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ABSTRACT
This study aimed to assess the conceivable impact of hereditary factors on the crossbreeding rabbit groups set up by proportional going between Sinai Gabali and V-Line. Reciprocal cross on the post-weaning performances was performed by estimating the genetic capability for their crosses. The study samples included two pure rabbit breeds (a male Saini Gabali (G) and a female V-Line (V)), and reciprocal crosses to compromise 10 groups. Records of 448 kits delivered by 45 does and 16 bucks were utilized to estimate Heritability ($h^2$), genetic and phenotypic correlations, and breeding values of litter weight traits. First generation was created from the consequences of four parities (¼G ¼V, and ½ V ½G; sire breed was demonstrated first). Weaning was implemented on the 28th day of the kits’ age. Post-weaning litter traits were measured Body weight (BW) at 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, and 12 weeks of their age; and average daily gain was measured during 4-8 weeks (ADG8-12, ADG12-23, and ADG23-40). Data were examined by animal model, which was performed utilizing derivate free limited maximum likelihood. The results revealed that $h^2$ was moderate for both breeds, and its reciprocal cross ranged from 0.2 to 0.25, and BW at weaning was 0.22 ± 0.07. Meanwhile, there was a positive genetic correlation between BW and ADG at different age ranges (ranged 0.02 to 0.77, 0.04 to 0.76, respectively). Assessments of environmental correlation between BW at different age ranges were negative, except of those between BW8 and BW12 which were positive, but not significant. Additionally, the progeny had higher predicting breeding values for BW at 4, 8,10, and 12 weeks for both breeds, but that was obtained from GxV exceeding those from their reciprocal cross. In conclusion, direct additive variance was considerably effective, and consequently body weight at weaning and post-weaning growth traits could be improved by utilizing bucks of Sinai Gabali with does of V-line based on the performance of their progenies, and selection of sires and dams.

Keywords: Genetic correlation, Heritability, Post-weaning, Sinai gabali, V-line, Weaning weight

INTRODUCTION
The expected global needs for the meat at 2050 are about 73% compared to present mass production. The developing countries will contribute for most of this expansion due to fast population growth and ascending of capital income (FAO, 2011; OECD, 2017). Obike and Ibe (2010) conveyed that rabbits are considered as a high-quality source of protein with cheap price, which can be used to improve the animal production of protein in low revenue populations (Makkar et al., 2014). Furthermore, the rabbits had many compensations above other animals for low cost meat production, for instance, high growth rate, high feed conversion, and their ability to utilize fibrous feeds, both of sexual maturity and short gestation length resulted in a short generation interval, also, the rabbits’ meat was classified as a high-quality meat (Herbert, 2011). In addition, growth rate in rabbits, particularly their post-weaning was intensely affected by genotype and environmental condition including the availability of both quality and quantity of feed. Furthermore, the diversity of the rabbit breeds gave more opportunities to improve the meat production by divergent breeds crossing (Piles et al., 2004). Heritability, which is an element of variance components, gave data about the hereditary idea of a characteristic and is required for genetic assessment and selection procedures (El-Raffa, 2005). Phenotypic variance assumed a vital part for post-weaning traits in rabbits was generally depicted to be overwhelmed by ecological impacts inferable from the does or potentially litter, which might owe the short interval from the weaning to marketing. Moreover, low heritability and direct proportions of genetic responses to select for post-weaning growth traits were conveyed (Lukefahr...
et al., 1996). The rabbits’ genetic improvements were mainly conditional on the heritability of the defined traits, and its association with different other traits of economic importance were measured. A moderate to high heritability (0.7-0.9) for litter weight at 7-8 weeks old, while the repeatability generally indicating low estimates (0.003-0.008) were obtained by Okoro et al. (2012). In oppose with Iraqi, 2008 who found that estimating of heritability were 0.05 to 0.38 body weight (BW) at 4, 8, and 12 weeks old, while these estimations were 0.23 and 0.19 for daily gain from 4 to 8, and 8 to 12 weeks of age, correspondingly. Though, additive genetic variability was far from being considered negligible. To facilitate, and to make rapid improvements in rabbits’ performance, it could be inaugurated from selection and use of divergent breeds in the crossbreeding (Chineke and Raheem, 2009). In addition, crossbreeding is efficient for improving the post-weaning growth potential (Piles et al., 2004). Besides, selection in maternal lines in rabbit was somewhat considering determination inside limited population which amassed in mating grouping impacts (Ragab et al., 2015), extending the hereditary variety amongst lines and, verifiable, changing the gene frequencies between population. Furthermore, to employ genetic resources effectively, the genetic and environmental causes of phenotypic alteration in economic attributes need to be differentiated (Gorbani and Salamatdoust, 2011). The intention of rabbits’ breeding was to improve execution characteristics of rabbits’ population through both mating and selection. Accordingly, the targets of the existing research were to assess conceivable impact of hereditary factors on crossbreeding rabbit groups set up by proportional going between Sinai Gabali and V-Line (as a unique lines) by reciprocal cross on post-weaning performances by estimate the genetic capability for their crosses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical approval
The experiment was carried out according to the National Regulations on Animal Welfare and Institutional Animal Ethics Committee, Egypt.

