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ABSTRACT

To investigate the evolution of metal-enriched gas over recent cosmic epochs as well as to characterize the diffuse, ionized, metal-enriched circumgalactic medium, we have conducted a blind survey for C IV absorption systems in 89 QSO sightlines observed with the Hubble Space Telescope Cosmic Origins Spectrograph. We have identified 42 absorbers at \( z < 0.16 \), comprising the largest uniform blind sample to date in this redshift range. Our measurements indicate an increasing C IV absorber number density per comoving path length \( (dN/dx) = 7.5 \pm 1.1 \) and modestly increasing mass density relative to the critical density of the universe \( (\Omega_{CIV} = 10.0 \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-5}) \) from \( z \sim 1.5 \) to the present epoch, consistent with predictions from cosmological hydrodynamical simulations. Furthermore, the data support a functional form for the column density distribution function that deviates from a single power law, also consistent with independent theoretical predictions. As the data also probe heavy element ions in addition to C IV at the same redshifts, we identify, measure, and search for correlations between column densities of these species where components appear to be aligned in velocity. Among these ion–ion correlations, we find evidence for tight correlations between C II and Si II, C IV, and Si IV, suggesting that these pairs of species arise in similar ionization conditions. However, the evidence for correlations decreases as the difference in ionization potential increases. Finally, when controlling for observational bias, we find only marginal evidence for a correlation (86.8% likelihood) between the Doppler line width \( b(C IV) \) and column density \( N(C IV) \).
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1. INTRODUCTION

Within the first spectra of quasars (Burbidge et al. 1966; Lynds et al. 1966), astronomers detected absorption lines from intervening, enriched gas with properties distinct from the dense, neutral gas characteristic of the interstellar medium (ISM). This gas contains neutral hydrogen column densities \( N(H) \) that are several orders-of-magnitude lower than galactic disks and have associated high-ion absorption (e.g., C IV, Si IV) that is suggestive of a diffuse, ionized medium. Together, these data inspired predictions that the absorption arises in a “halo gas” that surrounds distant galaxies (Bahcall & Spitzer 1969). Decades of subsequent research have confirmed this basic interpretation (e.g., Bergeron et al. 1987; Morris et al. 1993; Bowen et al. 1995; Lanzetta et al. 1995; Bowen et al. 1996; Chen et al. 2001; Prochaska et al. 2011), and dedicated surveys of metal-line transitions have yielded statistical constraints on the cosmic distribution and mass density of heavy elements (e.g., Sargent et al. 1979, 1988).

Ironically, the most rapid progress occurred first for the high-z universe owing to the construction of 10 m-class, ground-based telescopes. These facilities could access redshifted far-UV transitions of, e.g., Mg II and C IV in the spectra of \( z > 2 \) quasars. Indeed, the first such spectra recorded with Keck/HIRES revealed a remarkably high incidence of C IV absorbers from gas with \( N(H) \lesssim 10^{15} \) cm\(^{-2} \) (Cowie et al. 1995), and statistical techniques indicated significant C IV enrichment also for gas with \( N(H) \lesssim 10^{14} \) cm\(^{-2} \) (Cowie & Songaila 1998; Ellison et al. 2000). Specifically, the cosmic incidence of C IV systems, \( dN/dx \) (with \( dx \) the differential absorption path length; Bahcall & Peebles 1969), at column densities \( 12 \lesssim \log N(C IV) \lesssim 15 \) cm\(^{-2} \) was \( \sim 14 \) at \( z \approx 3 \) (D’Odorico et al. 2010), exceeding theoretical predictions (Cen & Chisari 2011) in the \( \Lambda \)CDM cosmology. Also, cosmological simulations (Booth et al. 2012) reproducing the observed C IV optical depth relative to H I (Schaye et al. 2003) require that the gas between galaxies, the intergalactic medium (IGM), was enriched by ejecta from low-mass halos at early times.

A simple integration of the observed C IV column densities \( N(C IV) \) normalized by the total survey path \( \Delta X \) yields the cosmic mass density in C IV, \( \Omega_{CIV} \). In principle, this quantity assesses the enrichment of intergalactic gas, and researchers have measured \( \Omega_{CIV} \) across cosmic time to track chemical evolution (e.g., Songaila 2001; Cooke et al. 2010; D’Odorico et al. 2010). In practice, however, \( \Omega_{CIV} \) may be dominated from metals from gas surrounding galaxies (the so-called circumgalactic medium or CGM) and may have little correspondence to the enrichment of the IGM. Furthermore,
the C IV ion may not be the dominant ionization state of C in diffuse gas at any epoch, and the C IV/C ratio likely evolves with redshift in a complex fashion (Cen & Chisari 2011; Oppenheimer et al. 2012). Nevertheless, an evaluation of $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ with cosmic time does offer a unique constraint on the enrichment history of the universe (Oppenheimer and Davé 2006). Analysis at $z > 2$ yields a relatively constant $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ value from $z \sim 2$–5 (Songaila 2001; Pettini et al. 2003), although Cooksey et al. (2013) and D’Odorico et al. (2010) show a modest smooth decrease in $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ with increasing $z$, and then a steep decline at higher $z$ (Ryan-Weber et al. 2009; Simcoe et al. 2011). The latter may suggest a decline in enrichment at early times (although D’Odorico et al. 2013) do not find a sharp decline at $z > 5$ while the former has been interpreted as evidence for ongoing enrichment (Simcoe et al. 2011).

Progress on such research at $z < 2$ has been stymied by technical limitations. At these redshifts, the key (far-UV) transitions for diffuse gas shift to observed wavelengths $\lambda_{\text{obs}} < 3000$ Å, requiring space-borne UV spectrometers. Furthermore, the expansion of the universe alone implies fewer detections per A of spectrum. Indeed, a statistical survey at low-$z$ requires nearly an order-of-magnitude more sightlines than at $z \approx 3$. This has resulted in relatively slow progress at $z < 1$ despite the many years of observations with UV spectrometers on the Hubble Space Telescope (HST).

Over time, however, the well-maintained HST archive has eventually enabled such analysis. Drawing on the GHRS and STIS high-dispersion data sets, Cooksey et al. (2010, 2011) examined the incidence and mass density of C IV and Si IV, respectively, at $z < 1$. Furthermore, the $z \lesssim 0.15$ regime has been studied by Tilton et al. (2012) and Shull et al. (2014). These four studies all agree that the frequency of strong C IV absorption and therefore the related mass density have increased since early cosmic time ($z \sim 5$), suggesting that the diffuse gas surrounding and between galaxies has been continuously enriched. However, some discrepancy remains in the very-low-$z$ regime whether the C IV mass density as traced by $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ has experienced a sudden upturn (Tilton et al. 2012).

The installation of the Cosmic Origins Spectrograph (COS) has lead to a resurgence of quasar spectroscopy with HST and subsequently a statistically powerful archival data set covering $\lambda \approx 1150$–1700 Å. We therefore recognized the potential for two major advances regarding C IV:

(i) improved statistics in the present-day universe ($z < 0.1$); and
(ii) the opportunity to examine the physical association of this enriched gas with galaxies at unprecedented levels.

As stated above, additional motivation for conducting an absorber survey at low redshift comes from the feasible opportunity to conduct deep, high spatial resolution, multi-wavelength studies of the galaxy environments near the absorbers with high spectroscopic completeness; indeed, rich publicly available data already exist. The papers in this series present the results of our survey that combines HST/COS UV QSO spectroscopy covering the $\lambda \lambda$ 1548.2, 1550.8 Å C IV doublet and many other heavy element ion transitions down to $z \sim 0$ with corresponding deep galaxy spectroscopy and imaging in these QSO fields. Our survey aims for unprecedented spectroscopic completeness to faint galaxies on the order of 0.01 $L^*$ in the environments along the QSO lines of sight, once again enabled by the low-redshift nature of the absorber sample. The first paper in this series (Paper I, Burchett et al. 2013) focused on one such absorber environment. The current paper (Paper II) presents the parent C IV absorber sample and focuses on analyses of the UV absorption data, including the integrated cosmic enrichment in the most recent epoch as traced by the cosmic mass density mentioned above. Subsequent papers will present analyses of galaxy-absorber CGM relationships leveraging the galaxy survey data from public sources (Paper III) and our own ongoing ground-based observational campaign (Paper IV).

This paper is organized as follows: in Sections 2 and 3, we describe our data sources and measurements, respectively. Section 4 presents our calculated C IV evolutionary statistics and discusses them in context with previous work. Section 5 examines relationships between the various metal ions measured in our QSO spectroscopy, and Section 6 focuses on the possible relationship between C IV column density and Doppler line width. We summarize our results in Section 7. Throughout, we assume a cosmology of $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$.

