The dancer, gymnast, figure skater, and cheer athletes generally start at a very early age. As they progress to higher levels, they typically train for multiple hours per day during adolescence. Furthermore, females participate in these sports in much greater numbers than males. After running and walking, dance is the third most common activity reported by adolescent girls, with 35% participating in some form of dance. All these sports have high range of motion (ROM) requirements. Dance, in particular, involves combinations of extreme hip flexion, extension, abduction, and external rotation, which may cause increased labral strain or femoroacetabular subluxation. These sports all emphasize jumping or leaping, with landing strategies involving hip control and hip flexion. Finally, tendinopathy and muscular imbalances can also be problematic, independent of bone structure or secondary to compensatory patterns related to underlying bony anatomy. Both male and female dancers have well-studied strength imbalances with stronger hip abductors and weaker adductors and, occasionally, side-to-side strength differences.

**SEARCH CRITERIA**

An unrestricted search of the PubMed database was conducted using the initial search phrase *hip pain in dancers*, which
yielded 35 articles. Additional searches with various combinations of hip pain, groin pain, hip dysplasia, hip pathology performing arts, hip gymnastics, hip figure skating, athlete hip pain, femoroacetabular impingement, capsular laxity, intra-articular hip pathology, extra-articular hip pathology, hip arthroscopy, and hip athletic injury were used to expand the pertinent data included in this article. A search of each included article’s reference list was also conducted. All English-language abstracts were initially reviewed. Ultimately, 119 articles were included in our study.

MOTION REQUIREMENTS AND ACQUIRED SOFT TISSUE LAXITY

The ROM requirements for these sports causes compensatory soft tissue laxity that develops during training.24,34,45,89 Classical ballet is the most commonly studied in this regard. While the natural pattern over childhood and adolescence is to become less flexible, dancers maintain more ROM than nondancers.89 As a result of training, dancers have increased flexibility throughout the spine, pelvis, and hips and are, as a rule, able to place their palms on the floor and/or knees to their forehead.34,89 When these trained motions are excluded and dancers are evaluated by standard measures of hyperlaxity (eg, elbow hyperextension or genu recurvatum), they tend to be at least as flexible as the general population.34 Ballet also places great emphasis on turnout, which is the lower extremity external rotation achieved with the knees held in extension (Figure 1). The hip is thought to contribute 50% to 70% of this rotation.30,34,42 Not surprisingly, both male and female ballet dancers have increased hip external rotation and decreased hip internal rotation when compared with nondancers.32,34,41,75,89 Female dancers have a slight increase in their overall arc of motion,32,34,41,75,89 whereas male dancers have an average of 10° less total ROM than controls.34,41 The increased hip external rotation likely results from either relative femoral retroversion/less anteversion,90 soft tissue laxity at the anterior hip, or both.33 Dancers also have more hip abduction than do controls;34,89 although this decreases with age89 secondary to the normal ossification and subsequent deepening of the acetabulum.16

Hormonal influences also play a role in female soft tissue laxity. The prevalence of hyperlaxity increases in females after puberty.73 This is expected since high hormone levels during ovulation of the menstrual cycle and during pregnancy facilitate loosening of the pelvic ligaments.66 Dancers with “joint hypermobility syndrome” or “generalized joint hypermobility” have a Beighton score of ≥4.13,18,39,81 In cohort studies of the dance community, authors have suggested that somewhere between 20% and 66% of the professional dance community is classified as having hypermobility.18,78,81 It may be that the innate or acquired hyperlaxity allows these athletes to achieve professional status; however, cross-sectional studies have also documented that hyperlaxity leads to increased risk of injury and longer rehabilitation periods prior to return to participation.38,34,46,69,81

