### Introduction

The mechanisms underlying strength increases following resistance training (RT) have been associated with neuromuscular adaptations [24, 47]. Neural adaptations can be interpreted as increases in the level of efferent neural output from the central nervous system to activated muscle fibers, which may be facilitated by increased activation of agonist and reduced coactivation of antagonist muscles [20]. Muscular adaptations may occur due to muscle architectural and molecular changes induced by resistance training, which are reflected in strength gains [45]. Although a high level of mechanical loading has been shown to maximally recruit higher threshold motor units, as well as optimizing neural activation and muscle fiber growth [35], specific muscle action training may lead to distinct neuromuscular adaptations [24, 39, 43, 47]. Concentric and eccentric induced adaptations may depend on the specific muscle action trained and tested, due to their different mechani-
cal efficiency, force output and force/velocity relationships [19, 47]. However, contradictory results have been found in the scientific literature regarding the time course of neurological (e.g. muscle activation) and morphological adaptations (e.g. muscle size) to resistance training as a result of intensity, volume, frequency, length of training, and mode of training [2, 46, 50].

Although muscle activation (MA) and muscle thickness (MT) have been previously investigated following concentric and/or eccentric RT [2, 3, 6, 19, 24, 39, 47], little is known about other variables that contribute to neuromuscular adaptations, such as muscle quality (MQ), muscle coactivation (MCA) and electromechanical delay (EMD). Concentric and eccentric training have been shown to similarly increase quadriceps MQ measured by reducing the muscle’s echo-intensity, which indirectly reflects the level of a muscle’s intramuscular fat and/or fibrous composition [6, 37, 44]. Resistance training may lead to an increased muscle contractile component resulting in reduced intramuscular fat and/or fibrous composition levels [18]. Additionally, eccentric exercise has been associated with a high reduction in muscular co-contraction [40], which is believed to be related to improved agonist muscle neural drive [28]. Finally, RT individuals, when compared to endurance athletes, have been found to have shorter EMD [53], which represents the time between the onset of electrical activity at the muscle and the onset of torque, and is positively related to stiffness changes in the series-elastic component [10, 30, 31]. Nevertheless, RT involving concentric and eccentric muscle actions have not been found to be effective in decreasing EMD [14, 22].

Very few studies have compared neuromuscular adaptations after quadriceps and hamstrings concentric (CON/CON) or eccentric (ECC/ECC) muscle action training protocols [6, 24, 47], and no studies, to our knowledge, have investigated how these adaptations differ from concentric (agonist) and eccentric (antagonist) (CON/ECC) combined training. Also, although unique neuromuscular characteristics of specific muscle action training are believed to influence these outcomes, it is questionable whether these differences occur after short-term RT [11, 50]. Therefore, the aim of this study was to compare six weeks of CON/CON, ECC/ECC and CON/ECC muscle action training on MT, MQ, MA, MCA, and EMD of hamstrings and quadriceps. Our hypothesis was that ECC/ECC and CON/ECC training would lead to greater increases in all neuromuscular variables compared to CON/CON, because of their eccentric component, which present unique neuromuscular characteristics allowing for greater force production per cross bridge or series elastic component [24, 47]. The results of the present study provide greater insight into the neuromuscular adaptations underpinning different resistance training based on concentric and eccentric muscle actions.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Sample size calculation was performed by G*Power 3.1 (Institute for Experimental Psychology, Dusseldorf, Germany) based on effect size of 0.4, alpha level of 0.05, and power of 0.90. Effect size based on studies comparing concentric vs. eccentric resistance training on muscle thickness and muscle activation [24, 47]. By using this effect size, the sample size of the present study was calculated to be 36, but 40 participants were recruited to avoid potential risk of dropouts throughout the study. Forty male volunteers (age 22.9 ± 2.3 years, mass 70.7 ± 11.0 kg, ht 174.3 ± 6.9 cm), who were not involved in any strength or endurance training programs for the previous 3 months were recruited for participation in the present study. All participants were free of any knee injuries, and had refrained from physical activity 48 h prior to the first testing session. Prior to testing, they were asked for their leg preference for kicking (dominance) [33]. All participants read and signed a University Institutional Review Board-approved informed consent form based on the Declaration of Helsinki prior to participation. In the consent form, participants completed sections about health and safety conditions, which included having abstained from alcohol and caffeine 12 h prior to pre- and post-training sessions, and prior to each training session. The present study meets the ethical standards in sports and exercise science research [23].

