Discovery of a T dwarf + white dwarf binary system
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ABSTRACT

We present the discovery of the first T dwarf + white dwarf binary system LSPM 1459+0857 AB, confirmed through common proper motion and spectroscopy. The white dwarf is a high proper motion object from the LSPM catalogue that we confirm spectroscopically to be a relatively cool ($T_{\text{eff}} = 5535 \pm 45 \text{ K}$) and magnetic ($B \sim 2 \text{ MG}$) hydrogen-rich white dwarf, with an age of at least 4.8 Gyrs. The T dwarf is a recent discovery from the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey (ULAS 1459+0857), and has a spectral type of T4.5 $\pm$ 0.5 and a distance in the range 43-69 pc. With an age constraint (inferred from the white dwarf) of $\geq 4.8$ Gyrs we estimate $T_{\text{eff}} = 1200$-1500 K and log $g = 5.4$-5.5 for ULAS 1459+0857, making it a benchmark T dwarf with well constrained surface gravity. We also compare the T dwarf spectra with the latest LYON group atmospheric model predictions, which despite some shortcomings are in general agreement with the observed properties of ULAS 1459+0857. The separation of the binary components (16,500-26,500 AU, or 365 arcseconds on the sky) is consistent with an evolved version of the more common brown dwarf + main-sequence binary systems now known, and although the system has a wide separation, it is shown to be statistically robust as a non spurious association. The observed colours of the T dwarf show that it is relatively bright in the $z$-band compared to other T dwarfs of similar type, and further investigation is warranted to explore the possibility that this could be a more generic indicator of older T dwarfs. Future observations of this binary system will provide even stronger constraints on the T dwarf properties, and additional systems will combine to give a more comprehensively robust test of the model atmospheres in this temperature regime.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Large scale Near Infrared (NIR) and optical surveys such as the 2-Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS), the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS), and the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS) are aiding the identification of a rapidly increasing number of field brown dwarfs (BDs) (e.g. Lodieu et al. 2007, Pinfield et al. 2008, Delorme et al. 2008, Delorme et al. 2008, Delorme et al. 2008, Delorme et al. 2008, Delorme et al. 2008), as well as probing down into new cooler temperature regimes (Warren et al. 2007, Delorme et al. 2008, Delorme et al. 2008, Delorme et al. 2008). In general the estimation of properties of these BDs (e.g. age, mass, metallicity) currently relies on model fitting. However, the models are very sensitive to a variety of poorly understood processes in BD atmospheres, such as the formation of dust condensates (Allard et al. 2001) and non-equilibrium chemistry (Saumon et al. 2007), and the spectroscopic fitting of atmospheric properties ($T_{\text{eff}}$, log $g$, [M/H]) is a major challenge. Crucially, the nature of BD evolution means that the mass-luminosity relation depends strongly on age, and in the absence of well constrained atmospheric properties there is no way to accurately determine mass and age.
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Identifying objects where one can pin down these properties independently can help aid the calibration of models. BDs that are members of binaries, where the primary member has age constraints are good sources of benchmark BDs (e.g. Day-Jones et al. 2008, Burningham et al. 2008, Zhang et al. 2010, Falherty et al. 2009). In particular white dwarf primaries can provide a hard lower limit on the age of the system (from the white dwarf cooling age) and in the case of high mass white dwarfs (where the main sequence progenitor star will have a short lifetime and the age will thus be essentially the same as the cooling age of the white dwarf), could provide ages constrained at the 10% level (Pinfield et al. 2006 and reference therein).

Binary systems containing a white dwarf and a BD however are observationally rare, and only a handful of such binaries have been identified. To date there are only five L dwarf + white dwarf systems that have been spectroscopically confirmed, GD 165B (L4; Zuckerman & Becklin 1992), GD 1400 (L6/7; Farhi & Christopher 2004, Dobbie et al. 2005), WD 0137 − 349 (L8; Maxted et al. 2006, Burleigh et al. 2006), PG1234+482 (L0; Steele et al. 2007, Mullally et al. 2007) and PHL 5038B (L8; Steele et al. 2009) previously the latest type BD companion to a white dwarf. There have also been several BD companions to white dwarfs found as cataclysmic variable systems (CVs, e.g. Littlefair et al. 2008), although the nature of such objects means that they may be less useful in the context of studies of typical BD atmospheres.

We present here results from our ongoing search to identify widely separated BD companions to white dwarfs, expanding on our earlier searches of 2MASS and SuperCOSMOS (Day-Jones et al. 2008), to include the first results from our combined search of UKIDSS and SuperCOSMOS. We present here the discovery of the first T dwarf + white dwarf binary system, which we confirm through common proper motion and spectroscopy.

In Section 2 we describe the ongoing search to identify and spectroscopically confirm late T dwarfs in the UKIDSS Large Area Survey (LAS). In section 4 we describe our proper motion measurements of these confirmed T dwarfs and our techniques to search for binary companions to these objects. In section 5 we describe the spectroscopy of a white dwarf candidate companion and the resulting constraints on its properties. In section 6 we statistically assess the likelihood that our new T dwarf + white dwarf binary system is spurious. Section 7 determines constraints for the atmospheric properties of the T dwarf, taking advantage of its benchmark age constraints (from the white dwarf primary). We also perform some basic spectral model fits to the T dwarf spectrum, and compare the resulting predictions. Finally in section 8 we conclude with further discussion of the system as a useful benchmark and comment on the direction of future work.

