EQUIVARIANT $K$-THEORY OF FINITE DIMENSIONAL REAL VECTOR SPACES

SIEGFRIED ECHTERHOFF AND OLIVER PFANTE

Abstract. We give a general formula for the equivariant complex $K$-theory $K^*_G(V)$ of a finite dimensional real linear space $V$ equipped with a linear action of a compact group $G$ in terms of the representation theory of a certain double cover of $G$. Using this general formula, we give explicit computations in various interesting special cases. In particular, as an application we obtain explicit formulas for the $K$-theory of $C^*_r(GL(n, \mathbb{R}))$, the reduced group $C^*$-algebra of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

0. Introduction

Let $G$ be a compact group acting linearly on the real vector space $V$. In this paper we want to give explicit formulas for the complex equivariant $K$-theory $K^*_G(V)$ depending on the action of the given group $G$ on $V$. By use of the positive solution of the Connes-Kasparov conjecture in [5], this will also provide explicit formulas for the $K$-theory of $C^*_r(H)$ for any second countable almost connected group $H$ depending on the action of the maximal compact subgroup $G$ of $H$ on the tangent space $V = T_{eG}(H/G)$.

If the action of $G$ on $V$ is orientation preserving (which is always the case if $G$ is connected) and lifts to a homomorphism of $G$ to Spin($V$) (or even Spin$^c(V)$), we get the well-known answer from the equivariant Bott-periodicty theorem. It implies that

$$K^*_G(V) \cong K^{*+\dim(V)(pt)}_G = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \hat{G}_\zeta} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{if } * + \dim(V) \text{ is even}$$

$$\{0\} \quad \text{if } * + \dim(V) \text{ is odd}$$

The obstruction for a linear action of $G$ on $V$ to lift to a homomorphism into Spin($V$) is given by the Stiefel-Witney class $[\zeta] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, where we write $\mathbb{Z}_2 := \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. This class $\zeta$ determines a central group extension

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow G_\zeta \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1.$$ 

If we denote by $-1$ the nontrivial element of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \subseteq G_\zeta$, then the irreducible representations of $G_\zeta$ can be divided into the disjoint subsets $\hat{G}^+_\zeta$ and $\hat{G}^-_\zeta$ with

$$\hat{G}^+_\zeta := \{\rho \in \hat{G} : \rho(-1) = 1_{V_\rho}\} \quad \hat{G}^-_\zeta := \{\rho \in \hat{G} : \rho(-1) = -1_{V_\rho}\}.$$

It is then well known (eg., see [5, §7]) that $K^*_G(V)$ is a free abelian group with one generator for every element $\rho \in \hat{G}^-_\zeta$. In particular, it follows that the equivariant $K$-theory of $V$ is always concentrated in $\dim(V) \mod 2$ if the action of $G$ on $V$ is orientation preserving.

The situation becomes more complicated if the action of $G$ on $V$ is not orientation preserving. As examples show, in this case non-trivial $K$-groups may appear in all dimensions. The situation has been studied in case of finite groups by Karoubi in [7]. In this paper we use different methods to give a general description of $K^*_G(V)$.
Theorem 0.1. Suppose that $K$ be the set of negative representations of $\rho$ on $V$ with $Pin(V)$ where $Pin(V)$ is any continuous homomorphism, let $G = O(V)$ is a central extension of $G$ by $\mathbb{Z}_2$ with quotient map $q : G \to G$ given by the projection to the second factor.

Let $K_\rho \subseteq G$ denote the pre-image of $SO(V)$ under the homomorphism $\rho \circ q : G \to O(V)$. Then $K_\rho \cong G_\rho$, if the action of $G$ on $V$ is orientation preserving, and $[G_\rho : K_\rho] = 2$ otherwise. The group $G_\rho$ acts on $\tilde{K}_\rho$ by conjugation. Let

$$\tilde{K}_\rho^- = \{ \tau \in \tilde{K}_\rho : \tau(-1) = -1_{V_\tau} \}$$

be the set of negative representations of $K_\rho$. This set is invariant under the action of $G_\rho$. Write $O_1$ as the set of all orbits of length one in $\tilde{K}_\rho^-$ and $O_2$ as the set of all orbits of length two in $\tilde{K}_\rho^-$. We then get the following general result:

**Theorem 0.1.** Suppose that $G$ is a compact group acting on the even dimensional real vector space $V$ via the homomorphism $\rho : G \to O(V)$. Then, using the above notations, we have:

(i) If $\rho(G) \subseteq SO(V)$, then

$$K_0^G(V) \cong \bigoplus_{[\tau] \in \tilde{G}_\rho^-} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1^G(V) = \{0\}.$$

(ii) If $\rho(G) \not\subseteq SO(V)$, then

$$K_0^G(V) \cong \bigoplus_{[\tau] \in O_2} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1^G(V) \cong \bigoplus_{[\tau] \in O_2} \mathbb{Z}.$$

The odd-dimensional case can easily be reduced to the even case by passing from $V$ to $V \oplus \mathbb{R}$ together with Bott-periodicity. The main tool for proving the theorem is Kasparov’s KK-theoretic version of equivariant Bott-periodicity, which provides a $KK^G_*$-equivalence between $C_0(V)$ and the complex Clifford-algebra $Cl(V)$ (e.g. see [8, Theorem 7]). By the Green-Julg theorem, this reduces everything to a study of $KK(\mathbb{C}, Cl(V) \rtimes G)$, which then leads to the above representation theoretic description of $K_*^G(V)$.

After having shown the above general theorem we shall consider various special cases in which we present more explicit formulas. In particular we shall consider the case of finite groups in §3 and the case of actions of $O(n)$ in §4 below. In particular, we show that for the canonical action of $O(n)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ we always get $K_1^{O(n)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{0\}$ and

$$K_0^{O(n)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$
(see Example 3.2 for the case \(n = 1\) and Theorem 4.7 for the case \(n > 1\)). Another interesting action of \(O(n)\) is the action by conjugation on the space \(V_n\) of symmetric matrices in \(M(n, \mathbb{R})\). By (the solution of) the Connes-Kasparov conjecture we have
\[
K_c(C_r^*(GL(n, \mathbb{R}))) \cong K_{O(n)}(V_n)
\]
which now allows us to give explicit computations of these groups in all cases (see Theorem 4.9 below) In particular, in case \(n = 2\) we get
\[
K_0(C_r^*(GL(2, \mathbb{R}))) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1(C_r^*(GL(2, \mathbb{R}))) \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z},
\]
which also shows that, for \(K^*_c(V)\), it is possible to have infinite rank in one dimension and finite rank in the other. While these results are stated and prepared in §4, some representation theoretical background and part of the proof are given in §5.

This paper is based on the Diplom thesis of the second named author written under the direction of the first named author at the University of Münster. The authors are grateful to Linus Kramer for some useful discussions.

1. Some preliminaries on Clifford algebras

For the readers convenience, we recall in this section some basic facts on Clifford algebras which will be used throughout this paper. For this let \(V\) be a fixed finite dimensional real vector space equipped with an inner product \((\cdot, \cdot)\). We denote by \(Cl_R(V)\) the real and by \(Cl(V) = Cl_R(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}\) the complex Clifford algebras of \(V\) with respect to this inner product. Recall that \(Cl_R(V)\) is the universal algebra generated by the elements of \(V\) subject to the relation
\[
v \cdot v = -(v, v) 1.
\]
Every element of \(Cl_R(V)\) is a finite linear combination of elements of the form \(v_1 \cdot v_2 \cdots v_k\) with \(0 \leq k \leq \dim(V)\) and there is a canonical \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-grading on \(Cl_R(V)\) with grading operator
\[
\alpha : Cl_R(V) \to Cl_R(V); \alpha(v_1 \cdots v_k) = (-1)^k v_1 \cdots v_k.
\]
We shall write \(Cl_R(V)^0\) and \(Cl_R(V)^1\) for the even and odd graded elements of \(Cl_R(V)\), respectively. We also have an involution on \(Cl_R(V)\) given by \((v_1 \cdots v_k)^* = (-1)^k v_k \cdots v_1\). With this notation, the Pin-group is defined as
\[
\text{Pin}(V) = \{x \in Cl_R(V) : x^* x = 1 \text{ and } xvx^* \in V \text{ for all } v \in V\}
\]
and \(\text{Spin}(V) = \text{Pin}(V) \cap Cl_R(V)^0\), where we regard \(V\) as a linear subspace of \(Cl_R(V)\) in the canonical way. Similar statements hold for the complex Clifford algebra \(Cl(V)\) if we replace \(V\) by its complexification \(V_\mathbb{C} = V \otimes \mathbb{C}\). In particular, we obtain the complex Pin-group
\[
\text{Pin}^c(V) = \{x \in Cl(V) : x^* x = 1 \text{ and } xvx^* \in V \text{ for all } v \in V\},
\]
and \(\text{Spin}^c(V) = \text{Pin}^c(V) \cap Cl(V)^0\), where we regard \(V\) as a linear subspace of \(Cl(V)\) via the inclusion \(x \mapsto x \otimes 1\) of \(Cl_R(V)\) into \(Cl(V)\). Note that this map also induces an inclusion \(\iota : \text{Pin}(V) \to \text{Pin}^c(V)\).

If \(V\) is even dimensional with dimension \(2n\), then \(Cl(V)\) is isomorphic to the full matrix algebra \(M_{2^n}(\mathbb{C})\) and the grading on \(Cl(V)\) is given by conjugation with the element
\[
J := e_1 e_2 \cdots e_{2^n} \in \text{Spin}(V),
\]
where \( \{e_1, \ldots, e_{2n}\} \) is any given orthonormal base of \( V \). Moreover, there is a short exact sequence

\[
1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \text{Pin}(V) \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}} \text{O}(V) \longrightarrow 1,
\]

where for \( x \in \text{Pin}(V) \) the transformation \( \text{Ad}(x) \in \text{O}(V) \) is defined by \( \text{Ad}(x)(v) = xv x^* \). The group \( \text{Spin}(V) \) is the inverse image of \( \text{SO}(V) \) under the adjoint homomorphism. For more details on Clifford algebras and the Pin-groups we refer to [2].

Notice that an analogue of the above extension is given in the odd-dimensional case by

\[
1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \text{Pin}(V) \xrightarrow{A} \text{O}(V) \longrightarrow 1,
\]

where \( A : \text{Pin}(V) \rightarrow \text{O}(V) \) denotes the twisted adjoint given by \( A(x)(v) = \alpha(x)vx^* \). But this will not play a serious rôle in this paper.

Suppose now that \( G \) is a compact group and that \( \rho : G \rightarrow \text{O}(V) \) is a linear representation of \( G \) on \( V \). Then \( \rho \) induces an action \( \gamma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{Cl}(V)) \) by defining

\[
\gamma_g(v_1 \cdots v_k) = \rho(g)(v_1) \cdots \rho(g)(v_k).
\]

Note that if \( x \in \text{Pin}^c(V) \) such that \( \text{Ad}(x) = \rho(g) \) for some \( g \in G \), then

\[
\gamma_g(v) = xvyx^* \tag{1.3}
\]

for all \( y \in \text{Cl}(V) \), which can be checked on the basic elements \( x = v_1 \cdots v_k \). Note that this action is compatible with the grading \( \alpha = \text{Ad} J \) on \( \text{Cl}(V) \).

If \( \dim(V) \) is even, we define

\[
G_{\rho} := \{(x, g) \in \text{Pin}(V) \times G : \text{Ad}(x) = \rho(g)\}.
\]

Then the kernel of the projection \( q : G_{\rho} \rightarrow G \) equals \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) and we obtain a central extension

\[
1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow G_{\rho} \xrightarrow{q} G \longrightarrow 1. \tag{1.4}
\]

Let \( u : G_{\rho} \rightarrow \text{Pin}(V) ; u(x, g) = x \) denote the canonical homomorphism. Then it follows from (1.3) that

\[
\gamma_g(y) = u(x, g)yu(x, g)^* \tag{1.5}
\]

for all \( y \in \text{Cl}(V) \). Note also that for each \( v \in \text{Pin}^c(V) \) we have the equation

\[
JvJ^* = \alpha(v) = \det(\text{Ad}(v))v
\]

which follows from the fact that for \( v \in \text{Pin}^c(V) \) the transformation \( \text{Ad} v \) on \( V \) has determinant 1 if and only if \( x \in \text{Cl}(V)^0 \). In particular we get

\[
Ju(x, g)J^* = \det \rho(g)u(x, g) \quad \text{for all } (x, g) \in G_{\rho}. \tag{1.6}
\]

If \( \dim(V) \) is odd, we consider the homomorphism \( \tilde{\rho} : G \rightarrow \text{O}(V \oplus \mathbb{R}) \) given by \( \tilde{\rho}(g) = (\rho(g) 0) \). We then put \( G_{\tilde{\rho}} := G_{\tilde{\rho}} \). In this way we obtain a similar central extension as in (1.4) in the odd-dimensional case.
2. The main result

Throughout this section we assume that \( \rho : G \to O(V) \) is a linear action of the compact group \( G \) on the finite-dimensional real vector space \( V \) and we let \( \gamma : G \to \text{Aut}(\text{Cl}(V)) \) denote the corresponding action on the complex Clifford algebra \( \text{Cl}(V) \). Let us recall Kasparov’s KK-theoretic version of the Bott-periodicity theorem:

**Theorem 2.1** ([8, Theorem 7]). Let \( \rho : G \to O(V) \) be as above. Then there are classes \( \alpha \in \text{KK}^G_0(\text{C}_0(V), \text{Cl}(V)) \) and \( \beta \in \text{KK}^G_0(\text{Cl}(V), \text{C}_0(V)) \) which are inverse to each other with respect to the Kasparov product and therefore induce a \( \text{KK}^G \)-equivalence between the graded \( C^* \)-algebra \( \text{Cl}(V) \) and the trivially graded algebra \( \text{C}_0(V) \).

