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ABSTRACT

Purpose The Women Aware with Their Children study was created because prospective data are required to accurately guide prevention programmes for intimate partner violence (IPV) and to improve the mental health and resettlement trajectories of women from refugee backgrounds in Australia.

Participants 1335 women (685 consecutively enrolled from refugee backgrounds and 650 randomly selected Australian-born) recruited during pregnancy from three public antenatal clinics in Sydney and Melbourne, Australia. The mean age was 29.7 years among women from refugee backgrounds and 29.0 years among women born in the host nation. Main measures include IPV, mood, panic, post-traumatic stress disorder, disability and living difficulties.

Findings to date Prevalence of IPV at all three time points is significantly higher for refugee-background women. The trend data showed that reported IPV rates among Australian-born women increased from 25.8% at time 1 to 30.1% at time 3, while for refugee-background women this rate declined from 44.4% at time 1 to 42.6% at time 3. Prevalence of major depressive disorder (MDD) at all three time points is higher for refugee-background women. MDD among Australian-born women significantly declined from 14.5% at time 1 to 9.9% at time 3, while for refugee-background women it fluctuated from 25.1% at time 1 to 17.3% at time 2 and to 19.1% at time 3.

Future plans We are currently examining trajectories of IPV and mental disorder across four time points. Time 4 occurred during the COVID-19 pandemic, enabling a unique opportunity to examine the impacts of the pandemic over time. Time 5 started in August 2021 and time 6 will begin approximately 12 months later. The children at time 5 are in the early school years, providing the capacity to examine behaviour, development and wellbeing of the index child.

INTRODUCTION

The scale of the global refugee crisis is unprecedented. As a signatory to the Refugee Convention (1951), Australia and many other high-income countries including the UK and the USA have a long history of leadership in responding to international crises by admitting substantial numbers of refugees. The success of refugee resettlement programmes can be judged by the effectiveness of settlement policy for those admitted, indicated by health status, levels of acculturation, participation and inclusion. It is imperative that all high-income countries provide appropriate programmes to assist refugees to overcome barriers to resettlement, some of the key obstacles being ongoing mental distress and exposure to stress and trauma. One source of the latter that has been largely ignored is the unique experiences of women from conflict-affected backgrounds (hereon...
refugee women) in high-income countries. Refugee women’s mental well-being and the problem of intimate partner violence (IPV) are particularly important to consider in supporting them to settle and enjoy mental well-being in high-income countries.\(^2\) Comprehensively defined, IPV includes physical, emotional, sexual and financial abuse by an intimate partner.\(^3\) The WHO estimates that the cumulative impact of IPV on morbidity and mortality exceeds the global burden of recognised public health problems.\(^4\) The stark reality is that 1 in 6 Australian women experience IPV, and between 80 and 100 women are killed every year by intimate partners.\(^5\) Despite the risk of higher IPV prevalence and the associated mental health risks, refugee women who experience unique trauma and poverty-related factors that differentiate them from other migrants have been largely ignored in high-income country studies of IPV.\(^2\) Several inter-related reasons may place refugee women at risk of IPV, including universal factors such as patriarchal values and economic adversity, as well as refugee-related factors such as premigration adversity, loss of social and cultural support, separation from family, and barriers to accessing and using educational, employment and welfare services in the new society. Refugee women face conditions of multiple jeopardy for common mental disorders (CMDs) including depression, anxiety and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) because of their exposure to prior trauma in their homeland and during the process of flight, ongoing resettlement stresses and, critically, IPV in their family environment.\(^7\) Despite this, there is a dearth of systematic data examining the prevalence or impact of IPV on the mental health and functioning of refugee women either in Australia or worldwide. We set up the Women Aware with Their Children (WATCH) study because prospective data are required to provide a knowledge base to accurately guide prevention programmes for IPV and improve the mental health and resettlement trajectories of refugee women in Australia. The COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated the value of a cohort design which allows researchers to prospectively examine significant events on mental health, IPV and functioning in women in high-income countries, including the significant but often neglected population of women from refugee background.

