Subcutaneous merocercoids of *Clistobothrium* sp. in two Cape fur seals (*Arctocephalus pusillus pusillus*)
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1. Introduction

Newly discovered tapeworm species and poorly understood phylogenetic relationships within the Phyllobothriidea have resulted in numerous changes in the taxonomy of these parasites supported by increasing molecular data (Olson et al., 1999; Caira et al., 2014). Traditionally, the Phyllobothriidae represented a family of the Tetraphyllidea (Olson et al., 1999) and have been demoted to genus level in 2011 (Bosc, 1802) and ‘Monorygma grimaldii’ (Moniez, 1889) and have been detected in several offshore epipelagic, deep feeding marine mammals (Aznar et al., 2007). Molecular analyses showed that these merocercoids are not related to the genera *Phyllobothrium* and *Monorygma* which have similar bothridial structures; consequently the genus combinations are invalid (Ruhnke, 2011 and Caira et al., 2014, 2017). Therefore, we will refer to them hereinafter as delphini- and grimaldii-morphotype merocercoids. According to the key of marine tapeworm larvae established by Jensen and Bullard (2010), both merocercoids

\begin{itemize}
  \item accessory sucker; most are parasites of carcharhiniform sharks (Caira et al., 2014).
  \item Phylobothriid metacestodes surrounded by a bladder with inverted or everted scoleces, so-called merocercoids (Chervy, 2002), have historically been referred to as ‘Phyllobothrium delphini’ (Bosc, 1802) and ‘Monorygma grimaldii’ (Moniez, 1889) and have been detected in several offshore epipelagic, deep feeding marine mammals (Aznar et al., 2007). Molecular analyses showed that these merocercoids are not related to the genera *Phyllobothrium* and *Monorygma* which have similar bothridial structures; consequently the genus combinations are invalid (Ruhnke, 2011 and Caira et al., 2014, 2017). Therefore, we will refer to them hereinafter as delphini- and grimaldii-morphotype merocercoids. According to the key of marine tapeworm larvae established by Jensen and Bullard (2010), both merocercoids
\end{itemize}
Phyllobothrium cestodes of these merocercoids are not known and their assignment to types (Testa and Dailey, 1977), which might represent stages developed is complicated by the extensive variability of delphini-morpho-
lactuca maldii Clistobothrium species in GenBank are limited to carcharodoni between plerocercoids from the squid moreover, sequence identity of more than 99.8% was also found between Delphini- and grimaldii-type (Aznar et al., 2007). Both merocercoid types can be distinguished by morphological criteria: The scolex of the delphini-type is small, has folded bothridia and a thin, short connected invagination filament, whereas the scolex of the grimaldii-type is small, has bothridia with simple margins and a thin, very long connected invagination filament (Agusti et al., 2005a). Merocercoids of the delphini-type are frequently found in the subcutaneous blubber of the ventral abdominal wall concentrating in the perigenital region, whereas the grimaldii-type are encysted in the mesentery and located retroperitoneal parallel to the rectum, at the caudal pole of the kidneys, in the lateral ligaments of the urinary bladder, in the ligamentum latum of the uterus and close to the testis. LP plerocercoids are predominantly located inside the anal sac and free in the lumen of the intestine, hepatic and pancreatic ducts and SP plerocercoids free in the lumen and buried in the mucosa of the main and pyloric stomach and the intestine with concentrations in the terminal colon and rectum mucosa (Norman, 1997; Agusti et al., 2005a, 2005b; Oliveira et al., 2011).

As mentioned before, the historical names 'P. delphini' and 'M. grimaldii' — still used by some authors — are misleading as the adult cestodes of these merocercoids are not known and their assignment to the genera Phyllobothrium and Monorygma with the type species P. lactuca van Beneden, 1850 and M. perfectum van Beneden, 1853 (Diesing, 1863), respectively is invalid. The identification of these forms is complicated by the extensive variability of delphini-morphotypes (Testa and Dailey, 1977), which might represent stages developing with time spent in a host (Fiala Siquier and Le Bas, 2003). Sequence analyses of the two merocercoid-types and LP- and SP-forms of plerocercoids suggested that they are congeneric and different species of the genus Clistobothrium (Agusti et al., 2005a).

