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Abstract: In this paper, we firstly discuss the basic properties of the sub differential of fuzzy mapping and get some related conclusions. Secondly, we establish a variational principle of fuzzy mapping by establishing the concept of gauge fuzzy mapping. Then we prove the approximation sun rule of fuzzy mapping in sub-differential as the application of that principles.
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1. Introduction

In 1972, Chang and Zadeh [1] introduced the concept of fuzzy numbers with the consideration of the properties of probability functions. Since then the fuzzy numbers and fuzzy mapping of the value is fuzzy number have been extensively studied by many authors. Researchers have begun to study upon the relation equations of fuzzy numbers, the differential and measurability and integral of fuzzy mappings, and other theories in relation to fuzzy numbers. Especially in the differentiability of fuzzy mapping and its application in fuzzy programming, a series of important achievements have been reached [2, 3, 4]. However, not all of the fuzzy mapping is differentiable. So we should also study those fuzzy mappings whose analytic properties are weaker than differentiability. Concept of sub-differential of convex fuzzy mapping is introduced in [5, 6]. Its related properties and application in convex programming is discussed and some important conclusions are obtained.

In [7, 8] we extend the concept of sub-differential of convex fuzzy mapping given in [5, 6], establish the concept of sub-differential of normal fuzzy mapping and study the existence problem of the convex extension of fuzzy mapping. New study method is provided to search on fuzzy programming problems. By using the study methods and techniques of non-smooth analysis and set valued analysis [9, 10, 11], we discuss the basic properties of sub-differential of fuzzy mapping [6] and try to establish a variational principle of fuzzy mapping. Finally we discuss the application of it.

2. Prerequisite

Let \( R \) be the real numbers field A fuzzy set \( U \) on \( R \) is called a fuzzy number, if it has the following properties:

(I) \( u \) is upper semi-continuous;

(II) \( u \) is normal, i.e., there exists an \( x_0 \in R \) such that \( u(x_0) = 1 \);

(III) \( u \) is convex, i.e.,

\[
 u(\lambda x + (1-\lambda) y) \geq \min(u(x), u(y))
\]

Whenever \( x, y \in R, r \in [0,1] \).

(IV) \( \{x | u(x) > 0\} \) is a compact set.

Let \( F \) denote the family of all fuzzy numbers and is called fuzzy number space. See the concepts and its properties related to fuzzy number in [4]. For any \( r \in R \), we define a fuzzy number \( \tilde{r} \) by

\[
 \tilde{r}(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t = r \\ 0, & t \neq r \end{cases}
\]

for any \( t \in R \). Let \( \tilde{R} = \{\tilde{r} | r \in R\} \), then \( \tilde{R} \subset F \). For
\[ u \in \mathcal{F}, \alpha \in [0, 1], \] the \( r \)-level set of fuzzy number \( u \) is a nonempty bounded closed interval, which is denoted as

\[ [u]^{r} = [u_\alpha(\alpha), u^\alpha(\alpha)]. \]

For \( u, v \in \mathcal{F} \), we say that \( u \leq v \) if and only if \( u^\alpha(\alpha) \leq v^\alpha(\alpha) \) and \( u_\alpha(\alpha) \leq v_\alpha(\alpha) \) for any \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \).

If \( u \geq 0 \), then \( u \) is called a nonnegative fuzzy number, and \( \mathcal{F}_+ \) denote the family of all nonnegative numbers.

For \( u, v \in \mathcal{F} \) and \( r \in \mathbb{R} \), we define the addition \( u + v \) and scalar multiplication \( ru \) as follows:

\[ [u + v](\alpha) = [u_\alpha(\alpha) + v_\alpha(\alpha), u^\alpha(\alpha) + v^\alpha(\alpha)], \]

for any \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \).

If \( r \geq 0 \),

\[ [ru](\alpha) = [ru_\alpha(\alpha), ru^\alpha(\alpha)], \]

for any \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \).

For \( \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots, \xi_n) \in \mathcal{F}^n(\mathbb{R}) \), we define

\[ \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots, \xi_n) \in \mathcal{F}^n(\mathbb{R}) \]

the inner product of \( \xi \) and \( x \) is defined as

\[ \langle \xi, x \rangle = x_1 \xi_1 + x_2 \xi_2 + \cdots + x_n \xi_n. \]

If for \( u, v \in \mathcal{F} \), there exists \( w \in \mathcal{F} \) such that \( u = v + w \), we say that the H-difference of \( u \) and \( v \) exist and denote \( u - v = w \).

