Procalcitonin guided antibiotic therapy of acute exacerbations of asthma: a randomized controlled trial
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Abstract

Background: This randomized controlled trial aimed to evaluate whether the serum procalcitonin (PCT) level can be utilized to guide the use of antibiotics in the treatment of acute exacerbations of asthma.

Methods: A total of 293 consecutive patients with suspected asthma attacks from February 2005 to July 2010 participated in this study. 225 patients completed the study. Serum PCT levels, and other inflammatory biomarkers of all patients were measured. In addition to the standard treatment, the control group received antibiotics according to the attending physicians’ discretions, while the patients in the PCT group were treated with antibiotics according to serum PCT concentrations. Antibiotics usage was strongly discouraged when the PCT concentration was below 0.1 μg/L; discouraged when the PCT concentration was between 0.1 μg/L and 0.25 μg/L; or encouraged when the PCT concentration was above 0.25 μg/L. The primary endpoint was the determination of antibiotics usage. The second endpoints included the diagnostic accuracy of PCT and other laboratory biomarkers the effectiveness of asthma control, secondary ED visits, hospital re-admissions, repeated needs for steroids or dosage increase, needs for antibiotics, WBC count, PCT levels and FEV1%.

Results: At baseline, two groups were identical regarding clinical, laboratory and symptom score. Probability of the antibiotics usage in the PCT group (46.1%) was lower than that in the control group (74.8%) (χ² = 21.97, p < 0.001. RR = 0.561, 95% CI 0.441-0.713). PCT and IL-6 showed good diagnostic significance for bacterial asthma (r = 0.705, p = 0.003). The degrees of asthma control in patients were categorized to three levels and were comparable between the two groups at the six weeks follow-up period (χ² = 1.62, p = 0.45). There were no significant difference regarding other secondary outcomes (p > 0.05).

Conclusions: The serum PCT concentration can be used to effectively determine whether the acute asthma patients have bacterial infections in the respiratory tract, and to guide the use of antibiotics in the treatment of acute asthma exacerbations, which may substantially reduce unnecessary antibiotic use without compromising the therapeutic outcomes.

Trial registration: ICTRP ChiCTR-TRC-12002534
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Background
Acute attacks of asthma are episodes that occur suddenly and may also occur repeatedly over time. The attacks seriously disturb the patient’s daily and work life, which impose great burdens on the family and the society. There are various causes for acute asthma, including allergen provocation, drugs, respiratory viruses, bacterial infections and so on. Since bacterial infection seems to only play a minor role in acute exacerbations of asthma, guidelines for asthma management do not recommend routine use of antibiotics [1]. The majority of patients with acute asthma are treated with a number of conventional treatment measures, including repetitive administration of rapid-acting inhaled bronchodilator, introduction of systemic glucocorticosteroids, oxygen supplementation and so on, to alleviate the symptoms. However, clinical signs and symptoms and laboratory parameters of bacterial infection are often inconclusive, which makes it difficult for physicians to determine accurately in clinical practice whether there is bacterial infection in the respiratory tracts of patients. As a result, most patients are treated with antibiotics, leading to antibiotics abuse and bacterial resistance [2].

Procalcitonin (PCT) is the prehormone of calcitonin. The serum PCT level significantly increases in patients with bacterial infections but not viral infection or other inflammatory diseases, granting the PCT test high potential in discriminating bacterial and non-bacterial infections [3-5]. Therefore, the serum PCT level can guide the use of antibiotics in respiratory tract infections to prevent the abuse of antibiotics in the intensive care unit [6-15]. This study aimed to investigate whether the serum PCT level has diagnostic potential for bacterial asthma attack, and can serve to guide the use of antibiotics in the management of acute exacerbations of asthma.

