Schweinfurthin induces ICD without ER stress and caspase activation
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ABSTRACT
Our previous study showed that one of the schweinfurthin compounds, 5’-methoxyschweinfurthin G (MeSG), not only enhances the anti-tumor effect of anti-PD1 antibody in the B16F10 murine melanoma model, but also provokes durable, protective anti-tumor immunity. Here we further investigated the mechanisms by which MeSG treatment induces immunogenic cell death (ICD). MeSG induced significant cell surface calreticulin (CRT) exposure in a time and concentration dependent manner as well as increased phagocytosis of tumor cells by dendritic cells in vitro. Interestingly, this CRT exposure differs from the canonical pathway in several aspects. MeSG does not cause ER stress and does not require PERK to induce CRT exposure. Caspase inhibitors partially rescue cells from MeSG-induced apoptosis, but fail to reduce CRT exposure. MeSG does not cause Erp57 exposure and the absence of Erp57 expression does not reduce CRT exposure. Finally, an intact ER to Golgi transport system is required for this phenomenon. These results lend support to the development of the schweinfurthin family as drugs to enhance clinical response to immunotherapy and highlight the need for additional research on the mechanisms of ICD induction.

Introduction
Novel targeted immuno-therapeutics to treat cancer is an exciting strategy that has now become an intense area of research. In the last decade the clinical deployment of immune checkpoint inhibitor therapies has revolutionized the field of cancer treatment. These treatments while dramatically changing the standard of care for several cancers leading to durable complete responses, only show this profound activity in a fraction of patients. This intriguing pattern of response and the potential for immune related side effects that can be life threatening have added an urgency to explore combination strategies. Numerous targeted therapies have recently been tested in combination with immune checkpoint inhibitors, and have shown promise in some cancers.

Responses to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade are dependent on characteristics of tumor cells and the host immune system. One strategy to improve the PD-1/PD-L1 blockade is to combine it with other therapies which either improve tumor cells’ immunogenicity or enhance host anti-tumor immunity. The therapies that alter tumor cells’ immunogenicity include radiotherapy, chemotherapy, oncolytic virus infection, and other molecular targeted therapy. Therapies that enhance host immunity include other immune-checkpoint blockades, agonists for immune cell activation, and cancer vaccines.

Small molecule therapies are discovered in two general ways: either they are designed to hit a specific known target, or they are discovered through a phenotypic assay designed to find compounds which have growth inhibition or other desirable anti-cancer effects. This later approach, a phenotypic development strategy, is successful at identifying first-in-class small molecule drugs bringing a high degree of innovation to cancer therapy benefiting thousands of patients. An example of a phenotypic screen which can indicate novel mechanistic insights for drug discovery is the National Cancer Institute (NCI)-60 cell assay. One such group of compounds, the schweinfurthins, were discovered at the NCI using this screen. We have an ongoing program aimed at developing a schweinfurthin analog as an anticancer therapeutic.

The schweinfurthins were discovered in an African plant (Macaranga schweinfurthii) and then evaluated by Dr. John Beutler at the NCI developmental therapeutics program. Since then, several additional compounds have been isolated from this and other species of the genus Macaranga. Some of these compounds show interesting potent (nanomolar) activity against cancers from the brain and renal panels of the 60 cell screen, while showing 1000 fold less activity against ovarian and some lung cancers. The pattern of activity is novel and is not correlated to any currently approved chemotherapy agents, and only a few other diverse compounds show a correlated pattern. Because these compounds were difficult to isolate from nature, a total synthesis effort was undertaken by us which has resulted in the synthesis of around 100 active analogs considerably expanding the structure activity understanding of the chemo type. These compounds have been used by our group and others in studies attempting to discover their cellular target.
Mechanistic insights into the schweinfurthins have demonstrated binding to oyster binding proteins, which reduce Akt signaling and lead to disruption of the Golgi architecture. Our group has shown that schweinfurthins decreased intracellular cholesterol levels via decreasing cholesterol uptake, increasing cholesterol efflux, and downregulating the mevalonate pathway, which contributes to cholesterol synthesis. This leads to synergy with cholesterol lowering drugs including statins. Additionally, in SF-295 human glioma cells the ER stress type response is engaged eventually resulting in eukaryotic initiation factor-2α (eIF2α) phosphorylation and apoptosis via caspase 9 activity.

Very recently, we discovered that in immunocompetent mice with a murine melanoma tumor model, co-treatment with schweinfurthin analogs and anti-PD-1 antibody (aPD-1) led to durable tumor immunity and cured about 33% of treated animals. In this study we tested schweinfurthin analogs TTI-4242 and 5'-methoxyschweinfurthin G (MeSG). MeSG was chosen for this study based on earlier data showing the compound was active at reducing chondrosarcoma tumor growth in a mouse model at a dose of 20 mg/kg and TTI-4242 is a more stable analog. Interestingly, treatment of B16F10 tumors in immunocompromised mice with MeSG did not show any effect, indicating that the initial tumor response was due to the immune response elicited by the MeSG treatment. In addition, rechallenge of the cured mice with this tumor cell line did not lead to tumor growth indicating the durability of the immune response. These results demonstrate that the schweinfurthins are dependent on an intact immune system to exert their anticancer effects. These agents may affect the immune system and/or the tumor cells, to induce the sustained curative result. Thus there is a great need to understand the schweinfurthin’s mechanism(s) of action. Our previous results suggested that induction of immunogenic tumor cell death (ICD) may drive the major effects of schweinfurthins on tumors.

One of the key concepts to improve immunotherapy is to boost the immunogenicity of tumors. The induction of ICD is widely recognized to confer immunogenicity to tumor cells by releasing danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). DAMPs activate the host immune system and eventually cause the destruction of cancer cells. Cell surface exposure of calreticulin (CRT) is a major determinative DAMP. Cell surface CRT behaves as an “eat me” signal to trigger cancer cell phagocytosis by dendritic cells (DCs), subsequent tumor antigen presentation and eventual tumor-specific T cell immunity. The ICD response has been studied in the context of several different chemotherapeutics including anthracyclines and hypericin photodynamic therapy as well as radiotherapy. For each of these approaches the mechanism is slightly different but the general features of cell surface CRT expression followed by ATP release are similar. The ICD caused by some chemotherapy agents and radiotherapy is dependent upon canonical CRT exposure pathway. It has been reported that the CRT exposure pathway is composed of three sequential modules: ER stress, apoptotic and translocation modules (see Figure 1). Blocking of each module by using various inhibitors or gene knockdown approaches blocks CRT exposure and reverses tumor cell immunogenicity. This general model of CRT exposure has been found to be conserved from single cell fungi to mammals and is likely somehow involved in mating and cellular connection formation.