It is obvious that if H-difference \( u - v \) exists, then

\[ (u - v)_\alpha(\alpha) = u_\alpha(\alpha) - v_\alpha(\alpha) \]

and satisfies that for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that

\[ F(x_0) \leq F(x) + \delta. \]

For all \( x \in M \) and \( \|x - x_0\| < \delta \). Then we say that \( F \) is lower semi-continuous at a point \( x_0 \). If \( F \) is lower semi-continuous, \( u \in \mathcal{F} \), then

\[ A^n(F) = \{ x \in M \mid F(x) \leq u \} \]

is closed set in \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

Proof. For \( u \in \mathcal{F} \), we have

\[ F(x) \leq u \]

\[ \Leftrightarrow F(x)_\alpha(\alpha) \leq u_\alpha(\alpha) \text{ and } F(x)^\alpha(\alpha) \leq u^\alpha(\alpha) \]

for any \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \).

Therefore,

\[ A^n(F) = \{ x \in M \mid F(x)_\alpha(\alpha) \leq u_\alpha(\alpha), \alpha \in [0, 1] \} \]

\[ \cap \{ x \in M \mid F(x)^\alpha(\alpha) \leq u^\alpha(\alpha), \alpha \in [0, 1] \} \]

On the other hand, by \( F : M \to \mathcal{F} \) is semi-continuity, we know that for any \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \), both \( F(x)_\alpha(\alpha) \) and \( F(x)^\alpha(\alpha) \) are lower semi-continuous real valued functions.
defined in \( M \).

So for any \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \), we have
\[
\left\{ x \in M \mid F(x)(\alpha) \leq u_\star(\alpha) \right\}
\]
and
\[
\left\{ x \in M \mid F(x)(\alpha) \leq u_\star(\alpha) \right\}
\]
are closed set. Hence \( A^\alpha(F) \) is closed set.

**Definition 2.3** [6]. Let \( F : M \to F \) be a fuzzy mapping, \( x \in M \). The sub-differential of \( F \) at \( x \) is defined as
\[
\partial F(x) = \{ \xi \in F''(R) \mid F(z) \geq F(x) + \langle \xi, z - x \rangle, \forall z \in M \}
\]
If \( \partial F(x) \neq \emptyset \), then \( F \) is called sub-differentiable at \( x \).

If \( \partial F \) is a single point set \( \{ \xi \} \), then \( F \) is called differentiable at \( x \), and denote as \( \xi = \nabla F(x) \).

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( F, F_i : M \to F \) be fuzzy mappings, \( x \in M \), then
(I) \( \partial(\lambda F)(x) = \lambda F(x)(\lambda > 0) \)
(II) \( \partial(F_1 + F_2)(x) = \partial F_1(x) + \partial F_2(x) \).

Proof. (I) For \( \hat{x} = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n) \in \partial(\lambda F)(x) \),

by Definition 2.3, we have
\[
\lambda F(z) \geq \lambda F(x) + \langle \hat{x}, z - x \rangle
\]
for any \( z \in M \).

Therefore
\[
F(z) \geq F(x) + \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \hat{x}, z - x \right\}.
\]
So
\[
\frac{1}{\lambda} \hat{x} \in \partial F(x),
\]
i.e., \( \hat{x} \in \lambda \partial F(x) \).

Therefore
\[
\partial(\lambda F)(x) \subset \lambda \partial F(x).
\]
We can similarly obtain
\[
\lambda \partial F(x) \subset \partial(\lambda F)(x).
\]
So
\[
\lambda \partial F(x) = \partial(\lambda F)(x).
\]

(II) Let \( \xi = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n) \in \partial F_1(x) + \partial F_2(x) \),

then there exist \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \partial F_1(x), \xi_2 \in \partial F_2(x) \) such that
\[
\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2
\]
and by Definition 2.3, we have
\[
F_1(z) \geq F_1(x) + \langle \xi_1, z - x \rangle
\]
\[
F_2(z) \geq F_2(x) + \langle \xi_2, z - x \rangle
\]
for any \( z \in M \).

According to (1)+(2), we have
\[
(F_1 + F_2)(z) \geq (F_1 + F_2)(x) + \langle \xi_1 + \xi_2, z - x \rangle.
\]
So
\[
\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \in \partial (F_1 + F_2)(x),
\]
i.e.,
\[
\partial F_1(x) + \partial F_2(x) \subset \partial (F_1 + F_2)(x).
\]

We can easily obtain the following Corollary 2.1 according to Theorem 2.2.

