Hypoxia inducible factor-1 alpha expression is increased in infected positive HPV16 DNA oral squamous cell carcinoma and positively associated with HPV16 E7 oncoprotein
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Abstract

Background: There is increasing evidence for the role of High Risk (HR) Human PapillomaVirus (HPV) in the pathogenesis of Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma (OSCC). The E6 and E7 oncogenes from HR HPVs are responsible for the deregulation of p53 and pRB proteins involved in cell cycle and apoptotic pathways. In cell lines experiments, the HPV E7 protein seems to be able to enhance Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1 alpha (HIF-1α) activity, normally involved in the response to hypoxia and able to enhance angiogenesis.

Results: We studied tumor specimens from 62 OSCC; a higher prevalence of tumors in TNM stage II and also in pT2 class between OSCC infected positive HPV16 DNA than non-infected ones was observed. HIF-1α positivity was detected throughout the analysed fields, not associated with areas of necrosis and also observed in cells immediately adjacent to blood vessels. A significant increase in mean values of the HIF-1α labeling indexes was observed for pT1-T2, as well for stage I-II, in the infected positive HPV16 DNA tumors than non-infected ones. HIF-1α and HPV16 E7 labeling indexes showed a significantly positive correlation which suggested a positive association between HPV16 E7 and HIF-1α expression.

Conclusions: In our specimens HIF-1α immunoreactivity hints for an O2-independent regulatory mechanism in infected positive HPV16 DNA tumors, especially for pT1-T2 and stage I-II tumors, suggesting a very early involvement in the development of HPV-induced OSCC. HIF-1α and HPV16 E7 labeling indexes suggest also a positive association between the two proteins in infected positive HPV16 DNA OSCC.
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Background

Nowadays there is increasing evidence for the role of high risk (HR) Human PapillomaVirus (HPV) in the pathogenesis of Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma (OSCC) [1-7], till to consider HPV positive (HPV+) OSCC as a distinct clinicopathological entity with a different outcome in comparison with HPV negative (HPV-) OSCC [2,6,8-11]. The HR types HPV16, 18, 31 and others, are frequently found in cervical cancers and have been found to be risk factors also for OSCC, independently from alcohol and tobacco use [2,12-16]. HPV16, the most common HR HPV type detected in biopsies from women with cervical squamous cell carcinoma (55%) [17,18], was also the most common type detected in OSCC (16%), accounted for 68.2% of all HPV+ OSCC [19,20].

The E6 and E7 oncogenes from HR HPVs are responsible for maintaining differentiating cells active in cell cycling and are able to transform both cervical and upper aero-digestive tract epithelia via expression of the viral oncoproteins E6 and E7 and the following deregulation of cell cycle and apoptotic pathways [21,22].
These 2 proteins promote the degradation of cellular tumor suppressors: p53 in the case of E6 and pRb family members in the case of E7. Binding and degradation of pRb family members by E7 results in the release of E2F transcription factors that in turn drive the cell into S phase. The abrogation of pRb function by HR E7 proteins induces a stress response leading to an elevated activity of p53, which can in turn induce apoptosis [23]. But the high-risk E6 proteins degrade p53, thus preventing apoptosis and allowing continued proliferation. In addition to these well-known activities, both proteins have a wide range of other targets [23], and the extent to which these additional interactions contribute to HPV-associated carcinogenesis is not fully understood. Among the additional factors bound by E7 are histone deacetylases (HDAC), which catalyze the deacetylation of histones and other transcriptional regulatory proteins [24].

