Synthesis, antimicrobial, and anti-inflammatory activity of novel S-substituted and N-substituted 5-(1-adamantyl)-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiols

Abstract: The reaction of 5-(1-adamantyl)-4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3-thione (compound 5) with formaldehyde and 1-substituted piperezines yielded the corresponding N-Mannich bases 6a−f. The reaction of 5-(1-adamantyl)-4-methyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3-thione 8 with various 2-aminoethanol chloride yielded separable mixtures of the S-(2-aminoethanol) 9a−d and the N-(2-aminoethanol) 10a−d derivatives. The reaction of compound 5 with 1-bromo-2-methoxyethane, various aryl methyl halides, and ethyl bromoacetate solely yielded the S-substituted products 11, 12a−d, and 13. The new compounds were tested for activity against a panel of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and the pathogenic fungus Candida albicans. Compounds 6b, 6c, 6d, 6e, 6f, 10b, 10c, 10d, 12c, 12d, 12e, 13, and 14 displayed potent antibacterial activity. Meanwhile, compounds 13 and 14 produced good dose-dependent anti-inflammatory activity against carrageenan-induced paw edema in rats.
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Introduction

The incorporation of an adamantyl moiety into several molecules results in compounds with relatively high lipophilicity, which in turn can modify the biological availability of these molecules. In almost all cases, an adamantyl-bearing compound will be more lipophilic than the des-adamantyl analog. Beyond increasing the partition coefficient, the adamantyl group positively modulates the therapeutic index of many experimental compounds through a variety of mechanisms.1,2 After the discovery of amantadine as an antiviral and antiparkinsonian drug in 1960, adamantane derivatives as potential chemotherapeutic agents have attracted the attention of a number of scientists. As a result of this intensive investigation, numerous adamantane derivatives have been synthesized and tested for their biological activity. This has resulted in the discovery of several drugs which are now available on market. Antiviral,3−13 anti-diabetic,14 antimicrobial,15−23 anti-inflammatory,21−26 and central nervous system activities27−29 are the most important biological activities of adamantane derivatives. In addition, several 1,2,4-triazoles and their N-Mannich bases were reported to possess potent antimicrobial30−33 and anti-inflammatory14−36 activities. As a continuation of our interest in the chemical and pharmacological properties of adamantane derivatives,15,20,24−37,38 we now report herein on the synthesis and antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory activity of a new series of S-substituted and N-substituted 5-(1-adamantyl)-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol derivatives.
Materials and methods

Melting points (°C) were measured in open glass capillaries using a Barnstead 9001 electrothermal melting point apparatus (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and are uncorrected. NMR spectra were obtained using an AC 500 Ultra Shield NMR spectrometer (Bruker, Fällanden, Switzerland) operating at 500.13 MHz for $^1$H and 125.76 MHz for $^{13}$C. The chemical shifts are expressed in δ (ppm) downfield from tetramethylsilane as the internal standard; coupling constants (J) are expressed in Hz. ESI-MS were recorded on a 6410 Triple Quad tandem mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) at 4.0 kV and 3.5 kV for positive and negative ions, respectively. Elemental analyses (C, H, N, and S) were in agreement with the proposed structures and within ±0.4% of the theoretical values. Monitoring reactions and checking the purity of the final products was done using thin layer chromatography with silica gel precoated aluminum sheets ($60 F_{254}$, Merck Schuchardt, Darmstadt, Germany) and visualization with ultraviolet light at 365 nm and 254 nm. The bacterial strains and the C. albicans fungus were obtained from the IFO in Japan. The reference drugs ampicillin trihydrate (CAS 7177-48-2), gentamicin sulfate (CAS 1405-41-0), clotrimazole (CAS 23593-75-1), and indomethacin (CAS 53-86-1) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH (Taufkirchen, Germany). Sprague Dawley rats were purchased from a local animal house (Abu-Rawash, Giza, Egypt). The animal experiments performed to determine the anti-inflammatory activity of the study compounds were carried out in agreement with the pertinent legal and ethical standards of the international guidelines.

5-(1-Adamantyl)-4-phenyl-2-(4-substituted piperazine-1-ylmethyl)-1,2,4-triazoline-3-thiones (6a–f)

A mixture of compound 5 (623 mg, 2.0 mmol), the appropriate $N$-substituted piperazine (2.0 mmol), and 37% formaldehyde solution (0.5 mL) in ethanol (8 mL) was heated under reflux for 15 minutes until a clear solution was obtained. Stirring was continued for 12 hours at room temperature and the mixture was allowed to stand overnight. Cold water (5 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 20 minutes. The precipitated crude products were filtered, washed with water, dried, and crystallized from ethanol or aqueous ethanol.

6a: $^1$H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.08 (t, 3H, CH₂CH₃, J=7.0 Hz), 1.51–1.53 (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.63–1.66 (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.83–1.86 (m, 6H, adamantane-H), 1.92 (s, 3H, adamantane-H), 2.41 (q, 2H, CH₂CH₃, J=7.0 Hz), 2.50 (s, 4H, piperazine-H), 2.96 (s, 4H, piperazine-H), 5.21 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.23–7.29 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.54–7.56 (m, 3H, Ar-H). $^{13}$C NMR: δ 11.91 (CH₂CH₃), 27.76, 35.81, 36.09, 39.87 (adamantane-C), 50.26, 52.80 (piperazine-C), 52.36 (CH₂CH₃), 69.25 (CH₂), 129.48, 129.65, 130.08, 136.63 (Ar-C), 156.35 (triazole C-5), 171.03 (C=S). ESI-MS, m/z: 438.4 (M+H)$^+$.

