Angular Photometric Analysis of the Forehead in a Nigerian Population
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ABSTRACT

Facial data are used to ascertain deviations from norm, biological variability, assess phenotypic traits, precise determination of facial aesthetics, design of safety equipment, correctional tools, evolutionary analysis, civil and criminal identification purposes. This study was designed to analyze the morphometric dimensions of forehead from photographs taken at varying angles.

Materials and Methods: Two-hundred Yoruba subjects (10-30 years) were photographed and the following anthropometric parameters; 90° (FH90°), forehead height at 45°Right (FH45°R), forehead height at 45°Left (FH45°L), forehead width at 90° (FW90°), forehead width at 45°right (FW45°R) and forehead width at 45°left (FW45°L) was measured. Descriptive analysis of the forehead parameters was carried out and the relationships between different parameters were tested using Pearson correlation.

*Corresponding author: Email: oziokoonyinye@gmail.com;
Results: All measured forehead parameters in our cohort were greater in males than in females except FW45°R and FH45°R. Significant relationship was also found to exist between forehead parameters at different angles.

Conclusion: Findings from this study indicates that forehead parameters at 90°, 45° Right and 45° Left, showed sexual dimorphism and are correlated hence can be used for individualization, biological profiling, facial recognition from security cameras, planning of reconstructive and aesthetic surgery.

Keywords: Forehead; facial recognition; sexual dimorphism, Yorubas.

1. INTRODUCTION

The forehead is an area of the head bounded by three features, two of the skull and one of the scalp. The top of the forehead is marked by the hairline, the edge of the area where hair on the scalp grows. The bottom of the forehead is marked by the supraorbital ridge, the bone feature of the skull above the eyes. The two sides of the forehead are marked by the temporal ridge, a bone feature that links the supraorbital ridge to the coronal suture line and beyond [1,2].

In facial evaluation and classification, the sex and race of an individual influences skeletal dimensions and muscle formation [3]. The neoclassical canons have been used to evaluate facial aesthetics by dividing the face horizontally into three regions [4] for planning of orthognathic, facial plastic surgery and orthodontic treatment. However these facial thirds are race dependent hence rarely equal. In Caucasians, the middle third is often less than the upper third and the middle and upper thirds are less than the lower third while for East Asians, the middle third of the face is often greater than the upper third and equal to the lower third, and the upper third is less than the lower third [5].

Personality identification from facial features such as ear, nose, forehead, interorbital distance has been explored with great efficiency as an analytic tool in determining the race/tribe of an individual [6]. The assessment of these facial dimension remains of utmost importance to artists, poets, manufacturers of orofacial devices, forensic scientist, medical and dental clinicians for diagnosis and planning of treatment options post diagnosis [7,8] in plastic surgery, oral surgery, pediatric medicine, dentistry.

More enhanced ergonomic products, such as helmets, masks, ear phones, eyeglasses and respirators can also be designed using the normographic designs derived from facial anthropometric analysis [9].

Photogrammetry has been employed in recent times as a more objective option of obtaining normative facial data because it is less examiner dependent, has been in use as a facial image comparison technique in U.K courts for over15 years [10] and in clinical medical genetics long before digital photography was implemented [11]. It further ensures unbiased assessment and quick transfer to other colleagues for interdisciplinary discussion [11] and also plays a major role in the comparison of images from a closed circuit television (CCTV) crime footage and forensic identification of missing individuals.

A number of studies have investigated angular facial profiles and soft tissues separation using cephalographs, two-dimensional photogrammetry or direct measurements [12,13,14]. However normative angular facial data for the Yoruba tribe in Nigeria for civil and criminal identification remains lacking.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Population

A total of 200 males and females subjects of Yoruba descent between the ages of 10 – 30 years were recruited for this study.

2.2 Sampling Technique

Yoruba’s who met the inclusive criteria were selected using purposive convenient sampling technique.

2.3 Inclusion Criteria

Healthy Yoruba individuals within 10-30 years of age with no evidence of forehead anomalies.

2.4 Exclusion Criteria

1. Individuals whose forehead morphology has been altered by trauma, surgery,
2.5 Protocol of Procedure

2.5.1 Pre-image acquisition

Before photography was taken, the subject's age, height, weight, gender and origin were recorded along with identification numbers. This number was replicated on self-adhesive tags of known length (4.5 cm which was to calibrate the ImageJ software in order to get the actual measurement from the photographs) and placed on the side of the subjects face [12].

