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6-Hydroxy-2-methylbenzofuran-4-carboxylic Acid
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Abstract: 6-Hydroxy-2-methylbenzofuran-4-carboxylic acid was synthesized in two steps, starting from 3,5-dihydroxybenzoate. The product was obtained through a direct thermal one-pot cyclization with propargyl bromide, followed by a base-catalyzed hydrolysis. Its molecular structure was elucidated by means of mono- and bidimensional NMR techniques, ESI-MS, FT-IR and single-crystal X-ray diffraction.
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1. Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is a contagious and virulent disease, mainly caused by the pathogen Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MtB); despite having afflicted mankind for centuries, it is still responsible for thousands of deaths in developed and developing countries [1]. The available treatments are outdated, and their use is characterized by significant drawbacks. The approved regimens require the administration of four or more drugs for a considerably long time; moreover, the adverse effects contribute to lowering patient compliance, thus favoring the emergence of drug-resistant MtB strains [2]. For the past 40 years, the scientific community has been working on two fronts—investigating new compounds acting on known pathways [3] and exploring several new mycobacterial targets—for the development of improved therapeutic strategies [4,5]. Furthermore, efforts have been directed towards the investigation of novel formulations for established drugs, to reduce their side effects and enhance their biopharmaceutical performances [6]. In the last few years, the still-worrying diffusion of TB has prompted political forces around the world to step up their commitment to the fight against the disease, with the organization of the first UN high-level meeting (HLM) on TB, which took place on 26 September 2018 [1].

In recent years, our attention has been turned to the identification of new inhibitors of the salicylate synthase MtBI, an essential, mycobacterium-specific enzyme involved in iron acquisition [7–10]. In this context, the chromanone nucleus caught our attention: new compounds bearing the chromane scaffold were synthetized [11], and their biological evaluation allowed the identification of I (Figure 1) as a novel candidate inhibitor, endowed with a promising activity against MtBI (IC50 = 55 µM).

With the purpose of synthesizing structurally simplified analogs of compound I that were devoid of the carbonyl function at position 4 and of the methyl group in 2, we unexpectedly isolated a new benzofuran derivative (1), which was submitted to a complete chemical characterization and tested against MtBI.
Figure 1. 7-Hydroxy-2-methyl-4-oxo-3,4-dihydro-2H-benzopyran-5-carboxylic acid (I).

2. Results and Discussion

6-Hydroxy-2-methylbenzofuran-4-carboxylic acid (I) was synthesized by a two-step reaction (Scheme 1). The commercially available methyl 3,5-dihydroxybenzoate was reacted with propargyl bromide, copper iodide, potassium iodide and potassium carbonate, through a direct thermal intramolecular cyclization. Under these conditions, the phenyl propargyl ether gave a Claisen rearrangement [12,13], ultimately leading to the formation of the 2-methylbenzofuran derivative, which was then hydrolyzed to the corresponding acid under basic conditions.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 6-hydroxy-2-methylbenzofuran-4-carboxylic acid (I).

Compounds 1 and 2 were characterized by means of various spectroscopic and spectrometric methods, including mono- and bidimensional NMR, FT-IR and ESI-MS. The structure elucidation of compound 2 was supported by a COSY experiment, which evidenced a correlation between the methyl group at position 2 and the hydrogen in 3. Furthermore, the NOESY spectrum showed a correlation between the hydroxyl function and hydrogens 5–7, and between the methyl of COOCH₃ and hydrogens 3–5.

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction was employed to determine the molecular structure of 1; its ORTEP [14] representation is reported in Figure 2. The compound crystallized in the triclinic P-1 space group: the asymmetric unit (ASU) accommodates one molecule, with the alcoholic hydrogen split over two positions with a 0.5 occupancy.

Figure 2. ORTEP plot of 1, with the arbitrary atom numbering (ellipsoids are at 40% probability and H atoms are represented as spheres of arbitrary radii).

The arrangement of the hydroxyl group can be explained by examining the crystal packing, which shows chains of dimers developing along the c axis (Figure 3). Such dimers are interconnected by a H-bond, formed between O4 and the hydroxyl hydrogen of two adjacent molecules. This linkage
justifies the double orientation of the OH; in the donor molecule, the hydrogen (H42) points outward, towards the next dimer, while in the acceptor molecule, the hydrogen (H41) turns inward, towards the other monomer. The two monomers, related by a point of inversion, interact through two equivalent H-bonds, established between the hydrogen and the oxygen of the carboxylic moieties. The aromatic nature of the compound confers rigidity and planarity to the structure. As a consequence, the packing is further stabilized by parallel-displaced π–π stacking interactions between the heteroaromatic rings, along the a axis. These stacked chains are 3.875(1) Å apart, as calculated from the ring centroids.

![Figure 3](image.png)

**Figure 3.** Crystal packing of 1, viewed along the b axis. The dashed lines show the intermolecular contacts of the compound, while the colored spheres represent the centroids of the rings.

