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Abstract – Distance relay identifies the type and location of fault by measuring the transmission line impedance. However any other factors that cause miss calculating the measured impedance, makes the relay detect the fault in incorrect location or do not detect the fault at all. One of the important factors which directly changes the measured impedance by the relay is series capacitive compensation (SCC). Another factor that changes the calculated impedance by distance relay is fault resistance. This paper provides a method based on the combination of distance and differential protection. At first, faulty transmission line is detected according to the current data of buses. After that the fault location is calculated using the proposed algorithm on the transmission line. This algorithm is based on active power calculation of the buses. Fault resistance is calculated from the active powers and its effect will be deducted from calculated impedance by the algorithm. This method measures the voltage across SCC by phasor measurement units (PMUs) and transmits them to the relay location via communication channels. The transmitted signals are utilized to modify the voltage signal which is measured by the relay. Different operating modes of SCC and as well as different faults such as phase-to-phase and phase-to-ground faults are examined by simulations.
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1. Introduction

Distance relays are one of the most useful relays in transmission lines. However, several factors are causing relay may not properly function in the transmission line. Series capacitive compensation is one of the common devices to increase the exchange power in transmission lines. The second factor is fault resistance that cause increasing of the measured impedance by the distance relay and relay under-reach. There were many attempts to investigate these effects in various power system protections. Majority of the researches have surveyed these effects on impedance relays such as the distance relays of transmission lines and loss-of-excitation (LOE) relays of synchronous generators, which both operate based on the impedance measurements. The distance relay performance is analyzed in [1-11] in the presence of FACTS controllers. These studies include three major investigation categories; which deal with; a) shunt-FACTS such as static Var compensator (SVC) and static synchronous compensator (STATCOM) [1-4], b) series-FACTS such as SSSC and thyristor controlled series capacitor (TCSC) [5-7], and c) shunt-series-FACTS such as unified power flow controller (UPFC) and generalized interline power-flow controller (GIPFC) effects [8-11]. The results of these articles expresses that the series and shunt-series-FACTS controllers have more negative effects on the distance relays operation than other devices. This is due to the fact that they increase the zero sequence component of the injected voltage during fault. Also, it is observed that the most severe effects occur under phase-to-ground fault, which usually lead to the relays under-reaching.

The LOE relay performance is studied in [12-15] in the presence of FACTS controllers. It is shown in [12, 13] that the presence of STATCOM and SVC causes delay in the LOE relay response. Also [14] shows that the GIPFC cause delay in the LOE relay response and a PMUs-based modified LOE relay has been presented. It is also shown in [15] that the presence of SCC and static synchronous series compensator (SSSC) causes the LOE relay to be under-reached. Majority of recent studies use the PMUs to measure the voltage and current signals which are injected by the FACTS as these controllers are usually located in the middle of the transmission line and it is necessary to transmit the measured data to the relays location. This method is used in [10] to modify the distance relay operation in the presence of UPFC using a generalized regression neural network algorithm. In [14], the signals of PMUs which are located at both ends of the transmission line are transmitted to relay location to eliminate the negative effects of GIPFC on the LOE relay. Also [16-18] utilize PMUs to eliminate the series capacitive compensators effects on the distance relays. These studies use a relay which is not able to calculate the fault resistance ($R_f$).

This paper represents that the presence of SCC in transmission line reduces the measured impedance by relay. In other words it causes relay over-reaching which disturbs...
the backup protection of transmission lines. Finally, a simple and feasible method is proposed to remove the detrimental effects of the SCC on the measured impedance of the distance relays. The proposed method is a combining of differential and distance protection. The data of buses containing voltage and current signals are sent to the relay location or system protection center (SPC). Then using obtained phasors, the effect of fault resistance and SCC is removed from the measured impedance. In this method, protection zones are not changed and backup protection is established similar to the distance protection. Since in this method, the calculated impedance is modified, so the fault location is identified precisely. The proposed method doesn’t use intricate algorithms and its capability for various high resistance faults has been proved.