Female subjects were asked to clip back their hair using hair clips to prevent it from obscuring the face [12].

2.5.2 Image acquisition

- Images were acquired using Nikon D90 digital single lens reflex camera with a constant camera settings, image distance and illumination to ensure uniformity, productivity and rule out bias. Lateral forehead views were photographed keeping the mid vertical grid line of the camera aligned to the top of the mid sagittal plane of the face, while the mid horizontal pass through the frakfurt horizontal plane.
- Each subject was asked to relax with both upper limbs placed beside the trunk.
- Subjects were positioned on a line marked 100 cm from the tripod supporting the camera [15,16].
- Digital single reflex lens camera was used for high quality pictures from 3 angles (90°, 45° right, 45° left) with 12.3 mega pixel, 600Dpi resolution.
- The tripod supporting the Camera was rotated to either side in order to have photographs at 90°, 45° angles while the subject changed positions so as to stand parallel to the camera.

2.5.3 Image processing

- The images obtained from this camera downloaded into Adobe illustrator version 10. They were further cropped and sharpened for a crisp appearance [9].
- Forehead height and width at 90°, 45° left, 45° right photographs were transformed to match physically measured values using the transform option of adobe illustrator and finally each image was converted into actual size.
- Images with incorrect lightening or with unnoticed hairs concealing actual forehead dimensions were discarded [6].
- Image editing software (Image J 1.48 software j (v.j.48 ava 1.6.0 2064 bits) was used to enhance brightness, contrast and size to produce a clear image. Furthermore, using the dimension tool to create a vertical dimension line that measures vertical distance between any 2 marked landmarks.

Thus forehead dimension could be precisely calculated while comparing landmarks [7].

2.5.4 Methodology of measurements

Various soft tissues landmarks were identified and marked on the subject's forehead images and measurements were taken to correspond to methods and anthropometry described in previous similar literatures [15,16]. Forehead measurements were taken using mouse, and results were given to 2 decimal points. The present study included the following parameters for the measurements of the forehead.

Forehead Height (FH): It is the distance located at the midpoint between the trichion (hairline) and the glabella.

Forehead width (FW): It is a horizontal distance that is measure from frontotemporale to frontotemporale of the other side.

2.6 Statistical Analysis

Data obtained from all the measurements in the source of this study was analyzed using IBM SPSS statistical software (IBM® New York, USA). The age of the participants were grouped and their frequency of occurrence taken. Descriptive analysis of the forehead parameters and other variables such as age, height and weight of both sexes from were analyzed and compared using the independent sample t-test and was reported as mean ± standard deviation. One way ANOVA was used to test for the statistical significance of the comparisons at a significance level of P<0.05. Pearson correlation was used to test for the relationship between different parameters at two levels of significance: p = 0.01 and p = 0.05. Regression analysis was used to predict forehead parameters from age
Fig. 1. Measurement of Forehead height and width at 90° Front, 45° Right and 45° Left

and height, and also to predict forehead parameters at 90 using forehead parameters at other angles.

3. RESULTS

Table 1 shows the distribution of the samples used for the study according to the frequency in which they occur in their age category and the percentages in which they occurred.

Table 2 shows that the all the measured forehead parameters mean values was greater in Yoruba males than they are in females except forehead height and forehead width at 45° right.

Table 3 shows the comparison between the overall respective forehead dimension for male and female subjects. It indicates that mean values for forehead height was longest at 90° and forehead width was also widest at 90°.

