Gravity gradients represent a major obstacle in high-precision measurements by atom interferometry. Controlling their effects to the required stability and accuracy imposes very stringent requirements on the relative positioning of freely falling atomic clouds, as in the case of precise tests of Einstein’s equivalence principle. We demonstrate a new method to exactly compensate the effects introduced by gravity gradients in a Raman-pulse atom interferometer. By shifting the frequency of the Raman lasers during the central $\pi$ pulse, it is possible to cancel the initial position- and velocity-dependent phase shift produced by gravity gradients. We apply this technique to simultaneous interferometers positioned along the vertical direction and demonstrate a new method for measuring local gravity gradients that does not require precise knowledge of the relative position between the atomic clouds. Based on this method, we also propose an improved scheme to determine the Newtonian gravitational constant $G$ towards the 10 ppm relative uncertainty.

**Equation 1**

$$\phi = k_{\text{eff}} gT^2 - k_{\text{eff}} \Gamma_{zz}(z_0 + v_{z0}T)T^2,$$

where $\Gamma_{zz}$ is the GG tensor component along $z$, $k_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective wave vector of the interrogation lasers, and $T$ is the free evolution time between the central $\pi$ pulse and the $\pi/2$ pulses acting as mirror and beam splitters of the Mach-Zehnder AI. Furthermore, the atomic wave packets at the output ports of the AI experience a non-negligible displacement, which is responsible for a loss of contrast in the AI fringes. For this reason, concerns have recently been raised on AIs for more demanding applications such as tests of Einstein’s equivalence principle, gravitational waves detection, and, more generally, for metrological applications [28]. When testing the weak equivalence principle, simultaneous AIs are used to compare the free-fall acceleration of two different atomic species [29–32] or the same atom in two different quantum states [33]. Pushing the accuracy of this test to the $10^{-15}$ level in the Eötvös ratio, as proposed for future experiments on the ground [26] or in space [34], requires a control on the relative position and velocity of the two atomic samples to better than 1 nm and 0.3 nm/s, respectively. Such numbers are very challenging, even when using evaporatively cooled atomic samples close to the Bose-Einstein condensation phase transition, precisely positioned with optical lattice potentials. Also, in the atom interferometry measurement of the Newtonian constant of gravity performed in [21], the major source of systematic error arises from the limited control on the position and velocity of the atoms in the thermal cloud [35]. Similar limitations are affecting precise measurements of Earth’s GG that are of fundamental importance for geodesy, Earth observation, and applied physics [25].
In this Letter, we experimentally demonstrate a new method, recently proposed in [36], to cancel the effect of the ambient GG and remove the dependency of the AI phase on the initial conditions of the atomic sample. By introducing a variation $\Delta k_{\text{eff}}$ of the effective wave vector during the central $\pi$ pulse of the Mach-Zehnder AI, it is possible to change the AI phase by $-2\Delta k_{\text{eff}}(z_0 + v_z T)$.

\[ \Delta k_{\text{eff}} = -\left(\Gamma_{zz} T^2/2\right) k_{\text{eff}}, \]  \hfill (2)

the extra phase accumulated by the atoms exactly compensates for the position- and velocity-dependent phase shift introduced by the GG [see Eq. (1)], demonstrating the possibility of operating a Ramsey-Bordé AI in the presence of nonuniform forces. The additional momentum $\Delta k_{\text{eff}}$ transferred to the atoms equals the momentum change due to the presence of tidal forces and it allows us to reverse the atomic trajectories in a completely symmetric way. As a consequence, the atomic trajectories perfectly overlap at the output ports of the AI [see Fig. 1(a)] without any loss of contrast. We use this method to measure GGs and curvatures. Finally, we discuss its potential for an AI determination of the Newtonian gravitational constant.

