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Abstract

Reinforced concrete short corbels are widely used in engineering structures, such as bridges and precast structures. Therefore, the present comparative study was conducted on the structural behavior of the reinforced concrete corbels strengthened with carbon fiber-reinforced polymer (CFRP) laminates. For this purpose, numerical analysis was done using the finite element method. Therefore, nine models consisting of eight corbels strengthened with different CFRP arrangements in addition to an unstrengthened model were studied. The maximum load capacity, stiffness, ductility, and amount of absorbed energy were compared. In one of the above structure models, an innovative hunch was added at the top side of the corbel to column connection to investigate the differences with ordinary types of connections. Results revealed that the model used three bonded CFRP laminates all around the column and outside edges showed an 81% increase in loading capacity. Moreover, the corbel equipped with hunches had an 8% increase in stiffness compared to an ordinary corbel.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

Retrofitting the existing structures is extremely important and they can be preserved and functionally improved at minimum cost by employing new methods. Existing structures can be strengthened by applying additional reinforcing elements, such as FRP sheets [1]. In addition, the longevity of a concrete structure is almost estimated between 50 - 100 years. Consequently, after this period, the safety standards of concrete structures will not be satisfied and excessive cracks will appear gradually [2].

Reinforced concrete short corbels are one of the most important structures especially used for precast and pre-stressed concrete beams. They are mostly used to transfer forces from beams to bearing members including columns and walls in precast or reinforced concrete (RC) structures (See Figure 1). These members are formed monolithically with the columns and walls [3, 4].
The term corbel is generally limited to cantilevers and they generally have a ratio of shear span to effective depth less than one (a/d<1) [5]. Shear behavior of the corbels is influenced by a/d ratio and it has a correlation with deflection of the corbels as well as an inverse relationship with shear capacity [6]. Generally, experimental results are used as the basis for designing corbels. Different types of corbel failures have been indicated in previous experimental investigations, the most outstanding of which are:

1. Yielding to tension tie
2. Failure of end anchorages of tension tie, either under load point or in column
3. Failure of compression strut by crushing or shearing
4. Local zone failure under bearing plate
5. Shear-friction failure at corbel beam to column connection [7]

In reinforced concrete corbels, the presence of high value of shear forces is a leading cause of reduction in their flexural capacity. Hence, there is an urgent need for retrofitting of these structures. The composite usage in the industry was developed during World War II. The preliminary fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) materials and glass fibers embedded in polymeric resins were made available during this period [8, 9]. Composite fibers were introduced almost 35 years ago in the field of civil engineering and they were a revolutionary idea for retrofitting and repairing of RC structures [10]. Carbon fiber materials have special characteristics making them beneficial in some aspects. Their low weight and high flexibility as well as their easy implementation and physicochemical properties have made them useful to be applied in retrofitting industry of concrete structures [2]. These fibers need to be aligned with the load in order to be used and transfer the loads into the fibers. Furthermore, fiber’s longitudinal axes should be parallel to the loading direction to achieve the best material performance. [11]. Loss of rigidity and low resistance leading to cracking are compensated using carbon fiber materials in concrete short corbels because of strengthening and improving performance and durability of structures [2].

Bonding technique is one of the most popular approaches to increase bearing capacity of concrete corbels when CFRP is bonded on the corbel. Tensile and shear zones appear when the corbel is subjected to bending test (See Figure 2(a)). For strengthening of these weak points, CFRP laminates are bonded in tensile zone as shown in Figure 2(b).

In 1976, Mattock et al., in an experimental study on behavior of the RC corbels subjected to vertical and horizontal loads tested 28 corbels with and without horizontal stirrup reinforcement and with different variables like the shear span to effective depth ratio, the ratio of the vertical load to the horizontal load and the type of aggregate. They developed criteria for the ultimate shear stress in design of lightweight concrete corbels using either shear-friction or modified shear-friction equations [7]. Afterwards in 1997, Norris et al., investigated behavior of 19 dammed or understrength concrete beams retrofitted with CFRP sheets which were epoxy bonded with different orientations (with respect to the axes of the beam) to the tension face and web of concrete beams experimentally and analytically. A remarkable increase was observed in stiffness and strength in retrofitted beams and a fragile failure occurred due to concrete rupture as a result of stress concentration near ends of the CFRPs. Moreover, different orientations of fibers used in beams provided different behaviors under the loads [12].