Methods
Setting and study population
From February 2005 to July 2010 in the emergency department of the fifth people’s hospital of Shanghai, 293 suspected acute exacerbation of asthma patients were screened for eligibility to participate in this study. Of these, 265 patients meeting the Bronchial Asthma Guide were eligible and 255 patients completed the study, including 123 male patients and 132 female patients. Patients were eligible for the intervention if they met all of the following criteria: 1) ≥ 18 years old; 2) has any, or all, of the following clinical features as defined by the Global Initiative for National Asthma (GINA) Guidelines: dyspnea, wheeze, acute cough, increased work of breathing, increased requirement for beta2-agonist from baseline use, O2 saturation <95%, a peak expiratory flow (PEF) at randomization ≤ 80% of their known best (within the last 12 months) or, in the absence of this information, of their predicted PEF [1]. The exclusion criteria in the study are: 1) treatment with antibiotics within two weeks just before the recruitment; 2) bacterial infection in other parts of body than the respiratory system; 3) chest X-ray confirmed pneumonia; 4) suffering from other chronic respiratory diseases; 5) suffering from severe organ dysfunction. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Fudan University. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients or their legally authorized representative.

Experimental protocol
We conducted a randomized, controlled trial. All eligible patients were assigned to either the PCT-guided antibiotics therapy group or the control group, in which the attending physicians decide the use of antibiotics according to usual practice. The flowchart of patients was summarized in Figure 1. Allocation to either intervention was conducted according to computer-generated random numbers produced by an independent statistician. After randomization, an opaque, sealed and sequentially numbered envelope containing the PCT or control protocol was prepared for each subject according to the group. All patients received similar conventional treatment, such as the repetitive administration of rapid-acting inhaled bronchodilator, introduction of systemic glucocorticosteroids and oxygen supplementation. Procalcitonin levels of patients in the PCT group were notified to the attending physician in charge of this group. Patients in the PCT group were treated with antibiotics according to their PCT serum level according the following guidelines: antibiotics treatment was strongly discouraged when serum PCT level was less than 0.1 μg/L; antibiotics treatment was discouraged when serum PCT level was less than 0.25 μg/L; antibiotics treatment was encouraged when serum PCT level was greater than 0.25 μg/L [11]. In contrast, attending physicians responsible for patients in the control group remained unaware of the patients’ procalcitonin concentrations throughout the study. The control group received antibiotics according to the attending physicians’ decision. The patients with PCT < 0.25 ng/ml in the first PCT test would take the PCT test again after six to eight hours. The use of antibiotics would be determined by the second PCT test result.

We appointed an independent investigator team blinded to the group assignment to monitor the adherence to the protocol, the safety and efficacy of the intervention, as well as primary and secondary outcomes during the six-week follow-up period. All patients, laboratory technicians, investigators and research designers were blinded to patient assignments until the data analysis was completed. There were no protocol violations during the study.
### Outcome measures

Our primary endpoint was the use of antibiotics, which was evaluated by the antibiotic prescription rate and the relative risk of antibiotic exposure in patients with acute exacerbations of asthma. The secondary endpoints were patients’ clinical, laboratory, and lung function outcomes at the follow-up visit (6 weeks). During the six-week follow-up period, secondary ED visits, hospital readmissions, repeated needs for steroids or dosage increase, needs for antibiotics, white blood cell count (WBC), PCT levels and FEV$_1$% were assessed.

### Data collection

The serum PCT tests were done using a time-resolved amplified cryptate emission (TRACE) technology assay (Kryptor® PCT, Brahms AG, Hennigsdorf, Germany) with a functional assay sensitivity of 0.06 g/L, about fourfold above the mean normal PCT level. And several laboratory parameters of the patients, including the levels of high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), interleukin 6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α), as well as WBC, were measured and collected. Arterial blood gas analysis was also performed on all patients. The usage of antibiotics in two groups was recorded. Forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV$_1$) was collected before and after treatment. The symptom scores of asthma were also recorded. The patients were categorized into mild, moderate, and severe subgroups based on the severity level of their asthma, according to which antibiotics use was analyzed. The patients were re-categorized during the six-week follow-up period to assess the asthma control.

### Statistical analysis

We assumed that 75% of the patients in the control group would use antibiotics and anticipated a 20% decrease in antibiotics usage in the PCT group. The current sample size of 128 patients in the PCT group and 127 in the control group yielded a power of 0.96 to detect difference in usage of antibiotics at 1-sided 5% significance level. Moreover, the power was 1.00 for non-inferiority testing on asthma control at a significance level of 2-sided 0.05, “controlled” percentage of 75% in both PCT and control groups and a non-inferiority limit (d) of 65%.