Experimental design

Participants were randomly assigned to one of four groups; CON/ CON (n = 10, age 22.0 ± 1.5 years, mass 73.6 ± 9.9 kg, ht 175.2 ± 7.3 cm), ECC/ECC (n = 10, age 22.9 ± 2.3 years, mass 73.9 ± 12.7 kg, ht 175.8 ± 7.9 cm), CON/ECC (n = 10, age 23.4 ± 2.6 years, mass 70.2 ± 12.1 kg, ht 175.5 ± 7.0 cm), or no training (CTRL, n = 10, age 23.2 ± 2.6 years, mass 64.8 ± 7.9 kg, ht 170.6 ± 4.5 cm). Training consisted of six weeks of dominant and non-dominant knee exercise on a Biodex isokinetic dynamometer. Dominant leg quadriceps and hamstrings MT, MQ, MA, MCA, and EMD were tested before and after training. All dependent variables have been previously shown to be important indicators of neuromuscular adaptations related to concentric and/or eccentric RT [2, 3, 6, 19, 24, 39, 47].

Procedures

Pre-training tests

Pre-training tests were performed on one day, 72 h before training. Participants were first measured for mass on a digital scale (model # ES200L, Ohaus, Pine Brook, NJ, USA) and for height on a wall-mounted stadiometer (Seca Stadiometer, Ontario, Canada). They were then measured for ultrasound MT and MQ, followed by isometric maximal testing, including MA and MCA, as well as EMD measurements.

Ultrasound measurements

Participants laid in a supine position for 10 min with both arms and legs extended and relaxed in order to stabilize normal body fluids [38, 44]. Quadriceps images were measured first before hamstrings in the prone position. These positions ensured that the legs were extended and muscles relaxed during all measurements [8]. MT and MQ measurements were then made by three consecutive images in the transverse plan of the quadriceps rectus femoris, vastus intermedius, vastus lateralis and vastus medialis, and hamstrings biceps femoris long head, semitendinosus and semimembranosus muscles of the dominant leg using a real-time portable B-mode ultrasound device (GE LOGIQTM e, GE Healthcare, WI, USA).
with linear-array transducer (code 12L-RS, variable frequency band 4.2–13.0 Mhz). In order to ensure acoustic contact and avoid risk of increased contact pressure, a water-based gel was used between the skin and transducer [8]. All measurements were at 50 % of the distance between the lateral condyle and greater trochanter of the femur, except for the vastus medialis which was at 30 % [38]. Although muscle size changes could occur at other sites in the hamstrings, this anatomical site was chosen since it has previously been shown to have a strong correlation to cross sectional area measured by magnetic resonance imaging [1]. The transducer was placed perpendicular to the muscle fibers and transparency film was used to map the skin to ensure measurements matched between days [44]. The same settings for gain (52 dB), depth (9 cm), and frequency (12 MHz) were used in all measurements to optimize quality [36, 44].

MT values were measured at the widest distance between the adipose muscle upper interface and the lower interface, except for vastus intermedius, which was measured at the widest distance between the muscle upper interface and the bone [36, 38, 44]. Distances were measured using the device straight-line function, and the average of three MT measurements was calculated for each muscle, using ImageJ software (Version 1.48v, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). MT measurements for pre- and post-training tests of all muscles presented a maximal coefficient of variation ≤ 21.12 %.

MQ was measured through echo-intensity values of each muscle by using the polygon function, surrounding the muscles without including fascia or bone, and grayscale analyses were performed using the histogram function of the ImageJ software. Echo-intensity values were expressed in a range between 0 and 255, where black = 0 and white = 255 [8, 44]. The average of three echo-intensity measurements was calculated for each muscle. Echo-intensity from the vastus intermedius may have been affected by echo beam attenuation as this was the deepest muscle measured [51]. El measurements for pre- and post-training tests of all muscles presented maximal coefficient of variation ≤ 4.39 %.

