Superfield generating equation of field-antifield formalism as a hyper-gauge theory
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Abstract

Within a superfield approach, we formulate a simple quantum generating equation of the field-antifield formalism. Then we derive the Schroedinger equation with the Hamiltonian whose $\Delta$-exact part serves as a generator to the quantum master-transformations. We show that these generators do satisfy a nice composition law in terms of the quantum antibrackets. We also present an $Sp(2)$ symmetric extension to the main construction, with specific features caused by the principal fact that all basic equations become $Sp(2)$ vector-valued ones.
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1 Introduction

From the early days of the field-antifield formalism, a fundamental idea was presented [1, 2] as to how to formulate a universal hyper-gauge theory whose gauge generators would, by construction, be included naturally into the Hessian of the original master action of the universal theory, defined so as to satisfy the (classical) master equation formulated in terms of the antibrackests [3, 4]. Then the notion of a proper solution to the master equation was defined by requiring that there were no other gauge generators involved than the ones included into the Hessian. The next step was made by formulating the quantum master equations in terms of the odd Laplacian operator. The quantum master equation was derived later directly from the Hamiltonian formalism [5, 6]. These basic ideas were developed as a success [1, 2], as applied to both the irreducible, and to the reducible gauge theories. In general, the universal hyper-gauge theory was invented so as to "be ready" to include into itself any possible particular model with a gauge-invariant initial action.

In the present paper, we develop further the profound idea of the hyper-gauge theory at the quantum superfield level. Firstly, within a superfield approach [7, 8, 9], we formulate a simple quantum generating equation of the field-antifield formalism as having its configuration space identified with the antisymplectic phase space of fields and antifields. The latter generating equation is presented in terms of a superfield covariant derivative with respect to the two-dimensional super-time whose Boson component is the "ordinary" time, purely formal in its origin, while its Fermion component is identified naturally with the BRST parameter. The covariant derivative squared is just the "ordinary" time derivative. Then we derive the standard Schroedinger equation by applying again the covariant derivative to the generating superfield equation. We provide effectively for the Hamiltonian commuting with the odd Laplacian (the ∆ operator). As usual, the Hamiltonian consists of a singlet part and a ∆-exact part. In particular, in the absence of a singlet component, the Hamiltonian becomes purely ∆-exact. We show that the ∆-exact part of the Hamiltonian serves as a generator to the quantum master-transformations. Classically, these transformations consist of the two pieces: the first of them is just an anticanonical transformation, while the second is caused by the Jacobian of the transformation. Then we show that the generators of the quantum master transformations do satisfy a very nice composition law as formulated in terms of the so-called quantum antibrackets [10, 11]. We also present an $Sp(2)$ symmetric extension to the main construction, with specific features caused by the principal fact that all basic equations become $Sp(2)$ vector-valued ones.

2 Superfield generating equation

It appears to be a remarkable feature that the generating equation of the field-antifield formalism takes the very simple form of a superfield Schroedinger equation,

$$(ihD - Q)\Psi = 0, \quad D =: \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad Q =: \Delta - F,$$

(2.1)
where $D$ is a covariant super-time derivative, $Q$ is a super-charge whose kinetic part is the odd Laplacian, $\Delta$, and $F$ is a super-potential depending on momenta in general,

\[
\varepsilon(D) = 1, \quad D^2 = \frac{1}{2}[D, D] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t},
\]

\[
\varepsilon(\Delta) = 1, \quad \Delta^2 = \frac{1}{2}[\Delta, \Delta] = 0,
\]

\[
F =: F(Z, P), \quad \varepsilon(F) = 1.
\]

The equation (2.1) is formulated for a superfield,

\[
\Psi =: \Psi(t, \tau, Z), \quad \varepsilon(t) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(\tau) = 1.
\]

We assume that the co-ordinate operators $Z^A$ are identified with the standard full set of the field-antifield variables, and $P_A$ are their respective canonically-conjugate momenta operators,

\[
[Z^A, Z^B] = 0, \quad [Z^A, P_B] = i\hbar \delta^A_B, \quad [P_A, P_B] = 0.
\]

