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Abstract

Background: HIV envelope glycoprotein (Env)-mediated fusion is driven by the concerted coalescence of the HIV gp41 N-helical and C-helical regions, which results in the formation of 6 helix bundles. Kinetics of HIV Env-mediated fusion is an important determinant of sensitivity to entry inhibitors and antibodies. However, the parameters that govern the HIV Env fusion cascade have yet to be fully elucidated. We address this issue by comparing the kinetics HIV-1 Env with those mediated by HIV-2 from two strains with different affinities for CD4 and CXCR4.

Results: HIV-1 and HIV-2 Env-mediated cell fusion occurred with half times of about 60 and 30 min, respectively. Binding experiments of soluble HIV gp120 proteins to CD4 and co-receptor did not correlate with the differences in kinetics of fusion mediated by the three different HIV Envs. However, escape from inhibition by reagents that block gp120-CD4 binding, CD4-induced CXCR4 binding and 6-helix bundle formation, respectively, indicated large difference between HIV-1 and HIV-2 envelope glycoproteins in their CD4-induced rates of engagement with CXCR4.

Conclusion: The HIV-2 Env proteins studied here exhibited a significantly reduced window of time between the engagement of gp120 with CD4 and exposure of the CXCR4 binding site on gp120 as compared with HIV-1 Env. The efficiency with which HIV-2 Env undergoes this CD4-induced conformational change is the major cause of the relatively rapid rate of HIV-2 Env mediated-fusion.

Background

The origins of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) can be traced to zoonotic transmissions of Simian Immunodeficiency Virus (SIV) to humans from at least two different kinds of non-human primates [1]: HIV-1, which came from chimpanzees, and HIV-2, which came from sooty mangabeys. While similar in many ways, there are important differences between HIV-1 and HIV-2 that provide insights into virus evolution, tropism and pathogenesis [2]. Major differences include reduced pathogenicity of HIV-2 relative to HIV-1, enhanced immune control of HIV-2 infection and often some degree of CD4-independence. Despite considerable sequence and phenotypic differences between HIV-1 and 2 envelopes, structurally they
are quite similar. Both membrane-anchored proteins eventually form the 6-helix bundles from the N-terminal and C-terminal regions of the ectodomain [3], which is common to many viral and cellular fusion proteins and which seems to drive fusion [4]. HIV-1<sub>IIIB</sub> gp41 helical regions can form more stable 6-helix bundles than HIV-2<sub>SBL</sub> gp41 helical regions [3,5]; however HIV-2 fusion occurs at a lower threshold temperature (25°C), does not require Ca<sup>2+</sup> in the medium, is insensitive to treatment of target cells with cytochalasin B [6], and is not affected by target membrane glycosphingolipid composition [7].

In order to elucidate mechanisms of HIV envelope glycoprotein-mediated fusion we have kinetically resolved steps in the pathway of HIV-1 membrane fusion [8]. To gain a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying these steps, we compared kinetic parameters of HIV-1<sub>IIIB</sub> with two strains of HIV-2. We found a significant difference in fusion kinetics, which appears to be related to the CD4-induced rate of engagement of HIV gp120 with its coreceptor. Since the CD4-induced binding of gp120 proteins to CXCR4 is not very different between the different strains, we surmise that in the intact Env other regions (e.g. the cytoplasmic tail) may have a profound influence on the conformational changes in the surface-exposed portions of the envelope glycoproteins.

### Results

#### Fusion kinetics

We examined the dye transfer that occurs as result of fusion between HeLa cells infected with recombinant vaccinia viruses expressing Env proteins and labeled with a red tracker dye and target SupT1 cells labeled with calcein at different times of co-culture at 37°C. Figure 1 shows that once cells expressing HIV-1<sub>IIIB</sub> Env were mixed with SupT1 cells, fusion began after a lag phase at 37°C of about 30 min, with 50% of maximum fusion (t<sub>1/2</sub>) occurring at 63 ± 6 min. HIV-2<sub>SBL</sub> and HIV-2<sub>ROD</sub> Env-mediated fusion, on the other hand, showed no appreciable lag time and 50% of maximum fusion was reached in 23 ± 4 and 28 ± 2 minutes, respectively.

