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Abstract. Non-invasive real time in vivo molecular imaging in small animal models has become the essential bridge between in vitro data and their translation into clinical applications. The tremendous development and technological progress, such as tumour modelling, monitoring of tumour growth and detection of metastasis, has facilitated translational drug development. This has added to our knowledge on carcinogenesis. The modalities that are commonly used include Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Computed Tomography (CT), Positron Emission Tomography (PET), bioluminescence imaging, fluorescence imaging and multi-modality imaging systems. The ability to obtain multiple images longitudinally provides reliable information whilst reducing animal numbers. As yet there is no one modality that is ideal for all experimental studies. This review outlines the instrumentation available together with corresponding applications reported in the literature with particular emphasis on cancer research. Advantages and limitations to current imaging technology are discussed and the issues concerning small animal care during imaging are highlighted.
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1. Introduction

In vivo molecular imaging in animals is an expanding discipline in biomedical research in the current post-genomic era. The driving force comes from the advancement in molecular and cellular techniques, the increased numbers of animal models and the development of novel imaging probes with complementary imaging systems. Non-invasive in vivo imaging is a major advantage over conventional cytology/histology microscopy techniques, which involve chemical fixation of removed tissues from which it can be difficult to generate quantitative data [41]. It is now possible to develop non-invasive in vivo imaging of specific molecular and cellular mechanisms; to simultaneously monitor multiple molecular events; to track specific molecular targets; to optimise drug and gene therapy; to visualise drug effects at the molecular and cellular level; and to assess disease progression [33]. In vivo imaging in animal models has facilitated the study of thresholds, complementation and redundancy within biological pathways [19] as well as the visualisation of the temporal and spatial biodistribution of the molecular probe and its related biological pathways.

Furthermore, longitudinal imaging of the same animal model using bio-imaging assays at multiple time-points can achieve more valuable information than would be obtained from multiple individual animals. In these systems the animal acts as its own control and the dynamic data charts the progressive visual biological changes and therapeutic response without the sacrifice of a large number of experimental animals. Tumour xenografts grown subcutaneously or orthotopically in nude mice and syngeneic mice and rat models can now be used to investigate the effects of novel therapeutics. This approach has been harnessed to monitor tumour growth and metastasis in prostate [63] and breast [40]; to chart the development of lung cancer and to plot colon tumour regression following mitomycin c and 5-FU therapy [25].
Table 1
Features of the most common imaging techniques (modified from Lyons, 2005 [32])

| Modality      | Basis                  | Resolution | Acquisition time | Advantage                          | Disadvantage                                      |
|---------------|------------------------|------------|------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| PET           | High energy γ-rays     | 1–2 mm     | mins             | High sensitivity                   | Cycotron required to generate short-lived radioisotope  |
|               |                        |            |                  |                                    | Radiation to animal                                |
| SPECT         | Low energy γ-rays      | 1–2 mm     | mins             | High sensitivity                   | Radiation to animal 10–100× less sensitive than PET |
|               |                        |            |                  |                                    | Relatively low resolution                         |
| MRI           | Radiowaves             | 25 to 100 µm | mins to hours | Highest spatial resolution        | Low sensitivity                                    |
|               |                        |            |                  |                                    | Long acquisition time                              |
|               |                        |            |                  |                                    | Long image processing time                         |
| CT            | X-rays + contrast      | 50 µm      | mins             | Good anatomical resolution        | Relatively poor soft-tissue contrast                |
|               |                        |            |                  |                                    | Radiation to animal with CT contrast              |
| Fluorescence  | Visible and near-infrared light | 1 to 10 mm | secs to mins    | High sensitivity                   | Prone to attenuation with increased tissue depth   |
|               |                        |            |                  |                                    | No radiation                                      |
|               |                        |            |                  |                                    | Probes with emission wavelength <600 nm prone to autofluorescence |
|               |                        |            |                  |                                    | Easy and quick to image                            |
| Bioluminescence imaging | Visible light | 1 to 10 mm | mins          | High sensitivity                   | Low anatomic resolution                             |
|               |                        |            |                  |                                    | No radiation                                      |
|               |                        |            |                  |                                    | Light emission prone to attenuation with increased tissue depth |
|               |                        |            |                  |                                    | Provides relative measure of cell viability or function |

2. Imaging techniques

There has been considerable progress in the development of non-invasive small animal in vivo imaging technology. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Computed Tomography (CT), Positron Emission Tomography (PET) and optical imaging (bioluminescence and fluorescence) are the most popular techniques utilised by researchers over recent years (Table 1). As yet, no single imaging technique is ideal for all applications because specifications vary [32].

