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Abstract. The temporal evolution of cortical activation and connectivity patterns during a fatiguing handgrip task were studied by functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS). Twenty-three young adults (18 to 35 years old) were recruited to use a handheld force sensor to perform intermittent handgrip contractions with their dominant hand at their personal maximum voluntary contraction force level for 3.5 s followed by 6.5 s of rest for 120 blocks. Subjects were divided into self-reported physically active and inactive groups, and their hemodynamic activity over the prefrontal and sensory-motor cortices (111 channels) was mapped while they performed this task. Using this fNIRS setup, a more detailed time sequence of cortical activation and connectivity patterns was observed compared to prior studies. A temporal evolution sequence of hemodynamic activation patterns was noted, which was different between the active and the inactive groups. Physically active subjects demonstrated delayed fatigue onset and significantly longer-lasting and more spatially extended functional connectivity (FC) patterns, compared to inactive subjects. The observed differences in activation and FC suggested differences in cortical network adaptation patterns as fatigue set in, which were dependent on subjects’ physical activity. The findings of this study suggest that physical activity increases FC with regions involved in motor task control and correlates to extended fatigue onset and enhanced performance.© The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: 10.1117/1.NPh.6.4.045011]
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1 Introduction

When performing physical exercise, the contracting muscles elicit demand for oxygen, which is supplied by increased blood flow. The brain must register and simultaneously integrate input from feedforward (i.e., central command) and feedback (e.g., exercise pressor reflex) neural mechanisms to make necessary cardiovascular adjustments to meet the metabolic demand of the exercise.1–3 During exercise involving the arms, peripheral fatigue sets in, as characterized by reduced force-generating capability of the muscles that subsequently evolves into central fatigue, resulting in decreased neural drive to the muscles, after prolonged physical activity.1–6 Central fatigue’s contribution to peripheral fatigue is less understood and functional brain imaging during fatiguing exercises is being investigated as a tool to help elucidate the underlying mechanisms.1–11 Neural pathways gradually alter their connectivity (neuroplasticity), which affects regulation of the cardiovascular system both at rest and during exercise. Physically active individuals exhibit exercise-related neuroplasticity and have improved cardiovascular health, whereas inactive individuals may be predisposed to higher incidences of cardiovascular disease.1,5,12

Several modalities have been used for functional brain mapping during motor-fatigue exercise, such as electroencephalography (EEG), functional magnetic resonance image (fMRI), and functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS).3–8 Among them, fNIRS measures noninvasively the concentration changes of oxyhemoglobin (ΔHbO) and deoxyhemoglobin (ΔHb) resulting from neurovascular coupling secondary to neuronal activation by utilizing near-infrared light (650 to 1000 nm wavelengths). fNIRS is advantageous because of its relatively lower cost, safety, portability, robustness to motion artifacts, and higher sensitivity compared to fMRI.13,14

While several functional neuroimaging studies have examined the effects of physical fatigue on brain activation and connectivity,3,5,6,11,15–18 none have explored how brain activity is modulated during peripheral fatigue in subjects of differing physical activity levels or shown the progressive effect of fatigue on brain activity. In healthy adult populations, fMRI has been used to show brain activation and connectivity continually during17,18 or at the beginning and end of the exercise.6,15 to illustrate changing central motor command and strengthened functional connectivity (FC). In contrast, fNIRS optodes placed on the prefrontal cortex (PFC)10–11 and primary motor cortex (M1)10 in trained athletic adult populations illustrated hyper-oxygenation in the PFC and deoxygenation in M1 as a result of prolonged fatiguing exercise. However, those studies were unable to provide further insight on brain activity patterns due to the limited number of optodes used. Only one fNIRS study to date has, to our knowledge, examined the differences in brain...
activity between athletes and nonathletes during exhaustive sustained handgrip exercise but again only two probes were used, thus limiting whole-brain interpretations of activation and network connectivity. Therefore, prior functional neuroimaging studies have not provided a more global picture of the continuous temporal evolution of brain activity patterns and their differences based on subject physical activity levels during a fatiguing handgrip task.

To address this knowledge gap, the purpose of this study was to examine differences in cortical activity, as mapped by fNIRS, between physically inactive and active subjects during a maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) handgrip task. The temporal evolution of recorded hemodynamic activation and FC patterns was measured and compared. Analyzing brain network activation and connectivity allowed for detection of physical activity-dependent network reorganization during a fatiguing motor task, which in the future could be explored as a novel means of evaluating exercise-induced functional changes in brain activation patterns in human health and disease.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Participants

Twenty-three young adults were recruited (four females, ages $= 25.13 \pm 3.72$ years) for this study. All subjects were without any neurological or psychiatric disorders (self-reported). All but two subjects were right-handed, as determined by the Edinburgh handedness scale. Subjects also self-reported as physically inactive ($n = 12$, exercising less than twice a week for 30 min of moderately vigorous exercise) or active ($n = 11$, exercising at least 4 times a week, for 30 min of moderately vigorous exercise). All experimental procedures, including a written consent required prior to participation in this study, were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Texas at Arlington (IRB# 2018-0686).

