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Summary.—Taxonomically undifferentiated western and eastern populations of Dusky Long-tailed Cuckoo Cercococcyx meehowii and Yellow-spotted Barbet Buccanodon duchaillui are known to have very different voices. The cuckoo has two song types, a melodious three-note whistle and a plaintive whinnying in West Africa west of the Bakossi Mountains in Cameroon, and a much less melodious, higher-pitched three-note whistle and a much faster whinnying in Central Africa east of the Bakossi Mountains. The barbet has an accelerating song of some 6–11 hoots west of the Dahomey Gap and a rapid rolling purr to the east. Even though in plumage and morphometrics there is no unambiguous diagnostic distinction between these two vocal groups, analysis of their vocalisations demonstrates a high degree of differentiation. We consider the western groups as species, naming the cuckoo for Françoise Dowsett-Lemaire and the barbet for Robert Dowsett.

Dusky Long-tailed Cuckoo Cercococcyx meehowii and Yellow-spotted Barbet Buccanodon duchaillui share much the same geographical range, extending through the Upper and Lower Guinea forest belt of West Africa, from Sierra Leone east discontinuously at the Dahomey Gap to Nigeria and thence Uganda (and for the barbet western Kenya), and south to northern Angola (del Hoyo & Collar 2014). They also share a curious characteristic, that their populations either side of a relatively narrow line (not the same line, however) have, quite recently, been found to possess markedly different songs. The revelation concerning the cuckoo appears first to have been made by Dowsett-Lemaire (1997), and in the case of the barbet by Borrow & Demey (2001). We cite the latter’s information on both species in quotation marks in the following paragraph.

Each population of Dusky Long-tailed Cuckoo has two song-types. From Sierra Leone to western Cameroon, birds have (1a) a song of ‘three rising notes, huhee wheeu’, and (1b) ‘a less frequently uttered whinnying series of rather plaintive notes, first accelerating and then slowing and descending tiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiutitiut
(Payne 1997) and barbets (Kirschel et al. 2009), are innate and not learnt, so that any strong vocal difference is bound to reflect a strong taxonomic difference. Certainly there is no other known case in the Cuculidae or any of the barbets (Capitonidae, Megalaimidae, Lybiidae) in which a single taxon separates into two distinct vocal groups. To the contrary, some species of cuckoo in particular are now understood to separate on voice with relatively little distinction in morphology, e.g. Whistling Hawk-Cuckoo Hierococcyx nisicolor, Northern Hawk-Cuckoo H. hyperythrus and Sunda Cuckoo Cuculus lepidus (King 2002, 2005).

It might briefly be imagined that seasonal, age or sex differences could account for a single taxon possessing more than one vocalisation, but the complete geographical separation of vocal types places such a notion beyond plausibility (one might also note the indication above that the purr of eastern barbets is given ‘by adult and juvenile’). We therefore investigated the cases of Dusky Long-tailed Cuckoo and Yellow-spotted Barbet with three questions in mind. First, are there subtle differences in plumage or morphometrics by which the different-voiced populations can be discriminated? Second, are these populations sufficiently different in voice to be considered separate species? Third, can we confirm that the lines separating the different-voiced populations fall for the cuckoo at the Sanaga River in Cameroon (as suggested by Dowsett & Dowsett-Lemaire 2015) and for the barbet at the Dahomey Gap between Ghana and Nigeria (as remarked by Borrow & Demey 2001)?