2.1. Computer Tomography (CT)

Although not strictly a ‘molecular’ imaging technique, CT has been developed specifically for high anatomical resolution imaging of small animals [5,39]. CT imaging relies on the principle that various tissue types differentially absorb X-rays as they pass through the body. As the relatively low X-ray photon-energy source of 25–50 keV is used, a high resolution/CCD detector system rotates around the body of the animal to capture images. A typical scan of an entire mouse at a resolution of 100 µm would take about 15 minutes. Higher spatial resolution would require a longer period of scanning.

In order for CT to have molecular imaging ability, specific CT probes (probably of iodine-based or barium-based for X-ray contrast), similar to PET, have been designed and used concurrently with CT scanning. Unfortunately the use of contrast agent produces an ionisation effect that results in radiation damage via
superoxides and free radicals. Since the sensitivity and spatial resolution is also dependent on the duration of CT exposure and amount of contrast agent used, the radiation dose from a CT ultimately limits the repeated imaging of the same animal.

Unlike clinical CT scanners which use X-ray photon energy greater than 50 keV, animal scanners use lower energy which desirably results in up to 20× higher X-ray attenuation, thus allowing superior soft tissue discrimination in comparison to its clinical equivalent. Unfortunately, the overall poor soft tissue contrast still necessitates the use of iodinated contrast to delineate the internal organs of the animal. CT has been employed in non-invasive in vivo imaging to monitor colonic tumour growth (Fig. 1), to investigate bone regeneration and to detect of tumours (Table 2).

3. Positron Emission Tomography (PET) and Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT)

PET imaging relies on probes/tracers known as isotopes that can be administered to the subjects to generate an identifiable image. The underlying principle is that PET isotopes emit $\beta^+$ radiation (positrons) over

| Authors          | Probe/contrast agent | Target tissue/cells | Animal model | Instruments and software | Application |
|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| Weber et al., 2004 [58] | ITG (1,3-bis [7-(3-amino-2,4,6-triiodophenyl)heptanoyl]-2-oleoyl-glycerol) | Liver tumours generated from injection of syngeneic CT26 cells | Murine hepatic tumour model using male BALB/c mice | MicroCT scanner (Micro-CAT I, Imtek, Knoxville, TN) and Imtek software (MicroCAT Data Acquisition v3.0, Imtek, Inc.) for reconstruction of image | Monitoring of liver tumour dimensions |
| Gauthier et al., 2005 [20] | – | Bone | Model of bone regeneration in female New Zealand White rabbits (3–3.5 kg) | MicroCT (mcCT 20, Scanco Medical AG, Bassersdorf, Switzerland) | Monitoring of new bone formation |
| Pickhardt et al., 2004 [43] | – | Colorectal murine tumour | C57BL/6J mice | MicroCT scanner (Micro-CAT I, Imtek, Knoxville, TN) | Detection of colonic polyp in 3D |
| Brown et al., 2005 [9] | – | Hyaloid vasculature of the eye | CD-1 mice | MicroCT MS-8 scanner (Enhanced Vision Systems, Inc, London, Ontario, Canada) | Characterization of hyaloid vascular structure of the eyes |
| Thrailkill et al., 2005 [54] | – | Bone | Female non-obese diabetic mice | MicroCT-40 (Scanco, Bassersdorf, Switzerland) and the manufacturer’s software | Measurement of de novo bone formation during tibial distraction osteogenesis |

Table 2
Summary of CT application and instrumentation
Fig. 2. MicroPET images of MDA-MB-435 breast tumour bearing athymic nude mice 2 h after administration of 400 μCi of $^{64}$Cu-DOTA-E[c(RGDyK)]$_2$, with (left) and without (right) a co-injected blocking dose of c(RGDyK), a known $\alpha_v/\beta_3$ integrin antagonist. The ability to inhibit tumour uptake with a blocking dose of c(RGDyK) demonstrates receptor-specific tumour activity accumulation of this tracer. Reprinted from Molecular Imaging and Biology, 6(5), 330–359, Chen X., Liu S., Hou Y. et al., MicroPET imaging of breast cancer $\alpha_v/\beta_3$-integrin expression with $^{64}$Cu-labelled dimeric RGD peptides. Copyright 2004, with permission from Elsevier [12].

