Multiple E3s promote the degradation of histone H3 variant Cse4
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The histone H3-like protein Cse4/CENP-A acts as a key molecular marker that differentiates the special centromeric chromatin structures from bulk nucleosomes. As altered Cse4/CENP-A activity leads to genome instability, it is pivotal to understand the mechanism underlying Cse4 regulation. Here, we demonstrate that four ubiquitin ligases (i.e., Ubr1, Slx5, Psh1, and Rcy1) work in parallel to promote Cse4 turnover in yeast. Interestingly, Cse4 overexpression leads to cellular toxicity and cell cycle delay in yeast cells lacking PSH1, but not in cells lacking UBR1, suggesting different roles of these two degradation pathways. Our findings suggest that various ubiquitin ligases collaborate to keep the Cse4 level in check, providing a basis for further delineating the intricate network involved in Cse4 regulation.

The centromere, a highly condensed and constricted chromosome region, serves as the site of kinetochore assembly, which is essential to chromosome segregation that allows proper distribution of duplicated genetic information to daughter cells1,2. The yeast histone H3 variant Cse4 and its human counterpart CENP-A are molecular markers that differentiate the special centromeric structure from bulk nucleosomes2–5. Excessive or nonrestraint Cse4/CENP-A can lead to its incorporation into euchromatic nucleosomes, which may result in aneuploidy and promote tumorigenesis2,6–8. It is therefore critical to understand how Cse4/CENP-A activity is regulated and restricted to the centromere.

Human CENP-A and yeast Cse4 have been shown to be subject to ubiquitin-mediated degradation2,9,10. Ubiquitin, a 76-residue polypeptide, often functions as the molecular flag that marks protein for destruction, promoting rapid changes in protein concentration11–13. The key factors in ubiquitin-mediated events are the ubiquitin ligases (E3s), which specifically recognize substrates. With the help of a ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1) and a ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2), E3 ligases facilitate the covalent attachment of ubiquitin molecules onto their targets. Ubiquitin-decorated substrates are then transferred to and degraded by the 26S proteasome, a multi-subunit protease11–13. As E3 ligases confer substrate selectivity and carry out the rate-limiting step of ubiquitylation, the key to understanding the role of ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis in Cse4/CENP-A regulation is identifying ubiquitin ligases that are responsible for selecting Cse4/CENP-A for ubiquitylation and degradation.

The first degradation route for Cse4 was identified ~7 years ago but only accounted for partial Cse4 degradation. Using an affinity purification-mass spectrometry coupled strategy, Psh1 was shown to bind Cse4 directly and promote its ubiquitylation14,15. We recently performed a synthetic dosage lethality screen and identified the SCF (Skp1-Cdc53-F box) complex containing the F-box protein Rcy1 as another ubiquitin ligase required for Cse4 turnover16. Excessive Cse4 accumulation leads to growth retardation in cells lacking PSH1 or RCY1. However, in the absence of both PSH1 and RCY1, Cse4 is still degraded albeit more slowly16, suggesting other degradation pathway(s) remains to be discovered.

To uncover other ubiquitylation enzymes involved in Cse4 regulation, we screened for ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes (E2) involved and found that Cse4 turnover is impaired in yeast cells lacking UBC4. We next demonstrated that a Ubc4-dependent E3 complex composed of Slx5 and Slx8 promotes Cse4 turnover. Moreover, we showed that Ubr1 is the fourth E3 involved in Cse4 ubiquitylation and degradation. Our results suggest that these four ubiquitin ligases likely act in parallel to maintain the Cse4 level. Interestingly, unlike PSH1-defective cells, UBR1 deficiency does not lead to growth retardation and cell cycle delay upon Cse4 overexpression. These findings offer novel insights into the mechanism underlying Cse4/CENP-A regulation.
Δslx5 for subsequent substrate ubiquitylation and degradation. Our results complement their findings and further support the involvement of Slx5 in proteolysis.

