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Modulatory Effect of Probiotics on Proinflammatory Cytokine Levels in Acrylamide-Treated Rats
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The aims of this study are to investigate the effect of acrylamide on the level of proinflammatory cytokines in the blood of acrylamide-treated rats and to find the modulatory impact of probiotics on those cytokines. Thirty-two rats were divided into four groups: rats which received 20 mg acrylamide, acrylamide with 20 mg probiotics, acrylamide with 200 mg probiotics, and standard water and food (groups 1–4, respectively). The serum levels of cytokines were measured on days 0, 15, and 30. Group 1 showed an increased serum level of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α after 15 days, and they decreased in day 30. Serum IL-6 level was significantly decreased on days 15 and 30 in rats in group 2 compared to the controls. TNF-α and IL-1β levels were not statistically different after treated with probiotics. The exposure of rats to acrylamide led to increased systemic inflammation as evidenced by higher levels of proinflammatory cytokines, and probiotics can modulate this inflammation.

1. Introduction

Acrylamide is a chemical monomer that is widely used as an additive in the textile, paper, and cosmetics industries. It is formed through the processing of high-carbohydrate-rich foods. The main route for the formation of acrylamide in heated foods is the Maillard reaction between the free amino group of the asparagine and a carbonyl source, such as sugars [1–4]. The carcinogenic effects of this substance are proven in previous studies [5, 6]. Acrylamide breaks down in the body and produces glycidamide. Glycidamide attacks DNA and causes mutations in genes [7, 8]. According to Pan et al., exposure to acrylamide causes oxidative stress marked by a significant increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS), malondialdehyde (MDA), and glutathione (GSH) consumption. Acrylamide activates signaling pathways of nuclear transcription factor 2-related to E2 (Nrf2) and nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB). The mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway is also activated before activation of the Nrf2 and NF-xB pathways. The inflammatory response is based on the level of proinflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) [9]. One study investigated oxidative stress, inflammation, and histopathological changes in hepatotoxicity due to concomitant use of acrylamide and ellagic acid (a natural phenolic constituent in some fruits and nuts posing anti-mutagenic, antiviral, anticancer, antitumor, and antioxidant properties) in Wistar rats. It was observed that administration of acrylamide increased levels of alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), nitric oxide (NO), protein carbonyl (PC), MDA, TNF-α, and IL-1β. Also, acrylamide
administration significantly decreased hepatic GSH level, superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GPx), and catalase (CAT) activity when compared to the control group. It was shown that concomitant use of ellagic acid (30 mg/kg) with acrylamide significantly decreases levels of ALT, AST, ALP, NO, PC, TNF-α and IL-1β levels and also GSH contents so that they approached the control group levels. Meanwhile, GPx activity increased, but SOD and CAT activity did not significantly increase [10].

The term probiotic is defined by a committee of experts as microorganisms that have healthy effects on the host given that they enter the intestine in sufficient numbers [11, 12]. The positive effects of probiotics on humans include increasing tolerance and digestion of lactose and food, lowering intestinal pH, improving intestinal function, lowering cholesterol, ammonia, and other toxic compounds, producing B vitamins such as folate acid, repairing and restoring the normal intestinal flora after antibiotic treatment, treating and preventing acute diarrhea, increasing resistance to infections, antimutagenic and anticancer properties, and strengthening the immune system [13, 14]. Taking probiotics alone or consuming foods enriched with probiotics may reduce oxidative damage and free radicals [15]. According to a meta-analysis that investigated the effect of probiotics on inflammatory biomarkers, probiotic supplementation was significantly effective in reducing serum concentrations of C-reactive protein (CRP), TNF-α, IL-6, IL-12, and IL-4. Also, serum IL-10 concentrations increased significantly following the use of probiotic supplements [16]. Another meta-analysis studied the effects of probiotics on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and found that probiotic therapy significantly reduced ALT, AST, and TNF-α [17]. Administration of probiotics can reduce Aβ1-40 plaques in rats with Alzheimer’s disease [18]. Moreover, the expression of genes involved in the production of proinflammatory cytokines, including monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 downregulated in the epididymis and hepatic adipose tissues of high-fat diet-induced obese mice treated with Lactiplantibacillus plantarum [19]. However, the anti-inflammatory effect of probiotics in acrylamide-treated rats has not been well studied.

