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Abstract

Background: Ion channels are a large family of transmembrane proteins, accessible by soluble membrane-impermeable molecules, and thus are targets for development of therapeutic drugs. Ion channels are the second most common target for existing drugs, after G protein-coupled receptors, and are expected to make a big impact on precision medicine in many different diseases including wound repair and regeneration. Research has shown that endogenous bioelectric signaling mediated by ion channels is critical in non-mammalian limb regeneration. However, the role of ion channels in regeneration of limbs in mammalian systems is not yet defined.

Methods: To explore the role of potassium channels in limb wound repair and regeneration, the hindlimbs of mouse embryos were amputated at E12.5 when the wound is expected to regenerate and E15.5 when the wound is not expected to regenerate, and gene expression of potassium channels was studied.

Results: Most of the potassium channels were downregulated, except for the potassium channel $kcnj8$ (Kir6.1) which was upregulated in E12.5 embryos after amputation.

Conclusion: This study provides a new mouse limb regeneration model and demonstrates that potassium channels are potential drug targets for limb wound healing and regeneration.

Key words: limb regeneration; wound healing; drug targets; potassium channels; gene expression

Introduction

Precision medicine is a medical model that customizes medical practice focusing on individual patients based on the use of genetic testing, biomarker identification, and development of targeted drugs. Identification and validation of drug targets is critical for implementation of precision medicine. Ion channels play a vital role in almost all biological processes in both health and disease and are one of six major pharmacological targets including G protein-coupled receptors, ion channels, nuclear hormone receptors, catalytic receptors, enzymes, and transporters.\textsuperscript{1} Ion channels have served as biomarkers and therapeutic targets in many important
regenerative ability. For example, mouse appendage mutants and then the limb is cultured ex vivo to evaluate the regeneration process, a regeneration defect is observed and this defect can be rescued in a dose-dependent manner by exogenous BMP4.34

Endogenous bioelectric signaling plays a critical role in cell proliferation, migration, differentiation, apoptosis, and cell cycle regulation, which are also required for development, wound healing, and regeneration.35 Indeed, after limb amputation in salamanders, newts, and frogs, a strong, steady, and polarized bioelectric potential could be immediately measured in a proximodistal direction within the limb stump. Inhibition of this current abrogates the regeneration response and activation of this current rescues regeneration.36–41 For example, induction of H+ flux by V-ATPase proton channel activation in the wound of an amputated tail in a non-regenerative condition (after metamorphosis stage) leads to production of a perfect tail of the exact right size. Pharmacologic or genetic inhibition of this channel abolishes the regeneration in Xenopus.38 Also, an increase of intracellular sodium is required for initiating
regeneration after *Xenopus laevis* tail amputation. Inhibition of sodium transport leads to regeneration failure. The Na1.2 sodium channel gene is absent in non-regenerative tails, while mis-expression of human Nav1.5 or pharmacologic induction of a transient sodium current can rescue regeneration even after formation of non-regenerative conditions. These studies suggest that ion channels are critical for tail regeneration in *Xenopus laevis* and they may regulate regeneration either directly or through downstream pathways such as Wnt/Hedgehog/Notch, Msx1, and BMP pathways. However, the role of ion channels in limb regeneration in mammals remains largely unknown.

Potassium channels are found in all living organisms and represent the largest group of ion channels. In both excitable and non-excitable cells, potassium channels regulate Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling, volume regulation, secretion, cell death, proliferation, migration, differentiation, and, identified most recently, skin wound healing. For example, potassium channel openers and the ionophore, valinomycin, enhance skin wound healing, whereas potassium blockers delay wound healing after an acute insult of mouse skin. Thus, potassium channels could be potential therapeutic targets for wound repair and regeneration. In this study, we examined the gene expression of potassium channels at amputated hind limbs of mouse embryos at E12.5 and E15.5. Our experiments reveal a role for potassium channels in mouse limb regeneration and demonstrate that mouse embryos may serve as a good limb regeneration model.

**Materials and methods**

**Animals**

BALB/c inbred mice purchased from Taconic (Ithaca, NY, USA) were kept in a conventional room with a 12-hour light-dark cycle at constant temperature and provided with standard laboratory food and water. All procedures used in this paper were approved by the MGH/IACUC (Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee).