One important characteristic of both benign and malignant lesions is the ability to promote angiogenesis, which allows a growing lesion to access nutrients and oxygen for growth [25]. Angiogenesis is mainly triggered by hypoxia or reduced tissue oxygen levels. The cellular response to hypoxia is primarily regulated through the activity of the transcription factor Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1 (HIF-1) [26,27]. HIF-1 consists of a heterodimer of two proteins (HIF-1α and HIF-1β) which mediates the transcription activation of several genes involved in stress response [28]; under normal oxygen conditions (normoxia), the HIF-1α subunit has a very short half-life due to oxygen-dependent hydroxylation and consequent degradation through the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL)/proteasome pathway; under hypoxic conditions, reduced oxygen levels result in the accumulation of HIF-1α, which translocates to the nucleus and leads to the accumulation of functional HIF-1 Transcription Factors that in turn activate the expression of HIF-1 target genes, including a range of proangiogenic factors and enzymes that favor glycolytic over aerobic metabolism [27]. In addition to this hypoxia-dependent stabilization system, a range of other post translational modifications and signaling pathways, also affect HIF-1α synthesis, stability, and activity such as the p53, p300/CBP, and several HDACs [29-31].

Recent studies indicate that, in cell lines experiments, the HPV E7 protein is responsible for enhanced HIF-1α activity and enhances HIF-1 dependent transcription by inducing the dissociation of HDACs from HIF-1α [32].

The aim of this study was to examine the HIF-1α protein expression in OSCC to test the hypothesis that HPV E7 protein may influence HIF-1α expression in OSCC infected positive for HPV16 DNA.

### Results

#### Characteristics of the Study Population

We studied tumor specimens from 62 OSCC; clinicopathological characteristics for non-infected (Group I) vs. infected positive HPV16 DNA tumors (Group II) are detailed in Table 1. The two groups of tumors did not differ statistically significantly with regard to patient age at diagnosis, sex, site, histological grading; instead, statistically significant differences were found regarding TNM stage (p < .001) and tumor size (p < .001): in particular a higher prevalence of tumors in TNM stage II between OSCC infected positive HPV16 DNA (76.9%) than non-infected ones (23.1%) was observed and refining the components of TNM staging, also in pT2 class (73.3% infected positive HPV16 DNA tumors).

#### Table 1 Comparison of clinico-pathological parameters in HPV- DNA (group I) and HPV16+ DNA (group II) oral squamous cell carcinomas

| Parameters                          | Group I (HPV-) (n=39) | Group II (HPV16+) (n=23) | p value* |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| Age at diagnosis, year              | 53 (10)               | 4 (4)                    | 1        |
|                                     | 53-73 (54)            | 21 (70)                  | 16       |
|                                     | > 73 (36)             | 14 (26)                  | 6        |
|                                     |                       | N.S                      |          |
| Sex                                 | Female (46)           | 18 (43)                  | 10       |
|                                     | Male (54)             | 21 (57)                  | 13       |
|                                     | N.S                   | N.S                      |          |
| Site of primary tumor               | Tongue (46)           | 18 (48)                  | 11       |
|                                     | Buccal Mucosa (26)    | 10 (22)                  | 5        |
|                                     | Alveolar Ridge Mucosa (18) | 7 (17)  | 4        |
|                                     | Palate (10)           | 4 (13)                   | 3        |
|                                     | N.S                   | N.S                      |          |
| Tumor Histological Grade            | Well (G1) (57)        | 22 (65)                  | 15       |
|                                     | Moderate (G2) (28)    | 11 (26)                  | 6        |
|                                     | Poor (G3) (15)        | 6 (9)                    | 2        |
|                                     | N.S                   | N.S                      |          |
| TNM Stage                           | I (64)                | 25 (22)                  | 5        |
|                                     | II (8)                | 3 (44)                   | 10       |
|                                     | III (13)              | 5 (30)                   | 7        |
|                                     | IV (15)               | 6 (4)                    | 1 < .001 |
| pT                                  | 1 (64)                | 25 (22)                  | 5        |
|                                     | 2 (10)                | 4 (48)                   | 11       |
|                                     | 3 (10)                | 4 (26)                   | 6        |
|                                     | 4 (16)                | 6 (4)                    | 1 < .001 |

* Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test; statistical results were considered significant for p values < .05 (N.S. indicates not significant)
Immunohistochemical analysis of HPV16 E7 oncoprotein

