Online or Blended Learning: the COVID-19 Pandemic and First-Year Medical Students’ Academic Motivation
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Abstract
The study aimed to investigate the influence of psychological well-being and different study format on the academic motivation of 1st-year medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic. Repeated cross-sectional study carried out in November 2020 (time 1) and March 2021 (time 2). During time 1 ($N = 273$), all students studied online. In time 2 ($N = 159$), both students who learned online only ($N = 86$) and students who were taking ($N = 33$) or passed offline classes ($N = 40$) were trained. The mental state of the students was stable overtime of observation. The effect of the level of psychological destruction and quality of life affecting by the COVID-19 pandemic on academic motivation was minimal. The level of academic motivation was higher in time 2; this was especially noticeable among students who underwent blended learning. The mediating role of satisfaction with academic life and college belongingness in the relationship between the learning format and motivation was found. Blended type of education during a pandemic is more favorable for students in terms of their motivation to study. Further epidemiological studies are needed to assess the safety of blended learning. However, we would like to emphasize that no cases of COVID-19 in the blended learning format have been reported.
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Introduction
The choice of medical studies depends on various factors. Goel et al. identified three domains: scientific, societal, and humanitarian [1]. Gąsiorowski et al. (2015) concluded that altruistic and scientific reasons are the main motives for choosing a medical career [2]. Narayanasamy et al. extracted the following factors that influence students to join medicine: personal growth, professional calling, personal concerns, and professional concerns factor [3]. However, the COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in significant burdens globally, and healthcare workers are at the epicenter of an unprecedented COVID-19 crisis [4]. Awareness of the role of the profession, both in a personal and in a global concept, influenced the self-identification among 2020 entrants and future doctors. Crises such as the pandemic can change, hinder, or accelerate the medical students’ professional identity formation [5]. An analysis conducted among first-year medical students in the USA showed that one third of students were concerned about their academic/professional futures [6]. It is also known that intrinsic and extrinsic motivation positively correlates with professional identity [7]. Findyartini et al. indicated that professional identity formation may change due to the COVID-19 pandemic [8]. Chandrathe also points out the increasing barriers in professional identity formation among medical students [9].

After entering the university, the further education of students depends on the motivation to study. According to the social-cognitive approach, academic motivation is an interaction between a student’s perceptions of his learning environment, learning behavior, and environmental factors [10]. Orsini et al. based on self-determination theory categorized the following determinants influencing motivation: intrapersonal such as gender and personality traits and interpersonal determinants such as academic conditions, lifestyle, and learning climate [11]. However, the abrupt transition to
distance learning has had an ambiguous impact on medical education. Reducing face-to-face training could have contributed to a decrease in motivation and well-being. A significant number of students indicated that their motivation was influenced by distractions during the pandemic [12]. It has also been found that without academic input, students are more likely to be poorly motivated [13]. And as noted by Rahm et al. staying motivated to learn in social isolation during a pandemic can be challenging [14].

Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted the lives of many people across the world, including medical students [15]. Researches highlighted the negative impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the psychological well-being of college students, who are likely to develop post-traumatic stress disorder, anxiety, depression, and other symptoms of distress [16]. A study conducted among students of a pedagogical university showed that there is a positive correlation between the perception of psychological well-being of participants and their academic motivation [17].

Given that motivation to study is a critical factor in determining learning outcomes [18], examining changes in student motivation during a pandemic in different learning environments will enable education policymakers to make recommendations for improving learning. Given the above, two central questions of this study were asked: (1) given the negative impact of the pandemic on the well-being of students and the uncertain impact on learning efficiency are the changes caused by the COVID-19 pandemic predicted academic motivation, and (2) how different forms of education affected the level of motivation in a pandemic? The study aimed to investigate 1st-year medical students’ psychological well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic, education format, and its influence on academic motivation.