PET isotopes have different half-times ($T_{1/2}$) which range from minutes to days e.g. oxygen ($^{15}$O, $T_{1/2} = 2$ min); nitrogen ($^{13}$N, $T_{1/2} = 10$ min); carbon ($^{11}$C, $T_{1/2} = 20$ min); fluorine ($^{18}$F, $T_{1/2} = 110$ min), copper ($^{64}$Cu, $T_{1/2} = 12$ h) and iodine ($^{124}$I, $T_{1/2} = 4$ days). This facilitates the distinction between tracers whose function is based on biochemical processes that take place on different time-scales.

A well-known example of an isotope, 18-fluorodeoxyglucose ($^{18}$FDG), is widely applied in tumour studies. It accumulates in tumour specific sites on the basis that tumour cells have a greater glucose uptake rate and glycolytic metabolism than normal tissues [21]. Many other radiopharmaceuticals can be engineered, most commonly from “biologic” positron emitters such as $^{18}$F and $^{11}$C, to target specific molecular targets within defined in vivo biochemical pathways and processes [11]. Because the amounts of isotope probes required are in nanomolar concentrations or less and therefore very low, certain biological processes can be imaged without disturbing the biological process. Another advantage is that repeated imaging can be carried out on the same animal pre- and post-introduction of isotope probes, thereby allowing the animal to act as its own control.

SPECT is a distinct form of radionuclide imaging that differs from PET in that isotopes are direct gamma emitters in single direction. This necessitates different instrumentation for detection and this results in a limitation to the detection efficiency (to around $10^{-4}$ of number of $\gamma$-rays) of SPECT. Typically used isotopes include 123-iodine ($^{123}$I) and 99m-technetium ($^{99m}$Tc).

Applications of PET have included the imaging of xenograft models of brain, breast and ovarian tumours through exploitation of the arginine–glycine–aspartate (RGD) motif interaction with integrin receptor sites. RGD-labelled with $\alpha_v/\beta_3$ integrin antagonist tracer have been used to demonstrate imaging of receptor-specific accumulation in mouse breast tumour model shown in Fig. 2. PET has also been employed to visualise endothelial receptors (Table 3).

In the past, PET was only used for larger animals, but with the incorporation of better technology and improved imaging resolution, small animal imaging is now possible. The major limitations of PET are its spatial resolution and image noise. Spatial resolution of PET scans is typically about $2^3$ mm$^3$ [13]. Newer generation scanners can achieve a resolution of about $1^3$ mm$^3$ [10] and have a relatively high sensitivity approximately $10^{-11}$ to $10^{-12}$ mole/l.

### 3.1. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

MRI uses a large magnet to generate a magnetic field around the subject. The magnetic field causes hydrogen atoms to align themselves in water and organic compounds, creating what is known as a magnetic dipole. The specific radiofrequency (RF) coils inside the bore of the magnet generate a temporary RF pulse, capable of changing the alignment of these dipoles. Once the pulse ceases, the dipoles return or ‘relax’ to their normal baseline alignment. The relaxation behaviour of the dipoles is described by both T1 and T2 relaxation. Both parameters are different for different tissues resulting in contrast in MRI imaging. Depending on the timing of sequence the contrast can be predominantly T1- or T2-weighted.

Modified techniques of MRI extend the capability to obtain further functional information and will probably be available for small animal imaging in the future. Diffusion-weighted imaging uses the changes in the diffusion of water protons due to barriers such as cell membranes, thus allowing the microstructure of tissues to be visualised.
### Table 3
Summary of PET and SPECT application and instrumentation

| Authors                  | Probe/contrast agent | Target tissue/cells | Animal model | Instruments and software                        | Application                                      |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Wu et al., 2005 [64]     | 64Cu-radiolabeled tetrameric RGD | U87MG brain glioma | Xenograft model in female athymic nude mice | MicroPET R4 scanner (Concorde Microsystems Inc, USA) | Target αvβ3 integrin in tumour cells          |
| Johnström et al., 2005 [26] | 18F-endothelin-1 | Endothelin receptors in rat lung, liver and kidney | Male Sprague-Dawley rat | MicroPET P4 scanner (Concorde Microsystems, Knoxville, USA) | Visualise endothelin receptors in various organs |
| Olafsen et al., 2005 [38] | 111In-DOTA 10H8 minibody and antibody, 111In-MX-DTPA trastuzumab | Breast tumour MCF7/HER2 | Xenograft model in female nude mice | MicroPET P4 scanner (Concorde Microsystems, Knoxville, USA) | Antibody-based targeted delivery of radioisotopes to tumour cells |
| Chen et al., 2004 [12]   | 64Cu-radiolabeled dimeric RGD | MDA-MB-435 breast carcinoma | Xenograft model in female athymic nude mice | MicroPET R4 scanner (Concorde Microsystems, Knoxville, TN) | Target αvβ3 integrin in tumour cells          |
| Janssen et al., 2002 [24] | 111In- and 99mTc-radiolabeled dimeric RGD peptides 59Y RGD peptide | NIH:OVCAR-3 ovarian carcinoma | Xenograft model in female nude BALB/c mice | Single head gamma camera, parallel hole medium energy collimator (Siemens Orbiter, Siemens, Inc., Hoffman Estate, IL) | Target αvβ3 integrin in tumour cells          |