2.2 Experimental Procedures

An fNIRS imaging system (LABNIRS, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) was used to measure cerebral hemodynamic responses in the regions of interests (ROIs): left and right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (lDLPFC; rDLPFC), left and right premotor cortex (lPMC; rPMC), left and right primary motor and sensory cortical (lM1/S1; rM1/S1) areas, and Broca’s area. The optode layout on the subject’s head consisted of 32 source fibers and 34 detector fibers that were arranged in a configuration resulting in a total of 111 channels with a source-detector distance of 3 cm for all that covered the aforementioned cortical areas, with no short-distance channel placement being available in that cap geometry [Fig. 1(a)]. Each source fiber was connected to the laser diodes at three wavelengths (780, 805, and 830 nm). The back-reflected light collected by the detector optodes was converted to current by photomultiplier tubes, and the resulting intensity data were sampled at a rate of 10.101 Hz. The anatomical location of the optodes in relation to the standard head landmarks, including inion, nasion, Cz, and left and right ears, were recorded for each subject using a three-dimensional digitizer (FASTRAK, Polhemus, Vermont). Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) coordinates for the channels were determined using the statistical parametric mapping NIRS SPM software package, which provided the Brodmann area (BA) corresponding to each fNIRS channel, as shown in Table S1 in the Supplementary Material.

Subjects were seated at a table with their dominant upper arm at their side with their elbow flexed at 90 deg relative to the
plane of the table on which their lower arm was supported and were facing two screens: one displaying protocol commands and the other displaying visual feedback of performance [Fig. 1(b)]. The fNIRS data acquisition began with a 5-min baseline hemodynamics measurement, followed immediately by imaging of the aforementioned cortical areas while subjects performed the handgrip task. For the latter, subjects used their dominant hand to perform intermittent handgrip contractions for 3.5 s alternating with 6.5 s of rest for 120 blocks at 100% MVC as a means to induce fatigue in the forearm.7,8 Prior to the experiment, subjects performed three to five MVCs and their average was calculated as the pretask MVC value for that subject. Handgrip force was measured by a hand dynamometer (BIOPAC, California) and displayed for visual feedback. Exerted force during the handgrip exercise was recorded using the hand dynamometer at 1-kHz sampling rate. The maximum force value for each trial was calculated for each data point, and the resulting maximum force time-series data were low-pass filtered at 15 Hz.2,22

2.3 Mapping of Cerebral Hemodynamics

FNIRS data were preprocessed using MATLAB 2012b (MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts) and the open-source package Homer 2.0 [Fig. 1(c)]. Detrending was implemented using the least-squares fit of a line that was subtracted from the data.23 The raw intensity data were then low-pass filtered using a third-order Butterworth filter at a cut-off frequency of 0.2 Hz to remove large portions of physiological noise, including heartbeat (1 to 1.5 Hz) and respiration (0.2 to 0.5 Hz).11 The fNIRS data were also high-pass filtered using a fifth-order Butterworth filter at a cut-off frequency of 0.01 Hz to remove any possible slow baseline drift. In addition, data from left-handed subjects were flipped to its mirror image for group averaging purposes and the subsequent interpretation for all data was right (r) for contralateral and left (l) for ipsilateral brain hemispheres relative to the arm performing the task, as done in previous work.24 Optical density data were converted into changes in hemoglobin concentration relative to baseline (ΔHbO) using the modified Beer–Lambert law with an estimated differential pathlength factor of 6.0 for each wavelength, an estimate used in Homer 2.0.25 Lastly, a principal component analysis (PCA) filter was utilized to remove the first and second principal components, which are often associated with motion artifacts13 and global hemodynamic fluctuations,13 which may overlap with the task-related hemodynamic response frequencies.