The phosphorylation of eIF2α is considered to be the hallmark of ER stress and potentially ICD. Phosphorylated eIF2α globally downregulates gene translation with the effect of restoring cell homeostasis. Traditional ICD inducers are dependent upon protein kinase R (PKR)-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK) to cause eIF2α phosphorylation, which is required for CRT exposure. Subsequently, in the apoptotic module, caspase 8 is activated which leads to Bap31 cleavage and Bax/Bak activation. In the final translocation module, CRT is anterogradely transported from the ER lumen to the Golgi apparatus, and then to the cell surface. Because we and others have noted that ER stress and trans-Golgi disruption are the result of schweinfurthin treatment we hypothesize that schweinfurthins fall into the first class which directly affects the ER.

Because schweinfurthin compounds effectively enhanced the activity of aPD-1 therapy in the B16F10 melanoma model but only in the presence of an intact immune system, we tested whether these agents were potentially acting by inducing characteristics of ICD. In our prior work 30 nM MeSG robustly induced surface CRT expression after 24 hours of treatment. Furthermore this was followed at 36 hours by extracellular release of ATP in a dose dependent manner with maximum effects apparent at 15 nM MeSG. Interestingly, the concentration of MeSG needed to achieve anti-proliferative effects in this cell line was 1 μM which is 33 and 66 fold higher than the doses where ICD effects were observed.

Herein, we report on the function and mechanisms of MeSG in inducing ICD in vitro. We found that MeSG increases tumor cells phagocytosis by dendritic cells and rapidly induces CRT exposure. However, MeSG induces CRT exposure independent from the initial and the second steps of the canonical pathway (Figure 1). The inhibition of ER stress or PERK fails to block the surface CRT induced by MeSG. The inhibition of caspase 8 rescues cells from apoptosis induced by MeSG but doesn’t inhibit CRT exposure. This finding suggests that MeSG induces CRT exposure via a novel pathway not reliant on the induction of eIF2α phosphorylation or apoptosis activation.

Results
MeSG induces CRT exposure in murine B16F10 and human UACC903 melanoma cell lines
We previously demonstrated that schweinfurthins increase cell surface calreticulin (CRT) expression in B16F10 murine melanoma cells in a concentration-dependent manner. Maximal CRT exposure was induced by 24 hours of treatment with 100 nM MeSG in B16F10 cells. Based on the dose-response curve we determined that the EC50 (concentration for 50% of maximal effect) of CRT exposure by MeSG is 30nM. We extended this study to more precisely evaluate the concentration- and time-dependence of cell surface CRT levels in B16F10 and UACC903 cell lines. The expression of cell surface CRT was evaluated by both flow cytometry and imaging-cytometry (ImageStream) techniques.
Histograms of surface CRT on B16F10 cells treated with 30 and 100 nM MeSG for 3, 12, and 24 hours, or with 10,000 rads irradiation as a positive control are shown in Figure 2a. These data were quantified as fold-change in Figure 2b. Both 30 and 100 nM MeSG increased cell surface CRT as compared to vehicle control. Interestingly, 100 nM MeSG increased surface CRT at 12 hours and to even higher levels at 24 hours. To verify the increase of CRT expression at the cell surface, we used Imagestream to quantify the distribution of CRT on individual cell’s surface (Figure 2c). With 30 nM MeSG for 24 hours, calreticulin was detected as green fluorescent puncta on the cell surface. However, with 100 nM MeSG for only 12 hours, cells already showed continuous green fluorescence (FITC-CRT) puncta on their surface. A longer exposure of 24 hours with 100 nM MeSG produced a more dramatic effect as the green fluorescence (FITC-CRT) uniformly stains the whole cell surface, indicating a large increase in cell surface CRT levels. Similarly, we tested the ability of MeSG to induce CRT exposure in human melanoma cell line UACC903. Different from B16F10, UACC903 cells express clinically relevant PTEN and BRAF mutations. The cells were treated with increasing concentrations of MeSG (0.8 nM-100 nM, 2-fold dilutions). The CRT intensity histograms show that CRT exposure was detected as low as 6.25 nM with stronger effects when MeSG concentrations increased (Figure 2d). Quantification curves demonstrated that MeSG treatment induced CRT exposure with concentration and time-dependence. Both curves show a similar EC50 around 6.5 nM while 24 hour treatment induced maximal 18-fold increase of CRT exposure, peaking higher than the 13-fold increase observed at 12 hours (Figure 2e).

To understand if the MeSG-induced increased cell surface CRT levels are reversible, B16F10 cells were treated with 30 nM MeSG for 12 hours, and then rinsed and cultured in fresh media without MeSG for an additional 12 hours. Quantification of surface CRT on B16F10 cells treated with 30 nM MeSG for 12 or 24 hours, or for 12 hours followed by media wash are shown in figure 2f. Surface CRT increased by 12 hours of MeSG treatment with an even greater increase by 24 hours of treatment compared to vehicle control. The treatment of cells by 12 hours MeSG followed by media wash and an additional 12 hours incubation with media alone resulted in
an elevated surface CRT that is intermediate to a level seen with 12- and 24-hours incubation with MeSG. It shows that the 12 hours 30 nM MeSG treatment continues to increase surface CRT even after MeSG was removed from the media, however, it did not increase surface CRT to the same level as 24 hours treatment. These data suggest that the MeSG’s effect is not reversible.

**MeSG increases phagocytosis of B16F10 cells by bone marrow derived dendritic cells**

To determine the extent to which MeSG treatment impacts phagocytosis of tumor cells, bone marrow-derived dendritic cell (BMDC) phagocytosis of fluorescently-labeled B16F10 tumor cells was measured as outlined in Figure 3a. BMDCs were primarily composed of cells with a classical DC phenotype (co-expressing CD11c and CD11b) and were predominantly immature as assessed by low expression of both MHCII and CD86 (Supplement-1). B16F10 tumor cells were stained with CellTracker Green and then treated with DMSO, 100 nM MeSG or 2.5 µM doxorubicin (DOX) for 24 hours. DOX is a known inducer of ICD. CellTracker Green-stained B16F10 tumor cells were cocultured with BMDCs for 5 hours, followed by staining with APC-conjugated anti-CD11c antibody to detect BMDCs. Imaging flow cytometry was utilized to identify the proportion of cells representing CD11c+ DCs that had phagocytosed fluorescently-labeled tumor cells.
Figure 3. MeSG enhances phagocytosis of B16F10 by murine BMDCs. (a) Diagram of phagocytosis assay: ① Bone marrow cells were isolated from the tibias and femurs of C57BL/6 mice. The bone marrow cells were then treated with GM-CSF for 10 days to be differentiated into bone marrow derived dendritic cells (BMDCs). At the end of incubation, the cells were harvested and stained with the indicated cell surface markers to quantify their differentiation. ② B16F10 tumor cells were stained with CellTracker Green for 24 hours, then treated with DMSO, 100 nM MeSG or 2.5 μM DOX for 24 hours. ③ CellTracker Green stained B16F10 tumor cells were co-cultured with BMDCs for 5 hours. Then the surface of BMDCs was labeled with APC-conjugated anti-CD11c antibody. Phagocytosis was measured and representative images captured by ImageStream. (b) Representative images of phagocytosis induced by indicated treatments. B16F10 derived material is shown in green (FITC), CD11c staining is shown in red. BF: brightfield. DOX: doxorubicin. (c) The frequency of phagocytic events is quantified (n = 2 replicates).