#### Binding of HIV-1 and HIV-2 gp120 to CD4 and CXCR4

In previous studies we have found that fusion rates can be dependent on the affinity with which an Env binds to its coreceptor [9,10]. Potentially, differences in CD4 affinity could also impact fusion kinetics. We therefore performed studies to assess the binding of soluble gp120s derived from each of the virus strains to CXCR4 or CD4. Cells expressing no receptor (pcDNA3 transfected), CD4 or CXCR4 were incubated with equivalent amounts of purified HIV-1 or HIV-2 gp120s with or without the presence of soluble CD4 (to allow CXCR4 binding), and were then washed, lysed and assayed for binding through Western blot analysis (Figure 2). HIV-2<sub>ROD</sub> gp120 bound CD4 poorly as compared with HIV-2<sub>SBL</sub> gp120, but HIV-2<sub>ROD</sub> gp120 exhibited stronger binding to CXCR4 as compared to HIV-2<sub>SBL</sub> gp120. HIV-1<sub>IIIB</sub> gp120 was more similar to HIV-2<sub>SBL</sub> gp120 in its CD4 and CXCR4 binding profile than HIV-2<sub>ROD</sub> gp120 (Figure 2). Specificity of binding was demonstrated by sCD4 inhibition of CD4 binding and a lack of CXCR4 binding in the absence of sCD4 (data not shown).

These binding data were further corroborated by inhibition studies of HIV-1 and HIV-2-mediated fusion. Dose-response curves were generated for inhibition of gp120-CD4 binding by Leu3A, and for gp120-CXCR4 binding by AMD3100. Table 1 shows the IC50 values derived from these curves. Higher amounts of inhibitor are required to displace ligands with high affinity for their receptor, provided that the ligands bind in a similar fashion. The high IC50 for inhibition of HIV-2<sub>ROD</sub> by AMD3100 (Table 1) is entirely consistent with its binding potency shown in figure 2. HIV-1<sub>IIIB</sub> and HIV-2<sub>SBL</sub> on the other hand, had low IC50’s for inhibition by AMD3100 (Table 1) consistent with low affinity for CXCR4 as suggested by the data in figure 2. The gp120-CD4 binding data shown in figure 2 are also consistent with inhibition of fusion by Leu3A. HIV-2<sub>ROD</sub> showed little binding to CD4, and its IC50 for inhibition by Leu3A was the lowest compared to HIV-1<sub>IIIB</sub> and
HIV-2 SBL. The latter two showed binding to CD4 in the Western blot assay and had higher IC50 values. The binding of HIV-1 and HIV-2 gp120 to CD4 and CXCR4 per se does therefore not appear to account for the differences in fusion kinetics.

**Escape from inhibition by Leu3A and C34**

In previous studies we had dissected the kinetics of HIV-1 Env-mediated fusion by adding inhibitors that act at the various steps of the fusion reaction [11] at different times following co-culture of Env-expressing cells with target cells. In those studies we observed a large time differential between losses of sensitivity to Leu3A as compared to AMD3100. However, loss of sensitivity to C34 occurred nearly concomitantly with loss of sensitivity to AMD3100 indicating that the HIV-1 gp41 6-helix bundle formation occurs rapidly after the engagement of gp120 by CXCR4 in the HIV-1 env-mediated fusion process. In order to analyze the rate-limiting step in HIV-2 SBL Env-mediated fusion we performed similar loss of sensitivity studies. Previously we had shown that SIV C34 is a good inhibitor of HIV-1 as well as HIV-2 fusion [3]. We used concentrations of C34 at which HIV-2 SBL Env-mediated fusion was completely inhibited. Figure 3 shows that the kinetics of loss of sensitivity to Leu3A, AMD3100 and C34 were indistinguishable with t1/2’s of about 27 min, indicating that the HIV-2 envelope glycoprotein assumes its CXCR4-grabbing conformation very rapidly after engagement with CD4.

**Discussion**

The current model of HIV viral entry involves the binding of the trimeric viral Env glycoprotein gp120/gp41 to cell surface receptor CD4, which triggers conformational changes in the envelope proteins. Gp120 is then re-positioned allowing gp41 to undergo conformational changes that result in the formation of the gp41 "pre-hairpin" [12-14]. Upon engagement with chemokine co-receptors CXCR4 or CCR5 [15,16], the C-terminal heptad repeat region and the leucine/isoleucine zipper region form the thermostable 6-helix bundle, which drives membrane merger and eventual fusion [17]. In the case of HIV-1 IIIb it appears that the pre-hairpin conformation is quite a long lasting state [11,18]. Previously, we had attributed the relatively slow kinetics of HIV-1 Env-mediated fusion to the stochastic nature of HIV Env triggering giving rise to a relatively low probability of 6-helix bundle formation and fusion [8]. We had invoked the "harpoon" model [15] according to which the HIV-1 gp120 is searching to engage its co-receptor following initial conformational changes induced by CD4 binding. We had shown that HIV-1 gp41 6-helix bundle formation occurs rapidly after the engagement of gp120 by CXCR4 in the HIV-1 Env-mediated fusion process [11]. We reasoned that higher gp120-coreceptor affinities may result in more rapid

