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Explorative assessment of coronavirus-like short sequences from host-associated and environmental metagenomes
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HIGHLIGHTS

- Coronavirus-like sequences can be retrieved from complex metagenomes.
- The short sequences are retrievable from DNA datasets that are free of RNA viruses.
- Their occurrence may explain incorrect detection of SARS-CoV-2.
- Processing parameters should be carefully considered for virome analyses.

ABSTRACT

The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has not only globally caused a high number of causalities, but is also an unprecedented challenge for scientists. False-positive virus detection tests not only aggravate the situation in the healthcare sector, but also provide ground for speculations. Previous studies have highlighted the importance of software choice and data interpretation in virome studies. We aimed to further expand theoretical and practical knowledge in bioinformatics-driven virome studies by focusing on short, virus-like DNA sequences in metagenomic data. Analyses of datasets obtained from different sample types (terrestrial, animal and human-related samples) and origins showed that coronavirus-like sequences have existed in host-associated and environmental samples before the current COVID-19 pandemic. In the analyzed datasets, various Betacoronavirus-like sequences were detected that also included SARS-CoV-2 matches. Deepening analyses indicated that the detected sequences are not of viral origin and thus should not be considered in virome profiling approaches. Our study confirms the importance of parameter selection, especially in terms of read length, for reliable virome profiling approaches. Natural environments are an important source of coronavirus-like nucleotide sequences that should be
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1. Introduction

The worldwide pandemic of COVID-19 (CoronaVirus-Disease-2019) due to SARS-CoV-2 started in 2019, and has since affected more than 170 million humans and caused close to 4 million deaths (WHO). Both numbers were still rising at the time point of concluding this study. It is commonly accepted that SARS-CoV-2 (also known as hCoV19 and 2019-nCoV), the pathogen behind COVID-19, is a zoonotic virus which originated from bats (Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses, 2020; Zhou et al., 2020) and underwent most likely at least one more recombination event in another intermediate host, before being able to infect humans on a large scale (Ji et al., 2020). A similar mechanism has been already described for two other severe human pathogenic coronavirus outbreaks, SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, for which civets (Childs et al., 2007) and dromedary camels (Azhar et al., 2014) were identified as intermediate hosts respectively. Regarding SARS-CoV-2, the discussion about possible intermediate hosts is still ongoing and so far, snakes (Ji et al., 2020) and pangolins (Zhang et al., 2020) have been suggested. The occurrence of a specific mutation in the receptor binding domain of the spike protein is considered crucial, which consequently allows the virus to dock on human cells as has been already excellently reviewed elsewhere (Andersen et al., 2020). In addition to the unclear origin of SARS-CoV-2, the health sector had been drastically challenged by a scarcity of reliable detection methods. Indeed, the genome of SARS-CoV-2 is very similar to the health sector had been drastically challenged by a scarcity of reliable detection methods. Indeed, the genome of SARS-CoV-2 is very similar to

