Estimation of the density of neural, glial, and endothelial lineage cells in the adult mouse dentate gyrus

Joshua D. Rieskamp1,2, Patricia Sarchet2, Bryon M. Smith2, Elizabeth D. Kirby2,3,4,*

Abstract
The dentate gyrus subregion of the mammalian hippocampus is an adult neural stem cell niche and site of lifelong neurogenesis. Hypotheses regarding the role of adult-born neuron synaptic integration in hippocampal circuit function are framed by robust estimations of adult-born versus perinatally-born neuron number. In contrast, the non-neurogenic functions of adult neural stem cells and their immediate progeny, such as secretion of bioactive growth factors and expression of extracellular matrix-modifying proteins, lack similar framing due to few estimates of their number versus other prominent secretory cells. Here, we apply immunohistochemical methods to estimate cell density of neural stem/progenitor cells versus other major classes of glial and endothelial cell types that are potentially secretory in the dentate gyrus of adult mice. Of the cell types quantified, we found that GFAP+SOX2+ stellate astrocytes were the most numerous, followed by CD31+ endothelia, GFAP−SOX2+ intermediate progenitors, Olig2+ oligodendrocytes, Iba1+ microglia, and GFAP+SOX2− radial glia-like neural stem cells. We did not observe any significant sex differences in density of any cell population. Notably, neural stem/progenitor cells were present at a similar density as several cell types known to have potent functional roles via their secretome. These findings may be useful for refining hypotheses regarding the contributions of these cell types to regulating hippocampal function and their potential therapeutic uses. All experimental protocols were approved by the Ohio State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol# 2016A00000068) on July 14, 2016.
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Introduction
The mammalian hippocampus is well studied for its role in episodic memory, spatial navigation, and mood regulation (Strange et al., 2014). Within the hippocampal circuit, the dentate gyrus (DG) subregion mediates crucial computational processes related to memory, such as pattern separation, and affect regulation (Yassa and Stark, 2011; Knierim and Neunuebel, 2016). The DG is unique compared to most adult brain circuits because it hosts a specialized niche where radial glia-like neural stem cells (RGL-NSCs) continuously generate granule neurons throughout life. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis occurs in most mammals, possibly including humans (Gonçalves et al., 2016; Boldrini et al., 2018; Moreno-Jiménez et al., 2019), though recent reports call into question its extent in humans (Sorrells et al., 2018). Rodent studies implicate new granule neurons generated via adult neurogenesis in several key cognitive tasks carried out by the DG (Miller and Sahay, 2019). Furthermore, experimental manipulations that mitigate age-related declines in neurogenesis improve cognitive performance (McAvoy et al., 2016; Berdugo-Vega et al., 2020), raising the possibility that targeting neurogenesis could be therapeutically useful. Therefore, developing a comprehensive picture of the factors that regulate adult hippocampal neurogenesis and how it then contributes to hippocampal function is a topic of intense investigation.
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While numerous studies support a functional role of adult-born neurons in the DG, more recently, other functions of RGL-NSCs and their progenitor progeny (neural stem/progenitor cells [NSPCs] all together), beyond generation of new neurons, have emerged. For example, several studies show that adult DG NSPCs have a rich, soluble secretome (Denninger et al., 2020) that can regulate processes such as their own proliferative maintenance (Kirby et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2018) or neuronal maturation (Tang et al., 2019). Immature neuroblasts have similarly been shown to modulate DG function through a senescent secretome that regulates microglia activation (Jin et al., 2021). Adult NSPCs may also modulate the extracellular matrix though cell surface expression of matrix modifying enzymes (Kjell et al., 2020). Cumulatively, these studies reveal unexpected, non-neurogenic functions of NSPCs.

