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Abstract

In this study, phytochemical composition, antioxidant, enzyme inhibition and cytotoxic activities of methanol and dichloromethane (DCM) extracts of *Bougainvillea glabra* (*B. glabra*) flowers were investigated. Methanol extract was found to have higher total bioactive contents and UHPLC-MS analysis of methanol extract revealed the presence of well-known phenolic and flavonoid compounds. Antioxidant activities were performed by radical scavenging (DPPH and ABTS), reducing power (FRAP and CUPRAC), phosphomolybdenum (TAC) and metal chelating assays. From our result, we observed that methanol extract had many antioxidant compounds. The DCM extract exhibited higher cholinesterases and α-glucosidase enzyme inhibition, while methanol extract showed significant urease inhibition. Both extracts exhibited strong to moderate cytotoxicity against MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, CaSki, DU-145 and SW-480 cancer cells with IC50 values ranging from 88.49 to 304.7 µg/mL. The findings showed the *B. glabra* to possess considerable antioxidant, enzyme inhibition and cytotoxic potentials and therefore has potential to discover novel bioactive molecules.
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Experimental

Plant material and extraction

*B. glabra* flowers were collected in March 2016, from District Muzaffar Garh (Punjab), Pakistan, identified by Dr. Abdul Munsif, Department of Botany, S.E. College, Bahawalpur. In addition, a voucher specimen number (BG-AP-01-16-111) was also deposited in the herbarium of Department of Pharmacy and Alternative Medicine, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan. Shade dried flowers powder (500 g) was subjected to maceration (72 hrs) successively using DCM (2 L) and methanol (2 L) solvents at room temperature with occasional shaking for 24 hrs. Pooled extracts were concentrated by Rotavapor-R20 at 35 °C. These extracts were abbreviated as; BGF-M (*B. glabra* flower methanol), BGF-D (*B. glabra* flower DCM).

1. Phytochemical analysis

1.1. Total bioactive components

Total phenolics were determined by employing standard Folin-Ciocalteu method (Kahkonen et al. 1999) using gallic acid as standard and the results were expressed as mg GAE/g (gallic acid equivalents). Total flavonoid content assay was done by Aluminium chloride colorimetric method (Chew et al. 2009). Quercetin was used as standard and the results were expressed as mg QE/g (quercetin equivalent).

1.2. UHPLC-MS analysis

UHPLC Accurate-Mass Q-TOF (Agilent 1290 Infinity LC system coupled to Agilent 6520) mass spectrometer with dual ESI source was used. Column specifications were: XDB-C18 Agilent Zorbax Eclipse, narrow-bore 2.1 x 150 mm, 3.5 micron (P/N: 930990-902). The temperature of column was maintained at 25°C, while auto-sampler temperature was 4 °C. The following two mobile phases used were: A (0.1% formic acid in water), B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) at flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. Injection volume was 1.0 µL. Run time was 25 min and post-run time was 5 min. MS analysis full scan was carried out over a range of *m/z* 100-1000 employing electrospray ion source in the negative ionization mode. Flow rate for nitrogen as nebulizing and drying gas was 25 and 600 L/hour, respectively with drying
gas temperature of 350 °C. The fragmentation voltage was optimized to 125 V. Capillary voltage for analysis was 3500 V. Data processing was done using Agilent Mass Hunter Qualitative Analysis. Identification of compounds was done from Search Database: METLIN_AM_PCDL-N-170502.cdb, with parameters as: Match tolerance: 5 ppm, Negative Ions:-H.

2. Biological evaluation

2.1. Antioxidant assay

2.1.1. DPPH radical scavenging capacity (RSC)

In this method, 1 mL of plant extract of different concentrations (1000-15.625 µg/mL) was added to 2 ml of DPPH solution (0.059 mg/mL methanol). Absorbance was measured at 517 nm after 30 min incubation, (Miliauskas et al. 2004).

Data was expressed as:

\[
\text{RSC (\%)} = 100 - \{ (\text{Abs}_s - \text{Abs}_c) / \text{Abs}_c \}
\]

Abs\(_s\) = absorbance of sample, Abs\(_c\) = absorbance of control.