It follows from (2.1) that the standard Schroedinger equation holds

\[
\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi = \mathcal{H}\Psi,
\]

with the Hamiltonian

\[
\mathcal{H} =: -(i\hbar)^{-1}\frac{1}{2}[Q, Q] = (i\hbar)^{-1}[(\Delta - \frac{1}{2} F), F].
\]

The superfield (2.5) has the component form

\[
\Psi(t, \tau, Z) = (1 + \tau(i\hbar)^{-1}Q) \Psi_0(t, Z),
\]

where the zero-component $\Psi_0(t, Z)$ satisfies by itself the equation (2.7) with the Hamiltonian (2.8). As for an arbitrary $F$, the Hamiltonian (2.8) does not commute with the $\Delta$. However, it follows from (2.8) that

\[
[Q, \mathcal{H}] = 0.
\]

Thus, we arrive at the implication

\[
[\Delta, \mathcal{H}] = 0 \Rightarrow [\mathcal{H}, F] = 0,
\]

or more explicitly

\[
[[\Delta, F], F] = [\Delta, \frac{1}{2}[F, F]] = 0.
\]

Due to the Poincare lemma, we have

\[
\frac{1}{2}[F, F] = -i\hbar \mathcal{H}_S - [\Delta, G],
\]
where $\mathcal{H}_S$ is a Boson singlet component,

$$[\Delta, \mathcal{H}_S] = 0, \quad \mathcal{H}_S \neq [\Delta, \text{anything}], \quad (2.14)$$

$G$ is an arbitrary Fermion operator. By inserting (2.13) into (2.8), we get

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_\Phi, \quad (2.15)$$

where the $\Delta$-exact $\Phi$ component is defined as

$$\mathcal{H}_\Phi =: (i\hbar)^{-1}[\Delta, \Phi], \quad \Phi =: F + G. \quad (2.16)$$

As the $G$ in the second in (2.16) is an arbitrary Fermion operator, the respective natural arbitrariness is inherited in (2.15), as well, with having the implicit $G$ dependence taken into account in the $F$, via the equation (2.13) with the singlet component $\mathcal{H}_S$ being kept fixed. In its turn, the equation (2.13) rewrites in the equivalent form,

$$\frac{1}{2}(i\hbar)^{-1}([G, G] - [\Phi, \Phi]) = \mathcal{H}_S + (i\hbar)^{-1}[Q, G]. \quad (2.17)$$

Once the $\Delta$ operator commutes with the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$, it follows from the (2.7) for the zero component $\Psi_0$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta \Psi_0 = \mathcal{H}\Delta \Psi_0, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\Delta \Psi_0|_{t=0} = 0 \Rightarrow \Delta \Psi_0|_{\text{any } t} = 0. \quad (2.19)$$

The implication (2.19) shows that the arbitrariness of a solution to the quantum master equation,

$$\Delta \Psi_0 = 0, \quad \varepsilon(\Psi_0) = 0, \quad \Psi_0 =: \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar}W\right\}, \quad (2.20)$$

is measured by the evolution operator,

$$\Psi_0|_{t=0} \rightarrow \Psi_0|_{\text{any } t} = \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{H}t\right\}\Psi_0|_{t=0}. \quad (2.21)$$

### 3 Quantum master-transformations and their composition law

Now, consider a family of operators

$$\mathcal{H}_F =: (i\hbar)^{-1}[\Delta, F], \quad (3.1)$$

with $F(Z, P)$ being an arbitrary Fermion operator. By definition, the equation (3.1) is a generator of a quantum master-transformation [12]. Notice that the operator (3.1) can be
rewritten naturally in terms of both the free-acting operators $P_A$ and the adjoint-acting ones $P'_A$,

$$i\hbar \mathcal{H}_F = (\Delta' F) - \text{ad}'(F), \quad (3.2)$$

where we have used the definitions

$$\text{ad}'(F) =: (FP'_A)E^{AB}P_B(-1)^{\varepsilon_B}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\Delta =: \frac{1}{2} P_A E^{AB} P_B(-1)^{\varepsilon_B}, \quad E^{AB} = \text{const}, \quad \Delta' =: \Delta|_{P \rightarrow P'}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$P_A =: -i\hbar \partial_A(-1)^{\varepsilon_A}, \quad P'_A =: \text{ad}(P_A) = [P_A, \cdot], \quad \overleftarrow{P'_A} =: [-\cdot, P_A]. \quad (3.5)$$

Due to the Jacobi identity for (super)commutators, the following relations hold for arbitrary operators $A, B$,

$$\text{ad}(A) =: [A, \cdot] \Rightarrow [\text{ad}(A), \text{ad}(B)] = \text{ad}([A, B]). \quad (3.6)$$

From the classical point of view, in the right-hand side in (3.2), the second term describes an anticanonical transformation with $F$ being a generator, while the first term is caused by the Jacobian of the latter transformation.

