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ABSTRACT

Background: The dynamics of the cellular glycolysis pathway underpin cellular function and dysfunction, and therefore ultimately health, disease, diagnostic and therapeutic strategies. Evolving our understanding of this fundamental process and its dynamics remains critical.

Scope of review: This paper reviews the medical relevance of glycolytic pathway in depth and explores the current state of the art for monitoring and modelling the dynamics of the process. The future perspectives of label free, vibrational microspectroscopic techniques to overcome the limitations of the current approaches are considered.

Major conclusions: Vibrational microspectroscopic techniques can potentially operate in the niche area of limitations of other omics technologies for non-destructive, real-time, in vivo label-free monitoring of glycolysis dynamics at a cellular and subcellular level.

Keywords Glycolysis; Cellular metabolism; Systems biology; Dynamics; Modelling; Vibrational spectroscopy; Chemometrics

1. INTRODUCTION

Most of the metabolic networks for energy production/nutrient utilisation were elucidated during the ‘golden age of biochemistry’ (roughly 1920s—1960s) [1], resulting in around fifteen Noble Prizes related to energy balance or core metabolic pathways [1]. Glycolysis, an anaerobic mechanism, is believed to be the first ATP production pathway to evolve, as it is common to both prokaryotes and eukaryotes [2,3]. It is involved in aerobic and anaerobic energy production, responsible for production of precursors for other metabolic pathways and serves as the primary energy source for cells without mitochondria [4]. The glycolysis process was first explored by Louis Pasteur in the 1800s, and it took almost 100 years to fully elucidate the complete pathway, proposed by Embden, Meyerhof and Parnas in the 1940s [5]. Glycolysis is intricately linked with several human disease mechanisms and drug toxicities [1,6,7]. It is involved in a multitude of epidemic diseases such as cancer [8], neurological disorders [9], diabetes [10], etc., and has attracted substantial attention from scientific community for disease diagnostics [11], therapy [12,13], drug targeting [14], etc. Almost 8 decades after the complete elucidation of the pathway, new insights continue to emerge, and reconsideration of the original dogma of the pathway has even been proposed [15].

Technologies to monitor glucose metabolism with increasing efficiencies continue to emerge, taking more and more holistic approaches to map the inter- and intra-cellular interactions. Omics approaches (metabolomics, proteomics, lipidomics, transcriptomics, genomics, etc.), employing high-throughput technologies, have opened up new opportunities in systems-biology, such that different genotypic/phenotypic levels of intracellular metabolic activities can be captured in a snapshot with high-precision and efficiency [16]. The massive amount of data generated requires intensive statistical analysis to resolve the underlying processes and, additionally, this data can be computationally-modelled and simulated to enrich the experimental observations, guide experimental strategies, and predict pathway kinetics to hypothesise the behaviour of the system and its components [17,18]. Knowledge and understanding of cellular metabolic dynamics are essential for differentiation between healthy and diseased cells [19], identifying target pathways and drug discovery for disease therapy [12,20–22], etc., which opens up scope for development of novel strategies for dynamic, non-destructive, single-cell metabolic analysis. Dynamic analysis of the systemic interactions remain a challenge, given the multi-omics analysis techniques are destructive to cell/tissue samples, and demand multiple experimental setups. Furthermore, omics technologies are restricted by the minimum sample detection limit which makes single-cell analysis complicated, as due to the cellular heterogeneity some features might be missed in analysis of cell populations [23]. Cellomics, also referred to as high-content analysis/screening, involves labelling, imaging, analysis, and visualisation of the biological system to provide a better alternative for decoding single-cell dynamics. However, labelling techniques are, by
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definitio,n limited to visualisation of what has been labelled and assume an a priori knowledge of the process to be monitored. As an alternative, vibrational spectroscopy provides a label-free, holistic representation of the biomolecular content of the sample, in real-time [24]. It is a relatively well established analytical approach, compared to modern omics approaches, but is being increasingly investigated for clinical and pharmaceutical applications, given the development of ever more sophisticated microscopic instrumentation enabling cellular and subcellular analysis, as well as tools to datamine and analyse the complex datasets [25–27]. Although many approaches to date have focussed on analysis of tissues, and biofluids for disease diagnostics [28,29], the potential of vibrational spectroscopy for cellular and subcellular analysis of dynamic processes is increasingly being explored [30–34], including for in vitro drug screening and toxicology analyses of cell lines [27,35–37].

In this paper, we review the medical relevance of the glycolytic pathway in detail and briefly explore the current state of art for its monitoring and modelling. The future perspectives specifically focuses on the potential of vibrational microspectroscopic techniques, which are non-destructive, and can be harnessed to gain insights into the cellular metabolism in a label-free, dynamic manner. Additionally, the high resolution of microspectroscopy can be used for analysis at organelle level in a single-cell.

2. THE GLYCOLYSIS PATHWAY: CURRENT UNDERSTANDING

Glycolysis is a ten-step anaerobic process (Figure 1_A) divided into two phases. The first five steps are collectively referred to as the preparatory/investment phase, in which the six-carbon glucose molecule is broken down into two, three-carbon carbon molecules by investing energy, while the proceeding five steps are termed the payoff phase, in which energy is produced.

The first, irreversible, regulatory investment step in glycolysis is phosphorylation of glucose to glucose-6-phosphate (G6P), catalysed by hexokinase (cofactors Mg++, Mn++). Consuming one adenosine triphosphate (ATP) molecule ensures maximum intake of glucose as the added charge prevents it diffusing out of the cell [38]. Glucokinase is a differentially regulated isozyme of hexokinase, present only in the liver and beta cells along with hexokinase [39]. Glucokinase is stimulated by insulin and suppressed by cyclic adenosine monophosphate in the liver, and activated by increased glucose concentration in the pancreas [40,41]. Glucokinase has significantly lower affinity to glucose, lowering its uptake in liver cells during starvation to spare glucose for more important organs. Glucokinase remains unaffected by the accumulation of G6P and continues to phosphorylate glucose (Figure 1_B.2). Hence, the presence of an extra isozyme helps liver cells regulate the glucose concentration in the body.

The second irreversible, regulatory investment step is the phosphorylation of fructose-6-phosphate (F6P) to fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (F1,6BP), catalysed by phosphofructokinase (PFK, cofactor Mg++), consuming one ATP molecule. It is the commitment step of glycolysis, as, if the reaction proceeds beyond this point, it must proceed through the entire pathway. Deactivation of PFK leads to F6P accumulation which is freely converted back to G6P, as per Le Chatelier’s principle [42], and inhibits hexokinase (Figure 1_B.1). The two types of PFK, PFK 1 and PFK 2 in humans have the same substrate but yield F1,6BP and F2,6BP, respectively. PFK2 has additional phosphatase activity along with the kinase activity. The PFK enzyme is allosterically inhibited by ATP, citrate and upregulated by AMP and F2,6BP (Figure 1_C). The feed forward stimulation in liver promotes the activity of PFK using F2,6 P.