2. Methods

We searched for coronavirus-like short sequences in a variety of metagenomic samples, i.e. terrestrial, animal and human related samples. Moreover, we used a combination of in-house and previously published metagenomic datasets (Table S1) that were so far not associated with any coronavirus studies. The viral taxonomy of high-quality reads was assessed using two well-established taxonomic classifiers for metagenome and metatranscriptome studies, namely Kraken2 (Wood et al., 2019) and Kaiju (Menzel et al., 2016). Kraken2 uses a k-mer-based approach to classify individual metagenome reads by mapping all k-mers to the lowest common ancestor (LCA) of all genomes containing the given k-mer, whereas Kaiju searches for maximum exact matches on the protein-level using the Burrows–Wheeler transform. Kraken2 analysis was performed using default parameters against the Kraken viral database that contains ReSeq complete viral genomes/ proteins (retrieved on 18.12.2020) with addition of 18 Betacoronavirus complete genomes that are publicly available (Table S2). Kaiju was performed using medium (maximum exact match) mode against the NCBI RefSeq database (retrieved on 22.05.2020). Furthermore, we systematically aligned the metagenomic datasets (assembled contigs and merged paired end reads) against a list of primers and probes designed for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 isolate Wuhan-Hu-1 (SARS-CoV-2) detection by different internationally renowned institutes and published on the WHO website (Table S3) and SARS-CoV-2 isolate Wuhan-Hu-1 complete genome (GenBank accession no: MN908947.3) using BLASTn (with blastn-short for primer alignment) (Camacho et al., 2009). Degenerate primers were aligned separately for each possible nucleotide exchange. Prior to alignment, we used MEGAHIT v1.2.9 with meta-sensitive parameters (Li et al., 2015) to generate assembled metagenomic contigs. Alignment of short reads and contigs against the SARS-CoV-2 isolate Wuhan-Hu-1 complete genome were visualized with the Integrated Genomics Viewer (IGV) tool (version 2.4.10) (Thorvaldsdóttir et al., 2013). Subsequently, we performed BLASTx searches with read pairs that were tentatively identified as SARS-CoV-2 against the non-redundant protein sequence (nr) NCBI database (Sayers et al., 2020) [https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov; updated: 2021/01/10].
but in-depth assessment of viral communities as well as their detection and surveillance (Nieuwenhuijse et al., 2020; Sabatier et al., 2020; Wylie et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2021). Here, we want to emphasize that caution must be exercised when performing viral community profiling based on short reads due to the potential occurrence of incorrect identifications. Furthermore, we argue that while tools for short-read sequence alignments are fast, they may often not be sufficiently accurate.

By aligning short reads and contigs from the metagenomic datasets to the SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan-Hu-1 complete genome sequence (GenBank Accession no: MN908947.3), we detected a low number of matching short reads (minimum overlap of 18 bp and more than 90% identity; Fig. 1e; Table S4). The majority of the terrestrial samples included short-read alignments (8 of 9 samples) whereas no alignments were found for the human related samples. When contigs were assessed instead of short reads, only six could be aligned from the entire dataset (with low alignment coverage; 18–23 bp alignment length) to the SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan-Hu-1 complete genome (Table S5). Therefore, our analysis indicates that a minimum alignment length of 24 bp or 8 amino acids should be selected in order to avoid false identification of coronaviruses in complex samples. The majority of the aligned reads were located at positions 3200–3500 and 21,800–22,600 of the SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan-Hu-1 complete genome, which are annotated as ORF1a polyprotein and surface glycoprotein, respectively (Fig. 1e). Following BLASTX searches with the whole contigs, it was also confirmed that they are not coronaviruses (Supplementary Data 1).