6b: $^1$H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.17 (t, 3H, CH₂CH₃, J=7.0 Hz), 1.45–1.47 (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.57–1.59 (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.77 (s, 6H, adamantane-H), 1.86 (s, 3H, adamantane-H), 2.76 (s, 4H, piperazine-H), 3.43 (s, 4H, piperazine-H), 4.04 (q, 2H, CH₂CH₃, J=7.0 Hz), 5.11 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.18–7.20 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.47–7.49 (m, 3H, Ar-H). $^{13}$C NMR: δ 14.67 (CH₂), 27.76, 35.89, 36.08, 39.91 (adamantane-C), 43.67, 50.34 (piperazine-C), 61.36 (CH₂CH₃), 69.51 (CH₂), 129.50, 129.66, 130.16, 136.57 (Ar-C), 155.52 (C=O), 156.63 (triazole C-5), 171.13 (C=S). ESI-MS, m/z (relative intensity): 482.3 (M+H)$^+$.

6c: $^1$H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.44–1.47 (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.56–1.59 (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.77 (s, 6H, adamantane-H), 1.86 (s, 3H, adamantane-H), 2.98 (s, 4H, piperazine-H), 3.14 (s, 4H, piperazine-H), 5.17 (s, 2H, CH₂), 6.80–6.88 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.18–7.19 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.46–7.48 (m, 3H, Ar-H). $^{13}$C NMR: δ 27.78, 35.89, 36.10, 39.93 (adamantane-C), 49.44, 50.49 (piperazine-C), 69.32 (CH₂), 116.35, 120.01, 129.16, 129.53, 129.67, 130.16, 136.62, 151.35 (Ar-C), 156.55 (triazole C-5), 171.14 (C=S). ESI-MS, m/z: 486.4 (M+H)$^+$.

6d: $^1$H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.44–1.47 (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.56–1.59 (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.78 (s, 6H, adamantane-H), 1.86 (s, 3H, adamantane-H), 3.04 (s, 8H, piperazine-H), 3.80 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 5.19 (s, 2H, CH₂), 6.78–6.96 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.19–7.20 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.46–7.48 (m, 3H, Ar-H). $^{13}$C NMR: δ 27.79, 34.82, 36.13, 39.97 (adamantane-C), 50.57, 50.87 (piperazine-C), 55.24 (OCH₃), 69.53 (CH₂), 110.79, 118.28, 120.92, 123.14, 129.50, 129.72, 130.11, 135.65, 140.16, 151.11 (Ar-C), 155.41 (triazole C-5), 170.10 (C=S). ESI-MS, m/z: 516.3 (M+H)$^+$.

6e: $^1$H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.43–1.46 (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.56–1.58 (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.76 (s, 6H, adamantane-H), 1.85 (s, 3H, adamantane-H), 2.41–2.43 (m, 4H, piperazine-H), 2.85 (s, 4H, piperazine-H), 3.45 (s, 2H, benzylic CH₂), 5.10 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.17–7.20 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.22–7.24 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.46–7.47 (m, 3H, Ar-H). $^{13}$C NMR: δ 27.78, 35.84, 36.11, 39.91 (adamantane-C), 50.37, 53.14 (piperazine-C), 63.30 (benzylic CH₂), 69.45 (CH₂), 127.13, 128.24, 129.40, 129.48, 129.69, 130.10, 136.66, 137.72 (Ar-C), 156.40 (triazole C-5), 171.08 (C=S). ESI-MS, m/z: 500.3 (M+H)$^+$.
5-(1-Adamantyl)-4-methyl-3-(2-aminoethylthio)-1,2,4-triazoles (9a–d) and 5-(1-Adamantyl)-4-methyl-2-(2-aminoethylothio)-1,2,4-triazoline-3-thiones (10a–d)

A mixture of compound 8 (2.49 g, 0.01 mol), potassium hydroxide (1.12 g, 0.02 mol) and the appropriate 2-aminoethyl chloride hydrochloride (0.01 mol) in ethanol (15 mL) was heated under reflux with stirring for 3 hours and the solvent was distilled off in vacuo. The residue obtained was washed with water and purified by column chromatography on silica gel column using CHCl₃/MeOH (9:1) as an eluent to yield compounds 10a–d followed by 9a–d. The products were then crystallized from aqueous ethanol.

9a: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.69–1.75 (m, 6H, adamantane-H), 2.04–2.08 (m, 9H, adamantane-H), 2.21 (s, 6H, CH₃), 2.62 (t, 2H, SCH₂CH₃, J=6.5 Hz), 3.28 (t, 2H, SCH₂CH₃, J=6.5 Hz), 3.59 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR: δ 28.07, 34.98, 36.50, 39.56 (adamantane-C), 31.05 (SCH₂CH₃), 32.33 (CH₃), 45.21 (2 × CH₃), 58.24 (SCH₂CH₃), 152.16 (triazole-C-5), 161.21 (triazole-C-3). ESI-MS, m/z: 321.2 (M+H)⁺.

9b: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.98 (t, 6H, CH₃CH₂J, J=7.0 Hz), 1.70–1.73 (m, 6H, adamantane-H), 1.97–2.08 (m, 9H, adamantane-H), 2.40 (q, 4H, CH₂CH₃, J=7.0 Hz), 2.82 (t, 2H, SCH₂CH₃, J=7.0 Hz), 3.10 (t, 2H, SCH₂CH₃, J=7.0 Hz), 3.58 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR: δ 12.35 (CH₂CH₃), 28.0, 35.02, 36.22, 39.68 (adamantane-C), 30.75 (SCH₂CH₃), 32.16 (CH₃), 48.82 (CH₂CH₃), 57.88 (SCH₂CH₃), 152.18 (triazole-C-5), 161.56 (C-3). ESI-MS, m/z: 349.3 (M+H)⁺.

