_{E}^{C_4} : \text{RO}(C_4) \to \text{Pic}^C_{C_4}(E) \) is isomorphic to
\[ \mathbb{Z}/32\{1\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{7 + \sigma\}. \]
The image of the map \( J_{E}^{C_2} : \text{RO}(C_2) \to \text{Pic}^C_{C_2}(E) \) is isomorphic to
\[ \mathbb{Z}/16\{1\}. \]

Proof. As an abelian group, \( \text{RO}(C_4) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}\{1, \sigma, \lambda\} \). The map \( J_{E}^{C_4} \) factors through the quotient
\[ \mathbb{Z}\{1, \sigma, \lambda\}/\{16 - 8\lambda, 4 - 4\sigma, 10 - 2\sigma - 4\lambda, 1 + \sigma + \lambda\}. \]
Simplifying the relations, we have that (4.3) is isomorphic to
\[ \mathbb{Z}\{1, \sigma, \lambda\}/\{24 + 8\sigma, 4 - 4\sigma, 14 + 2\sigma\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/32\{1\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{7 + \sigma\}. \]
Since the periodicity of $E^{hC_4}$ is 32, the image of $J_{E}^{C_4}$ contains the cyclic subgroup $\mathbb{Z}/32\{1\}$. Further, since $J_{E}^{C_4}(\sigma - 1) = J_{E}^{C_4}(-8 + (7 + \sigma))$ is not in this cyclic subgroup, then neither is $7 + \sigma$. Therefore, $J_{E}^{C_4}$ does not factor through a smaller quotient.

For $C_2$, a similar computation shows that $J_{E}^{C_4}$ factors through $\mathbb{Z}/16$. Since the periodicity of $E^{hC_2}$ is 16, there can be no further relations. □

**Remark 4.8.** Proposition 4.7 provides a lower bound for the order of $\text{Pic}_{C_4}(E)$. That is, this group has order at least 64.

**Remark 4.9.** Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.7 together immediately imply that for any virtual representation $V \in RO(C_4)$, homotopy fixed point spectral sequence computing $\overline{\star}^{\pm -}$ is a shift of either that computing $\overline{\sigma}_s E$ or that computing $\overline{\sigma}_{s+1-\sigma} E$. We explain this in two examples. Since $2^{2-2} \equiv 16$ in $\mathbb{Z}/32\{1\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{7+\}$, there is an isomorphism of Mackey functor homotopy fixed point spectral sequences

$$E_{s, \star}^{+2-2} = E_{s, \star}^{+16}.$$

Similarly, since $15 + \sigma \equiv 1 - \sigma$ in $\mathbb{Z}/32\{1\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{7+\}$, there is an isomorphism of spectral sequences

$$E_{s, \star}^{+15+\sigma} = E_{s, \star}^{+1-\sigma}.$$

### 5. The $C_4$ Homotopy Fixed Point Spectral Sequence for $E$ Theory

The goal of this section is to compute the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence

(5.1) $$E_{s, \star}^{+s} = H^s(C_4, \pi_{\star+1}) \implies \overline{\pi}_{s+1} E^{h}$$

with differentials $d_r : E_{s, \star}^{+s} \to E_{s+r, \star+1-r-1}$. As is noted in Remark 4.9, the computation for any $\star \in RO(C_4)$ is determined by the computation for $\star = 0$ or for $\star = 1 - \sigma$. So, throughout this section, we detail the computation of $E_{s, \star}^{+s}$ and of $E_{s, \star}^{+1-\sigma+\star}$.

We first describe the structure of $H^s(C_4, E_s)$ as a Mackey functor:

(5.2) $H^s(C_4, E_s)$

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{res}_2 \quad \text{tr}_2 \\
\text{res}_1 \quad \text{tr}_1 \\
\text{H}^s(C_2, E_s) \\
\text{H}^s(\{e\}, E_s)
\end{array}
\]

This then determines the $E_2$-term of (5.1) when $\star = 0$. The computation of the $E_2$-term of (5.1) when $\star = 1 - \sigma$, will easily follow from this computation. This is explained in Section 5.2.2.

Next, we discuss the differentials and extensions. They are imported from the slice spectral sequence for $K_{[2]}$ to the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for $E^{hC_4}$. Although all differentials are a direct consequence of those in the slice spectral sequence, there is a significant difference between this computation and that of [HHR17] in the range near the zero line. The $d_3$-differentials need particular attention and we give more details in this part of the computation. From the $E_4$-term onwards, the computation in the range $0 \leq s \leq 2$ is...
Similarly, for that will be making multiple appearances in the computations below.

Some important \( C_4 \)-modules. Before giving a more detailed description of the structure of \( E_4 \) as a \( C_4 \)-module, we need to set up some notation for a selection of \( C_4 \)-modules that will be making multiple appearances in the computations below.

Denoting by \( \gamma \) the generator of \( C_4 \), and by \( e \) the trivial group element, we have the following modules

\[
\begin{align*}
Z &= \mathbb{Z}[C_4/C_4] = \mathbb{Z}[C_4]/(\gamma - e) \quad \text{corresponding to 1 in } RO(C_4) \\
Z_- &= \mathbb{Z}[C_4]/(\gamma + e) \quad \text{corresponding to } \sigma \text{ in } RO(C_4) \\
Z[C_4/C_2] &= \mathbb{Z}[C_4]/(\gamma^2 - e) \quad \text{corresponding to } 1 + \sigma \text{ in } RO(C_4) \\
Z[C_4/C_2]_- &= \mathbb{Z}[C_4]/(\gamma^2 + e) \quad \text{corresponding to } \lambda \text{ in } RO(C_4)
\end{align*}
\]

where the correspondence with elements of \( RO(C_4) \) occurs after base change to \( \mathbb{R} \). Moreover, we will write

\[
Z[C_4/C_{2\pm}] = Z[C_4/C_2] \oplus Z \\
Z[C_4/C_{2-}] = Z[C_4/C_2]_- \oplus Z_-.
\]

Note that

\[
\begin{align*}
Z_- \otimes Z_- &\cong Z, \\
Z_- \otimes Z[C_4/C_2] &\cong Z[C_4/C_2], \\
Z_- \otimes Z[C_4/C_2]_- &\cong Z[C_4/C_2]_-.
\end{align*}
\]

Since the coefficients of \( E \) are modules over the Witt vectors \( W = W(k) \), we will have to base change all of the above modules to \( W \); that amounts to just writing \( W \) instead of \( \mathbb{Z} \).

Let

\[
A = W[\mu][C_4/C_2] = W[\mu] \otimes_W W[C_4/C_2]
\]

and

\[
A_- = W[\mu][C_4/C_2]_- = W[\mu] \otimes_W W[C_4/C_2]_-.
\]

Let

\[
\Delta = e + \gamma \in W[C_4], \quad \tilde{\Delta} = e - \gamma \in W[C_4].
\]

We denote by the same name the images of \( \Delta \) and \( \tilde{\Delta} \) in \( W[C_4/C_2] \), in \( W[C_4/C_2]_- \), and in \( W[C_4/C_{2\pm}] \) via the inclusion \( W[C_4/C_2] \subseteq W[C_4/C_{2\pm}] \).

For

\[
W[\mu][C_4/C_{2\pm}] = W[\mu] \otimes_W W[C_4/C_{2\pm}],
\]

and \( * \) corresponding to a generator of the \( C_4 \)-submodule \( W \subseteq W[C_4/C_{2\pm}] \), we define

\[
A(+) = \frac{W[\mu][C_4/C_{2+}]}{\mu \cdot * = \Delta}.
\]

Similarly, for \( * \) a generator of \( W_- \subseteq W[C_4/C_{2-}] \), we define

\[
A(-) = \frac{W[\mu][C_4/C_{2-}]}{\mu \cdot * = \tilde{\Delta} + 2 \cdot *}.
\]

There are exact sequences

\[
\begin{align*}
(5.3) \quad 0 &\longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A(+) \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow 0 \\
0 &\longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A(-) \longrightarrow W_- \longrightarrow 0.
\end{align*}
\]
Further, note that
\[ A(+) / 2 \cong A(-) / 2 \cong \frac{\mathbb{k}[\mu][C_4/C_{2^+}]}{\mu \cdot \ast = \Delta} \]
and that there is an exact sequence
\[ 0 \rightarrow A / 2 \rightarrow A(+) / 2 \rightarrow \mathbb{k} \rightarrow 0. \]

5.2. The Mackey functor cohomology of \( C_4 \) with coefficients in \( E_* \). Recall that there is isomorphism \( E_* \cong \mathbb{W}[\mu_0][r_{1,0}^{\pm 1}] \) of \( \mathbb{W}[C_4] \)-modules, with \( |r_{1,0}| = 2 \) and the action of \( \gamma \) given by
\[ \gamma(r_{1,0}) = r_{1,1} = r_{1,0}(1 - \mu_0), \quad \gamma(\mu_0) = \mu_1 = \frac{2 - \mu_0}{1 - \mu_0}. \]

In Table 1, we have named some of the elements in \( E_* \), \( E^C_2 \) and \( E^C_4 \). We will use the notation and the information contained in Table 1 throughout the rest of the paper.

| Elements | Degree | Action |
|----------|--------|--------|
| \( r_{1,0} \) | \( E_2 \) | \( \gamma(r_{1,0}) = r_{1,1} \) \( \gamma(r_{1,1}) = -r_{1,0} \) |
| \( r_{1,1} = r_{1,0}(1 - \mu_0) \) | \( E_C^2 \) | \( \gamma(\mu_0) = \mu_1 \) \( \gamma(\mu_1) = \mu_0 \) |
| \( \mu_0 = \frac{r_{1,0} - r_{1,1}}{r_{1,0}} \) \( \mu_1 = \frac{r_{1,0} + r_{1,1}}{r_{1,1}} = 2 - \mu_0 \) \( - \mu_0 \) | \( E_C^2 \) | \( \gamma(\Sigma_{2,0}) = -\Sigma_{2,1} \) \( \gamma(\Sigma_{2,1}) = -\Sigma_{2,0} \) |
| \( \Sigma_{2,0} = r_{2,0} \) \( \Sigma_{2,1} = -r_{2,1} \) | \( E_C^4 \) | \( \gamma(\delta_1) = -\delta_1 \) |
| \( \delta_1 = r_{1,0}r_{1,1} \) | \( E_C^4 \) | \( \gamma(\mu) = \mu \) |
| \( \mu = \mu_0 + \mu_1 = 2 - \mu_0 \) \( - \mu_0 \) | \( E_C^4 \) | \( \gamma(T_2) = T_2 \) |
| \( T_2 = r_{2,0}^2 + r_{2,1}^2 \) | \( E_C^4 \) | \( \gamma(\Delta_1) = \Delta_1 \) |
| \( \Delta_1 = \delta_1^2 \) | \( E_C^4 \) | \( \gamma(T_4) = T_4 \) |
| \( T_4 = \Delta_1(\mu - 2) \) | \( E_C^4 \) | |

Table 1. Some elements of \( E_* \) as a \( C_4 \)-module. Names are chosen to reflect the notation of [HHR17, Table 3].

Remark 5.1. There are isomorphisms
\[ \mathbb{W}(r_{1,0} \cdot r_{1,1}) \cong \mathbb{W}[C_4/C_2] \text{,} \quad \mathbb{W}(\mu_0, \mu_1) \cong \mathbb{W}[C_4/C_2] \text{,} \quad \mathbb{W}(\delta_1) \cong \mathbb{W}_\ast. \]

Remark 5.2. The norm element
\[ \Delta_1 = r_{1,0}^2(r_{1,0})^2 \gamma^2(r_{1,0}) \gamma^3(r_{1,0}) \]
is a periodicity generator of \( E_* \) as a \( C_4 \)-module. However, we will see that \( \Delta_1^4 \) is a permanent cycle and is a periodicity generator of \( E^h \) as a \( C_4 \)-spectrum. See Remark 4.1.
Remark 5.3. The relations
\[
\mu_0^2 = \mu_0 - \mu + 2,
\]
\[
r_1^4 = \Delta_1(1 - \mu_0)^{-2}
\]
imply that \(W[\mu]\) is isomorphic to \(W[\mu][1, \mu_0]\) as a \(W[\mu]\)-module and, in fact, that
\[
E_* \cong W[\mu][\Delta_{\pm 1}]^2[r_1^1, \mu_0 r_1^1 : 0 \leq k \leq 3]
\]
as \(W[\mu][\Delta_{\pm 1}]\)-modules.

Lemma 5.4. As a \(W[\mu][C_4]\)-module, \(E_*\) is 8 periodic, with periodicity generator \(\Delta_1 \in E_8\). There are isomorphisms
\[
E_0 \cong W[\mu]\{1, \mu_0, \mu_1\}/(\mu \cdot 1 = \mu_0 + \mu_1),
\]
\[
E_2 \cong W[\mu]\{r_{1,0}, r_{1,1}\},
\]
\[
E_4 \cong W[\mu]\{\delta_1, \Sigma_{2,0}, \Sigma_{2,1}\}/(\mu \delta_1 = \Sigma_{2,0} + \Sigma_{2,1} + 2 \delta_1),
\]
\[
E_6 \cong W[\mu]\{\delta_1 r_{1,0}, \delta_1 r_{1,1}\}.
\]
in particular,
\[
E_0 \cong A(+), \quad E_2 \cong E_6 \cong A_-, \quad E_4 \cong A(-).
\]

Proof. A straightforward computation gives the first set of isomorphisms. The second set of isomorphisms is given by the \(C_4\)-linear maps determined by:
\[
E_0 \to A(+) \quad E_2 \to A_- \quad E_4 \to A_- \quad E_6 \to A(-)
\]
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \leftrightarrow & e & \delta_1 \\
r_1 & \leftrightarrow & e & \delta_1 r_{1,0} \\
\mu_0 & \leftrightarrow & e & \Sigma_{2,0} \\
\end{array}
\]

Remark 5.5. Lemma 5.4 implies that
\[
E^C_2 \cong W[\mu_0][\Sigma_{2,0}^\pm]
\]
\[
E^{C_4} \cong W[\mu]\{T_2, \Delta_{\pm 1}\}/(T_2^2 - \Delta_1((\mu - 2)^2 + 4)).
\]

From the fact that \(\Delta_1 = (r_{1,0} r_{1,1})^2\) and that the ideal \((2, \mu)\) is equal to \((2, \mu_0^2)\), it follows that
\[
E_* \cong (W[r_{1,0}, r_{1,1}][\Delta_{\pm 1}^\pm])_{(2, \mu)}
\]
as \(W[C_4]\)-modules. An argument similar to that of Theorem 6 in [GHM04] gives an isomorphism
\[
H^*(C_4, E_*) \cong (\bigotimes W^* H^*(C_4, Z[r_{1,0}, r_{1,1}])[\Delta_{\pm 1}^\pm])_{(2, \mu)}.
\]
Hence, in order to compute the cohomology of \(C_4\) with coefficients in \(E_*\), we first compute
\[
H^*(C_4, Z[r_{1,0}, r_{1,1}]),
\]
noting that \(Z[r_{1,0}, r_{1,1}]\) is the symmetric algebra of the induced sign representation
\[
Z[r_{1,0}, r_{1,1}] \cong \text{Sym}(Z[C_4/C_2]).
\]
Then we base change to \(W\), invert \(\Delta_1\) and complete the answer at the ideal \((2, \mu)\). The computation of the cohomology \(H^*(C_4, Z[r_{1,0}, r_{1,1}])\) is essentially the same as that performed in [HHR17] to compute the \(E_2\)-term of the slice spectral sequence of \(k[2]\). This approach to the computation also appears in work in progress of Henn. Finally, the answer is described in [BG18, Section 2.2] and can be deduced from [HHR17]. Following these references, we describe the answer in Proposition 5.8. We do not repeat the computation of the group cohomology, but rather focus our attention on describing the \(E_2^{V_{++}}\)-terms as
Mackey functors for $V = 0$ and $V = 1 - \sigma$. Since we will be deducing most of our results from [HHR17], we have opted to choose notation that does not clash with theirs.