Representative images collected by ImageStream analysis are shown in Figure 3b to demonstrate examples of DCs that have acquired an internal green fluorescence, indicative of phagocytosis. A detailed gating strategy is shown in Supplement-2. The presence of single cells expressing both surface CD11c and CellTracker Green is confirmed by the accompanying brightfield images (Figure 3b). The frequency of phagocytic events was defined as the percentage of cells with an internalization score higher than 0 (Figure 3C). Compared to DMSO, MeSG and DOX treatment increased the frequency of phagocytosis 2 and 4-fold respectively. Thus, MeSG treatment increases the susceptibility of B16F10 cells to phagocytosis by DCs.

**MeSG does not induce exposure of CD47 or ERp57**

Tumor cell phagocytosis occurs when cells express more “Eat me” than “Don’t eat me” signals on their surface. Tumor cell surface CD47 expression is a common “Don’t eat me” signal. When CD47 binds to phagocyte SIRPa receptor, the “Don’t eat me” signal is activated, which inhibits phagocytosis of tumor cells. To understand if MeSG increases phagocytosis of tumor cells not just by increasing CRT exposure but also by decreasing the “Don’t eat me” signal, the cell surface CD47 expression on B16F10 cells was measured after 24 hours 100 nM MeSG treatment (Figure 4a, b). MeSG treatment did not alter surface CD47 expression, supporting the hypothesis that surface CRT expression induced by MESG increases B16F10 phagocytosis.

Many studies show that CRT requires its binding partner ERp57 to translocate to the cell surface. ERp57, also known as Protein Disulfide-Isomerase A3 (PDIA3), is an ER-resident protein, which, with calreticulin and calnexin, modulates the folding of newly synthesized glycoproteins. In anthracycline-treated CT26 colon cancer cells, ERp57 forms a complex with CRT and translocates to the cell surface to trigger cancer cell phagocytosis. Furthermore, cells with low ERp57 fail to expose CRT and do not elicit an anti-tumor response. To understand if MeSG induces CRT translocation to the cell surface as a complex with ERp57, we measured the surface ERp57 expression in cells treated with MeSG or DMSO as well as irradiation (IXR) as a positive control. Unexpectedly, MeSG did not alter ERp57 cell surface levels in B16F10 cells, although IXR did increase cell surface ERp57 (Figure 4c, d). We also found that in UACC903, MeSG did not cause ERp57 exposure (Figure 4e). This finding suggests that MeSG induces CRT exposure independent of ERp57 exposure, suggesting MeSG utilizes a yet unknown pathway that differs from the one used by anthracyclines and IXR.

**MeSG does not trigger eIF2a or PERK phosphorylation before CRT exposure**

The CRT exposure pathway begins with ER stress generated by ICD inducers such as mitoxantrones (MTX) and irradiation. This leads to the activation of kinases that phosphorylate...
eIF2α, an ER stress marker whose phosphorylation is highly correlated with CRT exposure. To determine if MeSG triggers ER stress, B16F10 cells were treated with 100 nM MeSG for 3, 6, 9, 12, 24 hours, or with ER stress inducer thapsigargin (Thap). Protein was extracted for western blotting to test the activation of the ER stress pathway. MeSG did not significantly affect PERK phosphorylation at these time points (Figure 5a, b). However, Thap did cause PERK phosphorylation in B16F10 cells. MeSG induced eIF2α phosphorylation at 24 hours (Figure 5c). Total CRT expression levels were not changed (Figure 5d). 30 nM MeSG treatment (EC_{50} for CRT expression in B16F10) showed that PERK phosphorylation was increased at 3 hours (Supplement 3A, B). In UACC903 cells, 100 nM MeSG treatment didn’t cause either eIF2α or PERK phosphorylation (Figure 5e-g). However, thapsigargin did increase PERK and eIF2α phosphorylation to 1–2 fold. 6.5 nM MeSG treatments (EC_{50} for CRT exposure in UACC903) also showed similar results (Supplement-3E, F, G). In addition, intracellular eIF2α phosphorylation levels were measured by flow cytometry. In B16F10 cells, 100 nM MeSG induced significant eIF2α phosphorylation at 24 hours, however, the same condition didn’t cause eIF2α phosphorylation in UACC903 (Supplement 4), consistent with western blot analysis.

**PERK inactivator increases CRT exposure by MeSG**

To further determine the role of ER stress in inducing CRT exposure by MeSG, we pre-treated B16F10 cells with increasing concentrations of ER stress inhibitor tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA) 2 hours (0.5, 1, 1.5 mM) prior to 24 hours MeSG. TUDCA is a natural bile acid that blocks the activation of ER-stress mediators. Histograms of surface CRT level on B16F10 cells after this treatment are shown in Figure 6e. The surface CRT on cells treated with vehicle for 24 hours is represented in not-tinted blue and TUDCA treatment alone are represented in non-tinted green histograms; cell treated with 30 nM MeSG is represented in tinted blue histogram. The cells that are pre-treated with TUDCA then treated with 30 nM MeSG for
24 hours are represented in tinted green histograms. The shades of the green histograms increase to indicate the increased TUDCA concentration.

TUDCA alone had no significant effect on surface CRT, while MeSG increased surface CRT (Figure 6e,f). Interestingly, the adding of 2 hour TUDCA pre-treatment caused a further increase in surface CRT compared to MeSG treatment alone. This result implies that the inhibition of ER stress achieved by TUDCA pre-treatment cannot block CRT exposure by MeSG.

