“In situ similis” Culturing of Plant Microbiota: A Novel Simulated Environmental Method Based on Plant Leaf Blades as Nutritional Pads

Rahma A. Nemr¹, Mohab Khalil¹, Mohamed S. Sarhan¹, Mohamed Abbas², Hend Elsavwey¹, Hanan H. Youssef¹, Mervat A. Hamza¹, Ahmed T. Morsi¹, Mahmoud El-Tahan³, Mohamed Fayez¹, Sascha Patz⁴, Katja Witzel⁵, Silke Ruppe³⁵, Kassem F. El-Sahhar⁶ and Nabil A. Hegazi¹*

¹Environmental Studies and Research Unit, Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt, ²Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Aswan University, Aswan, Egypt, ³Regional Center for Food and Feed, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt, ⁴Algorithms in Bioinformatics, Center for Bioinformatics, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany, ⁵Department of Plant Microbe Systems, Leibniz Institute of Vegetable and Ornamental Crops, Großbeeren, Germany, ⁶Department of Botany, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

High-throughput cultivation methods have recently been developed to accelerate the recovery of microorganisms reluctant to cultivation. They simulate in situ environmental conditions for the isolation of environmental microbiota through the exchange of growth substrates during cultivation. Here, we introduce leaf-based culture media adopting the concept of the plant being the master architect of the composition of its microbial community. Pre-physical treatments of sunflower plant leaves, namely punching, freezing, and/or autoclavation, allowed the diffusion of electrolytes and other nutrients to configure the leaf surface as a natural pad, i.e., creating an "in situ similis" environment suitable for the growth of rarely isolated microbiota. We used surface inoculation and membrane-filtration methods to assess the culturability of endophytic bacteria from the sunflower phyllosphere and rhizosphere. Both methods supported excellent colony-forming unit (CFU) development when compared to standard R2A medium, with a special affinity to support better growth of epiphytic and endophytic populations of the phyllosphere compared with the rhizosphere. A 16S rRNA gene analysis of >122 representative isolates indicated the cultivation of a diverse set of microorganisms by application of the new methods. It indicated the predominance of 13 genera of >30 potential species, belonging to Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, and Actinobacteria, and especially genera not commonly reported for sunflower, e.g., Rhizobium, Aureimonas, Sphingomonas, Paracoccus, Stenotrophomonas, Pantocea, Kosakonia, and Erwinia. The strategy successfully extended diversity and richness in the endophyllosphere compared to the endorhizosphere, while CFUs grown on the standard R2A medium, with a special affinity to support better growth of epiphytic and endophytic populations of the phyllosphere compared with the rhizosphere. A 16S rRNA gene analysis of >122 representative isolates indicated the cultivation of a diverse set of microorganisms by application of the new methods. It indicated the predominance of 13 genera of >30 potential species, belonging to Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, and Actinobacteria, and especially genera not commonly reported for sunflower, e.g., Rhizobium, Aureimonas, Sphingomonas, Paracoccus, Stenotrophomonas, Pantocea, Kosakonia, and Erwinia.
INTRODUCTION

Cultivation-dependent approaches are still indispensable for unlocking the treasure of environmental microbiomes for further elucidation of the ecology, physiology, and biotechnological applications of their major players (Aoi et al., 2009). Several attempts have been reported to improve the culturability of different environmental microbiota based on the modification of conventional cultivation methods and growth conditions. Among such attempts were the optimization of substrate compositions and concentrations (oligotrophic media), gelling agents, incubation time, cell density, trace additives of syntrophic growth factors, as well as signaling molecules. These efforts successfully improved the cultivation of rarely isolated bacterial phyla (Nichols et al., 2008, 2010). This is in addition to the high-throughput methods that have improved cultivation capabilities and recovered uncultivated microorganisms, including diffusion chambers (Kaeberlein et al., 2002; Bollmann et al., 2007), gel microdroplet-based microfluidic systems (Manome et al., 2001; Zengler et al., 2002), and microfluidic streak plates (Jiang et al., 2016), combined with a number of micro-devices (Ingham et al., 2005, 2007). These tools allowed the characterization of new ecologically important isolates (Morris et al., 2002; Zengler et al., 2002; Ferrari et al., 2005; Nichols et al., 2008).

