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Abstract. Let $\phi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be a double cover branched along a smooth hypersurface of degree $2m, 2 \leq m \leq n - 1$. We study the varieties of minimal rational tangents $C_x \subset PT_x(X)$ at a general point $x$ of $X$. We describe the homogeneous ideal of $C_x$ and show that the projective isomorphism type of $C_x$ varies in a maximal way as $x$ varies over general points of $X$. Our description of the ideal of $C_x$ implies a certain rigidity property of the covering morphism $\phi$. As an application of this rigidity, we show that any finite morphism between such double covers with $m = n - 1$ must be an isomorphism. We also prove that Liouville-type extension property holds with respect to minimal rational curves on $X$.
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1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, we will work over the field of complex numbers. Let $X$ be a Fano manifold of Picard number 1. For a general point $x \in X$, a rational curve through $x$ is called a minimal rational curve if its degree with respect to $K_X^{-1}$ is minimal among all rational curves through $x$. Denote by $\mathcal{K}_x$ the space of minimal rational curves through $x$. The projective subvariety $C_x \subset PT_x(X)$ defined as the union of tangent directions to members of $\mathcal{K}_x$ is called the variety of minimal rational tangents (VMRT) at $x$. The projective geometry of $C_x$ plays a key role in understanding the geometry of $X$, often leading to a certain rigidity phenomenon (cf. the survey [3]) on $X$. This motivates the study of the geometry of $C_x \subset PT_x(X)$ for various examples of $X$.

In the current article, we study the case when $X$ is a double cover $\phi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n, n \geq 3$, of projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$ branched along a smooth hypersurface $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $2m, 2 \leq m \leq n - 1$. Although this is one of the basic examples of Fano manifolds, its VMRT $C_x \subset PT_x(X)$ has not been described explicitly. Our first result is the following description of the defining equations of the VMRT.

Theorem 1.1. For a double cover $X \to \mathbb{P}^n, n \geq 3$, branched along a smooth hypersurface of degree $2m, 2 \leq m \leq n - 1$, the VMRT $C_x \subset PT_x(X)$ at a general point $x \in X$ is a smooth complete intersection of multi-degree $(m+1, m+2, \ldots, 2m)$.

It is enlightening to compare Theorem 1.1 with the case when $X$ is a smooth hypersurface of degree $m, 2 \leq m \leq n$, in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. In the latter case, it is classical that the VMRT at a general point is a smooth complete intersection of multi-degree $(2, 3, \ldots, m)$ (e.g. Example 1.4.2 in [3] or [9]).

In the course of proving Theorem 1.1, we will also prove the following partial converse to it.
Theorem 1.2. Let \( Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}, n \geq 3 \), be a general complete intersection of multi-degree \((m + 1, m + 2, \ldots, 2m)\) with \(2 \leq m \leq n - 1\). Then there exists a smooth hypersurface \( Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n \) of degree \(2m\) such that a double cover \( X \) of \( \mathbb{P}^n \) branched along \( Y \) has a point \( x \in X \) with its VMRT \( C_x \subset \mathbb{PT}_x(X) \) isomorphic to \( Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \).

Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are proved by explicit computation for a certain choice of \( Y \), based on the fact that minimal rational curves of \( X \) correspond to lines of \( \mathbb{P}^n \) which have even contact order with \( Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n \) as recalled in Proposition 2.4. Then Theorem 1.1 for arbitrary smooth \( Y \) can be obtained by a flatness argument.

Our explicit computation enables us to study also the variation of the VMRT \( C_x \) as \( x \) varies over \( X \). Describing the variation of VMRT is not an easy problem even for very simple Fano manifolds, such as hypersurfaces in \( \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \). In [9], Landsberg and Robles proved that when \( X \) is a general hypersurface of degree \( \leq n \) in \( \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \), the VMRT at general points of \( X \) have maximal variation. We will prove the following analogue of their result in our setting.

Theorem 1.3. Let \( Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n, n \geq 4 \), be a general hypersurface of degree \(2m, 2 \leq m \leq n - 1\), and let \( X \) be a double cover of \( \mathbb{P}^n \) branched along \( Y \). Then the family of VMRT’s

\[ \{ C_x \subset \mathbb{PT}_x(X) \mid \text{general } x \in X \} \]

has maximal variation. More precisely, for a general point \( x \in X \), choose a trivialization of \( \mathbb{PT}(U) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times U \) in a neighborhood \( U \) of \( x \). Define a morphism \( \zeta : U \to \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) \) by \( \zeta(y) := [C_y] \) for \( y \in U \). Then the rank of \( d\zeta_x \) is \( n \) and the intersection of the image of \( \zeta \) and the \( GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \)-orbit of \( \zeta(x) \) is isolated at \( \zeta(x) \).

The condition \( n \geq 4 \) in Theorem 1.3 excludes the case of \((n, m) = (3, 2)\). It is likely that the statement of Theorem 1.3 holds also for this case. However, this case seems to require much more complicated computation.

As mentioned at the beginning, the geometry of \( C_x \) often leads to a certain rigidity result. What rigidity phenomenon does our description of \( C_x \) exhibit? The double covering morphism \( \phi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n \) sends members of \( K_x \) to lines. We show that this property characterizes \( \phi \) in the following strong sense.

Theorem 1.4. Let \( Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n, n \geq 3 \), be a smooth hypersurface of degree \(2m, 2 \leq m \leq n - 1\), and let \( \phi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n \) be a double cover branched along \( Y \). Let \( U \subset X \) be a neighborhood (in classical topology) of a general point \( x \in X \) and \( \varphi : U \to \mathbb{P}^n \) be a biholomorphic immersion such that for any member \( C \) of \( K_y, y \in U \), the image \( \varphi(C \cap U) \) is contained in a line in \( \mathbb{P}^n \). Then there exists a projective transformation \( \psi : \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^n \) such that \( \varphi = \psi \circ (\phi|_U) \).

As a consequence, we obtain the following algebraic version.

Corollary 1.5. In the setting of Theorem 1.4, let \( \hat{X} \) be an \( n \)-dimensional projective variety equipped with generically finite surjective morphisms \( g : \hat{X} \to X \) and \( h : \hat{X} \to \mathbb{P}^n \) such that for a minimal rational curve \( C \) through a general point of \( X \), there exists an irreducible component \( C' \) of \( g^{-1}(C) \) whose image \( h(C') \subset \mathbb{P}^n \) is a line. Then there exists an automorphism \( \psi : \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^n \) such that \( h = \psi \circ \phi \circ g \).

This is a remarkable property of the double cover \( \phi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n \), because an analogous statement fails drastically for many examples of Fano manifolds of Picard number 1 as the following example shows.

Example 1.6. Let \( X \subset \mathbb{P}^N \) be a Fano manifold embedded in projective space of dimension \( N \), and let \( X \) be a general point of \( X \), an irreducible rational curve.
X are lines of \( \mathbb{P}^N \) lying on \( X \). There are many such examples, e.g., rational homogeneous spaces under a minimal embedding or complete intersections of low degree in \( \mathbb{P}^N \). We can define a finite projection \( \phi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n \) to a linear subspace \( \mathbb{P}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^N \) by choosing a suitable linear subspace \( \mathbb{P}^{N-n-1} \subset (\mathbb{P}^N \setminus X) \). Then minimal rational curves are sent to lines in \( \mathbb{P}^n \) by \( \phi \). There are many different ways to choose such \( \mathbb{P}^{N-n-1} \) and projections. For most examples of \( X \), different choices of \( \phi \) need not be related by projective transformations of \( \mathbb{P}^n \).

