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Background: High concentrations of various heavy metals of mine tailings can easily contaminate the environment. Such materials need monitoring and remediation to prevent them from polluting the environment and food chain.

Methods: The concentrations of certain metals were evaluated in the soil samples at Sorkheh and Mazraeh copper mining areas in northwestern Iran, using three reliable pollution indices. We assessed the ability of three plants, *Alhagi maurorum* and *Stachys inflata* at Sorkheh mines, and *Cirsium vulgare* at Mazraeh mines, the dominant plants grown in those areas, in taking up the metals from the associated soils. The plant and soil samples were analyzed inductively by coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS).

Results: The results revealed that the soil samples at Sorkheh and Mazraeh mining areas were highly contaminated with As at CF values of 16.9 and 4.6, respectively. The soil samples from the two mining areas were moderately contaminated with Pb and Zn. The data confirmed that *A. maurorum* and *S. inflata* had a good ability to accumulate Cd, Mo and Sc from the soils in Sorkheh area. The other plant, *C. vulgare*, accumulated Zn and Pb at 1891 mg/kg and 18.6 mg/kg, respectively. The studied plants were good indicators of heavy metals contaminating the soils in these mining areas.

Conclusion: This study provided geochemical evidence about the heavy metals that contaminated the soils in the mining areas, and contributed new information about these toxic metals and their concentrations in the three plants and the soil samples.
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**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** High concentrations of various heavy metals of mine tailings can easily contaminate the environment. Such materials need monitoring and remediation to prevent them from polluting the environment and food chain.

**Methods:** The concentrations of certain metals were evaluated in the soil samples at Sorkheh and Mazraeh copper mining areas in northwestern Iran, using three reliable pollution indices. We assessed the ability of three plants, *Alhagi maurorum* and *Stachys inflata* at Sorkheh mines, and *Cirsium vulgare* at Mazraeh mines, the dominant plants grown in those areas, in taking up the metals from the associated soils. The plant and soil samples were analyzed inductively by coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS).

**Results:** The results revealed that the soil samples at Sorkheh and Mazraeh mining areas were highly contaminated with As at CF values of 16.9 and 4.6, respectively. The soil samples from the two mining areas were moderately contaminated with Pb and Zn. The data confirmed that *A. maurorum* and *S. inflata* had a good ability to accumulate Cd, Mo and Sc from the soils in Sorkheh area. The other plant, *C. vulgare*, accumulated Zn and Pb at 1891 mg/kg and 18.6 mg/kg, respectively. The studied plants were good indicators of heavy metals contaminating the soils in these mining areas.

**Conclusion:** This study provided geochemical evidence about the heavy metals that contaminated the soils in the mining areas, and contributed new information about these toxic metals and their concentrations in the three plants and the soil samples.
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**Introduction**

Heavy metals are the major environmental pollutants, which emerged as a consequence of rapid industrialization [1]. Different physical, chemical and biological processes have been recently used for their monitoring and remediation in contaminated areas [1, 2]. The cost involved and the environmental acceptability are the two key factors that should be considered in the selection and application of remediation technologies [1, 3]. Phytoremediation, as an effective, economical and biological approach can be one of the practical strategies for monitoring and harvesting heavy metals from contaminated sites [4, 5]. Metal concentrations detected in plant samples are reliable indices in selecting suitable and tolerant species [6-8].

The potentials of such plants as *Dactylis glomerata* [9], *Polypogon fugax* [10], and *Viola principis* [11] in...
accumulating As, Cu, Cd, and Ni in their aerial parts have previously been confirmed. The accumulating plants cannot only be used for the bio-remediation of the polluted environments but some of them have been identified as useful indicators of metal mineralization [10].

Iran is one of the richest countries in mineral deposits, with many vast areas where the soils are contaminated with heavy metals due to leftover materials, i.e., mine tailings [9]. Oftentimes, there is not enough knowledge about the concentrations of various heavy metals in the plants grown over such polluted lands [11].

The two mining areas in northwest Iran, Sorkheh and Mazraeh, rich in skarn deposits, are the major sediment-hosted, stratiform areas with copper mines [12, 13] were selected for the purpose of the present study. Two plant species, *Alhaji maurorum* from the Fabaceae and *Stachys inflata* from Lamiaceae plant families were selected from the Sorkheh area. Also, *Cirsium vulgare* from the Asteraceae plant family was abundant in Mazraeh area. These three plants were selected to study their bioaccumulation potentials.