The application of the concept of “environmental simulation” is most likely appropriate for the cultivation of environmental microbiomes, as multiple as yet unknown factors necessary for recovery and growth can be provided to the microorganisms (Ferrari et al., 2005). However, the development and use of the afore-mentioned methods and micro-devices are possibly limited due to the complexity of attaining the requisite technology and precision and to the relatively small scale of cultivation. They depend on the formation of μ-colonies, which require further a domestication/passage process to sustainably grow in standard Petri dishes (Nichols et al., 2010). Hence, there is a growing demand for the development of uncomplicated and easily applicable techniques that favor cultivation of microorganisms under in vitro-simulated environmental conditions mimicking to those in situ, i.e., “in situ similis.” Simulated environments enable the exchange of chemical compounds between the plant host and the colonizing microbiota during cultivation. The importance of creating in situ environmental conditions for the isolation of environmental microorganisms has been clearly demonstrated (Jung et al., 2016), and this need is very much amplified in the case of environments with adverse conditions, e.g., arid and semi-arid areas, where plant-associated microbiota modify their gene expression to cope with such stressed environments (Soussi et al., 2015). For this reason, we hereby introduce the use of intact leaf-based culture media for exploring plant microbiota. This method is based on the direct/indirect inoculation and cultivation of microorganisms on the leaf surfaces in the form of culture pads, following the rationale that “natural environments envelop a multiplex of nutrients necessary for the growth of their inherent microbiota.” Hence, plant nutrients are supplied to the microorganisms in their natural/proportionate concentrations and gradients, provided that the leaves are pre-physically treated by punching, freezing, and/or autoclavage (Whitlow et al., 1992; Bajji et al., 2002; Verslues et al., 2006). This allows the recovery of microorganisms reluctant to cultivation because of inaccessibility and/or because the high concentrations of nutrients present in conventional cultivation media might be toxic to certain microorganisms. Most likely, the method favors slow-growing oligotrophic species that often follow the k-strategy growth model; these prefer nutrient-poor environments and are thought to be a major population in natural environments (Watve et al., 2000). As a natural habitat, the leaf pad was selected for our study to provide a suitable environment for co-culturing and to gain insight into interspecific/intraspecific interactions among the culturable community (Cleary et al., 2017). Throughout the present study, we investigated the cultivable community of bacteria residing in both the phyllosphere and rhizosphere compartments of sunflower plants. We compared the introduced leaf-based culture media to other forms of plant-based culture media recently presented by Sarhan et al. (2019) as well as the standard chemically synthetic R2A culture medium. To shed light on the diversity of the culturable community, representative isolates were subjected to 16S rRNA gene sequencing and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) for identification and classification.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Sampling

The tested host plant was sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.), grown in the experimental fields of the Faculty of Agriculture,
subjected to overnight freezing at −20°C for several hours. The basal salt of CCM (Hegazi et al., 1998) consisted of 0.5 g l⁻¹ peptone and 3 g l⁻¹ beef extract, pH-adjusted to 7.2. The medium was used as such or diluted 1:10 (w/v). The R2A medium consisted of 0.5 g l⁻¹ casein hydrolysate, 0.5 g l⁻¹ dextrose, 0.5 g l⁻¹ soluble starch, 0.5 g l⁻¹ yeast extract, 0.3 g l⁻¹ dipotassium hydrogen phosphate, 0.3 g l⁻¹ sodium pyruvate, 0.25 g l⁻¹ casein peptone, 0.25 g l⁻¹ meat peptone, 0.024 g l⁻¹ magnesium sulfate, and 20 g l⁻¹ agar. The pH was adjusted to 7.2, and the culture medium was diluted to half strength and sterilized in an autoclave at 121°C for 20 min. The basal salt of CCM consisted of 0.4 g l⁻¹ K₂HPO₄, 0.6 g l⁻¹ KH₂PO₄, 0.2 g l⁻¹ MgSO₄, 0.1 g l⁻¹ NaCl, 0.01 g l⁻¹ MnSO₄, 0.02 g l⁻¹ CaCl₂, 0.015 g l⁻¹ FeCl₃, 0.002 g l⁻¹ Na₃MoO₄, 0.08 mg l⁻¹ CuSO₄, and 0.25 mg l⁻¹ ZnSO₄.

Recovery of Bacteria Associated With Sunflower Roots and Leaves

In the case of the sunflower rhizosphere, ca. 5 g of roots, including closely adhering soil, were gently washed with tap water, then placed in a 45-ml diluent of basal salts of CCM and homogenized by shaking for 2 h at 150 rpm. This solution was referred to as the mother culture from which further serial dilutions were prepared. For the endorhizosphere samples, roots were surface-sterilized (Youssef et al., 2004) with 95% ethanol for 5–10 s. This was followed by 3% sodium hypochlorite for 30 min and then careful washing with sterilized distilled water before crushing in a Waring blender with an adequate volume of basal salts of CCM. Further serial dilutions were prepared for each of the whole rhizosphere and endorhizosphere samples. Dry weights were determined for suspended roots.

For the ectophyllosphere (epiphytic populations), ca. 5 g of intact sunflower leaves were put in flasks containing 50 ml of sterilized distilled water and shaken for 2 h at 150 rpm. For the endophyllosphere (endophytic populations), selected fresh leaves were washed with tap water, then surface-sterilized with ethanol
prior to incubation at 25–30°C for surface inoculation of prepared agar plates. Aliquots of 200 µl of each dilution were carefully spread on the overlay agar, covering the total leaf surface area. Plates were left right-side-up at ambient temperature for 1–2 h for the diffusion of inocula prior to incubation at 25–30°C. Incubation took place at 30°C for 2–10 days, and colony-forming units (CFUs) were counted.

