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ABSTRACT

Background: The relationship between the concentration of contrast agents and signal intensity (SI) are affected by some image parameters, phase-encoding scheme, magnetic field strength, image sequences, and iron oxide nanoparticles used and Gd-DTPA as MRI contrast agents.

Objective: In this article, the effect of saturation times (TSs) on the maximum and minimum SI, and also the linear relationship between the concentration of the contrast agent and SI are evaluated. Additionally, we evaluated the concentration of contrast agent that results the minimum SI using a saturation recovery TurboFLASH sequence.

Material and Methods: In this experimental study, a phantom was designed to hold vials with different concentrations of Gd-DTPA (0–19.77mmol/L). The mean SI was acquired from the nine central pixels of every vial at various TSs.

Results: This study shows that the maximum SI in an image is dependent on short TSs (up to 400ms) and independent of long TSs (400–1000ms). The result also shows that the concentration at which a maximum linear relationship between concentration and SI is maintained that gave an $R^2$ equal to 0.95 and 0.99 dependent on the TS. Moreover, the outcome demonstrates that as TS increases, the concentration of the contrast agent decreases. This causes SI to be minimized.

Conclusion: This study demonstrated that the TS is a key parameter for measuring the maximum and minimum SI and also TS plays the role in determining the maximum linear relationship between the MRI contrast agent concentration and SI in an in vivo perfusion study.
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Introduction

MRI signal intensity (SI) alterations are related to the contrast agent (e.g., Gd-DTPA gadolinium-diethylenetriamine penta-acetic acid), or ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide (USPIO) nanoparticles, strength of magnetic field, parameters of pulse sequence, phase-encoding scheme, rate and the volume of bolus injection...
of contrast agent, blood volume, the output of cardiac, and tissue topology [1-7]. It should be noted that the analysis of concentration-time can provide perfusion parameters (e.g., cerebral blood volume and flow, time to peak, and mean transit time). The concentration-time curve cannot be drawn directly in MRI. To do this, there should be a linear relationship between the concentration of contrast agent and SI [8, 9]. The relation between the concentration and SI changes can be considered to be linear at low concentrations. To maximize the concentration [10], which leads to increase in the signal to noise ratio (SNR) in absolute perfusion measurements, the range of concentrations, where this linear relationship is maintained, should be known [8]. There isn’t any fixed value for the relationship between concentration and SI regarding different publications [11-16].

In previous studies, we have investigated how the relation between the concentration of contrast agent and SI are affected by the image parameters like the repetition time (TR) [17], inversion time (TI) [18, 19], saturation time (TS) [18], echo time (TE) [20], flip angle [21], phase-encoding scheme [7], magnetic field strength [4], image sequences [5], and the use of Gd-DTPA [1] and iron oxide nanoparticles as contrast agents [2]. This work investigated the effect of saturation times (TSs) on the maximum and minimum SI, and also the relationship between SI and concentration using saturation recovery (SR) TurboFLASH (Turbo Fast Low-Angle Shot) (center out phase-encoding [7]) T1-weighted images. The linear relationship between concentration and SI was considered where the squared correlations (R²) were equal to 0.95 and 0.99. Another aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of changes in TS on the concentration of the contrast agent that results the minimum SI.

Material and Methods

In this experimental study, the following equation is used to measure the SI in T1-weighted inversion recovery (IR) sequences [6]:

\[
S(t) = S_0 \left( 1 - (1 - \cos \theta_{\text{inv}}) \exp \frac{-TI}{T_1} + \exp \frac{-TR}{T_1} \right)
\]  

(1)

S(t) is the SI after injection of MRI contrast agent. S₀ is the SI in the region of interest in the absence of contrast agent and θ_inv is flip angle of the inversion pulse. If θ_inv = 90º, for the SR sequence Equation 1 is modified as follows:

\[
S(t) = S_0 \left( 1 - \exp \frac{-TS}{T_1} + \exp \frac{-TR}{T_1} \right)
\]  

(2)

In the above equation, TS is the time between the two pulses (saturation 90º and α excitation). The relationship between T1 and concentration is described by the following formula [8]:

\[
\frac{1}{T_1} = \frac{C(t)}{K} + \frac{1}{T_{1\text{pre}}}
\]  

(3)

Where C(t) is the concentration of contrast agent at time t. K is a constant which depends on the contrast media. T₁pre is the longitudinal relaxation time at time t before the application of contrast agent. Combining equation 2 and 3 leads to the following equation.

\[
S(t) = S_0 \left( 1 - \exp \left( -TS \left( \frac{C(t)}{K} + \frac{1}{T_{1\text{pre}}} \right) \right) + \exp \left( -TR \left( \frac{C(t)}{K} + \frac{1}{T_{1\text{pre}}} \right) \right) \right)
\]  

(4)

It should be noted that equations 1 and 4 at low concentrations are linear and in high concentrations non-linear.

