UHRF1 phosphorylation by cyclin A2/cyclin-dependent kinase 2 is required for zebrafish embryogenesis
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ABSTRACT Ubiquitin-like, containing PHD and RING finger domains 1 (uhrf1) is regulated at the transcriptional level during the cell cycle and in developing zebrafish embryos. We identify phosphorylation as a novel means of regulating UHRF1 and demonstrate that Uhrf1 phosphorylation is required for gastrulation in zebrafish. Human UHRF1 contains a conserved cyclin-dependent kinase 2 (CDK2) phosphorylation site at Ser-661 that is phosphorylated in vitro by CDK2 partnered with cyclin A2 (CCNA2), but not cyclin E. An antibody specific for phospho-Ser-661 recognizes UHRF1 in both mammalian cancer cells and in nontransformed zebrafish cells, but not in zebrafish bearing a mutation in ccna2. Depleting Uhrf1 from zebrafish embryos by morpholino injection causes arrest before gastrulation and early embryonic death. This phenotype is rescued by wild-type UHRF1, but not by Uhrf1 in which the phospho-acceptor site is mutated, demonstrating that UHRF1 phosphorylation is essential for embryogenesis. UHRF1 was detected in the nucleus and cytoplasm, whereas nonphosphorylatable UHRF1 is unable to localize to the cytoplasm, suggesting the importance of localization in UHRF1 function. Together, these data point to an essential role for UHRF1 phosphorylation by CDK/CCNA2 during early vertebrate development.
While the mechanism by which UHRF1 contributes to cell division and apoptosis is not well understood, the role of UHRF1 as an important regulator of the epigenome is better characterized. It is likely that the function of UHRF1 is highly conserved, as there is a 67% overall amino acid identity between zebrafish and human UHRF1 and an even stronger homology between the individual domains, each of which has been associated with important epigenetic processes. The SRA domain, which is responsible for recognizing hemimethylated DNA, recruiting the major DNA methyltransferases DNMT1 (Bostick et al., 2007; Sharif et al., 2007), DNMT3a, and DNMT3b (Mellingler et al., 2009) and the histone deacetylase HDAC1 (Unoki et al., 2004), is nearly 90% identical to that of the zebrafish and is also remarkably well conserved in plants (Johnson et al., 2007; Woo et al., 2007; Hashimoto et al., 2008). UHRF1 binds preferentially to methylated H3K9 marks, in part through its tandem Tudor (60% homology) domains (Rottach et al., 2010), and recruits the histone methyltransferase G9a via its SRA and RING (72% homology) domains (Kim et al., 2009). The RING domain also possesses E3 ligase activity and is known to ubiquitinate histone H3 (Jenkins et al., 2005; Citterio et al., 2004). Finally, the PHD domain (72% homology) has been shown to function in the reorganization of pericentric heterochromatin (Papait et al., 2008) and to preferentially bind unmethylated histone H3R2 (Rajakumar et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011). Whether UHRF1 reads and writes all of these epigenetic marks simultaneously is not known, nor is it clear whether UHRF1 serves the same function in different cell types. However, a role for UHRF1 in methylation is clearly conserved, as both mammalian cells and zebrafish embryos that lack Uhrf1 have genome-wide hypomethylation (Bostick et al., 2007; Sharif et al., 2007; Feng et al., 2010). Moreover, the strikingly similar phenotype between the eye (Tittle et al., 2011) and liver (Anderson et al., 2009) phenotypes of dnmt1-deficient zebrafish embryos and uhrf1 mutants (Sadler et al., 2007; Tittle et al., 2011) points to the common function of these two genes. However, epistasis experiments suggest that the loss of DNA methylation may account for some, but not all, of the embryonic phenotypes associated with uhrf1 loss (Tittle et al., 2011). Given the important role that epigenetics plays in regulating both the activation of the zygotic genome that occurs early in development as well as in the lineage-specific regulation of developmentally important genes (Mudbhary and Sadler, 2011), it is predicted that UHRF1 may be required for coordinating the multiple and complex epigenetic marks that rapidly change during embryonic development.

Despite the emerging understanding of how and where UHRF1 functions, little is known about how UHRF1 itself is regulated. The dynamic expression pattern of uhrf1 mRNA during embryonic development (Sadler et al., 2007; Tittle et al., 2011) points to transcriptional control as one mechanism of regulation. Changes in uhrf1 mRNA (Sadler et al., 2007), protein (Bonapace et al., 2002; Arima et al., 2004; Brunet et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2009), and localization (Uemura et al., 2000; Miura et al., 2001; Kim et al., 2009) accompany different cell cycle stages in some cells, but in others, most notably in cancer cells, UHRF1 levels do not change dramatically during the cell cycle (Mousli et al., 2003; Arima et al., 2004; Bronner et al., 2007). UHRF1 expression is controlled by key cell cycle regulators, including the Rb/E2F complex (Abbady et al., 2003), p53/p21WAF1 (Arima et al., 2004), and the E1A transcription factor (Bonapace et al., 2002). We hypothesize that other factors that act during the cell cycle may provide an additional level of regulation of UHRF1.

Cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) control the major transitions in the mammalian cell cycle. CDK2 activity during G1 and S phase is dependent on either cyclin E (CCNE1) or cyclin A (CCNA2). In vitro, there is significant overlap in substrate specificity between CDK2 partnered with either CCNE1 or CCNA2, whose shared targets include histone H1, pRb, p107, and Cdc6 (Kitagawa et al., 1996; Takeda et al., 2001; Ukomadu and Dutta, 2003a). A notable exception is E2F1, which is only phosphorylated by CCNA2/CDK2 (Krek et al., 1995; Kitagawa et al., 1996). An additional level of CDK2 specificity in vivo is likely achieved through temporal activation, as CDK2 shifts from CCNE1 binding in mid- to late G1 (Ohtsubo et al., 1995; Resnitzky and Reed, 1995) to CCNA2 binding, which drives cells from G1 into S phase (Pagano et al., 1992; Zindy et al., 1992; Resnitzky et al., 1995).