Leaf-Membrane Filter Method (MF)

Aliquots of 1 ml of each of suitable dilutions (10⁻¹:10⁻⁴) were mixed carefully with 5 ml distilled water prior to filtering through sterile 0.45-µm membrane filters (Sartorius AG, Germany). The prepared filters, containing the trapped bacterial cells, were carefully placed on the top of the overlay agar, covering the leaf surface area. Plates were left at ambient temperature for 1–2 h for the diffusion of inocula prior to incubation at 25–30°C. Incubation took place at 30°C for 2–10 days, and CFUs were counted.

For each method of leaf-based culture media treatment, the developed CFUs were examined visually and at 10-fold magnification using a stereomicroscope. In the case of the membrane filter method, membranes were quickly flushed by 1 ml of an aqueous methylene blue solution (1%, w/v) for better resolution.

DNA Extraction and 16S rRNA Gene Analysis of Bacterial Isolates

To study the diversity of the culturable endophytes developed, 136 isolates were randomly selected by single colony isolation on corresponding culture media and represented the CFUs developed on all tested culture media (LS, MF, and R2A medium) (Supplementary Table S1). Bacterial genomic DNA was extracted from the isolates according to the method of Sarhan et al. (2016). DNA was extracted using the QIAGEN Dneasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc., Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The 16S rRNA genes were amplified with the forward primer “9bfnm” (5’GAGTTTGATYHTGGCTCAG-3’) and reverse primer “1512R” (5’ACGGHTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3’) (Mühling et al., 2008). The PCR setup in a 25-µl volume was as follows: QIAGEN TopTaq Master Mix Kit 12.5 µl, PCR water 5.5 µl, primer 9 bfm (3.1 pmol µl⁻¹) 2.5 µl, primer 1512R (3.1 pmol µl⁻¹) 2.5 µl, and DNA (ca. 15 ng µl⁻¹) 2.0 µl. The amplification of DNA was performed according to the thermal amplification cycling program: 4-min initial denaturing at 96°C, 30 thermal cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at 56°C for 1 min, and extension at 74°C for 1.5 min. The purified PCR products were sequenced by Eurofins MWG Operon, Ebersberg, Germany. Out of the 136 sequenced colonies, 122 were of sufficient lengths for further taxonomic and phylogenetic analyses.

Protein Extraction From Bacterial Colonies and MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometric Measurements

Protein-based characterization of isolates was performed via MALDI-TOF MS for 93 isolates of the leaf-based culture media, representing endophytes of roots (endorrhizosphere) and shoots (endophyllosphere) as well as those of the ectophyllosphere. Briefly, samples of the tested isolates were grown on plant only-teabag culture medium at 28°C for 72 h. Then, a fine loop of bacterial cells was transferred into 300 µl of distilled water and 900 µl of absolute ethanol. The resulting suspension was pelleted by centrifugation at maximum speed (18,000 rpm) for 2 min at room temperature, dried for 2–3 min, and reconstituted in 1–80 µl of 70% formic acid, depending on the pellet size. One equal volume of each of acetonitrile and formic acid was added, mixing well. The resulting suspension was then centrifuged at maximum speed (18,000 rpm) for 2 min at room temperature. A volume of 1 µl of the supernatant was deposited directly onto a polished steel MALDI target plate (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany) and dried, and then was overlaid with 1 µl of matrix (Bruker Daltonik), which was a saturated solution of α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid in 50% acetonitrile/2.5% trifluoro-acetic acid. Intact protein masses were acquired using an ultraflyXtreme mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonik) operating in linear positive mode. Acquisition of the mass spectra was performed in the range of 2,000–20,000 Da and at a sample rate of 1.2 GS s⁻¹. The mass spectrometer was controlled by FlexControl version 3.4 software (Bruker Daltonik). The MALDI BioTyper software, version 3.1 (Bruker Daltonik), was used to process the raw spectra and to generate dendrograms.

Statistical and Phylogenetic Analyses

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the least significant differences (LSD) were calculated using MSTAT-C software (Michigan State University, MI, United States). The R-package “ggplot2” was used for the construction of boxplots. For phylogenetic analyses, the 16S rRNA gene sequences were taxonomically assigned by comparison with those available in GenBank using BlastN (Altschul et al., 1990) and additionally with those of the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) by using its classifier tool (Wang et al., 2007). Selected isolates and their closely related sequences (>10–20 sequences) were aligned with ClustalW2 (Thompson et al., 1994), and the phylogenetic trees were constructed by using the Neighbor Joining and Maximum Composite Likelihood methods, implemented in MEGA 7.0 (Kumar et al., 2016); bootstrapping was performed on 1000 replicates, and the inferred tree was saved in Newick format and visualized with iTol (Letunic and Bork, 2007). In
the cases of potentially new isolates, phylogenetic analyses were further conducted in MEGA X, where similarity percentages were calculated according to the EZBiocloud database (Yoon et al., 2017).