A summary of the H-bonds established within the crystal structure is reported in Table 1, along with a complete account of their geometry, as calculated by PARST [15].

| H-Bond       | D–A (Å) | D–H–A (°) | D–H–A (°) |
|--------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| O3-H3···O2   | 1.82(1) | 2.623(1)  | 167.8(1)  |
| O4-H42···O4  | 1.95(1) | 2.745(1)  | 165.4(1)  |

The activity of the synthesized compounds was tested against the recombinant MbtI, as previously reported [9]. As expected from our previous data, the ester (2) showed a poor activity (% residual enzymatic activity at 100 μM: 34.5 ± 10.1), while the free acid (1) exhibited a promising inhibitory effect (% residual enzymatic activity at 100 μM: 51.9 ± 10.1). Hence, the IC50 value of 1 was calculated to be 51.9 ± 10.1 μM, revealing a comparable activity to that of our previous chromane-based lead, I.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Synthesis

All reagents and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). All the reactions involving air-sensitive reagents were carried out using anhydrous solvents, in oven-dried glassware and under nitrogen atmosphere. Melting points were recorded on a Buchi M-565 apparatus (Buchi, Flawil, Switzerland), and are uncorrected.
NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature either on a Varian Mercury (Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA), equipped with an Oxford 300 MHz cryomagnet (Oxford Instruments, Abingdon, UK), operating at 300 MHz for $^1$H analyses, or on a Bruker Avance 500 (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA), operating at 500 MHz. DMSO-d$_6$ was used as deuterated solvent for all acquisitions. Chemical shifts are expressed in ppm ($\delta$) from tetramethylsilane resonance in the indicated solvent; coupling constants ($J$-values) are given in Hertz (Hz). $^1$H data are reported in the following order: ppm, multiplicity (s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet), number of protons and attribution. The APT sequence was used to distinguish methyl and methine signals from those due to methylene and quaternary carbons.

For FT-IR analyses, compounds were mixed in 1:100 w/w ratio with KBr and pressed through a hydraulic press (14 tons) to small tablets; data were recorded on a PerkinElmer SPECTRUM ONE instrument (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA), in the region between 4000 and 450 cm$^{-1}$, performing 8 scans at 4 cm$^{-1}$ resolution.

Mass Spectrometry analyses were carried out on a Thermo Finnigan LCQ Advantage (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), equipped with an ESI electrospray ionization source and an Ion Trap mass analyzer; ionization: ESI positive or ESI negative; capillary temperature: 250 °C; source voltage: 5.50 kV; source current: 4.00 $\mu$A; multipole 1 and 2 offset: −5.50 V and −7.50 V, respectively; intermultipole lens voltage: −16.00 V; trap DC offset voltage: −10.00 V.

NMR, FT-IR, and MS spectra of the synthesized compounds are provided in the Supplementary Materials.

3.1.1. 6-Hydroxy-2-methylbenzofuran-4-carboxylic Acid Methyl Ester (2)

Propargyl bromide (0.43 mL, 4.76 mmol), anhydrous K$_2$CO$_3$ (1.65 g, 11.90 mmol), KI (1.68 g, 10.12 mmol) and Cul (0.03 g, 0.18 mmol) were added under nitrogen atmosphere to a solution of 3,5-dihydroxybenzoate (1.00 g, 5.95 mmol) in dry DMF (4 mL), and the mixture was heated at 75 °C for 24 h. The residue obtained by the evaporation in vacuum of DMF was poured in ice-water and then extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$ and evaporated under reduced pressure; the crude residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel ratio 1:60), using hexane/ethyl acetate (8:2 to 7:3), to afford compound 2 as a pale yellow solid (yield: 47%). R$_f$ = 0.32 (hexane/EtOAc 8:2). M.p.: 140–142 °C. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO): $\delta$ 9.75 (s exch D$_2$O, 1H, OH), 7.33 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H, H$_2$), 7.14 (dd, $J = 2.2$, 0.9 Hz, 1H, H$_7$), 6.86–6.80 (m, 1H, H$_3$), 3.88 (s, 3H, COOCH$_3$), 2.42 (s, 3H, CH$_3$) ppm. $^{13}$C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO): $\delta$ 187.61, 166.86, 156.64, 156.46, 154.87, 122.31, 121.68, 113.64, 104.10, 103.41, 52.79, 14.51 ppm. FT-IR (KBr): ν 3405, 3091, 2957, 2863, 1731, 1690, 1623, 1585, 1402, 1346, 1227, 1127, 999 cm$^{-1}$. ESI-MS (m/z): calcd. for C$_{11}$H$_{10}$O$_4$ 206.06, found 205.12 m/z [M – H]$^+$.

