Chemokine Pathways in Cutaneous Melanoma: Their Modulation by Cancer and Exploitation by the Clinician
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Simple Summary: Despite significant advances in immunotherapy seen in the last decade, melanoma accounts for 2500 deaths a year in the UK. There remains an unmet clinical need to improve melanoma treatment. Melanoma is known as the “archetypal immunogenic tumour”, with a dense immune infiltrate. Chemokines are chemoattractant cytokines, essential for the positioning of all immune cells. This review outlines how the interplay of chemokine networks can enable melanoma tumours to survive, grow, metastasise, and evade anticancer immune responses. By better understanding how melanomas can exploit chemokine pathways, new targets to therapy may be revealed.

Abstract: The incidence of cutaneous malignant melanoma is rising globally and is projected to continue to rise. Advances in immunotherapy over the last decade have demonstrated that manipulation of the immune cell compartment of tumours is a valuable weapon in the arsenal against cancer; however, limitations to treatment still exist. Cutaneous melanoma lesions feature a dense cell infiltrate, coordinated by chemokines, which control the positioning of all immune cells. Melanomas are able to use chemokine pathways to preferentially recruit cells, which aid their growth, survival, invasion and metastasis, and which enhance their ability to evade anticancer immune responses. Aside from this, chemokine signalling can directly influence angiogenesis, invasion, lymph node, and distal metastases, including epithelial to mesenchymal transition-like processes and transendothelial migration. Understanding the interplay of chemokines, cancer cells, and immune cells may uncover future avenues for melanoma therapy, namely: identifying biomarkers for patient stratification, augmenting the effect of current and emerging therapies, and designing specific treatments to target chemokine pathways, with the aim to reduce melanoma pathogenicity, metastatic potential, and enhance immune cell-mediated cancer killing. The chemokine network may provide selective and specific targets that, if included in current therapeutic regimens, harbour potential to improve outcomes for patients.

1. Introduction: Melanoma and Associated Chemokine Networks

Melanoma treatment has been revolutionised in the last decade, with the introduction of small molecule inhibitors, targeting the MAPK pathway, and immunotherapy, in the form of checkpoint inhibition. The success of checkpoint inhibition, whereby monoclonal antibodies targeting cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated
protein 4 (CTLA-4) and the programmed cell death-1 axis (PD-1/PD-L1), has demonstrated that the manipulation of the immune system can provide a valuable therapeutic avenue for treating immunogenic tumours such as melanoma [1,2]. Despite doubling the 5-year survival rate of advanced melanoma to ~50%, melanoma remains the most aggressive form of skin cancer: 2500 patients die from melanoma every year in the UK, with the incidence increasing, and projected to continue increasing, for the next 15 years [3].

Melanomas arise from melanocytes that have acquired multiple pathogenic mutations bestowing upon the tumour the ability to proliferate, grow, resist apoptosis, and invade local structures, as well as eventually metastasise [4]. Underpinning these hallmarks of cancer is the establishment of a permissive tumour microenvironment (TME), a site akin to aberrant chronic inflammation, with a dense infiltrate of immune cells. Chemokines control the migration and location of all immune cells [5]. Their function is not only necessary for the recruitment of immune cells in inflammation and anti-tumour immune surveillance but also essential for immune cell development and tissue homeostasis [5]. Different subsets of immune cells express distinct chemokine receptors, thus allowing them to respond to precise combinations of chemokines secreted in specific tissues in response to particular infectious, inflammatory, or injury signals [5]. These mechanisms of cell migration are essential to drive effective antitumour immunity. However, chemokine pathways can be co-opted by tumour cells to drive “protumour effects”, such as the recruitment of immune suppressor cells and remodelling of the TME, and the promotion of tumour growth and metastasis [6].

Currently, a significant proportion of patients with melanoma fail to respond to checkpoint inhibition; in addition, 50% of treated patients experience adverse effects [7]. In particular, toxicities associated with immune activation and autoimmunity require medical treatment [8], with some long-term side effects (principally endocrinopathies) likely to be underrepresented in clinical trials [9]. The chemokine network represents a promising pathway for therapeutic interventions tailored to both enhancing antitumour immunity by promoting the recruitment and infiltration of tumour tissue by effector cells and blocking protumour functions by restricting the recruitment of immunosuppressive cells. Understanding and eventually manipulating the key axes controlling these processes may be of significant therapeutic relevance, particularly in the treatment of the archetypal immunogenic tumour melanoma by enabling immune cell-targeted interventions to be refined, thus creating treatments that carry fewer adverse effects and greater clinical efficacy.

In this review, we discuss the current knowledge of how cutaneous melanoma can exploit chemokine axes, and we will explore the latest and potential future roles for chemokine networks in melanoma therapy.

