Prevalence and Drug Utilization Pattern in Hepatic Impairment Patients at a Tertiary Care Hospital
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Abstract: Aim and Objective: Drug utilization research help in identification of the clinical use of drugs in population and its impact on health care system. To select the rational use of drugs as a predominant technique for the prevalence in the utilization of selective drugs in liver impairment. Methodology: A Prospective observational study was conducted in General Medicine department in tertiary care hospital for a period of 6 months. Inpatient ward with or without co-morbidities was included in the study; antibiotics prescribed for liver impairment. Results: Total 150 impairment patients were admitted. In this study, almost all prescriptions were with polypharmacy. In this hepatic impairment, 41-50 age group patients have shown more prevalent. A total of 150 patients who were prescribed antibiotic were included in the study Out of 150 cases, female patients were 98 (65.3%) and male patients were 52 (34.7%). In this study maximum number of disease was found to be pancreatitis 39 (26%), Out of 1135 medications, the highly prescribed formulation was solid dosage forms 606 (53.39%). Conclusion: Alcohol consumption in liver impairment patient is prevalent. Before prescribing to the patients, evaluation of medications with the suitable criteria is required. In other words, rational use drug must be strictly followed.
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1. Introduction

Tertiary care is highly specialized health care usually for a long period of time that involves advanced therapy and complex investigation procedures and treatments done by health care professionals in health care system compare with primary and secondary care hospital. Liver impairment caused by the various infections, drugs, autoimmune conditions, toxins. Liver impairment can be acute or chronic involves destruction and regeneration of the hepatic cells leading to different liver diseases⁴. Alcohol is a psychoactive substance with dependence-producing properties that has been widely used in many cultures for centuries⁷. The use of alcoholic beverages has been an integral part of many cultures for thousands of years⁵. The harmful use of alcohol causes a large disease, the social and economic burden in societies⁶. The harmful use of alcohol ranks among the top five risk factors for disease, disability, and death throughout the world⁶. A Prospective observational study was conducted in General Medicine department, for a period of 6 months. Patients of either gender in-patient ward with or without comorbidities were included in the study; we excluded seriously ill and patients unable to communicate and Patients unwilling to participate in the study⁷. Drug utilization evaluation could be needed, otherwise, liver impairment should face serious consequences regarding the medications⁴. Long-term medical care ultimately leads to the inappropriate prescribing, that means failure to provide the quality medical care to the patients and it is achieved by the good clinical practice⁵. To evaluate the prescription pattern of antibiotics in liver impairment in medicine department in a tertiary care hospital. To identify the pattern of drug utilization of Antibiotics in prescriptions of patients at general medicine department, of Manipal Multispeciality Hospital, Vijayawada, India. To analyze rationality among the prescriptions.

2. Methodology

A hospital based Prospective observational study was conducted in the Department of General Medicine Department of Manipal Multispeciality Hospital, Vijayawada, India. This study was conducted for a period of six months (October 2016 – March 2017). We have collected 150 prescriptions in these six months study, after obtaining the consent from the patient. A specially designed proforma was used for collecting data which includes patient demographics, personal history, co-morbidities, diagnosis and present medications prescribed for each patient. The data were obtained by direct patient interview and from patient case profiles⁴. 150 cases were collected from general medicine wards, according to study criteria.

- **Study Design:** A hospital based prospective and observational study.
- **Study Site:** The study was conducted in the Department of General Medicine Department of Manipal Multispeciality Hospital, Vijayawada, India.
- **Study Period:** October 2016 – March 2017
- **Study Population:** 150 cases were collected from general medicine wards, according to study criteria.
- **Inclusion Criteria:** Any age. Patients of either sex. Patients with Co-morbid conditions. Patients operated in an emergency.
- **Exclusion Criteria:** Pregnant women. We excluded seriously ill and patients unable to communicate and Patients unwilling to participate in the study. Outpatients.
- **A collection of data:** Data of patients matching inclusion criteria were recorded. Total 150 cases were collected. The study was carried out for 6 months duration from October 2016 – March 2017. Data like name, age, sex, prescription drugs including antibiotics used were recorded in the prepared case record form⁹.
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Data Analysis: Data was analyzed on MS Excel and descriptive statistics was used for analyzing the result of the study.