9c: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.59–1.61 (m, 4H, pyrrolidine-H), 1.72–1.76 (m, 6H, adamantane-H), 1.96–2.16 (m, 9H, adamantane-H), 2.36–2.40 (m, 4H, pyrrolidine-H), 2.81 (t, 2H, SCH₂CH₃, J=7.0 Hz), 3.38 (t, 2H, SCH₂CH₃, J=7.0 Hz), 3.59 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR: δ 24.44, 55.24 (pyrrolidine-C), 28.20, 35.41, 36.20, 39.58 (adamantane-C), 31.05 (SCH₂CH₃), 32.0 (CH₃), 58.02 (SCH₂CH₃), 151.98 (triazole-C-5), 161.86 (C=S). ESI-MS, m/z (relative intensity): 347.3 (M+H)⁺.

9d: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.35–1.37 (m, 2H, piperidine-CH₂), 1.48–1.50 (m, 4H, piperidine-CH₂), 1.73 (s, 6H, adamantane-H), 2.06 (s, 9H, adamantane-H), 2.36–2.38 (m, 4H, piperidine-CH₂), 2.63–2.65 (m, 2H, SCH₂CH₃), 3.25–3.27 (m, 2H, SCH₂CH₃), 3.60 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR: δ 24.30, 25.87, 54.28 (piperidine-C), 28.08, 35.02, 35.51, 39.57 (adamantane-C), 30.56 (SCH₂CH₃), 32.39 (CH₃), 58.16 (SCH₂CH₃), 152.11 (triazole-C-5), 161.16 (triazole-C-3). ESI-MS, m/z: 361.3 (M+H)⁺.

10a: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.70–1.74 (m, 6H, adamantane-H), 1.98–2.03 (m, 9H, adamantane-H), 2.36 (s, 6H, CH₃), 2.82 (t, 2H, NCH₂CH₂N, J=7.0 Hz), 3.66 (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.01 (t, 2H, NCH₂CH₂N, J=7.0 Hz). ¹³C NMR: δ 28.20, 35.44, 36.32, 39.26 (adamantane-C), 34.52 (CH₂), 47.16 (NCH₂CH₂N), 51.02 (NCH₂CH₂N), 156.42 (triazole-C-5), 167.54 (C=S). ESI-MS, m/z: 321.2 (M+H)⁺.

10b: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.97 (t, 6H, CH₃CH₂J, J=7.0 Hz), 1.68–1.75 (m, 6H, adamantane-H), 1.97 (s, 6H, adamantane-H), 2.04 (s, 3H, adamantane-H), 2.53 (q, 4H, CH₂CH₃, J=7.0 Hz), 2.80 (t, 2H, NCH₂CH₂N, J=7.0 Hz), 3.70 (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.17 (t, 2H, NCH₂CH₂N, J=7.0 Hz). ¹³C NMR: δ 12.33 (CH₂CH₃), 27.95, 35.24, 36.43, 39.14 (adamantane-C), 34.06 (CH₂), 47.20 (NCH₂CH₂N), 47.52 (CH₂CH₃), 50.86 (NCH₂CH₂N), 156.44 (triazole-C-5), 167.51 (C=S). ESI-MS, m/z: 349.3 (M+H)⁺.

10c: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.55–1.77 (m, 4H, pyrrolidine-H), 1.70–1.74 (m, 6H, adamantane-H), 2.02 (s, 9H, adamantane-H), 2.29–2.40 (m, 4H, pyrrolidine-H), 2.81 (m, 2H, NCH₂CH₂N, J=7.0 Hz), 3.68 (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.15 (t, 2H, NCH₂CH₂N, J=7.0 Hz). ¹³C NMR: δ 25.05, 55.95 (pyrrolidine-C), 27.83, 35.15, 35.80, 39.25 (adamantane-C), 34.25 (CH₂), 48.00 (NCH₂CH₂N), 50.82 (NCH₂CH₂N), 155.99 (triazole-C-5), 167.58 (C=S). ESI-MS, m/z: 347.3 (M+H)⁺.

10d: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.48–1.52 (m, 2H, piperidine-H), 1.52–1.58 (m, 4H, piperidine-H), 1.70–1.76 (m, 6H, adamantane-H), 2.03 (s, 9H, adamantane-H), 2.32–2.40 (m, 4H, piperidine-H), 2.80 (2H, NCH₂CH₂N, J=7.0 Hz), 3.67 (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.10 (t, 2H, NCH₂CH₂N, J=7.0 Hz). ¹³C NMR: δ 24.00, 25.82, 54.22 (piperidine-C), 28.11, 35.35, 35.81, 39.67 (adamantane-C), 34.40 (CH₂), 48.02 (NCH₂CH₂N), 50.74 (NCH₂CH₂N), 155.65 (triazole-C-5), 167.50 (C=S). ESI-MS, m/z: 361.3 (M+H)⁺.

5-(1-Adamantyl)-3-[(2-methoxyethyl) sulfanyl]-4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazole (11)

A mixture of compound 5 (623 mg, 2 mmol), 1-bromo-2-methoxyethane (278 mg, 2 mmol), and anhydrous potassium
carbonate (276 mg, 2 mmol) in \(N,N\)-dimethylformamide (5 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 24 hours. Water (15 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. The separated crude product was filtered, washed with water, dried, and crystallized from aqueous ethanol to yield 196 mg (53%) of compound 11 as colorless plate-shaped crystals.

\(^{1}H\) NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta 1.47–1.49\) (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.56–1.58 (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.84–1.86 (m, 9H, adamantane-H), 3.27–3.35 (m, 5H, SCH, and OCH\(_3\)), 3.64 (t, 2H, CH\(_2\)O, \(J=6.0\) Hz), 7.15–7.20 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.44–7.47 (m, 3H, Ar-H). \(^{13}\)C NMR: \(\delta 28.0\), 35.82, 36.28, 40.49 (adamantane-C), 31.66 (SCH\(_3\)), 58.68 (OCH\(_3\)), 70.87 (CH\(_2\)O), 128.94, 129.49, 130.22, 135.13 (Ar-C), 152.97 (triazole C-5), 162.05 (triazole C-3). ESI-MS, \(m/z\) (relative intensity): 436.4 (M+H, 100%), 438.4 (M+H+2, 37%).

