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Abstract: Members of the Asteroidea (phylum Echinodermata), popularly known as starfish or sea stars, are ecologically important and diverse members of marine ecosystems in all of the world’s oceans. We present a comprehensive overview of diversity and phylogeny as they have figured into the evolution of the Asteroidea from Paleozoic to the living fauna. Living post-Paleozoic asteroids, the Neoasteroidea, are morphologically separate from those in the Paleozoic. Early Paleozoic asteroid faunas were diverse and displayed morphology that foreshadowed later living taxa. Preservation presents significant difficulties, but fossil occurrence and current accounts suggest a diverse Paleozoic fauna, which underwent extinction around the Permian-Triassic interval was followed by re-diversification of at least one surviving lineage. Ongoing phylogenetic classification debates include the status of the Paxillosida and the Concentricycloidea. Fossil and molecular evidence has been and continues to be part of the ongoing evolution of asteroid phylogenetic research. The modern lineages of asteroids include the Valvatacea, the Forcipulatacea, the Spinulosida, and the Velatida. We present an overview of diversity in these taxa, as well as brief notes on broader significance, ecology, and functional morphology of each. Although much asteroid taxonomy is stable, many new taxa remain to be discovered with many new species currently awaiting description. The Goniasteridae is currently one of the most diverse families within the Asteroidea. New data from molecular phylogenetics and the advent of global biodiversity databases, such as the World Asteroidea Database (http://www.marinespecies.org/Asteroidea/) present important new springboards for understanding the global biodiversity and evolution of asteroids.

Introduction

Introduction to Basic Biology and Morphology

The class Asteroidea (also known as starfish or sea stars) is one of the most diverse groups within the phylum Echinodermata, including nearly 1900 extant species grouped into 36 families, and approximately 370 extinct genera. Asteroids occur at all depths including nearly 1900 extant species grouped into 36 families, and approximately 370 extinct genera. Asteroids are dorsoventrally flattened with five to 50 rays projecting from a central disk. Each arm possesses a series of paired J-shaped ambulacral ossicles that occur along each arm radius. Tube feet emerge from pores present between ambulacral ossicles into a large ventrally facing open groove. These grooves all converge on the mouth, present on the bottom-facing side of the disk. Although supported as members of the asteroid lineage, Concentricycloida (represented by the monotypic Xyloplax) show a highly divergent morphology that has suggested separation of Xyloplax from the other Asteroidea. This includes unpaired, non-overlapping ambulacral ossicles, tube feet in a single row, and adambulacral plates forming a peripheral disk series [7,8]. As outlined below, this divergent morphology has led to a highly contentious discussion over the classification of Xyloplax within the Echinodermata.

In spite of the common names “sea star” and “starfish,” asteroids possess highly varied body shapes, including those that are sphaerical (e.g., Podosphaeraster), those that are pentagonal (e.g., Sphaeriodiscus) and others that are strongly stellate with very long arms and a nearly non-existent disk (e.g., Zoroaster). Body shapes range from highly inflated and cushion shaped (e.g., Calcita) to extremely dorso-ventral flattened with paper-thin bodies (e.g., Anseropoda). In many asteroids, a thick, fleshy (e.g., Porania) to gelatinous (e.g., Hymenaster) covering/layer has obscured the skeleton. Adult animal size varies from the tiny stichasterid Allostichaster paulina [9] with a disk to arm radius of about two to ten mm to immense members of the Asteriidae, such as Echinaster echinosa and Paster brevispinus, which have both been recorded with arm tip to arm tip diameter of nearly 90 cm.

Other aspects of asteroid biology are diverse and are only briefly touched upon herein. Generalized overviews of asteroid biology can be found in [10,11,12,13]. Jangoux [14] and Sloan [15] reviewed feeding biology and nutrition. Chia [16] and Koss and Rowe et al. [17] reviewed microscopic anatomy in asteroids and
concentricycloids, respectively. Lawrence [18] reviewed eponymous structures in echinoderms, including several present in asteroids. Flammang [19,20], Flammang et al. [21,22] and Santos et al. [23] have provided several significant new contributions to our understanding of tube foot adhesion physiology. Valentincic [24] reviewed asteroid behavioral and responses to external stimuli. Chia and Walker [25] reviewed reproduction in asteroids. McEdward and Miner [26] reviewed larval and life cycle patterns.

Importance
Asteroids occupy substantive ecological roles and are widely used subjects in developmental and experimental biology. Asteroids such as the North Pacific *Pisaster* have been important in ecological studies addressing the role of competition, reproduction [27,28,29,30,31] and community structure [32,33,34]. Paine [32] idealized *Pisaster* as the textbook example of a keystone species. *Pisaster ochraceus* has been seminal in revealing the importance of photoperiodic control of reproduction in marine animals [35,36,37,38,39]. Cold (e.g., *Asterias, Lepasterias*) and temperate-water (e.g., *Meandaster, Coscinasterias*) asteroids continue to occupy prominent roles as model organisms in the fields of community structure [30] and feeding ecology [40]. *Asterias amurensis* is an introduced invasive [41,42,43,44] and is perceived as a threat to Australia’s shellfish industries.

Population outbreaks of the tropical coralivore *Acanthaster planci*, also known as the Crown-of-Thorns Starfish, led to widespread concern by coral reef conservation authorities as living reefs were devoured by massive numbers of *A. planci* [45,46,47]. Corresponding to their ecological importance, asteroids are also study subjects in marine pollution and toxicological studies. Uptake of toxic metals, PCBs, and the effects of oil have been tested on several genera, including *Asterias, E castsas, and Coscinasterias* [48,49,50,51]. Taxa in the Asterinidae have occupied a primary place of importance in developmental and reproductive studies [52,53]. Additionally, sea stars have been used in a diversity of disciplines, including immunology [48], physiology [54], biochemistry [55], cryogenics [56], and parasitology [57]. Several asteroid species have become subjects in global warming and ocean acidification studies [58,59,60].

Materials and Methods
Morphological terms and definitions follow Clark and Downey [2] and Blake [61]. Classifications begin with the morphological-based phylogenetic work of Blake [4]. Taxonomic diversity counts and conventions for species were obtained from the World Asteroida Database [62] and from the Asteroid Names List [63,64,65,66]. The classification used for this paper is present on Table 1. Images and data from the U.S. Antarctic Research Program were also included [67].

We utilize “lineage” throughout the text as a general term to indicate a species or taxon and its nominal ancestor (and/or sister taxa where applicable) as opposed to the more context-driven term “clade”, which implies a distinct suite of synapomorphies for a branch taken from a specific phylogenetic hypothesis that may or may not exist for a specific clade.

Results
Taxonomic Diversity and Diversity Trends
In terms of total number of species, the Asteroida (n = 1890 species) (Table 1) and the Ophiuroida (n = 2064 species) [68] comprise the two most diverse classes within the living Echinodermata. Species counts and names utilized are those nominally accepted by the World Asteroida Database as valid (or “accepted” by the database). Following Blake’s [4] classification with modification by Mah and Foltz [69] the Valvatacea (Valvatidae+Paxillosida) includes the greatest number of species (n = 1224), followed by the Forcipulatacea (n = 933 species), the Velatida (n = 145 species) and finally the Spinnulosida (Echinarachniidae), which includes 135 species (Table 1) [70]. Mah and Foltz [69] changed the composition of the Valvatacea to include the Solasteridae, but even with this difference (n = 51 species), from Blake [4], prior versions of the Valvatida included more genera and species than the Paxillosida [71,64].

Species diversity is disproportionately distributed among the 36 families of living Asteroida (Table 1). Seven families, Ophiidiasteridae, Perasteridae, Echinasteriidae, Asterinidae, Gonasteriidae and Astropectinidae, each include more than 100 species. The Gonasteriidae (n = 256) and the Astropectinidae (n = 243) include the largest number of species within the Asteroida.

Species are not evenly distributed among genera. Within the Astropectinidae, Astropecten alone includes 43% (104/243) of the total number of species in the family [72]. The Gonasteriidae includes 65 genera, most of which include multiple species [73]. At least eight gonasterid genera include more than 10 species. Several genera possess disproportionately high numbers of species relative to other genera within the family. *Hemnasia* includes some 68% (91/133) of the total known species in the Echinasteridae [70]. *Pentreaster* (n = 45) and *Hymenaster* (n = 50) together account for 32% of the total number of species (n = 116) in the Perasteridae [74]. The aforementioned illustrate the extreme cases, but several more examples of disproportionately high numbers of species/family exist. In nearly every instance of a genus with a disproportionately high numbers of species, these taxa include a global or widely distributed range. *Astropecten* is limited largely to tropical and temperate settings, but *Hemnasia, Pentreaster, and Hymenaster* all have cosmopolitan distributions in cold to temperate water settings.

Undescribed Biodiversity
It is of course difficult to evaluate how many living species remain to be discovered, but one estimate can be based on the rate of recognition in the relatively well-known and widely studied Gonasteriidae, which contains the largest number of nominal genera and species in the Asteroida (Table 1). Out of the total number of nominal genera (n = 65) and species (n = 256) in the Gonasteriidae, approximately 12% (n = 31) of species and 14% (n = 9) of genera were discovered in the 21st Century (2001 to present). Based on identified but undescribed museum gonasterid material (C. Mah, unpublished data), this would raise the total number of newly discovered genera to 37% and the number of species to 32%. This does not reflect a comprehensive survey of all museum collections but does suggest that a substantial number of asteroid taxa remain undescribed.

