Observation of $B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^-$
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Abstract

We report the first observation of $B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^-$ and an improved measurement of $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D_s^+K^-$ based on $274 \times 10^6 \, \bar{B}B$ events collected with the Belle detector at KEKB. We measure the branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D_s^+K^-) = (2.93 \pm 0.55 \pm 0.79) \times 10^{-5}$ and $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^-) = (1.94 \pm 0.47 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-5}$.

PACS numbers: 13.25.Hw, 14.40.Nd
The unitarity of the Cabbibo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix \( |V_{ub}| \) is a crucial component of the Standard Model, one that is currently being tested in multiple aspects through \( B \) meson decays at the \( B \)-factories. The decays \( B^0 \rightarrow D^+\pi^- \) are expected to proceed dominantly through a spectator process involving the \( b \rightarrow u \) transition and are thus considered a promising mode for the precise determination of \( |V_{ub}| \). The decay \( B^0 \rightarrow D^+K^- \) is not directly accessible through a spectator process, requiring either final state interactions or a non-spectator \( W \)-exchange. The decay \( B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+K^- \) was observed by Belle and confirmed by BaBar. For \( B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^- \), both groups reported evidence.

In this Letter we report improved measurements of \( B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+K^- \) and \( B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^- \) decays with the Belle detector at the KEKB asymmetric energy collider. The results are based on a data sample, collected at the center-of-mass (CM) energy of the \( \Upsilon(4S) \) resonance, which contains \( 274 \times 10^6 \) produced \( BB \) pairs.

The Belle detector is a large-solid-angle magnetic spectrometer that consists of a silicon vertex detector (SVD), a 50-layer central drift chamber (CDC), an array of aerogel threshold Čerenkov counters (ACC), a barrel-like arrangement of time-of-flight scintillation counters (TOF), and an electromagnetic calorimeter (ECL) comprised of CsI(Tl) crystals located inside a superconducting solenoid coil that provides a 1.5 T magnetic field. An iron flux-return located outside of the coil is instrumented to detect \( K^0_L \) mesons and to identify muons. The detector is described in detail elsewhere.

Two different inner detector configurations were used. For the first sample of 152 million \( BB \) pairs, a 2.0 cm radius beampipe and a 3-layer silicon vertex detector were used; for the latter 122 million \( BB \) pairs, a 1.5 cm radius beampipe, a 4-layer silicon detector and a small-cell inner drift chamber were used.

Charged tracks are selected with requirements based on the average hit residual and impact parameter relative to the interaction point (IP). We also require that the transverse momentum of the tracks be greater than 0.1 GeV/c in order to reduce the low momentum combinatorial background. For charged particle identification (PID) the combined information from specific ionization in the central drift chamber \( (dE/dx) \), time-of-flight scintillation counters (TOF) and aerogel Čerenkov counters (ACC) is used. At large momenta (> 2.5 GeV/c) only the ACC and \( dE/dx \) are used. Charged kaons are selected with PID criteria that have an efficiency of 88%, a pion misidentification probability of 8%, and negligible contamination from protons. The criteria for charged pions have an efficiency of 89% and a kaon misidentification probability of 9%. All tracks that are positively identified as electrons are rejected.

Neutral kaons are reconstructed via the decay \( K^0_S \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \). The two-pion invariant mass is required to be within 6 MeV/c\(^2\) (~2.5\( \sigma \)) of the nominal \( K^0 \) mass, and the displacement of the \( \pi^+\pi^- \) vertex from the IP in the transverse \( r-\phi \) plane is required to be between 0.1 cm and 20 cm. The directions in the \( r-\phi \) projection of the \( K^0_S \) candidate’s flight path and momentum are required to agree within 0.2 radians.

We reconstruct \( D_s^+ \) mesons in the channels \( D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+, K^{*0}K^+, \) and \( K^0_SK^+ \) (inclusion of charge conjugate states is implicit throughout this report). \( \phi \) \( (K^{*0}) \) mesons are formed from the \( K^+K^- \) \( (K^+\pi^-) \) pairs with invariant mass within 10 MeV/c\(^2\) (50 MeV/c\(^2\)) of the nominal \( \phi \) \( (K^{*0}) \) mass. We select \( D_s^+ \) mesons in a wide \( (\pm0.5 \text{ GeV/c}^2) \) window, for subsequent studies; the \( M(D_s) \) signal region is defined to be within 12 MeV/c\(^2\) (~2.5\( \sigma \)) of the nominal \( D_s^+ \) mass. \( D_s^+ \) candidates are combined with a charged kaon or pion to form a \( B \) meson. Candidate events are identified by their CM energy difference, \( \Delta E = \left( \sum_i E_i \right) - E_b \), and the beam constrained mass, \( M_{bc} = \sqrt{E_b^2 - \left( \sum_i p_i \right)^2} \), where \( E_b = \sqrt{s}/2 \) is the beam energy.
energy and $p_i$ and $E_i$ are the momenta and energies of the decay products of the $B$ meson in the CM frame. We select events with $M_{bc} > 5.2$ GeV/$c^2$ and $|\Delta E| < 0.2$ GeV and define the $B$ signal region to be $5.272$ GeV/$c^2 < M_{bc} < 5.288$ GeV/$c^2$ and $|\Delta E| < 0.03$ GeV. The $M_{bc}$ sideband is defined as $5.20$ GeV/$c^2 < M_{bc} < 5.26$ GeV/$c^2$. We use a Monte Carlo (MC) simulation to determine the efficiency. 