From this theorem and the Green-Julg theorem (e.g., see [1, 20.2.7]), it follows that

\[
K^*_G(V) = \text{KK}^G_0(\text{C}, \text{C}_0(V)) \cong \text{KK}^G_0(\text{C}, \text{Cl}(V)) \cong \text{KK}^G_0(\text{C}, \text{Cl}(V) \rtimes \gamma G).
\]

So in order to describe the \( K \)-theory groups \( K^*_G(V) \) it suffices to compute the groups \( \text{KK}^G_0(\text{C}, \text{Cl}(V) \rtimes \gamma G) \). Note that we use the KK-notation here and not the notation \( K_* (\text{Cl}(V) \rtimes \gamma G) \), since it is important to keep in mind that \( \text{Cl}(V) \rtimes \gamma G \) is a graded algebra. Indeed, for any function \( f \in C(G, \text{Cl}(V)) \), regarded as a dense subalgebra of \( \text{Cl}(V) \rtimes \gamma G \), the grading operator \( \epsilon : \text{Cl}(V) \rtimes \gamma G \to \text{Cl}(V) \rtimes \gamma G \) is given by

\[
\epsilon(f)(g) = \alpha(f(g)),
\]

where \( \alpha : \text{Cl}(V) \to \text{Cl}(V) \) denotes the grading of \( \text{Cl}(V) \).

In case where \( \dim(V) = 2n \) is even, we shall explicitly describe the crossed product \( \text{Cl}(V) \rtimes \gamma G \) as a direct sum of full matrix algebras indexed by certain representations of the compact group \( G_{\rho} \) as defined in (1.4).

In general, we have \( \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{ \pm 1 \} \) as a central subgroup of \( G_{\rho} \) which gives us a distinct element \( -1 \in G_{\rho} \). We then write \( -g \) for \( (1)g \) for all \( g \in G_{\rho} \). A function \( f \in C(G_{\rho}) \) is said to be even (resp. odd), if \( f(-g) = f(g) \) (resp. \( f(-g) = -f(g) \)) for all \( g \in G_{\rho} \). The even functions can be identified with \( C(G) \) in a canonical way, and a short computation shows that the convolution on \( C(G_{\rho}) \) restricts to ordinary convolution on \( C(G) \subseteq C(G_{\rho}) \). Similarly, the set of odd functions \( C(G_{\rho})^- \) is also closed under convolution and involution, and we shall write \( C^*(G_{\rho})^- \) for its closure in \( C^*(G_{\rho}) \). With this notation we get

**Lemma 2.2.** The decomposition of \( C(G_{\rho}) \) into even and odd functions induces a direct sum decomposition

\[
C^*(G_{\rho}) = C^*(G) \bigoplus C^*(G_{\rho})^-
\]

with projections \( \phi^+ : C^*(G_{\rho}) \to C^*(G) \), \( \phi^- : C^*(G_{\rho}) \to C^*(G_{\rho})^- \) given on \( f \in C(G_{\rho}) \) by

\[
\phi^+(f)(g) = \frac{1}{2}(f(g) + f(-g)) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^-(f)(g) = \frac{1}{2}(f(g) - f(-g)).
\]

There is a corresponding decomposition of \( \widehat{G}_{\rho} \) as a disjoint union \( \widehat{G} \cup \widehat{G}_{\rho}^- \) with

\[
\widehat{G}_{\rho}^- := \{ \tau \in \widehat{G}_{\rho} : \tau(-1) = -1_{V_{\tau}} \}.
\]
Proof. The proof is fairly straight-forward: If $\tau : G_\rho \to U(V_\tau)$ is any irreducible unitary representation of $G_\rho$, then $\tau(-1)$ commutes with $\tau(g)$ for all $g \in G_\rho$ and since $\tau(-1)^2 = 1_{V_\tau}$, it follows from Schur’s lemma that either $\tau(-1) = 1_{V_\tau}$ or $\tau(-1) = -1_{V_\tau}$. In the first case, the representation factors through an irreducible representation of $G$ via the quotient map $q : G_\rho \to G$. Thus we obtain a decomposition of the dual $\hat{G}_\rho$ into the disjoint union $\hat{G}_\rho = \hat{G} \cup \hat{G}^-$. One easily checks that the even (resp. odd) functions on $G_\rho$ are annihilated by (the integrated forms of) all elements of $\hat{G}^-$ (resp. $\hat{G}$), which then implies that this decomposition of $\hat{G}_\rho$ corresponds to the above described decomposition of $C^*(G_\rho)$.

Definition 2.3. A representation $\tau$ of $G_\rho$ is called negative if $\tau(-1) = -1_{V_\tau}$.

The negative representations are precisely those unitary representation of $G_\rho$ which factor through $C^*(G_\rho)^-$. In what follows next, we want to show that in case where dim$(V) = 2n$ is even, there is a canonical isomorphism $Cl(V) \cong C^*(G_\rho)^-) \cong Cl(V) \times_{\gamma} G$. To prepare for this result we first note that the tensor product $Cl(V) \otimes C^*(G_\rho)^-$ can be realized as the closure of the odd functions $f \in C(G_\rho, Cl(V))$ in the crossed product $Cl(V) \rtimes_{id} G_\rho$ with respect to the trivial action of $G_\rho$ on $Cl(V)$. The representations are given by the integrated forms $\varphi \times \sigma$ of pairs of representations $(\varphi, \sigma)$ on Hilbert spaces $H$ such that $\varphi$ is a $*$-representation of $Cl(V)$, $\sigma$ is a negative unitary representation of $G_\rho$, and

$$\varphi(x)\sigma_g = \sigma_g\varphi(x) \quad \forall g \in G_\rho, x \in Cl(V).$$

For the following proposition recall that $q : G_\rho \to G$ denotes the quotient map.

Proposition 2.4. Suppose that dim$(V) = 2n$ is even. Then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\Theta : Cl(V) \otimes C^*(G_\rho)^- \xrightarrow{\cong} Cl(V) \times_{\gamma} G$$

which sends an odd function $f \in C(G_\rho, Cl(V))$ to the function $\Theta(f) \in C(G, Cl(V)) \subseteq Cl(V) \times_{\gamma} G$, given by

$$\Theta(f)(q(g)) = \frac{1}{2}(f(g)u_g + f(-g)u_{-g}).$$

Under this isomorphism, a representation $\varphi \times \sigma$ of $Cl(V) \otimes C^*(G_\rho)^-$ on a Hilbert space $H$ corresponds to the representation $\varphi \times \tau$ of $Cl(V) \times_{\gamma} G$ on $H$ with $\tau : G \to U(H)$ given by

$$\tau(q(g)) = \varphi(u_g^*\sigma(g)).$$

Proof. For the proof we first inflate the action $\gamma : G \to Aut(Cl(V))$ to an action $\tilde{\gamma} : G_\rho \to Aut(Cl(V))$ in the obvious way. It follows then from (1.5) that this action is implemented by the canonical homomorphism $u : G_\rho \to Pin(V)$ in such a way that

$$\tilde{\gamma}_g = Ad u_g$$

for all $g \in G_\rho$. It follows that the crossed product $Cl(V) \rtimes_{\tilde{\gamma}} G_\rho$ is isomorphic to $Cl(V) \rtimes_{id} G_\rho \cong C^*(G_\rho) \otimes Cl(V)$ with isomorphism $\Phi : Cl(V) \rtimes_{id} G_\rho \to Cl(V) \times_{\gamma} G_\rho$ given on the dense subalgebra $C(G_\rho, Cl(V))$ by

$$(\Phi(f))(g) = f(g)u_g.$$

On the other hand, we have a canonical surjective $*$-homomorphism

$$\Psi : Cl(V) \rtimes_{\tilde{\gamma}} G_\rho \to Cl(V) \times_{\gamma} G$$
given on $C(G_{ρ},\text{Cl}(V))$ by $Ψ(f)(q(g)) = \frac{1}{2}(f(g)+f(-g))$.

We claim that the $*$-homomorphism $Θ : \text{Cl}(V)⊗C^{∗}(G_{ρ}) → \text{Cl}(V) ×_{γ} G$ given by the composition

$$\text{Cl}(V)⊗C^{∗}(G_{ρ}) ≅ \text{Cl}(V) ×_{\text{id}} G ρ → \text{Cl}(V) ×_{γ} G ρ → \text{Cl}(V) ×_{γ} G$$

factors through the desired isomorphism $\text{Cl}(V)⊗C^{∗}(G_{ρ}) → \text{Cl}(V) ×_{γ} G$. It is then clear that it is given by the formula as in the proposition.

For the proof of the claim it suffices to show that the map

$$\tilde{Θ} : (\text{Cl}(V) ×_{γ} G)^{∗} → (\text{Cl}(V)⊗C^{∗}(G_{ρ}))^{∗}; \varphi × τ → (\varphi × τ)⊙Θ$$

is injective with image $(\text{Cl}(V)⊗C^{∗}(G_{ρ}))^{∗}$. To see this let $(\varphi, τ)$ be any covariant representation of $(\text{Cl}(V), G, γ)$ on the Hilbert space $H$. Composing it with the quotient map $Ψ$ gives the covariant representation $(\varphi, τ⊙q)$ of $(\text{Cl}(V), G_{ρ}, γ)$. This representation corresponds to the representation $(\varphi, σ)$ of $(\text{Cl}(V), G_{ρ}, \text{id})$ with $σ : G_{ρ} → U(V_{τ})$ given by

$$σ_{g} = \varphi(u_{g})·τ_{q(g)}.$$

To see this, we simply compute for given $f ∈ C(G_{ρ}, \text{Cl}(V))$ the integrated form

$$\varphi × τ⊙q(Ψ(f)) = \int_{G_{ρ}} \varphi(Ψ(f)(g))τ_{q(g)} dg$$

$$= \int_{G_{ρ}} ϕ(f(g)u_{g})τ_{q(g)} dg = \varphi × σ(f).$$

Since $u_{-1} = -1$ in $\text{Cl}(V)$, we see that $σ(-1) = ϕ(-1)τ(1) = -1_{H}$, which implies that $σ$ factors through a representation of $C^{∗}(G_{ρ})^{−}$, and therefore $ϕ × σ = (ϕ × τ)⊙Θ$ is an irreducible representation of $\text{Cl}(V)⊗C^{∗}(G_{ρ})^{−}$. Conversely, assume that a representation $ϕ × σ$ of $\text{Cl}(V)⊗C^{∗}(G_{ρ})^{−}$ on a Hilbert space $H$ is given. Then one checks that $(ϕ, τ)$ with $τ(q(g)) = ϕ(u_{g}∗)σ(g)$ is a covariant representation of $(\text{Cl}(V), G, γ)$ such that $ϕ × σ = (ϕ × τ)⊙Θ$, and the result follows. □

Recall that by the Peter-Weyl Theorem the $C^{∗}$-algebra $C^{∗}(G_{ρ})$ of the compact group $G_{ρ}$ has a decomposition

$$C^{∗}(G_{ρ}) = \bigoplus_{τ ∈ G_{ρ}} \text{End}(V_{τ})$$

with projection from $C^{∗}(G_{ρ})$ onto the summand $\text{End}(V_{τ})$ given by $f → τ(f) = \int_{G_{ρ}} f(g)τ_{g} dg$. The above decomposition together with Proposition 2.4 induces a decomposition

$$\text{Cl}(V) ×_{γ} G ≅ \bigoplus_{τ ∈ G_{ρ}} \text{Cl}(V) ⊗ \text{End}(V_{τ})$$

We need to analyze the grading on the direct sum decomposition induced by the grading $ε$ of $\text{Cl}(V) ×_{γ} G$. Recall that the latter is given on functions $f ∈ C(G, \text{Cl}(V))$ by

$$ε(f)(g) = α(f(g)) = Jf(g)J^{∗}$$

with $J = e_{1} · · · e_{2n}$ and $\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2n}\}$ an orthonormal basis of $V$. If $Θ$ is the isomorphism of Proposition 2.4 we compute for any elementary tensor $x ⊗ f ∈$
We first assume that dim(C(V) ⊗ C(Gρ)) = \( J \Theta(x ⊗ f)(q(g)) \).

\[
\epsilon(\Theta(x ⊗ f))(q(g)) = J \Theta(x ⊗ f)(q(g)) J^*
\]

\[
= J \frac{1}{2} (xf(g)u_g + xf(-g)u_{-g}) J^*
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} (Jx J^* f(g)Ju_g J^* + Jx J^* f(-g)Ju_{-g} J^*)
\]

\[
= Jx J^* \det(\rho(q(g))) \frac{1}{2} (f(g)u_g + f(-g)u_{-g})
\]

\[
= \Theta(\alpha(x) \otimes (\det \circ \rho) \cdot f)(q(g)),
\]

where the second to last equation follows from (1.6). This shows that the grading on \( Cl(V) \otimes C^*(G_\rho) \) corresponding to \( \epsilon \) is the diagonal grading given by the standard grading \( \alpha \) on \( Cl(V) \) and the grading on \( C^*(G_\rho) \) given on \( C(G_\rho) \) via point-wise multiplication with the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-valued character \( \mu : G_\rho \to \mathbb{Z}_2; \mu(g) = \det \rho \circ q(g) \).