Furthermore, Campione et al. in 2005 compared the effects of traditional steel reinforcements composed of longitudinal steel bars and transverse stirrups used in concrete corbels with those produced by the use of FRC or due to external wrapping with FRPs in these structures. Corbels were made from medium strength concrete and a 10% of filler reactive powder (pozzolanic powder) was added to the fresh concrete to increase the workability. Also gravel size effects were considered and the effect of using 10mm gravel in 160mm corbel compared with typical 20mm maximum size. They observed more ductility in the case of using FRC in comparison with traditionally steel reinforcement corbels [13]. In the same year, Elgwady et al. studied six models of the strengthened corbels using CFRP with different configurations as an external strengthening method to understand the effect of CFRPs on bearing capacity of corbels. It is used a tow-component epoxy to bond CFRP laminates to the
corbels with different configuration in this study. A 5000-kN machine was used to test the specimens and applied load started at zero in equal increment of 20 kN until the observation of the first crack. The results showed that short cantilevers, in which CFRP laminates were used, had an increase in ultimate load in all specimens from 8 to 70% compared to the control model. Also, they recommended not using two or more wythes of CFRP in some models. Since, high thickness of adhesive in local areas reduces efficiency of the upper layers of CFRPs consequently [3]. Moreover, Ahmad et al. in 2010 tested nine concrete corbels two of which had no CFRP sheets and seven of which were extremely bonded with CFRP sheets in different arrangements. They showed that corbels strengthened with CFRPs had higher shear stress and different CFRP configurations and geometries differently influenced behavior of the loaded corbels. They stated that shear-critical area of some corbels was wrapped in CFRP laminates and the highest strength value was recorded for these specimens [14].

The following year, Syroka et al. studied the behavior of short RC corbels with and without shear reinforcement by quasi-static finite element (FE) simulations. Specimens were modeled with three types of behavior including isotropic elasto-plastic, isotropic damage, and anisotropic smeared crack approach. In this study, a characteristic length of micro-structure through a non-local theory was introduced to ensure the mesh-independent numerical results and describe strain localization. In addition, an associated elastoplastic constitutive model with isotropic hardening was assumed to simulate reinforcement’s behavior. They found that tensile fracture energy had almost no effect on the ultimate vertical force but decreasing compressive fracture energy had a reducing effect on the force mentioned above. Furthermore, vertical failure force was increased by 50% and ductility of RC corbels was improved by the presence of horizontal stirrups. Besides, the elasto-plastic model had the most satisfactory accommodation with corresponding simulated geometry of the localized zones [15]. Afterwards, Rezaei et al. in 2013 studied about normal-strength concrete corbels with 30 FE models, which were different in terms of various parameters, such as ratios of primary and secondary reinforcement and type of the applied loading (vertical or horizontal). They indicated that increasing percentage of primary reinforcement steel can improve the ultimate load of a corbel. Also, load-carrying capacity of a corbel can be increased by adding secondary reinforcement up to 0.3% [16]. In 2015, Ivanova and Assih investigated behavior of RC short corbels retrofitted by bonding composite carbon fiber fabrics. The effect of some parameters like the influence of strengthening type and using different types of fiber fabrics on the mechanical behavior of these structures are investigated. The results revealed that the structures bonded by carbon fiber fabrics had an increase in failure tensile strength more than 1.82 and also containment effects by wrapping provided a better behavior in corbels than those structures, in which the laminates were glued on front breaking loads. Moreover, it was shown that the stresses in a RC structure can be distributed better by bonding composite carbon fibers [2]. Again, in 2015, Assih et al. investigated strengthening of concrete short corbels by gluing carbon fiber fabrics experimentally and theoretically. In this study, five corbels were tested under three points bending up to failure. Also, they repaired the damaged structures with carbon fiber fabrics and tested them again until their failure. They found that application of composite fiber fabrics using bonding technique is an effective and appropriate method for strengthening concrete structures [17]. In addition, Shadhan and Mohammad Kadhim in the same year tested 16 RC corbels including 14 corbels rehabilitated or strengthened by CFRP laminates in different plies. They considered various parameters in the test program, such as CFRP pattern scheme, bonded type, and damaged ratio. At the end, a remarkable increase in ultimate capacity was observed ranging from 17% to 71% in the strengthened specimens and from 13 to 65% in the rehabilitated specimens [18]. In 2017, Neupane et al. tried to numerically and experimentally study a retrofitting solution through the external CFRP wrapping method on the corbels with inappropriate position of bearing pad, which may lead to premature failure. They used different parameters and variables in this study, such as the strength of concrete, shear span, bearing pad location, reinforcement type (CFRP of RC jacketing). It was found that wrong place is at the edge of RC corbel. In addition, CFRP full-wrapped model had more capacity in comparison with other models [19].