This study aimed to investigate the effect of acrylamide on the level of proinflammatory cytokines in the blood of acrylamide-treated rats and to find the modulatory impact of probiotics on those cytokines.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals and Experimental Design. Thirty-two male Wistar rats with an average weight of 300 ± 50 gr were purchased from the animal house of the Yazd Reproductive Sciences Institute, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences in Yazd, Iran. The study was approved by the ethics committee of Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences in Yazd, Iran (ethics code no.: IR.SSU.REC.1400.023). All rats were kept in 12/12 light/dark cycles, at 22°C, 55% humidity, and without restriction on water and food for 30 days. The random allocation of rats and the administered doses of acrylamide and probiotics, all through gavage, are shown in Figure 1.

2.2. Probiotic Strains. The bacteria used in this study included probiotic bacteria in one-gram sachets (Kidilact, Zist Takhmir Co., Tehran, Iran), which are commercially used for children over 2 years of age and contain high amounts of 7 beneficial bacterial strains besides fructooligosaccharide (FOS). FOS promotes the growth and activity of probiotics. The bacterial count of this product is 10⁹ CFU, and the applied strains biotics include the following: Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Lactobacillus bulgaricus, Bifidobacterium infantis, Bifidobacterium breve, and Streptococcus thermophilus.

2.3. Cytokine Concentration Assay. Blood samples were taken from rats’ retrobulbar plexus on days 0, 15, and 30 by a capillary tube. The serum levels of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α were measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit (Karmania Pars Gene, Kerman, Iran) and according to the kit instructions. The sensitivities of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α were 8 pg/mL, 2 pg/mL, and 4 pg/mL, respectively.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. All concentrations were expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Data were tested for normality of distribution and equality of variances by the Shapiro–Wilk test using Statistical Software for the Social Sciences (SPSS, IBM, US), version 20. To compare the results across the study groups before and after each intervention, the paired sample t-test and the Mann–Whitney U-test were performed. The one-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey’s post hoc analysis was used for comparing other variables. P < 0.05 was considered as a significant value.

3. Results

The serum concentrations of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α (pg/mL) in all groups are represented in Tables 1–3, respectively. It was shown that acrylamide (20 mg/kg) increased the serum level of IL-1β gradually after 15 days and then gradually decreased until the end of the 30-day study period. Additionally, on the 30th day of the intervention, the serum IL-1β levels were significantly higher in the first group compared to the control group (P = 0.03).

Table 2 demonstrates that acrylamide (20 mg/kg) increased the serum level of IL-6 gradually after 15 days. However, at the end of the 30-day study period, it was slightly lower than the level at day 0. Serum IL-6 levels were significantly decreased on days 15 and 30 of the study by administration of acrylamide and probiotics (20 mg) compared with the control group (P = 0.04, P = 0.006, respectively).

Table 3 shows that acrylamide (20 mg/kg) increased the serum level of TNF-α until day 15 with the decreased level at the end of the 30-day study period, even slightly less than its level on the first day. TNF-α levels were not different statistically across studied groups throughout the study.
**4. Discussion**

Cytokines are small molecules that have important roles in cell signaling. Cytokines are now recognized as major regulators of growth, differentiation, and immune cell function in infectious and chronic inflammatory conditions. Proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α regulate the onset of inflammation reactions [20]. IL-1β affects almost every cell type and is often in coordination with TNF-α [21, 22]. IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α are all secreted by macrophage and endothelial cells as part of the innate immune system and proinflammatory cytokines. In general, in the first stage of inflammation, these cytokines are responsible for causing fever by their action on the hypothalamus, synthesis of acute-phase proteins, and activation of endothelial cells and neutrophils [23]. One of the currently hot topics about reducing the inflammatory effects is probiotics.