The aim of the present study was to investigate the heavy metal contaminations of the copper mining areas in northwestern Iran and to determine the potentials of the local plants for the absorption and/or remediation of the contaminations. The knowledge to be gained could confirm the plants’ suitability for the remediation of the contaminated soils in the studied areas.

**Materials and Methods**

**Mining Sites:** Two copper mining sites, Mazareh and Sorkheh, in northwestern Iran were studied. Mazraeh skarn mine is located about 20 km north of Ahar in eastern Azerbaijan Province, northwest of Iran (47° 4’ E and 38° 39’ N). The other mining site, Sorkheh, is located near Marand in northwestern Iran (45° 26’ E and 38° 28’ N). In both areas, copper mineralization is evident by the abundant malachite-azurite staining on weathered rock surfaces. Figure 1 represents the maps of the studied areas.

**Soil and Plant Sampling, Preparation and Characterization:** In the spring of 2017, the soil and aerial plant samples were collected. These were indigenous plants from Sorkheh (*Alhaji maurorum* and *Stachys inflata*) and Mazraeh copper mines (*Cirsium vulgare*) (Figure 2). The soil samples were collected randomly from a depth of 30-40 cm around the rhizosphere of the plants. The soil samples were air-dried at room temperature, oven-dried at 100°C for 3 h, then were ground and passed through a 2-mm mesh sieve. To determine the elemental contents, the soil samples were digested by an aqueous mixture of HCl and HNO₃ acids at 95°C for 1 h [14]. The digests were analyzed, using HP Agilent 4500 ICP-MS (USA) at the laboratories of Zar Azma Company (Tehran, Iran).

**Figure 1.** a. Map of the Sorkheh; and b. Mazraeh; mining areas in northwest of Iran
The samples of the plants’ aerial parts were washed with tap water followed by distilled water. They were dried at the room temperature for 10 days. The air-dried plant samples were digested in HNO₃ for 1hr; then were kept in the HCl-HNO₃-H₂O mixture for 1hr at 95°C and analyzed on the ICP-MS apparatus [15].

Assessment of Soil Contaminations: In order to assess the extent of Pb, As, Zn, Cd, Mo and Sc contaminations in the soil samples from Sorkheh and Mazraeh areas, the following indices were used: Enrichment Factor (EF), Contamination Factor (CF) and geo-accumulation index (Igeo). The EF was calculated based on the normalization of one metal content in the sample with respect to the reference concentration for that metal [16]. A reference element is one with a stable amount in different soil samples. Iron was used as a Reference Element (RE) for the determination of EF, as represented by Equation 1 below:

\[ EF = \frac{C_{\text{metal}}}{C_{\text{RE}}} \text{in sample} / \frac{C_{\text{metal}}}{C_{\text{RE}}} \text{in the earth crust} \]

The Taylor’s average crustal abundance value was used as \(C_{\text{background}}\) for each metal in the soil samples [19]. With CF value being less than one, there was no metal contamination in the soil samples. But a CF<3 represented a moderate contamination, CF<6, there was a considerable contamination; and CF>6 indicated a very high contamination for a given metal. The geo-accumulation index has been described by Muller [20] and is determined by Equation 3 as follows:

\[ I_{\text{geo}} = \log_{2} \left( \frac{C_{n}}{1.5 \times B_{n}} \right) \]

Where \(C_{n}\) is the metal concentration in the soil, \(B_{n}\) refers to the average crustal abundance value for that metal, and 1.5 is a coefficient for the normalized background metal concentration. Based on the Muller’s classification [20], when \(I_{\text{geo}} < 0\), the soil is not contaminated with a given metal. With \(I_{\text{geo}}\) between 0-1 refers to uncontaminated to slightly contaminated soil. Ranges between one and two represent a moderately polluted state, and 3-4 represents strongly polluted soils. \(I_{\text{geo}}\) values between 4 and 5 represent strongly to extremely contaminated, and finally, \(I_{\text{geo}} > 5\) represents extremely contaminated soils [20].

Biological Absorption Coefficient: The Biological Absorption Coefficient (BAC) was calculated to find the absorption intensity of the plants from their substrates, using Equation 4 as follows [21]:

\[ BAC = \frac{C_{p}}{C_{s}} \]
where $C_p$ is the concentration of an element in the plant and $C_s$ is the concentration of the same element in the soil samples. Based on the magnitude of BAC, the bio-accumulation capability of each plant for the element was classified into five groups as follows: BAC>10: very strong accumulation; 1-10: strong accumulation; 0.1-1.0: moderate accumulation; 0.01-0.1: weak accumulation; and 0.001-0.01: very weak accumulation [22].

