Evaluation Analysis on Leakage Performance for Beam Seal With Two Sealing Areas
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ABSTRACT As a special type of aviation hydraulic pipe joint, a beam seal comprises two annular sealing areas. This paper presented a leakage model for an annular sealing area based on a developed three-dimensional percolation grid model and the porous medium theory. Both average contact pressure and true contact width of the beam seal were simulated using the finite element method. An experimental study of beam seal verified the accuracy of the numerical results. Considering that the two sealing areas and the groove in the beam seal form an isolated sealing system, a leakage model of the beam seal is proposed based on the leakage model for annular sealing area. We evaluated the effects of the roughness of the surface, preload and fluid pressure on the leak rate of the beam seal. Furthermore, the geometry of the beam seal was modified to improve its sealing performance. This study provides an evaluation method for optimizing the design and improving the sealing performance of the beam seal.

INDEX TERMS Beam seal, sealing performance, three-dimensional percolation grid model, porous medium, leakage model.

I. INTRODUCTION Aviation hydraulic pipe joints are basic hydraulic accessories that combine hydraulic pumps, valves, cylinders, and other hydraulic components through pipes to form a hydraulic system. Pipe joints are widely distributed in aircraft hydraulic systems, and any leakage of pipe joints will cause the aircraft hydraulic system to malfunction. Among these pipe joints, the beam seal, as a new type of seal, can form two annular sealing areas during the assembly. Accordingly, the beam seal has a higher sealing performance than conical and ball-head seals. For the beam seal, the allowable fluid pressure ranges from 22.7 MPa to 55.2 MPa, and it can withstand severe vibration and high-pressure pulsation. Moreover, the beam seal can be assembled and disassembled more than 25 times without leakage [1], [2]. Owing to these advantages, the beam seal is expected to replace the aviation hydraulic pipe joints currently used.

At present, most of the information about beam seal comes from the SAE standards [3]–[5]. There are few reports on the theoretical research of beam seals, much less on the evaluation analysis of the leakage performance of the beam seal.

For a main interface docking (MID) seal with two sealing areas, Liao et al. [6] predicted the leak rate of gas at the sealing interface based on the theory of porous media, and the leakage property of the MID seal was studied by considering the primary and secondary seals as a whole. The research method in this article provides a new perspective for the study of seals consisting of two sealing areas. Moreover, it proves that predicting the leakage of the sealing interface is essential for evaluating the sealing property of the seal. However, the leak rate prediction method for the sealing interface is only suitable for rubber seals, and the three-dimensional characteristics of porous media are not considered when calculating the leak rate of sealing interface.

In recent years, some progress has been made in the prediction of the leakage of sealing interface. Persson et al. [7], [8] simplified the sealing interface into a two-dimensional percolation grid model to explore the sealing mechanism and proposed a leakage model. The basic idea is that the contact area and non-contact area on the sealing interface are regarded as black and white clusters respectively, and the clusters of these two colors form a two-dimensional percolation grid model. As the magnification increased, the number of white clusters gradually increased. When the white clusters penetrate the sealing interface, the white clusters at this time are defined
as the critical leakage channel and the leakage is calculated. Lorenz and Perrson compared the theoretically calculated leakage with the experimentally measured leakage to verify the accuracy of sealing theory [9]. Akulichev et al. [10] used Perrson’s leakage model and finite element analysis to predict the seal failure temperature of O-ring seal. However, Bottiglione pointed out that the selection of parameter $l/L$ (the ratio of sealing interface transversal length to longitudinal length) in Perrson’s model would cause prediction uncertainty of the leak rate, and a better estimation of the cross-sectional height of the leakage channel should consider the real separation between the two sealing surfaces [11].