\(^{12}\)d: \(^{1}H\) NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta 1.47–1.49\) (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.57–1.59 (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.89 (s, 9H, adamantane-H), 4.50 (s, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 7.19 (d, 2H, Ar-H, \(J=8.0\) Hz), 7.47–7.54 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 8.05 (d, 2H, Ar-H, \(J=8.0\) Hz). \(^{13}\)C NMR: \(\delta 27.70, 35.73, 36.35, 40.09\) (adamantane-C), 35.90 (SCH\(_3\)), 123.83, 128.54, 129.97, 130.32, 131.28, 133.49, 134.71, 147.47 (Ar-C), 153.25 (triazole C-5), 161.57 (triazole C-3). ESI-MS, \(m/z\): 447.3 (M+H)+.

\(^{12}\)e: \(^{1}H\) NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta 1.46–1.49\) (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.56–1.59 (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.85 (s, 9H, adamantane-H), 4.43 (s, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 7.03 (d, 2H, Ar-H, \(J=7.5\) Hz), 7.41–7.49 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.69 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 7.72 (s, 2H, Ar-H). \(^{13}\)C NMR: \(\delta 27.90, 36.02, 36.14, 40.36\) (adamantane-C), 36.16 (SCH\(_3\)), 121.59, 124.18, 128.62, 129.32, 129.66, 130.63, 131.74, 134.54, 139.66 (Ar-C and CF\(_3\)), 151.79 (triazole C-5), 162.17 (triazole C-3). ESI-MS, \(m/z\): 538.5 (M+H)+.

**Ethyl 2-[5-(1-adamantyl)-4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazol-3-ylsulfanyl]acetate (13)**

A mixture of compound 5 (623 mg, 2 mmol), ethyl 2-bromoacetate (334 mg, 2 mmol), and anhydrous potassium carbonate (276 mg, 2 mmol), in dry acetone (10 mL) was heated under reflux with stirring for 2 hours. The solvent was then distilled off in vacuo and the resulting residue was washed with water, dried, and crystallized from ethanol or aqueous ethanol.

\(^{12}\)a: \(^{1}H\) NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta 1.46–1.49\) (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.56–1.58 (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.85 (s, 9H, adamantane-H), 4.33 (s, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 7.03–7.06 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.16–7.18 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.22–7.40 (m, 6H, Ar-H). \(^{13}\)C NMR: \(\delta 27.02, 36.0, 36.28, 39.88\) (adamantane-C), 36.15 (SCH\(_3\)), 126.82, 127.70, 128.58, 128.80, 129.42, 129.60, 131.42, 138.38 (Ar-C), 151.82 (triazole C-5), 161.10 (triazole C-3). ESI-MS, \(m/z\): 402.4 (M+H)+.

\(^{12}\)b: \(^{1}H\) NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta 1.46–1.48\) (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.56–1.58 (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.85 (s, 9H, adamantane-H), 4.30 (s, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 6.85–6.89 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.03–7.04 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.21–7.23 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.39–7.46 (m, 3H, Ar-H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR: \(\delta 27.94, 35.94, 36.32, 40.41\) (adamantane-C), 36.19 (SCH\(_3\)), 115.48, 128.77, 129.50, 130.38, 130.99, 132.57, 134.81, 163.23 (Ar-C), 152.78 (triazole C-5), 161.89 (triazole C-3). ESI-MS, \(m/z\): 420.4 (M+H)+.

\(^{12}\)c: \(^{1}H\) NMR (CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta 1.47–1.49\) (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.56–1.59 (m, 3H, adamantane-H), 1.85 (s, 9H, adamantane-H), 4.29 (s, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 7.04–7.06 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.15–7.16 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.19–7.21 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.39–7.46 (m, 3H, Ar-H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR: \(\delta 26.91, 35.16, 35.22, 39.38\) (adamantane-C), 36.19 (SCH\(_3\)), 127.66, 127.73, 128.52, 128.89, 129.42, 129.63, 132.49, 134.33 (Ar-C), 151.70 (triazole C-5), 160.88 (triazole C-3). ESI-MS, \(m/z\) (relative intensity): 436.4 (M+H, 100%), 438.4 (M+H+2, 37%).

Compounds 13 (795 mg, 2 mmol) was added to a 10% aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide (8 mL) and the mixture was heated under reflux with stirring for one hour. The resulting clear solution was filtered hot, cooled, and acidified with hydrochloric acid to pH 1–2. The precipitated crude product was filtered, washed with water, dried, and crystallized from...
aqueous ethanol to yield 540 mg (73%) of compound 14.

\[ \text{\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (DMSO-d_6): } \delta 1.48–1.63 \text{ (m, 6H, adamantane-H), 1.81–1.86 \text{ (s, 9H, adamantane-H), 3.99 \text{ (s, 2H, SCH_2}, 4.28 \text{ (s, 1H, OH), 7.53–7.65 \text{ (m, 5H, Ar-H). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR: } \delta 27.21, 34.18, 35.60, 39.85 \text{ (adamantane-C), 35.37 \text{ (SCH_2}, 128.79, 129.73, 130.82, 134.0 \text{ (Ar-C), 152.09 \text{ (triazole C-5}, 160.81 \text{ (triazole C-3), 169.07 \text{ (C=O). ESI-MS, m/z: 368.3 (M-H).}}\]

Determination of in vitro antimicrobial activity (agar disc diffusion method)

Sterile filter paper discs (8 mm diameter) were moistened with the compound solution in dimethyl sulfoxide of specific concentration (200 µg/disc), and the antibacterial agents, ie, gentamicin and ampicillin trihydrate (100 µg/disc) and the antifungal drug clotrimazole (100 µg/disc), were carefully placed on agar culture plates that had been previously inoculated separately with the microorganisms. The plates were incubated at 37°C, and the diameters of the growth inhibition zones were measured after 24 hours for bacteria and 48 hours for C. albicans.