What makes the difference between Corollary 1.5 and Example 1.6? The key point is that the ideal defining the VMRT of the double cover, as described in Theorem 1.1, does not contain a quadratic polynomial. In fact, we will prove a general version, Theorem 5.4, where the double cover \( X \) not contain a quadratic polynomial. In this regard, we should mention of Theorem 1.4 where the double cover \( \phi \) at a general point is not contained in a hyperquadric. In this regard, we should mention that our double cover is not contained in a hyperquadric. In this regard, we should mention that our double cover \( \phi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n \) is the first known example of a Fano manifold with Picard number 1 whose VMRT at a general point is not contained in a hyperquadric. Note that the VMRT’s of Fano manifolds in Example 1.6 are contained in hyperquadrics coming from the second fundamental form of \( X \subset \mathbb{P}^N \).

Theorem 1.4 has an application in the study of morphisms between double covers. There have been several works, e.g., [1], [2], [6], [7] and [11], classifying finite morphisms between Fano threefolds of Picard number 1. But there still remain a few unsettled cases. One has been several works, e.g., [1], [2], [6], [7] and [11], classifying finite morphisms between Fano threefolds of Picard number 1. Let \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) be two connected open subsets (in classical topology) in \( X \). Suppose that we are given a biholomorphic map \( \gamma : U_1 \to U_2 \) such that for any minimal rational curve \( C \subset X \), there exists another minimal rational curve \( C' \) with \( \gamma(U_1 \cap C) = U_2 \cap C' \). Then does there exist \( \Gamma \in \text{Aut}(X) \) with \( \Gamma|_{U_1} = \gamma \)?

Problem 1.8 (Liouville-type extension problem). Let \( X \) be a Fano manifold of Picard number 1. Let \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \) be two connected open subsets (in classical topology) in \( X \). Suppose that we are given a biholomorphic map \( \gamma : U_1 \to U_2 \) such that for any minimal rational curve \( C \subset X \), there exists another minimal rational curve \( C' \) with \( \gamma(U_1 \cap C) = U_2 \cap C' \). Then does there exist \( \Gamma \in \text{Aut}(X) \) with \( \Gamma|_{U_1} = \gamma \)?

Problem 1.8 is called Liouville-type extension, because Liouville’s theorem in conformal geometry gives an affirmative answer to Problem 1.8 when \( X \) is a smooth quadric hypersurface in \( \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \). An affirmative answer to Problem 1.8 is known if \( \dim K_x > 0 \) for a general \( x \in X \) (this is essentially proved in [3]). However, when \( \dim K_x = 0 \), affirmative answers are known only in a small number of examples of \( X \), such as hypersurfaces of degree \( n \) in \( \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \) and Mukai-Umemura threefolds (see Section 7 of [4]).

Theorem 1.4 enables us to give a stronger form of Liouville-type extension for our double cover \( X \) as follows.

Theorem 1.9. Let \( Y_1, Y_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^n, n \geq 3 \), be two smooth hypersurfaces of degree \( 2m \), \( 2 \leq m \leq n-1 \). Let \( \phi_1 : X_1 \to \mathbb{P}^n \) (resp. \( \phi_2 : X_2 \to \mathbb{P}^n \)) be a double cover of \( \mathbb{P}^n \) branched along \( Y_1 \) (resp. \( Y_2 \)). Let \( U_1 \subset X_1 \) and \( U_2 \subset X_2 \) be two connected open subsets. Suppose that }
given a biholomorphic map $\gamma : U_1 \to U_2$ such that for any minimal rational curve $C_1 \subset X_1$, there exists a minimal rational curve $C_2 \subset X_2$ with $\gamma(U_1 \cap C_1) = U_2 \cap C_2$. Then we can find a biregular morphism $\Gamma : X_1 \to X_2$ with $\Gamma|_{U_1} = \gamma$.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we will present some basic facts concerning double covers of $\mathbb{P}^n$ and their minimal rational curves. Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 will be proved in Section 3. In Section 4, the variation of VMRT is studied and Theorem 1.3 will be proved. Finally, in Section 5, we review the notion of projective connections to prove a general version of Theorem 1.4 and explain how Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 1.9 can be derived from Theorem 1.4.

2. Minimal rational curves and ECO lines

Throughout, we will fix integers $n \geq 3$ and $2 \leq m \leq n - 1$. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree $2m$. Let $\phi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^n$ ramified along $Y$. Such a double cover arises as a submanifold in the line bundle $O_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m)$ as explained in pp.242-244 of [10]. This implies the following uniqueness result, where the slightly awkward appearance of the open subsets $U_1$ and $U_2$ are for our later use in Section 5.

Lemma 2.1. Given a smooth hypersurface $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $2m$, let $\phi_1 : X_1 \to \mathbb{P}^n$ and $\phi_2 : X_2 \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be two choices of double covers of $\mathbb{P}^n$ branched along $Y$. Let $U_1 \subset X_1$ and $U_2 \subset X_2$ be two connected open subsets (in classical topology) with $\phi_1(U_1) = \phi_2(U_2)$. Then there exists a biregular morphism $\Gamma : X_1 \to X_2$ with $\Gamma(U_1) = U_2$ and $\phi_1 = \phi_2 \circ \Gamma$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\phi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be a double cover branched along a smooth hypersurface $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $2m$. A rational curve $C \subset X$ with $\phi(C) \not\subset Y$ is a minimal rational curve if it has degree 1 with respect to $\phi^*O_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$. For $x \in X \setminus \phi^{-1}(Y)$, we denote by $K_x$ the (normalized) space of minimal rational curves through $x$. It is known (e.g. II.3.11.5 in [8]) that $K_x$ is a union of finitely many nonsingular projective varieties. From the adjunction formula

$$K_X = \phi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^n} + \frac{1}{2}[Y]) = \phi^*O_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n + m - 1),$$

$X$ is a Fano manifold of index $n - m + 1$ and $\dim K_x = n - m - 1$.

Definition 2.3. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be an irreducible reduced hypersurface. A line $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is an ECO (Even Contact Order) line with respect to $Y$ if $\ell \not\subset Y$ and the local intersection number at each point of $\ell \cap Y$ is even. For a point $x \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus Y$, identify the space of lines through $x$ with the projective space $\mathbb{P}T_x(\mathbb{P}^n)$ and denote by $E_x^Y \subset \mathbb{P}T_x(\mathbb{P}^n)$ the space of ECO lines through $x$ with respect to $Y$.

Next proposition is a direct generalization of well-known facts for $(n, m) = (3, 2)$ (e.g. [12]).

Proposition 2.4. In the setting of Definition 2.2, an irreducible reduced curve $C \subset X$ with $\phi(C) \not\subset Y$ is a minimal rational curve if and only if the image curve $\phi(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is an ECO line with respect to $Y$. Moreover, a minimal rational curve $C$ is smooth and $\phi| C : C \to \phi(C)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $C \subset X$ be an irreducible curve such that $\ell := \phi(C)$ is an ECO line with respect to $Y$. Suppose that $\phi| C : C \to \ell$ is not birational, i.e., $C = \phi^{-1}(\phi(C))$. For a point $z \in \phi(C) \cap Y$, let $t$ be a local uniformizing parameter on $\ell$ at $z$ and let $r_z$ be the local intersection number of $\ell$ and $Y$ at $z$. Then $C$ is analytically defined by the equation $s^2 = t^{r_z}$ (cf. [7] pp. 242-244). Let $\tilde{C}$ be the normalization of $C$. Since $r_z$ is even, $\dim \tilde{C} = 2$. So $\phi|_{\tilde{C}} : \tilde{C} \to \phi(\tilde{C})$ is an isomorphism.
\[ z \in \phi(C) \cap Y, \] the composition of the normalization morphism \( \tilde{C} \to C \) and the covering morphism \( \phi|_C : C \to \ell \) induces a morphism \( \tilde{C} \to \ell \) of degree 2 without ramification point, a contradiction. Thus \( \phi|_C : C \to \ell \) is birational and \( C \) has degree 1 with respect to \( \phi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \).

