Background

Chlamyphila abortus (formerly Chlamydia psittaci serotype 1) is the most common infectious bacteria causing abortion in small ruminants in Switzerland with a previous study demonstrating that 39% of the examined abortions in sheep and 23% in goats were caused by this agent [1]. In the Swiss canton of Graubünden, a mountainous region in the countries’ east, the economic losses associ-
Vaccination with the attenuated live vaccine (Ovax Clamidia) resulted in a detectable antibody response. In contrast, inactivated vaccine (Ovax Clamidia) only one sheep following natural infection [14]. After vaccination with the immunosorbent assay (cELISA) tests similar to those following natural infection [14]. After vaccination with the inactivated vaccine (Ovax Clamidia) only one sheep developed a detectable antibody response. In contrast, vaccination with the attenuated live vaccine (Ovilis®Enzovax, Intervet, The Netherlands), which is marketed to induce strong long-lasting protection, has been made commercially available in Switzerland. The attenuated strain 1B, which forms the basis of this vaccine, was obtained from the virulent Cp. abortus strain AB7 by nitrosoguanidine mutagenesis [11-13].

Prevention and control of OEA is achieved by vaccination and/or treatment with oxytetracyclines [6]. Two vaccines against chlamydial abortion are licensed in Switzerland by the Federal Veterinary Office (FVO) in Berne. The first of these available was an egg-grown, formalin-inactivated, whole-organism vaccine (Ovax Clamidia, Fatro, Italy) which reduces the incidence of abortion in vaccinated herds but not completely [7-10]. Since December 2002, an avirulent, temperature-sensitive, live chlamydia vaccine (Ovilis®Enzovax, Intervet, The Netherlands), which is marketed to induce strong long-lasting protection, has been made commercially available in Switzerland. The attenuated strain 1B, which forms the basis of this vaccine, was obtained from the virulent Cp. abortus strain AB7 by nitrosoguanidine mutagenesis [11-13].

In 2005, a small pilot study was undertaken to determine if administration of vaccines to protect sheep flocks from OEA would result in antibody levels in the complement-fixation test (CFT) and in the competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (cELISA) tests similar to those following natural infection [14]. After vaccination with the inactivated vaccine (Ovax Clamidia) only one sheep developed a detectable antibody response. In contrast, vaccination with the attenuated live vaccine (Ovilis®Enzovax) resulted in detectable antibody titters in all tested sheep.

The aim of this study is to investigate a larger number of sheep over a two-year period in the field to compare flock-level ELISA responses between (a) vaccinated (live vaccine), (b) naturally infected and (c) non-infected sheep flocks. It was anticipated that the follow up study of the humoral responses could possibly discriminate between vaccinated and naturally OEA-infected sheep. An additional objective of the study was to attempt to detect chlamydial and/or the attenuated strain of Cp. abortus used in the live vaccine in conjunctival swabs of sheep.

### Results

#### Serological results and abortion cases

cELISA classifications (frequency and proportion positive), median titer and respective range of positive classified sheep in flocks A, B, C, D and E over the four different investigation dates are shown in Table 1. The comparison between vaccinated and non-vaccinated animals in Flock B and E is shown in Table 2. Figure 1 shows the titer ranges (box plots) of all examined sheep in the five flocks over the four investigation dates.

All ewes (n = 15) of Flock A were serologically positive after vaccination showing a high median antibody value of 91.7%. The median antibody level of positive sheep (n = 13) decreased marginally to 86.6% in autumn 2005. In spring 2006 and autumn 2006, the seroprevalence in the flock was 73% (n = 11). The median antibody value of the positive sheep was 81.3% (spring 2006) and 82.3% (autumn 2006).

![Figure 1](http://www.biomedcentral.com/1746-6148/3/24)

**Figure 1**  
**Box plots of cELISA antibody values of all examined sheep over the four investigation dates.** Some or all animals in flocks A, B and E were vaccinated at given times (gray boxes).