2. DATA

2.1. HST/COS Spectroscopy

Our C IV sample is composed of systems detected in 89 sightlines targeted by three HST programs using the Cosmic Origins Spectrograph (COS; Green et al. 2012): COS-Halos (Werk et al. 2012; Tumlinson et al. 2013), COS-Dwarfs (Bordoloi et al. 2014), and the COS Absorption Survey of Baryon Harbors (CASBaH, Tripp et al. 2011; Meiring et al. 2013). Information about the QSOs included in this study is presented in Table 1, and we will generally refer to them with abbreviated versions of their names in this table. All of these data use the G130M and G160M gratings, covering the wavelength range 1100–1800 Å, and were reduced as described by Meiring et al. (2011). Due to the differing goals of each survey, the spectra possess various signal-to-noise ratios (S/N), and the S/N varies greatly across the wavelength range of each spectrum (see Section 3.3); the COS-Halos and COS-Dwarfs data have typical S/N values of $\sim 11$ per $\sim 18$ km s$^{-1}$ resolution element, while CASBaH spectra have S/N $\sim 30$ per resolution element (also $\sim 18$ km s$^{-1}$). The COS-Halos survey targeted 42 QSO sightlines that pass within 150 kpc of $L \sim L^*$ galaxies with various stellar masses, star-formation rates, and impact parameters at redshifts $z = 0.15$–0.35 that bring the $\text{OVI} \lambda \lambda 1031.7, 1037.8$ Å doublet and Ly$\alpha$ 1215.7 Å lines into the G130M/G160M bandpasses. The COS-Dwarfs survey similarly targeted sightlines that pass near known galaxies with selected star-formation properties and masses, but the 43 galaxies selected were specifically $L < 0.1 L^*$ galaxies. Also, the galaxies selected for COS-Dwarfs are at a lower redshift range, $z_{\text{gal}} = 0.02$–0.08, ideal for detecting the C IV doublet with COS. Lastly, the highest S/N spectra in our data set comes from the CASBaH survey (PT; Tripp), which targeted higher-redshift QSOs to measure transitions from high ions such as Ne viii, Mg x, and Si xii. These nine sightlines were not targeted based on preselected proximal galaxies, but deep
follow-up galaxy environment data is currently being obtained around them (Meiring et al. 2011).

3. MEASUREMENTS

3.1. Line Identification

We used a multi-step visual identification process to search for C iv systems within our 89 spectra observed with the G130M and G160M gratings, which enable coverage of the C iv doublet at $z \lesssim 0.16$. To aid in the search for the C iv doublet, we created a user interface to scroll through a spectrum, select a candidate $\lambda$ 1548 feature, and view the alignment of the 1548 and 1550 features in velocity space. The apparent column density ($N_v$) is defined as follows:

$$N(v) = \frac{m_e c}{\pi e^2 \lambda} \tau(v),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $m_e$ is the electron mass, $c$ is the speed of light, $\lambda$ is the wavelength, and $\tau$ is the line oscillator strength. The apparent optical depth at a given velocity and is defined as

$$\tau(v) = \frac{N(v)}{I(v)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $I(v)$ is the continuum intensity and $I(v)$ is the observed intensity.
If neither of the lines is blended with interloping absorption from another species and if the lines are not saturated, the apparent column density profiles should align. However, if the apparent column density corresponding to the 1550 line appears to be greater over a portion of the profile, the 1548 line may be saturated. If the 1548 line apparent column density appears greater than that of the 1550 line, the 1548 line may be blended with an interloper; otherwise, the candidate absorber may be rejected because $f_{1550}/f_{1548} \approx 2$, and a greater apparent column density for the 1548 line is unphysical. In cases of possible blending, we accepted the candidate as a detection if the two apparent column density profiles were aligned in regions of the profile unaffected by a conspicuous interloper.

To further scrutinize the candidate systems, we searched for other common lines, such as Ly$\alpha$, C$\alpha$, and Si$\alpha$, within $\pm 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ of the “systemic redshift” determined by the C IV absorption. However, we emphasize that the presence of these other lines was not a necessary criterion for inclusion in our sample; the purpose was merely to offset some doubt initially held about the system, such as the apparent column density profiles being imprecisely aligned, which could occur merely due to known errors in the COS wavelength calibration (up to $\pm 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ according to Wakker et al. 2015). Then, each system was checked for significance of detection, where we required $3\sigma$ detections for both the 1548 and 1550 lines. If a suspected C IV doublet was possibly blended with an interloping line, we used Voigt profile fitting to first fit the contaminant and then assess whether enough optical depth remained in the line profile to account for the presence of C IV. The candidates passing these tests were then checked for common misidentifications, notably interloping Lyman series lines and higher-\textit{z} O I 1302, Si II 1304 pairs, which have a similar wavelength separation to the C IV doublet.

Once we had established our independent sample of C IV candidates, we flagged those systems that were at the redshifts of the galaxies targeted in the original COS-Halos and COS-Dwarfs surveys, as those targeted systems would not compose a blind sample and were thus not included in the analyses presented here. Although none were detected, the survey design also called for omitting absorbers within 5000 km s$^{-1}$ of the QSO redshifts, based on the Tripp et al. (2008) finding of a proximate O VI absorber overabundance within 5000 km s$^{-1}$ of observed QSO redshifts. Lastly, we compared our identifications with those from the COS-Halos and COS-Dwarfs studies for verification. A previous detection by those studies was not required because their analysis was primarily focused on absorption associated with their targeted galaxies. Finally, we were left with a 42-absorber sample (see Figure 1).

3.2. Absorption Line Measurements

For our equivalent width (EW) and column density measurements, we fit local continua within $\pm 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ of each line center using Legendre polynomials whose order was determined by an F-test (Sembach & Savage 1992), typically 3rd or 4th order. We then measured the EW of each line and calculated the integrated apparent column density, assuming the line was unsaturated and therefore on the linear part of the curve of growth.

We then normalized the spectrum flux by the fitted continuum and fit Voigt profiles to the absorption lines to measure column densities, Doppler parameters, and velocity centroids using our own software based on a Levenberg-Marquardt optimization algorithm. COS possesses a unique line spread function (Ghavamian et al. 2009) with large wings, and we accounted for this in the profile fitting process. In several cases, multiple absorption components were evident for a given species, and we attempted to fit the minimum number of components to account for the optical depth in each profile, including any apparent asymmetry, upon visual inspection. Doublet components were fit simultaneously as we were interloping lines where blending from other species was evident. This blending could be observed, for example, by odd features in the (mostly) aligned apparent column density profiles of the doublet or by large asymmetries in the line profiles. In certain cases, line profiles were partially or completely obscured by the geocoronal O I $\lambda 1302$ Å emission lines, preventing their measurement.

The resulting Voigt profile fitting measurements are presented in Table 2, and plots of the absorber sample spectra along with our profile fits are presented in the Appendix. Likely due in part to the resolution of our data, certain lines yield invalid Doppler $b$-value measurements, and these values are omitted from the table. However, measurements of $b(\text{C IV}) < 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ may also be unreliable. For components of species with no unsaturated lines that would yield reliable Voigt profile fitting measurements, we
report the integrated apparent column density profiles as lower limits.

### 3.3. Path Length Calculation

Our statistics calculations require measuring the total path over which we may detect the C IV doublet. We express the total path in terms of two quantities: the redshift path length $\Delta z$ and the comoving path length $\Delta X$ (Bahcall & Peebles 1969). The redshift path is simply the sum of the redshift ranges over which the doublet is detectable in each spectrum to a limiting EW or column density, but this calculation must account for varying S/N across the spectrum and absorption lines from systems at other redshifts, especially strong Lyα lines. Therefore, we must ignore those segments where the S/N is insufficient to reveal lines of a given strength.

To account for the decreasing sensitivity to lower column densities, authors using automatic line identification methods may also assume a constant path length across all column densities and employ Monte Carlo completeness corrections (e.g., Simcoe et al. 2011) in the derived statistics. Our survey comprises a visually identified absorber sample, and the method presented here alternatively measures a variable path length from the data S/N; the two methods should produce consistent results.

Because a varying redshift describes a varying comoving volume, we also employ the comoving path length $X(z)$, which is defined as follows:

$$X(z) = \frac{2}{3\Omega_M} \sqrt{\Omega_M \times (1 + z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda} - \frac{2\sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda}}{3\Omega_M}. \quad (3)$$

For the $\Delta z$ and $\Delta X$ calculation, we first convert the wavelength at each pixel to $z$ and $X$, respectively, assuming the C IV $\lambda 1550$ line were to fall at each location (i.e., $z = 1550.77/\lambda - 1$). We then sum over the regions in each spectrum (in terms of $z$ or $X$) where the $\lambda 1550$ would be detectable and finally sum the detectable regions in all spectra.