Determination of MIC

Compounds 6b, 6c, 6d, 6e, 6f, 10b, 10c, 10d, 12c, 12d, 12e, 13, 14, gentamicin, and ampicillin trihydrate were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide at a concentration of 128 µg/mL. The two-fold dilutions of the solution were prepared (128, 64, 32, ..., 0.5 µg/mL). Suspensions of the microorganisms at concentrations of 106 colony-forming units per mL were inoculated in the corresponding wells. The plates were then incubated at 36°C for 24 hours. The MIC values were determined as the lowest concentrations that completely inhibited visible growth of the microorganism as detected by the unaided eye.

Determination of in vivo anti-inflammatory activity

Male Sprague Dawley rats weighing 140–190 g were maintained at room temperature (20°C–23°C) and randomly divided into 20 groups containing five animals each. The animals were housed with food and water ad libitum and allowed to become accustomed to their environment for 2 days before testing. Each group was injected with a specific dose of the test compound (20 or 40 mg/kg) or indomethacin 5 mg/kg intraperitoneally as a uniform suspension in 1 mL of 0.5% (w/v) aqueous carboxymethyl cellulose solution one hour before injection of 0.1 mL of carrageenan (1% solution in normal saline) into the plantar tissue of the right hind paw. The left hind paw was injected with 0.1 mL of normal saline solution. Four hours after injection of carrageenan, the volume of paw edema (mL) was determined using a water plethysmometer (Ugo Basile Srl, Varese, Italy). Protection against inflammation was calculated following the formula:

\[ (V_c - V_d/V_c) \times 100 \]

where \( V_c \) is the mean percentage increase in paw volume in the absence of the test compound (control) and \( V_d \) is the mean percentage increase in paw volume after injection of the test compound. The values are expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean. Statistical significance between the control and treated groups was assessed using the Student’s t-test.

Determination of oral acute toxicity of compounds 13 and 14

Freshly prepared suspensions of compounds 13 and 14 at concentrations of 1%, 3%, 4%, 6%, 8%, and 12% in 0.5% aqueous carboxymethyl cellulose solution were prepared. Each compound was given to six groups of normal albino mice of either sex (n=6 in each group) by oral intubation at doses of 250, 500, 750, 1,000, 1,250, and 1,500 mg/kg. Percent mortality was recorded 24 hours after administration of the compound and oral lethal doses of \( \text{LD}_{10}, \text{LD}_{50} \) and \( \text{LD}_{90} \) were calculated.

Results and discussion

Chemistry

Adamantane-1-carboxylic acid 3 was obtained by prolonged heating of methyl adamantane-1-carboxylic acid 2 with hydrazine. The reaction of compound 3 with phenyl isothiocyanate yielded the intermediate 1-(1-adamantylcarbonyl)-4-phenylthiosemicarbazide 4, which was cyclized to 5-(1-adamantyl)-4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3-thione 5 via heating in 10% aqueous sodium hydroxide. Compound 5 was reacted with the corresponding 1-substituted piperazine and formaldehyde solution in ethanol to yield the corresponding N-Mannich bases 6a–f in good yields. The reaction was carried out by heating the reactants in ethanol for 15 minutes to enhance the solubility of compound 5 (Figure 1 and Table 1). The structures of compounds 6a–f were confirmed by elemental analyses in addition to \textsuperscript{1}H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), \textsuperscript{13}C NMR, and electrospray ionization mass spectra (ESI-MS) data, which were in full agreement with their structures and the X-ray spectra of compounds 6b (Figure 2), 6c (Figure 3), and 6e (Figure 4).
**Figure 1** Synthetic approach used for compounds 6a–f.

**Table 1** Melting points, crystallization solvents, yield percentages, molecular formulae, and molecular weights of compounds 6a–f, 9a–d, 10a–d, 11, 12a–e, 13, and 14.