#### Table 1

| Flock | Investigation Date | Seroprevalence | Median Antibody Value |
|-------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| A     | Spring 2006       | 91.7%          | 87.1%                 |
|       | Autumn 2005       | 73%            | 77%                   |
| B     | Spring 2006       | 86.6%          | 82.3%                 |
|       | Autumn 2005       | 73%            | 77%                   |

#### Table 2

| Flock | Investigation Date | Seroprevalence | Median Antibody Value |
|-------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| A     | Spring 2006       | 91.7%          | 87.1%                 |
|       | Autumn 2005       | 73%            | 77%                   |
| B     | Spring 2006       | 86.6%          | 82.3%                 |
|       | Autumn 2005       | 73%            | 77%                   |

---

**Symbol legends**

- Box plot with 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers representing ~95% of the range
- Moderate and severe outliers
- A1v Flock A, examination 1, vaccinated

---
In spring 2005, two years after the first vaccination, six out of 14 vaccinated sheep in Flock B had a positive serological result (median antibody value 62.9%), whereas two out of 12 non-vaccinated sheep in the same flock were positive. The number of positive sheep decreased to three and two in the vaccinated group (n = 14) in autumn 2005 and spring 2006, respectively. In the non-vaccinated group, one sheep tested positive in autumn 2005, but none in spring 2006. In autumn 2006, the number of positive sheep increased in the vaccinated (n = 6) and non-vaccinated (n = 2) group, although abortions were not reported. The mean antibody values in the two groups were comparable, both being slightly greater than 60%.

Flock C (naturally infected flock) served as the positive control. The seroprevalence in sheep in spring 2005 was high at 82% (n = 14). The median antibody value in the positive group was 82.9%. The seroprevalence remained continuously high (76%–88%) during the whole study period and median antibody values in positive sheep were above 70%. In autumn 2005 newborn lambs were largely negative and had a significantly lower median antibody value than older ewes (Kruskal Wallis test, p < 0.05) (data not shown). The ewe with the confirmed chlamydial abortion in spring 2005 had positive antibody levels for the remaining sampling period comparable to the other animals in the flock (50.5%–77%). The seroprevalence in goats after confirmed chlamydial abortion in all four animals in spring 2005 was 100% (n = 4) with a high median antibody value of 91.6% (data not shown). In contrast to the sheep, all goats remained serologically positive with very high antibody values (71.2%–97.5%) over the whole testing period (data not shown).

Flock D served as the negative control for this study. Despite this, 21% (n = 13) of the ewes showed positive results in spring 2005, whereas 44% (n = 28) of the ewes had negative serological results and 35% (n = 22) of animals showed questionable readings. The median antibody values of the positive animals were 69.5%. Half a year later, in autumn 2005, 21 animals continued to be

| Flock (n) | Parameter | Spring 2005 | Autumn 2005 | Spring 2006 | Autumn 2006 |
|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| A 15 sheep | No. positive Prop. Pos. (%) | 15 | 13 | 11 | 11 |
| | Median titer | 100 | 87 | 73 | 73 |
| | Titer range | 91.7 | 86.6 | 81.3 | 82.3 |
| | Median titer | 70.9 – 99.9 | 55.1 – 99.2 | 62.0 – 99.0 | 55.3 – 99.2 |
| B 26 sheep | No. positive Prop. Pos. (%) | 8 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| | Median titer | 31 | 16 | 8 | 31 |
| | Titer range | 65.0 | 62.9 | 58.7 | 63.9 |
| | Median titer | 61.3 – 72.8 | 55.1 – 68.1 | 56.4 – 61.0 | 55.3 – 77.5 |
| C 17 sheep | No. positive Prop. Pos. (%) | 14 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| | Median titer | 82 | 76 | 82 | 88 |
| | Titer range | 82.9 | 72.2 | 71.3 | 76.8 |
| | Median titer | 69.1 – 95.2 | 55.1 – 89.6 | 57.1 – 94.8 | 55.4 – 95.8 |
| D 63 sheep | No. positive Prop. Pos. (%) | 13 | 21 | 29 | 19 |
| | Median titer | 21 | 33 | 46 | 30 |
| | Titer range | 69.5 | 69.1 | 69.4 | 74.3 |
| | Median titer | 55.1 – 100 | 55.1 – 93.4 | 55.6 – 88.6 | 56.9 – 95.2 |
| E 63 sheep | No. positive Prop. Pos. (%) | 26 | 24 | 19 | 24 |
| | Median titer | 42 | 38 | 30 | 38 |
| | Titer range | 73.4 | 81.2 | 80.4 | 81.8 |
| | Median titer | 57.4 – 94.8 | 56.5 – 96.3 | 55.2 – 97.6 | 56.0 – 98.7 |

