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Summary

Treatment of the WEHI-2131 or CH31 B cell lymphomas with anti-\(\mu\) or transforming growth factor (TGF)-\(\beta\) leads to growth inhibition and subsequent cell death via apoptosis. Since anti-\(\mu\) stimulates a transient increase in \(c\text{-}myc\) and \(c\text{-}fos\) transcription in these lymphomas, we examined the role of these proteins in growth regulation using antisense oligonucleotides. Herein, we demonstrate that antisense oligonucleotides for \(c\text{-}myc\) prevent both anti-\(\mu\)- and TGF-\(\beta\)-mediated growth inhibition in the CH31 and WEHI-231 B cell lymphomas, whereas antisense \(c\text{-}fos\) has no effect. Furthermore, antisense \(c\text{-}myc\) promotes the appearance of phosphorylated retinoblastoma protein in the presence of anti-\(\mu\) and prevents the progression to apoptosis as measured by propidium iodide staining. Northern and Western analyses show that \(c\text{-}myc\) message and the levels of multiple myc proteins were maintained in the presence of antisense \(c\text{-}myc\), results indicating that myc species are critical for the continuation of proliferation and the prevention of apoptosis. These data implicate \(c\text{-}myc\) in the negative signaling pathway of both TGF-\(\beta\) and anti-\(\mu\).

Cross-linking of membrane IgM receptors on a subset of murine B cell lymphomas, or addition of TGF-\(\beta\) to the same cells, can lead to increased transcription of the early response genes, cell cycle arrest in late G1, and eventual apoptosis (1–5). For example, anti-\(\mu\)-treatment of these cells causes \(c\text{-}myc\) messenger RNA to increase within the first hour, but message levels for this oncogene then decrease to below baseline levels at 4–8 h and completely disappear by 24 h in unsynchronized cells (4). Membrane IgM cross-linking on the WEHI-231 cell line also has been reported to cause a transient increase in \(c\text{-}fos\) transcription (5). In these inhibited cell lines, the retinoblastoma gene product (pRB)1 is found in the hypophosphorylated, active form within 12 h in unsynchronized cells (6, 7, and Joseph, L., and D. W. Scott, manuscript submitted for publication). Despite extensive studies, the role(s) of these genes in regulating cell cycle progression and apoptosis in murine B lymphoma lines remains unresolved. Our work starts to elucidate the link between early response genes (\(c\text{-}myc\), \(c\text{-}fos\)) and later responses (pRB phosphorylation) in these B cell lymphomas.

pRB, an anti-oncogene that regulates cell cycle progression, is differentially phosphorylated throughout the cell cycle (8–10). Indeed, the state of phosphorylation of pRB has been linked to anti-\(\mu\)- and TGF-\(\beta\)-induced cell cycle arrest (6, 8–10). Thus, pRB becomes phosphorylated during G1 and remains in that state until it is dephosphorylated at G2/M; the hypophosphorylated form of the pRB protein is associated with the growth arrested phenotype of WEHI-231 B lymphoma cells (6).

The involvement of the \(c\text{-}myc\) gene in cell growth regulation has been well documented in several systems. For example, myc has also been implicated in cell cycle progression; thus, antisense oligonucleotides to \(c\text{-}myc\) have been shown to block cell cycle progression into S phase, but not egress from G2 to G1 in human T cells (11). A role of \(c\text{-}myc\) has been postulated in the development of Burkitt’s lymphoma since translocation of \(c\text{-}myc\) places this gene under control of the immunoglobulin enhancer. Upregulated and dysregulated expression of \(c\text{-}myc\) from this strong enhancer is thought to be a prime factor in oncogenesis and uncontrolled growth of these tumors (12). Similarly, dysregulated expression of the \(c\text{-}myc\) from the immunoglobulin \(\mu\) or \(\kappa\) enhancer results in fatal lymphoma in myc transgenic mice (13). Insertion of viral sequences, generally long terminal repeat sequences, 5'
of c-myc has been implicated in greatly upregulating and dysregulating expression of c-myc and resulting in leukemia or lymphoma (14, 15). Thus, c-myc expression and regulation are crucial elements in cell cycle progression, oncogenesis, and proliferation control.