Conversely, if \( C \) is a minimal rational curve, then \( \ell := \phi(C) \) is a line in \( \mathbb{P}^n \) with \( \ell \not\subset Y \) and \( \phi|_C : C \to \ell \) must be birational. Thus \( \phi^{-1}(\ell) \) has an irreducible component \( C' \) different from \( C \) with \( \phi(C \cap C') = \ell \cap Y \). By the same argument as before, if the local intersection number \( r_z \) at \( z \in \ell \cap Y \) is odd, the germ of \( \phi^{-1}(\ell) \) over \( z \), defined by \( s^2 = t^z \), is irreducible, a contradiction. Thus \( r_z \) is even for all \( z \in \ell \cap Y \) and \( \ell \) is an ECO line. Moreover, \( C \) must be smooth and the morphism \( \phi|_C : C \to \ell \) is an isomorphism. \( \square \)

We have the following consequence.

**Proposition 2.5.** In the setting of Proposition 2.4, let \( Y' \subset \mathbb{P}^n \) be an irreducible reduced hypersurface distinct from \( Y \). Then a general ECO line with respect to \( Y \) intersects \( Y' \) transversally. In particular, a general ECO line with respect to \( Y \) cannot be an ECO line with respect to \( Y' \).

**Proof.** On a Fano manifold \( X \), for any subset \( Z \subset X \) of codimension \( \geq 2 \) and any reduced hypersurface \( D \subset X \), a general minimal rational curve is disjoint from \( Z \) (e.g. Lemma 2.1 in [3]) and intersects \( D \) transversally (the proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [3]). Putting \( Z = \phi^{-1}(Y \cap Y') \) and \( D = \phi^{-1}(Y') \) for our \( \phi : X \to \mathbb{P}^n \) branched along \( Y \), we see that a general minimal rational curve \( C \) intersects \( \phi^{-1}(Y') \) transversally and \( \phi(C) \cap Y \cap Y' = \emptyset \). Thus \( \phi(C) \) intersects \( Y' \) transversally. \( \square \)

**Proposition 2.6.** In the setting of Proposition 2.4, let \( x \) be a general point of \( X \). Let \( \tau_x : \mathcal{K}_x \to \mathbb{P}T_x(X) \) be the tangent morphism associating each member of \( \mathcal{K}_x \) its tangent direction at \( x \). Then \( \tau_x \) is an embedding and the VMRT \( \mathcal{C}_x = \text{Im}(\tau_x) \subset \mathbb{P}T_x(X) \) is a nonsingular projective variety with finitely many components of dimension \( n - m - 1 \), isomorphic to \( \mathcal{E}_{\phi(x)} \subset \mathbb{P}T_{\phi(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n) \).

**Proof.** The differential \( d\phi_x : \mathbb{P}T_x(X) \to \mathbb{P}T_{\phi(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n) \) sends \( \mathcal{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}T_x(X) \) isomorphically to \( \mathcal{E}_{\phi(x)} \subset \mathbb{P}T_{\phi(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n) \) by Proposition 2.4. It follows that \( \tau_x \) is injective because lines on \( \mathbb{P}^n \) are determined by their tangent directions.

Since we know that \( \mathcal{K}_x \) is nonsingular of dimension \( n - m - 1 \), to prove that \( \tau_x \) is an embedding, it remains to show that \( \tau_x \) is an immersion. By Proposition 1.4 in [3], this is equivalent to showing that for any member \( C \subset X \) of \( \mathcal{K}_x \), the normal bundle \( N_{C/X} \) satisfies

\[ N_{C/X} = O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{n-m-1} \oplus O_{\mathbb{P}^1}^m. \]

By the generality of \( x \), we can write

\[ N_{C/X} = O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_{n-1}) \]

for integers \( a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_{n-1} \geq 0 \) satisfying \( \sum_i a_i = n - m - 1 \). Since \( \phi \) is unramified at general points of \( C \) and \( \phi|_C : C \to \ell := \phi(C) \) is an isomorphism, we have an injective sheaf homomorphism

\[ \phi_* : N_{C/X} \to N_{\ell/\mathbb{P}^n} = O(1)^{n-1}. \]

Thus \( a_1 \leq 1 \). It follows that \( a_1 = \cdots = a_{n-m-1} = 1 \) and \( a_{n-m} = \cdots = a_{n-1} = 0 \). \( \square \)

### 3. Defining equations of VMRT

**Definition 3.1.** A polynomial \( A(t_1, \ldots, t_m) \) in \( m \) variables is said to be weighted homogeneous of weighted degree \( k \) if it is of the form

\[ A(t_1, \ldots, t_m) = \sum c_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_m^{i_m} \]
with coefficients $c_{i_1,\ldots,i_m} \in \mathbb{C}$. An equivalent way of defining it is as follows. We define the \textit{weighted degree} of each variable $t_i$ by $\text{wt}(t_i) := i$ and each monomial by $\text{wt}(t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_N}) := \sum_{j=1}^{N} \text{wt}(t_{i_j})$. Then $A$ is weighted homogeneous of weighted degree $k$ if all monomial terms in $A$ have weighted degree $k$.

**Definition 3.2.** A polynomial of degree $2m$, $m \geq 1$, in one variable with complex coefficients is an \textit{ECO polynomial} if it can be written as the square of a polynomial of degree $m$.

**Proposition 3.3.** For any positive integer $m$, there exists a weighted homogeneous polynomial $A_k(t_1, \ldots, t_m)$ of weighted degree $k$ for each $k, m+1 \leq k \leq 2m$, such that a polynomial in one variable $\lambda$ of degree $2m$

$$a_{2m}\lambda^{2m} + a_{2m-1}\lambda^{2m-1} + \cdots + a_1\lambda + 1$$

is an ECO polynomial if and only if $a_k = A_k(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ for each $m+1 \leq k \leq 2m$.

**Remark 3.4.** Our proof below gives a recursive formula for $A_k$, but an explicit expression of the polynomials $A_k$ will not be needed in this paper.

**Proof.** Suppose that

$$a_{2m}\lambda^{2m} + a_{2m-1}\lambda^{2m-1} + \cdots + a_1\lambda + 1$$

is an ECO polynomial. We can find $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ such that

$$a_{2m}\lambda^{2m} + a_{2m-1}\lambda^{2m-1} + \cdots + a_1\lambda + 1 = (\sigma_m\lambda^m + \sigma_{m-1}\lambda^{m-1} + \cdots + \sigma_1\lambda + 1)^2.$$

For convenience, define $\sigma_0 = 1, \sigma_{m+1} = \cdots = \sigma_{2m} = 0$, so that we can write, for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq 2m$,

$$a_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sigma_i\sigma_{k-i}.$$

Using

$$a_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sigma_i\sigma_{k-i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sigma_i\sigma_{k-i} + 2\sigma_k,$$

we have

$$\sigma_k = \frac{a_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sigma_i\sigma_{k-i}}{2}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Thus

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{a_1}{2}, \quad \sigma_2 = \frac{a_2 - \sigma_1^2}{2} = \frac{a_2}{2} - \frac{a_1^2}{8}, \ldots.$$

Using induction on $k$, we see that

$$\sigma_k = G_k(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$$

for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq m$,

where $G_k(t_1, \ldots, t_m)$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of weighted degree $k$. Setting $G_0 = 1, G_{m+1} = \cdots = G_{2m} = 0$, we see that

$$a_k = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} G_\ell(a_1, \ldots, a_m)G_{k-\ell}(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$$

for all $m+1 \leq k \leq 2m$. Define

$$A_k(t_1, \ldots, t_m) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} G_\ell(t_1, \ldots, t_m)G_{k-\ell}(t_1, \ldots, t_m).$$
Then $A_k$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of weighted degree $k$ such that $a_k = A_k(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ for each $m + 1 \leq k \leq 2m$.

