Burst predicting neurons survive an *in vitro* glutamate injury model of cerebral ischemia
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Neuronal activity *in vitro* exhibits network bursts characterized by brief periods of increased spike rates. Recent work shows that a subpopulation of neurons reliably predicts the occurrence of network bursts. Here, we examined the role of burst predictors in cultures undergoing an *in vitro* model of cerebral ischemia. Dissociated primary cortical neurons were plated on multielectrode arrays and spontaneous activity was recorded at 17 days *in vitro* (DIV). This activity was characterized by neuronal avalanches where burst statistics followed a power law. We identified burst predictors as channels that consistently fired immediately prior to network bursts. The timing of these predictors relative to bursts followed a skewed distribution that differed sharply from a null model based on branching ratio. A portion of cultures were subjected to an excitotoxic insult (DIV 18). Propidium iodine and fluorescence imaging confirmed cell death in these cultures. While the insult did not alter the distribution of avalanches, it resulted in alterations in overall spike rates. Burst predictors, however, maintained baseline levels of activity. The resilience of burst predictors following excitotoxic insult suggests a key role of these units in maintaining network activity following injury, with implications for the selective effects of ischemia in the brain.

Network bursts are a common feature of several *in vitro* preparations of the central nervous system. They are characterized by short transients of rapid spiking activity flanked by silent periods. Cultures of cortical neurons begin to emit network bursts around day *in vitro* (DIV) 6 and gradually develop a rich repertoire of activity during the course of maturation^1^.

Experimental work has associated network bursts with the propagation of neuronal information^2^ and the formation of central pattern generators^3^.

The statistics of spontaneous network bursts follow a power law distribution, with scaling exponents that are suggestive of a critical state that promotes information processing^4^ (with some controversy surrounding the issue^5^).

Experimental work has characterized subsets of neurons that reliably predict the occurrence of network bursts^6,7^.

Both experimental and theoretical work suggests that these burst-predicting cells have stable long-term spiking dynamics that can be modified by electrical stimulation^7,8^. Cells that predict bursts of activity may therefore be central to network function.

Because burst-predicting cells play a causal role in the initiation of network activity^9^, their survival following a neural injury may be crucial to the maintenance of network bursts. In hippocampus, burst predicting cells have been identified as GABAergic interneurons with long-range (or short-range, but dense) axonal arborisation^10^.

These cells are more resistant than principal (pyramidal) cells to an ischemic insult^11^, suggesting that burst predictors may indeed be less susceptible to neurotoxic insult. This link, however, has to our knowledge never been established directly.

Here, we examined how *in vitro* network activity is altered by an excitotoxic glutamate insult that captures key features of oxygen-glucose deprivation during ischemia^12^.

Glutamate is a neurotransmitter found in great abundance in the central nervous system and has been associated with long-term potentiation by binding with and activating both NMDA and AMPA receptors on post-synaptic sites^13^.

In this state, neurons can suffer from an over-abundance of glutamate in the synaptic cleft, and be constantly excited, in turn succumbing to excitotoxicity.

We cultured dissociated cortical cells on multielectrode arrays (MEAs, Fig. 1a) and extracted multiunit activity (MUA) from each electrode (Fig. 1b), which reflects the combined spiking activity of neurons surrounding the...
electrode\textsuperscript{13,15–17}. We examined baseline neuronal activity at DIV 17 to identify channels that predict network bursts (Fig. 1c). To assess the viability of burst predicting cells, a portion of the cultures were subjected to a glutamate insult, where on DIV 18 we added ouabain-TBOA to the extracellular fluid. While the ischemic insult caused marked cell death, both neuronal avalanches and burst predictor firing rates were largely maintained. These findings have implications for the functional recovery of neuronal networks following cerebral ischemia.

