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Abstract. After the emergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI), great developments have taken place in the fields of science, economics, medicine and all other fields that use computer science. Along with the resulting developments in these fields, artificial intelligence has also solved many intractable problems, such as predicting specific serious diseases, determining future product sales, as well as analyzing and studying big data in the shortest possible time. SVM is one of the most important technologies in this field of artificial intelligence that goes into supervised methods, and which every machine learning expert should have in his/her arena. For this reason, in this article, we studied this technique and determined its advantages and disadvantages as well as its fields of application. Next, we applied this technique to three different databases, using four basis change functions, and we compared the results obtained to determine the best way to use the basis change functions.
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1 INTRODUCTION:

The idea of artificial intelligence first appeared in 1956 during a conference at Dartmouth College. Researchers have since sought to find a way to simulate human intelligence with a machine, but they were not successful until the early 1980s, when a program called "Expert Systems" was born. This program simulates human intelligence, human knowledge and analytical skills using synthetic skills. Since then, artificial intelligence has been developing, advancing and being used in many fields.

Artificial intelligence is a major scientific discipline that studies the methods and techniques of solving logical problems, and creating algorithms. There are four types of artificial intelligence: a) interaction machines, b) limited memory, c) theory of the mind and d) self-awareness. SVM is one of the most important artificial intelligence technologies and it is classified as one of the techniques under supervision, and it solves many problems of data classification and analysis.

2 SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINE:

The Support Vector Machine (SVM) is an important, simple, supervised learning algorithm that every programmer and machine learning expert should have in their arena. It can be used for regression and classification tasks. However, it is used mostly for classification purposes, and the goal of the Support Vector Machine algorithm is to find a hyperplane in an N-dimensional space that clearly separate data points.

For a more precise understanding of how this method works, we will translate it mathematically:

Suppose \( A \) is a set of \( n \) data / class pairs, defined by:

\[
A = \{ (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots, (x_n, y_n) \}
\]

Such that: \( y_i \in \{-1, 1\} \) is the label indicating whether or not an observation belongs to a class, the number \( n \) designates the dimension of the database, and \( x_i \) the \( i \)th sample of this base. Any sample \( x_i \) has \( p \) descriptive variables, i.e. it can be expressed as follows:

\[
x_i = \begin{pmatrix} x_{i1} & x_{i2} & \cdots & x_{ip} \end{pmatrix}
\]

In practice, to be able to apply the SVM method correctly, it is first necessary to choose the descriptive parameters well because the selection of these parameters is crucial in the classification of the data.

The second step is to find the optimal hyperplane which will divide the training data in half so that all points of the same type are on the same side of the hyperplane because the plane will be divided into two different parts, and each part will have the same type of points.
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Then, we seek the optimal hyperplane to separate these two types of points, i.e. we seek to maximize the distances between the points of the learning classes and the hyperplane, this distance is called the margin, and the minimum distance points are called support vectors. There are two types of separation methods: linear separation and non-linear separation.

2.1 Linear separation or linear SVMs:

Linearly separable cases are the simplest cases of SVM, because they make it easy to find the hyperplane (line) separating the classes, so we are just trying to maximize the classifier margin, to find a good separating hyperplane.

2.2 Nonlinear separation or nonlinear SVMs:

In real SVM applications, classes cannot be separated linearly, so we are working with nonlinear SVM to work around this problem. That is to say, by applying a nonlinear transformation to the data to change dimension and easily find a hyperplane classification in this new space, and also to give the classifier more freedom to correctly classify the points even if they are initially points on the wrong side of the initial hyperplane (non-separable categories).

The optimization problem is written as follows:

\[
\{ \min \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i \forall i, \ y_i (w \cdot x_i + b) \geq 1 - \epsilon_i \}
\]

Where w and b are parameters of the hyperplane, C is the weight given to samples located on the wrong side of the separation boundary (also called regularization constraint), \(\epsilon_i\) are parameters which allow to consider badly classified points.

Thanks to the kernel trick, the dual problem will be:

\[
\{ \max_{\alpha_i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j K(x_i, x_j) \forall i, \ 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq C, \ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i = 0 \}
\]

Where \(\alpha_i\) are the Lagrange multipliers, and \(K(., .)\) Represents the kernel function.

In practice, we find that there are few families of kernel functions still in use, and to choose the right type, the user has to perform several tests to determine which is the best for his application. In our applications we use the following kernel functions:

- **Linear**: \(K(x_i, x_j) = x_i^T x_j\)
- **Polynomial**: \(K(x_i, x_j) = (x_i^T x_j + 1)^d\)
- **Gaussian**: \(K(x_i, x_j) = \exp(-\frac{\|x_i - x_j\|^2}{\sigma^2})\)
- **Sigmoid**: \(K(x_i, x_j) = \tanh(k x_i^T x_j + b)\)

The optimal solution to this problem is therefore to determine the result of this function necessary for the classification of each sample:

\[
f(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \alpha_i y_i K(x_i, x) + b)
\]

Where \(x_i\) and \(y_i\) are respectively the support vectors and their membership classes.

2.3 The advantages of SVM:

- SVM is very efficient if the number of dimensions is high.
- If the dimension of the space is greater than the number of training samples, then SVM becomes efficient.