Statistical Analyses: In order to identify the relationship between each element in the plant samples and their related soils, the Pearson’s correlation analysis was performed using SPSS V. 24.

Results

Soil Pollution Indices: The results of the pollution indices, EF, CF, and $I_{geo}$ from the sampling sites are shown in Tables 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Based on the Muller’s classification for EF, the toxic element, As, was severely enriched in Sorkheh area (Table 1). In the soil samples from Mazraeh area, there was moderate enrichment of As, Cd and Mo and minor enrichment of Pb and Zn.

As shown in Table 2, the mean CF values in Sorkheh area were in the order of As (16.9)>Pb (1.6)>Zn (1.1)>Cd (0.80)>Sc (0.60)>Mo (0.07). Based on the Hakanson’s scale [18], the soil samples from Sorkheh area were considerably contaminated with As (CF>6), and moderately contaminated with Pb and Zn. In contrast, the CF values for Pb, Zn, Cd and Mo in the soil samples from Mazraeh area fell between 3 and 5, indicating that they were moderately contaminated with those elements. The geo-accumulation index for the soil samples from Sorkheh area indicated that they were strongly contaminated with As ($I_{geo}$=3.3). The mean $I_{geo}$ values for the soil samples from Mazraeh area were in the order of As>Cd>Mo>Pb>Zn>Sc (Table 3).

Distribution of elements in soil and plant uptakes

Lead: The mean Pb concentration in the soil samples from Sorkheh and Mazraeh mines were 17.5 and 17.8 mg/g, respectively. Figures 3A-3C illustrate the behavior of the three plant species in accumulating Pb from the soil samples.

Arsenic: The soil samples from Sorkheh and Mazraeh areas were contaminated with As at 30000 and 8000 μg/kg (Tables 1-3). The mean contents for As in the plant samples were 1246, 2262 and 1180 μg/kg for A. mau- rorum, S. inflata and C. vulgare, respectively (Figures 3D-3F).

Figure 3. The concentrations of lead, arsenic and zinc in A. mauerorum, S. inflata and C. vulgare plant samples. Metal Concentrations: Pb=a, b & c; As=d, e & f; and Zn=g, h & i.
Table 1. EF index for metals in the soil samples from the two mining areas

| Sampling Site | Cd  | Mo  | Sc  |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|
|               | Sorkheh | Mazraeh | Sorkheh | Mazraeh | Sorkheh | Mazraeh |
| 1             | 0.57 | 2.68 | 0.079 | 1.06 | 0.989 | 0.85 |
| 2             | 1.40 | 1.91 | 0.103 | 1.47 | 0.875 | 0.82 |
| 3             | 1.49 | 2.26 | 0.104 | 3.25 | 0.915 | 0.46 |
| 4             | 1.79 | 3.97 | 0.119 | 1.31 | 0.961 | 0.23 |
| 5             | 0.31 | 5.50 | 0.041 | 9.88 | 0.221 | 0.26 |
| EF (Mean)     | 1.11 | 3.27 | 0.09 | 3.39 | 0.8 | 0.52 |

Table 2. CF index for metals in the soil samples from the two mining areas

| Sampling Site | Pb  | As  | Zn  |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|
|               | Sorkheh | Mazraeh | Sorkheh | Mazraeh | Sorkheh | Mazraeh |
| 1             | 2.67 | 1.02 | 6.33 | 4.13 | 1.49 | 1.00 |
| 2             | 2.92 | 0.79 | 38.63 | 2.61 | 1.60 | 0.95 |
| 3             | 1.67 | 0.839 | 25.1 | 4.55 | 1.62 | 1.29 |
| 4             | 1.43 | 1.319 | 38.23 | 4.86 | 1.59 | 1.64 |
| 5             | 1.15 | 2.77 | 8.34 | 3.41 | 0.59 | 1.36 |
| CF (Mean)     | 1.97 | 1.34 | 23.32 | 3.91 | 1.38 | 1.25 |

NE: No Enrichment; ME: Minor Enrichment; ME: Moderate Enrichment; SE: Severe Enrichment.

