DNA microarray-based analysis of voluntary resistance wheel running reveals novel transcriptome leading robust hippocampal plasticity
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Abstract
In two separate experiments, voluntary resistance wheel running with 30% of body weight (RWR), rather than wheel running (WR), led to greater enhancements, including adult hippocampal neurogenesis and cognitive functions, in conjunction with hippocampal brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) signaling (Lee et al., J Appl Physiol, 2012; Neurosci Lett., 2013). Here we aimed to unravel novel molecular factors and gain insight into underlying molecular mechanisms for RWR-enhanced hippocampal functions; a high-throughput whole-genome DNA microarray approach was applied to rats performing voluntary running for 4 weeks. RWR rats showed a significant decrease in average running distances although average work levels increased immensely, by about 11-fold compared to WR, resulting in muscular adaptation for the fast-twitch plantaris muscle. Global transcriptome profiling analysis identified 128 (sedentary \( \times \) WR) and 169 (sedentary \( \times \) RWR) up-regulated (>1.5-fold change), and 97 (sedentary \( \times \) WR) and 468 (sedentary \( \times \) RWR) down-regulated (<0.75-fold change) genes. Functional categorization using both pathway- or specific-disease-state-focused gene classifications and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) revealed expression pattern changes in the major categories of disease and disorders, molecular functions, and physiological system development and function. Genes specifically regulated with RWR include the newly identified factors of NFATc1, AVPR1A, and FGFR4, as well as previously known factors, BDNF and CREB mRNA. Interestingly, RWR down-regulated multiple inflammatory cytokines (IL1B, IL2RA, and TNF) and chemokines (CXCL1, CXCL10, CCL2, and CCR4) with the SYCP3, PRL genes, which are potentially involved in regulating hippocampal neuroplastic changes. These results provide understanding of the voluntary-RWR-related hippocampal transcriptome, which will open a window to the underlying mechanisms of the positive effects of exercise, with therapeutic value for enhancing hippocampal functions.

Introduction
Physical activity, such as exercise is beneficial for brain health and function. In the brain, the hippocampus is the region that is thought to play a crucial role in enhancing cognitive functions, including learning and memory (Fodyce and Wehner 1993; Gould et al. 1999; van Praag et al. 1999). A number of studies have shown that exercise enhances spatial learning and memory in animals (Samarajski et al. 1985; Kempermann et al. 2004;
Vaynman et al. 2004). In rodents, running is also known to enhance adult neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus and the magnitude of hippocampal long-term potentiation (van Praag et al. 1999; Rhodes et al. 2003), which is associated with hippocampus-dependent cognitive functions (Farmer et al. 2004; Vaynman et al. 2004).

Previous research suggests that exercise-induced positive effects are in part probably due to an increased expression of the hippocampal brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (Vaynman et al. 2004; Adlard et al. 2005). BDNF is an important protein in supporting the growth, development and survival of neurons as well as in synaptic plasticity and certain types of learning and memory (Hofer et al. 1990; Korte et al. 1995). Exercise increases hippocampal BDNF mRNA and protein expression levels (Neuper et al. 1995; Soya et al. 2007), which in turn promotes adult neurogenesis (Pencea et al. 2001; Lee et al. 2002). Alteration in BDNF signaling is actually required in order to allow the effects of exercise on hippocampal plasticity in rodents: blocking BDNF signaling prevents exercise-induced gain in learning and memory (Vaynman et al. 2004) and neurogenesis (Li et al. 2008). This may suggest that “more BDNF is better” for the enhancement of hippocampal functions. Despite its importance in brain function and health, it is highly unlikely that BDNF works alone, implying that a search for novel factors is in order.

Exercise has two aspects: amount and intensity. In running, it may not always be the case that the amount of exercise, i.e. running distance, is important for adaptation. Rather, running intensity might also be a critical factor. Most studies supporting the beneficial effects of exercise focus on the amount of exercise, not the exercise intensity, which may be another potentially important, and still uninvestigated, factor in exercise-influenced brain adaptations. This also means that the optimal exercise condition for generating such beneficial effects on hippocampal plasticity remains contentious.

Recently, we examined hippocampal neurogenesis and cognitive functions in the adult rat hippocampus after 4 weeks of voluntary wheel running with and without a load (Lee et al. 2012, 2013). We found that the average work levels significantly increased and the average running distance decreased to about half in the voluntary resistance exercise with 30% of body weight group (RWR) compared to free wheel running without a load group (WR). This in turn elicited muscular adaptation for fast-twitch plantaris muscle without causing any negative stress effects. Furthermore, both RWR and WR improved cognitive functions as well as increased expression of hippocampal BDNF signaling. That previous study demonstrated for the first time that voluntary resistance exercise might be an effective model for enhancing spatial learning and memory associated with increased hippocampal BDNF signaling, even with short distances (Lee et al. 2012). The fact that RWR exercise showed shorter distance but hyper-work levels, led us to hypothesize that, “less is more” for effective running distances leading to hippocampal plasticity. However, the underlying molecular mechanisms and factors behind this enhancement, other than BDNF, remain unknown.

Therefore, we took our study to the next level and, using data from the same sample pool, performed a search for the RWR condition-influenced hippocampal transcriptome using a whole-genome DNA microarray chip (DeRisi et al. 1997). Although high-throughput omics approaches, including transcriptome profiling, can be helpful in providing detailed insight into molecular factors underlying cell, tissue and organism level biology, relatively few studies exist in respect to exercise-induced neuronal plasticity and brain function (Tong et al. 2001; Molteni et al. 2002; Hunsberger et al. 2007; Stranahan et al. 2007; Kohman et al. 2011). Moreover, there are also no studies that have examined the effect of RWR conditions on the rat hippocampus transcriptome. Thus, building upon the knowledge obtained from our previous research (Lee et al. 2012, 2013), here we aim to expand our understanding of the RWR exercise model at the level of the transcriptome.

It was our hypothesis that RWR, short distances but high work levels, would generate changes at the level of transcription within the hippocampus and have a unique gene expression profile compared to WR. The obtained global gene expression data provided an answer: we unraveled novel gene expressions, especially with RWR, where surprisingly the dominant expression change was down-expression. Based on the gene inventory and bioinformatics analyses, we have postulated underlying molecular mechanisms behind RWR in developing robust hippocampal functions.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

Ten-week-old male Wistar rats (320–340 g) were obtained from SEAS Co., Ltd. (Saitama, Japan) and randomly allocated to three groups as follows: (1) housed in standard cages and used as nonactive controls (sedentary, \( n = 10 \)); (2) wheel running with no resistance (WR, \( n = 8 \)); and (3) housed in cages with resistance running wheels with an adjustable resistance (RWR, \( n = 8 \)). All rats were individually housed and kept in a controlled environment with a 12/12 h light/dark cycle (lights on at 8:00 AM) and given ad libitum access to food and water. All the experiments were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the University of Tsukuba Animal Experiment Committee.
based on the NIH Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH publication, 1996).