Figure 1. (a) Ballet turnout. Dance places a great deal of emphasis on the turnout, which is the amount of lower extremity external rotation with the knees in extension. The hip is thought to contribute 50% to 70% of the rotation. Reprinted with permission from http://www.shutterstock.com/g/smoxx. (b) Lower extremity external rotation with the knees in extension is common to other flexibility sports as well, as can be seen in this skater. Yuna Kim, 2007 National Sports Festival Winter Games, http://www.flickr.com/photos/queenyuna/5017220486/, used under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License.
Acetabular dysplasia is much more common in females, and, depending on the degree of dysplasia and acetabular version, can be associated with increased hip ROM. As dancers advance, there may be a selection bias for those with increased hip ROM, which may also be a result of underlying dysplasia or soft tissue laxity. In general, acetabular coverage and version are predictive of hip internal rotation, with increased acetabular coverage or acetabular retroversion associated with less internal rotation. The prevalence of hyperlaxity in normal children ranges from 1% to 7%, whereas the prevalence of laxity is greater in children with congenital hip dislocation and may affect 33% to 75% of this population. Dancers with both hyperlaxity and dysplasia have sustained low-energy hip dislocations during dance. Soft tissue laxity may exacerbate structural instability due to dysplasia. Correspondingly, in a series of professional dancers undergoing hip arthroscopy for labral pathology, 55% had evidence of dysplasia (Hammoud et al, 2011, AAOS Annual Meeting, San Diego, California). In addition to acetabular-sided pathology, excessive femoral anteversion may cause symptomatic hip instability. In a younger or nonprofessional dancer, excessive anteversion may be more common and become symptomatic because of the additive effect of anterior hip soft tissue laxity in these athletes. This is uncommon in the high-level or professional dancer because increased hip external rotation requirements do not favor those with excessive femoral anteversion.

Regardless of the etiology of hip instability in these athletes, the labrum and ligamentum teres tend to have characteristic pathology (Figure 2). The labrum is often hypertrophic, with intrasubstance ganglion cyst formation and labral tears. Inflammation, hypertrophy, or tears of the ligamentum teres are considered markers of instability or excessive abduction and internal and external rotation activities rather than the cause of instability. In a series of patients undergoing combined arthroscopy and pertacetabular osteotomy (PAO), 30% had partial or complete ligamentum tears.
**IMPINGEMENT**

Large structural anomalies causing femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) may be less common in higher-level female dancers because of the motion limitations caused by severe acetabular overcoverage or cam pathoanatomy. Conversely, dancers who do have FAI may become symptomatic earlier than nondancers do. In a series of professional dancers undergoing hip arthroscopy, 25% had cam pathoanatomy (Hammoud et al, 2011, AAOS Annual Meeting, San Diego, California). In adolescent female dancers treated for FAI, pincer-type FAI was more common than cam-type.\(^{85}\) Cam-type FAI may be more common in male dancers and consistent with male dancers having less internal rotation than nondancers.\(^{34}\) In addition, dancers often have cam deformities that are localized within the acetabulum more posterolaterally or superiorly than the general athletic population. This may be a physical response to repetitive external rotation and abduction with posterior-superior femoroacetabular contact observed in dancers.\(^{21}\) Eleven- to 14-year-old dancers who train for more than 6 hours a day often have relative femoral retroversion.\(^{9,24,33}\) When relative femoral retroversion is present, it can exacerbate impingement in flexion or internal rotation.\(^{9,40}\)

Athletes in these sports with normal osseous hip morphology may develop symptomatic FAI secondary to the repetitive training and compensatory soft tissue laxity (Figure 3). This can produce impingement and impingement-induced subluxation at the posterior-superior aspect of the hip,\(^{21,24}\) which correlates with the location of cartilage thinning and labral pathology often seen on hip magnetic resonance imaging of asymptomatic dancers. Cadaveric studies provide additional evidence, with combinations of hip abduction and external rotation in both flexion and extension causing increased labral strain\(^{85}\) and anterior femoral head subluxation.\(^{85,95}\) A cadaveric study of hips without osseous FAI examined the effects of dancer- or gymnast-like flexibility and found that extremes of hyperextension and external rotation could generate femoroacetabular contact at the posterior-superior acetabulum.\(^{83}\)

