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Abstract

Occluded person re-identification (ReID) is a person retrieval task which aims at matching occluded person images with holistic ones. For addressing occluded ReID, part-based methods have been shown beneficial as they offer fine-grained information and are well suited to represent partially visible human bodies. However, training a part-based model is a challenging task for two reasons. Firstly, individual body part appearance is not as discriminative as global appearance (two distinct IDs might have the same local appearance), this means standard ReID training objectives using identity labels are not adapted to local feature learning. Secondly, ReID datasets are not provided with human topographical annotations. In this work, we propose BPBreID, a body part-based ReID model for solving the above issues. We first design two modules for predicting body part attention maps and producing body part-based features of the ReID target. We then propose GiLt, a novel training scheme for learning part-based representations that is robust to occlusions and non-discriminative local appearance. Extensive experiments on popular holistic and occluded datasets show the effectiveness of our proposed method, which outperforms state-of-the-art methods by 0.7% mAP and 5.6% rank-1 accuracy on the challenging Occluded-Duke dataset. Our code is available at https://github.com/VlSomers/bpbreid.

1. Introduction

Person re-identification [34, 17], or ReID, is a person retrieval task which aims at matching an image of a person-of-interest, called the query, with other person images from a large database, called the gallery. ReID has important applications in smart cities for video-surveillance [42, 43] or sport understanding [26, 4]. Person re-identification is generally formulated as a representation learning task and is very challenging, because person images generally suffer from background clutter, inaccurate bounding boxes, pose variations, luminosity changes, poor image quality, and occlusions [17] from street objects or other people.

For solving the ReID task, most methods adopt a global approach [14, 8], learning a global representation of the target person as a single feature vector. However, these methods are unable to address the challenges caused by occlusions for two reasons, both depicted in Figure 1:

(1) Obstacle and pedestrians interference: the globally learned representation might include misleading appearance information from occluding objects and pedestrians.

(2) Requirement for part-to-part matching: When comparing two occluded samples, it is only relevant to compare body parts that are visible in both images. Global method cannot achieve such part-to-part matching, because the same global feature is used for every comparison.

To deal with the above issues, part-based approaches [23, 46, 37], have shown promising results. These part-based methods address the ReID task by producing multiple local feature vectors, i.e., one for each part of the input sample.
However, learning such part-based representations involves dealing with two crucial challenges:

1. **Non-discriminative local appearance:** Standard ReID losses, such as the id or triplet losses, work with the assumption that different identities have different appearance, and consequently that their corresponding global feature vectors are different. However, this assumption is broken when working with part-based feature vectors, because two persons with different identities might have very similar appearance on some of their body parts, as depicted in Figure 1. Because local appearance is not necessarily discriminative, standard ReID losses used for learning global representations do not scale well to local representation learning. The specificity associated to learning local features and its impact on the choice of the training loss has been overlooked in previous part-based ReID works and we are the first to point it out. To address these issues, we propose *GiLt*, a novel training loss for part-based methods. *GiLt* is designed to be robust to occlusions and non-discriminative local appearance, and is meant learn a set of local features that are each representative of their corresponding local parts, while being discriminative when considered jointly.

2. **Absence of human topology annotation:** Part-based method generally rely on spatial attention masks to perform local pooling within a global feature map and build body part features of the ReID target. However, no ReID dataset is provided with annotations regarding the local region to pool, and generating such annotation with external pose information or part segmentation tools yields inaccurate results due to the domain variation and poor image quality. Moreover, body part-based feature pooling fundamentally differ from pixel-accurate human parsing. Indeed, the spatial attention maps have to localize the body part in the image, but also to identify the feature vectors that best represent discriminant characteristics of the body part appearance. Therefore, an ideal attention map is not necessarily an accurate segmentation shape. Previous ReID works exploiting human parsing to build part-based features have either (i) used directly the output of a pose estimation model as local attention masks, without adapting it to handle the ReID task [3, 5, 15], or (ii) learned local features with part discovery, without human topology prior [13, 46, 39]. In this work, we propose a body part attention module trained with a novel dual supervision, using both identity and coarse human parsing labels. This module demonstrates how external human semantic information can be effectively leveraged to produce ReID-relevant body part attention maps.