Discrete variables are expressed as counts (percentages) and continuous variables as mean ± SD. Comparability of
groups was analyzed by \( \chi^2 \) test, two-sampled t test, Mann–Whitney U test, as appropriate. Correlation analyses of the level of serum PCT and levels of hsCRP, IL-6, TNF-\( \alpha \) and WBC were performed using Spearman rank. A \( p \) value less than 0.05 was defined as significant. Data were analyzed using statistical software (Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 14 for Windows; SPSS; Chicago IL).

**Results**

The patients were aged between 19 and 78 years old and the average age was \( 53 \pm 15 \) years old. Detailed baseline characteristics of the studied population are presented in Table 1.

The FEV\(_1\) score of both groups was significantly improved after treatment compared with that before treatment. Thirty-two (25.0\%) patients in PCT group received corticosteroids therapy, while 36 (28.3\%) patients received corticosteroids therapy in the control group (\( \chi^2 = 0.13, p = 0.714 \)). Eight (6.3\%) patients in PCT group and nine (7.1\%) patients in the control group (\( \chi^2 = 0.05, p = 0.821 \)) received mechanical ventilation treatment.

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics of the two groups

| Characteristic            | PCT group (n = 128) | Control group (n = 127) |
|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Median age, yr            | 54±14               | 55±15                  |
| Mean Asthma history, yr   | 25±17               | 27±18                  |
| Gender, no. (%)           |                     |                        |
| Male                      | 64 (50.0)           | 59 (46.5)              |
| Female                    | 64 (50.0)           | 68 (53.5)              |
| HR, times/min             | 95±24               | 97±26                  |
| RR, times/min             | 24±12               | 25±10                  |
| pH                        | 7.39±0.06           | 7.38±0.05              |
| SaO\(_2\), %*             | 94±5                | 95±3                   |
| PaO\(_2\), mmHg*          | 79±19               | 75±17                  |
| PaCO\(_2\), mmHg*         | 44±12               | 42±10                  |
| WBC, ×10\(^9\)/L          | 12.1±4.6            | 11.9±5.2               |
| hsCRP, mg/L               | 8.2 (4.5–15.7)      | 6.9 (5.1–17.6)         |
| PCT, ng/ml                | 0.137 (0.088–0.252) | 0.119 (0.057–0.267)    |
| IL-6, pg/ml               | 14.5 (8.3–26.1)     | 17.3 (6.7–31.4)        |
| TNF-\( \alpha \), pg/ml   | 27.5 (13.4–49.5)    | 32.4 (15.7–52.1)       |
| Severity of asthma        |                     |                        |
| Mild                      | 47 (36.7)           | 50 (39.4)              |
| Moderate                  | 54 (42.2)           | 52 (40.9)              |
| Severe                    | 17 (13.3)           | 17 (13.4)              |
| Critical                  | 10 (7.8)            | 8 (6.3)                |
| FEV\(_1\), %              | 57.8±17.2           | 54.3±16.7              |
| Mean symptom score        | 4.42±0.85           | 4.35±0.79              |

Note: Data are presented as No. (%), M (Q\(_l\) – Q\(_u\)) or mean ± SD; * = the value after oxygen.

Table 2 showed the details of the levels of inflammatory parameters in patients. The patients with severe-critical asthma had higher serum PCT levels and IL-6 levels compared with those with mild-moderate asthma (\( p < 0.05 \)). Other inflammatory parameters that we tested showed no significant difference between patients with mild-moderate asthma and severe-critical asthma. Spearman analysis showed that the serum PCT level didn’t correlate with the level of any laboratory biomarkers except for IL-6 (\( r = 0.705, p = 0.003 \)).