All images were saved and exported to ImageJ software for analyses. An experienced researcher in ultrasound assessments performed all measurements. The first ten participants visited the lab one day before commencement of the study. They performed ultrasound measurements on two consecutive days to calculate test-retest reliability for MT and MQ. Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) [1, 1] [49], standard error of measurement (SEM), minimum difference to be considered real (MD), coefficient of variation (CV %) and 95 % lower bound and upper bound confidence intervals (LBCI and UBCI, respectively) were measured for MT and MQ. Quadriceps MT and MQ were in a range of 0.92-0.99 and 0.74-0.93 (ICC); 0.70-1.85 and 1.55-3.10 (SEM); 1.93-5.14 and 4.31-8.59 (MD); 2.58-6.05 and 4.60-8.58 (CV %); 0.68-0.96 and 0.02-0.72 (LBCI); and 0.98-1.00 and 0.97-0.98 (UBCI). Hamstrings MT and MQ were in a range of 0.81-0.99 and 0.82-0.93 (ICC); 0.92-2.39 and 3.62-3.93 (SEM); 2.54-6.64 and 10.29-10.90 (MD); 2.36-6.05 and 9.02-9.27 (CV %); 0.24-0.97 and 0.29-0.74 (LBCI); and 0.95-1.00 and 0.96-0.98 (UBCI) for hamstrings muscles (p < 0.05). According to Munro [32] these results are in a range considered as highly reliable.

MA, MCA and EMD

MA, MCA and EMD were measured during maximal quadriceps and hamstrings isometric tests on the Biodex isokinetic dynamometer. Participants sat on the seat at 85 ° of hip flexion and had straps applied across their thighs, waist and chest. The dynamometer’s axis of rotation was aligned with the lateral femoral condyle and the lower leg was attached to the lever arm with the ankle cuff slightly above the medial malleolus [5]. Before testing, participants performed an isokinetic concentric extension-flexion warm-up of 10 repetitions at 180 °/s through 90 ° of range of motion (0 ° at full extension). Their leg was then positioned at 60 ° of knee extension for the isometric test. Testing began with quadriceps followed by hamstrings. Both tests consisted of three repetitions of 5 s, and were separated by 5 min of rest. They were asked to push for the quadriceps isometric test and to pull for the hamstrings isometric test as hard as possible. Participants performed submaximal preliminary isometric repetitions before each test for familiarization purposes [5]. Verbal encouragement was provided during all tests, but no visual feedback was given.

During the isometric maximal test, participants were fitted with electrodes in order to measure quadriceps rectus femoris, vastus lateralis and vastus medialis, and hamstrings biceps femoris long head MA and MCA. The same anatomical sites used for ultrasound measurements were used for placement of the electrodes on the skin, using 4 bipolar (3.5 cm center-to-center) disposable surface electrodes (ELS00 silver-silver chloride; BIOPAC Systems, Inc., Goleta, CA, USA). The area was shaved, abraded and cleaned with an isopropyl alcohol pad. Electrodes were replaced every time there were high levels of noise, which may be indicative of high skin impedance [15]. EMG signals were filtered by fourth-order Butterworth, 10–500 Hz, as well as preamplified using a Myopac EMG device (MPRD-101; Run Technologies, Mission Viejo, CA, USA) bandwidth = 1–500 Hz amplifier with sampling frequency of 1000 Hz. Root mean square (RMS) was calculated over a 1 s plateau of the curve during each knee extension and flexion maximal voluntary isometric contraction. This time interval was determined as soon as the maximal plateau of the curve was attained via a custom LabVIEW (version 2014 National Instruments Corporation, Austin, TX, USA). The average of three values was used for further analyses. MA and MCA measurements for pre- and post-training tests of all muscles presented maximal coefficient of variation values of ≤ 15.26 % and 20.62 %, respectively.

EMD was calculated as the time delta between EMG start and torque start [10, 31] using the LabVIEW software with sampling at 1000 Hz. The EMG start was defined as the point at which the RMS signal reached 3 standard deviations (SDs) above baseline, while the torque start was defined as the point at which the signal was above zero Nm [48]. The muscle representing quadriceps was vastus lateralis, while the muscle representing hamstrings was biceps femoris long head. The average of three EMD values of each muscle was used for further analyses. EMD measurements for pre- and post-training tests of all muscles presented maximal coefficient of variation ≤ 15.26 %.