3. Results & Discussion

Table 1: Prevalent Diseases Among Liver Impairment Patients

| S. No | Case                   | No of Patients | Male | Female | Percentage (%) |
|-------|------------------------|----------------|------|--------|----------------|
| 1     | Pancreatitis           | 39             | 32   | 7      | 26.00*H        |
| 2     | Jaundice               | 29             | 23   | 6      | 19.33          |
| 3     | CLD                    | 25             | 19   | 6      | 16.66          |
| 4     | Cholelithiasis         | 22             | 5    | 17     | 14.66          |
| 5     | ALD                    | 18             | 15   | 3      | 12.0           |
| 6     | Metabolic encephalopathy | 8             | 3    | 5      | 5.33           |
| 7     | Amoebic liver          | 5              | 4    | 1      | 3.33           |
| 8     | Bile duct injury       | 4              | 1    | 3      | 2.66           |
|       | Total                  | 150            | 102  | 48     | 100            |

The prevalence of pancreatitis in this current study was 26% (n=100). Of these people with pancreatitis 7 were among female and 32 prevalence among male liver impairment patients.

In this study maximum number of disease was found to be pancreatitis 39(26%), followed by jaundice, CLD, cholelithiasis, ALD, Metabolic encephalopathy & amoebic liver. The lowest rate of disease was found to be bile duct injury 4(2.66%). Percentages are 19.33%, 16.66%, 14.66%, 12.0%, 5.33, 3.33 & 4.54% respectively.

In this hepatic impairment, 41-50 age group patients have shown more prevalent when compared to that of other age groups.

Table 2: Shows distribution of the patients according to age groups

| S.No | Age group  | No of patients | Male | Female | Percentage (%) |
|------|------------|----------------|------|--------|----------------|
| 1    | 10-20      | 8              | 4    | 4      | 5.33           |
| 2    | 21-30      | 25             | 14   | 11     | 16.66          |
| 3    | 31-40      | 30             | 23   | 7      | 20             |
| 4    | 41-50      | 38             | 23   | 15     | 25.3*H         |
| 5    | 51-60      | 33             | 26   | 7      | 22             |
| 6    | 61-70      | 10             | 4    | 6      | 6.66           |
| 7    | 71-80      | 6              | 4    | 2      | 4              |
|      | Total      | 150            | 98   | 52     | 100            |

In this study maximum number of disease was found to be pancreatitis 39(26%), followed by jaundice, CLD, cholelithiasis, ALD, Metabolic encephalopathy & amoebic liver. The lowest rate of disease was found to be bile duct injury 4(2.66%). Percentages are 19.33%, 16.66%, 14.66%, 12.0%, 5.33, 3.33 & 4.54% respectively.

Table 3: Disease Pattern Reported with comorbidities

| Disease with Comorbidities | No. of Patients | % of Population |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Pancreatitis + HTN         | 2               | 5.12            |
| Pancreatitis + DM          | 1               | 2.56            |
| Pancreatitis + Hep         | 4               | 10.25           |
| CLD + HTN                  | 3               | 12              |
| CLD + DM                   | 2               | 8               |
| CLD + DM + HTN             | 4               | 16              |
| ALD + HTN                  | 4               | 22.22           |
| ALD + DM                   | 4               | 22.22           |
| Cholelithiasis + HTN       | 2               | 9.09            |
| Cholelithiasis + DM        | 4               | 18.18           |
| Cholelithiasis + HTN + DM  | 4               | 18.18           |
| Cholelithiasis + Hypothyroidism | 6   | 27.27          |
| Jaundice + Hep A           | 4               | 13.79           |
| Jaundice + Hep B           | 5               | 17.24           |
| Jaundice + Hep C           | 4               | 13.79           |
Table 4: Depicts the distribution of patients according to habitual for liver impairment

| Case                                      | No. of Patients | % of Population |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Pancreatitis + Alcohol                    | 24              | 61.53           |
| Pancreatitis + Smoker                     | 7               | 17.69           |
| Jaundice + Alcohol                        | 10              | 34.48           |
| Jaundice + Smoker                         | 1               | 3.44            |
| CLD + Alcohol                             | 15              | 16.66           |
| CLD + Smoker                              | 4               | 16              |
| ALD + Alcohol                             | 14              | 77.77           |
| ALD + Smoker                              | 3               | 16.66           |
| Amoebic liver + Alcohol                   | 2               | 40              |
| Bile Duct Injury + Alcohol                | 1               | 25              |
| Bile Duct Injury + Smoker                 | 1               | 25              |

CLD: Chronic Liver Disease, ALD: Acute Liver Disease, HTN: Hypertension, DM: Diabetes Mellitus, Hep: Hepatitis

Figure 4: Depicts the distribution of patients according to habitual for liver impairment