Finally, we combine this body part attention module and *GiLt* (Global-identity Local-triplet) loss to build our Body Bart-Based ReID model called BPBreID, which effectively addresses all four challenges introduced before. We summarize the main contributions of our work as follows:

1. For the ReID task, we are the first to propose a soft attention trained from a dual supervision, to leverage both identity and prior human topology information. Our work demonstrates that this approach outperforms all previous part-based methods.
2. We propose a novel *GiLt* strategy for training part-based method. *GiLt* is robust to occlusions and non-discriminative local appearance and is straightforward to integrate with any part-based framework.
3. BPBreID outperforms state-of-the-art methods by 0.7% mAP and 5.6% rank-1 on the Occluded-Duke dataset. Our BPBreID codebase has been released to encourage further research on part-based methods.

2. Related Work

**Part-based feature alignment in ReID:** To solve the spatial misalignment issue, several works [23, 30, 15, 20, 38, 41, 36, 5, 15, 27, 3] adopt fixed attention mechanisms, using pre-determined pixel partitions of the input image and applying part pooling for generating local feature representations. These methods achieve poor feature selection and alignment, because the resulting attention maps are not meant for pooling ReID-relevant body part features. To solve those issues, other works [21, 10, 46, 28] use attention mechanisms trained in an end-to-end fashion for generating attention maps that are specialized towards solving the ReID task. Some of these approaches [21, 10, 28] include a pose estimation backbone as a parallel branch, which is jointly trained with the appearance backbone branch in an end-to-end fashion on the ReID dataset. However, the parallel branch induces a significant computational overhead and these methods do not address the occluded ReID problem explicitly. Other part-based methods learn local features via part discovery in a self-supervised way, without human topology prior [46, 13, 39]. Such approach might introduce alignment errors, missed parts and background clutter. Different from previous works, our part-based features are built by an attention branch which (i) is trained explicitly to pool local features that are relevant for the ReID task, and (ii) leverages external human parsing labels to bias the spatial attention in focusing on prior body regions.

**Local feature learning in ReID:** Identity loss and batch-hard triplet loss [8] are two popular objectives for training ReID models that are also applied to part-based methods [18, 15, 27, 5, 46, 9, 25, 3] for learning local representations. Most of these methods [23, 33, 15, 18] solely apply an identity loss on each part-based features. As a consequence, they are more sensitive to non-discriminative body parts and miss out the benefits [8, 14] of the triplet loss as a complementary deep metric learning objective for ReID. To deal with incomplete information of part features, some works [46, 25] propose to apply triplet and identity losses on a combined embedding, resulting from concatenation or
summation of local features. A specialized Improved Hard Triplet Loss (IHTL) is proposed in [25] for training part-based feature, but this objective cannot cope well with occluded or similar samples. Finally, [9] applies both triplet and identity losses on combined part features, but does not use holistic features during training, which renders their training scheme less robust to inaccurate body part predictions and heavy occlusions. Our proposed GiLt training procedure aim at solving above issues and addressing the lack of consensus regarding the choice of losses to adopt for training part-based methods. Finally, it is worth noting that other works [13, 5] take an opposite approach to deal with standard ReID losses being unsuitable for non-discriminative body parts appearance. They solve this by constraining each part-based feature to be discriminative on its own, by either having each of them attending simultaneously to multiple body regions [13] or by adding high-order information in each local feature via message-passing [5].

3. Methodology

The overall architecture of our model BPBreID is depicted in Figure 2. It comprises two modules: the body part attention module described in Section 3.1 and the global-local representation learning module described in Section 3.2. The overall training procedure of BPBreID is described in Section 3.3 and the procedure used at inference for computing query to gallery distance is described in Section 3.4.

