How Different Modes of Child Delivery Influence Abdominal Muscle Activities in the Active Straight Leg Raise
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Abstract. [Purpose] The purpose of this study was to examine the activities of the abdominal muscles of women who had experienced vaginal delivery in comparison with those who had experienced Cesarean childbirth. [Subjects and Methods] A total of 14 subjects (7 vaginal delivery, 7 Cesarean section) performed an active straight leg raise to 20 cm above the ground, and we measured the activities of the internal oblique abdominal muscle, the external oblique abdominal muscle, and the rectus abdominal muscle on both sides using electromyography. The effort required to raise the leg was scored on a Likert scale. Then, the subjects conducted maximum isometric contraction for hip joint flexion with the leg raised at 20 cm, and maximum torque and abdominal muscle activities were measured using electromyography. [Results] During the active straight leg raise, abdominal muscle activities were higher in the Cesarean section subjects. The Likert scale did not show a significant difference. The activities of the abdominal muscles and the maximum torque of the hip joint flexion at maximum isometric contraction were higher in the vaginal delivery subjects. [Conclusion] The abdominal muscles of Cesarean section subjects showed greater recruitment for maintaining pelvic stability during the active straight leg raising, but were relatively weaker when powerful force was required. Therefore, we consider that more abdominal muscle training is necessary for maintaining pelvic stability of Cesarean section subjects. 
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INTRODUCTION

When conducting rehabilitation for women, characteristics specific to the female gender need to be recognized. Low back pain and pelvic pain have a particularly close relationship with pregnancy. A pregnant woman experiences weight gain, anterior movement of the body’s center of gravity, increased loosening of ligaments, decreased control and coordination of the neuromuscular system, arm and leg edema, decreases in abdominal muscle function, increased lordosis, and increased mechanical loading of joints etc1–7). These changes are often reversed through natural recovery after delivery, but the level of recovery can vary depending on the mode of delivery.

Compared to delivery by Cesarean section, the morbidity rate of mothers after vaginal delivery is less, and their recovery period is shorter. In addition, with vaginal delivery there is a decreased incidence of endometrial infection, and fewer complications due to anesthesia, or placenta accreta in future pregnancies. However, vaginal delivery can cause weakness of the pelvic floor muscles resulting in problems such as urinary incontinence6, 9).

Delivery by Cesarean section generally decreases damages to the pelvic floor muscles (affecting urinary incontinence) but it damages abdominal muscles, and can cause intestinal obstruction, chronic pelvic girdle pain, infertility, or difficulties due to repeated surgery10–13). Elisabeth et al.14) conducted a study of female subjects who experienced pelvic girdle pain during pregnancy, investigating the relation between the mode of delivery and pelvic girdle pain which continued after delivery. It was noted that subjects who had Cesarean section were more likely to report that the pain continued after delivery. Almeida15) also reported a correlation between chronic pain and Cesarean section.

Hilde et al.16) and Hsieh et al.17) conducted studies on the effect of endurance of the pelvic floor muscles on urinary incontinence related to different modes of delivery. Victoria et al.9) reported that urinary incontinence related to impairment of the pelvic floor muscles was more strongly related to vaginal delivery than to Cesarean section. Thus, the literature concerning the mode of delivery is mostly related to the function of the pelvic floor muscles and pelvic girdle pain. Cesarean section causes damage to the abdominal
muscle resulting from surgery, with the additional possibility of adhesion\textsuperscript{10}. Nevertheless, very few studies related to this issue are to be found. Therefore, the goal of the present study was to investigate the activities of the abdominal muscles during leg raises in relation to the mode of delivery.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

