Individual and community-level factors influencing optimal breastfeeding: A multilevel analysis from a national survey study in Ethiopia
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Abstract

Background
Optimal breastfeeding is critical for healthy growth. Despite this about 820,000 children and 20,000 women lost due to inappropriate breastfeeding each year globally. In Ethiopia, about 50,000 children lost related to malnutrition. Of these, about 18% of child death is contributed by poor breastfeeding habit. Many studies reported the individual-level factors; however, little is known about the determinants of breastfeeding at the hierarchical level. Therefore, this study aimed to identify factors associated with optimal breastfeeding among children age 0-5 month in Ethiopia using multilevel analysis.

Methods
A five-year representative survey study was conducted to assess the determinants of optimal breastfeeding among 1,087 children aged 0-5 months using the 2016 Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey data (EDHS). Both bivariate and multivariable multilevel logistic regression analysis were done to identify significant explanatory variables. Fixed effect and random effect were done to estimate the association between the dependent and explanatory variable and to measure the variation explained by the higher level respectively.

Results
Among the total of 1,087 children, only 45.4% of optimally breastfed. Children aged 4-5 months (AOR =0.19; 95%CI: 0.12-0.27), children from richest wealth index (AOR=2.87; 95% CI: 1.53- 5.43), children born through cesarean section and residing in Afar region were found significantly associated with optimal breastfeeding. The random-effects showed that the variation between communities was statistically significant.

Conclusion
Both individual and community level factors play a significant role in shaping optimal breastfeeding. Future strategies and health interventions should target individual and community level factors that enhance optimal breastfeeding.
Background

Optimal Breast Feeding (OBF) is breastfeeding within one hour of birth (early initiation of breastfeeding), breastfed exclusively for the first six months of life and continues to be breastfed up to two years of age. Starting at six months, breastfeeding is combined with safe, age-appropriate feeding of solid, semi-solid and soft foods [1]. OBF protects the two leading causes of child death pneumonia and diarrhea. Other than this, OBF builds child immune system that helps to prevent overweight/obesity and enhances mental development and bonding between mother and child [2-4].

Breast milk makes the world healthier and exclusive breastfeeding can save 520,000 children’s lives over the next 10 years [3-5]. Breastfeeding is cost-effective to ensure the well-being of the citizen and plays a role in poverty reduction [1, 6]. However, about 68 countries have breastfeeding rates of below 50% in 2016 globally. Moreover, about 20% of child deaths each year are attributable to poor breastfeeding habits [4, 7].

In Sub Saharan Africa breastfeeding is estimated to be 41% and with the overall prevalence of initiation of breastfeeding within one hour and exclusive breastfeeding of 52.83% to 49.17% respectively [8]. In Ethiopia, the prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding is 52% and about one-third of babies do not receive breastfeeding within one hour of birth and only one-third of children 4-6 months old received exclusively breastfeeding [4]. One study in south Ethiopia also reveals that the prevalence of OBF was found that 37.3% [9]. There are about 50,000 child deaths a year related to malnutrition. Of these, about 9,000 (18%) deaths are linked to poor breastfeeding habits. Moreover, about 38% of children under age five are stunted, 10% are wasted, and 24% are underweight [10].

Optimal breastfeeding can be affected by giving the child an early additional food or fluid which is about 28.92% in Ethiopia [10]. If optimal breastfeeding wasn’t achieved, the child can suffer from irreversible growth and die from diseases like diarrhea and pneumonia [4].
The previous studies in Ethiopia mainly concentrated on individual-level factors and analysis was made using ordinary logistic regression. However, as EDHS data has a hierarchical nature, a multilevel logistic regression analysis is preferred to consider the individual and community level factors simultaneously. Thus, this study aimed to identify factors associated with optimal breastfeeding among children age 0-5 month in Ethiopia.

