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Abstract

Background

Recurrent implantation failure affects 3–5% of women, which means failing to implant after two or more high-quality embryo transfer cycles. The aim of this study was to assess the relationship between recurrent implantation failure and sexual function in infertile Iranian women.

Methods

This was a case-control study of 180 infertile Iranian women (90 infertile women with recurrent implantation failure and 90 infertile women with no implantation failure). A demographic questionnaire and the Female Sexual Function Index were used for data collection. Data analyzed by means of chi-square, independent t-test and linear regression.

Results

The mean scores of different domains of sexual function including (desire, lubrication, arousal, orgasm, pain, satisfaction) were significantly lower in the group with RIF compared to the group without RIF. The total score of sexual function was significantly lower in the RIF group than in the RIF-free group (23.11 ± 2.24, vs. 25.99 ± 2.35, p < 0.001). Women in the RIF group were 2.65 times more likely to have a low score of sexual function compared to the women with no RIF (p < 0.001).

Conclusion

Women experiencing recurrent implantation failure may be at particular risk for reduced sexual function. Sexual function issues should be treated as an important component of comprehensive care.

Plain Language Summary

Recurrent implantation failure means failing to implant after two or more high-quality embryo transfer cycles. The aim of this study was to assess the relationship between recurrent implantation failure and sexual function in infertile Iranian women. In this study 180 infertile Iranian women (90 infertile women with recurrent implantation failure and 90 infertile women with no implantation failure) were recruited. A demographic questionnaire and the Female Sexual Function Index were used for data collection. The mean scores of different domains of sexual function including (desire, lubrication, arousal, orgasm, pain, satisfaction) were significantly lower in the group with recurrent implantation failure compared to the group without. The total score of sexual function was significantly lower in the recurrent implantation failure group than in the group without. Women in the recurrent implantation failure group were 2.65 times more likely to have a low score of sexual function compared to the women with no recurrent
implantation failure. Women experiencing recurrent implantation failure may be at particular risk for reduced sexual function. Sexual function issues should be treated as an important component of comprehensive care.

**Background**

Infertility is one of the crises of married life that the World Health Organization defined as a disease of the reproductive system, failure to achieve pregnancy after 12 months or more of unprotected regular sexual intercourse (1). In vitro fertilization (IVF) is often the best solution for many couples with different types of infertility (2). Only one-third of women receiving IVF treatment will develop an intra-uterine pregnancy (3). However, a significant number of patients remain subject to recurrent implantation failure (RIF) (4). RIF affects 3–5% of women, which in several studies defined in the absence of implantation following two or more high-quality embryo transfer cycles, intracytoplasmic injection of sperm, or frozen embryos (5–6). The prevalence of RIF in developed countries is 3–4%, in developing countries 6–7% and in Iran is about 5–8% (7). Women with RIF are more likely to experience anxiety (86%), depression (40.8%), stress (24%), and decreased quality of life (70%), which can lead to sexual dysfunction in women (8–9).

The human sexual response cycle is a physiological process that includes the stages of desire, arousal, orgasm, and suppression. Sexual dysfunction is defined as any problem that occurs in this cycle or fails to reach orgasm (10). The prevalence of sexual dysfunction in a domestic study in Iran was reported at 31.5% (11). Women with sexual dysfunction experience mood instability, anxiety, stress, and reduction in life satisfaction (12). According to previous studies, the rate of anxiety and depression in women with implant failure due to uncertainty about the cause of failure, financial problems and the duration of re-treatment, is maximized (13). There is a significant relationship between the seriousness of depression and anxiety with female sexual satisfaction and performance. This means that with the increase of depression and anxiety, the rate of sexual dysfunction increases and the marital relationship in women is done solely for the purpose of having children without any satisfaction in the relationship (14). On the other hand, following implantation failure, higher stress levels and lower quality of life have been reported, and increased stress and reduced quality of life lead to sexual dysfunction and decreased sexual satisfaction (15–16). Furthermore, ovarian stimulant drugs that used in the infertility treatment process, followed by implantation failure, will cause hormonal changes and a decrease in hormones that affect sexual function, which may lead to sexual dysfunction in women (17). Numerous studies show that long-term treatments for infertility lead women to have negative self-beliefs, concerns about sexual appeal, feelings of rejection, sexual dysfunction, and marital problems (18). Most studies have focused on the effect of one-time IVF failure on quality of life, stress, anxiety and depression and sexual function (19), and there is little data on the relationship between recurrent infertility treatment failure and sexual function. As a result, this study was designed to assess the relationship between recurrent implantation failure and sexual function among infertile Iranian women.