2. Modelling of System with Distance Relayes

The active power transmitted by transmission line is reversely proportional to the reactance of the line. Hence it’s common, compensating the reactance to maximize the exchanged power in transmission line. With the recent advances in power systems, the SCC controllers have taken the place of the conventional compensators. These devices possess advantages such as controlling capabilities and quick response under critical conditions. The test power system (shown in Fig. 1) comprises three transmission lines which each one has 200 km length. The series compensator is placed at the middle of the line-2 and distance relays (which each one has three protective zones) are located at the beginning of the lines. For instance, the zone-1 of the relay \( R_1 \), comprises 80% of the transmission line-1; the zone-2 comprises entire of line-1 and 50% of the line-2; and the zone-3 comprises whole of lines-1 and 2 and also 20% of the line-3. The other relays are similar to \( R_1 \). Also, backup protection has been provided with applying delay to the operation of zones-2 and 3 of the distance relays. The modelled distance relay can measure the fault resistance. Since high-resistance faults cause the relays under-reaching, different methods have been proposed to eliminate the under-reaching [19-23]. Some of them change the protective zones of relays so that the calculated impedance by relays falls into the right protective zones even under high-resistance faults conditions. This concept, so-called trip boundaries, is explained in the following sections.

3. Impact of SCC on Distance Protection

The positive, zero and negative sequence networks of the power system from the sight of \( R_B \) relay is shown in Fig. 2. In this figure, SCC has a series impedance making the SCC functions as a variable or controllable voltage source while the current flows through this impedance. The positive–sequence voltage at \( R_B \) relay location \((V_{1B})\) can be expressed as:

\[
V_{1B} = xZ_{LL}I_{1B} + R_fI_{1f} + \Delta V_1 + V_{1f}
\]  

(1)

The negative \((V_{2B})\) and zero \((V_{0B})\) sequence voltages are extracted from Fig. 3 in the same way:

\[
V_{2B} = xZ_{LL}I_{2B} + R_fI_{2f} + \Delta V_2 + V_{2f}
\]  

(2)

and

\[
V_{0B} = xZ_{LL}I_{0B} + R_fI_{0f} + \Delta V_0 + V_{0f}
\]  

(3)

For a single-phase-to-ground fault, the following

\[
V_{1B} = I_{1B}(x-0.5)Z_{L2} + (1-x)Z_{L2} \Delta I_{1B} + \Delta V_{1B} + V_{1B}
\]  

(4)

\[
V_{2B} = I_{2B}(x-0.5)Z_{L2} + (1-x)Z_{L2} \Delta I_{2B} + \Delta V_{2B} + V_{2B}
\]  

(5)

\[
V_{0B} = I_{0B}(x-0.5)Z_{L2} + (1-x)Z_{L2} \Delta I_{0B} + \Delta V_{0B} + V_{0B}
\]  

(6)

Fig. 1. Single-line diagram of multi-line system with the SCC
equations are used:

\[ I_B = I_{1B} + I_{2B} + I_{0B} \]  
\[ I_f = I_{1f} + I_{2f} + I_{0f} \]  
\[ \Delta V = \Delta V_1 + \Delta V_2 + \Delta V_0 \]

and

\[ V_B = V_{1B} + V_{2B} + V_{0B} \]  

Replacing the voltages \( V_{1B}, V_{2B} \) and \( V_{0B} \) into equation (7) and considering that \( V_{1f} + V_{2f} + V_{0f} = 0 \) is valid for single-phase-to-ground fault, the following equation can be derived:

\[
V_B = \frac{xZ_{1L}(I_{1B} + I_{2B} + I_{0B})}{I_B} - xZ_{1L}I_{0B} + \frac{R_f(I_{1f} + I_{2f} + I_{0f}) + xZ_{0L}I_{0B}}{I_f} + \frac{(\Delta V_1 + \Delta V_2 + \Delta V_0)}{\Delta V}
\]

\[
xZ_{1L}I_B + xI_{0B}(Z_{0L} - Z_{1L}) + R_fI_f + \Delta V
\]

The purpose is acquiring the impedance of transmission line from the relay location until fault location \((xZ_{1L})\). After simplifying the (8) the (9) is achieved.

\[ V_B = xZ_{1L}I_{A-G} + xI_{0B}(Z_{0L} - Z_{1L}) + R_fI_f + \Delta V
\]

Calculated impedance by relay \( (Z_{Relay}) \) can be derived as below:

\[ Z_{Relay} = \frac{V_B}{I_{A-G}} = xZ_{1L} + \frac{R_f}{I_{A-G}} + \frac{\Delta V}{\Delta Z_{RF}} \]

