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Assessment of utilization of maternal health care services in rural field practice areas of VIMS, Ballari
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ABSTRACT

Background: Maternal mortality and morbidity remains high even though national programs exist for improving maternal and child health in India. This could be related to several factors, an important one being non-utilization or delay in seeking care of maternal health-care services, especially amongst the rural poor and urban slum population due to either lack of awareness or access to health-care services. Our study was aimed to know the utilization of maternal health care services during antenatal and post natal period and factors affecting them.

Methods: A Community based cross sectional study was carried in the rural field practice areas of VIMS, Ballari (three primary health centres (PHC) Kudithini, Koluru, Kurugodu) among all married women in the age group of 15-45 years who were in the post natal period (less than 2 months) at time of interview. A total 152 women were included in the study by door to door survey, among all the 3 PHC’s. The women absent during the survey were excluded.

Results: Only 101 (66.44%) of women utilized antenatal care and 18 (11.8%) utilized post natal care (at least three postnatal visits) from the health care facility. Mothers who were of Muslim religion, <20 years of age, higher education of participant as well as husband, higher occupation status, high SES, less parity had higher odds of utilizing antenatal care. Health education by the health worker regarding post natal services to women ranged from 62.5 - 90.8%. Mothers of Muslim religion, higher education, higher occupation status, normal vaginal delivery and home delivery had higher odds of utilizing postnatal services.

Conclusions: The study shows unacceptably low utilization of postnatal care services. It shows the coverage of postnatal care services is inadequate. This is an important message to health service providers and policy makers to strengthening not only antenatal health services and institutional deliveries but also postnatal care services to reduce maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality.
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INTRODUCTION

In the Indian epic Mahabharata, a women was always blessed as “sau putravati bhavh” (be mother of hundred sons), because in those days, the prime role of women was childbearing. In many rural parts of India, the situation is still the same; the main role assigned to her is that of child bearer. Therefore pregnancy is one of the most important events in the life of Indian women. The awareness can be raised with routine antenatal visits about the need for care at delivery, familiarity with health facilities that enable them to seek help more efficiently during crisis.
Maternal mortality rate (MMR) is only 9/100,000 live birth in UK as compared to 167/100,000 live births in India, where as in Karnataka it is 133/1000 live births.2

Good maternal health and maternal deaths can be reduced by providing better maternal health care services. Though national programs exist for improving maternal and child health in India, maternal mortality and morbidity remains still high. This could be attributed to several factors, an important one being non-utilization or delay in seeking care of maternal health-care services, especially amongst the rural poor and urban slum population due to either lack of awareness or access to health-care services.3

Understanding of the knowledge and practices of the community regarding maternity care during pregnancy, delivery and postnatal period is required for program implementation.

Our study was aimed to know the utilization of maternal health care services during antenatal, delivery and postnatal period and factors affecting them.

METHODS

The present community based descriptive cross sectional study was planned to carry out in rural areas of Ballari district to study utilization of various maternal health care services from June 2017 to August 2017. The study conducted in Kudithini, Koluru and Kurugodu Primary Health Centres (PHC), field practice areas of Department of Community Medicine, Vijayanagara Institute of Medical Sciences (VIMS), Ballari, after approval from the institutional ethical committee.

One of the important indicator for determination of maternal health care delivery utilization is, minimum four antenatal care visits to health care facility. According to NFHS-3 survey 83.3% women in rural area had at least three or more antenatal care visits for their last birth, in Karnataka.4

A respondent woman means- women who had less than 2 month child at the time of interview. Mother who had less than 2 month child at the time of interview and should be resident of particular area for previous 2 years or more and who were willing to participate in this study were included. Those mothers who had more than 2 month child and who were not willing to participate in this study and who were absent during the scheduled visit were excluded.

Health education received: if the study participant told that she received advice regarding rest, nutrition, exercises, counselling, breast feeding, immunization during pregnancy from health worker then it was considered as a health education received.

JSY benefit: the study participant who received monetary benefit of JSY scheme considered as JSY benefited women.5

Post natal visit: the study participant who had paid at least one visit to health facility within seven days of delivery considered as post natal visit paid.