2. Tumour Establishment and Recruitment of Innate Immune Cells Which Aid Melanoma Growth

The ability of melanomas to grow and locally invade is key to their pathogenicity, demonstrated by the current staging system taking into account tumour thickness and local invasion [10,11]. The establishment and growth of melanoma tumours rely on a permissive TME able to recruit immune cells that support melanoma growth through the factors they secrete. Many chemokines are involved in the process, as outlined in Table 1 and graphically in Figure 1. Most of our knowledge of chemokine networks in melanoma come from animal models, whereby chemokines are blocked or genetically knocked out, or from immunohistochemical analyses of patient tissue samples throughout disease development and treatment.
Figure 1. Chemokine networks in cutaneous melanoma. Immune cells are recruited via the networks outlined on the left of the figure. Chemokines enable an immunosuppressive microenvironment (TME) to be created via the preferential recruitment of immunosuppressive cells such as MDSCs, TAMs, and T-regs, which reduce the T-cell effector function and enhance the further recruitment and expansion of immunosuppressive cells. Cancer growth, invasion, and angiogenesis are promoted by the factors secreted by cells recruited to the TME via chemokines such as neutrophils and TAMs, as well as directly via CXCL8; lymphangiogenesis and lymph node metastasis are enabled through CXCL5/CCR1-2 signalling, and cancer cells are able to migrate to lymph nodes using the CCL21/CCR7 pathway. EMT-like processes are enabled through CCL5 and CXCL5 signalling through their respective receptors. Transendothelial migration is promoted via the CXCL9-10/CXCR3 pathways, and cancer cells are able to move to specific sites using chemokine networks, e.g., CCL25/CCR9 to the gut, CCL22/CCR4 to the brain, and CXCL12 CXCR4 to the liver and lungs.

2.1. CCR2 and Tumour Establishment

Chemokines demonstrate their importance in tumour growth from the very first steps of tumour initiation. Most melanomas are caused by UVB radiation inducing DNA damage and mutagenesis [4]; however, UVB is also able to activate melanocytes to upregulate their expression of ligands of chemokine receptor CCR2 [12]. In a study using neonatal mice exposed to UVB, Zaidi et al. [12] demonstrated that melanocytes exposed to UVB upregulated the expression of chemokines, including CCL2 and CCL7. This, in turn, recruited CCR2+ immune cells, most notably macrophages and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), which are able to support melanoma growth through multiple mechanisms.

Macrophages are a highly diverse and plastic class of tissue-resident phagocytes that are derived either during embryonic development from foetal liver or bone marrow precursors or following monocyte recruitment to adult tissues [13]. Monocyte-derived macrophages express multiple chemokine receptors, including CCR2, allowing their recruitment into the TME [14–18]. Tumour-associated macrophages (TAMs) can be polarised by the melanoma TME towards a subset that aids melanoma growth. TAMs secrete growth factors, such as macrophage inhibitory factor (MIF), which is able to retard cell cycle progression, as well as inhibit stress-induced apoptosis [19], and immunosuppressive cytokines, such as IL-10 [16]. TAMs can also contribute to feedback loops that further the recruitment of protumour innate cells: CCR6 is expressed by monocytes and dendritic cells (DCs) and is an important chemokine receptor mediating
their infiltration into inflamed tissues, where CCL20 is produced in response to inflammatory stimuli [5]. Melanoma cells, which upregulate CCR6, are able to establish a paracrine loop between themselves and CCL20-expressing TAMs, promoting tumour growth and survival, likely in part due to TAMs promoting protumour immune cell infiltration [20]. CCL20⁺ TAMs can also secrete tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNFa) and vascular endothelial growth factor-A (VEGF-A), contributing to tumour growth and angiogenesis. The presence of CCL20⁺ TAMs in melanoma tumours is associated with a poorer prognosis and reduced patient survival [21,22].

2.2. CXCL8 and Tumour Establishment

As well as the ligands of CCR2 being important in melanomagenesis, UV radiation has been shown to increase the secretion of CXCL8 (formerly known as IL-8), perhaps one of the most important chemokines for melanoma growth and survival [23]. CXCL8 is a ligand of the chemokine receptors CXCR1 and CXCR2. Immunohistochemical analyses of patient samples show an increased expression of CXCL8 and its receptors as melanomas transition from a radial (early stage) to vertical (later stage) growth phase [24], with CXCR1 upregulation being a key genetic difference between benign naevi and malignant melanoma [25]. CXCR1 and CXCR2-overexpressing tumours show an increased proliferation of melanoma cells and increased microvessel density, with evidence of reduced apoptosis in both cell lines in vitro and in vivo models [26–29]. One mechanism underlying this is the ability of CXCL8 to promote melanoma cell survival via activating the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling pathways, key signalling pathways involved in tumour cell survival and proliferation. This has been demonstrated using small molecule inhibitors of CXCR1/2, which are able to abrogate melanoma cell motility and to induce apoptosis by inhibiting the Akt pathway [30–32]. Aside from its direct effect on melanoma cells, CXCL8, along with other ligands of CXCR1 and CXCR2, namely CXCL1-3 and CXCL5-7, recruit innate cells, most notably neutrophils, which express the receptors CXCR1 and CXCR2 and are the “first responders” to tissue injury and damage [33]. Although there are few studies that have assessed the function of neutrophils within melanoma tumours, their presence is correlated with poorer prognosis and poor response to checkpoint inhibition [34,35]. They likely have a complex role in the TME, with both anti- and protumour functions. In other solid cancers, neutrophils support tumour growth by contributing to genetic instability by releasing reactive oxygen species (ROS) and secreting growth factors, such as epidermal growth factor (EGF), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) [36,37]. Tumour-associated neutrophils are also able to produce CXCL8, thus creating a feedback loop for further neutrophil recruitment. When this chemokine axis is inhibited in mouse models, melanoma infiltration by neutrophils is reduced, and reduced tumour growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis is seen, suggesting that neutrophils contribute to the protumour properties of CXCL8 and its receptors [26,27].

