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Abstract: This article reviews the present state of the art in the field of flexible antibacterial coatings which efficiently kill bacteria on their surfaces. Coatings are formed using a reactive magnetron sputtering. The effect of the elemental composition and structure of the coating on its antibacterial and mechanical properties is explained. The properties of Cr–Cu–O, Al–Cu–N, and Zr–Cu–N antibacterial coatings are used as examples and described in detail. The efficiency of killing of bacteria was tested for the Escherichia coli bacterium. The principle of the formation of thick, flexible antibacterial coatings which are resistant to cracking under bending is explained. It is shown that magnetron sputtering enables production of robust, several-micrometer thick, flexible antibacterial coatings for long-term use. The antibacterial coatings produced by magnetron sputtering present huge potential for many applications.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, a great effort has been devoted to the development of antibacterial coatings which efficiently kill bacteria on their surfaces. Main attention has been concentrated on the development of coatings with the highest possible killing activity in the shortest times and without the need for an inducing radiation. Mainly, coatings containing silver and copper have been investigated in detail [1–33]. However, no attention has been devoted to mechanical properties of antibacterial coatings which decide their lifetime. For antibacterial coatings, a long lifetime is a key requirement in many practical applications when these coatings are deposited on contact surfaces of rigid or flexible substrates and must simultaneously exhibit two functions: antibacterial and protective. Recently, it has been shown that flexible antibacterial coatings can provide good mechanical protection of substrates [34–38]. The formation of antibacterial coatings with a high efficiency of killing of bacteria that simultaneously demonstrates good mechanical properties is, however, quite a difficult task [13,24,39–41]. High efficiency of bacteria killing requires high contents of Ag or Cu in the coating and this fact almost always results in a strong reduction of its hardness and thus of its protection ability [29]. When such coatings are deposited on flexible substrates, they easily crack and/or delaminate from protective surfaces. Therefore, it is vitally important to develop flexible antibacterial coatings resistant to cracking.

Flexible antibacterial coatings represent a new generation of protective coatings. In this article, the principle of the formation of flexible, protective, and antibacterial coatings is explained in detail. It is shown that the key to forming a flexible antibacterial coating is the optimization of (i) its elemental composition and (ii) the energy delivered to the coating during its growth. The mechanical properties of the coating, e.g., the hardness (H), the effective Young’s modulus (E*), the elastic recovery (W), and the H/E* ratio, which ensure its enhanced resistance to cracking, i.e., its flexibility, were found [34–36]. These new properties were demonstrated on reactively sputtered several-micrometer-thick flexible, antibacterial and protective Al–Cu–N [37] and Zr–Cu–N [38] coatings in a dual-magnetron system.
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It is shown that the Al–Cu–N and Zr–Cu–N coatings with enhanced resistance to cracking very effectively kill the Escherichia coli bacteria in the daylight as well as in the dark. The 100% efficiency of killing of the E. coli bacteria is reached already after 3 h of bacteria contact with the surface of the Al–Cu–N coating containing 9.6 at.% Cu [37]. The 2–3 µm thick flexible, antibacterial, and protective coatings with a long lifetime represent a huge potential for many applications. At present, these coatings could be industrially deposited on contact surfaces of both rigid and flexible substrates. For instance in hospitals; transport means such as airplanes, buses, trains, and trams; cash and ticket machines; furniture in restaurants, theatres, schools; and other objects, and could efficiently prevent bacteria transfer from their surfaces to humans.

2. Antibacterial Cr–Cu–O Oxide Coatings

The Cr–Cu–O coatings were reactively sputtered in a dual-magnetron system in an Ar + O

2 sputtering gas mixture. Both magnetrons were equipped with the same Cr/Cu targets (Ø 50 mm) each composed of a Cr (99.9 at.%) circular plate fixed to the cathode body with a Cu (99.9 at.%) fixing ring with inner diameter Ø

i Cu. The concentration of Cu in the Cr–Cu–O coating was controlled by the inner diameter Ø

i Cu of the Cu fixing ring and the partial pressure of nitrogen, p

O2. The dual magnetron was powered by a floating DC pulsed power supply RMP-10 (Huttinger Electronics, Inc., Freiburg, Germany) operated in a bipolar mode at a repetition frequency

fr = 1/T = 20 kHz (T = 50 µs); here T is the period of pulses [29].

