Mechanistic insight into eukaryotic 60S ribosomal subunit biogenesis by cryo-electron microscopy
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ABSTRACT
Eukaryotic ribosomes, the protein-producing factories of the cell, are composed of four ribosomal RNA (rRNA) molecules and roughly 80 proteins. Their biogenesis is a complex process that involves more than 200 biogenesis factors that facilitate the production, modification, and assembly of ribosomal components and the structural transitions along the maturation pathways of the pre-ribosomal particles. Here, I review recent structural and mechanistic insights into the biogenesis of the large ribosomal subunit that were furthered by cryo-electron microscopy of natively purified pre-60S particles and in vitro reconstituted ribosome assembly factor complexes. Combined with biochemical, genetic, and previous structural data, these structures have provided detailed insights into the assembly and maturation of the central protuberance of the 60S subunit, the network of biogenesis factors near the ribosomal tunnel exit, and the functional activation of the large ribosomal subunit during cytoplasmic maturation.
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INTRODUCTION
Ribosomes are giant ribonucleoprotein complexes that synthesize proteins in all living cells. The eukaryotic 80S ribosome is composed of four ribosomal RNA (rRNA) molecules and roughly 80 proteins that form the 40S small and 60S large ribosomal subunits (Klinge et al. 2012; Melnikov et al. 2012). The small subunit binds and decodes the messenger RNA (mRNA) by selection of cognate aminoacyl-transfer RNAs (tRNAs) in its decoding center, whereas the large subunit catalyzes the chemistry of peptide bond formation in its peptidyl transferase center (PTC) active site (Schmeing and Ramakrishnan 2009). The large subunit harbors a number of additional important functional centers (Fig. 1), including (i) the polypeptide exit tunnel (Nissen et al. 2000; Voss et al. 2006), which provides the exit path for the newly synthesized protein; (ii) a binding platform for nascent chain targeting, processing, and folding factors at the exit of the tunnel (Kramer et al. 2009); and (iii) the GTPase-activating center between the P-stalk and the sarcin-ricin loop (SRL), where translational GTPases are recruited and activated (Diaconu et al. 2005; Gao et al. 2009; Voorhees et al. 2010). The L1 stalk and the 5S RNA-containing central protuberance (CP) are additional landmark features of the 60S subunit (Fig. 1).

While the high-resolution structures of the 40S and 60S subunits as well as the 80S ribosome of both lower and higher eukaryotes have provided detailed insight into the molecular architecture of eukaryotic ribosomes (Ben-Shem et al. 2011; Klinge et al. 2011; Rabl et al. 2011; Voorhees et al. 2014; Khatter et al. 2015), much remains to be learned about how these particles are made. Functional ribosomal subunits are produced in an intricate process termed ribosome assembly or ribosome biogenesis, which is under tight transcriptional control (Warner 1999). Failure of ribosome biogenesis can lead to diseases known as ribosomopathies, while up-regulated ribosome assembly is found in many cancers (Teng et al. 2013). Ribosome assembly has been investigated extensively in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae as well as in the human system. Many aspects of human ribosome biogenesis are conserved in yeast, which has therefore served as a model system for much biochemical, genetic, proteomics, and structural work on this pathway, including the study of human diseases associated with defective ribosome assembly (Woolford and Baserga 2013). The remainder of this section will therefore focus on yeast ribosome biogenesis (Fig. 2).

Several hundred assembly factors contribute to ribosome biogenesis by supporting the processing, modification, and folding of rRNAs, by facilitating the assembly of ribosomal proteins into pre-ribosomes, by enabling the nuclear export of pre-ribosomal particles, and by performing proofreading roles to ensure completion of upstream steps of biogenesis.
before later processes are initiated (Karbstein 2013; Woolford and Baserga 2013; Gerhardy et al. 2014). Ribosome biogenesis starts in the nucleolus, where RNA polymerase I transcribes the 35S pre-rRNA, which contains the sequences for the 18S, 25S, and 5.8S rRNAs as well as internal and external transcribed spacers (Henras et al. 2008, 2014). The nascent 35S transcript assembles with the U3 small nucleolar ribonucleoprotein (U3 snoRNP), additional box C/D and H/ACA snoRNPs, 40S subunit assembly factors, and early-binding ribosomal proteins to produce the first pre-ribosomal particle, which is termed the SSU processome, and sediments at 90S (Dragon et al. 2002; Grandi et al. 2002; Phipps et al. 2011; Woolford and Baserga 2013; Chaker-Margot et al. 2015; Kornprobst et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2016). The U3 snoRNP in the SSU processome is important for pre-rRNA cleavage at site A2 in the internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) (Hughes and Ares 1991), which has been suggested to be carried out by the PIN domain nuclease Utp24 (Wells et al. 2016) and can occur co- or post-transcriptionally in yeast (Udem and Warner 1972; Osheim et al. 2004; Kos and Tollervey 2010). A2-cleavage leads to the separation of the 43S and 66S pre-ribosomal particles (Trapman et al. 1975), which subsequently embark on distinct maturation pathways to form the 40S and 60S ribosomal subunits (Woolford and

**FIGURE 1.** Structure of the yeast 60S ribosomal subunit. (A) View of the 60S subunit from the interface side. (B) View of the 60S subunit from the solvent side. Structural and functional hallmarks of the 60S subunit discussed in the text are colored and labeled. Visualization based on PDB 4V88 and PDB 3J7R (L1 stalk).

**FIGURE 2.** Simplified schematic of ribosome assembly in yeast. Ribosomal protein mRNAs are transcribed by RNA polymerase II (Pol II) and translated in the cytoplasm. A large number of ribosomal proteins are then imported into the nucleus, while a few ribosomal proteins assemble into late cytoplasmic ribosome biogenesis intermediates. In the nucleolus, Pol I and Pol III transcribe the 35S pre-rRNA and 5S rRNA precursors, respectively. The 35S pre-rRNA is incorporated into the SSU processome, where the U3 snoRNP-dependent A2 cleavage separates the nascent large and small subunits, which subsequently undergo maturation and nuclear export independently. The exact timing of the incorporation of the pre-assembled 5S RNP into the nascent large subunit has not yet been determined. Final maturation takes place in the cytoplasm. Shuttling factors that travel from the nucleus to the cytoplasm bound to pre-ribosomal particles are recycled into the nucleus to participate in new rounds of ribosome biogenesis. See text for further details.
Molecular mechanisms of 60S subunit biogenesis

Baserga 2013; Gerhardy et al. 2014). While the nascent 40S subunits are rapidly exported to the cytoplasm, the biogenesis of the 60S ribosomal subunit progresses through several nucleolar and nucleoplasmic assembly and maturation steps that give rise to defined pre-ribosomal particles. These are characterized by the arrival and departure of certain sets of assembly factors and energy-consuming enzymes as well as the accompanying structural transitions of the nascent 60S particle (Bassler et al. 2001; Harnpicharnchai et al. 2001; Saveau et al. 2001, 2003; Fatica et al. 2002; Nissan et al. 2002; Kressler et al. 2012b; Gerhardy et al. 2014). Additionally, several pre-rRNA cleavage steps, including the removal of ITS2, which is inserted between the sequences for the mature 25S and 5.8S rRNAs in the 27S pre-rRNA species, are required to generate the mature large subunit rRNAs (Henras et al. 2008, 2014; Fernandez-Pevida et al. 2015). Upon completion of the nuclear steps of ribosome assembly, the pre-60S particle recruits nuclear export receptors and is exported to the cytoplasm, where it can enter the pool of translating ribosomes after undergoing final cytoplasmic maturation (Johnson et al. 2002; Zemp and Kutay 2007; Panse and Johnson 2010). Many of the assembly factors released during cytoplasmic maturation are so-called “shuttling factors,” which travel to the cytoplasm bound to the pre-60S subunit during nuclear export and return to the nucleus to participate in new rounds of ribosome biogenesis after their release from the maturing pre-ribosome (Panse and Johnson 2010; Gerhardy et al. 2014).