**Methods**

We examined and measured specimens held in the American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA (AMNH), Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France (MNHN), Musée Royal de l’Afrique Centrale, Tervuren, Belgium (MRAC), Natural History Museum, Tring, UK (NHMUK), Naturhistorisches Museum, Vienna, Austria (NMW) and Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, Germany (ZMB). Specimens examined were as follows. Cercococcyx mechowi, west of the Sanaga River in Cameroon: one male (MNHN), five males (but in the analysis three; see below), two females and one unsexed (NHMUK) and one male and two females (ZMB); and east of the Sanaga River: one unsexed (MNHN), ten males, four females and two unsexed (MRAC), five males (but in the analysis seven) and five females (NHMUK), one male and one female (NMW) and three males and two females (ZMB). Buccanodon duchaillui, west of the Dahomey Gap: two males (AMNH), one male (MNHN) and 14 males (NHMUK); and east of the Dahomey Gap: three males (AMNH) and 22 males (NHMUK). Mensural data were taken in millimetres using digital callipers accurate to two decimal points for bill from skull to tip, wing (chord) and tail (from point of insertion to tip). For Cercococcyx mechowi both sexes were sampled, owing to the paucity of specimens, and wings and tails were measured with a rule; for Buccanodon duchaillui only males were sampled, and the length of the red forecrown patch was also measured, from the base of the bill to the tip of the furthest red feather. Following evidence emerging from our research, we transferred two males of Cercococcyx in NHMUK (1923.10.26.69 and 1926.8.8.619), collected in the Foumban area of Cameroon, although west of the Sanaga River, to the eastern sample (see below concerning BLNScc20101 and the legend to Table 1a).

We assembled and analysed sound-recordings of the songs of the two species. We used all available online archives (Xeno-canto, Macaulay Library and Internet Bird Collection), the collection at the British Library and a commercial publication (Chappuis 2000) (see Appendix). From these we selected homologous self-advertising calls, prepared sonograms and manually measured basic sound parameters using CoolEdit Pro. To distinguish the two song types in the cuckoo, we call the first (1a and 2a above) ‘short songs’ and the second (1b and 2b above), because they are less frequent and seemingly more related to direct
interactions, ’long calls’. We also searched online for video evidence to confirm the identity of the singers reported on sound-recording websites.

To gauge the degree of difference between populations in voice, plumage and dimensions we made use of the system of scoring proposed by Tobias et al. (2010), in which an exceptional character (radically different coloration, pattern, size or sound) scores 4, a major character (pronounced difference in body part colour or pattern, measurement or sound) 3, medium character (clear difference, e.g. a distinct hue rather than different colour) 2, and minor character (weak difference, e.g. a change in shade) 1; a threshold of 7 triggers species status, which cannot be achieved by minor characters alone, and only three plumage characters, two vocal characters and two biometric characters (in both cases assessed for effect size using Cohen’s $d$ where 0.2–2.0 is minor, 2–5 medium and 5–10 major) and one behavioural or ecological character (allowed 1) may be counted; this system gives a score of 3 for parapatric relationships, 2 for a narrow (<200 km) hybrid zone (being evidence of strong resistance to phenotypic merging) and 1 for a broad (>200 km) hybrid zone.

To determine where the voices of the species change we mapped recordings and made inquiries of observers, in the case of Cercococcyx taking note of Dowsett & Dowsett-Lemaire (2015), who identified the Sanaga River in Cameroon as the line of separation, but also of the assertion by Chappuis (2000) that ‘The zone of transition is situated in the region of the Cameroon mountains where the two populations are parapatric’.

### TABLE 1A
Means ± standard deviations of measurements (in mm) of all specimens of western and eastern populations of Dusky Long-tailed Cuckoos *Cercococcyx mechowi*. Means of two male specimens (NHMUK 1923.10.26.69 and 1926.8.8.619) are bill 23.1, wing 136.0, tail 197.5; this consistency with eastern birds, plus the recording of a bird from the same area (near Foumban, Cameroon) singing an eastern-type song, led to these specimens being included in the sample of eastern birds (see Methods). 1 Sample size = 30; 2 sample size = 34; 3 sample size = 34.

|          | bill   | wing   | tail   |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| Western population | 10     | 22.82 ± 0.81 | 132.7 ± 3.59 | 185.6 ± 7.50 |
| Eastern population  | 36     | 22.85 ± 0.87 | 134.6 ± 4.02 | 196.8 ± 7.83 |

### TABLE 1B
Means ± standard deviations of measurements (in mm) of male specimens of western and eastern populations of Dusky Long-tailed Cuckoos *Cercococcyx mechowi*. 1 Sample size = 19; 2 sample size = 20; 3 sample size = 20.

|          | bill   | wing   | tail   |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| Western population | 5      | 23.0 ± 0.96 | 132.4 ± 5.18 | 188.4 ± 5.13 |
| Eastern population  | 21     | 22.84 ± 0.76 | 134.9 ± 4.32 | 195.6 ± 8.20 |

