Bre1-dependent H2B ubiquitination promotes homologous recombination by stimulating histone eviction at DNA breaks
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ABSTRACT

Repair of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) requires eviction of the histones around DNA breaks to allow the loading of numerous repair and checkpoint proteins. However, the mechanism and regulation of this process remain poorly understood. Here, we show that histone H2B ubiquitination (uH2B) promotes histone eviction at DSBs independent of resection or ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers. Cells lacking uH2B or its E3 ubiquitin ligase Bre1 exhibit hyper-resection due to the loss of H3K79 methylation that recruits Rad9, a known negative regulator of resection. Unexpectedly, despite excessive single-strand DNA being produced, bre1Δ cells show defective RPA and Rad51 recruitment and impaired repair by homologous recombination and response to DNA damage. The HR defect in bre1Δ cells correlates with impaired histone loss at DSBs and can be largely rescued by deletion of CAF-1, a histone chaperone depositing histones H3-H4. Overexpression of Rad51 stimulates histone eviction and partially suppresses the recombination defects of bre1Δ mutant. Thus, we propose that Bre1 mediated-uH2B promotes DSB repair through facilitating histone eviction and subsequent loading of repair proteins.

INTRODUCTION

DNA repair is crucial for faithful transmission of genetic information into daughter cells. DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) are potent cytotoxic DNA lesion challenging genome stability that must be repaired faithfully to prevent cell death or tumorigenesis (1,2). DSBs can be repaired by either homologous recombination (HR) or non-homologous end joining (NHEJ), and the choice between these two pathways is regulated by the cell cycle (3,4). HR is the dominant repair pathway in S and G2 phases. It requires a homologous template to direct the repair and is considered to be more accurate (3,4). NHEJ operates predominantly in the G1 phase when sister chromatids are not available for repair. NHEJ is less accurate as it can lead to small insertion or deletions at DSBs (3,4).

A crucial step that favors HR while discriminates against NHEJ is the resection of 5′-ends at DSBs that generates 3′-end single-strand DNA (ssDNA). It is initiated by the Mre11–Rad50–Xrs2 (MRX) complex in yeast (MRE11–RAD50–NBS1 complex in mammals) in cooperation with the Sae2 (CtIP in mammals) protein (5–7). Long-range resection is carried out by Exo1 or Sgs1-Dna2, two partially redundant pathways (7,8). Exposure of 3′-end ssDNA recruits the ssDNA binding protein complex RPA. Mec1-Ddc2 complex is recruited to RPA bound ssDNA to activate DNA damage checkpoint. RPA is subsequently replaced by the recombinase Rad51 to form long nucleoprotein filament that carries out homology search and strand invasion (9). Besides RPA, Rad51 and Mec1-Ddc2, a large number of other proteins involved in checkpoint signaling or damage repair are also heavily loaded at resected DSB ends. Particularly, some of them are loaded with a high local concentration so they form strong nuclear foci at the site of DNA lesion (10). Therefore, a key question is how these proteins are assembled within a limited range of DSB end that is occupied by histones.

The chromatin structure alteration at the lesion sites is believed to provide access for the DNA damage response...
and repair proteins. A number of studies have shown that during HR histones around DSBs are partially evicted in a resection-dependent manner (11–16). This coincides with the observation that chromatin around DSBs shows increased susceptibility to micrococcal nuclease or restriction digestion (13,17). As a consequence, defective histone eviction has been linked to delayed Rad51 recruitment and repair (13). Histone eviction occurs in a fashion coupled to resection and appears to be affected by several ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complexes (11–14,17). Since these remodelers also promote resection, it is indiscernible whether resection itself is enough to drive histone disassembly during HR. Moreover, how histone eviction is regulated in vivo and what is the impact of its deregulation on HR remain poorly understood.

The evolutionarily conserved histone H2B mono-ubiquitination (uH2B) has been shown to disrupt ordered chromatin structure, creating a relaxed chromatin (18). H2B ubiquitination occurs on the residue lysine 123 (K123) in budding yeast, equivalent to K119 in Schizosaccharomyces pombe and K120 in mammals. This modification is catalyzed by the E2 ubiquitin conjugating enzyme Rad6 (Ubc6 or RAD6 in human) in cooperation with the E3 ubiquitin ligase Bre1 in yeast (RNF20/RNF40 in mammals) (19–22), and is essential for the di- and tri-methylation of histone H3 on K4 and K79 (20,23–25). uH2B is a mark associated with transcriptional activation, and its establishment requires the PAF complex and the histone chaperone FACT during transcription (26–29). uH2B can cooperate with FACT to facilitate histone assembly in transcription. It can also function in nucleosome destabilization and H2A/H2B dimer removal during transcription elongation (30–32). Moreover, this modification is present at the replication origin where it stimulates replication fork progression and stabilizes replisome upon replication stress (33). In addition, uH2B also plays important roles in meiosis and in centromere and telomere maintenance (34–38). Finally, uH2B has been implicated in DSB repair in S. pombe and mammals (39–43). In yeast, the absence of its E3 ligase Bre1 results in increased susceptibility to ionizing radiation (IR) (44–46). The molecular mechanism by which uH2B regulates DSB repair has not been fully understood.