A key step in framing our understanding of the functional relevance of immature neurons has been quantifying their number relative to mature granule neurons (Cameron and McKay, 2001; Jinno and Kosaka, 2010; Jinno, 2011). A similar framing for non-neurogenic functions of NSPCs requires comparison to a broad spectrum of cell types from multiple lineages and is currently lacking. Previous studies quantifying non-neural DG cells mostly report only a select few cell types. As a result, one must combine multiple sources to obtain a comprehensive estimate of DG cell composition. This approach is problematic because cell density estimates vary substantially across studies, even for commonly quantified cell types (Keller et al., 2018), leading to considerably different conclusions depending on which data is used to draw comparisons. In addition, while efforts have been made more recently to generate comprehensive atlasses (Erö et al., 2018), these databases currently do not include information about neurogenesis-relevant DG cell types such as NSPCs and vascular endothelial cells.

To create a single assessment of non-neuronal DG cell types, in the widely used C57BL/6 mouse model, we use standard immunohistochemical methods in fixed tissue within the same study to estimate the relative densities of radial glial-like NSCs (RGL-NSCs) and their intermediate progenitor cell (IPC) progeny, neuroblasts/immature neurons, oligodendroglial cells, astrocytes, microglia, and vascular endothelial cells in the DG. To our knowledge, this represents the first effort to quantify these cell types from multiple major lineages within a single study and to compare NSPC density to other major classes of secretory cells.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

All experimental protocols were performed in accordance with institutional guidelines approved by the Ohio State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol# 2016A00000066, approved on July 14, 2016) and with recommendations of the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. C57BL/6 mice (5 males, 4 females) were acquired from Jackson Laboratories at 7 weeks of age and housed in a vivarium at Ohio State University with 24-hour ad libitum water and standard mouse chow on a 12-hour light-dark cycle for 1 week. Mice weighed 18–20 g and received one injection of 150 mg/kg bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) dissolved in physiological saline, and 2 hours later were anesthetized with intraperitoneal injections of 87.5 mg/kg ketamine (West-Ward, Eatontown, NJ, USA)/12.5 mg/kg xylazine (Akorn, Lake Forest, IL, USA) and transcardially perfused with 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS).

**Tissue processing and immunohistochemical staining**

Brains were fixed for 24 hours in 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer then equilibrated for at least 2 days in 30% sucrose in 0.1 M PBS, both at 4°C. They were then sliced on a freezing microtome (Leica, Buffalo Grove, IL, USA) in a 1 in 12 series of 40 µm slices. Slices were stored in cryoprotectant at −20°C. Immunohistochemical staining was performed on free-floating sections as previously described (Smith et al., 2018). Briefly, sections were rinsed three times in PBS, incubated in blocking solution (1% normal donkey serum, 0.3% triton-X 100 in PBS) for 30 minutes then incubated in primary antibody (Table 1) diluted in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C on rotation. The next day, sections were rinsed and incubated in secondary antibody (Table 1) in blocking solution at room temperature for 2 hours, followed by 10 minutes in Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) diluted 1:2000 in PBS. After rinsing, sections were mounted on Superfrost Plus glass slides (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and cover-slipped with Prolong Gold Anti-fade medium (Invitrogen). After drying, slides were stored in the dark at 4°C until imaging. For BrdU immunohistochemical labeling, the above procedures were followed with the addition of 30-minute incubation in 2 N HCl at 37°C to denature DNA before the blocking step.

**Stereological cell counts**

Unbiased stereological cell counts were performed in a single series of every 12th slice for each cell phenotype marker. Images of the dorsal DG, anterior-posterior (AP) −2.4 mm to −2.4 mm (Paxinos and Franklin, 2013), were captured using Zeiss AxiosImager.M2 microscope and a Zeiss MRc digital camera (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Cells were counted at 100× (NeuN) or 40× (all other cell types) magnification with oil immersion using the optical fractionator method (StereoInvestigator, MBF Bioscience, Williston, VT, USA). The counting frame had an area of 625 µm² (NeuN) or 10,000 µm² (all other markers) and a height of 15 µm with 5 µm guard zones. Proliferating cells and oligodendroglial cells were identified using the nuclear markers in Table 1. Endothelial cells and microglia were identified by the cytoplasmic markers in Table 1 surrounding a Hoechst nucleus. RGL-NSCs were identified as sex determining region Y-box 2 (SOX2+) nuclei in the subgranular zone (SGZ) surrounded by glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP+) cytoplasm with an apical process extending into the granular cell layer. IPCs were identified as SOX2+ nuclei in the SGZ lacking cytoplasmic GFAP. Astrocytes were identified as SOX2+ cells with GFAP+ stellate processes located in any DG subregion.