To suppress the large combinatorial background that is dominated by the two-jet-like $e^+ e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ ($q = u, d, s$ and $c$ quarks) continuum process, variables that characterize the event topology are used. We require $|\cos \theta_{thr}| < 0.80$, where $\theta_{thr}$ is the angle between the thrust axis of the $B$ candidate and that of the rest of the event. This requirement eliminates 77% of the continuum background and retains 78% of the signal events. We also define a Fisher discriminant, $F$, which is based on the production angle of the $B$ candidate, the angle of the $B$ candidate thrust axis with respect to the beam axis, and nine parameters that characterize the momentum flow in the event relative to the $B$ candidate thrust axis in the CM frame. We impose a requirement on $F$ that rejects 50% of the remaining continuum background and retains 92% of the signal.

We also consider possible backgrounds from $q\bar{q}$ events containing real $D_s^+$ mesons. These events peak in the $M(D_s)$ spectra but not in the $\Delta E$ and $M_{bc}$ distributions. Thus it can be eliminated by fitting the $\Delta E$ distribution.

Other $B$ decays, such as $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+\pi^-$, $D^+ \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+$, with one pion misidentified as a kaon, require particular attention because they have large branching fractions and can peak in the $M_{bc}$ signal region. The reconstructed invariant mass spectra for these events overlap with the signal $D_s^+$ mass region, while their $\Delta E$ distribution is shifted by about 50 MeV/$c^2$. To suppress this background, we exclude event candidates that are consistent with the $D^+ \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+$ mass hypothesis within 15 MeV/$c^2$ ($\sim 3\sigma$) when the two same-sign particles are considered to be pions, independently of their PID information. For the $D_s^+ \rightarrow K_s^0 K^+$ mode there is a similar background from $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+\pi^-$, $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+$. In this case we exclude candidates consistent within 20 MeV/$c^2$ ($\sim 3\sigma$) with the $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+$ hypothesis.

Possible backgrounds from $B$ decays via $b \rightarrow c$ transitions ($B \rightarrow D_s DX$) are also considered. The $D_s$ mesons from these decays have a lower momentum and are kinematically separated from the signal. We analyzed a large MC sample of generic $BB$ events and found no peaking backgrounds.

Another potential $BB$ background is charmless $B^0 \rightarrow K^-K^+K^-\pi^+(K_S^0K^+K^-)$. Such events peak in the $\Delta E$ and $M_{bc}$ spectra, but not in the $M(D_s)$ distributions. They tend to be dominated by quasi-two-body decay channels such as $\phi K^{(*)0}$. To reduce this background, we reject events with low ($< 2$ GeV/$c^2$) two particle invariant masses: $M_{K^-\pi^+}$ and $M_{\phi K^+}$ for the $D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+$ channel, $M_{K^+K^-}$ and $M_{K^0*K^{-}}$ for $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^{*0}K^+$, and $M_{K^+K^-}$ and $M_{K_S^0K^-}$ for $D_s^+ \rightarrow K_S^0K^+$. The remaining background from these sources, if any, is excluded by fitting the $M(D_s)$ distribution.

The scatter plots in $\Delta E$ and $M_{bc}$ for the $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D_s^+K^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^-$ candidates in the $M(D_s)$ signal region are shown in Fig. 1; a significant enhancement in the $B$ signal region is observed. Figure 2 shows the $M(D_s)$ spectra for selected $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D_s^+K^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+\pi^-$ candidates in the $B$ signal region. In addition to clear signals at the $D_s^+$ mass in Fig. 2, we observe peaks at the $D^+$ mass, corresponding to $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+\pi^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow D^+K^-$, $D^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+$, $\bar{K}^{*0}K^+$, $K_S^0K^+$.

