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Abstract

Formal definition of the reference frame is given. This definition is valid for nonrelativistic and relativistic cases. Proposed definition allows using wide classes of reference frames without restriction to inertial, uniformly accelerated or rotating frames. A conception of the system of coordinates associated with a reference frame is introduced. It is shown that one of these coordinates can be regarded as temporal coordinate, and the others as spatial ones. It is demonstrated that in relativistic case nondiagonal spatial-temporal components of the metric tensor are always equal to zero. Inertial, accelerated, and rotating reference frames are considered as examples.

1 Introduction

The term "reference frame" is widely used in physics. In works [1] [2] the reference frame is associated with a congruence of observers in the spacetime. In the present work such approach is used and developed. It is shown how to set correspondence between event and its four coordinates such that one of them is temporal coordinate and the others are spatial ones in the given reference frame. Proposed definition of the reference frame relates equally to nonrelativistic and relativistic cases.
Another problem concerned in this paper is the physical sense of the metric tensor in relativity theory. The metric tensor is usually considered as tensor with given components, for example, as a solution to the Einstein equations. In Einstein’s work [3], it is stated that “in the general theory of relativity, space and time cannot be defined in such a way that differences of the spatial coordinates can be directly measured by the unit measuring rod, or differences in the time coordinate by a standard clock.” By this reason, physical sense of the metric tensor components can be determined only locally, and remains unclear in extensive region. In the present work the contrary approach is proposed. It is explained how to specify components of the metric tensor in the coordinate system associated with a reference frame. Components of the metric tensor in this system have plain physical sense. It is turned out also that nondiagonal spatial-temporal components of the metric tensor are always equal to zero.

The article is organized as follows. In the next section, definition of the reference frame is given. The definition includes such concepts as main observer and congruence of local observers. The system of coordinates associated with the reference frame, and the configuration space associated with the reference frame are defined.

In the following two sections, reference frames in classical and relativistic theories are considered separately (classical here and further means nonrelativistic). The difference between these two cases arises from peculiarities of the theories, particularly from relativity of simultaneity in the theory of relativity.

Three examples are considered. They are the inertial frame in the third section, the accelerated and rotating frames in the fifth section. These examples should be regarded not only as illustrations, but as important conceptions consistent with the notion of the reference frame.

Discussion and comparison with other approaches are given in the last section.

2 General Definition of the Reference Frame

In modern physical theory the spacetime is considered as differentiable manifold points of which are events. Some set $M$ being manifold means that for each point $x \in M$ there exist a set $D$ containing $x$, an open domain $U$ in $R^n$, and one-to-one mapping $f : D \mapsto U \subset R^n$. The set $D$ can be regarded as
neighborhood of the point \( x \). Therefore, the mappings \( f \) induce a topology on \( M \).

The mapping \( f \) including the domain \( D \) is called a system of coordinates, and components of \( f(x) \) as a vector in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) are called coordinates of the point \( x \) in this system of coordinates. Denote coordinates of a point \( x \) by \( x^i, \ i = 1, n \). For the four-dimensional spacetime, we shall use traditional numeration: \( i = 0, 3 \).

Differentiable manifold is a manifold such that for each pair of mappings \( f_\alpha : D_\alpha \subset M \mapsto U_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( f_\beta : D_\beta \subset M \mapsto U_\beta \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) functions of transition from any system of coordinates to another coordinates \( f_\alpha^{-1} \circ f_\beta \) defined on the set \( f_\beta^{-1}(D_\alpha \cap D_\beta) \) are continuously differentiable.

Thus, every system of coordinates is admitted for a description of the spacetime if the transition mappings between it and another admissible systems of coordinates are continuously differentiable.

Nevertheless, there exist systems of coordinates constructed on the basis of some physical considerations. They can be chosen as some initial systems of coordinates, in which components of tensors representing physical values can be specified, and from which one can pass to another systems using coordinate transformation. Such systems of coordinates are used in the traditional description of the spacetime, when one of the coordinates is the time and the others are regarded as spatial coordinates.

Time coordinate in relativity theory and spatial coordinates are determined depending on a reference frame. Therefore, a reference frame is a way to choose such system of coordinates that one is temporal and another is spatial.

