Utilization of charcoal in the iron-ore sintering process
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Abstract. Charcoal is becoming an alternative source of energy to traditional fossil fuels such as coal and coke. In theoretical studies, some types of biomass have been identified as alternative sources of fuel to coke. The use of charcoal for sintering applications has been evaluated in separate experiments in the world. These experiments indicate that charcoal can replace a portion of the coke breeze (approximately 20-30%). The aim of this thermodynamic study was to evaluate the possibilities of charcoal utilization in iron-ore sintering process. Thermodynamic analysis has shown that the main factors determining the composition and properties of sinter are chemical composition of input materials (including fuels), thermodynamics of fuels burning, and oxidation potential of the gaseous phase (CO₂/CO ratio). The main objective of this work was to determine the effects of coke substitution by charcoal in the laboratory sintering process with respect to combustion efficiency and sinter quality. The coke substitution and energy requirement provided by charcoal was in range of 8 to 86%. The use of charcoal fuel resulted in a decrease in sintering time and the replacement of coke with charcoal may lead to an increase in sinter productivity. Overall, the results from the laboratory scale tests suggest that replacement of coke breeze energy with an equivalent amount of energy from charcoal in the iron-ore sintering process is possible and has no negative influence on technological and ecological parameters.
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1. Introduction

Sintering is an agglomeration process that is used in the iron industry to prepare fine grained iron bearing materials for use in the blast furnace. Sinter composition usually depends on chemical composition of input materials, carbon content, oxidation potential of the gaseous phase, and temperatures in the sintering bed [1]. Agglomeration process is mainly the oxidation process, which is based on combustion of carbon with oxygen to form CO₂(g) and CO(g). Reaction of complete combustion of carbon is the dominant chemical reaction in the agglomeration process and it brings the largest heat effect into the process.

Possible solutions for reduction of energy-emission load of the agglomeration process of fine iron bearing raw materials appear to be the ways of partial replacement of agglomeration coke by biomass. Lower emission burden for the environment can also be achieved by suitable quality of charge materials as well as by regulation of sintering parameters (height of the sintered layer, volume and velocity of the sucked air, amount and type of the fuel, concentrate vs. agglomeration ore ratio, etc.) [1].

Methods of biomass utilization for energy purposes are to large extent given by physical and chemical properties of biomass. According to the available studies from around the world, the following types of biomass have been tested in agglomeration process [2-8]:

- Sunflower husks and briquettes (0.5-1 mm);
- Pellets from sunflower husks (2-4 mm, 0.85-2 mm, and 0.85-0.60 mm);
- Pellets from sugar-reed (2-8 mm);
• Cobs (< 3 mm);
• Bark from trees (< 3 mm);
• Sawdust from pine wood (< 3 mm), pressed sawdust (< 4 mm, and < 2 mm);
• Olive pulp;
• Almond nut shells (< 2 mm);
• Hazelnut shells (< 2 mm);
• Rape straw, rape seed;
• Charcoal (< 3 mm, and < 8 mm).

The results of the studies suggest that the size of plant and sawdust biomass particles should be smaller than those in case of coke where the threshold is less than 3 mm. Charcoal should be used in fraction under 3 mm; for sawdust the particles should be under 1 mm and for plants from 0.6 to 0.8 mm.

Biomass is characterized by relatively high and frequently changing water content, which considerably affects energy properties of biomass fuel. Particular types of biomass (mainly of vegetation and wood origin) have significantly higher content of volatile combustibles than coke breeze. The analyses of individual types of biomass used for iron bearing agglomerate production over the world are given in Table 1 [2-4].

In various studies over the world, charcoal has been tested as a replacement for coke breeze in iron bearing materials sintering. Generalized knowledge is introduced about charcoal use from the results of these studies in the following text [2, 5, 6]:

• It is possibly effective to replace about 20 - 30% of coke breeze by charcoal in agglomeration;
• Replacement of coke breeze by charcoal has been tested up to 100%;
• Bulk density of tested agglomeration mixtures decreases with increasing charcoal ratio;
• Efficiency of combustion is higher than when using coke breeze alone;
• Maximum temperatures during agglomeration process are lower with charcoal than with coke breeze and also temperature profile is broad within individual levels of temperature reading;
• When using charcoal, overall time of sintering gets shorter and productivity increases;
• The use of charcoal over the world caused lower quality of produced iron bearing agglomerate, increasing the proportion of recycled agglomerate (under 5 mm) by ISO test (fraction under 0.5 mm).

Studies over the world prove that complete replacement of coke breeze by biomass in agglomeration is not possible. In general, the studies show that there is a potential for emission reduction and productivity enhancement by biomass use in agglomeration process. However, there is a need for further study on the effect of individual parameters of biomass on the qualitative parameters of the produced agglomerate (mainly on the oversize agglomerate yield and on its strength).