2.1. Antibacterial Activity of Cr–Cu–O Coatings

The antibacterial activity of a coating strongly depends on its elemental composition and structure, see, for instance, reference [29]. These facts are demonstrated by dependences of the killing of E. coli bacteria on the surface of the Cr–Cu–O coating, see Figure 1. Generally, it is accepted to characterize the antibacterial function by the number of the so-called colony-forming units (CFUs) at the tested coating surface. For every antibacterial coating, the time necessary to kill the bacteria on its surface is also very important. This time strongly depends on the Cu content in the coating as well, see Figure 2. From these figures it is seen that:

1. The number of CFUs of the E. coli bacteria (black dots) decreases with increasing Cu content in the coating and at the surface of the Cr–Cu–O coatings with >15 at.% content of Cu practically all bacteria are killed; here CFU is the colony forming unit.

2. Figure 1 shows that (i) no E. coli bacteria are killed on the surface of a pure Si (the surface of Si is fully covered with black dots, i.e., the CFU of E. coli bacteria); (ii) the complete killing of the E. coli bacteria is achieved on the surface of the as-deposited coatings with a high (>15 at.%) Cu content (Figure 1a) and the coatings with 20 at.% Cu content thermally annealed up to ~400 °C (Figure 1b); (iii) the efficiency of bacteria killing (E

k) gradually deteriorates with increasing of the annealing temperature (T

a) and is the lowest at T

a = 650 °C and (iv) the full killing of bacteria is again achieved on the surface of the Cr–Cu–O coating thermally annealed at 700 °C. These changes in the efficiency E

k of E. coli bacteria killing are caused by the variation of the coating structure with annealing temperature T

a (Figures 1b and 2b). The change of E

k with increasing T

a is due to the change of the coating structure from X-ray amorphous at T

a < 550 °C to the following crystalline phases at T

a ≥ 550 °C. The coatings annealed at T

a ranging from 550 °C to 650 °C are multi-phase coatings composed of a mixture of two crystalline CuO and CuCr2O4 oxide phases with admixture of the delafossite CuCrO2 phase at T

a = 650 °C. The CuO and CuCr2O4 phases disappear at T

a = 700 °C when a single-phase Cr–Cu–O coating with the pure delafossite CuCrO2 phase is formed. The evolution of the structure of the Cr–Cu–O coating with increasing T

a is given in [29].

3. The efficiency E

k of the E. coli bacteria killing strongly depends on the time of contact of the bacteria with the surface of antibacterial coating. The efficiency E

k increases from 0 to more than
50% during 1 h and reaches ~100% after ~3 h contact of the E. coli bacteria with the surface of the Cr–Cu–O coating with high (≥ 15 at.%), Cu content (Figure 2a).

4. The efficiency $E_k$ of E. coli bacteria killing on the surface of the Cr–Cu–O coating with ≥ 15 at.% Cu content is the same both in the daylight and in the dark at the contact time $t = 5$ h (Figure 2a,b). It means that no special irradiation, not even daylight irradiation, is necessary to kill E. coli bacteria settled on the surface of the Cr–Cu–O coating.

**Figure 2.** Antibacterial efficiency $E_k$ of the E. coli bacteria killing of (a) the as-deposited Cr–Cu–O coatings with various Cu contents (b) the Cr–Cu–O coatings with 20 at.% Cu and various structures induced by post-deposition rapid thermal annealing (RTA) to different temperature $T_a$ as a function of the contact time $t$ in the daylight and in the dark. Reprinted from [29], Copyright 2013, with permission from Elsevier.