General linear model (GLM) analysis was used to quantify time-dependent ΔHbO patterns elicited during the handgrip task, by using a series of consecutive stimulation-specific boxcar functions convolved with a hemodynamic response function as a regressor, as in prior studies.26–28 Only ΔHbO values were analyzed and reported in this study, because ΔHbR values were found to have similar and opposite qualitative trends but with smaller amplitudes and lower signal-to-noise ratio, as previously reported in other studies for other motor activation tasks and as seen in Fig. 1(c).26–28 Subject group-level hemodynamic analyses were initially performed between baseline and during the task for each channel using a one-sample t-tests on β values obtained from GLM, with multiple comparison corrections [Bonferroni and false discovery rate (FDR)]. Subsequently, channels belonging to the same ROI on a group level, as determined by NIRS_SPM, were averaged together and tested using FDR.20 Cortical activation images were visualized with the open-source network visualization tool BrainNet Viewer,31 using reference MNI coordinates that were not significantly (p > 0.05) different from the averaged MNI coordinates.

2.4 Functional Connectivity Analysis

FC was quantified using the open-source FC_NIRS software package.23 The 5-min baseline data were converted to resting state connectivity maps. Connectivity maps were created for two contiguous 10-min periods: 0 to 10 and 10 to 20 min of the handgrip task. The raw optical density measurements were preprocessed the same way, as described in Sec. 2.3. Seed-based correlation analysis was performed by calculating the connectivity strength between the seed channel in cortical regions that showed significant activation during the task (rM1, rDLPFC, and rDLPFC) and every other channel via Pearson’s correlation for every subject.23 The seed channel was chosen based on highest percentage overlap to the desired BA, as determined by NIRS_SPM. For FC analysis, one-sample t-tests were performed on the Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) values of ΔHbO across subjects at p < 0.05 and were Bonferroni-corrected for multiple comparisons of 111 channels. Topographical images for FC were generated using EasyTopo, an optical topography toolbox, which projects data on a standard brain MRI atlas and implements two-dimensional angular interpolation of the channel-wise data, for this study one-sample t-test r-values, in a spherical coordinate system.32

For statistical comparisons, 111 r-values of ΔHbO were averaged into one value, designated as r̄, for each subject for each period. A two-sample t-test was performed at p < 0.05 on the averaged r-values between groups for each period. Paired t-tests were performed at p < 0.05 on the averaged r-values to compare FC across time within each group.

Lastly, the linear relationship between regional FC magnitude and grip strength was examined using Pearson’s correlation analysis. This was done for each ROI at each time point per subject group. To calculate differences between the r-values, the following equation was used:23

\[
\Delta z_{\text{observed}} = \frac{z_1 - z_2}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N_1-1} + \frac{1}{N_2-1}}},
\]

where \(z_1\) and \(z_2\) are the Fisher’s r-to-z transformed values and \(N_1\) and \(N_2\) are the number of pairwise comparisons.

3 Results

3.1 Evolution of Maximal Handgrip Force over Time

The loss of handgrip force generated while intending to attain 100% of the MVC, recorded just prior to the beginning of the task, was quantified as a proxy measure of fatigue for inactive and active subjects. Force data were averaged over 60 blocks resulting in two time periods across the 120 contractions. The data blocks within each of the two time periods were tested for homogeneity of variances and normality, the assumptions for independent t-tests, which they did not pass. Therefore, data were analyzed using the nonparametric Mann–Whitney U test.24 The relative decrease in MVC force between active and inactive subjects was comparable, but the absolute force produced by the active subjects was consistently higher at each period: 0 to 10 and 10 to 20 min (Fig. 2; Table 1).
Temporal Evolution of Functional Near-Infrared Spectroscopy Activation Patterns

While activation results were intended to be displayed in \( \Delta HbO \) activation maps, the high variability (Fig. S1 in the Supplementary Material) induced during the task did not allow for identification of statistically significant changes in individual channels when Bonferroni multiple comparisons correction was applied in this work. As a result, channels were grouped by ROI and averaged so that significance could be obtained at the expense of spatial localization (Fig. 3). Following this analysis approach, significant activation was found in Broca’s area for inactive subjects within the first 100 s of the task, as indicated by red oval [Fig. 3(a)]. In contrast, active subjects showed significant activation in the IPMC and concurrent deactivation in the rDLPFC during the initial 100 s of the handgrip task [Fig. 3(d)]. However, there were no significant differences between inactive and active subjects at these early times [Fig. 3(g)]. In addition, while no statistical significance was found for any of the ROIs in the first half of the task [1 to 10 min; Fig. 3(b)] due to high hemodynamic signal variability and low \( \Delta HbO \) amplitudes, in the second half of the task (11 to 20 min) inactive subjects showed statistically significant deactivation in the rDLPFC and rPMC, as indicated by the blue ovals in Fig. 3(c). Active subjects, on the other hand, while they also had high hemodynamic signal variability in the first half of the task, also had higher \( \Delta HbO \) magnitudes in certain cortical regions, which enabled detecting statistically significant activation in the lM1/S1 and Broca’s area [Fig. 3(e)]. Active subjects also showed significant deactivation in the rDLPFC during the first half of the task [Fig. 3(e)]. Interestingly, in the second half of the task, rDLPFC was not significant and instead activation was seen in the IDLPFC [Fig. 3(f)], opposite to what was seen for inactive subjects [Fig. 3(e)]. In addition, the rPMC became significantly deactivated in the second half of the task [Fig. 3(f)], similar to what was observed for the inactive subjects [Fig. 3(e)]. Lastly, active subjects were significantly activated in Broca’s area [Fig. 3(h)] in the first half of the task and in IDLPFC [Fig. 3(i)] in the second half of the task, compared to inactive subjects.