**Proposition 5.6.** There is an isomorphism

$$H^*(C_2, E_*) \cong \mathbb{W}[[\mu_0][\Sigma_{2,0}^\pm, \eta_0]/(2\eta_0),$$

where $\eta_0$ is a generator of $H^1(C_2, E_2)$.

**Notation 5.7.** We let $\eta_1 := \eta_0(1 - \mu_0)$ and note that $\eta_i \in H^1(C_2, \mathbb{Z}(r_{i,0}))$ is a generator for $i = 0, 1$. Further,

$$r_{1,0}\eta_1 = r_{1,1}\eta_0.$$

**Proposition 5.8.** There are classes

$$\eta \in H^1(C_4, E_2) \quad \nu \in H^1(C_4, E_4)$$

and

$$\zeta \in H^1(C_4, E_6) \quad \sigma \in H^2(C_4, E_8)$$

such that

$$H^*(C_4, E_*) \cong \mathbb{W}[[\mu][T_2, \Delta_1^{\pm1}, \eta, \nu, \zeta, \sigma]/\sim$$

where $\sim$ is the ideal of relations given by

$$2\eta = 2\nu = 2\zeta = 4\sigma = 0; \quad T_2^2 = \Delta_1((\mu - 2)^2 + 4);$$

$$\Delta_1\eta^2 = T_2\sigma = \zeta^2; \quad T_2\zeta = \mu\Delta_1\eta;$$

$$\Delta_2\eta = \mu\zeta; \quad \zeta\eta = \mu\sigma;$$

$$\nu^2 = 2\sigma; \quad \mu\nu = \eta\nu = T_2\nu = \zeta\nu = 0.$$

**Remark 5.9.** Note that $\eta^3$ is $\mu$ divisible since $\eta^3 = \eta\zeta^2\Delta_1^{-1} = \mu\sigma\Delta_1^{-1}$.

**Remark 5.10.** Let

$$\tilde{\delta}_1 = N_2^4(\tilde{r}_{1,0}) \in \pi_{\rho_3}^C E.$$

The classes $a_{\nu}$ and $u_{\nu}$ were introduced in Notation 2.4 and Remark 4.3. There is also a class $\eta' \in \pi_{\rho_3}^C E$ detected in $H^1(C_4, \pi_{\rho_3}^C E)$. See [HHR17, Table 3]. It satisfies $\text{res}_2^4(\eta') = u_{\nu}(\eta_0 + \eta_1)$.

A dictionary with [HHR17, Table 3], and some useful relations, are then given by the following list:

$$\zeta = \eta'\sigma \tilde{\delta}_1 \quad \sigma = a_{\nu}u_{\lambda}u_{2\nu}\tilde{\delta}_1^2$$

$$\Delta_1 = u_{2\nu}u_{\lambda}^2\tilde{\delta}_1^2 \quad \nu = a_{\sigma}u_{\nu}\tilde{\delta}_1.$$

Note further that $\sigma\Delta_1^{-1} = a_{\nu}u_{\lambda}^{-1}$.

Under some choice of isomorphism, we can identify our classes with those in [BO16, Perspective 2]. Again, the elements $\eta$ and $\nu$ have the same name there as here. Further,

$$\Delta_1 = \delta \quad T_2 = b_2$$

$$\zeta = \tilde{\gamma} \quad T_4 = b_4$$

$$\sigma = \tilde{\zeta} \quad \sigma\Delta_1^{-1} = \beta.$$
Remark 5.11. Multiplication by the element $\Delta_1$ induces an isomorphism $\Sigma^8 E_t \to E_{t+8}$ and multiplication by $\sigma$ induces an isomorphism

$$H^i(C_4, E_t) \to H^{i+2}(C_4, E_{t+8}),$$

giving $H^*(C_4, E_*)$ two periodicities.

Proposition 5.6 and Proposition 5.8 identify the layers of (5.2). It still remains to identify the transfers and restrictions and this is the goal of the remainder of this section. To do this, we use Lemma 5.4. The first step is the computation of the Mackey functors

$$H^*(C_4, W) \quad H^*(C_4, W[C_4/C_2])$$

$$H^*(C_4, W_-) \quad H^*(C_4, W[C_4/C_2]_-),$$

which is straightforward from the definitions. The Mackey functors we need are listed in Table 3.

Whenever possible, we use notation reminiscent of that used in [HHR17].

Lemma 5.12. For the Mackey functors listed in Table 3, there are isomorphisms

$$H^*(C_4, W) \cong \begin{cases} \square & \ast = 0, \\ \circ & \ast = 2n, \\ 0 & \ast = 2n - 1, \end{cases}$$

$$H^*(C_4, W[C_4/C_2]) \cong \begin{cases} \hat{\square} & \ast = 0, \\ \bullet & \ast = 2n, \\ 0 & \ast = 2n - 1, \end{cases}$$

$$H^*(C_4, W_-) \cong \begin{cases} \square & \ast = 0, \\ \bullet & \ast = 2n, \\ \bullet & \ast = 2n - 1, \end{cases}$$

$$H^*(C_4, W[C_4/C_2]_-) \cong \begin{cases} \hat{\square} & \ast = 0, \\ \bullet & \ast = 2n, \\ \hat{\bullet} & \ast = 2n - 1, \end{cases}$$

where $n > 0$ in the above formulas.

Notation 5.13. In general, if $\otimes$ is a Mackey functor in Table 3, then $\overline{\otimes}$ is the Mackey functor defined as

$$\overline{\otimes}(G/H) = W[\mu] \otimes W \otimes (G/H),$$

with restrictions and transfers extended to be $W[\mu]$-linear.

Proposition 5.14. For the Mackey functors listed in Table 3 and Table 4, there are isomorphisms

$$H^*(C_4, A(\pm)) \cong \begin{cases} \hat{\square} & \ast = 0, \\ \circ & \ast = 2n, \\ 0 & \ast = 2n - 1, \end{cases}$$

$$H^*(C_4, A) \cong \begin{cases} \hat{\square} & \ast = 0, \\ \bullet & \ast = 2n, \\ 0 & \ast = 2n - 1, \end{cases}$$

$$H^*(C_4, A_-) \cong \begin{cases} \square & \ast = 0, \\ \bullet & \ast = 2n, \\ \bullet & \ast = 2n - 1, \end{cases}$$

$$H^*(C_4, A[C_4/C_2]_-) \cong \begin{cases} \hat{\square} & \ast = 0, \\ \bullet & \ast = 2n, \\ \hat{\bullet} & \ast = 2n - 1, \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $\mu$ is fixed by the action of $C_4$, there are isomorphisms

$$H^*(C_4, A) \cong W[\mu] \otimes W H^*(C_4, W[C_4/C_2])$$

$$H^*(C_4, A_-) \cong W[\mu] \otimes W H^*(C_4, W[C_4/C_2]_-).$$
The exact sequences (5.3) give rise to exact sequences of Mackey functors in cohomology:

\[
\begin{align*}
0 & \longrightarrow \bigcirc \longrightarrow \bigcirc \longrightarrow \square \longrightarrow 0 \\
0 & \longrightarrow \bigcirc \longrightarrow \bigcirc \longrightarrow \square \longrightarrow 0 \\
0 & \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \bigcirc \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow 0 \\
0 & \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \bigcirc \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow 0
\end{align*}
\]

The definitions of the middle terms in these short exact sequences are given in Table 4 and use the ring structure of \(A(-)\) and \(A(+).\) Note that all the boundary maps in the long exact sequences in cohomology are trivial due to the structure of the Mackey functors involved.

\[\square\]

**Remark 5.15.** Since \(E\) is a ring spectrum, we have the Frobenius identity

\[\text{tr}^H_K(\text{res}^H_K(a)x) = a\text{tr}^H_K(x)\]

for \(a \in\) \(E(G/H), \ x \in\) \(E(G/K)\) and \(K \subseteq H \subseteq G.\)

Together, Lemma 5.4 and Proposition 5.14 give the following transfers and restrictions. Note that all other transfers follow from these using the Frobenius identity and the multiplicative structure of the restriction.

**Proposition 5.16.** In the Mackey functor (5.2), there are the following restrictions

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{res}_2^4(\mu) &= \mu_0 + \mu_1 \\
\text{res}_2^4(\Delta_1) &= \delta_1^2 \\
\text{res}_2^4(T_2) &= \Sigma_{2,0} - \Sigma_{2,1} \\
\text{res}_2^4(\sigma) &= \Sigma_{2,0}\eta_1^2 = -\Sigma_{2,1}\eta_0^2 \\
\text{res}_2^4(\zeta) &= (\eta_0 + \eta_1)\delta_1
\end{align*}
\]

and \(\text{res}_2^4(\nu) = 0.\) In particular, \(\text{res}_2^4(\Delta_{-1}^1\sigma^2) = (\eta_0\eta_1)^2.\)

There are the following transfers

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{tr}_2^4(\mu_0) &= \mu \\
\text{tr}_2^4(\Sigma_{2,0}) &= T_2 \\
\text{tr}_2^4(\eta) &= \eta \\
\text{tr}_2^4(\eta_0\eta_1) &= 0 \\
\text{tr}_2^4(\eta_0^2\eta_1) &= \zeta \sigma \Delta_{-1}^1
\end{align*}
\]

together with \(\text{tr}_2^4(1) = 2.\)

**Remark 5.17.** As was noted, Proposition 5.16 allows one to compute various other transfers. For example, \(\text{tr}_2^4(\eta_0^2)\) is obtained from the above formulas as follows:

\[\text{tr}_2^4(\eta_0^2) = \text{tr}_2^4(\eta_0^2 + \eta_0\eta_1) = \text{tr}_2^4(\text{res}_2^4(\eta)\eta_0) = \eta \text{tr}_2^4(\eta_0) = \eta^2.\]

We represent the result of the computations of this section in the chart in Figure 4. The relevant Mackey functors are depicted in Table 3 and Table 4.

**5.2.1. Generators for the \(E_{2,0}^{s_*}-\text{term}.** Below we list generators of \(E_{2,0}^{s_*} = H^s(C_4, E_0)\) as a \(\mathbb{W}\{[\mu]\}\)-module. The class

\[\sigma \Delta_{-1}^1 \in H^2(C_4, E_0)\]

and its restriction to

\[\eta_0^2 \Sigma_{2,0}^{-1} \in H^2(C_2, E_0)\]

play a central role.
(1) In degrees $t = 8l$ with $k \geq 1$ we have
\[ \hat{\C} \subseteq E_{2}^{0,8l} \]
generated by $\Delta_{1}^{l} \in E_{2}^{0,8l}(C_{4}/C_{4})$
and by $\delta_{1}^{2l}, \mu_{0}\delta_{1}^{2l} \in E_{2}^{0,8l}(C_{4}/C_{2})$
and by $(r_{1,0}r_{1,1})^{2l}, \mu_{0}(r_{1,0}r_{1,1})^{2l} \in E_{2}^{0,8l}(C_{4}/\langle e \rangle)$
\[ \hat{\C} \subseteq E_{2}^{2k,8l} \]
generated by $(\sigma \Delta_{1}^{-1})^{k}\Delta_{1}^{l} \in E_{2}^{2k,8l}(C_{4}/C_{4})$
and by $(\eta_{0}^{2k-1})^{k}\delta_{1}^{l}, \mu_{0}(\eta_{0}^{2k-1})^{k}\delta_{1}^{l} \in E_{2}^{2k,8l}(C_{4}/C_{2})$.

(2) In degrees $t = 2 + 8l$ with $k \geq 0$ we have
\[ \hat{\C} \subseteq E_{2}^{0,2+8l} \]
generated by $r_{1,0}(r_{1,0}r_{1,1})^{2l}, \mu_{0}r_{1,0}(r_{1,0}r_{1,1})^{2l} \in E_{2}^{0,2+8l}(C_{4}/\langle e \rangle)$
\[ \hat{\C} \subseteq E_{2}^{1+2k,2+8l} \]
generated by $\eta(\sigma \Delta_{1}^{-1})^{k}\Delta_{1}^{l} \in E_{2}^{1+2k,2+8l}(C_{4}/C_{4})$
and by $\eta_{0}(\eta_{0}^{2k-1})^{k}\delta_{1}^{l}, \mu_{0}\eta_{0}(\eta_{0}^{2k-1})^{k}\delta_{1}^{l} \in E_{2}^{1+2k,2+8l}(C_{4}/C_{2})$.

(3) In degrees $t = 4 + 8l$ with $k \geq 0$ we have
\[ \hat{\C} \subseteq E_{2}^{0,4+8l} \]
generated by $T_{2}\Delta_{1}^{l} \in E_{2}^{0,4+8l}(C_{4}/C_{4})$
and by $\delta_{1}^{2l+1}, \Sigma_{20}\delta_{1}^{2l} \in E_{2}^{0,4+8l}(C_{4}/C_{2})$
and by $r_{1,0}(r_{1,0}r_{1,1})^{2l}, \mu_{0}r_{1,0}(r_{1,0}r_{1,1})^{2l} \in E_{2}^{0,4+8l}(C_{4}/\langle e \rangle)$
\[ \hat{\C} \subseteq E_{2}^{1+2k,4+8l} \]
generated by $\nu(\sigma \Delta_{1}^{-1})^{k}\Delta_{1}^{l} \in E_{2}^{1+2k,4+8l}(C_{4}/C_{4})$
\[ \hat{\C} \subseteq E_{2}^{2(k+1),4+8l} \]
generated by $\eta^{2}(\sigma \Delta_{1}^{-1})^{k}\Delta_{1}^{l} \in E_{2}^{2(k+1),4+8l}(C_{4}/C_{4})$
and by $\eta_{0}(\eta_{0}^{2k-1})^{k}\delta_{1}^{l}, \mu_{0}\eta_{0}(\eta_{0}^{2k-1})^{k}\delta_{1}^{l} \in E_{2}^{2(k+1),4+8l}(C_{4}/C_{2})$.

(4) In degrees $t = 6 + 8l$ with $k \geq 0$ we have
\[ \hat{\C} \subseteq E_{2}^{0,6+8l} \]
generated by $r_{1,0}(r_{1,0}r_{1,1})^{2l}, \mu_{0}r_{1,0}(r_{1,0}r_{1,1})^{2l} \in E_{2}^{0,6+8l}(C_{4}/\langle e \rangle)$
\[ \hat{\C} \subseteq E_{2}^{1+2k,6+8l} \]
generated by $\zeta(\sigma \Delta_{1}^{-1})^{k}\Delta_{1}^{l} \in E_{2}^{1+2k,6+8l}(C_{4}/C_{4})$
and by $\eta_{0}\delta_{1}^{l}, \mu_{0}\eta_{0}\delta_{1}^{l} \in E_{2}^{1+2k,6+8l}(C_{4}/C_{2})$.