The first energy production step (step 6 in the pathway, payoff phase) is the conversion of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate (G3P) to 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate (1,3BPG), which is catalysed by glyceralddehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), an oxidoreductase enzyme. This reaction converts a Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) molecule to NADH, which can be further utilised in electron transport chain to produce ATP. Further, 1,3BPG is converted to 3-phosphoglycerate (step 7 in pathway) which produces an ATP molecule from adenosine diphosphate (ADP). This reaction is catalysed by phosphoglycerate kinase (cofactors Mg++, Mn++).

The final step of glycolysis, conversion of phosphoenol pyruvate to pyruvate (step 10 in the pathway) is catalysed by the pyruvate kinase (PK) (cofactors Mg++, K+) enzyme. This is an irreversible regulatory reaction. Elevated levels of ATP and alanine create negative feedback to inhibit PK. Fructose 1,6 bisphosphate stimulates this enzyme in the absence of ATP. In liver cells, an additional L isozyme of PK is present along with the M isozyme (L-liver, M-muscle) but the L form is predominant. The L form is controlled by phosphorylation, which deactivates the molecule (Figure 1_D). During starvation, this enzyme isoform phosphorylates to avoid taking in glucose.

The net energy produced by glycolysis is two ATP molecules (four produced, two consumed) and two reduced NAD molecules. In addition to glucose catabolism and energy production, glycolysis produces several relevant molecules essential for cell sustenance. G6P can enter the pentose phosphate pathway, producing several pentose sugars and NADPH for cholesterol and fatty acid synthesis. G6P is a starting point for glycerogen synthesis. G3P produces glycerol, essential for production of triglycerides and phospholipids. Glycolysis is directly and indirectly involved in the biosynthesis of several amino acids, which are the building blocks of proteins.

In the quiescent state, the glycolytic rate is at the basal level (Paster’s effect) [43] and the majority of the ATP produced is by mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation pathway (OxPP). However, during cell proliferation, the OxPP rate drops, and high glycolytic rate is observed. This leads to drop in NAD/NADH ratio which is compensated by converting pyruvate to lactate, oxidising NADH to NAD (Figure 1_A) [43].

3. MEDICAL RELEVANCE AND THERAPIES

Glucose is generally considered the main carbon source, and the glycolytic pathway significantly impacts cellular metabolism and proliferation [44]. Glycolysis dysfunction plays a key role in diabetes and obesity [10], cancer [45], neurodegenerative diseases such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s disease [46,47].

Diabetes is caused by the improper functioning of the glycolysis, due to compromised cellular signalling. Insulin secretion is regulated by glycolysis [10], and hepatic glucose production (HGP) was shown to be an effective way to maintain euglycaemia [48–50]. Type-1 diabetes insulin insufficiency leads to a decrease in glycolysis rate, whereas type-2 diabetes hyperinsulinemia leads to an increased rate of glycolysis in liver, pancreatic beta cells and adipose tissue [10]. Hexokinase-2 (HK2) influenced by insulin [51,52] plays an essential role in diabetes [52,53] and was reduced specifically (28 ± 0.5% and 31 ± 4% diabetic, 40 ± 0.5% and 47 ± 7% control at 0.11 and 11.0 mM/L of glucose, respectively [54]) in the skeletal muscles of type-2 diabetic patients [54,55]. Under hyperinsulimic conditions (450 pmol m–2 min–1 for 3 h [55]; 40 and 240 mU.m–2 min–1 [56]), upregulation of HK2 activity in muscles and adipose tissues was observed in obese and normal patients (93 ± 20 control 1, 194 ± 37 control 2, 127 ± 35 obese [55]) and 5 ± 0.08 control, 4.33 ± 0.66
obese (averages of soluble/particulate and both hyperinsulinemic flow rates) [56], but was reduced in type-2 diabetic patients (9 ± 18 [55]; 3.10 ± 0.10 [56]). Genetic mutation, leading to loss of function of glucokinase [57–59], decreased glucose phosphorylation and decreased insulin secretion [60], was observed in ‘maturity onset diabetes of young’ patients. Glucokinase activity was reduced in obese type-2 patients by about 50% [61] which were later reported to exhibit active glucokinase mutation leading to hypoglycaemia [62,63]. An independent risk factor for the development of type-2 diabetes can be the elevated levels of lactate in plasma (27% aetiologic fraction) [64]. The pyruvate and lactate interconversion rates (diabetic 46 ± 9 to 108 ± 31 and control 21 ± 3 to 50 ± 13 μmol/min/kg) were observed to be significantly elevated, probably due to impaired glucose oxidative pathway in type-2 diabetes [65]. A single gene or protein cannot explain the complete pathophysiology of diabetes, hence insights into the dynamics of glucose catabolism rate could provide an extra layer of detail for categorising the disease features which may aid in its therapy [39].

Glucose is the only source of energy to the brain, which performs differential aerobic respiration to satisfy its rapid energy demands, undertaking intermittent biosynthesis of metabolites and maintain the redox states [66]. Aerobic glycolysis fulfills the energy requirement of the membrane bound ATP dependent processes, such as the pumping action of Na+/K+ ATPase [67,68]. This process is not restricted to brain and was observed in human red blood cell membranes [69], skeletal muscle [70], vascular smooth muscle [71], and neurons [72]. One primary reason for opting such an inefficient process generating only two over thirty ATP molecules is the rate of production. The higher rate of glycolysis over OxPP accommodates the small, rapidly changing energy requirements by the cell/organ [73]. Brain glucose-hypometabolism [74], glucose-accumulation [75] and reduced glycolytic pathway flux [76] was observed in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) patients [47,76] and the well documented link between AD and diabetes can also be plausibly explained by brain hyperglycemia [47], higher levels of the apolipoprotein E gene (ApoE2) making the brain more resistant to AD due to increased glycolytic robustness [47]. Glycolytic dysfunction in peripheral cells was also observed in other neurodegenerative diseases (Huntington’s disease, Parkinson’s disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis) indicating that the pathology of the disease is not limited to nervous cells and strengthening the notion of glycolytic dysfunction as a common pathway leading to neurodegeneration [9,47]. A dynamic sense of glycolytic rate to differentiate the normal from the abnormal could benefit in disease prevention, anti-ageing strategies and to unravel the mystery of the most essential organ, the brain!

