Petroleum Contamination of Sombreiro River in Akuku-Toru Local Government Area Rivers State, Nigeria, revealed by Chemical Fingerprinting of Aliphatic Hydrocarbons
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ABSTRACT: Water samples were collected from the lower section of Sombreiro River in Akuku Toru local government area (L.G.A.) of Rivers State, Nigeria and analyzed for aliphatic hydrocarbons using gas chromatography-flame ionization detector (GC-FID) after extraction and clean up. GC identified well resolved aliphatic hydrocarbons from C₉ to C₄₀ which showed similar bimodal n-alkane distributions and unresolved complex mixtures (UCM). Pristane was observed as the most prominent aliphatic hydrocarbon and dominant over phytane in all the samples. Common aliphatic hydrocarbon diagnostic ratios used for fingerprinting and interpreting chemical data were determined. These include carbon preference index (CPI) from 0.70 to 0.82; Pr/Ph from 1.85 - 2.17; Pr/nC₁₇ from 1.67 - 1.87; Ph/nC₁₈ from 0.94 - 1.07 and cross plot. The results reveal the following: (1) crude oil contamination of the lower section of Sombreiro river in Akuku Toru L.G.A. of Rivers State; (2) the same crude oil spilled in the river; (3) the spilled oil is Niger Delta in origin, derived from mixed marine and terrigenous organic matter deposited in an oxic environment; (4) the spilled oil was slightly degraded, its chemical composition has not undergone significant alteration.
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Spills and leaks of crude oil and its refined products cause widespread contamination of the environment. It can occur due to accidents, from industrial releases, or by-products of commercial or domestic uses (Ou et al., 2004 and Mille et al., 2007). Crude oil is composed of a complex mixture of hydrocarbons classified in bulk groups of saturates, aromatics, resins and asphaltenes (Wang et al., 2006). The saturate include aliphatic and cycloalkanes which consist of hydrocarbons up to C₆₀ (Rudzinski and Aminabhavi, 2000). Aliphatic hydrocarbons (AHCs), normal and isoparaffins, are the predominant class of chemical compounds in most crude oils and refined products (Tissot and Welte, 1984). Their distribution and composition in petroleum is unique and used for chemical fingerprinting of petroleum spills (Wang et al., 2006). Chemical fingerprinting of the AHCs can provide information on the biological sources of organic matter which generated the petroleum, the condition of deposition at that time, the age of the petroleum source rock, the relative maturity, and the degree of microbial biodegradation (Osuji, 2011). They can also provide reliable environmental forensic information used for the determination of the source of unknown oil spilled, even for biodegraded oils, correlation, differentiation and subsequent monitoring of the affected ecosystems (Peters et al., 2005). Samples collected from contaminated environments are usually analyzed for the AHCs by gas chromatography (GC) and the composition data obtained are used for characterization by chemical fingerprinting techniques (Wang et al., 1999; Sun et al., 2009). Diagnostic tools of AHCs generally employed for chemical fingerprinting related environmental forensic investigations include carbon preference index (CPI), ratios of pristane/phytane (Pr/Ph), pristane/n-heptadecane (Pr/nC₁₇) and phytane/n-octadecane (Ph/nC₁₈) (Wang et al., 1999; Seki et al., 2006). Simonenit et al. (1990) used aliphatic ratios of nC₁₅, 17/ΣnC₁₆, ΣnC₁₅–₂₀/ΣnC₂₁–₃₅ and nC₃₁/nC₁₉ for assessing petroleum contamination. The Niger Delta is located in Southern Nigeria, between longitude 5° – 9° E and latitude 4° - 6° N, on the Atlantic coast of West Africa. It is endowed with enormous natural resources, especially hydrocarbons (crude oil) which is the mainstay of Nigeria’s economy. River Niger splits into rivers, forming deltas, via which it drains into the Atlantic Ocean. Sombreiro river is one of the major rivers of the Niger Delta. The Sombreiro river flows from Egbeama area of Imo State into Rivers State through a number of communities and empties into the Atlantic Ocean (Iyama et al., 2014). This study describes the chemical fingerprinting and data interpretation technique used to reveal hydrocarbon
contamination of Sombreiro river within Akuku Toru local government area (L.G.A.) of Rivers State in Niger Delta, Nigeria. The study determined the composition, origin, fate, and correlation of aliphatic hydrocarbons in the waters of Sombreiro River.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area:** The study area is the lower section of Sombreiro River located in Akuku Toru local government area (L.G.A.) of Rivers State in Niger Delta, Nigeria. Akuku-Toru L.G.A. is typically riverine and endowed with abundant oil and gas reserves. Sombreiro River is one of the outlets through which the River Niger drains into the Atlantic Ocean. It is mainly freshwater and predominantly occupied by rural communities that depend on the water for drinking, bathing, washing of clothes and fisheries (UNDP Report, 2006). Other activities carried out in the river include washing and fermentation of cassava, defecation and the dumping of refuse and abattoir. These activities result in the discharge of various pollutants into the aquatic environment, threatening the health of the local dwellers and damaging the quality of the environment (Abowei and Sikoki, 2005).