Breeding plan
Two pure rabbit breeds were used in current study (Saini Gabali (G), and V-Line (V) as a standard exotic line). Does and bucks of the V were acclimatized descendants of the Spanish synthetic line. Crossbreeding system was applied in ten mating groups which contained 4 to 5 does per group. The first five groups consisted of V does which were mated with five G bucks (G♂ X V♀), and reciprocal crosses (V♂ X G♀) for the further five groups. Each buck was represented as a sire to all litters in each group to produce F₁ (½ G ½ V and ½ V½ G; sire breed was indicated first). Weaning was implemented at 28 days of kits’ age.

Rabbitry, housing and management
Animals were raised in a semi closed rabbitry, depending on the natural ventilation. Does were housed individually in pens where there were settle boxes, feeders, and automatic drinkers. All rabbits were fed on a commercial lactating-pelleted-diet containing approximately 2600 Kcal/kg ration as digestible energy; 16.3% crude protein; 13.2% crude fiber and 2.5% fat. Both of feed and water were provided ad libitum. Does mated their same respective group assigned bucks 10 days post-kindling. Pregnancy was ascertained by palpation 10 days of succeeding mating. Females that neglected to conceive were come back to the same assigned buck to be re-reproduced. Inside 12 hours once encouraging, litters were checked and recorded. In this way, weaned a month kits were sexed and exchanged for additional study to standard descendants prepared pens.

Source of data
Post-weaning litter traits were body weight (BWₜₜ) at, 5, 6, 8, 10, and 12 weeks old, average daily gain between 4 to 8 weeks (ADG₄₈), 4 to 12 weeks (ADG₄₁₂), 8 to 10 weeks (ADG₈₁₀), and 8 to 12 weeks (ADG₈₁₂).

Statistical analysis
Linear model
Data were preliminary analyzed using general linear model (GLM) and VARCOMP procedures of Statistical Analysis System (SAS, 2001, version 8.1). In addition, single and multi-trait animal model analyses (AM), were performed using derive free restricted maximum likelihood as recommended by Boldman et al. (1995). For the derivative- free model, convergence (co) variance components estimation was when the global maximum of the log likelihood function was found.

Estimation of heritability (h²)
The following formula was used by the animal model software to estimate h².
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\[
\hat{h}^2 = \frac{\sigma^2_a}{\sigma^2_a + \sigma^2_p + \sigma^2_e}
\]

Where,

\(\sigma^2_a\): was additive genetic variance
\(\sigma^2_p\): was permanent environmental variance, and
\(\sigma^2_e\): were random residual associated with each observation.

