Crystal structure of the EndoG/EndoGI complex: mechanism of EndoG inhibition
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ABSTRACT

EndoG is a ubiquitous nuclease that is translocated into the nucleus during apoptosis to participate in DNA degradation. The enzyme cleaves double- and single-stranded DNA and RNA. Related nucleases are found in eukaryotes and prokaryotes, which have evolved sophisticated mechanisms for genome protection against self-antagonizing nuclease activity. Common mechanisms of inhibition are secretion, sequestration into a separate cellular compartment or by binding to protein inhibitors. Although EndoG is silenced by compartmentalization into the mitochondrial intermembrane space, a nucleus-localized protein inhibitor protects cellular polynucleotides from degradation by stray EndoG under non-apoptotic conditions in Drosophila. Here, we report the first three-dimensional structure of EndoG in complex with its inhibitor EndoGI. Although the mechanism of inhibition is reminiscent of bacterial protein inhibitors, EndoGI has evolved independently from a generic protein-protein interaction module. EndoGI is a two-domain protein that binds the active sites of two monomers of EndoG, with EndoG being sandwiched between EndoGI. Since the amino acid sequences of eukaryotic EndoG homologues are highly conserved, this model is valid for eukaryotic dimeric EndoG in general. The structure indicates that the two active sites of EndoG occupy the most remote spatial position possible at the molecular surface and a concerted substrate processing is unlikely.

INTRODUCTION

Endonuclease G (EndoG) is a nuclear encoded, sugar-non-specific nuclease highly conserved among eukaryotes (1–3). Bacterial homologues of EndoG have been described as well, for example in Anabaena and Serratia marcescens (4,5). The bacterial homologues of EndoG are secreted from the cell and probably serve a nutritional function by providing precursors for nucleic acid biosynthesis or act as bactericides to inhibit growth of competing organisms. Related enzymes also serve as virulence factors during lung infection (6,7). In eukaryotes, EndoG serves a different function. The enzyme was originally proposed to be involved in mitochondrial DNA replication (8) and may be involved in the initiation of genomic inversions in Herpes Simplex type-1 virus (9). EndoG is targeted to the mitochondrial intermembrane space, and is now thought to be released from mitochondria upon induction of apoptosis together with cytochrome c and other proapoptotic proteins (1,3,10–12). Upon release, EndoG is translocated into the nucleus and helps to degrade chromosomal DNA (3,12). The role of EndoG in apoptosis is still ambiguous since an EndoG knock-out in mice did not show impaired apoptotic DNA degradation (13,14). However, the lack of apoptotic DNA degradation might also be explained by redundancy with additional nucleases (15). The major enzyme responsible for apoptotic DNA degradation is the caspase-activated DNase (CAD), which is regulated through association with its inhibitor ICAD. ICAD serves a dual function: it has a chaperone-like role, since no functional CAD is produced without ICAD. Moreover, ICAD functions as an inhibitor for CAD; it is cleaved by caspasases upon induction of apoptosis and thereby releases activated CAD.

Bacteria have evolved sophisticated mechanisms of protection against self-antagonizing activity of EndoG-like nucleases. The activity of the Serratia nuclease depends on the formation of cystine bonds, which occurs only during secretion (16). Anabaena possesses a protein inhibitor (NuiA) that forms a metal ion bridge to the nuclease NucA (17). Similarly, cytoplasmatic protein inhibitors for other secreted nucleases such as pancreatic RNase (18), barnase (19) or colicin E3 (20) have been described.
suggesting that bacteria as well as eukaryotes have backup systems to protect cellular nucleic acids from sorting failures.

An inhibitor of EndoG (EndoGI) is encoded in the genomes of different Drosophila species (21). Most EndoGI is located in the nucleus, separated from the mitochondrial EndoG, and has been suggested to act as life insurance for the cell against stray EndoG produced by failure of mitochondrial import or leakage from the organelle. EndoGI binds to EndoG with subpicomolar affinity, and binding is dependent on the active site architecture of the nuclease. EndoGI consists of two highly similar domains linked within one polypeptide chain. Each domain is able to inhibit EndoG on its own, and, accordingly, analytical ultracentrifugation showed that one molecule of EndoGI binds two EndoG molecules (21). EndoG from Drosophila as well as other EndoG variants from different eukaryotes exist as homodimers (2,21,22), and each inhibitor domain of EndoGI most probably binds to the active site of one EndoG monomer (21).