It was analyzed whether high-risk HPV16 E7 oncoprotein were detectable by immunohistochemical analysis. No HPV16 E7 oncoprotein staining could be detected in the 39 specimens from tumors negative for HPV DNA as expected; instead in the 23 tumors positive for HPV16 DNA, the affinity-purified monoclonal anti-HPV16 E7 antibody recognized almost all epithelial cells within the tumor islets (Figure 1 A, B) but did not stain any cells in the adjacent connective tissues. Dysplastic tumor cells in precancerous squamous epithelium adjacent to the cancerous tumor islets in the same section were also positively stained. Keratinocytes in areas of non-neoplastic squamous epithelium were not stained. In keeping with a previous study [33], these findings suggest that E7 can be considered as a marker for premalignant intraepithelial lesions. Whereas all HPV16 DNA positive carcinomas stained positive for E7, a more detailed analysis revealed strong variations in E7 oncoprotein expression levels with labeling indexes ranged from 32.6% to 100% (mean 77.15 ± 25.01). Because there was only one case in pT4 class and in stage IV, we chose to dichotomize size as pT3 and pT4 vs. pT1 and pT2 as well stage TNM III and IV vs. I and II. In the pT1-T2 group, E7 oncoprotein labeling indexes ranged from 32.6% to 95.4% (mean 68.73 ± 19.89); in the pT3-T4 group, E7 oncoprotein labeling indexes ranged from 83.8% to 100% (mean 96.4 ± 6.01); these differences proved to be significant (p < .001) (Figure 2). Similar results were also obtained for stages groups [Stage I-II labeling indexes from 32.6% to 96.6% (mean 66.96 ± 19.23); Stage III-IV from 83.8% to 100% (mean 96.27 ± 5.57); p < .001]. No significant differences were found for age, sex, site or histological grading (data not shown).

HIF-1α expression is increased in infected positive HPV16 DNA tumors

Immunohistochemical staining was also performed to identify the presence of the HIF-1α protein; immunoreaction was revealed in all cases but two of the non-infected group, both of them were very small tumors (pT1 of mm 4 and mm 5, TNM stage I) of the tongue. In our specimens HIF-1α positivity was detected throughout the analysed fields, not associated with areas of necrosis and also observed in cells immediately adjacent to blood vessels. Adjacent non-neoplastic tissue, the stromal and normal cells did not show immunoreactivity for HIF-1α. In the 62 tumor’s specimens investigated, the labeling indexes ranged from 0% to 94.5% (mean 45.26 ± 26.6). No significant differences for mean value of HIF-1α labeling indexes were found for age, sex, site or histological grading; however the labeling indexes showed a significant increase in mean values for pT1-T2 tumors vs. pT3-T4 (33.02 ± 19.66 vs. 77.65 ± 9.93; p = .015), as well for Stage I-II vs. Stage III-IV (31.41 ± 18.53 vs. 76.6 ± 10.12; p = .03).

Generally, immunoreactivity for HIF-1α was detected with intense cytoplasmatic and nuclear positivity in tumor cells of cases with positive HPV16 DNA (Group

---

**Figure 1** Representative images of HPV16 E7 oncoprotein and HIF-1α protein immunostaining of paraffin sections from oral squamous cell carcinomas. A) Tumor positive for HPV16 DNA with almost all epithelial tumor cells stained positive for E7; B) tumor negative for HPV DNA with no HPV16 E7 oncoprotein staining; C) Consecutive section of the same specimen of tumor positive for HPV16 DNA represented in “A”, stained with the anti-HIF-1α antibody: uniform location of immunoreactivity for HIF-1α and HPV16 E7 on the same tumor; D) weak expression for HIF-1α in tumor cells of tumor negative for HPV DNA (magnifications × 200; scale bars 100 μm).