2 FINDING T DWARFS IN THE UKIDSS LARGE AREA SURVEY

The UKIDSS LAS has been searched for T dwarfs using selection techniques based on the observed UKIDSS+SDSS colours of previously identified T dwarfs, as well as theoretical predictions for the cooler $T_{\text{eff}} = 400$-700K regime. The selection techniques used to identify these T dwarfs are described in detail in Pinfield et al. (2008). In this paper we consider T dwarfs that were spectroscopically confirmed (Burningham private comm.) by the Summer of 2008 (see Pinfield et al. 2009 and a sub-sample of Burningham et al. 2010), and the sky coverage appropriate to this sample includes the full LAS second data release, 72% of the new sky in the third data release, and 66% of the new sky in the fourth data release. In total, this sample was identified in 890 square degrees of LAS sky.

2.1 Follow-up photometry and spectroscopy

Candidates are followed up in general with additional imaging in the near infrared and/or optical. This allows confirmation of the expected T-like colours and rules out various forms of contamination (e.g. faint M dwarfs with low signal-to-noise and blue-ward scattered near-infrared colours due to large photometric uncertainty, as well as solar system objects that can appear as non detections due to their motion). This followup has been performed using a variety of telescope/instruments, including the Wide Field Camera (WFCAM) and Fast Track Imager (UFTI) on UKIRT, and the Long-slit Intermediate Resolution Infrared Spectrograph (LIRIS) on the William Herschel Telescope (WHT) (all near infrared), as well as the ESO Multi-Mode Instrument (EMMI) and the ESO Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (EFOSC2) on the New Technology Telescope (optical). Spectroscopic confirmation of the LAS candidates was also achieved using a number of facilities, including the Near Infrared Camera and Spectrograph (NIRI) and the Gemini Near Infrared Spectrograph (GNIRS) on the Gemini telescopes, and the Infrared Camera Spectrograph (IRCS) on Subaru. The Near Infrared Camera Spectrograph (NICS) on the Telescopio Nazionale Galileo (TNG) and the UKIRT imager Spectrograph (UIST) were also used for the brighter T dwarfs. Details of the follow-up imaging and spectroscopic strategies, as well as the relevant reduction and calibration techniques used are further discussed in Lodieu et al. (2007), Pinfield et al. (2008) and Burningham et al. (2010). Corresponding spectral types were derived using the unified T dwarf classification scheme of Burgasser et al. (2006) with an extension from Burningham et al. (2008) for the latest types.

2.2 T dwarf distances

T dwarf distances have been estimated using the absolute magnitude-spectral type relations from Liu et al. (2006), assuming the T dwarfs are single objects. We calculated $M_J$, choosing the $J-$ band magnitude over the $H-$ and $K-$ bands, as models have suggested that the $J-$ band may be less sensitive to variations in metallicity and gravity than the $H-$ and $K-$ bands (e.g. Marley et al. 2002). The uncertainties in the distance were obtained by taking into account the error in the spectral type (typically $\pm 0.5$) and the residuals of the polynomial fits from Liu et al. (2006). Fig. 1 shows the spectral type distance distribution for the spectroscopically confirmed sample of 49 T dwarfs that we consider in this work. It can be seen that the sample spans
the spectral type and distance range T2-9 and 12-80pc. This is comprised of two T2-3 dwarfs, 29 in the T4-6 range, sixteen T6-8 dwarfs and two T8+ dwarfs. Due to the cooler temperatures and thus fainter nature of later T dwarfs it can be seen that we are more sensitive to earlier T dwarfs out to further distances.

3 T DWARF PROPER MOTIONS

The photometric follow-up program provided second epoch imaging for the LAS T dwarfs, which we combined with the LAS images to give two epochs to calculate proper motion. We used the IRAF routines GEOMAP to derive a geometric transformation between the two epoch images, and GEOMAPR to apply these transforms to the T dwarf positions. Centroiding uncertainties were calculated based on simulated data with appropriate Poisson noise injected. The availability and quality of the measured proper motions of the T dwarfs is dependent on several factors including: the baseline between the epochs, the number of stars that can be used for positional reference in each of the images, the S/N of both the T dwarf and the reference stars, and the proximity of the T dwarfs to the edge of the WFCAM detector array (in the first epoch images). We were able to measure the proper motions of 19 T dwarfs from the 49 strong sample of spectroscopic confirmations that we consider, using an average of 12 reference stars across a baseline of 0.5-1.5 years.

A vector-point diagram of the T dwarf proper motions is shown in Fig. 2, where two T dwarfs found to have common proper motion companions (see Section 3.1) are highlighted. We searched around each of the 19 T dwarfs out to an angular separation corresponding to 20,000 AU, at the estimated minimum distance of each T dwarf. We choose a separation limit of 20,000AU in order to be sensitive to the detection of both widely separated main-sequence (MS) and white dwarf (WD) companions. It is fairly common to find BD+main-sequence star binaries with separations of ~5000AU (Gizis et al. 2001; Pinfield et al. 2006). However a white dwarf companion could have even wider separations, when one considers any outward migration that would have occurred during the post-main-sequence mass-loss phase. We suggest that the outward migration would likely be up to a factor of ~4, as the initial and final separation of a low mass binary companion is directly related to the change in mass of the host star i.e. $M_{MS}/M_{WD} \sim \frac{4}{\pi}$. To illustrate this we consider a white dwarf of mass $\sim 0.65 M_\odot$ (roughly the mean of the white dwarf mass distribution). The progenitor mass would be $\sim 2.7 M_\odot$ (from the initial-final mass relations of Dobbie et al. 2004, Catalán et al. 2008, Kalirai et al. 2008), such that $M_{MS}/M_{WD} \sim 4$. Thus, for BD + main-sequence binaries separated by ~5000AU, we could expect their final separation to be up to ~20,000AU.