Biochemical, proteomics, cell biological, and genetics experiments as well as structural studies of isolated ribosome assembly factors by X-ray crystallography and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy have uncovered many aspects of the fascinating and intricate process of eukaryotic ribosome biosynthesis. However, for a complete mechanistic understanding of the 60S assembly process, detailed structural data of pre-60S particles and the interactions of assembly factors on the nascent 60S subunit are crucial. While early cryo-electron microscopic (cryo-EM) visualizations of Nmd3 and eIF6 on the yeast 60S particle (Gartmann et al. 2001; Sengupta et al. 2010) and the X-ray crystal structure of the Tetrahymena thermophila 60S-eIF6 complex (Klinge et al. 2011) provided a first glimpse of the interactions of these assembly factors with the 60S subunit, more detailed insight into the complex interaction networks of assembly factors on the pre-60S particle has only recently started to emerge. Cryo-EM reconstructions of native pre-60S particles at subnanometer and near-atomic resolutions have characterized the architecture of three nuclear biogenesis intermediates, the Nog2, Arx1, and Rix1 particles (Fig. 3A,B; Bradatsch et al. 2012; Leidig et al. 2014; Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2016), which were isolated from native source using tandem affinity purification (TAP) (Puig et al. 2001). Even though they were purified using different bait proteins, the Nog2 and Arx1 particles show a very similar overall architecture. These structures enabled the localization and structural analysis of a large number of biogenesis factors (Wu et al. 2016), revealed a large-scale rearrangement of the 5S RNP during its incorporation at the CP of the nascent 60S subunit (Leidig et al. 2014), and provided insight into the regulation of pre-60S maturation by the giant dynein-like ATPase Rei1 (Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016). Cryo-EM reconstructions of partially or fully in vitro assembled particles also reached near-atomic resolutions and enabled the detailed analysis of the interactions of ribosome assembly factors on the large ribosomal subunit (Fig. 3C–F). The structure of the 60S-Arx1-Alb1-Rei1 complex (Fig. 3C) allowed the building of atomic coordinate models for Arx1 and Rei1 and demonstrated the insertion of Rei1 into the ribosomal polypeptide exit tunnel (Greber et al. 2016), while reconstructions of complexes of the anti-association factor eIF6 (Tif6 in yeast) and its release factors SBDS and EFL1 (Sdo1 and Efl1/Ria1 in yeast, respectively) on the 60S subunit (Fig. 3D) provided important insights into the functional activation of the 60S particle during the final steps of cytoplasmic maturation (Weis et al. 2015).

This rapid progress has been enabled by recent technological breakthroughs in cryo-EM, a structural biology technique that allows the determination of high-resolution structures (Fig. 3E,F) of large molecular assemblies from small amounts of sample and without the need for crystallization (Bai et al. 2015a; Nogales and Scheres 2015). Here, I review these recent structural studies of the biogenesis of the 60S ribosomal subunit in the context of existing functional data and discuss how they have contributed to a more complete mechanistic understanding of 60S ribosomal subunit biogenesis.

The architecture of the immature central protuberance in nucleoplasmic 60S biogenesis intermediates

The most upstream nucleoplasmic 60S biogenesis intermediates for which detailed structural data have been obtained are the Nog2 and Arx1 particles (Bradatsch et al. 2012; Leidig et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2016). Nog2 is a GTPase that has been implicated in the control and coordination of various steps of pre-60S maturation (Saveau et al. 2001, 2003; Talkish et al. 2012; Matsu et al. 2014), while Arx1 is a ribosome assembly and nuclear export factor with homology to methionine amino-peptidase (MAP) enzymes (Hung and Johnson 2006; Bradatsch et al. 2007; Kowalinski et al. 2007). Because Arx1 is a shuttling factor that initially binds to early nuclear pre-60S precursors and is released only after export to the cytoplasm, the protein is found associated with a wide range of pre-60S particles (Nissan et al. 2002). The cryo-EM reconstruction of the Arx1 particle (Bradatsch et al. 2012; Leidig et al. 2014) contains the assembly factors Rsa4 and the Rrp2-Rrs1 complex (see below), indicating that this structure represents a relatively early nuclear biogenesis intermediate preceding the Rix1 particle (Leidig et al. 2014). The overall architecture of the Arx1 particle is very similar to the most abundant form of the Nog2-containing
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particle, the structure of which was determined at near-atomic resolution (Wu et al. 2016). These cryo-EM reconstructions revealed that the solvent side of these pre-ribosomal subunits is already very close to the mature state, while a region that extends from the polypeptide tunnel exit toward the subunit interface side and up to the CP (Fig. 3A), where several ribosomal domains or RNA helices are observed in immature conformations, is covered by a continuous network of biogenesis factors (Bradatsch et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2016). This pattern matches a hierarchical model of 60S subunit formation deduced from the order of ribosomal protein association, according to which the solvent side of the 60S subunit is formed first, while the exit tunnel, the subunit interface, and the CP are formed subsequently (Gamalinda et al. 2014; de la Cruz et al. 2015). Several biogenesis factors are bound near the ribosomal functional centers, including Mrt4 at the P-stalk base, Nog1 between the P-stalk base and the PTC active site, Nog2 at the subunit interface near the PTC, eIF6 near the SRL of the ribosomal GTPase-activating center, and Arx1 and the C-terminal extension of Nog1 at the tunnel exit (Fig. 3A; Bradatsch et al. 2012; Leidig et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2016). This arrangement of biogenesis factors suggests that all functional centers of the 60S subunit, some of them still immature at this point, are protected by assembly factors, some of which may also be involved in proofreading (see section “Probing and proofreading of functional centers of the nascent 60S subunit”).

A considerable number of additional biogenesis factors are bound at two hallmark features of the immature pre-60S particle, the foot structure and the strongly rearranged CP (Fig. 4). In the Arx1 particle and the most abundant form of the Nog2 particle, the 5S RNP (consisting of the 5S rRNA and proteins uL5 and uL18; protein names in this review follow the unified nomenclature for ribosomal proteins; Ban et al. 2014) is rotated by roughly 180° relative to its position in the mature subunit (Fig. 4A,B; Ben-Shem et al. 2011; Leidig et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2016). In the immediate vicinity of the rotated 5S RNP in the immature CP, rRNA helix 38 (H38), also termed the A-site finger, as well as helices H82–H88 that eventually form the mature CP, are rearranged, indicating that large structural rearrangements have to occur in this area after the initial incorporation of the 5S RNP (Fig. 4A,B; Leidig et al. 2014). Notably, rRNA H84 engages in a native-like interaction with protein uL5 of the 5S RNP, indicating that it may serve as an initial docking site during assembly (Leidig et al. 2014; Calviño et al. 2015).