2.2. Mechanical Properties of Cr–Cu–O Coatings

The mechanical properties of a coating are its hardness, $H$; the effective Young’s modulus $E^* = E/(1 - \nu^2)$ and the elastic recovery $W_e$; here $E$ is the Young’s modulus and $\nu$ is the Poisson’s ratio. The mechanical behavior of the coating is characterized by the elastic recovery $W_e$, the ratio $H/E^*$ which is proportional to the strain to failure [43,44], and the ratio $H^3/E^{*2}$ which is proportional to the resistance of the material to plastic deformation [45]. The plastic deformation is reduced in highly elastic materials with high hardness $H$ and low effective Young’s modulus $E^*$ [34]. It means that a low modulus $E^*$ is very desirable as it allows the given load to be distributed over a wider area and to increase the resistance of coating against cracking.
The hardness \( H \), effective Young’s modulus \( E^* \) and elastic recovery \( W_e \) of the as-deposited Cr–Cu–O coatings with different Cu content are displayed in Figure 3a,b. From these figures is seen that (i) the hardness \( H \) and the effective Young’s modulus \( E^* \) decrease with increasing Cu content up to \( \sim 22 \) at.% Cu, slightly increase in the narrow interval between 22 and 25 at.% Cu and remain almost constant in the interval between 25 and 30 at.% Cu; (ii) the elastic recovery \( W_e \) is low and decreases from 48% to 36% with increasing Cu content from \( \sim 10 \) at.% to \( \sim 30 \) at.% Cu; and (iii) the ratio \( H/E^* \) of the Cr–Cu–O coatings in the whole range of added Cu is very low \( \leq 0.07 \). It means that all Cr–Cu–O coatings exhibit a low resistance to cracking due to low ratio \( H/E^* < 0.1 \) and low elastic recovery \( W_e < 50\% \).

![Figure 3. (a) Hardness (\( H \)), effective Young’s modulus (\( E^* \)) and (b) elastic recovery (\( W_e \)) and \( H/E^* \) ratio of as-deposited Cr–Cu–O films as a function of Cu content. Reprinted from [29], Copyright 2013, with permission from Elsevier.](image)

This experiment clearly shows that the antibacterial Cr–Cu–O coatings with \( \geq 20 \) at.% Cu are quite hard (~4.5 GPa) and thus can avoid being destroyed under external loading such as fretting. However, these coatings exhibit a low resistance to cracking and therefore crack very easily. This is a great drawback of oxide antibacterial coatings and the reason why it is urgently needed to develop flexible antibacterial coatings with enhanced resistance to cracking and thereby widen their application potential.

### 3. Flexible Antibacterial Coatings

The flexible antibacterial coatings represent a new generation of the antibacterial coatings. These coatings simultaneously fulfill three functions: (1) the antibacterial function, i.e., high efficiency of bacteria killing; (2) the flexible function, i.e., high flexibility of coating; and (3) the protection function against fretting (wear), i.e., several-micrometer thick and robust coatings with enhanced hardness \( H \) and wear resistance, and long lifetime of operation have been developed. The antibacterial function is controlled by Cu content in the coating and its structure. The flexible function is controlled by (i) the low value of the effective Young’s modulus \( E^* \) ensuring that the coating exhibits high ratio \( H/E^* \geq 0.1 \) and high elastic recovery \( W_e \geq 60\% \), (ii) the microstructure of coating which must be dense and void-free, and (iii) the macrostress (\( \sigma \)) generated in the coating during its growth. Therefore, the formation of such coatings is a very difficult but possible task. To form flexible antibacterial coatings the hardness \( H \), \( H/E^* \) ratio, elastic recovery \( W_e \) and Cu content in the coatings must be optimized and the elemental composition of the antibacterial Me–Cu–X correctly selected; here Me = Cr, Ti, etc. and X = O, N, etc. One very effective way which makes it possible to form the flexible antibacterial coatings is to replace oxygen in the coating with nitrogen and to form nitride coatings instead of oxide coatings. More details are given in [37,38]. Some important properties of flexible antibacterial Al–Cu–N and Zr–Cu–N are briefly given below.