As shown in Table 3, only 46.1\% of the patients in PCT group received antibiotics therapy, which was much lower compared with 74.8\% in the control group (\( \chi^2 = 21.97, p = 0.000, RR = 0.561, 95\% CI 0.441-0.713 \)). Table 3 also showed that the usage of antibiotics in the PCT group patients with mild and moderate asthma were significantly lower than that in the control group (\( p < 0.01 \)). There was no significant statistical difference in the usage of antibiotics between patients with severe and critical asthma in the two groups.

The asthma control categorized to three levels was comparable between two groups during the six-week follow-up period (\( \chi^2 = 1.62, p = 0.45 \)) (Table 4). There were no significant difference regarding other secondary outcomes such as secondary ED visits, hospital re-admissions, repeated needs for steroids or dosage increase, needs for antibiotics, WBC, PCT levels and FEV1\% between two groups (\( p > 0.05 \)).

**Discussion**

This study showed that PCT test could help physicians to determine whether patients were suffering from respiratory tract bacterial infections. As a result, PCT test increases the safety level of the treatment strategies of acute exacerbations of asthma via avoiding antibiotics abuse. The study published by Briel et al. was not particularly convincing since only nine asthma patients were included [9]. Thus far this is the only clinical study focusing on evaluating the PCT test in its effectiveness to guide antibiotics usage in treating acute exacerbations of asthma.

PCT, a protein of 116 amino acids with a molecular weight of 13 kDa, was discovered 25 years ago as a pro-hormone of calcitonin produced by C-cells of the thyroid gland [16]. Under normal physiological conditions, PCT is stable in human body [17]. PCT is intracellularly cleaved by proteolytic enzymes to generate the active hormone. Circulating levels of PCT in healthy subjects are below detection limit. It was found in 1993 that the serum PCT level is elevated in patients with bacterial infection [18]. Since then PCT has become an important protein in the detection and differential diagnostics of inflammatory states [3-5]. In microbial infections and in various forms of inflammation, circulating levels of PCT
Table 3 Comparison of the usage of antibiotics

| Level                  | PCT(ng/ml) | hscRP(mg/L) | IL-6(pg/ml) | WBC(×10^9/L) | TNF-α(pg/ml) |
|------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| Mild to Moderate (n = 203) | 0.044 (0.027 ~ 0.241) | 6.7 (3.8 ~ 13.5) | 8.1 (4.5 ~ 13.4) | 11.7±4.2 | 29.3 (12.5 ~ 46.2) |
| Severe to Critical (n = 52) | 0.263 (0.158 ~ 0.482) | 7.4 (4.9 ~ 16.4) | 21.2 (13.7 ~ 48.1) | 12.1±3.8 | 31.7 (14.1 ~ 50.6) |

Statetic value

|               | Z = -2.324 | Z = -0.538 | Z = -3.217 | t = 0.013  | t = -0.615 |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| p value       | 0.041       | 0.557       | 0.036       | 0.989      | 0.805      |

Note: Data are presented as M (Q₁ ~ Q₃), or mean ± SD.

In this study, we treated the patients with antibiotics according to PCT serum level. Antibiotics usage was strongly discouraged when the serum PCT concentration was below 0.1 μg/L; antibiotics usage was discouraged when the serum PCT concentration was between 0.1 μg/L and 0.25 μg/L; and antibiotics usage was encouraged when the serum PCT concentration was greater than 0.25 μg/L. The results showed that many patients in the PCT group did not need antibiotics. The antibiotic usage rate was lower than that of the control group and the outcome of treatment was not significantly affected, especially for patients with mild to moderate asthma. Patients with mild to moderate asthma in the control group did not need antibiotics, which implicated that PCT could play an
important role in guiding the use of antibiotics. Although there was no significant statistical difference in the rate of antibiotics usage in patients with severe to critical asthma between the two groups, the trend of antibiotics usage in PCT group deceased in comparison with the control group. Accordingly, we believe that PCT is a serum marker for the appropriate selection of antibiotics in the treatment of acute attack of asthma. PCT can be quickly tested to help determine whether there is bacterial infection and whether antibiotics are needed for the emergency treatment of patients.

