Case report

Juvenile xanthogranuloma involving concurrent iris and skin: Clinical, pathological and molecular pathological evaluations
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Abstract

Purpose: To report a case of juvenile xanthogranuloma involving the iris and skin that clinically was diagnosed with an obvious cutaneous lesion.

Observations: A four month-old girl with hyphema and increased intraocular pressure of the left eye persisting for 2 weeks. A suspicious yellow-brown mass with nodular surface and traversed by irregular vascularization was noted on the inferior iris surface. Ultrasound biomicroscopy (UBM; 35 MHz) of the mass revealed multiple nodular irregular hyperreflective lesions in the peripheral iris. Using a biopsy of an obvious cutaneous abdominal skin lesion a diagnosis was made based on histopathological analyses. The biopsy showed dense dermal infiltrate consisting of foamy histiocytes. Additional stains revealed CD68 positivity and CD1a and S100 negativity. This mass revealed histopathologic features identical to juvenile xanthogranuloma and was concurrent with the iris lesion. Next-generation sequencing using Ion AmpliSeqTM Cancer Hotspot Panel revealed a missense mutation of FGFR3 (p.F386L).

Conclusion and importance: The diagnosis of a xanthogranuloma of the iris with hyphema can be made easier in patients with obvious cutaneous lesions as described in our case. The significance of FGFR3 mutation in association with JXG is unknown and should be further investigated.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Juvenile xanthogranuloma (JXG) is a rare benign histiocytic proliferation most common in infants and young children. Typically it manifests primarily as a self-limited dermatologic disorder and consists of solitary or multifocal yellow-pink cutaneous nodules. JXG can rarely be associated with extracutaneous sites including mostly the eye. Ocular JXG typically manifests as a circumscribed iris nodule, occasionally with hyphema and elevated intraocular pressure. We describe a case of nodular iris JXG with associated skin lesion of which an additional molecular-pathological examination was performed.

2. Case report

2.1. Clinical history

A four month-old girl was referred to our eye clinic for cloudiness and redness of the left eye which had persisted for 2 weeks. The medical history including pregnancy and birth was unremarkable. The child presented with an increased intraocular pressure (IOP) of the left eye of 40 mm Hg (right eye 16 mm Hg), mild edema of the cornea and primary hyphema up to the pupillary edge which later developed into a “pseudohypopyon”. Slight anisocoria was observed. To reduce the IOP, treatment was started with local acetazolamide and β-blocker (twice a day) and topical steroid (three times a day). Ten days later, left eye, IOP had decreased to 16 mm Hg and hyphema regressed. A suspicious yellow-brown mass with irregular vascularisation was noted on the inferior iris surface. In addition, heterochromia with green left iris and blue right iris was obvious.

During further physical examination we found one yellowish-brown papule on the abdomen of the child (Fig. 2A). Under general anaesthesia, ultrasound biomicroscopy of the yellow brown mass on the iris surface (UBM; 35 MHz) revealed multiple nodular irregular hyperreflective lesions in the peripheral iris between 2 and 7 o’clock, growing into the anterior chamber angle and towards the corneal endothelium. The pigmented epithelium of the iris was intact, the ciliary body was not involved (Fig. 1A and B).
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Fluorescence angiography of the iris showed slight staining in the late phase. Retinal examination of the posterior pole of both eyes and B-scan echography showed no lesion in the vitreous, retina/choroid or optic nerve thus a retinoblastoma was ruled out. Under the same general anaesthesia the papule on the abdomen was totally excised and submitted for histological examination.

2.2. Dermal pathology

Histology of the cutaneous abdominal skin lesion showed a dense dermal infiltrate consisting of histiocytes, some lymphocytes and plasma cells without Touton giant cells. The overlying epidermis was focally attenuated. The histiocytes were mostly mononuclear with a narrow cytoplasmic rim and cytoplasmic vacuoles, reaching focally up to the epidermis. Immunohistochemically, the histiocytic phenotype was confirmed with a positive staining for CD68. Langerhans cell histiocytosis was ruled out with a negative reaction for CD1a and S100. A malignant lymphoma could be excluded (Fig. 2).

2.3. Molecular pathology

DNA was extracted from paraffin tissue. Next-generation sequencing from the tumor tissue was performed using an Ion AmpliSeqTM Cancer Hotspot Panel v2: A BRAF mutation as described for Langerhans cell histiocytosis could be excluded. Instead, FGFR3 missense mutation (p.F386L) was found, so far undescribed in Juvenile Xanthogranuloma (Fig. 3).

Treatment was initiated with topical high-dose corticosteroid (every 2 hours during waking hours and ointment at bedtime) with a slow taper. During the next month the iris lesion decreased completely without recurrence of hyphae or iritis and after three weeks the therapy with corticosteroids was stopped (Fig. 1C and D). However a secondary esotropia with deep amblyopia of the left eye was observed. Visual acuity (VA) was measured using Teller Acuity Cards. VA was 4.8 cy/cm at 38 cm (corresponding to VA 20/180) in the right eye and 0.86 cy/cm at 38 cm (corresponding to VA 20/1000) in left eye. After correction of the hyperopia with sph +4.0 glasses for both eyes as well as an occlusion therapy with patching of the non-amblyopic eye for 2.5 hours/day were started. After 5 months the visual outcome increased in the left eye to 2.4 cy/cm (corresponding to VA 20/360; lower limit of normal value).