Another potential source of undiscovered/undescribed biodiversity is to be found in cryptic species. Several asteroid taxa, outlined in the “Diversity Trends” sections below, have now been identified as containing cryptic species, which are discrete lineages that are distinguished primarily based on molecular data that were not immediately recognizable from gross morphology. Widespread species are not uncommon among asteroids and it seems likely that this will further result in the identification of additional species diversity.
Table 1. Breakdown of living taxa among the Neoasteroidea from Foltz and Mah [69,181].

| Superorder | Order | Family | # genera | # species |
|------------|-------|--------|----------|-----------|
| Forcipulatacea | Forcipulatida | Asteriidae | 35 | 178 |
| | | Helasteridae | 2 | 9 |
| | | Stichasteridae | 9 | 28 |
| | | “Pedicellasteridae” | 7 | 32 |
| | | Zoroasteridae | 7 | 36 |
| | | Total Forcipulatida | 60 | 283 |
| | Brisingida | Brisingidae | 10 | 63 |
| | | Freyellidae | 7 | 47 |
| | | TOTAL Brisingida | 17 | 110 |
| | | TOTAL Forcipulatacea | 77 | 393 |
| | Spinulosida | Echinasteridae | 8 | 133 |
| | | TOTAL Spinulosida | 8 | 133 |
| Valvatacea | Valvatida | Poraniidae | 7 | 22 |
| | | Acanthasteridae | 1 | 2 |
| | | Archasteridae | 1 | 3 |
| | | “Asterinidae” | 25 | 147 |
| | | Asterodiscididae | 4 | 20 |
| | | Asteropseidae | 5 | 6 |
| | | Chaetasteridae | 1 | 4 |
| | | Ganiidae | 9 | 21 |
| | | Gonasteridae | 65 | 256 |
| | | Leiasteridae | 2 | 4 |
| | | Mithrodiidae | 2 | 7 |
| | | Odontasteridae | 6 | 28 |
| | | Ophidiasteridae | 27 | 106 |
| | | Oreasteridae | 20 | 74 |
| | | Podosphaerasteridae | 1 | 6 |
| | | Solasteridae | 9 | 51 |
| | | Caymanostellidae | 2 | 6 |
| | | TOTAL Valvatida | 187 | 763 |
| Valvatacea | Paxillosida | Astropectinidae | 26 | 243 |
| | | Benthoplectronidae | 8 | 69 |
| | | Ctenodiscidae | 1 | 5 |
| | | Goniopectinidae | 3 | 10 |
| | | Luidiidae | 1 | 49 |
| | | Porcellanasteridae | 12 | 30 |
| | | Radiasteridae | 1 | 5 |
| | | Pseudarchasteridae | 4 | 29 |
| | | TOTAL Paxillosida | 56 | 439 |
| | | TOTAL Valvatacea | 243 | 1224 |
| Velatida | Karethriasteridae | 3 | 7 |
| | Myxasteridae | 3 | 9 |
| Concentricycloidea | Xyloplectronidae | 1 | 3 |
| | TOTAL Species | 343 | 1890 |

“Quotation marks” indicate groups that were not supported as monophyletic. Boldface indicates groups with large numbers of taxa.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035644.t001
Diversity Trends

Table 2 broadly categorizes asteroid families as occurring in “cold,” “temperate,” or “tropical” settings. These zones are broadly based on sea-surface temperatures, as outlined in Duxbury et al [73], with “cold” temperatures ranging between 0 and 5°C, “temperate” ranging between 5 and 15°C, and ‘tropical’ at 15°C and higher. Deep-sea settings (below 200 m) are treated herein as part of “cold” temperatures. Assignment of taxa to these categories is based on occurrence data from the World Asteroidea Database [62] and other sources [63,64,65,66]. However, given the wide-ranging distributions of taxa, some of these categories are continuous and/or display overlap.

Out of the 36 families of living Asteroidea, 23 of those occur either exclusively or primarily in cold-water settings, six families occurred in temperate environments and seven were present primarily or exclusively in tropical water habitats. Taxa defined as “exclusively” cold-water were those families that occurred entirely in cold-water settings, such as the deep-sea or at high-latitudes. Those identified as “primarily” cold water have families that include 85% of taxa present in cold-water.

Tropical Diversity Trends

Those families that are primarily or exclusively tropical, including the Acanthasteridae, the Archasteridae, the Astropseidae, the Asterodiscidae, the Mithrodiidae, the Ophidiasteridae, and the Ophidiasteridae, are all members of the Valvatida, as observed by Blake [71] and Mah and Foltz [69]. The Ophidiasteridae and the Oreasteridae are the most taxonomically diverse asteroid groups throughout the tropical shallow-water Atlantic, and Indo-Pacific [2,3]. Blake [71] argued that valvatidans, which prey on colonial or encrusting food items, are most diverse in the tropics as a result of defensive structures, such as armor and spines that protect against predators. Blake [1,71] also posited that predatory asteroids, such as the Asteriidae that feed on active or non-colonial prey have morphological features associated with predation (e.g., wide tube foot grooves) that make them more vulnerable to predation in the tropics.

In a phylogenetic analysis of the Valvataeacea, Mah and Foltz [69] found that some valvatid clades, such as the Orec asteriidae plus the Astropseidae and Acanthasteridae, show diversification into the tropics relative to a temperate or cold-water water sister taxon (*Petricia*). Other sister taxon relationships (e.g., *Fonnia* and *Lithosoma*) are similar.

Other asteroid genera, such as *Linckia*, *Nardoa*, *Ophidiaster*, *Tamura* (Ophidiasteridae) and *Mithrodia* (Mithrodiidae) form “tropicopolitan” species complexes that occur in the tropical-shallow water Atlantic and Indo-Pacific [2,3]. Preliminary data also suggest that genera such as *Echinaster* are widely distributed species complexes [76]. Taxonomic and geographic distribution data including, but not limited to, *Archaster* (Archasteridae), *Astropseus* (Astropseidae), *Fonnia* (Goniasteridae), *Nardoa* (Ophidiasteridae), and *Pinctacaster* (Oreasteridae), suggest that they form widespread species networks across the Indo-Pacific/East Pacific region.

Some phylogeographic analyses of populations within a single tropical species have been performed. *Linckia laevigata* shows distinction between Indian and Pacific Ocean populations [77,78,79,80]. Distinct lineages have been recognized in populations of the Indo-Pacific Crown-of-Thorns Starfish, *Acanthaster planci*, [81,82] suggesting that multiple cryptic species are present throughout its widespread distribution. Zulliger and Lessios [83] sampled 40 of the 150 species in the widespread tropical genus *Asteropseus* and discovered species complexes and likely cryptic species.

Temperate Diversity Trends

Temperate water asteroids make up a minority of the total number of asteroids (Table 2) but nearly all families possess some representation, but even these genera mostly overlap with occurrence in either cold or tropical settings. For example, Waters and Roy [84] presented a global phylogeography of the temperate-water (but also tropical), fissiparous asteroid *Coscinasterias*. Waters’ work also suggests the possibility of cryptic speciation in *Coscinasterias munita* [85] and the ongoing divergence of populations (leading to species) in *Pterygaster regularis* [86]. The asteroid *Leptaenaster* occurs in temperate waters but has overlapping occurrence in cold-water setting. Full treatment of the *Leptaenaster* species complex is below under the “Cold-Water Diversity Trends” section.

Brooding seems to be present in several temperate water taxa and has been included in several molecular phylogeographic studies. Naughton and O’Hara [87] presented a molecular phylogeographic analysis of the gonasterid *Tasia*. Their results identified a new species, *T. neossia*, which was independently supported by differences in reproductive behavior and larval mode. External morphological differences between *T. neossia* and *T. australis* were described, but had been overlooked in prior studies of the wider-ranging and variable species *T. australis*.

**Table 2. Cold-Temperate-Tropical Water Asteroid Occurrence.**

| Cold Settings Only | Benthoprirectidae, Brisingidae, Caymanostellidae, Ctenodiscidae, Freyellidae, *Ganeriidae, Gonioplectidae, Korethrasteridae, Leilasteridae, Myxasteridae, *Odontasteridae, Pedicellasteridae, Podophaerasteridae, *Poronidae, Porcellanasteridae, *Pseudarchasteridae, Radiasteridae, Xyloplacidae, Zoroasteridae |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Primarily Cold w/minority shallow Tropical and/or Temperate Members | *Astropectinidae, *Goniasteridae, *Pterasteridae, *Solerasteridae |
| Temperate & Cold-Water Occurrence | *Chaetasteridae, *Stichasteridae |
| Temperate, Cold & Tropical Occurrence | *Asteridae, *Asterinidae, *Echinasteridae, Helasteridae, Luidiidae |
| Tropical Shallow Water Settings Only | Acanthasteridae, Archasteridae, Mithrodiidae |
| Primarily Tropical w/minority Cold-Water Members | *Astropseidae, Asterodiscidae, *Ophidiasteridae, Oreasteridae |

Bold indicates groups exclusively found in deep-sea settings (>200 m).

*Indicates those with deep-sea members.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035644.t002
latitude habitats. Nineteen families occur exclusively in cold-water settings, and most of those are found exclusively in the deep-sea. Four families include genera that occur mostly in the deep-sea although some species occur in more temperate to tropical regions (e.g., Astropecten in the Astreoperticinacea or Eucidaster in the Perasteridae). Several asteroid groups with high numbers of species also range across different habitats. For example, the Goniasteridae, which shows the highest number of genera (n = 63) and the second highest number of species (n = 256), occurs widely in cold water (e.g., Cenaster, Eoplosome), temperate (e.g., Tissa) and tropical habitats (e.g., Fimia, Nepofon).

Many abyssal asteroid taxa are widely distributed, and several genera show a global distribution [88]. Porcellanaster and other members of the Porcellanasteridae, for example, occur at abyssal depths in the Atlantic, Pacific, Indian, and Southern Oceans [2,89]. Other taxa, such as Freyella and Freyestera spp. (Freyellidae, Brisingida) also occur at abyssal depths in the Atlantic, Pacific, Indian, and Southern Oceans [66,90].

Some evidence suggests that at least some modern asteroid taxa have occurred in the past in shallower environments. Blake and Zinsmeister [91] described Eocene Zoroaster aff. fulgens fossils from shallow-water litoral sediments of Seymour Island, Antarctica. Zoroasteridae are absent from the modern Antarctic asteroid fauna but Zoroaster spp. occurs in the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian oceans to depths of nearly 5000 m [92]. Villier et al. [93] describes Cretaceous pterasterid ossicles from shallow-water sediments. Most modern pterasterid occur today in deep-sea settings. Although several members of living deep-sea asteroid groups are present in the fossil record [93,94] from shallow-water sediments, there are few records of living asteroid groups with fossil occurrence in deep-sea sediments. Villier et al. [95] describes velatidans and forcipulataceans from deep-water sediments of the Jurassic Lagerstätte of La Volute-Sur-Rhône. The Japanese Miocene Morozaki formation is a Lagerstätte contains several well-preserved asteroid fossils [96].