The active site of EndoG displays a ββγ-metal finger topology, harboring conserved residues involved in metal ion binding and nuclease activity (2,23). Based on sequence similarity with related bacterial nucleases, homology models have been proposed for EndoG. However, contradicting results concerning the active site architecture were obtained, requiring structural investigations of EndoG.

We therefore crystallized EndoG from Drosophila melanogaster in the presence of the inhibitor EndoGI. For the first time, we report the three-dimensional structure of eukaryotic EndoG. We demonstrate that EndoGI inhibits EndoG by blocking the active site and the oligonucleotide-binding groove. EndoGI is structurally unrelated to the previously described nuclease inhibitors and most probably has evolved independently of any ancestral bacterial inhibitor.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Protein expression and purification**

Full length EndoGI (dEndoGI) and N-terminally truncated EndoG (lacking the mitochondrial localization sequence and a short unstructured polypeptide stretch; further referred to as dEndoG), both from D. melanogaster, were co-expressed from a bicistronic expression construct (21). Escherichia coli C43(DE3) cells (Lucigen) transformed with the bicistronic expression construct were grown at 310 K in LB-medium containing cells (Lucigen) transformed with the bicistronic expression construct (21), and protein expression was induced with 0.5 mM IPTG for 16 h. Harvested cells were resuspended in buffer A (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgSO4 and 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol). Cell walls were broken by sonication and the cleared supernatant was loaded onto a 1 ml HiTrap HP column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer A containing additional 250 mM imidazole. The dEndoG/dEndoGI complex was further purified by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex™ 75 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 50 mM Hepes–NaOH pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgSO4 and 2 mM dithioerythritol. For crystallization, the protein complex was concentrated to 17 mg/ml as determined by the BioRad Protein Assay.

For nuclease activity assays, the dEndoG/dEndoGI complex was incubated with 10 mM EDTA and bound to MonoQ column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer B (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0 and 2 mM dithioerythritol) with additional 10 mM EDTA. While dEndoGI bound to the column, dEndoG was found in the flow-through, which was loaded onto a 1 ml HiTrap Heparin (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer B subsequently. Pure dEndoG was eluted in a gradient to buffer B containing additional 1 M NaCl.

**Nuclease activity assay**

In limited digestion experiments, 7.5 fmol of dEndoG were incubated with 80 ng plasmid DNA in 30 μl reaction buffer (10 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 6.0, 2 mM MgSO4 and 2 mM dithioerythritol). Aliquots were taken at different time intervals and the reaction was stopped by addition of 10 mM EDTA. To determine the pH-dependence of dEndoG activity in reaction buffer at different pH, the reaction was stopped after 1 min incubation. Samples were electrophoretically separated over a 0.5% (w/v) agarose gel and stained with SYBR Gold nucleic acid stain (Invitrogen).

**Crystallization, crystal harvesting and data collection**

Crystals grew at 277 K in a vapor diffusion setup applying a reservoir solution containing 20% (w/v) PEG 2000 MME. Crystals were harvested into a cryo protectant solution containing 100 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.5 and 32% (v/v) PEG 400 and subsequently flash cooled in liquid nitrogen. Diffraction data were collected at the beamline X10SA at the Swiss Light Source (Switzerland) at 100 K and processed with XDS (24).