We discovered a putative CDK2 phosphorylation site corresponding to Ser-661 of human UHRF1 (corresponds to Ser-648 in zebrafish; Figure 1A). That UHRF1 is a substrate for CDK2 is supported by the observations that: 1) overexpression of UHRF1 in combination with CDK2 causes quiescent, differentiated cells to re-enter the cell cycle (Bonapace et al., 2002); 2) another UHRF family member, UHRF2, interacts with CDK2 (Li et al., 2004); and 3) the expression of uhrf1 and ccna2 are coordinated following partial hepatectomy in both mice and zebrafish (Sadler et al., 2007). Since UHRF1 and CCNA2 are both required in S phase, we asked whether there might be a direct relationship between them. Our data demonstrate that a novel mechanism of UHRF1 regulation via phosphorylation by CCNA2/CDK2 exists and that phosphorylation of UHRF1 on Ser-661 is essential for zebrafish embryogenesis.

RESULTS

UHRF1 is phosphorylated on Ser-661 in vitro by CCNA2/CDK2

Alterations in UHRF1 expression affect cell cycle progression (Hopfer et al., 2002; Muto et al., 2002; Jeanblanc et al., 2005; Jenkins et al., 2005; Tien et al., 2011). UHRF1 mRNA (Arima et al., 2004; Abbady et al., 2005; Sadler et al., 2007; Brunet et al., 2008) and protein (Uemura et al., 2000; Miura et al., 2001; Bonapace et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2009) levels fluctuate during the cell cycle in parallel with CCNA2, raising the possibility that UHRF1 and CCNA2 are functionally linked. The CDK2 consensus sequence is S/TPPXY (where X is any amino acid and Y is a basic amino acid). The first and second residues (serine or threonine, followed by proline) are always required, but substituted adducts at the third and fourth amino acid can be phosphorylated (Higashi et al., 1995). Figure 1A illustrates a classic CDK2 phosphorylation site (SPRR) at amino acids 661–664 of UHRF1 and shows the serine-proline to be conserved in all vertebrate homologues of UHRF1, but absent from UHRF2. A canonical Cdk2 site SPTK is present in the zebrafish protein, with Ser-648 as part of the consensus site (Figure 1A). There is one other Cdk2 site beginning with Ser-709 (SFPQ); however, this motif is not conserved in mice or zebrafish.

To test whether UHRF1 is a CDK2 substrate, we assessed in vitro complexes created from activated, recombinant Cdk2 paired with either CCNE1 or CCNA2 for their ability to phosphorylate either their common substrate, histone H1, or recombinant Hsp90-UHRF1. While both CCNE1/Cdk2 and CCNA2/Cdk2 are equally capable of phosphorylating histone H1 (Figure 1B), only CCNA2/Cdk2 phosphorylates recombinant Hsp90-UHRF1 (Figure 1C). This phosphorylation is maintained when UHRF1 is truncated at amino acid 690 (UHRF1Δ690), but virtually none occurs when the putative Cdk2 site at Ser-661 is removed (UHRF1Δ660, Figure 1C). Therefore phosphorylation by Cdk2 must occur at a site between amino acids 660 and 690, thus eliminating Ser-709 as a likely Cdk2 substrate. Taken together, our data indicate that UHRF1 is phosphorylated on a Cdk2 phosphorylation motif residing between amino acids 660 and 690.
UHRF1 is phosphorylated by CDK/CCNA2 at Ser-661. (A) Domain structure of UHRF1 and alignment of the conserved CDK2 phosphorylation site in vertebrate UHRF1. Canonical consensus is bolded (SPXY: X = any amino acid; Y = basic amino acid). The putative phosphorylation residue is underlined. (B) Left, Coomassie-stained gel shows equal loading of histone H1. Right, autoradiograph of the phosphorylated histone H1. (C) Top, Schematic representation of UHRF1 truncation mutations used as substrates for CDK2 kinase assays. Black box indicates the CDK2 phosphorylation site (SPRR). Left, Coomassie staining showing equal loading in all lanes. CCNA2/CDK2 phosphorylates a truncated UHRF1 that retains the SPRR motif (lanes 7 and 9) but a truncated mutant lacking SPRR (lane 11) is barely phosphorylated. CCNE1/CDK2 has almost no kinase activity against UHRF1.

and 690. Moreover, complexes containing CCNA2 are selective for UHRF1, suggesting that CCNA2/CDK2 may regulate UHRF1 function.

**UHRF1 is phosphorylated on Ser-661 in vivo**

To determine whether UHRF1 is phosphorylated on Ser-661 in vivo, we generated a polyclonal antibody against a peptide containing a phosphorylated residue on Ser-661 (α-pS661) and then validated its specificity. First, we used this antibody to determine whether UHRF1 in mammalian tissue culture cells can be phosphorylated on this site. Cells were transiently transfected with an empty vector, UHRF1 tagged with the FLAG epitope, or the FLAG-tagged mutant version of UHRF1 with Ser-661 changed to an unphosphorylatable residue (alanine: UHRF1<sub>S661A</sub> in Figure 2A or glycine: UHRF1<sub>S661G</sub> in Supplementary Figure S1A). We found that both anti-UHRF1 and anti-FLAG detect a band of the appropriate size in cells transfected with constructs encoding wild-type or mutant forms of UHRF1, whereas α-pS661 recognizes a weak band at the appropriate size in untransfected HCT116 cells (Figure 2A) and a strong band at the same size in cells transfected with wild-type (FLAG-UHRF1) but not in those transfected with UHRF1<sup>5661A</sup> (Figure 2A) and UHRF1<sup>5661G</sup> (Figure S1A).