In addition to intra-articular FAI, extra-articular bony impingement can occur in dancing and gymnastic athletes. Extremes of motion with high flexion angles in either neutral rotation or abduction (high-kick dance), similar positions in ballet, and extreme hip flexion in gymnastics can cause impingement between the anterior inferior iliac spine (AIIS) and distal femoral neck (subspinous impingement) (Figures 4 and 5).\(^{34}\) A 3-dimensional computerized simulation of hip motion provided some confirmatory evidence of collisions between the AIIS and the anteromedial femoral neck even in normal hips at high flexion angles.\(^{8}\) Extra-articular FAI or trochanteric-pelvic impingement is a pain generator in the young, active athlete with hip pain, including dancers and gymnasts.\(^{8,12,57,58}\) Although not directly studied in the dancer or gymnast, the evaluating clinician should be aware of ischiofemoral impingement.\(^{45,57,79}\) This type of extra-articular impingement is caused by abnormal contact between the lesser trochanter and the ischium in positions of hip extension, abduction, and external rotation (ie, turnout). Symptoms of ischiofemoral impingement may be gradual in onset with groin pain and/or buttock pain and may mimic iliopsoas tendonitis or a hamstring strain.\(^{86}\)

**COMBINED INSTABILITY AND IMPINGEMENT**

Combinations of instability and impingement may also be common in athletes who achieve extremes of hip flexibility, such as dancers and gymnasts. The retroverted dysplastic acetabulum with posterior deficiency is increasingly recognized as a source of both instability and impingement.\(^{2,22}\) This type of dysplasia results in anterior impingement but posterior-superior instability.\(^{2,22}\) Posterior-superior instability may be especially problematic for a dancer because the force on an abducted and externally rotated hip is also posterior and superior. These patients have a radiographic “cross-over sign” with deficient posterior-superior coverage and, importantly, also have a posterior wall sign, where the posterior acetabular wall is significantly medial to the center of the femoral head (Figure 6).\(^{20}\) Impingement-induced instability was described initially in contact athletes with an anterior cam deformity that caused the femur to lever posteriorly and, in some cases, dislocate.\(^{47,48}\) In dancers and flexibility athletes, impingement-induced instability results from the femoral neck levering on the lateral acetabular rim (extreme abduction) or the AIIS (extreme flexion), causing anteroinferior and posteroinferior subluxation, respectively (Figure 7). Evidence for this mechanism is found on computed tomography scans of impingement patients where the direction of subluxation was dependent on the location of the cam deformity.\(^{95}\)

**EVALUATION OF THE FLEXIBILITY ATHLETE WITH HIP OR GROIN PAIN**

The examination of the dancer or gymnast with hip or groin pain is no different than any other athlete. The objective of the physical examination is to narrow the differential diagnosis by localizing the pain generators. The physical examination should be performed in the same stepwise fashion each time and should include 5 examination positions: standing, seated, supine, lateral, and prone.\(^{58}\) Specific physical examination findings in dancers and gymnasts may include lateral or posterior symptoms due to abductor fatigue, whereas pain in terminal flexion (which can be common for both dysplasia and FAI) often indicates anterior labral pathology and/or subspine impingement.\(^{6,18,70}\) When the gymnast’s or dancer’s athletic demands on the hip are greater than the functional ROM, compensatory stresses and subsequent pain may develop in the adjacent structures. The sequelae of compensatory injury can occur in the lumbar spine, the pubic symphysis, the sacroiliac joints, and the posterior acetabulum.\(^{6,10,18,70}\) The compensatory stress also places demands on the periarticular musculature, which may also lead to muscle injury of the adductor longus, proximal hamstrings, abductors, iliopsoas, and hip flexors.\(^{35,52,63}\)
Figure 3. Both (a) side splits and (b) front splits are common in flexibility sports and dance. In ballet, these positions are called grand écart facial and grand écart lateral, respectively. These 2 positions as well as the développé à la seconde (c, in ballet; d, in a skater) and the (e) grand plié have been found to cause impingement at the posterior-superior aspect of the acetabulum in morphologically normal hips. Figure (c) reproduced with permission, http://www.shutterstock.com/g/df028. Figure (d) Yuna Kim 2009 World Figure Skating Championships, Los Angeles, California, http://www.flickr.com/photos/queenyuna/6368356397, used under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License. Figure (e) reproduced with permission, Sergey Petrov, http://www.shutterstock.com/g/irtish.
WHEN IS SURGERY INDICATED AND WHAT SHOULD BE DONE?

