Using Telemedicine and Infographics for Physician-Guided Home Drain Removal
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Abstract

Objective. Measures to decrease hospital length of stay and outpatient visits are crucial during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Physician-guided home drain removal presents a potential opportunity for mitigating viral spread and transmission.

Methods. A prospective case series on patients undergoing major head and neck surgery with Jackson-Pratt drain placement was conducted. Patients were shown an infographic detailing drain care and removal at preoperative assessment and prior to discharge. At a 1-week follow-up telemedicine visit, patients were instructed to remove the drain under physician guidance. Patients were assessed 7 days after to determine complication rate and satisfaction.

Results. Twenty-five patients were enrolled with 100% patients undergoing successful drain removal at home with caregiver support. There were no complications reported at the 7-day postdrain removal time point, and overall patient satisfaction was high.

Discussion. Infographics and telemedicine are 2 synergistic strategies to guide safe and effective home drain removal.

Implications for Practice. This study demonstrates how telemedicine and an infographic can be effectively used in physician-guided home drain removal. During a time like the COVID-19 pandemic, innovative measures are necessary to curb transmission and infection. We propose a unique and replicable yet safe solution to limit unnecessary exposure and encourage other surgical providers to adopt a similar strategy.
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patients were provided with a sheet to record drain output as instructed by nurses in the perioperative setting. Upon discharge, the infographic is a powerful aid to visually represent step-by-step drain care and the removal process and mine the utility of using telemedicine and infographics to aid in drain removal.

Inclusion criteria were patients >18 years old presenting with head and neck pathology undergoing major surgical procedure with drain placement. Exclusion criteria were patients who refused to participate or those without adequate caregiver support to assist in drain removal.

Study of Intervention

Data on patient demographics, perioperative details, and postoperative complications were recorded. Patient demographics included age, sex, race, and insurance status. Perioperative details included surgical procedure, quantity of drains, site(s) of placement, and hospital length of stay. Postoperative complications included hematoma or seroma formation, bleeding, wound dehiscence, or infection 7 days after drain removal.

Measures

The primary outcome was success of patient-directed drain removal. Secondary outcomes included complications with and after drain removal.

Analysis

All descriptive statistics were performed using RStudio (RStudio, Inc).

Ethical Considerations

The authors had no potential conflicts of interest. Patients who were uncomfortable with the idea of physician-guided home drain removal received the standard of care. Participation was voluntary, and patients had the right to withdraw from the study at any point and the option to return to the office for drain removal.

Results

Patient Demographics

The study recruited 25 of 35 possible patients undergoing nonelective procedures with Jackson-Pratt drain placement. The average age of patients was 55.6 ± 15.9 years with 60% male and 80% white (Table 1). The most common insurance was private insurance in 16 (64%) patients followed by Medicare in 7 (28%) patients and Medicaid in 2 (8%) patients.
Perioperative Details

Most patients underwent oral cavity resection with neck dissection (8 patients, 32%), followed by parotidectomy (5 patients, 20%), cervicofacial advancement after Mohs surgery (3 patients, 12%), and transoral robotic surgery with neck dissection (3 patients, 12%) (Table 2). Four patients (16%) underwent free flap reconstruction. Most patients were able to be discharged the same day as their surgery. The average hospital length of stay was $0.52 \pm 0.46$ days (range, 0-5 days). All patients received 1 drain, with 18 drains placed in the neck, 5 drains in the extremities, and 2 drains in the chest. All patients who underwent free flap reconstruction surgery had neck drains removed prior to discharge and had a longer average hospital length of stay at 2.5 ± 1.7 days (range, 1-5 days).

Complications

No patients reported any complications such as hematomas, seromas, bleeding, wound dehiscence, or infection at the 7-day follow-up. No patients required immediate take back to the operating room or revision surgery.

Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic represents an unprecedented time in the modern history of health care, motivating hospital systems and health care providers to find innovative ways to continue to provide quality health care to patients. New inventions and creative solutions are being implemented and reported in the literature at an unprecedented speed. We report the novel use of telemedicine and infographics to educate patients on drain care and removal after major head and neck surgery. Twenty-five patients were able to successfully use both strategies simultaneously to self-remove drains with no complications to date. To our knowledge, this is the first study detailing the use of telemedicine and an infographic to improve patient education, thus decreasing hospital length of stay and reducing need for in-person office visits.
Early drain removal has been shown to improve quality of life. Vos et al. demonstrated that in 99 patients undergoing breast cancer surgery with placement of suction drains, patients who had their drains removed early (flow <30 mL/d) had significantly improved quality of life. No differences were observed in wound healing or the rate of infections, and up to 94% of patients preferred the earlier removal. A similar study in 5581 patients undergoing distal pancreatectomy demonstrated that early removal was associated with better clinical outcomes, such as death, fistula development, delayed gastric emptying, percutaneous drainage, length of stay, and readmission rates.9

It is no surprise that a major factor contributing to increased hospital length of stay and associated costs remains the use of surgical drains in head and neck surgery.10 While the use of neck drains following head and neck surgery is well detailed, timing of drain removal varies among surgeons and institutions. A prospective study in 47 patients who had undergone head and neck surgery to assess safety and cost-effectiveness of removing a neck drain when the amount of drainage was below 50 mL over a 24-hour period. Drain removal was successful in 91% of cases, with only 4 patients developing a seroma after removal. Other studies have demonstrated the efficacy and safety of early drain removal when output was less than 1 to 3 mL.12,13 Despite reported evidence supporting early drain removal, there continues to be considerable heterogeneity among otolaryngologists regarding drain usage, indication for insertion, and decision to remove.14 During an unknown and uncertain time like the COVID-19 pandemic, quality improvement projects to assess the use of surgical drains are crucial to limit unnecessary exposure for patients and health care staff. Although self-removing passive drains have already been successfully used in a unilateral neck dissection patient,15 this study demonstrates how the use of telehealth and infographics may be generalized in the appropriate clinical context.

With the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic to minimize infection risk, many hospitals are forbidding family members from visiting patients in the hospital and accompanying loved ones to their preoperative and postoperative visits. Such a mandate, while crucial to curb disease spread, may prevent caregivers from learning and asking questions about surgical drains and removal. As patients must typically remain in the hospital until drain output is deemed low enough by the physician (ie,<30 mL/24-hour period) or return to the outpatient clinic for drain removal, educating patients and caregivers on drain removal at home is an attractive strategy to minimize exposure. Drain removal is a technically simple procedure that does not necessitate increasing length of stay or a return outpatient clinic visit. To the appropriate candidate with proper education and guidance, drain removal can be performed at home with minimal risk.

We therefore propose using telemedicine and infographics as 2 synergistic strategies to improve patient education and minimize exposure risk to COVID-19. Even prior to the advent of the pandemic, telemedicine has provided significant cost savings and maintained high patient satisfaction in the otolaryngology community.16,17 Especially during the pandemic, telemedicine is a useful technique to provide care for patients while mitigating the clinical and financial impact of the pandemic.18,19 Another study completed by the authors assessed satisfaction in 100 patients using a validated telephone survey and found a high level of satisfaction with telehealth clinic visits.20 Infographics are an easy-to-understand, user-friendly method to communicate high-level health information to the layperson. The reporting of information combined with data

### Table 1. Patient Demographics (N = 25).

| Characteristic       | Mean (SD) or No. (%) |
|----------------------|----------------------|
| Age, y               | 55.6 ± 15.9          |
| Sex                  |                       |
| Female               | 10 (40)              |
| Male                 | 15 (60)              |
| Race                 |                       |
| White                | 20 (80)              |
| Black                | 3 (12)               |
| Asian                | 2 (8)                |
| Insurance status     |                       |
| Private insurance    | 16 (64)              |
| Medicaid             | 2 (8)                |
| Medicare             | 7 (28)               |