In what follows, we shall concern ourselves how to construct such mapping. Consider an observer, by which we mean point particle carrying clock, and call this observer the main observer.

Assume that there exists such (open) domain \( D \) of the spacetime that for each event in this domain one can find simultaneous event on the worldline of the main observer. The contrary assertion means impossibility of time measuring outside worldline of the main observer. This assumption has fundamental character. It is always held in classical theory. In relativity theory, it may be supposed that this assumption is valid not always. Nevertheless, in some cases it is valid. At least, it is valid for the flat spacetime. As we shall seen later, it is valid for many other cases, for example, for the spacetime with the Schwarzschild metrics.

All simultaneous events form some set. Let’s call this set the space of
simultaneous events, or the temporal layer, and denote it by \( \mathcal{T}_t \), where \( t \) is time of the main observer for this layer. A temporal layer is defined for each event in the domain \( D \). Therefore, the temporal layers form a foliation of the domain \( D \):

\[
D = \bigcup_t \mathcal{T}_t.
\]

Assume that each temporal layer is connected differentiable 3-dimensional manifold, and it is possible to introduce three coordinates on each temporal layer.

Consider a set of observers. If for each point \( x \in D \) there exists unique observer whose worldline passes through this point, it is said that the observers form a congruence of observers. It means that the domain \( D \) can be represented as union of nonintersecting worldlines of observers. Another words, worldlines of the observers fill up the domain \( D \). One of these observers is the main observer, the others will be called local observers.

Assume that there exists congruence \( \mathcal{C} \) of observers, one of which is the main observer, such that all events on each temporal layer are also simultaneous for each local observers. A main observer, a set of temporal layers corresponding to the main observer, and a congruence of observers satisfying formulated here condition will be called a reference frame.

Consider a reference frame and choose some temporal layer \( \mathcal{T}_0 \). Call chosen layer the configuration space associated with given reference frame. Introduce system of mappings \( F_t \) of temporal layers \( \mathcal{T}_t \) to the layer \( \mathcal{T}_0 \) defined as follows: we assign to each point \( x \in \mathcal{T}_t \) a point \( F_t(x) \) that is intersection of the wordline of the observer from \( \mathcal{C} \) passing through \( x \) with \( \mathcal{T}_0 \). Time \( t \) measured by the main observer and coordinates in the configuration space constitute a system of coordinates that we shall call the system of coordinates associated with the reference frame.

If a reference frame is specified, time \( t \) measured by the main observer can be regarded as temporal coordinate, and coordinates in the configuration space can be regarded as spatial ones. What does it mean? The local observers can regard physical processes in the spacetime described in these coordinates as spatio-temporal, because for the local observers temporal layers of the main observer are also layers of simultaneous events. Thus, these coordinates are temporal one and spatial ones in local sense.

The main observer can describe physical processes using local spatio-temporal descriptions of all local observers. It is apparent in view of the fact that differential equations of mathematical physics have tensor form.
and, therefore, are local equations. Such global description can be called also spatial-temporal one. In this sense these coordinates are temporal and spatial ones.

Such approach is traditional in physics. Many physical values are defined as tensors in the configuration space. Components of tensors are defined locally. Specifying tensor components on the base of a physical sense requires that one coordinate be temporal and the others be spatial from the point of view of the local observer. For example, components of the tensor of electromagnetic field $F$ are components of the electric field ($F_{0i}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$) and components of the magnetic flux density ($F_{ik}$, $i, k = 1, 2, 3$), only if coordinate $x^0$ is temporal one and coordinates $x^i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ are spatial ones in local sense. If it is not so, components $F_{ik}$, $i, k = 1, 2, 3$, will be mixture of components of the electric and magnetic field.

In addition, the distance can be measured by a local observer only between two close simultaneous events. Therefore, distance measuring on the temporal layer is not possible, if assumed condition of simultaneity is not valid.

If a reference frame is specified, physical processes can be studied in the configuration space. One can watch how does a mathematical object $A$ describing a process change depending on $t$. For example, the motion of a particle can be studied examining images of positions of the body on different temporal layers $\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{F}_t(x)$. Then set of images corresponding to different $t$ represents the trajectory of motion in the configuration space, and the time $t$ measured by the main observer can be taken as a parameter of the trajectory. Such mathematical model of the motion is widely used in physics for a long time. When this model is used, parameter $t$ is regarded as time, and coordinates in the configuration space are regarded as spatial ones. It is fully consistent with our approach.