2. Materials and methods

For the experiments, iron-ore, iron concentrate, dolomite, limestone, coke breeze, and charcoal were used. Table 2 shows the chemical analysis of iron

| Selected carbon materials | Moisture (%) | Ash (%) | Volatiles (%) | Fixed carbon (%) | Sulfur (%) | Calorific value [MJ/kg] |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------|----------------|------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Coke breeze [2]          | 5.5          | 12.1    | 1.5            | 84.4             | 0.60      | 28.00                   |
| Coke breeze [3]          | 0.1          | 13.3    | 1.8            | 84.9             | 0.51      | 28.75                   |
| Coke breeze [4]          | -            | 19.5    | 5.8            | 74.6             | 0.50      | 26.84                   |
| Sunflower husks [2]      | 9.3          | 3.2     | 76.4           | 12.3             | 0.14      | 16.00                   |
| Hazelnut shells [2]      | 11           | 1.1     | 67.8           | 20.0             | 0.04      | 18.20                   |
| Almond shells [2]        | 9.3          | 0.5     | 81.4           | 8.8              | 0.03      | 16.70                   |
| Rape straw [2]           | 9.8          | 2.8     | 81.2           | 6.0              | 0.11      | -                       |
| Rape seed [2]            | 8.1          | 4.5     | 83.2           | 3.6              | 0.60      | 17.37                   |
| Bark [3]                 | 7.3          | 3.0     | 75.7           | 21.3             | 0.02      | 18.68                   |
| Sawdust [3]              | -            | 0.3     | 85.4           | 14.3             | 0.01      | 17.53                   |
| Corn [3]                 | -            | 1.6     | 83.2           | 15.2             | 0.05      | 16.11                   |
| Charred-straw [4]        | -            | 5.1     | 23.6           | 71.2             | 0.08      | 28.77                   |
| Charcoal [2]             | 4.6          | 4.5     | 32.7           | 58.2             | 0.02      | 33.10                   |
| Charcoal [3]             | 18.0         | 6.1     | 17.0           | 76.9             | 0.02      | 29.93                   |
| Charcoal [4]             | -            | 5.1     | 7.5            | 87.3             | 0.04      | 30.77                   |
Table 2. Chemical analysis of iron bearing materials.

| Elements     | Concentrate [%] | Iron-ore [%] |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Fe$_{\text{total}}$ | 67.91           | 56.19        |
| FeO           | 28.45           | 0.72         |
| Fe$_2$O$_3$   | 65.57           | 79.55        |
| SiO$_2$       | 4.92            | 17.2         |
| CaO           | 0.21            | 0.1          |
| MgO           | 0.34            | 0.27         |
| Al$_2$O$_3$   | 0.18            | 0.50         |

Table 3. Analyses of the selected carbon materials.

| Properties of fuels | Coke breeze [%] | Charcoal [%] |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Total moisture (W$^\text{a}$) | 0.8            | 1.8          |
| Ash (A$^\text{a}$)   | 14.5            | 2.3          |
| Volatile matter (V$^\text{daf}$) | 3.5         | 6.4          |
| Hydrogen (H$^\text{daf}$)   | 0.79           | 1.39         |
| Carbon (C$^\text{daf}$)     | 96.9           | 91.4         |
| Nitrogen (N$^\text{daf}$)   | 0.84           | 0.44         |
| Calorific value (Q$^\text{d}$) | 28.16 [MJ/kg] | 32.05 [MJ/kg] |

bearing materials used in this study. Both the charcoal and coke breeze used in this study were subjected to chemical analysis, typically used for coal characterization (Table 3).

Charcoal has approximately the same content of carbon and higher volatile matter content as those in coke breeze. Commercial charcoal has a high calorific value (32.05 MJ/kg) and coke breeze has the calorific value of 28.16 MJ/kg. It can be observed from the chemical analyses that commercial charcoal has much lower ash content than coke breeze.

The available commercial charcoal is not directly suitable for agglomeration because of its oversized granularity. The biomass charcoal was reduced in size using a hammer mill and the size fraction < 3 mm was separated using a test sieve shaker. In Figure 1, the original and ground samples of charcoal are shown.