In Figure 4, dashed lines indicate that $\eta$ times the generator is divisible by $\mu$ in $E_{2}(C_{4}/C_{4})$
The dashed line from $\hat{\C}$ to $\hat{\C}$ indicates that
\[ \eta(\eta^{2}\Delta_{1}^{l}(\sigma \Delta_{1}^{-1})^{k-1}) \in E_{2}^{1+2k,6+8l}(C_{4}/C_{4}) = \mu(\zeta \Delta_{1}^{l}(\sigma \Delta_{1}^{-1})^{k}) \in E_{2}^{1+2k,6+8l}(C_{4}/C_{4}), \]
which follows from the relation $T_{2}\eta = \mu\zeta$. The dashed line from $\hat{\C}$ to $\hat{\C}$ indicates that
\[ \eta(\zeta \Delta_{1}^{l}(\sigma \Delta_{1}^{-1})^{k}) \in E_{2}^{1+2k,8+8l}(C_{4}/C_{4}) = \mu(\delta_{1}^{l}(\sigma \Delta_{1}^{-1})^{k+1}) \in E_{2}^{1+2k,8+8l}(C_{4}/C_{4}). \]

5.2.2. Description of the $E_{2}^{t,-1+\sigma++}$-term. Finally, we turn to the description of
\[ E_{2}^{t,-1+\sigma++} = H^{t}(C_{4}, \pi_{1-\sigma++}E). \]

The element $\delta_{1} \in \pi_{1}^{C_{4}}E$ introduced in Remark 5.10 is detected by a permanent cycle in $E_{2}^{0,8l}$ which we call by the same name. Let $u_{A} \in E_{2}^{0,2-4}(C_{4}/C_{4})$ be as in Remark 4.3. The key observation is that multiplication by the unit
\[ \phi := (u_{A}\delta_{1})^{-1} \in E_{2}^{0,-3-\sigma}(C_{4}/C_{4}) \]
and its restrictions induces isomorphisms:

\[
\begin{align*}
E_2^{s,t}(C_4/C_4) & \xrightarrow{p} E_2^{s,t-3-\sigma}(C_4/C_4) \\
E_2^{s,t}(C_4/C_2) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_2^*(p)} E_2^{s,t-3-\sigma}(C_4/C_2) \\
E_2^{s,t}(C_4/\{e\}) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_2^*(p)} E_2^{s,t-3-\sigma}(C_4/\{e\})
\end{align*}
\]

So, using Lemma 5.4, it is straightforward to compute the \(E_2^{s,1-\sigma+t}\)-term. It is depicted in Figure 4.

The class \(u_\sigma \in E_2^{0,1-\sigma}(C_4/C_2)\) of Remark 4.3 is a permanent cycle by Theorem 11.3 of [HHR17] and multiplication by \(u_\sigma\) induces an isomorphism of spectral sequences, and similarly for multiplication by \(\overline{u}_\sigma := \text{res}_1(u_\sigma)\):

\[
\begin{align*}
E_2^{s,t}(C_4/C_2) & \xrightarrow{u_\sigma} E_2^{s,1-\sigma+t}(C_4/C_2) \\
E_2^{s,t}(C_4/\{e\}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{u}_\sigma} E_2^{s,1-\sigma+t}(C_4/\{e\})
\end{align*}
\]

Remark 5.18. In \(E_2^{s,1-\sigma+t}(C_4/C_4)\), the classes \(T_2p, \eta' = \varphi p\), and \(a_\sigma = \nu p\) are permanent cycles. Here, \(a_\sigma\) is as in Notation 2.4 and \(\eta'\) is as in Remark 5.10.

5.3. The differentials and the extensions. In this section, we describe the differentials in the spectral sequence

\[
E_2^{s,*,t} = H^s(C_4, \pi_{*,t}, E) \Rightarrow \pi_{*,t}, E^h
\]

for \(* = 0\) and \(* = 1 - \sigma\). The differentials have the form \(d_r : E_r^{s,*,t} \to E_r^{s+r,*,t+r-1}\). As was mentioned above, the results in this section follow from the computations of [HHR17].

5.3.1. The \(d_3\)-differentials and the \(E_4\)-page. We first describe the \(d_3\)-differentials.

**Proposition 5.19.** In the spectral sequence

\[
H^s(C_4, E_*) \Rightarrow \pi_{*,s-E} E_{hC_4},
\]

the \(d_3\)-differential are \(\eta, \nu, \mu, \Delta_1\) and \(\varphi^2\) linear. In the spectral sequence

\[
H^s(C_4, E_1) \Rightarrow \pi_{1,s-E} E_{hC_4},
\]

they are determined by

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta_3(T_2) &= \eta^3, & \nu_3(m) &= \eta \nu^2 \Delta_1^{-1}, & \mu_3(\zeta) &= \eta \nu^2 \Delta_1^{-1} = \mu \nu^2 \Delta_1^{-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

In the spectral sequence

\[
H^s(C_4, E_{1-\sigma+t}) \Rightarrow \pi_{1-\sigma,*,t-E} E_{hC_1},
\]

they are determined by

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta_3(\Delta_1 p) &= \eta \nu p, & \nu_3(\varphi \nu) &= \nu \varphi \nu \Delta_1^{-1} p.
\end{align*}
\]

In the spectral sequence

\[
H^s(C_2, E_1) \Rightarrow \pi_{1,s-E} E_{hC_2},
\]

the \(d_3\)-differentials are \(\mu_0, \eta_0\) and \(\Sigma_{2,0}\) linear and are determined by

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_3(\Sigma_{2,0}) &= \mu_0 \eta_0^3.
\end{align*}
\]
Proof. We refer the reader to the differentials listed in [HHR17, Table 3].

The differentials in the spectral sequence for $C_4$ follow from Remark 5.10 and the differential

$$d_3(u_1) = \eta a_1.$$  

The $d_3$ differential for $C_2$ is the [HHR17] differential

$$d_3(\Sigma_2,0) = \eta^2_0(n_0 + \eta_1) = \eta_2^2(n_0 + (1 + \mu_0)\eta_0) = \mu_0\eta_0^3.$$  

Both $\Delta_1$ and $\sigma^2$ are $d_3$ cycles and $(E_4, d_3)$ is a module over $\mathbb{W}[\mu][\sigma^2, \Delta_1^{-1}]$. Using this module structure, it suffices to describe the following five differentials to determine the $E^{\ast,4}_4$-page as a Mackey functor. The $E_4$-page is illustrated in Figure 5. The relevant exact sequences of Mackey functors are depicted in Figure 3. See also [HHR17, Section 5, 13].

(1) For $d_3 : E^{0,4}_3 \rightarrow E^{3,6}_3$ we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{\Box} \longrightarrow \widehat{\Box} \longrightarrow \widehat{\Box} \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \nabla \longrightarrow 0$$

determined by $d_3(T_2) = \eta^3$ and $d_3(\Sigma_{2,0}) = \mu_0\eta_0^3$. This gives the following remaining classes

$$\widehat{\Box} \subseteq E^{0,4}_4$$
generated by $2T_2 \in E^{0,4}_2(C_4/C_4)$

and by $2\delta_1, 2\Sigma_{2,0} \in E^{0,4}_3(C_4/C_2)$

and by $r_{1,0}r_{1,1}, r_{1,0}^2 \in E^{0,4}_4(C_4/[e])$

$$\nabla \subseteq E^{3,6}_4$$
generated by $\zeta(\sigma\Delta_1^{-1}) \in E^{3,6}_4(C_4/C_4)$

and by $\eta_0\delta_1(\eta_0^{-1}\Sigma_1^{-1}) \equiv \eta_0^3 \in E^{3,6}_4(C_4/C_2)$.

The following commutative diagram, with rows and columns exact, may help the reader relate this family of $d_3$-differentials to those of [HHR17, Section 13]:

(2) For $d_3 : E^{1,6}_3 \rightarrow E^{4,8}_3$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{\bullet} \longrightarrow \widehat{\Box} \longrightarrow \circ \longrightarrow 0$$

$$d_3$$

$$\bullet$$

$$\circ$$

$$0$$
determined by the differentials $d_4(\zeta) = \mu \varpi^2 \Delta_1^{-1}$ and $d_4(\eta_0 \Sigma_{2,0}) = \mu_0 \eta_0^4$. This gives the following remaining classes
\[
\bigcirc \subseteq E_4^{4,8} \quad \text{generated by } \varpi^2 \Delta_1^{-1} \in E_4^{4,8}(C_4/C_4) \quad \text{and by } (\eta_0 \eta_1)^2 \equiv \eta_0^4 \in E_4^{4,8}(C_4/C_2).
\]

There is a commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \bigcirc & \rightarrow & \bigcirc \rightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & \bigcirc & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

(3) For $d_3 : E_3^{2,8} \rightarrow E_3^{5,10}$, we have an exact sequence
\[
0 \rightarrow \bigcirc \rightarrow \bigcirc \rightarrow \bigcirc \rightarrow 0
\]
determined by the differentials $d_3(\varpi) = \eta \varpi^2 \Delta_1^{-1}$ and $d_3(\eta_0^2 \Sigma_{2,0}) = \mu_0 \eta_0^5$. This gives the following remaining classes
\[
\bigcirc \subseteq E_4^{2,8} \quad \text{generated by } 2 \varpi \in E_4^{2,8}(C_4/C_4) \\
\bigcirc \subseteq E_4^{5,10} \quad \text{generated by } \eta_0(\eta_0 \eta_1)^2 \equiv \eta_0^5 \in E_4^{5,10}(C_4/C_2).
\]

There is a commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \bigcirc & \rightarrow & \bigcirc \rightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & \bigcirc & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

(4) For $d_3 : E_3^{3,10} \rightarrow E_3^{6,12}$, we have an exact sequence
\[
0 \rightarrow \bigcirc \rightarrow \bigcirc \rightarrow \bigcirc \rightarrow 0
\]
determined by the differentials $d_3(\eta \varpi) = \eta \varpi^2 \Delta_1^{-1}$ and $d_3(\eta_0^3 \Sigma_{2,0}) = \eta_0^6$. This gives the following remaining classes
\[
\bigcirc \subseteq E_4^{6,12} \quad \text{generated by } (\eta_0 \eta_1)^3 \equiv \eta_0^6 \in E_4^{6,12}(C_4/C_2).
\]
There is a commutative diagram:

(5) For $d_3 : E_3^{4,12} \to E_3^{7,14}$, we have an exact sequence

\[
0 \xrightarrow{d_3} \bullet \xrightarrow{\nabla} 0
\]

determined by $d_3(T_2\varpi^2\Delta_1^{-1}) = \eta^3\varpi^2\Delta_1^{-1}$ and $d_3(\Sigma_{2,0}(\eta_0\eta_1)^2) = \mu_0\eta_0^3(\eta_0\eta_1)^2$.

This gives the following remaining classes

\[
\nabla \subseteq E_4^{7,14}
\]

generated by $\zeta(\varpi^3\Delta_1^{-2}) \in E_4^{7,14}(C_4/C_2)$

and by $\eta_0^3(\eta_0\eta_1)^2 \equiv \eta_0^7 \in E_4^{7,14}(C_4/C_2)$.

There is a commutative diagram:

We give a similar description of the $E_4^{x,1-\sigma+x}$. Again, using the $\mathbb{W}[[\mu]][\varpi^2, \Delta_1^\pm]$-module structure, it suffices to describe the following five differentials to determine all the $d_3$ differentials. The $E_4$-page is illustrated in Figure 11. See [HHR17, Section 5] and Figure 3 for various relevant exact sequences of Mackey functors.

(1) For $d_3 : E_3^{0.5-\sigma} \to E_3^{3,-\sigma}$, we have an exact sequence

\[
0 \xrightarrow{d_3} \bullet \xrightarrow{\nabla} \bullet \xrightarrow{} 0
\]
determined by \( d_3(\Delta_1 p) = \eta \sigma p \) and \( d_3(\Sigma_{2, 0} u_\sigma) = \mu_0 \eta_0^5 u_\sigma \). This gives the following remaining classes, where \( \overline{u}_\sigma = \text{res}_1^0(u_\sigma) \):

\[ \square \subseteq E_4^{0.5-\sigma} \]
\[ \text{generated by } 2\Delta_1 p \in E_2^{0.5-\sigma}(C_4/C_3) \]
\[ \text{and by } 2\delta_1 u_\sigma, 2\Sigma_{2, 0} u_\sigma \in E_4^{0.5-\sigma}(C_4/C_2) \]
\[ \text{and by } r_{1, 0} r_{1, 1} \overline{u}_\sigma, r_{1, 0}^2 \overline{u}_\sigma \in E_4^{0.5-\sigma}(C_4/\{e\}) \]

\[ \triangle \subseteq E_4^{3,7-\sigma} \]
\[ \text{generated by } \eta_0 \delta_1 (\eta_0^3 \Sigma_{2, 0}^{-1}) u_\sigma \equiv \eta_0^3 u_\sigma \in E_4^{3,7-\sigma}(C_4/C_2) \].

The following commutative diagram has exact rows and columns:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & 0 \\
0 & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & 0 \\
0 & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & 0 \\
0 & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

(2) For \( d_3 : E_3^{1,7-\sigma} \to E_3^{4,9-\sigma} \), we have an exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow \triangle \xrightarrow{d_3} \triangle \xrightarrow{d_3} \triangle \longrightarrow 0
\]

determined by the differentials \( d_3(\eta \Delta_1 p) = \eta^2 \sigma p \) and \( d_3(\eta_0 \Sigma_{2, 0} u_\sigma) = \mu_0 \eta_0^5 u_\sigma \).

This gives the following remaining classes

\[ \triangle \subseteq E_4^{4,9-\sigma} \]
\[ \text{generated by } (\eta_0 \eta_1)^2 u_\sigma \equiv \eta_0^2 u_\sigma \in E_4^{4,9-\sigma}(C_4/C_2) \].

(3) For \( d_3 : E_3^{2,9-\sigma} \to E_3^{5,11-\sigma} \), we have an exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow \triangle \xrightarrow{d_3} \triangle \xrightarrow{d_3} \triangle \longrightarrow \nabla \longrightarrow 0
\]

determined by the differentials \( d_3(\eta^2 \Delta_1 p) = \eta^3 \sigma p \) and \( d_3(\eta_0^2 \Sigma_{2, 0} u_\sigma) = \mu_0 \eta_0^5 u_\sigma \).

\[ \nabla \subseteq E_4^{5,11-\sigma} \]
\[ \text{generated by } \zeta \sigma^2 \delta_1^{-1} p \in E_4^{5,11-\sigma}(C_4/C_3) \]
\[ \text{and by } \eta_0 (\eta_0 \eta_1)^2 u_\sigma \equiv \eta_0^2 u_\sigma \in E_4^{5,11-\sigma}(C_4/C_2) \].

(4) For \( d_3 : E_3^{3,11-\sigma} \to E_3^{6,13-\sigma} \), we have an exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow \triangle \xrightarrow{d_3} \triangle \xrightarrow{d_3} \triangle \longrightarrow 0
\]

determined by the differentials \( d_3(\sigma \zeta p) = \eta \sigma^2 \Delta_1^{-1} p \) and \( d_3(\eta_0^3 \Sigma_{2, 0} u_\sigma) = \eta_0^5 u_\sigma \).