### 3.3 Temporal Evolution of Functional Connectivity Patterns

#### 3.3.1 Functional connectivity maps with seed at left primary motor cortical area

The lM1 seed location was placed based on the activation seen in Fig. 3(e) and by channel location as determined by NIRS_SPM. The FC maps at rM1, contralateral to the hand performing the handgrip task, are shown in Fig. 4 for inactive (upper row) and active subjects (lower row). During the handgrip task, inactive subjects initially exhibited statistically significant FC between the IM1 and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and rPMC [Fig. 4(b)]. However, as the task progressed, FC patterns became more localized to the IM1 with simultaneous loss of connectivity strength with the IDLPFC and increasing connectivity strength in the rM1/S1 and the DLPFC [Fig. 4(c)]. Active subjects, on the other hand, showed spatially broader FC patterns when performing the same task. In particular, active subjects exhibited significant FC with the DLPFC, the rM1/S1, and the rPMC throughout the entire duration of the task [Figs. 4(e) and 4(f)]. The \( r_a \) values were significantly greater in active subjects than inactive subjects at each time period: baseline \((p = 0.03)\), 0 to 10 \((p = 0.02)\), and 10 to 20 min \((p = 0.02)\). As well, \( r_a \) values within groups were significantly different from one another across time for inactive subjects at baseline and 0 to 10 min \((p = 0.003)\) and 0 to 10 and 10 to 20 min \((p = 0.02)\) and active subjects at baseline and 0 to 10 min \((p = 0.05)\) and 0 to 10 and 10 to 20 min \((p = 0.01)\).

#### 3.3.2 Functional connectivity maps with seed at left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex

When placing the seed at IDLPFC, the generated FC maps also showed major differences between the inactive and the active subject groups (Fig. 5). Inactive and active subjects within the first 10 min of the task displayed statistically significant FC strength between the IDLPFC and the rDLPFC, PMC, and M1/S1 [Figs. 5(b) and 5(e)]. However, in the second half of the task, the inactive subjects’ FC pattern receded toward the DLPFC [Fig. 5(c)], whereas the active subjects’ FC pattern broadened to the IPMC and somatosensory association cortex [Fig. 5(f)]. The \( r_a \) values were significantly greater in active subjects than inactive subjects at 0 to 10 \((p = 0.01)\) and 10 to 20 min \((p = 0.02)\) but not at baseline \((p = 0.06)\). The \( r_a \) values within groups were only significantly different across time at baseline and at 0 to 10 min for inactive \((p = 0.002)\) and active subjects \((p = 0.01)\).
Fig. 3 ROIs of statistically significant activity for inactive subject's at (a) 0 to 100 s, (b) 1 to 10 min, and (c) 11 to 20 min and for active subjects at (d) 0 to 100 s, (e) 1 to 10 min, and (f) 10 to 20 min of the handgrip task. Only ROIs with statistically significant ($p < 0.05$, FDR corrected) activation are shown with corresponding $t$-values next to it (red ovals—activation; blue ovals—deactivation). No significant group differences seen early in the handgrip task at (g) 0 to 100 s, and greater activation in few regions was seen for active subjects (red ovals; negative $t$-values) over longer time intervals; (h) 0 to 10 min, and (i) 10 to 20 min. With the exception of (h) and (i), all positive $t$-values corresponded to activation (red ovals) and all negative $t$-values corresponded to deactivation (blue ovals).