Choose a reference frame and assume that at each instant each observer can measure distances from him to all close points lying on the corresponding layer. A criterion of closeness will be formulated further.

Distances between close points can be described by the 3-dimensional metric tensor defined on the temporal layer as on 3-dimensional manifold. Let us give formal definition of bilinear form, which we shall call the metric tensor. Consider a point $x$ and a point $\xi$ that is close to $x$; $x, \xi \in M$, $\dim M = n$. Assume that we can connect these points by a smooth line $y(\lambda) : x = y(\lambda_0)$, $\xi = y(\lambda_0 + \delta \lambda)$. Criterion of smoothness will be given also further. Let introduce vector $\delta x$ of displacement of the point $\xi$ relative to
the point $x: \delta x = v\delta \lambda \in T_x M$ where $v = dy/d\lambda$ is the tangent vector to the line at the point $x$. Here $T_x M$ denotes the tangent space of the manifold $M$ at the point $x$.

Nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $g(u, v), u, v \in T_x M$, such that for any point $\xi$ close to the point $x$

$$g(\delta x, \delta x) = \delta r^2$$

with an accuracy up to terms of higher order $o(\delta r^2)$ will be called the metric tensor. Here $\delta r$ is the distance between $\xi$ and $x$.

Assume that there exists such system of coordinates that deviations of components of the metric tensor from corresponding elements of the matrix

$$\|g_{ik}\| = \text{diag}(1, \ldots, 1)$$

are small compared to 1. Let us call such coordinates locally Cartesian coordinates in the domain $D$. A line that can be represented in locally Cartesian coordinates in the form $x^i = x^i_0 + \lambda v^i, x \in D$ where $v$ is some vector will be called a smooth line. Each pair of points in $D$ that can be connected by a smooth line will be called close each to other.

When components of $g$ in some coordinates changes slowly along the smooth line connecting the points, equality (1) can be written in the form

$$\delta r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} g_{ik} \delta x^i \delta x^k.$$ 

3 Reference Frames in Classical Physics

One of the basic postulates of nonrelativistic theory is absoluteness of the simultaneity. It means that if one observer regards two events as simultaneous, another observer who is able to measure time of these events also regard the events as simultaneous. It is usually assumed that every observer can measure the time of all events, and that rate of clocks of all observers is the same, but the contrary assumptions are also acceptable.

Then the spacetime can be represented as union of the temporal layers $\mathcal{T}_t$ [4]:

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_t \mathcal{T}_t,$$  

(2)
where $t$ is the time for this layer.

Thus each congruence of observers specifies a reference frame. One of these observers can be taken as the main observer, and the others as local ones.

The time $t$ can be regarded as temporal coordinate for any reference frame. But choice of spatial coordinates depends on a reference frame. For example, spatial coordinates of main and local observers participating in constructing of a reference frame don’t change in system of coordinates associated with this reference frame and can change in coordinates associated with another reference frame.

As it was assumed earlier, on each temporal layer $\mathcal{T}_t$ one can introduce metrics, that is the nondegenerate symmetric tensor $g_{ik}$, $i, k = 1, 2, 3$, specifying distances between simultaneous events.

As an important example, consider the inertial frame. Let the domain $D$ is such that for layer $\mathcal{T}_0$ all points are close to the position of the main observer on this layer.

Let us call a reference frame such that velocity in the configuration space $v$ of every isolated particle doesn’t change the locally inertial frame. It means that Cartesian components of the velocity conserve, because Lagrangian of an isolated particle with mass $m$ in such frame has the form $L = mg_{ik}v^i v^k / 2$ (summation is meant on coincident indices). Inertial frame is the particular case when the domain $D$ is unbounded.

The difference between four-dimensional velocity vector of some point particle $u = dx/dt \in T_x \mathcal{R}$ at the point $x$ and four-dimensional velocity vector of the local observer $u_O(x) \in T_x \mathcal{R}$ at this point has only spatial components. So it can be considered as three-dimensional vector $u - u_O(x) \in T_x (\mathcal{T}_t)$. It is easy to understand that this vector is $v$.