A line’s detectability is a function of the line strength and of the S/N of the data at the line’s location. Therefore, we calculate at each wavelength position the limiting rest EW ($W_{\text{lim}}$), a threshold above which lines may be detected. The $W_{\text{lim}}$ is defined as follows:

$$W_{\text{lim}}(\lambda) = \frac{3\sigma_{W(\lambda)}}{1 + \zabs}, \quad (4)$$

where $\sigma_{W(\lambda)}$ is the uncertainty of the observed EW summed in quadrature over a number of pixels. The number of integrated pixels is taken as a typical width (in pixels) of a line with EW $W_{\text{lim}}$, between 8 and 18 pixels for the absorbers in our sample and wider than the full width at half maximum of the spectra. The following expression defines $\sigma_{W(\lambda)}$:

$$\sigma_{W(\lambda)}^2 = \sum_i \left( \Delta \lambda_i \left( \frac{\sigma_{I(\lambda_i)}}{I(\lambda_i)} \right)^2 \right) \quad (5)$$

Figure 2. Spectral region of one sightline, QSO J1342+1844, over which the COS bandpass covers the C IV doublet. (Top) The QSO spectrum and errors are plotted in black and red, respectively. (Bottom) The limiting equivalent width for a 3σ detection of a given line. The cyan line marks a fiducial 60 mA threshold; if chosen as a limiting equivalent width for $\Delta z$ or $\Delta X$, all regions of the spectrum with $W_{\text{lim}}$ above this value are rejected from the $\Delta z$ and $\Delta X$ measurement. Spikes in the $W_{\text{lim}}$ panel that do not coincide with absorption features in the spectra primarily occur due to pixel-scale noise fluctuations. The discontinuous periods of increased $W_{\text{lim}}$ near, e.g., 1560 Å correspond to detector gaps at the individual central wavelength settings of the COS G160M grating.
Table 2
Voigt Profile Fitting Measurements by Absorber Component

| QSO          | z_abs  | Ion   | Lines in Profile Fit | log N (cm⁻²) | b (km s⁻¹) | v (km s⁻¹) |
|--------------|--------|-------|----------------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| J0155−0857   | 0.00547| C IV  | 1548.2, 1550.8       | 13.78 ± 0.07 | 34 ± 9     | −38 ± 6    |
|              |        |       | C IV                 | 13.02 ± 0.32 | 16 ± 19    | 27 ± 11    |
|              |        |       |                      | 14−13.5      |            |            |
| J0242−0759   | 0.00477| C IV  | 1548.2, 1550.8       | 13.75 ± 0.29 | 7 ± 5      | 40 ± 2     |
|              |        |       |                      | >14.15       |            |            |
| J0925+4004   | 0.00261| C IV  | 1548.2, 1550.8       | 13.81 ± 0.08 | 39 ± 10    | −23 ± 6    |
| J0928+6025   | 0.01494| C IV  | 1548.2, 1550.8       | 13.77 ± 0.03 | 25 ± 3     | −23 ± 2    |
| J0950+4831   | 0.08049| C IV  | 1548.2, 1550.8       | 14.08 ± 0.12 | 22 ± 6     | −8 ± 4     |
| J1001+5944   | 0.15037| C IV  | 1334.5               | 13.41 ± 0.12 | 29 ± 13    | −29 ± 8    |
|              |        |       |                      | 14.25 ± 0.40 | 9 ± 3      | −16 ± 2    |
| J1009+0713   | 0.05860| C IV  | 1548.2, 1550.8       | 14.08 ± 0.10 | 29 ± 7     | −1 ± 5     |
| J1059+1441   | 0.00242| C IV  | 1334.5               | 13.79 ± 0.09 | 13 ± 5     | 7 ± 3      |
|              |        |       |                      | >14.61       |            |            |
| J1059+2517   | 0.07884| C IV  | 1548.2, 1550.8       | 13.57 ± 0.29 | 9 ± 10     | 37 ± 5     |
|              |        |       |                      | 13.86 ± 0.17 | 52 ± 26    | −32 ± 18   |
| J1104+3141   | 0.06223| C IV  | 1548.2, 1550.8       | 13.57 ± 0.11 | 42 ± 14    | 10 ± 10    |
|              |        |       |                      | >14.15       |            |            |
| J1112+3539   | 0.01726| C IV  | 1548.2, 1550.8       | 14.06 ± 0.04 | 20 ± 2     | −6 ± 1     |
| J1117+2634   | 0.04758| C IV  | 1334.5               | 13.39 ± 0.10 | 22 ± 9     | −3 ± 5     |
|              |        |       |                      | 14.16 ± 0.06 | 25 ± 3     | −8 ± 2     |
|              |        |       |                      | 14.43 ± 0.13 | 38 ± 4     | −33 ± 3    |
|              |        |       |                      | 13.95 ± 0.11 | 37 ± 13    | −10 ± 9    |
| J1193.3, 1190.4 | 13.44 ± 0.04 | 22 ± 3 | −19 ± 3
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Table 2
(Continued)

| QSO          | \(z_{\text{abs}}\) | Ion     | Lines in Profile Fit | \(\log N^*\) (cm\(^{-2}\)) | \(b\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(v\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
|--------------|------------------|---------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| J1122+5755   | 0.00640          | C \(n^*\) | 1548.2, 1550.8       | 14.33 ± 0.07                | 24 ± 3                 | 21 ± 2                 |
|              |                  | H \(i^*\) |                      | 12.99 ± 0.24                | 24 ± 11                | 57 ± 13                |
|              |                  | Si \(I\) | 1206.5               | 13.30 ± 0.23                | 16 ± 6                 | −14 ± 6                |
| J1210+3157   | 0.05991          | C \(n^*\) | 1548.2, 1550.8       | 14.38 ± 0.08                | 21 ± 2                 | −30 ± 2                |
|              |                  | Si \(I\) | 1206.5               | 13.38 ± 0.11                | 30 ± 10                | 0 ± 7                  |
|              |                  | O \(VI\) | 1031.9, 1037.6       | 14.90 ± 0.06                | 19 ± 3                 | 42 ± 2                 |
| J1241+5721   | 0.13993          | C \(n\)  | 1334.5               | 13.96 ± 0.06                | 40 ± 7                 | −47 ± 5                |
|              |                  | Si \(I\) | 1206.5               | 13.38 ± 0.11                | 30 ± 10                | −4 ± 21                |

(continued)
Table 2
(Continued)

| QSO          | $z_{\text{abs}}$ | Ion | Lines in Profile Fit | log $N^*$ (cm$^{-2}$) | $b$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $v$ (km s$^{-1}$) |
|--------------|------------------|-----|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Si II        | 0.08795          | C IV | 1548.2, 1550.8         | 13.86 ± 0.08           | 22 ± 6           | 17 ± 4           |
|              |                  | H I  | > 14.29               |                        |                  |                  |
| Si II        | 0.09779          | C IV | 1548.2, 1550.8         | 13.60 ± 0.19           | 39 ± 22          | 21 ± 16          |
| J1357+1704   |                  | H I  | >14.59                |                        |                  |                  |
|              |                  | Si III | 1206.5          | 12.86 ± 0.12           | 13 ± 5           | 6 ± 3            |
|              |                  | Si III | 1206.5          | 12.90 ± 0.15           | 9 ± 2            | 0 ± 2            |
| J1437+5045   | 0.12971          | C IV | 1548.2, 1550.8         | 13.60 ± 0.11           | 32 ± 12          | 71 ± 8           |
|              |                  | H I  | >14.67               |                        |                  |                  |
|              |                  | N v a | 1238.8, 1242.8       | 14.13 ± 0.20           | 36 ± 21          | 15 ± 16          |
|              |                  | O vi a | 1031.9, 1037.6     | 14.61 ± 0.20           | 37 ± 14          | 10 ± 10          |
| J1445+3428   | 0.00549          | C IV a | 1548.2, 1550.8     | 14.15 ± 0.13           | 17 ± 4           | 13 ± 3           |
| J1521+0337   | 0.09674          | C IV a | 1548.2, 1550.8     | 14.21 ± 0.12           | 40 ± 11          | 64 ± 8           |
| J1553+3548   | 0.08291          | C IV | 1548.2, 1550.8         | 14.52 ± 0.05           | 27 ± 2           | 13 ± 1           |
| J1619+3342   | 0.09637          | N v b | 1242.8, 1238.8       | 13.94 ± 0.09           | 29 ± 8           | 17 ± 5           |
| PG1202+281   | 0.08026          | C IV | 1548.2, 1550.8         | 14.14 ± 0.08           | 19 ± 1           | 19 ± 3           |

$N^*$ represents the column density of the ion, $b$ is the blueshift, and $v$ is the redshift.
Table 2 (Continued)

| QSO        | \(z_{\text{abs}}\) | Ion   | Lines in Profile Fit | \(\log N^i\) (cm\(^{-2}\)) | \(b\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(v\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
|------------|-------------------|-------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| FBQS 0751+2919 | 0.06029 | C\(^{\text{IV}}\) | 1548.2, 1550.8 | 13.62 ± 0.03 | 15 ± 2 | −30 ± 1 |
|            |       | H\(^{\text{I}}\) | >14.70 | ... | ... | ... |
| LBQS 1435-0134 | 0.13849 | C\(^{\text{IV}}\) | 1548.2, 1550.8 | 13.40 ± 0.04 | 20 ± 3 | −6 ± 2 |
|            |       | H\(^{\text{I}}\) | 1025.7, 1215.7 | 14.70 ± 0.02 | 27 ± 0 | 2 ± 1 |
|            |       | O\(^{\text{VI}}\) | 1031.9, 1037.6 | 13.79 ± 0.03 | 21 ± 3 | 9 ± 2 |
| PG1148+549  | 0.00349 | C\(^{\text{IV}}\) | 1548.2, 1550.8 | 13.66 ± 0.03 | 11 ± 1 | −10 ± 1 |
|            |       | H\(^{\text{I}}\) | >14.17 | ... | ... | ... |
|            |       | H\(^{\text{I}}\) | 1215.7 | 13.50 ± 0.02 | 29 ± 2 | 132 ± 1 |
| PG1407+265  | 0.07227 | C\(^{\text{IV}}\) | 1550.8, 1548.2 | 13.47 ± 0.04 | 22 ± 3 | −17 ± 2 |
|            |       | H\(^{\text{I}}\) | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| PG1522+101  | 0.07523 | C\(^{\text{IV}}\) | 1548.2, 1550.8 | 13.56 ± 0.05 | 9 ± 2 | −6 ± 1 |
|            |       | H\(^{\text{I}}\) | 1215.7 | 13.87 ± 0.02 | 26 ± 1 | −24 ± 1 |
|            |       | N\(^{\text{V}}\) | 1238.8, 1242.8 | 13.19 ± 0.08 | 10 ± 4 | −4 ± 2 |

Notes.

