Psychostimulants have a paradoxical calming effect in the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), but their mechanism of action is unclear. Studies using dopamine (DA) transporter (DAT) knockout (KO) mice have suggested that the paradoxical calming effect of psychostimulants might occur through actions on serotonin (5-HT) neurotransmission. However, newer non-stimulant drugs, such as atomoxetine and guanfacine, suggest that targeting the norepinephrine (NE) system in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) might explain this paradoxical calming effect. Thus, we sought to clarify the mechanism of this paradoxical action of psychostimulants. Our ex vivo efflux experiments reveal that the NE transporter (NET) blocker desipramine elevates both norepinephrine (NE) and dopamine (DA), but not 5-HT levels, in PFC tissue slices from wild-type (WT) and DAT-KO, but not NET-KO mice. However, the 5-HT transporter (SERT) inhibitor fluoxetine elevates only 5-HT in all three genotypes. Systemic administration of desipramine or fluoxetine inhibits hyperactivity in DAT-KO mice, whereas local PFC infusion of desipramine alone produced this same effect. In contrast, pharmacological NE depletion and DA elevation using nepicastat also inhibits hyperactivity in DAT-KO mice. Together, these data suggest elevation of PFC DA and not NE or 5-HT, as a convergent mechanism for the paradoxical effects of psychostimulants observed in ADHD therapy.

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) affects at least 5–10% of children, with increasing diagnoses every year. The primary line of treatment for ADHD are the psychostimulant class of drugs, including amphetamine (Adderall) and methylphenidate (Ritalin). Several theories have been postulated on the paradoxical calming effect of psychostimulants in ADHD patients. However, after decades of research, their exact molecular and anatomical mechanism of action is not clear. Studies on the role of different monoamine transporters in the actions of psychostimulants have been inconclusive. Using the hyperactive dopamine (DA) transporter (DAT) knockout (DAT-KO) mice, studies have shown that administration of the 5-HT transporter (SERT) inhibitor fluoxetine or the 5-HT precursor 5-HTP reduces hyperactivity in these mice. These data suggest a serotonergic mechanism of action mediating this behavioral effect. However, microdialysis studies using fluoxetine, propose a role for cortical norepinephrine (NE) and DA. Additionally, newer non-stimulant forms of ADHD medications (atomoxetine, guanfacine) alter NE transmission, thus providing evidence for a role for the NE transporter (NET) in this paradoxical calming effect. Interestingly, NET knockout (NET-KO) mice have reduced locomotor activity and lower striatal DA release, again suggesting a crucial role for NET in this calming effect. However, NET inhibition not only elevates NE levels, but also DA levels in the PFC. Consequently, it is still unclear whether PFC NE, DA or 5-HT are necessary for the paradoxical effects of psychostimulants.

We hypothesize that PFC DA is the common mechanism that drives this paradoxical effect. Previous microdialysis studies established an inverse relationship between DA levels in the PFC and striatal DA levels, and locomotor activity. DA depletion by pharmacological lesioning in the PFC has been shown to increase subcortical DA levels and amphetamine-induced locomotor activity. Additionally, we have previously shown that DA D2 receptor deletion in the PFC increases PCP-induced hyperactivity (Urs et al., 2016).

The present study investigated the effects of pharmacological agents that increase PFC DA levels on locomotor activity. The current study provides mechanistic insight into the regulation of PFC monoamines and their role in the paradoxical effects of psychostimulants.
Results

Previous reports have shown that NET-KO mice have reduced locomotor activity\(^5\), whereas hyperactivity in DAT-KO is reduced by SERT inhibition\(^1\). We sought to test the effects of NET or SERT inhibition on locomotor activity in DAT-KO mice. Systemic administration of the NET inhibitor, desipramine (25 mg/kg, i.p.), or the SERT inhibitor, fluoxetine (20 mg/kg, s.c.), significantly decreased hyperactivity in DAT-KO mice, compared to vehicle (saline) injected controls (Fig. 1). Our findings are in contrast to the original study\(^1\), which shows that NET inhibition using nisoxetine does not affect hyperactivity in the DAT-KO mice.