Next year, Khosaravikia et al. compared the corbels designed based on the strut and tie model (STM) and results obtained from experimental and non-linear FE analyses. They concluded that it is more conservative to use STM provisions of American association of state highways and transportation officials (AASHTO) load and resistance factor design (LRFD) for designing corbels than experimental and numerical analyses [20].

In 2020, Campione and Cannella investigated an analytical model using strut and tie mechanism in order to evaluate bearing capacity and load deflection response in RC corbels equipped with secondary steel bars and FRP sheets. They tried to develop manual calculation expressions to predict load carrying capacity of the above-mentioned corbels, which was unique in comparison with other existing models [21]. Afterwards in the same year, Abu-Obaida et al. modeled two sets of double-sided concrete corbels numerically, which were reinforced with glass fiber-reinforced polymer (GFRP) bars and compared them with previous experimental tests in order to simulate non-linear behavior of RC corbels. In the one set, they adopted a perfect bond assumption between GFRP bars and concrete and in the other set, the researchers adopted a bond stress-slip law at the GFRP concrete interface. It is observed that the models with higher concrete strength were more
sensitive to the corbel’s mechanical ratio and reduction in the ultimate load was more dominant due to increasing a/d ratio in these models. Also, application of an interfacial bond stress-slip law at GFRP-concrete interface had no effect on the ultimate load of the corbels in comparison with perfect bond assumption except for four models out 12 models, in which the ultimate load was insignificantly reduced [22]. Ivanova et al. in 2020 did an experimental study to investigate the mechanical behavior of RC corbels strengthened with different CFRP schemes. Using the extensometer technique, they used three-point monotonous flexural loading until the failure to test the specimens and study the local deformations on different points in the constituent materials. It is indicated that the load carrying capacity improved by 80% in CFRP strengthened specimens compared to the control model (Unstrengthened model). In addition, specimens strengthened by the wrapping method show a better mechanical strength among the specimens [23].

There are some gaps in the literature on RC corbels strengthened with CFRP sheets. Although the term of efficiency as a ratio of the amount of increased strength capacity (kN) to the amount of CFRP’s area (cm²) could be a significant issue due to the high price of CFRP sheets, it has not been considered in none of the previous studies. Accordingly, in this study, mechanical properties of a corbel strengthened with a wide range of different CFRP layup schemes are analyzed and compared. In addition, herein, the possible effects of an innovative concrete hunch added at top side of the corbel to column connection on mechanical properties of the corbel are investigated.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Introduction of Models and Analyses

In this study, specimens were analyzed statistically using finite element method (FEM). All dimensions of specimens were modeled based on an experimental test done by Ivanova et al. [24] to achieve an accurate verification (See Figure 3). Also, for numerical and analytical modeling, ABAQUS/CAE 4.14-2 software was used and it was tried to have the minimum geometrical changes in all models. The concrete corbels and their reinforcing details modeled in ABAQUS/CAE software are shown in Figure 4.

The static load was applied in a monotonic manner until occurrence of the failure in each model. In this study, all the models were modeled with 3D elements and four-sided meshing with dimensions of 30*30 mm (Figure 5).