Results
Impact of Glutamate Injury on Neuronal Cultures. We first evaluated the percentage of death caused by 10 min (‘low’ kill) and 20 min (‘high’ kill) exposures to ouabain-TBOA insults applied at 18 DIV, as determined
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Figure 2. Excitotoxic insult causes widespread neuronal death. (a) Two representative phase contrasts (top row) as well as propidium iodide (PI) and fluorescent images (bottom row). PI images have inverted colour tones. (b) Percentage of dead neurons for each treatment condition (see Assessment of Neuronal Injury section). Error bars are standard error of the mean.

Impact of Injury on Neuronal Activity. Avalanches. Network bursts in vitro are often characterized by avalanches in which smaller (or shorter) events occurred more often than larger (or longer) events. We measured the propagation of activity in both baseline and post-insult cultures by examining three distributions: (1) the duration of avalanches; (2) the total number of cells activated at least once during avalanches; and, (3) the number of MUA events generated during avalanches. Our results show that baseline distributions of all three measures were approximately linear in log-log coordinates, suggesting a power law distribution. Consistent with previous work, we estimated the slope of power laws using a maximum likelihood method. The estimated slope $\hat{\alpha}$ for a bounded discrete power law follows

$$\hat{\alpha} = \arg \max_\alpha \left[ -\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n \ln \left( \alpha, x_{\min}, x_{\max} \right) \right].$$

(1)
where $x_i$ is the $i$th element from the vector of all data $X = [x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ and $n$ is the size of the data vector. Techniques for estimating lower and upper bounds from raw data are covered elsewhere\textsuperscript{20}; here, we set $x_{\text{min}}$ and $x_{\text{max}}$ to the minimum and maximum values observed in the data. The $n$th element of $X$ corresponds to the duration of time (measured in ms), number of units active during the $n$th avalanche recorded, and the number of MUA events (Fig. 3, left, middle, and right panels, respectively). The Hurwitz zeta function, $\zeta(\alpha, x_{\text{min}}, x_{\text{max}})$ is given by

$$\zeta(\alpha, x_{\text{min}}, x_{\text{max}}) = \zeta(\alpha, x_{\text{min}}) - \zeta(\alpha, x_{\text{max}}),$$

where

$$\zeta(\alpha, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(i+x)^\alpha}.$$  

Consistent with previous work\textsuperscript{17,18}, the estimated slope $\alpha$ of all power law distributions was near 1.5 (albeit slightly above, see Fig. 3, coloured insets). Although the insult yielded marked cell death (Fig. 2), the propagation of activity was largely maintained, with a slight drop in power law exponents for low kill as well as high kill MEAs (Fig. 3). The presence of avalanches in the activity of post-insult cultures implies that spontaneous neuronal dynamics were largely intact despite high levels of neuronal death.

We compared these results with those obtained from a branching model\textsuperscript{19} that produces avalanches of network bursts. First, we computed the critical branching parameter for all baseline recordings. This branching parameter ($\sigma$) quantifies the ratio of downstream electrodes that become active as a function of upstream activation. The branching parameter is computed by

$$\sigma = \sum_{d=0}^{n_{\text{max}}} d \times p(d),$$

where $p(d)$ is the probability of observing $d$ descendants, and $n_{\text{max}}$ is the maximal number of active electrodes. Descendants $d$ are computed by

$$d = \text{round} \left( \frac{n_d}{n_a} \right),$$

where $n_a$ is the number of ancestors observed in the first time bin, $n_d$ is the number of active electrodes in the second time bin, and round is the rounding operator to the nearest integer\textsuperscript{15,19}. The probability of observing $d$ descendants was computed by

$$p(d) = \sum_{\text{avalanches}} \left( \frac{n_{\text{desc}}}{n_{a}} \right) \left( \frac{n_{\text{max}} - 1}{n_{\text{max}} - n_a} \right),$$

where $n_{\text{desc}}$ is the total number of ancestors when $n_a$ descendants were observed, $n_{a}$ is the total number of ancestors observed, and

$$\left( \frac{n_{\text{max}} - 1}{n_{\text{max}} - n_a} \right).$$
is a factor that provides a correction for the number of electrodes available in the next time bin because of refractoriness. Mean propagation was $\sigma = 0.97$ (s.d., 0.04). This means that on average, every event was followed by ~1 event, as consistent with a 3/2 slope of avalanches.