For each common proper motion companion candidate, we assumed a distance that was the same as the estimated distance of the relevant T dwarf, and thus placed companion candidates on an absolute B magnitude ($M_B$) vs $B-R$ colour-magnitude diagram. Their positions were compared with those expected for main-sequence stars and white dwarfs, following the approaches described in Clarke et al. (2009) and Dav-Jones et al. (2008), respectively. We then compared their SDSS colours with respect to stellar populations, including main-sequence stars (Hipparcos; Perryman et al. 1997), M dwarfs (West et al. 2004), K subdwarfs (Yong & Lambert 2003) and white dwarfs (McCook & Sion 1999, Eisenstein et al. 2006). We found that only one main-sequence companion candidate was identified, Wolf940 which had previously been identified.
serendipitously by [Burningham et al. (2009)] and will not be discussed further. We also identified five candidate white dwarf companions to the T dwarfs in our sample, that are common proper motion (to within the uncertainties) and are consistent with the white dwarf sequence (see Fig. 3 and 4) if assumed to be at the same distance as their T dwarf companions.

The brightest of our white dwarf candidates appears in the LSPM catalogue (LSPM J1459+0851, [Lepine & Shara 2005]) as a high proper motion object, although it has not been previously studied spectroscopically. The T dwarf associated with this object is ULAS J1459+0857, which has been spectroscopically typed as a T4.5±0.5 dwarf (Burningham et al. 2010). This pair is highlighted in Fig. 3 and 4.

### 3.2 Possible contamination

To assess the possibility that our candidate white dwarf companions may be dominated by high velocity background objects, such as metal-poor, halo K-subdwarfs (which could populate the same colour and proper motion space that we search in this work), we have derived space motion estimates assuming that our candidates are K subdwarfs. We used the relations of [Ivezic et al. (2008)] to estimate an absolute r' magnitude (M$_{r'}$) from g'-i' colour, for a metallicity range (for sub-dwarfs) of −0.5 and −2.5, and thus obtained distance constraints applicable if these objects are sub-dwarfs. Assuming for simplicity that they have a zero radial velocity, we then estimated space motions to assess potential halo membership. All except one candidate would have space motions of 2,000-12,000 kms$^{-1}$, which are thus not consistent with a galactic halo population. The exception is close enough such that its kinematics are consistent with a background sub-dwarf member. Indeed this is one of the widest separated candidates (400 arcsec), and the level of background contamination for such separation (and volume) approaches 1, even for the low density halo luminosity function [Coudé 2003]. However, despite one possible halo contaminant, the majority of the candidates cannot be explained by such contamination.

A more likely source of error potentially leading to misidentification amongst the candidates is proper motion uncertainty, since the ratio of proper motion to proper motion uncertainty for some of the candidates is in the 3-5 range, and one would thus expect that some fraction of the sample have proper motions that have scattered to larger values. Given this likely source of contamination we choose not to present details of all five of our companion candidates at this stage. We prefer to first establish their nature through spectroscopic study, and in that way confirm them (or otherwise) as genuine white dwarf companions. We have so far only obtained good spectroscopy for both components of the binary containing J1459+0851 and thus focus the remainder of this paper on this one system.

### 4 SPECTROSCOPIC OBSERVATIONS OF LSPM J1459 + 0851

Spectroscopic observations of LSPM J1459 + 0851 were obtained with FORS2 on the Very Large Telescope on 2009 May 15 and 21, with Directors Discretionary Time in program 282.C-5069(A). We used the long slit mode in the optical wavelength range 3300-8000Å with a dispersion of 50 and 55Å/mm respectively, for the ranges 3300-6210Å (corresponding to the B grism) and 5120-8450Å (corresponding to the RI grism), giving a resolution of R~1200. Three integrations of 600s were taken, giving a total exposure time of 30 minutes for the B grism and two integrations of 360s, totaling 12 minutes in the RI grism. Sky flats, arc frames and the spectra of a DC white dwarf as well as a standard F-type star and DA white dwarf were taken during the same night at a similar airmass to the target so as to provide wavelength, flux and telluric calibrations.

Standard IRAF routines were used to reduce the spectra including flat fielding and cosmic ray removal. The spectra were extracted with APALL, using a chebyshev function to fit the background and a third order legendre function to trace the fit to the spectrum. The wavelength calibration was done using the spectrum from HgCdHeAr and HgCdHeNeAr arc lamps for the B and RI grisms respectively, and using IDENTITY to reference the arc lines, along with the DISPCOR routine to correct the dispersion of the spectrum. The resulting spectra of both LSPM J1459+0851 and the standard were divided by the smooth spectrum of the DC white dwarf, which has no intrinsic spectral features, enabling correction for the instrumental response. The standard stars (one for each grism) were then used to flux calibrate the spectrum. The two spectra were then stitched together in the overlapping sections and normalised at 6000Å. The final spectrum of LSPM J1459 + 0851 is shown in Fig. 5.