**Mouse embryo culture and limb amputation induction**

The embryo culture and limb amputation procedure followed published protocols with some modifications. Briefly, timed pregnancies were set up and the day of vaginal plug formation was regarded as embryonic day 0.5 (E0.5). Embryos were dissected from pregnant mice at the age of E12.5 and E15.5. The wounds were made by severing the hindlimb buds at the ankle level to expose a clean ovoid wound. Embryos were then transferred to round-bottom culture tubes (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) with 4 mL of embryo culture medium (EmbryoMax® KSMO w/1/2 Amino Acids and Glucose; Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and put on a rotating culture station at 30 rpm in an incubator with a temperature at 37 °C and 5% CO\(_2\). Wounded embryos were cultured for periods of 0, 6, 12, and 24 hours, and the protocol is described in detail by Zhang and Bei.

**Total RNA preparation**

At different time points after wound induction, the embryos in culture were rinsed with DEPC-treated PBS briefly and then stored in RNAlater solution (Invitrogen). Tissues close to the wound surface (showing as a dotted yellow line in Fig. 1) were collected under a dissecting microscope. Total RNA was prepared from pooled tissues from at least five embryos using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) with on-column RNase-free DNase digestion to remove DNA contaminations. Total RNA concentration was assessed using NanoDrop 8000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Asheville, NC, USA). Only high-quality RNA was used for the following experiments.

**Quantitative real-time PCR assay**

To assess the expression changes of potassium channels during wound healing at different mouse embryonic stages E12.5 and E15.5, the quantitative expression of 84 ion channel and transporter genes was analyzed using Mouse Ion Channel & transporter RT2 Profiler PCR array (Cat. number PAMM-036Z, SABiosciences,
The protein concentration was measured using Bio-Rad protease inhibitor tablet (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA). 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, and 1% NP40) with lyzed in RIPA lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, Pooled limb tissues from at least five embryos were Western blotting method.50

Table 1. List of primers used for real-time PCR (F: forward primer; R: reverse primer).

| Gene   | Primer (forward/reverse) |
|--------|--------------------------|
| kcnA6/Kv1.6 | F: GATCCCCTCTTCTATCGTTGGG/R: GAACCTCCTGGGTCATCCAAAG |
| kcnB1/Kv2.1 | F: ACTTGGTACGTTCCGCAGCT/C: AGATGGTACGTTAGTGGCCCA |
| kcnC1/Kv3.1 | F: ACGCTGACGCCTCCACAGGT/R: RTGATGGGAGACCCAGAGTGAG |
| kcnD4/Kv3.4 | F: GAATGCGGACCATTTACGCAAG/R: ATCAAGACAGCAGCGATTAAG |
| kcnE1/mink | F: ACCATTCTAGTCCTTCGCAGC/R: AGAAAGCTGGTCTGAGTGAG |
| kcnG2/Kv11.1 | F: GATCCCTCTTCCACGAGAAG/R: CCAGTGCTCTCTCAGCACACTAC |
| kcnJ1/Kir6.2 | F: CACCATTAAATGCCACACAC/R: GATGCTAAACCTGGCCTGAG |
| kcnJ15/Kir4.2-Kir1.3 | F: ATGCTGGAGATGGCTCTGAG/R: CACCTGTGAGATGCTCTGAG |
| kcnJ1/Kir1.1 | F: AGATGGAGAGTCTAGACACATG/R: CAGGCTAAATCTGGACTGG |
| kcnJ6/Kir3.2 | F: CTGGAGATGTTGCTACTCTGTG/R: GATGCTAAGCAGAAGAGG |
| kcnJ8/Kir6.1 | F: AGAGCACTACCTCGTACAGGGG/R: GCAAGCTTCAAAGAGG |
| kcnK1/K2p1.1 | F: GTTCGCCAGCTGCTGACAGATC/R: AGGGTCTCAGTCTGAGTTC |
| kcnL1/Kv7.1 | F: AGCCACACCCCCATTTTTGAG/R: CTCAAAAGACTGAGCCAGG |
| kcnS1/Kv9.1 | F: TCAGCTCTGGAGGTTGCTC/R: CACAGCAGTTGAGATGAAGGG |