Now, for any function \( f \in C(G_\rho) \) and \( \tau \in \widehat{G_\rho} \) we get

\[
\tau(\mu \cdot f)(g) = \int_{G_\rho} \mu(g) f(g) \tau_g g = (\mu \cdot \tau)(f),
\]

which implies that the corresponding grading on \( C^*(G_\rho) \) is induced by the grading on \( Cl(V) \otimes C^*(G_\rho) \) fixed by the grading and \( \tau \) intertwines \( \text{End}(V_\gamma) \) if \( \tau \equiv \mu \cdot \tau \) and intertwines \( \text{End}(V_\gamma) \) if \( \mu \cdot \tau \not\equiv \tau \).

Write \( M_\tau := Cl(V) \otimes \text{End}(V_\gamma) \). Then \( M_\tau \) is isomorphic to a full matrix algebra. If \( \tau \equiv \mu \cdot \tau \), this summand of \( Cl(V) \otimes C^*(G_\rho) \) is fixed by the grading and \( M_\tau \) is Morita equivalent (as graded algebra) to the trivially graded algebra \( M_\tau \). If \( \mu \cdot \tau \not\equiv \tau \), the grading intertwines \( M_\tau \) with \( M_{\mu \cdot \tau} \) and the direct sum \( M_\tau \bigoplus M_{\mu \cdot \tau} \) is isomorphic to the algebra \( M_\tau \bigoplus M_\tau \) with the standard odd grading given by \( (S, T) \mapsto (T, S) \).

Thus \( M_\tau \bigoplus M_{\mu \cdot \tau} \) is isomorphic to \( M_\tau \otimes Cl_1 \), where \( Cl_1 = \mathbb{C} \bigoplus \mathbb{C} \) denotes the first Clifford algebra. We therefore obtain a decomposition

\[
(2.1) \quad Cl(V) \rtimes_\gamma G \cong \left( \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{O}_1} M_\tau \right) \bigoplus \left( \bigoplus_{\{\tau, \mu \cdot \tau\} \in \mathcal{O}_2} M_\tau \otimes Cl_1 \right),
\]

where \( \mathcal{O}_1 \) denotes the set of fixed points in \( \widehat{G_\rho} \) under the order-two transformation \( \tau \mapsto \mu \cdot \tau \), and \( \mathcal{O}_2 \) denotes the set of orbits of length two under this action. Using this, it is now easy to prove:

**Theorem 2.5.** Let \( \rho : G \to O(V) \) be a linear action of the compact group \( G \) on the finite-dimensional real vector space \( V \) and let \( \gamma : G \to \text{Aut}(Cl(V)) \) denote the corresponding action on \( Cl(V) \). Let \( \widehat{G_\rho} \), \( \mathcal{O}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{O}_2 \) be as above. Then

\[
K^*_G(V) \cong KK_*(\mathbb{C}, Cl(V) \rtimes_\gamma G) = \left\{ \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{O}_1} Z \quad \text{if } \ast + \text{dim}(V) = 0 \mod 2 \right\}.
\]

**Proof.** We first assume that \( \text{dim}(V) = 2n \) is even. The isomorphism \( K^*_G(V) \cong KK_*(\mathbb{C}, Cl(V) \rtimes_\gamma G) \) is Kasparov’s Bott-periodicity theorem. The decomposition
of \( CL(V) \times \gamma, G \) of (2.1) implies a decomposition

\[
\text{KK}^\ast (\mathbb{C}, \text{Cl}(V) \times \gamma, G) \cong \text{KK}^\ast (\mathbb{C}, \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{O}_1} M_\tau) \bigoplus \text{KK}^\ast (\mathbb{C}, \bigoplus_{\{\tau, \mu\tau\} \in \mathcal{O}_2} M_\tau \hat{\otimes} \text{Cl}_1)
\]

\[
= K^\ast \left( \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{O}_1} M_\tau \right) \bigoplus K_{\ast +1} \left( \bigoplus_{\{\tau, \mu\tau\} \in \mathcal{O}_2} M_\tau \right)
\]

\[
= \left( \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{O}_1} K^\ast (M_\tau) \right) \bigoplus \left( \bigoplus_{\{\tau, \mu\tau\} \in \mathcal{O}_2} K_{\ast +1}(M_\tau) \right),
\]

and the result follows from the fact that \( K_0(M) = \mathbb{Z} \) and \( K_1(M) = \{0\} \) for any full matrix algebra \( M \).

If \( \dim(V) \) is odd, we defined \( G_\rho = G_\tilde{\rho} \) where \( \tilde{\rho} : G \to O(V \bigoplus \mathbb{R}), \tilde{\rho}(g) = (\rho(g) \, 0 \, 1) \).

Note that we have \( K_0^G(V) \cong K_{\ast +1}^G(V \bigoplus \mathbb{R}) \) by Bott-periodicity. Thus the result follows from the even-dimensional case applied to the action \( \tilde{\rho} \) on \( V \bigoplus \mathbb{R} \).

\[ \Box \]

Note that the homomorphism \( \mu \) is trivial if and only if \( \rho \) takes image in \( SO(V) \), i.e., the action of \( G \) on \( V \) is orientation preserving. In this case we get \( \mathcal{O}_1 = \hat{G}_\rho \) and \( \mathcal{O}_2 = \emptyset \). Moreover, we then have

\[ G_\rho = \{(x, g) \in \text{Spin}(V) \times G : \text{Ad } x = \rho(g)\}. \]

This construction of \( G_\rho \) makes also sense if the dimension of \( V \) is odd, and it then coincides with the extension \( G_\tilde{\rho} \) we obtain by passing to the action \( \tilde{\rho} \) of \( G \) on \( V \bigoplus \mathbb{R} \).

We leave the verification of this simple fact to the reader. We therefore recover the following well-known result (e.g., see [5, §7]):

**Corollary 2.6.** Let \( \rho : G \to SO(V) \) be an orientation preserving linear action of the compact group \( G \) on the finite dimensional real vector space \( V \). Then

\[ K_0^G(V) \cong \left\{ \bigoplus_{\tau \in \hat{G}_\rho} \mathbb{Z} : \text{if } \ast + \dim(V) = 0 \text{ mod } 2 \right\} \cup \{0\} \text{ if } \ast + \dim(V) = 1 \text{ mod } 2. \]

At this point it might be interesting to notice, that for non-finite compact groups \( G \) the cardinality of \( \hat{G}_\rho \) is always countably infinite if \( G \) is second countable. This follows from

**Lemma 2.7.** Let \( 1 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \to H \to G \to 1 \) be a central extension of an infinite compact second countable group \( G \) by \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \). Then the set \( \hat{H}^- \) of equivalence classes of negative irreducible representations of \( H \) is countably infinite.

**Proof.** We decompose \( L^2(H) \) as a direct sum \( L^2(G) \bigoplus L^2(H)^- \), where we identify \( L^2(G) \) with the set of even functions in \( L^2(H) \), and where \( L^2(H)^- \) denotes the set of odd functions in \( L^2(H) \). Since \( G \) is not finite, both spaces are separable infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. The regular representation \( \lambda_H : H \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(H)) \) then decomposes into the direct sum \( \lambda_G \bigoplus \lambda_{\bar{H}}^- \), with \( \lambda_{\bar{H}}^- \) a negative representation of \( H \).

By the Peter-Weyl Theorem we get a decomposition

\[ \lambda_G \bigoplus \lambda_{\bar{H}}^- = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \hat{H}} d_\tau \cdot \tau, \]

where \( d_\tau \) denotes the dimension of \( \tau \) and \( d_\tau \cdot \tau \) stands for the \( d_\tau \)-fold direct sum of \( \tau \) with itself. Since a direct summand of a negative (resp. positive) representation
must be negative (resp. positive), we get the decomposition
\[ \lambda_H = \bigoplus_{\tau \in H^-} d_\tau. \]
The result now follows from the fact that all representations \( \tau \) in this decomposition are finite dimensional. \( \square \)

We proceed with a discussion of the special case, where the homomorphism \( \rho : G \to O(V) \) (resp. \( \tilde{\rho} : G \to O(V \oplus \mathbb{R}) \) in case where \( \dim(V) \) is odd) factors through a homomorphism \( \nu : G \to \text{Pin}^c(V) \) (resp. \( \text{Pin}^c(V \oplus \mathbb{R}) \)). We then say that the action satisfies a \text{Pin}^c-condition. If \( \rho(G) \subseteq SO(V) \), this implies that the action factors through a homomorphism to \( \text{Spin}^c(V) \), in which case we say that the action satisfies a \text{Spin}^c-condition. Assume first that \( \dim(V) \) is even. It follows then from (1.3) that the corresponding action on \( Cl(V) \) is given by \( \gamma = \text{Ad} \nu \), which then implies that
\[ Cl(V) \rtimes^\gamma G \cong Cl(V) \rtimes^\text{id} G \cong Cl(V) \otimes C^*(G) \]
with isomorphism \( \Theta : Cl(V) \rtimes^\text{id} G \to Cl(V) \rtimes^\gamma G \) given on functions \( f \in C(G, Cl(V)) \) by
\[ (\Theta(f))(g) = f(g)v_g. \]
Let \( \epsilon \) be the grading on \( Cl(V) \rtimes^\gamma G \). On elementary tensors \( x \otimes f \in Cl(V) \otimes C(G) \subset Cl(V) \otimes C^*(G) \) we compute
\[ \epsilon(\Theta(x \otimes f))(g) = J(xf(g)v_g)J^* = JxJ^* \det(\rho(g))f(g)v_g = \Theta(\epsilon(x) \otimes (\det \rho \cdot f))(g), \]
where the second to last equation follows from (1.6). So we see that if \( \mu : G \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \) denotes the character \( \mu = \det \circ \rho \) then the grading on \( Cl(V) \rtimes^\gamma G \) corresponds to the diagonal grading on \( Cl(V) \otimes C^*(G) \) given on the second factor by multiplication with the character \( \mu \). Passing to \( V \oplus \mathbb{R} \) in case where \( \dim(V) \) is odd, we now obtain the following theorem, where the proof proceeds precisely as in Theorem 2.5:

**Theorem 2.8.** Assume that the linear action \( \rho : G \to O(V) \) satisfies a \text{Pin}^c-condition as defined above. Let \( \mathcal{O}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{O}_2 \) denote the sets of orbits in \( \hat{G} \) under the order two transformation \( \tau \mapsto \mu \tau \) with \( \mu = \det \circ \rho : G \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \). Then
\[ K_\hat{G}(V) \cong \text{KK}^*(\mathbb{C}, Cl(V) \rtimes^\gamma G) = \left\{ \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{O}_1} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{if } * + \dim(V) = 0 \mod 2 \right\}. \]
In particular, if \( \rho \) satisfies a \text{Spin}^c-condition, then \( \mathcal{O}_1 = \hat{G} \) and \( \mathcal{O}_2 = \emptyset \) and then
\[ K_\hat{G}^*(V) \cong \text{KK}^*(\mathbb{C}, Cl(V) \rtimes^\gamma G) = \left\{ \bigoplus_{\tau \in \hat{G}} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{if } * + \dim(V) = 0 \mod 2 \right\}. \]

Note that the above statements are different from the statement given in the introduction, where we describe the \( K \)-groups in terms of the kernel \( K_\rho \) of the character \( \mu : G_\rho \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \) and the action of \( G_\rho \) on \( \hat{K}_\rho \).

In order to see that the above results can be formulated as in the introduction let us assume that \( G \) is any compact group, \( \mu : G \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \) is a non-trivial continuous group homomorphism, and \( K := \ker \mu \subseteq G \). Then \( K \) is a normal subgroup of index two in \( G \) and \( G \) acts on \( \hat{K} \) by conjugation. This action is trivial on \( K \) and therefore factors to an action of \( G/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \) on \( \hat{K} \). Thus the \( G \)-orbits in \( \hat{K} \) are either of length one or of length two.
Proposition 2.9. Let $\mu : G \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $K = \ker \mu$ as above. Consider the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on $\hat{G}$ given on the generator by multiplying with $\mu$ and let $\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong G/K$ act on $\hat{K}$ via conjugation. Then there is a canonical bijection

\[ \text{res} : \hat{G}/\mathbb{Z}_2 \to \hat{K}/\mathbb{Z}_2 \]

which maps orbits of length one in $\hat{G}$ to orbits of length two in $\hat{K}$ and vice versa.