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Participants

This repeated cross-sectional questionnaire-based study was carried out from November 16 to 19, 2020 (time 1) and from March 18 to 25, 2021 (time 2) (Fig. 1). The study was attended by 1st-year medical students at Astana Medical University (Nur-Sultan city, Kazakhstan). In the 2020–2021 academic year, 586 students studied in the 1st year. During time 1, all students studied online only, 273 (46.6%) of them participated in the current study. In online training, students were trained using web-based technologies without face-to-face classes. Starting from February 15, 1st-year students began a blended type of study, combining online and offline classes (only Anatomy, Histology, and Physiology). During periods of offline study, students attended traditional classes at the university. Offline classes included daily 2-h practical sessions for 3 weeks. To ensure the sanitary and epidemiological regime during a pandemic, students went offline learning gradually, and then returning to online learning. Thus, during time 2, both students who had not yet entered the blended type of education and students who were taking or passed offline classes were trained. In time 2, 168 students have already completed the offline training component from February 15 to March 5, 49 (29.2%) of which took part in the survey, in the article they are designated as group 3. One hundred twenty-four students at the time of repeated study took offline courses (from March 9 to 1 April 2021), of which 33 (26.6%) took part in the survey (group 2). At the time of the study, students from group 2 in time 2 had completed 2 of 3 weeks of offline courses. The rest of the students (294) have so far studied only online, 86 (29.3%) of them participated in the study (group 1). Groups of students in time 2 were defined only according to the blended learning schedule set up by the Faculty of Medicine, and only consent to participate in this study was a criterion for inclusion.
Study Materials

Quality of Life affecting by the COVID-19 pandemic was assessed by the 6-item COV19-QoL scale created by Repišti et al. [19]. The level of destruction that COVID-19 has created on the psychology of individuals was assessed by the COVID-19 Psychological Destruction Scale (COV-PDS) with “Collapse” and “Fear” dimensions created by Akan et al. [20]. Mental health continuum of participants was assessed using the MHC-SF [21].

Academic motivation level was assessed by the Academic Motivation Scale created by Vallerand et al. [22]. Satisfaction with academic life measured using the Academic Life Satisfaction Scale (ALSS) developed by Nogueira et al. [23]. Feelings of belonging to the university were assessed by the College Belongingness Questioner (CBQ) developed by Arslan [24].

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were performed using mean (M), standard deviation (SD) for quantitative variables, and percentages for qualitative variables. T-test and ANOVA were performed to compare the effect of different variables and to assess the change in various parameters of the study over time or in different groups. Pearson’s correlation coefficients, linear, and log-linear regression analysis were performed to evaluate linear relationships and associations of the independent variables with academic motivation. Since a feature of groups 2 and 3 in time 2 was the presence of a blended type of learning, a mediation model was applied to explore the pathway from time of observation via ALSS and CBQ to academic motivation.

Data analysis was conducted using SPSS version 20.0 and Jamovi version 1.2.17. A statistically significant difference was accepted at a p-value less than 5%.

Ethics Approval

The present study was approved by the Local Ethics Committee of NpJSC “Astana Medical University” (extract from protocol No. 6 of April 6, 2020).

Results

The total number of respondents in time 1 was 273 (203 (74.4%) were female, and 70 (25.6%) were male students), with an average age of 17.4 (SD = 74.4%). In time 2, 159 students participated (116 (73.0%) were female, and 43 (27.0%) were male students), with an average age of 17.8 (SD = 74.5%). In time 2, 86 (54.1%) students continued only online training, 33 (20.75%) students studied offline during the study period, and 40 (25.15%) students by the time of the study had already completed the offline training module and returned to online classes. Thus, in time 2, students divided into the following three groups: group 1 — students who continued their studies online, group 2 — students who took offline courses at the time of the study, and group 3 — students who have already completed offline courses and returned to online learning. In the comparison groups, there were no significant differences in gender distribution (p > 0.05), and the age of the participants at time 2 was significantly higher than that of time 1 (p < 0.001), but there were no differences in groups 1–3 of time 2 (p > 0.05).