Many widely distributed cold-water asteroid taxa show relatively conservative morphology and display relatively few discrete differences between species. Historical distinctions have often been based on continuous characters [2,89,90,97]. However, studies addressing genetic divergence in the widespread Atlantic deep-sea species Zoroaster aff. fulgens using COI and 16S regions of the mitochondrial genome [98] have found at least three different bathometrically separated morphotypes that are reproductively isolated. Based on these results, it seems likely that determinations of deep-sea and especially abyssal asteroid diversity are likely underestimated.

Continuing taxonomic studies suggest widespread occurrence of several cold-water taxa, which were originally described as species occurring only in localized regions. For example, certain species of Hippasteria, including H. trojana and H. hyadesi were described as distinct species occurring in New Zealand and the Patagonian sub-Antarctic, respectively. Newer taxonomic accounts now regard these as widely occurring members of Hippasteria phrygiana [2,99,100]. Other cold water taxa that have widespread distributions and which show a pattern similar to Hippasteria include Solaster and Lophaster (both in the Solasteridae), Henricia (Echinasteridae), and Pleraster (Pterasteridae). This is in no way a complete list but merely touches on the most species-rich genera that would benefit from further study. These taxa suggest at least the possibility of cryptic species and the need to re-evaluate past synonymsies with molecular phylogenetic methods.

Asteroids at high-latitudes in both the Arctic and the Antarctic include taxa that form diverse species complexes that show morphological intergradation along the taxon’s range. For example, in the Arctic and adjacent Atlantic and Pacific regions, the asterid Lophostoma includes approximately 35 nominal species [101,102,103], which show phylogeographic evidence of relatively recent trans-Arctic diversification and interchange [104,105,106]. The asterid Asterias also shows this pattern [107].

Although asteroid diversity in the Antarctic is higher [108], there is less phylogeographic data available for species complexes present in the Southern Ocean. Janosik and Halanych [109] and Janosik et al. [110] have recently outlined new species and reconstructed phylogeographic relationships for the abundant and commonly encountered Odontaster, which occurs throughout the Antarctic region.

Discussion

Fossil History

Recent views on the most likely Paleozoic source for post-Paleozoic asteroids differ significantly [4,5,6,111], but authors agree that the Paleozoic-Mesozoic transition marked a time of major extinction and re-diversification, thereby allowing separation in this paper based on time. Although the paper focuses on the Asteridea, it is necessary to touch briefly on the origins and diversification of all early stellate echinoderms.

Subdivisions of Paleozoic stellate echinoderms

All three recognized groups of radiate echinoderms or “Asterozoa,” the surviving Asteroidea (Fig. 1B-G) and Ophiuroidea and the extinct Somasteroidea (Fig. 1A) [112], first appeared in the fossil record during a comparatively brief interval of the Early Ordovician. Similarities among certain early members have led most paleontologists to think of asterozoans as monophyletic but based on differences among living representatives, some authors have favored disparate ancestries. This discussion treats only data from the fossil record and no attempt is made to resolve differences.

When named, the Somasteroidea was proposed as ancestral to both asteroids and ophiuroids. Since then, somasteroids have been seen as taxonomically cohesive [113] but their phylogenetic position has been both challenged [114,115] and reaffirmed [115,116]. Somasteroids can be separated from the surviving groups primarily on the basis of presence of a series of simple rod-like ossicles, so-called “virgals,” radiating laterally from each ambulacral ossicle. The first virgal is simple in all but one known somasteroid whereas it (or its equivalent) is differentiated as an “adambulacral” in asteroids and as a “lateral” in ophiuroids. The ambulacral column of asteroids is vaulted to form a permanent furrow and that of ophiuroids is vaulted only near the mouth frame. Based on oscicular configuration, the ambulacral column of somasteroids lies in the ventral plane, although it might have been capable of temporary vaulting to form a furrow [117]. Skeletal configurations appear to allow phylogenetic transformation from somasteroids to asteroids and ophiuroids, but conclusive evidence of sequencing is elusive.

The Importance of Preservation in Understanding Asteroid Phylogeny

For a number of reasons, asterozoans are rare as fossils as compared with e.g., mollusks and brachiopods. Aspects of preservation and preservation and fossil preparation have been treated in many papers, including those of Jagt [94], Lehmann [118], Spencer [112], LeClair [119], and Villier [120] although general discussions are uncommon. Schuchert [121], Ubaghs [122], and Spencer and Wright [113] described constraints on asterozoan fossilization, and for Paleozoic representatives, Schu-
chert [121] included total then-known occurrences for each geologic period as well as number of species of various genera recorded from different modern nations.

Here, reasons why asteroids are poor candidates for preservation are discussed first, followed by consideration of whether or not the limited record might reflect limited diversity through geologic time. A skeleton of discrete, unfused elements, largely exposed life modes, and the limited palaeoenvironmental range sampled in the rock record combine to work against asteroid preservation.

Asteroids today occur at all water depths and on indurated as well as particulate substrates; the fossil record is biased toward shelf habitats with particulate substrates, hence today many asteroids occur in settings only sparsely sampled in the geologic record. Asteroids are mostly epifaunal organisms and even for those living in favored habitats, preservation requires unconsolidated sediments for burial. Fossils can be found beneath storm deposits or within and beneath submarine sediment flows. Earthquakes trigger many sediment flows but downslope movement can be gravity-induced even on relatively low slopes.

The asteroid skeleton consists of a large number of proportionately small, unfused ossicles; this construction allows flexibility of movement. The dermal-skeletal layer of many asteroids can be tough enough to provide some resistance to dissociation, but once breached, decay rapidly proceeds and ossicles are dispersed. Soft organs in the proportionately large asteroid coelom doubtless attract scavengers, leading to typically relatively rapid destruction even among buried individuals. Intact asteroid preservation demands prompt burial without later disturbance. Most skeletally intact specimens are more or less collapsed, the comparatively tough body wall apparently prevented infiltration of sediment after internal organ decay.

Dense accessory arrays typical of asteroids present their own problems of interpretation. Accessories obscure the arrangement of the taxonomically important foundation ossicles but these smaller elements are also of taxonomic significance, and data are lost where they have been lost. Expressions of delicate pedicellariae are important in the taxonomy of many extant asteroids, but few are known from the Paleozoic, perhaps only because of loss during preservation.

Both small accessories and body wall ossicles obscure interior arrangement of the ambulacral column and especially of the mouth frame. As a result, internal appearance of the mouth frame is known for few fossil species. Specimen collapse under the weight of overlying sediment displaces skeletal elements and obscures relationships.

Figure 1. Paleozoic stem-group somasteroid and asteroids. A. Ophioxenikos langenheimi (Somasteroidea) Blake & Guensburg, X-4751. B. Urasterella grandis (Meek) USNM 40885. Ordovician. C. Hudsonaster incomptus (Meek) USNM 40882 Ordovician. D. Jugiasspeciosus (Miller and Dyer). MCS 10806. Ordovician. E. Helianthaster rhenanus Roember . PWL 1983-21, Devonian. F and G. Paleaster clarki Clarke and Swartz USNM 144825. Devonian. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035644.g001
Preservation reflects selectivity for the more skeletally robust. For the better-known post-Paleozoic crown group fauna, the Astropertinidae and Gonasteridae dominate the fossil record, and many of the better-known Paleozoic representatives are also comparatively robust. Different authors have suggested predation pressure and burrowing intensity have changed through geologic time, and an increase in burrowing activity would be detrimental to preservation of the relatively delicate asteroids.

Major geologic settings also bias samples. Certain of the more important Paleozoic European asterozoan faunas (e.g. Montaigne Noire of France) accumulated in fine-grained, clastic sedimentary settings whereas many of the more important North American occurrences (e.g., Cincinnatian of Eastern United States) sampled carbonate-rich settings. Such depositional differences have preservational as well as paleoecological implications.

The many preservational constraints indicate that it is reasonable to interpret the fossil record of all asterozoans as a deeply biased sampling of what once existed. However, a second argument, the taxonomic diversity of known fossils, is available. The extant fauna provides a measuring tool for crown group (i.e. post-Paleozoic) occurrences. Although this record is dominated by the skeletally robust, known fossils record most of the more important living families, reflecting enduring diversity.

Paleozoic faunas, all belonging to stem groups, cannot be directly compared to a modern equivalent. Useful to their interpretation is the Early Devonian Hunsruck Slate fauna of Germany [118]. The Hunsruck Slate accumulated under geologically unique conditions [129,130]. The asterozoan fauna includes both large and delicate species, many unknown elsewhere. Although a single occurrence, the Hunsruck diversity range is (at least subjectively) parallel to if not greater than that of the modern fauna.

Fossil preservation differs significantly among specimens, and important features are not available in all specimens. A sampling of the diversity of Paleozoic somasteroids and asteroids is illustrated in Figure 1.

Origins of the Asteroids

Ancestry of the asteroids has been sought in two groups of early echinoderms, the extinct Edrioasteroida and the Crinoidea (however, early crinoids were quite different from surviving representatives). The edrioasteroid hypothesis has been generally preferred; Smith and Jell [125] provided a recent perspective, and Zhao et al. [126] published reconstructions of certain edrioasteroids that might be suggestive of an asteroid ancestor. The crinoid hypothesis of Fell [127] received some early support but it was soon challenged [128] based on morphologic discontinuities, although recent discoveries appear to narrow differences [129,130]. Mooi [131] reviews several different echinoderm phylogenetic hypotheses.

Like asteroids, edrioasteroids and crinoids have skeletons constructed of a large number of small, radially aligned plates or ossicles, and these similarities offer fertile ground for phylogenetic speculation. However, no known fossil bridges a morphological gap that begins with a skeleton of closely abutted elements and progresses to a flexible asteroid descendant. Further, the life-habit transition from a sessile or attached edrioasteroid or crinoid living with its mouth directed into the water column to a free-living descendant living with the mouth directed to the substrate is not bridged. Asteroid ancestry might lie within either edrioasteroids or crinoids, but much remains to be learned. Although the work of Fell [117,127] was then not yet available, G. Ubaghs, one of the most important students of early echinoderms during the 20th century, found asterozoans to be of uncertain derivation [122], and his assessment remains sound.