Take two inertial frames and associated systems of coordinates with Cartesian spatial coordinates. Four-dimensional velocity of a particle is

$$u = (1, v^1, v^2, v^3)^T = (1, \tilde{v}^1, \tilde{v}^2, \tilde{v}^3)^T$$

(index $T$ here and further denotes transposition, tilde indicates the second reference frame). Here $v^i = \text{const}$, $\tilde{v}^i = \text{const}$, $i = 1, 3$, according to definition of the inertial frame.

Consider a particle at a point $x \in D$. We have $u - u_{\tilde{O}} = u - u_O - (u_{\tilde{O}} - u_O)$. Therefore $(u_{\tilde{O}} - u_O) = V$, where $V^i = v^i - \tilde{v}^i = \text{const}$, $i = 1, 3$. It may be interpreted as uniform motion of the second frame relative to initial frame.
Applying the contravariant law of transformation of vector components we get following equation for coordinate transformation functions:

\[ \tilde{u}^i = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j} u^j = v^i - V^i, \quad i = 1, 3. \]  

The unique solution to \((3)\) is: \(\tilde{x}^i = x^i - V^i x^0, i = 1, 3.\) This law is known as Galilean transformation.

## 4 Reference Frames in Relativity Theory

In relativity theory, two approaches are possible. According to the standard approach, it is assumed that there exists a four-dimensional metric tensor \(g\) defined at each point of the spacetime such that matrix of its components in some coordinates has the form

\[ \|g_{ik}\| = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1), \quad i, k = 0, 3 \]  

at this point. In this case, one can do without reference frames, because all tensor equations are valid being written componentwise in every system of coordinates. But the sense of components of the metric tensor and another tensors doesn’t have simple interpretation.

We shall follow to the second approach, which consists in direct definition of the components of the metric tensor in coordinates that have plain physical sense.

First of all, let construct a reference frame in some domain \(D\) of the spacetime, as it is described in the section 2. As a result, we get a representation of the domain \(D\) as a union of disjoint layers, each of them representing three-dimensional surface describing by the equation \(t(x) = \text{const}\). Here \(t(x)\) is time measured by the clock of the main observer for the layer on that the event \(x\) lies. As distinct from the nonrelativistic case, events on the temporal layers are not simultaneous for observers of another reference frames.

Though events on all temporal layers are simultaneous for all local observers of this reference frame, time intervals between layers can differ from the points of view of different local observers. Let introduce the coefficient \(g_{00}\), which will characterize clock rate of a local observer relative to clock rate of the main observer: \(\delta \tau = \sqrt{g_{00}(x)} \delta t\). Here \(\delta t\) and \(\delta \tau\) are time intervals between close layers according to clocks of the main observer and a local observer correspondingly (assume that \(\delta t\) and \(\delta \tau\) have the same sign).
Let’s take the time $t$ as temporal coordinate of a point $x$, with an accuracy up to multiplier $c$, sense of which will be revealed further: $x^0 = ct$. As before, let’s take coordinates of the image of the point $x$ in configuration space as coordinates $x^i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. They are spatial coordinates.

As well as in nonrelativistic theory, assume that the distance between a pair of close points is defined on each temporal layer, and it can be expressed by the three-dimensional metric tensor, which is defined on this layer:

$$\delta r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{3} g_{ik} \delta x^i \delta x^k$$

with an accuracy up to terms of higher order under formulated above condition of slow variation of $g_{ik}$.

Consider two close events on different temporal layers. A criterion of closeness will be formulated further in similar manner as for nonrelativistic case. Let formulate some conditions of closeness in advance. Take two observers whose worldlines $l_1$ and $l_2$ pass through the events. Take temporal layers which contain the events, and denote one of them by $\mathcal{T}_1$. Firstly, demand that points $l_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_1$ and $l_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_1$ be close one to other in the same sense as in nonrelativistic case. As to the next condition, nonstrictly speaking, it means that components $g_{00}$ and $g_{ik}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ vary slowly in some domain containing events closeness of which is examined, particularly, along smooth line connecting points $l_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_1$ and $l_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_1$.