**Corollary 2.1.** Let \( F_i : M \to F \) be fuzzy mapping, and
\[
F_i(x) = \lambda F_i(x)(\lambda > 0)
\]
for any \( x \in M \), then
\[
\partial F_i(x) + \partial F_i(x) = \partial (F_1 + F_2)(x)
\]

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( F : M \to F \) be fuzzy mapping. If \( F(x_0) \) is the minimum of \( F \) in \( M \), then
\[
0 \in \partial F(x_0) \left( 0 = \{ 0, \hat{0}, \cdots, \hat{0} \} \right).
\]

Proof. Let \( F(x_0) \) be the minimum of \( F \) in \( M \), then for any \( x \in M \),
\[
F(z) \geq F(x_0).
\]
Therefore, for any \( z \in M \) and \( 0 = \{ 0, \hat{0}, \cdots, \hat{0} \} \), we have
\[
F(z) \geq F(x_0) + \langle 0, z - x_0 \rangle
\]
i.e., \( 0 \in \partial F(x_0) \).
3. Fuzzy Variational Principles

$X$ stands for $R^n$ or a sub set of $R^n$ in this section.

Definition 3.1. Let $(X, d)$ be complete metric space, continuous mapping $\rho : X \times X \to \mathcal{F}$ is called a gauge fuzzy mapping. If it has the following properties:

(I) $\rho(x, x) = 0$, $\forall x \in X$;

(II) For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$, such that

$$d(y, z) < \varepsilon,$$

for any $y, z \in X$ when $\rho(y, z) \leq \delta$;

(III) $\rho(X \times X)$ is the totally ordered sub set of $\mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 3.1 (Variational Principles of Fuzzy Mapping). Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space. If fuzzy mapping $F : M \to \mathcal{F}$ satisfy conditions (I)-(III):

(I) $F(X)$ is a totally ordered sub set of $\mathcal{F}$ with a H-difference;

(II) $F$ is lower semi-continuous and $\inf_{\lambda} F \in F(X)$;

(III) $F$ is a gauge fuzzy mapping, $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a positive sequence of points.

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z \in X$, when

$$F(z) \leq \inf_{\lambda} F + \varepsilon,$$

there exists a sequence of points $x_n \in X(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ such that $x_n \to x(n \to \infty)$ and

(I) $\rho(z, y) \leq \varepsilon/\sigma_0$

when $F(z) \leq \inf_{\lambda} F + \varepsilon$;

(II) $F(y) + \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sigma_n \rho(x_n, y) \leq F(z)$

when $F(z) \leq \inf_{\lambda} F + \varepsilon$;

(III) $F(x) + \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sigma_n \rho(x, x_n) \geq F(y) + \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sigma_n \rho(y, x_n)$

for any $x \in X$.

Proof. First we build a sequence of points $\{x_n\}$ which satisfies $x_n \in X(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ and $x_n \to x(n \to \infty)$.

Let

$$x_0 = z,$$

$$S_0 = \{x \in X | F(x) + \sigma_0 \rho(x, x_0) \leq F(x_0)\}$$

(3)

Because $F$ and $\rho(\cdot, x_0)$ are lower semi-continuous, $S_0$ is closed set according to Theorem 2.1. And for any $x \in S_0$, we have

$$\sigma_0 \rho(x, x_0) \leq F(x_0) - F(x)$$

$$\leq F(z) - \inf_{\lambda} F \leq \varepsilon$$

Find an $x_1 \in S_0$, which allows

$$F(x_1) + \delta_0 \rho(x_1, x_0)$$

$$\leq \inf_{\lambda \in S_0} \left[ F(x) + \sigma_0 \rho(x, x_0) \right] + \sigma_0 \rho(x_1, x_0)$$

(5)

Let

$$S_1 = \left\{ x \in S_0 | F(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k \rho(x, x_k) \right\}$$

(6)

Assuming that we define $S_{n-1}$ and $x_n \in S_{n-1}$, which allow

$$F(x_n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sigma_k \rho(x_n, x_k)$$

$$\leq \inf_{\lambda \in S_{n-1}} \left[ F(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sigma_k \rho(x, x_k) \right] + \varepsilon_0/2^n$$

(7)

Let

$$S_n = \left\{ x \in S_{n-1} | F(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sigma_k \rho(x, x_k) \right\}$$

