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ABSTRACT

The mechanical analysis of integral building structure needs the proper ABAQUS finite element analysis, but due to the ABAQUS software function limits the building structure modelling is a great workload and how to model integral structure more quickly and efficiently become a difficult problem. To reduce the workloads in ABAQUS analysis of integral structure models, the new ABAQUS standard floor assemble modelling approach and the program computational algorithm were proposed based on ABAQUS model data structure, nodes geometry transformation and horizontal surface coordinate value distinguish rule. Afterward one example engineering structure model using this standard floor assemble approach and the linear perturbation analysis were completed. The lateral displacement distribution from analysis indicated that each floor lateral displacements distributed continuously without any significant mutation and the standard floor assemble model can successfully achieve the continuous connection of the upper and the lower floors. By comparing the results of ABAQUS standard floor assemble model with that from PKPM SATWE analysis, it is proved that the ABAQUS standard floor assemble modelling is more accurate and can be applied in structure analysis.
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1. INTRODUCTION

With the development of the computer simulation technology in civil engineering, ABAQUS finite element software have been widely used in building structure analysis. While in the application of ABAQUS CAE module, the structural components, including beams, columns, slabs and walls which are usually simulated by shell and beam element, cannot be replicated in large scales [1]. Many scholars studied the model data conversion algorithms between ABAQUS and SAP2000, PKPM-SATWE or other finite element simulation software, and based on their study results some reliable model data conversion programs were developed [2,3,4]. While those programs still require modelling process using SAP2000 or PKPM-SATWE to form integral structure model and do nothing to reduce the workload in structure modelling. Apart from the modelling efficiency, the simulation accuracy and stability are usually limited due to the element differences between different software. So, improving the efficiency of the modelling approach of building is very necessary.

The standard floor drawing method in some software such as Auto CAD, Revit and PKPMA gives a very efficient and operational approach to achieve clear expression of structure components relationship. Therefore, the standard floor expression approach can play as a more efficient and convenient modelling approach under the condition that the ABAQUS finite element software is able to apply the standard floor expression approach. To achieve the successful application of standard floor approach into ABAQUS, the simulation processes and data structure in ABAQUS finite element simulation software should be considered firstly. In a common simulation in ABAQUS software using the CAE model contains three processes of pre-processing, calculation and post-processing, and the relationship and data transfer files are shown in Fig. 1. As the data transfer files, the job.inp is the bridge between pre-processing and calculation, which also contains all the structure data including geometry, material and mechanic. As a basic characteristic of the ABAQUS user interactive CAE model, it is very difficult to accomplish the whole building structure modelling such as that shown in Fig. 2 because the components in building internal space is unable to be reached by CAE operation, but it is much easier to edit single standard floor model because nearly no internal space was generated in single standard floor, such as that inverted standard floor model shown in Fig. 3. So, the new standard floor-assemble-simulation approach based on ABAQUS can be achieved by using the CAE model only to develop standard floor models and assembling those single standard floor models by editing the .inp files generated into one whole building structure model.

---

**Fig. 1. Step relationships and data files in ABAQUS simulation**

**Fig. 2. Whole building structure model**
Fig. 3. Inverted single standard floor model

2. STANDARD FLOOR ASSEMBLE ALGORITHM

2.1 Geometry transformation of nodes

Nodes geometry transformation is the basic process to achieve the supervision of upper standard floor onto lower standard floor and the connection between different floors. To achieve the geometry transformation of nodes which belong to two different standard floor models marked as A model and B model, the following conditions are proposed:

1) The total node and element quantity in the lower standard floor model A are N and M respectively.
2) The total node and element quantity in the upper superposed standard floor model B are N’ and M’ respectively.
3) Elements in the lower and upper standard floor models are the four-node rectangle element which is widely used as shell element for shear wall and slab. Two four-node rectangle elements marked as ‘Ea’ and ‘Eb’ in the A and B model respectively are shown in Fig. 4.