The decision for surgery can be difficult in this population because the patient’s expectations for returning to the preinjury level of activity may exceed what can be delivered with surgical management. Nonetheless, there are a few relatively clear indications. Surgery can be considered for patients with identifiable structural pathoanatomy who have continued symptoms with activities of daily living despite a reasonable
Figure 5. Radiographs and intraoperative images from a 30-year-old former high-level studio dancer with right anterior hip pain and pain with straight flexion typical of impingement between the anterior-inferior iliac spine (AIIS) and distal femoral neck. Hip range of motion was 105° in straight flexion, 15° of internal rotation, and 60° of external rotation in flexion. (a) The preoperative Dunn lateral view shows sclerosis on the distal femoral neck (solid arrows). (b) Intraoperatively, she had focal bruising and synovitis around the AIIS with (c) cortical sclerosis distal on the femoral neck, consistent with high range of motion impingement. (d) She underwent rim osteoplasty around the AIIS and femoral neck osteoplasty extending distally on the neck to eliminate the impingement. (e) The postoperative Dunn lateral radiograph demonstrates the AIIS and femoral neck osteoplasties (open arrows). FH, femoral head; L, labrum.
attempt at nonoperative management or who require pain medication to perform or are unable to perform because of pain. Other clear indications include proximal hamstring avulsions with retraction, tension-sided femoral neck stress fractures, and patients with recurrent low-energy hip dislocations or loose bodies.

For patients with labral tears and intra-articular pathology, the bony morphology on radiographs and computed tomography scans should be used to guide surgical decision making. Arthroscopy cannot correct dysplasia or instability due to excessive femoral anteversion; thus, acetabular reorientation or, less frequently, femoral derotational osteotomy may be necessary to correct the structural pathoanatomy causing labral tears in these patients.17,70 Patients who have cam- or pincer-type FAI can be treated arthroscopically in the majority of cases, and, where indicated, femoral/acetabular osteoplasties can address these deformities. Of note, cam deformities can be subtle in females when compared with males36 but may be more likely to be symptomatic. For patients with suspected impingement between the AIIS and distal femoral neck, a careful neck-reshaping osteoplasty can significantly improve clearance and reduce any levering effect/subluxation (Figures 5 and 7).53

For small amounts of labral fraying, selective arthroscopic labral debridement or suture anchor refixation can be used to stabilize the labrum.44 For larger labral tears, comparative cohorts of labral debride ment and refixation patients have shown better outcomes for labral refixation.27,47,50,82 Thus, in these cases, the labrum should be repaired if it is technically possible. Patients who have ligamentum teres inflammation or tearing can have mechanical symptoms; thus, judicious debridement of the ligamentum can help improve these symptoms.19,49,97 Labral reconstruction and ligamentum reconstruction is not well supported by the literature and consists entirely of level 4 case series with small numbers, short-term follow-up, and may or may not have included dancers or other hypermobility athletes.71,72,84,94 Thus, the role of these reconstructions for treatment is currently unclear.

Although dancers and gymnasts frequently have psoas pain and tendinopathy, psoas tenotomy should be avoided. A psoas tenotomy in dancers can lead to hip flexor weakness and...
further destabilize the anterior hip, resulting in a career-ending situation for these athletes.\cite{28,53} The high-kick or dance-line dancer who loses hip flexor strength cannot regain his or her kick, and ballet dancers who undergo psoas tenotomy cannot regain extension—the ability to flex their hip high with the knee extended (à la seconde position). As previously stated, the psoas may also be functioning as a dynamic stabilizer for patients with subtle anterior instability,\cite{28} with the extreme example of this being dislocation with the hip in abduction and external rotation after an arthroscopy and psoas tenotomy.\cite{80}

Capsular management during arthroscopy is also important for the flexibility athlete. The iliofemoral ligament is the primary stabilizer for external rotation and anterior translation,\cite{62} which are key motions for dance. While subtle capsular laxity or increased microinstability may impair return to sport, there have also been case reports of subluxation and dislocation in the setting of ligamentous laxity, an unrepaired capsule, and/or dysplasia (both mild and iatrogenic).\cite{14,60,74,80} Thus, capsular repair with or without plication\cite{11} (Figure 8) or avoiding a capsular T-cut altogether is imperative in this population. When repairing the capsule, care should be taken not to overtighten the capsule, as this can result in loss of hip external rotation, which, in dancers, is a critical component of lower extremity turnout.