Before the commencement of the study in any rural area, a preparatory call was made by the investigator to that village. A meeting with the Anganwadi worker (AWW) was made after taking permission from the respective PHC Medical Officer. The objectives and purpose of study was explained to the AWW. During the interview, AWW accompanied with the investigator in village. The respondent women were co-operative, after seeing the familiar personnel.

A door to door survey was carried out in all the selected PHC areas to identify the women who had less than 2 month child.

We could collect information from 52 respondent women of village Kudithini. Once first village was covered fully we moved to next PHC i.e. Koluru. Here we could find 39 respondent women. Last PHC visited was Kurugodu, and here we could collect information from 61 respondent women. Total 152 rural respondent women were enrolled for the study after getting informed written consent. We introduced our self-first to the family and explained details regarding the purpose of the study. These women were interviewed using a pre-structured interview schedule including identification data, socio-demographic profile, details of antenatal care, delivery, postnatal care.

Data was coded, entered and analyzed using SPSS (version 20.0) package. Data was expressed in percentage. Chi-square test was used for evaluating association between antenatal care (ANC), post natal care (PNC) and categorical variables. Associations between significant variables in the Chi-square test were then further examined using crude (unadjusted) odds ratios. P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The socio demographic characteristics are presented in table 1. The mean age of the study participants was 22.97±3.3. Majority (82.9%) were from Hindu religion, most (54.6%) were unemployed* i.e. all were housewife in our study, whereas husband’s occupation was better. illiterates were same in number among both husband and respondent. All were from low socio economic class, no one from class one or class two.
Table 1: Socio demographic characteristics of the respondents.

| Variables                  | Number | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------------|--------|----------------|
| **Age (in years)**         |        |                |
| ≤20                        | 39     | 25.7           |
| 21-30                      | 107    | 70.4           |
| 31-40                      | 6      | 3.9            |
| **Religion**               |        |                |
| Hindu                      | 126    | 82.9           |
| Muslim                     | 18     | 11.8           |
| Others                     | 8      | 5.3            |
| **Subject education**      |        |                |
| Illiterate                 | 36     | 23.7           |
| 1-4                        | 15     | 9.9            |
| 5-7                        | 34     | 22.4           |
| 8-10                       | 49     | 32.2           |
| PUC/diploma                | 9      | 5.9            |
| Degree                     | 9      | 5.9            |
| **Subject occupation**     |        |                |
| Unskilled                  | 44     | 28.9           |
| Semiskilled                | 10     | 6.6            |
| Skilled                    | 13     | 8.6            |
| Professional               | 2      | 1.3            |
| Unemployed*                | 83     | 54.6           |
| **Husband education**      |        |                |
| Illiterate                 | 34     | 22.4           |
| 1-4                        | 15     | 9.9            |
| 5-7                        | 27     | 17.8           |
| 8-10                       | 35     | 23             |
| PUC/diploma                | 17     | 11.2           |
| Degree                     | 24     | 15.8           |
| **Husband occupation**     |        |                |
| Unskilled                  | 48     | 31.6           |
| Semiskilled                | 52     | 34.2           |
| Skilled                    | 27     | 17.8           |
| Professional               | 9      | 5.9            |
| Unemployed*                | 16     | 10.5           |
| **Socio economic class**   |        |                |
| III                        | 55     | 36.2           |
| IV                         | 31     | 20.4           |
| V                          | 66     | 43.4           |
| **Age at marriage (in years)** |  |                |
| <15                        | 9      | 5.9            |
| 15-20                      | 120    | 78.9           |
| >20                        | 23     | 15.1           |
| **Birth order**            |        |                |
| 1                          | 63     | 41.4           |
| 2                          | 49     | 32.2           |
| 3                          | 28     | 18.4           |
| ≥4                         | 12     | 7.9            |

Utilization of antenatal care and postnatal care services

27% (41 respondents) had less than 4 ante natal visits, 61.2% (93) did not take single visit within first week of delivery. 121 children received complete zero dose (BCG, OPV and Hepatitis B) immunization.