To compare the 16S rRNA gene-based phylogenetic tree of the isolates with their corresponding protein profiles, derived from the MALDI BioTyper software, tanglegrams were generated using the R package “dendextend” version 1.9.0 (Galili, 2015). For the phyla Actinobacteria and Firmicutes or for Proteobacteria exclusively, we used the tanglegram function to convert each pair into its cladograms and to arrange the corresponding leaf labels in an optimal way, so that the crossing of the connecting lines between all the counterparts are minimized, while the splits remain. As the crossing of the connecting lines was considerably reduced when applying the tool Dendroscope 3 (Huson and Scornavacca, 2012), its layout was used as the template in the R script. In the final tanglegram, taxonomic affiliations are displayed at the leaf labels and colored according to the ranked class and genus. The inked bold leaf nodes specify the original isolation site (endorhizosphere or endophyllosphere) of the re-cultivated strains.

RESULTS

Nutritional Profiles of the Tested Sunflower Leaves Used for the Preparation of Plant-Only-Based Culture Media

In order to characterize the nutritional matrix used in the preparation of plant-only-based culture media, the constitution of sunflower leaves was assessed, as shown in Table 1. Leaves were found to be rich in multiple nutrients required for the growth of the tested endophytic population, e.g., protein, carbohydrates, fat, fiber, ash, macro- and micronutrients, and amino acids.

Physical Pre-treatments of Plant Leaves to Release Nutrients and Electrolytes for in situ similis Cultivation of Sunflower-Associated Microbiota

We carried out preliminary experiments to evaluate the efficiency of different physical leaf pre-treatments and inoculation methods (LS and MF). Physical pre-treatments of leaves, by punching, pressing, and/or scratching, were exercised to allow outside release of nutrients and electrolytes to support the growth of superposed bacterial inocula. In general, there was no statistical difference in CFU counts between the different leaf pre-treatment conditions (Figure 1): however, the combined effect of punching, pressing, and scratching resulted in a higher diversity of colony morphologies. CFUs developed nicely on the leaf-based culture media, either surface-inoculated leaves or prepared membrane filters (Figure 1A). Colonies differed in shape (circular, irregular), size (1–5 mm dia.), consistency (watery, paste-like, and leathery), elevation (flat, raised, and convex), opacity (opaque), and color (white, creamy, and yellow). Direct surface inoculation on the top of leaf surfaces was superior to the indirect placement of prepared membrane filters on the leaf surfaces. This method was supportive for CFU development (>log 8.0 g⁻¹), very much comparable to the tested standard culture media (diluted nutrient agar and R2A) as well as the other plant-based culture media in the form of teabags (Figure 1B).

Possibilities of contamination were checked during these preliminary experiments over a longer incubation period. It appeared that contamination, if any, appeared due to external sources as early as during the first day of incubation, in the form of big (>3 mm), flat, spread white to creamy colonies. When microscopically examined, they showed a form different from bacilli cells. Therefore, as a routine check for contamination throughout the following experiments, we discarded prepared leaf-agar plates that showed any contamination during the first day of incubation.

Bacterial CFU Counts of Rhizosphere (Endorhizosphere) and Phyllosphere (Ecto- and Endophyllosphere) as Developed on Leaf-Based and Standard Culture Media

Based on the results obtained from the preliminary experiments, we carried out two main experiments, employing the combined physical pre-treatments of leaf-based culture media to support the recovery of the in situ bacterial populations found in the endorhizosphere and ecto- and endophyllosphere of the sunflower. The leaf-based method was compared to both R2A medium and the plant powder teabag culture medium. The results indicated statistically significant differences attributed to the single effects of incubation time, type

| Parameters (%) | Amino acid (%) |
|----------------|----------------|
| Proteins 24.1 | Aspartic (ASP) 2.13 |
| Carbohydrates 50.31 | Threonine (THR) 1.09 |
| Fat 2.09 | Serine (SER) 0.84 |
| Crude fiber 7.96 | Glutamic (GLU) 2.47 |
| Ash 16.6 | Proline (PRO) 0.92 |
| Moisture 6.9 | Glycine (GLY) 1.21 |
| **Macro-nutrients (ppm)** | **Micro-nutrients (ppm)** |
| K 2.094 | Cu 2.52 |
| Na 1.890 | Zn 0.389 |
| Mg 0.224 | Fe 3.12 |
| Ca 2.49 | Mn 1.342 |
| P (%) 0.293 | Se (ppb) 88.72 |
| **Macro-nutrients (ppm)** | **Micro-nutrients (ppm)** |
| K 2.094 | Cu 2.52 |
| Na 1.890 | Zn 0.389 |
| Mg 0.224 | Fe 3.12 |
| Ca 2.49 | Mn 1.342 |
| P (%) 0.293 | Se (ppb) 88.72 |
| **Macro-nutrients (ppm)** | **Micro-nutrients (ppm)** |
| K 2.094 | Cu 2.52 |
| Na 1.890 | Zn 0.389 |
| Mg 0.224 | Fe 3.12 |
| Ca 2.49 | Mn 1.342 |
| P (%) 0.293 | Se (ppb) 88.72 |

### TABLE 1 | Chemical analyses of the dehydrated powder of leaves of the tested sunflower plants (Helianthus annuus L.).