3.1. Body Part Attention Module

The body part attention module takes as an input the feature map extracted by the backbone and outputs a set of attention maps highlighting the body parts of the ReID target. This module consists of a pixel-wise part classifier trained with a body part attention loss using our coarse human parsing labels. We detail these three components below. Because our model is trained end-to-end, the body part attention module also receives a training signal from the ReID loss, which uses the identity labels, as described in Section 3.3. This attention branch is therefore trained from a dual supervision, with both a body part prediction objective and a ReID objective. As a result of the dual supervision, this module generates attention maps that are more relevant to the ReID task than the attention maps we would obtain using the fixed output of a pre-trained human parsing model. This module is depicted in the top left part of Figure 2.

3.1.1 Pixel-wise Part Classifier

The body part attention module takes as input the appearance map $G$, which is a tensor $R^{H \times W \times C}$ produced by a feature extractor. For each pixel $(w, h)$ in the appearance map $G$, a pixel-wise part classifier predicts if it belongs to the background or to one of the $K$ body parts, which means there are $K + 1$ target classes, with the class at index 0 being the background. A 1x1 convolution layer with parameters $P \in R^{(K+1) \times C}$ followed by a softmax is applied on $G$ to obtain the classification scores $M \in R^{H \times W \times (K+1)}$:

$$M = \text{softmax}(GP^T).$$ (1)

These $K + 1$ probability maps $M_k$ indicates therefore which pixels belong to which body parts (or to the background).

3.1.2 Human Parsing Labels

Human parsing labels $Y \in R^{H \times W}$, required for training our part attention module, are generated with the PifPaf [12] pose estimation model, following a process detailed in the supplementary materials. $Y(h, w)$ is set to $\{1, ..., K\}$ if spatial location $(h, w)$ belong to one of the $K$ body parts or 0 for background. Human semantic regions are defined manually for a given value of $K$. For instance, with $K = 8$, we define the following semantic regions: $\{\text{head}, \text{left/right arm}, \text{torso}, \text{left/right leg} \text{ and left/right feet}\}$. These coarse human parsing labels are illustrated in Figure 1 for $K = 5$.

3.1.3 Body Part Attention Loss

The pixel-wise part classifier is supervised with a body part attention loss $L_{pa}$, which is in practice a cross-entropy loss with label smoothing [24,1], as formulated here:

$$L_{pa} = -\sum_{k=0}^{K} \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \sum_{w=0}^{W-1} q_k \cdot \log(M_k(h, w)),$$ (2)

with $q_k = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{N-1}{N} & \text{if } Y(h, w) = k \\ \frac{1}{N} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

where the human parsing labels map $Y$ is described in Section 3.1.2, $N$ is the batch size, $\epsilon$ is the label smoothing regularization rate and $M_k(h, w)$ is the prediction probability for part $k$ at spatial location $(w, h)$, as described in Eq. (1).

3.2. Global-local Representation Learning Module

The global-local representation learning module takes as input the body part attention maps generated by the previous module, and outputs holistic and body part-based features of the ReID target, together with a visibility score for each part. It can be visualized in the top right part of Figure 2. Part-based representations, combined with their visibility scores, is our solution for achieving part-to-part matching, and solving challenges (1) and (2) from Section 1.

3.2.1 Holistic and Body Part-based Features

As described in Section 3.1.1, the body part attention module produces $K$ spatial heatmaps highlighting the corresponding $K$ predicted body parts of the input image. We first combine the $K$ body part maps $\{M_1, ..., M_K\}$ in
The model consists of a body part attention module for body part attention maps and a global-local representation learning module for producing holistic features \( f_g, f_c \) and body part-based features \( f_1, ..., f_K \) together with their visibility scores \( \{v_f, v_1, ..., v_K\} \). For holistic features, "g" stands for "global", "f" for "foreground" and "c" for "concatenated". GWAP stands for global weighted average pooling. The network is trained in an end-to-end fashion using a GWAP holistic features, "g" stands for "global", "f" for "foreground" and "c" for "concatenated". GWAP body part attention loss is supervised with identity labels. Parameter \( \lambda_p \) is used to control the overall part attention loss contribution and is empirically set to 0.35.