RGD – small peptides containing the Arg–Gly–Asp amino acid sequence.

---

**Fig. 3.** MR T1-weighted images of control EMT-6 (which do not express HER-2/neu) and NT-5 tumours (labelled with a biotinylated monoclonal antibody to HER-2/neu) obtained before administration of the contrast agent (avidin-GdDTPA conjugate) and at 1, 8 h after contrast. Arrows show enhanced signal from the tumour at the 8 h time point for the HER-2/neu expressing NT-5 tumour [1].

In mobility of water molecules to obtain information on microscopic tissue characteristics [21]. Perfusion-weighted imaging exploits reporter probes for monitoring haemodynamic status. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy utilises the differential imaging spectra obtained from the molecular composition of the tissue being investigated. MRI has been applied in imaging of xenograft animal tumour models for detection of HER-2/neu receptors (Fig. 3), the characterisation of tumour neovascularisation [45] and monitoring T-cell recruitment [29]. Kircher exploited the use of additional chemicals in tandem with magnetic contrast agents when he used highly derivatised cross linked iron oxide (CLIO-HD) to highlight T-lymphocytes in
Table 4
Summary of Magnetic Resonance Imaging application and instrumentation

| Authors            | Probe/contrast agent | Target tissue/cells | Animal model | Instruments and software | Application                                                                 |
|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bos et al., 2004 [7] | SPIO                 | Rat mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) | Lewis 1A rats | 1.5-T clinical unit (Gyroscan Intera; Philips, Best, the Netherlands) | Tracking intravascularly administered SPIO-labeled MSCs in kidney and liver |
| Preda et al., 2004 [45] | B22956/1 Protein binding contrast agent | MDA-MB-435 breast tumour | Orthotopic xenograft model in female athymic rats | 2 T Bruker Omega CSI-II (Bruker Instruments, Fremont, CA) | (1) Detection of tumour microvessels (2) Monitoring of antiangiogenic therapy |
| Artemov et al. 2003 [1] | Gadolinium labelled avidin | Pre-labeled biotin HER-2/neu receptor in NT-5 mouse mammary tumour | Female HER-2/neu transgenic mice | 4.7 T animal scanner (Omega; GE/Bruker) | Detection of HER-2/neu receptor |
| Winter et al., 2003 [63] | Paramagnetic nanoparticle | Vx-2 Rabbit tumour | New Zealand white rabbit | 1.5 T scanner (NT Intera with Master Gradients, Philips Medical Systems, Best, Netherlands) | Detection of early tumour angiogenesis by targeting αvβ3 integrin |
| Beckmann et al., 2003 [4] | SPIO | Rat macrophages | Xenograft model of kidney transplantation in Lewis rats | 4.7 T Biospec 47/40 spectrometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) | Assessment of perfusion of transplanted kidney |
| Kircher et al., 2003 [29] | CD8+ T lymphocytes labeled with CLIO-HD | B16-OVA melanoma cell line | Xenograft model in female C57Bl/6 mice | 8.5T scanner (Bruker DRX 360) | Monitoring T-cell recruitment in tumour |

T – tesla (unit of magnetic flux density), CLIO-HD – highly derivatised crosslinked iron oxide, SPIO – super paramagnetic iron oxide.

his xenograft model [29]. Similarly super paramagnetic iron oxide (SPIO) has been used as a molecular probe to track mesenchymal and macrophage cells and hence generate a more informative image (Table 4).