Meanwhile, some scholars have regarded the sealing interface as a porous medium to calculate the leakage of the sealing interface. Kazemnia et al. proposed that the porous media theory is an attractive method that can be used to predict leak rates through the sealing interface [12]. Considering a sealing interface consisting of a rigid plane and a rough surface, Sun et al. calculated the initial porosity and built a novel leakage channel model based on fractal theory and the two-dimensional percolation grid model [13]. Referring to Sun’s leakage channel construction method, Ni et al. applied fractal theory and Hagen Poiseuille’s equation to establish a leakage model, and studied the effect of fractal dimension on leakage [14]. Furthermore, Zhang et al. [15] obtained the permeability of the sealing interface based on porous medium and fractal theory, and a sealing interface leakage model was established by applying Darcy’s law instead of constructing the leakage channel. Zhang et al. [16] compared experimental results with numerical simulation results and proved that the leakage model could accurately predict the leakage on the surface with fractal characteristics. However, there is a large discrepancy in the leakage prediction on a surface that does not conform to the fractal feature or that is an anisotropic surface. These studies show that the two-dimensional percolation grid model and porous media theory are effective methods for studying the leakage problems of rough interfaces, and provide useful references for the evaluation analysis of the leakage performance of the beam seal.

In this study, we aimed to develop a leakage model to evaluate the sealing performance of a beam seal with two annular sealing areas and to modify the geometry of the beam seal. Considering that the sealing interface consists of two rough surfaces, a three-dimensional percolation grid model was proposed to simulate the sealing interface, and the porosity was calculated. Subsequently, a leakage model of an annular sealing area is established by combining the three-dimensional percolation grid model with percolation mechanics. An elastic-plastic finite element contact model of the beam seal was developed, and a test rig was built to verify the effectiveness of the finite element calculation results. Furthermore, a leakage model of the beam seal is proposed by combining the macro-contact analysis of the beam seal with the annular sealing area leakage model, and the leakage characteristics are studied. Based on the leakage characteristics of the beam seal, the geometry of the existing beam seal was modified to improve the sealing performance.

II. CONFIGURATION AND PARAMETERIZATION OF BEAM SEAL
The beam seal consists of female connector, male connector, and nut, as illustrated in Fig.1 [5]. The main sealing component of the beam seal is the female connector, whose geometrical characteristics are the U-section and the oval-arc groove on the sealing beam. The groove divides the sealing surface into two areas, one is the primary sealing area near the inner side, and the other is the secondary sealing area near the outer side.

The geometrical parameters of the female connector are shown in Fig.2, $d$ is wall thickness of male connector, $a$ is major axis of elliptical groove, $R_1$ or $R_2$ is radius of rounded corner, $b$ is minor axis of elliptical groove, $\delta$ is distance between bottom of U-shaped and outer diameter of female connector, $\alpha$ is taper angle, $e$ is axial length of U-shaped port, $\theta$ is inclined angle of U-shaped section, $h$ is seal beam section width, $l$ is nominal contact width of the primary seal. In order to study the leakage characteristics of the beam seal, it is necessary to establish a leakage model for the annular sealing area.

III. LEAKAGE MODEL OF THE ANNULAR SEALING AREA
To comprehensively consider the surface topography of the two sealing surfaces, inspired by the two-dimensional percolation grid model, a three-dimensional percolation grid model
was proposed based on point cloud data, and the porosity was derived using the porous media theory.

**A. NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF ROUGH SURFACE**

The characterization of the topography of the sealing surface is the basis of contact mechanics and sealing performance analysis. In this study, the autocorrelation function method was used to simulate the topography of a rough surface [17]. The exponential autocorrelation function is expressed as follows:

\[
F(x, y) = \sigma_s \exp\left(-2.3 \times \left[\frac{x}{\beta_x}\right]^2 + \left(\frac{y}{\beta_y}\right)^2\right) \tag{1}
\]

where \(\sigma_s\) is the root mean square roughness; \(\beta_x\) and \(\beta_y\) are the autocorrelations of the x and y directions, respectively. The values of \(\beta_x\) and \(\beta_y\) reflect the fluctuation frequency of a rough surface. If \(\beta_x\) and \(\beta_y\) are equal, the rough surface is isotropic; otherwise, it is anisotropic. This paper mainly discusses isotropic rough surfaces. The point cloud data and contour of the rough surface are shown in Fig. 3.

**B. THREE-DIMENSIONAL PERCOLATION GRID MODEL AND CALCULATION OF POROSITY**

Porosity and permeability are important factors that affect leakage [12]. To calculate the porosity of the sealing interface accurately, the sealing surface was discretized into a large number of columns. The number of columns depended on the number of points and the height of each column corresponding to the point cloud data, a three-dimensional percolation grid model of the sealing interface is shown in Fig. 4.

