Cross-sectional comparative study of the effect of refresher training on the knowledge, attitude and practices of urban primary health care providers
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ABSTRACT

Background: Primary health care providers, who cater to the basic health care needs in urban and rural areas, ought to have the basic knowledge and a positive attitude in relation to the services they deliver. This cross-sectional, complete-enumeration, before-and-after study was conducted to determine the outcome of refresher training on the knowledge, attitudes and practices of auxiliary nurse midwives (ANMs), and link workers (LWs) in an urban area in Western India.

Methods: Each willing participant answered a structured pre-test questionnaire containing 25 questions in the local language (Marathi), following which, Government-approved refresher training (3 days for ANMs and 1 day for LWs) was conducted in the same language. The participants took the same questionnaire after the refresher training (post-test). The pre- and post-test scores were tabulated and statistically analysed.

Results: In case of ANMs (n=60), the post-test scores (mean=20.7; SD=1.8) improved over the pre-test scores (mean=17.5; SD=2.76). The LWs (n=170) also bettered their post-test scores (mean=20.9; SD=2.52), over their pre-test scores (mean=14.4; SD=4.41). The degree of improvement in scores varied from marginal to statistically significant.

Conclusions: Though the post-test results were encouraging, sustained efforts would be required to bring about the desired attitudes and practices. As a consequence of the widespread use of mobile phones, it is possible to conduct periodic refresher training through mobile phones at a much lower cost.
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INTRODUCTION

Primary health care providers cater to the basic health needs of people in urban and rural areas and endeavour to provide critically needed outreach services in urban slums and remotest villages. For providing credible and good quality services to those in need, it is imperative that these primary health care providers have the basic knowledge and a positive conducive attitude regarding the services they deliver. Urban health posts (UHPs), launched under the Urban Revamping Scheme to provide primary health care in urban slums, are staffed by auxiliary nurse midwives (ANMs), also known as multi-purpose health workers (female), who are trained para-professional health care providers and function as the first contact between the community and the formal health care system.

Link workers (LWs) are primarily female volunteers from local communities, who identify high-risk groups and mobilise vulnerable and inaccessible sub-groups in the community. Various studies have emphasized the
need for induction training and re-training for ensuring adequate awareness and safe practices in health care settings. Not only do they need this knowledge to work efficiently in the field they also need that to protect themselves from a gamut of occupational diseases. Injuries due to needle-sticks and sharps have been tagged as the main occupational hazard for health care personnel.5

The present study was conducted to study the effect of refresher training on the knowledge, attitudes and practices of primary health care providers in an urban area in Western India.

METHODS

This cross-sectional, before-and-after complete enumeration comparative study was conducted to study the effect of refresher training on the knowledge, attitudes and practices of Auxiliary Nurse Midwives (ANMs) and Link Workers (LWs) working in Urban Health Posts (UHPs) in an urban area in Western India. A refresher training programme (3 days for ANMs and 1 day for LWs), approved by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, was conducted for ANMs working for 5 years or more and LWs working for 3 years or more.

After obtaining permission from institutional authorities and the Institutional Ethics Committee for conducting the study, participants who gave written informed consent to participate in the study, were subjected to a structured questionnaire (pre-test) that comprised 25 questions each in the vernacular language (Marathi). This refresher training was also conducted in the Marathi language and included topics such as, reproductive and child health, routine immunisation, infant and young child nutrition and integrated management of neonatal and childhood illnesses. These participants were then subjected to the same questionnaire after the refresher training (post-test).

The pre- and post-test scores were tabulated and statistically analysed using EpiInfo Version 7.0 (public domain software package from Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA). Statistical significance of difference (taken as p-value <0.05) was calculated using Karl Pearson’s Chi-square test (with Mantel-Haenszel correction where applicable). Confidence interval (CI) was stated in the range of [Mean + (2 x Standard Error)] to [Mean - (2 x Standard Error)].

RESULTS

A total of 60 ANMs and 170 LWs participated in the pre-test, refresher training, and post-test. The joint exposure of all the participants to the same set of facilitators (subject experts) for refresher training and to identical pre-and post-test questionnaires would invalidate the likely consequences of confounding variables.