**Materials And Methods**
Study design

This study was a case-control study involving 180 infertile women who referred to infertility clinics in Ahvaz, Iran. The study commenced in October and concluded in November 2020. The design of the study was approved by the Ethics Board of the Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences (Ref: IR.AJUMS.REC.1399.073). This study was conducted in two infertility clinics in Ahvaz.

Participant

In this study, we recruited infertile women with a history of recurrent implantation failure (90) and infertile women with no recurrent implantation failure (n=90). Inclusion criteria were as follows: age between 18 and 45 years, basic literacy, at least one year has passed from the infertility, without any history of implantation failure for the control group and history of at least two or more implantation failures for the case group. The exclusion criteria were as follows: women who were taking medication that had an effect on sexual function, psychological disorders and diseases that had effect on sexual function. Prior to the data collection, written informed consent was obtained from each participant and anonymity of participants was maintained.

Sample size

The sample size of this study was calculated from the previous study (20) and based on the following formula:

\[
 n = \left( \frac{Z_{\alpha/2} + Z_\beta}{SD_1^2 + SD_2^2} \right)^2, \quad (\mu_1 = 4.7, \mu_2 = 6, \alpha = 0.05, \beta = 0.1, SD_1 = 2.4, SD_2 = 2.9, Z_\beta = 1.32, Z_{1-\alpha/2} = 1.96).
\]

Considering 90% power for this study the final sample size in each group calculated to be 90 women.

Measures

To collect data, we used a demographic questionnaire and the Female Sexual Function Index. The demographic questionnaire included questions about age, age of husband, occupation, educational attainment and the economic status. The Female Sexual Function Index contains 19 questions. Two questions have been provided to measure sexual desire, four for sexual arousal, four for lubrication, three for orgasm, three for satisfaction, and three for measuring pain. The score for each domain was multiplied by a certain factor: 0.6 for desire, 0.3 for arousal and lubrication, and 0.4 for other domains. The minimum and maximum rating for all areas is 2 and 36, respectively (21). Scores below 26.5 are considered reduced sexual function. The Persian version of this questionnaire is available, and its validity and reliability have proven in other studies (22).

All women requested to complete both questionnaires, when one of the researchers (SG) was available, if participants had any questions.
Statistics

The data was analyzed using SPSS version 22. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test the normal distribution of data. The independent t-test and Chi-Square tests were used for numerical and categorical data respectfully. The Linear regression was used to detect relationship between RIF and sexual function when adjusted for confounding variables. In all cases, we have considered the significance level as $\leq 0.05$.

Results

In this study, 180 infertile women referred to the Ahvaz infertility centers, of which 90 had RIF and 90 had no RIF. The sociodemographic information of both groups is shown in Table 1. With the exception of age (participants in the RIF group were considerably older), women in two groups did not show any significant difference regarding demographic characteristics. Causes of infertility in the RIF group were female factor (33.3%), male factor (34.4%), unexplained infertility (32.2%) and in the group without RIF were female factor (37.8%), male factor (41.1%) and unexplained infertility (21.1%), ($p = 0.239$) (Table 2).
Table 1
Socio-demographic characteristics of participants in two groups