We next evaluated the ability of α-<i>p</i>S661 to recognize endogenous UHRF1 and to further confirm the specificity of Ser-661 phosphorylation. HCT116 cells immunoblotted with α-pS661 reveal a 95-kDa band (Figure 2, A and B). This immunoreactivity is prevented when α-pS661 is preincubated with the immunizing peptide, but not with the cognate peptide lacking the phosphorylated serine (Figure 2B). A similar result is obtained with mouse livers harvested 48 h after partial hepatectomy (Figure S1B). The band recognized by α-pS661 in HCT116 cells disappears when an immunoprecipitate of the UHRF1 is treated with λ-phosphatase (Figure 2C), confirming that the immunoreactivity of α-pS661 is dependent on the phosphorylation of Ser-661.

The results with colon cancer cells (Figure 2) and mouse hepatocytes (Figure S1B) demonstrate that endogenous UHRF1 is phosphorylated in some cells. Interestingly, we found variability between the amounts of endogenous phosphorylated UHRF1 in different cell types: α-pS661 recognizes a band in the colon cancer line HCT116 but not in the transformed, nonmalignant 293T cell line. However, it
is clear that exogenously provided UHRF1 can be phosphorylated in a variety of transformed and malignant mammalian cells (unpublished data). To determine whether UHRF1 phosphorylation is conserved across species and whether it occurs in nontransformed cells, we introduced zebrafish Uhrf1 tagged with a 6X-myc-epitope (6x-myc-Uhrf1) into zebrafish embryos using mRNA injection (Figure S1C) or human Uhrf1 tagged with green fluorescent protein (GFP; Uhrf1-EGFP) using transgenes (see Figure 3 and Figure 7 later in this paper). Embryos injected at the one-cell stage with mRNA encoding 6x-myc-Uhrf1 were immunoblotted with α-pS661 and α-Myc. Both antibodies detect a single band in pregastrula embryos (Figure S1C) and a faint band is also detected in un.injected embryos (Figure S1, arrow), suggesting that in zebrafish, as in mammalian cells, endogenous Uhrf1 is phosphorylated. Immunofluorescence studies support this: Uhrf1-EGFP expressed in zebrafish under either the heat shock promoter (Tg(hsp70:UHRF1-EGFP); see Figure 3B) or the hepatocyte-specific fabp10 promoter (Tg(fabp10:UHRF1-EGFP); see Figure 7 later in this paper) is recognized by α-pS661, as is the endogenous Uhrf1 in some cell types (see Figure 7C later in this paper). Collectively, these findings support the conclusions that both exogenously provided Uhrf1 and endogenous Uhrf1 are phosphorylated on Ser-661 in vivo in both mammalian and zebrafish cells, that the phosphorylation site on the serine at position 648 in zebrafish corresponds to position 661 in human Uhrf1, and that the serine phosphorylated moiety of Uhrf1 is enriched in mouse hepatocytes and zebrafish embryonic cells that are actively traversing the cell cycle.

Phosphorylation of Uhrf1 requires ccna2 in zebrafish

Given that CCNA2/CDK2 selectively phosphorylates Uhrf1 in vitro (Figure 1), we asked whether this is also the case in vivo. To address this, we used ccna2<sup>-/-</sup> mutant zebrafish, which have a viral insertion in the first coding exon of the ccna2 gene (Figure S2A; Amsterdam et al., 2004). At day 4, these fish have no detectable ccna2 transcript as seen by PCR (Figure S2B), and some features of their phenotype are reminiscent of that seen in uhrf1 mutants (Sadler et al., 2007), including a small liver (Figure S2C). To test whether Ccna2 is required for UHRF1 phosphorylation in vivo, we carried out the experiment design outlined in Figure 3A. Uhrf1 tagged with EGFP was introduced to ccna2<sup>-/-</sup> heterozygous adults with a construct expressing EGFP-tagged Uhrf1 under a heat shock-inducible promoter (Tg(hsp70:UHRF1-EGFP)) and containing the Tol2 transposon sites that allow for genome integration (Kawakami, 2007; Kwan et al., 2007). At 3 d postfertilization (dpf), we segregated the ccna2<sup>-/-</sup> mutant embryos from their siblings based on phenotype (see Figure S2C). At 4 dpf, embryos were heat-shocked to induce expression of the transgene in those cells that integrated the construct into the genome. Cryosections from larvae collected 4 h after heat shock were immunostained with α-pS661. While EGFP-expressing cells occur with similar frequency in both wild-type and ccna2<sup>-/-</sup> mutants, α-pS661 recognizes the transgene only in wild-type embryos (Figure 3B). Moreover, there is no staining of endogenous phosphorylated Uhrf1 in any ccna2 mutant cells. While these findings could reflect a defect in the ccna2 mutant cells that precludes UHRF1 phosphorylation, it is also consistent with our in vitro data, suggesting a requirement for CCNA2 in Uhrf1 phosphorylation.

uhrf1 is essential for pregastrula development in zebrafish

Uhrf1 depletion in mice results in embryonic lethality in early gestation (Muto et al., 2002). In contrast, the uhrf1<sup>hi272</sup> zebrafish mutant phenotype is first evident late in development (Sadler et al., 2007), similar to dnrmt1 mutant zebrafish (Tittle et al., 2011). Uhrf1 is highly expressed in the early embryo (Sadler et al., 2007; Tittle et al., 2011), and we assume that zebrafish uhrf1<sup>hi272</sup> mutants survive early development due to maternally supplied mRNA and/or protein. For most genes, the transition from maternally provided transcripts to those derived from the zygotic genome (i.e., the maternal–zygotic transition) occurs during the midblastula transition. Activation of the zygotic genome during this time is associated with widespread changes in chromatin structure and epigenetic modifications (Newport and Kirschner, 1982b; Kane and Kimmel, 1993; Meehan et al., 2005; Schier, 2007; Tadros and Lipshitz, 2009; Lindeman et al., 2010b; Vastenhouw et al., 2010). We asked whether suppressing translation of both maternal and zygotic uhrf1 mRNA using a morpholino targeting the ATG of the uhrf1 message (Figure S3) would reveal a phenotype earlier in development than is observed in uhrf1<sup>hi272</sup> embryos. We found that this was indeed the case: uhrf1 morphant embryos proceed through the blastula period without interruption, but a significant percent (32%) arrest before gastrulation (Figure 4A). Most of these arrested embryos (80%) die by the time control embryos reach 50% epiboly (Figure 4A). The remaining 20% of arrested embryos do not complete epiboly and die by 24 h postfertilization (hpf). Our observations are based on careful gross inspection of live embryos using a standard dissecting microscope. While the early morphant embryos appear to have the same morphological appearance as the control embryos, our observations cannot exclude the possibility that a subtle cellular defect occurs in morphants prior to the midblastula transition.
Phosphorylation of UHRF1 is required for early zebrafish development