Table 2: Utilization of antenatal and postnatal services.

| Variables          | Number | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------|--------|----------------|
| **ANC visits**     |        |                |
| <4                 | 41     | 27             |
| 4                  | 28     | 18.4           |
| >4                 | 83     | 54.6           |
| **PNC visits**     |        |                |
| No visits          | 93     | 61.2           |
| <3                 | 41     | 27             |
| 3-6                | 18     | 11.8           |
| **Zero dose immunization** |   |                |
| Given              | 121    | 79.6           |
| Not given          | 31     | 20.4           |
| **IFA tablets consumed** | |                |
| Yes                | 146    | 96.1           |
| No                 | 6      | 3.9            |
| **TT injection**   |        |                |
| Taken (1 or 2)     | 150    | 98.7           |
| Not taken          | 2      | 1.3            |

Factors associated with antenatal care services utilization

Women who were <20 years (OR 1.2, CI 0.53-2.65), Muslim religion (OR 1.7, CI 0.51-5.3), higher education of the respondent (OR 8.8, CI 0.5-155.3) as well as husband (OR 2.2, CI 0.58-7.8), professional occupant of husband (OR 3.8, CI 0.46-31.1), higher SES class (OR 2.8, CI 0.99-7.7), higher age at marriage (OR 1.5, CI 0.64-3.3)and less parity (OR 1.4, CI 0.64-2.9) had higher odds of utilizing antenatal services.

Factors associated with postnatal care service utilization

Women who were of Muslim religion (OR 2.43, CI 0.76-7.8), had higher education (OR 5.46, CI 0.66-44.8), professional by occupation (OR 2.6, CI 0.11-58), professional by occupation of their husband (OR 2.04, CI 0.8-5.2), lower socio economic class V (OR 1.7, CI 0.86-3.3), delivered at home (OR 2.6, CI 0.28-23.9), and by normal vaginal route (OR 3.1, CI 1.44-6.6) showed higher odds ratio of utilization of post natal care services.

Health education

During the post natal period health worker visited the respondent woman to their home and it was around 91.4% (139 women). And the counselling regarding post natal care was not fully focussed on all the services; they missed some services for some respondent woman. Only 41 respondent women received financial benefit (JSY).
Table 3: Factors associated with antenatal care services utilization.

| Variables                  | ANC care | Odds ratio | Confidence limits | P value |
|----------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------|---------|
|                             | Yes (105) (%) |           |                   |         |
| Age (in years)             |          |            |                   |         |
| ≤ 20                       | 28 (72)  | 1.19       | 0.53-2.65          | 0.684   |
| 21-30                      | 73 (68.2)| 1.14       | 0.53-2.45          | 0.736   |
| 31-40                      | 4 (66.7) | 1.12       | 0.2-6.3            | 0.603   |
| Religion                   |          |            |                   |         |
| Hindu                      | 89 (70.6)| 1.5        | 0.23-6.5           | 0.369   |
| Muslim                     | 14 (77.8)| 1.7        | 0.51-5.3           | **0.022**|
| Others                     | 2 (25)   | 0.13       | 0.025-0.68         | 0.417   |
| Subject education          |          |            |                   |         |
| Illiterate                 | 22 (61)  | 0.625      | 0.29-1.4           | 0.276   |
| literates                  | 83 (72)  | 1          |                    |         |
| Subject occupation         |          |            |                   |         |
| Employed                   | 47 (68.1)| 0.92       | 0.46-1.8           | 0.816   |
| Unemployed*                | 58 (70)  | 1          |                    |         |
| Husband education          |          |            |                   |         |
| Illiterate                 | 19 (55.9)| 0.47       | 0.21-1.03          | 0.034   |
| Literates                  | 86 (72.9)| 1          |                    |         |
| Husband occupation         |          |            |                   |         |
| Unemployed*                | 9 (56.2)| 1.9        | 0.65-5.4           | 0.269   |
| Employed                   | 96 (70.6)| 1          |                    |         |
| Age at marriage (in years) |          |            |                   |         |
| < 15                       | 5 (55.5) | 0.54       | 0.14-2.1           | 0.39    |
| 15-20                      | 85 (70.8)| 1.46       | 0.64-3.3           | 0.37    |
| >20                        | 15 (65.2)| 0.81       | 0.32-2.1           | 0.66    |
| Birth order                |          |            |                   |         |
| 1                          | 43 (68.3)| 0.96       | 0.47-1.9           | 0.85    |
| 2                          | 36 (73.5)| 1.4        | 0.64-2.9           | 0.43    |
| 3                          | 20 (7.1) | 1.1        | 0.46-2.8           | 0.78    |
| ≥4                         | 6 (50)   | 0.41       | 0.13-1.4           | 0.16    |
| Distance from the health facility (kms) | | | | |
| <5                         | 51 (60.7)| 0.04       | 0.2-0.8            | 0.013   |
| >5                         | 54 (79.4)| 1          |                    |         |

