Evolution of Accretion Discs around a Kerr Black Hole using Extended Magnetohydrodynamics
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ABSTRACT

Black holes accreting well below the Eddington rate are believed to have geometrically thick, optically thin, rotationally supported accretion discs in which the Coulomb mean free path is large compared to $GM/c^2$. In such an environment, the disc evolution may differ significantly from ideal magnetohydrodynamic predictions. We present non-ideal global axisymmetric simulations of geometrically thick discs around a rotating black hole. The simulations are carried out using a new code grim, which evolves a covariant extended magnetohydrodynamics model derived by treating non-ideal effects as a perturbation of ideal magnetohydrodynamics. Non-ideal effects are modeled through heat conduction along magnetic field lines, and a difference between the pressure parallel and perpendicular to the field lines. The model relies on an effective collisionality in the disc from wave-particle scattering and velocity-space (mirror and firehose) instabilities. We find that the pressure anisotropy grows to match the magnetic pressure, at which point it saturates due to the mirror instability. The pressure anisotropy produces outward angular momentum transport with a magnitude comparable to that of MHD turbulence in the disc, and a significant increase in the temperature in the wall of the jet. We also find that, at least in our axisymmetric simulations, conduction has a small effect on the disc evolution because (1) the heat flux is constrained to be parallel to the field and the field is close to perpendicular to temperature gradients, and (2) the heat flux is choked by an increase in effective collisionality associated with the mirror instability.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The Event Horizon Telescope (EHT) is now reaching the sensitivity required to image with sub-horizon resolution the accretion discs around Sgr A* and around the supermassive black hole at the center of the M87 galaxy (Doeleman et al. 2009). These images may help constrain the properties of black holes and of accretion flows close to a black hole horizon, where general relativistic effects are expected to be important.

Most supermassive black holes, including both black holes which can be resolved by the EHT, are accreting well below the Eddington rate (Ho 2009), in a regime in which their accretion disc is expected to be geometrically thick and optically thin. Phenomenological models of these radiatively inefficient accretion flows (RIAF, see Yuan & Narayan 2014 for a review), and numerical simulations of those discs with general relativistic codes evolving the equations of ideal magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) (Koide et al. 1999; De Villiers et al. 2003; McKinney & Gammie 2004), tell us that the physical conditions within the disc are such that the Coulomb mean free path of both ions and electrons is much larger then the typical length scale of the disc, $\sim GM/c^2$ (Mahadevan & Quataert 1997), where $M \equiv$ black hole mass, $G \equiv$ Newton’s constant, and $c \equiv$ speed of light. The plasma in these discs is thus expected to be collisionless. This naturally raises questions about the validity of modeling the discs as ideal fluids.

At first glance, studies of these collisionless plasmas should require the evolution of the distribution function of both ions and electrons, a problem that, at present, is too computationally expensive for global 3D simulations. However, particle-in-cell simulations of shear flows and expanding/contracting boxes have shown that wave-particle interactions generated by velocity space instabilities increase the effective collision rate of particles (Kunz et al. 2014;
Riquelme et al. 2015; Sironi & Narayan 2015; Hellinger & Trávníček 2015). This conclusion is supported by measurements in the solar wind, which show that the plasma pressure anisotropy is bounded by the thresholds associated with linear velocity space instabilities (e.g., Kasper et al. 2002; Hellinger et al. 2006). Likewise, galactic cosmic rays are remarkably isotropic despite their enormous collisional mean free paths, indicating an efficient source of wave-particle scattering (e.g., Kulsrud 2004). These theoretical and observational results motivate an approach in which non-ideal effects are treated as perturbations relative to an ideal fluid, via the inclusion of physics such as anisotropic heat and momentum transport. This is what we shall call the weakly collisional plasma model. Although formally of questionable applicability to the collisionless plasmas of interest, these non-ideal fluid models may nonetheless provide useful insight into the importance of non-ideal physics for global accretion disc dynamics.

In Chandra et al. (2015), hereafter Paper I, we derived a covariant model for a weakly collisional magnetized plasma, which we will refer to as an extended magnetohydrodynamics (EMHD) model. The model was derived by exploiting the symmetries of the distribution function in the presence of a magnetic field. Because of these symmetries, the deviations from ideal MHD manifest themselves as a heat flux flowing along magnetic field lines, and a pressure anisotropy, i.e. a difference between the pressure parallel and perpendicular to the local magnetic field lines, which is directly related to the viscous stress tensor (see Fig. 1). The evolution equations for the heat flux and pressure anisotropy are derived by invoking the weakly collisional approximation, building on the relativistic theory of Israel & Stewart (1979). In the non-relativistic limit, the model in Paper I reduces to Braginskii (1965)’s theory of anisotropic, weakly collisional plasmas. The EMHD model, its free parameters, and the connection between those free parameters and kinetic theory are discussed in §2.

The EMHD model presents a number of computational challenges compared with the evolution of the standard equations of ideal MHD. The dissipative fields in the EMHD model (heat flux and pressure anisotropy) are driven by spatio-temporal gradients of the fluid thermodynamic quantities, not just spatial gradients as in non-relativistic dissipative theories. This leads to time derivatives of thermodynamic variables as source terms in the evolution equations for the dissipative fields. In addition, the stress tensor in the EMHD model has contributions from the heat flux and pressure anisotropy, whose spatio-temporal gradients in turn contribute to the evolution of the momentum and energy densities. This leads to a non-linear coupling between the energy and momentum conservation equations and the evolution equations for heat flux and pressure anisotropy. This coupling voids the algorithms used for primitive variable recovery in current conservative codes. Further, the EMHD model has source terms that become stiff in certain situations (see §2.3). To deal with these issues we have developed a new code, grim. The equations and algorithms used in grim are summarized in §2.4. A more detailed description of the code and an extensive series of code tests will be described in an upcoming publication (Chandra et al. 2015b, in prep., hereafter Paper II). The code and test results are available on the grim website

In this paper we begin to characterize non-ideal effects in global models of sub-Eddington accretion discs by performing axisymmetric EMHD simulations of discs around a rapidly rotating black hole. Through these simulations, we can model RIAFs with improved realism and assess the importance of both pressure anisotropy and heat conduction for the evolution of the disc. To do so, we perform a series of simulations starting from hydrodynamical equilibrium, with a seed magnetic field and small pressure perturbations. The initial conditions considered here are described in §3, while §4 presents the simulations, and §5 contains a summary and conclusion. In the simulations, we implicitly assume $P_e/P_i \ll 1$ in regions where gas pressure forces are important, where $P_e$ and $P_i$ are the electron and ion pressures. With this assumption, the fluid can be interpreted as the ions in RIAF models, with the electrons not contributing to the overall dynamics.