Ascorbic acid (AA) was used as control with IC\(_{50}\) 0.00387 mg/mL Therefore, free radical scavenging (FRS) activity was also expressed as equivalent of ascorbic acid (AAEAC) using the following equation (Chan et al. 2010).

\[
\text{AAEAC} = \text{IC}_50 \text{(Ascorbic acid)}/ \text{IC}_50 \text{(sample)} \times 10^5
\]

2.1.2. ABTS (2, 2-azino-bis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) radical cation scavenging activity

ABTS\(^+\) radical cation was formed by a reaction between 7 mM ABTS solution and 2.45 mM potassium persulfate, and allowing the mixture to stand in darkness at room temperature. Firstly, ABTS solution was diluted in methanol until the absorbance reached the value of 0.700 0.02 at 734 nm. The test solution (1 mL), mixed with ABTS solution (2 mL), was mixed and the absorbance was recorded at 734 nm after 30 min of incubation at room temperature. The results were expressed as milligrams of trolox equivalents per gram of dry extract (TEs/g extract) (Grochowski et al. 2017).

2.1.3. Ferric reducing power method

Plant sample (1000 µg/mL) was added to 2.5 mL of phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 6.6) and 2.5 mL of potassium ferricyanide (1% w/v), incubated for 20 min at 50 °C. After 20 min, trichloroacetic acid (2.5 mL, 10% w/v) was added. The contents were divided into two
halves; equal volume of water was added in one half of 2.5mL and then 0.5 mL of FeCl₃ solution (0.1% w/v) was added. The contents were incubated for 30 min at 25 ºC and the absorbance was measured at 700 nm (Chan et al. 2010). The results were expressed as mg GAE/g.

2.1.4. Cupric ion reducing (CUPRAC) method

Extract solutions (0.5 mL) were added to reaction mixture [CuCl₂ (1 mL, 10 mM), neocuproine (1 mL, 7.5 mM), NH₄Ac buffer (1 mL, 1 M, pH 7.0)] and the absorbance was recorded at 450 nm after 30 min of incubation at room temperature. Similarly, a blank sample (prepared in the same manner but without the extract) was prepared and analysed according to this procedure. Milligrams of trolox equivalents per gram of dry extract (TEs/g extract) were the measurement unit (Grochowski et al. 2017).

2.1.5. Phosphomolybdenum assay (TAC)

Total antioxidant capacity (TAC) was determined by phosphomolybdenum method (Prieto et al. 1999). Briefly, the extract solution (0.3mL, 1 mg/mL) was mixed with 3 mL of molybdate reagent solution, incubated for 90 min at 95 ºC for 90 min and the absorbance of the solution was measured at 695 nm against blank. TAC was expressed as equivalent of gallic acid (mg GAE/g) (Prieto et al. 1999).

2.1.6. Metal chelating activity on ferrous ions

Extract solution (2.0 mL) was added to FeCl₂ (0.05 mL, 2 mM), and the reaction was started using 0.2 mL of 5 mM ferrozine. Similarly, a blank sample for each sample (prepared in the same manner but without ferrozine) was prepared, and all the absorbances were recorded after 10 min of incubation (room temperature) at 562 nm. Milligrams of EDTA equivalents per gram of dry extract (EDTAEs/g extract) (Grochowski et al. 2017).

2.2. Enzyme inhibitory activities

2.2.1. Cholinesterase inhibition activity

Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and butyrylcholinesterase (BChE) activity were determined spectrophotometrically according to the method Ellman et al. (Ellman et al. 1961). Total reaction mixture in 96-well plate was 100 µL containing 60 µL of phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.7), 10 µL plant sample of 10 mg/mL stock solution. Then 10 µL enzyme (0.005 units AChE or 0.5 units BChE) was added. The reaction mixture was mixed, incubated at 37 ºC for 10 min and its absorbance was taken at 405 nm using Synergy HT, Biotek, USA 96-well plate reader followed by addition of 10 µL of 0.5 mM substrate (acetylthiocholine iodide for
AChE and butyrylthiocholine chloride for BChE) and 10 µL of 0.5 mM DTNB was added to the above reaction mixture to initiate the reaction and incubated again at 37 ºC for 30 min. Absorbance was again measured at 405 nm. Eserine was used as a control.