**Phasing and structure refinement**

Initial phases were determined by molecular replacement (25) using the structure of NucA (26). Model building was performed in cycles of manual building with COOT (27) and automatic refinement using the simulated annealing protocol from CNS (28) and REFMAC (29). At final stages of refinement, phases were improved with REFMAC using TLS refinement. Model quality was evaluated with PROCHECK (30) and MolProbity (31). Solvent-accessible surface areas were calculated with AREAIMOL (29). Figures were prepared using PYMOL (32), and electrostatic surface potentials were calculated with APBS (33). Sequence alignments were performed with CLUSTALW (34) and illustrated with ALSCRIPT (35).
The termini of the two domains that need to be connected are separated by 65 Å, a distance that could easily be spanned by the missing 44 residues. Consequently, one dEndoGI molecule can inhibit both nuclease domains, without steric interference of the homodimer arrangement of dEndoG. Finally, MALDI-TOF experiments performed on dissolved protein crystals revealed that the EndoGI polypeptide chain was intact. Proteolytic cleavage of dEndoGI into two separate domains can therefore be excluded.

### Architecture of homodimeric EndoG

A Dali search (36) revealed that the mixed αβ fold of dEndoG closely resembles NucA from *Anabaena* (26) and *Serratia* nuclease (37). Like the related bacterial endonucleases, dEndoG contains a central twisted antiparallel β-sheet consisting of six β-strands flanked by helices and a second short β-sheet formed by strands βD and βE. In contrast to the bacterial nucleases, strands βG and βH of dEndoG form a third, small two-stranded antiparallel β-sheet (wing) which protrudes from the globular domain (Figures 1 and 2A). Interestingly, the wings of both monomers dimerize and form an intermolecular β-sheet. Additional residues in adjacent loop regions contribute to dimer formation (Figure 2A and Supplementary Table S2), and the total buried surface area involved in dimer formation is 1600 Å², 7.5% of the total solvent accessible surface. The domain interface is mainly hydrophilic, and two cavities filled with water molecules are buried in the domain interface. A superposition of the two dEndoG molecules revealed no significant differences, and root-mean-square deviation (r.m.s.d.) of 0.39 Å for all main chain atoms was calculated when the first six amino-terminal residues were excluded.

Residues forming the dEndoG dimer interface are conserved from yeast to human (Figure 2A and B). The dimer observed for dEndoG is thus a valid model for eukaryotic EndoG in general. This agrees with previous reports showing that EndoG from different organisms exists as homodimers in vitro (2,21,22). Based on the sequence homology to the *Serratia* nuclease, a different mode of dimer formation has been proposed (2). However, the β-wing forming the intermolecular β-sheet is not present in related bacterial endonucleases, and thus bacterial *Serratia* nuclease dimer formation is different. The wing was probably inserted later during evolution; it is conserved throughout all eukaryotic EndoG variants (Figure 2A).

### Results and Discussion

#### Overall structure of the EndoG/EndoGI complex

A model of the dEndoG/dEndoGI complex was refined against diffraction data to 2.2 Å resolution (data and refinement statistics are given in Table 1). Although some parts of the model were missing (see Supplementary Table S1), the model could be refined to a free R-factor of 22.3%. Overall the model has excellent stereochemistry with 91.5% of the residues lying in the most favored regions of the Ramachandran plot and 8% of the residues in additional allowed regions.

In agreement with previous studies (21), two dEndoG molecules are bound by one dEndoGI molecule in the crystal structure (Figure 1). The two dEndoG molecules form a compact dimer and are sandwiched between two domains of dEndoGI (further referred to as Dom1 and Dom2). The linker connecting the two inhibitor domains, however, could not be modeled into the residual ambiguous electron density. Thus, the physical connection of the two domains remains elusive (Supplementary Table S1). The termini of the two domains that need to be connected are separated by 65 Å, a distance that could easily be spanned by the missing 44 residues. Consequently, one dEndoGI molecule can inhibit both nuclease domains, without steric interference of the homodimer arrangement of dEndoG. Finally, MALDI-TOF experiments performed on dissolved protein crystals revealed that the EndoGI polypeptide chain was intact. Proteolytic cleavage of dEndoGI into two separate domains can therefore be excluded.

#### Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics

| Data collection      | Native                  |
|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Space group          | P2₁2₁2₁                 |
| Unit cell (Å)        | 79.8, 109.2, 121.1      |
| Wavelength (Å)       | 1.0075                  |
| Resolution (Å)       | 43.2-2.2 (2.3-2.2)      |
| No. reflections*     | 46,364 (5385)           |
| Completeness (%)     | 95.9 (90.5)             |
| ≈<I/σ(I)>nov         | 14.8 (4.2)              |
| R_{free}             | 8.7 (40.3)              |
| Redundancy           | 4.1 (4.2)               |

Refinement:

- R_{work,k} = 18.4 (20.1)
- R_{free,k} = 22.3 (21.3)
- Overall B factor (Å²) = 31.8
- Chain A dEndoG (1998 atoms) (Å²) = 30.9
- Chain B dEndoG (2051 atoms) (Å²) = 31.7
- Chain C dEndoGI Dom1 (1280 atoms) (Å²) = 30.7
- Chain C dEndoGI Dom2 (1089 atoms) (Å²) = 34.9
- Magnesium (two atoms) (Å²) = 22.0
- Buffer (one molecule) (Å²) = 44.2
- Water (328 molecules) (Å²) = 31.3
- R.m.s.d. from ideal geometry, bond length (Å) = 0.010
- Bond angles (°) = 1.150

Values in parentheses refer to the highest resolution shell.
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A model of the dEndoG/dEndoGI complex was refined against diffraction data to 2.2 Å resolution (data and refinement statistics are given in Table 1). Although some parts of the model were missing (see Supplementary Table S1), the model could be refined to a free R-factor of 22.3%. Overall the model has excellent stereochemistry with 91.5% of the residues lying in the most favored regions of the Ramachandran plot and 8% of the residues in additional allowed regions.

In agreement with previous studies (21), two dEndoG molecules are bound by one dEndoGI molecule in the crystal structure (Figure 1). The two dEndoG molecules form a compact dimer and are sandwiched between two domains of dEndoGI (further referred to as Dom1 and Dom2). The linker connecting the two inhibitor domains, however, could not be modeled into the residual ambiguous electron density. Thus, the physical connection of the two domains remains elusive (Supplementary Table S1). The termini of the two domains that need to be connected are separated by 65 Å, a distance that could easily be spanned by the missing 44 residues. Consequently, one dEndoGI molecule can inhibit both nuclease domains, without steric interference of the homodimer arrangement of dEndoG. Finally, MALDI-TOF experiments performed on dissolved protein crystals revealed that the EndoGI polypeptide chain was intact. Proteolytic cleavage of dEndoGI into two separate domains can therefore be excluded.

### The active site of EndoG is highly conserved

An additional conserved patch is located at the surface of dEndoG, opposite the dimer interface, where a hydrated magnesium ion is bound (Figure 2B and C). Mutational studies suggested that the highly conserved DRGH motif located in this conserved patch (spanning Asp152 to His155 in dEndoG) is involved in both metal ion and oligonucleotide binding and thus is the key motif for catalysis. A histidine residue was proposed to activate water breakage of the phosphodiester bond. Indeed, in the crystal structure, the Nε of His155 forms a hydrogen bond to water molecule 2 belonging to the first hydration shell of the magnesium ion (Figure 2C). Four additional water molecules are involved in the coordination of the catalytic metal ion: water molecule 3 forms a hydrogen bond to the side chain carbonyl function of Gln181 and both water molecules 4 and 5 are held in place by the carboxylate group of Glu195. Only water molecule 6 is not contacted by the protein moiety and is solvent exposed. A single bond between the protein and the metal ion is formed.
by the side chain carbonyl function of Asn187 (Figure 2C).

In addition to residues involved in metal ion binding and activation of a water molecule, Arg57 of the *Serratia* nuclease was proposed to be required for catalysis by neutralizing the extra negative charge on the phosphate group in the transition state (38). However, a cysteine residue is located at the equivalent position in the sequences of dEndoG and its mammalian homologues. Mutation of this cysteine residue in bovine EndoG did not result in nuclease inactivation (2), suggesting that this residue is not involved in the transition state. The loop region harboring these residues is substantially different in the dEndoG and *Serratia* nuclease structures. A conserved arginine residue (Arg124 in dEndoG) is located further downstream in the sequences of different EndoG homologues and is placed in the active site of dEndoG at a similar position as observed for Arg57 the *Serratia* nuclease (Figure 2C and Supplementary Figure S1). Mutation of this arginine residue in human EndoG impairs nuclease activity (23), and thus Arg124 is probably involved in formation of the transition state in dEndoG.