---

**Table 1:** Effect of treatment with the probiotic on the serum levels of IL-1β at different times and across all study groups.

| Groups         | IL-1β₀ (pg/mL) | IL-1β₁₅ (pg/mL) | IL-1β₃₀ (pg/mL) | P value       |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 1 (acrylamide) | 57.88 ± 5.88   | 70.39 ± 25.59   | 45.14 ± 6.52    | 0.51ᵃ, 0.27⁵ᵇ, 0.5₁ᶜ   |
| 2 (acrylamide + LD) | 60.04 ± 6.51   | 72.92 ± 12.53   | 26.06 ± 2.66    | 0.27⁵ᵃ, 0.03⁵ᵇ, 0.05ᶜ   |
| 3 (acrylamide + HD) | 43.72 ± 4.56   | 37.50 ± 15.33   | 29.94 ± 3.65    | 0.5₁³ᵃ, 0.03⁵ᵇ, 0.8₂ᶜ   |
| 4 (control)    | 63.05 ± 7.17   | 70.42 ± 13.54   | 23.87 ± 4.01    | 0.8₂ᵃ, 0.05⁵ᵇ, 0.05ᶜ   |

*P value*  
0.94  
0.46  
0.03  
—

Data are presented as mean ± SEM (standard error of the mean). LD: low dose of probiotics; HD: high dose of probiotics; bold P values show a significant difference (P < 0.05). ⁴Comparison between days 0 and 15 (t-test). ⁵Comparison between days 0 and 30 (t-test). ⁶Comparison between days 15 and 30 (t-test). *Comparison of 4 groups (one-way ANOVA).

**Table 2:** Effect of treatment with the probiotic on the serum levels of IL-6 at different times and across all study groups.

| Group      | IL-6₀ (pg/mL) | IL-6₁₅ (pg/mL) | IL-6₃₀ (pg/mL) | P value       |
|------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1 (acrylamide) | 78.83 ± 11.85 | 111.3 ± 40.77  | 38.02 ± 6.65   | 0.5₁ᵃ         |
| 2 (acrylamide + LD) | 81.03 ± 11.17 | 26.97 ± 4.23   | 26.17 ± 3.37   | 0.05ᵇ, 0.27ᶜ    |
| 3 (acrylamide + HD) | 98.68 ± 7.38  | 51.18 ± 14.85  | 45.02 ± 4.61   | 0.05ᵇ, 0.5₁ᶜ    |
| 4 (control)   | 114.1 ± 5.91  | 130.1 ± 20.51  | 93.95 ± 17.82  | 0.5₁ᵇ          |

*P value*  
0.08  
0.04  
0.006  
—

Data are presented as mean ± SEM (standard error of the mean). LD: low dose of probiotics; HD: high dose of probiotics; bold P values show a significant difference (P < 0.05). ⁴Comparison between days 0 and 15. ⁵Comparison between the days 0 and 30. ⁶Comparison between days 15 and 30. *Comparison of 4 groups.
Probiotics are living microorganisms (bacteria and yeasts) that live in the human gastrointestinal tract and improve host health by fermenting undigested food. They have further beneficial roles such as antipathogenic, anti-obesity, anti-diabetic, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer properties. In addition, they can improve angiogenic activities in the brain and central nervous system [24, 25]. In the present study, we evaluated the protective effects of probiotics on proinflammatory cytokine levels in acrylamide-treated rats. Acrylamide is a hazardous chemical that is widely used in industrial practices, and also, this toxic substance can be found in baked, fried foods, and cigarette and tobacco which is responsible for desirable flavor and color in fried foods [26–28]. Neurotoxicity, reproductive toxicity, and genotoxicity are the most evident complications of acrylamide observed in animals and humans [29–31]. It is observed that, during the metabolism of acrylamide throughout the body, excessive levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) are certainly produced. In addition, acrylamide intoxication may have an increasing effect on the production of proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α and IL-1β [32, 33]. Our results indicated that exposing rats to a fixed dose of acrylamide along with the probiotic mixture at a dose of 20 mg led to a significant decrease in the concentration of IL-1β after 30 days. The first group, who received 20 mg/kg acrylamide daily, had higher IL-1β levels than the control group on day 30. So, it can be concluded that acrylamide induces the production of this proinflammatory cytokine. Similarly, a study on acrylamide-treated Swiss albino mice found that acrylamide increased the level of proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α and IL-1β [32]. Ghorbel et al., [15] found a significant increase in TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 in the liver of rats treated with acrylamide compared with controls. In contrast, our results unexpectedly showed that the serum level of IL-6 in the group treated with acrylamide was significantly lower compared to the control group at all times. Furthermore, no significant change in serum TNF-α levels was observed in all groups, denoting that this cytokine would not be helpful in the evaluation of the impact of acrylamide on rats. However, May N. Bin-Jumah et al. reported a markedly increased TNF-α levels in their study [26].