Methods

Study Area, design and population

The study was conducted in Ethiopia located in the horn of Africa with a total population of about 105,350,020. The country has 9 Regional States and two city administrations with over 80 different ethnic groups. About 65% of rural households in Ethiopia consume the World Health Organization's minimum standard of food per day (2,200 kilocalories), with 42% of children under 5 years old being underweight [21]. This study employed a cross-sectional study design to assess the determinants of OBF among a total of 1,087 children aged 0-5 months in EDHS 2016 selected enumeration areas [22]. All women of the reproductive age group residing in the selected households were eligible to be interviewed. All the data related to all children with age 0-5 month in the EDHS 2016 were included in this study.

Sample size determination and procedure

The sample of 1,087 children’s from the 2016 EDHS was designed to provide estimates of key indicators for the country. Each region was stratified into urban and rural areas yielding 21 sampling strata. Samples of enumeration areas were selected independently in each stratum in two stages. In the first stage, a total of 645 enumeration areas (202 in urban areas and 443 in rural areas) were selected. In the second stage of selecting a fixed number of 28 households per cluster were selected with an equal probability systematic selection from the newly created household listing.
Data source, data extraction, and management

The EDHS, 2016 was a nationally representative large scale dataset conducted by the central statistical agency (CSA) in collaboration with the ministry of health from January 18, 2016, to June 27, 2016. Data set was obtained by attaching the title and significance of the study. Then, after receiving permission for registration data set was downloaded at www.measuredhs.com from Measure DHS website. This was followed by extraction of the wide range of information about potential individual and community level factors from the EDHS 2016; particularly from the dataset of child record including child mortality, nutrition, maternal, child health, family planning, and other reproductive health issues.

Variables and measurements

Optimal breastfeeding: refers to a child less than six months old who had received breastfeed timely (within one hour of birth) and had no intake of food/fluids other than breast milk for the first six months (i.e. EBF).

In this study, the dependent variable was optimal breastfeeding (OBF) practices. In the regression analysis, OBF practice was coded ‘1’ while ‘0’ was coded for non-OBF practices. The independent variables considered were: age, residence, educational status, marital status of mothers, household income, occupation, family size, sex of the infant, place of delivery and ante-natal and post-natal service utilization. The age of mothers was categorized as 15-19, 20-24, 25-29, 30-34 and 35-39.

The younger age group was taken as a reference population in the regression analysis. The religion of mothers was coded as ‘0’ for Christian and ‘1’ for Muslim. Urban and rural residences of mothers were coded as ‘0’ and ‘1’ respectively. Regarding the educational status of parents, those who could not read and write were coded as ‘0’ while the rest were coded as ‘1’. Mothers who were housewives were coded ‘0’ while farmers and
employed mothers were coded ‘1’. The lowest household income was coded ‘0’ while the other two levels were coded ‘1’. Mothers who received infant feeding counseling and delivered in a health institution were coded as ‘0’, while those who did not receive those services were coded as ‘1’. Age of the child was categorized as less than 2 months, 2-3 months and 4-5 months.

Data analysis
The extracted dataset was cleaned, coded, and analyzed by using Stata version 13.1 and excel was used. After generating the new variables EIBF and EBF the outcome variable was also generated from both EIBF and EBF. EDHS sample was not self-weighted because of non-proportional allocation. Therefore, sampling weights was used to make the sample representative of the entire population.

Frequency and percentage was used to report categorical variables, while median was used to report non parametric continuous explanatory variables. Bivariate analysis was performed to examine the association between optimal breastfeeding and each individual (level 1) and community level factors (level 2) at p –value less than or equal to 0.25.

Finally, multivariable multilevel logistic regression analysis was performed to estimate the adjusted odds ratios for both level and to estimate the extent of random variation between communities at p –value less than 0.05 and confidence interval 95% [23].