Figure 1: Schematic representation of Glycolysis pathway and the regulatory enzymes. (A) 10 steps of glycolytic pathway. Brown and green arrows represent the preparatory phase and payoff phase respectively. Yellow curved arrows represent the energy consumption and production nodes. The enzymes involved in each node are written in red and the regulatory enzymes are highlighted with green background. The energy production during quiescence and proliferation from cytoplasm (glycolysis) and mitochondria (blue ovals with TCA cycle) are represented with yellow stars. Circles emerging from different nodes of the pathway represent the precursor molecules which end up in different pathways. (B.1) The inhibition of PFK leads to accumulation of F6P which goes into homeostasis with G6P. The excessive accumulation of G6P leads to inhibition of hexokinase. (B.2) The isozyme of hexokinase, glucokinase with low affinity to glucose is represented with a thin arrow. Both the enzymes phosphorylate glucose to G6P. The effect of PFK inhibition on respective enzyme is indicated in purple. The regulation of the enzymes in beta cells and liver is represented by the regulatory molecule and its effect is represented by an arrow. (C) Two PFK enzymes in the glycolytic pathway are represented and the effect of the regulatory molecules is indicated in purple. The different rates of activity of both the enzymes is represented by the thicknesses of the arrows. (D) Final regulatory steps of the pathway with two isoforms of the pyruvate kinase are represented. The phosphorylation of L isozyme leads to deactivation of the enzyme and the effect of the regulatory molecules is represented in purple.
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resulting in lack of energy, abnormal membrane function, potassium and water leakage from the cell, increase of calcium concentration and ultimately to cell rigidity, loss of flexibility, early susceptibility to splenic sequestration which collectively leads to haemolysis [78]. Other enzyme abnormalities linked to hexokinase, phosphoglycerate kinase, phosphoglucone isomerase, phosphofructokinase, aldolase, triosephosphate isomerase, etc., have also been identified but are scarce [79].

The role of glycolysis is significant in cancer research, as tumour cells perform glycolysis at a rate which is ~ ten times higher than normal cells. A comprehensive understanding of glycolysis can foster cancer detection, identification, classification, and cure by identifying drug targets. In the 1920s, Warburg observed that tumour cells consume surprisingly large amounts of glucose, compared to normal cells [43].

Multiple mechanisms leading to this phenomenon such as mitochondrial DNA mutation, nuclear DNA mutation, oncogenic transformation and the influence of the microenvironment around a tumour are reported [80–82]. The phenomenon is being exploited using fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) for the detection of tumours [83]. Studies on proliferating lymphocyte cells demonstrated that around 90% of the pyruvate was being converted to lactate, concluding that the Warburg effect is not specific to tumour cells [84–87]. Increased activity of hexokinase (79% breast cancer patients [88]), lactate dehydrogenase A (in 61.8% mixed stage gastric carcinoma patients with 56.3% survival rate compared to 78.4% survival rate in low activity patients [89]) and glycerolaldehyde-3-phosphate (2.5 fold under hypoxia for 24 h in MFC-7 cells [90]) in tumours and cancer cell-lines was reported [88–90]. Inhibiting cancer cell proliferation, apoptosis induction and reversing multidrug resistance can be achieved by silencing the overexpressed enzymes such as lactate dehydrogenase A and PK [91–93]. However, these enzymes are multifunctional. For instance, hexokinase and enolase are critical in transcription regulation [94,95] and glucose-6-phosphate isomerase might affect cell motility [96]. Hence, identification of novel tumour features and drug targets which are distinct from normal cells is crucial for the disease therapy. The glycolysis pathway dynamics can be used for classification and drug discovery for different cancer phenotypes. The Warburg effect and subsequent rewiring of cellular metabolism was also observed during atherosclerosis [97], whereby vulnerable human atherosclerotic lesions exhibit an enhanced expression of glycolytic markers compared to stable plaques [98]. The main risk factors for atherosclerosis include vascular endothelial cell (VEC) injury, lipid deposition, inflammatory and immune dysfunction, the former being considered one of the main triggers driving the occurrence and development of subclinical atherosclerosis [99,100]. Several seminal studies have provided compelling evidence that VECs are highly glycolytic, in both healthy and dysfunctional activated states [101]. VECs in healthy vessels are quiescent and actively maintain blood flow, vascular tone, and transport across the vessel wall. However, in response to extracellular events, VECs can become activated and induce angiogenic or pro-inflammatory signals [102]. Activation is accompanied by changes in cellular metabolism that provide energy and metabolic intermediates that fuel important biological processes including angiogenesis, inflammation and barrier function [102]. VECs can become adhesive and adopt a prothrombotic phenotype that orchestrates a vascular inflammatory response, including leukocyte recruitment and increased vascular permeability [99]. In these pathological conditions, the glycolytic process is compromised, leading to metabolic processes being activated to compensate for ATP shortage and to increased oxidative stress, cell dysfunction, as well as cell death [103,104]. Upon activation, VEC metabolism becomes disordered, represented by increased glycolysis and expression level and activity of fatty acid synthase [103]. These enhance the proliferation, migration, and inflammation of VECs, leading to VEC dysfunction and vascular disease.

Similar studies of the metabolism of vascular smooth muscle cells (vSMCs) have revealed an important role for glycolysis in atherosclerosis [105]. During the development of an atherosclerotic plaque, the accumulation of SMC-like cells following de-differentiation of medial vSMCs and/or partial myogenic differentiation of resident vascular stem cell progenitors is accompanied by enhanced aerobic glycolysis [106]. Thus, modulating VEC and vSMC metabolism via anti-glycolytic therapies may be a potential therapeutic target for atherosclerosis.

4. GLYCOLYSIS MONITORING TECHNIQUES

For an excellent general review of methodologies employed in monitoring metabolic processes, in vitro and in vivo, the reader is referred to the work of Duraj et al. [107]. Techniques to monitor the glycolysis process either as a static snapshot or dynamic process, can be categorised as either top-down or bottom-up approaches [108]. The bottom-up approach tries to understand individual components or a smaller network of the system, whereas the top-down approach logically targets the entire system using high-throughput techniques to obtain a large dataset [108]. Both approaches rely on advanced computational methods to model the behaviour of the system, which will be discussed in section 5. For a more extensive and detailed discussion of the techniques, the reader is directed to excellent reviews of statistical/physiochemical modelling [109] and modelling from top-down and bottom-up approaches [108].