Fig. 4 Evolution of FC patterns during the entire handgrip task with the seed region at lM1 for inactive subjects at (a) baseline, (b) 0 to 10 min, and (c) 10 to 20 min and for active subjects at (d) baseline, (e) 0 to 10 min, and (f) 10 to 20 min. The black oval encircles the seed region channels and is only displayed at baseline for clarity. Only regions with statistically significant FC strength are shown ($p < 0.05$, Bonferroni-corrected).
3.3.3 Functional connectivity maps with seed at right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex

The FC maps with the seed at rDLPFC, the symmetrically contralateral position to the lDLPFC seed considered above, are shown in Fig. 6. Within the first 10 min of the task, inactive subjects exhibited statistically significant but relatively weak FC strength to the lDLPFC, rPMC, and IM1/S1 [Fig. 6(b)]. In the subsequent 10 min of the task, there was loss of FC strength with the rPMC [Fig. 6(c)]. In contrast, active subjects displayed significant FC strength with the IDLPFC, bilateral M1/S1, and some weaker but significant FC to bilateral PMC within the first 10 min [Fig. 6(e)], which weakened in the second 10 min of the task [Fig. 6(f)]. The $r_s$ values were significantly greater in active subjects than in inactive subjects at each time period: baseline ($p = 0.02$), 0 to 10 ($p = 0.01$), and 10 to 20 min ($p = 0.04$). The $r_s$ values within groups were also significantly different from one another across time for inactive subjects at
3.3.4 Correlation between regional functional connectivity and grip strength

The r-values were quantified between regional FC magnitude and time to 50% MVC. This was performed for each group at each time point. In addition, correlation coefficients were compared between active and inactive subjects at each time point. Active subjects had significant (p < 0.05), positive correlation between IM1, IDLPFC, and rDLPFC and 50%MVC at all time points, with the exception of rDLPFC at 0 to 10 and 10 to 20 min, whereas inactive subjects had no significant correlation (Table 2). Active subjects’ correlation was more significant than inactive subjects’ at IM1 (baseline and 10 to 20 min), IDLPFC (all time periods), and at rDLPFC (baseline and 10 to 20 min) (Table 3).

Table 2 Pearson’s correlation analysis summary between regional FC magnitude and time to 50% MVC [Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) and p-value].

|         | IM1     | IDLPFC | rDLPFC |
|---------|---------|--------|--------|
|         | r       | p      | r      | p      |
| Inactive|         |        |        |        |
| Baseline| −0.35   | 0.26   | −0.56  | 0.06   | −0.17  | 0.59   |
| 0 to 10 min| −0.17   | 0.59   | −0.5   | 0.10   | −0.37  | 0.24   |
| 10 to 20 min| −0.31   | 0.33   | −0.45  | 0.15   | −0.45  | 0.15   |
| Active  |         |        |        |        |
| Baseline| 0.63    | 0.04   | 0.74   | 0.01   | 0.69   | 0.02   |
| 0 to 10 min| 0.69    | 0.02   | 0.65   | 0.03   | 0.49   | 0.13   |
| 10 to 20 min| 0.66    | 0.04   | 0.59   | 0.05   | 0.43   | 0.13   |

Table 3 Correlation coefficients comparison summary between inactive and active subjects for regional FC magnitude and 50%MVC (z-value and p-value).

|         | IM1     | IDLPFC | rDLPFC |
|---------|---------|--------|--------|
|         | z       | p      | z      | p      | z      | p      |
| Baseline| −2.28   | 0.02   | −3.26  | 0.001  | −2.1   | 0.04   |
| 0 to 10 min| −1.88   | 0.06   | −2.73  | 0.006  | −1.9   | 0.06   |
| 10 to 20 min| −2.19   | 0.03   | −2.39  | 0.02   | −1.94  | 0.05   |

The r-values were quantified between regional FC magnitude and time to 50% MVC. This was performed for each group at each time point. In addition, correlation coefficients were compared between active and inactive subjects at each time point. Active subjects had significant (p < 0.05), positive correlation between IM1, IDLPFC, and rDLPFC and 50%MVC at all time points, with the exception of rDLPFC at 0 to 10 and 10 to 20 min, whereas inactive subjects had no significant correlation (Table 2). Active subjects’ correlation was more significant than inactive subjects’ at IM1 (baseline and 10 to 20 min), IDLPFC (all time periods), and at rDLPFC (baseline and 10 to 20 min) (Table 3).

4 Discussion

The present study aimed to map by fNIRS the temporal evolution in hemodynamic activation and FC patterns in physically inactive and active subjects performing a fatiguing handgrip task. The observed differences in cortical activity patterns and concurrently acquired handgrip force data suggest physical activity-dependent network reorganization across multiple cortical regions during this task.