A solution to the Schroedinger equation (2.7) with the Hamiltonian (3.2) has the form of a quantum anticanonical transformation,

$$\Psi = \exp\left\{-(i\hbar)^{-2}t \text{ad}'(F)\right\} \Psi_J, \quad (3.7)$$

where the ”Jacobian wave function”, $\Psi_J$, does satisfy the equation

$$\partial_t \Psi_J = \exp\left\{(i\hbar)^{-2}t \text{ad}'(F)\right\} (i\hbar)^{-2}(\Delta' F) \exp\left\{-(i\hbar)^{-2}t \text{ad}'(F)\right\} \Psi_J. \quad (3.8)$$

In the case of $F$ being a function of $Z$ only, the equations (3.7), (3.8) do provide for the exact solution [13, 14, 15, 12, 16],

$$\Psi(Z, t) = \exp\left\{t(E(-i\hbar)^{-2}t \text{ad}'(F))(i\hbar)^{-2}(\Delta' F))(Z)\right\} \exp\left\{-(i\hbar)^{-2}t \text{ad}'(F)\right\} \Psi_0(Z), \quad (3.9)$$

where we have denoted

$$F =: F(Z), \quad E(X) =: \frac{\exp\{X\} - 1}{X}, \quad (3.10)$$

and $\Psi_0(Z)$ is an initial wave function. Provided the first equation (3.10) holds, the $ZP$ symbol for the whole operator (3.2) corresponds to the Weyl symbol for the second term alone in the latter operator [17].
It is a remarkable feature that the generators of the form (3.1) satisfy the following composition law,

\[(i\hbar)^{-1}[\mathcal{H}_F, \mathcal{H}_{F'}] = \mathcal{H}_{F \circ F'},\]  

(3.11)

where

\[F \circ F' =: (i\hbar)^{-2}(F, F')_\Delta,\]  

(3.12)

with \((A, B)_\Delta =: \frac{1}{2}([A, [\Delta, B]] - (A \leftrightarrow B)(-1)^{(\varepsilon_A+1)(\varepsilon_B+1)})\).  

(3.13)

Their main property,

\[[\Delta, (A, B)_\Delta] = [[\Delta, A], [\Delta, B]],\]  

(3.14)

yields the (3.11) immediately. The quantum 2-antibracket (3.13) does satisfy the modified Jacobi relations,

\[(A, (B, C)_\Delta)_\Delta(-1)^{(\varepsilon_A+1)(\varepsilon_C+1)} + \text{cyclic perm.}(A, B, C) = \frac{1}{2}[(A, B, C)_\Delta(-1)^{(\varepsilon_A+1)(\varepsilon_C+1)}, \Delta],\]  

(3.15)

where the \((A, B, C)_\Delta\) is the so-called quantum 3-antibracket, and so on [10, 11].

4 Sp(2) symmetric construction

In its Sp(2) symmetric version [20, 21, 22, 23], a superfield Schroedinger equation becomes Sp(2) vector valued

\[(i\hbar D^a - Q^a)\Psi = 0,\]  

(4.1)

where the following conventions\(^3\) hold for the required Sp(2) vector valued operators

\[D^a =: \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_a} + g^{ab}\tau_b \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad [D^a, D^b] = 2g^{ab} \frac{\partial}{\partial t},\]  

(4.2)

\[Q^a =: \Delta^a_+ - F^a, \quad \Delta^a_+ =: \Delta^a \pm \frac{i}{\hbar} V^a, \quad F^a =: g^{ab} \varepsilon_{bc}(i\hbar)^{-1}[\Delta^c_+, B],\]  

(4.3)

\[[\Delta^a, \Delta^b] = 0, \quad [\Delta^a_+, \Delta^b_+] = 0,\]  