Subsequently, we analyzed if the metagenomic datasets harbor complementary regions to test primers that are currently in use for SARS-CoV-2 detection. Out of the 55 primer and probe sequences published by the WHO (World Health Organization, 2020; Table S3), eleven could be detected at least once in at least one of the two datasets (short reads and contigs) when one mismatch was allowed (Supplementary Data 2). In most cases only one primer of a primer pair was detected; the only case where forward and reverse primers of the same primer pair were detected, was with the primers nCoV_IP2-12669Fw and nCoV_IP2-12759Rv from Institute Pasteur, Paris, France and China_ORF1ab_F and China_ORF1ab_R from China CDC, China. They showed complementarity to the plant metagenomes of *Pinus mugo* (mountain pine) and *Vaccinium myrtillus* (blueberry) respectively (Supplementary Data 2). In both cases, the respective qPCR probe, which would also be required for a clear...
positive test, could not be detected in any of the datasets. However, when the stringency was reduced to two mismatches, a complete set of primers and the corresponding probe (frlp_nCoV_IP4_14059Fw, frlp_nCoV_IP4_14146Rv and frlp_nCoV_IP4_140844Pr) from Institute Pasteur, Paris, France was detected in one plant metagenome, Sphagnum magellanicum (SM, peat moss; Supplementary Data 3). This was also the case for another primer-probe set (Jap_OrF1a_NIID_WH_1_F509, Jap_OrF1a_NIID_WH_1_R854, Jap_OrF1a_NIID_WH_1_Seq_F519 and Jap_OrF1a_NIID_WH_1_Seq_R840) from National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Japan, which was detected in one terrestrial sample obtained from the Aral Sea basin (AS10; Supplementary Data 3). In analogy to the short reads and contigs, also these reads were shown not to originate from coronaviruses (Supplementary Data 1). In previous studies, analytical sensitivity and efficiency comparisons of SARS-CoV-2 RT–qPCR primer–probe sets were conducted (Vogels et al., 2020). The authors suggested that probe nucleotide mismatches can affect sensitivity of the PCR as viruses evolve during outbreaks, which may alter the probe binding regions. In our study, we would not expect the primer-probe hits to lead to positive test results, because these reads are not viral elements and thus would not result in the expected amplicon fragments (Supplementary Data 1). However, we highlight the importance of eliminating environmental DNA during RNA extraction for SARS-CoV-2 detection, as well as of the stringency of subsequent PCR conditions (especially annealing temperature) to avoid false-positive detections.

Considering that we found SARS-CoV-2 complete primer-probe set matches, coronavirus-like sequences in environmental RNA or contaminating DNA provide a possible explanation for the occurrence of false positive RT–PCR tests that are frequently reported and a major cause for uncertainties (Surkova et al., 2020). We assume that shotgun metagenomic/transcriptomic approaches will be increasingly conducted for early detection of SARS-CoV-2 and other virus outbreaks, in particular for wastewater surveillance (Kolarévić et al., 2021; Venugopal et al., 2020) (or other environmental samples), and thus want to raise awareness of the potential occurrence of incorrect identification in complex datasets that can result from automated bioinformatic approaches based on short-read analyses.

4. Conclusions

We identified short nucleotide sequences with high similarity to coronaviruses in human, animal, and natural environments. They have a yet unknown origin and role in these ecosystems, which remains to be explored in the future. Nevertheless, we still want to stress that identification of short sequences with similarity to coronaviruses from metagenomic data is valuable. In case of insufficient removal of DNA prior to detection tests, the presence of these sequences might interfere with reliable SARS-CoV-2 detection, even if this could not be confirmed in the present study, as most primer-probe sets have so far only been validated against other known Betacoronaviruses and other viruses that cause respiratory diseases (e.g. Corman et al., 2020; Vogels et al., 2020). Due to the fact that SARS-CoV-2 has been detected already multiple times in wastewater samples, it has been proposed that wastewater surveillance might be a good strategy for early detection of SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks (Kolarévić et al., 2021; Venugopal et al., 2020). Our results indicate that profiling of non-assembled virome datasets by standardized microbiome analysis workflows or detection of primer-probe matches for real-time PCR tests is not reliable enough to avoid false identifications of coronaviruses in complex microbiomes such as those present in soil or wastewater. RNA-centric approaches with complete exclusion of contaminating DNA in combination with standardized primers and PCR parameters will be required to elucidate the distribution of coronaviruses and to distinguish them from coronavirus-like sequences. Such ambiguities are less likely to occur when large reference databases are used (e.g. NCBI Reference Sequence (RefSeq) database and NCBI BLAST nr database) where potential hits are not restricted to coronaviruses. However, when targeted searches with closed databases are required, the selection of representative sequence lengths (min. 24 bp or 8 amino acids) is crucial to avoid incorrect identifications. Results obtained from virome profiling should always be critically assessed; for example, when RNA viruses are detected in metagenomic datasets. Finally, we are confident that microbiome research can contribute to understand and predict further outbreaks and to implement required actions to avoid them, because viruses are part of the microbiome and their indigenous stability and plasticity is fundamental.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148494.
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