**Sampling:** Water samples were collected from four (4) points of the lower section of the Sombreiro River located in Akuku Toru L.G.A. of Rivers State between longitude 6° 46′ 39.4″ E to 6° 48′ 28.2″ E and latitude 4° 34′ 46.8″ to 4° 40′ 04.7″ N. The water samples, one (1) litre each, were collected using a dark glass bottle. The sample bottles were sealed, labelled appropriately, transported to the laboratory and stored in the refrigerator prior to analysis.

**Sample extraction and cleanup:** Petroleum hydrocarbons were extracted from the contaminated water samples according to the separatory funnel liquid-liquid extraction EPA Method 3510 (EPA, 1996). 50 ml each contaminated water sample was poured into a separatory funnel and equal volume of hexane added. The separatory funnel was agitated for 30 minutes with a mechanical shaker and the mixture left to stand for two hours to allow for maximum separation of the aqueous and organic phase. The organic phase was concentrated by evaporating hexane under a stream of nitrogen at 40 °C. Cleanup was achieved by transferring the concentrated extracts onto the top of a glass column (25 cm x 1 cm) packed with activated silica and plugged with glass wool at the bottom. n-hexane was poured into the column to elute the saturates, which contains the aliphatic hydrocarbons. The eluent was concentrated by evaporating the solvent at room temperature in the laboratory.

**Gas Chromatography (GC) analysis:** Detailed aliphatic hydrocarbon analysis was performed on each sample using an Agilent 7890B gas chromatography (GC) system equipped with a HP-5 silica capillary column 30 m x 320 μm ID and 0.25 μm film thickness, flame ionization detector (FID). The clean-up concentrates were dissolved in hexane and 1μL of each was injected into the GC capillary column with the aid of a G4513A automatic liquid sampler (ALS), using the splitless injection mode. Hydrogen was used as carrier gas at a linear velocity of 30ml/min and the oven temperature was programmed from 40 °C to 260 °C at 10 °C/min with a 5 mins hold at 40 °C and a 20 mins hold at 260 °C.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Gas chromatography (GC) analysis of all the samples showed well-resolved peaks of aliphatic hydrocarbons from C₉ to C₄₀ (Fig. 1). Petroleum oil and its refined products can be identified by their GC carbon range profile (Wang, 2009). The aliphatic hydrocarbon range of the water samples was considerably wider than that of refined petroleum products but similar to crude oils. This suggest the source of contamination of Sombreiro River in Akuku Toru L.G.A. was crude oil.