**Higher risk of IPV and mental disorders**

We previously confirmed a robust linear relationship between the quantum of trauma exposure experienced by refugees and the risk of CMDs, particularly PTSD and depression.\(^7\) While refugee men are subject to traumas related to torture and imprisonment, women commonly experience gendered trauma including rape, forced marriages, involuntary sterilisation and sexual slavery.\(^8\)-\(^10\) The effects of past trauma may be exacerbated by social and family isolation during resettlement, adding to the difficulty that women face if they lack the skills, knowledge or capacity to establish networks or seek assistance from support agencies.\(^11\)\(^12\) Under these circumstances, it seems plausible that the additional trauma of ongoing IPV will greatly increase the risk of onset or exacerbation of CMDs, generating compounding conditions of adversity that undermine the woman’s right and capacity to live in safety and achieve successful resettlement. The experience–effect relationship between gender-based violence and CMDs (including depression and PTSD) in women born in high-income countries is now well established in large cross-sectional studies, with Rees and colleagues confirming this association in a seminal nationally representative sample of English-speaking Australian women.\(^13\) We have also shown that first exposure to IPV commonly precedes the new onset of CMD in young Australian women, strengthening the argument for a causal relationship.\(^14\) Although studies are limited, IPV appears to be common in low-income, conflict-affected societies that are the source of refugee flows; in addition, IPV is strongly associated with CMDs in those settings.\(^8\)\(^9\)\(^15\)-\(^17\)

Fisher et al found in rural Vietnam that experience of IPV was associated with higher prevalence and severity of perinatal depression, anxiety and suicidal thoughts.\(^18\) Importantly, in a world first study in antenatal clinics in Timor-Leste, Rees and colleagues found that women exposed to the dual experiences of extensive war-related trauma and IPV were at 10 times greater risk of exhibiting CMDs.\(^15\) Despite this, no established longitudinal studies of IPV and mental illness other than the WATCH study have been undertaken among refugees either in Australia and other high-income countries, such as the USA and Canada.\(^11\)\(^18\) The WATCH study is one of the few rigorous studies with the capacity to define the trajectories of IPV over time and to examine the risk factors shaping adverse mental health outcomes such as depression, either in general or refugee populations. Our first published paper from the WATCH baseline data reveals that women identifying as refugees reported a much higher prevalence of major depressive disorder (MDD) symptoms and all the indicators of adversity related to that disorder. Even after risk factors were accounted for, refugee status was associated with a greater risk of MDD symptoms.\(^2\)

**COHORT DESCRIPTION**

**Participants and recruitment**

Participants were first recruited between January 2015 and March 2016. The study was conducted at three public antenatal clinics located in refugee-dense geographical areas in Sydney and Melbourne, Australia. Consecutive women were recruited from all Arabic-speaking countries, Sudan (all regions) and Sri Lanka (Tamil-speaking). These nations represented the largest intake groups from conflict-affected regions entering Australia and other high-income countries at the time of this study. By limiting the study to these language groups, we sought to contain both the problems of transcultural measurement error and small cell sizes. Country of origin was identified by clinic records, requests for an interpreter or culturally recognisable surnames, and country of birth.
data were checked against clinic appointment lists. We refer to our cohort as people from refugee background; however, theoretically, not all people who arrive from conflict-affected countries enter formally as ‘refugees’ on humanitarian visas. Our inclusion criteria were informed by knowledge that most people in those selected backgrounds (all Arabic-speaking countries, Sudan and Sri Lanka, Tamil-speaking) would have come from a conflict-affected country, the extent to which is examined when we analyse our data. In some analysis, we have tested whether self-identifying as a refugee indicated a higher risk of mental disorder. We found that women who identified as refugees from within our broader conflict-affected country cohort indeed experienced higher prevalence of mood disorder, even after all other risk factors were accounted for.2