(8)

We can obtain that $\{S_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a list of nonempty closed set according to Theorem 2.1. So by (7) and (8) we have:

$$\sigma_n \rho(x, x_n)$$

$$\leq \left[ F(x_n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sigma_k \rho(x_n, x_k) \right]$$

$$- F(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sigma_k \rho(x, x_k)$$

$$\leq \left[ F(x_n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sigma_k \rho(x_n, x_k) \right] - \inf_{\lambda \in S_{n-1}} \left[ F(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sigma_k \rho(x, x_k) \right].$$
\[ \leq \frac{\hat{\varepsilon} \sigma_n}{2^n \sigma_0}. \]

Therefore, for any \( x \in S_n \) (\( n = 1, 2, \cdots \)), we have

\[ \rho(x, x_n) \leq \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{2^n} \sigma_0 \tag{9} \]

Since \( \rho \) is a fuzzy gauge mapping, so by (9) we have

\[ d(x, x_n) \to 0 (n \to \infty). \]

On the other hand, by \( S_n \subset S_{n-1} \) and \( \text{diam}(S_n) \to 0 \), there exists an unique \( y \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n \),

which allows a sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converge to \( y \in X \).

Next we will prove that \( \{x_n\} \) and \( y \) satisfy the conclusion (I)-(III) of Theorem 3.1.

(I) by (4) and

\[ y \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n, \]

it’s obviously that \( \rho(y, z) \leq \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{\sigma_0}; \)

(II) by (3), (8) and

\[ y \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n, \]

for any natural number \( q \geq m \), we have

\[ F(x_0) \geq F(x_m) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sigma_k \rho(x_m, x_k) \]

\[ \geq F(x_q) + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \sigma_k \rho(x_q, x_k) \]

\[ \geq F(y) + \sum_{k=0}^{q} \sigma_k \rho(y, x_k) \]

Therefore

\[ F(x_0) \geq F(y) + \sum_{k=0}^{q} \sigma_k \rho(y, x_k). \tag{10} \]

Let \( q \to +\infty \), according to (10), we have

\[ F(z) \geq F(y) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k \rho(y, x_k) \]

(III) Since for any \( x \neq y \), \( x \notin \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n \). There exists natural number \( m \) which allows \( x \notin S_m \). So according to (8) we have

\[ F(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sigma_k \rho(x, x_k) \leq F(y) + \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sigma_k \rho(y, x_k) \]

\[ \geq F(x_m) + \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sigma_k \rho(x_m, x_k) \]

\[ \geq F(x_m) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sigma_k \rho(x_m, x_k) \big( \varepsilon \to \infty \big). \tag{11} \]

Let \( m \to +\infty \), according to (11), we have

\[ F(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k \rho(x, x_k) \geq F(y) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k \rho(y, x_k) \]

Corollary 3.1. Let \( F: R^n \to F \) be a fuzzy lower semi-continuous bounded mapping. If \( F \) satisfy conditions (1)-(3):

(I) \( F\left(R^n\right) \) is the totally ordered sub set of \( F \) with \( H \)-difference;

(II) \( \inf_{R^n} F \in F \left(R^n\right) \) and \( \lambda > 0, \rho \geq 1 \).

Then for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( z \in R^n \), there exists \( y \in R^n \) which allows:

(I) \( \|z - y\| \leq \lambda \);  

(II) \( F(y) \leq F(z) \);

(III) \( F(x) + \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{\lambda} \|x - z\| \geq F(y) + \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{\lambda} \|y - z\|, \forall x \in R^n \).

When \( F(z) \leq \inf_{R^n} F + \hat{\varepsilon} \).

Proof. Let

\[ \rho(x, y) = \|x - z\|^{\varepsilon} / \lambda^\rho, \]

then

\[ \rho : R^n \times R^n \to \mathcal{F} \]

is a gauge fuzzy mapping.