Intriguingly, the active site of dEndoG contains several additional water molecules (Figure 2C) that are also found in the *Serratia* nuclease and NucA at equivalent positions (Supplementary Figure S1). It is tempting to speculate that these water molecules occupy positions where the phosphate backbone of the substrate is bound and that the eukaryotic and bacterial homologues share not only a highly conserved catalytic mechanism but also a conserved mode of oligonucleotide binding.

Furthermore, residues involved in the formation of the overall architecture of the active site are conserved in eukaryotic and bacterial endonucleases. Most prominent is Asp152 in dEndoG, located in the DRGH motif. One oxygen atom of the carboxylate group is the acceptor for a hydrogen bond from the amide of the side chain of Asn187, which binds to the metal ion (Figure 2C). Mutation of this aspartate residue to alanine in bovine EndoG impaired nuclease activity (2). The human EXOG homologue contains a serine residue at the equivalent position in the amino acid sequence, and a replacement by aspartate apparently does not influence the activity (39). In silico mutation of Asp152 to serine in dEndoG revealed that this mutation can establish a
similar hydrogen bond pattern, and thus the active site configuration is probably maintained.

Contradicting proposals for residues that bind metal ions have been made previously, and the residue equivalent to Glu286 in dEndoG was proposed to be involved in coordination of a second metal binding site in the human homologue (23). However, Glu286 forms a salt bridge within the homodimer interface, and no additional metal ion could be identified although 2 mM Mg2+ was present in the crystallization setup. Thus Glu286 is more likely a structural residue and not directly involved in metal ion cofactor binding and catalysis.

The oligonucleotide-binding site of EndoG

Conserved surface exposed residues cluster and line a positively charged groove around the metal ion binding site (Figures 2B and 3). A superposition of dEndoG with the related ββα-metal finger nuclease Vvn bound to DNA (40) reveals that residues lining this positively charged groove are probably involved in oligonucleotide binding. The majority of them are arginine residues (Arg124, Arg153 of the DRGH motif, Arg163 and Arg199) with their guanidinium groups lining the central part of the positively charged groove. Additional positively charged residues more distal from the active site extend this
putative oligonucleotide-binding site to 30 Å in its longest dimension.

The two oligonucleotide-binding grooves of EndoG are at opposing sides of the homodimer and do not form an extended intermolecular oligonucleotide-binding site (Figure 1). This corresponds to the active site arrangement in the Serratia nuclease even though the mechanism of dimerization differs. Given the distance and orientation of the two active sites, they cannot bind to and process double-stranded oligonucleotides simultaneously without dramatic bending of the double strand. It is more likely that the two active sites process substrates independently of each other. Such an independent mechanism is further supported by our observation that in the crystal structure the two monomers are identical and a cooperative action of the individual active sites seems rather unlikely. Finally, limited digestion of supercoiled (sc) plasmid DNA by dEndoG primarily produced open closed (oc) intermediates (Figure 4). Denaturation of these samples revealed that both strands had accumulated a large number of cuts; unit length DNA strands were not visible. This is inconsistent with the two active sites making two concerted, closely spaced cuts in both strands, as this would result in linearization of the DNA without oc intermediates. Thus, the enzyme seems to cut stochastically within the two strands, and strandization does not result until independent cuts in the complementary strands are sufficiently close together that base pairing can no longer maintain the double-stranded structure. Similar to bovine EndoG (2), dEndoG has optimal activity around pH 6.0 (Figure 4C). Notably, at pH 7.0 or higher the amount of short intermediates is reduced and both the oc and linearized form accumulate. Thus, dEndoG seems to function differently than the related bacterial Serratia nuclease, which does not produce an oc form of plasmid DNA (41). As the final oligonucleotide products of dEndoG only accumulate upon longer incubation, they are also derived from random cutting, i.e. the two active sites in one homodimer do not cut simultaneously within one stand and do not act as molecular rulers.