The methodology of preparation and the course of the experiment were in concordance with the technology of preparation and processing used under standard operational conditions [1,12,14,15]. The process was carried out by wet-mixing a carefully blended mixture of homogenized materials with coke breeze and charcoal. The sinter batch was charged as micropellets into the Laboratory Sintering Pot (LSP), as shown in Figure 2. After ignition, the sintering process has been carried out while maintaining the constant under pressure of 5 kPa. Airflow measurements were made in the offgas passage where the hot exhaust gas had been cooled. Thermocouples were installed at depths of 100 mm, 200 mm, and 300 mm through the sinter bed. The concentrations of carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, sulphur dioxide, and nitric oxide were measured using a Testo350 portable emission analyzer. Sintering process was continuously monitored and recorded. Laboratory sintering pot sufficiently simulated the conditions of the sinter belt in relation to the production of CO, CO$_2$, NO$_x$, SO$_2$, and solid polluting substances in the sintering process.

3. Results and discussion

The aim of this study was to evaluate, from the thermodynamic point of view, the possibilities of charcoal utilization in iron-ore sintering process. The course and a thermal effect of individual reactions that take place during combustion of carbonaceous fuels (coke breeze or charcoal) in the agglomeration process were modeled using thermodynamic program HSC Chemistry 5.11. In Figure 3, Gibbs equilibrium diagrams are modeled for agglomeration charge sintering systems in the presence of coke breeze and charcoal. In the calculations, the following parameters of sintering charge were considered: (Fe$_{\text{total}}$ = 44%, basicity = 1.6, moisture = 7%, content of fuel = 3.8%). Sintering was modeled for 100 kg of batch in the presence of 45 m$^3$ of air throughput (standard sintering conditions) [9].

Figure 1. Charcoal sample: (a) Original; and (b) ground.

Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the Laboratory Sintering Pot (LSP).
Figure 3. Equilibrium diagrams for sintering the mixture with (a) coke breeze, and (b) charcoal [9].

When commercial charcoal is used for sintering, there is higher content of \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2 \) present in the gaseous phase, which is also reflected by a higher content of \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4 \) and \( \text{FeO} \) in the resulting agglomerate. On the other hand, when producing agglomerate using charcoal, it is possible to expect lower content of calcium ferrites, which can result in a product with lower compression strength. Given the significantly higher proportion of ash in the coke breeze than that in charcoal, there can be expected a higher proportion of silicates in the resulting agglomerate structure (using coke breeze), as shown in Figure 3(a).

Laboratory experiments were carried out with coke-biomass mixtures in which 8%, 20%, 50%, and 86% of the energy requirement was provided by charcoal. In all the sintering experiments, the total energy content of the fuel was the same as that for 3.8 wt.% of coke [14].

In Figure 4, the sintering of agglomeration mixture in laboratory sintering pot is shown. During the sintering, the laboratory sintering pot was monitored by thermovision camera and movement of the combustion zone in the direction of airflow was recorded. The movement of the combustion zone from upper to
lower during the sintering process was observed for approximately 20 minutes (20% replacement of the coke with charcoal).

In comparison with the research in Japan [10], the movement of the combustion zone from upper to lower was observed using a silica glass tube in the pot test (only with coke), as shown in Figure 5.

The temperatures (measured by thermocouples), attained at various bed depths during combustion of coke, and coke-charcoal mixtures, with 0%, 20%, 50%, and 86% replacement of the coke, in the combustion zone reach 900-1380°C. Figure 6 shows the temperature profile usually observed during iron-ore sintering experiments at LSP. All temperatures were comparable to temperatures observed in an industrial sintering bed. The maximum off-gas temperatures measured in the sinter pot windbox were in the range of 530-630°C. As the proportion of charcoal used in the sintering pot increased, the bed temperatures were reduced.

In comparison with the research in Australia and UK [6], it may be seen from Figure 7 that the peak temperatures, attained at 100 nm of depth (during iron-ore sintering experiments), are dependent on the proportion of substituted coke. The highest bed temperature (i.e., 1320°C) was obtained with the test blend consisting of 20% substitution of coke breeze energy with charcoal followed by 100% coke breeze.

In Figure 8, the influence of charcoal ratio on maximum temperatures in sintering bed is shown. With increasing proportion of charcoal in the total quantity of the fuel, maximum temperatures were attained in the individual levels of sintering charge decline. This effect gets more significant in direction of the airflow and is most pronounced at the bottom of the laboratory sintering pot.

Concentrations of CO, CO₂, and O₂ in the flue gas and combustion efficiency during sintering mixture for various contents of charcoal in sintering bed were measured and analyzed. Figure 9 shows the concentrations of CO, CO₂, and O₂ and combustion efficiency during sintering of mixture without charcoal. Figures 10 and 11 show the concentrations of CO, CO₂, and O₂ and combustion efficiency for individual cases (20 and 50% replacement of coke with charcoal) [11].

As may be seen, the carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide concentration profile exhibited a strong peak when 50% of coke breeze was replaced with charcoal (Figure 11), which perhaps indicates the accumulation of condensable and combustible volatile matter from the charcoal.