The prefrontal cortex (PFC) is thought to be the site of psychostimulant action and other therapeutics used to treat ADHD\(^11,12\) given that they improve motivational and cognitive functions. We asked the question if desipramine and fluoxetine alter monoamine levels in the PFC. To test this, we used a previously established ex vivo efflux assay\(^13,14\) that allows measurement of monoamines released from brain tissue slices submerged in oxygenated buffer. Monoamine release from the slices is induced by potassium chloride (KCl) application and measured by HPLC. KCl-mediated increases in monoamine levels can be further enhanced by application of specific monoamine transporter blockers or by drugs such as amphetamine (Amph) (see “Methods” section for details), which alter presynaptic reuptake of monoamines. We first tested Amph-induced efflux in PFC tissue slices from WT, DAT-KO, and NET-KO mice. Amph significantly elevated DA levels in DAT-KO mice, but not WT or NET-KO mice (Fig. 2A). Amph elevated NE and 5-HT to similar levels in PFC slices from WT compared to DAT-KO or NET-KO mice. Amph-induced monoamine release was normalized to baseline KCl-induced monoamine release (Fig. 2B), which did not show significant changes between genotypes. All raw values of monoamine levels in PFC tissue for Fig. 2 are shown in supplemental data Table 1.

However, there was a trend towards an Amph-induced reduction in NE levels in the NET-KO mice. Amph binds to all monoamine transporters non-specifically and elevates monoamine levels by inducing reverse transport. We next tested the effects of specific transporter blockers, desipramine, GBR12909 and fluoxetine, that
inhibit NET, DAT and SERT, respectively. In WT mice, NET inhibition with desipramine (10 µM) increased KCl-stimulated NE and DA but not 5-HT compared to KCl alone (Fig. 3Ai, DA; Aii NE). As expected, SERT inhibition with fluoxetine (10 µM) increased KCl-stimulated 5-HT in WT mice, but did not alter NE or DA levels (Fig. 3Aiii, 5-HT). Our findings are in contrast to previous microdialysis studies showing that fluoxetine elevates NE and DA in the PFC, compared to WT mice. n = 2 experiments with 3 mice in each experiment. GBR12909 had no effect on any of the monoamine levels in PFC tissue slices, consistent with evidence that in the PFC DAT expression is very low compared to the striatum, and reuptake of DA is primarily mediated by NET.6,15,16 In DAT-KO mice, NET inhibition with desipramine led to a significant increase in KCl-stimulated NE and DA, but not 5-HT levels compared to KCl treatment alone (Fig. 3Bi, DA; 3Bii, NE). The increase in DA levels in DAT-KO mice was much higher than that of WT mice (p < 0.05 vs p < 0.0001). Similar to WT mice, SERT inhibition in DAT-KO mice using fluoxetine led to a significant increase in KCl-stimulated 5-HT levels, but not NE or DA levels, compared to KCI treatment alone (Fig. 3Biii, 5-HT). Neither NET nor DAT inhibition with desipramine or GBR12909, respectively, had any effect on KCl-stimulated NE, DA, or 5-HT levels in NET-KO mice (Fig. 3Ci–iii). Conversely, SERT inhibition with fluoxetine increased KCl-stimulated 5-HT, but not NE or DA (Fig. 3Ci–iii, 5-HT).

Since our results showed that systemic NET inhibition reduces hyperactivity in DAT-KO mice and that NET inhibitors elevate both NE and DA levels in the PFC of DAT-KO mice, we next tested the effect of PFC NET inhibition on locomotor hyperactivity of DAT-KO mice. Bilateral PFC infusion of desipramine (4 µg/0.5 µl/side) significantly reduced hyperactivity in DAT-KO mice (Fig. 4A,B). Consistent with our efflux data, bilateral infusion of fluoxetine did not significantly affect hyperactivity in DAT-KO mice (Fig. 4A,B). However, bilateral infusion of Amph (100uM/0.5µl/side) significantly reduced hyperactivity in DAT-KO mice (Fig. 4B).
Figure 3. Effect of monoamine transporter blockers on monoamine efflux in PFC tissue. PFC tissue slices from WT, DAT-KO and NET-KO mice were treated with KCl alone (40 mM) or KCl + 10 μM desipramine, GBR12909 (GBR) or fluoxetine. Monoamines released into the KH incubation buffer were analyzed by HPLC. F (3, 21) = 3.712 WT NE, F (3, 34) = 3.284 WT DA, F (3, 35) = 5.957 WT 5-HT, F (3, 32) = 10.85 DAT-KO DA, F (3, 24) = 5.003 DAT-KO NE, F (3, 20) = 8.410 DAT-KO 5-HT, F (3, 24) = 0.5012 NET-KO DA, F (3, 24) = 0.4536 NET-KO NE, F (3, 35) = 3.578 NET-KO 5-HT, for drug treatment comparisons. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001 using two-way ANOVA, compared to KCl-treated controls. n = 3–4 experiments with 4 mice in each experiment.
Since NET inhibitors elevate NE and DA levels in the PFC of DAT-KO and reduce hyperactivity, we next sought to test the effect of NE depletion in DAT-KO mice. We tested this using the DA beta hydroxylase (DBH) inhibitor nepicastat, which depletes NE levels in the brain\(^1\). DBH metabolizes DA to NE, therefore, nepicastat not only depletes NE levels, but also enhances DA levels in the PFC\(^2\). Systemic administration (40 mg/kg, i.p.) (Fig. 5A,B) or bilateral PFC infusion (4 µg/0.5 µl/side) (Fig. 5C,D) of nepicastat reduced hyperactivity in DAT-KO mice. HPLC analysis of PFC tissue from DAT-KO mice systemically injected with nepicastat showed reduced tissue NE levels and elevated tissue DA levels without altering 5-HT levels (Fig. 5E).