**Running-wheel apparatus and loading protocol**

This RWR apparatus and its setup for resistance running were performed as described previously (Ishihara et al. 1998; Lee et al. 2012). Briefly, the rats of both running wheel groups (WR and RWR) had free access to a specially designed running wheel apparatus (diameter = 31.8 cm, width = 10 cm; Rat Analyzer KI-103, Aptec Inc., Kyoto, Japan) for 24 h/day. The resistance attached to the wheel could be changed with a range of 0 to 200 g. The rats in the RWR group were exercised with minimum resistance (i.e., 4.5 g) for the first week, and then the resistance was progressively increased to reach 30% of their body weight during the 4 weeks of exercise. Daily work levels as total energy expenditure during exercise were calculated and expressed relative to body weight and day as follows: Work (J) = Force (N) × Distance (m) / Body weight (kg) / day where force is the resistance of the wheel and distance is the number of revolutions times the circumference of the wheel.

**Sample collection and total RNA extraction**

Between 08:00 and 12:00 on the day after the final day of exercise, the animals were decapitated using a guillotine. To measure the physiological states of the rats, adrenal glands, thymus, soleus, and plantaris muscles were sampled and weighed (wet weight) immediately after decapitation. The hippocampi were also rapidly dissected, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C prior to further analysis. The deep-frozen hippocampi were transferred to a precooled (in liquid nitrogen) mortar and ground with a pestle to a very fine powder with liquid nitrogen. The workflow for preparation of fine tissue powders for hippocampal gene analysis is given in Fig. 1A. The powdered samples were transferred to 2 mL Eppendorf microtubes and stored in aliquots at −80°C until used for extraction of total RNA. Total RNA was extracted from ~50 mg sample powder using the QIAGEN RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Germantown, MD). To verify the quality of this RNA, the yield and purity were determined spectrophotometrically (IMPLEN, Germany) and visually confirmed using formaldehyde-agarose gel electrophoresis (Fig. 1B).

**cDNA synthesis and semi-quantitative RT-PCR**

To validate the total RNA quality and subsequently synthesized cDNA, RT-PCR was performed. As a validated endogenous control, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and β-actin was amplified in a separate reaction for normalization. Briefly, total RNA samples were first DNase-treated with RNase free DNase (Stratagene; Agilent Technologies, La Jolla, CA). First strand cDNA was then synthesized in a 20 μL reaction mixture with an AffinityScript QPCR cDNA Synthesis Kit (Stratagene; Agilent Technologies) according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer, using 1 μg total RNA isolated from each control and treated hippocampi sample. The reaction conditions were: 25°C for 5 min, 42°C for 5 min, 55°C for 40 min and 95°C for 5 min. The synthesized cDNA was made up to a volume of 50 μL with sterile water supplied in the kit. The reaction mixture contained 0.6 μL of the first-strand cDNA, 7 pmols of each primer set and 6.0 μL of the Emerald Amp PCR Master Mix (2× premix) (TaKaRa Shuzo, Shiga, Japan) in a total volume of 12 μL. Thermal-cycling (Applied Biosystems, Tokyo, Japan) parameters were as follows: after an initial denaturation at 97°C for 5 min, samples were subjected to a cycling regime of 20–40 cycles at 95°C for 45 sec, 55°C for 45 sec and 72°C for 1 min. At the end of the final cycle, an additional extension step was carried out for 10 min at 72°C. After completion of the PCR the total reaction mixture was spun down and mixed (3 μL) before being loaded into the wells of a 1.2/1.8% agarose (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) gel. Electrophoresis was then performed for ~22 min at 100 volts in 1× TAE buffer using a Mupid-ex electrophoresis system (ADVANCE, Tokyo, Japan). The gels were stained (8 μL of 10 mg/mL ethidium bromide in 200 mL 1× TAE buffer) for ~7 min and the stained bands were visualized using an UV-trans illuminator (ATTO, Tokyo, Japan).

**DNA microarray analysis**

A rat 4 × 44K whole genome oligo DNA microarray chip (G4131F, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA) was used for global gene expression analysis using the hippocampi. Total RNA (900 ng; 300 ng each replicate pooled together) was labeled with either Cy3 or Cy5 dye using an Agilent Low RNA Input Fluorescent Linear Amplification Kit (Agilent Technologies). Fluorescently labeled targets of Sedentary, WR and RWR samples were hybridized to the same microarray slide with 60-mer probes (Fig. 1C). A flip labeling (dye swap or reverse labeling with Cy3 and Cy5 dyes) procedure was followed to nullify the dye bias associated with unequal incorporation of the two Cy dyes into cDNA (Rosenzweig et al. 2004; Martin-Magniette et al. 2005). The use of a dye-swap approach provides a more stringent selection condition for changed gene expression profiling than the use of a simple single/two-color approach (Hirano et al. 2009; Ogawa et al. 2014).
Figure 1. Workflow from sampling and grinding of the hippocampus, total RNA extraction, and DNA microarray analysis of the hippocampus. (A) The rats’ hippocampi were rapidly dissected and ground to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80°C. (B) Total RNA extraction from the finely powdered hippocampus. Total RNA quality was confirmed by both spectrophotometry and agarose-gel electrophoresis. (C) DNA microarray chip showing the hybridized sample combinations (Sed 9 WR and Sed 9 RWR) and dye-swap (Cy3 vs. Cy5).
scripts were determined by quantitative real-time PCR signaling-related molecules and expression of select transcripts were most significant to the data set (P-value < 0.05) according to right-tailed Fisher’s exact test.

Ingenuity pathways analysis (IPA)

The functional and network analyses were generated through the use of IPA (Ingenuity® Systems, www.ingenuity.com). The data set from microarray, which is the differentially expressed (>2-fold compared to sedentary) genes, and their corresponding fold change values were uploaded as an Excel spread sheet into the IPA tool. To create gene networks, genes were overlaid onto a global molecular network developed from information contained in the ingenuity knowledge base. The functional analysis identified the biological functions that were most significant to the data set (P-value < 0.05) according to right-tailed Fisher’s exact test.

Quantitative RT-PCR

To validate gene changes in array data and test the BDNF signaling-related molecules and expression of select transcripts were determined by quantitative real-time PCR (PE-ABI Prism 7300; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using a fast start universal SYBR green master mix (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) following the protocol provided by the manufacturer. Primer3 software was used to design reaction primers and the sequences are listed in Table 1. In brief, after 10 min of incubation at 95°C, the PCR reaction was carried out for 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 sec, 60°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec. As a validated endogenous control, β-actin was amplified in a separate reaction for normalization. Each sample was processed in duplicate and melting curve analysis was performed on all samples. To verify the quality of this RNA, the yield and purity were determined spectrophotometrically (IMPLEN, Germany) and visually confirmed using formaldehyde-agarose gel electrophoresis.