Together with biochemical, structural, and genetic data that indicate that the 5S RNP is initially assembled away
from the ribosome and docked onto the pre-60S particle as a preformed module, these observations reveal a detailed mechanism of the initial assembly pathway of the immature CP. The ribosomal proteins uL5 and uL18, which form the protein component of the 5S RNP, are imported into the nucleolus in a concerted manner by the transport adaptor and chaperone Syo1, which recruits the import receptor Kap104 (Kressler et al. 2012a). After binding of the 5S rRNA to the ternary Syo1–uL5–uL18 complex (Kressler et al. 2012a; Calviño et al. 2015), the pre-assembled 5S RNP is incorporated into very early pre-ribosomal particles aided by the assembly factor complex Rpf2-Rrs1 (Zhang et al. 2007). In agreement with a role in 5S RNP recruitment and stabilization of the immature CP, the Rpf2-Rrs1 complex in the Arx1 and Nog2 pre-60S particles is located at the interface between the rotated 5S RNP and the RNA helices that later form the base of the mature CP (Asano et al. 2015; Kharde et al. 2015; Madru et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2016), along with the biogenesis factor Rsa4 (Figs. 3A, 4C,D; Leidig et al. 2014). The high-resolution structure of the Nog2 particle (Wu et al. 2016) showed that helical extensions of both Rrs1 and Rpf2 form interactions at the base of the CP (Fig. 4D) and confirmed that Rrs1 interacts with the protein components of the 5S RNP and the biogenesis factor Rsa4, while Rpf2 forms extensive interactions with the 5S rRNA, uL18, and Rsa4 (Fig. 4C,D; Kharde et al. 2015; Madru et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2016).

**Structural insights into pre-rRNA maturation and ITS2 processing**

The generation of the mature 25S and 5.8S large subunit rRNAs requires the removal of two internal transcribed
spacers, ITS1 and ITS2 (Fig. 4E). In addition to several endo- and exonuclease enzymes, the processing of ITS1 in the 27Sa3 rRNA requires a set of assembly factors known as A3-factors, while ITS2 processing at the 27Sb rRNA stage requires the so-called B-factors (Wooford and Baserga 2013; Henras et al. 2014). The assembly of the A3-cluster and the B-factors is functionally connected because the recruitment of several B-factors to the pre-60S particle depends on ribosomal proteins uL22, uL29, and eL37 near the tunnel exit (Gamalinda et al. 2013), which in turn rely on the A3-factors for their binding to the pre-60S particle (Sahasranaman et al. 2011). During ITS1 processing, the A3-factors may function to support the folding of the pre-rRNA and the recruitment of ribosomal proteins to enable the formation of the structural scaffold required for pre-rRNA processing (Granneman et al. 2011; Sahasranaman et al. 2011; Jakovljevic et al. 2012; Wooford and Baserga 2013). Biochemical analysis revealed that several A3-factors bind to ITS2 sequences (Granneman et al. 2011; Babiano et al. 2013; Dembowski et al. 2013), suggesting that they function in both ITS1 and ITS2 processing.

The foot structure (Bradatsch et al. 2012), which is the second hallmark of the immature Arx1 and Nog2 particles—the first one being the immature structure of the CP—is a large mass of density observed near the 3′-end of the 5.8S rRNA and the 5′-end of the 25S rRNA (Figs. 1B, 3A, 4F) and harbors the partially processed ITS2 (Leidig et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2016). In agreement with a functional role of A3-factors in ITS2 processing, the cryo-EM structure of the Arx1 particle and the near-atomic resolution structure of the Nog2 particle revealed that the A3-factors Rlp7, Nop7, Nop15, and Cic1, along with the biogenesis factor Nop53, assemble around ITS2 segments in the foot that extend from the 5′-end of the 25S rRNA and the 3′-end of the 5.8S rRNA (Fig. 4G; Leidig et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2016). During ITS2 processing, the components of the four-subunit Las1 complex perform the Las1-mediated initial incision at site C2 as well as the Rat1-dependent trimming of the 5′-end of the resulting 25.5S rRNA (Geerlings et al. 2000; Gasse et al. 2015). The A3-factors in the foot may regulate ITS2 processing by controlling the access of these nucleases to the packaged ITS2 RNA (Wu et al. 2016), in agreement with the finding that the Rat1 exonuclease, one of the subunits of the Las1 complex (Gasse et al. 2015), degrades rather than processes pre-rRNAs in A3-factor mutants (Sahasranaman et al. 2011). The biogenesis factor Nop53 found in the pre-60S foot binds the exosome-associated helicase Mtr4 (Thoms et al. 2015), thereby mediating the pre-ribosomal recruitment of the nuclear exosome, which participates in the conversion of the 7S pre-rRNA produced by C2 cleavage to the mature 5.8S rRNA (Mitchell et al. 1996, 1997; Henras et al. 2014; Fernandez-Pevida et al. 2015).

In addition to the processing enzyme complexes themselves and the constituents of the foot structure, processing of ITS2 requires the B-factors, a hierarchically assembling network of 60S maturation factors (Saveanu et al. 2001, 2003; Talkish et al. 2012). The B-factors include Rlp24, eIF6, and Nog1 (Basu et al. 2001; Jensen et al. 2003; Saveanu et al. 2003), which are located near the GTPase-activating center of the 60S subunit, as well as Rpf2, Rrs1, and Nsa2 (Morita et al. 2002; Lebreton et al. 2006a; Zhang et al. 2007), which have been localized near the CP (Figs. 3A, 4F; Bassler et al. 2014; Leidig et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2016). The two branches of the B-factor recruitment pathway near the CP and near the GTPase-activating center converge at the GTPase-activating center toward the mature 60S particle (Talkish et al. 2012). All B-factors that have been localized in the existing cryo-EM structures of pre-60S particles (Bradatsch et al. 2012; Leidig et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2016) are relatively far removed from the site of ITS2 processing (Fig. 4F), indicating that they may function in the ITS2 maturation pathway by coordinating pre-rRNA processing with maturation events at other sites of the pre-60S particle, such as the CP, rather than by participating in the processing reactions directly (see next section).

Maturation of the central protuberance and checkpoint control of nuclear export factor recruitment

The first detailed structural insights into the maturation steps from the Arx1 and Nog2 particles toward nuclear export competence came from the cryo-EM reconstruction of the Rix1 particle (Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016). The Rix1 particle contains the Rix1-Ipi1-Ipi3 subcomplex (Rix1 complex), which is likely a pentameric assembly containing Rix1, Ipi1, and Ipi3 in 2:1:2 stoichiometry (Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016) and the giant (550 kDa) dynein-like AAA7-type ATPase Rea1 (Nissan et al. 2002, 2004). Depletion of the Rix1 complex or Rea1 in yeast leads to similar 60S biogenesis defects, including impairment of ITS2 processing and nuclear export (Galani et al. 2004; Krogan et al. 2004). In addition to the AAA ATPase ring, Rea1 contains a highly mobile tail domain (Ulbrich et al. 2009), which harbors a metal ion-dependent adhesion site (MIDAS) (Garbarino and Gibbons 2002). The MIDAS interacts with the Rea1 substrates Ytm1 in the nucleolus (Bassler et al. 2010) and Rs4 in the nucleoplasm (Ulbrich et al. 2009) to allow the ATP-driven mechanochemoval removal of these biogenesis factors from the maturing pre-60S particle (Fig. 4H; Ulbrich et al. 2009; Kressler et al. 2012b). Ytm1 forms part of a larger complex with the biogenesis factors Erb1 and Nop7 (Miles et al. 2005; Tang et al. 2008; Wegrecki et al. 2015; Thoms et al. 2016), which are therefore also affected by the remodeling activity of Rea1. Two-dimensional negative stain EM analysis of pre-60S particles containing Rea1 revealed a tadpole-like particle, with a globular body formed by the main mass of the pre-60S subunit and a highly mobile tail harboring the Rea1 tail domain (Nissan et al. 2004). The AAA motor domains of Rea1 and the Rix1 complex localize to the
connection between tail and body of the "tadpole" (schematic in Fig. 4H; Nissan et al. 2004; Ulbrich et al. 2009). A direct physical interaction between Rix1 and Rea1 that depends on the C-terminal segment of Rix1 and a sequence insertion in the second ATPase module of Rea1 is important for Rea1 recruitment to the pre-60S particle and functional ribosome biogenesis (Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016).