Model specification

Four models containing variables of interest was fitted using xtmelogit command.

\[
\text{Model I (empty model): } \log \left( \frac{y_{ij}}{1-y_{ij}} \right) = \beta_{0j} + u_{0j}
\]

Where, \( \log \left( \frac{y_{ij}}{1-y_{ij}} \right) \) is the probability of optimal breastfeeding \( \beta_{0j} \) is the regression intercept; \( u_{0j} \) is residuals at community level.............[21]
This is the first step in the multilevel model analysis fitted without covariates to test random variability in the intercept and to estimate the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC). The empty model enables the researcher to verify if the random effects at the community level are large enough to justify assessing random effects at the community level. When ICC is close to zero most of the variation is explained by lower level that means there is no variation between group effect and also if the ICC is close to one most of the variation is explained by higher level that means there is no variation between lower level effect [22]. However; the minimum value or cut point of ICC is 0.1 or 10% [23].
Model II: This model examines the effects of individual characteristics on optimal breastfeeding. These individual level factors which were statistically significant at the bivariable analysis were included in this model. The equation is as follows: $$\log \left( \frac{y_{ij}}{1-y_{ij}} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_{1j}X_{1ij} + ... + \beta_{nj}X_{nij} + u_{nj} + e_{ij}$$  
Where; $$\log \left( \frac{y_{ij}}{1-y_{ij}} \right)$$ is the dependent variable, $$\beta_0$$ is intercept, $$\beta_{1j}$$ is regression coefficient, $$X_{ij}$$ is level-1 predictor, $$e_{ij}$$ is the random error -------------------------------------------------- [21]

Model III: This model was used for community level variables which were significant at the bivariable analysis. The equation looks as follows:

$$\log \left( \frac{y_{ij}}{1-y_{ij}} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_{1j}Z_{1ij} + ... + \beta_{nj}X_{nj} + u_{nj} + e_{ij}$$  
Where; $$\log \left( \frac{y_{ij}}{1-y_{ij}} \right)$$ = $$\beta_0$$ mean intercepts, $$\beta_{1j}$$ regression coefficients, $$Z_{nj}$$ is community level explanatory variable, and $$u_{nj}$$ is residual error at community level-------------------------------------- [21]

Model IV (Mixed model or final model): this model includes both the individual and community level characteristics of optimal breastfeeding which were statistically significant and it allows to measure the effect of both individual and community characteristics simultaneously. The final two models were expressed as:

$$\log \left( \frac{y_{ij}}{1-y_{ij}} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_{1j}X_{1ij} + \beta_{1j}Z_{1ij} + ... + u_{ij} + e_{ij}$$

$$\beta_0$$ is the intercept, $$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_n$$ are the regression coefficients estimate the data $$X_{1ij}, X_{2ij}$$

$$X_{nj}$$ are covariates at individual level, $$Z_{1ij}$$ covariate at community level------ [21].

Parameter estimation methods

Maximum likelihood estimators (MLE) maximize the probability of finding the sample data that actually found. The Maximum Likelihood (ML) was used to assess the goodness of diagnostic test. This estimator includes both the regression coefficients and the variance
components in the likelihood function [24].

In the multilevel models the measures of association (fixed-effects) estimates the associations between likelihood of children optimally breastfeed and various explanatory variables expressed as Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR) with their 95% Confidence Intervals (CIs). The measures of variation (random-effects) were reported as Intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) $ICC = \sigma^2 u_0 / (\sigma^2 u_0 + \sigma e^2)$ where $\sigma e^2 = \pi^2/3$ where the variance was explained by the higher level. The change in the community level variance between the empty model (Model 1) and the consecutive models $V_e$ was expressed by Proportional Change in Community Variance (PCV) by using the formula $PCV = (V_e - V_{mi}) / V_e$. The ICC and PCV were calculated at each model with reference to null model using the above formula.

**Model diagnostic**

The effect of multicolliniarity between the predictor variables was checked using variance inflation factor (VIF) at cut off point of 10. Predictors having a VIF value of less than 10 indicate absence of Multicolliniarity [25].

**Model selection**

Akaike information criteria (AIC) were used to compare and check the goodness of fit of consecutive models. The AIC values for each model was compared and the model with the lowest value of AIC was considered to be better explanatory model fitting the data very well [22].