**BrdU colocalization analysis**

Colocalization analysis was performed to determine the identity of BrdU-labeled cells. A Zeiss Axio Observer Z.1 with apotome digital imaging system and AxioCam 506 monochrome camera was used to acquire 20 µm z-stack images at 1 µm intervals. BrdU-labeled nuclei in the SGZ were manually analyzed via Zen Blue software (Zeiss) for colocalization with SOX2 and GFAP staining.

**Cell density and distribution**

Cell density was calculated from total stereological cell count estimate divided by sampled DG tissue volume. Because immunohistochemical processing causes tissue shrinkage, sampled tissue volume was estimated using sampled area adjusted by the proportional change in measured post-processing tissue thickness (i.e. thickness measured in 3 locations per slice using StereoInvestigator versus the sliced thickness of 40 µm).

Incomplete antibody penetration can interfere with obtaining accurate stereological estimates. We verified complete antibody penetration by comparing the number of cells for each marker counted in five consecutive 1 µm z-sections in the middle of the tissue compared to the 5 consecutive 1 µm z-sections immediately below the guard zone (top). We observed similar counts obtained from the middle z-sections compared to the top, suggesting uniform antibody penetration.
Table 1 | Antibodies used for immunohistochemical identification of cell phenotype

| Antibody   | Host species | Cell type          | Antigen retrieval | Vendor/Product# | RRID   | Dilution | Reference/Control |
|------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|----------|-------------------|
| Anti-SOX2  | Rat          | RGL, IPC, astrocyte| None              | eBioscience 14-9811 | AB_2865465 | 1:1000   | Andersson-Rolf et al., 2017 |
| Anti-GFAP  | Rabbit       | RGL, astrocyte     | None              | Dako Z-0334     | AB_10013382 | 1:1000   | Michalovicz et al., 2019   |
| Anti-MCM2  | Rabbit       | Proliferating cells| None              | Cell Signaling 4007 | AB_2142134 | 1:500    | Wang et al., 2017          |
| Anti-BrdU  | Rabbit       | Proliferating cells| 2 N HCl, 37°C    | BD Biosciences BDB 347580 | AB_10015219 | 1:500    | No BrdU injection          |
| Anti-IDCX  | Rabbit       | Neuroblast/ immature neuron | Cell Signaling 4604 | AB_561007 | 1:500 |        | Tang et al., 2019           |
| Anti-NeuN  | Mouse        | Mature Neuron      | None              | EMD Milipore MAB377 | AB_2298772 | 1:500    | Buscemi et al., 2019       |
| Anti-ib1   | Goat         | Microglia          | None              | Abcam Ab5076    | AB_2224402 | 1:200    | Smith et al., 2018         |
| Anti-CD31  | Rat          | Endothelia         | None              | BD Pharmingen 550274 | AB_393571 | 1:100    | Buscemi et al., 2019       |
| Anti-Olig2 | Mouse        | Oligodendroglia lineage | EMD Milipore MABN50 | AB_10807410 | 1:1000 |        | Valerio-Gomes et al., 2018 |

Secondary antibodies

| Antibody      | Host species | Cell type         | Antigen retrieval | Vendor/Product# | RRID   | Dilution | Reference/Control |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|----------|-------------------|
| 647 anti-rabbit | Donkey | GFAP, MCM2 | N/A             | Invitrogen A31573 | AB_2536138 | 1:500 | No primary |
| 594 anti-rat  | Donkey      | SOX2, CD31        | N/A              | Invitrogen A21209 | AB_2535795 | 1:500 | No primary |
| 488 anti-mouse | Donkey | BrdU, NeuN       | N/A              | Invitrogen A21202 | AB_141607 | 1:500 | No primary |
| 488 anti-goat | Donkey       | Iba1              | N/A              | Invitrogen A11055 | AB_2534102 | 1:500 | No primary |
| 555 anti-mouse | Donkey | Olig2            | N/A              | Invitrogen A31570 | AB_2536180 | 1:500 | No primary |

All antibodies were validated in previous work or compared to an appropriate control to ensure specificity of immunolabeling. BrdU: Bromodeoxyuridine; CD31: cluster of differentiation 31; DCX: doublecortin; GFAP: glial fibrillary acidic protein; Iba1: ionized calcium-binding adapter molecule 1; IPC: intermediate progenitor cell; MCM2: minichromosome maintenance 2; NeuN: neuronal nuclear protein; Olig2: oligodendrocyte transcription factor 2; RGL: radial glia-like; SOX2: (sex determining region Y)-box 2.