Zebrafish and human UHRF1 share a 67% overall amino acid identity and an even higher homology between the individual domains. If the human UHRF1 gene is the functional orthologue of the zebrafish gene, then it will rescue zebrafish embryos that lack uhrf1. We tested this by injecting zebrafish embryos with RNA encoding UHRF1 to determine whether it could rescue the morphant or mutant phenotypes. Western immunoblots of embryo lysates show that the UHRF1 translated from mRNA injected at the one-cell stage is detectable in embryos at 50% epiboly (Figure 5A; see also Figures S1C and S6A) but not at 24 hpf (Figure 5A). We reasoned that if UHRF1 were sufficient to rescue any consequences of uhrf1 loss, then only the earliest embryonic event, that is, survival through gastrulation at 50% epiboly, requires Uhrf1.

Embryos were divided into four groups: 1) co-injected with mRNA encoding 6X-myc-UHRF1 plus uhrf1 morpholino, 2) injected with the morpholino alone, 3) injected with the mRNA alone, and 4) un.injected. Each group was scored for early arrest and eventual mortality at the time corresponding to 50% epiboly in their un-injected siblings. Whereas on average only 57% of the morphants survive to 50% epiboly, survival improves to 81% when the morpholino is coinjected with mRNA encoding 6X-myc-UHRF1 (Figure 5B; compare columns 2–4; p < 0.0001 by Fisher’s exact test). As expected, since there is no UHRF1 protein remaining in injected embryos at 24 hpf (Figure 5A), 6X-myc-UHRF1 mRNA injection does not rescue the phenotype induced by the uhrf1 morphant at 24 hpf or 5 dpf (unpublished data). Nevertheless, the significant reduction in mortality at 50% epiboly in uhrf1 morphants demonstrates that human and zebrafish UHRF1 are functional orthologues.

Studies in mammalian cells have revealed phenotypes caused by both depletion and enhancement of UHRF1 protein levels. We questioned whether increasing UHRF1 levels in zebrafish embryos induces a phenotype. We found this to be the case, as only 61% of morpholino (targeting p53) do not display early arrest, significant mortality, or phenotypic differences when compared with non-injected embryos (Figures 4A and S5, A and B). Thus we used un.injected embryos as controls throughout. Moreover, reducing nonspecific morpholino toxicity by coinjecting the uhrf1 morpholino with a morpholino targeting p53 (Robu et al., 2007) does not alter the survival at 50% epiboly or 24-hpf phenotype of the uhrf1 morphants (Figure S5, A and B). Therefore the effects of uhrf1 knockdown appear to be specific, causing developmental arrest following the midblastula transition, early embryonic death, and severe morphological abnormalities in the brain, eye, and liver.

Using early embryonic death as the phenotype for binary scoring, we carried out 18 individual experiments involving more than 1400 embryos, demonstrating that only 61% of uhrf1 morphants survive to the 50% epiboly stage (~6 hpf), compared with 99% in control embryos (Figure 4B; p < 0.0001 by Fisher’s exact test). Those that do not arrest or die during gastrulation appear normal, albeit delayed, through bud stage (unpublished data), but are severely affected at 24 hpf (Figure 4C). Nearly all morphants that survive to 24 hpf have a malformed CNS, smaller head size, overall small size (Figure 4, C and D; see also Figure S5B), and significantly smaller eyes (Figure S4), reminiscent of the eye defects observed in uhrf1 mutants (Tittle et al., 2011). By 5 dpf, morphants have a small liver size (Figure 4C, right), similar to uhrf1hi1727 mutants (Sadler et al., 2007).

Embryos injected with the same amount of a standard control morpholino or those injected with a higher concentration of another
Localizations of UHRF1 changes with phosphorylation status

All functions ascribed to UHRF1 occur in the nucleus. Accordingly, UHRF1 was initially identified as a nuclear protein (Fujimori et al., 1998). Mutating the phospho-acceptor site does not dramatically alter protein stability (Figures 5 and S6, A and D) or the ability of UHRF1 to interact with DNMT1 (Figure S7), suggesting that there is no gross change in protein structure caused by mutation of this residue. We thus asked whether phosphorylation at Ser-661 alters UHRF1 localization.

We found that UHRF1–EGFP is exclusively nuclear and not phosphorylated in ccna2 mutant cells UHRF1–EGFP (Figure 3B), which suggests that nonphosphorylatable UHRF1 would also be localized to the nucleus. Indeed, UHRF1S661A is detected only in the nucleus of nontransformed human (Cos7, Figure 6; 293T, Figure S8A) and normal zebrafish cells (Figure S8B). However, in some cell types, such as those in the eye of 2-dpf embryos, UHRF1–EGFP expressed via the heat shock promoter (Tg(hsp70I:UHRF1–EGFP)) is primarily cytoplasmic, whereas nonphosphorylatable (UHRF1S661G) is only detected in the nucleus in all cell types examined (Figure S8C). Therefore we conclude that a lack of phosphorylation does not prevent nuclear localization; however, these data suggest UHRF1 may be cytoplasmic in some scenarios, and this cytoplasmic localization may require phosphorylation on Ser-661. To further address this, we investigated the localization of a phosphomimetic mutation of UHRF1 (UHRF1S661E) and found it to be primarily cytoplasmic in Cos7 (Figure 6) and 293T (Figure S8A) cells. This suggests that phosphorylation at Ser-661 may cause UHRF1 to localize to the cytoplasm.