Statistical analysis

Average running distance and work levels on each of the experimental days were analyzed with repeated measures two-way ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test for post hoc analysis. The comparisons

Table 1. The list of primers used for real-time PCR.

| Primer name | Direction | Sequence | NCBI accession no. |
|-------------|-----------|----------|-------------------|
| IL1b        | Forward   | 5’-atggtgacttgaggaagtc-3’ | NM-031512 |
|             | Reverse   | 5’-atgctggcagacagctgtc-3’ |          |
| IL2ra       | Forward   | 5’-cgcaactgcaagcaga-3’  | NM-013163 |
|             | Reverse   | 5’tttttgacgctgctgctg-3’  |          |
| TNF         | Forward   | 5’tgtgcctagctctttct-3’   | NM-012675 |
|             | Reverse   | 5’tccagtgctagggct-3’     |          |
| Cxcl1       | Forward   | 5’-actgtgctcagcctgct-3’  | NM-030845 |
|             | Reverse   | 5’tcgagcactcctggtg-3’    |          |
| Cxcl10      | Forward   | 5’-tgatgatcagcctgctg-3’  | NM-139089 |
|             | Reverse   | 5’tcctttgcacccgctc-3’    |          |
| Ccl2        | Forward   | 5’-aggctgcacaaagagaagctg-3’ | NM-031530 |
|             | Reverse   | 5’tgctgaggggttgagga-3’   |          |
| NFATc1      | Forward   | 5’tgtgtgctgctgctgctgctg-3’ | NM-00124933 |
|             | Reverse   | 5’tgctgctgctgctgctgctg-3’ |          |
| AVPr1a      | Forward   | 5’tgtcgctgctgctgctgctg-3’ | BC-088095 |
|             | Reverse   | 5’tgtcgctgctgctgctgctg-3’ |          |
| BDNF        | Forward   | 5’-gccagcagaatcacttcc-3’  | NM-012513 |
|             | Reverse   | 5’-gcagcagaatcacttcc-3’   |          |
| TrkB        | Forward   | 5’-gacgtgatcagcctgctg-3’  | NM-01163169 |
|             | Reverse   | 5’tgtaagctgctgctgctg-3’   |          |
| CREB        | Forward   | 5’-tcacgctgctgctgctgctg-3’ | X14788   |
|             | Reverse   | 5’-tcacgctgctgctgctgctg-3’ |          |
| β-Actin     | Forward   | 5’-aaccctaatggcataagctg-3’ | NM-031144 |
|             | Reverse   | 5’-cagggcacaacacagctgga-3’ |          |
between different groups were analyzed with a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison tests for post hoc analysis. Statistical significant difference was evaluated at $P < 0.05$.

**Results**

**RWR increased work levels while decreasing running distance by half**

Body weight decreased in both the WR and RWR groups compared with the sedentary group ($F(2, 23) = 5.947$, $P < 0.05$; Table 2). Repeated measures with ANOVA showed significance for day ($F(27, 378) = 20.57$, $P < 0.0001$), group ($F(1, 14) = 9.40$, $P < 0.01$) and interaction between day and group ($F(27, 378) = 5.22$, $P < 0.0001$) effects on daily running distance (Table 2). The average running distance of the RWR group (683.2 m/day) was significantly less than that of the WR group (1031.5 m/day) ($P < 0.05$; Table 2). The average work levels analysis revealed significance for day ($F(27, 378) = 23.58$, $P < 0.0001$), group ($F(1, 14) = 32.79$, $P < 0.0001$) and interaction between day and group ($F(27, 378) = 20.43$, $P < 0.0001$) effects (Table 2). The average work levels significantly increased by about 11-fold in the RWR group (1247.9 N m/kg b.w./day) compared to the WR group (112.1 N m/kg b.w./day) ($P < 0.01$; Table 2).

**Fast-twitch plantaris muscle adaptation by RWR without any negative stress effects**

Hind limb skeletal muscle masses were analyzed to determine the effect of RWR on muscular adaptation post-exercise regimen. Results showed that relative soleus muscle ($F(2, 23) = 3.855$, $P < 0.05$; Table 2) and plantaris muscle wet mass ($F(2, 23) = 7.804$, $P < 0.01$; Table 2) had increased only in the RWR group compared to the sedentary group. No significant change was found to the relative weights of adrenals ($F(2, 23) = 1.783$, $P = 0.19$) and thymus ($F(2, 23) = 2.087$, $P = 0.14$) (Table 2).

**RWR revealed down-regulation as the dominant hippocampal gene expression profile**

Genome-wide global gene expression profiles were obtained for WR and RWR-related genes. 128 (Sed × WR) and 169 (Sed × RWR) were up-regulated (>1.5-fold change) as compared with 97 (Sed × WR) and 468 (Sed × RWR) down-regulated genes (<0.75-fold change) after 4 weeks exercise, respectively (Fig. 2).

**Pathway and gene classification reveals differential gene expressions between RWR and WR**

The pathway-focused gene classifications available on the QIAGEN website (SABiosciences; www.sabiosciences.com) were utilized to reveal the trend of predominant pathways affected in the hippocampus (Fig. 3). The up-and down-regulated genes at sedentary × WR, and sedentary × RWR were classified based on the available categories of more than 100 biological pathways at hippocampus after 4 weeks of running. This categorization revealed that the trends of gene quantity (numbers) and quality (function) in the respective pathways varied between the two running modes.

---

**Table 2. Effects of resistance wheel running (RWR) on body weight, exercise parameters, muscle adaptation, and stress-related factors.**

| Group | Sedentary | WR  | RWR |
|-------|-----------|-----|-----|
| Body weight (g) | 510.4 ± 8.4 | 457.6 ± 8.3** | 462.5 ± 10.2* |
| Exercise parameters | | | |
| Average daily running distance (m) | 1031.5 ± 42.2 | 683.2 ± 105.4* |
| Average daily work levels (N m/kg b.w./day) | 112.1 ± 3.6 | 1247.9 ± 198.4*** |
| Muscle adaptation | | | |
| Relative soleus wet mass to b.w. (mg/100 g) | 45.2 ± 1.3 | 48.8 ± 1.5 | 50.5 ± 1.5* |
| Relative plantaris wet mass to b.w. (mg/100 g) | 92.9 ± 1.6 | 101.5 ± 1.6 | 106.3 ± 3.9* |
| Stress-related factors | | | |
| Relative adrenals Wt to b.w. (mg/100 g) | 13.4 ± 0.5 | 14.2 ± 0.8 | 12.3 ± 0.8 |
| Relative thymus Wt to b.w. (mg/100 g) | 91.5 ± 5.6 | 101.5 ± 6.8 | 106.1 ± 4.9 |

All data are presented as the mean ± SE. BW, body weight; CS, citrate synthase; Wt, weight; Sed, sedentary; WR, wheel running with no resistance; RWR, resistance wheel running. Significant difference compared with sedentary after an ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison tests or Student’s t-test: *$P < 0.05$; ***$P < 0.001$. 

---
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Biological function of genes by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) unravels molecular networks influenced by RWR

Functional annotation of differentially expressed genes was performed using the IPA platform. The majority of these changes were unique to exercise mode (free wheel running or resistance wheel running), reflecting 4 weeks of the experiment. Table 3 lists the 10 most induced and repressed transcripts of the RWR group. In addition to this pathway, we analyzed the molecular connection between each hippocampal gene based on previous literature to gain further insight and to characterize the molecular behavior regulating hippocampal neuroplasticity at each intensity (Table 4). Networks were generated on the basis of known functions and interconnectivity of affected genes. The top five gene networks were constructed on the basis of the known functions and interconnectivity of altered genes (Table 5). WR-regulated genes were involved in amino acid metabolism, endocrine system and molecular transport; however, RWR were involved in inflammatory/immune response, protein synthesis, and cellular movement. This was reflected in the top two modified molecular networks (Figs. 4, 5).