The inhibition (%) was calculated as

\[
\text{Inhibition} (\%) = \frac{\text{Control} - \text{Test}}{\text{Control}} \times 100
\]

EZ–Fit Enzyme kinetics software was used to calculate IC\textsubscript{50} values (Perrella Scientific Inc. Amherst, USA).

**2.2.2. Urease inhibition activity**

The total assay mixture of 85 µL in 96 well plates contained phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0) 10 µL sample and jackbean urease enzyme (25 µL of 0.14 units). Contents were incubated at 37 ºC for 5 min. After incubation, 40 µL of urea substrate (20 mM) was added and incubation continued for further 10 min. Then, 115 µL of freshly prepared phenol hypochloride reagent was added in each well and further incubated for 10 min at 37 ºC for colour development. Absorbance was measured at 625 nm (Weatherburn 1967). Kojic acid was used as a control. The inhibition (%) and IC\textsubscript{50} results were determined as given above for cholinesterases.

**2.2.3. α-Glucosidase inhibition activity**

100 µL reaction mixture in 96-well plate contained 70 µL of phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 6.8), 10 µL plant sample (0.5 mM) and 10 µL of baker’s yeast enzyme (0.057 units). The reaction mixture was mixed, incubated for 37 ºC for 10 min and its absorbance was taken at 400 nm. Reaction was initiated by adding 10 µL of substrate, \textit{p}-nitrophenyl-D-glucopyranoside (0.5 mM) (Chapdelaine et al. 1978). Incubation was continued for further 30 min and after-read. Acarbose was used as a control. The inhibition (%) and IC\textsubscript{50} values were calculated as given above for cholinesterases.

**2.3. Cytotoxicity assays**

**Cell lines and culture medium**

MDA-MB-231, MCF-7 (breast cancer), CaSki (cervical cancer), DU-145 (prostate cancer) and SW-480 (colon cancer) cell lines were used for cytotoxicity studies. The breast cancer cell lines were maintained in DMEM culture medium while RPMI-1640 media was used for CaSki, DU-145 and SW-480 cells. Both media were supplemented with 10% FBS (foetal
bovine serum) and 1% P/S (penicillin-streptomycin) environment. Cells were cultured at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere in 5% CO₂ incubator.

**MTT assay**

Cells were maintained in their respective media, seeded in 96 well plate and kept for overnight incubation at 37 °C. Cells with >80% confluency were tested with plant extracts at a concentration range of (500-15.625 µg/mL). After 48 h incubation, the medium was aspirated by adding MTT solution (5 mg/mL) and incubated again for 4 h. After 4 h, wells were solubilized with 100 µL DMSO per well and absorbance was recorded at a primary wavelength (570 nm) and reference wavelength (670 nm) using microplate reader Infinite®Pro-200 Tecan, Switzerland, (Nemudzivhadi and Masoko 2014). Each plate contained the sample, negative control and blank. DMSO was used as a negative control. The percentage cell viability and IC₅₀ were calculated as:

\[
\text{Cell viability (\%)} = \frac{\text{Abs}_s - \text{Abs}_c}{\text{Abs}_c} \times 100.
\]

Absₙ = absorbance of sample, Absᵣ = absorbance of control.

**Statistical data analysis**

All the experiments were repeated three times and analysis was done in triplicates. The obtained results were expressed as mean value and standard deviation (mean±SD). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to calculate the differences, followed by Tukey’s significant difference post hoc test (p < 0.05). Graph Pad Prism software (San Diego, CA, USA, Version 6.03) was used to calculate IC₅₀. SPSS v22.0 software was used to carry out all experimental analysis.
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Figure captions

Figure S1. LC-MS chromatogram for methanol extract of B. glabra flower.

Figure S2. In vitro enzyme inhibition of B. glabra flower extracts against AChE, BChE, α-glucosidase and urease expressed as percent inhibition as compared with control.