Efforts at locating an asteroid ancestor of necessity focus on available fossils, but the comparatively few yet very significant discoveries of early echinoderms of Guensburg and Sprinkle [129,130], which were based on more than twenty years of intensive field research, clearly testify to the importance of what remains unknown. Further, both the biased fossil sampling of crown group asterozoans as well as the echinoderm composition of the Early Devonian fauna of the unique Hunsruck Slate of Germany [123,124], including many taxa unknown from other localities, attest to incomplete overall sampling. Reconstruction of the origins and early diversification of stellate echinoderms must be based on very limited and biased evidence with much early history likely to remain forever unknown.

Paleozoic Asterozoa: Important Classification Schemes

The meager fossil record has led to comparatively few taxonomic arrangements of Paleozoic asterozoans. For ordinal-level taxa, Spencer [112] provides the starting point. In this paper and following his own monographic work [132], Spencer purposed the extinct Somasteroidea as the ancestor of the surviving ophiuroids and asterozoans.

Ubaghs [122] used terminology and concepts taken from Spencer [112], including the Somasteroidea. H. B. Fell [117,127,133,134] proposed Platasterias, as a surviving somasteroid genus, although this interpretation is no longer generally accepted [135,136]. Fell also posited a crinoid ancestry for living asterozoans, and he argued that extant asterozoans can be used to help infer an ancient transition between crinoids and asterozoans. Spencer and Wright [113] used the subordinal Paleoec classification of Spencer [112] as well as some new terms, and they accepted the phylogenetic ideas of Fell. In a survey treatment emphasizing German fossils, Muller [137] endorsed the three-fold subdivision of Spencer as well as the incorporation of Paleoec fossils into extant orders. R. V. Kesling [138,139,140,141, 142,143,144,145] revisited the interpretations of Spencer and Wright [113]; these authors treated families through subclass rankings as well as a number of genera, some of them new. They also evaluated certain of the difficulties in the recognition of ossicular homologies. In a brief study, McKnight [146] treated the full history of asterozoans and somasteroids based on collections of extant taxa and the literature for fossils; ophiuroids were not included. This author focused on projecting characters of living asterozoans onto groupings of Paleozoic fossils, including soft-tissues and ontogenetic data, as well as certain skeletal expressions. He subdivided asterozoans into two new superorders, both ranging from the Paleozoic that show the strong influence of the ideas of Fell [117] and of Spencer and Wright [113]. Shackleton [114] provided a phylogenetic analysis and classification of all asterozoans, but limited her treatment to Ordoecian representatives. This author did not use subdivisions between the class and familial levels for either asterozoans or ophiuroids.

The coverage of Ubaghs [122] was comprehensive for Paleozoic genera whereas his treatment of post-Paleozoic taxa was less complete. Spencer and Wright [113] provided a comprehensive listing of known fossil and extant genera. The compilation of Schuchert [147] provides valuable data for any survey of Paleozoic genera.

The Paleozoic Asteroidea: Complexities of Classification

Palaeontologists have traditionally regarded the Asteroidea as monophyletic but treatment within the group has varied significantly. Schuchert [121] recognized asterozoans and ophiuroids
as subclasses of Stelleroidea, and both used the asteroid terminology of Sladen [149], “Phanerozonia” (enlarged marginal ossicles) and “Cryptozonia” (reduced marginal ossicles). Schuchert [121] stressed his usage as descriptive subdivisions rather than as evolutionary markers. Schuchert [121] concluded that designation of taxa between the subclass and familial levels was premature. Schöndorf [149] recognized a class Alurioidea, on par with asteroids and ophiuroids. Kesling [159] embraced the auluroid concept whereas other workers have assigned these genera to the Ophiuroidea.

In their publications, W.K. Spencer, G. Ubags, H.B. Fell, R.V. Kesling, and D.G. McKnight all wove their arrangements of Paleozoic asteroids into the existing ordinal-level classification of the crown group. Spencer and Wright [113] included a historical summary of major papers leading to their arrangement.

Cited stratigraphic ranges and phylogenetic diagrams of Spencer and Wright [113] and especially of Ubags [122] indicate skepticism on the part of these authors over ranges extended from Paleozoic into the Mesozoic. Ubags [122] recognized only one such family, the Arthrasteridae. He assigned Carboniferous Calliasterella and Protoaster to the Asteroidea, along with Cretaceous Actinaster, but he then dotted his range chart, seemingly questioning the arrangement. Ubags [122] treatment of predominantly crown-group asteroids was brief, but he did include Devonian Tacosaster Sturtz and Mississippian Compsaster Worthen and Miller in the modern order Forcipulata; he did not suggest familial assignments for these genera and his range chart does not clearly reflect his text suggestion.

Spencer and Wright [113] were somewhat more assertive in their arrangement. These authors recognized 12 suborders, five of which were thought to span the Paleozoic-Mesozoic boundary. They extended ranges of three small families (Palasterinidae, Calliasterellidae, Compsasteridae) across this boundary; however none of the three likely represents a monophyletic cluster [4,6,150]. The other two suborders of Spencer and Wright [113] were represented by families found on one side of the Paleozoic-Mesozoic boundary or the other, but not spanning it.

Shackleton [114] did not use taxon levels between the class and familial levels and no ranges crossing the Paleozoic-Mesozoic boundary were recognized. Although differing on stemward events in the crown group, Separate authors [1,4,5,6,111] have agreed that no extant ordinal-level taxon should be extended downward into the Paleozoic. Basic asteroid configuration and behavior have been collected exclusively from marine rocks, including both soft and firm substrates. All ancient asteroids appear to have been bottom-dwelling organisms. Certain living asteroids bury themselves at shallow depths beneath the surface, and Spencer [112] suggested that somasteroids were burrowing organisms; however, no asteroid exhibits a bilateral shape typical of active burrowing organisms such as irregular echinoids. Many living asteroids have been observed partially or fully covered with sediment and it seems plausible that Paleozoic asteroids behaved in a similar fashion.

Modern asteroids include suspension-feeders, detrital feeders, and predators on varied prey. Blake and Guensburg [151] reported the Paleozoic Promaphaster with its arms wrapped around a pelecypod in a manner similar to modern day asteroids, suggesting an early occurrence of this feeding behavior. Herringshaw et al. [152] provided useful summary of life habits of multimultiarmed species and the difficulties of their interpretation. Blake and Rozhnov [153] argued ancient asteroids likely were capable of broad ranges of behavior comparable to those found today.

Classification and Phylogeny of Post-Paleozoic Asteroids

Classification. Relatively few of the early syntheses of asteroid classification integrated fossil and living members in a phylogenetic context [113,122,154]. Clark and Downey [2] presented the latest historical review of asteroid classification, emphasizing Atlantic taxa.

The late 19th and early 20th centuries were the “classic” period of morphologically based monographic studies of the systematics of modern asteroids. Authors consistently separated the forcipulate groups as recognized here from the remainder, and the Paxillosida gradually emerged as well, although there has been some instability of assignment (e.g., Radiaster, Pseudoschizaster). The remaining groups proved more controversial, and remain so. Most influential were the ordinal concepts of Perrier [155], whose work was embraced in the widely cited Treatise of Spencer and Wright [113].

Concepts of modern higher classification among the living Asteroidea began with Viguier [156] and Perrier [155,157] with subsequent contributions by Sladen [148] and Fisher [158]. Viguier established early groupings based on the nature of the skeletal mouth frame. Perrier [155] heavily emphasized pedicellariae as diagnostic for his four groups, the Forcipulatae, Spinulosae, Valvatae, and Paxillosae. Sladen [148] developed a different classification that largely emphasized marginal plates and regrouped the higher classification into the Phanerozonia, which included several families displaying prominent marginal plate series versus those in the Cryptozonia, which included those families that displayed more inconspicuous marginal plate series. Fisher [158] modified Sladen’s classification and established three orders, the Phanerozonia, the Spinulosia, and the Forcipulata, which were in turn each subdivided into several suborders (e.g., the Paxillosa, Valvata, Notomyotata) which accommodated previous classification schemes established by Perrier [155] and others and came to be heavily used throughout the 20th Century.

Phylogeny Inferred from Morphology

One of the earliest and best-known discussions of asteroid phylogeny began as a heated exchange between Mortensen [159,160] and MacBride [161,162,163]. Their debate focused on the identity of the ancestral asteroid taxon. Mortensen assigning the “ancestral condition” to the Astropunctinidae in part based on the absence of both a brachiarialia stage and suckered tube feet and MacBride arguing essentially that these are derived features in both astrotretinid and hildurids reflected their occurrence on shallow, unconsolidated bottoms. Other workers surveyed by Mortensen [159] found that not only were the Paxillosida thought of as the “primitive” group, but also the Asterinidae and the “Spinulosia.” MacBride’s contentious position did not definitively provide an alternative taxon as the ancestral
Phylogeny Inferred from Molecular Studies

Early molecular studies, such as that published by Wada et al. [171] and the combined analysis of Lafay et al. [172] are consistent with Gale’s [5,111] assertion that the Paxillosida were primitive. However both Wada et al. [171] and Lafay et al. [172] included relatively few taxa and used conveniently sampled, local species as avatars for large, highly diverse groups (such as the highly diverse Valvatacea). Many of their sampled species, including Asteroplecten and Luidia, have since been shown to occur on highly derived branches [69,83]. Gale [111] has continued to argue Mortensen’s perspective of a “primitive” Paxillosida in spite of phylogenetic evidence to the contrary from morphology [4,61] and recent evidence from several molecular studies [69,173,174] that have shown the Paxillosida in derived positions.

Knott and Wray [175] presented one of the first, well-sampled phylogenetic analyses of the Asteroidea from COI, mtRNA and previously collected ribosomal gene sequences. Janies [176] presented a combined evidence tree of the Echinodermata, which supported the Asteroidea as monophyletic, but did not recover any consistently monophyletic groupings.

Matsubara et al., [177] determined the Solasteridae as the sister group to the Asterinidae and subsequently revisited the phylogenetic relationship of the Forcipulatida to other asteroids [174]. Waters et al., [178] addressed molecular relationships within the Asterinidae. Yasuda et al. [179] reported complete mitochondrial genome sequences for the Crown-of-thorns starfish Acanthuraster, and provided a COI phylogeny showing Acanthuraster+Onaster in addition to other asterinids on a valvatan clade as the sister group to two paxillosidans (Asteroplecten and Luidia) rooted against a forcipulate (Onaster), an echinoid and a holothurian. Foltz et al. [180] supported the monophyly of the Forcipulatacea using combined mitochondrial and nuclear sequences.

