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A B S T R A C T

This paper presents an optimization technique to generate minimum energy consumption trajectories for redundant/hyper-redundant manipulators with constraint conditions. Two novel methods are combined for trajectory optimization technique. In the first method, the system’s constraints are handled in a sequential manner within the cost function in order to avoid running the inverse dynamics when the system’s constraints are not satisfied. In the second method, a novel virtual link concept is introduced to replace all the redundant links of the redundant/hyper-redundant manipulators. The method is verified on a three-degree-of-freedom redundant manipulator and the result is also demonstrated with computer simulations based on an 8-link planar hyper-redundant manipulator.
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1. Introduction

Although the non-redundant commercial robot's performance and capability provide significant advantages for industrial implementations, however, in today’s modern industrial world consist of multi-task industrial issues. The requirements of industrial applications are vastly complex, difficult and these applications demand better performance and flexibility such as drilling, cutting, medical robotics, maintenance of nuclear reactors etc. In order to meet these demands, robotic manipulators may have more degrees-of-freedom than essential due to execute intended complicated jobs like human arms. Research on redundant robotic manipulator is still active and redundancy can also be utilised to handle other cost criteria effectively. For example, redundancy has been used to achieve collision avoidance in working space (Patel et al., 2005; Ping et al., 2009), preventing singularities where the manipulator lose some degrees-of-freedom (Duarte et al., 1999; Huo and Boron, 2008), avoiding limits of joints (Assal et al., 2006; Marey and Chaumette, 2010), minimizing some cost function such as reducing jerk (Sullivan and Pipe, 1998; Yang et al., 2008), reducing deviation of the end-effectors (Ata and Myo, 2005; Singla et al., 2010), reducing time (Ma and Watanabe, 2000) over an intended task.

This paper presents a novel constraint handling method and an efficient control algorithm for trajectory optimization to prevent computational complexity of the redundant and hyper-redundant manipulators. One of the main contributions of this paper is to provide constraint handling procedure is computationally efficient as kinematic and dynamic constraints are included in the cost function to prevent running inverse dynamic model when all constraints are not satisfied. And second contribution of this paper, all the redundant links are acting as a single link. The effectiveness of the proposed methods is initially demonstrated using computer simulations and then same intended trajectories are implemented experimentally by utilising the Katana 450 6M industrial robotic manipulator based on links 2, 3 and 4. The proposed scheme is also verified with computer simulations based on an 8-link planar hyper-redundant manipulator.

2. System description and dynamics

Fig. 1 shows the six degrees-of-freedom of the Katana 450 robotic manipulators with end-effector tool. Dynamic modelling of the robot is based on Lagrangian dynamics, which describes the system in terms of its energy. To construct the inverse dynamic model of the system, the DYSIM software is utilized (Sahinkaya, 2004) and it will operate from within the MatLab/Simulink environment. DYSIM requires the user to specify the mass, inertia and position of centre of mass for each link, as well as identifying the location of ground point. All these required parameters are summarised in Table 1.
The origin of the coordinate system is located at the point where the rotary axis of actuator 2 is in Fig. 1. The maximum reachable point of the manipulator is approximately 0.6024 m from the actuator 2 base point. Link 2, link 3 and link 4 of the Katana manipulator (rotary joints 2, 3 and 4) are considered for the implementation of 3-link redundant manipulator. In this case, a system has more control inputs than required in order to control a specified desired motion.