For the decision, SVM only uses a few training samples.
4.1 Descriptions of the data used:

**Premier Data:** ticket authentication \(^{[1]}\)

Table 1. The first five rows of ticket authentication data set.

| Variance | Skewness | Kurtosis | Entropy | Class |
|----------|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 3.6216   | 8.6661   | -2.8073  | -0.44699| 0     |
| 4.5459   | 8.1674   | -2.4586  | -1.4621 | 0     |
| 3.866    | -2.6383  | 1.9242   | 0.10645 | 0     |
| 3.4566   | 9.5228   | -4.0112  | -3.5944 | 0     |
| 0.32924  | -4.4552  | 4.5718   | -0.9888 | 0     |

**Data Set Information:**

These data were obtained from images taken from real samples of banknotes and counterfeit samples that resemble banknotes. It is made up of 400 x 400 pixels.

**Attribute Information:**

1. variance of Transformed image.
2. skewness of Transformed image.
3. curtosis of Transformed image.
4. entropy of image.
5. Class.

**Secondary data:** Iris datasets \(^{[2]}\)

Table 2. The first five rows of of Iris datasets.

| Id | Sepal Length cm | Sepal Width cm | Petal Length cm | Petal Width cm | Species     |
|----|-----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|
| 1  | 5.1             | 3.5           | 1.4            | 0.2           | Irssetosa   |
| 2  | 4.9             | 3.0           | 1.4            | 0.2           | Irssetosa   |
| 3  | 4.7             | 3.2           | 1.3            | 0.2           | Irssetosa   |
| 4  | 4.6             | 3.1           | 1.5            | 0.2           | Irssetosa   |
| 5  | 5.0             | 3.6           | 1.4            | 0.2           | Irssetosa   |

**Data Set Information:**

This dataset contains 3 species and each type contains 50 different cases, with each case referring to a type of iris plant.

**Attribute Information:**

1. id
2. sepal Length in cm
3. Petal Width in cm
4. petal Length in cm
5. petal width in cm
6. Species :
   - -- Iris Setosa
   - -- Iris Versicoulour
   - -- Iris Virginica

**Third Data:** Wisconsin Breast Cancer Data Set (Diagnosis) \(^{[3]}\)

Table 3. The first five rows of of Breast Cancer Data Set.

| Id   | Diagnosis | Radius mean | Texture mean | ... | Symmetry worst | Fractal dimension worst |
|------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-----|----------------|------------------------|
| 842302 | M         | 17.99       | 10.38        | ... | 0.4601         | 0.1189                 |
| 842517 | M         | 20.57       | 17.77        | ... | 0.275          | 0.08902                |
| 8430090| M         | 19.69       | 21.25        | ... | 0.3613         | 0.08758                |
| 8434830| M         | 11.42       | 20.38        | ... | 0.6638         | 0.173                  |
| 8438540| M         | 20.29       | 14.34        | ... | 0.2364         | 0.07678                |

**Data Set Information:**

Features are calculated from a fine needle aspiration (FNA) scanned image of a breast mass. They describe the characteristics of the cell nuclei present in the image.
3-32. Ten real-value characteristics are calculated for each cell nucleus:

a) Radius (average of the distances from the center to the points of the perimeter).

b) Texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values)

c) Perimeter.

d) Area.

e) Fineness (local variation of radius lengths).

f) Compactness (perimeter^2 / area -1.0).

g) Concavity (severity of concave portions of the contour).

h) Concave points (number of concave parts of the contour).

i) Symmetry.

j) Fractal dimension (coastline approximation -1)

The mean, standard error, and "worst" or more (average of the three largest values) of these characteristics were calculated for each image, resulting in 30 characteristics.

4.2 Results and discussion:

When applying the SVM algorithm on the three available datasets, we recorded all the results obtained for each data and for each change of kernel function, and we obtained the following results:

![Fig. 4. The curve of variation of the Accuracy and the Loss for the first data](image)

We noticed that the best precision we get in the first data is when we use the linear kernel function or the Gaussian function, because when we use both we get an accuracy equal to 99.27%.

![Fig. 5. The curve of variation of the Accuracy and the Loss for the second data](image)

For the second data, the best accuracy we get is when using the linear function, because for the first group the accuracy was 100%, which is the best classification accuracy we got when the application of all the functions to the three Data.

![Fig. 6. The curve of variation of the Accuracy and the Loss for the third data](image)

As for the third database, the highest accuracy rate is 95.61%, is reached when using the linear function.

We also note that the worst accuracy we get in all of this data is when using the "Sigmoid" kernel function. This does not mean it is always inefficient, as you may find it to be much better than other functions in other cases, especially when the number of classes becomes very large, in which case the linear function becomes less precise.

And here are the confusion matrices we found for each app:
Regarding the confusion matrices, we also noticed that the best confusion matrix we got was when we used the linear kernel function in the second data, where all the data was laid out in the right place. We also noticed that the Sigmoid kernel function is misclassifying data as it classifies all this data into one category, for the second data as well as for the third data, which also shows that they cannot be used to classify these data types.

5 CONCLUSION:

SVM is one of the most important machine learning algorithms for data classification because its accuracy can reach 100% as we have seen before. It is also a good classifier when there are many classes and its use has become widespread in most fields and sciences. And Often, nonlinear SVMs are used in classification using the use of kernel function, and to get the best precision, the user should try all the functions and then compare the results to get the best function to use.
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