To determine the role of PERK phosphorylation in CRT exposure by MeSG, we pretreated B16F10 cells with increasing concentrations of PERK kinase activity inhibitor GSK2606414 (PERKi)\textsuperscript{33} prior to 24 hours of PERKi and MeSG combination treatment. Histograms of surface CRT intensity on cells after this treatment are shown in Figure 6g. The surface CRT on cells treated with only 2 hours PERKi pre-treatment (2.5, 5.5, 10 μM) are represented in gradient shades of non-tinted pink histograms; cell treated with 30 nM MeSG is represented in tinted blue histogram. The cells that are pre-treated with PERKi then treated with MeSG and PERKi for 24 hours are represented in tinted pink histograms. Similar to what we observed in TUDCA pre-treated cells, combination of PERKi pre-treatment and MeSG/PERKi treatment increased surface CRT compared to MeSG treatment alone. Quantification of these results (Figure 6h) demonstrates that PERKi pre-treatment leads to a significant increase of CRT exposure compared to MeSG treatment alone. Similarly, the PERKi pre-treatment in UACC903 showed that 5.5 μM PERKi increased CRT exposure to a greater level compared to MeSG alone at 6.5 nM (Figure 6i). These results indicate that PERK inhibition achieved by PERKi cannot block CRT exposure induced by MeSG.

To confirm that PERKi did inhibit PERK phosphorylation, we also detected PERK phosphorylation by Western blotting (Figure 6a). B16F10 cells were pre-treated with either PERKi (5.5 μM) or TUDCA (0.5 mM) for 2 hours and then treated with either 30 or 100 nM MeSG for additional 24 hours. MeSG caused PERK phosphorylation at 30 nM (Lane 4) compared to vehicle (Lane 1) and an even greater phosphorylation by 100 nM treatment (Lane 7). PERKi treatment (Lane 5, 8) reduced the intensity of phospho-PERK band compared to MeSG treatment (Lane 4, 7). Quantification of blots in Figure 6b shows that PERKi pre-treatment reduced almost half of PERK phosphorylation by MeSG. Therefore, even though PERKi efficiently inhibits MeSG-induced PERK phosphorylation, it cannot block the CRT exposure induced by MeSG.

We also pretreated UACC903 with 5.5 μM PERKi for 2 hours prior to 24 hours of 6.5 nM or 100 nM MeSG/5.5 μM PERKi treatment. WB results show that PERKi didn’t change PERK phosphorylation, but it increased eIF2a phosphorylation compared to 100 nM MeSG alone. Therefore, MeSG induced surface CRT exposure is independent of ER stress and PERK activation.

**PERK is not required for the mechanism of CRT exposure by MeSG**

To further validate that PERK is not involved in the CRT exposure by MeSG, we performed PERK knockdown via PERK siRNA. B16F10 cell were transfected with PERK siRNA or scramble RNA for 48 hours via lipofectamine 3000, then treated with either 100 nM MeSG or vehicle control DMSO for 24 hours. Western blotting confirmed the knockdown of PERK (Figure 7a, b). However, MeSG increased eIF2α
phosphorylation in PERK KD cells (Figure 7c). ImageStream analysis showed that the intensity of surface CRT (in green) on PERK knockdown cells was similar to WT or scRNA transfected tumor cells (Figure 7f). Quantification of surface CRT intensity confirmed that MeSG still induced CRT exposure in the absence of PERK (Figure 7e).

In UACC903 cells, the PERK knockdown reduced more than 80% of total PERK expression and almost completely ablated PERK phosphorylation (Figure 7g, h). Similar to MeSG treatment in control cells, MeSG caused a slight eIF2α phosphorylation in PERK KD cells but did not change total CRT expression level (Figure 7i, j). However, again, we see that PERK KD cell exposed to MeSG induced an even higher CRT exposure than MeSG alone (Figure 7f).

As mentioned before, (Figure 4d, e) MeSG does not induce Erp57 exposure. To further explore if Erp57 is required for MeSG induced CRT exposure, we knocked down total Erp57 expression by siErp57 (Figure 7g lane 5, 6, K). We observed that the absence of Erp57 did not reduce CRT surface exposure...
Figure 7. PERK and ERp57 knockdown to explore their role in MeSG induced CRT exposure. (a-c) B16F10 cells were transfected with PERK siRNA (siPERK) or scramble RNA (scRNA) for 48 hours, then treated with 100 nM MeSG, 5 µM thapsigargin or DMSO for 24 hours. The expression levels of total and phosphorylated PERK, total and phosphorylated eIF2α were determined by Western blotting. Results were quantified by BioRad ImageLab software and presented as Mean ± SD (n = 3) in bar graph (d) Ecto-CRT of cells treated as described in (a) were determined by flow-cytometry. MFI of surface CRT were presented as Mean ± SD in bar graph. (e) Cell surface CRT images were captured by ImageStream. Geometric mean of surface CRT is reported by numbers in yellow. (F-H) UACC903 cells were transfected with PERK siRNA (siPERK), ERp57 (siERp57) or scramble RNA (scRNA) for 24 hours, then treated with 100 nM MeSG or DMSO for 24 hours. The expression levels of indicated protein were determined by Western blotting. Quantification of Western blotting results is presented as Mean ± SD in the bar graph. (i) Cell surface CRT were measured by Flow cytometry. MFI of surface CRT were presented as Mean ± SD in bar graph. All statistical significance were determined by One-way ANOVA with Tukey correction (*p ≤ .05).
induced by MeSG (Figure 7f). This result, together with the PERKi pre-treatment experiment demonstrate that MeSG induces CRT exposure in a PERK and ERp57-independent pathway.

**Caspase inhibitors rescue cells from apoptosis caused by MeSG without impacting CRT exposure**

The second module of the canonical CRT exposure pathway is defined as the apoptotic module. This module involves the activation of caspase 8 and BAX/BAK. Therefore, we investigated if MeSG induces apoptosis in both B16F10 and UACC903 cells. Fluorescent conjugates of annexin V are widely used to identify apoptotic cells because of its high affinity for phospholipid phosphatidylserine (PS). In normal healthy cells, PS is located on the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane. However, during apoptosis, PS translocate from the inner to the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane. Therefore, Annexin-V staining cells are considered apoptotic. 7-Aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) is a fluorescent chemical compound with strong affinity for DNA, but it cannot readily pass through intact cell membranes. Hence, cells with compromised membranes (dead cells) will stain with 7-AAD. Generally, Annexin-V (-)/7-AAD (-) cells are considered live cells, Annexin-V (+)/7-AAD (-) cells are at early apoptosis, and Annexin-V (+)/7-AAD (+) cells are at late apoptosis.