5. Conclusion

The present study provided interesting new observations for the possibility of beneficial health effects by coadministration of probiotics for acrylamide-exposed rats. Our findings suggested that exposure of rats to acrylamide led to increased systemic inflammation as evidenced by higher levels of proinflammatory cytokines. Studies on humans regarding the possible positive impacts of probiotics in this field could complement our work.

Data Availability

The final analyzed data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article. The raw data would be available from the corresponding author upon request.

Disclosure

The funders have developed the formulation, conceived the idea, developed the protocol, and prepared the manuscript, but had no role in the execution of the study, sample collection, analysis, and interpretation of data.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Authors’ Contributions

All authors made substantial contributions to the (a) conception or design, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data for the work; (b) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and (c) final approval of the version to be published. All authors agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.
Acknowledgments

The authors thank all who assisted to perform this study. This study was financially supported by the Student Research Committee, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.

References

[1] D. S. Mottram, B. L. Wedzicha, and A. T. Dodson, “Acrylamide is formed in the Maillard reaction,” Nature, vol. 419, no. 6906, pp. 448–449, 2002.
[2] R. H. Stadler, I. Blank, N. Varga et al., “Acrylamide from Maillard reaction products,” Nature, vol. 419, no. 6906, pp. 449–450, 2002.
[3] V. A. Yaylayan, A. Wnorowski, and C. Perez Locas, “Why asparagine needs carbohydrates to generate acrylamide,” Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 1753–1757, 2003.
[4] D. V. Zyzak, R. A. Sanders, M. Stojanovic et al., “Acrylamide formation mechanism in heated foods,” Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, vol. 51, no. 16, pp. 4782–4787, 2003.
[5] B. P. Riboldi, Á. M. Vinhas, and J. D. Moreira, “Risks of dietary acrylamide exposure: a systematic review,” Food Chemistry, vol. 157, pp. 310–322, 2014.
[6] V. A. Yaylayan and R. H. Stadler, “Acrylamide formation in food: a mechanistic perspective,” Journal of AOAC International, vol. 88, no. 1, pp. 262–267, 2005.
[7] IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, International Agency for Research on Cancer, and World Health Organization, Some Industrial Chemicals, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2000.
[8] H. Vasper, “Cross-investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC) study,” Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, vol. 56, no. 22, pp. 6046–6053, 2008.
[9] X. Pan, X. Wu, D. Yan, C. Peng, C. Rao, and H. Yan, “Acrylamide-induced oxidative stress and inflammatory response are alleviated by N-acetylcysteine in PC12 cells: involvement of the crosstalk between Nrf2 and NF-kB pathways regulated by MAPKs,” Toxicology Letters, vol. 288, pp. 55–64, 2018.
[10] M. Y. Karimi, I. Fatemi, H. Kalantari, M. A. Mombeini, S. Mehrzadi, and M. Goudarzi, “Ellagic acid prevents oxidative stress, inflammation and histopathological alterations in acrylamide-induced hepatotoxicity in wistar rats,” Journal of Dietary Supplements, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 651–662, 2020.
[11] F. Guarner and G. J. Schaafsma, “Probiotics,” International Journal of Food Microbiology, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 237-238, 1998.
[12] F. Joint, WHO Expert Consultation On Evaluation Of Health And Nutritional Properties Of Probiotics In Food Including Powder Milk With Live Lactic Acid Bacteria, Argentina October, Córdoba, Spain, 2001.
[13] N. Ásváiny-Molnár, Z. Sipos-Kozma, Á Tóth, B. Ásváiny, and L. Varga, “Development of functional dairy food enriched in spirulina (Arthrospira platensis),” Tejgazdasagvol. 69, no. 2, pp. 15–22, 2009.
[14] O. B. Fabricznaya, H. L. Lukas, G. Effenberg, and F. Aldinger, “Thermodynamic optimization in the Mg–Y system,” Intermetals, vol. 11, no. 11-12, pp. 1183–1188, 2003.