Table 1: Analysis of pre- and post-test scores of ANMs (N=60).

| Question topic                     | ANMs: Correct responses | Pre-test | Post-test | Chi² value | p-value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|------------|---------|
| STD prevention                    |                         | 52       | 58        | 3.89       | 0.048*  |
| Contraception (Copper-T)          |                         | 41       | 49        | 2.84       | 0.09    |
| Routine immunisation              |                         | 41       | 49        | 2.84       | 0.09    |
| Routine immunisation management   |                         | 56       | 58        | 0.696      | 0.404   |
| Emergency contraception           |                         | 44       | 39        | 0.977      | 0.323   |
| Contraception (oral pills)        |                         | 33       | 44        | 4.385      | 0.036*  |
| Universal Precautions             |                         | 47       | 58        | 9.142      | 0.002*  |
| Routine immunisation management   |                         | 28       | 58        | 36.62      | 0*      |
| Contraception (medical eligibility)|                         | 45       | 59        | 14.02      | 0.0002* |
| Emergency contraception           |                         | 34       | 25        | 2.7        | 0.1     |
| STD treatment                     |                         | 50       | 57        | 4.192      | 0.041*  |
| National Anti-malaria programme   |                         | 41       | 42        | 0.039      | 0.843   |
| Water hygiene                      |                         | 23       | 36        | 5.63       | 0.017*  |
| Routine immunisation              |                         | 41       | 47        | 1.53       | 0.215   |
| Routine immunisation              |                         | 04       | 05        | 0.119      | 0.73    |
| Routine immunisation              |                         | 58       | 59        | 0.339      | 0.56    |
| Routine immunisation management   |                         | 30       | 36        | 1.21       | 0.27    |
| National anti-malaria programme   |                         | 51       | 60        | 9.65       | 0.002*  |
| STD                               |                         | 52       | 58        | 3.89       | 0.048*  |
| IMNCI                             |                         | 40       | 60        | 23.8       | 0*      |
| ORS                               |                         | 49       | 56        | 3.70       | 0.054   |
| IMNCI                             |                         | 39       | 53        | 9.13       | 0.002*  |
| Male vasectomy                    |                         | 46       | 54        | 3.84       | 0.05    |
| IYCN                              |                         | 50       | 52        | 0.26       | 0.61    |
| Water disinfection                |                         | 56       | 60        | 4.1        | 0.042*  |

*Statistically significant; # Chi-square with Mantel-Haenszel correction (where applicable); ANM = Auxiliary Nurse Midwife; STD = Sexually transmitted disease; IMNCI = Integrated Management of Neonatal and Childhood Illnesses; IYCN = Infant and Young Child Nutrition.
Table 2: Analysis of pre-and post-test scores of LWs (n=170).

| Question topic                | LWs: Correct responses | Pre-test | Post-test | Chi² value | p-value |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|------------|---------|
| STD prevention                | 155                    | 167      | 8.422     | 0.003*     |         |
| Contraception (Copper-T)      | 123                    | 158      | 25.122    | 0*         |         |
| Routine immunisation          | 76                     | 113      | 16.31     | 0.00005*   |         |
| Emergency contraception       | 142                    | 160      | 9.6       | 0.002*     |         |
| Contraception (oral pills)    | 69                     | 125      | 37.64     | 0*         |         |
| Universal precautions         | 93                     | 150      | 46.86     | 0*         |         |
| Routine immunisation management | 88                    | 161      | 76.96     | 0*         |         |
| Contraception (medical eligibility) | 51                    | 147      | 111.45    | 0*         |         |
| Emergency contraception       | 108                    | 150      | 28.35     | 0*         |         |
| STD treatment                 | 108                    | 169      | 72.28     | 0*         |         |
| National antimalaria programme | 60                    | 77       | 3.53      | 0.06       |         |
| Water hygiene                 |                        |          |           |            |         |
| Routine immunisation          | 42                     | 147      | 131.35    | 0*         |         |
| Contraception (oral pills)    | 35                     | 61       | 9.182     | 0.002*     |         |
| Contraception (medical eligibility) | 159                  | 164      | 1.543     | 0.214      |         |
| STD treatment                 | 139                    | 135      | 0.301     | 0.583      |         |
| National antimalaria programme | 105                   | 158      | 47.16     | 0*         |         |

Participant-wise scores (ANMs)

Out of a maximum score of 25 (one mark per question), the mean pre-test score was 17.5 with a standard deviation (SD) of 2.76 (CI = 20.26 – 14.74) while the mean post-test score was 20.7 with a SD of 1.8 (CI = 22.5 – 18.9). The lower value of SD in the post-test indicated reduced dispersion of the scores. The median correct responses as well as fourth quartile responses to the pre- and post-test questionnaires showed improvement.