UACC903 cells were treated with 1, 10, or 100 nM MeSG for 12, 24, 36 and 48 hours, or with DMSO alone for 24 hours. Cells were then stained with PE-conjugated Annexin-V and 7-AAD to measure apoptosis. Simultaneously, surface CRT was also measured. For MeSG treated cells, we compared the percentage of cells in four apoptosis stages to DMSO treated cells. We found that MeSG induces apoptosis in a concentration and time-dependent manner. The earliest significant apoptosis was induced by 12 hours with 100 nM treatment as shown by the significant smaller live cell population (purple) and larger early apoptosis cell population (blue) (Figure 8a). At 24 hours, apoptosis was detected in both 10 nM and 100 nM treatments. After 36 hours, even 1 nM MeSG induced apoptosis and both 10 and 100 nM treatments caused more than half of cells to undergo apoptosis. Interestingly, with the same sample, we found that as low as 1 nM MeSG at 12-hour treatment already induced significant CRT exposure compared to control (Figure 8b). This evidence strongly argued that UACC903 is more sensitive to the CRT exposure ability of MeSG than inducing apoptosis. In addition, maximum CRT exposure (8-fold) was achieved with 100 nM MeSG treatment at 24 hours. After that, higher concentrations and longer exposure to MeSG treatment had no further effect. In B16F10 cells, half of the cells progressed to late apoptosis by 36 hours of 100 nM MeSG treatment (Figure 8c, d).

**Figure 8.** MeSG induce apoptosis in UACC903 cells before CRT exposure. (a) UACC903 cells were treated with MeSG at 1,10,100 nM for 12, 24, 36 and 48 hours, then stained with Annexin-V and 7-AAD to measure apoptosis level. Quantification of percentage of cells at 4 stages during apoptosis was presented as Mean ± SD in bar graph. Statistical significant were determined by TWO-WAY ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. (b) Ecto-CRT of UACC903 treated in A were determined by flow cytometry. MFI of ecto-CRT from treated cells relative to vehicle were shown as Mean ± SD in bar graph. Statistical significance was determined by One-way ANOVA with Tukey correction. (c,d) B16F10 cells were treated with MeSG 100 nM for 12, 24, 36 and 48 hours or with DMSO, then stained with Annexin-V and 7-AAD to measure apoptosis level. Distribution of cell population in apoptosis procedure was presented in dot plot, and the quantification is shown as Mean ± SD in bar graph. Statistical significant were determined by TWO-WAY ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.
To understand if induction of apoptosis is required for CRT-exposure caused by MeSG, we utilized pan-caspase inhibitor Z-VAD-FMK and caspase 8 specific inhibitor Z-IETD-FMK.

We cotreated UACC903 cells with 6.5 or 100 nM MeSG and with Z-IETD-FMK (20 μM) or Z-VAD-FMK (25 μM) for 24 hours. 6.5 nM MeSG was sufficient to induce apoptosis and 100 nM MeSG led to increased apoptosis (Figure 9a). For 6.5 nM treatment, neither Z-VAD nor Z-IETD rescued the cells from apoptosis. For 100 nM treatment, both Z-IETD-FMK and Z-VAD-FMK rescued cells from apoptosis as the live cell population was significantly increased. (Increased from 71% to 76% by Z-IETD-FMK, increased from 71% to 78% by Z-VAD-FMK) and decreased the early apoptosis population (Figure 9a). Due to the low amount of apoptosis at the early time point it is difficult to tell if these changes are truly biologically significant. Interestingly, for the same sample we found that Z-VAD-FMK and 100 nM MeSG treatment increased CRT exposure above MeSG alone, and Z-IETD-FMK showed

Figure 9. Inhibition of caspase activation can rescue cells from apoptosis induced by MeSG but can not inhibit CRT exposure. (a) UACC903 cells were treated with MeSG at 6.5 nM or 100 nM or co-treated with pan-caspase inhibitor Z-VAD-FMK at 25μM or caspase-8 specific inhibitor Z-IETD-FMK at 20μM or for 24 hours, then stained with Annexin-V and 7-AAD to measure apoptosis level. Distribution of cell population in apoptosis procedure is shown as Mean ± SD in bar graph. Statistical significant was determined by TWO-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. (b) Ecto-CRT of UACC903 treated in E were measured and MFI of ecto-CRT from treated cells relative to vehicle were shown as Mean ± SD in bar graph. Statistical significance was determined by One-way ANOVA with Tukey correction. (c,d) B16F10 cells were treated with MeSG at 100 nM or co-treated with Z-IETD-FMK or Z-VAD-FMK for 24 or 48 hours, then stained with Annexin-V and 7-AAD to measure apoptosis level. Distribution of cell population in apoptosis procedure was presented in dot plot C, and the quantification was shown as Mean ± SD in bar graph D. Statistical significant was determined by TWO-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. (e) Ecto-CRT of B16F10 cells treated in G were measured as mentioned before. MFI of ecto-CRT from treated cells relative to vehicle shown as Mean ± SD (n = 3) in bar graph. Statistical significance was determined by One-way ANOVA with Tukey correction (*p ≤ .05, ** p ≤ .01, ****p ≤ .0001).
Statistical analysis indicated a similar trend (Figure 9b). These results indicate that at this early 24 hour time point the cellular commitment to surface CRT exposure is not dependent on caspase 8 activation.

In addition, we co-treated B16F10 cells with 100 nM MeSG and Z-VAD-FMK or Z-IETD-FMK for 24 or 48 hours. At 24 hour treatment in this cell line there was a decrease in live cells with both caspase inhibitors. At 48 hours treatment, 100 nM MeSG caused the majority of cells to progress to late apoptosis. Z-IETD-FMK significantly rescued cells from apoptosis as shown by 40% less cells at late apoptosis. Z-VAD-IETD only slightly rescued cells (Figure 9c, d). In this cell line as in the UACC903 cell line there was little change in the surface CRT exposure (Figure 9e).

Translocation module is involved in CRT exposure by MeSG

The last module on the canonical CRT exposure pathway is the translocation module. CRT first anterograde traffic from ER to Golgi, then presents on the cell surface through SNARE dependent exocytosis. To understand if this module is required for the CRT exposed induced by MeSG, we utilized Brefeldin A (BFA). Brefeldin A is a reversible inhibitor of protein translocation from ER to the Golgi apparatus. It inhibits binding of the cytosolic coat protein to Golgi membranes.36 UACC903 were pre-treated with 2, 5 or 10 μM BFA for 2 hours then treated with 100 nM MeSG alone for 24 hours. BFA inhibited CRT exposure in a concentration-dependent manner: 2 μM BFA reduced 4-fold, 5 μM BFA reduced 8-fold CRT exposure and 10 μM BFA completely blocked CRT exposure (Figure 10a, b). B16F10 cells were similarly treated. Two hours pretreatment of 2,5,10 μM BFA pretreatment completely blocked MeSG-induced CRT exposure (Figure 10c). These findings indicate that the source of cell surface CRT before MeSG treatment is the ER and the translocation module is required for CRT exposure.