---

**Table 1: Inhibition of HIV-1 and HIV-2 Env-mediated fusion.**

AMD3100 or Leu3A were added to cocultures of HIV-expressing cells and target cells at the time of co-culture and fusion was measured as described in Materials and Methods. IC50 values were derived from dose-response by fitting the data to a hyperbolic decay function.

|                | AMD3100      | Leu3A       |
|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| HIV-1 IIIb     | 0.53 ± 0.07  | 0.3 ± 0.1   |
| HIV-2 ROD      | >10          | 0.03 ± 0.02 |
| HIV-2 SBL      | 0.14 ± 0.02  | 0.09 ± 0.01 |

---

**Figure 2**

Binding of soluble gp120 to cellular CD4 or CXCR4. Receptor binding efficiencies of gp120s were determined using a cell surface-binding assay in which bound protein was detected by Western blot analysis as described in Materials and Methods. Binding to CXCR4 was performed in the presence of sCD4 to expose the coreceptor binding site. HIV-1 IIIb and HIV-2 SBL gp120 exhibited relatively high CD4 binding efficiencies but weak CXCR4 binding. HIV-2 ROD gp120 exhibited relatively weak binding to CD4 and relatively high binding to CXCR4. Numbers indicate mean band intensity following subtraction of background pcDNA3 lane intensity.
gp120-coreceptor binding leading to enhanced rates of 6-helix formation and fusion. This hypothesis has been born out in studies showing that envelope-coreceptor affinity correlates with fusion kinetics [9,10].

In this study we show that fusion mediated by the HIV-1<sub>IIIB</sub> Env exhibits slower kinetics as compared to that of two different HIV-2 Envs (Figure 1). Since we observed little CD4-induced binding of gp120 from HIV-1<sub>IIIB</sub> and HIV-2<sub>SBL</sub> to CXCR4 under steady-state condition (figure 2), and similar AMD3100 susceptibilities (Table 1) that are in contrast to efficient CXCR4 binding and markedly reduced AMD3100 susceptibility for HIV-2<sub>ROD</sub>, the difference in fusion rates mediated by these HIV-1 and HIV-2 Envs are not likely due to differences in gp120-coreceptor affinity per se. However, the time of addition experiments (figure 3) indicate that the major difference in kinetics between HIV-1<sub>IIIB</sub> and HIV-2<sub>SBL</sub> lays in the efficiency of conformational changes that occur after CD4 is bound and that result in the formation of the coreceptor-binding site. In the case of HIV-1, exposure of the coreceptor binding site has not yet occurred immediately after the stage that Leu3A can block. It has been shown that binding of a single CD4 molecule to an envelope trimer leads to conformational changes in all three gp120 molecules [19]. This process may take a relatively long time in the case of HIV-1 Env. By contrast, the coreceptor binding site is rapidly exposed following CD4 binding in the case of HIV-2 Env consistent with the observation that HIV-2 strains (in contrast to HIV-1) are often able to infect cells CD4-independently. Since CD4-induced binding of soluble gp120 molecules to CXCR4 was not very different between the HIV-1<sub>IIIB</sub> and HIV-2<sub>SBL</sub> strains, we surmise that in the intact Env other regions may have a profound influence on this conformational change.

In order to examine other regions that may affect the rate of HIV Env-mediated fusion we performed a sequence comparison between HIV-1<sub>HXB2</sub> (which is similar to HIV-1<sub>IIIB</sub>) and the two HIV-2 strains studied in this paper (figure 4). The regions considered important for fusion (fusion peptide, N-helical region, membrane proximal region and transmembrane anchor) seem to be well conserved between the strains. The C-helical regions appear to be dissimilar which could account for the differences in 6-helix bundle stabilities between HIV-1<sub>IIIB</sub> and HIV-2 [3,5]. However, the differences in gp41 refolding into 6-helix bundles in the intact envs do not correlate with 6-helix bundle stabilities of the peptides derived from those regions.