Marine mammals represent intermediate hosts of Clistobothrium tapeworm species as shown by detecting plero- and merocercoids in cetaceans and pinnipeds (Aznar et al., 2007). Sequence data from adult Clistobothrium species in GenBank are limited to C. montaukensis and C. carcharodoni, the latter, which was confirmed by scolex morphology only. Trophic interaction between large sharks and cetaceans has been shown by identical sequence of Clistobothrium carcharodoni from the great white shark (Carcharodon carharitas; HM856632-33) and SP plerocercoids in striped dolphin (Stenella coeruleoalba; DQ839588) and Risso's dolphin (Grampus griseus; DQ839587) (Randhawa, 2011). Furthermore, sequence identity of more than 99.8% was also found between plerocercoids from the squids Dorytuechus gahi and Clistobothrium cf. montaukensis from porbeagle sharks (Randhawa and Brickle, 2011), plerocercoids from the squid Illex coindeti (KT148970), deep sea oarfish Regalecus glesne (KM272991) and C. montaukensis from shortfin mako sharks (AF286957; Kurs et al., 2015) suggesting transmission of tape-worms between these species. Both cetaceans and pinnipeds represent a preferred prey of large sharks (Long and Jones, 1996; Heithaus, 2001).

Here, two cases of subcutaneous merocercoids of Clistobothrium sp. in cape fur seals (Arctocephalus pusillus pusillus) are described and for the first time were molecularly characterized.

2. Materials and methods

Two female, 25– (case No. 1) and 27– (case No. 2) year–old fur seals caught in the 1980s at the South African coast were examined patho-morphologically. Both animals lived more than 20 years in the zoological garden of Bremerhaven, Germany. Case No. 1, a 25-year-old, fe-

moralr seal died after mating activity with suspected cardiovascular failure and fracture of both mandibular rami in May 2013. Case No. 2 was euthanized in October 2015 due to multiple geriatric diseases including blindness and reduced mobility and activity.

Both fur seals were necropsied and tissue samples were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin before being embedded in paraffin wax. For histological examination, 2–3 μm thick sections were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Additionally, a staining with the “von Kossa silver nitrate”–method for detection of calcium deposits was performed (Riedelshheimer and Büchl-Zimmermann, 2010). Merocercoids from case No. 2 were isolated from the subcutaneous adipose tissue and examined morphologically using stereo and light microscopy, SC30 digital camera and CellSens Dimension software (Olympus, Germany).

Following morphological analysis, DNA was isolated from the merocercoid of case No. 2 using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit according to manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The rDNA region including 18S, ITS1, 5.8S, ITS2 and partial 28S was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in three overlapping fragments using the following primer pairs: WormA 5'-GCGAATGTGCTCA TTAATCAG-3' and WormB 5'-CTTGGTACTTCTTACTCC-3' (Littlewood and Olson, 2001), NFI: 5'-GGTGTGTCAGCCTGTTCA TGT-3' (Porazinska et al., 2009) and D3A: 5'-TCCTGTGTTAACAGG GTGTC-3' (Nunn, 1992), Tph28S-F900: 5'-GCTGATTGTTGCTGTCGCG TCTG-3' (new design) and L2230 5'-AGACCTGCTGGGATATGGT-3' (Lockyer et al., 2003). PCR was performed in 50 μl reaction volume using HOT FIREPol Blend Master Mix 7.5 mM MgCl2 (Solis BioDyne, Tartu, Estonia) under the following conditions: 3 min 95 °C initial denaturation, 35 cycles 20 s 95 °C, 30 s 54 °C, 2 min 72 °C and 5 min 72 °C final extension. A partial cytochrome oxidase subunit 1 sequence (cox1) was amplified with primers Dice1F 5'-tatcactactataATTCN- TTRGATCATAAG-3' and Dice1R 5'-taataacactactataGCGWACHA- AATTHGCAGC-3' using a touchdown-PCR protocol; lower case denote anchored primers T3s and T7s used for direct sequencing (Van Steenkiste et al., 2015). Amplicons were purified from agarose gels and sequenced through an external service provider (LGC Genomics GmbH, Berlin, Germany). Obtained sequences were analyzed by BLAST search against the GenBank database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

For phylogenetic analysis, a BLAST search in GenBank database was conducted and a dataset of Clistobothrium spp., Phyllobothrium spp and high-scoring taxa derived from BLAST search were chosen (Supplementary Table 1). Sequences were end-trimmed by manual inspection and aligned by MAFFT 7 (Katoh and Standley, 2013); for pairwise genetic distance see Supplementary Table 2. For the 18S rDNA phylogeny, the aligned sequences corresponded to nucleotide (nt) 8–1877 of the Clistobothrium merocercoid sequence KU724058, for the 28S D2 region nt 3459–3975. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using maximum likelihood software (PhyML 3.1 aLRT) and TreeDyn from the Phylogeny.fr website (Dereeper et al., 2008). Sequences are available under the GenBank accession numbers KU724058 (rDNA) and KU987913 (cox1).
3. Results