### TABLE 1C
Means ± standard deviations of measurements (in mm) of female specimens of western and eastern populations of Dusky Long-tailed Cuckoos *Cercococcyx mechowi*. 1 Sample size = 9; 2 sample size = 11.

|          | bill   | wing   | tail   |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| Western population | 4      | 22.4 ± 0.42 | 132.8 ± 1.5 | 179.5 ± 5.92 |
| Eastern population  | 12     | 23.02 ± 1.12 | 134.7 ± 3.95 | 198.4 ± 7.80 |
Like Payne (2005), we could not discriminate any diagnostic plumage or mensural character between birds from west and east of the Sanaga River. Western birds are marginally smaller, with a tail c.10 mm shorter than those from the east (Table 1a; effect size -1.46, score 1). Chappuis (2000), evidently examining different material (we are unsure where; there is too little in MNHN to assess size), also considered eastern birds to be larger than western. However, the sample sizes are small and great caution is needed with these results; when broken down by sex, the difference in tail length lessens in males (Table 1b; effect size -1.05, but \( n = 5 \) for western birds) but increases in females (Table 1c; effect size -2.73, but \( n = 4 \) for western birds).

However, the voices of the populations are, as indicated by others, highly divergent. A video (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jM4fjSzq8jk) shows a singing bird in Ghana and establishes that indeed birds in the western part of the range are the source of the short song analysed below. Another video (hbw.com/ibc/1151514) made in Uganda documents the two distinct vocalisations, short song and long call, uttered by eastern individuals.

The short song of both consists of three (occasionally two) notes, but in western birds it is a leisurely rich whistled *tiuu-wip-wip* and in eastern birds a faster, higher, far less melodious *swiitwiitwiit*, in both cases stereotypical with very little variation. Analysis demonstrates that western birds have a song consisting of longer notes which reach a much lower frequency (Tobias criteria score 4) and have a much narrower frequency band (score 4), along with various other differing characters (Table 2), such that in sonograms they appear as dramatically different acoustic structures (Fig. 1a,b). Moreover the long calls, which are quite variable (and even might prove to be of two types in western birds), involve notes delivered about twice as fast in eastern populations (Fig. 3a,b), a further point of difference which would count highly if the Tobias criteria permitted three vocal characters to be scored.

The number of songs and calls sampled for this analysis is not large for the western group (see Appendix), but the results are geographically almost entirely consistent. The most obvious exception is a recording by C. Chappuis of a long call typical of eastern birds made at ‘Nkounden, near Foumban, Cameroon’ (BLNS cc20101), a síté 120 km northeast of the Bakossi Mountains and 50 km west of the Sanaga River (Foumban also being the area from which two birds similar in size to eastern birds have been collected: see Methods and legend to Table 1a). Also requiring mention here are the long calls of a bird
on three consecutive days at Mt. Nlonako in western Cameroon (XC407506/ML91480081 and ML537132/134/167), which have, however, been judged not to emanate from a cuckoo but from another bird, probably a young raptor (F. Dowsett-Lemaire & R. J. Dowsett).
litt. 2019). These calls have a pace which would place them in the eastern group, but they sound quite different from those further east. The site of the recordings is c.200 km from the Sanaga River, and less than 50 km from the Bakossi Mountains, where song typical of western birds has been reported (Dowsett & Dowsett-Lemaire 2015). However, while we accept the rejection of this anomalous record as a cuckoo, three other recordings clearly of cuckoos, BLNS 80394, 136888 and 182020, all from the Bakossi Mountains, reveal somewhat intermediate short songs, suggesting that at least some ‘eastern birds’ penetrate west of the Sanaga River and that these intermediate song types may reflect hybridisation over a very narrow zone (Fig. 2). However, with the exception of these intermediate short songs at this single locality, there is no indication of any clinal change in voice (Fig. 4).