In overall agreement with these assignments based on low-resolution negative stain analysis, the cryo-EM reconstruction of the Rix1 particle revealed large additional densities for Rea1 and the Rix1 complexes near the CP (Fig. 3B; Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016). Furthermore, the hallmarks of the Arx1 and Nog2 particles located upstream in the 60S maturation pathway (Bradatsch et al. 2012; Leidig et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2016) are no longer present in this complex: The 5S RNP in the Rix1 particle has assumed a nonrotated and near-mature conformation (Fig. 4I), and the foot structure harboring the partially processed ITS2 with its associated biogenesis factors has been removed (Fig. 3B; Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016). Interestingly, both the foot removal and the remodeling of the CP fail in the presence of Rix1 mutations that impair the recruitment of Rea1, while ATPase-deficient Rea1 mutants do not lead to retention of the foot or the rotated 5S RNP, indicating that these two maturation events depend on the binding of Rea1 but not its ATPase activity (Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016). Because Rsa4 is linked to the assembly factor Nsa2, this step induces a cascade of structural rearrangements relayed through Nsa2, the removal of which eventually allows accommodation of rRNA H89 in its mature conformation near the PTC (Fig. 4B; Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016; Bassler et al. 2014). Subsequent acquisition of export competence requires GTP hydrolysis by Nog2 (Fig. 5), whose presence in the pre-60S particle inhibits recruitment of the export receptor Nmd3 because of an extensive overlap between the binding sites of these two maturation factors, as determined by biochemical (Matsuo et al. 2014) and structural analysis (Sengupta et al. 2010; Wu et al. 2016). Additionally, Nog2 likely stabilizes an immature, splayed-out conformation of H71 at the subunit interface, as observed in the Nog2, Arx1, and Rix1 particles (Fig. 4I; Leidig et al. 2014; Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2016), which may further impede Nmd3 association. The remodeling activity of Rea1 and the requirement for Nog2 GTPase activation may act in concert to establish a checkpoint that allows export factor recruitment and subsequent nuclear export only after completion of the maturation of the CP (Matsuo et al. 2014; Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016). It is interesting to note that the initial
recruitment of the checkpoint GTPase Nog2 occurs in the context of the B-factors, which are required for processing of ITS2 (see above). This indicates that ITS2 processing and maturation of the CP may be governed, monitored, and coordinated by an integrated network of assembly factors, a hypothesis that also agrees with the observation that defective recruitment of Rea1 impacts both removal of the ITS2-containing foot structure and rotation of the 5S RNP (Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016).

Overview of nuclear export and cytoplasmic maturation of the pre-60S particle

The nuclear pore complex (NPC) is a giant molecular assembly embedded in the nuclear envelope that provides a path for exchange of macromolecules between the nucleus and the cytoplasm (Grossman et al. 2012; Knockenhauer and Schwartz 2016). While small molecules can diffuse through the pore freely, large macromolecules need to recruit export factors that help them pass through a meshwork formed by FG-rich repeats of nucleoporins that fill the channel at the center of the NPC (Grossman et al. 2012). The nascent 60S particle acquires export competence by recruiting its full set of nuclear export factors, which include Nmd3, Mex67-Mtr2, Arx1, Bud20, and Ecm1 in yeast (Ho et al. 2000b; Gadal et al. 2001; Bradatsch et al. 2007; Yao et al. 2007, 2010; Hung et al. 2008; Altvater et al. 2012; Bassler et al. 2012). The requirement for a large number of export factors may originate from the large size of the pre-60S subunit, which at more than 2 MDa molecular weight is among the largest cargoes transported through the NPC (Knockenhauer and Schwartz 2016). Nuclear export factors use a variety of mechanisms to facilitate passage of pre-ribosomal particles through the NPC. Arx1 and the Mex67-Mtr2 complex can interact directly with the FG-rich repeats of nucleoporins that line the channel through the NPC (Bradatsch et al. 2007; Yao et al. 2007). Nmd3 contains a nuclear export sequence (NES) that is recognized by the exportin Crm1 (Xpo1 in humans), which binds to FG-repeat nucleoporins and mediates nuclear export in cooperation with the small GTPase Ran (Hurt et al. 1999; Ho et al. 2000b; Gadal et al. 2001; Thomas and Kutay 2003; Cook et al. 2007). The mechanism by which Ecm1 and Bud20 contribute to nuclear export has not been fully clarified yet. Bud20 has been reported to bind to FG-rich nucleoporins directly (Altvater et al. 2012) or to harbor a NES-like element (Bassler et al. 2012). However, because Bud20 does not recruit Crm1 in vitro (Altvater et al. 2012), this NES-like element may not be functionally active. Besides these export factors, the protein Gle2 ensures efficient nuclear export of the pre-60S particle by engaging in non-FG interactions with the nucleoporin Nup116 (Occhipinti et al. 2013). Additionally, the HEAT-repeat containing proteins Sda1 (Dez et al. 2006) and Rrp12 (Oeffinger et al. 2004) have been reported to contribute to pre-60S nuclear export. The structural results from the cryo-EM analysis of the Rix1 pre-60S particle raise the possibility that the export defect observed in Sda1-deficient cells (Dez et al. 2006) might originate not from a defect in nuclear export as such, but from the failure to complete the Rea1- and Nog2-dependent maturation checkpoint (see above), which would preclude recruitment of bona fide export factors, such as Nmd3 (Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016).

After nuclear export, the pre-60S particle is subjected to cytoplasmic maturation (Fig. 6). The DrgL-dependent removal of Rlp24 from the pre-60S particle, which appears to be linked to nuclear export (Kappel et al. 2012), initiates a cascade of biogenesis factor release events and ribosomal protein exchanges that ultimately result in the acquisition of functionality and release of the 60S subunit into the pool of translating ribosomes (Pertschy et al. 2007; Zemp and Kutay 2007; Lo et al. 2010; Panse and Johnson 2010). Importantly, these maturation events occur in a well-defined order (Lo et al. 2010), where completion of upstream events is required for initiation of downstream maturation. More specifically, the replacement of Rlp24 by eL24 (Pertschy et al. 2007; Kappel et al. 2012) enables the recruitment of Rei1, which cooperates with the maturation factors Jji1 and Ssa to release Arx1 from the tunnel exit (Hung and Johnson 2006; Lebreton et al. 2006b; Demoinet et al. 2007; Meyer et al. 2007, 2010). In a parallel branch of the pathway,
the Yvh1-dependent exchange of the stalk protein uL10 for the placeholer Mrt4 leads to formation of the P-stalk (Kemmler et al. 2009; Lo et al. 2009; Rodriguez-Mateos et al. 2009). Even though Yvh1 was initially found to be a shuttling protein (Kemmler et al. 2009; Lo et al. 2009), raising the possibility that it might associate with pre-60S subunits in the nucleus, more recent data obtained by innovative mass spectrometry techniques show that Yvh1 binding is cytoplasmic and occurs downstream from the Drg1-dependent release of Rlp24 (Altavater et al. 2012). The final steps of maturation, which include the release of Nmd3 and eIF6 from the subunit interface side of the 60S particle, depend on the completion of both Arx1 release and P-stalk assembly (Lebreton et al. 2006b; Lo et al. 2010). While the coupling between the release of Arx1 and the final maturation events at the subunit interface is not well understood, the need to recruit the elongation factor like GTPase EFL1 in order to release eIF6 provides a mechanistic explanation for the dependence of eIF6 release on P-stalk assembly (Senger et al. 2001; Lo et al. 2010).