A complete description of the experimental setup can be found in [12,16]. Here, we only discuss the measurement principle. Three clouds of $^{87}\text{Rb}$ atoms are magnetooptically trapped, cooled to $\sim 4 \mu \text{K}$ and launched with a fixed vertical separation of $d = 31 \text{ cm}$ inside a 1-m-long vacuum tube. In the tube, shielded from external magnetic fields, the atomic samples are prepared in the ($F = 1$, $m_F = 0$) state and selected in a narrow longitudinal velocity class corresponding to a temperature of $\sim 80 \text{ nK}$ along the vertical direction. After state preparation, the atoms are interrogated with a $\pi/2-\pi-\pi/2$ sequence of vertically-oriented Raman pulses in a classical Mach-Zehnder AI. The Raman lasers, with effective wave vector $k_{\text{eff}} = 16 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-1}$, are resonant with the 6.8-GHz two-photon transition between the two hyperfine levels of the $^{87}\text{Rb}$ ground state and have a 2-GHz red detuning with respect to the $^5{\text{S}}_{1/2}[F = 2] \rightarrow {^5}\text{P}_{3/2}[F = 3]$ transition. This detuning is changed by $\Delta \nu = c/(4\pi) \Delta k_{\text{eff}}$ at the $\pi$ pulse of the AI to provide the required $\Delta k_{\text{eff}}$ for the compensation of the GG. The AI sequence has a duration of $2T = 320 \text{ ms}$, while the entire experiment cycle takes $\sim 2 \text{ s}$. The $\pi$ pulse has a duration of $24 \mu\text{s}$. Interference fringes are obtained by measuring the normalized atomic population in one of the two hyperfine levels of the $^{87}\text{Rb}$ ground state by detecting the laser-induced fluorescence emission of the atoms. To reduce the effect of inhomogeneous magnetic fields on the AI phase, we apply the $k$-reversal protocol [37].

In our experiment, we measure the linear dependence of the gradiometer phase $\Phi$ on the frequency detuning $\Delta \nu$.

\[ \Phi(\Delta \nu) = -\left( k_{\text{eff}} \Gamma_{zz} T^2 + \frac{8\pi}{c} \Delta \nu \right) \left( d + \Delta v_z T \right), \]  \hfill (3)

where $\Delta v_{z0}$ is the differential velocity between the two atomic clouds of the gravity gradiometer at the beginning of the AI sequence and $d$ is their distance. At the frequency value $\Delta \nu$ that nulls the differential phase, the two gravimeters of the gravity gradiometer show the same AI phase, independently of their position. This measurement provides a direct demonstration of the GG compensation method proposed in [36]. A linear fit to the experimental data allows us to precisely determine the frequency detuning at zero phase and from it to evaluate the average GG over the measurement baseline.

We generate different acceleration profiles by varying the vertical position of a set of high-density source masses, 24 tungsten cylinders symmetrically arranged over two platforms surrounding the AI tube (see [38] for more details). The measurements involve three simultaneous AIs that can be used to simultaneously measure three GGs, over three different baselines [see Fig. 1(b)]: gradiometer 1-2, gradiometer 2-3, and gradiometer 1-3.

In a first series of measurements, we position the source masses in the far configuration [see Fig. 1(b)]. In this way,
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FIG. 2. Gravity gradiometer phase angle as a function of the
applied Δν for the three simultaneous gradiometers: results for
the gradiometer 2-3, 1-2, and 1-3 are in red (triangles), black
(squares), and blue (circles), respectively. The source masses are
positioned in the far configuration [see Fig. 1(b)].

Interestingly, the measurement also provides the sign of
the atoms experience a homogeneous GG on the 1-3
measurement baseline. The corresponding vertical acceleration profile is shown by the plot in the left panel,
together with the regions where the three AIs take place. Each phase measurement of Fig. 2 is the
result of a least-square elliptical fit of a set of 360 data
points. From the three zero-crossing frequency values, it is
possible to calculate the three average GGs Γ32 = (−3.32 ±
0.02) × 10⁻⁶ s⁻², Γ12 = (−3.48 ± 0.01) × 10⁻⁶ s⁻², and
Γ13 = (−3.40 ± 0.01) × 10⁻⁶ s⁻². The measured GG is
homogeneous within 2%-3% over the 60-cm baseline. As expected [see Eq. (3)], the GG measurements provided
by this method do not require precise knowledge of d, nor
do their errors change when the measurement baseline is
doubled (e.g., gradiometer 1-2 and gradiometer 1-3). This
technique can have interesting applications in GG measure-
ment campaigns involving field instruments, where
determining the measurement baseline d with the required
precision might not be straightforward.