**Buccanodon duchaillui**

We were unable to discriminate any diagnostic morphological differences between birds west and east of the Dahomey Gap, which appears to form the dividing line between the different-voiced populations (see Fig. 6), since birds in the Omo Forest Reserve, just east of Lagos in western Nigeria, sing with ‘a single long purr’ (S. Egbe in litt. 2018). It is possible that western birds have slightly fewer black markings on the breast-sides, flanks and belly, but this is probably an artefact of the particularly well-prepared specimens from Liberia by A. D. Forbes-Watson which comprise the bulk of the western sample we examined. Western birds are very slightly larger in size, with tails a mean 2 mm longer, yielding an effect size of 1.25 and a Tobias score of 1 (Table 3). The mean size of the red crown patch is, however, fractionally smaller (effect size −0.52; permissible score 1), but involves such extensive overlap as to be as useless a diagnostic character in the museum as it clearly would be in the field.

Birds in the western population sing a simple, accelerating series of typically 6–11 soft rich hoots, hoot hoot-hoot-hoot-…-hoot. A video (hbw.com/ibc/1459316) documents
this song, and shows how a large patch of pink bare skin on the neck-side, sufficient to qualify as a visual signal, is exposed and pulses as the bird delivers its hoots. Eastern birds, by contrast, produce a sound very similar in tone and pitch to these hoots, but as a rapid rolling guttural purr, \textit{rrrrrrrrrru}; whether bare skin is exposed during the delivery is apparently unknown, but Chapin (1939) was brought a ‘brood of nestlings’ which also gave this call, in which ‘the head was extended, beak downwards, and the neck swelled decidedly, the skin showing at its sides’. Analysis (Table 4) reveals that western birds have a song with many fewer notes (no score permissible) which are much longer (score 3),

### Table 3

|                | n  | bill    | wing   | tail   | crown   |
|----------------|----|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| Western population | 17 | 16.42 ± 0.55 | 76.71 ± 2.11 | 42.12 ± 1.43 | 16.78 ± 1.82 |
| Eastern population  | 25 | 16.18 ± 0.89  | 74.84 ± 2.76  | 39.96 ± 1.97  | 17.81 ± 2.15  |

### Table 4

|                | western | eastern | e.s. (Ts) |
|----------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Number of notes | 8.533 ± 1.685 | 72.8 ± 16.76 | 5.38 (3) |
| Longest note (s) | 0.245 ± 0.037  | 0.026 ± 0.004  | 8.32 (3) |
| Pace (notes per s) | 4.165 ± 0.724  | 37.542 ± 3.54  | 13.06 (4) |
| Acceleration    | 2.211 ± 0.311  | 1.467 ± 0.159  | 3.01 (2) |

Figure 5. Songs of western (a) and eastern (b) populations of Yellow-spotted Barbet \textit{Buccanodon duchaillui} (a: XC400183, Ghana, P. Åberg; b: ML46607, Tanzania, D. Moyer).
delivered far more slowly (score 4) but *accelerando* (no score permissible) (Fig. 5a,b). Also, in western birds amplitude is fairly constant while in eastern birds it shows a clear initial increase to reach a maximum after which it fades towards the end (no score permissible).

M. Mills informs us (*in litt.* 2018) that he once heard a barbet in Uganda deliver some hoots, although he made no note of the time, place or similarity to the hoots of western birds, and hoots are also mentioned as a secondary vocalisation in East African birds by Stevenson & Fanshawe (2002). However, the number of songs sampled for this analysis was substantial (see Appendix) and all yielded geographically consistent results. If eastern birds hoot, they must do so very rarely; and in the absence of further evidence to confirm this phenomenon or to indicate its similarity or difference to the hoots of western birds, we elect to set this testimony aside in the hope that future studies will elucidate the matter.

**Results, 2: interpretation**

Although we were able to find one minor distinction each in tail length between the western and eastern populations of the two species under review, we acknowledge that these involve average but not absolute differences, and are therefore indicative but not diagnostic. Nevertheless, the differences between the voices of western and eastern populations of Dusky Long-tailed Cuckoo and Yellow-spotted Barbet score sufficiently highly using the Tobias criteria for each population to be recognised as a separate species. The cuckoo scores 8 on voice, 2 for possible narrow hybrid zone and 1 for tail length, total 11, the barbet 7 on voice and 1 for tail length (crown patch score of 1 is technically permissible but too trivial, and is set aside here), total 8. The type localities for the species are both in the east of their ranges (*Cercococcyx mechowi* in Angola, *Buccanodon duchaillui* in Gabon), so the two new species refer to the western populations. In the following descriptions, colour names and numbers follow Smithe (1975).