This gives the following remaining classes

\[ \bigcirc \subseteq E_4^{6,13-\sigma} \]
\[ \text{generated by } \sigma^3 \Delta_1^{-1} p \in E_4^{6,13-\sigma}(C_4/C_4) \]
\[ \text{and by } (\eta_0 \eta_1)^3 u_\sigma \equiv \eta_0^3 u_\sigma \in E_4^{6,13-\sigma}(C_4/C_2) \].
(5) For $d_3 : E_3^{s,13-σ} \to E_3^{s,15-σ}$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \hat{0} \xrightarrow{d_1} \hat{0} \longrightarrow 0$$

determined by $d_3(σ^2 p) = η σ^3 Δ^{-1} p$ and $d_3(Σ_2 o(η_0 η_1)^2 u_σ) = μ_0 η_0^3(η_0 η_1)^2 u_σ$.

This gives the following remaining classes

- $\bullet \subseteq E_3^{4,13-σ}$ generated by $2σ^2 p \in E_3^{4,13-σ}(C_4/C_4)$
- $\overline{\bullet} \subseteq E_4^{7,15-σ}$ generated by $η_0^3(η_0 η_1)^2 u_σ \equiv η_0^7 u_σ \in E_4^{7,15-σ}(C_4/C_2)$.

There are several exotic restrictions and transfers that follow from the $d_3$ differentials. Following [HHR17, Figure 10] we indicate exotic transfers by solid blue lines and exotic restrictions by dashed green lines.

### 5.3.2. Higher differentials and the $E_\infty$-page.

The higher differentials for the homotopy fixed point spectral sequences $H^*(C_4,E_\ast)$ and $H^*(C_2,E_\ast)$ are listed below. By the $E_\infty$-term onwards, the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence and the slice spectral sequence are isomorphic in the range $s > 2$ and $t - s > s$. Because of the periodicity of the HF-PSS, higher differentials are easily deduced from slice differentials. We refer the reader to [HHR17, Section 14] for more details. The computation is also illustrated in Figure 5 and Figure 11. The exact sequences of Mackey functors involving the differentials are depicted in Figure 3.

**Remark 5.20.** The following classes are permanent cycles:

$$\hat{κ} = σ^2 Δ_1 \quad \epsilon = σ^4 Δ_1^{-2} \quad κ = 2σ Δ_1$$

Therefore, all differentials are linear with respect to multiplication by these classes.

**Remark 5.21.** One key difference between our computation and that of [HHR17] is the behavior of $u_{2σ}$. In the $E_\ast(C_4/C_4)$-term of the slice spectral sequence for $π_* k_{[2]}$, there is a relation $a_3^σ u_σ = 0$. However, in the $E_\infty$-term of the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for $π_* E_\ast^h$, the image of $u_V$ for every representation $V$ becomes a unit. Therefore, $a_3^σ = 0$ in $H^3(C_4,π_* E)$. In fact, $a_3^σ u_σ^3 Δ_1^2 = v^3$, which is zero on the $E_2(C_4/C_4)$-page. This implies that the target of the slice differential $d_5(u_{2σ}) = d_3 a_3^σ u_σ^3 Δ_1$ is trivial. So, in the spectral sequence

$$H^*(C_4,π_* E) \Rightarrow π_{*+σ} E^h C_4$$

we have

$$d_5(u_{2σ}) = 0.$$

**Proposition 5.22.** The $d_5$ differentials are $μ$, $η$, $ν$, $κ$ and $Δ_1^2$-linear. The differentials $d_5 : E_5^{s,1}(C_4/C_4) \to E_5^{s+5,1+4}(C_4/C_4)$ are determined by

$$d_5(Δ_1) = νΔ_1^{-1} σ^2, \quad d_5(νσ) = 2Δ_1^{-2} σ^4.$$  

The differentials $d_5 : E_5^{s,1-σ+4}(C_4/C_4) \to E_5^{s+5,1-σ+4}(C_4/C_4)$ are determined by

$$d_5(Δ_1 ν p) = 2Δ_1^{-1} σ^3 p, \quad d_5(Δ_1 σ p) = νΔ_1^{-1} σ^3 p.$$

**Proof.** Again, these come from differentials listed in [HHR17, Table 3]. They are deduced using Remark 5.10 from

$$d_5(u_{2σ}^2) = u_{2σ}^2 v a_3^σ Δ_1,$$  

$$d_5(u_σ^2) = ν a_3^σ Δ_1.$$
Here, $\bar{\nu} = a_s u_\lambda \in E_2^{1-\sigma-\lambda}(C_4/C_4)$ is a permanent cycle. In fact, $\bar{\nu} = \nu d_1^{-1}$. Here, we also use that $\nu^2 = 2\sigma$, which is related to the “gold relation” $2u_{2\sigma} a_\lambda = a_\sigma^2 u_\lambda$. □

We take a moment here to prove the following result, which settles Proposition 4.5.

**Proposition 5.23.** The classes $u_8^8, u_{2\sigma}^2, u_{2\sigma} a_\lambda^3$ and $\bar{u}_{4\lambda}$ are permanent cycles. In particular, the class $u_{8\sigma_2}$ is a permanent cycle in the spectral sequence for $E^{bc}$. 

**Proof.** That $u_8^8, u_{2\sigma}^2$ and $\bar{u}_{4\lambda}$ are permanent cycles is part of [HHR17, Theorem 11.13]. The claim about $u_{8\sigma_2}$ follows from the fact that the restriction of $\lambda$ to the group $C_2$ is $2\sigma_2$.

We give a quick argument to justify that $u_{2\sigma} a_\lambda^3$ is a permanent cycle. Multiplication by $u_{2\sigma} a_\lambda^3$ induces an isomorphism

$$E_2^{*,*}(C_4/C_4) \to E_2^{*,10-2\sigma-4\lambda}(C_4/C_4).$$

that sends 1 to $u_{2\sigma} a_\lambda^3$. Using this isomorphism, it is visible that if $u_{2\sigma} a_\lambda^3$ is a $d_7$-cycle, then it is a permanent cycle by sparseness. Both $u_{2\sigma}$ and $a_\lambda^3$ are $d_7$-cycles. The only possible non-trivial $d_7$ differential is $d_7(u_{2\sigma} a_\lambda^3) = \eta' u_{2\sigma} a_\lambda^3 d_1^3$. Note that $\bar{k}$ is detected by

$$\bar{k} = \sigma^2 \Delta_1 = d^2 \nu_{2\sigma} a_\lambda^3 (u_{2\sigma} a_\lambda^3)$$

So, the differential would imply that

$$d_7(\sigma^2 \Delta_1) = \eta' u_{2\sigma} a_\lambda^3 d_1^3 \eta' = \sigma^5 \Delta_1^{-2}$$

which is non-trivial on the $E_7$-term, a contradiction. Therefore, $u_{2\sigma} a_\lambda^3$ is a $d_7$-cycle. □

**Corollary 5.24.** The class $\sigma \Delta_1^3 p$ is a permanent cycle detecting a non-zero class

$$\sigma \Delta_1^3 p \in \pi_{19-\sigma} E(C_4/C_4).$$

**Proof.** This follows from Proposition 5.23 since

$$\sigma \Delta_1^3 p = a_\lambda u_{2\sigma}^2 d_1^3 (u_{2\sigma} a_\lambda^3)$$

is a product of permanent cycles. □

**Proposition 5.25.** The $d_7$ differentials are $\mu, \eta, \nu, \bar{k}, \epsilon$ and $\Delta_1^4$-linear. The $d_7$ differentials $d_7 : E_7^{*,*}(C_4/C_4) \to E_7^{*,7+\sigma+6}(C_4/C_4)$ are determined by

$$d_7(2\Delta_1) = \eta^4 \Delta_1^{-2}, \quad d_7(\Delta_1^2) = \eta \sigma^3 \Delta_1^{-1}, \quad d_7(\mu \Delta_1) = 0.$$

Further, $\mu \Delta_1$ is a permanent cycle.

The differentials $d_7 : E_7^{*,1-\sigma+4}(C_4/C_4) \to E_7^{*,7,1+\sigma+6}(C_4/C_4)$ are determined by

$$d_7(2\Delta_1^3 \sigma \nu^4) = \nu^4 \sigma^4 \Delta_1^{-3}, \quad d_7(\sigma \nu^4 \nu^3) = \nu^4 \sigma^4 \nu^{-3}, \quad d_7(\mu \sigma \nu^4 \nu^3) = 0.$$

The differentials $d_7 : E_7^{*,d}(C_4/C_2) \to E_7^{*,7+\sigma+6}(C_4/C_2)$ are $\eta_0, \mu_0$ and $\Sigma_{2,0}$-linear. They are determined by

$$d_7(\Sigma_{2,0}^2) = \eta_0^7.$$

The spectral sequence $E_7^{*,*}(C_4/C_4)$ collapses at $E_7$. 

Proof. We use the proof of [HHR17, Theorem 14.3]. From that discussion, one deduces that
\[ d_7(2\Delta_1) = a^3_\lambda u_2 u_\eta \tilde{\delta}_1 \]
and that
\[ d_7(\Delta_1^2) = \Delta_1 d_7(2\Delta_1). \]
The first two differentials for \( E_\infty^{*,*}(C_4 / C_4) \) then follow using Remark 5.10.
The \( d_7 \) for \( E_\infty^{*,*}(C_4 / C_2) \) is obtained by multiplying the [HHR17] differential
\[ d_7((a^2_\lambda)) = a^7_\lambda \tau^3_{1,1,0} \]
with the permanent cycle \( \tilde{p}^4_{1,0} \). There is a vanishing line at \( s = 8 \) on the \( E_\infty \)-page, and so the spectral sequence collapses.
For \( d_7(\Delta_1 \mu) = 0 \), note that \( \mu \Delta_1 = \tau^4_{2}(\mu_0 \delta^2_1) \). Since
\[ d_7(\mu_0 \delta^2_1) = d_7(\mu_0(1 - \mu_0)^2 \Sigma^2_{2,0}) = \mu_0(1 + \mu_0^2) \eta^7 \]
and the latter is zero in \( E_7^{7,14}(C_4 / C_2) \), the claim follows.
Now, note that \( \mu_0 \delta^2_1 \) is a permanent cycle, hence so is \( \mu \Delta_1 = \tau^4_{2}(\mu_0 \delta^2_1) \). Finally, the differentials in \( E_7^{*,1-\sigma++}(C_4 / C_4) \) then follow using Corollary 5.24 and the fact that the \( d_7 \) differentials are \( \Delta^4_1 \)-linear. \( \square \)

**Proposition 5.26.** The \( d_{11} \) and \( d_{13} \) differentials are \( \mu, \eta, \nu, \kappa, e \) and \( \Delta^4_1 \)-linear. The \( d_{11} \)-differentials \( d_{11} : E_1^{*,*}(C_4 / C_4) \to E_{11}^{*,*}(C_4 / C_4) \) are determined by
\[ d_{11}(s \sigma^2 \Delta^2_1) = 2\Delta_1^{-4} s \sigma^7 = \nu^2 \sigma^6 \Delta_1^{-4}. \]
The \( d_{11} \)-differentials \( d_{11} : E_1^{*,1-\sigma++}(C_4 / C_4) \to E_{11}^{*,1-\sigma++}(C_4 / C_4) \) are determined by
\[ d_{11}(s \sigma^2 \Delta^2_1 \psi) = \nu^2 \sigma^7 \Delta_1^{-2} \psi. \]
The \( d_{13} \)-differentials \( d_{13} : E_1^{*,*}(C_4 / C_4) \to E_{13}^{*,*}(C_4 / C_4) \) are determined by
\[ d_{13}(\Delta_1 \nu \sigma) = \Delta_1^{-4} \nu \sigma^8 = \Delta_1^{-4} \nu \sigma^7 \]
The \( d_{13} \)-differentials \( d_{13} : E_1^{*,1-\sigma++}(C_4 / C_4) \to E_{13}^{*,1-\sigma++}(C_4 / C_4) \) are determined by
\[ d_{13}(s \nu \sigma^2 \psi) = \Delta_1^{-2} s \nu \sigma^9 \psi = \Delta_1^{-2} s \nu \sigma^8 \psi. \]

**Proof.** The \( d_{11} \) in \( E_\infty^{*,*}(C_4 / C_4) \) follows from [HHR17, Theorem 14.2 (iv)]. The \( d_{13} \)s come from Theorem [HHR17, Theorem 14.4]. Finally, the differentials in \( E_\infty^{*,1-\sigma++}(C_4 / C_4) \) then follow from those in the integer graded spectral sequence by multiplying by the permanent cycle \( \Delta^4_1 \psi \) of Corollary 5.24. \( \square \)

**Remark 5.27.** There is a typo in the statement of [BO16, Proposition 2.3.8]. It should read \( d_{11}(\gamma_\xi) = 2s^{-4} \xi^7 \).

The \( E_\infty \) term is illustrated in Figure 5. The exact sequences of Mackey functors required to compute it are listed in Figure 3. Finally, Table 3, Table 4 and Table 5 contain the definitions of the Mackey functors required to read Figure 5.
5.3.3. *Exotic restrictions and transfers.* Exotic restrictions and transfers can mostly be deduced from [HHR17]. In general, they are solved using [HHR17, Lemma 4.2] which states that, for a cyclic two group $G$ with finite subgroup $G'$ of index two, if $\sigma$ denotes the sign representation for $G$, then

- $\text{im}(\text{tr}_{G'}^G) = \ker(a_\sigma)$, and
- $\ker(\text{res}_{G'}^G) = \text{im}(a_\sigma)$.

We have explicitly computed the $E^{*,*+\infty}$-pages for $\star = 0, 1 - \sigma$. Further, as we noted in Remark 4.9, there are isomorphisms

- $E^{*,*}_\infty \cong E^{*,*+18-2\sigma}_\infty$,
- $E^{*,1-\sigma+\infty}_\infty \cong E^{*,*+15+\sigma}_\infty$.

So, it is straightforward to study the image and the kernel of multiplication by $a_\sigma$ and $a_{\sigma'}$ on $E^{*,*}_\infty$ and $E^{*,1-\sigma+\infty}_\infty$. This allows us to use the above observation to deduce exotic transfers and restrictions that are not stated in [HHR17].

We first list the extensions for $\tilde{\pi}_* E$, and then turn to the shift by $1 - \sigma$. The homotopy groups $\tilde{\pi}_* E$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{1-\sigma+*} E$ are listed in Table 6. See also Figure 10 and Figure 16.