1 significant difference in % positive (Fishers Exact Test, p = 0.024)

In spring 2005, two years after the first vaccination, six out of 14 vaccinated sheep in Flock B had a positive serological result (median antibody value 62.9%), whereas two out of 12 non-vaccinated sheep in the same flock were positive. The number of positive sheep decreased to three and two in the vaccinated group (n = 14) in autumn 2005 and spring 2006, respectively. In the non-vaccinated group, one sheep tested positive in autumn 2005, but none in spring 2006. In autumn 2006, the number of positive sheep increased in the vaccinated (n = 6) and non-vaccinated (n = 2) group, although abortions were not reported. The mean antibody values in the two groups were comparable, both being slightly greater than 60%.

Table 1: Serological results A, B, C, D and E. cELISA positive (above cutoff) sheep with frequency, respective proportion (%), median titers and titer range.

Table 2: Serological results vaccinated vs. non-vaccinated (Flock B and E). Comparison of cELISA positive (above cutoff) vaccinated and naturally exposed sheep with frequency, respective proportion (%), median titers and titer range.
serologically positive. In spring 2006, the seroprevalence increased to 46%, whereas the mean antibody values of the positive animals were comparable to spring and autumn 2005 (around 69%). In autumn 2006, the number of serologically positive ewes decreased to 30% (n = 19), whereas the mean antibody value of positive sheep increased to 74.3%.

Prior to vaccination in spring 2005, only one animal in Flock E was positive in the vaccination group (antibody value 61.4%), whereas 25 sheep (50%) were positive in the non-vaccinated group (n = 50). All 13 sheep of the vaccinated group were serologically negative in autumn 2005 and therefore selected for vaccination in winter 2005. The non-vaccinated group showed seroprevalences between 38–48% from autumn 2005 to 2006 and median antibody values of positive animals were consistently between 80.4–82.2%. In comparison to vaccinated sheep in Flock A, none of the animals vaccinated in winter 2005 were serologically positive in spring 2006. In autumn 2006, one ewe had a positive antibody value of 73.2%, whereas the other 12 vaccinated sheep had negative (n = 6) or questionable values (n = 6).

**Statistical comparison of mean titers**

In flocks A (all animals vaccinated), C and D (no animals in both flocks vaccinated, Figure 1), differences in titer values between the sampling periods were always highly significant in the RM ANOVA model (p < 0.01). In Flock B, with a vaccination date between sampling periods 2 and 3, both vaccination status and an interaction term between vaccination and visit were statistically significant (p < 0.05). In Flock E, in which vaccination took place before the first sampling, both main effects were significant (time: p < 0.05; vaccination status: p < 0.01), while the interaction term was not.

**PCR results of eye swabs**

In Flock E, 118 conjunctival swabs were collected before application of the live vaccine in autumn 2005. No obvious signs of ocular surface diseases such as conjunctivitis and keratitis were observed in any animal. IGS-S PCR screening detected 22 samples that were positive for chlamydial DNA. Sequencing of these PCR products identified 18 samples that shared greater than 98% sequence similarity to *Cp. abortus* [GenBank: CR848038.1] while three were positive for *Cp. pecorum* [GenBank: CPU68434]. The identity of four samples could not be determined. None of the vaccinated sheep showed a positive IGS-S PCR result and it was concluded that no excretion of the vaccine strain had occurred.