Table 1. Distribution of age and tribe in the study

| Age (years) | Tribe | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------------|-------|-----------|------------|
| 10 - 15     | Yoruba | 12 (3.0%) | 107 (26.8%) | 52 (13.0%) | 29 (7.3%) | 200 (100%) |

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of forehead parameters across cohort

| Forehead parameters | Male Mean ±SD | Female Mean ±SD | p-value |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|
| FH90°               | 5.48 ± 0.64   | 5.45 ± 0.60     | 0.769   |
| FH45°_R             | 5.43 ± 0.34   | 5.48 ± 0.60     | 0.533   |
| FH45°_L             | 5.51 ± 0.38   | 5.44 ± 0.37     | 0.182   |
| FW90°               | 12.80 ± 0.71  | 12.79 ± 0.85    | 0.967   |
| FW45°_R             | 6.29 ± 0.68   | 6.62 ± 0.85     | 0.003   |
| FW45°_L             | 6.58 ± 0.68   | 5.76 ± 0.85     | 0.004   |

*P<0.05 (Significant)

Table 3. Angular comparison of forehead dimensions across cohort

| Forehead parameters | Mean ±SD |
|---------------------|----------|
| FH90°               | 5.48 ± 0.64 |
| FH45°_R             | 5.46 ± 0.48 |
| FH45°_L             | 5.47 ± 0.38 |
| FW90°               | 12.79 ± 0.78 |
| FW45°_R             | 5.46 ± 0.79 |
| FW45°_L             | 6.72 ± 0.77 |
Table 4. Correlation analysis between known anthropometric variables (Age, Weight and Height) on measured forehead parameters across cohort

| Correlation  | Age_Yoruba | Weight Yoruba | Height Yoruba |
|--------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| FH90°_YORUBA | -0.011     | 0.070         | -0.144*       |
| FH45°R _YORUBA | 0.079     | 0.140*        | -0.070        |
| FH45°L _YORUBA | 0.112     | -0.017        | -0.174        |
| FW90°_YORUBA | -0.080     | 0.127         | 0.062         |
| FW45°R _YORUBA | -0.003   | 0.062         | -0.114        |
| FW45°L _YORUBA | 0.152*    | 0.67          | -0.129        |

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)**
*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)*

Table 4 shows that there is weak significant correlation between age of Yoruba subjects and forehead width at 45° left, Weight of Yoruba subjects and forehead height at 45° R. Weak negative significant correlation between height and forehead height at 90° of Yoruba subjects was also observed.

4. DISCUSSION

Aesthetic surgeries require accurate and proportional reconstruction to maintain well balanced and harmonic features [17]. Previous studies have already reported that race, culture, and ethnicity affect the expectation and perception of an individual / patient on the definition of beauty [18] hence the surgeon ought to design a comprehensive and pre-planned scheme for each patient in accordance with the aesthetic principles in tandem with their different racial and cultural features. In our study (Table 2) forehead height mean values was significantly greater in Yoruba males subjects than in females (P<0.05) except forehead height at 45° right. This concurs with the results of other researchers [19], Northwest Indians study, Du [20] study of Chinese workers, Asghari [21] study on Iranians and Virdi [22] in a Kenyan population who all reported statistically significant sexual differences in the forehead height with higher males mean values. Statistical analysis in our study (Table 2) shows that at 90° Forehead width of Yoruba males was higher than females this concurs with the finding of [23,20,21]. Results of the present study (Table 3) indicates that mean values for forehead height was higher at 90° and forehead width was also widest at 90° across cohort.

Increased scrutiny placed on facial appearance at the completion of maxillofacial and cosmetic surgeries, has necessitated a great deal of emphasis to factors that contribute to facial esthetics naturally through growth as well as through treatment [8]. Table 4 of the present study shows that there is weak significant correlation between age of Yoruba subjects and forehead width at 45° left, in addition, there was corresponding weak significant correlation between weight of Yoruba subjects and forehead height at 45° R. in contrast to the negative weak significant correlation between height and forehead height at 90° observed in our cohort. This findings support/reaffirmed the study of [24] on boys of Fars ethnicity living in Mashhad which revealed that most facial measurements, including the width of the forehead, increased gradually with age.

Du et al. [20] also reported that as body mass index increased, facial width increased significantly and that regression model of age had the least influence on facial anthropometric measurements, while gender and BMI had the most influence in concordance with the results of this study (Table 4). It is however recommended that similar study should be carried out on other Nigeria ethnic groups to create a comprehensive facial data base for the Nigerian population.

5. CONCLUSION

Findings from this study indicates that forehead parameters at 90°, 45° Right and 45° Left, showed sexual dimorphism and are correlated hence can be used for individualization, biological profiling, facial recognition from security cameras, planning of reconstructive and aesthetic surgery.
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