![Figure 6. The global distribution of Yellow-spotted Barbet *Buccanodon duchaillui* with point localities for vocal groups based on recordings listed in the Appendix. The green area reproduces the range as indicated in BirdLife International (2018) (with minor adjustments, and pale green indicating uncertain occurrence). The coloured point localities are explained in the internal legend.](https://bioone.org/journals/Bulletin-of-the-British-Ornithologists'-Club)
Cercococcyx lemaireae sp. nov.
Whistling Long-tailed Cuckoo

**Holotype.**—NHMUK 1977.20.179. Grassfield, Mt. Nimba, Liberia, 550 m, 11 August 1967, collected by A. D. Forbes-Watson.

**Diagnosis.**—Indistinguishable in morphology from *C. mechowi*, albeit with a tendency to slightly shorter wings and tail; but highly divergent in voice, with a short song consisting of a leisurely rich whistled *tiuu-wip-wip* (notes longer, at a much lower frequency and with a much narrower frequency band) rather than the faster, higher, far less melodious *swiitwiitwiit* of *C. mechowi*, and a long call delivered half as fast as that of *C. mechowi*; both vocalisations are presumably used in self-advertisement.

**Description of the holotype.**—Crown, nape, mantle and back dark grey (Blackish Neutral Gray 82), with wings similar but tinged dark brown (Sepia 219), and each primary and secondary carrying small dull rufous (near Mikado Brown 121C) triangles c.10 mm apart along the outer edge of the outer vane. Uppertail the same colour as the wings but appearing blackish (near Sepia 119) when feathers not fanned, with narrow whitish tips and very small buffy (near Pale Pinkish Buff 121D) notches c.10 mm apart on the edges of both vanes of each rectrix (also a few tiny white notches along the shafts of the outer rectrices). Sides of face dark grey (Medium Neutral Gray 85) with sparse whitish flecks on lores, cheeks and ear-coverts; chin to lower belly densely and boldly barred blackish (between Dark Brownish Olive 129 and Blackish Neutral Gray 85) on discoloured whitish background shot with buff (between Warm Buff 118 and Yellow Ocher 123C) across breast and on lower belly where the barring ceases; undertail-coverts warm buff (near Yellow Ocher 123C). Undertail blackish grey (near Sepia 119) with the notches of the uppertail showing whitish rather than buffy.

‘Iris dark brown, eye-rim lemon yellow. Bill (upper mandible) blackish, (lower mandible) tip blackish, rest yellow tinged greenish. Feet: lemon yellow. Testis 6 mm. Weight 54.2 g’ (A. D. Forbes-Watson label inscription). Bill from skull 22.5 mm, wing 135 mm, tail 193 mm.

**Remarks.**—*C. lemaireae* extends from Sierra Leone east to western Cameroon, with *C. mechowi* occupying the area from central Cameroon east to Uganda. As noted above, an informal name for the new species, ‘occidentalis’, is a *nomen nudum* (Dowsett & Dowsett-Lemaire 2015). The type locality (‘Bitye, R. Ja, Cameroon’) of Bannerman’s (1919) subspecies *wellsi*, long considered invalid—the form was not even mentioned by Irwin (1988) or Payne (1997, 2005) and our check of the type specimen (NHMUK 1920.6.26.108) reaffirmed this view (we included it in our mensural sample)—lies within the range of the eastern population (Dowsett & Dowsett-Lemaire 2015), so the name is unavailable in this context. The proposed English name seeks to capture the key tonal difference this species reveals in its voice, and we suggest retention of ‘Dusky Long-tailed Cuckoo’ for the now-restricted *C. mechowi*; but we acknowledge that with ‘Whistling Yellowbill’ *Ceuthmochares australis* and ‘Whistling Hawk-Cuckoo’ *Hierococcyx nisicolor* the Cuculidae may be sufficiently stocked with sibilant species. An alternative, in the interests of syllable reduction, might be to call the two species ‘Western Dusky Cuckoo’ and ‘Eastern Dusky Cuckoo’, although this would be to lose the generic English wording matching the etymology of ‘Cerco’- (tail) ‘-coccyx’ (cuckoo). The very slightly smaller size of the new form was also noted by Chapuis (2000) and, with a sample size of 1, was speculated on by Chapin (1928).