Let introduce the interval between two close points as follows:

$$\delta s^2 = |c^2 \delta \tau^2 - \delta r^2| = |g_{00}(\delta x^0)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{3} g_{ik} \delta x^i \delta x^k|$$

with an accuracy up to terms of higher order. One of the basic principles of relativity theory is that there exists such $c$ that interval between two close events is the physical value which depends only on these events. Interval invariance can be proved experimentally by measuring time intervals and distances entering into expression (5) in various reference frames. The value of $c$ can be found from these measurements. From the other hand, the value of $c$ can be found from measurement of the light velocity, as the electrodynamics equations contain the metric tensor. The interval is called timelike, spacelike, or lightlike, if the value $c^2 \delta \tau^2 - \delta r^2$ is positive, negative, or equal to 0 correspondingly.
Let us call the symmetric twice covariant tensor satisfying the condition
\[\delta s^2 = \pm g(\delta x, \delta x)\]
up to terms of higher order the four-dimensional metric tensor. Here \(\delta x\) is the displacement vector of one point relative to another close point. Sign plus or minus are chosen for timelike and spacelike intervals correspondingly.

If differences between components of the metric tensor and corresponding components of the matrix \(\text{[4]}\) are small compared to 1 in coordinates associated with some reference frame, call such reference frame and coordinates locally Lorentzian ones. As previously, a line that can be represented in locally Lorentzian coordinates in the form \(x^i = x^{i}_0 + \lambda v^i, x \in D\) where \(v\) is some vector will be called a smooth line. Each pair of points in \(D\) that can be connected by a smooth line will be called close one to other.

If the domain \(D\) is unbounded, the reference frame is called Lorentzian. Spacetime where one can specify a Lorentzian frame is commonly called the Minkowskian spacetime.

According to the definitions of the interval and of the metric tensor, components of the metric tensor with one zeroth index are equal to 0 in coordinates among which one is temporal, and three ones are spatial:

\[g_{0i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.\]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The component \(g_{00}\) characterizes the rate of clock of a local observer as compared with the rate of the main observer clock. Components of the metric tensor in other systems of coordinates can be found by corresponding transformation.

As it is follows from the foregoing, if one of the components \(g_{0i}, i = 1, 2, 3\) is not equal to 0, then one cannot regard \(x^0\) as a temporal coordinate, and the rest three coordinates as spatial ones. In this case they are some combinations of temporal and spatial coordinates.

In various problems, components of the metric tensor are found as solutions to the Einstein equations. Is it possible to construct a reference frame always in these cases? It can be done, if construction of spaces of simultaneous events (temporal layers) is possible. Write an equation of these three-dimensional surfaces in the form \(\tilde{x}^0(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) = \text{const}\).

Assume that \(g_{00} > 0\) in the domain under consideration. Assume also that \(\tilde{x}^0(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)\) is continuously differentiable on \(x^i, i = 0, 3\), and that \(\partial x^0 / \partial \tilde{x}^0 \neq 0\) when \(x^1, x^2, x^3\) are fixed. Then we can take \(\tilde{x}^0, x^1, x^2, x^3\) as new
coordinates. Matrix of coefficients of the covariant law of transformation to these coordinates has the form

\[
\frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{x}} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial x^0}{\partial \tilde{x}^0} & \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial \tilde{x}^1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (7)

It follows from (7) that \(\tilde{g}_{00} = g_{00}(\partial x^0/\partial \tilde{x}^0)^2 > 0\).

As sought surface is a surface of simultaneous events, we have \(\tilde{g}_{0i} = 0\) for \(i = 1, 2, 3\). Expressing these components with use of (7), we get equations

\[
0 = g_{0i} \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} + g_{00} \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial \tilde{x}^0}, \quad i = 1, 3.
\] (8)

Inverting matrix (7) and taking into account (8), we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^0}{\partial x^0} = (\frac{\partial x^0}{\partial \tilde{x}^0})^{-1}, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^0} = \frac{g_{0i}}{g_{00}} (\frac{\partial x^0}{\partial \tilde{x}^0})^{-1}, \quad i = 1, 3.
\] (9)

So, differential form describing sought surface can be written in the form

\[
T = \lambda(x)(g_{00}dx^0 + g_{01}dx^1 + g_{02}dx^2 + g_{03}dx^3),
\] (10)

where

\[
\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{g_{00}} (\frac{\partial x^0}{\partial \tilde{x}^0})^{-1}.
\]

The form (10) has noncovariant view, because describes the spaces of simultaneous events, and the simultaneity is relative.