The release of eIF6 by Sdo1 (SBDS) and EFL1 (Bécam et al. 2001; Senger et al. 2001; Menne et al. 2007) and the Kre35 (Lsg1)-dependent removal of the nuclear export adaptor Nmd3 (Hedges et al. 2005; West et al. 2005; Sengupta et al. 2010) are both candidates for being the final step of cytoplasmic 60S maturation before the subunit enters the pool of translating ribosomes (Fig. 6). Both of these release reactions depend on the previous incorporation of ribosomal protein uL16 (Hedges et al. 2005; West et al. 2005; Bussiere et al. 2012; De Keersmaecker et al. 2013; Sulima et al. 2014a; Weis et al. 2015), which is cotranslationally captured by its dedicated chaperone Sqt1 and then assembled into the maturing pre-60S particle (West et al. 2005; Pausch et al. 2015). Because Sqt1 can be trapped on pre-60S particles by certain GTPase-deficient mutants of Kre35, Sqt1 itself may also be transiently incorporated into the pre-60S particle during uL16 insertion and may be released together with Nmd3 in a Kre35-dependent manner (West et al. 2005; Pausch et al. 2015). The presence of Sqt1 and Nmd3 may preclude full accommodation of uL16 into its binding site, suggesting that stable binding of uL16 may be coupled to Nmd3 and Sqt1 release (West et al. 2005; Pausch et al. 2015).

Because uL16 has been found to be required for the recruitment of SBDS and the release of eIF6 (Bussiere et al. 2012; Sulima et al. 2014a; Weis et al. 2015), and because eIF6-bound D. discoideum late pre-60S subunits contain uL16 and lack Nmd3 (Weis et al. 2015), the release of eIF6 has recently been proposed to occur after removal of Nmd3 (Weis et al. 2015). Furthermore, available structural data (Pausch et al. 2015; Weis et al. 2015) and the mapping of the binding sites of Nmd3 on the 60S subunit (Sengupta et al. 2010; Matsuo et al. 2014) raise the possibility that the presence of Sqt1 and Nmd3 might interfere with the binding of the eIF6-releasing factors due to steric hindrance or overlap of binding sites. In contrast, previous yeast genetics data indicated that Nmd3 release requires previous removal of eIF6, placing Nmd3 downstream from eIF6 release. More specifically, interfering with the release of eIF6 by depletion of EFL1 or mutations in Sdo1 (SBDS) or uL16 causes retention of Nmd3 on the pre-60S particle (Lo et al. 2010; Bussiere et al. 2012). Nmd3 release can be restored by alleles of eIF6 that bind the pre-60S particle less tightly and allow eIF6 to dissociate without support from release factors, indicating that the presence of eIF6 itself inhibits the release of Nmd3 (Lo et al. 2010; Bussiere et al. 2012). Further experimental data will be required to unambiguously determine the last step of cytoplasmic pre-60S maturation.

Arx1, Rei1, Jjj1, and Alb1: biogenesis factors near the polypeptide tunnel exit

Currently, no structures of natively purified export-competent or newly exported 60S biogenesis intermediates are available. However, in vitro reconstitution experiments using purified mature 60S subunits and recombinantly expressed biogenesis factors Arx1, Alb1, Rei1, and Jjj1 have provided detailed insights into the interplay of cytoplasmic maturation factors near the tunnel exit of the large ribosomal subunit (Fig. 3C). As mentioned previously, Arx1 functions as a nuclear export factor for the pre-60S particle in yeast (Bradatsch et al. 2007; Hung et al. 2008). A central cavity in the fold of Arx1, which corresponds to the catalytic pocket of homologous MAP enzymes, has been suggested to serve as a binding site for FG-repeat nucleoporins of the NPC, thereby mediating nuclear export (Bradatsch et al. 2007). However, the structures of the natively purified Arx1 particle (Bradatsch et al. 2012; Leidig et al. 2014), as well as the higher-resolution structures of in vitro reconstituted complexes of the yeast 60S subunit and Arx1 (Greber et al. 2012, 2016), showed that this pocket faces the ribosomal subunit surface near the polypeptide tunnel exit, and that access to it is restricted by several Arx1-specific extensions to the conserved MAP-like core fold that are involved in interactions with the 60S subunit (Fig. 7A; Greber et al. 2016). Even though these observations do not exclude that highly flexible FG-repeats of nucleoporins can access the Arx1 cavity, it is possible that structural elements of Arx1 other than the putative nucleoporin-binding pocket are involved in mediating nuclear export. Notably, Ebp1, the human homolog of Arx1, does not show affinity for FG-repeat nucleoporins (Bradatsch et al. 2007), suggesting that the nuclear export function of Arx1 might be limited to certain organisms, while the structural roles of Arx1 (see below) may be conserved between yeast and humans.

The ribosomal binding site of Arx1 includes ribosomal proteins uL23, uL24, uL29, and eL19, as well as tRNA helix 59 (Bradatsch et al. 2012; Greber et al. 2012, 2016), which are important binding sites for nascent chain processing, folding, and targeting factors, such as the signal recognition particle (SRP) or the Sec translocon (Fig. 7B; Halic et al.
Binding of Arx1 near the tunnel exit may serve to block the premature binding of these protein biogenesis factors to the nascent 60S subunit (Bradatsch et al. 2012; Greber et al. 2012). Additionally, Arx1 may be unable to associate with the pre-60S particle if its binding sites are not properly formed, allowing it to proofread the correct assembly of the protein biogenesis factor-binding platform near the tunnel exit (Greber et al. 2016). Tunnel exit assembly defects due to depletion of ribosomal proteins in that area have been shown to lead to impaired or delayed ITS2 processing (Pöll et al. 2009; Babiano and de la Cruz 2010; Babiano et al. 2012; Gamalinda et al. 2013); however, there is currently no evidence for a direct involvement of Arx1 in this pathway. Interestingly, Arx1 also binds ES27, an essential and highly mobile expansion segment (Sweeney et al. 1994; Jeeninga et al. 1997) that occurs in two main conformations, pointing toward either the polypeptide tunnel exit or the L1 stalk (Beckmann et al. 2001). Arx1 stabilizes ES27 in the tunnel conformation by binding to its tip (Bradatsch et al. 2012; Greber et al. 2012). The functional importance of this interaction has not been fully clarified yet, but arresting the expansion segment may aid in compaction of the pre-60S particle for nuclear export.