Take

\[ \sigma_n = \frac{1}{2^n} (n = 0, 1, 2 \cdots), \]

then we can easily prove the conclusion of Corollary 3.1 according to Theorem 3.1.
4. Fuzzy Approximate Sum Rule

Lemma 4.1. Let

$$F, G : R^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

be lower semi-continuous fuzzy mapping. If \( F(R^n) \) is totally ordered subset of \( \mathcal{F} \), \( G(M) \subset \bar{R} \) and \( G \) is differentiable at point \( x_0 \), we have

$$\partial (F + G)(x_0) = \partial F(x_0) + \nabla G(x_0).$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Proof. According to Theorem 2.2 (II), we have

$$\partial F(x_0) + \nabla G(x_0) \subset \partial (F + G)(x_0).$$

So we can prove (12) by proving

$$\partial (F + G)(x_0) \subset \partial F(x_0) + \nabla G(x_0).$$

Let

$$\xi = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n) \in \partial (F + G)(x_0),$$

then for any \( x \in R^n \), we have

$$(F + G)(x) \geq (F + G)(x_0) + \{\xi, z - x_0\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Therefore for any \( \alpha \in [0,1], \) we have

$$\xi^*(\alpha) \in \partial (F + G)(x_0) \ast (\alpha)$$

$$\geq (F + G)(x_0) \ast (\alpha) + \{\xi^*(\alpha), z - x_0\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

According to the basic properties of the sub-differential of real valued functions, we have

$$\xi_1^*(\alpha) \in \partial (F + G)(x_0) \ast (\alpha)$$

$$= \partial F(x_0) \ast (\alpha) + \nabla G(x_0) \ast (\alpha),$$

$$\xi^*(\alpha) \in \partial (F + G)(x_0) \ast (\alpha)$$

$$= \partial F(x_0) \ast (\alpha) + \nabla G(x_0) \ast (\alpha).$$

So there exists

$$\xi_1^*(\alpha) \in \partial F(x_0) \ast (\alpha)$$

$$\xi_2^*(\alpha) \in \nabla G(x_0) \ast (\alpha)$$

Such that

$$\xi_1^*(\alpha) = \xi_1^*(\alpha) + \xi_2^*(\alpha)$$

$$\xi^*(\alpha) = \xi^*(\alpha) + \xi_2^*(\alpha)$$

On the other hand, by \( G(M) \subset \bar{R} \) and \( G \) is differentiable at \( x_0 \), we have

$$\nabla G(x_0) = \{\xi_2^*\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Therefore for any \( \alpha \in [0,1] \) we have

$$\nabla G(x_0) \ast (\alpha) = \nabla G(x_0) \ast (\alpha)$$

and

$$\nabla G(x_0) = \{\xi_2^*\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Theorem 4.2 (Fuzzy Approximate Sum Rule). Let

$$F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n : R^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

be lower semi-continuous bounded fuzzy mappings. If \( F_i(R^n) \) satisfy conditions (I)-(II):

(I) \( F_i(R^n) \) is totally ordered subset of \( \mathcal{F} \) with \( \mathcal{H} \)-difference;

(ii) \( F_i(R^n) \) is differentiable at point \( x_0 \), we have

$$\nabla F_i(x_0) = \{\xi_i^*\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Therefore for any \( \alpha \in [0,1] \) we have

$$\nabla F_i(x_0) \ast (\alpha) = \nabla F_i(x_0) \ast (\alpha)$$

and

$$\nabla F_i(x_0) = \{\xi_i^*\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Therefore, by (13) and (14), for any \( \alpha \in [0,1] \) we have

$$F(z) \geq F(x_0) + \{\xi_1, z - x_0\},$$

$$F(z) \ast (\alpha) \geq F(x_0) \ast (\alpha) + \{\xi^*(\alpha), z - x_0\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Therefore,

$$F(z) \geq F(x_0) + \{\xi_1, z - x_0\},$$

i.e.

$$\xi_1 \in \partial F(x_0).$$

So

$$\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \in \partial F(x_0) + \nabla G(x_0).$$

Theorem 4.2 (Fuzzy Approximate Sum Rule). Let

$$F_j : R^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \quad (j = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$$

be lower semi-continuous bounded fuzzy mappings. If \( F_j(R^n) \) satisfy conditions (I)-(II):

(i) \( F_j(R^n) \) is totally ordered subset of \( \mathcal{F} \) with \( \mathcal{H} \)-difference;
Then for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( z_j \in \mathbb{R}^n \), there exists \( y_j \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( u_j \in \partial F_j(y_j) \) which allow:

\[
\hat{0} \in \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_j + \hat{\varepsilon} B,
\]

when \( F(z_j) \leq \inf_{\mathbb{R}^n} F + \hat{\varepsilon} \), where \( B \) is a unit ball in \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