3.1.2. 6-Hydroxy-2-methylbenzofuran-4-carboxylic Acid (1)

A solution of powdered NaOH (30 mg, 0.75 mmol) in water (1 mL) and methanol (0.4 mL) was added to compound 2 (70 mg, 0.34 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 55 °C for 6 h and then partially concentrated in vacuum to remove methanol. The pH of the solution was adjusted to pH 3–4 by the addition of a few drops of 1 M HCl, thereby inducing the precipitation of the free acid 1, which was collected by filtration as a white solid (yield: 50%). R$_f$ = 0.1 (EtOAc/MeOH 8:2). M.p.: 208–210 °C. $^1$H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO): $\delta$ 12.88 (s exch D$_2$O, 1H, COOH), 9.67 (s exch D$_2$O, 1H, OH), 7.28 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H, H$_2$), 7.07 (d, $J = 2.2$, Hz, 1H, H$_7$), 6.80 (s, 1H, H$_3$), 2.39 (s, 3H, CH$_3$) ppm. $^{13}$C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO): $\delta$ 167.59, 156.04, 155.81, 154.38, 122.57, 122.07, 113.49, 103.88, 102.52, 14.08 ppm. FT-IR (KBr): ν 3281, 3077, 2962, 2919, 2848, 1690, 1626, 1600, 1496, 1439, 1392, 1127 cm$^{-1}$. ESI-MS (m/z): calcd. for C$_{10}$H$_8$O$_4$ 192.17, found 191.41 m/z [M – H]$^+$.
3.2. Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction

The slow evaporation of a methanol/water 1:1 solution of 1 afforded diffraction-quality yellow laminar crystals, after about one week at room temperature.

Crystallographic data for 1 were collected at ambient temperature on a Bruker-Axs CCD-based three-circle diffractometer, using graphite-monochromatized Mo-Kα X-radiation (λ = 0.7107 Å).

X-ray diffraction data in the θ range 2°–25° were collected by acquiring four sets of 600 bidimensional CCD frames with the following operative conditions: omega rotation axis; scan width: 0.3°; acquisition time: 20 s; sample-to-detector distance: 60 mm; φ angle: fixed at four different values (0°, 90°, 180°, 270°) for the four different sets. Omega-rotation frames were processed with SAI NT [16] for data reduction (including intensity integration, background, Lorentz and polarization corrections) and for the determination of accurate unit-cell dimensions, obtained by least-squares refinement of the positions of 1359 independent reflections with I > 10σ(I) in the θ range 2°–24°. Absorption effects were empirically evaluated with the SADABs software [17], and absorption correction was applied to the data (0.874 and 0.994 as min and max transmission factor, respectively).

The structures were solved by direct methods using SIR-14 [18] and completed by iterative cycles of full-matrix least-squares refinement on F02 and DF synthesis using SHELXL-17 [19] on the WingX.v2014.1 suite [20]. Hydrogen atoms bonded to carbons were included at geometrically calculated positions and refined using a riding model. The contribution of disordered solvent molecules, embedded in the crystal, to the calculated structure factors was determined with PLATON SQUEEZE [21], and included in the final steps of the refinement. Graphical representations were rendered with Mercury 4.3.1 [22]. CCDC entry 2004172 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: +44 1223 336033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Crystal data for compound 1: C10H8O4, Mr = 192.16 g/mol, Triclinic, Space group P-1, a = 3.7850(6) Å, b = 10.1380(16) Å, c = 14.416(2) Å, α = 104.780(2)°, β = 94.920(2)°, γ = 100.720(2)°, V = 520.35(14) Å3, Z = 2, Dcalc = 1.226 Mg/m3, F(000) = 200, R = 0.057 for 1383 reflections with Fo > 4σ(Fo) (R = 0.073 for all 1842 unique/4979 collected reflections), wR2 = 0.172 for reflections with Fo > 4σ(Fo) (wR2 = 0.186 for all unique reflections), T = 293(2) K, GOF = 1.084. The reflections were collected in the range 1.475° ≤ θ ≤ 25.043° (limiting indices = −4 ≤ h ≤ 4, −12 ≤ k ≤ 12, −17 ≤ l ≤ 17) employing a 0.26 × 0.15 × 0.06 mm crystal. The residual positive and negative electron densities in the final map were 0.214 and −0.133 Å−3.

3.3. Enzymatic Assays

Recombinant M. tuberculosis MbtI was produced and purified as previously reported [8,9]. Enzyme activity was determined by measuring the production of salicylic acid through a fluorimetric assay, slightly modified from Vasan et al. [23]. The tests were performed at 37 °C in a final volume of 400 µL of 50 mM Hepes pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl2, using 1–2 µM MbtI; the reactions were started by the addition of chorismic acid and monitored using a PerkinElmer LS3 fluorimeter (Ex. λ = 305 nm, Em. λ = 420 nm). Residual enzymatic activity was calculated in the presence of the compound at 100 µM (stock solution 20 mM in DMSO) and 50 µM chorismic acid. IC50 was determined by measuring enzyme activity at different compound concentrations, and fitting data to the equation:

\[ A_{[I]} = A_{[0]} \times \left(1 - \frac{[I]}{[I] + IC_{50}}\right) \]

where A[I] is the activity of the enzyme at inhibitor concentration [I] and A[0] is the activity of the enzyme without inhibitor.
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