Recent data by Evan et al. (16) and Bissonette et al. (17) suggest that overexpression of myc protein(s) at critical cell cycle barriers could lead to apoptosis and cell death. In addition to results with mitogen-activated T cells (11), antisense oligonucleotides against the c-myc gene have been used to block cell cycle progression or apoptosis in several model systems, including T cell hybridomas (18), human breast cancer (19), smooth muscle cells (20), and keratinocytes (8). In all of these cells, antisense treatment was shown to lead to a loss of myc protein and to cause either cell cycle arrest or the prevention of apoptosis.

Based on the hypothesis that increased myc expression might target B lymphoma cells for apoptosis, we utilized antisense oligonucleotides for c-myc in order to block the increase in myc induced by anti-μ. In the present studies, we demonstrate that antisense c-myc oligonucleotides, but not antisense for c-fos, protected against anti-μ– (or TGF-β–) induced apoptosis in B lymphoma cells. However, antisense c-myc surprisingly acted by protecting against the loss of certain species of myc protein and not by decreasing the expressed levels of the oncogene product. Our results implicate a critical role for the stabilization of myc protein in modulating growth arrest by both of these reagents, and allowing continued cell cycle progression.

Materials and Methods

Cells and Antibodies. CH31 and WEHI-231 are both slgM+ murine B cell lymphomas that have been extensively characterized (1, 3–5). They were maintained in RPMI 1640 (GIBCO BRL, Gaithersburg, MD), supplemented with 5% FBS (Hyclone Laboratories, Logan, UT), 2-ME, l-glutamine, penicillin, streptomycin, MEM nonessential amino acids, and sodium pyruvate as previously described (GIBCO BRL), and used at 1–10 ng/ml.

Antisense Oligonucleotides. Phosphorothioate oligonucleotides were designed against the translational start sites of the respective genes. For c-myc, the oligonucleotide was designed against the translational start site in exon 2. The nonsense sequence was derived by randomizing the antisense sequence. These oligonucleotides were purchased from the Research DNA Synthesis Lab at the University of Calgary (Calgary, Alberta, Canada). The sequences are as follows:

murine antisense c-myc 5' GAGTTCCAGTTTAGGGGCG 3'
murine nonsense c-myc 5' ATCTGTTGAAGGCAAGCTATG 3'
murine antisense c-fos 5' GTTGAACCGCGAAGACATCAT 3'

Centrifugal Elutriation. The method of centrifugal elutriation has been reported previously (21). Briefly, 5 × 10⁶ exponentially growing CH31 cells were loaded into the separation chamber at rotor speed of 3,250 rpm and a flow rate of 30 ml/min. After loading the samples the rotor speed was decreased in increments to 2,770 rpm, with two 40-ml fractions collected at each increment. The cell number and size distribution were measured from each fraction with a Channelizer (Coulter Corp., Hialeah, FL) system to verify the purity and size of each fraction. The cell cycle stage of each fraction was verified by flow cytometry analysis of propidium iodide stained cells to stain for DNA content.

[3H]Thymidine Incorporation Assay and Data Presentation. 100 μl of lymphoma cells (2 × 10⁶/ml) were placed in 96-well plates and incubated 24 or 48 h with varying concentrations of anti-μ or TGF-β and antisense DNA. 1 μCi of [3H]thymidine (Amersham Life Science, Arlington Heights, IL) was added to each well and the cells were harvested 4–6 h later on a 96-well plate cell harvester; thymidine incorporation was measured on a 96 direct beta counter (both from Packard Instrument Co., Meriden, CT). All data are presented as percent control thymidine incorporation, using wells containing no anti-μ as the control for each oligonucleotide treatment group. Addition of antisense or nonsense oligonucleotides did not significantly change the levels of thymidine incorporation in these controls by >10–20%. Typically, thymidine incorporation in control wells was >10% per sample and errors were <10% (and not shown).

Propidium Iodide Staining for Apoptotic Nuclei. Cells were resuspended in 1 ml 100% ethanol, placed at 4°C overnight, washed, and resuspended in 1 ml PBS containing 10 μg/ml RNase; cells were then incubated at 37°C for 0.5 h, after which propidium iodide (50 μg/ml; both RNase and propidium iodide were from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) was added. 10 μl of each cell suspension was then placed on slides and apoptotic bodies were visualized by fluorescence microscopy and recorded for the presence or absence of fragmented nuclei (22).