Error estimates

As previous work suggests that the Gundersen-Jensen coefficient of error (CE) estimator (Gundersen et al., 1999) is useful for evaluating the precision of stereological estimates in the hippocampal structure (Slomianka and West, 2005), we calculated the mean Gundersen-Jensen CE for each cell type using Stereoinvestigator.

Statistics

For each cell type, cell densities in males and females were compared using an unpaired, two-tailed t-test. As no significant sex differences were detected, cell densities were combined across males and females and compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s post hoc analysis to test for significant differences in cell density between cell types. Because NeuN cell density was at least 10-fold greater than any other cell marker, we excluded it from the ANOVA as it would potentially obscure differences between other cell types. Statistical analyses were performed in Prism version 8 (Graphpad Software, San Diego, CA, USA), except for power analysis which was performed using G*power version 3.1 (Faul et al., 2007).

Results

We used immunohistochemistry to identify cells across all layers of the DG expressing phenotypic markers of major DG lineages: neural, astroglial, oligodendroglial, microglial, and vascular endothelial (Figure 1). The mean number of cells counted, measured tissue thickness, distribution of cells throughout the tissue thickness, and Gundersen-Jensen error estimates are reported in Table 2.

NSPCs and astroglia

Because many of the protein markers expressed by adult neural precursors are also present in mature astrocytes (Semerci and Maletic-Savatic, 2016), we distinguished these cell populations based on a combination of SOX2 and GFAP immunolabeling along with cell morphology (Suh et al., 2007; Figure 2A–iv). As expected, RGL-NSC cell bodies were solely located in the subgranular zone (SGZ) with a radial/apical process extending into the granule cell layer (Figure 2Aiii). The IPC progeny of RGL-NSCs were also located in the SGZ (Figure 2Aiii). Mature protoplasmic astrocytes with SOX2+ nuclei were located in all regions of the DG (Figure 2Aiv). We found that mature astrocytes were the most abundant of these three populations (8274.7 ± 1109.7, 9232.4 ± 1095.1 cells/mm², females and males, respectively) followed by IPCs (4532.2 ± 469.7, 5220.1 ± 820.2 cells/mm²), then RGL-NSCs (1364.9 ± 132.0, 1228.6 ± 59.5 cells/mm², Figure 3A). Males and females did not significantly differ in number of astrocytes (t = 0.61, P > 0.05), RGLs (t = 1.02, P > 0.05), or IPCs (t = 0.68, P > 0.05).

Mitotically active cells

To expand the quantification of progenitor cells, we used two markers of cellular mitosis. The adult DG contains various populations of mitotically active cells, which can be identified using endogenous cell cycle markers such as minichromosome maintenance 2 (MCM2) or the exogenous S phase marker bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) (von Bohlen und Halbach, 2011; Kuhn et al., 2016). Previous studies have shown that the majority of MCM2+ or acutely BrdU-labeled cells in the DG are IPCs located within the SGZ, with a sparser number of proliferative glial cells located outside the SGZ (Mandayam et al., 2007; Bonaguidi et al., 2011). Consistent with these previous findings, we found MCM2+ cells throughout the entire DG, but the greatest density was found within the SGZ (Figure 2Bii–iii). To obtain counts most directly related to IPC number, only MCM2+ cells in the SGZ were quantified. The density of MCM2+ cells in the SGZ did not significantly differ between females and males (4324.1 ± 1106.9 vs. 3740.7 ± 356.8 cells/mm²; t = 0.55, P > 0.05) (Figure 3A). We obtained similar results quantifying BrdU+ cells after a single BrdU injection 2 hours before perfusion. BrdU labeled cells were found throughout the entire extent of the DG, but most commonly within the SGZ (Figure 2Cii–iii). The density of BrdU-labeled cells in the SGZ was not different between females and males (5658.4 ± 61.9 vs. 5973.5 ± 62.1 cells/mm²; t = 0.32, P > 0.05) and represented a smaller subset of cells than all cycling (i.e. MCM2+) cells, as expected (Figure 3A). Colocalization analysis revealed that ~88% of BrdU-labeled cells in the SGZ were SOX2+GFAP+ putative IPCs (87.9 ± 1.2% females, 88.7 ± 1.3% males, Figure 3F), consistent with previous studies (Encinas et al., 2011), which suggests that counts of BrdU+ cells in the SGZ are most directly related to
numbers of IPCs in S phase. BrdU-labeled cells outside of the SGZ could represent glial progenitor cells in S phase but were too sparse for reliable quantification.