All variables tested have been shown to have medium to high reliability in previous studies using similar procedures [17, 26, 27].
Training sessions

Training sessions were performed two times a week for 6 weeks. Each session lasted approximately 20 min. The first training session began 72 h after pre-training tests and subsequent sessions were separated by at least 48 h. Participants sat on a Biodex System 3 isokinetic dynamometer using the same procedures as the isometric test. Group CON/CON began by performing one set of 10 maximal repetitions at 210°/s for quadriceps and hamstrings; group ECC/ECC began by performing one set of 10 maximal repetitions at 60°/s for quadriceps and hamstrings; and group CON/ECC began by performing one set of 10 maximal repetitions at 210°/s for quadriceps concentric and at 60°/s for hamstrings eccentric. Intensity of training was increased every week by decreasing isokinetic angular velocity for concentric and increasing it for eccentric groups in 30°/s increments [21, 29]. Volume was increased by adding one set each week [2, 6]. Group CTRL did not do any training, but returned to the lab for testing 6 weeks later.

Post-training tests

Post-tests were performed on one day 72 h after the last training session. It is unlikely that participants had high levels of muscle damage between the last session of eccentric training and the ultrasound assessment, as this mode of training is expected to induce the repeated bout effect over time [34]. This time interval has been previously found to be sufficient to reduce muscle damage markers (e.g. muscle swelling) [52], which could potentially affect ultrasound measures. In addition, participants did not report signs of exercise-induced muscle damage in any group. Participants performed the same tests as pre-training in the same order.

Statistical analyses

Normality of all values was verified by the Shapiro-Wilk test. Two $7 \times 2 \times 4$ (muscle x time x group) repeated measures ANOVAs were used to compare MT and MQ. Two $4 \times 2 \times 4$ (muscle x time x group) ANOVAs were used to compare MA and MCA. A $2 \times 2 \times 4$ (muscle x time x group) ANOVA was used to compare EMD. All analyses were performed with SPSS 21.0 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences, Chicago, IL, USA). Interactions and main effects were followed up by paired t-tests and post-hoc (LSD) analyses. An a priori alpha level of 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance. Data are expressed as mean and SD. Effect sizes for each significant difference between pre- and post-test comparisons by group were calculated by Cohens d, in which values < 0.50 were considered trivial, 0.50–1.25 small, 1.25–1.9 moderate, and > 2.0 large for untrained participants [41]. Rhea [41] advocates this use along with the scale to determine effect sizes in resistance training research as a more applicable method to provide the magnitude of the resistance training intervention to exercise science professionals.

Results

For MT there were significant interactions of muscle x time (F = 2.34; p = 0.033) and time x group (F = 7.65; p = 0.000040). They were followed up with seven paired t-tests, one for each muscle, and two paired t-tests, one for each group. Vastus lateralis, vastus medialis, semitendinosus and semimembranosus post were greater than pre (p < 0.05), while rectus femoris and vastus intermedius post were not different than pre (p > 0.05). For groups CON/CON, ECC/ECC and CON/ECC post was greater than pre (p < 0.05). For group CTRL post was not different than pre (p > 0.05). Groups CON/ECC and ECC/ECC had moderate effect sizes, followed by CON/CON and CTRL, with small and trivial effect sizes, respectively (▶ Table 1).

For MQ, there were no interactions. However, there was a main effect for time (F = 9.047; p = 0.0047), where pre was greater than post. There was also a main effect for muscle (F = 41.52; p < 0.0001) where rectus femoris was greater than vastus intermedius and vastus medialis. Vastus lateralis was greater than vastus intermedius and vastus medialis. Vastus medialis was greater than vastus intermedius. Biceps femoris long head was greater than rectus femoris, vastus intermedius, vastus lateralis and vastus medialis. Semimembranosus was greater than rectus femoris, vastus intermedius, vastus lateralis and vastus medialis. Semitendinosus was greater than rectus femoris, vastus intermedius, vastus lateralis and vastus medialis. Semimembranosus was greater than rectus femoris, vastus intermedius, vastus lateralis and vastus medialis. Vastus medialis was greater than vastus intermedius.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to compare six weeks of CON/CON, ECC/ECC and CON/ECC muscle action isokinetic training on MT, MQ, MA, MCA, and EMD of the hamstrings and quadriceps. Our results revealed that all training groups increased MT of both the quadriceps and hamstrings when compared to CTRL. However, effect sizes demonstrated that CON/ECC and ECC/ECC had a greater magnitude of change compared to CON/CON and CTRL. There were no differences between groups for MQ, MA, MCA and EMD. These findings suggest that all training protocols elicit muscle size increases, but the addition of eccentric training may elicit a greater MT magnitude. However, different short-term muscle action isokinetic training does not lead to differential adaptations in MA, MCA, MQ and EMD.