Northern Analysis. Total cellular RNA was extracted from exponentially growing WEHI-231 or CH31 cells by the method of Huang and High (23). Cells were lysed in 2% SDS, 200 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, and 1 mM EDTA, on ice for 20 min. Protein and genomic DNA were precipitated with potassium acetate (4.4 M with 2 M acetic acid) and pelleted by centrifugation. The supernatant was extracted twice with chloroform/isooamyl alcohol (24:1). RNA was precipitated with cold isopropanol, centrifuged and the pellet then washed with cold absolute ethanol. RNA was resuspended in diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated (Aldrich Chemical Co., Milwaukee, WI) water with 40 U RNAsin (Promega, Madison, WI) and stored at −70°C until use.

10 μg of total RNA was loaded onto formaldehyde gels with 1.26 μM ethidium bromide (Sigma Chemical Co.) and run at 300 V/h. Equal loading of lanes was confirmed by staining with 28S and 18S ribosomal RNA bands. RNA was transferred to nylon membranes (Hybond N*; Amersham Life Sciences) by capillary blot. After fixing and prehybridization, membranes were probed with a 32P-labeled 1-kb fragment from rat c-myc exon 3, which cross-hybridizes with murine c-myc. After washing, membranes were exposed to X-OMAT AR film (Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY) for 7 d. Blots were stripped and reprobed with a labeled 3-actin. Membranes probed with β-actin were exposed to film for 1 d. Autoradiographs of c-myc and β-actin message levels were quantitated by scanning laser densitometry (Ultrascan XL; LKB Bromma, Gaithersburg, MD).

Western Blotting for the pRB protein. Cells were prepared and lysed as described previously (6). Briefly, lymphoma cells were lysed with SDS stop buffer containing 2-ME, and the extract was boiled, electrophoresed, and transferred to nitrocellulose. Western blots
were probed using the anti-human pRB monoclonal, Mh-rb-02 (PharMingen, San Diego, CA), which detects pRB in WEHI-231 cells (6), followed by goat anti-mouse IgG coupled to alkaline phosphatase (Fisher Scientific Co., Pittsburgh, PA). Nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (BCIP; both from Sigma Chemical Co.) were used for visualization of the bands.

**Western Blotting for the myc Protein.** 10^6 cells were treated as indicated, washed twice in cold PBS, and lysed in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% deoxycholate, 1% NP40, 150 mM NaCl) in the presence of 10 μg/ml each of leupeptin, aprotinin, and AEBSF (Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA). After boiling, the cell lysates were separated on 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and transferred to nitrocellulose. The blots were blocked with 10% goat serum (Sigma Chemical Co.) and 1% Nonfat dry milk in Tris-buffered saline with 0.5% Tween-20 at room temperature for 2 h. Protein was visualized by incubation with rabbit anti-mouse myc (Upstate Biotechnology, Inc., Lake Placid, NY) at 1 μg/ml for 2 h at room temperature. After washing, blots were incubated with goat anti-rabbit IgG (1 μg/ml; Fisher Scientific Co.) conjugated to alkaline phosphatase. NBT and BCIP were used for visualization of the bands.

**Results**

**Antisense c-myc Oligonucleotides Prevent Cell Cycle Arrest by Anti-μ.** Treatment of murine B cell lymphomas with anti-μ leads to an increase in c-myc transcription within 30 min (4). We hypothesized that expression of myc protein could lead to growth arrest and apoptosis, as described recently in two models, including activation-induced apoptosis (16, 18). Initially, we cultured WEHI-231 and CH31 cells with phosphorothioate-modified c-myc antisense oligonucleotides to determine the role of myc protein in the continued growth of these cell lines. Surprisingly, both cell lines divided normally in the presence of up to 10 μM of antisense c-myc oligonucleotides (Fischer, G., and D. W. Scott, data not shown), thus allowing us to test the effects of these oligonucleotides on external signals and cell cycle progression.

We next treated the WEHI-231 and CH31 lymphomas with increasing amounts of anti-μ and simultaneously added oligonucleotides for c-myc antisense sequences or added nonsense oligonucleotides of the same base composition as a control. The data in Fig. 1 demonstrate that antisense oligonucleotides for c-myc virtually eliminated the growth inhibition by anti-μ of CH31 (Fig. 1 A) or WEHI-231 (Fig. 1 B) lymphomas, as measured by thymidine incorporation; nonsense oligonucleotides had a minimal effect, which was observed with all S-oligonucleotides. Growth inhibition was significantly prevented by 0.5 μM antisense c-myc, and the effects of anti-μ were completely reversed at 1 μM antisense c-myc.

