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Abstract

Background: Pharmaceutical care (PC) services have expanded in recent years, resulting in improved patient outcomes. However, such PC services are currently available for free in the majority of Arab countries. During the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic, telemedicine is especially beneficial since it allows for continuity of care while allowing for social distancing and minimizing the risk of infection. Objective: To assess the community's attitude, opinion, and willingness to pay for telemedicine and PC services during COVID-19 pandemic, as well as to create a website provision for telemedicine and PC services. Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted, over five months (December 2020–April 2021), among the general population in Arabic countries, excluding pharmacists, physicians, and pharmacy students. Results: A total of 1717 participants were involved, most of them were from Jordan (52.2%) and Iraq (24.8%). Sixty-two percent of participants seek pharmacists’ advice whenever they have any medication changes and 45.1% of the participants agreed with the idea of paying pharmacists to decrease medication errors. Interestingly, 89.5% of participants encouraged the idea of creating a website that provides a PC, and 35.5% of them would pay for it. The failure to document the medical information of the patients had most applicants’ opinion, and willingness to pay for telemedicine and PC services during COVID-19 pandemic, as well as to create a website provision for telemedicine and PC services. Conclusion: From a patients’ perspective, this study suggests a large patient need for expanding PC services in Arabic countries and introduces a direct estimate of the monetary value for the PC services to contribute to higher savings. The majority of participants supported the idea of creating a website provision of telemedicine and PC services, and a considerable proportion of them agreed to pay for it.
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INTRODUCTION

Improving healthcare responsiveness to patient needs is a critical challenge for all healthcare systems. As a result, patients’ experiences and expectations around healthcare are increasingly being investigated.

Because patients are important partners in establishing priorities and allocating resources in the healthcare system, increasing patient engagement in the pharmaceutical care (PC) process is a critical step. This is especially essential because patients, healthcare workers, and health authorities all have varying perceptions of what constitutes high-quality healthcare.

Throughout the last decades, the pharmacy profession has made numerous notable efforts to broaden its position beyond traditional drug dispensing to include more patient-centered PC practice. Pharmacists are now collaborating with other healthcare professionals as an integral component of their team to deliver the best possible patient care in hospitals and other clinical settings.

PC services have expanded in recent years, resulting in improved patient outcomes and therapeutic management across a variety of healthcare settings and medical problems. However, such PC services are currently available for free in the majority of Arabic countries. As a result, newly commissioned services should be based on the acceptability and evaluation of the service by patients, as well as stakeholders (healthcare...
The purpose of this study was to assess the community’s attitude, opinion, and willingness to pay for telemedicine and PC services during COVID-pandemic, as well as create a website provision of a telemedicine and PC services. A secondary purpose was to assess perceived barriers preventing patients from asking for pharmacist advice.

METHODS

Study design

This cross-sectional survey was undertaken among the general population at several Arabic countries including Jordan, Iraq, Egypt, Lebanon, Palestine, Syria, Gulf countries (Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates, Bahrain, Qatar, Oman, Kuwait), and others using an online self-administered questionnaire of closed-ended questions. In this study, adult participants were recruited utilizing a convenience sampling technique through social media platforms (WhatsApp and Facebook) and Emails. Data were collected over five months (December 2020 – April 2021). The study was approved by the institutional review board at the Applied Science Private University of Jordan (2021-PHA-3).

The questionnaire was distributed to assess participants’ attitudes toward telemedicine and PC. The survey was created using a web-based commercially available software, Google Forms. Web-based surveys are a convenient and efficient data collection tool compared to the traditional data capturing methods.41 They save time as well as eliminate any geographical boundaries. The form included information about the study, eligibility criteria, as well as informed consent for voluntary participation. The survey was anonymously completed to minimize any potential for bias. Any adult (18 years old and above) was able to participate except pharmacists, physicians, and pharmacy students as an exclusion criterion.

Data collection tool

A broad literature review was conducted for the questionnaire development.42-48 Translation, linguistic, back-translation, and a pilot test were all part of the development phase of the study. The validity of the face, content, and construct, in addition to the internal consistency, were all assessed during the confirmation phase.