2 MODEL AND NUMERICAL METHODS

2.1 Extended Magnetohydrodynamics Model

We consider the evolution of the plasma surrounding a rotating black hole accreting at a sub-Eddington rate using the single fluid EMHD model proposed in Paper I. The EMHD model takes advantage of the expected ordering of length scales in which the Larmor radius of particles is much smaller than both the typical length scale of the system $GM/c^2$ and the Coulomb (or wave-particle) mean free path. Particles are thus effectively confined in the direction orthogonal to the magnetic field, but propagate freely along magnetic field lines. In the systems of interest, the collisional mean free path is large compared to $GM/c^2$, which suggests that a fluid

---

1 http://afd-illinois.github.io/grim/
The evolution equations used in our accretion disc simulations are given by the Braginskii (1965) model, which has been widely used to study laboratory and astrophysical plasmas, but generalizing it to relativistic systems is not trivial. Simply adding the relativistic equivalent of Braginskii’s heat flux and viscous stress tensor to the equations of general relativistic magnetohydrodynamics leads to an ill-posed (unstable and acausal) model. This issue is well-known for isotropic heat fluxes and viscosities (Hiscock & Lindblom 1985), and we confirmed in Paper I that the same problem arises in the anisotropic case. A slightly more complicated model, in which the heat flux and pressure anisotropy are promoted to dependent variables relaxing to their desired value on a dynamical timescale, is needed to restore well-posedness. We derived such an extended magnetohydrodynamics (EMHD) model for weakly collisional plasmas in Paper I, inspired by the theory for isotropic conduction and viscosity developed by Israel & Stewart (1979), which was proven to be well-posed by Hiscock & Lindblom (1983).

### 2.2 Evolution Equations

We now describe the evolution equations used in our accretion disc simulations. Here and in the following we assume a background Kerr black hole spacetime with mass $M$ and dimensionless spin $a_*$. We set $GM = c = 1$; greek indices run over four coordinate components, latin indices run over the spatial components only, the metric is $g_{\mu\nu}$, and its determinant is $g$.

The ideal MHD stress-energy tensor is

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{ideal}} = (\rho + u^\mu u^\nu + b^\mu b^\nu + (P + b^2/2)g^{\mu\nu} - b^\mu b^\nu)$$

where $b^\mu$ is the magnetic field 4-vector, which reduces to the ordinary magnetic field in the fluid frame, $b^\mu = b^\mu b_{\nu}$, $p$ is the baryon density, $u$ is the internal energy density, and $P$ is the gas pressure.

In the EMHD model the total stress-energy tensor is

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{ideal}} + q^\mu u^\nu + q^\nu u^\mu + \Pi^{\mu\nu}$$

where $q^\mu = q b^\mu$ is the heat flux, $b^\nu = b^\nu/b$ is the unit vector along $b^\mu$,

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu} = -\Delta P \left( b^\mu b^\nu - \frac{1}{3} h^{\mu\nu} \right)$$

is the viscous stress-energy tensor, and $h^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} + u^\mu u^\nu$ is the projection on a hypersurface orthogonal to the 4-velocity $u^\nu$. The model does not include bulk viscosity. These choices for $q^\mu$ and $\Pi^{\mu\nu}$ permit energy and momentum to be transported along but not across magnetic field lines.

The evolution equations for the fluid are the conservation of baryon number

$$\nabla_{\mu} (\rho u^\mu) = 0,$$

the Bianchi identity (i.e. the general relativistic equivalent of the conservation of momentum and energy)

$$\nabla_{\mu} T^{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

and Maxwell’s equation

$$\nabla_{\mu} (b^\mu u^\nu - b^\nu u^\mu) = 0.$$
that the results discussed in this paper are independent of the choice of $\Gamma$, as long as the conductive diffusivity and kinematic viscosity are in the stable regime (see Sec. 2.3).

We also need evolution equations for the heat flux and pressure anisotropy. These are written with respect to the rescaled variables

$$\tilde{q} = q \left( \frac{\tau_R}{\sqrt{\rho c^2}} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \Delta \tilde{P} = \Delta P \left( \frac{\tau_R}{\sqrt{\rho c^2}} \right)^{1/2},$$

as

$$\nabla_\nu (\tilde{q} u^\nu) = -\frac{\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}_0}{\tau_R} + \frac{\tilde{q}}{2} \nabla_\nu u^\nu, \quad \nabla_\nu (\Delta \tilde{P} u^\nu) = -\frac{\Delta \tilde{P} - \Delta P_0}{\tau_R} + \frac{\Delta \tilde{P}}{2} \nabla_\nu u^\nu. \quad (14, 15)$$

That is, $\tilde{q}$ and $\Delta \tilde{P}$ are damped towards their target value $\tilde{q}_0$ and $\Delta P_0$ over a timescale $\tau_R$. The evolution equations follow from the requirement that the model satisfies the second law of thermodynamics, as detailed in Paper I. We note that Eqs (12-13) are obtained from Eqs. (47) and (52) of Paper I though a change of variable, with a rescaling of the evolved variable by a factor of $\sqrt{\rho}$. This explains the difference in the form of the evolution equations. In particular the last term in Eqs (12-13), which is not present in Paper I, is only due to the different choice of rescaled variables. We find that the variables defined in Paper I lead to larger numerical errors in low density regions.

The target heat flux and pressure anisotropy are

$$q_0 = -\rho \tilde{b}^\nu (\nabla_\nu \Theta + \Theta u^\nu \nabla_\nu u^\nu), \quad \Delta P_0 = 3 \rho u^\nu (\tilde{b}^\nu \nabla_\nu u^\nu - \frac{1}{3} \nabla_\nu u^\nu), \quad (16, 17)$$

and $\tilde{q}_0, \Delta P_0$ are obtained from $q_0, \Delta P_0$ by the same rescaling as for $\tilde{q}, \Delta \tilde{P}$. The target heat flux and pressure anisotropy, $q_0$ and $\Delta P_0$, are covariant versions of the heat flux and pressure anisotropy in Braginskii’s theory. In the end, the EMHD model has three parameters: the timescale $\tau_R$ and the diffusivities $\chi$ and $\nu$, which have dimensions of length $\times$ velocity.

### 2.3 Closure Relations

The EMHD model has three free parameters: $\tau_R$, $\chi$, and $\nu$. In non-relativistic collisional theory, $\chi = \phi c^2 \tau_R$ and $\nu = \psi c^2 \tau_R$ with $\phi$ and $\psi$ dimensionless constants of order unity and $\tau_R$ of order of the mean-free-time between collisions. In relativistic theory we use the same form for the diffusivities, but replace the sound speed by its relativistic counterpart $c^2 = \Gamma P/\rho + \Gamma u^2$.