Overall structure of dEndoGI

Each domain of dEndoGI is composed of α-helix bundles forming the hydrophobic core, which is sealed by an N-terminal lid helix and a C-terminal extension almost totally lacking secondary structure elements (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S2). The two domains are homologous to each other and are also highly conserved among different Drosophila species (Supplementary Figure S2). In contrast, the flexible linker connecting the two domains is less well conserved. The solenoid fold of each domain is reminiscent of that of HEAT repeats. Dom1 and Dom2 are structurally very similar: a superposition revealed an r.m.s.d. of only 1.0 Å for all main chain atoms, neglecting a loop region distal from the nuclease binding site (residues 78–81 in Dom1 and 264–266 in Dom2). This loop region differs in length and geometry of the polypeptide chain.

A DALI search of Dom1 and Dom2 (36) revealed that the structurally most closely related domain is the C-terminal domain of the ATP-dependent helicase Ku80 (42). Based on its solenoid fold, this domain has been proposed to be involved in protein–protein interactions. Although there is no obvious reason to suspect a functional connection of dEndoGI and Ku80, we note that Ku80 was also identified in an amino acid sequence search when the sequence of Dom1 was used as query. Further structurally related proteins are the subunit VPS35 of the cargo-recognition complex (43), a yeast importin β (44).

The inhibitor of the bacterial EndoG homologue NucA, NuiA, has a distinctly different fold, containing a central β-sheet flanked and helices (17,45). Thus, dEndoGI has probably evolved from a protein–protein interaction module independently of NuiA. Although not structurally related to dEndoGI, the pancreatic RNase inhibitor is also composed of tandem repeats. In the case of the RNase inhibitor, leucine-rich repeats form a horseshoe like architecture (18). Apparently, dEndoG and pancreatic RNase inhibitor have evolved from different protein–protein interaction modules that have adapted to become tight binders and, eventually, inhibitors.

Inhibition of dEndoG by dEndoGI

One of the ridges formed by loop regions connecting the α-helix repeats of dEndoGI is rich in acidic residues which form a highly negatively charged patch at the molecular surface of Dom1 and Dom2 (Figure 3). These negatively charged surface patches seal the putative oligonucleotide-binding groove of each dEndoG monomer by electrostatic interactions. Each domain covers roughly 6% of the entire dEndoG dimer surface. A plethora of salt bridges are formed between carboxylate groups from the ridge of dEndoG to guanidinium groups of dEndoG (Supplementary Table S3). Thus, dEndoGI not only forms a simple antipole that binds the positively charged oligonucleotide-binding grooves; rather, the negatively charged side chains are likely to mimic the phosphate

![Bookview of the EndoG/EndoGI complex. For simplicity, only one dEndoG monomer (left) and the corresponding Dom1 of dEndoGI (right) are shown as surface representations. Coloring is according to the electrostatic surface potential over the range of ±9 kT/e (blue/red).](https://academic.oup.com/nar/article-abstract/37/21/7312/1422223)
groups of the oligonucleotide substrate. Indeed, a superposition of dEndoG with the Vvn nuclease bound to DNA (40) showed that the position of active site proximal carboxylate groups of the two domains of dEndoG superimpose with the phosphate groups of the DNA in the Vvn structure (Supplementary Figure S3). This observation not only could serve as a guide for docking an oligonucleotide substrate to EndoG, but also the structure of the dEndoG/dEndoGI complex could serve as a starting point for the de novo design of inhibitors for this type of nucleases, similarly as recently suggested for the Anabaena NucA/NuiA complex (17).