Table 1. Chemokines in melanoma: chemokines, their receptors, the cells on which they are expressed, and their pro- and antitumour functions in melanoma. Citations refer to preclinical studies that have determined the expression and role of chemokines and their receptors in a melanoma context.

| Chemokine | Receptor | Ligand Expressed by | Receptor Expressed by | Protumour Effects | Antitumour Effects | Refs |
|-----------|----------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----|
| CXCL1-3   | CXCR1    | Neutrophils         | Innate cell recruitment | Tumour growth and survival | TILs recruitment (if transduced) | [23,24,26,27,31,35,38–44] |
| CXCL5-7   | CXCR2    | Melanoma Transduced | Innate cell recruitment | EMT | Lymphangiogenesis | Lymph node metastasis |


| Chemokine (CXCL) | Chemokine Receptor (CCXCR) | Cell Type(s) | Function(s) | Tissue(s) | References |
|------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| CXCL8 (IL-8)     | CXCL9-11/CXCL12/CXCL17    | DCs/Melanoma | Tumour initiation, Tumour growth and survival, Innate cell recruitment, Angiogenesis, Invasiveness | Tumour growth, Metastasis—lung and liver | [5,45–48], [49–56] |
|                  | CXCR3/CXCR4              | NK cells/Melanoma | Transendothelial metastasis | [12,16,18] |
|                  | CaR2                    | Monocytes/Melanoma | Tumour initiation, Tumour growth and survival, Recruitment of innate cells | [57–62] |
|                  | CaR1/CaR3/CaR5          | MDSCs/Melanoma | Immune evasion, EMT | [63–68] |
|                  | CaR4                    | Melanoma | Immune evasion, Metastasis—brain | [63–68] |
|                  | CaR6                    | TAMs/Melanoma | Innate cell recruitment | [20–22] |
|                  | CaR7                    | HEVs/LECs/Melanoma | Lymph node metastasis, Antigen presentation | [69–77] |
|                  | CaR8                    | Melanoma | Immune evasion | [63–67] |
|                  | CaR9                    | Small bowel/Melanoma | Metastasis—bowel | [78] |
|                  | CaR10                   | Melanoma | Tumour cell survival, Immune evasion | [79,80] |
|                  | CaXCR1                  | Melanoma | Angiogenesis | [81] |

### 3. Chemokine Signals Enabling Immune Evasion

Once a melanoma tumour is established, its survival is dependent on its evasion of the antitumour immune response by recruiting melanoma-promoting, immunosuppressive immune cells and reducing the infiltration, activation, and proliferation of proinflammatory antitumour immune cells. The prognostic value placed not just on the degree of immune infiltration but the immune cell profile present in the TME reflects the importance of this selective recruitment. For example, a large scale study by Weiss et al. [82] demonstrated tumours with a “brisk” lymphocyte response where tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) were present throughout the vertical growth phase, predicts better patient outcomes than “non-brisk” tumours, where TILs were present in fewer loci or “absent”. This classification has now been incorporated into the melanoma-reporting dataset. On the other hand, the presence of innate cells such as TAMs and neutrophils can predict poorer outcomes, as already mentioned, and indeed, the ratio of lymphocytes to innate cells is being explored as a marker of disease progression [83–85].

The main function of chemokine axes is to induce the recruitment and retention of immune cells within tissues, and melanoma cells are able to secrete selective chemokines...
that target immunosuppressive immune cells, including tumour-associated neutrophils, MDSCs, and regulatory T cells (T-regs), to preferentially recruit such cells over proinflammatory effector cells such as cytotoxic T-lymphocytes (CTLs).

3.1. Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells

CCR5 is a well-documented marker for Th1-type CD4+ T cells [86]. However, recent studies have also emphasised its role as a marker for immunosuppressive cells, including MDSCs, which produce inhibitory molecules, such as adenosine, nitric oxide, ROS, IL-10, transforming growth factor-beta (TGFβ), and PD-L1 [57]. In a series of studies in both mouse models and patients, Blattner et al. demonstrated the importance of CCR5 as a negative predictor of survival for melanoma [58]. CCR5+ MDSCs are found at high frequency in the melanoma TME, where the CCR5 ligands, CCL3-5, are also expressed. CCR5+ MDSCs are strong inhibitors of CD8+ CTLs [59], and their presence is associated with melanoma progression: patients with melanoma have a significantly increased frequency of circulating CCR5+ MDSCs compared with healthy volunteers [60]. Alongside, MDSCs and CCR5 ligands are expressed at higher frequencies in the TME when compared to the serum of the same patients [58]. Neutralising CCR5 in mouse models of melanoma leads to an increased survival time, reduced total numbers of MDSCs, and reduced immunosuppression by MDSCs, without diminishing the number of effector T cells infiltrating the tumour. A tumour-promoting function of CCR5 has also been established in preclinical models: CCR5 knockout mice have significantly smaller tumours than CCR5+/- mice when inoculated with B16 melanoma cells, with an increased infiltration of CTLs and natural killer (NK) cells in tumours [61].

3.2. Regulatory T Cells

The recruitment and expansion of T-reg populations within the TME of melanoma is associated with a poor prognosis [63]. T-regs are able to contribute to the immunosuppressive environment by secreting cytokines, such as TGF-β, IL-10, and IL-35, and by consuming IL-2 and expressing immune checkpoint inhibitors, thereby decreasing effector T-cell functions [66]. Their expansion can be promoted by factors secreted by immune cells within the TME, such as IL-10 secreted by MDSCs and TAMs [13,60], or they can be recruited via chemokine pathways.