In what is considered a bottom-up approach, monitoring the extra-cellular acidification rate (ECAR) in live cells using simple biochemical assays, in a kinetic spectrophotometric mode can be used to decode the dynamics of glycolysis pathway [110]. The ECAR assay can be coupled to the O2 consumption assay in the same experiment to quantitatively derive and subtract the CO2 acidification as the detection elements in both assays fluoresce at different wavelengths [111]. This assay is non-destructive and provides a dynamic profile of glucose metabolism which can be used for statistical and physiochemical modelling [112,113]. (Figure 2) represents the data obtained from one of such dynamic experiments obtained from Agilent Seahorse instrument [114]. The glycolysis rate is at basal level when no glucose is added, while the cell relies on oxidative phosphorylation for ATP demand [114]. Upon addition of glucose, the cell reduces its oxygen consumption and the glycolytic rate increases. If the mitochondrial ATP production is blocked (oligomycin) the cell relies solely on glycolysis for ATP demand and the glycolysis rate increases to its full capacity [114]. The glycolytic rate drops to basal level if a competitive inhibitor, 2-deoxyglucose is added. Mitochondrial respiration also contributes to the extracellular acidification to some extent, which is represented in (Figure 2) [114].

Alternatively, targeting specific enzymes/metabolites in the pathway provide more specific details of the pathway intermediates [115]. Radioactive (2-deoxy-d-[1,2-3H]-glucose); (2-deoxy-d-[1,2-14C]-glucose); (2-deoxy-2-(Fluoro-D-glucose) or fluorescent (2-[N-(7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-yl)amino]-2-deoxyglucose); glucose analogues can provide a reliable quantitative estimate of glucose entering the glycolytic pathway in a cell [115]. Alternately, the activity of rate limiting glycolytic enzymes can be determined by quantifying their catalytic products in vivo [115]. The simplicity and the fact that these assays can be coupled with several activators/inhibitors make them
extremely useful in pharmaceutical research and development [111]. The data from these assays is suitable for physiochemical and to some extent statistical modelling [116]. Unfortunately, the cell must be lysed to quantify/harvest the metabolites/enzymes at fixed time-points to obtain in situ pathway dynamics. Furthermore, the biochemical assays are not sensitive enough for single-cell analysis.

Positron emission tomography (PET) uses radiolabelled tracers for static and dynamic imaging but its use has been largely limited to drug development and clinical research [117]. Sequential and simultaneous PET and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have emerged in recent years as kinetic imaging strategies with high clinical importance [117]. In this technique, a positron-emitting isotopic compound is non-invasively injected intravenously in trace quantities and its biodistribution is used to infer the physiological and biochemical processes such as glycolysis [117]. The potential benefit of kinetic analysis has been demonstrated for a number of tracers such as 18-F-labelled 2-deoxy-2-α-glucose (18F-FDG; tumour detection, response monitoring, tumour detection estimates) [118–120], 18F-DOPA (tumour-grade differentiation) [121], 18F-FMISO (tumour hypoxia response to therapy) [122], 18F-FLT (brain tumour progression, glioma therapy response modelling) [123,124]. This technique can potentially be used for in situ kinetic analysis in humans for diagnostics using statistical and physiochemical modelling with a downside of requiring radiolabels.

Green fluorescent proteins (GFP) are often used to study protein localisation, dynamics and interactions in single living cells [125,126]. They can be tagged to virtually any protein to form a fluorophore [125,127], and advancement in GFP biology has aided in optimised expression for a wide range of cell types [128], several variants of which have already been developed to tag and monitor different proteins simultaneously [129,130]. Microscopic techniques such as fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP), fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) and fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) have also evolved in laser scanning microscopy [128] and dynamic imaging can be performed to obtain large kinetic data sets for statistical as well as physiochemical modelling. A representation of confocal microscopy and FRAP dynamic imaging with pon and histone2B proteins, tagged with GFP and RFP, respectively, in mitotically dividing drosophila sensory organ precursor cell is shown in (Figure 3) [131]. Although it can be monitored dynamically, the technique is limited by the fact that it only visualises what has been labelled, which assumes an a priori knowledge of the process to be monitored, and/or multiple probes for a more systemic understanding. More systemic approaches, which can be considered top-down, employ different omics techniques, including genomics [132,133], transcriptomics [134–136], proteomics [137,138] and metabolomics [139], by which the entire genome, transcriptome, proteome, and metabolome of the system can be analysed as a snapshot of the
quenched system using high-throughput techniques [140–142]. These techniques are usually used in a targeted manner, focusing on specific aspects of a systemic response, such that they can also be considered bottom-up approaches [143–145]. Next generation sequencing has emerged as a powerful tool in scientific research and diagnostics, making use of fragmented nucleotide sequences to cost-effectively construct a sequencing library of millions of nucleotide strands simultaneously in a short span of time with high accuracy [146,147]. The small sequenced fragments are then aligned using complex computer simulations to obtain complete or targeted genome/transcriptome sequences [146,147]. Genomic data can be used to accurately draw the pathway map (physiocochemical modelling) for the whole cell while the quantitative transcriptomic data can be used for statistical modelling [148]. Predicting the phenotype from genotypic is complex and the correlation is not yet clear. Schwannhäsuer et al. established that the mRNA expression level was the best predictor of protein levels and could explain 40% of protein level variability in mouse fibroblast cells, while protein stability had a minor role [149]. However, this may not be universally the case, as another study in embryonic stem cells reported that changes in protein levels are not accompanied by changes in corresponding mRNA expression level [150]. Schwannhäsuer et al. further indicated that, under different conditions, rate constants may vary and the protein stability might have a bigger role at single cell level or as a result of any perturbation [149] as protein degradation is involved in regulation of many cellular processes [151]. Although, the cellular genome does not change much during the lifetime of the organism, the cellular transcriptome is highly dynamic and depends on the state of the system. Several approaches for a wider range of systems at single and multicellular levels are already developed for dynamic transcriptome analysis [152–155], but by the nature of the analytical technology, these approaches are destructive and rely on quenching the systemic information at pre-fixed states. Single-cell genomics rely on the numerous approaches [156–158] for genome amplification so there is enough for sequencing, to get insights into the genome evolution, e.g., in cases such as cancer, and to understand the genomic basis of a specific phenotype, which is missed in bulk sequencing of a population [159,160]. Similarly, single-cell transcriptomics requires addition of an extra step of converting the transcripts into cDNA using reverse transcriptase which can be amplified and sequenced [160]. Alternatively, RNA hybridisation probes attached with fluorescent compounds can be used for specific sequence identification and use of different RNA probes can aid in building comprehensive transcriptome [161,162]. Single-cell transcriptomics is preferred over single-cell proteomics due to the ease of amplification over the difficulties associated with protein amplification and finding applications in studying gene dynamics, RNA splicing and cell typing [160].