### 3.3. Overall Training Procedure

The overall objective function used to optimize the network during training stage is formulated as follows:

\[
L = \lambda_{pa} L_{pa} + L_{Gilt},
\]

where \( L_{pa} \) is the body part attention loss supervised with human parsing labels (introduced in Section 3.1.3) and \( L_{Gilt} \) is our Gilt loss, supervised with identity labels. Parameter \( \lambda_{pa} \) is used to control the overall part attention loss contribution and is empirically set to 0.35.

### 3.3.1 Gilt Loss

To supervise model training with the identity labels, our Gilt loss relies on two losses: the popular identity classi-
lication loss and a custom part-averaged triplet loss, which is a variant of the batch hard triplet loss [8]. However, we must carefully choose which loss to apply on each of the $K + 3$ embeddings produced by our model.

First, unlike other popular part-based method [23, 15, 5, 3, 32, 13, 30, 47], we do not apply the identity loss on part-based features because of occlusions and non-discriminative local appearance, as introduced in Section 1. Indeed, a part-based feature is not always discriminative enough to identify a person, which renders an identity prediction objective impossible to fulfil. Consequently, adding an identity loss on such local representation would be destructive to performance. However, similar to most state-of-the-art ReID methods, we still benefit from the identity loss supervision by applying it on the holistic features.

Secondly, we apply the triplet loss constraint on part-based features, via our custom part-averaged triplet loss detailed in Section 3.3.2. At inference stage, distance between samples will be computed using these part-based features, and it therefore make sense to optimize their relative distances directly with a triplet loss constraint. However, we argue the triplet constraint should not be enforced on holistic embeddings because of occlusions. Indeed, two holistic embeddings of the same identity will have intrinsically different representations if at least one of the two is partially occluded, because each embedding will represent a different subset of the whole target body. Therefore, pulling those two holistic features close together in the feature space with a triplet loss would be destructive to performance.

In summary, we claim the best training strategy for part-based methods is to apply (i) the identity loss constraint on holistic features only and (ii) the triplet loss constraint on part-based features only, via a our custom part-averaged triplet loss.

### 3.3.2 Part-Averaged Triplet Loss

Our part-averaged triplet loss differ from the standard batch hard triplet loss [8] w.r.t. the strategy used to compute the distance between two samples. Indeed, it relies on the average of pairwise parts distances between two samples $i$ and $j$. This part-averaged distance is computed using all body part-based features $\{f_1, \ldots, f_K\}$ jointly:

$$d_{\text{parts}}^i = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \text{dist}_\text{eucl}(f_k^i, f_k^j)}{K},$$  

(7)

where $\text{dist}_\text{eucl}$ refers to the euclidean distance. Similar to [8], the part-averaged triplet loss is then computed using the hardest positive and hardest negative part-averaged distances $d_{\text{parts}}^{ap}$ and $d_{\text{parts}}^{an}$ respectively:

$$t_{\text{tri}}(f_0^i, \ldots, f_K^i) = |d_{\text{parts}}^{ap} - d_{\text{parts}}^{an} + \alpha|_+,$$  

(8)

where the distances from anchor sample to the hardest positive and negative samples are denoted by $d^{ap}$ and $d^{an}$ respectively, and $\alpha$ is the triplet loss margin. Therefore, our part-averaged triplet loss globally optimize an average of local distances between corresponding parts, and not a distint triplet for each part, as adopted in [5, 13] and shown to be inferior in Table 2, under “BPBreID w/o part-averaged triplet loss”. This critical design choice gives each training step the opportunity to focus on the parts with most robust and discriminant features, which in turns mitigates the impact of occluded and non-discriminative local features.