Next, we paired the result of the above critical branching analysis with a propagation model. We designed a randomly connected network of $N = 100$ binary (on or off) units that made $C = N$ synapses (all-to-all connectivity). All synapses had a probability $p_i$ of propagation that was randomly chosen, but constrained so that the sum of all presynaptic connections was equal to

$$\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{C} p_i$$

where $0 \leq p_i \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \sigma \leq C$. With $\sigma = 1$, the model generated irregular activity with transients of network synchronization similar to experimental data (Fig. 4b, black). The best-fitting slope for avalanche activity (number of spikes) was $\alpha = 1.5$. Increasing the branching parameter ($\sigma = 1.2$) resulted in larger network bursts (Fig. 4b, red). As a result, the best-fitting slope was lower than 1.5 ($\alpha = 1.3$). Conversely, decreasing the branching parameter ($\sigma = 0.8$) led to smaller network bursts (Fig. 4b, green) whose distribution was fitted with a steeper slope ($\alpha = 1.7$).

**Impact of Injury on Burst Predictors.** Burst predictors. To further characterize the impact of ischemic injury on spontaneous neuronal activity, we identified electrodes that reliably predicted network bursts (i.e., Fig. 1d). We computed a burst prediction (BP) score for each channel at baseline (DIV 17) that measures the propensity of a given channel to be active immediately prior to a network burst (within a pre-burst window of 50 ms), normalized by the mean firing rate (see Methods). The distribution of BP scores was positively skewed, with a heavy tail towards higher values, suggesting that a small subset of electrodes were responsible for a large proportion of BP scores (Fig. 4a).

By comparison, BP scores obtained with the branching model were low and followed a narrow distribution (Fig. 4c). This is explained by the random propagation of activity in this model, and therefore serves as a null model against which experimental scores may be compared. The marked difference in BP scores between the model and experiments suggests that strong burst predictors do not emerge naturally from a process of random propagation.

Figure 4. Burst predictors and firing activity. (a) Histogram of burst predictor scores for all cultures at baseline (DIV 17). (b) Spike raster depicting network activity generated by the branching model ($N = 100$) with various branching parameters ($\sigma$). (c) Distribution of burst prediction scores obtained from pooling 100 branching models ($\sigma = 1$). (d) Scatter plot of firing rates versus burst prediction scores (DIV 17).
lower burst predictors (values below 20) had a broad range of firing rates, including both higher (>0.5 Hz) and lower (<0.5 Hz) values. Overall, firing rates were not strongly correlated with BP scores (Pearson correlation, \( r^2(413) = -0.0004, p > 0.372 \)).

**Alterations in the Distribution of BP Scores.** Was the distribution of BP altered following ouabain-TBOA treatment? To answer that question, we compared burst prediction scores before and after treatment in low and high kill conditions. The overall distribution of scores remained similar at DIV 17 (pre-treatment) vs. 21 (post-treatment) for low kill (Wilcoxon rank test, \( z = 1.62, p > 0.106 \)) as well as high kill conditions (\( z = 1.14, p > 0.256 \)). Therefore, overall distributions of burst prediction scores were not significantly altered by ouabain-TBOA treatment.

In addition, we investigated whether BP scores diminished in accordance with the ratio of cell death. We examined this question by calculating the correlation between two values, namely (i) the percentage of electrodes that no longer emitted spikes following treatment; and (ii) the mean burst prediction score across all electrodes. This correlation was not significant (\( r(21) = 0.35, p > 0.124 \); low-kill and high-kill conditions were pooled), indicating that mean burst prediction scores did not relate straightforwardly to the percentage of inactive electrodes following ouabain-TBOA treatment.