If the form is considered in the domain that satisfies the conditions of the Poincare lemma, it is necessary and sufficient for a possibility of its integration that there exists such \(\lambda(x)\) that

\[
\int dT = 0
\]

(the components of the metric tensor are assumed to be differentiable on coordinates). As a result of integration, find function \(\tilde{x}^0\) such that \(T = d\tilde{x}^0\). This function define a set of surfaces such that each point of the domain lies on some surface.

Consider vector field \(u = (1, 0, 0, 0)^T\). Each vector of this field is timelike, because \(\tilde{g}_{00} > 0\), and \(\tilde{g}_{0i} = 0, i = 1, 2, 3\). Then it can be regarded as velocity of
an observer: \( dx/d\tilde{x}^0 = u \). Trajectories of the observers are lines \( x^1 = \text{const}, x^2 = \text{const}, x^3 = \text{const} \). Take one of the observers as the main observer. Coordinates \( \tilde{x}^0, x^1, x^2, x^3 \) do not form a system of coordinates associated with this reference frame, because \( \tilde{g}_{00} \) may be not equal to 1 on the worldline of the main observer. It is easy to understand that if we take coordinate \( \tau \) as a solution to the differential equation \( d\tau/d\tilde{x}^0 = (\tilde{g}^{(m)}_{00}(\tilde{x}^0))^{1/2} \), then \( g_{\tau\tau}^{(m)} = 1 \), and system of coordinates \( \tau, x^1, x^2, x^3 \) is associated with constructed reference frame. Here, upper index \( m \) means that component \( g_{00} \) is taken along the worldline of the main observer.

Thus, if the metric tensor is set in some domain \( D \) which satisfy the conditions of the Poincare lemma, \( g_{00} > 0 \), and there exists such \( \lambda(x) \) that differential of the form \( (10) \) is equal to zero in \( D \), then one can construct a reference frame in \( D \).

5 Accelerated and Rotating Reference Frames

Consider two examples of reference frames. As a first example, take the reference frame moving with arbitrary acceleration along the axis \( x \) of some Lorentzian frame (here and further \( x \) denotes one of the Cartesian coordinates \( x, y, z \)). That means that the main observer with which the accelerated frame is associated moves with an acceleration in configuration space associated with the initial reference frame. Besides, all local observers of the accelerated frame move with the same velocity as the main observer. Denote by \( v \) \( x \)-component of this velocity.

Let \( v = 0 \) at an initial moment \( t = 0 \). Take the Cartesian coordinates on the temporal layer of accelerated frame corresponding to the initial moment as the spatial coordinates \( \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \) in accelerated frame. It is evident that \( \tilde{y} = y, \tilde{z} = z \), because of the motion is directed along \( x \) axis. Therefore, let’s consider two-dimensional problem instead of four-dimensional one \((y = 0, z = 0)\).

Let main observer is at the origin of the initial system of coordinates at \( t = 0 \). Then

\[
x_0 = \int_0^{t_0} \beta(t)c \, dt.
\]

Here \( t_0 \) and \( x_0 \) are the time and the \( x \)-coordinate of some point on the worldline of main observer in initial system of coordinates, \( \beta(t) = v/c \). The time
and the $x-$coordinate of that event in the system of coordinates associated with the accelerated frame are

$$
\tilde{t}_0 = \frac{s}{c} = \frac{1}{c} \int_0^{t_0} \sqrt{g_{ik}u^i u^k} dt = \int_0^{t_0} \sqrt{1 - \beta(t)^2} dt \equiv F(t_0), \quad \tilde{x}_0 = 0.
$$