Variables taken from the literature were written in the English language. This was later translated to the modern standard Arabic language by three researchers with knowledge of cross-sectional study before being distributed to participants. This technique prioritized meaning adaptation over linguistic or literal translation. Following that, a group included the main researcher, a researcher with a PhD in clinical pharmacy, and an experienced linguist knowledgeable about medical terminology judged the semantic, idiomatic, theoretical, and cultural equivalence. From the above-mentioned, a second version was created and administered to a group of nine adult volunteers with varying educational levels in order to collect data on comprehension of the survey and items, the time required to complete the instrument, and any possible content or form errors that needed to be corrected prior to proceeding to the next phase. Finally, a fourth researcher did the backward translation following expert approval of the face as well as content validity; this was then forwarded to the lead author for final approval.

The instrument was face validated by two groups: one comprised of 11 volunteers who were not involved in the primary study, and another comprised of a team of four experts, each with a PhD or Master’s degree in the health field and four years or more of clinical experience, who assessed the tool using three scores: comprehension, clarity, and accuracy. The Fleiss’ Kappa index was calculated, which allowed for the determination of agreement among observers when randomness was corrected. The results were interpreted in accordance with Landis and Koch’s49 recommendation that items with scores between 0.61 and 0.80 be considered satisfactory, indicating considerable agreement.

The same four experts who evaluated the face validity also evaluated the content validity, categorizing each item as “essential,” “useful but not essential,” or “not necessary.” The Content Validity Ratio (CVR) was estimated using the obtained data. The CVR is a percentage calculated by dividing the agreement in the essential category by the total number of
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evaluations. Moreover, the instrument’s Content Validity Index (CVI) was determined. The above is reinforced by Tristán's modification of the Lawshe model, which proves that a CVR value of 0.58 is appropriate to consider an item acceptable, regardless of the number of the involved evaluators.

Finally, the internal consistency of the scale was determined using Cronbach’s alpha, with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.70 or greater being appropriate if the scale's purpose is to be used in research.31

The self-administered questionnaire consisted of several sections. The first section described the participants’ demographic data including gender, age, marital status, occupation, level of education, type of insurance, income, and country of residence as well as the presence of chronic diseases.

The second section addressed participants’ attitudes in seeking medical advice. In this section different information was collected such as asking participants about their preferences in requesting medical advice from either a pharmacist or a physician. In addition, participants were requested to specify the frequency of visiting a physician or a pharmacist and the time spent at the pharmacy or the clinic. The participants were asked if they have an electronic file as a patient in the pharmacy. This section also includes the participants perspectives about if the pharmacist’s advice about the medications is more trustable than the physician’s advice and the reasons behind their response.

The third section evaluated the participants’ opinions about the economic issues relating to the clinical pharmacist services. This section captured the participants’ willingness to pay for telemedicine and PC services. Participants were asked about whose responsibility to pay for such service whether it is the patient, government, or the physician as well as the maximum amount to be paid in their opinion. Also, participants were questioned if they support the idea of a website that provides PC and whether this service should be paid off. The participants’ opinions about the factors that may increase or decrease medication errors were reported. The first statement relates medication errors with the failure to document the medical information of the patients. The second and third statements relate the increase in medication errors to the difficulty to remember drug details and medical history. The participants were questioned if they agree that creating a database containing the patients’ diseases, laboratory tests, and other information will reduce medical errors.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were completed using IBM SPSS®, Version 24.0 (Armonk, NY, USA). All continuous variables were evaluated for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and then were presented as means, standard deviations (SD), medians, interquartile range (IQR) as appropriate. Categorical variables were presented as the number and percentage of subjects in each category.

RESULTS

In terms of face validity and scale accuracy, the agreement index - as evaluated by Fleiss’ Kappa - indicated that the instrument was generally in substantial agreement, as represented by understanding 0.78, clarity 0.76, and accuracy 0.69. The evaluation conducted by the 11 participants yielded a 97 percent agreement rate; a 95 percent agreement rate for clarity; and a 98 percent agreement rate for accuracy. Adjustments were made based on these findings, taking into account the experts’ and participants’ suggestions for item phrasing.

The content validity took into account the expert or review panel's judgment. The CVR results suggested that all items were acceptable, as long as the values obtained were greater than 0.58 and ranged between 0.78 and 1. The items’ content validity index was 0.92, which was deemed acceptable, and as a result of these findings, all items were retained.

In terms of reliability, the instrument produced a Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of 0.74, which indicates that the questionnaire’s reliability is satisfactory.32

A total of 1717 participants were involved in this study. The mean age of respondents was 27.64 (SD=9.58) years and half of the total sample (62%) were females. Most of the participants including patients counselling, the prevention of treatment-related problems, and solving them were reported.