UHRF1S661A (Figure 5B, column 6; p = 0.3573 by Fisher’s exact test), UHRF1S661G (Figure S6B, column 5; p = 0.2603 by Fisher’s exact test), nor Uhrf1S661A (Figure S6C, column 4; n.s. p value = 0.4681) improve morphant survival at 50% epiboly. Variant mutations of the protein are detected at levels equivalent to those in embryos injected with mRNA encoding wild-type UHRF1 (Figures 5A and S6, A and D), suggesting the phenotype is not attributable to differences in UHRF1 levels. Coinjecting the uhrf1 morpholino with zebrafish Uhrf1 mRNA, which has an amino terminus 6X-myc tag and significant mismatch introduced by utilizing the degeneracy of the genetic code in the mRNA clone (Figure S3), improves survival of gastrula from 63% in morphants to 83% in the coinjected embryos (Figure S6C, column 4; p < 0.0001 by Fisher’s exact test). In contrast, morphant survival is unchanged (66%) when coinjected with equivalent amounts encoding Uhrf1S661A (Figures S6, C and D; p = 0.4681 compared with morpholino alone). Collectively, these data demonstrate that 1) Uhrf1 can be phosphorylated in pregastrula embryos and 2) phosphorylation is required for Uhrf1 function in early vertebrate development.

Localization of UHRF1 changes with phosphorylation status

All functions ascribed to UHRF1 occur in the nucleus. Accordingly, UHRF1 was initially identified as a nuclear protein (Fujimori et al., 1998). Mutating the phospho-acceptor site does not dramatically alter protein stability (Figures 5 and S6, A and D) or the ability of UHRF1 to interact with DNMT1 (Figure S7), suggesting that there is no gross change in protein structure caused by mutation of this residue. We thus asked whether phosphorylation at Ser-661 alters UHRF1 localization.

We found that UHRF1–EGFP is exclusively nuclear and not phosphorylated in ccna2 mutant cells UHRF1–EGFP (Figure 3B), which suggests that nonphosphorylatable UHRF1 would also be localized to the nucleus. Indeed, UHRF1S661A is detected only in the nucleus of nontransformed human (Cos7, Figure 6; 293T, Figure S8A) and normal zebrafish cells (Figure S8B). However, in some cell types, such as those in the eye of 2-dpf embryos, UHRF1–EGFP expressed via the heat shock promoter (Tg(hsp70I:UHRF1–EGFP)) is primarily cytoplasmic, whereas nonphosphorylatable (UHRF1S661G) is only detected in the nucleus in all cell types examined (Figure S8C). Therefore we conclude that a lack of phosphorylation does not prevent nuclear localization; however, these data suggest UHRF1 may be cytoplasmic in some scenarios, and this cytoplasmic localization may require phosphorylation on Ser-661. To further address this, we investigated the localization of a phosphomimetic mutation of UHRF1 (UHRF1S661E) and found it to be primarily cytoplasmic in Cos7 (Figure 6) and 293T (Figure S8A) cells. This suggests that phosphorylation at Ser-661 may cause UHRF1 to localize to the cytoplasm.

UHRF1S661A (Figure 5B, column 6; p = 0.3573 by Fisher’s exact test), UHRF1S661G (Figure S6B, column 5; p = 0.2603 by Fisher’s exact test), nor Uhrf1S661A (Figure S6C, column 4; n.s. p value = 0.4681) improve morphant survival at 50% epiboly. Variant mutations of the protein are detected at levels equivalent to those in embryos injected with mRNA encoding wild-type UHRF1 (Figures 5A and S6, A and D), suggesting the phenotype is not attributable to differences in UHRF1 levels. Coinjecting the uhrf1 morpholino with zebrafish Uhrf1 mRNA, which has an amino terminus 6X-myc tag and significant mismatch introduced by utilizing the degeneracy of the genetic code in the mRNA clone (Figure S3), improves survival of gastrula from 63% in morphants to 83% in the coinjected embryos (Figure S6C, column 4; p < 0.0001 by Fisher’s exact test). In contrast, morphant survival is unchanged (66%) when coinjected with equivalent amounts encoding Uhrf1S661A (Figures S6, C and D; p = 0.4681 compared with morpholino alone). Collectively, these data demonstrate that 1) Uhrf1 can be phosphorylated in pregastrula embryos and 2) phosphorylation is required for Uhrf1 function in early vertebrate development.
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We found that UHRF1–EGFP is exclusively nuclear and not phosphorylated in ccna2 mutant cells UHRF1–EGFP (Figure 3B), which suggests that nonphosphorylatable UHRF1 would also be localized to the nucleus. Indeed, UHRF1S661A is detected only in the nucleus of nontransformed human (Cos7, Figure 6; 293T, Figure S8A) and normal zebrafish cells (Figure S8B). However, in some cell types, such as those in the eye of 2-dpf embryos, UHRF1–EGFP expressed via the heat shock promoter (Tg(hsp70I:UHRF1–EGFP)) is primarily cytoplasmic, whereas nonphosphorylatable (UHRF1S661G) is only detected in the nucleus in all cell types examined (Figure S8C). Therefore we conclude that a lack of phosphorylation does not prevent nuclear localization; however, these data suggest UHRF1 may be cytoplasmic in some scenarios, and this cytoplasmic localization may require phosphorylation on Ser-661. To further address this, we investigated the localization of a phosphomimetic mutation of UHRF1 (UHRF1S661E) and found it to be primarily cytoplasmic in Cos7 (Figure 6) and 293T (Figure S8A) cells. This suggests that phosphorylation at Ser-661 may cause UHRF1 to localize to the cytoplasm.
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Localization of UHRF1 changes with phosphorylation status

All functions ascribed to UHRF1 occur in the nucleus. Accordingly, UHRF1 was initially identified as a nuclear protein (Fujimori et al., 1998). Mutating the phospho-acceptor site does not dramatically alter protein stability (Figures 5 and S6, A and D) or the ability of UHRF1 to interact with DNMT1 (Figure S7), suggesting that there is no gross change in protein structure caused by mutation of this residue. We thus asked whether phosphorylation at Ser-661 alters UHRF1 localization.