The cellular proteome/metabolome defines the cellular phenotype. The proteomics and metabolomics approaches employ high-throughput analytical tools such as mass spectrometry (MS) or nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) [163]. Quantitative detection of molecules/metabolites in statistical/physicochemical modelling and to determine the relative activity of the pathway. The proteomic data can be complicated for pathway flux analysis, due to the different layers of enzymatic regulation (post-translational modifications, allosteric control, etc.) and the lack of in vivo kinetic parameters for many enzymes [164]. Modern metabolomics approaches utilise stable isotope tracers of hydrogen, carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, and sulphur incorporated in the primary carbon source. As pathways have unique carbon transitions, analysing the location of the stable isotope in the amino acids produced can reveal the chosen pathway. Despite these developments, there remain physical limitations, as only a small subset of metabolites can be quantified [165,166]. These limitations can be metabolite related (low abundance, low stability), instrumental (identical retention in chromatography and MS) [166] and experimental metabolism quenching, as high metabolome turnover rates are on the sub-second timescales [167] and the quenching methods induce leakage in cells [168–170]. Metabolic flux analysis describes the true flux through the pathway at a given state, but to get a dynamic view of the system which would describe the systems transition through different states at a molecular level would require a wide array of experimentation [171] which would again encounter the above mentioned challenges. Visualising the dynamics of the single-cell metabolome and proteome is possible using time-lapse microscopy or using time-resolved omics experiments [172–174].

Figure 3: Fluorescence microscopy of GFP-Pon protein (green) and Histone2B-RFP protein (red) in live drosophila sensory organ precursor cell to monitor the localisation of pon protein during mitotic cell division. Yellow arrowheads indicate cortical blebbing, blue arrowheads indicate random accumulations of GFP-Pon, and the white arrowhead indicates early GFP-Pon crescent; NEBD stands for nuclear-envelope breakdown. Reproduced with permission from [131].
For single-cell proteomics, several strategies are applied which entail either tagging the proteins of interest with a specific antibody attached to a distinctive fluorophore [175, 176], quantum dot [177], etc., or tagging the genome with a fluorescent protein, to be analysed using fluorescence microscopy [178, 179]. Alternatively, the proteins can be tagged with rare metal isotopes for mass cytometry [180, 181]. Major steps for single cell proteomics by MS (SCoPE-MS) based analysis are sample preparation, separation and detection. To deal with the protein amplification issue, several groups focus on oocytes or very early cleavage cell stage, due to their large size and abundance of proteins [182–184] while some working on mammalian cells (10–15 μm diameter) combine carrier-cells and single-cell barcoding [185, 186]. The second generation of SCoPE-MS [187], SCoPE2 [188, 189] further increase throughput of sample preparation over 100 fold by miniaturising sample preparation step such as MAMS (Micro-Arrays for MS) which achieves high speed aliquoting by exploiting differences in wettability among recipient sites and surrounding areas [190]. Sample preparation can involve several steps to purify the proteins to some extent and then further separate them via different chromatographic techniques, before detection by MS/MS—MS. Although transcriptomics and proteomics have the same purpose, transcriptomics does not consider post-translational regulation.

Several fluorescence microscopy-based strategies can be used for single-cell metabolomics studies, such as usage of fluorescent proteins which illuminate when bound to the metabolite [191]. Not requiring fluorescent proteins for metabolites of interest and the detection sensitivity in the femtomole range, MS has been most frequently used for single-cell metabolomics [192]. Single-cell metabolomics using mass spectroscopy is progressing rapidly as the challenges are accepted and creatively explored by an increasing community and the continuous effort are moving the field towards more sensitive techniques with higher throughput, quantitative abilities and decreased technical variability [193, 194]. The future development is directed towards techniques with high-throughput, high-sensitivity for molecules with low abundance/low ionisation efficiency, good reproducibility for single-cell metabolite detection [193]. The metabolomics profile illustrates how the cell adapts to environmental stresses at a molecular level, providing a dynamic understanding of the cell [191].

Given the complex nature of cells and the interactions within the different levels of central dogma (geno-pheno-envirotype interactions), combinatorial/multi-omics/pan-omics have emerged which can extend the scope of harvested information to elucidate the interactions between biological entities for precision medicine and biomarker discovery [195, 196]. Proteogenomics harness the advantages of both genotype and phenotype by identifying/quantifying translated nucleotide sequences to generate candidate proteins to discover single amino acid variants (SAAV), splice proteoforms and additionally genome re-annotation to discover novel coding sequences which have found significant applications in precision medicine [197, 198]. Epigenetics, on the other hand, deals with changes in the biochemistry, not by modifications in the genomic sequence, but by methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitylation, sumoylation, chromatin modifications, etc., which are responsible for several illnesses, behaviours, and other health indicators [199]. A wide array of multi-omics platforms are now available as databases and data processing toolboxes [200]. Although these sophisticated systemic strategies have come a long way, further development is required to establish in situ, non-destructive, label free approaches which can aid in dynamic sub-cellular analysis.

5. IN SILICO MODELLING

In silico modelling approaches describe a system in terms of simple mathematical equations to mimic the behaviour of the system [201] as described by the top down and bottom up approaches described in section 4 [106].

Modelling from the bottom up requires detailed datasets covering the full dynamic range of each reaction to define the behaviour of the system [106]. Homeostatic control in vivo does not allow the gathering of such detailed datasets, and hence the bottom-up approach relies on in vitro with cell and cell-free experiments to fit the parameters [108]. Often, models based on in vitro cell-free enzyme kinetics analysis draw from repositories of kinetic data to fulfil the data requirement [108], but trying to understand the in vivo metabolism dynamics in terms of in vitro cell-free kinetics of the constituent enzymes can generate erroneous results due to the discrepancy between the in vitro cell free rates and in vivo fluxes [202].

The semi-quantitative indices analysis using dynamic PET and MRI imaging can be used for kinetic modelling [117]. Dynamic imaging of GFP generates large, kinetically complex data sets which can be analysed with computational models to quantify biophysical properties of molecules and even processes [203]. This approach of computational cell biology can be used to kinetically monitor the essential pathways, mechanisms and controls of biological processes in real-time [203].

The systems biology approach of ‘metabolic flux analysis’ (MFA), also known as ‘fluxomics’, is a physiological counterpart of different omics techniques. MFA is an end result of the multomics interplay which holistically describes the operation of the entire system by inferring the complex model from the available in vivo data [108]. Largely based on 13C tracers [164], MFA has developed over the last three decades from a black box balance approach of a few reactions [204] to simultaneous measurement of hundreds of metabolic fluxes [205], including reversible and parallel reactions [206]. Flux balance analysis (FBA), 13C-fluxomics and 13C constraint based FBA are different approaches of MFA and can be used in measuring dynamic, stationary and semi-stationary metabolite fluxes [164]. In principle, given the mathematical complexity of kinetic models, these are more challenging to construct and rely on approximate kinetic formalisms for parameter fitting [207–209]. This approach uses simplified equations which often result in loss of kinetic details, thermodynamic consistency and prevent identification of novel regulatory mechanisms [108].