What about $\tau_R$? The central idea of the EMHD model is to assume that $\tau_R$ is not set by the mean-free-time between Coulomb scatterings, which is long, but rather by an effective mean-free-time due to wave-particle scatterings. In the absence of other effects a natural scale for $\tau_R$ is the dynamical time $\tau_\nu \approx \sqrt{\rho s^2 / (GM)}$.

However, the relaxation timescale is likely shortened by the action of velocity-space instabilities such as the mirror and firehose, which are driven by shearing, heating, expansion, or compression of the plasma. The single fluid model used here represents the ions in a RIAF. Ions are unstable to the firehose instability if $\Delta P < -b^2 \equiv \Delta P_{\text{firehose}}$ and to the mirror instability if

$$\Delta P > b^2 \left( \frac{b^2 P_0}{2} \right) \equiv \Delta P_{\text{mirror}} \quad (18)$$

(see, e.g., Kunz et al. 2014). Once the pressure anisotropy reaches the mirror/firehose threshold the instability should grow and the pressure anisotropy should plateau. Similarly, the heat flux should satisfy $|q| \lesssim \Delta P c^2$ with $a \approx 1$ (Cowie & McKee 1977).

The limits on pressure anisotropy and heat flux are due to an increase in the rate of pitch angle scattering by wave-particle interactions. In the EMHD model, then, the effective collision rate should increase and $\tau_R$ should decrease near these limits. To model this we set

$$\tau_R = \tau_\nu \times f(|q|, \rho c^2) \times f(\Delta P, \Delta P_{\text{mirror}}) \times f(-\Delta P, b^2) + f_{\text{min}}. \quad (19)$$

in simulations presented here we set set $\Delta x = 0.01$ and $f_{\text{min}} = 10^{-5}$. We also tested the prescription $\Delta x = 0.1$, and found no significant changes in the results.

So far, we have focused on firehose and mirror instability. For $\Delta P > 0$ the ion cyclotron (IC) instability is also potentially important. The expected threshold is $\Delta P_{\text{IC}} \approx 0.35 P_0^{1-\alpha} (b^2/2)^\alpha$ with $\alpha \approx 0.45$ (Sharma et al. 2006). In strongly magnetized regions, $\Delta P_{\text{IC}} < \Delta P_{\text{mirror}}$. However, observations of the solar wind show that while the pressure anisotropy appears to saturate at $\Delta P_{\text{mirror}}$ and $\Delta P_{\text{firehose}}$, this is not the case at $\Delta P_{\text{IC}}$ (Hellinger et al. 2006). One possible explanation for this is that, as a resonant instability, the ion cyclotron instability is sensitive to small deviations from the bi-Maxwellian distribution function commonly assumed when evaluating velocity space instability thresholds (Isenberg et al. 2013). In this first study we generally ignore the ion cyclotron instability when setting $\tau_R$, but assess its potential importance in §4.2.

We must still choose the dimensionless conductivity and viscosity $\phi$ and $\psi$. Not all choices produce stable, causal models. In Paper I we showed that the stability of the system requires $\phi \lesssim \frac{c}{c^2}$, while causality requires $\psi \lesssim \frac{0.75}{(c/c^2)}$. To satisfy these conditions for any value of the physical parameters for an adiabatic index $\Gamma = 4/3$ (which implies $c^2 < 1/3\kappa$), we need $\phi < 9$ and $\psi < 9$. In Paper I, we also showed that for $0 < \phi < 3$, non-linear instabilities appear in the model if $q/u \gtrsim (3 - \phi)/10$. The model could thus be unstable even for relatively low heat fluxes when $\phi \gtrsim 3$. In our standard model we set $\phi = 1$ and $\psi = 2/3$. We show below that $\Delta P$ bumps up against the mirror or firehose instability thresholds over most of the computational domain, and so larger values of $\psi$ would yield very similar results. As already mentioned, these stability conditions are more constraining for a non-relativistic ideal fluid with $\Gamma = 5/3$. Analytically, and for linear perturbations around an ideal fluid state, the model is then stable if $\phi \leq 1.5$ and $\psi \leq 9/8$ in high temperature regions. Numerically, we find that instabilities can occur at particularly...
hot points for slightly lower values of $\phi$. To avoid this issue, when using $\Gamma = 5/3$, we choose $\phi = 1/2, \psi = 1/3$.

2.4 Numerical Methods

In §2.2, we showed that the EMHD model involves 10 evolution equations (the time component of (10) is equivalent to the no-monopoles constraint). We recast the evolution equations in conservative form

$$\partial_t \mathbf{U} + \partial_r \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{S}$$

(22)

where $\mathbf{U} = \sqrt{-g} (\rho u\, , T^a, T, B, \mathbf{\hat{q}} u, \Delta \mathbf{\hat{P}} u)$ is the vector of 10 “conserved” variables, $\mathbf{F}$ are fluxes and $\mathbf{S}$ are “source terms” which may, contrary to the usual meaning of source term, contain both time and space derivatives of the evolved variables via $\mathbf{q}$ and $\Delta P_r$.

We evolve the system using the grim code, a flexible code designed to be able to evolve a broad range of nondiagonal relativistic fluid models. grim is described in detail in Paper II, together with an extensive series of tests; here we give a brief outline of the method.

grim solves the EMHD equations using an implicit-explicit time stepper. All terms involving spatial derivatives, i.e. the divergence of the fluxes and the spatial derivatives of $\mathbf{\hat{P}}$, are treated implicitly. Each substep of the time-stepping algorithm thus involves inverting an implicit system of 10 equations at each point, which we do using the PETSc library (Balay et al. 1997, 2015a,b). The explicit treatment of the fluxes avoids coupling between neighboring points when solving the implicit equations, which would lead to a more computationally costly algorithm. As grim uses PETSc’s automated Jacobian assembly, it does not require the Jacobian as an input. We use a second-order in time implicit-explicit time stepper, a second-order reconstruction scheme to compute the state at the left and right side of each cell interface (MC, van Leer (1977)), and the HLL approximate Riemann solver to compute the fluxes on cell faces from the left and right states.

As in all grid-based general relativistic simulations of a fluid, we must impose additional constraints in low-density regions. These “floors” are designed to avoid evolution towards unphysical states driven by accumulation of small numerical errors in low-density and/or magnetically dominated regions, and to improve the stability of the code. The floors should accomplish this without directly modifying the solution in higher density regions where the equations can be evolved reliably. In grim the floor conditions are $\rho \geq 0.1\, \mathrm{c}^2$, $\rho \geq 10^{-3} \times \mathrm{r}^{-3/2}, \ u \geq 0.002 \, \mathrm{c}^2$, and $u \geq 10^{-5} \times \mathrm{r}^{-3/2}$ (the maximum density in the initial conditions is $\rho = 1$; here r is the Kerr-Schild or Boyer-Lindquist radius). If these inequalities are not satisfied, we increase $\rho$ and/or $u$ as needed.