| Variables                      | With RIF n = 90 | Without RIF n = 90 | P-value |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------|
| Mean ± SD                      |                 |                    |         |
| Age(y)                         | 33.34 ± 5.34    | 31.03 ± 5.56       | 0.005   |
| Age of marriage(y)             | 24.10 ± 6.26    | 22.76 ± 5.29       | 0.123   |
| Duration of marriage(y)        | 9.26 ± 4.45     | 8.02 ± 4.73        | 0.071   |
| N(%)                           |                 |                    | 0.816   |
| **Occupation of women**        |                 |                    |         |
| House maker                    | 80(88.9)        | 79(87.8)           |         |
| Employee                       | 10(11.1)        | 11(12.2)           |         |
| **Education of women**         |                 |                    | 0.879   |
| Primary                        | 17(18.9)        | 14(16.7)           |         |
| High school                    | 16(17.8)        | 14(15.6)           |         |
| Diploma                        | 29(32.2)        | 34(37.8)           |         |
| University education           | 28(31.1)        | 27(30.0)           |         |
| **Occupation of men**          |                 |                    | 0.947   |
| Unemployed                     | 3(3.3)          | 3(3.3)             |         |
| Self-employee                  | 42(46.7)        | 41(45.6)           |         |
| Employee                       | 32(35.6)        | 35(38.9)           |         |
| Manual worker                  | 13(14.4)        | 11(12.2)           |         |
| **Education of men**           |                 |                    | 0.690   |
| Primary                        | 12(13.3)        | 8(8.9)             |         |
| High school                    | 10(11.1)        | 14(15.6)           |         |
| Diploma                        | 33(36.7)        | 33(36.7)           |         |
| University education           | 35(38.9)        | 35(38.9)           |         |
| **Economic situation**         |                 |                    | 0.056   |
| Poor                           | 20(22.2)        | 9(10.0)            |         |
| Moderate                       | 60(66.7)        | 65(72.2)           |         |
### Variables

| Variables          | With RIF n = 90 | Without RIF n = 90 | P-value |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------|
| Good               | 10(11.1)        | 16(17.8)           |         |

**Table 2**

Fertility and infertility of participants in two groups

| Variables                      | With RIF n = 90 | Without RIF n = 90 | P-value |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------|
| Mean ± SD                      |                 |                    |         |
| Duration of infertility (Y)    | 7.48 ± 4.49     | 4.81 ± 3.29        | < 0.001 |
| Cause of infertility           |                 |                    | 0.239   |
| Men                            | 31(34.4)        | 37(41.1)           |         |
| Women                          | 30(33.3)        | 34(37.8)           |         |
| Unknown                        | 29(32.2)        | 19(21.1)           |         |
| Type of infertility treatment  |                 |                    | 0.358   |
| IVF                            | 71(78.9)        | 69(76.7)           |         |
| ICSI                           | 5(5.6)          | 10(11.1)           |         |
| Frozen fetus                   | 14(15.6)        | 11(12.2)           |         |

As evident in Table 3, the mean scores of different domains of sexual function, including (desire, lubrication, arousal, orgasm, pain, and satisfaction) was significantly lower in the group with RIF in comparison to the group without RIF. Moreover, the total score of sexual function was significantly lower in the group with RIF in comparison to group without RIF (23.11 ± 2.24 vs. 25.99 ± 2.35, p < 0.001). Nearly 90% of infertile women with RIF were sexually dysfunction.
Table 3
Comparison of female sexual function index in two group with and without RIF

| Sexual dysfunction       | With RIF n = 90 | Without RIF n = 90 | p-value  |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------|
| Desire                   | 3.43 ± .68      | 4.07 ± 0.52        | < 0.001* |
| Arousal                  | 3.77 ± 0.79     | 4.34 ± 0.75        | < 0.001* |
| Lubrication              | 3.01 ± 0.55     | 3.21 ± 0.42        | 0.006*   |
| Orgasm                   | 3.56 ± 0.65     | 3.91 ± 0.50        | < 0.001* |
| Satisfaction             | 4.60 ± 0.90     | 4.99 ± 0.62        | 0.001*   |
| Pain                     | 4.74 ± 1.09     | 5.41 ± 0.64        | < 0.001* |
| Total sexual dysfunction | 23.11 ± 2.49    | 25.99 ± 2.32       | < 0.001* |