It can be seen that some residual tellurics remain and are highlighted for reference. They do not however affect the subsequent analysis in any way, since they do not overlap with features used directly to assess white dwarf properties. The general spectral shape is quite blackbody-like, consistent with a white dwarf or perhaps a very metal poor sub-dwarf (e.g. Jao et al. 2008). However the overall strength of the Hα line and the peak of the blackbody-like continuum are only consistent with a relatively cool example of a white dwarf (Ketic et al. 2006). We also compare LSPM J1459 + 0851 to the spectra of three other very cool, hydrogen rich (DA) white dwarfs, WD0011-134, WD1330-015 and WD 0503-174, taken from Bergeron et al. (1992) and Bergeron et al. (1993). They have corresponding T$_{eff}$’s of 6000±150 K, 7450±200 K and 5230±140 K respectively, and are shown in Fig. 6. Although the spectra of LSPM J1459 + 0851 are noisier, it can be seen that the extent of the Hα feature is consistent with a cool, hydrogen rich white dwarf. We further assess its properties in more detail in the following sections.

### 4.1 Synthetic Photometry & Fitting Procedure

As previously noted, the spectrum shows a lack of strong H lines, which would be expected for a hotter more typical white dwarf. Photometric fitting of the full optical-NIR SED is thus optimal for determining the effective temperature of the white dwarf, using model fits to the SDSS+UKIDSS photometry and assuming a distance equal to that of the T dwarf companion. The spectra are consistent with a cool white dwarf, showing no strong features in the spectrum blue-ward of 6000Å and just a hint of Hα. We performed
Figure 3. A colour-magnitude diagram of white dwarfs from McCook & Sion (1999) with known parallax (crosses). Photometry is on the SuperCOSMOS system. Overplotted are model cooling tracks (see main text) for white dwarf masses of 0.5, 0.7 and 1.2 $M_\odot$ (dotted, dashed and dot-dashed lines respectively). Also overplotted is our white dwarf selection region (two solid lines), along with our candidate white dwarf companions (large stars). LSPM J1459+0851 is circled for reference.

Figure 4. A two colour diagram in SDSS colours showing populations of main-sequence stars (blue points), M dwarfs (orange triangles), white dwarfs (green asterisks) and K subgiants (red squares), with our white dwarf candidates overplotted as black stars. LSPM J1459+0851 is circled for reference.
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Figure 5. The optical spectra of LSPM J1459+0851, normalised at 6000 Å.

Figure 6. Optical spectrum in the region of Hα for the white dwarf LSPM J1459+0851. For comparison the spectra of three similar cool, hydrogen rich, magnetic white dwarfs, WD 0011-134 (B~16.7MG), WD 1330+015 (B~7.4MG) and WD 0503-174 (B~7.3MG) are also shown, with magnetic field strength decreasing from top to bottom.

The method used to fit the photometric data is similar to that described in Bergeron et al. (2001), which we briefly summarise here. We first transform the magnitudes in each bandpass into observed average fluxes \( f_m^\lambda \) using the following equation

\[
m = -2.5 \log f_m^\lambda + c_m
\]

where

\[
f_m^\lambda = \frac{\int_0^\infty f_\lambda S_m(\lambda) d\lambda}{\int_0^\infty S_m(\lambda) d\lambda}.
\]

The transmission functions \( S_m(\lambda) \) along with the constants \( c_m \) for each bandpass are described in Holberg & Bergeron (2006) and references therein. To make use of all the photometric measurements simultaneously, we convert the magnitudes into observed fluxes using equation (1) and compare the resulting energy distributions with those predicted from our model atmosphere calculations. Thus, we obtain a set of average fluxes \( f_m^\lambda \), which can now be compared with the model fluxes. These model fluxes are also averaged over the filter bandpasses by substituting \( f_\lambda \) in equation (2) for the monochromatic Eddington flux \( H_\lambda \). The average observed fluxes \( f_m^\lambda \) and model fluxes \( H_m^\lambda \), which depend on \( T_{\text{eff}} \), \( \log g \) and \( N(\text{He})/N(\text{H}) \), are related by the equation

\[
f_m^\lambda = 4\pi(R/D)^2 H_m^\lambda
\]

where \( R/D \) is the ratio of the radius of the star to its distance from Earth. Our fitting procedure relies on the nonlinear least-squares method of Levenberg-Marquardt, which is based on a steepest descent method. The value of \( \chi^2 \) is taken as the sum over all bandpasses of the difference between both sides of equation (4), properly weighted by the corresponding observational uncertainties. In our fitting procedure, we consider only \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and the solid angle free parameters. As discussed in Bergeron et al. (2001), the energy distributions are not sensitive enough to surface gravity to constrain the value of \( \log g \), and thus for white dwarfs with no parallax measurement, as is the case here, we simply assume \( \log g = 8.0 \), which is consistent with the distance estimate of the T dwarf companion. Our best fit for a pure-H atmosphere arises from a \( T_{\text{eff}} = 5535 \pm 45 \) K, and is shown in Fig. 7.