Recently, developments in animal MRI have focussed on the development of new contrast agents that increase sensitivity and specificity. Contrast agents can be classified as non-specific, targeted and smart probes [8]. Non-specific probes such as gadolinium chelates show a non-specifically distributed pattern, and are used to measure tissue perfusion and vascular permeability. Targeted probes such as gadolinium labelled avidin and annexin V-supramagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles are designed to specifically bind to ligands such as peptides and antibodies. In one study, avidin conjugated gadolinium targeted the biotinylated Her2/neu receptor (Fig. 3, Table 4). Similarly, annexin V-supramagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles were used to detect apoptotic cells. The principle underlying the latter is that apoptotic cells expose phosphatidylserine, which has an affinity for annexin, on their outer membranes [50]. Smart probes tag a specific ligand similar to targeted agents, but differ in that the probe signal changes upon interaction with the specific ligand.
MRI has advantages in that it has a higher resolution, which falls within a micrometre range, compared to radionuclides and optical probes in millimetres. MRI is also attractive because of its low toxicity and the absence of ionising radiation. In certain applications, MRI can simultaneously extract physiological, molecular and anatomical information. However, a disadvantage of MRI is that it is less sensitive than radionuclide or optical imaging technique.

3.2. Optical imaging

This technique employs quantitative light emission i.e. photons to obtain measurements of relevant biological parameters, including proteins and nucleic acids within individual living cells. Further advancement comes through the development of new targeted bioluminescence probes, near-infrared fluorochromes and red fluorescent proteins [59]. To detect low levels of light or photons, a very sensitive charge coupled device (CCD) detector is used [23]. The CCD detector is silicon-based and is capable of detecting light from the visible and to the near-infrared range (NIR). This imaging modality produces excellent data from organs and structures close to the skin surface in small animals but, difficulties are encountered in deeper organs, due to light absorption in tissues and the ability to detect low photon emission through tissues. There are two main forms of optical imaging, namely fluorescence imaging (FLI) and bioluminescence (BLI).

3.2.1. Fluorescence imaging

Visible light (395 to 600 nm) is used to excite fluorescence within the subject and a camera or fluorescent microscopy system detects the emitted light from the region of interest within the subject. The commonly used strategy is to fluorescently tag the cells, tissue or molecules under investigation with substances known to fluoresce. In the recent past, a popular choice has been the green fluorescent protein (GFP) which is derived from jellyfish Aequorea victoria. The wild-type GFP emits light at 509 nm, while its variant EGFP has a longer emitting wavelength and is 35-fold brighter [27]. Another currently popular fluorescent protein is the red fluorescence protein (RFP), DsRed. DsRed2 is a faster maturing, more soluble variant of reef coral protein from the Discosoma species which allows more rapid appearance of red fluorescence together with a reduced tendency for aggregation. It has a tetrameric structure and a maximum excitation wavelength of 563 nm and maximum emission wavelength of 582 nm. Newer fluorescent probes developed from a generic modification of RFP such as mBanana, td-Tomato, mTangerine, mStrawberry and mCherry with longer emission wavelength and greater stability are now available [52].

A distinctive advantage over BLI is that FLI does not require administration of a substrate for visualisation. This overcome the potential problem of difficult intravenous access in small animals. Fluorochromes that emit light of wavelengths greater than 600 nm should be used so that the absorbance by surrounding tissue is minimised and to ensure that background and auto-fluorescence can be distinguished [56]. Hence, using NIR fluorochromes maximises tissue penetration and minimises autofluorescence [60]. This is attributable to the lower absorption coefficients of water and haemoglobin, which are the major absorbers in tissues, at the NIR spectrum. Several applications have exploited the NIR range [31], e.g. Cy5.5 has been used to image EGF in breast tumours [28]. In addition, Quantum Dots (QD) which are nano-sized molecular probes that have long-term stability and fluoresce brightly up to NIR spectrum upon excitation, have been developed for imaging [2]. Yang et al. [66] has successfully employed DsRed2 shown in Fig. 4, which has a higher emission wavelength, to map tumour growth and metastases.

A further development to fluorescence imaging is Fluorescence Molecular Tomography (FMT). FMT employs a continuous pulse light from different sources to excite the fluorochrome label and multiple detectors arranged spatially analogous to the set up in CT or MR scanners [36,37]. Computation generates a three-dimensional image. The resulting images have a resolution of 1–2 mm, and the fluorochrome detection threshold is in the nanomolar range.