4.1 Changes in Handgrip Performance during the Task

Physical fatigue induced by intermittent muscle contractions and its effect on force has been studied extensively in physiology,35,36 and recent neuroimaging studies.3,6,8,17,22,37,38 Fatigue has been defined as “any decline in muscle performance associated with muscle activity at the original intensity.”36 Both inactive and active subjects’ muscle performance adhered to this definition vis-à-vis the gradual decline in MVC throughout the exercise. Moreover, our results are in agreement with a prior handgrip fatigue study showing that the rates of fatigue were similar between subjects with varying physical activity levels and initial strength, final strength, and absolute endurance were larger for the active subjects.35

4.2 Evolution of Hemodynamic Activation Patterns during the Handgrip Task

Previous functional neuroimaging studies concerning arm and hand movements have demonstrated activation in M1, PMC/ SMA, and PFC.6,15,17,21,23,36 In this work, inactive and active subjects exhibit significant activity in these regions and in Broca’s area as well. However, as the task progressed, there were subsequent shifts in activity toward the DLPFC. In a prior fMRI study involving a handgrip task performed under non-fatiguing conditions (30% MVC), as verified by electromyography (EMG), increased activation was seen bilaterally in M1/ S1 with concurrent EMG signal increased for several forearm muscles.17 In contrast, during a fatiguing handgrip task (100% MVC), initial M1/S1 activation and concurrently acquired EMG signals declined, but supplementary cortical regions such as the PFC maintained consistent activation.3 While EMG measurements were not performed in this work, the above findings do support the notion that a stronger central command, via increased brain activation in the PFC, is needed to maintain task performance once fatigue sets in. However, prior studies do not discuss the temporal evolution of cortical activation and connectivity patterns during the handgrip task. These are discussed here in more detail with respect to the cortical areas involved.

4.2.1 Evolution of hemodynamic activation patterns at primary and secondary sensory-motor cortices

The primary sensory-motor cortex, or M1/S1, is responsible for motor control and execution41 and works in conjunction with the secondary sensory-motor cortex, or PMC, which is associated with movement planning and preparation.41 Physically active individuals were able to elicit brain activation and deactivation in these regions, similar to a prior neuroimaging motor task study.15 This work, however, differs from another prior study comparing athletes to nonathletes performing a sustained handgrip task, where athletes exhibited decreased M1 activation compared to nonathletes during a sustained handgrip task at 50% MVC.3 As that study used only two fNIRS channels, it is possible that its results were affected by incomplete spatial coverage of the sensory-motor cortices, as the authors also suggested.4 Also, in this work, both athletes and nonathletes exhibited significant deactivation in the rPMC toward the end of the task, when subject fatigue was the highest.4 We hypothesize that an increase in variability of activation as a result of exercise hindered determination of activation significance in inactive subjects (see Fig. S1 in the Supplementary Material),
as also demonstrated in a prior fMRI fatiguing handgrip study.\(^6\) That study noted a positive correlation between exercise duration and increased hemodynamic signal variance, with high variance correlating to low pixel activation.\(^6\)

This work demonstrates substantial location shifts of focal regions during the fatiguing handgrip task from contralateral to ipsilateral regions and from posterior to anterior regions of higher brain activity, in agreement with an EEG fatiguing handgrip study.\(^1\) Moreover, brain activation changes in deeper subcortical structures participating in motor regulation (i.e., bilateral basal ganglia, cerebellum, and thalamus) are known to occur as a coordinated effort to optimize motor unit recruitment and activation level for prolonged fatiguing exercise.\(^2\,\,3\) Although it would be reasonable to expect that these structures could also be activated as the handgrip task progressed, those regions were not accessible by fNIRS.

### 4.2.2 Evolution of hemodynamic activation patterns in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex

In the context of motor tasks, the DLPFC is associated with motor preparation and planning over long periods of time, action selection, and control\(^4\,\,1\) and correlates with higher force output.\(^2\,\,4\) In a prior study, the DLPFC was activated predominantly on the side contralateral to the used hand,\(^5\) which is consistent with the increased IDLPPFC activation observed in physically active subjects in our work. Other fNIRS studies that measured PFC activation during near-maximal or exhaustive aerobic exercise found that, as the near exhaustion was reached, DLPFC activation increased bilaterally.\(^6\,\,1\) However, in these latter studies, subjects were trained athletes performing a bilateral task such as cycling and not a unilateral task, like in our work.

The rDLPFC is associated with inhibition or avoidance behavior toward meeting a goal and has been suggested to be involved during prolonged exercise to purposefully inhibit bodily affereces that arise with physical fatigue and preserved mental effort during exercise maintenance.\(^1\) In agreement with prior studies, the rDLPFC deactivation seen in our results correlated with a reduction in handgrip force.\(^9\,\,1\) These prior studies suggest that subject stress due to the prolonged and physically challenging motor task may have contributed to the observed DLPFC deactivation. The IDLPPFC is associated with an approach reaction toward a goal and may suggest the willingness of the active subjects to challenge themselves and meet the task goal even in the presence of fatigue.\(^6\) We hypothesize that as the task progressed, active subjects adopted a goal-oriented approach resulting in the dominance of IDLPPFC activation, whereas inactive subjects adopted a goal-avoidance approach that resulted in the dominance of rDLPFC deactivation.