A plethora of studies have investigated MT following specific concentric and eccentric muscle action training modes [2, 3, 6, 19, 24, 39, 47]. Since maximal force output, mechanical efficiency and force-velocity relationships are different between distinct muscle actions, different muscle action training modes have been suggested to lead to specific morphological changes [19, 24, 47].
Table 1  Means and SD of muscle thickness (MT) between pre- and post-tests for training and control groups. Data collapsed across muscle and group. Effect sizes are presented for pre- and post-test comparisons.

| Muscles | CON/CON | ECC/ECC | CON/ECC | CTRL | Collapsed (group) |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|-------------------|
|         | Pre     | Post    | Pre     | Post | Pre               | Post | Pre | Post | Pre | Post |
| RF      | 26.86 ± 3.701 | 27.28 ± 5.304 | 24.6 ± 4.36 | 26.2 ± 3.54 | 23.06 ± 3.66 | 24.10 ± 3.304 | 23.3 ± 2.92 | 23.23 ± 3.52 | 24.45 ± 3.86 | 25.20 ± 4.17 |
| VI      | 19.71 ± 6.21 | 20.06 ± 5.202 | 20.1 ± 5.23 | 24.23 ± 4.32 | 21.01 ± 6.84 | 21.43 ± 5.44 | 21.54 ± 3.301 | 20.26 ± 3.53 | 20.58 ± 5.39 | 21.49 ± 4.803 |
| VL      | 31.77 ± 6.27 | 35.15 ± 5.21 | 31.25 ± 4.32 | 27.58 ± 5.04 | 30.46 ± 5.16 | 27.47 ± 4.41 | 26.87 ± 4.82 | 28.46 ± 6.27 | 30.95 ± 5.97 | 28.46 ± 5.97 |
| VM      | 60.63 ± 14.37 | 68.87 ± 6.703 | 66.53 ± 4.32 | 71.52 ± 2.99 | 60.77 ± 5.45 | 64.14 ± 4.3002 | 65.02 ± 4.56 | 63.74 ± 4.75 | 63.23 ± 8.003 | 67.06 ± 5.73 |
| BFh     | 39.26 ± 3.72 | 42.04 ± 3.93 | 39.67 ± 0.04 | 41.72 ± 4.58 | 39.05 ± 2.54 | 38.37 ± 3.86 | 38.78 ± 5.25 | 42.12 ± 5.89 | 42.63 ± 5.89 | 45.38 ± 5.89 |
| ST      | 33.44 ± 5.98 | 35.55 ± 6.08 | 33.44 ± 5.68 | 40.91 ± 2.99 | 31.2 ± 5.51 | 34.91 ± 7.06 | 32.36 ± 5.17 | 31.94 ± 5.74 | 32.65 ± 5.35 | 36.36 ± 5.35 |
| SM      | 37.20 ± 5.97 | 40.83 ± 6.06 | 35.35 ± 5.207 | 39.2 ± 10.209 | 33.35 ± 8.47 | 34.13 ± 4.68 | 35.48 ± 4.27 | 36.39 ± 3.801 | 37.34 ± 6.409 | 37.63 ± 6.36 |

- Collapsed (muscle) 35.55 ± 4.19 38.54 ± 3.82 * 35.25 ± 3.64 39.97 ± 3.61 * 33.45 ± 1.21 35.84 ± 1.19 * 34.89 ± 2.19 34.4 ± 2.58 | - | - |

* significantly greater than pre-test (p < 0.05)

Groups: CON/CON = concentric/concentric, ECC/ECC = eccentric/eccentric, CON/ECC = concentric/eccentric, CTRL = control

Muscles: Rectus femoris (RF), vastus intermedius (VI), vastus lateralis (VL), vastus medialis (VM), biceps femoris long head (BFh), semitendinosus (ST) and semimembranosus (SM)

Effect sizes are presented for pre- and post-test comparisons. Effect sizes are presented for pre- and post-test comparisons.