NC: Not Contaminated; MC: Moderately Contaminated; CC: Considerable Contaminated; VHC: Very High Contaminated.
Table 3. $I_{geo}$ index for metals in the soil samples from the two mining areas

| Sampling Site | Cd       | Mo       | Sc       |
|---------------|----------|----------|----------|
|               | Sorkheh | Mazraeh  | Sorkheh  | Mazraeh  | Sorkheh | Mazraeh |
| 1             | -1.58   | 1        | -4.43    | -1.17    | -0.80   | -1.51   |
| 2             | -0.58   | 1.22     | -3.45    | 0.8      | -1.27   | -1.55   |
| 3             | -0.58   | 1.41     | -4.42    | 0.47     | -1.29   | -1.56   |
| 4             | -0.58   | 1        | -4.49    | -0.91    | -1.48   | -1.84   |
| 5             | -1.58   | 1.22     | -4.49    | 1.91     | -2.08   | -1.71   |
| $I_{geo}$ (Mean) | -0.98   | 1.17     | -4.44    | 0.21     | -1.38   | -1.63   |

| Sampling Site | Pb       | As       | Zn       |
|---------------|----------|----------|----------|
|               | Sorkheh  | Mazraeh  | Sorkheh  | Mazraeh  | Sorkheh | Mazraeh |
| 1             | 0.63     | -1.23    | 1.8      | 1.62     | -0.21   | -1.29   |
| 2             | 0.47     | -0.77    | 4.19     | 1.67     | -0.39   | -1.32   |
| 3             | -0.42    | 0.02     | 3.49     | 2.42     | -0.47   | 0.61    |
| 4             | -0.91    | 0.63     | 3.83     | 1.29     | -0.76   | -0.52   |
| 5             | 0.29     | 0.23     | 3.16     | 0.53     | -0.67   | -0.96   |
| $I_{geo}$ (Mean) | 0.013   | -0.22    | 3.31     | 1.51     | -0.50   | -0.70   |

NC: Not Contaminated; MC: Moderately Contaminated; SC: Strongly Contaminated

Figure 4. The concentrations of cadmium, molybdenum and scandium in the A. maurorum, S. inflata and C. vulgare plant samples. Metal Concentrations: Cd=a, b & c; Mo=d, e & f; and Sc=g, h & i.
Zinc: The mean Zn contents in the plant samples were 45.8 and 23.2 mg/kg for *A. maurorum* and *S. inflata*, respectively (Figures 3G & 3H). In contrast, the mean Zn content in the plant samples from *C. vulgare* was 1891 mg/kg (Figure 3I).

Cadmium: The mean Cd contents in the soil samples from Sorkheh and Mazraeh mining areas were 0.15 and 0.70 mg/kg, respectively. The elemental mean contents of Cd in *A. maurorum*, *S. inflata* and *C. vulgare* plant samples were approximately 1.35, 0.55 and 0.30 mg/kg, respectively. Considering the lack of Cd in the soil samples from the Sorkheh mines (Tables 1-3), its contents in the plant samples were higher than that for the background contents in all of the five sampling sites (Figures 4A & 4B) and *C. vulgare* in the Mazraeh area acted as an excluder plant (Figure 4C).

Molybdenum: The mean Mo concentrations in the copper mining areas were 0.1 and 3.5 mg/kg for Sorkheh and Mazareh, respectively. The Mo concentrations in all plant samples from Sorkheh area (0.2-0.6 mg/kg) were

| Variables | Soil | *A. maurorum* |
|-----------|------|---------------|
|           | Cd   | Mo | Sc | Pb | As | Zn | Cd | Mo | Sc | Pb | As | Zn |
| Cd        | 1    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Mo        | 0.39 | 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sc        | -0.05| 0.46| 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Pb        | -0.63| 0.44| 0.39| 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| As        | 0.82 | 0.44| -0.35| -0.35| 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Zn        | -0.28| 0.62| 0.87| 0.73| -0.41| 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |

