Sorafenib-Induced Grade Four Hepatotoxicity in a Patient with Recurrent Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor (GIST): A Case Report and Review of Literature
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Abstract

Gastrointestinal stromal tumor is a rare mesenchymal tumor. Sorafenib is an effective medication in these tumors based on two phase II clinical trials and a retrospective analysis. We report a rare case of a 57-year-old male with acute hepatotoxicity from sorafenib. He was treated conservatively with IV fluids and prednisolone. Liver function tests improved over 2 months. We conclude that sorafenib could cause life-threatening hepatotoxicity and patients taking sorafenib need to be closely monitored.

Introduction

Mesenchymal tumors including gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) are rare tumors of the GI tract and comprise less than 1% of primary GI tumors. These tumors can involve any part of the GI tract, omentum, and mesentery. The primary treatment is surgical resection of a tumor larger than 2 cm. The majority of tumors have C-kit mutation and some contain a platelet-derived growth factor receptor polypeptide gene (PDGFR-A) mutation. The kit mutation is thought to drive the tumor. The risk of progressive disease is high in a tumor larger than 2 cm and >5 mitoses per 50 microscopic high-power field (HPF) in tissue sections. There are 3 FDA-approved drugs for metastatic GIST: imatinib, sunitinib, and regorafenib. Sorafenib is a recommended treatment option based on National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines. We report a case of NCIC common toxicity criteria (CTC) grade 4 hepatotoxicity caused by sorafenib in a patient with GIST and review the literature for sorafenib-induced severe hepatotoxicity.

Case Report

A 57-year-old Vietnamese male with history of coronary artery disease status post-percutaneous coronary intervention 8 years ago with consequent systolic heart failure (ejection fraction of 35–40%) presented to the hospital with abdominal pain. He did not drink alcohol and his medications include metoprolol, quinapril hydrochloride, tamsulosin, aspirin, and atorvastatin. CT scan of the abdomen demonstrated small bowel obstruction resulting from a 9.9 x 6.4-cm mass arising from the small bowel. During emergent surgery, the tumor was removed with en bloc resection of small bowel, sigmoid colon, and portion of rectum. The pathologic specimen confirmed multifocal GIST with a high Ki-67. The tumor was C-kit (CD 117-stem cell factor receptor) positive.

He was offered adjuvant imatinib but he declined due to concerns for side effects. Surveillance CT scan 6 months later showed recurrence of disease. He was given imatinib, and, 1 month later, developed severe NCIC CTC grade 3 diarrhea and abdominal pain with normal liver function tests (LFTs). The imatinib was stopped.
Sunitinib is often used in patients who are resistant to or intolerant to imatinib, but can worsen underlying heart failure and was avoided in this patient. His LFTs were normal when he was prescribed sorafenib 200 mg twice daily. He reported feeling better after 1 month; side effects included grade 1 fatigue and dizziness but no diarrhea or hand-foot syndrome. His LFTs remained normal.

Two months later, he noticed darkening of urine color and worsening abdominal pain. He developed frank jaundice within a few days but no mental status alteration. He was admitted to the hospital for supportive care. Blood serology revealed normal alpha 1 antitrypsin, ceruloplasmin, and no evidence of viral hepatitis, Epstein-Barr virus, cytomegalovirus, or autoimmune hepatitis. Triple phase CT showed hepatic steatosis and pelvic masses consistent with his known recurrent GIST. Biopsy of the liver showed moderate acute hepatitis with parenchymal necrosis, prominent canicular cholestasis, and lymphocytic infiltrate (Figure 1). His ALT and AST levels peaked to 1,193 U/L and 766 U/L, respectively, prior to total bilirubin peak at 23 mg/dL (direct bilirubin 20 mg/dL) after 2 weeks (Figure 2). His prothrombin time increased to 15.7 seconds and INR to 1.25. His alkaline phosphatase increased to 285 U/L.

This agent is commonly used for patients with Child Pugh A and selected patients with Child Pugh B unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Preclinical studies suggest sorafenib is active in imatinib-resistant GIST. This was thought to be due to activity of sorafenib against secondary Kit mutations. There was no NCI CTC grade IV hyperbilirubinemia or elevation of liver enzymes in the phase II study of sorafenib in resistant and refractory GIST patients, and this is the first case report of NCI CTC grade IV hepatotoxicity in patients with GIST.

In a small phase II trial of metastatic GIST resistant to imatinib or sunitinib, sorafenib has shown a disease control rate of 36%. The progression-free survival and overall survival was 4.9 months and 9.7 months, respectively. A retrospective analysis of sorafenib as third or fourth line treatment in 124 patients with advanced GIST showed a response rate of 10% and stabilization of disease in 57% of patients. In another phase II study, the use of sorafenib in 38 patients with imatinib and sunitinib-resistant GIST resulted in a disease control rate of 68%.

In a randomized phase III trial of sorafenib in unresectable HCC, 1% of patients had grade IV liver toxicity, even though most of these patients had baseline Child Pugh A cirrhosis. Of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who received Sorafenib in a large phase III trial, the incidence of grade IV increase in AST was 2%, ALT 1%, and hyperbilirubinemia 2%; however, the experimental arm (brivanib) had the same incidence of hepatotoxicity.

Sorafenib is one of the FDA-approved TKI in renal cell carcinoma; in a pivotal phase III trial, no hepatotoxicity was documented. It is possible that these patients in this trial had relatively healthy livers compared to those with hepatocellular...
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Our patient’s liver toxicity also happened between 6-8 weeks after initiation of the drug. This review of literature suggests relative increased risk of hepatotoxicity in patients with underlying liver damage by liver cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, or hepatic metastases. However, our case illustrates that hepatotoxicity is also possible in patients with normal liver function. It is not clear what increases the risk of hepatotoxicity, as the reported phase III RCC trials do not detail whether there were drug interactions, underlying liver metastases, or liver disease in patients who developed hepatotoxicity. Sorafenib is commonly used in hepatocellular and renal cell carcinoma, and we suggest careful, regular monitoring of liver function tests during treatment.
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