According to (10), it can be observed that without series capacitor the terminal voltage of capacitor \( (\Delta V) \) is equal to zero. When the fault resistance is zero the \( \Delta Z_{RF} \) will be zero and consequently the relay operates properly and the measured impedance is equal to \( xZ_{1L} \). With the presence of series capacitor and fault resistance, the measured impedance by relay is not equal to \( xZ_{1L} \) anymore. Two terms \( \Delta Z_{SCC} \) and \( \Delta Z_{RF} \) are added to \( xZ_{1L} \). So it is not possible to identify the fault location by means of an ordinary method and as a result the relay will operate incorrectly.

For a phase-to-phase fault, one can write:

\[ V_{1f} + aV_{2f} = 0 \]  

Fig. 3. Apparent impedance seen by conventional relays for an A–G fault at 150 km from the \( R_B \)

Where \( a=0.5+j0.866 \). For A–B fault, the apparent impedance measured by \( R_B \) relay is:

\[
Z_{A-B} = \frac{V_{1B} - a \cdot V_{2B}}{I_{A-B}} = \frac{V_{1B} - a \cdot V_{2B}}{I_{A-B}}
\]

\[
xZ_{1L} + \frac{\Delta V_1 - a \cdot \Delta V_2}{\Delta Z_{A-B}}
\]

For a phase-to-phase fault, the impact of compensator on the apparent impedance is expressed by \( \Delta Z_{A-B} \). Since the series compensator affects the calculated impedance via the voltage across of terminals \( (\Delta V) \), thus by knowing this voltage and transmitting it to the relay location, it's possible to recognize and calculate these effects. In the next section, this method is explained by the results of simulations.

Results of simulation for single phase-to-ground fault, A-G, 150 km away from \( R_B \) relay and 350 km away from \( R_A \) relay (F1 fault) are depicted in Fig. 3. This fault is occurring at the zone-2 of \( R_A \) relay and zone-1 of \( R_B \) relay.

The results in Fig. 3 show that the relays easily detect the fault in their correct zones when the SCC is not connected to the line-2. At presence of SCC the calculated impedance by the relays diminishes which causes both relays over-reaching. This over-reaching of \( R_A \) relay is very severe so that the fault falls into zone-2 of the relay. The results provided in Fig. 3 belong to 50% compensation operation modes of the SCC. Exclusive discussions about the SCC effects on distance relays performance are presented in many articles as well. Herein, the main goal of this paper which is proposing a method to eliminate the negative effects has been investigated.

4. Modified Distance-Differential Protection Scheme

Analytical analysis indicates that distance relay measuring
the transmission line impedance can detect the type and location of the fault.

But as it can be seen, the presence of fault resistance and SCC changes the calculated impedance by the relay, which leads to relay mal-operation. Nowadays, utilizing PMUs and communication channels makes it feasible to use differential protection in transmission lines which does not have problems of the distance relay. Differential protection would not be affected by the fault resistance however, this type of protection can only discriminate the existence of the fault and it’s not able to identify the fault location. The following method is combination of distance and differential protection methods which has their advantages simultaneously.

Nowadays, the PMUs are widely used to improve the performance of power system equipment like the relays and stabilizers. This method uses PMUs to measure the required information of different locations in the power system and sends them to the controlling center; then, by means of these data an adequate control signal is generated to boost system performance. Communication channels like optical fibers are utilized in this method to transmit the data [24]-[26]. Using current information of the buses and their inequality during the fault, fault condition is detected. Then, using voltage and current information of the buses, active power related to the buses B and C are calculated. The sum of this active power is lost in \( x^* R_{L2} \) and \( (1-x)^* R_{L2} \) and \( R_f \) resistors (Fig. 4). We will have:

\[
P_B + P_C = x R_{L2} I_B^2 + (1-x) R_{L2} I_C^2 + R_f (I_B + I_C)^2 \tag{13}
\]

In (13), \( R_f \) is much larger than \( x^* R_{L2} \) and \( (1-x)^* R_{L2} \), therefore these two resistances can be ignored. As a result, the above equation can be simplified as follows:

\[
R_f \geq \frac{P_B + P_C}{(I_f)^2} \tag{14}
\]

