Effect of Nickel Chloride on Cell Proliferation
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Abstract: Objective: Metal alloys used in dentistry and in other biomedical fields may release nickel ions in the oral environment. The release of nickel might influence the normal biological and physiological processes, including tissue wound healing, cell growth and proliferation. The aim of this study was to evaluate in vitro the effects of nickel ions on cell cycle, viability and proliferation.

Materials and Methods: Human osteosarcoma cells (U2OS) and human keratinocytes (HaCat) were exposed to different nickel chloride (NiCl₂) concentrations (0 - 5mM) for various periods exposure. The viability of cultured cells was estimated by flow cytometry using Annexin V-FITC and Propidium Iodide (PI). Cell proliferation was evaluated by using carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFDA-SE) and flow cytometry. Finally, the effects of NiCl₂ on cell cycle were assessed and quantified by flow cytometry. Statistical analysis was performed by means of ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test.

Results: NiCl₂ induced a dose and time dependent decrease in cell viability. After 24h, 1mM NiCl₂ caused a similar and significant reduction of viability in U2OS and HaCat cells, while higher NiCl₂ concentrations and longer exposure times showed a reduced cytotoxic effect in HaCat as compared to U2OS cells.

Exposure to NiCl₂ caused a dose- and time-dependent inhibition of cell proliferation in both cell lines tested, with a prominent effect on U2OS cells. Furthermore, both cell lines exposed to NiCl₂ exhibited significant changes in cell cycle distribution after 24h exposure 2mM NiCl₂, as compared to untreated cells (p<0.05).

Conclusion: Our results indicate that release of nickel ions may affect cell proliferation. The inhibition of cell growth by NiCl₂ is mediated by both cell cycle arrest and by induction of cell death.
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INTRODUCTION

The use of casting alloys is common place in temporary and permanent dental restorations and in orthodontic appliances because of the excellent mechanical properties [1]. Nowadays, nickel-titanium superelastic archwires are the first choice in most part of orthodontic treatments for their clinical performance [2].

The microbiological and enzymatic characteristics of the oral cavity provide a suitable environment for corrosion of casting alloys, because of physical, chemical and microbiological changes, and, even if the quality and quantity of the released cations depend upon the type of alloy and various corrosion parameters, it has been documented that some nickel-based alloys may exhibit increased corrosion, specifically at low pH [1]. Nickel ions might reach concentration levels between 10 mM and 800 mM in tissues immediately surrounding nickel alloys [3].

Studies in vitro have reported [4, 5], in simulated saliva medium, the presence of metals, such as nickel, while studies in vivo showed measurable but not toxic levels of metals in blood, saliva, and urine of patients with fixed orthodontic appliances [6-9]. Otherwise, it has been shown differences in nickel content between individuals with or without orthodontic appliances. Nickel release occurs into the dental plaque and components of saliva of orthodontic patients [10] and a significantly higher concentration of nickel can be found in oral mucosa cells of patients wearing fixed orthodontic appliances [11-13]. It has been shown that in buccal mucosal cells nickel concentrations were about 3.4-fold higher (2.52 ng/mL) than those in the control subjects [11].

Previous investigations dealing with the biocompatibility of orthodontic wires and nickel ions in vivo or utilized human or animal cells [14-18]. Nickel-containing orthodontic wires
can reduce cell viability, otherwise concentrations which do not significantly modify oral epithelial cell viability and inflammatory cytokines release can stimulate apoptosis in vitro [19, 20]. Nickel ions may accumulate in human oral mucosal cells and human keratinocytes [11, 21], and may activate monocytes and epithelial cells, suppressing or promoting the expression of intracellular adhesion molecule 1 by endothelial cells, mostly depending on its concentration [22, 23]. Moreover, nickel is able to induce an up-regulation of surface anti- gen expression, while high concentrations may impair essential functions of macrophages stimulated by LPS [24].

Therefore, the release of nickel from biomedical and dental appliances in oral cavity might influence the normal biological and physiological process including tissue wound healing and cell growth. The aim of this investigation was to study the influence of nickel on cell proliferation in human keratinocytes and osteosarcoma cells. Human keratinocytes were used as a model of epithelial tissue cells, moreover we chose an osteoblasts cell line because it cannot be excluded that in some clinical trial, including oral surgery, osteoblasts and bone tissue may be influenced by nickel.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and Cell Culture

Nickel Chloride (NiCl₂) and tissue culture reagents were purchased from Sigma Chemical (Milan, Italy). Human epidermal keratinocytes (HaCat) and Human osteosarcoma (U2OS) were grown respectively in McCoy’s medium and in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS). Sterile stock solutions of NiCl₂ were prepared directly in medium, just before use.