Confirmation of gene expression by RT-PCR and differential expression of their mRNAs in the hippocampus

To validate gene changes in DNA microarray data and test the robustness of these responses, quantitative RT-PCR was used to assess expression of select transcripts. We selected 11 genes with annotated functions that were highly up-regulated or down-regulated at the sedentary x RWR condition. As a result of their potential relevance to cognitive functions, transcripts involved in BDNF signaling were also analyzed. The mRNA expression profiles revealed that the DNA microarray data could be validated using appropriate primer design (Fig. 6).

Discussion

To identify gene expression changes, the hippocampi from adult rats were dissected after 4 weeks of wheel running with (RWR) and without (WR) a load. Our results indicated that voluntary RWR increased the work levels by about 11-fold but decreased running distance, which resulted in the muscular adaptation of fast-twitch plantaris muscle without negative stress effects, validating RWR as a strength training model. Quantitatively comparing the gene expression profile in exercised rats with sedentary controls, it was seen that RWR recruited a more varied set of transcriptional categories, which were predominantly suppressed or down-regulated. These categories included transcripts involved in humoral immune response, protein synthesis, and cellular movement. Among the genes specifically regulated with RWR, NFATc1, AVPR1A, and FGFR4 were newly identified factors in response to exercise. RWR also highly down-regulated inflammatory cytokines (IL1B, IL2RA, and TNF) and chemokines (CXCL1, CXCL10, CCL2, and CCR4), along with SYCP3, PRL genes. This study suggests new information on voluntary RWR-influenced transcriptome in rat hippocampus, and that these gene candidates likely play a more critical role in the development of greater hippocampal adaptations with RWR than with WR.

RWR is an excellent model for muscular and hippocampal adaptations

An important characteristic of voluntary resistance wheel running (RWR) is that it allows for a given load on a running wheel (range of 0–200 g) and for increasing work levels, which results in muscular adaptation in fast-twitch muscle without physical and psychological stressors such as electrical shock and additional weight (Ishihara et al. 1998; Call et al. 2010). RWR differs from forced treadmill running, swimming or ladder climbing because with RWR animals exercise of their own volition. In addition, the wheel running represents intermittent, nonexhaustive, energy-efficient activity (De Bono et al. 2006; Leasure and Jones 2008), and stress levels are demonstrably lower in voluntary exercise compared to forced exercise (Moraska et al. 2000; Ke et al. 2011). Thus, RWR activity should reflect greater contributions of the activity per se versus the emotional or neurohumoral stresses associated with forced exercise. Indeed, our recently concluded studies...
### Figure 3. Biological pathway and gene classification.

The up- and down-regulated genes at Sed × WR and Sed × RWR were classified based on the available categories of more than 100 biological pathways and gene classification in the SABiosciences PCR array list. The numbers in the y-axis represent number of genes in each category, which are indicated on the x-axis.
and the present results show that RWR, with shorter distances but higher work levels, elicits muscular adaptation for fast-twitch plantaris muscle without causing negative chronic stress effects (Lee et al. 2012, 2013), which is also in agreement with previous findings (Ishihara et al. 1998; Konhilas et al. 2005; Legerlotz et al. 2008). Our data also provide evidence to show that RWR enhances spatial memory associated with hippocampal BDNF signaling and hippocampal neurogenesis (Lee et al. 2012, 2013). In all, RWR represents a successful model that enhances the effects of exercise on both muscular and hippocampal adaptations, even with short distances.

Table 3. The 10 hippocampal transcripts induced and 10 most repressed with resistance wheel running (RWR).

| Symbol | Gene name                                      | Agilent     | Fold change | Location       | Type(s)               |
|--------|------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| NYX    | Nyctalopin                                      | A_44_P283938| 3.000       | Extracellular Space | Other                |
| Cyp2c40| Cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily c, polypeptide 40 | A_44_P302721| 2.830       | Cytoplasm       | Enzyme                |
| PGK2   | Phosphoglycerate kinase 2                       | A_44_P263049| 2.760       | Cytoplasm       | Kinase                |
| CDC6   | Cell division cycle 6 homolog (S. cerevisiae)   | A_44_P239281| 2.440       | Nucleus         | Other                 |
| Cyp2b19| Cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily b, polypeptide 19 | A_44_P482563| 2.140       | Cytoplasm       | Enzyme                |
| FGFR4  | Fibroblast growth factor receptor 4             | A_44_P546866| 2.090       | Plasma Membrane | Kinase                |
| Olftr1436/Oftr1437| Olfactory receptor 1437 | A_44_P233666| 2.090       | Plasma Membrane | G-protein-coupled receptor |
| ANXA8L2| Annexin A8-like 2                               | A_44_P525229| 2.060       | Plasma Membrane | Other                 |
| NCF2   | Neutrophil cytosolic factor 2                   | A_44_P508554| 2.060       | Cytoplasm       | Enzyme                |
| CCKAR  | Cholecystokinin A receptor                       | A_43_P15402 | 2.040       | Plasma Membrane | G-protein-coupled receptor |
| CXCL1  | Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 1                | A_42_P473398| −4.348      | Extracellular Space | Cytokine            |
| PRL    | Prolactin                                       | A_43_P11492 | −4.000      | Extracellular Space | Cytokine            |
| CXCL9  | Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 9                | A_44_P1043157| −3.333      | Extracellular Space | Cytokine            |
| PDK4   | Pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase, isozyme 4        | A_44_P812772| −3.226      | Cytoplasm       | Kinase                |
| CCL13  | Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 13                 | A_42_P695401| −3.226      | Extracellular Space | Cytokine            |
| DOCK8  | Dedicator of cytokinesis 8                      | A_44_P944006| −3.125      | Cytoplasm       | Other                 |
| OR6C1  | Olfactory receptor, family 6, subfamily C, member 1 | A_44_P974878| −3.030      | Plasma Membrane | G-protein-coupled receptor |
| IL10   | Interleukin 10                                  | A_44_P384213| −3.030      | Extracellular Space | Cytokine            |
| ATP7B  | ATPase, Cu++ transporting, beta polypeptide     | A_44_P306339| −3.030      | Cytoplasm       | Transporter           |
| TRPM1  | Transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily M, member 1 | A_44_P295064| −2.941      | Plasma Membrane | Ion channel           |

and positive changes at the level of transcription within the hippocampus. Uncovering these novel gene functions/candidates, using a DNA microarray approach might be helpful in understanding the underlying mechanisms for hippocampal plasticity. As a first step, we classified genes that were dramatically up-regulated or down-regulated following 4 weeks of wheel running. Surprisingly, RWR recruited a more varied set of transcriptional categories that was observed to be predominantly suppressed or down-regulated (Fig. 2, Table 3). First, we discuss below some of the identified noticeable gene alterations according to their respective functions.