Another region of HIV-1 gp41 that has profound effects on fusion rates is the cytoplasmic tail [20]. HIV-1, HIV-2 and SIV C Ts are remarkably long and contain domains that likely interact with host cell components, such as calmodulin [21,22], α-catenin [21], p115-RhoGEF [23], Prenylated Rab acceptor protein [24], or AP-1 clathrin adaptor proteins [25]. Three alpha helical "lentivirus lytic peptide" domains (LLP-1, LLP-2 and LLP-3) highly conserved in HIV-1 have been implicated in interacting with the cytoplasmic leaflet of plasma membrane, decreasing bilayer stability, altering membrane ionic permeability, and mediating cell killing [26-28]. Poorly defined regions of gp41 have also been implicated in interacting with viral matrix proteins during virion assembly [29,30]. This interaction also modulates Env function in that gp41 is more stably associated with immature rather than mature viral particles [30], and cleavage of the p55 Gag precursor protein by the viral protease is required to generate Envs with maximal fusogenicity [31,32].

Truncations of gp41 proximal to the most N-terminal alpha helix, LLP-2, produced a significant increase in the rate of HIV-1 Env-mediated cell fusion [20,33]. These effects were not seen with a truncation distal to this domain and before LLP1 [20]. These results were observed for X4-, R5-, and dual-tropic Envs on CXCR4- and CCR5-expressing target cells. Sequence comparisons of gp41 derived from HIV-1, HIV-2 and SIV indicate high homology between the three viral Envs in the LLP-1 region but not in the LLP-2 region of the cytoplasmic tail (the sequence comparisons can be obtained from Brian Foley.

---

Figure 3
**Time course for escape from HIV-2<sub>SBL</sub> Env-mediated fusion inhibition.** Fusion was measured as described in the legend to figure 1. Leu3A (3 µg/ml, triangles), AMD3100 (40 µM, circles) and SIV C34 (2 µM, squares) were added at different times following co-culture at 37°C and residual fusion was measured following their time of addition. The curves were fitted by the sigmoidal function given in the legend to figure 1; values of time for half maximal fusion (t<sub>1/2</sub>) are 26.9 ± 4.1, 24.8 ± 6.4 and 26.4 ± 2 minutes for Leu3A (green), AMD3100 (red) and C34 (blue), respectively.
upon request). In the case of certain HIV-1 Env strains it has been shown that CT truncations prior to LLP-2 profoundly affect the exposure of CD4-induced epitopes on gp120 [20,34], indicating that "inside-out" signalling changes the conformation in the Env ectodomain. Although we have performed this analysis by comparing only one HIV-1 and two HIV-2 strains we believe that the methodology developed here can be expanded to include comparisons between various strains of HIV-1 and HIV-2. Future studies that involve domain swapping between HIV-1 and HIV-2 gp41 CT's will determine whether our LLP-2 hypothesis turns out to be correct.

**Conclusion**

We find that the differences in fusion mediated by HIV-1 and HIV-2 Env are due to the rates by which the Env's assume a conformation that expose the binding sites on gp120 to the CXCR4 following engagement with CD4. In spite of the fact that CD4-induced binding to CXCR4 of isolated gp120 derived from HIV-1<sub>ⅡⅡB</sub> and HIV-2<sub>SBL</sub> were similar, their rate of binding to the co-receptor was markedly different in the context of the complete Env's. We speculate that sequences in the cytoplasmic tail of the Env may have a profound influence upon the rate by which the extracytoplasmic portion of gp120 assumes its CXCR4-engageable conformation.

**Methods**

**Cells**

QT6, 293T and HeLa cell lines were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 60 mg/ml of penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin. Sup-T1 cells...
(Non-Hodgkin’s T-cell lymphoma cell line) were cultured in RPMI supplemented with 10% serum, 60 mg/ml of penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin.

**Inhibitors**

The fusion inhibitor SIV C34 [3] was dissolved in PBS at a stock concentration of 500 uM. The CXCR4 antagonist AMD3100 [35], a kind gift from Anormed, Inc. (Langley, Canada), was dissolved in PBS at a stock concentration of 1 µg/ul. Leu3A, an antibody against the gp120 binding site of CD4 and a highly effective fusion inhibitor [36] (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA), was dissolved in 0.1% Azide at 25 µg/ml. All inhibitors were stored at 4°C.