Cell death and Viability

Cell death and viability were quantified by flow cytometry. 7x10⁴ cells were seeded in 35mm dishes and after 24h were treated by NiCl₂ (0-5mM) for 24, 48, 72 h exposure periods. Then, floating and adherent cells were collected, washed once with PBS. Next, the cells were suspended in 500 µL of binding buffer. Untreated and treated cells were stained with annexin V-FITC and PI (MBL Medical & Biological Laboratories Co., Ltd., Nagoya, Japan), and incubated at room temperature for 15 min before being analyzed by flow cytometry (FACScan, Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA). Viable cells (no staining), apoptotic cells (annexin V+) and necrotic cells (both PI+/annexin V+ or PI+ alone) were detected and quantified as a percentage of the entire population [25]. The sum of apoptotic and necrotic cells were considered as cell death population [26]. Analysis of the data was performed by means of the WinMDI 2.8 program. Data from at least five independent experiments were pooled to determine the mean viable cell population.

Cell Proliferation

Carboxyfluorescein diacetate, succinimidyl ester (CFDA-SE) was used to study the effect of NiCl₂ on cells proliferation and growth in HaCat and U2OS cells after 24, 48, 72 h exposure periods. CFDA-SE is a fluorescent derivative which is cell permenant and non-fluorescent; cellular esterases cleave acetate groups, rendering the molecule fluorescent and cell impermeant (CFSE). Succinimidyl ester binds to free amines, resulting in long lived fluorescent adducts. CFSE is partitioned equally among daughter cells with each division, so the reduction of fluorescence intensity in the time meant cells proliferation [27]. Each cell line was harvested and washed with PBS, cells were added to equal volume of 5µM CFDA-SE and incubated at 37 °C for 10 minute with agitation. The labeling reaction was stopped for 1 min by adding an equal volume of fetal bovine serum. The CFDA-SE labeled cells were washed twice with PBS and 7x10⁵ cells seeded in 35mm dishes. After 24h the cells were treated with NiCl₂ for different incubation time. All cells were analyzed using a FACScan (Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA). The fluorescent filters and detectors used were all standard with green fluorescence collected in the FL1 channel (530±30 nm). Samples were gated on forward scatter (FCS) versus side scatter (SSC) to exclude debris and clumps and twenty thousand events for each sample were collected. The cells were analyzed using a logarithmic amplifier to determine the percentage of stained cells and their mean fluorescence intensity. Mean fluorescence intensity of untreated cells was arbitrary assigned a percent fluorescence value of 100. Analysis of the data was performed by WinMDI 2.8 program. Each independent experiment was performed at least five times for each cell line.

Cell Cycle Analyses

Cells (5× 10⁵) were cultured in 100-mm dishes to 70-80% confluence, then exposed to 0-5mM NiCl₂ for 24 h. After each treatment, the cells were collected by centrifugation, re-suspended in 1ml cold PBS, and stored for at least 24h in 70% methanol at -20°C. Methanol was then removed after centrifugation, and the cell pellet was washed twice in PBS. Finally, the cell pellet was re-suspended in 425μl PBS, and 50μl RNase (1mg/ml) was added for 20 min at 37°C. Then, propidium iodide (PI) (25µg/ml) was added for 5 min. The samples were analyzed with a FACScan flow cytometer (Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA). Fluorescence signals were amplified logarithmically. Twenty thousand events for each sample were collected with the Cell Lysis software, and the data were stored as list mode files. PI signals for the analysis of the DNA content were subjected to pulse processing to assist in gating out cell debris and doublets. The percentage of cells in G1, S, and G2 phases of the cell cycle were calculated after mathematical modeling of histograms using the software Multicycle for Windows (Phoenix Flow Systems, San Diego, CA). The percentages of cell numbers in each phase were calculated from individual histograms in at least five independent experiments.

Statistical analysis

Values were expressed as the means ± SD and the data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons. The level of significance was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

NiCl₂ induced a dose and time-dependent reduction of viability in both cell lines tested (Fig. 1). After 24h, 1mM NiCl₂ showed a significant reduction of viability in U2OS and HaCat with a decrease to about 60% (Fig 1). At higher NiCl₂ concentrations (upper to 1mM) and increased time
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exposure, cell damage and reduction of viability was more prominent in U2OS than in HaCat cells (Fig. 1).