## Discussion

In this study, we apply an integrated approach, using ex vivo efflux techniques, monoamine transporter genetic knockouts, and behavior, to understand the molecular and anatomical mechanisms that regulate the phenomenon of paradoxical calming effects of psychostimulants in ADHD therapy. We showed that the NET inhibitor desipramine, but not the SERT inhibitor fluoxetine, elevates NE and DA levels from PFC tissue slices. Importantly, local PFC infusion of the NET blocker desipramine or DBH inhibitor nepicastat inhibits hyperactivity in DAT-KO mice. These data suggest that elevated PFC DA is a common mechanism that drives the paradoxical calming effect of psychostimulants.

We observe that systemic administration of desipramine and fluoxetine reduces hyperactivity in DAT-KO mice, which are consistent with previous findings. However, our findings are in contrast with a previous study that failed to show a reduction in hyperactivity in DAT-KO mice following treatment with the NET inhibitor nisoxetine\(^3\). The dose of nisoxetine used in the previous study was 10 mg/kg, whereas other studies found that higher doses of nisoxetine did produce behavioral effects in DAT-KO mice\(^4\). The present study, as well as previous studies, thus suggest a role for NET in the paradoxical calming effects in DAT-KO mice. Our findings are consistent with a role for NET in regulating locomotor activity. Thus, both NET and SERT may play a role in the paradoxical calming phenomenon of psychostimulants. However, the exact anatomical and molecular mechanisms of this phenomenon are not clear. Studies have suggested that the site of action of these psychostimulants is in the PFC, using very low doses of psychostimulants. Low dose administration of psychostimulants is thought to preferentially affect monoamine physiology through PFC, and not striatal, monoamine transporters\(^5\).
Consistent with these studies, we observed that NE and DA levels are elevated in DAT-KO mice in the presence of the NET inhibitor desipramine. This effect is mediated by NET, since NET-KO mice did not show elevated NE and DA levels. It is possible that these effects could be due to compensatory changes due to lifelong deletion of DAT. We found no alterations in PFC tissue monoamine levels in DAT-KO mice, but significantly lower PFC NE tissue levels in NET-KO mice (Fig. S1), as shown by HPLC. These results suggest that the increase in stimulated monoamine release in DAT-KO mice are not due to homeostatic changes in the PFC. Our notion is consistent with previous studies showing that monoamine transporter expression is unaffected in DAT-KO mice, although alterations in striatal D2 receptors are observed. Unlike desipramine, our efflux studies show that fluoxetine elevates only 5-HT levels and not NE or DA. This is in contrast to previous microdialysis studies showing that fluoxetine increases PFC NE and DA levels. This discrepancy can be explained by the fact that we used brain tissue slices, in which the long-range connectivity of PFC afferents from midbrain or hindbrain is disrupted, whereas in microdialysis studies, this connectivity is maintained. Additionally, in our PFC infusion experiments, desipramine, but not fluoxetine, reduced DAT-KO hyperactivity. This suggests that the site of action for fluoxetine is presumably outside of the PFC, since systemic administration of fluoxetine does reduce DAT-KO hyperactivity. Recent anatomical mapping studies have suggested that serotonergic raphe nuclei send dense projections to VTA DA neurons and locus coeruleus (LC) NE neurons that project to the medial PFC. It is likely that fluoxetine's action on raphe terminals at mesocortical DA or LC neurons activates these neurons and their cortical projections to elevate PFC DA and NE levels, respectively. Another study suggesting a possible serotonergic mechanism administered the 5-HT precursor 5-HTP, and also showed a reduction in DAT-KO hyperactivity. However, it is possible that excess systemic 5-HT levels overload the decarboxylase enzyme that is also present in other monoaminergic neurons, such as DA neurons. Such an overload could lead to depletion of striatal dopamine, similar to administration of the tyrosine hydroxylase inhibitor alpha-methyl-p-tyrosine, which has been shown to inhibit DAT-KO hyperactivity. Overall, two distinct possible mechanisms, i.e. desipramine in the PFC and fluoxetine in the mid/hind-brain, may regulate DA and NE levels in the PFC. However, PFC infusion of the