In this study, we provide evidence that the Bre1-dependent uH2B plays a key role in stimulating histone eviction from ssDNA at DSB ends in a fashion independent of resection or the ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers. This histone loss facilitates the subsequent loading of recombination proteins and repair by HR.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Yeast strains and plasmids**

Strains used in this study are derivatives of JKM139 (ho MATα hml::ADE1 hmr::ADE1 ade1-100 leu2-3,112 trpl::hisG’ lys5 ura3-52 ade3::GAL::HO) or tGI354 (MATα inc arg5,6::MATα-HPH ade3::GAL::HO hmr::ADE1 hml::ADE1 ura3-52). All yeast strains are listed in Supplemental Table 1. Yeast mutant strains were generated with standard genetic manipulation. The sequences of all oligonucleotide primers used are available upon request.

**Fluorescence microscopy**

Live cells with or without phleomycin treatment were examined using an Olympus BX53 fluorescence microscope with a 100× oil immersion objective lens and an YFP filter. Fluorescent images were captured using an Olympus DP80 digital camera. Images were processed using Olympus CellSens software. The percentage of cells carrying Rad52-YFP foci was calculated after analyzing three independent experiments. Approximately 200 cells were counted for each experiment.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)**

Exponentially growing cells (1.2 × 10⁷ cells/ml) in YEP-Raffinose medium were subject to DSB induction by addition of 2% galactose. Samples were collected at indicated time points. ChIP assays were carried out as previously described (11). DNA shearing was performed on a Diagenode Bioruptor. Antibodies used were anti-Myc (MBL), anti-FLAG (Cell Signaling Technology) and anti-HA (MBL). Purified DNA were analyzed by real-time quantitative PCR with primers that specifically anneal to DNA sequences located at indicated distances from the DSB using the following conditions: 95°C for 10 min; 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 30 s. Histone loss was measured by ChIP-qPCR using the strains carrying FLAG-tagged H3 according to previously described method (11).

**Western Blotting**

Whole cell extracts were prepared using a trichloroacetic acid (TCA) method as previously described (11). Samples were resolved on an 8% or 12% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Immobilon-P; Millipore) using a semi-dry method. Anti-Myc and anti-HA antibody antibodies were purchased from MBL. Anti-FLAG antibody for Western blot was obtained from Sigma (F1804). Anti-mouse and rabbit IgG HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Blots were developed using the Western Blotting substrate (Bio-Rad).

**Analysis of resection kinetics at DSB ends**

Resection of DSB ends was analyzed at an HO endonuclease-induced DSB at the MAT locus on chromosome III using Southern blots as previously described (7,11). Galactose induction, sample collection, DNA isolation and purification were carried out as described by Chen et al. (11). Purified DNA was digested with EcoRI and separated on a 0.8% agarose gel followed by transferring onto a nylon membrane. Radiolabeling of DNA probes was performed following manufacturer’s instruction (Takara). Southern blotting and hybridization with radiolabeled DNA probes was performed as described previously (7,11). The blot was exposed in a Phosphor screen. Signal on the screen was captured by scanning in an OptiQuant Cyclone Plus machine (Perkin Elmer). Intensities of target bands were analyzed with OptiQuant software (Perkin Elmer) and normalized to the TRA1 probe. Resection rate was calculated as previously described (7). Three independent experiments were performed for each strain.
Ectopic recombination and Single-strand annealing (SSA)
To test the viability for ectopic recombination or SSA, cells were grown in pre-induction medium (YEP-Raffinose) overnight to early log phase. Cells were then diluted to a concentration of ~1 × 10^5 cells/ml. Approximately 200 cells were plated on each YEPD or YEP-Gal plate. Plates were incubated at 30°C for 3–5 days. Viability (%) = (the number of colonies grown on YEP-Gal)/(the number of colonies grown on YEPD) × 100%. At least three independent experiments were performed for each strain. Southern blot was performed as previously described (47). To measure the repair kinetics for ectopic recombination, we quantified and normalized the pixel intensity of target bands to that of corresponding parental bands on blots. The resulting values were further normalized to that of the control sample (uncut).