Figure 5: Distributions of Antibiotics in Study Population

Table 5: Distributions of Antibiotics in Study Population

| S.no | Antibiotic          | Total no. prescribed | Percentage (%) |
|------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| 1    | Cefotaxime          | 56                   | 37.33          |
| 2    | Cefoperazone        | 20                   | 13.33          |
| 3    | Imipenam            | 8                    | 5.33           |
| 4    | Meropenam           | 15                   | 10             |
| 5    | Levofloxacin        | 10                   | 6.66           |
| 6    | Septan              | 2                    | 1.33           |
| 7    | Linezolid           | 3                    | 2              |
| 8    | Amikacin            | 6                    | 4              |
| 9    | Rifamixin           | 7                    | 4.66           |
| 10   | Piperacillin + Tazobactam | 6           | 4              |
| 11   | Ampicillin + Saldactam | 3              | 2              |
| 12   | Doxycycline         | 4                    | 2.66           |

Table 7: Ten most frequently prescribed medications

| S. No | Medication name | No of prescriptions | Percentage (%) |
|-------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 1     | Pantoprazole    | 120                 | 10.57          |
| 2     | Tamadol         | 65                  | 5.72           |
| 3     | Vitamin supplement | 60                 | 5.28           |
| 4     | Ondansetron     | 57                  | 5.02           |
| 5     | Cefotaxime      | 56                  | 4.93           |
| 6     | Acetaminophen   | 35                  | 3.08           |
| 7     | Metronidazole & rabeprazole | 25 | 2.20 |
| 8     | Cefoperazone & ultracet | 22 | 1.93 |
| 9     | Meropenam & razo – D & Ipratopium bromide + salbutamol | 20 | 1.76 |
| 10    | Optineuron      | 18                  | 1.58           |

Figure 6: List of Patients Simultaneously Suffering from Comorbid Illness

Table 6: List of Patients Simultaneously Suffering from Comorbid Illness

| No of disease patients | No of patients | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1                      | 61             | 40.66          |
| 2                      | 53             | 35.33          |
| More than 2            | 36             | 24             |
| Total                  | 150            | 100            |

Table 7: Ten most frequently prescribed medications

| S. no | Medication name | No of prescriptions | Percentage (%) |
|-------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 1     | Pantoprazole    | 120                 | 10.57          |
| 2     | Tamadol         | 65                  | 5.72           |
| 3     | Vitamin supplement | 60                 | 5.28           |
| 4     | Ondansetron     | 57                  | 5.02           |
| 5     | Cefotaxime      | 56                  | 4.93           |
| 6     | Acetaminophen   | 35                  | 3.08           |
| 7     | Metronidazole & rabeprazole | 25 | 2.20 |
| 8     | Cefoperazone & ultracet | 22 | 1.93 |
| 9     | Meropenam & razo – D & Ipratopium bromide + salbutamol | 20 | 1.76 |
| 10    | Optineuron      | 18                  | 1.58           |
Figure 7: Ten most frequently prescribed medications

Figure 8: Dosage forms used in the study

Table 7: Dosage forms used in the study

| S.No | Route of Administration | Total No. of Cases | Percentage (%) |
|------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 1.   | Oral                    | 75                 | 50             |
| 2.   | Intravenous(IV)         | 68                 | 45.33          |
| 3.   | Intramuscular(IM)       | 7                  | 4.66           |
|      | Total                   | 150                | 100            |

Table 9: Drug Utilization Pattern in liver impairment

| Anti Ulcer Agents | Pantoprazole(120), Rabeprazole(25), Ranitidine(10), Razo-D(20), |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Analgesics        | Acetaminophen(35), Tramadol(65), Ultracet(22), Diclofenac(17), |
| Antibiotic        | Cefotaxime(53), Cefoperazone(20), Metronidazole(7), Imipenam(8), Meropenem(15), Levofloxacin(10), Septran(2), Linezolid(3), Amikacin(6), Rifampixin(7), P. aeruginosa + Tazobactam(6), Ampicillin + Sulbactam(3), Oxycycline(4), Clindamycin(3), Metloquine(7), |
| Antiemetics       | Ondansetron(37), Metoclopramide(2),|

Table 10: Prescription Analysis

| S.No | Prescription catalog | Results |
|------|----------------------|---------|
| 1.   | Total number of prescription analyzed | 150 |
| 2.   | Total number of medications prescribed | 1135 |
| 3.   | Average number of medications per prescription | 7.5(1-10) |
| 4.   | Percentage of medications prescribed by generic name | 683/1135 (60.17%) |
| 5.   | Percentage of medications prescribed by brand name | 451/1135 (39.73%) |
| 6.   | Percentage of medications prescribed by solid dosage form | 606/1135 (53.39%) |
| 7.   | Percentage of medications prescribed by parenteral forms | 420/1135 (37.00%) |
| 8.   | Percentage of medications prescribed by other formulations | 49/1135 (4.31%) |
| 9.   | Total number of prescription analyzed | 18/1135 (1.58%) |

Drug utilization studies are important for obtaining data about the patterns and quality of use, the determinants of drug use and the outcomes of use. The WHO drug use indicators are highly standardized and are recommended for inclusion in drug utilization studies. The main aim is to facilitate the rational use of medicines in populations. The present study is a prospective, observational and analytical study done on 150 consecutive patients to assess the antibiotics prescribed in liver impairment in medicine department in a tertiary care hospital.