In a second series of measurements, we position the source masses in the close configuration [see Fig. 1(b)] to produce a strong vertical variation of the GG. The vertical acceleration profile is shown by the plot in the right panel. The phase angles measured by the three gravity gradiometers as a function of the frequency detuning Δν are reported in Fig. 3, together with the corresponding linear fits. In this case, Γ32 = (0.497±0.006) × 10⁻⁶ s⁻², Γ12 =
(−4.87±0.01) × 10⁻⁶ s⁻², and Γ13 = (−2.193 ± 0.006) ×
10⁻⁶ s⁻². As expected, Γ13 = (Γ12 + Γ32)/2.

Interestingly, the measurement also provides the sign of
the GG, without any need for applying external magnetic
fields or performing additional gravity measurements. The angular coefficient of the line best fitting phase data also
provides a direct measurement of the distance between the barycenters of the two clouds [39]. In our case, we obtain
d32 = (0.3072 ± 0.0003) m and d12 = (0.3086 ± 0.0004) m,
both in agreement with the expected values measured
with the standard time-of-flight technique. Finally, we
can estimate the average curvature over the measurement
baseline, ζ = (Γ32 − Γ12)/d = (1.743 ± 0.004) × 10⁻⁵ m⁻¹ s⁻².

To evaluate the stability of our instrument, we perform long-duration GG measurements with two atomic clouds separated by a distance d of about 33 cm and with the source masses in the far configuration. The two AIs are
located in the linear region of the vertical acceleration profile, where the vertical GG is highly uniform. The GG is
measured with both the method of the ellipse fitting (as in
[12,16]) and the new technique described above that,
although it is still using the ellipse fitting for the determi-
nation of the gradiometer phase at different Δν, relies on a
measurement of the zero-crossing frequency to extract the
GG. The results from five measurement runs taken over five
successive days are shown in Fig. 4. We observe insta-
blities at the level of 10 mrad, which are introduced by the
measurement systematics. The major source of instability
in our experiment is represented by the absolute ac Stark shift produced by the inhomogeneities in the Raman lasers
intensity profile due to diffraction effects. This shift can be
compensated by using the method proposed in [40]. We
control the Coriolis phase shift by rotating the retroreflect-
ing mirror with piezoactuators [41,42]. Still, even when our

FIG. 3. As in Fig. 2, but with the source masses in the close
configuration.

FIG. 4. GG obtained with both the method of the ellipse fitting
(black circles) and the new technique based on the determination
of the zero-crossing frequency (red squares) from five measure-
ments taken over five days. Residual instabilities are due to the
systematics affecting our measurements.
compensation scheme is active, systematic shifts up to ~4 mrad can be observed for a variation of the transverse velocity of 0.1 mm/s. On the contrary, the distance between the two clouds, as measured from the slope of the linear fit to the experimental data, proves to be very stable, at the level of 300 μm, and reproducible from day to day. The simultaneous velocity selection pulses, which prepare the atomic clouds before starting the AI sequence, ensure that the velocity difference \( \Delta v_{\text{z,0}} \) is negligible. To verify that, we have measured the gradiometer phase as a function of a small asymmetry \( dT \) in the time interval between the first beam splitter pulse and the mirror pulse \( T \) and the mirror pulse and the final beam splitter pulse \( T + dT \). From the data, we estimate a value of \( \Delta v_{\text{z,0}} \) smaller than 40 μm/s, corresponding to a negligible correction in the measurement of \( d \). Therefore, we can conclude that no contribution to the measurement systematic is coming from a drift or jitter in the relative position of the atomic clouds.

Finally, we test the robustness of the GG measurement against variations of the position of the atomic clouds. In the same configuration as before, we alternate two measurement cycles in which the position of the higher atomic sample is modulated by ±1 cm around the \( d = 33 \) cm measurement baseline. Results are shown in Fig. 5. In the far configuration, we expect variations of the average GG at the level of 0.04%/cm at the position of the higher cloud, therefore negligible over a distance of 2 cm. As a consequence, GG measurements obtained with the zero-crossing frequency technique remain very stable. Relative variations are at the level of a few percent, consistent with the typical variations of the systematic shift introduced by the ac Stark effect. In contrast, measurements performed with the ellipse fitting technique show much higher relative variations. As expected, they are at the 7% level, well compatible with the relative variations \( \Delta d / d \) of the measurement baseline due to the modulation of the initial position of the higher atomic cloud.