Arbitrary modification of the solution by floors seems unappealing; it would be nice to minimize invocation of the floors. It is possible to do this by adding mass, momentum, and energy source terms inspired by the idea that high energy photons collide and create pairs in the low density, polar regions as in Mościbrodzka & Gammie (2012). We use a set of source terms that are meant to model the creation of plasma at dimensionless temperature $\Theta_W$ in the normal observer frame ($u_i = 0$):

$$\partial_t (\sqrt{-g} \rho u) = -10^{-6} \sqrt{-g} (\rho u)^{1/2} \cos^{4} \theta \left( \frac{1}{1 + r^2} \right)^{2}$$

(23)

$$\partial_t (\sqrt{-g} T^t) = 10^{-6} \sqrt{-g} \cos^{4} \theta \left( \frac{1}{1 + r^2} \right)^{2} \left( \frac{\Theta_W}{\Gamma - 1} \right).$$

(24)

These source terms are meant to minimize invocation of the floors and should not be taken as a serious physical model (a treatment of pair production would require another fluid component with lower mean molecular weight). We have verified that the source terms do not affect the evolution of non-polar regions by direct comparison of simulations with source terms turned on and off. Their net effect is to significantly reduce invocation of the floors.

3 SIMULATIONS

In this paper we consider the axisymmetric evolution of an initially weakly magnetized, geometrically thick torus in a Kerr spacetime with $a_c = 0.9375$.

3.1 Coordinates and Grid Structure

The governing equations are solved on a two-dimensional uniform mesh in modified Kerr-Schild coordinates $t, a, b, \phi$, as in Gammie et al. (2003). The coordinates are related to the usual Kerr-Schild coordinates $t, r, \theta, \phi$ by

$$r = e^a$$

(25)

and

$$\theta = \pi b + \frac{1 - h}{2} \sin (2\pi b).$$

(26)

Evidently if $\theta$ ranges over $[0, \pi]$ then $b$ ranges over $[0, 1]$. Recall that Kerr-Schild $r, \theta$ are identical to Boyer-Lindquist $r, \theta$. The model is axisymmetric (independent of $\phi$). The coordinate parameter $h$ can be used to increase grid resolution in the disc, near the equator at $\theta = \pi/2$ or $b = 0.5$; we set $h = 0.3$.

Our radial grid extends from $r_{in} = 1.321 \, \mathrm{GM/c}^2$ to $r_{out} = 63 \, \mathrm{GM/c}^2$. In the equatorial plane, the horizon is located at $1.348 \, \mathrm{GM/c}^2$, the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) at $r_{ISCO} = 2.044 \, \mathrm{GM/c}^2$ for prograde orbits, and the maximum density of the initial torus is at $r_{max} = 12 \, \mathrm{GM/c}^2$. For a typical simulation that uses 280 grid points in $a$ there are $\sim 30$ points between the inner boundary and the ISCO and $\sim 150$ points between the inner boundary $r_{max}$. The grid covers the entire range $\theta = [0, \pi]$.

3.2 Initial and Boundary Conditions

The initial conditions contain a partially pressure supported torus with constant specific entropy that follows the hydrostatic equilibrium solution of Fishbone & Moncrief (1976). The initial state is uniquely determined by the maximum density in the initial torus is at $r_{max} = 12 \, \mathrm{GM/c}^2$. For a typical simulation that uses 280 grid points in $a$ there are $\sim 30$ points between the inner boundary and the ISCO and $\sim 150$ points between the inner boundary $r_{max}$. The grid covers the entire range $\theta = [0, \pi]$.

$$A_\phi = A_0 \max (\rho - 0.2, 0) \cos (N_\text{loop} \theta),$$

(27)
and all other components zero. The magnetic field is $B^i = \epsilon^{ijk} \nabla_j A_k$; here $B^i = b^i u^j - b^j u^i$ (see Gammie et al. 2003 for details), and $\epsilon^{ijk}$ is the antisymmetric symbol. The constant $A_0$ sets the overall strength of the magnetic perturbation, and is chosen so that the minimum value of $\beta = 2P/b^2$ is $\beta_{\text{min}} \sim 15$. At our standard resolution this gives about 30 points across the wavelength of the fastest growing mode of the magnetorotational instability (MRI; Balbus & Hawley 1991) in the region in which $\beta \sim \beta_{\text{min}}$. Most of the magnetized portions of the initial disc have $\beta \sim 10^2 - 10^4$.

The parameter $N_{\text{loops}}$ determines the number of magnetic loops in the initial disc. We consider the two configurations $N_{\text{loops}} = 1$ and $N_{\text{loops}} = 2$ (see Fig. 2) but focus primarily on the single loop case.

The initial internal energy $u_0$ is perturbed to stimulate the growth of the MRI. We set

$$ u = u_0 (1 + 0.02 X) \quad (28) $$

with $X$ a random number in the interval $[-1, 1]$, different at each point in the disc.

We also need to set boundary conditions. At the poles we use the usual reflecting polar boundary conditions. At the outer boundary we wish to avoid inflow, so we set $u^r = \max(0, u^r)$ there after each time step. The inner boundary does not require any special treatment because we place the inner boundary inside the event horizon and this automatically enforces outflow.

Table 1 lists the simulations to be discussed in this paper. Each initial configuration is evolved four times using four distinct models: ideal MHD, full EMHD, EMHD with conduction only, and EMHD with viscosity only. Although the last two are not physical they provide insight into the relative importance of anisotropic heat conduction and viscosity. We also perform the full EMHD one-loop evolution at three different resolutions: half the standard resolution; the standard resolution; and double the standard resolution. Finally the one-loop configuration was run with heat flux increased by setting $\phi = 8$. This was done because, as we will see below, the heat flux remains relatively small for $\phi = 1$ and we wanted to test the impact of a larger heat flux on the evolution of the disc. Moreover, the exact value of $\phi$ is uncertain. Larger values of $\phi$ produce higher heat fluxes, closer to the saturated free-streaming value. Although in principle one might expect $\phi = 8$ to be unstable and hence ill-posed (see §2.3) we did not in fact find any numerical problems with this choice of thermal conductivity, as long as $\phi$ is corrected to avoid superluminal characteristic speeds at rare hot points appearing in the accretion flow. We did, however, find that for $\phi = 10$ the simulations became unstable.