When the two sealing surfaces are in contact for the first time, the initial porosity \(\phi(0)\) is:

\[
\phi(0) = 1 - \frac{V_{\text{solid}}}{V_0} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (z_{ij}^0 + z_{ij}^2)}{XYh_0}
\]

\[
= 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (z_{ij}^0 + z_{ij}^2)}{N\omega}
\tag{2}
\]

where \(V_0\) is the initial volume of the sealing interface, \(V_{\text{solid}}\) is the volume of the skeleton, \(N\) is the number of columns on the sealing surface, \(x\) is the length of the column, and \(y\) is the width of the column. The height matrix of the upper sealing surface is \(z^1\), the height matrix of the lower sealing surface is \(z^2\). \(n\) is the number of columns in the X-direction, which is equal to the number of columns in the Y-direction. The critical height of the two roughness surfaces \(h_0\) is:

\[
h_0 = \max(z_{ij}^0 + z_{ij}^2) \tag{3}
\]

From equation (3), it can be seen that the critical height is related to the surface topography, and the critical height is proportional to \(\sigma_s\). When the upper sealing surface moves downward, the interference is \(\omega\), and the height of the sealing interface decreases from \(h_0\) to \(h_0 - \omega\). Consequently, the \(V_0\) will change to be \(XY(h_0 - \omega)\) and \(V_{\text{solid}}\) remains constant [11]. Therefore, the corresponding porosity \(\phi(\omega)\) is:

\[
\phi(\omega) = \frac{XY(h_0 - \omega) - (1 - \phi(0))XYh_0}{XYh_0 - \omega} = 1 - \frac{[(1 - \phi(0))h_0]}{h_0 - \omega} \tag{4}
\]

where: \(\omega\) is proportional to the average contact pressure \(P_t\), which can be obtained using the ZMC contact model [18]:

\[
P_t(\omega) = \frac{4}{3} \eta E R^{1/2} \int_{g+\omega a}^{g+\omega a} \omega^{3/2} \Phi(z) dz + \pi RH \eta \int_{g+\omega a}^{g+\omega a} \omega^{1/2} [1 - f(\omega) + f(\omega)]
\]

\[
\times \left[1 + f(\omega) \omega \Phi(z) dz + 2\pi RH \int_{g+\omega a}^{\infty} \omega \Phi(z) dz\right] \tag{5}
\]
The ZMC contact model is suitable for calculating contact pressure of sealing interface under light load, moderate load and heavy load. Where: $E^*$ is equivalent elastic modulus; $R$ is radius of curvature of an asperity; $\eta$ is areal density of asperities; $H$ is material hardness; $\Phi(z)$ is gaussian distribution function of asperity heights. $g$ is the mean separation between the two surfaces; $\omega_0$ is critical interference at the point of initial yield; $\omega_b$ is critical interference at the point of fully plastic flow. The Eq. (4) is applicable to calculate the porosity of isotropic surfaces. According to Eqs. (4) and (5), we can obtain the porosity of sealing interface corresponding to average contact pressure.

**C. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY AND LEAKAGE MODEL OF THE ANNULAR SEALING AREA**

The permeability reflects the seepage capacity of porous media. A study on the flow of single-phase Newtonian fluids in porous media showed that the permeability $K$ is only related to the structural characteristics of porous media. The expression for $K$ is as follows:

$$k = \frac{c\phi^3}{\tau(\frac{1+\phi}{R})^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where: $c$ is the Kozeny-Carman constant, which is a constant between 5 and 6, and $\tau$ is the tortuosity. According to the literature [15], the expression for tortuosity is:

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - \phi} + \sqrt{1 - \phi - \sqrt{(1 - \phi - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{4}}} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Combining Eqs. (2), (3), (4), (5) and (7) with Eq. (6), the permeability of porous media corresponding to different average contact pressures is obtained, and the permeability is inversely proportional to average contact pressure.