This method can be used for an improved determination of the Newtonian gravitational constant \( G \). The proposed experimental setup is similar to the one described in [21]. However, rather than positioning the source masses with the purpose of generating stationary points of the gravitational acceleration, the cylinders can be uniformly distributed along the tube where atoms are interrogated with the AI sequence [43]. The resulting GG of \( 4.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-2} \) is homogeneous to 2.7% over a distance of 18 cm. Two atomic clouds at a distance of 10 cm can be used to probe the GG generated by the source masses in a standard Mach-Zehnder AI with an interrogation time \( T = 150 \) ms. The effect of Earth’s GG is removed by taking the difference between measurements in two different configurations, with and without the tungsten cylinders. The GG is measured with the technique described above, which is highly insensitive to positioning errors. The gradiometer phase for different frequency detunings \( \Delta \nu \) at the \( \pi \) pulse of the AI is determined with the ellipse fitting method. Every 200 s, a linear fit extracts the zero-crossing frequency and the corresponding GG. The frequency detuning \( \Delta \nu \) is also used to open the ellipses in a controlled way and improve the fit convergence, thus avoiding the magnetic field pulses used in [21]. With this method, the relative uncertainty introduced by errors in the vertical position and size of the clouds, originally evaluated to 56 ppm [21,35], is now reduced well below the 10-ppm level. At the same time, the smaller curvature of the gravitational acceleration in the horizontal plane also reduces the relative uncertainty due to the error on the horizontal position and size of the cloud from 44 ppm down to 20 ppm. Performing a measurement down to the 10-ppm level requires a control on the drift in the position of the atomic clouds at the level of 100 μm/s, which is well compatible with our setup. Alternatively, the gravity gradiometer can be operated close to the zero-crossing frequency, where compensation of the phase shift introduced by the GG can easily be ensured within 0.1%–1%. The differential effect of the residual GG between the two configurations of the source masses is now a factor of \( 10^2 \) – \( 10^3 \) less sensitive to phase instabilities and it can be measured as in [21], after introducing a magnetic field pulse to open the ellipses. In summary, the major sources of systematic error in the \( G \) measurement, represented by the size of the atomic clouds and their positioning along the symmetry axis of the source masses, can easily be reduced from 72 ppm to 20 ppm in the current setup. By enhancing the gravity gradiometer sensitivity and improving the mass distribution, an AI determination of \( G \) at the 10-ppm level or below appears feasible [43].

In conclusion, we have experimentally demonstrated the method proposed in [36] for compensating the effect of GGs in an AI, showing that a Ramsey-Bordé AI can indeed be operated to high sensitivity and accuracy in the presence of nonuniform forces. Our measurements overcome the limitations imposed by the uncertainty in the knowledge of...
initial position and velocity of the atoms contributing to the AI signal. We have used this technique for precise measurements of GGs without any need for an independent determination of the measurement baseline. Finally, we have discussed a new scheme for controlling the systematics arising from the errors on the size and the positions of the atomic clouds in a precision measurement of the Newtonian gravitational constant.$\alpha$ Our results find important applications in different areas of research: in fundamental physics, for atom-based tests of Einstein’s equivalence principle or future atom AIs for detecting gravitational waves, in metrology, for the measurement of the Newtonian gravitational constant $G$ or the fine structure constant $\alpha$, and in geodesy and Earth observation, where precision measurements of gravity, GG, and curvature are required to map the geoid or to study the mass distribution in the underground.

This work was supported by Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (MAGIA—Advanced experiment) and Ministero dell’Istruzione, dell’Università e della Ricerca (Progetto Premiale Atom Interferometry and PRIN-2015). The authors acknowledge useful discussions with Pierre Cladè and Albert Roura.

\*Also at ICPT, Trieste, Italy.
\*Also at CNR—IFAC, Sesto Fiorentino, Italy.
[40] T. Kovachy, P. Asenbaum, C. Overstreet, C. A. Donnelly, S. M. Dickerson, A. Sugarbaker, J. M. Hogan, and M. A. Kasevich, *Nature (London)* **528**, 530 (2015).

[41] J. M. Hogan, D. M. S. Johnson, and M. A. Kasevich, in *Atom Optics and Space Physics*, edited by E. Arimondo, W. Ertmer, W. P. Schleich, and E. Rasel (IOS, Amsterdam, 2009), p. 411.

[42] S. Y. Lan, P. C. Kuan, B. Estey, P. Haslinger, and H. Müller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **108**, 090402 (2012).

[43] G. Rosi, Metrologia (to be published).