* Measurements shown with errors from Voigt profile fitting but that may suffer from saturation.

* The presence of the line is apparent but either telluric emission or bad pixels prevent a measurement.

* Column densities expressed as lower limits were measured using the apparent optical method (Savage & Sembach 1991).

(This table is available in machine-readable form.)

where \(\Delta \lambda(i)\) is the pixel width (in Å), \(I(\lambda_i)\) is the continuum flux at pixel \(i\), and \(\sigma(I(\lambda_i))\) is the flux uncertainty at pixel \(i\). We chose the number of integrated pixels based on typical widths of lines from our data with measured EWs similar to the threshold \(W_{\text{lim}}\). e.g., the average profile of an \(\sim\)80 mÅ line was 12 pixels wide. An example \(W_{\text{lim}}\) calculation for the spectrum of J1342+1844 is shown in Figure 2.

The \(W_{\text{lim}}\) was measured using these equations centered at each pixel of every spectrum in our data set in multiple passes using the number of pixels as described above for \(W_{\text{lim}}\) values commensurate with the column density bins used to compute the column density distribution function (see Section 4.1).

The absorber column density range we are probing (13 \(\lesssim \log N(\text{C\(^{\text{IV}}\}) \lesssim 15\) cm\(^{-2}\)) falls in the region of the curve of growth where the lines of the doublet begin to saturate. Therefore, we mapped EW to column density by creating 1000 synthetic C\(^{\text{IV}}\) doublets with S/N \(\sim 12\) (a typical S/N for our QSO spectra), measuring the resulting EW, and fitting the resulting \(W_r\)-\(\log N(\text{C\(^{\text{IV}}\})\) relation from the simulated data with a 4th-order polynomial. We then measured the path length at each 13 \(\lesssim \log N(\text{C\(^{\text{IV}}\}) \lesssim 15\) cm\(^{-2}\) at intervals of \(\Delta (\log N(\text{C\(^{\text{IV}}\})) = 0.05\) cm\(^{-2}\). In accordance with our blind survey criteria, we did not include regions of the spectra within \(\pm 500\) km s\(^{-1}\) of the COS-Halos and COS-Dwarfs target galaxy redshifts (the CASBaH survey is intrinsically blind) or \(\pm 5000\) km s\(^{-1}\) of the QSO redshift in our path lengths. The path lengths measured for our data set and employed for the following statistics calculations are shown in Figure 3 and tabulated in Table 3; the limiting EW values corresponding to these column density bins are listed in Table 3. We also list in Table 3 the cumulative number of absorbers per unit redshift path length as a function of \(N(\text{C\(^{\text{IV}}\)})\), \(dN/\text{d}z\), defined as follows:

\[
\frac{dN}{dz}(N(\text{C\(^{\text{IV}}\})) = \sum_i \frac{\bar{N}(N_i(\text{C\(^{\text{IV}}\}))}{\Delta z(N_i(\text{C\(^{\text{IV}}\}))},
\]

where \(\bar{N}(N(\text{C\(^{\text{IV}}\}))\) is the number of C\(^{\text{IV}}\) absorbers in the \(i\)-th column density bin, \(\Delta z(N(\text{C\(^{\text{IV}}\}))\) is the redshift path length for detecting absorbers with \(N_i(\text{C\(^{\text{IV}}\})\), and the summation is carried out for column density bins with \(N_i(\text{C\(^{\text{IV}}\}) \geq N(\text{C\(^{\text{IV}}\}))\).

4. ABSORBER STATISTICS

4.1. Column Density Distribution Function \(f(N)\)

We begin our analysis by deriving the column density distribution function, or \(f(N)\), of the C\(^{\text{IV}}\) absorber sample. A fundamental observable measured for a sample of absorption systems, \(f(N)\) is useful for comparisons to theoretical predictions and describing the incidence and mass density of an absorber sample. One may evaluate \(f(N)\) in discrete column
The comoving path length corresponding to the threshold set by the individual column density bin, and $N_{\text{abs}}(\text{C IV})$ is the number of absorbers within the specified column density bin.

Our binned evaluation of $f(N(\text{C IV}))$ is presented in Figure 4, assuming Poisson uncertainties in $N_{\text{abs}}(\text{C IV})$ for error estimation. Similar to previous work, we observe a steep decline in $f(N)$ with increasing $N(\text{C IV})$. Earlier studies have frequently modeled $f(N)$ as a single power-law,

$$f(N) = k_P \left( \frac{N(\text{C IV})}{10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}} \right)^{\alpha_P}$$

where $\alpha_P$ is the power law index, and $k_P$ is the normalization constant. For small samples, this functional form has offered a good description for the limited data. Following Cooksey et al. (2010), we used a maximum likelihood analysis to find the best-fit parameters for this model: $\log k_P = -13.76 \pm 0.07$ and $\alpha_P = -2.07 \pm 0.15$ (68% c.l.). For this likelihood analysis, we adopted a saturation limit $N_{\text{sat}} = 10^{14.3} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and have analyzed the data set from $N_{\text{min}} = 10^{13.3} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ to $N_{\text{max}} = 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. This model is overlapped on Figure 4 and offers a fair description of the data.

However, Cooksey et al. (2013) found a steep (approximately exponential) turnover in the EW distribution of strong C IV systems. Furthermore, Danforth et al. (2014), whose sample contains more $13.0 < \log N(\text{C IV}) < 13.5$ absorbers than that presented here, argue that a broken power law better fits their measured $f(N(\text{C IV}))$ than a single power law. We were thus inspired to consider an alternative model, specifically, fitting $f(N(\text{C IV}))$ with a $\Gamma$ function

$$f(N) = k_{\Gamma} \left( \frac{N(\text{C IV})}{N_{\ast}} \right)^{\alpha_{\Gamma}} \exp \left[ \frac{-N(\text{C IV})}{N_{\ast}} \right]$$

where $\Delta X(N(\text{C IV}))$ is the comoving path length corresponding to the threshold set by the individual column density bin, and $N_{\text{abs}}(\text{C IV})$ is the number of absorbers within the specified column density bin.

The overplotted models assume a single power law (green, dashed) and $\Gamma$ function (blue, solid) distributions for $f(N(\text{C IV}))$. The latter model offers an excellent description of the observations; the best-fit parameters are labeled in the figure.

Table 3

| $\log N(\text{C IV})$ (cm$^{-2}$) | $W_{3150}$ (mÅ) | $\Delta z$ | $\Delta X$ | $dN/dX$ |
|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| 13.0                            | 19             | 0.1       | 0.1       | ...      |
| 13.1                            | 24             | 0.5       | 0.5       | ...      |
| 13.2                            | 29             | 0.8       | 0.8       | ...      |
| 13.3                            | 35             | 1.1       | 1.3       | 7.80$^{+2.4}_{-1.4}$ |
| 13.4                            | 43             | 1.7       | 1.9       | 6.79$^{+2.1}_{-1.0}$ |
| 13.5                            | 54             | 1.8       | 2.0       | 6.79$^{+2.1}_{-1.0}$ |
| 13.6                            | 67             | 2.8       | 3.1       | 5.1$^{+1.6}_{-1.0}$ |
| 13.7                            | 83             | 4.0       | 4.4       | 4.59$^{+1.4}_{-0.8}$ |
| 13.8                            | 102            | 4.3       | 4.7       | 3.22$^{+1.1}_{-0.6}$ |
| 13.9                            | 124            | 5.5       | 6.1       | 2.59$^{+0.5}_{-0.0}$ |
| 14.0                            | 150            | 7.1       | 7.9       | 2.43$^{+0.5}_{-0.0}$ |
| 14.1                            | 178            | 8.7       | 9.6       | 1.56$^{+0.7}_{-0.4}$ |
| 14.2                            | 208            | 9.9       | 11.1      | 0.91$^{+0.3}_{-0.0}$ |
| 14.3                            | 241            | 10.9      | 12.1      | 0.52$^{+0.2}_{-0.0}$ |
| 14.4                            | 275            | 11.6      | 12.9      | 0.17$^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$ |
| 14.5                            | 310            | 12.0      | 13.4      | 0.08$^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$ |
| 14.6                            | 345            | 12.3      | 13.8      | 0.08$^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$ |
| 14.7                            | 379            | 12.5      | 14.0      | ...      |
| 14.8                            | 412            | 12.7      | 14.2      | ...      |
| 14.9                            | 442            | 12.8      | 14.3      | ...      |
| 15.0                            | 468            | 12.9      | 14.4      | ...      |

Notes.

*a* Equivalent width corresponding to $N(\text{C IV})$ in Column 1.

*b* Cumulative number of detected absorbers per redshift path length (see Equation (6)).