The last section summarized the major barriers (pharmacist-, patient-, and system-level barriers) that hinder the integration of PC in real practice. These barriers included the absence of private counseling sections, inappropriate pharmacy design, time constraints, organizational obstacles, lack of physician's trust in the pharmacist’s abilities, pharmacist’s physical separation from patient care areas, lack of coordination/communication with physicians, and others. The percentage of barriers preventing patients from asking for pharmacist advice was also identified.

The participants’ opinions about the factors that may increase or decrease medication errors were reported. The first statement relates medication errors with the failure to document the medical information of the patients. The second and third statements relate the increase in medication errors to the difficulty to remember drug details and medical history. The participants were questioned if they agree that creating a database containing the patients’ diseases, laboratory tests, and other information will reduce medical errors.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were completed using IBM SPSS®, Version 24.0 (Armonk, NY, USA). All continuous variables were evaluated for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and then were presented as means, standard deviations (SD), medians, interquartile range (IQR) as appropriate. Categorical variables were presented as the number and percentage of subjects in each category.

RESULTS

In terms of face validity and scale accuracy, the agreement index - as evaluated by Fleiss’ Kappa - indicated that the instrument was generally in substantial agreement, as represented by understanding 0.78, clarity 0.76, and accuracy 0.69. The evaluation conducted by the 11 participants yielded a 97 percent agreement rate; a 95 percent agreement rate for clarity; and a 98 percent agreement rate for accuracy. Adjustments were made based on these findings, taking into account the experts’ and participants’ suggestions for item phrasing.

The content validity took into account the expert or review panel's judgment. The CVR results suggested that all items were acceptable, as long as the values obtained were greater than 0.58 and ranged between 0.78 and 1. The items’ content validity index was 0.92, which was deemed acceptable, and as a result of these findings, all items were retained.

In terms of reliability, the instrument produced a Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of 0.74, which indicates that the questionnaire’s reliability is satisfactory.32

A total of 1717 participants were involved in this study. The mean age of respondents was 27.64 (SD=9.58) years and half of the total sample (62%) were females. Most of the participants
were from Jordan (52.2%), followed by Iraq (24.8%). About one-half of the participants (49.9%) didn’t have insurance. Regarding financial status, 44% were of less than 500$ monthly income and 19.7% stated no income (Table 1).

| Characteristic                  | n (%) or mean (SD) |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| Gender                          |                    |
| Male                            | 652 (38.0)         |
| Female                          | 1065 (62.0)        |
| Age (years)                     | 27.64 (±9.58)      |
| Marital status                  |                    |
| Unmarried                       | 1165 (67.9)        |
| Married                         | 510 (29.7)         |
| Others (e.g., divorced, widows) | 19 (1.1)           |
| Occupation                      |                    |
| Not Working                     | 1144 (66.6)        |
| Working                         | 521 (30.3)         |
| Retired                         | 29 (1.7)           |
| Insurance type                  |                    |
| Ministry of health              | 163 (9.5)          |
| Government                      | 203 (11.8)         |
| Private sectors                 | 471 (27.4)         |
| No insurance                    | 857 (49.9)         |
| Education level                 |                    |
| No formal education             | 3 (0.2)            |
| Primary education               | 8 (0.5)            |
| Secondary education             | 168 (9.8)          |
| University education            | 1395 (81.2)        |
| Postgraduate                    | 116 (6.8)          |
| Monthly income                  |                    |
| No income                       | 338 (19.7)         |
| < 500 $                         | 755 (44.0)         |
| 500-1000 $                      | 338 (19.7)         |
| > 1000 $                        | 263 (15.3)         |
| Country of stay                 |                    |
| Jordan                          | 896 (52.2)         |
| Iraq                            | 426 (24.8)         |
| Palestine                       | 140 (8.2)          |
| Egypt                           | 95 (5.5)           |
| Syria                           | 56 (3.3)           |
| Others                          | 104 (6.1)          |
| Do you suffer from chronic diseases? | 258 (15.0) |
Table 3. Participants’ willingness to pay for telemedicine and pharmaceutical care services