Both CCR4 and CCR8 are prominent chemokine receptors expressed by T-regs; CCR4 is broadly expressed on T-regs in healthy tissues throughout the body, whereas CCR8 is more selectively expressed by cutaneous T-regs and those present in the TME [64,87]. CCL1 and CCL22, the main ligands for CCR8 and CCR4, respectively, have been shown to be upregulated both at the gene and protein levels in the TME of melanoma, suggesting that melanoma cells are able to actively recruit T-regs [66,67]. The anti-CCR4 monoclonal antibody mogamulizumab can reduce the number of circulating T-regs in patients with solid tumours [88], although, due to its broad expression in T-regs and conventional T cells, treatment is associated with autoimmune toxicity [89]. A large study by Plitas et al. [64] demonstrated that CCR8 is upregulated on highly suppressive T-regs in the TME of breast cancer and melanoma and suggest that CCR8 could prove to be a useful target. Interestingly, CCR8 appears to be expressed on T-regs after TCR-mediated activation [90], and yet, it does not appear to be required for recruitment into melanoma tissues. Indeed, systemic CCR8 ablation had no effect on the infiltration and immunosuppressive function of T-regs in murine melanoma, contrary to previously held assumptions [91]. For more details, please consult the article written by B Moser for this Special Issue.

3.3. Creation of an Immunosuppressive TME

The manipulation of chemokine axes in melanoma can also be used to create a more immunoregulatory environment independent of immune cell recruitment. CCR10 has been implicated in directly providing melanoma with resistance to cytotoxic immune
cells. This is in sharp contrast to its usual role as an important receptor for T-cell homing to cutaneous tissue, with its ligand, CCL27, produced by keratinocytes in the skin but also expressed by melanoma cells [79]. Simonetti et al. [80] observed that the expression of CCR10 and its ligand CCL27 are associated with thicker tumours and a reduced density of infiltrating lymphocytes, with CCR10 overexpression enhancing tumours’ potential to grow and evade host immune responses. When CCL27 was added, signalling through CCR10 led to activation of the Akt and PI3K pathways, enabling melanoma cells to resist Fas-mediated apoptosis, a key mechanism in tumour cell clearance. This mechanism may also lead to the internalisation of CCL27, perhaps thereby reducing the chemoattraction of effector T cells.

4. Angiogenesis

The oxygen and nutrients essential for tumour growth are only able to penetrate tissues about 100–200 μm deep from existing capillaries; therefore, a rich vascular network is essential for continued local tumour growth, especially in the vertical growth phase [92]. The most significant chemokine in angiogenesis in melanoma is CXCL8, which can have paracrine and autocrine effects when it binds to CXCR1 and CXCR2, expressed on melanoma cells and endothelial cells within the TME [24,31]. CXCL8 can promote the proliferation of endothelial cells, their ability to form capillary tube-like structures, and their migration. By signalling through CXCR1 and CXCR2 expressed on endothelial cells, CXCL8 is also able to upregulate VEGF expression, as well as upregulate the expression of metalloproteinases (MMPs). MMPs are able to degrade the extracellular matrix (ECM), which not only contributes to tissue remodelling and growth but leads to the release of ECM-bound growth factors, aiding further growth and angiogenesis [92]. Several studies have demonstrated that, in addition to CXCL8, the ligands CXCL1-3 can induce angiogenesis by involving the thrombin pathways [39,40]. When the CXCR1/CXCR2 signalling pathway is blocked, new vessel formation is greatly reduced, and melanoma growth is inhibited [26,28,40].

Angiogenesis can also be promoted by innate cells following their recruitment into the TME. Both TAMs and neutrophils can contribute to angiogenesis directly via the secretion of VEGF, the most potent angiogenic factor, and MIF [19,37,93]. TGFβ, also secreted by TAMs and abundant within the TME, can promote the upregulation of VEGF and the expression of CXCL8 [94], as can macrophage-derived TNFα and IL-1, further contributing to angiogenesis [95].

5. Invasion and Metastasis

Metastasis is the main cause of death from human cancer [96,97]. Metastasis is a process that requires several steps: the invasion of local adjacent tissue by tumour cells, migration into the lymphatic system, intravasation of tumour cells into blood vessels, survival and circulation through the blood, extravasation at distant sites, and finally, the initiation of secondary tumours in distant organs, which requires appropriate conditions for proliferation and neo-vascularisation, the so-called establishment of a metastatic niche [96].

5.1. Invasion

CXCL8 plays a key role in promoting melanoma motility [23], and when CXCL8 is blocked, melanoma invasiveness is greatly reduced [41]. CXCL8 signalling through CXCR1 stimulates phospholipase C signalling, which, in turn, leads to regulation of the actin cytoskeleton through the phosphorylation of protein kinase C; aside from this, CXCR1 signalling causes Rho-GTPase-induced polymerisation of actin cytoskeletons [31], both of which promote cell motility. Immune cells recruited to the TME via chemokine pathways can also promote invasion through the factors they secrete. For example, TAMs upregulate urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPAR) and secrete MMPs, such as
MMP-9, which enable the remodelling of the ECM [98] and increase the invasiveness of melanoma, or they upregulate such factors in melanoma cells through the secretion of TNFα and IL-1α [98].

5.2. Lymphangiogenesis and Lymphatic Metastasis

Lymph node metastasis represents one of the first key steps in the dissemination of melanoma to distant sites. Lymphangiogenesis, as measured by lymphatic vessel density (LVD), is associated with increased lymph node metastasis, with several studies supporting the hypothesis that new lymph vessel formation can be actively induced in melanoma and that this promotes metastasis to lymph nodes [99].

The mechanisms behind lymphangiogenesis in melanoma are complex and still to be precisely defined. However, it is clear that the excretion of VEGF isotypes VEGF-C and VEGF-D, and their binding to VEGFR-3 on lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs), is essential for the formation of new lymphatic vessels. Within the TME of melanoma, sources of VEGF include TAMs and neutrophils, recruited via the chemokine pathways outlined above [16,37].

