Alteration in AMPA receptor subunit expression and receptor binding among patients with addictive disorders: A systematic review of human postmortem studies
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Abstract
Background and Objectives: Altered trafficking of α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptors has been reported in postmortem studies and suggested the involvement of AMPA receptors in the pathophysiology underpinning addictive disorders. However, these findings seemed mixed.

Methods: A systematic literature search was conducted, using PubMed and Embase (last search, August 2018), to identify human postmortem studies that examined the expression of proteins and mRNA of AMPA receptor subunits in patients with addictive disorders in comparison with healthy controls.

Results: Twelve (18 studies) out of 954 articles were identified to be relevant. Eight studies included alcohol use disorders, and four studies included heroin/cocaine abusers. The most frequently investigated regions were the hippocampus (three studies), amygdala (three studies), and putamen (three studies). In summary, two out of the three studies showed an increase in the expression of AMPA receptors in the hippocampus, while the other study found no change. Two studies to examine the amygdala demonstrated either a decreased or no change in receptor expression or binding. Concerning putamen, two studies showed no significant change whereas an overexpression of receptors was observed in the other.

Conclusions and Scientific Significance: The hippocampus and amygdala may be pertinent to addictive disorders through their functions on learning and memory, whereas findings in other regions were inconsistent across the studies. Human postmortem studies are prone to degenerative changes after death. Moreover, only qualitative assessment was conducted because of the limited, heterogenous data. These limitations emphasize the need to investigate AMPA receptors in the living human brains.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The effectiveness of currently available treatment options for human addictive disorders is far from ideal, in spite of global attention that has been paid to addiction and emerging treatment options. There has been growing interest in glutamatergic abnormalities that have been implicated in various addictions. With regard to alcohol use disorder (AUD) as an example, Tsai et al. reported an increase in the concentration of glutamate in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and Umhau et al. also noted a positive association between the severity of illness and glutamate concentration in the CSF. Moreover, lower glutamate and increased glutamic acid (AMPA) receptor, is clearly associated with the development of addictive disorders. Several in vitro studies have shown the alterations in AMPA receptors in the brain of model rodents with alcohol and cocaine dependence: increased expression in the cortical culture and nucleus accumbens (NAc) and decreased binding in some cortical regions, hippocampus and septohippocampal nucleus of alcohol-dependent models; increased expression and potentiated excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) in the NAc and decreased expression in the dorsolateral striatum of cocaine-exposed rodents. Moreover, preclinical studies found, after administration of AMPA receptor antagonists, reductions in substance-seeking behaviors, alcohol withdrawal, and alcohol consumption in substance-prefering model of rats. Furthermore, the gene-based study also noted significant correlation between metabotropic glutamate receptor (mGlur)-eukaryotic elongation factor 2 (eEF2)-AMPA receptor pathway (including the glutamate ionotropic receptor AMPA [GRIA] 1 and 4) and addictive behaviors like a frequency of drinking. These findings collectively indicate that AMPA receptors are likely involved in the pathophysiology underpinning addiction.

Postmortem studies have provided important insights on the pathophysiology of addictive disorders in human beings. A systematic synthesis of currently available data is helpful to further our understanding on addiction and to underscore what is missing in the field. We therefore systematically reviewed published postmortem studies that investigated AMPA receptor expression in patients with addictive disorders.

2 | METHODS

A systematic search of the literature was conducted in order to identify postmortem, case-control studies that investigated the expression of AMPA receptor subunits or receptor binding in patients with addictive disorders compared with healthy individuals, using PubMed and Embase (last search: August 21, 2018). The search terms were as follows: (α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid) or AMPA or AMPAR) AND (alcohol* or narco* or addiction). We also performed cross-reference and manual searches. Original articles in English were included for the purpose of this study. The literature search was performed independently by two of the authors (FU and HU).

3 | RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the flow of our literature search, from an initial list of 954 studies; of these, 12 articles were identified to be relevant. All of these studies investigated AMPA receptor binding levels, or AMPA receptor subunit transcripts or protein expression; they compared these indices between patients with substance use disorders and healthy controls. Patients with AUD were targeted in eight studies; five studies used the DSM-IV for the diagnosis, two studies relied on daily consumption of ethanol, and one study did not specify any criteria. The other four studies included patients with heroin and cocaine addiction, where the bases for the diagnosis were an archived history of abuse in two studies and death that resulted from overdose for the other two studies. The regions of interest included the hippocampus (three studies in AUD), amygdala (three studies: two in AUD and one in drug abuse), and putamen (three studies: two in drug abuse and one in AUD), followed by the frontal cortex (two studies in AUD), cingulate cortex (two studies in AUD), and NAc (two studies: one in AUD and one in drug abuse).