Discussion

We speculated that ICD is the reason why MeSG could enhance the efficacy of anti-PD1 in a murine melanoma model. Since surface CRT, which is efficiently induced by MeSG, can serve as an “eat me” signal, we performed a phagocytosis assay. We found that MeSG treatment increased tumor cell phagocytosis by BMDCs. This outcome could be the result of DAMP signals, or a reduction in cell surface “don’t eat me” signals on the cancer cells. To rule out the reduction of “don’t eat me” signaling we measured the surface level of “don’t eat me” signal CD-47 and found that MeSG does not alter surface levels of this protein. This finding suggests CRT exposure is important for enhanced tumor cell phagocytosis after MeSG treatment. In both murine B16F10 and human UACC903 cell lines, we found MeSG induces CRT exposure in a time and concentration dependent manner. When compared to B16F10 cells, UACC903 cells are more sensitive to the induction of CRT exposure upon MeSG treatment. Indeed, treatment with only 1 nM of MeSG for 24 hours induced significant CRT exposure in UACC903 cells. Also notable was the magnitude of the CRT exposure response in UACC903

![Figure 10](image-url). Induction of calreticulin exposure by MeSG can be blocked by Brefeldin A pre-treatment. (a,b) UACC903 cells were pre-treated with BFA at 2, 5 and 10 μM for 2 hours, then treated with 100 nM MeSG for 24 hours. Ecto-CRT were measured as mentioned before and presented in the histograms, quantification was presented as Mean ± SD in bar graph (n = 3). (c) B16F10 cells were pre-treated as UACC903 cells in A,B. Ecto-CRT were quantified and shown as Mean ± SD in bar graph (n = 3). Statistical significance was determined by One-way ANOVA with Tukey correction, ****P ≤ .0001.
cells, MeSG induces an 18-fold increase compared to vehicle. This motivated us to explore the molecular mechanism of CRT exposure by MeSG.

Our studies point to a further expansion of the mechanism compared with earlier findings in melanoma cells. In B16F10 cells, adding a PERK inhibitor successfully reduced PERK phosphorylation induced by MeSG. To our surprise this treatment actually increased CRT exposure in a dose dependent manner on co-treatment with MeSG. Further, PERK knockdown with siRNA in both B16F10 and UACC903 cell lines indicated that PERK is not required for MeSG to induce CRT exposure. This result led us to test if there is an increased eIF2α phosphorylation in these cell lines upon MeSG treatment. While in the B16F10 cell line we saw some eIF2α phosphorylation at 24 hours this was well after the CRT exposure was elicited at 12 hours leading us to the conclusion it is not required to initiate CRT exposure. We did not detect either eIF2α or PERK phosphorylation by MeSG in UACC903 under any conditions. This difference in the murine and human cell lines was confirmed by flow cytometry. This result leads us to the conclusion that ER-stress modulated by eIF2α phosphorylation is not required for the CRT translocation seen in these cells and that it is likely that the eIF2α phosphorylation noted at 24 hours in B16.F10 cells is the result of the process that is initiated by the drug treatment after CRT exposure has reached maximal response. In addition, we found that co-treatment with the ER-stress inhibitor TUDCA was unable to reduce the CRT exposure induced by MeSG, suggesting that the ER-stress response is not required to induce CRT exposure.

Numerous previous studies implicated an ER-stress response to CRT exposure and eIF2α phosphorylation is the marker of ER stress that most correlated with CRT exposure. Indeed, disrupting eIF2α phosphatase (PP1/GADD34) can also lead to CRT exposure under some circumstances indicating that simply increasing the phosphorylation of eIF2α could be enough to trigger ICD. eIF2α phosphorylation in CRT exposure was initially thought to be regulated solely by the eIF2α kinase, PERK, and its activation by phosphorylation. More recently, Paola Giglio et al reported that mitoxantrone (MTX) and doxorubicin (DOXO) induce eIF2α phosphorylation leading to CRT exposure in melanoma cell lines, but do not up-regulate other ER stress markers TRB3, Xbp1 or CHOP indicating ER-stress is not absolutely required for this effect. In addition PERK-phosphorylation was not required for eIF2α phosphorylation. On the contrary, they found that other eIF2α kinases, PKR (protein kinase RNA-activated) and to a lesser extent GCN2 (general control nondepressible 2), are required for MTX/DOXO mediated eIF2α phosphorylation in melanoma cells. In addition to this result, another group found that eIF2α phosphorylation driven by the kinase (EIF2AK1 also known as Heme Responsive Inhibitor, HRI) is a strong inducer of ICD and CRT translocation to the surface after radaporfir photodynamic therapy. This appears to be driven by ER and Golgi apparatus perturbations induced by oxidative stress leading to eventual mitochondrial induced cell death by the machinery of the intrinsic apoptosis pathway.

It has been previously reported that a second ER resident protein disulfide isomerase ERP57 (also known as PDI3) is expressed on the cell surface with CRT. In 2019 it was further demonstrated in Jurkat cells that ERP57 and integrin coordinate the extra-ER expression of CRT including the cell surface expression in the context of ICD. These authors knocked out both ERP57 and CRT and demonstrated that CRT exposure in ICD is dependent on ERP57, but ERP57 surface exposure is not dependent on CRT. We tested whether treatment with MeSG induced increased surface exposure of ERP57 and found that it did not. We also identified that knockdown of ERP57 in UACC903 cell line didn’t reduce CRT exposure by MeSG. This result further suggests that MeSG is not reliant on the same mechanisms for CRT exposure as other ICD inducing agents.

We show that MeSG can induce significant apoptosis in both cell lines tested but that human UACC903 cells are more susceptible than murine B16F10 cells. In the canonical model of ICD induced CRT surface exposure caspase-8 activation followed by Bap31 cleavage and downstream activation of BAX/BAK are essential for CRT exposure. We use caspase 8-specific inhibitor Z-IETD-FMK and pan-caspase inhibitor Z-VAD-FMK to understand if caspase 8 is required for CRT exposure by MeSG. Interestingly, both Z-IETD-FMK and Z-VAD-FMK rescue UACC903 cells from apoptosis, however, they do not suppress CRT exposure in MeSG treated cells. Similarly to the human cell line, Z-IETD-FMK significantly rescued B16F10 cells from apoptosis induced by 48 hours 100 nM MeSG treatment but did not significantly reduce CRT surface exposure. Interestingly, the pan caspase inhibitor does not effect the apoptosis in this murine cell line. This could signal that the ultimate fate of this cell line is not a traditional apoptosis. Indeed Z-VAD-FMK has been shown to sensitize cells to necroptosis and so at this late stage it is possible that these two mechanisms are both at play leading to a failure of rescue with this pan-caspase inhibitor.