(4.4)

\[Z^A =: (\Phi^\alpha, \Phi^{\alpha a}, \Phi^*_\alpha, \Phi^{\alpha*}), \quad P_A =: (P_\alpha, P_{\alpha a}, P^\alpha, P^{\alpha*}),\]  

(4.5)

\[\Delta^a =: \frac{1}{2} P_A E^{Aa} P_B(-1)^{\varepsilon_B}, \quad E^{Aa} = \text{const},\]  

(4.6)

\[V^a =: -i\hbar \varepsilon_{ab} \Phi^{\alpha a} P^\alpha(-1)^{\varepsilon_\alpha},\]  

(4.7)

\(^3\)For the sake of uniformity, henceforth we make use of the notation \(\Phi^{\alpha a}\) for the former field variable \(\pi^{\alpha a}\) [20]. Also, as for the Boson metric \(g^{ab}\), we assume it symmetric, constant, and invertible, so that \(g_{ab}\) is its inverse.
a Boson operator $B$ is restricted as to satisfy the specific "master equation",
\[
[\Delta^a_+, (B, B)^b_\Delta_+] + (a \leftrightarrow b) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(B) = 0,
\]
with
\[
(A, B)^a_{\Delta_\pm} =: \frac{1}{2}(A, [\Delta^a_\pm, B] - (A \leftrightarrow B)(-1)^{(\varepsilon_A+1)(\varepsilon_B+1)}),
\]
being the $Sp(2)$ vector-valued quantum antibracket \[11\]. The main property of the quantum 2-antibracket holds, (4.9),
\[
[\Delta^a_{\pm}, (A, B)^b_{\Delta_\pm}] + (a \leftrightarrow b) = [[\Delta^a_{\pm}, A], [\Delta^b_{\pm}, B]] + (a \leftrightarrow b).
\]
Also, the quantum 2-antibracket (4.9) does satisfy the modified Jacobi relation,
\[
((A, B, C)^c_{\Delta_\pm}(-1)^{(\varepsilon_A+1)(\varepsilon_C+1)} + \text{cyclic perm.}(A, B, C)) + (a \leftrightarrow b) = \frac{1}{2}
\]
\[
(((A, B, C)^c_{\Delta_\pm}(-1)^{(\varepsilon_A+1)(\varepsilon_C+1)}, \Delta^d_{\pm}] + (a \leftrightarrow b)),
\]
where the $(A, B, C)^c_{\Delta_\pm}$ is the so-called quantum 3-antibracket, and so on. In the $Sp(2)$ case, the formulae (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11) are natural counterparts to the formulae (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15), respectively, in the $Sp(1)$ case.

Due to the $Sp(2)$ symmetric version of the Poincare lemma, we have from (4.8)
\[
\frac{1}{2}(B, B)^a_{\Delta_+} = (ih)^2X^a + ih[\Delta^a_{\pm}, Y],
\]
where $X^a$ is an $Sp(2)$ vector-valued singlet Fermion operator,
\[
[\Delta^a_{\pm}, X^b] + (a \leftrightarrow b) = 0, \quad X^a \neq [\Delta^a_{\pm}, \text{anything}],
\]
$Y$ is an arbitrary $Sp(2)$ invariant Boson operator, "anything" is an arbitrary $Sp(2)$ invariant Boson operator. In the $Sp(2)$ case, the equations (4.8), (4.12) are natural counterparts to the respective equations (2.12), (2.13) in the $Sp(1)$ case.

Due to the property (4.2), it follows from the generating equations (4.1),
\[
i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi = \mathcal{H}\Psi,
\]
where the Hamiltonian has the well-known form commuting certainly with the operators $\Delta^a_{\pm}$,
\[
\mathcal{H} =: -\frac{1}{4}g_{ab}(ih)^{-1}[Q^a, Q^b] = \frac{1}{2}(ih)^{-2}[\Delta^a_+, \varepsilon_{ab}[\Delta^b_+ , B]].
\]
The terms quadratic in $B$ in the $\mathcal{H}$ drop out as follows,
\[
-\frac{1}{4}g_{ab}(ih)^{-1}[F^a, F^b] = -\frac{1}{4}g^{ab}\varepsilon_{ac}\varepsilon_{bd}(ih)^{-3}[[\Delta^c_+, B], [\Delta^d_+, B]] =
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{8}g^{ab}\varepsilon_{ac}\varepsilon_{bd}(ih)^{-3}(([\Delta^c_+, (B, B)^d_\Delta_+] + (c \leftrightarrow d)) = 0.
\]
Here in the (4.16), in the last equality, we have used the (4.10) and then the (4.8). The superfield $\Psi$ has the component form