In summary, it can be concluded that the flexible antibacterial coatings must exhibit simultaneously the following properties [34–38]: (1) sufficiently high Cu content to kill efficiently bacteria on its surface; (2) low \( E^* \), \( H/E^* \geq 0.1 \) and \( W_e \geq 60\% \), dense, void-free microstructure, and compressive macrostress [46]; and (3) high hardness \( H \) of about 15 GPa or greater. Antibacterial coatings based
on nitrides, for instance, the Al–Cu–N [37] and Zr–Cu–N [38] coatings exhibit such properties. The substitution of O with N results in an enhanced resistance of the Zr–Cu–N nitride coating to cracking due to a strong increase of $H$, $W_e$, and ratio $H/E^*$, and also in a strong reduction of Cu content in the coating needed for 100% killing of the $E. coli$ bacteria on its surface.

4. Flexible Antibacterial Al–Cu–N and Zr–Cu–N Coatings

The Al–Cu–N and Zr–Cu–N coatings were reactively sputtered in a dual-magnetron system in Ar + N$_2$ sputtering gas mixture. Both magnetrons were equipped with the same Me/Cu targets ($\varnothing$ 50 mm) composed of Me (99.9 at.%) circular plates fixed to the cathode body with a Cu (99.9 at.%) fixing ring with inner diameter $\varnothing$i Cu. The concentration of Cu in the Me–Cu–N coating was controlled by the inner diameter $\varnothing$i Cu of Cu fixing ring and the partial pressure of nitrogen $p_{N_2}$. The dual magnetron was powered by a floating DC pulsed power supply RMP-10 (Huttinger Electronics, Inc.) operated in a bipolar mode at (i) the repetition frequency $f_r = 1/T = 20$ kHz ($T = 50$ µs) in sputtering of the Al–Cu–N coating and (ii) the repetition frequency $f_r = 1/T = 40$ kHz ($T = 25$ µs) in sputtering of the Zr–Cu–N coating; here DC is the direct current and $T$ is the period of pulses. More details on the formation of the antibacterial Zr–Cu–N coatings are given in [38].

4.1. Antibacterial Function

The antibacterial activity of the surface of the Al–Cu–N coating was investigated as a function of Cu content in the coating and as a function of contact time during which the $E. coli$ bacteria were settled on the surface of Al–Cu–N coating. Results of these experiments are displayed in Figures 4 and 5. The main results of these experiments are the following:

1. The efficiency ($E_k$) of the $E. coli$ bacteria killing on the surface of Al–Cu–N coating increases with increasing Cu content similarly as was found for the Cr–Cu–O coatings. However, there is a substantial difference in the minimum Cu content necessary to kill all bacteria: ~10 at.% Cu for the Al–Cu–N nitride coatings and ~20 at.% Cu for the Cr–Cu–O oxide coatings. It is a result of the replacement of oxygen O with nitrogen N in the antibacterial Me–Cu–X coating.

2. The time necessary to kill all $E. coli$ bacteria on the surface of Al–Cu–N coating with 9.6 at.% Cu is about three hours. However, this time is strongly reduced as much as down to one hour when the Cu content in the Al–Cu–N coating is greater than 10 at.% Cu and does not reduce any further when the Cu content is increased. This finding is very important for an industrial design of antibacterial coatings.

3. The efficiency $E_k$ of the bacteria killing is the same in the day light and in the dark. It was confirmed by the measurement of $E_k$ in the dark at contact time $t = 5$ h, see Figure 4.

4. Similar results were obtained also for the antibacterial Zr–Cu–N coatings.

![Figure 4](image-url)  
*Figure 4. Antibacterial efficiency ($E_k$) of the AlN and Al–Cu–N coatings with various Cu contents sputtered on Si (100) substrate as a function of contact time ($t$) with their surfaces. Reprinted from [37], Copyright 2015, with permission from Elsevier.*
The hardness properties of Zr–Cu–N coatings, the region of Cu content in which the coatings exhibit a strong antibacterial activity (100% killing of all E. coli bacteria) is marked by dashed lines and in green.