Conversely, given any $(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$, let

$$a_{m+i} = A_{m+i}(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq m$$

where $A_{m+i}$ is defined above. Then for $\sigma_i = G_j(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$, we see that

$$(\sigma_m \lambda^m + \cdots + \sigma_1 \lambda + 1)^2 = a_{2m} \lambda^{2m} + a_{2m-1} \lambda^{2m-1} + \cdots + a_1 \lambda + 1$$

and

$$a_{2m} \lambda^{2m} + a_{2m-1} \lambda^{2m-1} + \cdots + a_1 \lambda + 1$$

is an ECO polynomial.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 3.5.** Regard the affine space

$$\mathbb{A}^{2m} := \{ (a_{2m}, a_{2m-1}, \ldots, a_1) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{C} \}$$

as the set of polynomials

$$a_{2m} \lambda^{2m} + a_{2m-1} \lambda^{2m-1} + \cdots + a_1 \lambda + 1$$

of degree $2m$ with the constant term 1. Then the set $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{A}^{2m}$ of ECO-polynomials is a smooth complete intersection of $m$ divisors $D_1, \ldots, D_m$ where $D_j$ is the smooth divisor defined by $a_{m+j} = A_{m+j}(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ where $A_{m+j}$ is the weighted homogeneous polynomial of weighted degree $m + j$ defined in Proposition 3.3.

Using Corollary 3.5 we will study the space of ECO lines defined in Definition 2.3. For our computation, we introduce the following notation.

**Notation 3.6.** Choose a homogeneous coordinate system $(t_0, \ldots, t_n)$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$. Let $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be the hyperplane defined by $t_0 = 0$. The restriction of $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ will be denoted by $z_1, \ldots, z_n$. They provide a homogeneous coordinate system on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Define the projective isomorphism $\nu_y : \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{P}T_y(\mathbb{P}^n)$ at each point $y = [1 : y_1 : \cdots : y_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ by sending $[z_1 : \cdots : z_n] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ to the tangent direction of the line

$$\{(y_1 + \lambda z_1, \ldots, y_n + \lambda z_n) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

at the point $y$. The collection $\{\nu_y^{-1} \mid y \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\}$ determines a canonical trivialization of the projectivized tangent bundle $\nu^{-1} : \mathbb{P}T(\mathbb{P}^n \setminus \mathbb{P}^{n-1}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n \setminus \mathbb{P}^{n-1}) \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

**Definition 3.7.** For a homogeneous polynomial $f(t_0, \ldots, t_n)$ of degree $2m$, $2 \leq m \leq n-1$ and for each integer $k, 0 \leq k \leq 2m$, define $a_k^f(y;z) = a_k^f(y_1, \ldots, y_n; z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ to be the polynomial in $2n$ variables satisfying

$$f(1, y_1 + \lambda z_1, \ldots, y_n + \lambda z_n) = a_0^f(y; z) + a_1^f(y; z) \lambda + \cdots + a_{2m}^f(y; z) \lambda^{2m}.$$ 

Note that for a fixed $y$, $a_k^f(y; z)$ is a homogeneous polynomial in $z$ of degree $k$. In particular, $a_0^f(y; z) = f(1, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ is independent of $z$.

**Proposition 3.8.** In Notation 3.6 and Definition 3.7, let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be the hypersurface defined by $f(t_0, \ldots, t_n) = 0$. For any point $y \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus (Y \cup \mathbb{P}^{n-1})$, the variety $\nu_y^{-1}(\mathcal{E}_y^Y) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is (set-theoretically) the common zero set of the homogeneous polynomials in $z$, $B_k^f(y; z), m+1 \leq k \leq 2m$, defined by

$$B_k^f(y; z) = B_k^f(y_1, \ldots, y_n; z_1, \ldots, z_n) := a_k^f(y; z) - A_k \left( a_1^f(y; z), \ldots, a_m^f(y; z) \right),$$
where $A_k$ is as in Proposition 3.3. Note that $B_k^f(y;z)$ is homogeneous in $z$ of degree $k$ because $a_k^f(y;z)$ is homogeneous in $z$ of degree $k$ and $A_k$ is weighted homogeneous of weighted degree $k$. In particular, if $E^Y_y$ is of pure dimension $n - m - 1$, then it is set-theoretically a complete intersection of multi-degree $(m + 1, m + 2, \ldots, 2m)$.

Proof. A point $[z_1 : \cdots : z_n] \in P^{n-1}$ belongs to $v_y^{-1}(E^Y_y)$ if and only if the polynomial in $\lambda$

$$f(1, y_1 + \lambda z_1, \ldots, y_n + \lambda z_n) = a_0^f(y; z) + a_1^f(y; z)\lambda + \cdots + a_{2m}^f(y; z)\lambda^{2m}$$

is an ECO polynomial. By Corollary 3.5 we see that $v_y^{-1}(E^Y_y)$ is the common zero set of $B_k^f(y;z), m + 1 \leq k \leq 2m$.

Proposition 3.9. Given a general smooth complete intersection $Z \subset P^{n-1}$ of multi-degree $(m + 1, \ldots, 2m)$, there exist a smooth hypersurface $Y \subset P^n$ of degree $2m$ and a point $y \in P^n \setminus Y$, such that $E^Y_y \subset PT_y(P^n)$ is projectively equivalent to $Z \subset P^{n-1}$. In particular, for a general hypersurface $Y \subset P^n$ of degree $2m$ and a general $y \in P^n \setminus Y$, the variety of ECO lines $E^Y_y \subset PT_y(P^n)$ is a smooth complete intersection of degree $(m + 1, ..., 2m)$.

Proof. Denote by $\{b_k(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mid m + 1 \leq k \leq 2m\}$ homogeneous polynomials with $\deg b_k = k$ defining $Z$. By the generality of $Z$, we may assume that

(i) the affine hypersurface

$$1 + b_{m+1}(t_1, \ldots, t_n) + \cdots + b_{2m}(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = 0$$

in $C^n = \{(t_1, \ldots, t_n), t_i \in C\}$ is smooth and

(ii) the projective hypersurface

$$b_{2m}(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = 0$$

in $P^{n-1}$ with homogeneous coordinates $(z_1 : z_2 : \cdots : z_n)$ is smooth.