**Estimation of correlations**

The following formula was used as recommended by Franzese and Iuliano (2019).

\[
r_{xy} = \frac{\text{cov}(xy)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2_x} \sqrt{\sigma^2_y}}
\]

Where,

\(\text{cov}(xy)\) = The additive genetic covariance,
\(\sigma^2_x\) = The additive genetic variance of the trait (or permanent environmental, environmental and phenotypic).
\(\sigma^2_y\) = ????

**Estimation of Predicted breeding value**

The mixed model equations (MME) for the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) of Estimable function of \(b\), and for the BLUP of \(a\) and \(pe\), in matrix notation was as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X'X & X'Z & X'w \\
Z'X & Z'Z + A' \alpha_1 & Z'Z \\
W'X & w'Z & w'w + I \alpha_2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
b^* \\
a^* \\
p^*
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
X'y \\
Z'y \\
w'y
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Where, \(A^{-1}\) is the inverse of the numerator relationship matrix,
\(\alpha_1 = \sigma^2_e / \sigma^2_a\) and \(\alpha_2 = \sigma^2_e / \sigma^2_pe\)

**Estimation of Accuracy of Predicted breeding value**

The accuracy of predicted breeding values for each animal was assessed as follows:

\[
R \ A' \ A = (1 \cdot d_j \cdot a)^2
\]

Where,

\(R \ A' \ A\) = the accuracy of prediction of the individual breeding values, \(d_j\) = the \(j\)th diagonal elements of inverse of the appropriate block coefficient matrix standard error, (Se) was estimated by the following relation: \(Se = d_j \cdot \sigma^2_e\). In addition, simple correlations between breeding values for litter traits and progeny weight were estimated.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Heritability estimates for body weight and average daily gain**

Heritability estimates \(\hat{h}^2\) for BW at 4, 6, 8, and 12 weeks were 0.65 ± 0.169, 0.09 ± 0.224, 0.01 ± 0.118 and 0.29 ± 0.143, respectively which were estimated from MTAM, and using all data. \(\hat{h}^2\) are moderate for both breeds and ranged from 0.22 to 0.25 and 0.20 to 0.25 for \(G_\delta \times V_\phi\), and \(V_\phi \times G_\delta\) crosses, respectively (Table 1). In addition, the moderate \(\hat{h}^2\) for BW at weaning 0.23 and 0.22 for \(G_\delta \times V_\phi\), and \(V_\phi \times G_\delta\) respectively, which suggested that the selection for weaning weight will give greater improvement for BW at marketing, thus, this could be situated as the endorsement goal of animal breeder. Furthermore, a little higher \(\hat{h}^2\) of BW for \(G_\delta \times V_\phi\) than its reciprocal indicated the importance of using bucks of Saini Gabali to improve BW at different ages.