Drug sensitivity test
Yeast cells were grown in YEPD rich medium overnight to saturation. Undiluted cell culture and 1/10 serial dilutions of each cell culture were spotted onto YPD plates containing different DNA-damaging agents at indicated concentrations. Plates were incubated at 30°C for 3 days before analysis.

Gene targeting assay
Gene targeting was performed as described previously with modifications (11). A circular plasmid pRS316 and a linear DNA cassette containing a URA3 gene in the center and a 1.5 kb fragment at each side that corresponds to the flanking sequences of the endogenous ISU1 gene was separately transformed into equal amount of cells and were plated on SD-Ura medium. HR allows integration of the cassette into genome thereby enabling cells to grow on medium without uracil. Plates were incubated at 30°C for three to five days and colonies were counted. A relative targeting efficiency was calculated by dividing the number of colonies derived from transformation with linear cassette by the number of colonies derived from transformation with circular plasmid. At least four independent experiments were performed for each strain.

Chromatin fraction assay
Log phase cells were treated with 20 μg/ml of phleomycin for 2 hrs. Collected cells were washed with sterile H_2O and then resuspended in 900 μl of cold sorbitol solution (1 M sorbitol, 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5). Cells were subject to lyticase (Sigma) digestion for 1 h at 37°C followed by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 2 min at 4°C. The pellet was washed with sorbitol solution and resuspended in 400 μl of lysis buffer (0.5 mM spermidine, 1 mM β-mecaptoethanol, 0.1% NP40, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 5 mM MgCl_2, 5 mM CaCl_2) supplied with protease inhibitors. Samples were incubated at 4°C with rotation for 1h. Half of each sample was stored as total extract while the other half of each sample was centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 2 min at 4°C. The supernatant was stored as the soluble fraction. The pellet was washed with lysis buffer and resuspended in 200 μl of lysis buffer as the chromatin fraction. 2× SDS protein loading buffer was added to each fraction and the mixture was boiled at 100°C for 5 min. Protein distribution in each fraction was detected by western blot using the antibodies against FLAG (Sigma), GAPDH (GeneTex) or H3 (Abclonal).

Isolation of total RNA and RNA-seq
The WT cells (JKM139) and the isogenic bre1Δ mutant cells grown in YEP-Raffinose medium to log phase were collected for RNA extraction. Isolation of total RNA was performed following the method described previously (11). Construction of the sequencing library and RNA-seq were carried out at Shanghai Ouyi Biotech Company. Two independent experiments were carried out for each strain and the results were combined. The original RNA-seq data was deposited at GenBank under the SRA accession no. SRP131704.

RESULTS
The ubiquitin E3 ligase Bre1 promotes DNA damage response
Previous genetic studies suggested that the E3 ubiquitin ligase Bre1 is required for proper resistance to IR in yeast (45). In line with previous studies, we observed that Bre1 deficient cells are susceptible to a variety of DNA damaging-agents, including camptothecin (CPT), methyl methanesulfonate (MMS), phleomycin and hydroxyurea (HU) (Figure 1A). The sensitivity was fully rescued by ectopic expression of a BRE1 gene. Furthermore, bre1Δ mutant displayed a reduced survival rate upon acute MMS (0.1%) treatment as compared to the wild type (WT) cells (Figure 1B). Therefore, Bre1 is needed to respond to both acute and chronic genotoxic stresses. Notably, Bre1 is also important to prevent or repair spontaneous DNA damage, since bre1Δ mutant cells accumulated ten time more spontaneous Rad52-YFP foci than the WT cells (~4%) (Figure 1C and D). This difference was not caused by cell cycle shift, since deletion of BRE1 did not alter the cell-cycle distribution (Supplementary Figure S1). Thus, the ubiquitin E3 ligase Bre1 plays an important role in response to both induced and spontaneous DNA damages.

Bre1 plays an important role in DSB repair by homologous recombination
Rad52 protein is crucial for repair by HR. To directly assess whether Bre1 plays a role in HR, we employed an ectopic recombination system in which a DSB generated by the HO endonuclease within the MATα sequence on chromosome V is repaired by HR with the MATα-inc sequence on chromosome III as a donor (Figure 2A) (48). Over 80% of WT cells have successfully completed the repair and survived, while only ~4% of bre1Δ mutant cells survived (Figure 2B). Consistently, the mutant repaired the break with a slower kinetics than the WT cells (Figure 2C and D). However, the ratio of crossover recombination appeared to remain unaffected in this mutant (Supplementary Figure S2). The repair defect in bre1Δ mutant was unrelated to DSB
Bre1 stimulates proper DNA damage response and repair. (A) DNA damage sensitivity test for indicated strains. 10-fold serial dilutions of indicated cultures on plates with various DNA damaging-agents at indicated concentrations. Plates were incubated at 30°C for 3–4 days. (B) Survival curve for the WT and bre1Δ mutant cells following acute MMS treatment (0.1%). (C, D) Microscopy analysis and quantification of spontaneous Rad52-YFP focus formation in the WT and bre1Δ cells. A representative image is presented. Error bar represents standard deviation from at least three independent experiments. ** denotes statistical significance $P < 0.01$ (t-test).