One of the main objectives of this longitudinal project was to explore the prevalence and risk factors of IPV among Australian-born and refugee-background women. Prior to the baseline survey (due to lack of data about prevalence of IPV among Australian-born and refugee-background women in Australia and lack of information about variability in the proportion of IPV), we assumed maximum variability as 0.50. Furthermore, considering a desired 95% confidence level and ±5% precision, with 90% power, we estimated that a sample of 385 women will be required for each group of women. It is also to be noted that this longitudinal project was anticipated to extend to six waves of assessments covering a period of 6 years or more. Dropout or loss of follow-up is a common problem in longitudinal cohort studies—in order to achieve sufficient sample size (n=385) by the sixth wave of assessment—considering 10.0% dropout rate between the two waves of data collection—we estimated a sample size of 620 at the baseline survey would be required for each group of women. This will ultimately allow us to achieve an estimated required sample size of 385 at the sixth wave of assessment for each group of women, respectively. This paper refers to wave 1, wave 2 and wave 3 data; at wave 1, a total of 650 Australian-born and 685 refugee-background women were interviewed, and at wave 3, a total of 435 Australian-born and 470 refugee-background women were interviewed; and the achieved sample sizes (larger than 385 for both group) was sufficient for any advanced-level statistical analysis (refer to figure 1).

Recruitment occurred at a woman’s first appointment at the clinic, which most commonly occurred between 12 and 20 weeks’ gestation. Women with overt psychosis, severe medical illness and obvious intellectual impairment were excluded.

Women born in Australia attended the clinics in substantially larger numbers than those from conflict-affected countries. To undertake a parallel sampling strategy over a similar time frame, we applied a computer-generated selection procedure to identify a random subset of women from the host country daily. The randomised procedure was based on a kish grid, with the primary number being determined by the total of attendees listed to attend the clinic on each day (each arrival being allocated a number). Women members of the research team who spoke the same language as eligible women approached them in the waiting room and, following consent, conducted interviews lasting a maximum of 1 hour in private areas of the clinic, with breaks for refreshments or to attend to

![Figure 1 Flowchart covering participants interviewed at waves 1–3 survey.](image-url)
children. Interviews with women from Sudan were able to be conducted in either Dinka, English or Arabic.

Public and participant involvement
Members of the public with expertise in the key cultural, language and background of the target population are involved in the design, conduct, reporting and dissemination of our research. We recruited and trained community members with the same cultural and language backgrounds as the refugee populations to be employed as research assistants. Research assistants are consulted on the design of interview protocols, cultural advice, publications, as well as, if required, checking accuracy during the analytical and interpretation stage. We set up advisory groups of people from Arabic and Tamil communities to share and check cultural and well as language accuracy in the questionnaires. The advisory groups consisted of respected experts representing each culture. The experts were recommended to us by our research assistants. The groups met and discussed the quality of the translation. They worked through each interview question and debated and discussed it until agreement was reached on the most accurate translation, taking into account linguistic, ethnic and cultural interpretations. The chief investigator led the group discussion to ensure that the intended meaning of the question or item was maintained. The groups identified and corrected anything that was considered incomprehensible, unacceptable, incomplete or extraneous. Our advice from Sudanese advisors was that agreement on the correct language in Dinka or other ethnic Sudanese languages would be difficult to achieve because of the relative complexity and nuance in the English questionnaire. The advice, therefore, was that person interviewing in Sudanese (only one for consistency) would need to ensure uniformity with her application of the interpretation from the English hard copy into Sudanese, and also when translating the participant response back to score on the English version. It was also common for Sudanese participants to be interviewed using the Arabic or English version, which was checked by our Sudanese expert for cultural accuracy.

Research personnel
In total, eight women field workers from appropriate language backgrounds were given extensive training, consisting of three formal training days followed by tests of competence. Training covered IPV, research methods and practice, sensitive interviewing techniques, and the use of the diagnostic and WHO measures. Staff received ongoing support, monitoring and supervision throughout the study. Inter-rater reliability tests were conducted serially to maintain standards based on group observations of videotaped interviews. We adhered strictly to WHO guidelines for conducting safe and ethical IPV research. This study followed Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology reporting guidelines.20

Patients or the public are involved in the design, conduct, reporting and dissemination of our research. We recruited and trained community members with the same cultural and language backgrounds as the refugee populations to be employed as research assistants. All are involved in the design of interview protocols, cultural advice, publications, as well as checking accuracy during the analytical and interpretation stages. We set up advisory groups of people from Arabic and Tamil communities to share and check cultural and well as language accuracy in the questionnaires.