The content of Fe_{total} in the final agglomerates was approximately 52% (content of FeO was at intervals of 5-9%). The use of charcoal fuel results in a decrease in the sintering time and the replacement of coke with charcoal may lead to an increase in sinter productivity. The level of produced return fines is considered the most direct indicator of sinter quality. It was observed that coke replacement with charcoal in blends has no significant influence on the strength of the sintered product. On the other hand, coke substitution with charcoal has negative effect on abrasion (Figure 12) [12] and reducibility agglomerate [13].

Charcoal is similar to coke in terms of its general chemistry, but with very low ash levels, and therefore would not normally present additional chemical risks in replacing the conventional fuels. On the other side, charcoal production has been singled out as a major cause of forest degradation and deforestation in many countries. There are some types of products and byproducts from charcoal production operations: charcoal, carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), tars, and water. In addition to the issue of deforestation, greenhouse gas emissions are also released, ranging between 7-9 kg of CO₂ equivalent per kilogram of produced charcoal. Methane is the most potent greenhouse gas. Many other products of incomplete combustion are also emitted from pyrolytic process, most of which are also
known to contribute to regional and global atmospheric pollution that leads to negative environmental impacts. These negative environmental effects can be minimized through planned production of charcoal and utilization of more efficient technologies which will require almost half of the quantities of wood for charcoal production and at the same time release lower quantities of pollutants into the environment. Improved kiln technologies can increase carbonization efficiency while additionally reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The

**Figure 6.** Bed temperatures profile with (a) 0% coke substitution, (b) 20% coke substitution, (c) 50% coke substitution, and (d) 80% coke substitution.

**Figure 7.** Sinter bed thermal profiles at 100 mm of bed depth during sintering of iron-ore with coke and charcoal [6].

**Figure 8.** Influence of the replacement of coke with charcoal on temperatures in bed.

**Figure 9.** Concentrations of CO, CO2, and O2 in the flue gas and combustion efficiency during sintering of mixture without charcoal [11].
Figure 10. Concentrations of CO, CO₂, and O₂ in the flue gas and combustion efficiency during sintering of mixture with 20% replacement of coke with charcoal [11].

Figure 11. Concentrations of CO, CO₂, and O₂ in the flue gas and combustion efficiency during sintering of mixture with 50% replacement of coke with charcoal [11].

Figure 12. The effect of coke replacement by charcoal addition on agglomerate quality.

charcoal briquetting process is also a potential source of emissions. The crushing, screening, and handling of the dry raw charcoal may produce PM and PM-10 emissions. Briquette pressing and drying may be a source of VOC emissions. However, the replacement of coke with an equivalent amount of charcoal would mean that a part of the CO₂ emitted from sinter plants would be from a renewable source and could be used to offset CO₂ from non-renewable fossil fuels. But, despite the negative effects that its production causes, charcoal can nevertheless be seen as a product offered gracefully by nature and it constitutes a future energy.

4. Conclusion

The aim of this study was to determine the possibility of using charcoal in the agglomeration process based on a comparison between the composition of coke breeze and charcoal. It can be concluded:

1. Studies over the world prove that complete replacement of the coke breeze by biomass in agglomeration is not possible. These experiments indicate that charcoal can replace a portion of the coke breeze (approximately 20-30%);

2. Subsequent studies on model systems using both types of carbonaceous fuels showed that from thermodynamic point of view, the use of charcoal reduced the CO₂/CO ratio in the exhaust gases and there was also higher content of H₂, which was also reflected by a higher content of Fe₃O₄ and FeO in the resulting agglomerate;

3. In the laboratory experiments, it was found that the movement of the combustion zone from upper to lower during the sintering process (monitored by thermovision camera) was observed approximately 20 minutes (with coke-charcoal mixtures);

4. The temperatures attained at various bed depths during combustion of coke-charcoal mixtures, with 20%, 50%, and 86% replacement of the coke, in the combustion zone reach 900 - 1230°C. The combustion of coke with specified substitution by charcoal resulted in reduced bed temperatures with the reduction in temperature increase as the amount of charcoal increased;

5. The use of charcoal fuel resulted in a decrease in the sintering time [12];

6. This decrease in sintering time suggests that perhaps the replacement of coke with charcoal may lead to an increase in sinter productivity [12];

7. Addition of charcoal instead of coke has a negative effect on sinter strength, where the total loss is about 5% in case of maximum coke replacement. Abrasion of agglomerate increased with increasing charcoal proportion in the mixture;

8. Average concentrations of CO and CO₂ decreased with addition of charcoal by approximately 30 - 40%.
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