### 3.4. Visibility-based Part-to-Part Matching

Given a query sample $q$ and a gallery sample $g$, pairwise distance is computed at inference by a visibility-based part-to-part matching strategy using the foreground embedding and the body part-based embeddings:

$$d_{\text{total}}^{qg} = \sum_{i \in \{g,f,c\}} \left( \frac{v_q^i \cdot v_g^i \cdot \text{dist}_\text{eucl}(f_q^i, f_g^i)}{\sum_{j \in \{f,c\}} (v_q^j \cdot v_g^j)} \right).$$  

(9)

Visibility scores $v_q^i$ are used to ensure that only mutually visible body parts are compared. If there’s no mutually visible part between the two samples, their distance is set to infinity. The strategy is illustrated in the bottom part of Figure 2. Global and concatenated embeddings are not used at inference because they may convey information from occluding objects and pedestrians.

### 4. Experiments

#### 4.1. Datasets and Evaluation Metrics

We evaluate our model on the holistic datasets Market-1501 [42] and DukeMTMC-reID [43], and the occluded datasets Occluded-Duke [15], Occluded-ReID [48] and P-DukeMTMC [48]. We report two standards ReID metrics:

\footnote{Occluded-ReID has no train set, so we use Market-1501 for training.}
4.2. Implementation Details

**Model architecture** A ResNet-50 (RN) [6] is employed as the main backbone feature extractor. The final fully connected layer and global average pooling layer are removed and the stride of the last convolutional layer is set to 1 instead of 2. For a fair comparison with methods using heavier architecture or training, we also employ the ResNet-50-ibn (RI) [16] as in [7, 35, 31], and the HRNet-W32 (HR) [22] backbone as in [46]. HRNet feature maps with higher resolution are particularly beneficial to BPBreID for building fine-grained attention maps. All backbones are pre-trained on ImageNet [19]. The number of body parts $K$ is set to 5 for holistic datasets and 8 for occluded datasets. An ablation study on $K$ is provided in the supplementary materials.

**Training procedure** The training procedure is mainly adopted from BoT [14]. All images are resized to $256 \times 128$ for ResNet-50 (RN) and $384 \times 128$ with HRNet-W32 (HR) and ResNet-50-ibn (RI). Images are first augmented with random cropping and 10 pixels padding, and then with random erasing [45] at 0.5 probability. A training batch consists of 64 samples from 16 identities with 4 images each. The model is trained in an end-to-end fashion for 120 epochs with the Adam optimizer on one NVIDIA Quadro RTX8000 GPU. The learning rate is increased linearly from $3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ to $3.5 \times 10^{-4}$ after 10 epochs and is decayed to $3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ and $3.5 \times 10^{-6}$ at 40th epoch and 70th epoch respectively. The label smoothing regularization rate $\varepsilon$ is set to 0.1 and triplet loss margin $\alpha$ is set to 0.3.

4.3. Comparison with State-of-the-Art Methods

We compare our model in Table 1 with other ReID works and it ranks first overall. Methods in the first part of the table use a ResNet-50 backbone with a training procedure similar to ours and BoT [14]. Methods in the second part either use arbitrary training procedures with bigger image size [9, 3, 40, 35], more advanced backbones [28, 29, 46, 31], or heavier architecture with additional backbones or branches [28, 3, 5, 35, 40, 13].

**Occluded-Duke and P-DukeMTMC:** Our model outperforms all previous part-based methods (‡) on these two occluded datasets. For methods using directly the output of a pose estimation model as local attention masks [5, 15, 3, 28], the lack of end-to-end training leads to suboptimal attention maps in terms of ReID-relevant feature pooling. For methods producing local features via part discovery [46, 13], not using prior human topology information renders their model more vulnerable to alignment errors, missed parts and background clutter. Our work demonstrates that an end-to-end training of a spatial attention branch with both identity and human parsing labels is superior to previous architecture to perform ReID-relevant part-based pooling. Recently, global methods (†) designed specifically for the occluded ReID task [11, 29, 35, 31] have shown promising performance compared to previous part-based methods. However, BPBreID outperforms all of them as well, demonstrating the advantage of part-based methods to solve the occluded task, since global methods cannot achieve part-to-part matching.