Chemokines may also play a more direct role in the development of new lymph vessels: in immortalised human lymphatic endothelial cells, CXCL5 signalling through CXCR2 was able to induce lymphatic sprouts to a similar extent as VEGF-C [42]. When studying this effect further, Soler Cardona et al. [42] noted that CXCR2 is expressed by human lymphatic endothelial cells, and the expression of CXCL5 correlates with increased neutrophil density and an increased risk of locoregional metastasis. Neutrophils appear to aid tumour intravasation into lymphatic vessels, with a 3.5-fold increase in tumour cell migration when neutrophils were present in an in vitro model [42]. Although the exact mechanisms are yet to be elucidated, the presence of neutrophils in predicting the likelihood of locoregional metastases is being widely studied [84].

The CCR7/CCL21 pathway coordinates the migration of melanoma cells towards and into lymphatic vessels. It is well-established that immune cells use CCR7 to migrate into lymph nodes [100]. This mechanism applies to both circulating naïve and central memory T cells entering lymph nodes via high endothelial venules (HEVs), as well as to tissue-derived memory T cells and DCs via the draining lymphatic system [5]. CCL19 and CCL21, the ligands for CCR7, are strategically expressed by LECs, HEVs, and stromal cells within lymph nodes [69,70]. The CCR7 axis enables the co-localisation of mature, antigen-presenting DCs, and T cells bearing cognate T-cell antigen receptors and, thus, provides a core mechanism for the initiation of cellular and humoral immunity in response to specific antigenic challenges [5,69]. Melanoma cells exploit this same chemokine axis by upregulating CCR7 expression, enabling migration towards CCL21-producing LECs [71]. The overexpression of CCR7 on melanoma cells caused a greater migration of these cells towards LECs, and the use of a CCL21-neutralising antibody stopped their migration in vitro. In vivo models have replicated these findings with CCR7-transduced B16 melanoma cells demonstrating greater metastasis to lymph nodes [72,73].

5.3. Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition

Epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a process by which tumour cells lose their tissue residency, cell polarity, and cell–cell junctions and upregulate mesenchymal markers, gaining a mesenchymal-like phenotype that enhances migration, invasiveness, and resistance to apoptosis. EMT leads to the disruption of the integrity of the basement membrane and the ability to tumour cells to migrate to other tissues [101]. Several transcription factors and signalling pathways have been implicated in controlling EMT, and chemokines have been demonstrated to influence these signalling pathways in a variety of solid tumours.

In melanoma, although it is not an epithelial tumour, an EMT-like process may still occur, referred to as “phenotype switching”. The signalling of CCL5 through CCR5 has been shown to positively regulate TGFβ, which, in turn, induces EMT through
PI3k/AKT/GSK3β signalling [62], maintaining a mesenchymal phenotype and the metastatic properties of melanoma cells. CXCL5 has been shown to contribute to EMT in several solid tumours, including gastric, colorectal, and hepatocellular, by enhancing the signalling pathways required by EMT [102,103] but, also, by recruiting and activating neutrophils, which are then able to enhance the invasive properties of cancer cells via the factors they secrete [104]. Similarly, in melanoma, MDSCs recruited via CXCL5 have been shown to induce EMT by producing factors such as TGF-β, EGF, and HGF, and when depleted, the rate of metastasis in animal models is greatly reduced [43].

5.4. Transendothelial Metastasis

Chemokine signalling is also involved in intravasation, a process whereby mobilised tumour cells directly access blood via reverse transendothelial migration [105]. The work by Amatschek et al. [47] on excised melanoma metastases demonstrated that tumour microvessel endothelial cells express high levels of CXCL9 and CXCL10 and confirmed that melanoma cells express CXCR3. CXCR3 is important for the migration of NK cells and has an important role in CD4+ Th1 cell priming and the recruitment of CD4+ and CD8+ effector T cells [5]. Using a series of in vitro migration assays, Amatschek et al. showed that melanoma cells can migrate towards the CXCL9 gradients created by tumour endothelial cells and through endothelial monolayers, a process accelerated by additional soluble CXCL9. Upon stimulation with CXCL9, melanoma transmigration led to the formation of “holes” in the endothelium, caused by the disruption of cell–cell contact. This process was blunted by anti-CXCL9 and anti-CXCR3 antibodies, suggesting that the CXCR3-CXCL9/10 axis plays a crucial role in this step of cancer dissemination, with further studies demonstrating that CXCL10/CXCR3 co-expression is associated with early metastatic disease progression and poor overall survival [45,48].

Once in the blood, melanoma cells again depend on chemokines for their recruitment to specific organs. The location of secondary tumour growth depends upon the chemokine receptors expressed on tumour cells and the chemokine expression that is specific to certain tissue sites. For example, the CXCR4–CXCL12 axis has been associated with pulmonary, as well as liver and bone marrow, metastases [49,55]. The expression of CXCR4 by melanoma cells in patient samples has been correlated with the likelihood of pulmonary metastasis [50,51] and increased pulmonary metastasis has been seen in mice inoculated with melanoma cells overexpressing CXCR4 [52–54], with CXCR4 inhibition reversing this effect [56]. The binding of chemokines to their receptors induces inside-out signalling, leading to affinity changes in integrins, which is a prerequisite for cell attachment and subsequent transendothelial migration [106]. This mechanism may explain the recruitment of CXCR4-expressing tumour cells in response to CXCL12 displayed on the inner walls of the microvessels via the engagement of β-integrins with VCAM-1 (vascular cell adhesion molecule 1) expressed on endothelial cells [107]. In mouse models, the blockade of CXCR4 or CXCL12 in the early course of metastasis reduced the number of pulmonary metastases; however, if the blockade happened later, the sizes of the metastases were reduced. These findings suggest that CXCR4 may also play a role in the early stages of the establishment and growth of metastases.