**Plasmids**

The 3’ half proviral clone of HIV-2sbl (KF-3; kindly provided by G. Franchini) was used as a template for PCR amplification of the envelope gene using 5’ CACCAT-GAGTTGGTAAATTCGCTGC 3’ and 5’ CTCCITGTGCTGTA-TATCTCTGTCCCTCA 3’ oligonucleotides. The PCR product was cloned into the pcDNA3.1 D/V5-His-TOPO expression vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) to generate an sbl/isy gp160 expression construct. A stop codon was introduced at the gp120/gp41 cleavage junction of the sbl/isy gp160 expression construct using the ‘Quikchange’ site directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) and 5’ GGGAGACATAAGAGATGAAAGCTTGTGCTAGGGTTTC 3’ and 5’ GAAACCCTAGCACAAGCTTTCATCTCT-GGTTC 3’ oligonucleotides to generate a gp120 expression construct. These oligonucleotides also introduce an HindIII restriction enzyme site (for screening purposes) down stream of the stop codon. HIV-2ROD/A and HIV-1mu Bp120 expression vectors have been described previously [37,38].

**Vaccinia recombinants**

HIV-1 and HIV-2 Env proteins were expressed in HeLa cells using the following vaccinia recombinants; vPE16, for the IIIB strain of HIV-1 [39], vvROD, for the ROD strain of HIV-1 [40], and vSC50 for the SBL/ISY strain of HIV-2 [41]. These recombinants were incubated with cells overnight at a ratio of 10:1 infectious virions to cells. HeLa cells were plated on 12 or 24 well plates overnight before infection (Costar, Cambridge, MA). The recombinant vTF1.1 vaccinia virus encoding T7 polymerase was used to drive expression of plasmids with the T7 promoter.

**Cell-Cell fusion assay**

For dye transfer assays [11], HeLa cells infected with recombinant vaccinia viruses expressing Env proteins and labeled with CMTMR (494/517, red) and target SupT1 cells labeled with Calcein (541/565, green) in suspension were co-cultured (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). Kinetic experiments were conducted in which target SupT1 cells in suspension at 37°C media were added to plated effector cells at various times during a two hour period. The cells were then examined by fluorescence microscopy for dye transfer between Env and receptor expressing cells indicating cell-cell fusion. Phase and fluorescent images were collected using an Olympus IX70 coupled to a CCD camera (Princeton Instruments, Trenton, NJ) with a 10× objective lens. An 82000 optical filter cube (Chroma Technology Corp., Brattleboro, VT) was used for the excitation of calcein and CMTMR. Three images per well were collected and then analyzed using Metamorph software (Universal Imaging, West Chester, PA) for dye transfer from the donor to the acceptor cell. The scoring of fusion events was conducted as previously described [18]. The results were normalized by the control fusion and expressed as a percentage. Curves for fusion inhibition assays were fitted, using a hyperbolic decay function, and the IC50 was extracted. Fusion kinetics curves were fit to a sigmoidal function and the half time, at which 50% fusion was achieved, was extracted.

**Env:Receptor binding assay**

gp120s were produced from 293T cells calcium phosphate transfected with gp120 expression constructs and infected with vTF1.1 vaccinia virus. Cell culture supernatants were harvested 24 hours post transfection/infection and gp120 concentrations determined by ELISA as previously described [42] with the exception that different antibodies were utilized. Receptor binding efficiencies of gp120s were determined using a cell surface-binding assay in which bound protein is detected by Western blot analysis [38,43]. Briefly, 2 × 10⁵ QT6 target cells were calcium phosphate transfected with 6 ug pcDNA3.1 (control), CD4 or CXCR4 expression plasmids in 25 cm² culture flasks and infected with vTF1.1 to boost expression. 24 hrs post-transfection/infection, cells were incubated with gp120 for 2 hours at room temperature with and without 5 µg/ml soluble CD4 (sCD4) to trigger coreceptor binding site exposure. Cells were washed 3× with cold PBS to remove unbound gp120 then lysed with NP40 lysis buffer (0.5% NP40, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris pH 8) on ice for 10 minutes. Clarified lysates were assayed for gp120 content by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting and detected with an HIV-1 Env specific rabbit serum and an HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody (Amersham Life Science, Piscataway, NJ) or a HIV-2 Env reactive MAb and an HRP-conjugated anti-mouse antibody (Promega, Madison, WI) followed by Supersignal chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce, Rockford, IL). Band intensity was quantitated using Kodak 1D software.
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