Understanding Hydrogen Sulfide Storage: Probing Conditions for Sulfide Release from Hydrodisulfides
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ABSTRACT: Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) is an important biological signaling agent that exerts action on numerous (patho)physiological processes. Once generated, H₂S can be oxidized to generate reductant-labile sulfane sulfur pools, which include hydrodisulfides/persulfides. Despite the importance of hydrodisulfides in H₂S storage and signaling, little is known about the physical properties or chemical reactivity of these compounds. We report here the synthesis, isolation, and characterization (NMR, IR, Raman, HRMS, X-ray) of a small-molecule hydrodisulfide and highlight its reactivity with reductants, nucleophiles, electrophiles, acids, and bases. Our experimental results establish that hydrodisulfides release H₂S upon reduction and that deprotonation results in disproportionation to the parent thiol and S³⁻, thus providing a mechanism for transsulfuration in the sulfane sulfur pool.

H₂S is now accepted as an important signaling molecule that exerts physiological functions in the cardiovascular, neuronal, and immune systems.¹ Produced endogenously from cystathionine-β-synthase (CBS), cystathione-γ-lyase (CSE), and cysteine amino transferase (CAT) in combination with 3-mercaptopropantransferase (3MST), H₂S exists primarily as HS⁻ sulfur, specifically persulfide sulfur pools, which include iron sulfur cluster-containing proteins.⁴ Despite the importance of hydrodisulfides in H₂S storage and signaling, little is known about the physical properties or chemical reactivity of these compounds. We report here the synthesis, isolation, and characterization (NMR, IR, Raman, HRMS, X-ray) of a small-molecule hydrodisulfide and highlight its reactivity with reductants, nucleophiles, electrophiles, acids, and bases. Our experimental results establish that hydrodisulfides release H₂S upon reduction and that deprotonation results in disproportionation to the parent thiol and S³⁻, thus providing a mechanism for transsulfuration in the sulfane sulfur pool.

ABSTRACT: Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) is an important biological signaling agent that exerts action on numerous (patho)physiological processes. Once generated, H₂S can be oxidized to generate reductant-labile sulfane sulfur pools, which include hydrodisulfides/persulfides. Despite the importance of hydrodisulfides in H₂S storage and signaling, little is known about the physical properties or chemical reactivity of these compounds. We report here the synthesis, isolation, and characterization (NMR, IR, Raman, HRMS, X-ray) of a small-molecule hydrodisulfide and highlight its reactivity with reductants, nucleophiles, electrophiles, acids, and bases. Our experimental results establish that hydrodisulfides release H₂S upon reduction and that deprotonation results in disproportionation to the parent thiol and S³⁻, thus providing a mechanism for transsulfuration in the sulfane sulfur pool.

Because the chemical reactivity of hydrodisulfides is poorly established, the chemical underpinnings for detecting and differentiating −SSH from −SH groups have been fraught with problems, often leading to variable results from different labeling strategies. A better functional understanding of the chemical reactivity of hydrodisulfides would not only contribute to the quickly evolving strategies for detection, but would also clarify the potential roles of hydrodisulfides in H₂S chemistry and signaling. Based on this current need, we viewed that isolation of a discrete small-molecule hydrodisulfide model would allow for the reactivity of this important functional group to be established under controlled conditions. Motivated by these challenges, we report here the preparation, isolation, and full characterization of tritylhydrodisulfide (TrtSSH) and confirm that under hydrophobic conditions hydrodisulfides release H₂S upon reduction, but not upon protonation or by nucleophilic attack.