Let $Y \subset P^n$ be the hypersurface of degree $2m$ defined by the polynomial

$$f(t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_n) := t_0^{2m} + t_0^{m-1}b_{m+1}(t_1, \ldots, t_n) + \cdots + t_0b_{2m-1}(t_1, \ldots, t_n) + b_{2m}(t_1, \ldots, t_n).$$

Then $Y$ is a smooth hypersurface because it has no singular point on its intersection with the hyperplane $t_0 = 0$ by the assumption (ii), while it has no singular point on the affine space $t_0 \neq 0$ by the assumption (i). In Notation 3.6 consider the point $y = [1 : 0 : 0 : \cdots : 0] \in P^n \setminus (P_{\infty}^{n-1} \cup Y)$. Then

$$f(1, y_1 + \lambda z_1, \ldots, y_n + \lambda z_n) = f(1, \lambda z_1, \ldots, \lambda z_n) = 1 + \lambda^{m+1}b_{m+1}(z) + \cdots + \lambda^{2m}b_{2m}(z).$$

Comparing with Definition 3.7 we obtain

$$a_0^f(y; z) = 1, \ a_1^f(y; z) = \cdots = a_{m}^f(y; z) = 0, \ a_{m+1}^f(y; z) = b_k(z) \text{ for } m + 1 \leq k \leq 2m.$$ 

In the notation of Proposition 3.8

$$B_k^f(y; z_1, \ldots, z_n) = a_k^f(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = b_k(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$$

for $m + 1 \leq k \leq 2m$. This implies that $E^Y_y, y := [1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$, is projectively equivalent to $Z \subset P^{n-1}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.2 is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 3.9.

To prove Theorem 1.1 it suffices to show by Proposition 2.6 that for any smooth $Y \subset P^n$ and a general point $x \in P^n \setminus Y$, the subvariety $E^X_x \subset PT_x(P^n)$ is a smooth complete intersection of multi-degree $(m + 1, \ldots, 2m)$. Proposition 3.9 says that this is O.K. if $Y$ is a general hypersurface.
To check it for any smooth $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, choose a deformation $\{Y_t \mid |t| < \epsilon\}$ of $Y = Y_0$ such that for a Zariski open subset $U_t \subset \mathbb{P}^n \setminus Y_t$, $\mathcal{E}_x^{Y_t} \subset T_x^{\mathbb{P}^n}$ is a smooth complete intersection for any $t \neq 0$ and any $x \in U_t$. By shrinking $\epsilon$ if necessary, the intersection $\cap_{t \neq 0} U_t$ is nonempty. By Proposition 2.6, we have a Zariski open subset $U \subset \mathbb{P}^n \setminus Y$ such that $\mathcal{E}_x^{Y}$ is smooth for any $x \in U$. Pick a point $x \in (\cap_{t \neq 0} U_t) \cap U$.

We can construct a smooth family $\{\phi_t : X_t \to \mathbb{P}^n \mid |t| < \epsilon\}$ of double covers of $\mathbb{P}^n$ branched along $Y_t$’s. Choose $z_t \in \phi_t^{-1}(x)$ in a continuous way. The proof (e.g. II.3.11.5 in [3]) of the smoothness of $K_{\mathcal{E}_x}$ mentioned in Definition 2.2 works for the family $K_{\mathcal{E}_x}$, i.e., the family $\{\mathcal{K}_{z_t} \mid |t| < \epsilon\}$ is a flat family of nonsingular projective subvarieties. Via Proposition 2.6, this implies that $\{\mathcal{E}_x^{Y_t} \mid |t| < \epsilon\}$ is a flat family of nonsingular projective subvarieties in $\mathbb{P}T_x^{\mathbb{P}^n}$. By our choice of $x$, $\mathcal{E}_x^{Y}$ is (scheme-theoretically) a smooth complete intersection for $t \neq 0$, while $\mathcal{E}_x^{Y_0}$ is a nonsingular variety which is set-theoretically a complete intersection of the same multi-degree as $\mathcal{E}_x^{Y_t}$, $t \neq 0$, by Proposition 3.8. We conclude that $\mathcal{E}_x^{Y_0}$ is also a smooth complete intersection of multi-degree $(m + 1, \ldots, 2m)$. □

4. Variation of VMRT

**Notation 4.1.** Let $V_k$ be the vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree $k$ in $z_1, \ldots, z_n$. Each polynomial $h \in V_k$ is of the form

$$h(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = \sum_{i_1 + \cdots + i_n = k} e_{i_1, \ldots, i_n} z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_n^{i_n}.$$  

Regarding $V_k$ as a complex manifold, take $\{e_{i_1, \ldots, i_n}\}_{i_1 + \cdots + i_n = k}$ as linear coordinates on $V_k$ and

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial e_{i_1, \ldots, i_n}} \mid i_1 + \cdots + i_n = k \right\}$$

as a basis for the tangent spaces $T_h(V_k)$ of $V_k$ at each $h \in V_k$. There is a canonical isomorphism between $V_k$ and $T_h(V_k)$ identifying a polynomial

$$\sum_{i_1 + \cdots + i_n = k} E_{i_1, \ldots, i_n} z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_n^{i_n} \in V_k$$

with the tangent vector

$$\sum_{i_1 + \cdots + i_n = k} E_{i_1, \ldots, i_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial e_{i_1, \ldots, i_n}} \in T_h(V_k).$$

**Notation 4.2.** For a homogeneous polynomial $f(t_0, \ldots, t_n)$ of degree $2m$, $2 \leq m \leq n - 1$, write

$$f(t_0, \ldots, t_n) = t_0^{2m} f_0(t_1, \ldots, t_n) + t_0^{2m-1} f_1(t_1, \ldots, t_n) + \cdots + f_{2m}(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$$

where $f_k(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $k = 0, \ldots, 2m$ in $t_1, \ldots, t_n$. Comparing with Definition 3.7 we have

$$f(1, 0, \ldots, 0) = f_0(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = a_0^f(0; z)$$

and $a_i^f(0; z) = f_i(z)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 2m$.

**Definition 4.3.** For a homogeneous polynomial $f(t_0, \ldots, t_n)$ of degree $2m$, $2 \leq m \leq n - 1$, let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be the hypersurface defined by $f(t_0, \ldots, t_n) = 0$ and define a morphism

$$\mu : \mathbb{P}^n \setminus (\mathbb{P}_\infty^{n-1} \cup Y) \to V_{m+1}$$

by sending $y = [1 : y_1 : \cdots : y_n]$ to the polynomial in $z$

$$\mu(y) := [B^f_{m+1}(y; z)] \in V_{m+1}$$

with $B^f_{m+1}(y; z)$ as in Proposition 3.8.
Proposition 4.4. In Notation 4.2 and Definition 4.3, assume that
\[ f(1, 0, \ldots, 0) = f_0(z) = 1 \text{ and } f_1(z) = \cdots = f_m(z) = 0. \]
Then for \( x = [1 : 0 : \cdots : 0] \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus (\mathbb{P}^n_{\infty} \cup Y) \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \in T_x(\mathbb{P}^n) \),
\[ d\mu_x \left( \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \right) = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \frac{\partial f_{m+2}}{\partial t_i}(z) \right] \in T_{\mu(x)}(V_{m+1}) = V_{m+1}. \]

We will use the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. In Notation 4.2, set \( f_{2m+1} = 0 \) for convenience. Assume that \( f(1, 0, \ldots, 0) = f_0(z) = 1. \)
Then \( B_{k}^f(y; z) \) of Proposition 3.8 satisfies
\[ \frac{\partial B_k^f(y; z)}{\partial y_i} \bigg|_{(0; z)} = \frac{\partial f_{k+1}}{\partial t_i}(z) - f_k(z) \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t_i}(z) - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial A_k}{\partial x_j}(f_1(z), \ldots, f_m(z)) \left( \frac{\partial f_{j+1}}{\partial t_i}(z) - f_j(z) \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t_i}(z) \right) \]
for all \( k = m + 1, \ldots, 2m \) and \( i = 1, \ldots, n. \)