HOW OFTEN HAVE PARTICIPANTS BEEN FOLLOWED UP?
It is critical to ascertain whether the trajectory of ongoing IPV experiences and heightened mental disorder change as refugee women progress from a central focus on infant child-rearing to the early education years when women are more likely to engage more widely socially and economically again (or for the first time) with the new society, either with or without having another child. The purposely selected time points in our cohort study also represent key maternal life stages, covering the critical period when women have greater potential to direct their focus towards social participation and adaptation outside the family, including engagement in education and employment.

Recruitment and the baseline interview occurred at or close to the participant’s first appointment at the antenatal clinic, which for most occurred between 12 and 20 weeks’ gestation between January 2015 and December 2016. First follow-up interviews (time 2) were conducted at home either in person or by telephone approximately 6 months after the birth of the index child; the second follow-up survey (time 3) was conducted at home either in person or by telephone approximately 3.5 years after baseline, and time 4 was conducted 5.5 years after baseline. At time 1, the response rate was 84.8% (1335 out of 1574); at time 2, the retention rate was 83.2% (1111 out of 1335 interviewed at time 1); at time 3, the retention rate was 67.8% (905 out of 1335 interviewed at time 1), and the third follow-up survey (time 4) is now finalised and the data entered. We are currently conducting time 5 and planning time 6.

WHAT HAS BEEN MEASURED?
At baseline (time 1), we included basic sociodemographic characteristics (eg, age, marital status, highest level of educational attainment, household composition and employment status), past traumatic events (TEs), financial difficulties (eg, paying bills and affording enough food and heating), IPV, attitudes to gender equality and the use of violence against women; CMDs including MDD, PTSD, panic disorder, grief disorder, adult separation anxiety disorder (ASAD); and functional impairment as measured by the WHO Disability Assessment Schedule (WHODAS).21 Measures related to IPV, CMDs (MDD, PTSD, panic disorder, grief disorder and ASAD) and
functional impairment have been included in all four surveys so far and assessed for significance since the previous interview (times 1–4). At time 2, some basic measures related to pregnancy and childbirth were added: antenatal care, smoking during pregnancy, drinking alcohol during pregnancy, induced labour delivery (yes or no), analgesia provided in delivery (yes or no), type of birth (vaginal or caesarean), baby’s sex and birth weight, and postpartum bonding score. At time 3, in addition to CMDs for women, measures related to the index child’s (aged 21 months and over) social and developmental indicators, emotional and behavioural problems, and parental experiences were included. All mental health measures were selected based on their previous psychometric evaluations and use across cultures. Measures were subjected to rigorous assessment of cultural and linguistic accuracy in the languages used.22 23 After standard translation and back-translation procedures were performed, final refinements were made by groups of linguistic experts (refer to the Public and participant involvement section).

**Traumatic events**
We assessed lifetime exposure to TEs based on the inventory used in the World Mental Health Survey.24

**Intimate partner violence**
IPV was assessed using items from the WHO Violence Against Women questionnaire, which enquires about physical, psychological and sexual violence perpetrated by the most recent intimate partner in the past 12 months.25

**Gender role attitudes and beliefs**
Attitudes on gender role and beliefs including IPV were measured using the ‘Attitudes Towards Gender Roles’ items from the WHO Multi-Country Study on Women’s Health and Life Experiences Questionnaire.26

**Common mental disorders**
We used the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (Fourth Edition) (DSM-IV)27 to assess current MDD, PTSD, ASAD, panic disorder and grief. We selected DSM-IV in preference to DSM-5 because the latter had not yet been used extensively across cultures at the commencement of the study.28