As CXCR4 is thought to promote migration to the lungs, liver, and bone marrow, other chemokines are associated with metastasis to specific organs: functionally active CCR9 facilitates metastasis of mouse tumour cells to the small bowel [78], and CCR4 appears to play an important role in metastasis to the brain [68], the site at which CCL22, one of its ligands, is expressed.

6. How Can Chemokines Be Exploited Therapeutically?

Chemokines could prove to be useful tools in the therapeutic arsenal against melanoma. Specifically, by: (1) aiding in the stratification of treatment and “personalised medicine”, (2) improving the efficacy of current cellular therapeutics, and (3) directly targeting specific subsets of cells or tumour-promoting migratory pathways. Monoclonal
antibodies and small molecule inhibitors have been developed to block the interactions between chemokines and their receptors. For example, the anti-CCR4 monoclonal antibody mogamulizumab is already clinically approved to treat haematological malignancies [88] and may also be applied to the treatment of melanoma. On the other hand, where appropriate, an increased chemokine expression may help achieve antitumour immune activation by local administration, for example, as an adjuvant to DNA vaccines [75], and where chemokine receptor expression is desirable, chemokine receptors can be transduced in cells used for autologous transfer [44].

6.1. Diagnosis, Disease Stratification, and Monitoring

As this review clearly outlines, melanoma cells differ from healthy tissue in the chemokines they express. Immunohistochemistry and genetic studies, as well as analyses of patient serum, have highlighted these differences and could be incorporated to aid diagnosis. For example, malignant melanomas express higher levels of CXCL1, CXCL2, and CXCL8 and receptors CXCR1, CXCR4, CCR10, and CCR7 compared with benign naevi [108–111]. The expression of chemokines such as CXCL8 and its receptor CXCR2 increase as the tumour transitions from the radial to the vertical growth phase [24]. Chemokine expression also correlates with prognosis; the expression of CXCR3 by melanoma cells is associated with a poorer prognosis and increased chance of metastasis [48], whereas CCL27 expression in the supratumoral dermis is associated with longer progression-free survival, perhaps due to the recruitment of CCR10+ lymphocytes [112]. The contradictory results to Simonetti et al.’s work demonstrate the complex nature of chemokine pathways; CCR10 expression by melanoma cells appears to be associated with a poorer prognosis due to signalling through CCR10 enabling the evasion of apoptosis [113], whereas the expression of its ligand CCL27 likely promotes the recruitment of CCR10+ effector T cells [112], underscoring the context dependence of chemokine functions.

As well as this, chemokine expression can be used to monitor responses to treatment. Increasingly high dimensional techniques, such as CyTOF and single-cell RNA-Seq, allow multiple chemokines to be analysed in a large number of cells from individual patients. Some groups have already conducted such studies using publicly available datasets of the transcriptomes of skin cancers [114,115], and chemokine profiles are being included as secondary outcomes in clinical trials to identify biomarkers of responses to treatment (see Table 2). Evidence is emerging for a role for chemokines as prognostic and predictive biomarkers of therapy responses; CXCL5 has been postulated as a biomarker for the response to anti-PD-1 therapy, with high baseline levels associated with the response to treatment [116], and serum CXCL8 has been shown to decrease in patients who develop good responses to immunotherapy [117]. CXCL8 has been shown in many studies to correlate with tumour burden; the levels of CXCL8 in the serum of tumour-bearing mice and humans fall following surgical excision [118], patients with brain metastasis express high levels of CXCL8 in cerebrospinal fluid [119,120], and CXCL8 has been shown to be higher in models of BRAF inhibitor (BRAFi)-resistant melanoma [121].

Table 2. Current clinical trials targeting and monitoring chemokines in melanoma.

| Target Chemokines | Target | Agent name | Type of agent | Melanoma Type | Phase | Aims/Outcomes | Trial Number |
|-------------------|--------|------------|---------------|---------------|-------|---------------|--------------|
| CXCR1/CXCR2 | SX-682 | Small molecule inhibitor | Stages 3 or 4 | 1 | Blocking recruitment of MDSCs | NCT03161431 |
| CXCR2 | Autologous TILs | CXCR2-transduced autologous TILs | Stages 3 or 4 | Pilot | Increased recruitment of TILs | NCT01740557 |
### Monitoring chemokines/chemokines as biomarkers

| Chemokine modulation | NCT04093323 | NCT02680184 | NCT01972347 | NCT04576429 | NCT03385486 | NCT04928365 |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| DCs, celecoxib, IFNa2b, rintatolimod | Increased immune response | Activation of DCs to augment immunotherapy | Measuring CXCL10 as biomarker for response to treatment | Measuring chemokines and immune profile in tumour and peripheral blood to identify biomarkers for response to treatment | Measuring chemokine profiles to identify biomarkers for response to treatment | Measuring chemokines and immune profile in tumour and peripheral blood to identify biomarkers for drug activity |
| Autologous DCs + chemokine modulation | Recent or current PD1/PD-L1 therapy | Stage 3 BRAF V600 mutant | Stages 3 or 4 Current immune therapy | Stages 3 or 4 Immune therapy-resistant | All stages Treatment naive | Stages 3 or 4 Resectable BRAF V600 mutant |
| PD1/PD-L1-resistant | 1b | 2 | N/A | 1 | 2 | 2 |

Changes in chemokine expression have been studied in mouse models of BRAFi-treated melanoma. When melanomas are sensitive to BRAFi treatment, CCL2 expression and production is reduced, which correlates with a reduction in MDSC infiltration [122]. Similar studies have shown that increases in CXCL9 and CXCL10 correlate with an enhanced infiltration of T cells, which decreases as tumours become resistant to BRAFi treatment [123].

