Multi-layer perceptron based neural network model predicting maximum severity of *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius) on groundnut in relation to climate for Dharwad region of Karnataka (India)
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ABSTRACT. A multi-layer perceptron (MLP) neural network model for predicting adult moth population of tobacco caterpillar (*Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius)) in groundnut cropping system of Dharwad (Karnataka) was developed and tested using the long term (24 years : 1990-2013) trap catches of the pest and weather data of Kharif season [26 to 44 standard meteorological weeks (SMW)]. The weekly male moth catches of *S. litura* during maximum severity observed at 34 SMW was modelled using the weather parameters viz., maximum temperature (°C), minimum temperature (°C), rainfall (mm) and morning and afternoon relative humidity (%) lagged by two weeks. The principle component analysis was performed using meteorological data of preceding two weeks (32 and 33 SMW) in order to create fewer linearly independent factors. Five principal component scores which together accounted for 90 per cent of variations in data were used as input variables for neural network model. A MLP neural network with five input nodes and one hidden layer consisting of eleven hidden nodes was found to be suitable in terms of adequacy measures for modelling the population dynamics of *S. litura*. While data sets of 1990-2009 were used for developing the model, data of four seasons (2010-2013) were used for testing and validation. The performance of the model was assessed in terms of root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute percentage error (MAPE). The validation results clearly showed that the neural network based model is effective in dealing with the apparently random behaviour of the *S. litura* dynamics on groundnut.
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1. Introduction

Groundnut also known as peanut (Arachis hypogaea) grown throughout the world is considered as one of the important oilseed crops with its economic and nutritional importance known worldwide. India is the second largest groundnut producing country (7.04 mt) after China (16.66 mt) with its cultivation throughout the year in three seasons viz., Kharif, Rabi and Summer contributing to one fifth of the total oilseed production in the country (Govt. of India, MoA, 2013 and FAOSTAT, 2013). Fifteen per cent out of Rs 8,63,884 million losses per annum due to insect pests in Indian agriculture is accounted for groundnut crop (Dhaliwal et al., 2010). Among the insect pests of groundnut, the polyphagous tobacco caterpillar (Spodoptera litura, Fabricius) attacks groundnut crop throughout the world and has shown increasing incidence in the recent times with its outbreaks during 2005, 2008 and 2009 in India (Prasad et al., 2013). Both gregarious and solitary feeding by early and late S. litura larval stages reduce the leaf area for photosynthesis which in turn limits the yield potential of the crop. S. litura in cropping systems is commonly monitored by deploying pheromone traps @ 2-5/ha that gives information on initiation and population levels during the cropping season (Manual of Groundnut Pest Surveillance, 2011). Population levels of insects monitored regularly over a long period across many seasons aid in development of forecast models. It is well known that the crop and its pests are influenced by the prevailing weather during the cropping season in a given location. Weather is a key driver determining insect-pest abundance and thus the damage to the crop. Rao et al. (1989) have discussed the thresholds and thermal requirement for the development of tobacco caterpillar that would aid in forecast of future population. Several researchers have done modelling using linear as well as non-linear relationships in forewarning pests of agriculture crops (Agrawal et al., 1986; Chattopadhyay et al., 2005; Samui et al., 2005 & 2007).

Recently, artificial neural networks are used in the area of classification, modelling and prediction (Huang et al., 2010), where regression and other related statistical models have traditionally been employed. Dewolf and FrancI (1997) demonstrated the applicability of neural network technology for plant disease forecasting. Jha and Sinha (2014) briefly explained the working nature of neural network modelling for forecasting purpose. Yang et al. (2009) have applied principal components of weather variables as input for the back propagation artificial neural network (BP-ANN) methods to find out a non-linear relation between the pest occurrence and the meteorological factors.

Current study attempted to model the population dynamics of S. litura moths caught in pheromone traps with weather parameters of the location using principal component based multiple linear regression and neural network models.