Figure S3. Cytotoxicity of methanol and DCM extracts of B. glabra flower.
The graphs shows cytotoxic effects as (A) MCF-7 (BGF-M), (B) MCF-7 (BGF-D), (C) MDA-MB-231 (BGF-M), (D) MDA-MB-231 (BGF-D), (E) CaSki (BGF-M), (F) CaSki (BGF-D), (G) DU-145 (BGF-M), (H) DU-145 (BGF-D), (I) SW-480 (BGF-M) and (J) SW-480 (BGF-D) **** indicates significant difference when compared with untreated (control) cells (p value < 0.05)
Tables and Figures

Table S1. Total bioactive contents of methanol and DCM extracts of *B. glabra* flower.

| Samples     | Extraction yield (%) | Total phenolic content (mg GAE/g) | Total Flavonoid content (mg QE/g) |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| BGF-M       | 12%                   | 26.04±1.04<sup>a</sup>           | 20.86±1.09<sup>a</sup>          |
| BGF-D       | 14%                   | 13.64±2.01<sup>b</sup>           | 12.65±0.30<sup>b</sup>          |

BGFM = *B. glabra* flower methanol extract. BGFD = *B. glabra* flower DCM extract. Data from three repetitions, with mean ± standard deviation; means with different superscript letters in the same column are significantly (p < 0.05) different. GAE: gallic acid equivalent; QE: quercetin equivalent;
Table S2. LC-MS Spectral analysis of methanol extract of *B. glabra* flower