repair by NHEJ, since depletion of the core NHEJ protein Yku70 did not affect the repair rate in both WT and bre1Δ cells (Supplementary Figure S3). To verify the role of Bre1 in HR, we employed a gene targeting assay to measure HR efficiency (Figure 2E). A similar defect was observed for bre1Δ mutant (Figure 2F). Together, these results demonstrate that Bre1 is important for DSB repair by HR.

Bre1 prevents hyper-resection of DSB ends

A crucial step during HR is the processing of the 5′-ends of DSBs by resection enzymes to generate ssDNA. We asked whether end processing was affected in bre1Δ mutant. To this end, we employed a haploid yeast strain in which the HO endonuclease generates a single non-repairable DSB at the MAT locus on chromosome III upon galactose induction. Repair by HR is prevented because the donor sequences HML and HMR were deleted (7,11). We monitored the resection kinetics for the WT and bre1Δ mutant cells by Southern blot. In the WT cells, resection proceeded at a rate of ~3 kb/hr, close to that observed previously (7) (Figure 3A and B). The bre1Δ mutant exhibited a normal resection speed at sites proximal to the break. In contrast, the long-range resection became much faster in this mutant as measured at 10 or 28 kb from the break (Figure 3A and B). To verify this result, we compared their efficiencies for DSB repair by single-strand annealing (SSA) (49). In this assay, resection up to 25-kb distance is essential to allow the annealing between two partial leu2 repeats (Figure 3C). Rad51, which is required for typical HR but is dispensable for SSA, was deleted to prevent alternative repair pathways. Approximately 60% of WT cells survived, while about 90% of bre1Δ cells successfully completed the repair (Figure 3D). Accordingly, the mutant cells repaired the break with a faster kinetics (Figure 3E). Together, these results demonstrate that Bre1 plays an important role in preventing hyper-resection. Since DSB repair by SSA operates efficiently, it is likely that the HR defect of bre1Δ mutant is limited to Rad51-dependent events.

In WT cells, long-range resection depends on two parallel pathways mediated by Sgs1/Dna2 and Exo1. We found that the hyper-resection in bre1Δ mutant was also carried out by these two pathways but not by any other unknown enzymes since simultaneous deletion of SGS1 and EXO1 in bre1Δ cells nearly abolished the resection at MAT locus, and the defect was comparable to that seen in sgs1Δ exo1Δ double mutant (Supplementary Figure S4). In either exo1Δ or sgs1Δ single mutant, additional deletion of BRE1 greatly accelerated long-range resection (Figure 3F, G and Supplementary Figure S4), indicating that Bre1 restrains hyper-resection by both pathways. Accordingly, we found that both Dna2 and Exo1 were recruited to sites as far as 20 kb from the break in bre1Δ mutant at 4 h whereas they were recruited only to sites relatively proximal to the break (within 10 kb) in the WT cells (Figure 3H-I), suggesting that the enzymes spread along chromatin with a faster kinetics in the mutant. The levels for these proteins are comparable between the WT and bre1Δ cells (Supplementary Figure S5). Moreover, we noted that the double mutant bre1Δ sgs1Δ
Figure 2. Bre1 promotes DSB repair by HR. (A) Scheme showing an ectopic recombination system. CO: crossover; NCO: non-crossover. (B) Survival rate for the WT and bre1Δ mutant cells repaired by ectopic recombination. (C, D) Southern blot analysis and quantification of repair kinetics for WT and bre1Δ cells. (E) Scheme showing the gene targeting assay. Homology between the flanking sequences at both sides of the URA3 gene on the cassette and ISU1 gene on chromosome XVI allows the integration of URA3 gene into the genome by HR. (F) Plot showing relative gene targeting efficiency for WT and bre1Δ strains. Error bar represents standard deviation from at least three independent experiments. ** denotes statistical significance $P < 0.01$ (t-test).

but not bre1Δ exo1Δ were significantly more sensitive to DNA damaging agents than the respective single mutants (Supplementary Figure S6). Thus, Bre1 and Sgs1 may act additively in response to DNA damages.

