Enrofloxacin Transformation on *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 Reduced Goethite during Anaerobic–Aerobic Transition
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ABSTRACT: Antibiotics pollution has become a critical environmental issue worldwide due to its high ecological risk. In this study, rapid degradation of enrofloxacin (ENR) was observed on goethite in the presence of *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 during the transition from anaerobic to aerobic conditions. The abiotic reactions also demonstrated that over 70% with initial concentration of 10 mg L⁻¹ ENR was aerobically removed within 5 min by goethite with adsorbed Fe(III), without especial irradiation and strong oxidants. The results of spin trap electron spin resonance (ESR) experiments provide evidence that Fe(II)/Fe(III) complexes facilitate the generation of ⋅OH. The electrophilic attack by ⋅OH opens the quinolone ring of ENR and initiates further transformation reactions. Five transformation products were identified using high performance liquid chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry and the ENR degradation process was proposed accordingly. The identification of ENR transformation products also revealed that both the surface adsorption and the electron density distribution in the molecule determined the reactive site and transformation pathway. This study highlights an important, but often underappreciated, natural process for in situ degradation of antibiotics. With the easy migration of the goethite-MR-1 complex to the anaerobic/aerobic interface, the environmental fates of ENR and other antibiotics need to be seriously reconsidered.

INTRODUCTION

The overuse of antibiotics poses a serious risk to human and ecological health worldwide.¹,² Enrofloxacin (ENR), a representative fluoroquinolone antibiotic, has been extensively used in veterinary pharmaceuticals. The continuously increasing use of antibiotics in the past decades has led to their partitioning to minerals such as goethite³,⁴ and a proliferation of bacteria in the environment containing highly resistant genes for ENR and other antibiotics.⁵

Microorganisms drive the biogeochemical cycles of pollutants in the environment. A well-studied example is the respiration of dissimilatory iron-reducing bacteria (DIRB) that can promote the reductive dissolution of ferric (oxyhydr)oxides in reducing conditions and generate Fe(II).⁶ The biogenic Fe(II) on ferric (oxyhydr)oxide surfaces is so active that it can significantly enhance the reduction rate of a wide range of organic and inorganic pollutants, such as nitroaromatics,⁷–⁹ insecticides,¹⁰ antibiotics,¹¹ and toxic metals.¹²,¹³

Compared with the studies carried out under anaerobic conditions, the impact of biogenic Fe(II) adsorbed on ferric (oxyhydr)oxide surfaces is less understood regarding the fate of pollutants during the transition from anaerobic to aerobic conditions. In fact, the assembly of colloids and bacteria originally under anaerobic conditions can easily migrate to and encounter the anaerobic–aerobic interfaces, such as in flooded paddy soils,¹⁴ plant roots in waterlogged soil,¹⁵ and sand–water interface.¹⁶ In such a scenario, the adsorbed Fe(II) would be readily oxidized once in contact with oxygen, since oxygen is a more thermodynamically favorable terminal electron acceptor (E°(W) = +0.81 V for O₂(g)/H₂O), relative to Fe(III) minerals (−0.05 V for FeOOH(s)/FeCO₃(s)).¹¹ It has been reported in recent studies that several synthetic mixed-valence iron oxides, such as Fe₆O₇ nanowires, could activate the dissolved molecular oxygen by electron transfer to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS), which can be used for the oxidative degradation of organic contaminants.¹⁷,¹⁸ Considering the ubiquitous distribution of iron oxides and DIRB, we hypothesize that the surface process involving DIRB-mediated Fe(III) reduction followed by aerobic Fe(II) oxidation is an important natural pathway for the biotransformation of antibiotics.

The objective of this study was to explore the ENR transformation mediated by Fe(III)-reducing bacteria and goethite during the transition from anaerobic to aerobic conditions. The surface interaction mechanisms and ENR transformation pathways were investigated by using spin trap electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy and quadrupole irradiation and strong oxidants. The results of spin trap electron spin resonance (ESR) experiments provide evidence that Fe(II)/Fe(III) complexes facilitate the generation of ⋅OH. The electrophilic attack by ⋅OH opens the quinolone ring of ENR and initiates further transformation reactions. Five transformation products were identified using high performance liquid chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry and the ENR degradation process was proposed accordingly. The identification of ENR transformation products also revealed that both the surface adsorption and the electron density distribution in the molecule determined the reactive site and transformation pathway. This study highlights an important, but often underappreciated, natural process for in situ degradation of antibiotics. With the easy migration of the goethite-MR-1 complex to the anaerobic/aerobic interface, the environmental fates of ENR and other antibiotics need to be seriously reconsidered.
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time-of-flight mass spectrometry (Q-TOF-MS). The mechanistic insights gained from this study can further our understanding on the underappreciated microbial-mediated interfacial reactions under redox transition and help us to reevaluate the environmental fates of ENR and related antibiotics.

