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Abstract

BACKGROUND
Esophageal schwannomas are uncommon esophageal submucosal benign tumors and are usually treated with surgery.

CASE SUMMARY
Here, we report three cases of middle/lower thoracic esophageal schwannoma treated successfully with endoscopic resection. These lesions were misdiagnosed as leiomyoma on preoperative imaging. During the endoscopic resection of such tumors, there is a risk of esophageal perforation due to their deep location. If possible, submucosal tunneling endoscopic resection should be used.

CONCLUSION
For larger schwannomas, endoscopy combined with thoracoscopy can be considered for en bloc resection. We performed a mini literature review in order to present the current status of diagnosis and treatment for esophageal schwannoma.
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CARE Checklist (2016) statement:
Core Tip: Most esophageal schwannomas are rare submucosal lesions, and malignant esophageal schwannoma has been reported. We summarize three cases of esophageal schwannoma with successful endoscopic resection. They were misdiagnosed as leiomyoma or cystic solid tumors based on endoscopic ultrasound before surgery. Small lesions in a suitable location can be removed endoscopically by experienced endoscopists using endoscopic submucosal excision or submucosal tunneling endoscopic resection. For larger lesions (> 3 cm), especially tumors with cystic degeneration, endoscopic treatment may not be suitable. For such lesions, robot-assisted thoracoscopic excision or endoscopic treatment combined with video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery may be a better option.

**INTRODUCTION**

There are many types of submucosal tumors that can occur in the gastrointestinal tract, the most common being myogenic tumors such as gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) and leiomyoma. Neurogenic tumors (which include esophageal schwannomas) are rare [1]. The majority of esophageal submucosal tumors are leiomyomas [2]. Esophageal schwannoma is difficult to diagnose during preoperative investigations due to its similarity to other intramural submucosal tumors (leiomyomas, GISTs, esophageal cysts) [3]. Most of these tumors are benign. However, malignant esophageal schwannoma has been reported in the literature [4]. Esophageal schwannoma frequently occurs in middle-aged women and is often located on the proximal esophagus with sizes ranging from 1 cm to 15 cm [5].

A literature search in the PubMed database found 120 studies published on the topic between 1989 and 2018 [6]. In most of these studies, as schwannoma is a type of esophageal submucosal tumor, patients underwent surgical excision depending on the tumor size, location, and morphology [7,8]. There have been some reports of endoscopic resection (two cases in Japan and three cases in other countries), all of which were case reports. In 1987, endoscopic resection of submucosal tumors (including schwannomas) was first reported in Japan [9,10]. In the rest of the world, the first endoscopic resection of an esophageal schwannoma was performed in 2001 [11]. In the three reports of endoscopic resection above, all of the lesions were superficial in position and direct endoscopic resection was performed (Table 1) [12-15].

With the development of endoscopic instruments and minimally invasive endoscopic techniques, endoscopic submucosal excision (ESE) and submucosal tunneling endoscopic resection (STER), which are based on endoscopic submucosal dissection, are widely used in clinical practice.

Compared with open and laparoscopic surgery, endoscopic resection causes little trauma and fewer complications, leading to faster recovery and shorter hospitalization. Although it has been reported that endoscopy can remove submucosal tumors including schwannomas, the characteristics and differences between schwannomas and other submucosal tumors have not been described in detail [16]. In this study, we summarize the data for three patients with esophageal schwannoma in a deep location, misdiagnosed as leiomyoma or cystic solid tumor by endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) before surgery, with successful endoscopic resection. All three cases were treated with endoscopic resection by an experienced endoscopist who had performed more than 300 endoscopic submucosal dissection-related procedures.
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## Table 1 Clinicoradiological characteristics of reported cases of esophageal schwannoma treated using endoscopic techniques