RWR influences gene down-regulation as the dominant expression profile, and which is associated with hippocampal plasticity

As discussed above, RWR is an excellent model for both muscular and hippocampal adaptations, leading us to hypothesize that resistance wheel running would produce

Change in gene expressions with RWR in gene classification and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA)

To reveal the trend of predominant pathways and the most significantly modified genes affected by RWR, we further utilized pathway-focused or specific-gene
Among the genes specifically regulated by RWR, we found an increased expression of novel molecules in the hippocampus, which are being reported for the first time in this paper. These include hydrolethalus syndrome protein 1 (HYLS1), nytalopin (NYX), phosphoglycerate kinase 2 (PGK2), nuclear factor of activated T cells, cytoplasmic 1 (NFATc1), arginine vasopressin receptor 1A (AVPR1A), and fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 (FGFR4), all showing a marked up-regulation in the hippocampus as a result of RWR. In particular, the transcription factor NFAT has been shown to be an important regulator in the proliferation and differentiation of neural development and plasticity (Graef et al. 1999; Schwartz et al. 2009). And, the AVPR1A gene is expressed widely in the brain where it modulates a range of behaviors from learning, memory, and responses to stressors to social behaviors (Bielsky et al. 2004; Caldwell et al. 2008). Moreover, the FGF responds to adult neurogenesis by increasing proliferation, differentiation, and survival (Galvez-Contreras et al. 2012). Therefore, further studies are needed to verify whether the RWR-induced increase in these novel molecules is indeed related to hippocampal plasticity.

**Down-regulated genes involved in hippocampal plasticity**

We found a greatly down-regulated SYCP3 gene encoding the synaptonemal complex protein 3, which is a marker for cell transformation that has prognostic significance in various cancers. SYCP3 is a DNA-binding protein and a structural component of the synaptonemal complex (Yuan et al. 1998), and SYCP3-mediated PI3K/Akt activation appears to be highly associated with resistance of tumor cells to cancer drugs (Noh et al. 2009). Moreover, inhibition of PI3K/Akt signaling blocks exercise-mediated enhancement of neurogenesis and synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus (Bruel-Jungerman et al. 2009). Therefore, SYCP3-mediated PI3K/Akt activation has been shown to play a significant role via anti-apoptotic function in promoting survival of newly formed granule cells generated during exercise and the associated increase in synaptic plasticity in the dentate gyrus.

Another notable gene was prolactin (PRL) that was also highly down-regulated with RWR. PRL, primarily known for its hormonal actions, has recently been identified as a neuropeptide of the brain. A variety of behavioral and neuronal actions have been described to be regulated by brain PRL (Ben-Jonathan et al. 1996). Such effects include anxiolytics in male and female rats (Torner et al. 2001), attenuation of hormonal and neuronal responses to various stressors (Donner et al. 2007), and regulation of maternal behavior (Bridges et al. 1990). Interestingly, trophic actions of PRL in the central nervous system include mediating development and maturation of dopaminergic neurons, regulating neurogenesis (Furuta and Bridges 2005), and brain cell proliferation (Mangoura et al.

---

**Table 4. Functional gene groupings sensitive to voluntary resistance wheel running (RWR).**

| Category                              | P value          | Number of genes |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Diseases and Disorders                |                  |                 |
| Immunological Disease                 | 2.61E-07–3.46E-03 | 39              |
| Hypersensitivity Response             | 7.24E-07–1.38E-03 | 19              |
| Inflammatory Response                 | 2.28E-06–3.89E-03 | 49              |
| Cardiovascular Disease                | 3.25E-06–2.44E-03 | 59              |
| Infectious Disease                   | 4.87E-06–3.12E-03 | 31              |
| Molecular and Cellular Functions      |                  |                 |
| Protein Synthesis                     | 8.75E-09–3.60E-03 | 26              |
| Cellular Movement                     | 3.78E-07–3.78E-03 | 41              |
| Cell-To-Cell Signaling and Interaction| 1.61E-06–3.89E-03 | 50              |
| Cell Signaling                        | 7.76E-06–2.67E-03 | 38              |
| Small Molecule Biochemistry           | 7.76E-06–3.60E-03 | 40              |
| Physiological System Development and Function| 3.93E-11–3.00E-03 | 73              |
| Humoral Immune Response               | 8.75E-09–3.46E-03 | 24              |
| Cell-mediated Immune Response         | 3.78E-07–3.88E-03 | 24              |
| Hematological System                  | 3.78E-07–3.89E-03 | 68              |
| Immune Cell Trafficking               | 3.78E-07–3.89E-03 | 46              |

Functional groupings of transcripts differentially modified by RWR (also shown are P-values, and numbers of involved genes). The groups derived from Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) are categorized into disease and disorders, molecular functions, and physiological system development and function.
Prolactin prevents chronic stress-induced decrease of adult hippocampal neurogenesis and promotes a positive neuronal cell fate (Torner et al. 2009). These results indicate that PRL protects neurogenesis in the DG of chronically stressed mice.

Down-regulated inflammatory cytokines and chemokines

We have focused our attention on the genes of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, and the expression of numerous chemokine-related genes, including genes encoding for chemokine (C-x-C motif and C-C motif) ligands that were highly down-regulated by RWR. Our data showed RWR down-regulated pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL1B, IL2RA, TNF) levels in the hippocampus, findings which are consistent with previous reports that physical activity led to decreases of proinflammatory or immunity-related genes (Petersen and Pedersen 2005; Bronikowski et al. 2003; Chennaoui et al. 2008; Gomes da Silva et al. 2013). It is important to point out that a pro-inflammatory cytokines induction in the brain might result in neuronal dysfunction and vulnerability. Indeed, increased levels of proinflammatory cytokines have been demonstrated to disturb neurogenesis (Ekdahl et al. 2003) and cognitive function (Arai et al. 2001).

Table 5. The top five gene networks modified with wheel running (WR) and resistance wheel running (RWR).