| Compound number | R/N(R) | Crystalline solvent | Melting point (°C) | Yield (%) | Molecular formula (molecular weight) |
|-----------------|--------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|
| 6a              | C₆H₅   | EtOH/H₂O            | 231–233           | 51        | C₆H₅N₂S (437.64)                    |
| 6b              | COOC₂H₅ | EtOH                | 192–194           | 80        | C₆H₅N₂O₂S (481.65)                 |
| 6c              | C₆H₅   | EtOH/H₂O            | 225–237           | 84        | C₆H₅N₂O₂S (485.69)                 |
| 6d              | 2-CH₃OC₆H₄ | EtOH/H₂O         | 135–137           | 92        | C₆H₅N₂O₂S (515.71)                 |
| 6e              | C₆H₅OH | EtOH                | 197–199           | 80        | C₆H₅N₂S (499.71)                   |
| 6f              | 2-Pyridyl | EtOH/H₂O           | 145–147           | 76        | C₆H₅N₂S (486.67)                   |
| 9a              | CH₃    | EtOH/H₂O            | 133–135           | 63        | C₆H₅N₂S (320.50)                   |
| 9b              | C₆H₅   | EtOH/H₂O            | 111–113           | 69        | C₆H₅N₂S (348.55)                   |
| 9c              | 1-Pyrrolidyl | EtOH/H₂O         | 132–134           | 59        | C₆H₅N₂S (346.53)                   |
| 9d              | 1-Piperidyl | EtOH/H₂O          | 155–157           | 66        | C₆H₅N₂S (360.56)                   |
| 10a             | CH₃    | EtOH/H₂O            | 160–162           | 14        | C₆H₅N₂O₂S (320.50)                 |
| 10b             | C₆H₅   | EtOH/H₂O            | 148–150           | 11        | C₆H₅N₂O₂S (348.55)                 |
| 10c             | 1-Pyrrolidyl | EtOH/H₂O          | 144–146           | 14        | C₆H₅N₂O₂S (346.53)                 |
| 10d             | 1-Piperidyl | EtOH/H₂O          | 167–169           | 19        | C₆H₅N₂O₂S (360.56)                 |
| 11              | –      | EtOH/H₂O            | 155–157           | 53        | C₆H₅N₂O₂S (369.52)                 |
| 12a             | H      | EtOH/H₂O            | 139–141           | 65        | C₆H₅N₂O₂S (401.57)                 |
| 12b             | F      | EtOH/H₂O            | 132–134           | 62        | C₆H₅N₂O₂S (419.56)                 |
| 12c             | Cl     | EtOH/H₂O            | 130–132           | 75        | C₆H₅N₂O₂S (435.53)                 |
| 12d             | NO₂    | EtOH/H₂O            | 188–190           | 85        | C₆H₅N₂O₂S (446.56)                 |
| 12e             | 3,5(CF₃)₂ | EtOH               | 156–158           | 92        | C₆H₅N₂O₂S (537.56)                 |
| 13              | –      | EtOH/H₂O            | 156–158           | 81        | C₆H₅N₂O₂S (397.53)                 |
| 14              | –      | EtOH/H₂O            | 288–90            | 73        | C₆H₅N₂O₂S (369.48)                 |
5-(1-Adamantyl)-4-methyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3-thione 8 was prepared according to a previously reported method via the reaction of compound 3 with methyl isothiocyanate in ethanol to yield the intermediate 1-(1-adamantylcarbonyl)-4-methylthiosemicarbazide 7, which was subsequently cyclized to the target compound 8 in good overall yield. The alkylation of 4,5-disubstituted-1,2,4-triazoline-3-thiones (1,2,4-triazol-3-thiols) has been studied by several authors. The reaction product(s) were found to be dependent on the nature of the substituents, the base, the alkyl halide, the reaction solvent, and the reaction temperature. The use of polar protic solvents like ethanol, in a strong alkaline medium like sodium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide, or sodium methoxide favors the formation of both S-alkyl derivatives as major products in addition to N-alkyl derivatives as minor products. On the other hand, carrying out the reaction in a polar aprotic solvent like acetone, N,N-dimethylformamide, or acetonitrile in the presence of potassium carbonate at room temperature, favors the formation of S-alkyl derivatives as a sole product. Thus, the reaction of compound 8 with 2-dimethylamino-, 2-diethylamino-, 2-pyrrolidono- or 2-piperinoethyl chloride
hydrochlorides in ethanol in the presence of potassium hydroxide at reflux temperature yielded two separable products identified as the $S$-(2-aminoethyl) and $N$-(2-aminoethyl) derivatives (9a–d and 10a–d, respectively) and the $S$ to $N$-alkyl ratio was approximately 3 to 1 (Figure 5 and Table 1). The structure assignment of compounds 9a–d and 10a–d were based on the $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR data, which were consistent with the previously reported results and supported by X-ray studies, in addition to the X-ray spectrum of compound 9a (Figure 6).

![Figure 4](image_url) Oak Ridge thermal ellipsoid plot of compound 6e showing 70% probability displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms.

![Figure 5](image_url) Synthetic approach used for compounds 9a–d and 10a–d.
The reaction of compound 5 with 1-bromo-2-methoxy-ethane in N,N-dimethylformamide in the presence of anhydrous potassium carbonate at room temperature yielded the corresponding S-(2-methoxyethyl) derivative 11 as confirmed by 1H NMR, 13C NMR, and X-ray spectrum (Figure 7). Similarly, reaction of compound 5 with various aryl methyl halides or ethyl bromoacetate in dry acetone in the presence of anhydrous potassium carbonate at reflux temperature yielded the corresponding S-substituted derivatives, 12a–e and 13. Compound 13 was subjected to alkaline hydrolysis via heating in 10% aqueous sodium hydroxide solution for 30 minutes followed by acidification to yield the corresponding carboxylic acid derivative 14 in 73% yield (Figure 8 and Table 1).

**In vitro antimicrobial activity**

The newly synthesized compounds 6a–f, 9a–d, 10a–d, 11, 12a–e, 13, and 14 were tested for their in vitro growth inhibitory activity against the standard strains of the Institute of Fermentation of Osaka (IFO), ie, *Staphylococcus aureus* IFO 3060, *Bacillus subtilis* IFO 3007, *Micrococcus luteus* IFO 3232 (Gram-positive bacteria), *Escherichia coli* IFO 3301, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* IFO 3448 (Gram-negative bacteria), and the yeast-like pathogenic fungus *Candida albicans* IFO 0583. The primary screening was carried out using the agar disc diffusion method with Müller–Hinton agar medium. The results of the preliminary antimicrobial testing of compounds 6a–f, 9a–d, 10a–d, 11, 12a–e, 13, and 14 (200 µg/disc), the antibacterial agents ampicillin trihydrate and gentamicin (100 µg/disc), the antifungal drug clotrimazole (100 µg/disc), and the calculated log P-values of the tested compounds (calculated using CS ChemOffice® Ultra version 8.0 software, CambridgeSoft, Cambridge, MA, USA) are shown in Table 2.

The tested compounds showed varying degrees of inhibition against the tested microorganisms. Strong antibacterial activity was shown by compounds 6b, 6c, 6d, 6e, 6f, 10b, 10c, 10d, 12c, 12d, 12e, 13, and 14, which produced growth inhibition zones ≥19 mm against one or more of the tested microorganisms. Meanwhile, compounds 6a, 9b, 9c, 9d, 10a, 10b, 10c, 10d, 12a–e, 13, and 14.
Figure 8 Synthetic approach used for compounds 11, 12a–e, 13, and 14.