This proposition is well known to the experts and follows from basic representation theory. An elementary proof in case of finite groups $G$ is given in [6, Theorem 4.2 and Korollar 4.3] and the same arguments work for general compact groups. Let $g \in G \setminus K$ be any fixed element. The basic steps for the proof are as follows:

- If $\tau$ is an irreducible representation of $G$, the restriction $\tau|_K$ is either irreducible, or it decomposes into the direct sum $\sigma \bigoplus g \cdot \sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \hat{K}$ with $g \cdot \sigma(k) = \sigma(g^{-1}k)$ for $k \in K$.
- $\tau|_K$ is irreducible if and only if $\tau \not\cong \mu \tau$.
- If $\tau|_K \cong \sigma \bigoplus g \cdot \sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \hat{K}$, then $\sigma \not\cong g \cdot \sigma$.

The map $\text{res} : \hat{G}/\mathbb{Z}_2 \to \hat{K}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ of the proposition is then given by sending the an orbit $\{\tau, \mu \tau\}$ of length two in $\hat{G}$ to the orbit $\{\tau|_K\}$ of length one in $\hat{K}$ and an orbit $\{\tau\}$ of length one in $\hat{G}$ to the orbit $\{\sigma, g \cdot \sigma\}$ of length two in $\hat{K}$ determined by $\tau|_K \cong \sigma \bigoplus g \cdot \sigma$. It follows from Frobenius reciprocity that this map is onto.

We now come back to the description of $K_G^*(V)$:

Corollary 2.10. Suppose that $G$ is a compact group and $\rho : G \to \text{O}(V)$ is a linear action of $G$ on the finite dimensional real vector space $V$. Assume that $\mu = \det \rho \circ q : G \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ is not trivial, i.e. the action of $G$ on $V$ is not orientation preserving. Let $K_{\rho} = \ker \mu \subseteq G$ and let

\[ \hat{K}_{\rho} = \{ \sigma \in \hat{K}_{\rho} : \sigma(-1) = -\text{I}_V \}. \]

Then $\hat{K}_{\rho}$ is invariant under the conjugation action of $G_{\rho}$ on $\hat{K}_{\rho}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ denote the set of orbits of length one or two in $\hat{K}_{\rho}$. Then

\[ K_G^*(V) \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_1} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * + \text{dim}(V) = 1 \text{ mod } 2 \\ \bigoplus_{\{\sigma, g\sigma\} \in \mathcal{O}_2} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * + \text{dim}(V) = 0 \text{ mod } 2 \end{cases}. \]

Proof. We first note that the central subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_2$ of $G_{\rho}$ lies in the kernel of $\mu$, since $\mu$ factors through $G$. So the definition of $\hat{K}_{\rho}$ makes sense. Moreover, since $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is central in $G_{\rho}$, we get $g(-1)g^{-1} = -1$ for all $g \in G_{\rho}$, which implies that $\hat{K}_{\rho}$ is invariant under the conjugation action. The description of the bijection $\text{res} : \hat{G}_{\rho}/\mathbb{Z}_2 \to \hat{K}_{\rho}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ of Proposition 2.9 given above now implies that it restricts to a bijection $\text{res}^- : \hat{G}_{\rho}/\mathbb{Z}_2 \to \hat{K}_{\rho}/\mathbb{Z}_2$, which maps orbits of length one to orbits of length two and vice versa. The result then follows directly from Theorem 2.5. \qed

In case where $\rho : G \to \text{O}(V)$ satisfies a Pin$^c$-condition as considered in Theorem 2.8, the same proof as for the above corollary together with Theorem 2.8 gives

Corollary 2.11. Suppose that the linear action $\rho : G \to \text{O}(V)$ satisfies a Pin$^c$-condition and assume that $\mu = \det \rho \circ q : G \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ is non-trivial. Let $K = \ker \mu \subseteq G$ and let $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ denote the set of $G$-orbits in $K$ of length one and two, respectively. Then

\[ K_G^*(V) \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_1} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * + \text{dim}(V) = 1 \text{ mod } 2 \\ \bigoplus_{\{\sigma, g\sigma\} \in \mathcal{O}_2} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * + \text{dim}(V) = 0 \text{ mod } 2 \end{cases}. \]
3. Actions of finite groups

In this section we want to study the case of finite groups in more detail. This case was already considered by Karoubi in [7], but the methods used here are different from those used by Karoubi. We first notice that it follows from Theorem 2.5 that for actions of finite groups $G$, the $K$-theory groups $K^*_G(V)$ are always finitely generated free abelian groups. In what follows next we want to give formulas for the ranks of these groups in terms of conjugacy classes. Recall that for every finite group $G$ the number $|\hat{G}|$ of (equivalence classes of) irreducible representations of $G$ equals the number $C_G$ of conjugacy classes in $G$.

We first look at the case where the action $\rho: G \to O(V)$ satisfies a Pin$^c$-condition. Let $\mu = \det \rho : V \to \mathbb{Z}_2$. If $\mu$ is trivial it follows from Theorem 2.8 that $\text{rank}(K^*_G(V)) = |\hat{G}| = C_G$ if $* + \dim(V) = 0 \mod 2$ and $\text{rank}(K^*_G(V)) = 0$ else.

If $\mu$ is non-trivial, let $K = \ker \mu$ and let $O_1$ and $O_2$ denote the number of $G$-orbits in $\hat{K}$ of length one and two, respectively. The numbers $O_1, O_2$ satisfy the equations

\begin{equation}
O_1 + 2O_2 = |\hat{K}| = C_K \quad \text{and} \quad 2O_1 + O_2 = |\hat{G}| = C_G.
\end{equation}

Indeed, the first equation follows from the obvious fact that $O_1 + 2O_2$ coincides with the number of irreducible representations of $K$ and it follows from Proposition 2.9 that $2O_1 + O_2$ coincides with the number of irreducible representations of $G$. By basic linear algebra the equations (3.5) have the unique solutions

\begin{equation}
O_1 = \frac{1}{3}(2C_G - C_K) \quad \text{and} \quad O_2 = \frac{1}{3}(2C_K - C_G).
\end{equation}

Combining all this with Corollary 2.11 implies

**Proposition 3.1.** Suppose that the linear action $\rho : G \to O(V)$ of the finite group $G$ satisfies a Pin$^c$-condition. Then

\begin{equation}
\text{rank}(K^*_G(V)) = \begin{cases} C_G & \text{if } * + \dim(V) = 0 \mod 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } * + \dim(V) = 1 \mod 2 \end{cases}
\end{equation}

if the action is orientation preserving, and

\begin{equation}
\text{rank}(K^*_G(V)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}(2C_K - C_G) & \text{if } * + \dim(V) = 0 \mod 2 \\ \frac{1}{3}(2C_G - C_K) & \text{if } * + \dim(V) = 1 \mod 2 \end{cases}
\end{equation}

if the action is not orientation preserving, where $K = \{g \in G : \det(\rho(g)) = 1\}$.

**Example 3.2.** Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_m$, the cyclic group of order $m$, and let $\rho : \mathbb{Z}_m \to O(V)$ be a linear action of $\mathbb{Z}_m$ on $V$. We claim that $\rho$ automatically satisfies a Pin$^c$-condition. For this let $g$ be a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_m$. By passing to $V \bigoplus \mathbb{R}$ if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that $\dim(V)$ is even. Choose $u \in \text{Pin}^c(V)$ such that $\text{Ad}(c) = \rho(g)$. Then $\text{Ad}(u^m) = \rho(g^m) = \rho(e) = 1_V$, and there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ with $u^m = \lambda$. Changing $u$ into $\zeta u$, where $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ is an $m$th root of $\lambda$, we obtain a well defined homomorphism $v : \mathbb{Z}_m \to \text{Pin}^c(V)$ which sends $g$ to $\zeta u$ such that $\text{Ad}(v(g^k)) = \rho(g^k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If the action $\rho$ takes image in $SO(V)$ (which is automatic if $m$ is odd), we get

\[ K^*_G(V) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^m & \text{if } * + \dim(V) = 0 \mod 2 \\ \{0\} & \text{if } * + \dim(V) = 1 \mod 2 \end{cases} \]
since \( C_G = m \). Assume now that \( m \) is even and the action is not orientation preserving. Then the group \( K = \ker(\det \circ \rho) \) is cyclic of order \( \frac{m}{2} \). With the values \( C_G = m \) and \( C_K = \frac{m}{2} \), Proposition 3.1 implies

\[
K^*_m(V) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^{m/2} & \text{if } * + \dim(V) = 1 \mod 2 \\ \{0\} & \text{if } * + \dim(V) = 0 \mod 2 \end{cases}.
\]

As a particular example, consider the action of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) on \( \mathbb{R} \) by reflection. Then we get

\[
K^0_2(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } K^1_2(\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}.
\]

We now study the general case. Consider the central extension \( 1 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \to G_\rho \xrightarrow{\varrho} G \to 1 \) as in (1.4). As shown in the previous section we have a disjoint decomposition \( \hat{G}_\rho = \hat{G}_\rho^p \cup \hat{G} \). We therefore get

\[
|\hat{G}_\rho^p| = |\hat{G}_\rho| - |\hat{G}| = C_{G_\rho} - C_G.
\]

Thus, if the action \( \rho : G \to \text{SO}(V) \) is orientation preserving, it follows from Corollary 2.6 that \( \text{rank}(K^*_G(V)) = C_{G_\rho} - C_G \) if \( * + \dim(V) = 0 \mod 2 \) and \( \text{rank}(K^*_G(V)) = 0 \) else.

If \( \rho \) is not orientation preserving we obtain the non-trivial character \( \mu : G_\rho \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \), \( \mu = \det \circ \rho \circ q \). Let \( K_\rho = \ker \mu \) and let \( O_1 \) and \( O_2 \) denote the number of \( G_\rho \)-orbits of length one and two in \( \hat{K}_\rho^p \), respectively. Similar as for \( |\hat{G}_\rho^p| \) we have the formula

\[
|\hat{K}_\rho^p| = |\hat{K}_\rho| - |\hat{K}| = C_{K_\rho} - C_K.
\]

Thus, as a consequence of Proposition 2.9 we see that

\[
O_1 + 2O_2 = |\hat{K}_\rho^p| = C_{K_\rho} - C_K \quad \text{and} \quad 2O_1 + O_2 = |\hat{G}_\rho^p| = C_{G_\rho} - C_G.
\]

As in the Pin\(^{-}\)-case, these equations have unique solutions and, using Corollary 2.10, we obtain

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( \rho : G \to \text{O}(V) \) be a linear action of the finite group \( G \) on \( V \). Then

\[
\text{rank}(K^*_G(V)) = \begin{cases} C_{G_\rho} - C_G & \text{if } * + \dim(V) = 0 \mod 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } * + \dim(V) = 1 \mod 2 \end{cases}
\]

if the action is orientation preserving, and

\[
\text{rank}(K^*_G(V)) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 2(C_{K_\rho} - C_K) - (C_{G_\rho} - C_G) \\ \frac{1}{2} & 2(C_{G_\rho} - C_G) - (C_{K_\rho} - C_K) \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } * + \dim(V) = 0 \mod 2 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 2(C_{K_\rho} - C_K) - (C_{G_\rho} - C_G) \\ \frac{1}{2} & 2(C_{G_\rho} - C_G) - (C_{K_\rho} - C_K) \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } * + \dim(V) = 1 \mod 2 \end{cases}
\]

if the action is not orientation preserving.