**Functional impairment**
The WHODAS V.2.0 (12-item version) has been extensively used across cultures to measure functional impairment. It comprises six core functions/domains relating to cognition/communication, going out (mobility), self-care, interpersonal interactions, life activities (work and home) and participation in society (ratings for each item range from no impairment=1 to extreme impairment=5).29

**FINDINGS TO DATE**

**Participants’ sociodemographic characteristics at baseline survey (time 1)**
At time 1, 1335 pregnant women were interviewed (with response rate 84.8%, 1335 out of 1574), including 650 women born in Australia (48.7%) and 685 from conflict-affected countries, referred to as refugee-background women in this paper (51.3%). The mean age for women born in Australia was 29.0 (SD=5.5) years; for women from conflict-affected countries, it was 29.7 (SD=5.4) years (table 1). As expected, at time 1, the sociodemographic characteristics for women born in Australia were significantly different from women born in conflict-affected countries (table 1). More than half (54.2%) of the refugee-background women arrived in 2010 or earlier; a third (33.4%) arrived in between 2011 and 2014; and the remaining women (12.4%) arrived in 2015 or later. Among women born in Australia, 58.1% were employed at time 1, and this rate was only 28.9% for women born in conflict affected countries. A greater proportion of women who migrated from conflict-affected countries reported experiencing three or more finance-related stressors (16.4%), and this rate was 6.3% for Australian-born women (table 1).

**IPV at times 1–3**
Results in table 2 indicate that the prevalence of IPV at all three time points were significantly higher for refugee-background women as compared with women born in Australia. The trend data (times 1–3) show that IPV rates among Australian-born women increased from 25.8% at time 1 to 30.1% at time 3, while for refugee-background women, this rate declined from 44.4% at time 1 to 42.6% at time 3.

**Gender role attitudes**
Associations between sociodemographic characteristics and gender role attitudes and beliefs with IPV were examined from two time points using bivariate and multiple logistic regression analyses (this paper is recently published).29

**CMDs at times 1–3**
Prevalence of MDD at all three time points was significantly higher for refugee-background women as compared with women born in Australia. The trend data (times 1–3) show that prevalence of MDD among Australian-born women significantly declined from 14.5% at time 1 to 9.9% at time 3, while for refugee-background women, this rate fluctuated, initially significantly declined from 25.1% at time 1 to 17.3% at time 2 and then increased to 19.1% at time 3 (table 2). The first paper published from the WATCH data reports the analysis of data from baseline, when women were recruited during pregnancy.3 We aimed to examine prevalence and to identify which risk factors are associated with MDD in women from conflict-affected backgrounds resettling in a high-income country. This was an important focus.
Table 1  Sociodemographic characteristics of women born in Australia (host-nation women) and women from conflict-affected countries (refugee-background women) at baseline (time 1)