Table 2 Antimicrobial activity of compounds 6a–f, 9a–d, 10a–d, 11, 12a–e, 13, and 14 (200 µg/8 mm disc), the broad-spectrum antibacterial drugs gentamicin (100 µg/8 mm disc) and ampicillin (100 µg/8 mm disc), and the antifungal drug clotrimazole (100 µg/8 mm disc) against Staphylococcus aureus IFO 3060, Bacillus subtilis IFO 3007, Micrococcus luteus IFO 3232, Escherichia coli IFO 3301, Pseudomonas aeruginosa IFO 3448, and Candida albicans IFO 0583.

| Compound number | Clog P | Diameter of growth inhibition zone (mm)* |
|-----------------|--------|-----------------------------------------|
|                 |        | SA | BS | ML | EC | PA | CA |
| 6a              | 5.38   | 15 | 16 | 11 | –  | –  | –  |
| 6b              | 6.92   | 17 | 19 (16) | 14 | –  | –  | –  |
| 6c              | 7.08   | 19 (8) | 18 | 13 | 12 | 10 | –  |
| 6d              | 6.99   | 22 (2) | 21 (1) | 18 | 16 | 12 | –  |
| 6e              | 8.01   | 19 (8) | 19 (8) | 17 | 12 | –  | –  |
| 6f              | 6.13   | 28 (0.5) | 30 (0.5) | 20 (8) | 19 (16) | 21 (4) | 13 |
| 9a              | 3.59   | 12 | 13 | 10 | –  | –  | –  |
| 9b              | 4.65   | 14 | 14 | 10 | –  | –  | –  |
| 9c              | 4.28   | 16 | 17 | 11 | –  | –  | –  |
| 9d              | 4.84   | 15 | 14 | –  | –  | –  | –  |
| 10a             | 3.77   | 16 | 17 | 13 | 10 | –  | –  |
| 10b             | 4.83   | 19 (8) | 20 (16) | 17 | 12 | –  | –  |
| 10c             | 4.16   | 22 (4) | 20 (4) | 18 | 17 | –  | –  |
| 10d             | 4.72   | 23 (1) | 19 (2) | 19 (4) | 14 | –  | –  |
| 11              | 5.27   | –  | –  | –  | –  | –  | –  |
| 12a             | 7.11   | 13 | 14 | 12 | –  | –  | –  |
| 12b             | 7.25   | 16 | 16 | 14 | –  | –  | –  |
| 12c             | 7.82   | 19 (8) | 17 | 11 | –  | –  | –  |
| 12d             | 6.85   | 21 (4) | 23 (8) | 19 (8) | –  | –  | 12 |
| 12e             | 8.88   | 29 (0.5) | 32 (0.5) | 21 (2) | 20 (2) | 19 (8) | 13 |
| 13              | 5.63   | 22 (4) | 19 (16) | 14 | –  | –  | –  |
| 14              | 4.73   | 23 (8) | 18 | 18 | –  | –  | 12 |
| Gentamicin      |        | 26 (2) | 25 (2) | 18 (2) | 20 (0.5) | 19 (1) | NT |
| Ampicillin      |        | 23 (2) | 21 (0.5) | 19 (2) | 17 (2) | 16 (2) | NT |

Notes: *(-), inactive (inhibition zone <10 mm). *Figures shown in parentheses represent the MIC values (µg/mL). Clog P refers to calculated log P values.
Abbreviations: NT, not tested; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; SA, Staphylococcus aureus; BS, Bacillus subtilis; ML, Micrococcus luteus; EC, Escherichia coli; PA, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; CA, Candida albicans.
9d, 10a, and 12b showed moderate activity (growth inhibition zones 14–18 mm), compounds 9a and 12a showed weak activity (growth inhibition zones 10–13 mm), and compound 11 was practically inactive (growth inhibition zones <10 mm) against the tested bacteria. The Gram-positive bacteria *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus* and to a lesser extent *M. luteus* were considered the most sensitive of the tested microorganisms. Activity against the tested Gram-negative bacteria was generally lower than that of the Gram-positive bacteria, with compound 6f and 12e being strongly active against *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa*. The inhibitory activity of the compounds against *C. albicans* was rather lower than their antibacterial activity, with only compounds 6f, 12e, 13, and 14 displaying marginal activity compared with clotrimazole. In general, antibacterial activity seemed to be dependent on the nature of the substituents rather than the basic skeleton of the molecules.

The antimicrobial activity of the 5-(1-adamantyl)-4-phenyl-2-(4-substituted-1-piperazinylmethyl)-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiones 6a–f were dependent on the nature of the 4-piperazino substituents and to a lesser extent on lipophilicity. The aliphatic substituents (C\(\text{H}_2\) and \(\text{COOC}_\text{H}_2\)) retained good or moderate activity against the tested Gram-positive bacteria. Meanwhile, increasing the lipophilicity by replacing the aliphatic substituents with aromatic, benzyl, or 2-pyridyl substituents enhanced the activity against Gram-positive bacteria and conferred good to marginal activity against Gram-negative bacteria. Despite the lipophilicity, the 2-pyridyl substituent was found to have optimal antibacterial activity because compound 6f displayed good broad-spectrum antibacterial activity in addition to weak activity against *C. albicans*. Replacement of the 4-phenyl substituent with a methyl group and insertion of S-(2-aminoethyl) substituents greatly decreased the antimicrobial activity, and compounds 9a–d retained only weak to moderate activity against Gram-negative bacteria. On the other hand, the more lipophilic N-(2-aminoethyl) isomeric analogs 10a–d had higher activity against Gram-negative bacteria in addition to weak to moderate activity against *E. coli*. The S-(2-methoxymethyl) substituent (compound 11) had decreased antimicrobial activity. The antibacterial activity of the 3-aryl methylsulfanyl-4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazoles 12a–e was almost correlated to lipophilicity. Optimal activity was shown by the highly lipophilic 3,5-trifluoromethylbenzyl analog 12e which had potent broad-spectrum antibacterial activity in addition to weak activity against *C. albicans*. Replacement of the aryl methyl substituents with an acetic analog 14 and its ethyl ester derivative 13 retained good activity against Gram-positive bacteria and weak activity against *C. albicans*. The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC)\(^{19}\) for the most active compounds, 6b, 6c, 6d, 6e, 6f, 10b, 10c, 10d, 12c, 12d, 12e, 13, and 14, shown in Table 2, was in accordance with the results obtained in the primary screening.