Table 4: Factors associated with post natal care services utilization.

| Variables                  | PNC CARE | Odds ratio | Confidence limits | P value |
|----------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------|---------|
|                             | Yes (93) (%) |           |                   |         |
| Age (in years)             |          |            |                   |         |
| ≤ 20                       | 24 (61.5)| 1.02       | 0.48-2.2          | 0.96    |
| 21-30                      | 66 (61.7)| 1.073      | 0.52-2.2          | 0.84    |
| 31-40                      | 3 (50)   | 0.622      | 0.12-3.2          | 0.59    |
| Religion                   |          |            |                   |         |
| Hindu                      | 73 (57.9)| 0.41       | 0.15-1.1          | 0.072   |
| Muslim                     | 14 (77.8)| 2.43       | 0.76-7.8          | 0.12    |
| Others                     | 6 (75)   | 1.96       | 0.38-10.1         | 0.44    |
| Subject education          |          |            |                   |         |
| Illiterate                 | 22 (61.1)| 0.996      | 0.46-2.1          | 0.493   |
| Literates                  | 71 (61.2)| 1          |                    |         |
| Subject occupation         |          |            |                   |         |
| Unemployed*                | 52 (62.6)| 1.14       | 0.59-2.2          | 0.343   |
| Employed                   | 41 (59.4)| 1          |                    |         |
| Variables                        | Yes (93) (%) | Odds ratio | Confidence limits | P value |
|---------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------------|---------|
| **Husband education**           |              |            |                   |         |
| Illiterate                      | 19 (55.9)    | 0.75       | 0.35-1.6          | 0.239   |
| Literates                       | 74 (62.7)    | 1          |                   |         |
| **Husband occupation**          |              |            |                   |         |
| Unemployed*                     | 8 (50)       | 0.6        | 0.21-1.7          | 0.174   |
| Employed                        | 85 (62.5)    | 1          |                   |         |
| **Age at marriage (in years)**  |              |            |                   |         |
| < 15                            | 5 (55.5)     | 0.78       | 0.2-3.03          | 0.724   |
| 15-20                           | 73 (60.8)    | 1.4        | 0.63-2.9          | 0.43    |
| >20                             | 15 (65.2)    | 1.2        | 0.48-3.1          | 0.68    |
| **Birth order**                 |              |            |                   |         |
| 1                               | 39 (61.9)    | 1.05       | 0.54-2.04         | 0.881   |
| 2                               | 29 (59.2)    | 0.88       | 0.44-1.8          | 0.728   |
| 3                               | 18 (64.3)    | 1.17       | 0.50-2.8          | 0.722   |
| ≥4                              | 7 (58.3)     | 0.87       | 0.26-2.9          | 0.828   |
| **Spacing**                     |              |            |                   |         |
| Yes                             | 52 (65)      | 1.4        | 0.72-2.7          | 0.315   |
| No                              | 41 (56.9)    | 1          |                   |         |
| **Distance from the health facility (kms)** |          |            |                   |         |
| <5                              | 56 (66.7)    | 0.49       | 0.23-1.03         | 0.06    |
| >5                              | 37 (54.4)    | 1          |                   |         |
| **ANC care**                    |              |            |                   |         |
| Yes                             | 59 (56.2)    | 0.49       | 0.23-1.03         | 0.06    |
| No                              | 34 (72.3)    | 1          |                   |         |
| **Place of delivery**           |              |            |                   |         |
| Home                            | 4 (80)       | 2.6        | 0.28-23.9         | 0.436   |
| Government hospital             | 71 (59.2)    | 0.66       | 0.28-1.5          | 0.333   |
| Private hospital                | 18 (66.7)    | 1.33       | 0.55-3.2          | 0.533   |
| **Type of delivery**            |              |            |                   |         |
| NVD                             | 78 (67.8)    | 3.1        | 1.44-6.6          | 0.003   |
| LSCS                            | 15 (40.5)    | 1          |                   |         |