Table 1 summarizes the methodologies and results of the studies included in our review. Synthesis of the main findings is described in a chronological order below.

4 | ALCOHOL USE DISORDER

Protein expressions of AMPA/kainite receptor subtypes were found to be increased in the hippocampus of alcohol users (n = 9) in the study by Breese et al. found a numerically, but not significantly, increased [3H]AMPA binding in the frontal cortex of patients with AUD (n = 13). mRNA and protein expression of GRIA 2 were decreased in the basolateral amygdala of patients with AUD (n = 10) in the study by Kryger and Wilce. Karkkainen et al. classified patients with AUD into type 1 (age of onset >25 years, and susceptibility to anxiety, n = 9) and type 2 (age of onset <25, and impulsive and antisocial behaviors, n = 9), in accordance with Cloninger’s criteria. The authors found an increased [3H]AMPA binding in the ACC of the type 2 patients compared with healthy controls, whereas no differences were detected in the other brain regions of interest. Jin et al. demonstrated that mRNA expressions of AMPA receptor subunits were lower in the central amygdala (n = 9) and higher in the hippocampus dentate...
gyrus (n = 13) in the patient group, while there were no differences in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) (n = 14) or the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) (n = 11). Bhandage et al\textsuperscript{21} found that mRNAs expression levels were not different among any of the glutamate receptor subunits in the caudate and the putamen (n = 29). Farris et al\textsuperscript{22} showed higher gene connectivity including GRIA1 in the prefrontal cortex (n = 16).

5 | OTHER SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS

Tang and colleagues\textsuperscript{28} identified an upregulation of the mRNA and protein level of GRIA2 in the ventral tegmental area (VTA), which was not observed in the lateral substantia nigra (l-LSN), among the victims of cocaine overdose (n = 10). Hemby et al\textsuperscript{29} showed an increase in the protein expressions of GRIA2/3 in the NAc of cocaine overdose victims, but not in the putamen (n = 8). Okvist et al\textsuperscript{26} conducted two studies; they compared levels of mRNA expression of AMPA receptor subunits in the amygdala among the four groups (ie, multiple drug abusers [cocaine and heroin, n = 7], cocaine abusers [n = 7], heroin abusers [n = 8], and healthy controls) in one study and those between heroin abusers (n = 29) and healthy controls in the other, only to find no group differences in both studies. An overexpression of GRIA1 and GRIA3 in the putamen was reported among heroin abusers (n = 48) in one study.\textsuperscript{27}

To sum, two out of the three studies showed that the expression of AMPA receptors increased in the hippocampus of the patients with AUD,\textsuperscript{20,25} while the other failed to show changes.\textsuperscript{18} A decreased receptor binding or expression in this region of the patients with AUD was identified in two of three studies that examined the amygdala,\textsuperscript{19,24} and no change was found for the cocaine and heroin abusers in the other study.\textsuperscript{26} With respect to the putamen, two studies to target cocaine abusers and patients with AUD showed no change,\textsuperscript{21,29} whereas overexpression of receptors was observed in the study on heroin abusers.\textsuperscript{27} In the frontal cortex, there was no difference among both two studies that investigated patients with AUD.\textsuperscript{18,23} As for the cingulate cortex, binding of AMPA receptor was higher in the Cloninger type 2 AUD patients in one study,\textsuperscript{18} whereas there was no significant change in the study for the patients with AUD.\textsuperscript{25} Regarding the NAc, one study found an increment of AMPA receptors expression among cocaine abusers,\textsuperscript{29} and there was no change in AUD patients compared with healthy controls in the other study.\textsuperscript{18}

6 | DISCUSSION

Addictive disorders are prevalent in human being but their pathophysiological underpinnings remain to be elucidated to date. The present systematic review aimed to synthesize the currently available evidence on human postmortem studies that examined AMPA receptor subunit expression and receptor binding in patients with substance use disorders. The results were suggestive of the notion that hippocampus and amygdala may be related to the development of addictive disorders, possibly through their well-known functions to regulate learning and memory. On the other hand, findings in the other regions were not consistent across the studies, perhaps because of the heterogeneity in the subjects, targeted brain regions, substances of interest, cause of death, and analysis techniques employed. It may further be attributable to variations in degenerative and agonal changes after death to which postmortem studies are almost always susceptible.