Now in (14), \( P_B \) and \( P_C \) are calculated and current data are available and then the only unknown \( (R_f) \) will be calculated. After calculating \( R_f \), its effect \( \Delta Z_{R_f} \) from calculated impedance by (10) is eliminated. Therefore, \( R_f \) effect is eliminated and calculated impedance by the relay is corrected and using that, fault location or \( x \) is obtained. For simplification, this method is brought in the Fig. 4. Voltage and current data in both ends of the transmission line are transmitted to the system protection center (SPC). Firstly, currents in both ends of the transmission line are compared and if their differences is bigger than \( I_{set} \) (setting current), it shows that fault exists. In the second step, using current and voltage data, active power is calculated in both ends of the transmission line. Then, using (14), \( R_f \) is calculated and using (10), \( \Delta Z_{R_f} \) will be calculated. As it was seen in theoretical analyses, the presence of SCC changes the calculated impedance by the relays because the \( \Delta V \). To eliminate this term, the voltage across the compensator is measured as shown in Fig. 1 and is sent to SPC and using (10), \( \Delta Z_{R_f} \) is calculated. After detecting the fault condition, using voltage and current in both ends of the transmission line, line impedance (same as distance relay) is calculated. Each distance relay has six elements to identify different faults. For example in (10), equation of A-G element is presented. In the last step, obtained \( \Delta Z_{R_f} \) and \( \Delta Z_{SCC} \) are deducted from the calculated impedance. Therefore, from modified impedance, \( x \) or fault location is obtained. In this algorithm at first, fault is immediately detected from current information and after that the fault location is estimated according to receiving data from PMU and using proposed method. In other words, the calculation process of fault location identification is separated from fault detection and is performed after that. So it has no delaying effect on relay performance. The simulation results for A-G and A-B faults at presence of SCC are depicted in Figs. 5 (a) and (b), respectively. The faults are 110 km from the relay \( R_B \) and the presented results belong to 50% compensation. Regarding the results, the presence of SCC decreases the relay measured impedance which causes the relay over-reaching. Furthermore, using the voltage across SCC eliminates its effect on the measured impedance by relay. Results of simulations for different compensations and different fault locations are provided in Table 1. The faults location is deliberately selected so that the SCC falls into the desired fault loops. The distances in the Table 1

---

**Fig. 4.** Modified differential-distance protection scheme
Table 1. Performance of conventional (Con) and modified (Mod) distance relay with

| Fault Distance | 310 km | 350 km | 400 km | 450 km |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| A-G Fault      |        |        |        |        |
| 20% Com        | 7.25Ω  | 0.004Ω | 7.24Ω  | 0.010Ω |
| 50% Com        | 18.3Ω  | 0.009Ω | 18.3Ω  | 0.013Ω |
| 70% Com        | 25.5Ω  | 0.012Ω | 25.9Ω  | 0.020Ω |
| A-B Fault      |        |        |        |        |
| 20% Com        | 11.4Ω  | 0.021Ω | 11.5Ω  | 0.027Ω |
| 50% Com        | 28.7Ω  | 0.043Ω | 28.6Ω  | 0.017Ω |
| 70% Com        | 40.2Ω  | 0.005Ω | 40.2Ω  | 0.027Ω |
| A-G Fault      |        |        |        |        |
| 20% Com        | 7.25Ω  | 0.004Ω | 7.24Ω  | 0.010Ω |
| 50% Com        | 18.3Ω  | 0.009Ω | 18.3Ω  | 0.013Ω |
| 70% Com        | 25.5Ω  | 0.012Ω | 25.9Ω  | 0.020Ω |

Fig. 6. Trip boundaries calculated by the A–G element of $R_B$ in the presence of SCC (70% compensation)