Proof. In the equality
\[ \frac{\partial f(t)}{\partial t_i} \bigg|_{t=(1, \lambda z_1, \ldots, \lambda z_n)} = \frac{\partial f(1, y_1 + \lambda z_1, \ldots, y_n + \lambda z_n)}{\partial y_i} \bigg|_{y_1 = \cdots = y_n = 0}, \]
the left hand side can be written, via Notation 4.2
\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t_i}(z_1, \ldots, z_n) + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial t_i}(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \lambda + \cdots + \frac{\partial f_{2m+1}}{\partial t_i}(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \lambda^{2m}. \]
On the other hand, the right hand side is, by Definition 3.7,
\[ \frac{\partial a_0^f}{\partial y_i}(0; z) + \frac{\partial a_1^f}{\partial y_i}(0; z) \lambda + \cdots + \frac{\partial a_{2m}^f}{\partial y_i}(0; z) \lambda^{2m}. \]
Therefore for each \( i = 1, \ldots, n, \)
\[ \frac{\partial a_{2m}^f}{\partial y_i}(0; z) = 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial a_k^f}{\partial y_i}(0; z) = \frac{\partial f_{k+1}}{\partial t_i}(z) \text{ for } k = 0, \ldots, 2m. \]
From this and the assumption that \( a_0^f(0; z) = f(1, 0, \ldots, 0) = 1, \) we obtain
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left( \frac{a_k^f(y; z)}{a_0^f(y; z)} \right) \bigg|_{(y; z) = (0; z)} = \frac{a_0^f(0; z) \frac{\partial a_k^f}{\partial y_i}(0; z) - a_k^f(0; z) \frac{\partial a_0^f}{\partial y_i}(0; z)}{a_0^f(0; z)^2} \]
\[ = \frac{\partial f_{k+1}}{\partial t_i}(z) - f_k(z) \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t_i}(z). \]
for all $k = 0, \ldots, 2m$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Thus
\[
\frac{\partial B_k^f(y; z)}{\partial y_i} \bigg|_{(0; z)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left( \frac{a_k^f(y; z)}{a_0^f(y; z)} \right) \bigg|_{(0; z)} - z \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial A_k}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{a_k^f(0; z)}{a_0^f(0; z)} - \frac{a_m^f(0; z)}{a_0^f(0; z)} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left( \frac{a_j^f(y; z)}{a_0^f(y; z)} \right) \bigg|_{(0; z)}
\]
\[
= \frac{\partial f_{k+1}}{\partial t_i}(z) - f_k(z) \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t_i}(z) - z \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial A_k}{\partial x_j} (f_j(z), \ldots, f_m(z)) \left( \frac{\partial f_{j+1}}{\partial t_i}(z) - f_j(z) \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t_i}(z) \right)
\]
for all $k = m + 1, \ldots, 2m$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

**Proof of Proposition 4.4.** Since $A_k(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ is weighted homogeneous of weighted degree $k$, if $k \geq m + 1$, then the linear part of $A_k(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ does not contain variables $x_1, \ldots, x_m$. Therefore for all $k = m + 1, \ldots, 2m$ and $j = 1, \ldots, m$,
\[
\frac{\partial A_k}{\partial x_j} \bigg|_{(0, \ldots, 0)} = 0.
\]
Thus putting $f_1 = \cdots = f_m = 0$ in Lemma 4.5, we obtain
\[
\frac{\partial B_{m+1}^f}{\partial y_i}(0; z) = \frac{\partial f_{m+2}}{\partial t_i}(z).
\]
It follows that
\[
d\mu_x \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \frac{\partial B_{m+1}^f}{\partial y_i}(0; z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \frac{\partial f_{m+2}}{\partial t_i}(z).
\]

**Notation 4.6.** Denote the action of $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ by $(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto A(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$. We have the natural induced action on $V_k$ given by
\[
(Ah)(z_1, \ldots, z_n) := h(A^{-1}(z_1, \ldots, z_n)), \quad h \in V_k.
\]
Denote the orbit of $h \in V_k$ by
\[
GL(n, \mathbb{C}).h := \{Ah \mid A \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})\} \subset V_k.
\]

**Proposition 4.7.** We use the terminology of Notation 4.1 and Notation 4.6. A tangent vector
\[
\sum_{i_1 + \cdots + i_n = k} E_{i_1, \ldots, i_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial e_{i_1, \ldots, i_n}} \in T_h(V_k)
\]
is tangent to the orbit $GL(n, \mathbb{C}).h$ if and only if there exists an $(n \times n)$ matrix $(s^i_j)_{i=1}^{n}$ such that
\[
\sum_{i_1 + \cdots + i_n = k} E_{i_1, \ldots, i_n} z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_n^{i_n} = \frac{d}{dt} h(z_1 + t \sum_{i=1}^{n} s^i_1 z_i, \ldots, z_n + t \sum_{i=1}^{n} s^i_n z_i) \bigg|_{t=0}.
\]
**Proof.** Define a morphism
\[
GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \to V_k
\]
sending $A$ to $A.h$. Then $GL(n, \mathbb{C}).h$ is the image of $\alpha_h$ and $\alpha_h(I) = h$ where $I$ is the $(n \times n)$ identity matrix. The tangent space of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ at $h$ is the image of the differential
d$(\alpha_h)_I : T_I(GL(n, \mathbb{C})) \to T_h(V_k)$.

Let us identify $T_I(GL(n, \mathbb{C}))$ with the vector space $M_n$ of all $(n \times n)$ matrices so that $A \in M_n$ corresponds to the tangent vector at $I$ of the curve $c(t) = I + tA$ which is indeed a curve on $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ for sufficiently small $t$. Since $\alpha_h \circ c(t)$ is the polynomial $h((I + tA)^{-1}(z_1, \ldots, z_n))$, the differential $d(\alpha_h)_I$ sends $A = \frac{d}{dt}c(t)\bigg|_{t=0}$ to $\frac{d}{dt}h((I + tA)^{-1}(z_1, \ldots, z_n))\bigg|_{t=0}$ which is of the form on the right hand side of the equation in the proposition.

**Proposition 4.8.** In the setting of Proposition 4.4

\[
T_{\mu(x)}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), \mu(x)) = \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} s_j^i z_i \frac{\partial f_{m+1}}{\partial t_j}(z) \mid s_j^i \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \subset T_{\mu(x)}(V_{m+1}) = V_{m+1}.
\]

**Proof.** From $\mu(x) = [B_{m+1}(0; z)] \in V_{m+1}$ and Proposition 4.4, we get

\[
T_{\mu(x)}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), \mu(x)) = \left\{ \frac{d}{dt}B_{m+1}^f(0; z_1 + t \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_j^i z_i, \ldots, z_n + t \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_j^i z_i)\bigg|_{t=0} \mid s_j^i \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.
\]

From Notation 4.2, we have $a_0^f(0; z) = f(1,0,\ldots,0) = 1$ and $a_i^f(0; z) = f_i(z) = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Thus

\[
B_{m+1}^f(0; z) = \frac{a_0^f(0; z)}{a_0^f(0; z)} - A_{m+1} \left( \frac{a_1^f(0; z)}{a_0^f(0; z)}, \ldots, \frac{a_m^f(0; z)}{a_0^f(0; z)} \right) = f_{m+1}(z).
\]

So the following equalities hold

\[
\frac{d}{dt}B_{m+1}^f(0; z_1 + t \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_j^i z_i, \ldots, z_n + t \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_j^i z_i)\bigg|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt}f_{m+1}(z_1 + t \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_j^i z_i, \ldots, z_n + t \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_j^i z_i)\bigg|_{t=0} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} s_j^i z_i \frac{\partial f_{m+1}}{\partial t_j}(z).
\]

Putting it in the above expression for $T_{\mu(x)}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), \mu(x))$, we obtain the result.