\section*{Experimental Section}

Materials. Enrofloxacin (ENR, 98%), 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrrolin-N-oxide (DMPO, ACS reagent grade), DMSO and five structural analogues of ENR, namely, 8-hydroxyquinoline (HQN, 99%), flumequine (FLU, 98%), 1-(2-fluorophenyl)piperazine (FPP, 98%), nicotinic acid (NA, 99.5%), quinoline (QN, 98%), were used as received from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Stocks of target compounds were prepared in Nanopure water (18.2 Ω from Milli-Q water system) at 100 mg L\(^{-1}\). All stocks were protected from light, stored at 4 °C, and used within a week of preparation.

Goethite and Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 were selected as a typical Fe(III) oxyhydroxide and DIRB species, respectively, based on their ubiquitous distribution in the environment and high importance toward ecological systems. Goethite was synthesized via the hydrolysis of iron nitrate as shown in our previous report.\textsuperscript{19} The BET surface area (84.7 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)) was determined with ASAP2000 (Micromeritics Instrument Corp.). The point of zero charge (PZC) of goethite was determined to be 8.9 with a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, U.K.). The microbial incubation of MR-1 were conducted following our reported study.\textsuperscript{19} The details are provided in the Supporting Information (SI). The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) test for ENR is also detailed in the SI.

Biotic Reactions with ENR. The biotic reaction experiment was carried out in sterile serum bottles in a glovebox (100% N\(_2\)) with an O\(_2\) level lower than 1 ppm. All solutions were prepared using deoxygenated DI water, which were boiled and purged with N\(_2\) (99.999%) for at least 1 h, and transferred into the glovebox for overnight pre-equilibration before use. After being capped with butyl rubber stoppers and aluminum crimp seals, the bottles were transferred out of the glovebox and shaken at 30 °C on an oscillator at 100 rpm. Four batch experiments were conducted: (1) a control containing pre-equilibrated goethite (1 g L\(^{-1}\)) and ENR (2 mg L\(^{-1}\)); (2) pre-equilibration of goethite with dead MR-1 (pasteurized treatment at 85 °C for 1 h) for 1 day before adding ENR; (3) pre-equilibration of goethite with active MR-1 (10\(^6\) CFU mL\(^{-1}\)) for 1 day before adding ENR; (4) pre-equilibration of goethite with ENR for 1 day before adding MR-1. Each treatment was incubated for 120 h under anaerobic conditions followed by air exposure for 48 h. One mL aliquots were periodically withdrawn and passed through a 0.22 μm filter for HPLC measurements. Triplicate batch experiments were performed in darkness at 30 °C. For comparison, abiotic experiments containing ENR, goethite and Fe(II) were also conducted and the detailed process is provided in the SI.

Spin Trap Electron Spin Resonance (ESR) spectroscopy. The ESR experiments were conducted in ambient air condition with a Bruker (Billerica, MA) ER 200 D-SRC spectrometer operating at 9.8 GHz and a cavity equipped with a Bruker Aquax liquid sample cell. The basic system in this study consisted of 1 g L\(^{-1}\) α-FeOOH suspension, Fe(II) with various concentrations, and DMPO (100 mM) as spin-trapping agent for •OH and superoxide (O\(_2^{-}\)) in PIPES buffer (pH 6.8, 10 mM). To further determine the species of reactive radicals involved, •OH scavenger DMSO was used. The solutions were recorded 1 min after the Fe(II) addition. The acquisition parameters for the experiments were according to the report of Huang et al. as follows:\textsuperscript{20} scan range, 100 G; field set, 3405 G; time constant, 200 ms; scan time, 100 s; modulation amplitude, 0.25 G; modulation frequency, 100 kHz; receiver gain, 1.25 × 10\(^4\); and microwave power, 20 mW. The hyperfine splitting constants were measured by using the simulation software WinSim version 0.96 (NIEHS).