2.2 Material Properties

2.2.1 Concrete

Since, in the current study, an experimental model proposed by Ivanova et al. [24] was utilized so herein, concrete and steel characteristics were similar to the above experimental program. Therefore, Hognestad [25] strain-stress model was applied for concrete as it has been used by many researchers in the previous similar works. Concrete damage plasticity (CDP) was chosen as a pre-defined concrete damage simulation model proposed by ABAQUS/CAE software. Concrete compressive strength was equal to 32.2 MPa, modulus of elasticity, and Poisson’s ratio were equal to 30 GPa and 0.25, respectively.
The C3D8R was considered as the element used for concrete modeling in software. This element is a three-dimensional, linear hexahedral brick. Furthermore, it has eight nodes with reduced integration points.

### 2.2.2 Steel

In this research, steel behavior was assumed to be elastoplastic with bilinear stress-strain diagram. Furthermore, mechanical characteristics of steel bars are shown in Table 1.

T3D2 is a linear two-node truss element with three degrees of freedom at each node in the global coordinate. The rebars consist of longitudinal steel and stirrups modeled as T3D2 elements. In addition, in this study, a bonding was assumed between steel and concrete as embedded using pre-defined interaction provided by ABAQUS/CAE software.

**Table 1** Mechanical properties of steel bars [24]

| $E_s$ (GPa) | $\varepsilon_u$ | $f_u$ (MPa) | $\varepsilon_y$ | $f_y$ (MPa) | $\nu$ | $\rho$ (kg/$m^3$) |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------|------------------|
| 200         | 0.1104         | 610         | 0.0025         | 508         | 0.3   | 7850             |

Note: $E_s$: Modulus of elasticity, $\varepsilon_u$: Ultimate strain, $f_u$: Ultimate Stress, $\varepsilon_y$: Yield strain, $f_y$: Yield stress, $\nu$: Poisons ratio, $\rho$: Density

### 2.2.3 CFRP Laminates

Since, the CFRP laminates utilized in this paper consisted of unidirectional fibers; it was far from reality to consider them as isotropic materials. In other words, mechanical properties of these materials were not the same in different directions. Herein, the characteristics of composite polymer laminate strengthened with carbon fibers were defined in the software with regard to the characteristics extracted from experimental specimens. Thickness of all the CFRP laminates was equal to 1 mm and other specifications are available in Table 2.

A four-node quadrilateral shell element with reduced integration called as S4R was used for modeling the CFRP laminate in the software.

### 2.3 Loading and Boundary Conditions

#### 2.3.1 Loading

In this study, vertical load for concrete corbels was considered as an external load applied on a steel plate inserted on two sides of the structures (See Figure 6).

### 2.3.2 Boundary Condition

The specifications of corbel supports were modeled as considered by Ivanova et al. [24]. These supports are shown in Figure 6.

#### Figure 6 Applying external loads on bearing plates and boundary conditions

### 2.4 Different CFRP Layup Schemes

In this paper, various corbels with different CFRP arrangements were strengthened and investigated. For this purpose, eight specimens with different CFRP adjustments were analyzed and then, various results were extracted and compared with control mode. In Table 3, terminology and characteristics of the models are expressed. In addition to applying various CFRP arrangements in concrete corbels, one model (CI2) was equipped with a hunch placed at top sides of corbel to column connection. Geometry of hunches included an equilateral right triangle with two legs of 50 mm. Therefore, all the corbel specimens are shown in Figure 7.

**Table 2** Mechanical characteristic of CFRP laminates, based on Ivanova et al. experimental investigation [24]

| $G_{23}$ (MPa) | $G_{13}$ (MPa) | $G_{12}$ (MPa) | $\nu_{12}$ | $E_2$ (MPa) | $E_1$ (MPa) | $\varepsilon_y$ ($kg/m^3$) |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| 4535           | 4535           | 4535           | 0.45        | 6650        | 86000       | 0.00              |

Note: $G$: Shear modulus, $\nu$: Poisson’s ratio, $E$: Modulus of elasticity, $\varepsilon_y$: Yield strain.
Table 3 Terminology of the corbel specimens