Figure 4. Column density distribution function for our 42-absorber C IV sample. Data points indicate binned evaluations with vertical error bars corresponding to 1σ Poisson errors of the absorber counts in each bin, and the horizontal bars denote the column density bin width, $\Delta \log N(\text{C IV}) = 0.2$. The overplotted models assume a single power law (green, dashed) and $\Gamma$ function (blue, solid) distributions for $f(N(\text{C IV}))$. The latter model offers an excellent description of the observations; the best-fit parameters are labeled in the figure.

$\Delta X(N(\text{C IV}))$ as follows:

$$f(N) = \frac{N_{\text{abs}}(\text{C IV})}{\Delta N(\text{C IV}) \Delta X(N(\text{C IV}))}$$

where $\Delta X(N(\text{C IV}))$ is the comoving path length corresponding to the threshold set by the individual column density bin, and $N_{\text{abs}}(\text{C IV})$ is the number of absorbers within the specified column density bin.

Figure 5. Correlation contours for the three parameters describing the $\Gamma$ function model for $f(N)$ at 68.4, 95.4, and 99.7% confidence (inner to outer). As expected, $k_\Gamma$ and $N_\ast$ are highly correlated. The power-law exponent is more poorly constrained but indicates a shallower slope than $\alpha_\Gamma = -1.5$ at 99.7% c.l.
parameterized by a normalization constant $k_\Gamma$, a power-law exponent $\alpha_\Gamma$, and a "break" column density $N_\text{b}$. Once again, we perform a maximum likelihood analysis with $N_{\text{min}}, N_{\text{max}},$ and $N_{\text{sat}}$ as above on a three-dimensional grid of $k_\Gamma, \alpha_\Gamma,$ and $N_\text{b}$ values. The best-fit $\Gamma$ function is overplotted on the binned evaluations in Figure 4 and provides an excellent description of the observations. The correlation in these parameters is illustrated in Figure 5; as expected, we find significant degeneracy between $k_\Gamma$ and $N_\text{b}$ although the latter is rather tightly constrained. The power-law exponent is shallow and constrained at the 99.7% c.l. to be greater than $\alpha_\Gamma = -1.5$.

The best-fit $\Gamma$ function is overplotted on the binned evaluations in Figure 4 and provides an excellent description of the observed $f(N(C\ IV))$. To statistically assess the goodness-of-fit between these models, we conducted one-sample Anderson–Darling comparison tests between our absorber sample and each model. The traditional, and often-employed, implementation of the Kolmogorov–Smirnoff (K–S) and/or Anderson–Darling tests, wherein the so-called "$D$ statistic" follows the K–S distribution (the integrals of which yield $p$-values for the null hypothesis) do not apply in this situation because the parameters of the models to be tested are derived from the sample distribution to be compared. Therefore, we produced distributions of the $D$ statistics calculated between the normalized empirical cumulative distribution function of $10^3$ bootstrap resamples of our CIV absorber sample and the continuous cumulative distribution function of each model. Potentially due to the small numbers of absorbers we have at the lowest and highest column densities, the Anderson–Darling test does not yield a small enough $p$-value to reject the power-law model for $f(N(C\ IV))$ with strong statistical confidence. However, we call attention to Figure 6, which shows the cumulative distribution of our CIV absorber sample as a function of $N(C\ IV)$ alongside the cumulative distributions following from the $\Gamma$ function and power-law models. Due to its superior reproduction of our absorber sample, we adopt the $\Gamma$ functional form for $f(N(C\ IV))$ in the following statistics calculations.

From any $f(N)$ model, it is trivial to integrate from any $N_{\text{min}}$ value to estimate the incidence of absorption systems

$$dN/dX = \int_{N_{\text{min}}}^{\infty} f(N, X) dN$$

For $N_{\text{min}} = 10^{13.3}$ cm$^{-2}$, we calculate $dN/dX = 7.5$ for our preferred model. Evaluating the likelihood function of this model to a $68\%$ confidence limit, we find the rms in the resultant $dN/dX$ values to be $1.1 \text{ (} \approx 15\% \text{)}$ which is consistent with the Poisson uncertainty of an $N = 42$ sample.

4.2. $\Omega_{C\ IV}$

The mass density of triply ionized carbon is quantified by the $\Omega_{C\ IV}$ statistic, which is the ratio of the mass density of CIV to the critical density of the universe. Formally, $\Omega_{C\ IV}$ may be calculated from $f(N)$ as follows:

$$\Omega_{C\ IV} = \frac{H_0 m_C}{\rho_{c,0}} \int_0^{\infty} f(N(C\ IV)) N(C\ IV) dN(C\ IV)$$

where $H_0$ is the Hubble constant, $\rho_{c,0} = 3H_0^2(8\pi G)^{-1}$ is the critical density, $m_C$ is the mass of the carbon atom, and the other symbols have their typical meanings. In practice, $\Omega_{C\ IV}$ is typically estimated over a finite column density interval $N(C\ IV) = [N_{\text{min}}, N_{\text{max}}]$. This is required for simple power-law models which will diverge at either high or low $N(C\ IV)$. Furthermore, $f(N)$ is generally only constrained over a finite $N(C\ IV)$ interval.

---

Note that this normalization constant is at least partially degenerate with $N_\text{b}^\text{fit}$. 

---

Figure 6. Cumulative distribution as a function of $N(C\ IV)$ of our C IV absorber sample compared with those resulting from the best-fit $\Gamma$ and power law column density distribution functions. Note that the $\Gamma$ functional form of $f(N(C\ IV))$ closely reproduces our absorber sample cumulative distribution, while the power-law distribution appears to deviate from the observed distribution at $14.1 < \log N(C\ IV) < 14.7$.

Figure 7. Cumulative evaluation of $\Omega_{C\ IV}$, from a direct summation of our $N(C\ IV)$ measurements (black histogram; Equation (12)). Overplotted is the integration of our best-fit $\Gamma(N)$ model (solid blue curve) from $N_{\text{min}} = 10^{13.3}$ cm$^{-2}$. These lie in excellent agreement. For an estimate of sample variance we have performed a bootstrap analysis of the summed evaluation; 500 of these trials are shown in light gray on the figure. Lastly, for comparison we show the results for the best-fit power-law model (dashed green curve), which systematically overestimates the $\Omega_{C\ IV}$ value at low and high column densities.
In Figure 7, we present two evaluations of $\Omega_{C IV}$: (1) the integration of our $\Gamma$-model for $f(N)$ with $N_{\text{min}} = 10^{13.3} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and $N_{\text{max}} = 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$; and (2) the summed evaluation of all C IV systems in our statistical analysis (which spans from $10^{13.3} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ to $10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$):

$$
\Omega_{\text{C IV}} = \frac{H_0 \Omega_C}{c \rho_{c,0}} \sum_i N(C IV)_i \Delta X(N(C IV)_i),
$$

(12)

These two evaluations are in excellent agreement and yield central values of $10.0 \times 10^{-8}$ and $9.7 \times 10^{-8}$, respectively. We further emphasize that the shallow power-law $\alpha_\Gamma$ derived from the $\Gamma$-model and its exponential decrease at high $N(CIV)$ imply that our estimation is rather insensitive to the choice of $N_{\text{min}}$ and $N_{\text{max}}$.

We have assessed the uncertainty in $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ from sample variance through a bootstrap estimation of the summed evaluation (Equation (12)). Specifically, we have randomly sampled the observed distribution of $N(C IV)$ values with 10,000 trials (allowing for duplications) and evaluated Equation (12) for each trial. Remarkably, the rms of the resultant distribution of $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ values is small: $\sigma(\Omega_{\text{C IV}})$ =...
A set of 500 trials is overplotted in gray on Figure 7. We caution, however, that this bootstrap analysis may not sufficiently capture the sample variance in the highest $N(C_{IV})$ systems that contribute $\approx 20\%$ to $\Omega_{CIV}$. Furthermore, this summed evaluation does not correct for line-saturation, unlike our maximum likelihood analysis of $f(N)$. On the other hand, we find excellent agreement between the $\Omega_{CIV}$ evaluations and have confidence that the effects of line-saturation are small. We adopt a $15\%$ uncertainty from systematic error and report a final estimate of $10.0 \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$.

Aside from the original Tilton et al. (2012) estimate, which was later revised, these various $\Omega_{CIV}$ evaluations are in good agreement with our new analysis.

To place our result in an evolutionary context with previous authors’ findings, we convert their $\Omega_{CIV}$ values to our adopted integration limits and cosmology per Appendix C of Cooksey et al. (2010) where necessary. Figure 8 shows $\Omega_{CIV}$ values spanning $z \sim 6$ to the present. The present ($z = 0$) value of $\Omega_{CIV}$ shows a clear increase over that of earlier cosmic time ($z > 4$), but the evolution since $z \sim 2$ is modest and not statistically significant.

### 4.3. Comparison with Simulations

As we have alluded above, the measurements we report are key observables that can be predicted by cosmological hydrodynamic simulations, which must reproduce not only key properties of galaxies themselves, such as the stellar mass function and evolution of the star formation density (Madau et al. 1998) but also observed properties of the CGM and IGM. In fact, galaxies, the CGM, and the IGM are intimately related in the simulations, as gas inflow and outflows are required to produce the observed global properties of the

0.35 $\times 10^{-8}$. A set of 500 trials is overplotted in gray on Figure 7. We caution, however, that this bootstrap analysis may not sufficiently capture the sample variance in the highest $N(C_{IV})$ systems that contribute $\approx 20\%$ to $\Omega_{CIV}$. Furthermore, this summed evaluation does not correct for line-saturation, unlike our maximum likelihood analysis of $f(N)$. On the other hand, we find excellent agreement between the $\Omega_{CIV}$ evaluations and have confidence that the effects of line-saturation are small. We adopt a $15\%$ uncertainty from systematic error and report a final estimate of $10.0 \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$.