**Alterations in Firing Rate.** To determine whether individual burst predictors were susceptible to insult, we examined the difference in firing rate (\( \Delta \)rate) between baseline and post-insult recordings across single channels (Fig. 5a). For each channel of a given MEA, we subtracted the mean firing rate at baseline (DIV 17) from the mean firing rate at DIV 21. Values of \( \Delta \)rate that fall below zero indicate a post-insult decrease in firing rate. Across each condition, strong burst predictors (BP scores greater than 20) maintained firing rates that were comparable to baseline. By comparison, weak burst predictors (BP scores lower than 20) in the control and low kill conditions showed mainly positive (and some slight negative) changes in firing rate. In the high kill condition, on the other hand, weak burst predictors showed mainly negative changes in rate. Baseline firing rates were not strongly related to \( \Delta \)rate values (\( r^2(413) = 0.02, p > 0.002 \)). In sum, a small subset of strong burst predictors displayed firing rates that were highly resistant to changes following the excitotoxic injury.

**Was the identity of strong burst predicting cells the same before and after treatment?** We addressed this question by grouping electrodes in the low kill condition according to their pre-treatment (DIV 17) burst prediction scores.
scores. Electrodes with the top five scores (“high BP” group) retained significantly higher scores after treatment than all other electrodes (“low BP” group) (paired t-test, t(20) = −2.36, p < 0.028) (Fig. 5b). Therefore, electrodes with high burst prediction scores before treatment had a strong tendency to maintain (or increase) high scores following treatment.

The stability of burst prediction scores over several recordings was further demonstrated using a principal components analysis of these scores across five days in vitro (DIV 15, 16, 17, 21 and 22). The largest principal component (accounting for 55% of the variance) was strongly related to mean burst prediction scores (Fig. 5d), showing a consistency of mean scores across recordings.

The stability of strong burst predicting cells does not, however, preclude the possibility that cells identified as low predictors before treatment emerge as strong predictors following treatment. To investigate this possibility, we identified electrodes with a score of zero during pre-treatment recordings (DIV 17) in the low kill condition. For a portion of these electrodes, post-treatment (DIV 21) scores were greater than zero, indicating the emergence of new burst predictors following treatment (Fig. 5c). These results suggest a reorganization of network activity following ouabain-TBOA insult.

Overall, results show that the activity of strong burst predicting cells remained stable despite some degree of network reorganization following neuronal insult. Next, we discuss the implications of these results for our understanding of the links between network activity and ischemic injury.

Discussion

The aim of the current study was to examine whether cells that predicted the occurrence of network bursts were more (or less) susceptible than others to an in vitro model of ischemia. We computed BP scores that reflected the ability of individual channels to predict upcoming network bursts. Overall, these scores showed a highly skewed distribution that could not be reproduced by a branching model with random activation sites. Further, BP scores could not be accounted for strictly on the basis of firing rates, changes in propagation delays, or spatial clustering in vivo to resolve this question and examine the role of GABA transmission in strong burst predictors both in vivo and in vitro as well as their link to ischemia.

The idea that neuronal injury may target different aspects of brain networks while leaving other aspects intact has been examined across a broad range of studies. In adult mice, for instance, the rabies virus may be selectively taken up by sensory neurons and then distributed to the dorsal root ganglia21. In humans, different subgroups of neurons have a distinct immune signature that contributes to susceptibility to infection22. This differential susceptibility may have consequences (albeit largely unknown) on information processing in local networks23.

It remains unclear what might explain the resilience of strong burst predictors to an ischemic insult. In hippocampus, strong burst predictors have been identified as GABAergic interneurons with axonal arborisations that are either long range or short and dense23. In several brain regions, GABAergic interneurons are more resistant to cell death than principal neurons23. Functional studies, however, show that ischemia impacts the activation of GABAergic neurons through a rise in Cl− that reduces the Cl− influx of these cells23. Further work is required to resolve this question and examine the role of GABA transmission in strong burst predictors both in vivo and in vitro as well as their link to ischemia.