**Etymology.**—We name this species for Françoise Dowsett-Lemaire, née Lemaire, whose achievements, alone and with her husband Bob Dowsett, have illuminated so many distributional, ecological, behavioural (notably vocal), taxonomic and conservation issues in ornithology across the African continent.
Buccanodon dowsetti sp. nov.
Western Yellow-spotted Barbet

Holotype.—NHMUK 1977.20.505. Grassfield, Mt. Nimba, Liberia, 550 m, 24 August 1967, collected by A. D. Forbes-Watson.

Diagnosis.—Indistinguishable in morphology from B. duchaillui, albeit with a tendency to a slightly longer bill, wings and tail, and on average fractionally smaller red crown patch; but highly divergent in voice, the self-advertising song being a simple, accelerating series of typically 6–11 soft rich uup hoots, uup uup-uup-uup-uupuupuup, as opposed to a rapid rolling guttural purr, rrrrrrrrrrr, in B. duchaillui, thus involving many fewer but much longer notes delivered far more slowly but accelerando.

Description of the holotype.—Forehead to mid-crown (line from rear edge of eye) dull crimson (Crimson 108); rest of crown to upper back glossy black (Jet Black 89), becoming matt black on lower back and wings; secondary wing-coverts, scapulars and lower back appearing spotted due to pale yellow (duller than Sulfur Yellow 157) tips, these becoming pale yellow lines on the primary wing-coverts and secondaries, and narrow scalloping on the rump and uppertail-coverts. Tail matt brownish black (near Sepia 219). Bold pale yellow (near Sulfur Yellow 157) line from rear of eye over ear-coverts to neck-side. Ear-coverts, lower face, lower neck-sides, chin, throat and upper breast glossy black, this extending as two broad lines onto middle and lower breast. Upper breast-sides to lower flanks broadly scaled pale yellow on black; centre of mid-breast to undertail-coverts pale yellow with very slight blackish scaling. Soft part colours ‘as 785’ (collector’s number), for which is written: ‘Iris brown, bare [eye-rim] black, bill black, feet grey’. ‘Testis 5 mm. Weight 37.1 g’ (A. D. Forbes-Watson label inscription). Bill from skull 16.5 mm, wing 75 mm, tail 42 mm.

Remarks.—B. dowsetti ranges from Sierra Leone east to southern Ghana, being wholly absent from Togo and Benin (F. Dowsett-Lemaire & R. J. Dowsett in litt. 2019), with B. duchaillui occupying the area from south-west Nigeria east to Kenya. All subspecies hitherto described—bannermani Serle, 1949 (type locality Bamenda, British Cameroons, type NHMUK 1949.14.4) and gabriellae Bannerman, 1924 (type locality Pangala, French Congo, type NHMUK 1924.7.15.1)—refer to the eastern population; it is perhaps worth noting that gabriellae, rejected without explanation by Short & Horne (2001), appeared valid to Chapin (1939), as it does to us. The proposed English name predicates that eastern B. duchaillui will become known as ‘Eastern Yellow-spotted Barbet’.

Etymology.—We name this species for Robert J. (Bob) Dowsett, who has for half a century been the standard-bearer for African ornithology, producing (with various co-authors but notably his wife Françoise Dowsett-Lemaire) a series of national avifaunas of immense authority and rigour, documenting the birds of multiple protected areas, studying the migrations of Palearctic passerines, and providing the taxonomic backbone for the entire Afrotropical region.