In this study, the serum PCT level and IL-6 level were correlated, while there is no correlation between the serum PCT level and levels of other inflammatory indicators, including hsCRP, TNF-α, and WBC. Cellular IL-6 level rises sharply after exposure to bacterial toxins, falls rapidly as time elapses and has a longer half-life than TNF-α [29,30]. As a critical mediator of survival following pulmonary infection and sepsis, it protects patients from death by augmenting neutrophil killing of bacteria, enhancing the release of adrenaline and cortisol, as well as selectively activating the coagulation system [31,32]. IL-6 has the best discriminative power in sepsis and non-infectious SIRS, with sensitivity above 80% and specificity above 70%, which is higher than conventional inflammatory markers including CRP and TNF-α [33]. There are limitations in the sensitivity and specificity of hsCRP, TNF-α, and WBC in judging whether patients with asthma are also suffering from bacterial infection. Both PCT and IL-6 levels of patients with severe to critical asthma in the PCT group were higher than that of patients with mild to moderate asthma in the same group. It implicated that patients with severe to critical asthma may be more prone to bacterial infection than patients with mild to moderate asthma. Zhang et al. found that bacterial colonization of the lower airways was common in patients with chronic severe asthma and was linked to the duration of asthma and the exacerbations in past years [34,35]. Therefore, PCT test prevents antibiotics usage mostly in patients with mild to moderate asthma but without bacterial infection, while most patients with severe to critical asthma do suffer from bacterial infection and need to be treated with proper antibiotics.

**Conclusion**

In summary, this study showed the PCT test can be used accurately and effectively to determine whether the acute asthma patients have bacterial infections in respiratory tract, and to guide the use of antibiotics in the treatment of acute asthma. So PCT test has great value in reducing unnecessary antibiotics use and in preventing the occurrences of antibiotics resistance in the treatment of acute asthma, particularly in countries where patients are suffering from the abuse of antibiotics. Our study has several limitations. First, we included a relatively small number of patients. However, for the 128 patients in PCT group and 127 in control group, the power reached 0.99 with 1-sided 5% significance level to detect the difference in usage of antibiotics and was 0.88 for non-inferiority testing with a non-inferiority limit (d) of 65% on asthma control. Second, our results should not be generalized to other settings such as hospital-acquired pneumonia and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Third, we did not conduct a formal cost-benefit study. Larger clinical trials are needed to explore the overall clinical and economic impact of the reduction of exposure to antibiotics in asthma patients.

| Table 4 Comparison of the levels of asthma control and the follow-up outcomes between two groups |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Levels of asthma control**      | PCT group       | Control group   |
| Controlled                        | 102             | 97              |
| Partly controlled                 | 20              | 19              |
| Uncontrolled                      | 6               | 11              |
| Total                             | 128             | 127             |
|                                    | $\chi^2 = 1.62$ | $p > 0.05$      |

| **Other follow-up outcomes**       |                  |                  |
| Secondary ED visits                | 8                | 13               |
| Hospital re-admissions             | 5                | 8                |
| Repeated needs for steroids or dosage increase* | 6 | 9 |
| Needs for antibiotics              | 5                | 9                |
| WBC, $\times 10^9$/L               | 8.68±2.472       | 8.32±2.93        |
| PCT, ng/ml                         | 0.033 (0.024 ~ 0.039) | 0.036 (0.027 ~ 0.040) |
| FEV1/\%                           | 68.20±10.21      | 70.17±8.89       |

*Means IV, PO or Inhalation; Data are presented as M (QL ~ QU) or mean ± SD.
Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

LW carried out the investigation, participated in study design and drafted the manuscript. YL and ZY carried out the investigation, participated in the laboratory measuring. LG participated in the design of the study and performed the statistical analysis. YCH carried out the investigation, participated in the laboratory measuring. TJG conceived the idea of the study, and participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

Co-first author Wei Long.

Acknowledgements

The study was sponsored by a grant from the Shanghai Fifth People's Hospital Science Foundation (09YRCPY11) AND Minhang District Natural Hospital Science Foundation (09YRCPY11) AND Minhang District Natural Hospital Science Foundation (09YRCPY11). The authors thank Wanghong Xu (Associate professor, Department of Epidemiology School of Public Health, Fudan University) for statistical guidance.