Table 1 does not list a number of other simulations done to test the impact of varying other numerical parameters, including atmosphere corrections, the use of lower density and internal energy floors, the addition of the wind source terms, or the use of a different adiabatic index $\Gamma = 5/3$. These changes do not significantly alter our results and we do not discuss them further here. We also performed a simulation in which the pressure anisotropy saturated at the threshold of the ion cyclotron instability, which we discuss in Sec. 4.2.

All models are evolved to $t = 2000GM/c^3 \approx 10^5 M_\odot \text{sec}$. In axisymmetry, disc turbulence is characterized by an initial resolution-dependent peak in turbulent field strength followed by a resolution-dependent exponential decay (Guan & Gammie 2008), consistent with the anti-dynamo theorem. Over the course of the simulation only the inner portion of the disc has time to evolve to a quasi-stationary state; the remainder of the disc is still in a transient associated with the initial conditions.

The standard grid spacing was chosen so that the peak magnetic field strength in axisymmetry is comparable to the saturation magnetic field strength observed in fully 3D ideal MHD models (Shiokawa et al. 2012). Our goal in this paper is to explore the EMHD model in axisymmetry before moving on to expensive, fully 3D EMHD models. The assumption of axisymmetry is one of the leading sources of uncertainty in our results.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Overview

The overall properties of the EMHD models are quite similar to the ideal MHD models. The initial state is unstable to
the MRI, and then transitions to turbulence that heats the plasma and increases the magnetic field strength, leading to accretion (inflow through the event horizon) and outflows. Fig. 3 compares the density and magnetic field lines at a single instant late in the evolution of both models, while Fig. 4 compares density (solid lines) and magnetic field lines (solid lines) for the ideal MHD (left) and full EMHD (right) models. The ideal MHD and full EMHD simulations have very similar density profiles and magnetic field structure.

The initial growth of the MRI is similar in the ideal MHD and full EMHD models. Growth occurs most rapidly (as measured by the average velocity in the initial conditions fluid frame) in the relatively small region in which the fastest growing mode of the MRI is fully resolved. In the standard run this is at $r \approx 8GM/c^2$ in the highest latitude parts of the magnetized portion of the initial torus. There is a short transient related to the nonequilibrium nature of the initial conditions: they are hydrodynamic but not MHD equilibria, and so they are perturbed by the addition of weak magnetic fields. Then the average velocity grows on approximately the expected timescale $\tau_{MRI} \approx 4/(3\Omega)^3$ ($\Omega \equiv$ disc angular velocity), until $t \sim 60GM/c^3$ when it saturates. The turbulent region then spreads through the disc, with the characteristic MRI scale $v_A/\Omega$ eventually being resolved everywhere.

Nevertheless, there are significant differences between ideal MHD and full EMHD models that are visible in other time-averaged or time-integrated quantities. First consider accretion rate and mass loss (outflow) rate. The integrated mass lost through accretion onto the black hole (mass flux through the inner boundary) and outflows (mass flux through the outer boundary) are plotted in Fig. 5, while the instantaneous mass accretion rate onto the black hole is provided in Fig. 6. For clarity, we do not show the simulations with only conduction, which mostly track the ideal MHD case. Although there are large fluctuations, and the entire flow has not reached a quasi-equilibrium state (the accretion rate, in particular, varies significantly with the exact realization of the turbulent flow), we note that the simulations with viscosity have larger accretion and outflow rates.

Outflows from RIAFs are potentially important in explaining how systems like Sgr A* have low accretion rates despite an abundance of available gas at large distances from the black hole (e.g., Blandford & Begelman 1999), so the enhanced outflow rate in the full EMHD model is intriguing.

\footnote{The growth rate is slightly reduced in the Kerr metric over a naive, Newtonian estimate; see Gammie (2004) for more details.}

\footnote{Anisotropic pressure increases the growth rate of the MRI when a toroidal field is present, but not for the purely poloidal fields initialized in our simulations (Quataert et al. 2002; Balbus 2004).}
Figure 6. Accretion rate onto the black hole for the full EMHD model, simulations with only viscosity, and ideal MHD. All simulations start from the one-loop initial condition.

Still, the axisymmetric models are in quasi-equilibrium only relatively close to the hole. We therefore leave a more detailed analysis of the outflow properties to future fully 3D work.

The temperature structure of the full EMHD model also differs from ideal MHD models. Fig. 7 show the temperature of the fluid, and density contours, averaged over $1200 < tc^3/(GM) < 1700$. The average density is $\langle \rho \rangle \equiv (\int \rho dt)/(\int dt)$ and the average normalized temperature $\langle \Theta \rangle \equiv (\int P dt)/(\int \rho dt)$. The most noticeable change in the full EMHD model is that the temperature is higher in the corona and funnel wall. Conduction has a minor effect on the temperature profile, mildly smoothing temperature gradients along magnetic field lines; the increased temperature is therefore almost entirely due to viscosity. The magnitude of the changes in $\Theta$ can more easily be assessed in Fig. 8, which shows the latitude dependence of the temperature in the region $4 < rc^2/(GM) < 7$. The temperature increase is even higher, a factor of 2-3, at larger radii. Evidently the hotter corona also compresses the funnel.

The higher ion temperature in the full EMHD models could have significant effects on the inferred observational appearance of the flow. This will be assessed in future work that incorporates a more careful treatment of the electron thermodynamics.

4.2 Pressure Anisotropy

The full EMHD models have an increased rate of angular momentum transport and turbulent heating as a result of viscosity, or equivalently pressure anisotropy. The difference between ideal MHD and full EMHD is of the same order as the effect of the transport and heating in ideal MHD alone. This is what one would naturally expect if $\Delta P \sim b^2$, as the viscous and magnetic components of the stress-energy tensor...
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are

\[ T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{vis}} \sim -b^\mu b^\nu + \frac{b^2}{3} h^{\mu\nu}, \quad (29) \]
\[ T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{mag}} = -b^\mu b^\nu + b^2 h^{\mu\nu} - \frac{b^2}{2} g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (30) \]

and are thus of similar orientation and magnitude (Sharma et al. 2006). We show below that, in most of the disc, \( \Delta P \approx b^2/2 \).

The main properties of the pressure anisotropy in our simulations can be seen in Fig. 9. The bottom right panel of Fig. 9 shows \( \Delta P/b^2 \) at \( t = 1500GM/c^3 \) for the full EMHD simulation. The simulation without heat conduction is indistinguishable. An animated visualization of the evolution of \( \Delta P \) and \( q \) for the full EMHD model is also provided online 5.

The key result here is that, in most of the evolved domain, pressure anisotropy saturates at the mirror or firehose limits that are imposed in the model. Even in the funnel, where \( \Delta P \ll b^2/2 \), the pressure anisotropy is driven close to the mirror instability limit (for \( \beta \ll 1 \), \( \Delta P_{\text{mirror}} \ll b^2/2 \)). This shows that the flow strongly drives \( \Delta P \) up against the instability thresholds, and thus that the saturated state of the instabilities are relevant to the flow evolution.