### 4.2.3 Evolution of hemodynamic activation patterns at the Broca’s area

Inner speech refers to the activity of silent expression of conscious thought to oneself and results in activation in Broca’s area in the left hemisphere.\(^4\) Sports literature has further studied inner speech of positive, negative, motivational, and instructional context known as self-talk via questionnaires in athletes, which found that athletes participate in self-talk more frequently in competition settings and when performing individually, as opposed to on a team.\(^4\,\,5\) While the active subjects were not athletes, they too had significantly more activation in Broca’s area than inactive subjects.

### 4.3 Evolution of Functional Connectivity Patterns during the Handgrip Task

The application of FC analysis to the fNIRS data collected in this study provided details of cortical area interconnectedness during the entire duration of the handgrip task than seen in prior neuroimaging studies using similar protocols, which only reported results for the beginning and the end of the task period.\(^7\,\,2\,\,2\,\,3\) In our work, seed regions were specifically placed at locations with significant hemodynamic activity namely, the M1, the IDLPPFC, and the rDLPPFC. These seed regions are all known to be connected to the descending motor pathways that control hand and arm movements.\(^9\,\,1\)

#### 4.3.1 Overall functional connectivity pattern differences between inactive and active subjects

This study showed significant differences in FC patterns between inactive and active subjects when performing a fatiguing handgrip task. Active subjects exhibited more spatially extended FC patterns that persisted into the second half of the task, compared to inactive subjects who showed progressively diminished connectivity to areas distant to the seed region. Physical exercise is known to increase the brain function throughout life and has been shown to enhance FC in the default mode network, frontoparietal network, and motor network, as well as increase gray brain volume in the prefrontal, and temporal cortex, and the hippocampus.\(^9\,\,1\) Physically active subjects’ expansive connectivity patterns highlight the greater availability of cortical network resources due to prior exercise. Overall, the findings of our work are consistent with the existing notion of exercise-related augmentation in FC at the resting state and during fatiguing tasks.\(^8\)

#### 4.3.2 Functional connectivity pattern comparisons with seed at left primary motor cortical area

The seed at M1 indicated strengthened FC between bilateral DLPFC, bilateral PMC, and rM1/S1. However, the spatial extent, temporal persistence, and hemispheric localization were different between inactive and active subjects. The M1 region is the primary neural output center of the brain to the working muscles because of its vital role in motor control and execution during exercise.\(^7\) FC strength between M1 and bilateral DLPFC, which is associated with executive behavior control\(^4\,\,5\) and involved in motor planning and preparation,\(^9\,\,1\) was present throughout the task in both groups. However, only active subjects’ FC strength toward bilateral DLPFC was consistent during the entire task, which is attributed as reinforcement of the top–down regulation to the primary and secondary motor cortices under fatiguing conditions.\(^7\) A prior neuroimaging study also reported enhanced connectivity in young adult endurance athletes compared to healthy controls, similar to the difference in FC strength to bilateral DLPFC seen between inactive and active subjects in this study.\(^1\) The FC patterns between M1 and rPMC and rM1/S1 regions illustrated the enhanced recruitment of cortical regions involved in motor planning and execution for active subjects compared to inactive subjects. Lastly, in prior fMRI work involving a similar protocol, the M1 connectivity to bilateral S1 in active subjects was suggested to be due to
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increased sensory feedback from the arm muscles to the central motor command.7

4.3.3 Functional connectivity pattern comparisons with seeds at left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex

The DLPFC is extensively connected with the sensory-motor cortex and is associated with regulating attention, goal-directed behavior, thought, and motor planning and preparation.4,11,44,49,55 However, the IDLPCF and rDLPFC are further involved in the role of approach and avoidance behaviors, respectively, which engender differences in their connectivity with other cortical regions.11,44,55 As a result, our data not only showed that FC patterns had significant differences between active and inactive subjects for both seed locations, but it also showed slightly different FC patterns within each subject category, depending on whether the seed location was at the IDLPFC or the rDLPFC.