Table-1 shows Prevalent Diseases among liver impairment Patients a total number of cases along with gender distribution. Out of 150 cases, female patients were 98(65.%) and male patients were 52 (35%). In this study maximum number of disease was found to be pancreatitis 39(26%), Followed by jaundice, CLD, cholelithiasis, ALD, Metabolic encephalopathy & amoebic liver. The lowest rate of disease was found to be bile duct injury 4(2.66%). Percentages are 19.33%, 16.66%, 14.66%, 12.0%, 5.33, 3.33 & 4.54% respectively. Figure-1

Table-2 shows a total number of cases distribution of patients according to age group along with gender distribution. Out of 150 cases, female patients were 98(65.%) and male patients were 52 (35%). The majority of the patients out of 150 were in the age group 41-50 years followed by 31-40 (n=33, 22%) than 31-40 (n=30, 20%) followed by 21-30 (n=25, 17%), 61-70(n=10,7%), 10-
were practiced by physicians vastly when compared with others (1.58). The formulation was solid when vitamin supplement(13) & followed by widely use (5%) took the drug by intramuscular route (IM). Table 5 shows precipitating factor associated with liver impairment. 16 patients (29%) were smokers and 66 patients (44%) were alcoholic followed by 11 patients (7%) who suffered from diabetes mellitus, again 11 patients (7%) each were having HTN and followed by 8 cases (6%) were both HTN & DM, 17 cases of hepatitis (12%) and 6 cases of hypothyroidism(4%). Figure-4

Table-7 shows adjuvant drugs prescribed along with the antibiotics for hepatic liver impairment. Majority of them were prescribed pantoprazole (10.57%) followed by tramadol (5.72%) than vitamin supplement(5.28%), ondasertion (5.02%) cases, cefotaxime (4.93%), , acetaminophen (3.08%), metronidazole & rabeprazole (2.20%), cefoprazole & Ultracet (1.93%) meropenem, razor-D & ipratropium bromide & salbutamol (1.76%), optineurin (1.58%). Rest were on antihypertensives and anti diabetic agents and cardiovascular agents like atorvastatin and aspirin. Figure-7.

Table-8 shows the route of administration of antibiotics for liver impairment. Out of 150 cases, 75 of them (50%) took the drug by oral route followed by 68 cases (45%) who took the drug by intravenous route (IV) and remaining 7 cases (5%) took the drug by intramuscular route (IM). Figure-8

Table-9 shows drugs were divided as category wise. most widely used category drugs are anti-ulcer agents(175). Followed by antibiotics (154) than analgesics(139) followed by vitamin supplement(131) & antiemetics(59).

Table-10 Out of 1135 medications, highly prescribed formulation was solid dosage forms 606 (53.39%); that means tablets and capsules, followed by injections 420(37.00%), syrups 49 (4.31%), nebulizations 42(3.70%), and others 18 (1.58%) were well-prescribed formulations, given in Table 1. Prescribing in generic names was a good thing and easily understandable, 683(60.17%) generic names were practiced by physicians vastly when compared with brand names 451 (39.73%). The highest observed case was pancreatitis. In other studies mostly observed cases were cardiovascular diseases and respiratory disorders, but in this study, pancreatitis was observed as a prevalent disease among admitted patients.

4. Conclusion

The epidemiological studies of liver impairment patients have shown the maximum prevalence of pancreatitis. The prevalence of pancreatitis in this current study was 26% (n=100). The age between 41-50 yrs has a higher prevalence rate of liver impairments patients. Alcohol consumption in liver impairment patient is prevalent. Before prescribing to the patients, evaluation of medications with the suitable criteria is required. Prescribing medicines by generic names would help in less expensive treatment in hepatic impaired patients. In other words, rational use drug must be strictly followed.

Our study suggests that there is a considerable scope for improving prescribing pattern among the health care system and minimizing the use of antibiotics in order to reduce the risk of antibiotic resistance of microbes. The development of the formula will have a major impact on prescribers and healthcare professionals & for clinical practice.