According to Table 1, internal consistency of all study measures (academic motivation, COV-PDS, COV19-QoL, ALSS, CBQ, MHS-SF) was reliable (a Cronbach’s alpha ≥ 0.7) [25], Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was calculated for total sample in time 1 (N = 273). It should also be noted that only the data of those participants who answered all the questions were included in further analysis. To assess the overall dynamics of individual indicators over time, first, a comparison was made in time 1 and 2, after which to assess these indicators for various forms of education, comparisons of various groups in time 2 with data from time 1 were made. A comparative analysis of level of the motivation and mental well-being indicators in time 1 and time 2 is shown in Table 1. Comparing the research variables over time, it was determined that the level of academic motivation, satisfaction with academic life, sense of belonging to the university, and mental health of students significantly increased/improved in time 2 compared to time 1. Whereas the levels of COVID-19 induced psychological destruction and changes in quality of life have not changed over time.

Table 1 The level of academic motivation, quality of life affecting by the COVID-19 and destruction from the COVID-19, academic life satisfaction, college belongingness, and mental health continuum in time 1 (N=273) and time 2 (N=159)

| Variable (Cronbach’s alpha) | Time 1 M (SD) | Time 2 M (SD) |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Academic motivation (0.794) | 29.66 (7.33)  | 31.56 (6.57)* |
| COV-PDS (0.914)             | 40.90 (16.21) | 42.01 (16.56) |
| COV19-QoL (0.890)           | 2.40 (1.18)   | 2.38 (1.11)   |
| ALSS (0.899)                | 31.06 (7.04)  | 32.86 (6.00)* |
| CBQ (0.848)                 | 52.3 (11.12)  | 55.1 (10.24)* |
| MHC-SF (0.885)              | 47.0 (16.18)  | 50.8 (14.03)* |

COV-PDS COVID-19 Psychological Destruction Scale, COV19-QoL Quality of Life affecting by the COVID-19 pandemic, ALSS Academic Life Satisfaction Scale, CBQ College Belongingness Questioner, MHC-SF Mental Health Continuum-Short Form *p < 0.05
whether the individual indicators are related to each other, and further regression analysis was used to more accurately determine the relationship between individual variables with academic motivation in different study periods and conditions.

Log-linear regression analysis revealed that time of investigation (time 2 vs time 1) was a predictor of academic motivation (AOR = 1.06, 95% CI 1.03–1.10, \( p < 0.001 \)) with significant overall model test (\( \chi^2 = 11.8, p < 0.001 \)). However, evaluating the relationship between the three groups in time 2 with time 1, the following results were obtained: group 1 in time 2 vs time 1 (AOR = 1.03, 95% CI 0.99–1.08, \( p = 0.162 \)), group 2 in time 2 vs time 1 (AOR = 1.08, 95% CI 1.01–1.15, \( p = 0.022 \)), and group 3 in time 2 vs time 1 (AOR = 1.12, 95% CI 1.06–1.19, \( p < 0.001 \)). Thus, academic motivation was associated with time, and only the conducted and to a greater extent completed offline courses influenced the change in the level of motivation over time.

In linear regression analysis assessing the predicting effect of academic life satisfaction, college belongingness, COVID-19 psychological destruction, and quality of life affecting by the COVID-19 on academic motivation (model fit measure \( R^2 = 0.426 \)), only academic life satisfaction (\( \beta = 0.515 \)) and college belongingness (\( \beta = 0.189 \)) showed significant results (\( p < 0.001 \)). Separate calculation of the effect of COV-PDS (\( \beta = -0.058 \)) and COV19-QoL (\( \beta = -0.986 \)) on academic motivation was significant (\( p < 0.05 \), but \( R^2 \) was in the range 0.018–0.025. However, COV19-QoL was a predictor of mental health (\( \beta = -0.164, p < 0.001 \)). It follows that while the COVID-19 pandemic was negatively associated with well-being, only academic satisfaction and college belongingness were associated with changes in motivation.