Discussion

While an attempt to name a new species Caprimulgus kw alensis on the basis of a sound-recording (Davis 1978) was rejected for lack of a specimen (Vuilleumier et al. 1992), we know of only a single case where a species has previously been established on the basis of vocalisations without possessing any (not even average) plumage or morphometric differences: Mees’s Nightjar Caprimulgus meesi was identified as a new taxon from islands in Wallacea that were until then thought to be inhabited by taxonomically undifferentiated
populations of Large-tailed Nightjar *C. macrurus* (Sangster & Rozendaal 2004). Almost in the same category, however, is the recent split of Sula Cuckoo-dove *Turacoena sulaensis* from the supposedly monotypic White-faced Cuckoo-dove *T. manadensis*, based entirely on vocal differences in a paper that did not seek to check the validity of the weak morphological characters on which the commonly synonymised ‘*sulaensis*’ was proposed in 1900 (Ng & Rheindt 2016).

In a CD booklet that was regrettably doomed to be widely overlooked, Chappuis (2000) argued that the two vocal types of *Cercococcyx mechowi* each merited species rank based on the facts that (a) differences in the innate vocalisations of cuckoos have the exact equivalence of visual differences, (b) each vocalisation is unaltered in any way across the large expanse of the ranges in question, (c) playback of eastern vocalisations to western birds produced no reaction, and (d) the larger eastern birds paradoxically have the higher-pitched voice. We commend this judgement and, of course, concur with it unreservedly. A strong divergence in voice with little or no divergence in physical appearance is far more indicative of the likelihood of real reproductive incompatibility than a strong genetic divergence with ‘no readily apparent differences… in vocalisations’, as presented in the proposed split of the two subspecies that comprise Barred Long-tailed Cuckoo *C. montanus* (Engel et al. 2014)—an arrangement not adopted to date by any of the main world lists of bird species (del Hoyo & Collar 2014, Christidis et al. 2018, Clements et al. 2018, Gill & Donsker 2018).

Ideally, Chappuis’ playback experiment would be extended by playing western calls to eastern birds, and a similar pair of experiments undertaken for the two populations of the barbet. However, as the study of *Turacoena sulaensis* shows, the absence of such evidence need not and should not be an obstacle to the advancement of taxonomic hypotheses if these are based on other strong evidence. We are, in any case, reassured that Chappuis has already gone halfway in this issue with the cuckoo, and that playback experiments with African barbets demonstrate that they can discriminate even subtle differences in voice (Kirschel et al. 2009), even if this does not mean they remain unresponsive to poor imitations or indeed to calls of other species (F. Dowsett-Lemaire in litt. 2019). We strongly encourage playback trials with all of the taxa here delineated, but expect the results to support the conclusions we reach.

Such work would most interestingly be undertaken in the areas where the species replace each other. Confirmation of the Bakossi Mountains as a narrow hybrid zone for the cuckoo would be welcome, as would a study of the environs of the Sanaga River as an area of taxon interchange—from the maps in Borrow & Demey (2001) it appears to be for Yellow-footed Honeyguide *Melignomon eisentrauti* vs. Zenker’s Honeyguide *M. zenkeri* and Blue-moustached Bee-eater *Merops mentalis* vs. Blue-headed Bee-eater *M. muelleri*. An exploration of the wooded areas that mark the break in forest continuity known as the Dahomey Gap, especially in western Nigeria, would help confirm the point where the barbets change over.
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Appendix. Sources for the vocalisations of Dusky Long-tailed Cuckoo Cercococcyx mechowi and Yellow-spotted Barbet Buccanodon duchaillii. All recordings were listened to as a means to check specific features, but a subset of these (of sufficient quality) was used to measure basic sound parameters: for Cercococcyx short song west 12 recordings (11 measured), short song east 42 (37); long call west 5 (5), long call east 11 (10),
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unassigned 7; for *Buccanodon* west 20 (15), east 51 (22). BLNS = British Library (Wildlife and Environmental Sounds), CD = Chappuis (2000), ML = Macaulay Library, IBC = Internet Bird Collection, XC = Xeno-canto.