### 6.2. Improving the Efficacy of Current Treatments of Melanoma

Chemokines could be used to improve current therapies for melanoma, and, as mentioned, there remains a need for alternatives or adjuvants to current treatments. Adoptive cell therapy, (ACT), and, specifically, chimeric antigen receptor (CAR-) T-cell
therapy, whereby autologous T cells are genetically transduced with a tumour-specific CAR, expanded and infused in the patient, has shown great success in haematological malignancies but is still being developed in solid tumours such as melanoma [124]. The transduction of chemokine receptors may overcome the current issues of ACT, namely by increasing the T-cell infiltration of tumours whilst maintaining antigen selectivity, thus reducing the burden of autoimmune side effects. CXCR1 and CXCR2 have been shown to improve the infiltration of CTLs [44,125], and CXCR2-transduced T cells are being trialled in a clinical pilot study (NCT01740557). CXCR3 is not only essential for T-cell trafficking to melanoma but can improve NK-cell infiltration of CXCL10-producing tumours [126]. Additionally, the ex vivo expansion of NK cells can itself increase NK expression of CXCR3, which is further enhanced by exogenous IFN-α [127]; thus, the process of generating NK cells for ACT increases their ability to infiltrate tumours. Chemokine modulation may also improve DC-based ACT and is currently being explored in a phase 2 clinical trial (NCT04093323) (see Table 2).

Further to this, direct chemokine administration could also be a useful adjunct to current therapies. CXCL10 secreted by DCs can recruit effector T cells into the TME [46]. CXCR10 is also secreted at higher levels by PBMCs in patients in remission [128]. When CXCL10 is administered via an adenovirus vector in mouse models of melanoma, reduced tumour growth is seen [128]. These data raise the possibility that CXCL10 could increase the frequency of CXCR3+ TILs and could act as an adjunct to current treatments, including ACT and checkpoint inhibition.

We have already discussed how melanoma is able to exploit the CCR7/CCL21 axis, but efforts have also been made to use this axis for therapeutic benefit. CCR7 is used by immune cells to enter lymph nodes, the site of antigen presentation and initiation of T-cell responses [70]. An enhanced cytotoxic activity of adoptively transferred T cells is seen when T cells are expanded ex vivo in the presence of CCL21. This has been shown to result in improved infiltration of these T cells into tumours and in increased tumour suppression in in vivo models [77]. In addition to this work, several groups have demonstrated that CCL21 administration increases the frequency of TILs: Yamano et al. [75] used CCL21 as an adjuvant to promote the effect of a melanoma DNA vaccine, and Chen et al. [76] used an adenovirus vector expressing CCL21 in combination with paclitaxel and demonstrated that the combination was better than either treatment alone. Using CCL21 as an adjunct was associated with increased tumour infiltration by immune cells, improved tumour cell apoptosis, and reduced blood vessel formation in the tumour tissue, but this is yet to be repeated in combination with more recent treatments, such as checkpoint inhibition or MAPK inhibitors.

Recruiting cytotoxic immune cells is one strategy to improve current therapies; however, preventing the recruitment of immunosuppressive cells could be another. A CXCR1/CXCR2 small molecule inhibitor is currently in a phase 1 clinical trial in combination with checkpoint inhibition (NCT03161431) to reduce innate immune cell recruitment. In preclinical trials, anti-CCL2 in combination with BRAF-targeted therapy reduced tumour sizes [122], as did anti-CXCR4 peptides when used alongside PD-1 [129], and both demonstrated a reduction in MDSCs and T-regs. Furthermore, based on the key roles of CCR5 on both melanoma cells and MDSCs, blocking CCR5 may help to: (a) limit the metastatic potential of melanoma cells, (b) reduce the number of immunosuppressive cells within the TME, and (c) enhance the infiltration of CTLs into tumours. Although these pathways have not yet been successfully targeted in clinical trials in patients with cancer, and there is a paucity of clinical trials targeting chemokine pathways in patients with melanoma (see Table 2), there are clinical trials targeting chemokines for many other cancer types in cancers where checkpoint inhibition alone has failed to achieve the revolution seen in melanoma therapy. The blockade of CCR5 is being extensively explored in colorectal cancer (NCT04721301) and triple-negative breast cancer (NCT03838367), CCR2 blockade is being explored in pancreatic (NCT03767582) and lung cancer (NCT04123379), and CXCR4 antagonists in haematological malignancies (NCT01740557).
6.3. Direct Targeting of Specific Migratory Pathways

Targeting chemokines could be a therapeutic approach in its own right. As mentioned above, several treatment approaches, including small molecules, peptides, or antibodies against CXCR4, have shown great promise at reducing the burden of metastasis in preclinical studies [129]. In a similar vein, Emmett et al. showed that blocking CCR7 can reduce melanoma migration towards and infiltration of lymph nodes [73]. This appears to be in contrast with the above idea of using CCL21 as an adjunct to promote the recruitment of CTLs, which also express CCR7. Indeed, in a mouse model of melanoma, Wetzel et al. [130] delivered CCR7 via a parovirus, which led to the increased activation of T cells and NK cells and tumour shrinkage. This is a clear example of how melanomas can be targeted therapeutically through the same chemokine pathways that are known to increase melanoma pathogenicity and cancer metastasis. In a therapeutic setting, manipulating these chemokine networks can be finetuned to instead activate immune responses and enhance cancer killing. For instance, when melanoma cells express the receptor CCR7, they are able to migrate along the same ligand gradients as circulating immune cells to enter lymph nodes; however, when CCL21 is expressed by melanoma, immune cell recruitment to the TME via CCL21 gradients is magnified. The manipulation of such axes therefore requires great care in order to prevent the disruption of tissue homeostasis and preserve the important functions of such networks.