| Case | Ref. | Age in yr/sex | Presenting symptom | Mass location | EUS finding | Tumor size in mm | Treatment | Malignant findings |
|------|------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| 1    | Koizumi et al[10], Japan | 55/M | None | Ae | Not known | 22 × 15 | Endoscopic resection | - |
| 2    | Konishi et al[11], Japan | 79/M | None | Mt | Not known | < 5 | Endoscopic resection | - |
| 3    | Naus et al[12] | 39/M | Epigastric pain (not felt because of lesion) | Lt | Not performed | 1 × 1 | Endoscopic removal | - |
| 4    | Shimamura et al[13] | 59/M | Intermittent acid reflux symptoms (not felt because of lesion) | Ae | Not performed | 5 × 5 | Endoscopic resection | - |
| 5    | Trindade et al[14] | 54/M | Esophageal reflux disease | Lt | Hypoechoic heterogeneous lesion in the 2nd layer of the gastrointestinal tract | 6 × 6 | Endoscopic mucosal resection | - |
| 6    | Our case 1 | 59/M | Upper abdominal distension and esophageal reflux disease | Lt | Hypoechoic, homogeneous, exogenous pseudopodal echo, originating in the muscular layer, misdiagnosed as leiomyoma | 14 × 5 | ESE | - |
| 7    | Our case 2 | 51/F | Discontinuous upper abdominal discomfort | Mt | Hypoechoic, homogeneous and well-defined. Originating in the muscular layer. The blood flow was not obvious and the lesion was near the aorta. Misdiagnosed as leiomyoma | 18 × 20 | STER | - |
| 8    | Our case 3 | 50/M | Dysphagia | Lt | Originating in the muscular layer, misdiagnosed as cystic solid tumor. Diagnosed with CT as neurogenic tumor or gastrointestinal stromal tumor | 28 × 22 | STERThe lesion was resected in a piecemeal fashion. | - |

Ae: Abdominal esophagus; Ce: Cervical esophagus; CT: Computed tomography; ESE: Endoscopic submucosal excision; EUS: Endoscopic ultrasound; Lt: Lower thoracic esophagus; Mt: Middle thoracic esophagus; STER: Submucosal tunneling endoscopic resection; Ut: Upper thoracic esophagus.

### CASE PRESENTATION

**Chief complaints**

**Case 1:** A 59-year-old man presented with upper abdominal distension lasting for 15 d.

**Case 2:** A 51-year-old woman presented with upper abdominal discomfort with heartburn lasting for 1 yr.

**Case 3:** A 49-year-old man presented with dysphagia lasting for 1 yr.

**History of present illness**

**Case 1:** The patient was apparently well 15 d earlier when he first noticed the upper abdominal distension. There was no history of nausea, vomiting, dysphagia, or abdominal pain.
Case 2: She was apparently well 1 yr earlier when she started developing intermittent upper abdominal discomfort and heartburn. There were no aggravating or relieving factors. There was no associated dysphagia, nausea, vomiting, or fever.

Case 3: He suffered from nonprogressive dysphagia for 1 yr and had difficulty swallowing both solids and liquids. There were no associated symptoms of nausea, vomiting, chest pain, heartburn, or abdominal pain.

History of past illness
Case 1: The patient denied having any chronic medical or surgical illnesses in the past.
Case 2: She had undergone myomectomy 2 years earlier.
Case 3: He had a history of appendectomy 30 years earlier.

Physical examination
Case 1: His general condition and vital signs were normal. On physical examination, there were no obvious positive findings.
Case 2: There was a 7 cm healed scar from a previous surgery in the lower abdomen. There were no other obvious positive findings.
Case 3: His vital signs were stable. The general and abdominal examinations revealed no obvious positive findings.

Laboratory examinations
Case 1: Routine blood investigations such as the complete blood count and liver and kidney function tests were within normal limits.
Case 2: The levels of anti-thyroid peroxidase antibody and thyroid stimulating hormone receptor antibody were elevated. However, the levels of free triiodothyronine and free thyroxine with thyroid-stimulating hormone were normal. The rest of the laboratory tests were within normal limits.
Case 3: Blood investigations including the complete blood count and liver and renal function tests were in the normal range.