**c-fos Antisense Oligonucleotides Do Not Reverse the Effects of Anti-μ.** It has previously been reported that anti-μ not only induces an early increase in c-myc transcription, but also elicits an transient rise in c-fos message levels in WEHI-231 cells (5). To examine the specificity of the antisense treatment for c-myc, we next tested whether antisense for c-fos had any effect on growth inhibition by anti-μ. The data in Fig. 2 demonstrate that antisense for c-fos did not modulate cell cycle arrest, whereas antisense c-myc reproducibly prevented anti-μ inhibition of growth. The minimal effects of unrelated con-
Control oligonucleotides on [3H]thymidine incorporation were nonspecific and have been observed with nonsense c-myc and irrelevant phosphorothioate oligonucleotides, as well (Fisher, G., and D. W. Scott, unpublished data).

Effect of Antisense c-myc on TGF-β-mediated Growth Arrest. TGF-β treatment of these B cell lymphomas leads to G1/S blockade and subsequent cell death, similar to anti-μ (6). However, TGF-β addition leads to a decrease in c-myc transcription in both lymphomas and epithelial cells (Kent, S. C., and D. W. Scott, unpublished data and reference 8) and eventual growth arrest. In fact, CAT assays with c-myc P1 and P2 promoters demonstrate that TGF-β downregulates c-myc transcription, whereas anti-μ upregulates c-myc via these promoters (Kent, S. C., and D. W. Scott, manuscript in preparation). To further examine whether increased c-myc transcription is required for growth inhibition, we determined whether antisense c-myc prevented the effects of TGF-β on these lymphomas. When antisense c-myc was added simultaneously with TGF-β to B lymphomas, growth arrest was also prevented (Fig. 3), although the effect was not as dramatic as the protection against anti-μ-mediated inhibition in all experiments. This suggests that the myc protein is an important component of both signaling pathways, but the transient increase in c-myc transcription is not necessary for growth inhibition.

Kinetics of Antisense c-myc Reversal of Growth Inhibition. Anti-μ-mediated negative signaling occurs at a critical point in early G1 (21). To establish the time at which myc acts in lymphoma cell cycle control, early G1 cells were collected by centrifugal elutriation, placed in culture with anti-μ, and antisense c-myc added at various times. Fig. 4 demonstrates that simultaneous addition of antisense c-myc (or at 2 h after the initiation of the incubation) with anti-μ allowed these cells to progress normally through the cell cycle. However, addition of antisense c-myc 4 or 6 h after the anti-μ did not prevent cell cycle arrest. Nonsense sequences had minimal effect when added at any time. These data suggest that myc is playing a critical role in cell cycle progression/arrest beginning in early to mid-G1. Since pRB phosphorylation begins at this point in the cell cycle, we next examined the effect of antisense c-myc on this process.

pRB Is Hyperphosphorylated in Antisense c-myc-treated Lymphomas. We and others (6, 7) have demonstrated that anti-μ or TGF-β addition leads to the production of underphosphorylated pRB, which is the active growth suppressive form of this anti-oncogene. The data in Fig. 5 demonstrate that lymphomas treated with anti-μ or TGF-β and antisense c-myc possess pRB in the hyperphosphorylated form, whereas control cells treated with anti-μ or TGF-β alone contain the active, underphosphorylated form of pRB. Thus, an event initiated by antisense c-myc addition leads to a prevention of cell cycle arrest by promoting the phosphorylation of pRB.

Antisense c-myc Prevents Apoptosis. Anti-μ and TGF-β growth arrested cells begin apoptosis and die within 24–48 h (6, 21, 22) of treatment. The data in Fig. 6 demonstrate...
that antisense c-myc also prevents apoptosis as measured by propidium iodide staining. For example, with the CH31 B lymphoma, 45% of cells treated with only anti-μ contained apoptotic bodies, but <5% of cells treated with both antisense and anti-μ were undergoing programmed cell death. Very few apoptotic bodies were seen in the untreated (5.1%), nonsense (6.1%), and antisense only treated (5.3%) cells. These data were confirmed by gel electrophoresis of genomic DNA (data not shown) in that cells exposed to anti-μ only show typical ladder of DNA, whereas in cells treated with anti-μ and antisense c-myc there was no DNA degradation, as reported for T cell hybridomas (18). Therefore, treatment with anti-μ and antisense c-myc inhibited the formation of apoptotic bodies normally induced by anti-μ treatment alone.

