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Abstract—We present the performance of MIMO for in vivo environments, using ANSYS HFSS and their complete human body model, to determine the maximum data rates that can be achieved using an IEEE 802.11n system. Due to the lossy nature of the in vivo medium, achieving high data rates with reliable performance will be a challenge, especially since the in vivo antenna performance is strongly affected by near-field coupling to the lossy medium and the signals levels will be limited by specified specific absorption rate (SAR) levels. We analyzed the bit error rate (BER) of a MIMO system with one pair of antennas placed in vivo and the second pair placed inside and outside the body at various distances from the in vivo antennas. The results were compared to SISO arrangements and showed that by using MIMO in vivo, significant performance gain can be achieved, and at least two times the data rate can be supported with SAR limited transmit power levels, making it possible to achieve target data rates in the 100 Mbps.
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I. INTRODUCTION

One appealing aspect of the emerging Internet of Things is to consider in vivo networking as a rich application domain for wireless technology in facilitating wirelessly enabled healthcare. Wireless technology has the potential to synergistically advance healthcare delivery solutions by creating new science and technology for in vivo wirelessly networked cyphyr-physcal systems of embedded devices.

In vivo wireless networks have certain characteristics and requirements such as low-complexity, limited transmission and processing power, reduced latency, high reliability, and operation in a highly lossy [1] and disperse dispersive radio frequency (RF) channel [2], with potential near-field operation [3]. It is the purpose of this paper to demonstrate that owing to the highly dispersive nature of the in vivo channel, achieving stringent performance requirements will be facilitated by the use of multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) communications [4] to achieve enhanced data rates. For example, one application for MIMO in vivo communications is wirelessly transmitted low-delay High Definition video during Minimally Invasive Surgery (MIS) [5]. With surgical and technological breakthroughs pioneered at the University of South Florida (USF) and Tampa General Hospital by our surgical colleagues, Alexander Rosemurgy and Sharona Ross, for certain procedures, MIS has evolved into LESS (Laparoscopy-Endoscopy Single-Site surgery) [6]. Our research group has created a Miniature Anchored Remote Videoscope for Expedited Laparoscopy (MARVEL) Camera Module (CM), which is a wireless research platform for advancing MIS, that requires high bit rates (~80–100 Mbps) for high-definition transmission and low latency for proper operation during surgery. Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) is a widely used technology in fourth-generation wireless networks (4G) and Wireless LANs, and achieves high transmission rates over dispersive channels by transmitting serial information through multiple parallel carriers.

In this paper, we present advances in modeling MIMO in vivo wireless communications for embedded devices of limited complexity and power, meeting the high bit rate and low latency requirements of many surgical applications (e.g., HD video). The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In section II, we summarize the prior work on MIMO technology, the in vivo environment and MIMO for wireless body area networks (WBANs). We describe our approach, to MIMO in vivo communications in section III. Section IV and V present the simulation setup and results of the performance of MIMO in vivo, respectively. Finally, in section VI, we present our conclusions and future research directions.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. MIMO technology

MIMO technology, the use of multiple antennas both in transmitter and receiver, can significantly improve the capacity and performance of the communication system in comparison to the conventional system with single antenna. In modern communications systems, the combination of MIMO and OFDM technology [7] is extremely popular and takes advantage of multipath and materially improves the radio transmission performance.

B. In vivo wireless communications

Understanding and optimizing the wireless in vivo channel, which is critical to advancing many bio-medical and other procedures, is an exciting new communications environment. The research objectives of the USF team have been directed towards increasing the reliability and the communications efficiency for the in vivo channel. The authors in [2] performed signal strength and channel impulse response simulations using an accurate human body model and investigated the variation in signal level at different RF frequencies as a function of position around the human body.

C. MIMO in WBAN

There has been some research that focuses on MIMO for WBANs, i.e. there are a few models for MIMO system that can be applied to WBANs. In [8], the authors place the antennas on
human clothing and analyze the performance of the proposed wearable MIMO system, which has a significantly better performance than the previous system on a handheld platform. The wideband body-to-body radio channel in MIMO system is investigated in [9] and the authors also present several characterizations of the channel such as path loss, body shadowing, and small-scale fading. To the best of our knowledge, MIMO system for in vivo environments has not been studied in the literature, and due to the tremendous opportunity to create novel applications (e.g. transmitting HD video from inside the human body) for the in vivo environment, in the following section, we present a study of the performance of MIMO system in the in vivo environment.

III. MIMO IN VIVO

Some in vivo sensor nodes may require high communication bandwidths such as the MARVEL platform that, with its camera module (CM), wirelessly transmits high definition (HD) video during surgery [10]. A MIMO in vivo system may be required to achieve high data rates using low transmission power to comply with the SAR requirements.