An annular sealing area with an outer diameter of $R$ and inner diameter of $r$ is taken as the research object. Assuming that the fluid pressure at the inner diameter is $P_{in}$, and the external environment pressure is $P_o$, the fluid leaks from the inside to the environment. According to reference [15], the equation for the leak rate $Q$ is as follows:

$$Q = -2\pi (h_0 - \omega) \frac{K(\omega)}{\mu} \frac{P_{in} - P_o}{\ln} \frac{\Delta}{r}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where: the negative sign in the Eq. 8 represents leakage, $\mu$ is the fluid viscosity. We can use the annular sealing area leakage model to analyze the leakage characteristics of the beam seal.

**IV. CONTACT ANALYSIS AND LEAKAGE MODEL OF THE BEAM SEAL**

For the fact that the leakage of the beam seal is affected by the macroscopic geometry and the microtopography of the sealing surface. Therefore, a macro finite element model of the beam seal was established and simulated to calculate the average contact pressure and true contact width on the two seals. A leakage model of the beam seal was developed by combining the macroscopic analysis of the beam seal with the leakage model of the annular sealing area.

**A. MACRO FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF BEAM SEAL AND EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION**

The geometrical parameters of the beam seal that are suitable for a pipe with a diameter of 12 mm are listed in Table 1.

**TABLE 1. Geometrical parameters of beam seal.**

| Parameter | Value | Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| $d$       | 2.7 mm| $R_1$, $R_2$ | 0.10, 0.3 mm |
| $R$       | 1.4 mm| $a$       | 0.3 mm |
| $\delta$  | 1.1 mm| $\theta$  | 15°   |
| $h$       | 0.6 mm| $l$       | 0.57 mm |

The Abaqus software is used to establish the finite element contact model of the beam seal, as shown in Fig.5. The material is stainless steel, its elastic modulus $E$ is 210GPa, and Poisson’s ratio $v$ is 0.3. The plastic constitutive relations of the stainless steel are shown in Table 2.

**TABLE 2. The plastic constitutive relations.**

| Real stress | Plastic strain | Real stress | Plastic strain |
|------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| 500 MPa    | 0              | 882 MPa     | 0.25           |
| 605 MPa    | 0.029          | 921 MPa     | 0.45           |
| 695 MPa    | 0.056          | 988 MPa     | 0.75           |
| 780 MPa    | 0.095          | 1110 MPa    | 0.85           |

The sealing surface of female connector is defined as the main contact surface, and the sealing surface of male connector is defined as slave contact surface. Subsequently, the finite slip formula was used to constrain the two contact surfaces. To simulate the connection of the nut to the beam seal, a reference point RP-1 was created at the nut position, and the distributed coupling constraint was applied to connect RP-1 with the female connector. A fixed constraint was applied at the bottom of the male connector based on the actual force of the beam seal, and a preload was applied to the reference point RP-1. The CAX4I element was used to mesh the beam seal, and the number of elements was 23102.

Applying a 1000N preload to the beam seal, the contour of the contact pressure is shown in Fig.6(a). The true contact width of the primary seal is 0.11mm and that of the secondary seal is 0.43 mm. It is evident that the true contact width of the primary seal is less than the average contact width $l$, the primary seal after assembly is warped and deformed, as shown in Fig.6(b).

To verify the accuracy of the finite element analysis of the beam seal, we machined a male connector and a female connector. Taking the true contact width as the contrast index, the experiment was conducted using the test rig, as shown in Fig.7. The preload part was assembled with a female connector to exert the preload on the beam seal, and the value of the preload was measured using a pressure sensor. To accurately measure the true contact width on the sealing surface, a high-precision Fuji pressure-sensitive test film was placed on the mating surfaces of the male and female connectors,
as shown in Fig. 8. Under the condition of 1000N preload, the experimental results of Fuji test film are shown in Fig. 9. The UG software was used to measure the color width of the films.

A comparison between the experimental and finite element results is shown in Fig. 10. It can be observed that the experimental results were slightly larger than the finite element results due to the pigment overflows during the pressure-sensitive test film is squeezed.

B. LEAKAGE MODEL OF BEAM SEAL

A beam seal forms two annular sealing areas. Owing to its geometry, the two seals and groove form an isolated sealing system. The sealing principle is illustrated in Fig. 11.