Table 5: Services provided by the health worker.

| Variables            | Number | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------|--------|----------------|
| **Breast feeding**   |        |                |
| Yes                  | 138    | 90.8           |
| No                   | 14     | 9.2            |
| **Immunization**     |        |                |
| Yes                  | 138    | 90.8           |
| No                   | 14     | 9.2            |
| **Family planning**  |        |                |
| Yes                  | 121    | 79.6           |
| No                   | 31     | 20.4           |
| **Diet**             |        |                |
| Yes                  | 133    | 87.5           |
| No                   | 19     | 12.5           |
| **Personal hygiene** |        |                |
| Yes                  | 136    | 89.5           |
| No                   | 16     | 10.5           |
| **Postpartum complications** | |  |    |  |
| Yes                  | 117    | 77             |
| No                   | 35     | 23             |
| **Postpartum exercises** |      |                |
| Yes                  | 95     | 62.5           |
| No                   | 57     | 37.5           |
DISCUSSION

We have documented utilization of maternal care services in a rural community of Ballari, Karnataka in a cross sectional study. Most maternal deaths is preventable, if women have access to basic medical care during pregnancy, childbirth and post natal period.5 In India, these services are provided through a network of health centres in outpatient clinics, as well as through home visits by health workers. However, utilization of these services by the target population remains very poor. This could be due to lack of awareness, availability or accessibility to these services.

In the present study most of the respondent women 111 (73%) had paid four or more than four antenatal visits and 41 (27%) paid less than four antenatal visit. Das observed that 14 (23.7%) women paid one antenatal visit, 8 (13.6%) paid two, 15(25.4%) paid three and 22(37.3%) paid equal to and above four antenatal visits.7

Dabade et al showed 110 respondents (53.4%) had paid three or more than three antenatal visits and 12 (5.8%) did not pay any antenatal visit.8 According to NFHS-3 survey (2005-06) 83.3% of rural women paid at least three antenatal care visits in Karnataka.2 In the present study women paid 4 or more visits are less compared to survey; but there they considered three visits as per the guidelines, and recently it was changed.9

In the present study, higher education of study participant as well as husband, birth order of two, professional by occupation and married between 15-20 years, had higher odds of taking antenatal care services.

There is very much need for improvement in knowledge and awareness regarding the benefits of ANC. Some factors that lead to restriction of ANC visits by the women such as cultural, socioeconomic and others. We should thus focus on these factors while planning and implementing maternal health care services.

Ninety three women (61.2%) had not paid a single post natal visit, where as only 18 had taken three or more visits. Kahan et al reported than less than half (43.2%; 95% CI (39.9-46.5%)) of the mothers had attended at least one postnatal care visit within 42 days of delivery.10 In the present study, place of delivery (OR 2.6, CI 0.28-23.9) was significantly associated with attending immediate postnatal care. Women, who deliver at home, received medical care from health facility immediately, may be because of fear of complications. Where as in Kahan et al study significant association was found with the women delivered at health facility.10 Our study showed only five respondents had delivered at home. Kumar et al reported that 73% women delivered at home.11

In our study, women with higher education, and professional by occupation had high odds of receiving post natal care, indicating the impact of education on awareness and health status. Two women did not receive single tetanus toxoid (TT) also, inspite of 100% coverage should be there under Universal Immunization program. Agrawal reported 17% had missed TT injections.3

Home visit by the health worker was not 100%, this may be due to distance from the health facility or the unavailability of the women at the time of visit. Health worker did not counselled women equally on all the post natal care services (regarding rest, nutrition, exercises, counselling, breast feeding, and immunization). This shows that the health workers have to be trained well in providing maternal health care services, especially counselling of mothers.

CONCLUSION

The study shows unacceptably low utilization of postnatal care services. It shows the coverage of postnatal care services is inadequate. Antenatal care service utilization was better. This is an important message to health service providers and policy makers to strengthening not only antenatal health services and institutional deliveries but also postnatal care services to reduce maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality.

Limitations

We missed some women, as they were absent during the survey.
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