| Network | Associated network functions | Score | Focus molecules | Molecules in network |
|---------|------------------------------|-------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Sedentary x WR | Amino Acid Metabolism, Endocrine System Development and Function, Molecular Transport | 30 | 15 | ADIPOQ, B4GALT1, beta-stradiol, CCL13, CD69, CXCL10, DOCCK8, DRD2, GCNT4, GHR, IFNG, IgG1, IgG2a, IgM, IL4, IL5, IL10, IL13, LE, levothyroxine, Mbl1, Na+, NOS2, PDCD1L2G2, PRL, PRLR, RSAD2, SCNN1G, TRH, TRH, TNF, TRH, TTR2 |
| Sedentary x RWR | Humoral Immune Response, Protein Synthesis, Cellular Movement | 44 | 24 | AVPR1A, C5, CCL13, CC4, CD81, chemokine, CXCL9, CXCL10, DOC5B, FGG, GALT1, HMOX1, ICOS, IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b, IgM, IL10, IL12, IL2RA, LDL-cholesterol, MANZ1, MAP1A, NAIP, NFATC1, NO52, SDC1, SOAT2, TF, TRB, VLDL-cholesterol |
| Sedentary x RWR | Cell Trafficking, Humoral Immune Response | 23 | 15 | CCL13, CC4, CC6, CD69, CD80, DAPP1, EEMP1, EPO, FGF4, FLT4, GATA3, GNAO2, GPR34, GPT, hemoglobin, HIF1A, IFNG, IgM, IL5, ITGAV, L-tirosine, LAMA4, LTA, MPP9, NO52, PTGER4, RARA, RSAD2, SELP, TBX21, TNF, TF, TNRFSF14, TNRFSF21, TNRFSF13, ZC3H12A |
| Sedentary x RWR | Protein Synthesis, Endocrine System Development and Function, Molecular Transport | 17 | 12 | ABCA1, ADCYAP1, ALB, APOE, beta-estradiol, CACNA1C, CCKAR, CD36, CGA, CYP19A1, DRD2, ESR1, FSH, GABBR1, GC, GHR, GPR119, HDL-cholesterol, Immunoglobulin, Insulin, IRF5, IRS2, LAT1, Lh, NO52, PGR, PRL, PRLR, progestin, SST, SVT9, testosterone, TRH, TRH |
| Sedentary x RWR | Carbohydrate Metabolism, Molecular Transport, Small Molecule Biochemistry | 17 | 12 | AIM2, AMELX, CCL13, CCM, Collagen(s), D-glucose, ERC1, glycogen, H6PD, IHH, IKBG, Ins1, IRA2, IRAK1, IRAK3, IRS2, IRS4, NFkB (complex), NFkßB, NOD1, PARG, PKD4, PGR, RHEBL1, RIPK1, RIPK2, RPS6KA4, RPS6KA5, SLC5A1, TGFBI, TRH, TF, TNRFSF8, TNRFSF14, TRAF2, UCPC |
| Sedentary x RWR | Cell Death and Survival, Cancer, Hematological Disease | 10 | 5 | BCL2L1, caspase, COL4A3, FASLG, PML, TNFAIP8 |

The “Score” reflects the negative log of the P value and signifies the likelihood of network-eligible genes within a network being clustered together as a result of chance. See (Figs. 4, 5) for details of networks 1 and 2.
Figure 4. The two top gene networks sensitive to free wheel running. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software was used to identify the most significantly modified gene networks from Sed × WR. (A) Network 1 is involved in Amino Acid Metabolism, Endocrine System Development and Function, Molecular Transport. (B) Network 2 is involved in Protein Synthesis, Gene Expression, Cellular Movement. Transcripts are color-coded, according to expression changes (red, up-regulation; green, down-regulation). White indicates predicted molecules computational incorporated into networks based on evidence within the IPA knowledge base. Lines between molecules indicate a direct molecular connection.

Figure 5. The two top gene networks sensitive to resistance wheel running. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software was used to identify the most significantly modified gene networks from Sed × RWR. (A) Network 1 is involved in Humoral Immune Response, Protein Synthesis, Cellular Movement. (B) Network 2 is involved in Cellular Movement, Immune Cell Trafficking, Humoral Immune Response. Transcripts are color-coded, according to expression changes (red, up-regulation; green, down-regulation). White indicates predicted molecules computational incorporated into networks based on evidence within the IPA knowledge base. Lines between molecules indicate a direct molecular connection.
higher levels of inflammatory cytokines are reported in the aged brain (Godbout and Johnson 2006) and neurodegenerative disorders such as Alzheimer’s disease and other chronic conditions (Lucas et al. 2006). The importance of inflammatory response induced by exercise indicates its potential therapeutic potential for exercise-related brain inflammatory imbalance as well as to its potential to reduce the risk of neuro-inflammation-linked disorders, and this plays a crucial role in preventing damaged neurons from undergoing apoptosis (Rosczyk et al. 2008).

Thus, these findings indicate a favorable effect of RWR on the hippocampal pro- and anti-inflammatory balance of the rats, and provide information of potential interest in showing the involvement of numerous interleukin family members in exercised-induced hippocampal plasticity.

Another example was of the inflammatory cytokines, which are also known to be important in hippocampus-dependent functions such as spatial learning and memory (Sparkman et al. 2005). Our data showed that CXCL1 was the most highly down-regulated gene (−4.34 fold) with RWR. We also identified CXCL9, CXCL10, CCL2, CCL13 and CCR4 as being down-regulated following 4 weeks of RWR. These results reveal the differential and specific regulation of the Cxcl- and Ccl-family members in the hippocampus. The chemokines are chemotactic cytokines that, together with their receptors expressed on leukocytes, play crucial roles in the extravasation and migration of leukocytes under inflammatory conditions (Yamakoshi et al. 2007; Donde et al. 2012). In addition, inflammation actions disturb hippocampal neurogenesis related to the performance of spatial memory tasks (Ek-dahl et al. 2003; Monje et al. 2003). Therefore, these results suggest that chemokine with important roles in the hippocampus could affect normal brain functions and vulnerability.

**Confirmation of gene expression by quantitative RT-PCR**

Several cellular and molecular systems important for maintaining neuronal function and plasticity, such as neurotrophins may be involved in positive effects of exercise on the brain. In the present study, we found that RWR increased both hippocampal BDNF, and p-CREB mRNA levels by quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 6). It is likely that the potential roles of RWR in hippocampal function are associated with the action of BDNF signaling by integrating novel gene mechanisms of synaptic plasticity. However, we do not currently have proof for the above hypothesis. Nonetheless, our study provides information on unique expressed genes whose further analysis might shed light on their role in the RWR hippocampus.

**Conclusion and prospect**

Here, we fulfill the study objectives by (1) providing an inventory of newly changed gene expression in exercised rat hippocampi; (2) identifying alterations in a number of transcriptional pathways implicated in plasticity; and (3) unraveling specific transcripts altered in RWR compared with the WR that might be crucial to the enhancement of hippocampal functions. This first study adopting a whole-genome DNA microarray analysis approach has screened molecular factors underpinning the benefits of RWR. We speculate that the identified unique gene candidates will form part of our future investigations on the effects of target neuropeptides in potentially RWR-induced improvement of hippocampal functions. These future studies will provide new concepts of the sites of gene expression and increase our understanding of the crucial functional role of various genes in response to RWR, short distances but high work levels, for effective exercise leading to nonpharmacological hippocampal plasticity.

**Conflict of Interest**

No conflicts of interests, financial or otherwise, are declared by the author(s).

**References**

Adlard, P. A., V. M. Perreau, and C. W. Cotman. 2005. The exercise-induced expression of BDNF within the hippocampus varies across life-span. Neurobiol. Aging 26:511–520.
Unique Hippocampal Gene Expression Profile of RWR

M. C. Lee et al.

Arai, K., N. Matsuki, Y. Ikegaya, and N. Nishiyama. 2001. Deterioration of spatial learning performances in lipopolysaccharide-treated mice. Jpn. J. Pharmacol. 87:195–201.

Ben-Jonathan, N., J. L. Mershon, D. L. Allen, and R. W. Steinmetz. 1996. Extrapituitary prolactin: distribution, regulation, functions, and clinical aspects. Endocr. Rev. 17:639–669.