We found that Slx5 and Cse4 reciprocally co-immunoprecipitated, suggesting a requirement for Slx5 E3 catalytic activity in Cse4 degradation. This finding prompted us to examine whether known Ubc4-associated E3s (e.g., Ufd4, Dma1, Hel1, Ela1, Slx5, and APC) are involved in Cse4 turnover. We found that an E3 complex composed of Slx5 and Slx8 was required for efficient Cse4 degradation (Figs 1B and 2A,B). The compromised Cse4 turnover in the slx5Δ mutant could be restored by the expression of wild-type Slx5, but not the RING mutant of Slx5 (C561S C564S) deficient in E3 activity (Fig. 2C,D).

As an E3 directly interacts with its target, we evaluated whether Slx5 binds Cse4 in vivo by co-immunoprecipitation assays (Fig. 2E). We found that Slx5 and Cse4 reciprocally co-immunoprecipitated, supporting the involvement of Slx5 in Cse4 regulation. Slx5 is known as a SUMO-targeted ubiquitin ligase (STUbL) that recognizes sumoylated proteins as substrates. Slx5 substrates are first sumoylated by the Ubc9-Siz1/2 pathway, then recognized and ubiquitylated by the Ubc4-Slx5-Slx8 pathway for subsequent degradation. We therefore wondered whether sumoylation was involved in Cse4 degradation. Ubc9 is the sole and essential SUMO conjugating enzyme in yeast. When a yeast strain bearing a temperature sensitive Ubc9 mutation in the non-permissive temperature 37 °C, Cse4 degradation was markedly impaired (Fig. 2F, G), which is consistent with the link between sumoylation and Slx5 in proteolysis.

Figure 1. The involvement of Ubc4 E2 and Ubc4-associated E3s in Cse4 degradation. (A) Cse4 turnover is impaired in ubc4Δ cells. Cse4 stability was examined in wild-type and E2-deficient cells by a protein expression shut-off assay. A plasmid bearing HA-tagged Cse4 was transformed into wild-type cells and 10 E2 mutants. Yeast cells expressing HA-Cse4 were grown to an OD₆₀₀ of ~1, and samples were collected after expression was turned off by cycloheximide (indicated as Chase (min)). Extracts were analyzed by immunoblotting with HA antibody. Equal amounts of extracts were used and verified by western blotting with Rpt5 antibody in all protein stability experiments (lower panels). (B) Efficient Cse4 degradation requires Slx5 and Slx8. Cse4 turnover in wild-type cells and 12 Ubc4-associated E3 mutant cells was determined as above.

Results

The Ubc4-Slx5-Slx8 pathway is involved in Cse4 turnover. Ubiquitin-mediated pathways are mainly defined by specific ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes (E2) and ubiquitin ligases (E3). In the yeast S. cerevisiae, there are ~12 E2s and more than 60 E3s involved in ubiquitin modification. To uncover other pathways responsible for Cse4 turnover, we first sought to identify relevant E2s. Ubc3 (also called Cdc34) has been previously implicated as an E2 for Cse4. Here, we assessed Cse4 degradation kinetics in yeast cells lacking one of the ten non-essential E2 enzymes (i.e., Ubc1, Ubc2, Ubc4, Ubc5, Ubc7, Ubc8, Ubc10, Ubc11, Ubc12, and Ubc13). Interestingly, Cse4 turnover was impaired in the ubc4Δ mutant (Fig. 1A), suggesting that Ubc4 functions as another E2 enzyme in Cse4 regulation. This finding prompted us to examine whether known Ubc4-associated E3s (e.g., Ufd4, Dma1, Hel1, Ela1, Slx5, and APC) are involved in Cse4 turnover (Fig. 1B). We found that an E3 complex composed of Slx5 and Slx8 was required for efficient Cse4 degradation (Figs 1B and 2A,B). The compromised Cse4 turnover in the slx5Δ mutant could be restored by the expression of wild-type Slx5, but not the RING mutant of Slx5 (C561S C564S) deficient in ubiquitylation, suggesting a requirement for Slx5 E3 catalytic activity in Cse4 degradation (Fig. 2C,D).