**Model accuracy**

The receiver operating character (ROC) curve were used to show in a graphical way the connection /tradeoff between sensitivity and specificity for every possible cutoff for a test or combination of tests and the area beneath the curve is often used as a measure of the predictive power (usefulness of a test) which indicates the greater the predictive power,
the more bowed the curve. A model with no predictive power has area 0.5; a perfect model has area 1. Lroc were used to examine the predictive ability of the model.

Ethical clearance

Ethical approval was obtained from Mekelle University, College of Health Sciences Institutional Review Board (IRB) and approval to access the 2016 EDHS dataset was obtained from (web address: http://www.measuredhs.com), after making a request via DHS program website.

Results

Description of individual and community level characteristics

Among the total of 1,087 surveyed children, 493 (45.4%) were optimally breastfeed. About 382 (35%) of the children were in the age group of 4-5 months and 557 (51%) were female children. About 214 (60.6%) children below the age of less than 2 months were optimally breast feed. Optimal breast feeding was very low among mothers with educational status of secondary school and above (Table-1). Relatively, mothers from Afar and Somali regions reported low proportion of optimal breast feeding. There is no significant variation in proportion of optimal breastfeeding in the other community level variables (Table 2).

Multilevel logistic regression analysis:

In the final model, age of children, wealth index, delivery by c/section and region were found significantly associated with optimal breast feeding. After controlling the potential confounders at the individual and community level factors, the age of children was negatively associated with optimal breast feeding that showed children 4-5 months old were less likely to breastfeed optimally when compared to age less than two months (AOR=0.19; 95% CI: 0.12-0.27). The odds of optimally breastfeeding were higher among children from richest wealth index compared to those from poorest wealth index (AOR=2.87; 95% CI: 1.53- 5.43). Optimal breastfeeding was less likely among children
born with C/section as mode of delivery when compared to their counter parts (AOR=0.18; 95% CI: 0.07- 0.51). Children born from mothers residing in Afar region were less likely to breastfeed optimally than children born from mothers from Addis Ababa (AOR=0.13; 95% CI: (0.02-0.92) (Table 4). The mean VIF value of 3.07 indicated the absence of collinearity between explanatory variables (Table 3).

The random effect in the final model showed that 51% of the variation in optimal breastfeeding across communities was explained by both individual and community level factors. Accordingly; the model with highest PCV (51%) expresses the change in the community level variance very well. The subsequent decrease in the values of AIC on each model indicates that the final model AIC (1280.113) was the best explanatory model fitting the data very well (Table 4).

Discussion

The study aimed at identifying individual and community level factors that influence optimal breastfeeding among children 0-5months. Variables such as age of child, wealth index, delivery by c/section and region were found statistically significant predictors of optimal breast feeding.

As shown in this study, the age of children was negatively associated with optimal breastfeeding. Children’s 4-5 months were less likely to be breastfeed optimally when compared to age less than two months. Possibly, as the age of the child increases the practice of optimal breastfeeding decreases which is consistent with the studies in Ethiopia [9, 18]. Similarly, studies in other countries like Tanzania, Zimbabwe and Nepal showed that the practice of optimal breastfeeding likely reduces with increasing age of children [11, 12, 26]. This might be related to the misunderstanding of mothers about the importance of optimal breastfeeding and initiation of additional food when the child’s age increases. Likewise another possible explanation for less optimal breastfeeding may be
related to the perception and cultural practice of mothers that breast milk production is insufficient for the child’s growth [26, 27].

The current study on delivery by cesarean section has shown a negative association with optimal breastfeeding compared to children delivered without cesarean section. This finding is consistent with previous reports from different districts of Ethiopia and Tanzania [9, 12]. The possible explanation can be related to the effects of anesthesia delaying the onset of lactation and some baby-unfriendly postoperative-care practices. During cesarean delivery more attention may be given to the mother, while the child feeding might be forgotten. Mothers might also be uncomfortable to breastfeed because of the pain experienced after surgery or take longer to recover from the effects of the anesthesia [15, 16]. This might cause a longer delay in making their first contact with their child, and mothers might also find it difficult to achieve comfortable breastfeeding positions. In addition, children born by cesarean section might have respiratory distress. Hence, they are more likely to be taken to a newborn intensive care unit and physically separated from their mother [14, 17].