**Remark 3.4.** Karoubi shows in [7] that for any linear action \( \rho : G \to \text{O}(V) \) of a finite group \( G \) the ranks of \( K^*_G(V) \) and \( K^1_G(V) \) can alternatively be computed as follows: For any conjugacy class \( C^g \) in \( G \) let \( V^g \) denote the fixed-point set of \( \rho(g) \) in \( V \). This space is \( \rho(\text{h}) \)-invariant for any \( \text{h} \) in the centralizer \( C_g \) of \( g \), and therefore \( C_g \) acts linearly on \( V^g \) for all \( g \) in \( G \). With these facts in mind, Karoubi denotes a conjugacy class \( C^g \) oriented, if the action of \( C_g \) on \( V^g \) is oriented and \( C_g \) is called even (resp. odd) if \( \dim(V^g) \) is even (resp. odd). He then shows in [7, Theorem 1.8] that the rank of \( K^*_G(V) \) (resp. \( K^1_G(V) \)) equals the number of oriented even (resp. odd) conjugacy classes in \( G \). It seems not obvious to us that Karoubi’s result coincides with the result given in Proposition 3.3 above.
In what follows we want to apply our results to give an alternative proof of the formulas for the ranks of $K^0_{S_n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $K^1_{S_n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, as given by Karoubi in [7, Corollary 1.9], where the symmetric group $S_n$, for $n \geq 2$, acts on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by permuting the standard orthonormal base $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$. Let $\rho : S_n \to O(n)$ denote the corresponding homomorphism. It is clear that the inverse image of $SO(n)$ is the alternating group $A_n$. To simplify notation, we shall write $\tilde{S}_n$ and $\tilde{A}_n$ for the groups $(S_n)_\rho$ and $(A_n)_\rho$. Thus it follows from Proposition 3.3 that

\begin{equation}
\text{rank}(K^*_{S_n}(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} (2(C_{A_n} - C_{A_n}) - (C_{S_n} - C_{S_n})) & \text{if } * + n = 0 \mod 2 \\
\frac{1}{2} (2(C_{S_n} - C_{S_n}) - (C_{A_n} - C_{A_n})) & \text{if } * + n = 1 \mod 2
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

Thus, to get explicit formulas we need to compute the numbers $C_{S_n} - C_{S_n}$ and $C_{A_n} - C_{A_n}$. For this we use the following general observations: If

\[ 1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \tilde{G} \longrightarrow \tilde{G} \longrightarrow 1 \]

is any central extension of $G$ by $\mathbb{Z}_2$, the inverse image $q^{-1}(C^g)$ of a conjugacy class $C^g$ in $\tilde{G}$ is either a conjugacy class in $\tilde{G}$ itself, or it decomposes into two disjoint conjugacy classes of the same length in $\tilde{G}$. If $t \in \tilde{G}$ such that $q(t) = g$, the second possibility happens if and only if $t$ is not conjugate to $-t$ in $\tilde{G}$ (e.g., see [6, Theorem 3.6]). Thus, if $C^\text{dec}_G$ denotes the number of conjugacy classes in $G$ which decompose in $\tilde{G}$, the number $C^\text{dec}_G$ of conjugacy classes in $\tilde{G}$ is equal to $C_G + C^\text{dec}_G$, and hence

\[ C^\text{dec}_G - C_G = C^\text{dec}_G. \]

Now, for the groups $G = S_n$ and $K = A_n$ the numbers $C^\text{dec}_{S_n}$ and $C^\text{dec}_{A_n}$ have been computed explicitly in [6, Theorem 3.8 and Corollary 3.10]:

**Proposition 3.5.** For each $n \geq 2$ let $a_n$ (resp. $b_n$) denote the number of all finite tuples of natural numbers $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$ such that $1 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_m$, $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = n$, and the number of even entries $\lambda_i$ is even (resp. odd). Then

\[ C^\text{dec}_{S_n} = a_n + 2b_n \quad \text{and} \quad C^\text{dec}_{A_n} = 2a_n + b_n. \]

We should note that the definitions of $\tilde{S}_n$ and $\tilde{A}_n$ considered in [6] are slightly different from ours, but a study of the proofs of Theorem 3.8 and Corollary 3.10 in that paper shows that the arguments apply step by step to our situation. As a consequence we get

**Corollary 3.6.** Let $\rho : S_n \to O(n)$ be as above. Then

\[ K^*_{S_n}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Z}^{a_n} & \text{if } * + n = 0 \mod 2 \\
\mathbb{Z}^{b_n} & \text{if } * + n = 1 \mod 2
\end{cases}. \]

**Remark 3.7.** In [7, Corollary 1.9], Karoubi gives the formulas

\[ K^0_{S_n}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{Z}^{p_n} \quad \text{and} \quad K^1_{S_n}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{Z}^{i_n} \]

where $p_n$ (resp. $i_n$) denotes the number of partitions $n = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i$ with $1 \leq \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_m$ and $m = 2k$ even (resp. $m = 2k + 1$ odd). One checks that the numbers $p_n$ and $i_n$ are related to the numbers $a_n$ and $b_n$, as defined in the corollary above, by the equations:

\[ a_{2n+1} = i_{2n+1} \quad b_{2n+1} = p_{2n+1} \]

\[ a_{2n} = p_{2n} \quad b_{2n} = i_{2n}, \]

and hence Karoubi’s formula coincides with ours. We give the argument for the equation $a_{2n+1} = i_{2n+1}$, the other equations can be shown similarly. So let $n \in \mathbb{N}$
and let \((\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)\) be a partition of \(2n+1\) as in the definition of \(\sigma_{2n+1}\), i.e., there is an even number \(2r\) of even entries \(\lambda_i\) in this partition. Since \(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m = 2n + 1\) is odd, it follows that there is an odd number of odd entries \(\lambda_j\) in the partition. Thus \(m = 2k + 1\) is odd. Conversely, if \(m = 2k + 1\) is odd, the fact that \(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m = 2n + 1\) is odd implies that the number \(l\) of odd entries \(\lambda_j\) must be odd, and then the number \(m - l\) of even entries must be even.

If we restrict the action of \(S_n\) to the alternating group \(A_n\), we obtain the formulas

\[ K^\ast_{A_n}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^{2a_n + b_n} & \text{if } s + n = 0 \mod 2 \\ \{0\} & \text{if } s + n = 1 \mod 2 \end{cases} \]

since this action is orientation preserving.

4. Actions of \(O(n)\)

In this section we want to study the \(K\)-theory groups \(K^\ast_{O(n)}(V)\) for linear actions \(\rho : O(n) \to O(V)\) of the orthogonal group \(O(n)\) on an arbitrary real vector space \(V\). We are in particular interested in the canonical action of \(O(n)\) on \(V = \mathbb{R}^n\) and in the action of \(O(n)\) on the space \(V_n\) of all symmetric matrices in \(M_n(\mathbb{R})\), with action given by conjugation. The study of the latter will allow to compute explicitly the \(K\)-theory groups of the reduced group \(C^\ast\)-algebra \(C^\ast_n,GL(n,\mathbb{R})\) of the general linear group \(GL(n,\mathbb{R})\) via the positive solution of the Connes-Kasparov conjecture.

Recall that \(O(n) \cong SO(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2\) if \(n\) is odd, with \(-I \in O(n)\) the generator of \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) (in what follows we denote by \(I\) the unit matrix in \(O(n) \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{R})\) and we denote by \(1\) the unit in \(Spin(n) \subseteq Cl_n\)). If \(n\) is even, we have \(O(n) \cong SO(n) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2\), the semi-direct product of \(SO(n)\) with \(\mathbb{Z}_2\), where the generator of \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) can be chosen to be the matrix \(g := \text{diag}(-1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in O(n)\) acting on \(SO(n)\) by conjugation.

Given a representation \(\rho : O(n) \to O(V)\), we need to describe the group \(O(n)\rho\) and its representations. For this we start by describing all possible central extensions of \(O(n)\) by \(\mathbb{Z}_2\). Indeed, we shall see below that for any fixed \(n \geq 2\) there are precisely four such extensions

\[ 1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow G^n_i \longrightarrow O(n) \longrightarrow 1, \]

\(i = 0, \ldots, 3\). To describe them, we let \(K^n_i\) denotes the inverse image of \(SO(n)\) in \(G^n_i\) for \(i = 0, \ldots, 3\). This is a central extension of \(SO(n)\) by \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) and therefore the \(K^n_i\) are either isomorphic to the trivial extension \(SO(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2\) or the nontrivial extension \(Spin(n)\).

Using this, the extensions \(G^n_i, 2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}, i = 0, \ldots, 3\) are given as follows:

If \(n = 2m + 1\ is odd, then

(O1) there are two extensions \(G^n_0\ and \(G^n_1\ such that \(K^n_0 = K^n_1 = SO(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2\): \(the trivial extension \(G^n_0 = O(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2\) and the non-trivial extension \(G^n_1 = SO(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_4\), with central subgroup \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) being the order-two subgroup of \(\mathbb{Z}_4\).

(O2) There are two extensions \(G^n_2, G^n_3\ such that \(K^n_2 = K^n_3 = Spin(n)\). To characterize them let \(x \in G^n_i\ such that \(q(x) = -I \in O(n)\). Then \(x^2 = 1\ for \(x \in G^n_2\ and \(x^2 = -1\ for \(x \in G^n_3\). We then have \(G^n_2 \cong Spin(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2\ with x a generator for \(\mathbb{Z}_2\ and \(G^n_3 \cong (Spin(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_4)/\mathbb{Z}_2\ with respect to the diagonal embedding of \(\mathbb{Z}_2\ into Spin(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_4\). The central subgroup \(\mathbb{Z}_2\ is given by \((the image of)\ the order-two subgroup \{\pm 1\} \subseteq Spin(n)\).

If \(n = 2m\ is even, then

(E1) there are two extensions \(G^n_0\ and \(G^n_1\ such that \(K^n_0 = K^n_1 = SO(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2\): \(the trivial extension \(G^n_0 = O(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2\) and the non-trivial extension
\( G^n_0 = \text{SO}(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \), with action of \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \) on \( \text{SO}(n) \) given on the generator by conjugation with \( g = \text{diag}(-1,1,\ldots,1) \), and the central subgroup \( Z_2 \) of \( \text{SO}(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \) is given by the order-two subgroup of \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \).

(E2) There are two extensions \( G^n_2, G^n_3 \) such that \( K^n_2 = K^n_3 = \text{Spin}(n) \). If \( x \in G^n_2 \) such that \( q(x) = \text{diag}(-1,1,\ldots,1) \), then \( x^2 = 1 \) in case \( x \in G^n_2 \) and \( x^2 = -1 \) in case \( x \in G^n_3 \). Then \( G^n_2 \cong \text{Spin}(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \) with \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) generated by \( x \) and \( G^n_3 \cong (\text{Spin}(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_4)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \) where \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \) is generated by an element \( \tilde{x} \in \text{Spin}(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \) which acts on \( \text{Spin}(n) \) by conjugation with \( x \), and \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) is embedded diagonally into \( \text{Spin}(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \) as in the odd case. The central copy of \( Z_2 \) is given by \( (\text{image of}) \) the order-two subgroup \( \{ \pm 1 \} \subseteq \text{Spin}(n) \).

Although we are convinced that this description of the central extensions of \( \text{O}(n) \) by \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) is well-known, we give a proof since we didn’t find a direct reference:

**Proposition 4.1.** For any fixed \( n \geq 2 \) the above described extensions are, up to isomorphism of extensions, the only central extensions of \( \text{O}(n) \) by \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \).

**Proof.** Recall first that the set of isomorphism classes of central extensions of any given group \( H \) by \( Z_2 \) forms a group \( \mathcal{E}(H,Z_2) \) with group operation given as follows: if

\[
1 \to Z_2 \to G \xrightarrow{q} H \to 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \to Z_2 \to G' \xrightarrow{q'} H \to 1
\]

are central extensions of \( H \) by \( Z_2 \), then the product is given by the (isomorphism class) of the extension

\[
1 \to Z_2 \to G \ast G' \xrightarrow{q''} H \to 1,
\]

where \( G \ast G' = \{ (x,x') \in G \times G' : q(x) = q'(x') \}/\mathbb{Z}_2 \) with respect to the diagonal embedding of \( Z_2 \) into \( G \times G' \). The central copy of \( Z_2 \) in \( G \ast G' \) can be taken as the image in \( G \ast G' \) of the central copy of \( Z_2 \) in either \( G \) or \( G' \). It is well known that \( \mathcal{E}(\text{SO}(n),Z_2) \cong Z_2 \) with non-trivial element given by \( \text{Spin}(n) \).

Suppose now that \( 1 \to Z_2 \to G \xrightarrow{q} \text{O}(n) \to 1 \) represents an element in \( \mathcal{E}(\text{O}(n),Z_2) \). It restricts to a representative \( 1 \to Z_2 \to K \to \text{SO}(n) \to 1 \) in \( \mathcal{E}(\text{SO}(n),Z_2) \) with \( K := q^{-1}(\text{SO}(n)) \). This restriction procedure induces a homomorphism of \( \mathcal{E}(\text{O}(n),Z_2) \) to \( \mathcal{E}(\text{SO}(n),Z_2) \). Therefore, given any fixed extension \( G \) which restricts to \( K = \text{Spin}(n) \), then all other extension which restrict to \( \text{Spin}(n) \) are given as products \( G \ast G' \) where \( G' \) is an extension which restricts to \( K' = \text{SO}(n) \times Z_2 \). In particular, if we can show that there are only two extensions which restrict to \( \text{SO}(n) \times Z_2 \), then there are also only two extensions which restrict to \( \text{Spin}(n) \). Since the ones given in the above list are obviously non-isomorphic (as extensions), the list must be complete.