3.1. Pathological description

Besides the pathomorphological findings that were responsible for death or euthanasia of the animals (Case No. 1: multiple fractures and hemorrhages due to trauma; Case No. 2: multiple geriatric processes such as spondylosis, retinal atrophy and benign tumors) both animals showed multifocally approximately 15, up to 1 cm in diameter large cavities within the subcutaneous adipose tissue in the ventral thoracic and abdominal wall. In these cavities intraluminal parasites were detected (Fig. 1). Histological examination revealed larval stages of cestodes (merocercoids) with an approx. 15 μm thick, eosinophilic tegument, a loosely packed parenchyma, approx. 20 μm in diameter large, strongly “von Kossa”-positive “calcareous corpuscles” (Fig. 2 A) and a perifocal, pyogranulomatous panniculitis (Fig. 2B) with multinucleated cells within the blubber.

3.2. Morphological description, molecular characterisation and analysis of the merocercoids

The parasitological examination revealed phyllobothriid Cestodes (merocercoids) with a scolex with an anterior glandular apical organ (reduced sucker) and four undivided bothridia, each with a prominent anterior accessory sucker and single loculus (Fig. 3). The scolex was at the end of an 18 mm long and 2 mm wide invagination filament of the cystic wall. The bothridia were thin, foliose, fragile, with curled margins and the anterior sucker was large and slightly oval, 500 μm long by 400 μm wide. When compared with discriminating features of the two general types of merocercoids of marine mammals – delphini-like and grimaldii-type – the merocercoids from the fur seal were unambiguously classified as delphini-type (Table 1). The most decisive features were the site of infection, the length of the invagination filament and the ratio of bladder length to invagination filament length. The features described above identified the two merocercoids as larvae Type XV according to the key of marine cestode larvae (Jensen and Bullard, 2010).

DNA of the merocercoid from case No. 2 was isolated and sequences of 5543 bp of the ribosomal DNA (18S rRNA, ITS1, 5.8S, ITS2 and partial 28S rRNA genes) and 585 bp of the mitochondrial COI gene (coxi) were obtained by amplification with universal primers. The 28S rDNA sequence was 100% identical to all twelve partial (longest 653 bp) 28S rDNA sequences of grimaldii-type merocercoid isolates in GenBank followed by 99.7% identity — with only two nucleotide transitions — to adult Clistobothrium carcarodonti (HM856632 725/727 nt) and 99.6% identity to all fourteen 28S rDNA delphini-type merocercoid isolates (e.g. DQ839589 690/693 nt). The identity to the second Clistobothrium species in GenBank – C. montaukensis - was slightly lower (99.3%) and showed three nucleotide transversions (EF095259 2502/2524). The homology of the 28S rDNA sequence to adult Phyllobothrium species was lower than 96% (P. squali KF685897: 1413/1477 nt, 95.5%; P. lactuca KF685770: 2352/2491 nt, 94.4%). Sequences from adult Monorygma species and 18S rDNA sequences from the delphini- and grimaldii-type merocercoids are not present in GenBank.

The 18S rDNA sequence is less discriminative because of the higher conservation in comparison to the 28S D1-D3 rDNA region. The best matches are sequences from C. montaukensis with a homology of 99.1–99.4% identity (AF126069: 1467/1481 nt; JX845132: 1923/1957 nt) followed by Clistobothrium sp. with 98.2% (AF286996: 1923/1934 nt) followed by Crossobothrium sp. with 98.2% (JX845132: 1921/1957 nt). Phyllobothrium species have less than 98% identity with the present fur seal merocercoid sequence (P. squali KF685846: 1904/1944 nt, 97.9%; P. lactuca KF685770: 2352/2491 nt, 94.4%).

Fig. 1. Subcutaneous adipose tissue of a 27-year-old, female fur seal (case No. 2). Up to 1 cm in diameter large cavities (A, arrow) containing one or more parasites (B, arrow) as detected in the cross section. Bars = 1 cm.