| No. | Terminology | No. of strips * | No. of layers | Width (mm) | Type of arrangement |
|-----|-------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|---------------------|
| 1   | C0          | -              | -            | -         | Unstrengthened model. |
| 2   | CH1         | 1 (Horizontal) | 3            | 150       | One horizontal CFRP strip fully surrounded at two face. Each strip consists of one layer. |
| 3   | CH2         | 3 (Horizontal) | 1            | 50        | Three horizontal CFRP strips at two faces. Each strip consists of one layer. |
| 4   | CH3         | 3 (Horizontal) | 3: Top 2: Middle 1: Bottom | 50 | Three horizontal CFRP strips. Upper strip consists of three layer, middle strip consists of two layer and the bottom consists of one layer. |
| 5   | CI1         | 2 (Inclined)   | 1            | 150       | Two symmetric inclined CFRP strips with the 45 degree at two faces. Each strip consists of one layer. |
| 6   | CI2         | 2 (Inclined)   | 1            | 150       | Two symmetric inclined CFRP strips with the 45 degree at two faces. Each strip consists of one layer. A concrete hunch with a leg length of 50 mm is placed at intersection of middle column and outside edges. |
| 7   | CIH         | 3 (2 inclined & 1 Horizontal) | 1 | 150 | One horizontal and two inclined CFRP strips with the 45 degree at two faces. Each strip consists of one layer. |
| 8   | CV          | 4 (Vertical)   | 1            | 100       | Four vertical CFRP strips at two faces. Each strip consists of one layer. |
| 9   | CHV         | 5 (1 Horizontal & 4 Vertical) | 1 | 100 | Four vertical and one horizontal CFRP strips at two faces. Each strip consists of one layer. |

Note: *Number of strips at each face of the corbels.

Figure 7 CFRP arrangements in the strengthened models
2.5 Verification and Comparison between the FEM Models and Experimental Tests

CH1 is the model introduced by Ivanova et al. [24] in their experimental investigation and it is used in this study to be compared with different arrangements of CFRP laminates. In this specimen, three CFRP laminates with a width of 150 mm were wrapped horizontally on both sides of the corbel. For achieving a precise verification, the load-strain diagram for longitudinal bars and CFRP laminates extracted from the model introduced by Ivanova et al. [24] was compared with CH1 model and results are shown in Figures 8 and 9, respectively.

Obviously, both diagrams are following the same trend and analysis showed a close proximity between the two sets of results.

As the load was increased and the corbel approached to failure mode, the amount of ultimate load reached to 651.8 kN for CFRP laminates, which was reported by 651 kN in experimental investigation by Ivanova et al. [24].

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, results of numerical analysis on the reinforced concrete corbel models are presented. Different CFRP layup schemes using horizontal laminates, diagonal laminates with 45-degree angle, vertical laminates, and combinational laminates are investigated. These results are presented in Table 4.

Table 4: The amount of ultimate load, ductility, stiffness and absorbed energy for different CFRP layup schemes

| Model | Ultimate load (kN) | Factor of Ductility * | Stiffness (kN/mm) | Absorbed energy (kN.mm) |
|-------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| C0    | 360                | 7.3                   | 1867.7            | 132                    |
| CH1   | 651.8              | 11.5                  | 1930.5            | 366.1                  |
| CH2   | 540                | 10.7                  | 1895.8            | 283.4                  |
| CH3   | 621                | 10.8                  | 1912.5            | 335.6                  |
| CI1   | 495.6              | 10.5                  | 1891.8            | 258.9                  |
| CI2   | 516                | 11.4                  | 2121.4            | 281                    |
| CIH   | 564                | 10.5                  | 1909.9            | 287.6                  |
| CV    | 472.8              | 10.5                  | 1884.6            | 257.2                  |
| CHV   | 542.3              | 11                   | 1872.5            | 315.3                  |

Note: *Factor of ductility is defined as the ratio of the ultimate deflection to the initial yield deflection.

3.1 Force-Displacement Results

Figure 10 shows incremental force-displacement diagram in all the models. Based on these diagrams, mechanical characteristics of corbel structures including ultimate load, ductility, stiffness, and absorbed energy are discussed in the following sections.

3.1.1 Ultimate Load strength

As shown in Table 4, the CH1 model tolerated the most ultimate load among the other specimens by 651.8 kN. In addition, CH3 had almost similar ultimate load to CH1 with a variation of 4.7% by 621 kN. Furthermore, the CIH model was third in terms of ultimate load capacity after these two models by 564 kN. The increase in ultimate load capacity of the models compared to C0 varied between 32-81% for CV and CH1, respectively.