At higher concentrations of contrast agents, equations 1 and 4 should be multiplied by a factor of \( \exp \left( -\frac{TE}{T_2} \right) \) [22]. Where T2 and TE are the transverse relaxation time and echo time.

Figure 1 illustrates a schematic diagram of the SR gradient echo TurboFLASH sequence.

In SR TurboFLASH, the 90º pulse is just a saturation pulse (the excitation pulses are α pulses). Therefore, SR TurboFLASH is more analogous to IR TurboFLASH, except that the magnetization preparation is a 90º pulse rather
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than a 180° pulse [8]. Above all, the concept of a TS is appropriate for SR TurboFLASH, in the same manner as TI is applied to the IR TurboFLASH [7]. For SR spin echo, TS ≡ TR, however, the two parameters are different for SR TurboFLASH.

**Phantom**

To assess the effect of TS on the SI at different concentrations, a cubic Perspex phantom with the dimensions of 20, 18, and 20 cm was designed to hold vials (glass tube, 15 mm diameter, contain 27 pixels) containing constant or different concentrations of the contrast agent [7]. One important factor in non-uniformity of an image is radio frequency (RF) coil inhomogeneity in the MR scanner [23]. The vials of constant concentration (1.20 mmol/L) were used to measure coil non-uniformity. The vials of different concentrations were used to evaluate the relation between concentration and SI. The vials are prepared by different concentrations of Gd-DTPA (0-19.77 mmol/L with values of 0.00, 0.30, 0.45, 0.60, 0.75, 0.90, 1.20, 1.50, 1.80, 2.10, 2.39, 2.69, 2.99, 3.28, 3.58, 3.98, 4.96, 5.95, 7.93, 9.90, 13.85, and 19.77 mmol/L). Two different images were taken the vials with different and constant concentrations. Then the non-uniformity of coil was measured by SI of each vial with constant concentration. To find a correction factor, the obtained SI in the region of interest was normalized.

To calculate the corrected SI for each vial with different concentrations, the SI was multiplied by its correction factor. The positions of the vials in the phantom with the constant concentration and the vials with different concentrations were the same.

**Image acquisition**

The phantom was placed in the clinical head and neck coil in MRI scanner (1.5 T, Vision). For measuring SI, TurboFLASH T1-weighted images were repeated 10 times with the vials of different and constant concentration.

According to the imaging parameters, the matrix size = 128 × 128, TR = 10 s, TE = 4 ms, TS varied between 50 and 1000 ms (50, 100, 150, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 800, and 1000 ms), pixel size = 2 × 2 mm, flip angle = 10°, and slice thickness = 10 mm.

The image data were transmitted from the MR scanner to a personal computer. Interactive Data Language (IDL, Research Systems, Inc. http://www.rsinc.com) was used for image processing.

Programs of IDL were written to automatically find the following:

1- The mean image of 10 acquisitions, for
improving the SNR.

2- The mean SI and standard deviation of the nine out of 27 central pixels to avoid partial volume effects.

3- The correction factors for the non-uniformity of coil using the vials with constant concentration. The corrected SI is calculated by multiplying these factors by SI of the vials with different concentrations.

4- The best-fit curve of concentration versus SI using equation 4.

5- The concentration of contrast agent is marked that leads to maximum and minimum SI at different TSs.

6- The maximum concentration where the $R^2$ of best-fit curve in the plot of corrected SI against concentration was equal to 0.95 or 0.99. $R^2$ gives the strength of the linear relationship between concentration and SI. When $R^2 = 0.95$, it shows that 95% of the variation in SI is caused by the variation of concentration [24].

Personal computer or a UNIX workstation could be used for programs running.

Results

Figure 2 shows a typical result for the corrected SI versus concentration of contrast agent at TS = 600 ms for a T1-weighted SR TurboFLASH sequence. The dashed lines demonstrate the best-fit curves for the data using equation 4.