One question we did not examine here is the possibility of a delayed effect of ischemia on strong burst predictors. Because our recordings were limited to three days post-insult, it is possible that we did not detect effects of ischemia that occur over a longer time period. Future work that extends our results by recording activity several days in vitro following excitotoxic insult would allow us to clarify whether network activity (and particularly burst predictors) remains stable across a longer time period. Finally, it is unclear from our results whether burst predictors merely anticipate network bursts or whether they drive their occurrence in a causal fashion23. Addressing this question is technologically challenging, as it requires the identification of strong burst predictors during ongoing recordings. This would constitute an important step in understanding the relationship between burst predictors, network activity, and ischemic injury.

Methods

Cultured Neurons on Multi Electrode Arrays. All experiments were approved by the Human Health Therapeutics Animal Care Committee at the National Research Council Canada and carried out in accordance with approved guidelines. Culturing and plating of primary cortical/hippocampal neurons was performed as previously described11. Briefly, time-pregnant embryonic day 18 Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River, St. Constant, Quebec, Canada) were anesthetized with halothane and culled by cervical dislocation. Following dissection of the cortical and hippocampal regions of the fetal brains, cells were centrifuged at 1,000 g for 3 min at 4 °C and were dispersed by gentle trituration. Cells were plated on MEAs at a high density of 1.8 × 106 cells/ml of medium (consisting of EMEM (Wisent) supplemented to 25 mM glucose), 10% fetal bovine serum (PAA), 10% horse serum (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, U.S.A.), and pen/strep (Gibco 1X). The entire surface of each MEA dish (Multi-Channel Systems, Reutlingen, Germany) was pre-treated with a high molecular weight poly-L-lysine (0.025 mg/mL diluted in 1 x PBS; Sigma-Aldrich) and laminin (0.02 mg/ml; Gibco) to promote cell adhesion and minimize cell migration. To better approximate neurotoxic insult conditions previously employed in our laboratory, cell suspensions were plated at 1 ml/MEA so that the entire MEA surface (and not just the inner electrode region) was coated with cells13,15. Cultures were maintained in a humidified incubator at 37 °C with a 5% carbon dioxide / 95% air atmosphere. Osmolality was strictly controlled by daily addition of distilled water and by covering MEAs with a transparent, gas-permeable polydimethylsiloxane lid to prevent evaporation24. To minimize glial cell proliferation, a mitotic inhibitor (15 μg/ml of 5-fluoro-2’-deoxyuridine and 35 μg/ml of uridine) was added at 4 days in vitro.

...
(DIV). In addition, MEAs were gently rinsed and media was filtered starting at 7 DIV and repeated every 4 days. A 50% media (containing 10% horse serum but not fetal calf serum) change was performed at 7 DIV, with 33% media changed every 3 DIV thereafter.

**Recordings of Spontaneous Neuronal Activity.** Immediately prior to a recording session, an MEA was removed from the maintenance incubator, capped with a sterile vented lid and placed in the acquisition platform housed within a 37°C incubator. A 20 min equilibration period allowed for minimization of movement-stimulated activity. Spontaneous activity was recorded in 20 min sessions at 17–18 and 21–22 DIV using MC Rack software (Multi-Channel Systems) employing the following settings: unit-less amplifier gain (1100.0), input voltage range (+/− 2048 mV) and acquisition rate (5 kHz).

Data from the multi-unit recordings were analyzed offline using custom software written in MATLAB (Mathworks Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, USA). We preprocessed the raw voltage recordings as in previous work15,16. First, each channel's activity was down-sampled from 5 kHz (acquisition rate) to 1 kHz. Raw voltages were then stored in a matrix X of size N by T, where N = 59 is the number of electrodes analyzed and T = 1,114,000 ms is the number of milliseconds in a single recording session (20 minutes). We applied a 2nd order high-pass Butterworth filter with a cut-off frequency of 200 Hz to retain high frequency deflections (Fig. 1b, black trace). Consistent with related work25,26, multi-unit activity (MUA) was detected by setting a threshold $θ_i = 5 \cdot σ_i$ (Fig. 1b, red line) for a given channel i, where

$$σ_i = \text{median} \left( \frac{|X_{i,1} - T|}{0.6745} \right)$$

where the matrix X contains bandpass filtered signals, each row is a channel indexed by i, and each column is a time-step (measured in ms). For each millisecond time-step, activation was detected when the ith channel's voltage was lower than or equal to threshold $θ_i$. This process was repeated for all electrodes generating a matrix Y of the same size as X, with '1's and '0's denoting activation or silence, respectively (Fig. 1c). Channels with fewer than two MUA events or firing rates greater than five standard deviations away from the mean were removed.