The wireless in vivo channel is an exciting and challenging new environment that has not been well documented in the literature beyond limited analyses of signal attenuation and shadowing of human tissues limited to the Medical Implant Communication Service (MICS) frequency band (from 402 to 405 MHz) [11]. Moreover, the current studies use the Friis formula to calculate the path loss. Note that the Friis formula is valid for the far field and free space. The IEEE P802.15 TG6 WBANs channel model [11] provides guidance as to how the channel model should be developed for body area networks. For in vivo communications, however this document is limited and only provides the path loss exponent. Also, three types of nodes and several communications links (scenarios) are defined as shown in Fig. 1.

In the far-field electromagnetic waves behave as plane waves and the total radiated power does not change with changing radial distance from the antenna since the distance between transmitter and receiver is large and free space is considered lossless, as in cellular networks. However, since the in vivo antennas are radiating into a complex lossy medium, the radiating near field will strongly couple to the lossy environment [12]. This means the radiated power is strongly dependent on the radial and angular position and the near field effects will always have to be taken into account when operating in the in vivo environment. In the radiating near field, the electric and magnetic fields behave differently compared to the far field. Hence, the wireless channel inside the body requires different link equations [13]. Additionally, since the wavelength of the signal is much longer than the propagation environment in the near field, the delay spread concept and multi-path scattering of cellular network is not directly applicable to (near-field) channels inside the body. This will directly affect the correlation between antennas, which is critically important for MIMO performance.

The achievable transmission rates in the in vivo environment have been simulated using a model based on the IEEE 802.11n standard because this OFDM-based standard supports up to 4 spatial streams (4x4 MIMO). Because of the form factor constraint inside the human body, our initial study is restricted to 2x2 MIMO. Also, the standard allows different Modulation and Coding Schemes (MCS) that are represented by a MCS index value and uses either 20 MHz or 40 MHz bandwidth. Due to the target data rates for the MARVEL CM (~80–100 Mbps), the MCS index values of our interest for MIS HD video applications are 13 and up for 20 MHz channels and 10 and up for 40 MHz channels. The following section presents the simulation setup for the communications system and the in vivo environment.

IV. SIMULATION SETUP

A. Human Body Model

The simulations for the electromagnetic wave propagation were performed in ANSYS HFSS 15.0.3 using the ANSYS Human Body Model. The model consists of a detailed adult male with over 300 muscles, organs, and bones with a geometrical accuracy of 1 mm and realistic frequency dependent material parameters (conductivity and permittivity) from 20 Hz to 20 GHz. The antennas used in the simulations were monopoles designed to operate at the 2.4 GHz ISM band in their respective medium; free space for the ex vivo antennas and inside the body for in vivo antennas. We choose monopoles due to their smaller size, simplicity in design and omnidirectionality. For the in vivo case, the monopole’s performance and radiation pattern will vary with position and orientation inside the body [2, 12] making the performance of the in vivo antenna strongly dependent on the antenna type.

As shown in Fig. 2, two in vivo antennas are placed inside
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In this paper, we present a preliminary study of MIMO for in vivo environments to determine the maximum data rate that can be achieved in this challenging environment using a simulation method and results that utilizes accurate electromagnetic field simulations. We simulated a MIMO OFDM-based system that complies with the IEEE 802.11n standard. The simulations for the in vivo channel were obtained from HFSS that includes the Human Body Model. From the preliminary data found in this study, as expected, MIMO in vivo can achieve significant

| MIMO | SISO |
|------|------|
| Simulation Scenario | Antenna 1 | Antenna 2 | Antenna 1 | Antenna 2 | Antenna 1 | Antenna 2 |
| 1 | 130 | 50 | 130 | -50 | 130 |
| 2 | 100 | 50 | 100 | -50 | 100 |
| 3 | 70 | 30 | 70 | -30 | 70 |

Fig. 3. Block diagram of system level simulation with HFSS in vivo channel model.

Fig. 4. SISO and MIMO in vivo BER performance comparison as function of the MCS index value.

Fig. 5. MIMO in vivo BER performance comparison as function of the distance for different MCS Indexes.
performance gain compared with SISO in vivo, and it is possible to achieve higher data rates (higher MCS index value) when the in vivo antennas are moved closer to the surface of the body.

Future research directions are to study the maximum transmission power that the in vivo antennas can use to comply with the SAR limits. Also in vivo channel will be characterized statistically and MIMO in vivo capacity will be studied theoretically, both incorporating near- and far-field effects.
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