### Table 1: Parameters for Katana 450

| Parameters                              | Links |
|-----------------------------------------|-------|
| Mass of Link (kg)                       | Link 2 | Link 3 | Link 4 |
| Length of Link (mm)                     | 190    | 139    | 273.4  |
| Distance from CoG to end link (mm)      | 95     | 35.3   | 163.4  |
| Inertia of Link (N.ms.rad)              | 0.0445 | 0.0445 | 0.0114 |
| Friction coef. of Link (N.ms/rad)       | 1.8    | 1.5    | 0.39   |
| Mass of gear (kg)                       | 0.233  | 0.233  | 0.182  |
| Gear ratio                              | 371    | 371    | 100    |
| Limits                                  | Link 2 | Link 3 | Link 4 |
| Absolute Angle (deg)                   | 132    | 245    | 224    |
| Relative Angle (deg)                    | +102/- | +/-    | +/-    |
| Max velocity (deg/s)                    | 72.52  | 73.35  | 136.8  |
| Max acceleration (deg/s2)               | 2321   | 2353   | 4378   |
| Torque (N.m)                            | 17     | 13     | 9      |

For the redundant scheme, the manipulator task consists of transporting a load mass of 0.3 kg from an initial point at $x_i = -0.3095$, $y_i = 0.4881$ m to a destination at $x_f = 0.1405$, $y_f = 0.4381$ m in Cartesian space as shown in Fig. 1. The total duration of motion is varied from 4 sec to 10 sec by increments of 2 sec.

### 3. The procedure of optimum trajectory planning

#### 3.1. Formulation of the optimization problem and definition of the trajectory

Assumed that the n-links redundant manipulator task consists of transporting a load mass, an initial point to a destination in Cartesian space in Fig. 2. Cartesian coordinates of the centre of gravity and the relative angles of each link plus the Cartesian coordinates of the load are selected as generalized coordinates, i.e. a total of $(3n+2)$ generalized coordinates for the n degrees of freedom system. DYSIM automatically develops $(2n+2)$ constraint equations and a constraint Jacobian matrix F. The last two relative angles are selected as dependant coordinates.

![Fig. 1: Katana model with one redundancy in link-2](image)

![Fig. 2: Schematic view of redundant manipulator. Last two links are non-redundant](image)

The n control input locations are selected to be the relative angles of all n links (i.e. the joint actuator torques). $G$ represents the cost (objective) between initial and final postures, $g_i$ is the required actuator torque to be applied at joint i, and T is the motion duration (Eq. 1).

$$G = \int_0^T \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i^2(t) \right) dt$$

#### 3.2. Proposed methods

In conventional methods (such as the fmincon function in Matlab) the constraints equations are handled separately and the cost function is called regardless of whether the constraints are satisfied or not. In order to improve computational efficiency of the trajectory optimization algorithm, constraints can be handled within the cost function calculations. Another requirement for the motion defined by the optimization parameters to be realizable is that the distance between the end of the last redundant link (point at $x_r$, $y_r$) and the end-effector (point at $f_x$, $f_y$) must be less than or equal to (ln-1+ln). This is equivalent to replacing the redundant links by a single virtual link $R_{rm}$ as shown in Fig. 2. At each step of the movement, the position of the redundant/hyper-redundant robotic manipulators has to satisfy the following constraint, which can be formulated as Eq. 2. The following criterion must also satisfy the end-effectors reachable point $Reef$ in Cartesian coordinates (Eq. 3).
\[ R_{\text{nrm}} = \max \left( (f_x - x) + (f_y - y)^2 \right) \leq l_{n-1} + l_n \quad (2) \]
\[ R_{\text{eff}} = \max \left( \frac{(f_x)^2(t) + (f_y)^2(t)}{\Sigma l_i} \right) \quad (3) \]

4. Experimental implementation and results

The proposed methods were implemented in Simulink. Fig. 3 presents the comparison between the theoretically simulated optimized velocity profiles (reference) and the experimentally recorded optimized velocity profiles (actual) for links 2, 3 and 4, respectively. The velocities do not violate the velocity constraints. As expected, experimental results of the velocities support the simulation results, and identical velocity profiles between the demand and actual velocity have been observed. As expected, the motion duration has a significant impact on the outcome of the cost function. It can be seen from the Table 2 that the cost value is reduced remarkably, and the considerable improvement achieved approximately 56.57% in 4 seconds motion in theoretical study.