Another feature in common to the inhibitory actions of dEndoGI and NuiA is that both inhibitors are involved in metal ion coordination. Whereas dEndoGI blocks access to the active site by binding to a water molecule of the metal ion hydration shell (Figure 5), the C-terminal residue of NuiA displaces a water molecule from the hydration shell of the metal ion and establishes a direct metal ion bridge (17). Binding to the two active sites is likely the key determinant for the affinity of dEndoGI to dEndoG, since chelating the metal ion cofactor leads to disassembly of the otherwise stable dEndoG/dEndoG complex (see ‘Materials and Methods’ section). Further support for this comes from previous mutational studies showing that mutation of the metal ion coordinating Asn187 in dEndoG (Figure 5) impaired binding of dEndoGI (21). Finally, the latter report described that mutation of the DRGH motif to DAGA totally abolished binding of dEndoG to dEndoGI (21) (Figure 5) impaired binding of dEndoGI (21). Further salt bridges are formed between the carboxylate group of Asp151 in Dom1 and Asp336 in Dom2 to the guanidinium group of Arg124 of dEndoG, which is presumably involved in the formation of the transition state.

When Dom1 and Dom2 are compared, striking differences in binding to the hydrated metal ion (Figure 5) are observed. In Dom1, a solvent water molecule is sandwiched between the carboxylate group of Asp148 and the solvent exposed water molecule from the metal ion hydration shell. In a bifurcated manner, this water molecule binds a second, additional solvent water molecule which in turn forms a hydrogen bond to the carbonyl group of Phe147 (Figure 5A). In contrast, in Dom2 the side chain of Asp333 forms a direct hydrogen bond to the respective water molecule coordinating the metal ion (Figure 5B). These differences may contribute to the observed differences in the reported affinity of the separated domains for dEndoG where Dom2 binds with higher affinity to dEndoG than Dom1 does (21).
An additional difference between Dom1 and Dom2 binding dEndoG is the hydrophobic interaction between Leu146 of Dom1, respectively Tyr331 in Dom2, and Phe129 of dEndoG. Whereas the aromatic ring system in Dom2 (Tyr331) makes a hydrophobic stacking interaction with the side chain of Phe129, the side chain of Leu129 in Dom1 shows alternative conformations and apparently is flexible. This seems to be a general difference in EndoGI from different species, since Leu129 is strictly conserved in Dom1 and at the position equivalent to Tyr331 the aromatic side chain character is maintained in Dom2 (Supplementary Figure S1). Additional minor differences in binding of Dom1 or Dom2 of dEndoGI to dEndoG were observed and are listed in Supplementary Table S3.

CONCLUSIONS

Eukaryotic apoptotic endonuclease G and the related bacterial endonucleases share a conserved active site and oligonucleotide-binding groove. Similarly to the related bacterial Serratia nuclease, EndoG is a homodimeric protein, although the mode of dimerization differs. The Drosophila inhibitor EndoGI is composed of two highly conserved domains that are linked by a flexible and non-conserved polypeptide stretch (Supplementary Figure S1). The crystal structure revealed that each domain blocks the active site of one subunit in the dEndoG dimer and seals the oligonucleotide-binding groove. However, structural differences are found between the two domains, and Dom1 binds less tightly to one dEndoG monomer. Intriguingly, this domain shows a higher degree of amino acid sequence conservation when compared with Dom2 (Supplementary Figure S1). It seems plausible that Dom1 of EndoGI might serve additional functions which lead to the observed sequence conservation. Another possible explanation could be that the amino acid sequence variation of Dom2 between different Drosophila homologues serves a species-specific adaption and represents a modulation of tight binding, since Dom2 binds to dEndoG with higher affinity than Dom1 (21). Each domain of dEndoGI is composed of HEAT repeats; dEndoGI apparently originated from a protein-protein interaction module that eventually was transformed into an inhibitor. Many trafficking proteins are composed of HEAT repeats, with some of the most closely related structural homologues of the dEndoGI domains involved in these processes. Notably, importin β which was shown to be involved in EndoG translocation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (3), is structurally related to dEndoGI. So far, EndoGI homologues have only been identified in various Drosophila species (21). It remains to be seen whether inhibitors of EndoG also exist in other eukaryotes. Common bioinformatic tools may fail to identify EndoGI homologues solely based on amino acid sequence alignments, since HEAT repeat proteins show weak sequence conservation, and most conserved residues lead to a common hydrophobic core (46). Thus, the structure presented serves as a starting point for a structure based search for EndoGI homologues in other eukaryotic organisms.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.
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