| ID. | Possible Compound name | Class of Compound | Mol. formula | Mol. mass | *T*<sub>R</sub> (min) | Base Peak (m/z) |
|-----|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------|---------------------|----------------|
| 1   | Oenanthoside A         | Phenolic glycoside| C<sub>16</sub> H<sub>20</sub> O<sub>8</sub>  | 340.11    | 0.71               | 339.10         |
| 2   | Egonol gentiobioside   | Flavonoid         | C<sub>31</sub> H<sub>38</sub> O<sub>15</sub> | 650.21    | 0.93               | 649.21         |
| 3   | N-Carboxyethyl-γ-aminobutyric acid | Amino acids | C<sub>7</sub> H<sub>13</sub> N O<sub>4</sub> | 175.08    | 1.09               | 174.07         |
| 4   | N-(1-Deoxy-1-fructosyl) phenylalanine | Amino acids | C<sub>15</sub> H<sub>21</sub> N O<sub>7</sub> | 327.13    | 2.11               | 326.12         |
| 5   | Lucuminic acid         | Glycoside         | C<sub>19</sub> H<sub>26</sub> O<sub>12</sub> | 446.14    | 7.14               | 445.13         |
| 6   | Viscumneoside III     | Flavonoid         | C<sub>27</sub> H<sub>32</sub> O<sub>15</sub> | 596.17    | 7.82               | 595.16         |
| 7   | Isorhamnetin 3-rhamnosyl-(1->2)-gentiobiosyl-(1->6)-glucoside | Flavonoid | C<sub>40</sub> H<sub>52</sub> O<sub>26</sub> | 948.27    | 8.03               | 947.26         |
| 8   | Brassic acid           | Flavonoid         | C<sub>27</sub> H<sub>30</sub> O<sub>16</sub> | 610.15    | 8.62               | 609.14         |
| 9   | Kaempferol 3-(2G-glucosylrutinoside) | Flavonoid | C<sub>33</sub> H<sub>40</sub> O<sub>20</sub> | 756.21    | 8.37               | 755.20         |
| 10  | Isorhamnetin 3-glucosyl-(1->2)-[rhamnosyl-(1->6)-galactoside] | Flavonoid | C<sub>34</sub> H<sub>42</sub> O<sub>22</sub> | 786.22    | 8.41               | 785.21         |
| 11  | Robinin                | Flavonoid         | C<sub>33</sub> H<sub>40</sub> O<sub>19</sub> | 740.21    | 8.59               | 739.20         |
| 12  | Robinetin 3-rutinoside | Flavonoid         | C<sub>27</sub> H<sub>30</sub> O<sub>16</sub> | 610.15    | 8.62               | 609.14         |
| 13  | Isorhamnetin 3-glucosyl-(1->6)-galactoside | Flavonoid | C<sub>28</sub> H<sub>32</sub> O<sub>17</sub> | 640.16    | 8.67               | 639.15         |
| 14  | Luteolin 7-rhamnosyl(1->6)galactoside | Flavonoid | C<sub>28</sub> H<sub>32</sub> O<sub>16</sub> | 624.16    | 8.93               | 623.16         |
| 15  | 6-C-Rhamnopyranosylrhamnetin 3-O-glucopyranoside | Flavonoid | C<sub>56</sub> H<sub>40</sub> O<sub>12</sub> | 904.25    | 8.99               | 903.24         |
| 16  | Vitisifuran A          | Flavonoid         | C<sub>28</sub> H<sub>32</sub> O<sub>16</sub> | 624.17    | 9.21               | 623.16         |
| 17  | Tricetin 7-methyl ether 3'-glucoside-5'-rhamnoside | Flavonoid | C<sub>28</sub> H<sub>32</sub> O<sub>16</sub> | 648.10    | 9.27               | 647.09         |
| 18  | 6-Hydroxylyuteolin 5-rhamnoside | Flavonoid | C<sub>30</sub> H<sub>34</sub> O<sub>16</sub> | 650.18    | 9.30               | 649.17         |
| 19  | 6-Methoxykaempferol 3-rhamnoside-7-(4'''-acetyl)rhamnoside) | Flavonoid | C<sub>22</sub> H<sub>22</sub> O<sub>12</sub> | 478.11    | 9.40               | 477.10         |
| 20  | Laricitrin 3-rhamnoside | Flavonoid         | C<sub>23</sub> H<sub>24</sub> O<sub>12</sub> | 508.12    | 9.46               | 507.11         |
|   | Description                                                                 | Type       | Molecular Formula | Molecular Weight | Retention Time |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|
| 22 | Kaempferol 3,4'-diglucoside-7-(2''-ferulylglucoside)                          | Flavonoid  | C43 H48 O24       | 948.25           | 9.55           | 947.24         |
| 23 | Isovitexin 2''-O-(6''-(E)-p-coumaroyl)glucoside 4'-O-glucoside             | Flavonoid  | C42 H46 O22       | 902.24           | 9.58           | 901.24         |
| 24 | Kaempferol 3-neohesperidoside-7-(2''-ferulylglucoside)                       | Flavonoid  | C43 H48 O23       | 932.25           | 9.90           | 931.25         |
| 25 | Isovitexin 7-(6''-sinapoylglucoside) 4'-glucoside                           | Flavonoid  | C44 H50 O24       | 962.26           | 9.98           | 961.26         |
| 26 | Kaempferol 3-rhamnoside-7-[6''-ferulylglucosyl-(1->3)-rhamnoside]            | Flavonoid  | C43 H48 O22       | 916.26           | 10.11          | 915.25         |
| 27 | Oleanolic acid 3-O-beta-D-glucosiduronic acid                                | Triterpenoid| C36 H56 O9        | 632.39           | 14.62          | 631.38         |

\( \text{Tr: retention time} \)
| Samples   | Radial scavenging activity | Reducing power | Total antioxidant capacity (TAC) | Ferrous chelating |
|-----------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
|           | % RSC (1mg/mL)             | DPPH IC₅₀ (µg/mL) | ABTS (mgTE/g extract) | AAEAC (mg AAE/g) | CUPRAC (mgTE/g extract) | FRAP (mg GAE/g) | Phosphomolybdenum (mg GAE/g) | Metal Chelating (mgEDTA/g) |
| BGF-M     | 86.2±0.08 _a_              | 85.40±1.93 _a_  | 111.32±5.82 _a_              | 45.35±1.01 _a_   | 147.94±7.02 _a_         | 73.95±0.39 _a_  | 16.97±1.05 _a_              | 9.16±1.41 _b_              |
| BGF-D     | 61.01±0.7 _b_              | 542.19±2.64 _b_ | 40.89±1.52 _b_              | 7.13±0.03 _b_    | 66.57±3.20 _b_          | 13.67±0.23 _b_  | 40.15±0.30 _b_              | 17.51±0.64 _a_              |
| Ascorbic acid | 89.96±1.60 _a_       | 16.82±069 _c_   | nt                         | nt                | nt                        | nt                | nt                        | nt                             |