**Proposition 4.9.** There exists a smooth hypersurface $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n, n \geq 4$, defined by a homogeneous polynomial $f$ of degree $2m, 2 \leq m \leq n-1$, such that, for a general $x \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus (\mathbb{P}^{m-1} \cup Y)$, using the terminology of Definition 4.3,

\[
\text{rank}(d\mu_x) = n, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_{\mu(x)}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), \mu(x)) = n^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im}(d\mu_x) \cap T_{\mu(x)}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), \mu(x)) = 0.
\]

**Proof.** First, consider the case $m = 2$. Set

\[
f(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = t_0^4 + b(t_1^4 + \cdots + t_3^4)t_0 + (t_1^4 + \cdots + t_n^4) + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4 \leq n} c_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4} t_{i_1} t_{i_2} t_{i_3} t_{i_4}
\]

with some constants $b, c \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Using Notation 4.2, we have

\[
\text{rank}(d\mu_x) = n, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_{\mu(x)}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), \mu(x)) = n^2
\]

and

\[
\text{Im}(d\mu_x) \cap T_{\mu(x)}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), \mu(x)) = 0.
\]
\[ f_4 = (t_1^4 + \cdots + t_n^4) + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4 \leq n} c t_{i_1} t_{i_2} t_{i_3} t_{i_4}. \]

Since the Fermat hypersurface in \( \mathbb{P}^n \) defined by \( t_0^4 + t_1^4 + \cdots + t_n^4 = 0 \) is smooth, the hypersurface \( Y \) defined by \( f = 0 \) is smooth if we choose general \( b \) and \( c \). Set \( x := [1 : 0 : \cdots : 0] \). By Propositions 4.4 and 4.8, we have

\[ \text{Im}(d\mu_x) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial t_i}(z) \mid v_i \in \mathbb{C} \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n v_i (4z_i^3 + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \leq n, \forall i_k \neq i} cz_{i_1} z_{i_2} z_{i_3}) \mid v_i \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \]
and

\[ T_{\mu(x)}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), \mu(x)) = \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n s_j^i z_i \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial z_j}(z) \mid s_j^i \in \mathbb{C} \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n s_j^i z_i z_j^2 \mid s_j^i \in \mathbb{C} \right\}. \]

From this it follows that \( \text{rank}(d\mu_x) = n \) and \( \dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_{\mu(x)}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), \mu(x)) = n^2 \). Also if there exist \( s_j^i \) and \( v_i \) such that

\[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n s_j^i z_i z_j^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i (4z_i^3 + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \leq n, \forall i_k \neq i} cz_{i_1} z_{i_2} z_{i_3}), \]
then \( s_j^i = 0 \) and \( v_i = 0 \) for all \( i \) and \( j \). Therefore

\[ \text{Im}(d\mu_x) \cap T_{\mu(x)}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), \mu(x)) = 0. \]

Next, assume that \( m \geq 3 \). Pick

\[ f(t_0, \ldots, t_n) = t_0^{2m} + b(t_1^{m+1} + \cdots + t_n^{m+1})t_0^{m-1} + c t_1 t_2 t_3(t_4^{m-1} + \cdots + t_n^{m-1})t_0^{m-2} + t_1^{2m} + \cdots + t_n^{2m} \]
with some constants \( b, c \in \mathbb{C}^* \). Using Notation 4.2, we have

\[ f_1 = \cdots = f_m = f_{m+3} = \cdots = f_{2m-1} = 0, \quad f_{m+1} = b(t_1^{m+1} + \cdots + t_n^{m+1}), \]
\[ f_{m+2} = c t_1 t_2 t_3(t_4^{m-1} + \cdots + t_n^{m-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad f_{2m} = t_1^{2m} + \cdots + t_n^{2m}. \]
From the smoothness of the Fermat hypersurface in \( \mathbb{P}^n \) defined by \( t_0^{2m} + t_1^{2m} + \cdots + t_n^{2m} = 0 \), we can see that the hypersurface \( Y \) defined by \( f = 0 \) is smooth for general \( b \) and \( c \). Set \( x := [1 : 0 : \cdots : 0] \). Propositions 4.4 and 4.8 show that

\[ \text{Im}(d\mu_x) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \frac{\partial f_{m+2}}{\partial t_i}(z) \mid v_i \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \]

\[ = \left\{ (v_1 z_2 z_3 + v_2 z_1 z_3 + v_3 z_1 z_2)(z_4^{m-1} + \cdots + z_n^{m-1}) + \sum_{i=4}^n v_i z_1 z_2 z_3 z_i^{m-2} \mid v_i \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \]
and

\[ T_{\mu(x)}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), \mu(x)) = \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n s_j^i z_i \frac{\partial f_{m+1}}{\partial z_j}(z) \mid s_j^i \in \mathbb{C} \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n s_j^i z_i z_j^m \mid s_j^i \in \mathbb{C} \right\}. \]

The condition \( m \geq 3 \) implies that \( \text{rank}(d\mu_x) = n \). It is easy to see that

\[ \dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_{\mu(x)}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), \mu(x)) = n^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im}(d\mu_x) \cap T_{\mu(x)}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), \mu(x)) = 0. \]
Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Proposition 2.6, we may prove the corresponding statement for the morphism \( \eta : W \to \Hilb(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) \) defined on a neighborhood \( W \) of a general point in \( \mathbb{P}^n \) by \( \eta(y) := [E^Y_y] \) for \( y \in W \). Since \( E^Y_x \) is a complete intersection of multi-degree \((m+1, \ldots, 2m)\) for general \( Y \) and general \( x \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus Y \), the equation \( B_{m+1} \) of degree \( m+1 \) is uniquely determined up to \( GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \)-action by the projective equivalence class of \( E^Y_x \). Thus it suffices to show that \( \text{rank}(d\mu_x) = n \) and \( d\mu_x(T_x(\mathbb{P}^n)) \cap T_{\mu(x)}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), \mu(x)) = 0 \) for a general \( Y \) and general \( x \). This follows from Proposition 1.9. \( \square \)

5. PROJECTIVE CONNECTIONS AND RIGIDITY OF MAPS

Definition 5.1. Given a complex manifold \( M \) of dimension \( n \), the projectivized tangent bundle \( \pi : \mathbb{P}T(M) \to M \) is equipped with the tautological line bundle \( \xi \subset \pi^*T(M) \) whose fiber at \( \alpha \in \mathbb{P}T(M) \) is given by \( \hat{\alpha} \subset T_{\pi(\alpha)}(M) \), the 1-dimensional subspace corresponding to \( \alpha \in \mathbb{P}T_{\pi(\alpha)}(M) \). We have the vector subbundle \( \mathcal{T} \subset T(\mathbb{P}T(M)) \) of rank \( n \) whose fiber at \( \alpha \in \mathbb{P}T(M) \) is given by

\[
T_{\alpha} := d\pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\hat{\alpha})
\]

where \( d\pi_{\alpha} : T_{\alpha}(\mathbb{P}T(M)) \to T_{\pi(\alpha)}(M) \) is the differential of the projection \( \pi \). A projective connection on \( M \) is a homomorphism \( p : \xi \to \mathcal{T} \) of vector bundles which splits the exact sequence of vector bundles on \( \mathbb{P}T(M) \)

\[
0 \to T^* \to \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}/T^* \cong \xi \to 0
\]

where \( T^* \subset T(\mathbb{P}T(M)) \) is the relative tangent bundle of \( p \). Given a projective connection \( p : \xi \to \mathcal{T} \), the image \( p(\xi) \subset \mathcal{T} \subset T(\mathbb{P}T(M)) \) is a line subbundle in the tangent bundle of \( \mathbb{P}(T(M)) \) and defines a foliation of rank \( 1 \) on \( \mathbb{P}T(M) \).