**In vivo anti-inflammatory activity**

The acute anti-inflammatory activity of nine representative compounds (6a, 6c, 6f, 9b, 10b, 11, 12b, 13, and 14) was determined in vivo using the carrageenan-induced paw edema method in rats.\(^{20}\) The compounds were tested at dose levels of 20 and 40 mg/kg. The results for the anti-inflammatory activity of compounds 6a, 6c, 6f, 9b, 10b, 11, 12b, 13, and 14 (at 20 and 40 mg/kg) and the potent anti-inflammatory drug indomethacin (at 5 mg/kg) are listed in Table 3. The best activity was shown by compounds 13 and 14, which produced strong dose-dependent inhibition of carrageenan-induced paw edema of >50% at the 40 mg/kg dose. Compounds 6c and 6f were moderately active, producing respective reductions in paw edema of 22.50% and 33.52% at the 20 mg/kg dose, with no significant effect seen at the 40 mg/kg dose. Meanwhile, compounds 6a, 10b, and 12b showed weak anti-inflammatory activity, producing a >20% reduction in edema at both dose levels and compounds 9b and 11 did not show any significant anti-inflammatory activity. The structure-activity relationship of the tested adamantyl triazole derivatives revealed that incorporation of an acetic acid or ethyl

**Table 3** Anti-inflammatory effect of 20 mg/kg and 40 mg/kg intraperitoneal injections of compounds 6a, 6c, 6f, 9b, 10b, 11, 12c, 13, and 14, and indomethacin 5 mg/kg against carrageenan-induced paw edema in rats

| Compound number | Mean percent reduction in paw edema from control value* |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
|                 | 20 mg/kg                                               |
|                 | 40 mg/kg                                               |
| Control\(^{c}\) | -0.70±0.03\(^{a}\)                                     | |
| 6a              | 13.16±4.42\(^{a}\)                                     | 14.05±5.21\(^{b}\) |
| 6c              | 22.50±5.25\(^{a}\)                                     | 14.05±5.21\(^{b}\) |
| 6f              | 33.52±0.23\(^{a}\)                                     | 38.89±0.14\(^{a}\) |
| 9b              | -1.42±5.21\(^{a}\)                                     | 2.06±6.01\(^{b}\)  |
| 10b             | 17.32±3.21\(^{a}\)                                     | 29.54±2.08\(^{b}\) |
| 11              | 2.53±5.21\(^{a}\)                                     | 2.46±6.01\(^{b}\)  |
| 12b             | 17.41±1.11\(^{a}\)                                     | 26.96±1.91\(^{b}\) |
| 13              | 44.62±0.11\(^{a}\)                                     | 62.19±0.14\(^{b}\) |
| 14              | 28.86±0.09\(^{a}\)                                     | 58.62±0.07\(^{b}\) |
| Indomethacin 5 mg/kg | 52.79±0.04\(^{a}\)                                   | |

Notes: \(^{c}\)Results are expressed as the mean percent inhibition ± standard error of the mean (n=5) and compared using the Student’s t-test; \(^{a}\)group injected with 1 mL of 0.5% aqueous carboxymethyl cellulose solution; \(^{b}\)significant difference at P<0.05; \(^{c}\)significant difference at P<0.005.
Table 4 Acute oral toxicity of compounds 13 and 14 and that of indomethacin in mice

| Compound     | LD$_{50}$ (mg/kg) | LD$_{50}$ (mg/kg) | LD$_{50}$ (mg/kg) |
|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 13           | 499                | 924                | 1,435              |
| 14           | 295                | 554                | 1,338              |
| Indomethacin |                    |                    | 50                 |

Note: 'Data from Hitchen.'
Abbreviation: LD, lethal dose.

The acute toxicity results for compounds 13 and 14 and indomethacin are listed in Table 4. The oral LD$_{50}$ of indomethacin has been reported to be 50 mg/kg in mice. Our results show that the ethyl ester derivative, 13, is less toxic relative to its free carboxylic acid derivative, 14. Although the anti-inflammatory potency ratio of compounds 13 and 14 (40 mg/kg) is about 15% of the potency of indomethacin, it could be concluded that compounds 13 and 14 each have a wider therapeutic index than that of indomethacin; the LD$_{50}$ of compounds 13 and 14 was found to be 5.41% and 9.03% that of indomethacin, respectively.

**Conclusion**

In this study, new N-Mannich bases of 5-(1-adamantyl)-4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3-thiones (6a–f) and 5-substituted and N-substituted 5-(1-adamantyl)-4-methyl or phenyl-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiols (9a–d, 10a–d, 11, 12a–e, 13, and 14) were synthesized and their in vitro antimicrobial activity was determined. Compounds 6b, 6c, 6d, 6e, 10b, 10c, 10d, 12c, 12d, 12e, 13, and 14 showed potent antibacterial activity. In addition, the in vivo anti-inflammatory activity of compounds 6a, 6c, 6f, 9b, 10b, 11, 12b, 13, and 14 was determined using the carrageenan-induced paw edema method in rats. Compounds 13 and 14 produced good dose-dependent anti-inflammatory activity. Although, the active compounds are considered to be good candidates as newer antibacterial and anti-inflammatory agents, further studies including the mechanism of their biological activity are being undertaken.
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