2. Materials and method

2.1. Study location and data accrual

The groundnut cropping system of Dharwad (15° 2’ N to 74° 57’ E) located in the State of Karnataka was selected for the study. Data on S. litura moth catches (nos/trap/week) in pheromone traps, and weather data viz., maximum temperature (MaxT, °C), minimum temperature (MinT, °C), morning and afternoon relative humidity (RHI & RHII,%) and rainfall (RF, mm) for Kharif season spread across nineteen weeks between 26 and 44 SMW for 24 years (1990 to 2013) recorded at the University of Agricultural Sciences, Krishinagar, Dharwad were obtained.

2.2. Model development

As a first step towards model development, all the weather and pest data were first normalised. Normalisation of each parameter was carried out by subtracting its mean value and dividing by its standard deviation to have zero mean value and unity variance for all variables. Secondly, the mean and the maximum S. litura moth catches in respect of standard meteorological weeks (SMW) over the years were examined to determine the peak period of infestation viz., the time of maximum severity during Kharif season. The Bartlett’s sphericity test was applied to ensure the applicability of principal component analysis to the original data. Subsequently, the population occurrence of S. litura during its maximum severity was modelled using principal component regression and principal component based neural network models with the help of collected data pertaining to trap catches of S. litura and weather parameters of Kharif season. Correlation between the S. litura at its maximum severity (34 SMW) and five weather parameters for the corresponding (34 SMW), one lagged (33 SMW) and two lagged (32 SMW) weeks was examined considering the cases from 24 seasons.

2.3. Principal component (PC) analysis

Since the pest population of the current week depends on the weather factors of preceding weeks, the principal component (PC) analysis was performed on 10 weather parameters (five for each 32 and 33 SMW) to obtain principal component scores base on correlation matrix (Montgomery et al., 2003) in order to model the
1. **Artificial neural network (ANN)**

The general form of the ANN output produced by a network consisting of $p$ input nodes, $q$ hidden nodes and one output node can be written as

$$y_i = g\left(\alpha_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{q} \alpha_k f\left(\beta_{0k} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{jk} x_j\right)\right)$$

$$i = 1, 2, ..., n$$

where, $y_i$ denotes the pest population in $i^{th}$ year, $f$ and $g$ denote the activation function at hidden and output layer respectively. $\beta_{jk}$ is the weight attached to the connection between $j^{th}$ input node and the $k^{th}$ node of hidden layer, $\beta_{0k}$ is the bias at the input layer, $\alpha_k$ is the weight attached to the connection from $k^{th}$ hidden node to the output node and $\alpha_0$ is the bias at the hidden layer. Since the universal approximation theorem suggests that a neural network with a single hidden layer and sufficiently large number of neurons can well approximate any nonlinear function (Haykin, 1994), neural network model with single hidden layer was designed for this study. Fig. 1 shows a typical MLP neural network model. For the estimation of neural network models, the data was divided into training and testing sets. The training test is used for model development and the testing is employed for evaluating the prediction ability as well as validation of the model in view of small data set in the present study.

2. **Evaluation and validation of developed models**

The forecasting performance of both the fitted models namely PCR and MLP-ANN were evaluated on the basis of coefficient of determination ($R^2$), root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) (Jha and Sinha, 2014). The PCR and MLP-ANN models were tested for their performance by matching the predicted with the observed maximum severity of $S. litura$ over the four seasons of 2010-2013.

3. **Results and discussion**

3.1. **Temporal distribution of $S. litura$**

Fig. 2 presents the maximum severity pertaining to $S. litura$ moth catches (nos/trap/week) corresponding to the individual Kharif seasons (26 SMW (second last week of June) to 44 SMW (last week of October)) over the 24 years (1990-2013). The SMW of maximum severity in respect of individual seasons is also furnished in Fig. 2. The levels of maximum severity of $S. litura$ (nos/trap/week) ranged from 34 during 36 SMW of 2001 to 1376 during 34 SMW of 2009 indicating greater seasonal differences. The vast difference in abundance is possibly associated with the size of the initial population modulated by the biotic and abiotic factors prior to Kharif season, and of the variables of crop/cropping system and natural enemies in addition to variations in weather on the $S. litura$ stages in a given season. Period of maximum trap catches in respect of individual seasons indicated highest frequency of occurrence during 34 SMW (six times)
TABLE 1
Correlation between S. litura (nos/ trap/week) and weather variables