Analytical Procedures. The details of sample analysis, including HPLC-FL, HPLC-ESI-Q-TOF-MS, colorimetric assay of Fe(II) are provided in the SI.

\section*{Results and Discussion}

Protection of MR-1 from ENR Attack by Goethite Adhesion. Since ENR is a broad spectrum antibiotic, its specific toxic effect on strain MR-1 was first investigated by analyzing its minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) with or without goethite. The results show that MR-1 cells can hardly survive in the presence of 0.5 mg L\(^{-1}\) ENR or higher (Figure 1A). Conversely, once preadsorbed on goethite, MR-1 can resist up to 2 mg L\(^{-1}\) ENR as evidenced by the continual increase in Fe(II) which was biogenerated by MR-1 (black squares in Figure 1B). To confirm that this Fe(II) increase was induced by MR-1, a control incubation experiment was conducted without goethite (Figure 1C). The results showed that MR-1 (blue squares) cannot resist 2 mg L\(^{-1}\) ENR, whereas MR-1 adsorbed on goethite (red squares) can resist up to 2 mg L\(^{-1}\) ENR. These results suggest that goethite protects MR-1 from ENR attack by adsorbing ENR. On the other hand, the aqueous Fe(II) concentration in the preadsorbed samples was analyzed by HPLC. The results revealed that Fe(II) formed by ENR-adsorbed MR-1 increased approximately 3 times compared to the control (Figure 1D). These results indicate that Fe(II) formed by ENR-adsorbed MR-1 is a key factor in the protection of MR-1 from ENR attack by goethite.
The yield of Fe(II) (Figure 1B). Reductive dissolution of goethite induced by MR-1 could generate fresh surfaces.21,22 This ENR decrease facilitated by MR-1 was in agreement with the experimental results.21,22

An increased ENR dissipation was observed with the increase in goethite content (Figure S3A). Different from goethite, no positive correlation was found between Fe(II) concentration and ENR dissipation (Figure S3A). Fe(II) from 0 to 2 mM enhanced the ENR dissipation, but inhibited the ENR removal when Fe(II) was higher than 2 mM, indicating that the ENR dissipation was regulated by the adsorbed Fe(II). Besides, as shown in Figure S3B, the ENR removal rate after 4 h remained the same for O2-bubbling system (red curve) and nonbubbling system (blue curve). This result indicates that O2 only expedite the reaction rate, but had no influence on the extent of ENR removal, though O2 is an important prerequisite. 

For a given abiotic system for ENR reaction, no significant loss in soluble ENR was found in the control containing Fe(II) and ENR (p > 0.05, line f in Figure 2B), and lines a,e in Figure S2), verifying that precipitation of Fe(II) has negligible effect on ENR adsorption. Meanwhile, ENR adsorption on goethite was stable and no desorption occurred during the experiment (lines b, f in Figure S2).

A prominent discrepancy was found in the pre-equilibration of dead MR-1 cells with goethite before ENR addition, and no Fe(II) was detected (red circles in Figure 1B). When goethite was pre-equilibrated with ENR before adding MR-1 (blue triangles in Figure 1B), the reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II) was appreciably suppressed. This suppression is not primarily due to the physical-chemical inhibition by the adsorbed ENR molecules, since the occupied surface area by ENR relative to the whole surface area of goethite is negligible (R = 0.44%, calculation detailed in the SI). Thus, these observations imply that adsorption on goethite protected MR-1 from the ENR attack.

**Biotic Reaction of ENR with Goethite and MR-1 Cells.**

The change in ENR concentration as a function of incubation time exhibited a drastic difference between MR-1 and control samples, especially during the transition from anaerobic to aerobic conditions (yellow region in Figure 2A). In the absence of MR-1 (lines a and e), the ENR concentration did not change once adsorption reached equilibrium, even in the transition from an anaerobic to aerobic environment. In the presence of dead MR-1 cells, negligible ENR change was observed under both anaerobic and aerobic conditions (line b). For the scenarios containing active MR-1 cells (lines c and d), the ENR concentration decreased slowly under the anaerobic condition, followed by a substantial drop during the transit from anaerobic to aerobic conditions, and then remained unchanged thereafter. Specifically, when MR-1 was added prior to ENR in the anaerobic condition, a higher rate (0.108 h−1 vs 0.024 h−1) and extent (0.48 vs 0.32) in ENR decrease were observed (line c vs d in Figure 2A, the reaction rates were calculated at the initial time, (t = 0 h) and the reaction extents were calculated by the differences occurring at each transition moment (t = 120 h)). This ENR decrease facilitated by MR-1 was in agreement with the yield of Fe(II) (Figure 1B). Reductive dissolution of goethite induced by MR-1 could generate fresh surfaces.21,22 These in situ generated surface sites contributed to the slow ENR decrease under anaerobic conditions. No transformation of ENR occurred under anaerobic conditions since no degradation product of ENR was detected.