Example 5.2. On \( \mathbb{P}^n \), we have a canonical projective connection \( p : \xi \to \mathcal{T} \) such that the leaves of the foliation \( p(\xi) \) are exactly the tangent directions of lines on \( \mathbb{P}^n \). We call this the flat projective connection and denote it by \( p^{\text{flat}} \). Let \( U \) be a connected complex manifold of dimension \( n \) and let \( \varphi : U \to \mathbb{P}^n \) be an immersion. Via the biholomorphic morphism \( \mathbb{P}T(U) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}(\varphi(U))) \), we have an induced projective connection \( \varphi^{\ast} p^{\text{flat}} \) on \( U \).

By the affirmative answer to Problem 1.8 when \( X = \mathbb{P}^n \) (see the remark after Problem 1.8), two immersions \( \varphi_i : U \to \mathbb{P}^n, i = 1, 2 \), are related by a projective transformation, i.e., there exists an automorphism \( \psi : \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^n \) such that \( \varphi_2 = \psi \circ \varphi_1 \), if and only if the two projective connections \( \varphi_1^{\ast} p^{\text{flat}} \) and \( \varphi_2^{\ast} p^{\text{flat}} \) coincide.

Proposition 5.3. In the setting of Definition 1.4, let \( \mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}T(M) \) be a closed subvariety dominant over \( M \) such that for a general point \( x \in M \), the fiber \( \mathcal{C}_x \subset \mathbb{P}T_x(M) \) is not contained in a quadric hypersurface. Suppose that \( p_1, p_2 : \xi \to \mathcal{T} \) are two projective connections on \( M \) such that \( p_1|_x = p_2|_x \). Then \( p_1 = p_2 \).

Proof. Since \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) split the exact sequence in Definition 5.1, the difference \( p_1 - p_2 \) determines an element \( \sigma \in H^0(\mathbb{P}T(M), T^* \otimes \xi^{-1}) \). For a general \( x \in M \), \( \sigma_x \) is a section of \( T(\mathbb{P}T_x(M)) \otimes \xi^{-1} \) on the projective space \( \mathbb{P}T_x(M) \). The condition \( p_1|_x = p_2|_x \) implies that \( \sigma_x \) vanishes on the subvariety \( \mathcal{C}_x \). In term of a homogeneous coordinate system on projective space \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \), a nonzero section of \( T(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1) \) is represented by a homogeneous polynomial vector field with quadratic coefficients. In particular, the zero set of such a section must be contained in some quadric hypersurface. By the assumption that \( \mathcal{C}_x \) is not contained in a quadric hypersurface, we see that \( \sigma_x = 0 \). Since it is true for a general \( x \in M \), we obtain \( p_1 = p_2 \). \( \square \)

We have the following general version of Theorem 1.4. In fact, Theorem 1.4 is a corollary of Theorem 1.3 by Theorem 1.3.
Theorem 5.4. Let $X$ be a Fano manifold. For a general point $x \in X$, we denote by $K_x$ the space of minimal rational curves through $x$ and by $C_x \subset \mathbb{P}T_x(X)$ the VMRT at $x$. Assume that $C_x$ is not contained in a quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}T_x(X)$. Let $U \subset X$ be a connected neighborhood of a general point $x \in X$ and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : U \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be two holomorphic immersions such that for any $y \in U$ and any member $C$ of $K_y$, both $\varphi_1(C \cap U)$ and $\varphi_2(C \cap U)$ are contained in lines in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then there exists a projective transformation $\psi : \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $\varphi_2 = \psi \circ \varphi_1$.

Proof. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}T(X)$ be the closure of the union of $C_x \subset \mathbb{P}T_x(X)$ as $x$ varies over general points of $X$. For a member $C$ of $K_x$ and its smooth locus $C^0 \subset C$, the curve $\mathbb{P}T(C^0) \subset \mathbb{P}T(X)$ lies in $C$. In fact, by the definition of $C$ such curves cover a dense open subset in $C$.

Consider the projective connections $\varphi_*^1 p_{\text{flat}}$ on $U$. Let $C$ be a general minimal rational curve intersecting $U$. Since $\varphi_1(C \cap U)$ and $\varphi_2(C \cap U)$ are contained in lines in $\mathbb{P}^n$, the difference

$$\varphi_*^1 p_{\text{flat}} - \varphi_*^2 p_{\text{flat}} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}T(U), T^\pi \otimes \xi^{-1}),$$

in the notation of Definition 5.4, with $M = U$, vanishes along the Riemann surface $\mathbb{P}T(C^0) \cap \mathbb{P}T(U)$. Since such Riemann surfaces cover a dense open subset in $C \cap \mathbb{P}T(U)$, the two projective connections must agree on $C \cap \mathbb{P}T(U)$. Applying Proposition 5.3 with $M = U$, we conclude $\varphi_*^1 p_{\text{flat}} = \varphi_*^2 p_{\text{flat}}$. As mentioned in Example 5.2, this implies the existence of a projective transformation $\psi$ satisfying $\varphi_2 = \psi \circ \varphi_1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Putting $m = n - 1$ in the proof of Proposition 2.6, we see that minimal rational curves on $X_i, i = 1, 2$, have trivial normal bundles and rational curves through general points with trivial normal bundles are minimal rational curves. By Proposition 6 of [10] (also cf. Theorem 3.1 (iv) in [11]), for a general minimal rational curve $C \subset X_2$, each irreducible component of $f^{-1}(C)$ is a minimal rational curve in $X_1$. In other words, $f$ sends minimal rational curves of $X_1$ through a general point to those of $X_2$. Putting

$$\hat{X} = X_1, X = X_2, g = f, \phi = \phi_2, \text{ and } h = \phi_1$$

in Corollary 1.5, we see that $\phi_1 = \psi \circ \phi_2 \circ f$ for some projective transformation $\psi$. Thus $f$ must be birational, and hence an isomorphism.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Applying Theorem 1.4 to $\varphi := \phi_2 \circ \gamma : U_1 \to \phi_2(U_1) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, we have a projective transformation $\psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ such that $\psi \circ \phi_1|_{U_1} = \phi_2 \circ \gamma$. By the assumption on $\gamma$ and Proposition 2.4, we have $d\psi(E_x) = E_{\psi(x)}$ for $x \in \phi_1(U_1)$. By Proposition 2.5, this implies $\psi(Y_1) = Y_2$. Thus replacing $Y_1$ by $\psi(Y_1)$ and $\phi_1$ by $\psi \circ \phi_1$, we may assume that $Y_1 = Y_2$ and $\phi_1(U_1) = \phi_2(U_2)$. From Lemma 2.1 there exists a birational morphism $\Gamma : X_1 \to X_2$ with $\Gamma_{|U_1} = \gamma$.
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