In this study, wealth index has a positive relationship with optimal breastfeeding. Children from richest wealth index were almost 3 times more likely to be optimally breastfeed compared to children from poorest wealth index. This finding is similar with the study conducted by Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and National Center for Health Statistics which indicates poor practice of optimal breast feeding among mothers in the poorest wealth index [28]. In contrast to this, other studies have shown that mothers with the poorest wealth index practice optimal breast feeding compared to the richest wealth index [9, 18, 19, 29]. The low practice of optimal breastfeeding among the poorest could be linked with the lack of awareness, stressful living situation to overcome the hard of living [28]. Likewise, it can also be explained as mothers from the poorest index might
consider themselves as producing inadequate breast milk that satisfy their child’s demand which influences them to look for additional food.

Among the community level variables, region was found significantly associated with optimal breastfeeding. Children from Afar were less likely to optimally breastfeed than children from Addis Ababa. This is contextually similar to other studies conducted in Ethiopia, Nigeria and Nepal [14, 15, 30]. Mothers residing in towns and villages practice less optimal breastfeeding compared to those residing in larger towns and cities like Addis Ababa. The reason behind is those residing in larger towns and cities may have better access to health information and healthcare service. Besides, mothers residing in larger towns and cities may be less influenced by traditional and cultural practice than their counter parties.

Strengths And Limitations

As this study was used nationally representative data, the study findings can be used to inform policy and program actions. In addition, this study applied multilevel modeling to accommodate the hierarchical nature of the EDHS data and to examine the extent of variations across communities. Despite the strengths, the data used for this analysis were from a cross sectional survey. As a result, only associations were examined and may not draw a true conclusion about causality and may increase also recall-bias. Also some important variables such as maternal beliefs, miss-conceptions and knowledge towards breastfeeding were not included.

Conclusions

The magnitude of OBF was low in this study. Regarding the predictors of OBF, both the individual and community level factors were found to be significantly associated. At the individual level; children’s age, wealth index and mode of delivery were the factors that
influence optimal breastfeeding. Among the community characteristics only region was found as a significant predictor of OBF. Thus, interventions to create awareness about the benefits of OBF should be implemented in areas where there is low practice of OBF.

Moreover, Mothers delivered by CS should be assisted and counseled to breast feed their child within the recommended time by the care providers.
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**Tables**