The three main observations which follow from results displayed in Figure 6 are the following:

1. The hardness $H$ and the effective Young’s modulus $E^*$ decrease with increasing Cu content from $\sim 30$ GPa to $\sim 17$ GPa and from $\sim 260$ to $\sim 170$ GPa, respectively. Despite this fact, the Zr–Cu–N coatings exhibit high ratio $H/E^* \geq 0.1$, high elastic recovery $W_r \geq 60\%$, and also compressive macrostress ($\sigma < 0$) as shown in [38]; thereby they also show an enhanced resistance to cracking for all Cu contents ranging from 0 to 19 at.% Cu. Besides, the hardness $H$ of the Zr–Cu–N coatings ranging from $\sim 25$ to $\sim 17$ GPa is quite high and it makes possible to prevent the coating from being removed from the surface of a substrate by fretting (wear).

2. The efficiency $E_k$ of killing of E. coli bacteria, however, strongly depends on the Cu content. Only the Zr–Cu–N coatings with Cu content $\geq 10$ at.% kill bacteria very efficiently.

3. Only the Zr–Cu–N coatings with $\geq 10$ at.% Cu are three-functional-flexible/antibacterial/hard coatings. Similar results were obtained also for the flexible antibacterial hard Al–Cu–N nitride coatings [38].

![Figure 5](https://example.com/figure5.png)

**Figure 5.** Photos of Petri dishes with the E. coli bacteria cultivated on Endo agar covered with bacterial suspension which was in contact with the surface of an Al–Cu–N coating containing 9.6 at.% Cu for different contact times. Reprinted from [37], Copyright 2015, with permission from Elsevier.

**4.2. Mechanical Properties**

The mechanical properties of antibacterial Me–Cu–N coatings are illustrated on mechanical properties of the Zr–Cu–N coatings, see Figure 6. In this figure (a) the hardness $H$, effective Young’s modulus $E^*$ and (b) the elastic recovery $W_r$ and the $H/E^*$ ratio are displayed as a function of Cu content in the Zr–Cu–N coating. To see the interrelationship between the mechanical and antibacterial properties of Zr–Cu–N coatings, the region of Cu content in which the coatings exhibit a strong antibacterial activity (100% killing of all E. coli bacteria) is marked by dashed lines and in green.

![Figure 6](https://example.com/figure6.png)

**Figure 6.** (a) Hardness $H$, effective Young’s modulus $E^*$; and (b) elastic recovery $W_r$ and $H/E^*$ ratio of Zr–Cu–N coatings sputtered on Si (100) substrates as a function of Cu content. Reprinted from [38], Copyright 2015, with permission from AIP Publishing LLC.
4.3. Resistance to Cracking

The resistance of sputtered Me–Cu–N coatings against cracking was assessed by the bending and indentation tests [35]. For the bending test, the Me–Cu–N coatings were sputtered on a thin Mo strip (50 × 10 × 0.15 mm³) and the coated strip was bent around a fixed cylinder with radius r_{fc}. Two Zr–Cu–N coatings with different mechanical properties, i.e., (i) low ratio H/E* = 0.082 and low elastic recovery W_e = 56% and (ii) the high ratio H/E* = 0.112 and high elastic recovery (W_e = 70%), were compared, see Figure 7. The same results were obtained when the resistance to cracking of the same Zr–Cu–N coatings was assessed by the indentation test, see Figure 8. In the indentation test, the coatings were loaded by the diamond indenter at a high load L = 1 N perpendicularly to the coating surface; more details are given in [35]. The cracks in the Zr–Cu–N coating with the low ratio H/E* = 0.082 and the low elastic recovery W_e = 56% are clearly seen.

![Figure 7](image_url)

Figure 7. Optical microscope (OM) images of the surface morphology of the Zr–Cu–N coating with (a) a low resistance to cracking (H/E* = 0.082 and W_e = 56%) and (b) an enhanced resistance to cracking (H/E* = 0.112 and W_e = 70%) sputtered on Mo strip after bending around a fixed cylinder of radius r_{fc} = 10 mm. Reprinted from [38], Copyright 2015, with permission from AIP Publishing LLC.