It contains two sub processes to assemble the two separate elements of ‘Ea’ and ‘Eb’ into two continues element in same model: firstly, superposing the two elements into the condition shown in Fig. 5; and secondly, connecting the two separated elements into continues elements shown in Fig. 6. The two sub processes can be achieved by the following transformation:

(1) Integrate the .inp files of A and B model into one new .inp data file marked as the C model and increase the vertical height coordinate value of all nodes in the upper superposed model B. After the files integration, any node with number Nb and element with number Mb in model B should be modified into Nb and Mb as:

\[ N_b^c = N_b^b + N \]
\[ M_b^c = M_b^b + M \]

where N and M are the total node and element quantity in model A.

Within the transformation of node vertical height coordinate, all the node vertical height coordinate value in model B will be increased from the initial value of \( z_i^b \) into:

Table 1. Key-words and meaning in ABAQUS .inp file

| Main key word | Sub key word | Meaning of key word                        |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------|
| *Part         | *Node        | Node information                          |
| *Element      | *Element     | Element information                       |
| *Nset         | *Nset        | Node set information                      |
| *Elset        | *Elset       | Element set information                   |
| *Section      | *Section     | Section information of components         |
| *Assembly     | *Nset        | Boundary node information                 |
| *Amplitude    | *Elset       | Boundary element information              |
| *Material     | -            | Material information of components        |
| *Boundary Conditions | - | Boundary condition information             |
| *Step         | -            | Loading step and calculation parameters    |
\[ Z_{p} = Z_{1} + \Delta H \] (3)

where \( \Delta H \) is the floor height of the lower standard floor in A model.

After this sub process the relationship of two elements in the new Integrate C model is shown in Fig. 5.

(2) To achieve the connection of the two separated elements shown in Fig. 5 into two continuous elements shown in Fig. 6, the nodes of \( N_{1} + N \) and \( N_{2} + N \) shown in Fig. 5 will be removed and the \( N_{3} \) and \( N_{4} \) nodes will be used to replace the \( N_{1} + N \) and the \( N_{2} + N \) in the composition of rectangle element \( E_{a} + M \).

**2.2 Horizontal Surface Coordinate Value Distinguish Rule**

For buildings without section change in vertical shear wall and column along the whole building height, when assume that the vertical direction is the z coordinate and the horizontal surface is the x-y surface, all the nodes in vertical continues shear wall or column component should be identical in the x- and y-coordinate values. So, the identical x- and y-coordinate value can be used as distinguish parameter to achieve accurate connections between upper and lower floor models. This new proposed method using identical x- and y-coordinate values as distinguish parameter to achieve accurate connections is called as the ‘horizontal surface coordinate value distinguish rule’.

![Fig. 5. Elements in C.inp model before connection](image)

![Fig. 6. Element in C.inp model after connection](image)
Section reduction in separated standard floor models should be divided according to reduced shear wall section sizes as the common divisor, as examples shown in Figs. 7 and 8.

Horizontal surface coordinate values distinguish rules contain Eqs. (4)-(6) and can be described as following:

1) Nodes in lower standard floor model, of which the z coordinate value matches Eq. (4), will be marked as the replacing nodes set, and all nodes in the upper standard floor model, of which the z coordinate value matches Eq. (5), will be marked as the replaced nodes set.
2) All nodes in the replaced nodes set will be replaced by partial nodes in the replacing nodes set, as all the nodes in replaced nodes set are the vertical components base nodes and all should be replaced to achieve element connection while the nodes in replacing nodes set are same in the z-coordinate and contains floor nodes and are partially used.
3) When the node ‘N0’ in the replacing nodes set and the node ‘Ni’ in replaced nodes set matched Equation (6), the ‘Ni’ nodes will be replaced by the ‘N0’ node.

\[
\begin{align*}
z_i &= 0 \quad (4) \\
z_i &= H \quad (5)
\end{align*}
\]

Where \(z_i\) is the z coordinate value of any node and H is the floor height.

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{Ni} &= x_{N0} \\
y_{Ni} &= y_{N0} \\
z_{Ni} &= \Delta H
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\Delta H\) is the floor height of the lower standard floor.