*Cercococcyx mechowi*

**Short song (western group):** XC179067, Ghana, G. Wagner; ML23322 and 42772, Liberia, S. Keith; ML101953, Ivory Coast, I. Sinclair; ML46935, Ghana, M. Robbins; CD, Nigeria, C. Chappuis; private, Togo, F. Dowsett-Lemaire; BLNS180102/106/108/109, Ghana, M. Mills; BLNS33605, T. Bara, Ivory Coast; **(eastern group):** XC59080, Uganda, M. Claassen; XC137036/7, Uganda, J. Engel; XC138905/156751, Uganda, B. Piot; XC157034, Uganda, H. Groot; XC166360/166368/166386, Uganda, S. Bot; XC179678, Uganda, C. Wanyama; XC186102, Uganda, N. Perrins; XC241396, 241398/9, 241401, 283229, 287831, 280240 and 292240, Uganda, M. St-Michel; XC303069/70, Uganda, S. Dereliev; XC342702, J. Betleja; XC432516, Uganda, B. Edmonston; ML94116/94719, Tanzania, D. Moyer; ML102134, Gabon, I. Sinclair; ML107792/3, Tanzania, C. McBride; CD, Gabon, C. Erard; private, ‘Congo’, F. Dowsett-Lemaire; BLNS137243/244/513/526 and 212814 and 221271, Uganda, M. Mills; BLNS137805 and 199770, Angola, M. Mills; BLNS15559, Uganda, P. Boston; BLNS32790 and 32765, Gabon, T. Gullick; BLNS25520, ‘Congo’, P. Huguet. **Long call (western group):** XC419773, Ivory Coast, E. Leroy; ML101953, Ivory Coast, I. Sinclair; CD, Nigeria, C. Chappuis; private, Togo, F. Dowsett-Lemaire; BLNS180109, Ghana, M. Mills; **(eastern group):** XC312502, Uganda, M. St-Michel; ML26327, Uganda, S. Keith; ML94166/8, Tanzania, D. Moyer; IBC1130656, Uganda, J. del Hoyo; private, ‘Congo’, F. Dowsett-Lemaire; BLNS137243/221271, Uganda, M. Mills; BLNS137805, Angola, M. Mills; BLNScc20101, Cameroon, C. Chappuis; BLNS1524, Gabon, T. Gullick. **Unassigned** ML537132/537134/537167, Cameroon, M. Robbins; XC407506, Cameroon, J. Cooper; BLNS80394, Cameroon, F. Dowsett-Lemaire; BLNS136888 and 182020, Cameroon, M. Mills.

*Buccanodon duchaillui*

**Western group:** XC104304/5, Ghana, M. Nelson; XC169720, Ghana, S. Bot; XC353155, Ghana, H. Matheve; XC360423, Ghana, J. Lidster; XC395749, J. Honkala; XC397057, Ghana, P. de Rouw; XC400182/183 and 400460, Ghana, P. Åberg; XC419616, Ghana, B. Edmonston; XC335365, Ivory Coast, E. Leroy; ML23321/29, Liberia, S. Keith; XC24893, Sierra Leone, F. Lambert; BLNS35136, Ivory Coast, A. Greensmith; BLNS180110/111/112 and 180128, Ghana, M. Mills. **Eastern group:** XC98886/7/8/9, Cameroon, M. St-Michel; ML263478, Cameroon, J. Cooper; ML176505, Cameroon, P. Rodewald; ML214873, 212507, 212522 and 212556, Cameroon, M. Robbins; ML1132, 1173, 1412, DR Congo, P. Kaestner; ML93930/933, Gabon, L. Macaulay; ML102133, Gabon, I. Sinclair; XC101228, Kenya, J. Bradley; XC233336, Kenya, T. Sirotkin; ML51528, 51539, 51596, 51575, Kenya, J. Horne; XC55001, Tanzania, M. Claassen; ML45774, 46560, 46605, 46606, 46607, Tanzania, D. Moyer; XC138932/3, Uganda, B. Piot; XC245978, 246010, 263445, 263449, 264914, 267371, Uganda, M. St-Michel; XC281973, Uganda, R. de By; ML24808/814, Uganda, S. Keith; BLNScc1946, Gabon, C. Chappuis; BLNScc1947, Cameroon, C. Chappuis; BLNScc22616, Kenya, C. Chappuis; BLNS59271, Cameroon, N. Gardner; BLNS80611, ‘Zaire’, C. Carter; BLNS196321/399/401/402 and 198811 and 199258, Kenya, A. Gregory; BLNS16667, Kenya, R. Vicker.