2.4. Diagnosis

Diagnosis of juvenile xanthogranuloma (JXG) was made based on the histology obtained from a cutaneous lesion. Genetically, a missense mutation of the FGFR3 gene (p.F386L) with unknown significance was found.

3. Discussion

JXG is a rare benign histiocytic proliferation most common as benign cutaneous disorder in infants and young children. It is regarded as the common form of non-Langerhans cell histiocytosis. Extracutaneous JXG can affect the eye and ocular adnexa as well as central nervous system, lung, liver, spleen and other sites. Eye involvement is rather rare with a range of 0.3%–10% of children with cutaneous JXG. Ocular JXG preferentially affects the iris and can potentially lead to blinding complications such as hyphema, secondary glaucoma and amblyopia. In a survey of 449 iris tumors occurred in children (less than 20 years old) 14 (3%) were xanthogranulomatous lesions. In another study of Chang MW et al. cutaneous lesions have been found in up to 40% of children with ocular JXG.

Spontaneous hyphema with or without increase of intraocular pressure – in our case with an increased IOP - is a common sign of iris JXG. The differential diagnosis of hyphema in childhood includes trauma, neoplastic conditions like retinoblastoma,
Fig. 2. Histopathological analyses of the skin lesion. A. Abdominal skin lesion used for histopathological evaluation. B. Hematoxylin and eosin staining (20x) showing dense dermal infiltrate consisting of histiocytes, some lymphocytes and plasma cells. Touton giant cells were not detected. A 60x magnification of the respective area is shown in the lower right corner. C. Positive CD68 staining (20x) for confirmation of the histiocytic phenotype. D. Negative CD1a and S100 staining (20x) ruling out Langerhans cell histiocytosis.

Fig. 3. Molecular pathological analyses of the skin lesion. Next-generation sequencing using Ion AmpliSeqTM Cancer Hotspot Panel v2 revealing FGFR3 (p.F386L) missense mutation.
medulloepithelioma and leukemia, retinopathy of prematurity and blood dyscrasias and should clinically be ruled out.\(^5\)

UBM as well as anterior segment optical coherence tomography can help confirm the diagnosis, demonstrating the iris nodular lesions with intact iris pigment epithelium like in our case or demonstrating flat lesions on the iris surface in other pattern of JXG.\(^5\) In atypical presentations and lesions of poor response to steroid a fine-needle aspiration biopsy is recommended.\(^6\) The diagnosis can be easier made in patients with obvious cutaneous lesions like in our case.

Pathologically a Langerhans cell histiocytosis has been excluded with a CD 1a negative staining of the histiocytes. In early stage of JXG toulon giant cells could not be detected like in our case.\(^10\) The pathogenesis of JXG is still unclear. Although well-characterised by morphology and immunohistology the genetic profile of JXG is not yet elucidated.

Genetically a missense mutation of \(FGFR3\) (p.F386L) was found. The \(FGFR3\) p.F386L mutation has been reported in patients with cancer\(^11\) and aggressive fibromatosis.\(^12\) In addition \(FGFR3\) mutations have been identified in benign acanthotic skin tumors such as in seborrheic keratoses and epidermal nevi.\(^13\) However, the biological significance of this mutation in JXG is unknown.

Therapeutically there is no standard of care for the ophthalmic manifestation of JXG. Nonsurgical approaches are preferred because of less risk of intraocular bleeding. Corticosteroids are recommended as principal therapy. Topical application starting with a regimen every 2 hours a day, then slowly tapering over a time period of 3–4 month would be preferred.\(^5\) Systemic corticosteroids are generally avoided and only considered in uncontrolled lesions of the iris. The control rate with topical corticosteroids alone is 63% with a mean duration of 8 weeks.\(^5\) To avoid the danger of amblyopia the therapy should be started as fast as possible. In our case a secondary esotropia with deep amblyopia of the left eye was observed which required an adequate therapy. In two children with JXG refractory to local administration of corticosteroid the successfull use of off-label intraocular bevacizumab was reported. Bevacizumab was administered leading to reduced vascularity and flattening of the JXG lesion 3 months after treatment. The authors suggest that intraocular bevacizumab has a lower risk of cataract formation and secondary glaucoma than topical corticosteroids.\(^15\)

### 4. Conclusions

In summary we report a case of JXG based on the histology obtained from a cutaneous lesion. Genetically a missense mutation within the \(FGFR3\) gene was found. The biological significance of this mutation in JXG is unknown. Clinically imaging of the iris with fluorescein angiography, UBM aided in the detection and the differential diagnosis of the iris lesion leading to hyphema and secondary glaucoma. With use of topical corticosteroids the JXG was successfully treated, however a secondary esotropia with deep amblyopia of the left eye was observed.
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