The IDLPCF is associated with the pursuit of approach-related goals,56 such as maintaining MVC. The IDLPCF has also shown high connectivity strength with cortical regions that associated with the pursuit of approach-related goals,7 such as maintaining MVC. As the task progressed and handgrip force production decreased, the FC strength of the IDLPCF regions to other cortical areas diminished in inactive subjects but became more spatially extended in active subjects. Under fatigue conditions, noradrenaline and dopamine are released, which impair the top-down or executive control of the DLPFC and strengthen the bottom-up control, driven by the salience of the stimulus, through the amygdala, which result in a more reflexive and habitual motor responses.59 Prior FC studies have indicated that subcortical structures such as the amygdala, basal ganglia, and anterior cingulate cortex, not accessible by fNIRS, reinforce the descending command under fatigue conditions, which would contribute to maintaining task performance and possibly goal pursuit.7,44,55,56 Lastly, FC between the IDLPCF and other motor planning and control cortical regions is also dependent on subject motivation, which suggests that active subjects are more motivated than inactive subjects during this task.56

The rDLPFC seed juxtaposes the difference in FC patterns between inactive and active subjects at each time period more clearly than the IDLPCF. This may be a result of the rDLPFC’s specialized involvement in the maintenance of prolonged physical exercise due to its role in avoidance, or more specifically inhibition of impulse responses.11 We propose that active subjects have stronger, persistent FC between rDLPFC and IDLPCF, bilateral PMC, and bilateral M1/S1 because they were able to inhibit bodily afferences that arise with fatigue more successfully than their inactive counterparts.11 In addition, the rDLPFC strongest connection was to IDLPCF (Table S2 in the Supplementary Material), especially in active subjects at baseline (Table S3 in the Supplementary Material), possibly because inhibition was needed to maintain task performance that required stronger, longer-lasting FC to other higher-order DLPFC areas, as suggested in a prior functional neuroimaging study.8,11

4.3.4 Correlation between functional connectivity and performance

Correlation between regional FC and performance (i.e., time to 50% MVC) further exemplified the difference in FC strength between inactive and active subjects. Exercise has been suggested to improve neuronal activity and promote angiogenesis and vascular function to cortical brain regions, including the motor cortex.51 In addition, resistance exercise increases torque- and power-generating capacity in the muscle and also positively impacts functional plasticity in older subjects.58 This study further demonstrated that active subjects had a positive relationship between performance and FC strength in IM1, IDLPFC, and rDLPFC at nearly every time point. In contrast, inactive subjects had no significant correlation between performance and FC strength possibly due to limited exercise-related structural and functional changes.

5 Study Limitations

This study has some limitations that should be considered. First, individuals were grouped based on a self-reported physical activity questionnaire that neglected to specify type of exercise (i.e., endurance or resistance) performed by the subject. This information could have better defined the active populations. In addition, fNIRS is limited in only measuring cortical brain areas and has lower spatial resolution compared to fMRI.13,14 Also, short-distance channels (<1 cm) were not employed in this study as they were not available in the commercial optode holder cap used. Signals measured by short-distance channels are dominated by systemic interferences from superficial scalp layers such as cardiac activity and respiration and can be regressed out.59 Short-distance channels could also provide blood flow changes in the extracerebral layer of the head due to the task.60 In addition, it is unknown which other method(s) would be the best approach in removing physiological noise. There exist several different ways to remove global interference due to the scalp and skull hemodynamics in addition to the PCA in our work. These methods include (1) short-distance channels, as previously mentioned; (2) use of ICA; (3) adaptive filtering; (4) calculating the mean signal over all channels and using the mean as a superficial regressor; or (5) a combination of these methods can be used for removal.14,61,62 Thus, a quantitative comparison using different methods is warranted in future studies.

6 Conclusion

This is the first study, to our knowledge, that presents a direct comparison of differences in the temporal evolution of cortical hemodynamic activation and FC patterns between physically active and inactive subjects during a fatiguing handgrip task. The observed patterns suggest that physical activity modifies both baseline connectivity and the way that different cortical regions are recruited as subjects try to maintain MVC for a prolonged time. Overall, hemodynamic activity moved from the sensory-motor areas early into the task toward the PMC and DLPFC as the task progressed. However, the temporal evolution of activation patterns was different between active subjects (30 min of moderately vigorous exercise at least 4 times a week) and inactive subjects (exercised less than twice a week), consistent with approach (active) versus avoidance (inactive) tendencies toward the task goal. At the same time, active subjects exhibited longer-lasting and broader connectivity patterns, which likely contributed to the sustenance of higher handgrip force output, compared to inactive subjects, as fatigue set in. These results provide preliminary evidence for broad network pattern differences across multiple cortical regions during a fatiguing task that are specific to subjects’ physical activity.
We propose to use this protocol in future work as a novel means of evaluating exercise-induced functional changes in brain activation patterns in human health and disease.
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