Bielsky, I. F., S. B. Hu, K. L. Szegda, H. Westphal, and L. J. Young. 2004. Profound impairment in social recognition and reduction in anxiety-like behavior in vasopressin V1a receptor knockout mice. Neuropsychopharmacology 29:483–493.

Bridges, R. S., M. Numan, P. M. Ronsheim, P. E. Mann, and C. E. Lupini. 1990. Central prolactin infusions stimulate maternal behavior in steroid-treated, nulliparous female rats. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 87:8003–8007.

Bronikowski, A. M., P. A. Carter, T. J. Morgan, T. Jr Garland, N. Ung, T. D. Pugh, et al. 2003. Lifelong voluntary exercise in the mouse prevents age-related alterations in gene expression in the heart. Physiol. Genomics 12:129–138.

Bruel-Jungerman, E., A. Veyrac, F. Dufour, J. Horwood, S. Laroche, and S. Davis. 2009. Inhibition of PI3K-Akt signaling blocks exercise-mediated enhancement of adult neurogenesis and synaptic plasticity in the dentate gyrus. PLoS One 4:e7901.

Caldwell, H. K., H. J. Lee, A. H. Macbeth, and W. S. 3rd Young. 2008. Vasopressin: behavioral roles of an “original” neuropeptide. Prog. Neurobiol. 84:1–24.

Call, J. A., J. N. McKeethen, S. A. Novotny, and D. A. Lowe. 2010. Progressive resistance voluntary wheel running in the mdx mouse. Muscle Nerve 42:871–880.

Chennoufi, M., C. Drogou, and D. Gomez-Merino. 2008. Effects of physical training on IL-1βeta, IL-6 and IL-1α concentrations in various brain areas of the rat. Eur. Cytokine Netw. 19:8–14.

De Bono, J. P., D. Adlam, D. J. Paterson, and K. M. Channon. 2006. Novel quantitative phenotypes of exercise training in mouse models. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 290:R926–R934.

DeRisi, J. L., V. R. Iyer, and P. O. Brown. 1997. Exploring the metabolic and genetic control of gene expression on a genomic scale. Science 278:680–686.

Donde, A., H. Wong, J. Frelinger, K. Power, J. R. Balmes, and M. Arjomandi. 2012. Effects of exercise on systemic inflammatory, coagulatory, and cardiac autonomic parameters in an inhalational exposure study. J. Occup. Environ. Med. 54:466–470.

Donner, N., R. Bredewold, R. Maloumby, and I. D. Neumann. 2007. Chronic intracerebral prolactin attenuates neuronal stress circuitries in virgin rats. Eur. J. Neurosci. 25:1804–1814.

Ekdahl, C. T., J. H. Claesen, S. Bonde, Z. Kokaia, and O. Lindvall. 2003. Inflammation is detrimental for neurogenesis in adult brain. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 100:13632–13637.

Farmer, J., X. Zhao, H. van Praag, K. Wodtke, F. H. Gage, and B. R. Christie. 2004. Effects of voluntary exercise on synaptic plasticity and gene expression in the dentate gyrus of adult male Sprague-Dawley rats in vivo. Neuroscience 124:71–79.

Fordyce, D. E., and J. M. Wehner. 1993. Physical activity enhances spatial learning performance with an associated alteration in hippocampal protein kinase C activity in C57BL/6 and DBA/2 mice. Brain Res. 619:111–119.

Furuta, M., and R. S. Bridges. 2005. Gestation-induced cell proliferation in the rat brain. Brain Res. Dev. Brain Res. 156:66–66.

Galvez-Conterras, A. Y., R. E. Gonzalez-Castaneda, S. Luquin, and O. Gonzalez-Perez. 2012. Role of fibroblast growth factor receptors in astrocytic stem cells. Curr. Signal Transduct. Ther. 7:81–86.

Godbout, J. P., and R. W. Johnson. 2006. Age and neuroinflammation: a lifetime of psychoneuroimmune consequences. Neurol. Clin. 24:521–538.

Gomes da Silva, S., P. S. Simoes, R. A. Mortara, F. A. Scorza, E. A. Cavalheiro, M. da Graca Naffah-Mazzcoratti, et al. 2013. Exercise-induced hippocampal anti-inflammatory response in aged rats. J. Neuroinflammation 10:61.

Gould, E., P. Tanapat, N. B. Hastings, and T. J. Shors. 1999. Neurogenesis in adulthood: a possible role in learning. Trends Cogn. Sci. 3:186–192.

Graef, I. A., P. G. Mermelstein, K. Stankunas, J. R. Neilson, K. Deisseroth, R. W. Tsien, et al. 1999. L-type calcium channels and GSK-3 regulate the activity of NF-ATc4 in hippocampal neurons. Nature 401:703–708.

Hirano, M., J. Shibato, R. Rakwal, N. Kouyama, Y. Katayama, M. Hayashi, et al. 2009. Transcriptomic analysis of rat brain tissue following gamma knife surgery: early and distinct bilateral effects in the un-irradiated striatum. Mol. Cells 27:263–268.

Hofer, M., S. R. Pagliusi, A. Hohn, J. Leibrock, and Y. A. Barde. 1990. Regional distribution of brain-derived neurotrophic factor mRNA in the adult mouse brain. EMBO J. 9:2459–2464.

Hunsberger, J. G., S. S. Newton, A. H. Bennett, C. H. Duman, D. S. Russell, S. R. Salton, et al. 2007. Antidepressant actions of the exercise-regulated gene VGF. Nat. Med. 13:1476–1482.

Ishihara, A., R. R. Roy, Y. Ohira, Y. Ibata, and V. R. Edgerton. 1998. Hypertrophy of rat plantaris muscle fibers after voluntary running with increasing loads. J. Appl. Physiol. 84:2183–2189.

Ke, Z., S. P. Yip, L. Li, X. X. Zheng, and K. Y. Tong. 2011. The effects of voluntary, involuntary, and forced exercises on brain-derived neurotrophic factor and motor function recovery: a rat brain ischemia model. PLoS One 6:e16643.

Kempermann, G., L. Wiskott, and F. H. Gage. 2004. Functional significance of adult neurogenesis. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 14:186–191.
Kohman, R. A., S. L. Rodriguez-Zas, B. R. Southey, K. W. Kelley, R. Dantzer, and J. S. Rhodes. 2011. Voluntary wheel running reverses age-induced changes in hippocampal gene expression. PLoS One 6:e22654.

Konhilas, J. P., U. Widgren, D. L. Allen, A. C. Paul, A. Cleary, and L. A. Leinwand. 2005. Loaded wheel running and muscle adaptation in the mouse. Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol. 289:H455–H465.

Korte, M., P. Carroll, E. Wolf, G. Brem, H. Thoenen, and T. Bonhoeffer. 1995. Hippocampal long-term potentiation is impaired in mice lacking brain-derived neurotrophic factor. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 92:8856–8860.

Leasure, J. L., and M. Jones. 2008. Forced and voluntary exercise differentially affect brain and behavior. Neuroscience 156:456–465.

Lee, J., W. Duan, and M. P. Mattson. 2002. Evidence that brain-derived neurotrophic factor is required for basal neurogenesis and mediates, in part, the enhancement of neurogenesis by dietary restriction in the hippocampus of adult mice. J. Neurochem. 82:1367–1375.