Finally, comparing the conventional relay with the modified relay, it is concluded that the modified method decreases the impedance difference into less than 0.1 Ω for all different types of faults and under all the operating modes. For example, the maximum over-reaching for 70% compensation belongs to A-B fault occurring in 310 km away from the relay $R_f$ with an amount of 40.2 Ω whereas the new modified method eliminates it by decreasing it into 0.005 Ω. In other words, the modified method eliminates the SCC negative effects under any operating modes of the system. The results presented above belong to zero fault resistance. Herein, the new modified method’s feasibility and capability in the presence of the SCC under high-resistance faults are investigated. Application of “trip boundaries” is a reliable method for evaluating the fault resistance effect on the measured impedance by the relay. The fault location and resistance are considered as two notable parameters in this method. At the first step, the fault is located at the beginning of transmission line and the fault resistance ($R_f$) is increased from zero to 300 Ω. At second step, the fault resistance is fixed to 300 Ω and its location is varying from the beginning to the end of the transmission line. At the third step, the fault is located at the end of the line and the fault resistance is changing from 300 Ω to 0 Ω. At the final step, the fault resistance is fixed to zero and the location is varying from the end of the transmission line to its beginning. The $R_f$ and fault location increments are considered to be 30 Ω and 20 km, respectively. The trip boundaries for A-G fault in the presence of 70% SCC are demonstrated in Fig. 6. It is
comprehended that the presence of SCC divides the trip boundaries into two parts: part-1 for the faults which occur at the left side of the compensator and part-2 for the faults occurring at the right side of the compensator. For the part-2, the compensator is fallen in the fault loop. When the fault occurs at the left hand side of the compensator, the compensator is not fallen in fault loop and it affects the measured impedance provided that its resistance is not zero. Generally without SCC the faults located at the end of line-2 and after 160 km should be fallen in zone-2 of relay but according to the figure it’s crystal clear that the presence of SCC caused relay overreaching and all faults have been fallen in its zone-1. On the other hand, using the novel method has solved this problem and all faults located at the end of line-2 and after 160 km are truly detected and identified in zone-2. As it is demonstrated in this figure, the new method improves the relay sensitivity and accuracy under all fault types and operating modes. As it is observed in this figure, for all operating modes, the calculated impedance by the relay in the new algorithm falls in the right protective zones, while, in the conventional algorithm, there are conditions that the calculated impedance does not fall in the true zones (over-reaching) which means the system protection does not operate appropriately at those points.

5. IEEE 14-Bus Power System

One of the major objectives of this paper is to prove that the presence of SCC disturbs the backup protection of adjacent lines. From one side, distance relays have three operational zones. So, three consecutive lines have been used to clarify all three zones, as indicated in the Fig. 1. On the other hand since the transmission lines used in power systems are more complicated than in Fig. 1, the proposed method is examined for an IEEE 14-bus standard system which is depicted in Fig. 7.

A transmission line from bus-2 to bus-4 (line 2-4) has been considered which has an adjacent line and more complexity. Fig. 8 (a) illustrates the results of an A-G fault occurred in line 2-4 and 150 km away from bus-2 with assuming \(R_f=0\) \(\Omega\) and 50% compensation. As it can be observed, without SCC the relay has detected the fault in its zone-1. The presence of SCC reduces the impedance which leads to miss locating the fault by relay where the relay has detected the fault at 98 km. However, using the proposed method the relay has discriminated the fault truly and the calculated impedance by relay is equal for both cases (with SCC and without SCC). The results of the same fault assuming \(R_f=100\) \(\Omega\) are presented in Fig. 8 (b). The results indicate that fault resistance has significantly changed the measured impedance by relay. The proposed method has modified the change in impedance so that the calculated impedance by the relay is equal in both cases (\(R_f=0\) and \(100\) \(\Omega\)). In other words the presented method has eliminated the impact of fault resistance as well as the
capacitor effect on measured impedance by the relay simultaneously.

6. Conclusion

This paper investigates the effects of SCC, on the impedance measured by distance relays. The theoretical and simulation results indicated that the presence of SCC substantially affect the relays performance. The SCC always causes the relay to be over-reaching where it is more critical in case of phase-to-phase faults. It was observed that under different percentages of series compensation the distance relay operation is changing due to the SCC which disturbs the backup protection of relay. This paper provides a method that the voltage and current data of buses are sent to the SPC and faulty transmission line is detected according to the currents at the ends of lines (such as differential relay). Then with calculating the active power in buses, the fault resistance is obtained and its effect is deducted from calculated impedance in the algorithm. Also in this method, the voltage across the SCC is measured and transmitted to the SPC through communication channels for eliminating the effects of the SCC. Finally, the presented method has infrastructure of differential protection with difference that it can detect fault location.
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