1. In $\tilde{\pi}_*$ for $t \equiv 2 \mod (32)$, the exotic transfers resolve in two steps as

   $\begin{array}{cccccc}
   0 & \longrightarrow & \bullet & \longrightarrow & \circ & \longrightarrow & 0 , \\
   0 & \longrightarrow & \circ & \longrightarrow & \hat{o} & \longrightarrow & \hat{o} \longrightarrow 0 .
   \end{array}$

2. In $\tilde{\pi}_*$ for $t \equiv 3, 19 \mod (32)$, the exotic restriction resolves as

   $\begin{array}{cccccc}
   0 & \longrightarrow & \bullet & \longrightarrow & \circ & \longrightarrow & 0 .
   \end{array}$

3. In $\tilde{\pi}_*$ for $t \equiv 4 \mod (32)$, the exotic transfer resolves as

   $\begin{array}{cccccc}
   0 & \longrightarrow & \bigcirc & \longrightarrow & \hat{1} & \longrightarrow & \hat{2} \longrightarrow 0 .
   \end{array}$

4. In $\tilde{\pi}_*$ for $t \equiv 6 \mod (32)$, the exotic restriction and transfer resolves in two steps as

   $\begin{array}{cccccc}
   0 & \longrightarrow & \bullet & \longrightarrow & \circ & \longrightarrow & 0 , \\
   0 & \longrightarrow & \bullet & \longrightarrow & \hat{1} & \longrightarrow & \hat{1} \longrightarrow 0 .
   \end{array}$

5. In $\tilde{\pi}_*$ for $t \equiv 9 \mod (32)$, the exotic transfer resolves as

   $\begin{array}{cccccc}
   0 & \longrightarrow & \bullet & \longrightarrow & \hat{o} & \longrightarrow & \hat{1} \longrightarrow 0 .
   \end{array}$

6. In $\tilde{\pi}_*$ for $t \equiv 10, 26 \mod (32)$, the exotic transfer resolves as

   $\begin{array}{cccccc}
   0 & \longrightarrow & \hat{o} & \longrightarrow & \hat{1} & \longrightarrow & \hat{1} \longrightarrow 0 .
   \end{array}$

7. In $\tilde{\pi}_*$ for $t \equiv 18 \mod (32)$, the exotic transfer resolves as

   $\begin{array}{cccccc}
   0 & \longrightarrow & \bullet & \longrightarrow & \hat{1} & \longrightarrow & \hat{1} \longrightarrow 0 .
   \end{array}$
(8) In \( \mathcal{E}_t \), for \( t \equiv 20 \mod (32) \), the exotic transfer resolves as
\[
0 \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow 0.
\]

(9) In \( \mathcal{E}_t \), for \( t \equiv 21 \mod (32) \), the exotic transfer resolves as
\[
0 \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow 0.
\]

(10) In \( \mathcal{E}_t \), for \( t \equiv 22 \mod (32) \), the exotic restriction and transfer resolves in three steps as
\[
0 \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow 0,
0 \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow 0,
0 \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow 0.
\]

(11) In \( \mathcal{E}_t \), for \( t \equiv 28 \mod (32) \), the exotic transfer resolves as
\[
0 \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow 0.
\]

Next, we list the extensions for \( E_{s+1-\sigma} E \). Most of them can be read off the computation of the homotopy groups and we add a word for those that are not so clear.

(1) In \( \mathcal{E}_t \), for \( t \equiv 1 - \sigma \mod (32) \), the exotic transfer resolves as
\[
0 \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow 0.
\]

(2) In \( \mathcal{E}_t \), for \( t \equiv 3 - \sigma \mod (32) \), the exotic transfer resolves as
\[
0 \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow 0.
\]

(3) In \( \mathcal{E}_t \), for \( t \equiv 5 - \sigma \mod (32) \), the exotic restriction resolves as
\[
0 \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow 0.
\]

(4) In \( \mathcal{E}_t \), for \( t \equiv 6 - \sigma, 22 - \sigma \mod (32) \), the exotic restriction resolves as
\[
0 \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow 0.
\]

(5) In \( \mathcal{E}_t \), for \( t \equiv 7 - \sigma \mod (32) \), the exotic transfer resolves as
\[
0 \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow 0.
\]

(6) In \( \mathcal{E}_t \), for \( t \equiv 9 - \sigma, 25 - \sigma \mod (32) \), the exotic transfer resolves as
\[
0 \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow 0.
\]

There must be an exotic transfer in this degree since \( E_{9-2\sigma}(C_4/C_4) = 0 \) so the class in \( (9 - \sigma, 4) \) must be in the image of the transfer. Similarly, the fact that \( E_{25-2\sigma}(C_4/C_4) = 0 \) implies the exotic transfer in stem \( 25 - \sigma \).

(7) In \( \mathcal{E}_t \), for \( t \equiv 11 - \sigma, 27 - \sigma \mod (32) \), the exotic transfer resolves as
\[
0 \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow \blacksquare \longrightarrow 0.
\]
(8) In $\mathfrak{g}_t$ for $t \equiv 19 - \sigma \mod (32)$, the exotic transfer resolves as

$$
\begin{array}{c}
0 \rightarrow \circ \rightarrow \blacklozenge \rightarrow \Box \rightarrow 0.
\end{array}
$$

(9) In $\mathfrak{g}_t$ for $t \equiv 21 - \sigma \mod (32)$, the exotic restriction and transfer resolve in two steps as

$$
\begin{array}{c}
0 \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \blacklozenge \rightarrow \Box \rightarrow 0,
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{c}
0 \rightarrow \blacklozenge \rightarrow \Box \rightarrow \Box \rightarrow 0.
\end{array}
$$

(10) In $\mathfrak{g}_t$ for $t \equiv 23 - \sigma \mod (32)$, the exotic transfer resolves as

$$
\begin{array}{c}
0 \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \blacklozenge \rightarrow \Box \rightarrow 0.
\end{array}
$$

**Remark 5.28.** There is no exotic transfer to the class detected in degree $(27 - \sigma, 10)$ since this class is not in the kernel of multiplication by $a_\sigma$. Similarly, there is no exotic transfer in stem $20 - \sigma$.

**Corollary 5.29.** The homotopy groups of $E_{s+1}^{-} E$ are not an integer shift of $E_1 E$. As was stated in Proposition 4.6, this implies that there is no $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $E \wedge S^{s-1} \simeq E \wedge S^d$ as $C_4$ equivariant $E$-module spectra.

6. The Algebraic Picard Group

In this section, we compute the algebraic part of $\text{Pic}(E)$. First, we recall a few facts from algebra.

Let $R$ be a ring with an action of a group $G$ by ring automorphisms. We consider the category of $G$-twisted $R$-modules where the objects are $R$-modules $M$ with an action of $G$ compatible with the action of $G$ on $R$. Namely, for $r \in R, m \in M$ and $g \in G$

$$g(rm) = g(r)g(m).$$

This is a symmetric monoidal category and we let its Picard group be denoted by $\text{Pic}_G(R)$. If $G$ is a finite group and $R$ is a Noetherian local ring, then a $G$-twisted $R$-module $M$ is invertible if and only if it is free of rank one as an $R$-module. From this, one deduces that there is an isomorphism

$$\text{Pic}_G(R) \cong H^1(G, R^\times).$$

The isomorphism is defined by choosing an $R$ module generator $m$ for $M$ and defining a function $\phi_M : G \rightarrow R$ by the formula

$$g(m) = \phi_M(g)m.$$

Note further that if we let $H$ vary over the subgroups of $G$, it is clear that the right hand side assembles as a Mackey functor. This corresponds on the left hand side to the Mackey functor

$$\text{Pic}(R)(G/H) = \text{Pic}_H(R)$$

with $\text{res}_H^G(M)$ the module $M$ with $H$ action restricted along the inclusion of $H$ in $G$ and

$$\text{tr}_H^G(M) = N_H^G(M),$$

where $N_H^G(M) = \otimes_{G/H} M$ is the indexed tensor product of $R$-modules.
Now, let $R$ be a ring spectrum with an action of $G$ and $R_0 = \pi_0 R$. In the Picard spectral sequence, which we introduce in more details in the next section, we will have

$$E^{1,1}_{q,2} (G/H) \cong H^1 (H, R^q_0) \cong \text{Pic}_H (R_0).$$

Therefore, the computation of $\text{Pic}(R_0)$ is an input for that of $\text{Pic}(R)$. In this section, we prove the following statement.

**Proposition 6.1.** There is an isomorphism $\text{Pic} C_4 (E_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4$ and $\text{Pic} C_2 (E_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$.

Let $G = C_2$ or $C_4$. Since a $G$-$E_0$-module is in $\text{Pic}_G (E_0)$ if and only if it is free of rank one as an $E_0$-module, for each integer $n$, $E_{2n}$ is an element of $\text{Pic}_G (E_0)$. Further, the multiplication

$$(6.2) \quad E_{2n} \otimes_{E_0} E_{2m} \to E_{2(n+m)}$$

induces an isomorphism. This gives a group homomorphism

$$\varphi^G (-) : \mathbb{Z} \to \text{Pic}_G (E_0)$$

where $\varphi^G (n) = E_{2n}$.

**Lemma 6.2.** Let $k = 0, 1, 2$. The kernel of $\varphi^C_k$ is the ideal $(2^k)\mathbb{Z}$.

**Proof.** First, let $G = C_4$. If $f : E_0 \to E_{2n}$ is an isomorphism of $C_4$-twisted $E_0$-modules, then $f(1)$ is a unit of degree $2n$ which is invariant modulo the action of $C_4$. Conversely, any such isomorphism is given by multiplication by such an invariant unit. The element $\Delta_1$ defined in Table 1 has this property, so multiplication by $\Delta_1$ induces an equivariant isomorphism

$$\Delta_1 : E_{2n} \to E_{2n+8}$$

so that $E_{2k}$ is at least 4-periodic and $(4) \subseteq \ker (\varphi^{C_4})$. There is no such unit in $E_2$, $E_4$ or $E_6$, so this identifies the kernel.

The argument for $C_2$ is similar, replacing $\Delta_1$ by $\delta_1$ and that for the trivial group is obvious. \qed

As an immediate consequence, we have:

**Corollary 6.3.** Let $k = 0, 1, 2$. There are inclusions $\mathbb{Z}/2^k \subseteq \text{Pic} C_{2k} (E_0)$ where $\mathbb{Z}/2^k$ is generated by the isomorphism class of $E_2$.

To finish the proof of Proposition 6.1, we show that $H^1(C_{2k}, E_0^X)$ has order at most $2^k$. Once we have shown this, we can assemble the Mackey functor $\text{Pic}(E_0) \cong H^1(C_4, E_0^X)$. The effect of

$$\text{res}^4_2 : \text{Pic} C_4 (E_0) \to \text{Pic} C_2 (E_0)$$

is obvious since it sends the generator $E_2$ to itself. Since $\text{tr}^4 \text{res}^4_2 = |C_4 / C_2|$ (for example by (6.1)), the transfer must be multiplication by 2. Therefore, $\text{Pic}(E_0)$ is the following Mackey functor:

$$\begin{align*}
\text{Pic} C_4 (E_0) &\cong \mathbb{Z}/4 \\
1 &\to 2 \\
\text{Pic} C_2 (E_0) &\cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \\
0 &\to 0 \\
\text{Pic}(e) (E_0) &\equiv 0
\end{align*}$$
We denote this Mackey functor $\bigcirc$.

The remainder of the section is dedicated to proving that $H^1(C_{2^k}, E_0^\times)$ has order at most $2^k$ for $k = 1, 2$. This is rather technical and the next section will only appeal to the statement of Proposition 6.1, so the reader may safely skip the remainder of this section. We treat $C_2$ and $C_4$ separately since proving this for $C_2$ is much easier.

**Lemma 6.4.** There is an isomorphism

$$H^1(C_2, E_0^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

**Proof.** The group $C_2$ is generated by $\gamma^2$ which acts trivially on $E_0^\times$. See Section 5.2. The standard projective resolution for $\mathbb{Z}$ as a trivial $C_2$-module gives a cochain complex

$$0 \rightarrow E_0^\times \rightarrow E_0^\times \rightarrow E_0^\times \rightarrow \cdots.$$ 

Since $\gamma^2(x)(x)^{-1} = 1$ and $y^2(x)(x) = x^2$ for all $x$, we have

$$H^1(C_2, E_0^\times) = \ker \left( E_0^\times \rightarrow E_0^\times \right).$$

However, $x^2 = 1$ in $E_0$ if and only if $x = (\pm 1)$. $\square$

To deal with $\text{Pic}_{C_4}(E_0)$, we introduce some notation. Let $U_0 = E_0^\times$ and, for $n \geq 1$,

$$U_n = \{ x \in E_0^\times : x \equiv 1 \mod (2^n) \}.$$

There are isomorphisms

$$U_n/U_{n+1} \cong \begin{cases} 
E_0^\times/2 & n = 0 \\
E_0/2 & n \geq 1
\end{cases}.$$

We will use the long exact sequence on cohomology associated to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U_1 \rightarrow E_0^\times \rightarrow E_0^\times/2 \rightarrow 0.$$ (6.3)

**Lemma 6.5.** The maps $E_0^{C_4} \rightarrow (E_0/2)^{C_4}$ and $(E_0^\times)^{C_4} \rightarrow (E_0^\times/2)^{C_4}$ are surjective.

**Proof.** Since an element of $E_0$ is a unit if and only if it is a unit modulo 2, it suffices to prove that the map $E_0^{C_4} \rightarrow (E_0/2)^{C_4}$ is a surjection. Since $H^1(C_4, E_0) = 0$ (see, for example, Figure 4) this follows from the long exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_0/2 \rightarrow 0. \square$$

It follows from Lemma 6.5 that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(C_4, U_1) \rightarrow H^1(C_4, E_0^\times) \rightarrow H^1(C_4, E_0^\times/2).$$

We will show that both $H^1(C_4, U_1)$ and $H^1(C_4, E_0^\times/2)$ have order 2, which will imply that the order of $H^1(C_4, E_0^\times)$ is at most 4. Together with Corollary 6.3, these results prove Proposition 6.1.

**Remark 6.6.** One can also prove the claim by filtering $E_0^\times$ by powers of its maximal ideal. That argument is slightly shorter but more technical. We have opted to take the slightly longer, but less steep trail.

**Proposition 6.7.** There is an isomorphism $H^1(C_4, U_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. 
Proof. To compute \( H^1(C_4, U_1) \), we use the Bockstein spectral sequence
\[
E_1^{s,n} = H^s(C_4, U_n/U_{n+1}) \implies H^s(C_4, U_1)
\]
with differentials \( d_r : E_r^{s,n} \rightarrow E_r^{s+1,n+r} \) and \( n \geq 1 \). See Figure 1.

The differentials are described as follows. First, if \( \gamma^2 \) acts trivially on a \( C_4 \)-module \( M \),
\[
\gamma^3(-)\gamma^2(-)\gamma(-) = (\gamma(-))^2
\]
on \( M \). The standard resolution for the group \( C_4 \) gives a cochain complex
\[
0 \rightarrow P^0(M) \xrightarrow{\gamma(-)-1} P^1(M) \xrightarrow{\gamma(-)-1} P^2(M) \xrightarrow{\gamma(-)-1} \cdots
\]
with \( P^s(M) = M \) for all \( s \geq 0 \). The cohomology of this complex is \( H^s(C_4, M) \).

For an element
\[
a \in E_r^{s,n} \cong H^s(C_4, U_n/U_{n+1})
\]
a differential \( d_r(a) \) in the spectral sequence (6.4) is the Bockstein associated to the exact sequence
\[
0 \rightarrow U_{n+1}/U_{n+r+1} \rightarrow U_n/U_{n+r+1} \rightarrow U_n/U_{n+1} \rightarrow 0.
\]
This is computed by choosing a cocycle representative \( a \in P^s(U_n/U_{n+1}) \) for \( a \) and lifting it to an element \( \tilde{a} \in P^s(U_n/U_{n+r+1}) \). Then \( d_r(\tilde{a}) \) will necessarily be a cocycle in
\[
P^{s+1}(U_{n+r}/U_{n+r+1}) \subset P^{s+1}(U_{n+1}/U_{n+r+1})
\]
since \( a \) was a \( d_{r-1} \)-cycle. The cohomology class represented by
\[
d(\tilde{a}) \in H^{s+1}(C_4, U_{n+r}/U_{n+r+1})
\]
is \( d_r(a) \).