The purpose and methods of the study were explained to all the potential subjects of the study, and all voluntarily agreed to participate. This study was approved by the Catholic University of Pusan Institutional Review Board (CUPIRB-2013-039). Fourteen female subjects were selected who were less than 4 months post-delivery (a time when the relaxation of the pelvic girdle due to pregnancy has sufficiently recovered\textsuperscript{10}), and without pelvic girdle pain. Seven subjects had experienced vaginal delivery (age: 33.14±3.67 years [mean±SD]; height: 162.14±4.1 cm; weight: 56±8.32 kg; BMI: 21.33±3.28 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}; time since delivery: 101.57±5.7 days; number of children: 1.43±.535), and 7 subjects had experienced Cesarean section (age: 33.14±2.67 years [mean±SD]; height: 162±3.6 cm; weight: 63.57±9.8 kg; BMI: 24.23±3.65 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}; time since delivery: 94.29±9.79 days; number of children: 1.71±.502).

In this cross-sectional study, all the subjects performed an active straight leg raising (ASLR) in the supine position with straight legs and the feet 20 cm apart. The instruction to the subjects was “Try to raise your legs, one after the other, 20 cm above the couch without bending the knees”\textsuperscript{20}.

For the measurement of muscle activities, subjects performed the ASLR in a supine position with straight legs and the feet 20 cm apart for 5 seconds. The first and the last 1 second of each recording were discarded and the middle 3 seconds were converted to root mean square (RMS) values, and normalized to % MVIC of each muscle, before performing the comparative analysis.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 18 for Windows. The independent t-test was performed to analyze the significance of differences between the groups. The level for statistical significance, \( \alpha \), was chosen as 0.05.

**RESULTS**

During ASLR, the Likert scale scores showed no significant difference between modes of delivery, but the maximum torque of flexion of the hip joint was significantly higher in the vaginal delivery subjects (\( p<0.05 \)) (Table 1).

During ASLR, activities of the abdominal muscles increased in the Cesarean section subjects and those of the ipsilateral RA and the contralateral EO significantly increased (\( p<0.05 \)) (Table 2).

During RSLR, the activities of the abdominal muscles tended to increase in the subjects who had experienced vaginal delivery, but the differences were not statistically significant (\( p>0.05 \)) (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The abdominal muscles are inserted in the pubic area, and play a significant role in the dynamic stability of the pubic symphysis\textsuperscript{41}. Since Cesarean section incises these muscles, it causes changes to the biomechanical factors affecting the pelvis, and it can result in intra-abdominal adhesions, similar to other types of abdominal surgery\textsuperscript{23}. Changes in muscle tension or the control of movement patterns after Cesarean section has the possibility of affecting the course of recovery. Failure to control movement patterns is related to constant pelvic girdle pain\textsuperscript{24}. The movement control pattern can be affected by many different factors, and it can be changed depending on the load of tasks\textsuperscript{25, 26}. Therefore, in the present study, we investigated the muscle activities related to abdominal muscle change dependent on the mode of delivery during ASLR and RSLR with changing loads.

ASLR is a complex movement, and the primary agonists are the iliopsoas, rectus femoris and adductor longus. For these agonistic muscles to function properly, it is necessary to activate the abdominal muscles to fix the ilium to prevent anterior pelvic tilt\textsuperscript{27}. During ASLR, the activity of a healthy subject’s abdominal wall occurs on both sides. However, exclusion of the RA, more activity occurs on the ipsilateral side than on contralateral side\textsuperscript{24, 28}