| Statement                                                                 | n (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| If there is an idea to pay the pharmacist for the time you spend with, will you agree to it |       |
| Yes                                                                      | 774 (45.1) |
| If yes, who do you think should pay for the pharmacist?*                  |       |
| The patient                                                             | 414 (24.1) |
| The government                                                           | 682 (39.7) |
| The pharmacy                                                             | 699 (40.7) |
| Other organizations                                                     | 473 (27.5) |
| If no, why you won’t pay?                                                |       |
| This is a part of his/her work and he/she has a salary for it            | 894 (52.1) |
| I don’t listen to the pharmacist advice                                 | 38 (2.2) |
| I don’t trust the pharmacist                                             | 20 (1.2) |
| Others                                                                  | 287 (16.7) |
| If yes, what will be the maximum amount to pay in your opinion per visit?|       |
| 0.5 $                                                                   | 69 (8.9) |
| 1 $                                                                      | 160 (20.7) |
| 2 $                                                                      | 176 (22.7) |
| > 2 $                                                                   | 134 (17.3) |
| I have no idea                                                          | 235 (14.0) |
| Do you think if the pharmacist is paid for the medication advice, the medication-related errors will be decreased? |       |
| Yes                                                                      | 479 (27.9) |
| No                                                                       | 429 (25.0) |
| Maybe                                                                    | 809 (47.1) |
| If there is an idea for a website that provides pharmaceutical care, do you encourage it? |       |
| Yes                                                                      | 1536 (89.5) |
| If it is a paid website, will you pay for it?                           |       |
| Yes                                                                      | 610 (35.5) |
| No, I am not interested                                                  | 380 (22.1) |
| No, I have no idea how will the website help me                         | 275 (16.0) |
| No, I can ask my GP                                                     | 191 (11.1) |
| No, for other reasons                                                   | 261 (15.2) |
| What is the maximum amount of money you can accept to pay per year for that website? |       |
| 20 $                                                                     | 271 (15.8) |
| 40 $                                                                     | 148 (8.6) |
| 60 $                                                                     | 67 (3.9) |
| 80 $                                                                     | 28 (1.6) |
| 100 $                                                                    | 30 (1.7) |
| 150 $                                                                    | 21 (1.2) |
| I do not know                                                           | 45 (2.6) |

*Participants were able to choose more than one answer to this question.

Table 3 displays the participants’ willingness to pay for PC services and telemedicine. 45.1% of the participants agreed with the idea of paying pharmacists to decrease medication errors. On the other hand, around half of those who disagree, consider this as a part of the pharmacists’ role. The maximum amount most participants were willing to pay per visit was 2 USD. Interestingly, 89.5% of participants encouraged the idea of creating a website that provides a PC, and 35.5% of them would pay for it.

More than two-thirds of participants believe that pharmacists should provide more counseling roles instead of only dispensing medications. The majority of responders stated that they can depend on pharmacists’ prescribed medications without the need for a physician’s prescription (Table 4). As shown in table 4, from the participants’ perspectives, different PC responsibilities were reported.

Regarding participants’ attitudes towards PC services. Generally, 42.9% of participants strongly agreed that all pharmacists should perform PC including the prevention of treatment-related problems as well as solving them. 41.8% agreed that the pharmacists’ primary responsibility is to practice PC. The participants agreed/strongly agreed on most statements reflecting favorable attitudes regarding PC (Table 5).

*Participants were able to choose more than one answer in this question.
The participants rated most of the pharmacists’ abilities as being important to very important. The most important perceived competencies mentioned were: pharmacists’ ability to listen to the patients effectively, providing accurate information on all patients’ medications and accurate updated information on over-the-counter medications (OTCs), proper counseling on drug use, side effects, modifications on lifestyles (Table 6).

In this study, 83.2% of the participants considered the lack of private counseling areas in pharmacy as one of the major barriers hindering the integration of PC in real practice. Interestingly, the lack of access to the patient medical record in the pharmacy was the most chosen barrier by applicants (n=1470, 85.6%). The lack of coordination between pharmacists and physicians was the third most reported cause preventing the PC service from taking place in the pharmacies. On the other hand, 68.6% believed that the lack of physicians’ trust in the pharmacist’s abilities is one of the barriers, 66.6% said the barrier is the deficiency of pharmacists’ clinical knowledge, and 57% mentioned the negative attitudes of pharmacists towards PC as a possible barrier (Table 7). 57.4% considered that the absence of private areas in pharmacies is the major barrier preventing patients from asking for pharmacist’s advice.