Whole mount in situ hybridization

Embryos at 0, 6, 12, and 24 hours post-wounding were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in DEPC-PBS overnight at 4 °C and dehydrated for 10 minutes each in 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% methanol in PBT (PBS with 0.1% Tween 20). The embryos were then stored in 100% methanol at −20 °C. Antisense riboprobes were labeled with digoxigenin-UTP by in vitro transcription with T7 RNA polymerase using a DIG RNA Labeling Kit from Roche. The probes were amplified using the following primers (forward/reverse):

kcnA6: TCGCTGGTCCTGACGTTGGG/ACGTGAGTTATCTGGCTTTC.
kcnH2: GGAACAGCTCAGCATGGACT/AGGAGTCTCGGAAGATGTCG.
kcnJ8: CACCTCATGCTGCTGCTGCTG/AGGAGTCTCGGAAGATGTCG.

Results

Wound closes and a blastema-like structure forms in E12.5 but not in E15.5 mouse embryos after limb amputation

Most studies show that limb regeneration in mice occurs only when amputation is through the distal phalanx of digits (digit tips). Chan et al. reported that an excision wound made in E10 mouse embryos at a more proximal level, at the base of the limb bud, could form regenerative outgrowths.19 To establish a new regeneration limb model in mammals and to explore whether regeneration occurs when amputation occurs at a more proximal level along the limb axis, mouse hindlimbs were amputated at the ankle level in both E12.5 and 15.5 mouse embryos. We found that amputation through the ankle level in E12.5 embryos results in a regeneration response manifested by the formation of a blastema structure whereas amputation in E15.5 embryos does not. Specifically, in E12.5 embryos, excision of the hindlimb buds at the ankle

Western blotting

Pooled limb tissues from at least five embryos were lyzed in RIPA lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, and 1% NP40) with protease inhibitor tablet (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA). The protein concentration was measured using Bio-Rad reagents. Proteins (20 μg) were loaded to the pre-casted 4%–12% of tris-glycine gel (Lonz, Allendale, NJ, USA), and the proteins were separated at 100 V for about 100 minutes. Protein samples were then transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) at 220 mA for 3 hours at 4 °C. After being blocked, membranes were incubated with primary antibody on a rotator shaker in a cold room overnight. Blots were then incubated by a species-specific horse- radish peroxidase-labeled secondary antibody and developed using chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). The actb protein expression served as an endogenous control. Primary antibodies used were: kcnA6 (Kv1.6; Alomone, Cat number APC-003, Jerusalem 91042 Israel), kcnH2 (Kv11.1; abcam, Cat number: ab81160, Cambridge, MA, USA), kcnJ8 (Krin.1; Sigma, Cat number: P0874, St. Louis, MO, USA), and anti-β-actin (actb; Sigma, Cat number: A2228).

The cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA by random-primed reverse transcription (Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit, Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA). Real-time PCR was performed using the LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master kit. The Ct (cycle threshold) values were normalized to the endogenous actb expression level and then the relative expression level (REL) between the different time points was calculated using the Livak method.50
level leaves an oval-shaped wound surface and shows no contraction of the skin tissues remaining in a well-rounded shape (Fig. 1A and A’). After 6 hours of healing in an ex vivo culture condition, the wound margin becomes smooth and the tissues at the anterior and posterior borders start to round up. At 12 hours, the ends further round up and two blastema-like structures appear proximodestally at the anterior and posterior ends of the wound (data not shown). By 24 hours, the wound is completely closed, presented as a smooth and shining surface and the blastema-like tissues are further elongated proximodestally at the anterior and posterior sides of the amputation plane (Fig. 1B and B’). In contrast, in E15.5 embryos, after amputation, the flank tissue surrounding the site of amputation retracts back immediately and the bone protrudes slightly (Fig. 1C). During the 24 hours of culture, the skin gradually rounds up but the wound never closes (Fig. 1D). These results indicate that amputation through the ankle level in E12.5 embryos results in a regeneration response manifested by the formation of a blastema structure whereas amputation in E15.5 embryos does not.