The GC profiles of the water samples showed bimodal n-alkane distributions (fig. 1). GC fingerprints characterized by the dominance of the C₁₅ - C₂₀ n-alkanes reflect marine sources for crude oils, while the dominance of the C₂₅ - C₃₅ n-alkanes are associated with terrestrial sources (Zha et al., 2005). All the water samples showed the abundance of n-alkane in the C₁₃ - C₂₀ and C₂₅ - C₃₅ range. These bimodal distributions suggest the spilled crude oil in Sombreiro River was derived from mixed marine and terrestrial sources. The rise above the baseline of the sample chromatograms, unresolved complex mixtures (UCM), was observed (fig. 1). UCM consist of hydrocarbons connected at one or more branched points which are not resolved into peaks during gas chromatography. It is a strong evidence for petroleum hydrocarbon contamination and degradation (Peters and Moldowan, 1993). Pristane (Pr) and phytane (Ph) are the most common isoprenoids in crude oils (Tissot and Welte, 1984). In Niger Delta crude oils, pristane is characteristically dominant over phytane (Stout et al., 2007; Manilla and Onyema, 2008). From fig. 1, it was observed that pristane was the most prominent aliphatic hydrocarbon and was dominant over phytane in all the water samples (fig. 1). This suggest a similar crude oil of Niger Delta origin as the source of contamination of Sombreiro River. The carbon preference index (CPI) is the ratio of odd to even number n-alkanes between C₂₃ and C₃₄ and is used to indicate the source of aliphatic hydrocarbons.
hydrocarbons (Didyk *et al.*, 2000). CPI values close to 1 indicate crude oil source values below unity suggesting degraded crude oils (Maioli *et al.*, 2010; Onyema *et al.*, 2013). Higher CPI values represent oiled sediment with increased terrigenous input, while values from 4 to 10 indicate land plant sources (Kennicutt *et al.*, 1987; Jeanneau *et al.*, 2006). From table 1, the CPI values from 0.70 to 0.82 were below unity. This indicated the crude oil which contaminated the waters of Sombreiro river was degraded. *n*-heptadecane (*n*C17), pristane (Pr), *n*-octadecane (*n*C18) and phytane (Ph) are aliphatic hydrocarbons commonly used for characterization in oil spill studies. Each pair of compounds elute almost simultaneously from the gas chromatograph. Ratios derived from these hydrocarbons are Pr/Ph, Pr/*n*C17 and Ph/*n*C18 (Table 1). Pr/Ph ratio is a commonly used indicator of diagenetic condition during organic matter deposition. Values below 1 indicate anoxic deposition, between 1 and 3 reflect oxic deposition and above 3 indicate terrigenous source (Ten Haven *et al.*, 1988).

From table 2, the calculated Pr/Ph ratios ranged from 1.85 - 2.17 and suggest the spilled oil was generated from organic matter deposited in an oxic environment, typical of Niger Delta crude oils. Pr/*n*C17 and Ph/*n*C18 ratios are used evaluating the degree of maturation and biodegradation of resolved hydrocarbons. Waples (1985) stated that with increasing maturity, *n*-alkanes are generated faster than isoprenoids, but during biodegradation, they are degraded faster than the isoprenoids leading to increased ratio values. Ratio values of Pr/*n*C17 and Ph/*n*C18 for Niger Delta crude oils are 1.35 and 0.66 but for significantly degraded oils 3.55 - 3.78 and 2.45 - 2.61 respectively (Onyema *et al.*, 2013). For the water samples from Sombreiro river, ratio values of Pr/*n*C17 from 1.67 - 1.87 and Ph/*n*C18 from 0.94 - 1.07 suggest the spilled oil was slightly degraded. Shungum (1985) used the cross plot of Pr/*n*C17 and Ph/*n*C18 ratios to provide information on source, diagenetic conditions, maturation and biodegradation (Fig. 2).