**Discussion**

This study represents the first longterm chlamydial serological study comparing vaccinated and non-vaccinated flocks in Switzerland. The investigations were undertaken in the canton Graubünden, where numerous chlamydial abortion cases in sheep were previously reported [1] and the highest seroprevalence (43%) for *Cp. abortus* in Swiss cantons was observed [2].

The results obtained from this study confirm the previous observations of the pilot study [14] that serology (cELISA) cannot be used to distinguish between sheep vaccinated with the live attenuated vaccine and naturally-infected sheep. The antibody value range in the recently vaccinated flock A was comparable to Flock C in which acute infections of *Cp. abortus* occurred at the same time. In Flock A, very high antibody levels (around 90%) were visible in every vaccinated sheep (n = 15), whereas antibody levels of sheep in the previous pilot study were somewhat lower (around 60%) 21 days post vaccination [14]. As chlamydial abortion was reported in Flock A in the past, sheep could have been already serologically positive before vaccination and the very high antibody levels could represent an overlay of both abortion and vaccine-associated antibody values. The mean antibody value of positive animals decreased in both flocks (A and C) from spring 2005 to spring 2006. A chlamydial abortion was diagnosed in one goat from Flock C in autumn 2006 explaining the increasing seroprevalence and antibody value in this group of animals at that time. The antibody values in the goats of Flock C after an acute infection with *Cp. abortus* were higher and persisted at a very high level (80 to 90%) over the observation period compared to the situation in sheep. No correlation with protection was seen however, as a chlamydial abortion occurred in a seropositive goat which had previously aborted. This observation was also made in other goat flocks in canton Graubünden (R. Thoma, personal communication). Goats treated with the live vaccine also aborted. In general, it is known that if *Chlamydiae* are introduced in a naive flock, the losses are much higher in goats (60%) than in sheep (30%). The differences between goats and sheep are consistent with previous records and to date remain unexplained [15,16].

Antibody levels of vaccinated ewes of Flock B ranged from negative to positive two and three years after vaccination, respectively. Questionable antibody levels are either attributed to undiagnosed *Cp. pecorum* infections [17] or
are possibly due to the vaccination in spring 2003. In a similar situation to the naturally infected sheep (Flock C), a slow decrease of antibody values was observed over the sampling period. This observation strongly suggests that serology (cELISA) cannot be used to distinguish between sheep vaccinated with the live attenuated vaccine and naturally-infected sheep as anticipated in the previous pilot study [14]. As a direct consequence to this, the confirmation of negative OEA status in vaccinated animals by serology cannot be made. This is unfortunate as reliable confirmation is important if an abatement of OEA through assembly of OEA-free flocks is to be performed as undertaken by the Sheep and Goat Health Schemes in England and Wales and the Premium Health Scheme in Scotland.

Positive antibody values have been observed in the negative control flock (Flock D), which had not been vaccinated and was free from chlamydia infection. An explanation for the observations of an increasing antibody value amongst this flock is that the animals may have asymptomatic intestinal infections with 

Positive antibody values have been observed in the negative control flock (Flock D), which had not been vaccinated and was free from chlamydia infection. An explanation for the observations of an increasing antibody value amongst this flock is that the animals may have asymptomatic intestinal infections with Cs. abortus as presumed in previous studies [17,19]. An alternative scenario is that the ewes were infected with a less virulent strain of Cs. abortus, which provokes seroconversion but no abortion [17,20]. Fluctuations in the antibody levels could be the result of bacterial shedding during oestrus which provokes an induction of antibody levels without causing abortion [21,22]. Unfortunately, little is still known at this time about the ability of Cs. abortus to persist in animals (and the anatomical location of this persistent infection) compared to other chlamydia species, which require more investigations.

In Flock E, the serological reaction of 13 selected vaccinated sheep and the 50 non-vaccinated sheep in the flock was evaluated. Surprisingly and in contrast to the observations in the previous pilot study [14] and in the two vaccinated flocks A and B, six of 13 vaccinated sheep of Flock E showed no seroconversion eight months after vaccination. Only one ewe had a positive serological result (73.2%), comparable to the vaccinated sheep of Flock A and the naturally OEA-infected sheep of Flock C. The remaining six ewes had questionable antibody levels. The primary difference between animals in flocks A and E was the high variability of antibody levels in vaccinated animals. These results suggest that individual immunoreactions between sheep can vary considerably.