After 24 – 48 - 72h NiCl₂ treatments, CFSE fluorescence intensity was measured to evaluate cells proliferation (Fig. 2). At 24h a 1.4 fold inhibition of cell proliferation has been shown in U2OS treated with 1mM NiCl₂ for 24h compared to untreated cells (Fig. 2A). NiCl₂ treatments for 48h and 72h induced a time increase inhibition of U2OS proliferation. After 72h, 0.25mM NiCl₂ showed a significant cells growth inhibition reaching a maximum effect with 2 mM NiCl₂ (3.4 fold) (Fig. 2A). Growth inhibition caused by NiCl₂ was also found in HaCat cells (Fig. 2B). The maximum effect of NiCl₂ on HaCat growth was found at 72h with a 2.3 fold inhibition caused by 2mM (Fig. 2B).

We also analyzed the activity of NiCl₂ on the cell cycle progression of both cell lines. The distribution of cells in treated and untreated cell cultures was estimated after 24h NiCl₂ exposure. U2OS exposed to NiCl₂ exhibited significant changes after 24h treatments with 2mM NiCl₂, while shorter time (Fig. 3A) and lower NiCl₂ concentration did not significantly affect the cell cycle distribution (data not shown). The number of cells in the G1 phase was decreased from 39% in untreated control to 20% in cultures treated with 2mM NiCl₂ and analyzed after a 24h incubation period (Fig. 3A). In contrast, the number of cells in S phase was significantly increased, from about 42% in untreated cultures to 58% in cultures treated with 2mM NiCl₂ (Fig. 3A).

Treatments of HaCat cells with 2mM NiCl₂ also induced a delay in cell cycle progression compared to untreated cells (Fig. 3B). After 24h, a significant increase of the number of cells found in G2 was observed from in cultures treated with 2mM NiCl₂ (Fig. 3B).

DISCUSSION

There is an increasing concern about the biocompatibility of dental materials and particular there is interest focused on secondary reactions caused by the use of metals. The release of nickel from dental materials has been observed in several studies [28, 29], but its toxicity remains controversial. To date, it is not known whether the release of these ions from orthodontic and dental alloys is high enough to be clinically significant [30].

Here, as previously reported [22-24], the problem of correlating in vitro cytotoxic effects to the release of nickel ions...
from orthodontic and dental materials was addressed by exposing cell cultures to NiCl₂. In our study we evaluated the cytotoxicity grade of various concentrations of NiCl₂ ranging from 0.01 mM to 5 mM. The NiCl₂ concentrations tested here were in the range used in previous in vitro reports on other cell lines [24, 31-33]. As expected, the flow cytometry analysis (Fig. 1) revealed that the cytotoxicity increased in time and dose dependent manner. After 24h, NiCl₂ exhibited cytotoxicity under a relative high concentration of 1 mM NiCl₂ in both cell line tested. Interestingly, there was a different sensibility to NiCl₂ between HaCat and U2OS over different time exposure and this result is in line with other studies in which is reported that the range of toxic concentration depending on cell model used [1, 18, 31-33].

So far, different in vitro methods including colorimetric, fluorimetric and radioactive, were suggested to study the effects of various dental material on cell proliferation. The most common assay to evaluate cell proliferation is based on the incorporation of radionucleotides such as tritiated thymidine (³H-TdR) into newly synthesized DNA. This method showed some limitation related to handling and disposing of radioisotopes, and to the identification only of cells which have recently synthesized DNA. In addition it does not provide information on whether labeled cells have gone on to divide or the number of cell divisions that occurred prior to exposure to the ³H-TdR pulse. Hence, several fluorescence cell-tracking dyes has been proposed to monitor cell proliferation by flow cytometry. Among fluorochromes, CFDA-SE stands out as the most versatile cell labeling dye in terms of long-term cell tracking and quantifying proliferation either in vivo or in vitro [34-36]. CFDA-SE was widely tested on various cell lines and when properly performed, the dye dilution assay correlates well with the ³H-TdR assay and other methods [37]. Here, we used CFDA-SE to analyze the effect of NiCl₂ on HaCat and U2OS proliferation. Both cell line labeled showed a bright staining as reported with other cell types. The reduction of mean fluorescence intensity induced by NiCl₂ clearly confirmed an inhibitory effect on cell growth in the lines tested (Fig. 2).