**Parameters (%)**
- Proteins 24.1
- Carbohydrates 50.31
- Fat 2.09
- Crude fiber 7.96
- Ash 16.6
- Moisture 6.9

**Macro-nutrients (ppm)**
- K 2.094
- Na 1.890
- Mg 0.224
- Ca 2.49
- P (%) 0.293

**Micro-nutrients (ppm)**
- Cu 2.52
- Zn 0.389
- Fe 3.12
- Mn 1.342
- Se (ppb) 88.72

**Amino acid (%)**
- Aspartic (ASP) 2.13
- Threonine (THR) 1.09
- Serine (SER) 0.84
- Glutamic (GLU) 2.47
- Proline (PRO) 0.92
- Glycine (GLY) 1.21
- Alanine (ALA) 1.59
- Valine (VAL) 1.61
- Methionine (MET) 0.57
- Isoleucine (ILE) 0.94
- Leucine (LEU) 1.88
- Tyrosine (TYR) 1.07
- Phenylalanine (PHE) 1.29
- Histidine (HIS) 0.36
- Lysine (LYS) 0.96
- Arginine (ARG) 1.16
- Cysteine (CYS) 0.29
of culture media, and plant sphere (Table 2). In general, and irrespective of plant sphere, surface-inoculated leaf-based culture medium supported growth and development of CFUs that was very much comparable to that supported by both R2A and plant-teabags culture media (Figure 2). Longer incubation up to 10 days resulted in significant increases in CFU numbers for surface-inoculated leaves but not for the membrane filter method (Table 2). Of interest is that the leaf surface-inoculation method, when compared to all tested culture media, showed affinity to support better growth of synonymous epiphytic and endophytic populations of the phyllosphere. Additionally, the method supported good culturability (log 5.7 CFUs g\textsuperscript{−1}) of bacteria in the endorhizosphere; however, higher counts were reported for the plant-only-teabag culture medium and R2A medium (>log 6.0 CFUs g\textsuperscript{−1}).

**Bacterial Community Composition**

**Based on 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing of Representative Isolates**

Out of a total of 333 CFUs randomly selected on all tested culture media, 122 were progressively subcultured and produced good quality sequences. They developed on LS, MF, and R2A culture media for the plant compartments endorhizosphere (20, 21, and 19 isolates, respectively) and endophyllosphere (23, 18, and 21 isolates, respectively, Supplementary Table S1). Based on the 16S rRNA gene sequence alignment, those isolates...
TABLE 2 | One-way ANOVA test of CFU counts of the endorhizosphere, ectophyllosphere, and endophyllosphere from sunflower plants; single effects of incubation time, type of culture media, and plant sphere.

| Treatment | log CFUs g⁻¹ root or leaf |
|-----------|---------------------------|
|           |                           |
| (Incubation time) |                      |
| 2 days     | 5.02          |
| 4 days     | 5.36          |
| 10 days    | 5.52          |
| LSD (P-value ≤ 0.05) = 0.046 |
| (Culture media) |                      |
| R2A (half strength) | 5.80          |
| Sunflower teabags (0.5g l⁻¹) | 5.66          |
| Punched + pressed + scratched - leaf-surface-inoculated | 5.45          |
| Punched + pressed + scratched - leaf-membrane filter | 4.29          |
| LSD (P-value ≤ 0.05) = 0.053 |
| (Plant spheres) |                      |
| Endorhizosphere | 6.03          |
| Ectophyllosphere | 4.23          |
| Endophyllosphere | 5.64          |
| LSD (P-value ≤ 0.05) = 0.046 |

Statistically significant differences (LSD) are indicated by different letters (P-value ≤ 0.05).

were classified into 13 genera, of a potential 30 species, and three bacterial phyla (Supplementary Tables S2 and S3). Some of these taxa were represented by a single cultivated isolate, while others contained multiple ones. Higher numbers of genera and of potential species were found to be exclusively cultivated by either leaf surface-inoculation or leaf-membrane filter method, while only three potential species of Bacillus sp. were confined to growth on R2A-standard culture medium (Figure 3B). The genus Bacillus sp., with five potential species, were particularly overlapped among all of the tested culture media (Figure 3B). The culturable sunflower-associated bacteria were represented by the three phyla of Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, and Actinobacteria, with corresponding relative abundance in descending order of 75, 22, and 3%, respectively. We compared the richness (Figure 3A) and relative abundance (Figure 3B) of various phyla and genera in both the endorhizosphere and the endophyllosphere. In general, the standard R2A culture medium showed an overwhelming abundance of Firmicutes, represented by Bacillus spp., in both compartments. The picture completely differed with the two leaf-based culture media, where in the endorhizosphere, the relative abundance of Firmicutes was 50–100% (Bacillus spp. and Paenibacillus spp.) followed by Alphaproteobacteria (0–50%; Aureimonas spp. and Rhizobium spp.). Higher diversity was reported in the endophyllosphere, where there were four taxonomic groups, Firmicutes (44–50%; Bacillus spp.), Gammaproteobacteria (29–44%; Stenotrophomonas spp., Pantoea spp., Kosakonia spp., and Erwinia spp.), Alphaproteobacteria (0–13%; Sphingomonas spp. and Paracoccus spp.), and Actinobacteria (8–11%; Curtobacterium spp., Microbacterium spp., and Kocuria spp.), in descending order. The overall clustering of total isolates according to their taxonomic position, plant compartments, and culture media is illustrated in Figure 4.