Effects of Antisense c-myc on c-myc Message and Protein Levels. To determine whether the antisense oligonucleotides were acting at the level of transcription or translation, we isolated RNA from cells treated with anti-μ or TGF-β with or without antisense or nonsense c-myc. The data in Fig. 7A reflect Northern blots in which 32P random-labeled rat c-myc exon 3 was used to probe whole cell RNA blots obtained at 20 h. The relative abundance of c-myc mRNA is presented relative to actin message in Fig. 7B for time points between 30 min and 20 h. This amount of c-myc mRNA increased at 0.5 h (~2.5-fold increase) after treatment with anti-μ, and had decreased to below background levels at 1 h, as previously reported for WEHI-231 (4). Interestingly, antisense c-myc alone and antisense c-myc plus anti-μ led to an increased amount of c-myc message at 2, 4, 6, and 20 h in CH31 lymphoma cells; by 20 h, c-myc message levels were significantly decreased with anti-μ, but were at or above baseline levels in the cells treated with antisense plus or minus anti-μ in both CH31 (Fig. 7B) and WEHI-231 (data not shown). TGF-β also lead to a depletion of c-myc message at
20 h (Fig. 7A); antisense c-myc treatment in addition to TGF-β lead to the presence of c-myc mRNA at 20 h. Antisense c-myc did not upregulate c-fos or egr-1 mRNA (Kent, S. C., unpublished data). Thus, antisense c-myc appears to stabilize c-myc message levels, presumably allowing translation of new myc protein and continued progression through the cell cycle (see below).

To establish whether the changes in c-myc RNA correlated with myc protein levels, we used Western blotting to examine lysates of cells treated with anti-μ (or TGF-β) with or without antisense c-myc. At least two myc species have been described in erythroleukemia cells by Spotts and Hann (24). We not only found these species, but also observed that the presence of anti-μ or TGF-β led to the disappearance of these myc proteins (Fig. 8). In cells treated with antisense c-myc or antisense c-myc plus anti-μ/TGF-β, normal levels of myc proteins were detected at all times. Within 30 min of the addition of anti-μ, a new species, postulated to be a myc degradation product (24) appeared; at this time the original (62-67 kD) myc proteins were still present. Cyclohexamide treatment was used to show that observed protein species turned over rapidly. No similar c-myc mRNA species was detected that would account for the appearance of the lower molecular weight protein species (data not shown).

In CH31 cells treated for 5 h with anti-μ, there were significantly lower amounts of all myc protein species present. TGF-β treatment alone caused a gradual loss of myc protein, with levels comparable with the anti-μ treatment alone being reached by 5 h. Again, antisense c-myc maintained the presence of these myc species. These results are consistent with the c-myc message levels, in that the increased message levels appear to correlate with the continued presence of the myc protein. We postulate that this c-myc antisense oligonucleotide is not acting by blocking translation, but rather is stabilizing the c-myc message, and allowing continued translation of new myc protein.

Discussion

Our data demonstrate that antisense oligonucleotides for c-myc, but not for c-fos, are able to prevent cell cycle arrest and apoptosis induced by both anti-μ and TGF-β. Since both anti-μ and TGF-β lead eventually to a loss of c-myc message in these cells, despite an initial increase with the former treatment, we suggest that myc dysregulation is the common denominator for growth arrest and apoptosis in these cells. Surprisingly, however, antisense c-myc did not protect against apoptosis by interfering with myc translation. In fact, we found that antisense c-myc, even in the presence of anti-μ or TGF-β, appeared to stabilize the c-myc message and allowed for continued translation of myc protein, normal phosphorylation of pRB, and unimpaired cell cycle progression. This is a novel function of an antisense oligonucleotide molecule.

These results also indicate that stabilization of myc must occur at least 2 h after the addition of anti-μ since addition of antisense c-myc 4 or 6 h after anti-μ could not prevent cell cycle arrest in synchronized early G1 cells. This implies that the irreversible dysregulation of c-myc message and myc protein committing the cell to programmed cell death occurs quickly after surface IgM ligation. Indeed, in unsynchronized CH31 cells, significant modulation of c-myc message begins within 1 h of anti-μ stimulation (Fig. 7; references 4, 7), but loss of myc protein is not apparent until 5 h. While experiments are in progress to determine whether this occurs in both G1 and in S phase cells treated with anti-μ, these data imply that myc protein is necessary for G1 progression to continue in these B cell lymphomas.