Potassium channels are differentially expressed between E12.5 (blastema) and E15.5 (no blastema) mouse limbs after amputation

To determine whether the expression patterns of potassium channels are modified after limb amputation at the ankle level, we collected the tissue close to the wound edges from amputated limbs of E12.5 and E15.5 mouse embryos at 0, 6, 12, and 24 hours after wound induction, and performed a high throughput assay to determine the identity and level of potassium channel gene expression. t = 0 represents the time immediately after wound induction. Specifically, immediately after wounding, the mouse embryos were placed into RNA later solution that rapidly permeated tissues to stabilize and protect cellular RNA. After that critical step allowing for immediate stabilization and protection of cellular RNA, the tissue was collected and mRNA was prepared. Thus, the mRNA level at the 0-hour time point (t = 0) should be similar to the pre-wounding level because some time is required for a new gene to be induced. A mouse ion channel and transporter PCR array was used containing, among other genes, 39 genes encoding potassium channels. After repeating the assay, 14 of those genes showed potentially remarkable changes between E12.5 (blastema) and E15.5 (no blastema) (data not shown) and were analyzed by real-time PCR. The REL of these genes, one gene in a row, at different time points after limb amputation at E12.5 (blastema) and E15.5 (no blastema) mouse embryos is shown as a heatmap in Fig. 2A.

The REL of the potassium channels at the 0 hour time point (t = 0) after limb amputation represents the basal expression level of the genes in limb tissues similar to pre-wounding level because some time is required for a new gene to be induced. kcnj1 (Kir1.1), kcnj6, and kcnj11 (Kir6.2) are expressed at a much higher level at E12.5 compared to E15.5 shown as a heatmap (Fig. 2A) or a column graph (Fig. 2B). In contrast, kcnj8, kcnk4 (Kv3.4), and kcnk1 (K2p1.1) are expressed at lower levels in E12.5 compared to E15.5; all other genes show no big difference between E12.5 (blastema) and E15.5 (no blastema). These results suggest that these genes may play different roles at different stages of embryonic limb development.

We then determined the dynamic change of REL at 6, 12, and 24 hours after limb amputation (Fig. 2A) and we specifically compared the REL at 24 hours after amputation to the REL at 0 hours after amputation [basal expression level (t = 0 hour), Fig. 2C] in E12.5 and E15.5 mouse limbs. At E12.5 amputated limbs (blastema) the REL of kcnj1, kcnj6, and kcnk1 (Kv2.1) genes was gradually reduced at 6, 12, and 24 hours (Fig. 2A) with their REL at 24 hours compared to the basal expression level (0 hour) downregulated 59.95 times for kcnj1, 7.51 times for kcnj6, and 3.83 times for kcnk1, respectively (Fig. 2C). In contrast, in E15.5 amputated limbs (no blastema) the REL of kcnj1, kcnj6, and kcnj1 genes at 24 hours compared to the basal expression level (0 hour) is minimal, lower than 2.4 times (Fig. 2A and 2C). Interestingly, the REL of kcnj8 gene is upregulated at 24 hours compared to the basal expression level (0 hour) by 6.23 times in E12.5 amputated limbs only (Fig. 2C). On the other hand, the REL of the kcnh2 gene is remarkably downregulated at 24 hours compared to the basal expression level (0 hour) in both E12.5 and E15.5 after limb amputation (Fig. 2C). All other potassium genes kcnj15 (Kir4.2-Kir1.3), kcnk4, kcnj11, kcnk1, kcnk1 (Kv3.1), kcnj6 (Kir3.2), kcnk1 (Kv9.1), and kcnq1 (Kv7.1) may not be essential for either blastema or no blastema formation as their expression changes at 24 hours versus 0 hour are lower than three times (Fig. 2C).

These results demonstrate that, in contrast to all other channels in the array where no robust expression is observed at t = 0 and no remarkable, further change is observed in their relative expression level thereafter in both E12.5 (blastema) and E15.5 (no blastema) forming limbs, the potassium channels kcnj1, kcnj6, kcnb1, and kcnj8 behave differently suggesting that manipulation of their function may play a role in promoting blastema formation and thus regeneration.