Finally, we examined the effects of NiCl₂ on the cell cycle. The distribution of cells untreated and treated with NiCl₂ was estimated after 24h exposure. Significant cell cycle changes were only detected after 24h exposure to 2mM NiCl₂ while shorter time and lower concentrations did not affect the cell cycle distribution (data not shown). Interestingly, the effects of NiCl₂ in the two cell lines analyzed were different. While NiCl₂ induces a S-phase cell cycle arrest in U2OS cells, Hacat cells mainly accumulate in G2/M phase of cell cycle. Since p53 plays a relevant role in S phase checkpoint, it is tempting to speculate that this difference is related to the p53 status of the cells, i.e. U2OS being p53 proficient, while Hacat cells carry a mutant p53 gene. NiCl₂ has recently been shown to induce inhibition of cell growth and cell cycle arrest in different cell types [38, 39] and our present data confirm and extend these data in several aspects. First, the apparent discrepancy in cell cycle responses is likely due either to cell type-specific susceptibility to NiCl₂ or to differences of NiCl₂ concentration used. Lower concentrations of NiCl₂ (0.25 to 0.5mM) may induce G0/G1 arrest, while higher concentrations used in our study results in S and G2/M accumulation. Furthermore, we show here that, at high concentrations (0.5 to 2mM), the inhibition of cell growth by NiCl₂ is mediated by both cell cycle arrest and by induction of cell death.

In conclusion, NiCl₂ induces cell death and cell cycle arrest in both human keratinocytes and osteosarcoma cells. Therefore, it is plausible that NiCl₂ is a candidate to affect vital processes due to an impairment of cell proliferation.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors confirm that this article content has no conflicts of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Declared none.

REFERENCES

[1] Geurtsen W. Biocompatibility of dental casting alloys. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 2002; 13: 71-84.

[2] Kusy RP. A review of contemporary archwires: their properties and characteristics. Angle Orthod 1997; 67: 197-207.
Cytotoxicity of NiCl$_2$

[3] Wataha JC, O’Dell NL, Singh BB, Ghazi M, Whitford GM, Lockwood PE. Relating nickel-induced tissue inflammation to nickel release in vivo. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater 2001; 58: 537-44.

[4] Barrett RD, Bishara SE, Quinn JK. Biodegradation of orthodontic appliances. Part I. Biodegradation of nickel and chromium in vitro. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1993; 103: 8-14.

[5] Hwang CJ, Shin JS, Cha JY. Metal release from simulated fixed orthodontic appliances. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2001; 120: 383-91.

[6] Bishara SE, Barrett RD, Selim MI. Biodegradation of orthodontic appliances. Part II. Changes in the blood level of nickel. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1993; 103: 115-9.

[7] Kerosuo H, Moe G, Hensten-Pettersen A. Salivary nickel and chromium in subjects with different types of fixed orthodontic appliances. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1997; 111: 595-8.

[8] Eliaides T, Zinelis S, Eliaides G, Athanasiou A. Nickel content of as-received, retrieved, and recycled stainless steel brackets. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2002; 122: 217-20.

[9] Menezes LM, Quintão CA, Bolognese AM. Urinary excretion levels of nickel in orthodontic patients. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2007; 131: 635-8.

[10] Fors R, Persson M. Nickel in dental plaque and saliva in patients with and without orthodontic appliances. Eur J Orthod 2006; 28: 292-7.

[11] Faccioni F, Francescetti P, Cerpelloni M, Fracasso ME. In vivo study on metal release from fixed orthodontic appliances and DNA damage in oral mucosa cells. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2003; 124: 687-93.

[12] Amini F, Borzabadi Farahani A, Jafari A, Rabbani M. In vivo study of metal content of oral mucosa cells in patients with and without fixed orthodontic appliances. Orthod Craniofac Res 2008; 11: 51-6.

[13] Hafez HS, Selim EM, Kamel Eid FH, Tawfik WA, Al-Ashkar EA, Mustafa YA. Cytotoxicity, genotoxicity, and metal release in patients with fixed orthodontic appliances: a longitudinal in vivo study. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2011; 140: 298-308.

[14] Gursoy UK, Sokucu O, Utito VI, et al. The role of nickel accumulation and epithelial cell proliferation in orthodontic treatment-induced gingival overgrowth. Eur J Orthod 2007; 29: 555-8.

[15] Grimsdottir MR, Hensten-Pettersen A, Kullmann A. Cytotoxic effect of orthodontic appliances. Eur J Orthod 1992; 14: 47-53.

[16] Mockers O, Deroze D, Camps J. Cytotoxicity of orthodontic bands, brackets and archwires in vitro. Dent Mater 2002; 18: 311-7.