Imaging examinations
Case 1: On upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, a 14 mm × 5 mm submucosal tumor was found 35 cm from the incisor teeth on the posterior wall of the esophagus. EUS revealed a hypoechoic, homogeneous lesion originating in the muscular layer with features suggestive of leiomyoma (Figure 1).
Case 2: An upper gastrointestinal barium swallow test showed a filling defect of 2 cm on the posterior wall of the esophagus. Endoscopy revealed an 18 mm × 20 mm submucosal lesion 26 cm from the incisor teeth on the right posterior wall of the esophagus. On EUS, there was a hypoechoic, homogeneous, and well-defined lesion originating from the muscular layer of the esophagus. The blood supply of the lesion was not obvious, but it was located near the aorta. It was provisionally diagnosed as leiomyoma (Figure 2).
Case 3: Computed tomography of the chest (Figure 3) showed an oval mass located in the lower esophagus with features suggestive of neurogenic or gastrointestinal stromal tumor. Endoscopy examination revealed a 28 mm × 22 mm submucosal lesion 35 cm from the incisors. EUS showed the lesion arose from the muscular layer with cystic changes (Figure 4) and was misdiagnosed as solid cystic tumor.

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

Immunohistochemical studies showed positive staining of the tumor cells for S-100, Lea-7, and PG9.5 protein, and negative staining for CD117, CD34, Dog-1, Des, and smooth muscle actin. The mitotic activity was 5-15 mitosis/50 high-power field. These findings supported a diagnosis of cellular gastrointestinal type schwannoma (Figure 5).
Case 1

We recommended surveillance to the patient, but he insisted on endoscopic resection. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient before the operation.

The patient underwent ESE under general anesthesia. Intravenous antibiotics were administered 0.5 h before the procedure. The operation was performed using a single-channel endoscope (GIF-Q260J, Olympus). Other equipment and accessories included a high-frequency electric cutting device (VIO 200s, ERBE), an endoscopic carbon dioxide regulation unit (Olympus), an endoscopic flushing pump (Olympus), a transparent cap (NM-200L-0521, Olympus), an injection needle (SD-230U-20, Olympus), a dual knife (KD-650L, Olympus), an insulated-tip knife (KD-611L, IT2, Olympus), a double-helix snare (HX-610-135L, Olympus), hemostatic clips (HX-600-
Figure 3  Case 3 Chest computed tomography showed a large mass located in the thoracic esophagus suspected to be a neurogenic tumor or a gastrointestinal stromal tumor (arrow).

Figure 4  Case 3  Endoscopy revealed a 28 mm × 22 mm submucosal lesion. Endoscopic ultrasound showed the lesion was derived from the muscular layer with cystic changes and was misdiagnosed as a cystic solid tumor.

The steps for ESE performed in this case were as follows (Figure 6): (1) Marking the location of the lesion (Figure 6B): Coagulation was used to mark both the oral and aboral ends of the target lesion; (2) Submucosal injection: A mixed solution of saline, indigo carmine, and epinephrine was injected into the submucosa to elevate the lesion; (3) Visualization of the lesion (Figure 6C): The covering mucosa was incised longitudinally using dual knife followed by separation of the submucosa to reveal the tumor; (4) Peeling of the lesion (Figure 6D): The lesion edge was stripped with an IT2 knife to avoid damage to the tumor or the tumor capsule; and (5) Wound closure (Figure 6E): We performed en bloc resection of the lesion. After achieving hemostasis, the mucosal incision was closed with clips to avoid wound bleeding or perforation after surgery.

Case 2
As esophageal leiomyoma can be resected endoscopically, we used the STER technique to resect the lesion under general anesthesia. Intravenous antibiotic was used in a similar way to the procedure in case 1. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient before the operation. The equipment and accessories were similar to those used in case 1.

The detailed steps for STER have been described previously by Chen et al. In the present case, the procedure was modified as follows: (1) Submucosal injection: A mixed solution of saline and epinephrine was injected about 3-4 cm proximal to the oral end of the tumor; (2) Construction of a submucosal tunnel (Figure 2C): A 2 cm longitudinal mucosal incision was made, and a longitudinal tunnel between the submucosal and muscular layers was then created using a dual knife; (3) Exposure of the tumor: The tunnel was continued downwards with the dual knife until the tumor was exposed; (4) Resection of the tumor (Figure 2D): Separation of the muscular layer was performed using an IT2 knife. The tumor was removed safely and completely without injuring the tumor capsule; (5) Hemostasis: After tumor resection, a
Figure 5 Endoscopic submucosal excision performed. A: Histopathological examination of the tumor in case 1 showed spindle-shaped cells arranged in bundles; B: Immunochemical analysis revealed no staining with CD117; C: Focal positivity with CD34; D: Positive staining with S100; E: DOG-1; F: The mitotic activity was 3 mitosis/50 high-power field on Ki-67 staining.