As an E3 directly interacts with its target, we evaluated whether Slx5 binds Cse4 in vivo by co-immunoprecipitation assays (Fig. 2E). We found that Slx5 and Cse4 reciprocally co-immunoprecipitated, supporting the involvement of Slx5 in Cse4 regulation. Slx5 is known as a SUMO-targeted ubiquitin ligase (STUbL) that recognizes sumoylated proteins as substrates. Slx5 substrates are first sumoylated by the Ubc9-Siz1/2 pathway; then recognized and ubiquitylated by the Ubc4-Slx5-Slx8 pathway for subsequent degradation. We therefore wondered whether sumoylation was involved in Cse4 degradation. Ubc9 is the sole and essential SUMO conjugating enzyme in yeast. When a yeast strain bearing a temperature sensitive mutation in UBC9 was shifted from 30 °C to the non-permissive temperature 37 °C, Cse4 degradation was markedly impaired (Fig. 2E), which is consistent with the link between sumoylation and Slx5 in proteolysis.

While our work was in progress, Ohkuni et al. demonstrated the involvement of Slx5 and Slx8 in Cse4 degradation. Using a different approach (i.e., post-translational modification of Cse4), they established Cse4 sumoylation in vivo and in vitro via the SUMO ligases Siz1 and Siz2, which then attracts the Slx5-Slx8 E3 complex for subsequent substrate ubiquitylation and degradation. Our results complement their findings and further reveal an in vivo involvement of the E2 enzymes Ubc4 and Ubc9 in Cse4 regulation (Figs 1 and 2).

Cse4 degradation is compromised in cells lacking Psh1, Slx5 and Rcy1. As both double mutants psh1Δ slx5Δ and psh1Δ rcy1Δ exhibit a slower Cse4 degradation rate than single mutants (i.e., psh1Δ, rcy1Δ, slx5Δ) [16,18], Psh1 is thought to operate independently of Slx5 and Rcy1. We also found that Slx5 and Rcy1 function in separate pathways (see below in Fig. 6B). Next, we examined whether Cse4 degradation would be abolished in yeast cells lacking all three of these ubiquitin ligases Psh1, Slx5 and Rcy1. Instead of using shorter time points up to 40 minutes, which help to capture moderate effects, we extended the chase time of Cse4 stability experiment to 3 hours (Fig. 3A). Interestingly, Cse4 turnover was severely impaired but not entirely eliminated in the psh1Δ rcy1Δ slx5Δ triple mutant (Fig. 3A,B), suggesting that there are other degradation pathways for Cse4. Consistent with the observation of compromised Cse4 turnover in the psh1Δ rcy1Δ slx5Δ mutant, Cse4 ubiquitylation was also significantly reduced in the triple mutant as well (Fig. 3C).
Ubr1 E3 promotes Cse4 ubiquitylation and degradation. To identify other ubiquitin ligases involved, we re-examined the list of candidate Cse4-binding proteins that were previously isolated using an immunoprecipitation-mass spectrometry approach. Besides Psh1, Ubr1 was the only other E3 obtained in the screen but its potential role in Cse4 regulation had not been characterized. Ubr1 was the first E3 ligase identified in ubiquitin-mediated processes and has many substrates localized in the nucleus and cytosol. First, we performed co-immunoprecipitation to validate the Cse4-Ubr1 interaction. We found that Flag-tagged Ubr1 and HA-tagged Cse4 immunoprecipitated each other, supporting binding between Ubr1 and Cse4. Moreover, Cse4 degradation and ubiquitylation are impaired in yeast cells lacking UBRI (Fig. 4B,C,D), suggesting that Ubr1 acts as another E3 enzyme for Cse4 turnover. One concern is that Cse4 was overexpressed in these experiments. Therefore, we next employed a strain expressing Cse4 tagged with GFP at its endogenous locus. Due to its lower expression level, GFP-Cse4 was enriched by immunoprecipitation with a GFP antibody in these experiments (Fig. 4E). We found that degradation of endogenously expressed GFP-Cse4 was impaired in cells lacking UBRI (Fig. 4E,F), supporting that Ubr1 also participates in Cse4 regulation.