Fig. 2. Histological section of subcutaneous adipose tissue of a 25-year-old, female fur seal (case No. 1) containing metacestode tapeworms with associated inflammation (box). The parasitic structures are characterized by a tegument (arrow) and centrally a parenchymatous matrix (asterisks) is present (A, bar = 1000 μm). Within the parenchymatous matrix of the parasite, numerous calcareous corpuscles stained with the “von Kossa”-method are present (B, bar = 100 μm). The parasite is surrounded by an inflammatory reaction composed of lymphocytes, plasma cells, macrophages and neutrophils (C, bar = 100 μm). A, C = hematoxylin and eosin.
3.3. Phylogenetic analyses confirm assignment to Clistobothrium

The new 18S rDNA sequence and the D2 region of the 28S rDNA — identical to the grimaldii-type merocercoid sequence — were used for phylogenetic analyses using a dataset of 17 and 25 sequences covering 15 phyllobothriidean genera (Fig. 4, Supplementary Table 1). In the 28S D2 rDNA analysis, the merocercoid from the fur seal, the delphini- and grimaldii-type merocercoids and the LP plerocercoids group in one clade with adults of the two Clistobothrium species C. montaukensis and C. carcharodoni as shown previously by several authors (Agusti et al., 2005a; Aznar et al., 2007; Jensen and Bullard, 2010; Randhawa, 2011; Randhawa and Brickle, 2011). This assignment to Clistobothrium was also verified using the near complete 18S rRNA gene for analysis, although with a smaller number of available taxa.

4. Discussion

In the present study, subcutaneous tapeworms of two adult captive fur seals were identified as merocercoids of the genus Clistobothrium. Their sequences were 100% identical with the sequence of grimaldii-type merocercoids from dolphins and more than 99% identical with other members of the Clistobothrium clade including C. montaukensis and C. carcharodoni. Morphological criteria and molecular analyses underline the result of studies dealing with cetaceans, that delphini- and grimaldii-type merocercoids and the LP-plerocercoid belong to different, molecularly uncharacterized adult Clistobothrium species (Agusti et al., 2005a; Randhawa, 2011). The bothridia of the scolex of grimaldii-type merocercoids from dolphins are smooth like those of Monorygma species. In contrast, the merocercoids from the seals have foliose bothridia and morphologically resemble those of delphini-type merocercoids from dolphins. We therefore conclude that this Clistobothrium species develops different in the two intermediate hosts leading to less developed grimaldii-type merocercoids in dolphins and further-developed delphini-type merocercoids in seals. Due to the highly similar scolex morphology it is likely that the tapeworm metacestodes, isolated from the adipose tissue of the two captive fur seals, display merocercoids of the adult C. tumidum (Syn: Phyllobothrium tumidum; Linton, 1922; Ruhnke, 1993). Future molecular analysis of adult specimens of C. tumidum should clarify this hypothesis. The apex predator of the marine food web, the great white shark (Carcharodon carcharias) is known to be one definitive host for this Clistobothrium species (Linton, 1922). In addition, C. tumidum was also described from mackerel sharks: Riser (1955) found specimens in the salmon shark Lamna ditropis from California and Euzet (1959) in the shortfin mako shark Isurus oxyrinchus from Europe at the Mediterranean and the Brittany coast.

One problem in discriminating the different members of the Clistobothrium clade is the low sequence diversity in 18S and 28S regions. The ITS and the cox1 gene sequences determined here from the fur seal merocercoids could not be used for species discrimination in the Clistobothrium clade due to the lack of data. From the current 451 Phyllobothriidae sequences in GenBank only 26 are cox1 (23 belonging to Anindobothrium spp.) and 24 are ITS sequences (23 belonging to Anindobothrium spp.). Importantly, the cox1 sequence of the fur seal Clistobothrium species has only 85% nucleotide identity to the sequence of grimaldii-type merocercoids from dolphins. We therefore conclude that this Clistobothrium species develops different in the two intermediate hosts leading to less developed grimaldii-type merocercoids in dolphins and further-developed delphini-type merocercoids in seals. Due to the highly similar scolex morphology it is likely that the tapeworm metacestodes, isolated from the adipose tissue of the two captive fur seals, display merocercoids of the adult C. tumidum (Syn: Phyllobothrium tumidum; Linton, 1922; Ruhnke, 1993). Future molecular analysis of adult specimens of C. tumidum should clarify this hypothesis. The apex predator of the marine food web, the great white shark (Carcharodon carcharias) is known to be one definitive host for this Clistobothrium species (Linton, 1922). In addition, C. tumidum was also described from mackerel sharks: Riser (1955) found specimens in the salmon shark Lamna ditropis from California and Euzet (1959) in the shortfin mako shark Isurus oxyrinchus from Europe at the Mediterranean and the Brittany coast.