The emergence of open source resources such as Cell Designer [210], which enable biochemical pathway models to be simply drawn, but also kinetically modelled by the user, have made the approaches much more accessible. Model/Graphical representation by Systems Biology Markup Language (SBML) [211] and Systems Biology Graphical Notation (SBGN) [212] means that the user defined models can be interfaced with many other databases, for pathway enrichment [213], a technique becoming increasingly popular in predictive toxicology [214]. Once validated with experimental data under different conditions, the models can accurately predict cellular metabolism at different steady states [215, 216]. Numerous tools for steady state kinetic modelling are freely available [217–222].

Modelling approaches can be differentiated as statistical and physicochemical modelling. Statistical modelling is employed when difficult to manage, abundant data is available, but the insight into the structure of system is sparse i.e., components of the system, their interaction and regulation. As no assumption can be made about the underlying mechanism of the system due to the lack of structure, the objective of modelling is to identify relevant variables or dimensions in the system.
This type of modelling employs statistical tools to connect different layer of data and gap filling. These models, however, rely on the predictability of the dimension considered to be significant e.g. a principal component analysis. Genome scale modelling falls under this method. Several statistical tools such as KEGG mapper, Metaboanalyst, etc. Are freely available for statistical modelling [217,222,223]. Berndt et al. modelled murine liver cancer cells using shotgun proteomics approach by LC-MS/MS [224]. They found significant upregulation of PFK (L-isomorph) and GAPDH enzymes. A twofold increase of the isozymes A and C of fructose-bisphosphate aldolase which preferentially contribute to glycolytic rate unlike the isozyme B which contributes to gluconeogenic rate were observed [224]. Kelly et al. developed a kinetic model of hepatic glycolysis to observe the effect of the extracellular environment on the cytosolic free NAD/NADH in a cell culture [225]. From the data obtained from the model, they concluded that cytosolic the NAD/NADH ratio is maintained by two key enzymes GAPDH and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) [225]. Physicochemical models can be viewed as the translation of a pathway map into a mathematical form. These models predict specific biomolecular transformations such as covalent modifications, intermolecular associations and localisation as mathematical equations based on a prior knowledge of pathways for which components and connectivity are well established [226–230]. The equations describe the identifiable processes such as catalysis and assembly with physical interpretations of parameters such as concentration, binding affinity and reaction rate [231]. Modelling begins with identifying the elements in the model and generation of a schematic describing the interactions between them. These interactions are further translated into equations which require certain assumptions to be made for visualising the dynamics of the equations. Although the models assume how the interactions take place, they also aid in rapid hypothesis testing and model validation [109]. Ordinary and partial differential equation can be used for both deterministic and stochastic modelling. ODEs are most common for deriving simple mechanistic or empirical equations. Mass action kinetics, rate law (Michaelis Menten models, Hill kinetics), power law, lin-log approximations and thermokinetic considerations can be used to represent the effect of involved variables [109]. Maier et al. described a dynamic model for HepG2 cells by quantifying 25 extra and intracellular metabolic by 13C labelling and intermittent metabolite quantification from parallel cultures using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), liquid chromatography–mass spec-troscopy–mass spectrometry (LC-MS-MS) and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) [232]. The negative control of GAPDH and control of OxPP over glycolytic flux [232] supported the hypothesis of GAPDH and Warburg effect as potential targets for tumour treatment [232]. Yarmush et al. modelled static HepG2 cells during defatting for applications in fatty liver transplant [233] by measuring 28 extracellular metabolites and intracellular triglycerides using HPLC and commercially available assay kits [233]. They observed that the glycolytic flux was high while the extracellular glucose consumption was very small compared to glycolgenolyis, indicating that glycolysis and fatty acid co-oxidation might co-exist in steatotic HepG2 cells [233].

6. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES: LABEL FREE MICROSCOPIC SPECTROSCOPIC ANALYSIS

Vibrational spectroscopic microscopy has emerged as a label-free alternative to currently employed techniques, which can provide molecular specific signatures of biological processes and function at a cellular and subcellular level. The spectrum comprises contributions from each molecular bond and is a “signature” or “fingerprint” which is characteristic of a material, or changes associated with a physical or chemical process. In complex samples, notably biological cells or tissue, the spectroscopic signature incorporates characteristics of all constituent functional groups of lipids, proteins, nucleic acids and other specific biomolecules and metabolites [24,234] (Figure 4). Infrared (IR) absorption and Raman scattering are the most common forms of vibrational spectroscopy, and both provide a molecule fingerprint, although through different fundamental physical principles. IR absorption is the result of electric dipole transition between vibrational states, whereas Raman spectroscopy is based on an inelastic scattering process caused by the polarisability change of a molecule [24]. The OH group has a particular strong IR absorption, whereas it is a relatively weak Raman scatterer, and therefore the latter is often promoted for in vivo applications, or live cell imaging [28]. Raman is often conducted at visible or near IR wavelengths, and therefore, in microscopic mode, can have spatial resolution of <1 μm, whereas the longer wavelengths of the IR (5–20 μm) limit its spatial resolution. Additionally, certain molecules such as amino acid residues, S–S disulphide bridges, C–S linkages from proteins and nucleic acids are better highlighted in Raman spectroscopy compared to IR spectroscopy [24]. Using Raman and/or IR microspectroscopy, quantitative spectroscopic changes attributable to the biochemical processes occurring during cell culture and mitosis [236,237], proliferation [238], differentiation and activation [239–241], adhesion [242], death [243] and invasion [244] have previously been documented. Confocal Raman microspectroscopy has been demonstrated to provide detail at a subcellular level, of fixed or live cells, in 2D and/or 3D culture environments [245–247], as shown for the example of a Raman microspectroscopic map of an A549 human lung cancer cell, analysed by K-means clustering (Figure 4), which differentiates the nucleolar (yellow) regions of the nucleus (purple), which is in turn differentiated from regions of the cytoplasm (blue), according to their characteristic mean spectra (Figure 4). The analysis also unambiguously identified the presence of polystyrene nanoparticles (green) in the cytoplasm of the cell, identified by its characteristic spectrum (Figure 4). Similar studies have demonstrated the detection of cellular mitochondrial distribution [249] and phagosomes [250]. Label free analysis can identify signatures of subcellular phenomena not evident in a labelled approach, as for example the mechanistic role of accumulation of the chemotherapeutic agent doxorubicin in the nucleolus of cells [251], and potentially guide the identification of new biomarkers of cellular events. Theophillipou et al. have reviewed the applications of different modes of vibrational spectroscopy for cellular analysis [252], and the reader is also referred to the graphical summary of the different methodologies in the work of Paraskevaidi et al. [253].