Previous analysis of HST spectral data sets have presented estimates for $\Omega_{CIV}$ at $z \approx 0$. Cooksey et al. (2010) reported $\Omega_{CIV} = 7.0 \times 10^{-8}$ ($\approx 30\%$ error) from a sample of 19 absorbers at $z < 0.6$ discovered in STIS and GHRS observations. Tilton et al. (2012) analyzed a larger set of STIS spectra and reported an $\Omega_{CIV}$ value over twice as large from 29 absorbers at $z < 0.12$. That estimation was revised downward by Shull et al. (2014), who adopted a different approach to estimating $\Omega_{CIV}$ using binned evaluations of $f(N)$. From their analysis of the COS linelist posted to HST/MAST by Danforth et al. (2014), they report $\Omega_{CIV} = 10.1^{+2.2}_{-2.4} \times 10^{-8}$ (the methodology for their error estimation was not specified).
galaxy population; these processes in turn produce observational signatures in the CGM and IGM (Bordoloi et al. 2011; Fumagalli et al. 2011; Bouché et al. 2012) only probed by absorption line spectroscopy. As the scale and sophistication of cosmological simulations have progressed, the key factors driving their ability to reproduce actual observations are often encapsulated in “sub-grid” physics, prescriptions for processes such as supernova feedback and galactic winds that are not directly resolved. We attempt to use the preferred sub-grid variants of the simulations compared below, e.g., the $v_{ZW}$ wind model of Oppenheimer et al. (2012), which is a momentum conserving wind prescription where the velocities

**Figure 13.** Offsets in velocity between components of C IV and other ions detected in the data. The plots are roughly arranged to show the C IV offsets from low, intermediate, and high ions in the top, middle, and bottom rows, respectively. Close alignments in velocity space among components are typically interpreted as indicators of physical association, such as the species arising in the same kinematically connected structure (e.g., isolated gas cloud in a galaxy halo) or even in the same gas phase. The many instances of offsets similar to the nominal resolution of 15 km s$^{-1}$ indicate closely associated C IV components with a variety of ionization stages.
of the outflowing particles scale as the internal velocity dispersion of the galaxy and which produces galaxies that more closely match observations than a constant-velocity wind model.

As the C IV doublet is among the most prominent metal-line spectral features, the $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ and $f(N(\text{C IV}))$ derived from simulation data are routinely used as metrics of the self-consistency of sub-grid processes invoked to reproduce observations of galaxies and the IGM. In Figure 9, we show our measured column density distribution function alongside predictions from three cosmological simulations. Cosmological hydrodynamical simulations largely use yields from stellar population synthesis models to establish global metallicities, and the uncertainties from these models can introduce uncertainties of $\pm 0.3$ dex in the column density distribution function. Given this uncertainty, both the Schaye et al. (2015)
and Oppenheimer et al. (2012) models are largely consistent with our observations over the column density range probed, yet it is quite striking how well the Oppenheimer et al. (2012) predictions seem to follow our measured distribution function. Most importantly, both simulations predict downturns relative to a single power law at low and high column densities, corroborating both the results of Cooksey et al. (2013) that \( f(N(\text{C}\,\text{IV})) \) deviates from a power law and our adoption of a \( \Gamma \) function form. The Cen & Chisari (2011) results do not extend to the highest column density regime covered by our observations and the other simulations, but they predict a smooth downturn (relative to a power law) at the lowest column densities. The greatest dispersion among the models occurs at \( \log N(\text{C}\,\text{IV}) \lesssim 13.0 \, \text{cm}^{-2} \), but much higher S/N spectroscopy is required to constrain the behavior of \( f(N(\text{C}\,\text{IV})) \) in this regime.

Figure 10 shows the predicted evolution of \( \Omega_{\text{C}\,\text{IV}} \) by Oppenheimer et al. (2012) and Cen & Chisari (2011) from \( z = 2.5 \) to the present alongside observational measurements. The Oppenheimer et al. (2012) simulations predict minimal
Table 4  Correlation Statistics of Ion Column Densities

| Ion 1 | Ion 2 | \( \tau_{\text{sd}} \) | \( P_{\text{cor}} \) | \( \tau_{\text{fl}} \) | \( P_{\text{cor}} \) | \( \Phi_f(1) \) (eV) | \( \Phi_f(2) \) (eV) | \( |\Delta \Phi| \) (eV) |
|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| C IV  | C II  | 0.4286         | 0.0559         | 0.3744         | 0.1314         | 47.8           | 11.3           | 36.5           |
| C IV  | O I   | 0.0585         | 0.7698         | 0.0            | 1.0            | 47.8           | 0.0            | 47.8           |
| C IV  | Si III | 0.4729        | 0.0242         | 0.3744         | 0.1353         | 47.8           | 16.3           | 31.5           |
| C IV  | Si IV | 0.5867         | 0.0091         | 0.4933         | 0.0483         | 47.8           | 33.5           | 14.3           |
| C IV  | O VI  | 1.1667         | 0.0154         | 1.2778         | 0.0159         | 47.8           | 113.9          | 66.1           |
| C IV  | N V   | 0.3922         | 0.2244         | 0.4052         | 0.0224         | 47.8           | 77.5           | 29.7           |
| C IV  | Si III | 1.0292        | 0.0014         | 1.076          | 0.0009         | 11.3           | 16.3           | 5.0            |
| C IV  | Si IV | 0.8182         | 0.0172         | 0.8182         | 0.0172         | 11.3           | 33.5           | 22.2           |
| Si II | Si IV | 0.2821         | 0.4017         | 0.2821         | 0.4017         | 8.1            | 33.5           | 25.4           |
| Si III| Si IV | 0.8382         | 0.0057         | 0.8971         | 0.0034         | 16.3           | 33.5           | 17.2           |
| C II  | Si II | 1.1048         | 0.0041         | 1.1048         | 0.0041         | 11.3           | 8.1            | 3.2            |
| Si II | Si III| 0.8235         | 0.014          | 0.8235         | 0.0145         | 8.1            | 16.3           | 8.2            |
| C IV  | Si II | 0.2333         | 0.2427         | 0.1267         | 0.5993         | 47.8           | 8.1            | 39.7           |

Notes.  
* The Kendall tau correlation coefficient between the column densities of Ion 1 and Ion 2, assuming that lines flagged as saturated yield lower limits for their column densities.  
* Probability that a correlation does not exist between the column densities of Ion 1 and Ion 2, assuming that lines flagged as saturated yield lower limits for their column densities.  
* The Kendall tau correlation coefficient between the column densities of Ion 1 and Ion 2, assuming that lines flagged as saturated yield reliable column densities.  
* Probability that a correlation does not exist between the column densities of Ion 1 and Ion 2, assuming that lines flagged as saturated yield reliable column densities.  
* Energy to attain ionization state of Ion 1.  
* Energy to attain ionization state of Ion 2.

... evolution in \( \Omega_{\text{C IV}} \), but the uncertainties dwarf any differences between the observational constraints. However, Cen & Chisari (2011) predict a steady upward evolution in \( \Omega_{\text{C IV}} \). The behaviors of these predictions differ most significantly between \( z \sim 1.5 \) and \( z \sim 0.5 \), where the observational constraints are insufficient to favor one model over the other. Regardless, the predicted \( \Omega_{\text{C IV}} \) values at \( z \sim 0 \) are consistent with our reported measurement.

As an indicator of the physical conditions in the gas, the Doppler b value provides another observable in the absorption line data by way of Voigt profile fitting. As Cen & Chisari (2011) remark, directly comparing the b values from any simulation versus those measured in observed absorbers is complicated by differences in measurement procedures (we derive \( b(\text{C IV}) \) from Voigt profile fitting); the problem is exacerbated in systems with many components spread over a wide velocity range. However, our sample is primarily composed of systems with simple velocity structures (one or two components spread over \(<100 \text{ km s}^{-1}\)), so our data often provide reliable b-values that can be compared with simulation predictions. We compare our sample with the predicted \( b(\text{C IV}) \) distributions from Oppenheimer et al. (2012), who produced mock spectra for direct comparison with COS observations, in Figure 11. The distributions shown here from Oppenheimer et al. (2012) employ their preferred feedback prescription including and excluding a model for turbulence. While their turbulence model improves the reproduction of \( \text{O VI} \) absorber observations, the model overpredicts the line widths of observed \( \text{C IV} \) absorbers. Our data suggest that the media probed by \( \text{C IV} \) absorption at \( z \sim 0 \) may not be highly turbulent or that the turbulence model does not properly treat the media where \( \text{C IV} \) is observed. We return to the discussion of these predictions in Section 5.2.