The extant results indicated that \(\hat{h}^2\) estimates were higher for BW at weaning and decreased gradually, and then increased at older ages. The equivalent results were confirmed by Khalil (1986). Also, the moderate \(\hat{h}^2\) for BW, and \(BW_{12}\) suggested that the selection for weaning weight will give a greater improvement in BW at marketing. Similarly, according to El-Kelany (2005) who concluded that the additive genetic variances at younger age (4 weeks) for the five breeds (New Zealand White, California, Basucat, Flander and Black and Baladi) were beneath those at older age (8 weeks). This could be a direct result of non-added substance of genetic impacts and changeless environmental impacts at 4 weeks than at 8 weeks. In addition, Anous (2000) found that \(\hat{h}^2\) estimates for BW at 4, 6, 8 and 10 weeks old were 0.67, 0.81, 0.98 and 0.67, respectively, and concluded that the selection for BW at earlier ages may be convenient procedure for improving early rabbit growth. The present estimate of \(\hat{h}^2\) for weaning weight were exceeding those reported before (0.224-0.26) (Argente et al., 1999; García and Baselga, 2002). These differences among results may be attributed to differences in sampling errors, available number of observations, methods of analysis and statistical model used. Contrarily, low \(\hat{h}^2\) for BW at various ages of California were 0.17 and 0.18 for 8 and 12 weeks old, while \(\hat{h}^2\) were...
0.16 and 0.19 for daily gain during the period of 4 to 8 and 8 to 12 weeks old, respectively (Gharib, 2008). At the present study, the favorable estimates of $h^2$ for post-weaning growth traits were underneath those obtained by other scientists using the AM ranged from 0.30 to 0.72 (Iraqi et al., 2002). The acquired outcomes were correspondingly to the small sample' size of progeny per generation, second the inadequacy of progeny per sire, and third to the non-randomness in the allocation of progeny within sire group and sampling errors (Khalil et al., 2000). Intestinally, it will be an encouraging factor to enrich growth performance of these standard breeds raised in hot climates through the selection of sires in future. Regarding to gain weight, the present estimates of ADG among different ages were lower than those reported by many authors working on various rabbits’ breeds and ranged from 0.018 to 0.61. These results implied that the post-weaning ADG tends to be low to moderate heritable. Alike body weights, the $h^2$ for post-weaning ADG estimated from sire components were inferior to those estimated from dam components, which it was due to the maternal effect on BW from birth to weaning. These results corresponded to the previous studies where maternal genetic effects were realized significantly positive for the favors of V-Line dams, BW₈ and BW₁₂ (Abou Khadiga et al., 2008). The V-line had an unfavorable maternal genetic effect on the BW at 32 days old (ranging from 54.3 to 86.0 gram). In addition, Oreno et al. (2009) reported that maternal genetic effects were significant for BW at 60 days. Furthermore, the estimate of $h^2$ was higher for BW₈ weeks than other ages, and the selection of the animals would be more effective at 8 weeks of age to improve post-weaning growth traits in Gabali rabbits (Iraqi, 2008).

Table 1. Estimates of heritability for body weights, and average daily gains from weaning to marketing weight for Gabali X V-line, and its reciprocal cross by animal model

| Traits     | Heritability ($h^2 \pm SE$) |
|------------|-----------------------------|
|            | All data                    | Gabali x V-line (G♂ X V♀) | V-line x Gabali (V♂ X G♀) |
| BW₄        | 0.65 ± 0.16                 | 0.23 ± 0.07                | 0.23 ± 0.07                |
| BW₈        | 0.09 ± 0.22                 | 0.25 ± 0.10                | 0.25 ± 0.10                |
| BW₁₂       | 0.01 ± 0.11                 | 0.25 ± 0.05                | 0.25 ± 0.05                |
| ADG₄₈     | 0.29 ± 0.14                 | 0.22 ± 0.02                | 0.22 ± 0.02                |
| ADG₄₁₂     | 0.01 ± 0.13                 | 0.36 ± 0.135               | 0.15 ± 0.135               |
| ADG₆₁₂     | 0.12 ± 0.06                 | 0.38 ± 0.069               | 0.61 ± 0.069               |
| ADG₈₁₂     | 0.08 ± 0.05                 | 0.08 ± 0.055               | 0.04 ± 0.055               |

BW: Body weight at 4, 6, 8, and 12 weeks of age (BW₄, BW₆, BW₈, and BW₁₂, respectively). ADG₄₈: Average daily gain between 4-8 weeks interval, 4-12 weeks (ADG₄₁₂), and 8-12 weeks (ADG₆₁₂).