So let \( G' \) be any extension which restricts to \( K' = \text{SO}(n) \times Z^2 \). We show that it equals \( G^n_0 \) or \( G^n_1 \) described above. Suppose first that \( n = 2m \) is even. Choose \( x \in G' \) such that \( q(x) = g := \text{diag}(-1,1,\ldots,1) \in \text{O}(n) \). Then \( q(x^2) = I \) and hence \( x^2 = \pm 1 \). We claim that

\[
x(h,\epsilon)x^{-1} = (ghg^{-1},\epsilon)
\]

for all \( (h,\epsilon) \in \text{SO}(n) \times Z_2 \). To see this, note first that \( q(x(h,\epsilon)x^{-1}) = g(q(h,\epsilon))g^{-1} = hgh^{-1} \), which implies that \( x(h,\epsilon)x^{-1} = (hgh^{-1},\epsilon') \) for some \( \epsilon' \in Z_2 \). To see that \( \epsilon' = \epsilon \) we simply observe that the map \( \text{SO}(n) \to Z_2 \) which sends \( h \in \text{SO}(n) \) to the projection of \( x(h,1)x^{-1} \) to \( Z_2 \) is a continuous group homomorphism, and hence trivial. This implies \( 1' = 1 \) and then also \( (-1)' = -1 \).
If \( x^2 = 1 \), it follows that \( G = (SO(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes (x) = (SO(n) \rtimes (g)) \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = O(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = G^n_0 \). If \( x^2 = -1 \), we obtain a surjective homomorphism \( \varphi : (SO(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes (x) \to G \) given by \( \varphi(x^i, (g, \pm 1)) = x^i(g, \pm 1) \) with kernel generated by the order-two element \((x^2, -1)\). This implies \( G = G^n_1 \).

A similar but easier argument applies in the case where \( n = 2m + 1 \) is odd. We omit the details for this. \( \square \)

**Remark 4.2.** As we saw in Section 1 (e.g. see (1.1) and (1.2)) the group \( \text{Pin}(n) \) is a central extension of \( O(n) \) by \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) which restricts to \( \text{Spin}(n) \). Thus the other such extension is given by the product \( \text{Pin}(n) \ast G^n_1 \). To see whether \( \text{Pin}(n) \) is the group \( G^n_2 \) or the group \( G^n_3 \), we need to identify an inverse image \( x \in \text{Pin}(n) \) of the matrix \(-I\) if \( n = 2m + 1 \) is odd, or of \( g = \text{diag}(-1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in O(n) \) if \( n = 2m \) is even.

Indeed, if \( e_1, \ldots, e_n \) denotes the standard orthonormal base of \( \mathbb{R}^n \), then it follows from the basic relations in \( \text{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}}(n) \) that \( x = \pm e_1 \cdots e_n \) if \( n = 2m + 1 \) is odd (with respect to extension (1.2)) and \( x = \pm e_2 \cdots e_n \) if \( n = 2m \) is even. In the first case we get

\[
x^2 = (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} = (-1)^{(m+1)(2m+1)} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases},
\]

and in the second case we get

\[
x^2 = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} = (-1)^{m(2m-1)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ -1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.
\]

In what follows we need to understand the conjugation action of \( G^n_1 \) on \( \hat{K} \). Note that in all cases we can identify \( G^n_1 / K^n_1 \) with \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \).

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \( n \geq 2 \), let \( 1 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \to G \to O(n) \to 1 \) be any central extension of \( O(n) \) by \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) and let \( K = q^{-1}(SO(n)) \). Then

(i) If \( n \) is odd, the conjugation action of \( G / K \) on \( \hat{K} \) is trivial.

(ii) If \( n \) is even, and if \( K = SO(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \), the action of \( G / K \) on \( \hat{K} = \text{SO}(n) \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2 \) is given by the conjugation action of \( O(n) / SO(n) \) on the first factor and the trivial action on the second. If \( K = \text{Spin}(n) \), the action of \( G / K \) on \( \text{Spin}(n) \) coincides with the conjugation action of \( \text{Pin}(n) / \text{Spin}(n) \) on \( \text{Spin}(n) \).

**Proof.** The first assertion follows directly from the description of the groups \( G^n_1 \) in case where \( n \) is odd. So assume now that \( n \) is even and \( K = SO(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \). Let \( x \in G \) with \( q(x) = g := \text{diag}(-1, 1, \ldots, 1) \). It is shown in the proof of Proposition 4.1 that the conjugation action of \( x \) on \( SO(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \) is given by conjugation with \( g \in O(n) \) in the first factor and the trivial action in the second factor. This proves the first assertion in (ii).

So assume now that \( K = \text{Spin}(n) \). Then \( G = \text{Pin}(n) \) or \( G = \text{Pin}(n) \ast G^n_1 \). The result is clear in the first case. So let \( G = \text{Pin}(n) \ast G^n_1 \). If \( y = e_2 \cdots e_n \in \text{Pin}(n) \) and \( x_1 \in G^n_1 \) with \( q_1(x_1) = g \), then \( x = [y, x_1] \) is an inverse image of \( g \) in \( G \). The group \( \text{Spin}(n) \) then identifies with \( K \subset G \) via the embedding

\[
\varphi : \text{Spin}(n) \to \text{Pin}(n) \ast G^n_1 ; z \mapsto [z, (\text{Ad}(z), 1)].
\]

Conjugating \([z, (\text{Ad}(z), 1)]\) by \([y, x_1]\) provides \([zy^{-1}, x_1(\text{Ad}(z), 1)x_1^{-1}] = [zy^{-1}, (g \text{Ad}(z)g^{-1}, 1)] = \varphi(yzy^{-1})\), which finishes the proof. \( \square \)
Suppose now that \( \rho : \text{O}(n) \to \text{O}(V) \) is any linear action of \( \text{O}(n) \) on a finite dimensional real vector space \( V \). In all cases the group \( \text{O}(n)_\rho \) must be one of the groups \( G_0^n, \ldots, G_3^n \). If we fix \( n \geq 2 \), we have the following possibilities for the computation of the \( K \)-theory groups \( K_*^{\text{O}(n)}(V) \):

**The orientation preserving case:** If the action of \( \text{O}(n) \) on \( V \) is orientation preserving, then Corollary 2.6 implies that

\[
K_*^{\text{O}(n)}(V) \cong \begin{cases} 
\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \hat{G}_1^{-}} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * + \dim(V) \text{ is even}, \\
\{0\} & \text{if } * + \dim(V) \text{ is odd}
\end{cases}
\]

Note that although we have four different possibilities \( G_0^n, \ldots, G_3^n \) for the groups \( \text{O}(n)_\rho \), it follows from Lemma 2.7 that the cardinality of \( \hat{G}_1^n \) is always countably infinite. Thus the isomorphism class of \( K_*^{\text{O}(n)}(V) \) only depends on the fact whether \( \dim(V) \) is even or odd.

**The non-orientation preserving case:** If the action of \( \text{O}(n) \) is not orientation preserving, the question which group out of the list \( G_0^n, \ldots, G_3^n \) we get for \( \text{O}(n)_\rho \) becomes more interesting (at least if \( n \) is even). In fact, if \( i \in \{0, \ldots, 3\} \) such that \( \text{O}(n)_\rho \cong G_i^n \) as central extension of \( \text{O}(n) \) by \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \), it follows from Corollary 2.10 that

\[
K_*^{\text{O}(n)}(V) \cong \begin{cases} 
\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_1} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * + \dim(V) \text{ is odd}, \\
\bigoplus_{\{\sigma, g\sigma\} \in \mathcal{O}_2} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * + \dim(V) \text{ is even}
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \mathcal{O}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{O}_2 \) denote the numbers of orbits of length one or two in the set \( \hat{K}_- \) of negative representations of \( \hat{K} := K^n_i \) under the conjugation action of \( G_i^n \). So in order to get the general picture, we need to study the cardinalities of the sets \( \mathcal{O}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{O}_2 \) in the four possible cases. We actually get different answers depending on whether \( n \) is even or odd:

**The odd case** \( n = 2m + 1 \): In this case Lemma 4.3 implies that the action of \( G_i^n \) on \( \hat{K}_- \) is trivial in all cases. Thus, from the above formula we get

\[
K_*^{\text{O}(n)}(V) \cong \begin{cases} 
\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \hat{K}_-} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } * + \dim(V) \text{ is odd}, \\
\{0\} & \text{if } * + \dim(V) \text{ is even}
\end{cases}
\]

As in the orientation preserving case, it follows from Lemma 2.7 that the cardinality of \( \hat{K}_- \) is always countably infinite.

**The even case** \( n = 2m \): Let \( G = \text{O}(n)_\rho \) and \( K = q^{-1}(\text{SO}(n)) \). If \( K = \text{SO}(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \) we get \( \hat{K}_- = \text{SO}(n) \times \{\mu\} \cong \text{SO}(n) \), where \( \mu \) denotes the non-trivial character of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \), and it follows from Lemma 4.3 that the action of \( G/K \) on \( \hat{K}_- \) is given by the conjugation action of \( \text{O}(n)/\text{SO}(n) \) on \( \text{SO}(n) \cong \hat{K} \). Thus, the orbit sets \( \mathcal{O}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{O}_2 \) can be identified with the \( \text{O}(n) \)-orbits of length one and two in \( \text{SO}(n) \) and the \( K \)-theory groups in the cases \( \text{O}(n)_\rho = G_0^n \) and \( \text{O}(n)_\rho = G_1^n \) are the same (up to isomorphism).

In case \( K = \text{Spin}(n) \) it follows from Lemma 4.3 that the action of \( G/K \) on \( \text{Spin}(n) \) coincides with the conjugation action of \( \text{Pin}(n)/\text{Spin}(n) \) on \( \text{Spin}(n) \). Thus, to
compute the sets \( \mathcal{O}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{O}_2 \) in the \( K \)-theory formula (4.3), we may assume without loss of generality that \( \text{O}(n)_\rho = \text{Pin}(n) \).

In view of the above discussions, it is desirable to find an easy criterion for the group \( K \subseteq \text{O}(n)_\rho \) being isomorphic to \( \text{SO}(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \) or not. It is clear that this is the case if and only if the restriction \( \rho : \text{SO}(n) \to \text{SO}(V) \) of the given action \( \rho : \text{O}(n) \to \text{O}(V) \) is \textit{spinor} in the sense that there exists a homomorphism \( \hat{\rho} : \text{SO}(n) \to \text{Spin}(V) \) such that \( \rho = q \circ \hat{\rho} \) with \( q : \text{Spin}(V) \to \text{SO}(V) \) the quotient map.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let \( n \geq 2 \) and let \( \rho : \text{SO}(n) \to \text{SO}(V) \) be any linear action of \( \text{SO}(n) \) on the finite dimensional real vector space \( V \). Let \( h := \text{diag}(-1,-1,\ldots,1) \in \text{SO}(n) \) and let \( V^- = \{ v \in V : \rho(h)v = -v \} \) denote the eigenspace for the eigenvalue \(-1\) of \( \rho(h) \). Then \( \rho \) is spinor if and only if \( \text{dim}(V^-) = 4k \) for some \( k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \).

**Proof.** We first need to know that, given a representation \( \rho : \text{SO}(n) \to \text{SO}(V) \), there always exists a representation \( \hat{\rho} : \text{Spin}(n) \to \text{Spin}(V) \) such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Spin}(n) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}} & \text{Spin}(V) \\
\text{SO}(n) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{SO}(V) \\
\end{array}
\]

(4.5)

commutes. In case \( n > 2 \) this follows from the universal properties of the universal covering \( \text{Spin}(n) \) of \( \text{SO}(n) \). In case \( n = 2 \), the groups \( \text{Spin}(2) \) and \( \text{SO}(2) \) are both isomorphic to the circle group \( \mathbb{T} \) with covering map \( q : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T} ; z \mapsto z^2 \). The image \( \rho(\text{SO}(2)) \) lies in a maximal torus \( \mathbb{T} \subseteq \text{SO}(V) \) and there is a maximal Torus \( \hat{\mathbb{T}} \) in \( \text{Spin}(V) \) which projects onto \( \mathbb{T} \) via a double covering map \( q : \hat{\mathbb{T}} \to \mathbb{T} \). Thus the problem reduces to the problem whether there exists a map \( \hat{\rho} : \mathbb{T} \to \hat{\mathbb{T}} \) which makes the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}} & \hat{\mathbb{T}} \\
\downarrow{q} & & \downarrow{q} \\
\mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathbb{T} \\
\end{array}
\]

commute. It is straightforward to check that this is always possible.

It follows from (4.5) that there exists a lift \( \hat{\rho} : \text{SO}(n) \to \text{Spin}(n) \) for \( \rho \) if and only if

\[
\{ \pm 1 \} = \ker (q : \text{Spin}(n) \to \text{SO}(n)) \subseteq \ker \hat{\rho}.
\]

So we simply have to check whether \( \hat{\rho}(-1) = 1 \) or not. For this let \( e_1, \ldots, e_n \) denote the standard orthonormal base of \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Then the product \( e_1e_2 \in \text{Spin}(n) \) projects onto \( h \) and \( (e_1e_2)^2 = -1 \). Since \( \rho(h)^2 = \rho(h^2) = 1 \) we see that \( V \) decomposes into the orthogonal direct sum \( V^+ \bigoplus V^- \) with \( V^+ \) and \( V^- \) the eigenspces for \( \pm 1 \) of \( \rho(h) \). Since \( \det(\rho(h)) = 1 \), it follows that \( l = \text{dim}(V^-) \) is even. If \( V^- = \{ 0 \} \) we have \( \rho(h) = 1 \), which implies \( \hat{\rho}(e_1e_2) = \pm 1 \) and hence \( \hat{\rho}(-1) = \hat{\rho}(e_1e_2)^2 = 1 \).