In its native cellular environment, Arx1 exists in a stable complex with the small highly basic protein Alb1 (Lebreton et al. 2006b; Bradatsch et al. 2012), which is recruited to the pre-60S particle by this interaction. Genetics evidence indicates that the deletion of Alb1 can rescue the growth defects of cells that harbor mutations in Arx1 release factors (Lebreton et al. 2006b; Demoinet et al. 2007; Meyer et al. 2007), suggesting that Alb1 may be involved in modulating the affinity of Arx1 to the pre-60S particle. In agreement with this notion, the structural assignment of Alb1 in complex with Arx1 and the 60S subunit by cryo-EM and chemical cross-linking-mass spectrometry (CX-MS) experiments shows that Alb1 interacts with both Arx1 and the 60S subunit (Fig. 7A; Greber et al. 2016).

After nuclear export of the pre-60S particle, the Arx1-Alb1 complex needs to be released and recycled back to the nucleus to participate in a new round of 60S subunit assembly. The cytoplasmic 60S maturation factor Rei1, which joins the pre-60S particle after the Drg1-dependent removal of Rlp24 and incorporation of eL24 (Fig. 6; Pertschy et al. 2007; Lo et al. 2010), plays an important role in this process. Rei1 was initially suggested to mediate the nuclear recycling of Arx1, which was found to accumulate in the cytoplasm in yeast cells lacking Rei1 (Lebreton et al. 2006b; Demoinet et al. 2007). Arx1 remains associated with the pre-60S subunit in the absence of Rei1, indicating that Rei1 is required for the release of Arx1 from the pre-60S particle (Hung and Johnson 2006; Meyer et al. 2010). The structure of the in vitro reconstituted 60S-Arx1-Rei1-Alb1 complex at 3.4 Å resolution visualized a physical interaction between Rei1 and Arx1 (Fig. 7C; Greber et al. 2016), rationalizing the increased stability of the 60S-Arx1 interaction in Rei1-
functional data are required to precisely define the nuclear chain folding (Albanèse et al. 2010). Even though further rRNA processing, unrelated to Arx1 recycling or nascent architecturally related (Fig. 7E; Kaschner et al. 2015). Both some-bound J-domain proteins Jjj1 and Zuo1 might be ar-
et al. 2002), also binds to eL31 (Peisker et al. 2008; Leidig et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2014), suggesting that the two ribosome-bound J-domain proteins Jjj1 and Zuo1 might be ar-
thetic chains emanating from the tunnel exit (Gautschi 2001), which recruits the Hsp70-type chaperone Ssb to na-
din et al. 2016). The insertion of Rei1 into the tunnel is a prerequisite for downstream maturation of the pre-60S particle because preventing tunnel-insertion by fusion of bulky domains to the Rei1 C terminus leads to 60S assembly defects and retention of maturation factors on cytoplasmic 60S biogenesis intermediates (Greber et al. 2016). This indicates that Rei1 may check the integrity of the tunnel and de-
lay maturation in case of defects in tunnel assembly (see section “Recent insight into biogenesis factors involved in the assembly and probing of the polypeptide tunnel”).

In addition to Rei1, the Hsp70-type ATPase Ssa and its J-protein co-chaperone Jjj1 have been shown to participate in the release of Arx1 from the pre-60S particle (Demoinet et al. 2007; Meyer et al. 2007, 2010). Remarkably, the recent biochemical analysis of mutants of the human Jjj1 homolog DNAJC21 indicates that the components of this pathway and their functions in recycling of the Ebp1, the homolog of Arx1, are conserved in humans (Tummala et al. 2016). The low-resolution reconstruction of a 60S-Arx1-Rei1-Jjj1 complex shows additional density for Jjj1 near the contact site between Rei1 and Arx1 and close to ribosomal protein eL31 in proximity to the tunnel exit (Fig. 7E; Greber et al. 2012). This suggests that Jjj1 may recruit Ssa to this area to enable remodeling of the pre-60S particle and release of Arx1 (Fig. 7F). Further biochemical and structural studies are required to elucidate whether Ssa releases Arx1 by breaking the interaction between Arx1 and Rei1, or if Arx1 and Rei1 are released simultaneously, possibly after extraction of the Rei1 C terminus from the tunnel by Ssa. It is interesting to note that the J-protein component Zuo1 of the ribosome-associated complex (RAC) (Yan et al. 1998; Gautschi et al. 2001), which recruits the Hsp70-type chaperone Ssb to na-
sent chains emanating from the tunnel exit (Gautschi et al. 2002), also binds to eL31 (Peisker et al. 2008; Leidig et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2014), suggesting that the two ribosome-bound J-domain proteins Jjj1 and Zuo1 might be ar-
chitecturally related (Fig. 7E; Kaschner et al. 2015). Both Jjj1 and RAC have also been implicated in early, nuclear steps of 60S subunit biogenesis, where they may play a role in pre-
rRNA processing, unrelated to Arx1 recycling or nascent chain folding (Albanèse et al. 2010). Even though further functional data are required to precisely define the nuclear roles of Jjj1-Ssa and RAC-Ssb, the available data suggest both architectural and functional overlap between these two ribosome-bound chaperone systems.

The release of elf6 and its connection to ribosomopathies

The release of Arx1 from the tunnel exit region and the assembly of the P-stalk license the final maturation of the sub-
unit interface, including the release of elf6 and Nmd3 (Lo et al. 2010). elf6 is a multifunctional protein that has a con-
served role in large subunit biogenesis (Sanvito et al. 1999; Si and Maitra 1999; Basu et al. 2001), acts to increase the effi-
ciency of translation initiation in mammalian cells, possibly by providing a free pool of 60S subunits (Gandin et al. 2008; Miluzio et al. 2009), and has been linked to cancer cell transformation and tumor progression (Gandin et al. 2008; Brina et al. 2015). Structures of elf6 bound to the 60S ribosomal subunit show that it binds to ribosomal proteins uL3, uL14, and eL24, as well as to the SRL of the 25S rRNA (Fig. 8A; Gartmann et al. 2010; Klinge et al. 2011; Weis et al. 2015). On the molecular level, elf6 acts as an anti-association factor that prevents the joining of the (pre-)60S subunit with 40S subunits (Russell and Spremulli 1979; Valenzuela et al. 1982) by inhibiting intersubunit bridge formation near 60S ribosomal protein uL14 (Gartmann et al. 2010). The release of elf6 from the pre-
60S particle occurs during late cytoplasmic maturation and requires the action of the elongation factor-like GTPase EFL1 (Efl1/Ria1 in yeast) (Bécam et al. 2001; Senger et al. 2001) and the SBDS protein (Sdo1 in yeast) (Menne et al. 2007).

The human SBDS protein is mutated in Shwachman-
Bodian-Diamond syndrome (Boocock et al. 2003), an au-
sosomal recessive congenital disorder characterized by skeletal abnormalities, exocrine pancreatic insufficiency, bone marrow failure, and a predisposition to leukemia (Dror 2005). It has been shown that disease mutations in SBDS cause ribo-
osomal subunit joining defects and uncoupling of the GTPase activity of EFL1 from elf6 release, indicating that the persis-
tence of elf6 on nascent 60S subunits is the cause of the dis-
ease (Finch et al. 2011; Johnson and Ellis 2011; Wong et al. 2007; Burwick et al. 2012). Shwachman-Bodian-Diamond syndrome has therefore been classified as a ribosomopathy, a class of diseases that also includes Diamond-Blackfan anemia and that is characterized by defective ribosome biosynthesis, assembly, or function (Narla and Ebert 2010; Ball 2011; Finch et al. 2011). Nucleolar stress, p53 activation due to failed ribosome biogenesis, bone marrow failure, and a predisposition to cancers are commonly observed in ribosomopathies (Liu and Ellis 2006; Narla and Ebert 2010; Danilova and Gazda 2015). The latest addition to the list of ribosome assembly factors involved in ribosomopathies is DNAJC21, the human homolog of the yeast 60S maturation factor Jjj1, mutations of which have been found to be
associated with an inherited bone marrow failure syndrome (Tummala et al. 2016).