After that, we evaluated the mediating effect of academic life satisfaction and college belongingness on academic motivation (Fig. 2). Indirect effect time → college belongingness → academic motivation was 0.439 (SE = 0.18, 23.1%), \( p < 0.05 \). Indirect effect time → academic life satisfaction → academic motivation was 0.891

Table 2 presents the results of multiple comparisons using the ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test between the three groups in time 2 with the results from the time 1. Tukey post hoc test showed significant differences (\( p < 0.05 \)) only in comparison of group 3 and time 1 in the level of academic motivation, ALSS, and CBQ. Thus, only those students who completed offline courses in time 2 (group 3) had a significant increase in academic motivation, satisfaction with academic life and well-being. The data in Table 3 displays the correlations between the study variables. As expected, positive significant correlations were found between academic motivation and college belongingness, academic life satisfaction, and well-being. Additionally, COVID-19 psychological destruction and quality of life affecting by the COVID-19 were negatively correlated with academic motivation, college belongingness, academic life satisfaction, and well-being. The data in Table 3 show that all the selected study variables are significantly related. However, correlation analysis was carried out for all data in time 1 and 2 for a general understanding of whether the individual indicators are related to each other, and further regression analysis was used to more accurately determine the relationship between individual variables with academic motivation in different study periods and conditions.

Log-linear regression analysis revealed that time of investigation (time 2 vs time 1) was a predictor of academic motivation (AOR = 1.06, 95% CI 1.03–1.10, \( p < 0.001 \)) with significant overall model test (\( \chi^2 = 11.8, p < 0.001 \)). However, evaluating the relationship between the three groups in time 2 with time 1, the following results were obtained: group 1 in time 2 vs time 1 (AOR = 1.03, 95% CI 0.99–1.08, \( p = 0.162 \)), group 2 in time 2 vs time 1 (AOR = 1.08, 95% CI 1.01–1.15, \( p = 0.022 \)), and group 3 in time 2 vs time 1 (AOR = 1.12, 95% CI 1.06–1.19, \( p < 0.001 \)). Thus, academic motivation was associated with time, and only the conducted and to a greater extent completed offline courses influenced the change in the level of motivation over time.

In linear regression analysis assessing the predicting effect of academic life satisfaction, college belongingness, COVID-19 psychological destruction, and quality of life affecting by the COVID-19 on academic motivation (model fit measure \( R^2 = 0.426 \)), only academic life satisfaction (\( \beta = 0.515 \)) and college belongingness (\( \beta = 0.189 \)) showed significant results (\( p < 0.001 \)). Separate calculation of the effect of COV-PDS (\( \beta = -0.058 \)) and COV19-QoL (\( \beta = -0.986 \)) on academic motivation was significant (\( p < 0.05 \), but \( R^2 \) was in the range 0.018–0.025. However, COV19-QoL was a predictor of mental health (\( \beta = -0.164, p < 0.001 \)). It follows that while the COVID-19 pandemic was negatively associated with well-being, only academic satisfaction and college belongingness were associated with changes in motivation.

After that, we evaluated the mediating effect of academic life satisfaction and college belongingness on academic motivation (Fig. 2). Indirect effect time → college belongingness → academic motivation was 0.439 (SE = 0.18, 23.1%), \( p < 0.05 \). Indirect effect time → academic life satisfaction → academic motivation was 0.891

Table 2 The level of academic motivation, quality of life affected by the COVID-19 and destruction from the COVID-19, Academic Life Satisfaction and College Belongingness in time 2 (group 1 — \( n = 86 \), group 2 — \( n = 33 \), group 3 — \( n = 40 \))

| Variance          | Group 1 M (SD) | Group 2 M (SD) | Group 3 M (SD) |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Academic motivation | 30.60 (6.86)  | 31.96 (7.15)  | 33.26 (5.06)* |
| COV-PDS           | 42.41 (16.36) | 41.03 (18.37) | 41.95 (15.81) |
| COV19-QoL         | 2.43 (1.02)   | 2.43 (1.23)   | 2.22 (1.19)   |
| ALSS              | 32.35 (5.99)  | 32.42 (5.99)  | 34.33 (5.91)* |
| CBQ               | 53.5 (10.34)  | 56.2 (10.40)  | 57.5 (9.48)*  |
| MHC-SF            | 49.8 (12.8)   | 49.8 (16.5)   | 53.7 (14.3)   |