The phosphorylation state of the retinoblastoma gene product has been implicated in cell cycle control; indeed, pRB must be phosphorylated in mid- to late G1 in order for cells to progress to the S phase (9, 10). We and others have shown that treatment with anti-μ (or TGF-β) affects the state of phosphorylation of pRB (reference 6; Fig. 5) in murine B cell lymphomas. Although modulation of the myc protein in unsynchronized murine B cell lymphomas occurs within 5 h of surface IgM ligation, it is interesting that significant pRB hypophosphorylation is not detected until 10-12 h after anti-μ or TGF-β addition (Joseph, L., and D. W. Scott, manuscript submitted for publication). This suggests that myc changes precede, and may be required for, phosphorylatative effects on pRB, although it will be critical to establish the kinetics of these changes in early G1 cells.
Recent data also suggest that myc and pRB may directly interact (25) and can have opposing effects on cell cycle progression. Indeed, transiently expressed pRB was found to suppress c-myc transcription (26). Moreover, microinjection of pRB into Saos-2 cells suppressed entry into S phase, while co-injection of pRB and myc protein allowed ~50% of the cells to progress into the cell cycle (27). Thus, pRB and myc may act together to regulate cell cycle control.

The ability of antisense c-myc to prevent anti-μ- and TGF-β-mediated growth arrest, and our previous elucidation of the initial second messengers induced by anti-μ (28), suggest the convergence of the signaling pathways at the myc protein. Moreover, this result suggests that increases in myc protein and message levels per se do not commit these lymphomas to an apoptotic pathway. The appearance of the phosphorylated form of pRB in cells treated with antisense c-myc and either anti-μ or TGF-β implicates myc in the process of aiding pRB phosphorylation, leading to cell cycle progression and avoidance of cell death. Since phosphorylation of pRB requires that critical proteins bind to the pocket region of the RB gene product, we propose that the myc protein, either directly or indirectly, affects one of these pocket proteins to aid association and subsequent phosphorylation of the RB gene product (29). Alternatively, a shift in the interaction of myc with myn (30) may affect the ability of myc to trans-activate and alter the expression levels of other, yet unidentified, genes, including cyclin-cdk complexes. Attempts to identify the pRB and myc interacting proteins are underway in this and other laboratories.

Antisense c-myc can be acting through several mechanisms. It is known that a block to transcriptional elongation down-regulates c-myc mRNA steady state levels (31); antisense oligonucleotides may interfere with normal transcriptional attenuation. A second possible mode of c-myc antisense action is by direct interaction with the myc protein, causing increased apparent protein stability. We consider these possibilities to be unlikely. While no attempt has been made here to examine the mechanism of antisense oligonucleotide action, we favor instead the hypothesis that specific stabilization of c-myc mRNA may occur, allowing increased translation. This is supported by the fact that elevated levels of c-myc mRNA were found when antisense oligonucleotides were added to B lymphoma cells, regardless of other treatment (Fig. 7). Efforts are underway to elucidate the exact mechanism of antisense c-myc action.

Our system, therefore, begins to elucidate the link between myc and pRB, a possible effect of one on the other, and the control that myc has on cell cycle progression in lymphoid cells. These experiments suggest that c-myc may play a different role in B lymphoma cells than in other differentiated cells. In T cell hybridomas, TGF-β does not induce cell cycle blockade, but it does inhibit transcription of c-myc and activation-induced apoptosis (Green, D. R., personal communication). In contrast, in our B cell lymphomas, TGF-β is a strong negative regulator of growth. Moreover, DNA fragmentation, a distinct sign of activation-induced apoptosis, can be seen within 4–6 h in anti-CD3-treated T cell hybridomas (Green, D. R., personal communication), whereas in anti-μ–treated B lymphomas it is seen between 12 and 24 h (data not shown). Shi et al. (18) have shown that antisense c-myc blocks translation of myc protein in their system and prevents apoptosis induced by anti-CD3 activation. In further contrast to results with T cell hybridomas (18), we do not see a loss of the myc protein at 24 h with antisense c-myc treatment. We suggest, instead, that critical myc mRNA or myc protein species are stabilized by antisense oligonucleotides in these lymphomas, thus allowing continued progression through the cell cycle, and preventing apoptosis.

It is tempting to speculate on the importance of the balance of the myc protein and its relation to other anti-oncogenes and the cyclin complexes. It is interesting to note that recent data (32, 33) suggest that the p53 growth suppressor protein may be necessary for certain forms of apoptosis. However, in preliminary experiments, we have found that p53 protein levels in CH31 B lymphomas were not affected by treatment with either anti-μ or TGF-β with and without antisense c-myc (Fischer, G., and D. W. Scott, data not shown). The role of myc and other oncogenes and anti-oncogenes in preventing and promoting apoptosis is under investigation.