**Market-1501 and DukeMTMC-ReID:** Our method outperforms all part-based methods (‡) on both Market-1501 and DukeMTMC-ReID, except for PFD [28] on Market-1501, which uses a much heavier architecture, with a ViT backbone and a HRNet-W48 parallel branch for pose estimation. Regarding global methods (†), BPBreID outperform all of them on DukeMTMC-ReID, and achieves competitive performance on Market-1501, although the performance difference between most SOTA methods remains insignificant on it. This demonstrates that part-based methods are a competitive choice for holistic person ReID.

**Occluded-ReID:** This occluded dataset requires strong domain adaption capacity, since it does not provide a training set, and the Market-1501 dataset that is generally used for pre-training does not contain occluded samples. All

| Methods          | Holistic datasets | Occluded datasets |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                  | Market-1501       | DukeMTMC-ReID     |
|                  | Occluded-Duke     | P-DukeMTMC-ReID   |
|                  | R-1 mAP           | R-1 mAP           |
| BoT [14]†        | 94.5 85.9 86.4 76.3 | 51.4 44.7 58.4 52.3 |
| SGAM [33]‡       | 91.4 67.3 83.5 67.3 | 55.1 35.3 - -      |
| HReID [5]†       | 94.2 84.9 86.9 75.6 | 55.1 43.8 80.3 70.2 |
| ISP [46]‡        | 95.3 88.6 89.6 80.9 | 62.8 52.3 - -      |
| FED [29]‡        | 95.4 88.0 88.8 78.2 | 64.5 53.6 81.6 72.1 |
| PGFL [40]‡       | 95.3 87.2 89.6 79.5 | 63.0 54.1 80.7 70.3 |
| HPNet [9]†       | - - - -           | 87.3 77.4 - -      |
| SSSG [31]†       | 96.1 89.3 91.1 81.3 | 69.0 57.2 78.5 72.9 |
| FED [29]‡        | 95.0 86.3 89.4 78.0 | 68.1 56.4 86.3 79.2 |
| PFD [28]‡        | 95.5 89.7 91.2 83.2 | 69.5 61.8 81.5 83.0 |
| BPBreID [28]†    | 95.7 88.4 91.7 81.3 | 71.3 57.5 77.0 70.9 |
| BPBreID [28]‡    | 95.7 88.4 92.4 84.2 | 75.1 62.5 82.9 75.2 |

Table 1. Comparison of BPBreID with SOTA methods. Symbols † / ‡ denote global / part-based methods respectively. First, second and third best performance are indicated with 1, 2, 3 respectively.
Table 2. Ablation study for the main components of BPBreID on Occluded-Duke. For the experiment “w/o part-avgd triplet loss”, we replace our part-averaged triplet loss introduced in Eq. (8) by a distinct triplet loss for each part.

| Methods          | BoT [14] baseline | BPBreID          |
|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
|                  | R-1 mAP            | R-1 mAP          |
|                  | 51.4 44.7          | 66.7 54.1        |
| - w/o learnable attention | 51.6 39.2          |                 |
| - w/o visibility scores | 52.6 45.3          |                 |
| - w/o part-avgd triplet loss | 64.8 51.7          |                 |

Table 3. Performance comparison for body part and holistic embeddings.