Fix an isomorphism
\[
E_0/2 \cong k[\mu_0] \rightarrow U_n/U_{n+1},
\]
\[
f(\mu_0) \mapsto 1 + 2^n f(\mu_0) \mod 2^{n+1}
\]
From Section 5.2, we have that
\[
U_n/U_{n+1} \cong E_0/2 \cong A(+)/2
\]
as \( C_4 \)-modules. Recall that
\[
\mu = \mu_0 + \gamma(\mu_0)
\]
is invariant for the action of \( C_4 \) (since \( \gamma^2(\mu_0) = \mu_0 \)). Using the standard resolution, we get the following \( \mathbb{W}[\mu] \)-modules
\[
E_1^{s,n} \cong H^s(C_4, U_n/U_{n+1}) \cong \begin{cases} k[\mu] & s = 2t \\ k \oplus k[\mu] & s = 2t + 1. \end{cases}
\]
with cocycle representatives in \( P^s(U_n/U_{n+1}) \) given by
\[
f(\mu) \mapsto 1 + 2^n f(\mu) \quad s = 2t
\]
\[
\alpha \mapsto 1 + 2^n \alpha \quad s = 2t + 1
\]
\[
f(\mu) \mapsto 1 + 2^n f(\mu) \mu_0 \quad s = 2t + 1.
\]
for \( f(\mu) \in k[\mu] \) and \( \alpha \in k \).

For \( f(\mu) \in k[\mu] = (E_0/2)^{C_4} \), we let \( \tilde{f}(\mu) \in \mathbb{W}[\mu] = E_0^{C_4} \) be any invariant lift, which exists by Lemma 6.5. Further, given \( \alpha \in k \), we let \( \tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{W} \) be zero if \( \alpha = 0 \) or a Teichmüller lift if \( \alpha \in k^X \).
First, let \( s = 2t \). For \( f(\mu) \in k[\mu] = E^{2t+1,n}_1 \) the cocycle representative \( 1 + 2^n f(\mu) \in P^{2k}(U_n/U_{n+1}) \) lifts to

\[
1 + 2^n \tilde{f}(\mu) \in P^{2r}(U_n/U_{n+r+1}).
\]

Since \( 1 + 2^n \tilde{f}(\mu) \) is invariant for the action of \( C_4 \), we have that

\[
d = \gamma(-)(-)^{-1} : P^{2t}(U_n/U_{n+r+1}) \to P^{2t+1}(U_n/U_{n+r+1})
\]

is given by \( d(1 + 2^n \tilde{f}(\mu)) = 1 \), which reduces to zero in \( U_{n+r}/U_{n+r+1} \) for any \( r \geq 1 \). So the differentials

\[
d_r : E^{2t,n}_r \to E^{2t+1,n+r}_r
\]

are all trivial.

Now let \( s = 2t + 1 \) for odd \( t \geq 0 \). A choice of representative for a class

\[
(0, f(\mu)) \in k \oplus k[\mu] \cong E^{2t+1,n}_1
\]

is given by \( 1 + 2^n \tilde{f}(\mu_0) \) in \( P^{2t+1}(U_n/U_{n+1}) \), which lifts to

\[
1 + 2^n \tilde{f}(\mu) \in P^{2t+1}(U_n/U_{n+r+1}).
\]

We compute

\[
d = (\gamma(-)(-))^2 : P^{2t+1}(U_n/U_{n+r+1}) \to P^{2t+2}(U_n/U_{n+r+1}).
\]

Using that \( \mu = \gamma(\mu_0) + \mu_0 \), we have

\[
d(1 + 2^n \tilde{f}(\mu) \mu_0) = \gamma(1 + 2^n \tilde{f}(\mu) \mu_0)^2 (1 + 2^n \tilde{f}(\mu) \mu_0)^2
\]

\[
= ((1 + 2^n \tilde{f}(\mu) \gamma(\mu_0))(1 + 2^n \tilde{f}(\mu) \mu_0))^2
\]

\[
\equiv 1 + 2^{n+1} \mu \tilde{f}(\mu) + 2^{2n} \mu^2 \tilde{f}(\mu)^2 \mod 2^{n+2}
\]

Therefore, on \( k[\mu] \subseteq k \oplus k[\mu] \cong E^{2t+1,n}_1 \), we have differentials

\[
d_1 : E^{2t+1,n}_1 \to E^{2t+2,n}_1 \cong k[\mu]
\]

given by

\[
d_1(f(\mu)) = \begin{cases} 
\mu f(\mu) + \mu^2 f(\mu)^2 & n = 1 \\
\mu f(\mu) & n > 1
\end{cases}
\]

which in both cases are isomorphisms onto \( \mu k[\mu] \).

A choice of representative for a class

\[(a, 0) \in k \oplus k[\mu] \cong E^{2t+1,n}_1\]

is given by \( 1 + 2^n a \) in \( P^{2t+1}(U_n/U_{n+1}) \), which lifts to

\[
1 + 2^n a \in P^{2t+1}(U_n/U_{n+r+1}).
\]

Since this class is invariant for the action of \( C_4 \), we have that

\[
d(1 + 2^n a) = (1 + 2^n a)^4
\]

\[
\equiv 1 + 2^{n+2} \bar{a} + 2^{2n+1} \bar{a}^2 \mod 2^{n+3}
\]

Therefore, on \( k \subseteq k \oplus k[\mu] \cong E^{2t+1,n}_1 \), we have differentials

\[
d_1 : E^{2t+1,n}_1 \to E^{2t+2,n}_1 \cong k[\mu]
\]
given by

\[ d_1(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 
\alpha + \alpha^2 & n = 1 \\
\alpha & n > 1. 
\end{cases} \]

These are isomorphisms if \( n \geq 2 \), and if \( n = 1 \), the kernel is \( \mathbb{F}_2 = \mathbb{F}(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_2)) \).

In particular, it follows that

\[ E^{1,n}_{\infty} = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{F}_2 & n = 1 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]

which implies the claim. \( \square \)

**Figure 1.** The spectral sequence (6.4) (top) and the spectral sequence (6.7) (bottom), drawn in the \((n, s)\)-plane. A ⋄ denotes a copy of \( k \). A ⋄ denotes a copy of \( k[[\mu]] \), where the inner dot stands for \( k[1] \) and the outer rim stands for \( \mu k[[\mu]] \) (this allows us to draw the differentials more precisely). The dashed line indicates that \( \alpha \mapsto \alpha + \alpha^2 \) for \( \alpha \in k \).

**Proposition 6.8.** There is an isomorphism \( H^1(C_4, E_0^\times/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \).

**Proof.** To compute this, we will use another Bockstein spectral sequence associated to the following filtration. Let

\[ V_n = \{ x \in E_0^\times/2 : x \equiv 1 \mod \mu_0^n \} \]

There is an exact sequence

\[ 1 \to V_1 \to E_0^\times/2 \to k^\times \to 1. \]

Since \( k^\times \) has order prime to 2 and \( H^0(C_4, (E_0/2)^\times) \to H^0(C_4, k^\times) \) is surjective, there is an isomorphism

\[ H^1(C_4, E_0^\times/2) \cong H^1(C_4, V_1). \]
To compute $H^1(C_4, V_1)$, we use the Bockstein spectral sequence
\begin{equation}
E_1^{s,n} = H^s(C_4, V_n/V_{n+1}) \Rightarrow H^s(C_4, V_1)
\end{equation}
with differentials $d_r : E_r^{s,n} \rightarrow E_r^{s+1,n+r}$. See Figure 1. The maps

\[ \phi_n : k \rightarrow V_n/V_{n+1}, \quad \phi_n(\alpha) = 1 + \mu^n_0 \alpha \]

are isomorphisms for $n \geq 1$. We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 6.7, using the resolution (6.5) to compute the differentials. We have isomorphisms

\[ H^s(C_4, V_n/V_{n+1}) \cong k \]

where a representative for $\alpha \in k$ in $P^s(V_n/V_{n+1})$ is given by the residue class of $1 + \mu^n_0 \alpha$, which is also a choice of lift in $P^s(V_n/V_{n+1})$.

Let $s = 2t$ and $n = 2^k m$ for $m$ odd and $k \geq 0$. For $d : P^{2t}(V_n) \rightarrow P^{2t+1}(V_n)$, we have

\[ d(1 + \mu^n_0 \alpha) = (1 + \gamma(\mu_0)^n \alpha)(1 + \mu^n_0 \alpha)^{-1} \]
\[ = \left(1 + \frac{\mu^n_0}{(\mu_0 + 1)^n} \alpha\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{1 + \mu^n_0 \alpha}\right) \]
\[ = \left(1 + \alpha \mu^n_0 \frac{1}{(\mu_0^2 + 1)^m}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{1 + \mu^n_0 \alpha}\right) \]
\[ = (1 + \alpha \mu^n_0 + \mu^{n+2^k}_0)(1 + \alpha \mu^n_0 + \alpha^2 \mu^{2n}_0) \]
\[ = 1 + \alpha \mu^{n+2^k}_0 \mod \mu^{n+2^k+1}_0. \]

Therefore, for $n = 2^k m$, the first possible non-zero differential $d_r : E_r^{2t,n} \rightarrow E_r^{2t+1,n+1}$ is $d_{2^k}$. Further, the differentials

\[ d_1 : E_1^{2t,n} \rightarrow E_1^{2t+1,n+1} \]

are isomorphisms for $n$ odd.

Now let $s = 2t + 1$ and $n = 2^k m$ where $m$ is odd and $k \geq 0$. For $d : P^{2t+1}(V_n) \rightarrow P^{2t+2}(V_n)$, we have

\[ d(1 + \mu^n_0 \alpha) = (1 + \gamma(\mu_0)^n \alpha)^2(1 + \mu^n_0 \alpha)^2 \]
\[ = \left(1 + \alpha^2 \mu^{2^k+1}_0 \frac{1}{(\mu_0^2 + 1)^m}\right) (1 + \alpha^2 \mu^{2^k}_0) \]
\[ = \left(1 + \alpha^2 \mu^{2n}_0 + (\mu_0^{2^k+1})\right)(1 + \alpha^2 \mu^{2n}_0) \mod \mu^{2n+2^k+1}_0 \]
\[ = \begin{cases} 
1 + (\alpha + \alpha^2)\mu^{2^k+1}\mu^{2n}_0 & n = 2^k \\
1 + \alpha^2 \mu^{2n+2^k+1}_0 & n = 2^k m, m \neq 1.
\end{cases} \]

In particular, if $n$ is odd, we get differentials $d_{n+2} : E_{n+2}^{2n+1,n+1} \rightarrow E_{n+2}^{2n+1,2n+2}$ given by

\[ d_{n+2}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 
\alpha + \alpha^2 & n = 1 \\
\alpha^2 & n > 1.
\end{cases} \]

These are isomorphisms if $n \neq 1$. If $n = 1$, then $E_2^2 = k_{Gal} \subseteq E_3^{2+1,1}$ is the kernel.
Combining these results, we conclude that

\[ E_\infty^{1,n} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_2 & n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

which implies the claim. \[\square\]

7. The Picard Spectral Sequence

In this section, we first establish notation and a few results about the Picard spectral sequence for \( R \) an even periodic ring spectrum in the category of genuine \( G \) spectra. Then we turn to the analysis we need to prove Theorem 1.1 in the case when \( R = E \) and \( G = C_4 \).

7.1. Generalities on the Picard Spectral Sequence. Let \( R \) be an even periodic cofree ring spectrum in the category of genuine \( G \) spectra. Suppose that \( R^hG \rightarrow R \) is a faithful \( G \)-Galois extension. We recall the tools provided by [HMS17] and [MS16] to compute \( \text{Pic}(R^hG) \), the Picard group of \( R^hG \)-module spectra. Let \( \text{pic}(R) \) denote the Picard spectrum of the ring spectrum \( R \). Note that \( \text{pic}(R) \) is a \( G \)-spectrum and that \( \text{pic}(R^hG) = \text{pic}(R)^h \).

In particular

\[ \pi_0 \text{pic}(R)^h \cong \text{Pic}(R^hG). \]

It follows that the group \( \text{Pic}(R^hH) \) for any subgroup \( H \) of \( G \) can be computed by studying the spectral sequence

\[ E_{s,t}^{s,t} = H^s(G, \pi_t \text{pic}(R)) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(\text{pic}(R))^h \]

with differentials \( d_{s,t}^{s,t} : E_{s,t}^{s,t} \rightarrow E_{s,t+1}^{s,t+1} \).

Note that \( \text{pic}(R) \) is a connective spectrum with the property that \( \Omega \text{pic}(R) \cong \text{gl}_1(R) \). Further, as spaces, \( \Omega^\infty \text{gl}_1(R) \cong GL_1(R) \) and there is a map

\[ GL_1(R) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty R \]

which is an inclusion of those components lying over \( (\pi_0 R)^\times \). These equivalences respect the \( G \) action, so both of these spaces inherit a \( G \) action from \( R \) and

\[ \pi_t \text{pic}(R) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2 & t = 0 \\ R^\times_0 & t = 1 \\ R_{t-1} & t \geq 2 \end{cases} \]

as \( G \)-modules. It follows that

\[ E_{s,t}^{s,t} \cong \begin{cases} H^s(G, \mathbb{Z}/2) & t = 0 \\ H^s(G, R^\times_0) & t = 1 \\ E_{s,t-1}^{s,t-1} & t \geq 2 \end{cases} \]

as Mackey functors. Here, \( E_{s,t}^{s,t} \) denotes the Mackey functor homotopy fixed point spectral sequence

\[ E_{s,t}^{s,t} = H^s(G, \pi_t R) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s} R^h \]

with

\[ d_{s,t}^{s,t} : E_{s,t}^{s,t} \rightarrow E_{s,t+1}^{s,t+1}. \]

We also let

\[ E_{s,t}^{s,t} = H^s(G, \pi_t \text{gl}_1(R)) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(\text{gl}_1(R))^h \]
with differentials \( d^{s,t}_{+, r} : E^{s,t}_{+, r} \to E^{s+r, t+r-1}_{+, r} \) and note that
\[
E^{s,t}_{+, r} \cong \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{H}^s(G, R^\infty_0) & t = 0 \\
E^{s,t}_{+, r} & t \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

In [MS16, 5.2.4], Mathew-Stojanoska identify a range where the differentials \( d_\phi \) and \( d_+ \) are related. Given a class \( x \in E^{s,t-1}_{+, r}(G/H) \) where \( t \geq 2 \), we let \( x^\phi \) and \( x^\circ \) be the corresponding elements in \( E^{s,t-1}_{+, r}(G/H) \) and \( E^{s,t}_{\phi, r}(G/H) \) respectively.

**Theorem 7.1** (Mathew-Stojanoska). Let \( x \in E^{s,t-1}_{+, r}(G/H) \) and let \( y \in E^{s+r, t+r-2}_{+, r}(G/H) \). Let \( x^\phi \in E^{s,t}_{\phi, r}(G/H) \) and \( y^\phi \in E^{s+r,t+r-1}_{\phi, r}(G/H) \) be the corresponding classes.

1. If both \( x^\phi \) and \( y^\phi \) lie in the region of the \((t-s, s)\)-plane where \( 2 \leq t \) and \( 0 \leq t-s \), then \( d_{+,r}(x) = y \) if and only if \( d_{\phi,r}(x^\phi) = y^\phi \).
2. If \( 2 \leq t \) and \( 2 \leq r \leq t-1 \), then \( d_{+,r}(x) = y \) if and only if \( d_{\phi,r}(x^\phi) = y^\phi \).
3. If \( s = t = r \) and \( d_{+,r}(x) = y \), then
   \[
d_{\phi,r}(x^\phi) = (d_{+,r}(x) + x^\circ)^\phi.
\]

As in [MS16], we will call the first two families of differentials stable and the second family unstable.