Regarding the respondents’ opinions about the factors that may increase or decrease medication errors. The first statement which relates medication errors with the failure to document the medical information of the patients had most applicants’ agreement, M= 4.171 out of 5, SD = 0.787. The second and third statements to which many participants agreed with relate the increase to potential medical errors by the healthcare providers to the difficulty to remember drug details and medical history. It can be observed that more than three-quarters of participants agreed that creating a database containing the patients’ diseases, laboratory tests, and other information will reduce medical errors (Table 8).

Asking participants about if they had experienced errors related to their treatments revealed that 33.8% experienced medication errors once or twice during their lifetime. While 6.9% experienced 3 to 4 treatment-related problems, and 3.7% had errors more than 4 times.
Table 6. Participants’ opinions regarding pharmacists’ abilities

| Ability of pharmacists                                                                 | n (%)     | Mean (SD) | Median (IQR) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| Listen to patients effectively                                                        | 1082 (63.0) | 3.229 (0.969) | 4 (1)        |
| Perform limited physical exams and access to clinical laboratory tests records         | 666 (38.8)   | 3.077 (0.858) | 3 (1)        |
| Assess patients’ needs for review of drug therapy, needs for drug therapy monitoring, and needs for advice on lifestyle modifications | 754 (43.9)   | 3.223 (0.757) | 3 (1)        |
| Have accurate information on all the medications taken by patients and accurate updated information on OTCs | 935 (54.5)   | 3.373 (0.728) | 4 (1)        |
| Recommend dose adjustments                                                             | 741 (43.2)   | 3.185 (0.809) | 3 (1)        |
| Select parameters of patient care to monitor drug therapy                              | 709 (41.3)   | 3.217 (0.724) | 3 (1)        |
| Identify expected therapeutic outcomes of drug therapy                                  | 718 (41.8)   | 3.221 (0.737) | 3 (1)        |
| Provide counseling on drug use, side effects, and counseling on lifestyle modifications | 853 (49.7)   | 3.340 (0.701) | 4 (1)        |
| Monitor adherence to medications                                                       | 680 (39.6)   | 3.084 (0.870) | 3 (1)        |
| Communicate with other members of the healthcare team and with patients effectively     | 737 (42.9)   | 3.199 (0.787) | 3 (1)        |
| Discuss the patient case with the physician                                           | 809 (47.1)   | 3.250 (0.795) | 3 (1)        |

4: very important; 3: important; 2: neutral; 1: unimportant.

Table 7. Major barriers hinder the integration of pharmaceutical care in real practice

| Statement                                                                 | n (%)     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Lack of private counseling areas                                         | 1429 (83.2) |
| Inappropriate pharmacy design                                            | 1091 (63.5) |
| Time constraints                                                         | 1098 (63.9) |
| Organizational obstacles                                                 | 1222 (71.2) |
| Lack of physicians’ trust in the pharmacists’ abilities                  | 1178 (68.6) |
| Pharmacists’ physical separation from patient care areas                 | 1355 (78.9) |
| Lack of communication/coordination with physicians                       | 1418 (82.6) |
| Inadequate teamwork of the health care members                           | 1265 (73.7) |
| Deficient communication skills of pharmacists                            | 849 (49.4)  |
| Negative attitudes of pharmacists towards pharmaceutical care            | 984 (57.3)  |
| Lack of patient interest                                                 | 1250 (72.8) |
| Deficient clinical knowledge of pharmacists                              | 1143 (66.6) |
| Inadequate pharmaceutical care training                                  | 1230 (71.6) |
| Inability to deal with a different gender                                | 868 (50.6)  |
| Religious constraints                                                    | 718 (41.8)  |
| Inadequate drug information resources in the pharmacy                    | 949 (55.3)  |
| Lack of access to the patient medical record in the pharmacy             | 1470 (85.6) |
| Poor image of pharmacist’s role in society                               | 1005 (58.5) |
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Table 8. Sample of factors related to increasing or decreasing medical errors

| Statement                                                                 | n (%) | Mean (SD) | Median (IQR) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|--------------|
| One of the most important reasons for the high incidence of medical errors is the failure to document medical information related to the patient | 706 (41.1) | 4.171 (0.787) | 4 (0) |
| It can often be difficult for the patient to remember his medical history and medication details | 505 (29.4) | 3.897 (0.922) | 4 (1) |
| It is often difficult for a patient to remember details of their medical history and drug details, which may increase the potential for medical errors provided by the healthcare practitioner | 629 (36.6) | 4.077 (0.825) | 4 (0) |
| Creating a database containing patient’s personal information, diseases, medications, laboratory tests, medical reports, x-rays, and other information helps reduce medical errors | 985 (57.4) | 4.366 (0.777) | 5 (1) |

5: strongly agree; 4: agree; 3: neutral; 2: disagree; 1: strongly disagree.