Our studies have shown that the backgrounds may peak in the signal region of $M(D_s)$ or of $\Delta E$ (and $M_{bc}$) but not in both simultaneously. To extract our signal, we therefore
FIG. 1: $\Delta E$ versus $M_{bc}$ scatter plot for the $B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ K^-$ (top) and $B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^-$ (bottom) candidates in the $M(D_s)$ signal region. The points represent the experimental data and the boxes show the $B$ meson signal region.

FIG. 2: $M(D_s)$ spectra for $B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ K^-$ (top) and $B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^-$ (bottom) in the $B$ signal region. The points with errors represent experimental data and the curves display the results of the simultaneous fit described in the text. The small feature near 1.92 GeV/$c^2$ arises due to favoured $D^+$ decays with pions misidentified as kaons.
TABLE I: Results on the signal yields and branching fractions. Decay channel, signal yield from two dimensional $M(D_s) - \Delta E$, one dimensional $M(D_s)$ and $\Delta E$ fits, detection efficiency branching fraction and statistical significance. The efficiencies include intermediate branching fractions.

| Mode | $M(D_s) - \Delta E$ | $M(D_s)$ | $\Delta E$ | $\epsilon, 10^{-3}$ | $B (10^{-5})$ | Significance |
|------|---------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|
| $B^0 \to D^+_s K^-$, $D^+_s \to \phi\pi^+$ | 18.2$^{+5.0}_{-4.3}$ | 18.2$^{+1.7}_{-1.0}$ | 18.1$^{+4.9}_{-4.3}$ | 2.05 | $3.25_{-0.77}^{+0.89}$ | 6.2$\sigma$ |
| $B^0 \to D^+_s K^-$, $D^+_s \to K^{*0}K^+$ | 13.1$^{+4.5}_{-3.8}$ | 11.2$^{+4.2}_{-3.6}$ | 13.9$^{+4.5}_{-3.9}$ | 1.49 | $3.21_{-0.93}^{+1.10}$ | 4.6$\sigma$ |
| $B^0 \to D^+_s K^-$, $D^+_s \to K^0_S K^+$ | 3.7$^{+2.8}_{-2.2}$ | 3.6$^{+2.8}_{-2.1}$ | 5.0$^{+3.0}_{-2.3}$ | 0.86 | $1.57_{-0.94}^{+1.20}$ | 1.8$\sigma$ |
| $B^0 \to D^+_s K^-$, simultaneous fit | 36.3$^{+7.2}_{-6.6}$ | 32.9$^{+6.6}_{-5.9}$ | 36.7$^{+7.1}_{-6.4}$ | 4.29 | 2.93$^{+0.55}_{-0.79}$ | 7.9$\sigma$ |
| $B^0 \to D^+_s \pi^-$, $D^+_s \to \phi\pi^+$ | 12.7$^{+4.4}_{-3.7}$ | 14.4$^{+4.2}_{-3.9}$ | 11.7$^{+4.3}_{-3.7}$ | 2.29 | $2.03_{-0.59}^{+0.70}$ | 4.5$\sigma$ |
| $B^0 \to D^+_s \pi^-$, $D^+_s \to K^{*0}K^+$ | 7.0$^{+4.3}_{-3.6}$ | 5.3$^{+3.9}_{-3.2}$ | 9.3$^{+4.5}_{-3.9}$ | 1.65 | $1.54_{-0.79}^{+0.95}$ | 2.1$\sigma$ |
| $B^0 \to D^+_s \pi^-$, $D^+_s \to K^0_S K^+$ | 5.8$^{+3.4}_{-2.7}$ | 6.1$^{+3.2}_{-2.6}$ | 6.1$^{+3.5}_{-2.8}$ | 0.89 | $2.38_{-1.12}^{+1.40}$ | 2.4$\sigma$ |
| $B^0 \to D^+_s \pi^-$, simultaneous fit | 25.7$^{+6.5}_{-6.0}$ | 26.3$^{+6.4}_{-5.7}$ | 27.3$^{+6.9}_{-6.3}$ | 4.83 | 1.94$^{+0.47}_{-0.52}$ | 5.5$\sigma$ |

perform a binned maximum likelihood fit to the two-dimensional distribution of data in $M(D_s)$ and $\Delta E$, separating the backgrounds from the signal component, which peaks in both. We select events from $M_{bc}$ signal region for this fit. For each of the three $D^+_s$ decay channels the $\Delta E$ range, $-0.1$ GeV $< \Delta E < 0.2$ GeV, is divided into 30 bins and the $M(D_s)$ range, $1.5$ GeV/$c^2 < M(D_s) < 2.5$ GeV/$c^2$, into 200 bins. All bins in all $D^+_s$ submodes are fitted simultaneously to a sum of signal and background shapes. The $D^+_s$ signal is described by a two-dimensional Gaussian, with widths in both dimensions obtained and fixed using reconstructed signals in the data from $B^0 \to D^+_s \pi^-$ ($D^+ \to K^- \pi^+\pi^+, K_S\pi^+$). The signal amplitude is constrained to correspond to the same branching fraction $B(B^0 \to D^+_s h^-)$ for all three $D^+_s$ submodes. The fit also includes an additional two-dimensional Gaussian for $B^0 \to D^+_s h^-$ decays.