The process of the fluid flowing to the external environment through the beam seal can be divided into three stages: (1) During assembly of beam seal, the groove is filled with atmospheric pressure; (2) Driven by the fluid pressure in the pipe, the fluid flows into the groove through the primary seal. With the inflow of fluid, the air in the groove is gradually compressed; (3) When the pressure in the groove reaches pressure $P_g$, the fluid leaks to the external environment through the secondary seal, and finally reaches the equilibrium state. It can be seen that the $P_g$ is the pressure driving fluid leakage, which we define as effective pressure.

Under the equilibrium condition, the effective pressure in the groove remains constant. According to the conservation of mass theorem, the inlet flow of the primary seal is equal to the outlet flow of the secondary seal, which is expressed as follows:

$$2\pi \left( h_0 - \omega_1 \right) \frac{K \left( \omega_1 \right)}{\mu} \frac{P_{in} - P_g}{\ln \frac{R_1}{r}} = 2\pi \left( h_0 - \omega_2 \right) \frac{K \left( \omega_2 \right)}{\mu} \frac{P_g - P_o}{\ln \frac{R_2}{r}}$$

where $\omega_1$ denotes the interference of the primary seal, $R_1$ and $r$ are the outer and inner diameter of the primary seal, respectively; $\omega_2$ denotes the interference of the secondary seal, $R_2$ and $r$ are the outer and inner diameter of the secondary seal, respectively; $P_{in}$ denotes the fluid pressure in the pipe. The effective pressure can be derived from Eq. (9), and shown as:

$$P_g = \frac{P_{in} \left( h_0 - \omega_1 \right) K \left( \omega_1 \right) \ln \frac{R_1}{r} + P_o \left( h_0 - \omega_2 \right) K \left( \omega_2 \right) \ln \frac{R_2}{r}}{\left( h_0 - \omega_2 \right) K \left( \omega_2 \right) \ln \frac{R_2}{r} + \left( h_0 - \omega_1 \right) K \left( \omega_1 \right) \ln \frac{R_1}{r}}$$

(10)
Assuming the external environment pressure $P_0 = 0$, the depressurization ratio of the effective pressure to the fluid pressure in the pipe can be derived from Eq. (10), and shown as:

$$\frac{P_g}{P_{in}} = 1 - \frac{(h_0 - \omega_2) K(\omega_2) \ln \frac{R_1}{r_1}}{(h_0 - \omega_1) K(\omega_1) \ln \frac{R_2}{r_2} + (h_0 - \omega_2) K(\omega_2) \ln \frac{R_1}{r_1}}$$

(11)

The expression of leakage for the secondary seal:

$$Q = 2\pi (h_0 - \omega_2) \frac{K(\omega_2)}{\mu} \frac{P_g}{\ln \frac{R_1}{r_1}}$$

(12)

The leakage of the beam seal is finally obtained by substituting the expression of $P_g$ into Eq. (12), and it becomes:

$$Q = 2\pi \frac{P_{in}}{\mu} \frac{1}{\ln \frac{R_1}{r_1}} \left( \frac{1}{K(\omega_1)} \right) + \frac{1}{\frac{R_2}{r_2}} \left( \frac{1}{K(\omega_2)} \right)$$

(13)

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The beam seal suitable for a pipe with diameter of 12 mm was taken as the research object, and its structural parameters are shown in Table 1. Its material was a stainless steel with hardness $H = 850$ MPa. According to the macro finite element analysis of the beam seal, the average contact pressure and true contact width of the two sealing areas under different preload can be obtained. Subsequently, the proposed leakage model can be used to evaluate the effects of the surface, preload and fluid pressure in the pipe on the leakage performance of the beam seal.

A. EFFECTS OF SURFACE ROUGHNESS AND PRELOAD ON LEAKAGE OF BEAM SEAL

The point cloud data of sealing surfaces with $\sigma_s = 0.4$ and $\sigma_s = 0.8$ are obtained by using Eq. (1). The viscosity of the fluid in the pipe is set to 0.02 Pa.s, the fluid pressure in pipe is 50 MPa. We calculated the leakage of beam seal with the two kinds of surface roughness. Fig. 12 shows that with the increase of preload, the leakage of the beam seal decreases rapidly, and the leakage of surface with $\sigma_s = 0.4$ is less than that of surface with $\sigma_s = 0.8$. It is indicated that...
B. EFFECT OF FLUID PRESSURE ON SEALING PERFORMANCE OF BEAM SEAL

Effective pressure is one of the key factors to evaluate the sealing performance of beam seal. From Eq. (11), the depressurization ratio is less than 1, which means that the effective pressure of beam seal is less than the fluid pressure in the pipe. In comparison, for the traditional pipe joints with one sealing area, effective pressure is equal to the fluid pressure in pipe. Obviously, the isolation sealing system can reduce the pressure driving the leak, the sealing performance of beam seal is better than that of traditional pipe joint.