[15] I. Ghorbel, S. Maktouf, C. Kalle, S. Ellouze Chaabouni, T. Boudawara, and N. Zeghal, “Disruption of erythrocyte antioxidant defense system, hematomatological parameters, induction of pro-inflammatory cytokines and DNA damage in liver of co-exposed rats to aluminium and acrylamide,” Chemico-biological Interactions, vol. 236, pp. 31–40, 2015.
[16] A. Milajerdi, S. M. Mousavi, A. Sadeghi et al., “The effect of probiotics on inflammatory biomarkers: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials,” European Journal of Nutrition, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 633–649, 2020.
[17] Y.-Y. Ma, L. Li, C.-H. Yu, Z. Shen, L.-H. Chen, and Y.-M. Li, “Effects of probiotics on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a meta-analysis,” World Journal of Gastroenterology, vol. 19, no. 40, pp. 6911–6918, 2013.
[18] S. Mehrabadi and S. S. Sadri, “Assessment of probiotics mixture on memory function, inflammation markers, and oxidative stress in an Alzheimer’s disease model of rats,” Iranian Biomedical Journal, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 220–228, 2020.
[19] H. Joung, J. Chu, B.-K. Kim, I.-S. Choi, W. Kim, and T.-S. Park, “Probiotics ameliorate chronic low-grade inflammation and fat accumulation with gut microbiota composition change in diet-induced obese mice models,” Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, vol. 105, no. 3, pp. 1203–1213, 2021.
[20] K. Bendtzen, “Cytokines and natural regulators of cytokines,” Immunology Letters, vol. 43, no. 1-2, pp. 111–123, 1994.
[21] J.-M. Zhang and J. An, “Cytokines, inflammation, and pain,” International Anesthesiology Clinics, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 27–37, 2007.
[22] C. A. Dinarello, “Interleukin-1,” Cytokine & Growth Factor Reviews, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 253–265, 1997.
[23] A. K. Abbas, A. H. Lichtman, and S. Pillai, Cellular and Molecular Immunology E-Book, Elsevier Health Sciences, Amsterdam, Netherland, 2014.
[24] A. M. A. Azad, M. Sarker, T. Li, J. Yin, and J. Yin, “Probiotic species in the modulation of gut microbiota: an overview,” BioMed Research International, vol. 2018, Article ID 9478630, pages 8 pages, 2018.
[25] R. George Kerry, J. K. Patra, S. Gouda, Y. Park, H.-S. Shin, and G. Das, “Benefaction of probiotics for human health: a review,” Journal of Food and Drug Analysis, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 927–939, 2018.
[26] M. N. Bin-Jumah, A. A. Al-Huqail, N. Abdelnaeim et al., “Potential protective effects of Spirulina platensis on liver, kidney, and brain acrylamide toxicity in rats,” Environmental Science Pollution Research, vol. 28, pp. 1–11, 2021.
[27] R. Papoušek, Z. Pataj, P. Nováková, K. Lemr, and P. Barták, “Determination of acrylamide and acrolein in smoke from tobacco and e-cigarettes,” Chromatographia, vol. 77, no. 17-18, pp. 1145–1151, 2014.
[28] O. Lasekan and K. Abbas, “Analysis of volatile flavour compounds and acrylamide in roasted Malaysian tropical almond (Terminalia catappa) nuts using supercritical fluid extraction,” Food and Chemical Toxicology, vol. 48, no. 8-9, pp. 2212–2216, 2010.
[29] S. Liu, L. Jiang, T. Zhong et al., “Effect of acrylamide on oocyte nuclear maturation and cumulus cells apoptosis in mouse in vitro,” PLoS One, vol. 10, no. 8, Article ID e0135818, 2015.
[30] L. Jiang, J. Cao, Y. An et al., “Genotoxicity of acrylamide in human hepatoma G2 (HepG2) cells,” Toxicology in Vitro, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 1486–1492, 2007.
[31] L. O. Goffeng, H. Kjuus, M. S. Heier, M. Alvestrand, K.-E. G. Sudhandiran, “Farnesol quells oxidative stress, reactive
gliosis and inflammation during acrylamide-induced neurotoxicity: behavioral and biochemical evidence,” *Neuroscience*, vol. 308, pp. 212–227, 2015.

[33] U. Acaroz, S. Ince, D. Arslan-Acaroz et al., “The ameliorative effects of boron against acrylamide-induced oxidative stress, inflammatory response, and metabolic changes in rats,” *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, vol. 118, pp. 745–752, 2018.