$$\Psi(t, \tau, Z) = \exp \left\{ \tau_a (i \hbar)^{-1} Q^a \right\} \Psi_0(t, Z),$$

where the zero component satisfies by itself the Schrödinger equation (4.14) with the Hamiltonian (4.15). The same as in the $Sp(1)$ case, the arbitrariness in a solution to the quantum master equations

$$\Delta^a_+ \Psi_0 = 0, \quad \varepsilon(\Psi_0) = 0, \quad \Psi_0 = \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} W \right\},$$

is measured by the evolution operator with the Hamiltonian (4.15).

It seems a bit strange that the Boson $B$ is restricted as to satisfy the equations (4.8), although the standard expression in the right-hand side of the second equality in (4.15) does commute with the $\Delta^a_+$ as for an arbitrary $B$. The reason is just the second equality (4.15) by itself. In order to clarify the matter, let us consider the definition of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ in a natural basis,

$$g^{ab} = g_{ab} =: \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varepsilon^{ab} = -\varepsilon_{ab} =: \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so that

$$D^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_1} + \tau_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad D^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_2} + \tau_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad g^{ab} \varepsilon_{bc} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ [(4.20)]

First of all, we have, for the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$, the first equation in (4.15),

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2} (i \hbar)^{-1} [Q^1, Q^2],$$

where

$$Q^1 = \Delta^1_+ - F^1, \quad Q^2 = \Delta^2_+ - F^2,$$

$$F^1 = (i \hbar)^{-1} [\Delta^1_+, B], \quad F^2 = -(i \hbar)^{-1} [\Delta^2_+, B],$$

so that

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2} (i \hbar)^{-1} ( [\Delta^1_+, [\Delta^2_+ B]] - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) - (i \hbar)^{-1} [ [\Delta^1_+, B], [\Delta^2_+, B]] ).$$

In order to provide for the operators $Q^1$ and $Q^2$, (4.22), to commute with the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$, (4.21), both the charges (4.22) should be nilpotent,

$$[[\Delta^1_+, B], [\Delta^1_+, B]] = 0, \quad [[\Delta^2_+, B], [\Delta^2_+, B]] = 0.$$
The first and the second equations in (4.25) are exactly the equations (4.8) at \(a = b = 1\) and at \(a = b = 2\), respectively. Now, in the first line in the right-hand side in (4.24) we recognize exactly the standard expression in the right-hand side in the second equality in (4.15). In turn, the equation (4.8) at \(a = 1, b = 2\), or vice versa, cancels the expression in the second line in (4.24). Thus, we have explained in detail how the equations (4.8) for the Boson operator \(B\) do come from the general structure (4.21) of the Hamiltonian \(H\) as constructed of the two nilpotent supercharges \(Q^1\) and \(Q^2\). In contrast to the \(Sp(1)\) case, in the \(Sp(2)\) symmetric superfield formalism, the equations (4.8) are just the price of the higher supersymmetry.

Finally, consider, in the \(Sp(2)\) case, the composition law similar to the one of (3.11) and (3.12), as for the Hamiltonian (4.15) rewritten as

\[
H_{F^2} = (i\hbar)^{-1}[\Delta^1_+, F^2],
\]

where \(F^2\) is given by the second in (4.23). Then, the composition law has just the form (3.11), (3.12), with the \(\Delta^1_+\) and the \(F^2\) standing for the \(\Delta\) and the \(F\), respectively. Vice versa, we could make use of the \(\Delta^2_+\) and the \(F^1\) as to stand for the \(\Delta\) and the \(F\), respectively, when having the Hamiltonian (4.15) rewritten equivalently as

\[
H_{F^1} = (i\hbar)^{-1}[\Delta^2_+, F^1],
\]

where \(F^1\) is given by the first in (4.23).