---

We thank Deirdre Nelson, who performed the initial experiment, and Lenny Dragone for a review of the manuscript.

These studies were supported by United States Public Health Service grants, CA-55644 (D. W. Scott) and AI-31591 (D. R. Green), and American Cancer Society grant IM-495 (D. W. Scott). This is publication 87 of the Immunology Division, University of Rochester Cancer Center.

Address correspondence to David W. Scott, Box 704, University of Rochester Cancer Center, Rochester, NY 14642.

Received for publication 20 May 1993 and in revised form 22 September 1993.
References

1. Scott, D.W., J. Tuttle, D. Livnat, W. Haynes, J. Cogswell, and P. Keng. 1985. Lymphoma models for B-cell activation and tolerance. II. Growth inhibition by anti-mu of WEHI-231 and the selection of properties of resistant mutants. Cell. Immunol. 93:124.

2. Warner, G.L., D.A. Nelson, and D.W. Scott. 1992. Synergy between TGF-β and anti-IgM in growth inhibition of CD5+ B-cell lymphomas. Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 651:274.

3. Page, D., and A. DeFranco. 1990. Antigen receptor induced cell cycle arrest in WEHI-231 B-Lymphoma cells depends on the duration of signaling before the G1 phase restriction point. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10:5003.

4. McCormack, J.-E., V.H. Pepe, R.B. Kent, M. Dean, A. Marshak-Rothstein, and G.E. Sonenshein. 1984. Specific regulation of c-myc oncogene expression in a murine B-cell lymphoma. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 81:5546.

5. Monroe, J.G. 1988. Up-regulation of c-fos expression is a component of the mlg signal transduction mechanism but is not indicative of competence for proliferation. J. Immunol. 140:1454.

6. Warner, G.L., J.W. Ludlow, D.A. Nelson, A. Gaur, and D.W. Scott. 1992. Anti-immunoglobulin treatment of murine B cell lymphomas induces active transforming growth factor β, but RB hypophosphorylation is transforming growth factor independent. Cell Growth & Differ. 3:175.

7. Maheswaren, S., J.E. McCormack, and G. Sonenshein. 1991. Changes in phosphorylation of myc oncogene and RB anti-oncogene protein products during growth arrest of the murine lymphoma WEHI-231 cell line. Oncogene. 6:1965.

8. Münger, K., J.A. Piettenpol, M.R. Pittelkow, J.T. Holt, and H.L. Moses. 1992. Transforming growth factor β, regulation of c-myc expression, pRB phosphorylation, and cell cycle progression in keratinocytes. Cell Growth & Differ. 3:291.

9. Buchkovich, K., L.A. Duffy, and E. Harlow. 1989. The retinoblastoma protein is phosphorylated during specific phases of the cell cycle. Cell. 58:1097.

10. Chen, P.L., P. Scully, J.W. Shew,J.Y. Wang, and W.-H. Lee. 1989. Phosphorylation of the retinoblastoma gene product is modulated during the cell cycle and cellular differentiation. Cell. 58:1193.

11. Heikkila, R., G. Schwab, E. Wickstrom, S.L. Loke, D.H. Pizzukin, and R. Watt, and L.M. Neckers. 1987. A c-myc antisense oligodeoxynucleotide inhibits entry into S phase but not progression from G0 to G1. Nature (Lond.). 328:445.

12. Dalla-Favera, R., M. Bregni, J. Erickson, D. Patterson, R.C. Gallo, and C.M. Croce. 1982. Human c-myc oncogene is located on the region of chromosome 8 that is translocated in Burkitt lymphoma cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 79:7824.

13. Adams, J.M., A.W. Harris, C.A. Pinkert, L.M. Corcoran, W.S. Alexander, S. Cory, R.D. Palmiter, and R.L. Brinster. 1985. The c-myc oncogene driven by immunoregulation enhancers induces lymphoid malignancy. Nature (Lond.). 318:533.

14. Lintil, M., and M. Groudine. 1985. Transcription of three c-myc exons is enhanced in chicken bursal lymphoma cell lines. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 82:535.