**Abiotic Reaction of ENR with Goethite in the Presence of Fe(II).** To determine the role of biogenic Fe(II) in ENR removal, we simplified the MR-1 mediated reaction using an abiotic system containing ENR, goethite, and Fe(II) (Figure 2B and Figure S2). The effects of goethite, Fe(II), and O2 on the ENR dissipation were first investigated (Figure S3). A positive correlation was found between Fe(II) concentration and ENR dissipation (Figure S3A). Fe(II) from 0 to 2 mM enhanced the ENR dissipation, but inhibited the ENR removal when Fe(II) was higher than 2 mM, indicating that the ENR dissipation was regulated by the adsorbed Fe(II). Besides, as shown in Figure S3B, the ENR removal rate after 4 h remained the same for O2-bubbling system (red curve) and nonbubbling system (blue curve). This result indicates that O2 only expedite the reaction rate, but had no influence on the extent of ENR removal, though O2 is an important prerequisite.

For a given abiotic system for ENR reaction, no significant loss in soluble ENR was found in the control containing Fe(II) and ENR (p > 0.05, line f in Figure 2B, and lines a,e in Figure S2), verifying that precipitation of Fe(II) has negligible effect on ENR adsorption. Meanwhile, ENR adsorption on goethite was stable and no desorption occurred during the experiment (lines b, f in Figure S2)

A prominent discrepancy was found in the pre-equilibration of goethite with Fe(II) addition (Figure S2A), where >70% ENR was removed within 5 min under aerobic conditions in contrast to <10% ENR removal under anaerobic conditions. These results clearly suggest that Fe(II), biogenic or abiotic, is reactive on goethite in the presence of O2, resulting in substantial ENR removal. Considering its rapid kinetics and great extent in ENR dissipation compared with the controls (Figure S2A), we propose that ROS may be generated and involved in the ENR removal.

**ESR Studies.** To further validate the radical degradation mechanism and possible radical species formed during the reaction process, ESR experiments were carried out by using DMPO as the spinning-trapping reagent for hydroxyl radical and superoxide radical anion.

As shown in Figure 3, no obvious signal of spin adduct was observed in samples containing only Fe(II) or goethite (Figure 3a,b). The weak signal in Figure 3a was due to the reaction of Fe(II) with DMPO (Figure S4). The 1:2:2:1 ESR signal with hyperfine splitting parameters of aH = aN = 14.9 G appeared in the spectra of goethite with Fe(II) and MR-1 mediated...
goethite, which is characteristic for spin adduct DMPO/•OH (Figure 3c,d). After the addition of the •OH scavenger (DMSO), the DMPO/•OH signal was appreciably suppressed. Instead, minor DMPO adduct with a methyl radical (DMPO/CH$_3$), characterized by an intensity ratio of 1:1:1:1:1 and hyperfine splitting parameters of $a^H = 23.3$ G, $a^N = 16.3$ G, was instead observed (Figure 3ce). These ESR results provide direct evidence for •OH radical existence in Fe(II)-goethite couple. When pre-equilibration of goethite with ENR was carried out before adding Fe(II) (Figure 3g), no DMPO/•OH signal was observed, suggesting that ENR adsorbed on the surface may instantaneously consume •OH prior to the formation of DMPO/•OH.