[17] Eliaides T. Orthodontic materials research and applications: part 2. Current status and projected future developments in materials and biocompatibility. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2007; 131: 253-62.

[18] Wataha JC. Predicting clinical biological responses to dental materials. Dent Mater 2012; 28: 23-40.

[19] Trombetta D, Mondello MB, Ciufani F, Cristiani M, Pergolizzi S, Saija A. Toxic effect of nickel in an in vitro model of human oral epithelium. Toxicol Lett 2005; 159: 219-25.

[20] Vande VB, Mohebian N, Wehrbein H. Toxicity of used orthodontic archwires assessed by three-dimensional cell culture. Eur J Orthod 2006; 28: 426-32.

[21] Ermoli M, Menèè C, Pozzi G, Serra MA, Clerici LA. Nickel, cobalt and chromium-induced cytotoxicity and intracellular accumulation in human hacat keratinocytes. Toxicology 2001; 159: 23-31.

[22] Wataha JC, Ratanasathien S, Hanks CT, Sun Z. In vitro IL-1 beta and TNF-alpha release from THP-1 monocytes in response to metal ions. Dent Mater 1996; 12: 322-7.

[23] Wataha JC, Lockwood PE, Marek M, Ghazi M. Ability of Ni-containing biomedical alloys to activate monocytes and endothelial cells in vitro. J Biomed Mater Res 1999; 45: 251-7.

[24] D’Antò V, Eckhardt A, Hiller KA, et al. The influence of Ni(II) on surface antigen expression in murine macrophages. Biomaterials 2009; 30: 1492-501.

[25] Vermes I, Haenen C, Steffens-Nakken H, Reutelingsperger C. A novel assay for apoptosis. Flow cytometric detection of phosphatidylserine expression on early apoptotic cells using fluorescein labelled annexin V. J Immunol Methods 1995; 184: 39-51.

[26] Zamai L, Canonico B, Luchetti F, et al. Supravital exposure to propidium iodide identifies apoptosis on adherent cells. Cytotherapy 2001; 44: 57-64.

[27] Lyons AB. Analysing cell division in vivo and in vitro using flow cytometric measurement of CFSE dye dilution. J Immunol Methods 2000; 243: 147-54.

[28] Park HY, Shearer TR. In vitro release of nickel and chromium from simulated orthodontic appliances. Am J Orthod 1983; 84: 156-9.

[29] Barrett RD, Bishara SE, Quinn JK. Biodegradation of orthodontic appliances. Part I. Biodegradation of nickel and chromium in vitro. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1993; 103: 8-14.

[30] House K, Sernetz F, Dymock D, Sandy JR, Ireland AJ. Corrosion of orthodontic appliances—should we care? Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2008; 133: 584-92.

[31] Yamamoto A, Honma R, Sumita M. Cytotoxicity evaluation of 43 metal salts using murine fibroblasts and osteoblastic cells. J Biomed Mater Res 1998; 39: 331-40.

[32] Yamamoto A, Honma R, Tanaka A, Sumita M. Generic tendency of metal salt cytotoxicity for six cell lines. Biomed Mater Res 1999; 47: 396-403.

[33] Messor RL, Bishop S, Lucas LC. Effects of metallic ion toxicity on human gingival fibroblasts and osteoblastic cells. J Biomed Mater Res 1999; 47: 1647-57.

[34] Chen JC, Chang ML, Muench MO. A kinetic study of the murine mixed lymphocyte reaction by 5, 6-carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester labeling. J Immunol Methods 2003; 279: 123-33.

[35] Hawkins ED, Hommel M, Turner ML, Battye FL, Markham JF, Hodgkin PD. Measuring lymphocyte proliferation, survival and differentiation using CFSE time-series data. Nat Protoc 2007; 2: 2057-67.

[36] Wallace PK, Tario JD Jr, Fisher JL, Wallace SS, Ernstoff MS, Muirhead KA. Tracking antigen-driven responses by flow cytometry: monitoring proliferation by dye dilution. Cytotherapy 2008; 10: 1019-34.

[37] Fulcher D, Wong S. Carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester-based proliferative assays for assessment of T cell function in the diagnostic laboratory. Immunol Cell Biol 1999; 77: 559-64.

[38] Ouyang W, Zhang D, Li J, Verma UN, Costa M, Huang C. The influence of Ni(II) on surface antigen expression in murine macrophages. Biomaterials 2009; 30: 1492-501.

[39] Ding J, He G, Gong W, et al. Effects of nickel on cyclin expression, cell cycle progression and cell proliferation in human pulmonary cells. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009; 18: 1720-9.