Clustering of Bacterial Isolates Based on Whole-Cell MALDI-TOF MS Analysis

We set out to use MS-based bio-typing to classify successfully sequenced bacterial isolates, particularly those that developed on leaf-surface-inoculated and leaf-membrane filter culture medium and originated from the endorhizosphere and endophyllosphere (Supplementary Table S2). The intact protein mass spectra of 62 isolates were assessed for similarity. The results obtained showed that the analyzed isolates clustered into two main groups (Supplementary Figure S1). The first main group contained most of the isolates, 17 out of 23, representing 73.9%, originated from the endorhizosphere. Relying on the identification based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing, this first main group was subdivided into three clusters, two of which were mainly occupied by representatives of Firmicutes (Bacillus spp.) and the third of which was confined to Alphaproteobacteria (Rhizobium spp.), except for a single isolate of Bacillus subtilis. It is of interest that the cluster of Rhizobium spp. principally developed only on the leaf-surface-inoculated culture medium, while the other two clusters of fast-growing Bacillus spp. occupied leaf-based membrane filter culture medium. On the other hand, the second main group contained the majority of endophyllosphere isolates, 28 out of 39, representing 71.8%. Within this main group, Gammaproteobacteria (Stenotrophomonas spp. and Kosakonia spp.) and Alphaproteobacteria (Aureimonas spp. and Paracoccus spp.) were sub-clustered, contrary to the many heterogeneous members of Firmicutes (Bacillus spp.), which were scattered among the subsequent seven sub-clusters. Again, representatives of Firmicutes were mostly isolated on leaf-based membrane filter, while all the genera representing Actinobacteria, Alphaproteobacteria, and Gammaproteobacteria exclusively developed on the leaf-surface-inoculated culture medium. It appeared that representatives of Firmicutes, being fast-growers, did occupy and overcome the limited available surface area of the membrane filters, not giving representatives of other phyla the opportunity to develop with prolonged incubation.

Binary tanglegrams were constructed to demonstrate one-to-one correspondence between the phylogenetic classification and protein profiles of the tested isolates. While all Proteobacteria isolates (Figure 5, 20 isolates) clustered according to their phylogenetic relationships based on 16S rRNA gene amplicons, i.e., Alphaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria and their correspondent genera, no clear separation was reported for their protein profiles due to the overlapping protein expression patterns of five Alphaproteobacteria isolates (VNPL4; VNRL7; VNPL10; VNPL3; and VNRL5). However, both classes evince distinguishable protein profile clusters. Regarding the impact of the culture media and plant sphere on the protein pattern, we can demonstrate a separation due to the plant spheres endorhizosphere and endophyllosphere, except for the two strains of Aureimonas altamirensis VNRL7 and Rhizobium rosettiformans VNRL5. In contrast, the evolutionary grouping/clustering of Actinobacteria and Firmicutes
FIGURE 2 | (A) CFUs developed from culturing bacteria present in the endorhizosphere of sunflower plants. Colonies grown with both the leaf surface-inoculation (1) and leaf-membrane filter methods with and without staining (2, 3) compared to colonies developed on standard R2A medium (4) and plant-only-teabag culture media (5). (B) Two-way ANOVA, interaction of plant sphere and culture media: Log CFU counts recovered by the standard culture media (R2A medium and sunflower teabag, SFP) compared to the leaf-based culture media (MF, leaf-membrane filter; LS, leaf-surface-inoculated). Statistically significant differences (LSD) are indicated by different letters (P value 0.05).
(42 isolates) was not reflected in the protein profile. Likewise, on the genus level, distinct clusters of protein profiles were absent, although some minor phylogenetic sub-clades reappeared. While strains did not group specifically according to their culture media, plant sphere-specific sub-clusters were observed.

DISCUSSION

Our previous investigations, recently reviewed by Sarhan et al. (2019), provided evidence that plant materials, such as crude slurry homogenates, juices, saps, and dehydrated powders, as such, without any supplement, can be successfully used to enhance the culturability of plant-associated microbiota. In the present study, we further advance the leaf-based culture strategy for the growth of epiphytic and endophytic microbiota of sunflower grown in fields in Giza, Egypt, in semi-arid land environments. The principle applied allows the exchange of and access to multiple plant nutrients in their natural/proportionate concentrations and gradients. Direct (LS) or indirect contact (MF) of the inocula to the leaf surface creates an in situ similis environment where bacterial cells get access to their natural and complex nutritional matrices of macro-molecules, macro- and micro-nutrients, and amino acids (Table 1). We consider that this set up enables the recovery of slow-growing microorganisms reluctant to cultivation, which often follow k-strategy, being either of prototrophic or auxotrophic nature, and are thought to be a major intrinsic component in natural environments.