\( COV-PDS \) COVID-19 Psychological Destruction Scale; \( COV19-QoL \) Quality of Life affected by the COVID-19 pandemic; \( ALSS \) Academic Life Satisfaction Scale, \( CBQ \) College Belongingness Questioner, \( MHC-SF \) Mental Health Continuum-Short Form

\(^* p < 0.05\) in comparison with time 1

\(^** p < 0.001\)

Table 3 Correlations of scales (both in time 1 and 2, \( N = 432 \))

|          | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| (1) Academic motivation | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |
| (2) College Belongingness | 0.516** | 1   |     |     |     |     |
| (3) Academic Life Satisfaction | 0.612** | 0.583** | 1   |     |     |     |
| (4) COV19-QoL | -0.160** | -0.426** | -0.331** | 1   |     |     |
| (5) COV-PDS | -0.133* | -0.372** | -0.281** | 0.691** | 1   |
| (6) MHC-SF | 0.531** | 0.509** | 0.626** | -0.361** | -0.288** | 1   |

\( COV-PDS \) COVID-19 Psychological Destruction Scale; \( COV19-QoL \) Quality of Life affected by the COVID-19 pandemic; \( MHC-SF \) Mental Health Continuum-Short Form

\(^* p < 0.05; \quad ** p < 0.001\)
(SE = 0.34, 47.0%), p < 0.05. The mediation model showed that the overall effect of time on motivation was significant. Although the direct effect of time on motivation was 29.9%, the significant results had non-direct effects of time on motivation mediated by college belongingness and most of all by academic life satisfaction. Moreover, among two subscales of the ALSS (personal and satisfaction with the academic environment), the greatest (36%) and significant effect was observed for personal satisfaction (p < 0.05).

Accessing the mediating effect of academic life satisfaction and college belongingness in the relation between different groups in time 2 (groups 1–3) with time 1 of observation (time 2 vs time 1) and academic motivation, significant effect was noted only for group 3, and the results presented in Table 4. So it follows that the indirect effect of time on the level of motivation mediated by college belongingness and academic life satisfaction is largely due to group 3, which has already completed offline classes.

**Discussion**

This study aimed to compare the effects of different types of education (online vs blended learning) on the academic motivation of first-year medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic, while also considering factors such as academic life satisfaction, college belongingness, and psychological well-being.

In time 2, the level of academic motivation increased in comparison with time 1. Similar results were obtained in South Korea before a pandemic [26]. But the significant relationship between study format and level of motivation was obtained only for group 3 in time 2 compared to time 1. This suggests that blended learning had a positive effect on the level of student motivation, while learning only online did not lead to a change in the level of motivation. Similar results were found in one quasi-experimental study among nursing students, which showed that the flipped-jigsaw method can improve student academic motivation in distance learning [27]. The points of contact between the students’ experience
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**Fig. 2** Mediating effect of academic life satisfaction and college belongingness in the relation between time of observation (time 2 vs time 1) and academic motivation. Note: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.001; all presented effects are unstandardized; a1 the effect of time on academic life satisfaction; b1 the effect of academic life satisfaction on academic motivation; a2 the effect of time on college belongingness; b2 the effect of college belongingness on academic motivation; c’ is the direct effect of time on academic motivation, and c is the total effect of time on academic motivation.

| Effects                                      | B     | SE   | p    | Percent |
|----------------------------------------------|-------|------|------|---------|
| Total effect of study condition (group 3) on academic motivation | 3.604 | 1.19 | 0.002| 100%    |
| Direct effect of study condition (group 3) on academic motivation | 1.178 | 0.93 | 0.209| 32.7    |
| Indirect effect group 3→college belongingness→academic motivation | 0.812 | 0.31 | 0.008| 22.5    |
| Indirect effect group 3→academic life satisfaction→academic motivation | 1.614 | 0.57 | 0.005| 44.8    |

Table 4 Direct and indirect effects of study condition in group 3 (vs time 1) and academic life satisfaction and college belongingness over academic motivation: coefficients, errors, significance, and effects percentage
The present study found that college belongingness was a mediated factor in the relationship between form of education and motivation. However, the question remains why a significant increase in the level of academic motivation was observed only in group 3, and not in group 2. This can be explained by several possible factors: firstly, students from group 2 completed only 2/3 of the study load of group 3 in an offline format; secondly, it is worth noting that students from group 3, having returned to the online format, overestimated their learning in full, comparing different forms of education; thirdly, there were many other organizational factors associated with both teachers and the experience of individual students.