2.3 Standard Floor Assemble Algorithm

As the basic model information file in ABAQUS software, the .inp file contains all the model information of nodes, elements, sections, materials and calculation parameters, which can be easily edited and indexed by the key words shown in Table 1 [5,6]. According to the geometry transformation of nodes and horizontal surface coordinate value distinguish rules described above, the standard floor assemble algorithm was put forward to form a new integral structure model .inp file by integrating several separated standard floor model. Fig. 10 is the block diagram of the standard floor assemble algorithm. The algorithm contains eight steps as following:
Fig. 10. The block diagram of the standard-layer-assemble-modeling algorithm

Fig. 11. Two floors assembled model
1) The initial data reading: read the .inp file data and save them into the DAT1 and DAT2 sets shown in Fig. 10 respectively. DAT1 and DAT2 sets are the data storage matrixes, of which the rows are indexed by i and j coordinates, respectively.

2) The lower floor nodes data processing: including four steps of finding the nodes of which the z coordinate equals to the floor height in DAT1, saving those nodes into the PASSNODE list, counting the nodes quantity in DAT1 and formatted outputting the nodes data in DAT1 into the string data list: DATOUT.

3) The upper floor nodes data processing: including four steps of saving the nodes matching Eq. (5) in DAT2 into the EXNODE list, transforming nodes data which do not match Eq. (5) according to Eqs. (1)-(3) and adding the transformed nodes data formatting into DATOUT list.

4) The lower floor elements data processing: counting the total element quantity in DAT1 and formatted adding all the elements data in DAT1 into the DATOUT list.

5) The upper floor elements data processing: requiring all the nodes in DAT2 using EXNODE as the require list, converting all those nodes both in DAT2 and EXNODE according to Eqs. (1)-(3) and adding the converted nodes data into DATOUT.

6) The main function of lower floor sets and sections data processing: directly formatted adding the sets and sections data in DAT1 into DATOUT list.

7) The main function of upper layer sets and sections data processing: requiring all the nodes in DAT2 using the EXNODE list as the require list, converting all those nodes both in DAT2 and EXNODE according to Eqs. (1)-(3) and formatted adding the transformed nodes data into DATOUT.

8) The main function of subsequent model data processing: directly exchanging the ‘Assembly’ and ‘Step’ data of DAT1 and formatted adding to DATOUT.

9) The main function of formatted output: creating a new .inp file and formatted outputting the DATOUT into the new .inp file.

By circularly apply the algorithm shown in Fig. 10, the rapid standard floor assemble modelling of integral structure can be achieved and Fig. 11 shows the two-floor assembled model generated by singly apply the algorithm.

3. VERIFICATION EXAMPLE

3.1 Engineering example introduction

To verify the effectiveness of standard-floor-assemble modelling algorithm, a high-rise structure from actual engineering was selected to model and the linear perturbation analysis was carried out [7]. The example engineering is a residential building contains 32 floors belonging to 11 standard floors. The structure plan of shear walls is shown in Fig. 12. The building is 26.3×15.9 m in plot dimensions and the structure height is 102.7 m. The seismic fortification intensity is the 7th grade, with a 0.15 g earthquake ground motion acceleration, where g is the gravity acceleration of 9.8 m/s². The concrete strength grade in the example engineering was C35 and the main steel bars were the HRB400 grade, which was the Hot-rolled Ribbed-steel Bar with the yielding strength of 400MPa. All the design parameters of this example engineering structure were determined according to the current design codes in China.

3.2 The Finite Element Structure Model

In the first step of the standard floor assemble modelling approach, standard floor models were finished by using the ABAQUS CAE module. The standard floor assemble algorithm applied the file data treatments to the .inp files of single standard floor model. As a result, the basic parameters of the whole structure model and the single standard floor models are the same. The shear walls and floors in models applied the multi-S4R shell elements [8,9], and the beams applied the B31 element. The property of the concrete shell elements is the ABAQUS concrete damage plastic. The property of beams is the PQ-fiber plastic model [10,11]. The whole model generated from 11 single standard floor models are shown in Fig. 13.

3.3 Linear Perturbation Analysis Results

The linear perturbation analysis can easily obtain vibration modes of structure, which can directly reflect structure mechanical properties and be easily compared with results from other structure analysis software. Therefore, the linear perturbation analysis results can be used to verify the accurate of standard floor assemble modelling approach.