DISCUSSION

This is the first regional study to assess the community’s attitude, opinion, and willingness to pay for both telemedicine and PC services during COVID-pandemic, as well as to assess perceived barriers preventing patients from asking for pharmacist’s advice. The results showed that when participants have any need to change their medications, they have favorable attitudes in seeking medical advice from a pharmacist. The possible explanation for this finding was the easiness of pharmacists’ access and considering pharmacists as being an extensive and inexpensive source for the provision of medication information. In line with previous studies, the PC practice in Jordan is still growing. Nevertheless, patients’ attitudes and perceptions towards PC services and telemedicine are promising. Many previous studies have demonstrated that patients have positive attitudes and high satisfaction towards the PC services provided. Additionally, several of these studies have identified factors that were associated with increased patient satisfaction, including pharmacists’ communication and competency level, medication counseling introduction, health service promptness, and pharmacy location. For example, for Jordanians, the proximity of the community pharmacy and the delivery of accurate free advice by the pharmacist were found to be the driving forces to visit the community pharmacy. Likewise, the primary motivations behind people’s visiting the community pharmacy, in Saudi Arabia; were identified to be the proximity of community pharmacies and the presence of a well-qualified and experienced pharmacist.

Around half of the respondents in our study declared unwillingness to pay for PC services and considered this as a part of the pharmacist’s role. Jordanian public may possibly not feel convenient about paying out-of-pocket for health services that are usually free or included in the physician’s fee. This later may be deemed one possible explanation for that negative decision; however, this was not investigated in the current study. Our participants were shown to value the service, but they may feel that their medical insurance should cover it. In addition, the decision of participants may have been impacted negatively as the mechanism of payment for delivering the service was not outlined. This finding is in agreement with a pilot study conducted in readiness to accept telemedicine in Iraqi hospitals. On the other hand, examining the literature further shows that 36–85% of people were found willing to pay for a pharmacist service, with an amount of money ranging from 4.02 USD for a service that decreased medication-related problems, to 40 USD for providing more specialized service by the pharmacist. However, these previously published studies were performed to assess an expanded introduction of PC services in developed countries rather than evaluating the opinion and attitudes of public in extending the pharmacy delivery service to a comprehensive care service provided in community pharmacies. A study from Malaysia concluded that the majority of Malaysians valued the introduced service and they were willing to pay a significant amount of money linked to an awareness on such services.

Regarding creating a website and presenting a telemedicine and PC services, the majority of participants supported the idea and considerable proportion of them agreed to pay for it. In the present study, the maximum amount that most participants were ready to pay was 2 USD per visit. This finding is consistent with a median amount of 2.86 USD that was paid by the participants of study conducted in Malaysia to investigate the willingness of public to reimburse for their provided PC services and telemedicine. Less amount of money was indicated to be paid by the Jordanian compared with that reported to be paid in other developed countries. This most likely reflected the weaker economic power rather than less of appreciation of provided service. From another perspective study, the monthly salary for most Jordanian community pharmacists (40 hours per week) is around 750 USD only. This illustrates dramatic income benefits to the pharmacists, in addition to the patients’ saving of unrequired physician visits (in Jordan, an average consultation fee ranges from 15-45 USD per physician’s visit).