Bre1 is directly recruited to DSBs

Previous global gene expression analyses revealed that deletion of BRE1 does not alter the expression of genes involved in DNA repair (46). This is confirmed by our RNA-seq analysis (Supplemental Table 2). To examine whether Bre1 plays a direct role in DSB repair, we tested Bre1-3xFLAG recruitment at DSBs by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). Bre1-3xFLAG was robustly enriched at sites proximal to the ends or at 5 or 10 kb away at 4 h, but not at the control locus ARO1 which is not linked to DSB (Figure 4A). Bre1 recruitment was only partially decreased in meclΔ tellΔ smllΔ, h2a-S129A or sgs1Δ exo1Δ mutant cells that are deficient either in checkpoint response or resection. Thus, Bre1 recruitment is partially dependent on checkpoint and resection (Figure 4B). Bre1 is a known E3 ligase involved in H2B ubiquitination (19). To test whether Bre1 was active at DSBs, we measured the levels of uH2B at DSB ends by ChIP. As expected, we observed a significant enrichment of uH2B at 5 and 10 kb locations at 4 h in the WT cells but not in bre1Δ mutant (Figure 4C). Thus, Bre1 is directly recruited to DSBs to stimulate local uH2B enrichment.

Bre1 acts through H2B ubiquitination to regulate DSB repair

To test whether the role of Bre1 in DSB repair is achieved through affecting H2B ubiquitination, we assessed DSB repair by ectopic recombination for the WT cells and the
Figure 3. Bre1 suppresses hyper-resection of DSB ends. (A, B) Southern blot analysis and quantification of resection kinetics for WT and bre1Δ cells. (C) A diagram showing the single-strand annealing (SSA) assay between two partial leu2 gene repeats separated by 25 kb. Rad51 was deleted to prevent repair by alternative HR pathways. (D) Survival rate for DSB repair by SSA. (E) Southern blot showing SSA repair kinetics in WT and bre1Δ mutant. (F, G) Quantification of resection kinetics for indicated strains. The corresponding Southern blot is presented in Supplementary Figure S4. (H, I) ChIP-qPCR analysis for the recruitment of Dna2-9xmyc and Exo1-9xmyc at 4 h following DSB induction in WT and bre1Δ mutant at indicated locations. Error bar denotes standard deviation from three independent experiments. ** P < 0.01 (t-test).
Figure 4. Bre1-dependent uH2B regulates resection and repair by HR. (A, B) ChIP-qPCR analysis of Bre1-3xFLAG recruitment at indicated locations 4 h after DSB induction in indicated strains. ARO1 serves as a control locus where there is no DSBs. (C) ChIP-qPCR showing the enrichment of uH2B at different locations 4 h after DSB induction in WT and bre1Δ mutant cells. (D) Plot showing ectopic recombination rate for indicated strains. (E) Quantification of resection kinetics for indicated strains. (F) Plot showing ectopic recombination efficiency for WT cells and indicated bre1 mutants. (G–J). Quantification of resection kinetics for indicated strains. The Southern blot for resection is presented in Supplementary Figure S4. (K) Plot showing ectopic recombination rate for indicated strains. Error bar means standard deviation from at least three independent experiments. Asterisks denote statistical significances. *P < 0.05 **P < 0.01 (t-test). The recombination assay employed in this figure is as illustrated in Figure 2A.
The E3 ligase activity is important for Bre1’s function in DSB repair

Bre1 possesses a RING domain (RD) and a Rad6-binding domain (RBD), both contributing to its ubiquitin E3 ligase activity (21,50). To evaluate the importance of its ligase activity in DNA damage response, we generated bre1 mutants lacking either of the two domains. We found that both mutants were susceptible to CPT and MMS, to an extent as seen in bre1RD mutant (Supplementary Figure S9). Furthermore, we measured the repair by ectopic recombination for these mutants. Compared to the WT cells, only 52% of bre1RD mutant cells completed the repair (Figure 4F), underscoring the importance of the RING domain in HR; while bre1-RBDΔ cells exhibited a slightly higher viability (60%) than bre1Δ mutant (Figure 4F), which may result from its residual ligase activity (50). Next, we analyzed their resection rates and found that both mutants exhibited a faster resection than the WT cells (Figure 4G and Supplementary Figure S4). Together, these results indicate that the E3 ligase activity is critical for Bre1 to respond to DSBs.