One problem in discriminating the different members of the Clistobothrium clade is the low sequence diversity in 18S and 28S regions. The ITS and the cox1 gene sequences determined here from the fur seal merocercoids could not be used for species discrimination in the Clistobothrium clade due to the lack of data. From the current 451 Phyllobothriidae sequences in GenBank only 26 are cox1 (23 belonging to Anindobothrium spp.) and 24 are ITS sequences (23 belonging to Anindobothrium spp.). Importantly, the cox1 sequence of the fur seal Clistobothrium species has only 85% nucleotide identity to the sequence of grimaldii-type merocercoids from dolphins. We therefore conclude that this Clistobothrium species develops different in the two intermediate hosts leading to less developed grimaldii-type merocercoids in dolphins and further-developed delphini-type merocercoids in seals. Due to the highly similar scolex morphology it is likely that the tapeworm metacestodes, isolated from the adipose tissue of the two captive fur seals, display merocercoids of the adult C. tumidum (Syn: Phyllobothrium tumidum; Linton, 1922; Ruhnke, 1993). Future molecular analysis of adult specimens of C. tumidum should clarify this hypothesis. The apex predator of the marine food web, the great white shark (Carcharodon carcharias) is known to be one definitive host for this Clistobothrium species (Linton, 1922). In addition, C. tumidum was also described from mackerel sharks: Riser (1955) found specimens in the salmon shark Lamna ditropis from California and Euzet (1959) in the shortfin mako shark Isurus oxyrinchus from Europe at the Mediterranean and the Brittany coast.

One problem in discriminating the different members of the Clistobothrium clade is the low sequence diversity in 18S and 28S regions. The ITS and the cox1 gene sequences determined here from the fur seal merocercoids could not be used for species discrimination in the Clistobothrium clade due to the lack of data. From the current 451 Phyllobothriidae sequences in GenBank only 26 are cox1 (23 belonging to Anindobothrium spp.) and 24 are ITS sequences (23 belonging to Anindobothrium spp.). Importantly, the cox1 sequence of the fur seal Clistobothrium species has only 85% nucleotide identity to the sequence of grimaldii-type merocercoids from dolphins. We therefore conclude that this Clistobothrium species develops different in the two intermediate hosts leading to less developed grimaldii-type merocercoids in dolphins and further-developed delphini-type merocercoids in seals. Due to the highly similar scolex morphology it is likely that the tapeworm metacestodes, isolated from the adipose tissue of the two captive fur seals, display merocercoids of the adult C. tumidum (Syn: Phyllobothrium tumidum; Linton, 1922; Ruhnke, 1993). Future molecular analysis of adult specimens of C. tumidum should clarify this hypothesis. The apex predator of the marine food web, the great white shark (Carcharodon carcharias) is known to be one definitive host for this Clistobothrium species (Linton, 1922). In addition, C. tumidum was also described from mackerel sharks: Riser (1955) found specimens in the salmon shark Lamna ditropis from California and Euzet (1959) in the shortfin mako shark Isurus oxyrinchus from Europe at the Mediterranean and the Brittany coast.

Table 1
Comparison of merocercoids from fur seals of the present study and from literature with the two common morphotypes of cetaceans (striped dolphins, sample I, Agusti et al., 2005a, b).

| Feature [mm]                  | Merocercoid                      |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                              | Present study protruded invaginated | Mendonca 1984 | Southwell 1936 | 'Phyllobothrium delphini' | 'Monorygma grimaldii' |
| Host                         | fur seal                        | blubber       |                | striped dolphin           | mesentery             |
| Location in hosts            | Bladder length (BLL)            | 35.00         | 37.50          | 16.7                     | 15.00                  | 10.30                   | 13.70                   |
| width (BLL)                  | 7.00                            | 7.50          | 5.9            | 8.00                     | 5.90                   | 5.90                    | 7.70                    |
| Filament length (FL)         | 18.20                           | 13.60         | 8.8            | 13.00                    | 7.40                   | 151.80                  |
| width (FW)                   | 2.45                            | 1.50          | 1.78           | 3.00                     | 1.63                   | 0.27                    |
| BLL/FL                       | 1.92                            | 2.76          | 1.87           | 1.15                     | 1.39                   | 0.09                    |
| Bothridial length            | 1.50                            | n.d.          | 0.88           | 1.57                     | 1.47                   | 0.47                    |
| Bothridial sucker [μm]       | 400 × 500                       | 350 × 400     | 442            | 275                      | 274 × 288              | 148 × 172               |
| Bothridial sucker           | loculated                       |               |                |                          | loculated              | smooth                  |

The most discriminative features between the two merocercoid morpho-types (delphini, grimaldii) are highlighted in bold.
from *C. montaukensis* (JQ268541: 497/584 nt). Therefore, the cox1 and ITS sequences might be better biomarkers for barcoding of closely related species of phyllobothriidean genera as have been shown recently for the genus *Anindobothrium* (Trevisan et al., 2017).