Author details

1 Department of Trauma-Emergency & Critical Care Medicine, Shanghai Fifth People's Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai 200240, PR China.
2 Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Shanghai Fifth People's Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai 200240, PR China.
3 Department of Laboratory Medicine, Shanghai Fifth People's Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai 200240, PR China.

Received: 7 September 2012 Accepted: 9 December 2013 Published: 17 December 2013

References

1. Bateman ED, Hurd SS, Barnes PJ, Bousquet J, Drazen JM, FitzGerald M, Gibson P, Ohta K, O'Byrne P, Pedersen S, Pizzichini E, Sullivan SD, Wenzel SE, Zer HJ: Global strategy for asthma management and prevention: GINA executive summary. Eur Respir J 2008, 31(1):143–178.
2. Vanderveldt SG, Tsai CL, Pelletier AJ, Espinola JA, Sullivan AF, Blumenthal D, Gibson P, Ohta K, O'Byrne P, Pedersen SE, Pizzichini E, Sullivan SD, Wenzel SE, Zer HJ: Procalcitonin as a diagnostic test for sepsis in critically ill adults and after surgery or trauma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care Med 2006, 34(7):736–743.
3. Uzzan B, Cohen R, Nicolas P, Cucherat M, Perret GY: Procalcitonin guidance of antibiotic treatment in patients with community-acquired pneumonia: a prospective cohort trial. Chest 2010, 138(1):121–129.
4. Christ-Crain M, Stolz D, Bingiser R, Muehler R, Mueller B, Christ-Crain M, Stolz D, Bingiser R, Muehler R, Mueller B, Schwartz P, Hoess C, Krause M, Bucher HC, Zimmerli W, Mueller B, ProHOSP Study Group: Effect of procalcitonin-based guidelines vs standard guidelines on antibiotic use in lower respiratory tract infections: the ProHOSP randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2009, 302(10):1059–1066.
5. Christ-Crain M, Jaccard-Stolz D, Bingiser R, Gencay MM, Huber PR, Tammi M, Mueller B: Effect of procalcitonin-guided treatment on antibiotic use and outcome in lower respiratory tract infections: cluster-randomised, single-blinded intervention trial. Lancet 2004, 363(9409):600–607.
6. Christ-Crain M, Kühler T, Schweiger AM, Reck FS, Bein B, von Spiegel T, Schroeder S, Procalcitonin guidance of antibiotic use in a high-risk population with community-acquired pneumonia. Epidemiology 2012, 1:18(5):819–824.
7. Hochreiter M, Kühler T, Schweiger AM, Keck FS, Bein B, von Spiegel T, Schroeder S: Procalcitonin guidance of antibiotic use in critically ill patients: a randomized prospective controlled trial. Crit Care 2009, 13(3):R83.
8. Nobre V, Harbarth S, Graf JD, Rohner P, Pugin J: Use of procalcitonin to shorten antibiotic treatment duration in septic patients: a randomized trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006, 177(5):498–505.
9. Bouadma L, Luyt CE, Tubach F, Crocco C, Alvarez A, Schwebel C, Schortgen F, Lasko S, Weber D, Dehoux M, Bernard M, Pasquet R, Regnier E, Brun-Buisson C, Chastre J, Wolf M: PROBACTA trial group: Use of procalcitonin to reduce patients' exposure to antibiotics in intensive care units (PROBACTA trial): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2010, 375(9713):463–474.
10. Braithwaite S: Procalcitonin: new insights on regulation and origin. Crit Care 2000, 28(2):S58–S66.
11. Meissner M, PCT, Procalcitonin: a new, innovative infection parameter. Berlin: Dahms Diagnostica; 1996.
12. Assicot M, Gentrel D, Carsin H, Raymond J, Guillabaud J, B Thuon C: High serum procalcitonin concentrations in patients with sepsis and infection. Lancet 1993, 341(8844):515–518.