Localization of UHRF1 changes with phosphorylation status

All functions ascribed to UHRF1 occur in the nucleus. Accordingly, UHRF1 was initially identified as a nuclear protein (Fujimori et al., 1998). Mutating the phospho-acceptor site does not dramatically alter protein stability (Figures 5 and S6, A and D) or the ability of UHRF1 to interact with DNMT1 (Figure S7), suggesting that there is no gross change in protein structure caused by mutation of this residue. We thus asked whether phosphorylation at Ser-661 alters UHRF1 localization.
UHRF1 is phosphorylated by CDK/CCNA2

To test this, immunofluorescence was performed on mammalian and zebrafish cells using α-pS661 that was affinity-purified by multiple passages through a column containing the cognate peptide before binding to and elution from an affinity column of the immunizing peptide. While this antibody readily recognizes exogenously provided UHRF1 in zebrafish cells, both phosphorylated UHRF1 and total UHRF1 are deleterious to embryonic survival. Only 57% of morphants and 61% of embryos injected with 6X-myc-UHRF1 survive to 50% epiboly. Survival improves to 81% when the morpholinos is coinjected with the 6X-myc-UHRF1 mRNA (compare columns 2–4 and columns 3–4; for both, p < 0.0001 by Fisher’s exact test). Coinjection of morpholinos and mRNA encoding UHRF1 results in no difference in mortality as compared with morpholinos alone; p = 0.9146 by Fisher’s exact test. mRNA = 400 pg. The total number of embryos and experiments are noted under each bar.

DISCUSSION

UHRF1 is a multi-domain protein involved in epigenetic modification of histones and DNA (Unoki et al., 2004; Bostick et al., 2007; Sharif et al., 2007; Karagianni et al., 2008). Both loss- and gain-of-function experiments indicate that UHRF1 contributes to cell cycle progression (Bonapace et al., 2002; Hopfner et al., 2002; Arima et al., 2004; Jeanblanc et al., 2005; Sadler et al., 2007; Brunet et al., 2008; Fang et al., 2009), although it is interesting to note that manipulating UHRF1 most severely affects cell division in nonmalignant cells (Bonapace et al., 2002; Arima et al., 2004; Sadler et al., 2007). In this paper, we show that the conserved serine residue, which lies between the SRA and RING domains (position 661 in humans and 648 in zebrafish), is phosphorylated by CCNA2/CDK2 in vitro and that depleting ccna2 prevents UHRF1 phosphorylation in vivo. We demonstrate the important function of UHRF1 phosphorylation with our finding that UHRF1 is able to rescue pregastrulation mortality caused by uhrf1 depletion. Moreover, we find that either a phospho-mimetic mutant or endogenous phosphorylated UHRF1 is differentially localized compared with total UHRF1 or nonphosphorylatable UHRF1. Together, these data are consistent with a model in which UHRF1 phosphorylation can promote localization to the cytoplasm, where it may carry out an essential, previously unidentified function.
An alternative possibility is that changing UHRF1 phosphorylation status does not affect interaction with DNMT1, but it might alter binding affinity for another one of its partners or alter specificity for modified histones and thereby alter the ability of UHRF1 to read the epigenetic code.

Three significant aspects of UHRF1 are revealed by this study. First, very little is known about how UHRF1 is regulated. While levels of UHRF1 mRNA and protein and its localization change during the cell cycle, these effects are not seen in all cell types. Indeed, in several cancer cell lines, UHRF1 levels are constant throughout the cell cycle (Mousli et al., 2003; Bronner et al., 2007). In these cases, it is possible that posttranslational modifications, such as phosphorylation, may provide an additional level of UHRF1 regulation; however, this area has received little attention. One study found that UHRF1 is phosphorylated by protein kinase A at Ser-298 and speculates that this corresponds to increased TOP2A gene expression (Trotzier et al., 2004). The significance of this finding is difficult to interpret, as Ser-298 is not conserved in zebrafish Uhrf1, and we have not found any change in TOP2A expression when UHRF1 levels are manipulated in cancer cells or in zebrafish (Tien et al., 2011; unpublished data). A related protein, UHRF2, does not contain the same Ser-661, but may be phosphorylated at different sites by CDK2 (Li et al., 2004), neither of which is conserved in UHRF1. We speculate that phosphorylation may be a central mechanism for regulating members of the UHRF1 family during specific cell cycle stages.

Second, the finding that UHRF1 is selectively phosphorylated in vitro by CCNA2/CDK2 complexes is unusual, as the CCNA2/CDK2 complex is more discriminating in substrate selection than the less discerning CCNE1/CDK2 pair (Kitagawa et al., 1996; Takeda et al., 2001; Wohlschlegel et al., 2001; Ukomadu and Dutta, 2003a; Su and Stumpf, 2004; Malumbres and Barbacid, 2009). Using the ccna2<sup>+</sup> mutant zebrafish, we show that ccna2 is required for in vivo phosphorylation of overexpressed UHRF1, as well as endogenous Uhrf1, in gut epithelial cells (unpublished data). Unfortunately,
there is no ccne1 mutant zebrafish to compare the effects of Ccne1 loss on UHRF1 phosphorylation. We predict that Ccne1 knockdown with a morpholino may lead to severe embryonic defects, as seen in invertebrates (Kroblach et al., 1994), or will cause cell cycle anomalies that would perturb Ccn2a synthesis. A similar caveat applies to results with ccna2hi2696 mutants, in which the cell cycle may be perturbed due to the lack of Ccn2a, so that the lack of UHRF1 phosphorylation is secondary to a cell cycle defect, not directly caused by loss of Ccn2a per se. Given that UHRF1 and CCNA2 are both expressed and function in S phase (Sadler et al., 2007), the phosphorylation of UHRF1 by CCNA2/CDK2 represents a novel and potentially important means of posttranslational regulation of UHRF1. Although the CDK2 phosphorylation site in UHRF1 is conserved in vertebrates, it does not have the canonical CY motif found in other CDK substrates, raising the possibility that the CDK/cyclin interaction with UHRF1 may occur through noncanonical motifs.