To examine the effect of fluid pressure on the sealing performance, the fluid pressure ranging from 10MPa to 100MPa is applied to the beam seal, the roughness of the sealing surface is 0.4, and the preload is set to 5000N. The contact force and true contact width of primary seal versus fluid pressure are shown in Fig.13. The contact force of the primary seal is proportional to the fluid pressure. This phenomenon indicates that the fluid pressure in the pipe exerts additional pressure on the primary seal, which is beneficial to improve the sealing performance. The trend of true contact width is the same as that of contact force. This is because the primary seal after assembly is warped and deformed, and the uncontacted sealing surface of the primary seal is gradually compressed under the action of fluid pressure, resulting in a gradual increase in true contact band.

Fig.14 shows the contact force and true contact width of the secondary seal versus fluid pressure. The contact force decreases linearly with the increase of fluid pressure, while the contact width remains constant. This makes the average contact pressure of the secondary seal decrease with the increase of fluid pressure, which leads to an increase in permeability of the secondary seal $K_{\omega2}$.

We calculated the change of leakage rate and depressurization ratio with the fluid pressure, as shown in Fig.15. The depressurization ratio is inversely proportional to fluid pressure, and the leakage rate is proportional to fluid pressure. Fig.15 demonstrates that the beam seal did not exhibit a better self-sealing property under a high pressure. This phenomenon can be explained by analyzing Eq. (12). It can be seen that the decrease in leak rate caused by the decrease in $P_g/P_{in}$ is not sufficient to compensate for the increase in leak rate caused by the increase in permeability $K_{\omega2}$, which causes the beam seal does not exhibit its self-sealing capacity under high fluid pressure in the pipe.

C. IMPROVEMENT OF BEAM SEAL

The average contact pressure of the secondary seal decreases with the increase of fluid pressure is an irreversible characteristic of the beam seal. Therefore, in order to reduce leakage, we can only take measures to reduce the depressurization ratio $P_g/P_{in}$ more.

According to Eq. (11), reducing the permeability of the primary seal $K_{\omega1}$ can reduce the depressurization ratio. This means that increasing the average contact pressure of the primary seal without changing other parameters is beneficial for improving the sealing performance of beam seal under higher fluid pressure. Therefore, we can increase the average roughness of the contact surface can improve the sealing performance of beam seal.
VI. CONCLUSION

This paper presents a novel model to evaluate the leakage performance of a beam seal with two annular sealing areas. The model is obtained on the basis of microscopic porous media analysis of sealing interface and macroscopic finite element analysis of beam seals.

A test rig for measuring the true contact widths of the two sealing areas for the beam seal is established, and the finite element simulation are in good agreement with the experimental results. The two sealing areas and groove form an isolated sealing system, which reduces the fluid pressure driving leakage and improves sealing performance of beam seal. According to the leakage model of the beam seal, reducing roughness of the contact surface and increasing the applied preload can improve the sealing performance of beam seal.

Fluid pressure exerts pressing force on the primary seal increasing the contact force of primary seal, which is beneficial to improve the sealing performance of the beam seal. However, fluid pressure can reduce the contact force of the secondary seal and weaken the sealing performance of the secondary seal. Meanwhile, the depressurization ratio of the beam seal is inversely proportional to fluid pressure. By using the leakage model to analyze the sealing mechanism of beam seal, the sealing performance of beam seal can be improved by setting chamfering in the primary seal. The modification scheme of beam seal is worked out. The calculation shows that the modified beam seal has self-sealing ability compared with its prototype.

The model established in this paper provides a set of methods for quantitative evaluation of sealing performance for beam seal. At the same time, this research lays a foundation for further experimental verification and optimization design of beam seal.
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