According to the definition of the reference frame, events which are simultaneous with $(\tilde{t}_0, \tilde{x}_0)$ in accelerated frame are also simultaneous with $(\tilde{t}_0, \tilde{x}_0)$ in the Lorentzian frame moving with the same velocity as the accelerated frame. That means that they lie on the line

$$
c(t - t_0) - \beta(x - x_0) = 0. \tag{11}
$$

Time for events on this line is the time of the main observer $\tilde{t} = \tilde{t}_0$ (tilde denotes that the value is taken for the accelerated frame). As distances between the local observers of the accelerated frame in the initial frame are conserved, the Cartesian coordinate $\tilde{x}_0$ is equal to the spacelike interval along line (11) from the point $(\tilde{t}_0, \tilde{x}_0)$ to the point $(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})$:

$$
\tilde{x}^2 = (x - x_0)^2 - c^2(t - t_0)^2 = (x - x_0)^2 - \beta^2(x - x_0)^2 = \gamma^{-2}(x - x_0)^2
$$

where $\gamma = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2}$. Thus, for a point $(t, x)$ on line (11) we have

$$
t = t_0 + \frac{\beta \gamma}{c} \tilde{x}, \quad x = x_0 + \gamma \tilde{x} \tag{12}
$$

where $t_0$ and $x_0$ are time and coordinate of the event which is simultaneous with the event $(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})$, and has spatial coordinate equal to 0 in accelerated frame.

Expressing $t_0$ and $x_0$ through $\tilde{t}_0$, we have

$$
t_0 = F^{-1}(\tilde{t}_0), \quad x_0 = \int_0^{F^{-1}(\tilde{t}_0)} \beta(t) c dt.
$$

Using expression (12), one can calculate the components of the metric tensor in the accelerated frame. Differentiating (12), we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial t}{\partial \tilde{t}} = \gamma(1 + \gamma^3 \beta' \tilde{x} / c), \quad \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tilde{x}} = \frac{\beta \gamma}{c}, \quad \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{t}} = \beta \gamma(c + \tilde{x} \beta' \gamma^3), \quad \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{x}} = \gamma.
$$
Here the stroke means differentiating of a function on its argument.

In initial Lorentzian frame the metric tensor has components (4). Applying covariant law of transformation, we get components of the metric tensor in the accelerated frame. Nondiagonal component is \( \tilde{g}_{01} = 0 \), as it should be in every reference frame. From formal point of view, this result is a consequence of the fact that the events which are simultaneous in the accelerated frame are also simultaneous in the comoving Lorentzian frame, and in a Lorentzian frame the equality \( \tilde{g}_{01} = 0 \) holds. Diagonal components of the metric tensor are

\[
\tilde{g}_{00} = (1 + \gamma^3 \beta' \frac{\bar{x}}{c})^2, \quad \tilde{g}_{11} = -1.
\]

The dependence of \( \tilde{g}_{00} \) from the spatial coordinate results in acceleration of an isolated body in the accelerated frame, because the dynamics equations contain derivatives of the metric tensor. But the acceleration will be noticeable only when the body passes some distance. Therefore, the accelerated frame can be regarded as locally Lorentzian frame in some sufficiently small domain.

As the second example, consider the rotating reference frame. Here we shall show that such frame can be regarded only in sufficiently small domain such that velocities of rotation for all points of that domain are nonrelativistic.

We follow the traditional interpretation of the rotating frame according to which cylindrical coordinates are \( \bar{r} = r, \bar{\varphi} = \varphi + \Omega t, \bar{z} = z \) where \( r, \varphi, z, t \) are the cylindrical coordinates and the time in the initial Lorentzian frame, \( \Omega \) is angular velocity of the rotation, and the temporal coordinate is the same as in initial Lorentzian frame [5]. As for a local observer of the rotating frame coordinates don’t change, trajectories of their movement in the initial Lorentzian frame are \( r(t) = \text{const}, \varphi(t) = \varphi_0 - \Omega t, z(t) = \text{const} \). It is obvious, that the events lying on the surfaces \( t = \text{const} \), which are simultaneous from point of view of the main observer, are not simultaneous from the point of view of an observer moving along one of these lines with the relativistic velocity.