| SMW of S. litura | SMW of weather variables | Correlation coefficients |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                |                          | MaxT (˚C) | MinT (˚C) | RHI (%) | RHII (%) | RF (mm) |
| 32             |                          | 0.449*    | 0.233     | -0.048  | -0.531** | -0.185  |
| 34             |                          | 0.544**   | 0.264     | 0.043   | -0.425*  | -0.042  |
| 34             |                          | 0.235     | 0.142     | 0.202   | -0.175   | 0.356   |

*: significant at p≤0.05 & **: significant at p≤0.01

TABLE 2
First five principal component loadings for weather variables*

| SMW | Variable | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC4 | PC5 |
|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 32  | MaxT     | -0.82| -0.02| -0.22| 0.07| -0.35|
|     | MinT     | -0.15| 0.87 | -0.21| 0.06| -0.04|
|     | RHI      | 0.86 | -0.13| -0.16| 0.39| 0.17 |
|     | RHII     | 0.87 | -0.09| 0.42 | -0.07| 0.01 |
|     | RF       | 0.44 | -0.19| 0.07 | 0.02| 0.84 |
| 33  | MaxT     | -0.15| 0.32 | -0.86| -0.16| -0.19|
|     | MinT     | -0.04| 0.86 | -0.14| -0.04| -0.09|
|     | RHI      | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.32 | 0.93 | 0.00 |
|     | RHII     | 0.20 | -0.23| 0.86 | 0.32 | -0.04|
|     | RF       | -0.13| -0.62| 0.33 | 0.47 | 0.39 |
| % variance | 42.9 | 19.86 | 12.92 | 8.72 | 5.52 |

*: The values marked in bold indicate the high correlation between the weather variables and the corresponding PCs

followed by 36 SMW (five times) and 35 SMW (two times). Although the period of maximum severity showed the shifting peaks between 27 SMW in 1996 and 40 SMW in 2013, the long term averages over growing seasons for each of the SMWs indicated a continuously increasing population between 30 and 36 SMWs and the peak at 34 SMW.

3.2. Influence of weather on S. litura

Location of Dharwad showed ranges of 26.4-29.9 °C, 18.1-21.1 °C, 82.9-92.3%, 58.8 to 81.4% and 6.5-40.9 mm of maximum temperature (MaxT), minimum temperature (MinT), morning relative humidity (RHI), afternoon relative humidity (RHII) and weekly total rainfall (RF), respectively during the Kharif cropping seasons over years with mean S. litura population (nos/trap/week) as low as 6 in 44 SMW to 345 in 34 SMW (Fig. 3).

Fig. 3. Seasonal mean weather variables vis a vis mean and maximum population of S. litura over 24 years (1990-2013)

Significant positive and negative impact of MaxT and RHII of the lagged weeks (32 and 33 SMW), respectively were seen through correlation analysis on S. litura of 34 SMW (Table 1), the period of maximum severity due to the influence of these weather variables on the pupal stage of S. litura, non-feeding spent in the soil before emergence. The pupal duration of 6-12 days (Prasad et al., 2013) coincide with lagged weeks of weather that had influenced the emergence of moths and hence the maximum severity of S. litura. Early studies have also shown the significance of weather variables of lagged weeks on the development of pests of rice (Samui et al., 2005 & 2007) at Pattambi, Kerala.