CCR4 is a second target with potential dual effects; CCR4 contributes to melanoma metastasis to the brain [68], and it is also expressed on the most suppressive tumour-infiltrating T-regs [66,131]. As already mentioned, the anti-CCR4 antibody mogamulizumab has been shown to deplete these highly suppressive T-regs and is used to target malignant T cells in adult T-cell lymphoma. CCR4, however, is not selective for T-regs and is also expressed by Th17 and Th2 cells, thought to be important in enhancing the antitumour CTL response [132]. Although anti-CCR4 treatment has the potential to reduce T-regs and their immunosuppressive effects, CCR4 blockade also has the potential to impair effector T-cell responses by reducing the Th17 induction of CTLs. The lack of specificity and redundancy in the chemokine network is highlighted by this potential target and reiterates the importance of striving to gain a thorough understanding of the distinct cellular responses controlled by chemokines.

As mentioned, CCR8 is thought to be a more selective marker for tumour-infiltrating T-regs, but the blockade of CCR8 does not appear to impact the number of T-regs infiltrating melanoma. Despite this, there is currently an anti-CCR8 monoclonal antibody in phase 1 clinical trials in solid tumours refractory to other treatments (NCT05007782).

7. Discussion: Challenges in Studying of Chemokine Networks and Implications for Clinical Translation

Although this review covers a significant body of work and some excellently crafted studies, it is important to iterate that most of these studies have been conducted in mouse models, some using mouse melanoma cell lines and others using human melanoma cell line xenografts in rodent models. It is well-established that murine and human chemokine networks differ and that murine and human chemokines do not always interact with each other [133]. This is particularly important in experiments where the interaction between a murine chemokine and human receptor or vice versa is being explored. Added to this, the anatomical structures of human and mouse skins differ, and indeed, laboratory mice in clean conditions have different resident immune cells in the skin when compared to humans [65,122]. How these cells contribute to the reaction towards a skin malignancy may not be fully replicated by solely interrogating such animal models.

As discussed above, it is important to recognise that a multitude of cells with different functions can express the same chemokines or receptors. Therefore, blocking a receptor may have unforeseen consequences. In many studies where the blockade of a chemokine axis has demonstrated an effect, transfected cells that overexpress the
chemokine in question have been used. Although this technique is useful to help better explore the functions of certain chemokines, these experiments do not adequately recapitulate the patient setting, and the effect of the blockade can often be exaggerated. As we have discussed, the chemokine network is highly complex, and even if the blockade of overexpressed chemokines has an effect, this may not fully translate to the clinical setting.

Another challenge comes from chemokines not being selective for tumour-associated receptors. For example, targeting chemokines contributing to tumour-associated angiogenesis could also have an impact on normal vasculature and the blood vessel formation seen in wound healing [40,134,135], potentially causing toxicities. Using chemokines to block the recruitment of cells such as neutrophils and monocytes, which contribute to tumour growth, could also have an impact on the recruitment of such cells in inflammation or affect immune surveillance, and enhancing antitumour effector T-cell functions or blocking immunosuppressive T-reg functions could have unwanted autoimmune consequences, as seen with the adverse side effects of mogamulizumab treatment; for a more detailed discussion of CCR4-targeted treatments, please consult the article by O. Yachie in this Special Issue. Autoimmunity is already an issue in immunotherapy, and although one of the ideas we have touched on is how targeting chemokines may make immunotherapy more selective, care is needed when manipulating such important signalling networks. Understanding the functions of chemokines in tissue homeostasis will not only help prevent adverse effects of chemokine manipulation but may also shed light on new ways in which the normal function of chemokines could be used for therapeutic gain.

All of these factors have most likely contributed to the lack of clinical translation of chemokines and their receptors as targets for cancer therapy. However, there are other issues that may be more specific to melanoma. For example, an area that is underexplored is how the chemokine profile of melanoma changes temporally as the disease progresses. Melanoma metastases preferentially target the same sites: brain, liver, and skin. The role of chemokines in metastasis is undeniable, but little is known about how the expression of chemokines and their receptors are regulated as melanomas gain metastatic potential. Secondly, cancer stem cells, a subset of self-renewing cancer cells that are able to initiate tumour growth, may play an important role in resistance to therapy [136]. Although under-researched, the role of chemokines in the maintenance and positioning of these cells may unearth new targets for inhibiting the tumorigenic functions of these cells [137]. In addition, melanoma is the most immunogenic tumour and appears to be reliant on the recruitment of immune cells for its progression, and yet, it appears to be a cancer with the fewest clinical studies of chemokine manipulation. This may be due, in part, to the success of checkpoint inhibitor therapies; most clinical trials in melanoma are aimed at enhancing these successes of checkpoint inhibition, whereas, in malignancies that have not benefitted from checkpoint inhibitors, new therapeutic avenues appear to be being explored more widely. Perhaps, it may be beneficial to revisit chemokine targets that were neglected as a consequence of the substantial progress achieved with checkpoint inhibitors.

8. Conclusions

The interplay of chemokine axes is essential for the growth, survival, invasion, and metastasis of melanoma. The successful manipulation of the key chemokine pathways alone or as part of treatment combinations by clinicians could provide novel tools to overcome this disease.
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