13. Lindscheid P, Seboek D, Schaefer DJ, Zulewski H, Keller U, Muller B: Expression and secretion of procalcitonin and calcitonin gene-related peptide by adherent monocytes and by macrophage-activated adipocytes. J Cell Physiol 2004, 202(2):1715–1721.
14. Oberhoffer M, Vogelsang H, Jäger L, Reinhardt K: Katakalcin and calcitonin immunoreactivity in different sites of leukocytes indicate intracellular procalcitonin content. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1999, 14(1):29–33.
15. de Kruijf MD, Lemarie LC, Giebelen IA, Struck J, Morgenstall HG, Papassotiriou J, Elliott PJ, van der Poll T: The influence of corticosteroids on the release of novel biomarkers in human endotoxemia. Intensive Care Med 2008, 34(3):518–522.
16. Tugul S, Eser F, Celebi S, Ozcan PE, Akinci O, Cakar N, Telci L: Reliability of procalcitonin as a severity marker in critically ill patients with inflammatory response. Anaesthes Intensive Care 2002, 30(6):747–754.
17. Kaff M: The role of bacterial infections in asthma. Clin Med 2000, 21(2):301–319.
18. Genn JE, Busse WW: The role of viral infections in the natural history of asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2000, 106(2):201–212.
19. Müller B, Harbarth S, Stolz D, Bingiser R, Mueller C, Leuppi J, Nusbaum F, Tammi M, Christ-Crain M: Diagnostic and prognostic accuracy of clinical and laboratory parameters in community-acquired pneumonia. BMC Infect Dis 2007, 7:10.
20. Stolz D, Smyrnios N, Eggmann P, Pargar H, Thakkar N, Siegemund M, Marsch S, Azzola A, Raki J, Mueller B, Tammi M: Procalcitonin for reduced antibiotic exposure in ventilator-associated pneumonia: a randomised study. Eur Respir J 2009, 34(6):1364–1375.
21. Vaillot A, Guyomarch' S, Marjollet O, Bejer C, Carrico A, Robert F, Laporte S, Lamont C, Page Y, Zéni F, Bertrand JC: Can emergency physicians identify a high mortality subgroup of patients with sepsis: role of procalcitonin. Eur J Emerg Med 2008, 11(1):26–33.
22. Tang H, Huang T, Jing J, Shen H, Cui W: Effect of procalcitonin-guided treatment in patients with infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Infection 2009, 37(6):497–507.
23. Abdollahi A, Shear S, Nayef F, Sharati M: Diagnostic Value of Simultaneous Measurement of Procalcitonin, Interleukin-6 and hs-CRP in Prediction of Early-Onset Neonatal Sepsis. Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis 2012, 4(1):e202018.
24. Ventetuolo CE, Levy MM: Biomarkers: diagnosis and risk assessment in sepsis. Clin Chest Med 2008, 29(4):591–603.
25. Sutherland RE, Olsen JS, McKinstry A, Villalta SA, Wolters PJ: Mast cell IL-6 improves survival from Klebsiella pneumonia and sepsis by enhancing neutrophil killing. J Immunol 2008, 181(8):5596–5605.
32. Tsujimoto H, Takahata R, Nomura S, Kumano I, Matsumoto Y, Yoshida K, Hiraki S, Aosasa S, Ono S, Yamamoto J, Hase K: Predictive value of pleural and serum interleukin-6 levels for pneumonia and hypo-oxygenations after esophagectomy. J Surg Res 2013, 182(2):e61–e67.

33. Du B, Pan J, Chen D, Li Y: Serum procalcitonin and interleukin-6 levels may help to differentiate systemic inflammatory response of infectious and non-infectious origin. Chin Med J (Engl) 2003, 116(4):538–542.

34. Kraft M, Hamid Q: Mycoplasma in severe asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2006, 117(5):1197–1198.

35. Zhang Q, Illeq R, Hui CK, Downey K, Carr D, Stearn M, Alshafi K, Menzies-Gow A, Zhong N, Fan CK: Bacteria in sputum of stable severe asthma and increased airway wall thickness. Respir Res 2012, 13:35.

doi:10.1186/1471-2334-13-596
Cite this article as: Tang et al.: Procalcitonin guided antibiotic therapy of acute exacerbations of asthma: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Infectious Diseases 2013 13:596.