The mean SI was measured from the nine central pixels of each vial. The non-uniformity coil correction factors were applied to the mean SI to find the corrected SI. The correction factors were 0.96, 0.96, 1.00, 1.00, 0.94, 0.98, 1.00, 1.00, 0.97, 0.94, 0.93, 1.03, 0.99, 0.95, 1.00, 1.06, 0.98, 1.01, 0.99, 1.03, 1.06, and 1.01 for the vials with concentration of 0.00, 0.30, 0.45, 0.60, 0.75, 0.90, 1.20, 1.50, 1.80, 2.10, 2.39, 2.69, 2.99, 3.28, 3.58, 3.98, 4.96, 5.95, 7.93, 9.90, 13.85, and 19.77 mmol/L, respectively. As seen in the figure, the maximum SI (380.39 ± 19.09, mean ± standard deviation) was at 5.95 mmol/L. When $R^2$s are equal to 0.95 and 0.99, the linear relationship between corrected SI and concentrations were measured as 2.15 and 0.96 mmol/L, respectively, at TS = 600 ms, which were calculated by best-fit curve. The standard deviations of SI from nine innermost pixels of each vial are shown by error bars.

Figure 2: Mean corrected SI versus the contrast agent concentration. The dashed lines demonstrate the best-fit curves for the data. The maximum concentrations, at which a linear relationship between corrected SI and concentrations was maintained, in $R^2 = 0.95$ and 0.99 were 2.15 and 0.96 mmol/L, respectively, at TS = 600 ms, which were calculated using the best-fit curve based on equation 4.

Figure 3 shows the other typical results of corrected SI versus concentration of contrast agent at TS = 200 ms. At concentration of 9.90 mmol/L, the SI was maximum (363.52 ± 18.45). The maximum linear relationship between concentrations and corrected SI happened at 4.78 and 2.08 mmol/L for an $R^2$ of 0.95 and 0.99, respectively, which were calculated using the best-fit curve based on equation 4.

The minimum SI was found at contrast agent concentrations of 0.6 and 0.45 mmol/L at a TS of 200 ms (63.84 ± 5.47) and 600 ms (165.91 ± 7.09), respectively (see Figures 2 and 3).
Figure 4 shows the maximum concentration values which resulted in 0.95 and 0.99 for $R^2$ versus 10 different TS values. The detailed values of $R^2$ were obtained similar to Figures 2 and 3 for each TS. Regarding the maximum linear relationship between concentration of contrast agent and SI, Figure 4 shows a concentration of 1.68 mmol/L and 10.02 mmol/L for a long TS (1000 ms) and short TS (50 ms), respectively, where $R^2 = 0.95$. When $R^2 = 0.99$, these values decreased to 0.75 mmol/L and 4.35 mmol/L for the same TSs.

Figure 5 shows TS versus maximum SI. The maximum SI was found at a lower concentration of contrast agent, when there is a dominant T1-shortening effect. The figure indicates that the maximum SI increased up to a TS of 400 ms. In addition, the maximum SI was nearly the same for long TSs (400–1000 ms). This means that the maximum SI of an image is independent of TS at long TSs.

Figure 6 displays the TS versus minimum SI. The figure indicates that at a TS between 50 and 800 ms, the minimum SI increased. In

**Figure 3:** Mean corrected SI versus concentration of the contrast agent at TS = 200 ms. The best-fit curve for the data based on equation 4 is shown by dashed lines. The error bars illustrate the standard deviation of SI from nine innermost pixels of each vial.

**Figure 4:** Maximum concentration that yields $R^2 = 0.95$ and 0.99 versus TS. For each TS, the $R^2$ was calculated from the best-fit curve.

**Figure 5:** TS versus maximum SI. The figure indicates that the maximum SI increased up to a TS of 400 ms. In addition, the maximum SI was nearly the same at long TSs (400–1000 ms).
addition, at a longer TS (1000 ms), the minimum SI decreases.

Figure 7 demonstrates TS versus concentration that leads to the minimum SI. Contrast agent concentration and TS affected the minimum SI.

Discussion
T1 and T2 relaxation times will decrease after the injection of contrast agent. Both the T1- and T2-shortening effect can influence SI on MRI. The T1 shortening effect is predominant at low contrast agent concentrations, as modeled by equation 4, and results to an increase in the SI. The T2 shortening effect is prominent at high concentrations and leads to a decline in the SI [7]. Both T1 and T2 can be influenced at high concentrations, as the SI response displays a non-linear relationship with an unsteady plateau (see Figures 2 and 3).