**Figure 2** Labeling indexes immunoreactivity for HIF-1α in paraffin sections from oral squamous cell carcinomas. A lower HIF-1α protein expression was observed in tumors negative for HPV DNA in comparison with those positive for HPV16 DNA (37.24 ± 25.95 vs. 58.85 ± 22.17; p < .001). Differences were analyzed by the Mann-Whitney test; statistical results were considered significant for p values < .05.
II) (Figure 1C), whereas a weak cytoplasmatic expression was found in tumor cells of the non-infected group (Group I) (Figure 1D), similar to the one found in some of the islet of precancerous squamous epithelium adjacent to the infected positive HPV16 DNA tumors (Group II).

In the positive HPV16 DNA tumors (Group II), the HIF-1α labeling indexes ranged from 21.7% to 94.5% (mean 58.85 ± 22.17), while in the non-infected group (Group I), ranged from 0% to 85% (mean 37.24 ± 25.95); these differences proved to be significant ($p < .001$) (Figure 2).

On examining the mean values of the HIF-1α labeling indexes between Group II and Group I, significant differences were not found for age, sex, site or histological grading; however, statistical analysis demonstrated for pT1-T2 tumors a significant increase in mean values of the HIF-1α labeling indexes in the infected positive HPV16 DNA tumors (48.31 ± 17.14) than non-infected tumors (24.59 ± 15.58; $p < .001$), as well for stage I-II tumors (46.59 ± 16.24 mean value of the HIF-1α labeling indexes in Group II; 23.28 ± 14.17 mean values in Group I; $p < .001$). The same analysis for pT3-T4 group of tumors (82.94 ± 9.77 mean values of the HIF-1α labeling indexes in Group II; 73.96 ± 8.64 mean values in Group I; $p = .07$) as well for stage III-IV (81.85 ± 9.56 mean values of the HIF-1α labeling indexes in Group II; 72.78 ± 9.08 mean values in Group I; $p = .05$) did not showed significant differences in mean values of the HIF-1α labeling indexes between Group II and Group I (Figure 3).

Interestingly, HIF-1α and HPV16 E7 immunoreactivity were uniform in location on the same tumor (Figure 1A and 1C), and their labeling indexes showed a significantly positive correlation (Rho = .512; $p < .001$), which suggested a positive association between HPV16 E7 and HIF-1α expression (Figure 4). A more detailed analysis showed a stronger positive association between the two proteins in pT1-T2 group than in pT3-T4 ([pT1-T2 tumors: Rho = .669; $p < .001$] [pT3-T4: Rho = .494; $p = .04$]) and stage I-II tumors than stage III-IV ([stage I-II tumors: Rho = .684; $p < .001$] [III-IV: Rho = .510; $p = .02$]).

**Discussion**

Several studies have investigated the role of HPV in oral carcinogenesis, confirming that HPV plays a role in oral carcinogenesis and HPV cancers are specific type of tumors with numerous important differences reported in typology of risk patient (generally, never married younger males, < 40 yrs.), histological grading (well differentiated cancer), histotype (possible but not necessary basaloid appearance with a characteristic faster growing) and clinical outcome in term of overall survival (patients with HPV-positive OSCC had a lower risk of dying and a lower risk of recurrence than HPV-negative OSCC patients) [34-37]. However, the protective mechanism by which HPV infection improves the overall survival and prognosis in oral cancer is not yet clearly understood. HPV16, the most common HR HPV type detected in biopsies from women with cervical SCC (55%) [17,18], was also the most common type detected in OSCC (16%), accounted for 68.2% of all HPV+ OSCC [19,20].
In our own study, a higher prevalence of OSCC in TNM stage II was found among infected positive HPV16 DNA tumors than non-infected tumor ones and, refining better the components of TNM staging, a higher prevalence was also found for HPV status in OSCC pT2. The prevalence of OSCC in stage II and pT2 class positively infected by HPV16 could be reasonably explained by their faster growing and higher proliferative activity than negative HPV ones, as also observed in studies investigating markers of proliferation, such as the proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) [38].