15. Selten, G., H.T. Cuyper, M. Zijlstra, C. Meleif, and A. Berns. 1984. Involvement of c-myc in MuLV-induced T cell lymphomas in mice: frequencies and mechanisms of activation. EMBO (Eur. Mol. Biol. Organ.) J. 3:3215.

16. Evan, G.I., A.H. Wyllie, C.S. Gilbert, T.D. Littlewood, H. Land, M. Brooks, C.M. Waters, L.Z. Penn, and D.C. Hancock. 1992. Induction of apoptosis in fibroblasts by c-myc protein. Cell. 69:119.

17. Bissonette, R.P., F. Echeverri, A. Mahboubi, and D.R. Green. 1992. Apoptotic cell death induced by c-myc is inhibited by bcl-2. Nature (Lond.). 359:552.

18. Shi, Y., J.M. Glynn, L.J. Guilbert, T.G. Cotter, R.P. Bissonette, and D.R. Green. 1992. Role for c-myc in activation induced apoptotic cell death in T-cell hybridoma. Science (Wash. DC). 257:212.

19. Watson, P.H., R.T. Pon, and R.P.C. Shiu. 1991. Inhibition of c-myc expression by phosphorothioate antisense oligonucleotide identifies a critical role for c-myc in the growth of human breast cancer. Cancer Res. 51:3996.

20. Biro, S., Y.-M. Fu, Z.-X. Yu, and S.E. Epstein. 1993. Inhibitory effects of antisense oligodeoxynucleotides targeting c-myc mRNA on smooth muscle proliferation and migration. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 90:654.

21. Scott, D.W., D. Livnat, C.A. Pennell, and P. Keng. 1986. Lymphoma models for B cell activation and tolerance. III. Cell cycle dependence for negative signalling of WEHI-231 B lymphoma cells by anti-μ. J. Exp. Med. 164:156.

22. Hasbold, J., and G.G.B. Klaus. 1990. Anti-immunoglobulin antibodies induce apoptosis in immature B cell lymphomas. Eur. J. Immunol. 20:1685.

23. Huang, M.-N., and K.A. High. 1990. A simple and fast method to extract RNA from tissue culture cells. Biotechniques. 9:711.

24. Spotts, G.D., and S.R. Hahn. 1990. Enhanced translation and increased turnover of c-myc proteins occur during differentiation of murine erythroleukemia cells. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10:3952.

25. Rustgi, A., N. Dyson, and R. Bernards. 1991. Amino-terminal domains of c-myc and N-myc proteins mediate binding to the retinoblastoma gene product. Nature (Lond.). 352:541.

26. Piettenpol, J., K. Münger, P.M. Howley, R.M. Stein, and H.M. Moses. 1991. Factor binding element in the human c-myc promoter involved in transcriptional regulation by transforming growth factor beta 1 and by the retinoblastoma gene product. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 88:10227.

27. Goodrich, D.W., and W.-H. Lee. 1992. Abrogation by c-myc of G1 phase arrest induced by RB protein but not by p53. Nature (Lond.). 360:177.

28. Scott, D.W., D. Livnat, J. Whitin, S.B. Dillon, R. Snyderman, and C.A. Pennell. 1987. Lymphoma models for B cell activation V. Anti-Ig mediated growth inhibition is reversed by phorbol myristate acetate but does not involve changes in cytosolic free calcium. J. Mol. Cell. Immunol. 3:109.

29. Kaelin, W.G., Jr., D. Pallas, J. DeCaprio, F. Kaye, and D. Livingston. 1991. Identification of cellular proteins that can interact specifically with T/E1A-binding region of the retinoblastoma gene product. Cell. 64:521.

30. Ziff, E.B., D. Lowe, and G.C. Prendergast. 1991. Association of myn, the murine homology of max, with c-myc stimulates methylation-sensitive DNA binding and ras cotedransformation. Cell. 65:395.

31. Bentley, D.C., and M. Groudine. 1986. A block to elongation is largely responsible for decreased transcription of c-myc in differentiated HL60 cells. Nature (Lond.). 321:702.

32. Lowe, S.W., E.M. Schmitt, S.W. Smith, B.A. Osborne, and T. Jacks. 1993. p53 is required for radiation induced apoptosis in mouse thymocytes. Nature (Lond.). 362:847.

33. Clarke, A.R., C.A. Purdie, D.J. Harrison, R.G. Morris, C.C. Bird, M.L. Hooper, and A.H. Wyllie. 1993. Thymocyte apoptosis induced by p53-dependent and independent pathways. Nature (Lond.). 362:849.