4.2 A magnetic spectrum

The shape and weakness of the Hα line gives a poor fit to a basic 5535 K model spectra, and we thus investigated the possibility that the white dwarf could be magnetic with the Hα line affected by Zeeman splitting. The line opacity was

\[\text{http://www.astro.umontreal.ca/~bergeron/CoolingModels/}\]
calculated as the sum of the individual resonance-broadened Zeeman components. The line displacements and strengths of the Zeeman components of Hα are taken from the tables of Kemidž (1974), and the total line opacity is normalised to that resulting from the zero-field solution. The specific intensities at the surface, \( I(\nu, \mu, \tau_n = 0) \), are obtained by solving the radiative transfer equation for various field strengths and values of \( \mu (\mu = \cos \theta) \), where \( \theta \) is the angle between the angle of propagation of light and the normal to the surface of the star. In doing so, the polarization of the radiation is neglected as we are mainly interested in the total monochromatic intensity. The effect of the magnetic field on the continuum opacity is also neglected. The emergent spectrum is then obtained from an integration over the surface of the star \( (H \nu \propto \int I(\nu, \mu) d\mu) \) for a particular geometry of the magnetic field distribution. We note that in this procedure, limb darkening is explicitly taken into account because of the integration over \( \mu \).

We use the offset dipole model to model the magnetic field of the white dwarf (Achilleos & Wickramasinghe 1989). In this model, the magnetic field is generated by a dipole. At the surface of the star (of radius unity), the strength of the magnetic field is simply given by

\[
B = 0.5B_d(3 \cos^2 \theta + 1)^{1/2}
\]

(4)

where \( B_d \) is the dipole field strength, and \( \theta \) is the standard angle in spherical coordinates \( (\theta = 0 \text{ at the pole}) \). For a given value of \( B_d \), the flux received at the Earth will also depend on the viewing angle \( i \) between the dipole axis and the line of sight \( (i = 0 \text{ for a pole-on view}) \). However, in this particular model, the dipole is also offset from the center of the star in an arbitrary direction. To simplify the calculation, we assume the dipole is offset parallel to the dipole axis. In this case, the value of the offset is measured from the center of the star and is denoted \( a_z \) (in units of stellar radius). Note that with offset dipole models, the value of the dipole field strength, \( B_d \), is no longer equal to the value of the polar field strength.

We computed a series of synthetic spectra based on a model atmosphere of pure-H with \( T_{\text{eff}} = 5535 \text{ K} \) and \( \log g = 8.0 \) while varying \( B_d \), \( i \), and \( a_z \). We display in Fig. 7 the model which best reproduced the observed line profile with \( B_d = 2.0 \text{ MG}, \ i = 45^\circ \) and \( a_z = -0.2 \). It should be noted that varying the inclination angle \( i \) produced only slight variations in the line profiles and as stated in Bergeron et al. (1992), it is not possible to constrain \( i \) from observed line profiles alone. The zeeman-split Hα line in LSPM J1459 + 0851 can be compared to the same feature in other cool white dwarfs of higher magnetic field strengths in Fig. 6.

4.3 White dwarf age and progenitor lifetime

We start by considering the simple case of a non-magnetic white dwarf for a \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and \( \log g \) of 5535 K and 8.0, for which we calculate a mass of 0.585 M_⊙ (Fontaine, Brassard & Bergeron 2001). The corresponding cooling age of a 0.585 M_⊙ white dwarf, with a \( T_{\text{eff}} = 5535 \text{ K} \) was then calculated as 3 Gyr using the isochrones of Fontaine, Brassard & Bergeron (2001). The total age of the white dwarf comprises of both the cooling time and its progenitor lifetime on the main-sequence. In order to constrain the main-sequence lifetime we accessed the Initial-final mass relations of Ferrario et al. (2005), Catalán et al. (2008) and Kalirai et al. (2008) to estimate a likely, initial-mass constraint for the main-sequence progenitor star of 1.5-1.75 M_⊙. We then used the tracks of Lachaume et al. (1999) to estimate the main-sequence lifetime for stars of such mass as 1.8-3.0 Gyr. It should be noted however that the model tracks converge for masses < 2 M_⊙, and as a result the ages of these objects can be largely uncertain (up to 10 Gyr).

The strong magnetic field present also provides an additional factor to consider when assessing age. The origin of such strong white dwarf magnetic fields is not fully understood but is thought to have arisen in one of two favored scenarios:

1. From a single star. The magnetic field is thought to derive from a massive, magnetic progenitor of \( \sim 1.5-
8 $M_{\odot}$, typically an Ap or Bp star. The magnetic field is then maintained through the main-sequence evolution to the white dwarf phase by flux conservation (Wickramasinghe & Ferrario 2000).

2. From the merger of two stellar cores in a common envelope event or the merger of two degenerate objects. During the common envelope (CE) phase the orbits of the two cores spiral in closer together through frictional forces causing differential rotation, which coupled with convection in the cores, creates a stellar-magnetic dynamo (Tout & Pingle 1992). Close, but separated cores form CVs but some cores coalesce and cool to form a magnetic white dwarf. It may also be possible that two very close white dwarfs emerge from the CE phase, such as G62-46 (Bergeron et al. 1993), where one component is highly magnetic.