Spatiotemporal and protein expression pattern of kcnj6, kcnj8, and kcnh2 potassium channels

To confirm the expression changes of potassium channels revealed by real-time PCR, we further performed whole mount in situ hybridization and Western blotting to check the localization and protein expression level of kcnj6, kcnj8, and kcnh2 genes, three representative genes of the array and real-time PCR results (Figs. 3–5). The main reasons for selecting these three genes are that they have been reported to play an important role in skin wound healing, thus they are good candidates for
Figure 2. The relative expression level (REL) of 14 potassium channels during regeneration and wound healing. (A) Heatmap of the REL of potassium channels. Expression values for each gene at a row are colored from green (low) to red (high). As the expression levels of kcnj1 and kcnj8 (top two rows) are much higher than others, they are separated from other potassium channels to display a better color map. The leftmost column displays the gene names and the rightmost column shows the maximum changes of REL between all the different time points. Each time point was repeated once. The left half shows the REL at E12.5, and the right half at E15.5 embryos. (B) The REL of potassium channels at 0 hour after limb amputation. The values on the Y axis represent the REL between E12.5 and E15.5 limbs at 0 hour post amputation. The inset figure shows a more detailed view of the genes with a lower REL. kcnj1, kcn6, and kcnj11 are expressed at a much higher level, whereas kcnj8, kcn4, and kcnk1 are expressed at a lower level in E12.5 limbs than E15.5 limbs. (C) Upregulation or downregulation of potassium channels at 24 hours versus 0 hour after limb amputation. The negative values denote downregulation, whereas the positive values represent upregulation. This shows that kcnj1, kcn6, and kcnb1 are downregulated at E12.5 limbs, and kcnk2 is downregulated at both E12.5 and E15.5 limbs, whereas kcnj8 is upregulated at E12.5 limbs by three times or more. All other values are lower than three times, indicating no remarkable changes.
Figure 3. *kcna6*/Kv1.6 expression after limb amputation in mouse embryos. (A) Real-time PCR and (B) representative Western blotting show that the relative expression level (REL) of *kcna6* is high in E12.5 limbs and downregulated, while its expression is low in E15.5 limbs with no remarkable changes post wounding. (C) In situ hybridization shows that *kcna6* is highly expressed at the wound surface immediately after limb amputation at E12.5 embryos (C, a) and is rapidly reduced after wound induction (C, b–d). There is no obvious expression at E15.5 embryonic limbs (C, e–h).

further study in limb wound healing, and that only for these genes were specific antibodies available.

Based on RT-PCR results, in E12.5 limbs (blastema) the basal expression level (0 hour) of the *kcna6* gene is much higher than that in E15.5 embryos (no blastema) (Figs. 2C and 3A). At E12.5 the REL of *kcna6* gene is gradually reduced at 6 and 12 hours, and remarkably downregulated at 24 hours after amputation compared to the basal expression level (0 hour) (Fig. 3A), while at E15.5 *kcna6* is expressed at low level and shows little decrease at 6, 12, and 24 hours after amputation (Fig. 3A). Western blotting experiments were performed to test the expression pattern of *kcna6* at the protein level. The results further corroborate with the results of the real-time PCR (Fig. 3B). In addition, in situ hybridization experiments with probes specific for *kcna6* show that at E12.5 *kcna6* is highly expressed at the wound surface just after amputation (0 time) (Fig. 3C, a) and that this expression is downregulated thereafter at 6, 12, and 24 hours after amputation (Fig. 3C, b–d). In contrast, at E15.5 no obvious expression is observed (Fig. 3C, e–h). The qualitative expression pattern of *kcna6* at the RNA level further corroborates with the results of the real-time PCR and protein level (Fig. 3).

Similar experiments were performed for the *kcnj8* and *kcnh2* genes. As shown, the REL of the *kcnj8* gene is upregulated at 24 hours compared to the basal expression level (0 hour) at E12.5, while there is no robust change in REL at E15.5 when the gene is expressed at low levels (Figs. 2C and 4A). Western blotting experiments were performed to test the expression pattern of *kcnj8* at the protein level. The results corroborate with the results of the real-time PCR in E12.5 but not in E15.5 limbs (Fig. 4B). In addition, in situ hybridization experiments with probes specific for *kcnj8* show that at E12.5 *kcnj8* is expressed at low levels at the wound surface just after amputation (0 time) (Fig. 4C, a) and that this expression is upregulated thereafter at 6, 12, and 24 hours after amputation (Fig. 4C, b–d). In contrast, at E15.5 no remarkable expression is observed (Fig. 4C, e–h).