Proof. Let

\[
F_j : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F} (j = 1, 2, \ldots, m)
\]

be fuzzy mapping which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.2. Then when

\[
\lambda > 2m, \varepsilon > 0, z_j \in \mathbb{R}^n
\]

and

\[
F(z_j) \leq \inf_{\mathbb{R}^n} F + \hat{\varepsilon},
\]

according to 3.1, there exists \( y_j \in \mathbb{R}^n (j = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \) which allows

\[
\|F_j - y_j\| < \lambda
\]

and

\[
F(x_j) + \|y_j - z_j\|^2 \hat{\varepsilon}/\lambda^2
\]

obtain the minimum at \( x_j = y_j \). So by Theorem 2.3, we have

\[
\hat{0} \in \partial \left( F_j + \|x_j - z_j\|^2 \hat{\varepsilon}/\lambda^2 \right)(y_j).
\]

On the other hand, according to Lemma 4.1, we have:

\[
\partial \left( F_j + (\hat{\varepsilon}/\lambda^2)\|x_j - z_j\|^2 \right)(y_j)
\]

\[
= \partial F_j(y_j) + \nabla \left( \|x_j - z_j\|^2 \hat{\varepsilon}/\lambda^2 \right)
\]

\[
= \partial F_j(y_j) + \left( 2 \hat{\varepsilon}/\lambda^2 \right)(x_j - z_j)(j = 1, 2, \ldots, m).
\]

According to

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{2}{\lambda^2}(y_j - z_j)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \cdot m \lambda
\]

\[
= \frac{2m}{\lambda} < 1
\]

we can obtain

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{2}{\lambda^2}(y_j - z_j) \in B.
\]

Therefore

\[
\hat{0} \in \sum_{j=1}^{m} \partial F_j(y_j) + \hat{\varepsilon} B.
\]

So there exists \( u_j \in \partial F_j(y_j) (j = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \), such that

\[
\hat{0} \in \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_j + \hat{\varepsilon} B.
\]

5. Conclusion

We are inspired by non-smooth variation principles and try to introduce the skill of non-smooth variation into fuzzy analysis. The basic properties of sub-differential of fuzzy mapping is discussed. A variation principle of fuzzy mapping is proved and applied into the variation problem of fuzzy mappings. Its approximation and rules in sub-differential are given. Studying method in this paper and the conclusion we get may extend a new searching direction and it is hopeful to obtain a new series of conclusion.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Science Fund of China (11461052) and the Inner Mongolia Natural Science Foundation of China (2014 MS 0107).

References

[1] S. S. L. Chang, L. A. Zadeh, On fuzzy mappings and control, IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybernet. 2 (1972), 30-34.
[2] M. L. Puri, D. A. Ralescu, Differentials for fuzzy functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 91 (1983), 552-558.
[3] M. Panigrahi, G. Panda, S. Nanda, Convex fuzzy mapping with differentiability and its application in fuzzy optimization, European J. Oper. Res., 185 (2008), 47-62.
[4] B. Bede, L. Stefanini, Generalized differentiability of fuzzy-valued functions, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 230 (2013), 119-141.
[5] C. Zang, X. H. Yuan, E. S. Lee, Duality theory in fuzzy mathematical programming problems with fuzzy coefficients. Comput. Math. Appl., 49 (2005), 1709-1730.

[6] G. X. Wang, C. X. Wu. Directional derivatives and subdifferential of convex fuzzy mappings and application in convex fuzzy programming. Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 138 (2003), 559-591.

[7] Y. E. Bao, B. Dai, Researches on convex extension problems of fuzzy valued functions, J. Math. Comput. Sci., 16 (2015), 239-247.

[8] Y. E. Bao, J. J. Li, A study on the differential and subdifferential of fuzzy mapping and its application problem, J. Nonl. Sci. Appl., 16 (2015), 239-247.

[9] J. M. Borwein, Q. J. Zhu. Techniques of variation analysis. Springer-Verilog, New York, 2005.

[10] F. H. Clarke, Y. S. Ledyaev. Non smooth analysis and control theory. Springer-Verilog, New York, 1998.

[11] T. X. D. Ha. Some variants of the ekeland variational principle for a set-valued mappings. J. Optim. Theory. Appl. 124 (2005), 187-206.

[12] C. X. Wu, C. Wu, The supremum and infimum of the set of fuzzy numbers and its application. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 210 (1997), 499-511.

[13] Y. E. Bao, C. X. Wu. Convexity and semi-continuity of fuzzy mappings. Comput. Math. Appl. 51 (2006), 1809-1816.