CH1 and CH3 models have the highest ultimate load capacities because of three layers of CFRP sheets located at the tension zone of the corbel.
3.1.2 Ductility

In this study, ductility is defined as the ratio of ultimate deflection, \( \Delta_u \), to the deflection at initial yield, \( \Delta_y \), proposed by Loo and Yao in 1995 [26]. According to this definition, model CH1 was more ductile than the other models with 57% increment in comparison with the control model (C0), which is due to the fact that concrete corbel was wrapped with three layers of CFRP laminates, which are more ductile than the concrete itself.

Moreover, the CI2 model, equipped with hunches, had the highest ductility and its correspondent model (CI1) had the lowest along with CV and CIH models. It shows the effectiveness of added concrete hunches to the corbel. As expected, the control model was the most brittle one among all the specimens.

3.1.3 Stiffness

CI2 model equipped with hunches in two sides of the corbel to column connection reached the highest stiffness by 2121.4 kN/mm and it is more than 12% and 14% stiffer than the same model without hunch (CI1) and control model (C0), respectively. While, the CH1 model proposed by Ivanova et al. [24] had the highest stiffness after the CI2 model with a difference of about 9% showing that adding a small hunch at the corbel to column connection and using only one inclined CFRP laminate increases stiffness of the model 10% higher than the model equipped with three horizontal layers without using hunches.

3.1.4 Absorbed Energy

In the case of the absorbed energy, the CH1 model had the highest capacity along with the highest ductility among the other specimens. Particularly, energy absorbed by the control structure until the failure was multiplied by 2.8 using three horizontal CFRP layers around the corbel. After that, CH3 and CHV models were in the second and third places by 1 and 1.4% of absorbed energy, respectively, which is a very little difference.

3.1.5 Modes of Failure

Table 5 presents failure modes, ultimate loads and their related displacement, load required for occurrence of the first crack and its correspondent displacement, and ratio of the ultimate load of each model to the control model. Dominant mode of failure in majority of the models was CFRP rupture. In the other words, concrete fracture was prevented by wrapping the CFRP laminates in all the specimens. Additionally, load required at the first crack occurrence was increased in the wrapped models and this was more obvious in the model equipped with two small hunches, which had the highest stiffness among the others (CI2 model). Furthermore, the first crack almost occurred in the same amount of displacement in all the models. Failure modes for CH1 and CI2 models as an example of concrete fracture and CFRP rupture are shown in Figures 11 and 12, respectively.

3.2 Efficiency of Layup Schemes

For having an accurate judgment, efficiency of each strengthened model was calculated. For this purpose, as mentioned before, a parameter, which is a ratio of the amount of increased strength capacity (kN) to the amount of CFRP’s area (cm²) utilized in a corbel multiplied by 100 was introduced. This ratio represents that how much resistance can be obtained by adding 1 cm² to the control model (See Table 6). As depicted in Table 6, the CH1 model represented by Ivanova et al. [24] had the lowest efficiency by 3.41. On the other
hand, CH2 and CI2 models had the highest efficiency by 7.5 and 6.42, respectively.

Ivanova’s model (CH1) has the highest ultimate load among the models with 651.8 kN (See Table 4) because of three layers of wrapped CFRP around this model. This high amount of CFRP sheets utilized in CH1 has made the efficiency factor of the model extremely low in comparison with other models. The efficiency factor of the CH2 model is the highest and its ultimate load is just 17% lower than the CH1 model with 621 kN. In addition, according to Table 6, the increased strength capacity for the CH3 model is 261 which is just 10.5% lower than Ivanova’s model (CH1) but its efficiency factor is about 59.5% higher than the CH1 model. In the CH3 model, it is tried to make the areas with more tension stress more strengthened. The model equipped with two concrete hunches (CI2) has the second highest efficiency while it has the highest amount of stiffness and ductility. Furthermore, it could be seen that the addition of a small concrete hunch to the top side of the corbel to column connection creates a tensile brace between the corbel and the column. This bracing behavior has made it 15% more efficient than its corresponding model without hunch (CI1).