Sampling of conjunctival swabs from sheep in Flock E was performed to detect and compare the presence of chlamydial DNA before and after vaccination. Furthermore, a possible excretion of the vaccine through the eye could be screened with this approach. Although chlamydiae were frequently detected by PCR in conjunctival swabs of sheep, the attenuated strain of Cs. abortus used in the live vaccine was not detected in swabs collected from vaccinated sheep. The incidence of Cs. abortus and Cp. pecorum and even C. suis in clinically healthy non-vaccinated sheep was previously observed in a recent study [23]. The significance of this possible new mode of transmission for OEA needs further investigation.

Conclusion
The findings in our study strongly suggest that serology (cELISA) cannot be used to distinguish between sheep vaccinated with the live attenuated vaccine and naturally-infected sheep. The course of antibody levels, nevertheless, can vary between individual animals and flocks. Compared to sheep, goats displayed higher antibody levels, which persist over a longer time period but do not correlate with protection. The attenuated strain of Cs. abortus used in the live vaccine was not detected in eye swabs collected from vaccinated sheep.

Methods
Flock details
Five different sheep flocks in the canton Graubünden were followed over a two-year period with four flock visits. These five flocks were available for the study in spring 2005 through an established collaboration with veterinary authorities in the canton Graubünden.

Due to constant turnover in each flock (i.e. slaughtering of old or sick ewes, birth of lambs, introduction of new animals) the number of animals tested all four times was much lower than the number of individual sheep in the flock. Details on the five tested flocks (A, B, C, D and E) over the four investigation dates (spring 2005/06, autumn 2005/06) are provided in Table 3. Briefly, animals of Flock A were available for serological testing after vaccination of 15 sheep in spring 2005. History of chlamydial abortion in autumn 2004 was reported, but none of the 15 sheep in the study suffered an abortion during the examination period. Ewes (n = 14) of Flock B were vaccinated in spring 2003 with the live vaccine because of a chlamydial abortion outbreak in the vicinity of this flock. Before and after vaccination, no abortions due to Cs. abortus occurred and, as a result, the owner abandoned a vaccination booster two years later. Access to this flock was possible in spring 2005. Flock C had an average of 11 goats over the four investigation dates, of which four were available for repeated testing during the four sampling periods, but the results were not included in the overall statistical calculations of Flock C. Flock C had confirmed chlamydial abortions in autumn 2004 (unknown number of animals) and spring 2005 (one ewe and four goats). No further chlamydial abortions occurred in this flock after spring 2005. Animals suffering from abortions were tested four times during the study. Flock D represented the neg-
Table 3: Flock details

| Flock | Examination dates | Average no. sheep | Sheep tested all 4 times | Flock history | OEA status | Vaccination with live vaccine |
|-------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| A     | spring & autumn 2005/2006 | 54               | 15                       | chlamydial abortions in autumn 2004 | positive | 15 sheep (spring 2005) |
| B     | spring & autumn 2005/2006 | 48               | 26                       | chlamydial abortion outbreak nearby in 2003 | negative | 14 sheep (spring 2003), no vaccination booster |
| C     | spring & autumn 2005/2006 | 45\(^1\)          | 17\(^1\)                 | chlamydial abortions (positive control) | positive | no |
| D     | spring & autumn 2005/2006 | 105              | 63                       | no abortions (negative control) | negative | no |
| E     | spring & autumn 2005/2006 | 118              | 63                       | chlamydial abortions in the past | positive | 13 sheep (winter 2005) |

\(^1\)OEA = ovine enzootic abortion
\(^2\)Average no. goats: 11
\(^3\)Goats tested all 4 times: 4