| Sociodemographic characteristics | Australian women, n (%) | All conflict-country women, n (%)* | Australian-born versus refugee-background, P values |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| All                              | 650 (100.0)              | 685 (100.0)                       |                                                  |
| Age group (years)                |                          |                                   |                                                  |
| <25                              | 153 (23.5)               | 122 (17.8)                        |                                                  |
| 25–34                            | 381 (58.6)               | 423 (61.8)                        |                                                  |
| 35 and above                     | 116 (17.8)               | 140 (20.4)                        | $\chi^2=7.02$ (2), $p=0.030$                     |
| Mean age (SD)                    | 29.0 (5.5)               | 29.7 (5.4)                        | 0.019                                            |
| Highest level of educational attainment |                        |                                   |                                                  |
| No postschool qualification      | 286 (44.0)               | 350 (51.1)                        |                                                  |
| Diploma and vocational education | 171 (26.3)               | 122 (17.8)                        |                                                  |
| University degree                | 193 (29.7)               | 213 (31.1)                        | $\chi^2=14.7$ (2), $p=0.001$                    |
| Marital status                   |                          |                                   |                                                  |
| Married/domestic partnership     | 566 (87.1)               | 649 (94.7)                        | $p<0.001$                                        |
| Separated/divorced/others        | 84 (12.9)                | 36 (5.3)                          |                                                  |
| Family composition of household  |                          |                                   |                                                  |
| One-parent family with dependent children/others | 65 (10.0)               | 49 (7.1)                          | $p=0.062$                                        |
| Couple family without/with dependent children/others | 494 (76.0)               | 556 (81.2)                        | $p=0.021$                                        |
| Multiple family without/with dependent children | 91 (14.0)                | 80 (11.7)                         | $p=0.204$                                        |
| Year of arrival (for refugee-background women) |                        |                                   |                                                  |
| Arrived in 2015 or later         | 85 (12.4)                |                                   |                                                  |
| Arrived in 2011 to 2014          | 229 (33.4)               |                                   |                                                  |
| Arrived in 2010 or before        | 371 (54.2)               |                                   |                                                  |
| Housing status                   |                          |                                   |                                                  |
| Owner without a mortgage         | 39 (6.0)                 | 30 (4.4)                          | $p=0.180$                                        |
| Owner with a mortgage            | 252 (38.8)               | 212 (30.9)                        | $p=0.003$                                        |
| Renter                           | 241 (37.1)               | 367 (53.6)                        | $p<0.001$                                        |
| Boarder and others               | 118 (18.2)               | 76 (11.1)                         | $\chi^2=38.93$ (3), $p<0.001$                   |
| Employment status                |                          |                                   |                                                  |
| Employed                         | 383 (58.1)               | 198 (28.9)                        | $p<0.001$                                        |
| Unemployed and others            | 267 (41.1)               | 487 (71.1)                        | $p=0.001$                                        |
| General TE counts†               |                          |                                   |                                                  |
| None                             | 344 (52.9)               | 336 (49.1)                        |                                                  |
| One TE                           | 182 (28.0)               | 212 (30.9)                        |                                                  |
| Two to three TEs                 | 103 (15.8)               | 112 (16.4)                        |                                                  |
| Four or more TEs                 | 21 (3.2)                 | 25 (3.6)                          | $\chi^2=2.18$ (3), $p=0.335$                    |
| Mean TE (SD)                     | 0.8 (1.2)                | 0.9 (1.1)                         | $p=0.112$                                        |
| Number of finance-related stress/difficulties‡ |                        |                                   |                                                  |
| None                             | 498 (76.6)               | 427 (62.3)                        |                                                  |
| One to two                       | 111 (17.1)               | 146 (21.3)                        |                                                  |
| Three or more                    | 41 (6.3)                 | 112 (16.4)                        | $\chi^2=42.27$ (2), $p<0.001$                   |

*Country of birth for refugee-background women, n (%): Iraq, 260 (38.0%); Lebanon, 125 (18.2%); Sudan, 66 (9.6%); Syria, 30 (4.4%); Egypt, 29 (4.2%); Afghan, 13 (1.9%); Sri Lanka, 71 (10.9%); India, Pakistan and others, 91 (13.3%).

†General TE counts included 13 items: (1) were you ever kidnapped or held captive? (2) were you ever involved in a life-threatening automobile accident? (3) did you ever have any other life-threatening accident, including on your job? (4) did you ever have a life-threatening illness? (5) as a child, were you ever badly beaten up by your parents or the people who raised you? (6) were you ever mugged, held up or threatened with a weapon? (7) did someone very close to you ever die unexpectedly; for example, they were killed in an accident, murdered, committed suicide or had a fatal heart attack at a young age? (8) did you ever have a son or daughter who had a life-threatening illness or injury? (9) did anyone very close to you ever have an extremely traumatic experience, like being kidnapped, tortured or raped? (10) did you ever do something that accidentally led to the serious injury or death of another person? (11) did you ever on purpose either seriously injure, torture or kill another person? (12) did you ever experience any other extremely traumatic or life-threatening event that I haven’t asked about yet? (13) did you ever have a traumatic experience that you did not report because you did not want to talk about it? (each item coded yes=1, no=0).