Adsorbed Fe(II) on iron hydroxides can lower the standard reduction potential of the Fe(II)/Fe(III) redox couple, enabling Fe(II) a strong reductant under anaerobic conditions. Similar to the transition from anaerobic to aerobic conditions in this study, as shown in the TOC Art, the Fe(II)/Fe(III) intervalence charge transfer facilitates the reduction of adsorbed oxygen at the solid/liquid interface, leading to the generation of ROS, including •OH. Although the dissolved Fe(II) alone has negligible contribution to •OH radical generation (Figure 3a), it plays an important and multiple role in the ENR degradation when ROS has been generated at Fe(II)/goethite surfaces. On one side, dissolved Fe(II) can react with the generated •O$_2^−$ (Fe(II) + HO$_2^−$ → Fe(III) + H$_2$O$_2$), which can accelerate the generation of •OH radical. On the other side, the excess dissolved Fe(II) may compete with ENR to react with •OH radical (Fe(II)$_{aq}$ + •OH → Fe(III)$_{aq}$ + H$_2$O), which inhibited the ENR dissipation (Figure S3A).

It is noteworthy that the microbial mediated generation of •OH in this study is a Fenton-like process with unique characteristic. The generation of ROS by classical Fenton-like reactions has a limited applicable pH range, usually 2.5–3.5.

On the contrary, the highest ENR degradation on bioreduced goethite was obtained at neutral pH (Figure S5). This observation can be explained by the fact that the acidic condition inhibits the adsorption of bioreduced Fe(II) on goethite due to charge repulsion, whereas the alkaline condition promotes the oxidation of bioreduced Fe(II) by dissolved O$_2$. Thus, only a neutral pH facilitates the formation of the Fe(II)/Fe(III) complex, and this neutral pH adaptation endows the microbial mediated redox process a crucial one in natural environments.

**Reaction Pathways.** To determine the reaction pathways, the degradation ratio of ENR was first exploited, along with its five structural analogues, which were FLU, HQN, QN, FPP, and NA (Figure 4). The high degradation ratio of ENR and FLU and the insensitivity of FPP exclude the pipazine moiety as a reaction center. Further, the low degradation ratios of NA and QN indicate that the carbonyl group and quinolone ring are not reactive. Although HQN resulted in a high reactivity, the attack of •OH on HQN must occur at a phenol group rather than at a quinolone ring, based on the comparison of reaction activity of HQN and QN (Figure 4, S6). This analysis suggests that the ENR reaction center must be on the moiety containing carboxyl and neighboring carbonyl group. Notably, only part of compounds (FLU, HQN, ENR) in the investigated system have been significantly degraded (Figure 4), which seems to be in conflict with the nonselective property of •OH radicals. In a classical Fenton reaction, the homogeneous catalysis of H$_2$O$_2$ by ferrous ions generates •OH, which can attack any organic pollutants in solution without selectivity. In contrast, in our study, •OH are heterogeneously in situ generated on the surface of Fe(II)/Fe(III) complex, which preferentially attack the adsorbed compounds rather than those free compounds in solution.

Five major ENR degradation products, namely P1–P5, were identified by HPLC-Q-TOF-MS (Figure S7–S8, Table 1), and two transformation pathways were proposed as shown in Figure 5. The first proposed pathway is that the electrophilic attack by •OH broke the C–C bond between the neighboring carboxyl and carbonyl groups (red region of ENR in Figure S3A).
opening the quinolone ring to generate P2, the product with a net loss of CO, and P3, the product with a loss of C and an addition of H2O, though the first intermediate product was not detected (red arrows in Figure 5). Further OH attack induced the oxidation of P2 to form P4. Another possible ENR transformation pathway was proposed where the ENR hydroxylation led to the intermediate product P5, followed by a ring-opening reaction and further decarboxylation to generate product P1 (blue arrows in Figure 5).

In addition, the product identification was performed at pH 4.5 and 9.5. Due to the unfavorable pH conditions for degradation, only part of ENR products could be detected (Figure S9). Because the ENR products, P2, P4 in the first proposed pathway, and P5 in the second proposed pathway were detected at pH 4.5 and 9.5 (Figure S9), we proposed that the reaction follows the same mechanism in the pH range 4.5−9.5. Admittedly, due to the limitation of the Q-TOF-MS detection, we cannot rule out the involvement of other possible degradation pathways.