3.3. Models predicting maximum severity of S. litura

3.3.1. Principal component regression (PCR) model

Principal component (PC) analysis is concerned with explaining the variance covariance structure through a few linear combinations of the original variables, and is one of the most effective multivariate techniques for dimensionality reduction which constitute an important step for statistical pattern recognition. Principal component regression also avoids the problem of multicollinearity associated with the present data arising out of the correlated weather variables. A calculated value of chi-square as 140.87 (df. 45, p<0.01) through Bartlett’s sphericity test implied the suitability of PC analysis to the present data. The PC analysis was done using the ten weather variables (five weather variables for each of 32 and 33 SMW) for feature extraction of the data. Sensitivity of weather variables was evaluated in terms of principal component loadings, which are nothing but the correlations among principal component scores and the meteorological factors. All ten weather variables (five each of 32 and 33 SMW) were included in the five selected PCs. Table 2 summarises the results of the PC...
Table 3
Principal component regression

| Independent variable | Regression coefficient | Standard error | t value | p value | $R^2$ |
|----------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------|---------|-------|
| PCS$_1$              | -0.153                 | 0.074          | -2.050  | 0.053   | 0.501 |
| PCS$_3$              | -0.489                 | 0.136          | -3.602  | 0.002   |       |
| PCS$_4$              | 0.326                  | 0.165          | 1.977   | 0.061   |       |

Table 4
Error statistics for prediction models

| Model         | $R^2$ | RMSE | MAPE |
|---------------|-------|------|------|
| PCR           | 0.501 | 0.692| 1.170|
| <MLP>(5, 11, 1)| 0.631 | 0.595| 0.737|

Fig. 4. Observed and predicted maximum severity of *S. litura* during 34 SMW using MLP model

3.3.2. Multi-layered perceptron (MLP) based artificial neural network (ANN)

Considering the non-linear distribution pattern of adult moth population, artificial neural network model with one hidden layer and one output node was used to predict the peak occurrence of *S. litura*. In this study we varied the input nodes between one to five principal component scores of weather variables. The number of hidden nodes was varied from 2 to 14 with basic cross-validation method. The resulting neural network model performed relatively better with six to eleven hidden nodes. In general, more hidden nodes in the model suggest complex interactions among the weather variables. A total of 65 models with different number of nodes in the input and hidden layers were tested for the data. Table 4 shows the goodness of fit for the prediction models developed through PCR and MLP. A model with smallest RMSE and MAPE and the largest $R^2$ is considered to be the best.

A MLP neural network with five input nodes and eleven nodes in hidden layer was found to be the most accurate for modelling the *S. litura* maximum severity. Principal component based MLP network performs better in comparison to principal component regression. The principal component regression models could capture only linear relation while neural network based model could explain non-linear relationship between weather variables and the pest population occurrence and thus better suited for predicting pest population dynamics. Fig. 4 shows the comparison between the model prediction and the actual observation of *S. litura* maximum severity at 34 SMW.

4. Conclusions

The dynamics of *S. litura* in the groundnut cropping system as measured through moth catches in the pheromone traps at Dharwad followed a nonlinear relation with weather variables. Long term data indicated 34 SMW to be the period of maximum severity with the maximum temperature and relative humidity (both morning and afternoon) of the 32 and 33 SMWs having significant...
influence on the *S. litura* moth population. The ability of linear and nonlinear models to predict *S. litura* moth population at maximum severity compared using PCR and MLP neural network, respectively indicated the superiority of the later over the former. Principal component analysis reduced the dimensionality of input weather data and improved the prediction ability of the multilayer perceptron (MLP) neural network model. Validation clearly demonstrated the utility of the MLP model in predicting the maximum severity of *S. litura*. Applicability of the MLP model in predicting *S. litura* maximum severity for future years requires all the weather variables considered in this study pertaining to 32 and 33 SMW as inputs in addition to integration of neural network methodology into a standalone or web enabled tool for forecasting. Since the peak population of *S. litura* largely determined its scenario and severity for a given season and the fact that adult moth population levels are directly related to the size of the previous week’s larval population inflicting damage to the crop. Thus the weather-based forewarning using neural network based model would be highly useful for issuing pest advisory leading to be in readiness for pest management.
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