The REL of *kcnj8* mRNA at 0 hour after limb amputation appearing much higher in E15.5 than in E12.5 limbs (Fig. 4A), and the protein level appearing very low at 0 hour in both E12.5 and E15.5, at first seem contradictory. This discrepancy is possibly a result of the very low endogenous mRNA expression level of *kcnj8* gene in both E12.5 and E15.5 limbs, reflected by the high cross threshold (Ct) values from real-time PCR assays, 30–33.
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Figure 4. kcnj8/Kir6.1 expression after limb amputation in mouse embryos. (A) The real-time PCR shows that the relative expression level (REL) of kcnj8 is upregulated in E12.5 limbs whereas it is downregulated in E15.5 limbs after wounding. (B) Representative Western blotting indicates that kcnj8 is robustly induced after limb amputation at E12.5 embryos, but there is no detectable expression in E15.5 limbs, which may be a result of different post-translational modifications. (C) In situ hybridization shows that there is no obvious kncj8 expression at 0 hour (C, a) at E12.5 limbs (note the blue background in the whole embryo), whereas this is visible at the wound surface at 12 and 24 hours (C, c and d) after wound induction. There was no obvious staining in E15.5 wounded limbs (C, e–h).

The REL of the kcnh2 gene is remarkably downregulated at 24 hours compared to the basal expression level (0 hour) in both E12.5 and E15.5 after limb amputation at mRNA and protein level (Fig. 2C; Figs. 5A and B). In situ hybridization also shows that kcnh2 is highly expressed at the wound surface at 0 hour and is gradually reduced at 6, 12 and 24 hours after amputation in the E12.5 limbs (Fig. 5C, B–D). At E15.5 mouse embryos, the kcnh2 expression is low and mostly located in skin tissues around the wound surface at 0 hour and no visible signaling at 6, 12, and 24 hours after limb amputation (Fig. 5C, E–H). The gene expression pattern detected by in situ hybridization (Fig. 5C) corresponds with what detected by Western blotting very well (Fig. 5B).

There is a difference between the expression level of kcnh2 mRNA which is a little higher at E15.5 compared to E12.5 at 0 hour (Fig. 5A), with the expression level of kcnh2 protein which is lower at E15.5 compared to E12.5 at 0 hour (Fig. 5B). This difference is most likely a result of differential post-translational modifications of kcnh2 protein at different developmental stages.

Discussion

Blastema formation at E12.5 mouse embryos after limb amputation at the ankle level: a model for studying limb regeneration

Mouse limb amputation is the most commonly used regeneration model in mammals. Mouse limbs regenerate at all embryonic, postnatal, and adult stages if the amputation is limited to the distal tip of digits within the base of the nail, but they cannot regenerate when the amputation occurs at a more proximal level. For the regeneration to occur, an initial critical regeneration step, the formation of a structure, known as blastema is required. However, blastema formation could occur even if the amputation occurs at a more proximal level than the tip of digits. For example, in E10 mouse embryos, outgrowths are observed from flank tissues at the anterior and posterior borders of the wound 24 hours after mouse forelimb buds are amputated from their base. These outgrowths may differentiate into various tissues after being grafted beneath adult kidney capsules and the resulting regenerated tissues have very similar structures to a normal limb bud based on morphological and histochemical criteria. Thus, rapid wound closure by regenerative epithelium is the first step of limb
Figure 5. *kcnh2/Kv11.1* expression after limb amputation in mouse embryos. (A) Real-time PCR shows that the relative expression level (REL) of *kcnh2* gene is high immediately after limb amputation and gradually downregulated afterwards in both E12.5 and E15.5 mouse limbs. (B) Representative Western blotting demonstrates that *kcnh2* protein is downregulated after wound induction in both E12.5 and E15.5 limbs. But the *kcnh2* protein is much lower at E15.5 limbs than E12.5, although the mRNA level is a little higher at E15.5 as shown in Fig. 4A at 0 hour. This is possibly a result of different post-translational modification of *kcnh2* protein in E12.5 and E15.5 limbs. (C) In situ hybridization shows that *kcnh2* is expressed at the wound surface (C, a) and reduced with time post wounding in E12.5 limbs (C, b–d). In E15.5 limbs, it is highly expressed mostly in the skin tissues of the wound surface (C, e and e’, a side and wound surface view) and downregulated during wound healing (C, f–h).

regeneration that minimizes tissue damage, infection, and the inflammatory response, followed by blastema formation which is the herald of the regeneration process. Once a blastema is established, limb regeneration can autonomously proceed even if it is grafted to different locations of the body such as the eye chamber or dorsal fin.