The culturing strategy introduced supported good recovery of bacteria associated with the various plant compartments, very much challenging the tested R2A standard culture media. The novel plant-based media provided excellent growth of CFUs of epiphytic and endophytic populations of the phyllosphere, as well as for those present in the endorhizosphere. Most of the isolated strains were unique to their isolation approach. This indicates that the “in situ similis leaf cultivation” strategy introduced substantially increased the overall diversity and richness in the endophyllosphere compared to the endorhizosphere. Here, one might expect the potential interaction of both biotic factors, e.g., host species, genotype, and leaf age, as well as abiotic factors, e.g., drought and salt stress in arid regions. They all influence the structure of microbial communities associated with plants (Redford and Fierer, 2009). The stress imposed does vary depending upon the location of the microbial community within the plant, and this may have repercussions in terms of the structure and function of microbial communities. For example, microbes residing in the phyllosphere are faced with a nutrient-poor and variable environment that is characterized by fluctuating temperature, humidity, and UV radiation (Lindow and Brandl, 2003). The microbial community in the rhizosphere, on the other hand, resides within a relatively stable environment that is rich in nutrients due to the chemicals exuded by plants to recruit beneficial microorganisms and counteract pathogens (Badri et al., 2009). However, there are also cases where bacteria from the soil first colonize the roots and then migrate to the above-ground part of the plant, indicating that those microorganisms are well
adapted to multiple plant-affected environments (Czajkowski et al., 2009; Bodenhausen et al., 2013; Leach et al., 2017; Hassani et al., 2018).

While the standard R2A medium fostered the growth of the single genus of Bacillus spp., the leaf cultivation strategy was unique in extending the diversity of culturable plant microbiota. The strategy recovered 13 genera representing the three major phyla of Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, and Actinobacteria. Representatives of these phyla are commonly reported in different compartments of plants subjected to various environments, including both biotic and abiotic stresses (Koberl et al., 2011; Marasco et al., 2012; Sarhan et al., 2016, 2018; Hegazi et al., 2017).

Based on a literature survey on sunflower-associated bacteria, we compared the outcome of our leaf cultivation strategy with those of other culture-dependent techniques (Table 3). Similarly, the leaf cultivation strategy successfully recovered genera representing the big phyla of Firmicutes and Proteobacteria. In contrast to this, our strategy of extended cultivation led to the isolation of three genera of Actinobacteria (Curtobacterium, Microbacterium, and Kocuria). This is in conformity with our recent report on the significant enrichment of such genera of Actinobacteria on plain water agar media following an inoculum-dependent culturing, where the administered plant inoculum is the only source of nutrients for the growth of plant endophytes (Sarhan et al., 2020). As to the genera representing the phyla of Alphaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria, the leaf cultivation strategy further enriched genera not reported earlier in the compartments of sunflower plants, e.g., Rhizobium sp., Aureimonas sp., Sphingomonas sp., Paracoccus sp., Stenotrophomonas sp., Pantoea sp., Kosakonia sp., and Erwinia sp. (Table 3). Such a rich diversity of the sunflower microbiome was further confirmed by culture-independent techniques (e.g., Alsanius et al., 2017 and Oberholster et al., 2018), with particular enrichment of the key genera Rhizobium sp., Sphingomonas sp., Burkholderia sp., and Pseudomonas sp. In the present study, we isolated two of those key genera, i.e., Rhizobium sp. and Sphingomonas sp., which are considered as hub taxa and play a central role in the assembly of the sunflower microbiome throughout its life cycle (Leff et al., 2016; Alsanius et al., 2017; Oberholster et al., 2018). The other two genera of Burkholderia sp. and Pseudomonas sp. were particularly enriched by a modified
leaf cultivation method using MPN tubes of semi-solid water agar with immersed leaf strips into which research is ongoing (unpublished data).

The leaf cultivation strategy recovered three isolates (VNRL17, VNPL23, and VNPL37) that might represent putative novel species, as their highest matching scores were <98.7%. This was further confirmed by maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny, which displayed their significant separation from all the deposited members of the related genera (Rhizobium sp., Erwinia sp., and Bacillus sp., Figure 6). The relative abundance of reported genera/species was different among the two methods of leaf-based culture; Firmicutes dominated the pool of the indirect membrane filter-derived strains (MF, 86.8%), and Proteobacteria dominated the pool of direct leaf-surface strains (LS, 53.5%). Further molecular and physiological analyses are being carried out to confirm their novelty.

Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry-based microbial characterization has become a valuable tool for the fast and accurate classification of isolates using the intact protein pattern as a fingerprint. The method is widely applied, especially for the human microbiome, for re-replication of recurrent isolated strains and for their identification (Husche and Witzel, 2019). Our results indicate that MALDI-TOF MS is a suitable tool for the reliable characterization of protein profiles for certain, not all, groups of microorganisms, as in the case of identification/clustering of Rhizobium spp., family Rhizobiaceae. This is in accordance with earlier reports by a number of investigators (Ferreira et al., 2011; Sánchez-Juanes et al., 2013). All six isolates of Rhizobium spp. are clustered together and have comparable protein mass spectra. This contrasts with the many different spectra of Bacillus spp., which were heterogeneous enough to be scattered among many sub-clusters. It is already reported that Bacillus spp. contains more than 80 valid species that are very closely related, difficult to discriminate, and have a high percentage of similarity (over 99%) on the basis of 16S rRNA gene sequencing identification. However, they are different according to their functional properties, including pathogenicity (Starostin et al., 2015). In general, the leaf-based culture strategy has the potential to cluster isolates according to their niche and potential functions. The
TABLE 3 | Literature related to the diversity and community composition of sunflower microbiota as recovered by various culture-dependent techniques and culture media.