Lee, M. C., M. Okamoto, Y. F. Liu, K. Inoue, T. Matsui, H. Nogami, et al. 2012. Voluntary resistance running with short distance enhances spatial memory related to hippocampal BDNF signaling. J. Appl. Physiol. 113:1260–1266.

Lee, M. C., K. Inoue, M. Okamoto, Y. F. Liu, T. Matsui, J. S. Yook, et al. 2013. Voluntary resistance running induces increased hippocampal neurogenesis in rats comparable to load-free running. Neurosci. Lett. 537:6–10.

Legerlotz, K., B. Elliott, B. Guillemin, and H. K. Smith. 2008. Voluntary resistance running wheel activity pattern and skeletal muscle growth in rats. Exp. Physiol. 93:754–762.

Li, Y., B. W. Luikart, S. Birnbaum, J. Chen, C. H. Kwon, S. G. Kernie, et al. 2008. TrkB regulates hippocampal neurogenesis and governs sensitivity to antidepressive treatment. Neuron 59:399–412.

Lucas, S. M., N. J. Rothwell, and R. M. Gibson. 2006. The role of inflammation in CNS injury and disease. Br. J. Pharmacol. 147(Suppl. 1):S232–S240.

Magnoux, D., C. Pelletiere, S. Leung, N. Sakellaridis, and D. X. Wang. 2000. Prolactin concurrently activates src-PLD and JAK/Stat signaling pathways to induce proliferation while promoting differentiation in embryonic astrocytes. Int. J. Dev. Neurosci. 18:693–704.

Martin-Magniette, M. L., J. Aubert, E. Cabannes, and J. J. Daudin. 2005. Evaluation of the gene-specific dye bias in cdNA microarray experiments. Bioinformatics 21:1995–2000.

Molteni, R., Z. Ying, and F. Gomez-Pinilla. 2002. Differential effects of acute and chronic exercise on plasticity-related genes in the rat hippocampus revealed by microarray. Eur. J. Neurosci. 16:1107–1116.

Monje, M. L., H. Toda, and T. D. Palmer. 2003. Inflammatory blockade restores adult hippocampal neurogenesis. Science 302:1760–1765.

Moraska, A., T. Deak, R. L. Spencer, D. Roth, and M. Fleshner. 2000. Treadmill running produces both positive and negative physiological adaptations in Sprague-Dawley rats. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 279:R1321–R1329.

Neep, S. A., F. Gomez-Pinilla, J. Choi, and C. Cotman. 1995. Exercise and brain neurotrophins. Nature 373:109.

Noh, K. H., T. H. Kang, J. H. Kim, S. I. Pai, K. Y. Lin, C. F. Hung, et al. 2009. Activation of Akt as a mechanism for tumor immune evasion. Mol. Ther. 17:439–447.

Ogawa, T., R. Rakwal, J. Shibato, C. Sawa, T. Saito, A. Murayama, et al. 2011. Seeking gene candidates responsible for developmental origins of health and disease. Congenit. Anom. (Kyoto) 51:110–125.

Pencea, V., K. D. Bingaman, L. J. Freedman, and M. B. Luskin. 2001. Neurogenesis in the subventricular zone and rostral migratory stream of the neonatal and adult primate forebrain. Exp. Neurol. 172:1–16.

Petersen, A. M., and B. K. Pedersen. 2005. The anti-inflammatory effect of exercise. J. Appl. Physiol. (1985) 98:1154–1162.

van Praag, H., B. R. Christie, T. J. Sejnowski, and F. H. Gage. 1999. Running enhances neurogenesis, learning, and long-term potentiation in mice. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 96:13427–13431.

Rhodes, J. S., H. van Praag, S. Jeffrey, I. Girard, G. S. Mitchell, T. Jr Garland, et al. 2003. Exercise increases hippocampal neurogenesis to high levels but does not improve spatial learning in mice bred for increased voluntary wheel running. Behav. Neurosci. 117:1006–1016.

Rosczyk, H. A., N. L. Sparkman, and R. W. Johnson. 2008. Neuroinflammation and cognitive function in aged mice following minor surgery. Exp. Gerontol. 43:840–846.

Rosenzweig, B. A., P. S. Pine, O. E. Domon, S. M. Morris, J. J. Chen, and F. D. Sistare. 2004. Dye bias correction in dual-labeled cdNA microarray gene expression measurements. Environ. Health Perspect. 112:480–487.

Samorajski, T., C. Delaney, L. Durham, J. M. Ordy, J. A. Johnson, and W. P. Dunlap. 1985. Effect of exercise on longevity, body weight, locomotor performance, and passive-avoidance memory of C57BL/6j mice. Neurobiol. Aging 6:17–24.

Schwartz, N., A. Schohl, and E. S. Ruthazer. 2009. Neural activity regulates synaptic properties and dendritic structure in vivo through calcineurin/NFAT signaling. Neuron 62:655–669.

Soya, H., T. Nakamura, C. C. Deocaris, A. Kimpara, M. Iimura, T. Fujikawa, et al. 2007. BDNF induction with mild exercise in the rat hippocampus. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 358:961–967.

Sparkman, N. L., R. A. Kohman, A. K. Garcia, and G. W. Boehm. 2005. Peripheral lipopolysaccharide administration impairs two-way active avoidance conditioning in C57BL/6j mice. Physiol. Behav. 85:278–288.
Stranahan, A. M., D. Khalil, and E. Gould. 2007. Running induces widespread structural alterations in the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex. Hippocampus 17:1017–1022.

Tong, L., H. Shen, V. M. Perreau, R. Balazs, and C. W. Cotman. 2001. Effects of exercise on gene-expression profile in the rat hippocampus. Neurobiol. Dis. 8:1046–1056.

Torner, L., N. Toschi, A. Pohlinger, R. Landgraf, and I. D. Neumann. 2001. Anxiolytic and anti-stress effects of brain prolactin: improved efficacy of antisense targeting of the prolactin receptor by molecular modeling. J. Neurosci. 21:3207–3214.

Torner, L., S. Karg, A. Blume, M. Kandasamy, H. G. Kuhn, J. Winkler, et al. 2009. Prolactin prevents chronic stress-induced decrease of adult hippocampal neurogenesis and promotes neuronal fate. J. Neurosci. 29:1826–1833.

Vaynman, S., Z. Ying, and F. Gomez-Pinilla. 2004. Hippocampal BDNF mediates the efficacy of exercise on synaptic plasticity and cognition. Eur. J. Neurosci. 20:2580–2590.

Yamakoshi, T., K. Yamakoshi, S. Tanaka, M. Nogawa, M. Shibata, Y. Sawada, et al. 2007. A preliminary study on driver’s stress index using a new method based on differential skin temperature measurement. Conf. Proc. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc. 722–725:2007.

Yuan, L., J. Pelttari, E. Brundell, B. Bjorkroth, J. Zhao, J. G. Liu, et al. 1998. The synaptonemal complex protein SCP3 can form multistranded, cross-striated fibers in vivo. J. Cell Biol. 142:331–339.