The present study shows that after amputation of the E12.5 mouse hindlimbs at the ankle level, the wounded limbs form two outgrowths, blastema structures, at the anterior and posterior sites above the wound surface (Fig. 1B). These results further indicate that blastema formation can occur even if the amputation occurs at a more proximal level than the digit tip, and, as in E10 mouse embryos, an E12.5 limb ankle-level amputation model could be used to assess limb regeneration.

In our study, during the 24-hour culture of the amputated-ankle-level E12.5 mouse embryos, blastemas formed gradually following wound closure by epithelial cells. In vertebrate limbs, signaling from the proximo-distal (PD), antero-posterior (AP), and dorso-ventral (DV) axes is critical for limb development. On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that lineage-restricted tissue stem cells and not pluripotent blastema cells are the cells responsible for limb regeneration after amputation, mimicking the digit growth during development. Thus, after limb amputation at the ankle level, the signaling from proximo-distal, dorso-ventral, and antero-posterior axes may be required for limb development but it is only the lineage-specific tissue stem cells that may partially account for the blastema-like outgrowth formation in E12.5 mouse embryos reported here. Although BMP/Msx, Wnt/β-catenin, and FGFs are reported to be needed for regeneration and CD31-positive endothelial and SCA-1-positive stem cells are reduced in blastemas in comparison to surrounding connective tissues and bone marrow cells, the truth of the matter is that there are still no defined biomarkers for blastema tissue formation. Therefore, it would be very interesting to further characterize the cellular and molecular mechanisms involved in the blastema-like structure formation process in the newly defined E12.5 limb regeneration model.
Role of potassium channels in the limb regeneration process

As mentioned before, non-mammals (such as urodeles) completely regenerate limbs as adults, whereas mammals (such as mice) can regenerate only digit tips. In addition, endogenous bioelectric signaling mediated by ion channels plays a critical role in the non-mammalian limb regeneration process. However, the role of ion channels in regeneration of mammalian limbs is not yet defined. Recent studies have provided evidence that potassium channels may mediate skin wound healing and regeneration interactively in mice. Here, using our mouse limb regeneration model, we report that four potassium genes are differentially expressed between E12.5 (blastema formed) and E15.5 (blastema is not formed) amputated limbs, suggesting that potassium channels are regulated during both development and regeneration. After limb amputation, kcnj1, kcnal6, and kcnal1 are downregulated in E12.5 compared to E15.5 limbs, while kcnj8 is upregulated in E12.5. Potassium channels usually conduct potassium ions out of cells, except for kcnj8 which allows potassium to flow into cells. Any change in their relative expression over time in response to an insult (wound induction) would lead to inhibition of (K⁺) ions conducted out or inside of the border cells, and eventually to loss of plasma membrane potential equilibrium (PMP) and depolarization. Consistently, previous reports have demonstrated that depolarization participates in regeneration and wound healing. For example, in vitro bovine corneal endothelial cell wound healing occurs through actin cable formation and cell migration into the injured area. Interestingly, membrane potential depolarization occurs at the leading edge of the migrating cells and the depolarization gradually extends into the neighboring cells. In a planarian head regeneration model, Beane et al. discovered that H,K-ATPase activity is required for depolarization of the regenerating anterior blastema, and the depolarization itself is sufficient to drive ectopic anterior regeneration. This indicates that membrane voltage is a key initiator of head formation during regeneration. Lotz et al. also reported that inhibition of potassium channels by small molecules accelerates wound healing and regeneration in a wound made in mouse embryo skin or cultured JB6 epidermal sheets, further highlighting the role of dynamic modification of cell membrane potentials in clinical wound healing therapy.

In conclusion, this study offers a new limb regeneration model in E12.5 mouse embryos and has for the first time documented the expression changes of 14 endogenous potassium channels during regeneration and wound healing in mammalian limb amputation models. The results suggest that potassium channels may serve as potential drug targets for wound repair and regeneration.
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