**Table 1**: Distribution of OBF with individual level variables among 0-5 month old children, Ethiopia 2016 (n=1,087)
| variables                          | Category                     | Frequency | OBF (%) |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Sex of child                      | Male                         | 532       | 227 (42.7) |
|                                   | Female                       | 557       | 266 (47.8) |
| Age categories of children        | <2 month                     | 353       | 214 (60.6) |
|                                   | 2-3 month                    | 352       | 187 (53) |
|                                   | 4-5 month                    | 382       | 93 (24) |
| Size of child at birth            | Large                        | 261       | 116 (44.4) |
|                                   | Average                      | 467       | 220 (47) |
|                                   | Small                        | 359       | 157 (43.7) |
| Birth order                       | 1st                          | 242       | 87 (35.9) |
|                                   | 2-4                          | 457       | 231 (50.5) |
|                                   | Five and above               | 388       | 175 (45) |
| Mothers educational status        | No education                 | 642       | 286 (44.5) |
|                                   | Primary education            | 418       | 200 (47.8) |
|                                   | Secondary and higher         | 27        | 7 (24) |
| Mothers occupation                | Not employed                 | 868       | 395 (45.5) |
|                                   | Employed                     | 219       | 98 (44.7) |
| Marital status                    | Never married                | 9         | 1 (11) |
|                                   | Married/living together      | 1,051     | 481 (45.7) |
|                                   | Divorced/widowed/separated   | 26        | 11 (42.8) |
| Wealth index                      | Poorest                      | 268       | 102 (37.9) |
|                                   | Poor                         | 255       | 135 (53) |
|                                   | Middle                       | 195       | 97 (49.7) |
|                                   | Richer                       | 201       | 70 (34.8) |
|                                   | Richest                      | 168       | 89 (53) |
|                                   | 1-2                          | 411       | 179 (43) |
|                                   | 3-4                          | 288       | 138 (48) |
|                                   | Five and above               | 388       | 176 (45.4) |
| Parity of the mother              | 1-2                          | 411       | 179 (43) |
|                                   | 3-4                          | 288       | 138 (48) |
|                                   | Five and above               | 388       | 176 (45.4) |
| ANC utilization                   | No ANC visit                 | 371       | 174 (46.7) |
|                                   | 1 visit                      | 61        | 19 (31.8) |
|                                   | 2-3 visit                    | 310       | 151 (48.7) |
|                                   | >=4 visits                   | 336       | 149 (44) |
| Place of delivery                 | Home                         | 672       | 308 (45.8) |
|                                   | Health facility              | 397       | 177 (44.6) |
|                                   | Other                        | 18        | 8 (44) |
| Mode of delivery                  | Normal                       | 1,055     | 487 (46) |
|                                   | Cesarean section             | 32        | 6 (17) |
| Husband education                 | No education                 | 505       | 228 (45) |
|                                   | Primary education            | 384       | 187 (48.5) |
|                                   | Secondary and higher         | 162       | 66 (40.7) |
|                                   | Not employed                 | 74        | 27 (36.3) |
|                                   | Employed                     | 978       | 454 (46.4) |
| Husband occupation                | Amhara                       | 235       | 113 (48) |
|                                   | Oromia                       | 478       | 217 (45.3) |
|                                   | Tigré                        | 76        | 36 (47.3) |
|                                   | Afar                         | 8         | 1 (13) |
|                                   | Somalia                      | 48        | 10 (20.8) |
|                                   | Others                       | 242       | 114 (47) |

ANC: Antenatal care

Table 2: Distribution of OBF with community level among 0-5 month old children, Ethiopia 2016 (n=1,087)
| variables                        | Category | Frequency | OBF (%) |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Community media exposure        | Low      | 482       | 233 (48.3) |
|                                 | High     | 605       | 260 (43)   |
| Community education             | Low      | 560       | 250 (44.6) |
|                                 | High     | 527       | 243 (46.1) |
| Region                          | Tigray   | 76        | 36 (47.5)   |
|                                 | Afar     | 9         | 2 (22.2)    |
|                                 | Amhara   | 204       | 96 (47)     |
|                                 | Oromo    | 495       | 224 (45.2)  |
|                                 | Somalia  | 50        | 13 (23.6)   |
|                                 | Benshangul | 12    | 6 (50)      |
|                                 | SNNP     | 207       | 102 (49.2)  |
|                                 | Gambela  | 2         | 1 (50)      |
|                                 | Harare   | 3         | 1 (33.3)    |
|                                 | AddisAbeba | 25   | 10 (40)     |
|                                 | Dire Dawa | 4      | 2 (50)      |
| Place of residence              | Urban    | 124       | 57 (46)     |
|                                 | Rural    | 963       | 436 (45)    |
| Community ANC                   | Low      | 514       | 242 (47)    |
|                                 | High     | 573       | 251 (43.9)  |
| Community poverty               | Low      | 623       | 276 (44.3)  |
|                                 | High     | 464       | 217 (46.7)  |
| Community place of delivery     | Low      | 532       | 233 (43.8)  |
|                                 | High     | 555       | 260 (46.8)  |