‡Number of ongoing finance-related stressors included the following seven items: (1) could not pay electricity/gas/telephone bills on time, (2) could not pay for car registration/insurance on time, (3) pawned or sold something, (4) went without meals, (5) unable to heat one’s home, (6) sought assistance from welfare/community organisations and (7) sought financial help from friends or family (each item coded yes=1, no=0). A summary financial stress count was generated by adding all endorsed items (score ranges from 0 to 7).
because the evidence suggests that refugee women may have higher risk of depressive disorders, and pregnancy may also increase the risk of depression among women refugees. We found that women identifying as refugees reported a much higher prevalence of MDD symptoms, and all the indicators of adversity related to that disorder. Even after risk factors were accounted for, refugee status was associated with risk of MDD symptoms. Assessing whether women attending an antenatal clinic self-identify as refugees may offer an important indicator of risk of MDD symptoms and a range of associated psychosocial adversities.

Prevalence of PTSD and panic disorder was found to be comparatively higher among women born in Australia, and over the years, the rates fluctuated in both groups of women. As compared with refugee-background women, prevalence of grief disorder was found to be lower in Australian-born women, and for both groups of women, the rates were stable across three time points. One-fifth of the women in both groups met the ASAD threshold criteria, and over the years, the rates fluctuated in both groups of women (table 2). Functional impairment scores were found to be almost same in both groups of women with the mean score for women born in Australia declining from 16.6 (SD=6.0) at time 1 to 13.9 (SD=4.8) at time 3, and those for refugee-background women from 16.7 (SD=6.1) at time 1 to 14.7 at time 3 (SD=5.6).

Pregnancy and childbirth measures at times 2 and 3
About two-thirds of the women in both groups (Australian-born: 64.6%, refugee-background: 68.1%) reported that in addition to the index child (born in between time 1 and time 2 survey), they had one or more children (table 3). The rate of smoking and drinking alcohol during pregnancy was significantly higher for women born in Australia. More than a quarter of Australian-born women had a caesarean birth (27.4%), and this rate was higher (30.6%) for refugee-background women. Among the Australian-born women, 40.7% required induced labour delivery, and this rate was 30.5% for refugee-background women. Low birth weight (birth weight <2500 g) among indexed babies born to Australian-born mothers (8.5%) was higher when compared with refugee-background women.
women (6.3%). The mean postpartum bonding score was found to be almost the same for both groups of women (table 3).

Child behaviour and parental stress experience at time 3
The mean score indicating compromised social and emotional development at time 3 for the index child (aged 21–32 months) born to refugee-background women was significantly higher than that for the Australian-born women’s index children (table 3). The mean parental stress score for refugee-background women was also found to be significantly higher than that for Australian-born women.

Time 4 and COVID-19
Time 4 is novel in that it occurred during COVID-19, allowing a natural study of the impact of the pandemic on women’s mental health and IPV prevalence. We have also included specific COVID-19-related questions of related hardship and stress. We can examine, for the first time in a study of this kind, a comparison of the impact of COVID-19 on women from refugee backgrounds and women born in Australia. This analysis is current, and we have also included additional COVID-19 related questions at time 5.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS
The main strength of our study is that, to our knowledge, it is the first systematically recruited longitudinal study

---

### Table 3  Woman and index child’s characteristics at the first follow-up survey (time 2), social emotional score for babies (aged 21–32 months) and parental stress experience at the second follow-up survey (time 3)