The paradoxical observation that ribosome assembly deficits in humans often lead not only to hypoproliferative diseases, such as anemia, but also to hyperproliferative disorders, such as leukemias and cancers, has recently been addressed using a yeast model of the ribosomal protein uL16 R98S mutation that leads to T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemias (T-ALL) in humans (De Keersmaecker et al. 2013; Sulima et al. 2014b). It was found that this mutation causes a 60S subunit biogenesis deficit that can be suppressed by mutations in ribosome assembly factors (De Keersmaecker et al. 2013; Sulima et al. 2014b), leading to the release of functionally compromised subunits into the pool of translating ribosomes (Sulima et al. 2014b). It has been shown that the absence of a single protein from the eukaryotic ribosome can perturb the expression of specific sets of genes, even if global translation is unchanged (Kondrashov et al. 2011). Therefore, the selective pressure exerted by ribosome synthesis-impairing mutations may lead to the selection of suppressor mutations, which may themselves be harmful or may lead to aberrant mRNA translation due to the presence of faulty ribosomal subunits in the cell, ultimately causing the hyperproliferative phenotype (Sulima et al. 2014b; De Keersmaecker et al. 2015).

FIGURE 8. Insights into the binding of eIF6 to the 60S subunit and its release by SBDS and EFL1. (A) Structure of eIF6 bound to the 60S subunit (PDB ID 4V8P). (B) Structure of the 60S-eIF6-SBDS complex (PDB ID 5AN9). SBDS domains are numbered. 60S subunit visualization based on the yeast 60S subunit (PDB ID 4V88). SBDS closed-to-open transition indicated by an arrow. (C) Visualization of the 60S-eIF6-SBDS-EFL1 complex (PDB ID 5ANB). (D) The SBDS N-terminal extension (NTE, dark red) enters the polypeptide tunnel near the PTC. For clarity, only the N-terminal domain I of SBDS is shown. (E) EFL1-eIF6 interactions in the 60S-eIF6-SBDS-EFL1 complex. EFL1 domains I and II as well as an insertion domain in domain II are indicated. (F) In the post-eIF6 release conformation, the position of EFL1 is incompatible with eIF6 binding due to extensive overlap (PDB ID 5ANC).

The mechanism of eIF6 release

An initial low-resolution cryo-EM reconstruction of 60S-bound eIF6 (Gartmann et al. 2010) and its comparison with ribosome-bound eEF-2 suggested that EFL1 may induce the release of eIF6 by steric exclusion (Gartmann et al. 2010). Detailed mechanistic insight into the molecular rearrangements culminating in the release of eIF6 from the pre-60S subunit came from the structural analysis of a heterologous system assembled from natively purified D. discoideum 60S-eIF6 complexes and human SBDS and EFL1 (Fig. 8B,C; Weis et al. 2015). These structures suggest that eIF6 is released by EFL1 according to a cofactor-dependent conformational switching mechanism (Hauryliuk et al. 2008; Weis et al. 2015). The SBDS protein is comprised of three domains that show considerable flexibility relative to each other (Shammas et al. 2005; de Oliveira et al. 2010; Finch et al. 2011). In the absence of EFL1, SBDS binds to the pre-60S particle in a closed conformation. Its N-terminal domain (domain I) is located in the P-site, where six N-terminal residues stretch across the PTC into the polypeptide tunnel (Fig. 8D). Domains II and III extend toward the GTPase activating region of the 60S subunit, where the C-terminal domain III of SBDS occupies a binding site near uL11 at the P-stalk base (Fig. 8B; Weis et al. 2015). EFL1 binding induces an
open conformation of SBDS, in which SBDS domain III is displaced from the P-stalk base and rotated toward the subunit interface side (Fig. 8B,C). EFL1 itself is bound similarly to the homologous translation GTPases eEF-2 (Spahn et al. 2004) and EF-G (Gao et al. 2009), forming extensive interactions with the 60S subunit, SBDS, and elf6 (Fig. 8C,E; Weis et al. 2015). The closed-to-open transition of SBDS allows EFL1 domain V to associate with the vacated binding site at the P-stalk base and has been proposed to drive EFL1 toward a conformation that is incompatible with the presence of elf6, which is thereby evicted from its binding site (Fig. 8F). elf6 release is followed by GTP hydrolysis and EFL1 dissociation (Weis et al. 2015).

In addition to clarifying the mechanism of elf6 release from the pre-60S particle, these structures also suggest that disease-associated mutations in SBDS and ul16 causing Shwachman-Bodian-Diamond syndrome and T-ALL, respectively, exert their deleterious effects by perturbing the binding of SBDS to the pre-60S subunit or the conformational dynamics of SBDS that are required to allow binding of EFL1 to the P-stalk base (Weis et al. 2015).

The findings from the high-resolution reconstructions of the 60S-elf6-SBDS-EFL1 complexes, which were obtained using a heterologous system, are in agreement with the low-resolution reconstruction of a homologous yeast 60S-Sdo1 complex, which confirmed the binding of Sdo1 domain I to the P-site and the structural dynamics of domains II and III (Ma et al. 2016). However, this study additionally suggested that Sdo1 might induce dimerization of 60S subunits and raises the possibility that these 60S dimers might represent a storage form of the 60S subunit induced by stress conditions (Ma et al. 2016). In the context of the observed binding of other maturation factors, such as Nmd3, Arx1, Alb1, Rei1, and elf6, to mature 60S particles in vivo (Ho et al. 2000a; Merl et al. 2010), this is an intriguing hypothesis. However, further experimental evidence is required to establish the physiological significance of this observation.

**Probing and proofreading of functional centers of the nascent 60S subunit**

Recent biochemical, genetics, and structural data have led to the emerging view that all functional centers of the 60S subunit are first blocked during ribosome assembly to prevent premature translation or other unproductive interactions, and then proofread and functionally tested before the subunit is licensed to participate in translation (Johnson et al. 2002; Lo et al. 2010; Bradatsch et al. 2012; Bussiere et al. 2012; Greber et al. 2012, 2016; Karbstein 2013). This concept also applies to the small ribosomal subunit, where biogenesis factors block the access to the tRNA- and mRNA-binding sites in the pre-40S particle (Strunk et al. 2011), and where a “test-drive” involving mature 60S subunits is required for final activation of the 40S subunit (Lebaron et al. 2012; Strunk et al. 2012; García-Gómez et al. 2014).

The structural data discussed in this review reveal many instances of structural probing of certain assembly steps before downstream processes are initiated, such as the monitoring of the conformation of the CP that controls the Rea1-dependent remodeling of the nuclear pre-60S particle (Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016) or the ordered progression of cytoplasmic maturation (Lo et al. 2010). In addition to these continuous checks of 60S maturation, the available data suggest that there are at least two major events where concerted structural probing and functional proofreading of the functional centers of the pre-60S subunit play a central role: nuclear export and final cytoplasmic maturation.