Furthermore, \( \Delta P > 0 \) in nearly all regions in which the magnetic fields are large, and there is a strong correlation between field strength and \( \Delta P \). As \( \Delta P \sim \min(b^2/2, P) \), the more strongly magnetized regions are also those where the absolute magnitude of the pressure anisotropy is the strongest. The most important regions are \( \beta \sim 1 \) where the viscous stress can affect the evolution of the plasma.

We expect mirror instability if

\[ \psi \sim \frac{\rho c^2}{\Omega} \gg 1 \]

which is typically satisfied below about two disc scale heights. Therefore, we should have expected mirror instability in the bulk of the disc.

What should we have expected for \( \Delta P_0 \)? The target pressure anisotropy is, from (17),

\[ \langle \Delta P_0 \rangle = \langle 3\rho \nu (b^\mu b^\nu \nabla_\mu u_\nu - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu u^\mu) \rangle \approx 3\rho \nu \langle b^\mu b^\nu \rangle \nabla_\mu u_\nu \]

where \( \langle \rangle \) indicates a time average, and in the final estimate we have assumed that density, viscosity, and velocity fluctuations are uncorrelated with magnetic field fluctuations. For simplicity we will estimate \( \langle \Delta P_0 \rangle \) far from the black hole, in the nonrelativistic regime, at the disc midplane. The Keplerian angular speed \( \Omega \sim \sqrt{\frac{r}{M/r^3}} \) and taking \( B_0 \) and \( B_z \) to be arbitrary but should be calibrated by PIC models. Never-theless, it is evident that most of the plasma is driven up against the instability boundaries by the flow.

Fig. 10 shows the distribution of the pressure anisotropy (by rest mass) in the \( \beta, P_\perp/P_\parallel \) plane, similar to Figure 1 in an analysis of solar wind data by Hellinger et al. (2006), while Fig 11 (right panel) shows the spatial distribution of \( P_\perp/P_\parallel \) at \( t = 1500GM/c^3 \). Evidently the bulk of the fluid is at \( \Delta P > 0 \) (\( P_\perp > P_\parallel \)). The dashed lines on Fig. 10 show the mirror and firehose instability thresholds; a large fraction of the disc mass is at \( \Delta P \approx \Delta P_{\text{mirror}} \), a smaller fraction of the disc is at \( \Delta P \approx \Delta P_{\text{firehose}} \).

In our simulations, between \( 1200 < \psi \approx \sqrt{\frac{\rho c^2}{\Omega}} < 1700 \), 49% of the matter has \( \langle \Delta P/\Delta P_{\text{mirror}} \rangle > 0.99 \), and 15% of the matter has \( \langle \Delta P/\Delta P_{\text{firehose}} \rangle > 0.99 \). In more magnetized regions, the bias towards \( P_\perp > P_\parallel \) is stronger, but a smaller fraction of the matter is pushed against the mirror and firehose instability thresholds. The fraction of the matter close to these thresholds becomes 38% (mirror) and 2% (firehose) for \( \beta < 10 \), and 9% (mirror) and 0% (firehose) for \( \beta < 1 \).

The exact distribution of matter around the instability limits is controlled by the parameter \( \Delta x \), which has been chosen arbitrarily but should be calibrated by PIC models. Nevertheless, it is evident that most of the plasma is driven up against the instability boundaries by the flow.

\footnotetext[5]{http://afd-illinois.github.io/grim/gallery/}
Figure 9. **Top:** Heat flux (color scale, normalized by $\rho c_s^3$) and magnetic field lines (solid lines) for the one-loop configuration at $t = 1500GM/c^3$, for the simulations including only heat conduction with $\phi = 1$ (left) and $\phi = 8$ (right). **Bottom:** Heat flux (left, same color scale as top panels) and pressure anisotropy (right, color scale, normalized by $b^2$), with magnetic field lines for the simulation with the full EMHD model (with $\phi = 1$). For the full EMHD model, the heat flux is well below the saturated value of $q = \rho c_s^3$ and the pressure anisotropy is almost everywhere close to either the mirror ($\Delta P = b^2/2$) and firehose ($\Delta P = -b^2$) limits. The regions close to the mirror instability threshold are associated with enhanced magnetic fields while those close to the firehose instability threshold have a lower magnetic field strength. An animated visualization of the evolution of $\Delta P$ and $q$ in the full EHMD model is available online (http://afd-illinois.github.io/grim/gallery/).
in RIAFs (see Sironi & Narayan 2015 for studies along this line). As a first test, in our 2D simulations, we can force \( \Delta P \) to \( \Delta P_{\text{mirror}} \) and \( \Delta P_{\text{firehose}} \). About half of the matter has \( \Delta P > 0.99 \Delta P_{\text{mirror}} \).

**Figure 10.** Distribution of the pressure anisotropy \( P_{\perp}/P_{\parallel} \) and plasma \( \beta \) in the disc, for the full EMHD model. The colour scale shows the total disc mass in a given bin, summed over 51 equally spaced snapshots of the simulation in the time interval \( 1200 < t c^3/(GM) < 1700 \) and normalized by the mass in the most populated bin. The dashed black curve shows the saturation amplitude of the mirror instability, \( \Delta P_{\text{mirror}} \), and the dot-dashed black curve the saturation amplitude of the firehose instability, \( \Delta P_{\text{firehose}} \). About half of the matter has \( \Delta P > 0.99 \Delta P_{\text{mirror}} \).

4.3 Heat Conduction

Heat conduction can have a dramatic effect when \( q \sim \rho c_s^3 \) is the saturated heat flux. In this case standard estimates show that conduction can erase temperature gradients on a timescale of order of the dynamical time. Fig. 9 shows that when conduction is included in the model but viscosity is not, \( q/(\rho c_s^3) \) is typically \( 1\% - 10\% \). Larger \( q/(\rho c_s^3) \) are preferentially present in the hot polar regions.