### Table 2. Perioperative Drain Details.

| Operation                                             | No. of drains per patient | POD discharge |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| Parotidectomy ± neck dissection                       |                           |               |
| Malignancy                                            | 3                         | 0             |
| Benign                                                | 2                         | 0             |
| Oral cavity resection + neck dissection               | 8                         | 1             | 0             |
| Transoral robotic surgery + neck dissection           | 3                         | 1             | 1             |
| Excision of neck mass                                 | 2                         | 1             | 0             |
| Large cervicofacial advancement after Mohs surgery   | 3                         | 1             | 0             |
| ALT free flap reconstruction                           | 2                         | 1             | 2             |
| Fibula free flap reconstruction                       | 1                         | 1             | 5             |
| Pectoralis major flap reconstruction                  | 1                         | 1             | 1             |

Abbreviations: ALT, anterolateral thigh; POD, postoperative day.

*aAll patients undergoing reconstruction surgery had neck drains removed prior to discharge.*
visualization through charts and diagrams allows the typical reader to better remember and apply the information than reading alone.\textsuperscript{21} In our series of patients, the concept and process of drain removal was introduced multiple times through the combination of telemedicine and infographics before removal was attempted at home. By repeating several iterations of the same information during a multiweek time period, patients became more familiar and comfortable with the idea of individual drain removal.

Twenty-five patients were prospectively enrolled into this study, and 100\% patients reported successful removal of the surgical drain. Ten patients did not have help at home and/or were not comfortable with removing a drain at home and therefore returned for an in-person clinic visit for drain removal. A recent study on 71,440 weighted hospital admissions for head and neck cancer using the 2014 National Inpatient Sample database found that the average hospitalization cost was US$18,371 with an average length of stay of 6.6 days.\textsuperscript{22} Of those, 5163 patients (36.1\%) underwent a major operating procedure with an average admission cost of $18,828 (95\% CI, $17,988-$19,668). In addition to the significant costs associated with increased hospital length of stay, patients are at an increased risk for hospital-acquired conditions and nosocomial infections. Especially during the COVID-19 pandemic, limiting exposure risk and infection rates is paramount to reducing patient morbidity and helping curb disease transmission. Allowing patients to remove their drain at home further reduces travel time and expenses and inevitable opportunity costs associated with taking time off.

No complications (ie, seroma, hematoma, bleeding, dehiscence, infection) were observed in our cohort at 7 days after drain removal. Patients subjectively reported increased satisfaction with the entire process, citing “it was surprisingly easier than I thought” and “this is much easier than having to drive into the office.” When asked about the ease and comfort of drain removal, patients reported “the handout helped and knowing you [K.R.] were there watching the whole thing, we felt very comfortable.” Overall, patient satisfaction was high regarding the use of telemedicine and the infographic to guide drain removal.

There are a few limitations to our study. First, selection bias in our cohort may prevent generalizability to the overall patient population. Our study population had family members and/or caregiver support to assist with drain removal at home. Age and other related patient characteristics may have biased the lack of complications and satisfaction with the process. Patients who were uncomfortable were not coerced into participation. The combination of using telemedicine and infographics may prove to be challenging in older patients with less technological experience or caregiver support. Nonetheless, this study demonstrates the successful use of telemedicine and infographics in the appropriate candidate. Second, our patient cohort size was 25 patients, which may be underpowered to assess the true rate of complications after drain removal at home. However, given the urgent call for innovative measures to reduce exposure risk during the COVID-19 pandemic, the strategy of using telemedicine and infographics is timely and relevant.

**Implications for Practice**

This study demonstrates the effective and safe use of combining telemedicine and an infographic to educate and guide patients on drain removal at home. Decreasing hospital length of stay and number of postoperative outpatient visits is a cost-effective and essential goal during the COVID-19 pandemic to help mitigate unnecessary exposure to patients and providers. This is a sustainable and replicable approach to providing quality and continuous patient care and can be applied to other surgical fields outside of otolaryngology. Further studies assessing the use of both telemedicine and infographics in larger cohorts are necessary to measure complication rates and long-term outcomes. However, given the urgent nature of the COVID-19 pandemic, we encourage other otolaryngologists and surgeons to adopt a similar strategy and to use the infographic, as appropriate, in their own patient population.
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