Nuclear export is a key irreversible step in ribosome biogenesis, which in yeast requires the recruitment of at least five export factors or export factor complexes to the maturing 60S subunit (see section “Overview of nuclear export and cytoplasmic maturation of the pre-60S particle”). The requirement for the recruitment of multiple export factors may enable an extensive structural probing of the correct assembly of the pre-60S particle. Arx1 bound near the tunnel exit may sense the presence of a properly assembled binding platform for the Sec translocon and SRP (Bradatsch et al. 2012; Greber et al. 2012, 2016), while binding of Nmd3 to the subunit interface (Sengupta et al. 2010) and interactions of Mex67-Mtr2 with the 5S rRNA at the CP (Yao et al. 2007) likely only occur if these regions of the pre-60S particle show conformations corresponding to the appropriate maturation stage. Additionally, Nmd3 binding to the subunit interface is controlled by a Nog2-dependent maturation checkpoint that also shows some cross talk with the Rea1 remodeling machinery at the CP (Matsuo et al. 2014; Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016). The concerted action of these multiple instances of structural probing may enable a comprehensive check of the assembly of all major domains of the pre-60S subunit to prevent the nuclear export of faulty or immature pre-60S particles (Johnson et al. 2002), segregating them away from the cytoplasmic assembly factors acting on the pool of pre-ribosomal particles undergoing final maturation. After nuclear export, this arrangement of assembly and export factors, combined with bound Mrt4 and elf6 that block the P-stalk base and impede access to the SRL (see above), ensures that the freshly exported pre-60S particles cannot interact with 40S subunits or translation factors, which they will encounter in high concentrations in the cytoplasm (Fig. 9). The final activation of the functional centers of the 60S subunit as well as the functional proofreading of their activity occurs during cytoplasmic maturation. Both SBDS and EFL1 are likely to play a key role during this final phase of 60S subunit maturation: SBDS interacts with the P-stalk base, the P-site, the PTC, and the entrance of the exit tunnel, while EFL1 probably requires a functional P-stalk for its recruitment and the SRL for efficient activation of GTP hydrolysis after removal of elf6 (Fig. 9; Bussiere et al. 2012; Weis et al. 2015). Therefore, elf6 release likely only occurs in the
context of a fully functional 60S subunit with a properly assembled PTC and the capability of translation GTPase recruitment. Similarly, the release of Arx1 may require a functional chaperone-docking site near the tunnel exit to recruit Jjj1-Ssa during the release reaction, thereby ensuring that the RAC-Ssb chaperone system can properly access its substrates during protein translation. Additionally, free passage through the polypeptide tunnel may be monitored by Rei1, possibly supported by SBDS at the other end of the tunnel (see next section).

Recent insight into biogenesis factors involved in the assembly and probing of the polypeptide tunnel

The structure of polypeptides in the ribosomal tunnel, particularly in the case of regulatory nascent chains that are able to induce translational stalling, has been extensively studied, revealing that the tunnel is not merely a passive conduit, but allows secondary structure formation and provides interaction sites for some nascent chains (Bhushan et al. 2010; Matheisl et al. 2015; Wilson et al. 2016). It is therefore appropriate to think of the tunnel as an important functional center of the large ribosomal subunit with a well-defined structure that may require monitoring and proofreading during ribosome assembly, a process that may involve Rei1, SBDS, and Nog1. The recent cryo-EM studies of 60S assembly have revealed that Rei1 and Nog1 enter the tunnel from the exit side, while SBDS enters from the side of the PTC (Fig. 10A, B; Weis et al. 2015; Greber et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2016). Notably, all factors assume an orientation that places the N terminus of the inserted sequences closer to the tunnel exit and the C terminus closer to the PTC, mimicking the orientation of a nascent polypeptide chain. Therefore, the Nog1, Rei1, and SBDS segments in the tunnel are probably able to sample a similar conformational space as emerging nascent chains, enabling them to check the ability of the tunnel to act as a conduit for newly synthesized proteins. Nog1 binds to the pre-60S particle already in the nucleus, where it may ensure correct tunnel assembly, while Rei1 and SBDS may perform a final check during cytoplasmic maturation. The binding of Nog1 and Rei1 is likely mutually exclusive due to extensive steric overlap in the tunnel exit region (Greber et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2016). The removal of Nog1 has been suggested to be coupled to the release of Rlp24 (Wu et al. 2016), which may explain why the Drg1-dependent removal of Rlp24 is a prerequisite for Rei1 binding to the pre-60S particle.

A superposition of the human SBDS and yeast Rei1 structures in the tunnel (Weis et al. 2015; Greber et al. 2016) shows that the SBDS N terminus and the Rei1 C terminus would be in close proximity, although without overlap, if the two biogenesis factors were to occupy the same pre-60S particles (Fig. 10C). Because the C terminus of Rei1 and the N terminus of SBDS are highly conserved between yeast and humans, suggesting that they are similarly positioned in the polypeptide tunnel across species, this observation probably also applies to the homologous complexes formed by human SBDS and Znf622 or yeast Sdo1 and Rei1, respectively. This arrangement of these two maturation factors may enable them to ensure that the entire passage through the ribosomal tunnel is free of obstructions, which might arise from misfolded rRNA or misincorporated ribosomal proteins (Weis et al. 2015; Greber et al. 2016). The existence of a checkpoint that detects errors in the incorporation of uL4 into the pre-60S particle, where it forms part of the constriction site inside the tunnel, has been suggested recently (Stelter et al. 2015). It remains to be established whether Rei1 and SBDS are involved in this putative checkpoint and whether the two proteins act on the same pre-ribosomal particles or not.

Future perspectives

The functional and structural data reviewed here have uncovered fascinating details of the assembly and maturation of pre-ribosomal particles. Nevertheless, obtaining in-depth mechanistic insights into ribosome biogenesis will remain a formidable challenge, particularly for nucleolar and early nuclear assembly intermediates, due to the enormous complexity and highly dynamic nature of rapidly maturing pre-ribosomal particles. The recent cryo-EM maps of ribosome biogenesis complexes at near-atomic resolution
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(Weis et al. 2015; Greber et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2016) allowed the building of complete atomic models of assembly factors for which no previous high-resolution X-ray or NMR structures were available, providing detailed insight into the molecular interactions and mechanisms that govern pre-ribosome maturation. With the rise of cryo-EM as the method of choice for determination of high-resolution structures of large assemblies (Bai et al. 2015a; Nogales and Scheres 2015) and the continued technical advances of this method (Merk et al. 2016), including the development of better electron detectors (McMullan et al. 2014) and more powerful particle sorting algorithms (Bai et al. 2015b), the determination of structures of more dynamic or more transient ribosome biogenesis intermediates at near-atomic resolution will become feasible in the future. Applied to cases where the resolution is not fully sufficient for de novo tracing of protein chains and building of complete atomic models, the combination of cryo-EM and CX-MS (Walzthoeni et al. 2013) holds great promise for the detailed analysis of even highly dynamic molecular assemblies, including biogenesis intermediates that are located far upstream in the pathway. In addition to state-of-the-art structural biology methods, innovative techniques may need to be developed and applied to trap and isolate these early intermediates (Chaker-Margot et al. 2015).

The recent addition of high-resolution cryo-EM to the toolbox used to study ribosome assembly will greatly facilitate progress toward a detailed understanding of the compositional and structural transitions of pre-ribosomal particles on their journey from the nucleolus to their functional activation in the cytoplasm. Such insight will greatly benefit our understanding of cellular physiology and growth control, and may also serve as a conceptual framework for the study of the self-assembly of highly complex molecular systems. Additionally, this knowledge may aid in conceiving strategies or developing compounds for treatment of diseases that are associated with failed or up-regulated ribosome synthesis, such as ribosomopathies and cancer.
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