5 General nilpotency

Here, we present in both the \(Sp(1)\) and the \(Sp(2)\) cases, in parallel, the simplest class of solutions for the Hamiltonian. In the \(Sp(1)\) case, we strengthen the (2.13) to the nilpotency condition for the Fermion \(F\),

\[
H_S = 0, \quad G = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad [F, F] = 0.
\]

Then, we have for the Hamiltonian,

\[
H = (i\hbar)^{-1}[\Delta, F].
\]

In the case of being the \(F\) a function of \(Z^A\) only, the condition (5.1) is satisfied automatically. In the \(Sp(2)\) case, we strengthen the (4.12) to the “nilpotency” condition for the Boson \(B\),

\[
X^a = 0, \quad Y = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad (B, B)^a_{\Delta_+} = 0.
\]

Then, we have for the Hamiltonian,

\[
H = \frac{1}{2}(i\hbar)^{-2}[\Delta^a_+, \epsilon_{ab}[\Delta^b_+, B]].
\]

In the case of being the \(B\) a function of fields only, the equation (5.3) is satisfied automatically.
6 Heisenberg equations of motion in terms of quantum antibrackets

Here, we present in both the $Sp(1)$ and the $Sp(2)$ cases, in parallel, the Heisenberg equations of motion in terms of the quantum antibrackets. Denote by $\Gamma$ the full set of the Schroedinger canonical variable operators,

$$\Gamma =: (Z^A, P_A), \quad (6.1)$$

and let $\tilde{\Gamma}(t, \tau)$ be the respective superfield Heisenberg canonical variable operators.

In the $Sp(1)$ case, the superfield Heisenberg equations of motion have the form,

$$i\hbar D\tilde{\Gamma} = [\tilde{Q}, \tilde{\Gamma}], \quad i\hbar D\tilde{Q} = [\tilde{Q}, \tilde{\Gamma}]. \quad (6.2)$$

It follows from these equations that \cite{11},

$$(i\hbar)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\Gamma} = -\frac{1}{2} [\tilde{\Gamma}, [\tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q}]] = -\frac{2}{3} (\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{Q}), \quad (6.3)$$

where the quantum 2 - antibracket, $(A, B)_Q$, is defined by the (3.13), with $Q$, the third in the (2.1), standing for the $\Delta$.

In the $Sp(2)$ case, the respective superfield Heisenberg equations of motion have the form,

$$i\hbar D^a\tilde{\Gamma} = [\tilde{Q}^a, \tilde{\Gamma}], \quad i\hbar D^a\tilde{Q}^b = [\tilde{Q}^a, \tilde{Q}^b]. \quad (6.4)$$

It follows from these equations that

$$(i\hbar)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\Gamma} = -\frac{1}{4} g_{ab} [\tilde{\Gamma}, [\tilde{Q}^b, \tilde{Q}^a]] = -\frac{1}{3} g_{ab} (\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{Q}^b)^a_Q, \quad (6.5)$$

where the $Sp(2)$ vector valued quantum 2 - antibracket, $(A, B)^a_Q$, is defined by the (4.9), with $Q^a$, the first in the (4.3), standing for the $\Delta^a_\pm$.

7 Conclusion

In the present paper, within the superfield approach, we have proposed the new quantum generating equation (2.1) for the general field-antifield formalism. The three basic Fermion objects, the super-time covariant derivative $D$, the odd Laplacian $\Delta$, and the hyper-gauge Fermion $F$, enter that linear homogeneous generating equation, in a quite symmetric way. Then, from the generating equation, we have derived the Schroedinger equation (2.7) with the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H}_2$, commuting with the supercharge $Q$, the third in (2.1). It follows from the latter property (2.10) that the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ commutes with the $\Delta$, provided the $\mathcal{H}$ commutes with the $F$, as well. Thus, we have determined the general structure (2.15) of the Hamiltonian (2.8). As usual, the Hamiltonian consists of a singlet component and a $\Delta$-exact component. We have shown that the $\Delta$-exact components (3.1) serve as generators to the quantum master-transformations.
In turn, we have shown that these generators (3.2) do satisfy the nice composition law (3.11) given by (3.12) in terms of the quantum antibrackets (3.13). We have also presented an $Sp(2)$ symmetric extension to the main construction, with specific features caused by the principal fact that all basic equations become $Sp(2)$ vector-valued ones.
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