Figure 6 Case 1 Steps of endoscopic submucosal excavation. A: The submucosal tumor; B: Marking the submucosal tumor with an argon knife; C: Revealing the submucosal tumor; D: Peeling the lesion; E: Closing the mucosal incision site with clips; F: The resected specimen.

coagrasper was used to coagulate small vessels; and (6) Closure of the mucosal incision site (Figure 2E): The entry site was closed with clips.

Case 3
Because the pathological nature of the submucosal tumor was not clear, we recommended the patient undergo surgical excision. However, the patient refused to undergo thoracic surgery and insisted on endoscopic minimally invasive resection. We resected the lesion by using the STER technique under general anesthesia. As the tunnel space was limited and the tumor was large with cystic changes, we had to
OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

Case 1
The lesion was located deep in the muscular layer, which caused subcutaneous emphysema and oxyhemoglobin saturation decline during the operation. After wound closure, oxyhemoglobin saturation returned to normal. Gastric decompression was performed for 48 h postoperatively. Two days later, the nasogastric tube was removed, and the patient was started on a liquid diet. No late bleeding, inflammation, or infection occurred after the operation. Immunohistochemical studies led to a diagnosis of esophageal schwannoma. On follow-up endoscopy at 3 mo and 6 mo, the wound had healed. At 54 mo after the operation, the patient remained disease-free.

Case 2
The patient tolerated a liquid diet 24 h after the operation without any discomfort. No late bleeding, inflammation, or infection occurred after the operation. Immunohistochemical studies strongly supported a diagnosis of esophageal schwannoma. On follow-up endoscopy at 3 mo and 6 mo, the wound had healed. At 48 mo after the operation, the patient remained disease-free.

Case 3
The patient had a fever after the operation. After 3 d of antibiotics, the patient became afebrile. As en bloc endoscopic resection could not be performed, the patient was recommended to undergo additional surgery but refused further treatment. On follow-up endoscopy at 3 mo and 6 mo, the wound had healed with no residual disease. At 24 mo after surgery, the patient was disease-free with no local recurrence (Figure 7).

DISCUSSION
Schwannoma is a rare esophageal tumor. It was first described in 1967 by Ferrante et al[8]. By the year 2000, only 15 cases had been reported in the English literature[18]. Additionally, only 42 such cases were reported in Japan up to 2011[13]. Very few (4 out of 30) cases of esophageal schwannomas reported up to 2012 were found to have malignant characteristics[19]. Typically, dyspnea and dysphagia are the most common complaints. Other reported signs and symptoms include chest pain, stridor, hematemesis, cough, and a palpable neck mass[20]. There was a report of superior vena cava compression associated with esophageal schwannoma[21]. The youngest case of esophageal schwannoma, reported by Choo et al[20], was that of a 22-year-old Asian American man with dyspnea and progressive dysphagia. The median doubling time for schwannoma is about 104.7 mo. In contrast, the doubling time for GIST is 17.2 mo[22].

In a literature review, we found that benign schwannomas occurred more frequently in middle-aged women and are usually located in the upper esophagus. There are no distinctive features to differentiate schwannomas from other submucosal tumors on computed tomography[7,23]. On positron emission tomography computed tomography, there is positive uptake of (18F)-fluorodeoxyglucose in benign schwannoma[13]. EUS is widely used to diagnose submucosal tumors because of its superiority to other modalities (computed tomography and endoscopy)[24]. Standard EUS is useful for determining the origin and the exact location of lesions within different layers of the esophageal wall (mucosal C1 and C2, submucosal C3, and muscularis C4 types) but has poor accuracy (between 30% and 66%)[25,26]. Schwannoma located in the second layer (C2 type) can be easily resected using endoscopic techniques[12,16]. However, in this series, we found most schwannomas were located in the third or fourth layer (C3 to C4 types). It is often very difficult to differentiate lesions in the fourth layer, and a small ultrasound probe is helpful for making the diagnosis. On EUS, typical neurogenic tumors appear as round or oval hypoechoic homogeneous masses originating from the muscularis propria with slightly higher echogenicity compared to GISTs[23]. No enhancement is seen in schwannomas on contrast-enhanced EUS[23]. Endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration biopsy (EUS-FNA) has been reported to be useful for both diagnosis and
management\(^2\). However, for small submucosal tumors (< 2 cm) as in cases 1 and 2, EUS-FNA usually provides only a cytologic specimen and fails to provide a histological specimen, mainly because of insufficient material\(^2\). We did not perform EUS-FNA for case 3 in this study because the tumor was mostly cystic in nature.