* Values are expressed as means ± S.D. of three replicates, means with different superscript letters in the same column are significantly (p < 0.05) different, nt: not tested. RSC: radical scavenging capacity; AAEAC: Ascorbic acid equivalent antioxidant capacity; CUPRAC: Cupric reducing antioxidant capacity; FRAP: ferric reducing anti-oxidant power; TE: trolox equivalent; GAE: gallic acid equivalent.
Table S4. Percentage enzyme inhibition (0.5 mg/mL) and IC$_{50}$ (µg/mL) of methanol and DCM extracts of *B. glabra* flower.

| Samples | AChE % inhibition | IC$_{50}$ (µg/mL) | BChE % inhibition | IC$_{50}$ (µg/mL) | α-Glucosidase % inhibition | IC$_{50}$ (µg/mL) | Urease % inhibition | IC$_{50}$ (µg/mL) |
|---------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| BGF-M   | *21.52±0.29      | >500**           | 37.62±0.45       | >500**           | 15.62±1.19          | >500**           | 92.42±0.57       | 87.45±0.42       |
| BGF-D   | 32.68±0.24       | >500**           | 67.27±0.86       | 227.56±0.71      | 91.34±2.67          | 15.80±2.35       | 43.25±0.46       | >500**           |
| Eserine | 91.27±1.17       | 0.04±0.0         | 82.82±1.09       | 0.85±0.01        | nt                 | nt               | nt               | nt               |
| Acarbose| nt               | nt               | nt               | nt               | nt                 | 92.83±0.18 mM    | nt               | nt               |
| Kojic acid| nt             | nt               | nt               | nt               | nt                 | nt               | 98.21±0.18       | 21.25±0.15       |

*Values are expressed as means ± S.D. of three replicates, nt; not tested

**The IC$_{50}$ value was higher than 500 µg/mL.

Eserine is control for AChE, BChE, Acarbose for α-glucosidase and Kojic acid for urease. AChE; Acetylcholinesterase, BChE; Butrylcholinesterase
Table S5. Cytotoxicity (IC50 µg/mL) of methanol and DCM extracts of *B. glabra* flower.

| Cell lines         | IC50 value (µg/mL) | BGF-M | BGF-D |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|
| MCF-7              | *105.7             | >500**|       |
| MDA-MB-231         | 300.6              | >500**|       |
| CaSki              | 88.49              | 180.1 |       |
| DU-145             | 129.9              | 180.9 |       |
| SW-480             | >500**             | 304.7 |       |

Values are expressed as means of three replicates,
IC50 value represents concentration that reduces cell viability to 50%. **The IC50 value was higher than 500 µg/mL.**
Figure S1. LC-MS chromatogram for methanol extract of *B. glabra* flower.

**PERCENTAGE ENZYME INHIBITION**

|          | AChE | BChE | α-Glucosidase | Urease |
|----------|------|------|---------------|--------|
| **BGF-M**| 21.52| 37.62| 15.62         | 92.42  |
| **BGF-D**| 32.68| 67.27| 43.25         | 91.34  |
| **CONTROL**| 91.27| 82.82| 92.83         | 98.21  |

Figure S2. In vitro inhibition results of *B. glabra* flower extracts against AChE, BChE, α-glucosidase and urease expressed as percent inhibition as compared with control.

**BGF-M:** *B. glabra* flower methanol extract; **BGF-D:** *B. glabra* flower DCM extract

Eserine was control for AChE and BChE, Acarbose for α-glucosidase and Kojic acid for urease.
Figure. S3. Cytotoxicity of methanol and DCM extracts of *B. glabra* flower.

The graphs show cytotoxic effects as (A) MCF-7 (BGF-M), (B) MCF-7 (BGF-D), (C) MDA-MB-231 (BGF-M), (D) MDA-MB-231 (BGF-D), (E) CaSki (BGF-M), (F) CaSki (BGF-D), (G) DU-145 (BGF-M), (H) DU-145 (BGF-D), (I) SW-480 (BGF-M) and (J) SW-480 (BGF-D) **** indicates significant difference when compared with untreated (control) cells (p value < 0.05)