To establish the spectroscopic and reactivity properties of hydrodisulfides, we chose to prepare tritylhydrodisulfide due to the stability of the S-nitrosothiol analogue, TrtSNO, and the crystallinity of the trityl group. Although there are various reports of hydrodisulfides in the literature, to the best of our knowledge, none of these have been characterized completely.⁹ We viewed that isolation and characterization of the −SSH functional group would allow for other researchers to better identify and characterize similar compounds in the future. Additionally, studies focused on hydrodisulfide reactivity with different electrophiles have used hydrodisulfides generated in situ rather than isolated hydrodisulfides.¹⁰ Although the trityl group precludes water solubility, the investigation of hydrodisulfide reactivity in organic solution may provide insights into the chemistry of hydrodisulfides in hydrophobic environments in protein architectures, which are proposed to be involved in the activation of various enzymes. To prepare TrtSSH, we first treated TrtSH with AcCl (3) in Et₂O to generate TrtSSAc (4). Removal of the acetyl protecting group by acidic ethanolysis affords the desired hydrodisulfide product, TrtSSH (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of TrtSSH
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With TrtSSH in hand, we fully characterized the compound and compared its chemical properties to those of TrtSH. Both the $^1$H and $^{30}$C($^1$H) NMR spectra of TrtSSH are similar to those of TrtSH, but the $^1$H NMR resonance of the sulphydryl SSSH is shifted upfield to 2.7 ppm, by comparison to 3.1 ppm in TrtSH (Figures S4, S5). Much of the infrared and Raman spectra of TrtSSH and TrtSH are also similar due to their related structures; however, the S–H stretch shifts to a lower wavenumber by 65 cm$^{-1}$ for TrtSSH when compared to TrtSH (Figure 1b). Comparison of the Raman spectrum of TrtSSH with that of S$_8$ showed similarities in the 200–500 cm$^{-1}$ region corresponding sulfur–sulfur bond formation. HRMS analysis of TrtSSH confirmed its atomic composition, affording an $m$/z value of 307.0631 amu, which agrees well with the calculated value of 307.0621 amu for TrtSS$^-$. To further confirm the molecular structure of TrtSSH, single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from toluene/Et$_2$O. TrtSSH crystallizes in $P2_12_12_1$ with an S–S bond length of 2.0396(12) Å and a CSSH dihedral angle of 82.2°, both of which are within the ranges common for disulfides (Figure 1a). The terminal –SSH hydrogen was located from the residual density map, and inspection of the packing diagram shows that it does not form hydrogen bonds with other atoms in the solid state (Figure S28).

Having confirmed the molecular identity of TrtSSH and established its spectroscopic properties, we next investigated the reactivity of TrtSSH to determine under which conditions sulfide or other sulfur-containing products were released. Although reports have suggested that H$_2$S can be released from hydrodisulfides upon protonation, reduction, and nucleophilic attack, we expected that only reduction would liberate sulfide because the sulfur oxidation state is higher in hydrodisulfides (either two S$^-\,$ or one S$^0$ and S$^2-$) than in H$_2$S (S$^-\,$). As expected, treatment of TrtSSH with reductants, such as PPh$_3$ or [NBu$_4$$^+$][BH$_4$$^-\,$] (Figures S6, S7) resulted in clean conversion of TrtSSH to TrtSH with concurrent liberation of sulfide.$^{11}$ These results confirm that hydrodisulfides are a source of reductant-labile sulfur sulfide (Table 1, entries 1–2).

### Table 1. Reactivity of TrtSSH with Different Reagents Including Reductants, Nucleophiles, Electrophiles, Bases, and Acids$^a$

| entry | reactant | completion | products |
|-------|----------|-----------|----------|
| 1     | PPh$_3$  | <2 min    | Ph$_3$PS, TrtSH |
| 2     | [NBu$_4$$^+$][BH$_4$$^-\,$] | <2 min | TrtSH, BH$_4$, SH$^-\,$ |
| 3     | DTP      | n.r.      | –         |
| 4     | TrtSH    | n.r.      | –         |
| 5     | BnSH     | n.r.      | –         |
| 6     | H$_2$S   | n.r.      | –         |
| 7     | AcOH     | n.r.      | –         |
| 8     | AcOH + TrtSH | n.r. | –         |
| 9     | [Na$^+$][TrtS$^-\,$] | <2 min | TrtSH, S$_8$ |
| 10    | [NBu$_4$$^+$][CN$^-\,$] | <2 min | TrtSH, S$_8$ |
| 11    | [NBu$_4$$^+$][Cl$^-\,$] | 1 h | TrtSH, S$_8$ |
| 12    | [NBu$_4$$^+$][Br$^-\,$] | 24 h | TrtSH, S$_8$ |
| 13    | [NBu$_4$$^+$][I$^-\,$] | >48 h | TrtSH, S$_8$ |
| 14    | [NBu$_4$$^+$][OAc$^-\,$] | <2 min | TrtSH, S$_8$ |
| 15    | [NBu$_4$$^+$][TFA$^-\,$] | 30 min | TrtSH, S$_8$ |
| 16    | NEt$_3$  | <2 min    | TrtSH, S$_8$ |
| 17    | 10% NEt$_3$ | <2 min | TrtSH, S$_8$ |
| 18    | DMEDA    | <2 min    | TrtSH, S$_8$ |
| 19    | DMAP     | <2 min    | TrtSH, S$_8$ |
| 20    | Pyridine | >48 h     | TrtSH, S$_8$ |
| 21    | NEM$^b$  | 24 h | TrtSS-NEM |