**FIGURE 1** PRISMA flow diagram of the literature search

- Records identified through database searching (n = 1226)
- Additional records identified through other sources (n = 18)
- Records after duplicates removed (n = 954)
- Records excluded according to irrelevant titles (n = 223)
- Records screened (n = 731)
- Records excluded by examining abstracts (n = 635)
- Full-text articles excluded for eligibility (n = 96)
- Full-text articles excluded for the following reasons (n = 84)
  - Animal studies: n = 60
  - No relevant factors evaluated: n = 13
  - Reviews: n = 11
- Studies included in qualitative synthesis (n = 12)
| 1st author (year) | Subject | N (Male) | Age (±SD) | PMI (h) | Method | Region | Findings | Target molecules |
|------------------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|--------|--------|----------|------------------|
| Breese (1995)    | AUD     | 9 (8)    | 52.8 (17.3) | 18.8 | Western blot | Cingulate cortex | ns | GRIA2, GRIA3 |
| Kärkkäinen (2013)| AUD     | 9 (7)    | 52.7 (12.4) | 11.9 | Autoradiography | ACC | ns | [3H] AMPA binding |
|                  |         | 8 (8)    | 34.6 (12.2) | 14.1 |         |        |          |      |
| Frontal Cortex (N = 4) | | | | | | | | |
| Freund (1996)    | AUD     | 13 (NA)  | 64.5 (9.7) | 14.4 | Autoradiography | Frontal cortex | ns | [3H] AMPA binding |
| Kärkkäinen (2013)| AUD     | 9 (7)    | 52.7 (12.4) | 11.9 | Autoradiography | Frontal cortex | ns | [3H] AMPA binding |
| Jin (2014a)      | AUD     | 11 (11)  | 58.0 (16.6) | 29  | RT-qPCR | OFC | ns | GRIA2, GRIA3 |
| Farris (2015)    | AUD     | 16 (NA)  | 53.8 (12.5) | 34.1 | RNA-Seq | PFC (BA 8) | ↑ | GRIA1 |
|                  |         |          |           |      |         |        |          | GM including GRIA1 showed higher gene connectivity in AUD |
| Basal ganglia (N = 5) | | | | | | | | |
| Tang (2003)      | Cocaine abuser | 10 (10) | 35.5 (6.5) | 12.3 | Microarray, Western blot | L-SN | ↑ | GRIA1-4 |
| Kärkkäinen (2013)| AUD     | 9 (7)    | 52.7 (12.4) | 11.9 | Autoradiography | NAc | ns | [3H] AMPA binding |
| Hemby (2005)     | Cocaine abuser | 8 (8)    | 34.4 (7.3) | 12.5 | Western blot | NAc | ↑ | GRIA2, GRIA3 |
|                  |         |          |           |      |         |        |          | No statistically significant changes observed for GRIA1 |
| Bhandage (2014)  | AUD     | 29 (29)  | 57.5 (9.9) | 35.5 | RT-qPCR | Caudate nucleus, Putamen | ns | GRIA1-4 |
| Egervari (2017)  | Heroin abuser | 48 (40)  | 26.2 (4.8) | < 24 | Microarray, Western blot | Putamen | ↑ | GRIA1, GRIA3 |
| Medial temporal lobe (N = 6) | | | | | | | | |
| Breese (1995)    | AUD     | 9 (8)    | 52.8 (17.3) | 18.8 | Western blot | Hippocampus | ↑ | GRIA2, GRIA3 |

(Continues)
| 1st author (year)          | Subject                  | N (Male) | Age (±SD) | PMI (h) | Method               | Region                        | Findings | Target molecules                        |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------|
| Kärkkäinen (2013)         | AUD Cloninger type 1     | 9 (7)    | 52.7 (12.4)| 11.9    | Autoradiography      | Hippocampus Dentate gyrus    | ns       | [3H] AMPA binding                      |
|                           | AUD Cloninger type 2     | 8 (8)    | 34.6 (12.2)| 14.1    |                      |                               |          |                                        |
| Jin (2014a)               | AUD                      | 13 (13)  | 56.0 (14.4)| 30      | RT-qPCR              | Hippocampus Dentate gyrus    | ↑        | GRIA2, GRIA3                           |
| Kryger (2010)             | AUD                      | 6 (6)    | 55.0 (10.7)| 21.7    | Microarray           | Basolateral amygdala         | ↓        | GRIA2                                 |
|                           |                          | 10 (10)  | 56.4 (14.1)| 22.3    | Western blot         |                               |          |                                        |
| Okvist (2011)             | Multiple drug abuser     | 7 (5)    | 45 (7)    | 24      | In situ hybridization, Western blot | Amygdala (lateral, accessory basal, and basal nuclei) | ns       | GRIA1                                 |
|                           | (Heroin-Cocaine)         |          |           |         |                      |                               |          |                                        |
|                           | Cocaine abuser           | 7 (6)    | 45 (9)    |         |                      |                               |          |                                        |
|                           | Heroin abuser (Study I)  | 8 (7)    | 41 (10)   |         |                      |                               |          |                                        |
|                           | Heroin abuser (Study II) | 29 (24)  | 27 (5)    | <24     |                      |                               |          |                                        |
| Jin (2014b)               | AUD                      | 9 (9)    | 55.1 (15.8)| 27      | RT-qPCR              | Central amygdala             | ↓        | GRIA1, GRIA4                          |
| Brain Stem (N = 1)        | Cocaine abuser           | 10 (10)  | 35.5 (6.5)| 12.3    | Microarray Western blot | VTA                           | ↑        | GRIA2                                 |
|                           |                          |          |           |         |                      |                               |          | No significant alterations observed for GRIA1, 3 or 4 |