Differential responses of psh1Δ and ubr1Δ cells to Cse4 overexpression. Cse4 accumulation has been shown to cause severe growth inhibition in yeast cells lacking PSH1 or RCY1. Interestingly, Cse4 overexpression has little effect on the growth of ubr1Δ or slx5Δ cells, unlike mutant cells missing PSH1 or RCY1 (Fig. 5A). Consistent with the normal growth observed in slx5Δ upon Cse4 induction, cells lacking UBC4 were not sensitive to Cse4 overexpression (Fig. 5A) as Ubc4 and Slx5 function in the same pathway. To further understand the toxicity triggered by Cse4 accumulation in psh1Δ cells, we monitored cell cycle progression by FACS analysis since Cse4-mediated chromosome segregation is a crucial cell cycle event. Wild-type or mutant yeast cells bearing a plasmid for Cse4 overexpression or a vector control were arrested at G1 phase by α-factor and then released to resume normal cell growth. In the absence of Cse4 overexpression, largely similar cell cycle progression kinetics was observed in wild-type, psh1Δ (Fig. 5B), and ubr1Δ cells (data not shown). However, compared with wild-type cells, psh1Δ cells overexpressing Cse4 exhibited a significantly slower cell cycle, showing ~100 minutes delay (Fig. 5B). In contrast, ubr1Δ cells overexpressing Cse4 showed only a minor cell cycle delay compared with wild-type cells (Fig. 5B).
Four E3s work in parallel to promote Cse4 turnover. We then evaluated the relationship between Ubr1 and the other three E3s. Slx5 and Psh1 define two distinct pathways for Cse4 turnover based on a previous study. Therefore, we compared the degradation kinetics of Cse4 in wild-type cells and the psh1Δ slx5Δ double mutant using an expression shut-off assay. The triple mutant psh1Δ slx5Δ ubr1Δ showed greater impairment of Cse4 turnover than the psh1Δ slx5Δ double mutant (Fig. 6A,C), suggesting that Ubr1 likely works in a pathway separate from Psh1 and Slx5.

We previously demonstrated that Rcy1 and Psh1 act in different pathways to promote Cse4 destruction. We found that the triple mutants, psh1Δ rcy1Δ slx5Δ and psh1Δ rcy1Δ ubr1Δ, showed more compromised Cse4 turnover than the psh1Δ slx5Δ double mutant (Fig. 6A,C), suggesting that Ubr1 likely works in a pathway separate from Psh1 and Slx5.

We further assessed whether these four E3s account for all Cse4-related degradation pathways. Cse4 was significantly stabilized in the quadruple mutant psh1Δ rcy1Δ slx5Δ ubr1Δ, but residual degradation remained (Fig. 7A,B), suggesting that additional ubiquitin ligase(s) or a small pool of stable Cse4 may exist. Consistent with this finding, Cse4 ubiquitylation is largely but not entirely eliminated in psh1Δ rcy1Δ slx5Δ ubr1Δ mutant cells (Fig. 7C). In contrast to the impaired Cse4 turnover observed in the quadruple mutant psh1Δ rcy1Δ slx5Δ ubr1Δ, the degradation of two proteasomal substrates Pex29 and Dbf4 was unaltered in cells lacking these E3s (Fig. 7D,E), indicating that global proteolysis is not affected in the quadruple mutant cells.