For our arguments below, we also need to know how the transfers and restrictions of these spectral sequences are related. We will need the following result but postpone its proof to the end of the section.

**Lemma 7.2.** Suppose \( X \) is a bounded below spectrum with a \( G \)-action, and consider its Postnikov decomposition
\[
X_{\leq t} \to X \to X_{< t}
\]
for some \( t \). Let \( \delta \) be the connecting map \( X_{< t} \to \Sigma X_{\leq t} \).

If \( a \) is a permanent cycle in the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for \( X_{< t} \), then
\[
\delta(a) = \beta \in \pi_s(X_{\leq t})^{hG}
\]
if and only if there is a differential \( d_\phi(a) = \beta \) of suitable length in the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for \( X \).

In the following result and its proof, we adopt the convention that, for \( a < b \),
\[
E^{s,t}_{+, [a,b], 2}(G/H) = \mathbb{H}^s(G, \pi_a gl_1 R_{[a,b]}) \implies \pi_{s-a}(gl_1 R_{[a,b]})^{h}
\]
and similarly with \( E^{s,t}_{\phi, [a,b], 2}(G/H) \) for the spectral sequence computing \( \pi_s(\text{pic}(R)_{[a,b]})^h \).

**Proposition 7.3.** Suppose \( 0 < a < b < c \) are integers such that \( c \leq 2b - 1 \), and let \( H \) be a subgroup of \( G \). Assume we are given classes \( x \in E^{s,m+t}_+, (G/H) \) and \( y \in E^{s+m,p+t}_+, (G/H) \), such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. The integers \( m+s, m+s+p \) are in the interval \([b, c]\); the classes \( x, y \) are permanent cycles in the spectral sequence for \( R_{[b,c]} \) and in the Mackey functor \( \pi_m(R_{[b,c]})^h \), we have \( tr_H^G(x) = y \).
2. The class \( x^\phi \) corresponding to \( x \) is an \( r \)-cycle in \( E^{s,m+s+1}_{\phi, [a+1,b], r}(G/H) \), which is hit by a differential, say \( d_{\phi,r}(x^\phi) = y^\phi \), with \( r' \geq r \) and \( r' > (m+s+1-b) \).

Then in the spectral sequence \( E^{s,t}_{\phi, [a+1,b], r}(G/H) \), there is a differential of suitable length
\[
d_{r'+p}(tr_H^G(z^\phi)) = y^\phi.
\]
Proof. Note that
\begin{equation}
\Omega(\text{pic}(R)_{[a+1,c+1]}) \simeq \text{gl}_1(R)_{[a,c]},
\end{equation}
so the claims are going to follow from their (suitably shifted) counterparts for \( \text{gl}_1(R) \) and the spectral sequence \( E_{\infty}^{*,*} \).

The assumption (2) implies
\begin{enumerate}[(2')]\item The class \( x^\infty \) corresponding to \( x \) is an \( r \)-cycle in \( E_{\infty,[a,c],r}(G/H) \), which is hit by a differential, say \( d_r(z^x) = x^\infty \), with \( r' \geq r \) and \( r' > (m + s + 1 - b) \).
\end{enumerate}

From this, we deduce that, in the spectral sequence \( E_{\infty,[a,c],s}^*, (G/G) \), there is a differential of suitable length
\[
d_{r'+p}(tr^G_{H}(z^x)) = y^\infty.
\]
The result for \( E_{\infty,[a+1,c+1]}^* \) then follows from (7.2).

The assumption \( c \leq 2b - 1 \) gives an equivalence \( \text{gl}_1 R_{[b,c]} \simeq R_{[b,c]} \) which respects the \( G \)-action. This equivalence gives an isomorphism of the respective spectral sequences and isomorphisms \( \pi_* \text{gl}_1 R_{[b,c]} \simeq \pi_* R_{[b,c]} \) of Mackey functors. In particular, (1) gives that the classes \( x^\infty, y^\infty \) corresponding to \( x, y \) are permanent cycles in the spectral sequence for \( \text{gl}_1 R_{[b,c]} \), and we have
\[
tr^G_{H}(x^\infty_{[b,c]}) = y^\infty_{[b,c]}
\]
in \( \pi_* \text{gl}_1 R_{[b,c]} \).

Now consider the fiber sequence
\[
\text{gl}_1 R_{[b,c]} \to \text{gl}_1 R_{[a,c]} \to \text{gl}_1 R_{[a,b-1]},
\]
which is of the form needed in Lemma 7.2 with \( X = \text{gl}_1 R_{[a,c]} \) and \( t = b \).

Note that the conditions on \( r' \) ensure that the class \( z^\infty_{[a,b-1]} \) is a permanent cycle in \( E_{\infty,[a,b-1],*} \).

First we apply Lemma 7.2 to \( a = z^\infty_{[a,b-1]} \) to conclude that \( \delta(z^\infty_{[a,b-1]}) = x^\infty_{[b,c]} \). But \( \delta \) is a \( G \)-map of spectra, so it commutes with transfers, giving that
\[
\delta(tr^G_{H}(z^\infty_{[a,b-1]})) = tr^G_{H}(x^\infty_{[b,c]}) = y^\infty_{[b,c]}.
\]

Using Lemma 7.2 again in the other direction, we conclude that there is a differential of a suitable length in the HFPSS for \( \text{gl}_1 R_{[a,c]} \) taking the class corresponding to \( tr^G_{H}(z^\infty_{[a,b-1]}) \) to the class corresponding to \( y^\infty \). This differential must be the one we claim. \( \square \)

Proof of Lemma 7.2. The key point is that the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence, usually obtained by filtering \( EG \) by skeleta, is isomorphic (at the \( E_2 \)-page and beyond) to the spectral sequence obtained from the Postnikov tower of \( X \). (For a reference, see [GM95, Theorem B.8]; note that we are assuming \( X \) is bounded below, which ensures the relevance of both of these spectral sequences, thus satisfying the conditions of the Greenlees-May theorem.)

Suppose indeed that \( a \) is a permanent cycle in the HFPSS for \( X_{<t} \); this means that \( a \) defines an equivariant map
\[
\alpha : EG_+ \to X_{<t}.
\]
The diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
EG_+ & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X_{<t} \\
\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \delta \\
X & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \Sigma X_{\geq t}
\end{array}
\]
makes it clear that \( \delta(\alpha) \) is the obstruction to lifting \( \alpha \) to \( X \).

All that is needed is to be more specific about where the obstruction occurs when it is non-zero. So, suppose \( \alpha \) can be lifted to a map \( EG_+ \to X_{<t+m} \) (which we also denote by \( \alpha \)), but not further, so that the differential \( d(\alpha) = \beta \) is the composite

\[
EG_+ \xrightarrow{\alpha} X_{<t+m} \to \Sigma^{t+m+1} H\pi_{t+m}X.
\]

To show that \( \delta(\alpha) \) is detected by \( \beta \), it suffices to check that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
EG_+ & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \Sigma^{t+m+1} H\pi_{t+m}X \\
\downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow c \\
X_{<t} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{<t}} & \Sigma X_{[t,t+m+1]}
\end{array}
\]

commutes, where the bottom horizontal map is the boundary associated a Postnikov stage of \( X_{<t+m+1} \), and the right-hand vertical map is the connective cover. Then we use the Postnikov towers for the sequence

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \Sigma X_{\geq t}
\end{array}
\]

to obtain the desired conclusion.

Since \( \beta \) factors as \( \alpha : EG_+ \to X_{<t+m} \) composed with the top horizontal arrow below, it suffices to show that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{<t+m} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{<t+m}} & \Sigma^{t+m+1} H\pi_{t+m}X \\
\downarrow \tau_{<t} & & \downarrow c \\
X_{<t} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{<t}} & \Sigma X_{[t,t+m+1]}
\end{array}
\]

commutes. Note that the vertical maps are connective covers, and the horizontal maps are boundary maps in some Postnikov decompositions. So this diagram commutes because if we back up these Postnikov decomposition sequences, the diagrams involved will commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma^{t+m} H\pi_{t+m}X & \xrightarrow{\tau_{<t+m}} & X_{<t+m+1} \\
\downarrow c & & \downarrow \tau_{<t} \\
X_{[t,t+m+1]} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{<t}} & X_{<t}
\end{array}
\]

For the converse, the same ingredients go in the argument, just in opposite order. \( \square \)

### 7.2. The Picard Spectral Sequence for \( E \) and \( C_4 \)

In this section, we study the Picard spectral sequence

\[
E_2^{i,j} = H^j(C_4, \pi_i \text{pic}(E)) \Longrightarrow \pi_{t-1}(\text{pic}(E))^h
\]

with differentials \( d_2^{i,j} : E_2^{i,j} \to E_2^{i+j, t+i+j-1} \). In this section, we let

\[
E_2^{i,j} = H^j(C_4, \pi_i E_0) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-1} E_0^h.
\]
with \( d_{+,r} : E_{r+}^{s,t} \to E_{r+}^{s+r,t+r-1} \). From Section 5.2.1, we have that

\[
E_{0,2}^{s,t} \cong \begin{cases} 
H^s(C_4, \mathbb{Z}/2) & t = 0 \\
H^s(C_4, E_0^x) & t = 1 \\
E_{r+1}^{s,t-1} & t \geq 2.
\end{cases}
\]

We prove the following result, which is illustrated in Figure 2.

**Proposition 7.4.** The order of \( \text{Pic}(E^{hC_4}) \) is at most 64 and that of \( \text{Pic}(E^{hC_2}) \) is at most 16.

|        | \( \bigcirc \) | \( \bigtriangleup \) | \( \bigtriangledown \) | \( \bigtriangleup \) | \( \bigstar \) |
|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| \( \mathbb{Z}/4 \) | \( \bigcirc \) | \( \bigtriangleup \) | \( \bigtriangledown \) | \( \bigtriangleup \) | \( \bigstar \) |
| \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \) | \( \bigtriangleup \) | \( \bigtriangledown \) | \( \bigtriangleup \) | \( \bigstar \) |
| \( 0 \) | \( \bigstar \) | \( \bigstar \) | \( \bigstar \) | \( \bigstar \) |

**Table 2.** Mackey functors in the Picard Spectral Sequence.

**Proof.** We prove this by giving an upper bound on classes which survive in stem \( t - s = 0 \) in the spectral sequence \( E_{\infty,2}^{s,t} \). The range of interest is \(-2 \leq t - s \leq 1\).

From (1) and (2) of Theorem 7.1, it follows that many differentials are forced by those in \( E_{\infty,2}^{s,t} \). We do not discuss these and focus on those differentials that follow from (3) and Proposition 7.3.

The first interesting differential is

\[
0 \longrightarrow \bigtriangleup \longrightarrow \bigstar \longrightarrow d_{\phi,3} \bigstar \rightarrow \bigtriangleups
\]

where \( \bigtriangleup(C_4/C_4) = \bigtriangleup(C_4/C_2) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \), with restrictions and transfers as depicted in Table 2. To justify this, note that the source of this differential is exactly that for which (3) of Theorem 7.1 applies so that

\[
d_{\phi,3}(x^\phi) = (d_{+,3}(x) + x^2)\phi.
\]

We have

\[
E_{+3}^{3,2}(C_4/C_4) = k[[\mu]](\eta \omega \Delta_1^{-1}) \longrightarrow d_{+,3} E_{+3}^{6,4}(C_4/C_4) = k[[\mu]](\eta^2 \omega^2 \Delta_1^{-2})
\]

\[
E_{+3}^{3,2}(C_4/C_2) = k[[\mu_0]](\eta_0^6 \Sigma_{2,0}^{-1}) \longrightarrow d_{+,3} E_{+3}^{6,4}(C_4/C_2) = k[[\mu_0]](\eta_0^6 \Sigma_{2,0}^{-2}).
\]

See Section 5.2.1. From Proposition 5.19, we have

\[
d_{+,3}(f(\mu) \eta \omega \Delta_1^{-1}) = f(\mu) \eta^2 \omega^2 \Delta_1^{-2}
\]

\[
d_{+,3}(f(\mu_0) \eta_0^6 \Sigma_{2,0}^{-1}) = \mu_0 f(\mu_0) \eta_0^6 \Sigma_{2,0}^{-2}.
\]
Figure 2. The homotopy fixed point spectral sequence computing $\pi_\ast \text{pic}(E)^h$. See Table 2 and Table 4 for the definitions of the various Mackey functors. The dotted red $d_3$, $d_5$ and $d_7$ differentials come from (3) of Theorem 7.1. The dotted red $d_{11}$ is a consequence of the $d_7$ and Proposition 7.3. Dashed green lines are exotic restrictions and solid blue line exotic transfers.

So, for $f(\mu) \in k[\mu]$ and $g(\mu_0) \in k[\mu_0]$

\[
d_{0,3}((f(\mu)\eta \delta_1^{-1})^\phi) = ((f(\mu) + f(\mu)^2)\eta^2 \delta_2^{-2})^\phi
\]

\[
d_{0,3}((g(\mu_0)\eta_0^3 \sigma_2^{-1})^\phi) = ((\mu_0 g(\mu_0) + g(\mu_0)^2)\eta_0^4 \sigma_2^{-2})^\phi.
\]
for and exotic transfers whose kernel is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$. It assembles into the Mackey functor $\Delta$ depicted in Table 2.

The first differential is zero if and only if $f(\mu) \in F_2$. The second is zero if and only if $g(\mu_0) \in F_2 \{\mu_0\}$. In both cases, the kernel is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$. It assembles into the Mackey functor $\Delta$ depicted in Table 2.

By Proposition 7.3, this implies transfers

\[ 0 \longrightarrow \bullet \longmapsto d_{\phi,5} \longrightarrow \bullet \]

These Mackey functors are only non-zero when evaluated at $C_4/C_4$. From Proposition 5.19, we get

\[ E_{+5}^{5,4}(C_4/C_4) = k\{\nu \sigma^2 \Delta^{-2}\} \xrightarrow{d_{+5}} E_{+5}^{10,8}(C_4/C_4) = k\{\nu^2 \sigma^4 \Delta^{-4}\} \]

given by

\[ d_{+5}(a\nu \sigma^2 \Delta^{-2}) = a\nu^2 \sigma^4 \Delta^{-4} \]

for $a \in \mathbb{k}$. So,

\[ d_{\phi,5}(a\nu \sigma^2 \Delta^{-2})^\phi = ((a + a^2)\nu^2 \sigma^4 \Delta^{-4})^\phi. \]

This is zero if and only if $a \in F_2$ and so the kernel is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$.

Next, we turn to the $d_7$ and closely related $d_{11}$ differentials. We have

\[ E_{+7}^{7,6}(C_4/C_4) = k\{\eta_0^7 \Sigma^{-2}_{2,0}\} \xrightarrow{d_{+7}} E_{+7}^{14,12}(C_4/C_4) = k\{\eta_0^{14} \Sigma^{-4}_{2,0}\} \]

\[ E_{+11}^{7,6}(C_4/C_4) = k\{\zeta \sigma^3 \Delta^{-3}\} \xrightarrow{d_{+11}} E_{+11}^{18,16}(C_4/C_4) = k\{\nu^2 \sigma^8 \Delta^{-7}\} \]

given by

\[ d_{+7}(a\eta_0^7 \Sigma^{-2}_{2,0}) = a\eta_0^{14} \Sigma^{-4}_{2,0} \]

\[ d_{+11}(a\nu \sigma^3 \Delta^{-3}) = av^2 \sigma^8 \Delta^{-7} \]

for $a \in \mathbb{k}$. (The $d_{+11}$ follows from $d_{+11}(\xi \sigma) = v^2 \sigma^6 \Delta^{-4}$ by linearity with respect to $\Delta^{-4}$ and $\kappa = \sigma^2 \Delta_1$.) This gives

\[ d_{\phi,7}(a\eta_0^7 \Sigma^{-2}_{2,0})^\phi = ((a + a^2)\eta_0^{14} \Sigma^{-4}_{2,0})^\phi \]

whose kernel is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$.