In general it is observed that magnetic white dwarfs have larger masses than the more typical non-magnetic white dwarfs (e.g. Liebert 1988). There are two possible hypothesis to explain this. Firstly, in accordance with the favoured scenario for the formation of isolated magnetic white dwarfs (Wickramasinghe & Ferrario 2005), the progenitor was more massive, leading to a massive white dwarf. In this case the magnetic field has no effect during the progenitor evolution. Secondly the effect of the magnetic field has an impact on the stellar evolution, such that it could inhibit mass loss (Wickramasinghe & Ferrario 2000), leading to a more massive core and a longer progenitor lifetime. If we consider the possibility that our white dwarf could be of higher mass, for example 0.8$M_{\odot}$ (the mean mass of a highly magnetic white dwarf; Kawka et al. 2007), then the cooling time would be longer, around 6 Gyrs (Fontaine, Brassard & Bergeron 2001). In this case the progenitor (if a single star) would be around 3.5$M_{\odot}$ (Catalán et al. 2008) and the progenitor main-sequence lifetime would be 0.3 Gyr (assuming normal models). However there is also evidence that many magnetic white dwarfs have masses closer to the peak of the non-magnetic mass distribution (Tout et al. 2008).

As we cannot know which scenario is responsible for the observed magnetic field, nor can we measure more accurately the mass of the white dwarf, we do not know the effects this may have had on the main-sequence evolution. In any case both scenarios for a magnetic and a non-magnetic white dwarf results in ages greater than 4.8 Gyrs (the cooling age plus the minimum progenitor lifetime of a non-magnetic white dwarf) and we thus choose to adopt this as the minimum total age for LSPM J1459 + 0851.

### Table 1. Parameters of the white dwarf LSPM J1459 + 0851.

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| RA        | 14 59 32.05 |
| DEC       | +08 51 28.1 |
| SDSS ‘u’  | 20.74 ± 0.08 |
| SDSS ‘g’  | 19.50 ± 0.01 |
| SDSS ‘r’  | 18.99 ± 0.01 |
| SDSS ‘i’  | 18.76 ± 0.05 |
| SDSS ‘z’  | 18.71 ± 0.03 |
| SuperCOSMOS B | ~19.48 |
| SuperCOSMOS R | ~18.33 |
| SuperCOSMOS I | ~18.29 |
| USNO B    | ~19.8 |
| USNO R    | ~18.8 |
| USNO I    | ~18.2 |
| UKIDSS Y  | 18.14 ± 0.02 |
| UKIDSS J  | 17.90 ± 0.02 |
| UKIDSS H  | 17.65 ± 0.04 |
| UKIDSS K  | 17.79 ± 0.06 |
| $\mu$ RA  | $-170 \pm 3$ mas yr$^{-1}$* |
| $\mu$ DEC | $-42 \pm 6$ mas yr$^{-1}$* |
| $T_{\text{eff}}$ | 5535±45 K |
| log $g$   | 8.0 dex |
| Mass      | 0.585$M_{\odot}$ |
| WD age    | $>$4.8 Gyr |

* USNO-B1

---

5 LSPM J1459+0851 - ULAS J1459+0857 A BOUND SYSTEM?

In order to determine if this new system is a bonafide binary system, we have statistically assessed the likelihood that two such objects could be a line-of-sight association with photometry and proper motion consistent with binarity by random chance. We first calculated the total region of sky around our T dwarf corresponding to the coverage encompassed by the projected line-of-sight separation of the white dwarf. We then combined this with the T dwarf distance constraint (43-69pc), allowing for the possibility that the T dwarf might itself be an unresolved binary (at a greater distance), to estimate a volume of sky in which white dwarfs might be line-of-sight contamination. We then used the number density of white dwarfs (e.g. Schröder, Pauli & Napiwotzki 2004) to estimate that we would expect only 0.00368 white dwarfs in this volume of space.

To factor in the probability that two objects might have a common proper motion at the level of our measurements, we downloaded a magnitude-limited sample ($R < 21$, the same as our initial selection; see Section 3.1) of objects from the SuperCOSMOS Science Archive. We applied a limit to the proper motion uncertainty of $< 50$ mas/yr and required objects to lie in the colour range $1 < B - R < 3$ (where we expect contaminant, main-sequence stars). This sample of 10,360 sources was selected from within one degree of the T dwarf as to provide a representative sample of objects in the area of sky in which we find our binary system. We then placed these objects on a colour-magnitude diagram and selected only objects that occupied a region populated by main-sequence stars, when placed at the distance range estimated for the T dwarf. Of the 140 objects that were selected in this way, 13 had proper motion consistent (at the 1 $\sigma$ level) with the T dwarf, leading to a probability of 0.092, that a contaminant star could have proper motion common with the T dwarf.

The chance of finding a white dwarf with the same line-of-sight separation of LSPM J1459+0851 from ULAS J1459+0857, where both components share a common proper motion and are consistent with lying at the same distance as that estimated for the T dwarf is thus $0.00368 \times 0.092 = 0.0003$. We thus conclude that these objects form a genuine binary system. Since we searched for companions to a total of 19 T dwarfs, we estimate the overall chance of finding a spurious system in our sample is
Table 2. Parameters of the binary system.

| Parameter                  | Value            |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| Separation on sky          | 385 arcsec       |
| Estimated distance         | 43 – 69 pc*      |
| Estimated line-of-sight separation | 16,500 – 26,500 AU* |

* Assuming the T dwarf is a singular or unresolved binary.