Neuroblasts/immature neurons and mature neurons
In the DG, doublecortin (DCX) marks neuroblasts (NBs) and recently born, immature granule neurons (INs), while NeuN marks the nuclei of various mature neuronal subtypes, the most abundant of which is mature granule neurons (Kuhn et al., 2016). As expected, DCX+ cells were located within the SGZ and inner third of the granular cell layer (Figure 2D–i–iii). The density of DCX+ cells was similar in scale to MCM2 and SOX2+ IPCs and did not significantly differ between females and males (4820.5 ± 656.4 vs. 4924.7 ± 376.0 cells/mm², t = 0.15, P > 0.05; Figure 3A). Mature NeuN+ neurons were located mostly within the GCL, likely representing granule neurons, with sparse cells in the hilus and molecular layer, likely representing hilar mossy neurons and various subclasses of interneuron (Figure 2E–i–iii). The density of NeuN+ cells was at least 10-fold greater than that of all other cell types quantified here and did not significantly differ by sex (100,564.5 ± 21,696.6 vs. 115,109.3 ± 29,242.0, females vs. males, t = 0.24, P > 0.05; Figure 3C).

Oligodendroglial cells
We identified cells of the oligodendroglia lineage including oligodendrocyte progenitor cells, newly formed oligodendrocytes, and mature myelinating oligodendrocytes based on Olig2 immunolabeling (Valerío-Gomes et al., 2018). Olig2+ cells were mainly distributed throughout the molecular layer and hilus of the DG (Figure 2F–i–iii). The density of oligodendroglial lineage cells was most similar to that of MCM2 and SOX2+ IPCs as well as DCX+ NB/INs and not different between females and males (4125.9 ± 1213.0 vs. 2610.7 ± 333.1 cells/mm², t = 1.34, P > 0.05; Figure 3A).

Microglia
To quantify microglia, we used Iba1 immunolabeling, which can also detect resident perivascular or infiltrating macrophages. However, in the DG of healthy, young mice, Iba1 labeling is most directly linked to microglia number as infiltrating macrophages are rare and perivascular macrophages occupy a specific spatial compartment around blood vessels distinct from parenchyma-residing microglia (Galea et al., 2005). Iba1+ cells were distributed throughout every region of the DG (Figure 2G–i–iii) and were intermediate in density between oligodendroglial lineage cells and IPCs and RGLs. No sex difference was found in Iba1+ cell density (2677.1 ± 892.1 vs. 1816.8 ± 210.2 cells/mm², females vs. males, t = 1.05, P > 0.05; Figure 3A).

Vascular endothelial cells
Vascular endothelial cells within the DG were identified by CD31 immunolabeling and formed vascular networks throughout all regions of the DG (Figure 2H–i–iii). The density of CD31+ cells was most similar to SOX2+ IPCs and DCX+ NB/INs, with no sex difference (6768.7 ± 1067.3 vs. 5849.0 ± 639.4 cells/mm², females vs. males, t = 0.78, P > 0.05; Figure 3A).