*Significant

Table 4 shows the results of linear regression for the relationship between the RIF and the components of sexual function when adjusted for confounding variables. As evident from this table, women with RIF were 0.614 times more likely to have a low sexual desire, were 0.568 and 0.206 times more likely to have low sexual arousal and lubrication. There were 0.334 and 0.321 times more likely to have a weak orgasm and sexual satisfaction. For pain domain, there was not any significant difference between two groups with and without RIF when we entered poor economic status, but when we entered moderate and good economic status, results showed that women with RIF were 0.577 and 0.74 times more likely to have pain during intercourse in comparison with women without RIF (p < 0.05). Women with RIF were 2.65 times more likely to have a low overall sexual function score than women without RIF (p < 0.001).
Table 4
Relationship of recurrent implantation failure (RIF) with sexual function using Linear Regression

| Variable                | Coef  | Std error | t     | p      | 95% CI      |
|-------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|--------|-------------|
| Sexual desire           | -0.614| 0.934     | -6.58 | < 0.001| -0.799 -0.430|
| Sexual arousal          | -0.568| 0.117     | -4.82 | < 0.001| -0.801 -0.335|
| Lubrication             | -0.206| 0.075     | -2.72 | 0.007  | -0.355 -0.056|
| Orgasm                  | -0.334| 0.090     | -3.71 | < 0.001| -0.513 -0.156|
| Sexual satisfaction     | -0.321| 0.118     | -2.72 | 0.007  | -0.554 -0.008|
| Pain                    |       |           |       |        |             |
| Moderate economic situation | -0.577| 0.144     | -3.99 | < 0.001| -0.863 -0.291|
| Good economic status    | -0.747| 0.267     | -2.80 | < 0.01 | -1.300 -0.194|
| Total sexual function   | -2.658| 0.378     | -7.17 | < 0.001| -3.389 -1.926|

All analyses adjusted for age and the economic status.

Discussion
The purpose of this study was to compare the sexual function between two groups of infertile women with or without a RIF. The findings showed that women with RIF had a low score of all areas of sexual function, including sexual desire, arousal, lubrication, orgasm, satisfaction, and pain. Lo SS, in their study evaluated the sexual function of couples undergoing assisted reproductive techniques. Their results showed that the IVF-treated and IUI-treated groups were similar in terms of scores for sexual dysfunction scores, which is similar to the results of this study (23). Smith et al (24) conducted a study to evaluate the sexual function of women who underwent in-vitro fertilization and found that in the IVF-treated group, 38% had low libido, 15% had decreased orgasm, 14% had vaginal dryness, and 13% had dyspareunia. Similarly, quality of life of among participants decreased significantly. The results of Smith et al's study are similar to what we found in our study, except for that, we found all domains of sexual function as well as total number of sexual function was lower than that in Smith et al's study. This case is due to the fact that the score of sexual function in Iranian women is lower than that in other countries. A systematic review of 18 studies involving 3419 infertile women showed that 64.3% of infertile Iranian women suffer from sexual dysfunction (25).

Karli, found that women with poor ovarian reserve and infertility has more sexual dysfunction than women with an unknown cause of infertility (26). In Leiblum's study (27), which examined sexual function in three groups of successful IVF, unsuccessful IVF and adoption a child, showed that women who succeeded in their IVF were more satisfied with their sexual function than that women without success and women who adopted a child. They are similar to our findings.
According to our investigation, Coşkuner Potur et al (28) performed a study to examine sexual dysfunction in infertile women, found a significant difference between the two groups of fertile and infertile women regarding sexual dysfunction, by this way that in infertile group, the rate of sexual dysfunction was higher. Infertility is well established as a cause of stress and anxiety in women. But it is not clear that whether or not stress cause infertility (29). Also, stress, anxiety and depression have a negative relationship with sexual function in women, with the most negative effect of depression (30).

In the present study, although the total score of sexual function in the group without RIF was not satisfactory, but it was significantly higher than that in the women with RIF.

**Limitations Of The Study**

Despite its strengths, this study has certain limitations. First we have not recruited women randomly; it means that participants in this study are not representatives of the infertile women of Ahvaz. Second, talking about sexual issues in Iranian culture is a taboo and the information about sexual function may have affected by this issue. Furthermore, we did not assess the stress and anxiety of participants and these two factors may have affected sexual function beside of RIF.

**Conclusion**

Women with recurrent implantation failure may be particularly at risk for decreased sexual function. Sexual function problems may have a significant impact on overall quality of life during fertility treatment and should be treated as an important component part of comprehensive care.
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