Genetics and environmental correlations

The MTAM analysis of variance and covariance were performed on the data of V-Line and Saini Gabali breed to derive estimates of direct additive genetic ($r_g$) and environmental correlations ($r_e$) among different traits studied. Genetic correlation for both BW and ADG at distinctive ages were positive, and ranged from 0.02 to 0.77, and 0.04 to 0.76, respectively. The highest genetic correlation was 0.76 between ADG₄₈ and ADG₆₁₀ (Tables 2 and 3). These results possibly explained by that the selection for higher BW at weaning would cause an associated increase in marketing body weight. Assessments of environmental correlation among BW at different ages were negative, except the $r_e$ between BW₈, and BW₁₂ were positive (0.08, 0.124), but not significant (Table 2). Also, $r_e$ among ADG in different intervals were lower in most incidents than the genetic correlation (Table 3). The present findings advocated that using early information for higher BW selection at weaning would cause a correlated increase in marketing BW. Comparable results were acquired where $r_e$ ranged from 0.31 to 1.0 (El-Kelany, 2005; Khalil et al., 2000). Moreover, Shebl et al. (1997) stated that $r_e$ amongst BW at 8, 12 and 16 weeks of age, and the daily gain (8 and 12 weeks) for New Zealand White (NZW) and Gabali line (G) were positive. The current estimates of $r_e$ indicated that BW₈ was more correlated than BW₄, and BW₆ compared to BW₄ for BW with that at BW₆, and BW₁₂, indicating that high weaning weight was associated with heavier body weight as BW₆, and BW₁₂. Enab et al. (2000) found that $r_e$ were positive and generally high in Cal and NZW breeds at all ages (4, 8, and 12 weeks). Also, it was noted that $r_e$ in general tended to diminish the value as the intervals between two ages increased in both breeds (Enab et al., 2000). Prospectively, $r_g$ between ADG in different ages were positive; the highest $r_g$ between ADG₄₈ and ADG₆₁₀ indicated that the selection of animals that have heavy BW at 4 weeks of age, would increase BW at marketing in the next generation. Analogously, Shebl et al. (1997) found that the $r_e$ between BW₈, and ADG₆₁₂ weeks was lower than the corresponding $r_g$ between BW₄ and ADG in the same period. Regarding to estimates of environmental correlation ($r_e$) concerning BW at different ages were negative, except for $r_e$ between BW₄ and BW₁₂ which were positive, but not significant. In addition, $r_e$ between average daily gains in different ages were lower than the genetic correlation in most incidents (Table 3). In other incidents, both $r_g$ and $r_e$ had the same indication, and they were not distinct in magnitude. Accordingly, this tendency might possibly be owing to several physiological mechanisms.
Table 2. Estimates of genetic (above diagonal) and environmental (below diagonal) correlations among weekly body weights from weaning to marketing weight in rabbits as estimated by Multi-trait animal model

| Correlated traits | BW4 | BW5 | BW8 | BW12 |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|
| BW4               | 1   | 0.14| 0.51| 0.20 |
|                   |     | (0.683)| (2.257)| (0.346)|
| BW5               | -0.17| 1   | 0.77| 0.02 |
|                   | (0.231)|     | (1.472)| (0.579)|
| BW8               | -0.29| -0.38| 1   | 0.02 |
|                   | (0.196)| (0.114)|     | (1.207)|
| BW12              | -0.75| 0.00| 0.08| -    |
|                   | (0.122)| (0.139)| (0.124)| -    |

*Body weight at 4, 6, 8, and 12 weeks of age (BW4, BW6, BW8, and BW12, respectively);

Table 3. Estimates of genetic (above diagonal) and environmental (below diagonal) correlations between post weaning average daily gains in rabbits as estimated by Multi-trait animal model

| Correlated traits | ADG4-8 | ADG8-12 | ADG6-10 | ADG8-12 |
|-------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| ADG4-8            | -      | 0.15    | 0.53    | 0.08    |
|                   |        | (2.298) | (5.410) | (2.637) |
| ADG8-12           | -0.18  | -       | 0.76    | 0.04    |
|                   | (0.081)|        | (0.338) | (0.385) |
| ADG6-10           | 0.01   | 0.35    | -       | 0.02    |
|                   | (0.076)| (0.071)|        | (0.360) |
| ADG8-12           | -0.09  | 1.00    | 0.34    | -       |
|                   | (0.119)| (0.026)| (0.084)| -       |

*Average daily gain between 4-8 weeks interval (ADG4-8), 4-12 weeks (ADG8-12), 8-10 weeks (ADG6-10), and 8-12 weeks (ADG8-12).