The phylogenetic analyses also verified that the historical names of the two merocercoid *Clistobothrium* types — ‘Phyllobothrium delphini’ and ‘Monorygma grimaldii’ — are invalid genus combinations. The two genera *Phyllobothrium* and *Chimaerocestos*, — the latter which is close to *Monorygma* (Caira et al., 1999) — were both in a clade clearly separated from *Clistobothrium*. The complete life cycle for all species of *Clistobothrium* has yet to be elucidated. However, data available for other elasmobranch-hosted tapeworms support the following general life cycle (Fig. 5; Caira and Reyda, 2005): The definitive hosts for *Phyllobothriidea*, sharks, shed embryonated eggs (Dick et al., 2006). Within the water, from the eggs a floatable coracidium emerges, which is taken up by invertebrates such as crustaceans. In the body cavity of a copepod crustacean (Copepoda) — the first intermediate host — the development to a procercoid takes place (Caira and Reyda, 2005; Cortés and Muñoz, 2008). Teleost fish and squid, which ingest the procercoid–containing invertebrate are second intermediate hosts of *Phyllobothriidea* (Dick et al., 2006). The development from procercoids to plerocercoids is suggested to occur in the muscles or the liver as it was described for other tapeworms (Zissler, 1999; Caira and Reyda, 2005). Phyllobothriidean plerocercoids are also sometimes described from sea turtles (Innis et al., 2009). In marine mammals, plerocercoids and merocercoids can be detected at the same time indicating that they are third intermediate or paratenic hosts for *Phyllobothriidea*. Presumably, *Phyllobothriidea* were transported as plerocercoids via the lymphatic system of the marine mammal into the body cavity or the subcutaneous adipose tissue, where they develop to merocercoids (Aznar et al., 2007). Predatory sharks get infected by ingestion of marine mammal tissue containing merocercoids (Aznar et al., 2007; Randhawa, 2011) and the adult tapeworms develop in the spiral intestine of elasmobranch (Caira and Reyda, 2005). Consequently, accumulation of metacestodes in mammalian hosts increases the chance for the parasite to complete its life cycle, but infection of large sharks through squids was also suggested (Randhawa and Brickle, 2011).

Infection of the two fur seals examined here occurred more than 20
years ago in the original habitat (South Africa) of the animals before they were transported to Germany. This theory is supported by the fact, that only these animals and none of the other captive marine mammals of the same zoological garden showed phyllobothriid parasites in spite of the same fish food. In addition, studies on Cape fur seals from southern Africa demonstrated a high infection rate with *Clistobothrium* merocercoids of the delphini-type. Pansegrouw (1990) reported 75% infections of 90 examined seals from Namibia and Stewardson and Fourie (1998) 25% of 53 seals collected along the Eastern Cape coast of South Africa. Based on the well preserved morphology and a lack of degenerative lesions, it is suggested that the detected metacestodes were fully infectious. Although both animals showed an inflammatory reaction in the adipose tissue adjacent to the parasites, a clinical relevance of these parasites is probably lacking and therefore, these tapeworm metacestodes represent an incidental finding.

5. Conclusion

This is the first molecular characterization of merocercoids from the blubber of seals. The sequence of the fur seal merocercoids is identical with the sequence of grimaldii-type merocercoids from dolphins and bothridial morphology resembles those of *Clistobothrium tumidum*. The molecular and phylogenetic analysis support previous assumptions that the two merocercoid types — grimaldii- and delphini-type — are congeneric and distinctive species of the genus *Clistobothrium*. Most likely, both fur seals were infected as juveniles in their original habitat, the coastal regions of southern Africa by ingestion of squid or teleosts containing metacestodes of this *Clistobothrium* tapeworm. Pinnipeds in addition to cetaceans serve as intermediate hosts in the life cycle of *Clistobothrium* in geographical regions where they represent the preferred prey of large adult lamniform sharks. A clinical relevance of this infestation for the fur seals as intermediate hosts is unlikely, but even after 20 years these long–living metacestode stages seem to be potentially infectious for their definitive host.
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