We also examined the growth of the quadruple mutant psh1Δ rcy1Δ slx5Δ ubr1Δ under various conditions (Fig. 7F). Interestingly, the quadruple mutant is more sensitive than single E3 mutants to DNA-damaging agents (e.g., UV and HU) and oxidative stress triggered by H2O2 (Fig. 7F), supporting the involvement of these E3s in genome maintenance.

Discussion
Cse4 plays a crucial role in establishing the centromeric domain, which is key to chromosome segregation. Unrestrained Cse4 activity leads to aberrant chromosome-related processes and genome instability. Here, we show that at least four E3 ligases (i.e., Psh1, Ubr1, Slx5, Rcy1) work together to regulate the Cse4 level. Although one E3 ligase may be sufficient to adjust the concentration of its targets, multiple ubiquitin ligases have
Figure 4. Ubr1 E3 regulates Cse4 turnover. (A) Ubr1 associates with Cse4 in vivo. A plasmid bearing HA-Cse4 or Flag-Ubr1 and/or a control vector were transformed into yeast cells. Proteins were extracted from the cells indicated and incubated with IgG beads coated with Flag or HA antibody. The samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and visualized by western blotting using Flag or HA antibody as indicated. The identity of the bands is shown to the left of the panels. (B,C) Cse4 degradation in wild-type and ubr1Δ cells was determined and quantified as above. (D) Reduced Cse4 ubiquitylation in ubr1Δ cells. (E) Ubr1 is involved in the degradation of endogenously expressed Cse4-GFP. Expression shut-off analysis of Cse4 tagged with GFP at its normal chromosomal locus was carried out in wild-type and ubr1Δ cells. Cse4-GFP was immunoprecipitated by a GFP antibody and then analyzed by western blotting. (F) Quantitation of the data in E.

Figure 5. Cse4 overexpression leads to toxicity and cell cycle delay in psh1Δ but not ubr1Δ mutant cells. (A) Cse4 overexpression causes slower growth in cells lacking PSH1 or RCY1. A plasmid expressing GAL1 promoter-regulated Cse4 was transformed into wild-type, ubc4Δ, psh1Δ, ubr1Δ, rcy1Δ, slx5Δ cells as indicated. Yeast cells were grown to similar densities and 10-fold serial dilutions were spotted onto both glucose (SD, expression off) and galactose (SG, expression on) plates. The identities of these mutants are listed to the left of the panels. (B) Effects of Cse4 overexpression on cell cycle progression monitored by FACS. Cells were arrested in G1 triggered by α factor for 4 h. Cse4 expression was induced for 2 h in G1 arrested cells. The cells were released from G1 arrest and maintained in galactose-containing media. The samples collected were subject to FACScan analysis of DNA content. Representative FACS results are shown.
increasingly been found to be involved in modulating a substrate in response to various stimuli. For example, five E3s (i.e., Tom1, Snt2, Pep5, Hel1 and Hel2) have been implicated in the degradation of histone H3. The use of multiple E3s in substrate turnover allows for more regulation and better control. Instead of simply acting like an "on/off" switch, E3s serve more like a dimmer-type control to modulate protein concentration and activity. How these E3s collaborate remains far from clear. The delayed cell cycle progression observed in \( psh1 \Delta \) cells (Fig. 5B) further suggests that sustained Cse4 may activate cell cycle checkpoints to ensure proper chromosome segregation. Our findings raise many challenging issues that would be important for future studies, including how these E3s specifically recognize Cse4, how they work with other cellular cues and pathways (e.g., casein kinase 2, Siz1- and Siz2-mediated sumoylation, SWI/SNF remodeling enzymes, the FACT complex, and the proline isomerase Fpr3), and what the functional role of each degradation pathway is.