$^a$Experiments were performed in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ at room temperature with 18 mM TrtSSH and reactant. All products were confirmed by $^1$H or $^{31}$P($^1$H) NMR spectroscopy. BH$_4$ formation was identified by trapping with THF and characterization by $^{11}$B($^1$H) NMR spectroscopy. S$_8$ formation was confirmed and quantified by titration with PPh$_3$ at the end of the reaction. $^b$Reaction was incomplete due to slower reactivity of protonated TrtSSH, however addition of NEt$_3$ increased the reaction rate. Abbreviations: DTP: dithiopropane; DMEDA: N,N$'$-dimethyl-ethylenediamine; DMAP: dimethylamino-pyridine; NEM: N-ethyl-maleimide.

When TrtSSH was treated with sulphydryl-containing species, such as TrtSH, BnSH, dithiopropane (DTP), or H$_2$S, however, sulfide release did not occur, suggesting that the thioles were either insufficiently reducing or that proton transfer is a mechanistically important step (Table 1, entries 3–6). Treatment of TrtSSH with acid, either alone or in the presence of a thiol as a potential electron source, also failed to liberate sulfide (Table 1, entries 7–8). These results confirm that hydrodisulfides are a source of reductant-labile but not acidic-labile sulfide.

To further understand the reactivity of thiols toward TrtSSH, we treated TrtSSH with Trt$^-$.$^{2}$ Monitoring the reaction by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy revealed clean conversion to TrtSSH and the formation of S$^0$ (vide infra) (Table 1, entry 9). To clarify this reactivity, we investigated whether the Trt$^-$ was acting as a nucleophile or as a base by treating TrtSSH with different...
nucleophiles (CN-, I-, Br-, Cl-, TFA-, and AcO-) and bases (NEt3, DMEDA, pyridine, and DMAP). Monitoring these reactions by 1H NMR spectroscopy (Table 1, entries 10–20) revealed that the rate of conversion of TrtSSH to TrtSH and S0 correlated with the basicity (CN- > AcO- > TFA- > Cl- > Br- > I-) rather than with anion nucleophilicity (Figures S15–S20). The same trends for reaction rate were maintained with amine bases: NEt3 ∼ DMEDA > DMAP > pyridine (Figures S21, S23–S25). Introduction of catalytic NEt3 (entry 17, Figure S22) resulted in the same reactivity, thus supporting a base-mediated disproportionation mechanism. We also wanted to verify the multitude of reports highlighting the nucleophilicity of hydrodisulfides. To verify the nucleophilicity of TrtSSH, we treated TrtSSH with N-ethylmaleimide (NEM), a common thiol labeling agent, which resulted in slow conversion to the NEM-labeled disulfide (Figure S26). The slow reaction rate is consistent with proton transfer being important in the observed reactivity. Adding a small amount of NEt3 to the reaction drastically increases the reaction rate, mimicking the observed reactivity of hydrodisulfides with electrophiles in water.

Having determined that deprotonation results in disproportionation of TrtSSH to TrtSH and S0, we wanted to further investigate the mechanistic details involved in this reaction. Monitoring the formation of TrtSH from the reaction between TrtSSH and different nucleophiles by 1H NMR spectroscopy resulted in clean conversion to the TrtSH product without the formation of any NMR-active intermediates (Figure 2a). After deprotonation initially generates TrtSS-, which subsequently disproportionate into TrtS- and S0, thus providing a mechanism for transsulfuration in the sulfane sulfur pool without disruption of redox homeostasis.

In summary, we have prepared, isolated, and fully characterized the stable hydrodisulfide TrtSSH and studied its reactivity toward reductants, nucleophiles, acids, and bases. The chemical reactivity data obtained from TrtSSH demonstrate that hydrodisulfides do not react with nucleophiles or acids in organic solution, but rather release sulfide upon reduction and disproportionate to release S0, likely as Sg under physiological conditions, upon deprotonation (Figure 3). These results clarify the innate reactivity of hydrodisulfides and confirm that hydrodisulfides are viable sources of reductant-labile sulfur. Additionally, deprotonation of hydrodisulfides may provide a mechanism for interconversion of different species within the reductant-labile sulfide pool, thereby allowing for facile conversion back to the parent thiol without disruption of thiol or redox homeostasis. We envision that these studies as well as future work using isolated hydrodisulfides will be invaluable in furthering our understanding of biological H2S signaling and storage by providing clear insight into the reactivity of hydrodisulfides under different reactive conditions.

Figure 3. Reactivity of TrtSSH with acids, electrophiles (E+), reductants ([red]), bases, or nucleophiles (nuc).
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