The third important and interesting finding of this study is the requirement for Uhrf1 at a stage of early vertebrate development in which there is massive reorganization of the genome. The uhrf1 mutant appears normal until 4–5 dpf, when defects in the brain, eye, liver, and gut become evident (Sadler et al., 2007; Tittle et al., 2011). This is correlated with global DNA hypomethylation (Feng et al., 2010). The survival of uhrf1 mutants to this late stage of development is likely attributed to maternally provided Uhrf1 protein and message. However, when we deplete both the maternal and zygotic Uhrf1 with a morpholino, we reveal a requirement for this gene in early embryogenesis just following the midblastula transition, when the zygotic genome is activated, (Newport and Kirschner, 1982a; Schier, 2007; Tadros and Lipshitz, 2009), and the embryos prepare for epiboly and gastrulation. At this time, there are global changes in the histone methylation pattern that are associated with both zygotic gene activation and repression (Bernstein et al., 2006; Lindeman et al., 2010a, 2010b; Vastenhouw et al., 2010). Although Uhrf1 has been implicated in both DNA methylation and several histone modifications, DNA methylation is the only epigenetic mark that has thus far been reported to depend on Uhrf1 in zebrafish (Feng et al., 2010; Tittle et al., 2011). We were unable to detect major global DNA hypomethylation in progastrula or 24-hpf morphants (unpublished data). However, this may be attributed to the sensitivity of the assay used.

Moreover, alterations in other epigenetic modifications in midblastaL ultrasound morphants could culminate in embryonic death, and these have yet to be examined.

It is interesting that not all morphants display a phenotype early in embryogenesis. However, those that do survive are dramatically affected in the tissues that have been shown to express highest levels of Uhrf1, that is, the brain, eye, liver, gut, and brachial arches (Sadler et al., 2007; Tittle et al., 2011). We speculate that morphants display defects in proliferation and increased cell death in the affected tissues, which is supported by our preliminary studies revealing a significant increase in acidine orange staining in morphants (J. Chu and K. C. Sadler, unpublished data).

How does phosphorylation at Ser-661 alter Uhrf1 function? Changing the phospho-acceptor serine to a glycine or alanine makes Uhrf1 exclusively nuclear and does not alter interaction with DNMT1 (Figure S7). However, these nonphosphorylatable forms fail to rescue the morphant phenotype, and they do not induce a phenotype when overexpressed, indicating that Uhrf1 that cannot be phosphorylated is not functional in cells of early zebrafish embryos. In contrast, substitution with a phospho-mimetic residue (glutamic acid) leads to cytoplasmic accumulation. Interestingly, although Uhrf1 is predominantly nuclear, some wild-type Uhrf1 localizes to the cytoplasm in a number of cell types (i.e., Cos7), suggesting that its entrance or exit from the nucleus may be a regulated process. It is possible that cytoplasmic Uhrf1 interacts with other proteins, perhaps retaining them in the cytoplasm or even promoting their transport into the nucleus.

In summary, we show that Uhrf1 is a substrate for cyclin A/CDK2 in vitro and in vivo, that human Uhrf1 is a functional homologue of zebrafish Uhrf1, and that phosphorylation of Uhrf1 on Ser-661 is essential for embryonic development. This phosphorylation likely alters the localization of Uhrf1 under some conditions. The effect of phosphorylation on epigenetic modifications is the focus of our ongoing studies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Zebrafish maintenance, embryo injection, and generation of transgenics**

Adult zebrafish were maintained on a 14:10 h light:dark cycle at 28°C. Wild-type Tab 5 and Tab 14 and ccna2hi2696 fish (Amsterdam et al., 2004) were used. Fertilized embryos collected following natural spawning were cultured at 28°C in fish water (0.6 g/l Crystal Sea Marinemix; Marine Enterprises International, Baltimore, MD) containing methylene blue (0.002 g/l). The Mount Sinai School of Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all protocols.

A morpholino (5′-CCACCTGAAATCCTAATGGCGGGCAAC-3′) targeting the ATG of the uhrf1 transcript, standard control morpholino (CCCTTCACCTCAGTTACATTTATA), and p53 morpholino (GCGCCATTGCTTTGCAAGAATTG) were obtained from Gene Tools (Philomath, OR). Morpholino (1.68 pg) was injected into embryos at the one- to two-cell stage using a Narishige IM-300 microinjector.

Transgenic fish expressing Uhrf1 under the heat shock promoter (Tg(hsp70:UHRF1-EGFP)) or in hepatocytes (Tg(fabp10:UHRF1-EGFP)) were created using Tol2-mediated transgenesis (Kwan et al., 2007). Two hundred picograms of the Tg construct was coj ected with 200 pg of mRNA encoding the Tol2 transposase into one-cell-stage embryos, creating F0 fish that express the transgene in a mosaic manner. At 5 dpf, embryos expressing the transgene were selected, raised to sexual maturity, and out-crossed. Heat shock protocol consisted of placing embryos in water warmed to 38°C and placing them in a 38°C incubator for 45 min. After 45 min, embryos were placed in 28.5°C incubator and monitored for transgene expression via fluorescence microscopy.

**In vitro kinase assay**

Active cyclin/CDK2 complexes were prepared as previously described (Kaldis et al., 1996). The substrate, recombinant full-length or truncated his6-UHRF1, was prepared by purification of bacterial lysate on a nickel column. Briefly, 2 µg histone H1 or recombinant full-length or truncated Uhrf1 was added to 20 ml of kinase reaction buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 10 mM MgcL2, 5 mM MnCl2, 5 mM dithiothreitol, 10 mM ATP, and 0.5 mM of [γ-32P]ATP). Ten nanograms of enzyme complex of CDK2 with either CCNA2 or CCNE1 was incubated at 30°C for 30 min and the reaction was stopped by the addition of an equal volume of 2X sample buffer containing SDS. Samples were analyzed on SDS–PAGE, stained with Coomassie blue, and processed for autoradiography.