The concentration of the contrast agent for perfusion study can be calculated indirectly from the SI on MRI. The concentration of the contrast agent does not necessarily correlate linearly with SI on MRI. Therefore, in order to calculate the concentration from SI, the maximum concentration, where a linear relationship is maintained, should be measured [7]. T1-weighted images need approximately 1/10th of the volume of contrast agent that is normally used for a T2*-weighted acquisition in a perfusion study [8, 25]. Small volumes of contrast agent leads to a reduction in the SNR. By the injection of more contrast agents, SNR ratio should be improved. TS is one of the important parameters for SI measurement be-
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cause it can have an effect on the maximum concentration where there is a linear relationship between SI and the concentration of the contrast agent. Canet et al. presented the correlation between the concentration of Gd-DOTA (Gadoterate, 0.5 mol/mL) and SI using T1-weighted IR TurboFLASH [11]. They investigated a broad range of concentrations (0–5.0 mmol/L, Gd-DOTA diluted in saline, which has approximately the same relaxation time as Gd-DTPA [16]) in vitro. They used a 1.5 T MR scanner with an inversion time of 300 ms to obtain the MR image. They reported a linear relationship between SI which is less than 0.8 mmol/L of concentration and a nonlinear one at higher concentrations. They also indicated that the maximum SI was apparent at the concentration of 4 mmol/L. The present study found that if TS increases, the maximum concentration will decrease that demonstrates a linear relationship with the SI.

Our results using Gd-DTPA as the contrast agent in an SR sequence were approximately 3.60 mmol/L and 1.57 mmol/L for $R^2 = 0.95$ and 0.99, respectively, with TR = 10 s and TS = 300 ms (see Figure 4). In addition, the maximum SI was found at a concentration of 9.9 mmol/L. The difference between these studies in comparison with Canet et al.’s report is using a different image sequence and a different value of $R^2$[11]. Neither of these values ($R^2 = 0.95$ and 0.99) were given by Canet. Takeda et al. investigated the relationship between the SI and concentration of contrast agent (Gd-DTPA) by the use of T1-weighted images [12]. They presented that the linear relationship between concentration of Gd-DTPA and SI was up to a concentration of 2.0 mmol/L (TS = 100 ms, flip angle = 60° or 90°, magnetic field strength = 0.1 T, $R^2 = 0.76$) using SR (gradient echo) sequences. The linearity extended up to 2.0 ($R^2 = 0.94$, TS = 500 ms) for spin-echo sequence and up to 3.0 mmol/L (TS = 34 ms, flip angle = 45°, magnetic field strength = 0.1 T, $R^2 = 0.81$) for gradient-echo sequences. One of Takeda’s report is in line with the present study; when TS = 500 ms, there was a linear relationship up to a concentration of 2.49 mmol/L at $R^2 = 0.95$ or up to 1.10 mmol/L at $R^2 = 0.99$ (see Figure 4). In addition, our results illustrated that the linearity was dependent on the $R^2$ value chosen, concentration, and the TS of the SR TurboFLASH sequence. The difference between the findings of current study and those of Takeda et al. likely is due to differences in image parameters (flip angle and magnetic field strength) [4], and perhaps the method of calculating the maximum concentration where there is a linear relation. A linear relationship between changes in concentrations up to 1.0 mmol/L and SI using an IR TurboFLASH sequence (linear phase-encoding, effective TI = 720 ms) was reported by Fritz-Hansen et al. [13]. As the image sequence (SR TurboFLASH) and phase acquisition (center-out phase-encoding) of current study were different with those of Fritz-Hansen’s study. Therefore, it is impossible to compare them. Our previous investigation demonstrated that the phase-encoding scheme and image sequence could have an effect on the relation between MRI contrast agent concentration and SI [5, 7]. Mørkenborg et al. found that there was a linear relationship between Gd-DTPA concentration and SI in the range of 0–5.0 ($R^2 ≥ 0.95$) and 0–3.0 mmol/L ($R^2 ≥ 0.92$) in 1.5 and 7 T systems, respectively, when using a spin echo sequence [14]. In addition, Dean et al., and Fritz-Hansen et al., and Unger et al. stated that this linearity can be found up to 1 mmol/L using an IR sequence. Since our image sequence was differed from those of Mørkenborg’s, Dean’s, Fritz-Hansen’s, and Unger’s, it is impossible to compare their findings with our results [13, 16].