**Breeding values**

**Body weight**

Estimates of minimum and maximum predicted breeding values (PBV), and their accuracies (r) for BW at various ages estimated from does breeding values (DBVs), bucks breeding values (BBVs) and progeny breeding values (PBVs) were presented in table 4. The range of DBV for BW at four, five, six, eight, 10, and 12 weeks of age were 360, 584, 541, 561, 600, and 232 gram, respectively, and that of BBV were 219, 247, 250, 213, 270, and 290 gram, respectively. Whereas the range of PBV was 517, 575, 550, 505, 535 and 232 gram, respectively, and that of BBV were 219, 247, 250, 213, 270, and 290 gram, respectively.

**Average daily gain**

Estimates of minimum and maximum PBV with standard errors (SE) and their accuracies (r) for ADG between 4 to 8 weeks (ADG4-8), between 4-12 weeks (ADG4-12), between 8 to 10 weeks (ADG8-10), and between 8 to 12 weeks (ADG8-12) estimated from DBVs, and PBVs are presented in table 6. The range of DBVs were 6.29, 1.845, 8.31, and 11.38 gram for ADG4-8, ADG4-12, ADG8-10, and ADG8-12, respectively. Also, the BBVs for the above-mentioned traits were 3.08, 1.32, 6.11, and 15.29 gram, respectively. Whereas, the range of PBVs were 6.95, 1.86, 6.16, and 15.36 gram, respectively. The present results indicated that the range of predicted breeding values of does for most traits studied were higher than those of progeny and sires. The obtained results revealed the importance of dams, since it gives the higher range of breeding values and selection of dams for the next generation in maternal line would place emphasis on good genetic maternal effects. Similar results have found where the genetic trend of post-weaning daily gain in lines A and V rabbits, had positive trend, small for line V, and considerably higher for line A (Baselga and García, 2002).
Table 4. The ranges of predicted breeding values for does, bucks and progeny in weekly body weights from weaning to marketing for Gabali, V-line rabbits and their crosses as estimated by multi-trait animal model

| Traits<sup>a</sup> | Predicted breeding values<sup>b</sup> | DBVs | BBVs | PBVs |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|------|------|------|
|                   | Minimum                      | Maximum | Minimum | Maximum | Minimum | Maximum |
|                   | BV  | SE  | r   | BV  | SE  | r   | BV  | SE  | r   | BV  | SE  | r   | BV  | SE  | r   |
| BW<sub>4</sub>    | -0.207 | 0.10 | 0.88 | 0.153 | 0.05 | 0.37 | -0.143 | 0.04 | 0.73 | 0.076 | 0.07 | 0.92 | -0.201 | 0.06 | 0.68 |
| BW<sub>5</sub>    | -0.241 | 0.05 | 0.37 | 0.343 | 0.11 | 0.88 | -0.174 | 0.05 | 0.73 | 0.073 | 0.08 | 0.91 | -0.223 | 0.07 | 0.00 |
| BW<sub>6</sub>    | -0.214 | 0.06 | 0.35 | 0.327 | 0.11 | 0.87 | -0.184 | 0.05 | 0.71 | 0.066 | 0.08 | 0.79 | -0.217 | 0.07 | 0.00 |
| BW<sub>8</sub>    | -0.228 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.333 | 0.83 | 0.81 | -0.116 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.097 | 0.08 | 0.89 | -0.197 | 0.07 | 0.00 |
| BW<sub>10</sub>   | -0.254 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.346 | 0.13 | 0.80 | -0.141 | 0.06 | 0.39 | 0.129 | 0.12 | 0.89 | -0.229 | 0.08 | 0.00 |
| BW<sub>12</sub>   | -0.113 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.119 | 0.14 | 0.83 | -0.100 | 0.06 | 0.38 | 0.198 | 0.13 | 0.88 | -0.212 | 0.09 | 0.00 |