Also shown in Figure 11 are the predicted \( b(\text{C IV}) \) distributions from Cen & Chisari (2011) for two column density bins: \( \log N(\text{C IV}) = 13-15 \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and \( \log N(\text{C IV}) = 14-15 \text{ cm}^{-2} \), ranges over which our survey is sensitive. Their data are not intended for direct comparison with observations, and we only present them here for discussion. They report an increasing mean \( b(\text{C IV}) \) with increasing \( N(\text{C IV}) \), and as seen in Figure 11, the \( b \)-value distribution for their log \( N(\text{C IV}) = 14-15 \text{ cm}^{-2} \) bin (cyan circles in Figure 11) shows a higher mean \( b(\text{C IV}) \) than that of the wider \( N(\text{C IV}) \) range (blue circles). Cen & Chisari (2011) physically explain this trend by lower column \( \text{C IV} \) absorbers residing in “quiescent” environments. If this interpretation is valid, the dearth of low-\( N(\text{C IV}) \), high-\( b(\text{C IV}) \) absorbers in our sample...
(see Section 6) may in fact be of physical origin rather than observational bias.

5. ION–ION CORRELATIONS

We now explore relationships between the various metal species accessed by the COS data in addition to C IV. While C IV provides the most distinctive absorption-line tracer of metals within the COS G130M/G160M bandpass at very low redshift ($z_{\text{abs}} < 0.1$), other metal-line transitions also fall within this bandpass and redshift range. As summarized in Table 1, we have identified and measured these additional lines occurring within our sample of C IV absorbers.

The additional metal-line species, Si ii, Si iii, C ii, etc., can probe gas that is less ionized than C IV (and possibly in different phases altogether), as the ionization potentials vary widely among them. For example, the ionization energy from Si ii to Si iii is 16.35 eV, but the energy required to ionize C iii to C IV is 47.9 eV (Kramida et al. 2014). Consequently, species such as Si ii and C IV are expected to exist in very different physical conditions, and one might intuitively anticipate that these ions would be located in entirely different locations with different kinematics (i.e., Si ii and C IV should exhibit different velocity centroids, line widths, etc.). Indeed, this is predicted by cosmological simulations, which indicate that high ionization stages originate in large, low-density halos surrounding galaxies while lower ionization species such as C ii, Si ii, and Mg ii are located in much smaller clumps embedded within the large hot halos (e.g., Shen et al. 2012; Ford et al. 2013).

However, QSO absorber observations do not entirely conform to this expectation. Many QSO absorbers show absorption lines of high-ionization species such as C IV, O vi, and Ne v that have velocity centroids and line widths that are remarkably similar to those of low-ionizations stages such as H i, C ii, Si ii, and Mg ii or intermediate ionization stages including C iii, O iii, or Si iii (Tripp et al. 2008, 2011; Meiring et al. 2013; Savage et al. 2014), even in multicomponent systems spread over large velocity ranges. Some absorbers are found to have O vi profiles that clearly differ in detail from the profiles of low ions (e.g., Werk et al. 2013), but even in these systems broad similarities in the shapes of the low-ion and high-ion profiles are evident. This kinematical alignment of low- and high-ionization species suggests that the high ions could be located in a configuration such as the surface of a cool (low-ionization) cloud instead of the large gaseous halo origin predicted by simulations.

As illustrated in Figure 12 and the Appendix, many of the blindly selected C IV absorbers in this paper also display clear velocity alignment of lines of lower ionization species and higher ionization C IV lines. To explore the relationship between C IV and lower ionization gas, we now consider the suite of additional metal lines covered in our blind survey. Also, if future observations of the same QSOs cover shorter wavelengths and access unsaturated higher Lyman series H i lines, the lower ionization species may be employed to study abundances in these absorbers.

5.1. Velocity Alignment

The spectral resolution of the G130M and G160M gratings coupled with Voigt profile fitting enable the velocity centroids of unsaturated individual lines to be localized within $<10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, although the COS wavelength calibration introduces additional uncertainties. Even where lines are blended, fitting the individual profiles can attempt to “debend” the constituents, albeit with increased uncertainty. All of the analyses in this section (this velocity comparison and the column density relationships to follow) utilize the individual components resulting from Voigt profile fitting. Thus, we begin by examining the velocity differences between fitted components of various species identified within the same system.

We examined the component structure of all species in each system and grouped together components of different species with the smallest velocity separation without yet imposing a maximum velocity offset. For example, in the absorber shown in Figure 12 (the $z_{\text{abs}} = 0.07174$ system in the spectrum of J1342-0053), we identified three components in the absorption profiles of Si ii, C ii, N ii, and Si iii, two components in the profiles of C IV and H i, and only one component in O i. For this absorber, we grouped together the Si ii, C ii, N ii, and Si iii components at $\delta v = 8, -10, 5, \text{ and } 0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, respectively. The C ii, C IV, H i, Si ii, N ii, O i, and Si iii components at $\delta v = -114, -114, -139, -123, -110, -110, \text{ and } -113 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, respectively, were placed in a second group. The C ii, N ii, Si ii, and Si iii components at $-39, -49, -43, \text{ and } -35 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, respectively, were placed in a third group. We proceeded in this manner until all apparently related lines in the 42 absorbers in our sample had been assigned to a group. We note that in certain instances, the data are inadequate for this exercise; for example, we see that the H i Ly$\alpha$ line in Figure 12 is strongly saturated, thus precluding reliable measurements of the quantities required for this analysis. The C IV component at $-17 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in Figure 12 illustrates another limitation of the COS data for this purpose: we can see from Figure 12 that the C IV absorption at $-17 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ has a clear correspondence with the lower ionization stages at similar velocities, but the group 1 and group 3 components appear to be blended in the C IV profile, and the C IV data are not good enough to enable a two-component decomposition of the feature at $-17 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The C IV components in this feature could be broader than the corresponding components of lower-ionization and/or more massive species, or noise in the C IV profile could have smeared together the components. With higher S/N and/or higher resolution data, it should be possible to better constrain the component structure of features such as the C IV component at $-17 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in Figure 12.

Figure 13 shows distributions of velocity offsets between C IV and a variety of ions as grouped by the above procedure; the species detected in our data cover a range of ionization stages, from C ii and O i (low ions) to Si iii and Si iv (intermediate ions) to O vi and N v (high ions). In some instances, Figure 13 confirms expected outcomes, i.e., species that should be aligned (e.g., C IV and Si iv) are aligned. However, Figure 13 also reveals some surprising alignments. For example, the only three detections of O i in our sample show close alignments with components of C IV, even though the ionization potentials greatly differ: $E(O i \rightarrow n) = 13.6 \text{ eV}$ and $E(C iii \rightarrow C iv) = 47.9 \text{ eV}$ (Kramida et al. 2014). Likewise, the majority of the C ii absorption lines are well aligned with the C IV lines. For C ii, we have a larger sample, and we find that the distribution of velocity differences is centered on $0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and 79% of the C ii lines are aligned with C IV to within $\pm 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Furthermore, our limited sample of O vi detections shows decent alignment with C IV components; in
contrast, Lehner et al. (2014) find that O\textsc{vi} and C\textsc{iv} components often show quite distinct kinematics from one another in their $z \sim 3$ sample of Lyman limit and damped Ly$\alpha$ systems. Larger low-redshift samples at higher resolution would enable a further investigation of possibly evolving kinematic relationships between these species over the age of the universe.

It is clear from Figure 13 that not all component pairs classified in the above manner are well aligned. However, it is important to recognize that the spectral resolution, line-spread function, and well-known wavelength calibration problems of COS (Wakker et al. 2015) limit our ability to accurately measure velocity centroids. Therefore, we impose the following requirement for individual component pairs in order to include them in our subsequent analyses of aligned absorption lines:

$$\delta_{v_{XY}} \leq \sqrt{\sigma_{v_X}^2 + \sigma_{v_Y}^2 + \sigma_{v_{COS}}^2},$$

(13)

where $\delta_{v_{XY}}$ is the velocity offset between components of species $X$ and $Y$, and $\sigma_{v_X}$ and $\sigma_{v_Y}$ are the uncertainties in the component velocity centroids of species $X$ and $Y$, respectively, from Voigt profile fitting. The final term, $\sigma_{v_{COS}}$, accounts for known (but poorly understood) errors in the COS wavelength solution that well exceed the 15 km s$^{-1}$ resolution of the instrument (Wakker et al. 2015). Efforts by several teams are underway to solve for corrections to these errors, but the effects appear to be highly wavelength dependent and nonlinear. We have adopted $\sigma_{v_{COS}} = 25$ km s$^{-1}$, commensurate with offsets we have measured in our spectra between multiple transitions of the same ion that should be perfectly aligned but are not (T. M. Tripp et al. 2015, in preparation).

5.2. Correlations of Column Densities between Ions

Using the components grouped in accord with Equation (13), we next examine the correlations in column density of one species with another. The aforementioned limitations in our H\textsc{i} measurements (due to strong saturation of the only available H\textsc{i} lines) inhibit our ability to analyze the absorbers with the usual photoionization or collisional ionization models, but we can nevertheless gain insights on the nature of these systems by comparing unsaturated species. For example, if two given species generally are located in the same (cospatial) gas phase, then one might expect their column densities to be strongly correlated if the various clouds have the same relative abundance patterns. Conversely, if two species have a physical relationship but are not necessarily cospatial (e.g., if the C\textsc{iv} arises in an interface layer on the surface of a low-ionization phase), then the column densities of those species might be poorly correlated despite being kinematically well aligned. We note that it has already been shown that the population of O\textsc{vi} absorbers that are well aligned with H\textsc{i} exhibit very weak correlation between N(O\textsc{vi}) and N(H\textsc{i}) (Tripp et al. 2008), and we might anticipate a similar situation with C\textsc{iv}.