Our ENR transformation pathways are inconsistent with a previous hypothesis on ciprofloxacin (CIP) degradation, which is a fluoroquinolone antibiotic with a structure similar to ENR. The oxidative transformation of CIP on goethite and hematite surface was considered to occur via the dealkylation and hydroxylation at the piperazine moiety, with the quinolone ring essentially intact (Figure S10). The contrasting results may

| Compound | Rₜ | Formula (M+H)⁺ | Experimental Mass(m/z) | Calculated Mass(m/z) | ppm error | Proposal structure |
|----------|----|----------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| ENR      | 3.75 | C₁₉H₂₃FN₃O₃ | 360.1722   | 360.1718   | -1.12     | ![ENR structure](image) |
| P1       | 1.71 | C₁₆H₂₃FN₂O₂  | 308.1772   | 308.1769   | 1.04      | ![P1 structure](image) |
| P2       | 2.57 | C₁₈H₂₅FN₃O₃ | 350.1882   | 350.1874   | 2.16      | ![P2 structure](image) |
| P3       | 3.01 | C₁₈H₂₅FN₃O₄ | 366.1815   | 366.1824   | -2.36     | ![P3 structure](image) |
| P4       | 3.34 | C₁₇H₂₃FN₃O₃ | 336.1708   | 336.1718   | -2.97     | ![P4 structure](image) |
| P5       | 3.48 | C₁₉H₂₃FN₃O₄ | 376.1670   | 376.1667   | 0.77      | ![P5 structure](image) |
be ascribed to the difference in electron transfer mechanism. In previous studies, no Fe(II) was initially present, and CIP is oxidized via direct electron transfer from N atom of the piperazine ring to iron oxides, generating a surface-bound Fe(II) and CIP radical intermediate.\textsuperscript{34} The CIP radicals then undergo further reactions including N-dealkylation and C-hydroxylation, resulting in the opening of piperazine ring (Figure S10). In contrast, in our system, ENR was oxidized by OH, which was generated by the electron transfer from Fe(II)/Fe(III) complex to surface molecular oxygen as mentioned above. The reaction occurred at the carboxyl group of ENR due to its headmost generated by the electron transfer from Fe(II)/Fe(III) complex to surface molecular oxygen. In our system, ENR was oxidized by positively charged goethite surfaces (pH pzc = 8.9) in the investigated conditions. Due to the strong oxidative capability of OH, the reaction rate (within several minutes) is significantly faster than that in previous reports (over 65 h).\textsuperscript{34}

However, NA with a carboxyl group (pK_a = 2.14) was inert (Figure 4), indicating that the presence of a carboxyl group itself is not sufficient to explain the reactivity. In fact, the quinolone ring was readily opened by the electrophilic attack of OH at the C3 position (marked by an asterisk in Figure S), which is of the highest electron density in the ring in the presence of both carboxyl and the adjacent carbonyl groups.\textsuperscript{35} Thus, both the surface adsorption and the electron density distribution in the molecule determine the reactive site and transformation pathway.

**Environmental Significance.** Due to its relative large specific surface area (ca. 50–200 m^2^ g\(^{-1}\)) and reactive surface functional groups (e.g., hydroxyl groups), goethite is traditionally considered as a good adsorbent for organic pollutants. As demonstrated by the results of this work, however, goethite not only acts as an adsorbent but also as a reagent involved in the degradation of fluoroquinolones.

Goethite with adsorbed Fe(II) could fast degrade ENR at the anaerobic/aerobic interface. ESR study demonstrated that OH were generated and involved in the ENR degradation. Adsorbed Fe(II) was thought to play a key role in the formation of OH. Generally, dissolved Fe^{2+} is considered to be inefficient for pollutant degradation in natural aerobic condition due to rapid oxidation of Fe^{2+} by dissolve O_2. However, goethite can be bioreduced by DIRB in anaerobic condition and in situ generated adsorbed Fe(II). Then Fe(II) associated with goethite can degrade the adsorbed ENR with high reactivity by the generation of OH when the complex comes to aerobic/anaerobic interface. This hypothesis was proven by our results of the anaerobic production of Fe(II) by incubation of MR-1 in goethite suspension and fast aerobic ENR degradation by bioreduced goethite.

Since OH is in situ formed on the mineral surfaces, the ENR adsorption on Fe oxide surfaces play a critical role in determining the reaction rate and extent. Considering that OH is a short-lived, highly reactive oxidizing agent, it can be reasonably extrapolated that the OH formation pathway proposed here should have a great impact on the environmental fate of antibiotics and other organic pollutants. Thus, this work highlights a potential transformation pathway of contaminants in the natural environment that may have been underestimated previously.
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