<sup>a</sup>Body weight at 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, and 12 weeks of age (BW<sub>4</sub>, BW<sub>5</sub>, BW<sub>6</sub>, BW<sub>8</sub>, BW<sub>10</sub>, and BW<sub>12</sub>, respectively).
<sup>b</sup>DBVs: Doe predicted breeding value, BBVs: buck predicted breeding value, PBVs: progeny predicted breeding value.
BV: Breeding value, SE: Standard error, R/r: Accuracies of breeding value.
Table 5. Predicted breeding values for higher number of progenies of body weight (g) at different ages (4, 8, 10 and 12 weeks of age) for Gabali x V-line and its reciprocal cross

| No. | BW₄ | BW₈ | BW₁₀ | BW₁₂ | No. | BW₄ | BW₈ | BW₁₀ | BW₁₂ |
|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| 100 | -293| -272| -197 | -185 | 351 | -185| -177| -223 | 214  |
| 101 | 426 | 292 | 601  | 357 | 120 | 210 | 153 | 112  |
| 216 | 212 | 164 | 253  | 282 | 354 | 136 | 035 | 150  |
| 274 | 326 | 279 | 285  | 113 | 356 | 040 | 101 | 080  |
| 277 | 503 | 395 | 552  | 301 | 369 | 013 | 078 | 039  |
| 279 | 328 | 270 | 320  | 133 | 370 | 104 | 162 | 151  |
| 282 | 117 | 111 | 067  | 060 | 384 | 024 | 088 | 051  |
| 301 | 303 | 270 | 237  | 169 | 385 | -113| -106| -166 |
| 387 | 673 | 550 | 676  | 454 | 401 | 071 | 095 | -110 |
| 910 | 478 | 404 | 440  | 318 | 406 | 220 | 240 | 304  |
| 915 | 353 | 259 | 445  | 406 | 410 | 036 | 026 | 007  |
| 960 | 190 | 170 | 145  | 065 | 441 | 097 | 092 | 128  |
| 967 | 134 | 117 | 107  | 041 | 487 | 087 | 039 | 082  |
| 4006| 209 | 155 | 263  | 203 | 489 | 418 | 384 | 573  |
| 4008| 678 | 599 | 544  | 378 | 498 | 133 | 192 | 175  |
| 4009| -071| -060| -068 | -393| 4055| 472 | 525 | 654  |
| 4016| 130 | 020 | 423  | 257 | 4056| 354 | 480 | 446  |
| 4098| 270 | 220 | 270  | 068 | 5001| 044 | 104 | 060  |

* Body weight at 4, 8, 10, and 12 weeks of age (BW₄, BW₈, BW₁₀, BW₁₂, respectively)

Table 6. The ranges of predicted breeding values for does, bucks and progeny in post-weaning average daily gain for Gabali, V-line rabbits and their crosses as estimated by multi-trait animal model

| Traits | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------|---------|---------|
|        | BV      | SE      | R      | BV    | SE      | r      | BV    | SE      | R      | BV    | SE      | r      |
| ADG₄₈  | -2.850  | 3.58    | 0.16   | 4.444 | 4.460   | 0.61   | -1.421| 3.12    | 0.40   | 1.656 | 4.15    | 0.72   |
| ADG₄₁₂ | -1.004  | 0.67    | 0.17   | 0.841 | 0.92    | 0.69   | -0.702| 0.66    | 0.41   | 0.612 | 0.85    | 0.74   |
| ADG₈₁₀ | -3.735  | 2.73    | 0.14   | 4.577 | 3.49    | 0.63   | -2.862| 2.60    | 0.36   | 3.245 | 3.30    | 0.68   |
| ADG₈₁₂ | -4.590  | 4.31    | 0.23   | 6.786 | 6.58    | 0.77   | -10.25| 3.88    | 0.51   | 5.032 | 5.81    | 0.82   |

* Average daily gain between 4-8 weeks interval (ADG₄₈), 4-12 weeks (ADG₄₁₂), 8-10 weeks (ADG₈₁₀), and 8-12 weeks (ADG₈₁₂); * DBVs: Doe predicted breeding value, BBVs: buck predicted breeding value, PBVs: progeny predicted breeding value
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