**Burst Predictors.** We sought to characterize the ability of different units to predict the upcoming occurrence of network bursts (Fig. 1d). We first downsamplied the recorded data from 1 kHz recordings by a factor of 10. This operation resulted in a matrix Z of size N by T/10, where B = 10 ms, with '1's and '0's denoting the presence or absence of at least one MUA event, respectively, in a given bin of 10 ms. To detect network bursts, we summed network firing activity across channels, and employed a 100-fold bootstrapping method where the original data was compared to randomly permuted data. For each random matrix, the firing rate of each channel was maintained; the firing times, however, were randomly permuted. This random matrix was summed across channels, producing a vector that was compared to the experimental data. Times when the experimental data exceeded 95% of the random vectors were marked as bursts (Fig. 1c-d, blue line marks the 95% threshold). Cultures with less than 5 bursts for an entire baseline recording were removed from further analysis (12 out of 42 total cultures were removed). Finally, we computed a burst prediction (BP) score for each channel (Fig. 4f). This score is computed by counting the number of network bursts preceded by at least one MUA event by at most 50 ms (Fig. 1d, red dots), and dividing by the total number of network bursts. The resulting score was divided by the mean rate of each channel to control for electrodes with high firing rates that were not specific to network bursts.

**Neurotoxic Insult.** Following baseline recording sessions (17 DIV), cultures at 18 DIV were exposed to a combination of a Na+/K⁺ ATPase inhibitor, 5 μM ouabain (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA), with a glutamate uptake inhibitor, 40 μM DL-threo-β-benzylxaspartic acid (TBOA; Tocris Bioscience, U.S.A.), for 15 min (‘low’ kill) or 20 min (‘high’ kill) or to the DMSO vehicle for 20 min (control). A combined concentration of ouabain and TBOA was added via pipette directly to the extracellular fluid of cultured cells in the insult condition. Ouabain inhibits the sodium-potassium pump (or Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase), and reduces the amount of both sodium pumped out and potassium pumped into the cells27. TBOA is a glutamate transport inhibitor that prevents cellular uptake of glutamate thereby increasing the extracellular concentration of neurotransmitters28. We have previously shown that this insult selectively kills neurons by an excitotoxic mechanism, specifically the build-up of extracellular glutamate causing neurotoxic activation of postsynaptic NMDA receptors29. The ouabain-TBOA model of stroke causes overexcitation throughout the neuronal network to the point of toxicity, and in some cases cell death.

**Assessment of Neuronal Injury.** Neuronal injury was assessed in two stages. First, at DIV 18 prior to insult application, phase contrast images that illuminated healthy cell bodies were acquired and neurons visible within the electrode field were manually counted, in order to provide a live neuron count (Fig. 2a, top row). Second, at 19 DIV, 24 h following neurotoxin exposure, cultures were exposed to the cell death marker propidium iodide (PI; 4.5 μM) that is membrane impermeable, and markedly increases its fluorescence by binding to the DNA of neurons whose plasma membrane has fragmented (Fig. 2a, bottom row)33. PI-positive fluorescent cells were manually counted using images obtained on a fluorescence microscope (Zeiss Axiovert 200 with Lambda DG-4). Images were processed using the ImageJ environment (National Institute of Health). The percentage of dead neurons was determined as the ratio of PI-positive neurons measured at 19 DIV (24 h post-insult) to the number of phase-bright neurons measured at 18 DIV (immediately prior to insult), and is presented as the mean ± standard error for each experimental condition (control [N = 9], low kill [N = 14], high kill [N = 7]). Statistical comparisons were made by ANOVA, with statistical significance inferred at $p < 0.05$.  

\[ p < 0.05 \]
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