Therefore the size of domain where one can use the rotating reference frame is determined by such values of coordinate \( r \) at which the motion along the coordinate lines of the temporal coordinate is nonrelativistic: \(|\Omega| r \ll c\). Classical theory doesn’t contain such restriction, because surfaces \( t = \text{const} \) represent surfaces of simultaneous events from point of view of each observer.
On the other hand, the introduced coordinates can be used at the greater values of $r$, right up till $c/\Omega$, as it is pointed out in Ref. [5]. But in that case, these coordinates cannot be regarded as temporal one and three spatial ones. That is, this system of coordinates is not associated with any reference frame. It can be also seen while calculating component $g_{0\varphi}$, which is not equal to 0.

6 Conclusion

The definition of the reference frame is given. This definition is based on such fundamental concept as the spacetime, and is applicable in classical and relativistic cases. The notions of the configuration space and of the system of coordinates associated with a reference frame are introduced. The conception of temporal and spatial coordinates is analyzed, and it is shown that one of coordinates of the system of coordinates associated with a reference frame is temporal one and the others are spatial ones.

It is demonstrated how the relativistic metric tensor can be constructed on the base of measurements of time and distances. It is found that $g_{0i} = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ in coordinates which are associated with the reference frame. These conditions can be used as additional boundary conditions in boundary problems for the gravitational field equations. The necessity of additional conditions was shown by Einstein [10].

Construction of a reference frame is possible on the base of the assumption that there are surfaces of simultaneous events from point of view of a certain observer. This assumption is valid for many known solutions to the gravitational field equations [7], because of $g_{0i} = 0$, $i = 1, 3$ for these solutions. In the general case, as it is shown at the present work, the existence of such surfaces is equivalent to the assertion that for some $\lambda(x)$ differential of the form $T = \lambda(x)(g_{00}, g_{01}, g_{02}, g_{03})$ is equal to 0.

If the assumption being discussed is realized, components of the metric tensor can be made to have physical sense. The possibility of comprehension of solutions for which spaces of simultaneous events do not exist is questionable. From this point of view, it is quite reasonable to investigate only solutions with zero components $g_{0i}$.

When constructing a reference frame in some domain of the spacetime, we represent this domain as union of mutually nonintersecting layers. Such structure is well known in relativity theory as foliation. In the works of
Arnowitt, Deser, Misner [6], and Dirac [8], the foliation of the spacetime was used for Hamiltonian formulation of the theory of relativity. Besides, the foliation is widely used for numerical solution of the gravitational field equations (see, for example, [9]). In [9], components $g_{0i}$ are not restricted. So, foliations considered in [9] have layers which are some timelike surfaces, but not surfaces of simultaneous events.

Among various kinds of foliations, mention the geodesic foliation when the metric tensor has components $g_{00} = 1$, $g_{0i} = 0$, $i = 1, 3$. Corresponding coordinates are called semigeodesic or Gauss normal coordinates [11]. According to the approach presented in this article, such coordinates do not form a system of coordinates associated with any reference frame, as $x^0$ is not temporal coordinate. As distinct from semigeodesic coordinates, in coordinates associated with a reference frame, $g_{00}$ is not equal always to 1, but can vary.

In the definition of the reference frame, concepts of the main observer and of the congruence of the local observers are used. In contrast to [1, 2] where such concepts are also used, additional condition is formulated. This condition is simultaneity of all events on each temporal layer from point of view of all observers. It is always satisfied in the classical theory, and results in some restrictions in relativity theory. For example, it is shown that in relativity theory the rotating reference frame can be used only for events sufficiently close to the rotation axis.

Note also that in various works the concepts of the temporal coordinate and the spatial ones are insufficiently formalized. For example, in [12] the question was raised when one coordinate is temporal one and others are spatial ones. Given there conditions for components of the metric tensor mean, in fact, that the basis vector of one of coordinates is timelike, and the basis vectors of other coordinates are spacelike. According to the presented here approach, it does not mean that first coordinate is temporal one and the others are spatial ones. The properties of the timelikeness and the spacelikeness are absolute and does not depend of the system of coordinates. But a vector can be the basis vector for a temporal coordinate or a spatial coordinate in some reference frame, whereas in another reference frame it can be the basis vector for coordinate, which is combination of temporal and spatial coordinates. At that in [12], the components $g_{0i}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ are not restricted.
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