Means and SD of muscle thickness (MT) between pre- and post-tests for training and control groups. Data collapsed across muscle and group. Effect sizes are presented for pre- and post-test comparisons.
Table 2: Means and SD of muscle quality (MQ) between pre- and post-tests for training and control groups. Data collapsed across muscle and group, and across time and group.

| MQ (AU)       | CON/CON | ECC/ECC | CON/ECC | CTRL |                   |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|------|-------------------|
|               | Pre     | Post    | Pre     | Post  | Pre               |
| RF            | 36.204 ± 6.406 | 36.96 ± 6.55 | 38.75 ± 9.01 | 36.69 ± 3.86 | 35.82 ± 4.04 |
| VI            | 33.14 ± 5.32   | 30.74 ± 4.91   | 31.32 ± 6.28   | 28.21 ± 4.703 | 32.05 ± 6.28 |
| VL            | 37.43 ± 3.17   | 35.94 ± 4.05   | 35.7 ± 4.38    | 32.53 ± 5.61    | 37.14 ± 8.49 |
| VM            | 37.59 ± 4.13   | 36.03 ± 6.04   | 34.79 ± 4.02   | 31.58 ± 3.203   | 34.23 ± 5.05 |
| BF<sub>lh</sub> | 42.83 ± 7.39 | 39.50 ± 7.06 | 39.76 ± 5.83 | 37.76 ± 5.78 | 41.65 ± 7.54 |
| ST            | 42.09 ± 8.31   | 40.80 ± 8.307  | 41.62 ± 8.05   | 39.02 ± 6.26    | 42.79 ± 7.09 |
| SM            | 44.91 ± 8.22   | 39.94 ± 3.40   | 42.24 ± 5.74   | 42.05 ± 3.52    | 45.51 ± 8.14 |

Groups: CON/CON = concentric/concentric, ECC/ECC = eccentric/eccentric, CON/ECC = concentric/eccentric, CTRL = control
Muscles: Rectus femoris (RF), vastus intermedius (VI), vastus lateralis (VL), vastus medialis (VM), biceps femoris long head (BF<sub>lh</sub>), semitendinosus (ST) and semimembranosus (SM)

* significantly greater than post-test (p < 0.05)
# significantly greater than VI and VM (p < 0.05)
† significantly greater than VI (p < 0.05)
‡ significantly greater than RF, VI, VL and VM (p < 0.05)
§ significantly greater than RF, VI, VL, VM and BF<sub>lh</sub> (p < 0.05)
Evaluations have demonstrated that neural adaptations may depend on differences between training and testing muscle actions [2, 4, 6], as well as intensity [25], velocity [11, 47], and duration [2] of training. Higbie et al. (1996) found that 10 weeks of maximal unilateral concentric vs. eccentric knee extensor training did not result in different MA increases. Similarly, Seger et al. [47] found that concentric vs. eccentric training only increased MA at similar or higher speeds than those performed during training and were not different between muscle actions. These studies are partially in agreement with our results, since we also did not find MA differences between training modes, nor did we find increases within groups. Only a few studies have investigated the effects of specific muscle action
Cadore et al. [6] found that 6 weeks of concentric vs. eccentric knee extensor training did not improve isometric MA. In addition, Baroni et al. [2] and Brassine et al. [4] were unable to find isometric MA differences after 2 and 4 weeks of specific eccentric training, although Baroni et al. [2] found that MA adaptations measured eccentrically were present after 4 weeks. This demonstrates that MA short-term training increases may be more likely to occur when the testing is performed in the same specific muscle action as training.
Although other possible neural adaptations such as reductions in activation of synergists and antagonists may be expected after RT [24], our results indicated that MCA was unaltered between training modes. Colson et al. [13] was also unable to find MCA changes after 7 weeks of eccentric elbow flexion training. This demonstrates that short-term specific muscle action training may not be enough to modify the coactivation mechanism. Interestingly, biceps femoris long head MCA at post-test was greater than pretest when collapsed across groups. Since training may not have been sufficient to reduce MCA, it seems that maximal isometric knee extension contractions during post tests may have led the central nervous system to optimize co-activation for preservation of joint integrity [20]. However, biceps femoris long head MCA individual data indicate that only a few individuals in each group had greater MCA at post-test, which may have influenced these results. Nevertheless, EMG MA and MCA do not measure all possible neural adaptations due to RT, and the portion of the muscle where EMG electrodes are placed does not precisely reflect the cross sectional area of muscle fibers activated through the entire range of motion tested, nor does it account for potential differences in force generation between fiber types at different velocities [24]. Therefore, different muscle action training modes could still lead to both supraspinal and spinal specific adaptations, such as increased motoneuron excitability, reduced presynaptic inhibition, and elevated central motor drive [28]. Additionally, Ruas et al. [43] in a review reported that there are important cortical activation differences between muscle actions in strength and fatigue outcomes, which may result in specific chronic central neural adaptations.