In order to understand why the heat flux is only a small fraction of the saturated value, we examine \( q/(\rho c_s^3) \approx q_0/(\rho c_s^3) \approx \partial q_0/\partial \rho \partial \rho \), with \( q_0 = -\nabla\cdot\Theta + \Theta u^\alpha \nabla u_{\alpha} \). \( q_0 \) would be the expected heat flux if heat conduction occurred in all directions, instead of being limited to follow magnetic field lines. Fig. 12 (middle and right panels) shows that \( \partial q_0/\partial \rho \partial \rho \) is indeed typically \( 1\% - 10\% \) in the disc. The left panel of Fig. 12 shows the estimated \( q \) without the projection of \( q_{\perp} \) on the magnetic field direction \( b \). We observe that \( q \) then easily reaches its expected saturation value. This demonstrates that the comparatively low values of the heat flux arise in part because the temperature gradients are nearly orthogonal to the magnetic field: an isotropic prescription for the heat flux would yield \( q/(\rho c_s^3) \sim 1 \). But is the misalignment of the field and temperature gradient somehow driven by the conduction itself? The middle and right panels show the expected \( q \) estimated from an ideal MHD simulation and in a conduction-only EMHD model with \( \phi = 1 \). Since they are similar, we conclude that the lack of field-aligned temperature gradients is not driven by conduction.

The simplest explanation for the misalignment between the temperature gradient and magnetic field is that the field is nearly toroidal, as in almost all simulations of magnetized turbulence in discs. Meanwhile the temperature gradient is entirely poloidal in our axisymmetric models. In fully three dimensional models it seems likely that the temperature gradient will remain nearly poloidal, but there is a possibility that the resulting configuration is subject to the magnetothermal instability (MTI; Balbus 2000). If the MTI is vigorous enough to control the orientation of the field in some parts of the flow, then this conclusion could change.

The relative unimportance of the heat flux may depend on our somewhat arbitrary choice \( \phi = 1 \). Could a larger \( \phi \) change the disc structure significantly? To test this, we evolved a model with \( \phi = 8 \). Fig. 9 shows that this indeed causes the heat flux to rise and get closer to saturation, at least in the more strongly magnetized regions of the disc and in the low-density outflows. We have verified, however, that these larger \( \phi \) models do not have a significantly different magnetic energy, temperature profile, or outflows, except for a modest increase in the temperature of the low-density regions at \( r > 10GM/c^2 \). This might be expected because of the more efficient energy transport between the inner and outer parts of the disc in regions where the radial component of the magnetic field dominates. The magnetic field lines late in the simulation, observable in Fig. 9, are also remarkably similar for \( \phi = 1 \) and \( \phi = 8 \). It appears that, at least in
axisymmetry, the conclusion that heat conduction has little impact on the global properties of the disc is quite robust.

4.4 Full EMHD Model

The structure of the full EMHD model simulations are very similar to those obtained when pressure anisotropy is included but conduction is turned off. This is not surprising given the results of the previous two sections.

In fact, the coupling between the pressure anisotropy and the heat flux through the shared effective collision timescale $\tau_R$ causes the effects of heat conduction in the core of the disc to be even smaller in the full EMHD model than in the simulations evolving only the heat flux. The saturation of the pressure anisotropy, due to either the firehose or mirror instabilities, causes a strong increase in the effective collision rate, and thus (because of our closure model) a decrease in $\tau_R$. This not only stops $\Delta P$ from becoming larger than the mirror/firehose thresholds, but also significantly reduces the heat flux $q$. This is clearly visible in Fig. 9, which shows that $q$ can be an order of magnitude lower in the EMHD simulation than in the simulation modeling only heat conduction.

Quantitatively, we find that the effective collision timescale satisfies $\tau_R \sim (\beta \Omega)^{-1}$ when $\beta \gtrsim 1$, thus reducing the heat flux by a factor of $\beta^{-1}$ in high-density regions. This dependence of the scattering rate on $\beta$ is seen in kinetic simulations of velocity space instabilities in a shearing plasma (e.g., Kunz et al. 2014). It follows simply from the fact that the scattering rate required to maintain a given value of $\Delta P/P$ is $\tau_R^{-1} \sim \Omega \Delta P/P \sim \Omega \beta$.

The exception to our observation of reduced conduction in models with viscosity is at the funnel wall, where the temperature is larger in the simulations with viscosity (see Fig. 9). Larger temperature gradients in that region cause the heat flux to be more important than in the absence of viscosity, and close to its saturated value. The effect of the heat flux to be more important than in the absence of viscous disc-funnel interface. It is as yet unclear whether this plays an important role in the radiative properties of the disc; that will depend on the electron thermodynamics (Mościbrodzka et al. 2014; Ressler et al. 2015).

To conclude our analysis of the full EMHD model, we look at the component of the stress-energy tensor responsible for radial transport of angular momentum, $T_{\phi, \phi}$. Time-averaged values of some of its components, integrated over angles, are shown in Fig. 13. We use

$$ T_{\phi, \text{mag}} = b^2 u' u_\phi - b' b_\phi \tag{35} $$

$$ T_{\phi, \text{vis}} = \Pi' \phi \tag{36} $$

Note that the magnetic stresses are comparable in the ideal MHD an EMHD simulations, so that the total stress is larger in the EMHD simulations because of the contribution from viscosity.

4.5 Dependence on Initial Field Geometry

As a test of the robustness of the results discussed in the previous sections, we consider a different initial configuration in which the initial field has two loops rather than one (see Fig. 2; $N_{\text{loops}} = 2$). We find that the conclusions reached for the one-loop configuration largely carry over to the two-loop configuration. The increased heating and accretion due to viscous stress is confirmed in the two-loop configuration, with about $\sim 50\%$ more outflow in the full EMHD model than in the ideal MHD model. The two-loop configuration exhibits no clear differences in mass outflow between the viscous EMHD and full EMHD models.

Fig. 14 shows that our conclusions regarding the saturation of the pressure anisotropy apply to the two-loop configuration as well: in most of the disc, and in all regions of strong magnetic fields, we have $\Delta P \approx \Delta P_{\text{mirror}}$, and thus $\Delta P \sim b^2/2$ in high-density regions. The heat flux is slightly larger than in the one-loop configuration, at least in the inner disc, with $q$ at $\gtrsim 10\%$ of its saturated value when we do not evolve the pressure anisotropy. But $q$ is strongly suppressed in the full EMHD model because of the reduction in $\tau_R$ (increase in effective collisionality) associated with $\Delta P$ being pushed up against the mirror-instability boundary.

4.6 Resolution Study

In three dimensional simulations of disc turbulence with an “implicit closure” (no explicit dissipation) a quasi-steady state can be reached with a sustained, turbulent magnetic field that is nearly independent of resolution, at least at currently accessible resolutions (Shiokawa et al. 2012). In axisymmetry this is not the case. It is known that the saturation and eventual decay of the magnetic field is a non-convergent transient (Guan & Gammie 2008). In addition, the regions of the initial configuration in which the MRI is resolved are also resolution dependent, unless the seed magnetic field is very large or the grid spacing is smaller than used in the present study. At our standard resolution we have only $\sim 30$ grid points across a wavelength of the fastest growing mode of the MRI in the small portion of the disc where it is easiest to resolve (i.e., for the one-loop configuration, at the border between the magnetized and unmagnetized regions around $r = 8GM/c^2$).