The totality of this data suggests that MeSG induces apoptosis/necroptosis and CRT exposure via different mechanisms, and that at least the second step of the canonical ICD pathway is not required for CRT exposure. Recently, AD Garg et al reported that hypericin-based PDT (Hyp-PDT) requires PERK, BAX/BAK for CRT exposure, but caspase-8 activation and eIF2α phosphorylation are not induced. An anticancer peptide RT53 also induces CRT exposure in a caspase and eIF2α-independent pathway. RT53 does not induce eIF2α phosphorylation and it induced CRT aggregation which cannot be suppressed by Z-VAD-FMK. It is speculated that RT53 causes ICD via some form of necrosis. Interestingly, the necrosis generated by RT53 was not blocked by necrostatin-1 an inhibitor of receptor-interacting protein kinase (RIPK) 1-mediated necroptosis, nor by cyclosporine A which blocks necrosis mediated by mitochondrial disruption. This finding indicates that the necrosis caused by RT53 doesn’t fit either of these common ICD pathways. Thus it appears from our study that MeSG may be more related to these alternative forms of ICD than that caused by the canonical inducers such as radiation or anthracyclines.
As noted above in canonical ICD mechanisms ERp57 is translocated to the cell surface with CRT, however we did not see this with MeSG treatment. To further define the penultimate events of CRT surface exposure we tested if the anterograde transport from the Golgi is still required as has been shown with traditional ICD inducers. We do find that the inhibition of ER–Golgi trafficking by BFA completely blocks CRT exposure. This suggest that the translocation module is involved in CRT exposure by MeSG and in this regard the MeSG is utilizing the same pathway as other compounds such as anthracyclines.

Our results point to a potential novel mechanism of CRT surface exposure with schweinfurthrin treatment. Our earlier results demonstrated CRT exposure with two compounds of this class, TTI-4242 and MeSG (TTI-3114), indicating that this is likely a class effect. The precise mechanism of action of this class of compound has yet to be described, however, numerous lines of evidence point to the involvement of lipid signaling systems such as oxysterol binding proteins \(^{27,72,73}\) and Golgi trafficking disruptions,\(^{28}\) as well as cholesterol associated signaling more broadly.\(^{17,24}\) These results point to a possible divergence between the mechanisms of cell death or apoptosis induced by the schweinfurthins and the induction of CRT. Indeed we can see robust CRT exposure with 30 nM treatment of B16F10 cells that require 1 μM MeSG treatment for 48 hours to induce growth inhibition.

Because immune therapies like anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibodies show such promise but also such variable effects and potential for autoimmune adverse events, we believe that this novel mechanism of ICD induction can be exploited for clinical use. Much recent work has shown the involvement of additional forms of cell death such as necroptosis\(^{75}\) and ferroptosis\(^{76}\) in ICD (see Figure 1) and these could be implicated in some of our observations such as the failure of pan-caspase inhibition to block what we perceived as apoptosis in our studies. We are currently exploring further the roles of apoptotic proteins such as Bax/Bak, additional kinases which may signal through alternative mechanisms such as PKR and GCN2,\(^{61}\) as well as mechanisms underpinning other forms of cell death in these effects. Ultimately it will be important to determine the direct targets which engage the induction of ICD with this class of drugs while moving them toward clinical translation.

### Material and methods

#### Reagents and antibodies

PERK inhibitor I (GSK2606414), taursoroseoxycholic acid (TUDCA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Anti- Phospho-PERK (Thr980) # MA5-15033, Flow Calreticulin Polyclonal Antibody # PA3-900 were purchased from ThermoFisher Scientific. Anti-total PERK (#3192), anti-total eIF2α (#9722), anti-phospho-eIF2α (Ser51) #3398, anti-total Calreticulin (#12238), anti-Vinculin (#13901), and HRP-linked Anti-rabbit IgG Antibody(#7074S) were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, USA). Anti-ERp57 (ab13506), Anti-GAPDH (ab9485) were obtained from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA). Goat anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 488-conjugated secondary antibody were purchased from Life Technologies.(cat#:2251171 Life Technologies). Lipofectamine 3000 was used for all transfections (Invitrogen).

#### Cell line and culture conditions

For all experiments, melanoma B16F10 cell line (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA) and UACC-903 cell line were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Gibco, Life Technologies, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Logan, Utah), incubated in a humidified environment at 37°C and 5% CO₂. B16F10 cell line was obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC CRL 6457). UACC903 cells were obtained from the NCI-Frederick Cancer DCTD Tumor/Cell line Repository and was generously donated by Dr.Jeffrey Trent. Cells were collected using trypsin/EDTA (ethylene diamine- tetra-acetic acid) 0.25% phenol red (Gibco, Life Technologies, NY, USA).

#### RNA interference:

Human PERK (sc-36213), human ERp57 (sc-35341), Mouse PERK (sc-36214) siRNA, and non-targeting scramble siRNA oligo ribonucleotides were purchased from Santacruze (Dallas, TX). 0.4–1 × 10⁶ cells/well were seeded in 60 mm dishes and incubated for overnight, then transfected with indicated siRNA (100–300 pmol) by lipofectamine3000 (Invitrogen) approach as recommended by the supplier. After 24–48 hours transfection, Cells were treated with the indicated agents for 24 hours and then harvested for flow cytometry or Western blotting assay. Western blotting was used to assess protein down-regulation as described below.

#### Western blot

Cells lysates protein concentration was measured by the Micro BCA™ protein assay (Thermo Scientific, Chelmsford,MA, USA) and electrophoresed in NuPAGE 4–12% Bis-Tris Gel gels, transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes. Using standard techniques, and immunoblotted with the corresponding primary and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies. Blots were blocked with gelatin for an hour then incubated with indicated primary antibodies in 5% TBS-T overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies were: anti-eIF2α (1:1000), P-eIF2α (1:1000), vinculin (1:2000); anti -PERK (1:1000), anti-P-PERK (1:1000), anti-CRT (1:1000). Detection was achieved using horseradish peroxidase-conjugate secondary antibody (anti-mouse 1:1000; anti-rabbit 1:3000). Membrane-bound immune complexes were visualized using Amersham Hyperfilm ECL (GE Healthcare Chicago, IL) in a Chemi-Doc imaging system (Bio-Rad). Densitometry of each protein normalized to vinculin or GAPDH was calculated using the Bio-Rad Image-Lab software.