3.2.2. Bioluminescence imaging

The main difference between BLI and FLI is that light emission in BLI does not require excitation of the reporter. Light emission originates from an exergonic catalysis reaction of the substrate which releases photons of visible light [62]. One common approach used in animal models is transfection of one of the luciferase family of photo-proteins, that can be isolated either from the sea-pansy (Renilla reniformis) or from the North American firefly (Photinus pyralis), into the tumour cells prior to their inoculation into animals. Further the expression of luciferase can be controlled so that it is expressed only when required or so that it is only expressed when a gene of interest is being transcribed. The luciferase protein can catalyse
Fig. 4. (A) Whole-body fluorescence imaging of 2 weeks post-surgical implanted orthotopic PC3-DsRed primary prostate tumour (arrowhead) and metastasis in nude mice (arrows). (B) Image of the same animal 4 weeks later showing progressive tumour growth (arrow head) and extension of metastases (arrows) [66].

Table 5
Summary of Fluorescence Imaging application and instrumentation

| Authors               | Probe/contrast agent | Target tissue/cells | Animal model | Instruments and software | Application                           |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Yang et al., 2005 [66]| DsRed2               | PC-3 human prostate | Male nude mice | Leica LZ12, 50W mercury lamp CCD camera (CS810, Hamamatsu Photonics) Image Pro Plus v4.0 (Media Cybernetics, SilverSpring, MD) | Monitoring of tumour growth           |
| Yang et al., 2003 [65]| DsRed2               | RFP expressing B16F0 melanoma, MMT060562 mammary, Dunning and PC-3 prostate and HCT-116 colon tumours | Nude CS7/B6-GFP mice with subcutaneous melanoma/orthotopic breast/orthotopic prostate/orthotopic colon tumours | Leica LZ12, 50W mercury lamp CCD camera (CS810, Hamamatsu Photonics) Image Pro Plus 3.1 (Media Cybernetics) | Monitoring of tumour growth and metastatic progression |
| Ke et al., 2003 [28]  | Cy5.5                | Human mammary MDA-MB-468 (EGFr+) and MDA-MB-435 (EGFr−) cancer cells | Female athymic nude mice | Image intensifier (model FS9910C; ITT Night Vision, Roanoke, VA) CCD camera (CH350, Photometrics, Tucson, AZ) V++ software (Digital Optics, Auckland) and Matlab software | Monitoring of EGFR targeted therapy |
| Schmitt et al., 2002 [51]| GFP                  | Lymphoma cells      | Lymphoma model in transgenic mice | Leica LZ12, 50 W mercury lamp CCD camera (CS810, Hamamatsu Photonics) Image Pro Plus 3.1 (Media Cybernetics) | Monitoring of the effects of genotype on therapeutic efficacy |
| Peyruchaud et al., 2001 [40]| GFP                | MDA-MB-231 human breast carcinoma and metastases model in female nude Balb/c mice | Fluorescence scanning system (Fluorimag; Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) | Detection of bone metastasis          |

RFP – Red fluorescent protein.

Luciferin (Firefly luciferase) and coelenterazine (Renilla luciferase) following their delivery to the animal, usually through tail vein injection just prior to BLI (Fig. 5). Cells expressing luciferase can be easily identified through their emission of light in the range from 400 to 620 nm [22]. The use of BLI in dynamic in vivo imaging was validated in a neutropenic mouse model in which antimicrobial treatment applications were monitored. This technology has become a very valuable tool which has been employed to dynamically monitor tumour growth or transcriptional activity in living animals as shown in Table 6.
Fig. 5. Bioluminescent imaging following subcutaneous implantation with Renilla luciferase (N2a LucHPVT3) transfected cells (right side) or mock N2a transfected cells (left side) in a nude mouse. The tumour site expressing luciferase emitted a bioluminescent signal which was amplified through administration of *SMaRT probe (Ti-PEI-PTM37) 24 h prior to injection with the substrate coelenterazine [6]. Spliceosome-mediated RNA trans-splicing (SMaRT).

The main advantage of BLI over FLI is its capability to detect very low levels of light signal because there is virtually no background light noise. In FLI, the external light source required for fluorochrome excitation could also generate background autofluorescence from animal tissues (Fig. 5).