Accordingly, the global evolution of the disc varies with resolution. More rapid accretion, stronger outflows, and a larger entropy generation are observed at high resolution, and of course the high-resolution simulation shows the growth of turbulence on smaller length scales. The most striking effect of resolution is shown on Fig. 15. The heating of the outflows is clearly not converged in 2D simulations, and increases at higher resolution. The disc and outflows cover a wider opening angle, while the highly magnetized funnel is nearly a factor of 2 smaller at our highest resolution than at our standard resolution. This is true both for the simulations using the full EMHD model (shown in Fig. 15), and in ideal MHD. The enhanced heating of the outflows in the EMHD simulations does not converge away as resolution increases, and thus non-ideal effects may affect the temperature, mass and geometry of the outflows and the opening angle of the strongly magnetized funnel; but in axisymmetry the impact of numerical resolution on the heating...
of the funnel wall is comparable to the impact of non-ideal effects. Accordingly, the exact importance of that effect can only be determined through high-resolution 3D simulations.

Despite these differences and the limitations of axisymmetric simulations, we note that the main conclusions of this work are unaffected by numerical resolution. The pressure anisotropy and heat conduction behave similarly at all resolutions: the pressure anisotropy saturates at $\Delta P \sim \Delta P_{\text{mirror}}$ in most of the disc and in the funnel, while the heat flux remains well below its saturation value. This is as much agreement as we can expect in 2D simulations.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a first assessment of the differences between the global evolution of radiatively inefficient black hole accretion flows modeled as ideal magnetized fluids, and as weakly collisional plasmas. The weakly collisional model is expected to be a better representation of discs accreting well below the Eddington limit, and can thus improve our understanding of slowly accreting black holes. This includes the majority of observed accreting black holes, e.g., the particularly important sources Sgr A* and M87.

In our extended MHD model of weakly collisional plasmas, described in Paper I, the deviations from ideal MHD are taken into account through the inclusion of a heat flux along magnetic field lines and an anisotropic viscous shear. The latter is equivalent to the inclusion of a difference between the pressure along and orthogonal to magnetic field lines. Our current implementation models a single fluid, best
Large pressure anisotropies ($\Delta P$) result from calculations and particle-in-cell simulations and are of interest in RIAFs. However, linear kinetic theories and heat fluxes. True Coulomb collisions are very rare in the plasma, while a low collision rate implies larger pressure anisotropies. Large deviations from an ideal fluid model, which is set by the effective collision rate in the plasma. A high collision rate implies small deviations from an ideal fluid model.

Our extended magnetohydrodynamics model is covariant, stable, and causal. In the non-relativistic limit and for slowly varying pressure anisotropies and heat fluxes, it reduces to Braginskii’s theory of weakly collisional magnetized plasmas. In our model, the magnitude of the non-ideal effects is set by the effective collision rate in the plasma. A high collision rate implies small deviations from an ideal fluid model, while a low collision rate implies larger pressure anisotropies and heat fluxes. True Coulomb collisions are very rare in the plasmas of interest in RIAFs. However, linear kinetic theory calculations and particle-in-cell simulations show that large pressure anisotropies ($\Delta P \geq b^2/2$ or $\Delta P \leq -b^2$), can cause the growth of small-scale instabilities (e.g. the mirror, firehose, and ion cyclotron instabilities). These increase the effective collision rate in the plasma in such a way that the pressure anisotropy saturates at $\Delta P \sim b^2/2$ (or $\Delta P \sim -b^2$).

This corresponds roughly to an effective collision timescale $\tau_N \sim (\Omega \beta)^{-1}$, at least for $\beta \geq 1$.

Our simulations show that in weakly collisional accretion discs, the pressure anisotropy grows rapidly, up to the threshold for the mirror instability, in most of the disc and in the low-density, highly magnetized polar regions. The viscous stress is then comparable in magnitude and sign to the Maxwell stress, causing $O(1)$ effects on the evolution of the disc. This conclusion is similar to that reached by Sharma et al. (2006) and Riquelme et al. (2012) based on local shearing box fluid simulations and particle-in-cell simulations, respectively. Our conclusions apply not just to the disc midplane, however, but also to the highly magnetized polar regions.

In our calculations, the pressure anisotropy increases both the angular momentum transport and the heating of the disc. The latter is particularly noticeable in the disc outflows observed at the boundary between the disc and the magnetized polar regions, and could influence the emission produced by RIAFs.

The heat flux, by comparison, has only small effects on the disc thermodynamics and structure, at least in our axisymmetric simulations. This is in part because temperature gradients are largely orthogonal to the magnetic field lines, while particles only transport energy efficiently along magnetic field lines. In addition, the saturation of the pressure anisotropy causes a decrease in the effective collision timescale of the plasma to $\tau_N \sim (\beta \Omega)^{-1}$ when the plasma $\beta$-parameter satisfies $\beta \gtrsim 1$. In high-density regions, the fluid is thus effectively more collisional, and the heat flux is suppressed by an additional factor of $\beta^{-1}$. Whether these effects still suppress the heat flux in 3D, however, is an important open question.

An important limitation of this study is that all our simulations are axisymmetric. Although we expect that our main conclusions are robust because they can be understood using simple physical arguments, the use of axisymmetric simulations prevents us from providing reliable predictions of the steady-state behavior of discs in the weakly collisional model. Our conclusions are instead based on directly comparing ideal fluid and weakly collisional simulations. In order to be able to connect these results with observations of RIAFs, 3D simulations will be required. In addition, our current model does not treat the ions and electrons separately, while in a collisionless plasma the ions and electrons are out of thermal equilibrium. The single-fluid model used here effectively follows the properties of the ions, which is probably appropriate for determining the global properties of the disc in most cases. But the observable characteristics of the disc largely depend on the properties of the electrons. A two-fluid model like that developed in Ressler et al. (2015) is thus necessary to directly connect simulations and observations.

Finally, it is worth noting that in regions in which $\beta \lesssim 1$, including the potentially important polar outflows, the plasma is predicted to be unstable to the ion cyclotron instability based on linear instability thresholds derived for a bi-Maxwellian distribution function. We have not included the ion cyclotron instability in our standard model of the enhanced collisionality due to velocity space instabilities because measurements in the solar wind show that the plasma pressure anisotropy readily exceeds these nominal bounds (Kasper et al. 2002; Hellinger et al. 2006). However, if the ion cyclotron instability is in fact important in RIAF models, this could impact the pressure anisotropy and thermodynamics of the disc, particularly in the polar regions. In our 2D simulations, these differences are however weaker than the first order effect of the use of the EMHD model.
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