![Figure 8](image_url)

Figure 8. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the surface morphology of the Zr–Cu–N coating with (a) a low resistance to cracking (H/E* = 0.082 and W_e = 56%) and (b) an enhanced resistance to cracking (H/E* = 0.112 and W_e = 70%) sputtered on Si (100) substrate after indentation by the diamond indenter at high load L = 1 N. Reprinted from [38], Copyright 2015, with permission from AIP Publishing LLC.

In this section, basic properties of the Cr–Cu–O oxide and the Al–Cu–N nitride antibacterial coatings are briefly summarized. Two typical antibacterial oxide and nitride coatings were compared: (i) the Cr–Cu–O coating with 19.5 at.% Cu and (ii) the Al–Cu–N coating with 9.6 at.% Cu. The mechanical properties of both coatings strongly differ, see Table 1. Main differences are the following:

1. The Cr–Cu–O coating exhibits low hardness H = 3.2 GPa, low elastic recovery W_e = 36%, low ratio H/E* = 0.046, and almost zero macrostress σ ≈ 0, which is typical for coatings with a columnar microstructure and a low resistance to cracking in bending and brutal mechanical loading.
2. The Al–Cu–N coating exhibits high hardness $H = 21.9$ GPa, high elastic recovery $W_e = 74\%$, high ratio $H/E^* = 0.122$, and a compressive macrostress $\sigma = -1.7$ GPa, which is typical for coatings with a dense, void-free microstructure and enhanced resistance to cracking.

3. The antibacterial Cr–Cu–O coating is brittle. On the other hand, the antibacterial Al–Cu–N coating is flexible.

| Coating     | Cu (at.%) | $H$ (GPa) | $E^*$ (GPa) | $W_e$ (%) | $H/E^*$ | $\sigma$ | Cracks in Bending |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|---------|----------|------------------|
| Cr–Cu–O     | 19.5      | 3.2       | 70          | 36        | 0.046   | 0.1      | yes              |
| Al–Cu–N     | 9.6       | 21.9      | 180         | 74        | 0.122   | -1.7     | no               |

These conclusions were fully confirmed in bending of both coatings deposited on thin Mo strip, see Figure 9. In this test, the coated Mo strip was bent around a cylinder of radius $r_{fc} = 10$ mm. Clear cracks perpendicular to the bending direction are created in the Cr–Cu–O coating which demonstrate that this coating is brittle. On the other hand, no cracks are formed in the flexible Al–Cu–N coating, see Figure 9.

![Cr–Cu–O cracks](image1.png)  
![Al–Cu–N no cracks](image2.png)

**Figure 9.** SEM images of the surface morphology of (a) the Cr–Cu–O coating with low $H/E^*$ ratio ($H/E^* = 0.046$) and low elastic recovery ($W_e = 36\%$), and (b) the Al–Cu–N coating with high $H/E^*$ ratio ($H/E^* = 0.122$) and high elastic recovery ($W_e = 74\%$) deposited on a thin Mo strip ($55 \times 15 \times 0.15$ mm$^3$) after bending around cylinder of radius $r_{fc} = 10$ mm.

5. Conclusions

In summary, it can be concluded that robust, several-micrometer thick, antibacterial/protective/flexible three-functional nitride coatings with enhanced resistance to cracking and a long lifetime can be prepared using magnetron sputtering. These coatings can be used for the protection of many contact surfaces to prevent transfer of bacteria from these surfaces to healthy people. The antibacterial/protective/flexible three-functional nitride coatings can be deposited on both rigid and flexible substrates. These films represent a huge potential for many applications, for instance in hospitals; transport means such as airplanes, buses, trains, and trams; cash and ticket machines; furniture in restaurants, theatres, schools; and other objects. The magnetron technology, which is now well mastered, can be immediately implemented for the industrial production of these coatings. It can be expected that, in the near future, new flexible/antibacterial/protective/three-functional coatings, which will exhibit the best compatibility with materials of the objects whose surface should efficiently kill bacteria settled on it, will be developed.
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