Note: [Findings] ↑: increase in patients with addiction compared to healthy individuals, ↓: decrease in patients with addiction compared with healthy individuals, ns: no significant difference between patients with addictive disorders and healthy controls.

Abbreviations: ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; AUD, alcohol use disorder; BA, Brodmann area; DLPFC, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; GM, gene module; GRIA, glutamate ionotropic receptor AMPA; l-SN, lateral substantia nigra; N, number of studies; n, number of subjects; NA, not available; NAc, nucleus accumbens; OFC, orbitofrontal cortex; PFC, prefrontal cortex; PMI, postmortem interval; RT-qPCR, real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction; Seq, sequencing; VTA, ventral tegmental area.
Although the currently available data are still very modest, the subunit expression and binding to AMPA receptors are increased in the hippocampus of AUD patients, but decreased in the amygdala in quantity, in comparison with healthy controls. The hippocampus is a vital region for learning and memory; such pivotal functions are postulated to be processed by long-term potentiation and long-term depression through glutamate receptors. Therefore, a possibility is that the changes in AMPA receptor subunit expression and receptor binding contribute to impaired cognition, including memory function, in association with insobriety of heavy ethanol. Interestingly, the finding in the hippocampus of AUD patients is different from the that of the animal study which showed the decreased AMPA receptor binding level in the same region. Such a discrepancy may be due to the following limitations of the studies included in the present review; the number of studies identified was small and these postmortem studies were prone to physiological degenerative changes after death. The central amygdala of patients with AUD synthesizes emotional and somatic information gathered from other brain regions and other subregions within the amygdala and plays a vital part in regulating alcohol-drinking behaviors. The basolateral amygdala plays an important role in cue-induced relapse by regulating associative learning, which is critical in the regulation of anxiety. Thus, AMPA receptor dysfunction in the basolateral amygdala may result in continuous substance-seeking behavior and withdrawal anxiety.

The NAc and VTA have been the hot target in previous animal studies. They found an upregulated density of AMPA receptor and revealed a decrease in substance-seeking behavior by administration of AMPA receptor antagonists, such as 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX) and 6,7-dinitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (NBQX). The beneficial results with the use of AMPA receptor antagonists corroborate those of microinjection to basolateral amygdala, to prevent anxiety-like behavior of withdrawn alcohol-dependent rats. On the other hand, in contrast, human studies reported decreases in AMPA receptors in the amygdala of AUD patients. Given the significant impact and burden of substance use disorders around the globe, further investigations are clearly indicated to examine AMPA receptors in the brain regions, hopefully in vivo, in order to devise new therapeutics.

Findings from several studies of antiepileptics collectively suggest that AMPA receptor seems to be a potential target of novel medications against addictive disorders. For example, topiramate is an antiepileptic drug to antagonize glutamate activity at AMPA/kainite receptors. This blockade is expected to decrease extracellular dopamine release in the midbrain and modulate a nonbenzodiazepine site on the gamma-aminobutyric acid-A (GABA-A) receptor. Previous clinical studies have shown its hope in reducing craving for alcohol, drinking behavior, and withdrawal symptoms. Similarly, lamotrigine, which is another antiepileptic medication to inhibit AMPA receptors and decrease glutamate release, was found to be promising in reducing cocaine intake and craving in cocaine abusers. Several limitations need to be taken into account to interpret the results of this systematic review. First, the number of studies was small. Second, as already mentioned, degrading changes after death render human postmortem studies challenging. Third, subjects included in these studies were not always well-characterized in terms of the diagnosis and the severity of illness. Forth, not quantitative but just qualitative assessment of the literature was conducted in the present review because of the limited, heterogeneous data.

To conclude, while the hippocampus and amygdala may play pivotal roles in the pathophysiology of addiction, the above-mentioned limitations and the paucity of evidence clearly highlight the necessity for examining AMPA receptors in the living brain of well-characterized patients by using positron emission tomography (PET). These findings would be expected to inform the development of well-anticipated novel therapeutics against addictive disorders.
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