Participant-wise scores (LWs)

The mean pre-test score was 14.4 with a SD of 4.41 (CI = 18.81 – 9.99) while the mean post-test score was 20.9 with a SD of 2.52 (CI = 23.42 – 18.38). As in the case of ANMs, the lower value of SD in the post-test indicates diminished dispersion of the scores.

Comparison of participant-wise scores (ANMs & LWs)

All the quartile scores showed improvements but there was no improvement in the maximum scores since the maximum score was the highest possible score in the pre-test itself. The minimum scores increased with maximum improvements and the box plot for post-test (ANM) shows that the first quartile has merged with the median, which denotes overall improvement in scores (Figure 1).

Question-wise scores (ANMs)

The differences between the pre- and post-test scores were significant for responses to 13 out of 25 questions. These questions pertained to contraception, anti-malaria programme, universal precautions, Integrated Management of Neonatal and Childhood Illnesses.
(IMNCI) and water hygiene. As compared to the pre-test, the number of correct responses increased in the post-test except in two questions (Question Nos. 5 & 10) on emergency contraception (Table 1).

**Question-wise scores (LWs)**

Significant differences were observed between pre- and post-test scores for responses to 20 out of 25 questions. These questions related to topics such as, sexually transmitted diseases, emergency contraception and routine immunization. The number of correct responses increased in the post-test except in one question (Question No. 17) on management of routine immunization (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, ANMs improved their post-test correct responses (Table 1) to questions on sexually transmitted diseases while that of LWs (Table 2) showed statistically significant difference. However, some studies have reported significant gaps in knowledge and attitudes among paramedical staff in relation to HIV/AIDS. More than 50% of ANMs (Table 1) and LWs (Table 2) responded correctly in the pre-test and also showed significant improvement in their post-test scores in questions related to contraception and emergency contraception. However, low levels of awareness of emergency contraception have been reported among nursing staff, and nursing students. Access to emergency contraception is restricted by provider-related obstacles, such as, inadequate knowledge, prejudices, and limited distribution.

In the present study, ANMs showed marginal to significant improvement in their post-test responses to questions on routine immunisation (Table 1). However, the post-test responses of LWs showed statistically significant difference in five out of six questions pertaining to routine immunisation (Table 2). Studies have reported that paramedical field workers, such as ANMs, were the main source of information regarding immunisation.

In relation to questions on anti-malaria program, both ANMs and LWs showed marginal improvement in their post-test responses to question No. 12. However, in relation to question No. 18 on the same topic, both ANMs and LWs showed statistically significant improvement in their post-test responses (Tables 1 and 2). Studies have reported that community health workers were among the main sources of health information on malaria and that mass media (including television and radio) advertisement, workshops and seminars, and other health workers were among the sources of information on malaria.

As regards universal precautions, more than 50% of ANMs and LWs responded correctly in the pre-test and also showed significant improvement in their post-test scores (Tables 1 and 2). Induction training has been found to increase the awareness about and practice of personal protective devices, biomedical waste management, and post-exposure prophylaxis. Among paramedical workers, low levels of observance of hand washing and inadequate awareness of universal standard precautions, post-exposure prophylaxis, biomedical waste, HIV and hepatitis B have been reported.

On questions pertaining to Integrated Management of Neonatal and Childhood Illnesses (IMNCI), Infant and Young Child Nutrition (IYCN) and Oral rehydration solution (ORS), nearly 50% of both ANMs and LWs responded correctly in the pre-test and also showed significant improvement in their post-test scores. (Tables 1 and 2) Other researchers have reported lack of awareness among paramedical staff on various aspects of neonatal and child care.

Limitations of this cross-sectional study were that ANMs and LWs who attended the refresher training had been working for 5 years or more and 3 years or more, respectively. Due to the nature of this study, their present levels of knowledge, attitude and practice could not be compared with that at the time of induction.

**CONCLUSION**

Though the post-test results were encouraging, sustained efforts would be required to bring about the desired attitudes and practices. By deploying multiple information sources, precise health-based messages can be disseminated to the concerned health workers. Due to the widespread use of mobile phones, it is possible to conduct periodic refresher training through mobile phones at a much lower cost, as compared to traditional refresher training in classroom settings.
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