### Table 6 Efficiency of layup schemes

| Model | Strength capacity added to C0 (kN) | CFRP area (cm²) | Efficiency Factor* |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| CH1   | 291.8                             | 8550            | 3.41               |
| CH2   | 180                               | 2400            | 7.50               |
| CH3   | 261                               | 4800            | 5.44               |
| CI1   | 135.6                             | 2430            | 5.58               |
| CI2   | 156                               | 2430            | 6.42               |
| CIH   | 204                               | 4830            | 4.22               |
| CV    | 112.8                             | 2500            | 4.51               |
| CHV   | 182.28                            | 4100            | 3.41               |

Note: *This factor is defined as a ratio of the amount of increased strength capacity (kN) to CFRP’s area (cm²) utilized in a corbel multiplied by 100.

### Table 5 Failure modes with its correspondent loads and displacements

| Model | \( P_c \) (kN) | \( P_u \) (kN) | \( \Delta_c \) (mm) | \( \Delta_u \) (mm) | \( P_u / P_c \) | Failure mode |
|-------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|
| C0    | 140.4          | 360            | 0.079               | 0.67                | 1              | Brittle failure-Cracking in tensile area |
| CH1   | 149.92         | 651.84         | 0.08                | 0.99                | 1.81           | Brittle failure-Cracking in tensile area |
| CH2   | 145.8          | 540            | 0.08                | 0.99                | 1.5            | CFRP rupture   |
| CH3   | 153            | 621            | 0.08                | 0.99                | 1.72           | Brittle failure-Cracking in tensile area |
| CI1   | 143.72         | 495.6          | 0.08                | 0.99                | 1.37           | CFRP rupture   |
| CI2   | 154.8          | 516            | 0.081               | 0.99                | 1.43           | CFRP rupture   |
| CIH   | 146.64         | 564            | 0.08                | 0.99                | 1.56           | CFRP rupture   |
| CV    | 146.57         | 472.8          | 0.08                | 0.99                | 1.36           | CFRP rupture   |
| CHV   | 149.04         | 542.28         | 0.08                | 0.99                | 1.5            | CFRP rupture   |

*Load required at the first crack occurrence. **Ultimate load. ***Ultimate load for the control model. †Displacement at the first crack occurrence. ‡Ultimate displacement.

Figure 11 Failure mode of CH1 model (Cracked area at the corbel to column connection)
4.0 CONCLUSION

In this study, eight different arrangements of CFRP laminates named CH1, CH2, CH3, CI1, CI2, CIH, CV, and CHV wrapped on the short corbel structure were analyzed numerically by non-linear FE analysis and were compared with an unstrengthened model named as C0. The following conclusions can be drawn according to findings of the study:

Load carrying capacity was increased in various specimens strengthened with CFRP laminates compared to the unstrengthened model by 81.05, 50, 72.5, 37.6, 43.3, 56.6, 31.3, and 50.6% for CH1, CH2, CH3, CI1, CI2, CIH, CV, and CHV, respectively.

The model equipped with a small hunch at the corbel to column connection (CI2) had the highest amount of stiffness among the other models. This model had almost 10% more stiffness than the CH1 model, which had the second place in terms of stiffness among the models. In addition, two small hunches in two sides of the corbel to column connections postponed occurrence of the first crack in comparison with the same model without hunches by more than 7%. Also, CI2 model showed an 8% more increment in the amount of stiffness, 15% more increment in added strength capacity, 8.5% more ductility and absorbed energy in comparison with the same model with similar layup scheme but without hunch (CI1).

CH1 model had the highest amount of both absorbed energy and structural ductility by 366.1 kN.mm and 11.5, respectively. This model also had the highest increment in load carrying capacity by 651.8 kN. On the other hand, the mentioned model had the most utilized CFRP area, which made it inefficient with the least efficiency level.[3, 41] among the other models. On the contrary, CH2 model had the maximum efficiency level of 7.5 among all the other models. It could be concluded that the CH2 model was about 2.2 times more efficient than CH1 model proposed by Ivanova et al., (2015).

The use of CFRP laminates is a leading cause of increment in load creating the first crack. As an example, this load was increased by 7 and 10% using horizontal layup scheme technique and diagonal technique, respectively.

Increased strength capacity and ductility factor in the CV model with vertical CFRP sheets is the lowest among the models. Increased strength capacity in this model is 61.3% lower than this factor in Ivanova’s model.
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