References

[1] www.patientslikeme.com/conditions/390-liver-impairment
[2] WHO (2014) Global status report on alcohol and health.
[3] Maharjan PL, Magar KT (2017) Prevalence of Alcohol Consumption and Factors Associated with the Alcohol Use among the Youth of Suryabinayak Municipality, Bhaktapur. J Pharma Care Health Systems, vol. 4, no. 1, pp.1-4, 2017.
[4] Joseph TH, Kenneth ES, Todd PS. Update of studies on drug-related problems in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc, vol. 9, no.3, pp. 178-184,2013.
[5] Ramesh KT, Shahina S, Shobha JC, Naidu MUR, Usha Rani P, Vijay T, et al. Drug utilization in Geriatrics population in a Tertiary care center. JK Science,vol.1, no.3, pp. 118-120,1999.
[6] Venkateswarumurthy N, Hafiz Muhammed PM, Sambathkumar R. Drug utilization pattern among geriatric patients in a tertiary care teaching hospital. AJPVR.,vol.2, no.12, pp.211-218, 2014.
[7] Neha S, Uma A, Shobha K, Rahul P, Alka B, Rajeev RS, et al. Screening of prescriptions in the geriatric population in a tertiary care teaching hospital in north India. JPHYTO. Vol.2,no.5, pp 38-45,2013.
[8] Pradeep Battula*, Thandlam Muneevar Reddy2, Thamminisetty Durga Prasad2, Ranganayakulu Divi3, A Prospective Study on inappropriate Drug utilization in Geriatric Patients at A Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital.Indian Journal of Pharmacy Practice, Vol 9, Issue 3,pp.178-184, 2016.
[9] Bhanasali NB*, Gosai TR, Dholaria NK, Suthar SD, Chacko J, Chavda DA and Trivedi HR. Drug utilization study in postoperative patients in the surgical ward of a tertiary hospital attached with the medical college.Der Pharmacia Lettre, vol.5, no.1, pp. 251-257, 2013.
[10] Shalini.S1,2*, Ravichandran.V1, Mohanty BK3, Dhanraj Sk1, Saraswathi R2,4. Drug Utilization Studies – An Overview. IJOPSN, Vol 3, no. 1, pp.803-810, 2010.

[11] Venkateswarlu B*, Swapna Y, Shireen Florence, Lakshmi Santoshi M, Swetha T, Prathyusha K and Srinivas N. Drug utilization study of antibiotics in the surgical ward of a tertiary care hospital. International Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Mar., Vol. 6, no. 1, pp.107-113, 2015.

[12] Rajat mishra1, Pradeep j2, Prashant dass3, Shivraj patil4, Gayathri a5, waseem6, Drug utilization study of urinary tract infections in medicine department in a tertiary care hospital J of Evolution of Med and Dent Sci, Vol. 3, no. 63, pp.13804-13816, 2014.

[13] Dr. Bandari Kiran*, dr.md.abuzar Ghufran, Dr. T. S. Sunil Kumar, Dr.d.shravan Kumar. The drug utilization of cephalosporins in a tertiary care hospital. World journal of pharmacy and pharmaceutical sciences. Vol 5, no. 01, pp.1201-1216, 2015.

[14] World Health Organization (WHO) and International Network for Rational Use of Drugs, How to Investigate Drug Use in Health Facilities: Selected Drug Use indicators, WHO/DAP/93.1, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, 1993.

Author Profile

V. Sathish Kumar studying Pharm.D in Nirmala College of Pharmacy, Atmakur, Mangalagiri, Guntur Dist, A.P, India.

Prof. Dr. Sk. Abdul Rahaman, Completed M.Pharmacy In Andhra University Visakhapatnam, Completed Ph.D. In Acharya Nagarjuna University, Principal In Nirmala College Of Pharmacy, Guntur, Dist, A.P, India. Associate Professor In Siddartha College, Vijayawada, A.P. Worked In Divis Laboratory, Visakhapatnam, A.P. As Processing R & D. Inspiring Role Model & Leader Awarded By Annamacharya College of Pharmacy, Indo American Journal Of Pharmacy As Editor In Chief, Also have Around 5 Patents In Different Departments of Pharmacy.

P.Bhavana studying Pharm.D in Nirmala College of Pharmacy, Atmakur, Mangalagiri, Guntur Dist, A.P, India.

CH.Supriya Completed M.Tech(Microbiology) in Andhra University Visakhapatnam. Presently working as Associate Professor in Nirmala College of Pharmacy, Guntur Dist, A.P, India.