Sequential nearest-neighbor effects on computed $^{13}\text{C}^{\alpha}$ chemical shifts
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Abstract To evaluate sequential nearest-neighbor effects on quantum-chemical calculations of $^{13}\text{C}^{\alpha}$ chemical shifts, we selected the structure of the nucleic acid binding (NAB) protein from the SARS coronavirus determined by NMR in solution (PDB id 2K87). NAB is a 116-residue $\alpha/\beta$ protein, which contains 9 prolines and has 50% of its residues located in loops and turns. Overall, the results presented here show that sizeable nearest-neighbor effects are seen only for residues preceding proline, where Pro introduces an overestimation, on average, of 1.73 ppm in the computed $^{13}\text{C}^{\alpha}$ chemical shifts. A new ensemble of 20 conformers representing the NMR structure of the NAB, which was calculated with an input containing backbone torsion angle constraints derived from the theoretical $^{13}\text{C}^{\alpha}$ chemical shifts as supplementary data to the NOE distance constraints, exhibits very similar topology and comparable agreement with the NOE constraints as the published NMR structure. However, the two structures differ in the patterns of differences between observed and computed $^{13}\text{C}^{\alpha}$ chemical shifts, $\Delta_{\text{cal}i}$, for the individual residues along the sequence. This indicates that the $\Delta_{\text{cal}i}$-values for the NAB protein are primarily a consequence of the limited sampling by the bundles of 20 conformers used, as in common practice, to represent the two NMR structures, rather than of local flaws in the structures.

Keywords Quantum-chemical calculation of $^{13}\text{C}^{\alpha}$-chemical shifts · NMR structures of proteins · Sampling of conformation space

Introduction

Recent progress with the use of automatic and semi-automatic protocols for the structure determination of proteins by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy calls for improved structure validation procedures to assess the quality of the newly produced structures. Such validation procedures should contribute to increasing the quality of the NMR structures without requiring the acquisition of additional NMR data. For this purpose, the validation method should be able to provide a global structure quality score and at the same time be sensitive enough to identify flaws in local polypeptide segments. In this context, a new quantum-chemistry-based method for the evaluation of NMR structures was recently introduced, which can be used for any type of protein with available $^{13}\text{C}^{\alpha}$ chemical shifts (Vila et al. 2007b) and may replace statistical or semi-classical descriptions of protein properties. This new strategy provides a unified, self-consistent method to validate and determine high-quality protein structures, which does not rely on knowledge-based information (Vila et al. 2007a, b, 2008a). It makes use of the ever-increasing...
computational power available to achieve a detailed analysis of protein structures with physics-based strategies.

The currently used $^{13}$C$_\alpha$ chemical shift-based validation and determination protocol (Vila and Scheraga 2008, 2009; Vila et al. 2007a, b, 2008a) was introduced to exploit the following characteristics: (1) The assignment of C$_\alpha$ chemical shifts is a fundamental step in a protein structure determination by NMR spectroscopy, and no extra experimental work is needed. (2) In addition to the impact of the covalent structure, $^{13}$C$_\alpha$ chemical shifts are modulated mainly by the intraresidue backbone and side-chain dihedral angles (Spera and Bax 1991; de Dios et al. 1993; Kuszewski et al. 1995; Luginbühl et al. 1995; Pearson et al. 1997; Iwadate et al. 1999; Xu and Case 2001; Sun et al. 2002; Villegas et al. 2007). (3) $^{13}$C$_\alpha$ is ubiquitous in proteins. (4) $^{13}$C$_\alpha$ chemical shifts can be computed with high accuracy at the quantum chemistry level of theory. This methodology has been used recently to validate (Vila and Scheraga 2009) and determine the structures of several proteins that differ in size and in 3D structure (Vila et al. 2007a, b, 2008a).

The nucleic acid binding (NAB) protein of the SARS coronavirus (Serrano et al. 2009), a 116-residue $\alpha/\beta$ protein containing 9 prolines and with 50% of its residues in loops and turns, was now chosen to further evaluate the impact of sequential nearest-neighbor effects on the accuracy of the computed $^{13}$C$_\alpha$ chemical shifts. The resulting analysis will provide us with information that can later be used to improve the prediction of $^{13}$C$_\alpha$ chemical shifts by servers, such as Che$\text{sh}$ (Vila et al. 2009).