In the present study, high percentage of participants believed that pharmacists should provide more counseling roles instead of only dispensing medications. This was in line with findings of a study conducted in Jordan where the majority of participants (87.0%) showed an interest in pharmacists performing a monthly medication check. Traditionally, the pharmacists are involved in providing health-care services with focus on dispensary
Responsibilities. The professional role of pharmacist extends nowadays to cover more specialized areas such as; providing health care guidance, patient education, pharmaceutical marketing, as well as being the most powerful links between patients and other healthcare providers.44, 45 The pharmacist must be well educated by trusted sources on most updated and reliable information related to pharmacy field.44,45,62,63 To achieve an optimal delivery of PC by the Jordanian pharmacists, a significant effort is required in order to satisfy expectations of the public, regarding their professional role, and to show the financial benefits of expanding their participations into patient-direct care. In this study, the participants rated most of the pharmacists’ abilities as being important to very important. Regarding the participants’ perception towards the pharmacist’ abilities, most participants in the current investigation agreed that the pharmacists were role models for pharmacy practice and they had strong interpersonal skills. These results are similar to those have been reported in studies for community pharmacy carried out in Saudi Arabia and Qatar.44,62

The lack of private counseling areas in pharmacy and non-access to the patient medical document in the pharmacy were reported by participants as major barriers hindering the integration of PC in real practice. Previous studies have reported several major barriers in achievement of diverse PC, these include non-access to patient interaction and workplace for patient counselling; insufficient professional skills; lack of time; poor image of the pharmacists; pharmacists’ low self-confidence; inadequate drug information sources; insufficient clinical knowledge as well as communication skills.44,45,62 The absence of patient medical records in pharmacy and workplace settings for patient counselling (on their prescribing their medicines), as well as limited interaction with other healthcare professionals were the top apparent barriers for PC provision in the present study. This demonstrates the importance of maintaining patient medical records in community pharmacy in order to facilitate the development of a PC scope of practice.44,62

The main target of these interactions is to teach pharmacy students to develop an appreciation of their significant role in future.44,62

In the current study, inadequate drug information resources and databases in the pharmacy was documented as one of the major barriers that hinder the integration of PC as well as a major cause of medical errors in real practice. This result has been reported in previous studies, where pharmacist had very small number of hardcopy drug information resources.45 Additionally, in Saudi Arabia, in a study conducted to evaluate the pharmacy students’ satisfaction with pharmacy experiences of community pharmacy, the students reported they did not get all required information from their patients and relied only on the prescription provided. These practice sites in Saudi Arabia were lacking electronic systems.58 The results of the present study highlight the need to support the pharmacist with all required tools to easily access the patient’s medical records through utilization of electronic systems, and to prevent and/or reduce high incidence of medical errors.

One of the limitations of this study is lacking a description of the payment mechanism which should be included in future research to reduce any strategic bias regarding the parents’ willingness to pay for PC delivery. Another limitation of this study is that the small convenience sample that was used limits its generalization to the whole Arabic countries. In addition, willingness to pay was estimated according to subjective opinions of patients rather than on actual banking data. For example, the measured value was hypothetically drawn and might be biased as a result of sample selection. This latter limits the monetary benefits that may result from pharmaceutical healthcare services. Yet, willingness to pay is widely acknowledged as the best methodology employed.61 The PC services structure and finance would be developed, by a social and cultural framework identified by this study findings, in the area of a developing country. A larger sample from different cities around the Arabic countries should be targeted in future research. This is to attain a higher awareness of willingness to pay throughout developing countries and Arabic countries.

Implications for policy and practice

From a patients’ perspective, this study suggests a large patient need for expanding PC services in Arabic countries, particularly Jordan and Iraq and introduces a direct estimate of the monetary value for the PC services.

The extended roles of a pharmacist, in optimizing patient care and medication use, contributes to higher savings.

This study outlined that Jordanians, Iraqi and other participants are ready to co-pay for the PC services. Additionally, the elements of the service that were highlighted by the study participants can be used as a benchmark to structure and design pharmacy-led care services which patients would seek and pay for.

For pharmacists, the study highlights the importance to develop counseling and medication review services, as well as setting a reference money value for such service startup fees.

CONCLUSIONS

From a patients’ perspective, this study suggests a significant patient need for expanding PC services in Arabic countries and introduces a direct estimate of the monetary value for the PC services. The extended roles of a pharmacist, in optimizing patient care and medication use, contribute into higher savings. The majority of participants supported the idea of creating a website provision of telemedicine and PC services, and a considerable proportion of them agreed to pay for it.

For pharmacists, the study highlights the importance to develop counseling and medication review services, as well as to set a reference money value for such service startup fees. Participants believe that pharmacists should provide more counseling roles instead of only dispensing medications. The absence of private counseling areas in pharmacy and inability to access the patient medical record in the pharmacy were reported by participants as major barriers hindering the integration of PC in real practice.
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