| Time | Cost (10sec) | Cost (8sec) | Cost (6sec) | Cost (4sec) |
|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Non-optimum | (N²m²s) | (N²m²s) | (N²m²s) | (N²m²s) |
| Optimum | 87 | 38 | 51.48 | 45.78 |
| Energy Saving % | 65.71 | 62.73 | 60.02 | 55.32 |

| Time | Cost (1sec) | Cost (0.8sec) | Cost (0.6sec) | Cost (0.4sec) |
|------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Non-optimum | (N²m²s) | (N²m²s) | (N²m²s) | (N²m²s) |
| Optimum | 72 | 31 | 39.22 | 36.21 |
| Energy Saving % | 50.38 | 47.38 | 45.38 | 42.38 |

The corresponding experimental cost values for varying durations of motions are also demonstrated in Table 2 and maximum energy reduction was observed to be approximately 43.001%, which corresponds to the duration of motion of 4 second profile in the experimental study.

4.1. Optimum trajectory planning for hyper-redundant manipulators

An 8-links hyper-redundant (with six DOFs redundancy) manipulator is introduced to verify the proposed methods for a large number of links. The manipulator task for this example is to move the load mass from an initial point \( P_{\text{initial}}(x_i=1.54, y_i=0.14) \) m to a final point \( P_{\text{final}}(x_f=0, y_f=-0.85) \) m in Cartesian space coordinate as shown in Fig. 4.

The viscous friction effects of the joints are also included with a coefficient of friction ‘0.6’ Ns/m and gears are also taken into account with all gear ratios R=50. The motion duration is specified as T=2 s. Mass centre of gravity of the links are in the middle of each link and the load mass is m=0.3 kg at the end of the last link. An 8-links hyper-redundant manipulator has eight identical links, and each link length is selected as 0.2 m.

For the each link, motor and link inertias were selected as 0.0001 kglm² and 0.0025 kglm², respectively. All the links have identical mass, \( m_l=0.5 \) kg and each motor mass were selected as 0.2 kg. The corresponding randomly generated feasible non-optimum and optimum manipulative task are shown in Table 2. All the links have identical mass, \( m_l=0.5 \) kg and each motor mass were selected as 0.2 kg. The corresponding randomly generated feasible non-optimum and optimum manipulative task are shown in Table 2. All the links have identical mass, \( m_l=0.5 \) kg and each motor mass were selected as 0.2 kg. The corresponding randomly generated feasible non-optimum and optimum manipulative task are shown in Table 2. All the links have identical mass, \( m_l=0.5 \) kg and each motor mass were selected as 0.2 kg. The corresponding randomly generated feasible non-optimum and optimum manipulative task are shown in Table 2.

As is seen from the Fig. 4, the non-optimum cost curve increases rapidly and gives an initial cost value of G=8084. On the other hand, the EEF tracking curve of the motion trajectory corresponding to optimum parameters has a smooth EEF tracking profile as shown in Fig. 4b1.

This optimum motion of the manipulator provides high a torque magnitude and sudden ascension on the non-optimized torque curves for all actuators between the duration of 1.3 and 1.4 seconds in the simulation as shown with dashes in Fig. 5.

Fig. 3: Experimental comparison of speed with varying duration of motion

Fig. 4: Theoretical simulation of the motion trajectory corresponding to optimum parameters in Simulink.

Fig. 5: The theoretical simulation of the motion trajectory corresponding to optimum parameters in Simulink.
Fig. 4: (a), (a1) Motion trajectory corresponding to initial parameter, (b), (b1), Motion trajectory corresponding to optimum parameters

5. Conclusion

The simulation results make clear the effect of the proposed methods for the redundant/hyper-redundant robotic manipulators. The proposed methods not only achieve a reduction in the energy consumption for the hyper-redundant manipulators, but also have the ability of handling a large number of DOFs manipulators and constraints without any problems. A variety of constraints and different cost functions can easily be added to the proposed optimization procedure.

Fig. 5: Simulated planned trajectories of non-optimum and optimum torque profiles for hyper-redundant
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