Bre1-mediated uH2B regulates resection through permitting H3K79 methylation

Bre1-dependent uH2B is essential for di- and tri-methylation of histone H3 on K4 and K79 (23–25,51,52). To examine whether the role of uH2B in DSB repair was relevant to H3K4 or H3K79 methylation, we analyzed resection for H3K4R and H3K79R point mutants that are deficient in methylation on these sites. H3K4R mutation exhibited a normal resection rate as seen in WT cells. In contrast, H3K79R mutant displayed a much faster resection, as seen in K123R or bre1Δ mutant cells (Figures 3A, B and 4H, Supplementary Figure S4). Deletion of DOT1, the methyltransferase for H3K79 methylation, impaired the chromatin recruitment of Rad9, a known resection barrier, leading to hyper resection (11,53). Both dot1Δ and bre1Δ single mutants and bre1Δ dot1Δ double mutant exhibited a comparable resection kinetics (Figure 4I and Supplementary Figure S4), indicating that Bre1-mediated uH2B regulates resection by controlling the methylation of H3K79 but not H3K4. Furthermore, we noted that additional H3K79R mutation in rad9Δ cells did not further accelerate the resection (Figure 4J and Supplementary Figure S4), suggesting that the effect of loss of H3K79 methylation on resection is through affecting Rad9.

The HR repair function of uH2B is independent of H3K4 or H3K79 methylation or ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers

Next, we examined whether the repair function of Bre1 is related to H3K4 or H3K79 methylation. We found that both H3K4R and H3K79R mutants exhibited a normal repair rate and kinetics in ectopic recombination, as observed in the WT cells (Figure 4K and Supplementary Figure S7). Consistently, HK123R mutant but not H3K4R or H3K79R mutant displayed significant sensitivities to CPT, phleomycin and MMS (Supplementary Figure S10). Although uH2B acts through Dot1-mediated H3K79 methylation to suppress resection, we noted that dot1Δ single mutant was not sensitive to these drugs, and bre1Δ single mutant and bre1Δ dot1Δ double mutant exhibited a similar resistance pattern in response to these drugs (Supplementary Figure S10). These results indicate that the repair function of Bre1 and uH2B in HR is independent of H3K4 or Dot1-mediated H3K79 methylation.

Several ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complexes including INO80, RSC, Fun30 and SWR have been reported to stimulate resection of DSB ends (11,12,14,17,54). However, unlike bre1Δ mutant, deletion of each of these remodelers did not impair the eventual repair efficiency by ectopic recombination (Supplementary Figure S11), suggesting that the repair function of uH2B in HR is independent of these chromatin remodelers. Indeed, we found that the recruitment of these remodelers remains unaffected in bre1Δ mutant (Supplementary Figure S11).

Bre1-dependent uH2B promotes the recruitment of RPA and Rad51

Next, we tested whether the key recombination proteins RPA and Rad51 were properly recruited in the absence of uH2B. As expected, both RPA and Rad51 were recruited robustly to DSB in the WT cells at 4hr following DSB induction, with a distribution ranging from 1 to 10 kb from the break (Figure 5A–D). However, the recruitment for both proteins was significantly decreased in either bre1Δ or K123R mutant (Figure 5A–D). These defects were not caused by any alterations in protein abundance since RPA and Rad51 were expressed at comparable levels between the WT and bre1Δ mutant cells (Supplementary Figure S5). Also, we excluded the possibility that the defect was re-
Bre1-dependent uH2B promotes RPA and Rad51 recruitment at DSBs. (A, B) ChIP-qPCR analysis of RPA-3xFLAG enrichment at 1, 5 or 10 kb locations in WT, bre1Δ and K123R cells 4 h after DSB induction. (C, D) ChIP-qPCR analysis of Rad51-3xFLAG enrichment at indicated locations in WT, bre1Δ and K123R cells 4 h after DSB induction. Error bar means standard deviation from three independent experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 (t-test). (E) Chromatin fraction and Western blot analysis of Rad51 association with chromatin in indicated strains after phleomycin treatment. W: whole cell extracts; S: soluble fraction; C: insoluble chromatin fraction. The asterisk marks full-length Rad51.

uH2B facilitates histone loss at DSB ends

Given that ssDNA formation was proficient while RPA and Rad51 enrichment was defective in bre1Δ or K123R mutant, we reasoned that uH2B may control unidentified steps that are required for proper RPA and Rad51 loading. We first explored whether uH2B may directly recruit Rad51 by testing in vitro Rad51 binding with uH2B or nucleosome octamers assembled with uH2B. However, we failed to observe any noticeable interaction between them (data not shown), suggesting that Rad51 does not directly interact with uH2B.