There are, however, some limitations in BLI. Firstly, light transmission efficiency is dependent on the tissue type being assessed. Highly vascular organ structures contain oxyhaemoglobin and deoxyhaemoglobin that absorb transmitted light which results in about a ten-fold reduction of the bioluminescence signal for every centimetre of tissue depth [16]. Secondly, because the catalytic reaction that generates bioluminescence is time- and enzyme-dependent, optimisation efforts are needed to determine the window period for optimum image capture. Comparatively, FLI may be more convenient and easier to capture images at multiple time-points, since the animal does not require intravenous administration of substrate.

| Authors          | Probe                  | Target tissue/cells                      | Animal model                        | Instruments and software                        | Application                                                                 |
|------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Szentirmai et al., 2006 [53] | Firefly luciferase | U87MG human glioma                       | Xenograft tumour model in nude mice  | In Vivo Imaging System IVIS® (Xenogen Corp, Alameda, CA), Living Image® software (Xenogen Corp, CA) | (1) Monitoring of tumour growth (2) Monitoring therapeutic response to adenoviruses encoding antiangiogenic soluble vascular endothelial growth factor |
| Massoud et al., 2004 [34] | Split synthetic renilla luciferase probes | 293T human embryonic kidney tumour cells protein-protein interactions | Nude mice                             | In Vivo Imaging System IVIS® (Xenogen Corp, Alameda, CA), Living Image® software (Xenogen Corp, CA) | Screening for homodimeric protein–protein interactions |
| Jenkins et al., 2003 [25] | Firefly luciferase | PC-3M (prostate) A549 (lung) HT-29 (colon) | Xenograft tumour models of prostate lung and colon in male SCID mice | In Vivo Imaging System IVIS® (Xenogen Corp, Alameda, CA), Living Image® software (Xenogen Corp, CA) | (1) Monitoring of tumour growth and metastasis (2) Monitoring of therapeutic response to mitomycin c and SFU |
| Pichler et al., 2003 [42] | Renilla luciferase | Human epidermoid carcinoma KB-3-1 cells and colchicine-selected KB8-5-11 cells | Male nude mice                        | In Vivo Imaging System IVIS® (Xenogen Corp, Alameda, CA), Living Image® software (Xenogen Corp, CA) | Screening for multidrug resistance MDR1 P-glycoprotein |
| Rocchetta et al., 2001 [48] | lux, bacterium-based bioluminescence system from Photorhabdus luminescens | E. coli EC14 | Neutropenic mouse thigh model | In Vivo Imaging System IVIS® (Xenogen Corp, Alameda, CA), Living Image® software (Xenogen Corp, CA) | Monitoring of antimicrobial therapy |
Table 7
Summary of the different imaging modalities and its application

| Authors            | Probe/contrast agent     | Target               | Animal model | Modality          | Application                      |
|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Chow et al.,       | [18F] fluoride ion        | Bone                 | BLK6 mouse   | MicroPET and microCT | Validating multimodality imaging hardware |
| 2006 [14]          |                          |                      |              |                   |                                  |
| Rowland et al.,    | [18F] FDG (PET) gadodiamide (MRI) | EMT-6 mouse mammary carcinoma | Xenograft breast tumour in BALB/c mice | MRI and microPET | Monitoring of tumour growth |
| 2005 [49]          |                          |                      |              |                   |                                  |
| Ponomarev et al.,  | tk (PET) GFP (Fluorescence) | U87 human glioma cells | Xenograft tumour model in nude mice | Bioluminescence, fluorescence, microPET | Detection and monitoring of tumour growth |
| 2004 [44]          | Luciferase (Bioluminescence) |                      |              |                   |                                  |
| Moore et al.,      | Cy5.5 (Fluorescence)     | uMUC-1 antigen positive ZR-75-1 (breast), BT-20 (breast), HT-29 (colon), CAPAN-2 (pancreas), LS174T (colon), ChaGo-K-1 (lung) | Xenograft tumour model in nude mice | MRI and fluorescence microscopy | Detection and monitoring of tumour growth |
| 2004 [35]          | CLIO (MRI) -EPPT         |                      |              |                   |                                  |
| Ray et al.,        | tk (PET) renilla luciferase (Bioluminescence) | N2a neuronal tumour cell | Xenograft tumour model in nude mice | Bioluminescence and microPET | Monitoring of tumour growth |
| 2003 [47]          |                          |                      |              |                   |                                  |
| Doubrouvin et al., | tk (PET) GFP (Fluorescence) | U87 human glioma cells | Xenograft tumour model in nude rats | MicroPET and fluorescence | Monitoring p53 transcriptional activity in tumours |
| 2001 [17]          |                          |                      |              |                   |                                  |

EPPT – synthetic peptide that has significant affinity for the uMUC-1-derived peptide PDTRP;
HSV1-tk – herpes simplex virus type 1 thymidine kinase;
CLIO – Cross-linked iron oxide.