Figures 14–16 show the column density measurements of individual species components associated in velocity as described above. The points marked by yellow squares indicate unsaturated detections of both species, and magenta squares indicate that one of the two species is saturated. Here, we have flagged a line as saturated if $\geq 10\%$ of the pixels in the line profile have flux values that are less than their noise values. Upper limits are indicated where one or both species was not detected at a coincident velocity to components identified in one or more other species. Certain points in the ion–ion plot reflect only upper limits for both species, and we have excluded these cases from the statistical analysis below.

To quantitatively assess whether the species shown in Figures 14–16 are correlated, Table 4 shows the ion–ion column density correlation statistics calculated using the Kendall Tau rank correlation method, which incorporates censored data (upper/lower limits) to calculate the probability of the null hypothesis that no correlation exists between the two variables (smaller values of $P_\tau$ in Table 2 correspond to greater confidence of rejecting the null hypothesis that the quantities are not correlated). We have imposed a criterion for saturation upon the column density measurements as described above. However, these column densities may be better constrained than merely assigning lower limits, as Voigt profile fitting (used to measure column densities) is able to measure mildly saturated lines with adequate accuracy. Therefore, we calculate the Kendall tau correlation statistics flagging the measurements of the “saturated” lines as both lower limits and detections, which are reflected in $P_\tau$ and $P_\tau$ (s.d.), respectively.

With the exception of cases in which our sample is very small (e.g., O\textsc{i}, N\textsc{v}), most of the Kendall-Tau tests in Table 4 suggest that the column densities of most of these species are correlated, albeit in some cases weakly. However, we find that the likelihood of a correlation is generally very strong (i.e., small $P_\tau$) between species with small ionization energy differences ($|\Delta E| < 20$ eV). The most notable exception occurs for the C\textsc{iv}–O\textsc{vi} pair, which shows a $\sim 98\%$ probability for the existence of a correlation even though these species have the greatest separation in ionization potential ($|\Delta E| = 66.1$ eV). This result should be interpreted cautiously as we only have a small sample of O\textsc{vi} lines, but this could occur if the C\textsc{iv} and O\textsc{vi} arise in a collisionally ionized hot phase. We note that our survey is C\textsc{iv} selected and therefore includes, by design, gaseous systems with ionization conditions conducive to maintaining sufficient quantities of C\textsc{iv} ions for detection. Given the small sample sizes of certain ion pairs, we caution against overinterpreting these correlation results; some peculiarities clearly arise in Table 4, such as the apparent correlations for C\textsc{ii}–Si\textsc{i} and C\textsc{ii}–Si\textsc{iv} but the lack thereof for Si\textsc{ii}–Si\textsc{iv}.

The decreasing likelihood of correlation with increasing differences in ionization potential may indicate that C\textsc{iv} has some type of physical relationship with lower ionization stages but nevertheless arises in a phase that is distinct from the low ions. This would help to explain the poor agreement between the observed C\textsc{iv} b-values and the predicted b-values from Oppenheimer et al. (2012) when turbulence is included (purple points in Figure 11); Oppenheimer et al. (2012) were motivated to add turbulence to their model based on the nonthermal broadening required by aligned O\textsc{vi} and H\textsc{i} absorbers under the assumption that the b-values of O\textsc{vi} and H\textsc{i} can be jointly used to solve for the temperature and nonthermal broadening. If the O\textsc{vi} and H\textsc{i} lines arise in physically distinct phases, then the nonthermal broadening found this way may not be valid. Indeed, the addition of this
“turbulence” is not supported by the measured $\text{C IV}$ $b$-values in our sample (see Figure 11). More sophisticated modeling of multiphase absorbers may be required for these CGM absorbers. It would also be helpful to expand the sample of $\text{C IV}$ absorbers to improve the statistical significance of these analyses.

6. $N(\text{C IV})$-$b$ RELATIONSHIP AND PHYSICAL ORIGIN OF $\text{C IV}$ ABSORBERS

The larger aim of our survey is to place the gas traced by $\text{C IV}$ absorption in context with the galaxies that may host the gas or have some past or present association. While we may, in general, expect the gas to arise from a variety of astrophysical configurations, we may inquire whether the absorption data themselves suggest that the gas arises under some characteristic set of physical conditions.

Heckman et al. (2002) found that $\text{O VI}$ absorbers observed in the Galactic disk, Galactic halo, Magellanic Clouds, and IGM all fall on a common $N(\text{O VI})$ versus $b$-value relation. They further interpreted this result to suggest that the gas is tracing radiatively cooling gas that is passing through the “warm-hot” regime at $T = 10^5$–$10^6$ K. Tripp et al. (2008) find that their large sample of $\text{O VI}$ absorbers does not follow the Heckman et al. (2002) relation as closely, but their subsample of “intervening” absorbers (those with a greater velocity separation from the QSO itself) does show a marginal correlation between $N(\text{O VI})$ and $b(\text{O VI})$.

We present the $N(\text{C IV})$-$b(\text{C IV})$ relationship for our absorber sample in Figure 17. To assess whether a correlation exists between the two variables, we employ a nonparametric Spearman rank-order correlation test. For the entire sample, we obtain a $p$-value for the null hypothesis (that no correlation exists) of 0.022, suggesting that a correlation exists at the 97.8% confidence level. However, the apparent envelope separating the region devoid of low-$N(\text{C IV})$, high-$b$ points in Figure 17 (the lower-right corner) is at least partly due to an observational bias. Very broad, low-column density absorbers produce shallow line profiles, which are difficult to detect above the noise. To account for this bias, we also consider only systems that have $\log N(\text{C IV}) > 13.5 \text{ cm}^{-2}$, approximately above which we measure the full range of $b$-values. A Spearman test for the correlation among these points yields a likelihood of 86.8%, i.e., when we account for our inability to detect $\text{C IV}$ absorbers with large $b$-values and low column densities, we find that there is no compelling evidence that $b(\text{C IV})$ and $N(\text{C IV})$ are correlated in our $\text{C IV}$ sample.

7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

From a blind survey utilizing HST/COS spectra of 89 QSOs, we have presented our sample of 42 $z < 0.16$ $\text{C IV}$ absorbers, their measurements, statistics, and correlations between the ions detected. These absorbers compose the parent sample for a larger program that aims to study the state of cosmic heavy-element enrichment in the most recent epoch (as in this paper) and characterize the CGM with unprecedented sensitivity to faint dwarf galaxies (Papers I, III, and subsequent works), the latter enabled by the low redshift regime of our sample.

We summarize our primary findings as follows.

1. At $z < 0.16$, we measure $\Omega_{\text{C IV}} = 10.0 \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$ for $\log N(\text{C IV}) > 13.0 \text{ cm}^{-2}$; therefore, the $\text{C IV}$ cosmic mass density has indeed increased over cosmic time, but marginally so since $z \sim 1.5$.

2. At $z \lesssim 0.16$, the frequency of $\text{C IV}$ absorbers per comoving path length $dN/dx = 7.5 \pm 1.1$, representing an increase relative to the value measured over the full $z < 1$ epoch (4.9; Cooksey et al. 2010).

3. Various cosmological hydrodynamic simulations that include galactic outflows qualitatively produce increased $dN/dz_c$, $dN/dx$, and $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ since $z \sim 4$, and their predictions are indeed consistent with our measurement of $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ at $z \sim 0$. However, discrepancies arise among the evolutionary tracks of these theoretical predictions, likely due to “sub-grid” physics prescriptions that handle processes such as feedback and star formation. Our results are consistent with $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ remaining relatively constant at $z = 0$ from $z = 1$.

4. Close alignments in velocity occur between species of varying ionization potential, but evidence for correlations between the column densities of several of these well-aligned ion pairs appears to weaken as the differences in their ionization potentials increase. This comparative analysis would benefit from larger samples of systems that show components of multiple ions; however, the close velocity alignments of certain species that show a decreased likelihood of a correlation suggest that many absorbers in our sample reside in multiphase material.

5. Some evidence exists for a correlation between $N(\text{C IV})$ and $b(\text{C IV})$ but the evidence is not strong over the entire sample when considering incompleteness to high-$b$, low-$N(\text{C IV})$ absorbers.
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APPENDIX

In Figure 18, we show plots of the spectra and Voigt profile fits of all species in our $\text{C IV}$ absorber sample. The individual components are color-coded, with the composite profile marked in dark red, and the error vector is plotted in light red. In cases where a line was deemed too saturated to yield reliable column density measurements from profile fitting, no fit is plotted. Components marked with magenta crosses denote interloping lines blended with components attributed to the species labeled in each panel. Certain spectral regions are affected by geocoronal emission and are marked with $\oplus$. 
Figure 18. Spectra and fitted Voigt profiles for our C IV absorber sample. For each system, at least one transition is shown for every ion detected, and each component is colored to match components of other species approximately aligned in velocity. Profiles of interloping lines from other systems that were included in a fit are marked with purple x’s, while the overall fit is shown in maroon. The error vector is plotted in light red.
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