Blood samples were collected from each flock during spring and autumn of 2005 and 2006 using Vacutainer tubes (Becton Dickinson, Heidelberg, Germany). Four hours after collection, blood samples were centrifuged at 3000 × g for 10 minutes and stored in Nunc CryoTubes (Nalge Nunc International, Roskilde, Denmark) at -20°C until further processing.

cELISA

Serum samples were tested by the competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (cELISA) using the monoclonal antibody mAb 188 directed against the variable segments 1 (VS1) and 2 (VS2) of the major outer membrane protein (MOMP) of *Cp. abortus*, according to the protocol of Salti-Montesanto et al. [17]. The results of the cELISA were expressed as ‘percentage of inhibition’ corresponding to the antibody concentration in the sample. Inhibition values above 55 per cent were considered positive for infection with *Cp. abortus* (positive cut-off) whereas inhibition values between 30 – 55 per cent were classified as questionable, attributable to either *Cp. abortus* or *Cp. pecorum*, a widely distributed chlamydial agent in small ruminants causing diseases such as arthritis/conjunctivitis and pneumonia syndrome in lambs and also subclinical intestinal infections [18,19]. Inhibition values below 30 per cent were assumed to be negative [17,24].

**PCR of eye swabs**

 Conjunctival swabs (Cytobrushes, Berdat Charles, Bourrout, Switzerland) were collected from Flock E before and after vaccination to investigate possible excretion of chlamydial and/or *Cp. abortus* vaccine strain through the eye. Before application of the vaccine, conjunctival swabs from every sheep in the flock (n = 118) were collected in autumn 2005. Five months following vaccination (spring 2006), the second conjunctival swab samples were taken from every sheep in the flock (n = 118). Cytobrushes were each placed in a 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube and stored at -80°C until further processing. DNA extraction from all swabs was performed as described previously [25] using a commercial DNA extraction kit (DNaseasy Tissue Kit®, Qiagen, Hombrechtikon, Switzerland).

The conjunctival swabs were investigated for the presence of chlamydial DNA by a *Chlamydiaceae*-order specific PCR targeting the intergenic spacer region (IGS) between chlamydial 16S and the 23S rRNA genes [26] and using primers cIGSf (5′-CAA GGT GAG GCT GAT GAC-3′) and cIGS2r (5′-TCG CCT KTC AAT GGC AAC-3′). PCR conditions are described elsewhere [26]. The identity of all positively tested IGS PCR products was determined by direct sequencing of the PCR product from both strands. Sequencing was performed with an ABI Prism 377 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems) or Applied Biosystems 3100 (Syngene Biotech). The obtained sequences were compared with the sequences available in GenBank using the BLAST server from the National Center for Biotechnology Information [27].

**Investigation of abortion cases**

Abortion cases in the flocks were further investigated for the presence of chlamydial DNA by a *Chlamydiaceae*-order specific PCR targeting the intergenic spacer region (IGS) between chlamydial 16S and the 23S rRNA genes [26] and using primers cIGSf (5′-CAA GGT GAG GCT GAT GAC-3′) and cIGS2r (5′-TCG CCT KTC AAT GGC AAC-3′). PCR conditions are described elsewhere [26]. The identity of all positively tested IGS PCR products was determined by direct sequencing of the PCR product from both strands. Sequencing was performed with an ABI Prism 377 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems) or Applied Biosystems 3100 (Syngene Biotech). The obtained sequences were compared with the sequences available in GenBank using the BLAST server from the National Center for Biotechnology Information [27].
[17,24]. For the analysis, questionable and negative results were both interpreted as negative. Whole flock response patterns over time were visualized using box plots. For those sheep that were tested all four times, the proportion of positive ewes at each time point was compared within each flock using a Fishers Exact Test with exact p-values. In addition, the mean titers of those sheep were compared using a repeated measures ANOVA with animal ID, time (within animal repetition factor), vaccination status (flocks B and E only), and the interaction between time and vaccination (again only for flocks B and E).

Data were stored and handled in MS Excel, and analysed using the statistical software packages NCSS 2004 [29] and SPSS 14 [30]. The overall level of statistical significance was set to 0.05.
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