In the majority of reported cases, definitive diagnosis was made by pathological examination of the resected lesions. Histologically, the tumor consisted of spindle-shaped tumor cells arranged in a palisading pattern or with loose cellularity in a reticular array. Immunohistochemically, the tumors showed positive staining with S100 protein positivity. Other markers such as α smooth muscle actin, CD34, and CD117 were also useful for differentiation from other submucosal tumors\(^3\).

The treatment of asymptomatic patients with benign esophageal submucosal tumors remains controversial\(^9\). With recent advances in endoscopic technology, submucosal tumors of the esophagus can be treated by endoscopic resection. Liu et al\(^3\) reported an esophageal schwannoma excised by tunneling endoscopic muscularis dissection. After complete excision, the prognosis of schwannoma is generally good and recurrence is rare\(^3\).

There are very few reported cases of malignant esophageal schwannoma\(^3\). In these cases, regional lymph node dissection was performed, and the patients did not experience any recurrence. Only one case of recurrence after complete resection has been reported\(^3\). When an esophageal submucosal tumor is suspected to be malignant based on clinical or radiographic findings (such as local invasion or enlarged suspicious lymph nodes), surgical excision with lymph node dissection should be considered\(^3\). There is no role for adjuvant chemotherapy or radiation therapy after complete resection of schwannoma. Similarly, for large esophageal submucosal tumors (> 3 cm) with suspicious features (e.g., irregular borders, inhomogeneous lesion, cystic spaces, or echogenic foci) on EUS, surgery should be considered\(^3\). However, the clinical management of smaller submucosal tumors (< 2 cm or 3 cm) is not straightforward, especially when EUS-FNA cannot provide an accurate diagnosis. Due to misdiagnosis, there can be difficulties in the follow-up treatment. Further, the deep location of the lesion in one of our patients led to perforation during the operation. Another patient with a large lesion size had adhesions with the surrounding structures. Because of these adhesions, we failed to perform en bloc endoscopic resection, and the patient developed a fever after the operation.

In this series, we resected one lesion using ESE, and the other two cases were resected with STER. Perforation of the esophageal wall occurred in the first case.
resected by ESE because the lesion was located deep in the muscular layer. To remove the tumor completely, full-thickness injury of the muscle layer occurred, resulting in perforation and subcutaneous emphysema. Hence, we performedSTER in the subsequent two cases. The advantage of STER is that it ensures the integrity of the mucosal layer above the lesion, but the operative time is longer. It is also difficult to create a submucosal tunnel for cervical and upper thoracic esophageal lesions. Moreover, for larger lesions (> 3 cm), it may be difficult to dissect around the lesion due to adhesions and limited space. Hence, the type of endoscopic procedure should be carefully selected with all these factors in mind.

In the last two cases, one was resected en bloc using STER, and the other was resected in a piecemeal fashion because of the large size and limited tunnel space. Moreover, for larger lesions (> 3 cm), it may be difficult to dissect around the lesion create a submucosal tunnel for cervical and upper thoracic esophageal lesions. In conclusion, esophageal schwannoma are rare submucosal lesions. Small lesions in a suitable location can be removed endoscopically by experienced endoscopists using the ESE or STER technique.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, esophageal schwannoma are rare submucosal lesions. Small lesions in a suitable location can be removed endoscopically by experienced endoscopists using the ESE or STER technique.
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