ANC= Antenatal care

Table 3: Multivariable multilevel logistic regression analysis of individual and community level factors associated with OBF among 0-5 month old children, Ethiopia 2016 (n=1,087)
| Variables          | Category | Model II AOR [95%CI] | Model III AOR [95%CI] | Model IV AOR [95%CI] |
|-------------------|----------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Child age group   | <2 month | 1.00                 |                       |                      |
|                   | 2-3 month| 0.86(0.60-1.22)      | 0.85(0.59-1.21)       |                      |
|                   | 4-5 month| 0.19 (0.13-0.28)*    | 0.19(0.12-0.27)*      |                      |
| Wealth index      | Poorer   | 1.52 (0.95-2.43)     | 1.38(0.85-2.26)       |                      |
|                   | Middle   | 1.68 (0.99-2.86)     | 1.56(0.90-2.71)       |                      |
|                   | Richer   | 0.91 (0.54-1.54)     | 0.91(0.52-1.59)       |                      |
|                   | Riches t | 2.16 (1.27-3.70)*    | 2.87(1.53-5.43)*      |                      |
| Mode of delivery  | None     | 1.00                 |                       |                      |
|                   | C/S      | 0.19 (0.07-0.50)*    | 0.18(0.07-0.51)*      |                      |
| Ethnicity         | Afar     | 1.00                 | 7.39 (2.87-19)*       |                      |
|                   | Amhara   | 4.93(2.17-11.19)*    |                       |                      |
|                   | Oromia   | 6.89(2.48-19)*       |                       |                      |
|                   | Tigray   | 2.14 (0.92-5.05)     |                       |                      |
|                   | Somali e | 5.61(2.37-13.44)*    |                       |                      |
| Region            | Addis Ababa | 1.00                 |                       |                      |
|                   | Tigray   | 1.31(0.60-2.83)      | 0.87 (0.13-5.81)      |                      |
|                   | Afar     | 0.29(0.11-0.73)*     | 0.13 (0.02-0.92)*     |                      |
|                   | Amhara   | 1.57(0.71-3.50)      | 1.32(0.44-3.91)       |                      |
|                   | Oromo    | 1.56(0.72-3.41)      | 1.87(0.66-5.34)       |                      |
|                   | Somali e | 0.60 (0.27-1.35)     | 1.94(0.37-10.3)       |                      |
|                   | Benshangul | 1.74(0.76-3.98)     | 1.69(0.61-4.71)       |                      |
|                   | SNNP     | 1.75(0.80-3.82)      | 2.12 (0.72-6.27)      |                      |
|                   | Gambela  | 0.97(0.39, 2.38)     | 1.50 (0.44-5.13)      |                      |
|                   | Harare   | 0.85(0.34-2.11)      | 0.74(0.24-2.29)       |                      |
|                   | Dire Dawa| 1.87(0.75-4.68)      | 2.63(0.84-8.30)       |                      |

*p/significant at p < 0.05   C/s = caesarian section

Table 4: Random effects and model fitness of individual and community level factors associated with optimal breastfeeding among 0-5 month old children, Ethiopia 2016 (n=1,087)
### Random effect

|                      | Model I       | Model II      | Model III     | Model IV      |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Community variance (SE) | 0.797* (0.274) | 0.615* (0.271) | 0.399* (0.219) | 0.389* (0.252) |
| ICC (%)              | 19.5         | 15.75        | 10.82        | 10.6         |
| PCV (%)              | Reference    | 22.8         | 49.9         | 51           |

### Model fitness

|                      | Model I       | Model II      | Model III     | Model IV      |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Log likelihood       | -718.445     | -629.651     | -689.84904   | -592.05634   |
| AIC                  | 1440.892     | 1309.315     | 1407.698     | 1280.113     |

**ICC:** Intra-class correlation coefficient  
*significant at p < 0.05

**PCV:** Proportional Change in Community Variance

**AIC (Akaike information criterion)**

---

### Figures

**Figure 1**

*Age distribution of children who were optimal breastfeeding among 0-5 month age, Ethiopia 2016 (n=1,087)*
Figure 2

ROC model accuracy of individual and community-level factors associated with optimal breastfeeding among 0-5 month age children, Ethiopia 2016