MQ is commonly measured through echo-intensity, which is the greyscale quantification of muscle images [8, 44]. Since this measurement shows muscular fat and/or fibrous composition levels, a decrease in echo-intensity values can identify improvements in muscle strength, contractile component and functionality [7, 18, 38] due to greater muscle metabolic demand and reduction of adipose tissue [7, 37]. We are only aware of one study that has measured every 72–96 h during 4 weeks of concentric dumbbell curls and shoulder press resistance training. However, echo-intensity has been previously questioned as a valid single marker of MQ, since it may be affected by the variability of participants’ intramuscular fat, influencing sound absorption and reflection of echo signals [44, 51]. It may also be influenced by shape, location and size of ROI analyses of different muscles [8]. This has been shown to result in low reliability compared to other ultrasound measurements such as MT and cross sectional area [44]. Therefore, caution should be used in its interpretation as a single measurement of MQ [8, 51], as short-term specific muscle action RT may not be sufficient to elicit echo-intensity changes [48].

EMD reduction due to RT is related to a variety of changes in the muscle-tendon complex, such as increases in contractile component, excitation-contraction coupling, and stretching of series elastic components [10, 30, 31]. Kubo et al. [30] found that 12 weeks of isometric knee extension training led to decreases in EMD associated with increases in tendon stiffness and rate of torque development. However, Costa et al. [14] did not find EMD improvements after very short isokinetic vs. dynamic constant external resistance knee extension training. Similarily, Häkkinen and Komi [22] were unable to find changes in EMD after 16 weeks of dynamic knee extensor training. Zhou [54] also did not find significant changes in knee extensor EMD after 7 weeks of sprint training. These results are in agreement with our study, as we did not find improvements in EMD for any muscle action training mode. Nevertheless, long-term weightlifting athletes have been found to have shorter EMD compared to endurance athletes [53]. Therefore, dynamic muscle action RT may require a longer time to demonstrate improvements in force transmittal capacity from the muscle-tendon complex to the skeletal system compared to isometric training. Future specific muscle action training studies, including dynamic and isometric muscle actions, are needed to further support this assumption.

In the present study, our isokinetic training progression of velocity strategy was based on the opposite force/velocity curves for concentric and eccentric muscle actions, assuming that intensity of training would be increased every week by decreasing isokinetic angular velocity for concentric and increasing it for eccentric training in 30°/s increments. For that reason, velocity decreased from 210°/s-60°/s for concentric training and increased from 60°/s-210°/s for eccentric training throughout the weeks. Based
on the torque/velocity curve, if the same velocity was to be used (e.g. 90°/s) then the stress would be completely different, as the concentric curve is inverse while the eccentric curve is positive [9]. This strategy was also used to ensure that groups were matched by maximal strength and theoretically similar activation levels, as when resistance training is performed maximally they belong to the same family of force/velocity curves [39]. A potential limitation of this study is that while training was performed dynamically, values for MA, MCA and EMD were measured isometrically. Therefore, it is possible that these variables might still change over time, if testing was performed specific to the muscle action trained. However, further studies are needed to clarify the specific mechanisms underpinning neuromuscular adaptations after different muscle action resistance training protocols.

Conclusions

Our findings suggest that six weeks of CON/CON, ECC/ECC or CON/ECC muscle action isokinetic training elicit similar muscle size increases in the hamstrings and quadriceps. However, eccentric training may elicit a greater MT magnitude. Different short-term muscle action isokinetic training protocols do not lead to differential effects in MA, MCA, MQ and EMD.
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