The HR HPV types that infect the genital mucosa typically last from 12-18 months and are eventually cleared by the immune system [39]. Approximately 10% of women fail to clear HPV infections, resulting in long-term persistent infection [40]. Maintenance of the viral episome in basal cells is the basic function of the early, or maintenance, phase of the viral life cycle. E6, E7, E1 and E2 are each necessary for continued episomal maintenance of whole genomes in cell culture [41]. HPV16 DNA tumors we found increased expression for HPV16 E7 immunoreactivity in infected positive HPV16 DNA tumors, especially for stage I-II and pT1-pT2 tumors, suggesting a very early involvement in the development of HPV-induced OSCC. We also observed that HIF-1α and HPV16 E7 immunoreactivity were uniform on the same specimens with a positive correlation of their labeling indexes which suggests a positive association between HPV16 E7 and HIF-1α expression in infected positive HPV16 DNA OSCC.

Conclusions

In our specimens HIF-1α positivity was detected throughout the analysed fields, not associated with areas of necrosis and also observed in cells immediately adjacent to blood vessels, suggesting an O2-independent regulatory mechanism. Generally, in infected positive HPV16 DNA tumors we found increased expression for HIF-1α, especially for stage I-II and pT1-pT2 tumors, suggesting a very early event in the development of HPV-induced OSCC. As HPV16 DNA tumors we found increased expression for HIF-1α, especially for stage I-II and pT1-pT2 tumors, suggesting a very early event in the development of HPV-induced OSCC. We also observed that HIF-1α and HPV16 E7 immunoreactivity were uniform on the same specimens with a positive correlation of their labeling indexes which suggests a positive association between HPV16 E7 and HIF-1α expression in infected positive HPV16 DNA OSCC.

As HPV16 DNA tumors we found increased expression for HIF-1α, especially for stage I-II and pT1-pT2 tumors, suggesting a very early event in the development of HPV-induced OSCC. We also observed that HIF-1α and HPV16 E7 labeling indexes suggest also a positive association between the two proteins in infected positive HPV16 DNA OSCC. Finally, it may also be extremely interesting to investigate an effective way to inhibit tumor progression in early infected positive HPV16 DNA OSCCs by blocking the activity of HIF-1.

Methods

Patients and Tumor Specimens

The study population was composed of 62 randomly selected patients who underwent surgical resection for histologically diagnosed OSCC; tissue samples taken from the subjects and stored in the archives of the
Department of Sciences for Health Promotion, Section of Anatomic Pathology, University of Palermo, provided adequate histological material. Twenty-three of the 62 OSCC were positive for HPV DNA; all 23 HPV DNA positive tumors contained HPV16 DNA. Forty-seven cases, 39 negative for HPV DNA and 8 positive for HPV16 DNA, were selected from our previous work [53]; others HPV DNA positive samples were excluded from the study because specific monoclonal antibodies to HPV E7 protein for immunohistochemistry was available only for HPV16 type. The 8 HPV16 positive cases from our previous study were retested to assert their HPV16 positivity via PCR method from paraffin embedded archival material. The remaining 15 cases positive for HPV16 DNA were added from PCR analysis on other archival material.

The mean age of the 62 patients was 62.14 years (SD ± 9.42), range (29-81); males 20 (35.7%), females 36 (64.3%). Out of 62 OSCCs, 29 were localized on the tongue, 15 on buccal mucosa, 11 on alveolar ridge mucosa and 7 on the palate.

Informed consent was obtained from all patients and the IRB of the University of Palermo, Italy, approved the study.

Histological analysis

Microscopic evaluation was performed by one oral pathologist (VR), who confirmed OSCC diagnosis and also determined the score of Histological Grading (HG). The World Health Organization criteria [54] were used as follows: grade 1 (G1), well differentiated; grade 2 (G2), moderately differentiated and grade 3 (G3), poorly differentiated. Grade is dependent on the degree of prickle formation, keratinization, and overall resemblance of carcinoma to normal squamous epithelium.