0.0064, and that the systems identified are likely real binaries. Properties of the new white dwarf + T dwarf system are given in Table 2 and a finding chart is presented in Fig. 5. The wide separation of the system (16,500AU, assuming it is a singular object and not an unresolved binary) is similar to the widest known BD+main sequence binary systems (e.g. Faherty et al. 2009, Zhang et al. 2010). Although prior to the post-main-sequence mass loss phase of the primary the separation would have been substantially less. Indeed we expect that the initial separation of the two components was a factor of ~4 closer (see Section 6.1), in the region of ~1400AU and more akin to the more common type of BD+main sequence binaries (<5000AU; Pinfield et al. 2008).

6 PROPERTIES OF ULAS J1459+0857

In order to estimate the \( T_{\text{eff}} \) of ULAS J1459+0857 we used spectral type-\( T_{\text{eff}} \) determinations from Table 6 of Golimowski et al. (2004) as a guide. These determinations are for BDs with known parallaxes (e.g. Tinney 1996a; Leggett et al. 2002; Dahn et al. 2002; Tinney, Burgasser & Kirkpatrick 2003; Knapp et al. 2004; Vrba et al. 2004) and SED constraints over a broad wavelength range, for which reliable bolometric flux measurements and luminosities are thus available. The main source of uncertainty in these \( T_{\text{eff}} \) values comes from a lack of strong age constraints and the resulting evolutionary model radii uncertainties (up to ~30% for ages >0.1 Gyr: Burrows et al. 1997; Baraffe et al. 1998; Chabrier et al. 2000). By considering the variety of \( T_{\text{eff}} \) ranges calculated for the T4.5±0.5 dwarfs which have assumed a range of possible ages from 0.1-10 Gyr (± 300K), we estimate that for an age range of 4-10 Gyr ULAS J1459+0857 has \( T_{\text{eff}} \) in the range 1200-1500K.

We also used the Lyon Group COND models (Baraffe et al. 2003) to estimate the physical properties of ULAS J1459+0857, allowing for the possibility that it could be a single object or itself an unresolved binary, with a distance in the range 43-69pc. We calculated absolute magnitudes for ULAS J1459+0857 based on this distance range for ages 4-10 Gyr. Then using a linear interpolation between the model grid points we obtained mass and log \( g \) estimates in the range 0.06-0.07 M\(_\odot\) and 5.4-5.5 dex respectively, assuming solar metallicity. We also consider the evolutionary models of Burrows et al. (Burrows et al. 1997; Burrows et al. 2001; Burrows, Sudarsky & Hubeny 2006) to estimate a mass of 0.064-0.075 M\(_\odot\) if the T dwarf is actually metal poor ([M/H] -0.5 dex), which is a similar value to that of the solar metallicity COND models.

Both models also indicate a high gravity (log \( g \) = 5.5) for the observable \( J-K \) colours and temperature range of ULAS J1459+0857. We also compare the optical + NIR colours of ULAS J1459+0857 in comparison with other spectroscopically confirmed T dwarfs from the UKIDSS LAS (Burningham et al. 2010). Fig. 7 shows this compliment of T dwarfs on a series of colour-spectral type plots. Whilst the NIR colours of ULAS J1459+0857 in general look fairly typical for a T4.5 dwarf, there is some evidence of relative \( z \)-band enhancement, which could be the result of the older, higher gravity nature of this object (Pinfield et al. 2008).

6.1 Initial model testing with benchmark observations

To provide a first-pass test of model atmosphere predictions, we used the dust-free COND models of Baraffe et al. (2003) to provide theoretically informed best-guess constraints of the physical properties of the T dwarf in our binary. We made comparisons between the observed T dwarf spectrum and synthetised spectroscopy for two values of \( T_{\text{eff}} \) (1200 and 1500 K), three values of log \( g \) (5.0, 5.25 and 5.5) and three metallicities (+0.3, 0.0 and -0.5, representing the range observed in the galactic disk; e.g. Valenti & Fischer 2005, Grav et al. 2008, Holmberg et al. 2007, Jenkins et al. 2008). The model spectra were generated using the atmospheric radiative transfer code, Phoenix (which is described in detail in Hauschildt, Allard & Baron 1999; Allard et al. 2001) which includes the updated water molecular opacity list from Barber et al. (2007) and new solar abundances from Asplund et al. (2009). The model includes the effect of condensation in the chemical equilibrium but ignores the effects of dust opacities. Theoretical spectra were normalised to the observations in the peak of the J-band, and the resulting comparisons are shown in Fig. 9 and 10. The long red dotted line, short dashed green and the long dashed blue lines represent the log \( g \) = 5.0, 5.25 and 5.5, respectively.

Table 6 gives a summary of the reduced chi-squared (\( \chi^2 \)) values calculated for these comparisons. These values range from ~1.75-3.5, and we note that none of them are close to 1.0. This is to be expected since there are known shortcomings in the models that introduce differences significantly greater than the measurement uncertainties - e.g. incomplete methane opacities and a poor understanding of the observed J-band brightening around T3 (e.g. Knapp et al. 2004). As such, we only use these \( \chi^2 \) values as an additional quality indicator for the over-all model-observation comparison.