Mah and Foltz [69] reconstructed a comprehensively sampled phylogeny of the Valvatacea which supported the sister group relationship between the Asterinidae and Solasteridae as determined by Matsubara et al. [177] as well as supporting stemward relationships for the Poraniidae and the Velatida (Pterasteridae, Myxasteridae, Korethrasteridae). Although basal relationships were not well supported, the Paxillosida was not supported among basal taxa within the Valvatacea relative to a Forcipulatacean outgroup (Fig. 1) [69]. A subsequent phylogenetic analysis of the Forcipulatacea [181] further supported forcipulate monophyly, re-established the Stichasteridae, and clarified relationships among groups within the Asteridae and among the Forcipulatacea.

Diversity among the Living Asteroidea

All living asteroids, termed Neoasteroidea by Gale [5], are phylogenetically distinct from those in the Paleozoic [56]. Gale [5] named the Post-Paleozoic Asteroidea as the Neoasteroidea. Based on construction of the ambulacral column, Blake and Hagdorn [6] recognized the Neoasteroidea at the infraclass level within a subclass Ambuloasteroidea.

Figure 2 summarizes phylogenetic perspectives from Foltz and Mah [69,181], Blake [4], and Janies et al. [173]. Polytomies are present where phylogenetic data is incomplete or ambiguous but the diagram assumes a monophyletic Neoasteroidea. Groupings used below reflect discrete phylogenetic lineages rather than traditional taxonomic units. The Velatida has not found full support as a member of the Spinulosacea and, except for Caymanasterella, is retained separately.

Mah and Foltz [69,181] presented a 3-gene phylogeny that has further clarified relationships and classification in the Forcipulatacea and the Valvatacea. These include the paraphyly of the Asterinae along with several proposed taxonomic changes, namely the assignment of the Solasteridae to the Valvataida and placement of some ophiidasterids in the Gonioasteridae, the new position of the Poraniida, the paraphyly of the Pedicellasteridae and others, which are outlined in discussions below. Gale [111] has proposed the Forcipulatida as rooted among several valvatanian
taxa as the “Tripedicellaria.” This is a classification with no precedent in the historical literature from morphology [4,148,154] and it has found no support with other recent molecular data [69,173,179]; it therefore is not followed herein.

The Forcipulatacea

The Forcipulatacea is a diverse, primarily cold-water (some temperate and tropical members are known) lineage of modern asteroids that occur in all of the world’s oceans from the intertidal to the deepest abyssal depths (>6000 m). The Forcipulatacea includes 393 species in 77 genera (Table 1) [182], which ranks them as among the most diverse of the Asteroidea. Forcipulataceans are most diverse at high-latitudes with rich faunas in the Arctic and especially in the Antarctic.

Although the Forcipulatacea display a wide range of morphologies (Fig. 3), taxonomists traditionally have found them to be readily separated from the remainder of the crown group. Characters helping to characterize forcipulataceans but not found in all members include the presence of distinct 3-part “forcipulate” pedicellariae (although pedicellariae vary among taxa), four rows of tube feet; foreshortened (or “compressed”) ambulacral and adambulacral ossicles, the latter alternating in furrow profile in taxa with four rows of tube feet; a reticulated dorsal skeleton; a well-developed adoral carina (abutted adambulacral plates adjacent to the mouth, the proximal skeleton recessed to form a so-called actinostome); small mouth-angle ossicles; the longest actinal series adjacent to the marginals rather than adjacent to the adambulacras; and a small disk with thick, tapering arms.

Most historical accounts [113,158] have set apart the Forcipulatacea or “forcipulate” asteroids (i.e., the Forcipulatida + Brisingida) from the other members of the Asteroidea. This is a position that has been further supported by modern phylogenetic treatments of morphology [1,4,173,174] and is reflected in Fig. 2. Gale [111] has placed forcipulates in a derived position within taxa historically regarded as members of the Valvatida. This position has not found historical agreement and is not followed by the treatment herein. Monophyly of the Forcipulatacea itself has been relatively uncontroversial with support from traditional taxonomy [101,183], morphology-based phylogenetic studies [4,5] and molecules [173,174,177,180,181]. Subgroupings within the Forcipulatacea have encountered more difficulty, especially those associated with the Asteriidae, such as the Labidiasteridae

Figure 2. Summary diagram of phylogenetic tree. Topology from combined trees of Mah and Foltz [69,181], Janies et al [173], and Blake [4]. “Asterinidae” refers to paraphyletic clades as outlined by Mah and Foltz [69].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035644.g002
The Forcipulatacea, particularly the Asteriidae (e.g., Fig. 3A), includes some of the most heavily studied and most familiar of marine invertebrates in ecology and environmental biology. Relevant taxa include *Pseaster ochraceus*, which has become an iconic representative of the keystone species concept as outlined by Paine [32,33,34] and *Asterias amurensis*, which has been introduced to southern Australia as a pest species that threatens endemic *Painea asterias* and the temperate South Pacific *Asterias forbesi*, which has been introduced from the Triassic [4,6]. Pedicellasterids display numerous plesiomorphic characters, such as biserial tube foot rows, an absent or reduced adoral carina and a weakly developed abactinal skeleton. Mah and Foltz [181] did not recover the Pedicellasteridae as a monophyletic group, instead finding support for multiple basal lineages within the Forcipulatacea, suggesting that the term “pedicellasterid” is best applied as a grade within forcipulataceans, rather than a monophyletic family. A phylogeny of the Zoroasteridae [92] separated the more imbricate zoarosters, such as *Zoraster* and *Cnemidaster*, which occur from bathyal to abyssal depths, from zoarosters with reticate skeletons, such as *Myxoderma*, which occur at shelf to bathyal depths. This suggested diversification of the more derived imbricate taxa, such as *Zoraster*, into the deep-sea.

The Heliasteridae (Fig. 3C, nine species in two genera) [190] includes the tropical shallow-water *Helaster*, which occurs throughout the Pacific coast of Mexico and South America and *Labidiaster*, which occurs in the South Atlantic and in the adjacent Southern Ocean. *Helaster* comprises a species complex in the East Pacific region [166] with some ecological importance [32]. Pliocene fossils from Florida have indicated that this complex at one time occurred over a much larger region [201]. *Labidiaster annulatus* in the Southern Ocean is a benthopelagic predator [202,203]. Mah and Foltz [181] recovered a sister-group relationship between *Helaster* and *Labidiaster*, which provided the basis for synonymy of the artificial and paraphyletic *Labidiasteridae* within the Heliasteridae. Mah [184], Foltz et al. [180], and Mah and Foltz [181] dismantled the Labidiasteridae, showing that each of its members was assignable to phylogenetically distant lineages.

Two of the most ecologically important and diverse groups within the Forcipulatacea, are the Asteriidae (with most species in the Northern Hemisphere) (Fig. 3A, 35 genera, 178 species) [188] and the mostly Southern Hemisphere Stichasteridae (Fig. 3D, 9 genera, 28 species) [191]. In spite of being phylogenetically distant from one another, the Asteriidae and Stichasteridae include taxa that apparently occupy similar if not convergent ecological niches in intertidal and shallow-water marine ecosystems.
[32, 204, 205, 206], including “keystone” positions as predators of bivalves and other mollusks.

Multiple lineages are present within the Asteriidae and the Stichasteridae. Four major lineages are present in the Asteriidae including the genus *Selenasterias* a Boreal clade, which contains Northern Hemisphere cold-temperate water taxa, such as the Pacific-Arctic-Atlantic *Leptasterias* species complex [104, 105, 106], and two sister clades, the Pan Tropical and Antarctic asteriids. The Pan Tropical asteriid clade is composed of taxa such as *Coscinasterias*, *Meynaster*, and *Astropecten*, which occur at low-latitudes in tropical (non-reef) to temperate settings. Antarctic asteriids occur at high latitudes in the Southern Ocean and adjacent regions and are the most diverse of the Antarctic asteroid fauna. High-latitude asteriids include brooding taxa, such as *Diplasterias*, *Lysasterias*, and *Anasterias* [108].

The Stichasteridae occur on two major lineages. One primarily shallow-water cluster, including *Stichaster*, *Cosmasterias*, *Smilasterias*, and *Astrometis* which occur in an austral distribution in South America, South Africa, and Australia/New Zealand and its sister lineage which is composed primarily of deep to cold-water taxa with widespread distributions, such as *Nomophaster*.

The Paxillosida and “Notomyotida”

The Paxillosida (Fig. 4), including the Benthoplectronididae, occurs at depths ranging from littoral habitats (e.g., *Astropecten* in the Astropectinidae occurs at 0–2 m in some settings) to the deepest abyss (>5000 m) (e.g., the Porcellanasteridae). Most of the Paxillosida are primarily cold-water and are well represented in the deep-sea as well as at high latitudes (Arctic and Antarctic) but include diverse, shallow-water tropical to temperate water taxa as well (e.g., *Astropecten*, *Luidia*). The review herein follows the phylogeny of Mah and Foltz [69] and includes the Benthoplectronidae and the Pseudarchasteridae as members of the Paxillosida.

The primary life mode of taxa within the Paxillosida, with the exception of the Benthoplectronidae, involves burial or ploughing through unconsolidated sediment [61, 207]. Examples of characters that have been considered adaptations to life in sediment and simultaneously synapomorphies for many members of the Paxillosida include paxillate plates (abactinal, marginal and actinal), pointed tube feet, surficial plates, cirriiform organs, the presence of an anal cone, and actinolateral fasciolar channels.

The Paxillosida includes both detritivores and predators of mollusks and other invertebrates [14, 15], and many spend part or most of their lives buried. Paxillosidan life modes are associated with poorly consolidated sediment bottoms. Some groups, such as the goniopectinids, ctenodiscids, and porcellanasterids are detritivores that live buried in or under mud [208] whereas others live buried under surface sediments but are predatory on mollusks and other invertebrates [14]. Ecology in most of the Paxillosida is poorly understood, but observations of *Astropecten*, *Luidia*, and other paxillosidans suggest complexity and ecological importance [209, 210, 211, 212, 213]. Although *Pseudarchaster* appears to be more phylogenetically distant from the other Paxillosida, it shows a generalized detritivore/predatory feeding life mode similar to astropectinids [14].