The four ubiquitin ligases involved in Cse4 turnover have been identified via different approaches, including immunoprecipitation-mass spectrometry, a synthetic lethality screen, and a systematic analysis for specific E2s and related E3s. Since Cse4 degradation is not entirely abolished in the quadruple mutant (Fig. 7), it is possible that a small pool of Cse4 may be spared from degradation or additional ubiquitin ligases are involved in Cse4 turnover. Key to understanding the physiological functions of the ubiquitin system is to delineate the

---

**Figure 6.** Functional relationships among Ubr1, Slx5, Psh1 and Rcy1. (A–D) Cse4 stability was determined and quantified in wild-type and mutant cells bearing various combinations of \( rcy1 \Delta, psh1 \Delta, slx5 \Delta, ubr1 \Delta \).

---

**Figure 7.** Impaired Cse4 degradation and ubiquitylation in the \( psh1 \Delta rcy1 \Delta slx5 \Delta ubr1 \Delta \) quadruple mutant cells. (A, B) Cse4 degradation in wild-type and \( psh1 \Delta rcy1 \Delta slx5 \Delta ubr1 \Delta \) cells was determined and quantified as above. (C) Cse4 ubiquitylation was determined as described in Fig. 3C. (D, E) Degradation of Pex29 and Dbf4 in wild-type and \( psh1 \Delta rcy1 \Delta slx5 \Delta ubr1 \Delta \) cells. Pex29 and Dbf4 are regulated by the E3s Doa10 and APC, respectively. The degradation kinetics of GST-tagged Pex29 and MRRF-tagged Dbf4 were determined as previously described. (F) Phenotypes associated with the quadruple mutant \( psh1 \Delta rcy1 \Delta slx5 \Delta ubr1 \Delta \). Mutants display stress-sensitive phenotypes. Yeast wild-type cells and mutants lacking one or four E3s were spotted in 10-fold serial dilution onto YPD plates with the indicated agents and concentrations.
functional relationships between ubiquitin E3 ligases and cognate targets. However, due to the transient nature of substrate-E3 binding and the technical challenges involved, it can be a significant undertaking to identify a relevant E3 involved in the modification of a given substrate. For example, more than 20 years after the discovery of the ubiquitylated form of histone H2B, Bre1 was identified as the ubiquitin ligase in H2B degradation\textsuperscript{26, 27}. Identifying cellular targets of an E3 can be equally frustrating as illustrated by the time it took (i.e., ~15 years) to discover a physiological substrate (i.e., Scc1) of the N-end rule E3 Ubr1, the first E3 ligase identified in ubiquitin-mediated events\textsuperscript{28}. Given the critical role of Cse4 in centromere function, it may be worthwhile to comprehensively analyze Cse4 stability in all yeast mutants that are defective in E3 activity.

The ubiquitin ligase(s) involved in human CENP-A degradation remain unclear\textsuperscript{2}. While Psh1 does not seem to have a mammalian counterpart, Ubr1, Slx5 and Rcy1 are known to have human homologues. It will be of interest to evaluate CENP-A turnover in mammalian cells deficient for these homologues and also to determine whether the human homologues of these E3s are altered in CENP-A-related cancer cells. The knowledge gained will significantly advance our understanding of CENP-A mediated centromere function and related diseases, and may lead to the development of new avenues to control its activity.