3.3. Multimodality imaging

New ongoing research is now focusing on amalgamating image modalities. The combination of the various techniques offers a greater quality of information obtained. Unique opportunities to define more specifically the localisation of biological processes, to quantify biological activity and to characterise novel biomarkers are now possible. For example, the development of microPET/CT offers high anatomical resolution with functional information, resulting in a bimodal image [55,56]; and the development of Optical PET (OPET) detectors that can simultaneously capture PET and bioluminescence images [46].

Image co-registration is a term which describes the spatial combination of two images acquired by separate imaging modalities. The quantitative accuracy of the generated image is dependent on the accuracy of the image co-registration technique. This technique improves quantitative localisation of a molecular probe in a more detailed anatomical resolution [67]. Several sophisticated software techniques are available to co-register related intermodality images. In addition, hardware devices including a small animal imaging chamber designed to reproducibly mount on separate microCT and microPET scanners are now available [14]. Table 7 demonstrates several current applications of multimodal imaging including monitoring and detection of tumour growth and monitoring transcriptional activity.

4. Animal concerns in bio-imaging

One important aspect to consider in choosing and using the small animal imaging modality is the welfare of the animal. Several issues warrant consideration such as difficult vascular access to obtain blood samples, the small size of the animals, animal anaesthesia, optimal temperature control and exposure to radiation.

For most in vivo studies, anaesthesia is required to immobilise and to occasionally carry out invasive procedures. The anaesthesia should be easy to administer and of sufficient anaesthetic duration and depth for completion of experiments and imaging. Anaesthesia-
sia has been induced and maintained effectively via subcutaneous, intramuscular, intravenous and inhalant routes. Depending on the anaesthetic protocol and duration of anaesthesia, the metabolic and physical effects can vary in different animals such as hepatic toxicity, hypercapnia, hypothermia, hypoxia and acidosis. In bio-functional imaging studies such as, distribution or metabolism of a therapy, alteration in blood flow and changes in organ function, anaesthesia can affect the cardio-respiratory and central nervous system which potentially introduces unwanted biases [30]. Preliminary pilot studies are advised to optimise anaesthetic protocols to the specific needs of the animal [15].

Because small animals tend to have a large surface area-to-body-mass ratio and rapid body metabolism, they are more prone to dehydration and heat loss. Hypothermia has been documented in rodents after brief 10–15 minute procedures [2,18]. Attempts to provide warmth or insulation and to restore hydration are imperative for wellbeing. Heating devices such as warm-water blankets, thermal heating pads, heated platform, heat lamps and warm air blowers have been used for animals. On the other hand, provision of warm fluid parenterally and humidified gasses can be carried out to prevent dehydration. Modalities such as CT and PET that use radioactive probes or involve radiation exposure to the animal should comply with the maximal radiation dosage. For example, in rats PET tracer doses ranges from 0.5 to 2.0 mCi and in mice ranges from 50–200 μCi.

5. Conclusion

The challenge remains to develop an instrument capable of imaging a small body volume in three dimensions using a low amount of molecular probe; providing spatial resolution that is sufficient to analyse meaningful anatomical and functional data; detecting and locating sensitively, specifically and accurately; and obtaining an image in a short capture time [33, 60]. Presently, most researchers employ CT imaging for bone-related studies because of its high anatomical resolution and bone image contrast. MRI is exploited for its excellent spatial resolution and good soft-tissue/organ image contrast. Bioluminescence imaging is often employed for assessing therapeutic response because of its excellent sensitivity whilst fluorescence imaging facilitates tumour growth monitoring because of its quick and convenient multiple time-point image capture. PET has high sensitivity and permits accurate quantitation of molecular target detection because γ-rays are not attenuated as they pass through tissue. SPECT, a distinct nuclear medicine modality, is cheaper than PET but has a slightly lower sensitivity. The multi-modality techniques which will simplify localisation of imaging are rapidly evolving.

The rapid development in biotechnology has resulted in sophisticated noninvasive in vivo imaging technologies that can be used to screen animals with distinct genotypes. These advances concomitant with innovative molecular techniques are facilitating drug target validation and evaluation of therapeutic efficacy. Inevitable exploitation and expansion in this field will test many hypotheses on the road towards our goals of greater understanding of the carcinogenic process and cancer therapeutics.
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