| Methods          | f_k | f_l | f_c | f_{head} | f_{left arm} | f_{right arm} | f_{legs} | f_{feet} | \{f_1, ..., f_6\} | \{f_1, f_3, ..., f_6\} |
|------------------|------|------|------|----------|--------------|---------------|----------|----------|------------------|------------------------|
| f_k              | 60.2 | 47.5 |      |          |              |               |          |          | 65.9              | 66.1                   |
| f_l              | 64.1 | 49.7 |      |          |              |               |          |          |                  |                        |
| f_c              |      |      | 64.4 | 50.3     | 55.7         | 56.7          | 22.3     | 16.1     | 52.2             | 52.5                   |
| f_{head}         |      |      |      | 47.1     | 24.7         |               |          |          |                  |                        |
| f_{left arm}     |      |      |      |          | 52.0         | 31.7          |          |          |                  |                        |
| f_{right arm}    |      |      |      |          |              | 34.4          |          |          |                  |                        |
| f_{legs}         |      |      |      |          |              |               | 13.3     |          |                  |                        |
| f_{feet}         |      |      |      |          |              |               |          | 9.0       |                  |                        |
| \{f_1, ..., f_6\}|      |      |      |          |              |               |          |          |                  |                        |
| \{f_1, f_3, ..., f_6\} |      |      |      |          |              |               |          |          |                  |                        |

Table 4. Impact of identity loss and triplet loss on training performance when applied selectively on holistic embeddings ("g", foreground) and identity embeddings ("p_1:K"). Triplet loss on \{p_1:K\} refers to our part-averaged triplet loss described in Section 3.3.2. Triplet loss on other embeddings (g, f and c) refers to a standard batch-hard triplet loss [8].

| Methods          | R-1 mAP |
|------------------|---------|
| GilT             | 66.7    |
| PCB              | 57.2    |
| PCB, f c g       | 65.5    |
| PCB, f c p_{1:K} | 64.0    |
| BPBreID          | 66.1    |
| PCB              | 56.5    |
| PCB, f c g       | 60.2    |
| PCB, f c p_{1:K} | 59.5    |
| PCB              | 56.5    |
| PCB, f c g       | 59.5    |
| PCB, f c p_{1:K} | 59.5    |

well-performing methods on Occluded-reID rely on the information from an external pose estimation model at inference [3, 5, 40, 28] or on occlusion data augmentation techniques [29, 31] to achieve robust part pooling on the new occluded domain. Different from these methods, we don’t use any external model at inference, nor occlusion data augmentation, but still achieve competitive performance.

4.4. Ablation Studies

In this section, we conduct some ablations studies using the Occluded-Duke dataset and BPBreID_{RN}, to analyze the impact of our architectural choices on ReID performance.

4.4.1 Components of BPBreID

Performance gain related to different components of our model are reported in Table 2. We adopt Bag of Tricks (BoT) [14] as a baseline and build BPBreID on top of it.

**BPBreID without learnable attention** is an alternative method where the K body part probability maps \{M_1, ..., M_K\} predicted by the body part attention module are replaced by fixed attention weights derived directly from PifPaf output, following a process detailed in the supplementary materials. The decreased performance primarily reveals that the lack of end-to-end training on the attention weights leads to a discrepancy between the fixed attention masks and the ReID need in terms of backbone feature pooling. This confirms that training the attention mechanism in an end-to-end fashion with both body part prediction and ReID as objectives leads to an attention mechanism which is more specialized towards solving the ReID task, with a better selection of discriminative appearance features.

**BPBreID without visibility scores** refers to a strategy where all embeddings are used at inference no matter their visibility, i.e., all visibility scores \psi_{GR} in Eq. (9) are set to 1. As expected, using noisy embeddings corresponding to non-visible parts dramatically reduces performance. This validates the effectiveness of our visibility-based part-to-part matching strategy for solving challenges \langle 1 \rangle and \langle 2 \rangle.

Our attempts to account for the visibility scores at training did not lead to performance improvement, but remains a promising path for future research. We speculate this happens because (1) GilT is already robust to occlusion and (2) most training samples in Occluded-Duke are non-occluded.