Cellular composition of the DG
Cell density estimates for each cell marker quantified in the current study are depicted in Figure 3A and C. The results of statistical analysis for differences in cell density between cell types are depicted in Figure 3E. To facilitate comparisons with counts performed in sections cut to a commonly used thickness for free-floating immunohistochemistry, data is also presented as estimates of cell number in a 40 μm slice (Figure 3B and D). We also determined the relative cell densities across the anterior-posterior extent of the dorsal DG (Figure 3G). GFAP+SOX2+ stellate astrocytes and CD31+ endothelia were the only cell types to show variance by anatomical position, both decreasing in density at the posterior pole of the dorsal DG. We did not detect significant sex differences for any of the cell types quantified. To understand the robustness of this finding, we used our data to inform power analyses to estimate sample size required to detect sex differences in each cell type density. Using our data to estimate means and pooled variance, we found that the sample sizes required to detect sex differences with 80% power and alpha of 0.05 were substantially larger than those used in the present study (Table 3). Our sample size would need to increase by 4 to > 300 fold to be powered for detection of sex differences using the current methodology.
Figure 1 | Cell locations and phenotypes sampled.
(A) The region of interest used (dashed outline) for cell counts encompassed all layers of the dorsal DG, including the supra and infrapyramidal molecular layer (M1), granular cell layer (GCL), and subgranular zone (SGZ), as well as the hilus. Hoechst labels cell nuclei. Scale bar: 100 µm. (B) Schematic of the cell types quantified in this study and their relative locations within the DG. Vascular endothelial cells (red), astrocytes (yellow), radial glia-like neural stem cells (RGL-NSCs, green with single process), intermediate progenitor cells (IPCs, green, bipolar horizontal), neuroblasts/immature neurons (NS/NI, green, bipolar vertical), mature neurons (gray), oligodendroglial cells (blue), and microglia (purple). Created using BioRender.com.

BrdU: Bromodeoxyuridine; CD31: cluster of differentiation 31; DCX: doublecortin; GFAP: glial fibrillary acidic protein; Iba1: ionized calcium-binding adapter molecule 1; MCM 2: minichromosome maintenance 2; NeuN: neuronal nuclear protein; Olig2: oligodendrocyte transcription factor 2; SOX2: (sex determining region Y)-box 2.

Figure 2 | Representative images of immunolabeling for all cell types surveyed.
(Ai–iv) Representative SOX2 and GFAP immunolabeling. Radial glia-like neural stem cells (RGL-NSCs) had SOX2+ nuclei in the subgranular zone (SGZ), GFAP+ cytoplasm, and a radial/apical process spanning the granular cell layer (Aii, arrow). Intermediate progenitor cells (IPCs) had SOX2+ nuclei in the SGZ lacking GFAP+ cytoplasm (Aiii, chevrons). Mature astrocytes had SOX2+ nuclei, GFAP+ cytoplasm, and stellate morphology (Aiv, arrow). (Bi–iii) Minichromosome maintenance 2 (MCM2) immunolabeling and (Ci–iii) BrdU immunolabeling 2 hours post injection to reveal actively cycling cells. Orthogonal projections showing a putative RGL with colocalized BrdU/SOX2+ nucleus and GFAP+ radial process (Civ) and a putative IPC with colocalized BrdU/SOX2+ nucleus lacking GFAP+ (Cv). (Di–iii) Representative immunolabeling of DCX+ neuroblasts/immature neurons. (Ei–iii) NeuN immunolabeling to detect mature neurons (Fi–iii) Olig2 immunolabeling to detect oligodendroglial lineage cells. (Gi–iii) Representative Iba1 immunolabeling for microglia. (Hi–iii) CD31 immunolabeling to detect vascular endothelial cells. Scale bars represent 100 µm (i–iv panels), 20 µm (ii–iii panels), or 10 µm (iv–v panels). BrdU: Bromodeoxyuridine; GFAP: glial fibrillary acidic protein; SOX2: (sex determining region Y)-box 2.