#### Flow cytometry

Ecto-calreticulin, cell surface ERp57 and CD47 evaluation

B16F10, UACC903 wild-type or gene knockdown cells were seeded at 1–1.5 × 10⁵ cells per well in 12-well plates and cultured in 10% FBS supplemented RPMI-1640 medium overnight. Then the cells were treated with indicated conditions when they reached 70–80% confluency. Cells were harvested by a mixture of Versene solution with 15% of 0.25% EDTA-trypsin, then washed twice in FACS buffer (2%FBS+ 0.1%
NaNs in PBS), then transferred to 96-well round-bottom plates at 1–2 × 10^5 cell per well. Cells were stained with anti-calreticulin antibody (1:1000; Abcam, ab2970) in FACS buffer for 30 minutes at 4°C followed by two washes. Cells were stained with goat anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 488-conjugated secondary antibody (cat#: 2251171 Life Technologies) in FACS buffer for 30 minutes at 4°C. After two washes, the cells were labeled with 7-AAD to exclude the dead cells. Cell viability after different treatments is shown in [Supplement-5]. A total of 2 × 10^5 fluorescent cells were acquired using the BD FACSCanto10™ (Becton-Dickinson) flow cytometer. Data were analyzed with Flowjo software (v. 10.8). For cell surface ERp57 evaluation, after indicted treatment the cells were harvested as described before and washed twice by FACS buffer. The cells were stained with anti-ERp57 antibody (Abcam, ab13506) at 1:1000 dilution for 30 minutes at 4°C, followed by two washes. Then the cells were labeled with goat anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 488-conjugated secondary antibody for 30 minutes at 4°C. After two washes, the cells were analyzed by BD FACSCanto10™ flow cytometer. For cell surface CD47 evaluation, after indicated treatment, the cells were harvested as described before and washed twice with FACS buffer. Then the cells were transferred to round-bottom 96-well plate and stained with FITC-conjugated anti-CD47 monoclonal antibody (Thermo Fisher, # 11–0479–42) at 5µl/sample for 30 minutes at 4°C. The the cells were washed twice with FACS buffer and analyzed by flow cytometry.

**Intracellular p-eLF2a detection**

The detection of intracellular phosphorylated protein is modified from. After the indicated treatment, cells were trypsinized and washed twice in PBS, then fixed in 4% PFA at 4°C for 30 min, and then permeabilized in ice-cold 80% MeOH for 10 min. The samples were washed twice in FACS buffer (PBS + 2% FBS) and then incubated with the primary rabbit anti-p-eLF2a (CST, #3398S) at 1:100 dilution in FACS buffer at 4°C for 45 min. Samples were washed twice in FACS buffer and incubated in the secondary goat anti-rabbit Alexa 488 antibody (Invitrogen, A11070) at 1:200 dilution in FACS buffer at 4°C for 45 min. Samples were washed once in FACS buffer and kept in FACS buffer + 2% PFA at 4°C until analysis with a FACSCanto10 (BD Biosciences).

**Analysis of surface CRTI:**

The sample preparation for ImagStream analysis is the same as described for flow cytometry. After the incubation of anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 488-conjugated secondary antibody, the cell samples were washed and incubated with Hoechst33342 at 1:4000 dilution for 15 minutes. After washing, the cells were resuspended in 100 µl PBS and run on ImageStreamX MKII. ImageStream data were analyzed with AMNIS IDEAS Software. To measure the intensity of surface CRT, we generated a new “mask” on IDEAS to identify the regions of interest. We first generated the Dilate mask for CRT-FITC staining. Then we used the ErodeMask and eroded 6 pixels to generate the cytosol mask. Eventually, membrane masks were generated using Dilate Mask on the CRT-FITC staining and subtracting the cytosol mask using Boolean Logic. ([Supplement-6])

**Apoptosis measurement**

Generally, 1–1.5 × 10^5 cells treated as indicated were harvested as described before were washed twice in FACS buffer (2%FBS + 0.1% NaNs in PBS). Then cells were transferred to Eppendorf tubes in 100 µl Annexin V binding buffer per tube and stained with 5 µl PE Annexin V and 5 µl 7-AAD for 15 min at room temperature in the dark. Then cells were transferred to flow tubes with 400 µl Annexin V binding buffer per tube. Cell sample were analyzed by BD FACSCanto10™ flow cytometer within an hour.

**Phagocytosis assay**

**Generation of DCs in vitro**

Dendritic cells were derived from bone marrow of C57BL/6 mice as previously described. The phenotype of harvested cells is determined by flow cytometry. The cells with high dendritic cell surface markers CD11c and CD11b but low cell surface markers CD86 and MHCII were considered immature dendritic cells.

**Stain tumor cells:**

B16F10 cells were seeded at 1 × 10^6 per dish in 10 cm petri dishes and cultured in 10 ml RPMI culture medium overnight. Then the B16F10 cells were stained with mixture of 7 ml serum-free media and 50 nM CellTrackerTM green CMFDA at 37°C for 30 min. Then the cells were washed with RPMI culture medium and incubated overnight at 37°C. Followed by DMSO, 100 nM MeSG or 2.5 µM doxorubicin treatments for 24 hours.

**Co-culture the labeled B16F10 cells with the immature DCs**

On second day, the treated B16F10 cells were trypsinized and then collected in RPMI culture medium. The cell number of BMDCs and the labeled B16F10 cells were counted with hemocytometer. 400,000 BMDCs + 400,000 B16F10 cells (ratio 1:1) were seeded in 6-well Ultra-low attachment plates with a total volume of 4 ml per well. Then the cells were co-cultured for 5 h at 37°C.

**Immunostaining**

BMDCs and B16F10 cells mixture were transferred to round-bottom 96-well plate followed by two washes and then incubated with Fc block (anti-CD16/CD32). And then stained with 1:100 APC-CD11c at 4°C for 30 min. Then the cells were washed twice and stained with Hoechst33342 at 1:4000 dilution for 15 minutes. Then the cells were washed and incubated in 2% PFA at 4°C for 20 min, followed by wash and resuspended in 100 µl PBS to be ready for flow cytometry. For each sample, 5000 cells were acquired by ImageStreamX MKII and analyzed with AMNIS IDEAS Software. To determine the phagocytic events the Internalization wizard in the IDEAS was used. Single cells were selected in the dot plot with respect to aspect and aspect-ratio. Then the green fluorescence positive cells (FITC) were selected by gating on cells with high Max-Pixel_MeIntensity_MC_Intensity values. Then the internalization score is presented in the histogram of Internalization_Erode_FITC. Phagocytotic events were chosen by selecting the population with internalization score above 0. (Supplement-2).
Statistics

All experiments were repeated at least three times. Western blotting images shown in the manuscript are from a representative experiment in a triplicate. Student’s T-test were used to compare the difference between two treatment groups. When compare the differences among ≥3 groups, we used one way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons posttest. A p value of ≤0.05 was considered significant. All statistical analysis were performed using GraphPad Prism 7 software.
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