Methods

Yeast strains and plasmids. Yeast \emph{S. cerevisiae} strains lacking non-essential ubiquitylation components including various E2s and E3s in a BY4741 background were obtained from Dr. Mark Hochstrasser (Yale U.)\textsuperscript{29}. Yeast \emph{ubc9} and \emph{ubc1} mutant strains were obtained from Dr. Ray Deshaies (Caltech) and Mark Hochstrasser, respectively. The yeast strain bearing Cse4 tagged with GFP at its endogenous locus was obtained from Dr. Carl Wu (NHM). Strain YHR305 (\emph{PSH1::LEU2 RCY1::KanMX4}) was constructed by replacing \emph{PSH1} with \emph{LEU2} in the \emph{rcy1Δ} strain. Strain YHR317 (\emph{UBR1::URA3 PSH1::LEU2 RCY1::KanMX4}) was constructed by replacing UBR1 with \emph{URA3} in YHR305. Yeast strain YHR320 (\emph{ubr1Δ PSH1::LEU2 RCY1::KanMX4}) was obtained by popped out \emph{URA3} from YHR317 via FOA selection. Strain YHR331 (\emph{SLX5::NAT}) was constructed by replacing SLX5 with the NAT gene (nourseothricin resistant) in the BY4742 strain. YHR321 (MAT\emph{a}/\emph{α} \emph{SLX5::NAT UBR1::URA3 PSH1::LEU2 RCY1::Kan}) is a diploid strain constructed by mating YHR317 and YHR331. The haploid strains YHR333 (\emph{SLX5::NAT UBR1::URA3 PSH1::LEU2 RCY1::Kan}), YHR334 (\emph{SLX5::NAT PSH1::LEU2 RCY1::Kan}), YHR336 (\emph{SLX5::NAT UBR1::URA3 PSH1::LEU2}), and YHR338 (\emph{SLX5::NAT PSH1::LEU2}) were selected after the sporulation of YHR321. YHR320 (\emph{ubr1Δ PSH1::LEU2 RCY1::KanMX4}), YHR347 (\emph{ubr1Δ SLX5::NAT PSH1::LEU2 RCY1::Kan}), and YHR349 (\emph{ubr1Δ SLX5::NAT PSH1::LEU2}) in the BY4741 background were obtained by popping out \emph{URA3} from YHR317 using FOA selection from YHR331, YHR333 and YHR336, respectively.

Yeast cells were grown in rich (YPD) or synthetic media containing standard ingredients and 2% glucose (SD medium), or 2% raffinose (SR medium), or 2% raffinose + 2% galactose (SRRG medium).

The plasmids pMB1458 bearing 3HA-tagged Cse4 has been previously described\textsuperscript{30}. The pRS423-based plasmids expressing wild-type SLX5 or a RING finger mutant (CS61S, CS64S) of \emph{SLX5} were kindly provided by Dr. Hochstrasser (Yale U.). The pNT-Flag-UBR1 plasmid bearing Flag-tagged UBR1 was obtained from Dr. Varshavsky (Caltech).

Ubiquitylation Assay. Yeast cells expressing a \emph{GAL1}-regulated substrate HA-tagged Cse4 or a vector control were grown to log phase in SR medium, and 2% galactose was added to induce protein expression for 4 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation and lysed with glass beads. The lysates were immunoprecipitated with tandem ubiquitin binding entities (TUBEs) agarose gel (UM401, LifeSensors) for ubiquitylated species at 4 °C for 6 h. The immunoprecipitates were resolved by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and immunoblotted with a HA antibody (MMS-101P, BioLegend) to detect ubiquitylated Cse4, which were detected by anti-mouse HRP conjugates and ECL reagents. The samples were also analyzed by anti-HA for Cse4 and anti-Rpt5.

Expression shut-off assay. As previously described\textsuperscript{31}, yeast cells expressing HA-tagged Cse4 were grown at 30 °C to an \(OD_{600}\) of \(\sim 1\) in synthetic medium SR lacking uracil. The expression of HA-Cse4 was induced by galactose for 2 h\textsuperscript{31}. Protein synthesis was turned off by the addition of cycloheximide (150 \(\mu\)g/ml). Samples were collected at the indicated time points. Proteins were extracted by glass bead lysis of cells and processed for immunoblotting with anti-HA (BioLegend), followed by detection with goat anti–mouse HRP conjugate using ECL reagents (GE Healthcare). The stable protein Rpt5 was used as a loading control.

Antibodies. Antibodies against HA (MMS-101P, BioLegend) to detect ubiquitylated Cse4, which were detected by anti-mouse HRP conjugates and ECL reagents. The samples were also analyzed by anti-HA for Cse4 and anti-Rpt5.
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