Patients with acetabular dysplasia and instability should be managed with a PAO, particularly if standing pain is a primary component of their symptoms. Arthroscopy can be considered as an adjunct at the time of the PAO to repair intra-articular labral tears or debride ligamentum tears, particularly if the patient has mechanical symptoms (see Figure 2).\cite{77} PAO definitively corrects dysplasia and has a 90% survivorship at 10 years with optimal acetabular positioning and establishment of appropriate head-neck offset.\cite{3} There is a growing awareness that patients with dysplasia often have decreased head-neck offset that, after PAO, may result in symptomatic impingement. In the general population, femoral neck osteoplasty may improve the survivorship of the PAO\cite{3} and decrease the rates of reoperation for impingement after PAO.\cite{64} For the ROM requirements inherent to these sports, dancers and other flexibility athletes may require femoral neck osteoplasty at the time of the PAO to restore the ROM after acetabular correction.\cite{3,64}

Although there are no published studies, restoration of preoperative ROM is likely to be important for returning to sports or dance after a PAO.
In some cases, patients with FAI should be managed with an open osteoplasty and corrections via surgical hip dislocation. This may be indicated for the patient with a cam deformity that is primarily posterior or for the uncommon patient with global acetabular overcoverage secondary to protrusion. Patients who have normal radiographic anatomy but suspected trochanteric-pelvic impingement should also undergo treatment via a surgical hip dislocation because this allows the trochanter to be translated posteriorly or reshaped to eliminate extra-articular impingement. Some surgeons also believe that there may also be a role for the open approach in a very hypermobile patient because the open capsular repair incites a more vigorous healing response and is technically less demanding than that with an arthroscopic approach.

RETURN TO SPORT AFTER HIP PRESERVATION SURGERY

Although dancers and other flexibility athletes are frequently referred for therapy, there are no studies on the rate of return to sport after nonoperative management. There is 1 systematic review specifically examining return to sport after surgery for FAI. In general, 92% of patients are able to return to sports, with 88% returning to the same level of play as before surgery. When professional athletes were considered, 92% returned to sport at the same level as before surgery. In a study of isolated arthroscopic labral debridement in dancers, modified Harris hip scores improved from 52.7 to 91.5, and overall pain levels decreased from 7.5 to 2.5 postoperatively. Patients required an
average of 8 months to return to dance at their preoperative levels. The study was limited in that follow-up ranged from 5 months to 5 years, and only 57% reached their preoperative levels of dance. Another study of dancers undergoing hip arthroscopy found that return to professional dance was less likely for older dancers, dancers with dysplasia, and for professional ballerinas (Hammoud et al, 2011, AAOS Annual Meeting, San Diego, California). Considering that treatments may not have addressed the structural pathoanatomy (eg, dysplasia or pincer-type FAI), the rates of return for dancers to high-level or professional dance after hip preservation surgery has the potential to be higher than was observed in this series. Although no studies have been done for flexibility athletes undergoing PAO, general activity level increases after PAO, particularly in younger patients or patients who were more active preoperatively. In a recent series of PAO patients, all patients who participated in gymnastics, yoga, or dance prior to surgery were able to return to these same sports, although their level of participation was unknown.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION**

Dancers, gymnasts, and flexibility athletes with hip pain are a challenging population because of the extreme ROM requirements for their sports and compensatory soft tissue laxity. As a result, they are able to place their hips in impinging positions even in the setting of normal osseous anatomy and often have combinations of impingement and instability. These hips are complex, and decision making is challenging; however, if these athletes are appropriately evaluated and treated, return to play at a high frequency can be expected.
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