The first three vibration modes of the whole structure model from standard floor assemble approach, which include the first-order
Table 2. Calculation results of ABAQUS standard floor assemble model and the SATWE model

|                      | Total mass (unit: ton) | Natural period (unit: Second) |                  |                  |
|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                      | First-order            | Second-order                  | Third-order      |                  |
| SATWE model          | 21965                  | 2.64                         | 2.63             | 2.10             |
| ABAQUS model         | 20273                  | 3.02                         | 2.47             | 2.32             |
| Relative error       | 8.35%                  | 14.40%                       | 6.47%            | 9.48%            |

Fig. 12. Structure plan of shear walls

translational, the second-order translational and
the third-order rotational vibration mode, are
sequentially shown in Fig. 14. It can be found
that the lateral displacement of each floor
distributed continuously without any significant
mutation, which means that the standard floor
assemble model can achieve the continuous
connection of the upper and the lower floors.

To obtain comparable results, the SATWE elastic
analysis was also carried out using the PKPM
software, which is widely used as design analysis
software in China. The main parameters from
modes calculation results both of the ABAQUS
linear perturbation and the SATWE elastic
analysis are shown in Table 2. It can be found
from Table 2 that:

1. The total mass of the standard floor
assemble structure model is lower than
that of the SATWE model with 8.35%. The
reason is that only the dead weight of
structural components while the
gravitational representative value of
uniform constant and live loads are not
considered in the ABAQUS linear
perturbation calculation. But the relevant
error of total mass is very limited with only
8.35% and can be easily controlled by
applying uniform constant and live loads
through gravitational representative value
in further analysis.

2. The first-order translational period of
ABAQUS standard floor assemble model is
longer than that of the SATWE model with
14.4%. The calculation error is relative
large but the reason was that: in the
ABAQUS linear perturbation calculation,
the floor was the elastic shell floor, which
allows out-plane deformation, but the
whole floor slab in SATWE analysis is the
membrane elements floor, which was
assumed to be a rigid floor without any out-plane deformation. The stiffness value of standard floor assemble ABAQUS model is lower but more close to real value than that of SATWE model, especially for the stiffness in the x-direction shown in Fig. 14 because the size of x-direction is larger than the size of y direction and the stiffness amplification effect from membrane elements floor is also larger in the x-direction. As a result, the ABAQUS standard floor assemble model is more flexible in the x-direction than that of the SATWE model and the first-order translational period is larger even with a relative lower total mass. This phenomenon of structural stiffness enlargement from membrane element can also be proved by comparing the 6.47% relevant error of second-order translational period with the first-order translational period. As the size of y direction is relevant low and the structural stiffness enlargement from membrane element is limited, the second-order translational periods of standard floor assemble ABAQUS model and SATWE model are close. Apart from the membrane elements floor influence, the finite quantity of freedom degrees, which is used to replace an unlimited quantity of freedom degrees to approximate real structure and leads the calculated stiffness larger than real structure stiffness, also contributes the calculation error: The maximum element control size of the SATWE model is 1 meter, while the maximum element control size of the ABAQUS standard floor assemble model is 0.75 meter. Lower element control size means more accurate results.

Fig. 13. The whole structure model

Overall by summarizing the parameters characteristic above, the calculation results of the ABAQUS standard floor assemble model is more accurate and more efficient than that of the SATWE structure model. So the ABAQUS standard floor assemble model is more precise and reasonable. But it should also be noticed that the comparing software case quantity was limited and further verifications should be carried out by other structure simulation software.

Fig. 14 a. The first-order translational mode b. The second-order translational mode c. The third-order rotational mode
4. SUMMARIES AND CONCLUSIONS

(1) The ABAQUS standard floor assemble modelling approach can rapidly improve the whole structure modelling efficiency. This approach only requires to use the ABAQUS CAE module to finish the relevant simple single standard floor model and by the afterward algorithmic program, all the individual standard floor models can be assembled into one whole structure model.

(2) The analysis results of the example engineering structure proved that the deformations of floors in the standard floor assemble model distribute continuously with no significant mutation, indicating that the standard floor assemble model approach successfully achieve continuous connection between standard floors and can be applied to structure analysis.

(3) Due to the advantages of the ABAQUS standard floor assemble model in the out-plane deformable shell floor, the elements control size and mesh reasonability, the linear perturbation analysis results from ABAQUS standard floor assemble model are more accurate.
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