**Table 1: Diagnostic ratios of aliphatic hydrocarbons**

| Sample Code | CPI | Pr/Ph | Pr/*n*C17 | Ph/*n*C18 |
|-------------|-----|-------|-----------|-----------|
| AK-A1       | 0.76| 2.11  | 1.67      | 0.96      |
| AK-A2       | 0.70| 2.17  | 1.87      | 1.07      |
| AK-A3       | 0.82| 1.85  | 1.71      | 1.07      |
| AK-A4       | 0.81| 1.98  | 1.68      | 0.96      |

CPI = (*C23 + C25 + C27 + C29 + C31 + C33) / (*C24 + C26 + C28 + C30 + C32 + C34)

Fig 1: Gas chromatograms of the analyzed water samples from Sombreiro River in Akuku Toru L.G.A. showing the aliphatic hydrocarbon distributions

(1985) stated that with increasing maturity, *n*-alkanes are generated faster than isoprenoids, but during biodegradation, they are degraded faster than the isoprenoids leading to increased ratio values. Ratio values of Pr/*n*C17 and Ph/*n*C18 for Niger Delta crude oils are 1.35 and 0.66 but for significantly degraded oils 3.55 - 3.78 and 2.45 - 2.61 respectively (Onyema *et al.*, 2013). For the water samples from Sombreiro river, ratio values of Pr/*n*C17 from 1.67 - 1.87 and Ph/*n*C18 from 0.94 - 1.07 suggest the spilled oil was slightly degraded. Shungum (1985) used the cross plot of Pr/*n*C17 and Ph/*n*C18 ratios to provide information on source, diagenetic conditions, maturation and biodegradation (Fig. 2).
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Figure 2 suggest the spilled oil which contaminated Sombreiro River was derived from mixed marine and terrigenous sources deposited in a suboxic to oxic environment. The cross plot also showed the spilled oil was degraded in the order: AK-A2 > AK-A3 > AK-A4 > AK-A1.

**Conclusion:** Gas chromatography analyses of water samples from Sombreiro River in Akuku Toru L.G.A. of Rivers state identified aliphatic hydrocarbons from C₉ to C₄₀. Pristane was the most prominent aliphatic hydrocarbon and dominant over phytane. Bimodal n-alkane distributions, ratios of CPI, Pr/Ph, Pr/nC₁₇, and Ph/nC₁₈ suggest slightly degraded crude oil derived from mixed marine and terrigenous sources deposited in a suboxic to oxic environment. The results revealed a typical Niger Delta crude oil contaminated the Sombreiro River.

**REFERENCES**

Abowei, JFN; Sikoki, FD (2005). Water Pollution Management and Control, Double Trust Publications Company, Port Harcourt.

Didyk, BM; Simoneit, BRT; Pezoa, LA; Riveros, ML; Flores, AA (2000). Urban aerosol particles of Santiago, Chile: organic content and molecular characterization. *Atmos. Environ.* 34: 1167–1179.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (1996). Separatory funnel liquid-liquid extraction. *EPA Methods 3510C* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency EPA, Washington, DC.

Iyama, WA; Edori, OS; Ikpe, S (2014). Study of pollution levels in Ahoaada-Iluuaba axis of Sombreiro River, Ahoaada Rivers State, Nigeria. *Int. Res. J. of Pure and Applied Chem.*, 4(4): 378-387.

Jeanneau, L; Faure, P; Montarges-Pelletier, E; Ramelli, M (2006). Impact of a highly contaminated river on a more important hydrologic system: changes in organic markers. *Sci. Total Environ.* 372: 183–192.

Kennicutt, MC; Barker, C; Brooks, JM; De Freitas, DA; Zhu, GH (1987). Selected organic matter source indicators in the Orinoco, Nile and Changjiang deltas. *Org. Geochem.* 11: 41–51.

Maioli, OLG; Rodrigues, KC; Knoppers, BA; Azevedo, DA (2010). Pollution source evaluation using petroleum and aliphatic hydrocarbons in surface sediments from two Brazilian estuarine systems. *Org. Geochem.* 41: 966-970.