PCR and sequencing analysis

Each formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sample was processed as described in[55] to obtain DNA samples to be used in the following PCR analysis. All samples were checked for DNA quality by amplification of the human β-globin gene using the couple of primers PCO3+ (5’CTTCTGACAAACTGTGTTCACTACG3’) and PCO4+ (5’TACCCGCACACTTCATCCACGTC-ACTAC3’) and tested in duplicate. Three types of controls were included in each reaction series: blank control, HPV-negative cells Wi as negative control and HPV18 DNA-positive HeLa cells, in dilutions from 20,000-50,000 down to 2-5 HPV-DNA copies, as positive control. HPV-DNA was amplified in a multiplex assay [MY09 (CGTCCGAC(A)GGGAATACGATGATC) - MY11 (GCACAAGGGAATACGATGAATG)] degenerated primer pair in combination with GP5 (5’TTTGTTACTGTGTTAGATACCTAC3’) - GP6 (5’GAAAAATATGCTAAATCATAATTC3’) primer pair] as previously described [56], and amplifications were performed in a DNA thermal cycler (Mastercycler gradient, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany); amplification products were analyzed in 1% agarose gel.

HPV genotyping was based on direct sequencing of MY- or MY/GP-PCR fragments [56]. Amplification products were purified by Microcon YM-100 (Amicon, Millipore); the sequence of both DNA strands was determined by the BigDye Ready Reaction Kit (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystem, Foster City, Calif.) in the automatic sequencer ABI Prism 310 Analyzer (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystem). Alignments were obtained from the GenBank on-line BLAST server and HPV sequences were downloaded from the HPV database http://hpv-web.lanl.gov.

Immunohistochemistry

Tissue samples were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, dehydrated in ethanol and paraffin-embedded according to the routine technique. Immunohistochemical analyses were performed on 3-μm-thick paraffin-embedded sections of tumors as routine procedure as described in [57]. Briefly, sections were subsequently exposed to either mouse monoclonal anti HPV16 E7 oncoprotein (Invitrogen Corporation, Camarillo, CA, USA), dilution 1:50, for 2 hours at 25°C or rabbit polyclonal anti-HIF-1α (Novus Biologicals, Littleton, Co, USA), dilution 1:100, for 1 hour at 25°C, or unconjugated rabbit immunoglobulins (negative control) for 1 hour at 25°C. Staining was detected using Novolink Polymer Detection System, (Novocastra Laboratories, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK) according to manufacturer’s instructions and counterstained with aqueous hematoxylin.

Immunohistochemical evaluation

For the evaluation of HPV16 E7 oncoprotein and HIF-1α immunoreactions, tissues were examined for evidence of staining with the aid of the NIH ImageJ software http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij. Specimens were observed under a light microscope (DM4000B with a plan 40× objective, aperture 0.65, 10× ocular; Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany), and images of representative fields were captured with a digital camera (DFC480; Leica Microsystems) onto a hard drive disk and then opened with the ImageJ software to evaluate the indices of positive staining that was regarded as Labeling Index (LI).

With the aim to use the cell counter technique, positive and negative stained cells were marked placing different colors marks by mouse clicking directly from the screen. For each case a minimum of $10^5$ cells was counted; ImageJ software was then able to automatically generate the percentage of tumor cells stained with HPV16 E7 and HIF-1α antibodies. Only epithelial cells were counted...
regardless of localization or intensity of staining. Twenty random cases were evaluated separately by two different observers (V.R. and F.A.); since the variation was less than 5%, the first pathologist's data were used.

Statistical methods
Continuous variables were analyzed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Rates and proportions were calculated for categorical data. For categorical variables, differences were analyzed by the χ² test and Fisher exact test when appropriate. Normality of distribution for quantitative data was assessed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Differences between two groups were detected by the unpaired Student’s t-test for continuous variables with normal distribution. For continuous variable without normal distribution, we used nonparametric tests, and differences were analyzed by the Mann-Whitney U test. Correlations among continuous variables were determined by the use of Spearman test. p < .05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed with Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS for Windows, version 17.0; ©SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill, USA).
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