*Correlation was significant at P<0.05 level (2-tailed). **Correlation was significant at P<0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 4. Pearson’s correlation coefficients for metals in the soil of Sorkheh mining area and the *A. maurorum* plant samples

| Variables | Soil | *S. inflata* |
|-----------|------|--------------|
|           | Cd   | Mo | Sc | Pb | As | Zn | Cd | Mo | Sc | Pb | As | Zn |
| Cd        | -0.11| -0.41| 0.91*| 0.92*| -0.35| 0.85| 1  |    |    |    |    |    |
| Mo        | 0.54 | 0.27| 0.38| 0.17| 0.72| 0.36| 0.27| 1  |    |    |    |    |
| Sc        | 0.004| -0.39| 0.80| 0.8 | -0.19| 0.73| 0.96**| 0.32| 1  |    |    |    |
| Pb        | 0.43 | -0.003| 0.02| -0.04| 0.36| -0.08| 0.30| 0.29| 0.56| 1  |    |    |
| As        | 0.09 | -0.02| -0.18| -0.38| 0.92*| -0.13| -0.43| 0.56| -0.33| 0.10| 1  |    |
| Zn        | -0.56| -0.69| 0.40| 0.55| -0.7 | 0.36| 0.59| -0.56| 0.59| 0.25| -0.63| 1  |

*Correlation is significant at P<0.05 level (2-tailed). **Correlation is significant at P<0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 5. Pearson’s correlation coefficients among amount of metals in the soil samples of Sorkheh mining area and *S. inflata* branches.

Zinc: The mean Zn contents in the plant samples were 45.8 and 23.2 mg/kg for *A. maurorum* and *S. inflata*, respectively (Figures 3G & 3H). In contrast, the mean Zn content in the plant samples from *C. vulgare* was 1891 mg/kg (Figure 3I).
more than those found in the soil samples (0.10-0.11 mg/kg) (Figures 4D & 4E). The mean concentration of Mo in the *C. vulgare* plant samples (1.94 mg/kg) was less than that detected in the soil samples from Mazraeh area (3.54 mg/kg) (Figure 4F).

**Scandium:** The average concentration of Sc in the soil samples from Sorkheh and Mazraeh copper mines were approximately 13 and 11 mg/kg, respectively. The Sc contents in the plant samples of the two species were higher than those found in the background soil samples (Figures 4G & 4H). The mean concentrations of Sc in *A. maurorum* and *S. inflata* samples from Sorkheh area were 43.4 and 23.8 mg/kg, respectively. In contrast, the amount of Sc in the soil samples from Mazareh area was about 10-fold higher than that in the samples of *C. vulgare* (Figure 4I).

**Biological Absorption Potential:** In Sorkheh area, Cd, Mo, and Sc were accumulated in the plants and their BAC values were more than one (Figure 5). The potential of *A. maurorum* for the uptake of the above elements were 8.7, 3.9 and 3.3, respectively. These amounts for *S. inflata* were 4.9, 1.6 and 2.8, respectively. Conversely in Mazraeh area, the average BAC values for Zn and Pb were 21.4 and 1.3, respectively (Figure 5).

**Correlation between Metal in the Soil and Plant Samples:** Tables 4-6 compare the metal contents in the soils and plant samples. As seen in Table 4, there were positive correlations between the Cd, Sc, Mo and As concentrations in the soil and the *A. maurorum* plant samples from Sorkheh area. Moreover, the Cd content correlated significantly and positively (*R*: 0.94) with those of Sc and Pb in the same plant samples. A synergistic relation between the Cd and Pb contents has been reported previously [23]. The correlations between the plants’ content ratios of Mo:As and Sc:Pb were remarkably positive (Table 4).

![Figure 5. Comparison of BAC mean values of the heavy metals in *A. maurorum*, *S. inflata* and *C. vulgare* plant samples](image-url)
As shown in Table 4, there was a positive correlation between the plant samples’ Mo and Zn contents with the amounts in the soil samples from Mazraeh area ($R$: 0.666 and 0.876, respectively. According to the data in Table 5, the correlations between the Mo, Sc, As and Pb contents in the soil samples from Sorkheh area and the *S. inflata* samples were positive, and that for As was significantly positive ($R$: 0.92). Further, similar positive correlations were found for Pb in the soil and Cd in the plant ($R$: 0.92). Moreover, the relationships between the content ratios of Cd in the soil and Mo in the plant ($R$: 0.89), Pb in the soil to As in the plant ($R$: 0.92) and Zn in the soil to Sc in the plant ($R$: 0.93) were significantly positive (Table 6).

**Discussion**

Problems with the wastes management of contaminated soils and water from mines have become the major causes of ongoing environmental pollution with heavy metals. According to the literature, plants cultivated in contaminated soils accumulate higher concentrations of toxic metals than those grown in uncontaminated lands [24]. Therefore, the study of the plants and soil relationship can lead to the development of practical remediation protocols to save the environment and industrial lands.