Finally, **BPBreID without part-averaged triplet loss** refers to a model where part-based embeddings are supervised individually with a classic triplet loss [8] instead of our part-averaged triplet loss described in Eq. (8). The difference between these two approaches lies in their underlying objective: the part-averaged triplet loss optimizes a global distance between two samples, which is computed using the average of local distances, whereas the standard triplet loss optimizes all local pairwise distances individually. The later approach gives reduced performance because it renders the training procedure more sensitive to occluded body-parts and non-discriminative local appearance. This last ablation test demonstrates the robustness of our part-averaged triplet loss to occlusions and non-discriminative local features, and therefore to solve challenges \langle 1 \rangle and \langle 3 \rangle.

4.4.2 Validation of the GilT Strategy

In this section, we study the impact of different combinations of the identity and triplet losses on the K + 3 embeddings produced by our model. Results are reported in Table 4. We also report performance for the popular model archi-
tecture PCB [23], which partition the input image in six horizontal stripes, to demonstrate the superiority of our training scheme with other part-based architecture. The original PCB paper suggest a simple identity loss applied on part-based embeddings only: the corresponding sub-optimal performance is reported in the second table row. The experiment on the first row correspond to our GiLt strategy described in Section 3.3: holistic features are supervised only with an identity loss and part-based features are supervised with our part-averaged triplet loss. As demonstrated by experiments 1 to 4, triplet and identity losses are complementary to each other and best performance is reached when using them together. However, naively applying both losses on all embeddings (experiment 2) is a sub-optimal solution. We can draw two conclusions from experiments 5 to 8, which are small variations of our GiLt strategy regarding the identity loss. First, applying the identity loss on all three holistic embeddings leads to a more robust training scheme and to better performance. This experiment validates our choice of computing a global and a concatenated embeddings for training, even though we don’t use them at inference. Second, experiment 5 validates our intuition that using an identity loss on part-based features is harmful to performance, since it renders the training procedure sensitive to occlusions and to non-discriminative local features. Experiments 9 to 12 validate our GiLt strategy of enforcing the triplet loss constraint on part-based embeddings only.

### 4.4.3 Discriminative Ability of Output Embeddings

In this Section, we study the discriminative ability of the holistic and body part-based embeddings \( \{f_g, f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots, f_K\} \) for \( K = 6 \). For that purpose, we compute query-to-gallery samples distance using each embedding individually or combination of them, and report the corresponding ranking performance in Table 3. When multiple embeddings are used, we compute the average distance weighted by visibility scores, as described in Eq. (9). As demonstrated in Table 3, performance using holistic embeddings are sub-optimal because the global embedding is sensitive to background clutter, and the foreground embedding cannot achieve part-to-part matching. The concatenated embedding fixes those issues but remains sensitive to occlusions because it contains noisy information from embeddings of non-visible body parts. As demonstrated in the table, using body part-based embeddings individually leads to sub-optimal performance. Performance is better for embeddings from upper body parts, because (1) these parts are more discriminative and (2) lower body parts are very often occluded. Using all parts embeddings \( \{f_1, \ldots, f_K\} \) leads to the best performance, because this strategy is the key to overcome the big challenges related to occluded re-id, i.e., (1) achieve feature alignment, (2) reduce background clutter and (3) compare only mutually visible body-parts. Finally, adding information from the foreground embedding produces slightly better performance, because it helps in mitigating errors caused by failed body part prediction, and by image pairs having few or no mutually visible parts. Figure 3 illustrates some ranking results using these embeddings individually.

### 5. Conclusions

In this work, we propose our model BPBreID to address the occluded person ReID task by learning body part representations and make two contributions. First, we design a body part attention module trained from a dual supervision with both identity and human parsing labels. With this attention mechanism, we show how external human semantic information can be effectively leveraged to produce ReID-relevant part-based features. Second, we investigate the influence of triplet and identity losses for learning part-based features and provide a simple yet effective GiLt strategy for training any part-based method. Our model achieves state-of-the-art performance on five popular ReID datasets.
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