Little is known regarding the biology of the Benthoplectronidae. Jangoux summarizes stomach contents from four taxa, which suggests they are either predators/sediment feeders/detritivores. Blake [214] and Clark and Downey [2] have speculated that benthoplectronidans used muscles to hold up their arms in the water column for suspension feeding and interpreted their well-developed arm spines as defensive adaptations to predators that have limited them to deep-water. Available images of benthoplectronidans do not suggest burrowing or show arms extended into the water column.

Diversity Within the Paxillosida (and “Notomyotida”)

Mah and Foltz [69] used three genes (12S, 16S, and histone H3) to reconstruct the phylogeny of the Valvatacea, and recovered a Paxillosida that was composed of traditional members (e.g., *Astropectinidae*, *Goniopectinidae*, *Luidiidae*, etc.) but also several groups displaying intermediate morphology. This included the Benthoplectronidae and the Pseudarchasteridae as sister taxa to a clade containing the Goniopectinidae and the Ctenodiscidae. The Luidiidae was recovered as the sister lineage to one containing multiple astropectinids, including *Macroptychaster*, *Lunchaster*, *Leptochaeta*, *Dipocaster* and the radiasterid, *Minasterella*. Although the Porcellanasteridae was not sampled in Mah and Foltz’s [69] analysis, it was supported as one by Blake [4] and is considered as a member of the Paxillosida herein.

The Porcellanasteridae (12 genera, 30 species) [215], *Goniopectinidae* (3 genera, 10 species) [216], *Ctenodiscidae* (Fig. 4A, B, 1 genus, 5 species) [217] as well as most members of the Astropectinidae (Fig. 4C, 26 genera in 243 species) [72] all occur primarily in deep-sea settings (~100–4000 m); Common to all of
these families are genera that have a cosmopolitan (or nearly so) distribution. For example, the porcellanasterid, *Porcellanaster cerinus* displays a cosmopolitan distribution [63,69]. Multiple genera within the Astropectinidae possess widespread, deep-sea distributions at bathyal to abyssal depths, including *Dyaster*, *Lophychaster*, *Lanchotaster*, *Persephonaster*, *Plataster*, and *Salaster*. *Ctenodiscus*, the sole member of the Ctenodiscidae is present throughout the world’s ocean basins, occurring from the Arctic to the deep-sea tropics to the subAntarctic. Many of these taxa display few characters or characters that differ only gradually across their range.

In contrast to the deep-sea Paxillosida, there are two genera, *Astropecten* and *Luidia* (Fig. 4D) with large numbers of species that occur in temperate and tropical settings. Although both genera occur across a wide range, most taxa are primarily shallow-water and live in relatively coarse sediments compared to other deeper-water Paxillosida, which occur in finer, deep-sea muddy bottoms. Doderlein produced a taxonomic overview of both genera [164,165]. Zulliger and Lessios [83] analyzed 117 specimens of *Astropecten* belonging to 40 species from around the world, using 12S, 16S and COI genes, and identified three main clades in the Indo-Pacific, the Neotropics, and the eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean, which displayed morphological convergence and several species complexes, such as the *A. pulchellus* complex in the Indo-Pacific.

The Benthopectinidae (Fig. 4F) and the Pseudarchasteridae (Fig. 4E) were supported by Mah and Foltz [69] as sister taxa and both have shown close morphological resemblance/affinities to the Goniasteridae [4]. The Pseudarchasteridae (e.g., *Pseudarchaster*, *Paragonaster*) includes 29 species in four genera [218], whereas the Benthopectinidae (e.g., *Benthopecten*, *Nearcaster*) includes 69 species in eight genera [219]. Both families occur primarily in deep-sea (shelf to abyssal) or high-latitude/polar settings and include many widely distributed taxa.

**The Poraniidae (Sister clade to Valvatida+Paxillosida)**

Mah and Foltz’s [69] work placed the Poraniidae (Fig. 5E), which had historically been a member of the Valvatida, as the sister clade to a Valvatida+Paxillosida dichotomy, thus removing it from the Valvatida [4]. This is consistent with the morphology-based phylogeny ofBlake and Hagdorn [6] that showed a Poraniidae+Nonaster clade as sister to solasterids, asterinids, echinasterids, paxillosidans, and gonasteriids.

The Poraniidae includes 22 species in seven genera [220], which are distributed in cold-water settings throughout the world, including high-latitude/polar regions and the deep-sea. Poraniids inhabit primarily cold-water settings, primarily at high latitudes or in the deep-sea [221] and are distinctive asteroids with a typically thickened fleshy body wall that has obscured the endoskeleton and made classification of the group difficult [222]. Our understanding of poraniid biology is largely based on information derived from two polar species, *Porania antarctica* and *Porania pulvillus* and the temperate water *Poraniopsis* spp.

Feeding in known poraniids [14] suggests that most are detritivores or predators. Bowden et al. [223] shows *Porania antarctica* feeding on stalked crinoids in the Antarctic. Ericsson and Hansson [224] observed *P. pulvillus* feed on octocorals, a brachiopod, and several ascidian species. Dearborn [202] observed *P. antarctica* feed on detritus, but sometimes preying on sea urchins. Gemmill [225] described ciliary suspension feeding in *P. pulvillus*, although further confirmation of this behavior has not been observed.

The Valvatida

In terms of numbers of taxa at all levels, including families, genera, and species, the Valvatida (Fig. 5) is the most taxonomically numerous within the Asteroidea and as such, life modes and ecology are diverse. Mah and Foltz’s [69] analysis found that the Solasteridae (Fig. 5F), which have historically been assigned to the Spinulosida [158] were nested within the clade containing the asterinids, which has further extended the limit of diversity within the Valvatida.

Life modes in the Solasteridae are different from other Valvatida. Jangoux [14] outlined feeding of multiple solasterid taxa, including *Solaster* and *Lophaster*. Most solasterids are primarily predators of other mobile or otherwise active invertebrate taxa, including gastropods, cnidarians, and other echinoderms, such as holothurians and asteroids [226,227]. Blake [1] has interpreted the decalcified skeletons, and wider, more open tube foot grooves as associated with active predation, but also as a more vulnerable body form, which may limit solasterids from tropical regions.

Non-solasterid valvatidans possess a generalized life mode, feeding primarily on sessile prey items. Jangoux [14] summarized various benthic prey including encrusting algae, organic biofilm, foraminifers, sponges, bythozoans, hydroids, corals, gorgonians, multiple anthozoans, ascidians, and various detrital food sources (e.g., fecal pellets, dead fish, urchins, etc.).

Different valvatidan taxa are involved in complex ecological interactions, especially with cnidarians. *Acanthaster planci*, the Indo-
Pacific Crown-of-Thorns Starfish is an important predator of scleractinian reef corals [47]. Goniasterids are important predators of shallow-water pennatulaceans [220] as well as deep-sea corals [100,229].

Blake [1,4,71] argued that the success of tropical-shallow-water valvatidans, such as the Oreasteridae, Ophidiasteridae, Acanthasteridae and others was related to multiple characters, such as spines, narrow tube-foot furrows, thick granulated epidermis, and well-developed body skeletons, that provided defenses against predators. Many of these tropical shallow-water taxa are abundant and are significant members of the ecological communities of these regions [230]. The growth and biology of several several tropical valvatidans (e.g., oreasterids, archasterids) has become of increasing concern [231,232,233,234] as many of these species are taken for tourist and aquarium/pet industries [235]. Linckia laevis, a brilliant blue ophidiasterid is among one of the most heavily trafficked species in pet and tourist trades [236,237].

Several high-latitude valvatidans, such as those that occur in the Antarctic, including odontasterids, ganeriids, and solasterids, are predators on sessile prey, such as sponges but also on other echinoderms [202,230]. Several Antarctic valvatidans, such as the odontasterid Odontaster validus, Peruaster fuscus, and Acodontaster conspicus are ecologically important [239]. Odontaster validus, probably is the most intensively studied of Antarctic asteroids [39,109,110,238,239,240].

The Asterinidae have served as model organisms in developmental and reproductive biology as well as in ecology and conservation studies. Patiria miniata, the Pacific Northwest bat star, common along the west coast of North America, has become one of the primary model organisms in developmental gene studies [241,242]. Building on this research, other taxa of asterinids have been heavily used in a wide variety of studies, including life history evolution [243], gene expression [244], and the evolution of reproduction and larval development [245,246]. Many asterinids occupy intertidal and nearshore habitats and are important subjects in the study of marine ecosystems [247,248] especially in the context of their reproductive biology [249].

Based on observations of feeding in most shallow-water to temperate species, most asterinids appear to be detritivores or omnivores that feed on encrusting organisms, algae, decaying corpses, and other detritus [14]. At least one asterinid, the New Zealand Stegaster infatus, has developed elaborate ambush methods for capturing mobile prey [250].

**Diversity Within the Valvatida**

The Valvatida is a diverse lineage that includes some of the most taxon-rich families within the Asteroidea. Most members of the Valvatida possess a well-defined marginal plate series that frequently outlines the periphery of the body. In addition, boundaries between plates are relatively well-defined and the disk is large with well-defined actinal regions, and a relatively heavily calcified or otherwise modified skeleton. Valvatidan taxa include the Acanthasteridae, Archasteridae, Asteroideidae, Astarteidae, Goniatelridae (Fig. 5A), Oreasteridae (Fig. 5B), Ophiasteridae (Fig. 5D), and the Odontasteridae (Fig. 5D) and see Table 1). Other taxa supported as valvatidans display substantial departure from this overall body plan, including the Asterinidae (Fig. 5C), Ganeriidae, and Solasteridae (Fig. 5F). No published molecular data is available for the enigmatic Podosphaerasteridae, but morphological studies [4,251] have consistently placed it among the Valvatida.

Several members of the Valvatida are important members of tropical shallow-water settings, such as reefs, mangroves, and sandy bottoms [230]. Valvatidans typically found in these regions include Calcidia (Oreasteridae), Acanthaster (Acanthasteridae), Protaster (Oreasteridae) and Archaster (Archasteridae). Many are widely distributed throughout the Indo-Pacific. For example, Acanthaster planci is present from the coast of Baja California, north to Hawaii and Japan, and is present west to the east coast of Africa in the Indian Ocean [47]. Although groups such as the Oreasteridae (Fig. 5B) and the Ophiasteridae (Fig. 5D) are known primarily from tropical shallow-water habitats [3,252,253], many individual members of these groups occur in deeper water. Mah [254] and H.E.S. Clark [255] describe deep-water ophiderid taxa (Astropectus and Acheraster, respectively), Deep-sea ophiderids, such as Tamaria are well documented [2,99] but poorly understood.