Previous studies revealed that histones interfere with the association of HR protein with ssDNA and defective histone eviction at DSBs has been linked to delayed Rad51 recruitment (13,55). Since uH2B is known to be able to disrupt compacted chromatin and affect nucleosome dynamics on chromatin (18,31,33), we thus hypothesized that decreased loading of RPA and Rad51 in bre1Δ mutant may be relevant to an altered histone dynamics at DSB ends. To test this, we evaluated histone occupancy in the WT and bre1Δ mutant cells by ChIP-qPCR as described (11). As previously noted, histone H3 loss occurred following DSB end resection in the WT cells at both 1 kb and 5 kb locations (Figure 6A–D). In contrast, H3 loss was significantly delayed in bre1Δ cells at both locations although resection was faster in this mutant (Figure 6A–D). This delay was not due to the fast resection, since H3 loss was much faster in dot1Δ cells which phenocopies bre1Δ mutant in resection (Figure 6A–D). Similarly, H3 loss was also significantly delayed in K123R mutant (Supplementary Figure S13). These results indicate that resection itself is not sufficient to drive histone eviction, while the Bre1-dependent uH2B plays an important role in stimulating histone disassembly or loss from ssDNA following resection. In support of this result, it has been reported that histones can interact efficiently with ssDNA and form nucleosome-like structure (56–58). Thus, the defects of bre1Δ mutant in RPA and Rad51 loading and in repair by HR are likely resulted from the retention of histones on ssDNA.

CAF-1 (chromatin assembly factor 1) is a key H3–H4 chaperone involved in histone deposition in DNA replication or repair (59). Deletion of CAC1, which encodes a subunit of CAF-1 complex, resulted in mild defects in DSB re-
Figure 6. Bre1 stimulates histone loss following resection. (A, C) Quantification of resection kinetics at 1 kb or 5 kb location for indicated strains. The corresponding Southern blot is presented in Figure 3A and S4. (B, D) ChIP-qPCR analysis of histone H3 occupancy at 1 or 5 kb location for WT cells and bre1Δ or dot1Δ mutants. Plotted values are mean value from three independent experiments. Error bar represents standard deviation.

pair by ectopic recombination and reduced DNA damage resistance (Supplementary Figure S14). We found that deletion of CAC1 significantly rescued the defect of bre1Δ cells in HR repair (Supplementary Figure S14). Interestingly, the double mutant cac1Δ bre1Δ showed enhanced resistance to DNA damages compared to cac1Δ single mutant (Supplementary Figure S14). The genetic interaction between Bre1 and CAF-1 further supports a role of Bre1 in promoting histone loss on DNA breaks.

Overexpression of RAD51 accelerated histone eviction and improved HR repair in bre1Δ cells

Given that histones and HR proteins compete for binding to ssDNA and defective histone loss can interfere with Rad51 recruitment (13,55), we wondered whether overexpression of Rad51 can accelerate histone eviction in bre1Δ mutant and improve HR. Therefore, we transformed a high-copy plasmid carrying RAD51 gene into bre1Δ mutant and examined the efficiency of ectopic recombination. Overexpression of Rad51 partially restored DSB repair efficiency in bre1Δ mutant cells (Figure 7A). Next, we tested whether excessive Rad51 could impact the histone loss in bre1Δ mutant cells. Indeed, excess amount of Rad51 significantly accelerated histone eviction at 1 and 5 kb location in the mutant cells (Figure 7B, C). Furthermore, overexpression of Rad51 augmented the resistance of bre1Δ cells to CPT (Figure 7D). These results indicate that histone retention on chromatin impedes Rad51 loading and repair by HR, while uH2B plays an important role in stimulating histone loss thereby facilitating HR.

DISCUSSION

Histone H2B ubiquitination is an evolutionarily conserved post-translational modification in eukaryotes. It plays critical roles in transcription, DNA replication and repair, centromere and telomere maintenance and in meiosis. In this study, we provide evidence that the E3 ubiquitin ligase Bre1 directly acts at DSBs to stimulate local H2B ubiquitination, by which Bre1 promotes DSB repair by HR through stimulating histone eviction and subsequently the loading of recombination proteins at DSB ends.

Bre1-dependent uH2B at least executes two layers of independent regulations. First, it prevents hyper-resection through permitting H3K79 methylation and Rad9 recruitment, which is known to suppress long-range resection carried out by Sgs1/Dna2 and Exo1 (Figure 8A). Second, uH2B stimulates histone eviction at DSB ends, which facilitates Rad51 recruitment and repair by HR (Figure 8B). Although resection became faster, histone eviction was severely delayed in bre1Δ mutant. This was surprising because the dot1Δ mutant, which has a faster resection as seen in bre1Δ cells, displayed a faster kinetics in histone eviction than the WT cells. Thus, resection alone is not sufficient to drive histone disassembly, while uH2B appears to be indispensable for this process. Our data suggest that retention of histones on ssDNA impedes the loading of RPA and Rad51, slows down and impairs the repair by gene con-
Figure 7. Overexpression of RAD51 stimulates histone loss at DSBs and improves HR efficiency in bre1 Δ mutant. (A) Plot showing ectopic recombination rate for indicated strains. * statistical significance (P < 0.05). (B, C) ChIP-qPCR analysis of histone H3 occupancy at 1 and 5 kb locations for bre1 Δ mutant carrying an empty vector or the pRS426-Rad51 plasmid. (D) Spotting assay for 10-fold serial dilutions of indicated strains on YPD plate with or without CPT.