**Antibodies**

The polyclonal antibody recognizing phosphorylated Ser-661 in Uhrf1 (α-pSer661) was generated by creating a synthetic phosphoserine peptide corresponding to residues 654–659 of human Uhrf1.
(CGPSRAGS(P04)PRRTSKKT). Following collection of preimmunization serum, rabbits were immunized with the peptide coupled to keyhole limpet hemocyanin. The resulting immune serum was screened for reactivity against UhrF1. A cognate peptide like the phosphorylated serine was also synthesized and used for affinity purification and as a blocking control. The crude antibody was used at 1:1000–1:2000 dilution for Western blots. Antibody for histological analysis was passed multiple times through a column of the cognate peptide before affinity purification with the immunizing peptide. The affinity-purified antibody was used at a dilution of 1:1000. Additionally, the following antibodies were used: anti-UHRF1 (known as ICBP90; 1:2000 except for zebrafish embryos by 1:500; BD Transduction, BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ), anti-FLAG (at 1:2000 [Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO] or 1:1000 [Stratagene, Agilent, Santa Clara, CA]), anti-myc [9E10] (1:1500; Abgent, San Diego, CA), anti-β-actin (1:5000; Sigma), anti-tubulin (1:2000; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, http://dshb.biology.uiowa.edu), and anti-DNMT1 (1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA).

Cell lines and transfections
HEK293T, HCT116, and Cos7 cells were obtained from ATCC. Cell lines were grown in monolayer in appropriate growth media with 10% fetal bovine serum. Transfections were performed using Invitrogen Lipofectamine 2000 (Carlsbad, CA).

Fluorescence imaging
Tissue culture and zebrafish sections were counterstained with Vectashield containing 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) and imaged on a Leica TCS SFS confocal microscope. Images were pseudocolored using Leica LAS AF Lite (Leica Microsystems, Buffalo Grove, IL) or ImageJ software and were further manipulated, if required, using Adobe Photoshop (San Jose, CA).

Cloning
cDNA corresponding to the open reading frame of human UhrF1 (nucleotides 169–2550, accession number NM_001048201) was cloned directionally into the SpeI and EcoRI site of the mammalian plasmid expression vector pEF-F-N. cDNA of zebrafish UhrF1 was obtained from Open Biosystems (GenBank Accession BC058055; Thermo Scientific, Lafayette, CO). Ser-661 to glycine and alanine variants (UHRF1S661G, UHRF1S661A, and UhrF1S661A) were generated using the Stratagene QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). To generate bacterial derived full-length and deletion mutants of UhrF1, we cloned full-length or truncated PCR products of human cDNA into pet28A vector. Invitrogen pCR8/GW/TOPO TA Cloning Kit, in combination with the appropriate vectors for mRNA expression in zebrafish (Villefranc et al., 2007), was used to make the myc-tag UhrF1 constructs for injection into zebrafish embryos (pCS3-6XMT-UHRF1). GFP-tagged UhrF1 used in tissue culture cells was generated using pDest53 from Invitrogen. Degenerate sequencing primers surrounding the zebrafish UhrF1 ATG site were used to ensure no significant overlap with morpholino sequence would occur. Supplemental Table S1 lists primers used for generating constructs. Human Myc-DNMT1 was obtained from X. Li (Mount Sinai School of Medicine).

mRNA rescue
To ensure that the mRNA encoding zebrafish Uhrf1 would not be targeted by the morpholino, we placed six Myc epitopes following the start site of translation, which is the site of action by which morpholinos block translation, and used degeneracy of the genetic code to alter the mRNA sequence of zebrafish uhrf1 to create significant mismatch with morpholino (Figure S3). To rescue uhrf1 morphants, the pCS 3MT DEST vector (Villefranc et al., 2007) containing the coding sequence for human UhrF1 (wild-type or mutants) or zebrafish Uhrf1 was linearized with Nsil, and capped mRNA was synthesized using mMESSAGE mMACHINE (Ambion, Austin, TX). mRNA was purified using lithium chloride, diluted in RNase-free water, and either injected alone or coinjected with 1.68 pg of uhrf1 morpholino into embryos prior to the four-cell stage.

Immunoprecipitation, phosphatase assay, and Western blots
HCT116 cells were harvested and lysed in detergent lysis buffer. The lysate was divided into four equal parts, and each was immunoprecipitated with anti-UHRF1 antibody. The immunoprecipitate was washed three times in sample buffer, which was followed by phosphatase buffer devoid of manganese (50 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, and 0.01% Brij-35). Each pellet was resuspended in 30 μl of phosphatase buffer containing 1 mM of MnCl2 and 1 μl of λ-phosphatase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) to add to two of the four samples. Samples were incubated at 30°C for 30 min and reaction was terminated by the addition of 1X Laemmli sample buffer. Immunoprecipitation of FLAG-UHRF1 and MYC-DNMT1 from 293T cells was carried out similar manner, except cells were collected in CO-IP buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaF, and 1% nonidet P-40 with fresh protease inhibitor) and incubated overnight with anti-FLAG-conjugated beads (Sigma) or Negative Control Mouse IgG2a (Dako, Carpinteria, CA) plus Pierce protein A/G agarose beads (Thermo Scientific). Lysates were also washed three times in CO-IP buffer, with a final wash in 50 mM Tris (pH 7.4).

Western blots on cell lysates were performed as previously described (Ukomadu and Dutta, 2003b). For Western blots on zebrafish embryos, 10 embryos at 6 or 24 hpf were homogenized in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 2 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, and protease inhibitors) and centrifuged, and a 1:5 volume of sample buffer was added to the supernatant to achieve 2% SDS, 5% 2-mercaptoethanol.
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