Cold-water valvatidans are highly diverse (Table 2). The Goniatidae (Fig. 5A) [73] includes the greatest number of genera (n = 65) and species (n = 256) within the living Asteroidea. Most goniatid taxa occur in cold-water settings, primarily the deep-sea (e.g., Lithaster, Nymphaster), but also in Antarctic and subantarctic settings (e.g., Pterygaster) [256] in cold to temperate water intertidal zones (e.g., Tosia). Some goniatid genera (e.g., Fronia, Anchitiosa) are also widely distributed in tropical habitats [229,257,258]. Although the Goniatidae includes more taxa than almost any other family of asterozoids, relatively few comprehensive reviews are available [99,259,260,261].

The Odontasteridae [262] and Ganeriidae [263] occur mainly in the Antarctic and subantarctic as well as in the deep-sea. Odontasterids were supported as basal to the clade containing all of the Valatida and possess several characters, such as paxillate abactinal and marginal plates, that suggest shared, possibly plesiomorphic characters with the Paxillosida. Ganeriids are more derived and show close relationship to asterinids and solasterids.

Mah and Foltz [69] supported the Asterinidae as a member of the Valatida and presented a potentially significant shift in asteroid classification by showing the traditional Asterinidae as a paraphyletic assemblage. This has changed the perception of the Asterinidae, from that of a traditionally derived, monophyletic grouping to a plesiomorphic grade relative to the more derived morphology in the Solasteridae and Ganeriidae. Some asterinids are shown as sister taxa to ganeriid and solasterid clades whereas others are present on more stemward positions on the Valatida clade.

The Asterinidae (Fig. 5E) [264] and Solasteridae (Fig. 5F) [265] are morphologically significantly different from the other Valvatida. The Solasteridae have historically been considered members of either the Spinulosida or the Valatida [4]. Many solasterids, including Solaster and Crossaster, possess anywhere from six to 15 arms and possess reticulated, lightly calcified skeletons compared to other valvatidans. Most solasterids occur in cold to temperate water settings, but one genus, Seraster, occurs in the tropical shallow water settings of New Caledonia [266].

The Asterinidae are highly diverse, occupying different habitats and displaying a diverse, but consistent, series of body forms. Asterinids are morphologically distinctive with flattened bodies that range from swollen and thickened (e.g., Patiriella) to nearly parchment-like in thickness (e.g., Aseropoda) with body forms that range from pentagonal (e.g., Menenidra, Tremaster) to more stellate (e.g., Nepantia) and can have five to nine arms. Abactinal plates are either flat, scalars, and overlapping or are more crescentic-in shape approaching an appearance of chain-mail armor. O’Loughlin and Waters [267] summarized a full range of asterinid body forms. Most asterinid diversity is known from tropical shallow to temperate-water settings (e.g., Aquilonastra, Aterina, Parvalustra) with relatively small adult size (diameter = 0.3 to 2.0 cm). Temperate to cold-water forms, such as Patiri, Patiriella, and Stegaster are larger.
Podosphaeraster Clark [269] originally assigned ongoing interest regarding plate homologies [251,268]. A.M. a highly divergent, round, sphaere-like body shape, resulting in Pacific Oceans. which has been recorded from the deep-sea in the Atlantic and the North Atlantic [267]. Antarctica, the Indian Ocean, the central Pacific, Hawaii, and in settings, showing nearly global distributions with occurrence in among the Asterinidae and are widely distributed in deep-sea biology or ecology. Given the unusual morphology of caymanostellids, especially given their resemblance to concentric cycloids, determination of the phylogenetic position and classification of caymanostellids has been an active field of study.

Morphological evidence from fossils and modern forms have argued for an affinity with Tremaster and related tremasterines within the Asterinidae [272,273], which suggested placement within the Valvatida. Caymanostellids were absent from Gale [5,111] but were supported among the Velatida by Blake [4]. Caymanostella is supported among the Valvatida as the sister taxon to Achilles in the molecular tree of Janies et al [173]. Morphological and molecular evidence appears to support the Caymanostellidae as members of the Valvatida by several of the published studies. However, given uncertainties regarding taxon sampling, this relationship is expressed in Figure 2 as part of a valvatacean polytomy and among the Valvataccia in Table 1.

The Spinulosida

Phylogenetic efforts have changed the taxonomic composition of the Spinulosida in the 20th Century from the more inclusive definition outlined in Fisher [158] to the more restricted monotypic Spinulosida, which included only the Echinasteridae [4]. The Echinasteridae contains a large number of species (n = 133) assigned to a relatively small number of genera (n = 8) (Table 1) [70]. The largest genera are the tropical, shallow water Echinaster, which includes 27 species [274] distributed in the Atlantic, Indian, and Pacific Oceans and the globally distributed cold-temperate water Henricia, which includes 91 species [275]. Henricia is found at high-latitudes and in deep-sea settings.

Echinasterids generally possess a small disk with narrow, elongate arms and body wall plates that are similar in appearance, forming a reticulated mesh. Variably sized spinelets are found on every plate, these vary in shape from conical and thorny to fine and more nearly cylindrical.

Feeding in echinasterids varies, but a survey of known species of Henricia and Echinaster suggest that they consume microalgae, biofilms, and encrusting invertebrates, such as sponges and tunicates. Anderson [276] provided an important account of feeding and the digestive system in Henricia.

Diversity Within the Spinulosida

Henricia (Fig. 6B) includes 68% (91/133) of the total number of echinasterid species [275], a total strikingly disproportionate as compared to totals for other genera assigned to the family. Henricia is present in cold-water settings, such as in the deep-sea (to >1000 m) and in polar or subpolar regions [2,63,158,275]. Many species of Henricia intergrade morphologically such that clearly defined boundaries are difficult to recognize [2,158,277]. Molecular and reproductive approaches to the systematics of Henricia have led to the discovery of new cryptic species, such as Henricia panila from the well-studied intertidal regions of the Pacific Northwest [278].

Echinaster displays an issue similar to the one observed in Henricia. It is a wide-ranging species that shows intergradation and problematic species boundaries. Other echinasterid genera, such as Metrodicta, Plectaster and Rhopiella, include far fewer species that have more restricted range distributions.

The Velatida

Based on the molecular phylogeny of Mah and Foltz [181], three families –the Perasteridae (Fig. 6E) [74], Myxasteridae (Fig. 6D) [279], and Korethrasteridae (Fig. 6C) [280] are upheld as members of the Velatida, a classification that differs from Blake [4]

Figure 6. Forcipulatacea, Spinulosidan, Velatidan Diversity. A. Ampheraster marianus (”Pedicellasteridae”-Forcipulatacea) USNM E16024. B. Henricia obesa (Echinasteridae) USNM 1120449. C. Remaster gourdoni (Korethrasteridae) USNM 5530. D. Myxaster sol (Myxasteridae) Yale Peabody Museum 36040 E. Diplopteraster multipes (Pterasteridae) USNM E 47646. D. Myxaster sol (Myxasteridae) USNM E 27575. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035644.g006
Diversity Within the Velatida

who placed the Solasteridae and the Caymanostellidae within the Velatida.

The molecular phylogenies of Mah and Foltz [69,181] support a monophyletic Velatida occupying a position separated from the Forcipulataacea and Valvatacea. Taxon sampling from within the Velatida was incomplete [101], but monophyly for the Korethrasteridae was supported. Asthenactis, a myxasterid was upheld within the Pterasteridae, but full taxon sampling remains ongoing. Morphology-supported phylogenies [4,5,111] have placed the Velatida in derived positions with the velatida embedded or closely related among taxa within other clades. The molecular phylogeny of Janies et al. [173] supported Xyloplax along with Pteraster and Hymenaster on a sister clade to the other living Asteroidea.

Pterasterids, korethrasterids, and myxasterids occur almost exclusively in cold-water settings, with most present in bathyal to abyssal or high-latitude habitats. The former three families possess paxillae covering the body surface. Oral plates are prominent, marginal plates weakly developed or absent, and pedicellariae are absent.

A unique, canopy-like secondary dorsal covering, a so-called “supradorsal membrane,” is found in the Pterasteridae. The supradorsal membrane is supported at the tips of highly elongate paxillae, and it encloses a so-called “nidamental cavity” between the membrane and the dorsal surface of the body. The nidamental cavity is open to the sea along the margins of the body and also through an opening or so-called osculum at the center of the dorsal disk. Muscles move water through the nidamental cavity, bringing fresh water to the respiratory papulae in the dorsal body wall. The supradorsal membrane is relatively sturdy, even canvas-like, in shallower-water Pteraster but more delicate and almost gelatinous in deeper-water Hymenaster. Pterasterids also have the ability to secrete copious amounts of apparently protective mucus [281,282].

Reproductive biology in pterasterids is atypical and includes brooding [283,284] and pelagic direct development [285,286].

Food items of korethrasterids and myxasterids have yet to be recorded, but observations of Pteraster spp. show that they feed primarily on sponges [14,227]. Gut contents of the deep-sea pterasterid Hymenaster suggest that they consume sediment and other detritus [14].

Diversity Within the Velatida

Nearly all velatidans are found in deep-water and polar habitats. Many species assigned to individual genera are similar in overall appearance and are geographically widely distributed.

The Myxasteridae (example in Fig. 6D) is composed of 9 species in 3 genera [279] and possess five to ten arms, a weakly calcified skeleton, and occur at bathyal/abyssal depths (750–3800 m) in the Atlantic and Pacific oceans. They are rarely encountered animals with fewer then fifteen specimens known for the family in collections throughout the world. The Korethrasteridae (Fig. 6C) occurs in Arctic, Antarctic and deep-sea regions, and includes only 7 species assigned to 3 genera [280]. Although korethrasterids are not as rare as myxasterids, biology of the group, including feeding and reproduction remain poorly understood. Korethrasterids consistently possess five rays with paxillar plates covering the body surface.