| Woman and index child’s characteristics at time 2 | Australian-born (n=528) | Refugee-background (n=583) | Australian-born versus refugee-background P values |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Does woman have any other children? yes          | 340 (64.6)              | 397 (68.1)                | 0.193                                             |
| Smoked during pregnancy: yes                     | 84 (15.9)               | 24 (4.1)**↓               | <0.001                                            |
| Drink alcohol during pregnancy: yes              | 52 (10.1)               | 7 (1.3)**↓                | <0.001                                            |
| Induced labour delivery: yes                     | 214 (40.7)              | 177 (30.5)**↓             | <0.001                                            |
| Analgesia provided in delivery (pain relief/epidural)? yes | 333 (65.3) | 315 (56.4)**↓             | 0.003                                             |
| Type of birth                                    |                         |                           |                                                   |
| Vaginal                                          | 382 (72.6)              | 404 (69.4)                | 0.342                                             |
| Caesarean                                        | 144 (27.4)              | 178 (30.6)                | 0.226                                             |
| Total                                            | 526                     | 582                       |                                                   |
| Caesarean section planned: yes                   | 70 (13.3)               | 91 (15.6)                 | 0.262                                             |
| Baby’s sex                                       |                         |                           |                                                   |
| Male                                             | 252 (47.9)              | 300 (51.5)                | 0.215                                             |
| Female                                           | 274 (52.1)              | 283 (48.5)                |                                                   |
| Birth weight (g)                                 |                         |                           |                                                   |
| Under 2000                                       | 16 (3.1)                | 12 (2.1)                  |                                                   |
| 2000–2499                                        | 28 (5.4)                | 24 (4.2)                  |                                                   |
| 2500 and above                                   | 476 (91.5)              | 538 (93.7)                | 0.165                                             |
| Total                                            | 520                     | 574                       |                                                   |
| Mean birth weight (g) (SD)                       | 3300 (600)              | 3200 (600)**↓             | 0.006                                             |
| Postpartum bonding score, mean (SD)              | 28.6 (6.2)              | 28.8 (6.3)                | 0.597                                             |

| Index child’s development score and parental stress at time 3 | Australian-born (n=435) | Refugee-background (n=470) | Australian-born versus refugee-background P values |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Ages and Stages Social Emotional score (21–32 months), mean (SD) | 29.3 (20.0)            | 35.2 (24.5)**↑            | <0.001                                            |
| Parental stress total score, mean (SD)                        | 62.4 (7.1)              | 64.5 (6.9)**↑             | <0.001                                            |

↑ indicates rates (or mean) for refugee-background women are significantly higher as compared with Australian-born women; ↓ indicates rates (or mean) for refugee-background women are significantly lower as compared with Australian-born women.

*Indicates significance at p<0.05; **indicates significance at p<0.01.
of women from refugee background with a comparison group of locally born women, allowing an examination of associations between TEs, IPV, mental disorders, functioning and settlement outcomes. In addition, this study responds to a need in larger pregnancy cohorts to be more inclusive of women born in war-affected countries. Other strengths include a substantial sample size and a high response and high retention rate. For assessing CMD, we applied a structured diagnostic measure rather than screening instruments and we used the WHO measure for IPV, allowing for global comparisons to be made. We used same language-speaking interviewers and applied rigorous standards to ensure measures were culturally tested. The MINI is a widely used diagnostic measure and is validated across cultures. One potential limitation of the MINI in the perinatal period is the inclusion of somatic items that may be affected by pregnancy and lactation.

Our deliberate strategy to focus on public health clinics where women from conflict-affected countries concentrate may mean that the findings are not fully generalisable to women attending private health services or those living in low-density refugee-background areas. Retrospective distortions, gaps in memory and reluctance to divulge sensitive information (eg, related to IPV) are acknowledged possibilities that may lead to inaccuracies in reporting of past events. It is difficult to determine whether these influences led to the over-reporting or under-reporting of adversities. We cannot rule out selective attrition, for example, ‘lack of time’, the most common reason provided for dropout, which could indicate that women with greater child-rearing demands were less likely to remain involved in the study. Finally, recent arrivals of participants from refugee background were not as strongly represented as those who arrived after 2015, an observation that suggests the need for caution when generalising our findings to recent arrivals.

Collaborators Interested scholars and others may contact the study team (Susan Rees, Zachary Steel or Jane Fisher) if they wish to receive more information or have a proposal for collaboration. We are interested in extending partnerships, particularly in preparing for future waves of data collection and secondary data analysis.
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