In the comparison of maximum torque of hip joint flexion and effort during ASLR, the Likert scale scores didn’t show a significant difference between the two modes of delivery; however, maximum torque was significantly higher in the vaginal delivery subjects. For the hip flexor to exert power against resistance, abdominal muscles need to exert greater power to prevent the anterior tilting of the pelvis. In the Cesarean section subjects, we saw no difference from the vaginal delivery subjects when a low load was applied (as in ASLR), but with a high load, the vaginal delivery subjects were able to exert greater power. During ASLR, the
pelvic pain showed a high-load movement strategy in a comparatively low-load task. A study by de Groot et al.,
which was conducted on women with low back and pelvic pain related to pregnancy, also showed that the muscle
activities of subjects with pain increased more than those of healthy subjects during ASLR. Therefore, it can be
considered that Cesarean section elicits a movement strategy similar to that utilized by people with pain in the lumbo-
pelvic region. During RSLR, muscle activity was higher than during ASLR in both groups, and especially that of the
ipsilateral IO was higher than those of the other muscles. Also, the vaginal delivery subjects showed higher muscle
activity than the Cesarean section subjects. This result is in agreement with the results of the study by de Groot et al.,
which found higher activity in subjects without pregnancy-related low back pain (PLBP) than in subjects with PLBP,
when performing RSLR. This means that after Cesarean section, the abdominal muscles can’t efficiently exert power
when it is needed.

In conclusion, in the present study, we found that the abdominal muscles of women with Cesarean section are
utilized more for pelvic stability during ASLR, but can’t exert enough power when it is actually needed. Therefore,
Cesarean section subjects need more exercises to enhance their abdominal muscles after Cesarean section, since they
have less control of their abdominal muscles than vaginal delivery subjects.

### Table 1. Effort during ASLR and maximum torque during RSLR

|                          | Vaginal delivery (n=7) | Cesarean section (n=7) |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Likert scale             | 2.29±1.11              | 2±1                    |
| Hip flexion peak torque  | 5.95±5.45              | 23.43±6.65*            |

Each value represents the mean± SE. *: Statistically significant, p<0.05

### Table 2. Abdominal muscles activation during ASLR (Unit: % MVIC)

|                          | Vaginal delivery (n=7) | Cesarean section (n=7) |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Ipsilateral IO           | 22.84±5.89             | 28.68±4.69             |
| Ipsilateral EO           | 9.72±3.98              | 12.8±4.58              |
| Ipsilateral RA           | 4.76±1.78              | 7.14±1.91*             |
| Contralateral IO         | 7.96±1.98              | 10.78±3.36             |
| Contralateral EO         | 9.02±3.30              | 14.01±3.4*             |
| Contralateral RA         | 4.07±1.62              | 6.09±2.69              |

IO: internal oblique, EO: external oblique, RA: rectus abdominis
Each value represents the mean± SE. *: Statistically significant, p<0.05

### Table 3. Abdominal muscles activation during RSLR (Unit: % MVIC)

|                          | Vaginal delivery (n=7) | Cesarean section (n=7) |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Ipsilateral IO           | 43.68±6.11             | 40.26±9.71             |
| Ipsilateral EO           | 28.65±8.22             | 25.97±3.95             |
| Ipsilateral RA           | 15.37±2.81             | 13.86±2.49             |
| Contralateral IO         | 27.62±19.81            | 19.81±11.04            |
| Contralateral EO         | 32.91±13.11            | 23.85±5.44             |
| Contralateral RA         | 14.71±6.93             | 13.34±4.74             |

IO: internal oblique, EO: external oblique, RA: rectus abdominis
Each value represents the mean± SE.

Cesarean section subjects showed higher activities of the abdominal muscles than the vaginal delivery subjects.

In both groups, IO on the ipsilateral side showed the most asymmetric activity during ASLR, and in particular,
activities of the ipsilateral RA and contralateral EO of the Cesarean section subjects were significantly higher than
those of the vaginal delivery subjects. The internal oblique oblique muscle during ASLR is tonic muscle, and it can be
used in a movement strategy to minimize the change of internal abdominal pressure. In low loads such as ASLR,
the trunk muscles of one side work dominantly. In the present study, in both groups during ASLR, IO activity during
leg raises asymmetrically increased. However, the ratio of the increase was higher in the Cesarean section subjects.
The ipsilateral RA and the contralateral EO of the Cesarean section subjects during ASLR were significantly higher than
those of the vaginal delivery subjects, meaning that these muscles were utilized more to maintain trunk sta-
bility. Beales et al. explained that subjects with chronic
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