Taxonomically, the Pterasteridae (Fig. 6E) is the most diverse within the Velatida including 116 species in 8 genera [74]. Nearly all pterasterids occur in either cold or temperate water habitats, especially in the deep-sea or at high-latitudes in Arctic and Antarctic regions. One exception is the widely distributed Euretaster, which occurs in tropical, shallow-water settings throughout the Indo-Pacific.

The Concentricycloidea

The Concentricycloidea, initially included the South Pacific Xyloplax medusiformis [7] and later came to include the tropical Atlantic X. turneri [8]. The original authors perceived the Concentricycloidea as morphologically distinct enough to warrant recognition at the class level.

Rowe et al [8] hypothesized that Xyloplax was “derived from asteroid astrozoans, possibly from a common ancestor of certain valvatids...” but clarified that “…the degree of developmental and morphological shift is such that it cannot be defined as a member of the class Asteroidea.” Work on spermatozoon morphology, spermiogenesis and microstructure [17,287] were used to further argue the distinctiveness of Concentricycloidea as a separate class.

Following these initial reports, subsequent studies of Xyloplax classification emphasized phylogenetics, using cladistics to analyze synapomorphies, i.e., unique characters or molecular data that support a clade. Smith [288] placed concentricycloids within the Asteroidea, proposing shared synapomorphies between Xyloplax and the myxasterid family, Myxasteridae. Shear and McEdward [293] were the first to perform a phylogenetic analysis of Xyloplax along with other Echinodermata. Their results were equivocal, but they were unable to support submerging Xyloplax within the Asteroidea as proposed by Smith [288].

Janies and Mooi [290] and Janies [176] provided the first molecular/combined data analyses to include Xyloplax. Janies’ tree supported Xyloplax as a derived branch, on the same branch as the asteroid Rathbunaster, within the Asteroidea using 18S and 28S rDNA sequences. Janies et al [173] later presented a molecular phylogeny, including data from seven loci (18S rRNA, 28S rRNA, histone H3 from the nucleus, 16S rRNA, 12S rRNA, cytochrome c oxidase subunit I, tRNA-Ala, tRNA-Leu, and tRNA-Pro of the mitochondrial), which placed Xyloplax as a sister taxon to a branch containing Hymenaster and Pteraster. Janies et al [173] and Janies and McEdward [291,292] argued that concentricycloids were progenetic velatid asteroids based on studies of larval asteroid morphology.

Mah [293] described a third species, Xyloplax tanatae and presented a position intermediate between retaining Xyloplax as a separate class [7,8] and inclusion within the Asteroidea [173,288] by placing Concentricycloidea within the asteroid lineage, but as a sister-group to the Neoasteroidea, the group including all living asteroids. This placement is consistent with the hypothesis of an evolutionary bottleneck at the Permian-Triassic transition [168], which may have resulted in the extinction of Xyloplax’s closest sister taxa.

Mah [293] does not necessarily disagree with new phylogenetic data. Separation of the Velatida from other asteroid groups and its possible position as sister taxon to the other asteroid groups on the tree is a new one. However, members of the Velatida possess several autapomorphies, such as the absence of a clear marginal series, the absence of pedicellariae, and the lack of actinal plates, that set the group apart from other neoasteroids. These have historically been interpreted as highly derived [4,5] but taken in the context of Janies et al., [173] and the phylogenetic trees presented by Mah and Foltz [69,181] the Velatida display prominence as a distinct group within the Neoasteroidea, separate from the Forcipulatacea and the Valvatacea. Janies et al. [173] supported Xyloplax as the sister group to other living velatidans. If the Velatida were to be supported as the sister-group to the remaining Neoasteroidea then Mah’s placement of Xyloplax (including the Velatida) would be consistent with the basal position of Xyloplax as presented by Janies et al. [173] but not necessarily as the sister group to the Neoasteroidea. However, identification of the definitive sister group to modern asteroids from fossil
morphology [4,5,111] remains unresolved and in need of continuing efforts. Definitive sister-group rooting for asteroid phylogeny using molecular data is premature with many obstacles, including taxon sampling and identification of long-branches that have yet to be overcome [294].

**Extinct Groups**

Most of the larger extant families of asteroids have been recognized in the fossil record, and although a few extinct families have been recognized, these are not large and do not differ greatly from those that do survive. Although fossil asteroids can be found all over the world, fossil deposits from the Mesozoic, especially the Cretaceous of Europe are among the most heavily studied and the best known. Accounts below are limited to extinct higher taxa with no surviving members.

Perhaps largely reflecting their modern occurrences and robust construction, most fossil taxa have been assigned to either Valvata or Paxillosida. Included among extinct families is the Pycnasteridae, a small family, occurring primarily in the Mesozoic and early Cenozoic [94] that shows affinities with the Goniatricidae. The Staurasteridae has recently been reviewed by Villier et al. [295] and displays some morphological features that are reminiscent of the Ocreasteridae. Paleobiology of staurasterids is poorly known, but at least some taxa have been collected from Jurassic tropical, shallow-water sediments [93]. The Mesozoic Sphaerasteridae was considered convergent with living Podosphaeraster by Blake [270] and were formally separated by Fujita and Rowe [251]. Relatively few recent accounts of fossil sphaerasterids [296,297] are available.

Although the Goniatricidae is extant, a significant number of gonaiaster genera occur only as fossil. A total of 102 living and extant gonaiaster genera are recognized. Gonaiasterids can be broken down into three groups: 57 are known only from the extant, 8 are known from both living and fossil, and 37 are fossil-only genera. No other post-Paleozoic asteroids have such a significant number of taxa contributing to the overall diversity.

Among the non-valvatidan fossil groups within the Valvatacea is the Paleobenthopectininae [214], whose members were supported as the sister group to the extant Benthopectinidae within the Notomyotida as reconstructed by Blake [4]. Mah and Foltz [69] placed the benthopectinids as a lineage within the Paxillosida. Villier et al. [95] allied the Paleobenthopectininae as members of the Velatida with members showing affinities with the Myxasteridae. Blake et al. [169] described Noraster, an early member of the Poriidae from the Triassic of Northern Italy.

Within the Velatida is the monotypic Jurassic Tropidasteridae which Blake [298] supported as phylogenetically near velatidans, such as the Myxasteridae, Korethrasteridae and the Pterasteridae.

The Trichasteropsida is a member of the Forcipulata [4,6] and occupies a basal position relative to other forcipulataceans, both owing to its phylogenetic position and its Triassic fossil occurrence, which places its two members, Trichasterops and Benchmeraster, among the earliest of post-Paleozoic fossil asteroids [6]. Gale [111] established the monotypic Terminasteridae within the Forcipulataida.

Conclusions and Future Research

Asteroid biodiversity and systematics remains an active area of research that has brought additional depth to our understanding of echinoderm evolution and historical changes in the marine setting.

The use of molecular tools to infer asteroid phylogeny and classification is still comparatively new, nevertheless significant changes have already emerged and this trend can be expected to continue at all taxonomic levels. For example, classification within the Asteriidae had been problematic since Fisher’s [101,183] revision of the Forcipulata. The recent revision of the Forcipulatae by Mah and Foltz [101] shows strongly supported lineages within the Asteriidae that are not immediately obvious from external morphology. Zulliger and Lessios [93] presented a molecular phylogeny of the species-rich Astropecten, including taxa collected from throughout its range. Their work identified multiple species complexes and recognized morphological and ecological convergence among taxa present throughout Astropecten’s global distribution.

Historically, interpretations of phylogeny have been based primarily on morphology, although early ontogeny has also played a significant role. Molecular phylogenetics circumvents the circularity of using morphology for interpretation of both phylogenetic history and functional phylogenetic changes. For example, taxa such as Pseudarchaster possess morphological adaptations that suggest living on unconsolidated sediment (e.g., presence of paxillae, well-developed fasciolar channels, etc.). However, emphasis on certain characters (e.g., suckered tube feet) has historically placed Pseudarchaster (and other pseudarchasterines) within the Valvatida precluding their inclusion within the Paxillosida, which has been historically defined by the presence of pointed tube feet. The molecular phylogeny of the Valvatacea by Mah and Foltz [69] supported Pseudarchaster as a member of the Paxillosida, running contrary to its traditional taxonomic position.

New collections of specimens from marine exploration continue to provide further data for our understanding of biodiversity in shallow-water and deep-sea settings. Additional sampling has not only added to our discovery of undescribed biodiversity [200,229,254,257,293] but has also provided us with new measures of zonation and abundance [299]. The availability of video has also brought an unprecedented wealth of ecological data from high resolution, in situ observations [100].

The fossil record is meager, but field and museum research continues to reveal important discoveries about the earlier history of asteroids, and can be expected to continue to do so.

In spite of the considerable progress, which has been summarized herein, several topics remain crucial for future research.

1. **Basal phylogenetic relationships.** In spite of comprehensive phylogenetic efforts, such as those of Mah and Foltz [69,181] basal relationships among major lineages of asteroids remains a contentious subject. Support for early divergence of asteroid lineages has been elusive, pending discovery of more conserved genetic markers that will permit inference of basal relationships. Also important to understanding the early diversification of modern asteroids are fossils from the early Mesozoic/late Paleozoic that provide further evidence for early diversification of the crown-group.

2. **Problematic groups.** Xyloplax and Podosphaeraster. Current data from molecular phylogenies has not settled the phylogenetic questions regarding these enigmatic taxa and little is known regarding the biology and development of these highly unusual asteroids. These questions are, in part, tied to development of a well-supported phylogeny of the Asteroidea, which is concern #1 (above).

3. **Undiscovered Biodiversity.** A large potential exists for undiscovered asteroid taxa. This includes the potential for cryptic species that will likely be discovered in widely occurring deep-sea taxa. Museum collections of taxa from improved and increased expeditions, as well as living and fossil collections will also become important as unidentified material is processed.
Acknowledgments

The authors are greatly indebted to Sabine Stohr who invited Mah's participation in the project and Mark Costello who facilitated Mah's contributions to WoRMS. Jen Hammock, formerly of the U.S. Antarctic Research Program (and now with Encyclopedia of Life) is thanked for her assistance with image permissions and protocols. Adrian Testa provided photographs of specimens from the USARP. This manuscript benefited from unpublished data provided by Loic Villier, at the Universite de Provence in Marseille, France. David W. Foltz is thanked for his editorial support. John Pearse and an unknown reviewer provided useful editorial reviews of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Wrote the paper: CLM DBB.