Now, we apply Proposition 7.3. There are transfers

\[ \text{tr}_2^3(a\eta_0^7 \Sigma^{-2}_{2,0}) = a\zeta \sigma^3 \Delta^{-3} \]

and exotic transfers

\[ \text{tr}_2^3(a\eta_0^{14} \Sigma^{-4}_{2,0}) = av^2 \sigma^8 \Delta^{-7} \]

for $a \in \mathbb{k}$ which raise filtration by $s = 4$. These combine $E_{+7}^{14,12}$ and $E_{+11}^{18,16}$ as:

\[ 0 \longrightarrow \bullet \longmapsto \nabla \longrightarrow \bullet \longrightarrow 0 \]

By Proposition 7.3, this implies transfers

\[ \text{tr}_2^3((a\eta_0^7 \Sigma^{-2}_{2,0})^\phi) = (a\zeta \sigma^3 \Delta^{-3})^\phi \]

and exotic transfers

\[ \text{tr}_2^3((a\eta_0^{14} \Sigma^{-4}_{2,0})^\phi) = (av^2 \sigma^8 \Delta^{-7})^\phi \]
for $\alpha \in k$. It follows that
\[
d_{\phi,11}((\alpha \sigma^{3} \Delta_1^{-3})^\phi) = d_{\phi,11}(\text{tr}_2^4((\alpha \eta_0^7 \Sigma_{2,0}^{-2})^\phi))
\]
\[
= \text{tr}_2^4(d_{\phi,7}((\alpha \eta_0^7 \Sigma_{2,0}^{-2})^\phi))
\]
\[
= \text{tr}_2^4(((\alpha + \alpha^2)\eta_0^{14} \Sigma_{2,0}^4)^\phi)
\]
\[
= ((\alpha + \alpha^2)\nu^2 \sigma^8 \Delta_1^{-7})^\phi.
\]
Again, this is zero if and only if $\alpha \in F_2$. So the kernel is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Combining the $d_5$ and $d_7$ differential gives an exact sequence
\[
0 \rightarrow \nabla \rightarrow \nabla \rightarrow d_{\phi,7}/d_{\phi,11} \rightarrow .
\]

For $t \geq 8$, $E_{t+1, \infty}^{d-1} = 0$ and all differentials necessary to make this true are in the range where (1) and (2) of Theorem 7.1 apply. Therefore, $E_{t+1, \infty}^{E_d} = 0$ if $t \geq 8$. So, the order of $\text{Pic}(E)$ at $C_4/C_4$ and $C_4/C_2$ is bounded by the order of the direct sum of the following Mackey functors:
\[
E_0^{0,0} = \bigtriangleup, \quad E_0^{1,1} = \bigcirc, \quad E_0^{3,3} = \bigtriangleup, \quad E_0^{5,5} = \bullet, \quad E_0^{7,7} = \blacktriangledown.
\]
For $C_2$, this bounds the order of $\text{Pic}(E^{hC_2})$ by 16 and for $C_4$, it bounds the order of $\text{Pic}(E^{hC_4})$ by 64.

Combining Proposition 7.4 and Proposition 4.7 gives Theorem 1.1. The transfers and restrictions in $\text{Pic}(E)$ are computed using the formula
\[
E^N_{C^4}(H \longleftarrow E \wedge S^W) \cong E \wedge S^{\text{Ind}_H^G} W
\]
where $H = e$ or $H = C_2$ and $W \in RO(H)$. 

□
8. Tables and Figures

\[ \nabla(e) = \nabla(y) = \nabla(y^2) = \nabla(y^3) = 1, \\
\Delta(1) = \Delta, \ \Delta(e) = e + y^2, \ \Delta(y) = y + y^3. \]

|   | □ | □ | □ | ○ |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| W | \(\Delta\) | W | 0 | \(W/4\) |
| \(1\) | \(\Delta\) | \(W[C_4/C_2]\) | \(W\) | \(1\) |
| \(2\) | \(W[C_4/C_2]\) | \(1\) | \(0\) | \(k\) |
| \(W\) | \(W[C_4/C_2]\) | \(W\) | \(k\) | \(\Delta\) |

|   |   | ▼ | ▼ | ▲ |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| k | 0 | k | k | k |
| \(0\) | \(k\) | \(1\) | \(1\) | \(0\) |
| \(0\) | \(0\) | \(0\) | \(0\) | \(0\) |

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| k | \(\Delta\) | \(k[C_4/C_2]\) | \(0\) | \(W[C_4/C_2]\) |
| \(1\) | \(\Delta\) | \(1\) | \(1\) | \(0\) |
| \(0\) | \(k[C_4/C_2]\) | \(k[C_4/C_2]\) | \(0\) | \(W[C_4/C_2]\) |

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | \(\Delta\) | \(k[C_4/C_2]\) | \(1\) | \(0\) |
| \(W\) | \(W[C_4/C_2]\) | \(W\) | \(1\) | \(0\) |
| \(W\) | \(W[C_4/C_2]\) | \(1\) | \(2\) | \(0\) |

Table 3. Mackey functors similar to those of [HHR17, Table 2].
Table 4. The $C_4$ Mackey functors in the category of $\mathcal{W}[\mu]$-modules appearing in $E_2^{*,*}$. In general, if $\otimes$ is a Mackey functor in Table 3, then $\otimes$ is defined by $\otimes(G/H) = \mathcal{W}[\mu] \otimes \mathcal{W}[\mu]$, with restriction and transfers extended to be $\mathcal{W}[\mu]$-linear. See Section 5.1 for more details on the notation.
\[ \tilde{V}(\ast) = 0 \quad \tilde{V}(e) = \tilde{V}(y) = 1 \quad \tilde{V}(i_0) = t \quad \hat{\Delta}(1) = \Delta \quad \tilde{\Delta}(i) = 0 \]

\[ \tilde{V}(\ast) = 2 \quad \tilde{V}(e) = \tilde{V}(y) = \mu \quad \tilde{V}(i_0) = 0 \quad \hat{\Delta}(1) = \ast \]

\[ \tilde{V}(\ast) = 2t \quad \tilde{V}(e) = \tilde{V}(y) = 1 \quad \tilde{V}(i_0) = 2t \quad \hat{\Delta}(1) = \Delta \quad \tilde{\Delta}(i) = i_0 \]

\[ \tilde{V}(\ast) = t \quad \tilde{V}(e) = \tilde{V}(y) = 1 \quad \hat{\Delta}(1) = \Delta \quad \tilde{\Delta}(i) = 0 \]

\[ \tilde{V}(2 \ast) = t \quad \tilde{V}(e) = \tilde{V}(y) = 1 \quad \hat{\Delta}(1) = \Delta \quad \tilde{\Delta}(i) = 0 \]

| (2, \mu)_{W[\mu]} \begin{cases} \emptyset \\ A(+)/2 \\ 1 \end{cases} | \[ k\{1\} \oplus W[\mu] \]
| \[ \tilde{\Delta} \begin{cases} \emptyset \\ A(-) \\ 1 \end{cases} \] | \[ k \]
| \[ \Delta \begin{cases} \emptyset \\ A(-) \\ 1 \end{cases} \] | \[ 1 \begin{cases} 0 \\ k \end{cases} \]

| 0 \begin{cases} 2 \\ 1 \end{cases} \] | \[ A(+) \]
| \[ A(-) \] | \[ A(-) \]
| \[ A(-) \] | \[ A(-) \]

| \[ 0 \begin{cases} 2 \\ 1 \end{cases} \] | \[ W[\mu] \]
| \[ k\{1\} \oplus W[\mu] \]
| \[ k\{1\} \oplus W[\mu] \]
| \[ k\{1\} \oplus W[\mu] \]

| \[ 0 \begin{cases} 2 \\ 1 \end{cases} \] | \[ A(+) \]
| \[ A(-) \] | \[ A(-) \]
| \[ A(-) \] | \[ A(-) \]

| \[ 0 \begin{cases} 2 \\ 1 \end{cases} \] | \[ W[\mu] \]
| \[ k\{1\} \oplus W[\mu] \]
| \[ k\{1\} \oplus W[\mu] \]
| \[ k\{1\} \oplus W[\mu] \]

| \[ 0 \begin{cases} 2 \\ 1 \end{cases} \] | \[ A(+) \]
| \[ A(-) \] | \[ A(-) \]
| \[ A(-) \] | \[ A(-) \]

| \[ 0 \begin{cases} 2 \\ 1 \end{cases} \] | \[ W[\mu] \]
| \[ k\{1\} \oplus W[\mu] \]
| \[ k\{1\} \oplus W[\mu] \]
| \[ k\{1\} \oplus W[\mu] \]

| \[ 0 \begin{cases} 2 \\ 1 \end{cases} \] | \[ A(+) \]
| \[ A(-) \] | \[ A(-) \]
| \[ A(-) \] | \[ A(-) \]

| Table 5. $C_1$ Mackey functors in the category of $W[\mu]$-modules appearing in $E_2^{2,\ast}$. See Section 5.1 for notation. |
Figure 3. The different patterns of $d_3$, $d_5$, $d_7$, $d_{11}$, and $d_{13}$-differentials.
Figure 4. The $E_2^{x,\ast}$ (top) and $E_2^{x,1-\sigma+\ast}$ (bottom) page of the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence given by $H^i(C_4, E_\ast)$. Lines of slope $(1, 1)$ indicate $\eta$ multiplication on the $E_3^{x,\ast}(C_4/C_4)$-term. They are dashed if the generator of the target is not a multiple of $\eta$. The $d_3$-differential patterns are also drawn. Again, $d_3$ is dashed if the target of the differential in $E_3^{x,\ast}(C_4/C_4)$ is not the generator.
Figure 5. The $E_r^{\ast,\ast}$ page onwards of the HFPSS with $d_r$ for $r \geq 5$. 
Figure 6. The $\xi^{x,y}$ page of the HFPSS with $d_5$ differentials.
Figure 7. The $E_7^{s,s}$ page of the HFPSS with $d_7$ differentials.
Figure 8. The $E_{11}^{*,*}$ page of the HFPSS with $d_{11}$ differentials.
**Figure 9.** The $E_{13}^{*,*}$ page of the HFPSS with $d_{13}$ differentials.
Figure 10. The $E^{\infty,*}$ page of the HFPSS with exotic extensions.
Figure 11. The $E_i^{(1-s)+s}$ page onwards of the HFPSS with $d_r$ for $r \geq 5$. 
Figure 12. The $E_5^{1-(1-s)+s}$ page of the HFPSS with $d_5$ differentials.
Figure 13. The $E_7^{*,(1-r)^+}$ page of the HFPSS with $d_7$ differentials.
Figure 14. The $E^{s,(1-s)+\pm}_{-11}$ page of the HFPSS with $d_{11}$ differentials.
FIGURE 15. The $E^s_{13}(1-s)^{++}$ page of the HFPSS with $d_{13}$ differentials.
Figure 16. The $E_{\infty}^{\ast, (1-\sigma)+}$ page of the HFPSS with exotic extensions.
| $t \mod 32$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\bar{\pi}^*_1E$ | □ | ☐ ⊕ ☐ | ☐ | □ | ☐ | □ | ⊕ | □ |

| $t \mod 32$ | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\bar{\pi}^*_1E$ | | | | | | | | |

| $t \mod 32$ | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\bar{\pi}^*_1E$ | | | | | | | | |

| $t \mod 32$ | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\bar{\pi}^*_1E$ | | | | | | | | |

| $t \mod 32$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\bar{\pi}^*_{1-\sigma}E$ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | □ | □ | □ | □ |

| $t \mod 32$ | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\bar{\pi}^*_{1-\sigma}E$ | | | | | | | | |

| $t \mod 32$ | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\bar{\pi}^*_{1-\sigma}E$ | | | | | | | | |

| $t \mod 32$ | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\bar{\pi}^*_{1-\sigma}E$ | | | | | | | | |

Table 6. The homotopy groups $\bar{\pi}^*_1E$ (top) and $\bar{\pi}^*_{1-\sigma}E$ (bottom).

REFERENCES

[BG18] I. Bobkova and P. G. Goerss. Topological resolutions in $K(2)$-local homotopy theory at the prime 2. *Journal of Topology*, 11(4):917–956, 2018.

[BH15] A. J. Blumberg and M. A. Hill. G-symmetric monoidal categories of modules over equivariant commutative ring spectra. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1511.07363, November 2015.

[BO16] M. Behrens and K. Ormsby. On the homotopy of $Q(3)$ and $Q(5)$ at the prime 2. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 16(5):2459–2534, 2016.

[BR05] A. Baker and B. Richter. Invertible modules for commutative $S$-algebras with residue fields. *Manuscripta Math.*, 118(1):99–119, 2005.

[GHM04] P. G. Goerss, H.-W. Henn, and M. Mahowald. The homotopy of $L_3V(1)$ for the prime 3. In *Categorical decomposition techniques in algebraic topology (Isle of Skye, 2001)*, volume 215 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 125–151. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.

[GL16] D. Gepner and T. Lawson. Brauer groups and Galois cohomology of commutative ring spectra. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1607.01118, July 2016.

[GM95] J. P. C. Greenlees and J. P. May. Generalized Tate cohomology. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 113(543):viii+178, 1995.
[Hen18] H.-W. Henn. The Centralizer resolution of the $K(2)$-local sphere at the prime 2. Preprint. Available from hal.archives-ouvertes, hal-01697478, January 2018.

[HHR16] M. A. Hill, M. J. Hopkins, and D. C. Ravenel. On the nonexistence of elements of Kervaire invariant one. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 184(1):1–262, 2016.

[HHR17] M. A. Hill, M. J. Hopkins, and D. C. Ravenel. The slice spectral sequence for the $C_4$-local $K$-theory. *Forum Math.*, 29(2):383–447, 2017.

[HLS18] D. Heard, G. Li, and X. D. Shi. Picard groups and duality for Real Morava E-theories. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1810.05439, October 2018.

[HM17] M. A. Hill and L. Meier. The $C_2$-spectrum $\text{Tmf}_{(3)}$ and its invertible modules. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 17(4):1953–2011, 2017.

[HMS17] D. Heard, A. Mathew, and V. Stojanoska. Picard groups of higher real $K$-theory spectra at height $p − 1$. *Compos. Math.*, 153(9):1820–1854, 2017.

[HS17] J. Hahn and X. D. Shi. Real Orientations of Morava E-theories. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1707.03413, July 2017.

[HSWX18] M. A. Hill, X. D. Shi, G. Wang, and Zhouli Xu. The slice spectral sequence of a $C_4$-equivariant height-4 Lubin-Tate theory. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2018.

[MS16] A. Mathew and V. Stojanoska. The Picard group of topological modular forms via descent theory. *Geom. Topol.*, 20(6):3133–3217, 2016.

[Nav99] L. S. Nave. *The cohomology of finite subgroups of Morava stabilizer groups and Smith-Toda complexes*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1999. Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Washington.

[Ull13] J. R. Ullman. *On the Regular Slice Spectral Sequence*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2013. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Massachusetts Institute of Technology.