The effect of TS change on the linear relationship between contrast agent and SI, with concentrations maintaining a threshold of $R^2 = 0.95$ and 0.99 on SR TurboFLASH T1-weighted images on MRI (TR = 2 s, flip angle = 15°, and TS was varied between 300 and 800 ms) was reported in our previous inves-
The results illustrated that the linearity was present up to 3.37 for TS = 300 ms and 1.46 mmol/L for TS = 800 ms ($R^2 = 0.95$). Furthermore, the reductions in values were 1.54 and 0.66 mmol/L when $R^2 = 0.99$. This work studied the effect of TSs (50–1000 ms) on the maximum and minimum SI, and the maximum concentration where there was a linear relationship between concentration and SI ($R^2 = 0.99$ and 0.95) using SR TurboFLASH T1-weighted images at TR = 10 ms and flip angle of 10º. The present results indicate that there is a negative correlation between TS and maximum concentration where the relation is linear. The results also show that at a long TS (1000 ms), there is a linear relationship between concentration up to a concentration of 1.68 mmol/L and SI when $R^2 = 0.95$ or up to 0.75 mmol/L when $R^2 = 0.99$. These concentrations may rise at short TS periods. Moreover, the results show that the maximum concentrations are 3.4 and 1.68 mmol/L when there is a linear relationship ($R^2 = 0.95$) for TS = 300 and 800 ms (similar to our previous study [18]), respectively. These concentrations were 1.68 and 0.75 mmol/L for the two TSs, respectively, when $R^2 = 0.99$ (see Figure 4). The slight differences in the results in the present and previous study are due to the TR and flip angle differences [17]. In addition, our previous study did not measure the concentration and TSs which led to the maximum and minimum SI in details, thus it was fully compared with the results of this study (see Figures 5–7).

Figure 5 of this study suggests that an increase in TS (up to 400 ms) is associated with an increase in the maximum SI. At all long TSs (400–1000 ms), the maximum SI was nearly the same. This means that the maximum SI on an image is independent of TS for long TSs.

Figures 6 indicates that TS can have an effect on the minimum SI. The result indicates that an increment in TS leads to an increase in the minimum SI up to TS = 800 ms and a decrease for TS = 1000 ms.

Figure 7 indicates that the minimum SI was apparent at a concentration of 0.6 mmol/L for TSs between 50 and 300 ms, and 0.45 mmol/L for TSs between 400 and 800 ms. The figure shows that the concentration resulting in the minimum SI decreased at TS = 1000 ms. Our previous study investigated the effect of TI values on the contrast agent concentration that causes minimum SI using an IR fast-gradient echo 3-dimensional T1-weighted image [26]. This study showed that for the lower T, the T1 recovery curve did not cut off the x-axis. Thus, the minimum SI will be seen on the contrast agent concentration versus SI curves. Moreover, the findings suggested that the contrast agent concentration resulting a minimum SI (null point) is related to TI. At higher TI, the minimum SI will be expended. Despite the fact that IR sequences start with a 180º inversion pulse the T1 recovery curve crossed the x-axis (at lower TIs), the SR TurboFLASH started with 90º saturation pulse and the longitudinal magnetization vector will be tip down into the x-y plane. After a delay time (TS), $n$ $\alpha$-pulses followed, therefore the longitudinal magnetization vector (T1 recovery curve) did not cut off the x-axis. Consequently, the SI should increase with increased concentrations of the contrast agent in SR sequences, without consideration for the T2 effect at high concentrations that result in a decrease in SI. Therefore, the minimum SI should not appear on SI versus contrast agent concentration curves (see Figures 2 and 3). One reason for the minimum SI at lower TSs and concentration could be due to noisy data. Since the standard deviations of the nine innermost pixels were not high at lower TSs in this study (see the error bar on Figure 6), this is not the case. It seems that the phenomenon of a minimum SI on SR sequences at lower concentrations of contrast agent occurs for an unknown reason. Since the image parameters and image sequences are different from our previous study, it is impossible to compare the two results [26]. To our knowledge, no study has examined that effect.
to compare with our results using SR TurboFLASH T1-weighted images.

In summary, the linear correlation between the concentration of contrast agent (e.g. Gd-DTPA, Magnevist) and SI should be known in a perfusion measurement [8, 25, 27]. The $R^2$ values for the short TS are higher than those for the long TS; therefore, to increase the SNR in clinical study, a short TS should be chosen. To calculate the optimal injection dose for specific contrast agent concentration in clinical studies, refer to our previous papers [5, 28].

Conclusion

This study found that the maximum SI of an image is dependent on short TSs (up to 400 ms) and independent of long TSs (400–1000 ms) on T1-weighted SR TurboFLASH sequence. Our finding also shows that the maximum concentration at which a linear relationship maintained is related to the TS. An increment in the TS leads to a decrease in the range of concentrations with a linear relationship maintained. Therefore, the SNR may be improved by using a high volume of contrast agent with a low TS value for SR sequences. The results also show that the TS can have an effect on the contrast agent concentration, which results to the minimum SI. The concentration of the contrast agent, that would reduce the signal strength, can be avoided by the right choice of saturation time in clinical studies.

In conclusion, this study indicates that the TS is a key parameter for determining the maximum and minimum SI in a region of interest and also TS plays the role in determining the maximum linear relationship between the MRI contrast agent concentration and SI in an in vivo perfusion study.
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