If \( V^- \neq \{ 0 \} \) let \( \{ v_1, \ldots, v_l \} \) be any orthonormal base for \( V^- \). It then follows from the relations in \( \text{Cl}_\mathbb{R}(n) \) that the element

\[
y := v_1 \cdots v_l \in \text{Spin}(V)
\]
projects onto $\rho(h) \in \text{SO}(V)$. This implies that $\hat{\rho}(e_1 e_2) = \pm y$ and hence that

$$\hat{\rho}(-1) = \hat{\rho}((e_1 e_2)^2) = y^2 = (v_1 \cdots v_l)^2 = (-1)^{l(l+1)}.$$

Since $l$ is even, we get $l = 2m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and then

$$\hat{\rho}(-1) = (-1)^{m(2m+1)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ -1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

This finishes the proof.

The only problem which now remains for the general computation of $K^*_O(n)(V)$ is the problem of computing explicitly the orbit sets $O_1$ and $O_2$ which appear in formula (4.3) in the case where $n$ is even (as observed above, we always have $O_1$ countably infinite and $O_2 = \emptyset$ if $3 \leq n = 2m + 1$ is odd). In order to give the complete picture, we now state the general result, although we postpone the proof for the case $n > 2$ to §5 below:

**Theorem 4.5.** Suppose that $\rho : O(n) \to O(V)$ is a non-orientation preserving action of $O(n)$ on $V$ with $n = 2m$ even. Then the following are true:

(i) If the restriction $\rho : SO(n) \to SO(V)$ is spinor, then $O_1$ consists of a single point if $n = 2$ and $O_1$ is countably infinite if $n > 2$. The set $O_2$ is always countably infinite.
(ii) If $\rho : SO(n) \to SO(V)$ is not spinor, then $O_1 = \emptyset$ and $O_2$ is countably infinite.

Combining this result with (4.3) immediately gives

**Corollary 4.6.** Suppose that $\rho : O(n) \to O(V)$ is a non-orientation preserving action of $O(n)$ on $V$ with $n = 2m$ even. Then

$$K^0_{O(n)}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad K^1_{O(n)}(V) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } 2 < n = 2m \end{cases}$$

if the restriction $\rho : SO(n) \to SO(V)$ is spinor. Otherwise we get

$$K^0_{O(n)}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad K^1_{O(n)} = \{0\}.$$

This corollary together with the discussions on the odd case implies

**Theorem 4.7.** Let $O(n)$ act on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by matrix multiplication. Then

$$K^0_{O(n)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad K^1_{O(n)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{0\}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$.

**Proof.** Since the action is not orientation preserving and the restriction of id : $O(n) \to O(n)$ to $SO(n)$ is not spinor (which is an easy consequence of Proposition 4.4), the result follows from formula (4.4) in case where $n$ is odd, and from Corollary 4.6 if $n$ is even.

The case $n = 2$ of Theorem 4.5 is quite easy and has to be done separately, since the general methods used for $n > 2$ in §5 below will not apply to this case. So we do the case $n = 2$ now:
Proof of Theorem 4.5 in case $n = 2$. As usual, let $K = q^{-1}(SO(2))$ denote the inverse image of $SO(2)$ in $O(2)_p$. If $\rho : SO(2) \to SO(V)$ is spinor, we have $K = SO(2) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Otherwise we have $K = Spin(2)$.

The case $K = SO(2) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$: It follows from Lemma 4.3 that in this case the sets $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ can be identified with the sets of $O(2)$-orbits in $SO(2)$ of length one and two, respectively. Writing $SO(2) = \{g_\alpha = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \cos(\alpha) & \sin(\alpha) \\ -\sin(\alpha) & \cos(\alpha) \end{array} \right) : \alpha \in [0, 2\pi) \}$
we have $SO(2) = \{\chi_k : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ with $\chi_k(g_\alpha) = e^{i k \alpha}$. The action of $O(2)$ on $SO(2)$ is given by conjugation with $g = \text{diag}(-1, 1)$. Since $g g_\alpha g^{-1} = e^{-\alpha}$ we get $g \cdot \chi_k = \chi_{-k}$, which implies that $\mathcal{O}_1 = \{\chi_0\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_2 = \{\{\chi_k, \chi_{-k} : k \in \mathbb{N} \}$.

The case $K = Spin(2)$: In this case the sets $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ can be identified with the sets of $Pin(2)$-orbits in $Spin(2)$ of length one and two, respectively. Recall that $Spin(2)$ can be described as

$Spin(2) = \{x(\alpha) := \cos(\alpha)1 + \sin(\alpha)e_1 e_2 : \alpha \in [0, 2\pi) \} \subseteq Cl_{\mathbb{R}}(2)$.

Then $Spin(2) = \{\chi_k : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ with $\chi_k : Spin(2) \to T, \chi_k(x(\alpha)) = e^{ik\alpha}$. It follows that $Spin(2)^- = \{\chi_{m+1} : m \in \mathbb{Z} \}$. The action of $Pin(2)$ on $Spin(2)$ is given by conjugation with $x = e_2$. A short computation shows that $e_2 x(\alpha)e_2^* = -e_2 x(\alpha)e_2 = x(-\alpha)$
which implies that $x \cdot \chi_k = \chi_{-k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We therefore get $x \cdot \chi_{m+1} = \chi_{-m-1} \neq \chi_{m+1}$ for all $\chi_{m+1} \in Spin(2)^-$. Thus $\mathcal{O}_1 = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{O}_2 = \{\{\chi_{m+1}, \chi_{-m+1} : m \in \mathbb{N} \}$.

We close this section with another interesting application of our main results. Recall that for a locally compact group $H$, the reduced group $C^*$-algebra $C_r^*(H)$ is the closure of $\lambda(L^1(H)) \subseteq B(L^2(H))$, where $\lambda : L^1(H) \to B(L^2(H)); \lambda(f)\xi = f * \xi$

denotes the left regular representation of $H$. If $H$ is almost connected, it follows from the positive solution of the Connes-Kasparov conjecture, that there is a (more or less) canonical isomorphism $K^*(C_r^*(H)) \cong K_G^*(V)$, where $G \subseteq H$ denotes the maximal compact subgroup of $H$ and $V = T_{\text{eg}}(H/G)$ denotes the tangent space of $H/G$ at the trivial coset $e G = G$. The action of $G$ on $V$ is given by the differential of the left translation action of $G$ on the manifold $H/G$ (see [5, §7]).

In case where $H = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, the maximal compact subgroup is $O(n)$. If $V_n = \{A \in M(n, \mathbb{R}) : A = A^\dagger \}$ denotes the space of symmetric matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{R})$, we have the well-known diffeomorphism $V_n \times O(n) \to GL(n, \mathbb{R}); (A, g) \mapsto \exp(A)g,$

with $\exp(A) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}A^n$ the usual exponential map. Composing $\exp$ with the quotient map $GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \to GL(n, \mathbb{R})/O(n)$ provides a diffeomorphism $\exp : V_n \to$
GL(n, \mathbb{R})/O(n). We then get $\exp(gAg^{-1}) = g \cdot \exp(A)$ and it follows from the above discussion that

\begin{equation}
K_\ast(C^\ast_r(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}))) \cong K^\ast_{O(n)}(V_n)
\end{equation}

for all $n \geq 2$, where the action of $O(n)$ on $V_n$ is given by the representation

$\rho : O(n) \to O(V_n); \; \rho(g)A = gAg^{-1}$

for all $g \in O(n), \; A \in V_n \subseteq M(n, \mathbb{R})$.

**Lemma 4.8.** Let $\rho : O(n) \to O(V_n)$ be as above. Then

(i) $\rho$ is orientation preserving if and only if $n$ is odd.

(ii) The restriction $\rho : SO(n) \to SO(V_n)$ is spinor if and only if $n$ is even.

**Proof.** Let $\{E_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$ denote the standard basis of $V_n$, i.e., $E_{ij}$ has entry 1 at the $ij$-th and $ji$-th place, and 0 entries everywhere else. Conjugation with $g = \text{diag}(-1, \ldots, 1) \in O(n)$ maps $E_{ij}$ to $E_{ij}$ for all $j > 1$ and fixes all other $E_{ij}$’s. It thus follows that $\det(\rho(g)) = (-1)^{n-1}$, which shows that $\rho$ is orientation preserving if and only if $n$ is odd.

For the proof of (ii) we use Proposition 4.4: let $h = \text{diag}(-1, -1, \ldots, 1) \in SO(n)$. Then conjugation with $h$ maps $E_{ij}$ to $-E_{ij}$ for all $i = 1, 2$ and $j > 2$ and fixes all other $E_{ij}$. Thus $\{E_{ij} : i = 1, 2, j > 2\}$ forms a base for $V_n^-$, the eigenspace of $\rho(h)$ for the eigenvalue $-1$. We therefore get $l := \dim(V_n^-) = 2(n-2)$. This is a multiple of 4 if and only if $n$ is even.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 4.9.** If $n = 2m + 1$ is odd, then

\[K_\ast(C^\ast_r(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}))) \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{if } * + m \text{ is odd} \]

\[\{0\} \quad \text{if } * + m \text{ is even}\]

If $n = 2m \geq 4$ is even, we get

\[K_0(C^\ast_r(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}))) \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} \cong K_1(C^\ast_r(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})))\]

and for $n = 2$ we get

\[K_0(C^\ast_r(\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R}))) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1(C^\ast_r(\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R}))) \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\]

**Proof.** We use formula (4.6). If $n = 2m + 1$ is odd, the result then follows directly from formula (4.2) together with Lemma 4.8 above and the fact that $\dim(V_n) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = (2m + 1)(m + 1)$ is even if and only if $m$ is odd.

If $n$ is even, it follows from Lemma 4.8 above that the action of $O(n)$ on $V_n$ is not orientation preserving and the restriction of $\rho$ to $SO(n)$ is spinor. Thus the result follows from Corollary 4.6.  \[\square\]

5. **Orbits in $\text{Spin}(n)^-$ and $\text{SO}(n)$**

In this section we want to provide the theoretical background to complete the proof of Theorem 4.5. We need to compute the cardinalities for the orbit sets $O_1$ and $O_2$ in $\text{Spin}(m)^-$ under the conjugation action of $\text{Pin}(m)$ and similarly for the conjugation action of $O(n)$ on $SO(n)$.

To solve this problem, we need some background on the representation theory of a connected compact Lie group $G$. We use [4, Chapter VI] as a general reference. Let $T$ denote a maximal torus in $G$ and let $t$ denote its Lie algebra. Let $I^* \subseteq t^*$
denote the set of integral weights on $T$, i.e., the set of linear functionals $\lambda : t \to \mathbb{R}$ which vanish on the kernel of $\exp : t \to T$. There is a one to one correspondence between $I^*$ and $\hat{T}$ given by sending an integral weight $\lambda$ to the character $e_\lambda : T \to \mathbb{T}$ defined by $e_\lambda(\exp(t)) = e^{2\pi i \lambda(t)}$ for all $t \in t$.

Let $C$ denote the closure of a fundamental Weyl chamber $C$ in $t^*$ and let $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l \in I^* \cap \hat{C}$ be the corresponding set of positive roots. In particular, $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l$ is a base of $t^*$ and $C = \{\sum_{i=1}^l a_i \theta_i : a_i \geq 0\}$. There is a natural order on $C$ given by $\lambda \leq \eta \iff \eta - \lambda \in \hat{C}$. Let $W$ be the Weyl-group of $G$, i.e., the group of automorphisms of $T$ induced from inner automorphisms of $G$. Then $W$ acts canonically on $T$, $t$, $t^*$ and $I^*$.

For any finite dimensional complex representation $\tau$ of $G$ the equivalence class of $\tau$ is uniquely determined by its character $\chi_\tau := \text{tr} \tau$, which is constant on conjugacy classes in $G$. A virtual character is a linear combination of such characters with integer coefficients. The set $R(G)$ of all virtual characters of $G$ is called the representation ring of $G$. It is actually a subring of the ring of continuous functions on $G$. Every element in $R(G)$ can be written as a (integer) linear combination of irreducible characters, i.e., the characters corresponding to irreducible representations of $G$. Since the restriction $\tau|_{I^*}$ of a representation $\tau$ of $G$ is invariant under conjugation with elements in $W$ (up to equivalence), the restriction of its character $\chi_\tau$ to $T$ is conjugation invariant, and hence lies in the set $R(T)^W$ of symmetric (i.e., $W$-invariant) virtual characters of $T$. By [4, Chapter VI, Proposition (2.1)] the restriction map

$$\text{res} : R(G) \to R(T)^W; \chi \mapsto \chi|_{I^*}$$

is an isomorphism of rings. Now, for any $\lambda \in I^*$ we let $W \lambda = \{w \cdot \lambda : w \in W\}$ denote the $W$-orbit of $\lambda$ in $I^*$ and let

$$S(\lambda) = \sum_{\xi \in W \lambda} e_\xi$$

denote the symmetrized character corresponding to $\lambda$. Combining [4, Chapter VI, Theorem (1.7) and Proposition (2.6)] we get the following version of Weyl’s character formula:

**Theorem 5.1.** For each irreducible representation $\tau$ of $G$ there exists a unique decomposition

$$\chi_\tau|_{I^*} = S(\lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^k l_i S(\lambda_i)$$

with pairwise different $\lambda, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k \in I^* \cap \hat{C}$, $l_1, \ldots, l_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda_i < \lambda$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. We call $\lambda \in I^* \cap \hat{C}$ the highest weight of the representation $\tau$. The map which assigns $\tau$ to its highest weight $\lambda$ induces a bijection between $\hat{G}$ and $I^* \cap \hat{C}$.