The background includes three components: combinatorial (linear in $M(D_s)$ and $\Delta E$), $q\bar{q}$ events that peak in $M(D_s)$ and are flat in $\Delta E$, and $B$ decays that peak in $\Delta E$ and are flat in $M(D_s)$. The levels of the three components are allowed to vary independently in the three reconstructed $D^+_s$ modes. The fit results are given in Table I. The statistical significance quoted in Table I is defined as $\sqrt{-2 \ln(L_0/L_{max})}$, where $L_{max}$ and $L_0$ denote the maximum likelihood with the fitted signal yield and with the signal yield fixed to zero, respectively. The results of one-dimensional fits to the $M(D_s)$ and $\Delta E$ distributions are also shown in Table I for comparison. Figures 2 and 3 show the $M(D_s)$ and $\Delta E$ projections for events from the signal region. The sum of the fitted signal plus background is shown by the solid lines while the background shape including the peaking background is indicated by dashed lines. The peaking background is found to be $3.6 \pm 0.6$ and $3.4 \pm 1.3$ events for $B^0 \to D^+_s K^-$ and $B^0 \to D^+_s \pi^-$, respectively.

$B^0 \to D^+_s h^-$ final states, where the low energy photon from the $D^+_s \to D_s \gamma$ decay is missed, can populate the $B^0 \to D^+_s h^-$ signal region. These would produce a long tail on the negative side of the $\Delta E$ distribution. In theoretical models based on factorization, the $B^0 \to D^+_s \pi^-$ and $B^0 \to D^+_s h^-$ decay widths are predicted to be approximately equal; there are, however, no corresponding predictions for $B^0 \to D^+_s K^-$ decays. To study the sensitivity of the measured branching fraction to a possible $B^0 \to D^+_s h^-$ contribution, we perform a fit with an additional $B^0 \to D^+_s h^-$ component included, where the signal shape is fixed from the MC and the branching fraction is left as a free parameter. The resulting
2% difference in the $B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^-$ event yield (compared to the results presented in Table 1) is added to the systematic uncertainty; the change in the $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ K^-$ yield is less than 1%. We also check for crossfeed between $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ K^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^-$ due to kaon/pion misidentification. To study this we include the crossfeed contributions in the simultaneous fit, with shapes fixed from the MC and misidentification probabilities obtained from data; the uncertainty due to this effect is found to be negligible ($\lesssim 1\%$).

As a check, we apply the same procedure to $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+ \pi^-$ and $B^0 \rightarrow D^+ K^-$, $D^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+, K^{*0} K^+, K_S^0 K^+$, and obtain $B(B^0 \rightarrow D^+ \pi^-) = (2.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$ and $B(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+ K^-) = (2.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$[12], which agree well with the PDG values[11].

The following sources of systematic error are found to be the most significant: tracking efficiency (1-2% per track), charged hadron identification efficiency (1% per particle), $K_S^0$ reconstruction efficiency (6%), signal-shape parameterization (5%) and MC statistics (2%). The tracking efficiency error is estimated using $\eta$ decays to $\gamma \gamma$ and $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$. The $K/\pi$ identification uncertainty is determined from $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$, $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$ decays. We assume equal production of $B^+ B^-$ and $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ pairs but do not include an additional error from this assumption. The uncertainty in the $D^+_s$ meson branching fractions, which is dominated by the 25% error on $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+)$, is also taken into account. The overall systematic uncertainty is 27%.

In summary, we report the first observation of $B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^-$ and an improved measurement of $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ K^-$. We find $B(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ K^-) = (2.93 \pm 0.55 \pm 0.79) \times 10^{-5}$ and $B(B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^-) = (1.94 \pm 0.47 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-5}$. Since the dominant systematic uncertainty in both measurements is due to the branching fraction for $D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+$, $B_{\phi \pi}$, we also report $B(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ K^-) \times B_{\phi \pi} = (10.5 \pm 2.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-7}$ and $B(B^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^-) \times B_{\phi \pi} = (7.0 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-7}$. These results are consistent with previous results[4,3] and have better accuracy. They
supersede results from \cite{4}.
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