Our \( \chi^2 \) analysis reveals that the best fitting atmospheric model has an effective temperature of 1200K, a sub-solar metallicity and a high gravity of log \( g \) =5.5. However, comparison by eye shows clearly that this fit across the whole spectral range is not particularly good, especially around the peak flux regions of the H- and K- bands. This best \( \chi^2 \) value presumably comes from the better fit to the J-band, compared to the other models, however a better fit (by eye) to the overall profile of the spectra comes from a 1500K, solar metallicity, high gravity model. This suggests that ULAS J1459+0857 probably has solar to slightly subsolar metallicity and high gravity. This is instructive at least that the observed properties of ULAS J1459+0857 appear to be in general agreement with those predicted by evolution-
Table 3. $\chi^2$ fits to model spectra.

| log $g$  | [M/H]     |
|---------|-----------|
|         | +0.3 | 0.0 | −0.5 |

T$_{\text{eff}}$=1200 K

| 5.00 | 1.94 | 2.11 | 2.38 |
| 5.25 | 2.06 | 2.58 | 1.82 |
| 5.50 | 1.97 | 2.07 | 1.76 |

T$_{\text{eff}}$=1500 K

| 5.00 | 3.50 | 2.47 | 2.55 |
| 5.25 | 2.89 | 2.03 | 2.62 |
| 5.50 | 3.09 | 1.96 | 2.88 |

Table 4. Parameters of ULAS J1459 + 0857.

| Parameter | Value              |
|-----------|--------------------|
| RA        | 14 59 35.25        |
| DEC       | +08 57 51.20       |
| Distance  | 43 − 69 pc$^1$     |
| SDSS $z'$ | 21.17 ± 0.15       |
| UKIDSS Y  | 19.24 ± 0.06       |
| UKIDSS J  | 17.93 ± 0.02       |
| UKIDSS H  | 17.94 ± 0.05       |
| UKIDSS K  | 17.92 ± 0.08       |
| UFTI J    | 17.98 ± 0.04       |
| UFTI H    | 17.93 ± 0.04       |
| UFTI K    | 18.04 ± 0.03       |
| $\mu$ RA  | −149 ±33 mas yr$^{-1}$ |
| $\mu$ DEC | −45 ±33 mas yr$^{-1}$ |
| Spectral Type | T4.5 ±0.5 |
| Mass      | 0.060 − 0.072 M$_\odot$$^2$ |
| Mass      | 0.064 − 0.075 M$_\odot$$^3$ |
| T$_{\text{eff}}$ | 1200 − 1500 K |
| log $g$   | 5.4 − 5.5 dex      |

$^1$ Assuming the T dwarf is a singular or unresolved binary.
$^2$ From the Lyon group COND evolutionary models.
$^3$ From the Burrows evolutionary models.

Figure 8. A UKIDSS $J$ band finder chart showing the position of the T dwarf (square) and the white dwarf (circle), the scale of the image is 8′ × 3.5′.

7 SUMMARY

This is the first discovery of a T dwarf + white dwarf binary system, and an example of an evolved, high gravity brown dwarf. During the main sequence phase of the primary its separation would have been similar to the bulk population of BD+main sequence binaries, although the separation must have grown significantly (to its current value) during the post-main-sequence mass loss phase of the primary.

The white dwarf provides vital age constraints for the binary system from it’s cooling age combined with a minimum estimate of it’s main sequence progenitor lifetime, and the resulting minimum age of 4.8 Gyrs for the T dwarf allows a robust constraint on its surface gravity of log $g$ = 5.4 − 5.5. As such ULAS J1459 + 0857 is a representative old, high gravity benchmark BD. Comparison with the bulk population of UKIDSS T dwarfs shows some indication that z-band flux enhancement may be an observational characteristic of older high gravity mid T dwarfs, which could be a useful factor in attempts to understand the formation history of substellar objects. And more generally, this T dwarf can contribute to our understanding of substellar properties by providing a useful test-bed for theoretical model atmospheres.

This system is an example of how wide BD binary companions to white dwarfs make good benchmark objects, which will help test model atmospheres, and may provide independent means to calibrate BD properties of field objects (Pinfield et al. 2006). This is the first of our candidate systems and we expect to find many more as we mine more UKIDSS sky, and with our ongoing efforts to search still deeper by combining UKIDSS BDs with SDSS for white dwarfs.

Further observations that would have clear benefits for this system include a parallax measurement of either component to yield an accurate distance, and fuller spectral coverage of the T dwarf to constrain its mid-infrared and optical properties.
Figure 9. Spectral model comparisons to ULAS J1459+0857 (black solid line) for $T_{\text{eff}}=1200$ K and $[\text{M/H}]=+0.3$, 0.0 and $-0.5$, from top to bottom, with log $g = 5.0$, 5.25 and 5.5 as a long red dotted line, short dashed green and the long dashed blue lines, respectively.

Figure 10. Spectral model comparisons to ULAS J1459+0857 (black solid line) for $T_{\text{eff}}=1500$ K and $[\text{M/H}]=+0.3$, 0.0 and $-0.5$, from top to bottom, with log $g = 5.0$, 5.25 and 5.5 as a long red dotted line, short dashed green and the long dashed blue lines, respectively.
spectral morphology. In general, and particularly in the optical $z$-band, it would be desirable to assess in more detail spectroscopic features and trends that may be sensitive to higher surface gravity and age. A parallax distance combined with accurate knowledge of the T dwarf bolometric flux would offer significant improvements on the $T_{\text{eff}}$ constraints for this object (e.g. [Burningham et al. 2009]).

An accurate distance would also facilitate greatly improved radius and mass constraints for the white dwarf, and thus a better constraint on the cooling age and progenitor lifetime. More detailed studies of both binary components are clearly crucial to maximize the effectiveness of the benchmark BD component.
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