COVID-19-related psychological state was assessed using the COV-PDS and COVID-QoL. According to Tables 1 and 2, average values on these scales did not change in time 2 compared to time 1. Moreover, mental health continuum increased in time 2 compared to time 1. This indicates the stability of the mental state of 1-year students over time. These results can be explained by the fact that in this period of time, there was a relatively stable epidemiological situation in terms of the prevalence of COVID-19. At the same time, these indicators were negatively correlated with the level of academic motivation. However, these variables had no effect on changes in the level of academic motivation over time or had a minor effect.

Correlation analysis showed that the level of motivation was positively associated with the level of satisfaction with academic life and college belongingness. Moreover, the study found that the level of academic life satisfaction and college belongingness increased in time 2 compared to period 1. This was especially noticeable comparing students who have already taken offline courses with initial levels in time 1. While virtual learning is effective [29], the transition to blended learning has positively impacted academic motivation. This confirms that mentoring can help stimulate student motivation during the COVID-19 pandemic [30], which is more effective in face-to-face contact with the mentor. Moreover, lack of psychological and social support from institutions can hinder learning as a result of reduced motivation during a pandemic [31, 32].

Since satisfaction with academic life and college belongingness can be related to the form of education, we decided to evaluate their indirect effect on the level of academic motivation, the mediation model presented in Fig. 2. As hypothesized, satisfaction with academic life and college belongingness mediated the influence of time and study format on academic motivation; however, the direct association between time of observation, study format, and motivation was not statistically significant. This finding might be because of the positive link we found between study format and motivation. Moreover, the mediated role of academic life satisfaction had a relatively greater contribution to motivation than the direct influence of time (47% vs 30%) and experience in blended learning (44.8% vs 32.7%). Satisfaction with academic life assessed by the ALSS has two dimensions; personal (internal) satisfaction, which describes students’ skills and competencies, perceptions of academic performance and relationships with colleagues and teachers, and satisfaction with the academic environment (external), which describes the physical and educational environment, interest and commitment to course, extracurricular activities of the institution, and learning conditions [23]. In this study, personal satisfaction showed the greatest and most reliable mediated effect of time on academic motivation. From which it follows that the possibility of live communication in training during the offline learning and not the physical presence at the university itself had a positive effect on students' motivation. Earlier, Arslan et al. found a mediating role of college belongingness between the coronavirus anxiety and psychological adjustment in college students [33]. Thus, providing favorable conditions for teaching medical students can become one of the ways to maintain and increase student motivation. And blended learning allows finding a compromise between an effective learning and a controlled epidemiological environment during a pandemic. Also, the importance of hybrid forms of education was emphasized in the organization of agricultural education, which also provides for the training of a large number of practical skills [34].

Some limitations need to be considered in this study. First, this study is cross-sectional; further cohort studies are needed to determine more accurate results. Moreover, the number of respondents was limited. There may be more than two mediators in the relationship between study format during the COVID-19 pandemic and academic motivation. Finally, further epidemiological studies are needed to assess the safety of blended learning.

**Conclusion**

We found that the mental state of the 1-year students was stable overtime during the COVID-19 pandemic. The level of academic motivation was higher in the second semester; this was especially noticeable among students who underwent blended learning. The mediating role of satisfaction with academic life and college belongingness in the relationship between the learning format and academic motivation was significant. Thus, the transition from online education
to a blended type of education during a pandemic was more favorable for students in terms of their motivation to study. However, further epidemiological studies are needed to assess the safety of blended learning. Further exploration of the role of different forms of study on student learning outcomes, taking into account more possible related factors, will enable educational policy-makers and university administrators to design effective curricula in global health crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic.
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