Figure 3 | Quantification of astroglial, neural stem and progenitor cell, neuroblast/immature and mature neuronal, oligodendroglial, microglial, and endothelial cell density in the dentate gyrus.
Quantification is represented as cell density (A, C) and number of cells in a 40 µm coronal slice (B, D). Data are mean ± SE from male (n = 5) and female (n = 4) adult mice. No significant sex difference for any cell type was detected via unpaired, two-tailed t-test (P > 0.05). (E) Matrix table showing results of one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s post hoc comparisons between cell type densities, combined across males and females. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001. (F) Identity of BrdU-labeled cells within the SGZ is shown as % of all BrdU-labeled cells colocalizing with the given markers. Number of cells per counting frame across the anterior-posterior (A-P) extent of the dorsal dentate gyrus, divided into 3 bins by A-P coordinate, analyzed by two-way repeated measures ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc comparisons; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. Astro: Astrocyte; BrdU: Bromodeoxyuridine; CD31: cluster of differentiation 31; DCX: doublecortin; GFAP: glial fibrillary acidic protein; Iba1: ionized calcium-binding adapter molecule 1; IPC: intermediate progenitor cell; MCM 2: minichromosome maintenance 2; NeuN: neuronal nuclear protein; ns: not significant; Olig2: oligodendrocyte transcription factor 2; rad: radial; RGL: radial glia-like; SOX2: (sex determining region Y)-box 2; stel: stellate.
Power analysis to detect sex differences

| Cell type | Pooled SD | Calculated for 80% power, alpha 0.05 |
|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------------|
| GFAP**SOX2** stellate | 2353.11 | 95 |
| GFAP**SOX2** radial | 199.98 | 34 |
| GFAP**SOX2** | 1516.59 | 77 |
| MCM2 | 1569.81 | 114 |
| Brdu | 285.80 | 344 |
| DCX | 1068.90 | 1652 |
| Olig2 | 1684.96 | 20 |
| Iba1 | 1220.89 | 32 |
| CD31 | 1441.90 | 39 |

For each cell type, the sample size required to detect significant differences between males and females based on obtained counts and variance was calculated for 80% power with alpha = 0.05. Brdu: Bromodeoxyuridine.

Discussion

Adult hippocampal neurogenesis in rodents occurs within the DG, a niche comprised of multiple cell types including neural, glial, and endothelial cells. Previous work characterizing the relative cell population sizes of the neurogenic lineage has focused on the number of newborn neurons relative to mature granule neurons (Cameron and McKay, 2001; Jinno and Kosaka, 2010; Jinno, 2011). For example, in the ongoing debate about existence and relevance of adult hippocampal neurogenesis in humans, the relative numbers of adult-generated neurons versus developmentally generated neurons is an issue of intense focus (Spalding et al., 2013).

Previous estimates suggest that adult-born, immature neurons represent less than 10% of total DG excitatory neurons (Cameron and McKay, 2001; Spalding et al., 2013), and this number is sufficient to modulate DG circuit activity (Miller and Sahay, 2019). This focus on neuronal progeny derives from the assumption that the function of NSPCs relies solely on the electrophysiological activity of newly born neurons. However, emerging evidence supports multiple non-neurogenic functions of endogenous NSPCs such as via secretion of soluble growth factors (Bacigaluppi et al., 2020) and cell-surface enzymes (Kjell et al., 2020). There is also growing evidence that stem cell transplants in the adult brain may achieve therapeutic effect via their secretome (Willis et al., 2020). Here, we sought to quantify several non-neuronal cell types of the adult DG to generate a useful resource for researchers to reference as new functional roles of NSPCs are identified that require their comparison to various other cell types.

While previous studies have estimated population size for each of the DG cell types studied here individually, comparison of our data with previous work is complicated by the variability in population estimates across studies (Keller et al., 2018). For example, estimates of astrocyte density in the DG range from 19,900 cells/mm³ (Ogata and Kosaka, 2002) to 55,700 cells/mm³ (Long et al., 1998). Despite these studies both using unbiased stereology, variability could arise for biological (different cohorts of mice), methodological (different markers and anatomical boundaries), and computational (different extrapolation from sampled counts to total population density estimates) reasons. Even small differences in sampling parameters and adjustments for tissue shrinkage become amplified when calculating estimates of total population size and tissue volume (Keller et al., 2018). How the data derived from differing methods can be adjusted for comparison is not immediately clear. This variability combined with the tendency of most studies to examine a select few cell types complicates attempts to compare cell population sizes across studies.