| References          | Cultivation methods                                                                 | Detected phyla/families/genera/species                                                                 |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ambrosini et al., 2016 | • Culture-dependent:                                                                  | • Total of 101 strains were identified.                                                               |
|                     | • Isolation from rhizospheric soils.                                                  | • Based on 16S rRNA gene sequences, isolates belonged to the genera Bacillus spp. and Paenibacillus spp., represented by 41.6 and 58.4%, respectively. |
|                     | • CFU counts on N-free thiamine–biotin agar medium (TB).                              | • Isolates of Bacillus (42 isolates): B. arbutinivorans, B. cereus, B. aryabhattachai, B. drentensis, B. pumilus, B. safensis, B. acidocaldor, B. nealseni, and B. mycoides. |
|                     | • Total of 101 strains were identified.                                               | • Paenibacillus (59 isolates): P. pabuli, P. taichungensis, P. tundrae, P. amylolyticus, P. xylanexedens, P. barcinonensis, P. illinoisensis, P. xylanlyticus, P. durus, P. typhae, P. catalpa, P. glycanylyticus, P. julunii, P. sonchi, P. graminis, and P. riograndensis. |
| Campos et al., 2012 | • Culture-dependent:                                                                  | • Total of 57 PGP isolates, 45 of which were identified.                                              |
|                     | • Isolation from different plant tissues (roots, stems, florets, rhizosphere).        | • Based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing, 42 belonged to Bacillus spp. (B. subtilis, B. cereus, B. thuringiensis, B. pumilus, B. megaterium, Bacillus sp.) and three to Methyllobacterium komagatae. |
| Forchetti et al., 2007 | • Culture-dependent:                                                                  | • Total of 29 endophytic strains were isolated.                                                       |
|                     | • Endophytic bacteria isolation from roots of sunflowers grown under stress conditions. | • Among them, eight strains have PGP functions: one showed 99.9% sequence homology with Achromobacter xylosoxidans or Alcaligenes sp., and seven showed 99.9% homology with Bacillus pumilus. |
| Liu et al., 2017    | • Culture-dependent:                                                                  | • Total of 11 strains were isolated.                                                                 |
|                     | • Isolated from the rhizosphere soil.                                                 | • One Enterobacter cowani, two E. ludwinski, two E. cancerogenus, three Klebsiella oxytoxica, Pseudomonas linii, and one Bacillus megaterium/aryabhattachai. |
| Raval and Desai, 2012 | • Culture-dependent:                                                                  | • Total of 30 isolates were obtained.                                                                 |
|                     | • Isolated from various regions of the sunflower plant (bulk soil, rhizosphere, and endorhizosphere). | • Isolates belonged to Azotobacter, Pseudomonas, and Bacillus species.                               |
|                     | • Specialized media for PGP bacteria, namely Azotobacter, Pseudomonas, and Bacillus species. | • Seven possessed several plant growth-promoting activities.                                          |

isolates of the endorhizosphere were clustered in one of the two main groups away from the second group containing the isolates of the endophyllosphere origin. The tanglegrams constructed emphasize the potential of MALDI-TOF MS-based analyses of protein profile clustering in combination with cross-cultivation on the leaf nutritional pad for identification of isolates that reveal
specific functions, e.g., colonizing specific plant niches. This conclusion is supported by the finding that even phylogenetically distant species that colonize the same or different plant spheres reveal similar protein profiles on the same nutritional media (Katja Witzel, personal communication). Although an overall separation of protein profile clusters is partly given for the classes Alpha- and Gammaproteobacteria, taxonomic or phylogenetic comparability must be regarded with caution and needs to be examined in detail, and much more so when comparing across phyla. One reason may be that the limited phylogenetic analysis used in our approach is based only on one phylogenetic marker gene, whereas the protein-derived clusters comprise the occurrence and quantity patterns of multiple proteins under a given cultivation method/environment (Sauget et al., 2017).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, there is a wide range of evidence to show that the natural resources of arid/semi-arid regions are suffering serious damage as they face increasing demands and mounting pressures. Here, the need arises to search for and adopt agro-biotechnologies, among other technologies, to improving agriculture in such regions. To yield hidden and compatible new resources of microbiota pertinent to the agro-biotechnologies approved, we recommend the strategy of “leaf in situ similis cultivation” introduced here. Moreover, this strategy, in particular, and plant-only-based culture medium, in general, are powerful approaches to extend the application of culturomics techniques. This is in an effort to understand the ecology and physiology of uncultivable members of plant microbiota subject to varied abiotic stresses, e.g., those of heat and drought occurring in arid/semi-arid climatic zones (Soussi et al., 2015).
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