Manilla, PN; Onyema, OM (2008). Correlation of some crude oils using low molecular weight geochemical markers: a case study of the Niger Delta. *J. Chem. Soc. Nig.* 33: 225-234

Mille, G; Asia, L; Guiuliano, M; Malleret, L; Doumenq, P (2007). Hydrocarbons in coastal sediments from the Mediterranean Sea (Gulf of Fos area, France). *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 54: 566-575.

Onyema, MO; Osuji, LC; Ofodile, SE (2013). Geochemical fingerprinting of an oil-impacted site, Niger Delta: source and weathering profile of aliphatic hydrocarbons. *Res.* 3(10): 16–21.

Osuji, LC (2011). Petroleum chemistry and toxicology in theory and practice. Jessco International, Owerri, Nigeria pp. 247-251.

Ou, SM; Zheng, JH; Zheng, JS; Richardson, BJ; Lam, PKS (2004). Petroleum hydrocarbons and
polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the surficial sediments of Xiamen Harbour and Yuan Dan Lake, China. Chemosphere, 56: 107–112.

Peters, KE; Moldowan, JW (1993). The Biomarker Guide: Interpreting Molecular Fossils in Petroleum and Ancient Sediments. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey

Peters, K; Walters, C; Moldowan, J (2005). The biomarker guide: biomarkers and isotopes in petroleum systems and earth history. Vol. 2, 2nd edition, Cambridge university press, New York.

Rudzinski, WE; Aminabhavi, TM (2000). A review on extraction and identification of crude oil and related products using supercritical fluid technology. Energy Fuels. 14 (2): 464–475.

Seki, O; Yoshikawa, C; Nakatsuka, T; Kawamura, K; Watsatsuchi, M (2006). Fluxes, source and transport of organic matter in the western Sea of Okhotsk: stable carbon isotopic ratios of n-alkanes and total organic carbon. Deep-Sea Res I 53: 253–270.

Shungunam, G (1985). Significance of coniferous rain forests and related oils, Gippsland basin, Australia. Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol.Bull. 69: 1241-1254.

Simoneit, BRT; Cardoso, JN; Robinson, N (1990). An assessment of the origin and composition of higher molecular weight organic matter in aerosols over Amazonia. Chemosphere 21: 1285–1301.

Stout, SA; Liu, B; Millner, GC; Hamlin, D; Healey, E (2007). Use of chemical fingerprinting to establish the presence of spilled crude oil in a residential area following hurricane Katrina, St. Bernard parish, Louisiana. Environ Sci. Tech. 41: 7242-7251.

Sun, PP; Bao, M; Li, G; Wang, X; Zhao, Y; Zhou, Q; Cao, L (2009). Fingerprinting and source identification of an oil spill in China Bohai sea by gas chromatography-flame ionization detection and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry coupled with multi-statistical analyses. J. Chromatogr. A 1216(5): 830-836.

ten Haven, HL; Rullkötter, J; De Leeuw, JW; Sinninghe-Damste, JS (1988). Pr/Ph ratio as environmental indicator. Reply. Nature 333, 604.

Tissot, BP; Welte, DH (1984). Petroleum formation and occurrence, a new approach to oil and gas exploration. Spinger-Verlag, Berlin

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2006). Niger Delta human development report. Lagos (Nigeria): United Nations Development Programme.

Wang, Z; Fingas, M; Page, DS (1999). Oil spill identification - a review. J. Chromatogr. A 843: 369–411.

Wang, Z; Stout, A; Fingas, M (2006). Forensic fingerprinting of biomarkers for oil spill characterization and source identification. Environ. Forensics 7(2): 105-146.

Wang, Z (2009). Oil weathering and chemical fingerprinting of spilled or discharged petroleum in the environment. IOC/WESTPAC Workshop, Qingdao, China.

Waples, DW (1985). Geochemistry in petroleum exploration. International Human Resources Development Corporation, Boston.

Zhu, C; Pan, JM; Lu, B (2005). Compositional feature of n-alkanes in modern sediments from the Changjing estuary and adjacent area and its implication to transport and distribution of organic carbon. Acta Oceanol. Sin. 27(4): 59-67.