In what follows we shall denote by $\chi_\lambda \in R(G)$ the character of the irreducible representation $\tau$ with highest weight $\lambda$. If $\gamma, \lambda$ are weights in $I^* \cap \hat{C}$, then so is $\gamma + \lambda$ and there is a corresponding irreducible character $\chi_{\gamma + \lambda}$ of $G$. By [4, Chapter VI, (2.8)] we have

**Lemma 5.2.** For all $\gamma, \lambda \in I^* \cap \hat{C}$ there is a unique decomposition

$$\chi_\gamma \cdot \chi_\lambda = \chi_{\gamma + \lambda} + \sum_{\mu} l_\mu \chi_\mu,$$

where $\mu$ runs through $\{\mu \in I^* \cap \hat{C} : \mu < \lambda + \gamma\}$ and $0 \leq l_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. 
A set \( \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\} \) of integral weights in \( I^* \cap \overline{C} \) is called a fundamental system, if the map
\[
\varphi : \mathbb{N}_0^k \to I^* \cap \overline{C}; \quad \varphi(l_1, \ldots, l_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k l_i \lambda_i
\]
is an ordered bijection with respect to the standard order on \( \mathbb{N}_0^k \). The corresponding irreducible representations \( \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k \) are then called a system of fundamental representations of \( G \). By [4, Chapter VI (2.10) and (2.11)] we have

**Theorem 5.3.** Suppose that \( G \) is a connected and simply connected compact Lie group. Then there exists a fundamental system \( \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\} \) in \( I^* \cap \overline{C} \) and there is a ring isomorphism \( \psi : \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_k] \to R(G) \) which sends \( X_i \) to \( \chi_{\lambda_i} \).

Combining these results, we get

**Lemma 5.4.** Let \( G \) be a connected and simply connected Lie group and let \( \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\} \) be a fundamental system in \( I^* \cap \overline{C} \). Let \( \gamma = \sum_{i=1}^k l_i \lambda_i \) be any given weight in \( I^* \cap \overline{C} \) and let \( \chi_\gamma \) be the corresponding irreducible character of \( G \). Then there exists a unique polynomial \( P \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_k] \) of order less than \( l := l_1 + l_2 + \ldots + l_k \) such that
\[
\chi_\gamma = \prod_{i=1}^k \chi_{\lambda_i}^{l_i} + P(\chi_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \chi_{\lambda_k}).
\]

**Proof.** Uniqueness is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.3 above. For existence, we give a proof by induction on the sum \( l = l_1 + l_2 + \ldots + l_k \) corresponding to \( \gamma \), which we call the order of \( \gamma \). If \( l = 0 \), then \( \chi_\gamma \equiv 1 \) is the character of the trivial representation and the formula is true with \( P = 0 \) (we use the convention that the order of the zero-polynomial is \( -\infty \)). Suppose now that for given \( l > 0 \) the lemma is true for all \( m < l \). Let \( \gamma \in I^* \cap \overline{C} \) with order \( l \), \( \gamma = \sum_{i=1}^k l_i \chi_{\lambda_i} \). Without loss of generality we may assume that \( l_1 > 0 \). By Lemma 5.2 we have
\[
\chi_\gamma = \chi_{\lambda_1} \chi_{\gamma - \lambda_1} - \sum_{\mu < \gamma} l_\mu \chi_\mu
\]
for suitable \( l_\mu \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). Since \( \mu < \gamma \), the order of \( \mu \) is less than the order of \( \gamma \). Thus, by the induction hypothesis, there exists a polynomial \( P_\mu \) with order \( < l \) such that \( \chi_\mu = P_\mu(\chi_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \chi_{\lambda_k}) \). Similarly, the induction hypothesis gives a decomposition
\[
\chi_{\gamma - \lambda_1} = \chi_{\lambda_1}^{l_1 - 1} \prod_{i=2}^k \chi_{\lambda_i}^{l_i} + P_{\gamma - \lambda_1}(\chi_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \chi_{\lambda_k}),
\]
such that the order of \( P_{\gamma - \lambda} \) is smaller than \( l - 1 \). The result then follows with \( P = X_1 P_{\gamma - \lambda_1} - \sum_{\mu < \gamma} l_\mu P_\mu \). \( \square \)

We are now coming back to the special case of the group \( G = \text{Spin}(n) \) with \( n = 2m \) and \( m \geq 2 \). This group is simply connected and connected and by [4, Chapter VI, Theorem (6.2)] a system of fundamental representations is given by the representations
\[
\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^{m-2}, \Sigma^+, \Sigma^-
\]
defined as follows: The representations \( \Lambda^i \) act on the complexification \( \Lambda^i(\mathbb{C}^n) \) of the \( i \)th exterior power \( \Lambda^i(\mathbb{R}^n) \) by inflating the canonical action of \( \text{SO}(n) \) on \( \Lambda^i(\mathbb{R}^n) \) to \( \text{Spin}(n) \). Note that these representations extend canonically to \( \text{Pin}(n) \) (resp. to \( \text{O}(n) \), if we view them as representations of \( \text{SO}(n) \)), which implies that the \( \Lambda^i \) are
stable (up to equivalence) under conjugation by elements in Pin\((n)\) (resp. O\((n)\)). It is also clear that the \(\Lambda'\) are non-negative, i.e, \(\Lambda'(-1) = 1\).

The representations \(\Sigma^+, \Sigma^-\) are the half-spin representations on the spaces \(S^+, S^-\) defined as follows: By the isomorphism \(\text{Cl}(n) \cong M_{2^n}(\mathbb{C})\) we find a canonical irreducible action of the complex Clifford algebra \(\text{Cl}(n)\) on \(S := \mathbb{C}^{2^n}\). Since \(J^2 = (-1)^m\), for \(J = e_1 \cdots e_n\), it follows that \(\tilde{J} := i^mJ\) satisfies \(\tilde{J} = J^* = J^{-1}\), which implies that \(S\) decomposes into the direct sum of two orthogonal eigenspaces \(S^+, S^-\) for the eigenvalues \(\pm 1\) of \(\tilde{J}\). Since \(\tilde{J}x\tilde{J}^* = JxJ^* = x\) for all \(x \in \text{Cl}(n)^0\), these spaces are invariant under the action of \(\text{Cl}(n)^0\), and then restrict to unitary representations \(\Sigma^\pm\) of \(\text{Spin}(n)\) \(\subseteq\) \(\text{Cl}(n)^0\). One easily checks that conjugation by \(e_1 \in \text{Pin}(n) \setminus \text{Spin}(n)\) intertwines these representations. We therefore see that \(\{\Sigma^+, \Sigma^-\}\) forms one orbit of length two in \(\text{Spin}(n)\) under conjugation by \(\text{Pin}(n)\). By construction, the representations \(\Sigma^\pm\) are negative representations, i.e., \(\Sigma^\pm(-1) = -1\).

We are now ready to prove the following proposition, which will give the last step in the proof of Theorem 4.5 of the previous section.

**Proposition 5.5.** Let \(n = 2m \geq 4\). Then the following are true:

(i) If \(x \in \text{Pin}(n) \setminus \text{Spin}(n)\) and \(\tau\) is a negative irreducible representation of \(\text{Spin}(n)\), then \(\tau \not\cong x \cdot \tau\). Thus, for the orbit sets \(O_1\) and \(O_2\) in \(\text{Spin}(n)^-\) we get \(O_1 = \emptyset\) and \(O_2\) is countably infinite.

(ii) For the action of \(O(n)\) on \(\text{SO}(n)\) both orbit sets \(O_1\) and \(O_2\) are countably infinite.

**Proof.** Let \(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{m-2}, \chi_+, \chi_-\) denote the characters corresponding to the fundamental representations \(\Lambda^1, \ldots, \Lambda^{m-2}, \Sigma^\pm\). Let \(\tau\) be any negative irreducible representation of \(\text{Spin}(n)\) with character \(\chi_\tau\). By Theorem 5.3 there exists a unique Polynomial \(Q \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_{m-2}, X_+, X_-]\) such that \(\chi_\tau = Q(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{m-2}, \chi_+, \chi_-)\). By Lemma 5.4 the polynomial \(Q\) is of the form

\[
\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-2} X_i^{l_i}\right) X_+^{l_+} X_-^{l_-} + P(X_1, \ldots, X_{m-2}, X_+, X_-)
\]

with the order of \(P\) less than \(l = l_1 + \cdots + l_{m-2} + l_+ + l_-\). Since \(\tau\) is negative, we have \(\chi_\tau(-x) = -\chi_\tau(x)\) for all \(gx \in \text{Spin}(n)\). Since for all \(x \in \text{Spin}(n)\) we have \(\chi_i(-x) = \chi_i(x)\), for all \(1 \leq i \leq m-2\), and \(\chi_\pm(-x) = -\chi_\pm(x)\) we get

\[
\chi_\tau = (-1)^{l_+ + l_-} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-2} X_i^{l_i}\right) X_+^{l_+} X_-^{l_-} + \tilde{P}(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{m-2}, \chi_+, \chi_-)
\]

with the order of \(\tilde{P}\) less than \(l\). By the uniqueness of the polynomial representation of \(\chi_\tau\) it follows that \((-1)^{l_+ + l_-} = -1\) and, in particular, that \(l_+ \neq l_-\).
Suppose now that \( x \in \text{Pin}(n) \setminus \text{Spin}(n) \). Since \( x \cdot \chi_i = \chi_i \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq m - 2 \) and \( x\chi_+ = \chi_- \) (and vice versa) we get

\[
x\chi_\tau = Q(x\chi_1, \ldots, x\chi_{m-2}, x\chi_+, x\chi_-)
\]

\[
= \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-2} (x\chi_i)^{l_i} \right) (x\chi_+)^{l_+} (x\chi_-)^{l_-} + P(x\chi_1, \ldots, x\chi_{m-2}, x\chi_+, x\chi_-)
\]

\[
= \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m-2} \chi_i^{l_i} \right) \chi_-^{l_-} \chi_+^{l_+} + P(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{m-2}, \chi_-, \chi_+)
\]

\[
= Q(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{m-2}, \chi_+, \chi_-).
\]

Since \( l_+ \neq l_- \) we have \( Q \neq \tilde{Q} \), hence \( \chi_\tau \cdot x = x\chi_\tau \neq \chi_\tau \), and therefore \( x\tau \neq \tau \). This proves (i).

For the proof of (ii) note first that \( \widehat{\text{SO}(n)} = \widehat{\text{Spin}(n)}^+ \), the set of irreducible representations \( \tau \) of \( \text{Spin}(n) \) with \( \tau(-1) = 1 \). Writing its character \( \chi_\tau \) as \( Q(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{m+2}, \chi_+, \chi_-) \) as above, we see that \( \tau \in \text{SO}(n) \) if and only if \( l_+ + l_- \) is even. Then a similar computation as above shows that for \( x \in \text{Pin}(n) \setminus \text{Spin}(n) \) we get

\[
x\tau \cong \tau \iff x\chi_\tau = \chi_\tau \iff l_+ = l_-.
\]

It is now clear that there are infinitely many representations which are fixed by conjugation and there are also infinitely many pairs of conjugate representations in \( \text{SO}(n) \).

**Proof of Theorem 4.5.** The proof now follows from the above proposition together with the discussion of the even case preceding Proposition 4.4. \( \Box \)

**References**

[1] B. Blackadar, *K*-theory for operator algebras. Second edition. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, 5. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. xx+300 pp.

[2] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott and A. Shapiro, *Clifford modules*. Topology 3 1964 suppl. 1, 3–38.

[3] P. Baum, A. Connes and N. Higson, *Classifying space for proper actions and K-theory of group C*-algebras*, Contemporary Mathematics, 167, 241-291 (1994).

[4] T. Bröcker, T. tom Dieck, Representations of compact Lie groups. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 98. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985. x+313 pp.

[5] J. Chabert, S. Echterhoff and R. Nest, *The Connes-Kasparov conjecture for almost connected groups and for linear p-adic groups*. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 97 (2003), 239-278.

[6] P. N. Hoffmann, J. F. Humphreys, Projective representations of the symmetric groups. Q-functions and shifted tableaux. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1992. xiv+304 pp.

[7] M. Karoubi, *Equivariant K-theory of real vector spaces and real projective spaces*. Topology Appl. 122 (2002), no. 3, 531–546.

[8] G. Kasparov, *The operator K-functor and extensions of C*-algebras*, Math. USSR Izvestija, Vol. 16, No. 3 (1981) 513-572.

[9] F. Malow. *Das Deformationsbild der Baum-Connes-Vermutung für fast zusammenhängende Lie-Gruppen*. Ph.D dissertation. Münster 2007.