Critically, however, the analysis and interpretation of the spectral responses remains a challenge, even in the hands of “specialists”. By their very nature, label free techniques register all species within the sampling area, and identification of specific responses requires multivariate analytical techniques to data-mine the differential signatures, which are characteristic of cell injury events or response pathways. The characteristic spectral response can have (additive) contributions of increased or decreased concentrations of any or all constituent biomolecules, but also more complex contributions due to conformational, environmental (pH etc.) changes, and it is apparent that a novel combinatorial approach based on identification of the (differential) spectroscopic signatures rather than specific bands associated with specific biomolecules, may be more appropriate. The
spectroscopic signature is, in itself, characteristic of the key cellular event, and can be used to identify and quantify it, in a “spectralomics” approach [38]. The evolution of the characteristic signatures may therefore be used to characterise the cellular pathway. The reported reproducibility of subcellular signatures of drug uptake, mechanisms of action and cellular response [36,251,254–257], as well as nanoparticle trafficking and toxicity [57,258–261], in multiple cell lines suggests that such an approach to label free characterisation of cellular processes according to characteristic spectroscopic signatures is feasible. Munck introduced similar terminology of the “spectral phenome”, in the discussion of the near IR spectroscopic analysis of a barley endosperm mutant model, evaluated by chemometrics [204], although metabolic pathways were not explicitly explored. Although it cannot be considered truly label free, several studies have demonstrated that stable isotope labelling can be utilised in Raman spectroscopy for in situ monitoring of a kinetic process. Xu et al. used deuterated glucose and deuterated naphthalene as sole carbon sources for Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas sp. Cultures, respectively, and demonstrated that the Carbon-Deuterium vibration at ~2700 cm\(^{-1}\) could be used to semi quantitatively and sensitively monitor the metabolism of the carbon sources, although the kinetics of the process were not explicitly monitored spectroscopically [262]. Zhang et al. used a similar technique based on simulated Raman scattering (SRS), which images the metabolic dynamics of newly synthesised macromolecules in a cell according to the signatures of C-D bonds derived from a deuterated glucose precursor [263]. They described the utility of this technique to visualise temporally separated glucose populations by using spectral differences of glucose isotopologues [263]. With a detection limit of 10 mM of C-D bonds, this technique is effective for tracing functional utilisation of glucose derived anabolic products over the conventional glucose imaging techniques which are limited to monitoring glucose uptake or catabolism activity [263]. Noothalpati and Shigeto explored ergosterol biosynthesis as a model system in single living fission yeast cells using stable isotope labelled Raman microspectroscopy to establish the technique for in vivo metabolic analysis [264]. Their study revealed the intrinsic spectra and relative abundances of all isotopomers of ergosterol, partially or fully substituted with 13C, when the spatially resolved Raman spectra was analysed by multivariate spectral data analysis [264]. Li et al. showed the application of stable isotope labelling and single cell Raman spectroscopy to link the food chain from 13C-glucose carbon substrate to E. coli microbe up to Caenorhabditis elegans predator in a quantitative and non-destructive manner [265]. Similarly, spatiotemporal and functional relationships between proteins and lipid droplets was dynamically visualised by 13C incorporation and in vivo time-lapse Raman imaging to illustrate the potential in proteome visualisation at intervals of 1 h over time periods of up to 40 h, without fluorophore tagging in single fission yeast cells [266]. Weber et al. explored the utility of Raman microspectroscopy coupled with stable isotope probing for monitoring the growth rates of heterologous bacteria at single-cell resolution, based on the 13C- and 12C-isotopologues of the amino acid phenylalanine [267], identifiable by the strong phenylalanine ring breathing modes 966 and 1,002 cm\(^{-1}\). Using a robust curve fitting process for precise deconvolution of partially overlapping peaks, the identified peaks provided an accurate reporter probe for quantification of cellular isotope fractional abundances \(f_{\text{cell}}\) for complex 13C-labelled carbon source assimilation in E. coli in time-course experiments. The \(f_{\text{cell}}\) and bacterial growth

Figure 4: I) (A) Microscopic image of an A549 lung cancer cell, showing the reduced area identified for spectral mapping. (B) K-Means cluster map of the Raman profile of the same reduced area. II) K-means spectra of clusters 3 (A—representing nucleoli), 6 (B—representing nucleus), 1 and 4 (C and D, both from the cytoplasm). Spectra are offset for clarity. III) K-means spectrum of Cluster 5 (A), compared to the Raman spectrum polystyrene nanoparticles (B). Spectra are offset for clarity. (Reproduced with permission from [248].)
rates were validated using isotope ratio mass-spectroscopy and optical density measurements respectively. Analysis of the evolution of spectral profiles in cells has not been common, although kinetic, mechanistic approaches to analysis of organisms and organs have become well accepted in pharmaco kinetics/dynamics [268] and systems biology [269,270]. Mukherjee et al. used Raman microspectroscopy to monitor effects of culture conditions in bacterial growth, although the spectral analysis was large based on peak ratios [271]. Mair et al. demonstrated that oscillatory kinetics of many glycolytic intermediates of yeast can be analysed by time resolved FT-IR spectroscopy [272]. Consecutive FT-IR-spectra of Saccharomyces carlsbergensis yeast extract were taken over a period of 1—2 h. The yeast spectra at all time points were least squares fitted with linear combinations of spectra of standard solutions of glycolytic intermediates (G6P, F6P, F1, 6P2, PEP, Pyr) and related compounds (ATP, ADP, AMP, NADH, NAD, trehalose, glycogen, ethanol, glycerol, phosphate), such that the kinetics of glycolytic intermediates could be extracted from the reconstructed spectra (Figure 5).

Poonprasartporn and Chan conducted an FTIR spectroscopic study of live HEP2G cells, grown in either normal glucose (3.8 mM) or high glucose (25 mM) medium and compared their spectroscopic profiles after incubation times of 24, 48 and 72 h [273]. In the normal glucose treated cells, increases in the absorbance of bands associated with nucleic acid PO2/C0 phosphate stretching and symmetric stretching of COO−, from fatty acids, amino acids and carboxylate metabolites. In contrast, the high glucose treated cells exhibited a clear reduction of the PO2/C0 stretching mode band after 24 h, and further spectral changes in the region ~1000—1200 cm−1 after 48 and 72 h, linked to the glycojen and ATP:ADP ratio. The study supports the use of the technique for monitoring metabolic processes in cells, and a more recent study from the same authors compared the effect of two anti-diabetic drugs on insulin resistant HEP2G cells [274]. The analysis mainly focused on the glycogen peaks at 1150, 1080 and 1020 cm−1, which served as spectroscopic markers of cellular response to insulin. They discovered that the spectral changes were highly specific to the drug applied and were able to differentiate between the spectral profiles of normal and insulin resistant cells, the lack of response to insulin and the restoration of insulin resistance upon drug treatment in the resistant cells.