Figure 8. A working model showing the function of Bre1-dependent uH2B in HR. (A) uH2B permits H3K79 methylation and the subsequent recruitment of Rad9, a known negative regulator that suppresses long-range resection by Sgs1/Dna2 and Exo1 pathways. Thus, uH2B acts to prevent hyper-resection of DSB ends. (B) After resection, histones at DSB ends are partially evicted, which facilitates Rad51 loading and repair by HR. uH2B is required to stimulate histone eviction at DSB ends. In bre1 Δ mutant, the retention of histones on ssDNA impedes Rad51 binding, leading to defective HR.
version. It is likely that loss of histones from ssDNA is necessary to provide space for the robust binding of RPA complex and Rad51 that spread over kilobases on ssDNA (11,12). In support of this notion, it has been reported that histones compete with HR proteins for binding damaged DNA (55). Consistently, we observed that overexpression of RAD51 stimulates the histone eviction at DSBs in bre1Δ mutant and improves its HR efficiency and resistance to CPT (Figure 7A-D). Importantly, depletion of Cac1, a subunit of the CAF-1 histone chaperone that is involved in H3–H4 deposition during DNA replication or repair, significantly rescued the defect of bre1Δ mutant in HR repair (Supplementary Figure S14). We noted that DSB repair by SSA was not decreased in bre1Δ mutant, suggesting that uH2B is dispensable for the repair that does not need long Rad51 nucleofilaments. Thus, uH2B facilitates HR repair at least in part by regulating histone dynamics at DSBs.

Previous studies have shown that histones at DSB ends are partially evicted (11–13,16,17,60). In this study, we showed that the duplex DNA within 5 kb range at both sides of the DSB was completely or mostly resected after 4hr post break induction. However, we still detected considerable H3 occupancy within this region, suggesting that histones bind to ssDNA. Indeed, it has been shown that histone octamers can bind ssDNA efficiently and form nucleosome-like structures (56–58). It appears that the repair function of uH2B in HR is unrelated to H3K4 or H3K79 methylation and is independent of the ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complexes Ino80, RSC, Fun30 and SWR (Supplementary Figure S11). These chromatin remodelers were known to regulate histone dynamics prior to resection (11–14,17). However, even in their presence, the lack of uH2B still led to delayed histone eviction, underscoring the indispensable role of uH2B in stimulating histone eviction and HR repair.

In human cells, it has been reported that uH2B-dependent H3K4 methylation at DNA breaks facilitates the recruitment of SNF2h, a subunit of ISW1 chromatin remodeling complex, which alters the local chromatin structure to allow the access of HR proteins and eventual repair by HR (42). Depletion of RNF20 or ablation of uH2B impairs resection and HR (41,42). In contrast, yeast cells lacking Bre1-uH2B exhibit a hyper resection phenotype. This difference could be due to that the recruitment of 53BP1 (the human homolog of yeast Rad9), which is known to inhibit resection, is dependent on H4K20 methylation and H2AK15 ubiquitination (61,62), two modifications independent of uH2B. While in yeast, the recruitment of Rad9 requires H3K79 methylation that is dependent on uH2B. Despite this difference, uH2B is important for the recruitment of repair proteins and repair by HR in both species, underscoring a conservative function of uH2B. However, it remains to be determined whether uH2B also regulates histone dynamics at DSBs in mammals.

There are several possibilities to explain how uH2B may act to regulate histone dynamics after resection. First, uH2B itself is able to disrupt chromatin compaction, creating an accessible chromatin context (18). This relaxed chromatin environment may facilitate histone eviction from ssDNA following resection. Second, uH2B may cooperate with the histone chaperone FACT as it does in transcription to displace H2A-H2B dimer thereby destabilizing nucleosomes given that FACT has also been linked to DNA repair (26). Finally, although uH2B acts independently of the ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers, we cannot rule out the possibility that it may facilitate the recruitment of other proteins that aid to regulate histone dynamics. Further studies are required to clarify these possibilities. Deregulation of uH2B machinery has been linked to multiple cancers (63,64). The E3 ligase RNF20 functions as a tumor suppressor and reduction in RNF20 levels causes defective HR and genome instability (63–65). Our findings provide novel insights into the regulation of HR by uH2B at additional layers, and aid to understand how the high levels of histones may down-regulate HR to preserve human genome integrity.
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