**Lead:** Lead concentrations in the soils and vegetations have increased in modern times. The mean contamination of Pb in various mining areas is estimated to be 27 mg/kg worldwide [23]. It has been reported that the Pb content in the plants grown in contaminated lands, such as mining and mineralized areas, is between 63 and 232 mg/kg [25]. According to varying responses of plants to elements as described by Baker [26], *A. maurorum* and *S. inflata* are known as excluder plants for taking up lead from Sorkheh area. This suggests that the Pb contents in the two plants were approximately constant despite its varying content in the soil. In contrast, *C. vulgare* content of Pb varied depending on its content in the soil samples from the Mazraeh area.

**Arsenic:** Is a highly toxic metalloid and the most abundant element in the Earth’s crust, with a mean concentration of approximately 3 mg/kg [27]. In another study, the concentrations of As in the aerial parts of *Pteris vitatta* ranged 0.5-80 μg/kg [27]. This was the first arsenic hyper-accumulator plant discovered that grows in uncontaminated soils. The mean concentration of As in our plant samples was slightly higher than that reported in the former plant. These plants are known as the As excluders.

**Zinc:** Among the three examined plants, *C. vulgare* showed a good performance in accumulating Zn from the soil in Mazraeh area. It was about 25-fold higher than that detected in the soil. In most plant species, the concentration is under 50 mg/kg [23], which is consistent with that documented for *A. maurorum* and *S. inflata* samples.

**Molybdenum:** The mean concentration of Mo in the Earth’s crust has been reported at 1.5 mg/kg. The phytoavailability of Mo in soil is influenced by such factors as drought, soil pH, texture, and plants growing on it [28]. This element plays an important role in the nitrogen and sulfur metabolism in plants, and the biosynthesis of plant hormones and proteins [29]. We noted that the plants in both mining areas had absorbed Mo as they needed irrespective of its content in the soil.

**Scandium:** The mean content of Sc in the Earth’s crust worldwide has been estimated between 0.8-28 mg/kg. The mean concentration of scandium in the soil samples from Sorkheh and Mazraeh areas were higher than those reported globally. Although there is not much information available about the Sc concentrations in plants, the previously reported amounts by one study in various plants were below 0.02 mg/kg [23]. The two plants from Sorkheh area showed a good performance in taking up Sc from the associated soils.

The association between elements in various soils and/or plants is due to multiple variables, such as pH, soil organic materials, bio-availability of the elements, plant age, and depth of the plant roots [6, 30]. The relationship may also be linked to the ionic competitions in the soil particles, and the properties of the surface cells in the roots and their exudates. Plants can use two different strategies in response to elements: either exclude or accumulate them. If the element concentration in the plant reflects that in the soil, the plant is termed an “indicator” [8, 26] [8, 26]. There is a linear relationship, i.e., positive correlation, between the elemental concentrations in the indicator plants and the soil they are growing on. In this study, it was confirmed that *A. maurorum* can be used as a useful indicator of Cd, Sc and Mo mineralization. Also, *S. inflata* may be an appropriate indicator plant for As. Moreover, the amounts of Mo and Zn in the samples of *C. vulgare* can be used as a useful indicator of Mo and Zn mineralization.

**Limitation of the Study**

We were limited in our laboratory set up to evaluate the soil samples’ pH, electrical conductivity and organic contents. In future studies, the analyses of these param-
eters could provide additional evidence in support of the examined plants’ behaviors leading to the accumulation of the heavy metals.

Conclusions

The soil contents of Pb, As, Zn, Cd, Mo and Sc from Sorkheh and Mazraeh copper mining areas were determined based on three pollution indices: EF, CF, and Igeo. Accordingly, the soil samples from the two mining areas were considerably contaminated with As. According to the probed bio-accumulation potentials of the indigenous plants in two areas, *A. maurorum* and *S. inflata*, possessed a high ability to uptake Cd, Mo and Sc. The third plant, *C. vulgare*, notably accumulated Zn and Pb. Based on Pearson’s correlation among the concentrations of the elements in the aerial part of the plants and those in the associated soil samples, there was a linear relationship between the As concentrations in the soil and that detected in the *S. inflata* plant samples, making this plant a useful indicator for As. There were positive relationships between Cd, Sc and Mo contents in *A. marorum* and the associated soil samples. The relationships among Mo, Sc and Pb contents in *S. inflata* and the soil samples were positive. The Zn and Mo contents in the *C. vulgare* samples were positively related to those detected in the associated soil samples.
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