Pleitez et al. demonstrated the potential of mid-infrared optoacoustic microscopy (MiROM) for label-free, bond-selective, live-cell metabolic imaging for spatiotemporal dynamic monitoring of lipids, proteins and carbohydrates [275]. MiROM, based on mid-IR vibrational excitation and positive-contrast detection, offers greater sensitivity in the fingerprint spectral regions as compared to modern vibrational spectroscopic approaches which measure a reduction of transmission or reflected light intensity [276—279], as evident from the lower limit of detection (LOD) (2.5 mM for DMSO and 1.5 μM for albumin) using laser powers in hundreds of microwatts (330 μW for DMSO and 500 μW for albumin), thereby significantly lowering the risk of phototoxicity to the cells [280]. They demonstrated MiROM could efficiently differentiate lipids (2.853 cm−1), proteins (sum of amide I (1,700 and 1,600 cm−1) and II (1,550 cm−1)), and carbohydrates (sum of 1,081 and 1,084 cm−1) to generate MiROM micrographs [275]. They further explored its potential for dynamic cellular analysis and used the optoacoustic contrasts to generate box plots to quantify the phenotype at fixed time points to observe its dynamics [275]. Further, lipid and protein dynamics during isoproterenol (ISO) induced lipolysis in white and brown adipocytes was also showcased [275]. Lipid (2,857 cm−1) and protein (1,550 cm−1) were recorded every 5 min before and after addition of isoproterenol [275]. The lipid content slowly increased indicating lipogenesis until the lipogenesis was induced which induced nearly linear decrease in lipid content [275]. The study is significant for single-cell analysis, as differential lipolysis rates were observed in both white and brown adipocytes while some cells even remained unaffected [275]. Accurately data mining the characteristic signatures of the subcellular interactions and processes represents a challenge. Multivariate curve resolution-alternating least squares (MCR-ALS) has been proposed as a more flexible approach to study the evolution of cellular processes [281]. MCR-ALS models the temporal evolution of the spectral dataset by considering a set of components whose concentrations evolve at

Figure 5: Extraction of the kinetics of glycolytic intermediates with low concentration from the reconstructed spectra (Reproduced with permission from [272]).
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different rates and have characteristic spectral features. MCR-ALS iteratively fits the target spectrum by least squares fitting multiple components, either provided a priori, based on previous or external information of the system, or estimated using techniques such as Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) [282]. Then, an initial estimation of concentration matrix or spectra is made by using information about the chemical components involved or using other methods such as Evolving Factor Analysis (EFA) [283]. Perez-Guaita et al. have previously demonstrated the application of MCR-ALS to gain insight into the pharmacodynamics and biochemical changes associated with drug exposure in an in vitro cellular model, as measured using Raman microspectroscopy [284], and a multimodal combination of Raman and Infrared microspectroscopies [285]. MCR-ALS uses external information and constraints to guide the iterative process, which can include initial estimates of the spectra of the starting point and intermediate constituents of the pathway, which can each be constrained to ensure that they contribute non negatively, and collectively constrained to ensure “closure”, or mass balance, in the hope of reducing the ambiguity of the result and leading to chemically interpretable solutions. One such constraint involves the introduction of kinetic equations to fit the evolution of the components to known chemical relations within the system, in a so-called hard-soft modelling approach. The approach has previously been used to model the evolution of spectra of very simple reactions (e.g., \( A \rightarrow B \)) [286], but more recently Perez-Guaita et al. have demonstrated that it is possible to constrain the least squares fitting procedure by a set of ordinary differential equations [30]. The approach was validated using the example of cellular uptake and binding of the chemotherapeutic drug doxorubicin, in vitro cell, accurately reproducing the spectroscopic profiles and uptake rates in simulated models (Figure 6).

The hard-soft MCR-ALS approach opens up the possibility of data mining the label free Raman microspectroscopic responses of a cellular metabolic process described by a phenomenological rate equation, or systems biology approach. Such a framework of label free, subcellular Raman microspectroscopic analysis, combined with a kinetic, mechanistic modelling approach, to underpin chemometric analysis protocols, provides a basis for the unambiguous interpretation of the evolution of the characteristic spectroscopic signatures. Note however, that these spectroscopic signatures, for example of the interaction of an intercalating agent in the nucleus of a cell, may be constituted by contributions of chemical moieties from multiple different biomolecules, or changes to them, and is a characteristic signature of the cellular event or process, rather than of any specific biomarker(s). The approach potentially lays the foundation for a spectratomics paradigm of label free high content spectroscopic analysis of cellular function, providing a holistic view of the cellular processes to augment conventional labelled and omics approaches. The combinatorial approach could guide identification of key biomarker targets for more in depth labelled and omics approaches, and, in its own right, could find future applications in preclinical drug screening and toxicology among others. However, it should be noted that the exploration of the techniques for such complex evolution of biochemically complex systems is very much in its infancy. The continuous evolution of novel and hybrid label free spectroscopic approaches
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**Figure 6**: (A) Kinetic evolution of simulated drug uptake and binding (dark blue), and cellular response (green). (B) Raman spectrum of the drug, doxorubicin (dark blue), simulated spectral signature of drug binding (cyan) and of the subsequent cellular response (green). (C) predicted kinetic evolution of the MCR-ALS components, (D) MCR-ALS components, extracted after 50 iterations, (E) evolution of the kinetic constraint constants over 200 iterations of the MCR-ALS algorithm, (F) initial and final (after 200 iterations) constants employed in the MCR-ALS model. (Reproduced with permission from [30]).
promises increased capacity to rapidly screen cellular and subcellular processes in real time. Coherent Raman spectroscopic techniques promise large area screening at up to video rates [279,287], while the development of quantum cascade laser sources which are tunable across the mid IR region of the spectrum have given rise to hybrid techniques such as atomic force microscopy detected IR spectroscopy (AFM-IR) [288,289], as well as photoacoustic [290], and photothermal techniques, the most recent incarnations of which enable simultaneous measurement of IR and Raman on the same spot [291]. Nevertheless, it is crucial to demonstrate that techniques such as MCR-ALS and other multivariate datamining technique can reliably extract the signatures of interest, and that they can be interpreted in terms of their biochemical origin.
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