To circumvent these issues, we sought to use consistent methodology to obtain estimates for a comprehensive set of DG cell types. All data in this study were generated using tissue from a single cohort of male and female mice of the C57BL/6 strain, one of the most commonly used rodent species in biosciences research (Bryant, 2011). Therefore, the main advantage of this dataset is the validity of internal comparisons between various cell types in a common research model species. For example, when drawing comparisons based on data reported in separate studies, the microglia to astrocyte ratio could range from approximately 1:1 (Long et al., 1998; Ogata and Kosaka, 2002) to 1:6 (Mouton et al., 1998; Wirenfeldt et al., 2003). In comparison, using our estimates, we report a microglia to astrocyte ratio of roughly 1:4; similar to the approximately 1:3 ratio reported by others when both cell types were quantified within the same study (Long et al., 1998; Mouton et al., 2002). This test case highlights the utility of counts obtained within a single study for making accurate comparisons of relative population sizes.

When comparing NSPCs to several major classes of secretory cells, we found that NSPCs exist in similar abundance as other major cell types that are well-known to regulate the function of the DG via their secretome. We found that GFAP**SOX2** stellate astrocytes were the largest cell population of those included in our study, followed closely by vascular endothelial cells. IPCs and neuroblasts/immature neurons were the next most abundant, being present at about half the density of astrocytes. Oligodendroglial cells and microglia were present at a quarter to a third the density of astrocytes. RGL-NSCs were the least abundant cell population, being ~85% less abundant than astrocytes. However, NSPCs together (RGL-NSCs and IPCs) represented a cell population ~30% less abundant than astrocytes and over 250% more abundant than microglia.

There are many factors that can modulate the functional impact of a cell population’s secretome, including: number of cells in that population, number of cells in other, nearby secretory populations, quantity of proteins secreted per cell, quantity of the same proteins secreted by other cells, and the relative solubility/bioavailability of individual secreted proteins. This study addresses the first two factors—providing relative densities of major cell types in the DG which may influence their environment through their secretome. Specifically for NSPCs, our data show that within the adult DG, NSPC total density is similar in scale to other cell populations believed to be important secretome contributors. Future work is needed, however, to expand on this data and more directly quantify the contribution of NSPCs to the DG secretome and its functional significance relative to other secretory cell types.

Important limitations to this dataset should be noted. First, while the present study includes data from males and females using sample sizes typical of stereology studies (Mouton et al., 2002; Jinno, 2011), power analysis reveals that this sample size is not large enough to power detection of any potential sex differences in our measures at standard levels of power (80%) and alpha (0.05). In fact, our data suggests that reliably detecting sex differences in the density of cell types surveyed here within the DG requires samples of between 20 (Olig2) and 1652 (DCX) mice. Second, counts were performed in the dorsal DG with no separate delineation of the layers (i.e. molecular, granular, hilar). Future studies could more finely resolve the relative contribution of cell types within more stringently defined microenvironments. For example, when considering cell populations that contribute soluble proteins with short diffusion distances or matrix modifying enzymes, the relative cellular densities might only be meaningful within a small microenvironment, not across the entire DG. Additionally, similar comprehensive analysis of cell types in other adult neural stem cell niches such as the subventricular zone and hypothalamus could elucidate region-specific
cellular composition. This could be a useful starting point for studying composition in neurogenic niche cellular composition with normal aging, disease, or injury. Third, other cell types not quantified in our data could be added to create a more complete characterization of all cell types in the adult DG, such as immature and excitatory neurons, subdivisions of oligodendrocyte lineage stages (precursor, immature, pre-myelinating, mature), other immune-lineage cells (macrophages, T cells), and other perivascular cells (e.g. pericytes).

In summary, we have provided density estimates for the major cell types of the DG. Our data facilitate direct comparisons of multiple cell types and suggest that NSPCs are present in sufficient abundance to contribute to the DG secretome in biologically meaningful ways. We expect these findings will be useful for refining hypotheses about the relative contributions of these cell types to regulating hippocampal function and their potential therapeutic uses.
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