Figure 5. Effect of systemic or local PFC nepicastat administration on DAT-KO hyperactivity. (A) Locomotor activity (cm/5 min) of hyperactive DAT-KO mice was recorded for a 30 min baseline measurement followed by systemic injection with nepicastat or vehicle, and an additional 120 min of post-injection activity was recorded. (B) Total distance traveled (cm/150 min) was calculated. n = 6–12 mice per group. Pairwise comparisons using Two Way ANOVA, ****p < 0.0001. DAT-KO mice were infused with vehicle or 4ug/0.5ul of nepicastat in the PFC. (C) Locomotor activity (cm/5 min) was recorded for a 30 min baseline and an additional post-infusion recording of 120 min. (D) Total distance traveled (AUC) was calculated for baseline (cm/30 min) and post-infusion (cm/120 min). Pairwise comparisons using Two-way ANOVA, F (4, 52) = 2.973, *p < 0.05. (E) DAT-KO PFC tissue was analyzed upon nepicastat (Nep) i.p. injection for NE, DA or 5-HT levels by HPLC. P-values calculated using Two-way ANOVA, F (2, 18) = 1016, Nep compared to vehicle (veh) treated, n = 4.
Monoamine levels were measured using an HTEC (Amuza Inc, San Diego, CA) HPLC system with an electrochemical detector. Monoamine release was calculated as the amount of catecholamines in the eluate normalized to total protein levels in the tissue samples and normalized to the KC1-induced control samples. Data from at least 3 independent experiments (each experiment with tissue from n = 4 mice) were pooled together.

**PFC infusions.** DAT-KO mice underwent surgery to implant bilateral cannula (Plastics One, 33-gauge, 1 mm) into the PFC using stereotaxic coordinates from a mouse brain atlas (AP: +2.4 mm, ML: 0.5 mm, DV: −1.0 mm from bregma). Following at least 1–2 weeks of recovery, the mice were placed in an open-field testing chamber and baseline locomotor activity was assessed for 30 min. Locomotor activity was paused while the mice received a bilateral infusion (0.5 µl/side) of either desipramine, fluoxetine, nepicastat (all 4 µg/0.5 µl), amphetamine (100 µM/0.5 µl) or vehicle (aCSF or aCSF with 10% cycloheximide, 1% DMSO for nepicastat) over a 2 min period. Locomotor activity recording was resumed for an additional 120 min. Mice were injected with either vehicle or drug and after a 72-h drug washout, were counterbalanced and the injection groups were reversed.

**Monoamine tissue level-HPLC analysis.** WT, DAT-KO and NET-KO mice were sacrificed and brains were placed in a mouse brain matrix. The mouse brain matrix was used to take 1 mm coronal sections and punches of the PFC, and dorsal striatum (method described by Salvatore et al., 2012). The punches of each of the brain regions of interest were placed on dry ice and stored at −80 °C until processed. For processing, tissue punches of the PFC, and dorsal striatum (method described by Salvatore et al., 2012). The punches of each of the brain regions of interest were placed on dry ice and stored at −80 °C until processed. For processing, tissue punches of the PFC, and dorsal striatum (method described by Salvatore et al., 2012). The punches of each of the brain regions of interest were placed on dry ice and stored at −80 °C until processed. For processing, tissue punches of the PFC, and dorsal striatum (method described by Salvatore et al., 2012). The punches of each of the brain regions of interest were placed on dry ice and stored at −80 °C until processed. For processing, tissue punches of the PFC, and dorsal striatum (method described by Salvatore et al., 2012). The punches of each of the brain regions of interest were placed on dry ice and stored at −80 °C until processed. For processing, tissue punches of the PFC, and dorsal striatum (method described by Salvatore et al., 2012). The punches of each of the brain regions of interest were placed on dry ice and stored at −80 °C until processed. For processing, tissue punches of the PFC, and dorsal striatum (method described by Salvatore et al., 2012). The punches of each of the brain regions of interest were placed on dry ice and stored at −80 °C until processed. For processing, tissue punches of the PFC, and dorsal striatum (method described by Salvatore et al., 2012). The punches of each of the brain regions of interest were placed on dry ice and stored at −80 °C until processed.
Statistical analyses. All statistical analyses were performed using Graphpad prism 9.0. All group sizes for behavioral analyses are based on our previously published studies, as well as on power analyses suggesting that they will yield power to detect significant (alpha = 0.05) effects of 0.8 and above. Data were analyzed by unpaired t-test, One-way ANOVA, or Two-way ANOVA test for comparison between genotypes and/or injection pairs, as mentioned in figure legends. Individual genotypes, or injection pairs were compared using a post hoc Tukey’s test. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. p < 0.05 is considered as significant.
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