**Materials and methods**

Conversion of the experimental NMR structures to rigid ECEPP geometry

The $\Omega = 20$ conformers of the NAB protein (shown in Fig. 1) were regularized by replacing each residue with the corresponding standard ECEPP/3 residue (Némethy et al. 1992), for which the bond lengths and the bond angles are fixed (rigid-geometry approximation). This is a necessary step before the computation of the $^{13}$C$_\alpha$ chemical shifts. It did not change the original geometries significantly, as indicated by the all-heavy atom rmsd values ($\sim 0.12$ Å) between each corresponding pair of experimental and regularized conformers.

Computation of $^{13}$C$_\alpha$ chemical shifts

Each amino acid residue Xxx in the protein sequence was treated separately in 116 terminally-blocked tripeptides of sequence Ac-Gly-Xxx-Gly-NMe (Ac = acetyl; NMe = N-methyl), with the dihedral angles for Xxx taken from each of the $\Omega = 20$ protein conformers. The $^{13}$C$_\alpha$ isotropic shielding values ($\sigma$) for each residue Xxx were calculated using the Gaussian 03 package (Frisch et al. 2004) at the OB98/6-311 + G(2d,p) level of theory (Vila et al. 2008b), while the other residues in the tripeptides were treated at the OB98/3-21G level of theory, using the locally-dense basis set approach (Chesnut and Moore 1989). All ionizable residues were considered to be neutral during the quantum-chemical calculations (Vila and Scheraga 2008), and a shielding value of 184.5 ppm for tetramethylsilane (TMS) was used as the reference (Vila et al. 2008b). Conversion of the computed TMS-referenced values for the $^{13}$C$_\alpha$ chemical shifts to a 2,2-dimethyl-2-silapentane-5-sulfonic acid sodium salt (DSS) reference was carried out by adding 1.7 ppm to the computed values (Wishart et al. 1995b).

Computation of the $^{13}$C$_\alpha$ conformational average rmsd

The observed chemical shift for each residue $i$, $^{13}$C$_\alpha^{\text{observed}}_{i}$, represents the contributions from the ensemble of rapidly interconverting conformers that coexist in solution. An accurate comparison between observed and computed $^{13}$C$_\alpha$ chemical shifts therefore requires consideration of an ensemble of NMR-derived conformers, rather than of a
single conformation. Hence, for each amino acid residue in the sequence, $i$, the average of the chemical shifts calculated for the individual residues in the ensemble of $\Omega$ conformers representing the NMR structure, $\langle 13C^a_i \rangle$, is computed as

$$
\langle 13C^a_i \rangle = \frac{1}{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega} 13C^a_{i,k},
$$

where $13C^a_{i,k}$ is the computed chemical shift for residue $i$ in conformer $k$, with $1 \leq i \leq N$, where $N$ is the number of residues in the sequence. Equation (1) was obtained through the following approximation: For each residue, the quantity to be computed must, in principle, be $\langle 13C^a_i \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega} \lambda_k 13C^a_{i,k}$, where $\lambda_k$ is the Boltzmann factor for conformer $k$, with $\sum_{k=1}^{\Omega} \lambda_k = 1$. Because computation of the Boltzmann factors on the quantum mechanical level of theory was not possible with our present computational facilities, we used the approximation that each conformer contributes equally to the average chemical shift obtained by fast conformational averaging, i.e., $\lambda_k = 1/\Omega$. Whether a computation of a Boltzmann average, rather than the arithmetic average, of the $13C^a$ chemical shifts given by Eq. (1) would lead to a more accurate representation of the $13C^a$ chemical shifts needs further investigation. This is currently beyond our computational capability, since it would require computation of the total energy at the quantum mechanical level of theory for each of the conformers in the ensemble used to represent the NMR structure.

The $\langle 13C^a_i \rangle$ value obtained with Eq. (1) is used to compute the conformational-average difference $\Delta_{ca,i}$ between the observed and computed $13C^a$ chemical shifts for each amino acid residue $i$,

$$
\Delta_{ca,i} = \langle 13C^a_{observed,i} \rangle - \langle 13C^a_i \rangle
$$

(2)

From this, the conformational-average rmsd parameter, $ca$-rmsd (Vila et al. 2007a), is obtained as

$$
ca\text{-rmsd} = \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_{ca,i}^2 \right\}^{1/2},
$$

(3)

which is a global property of the protein NMR structure given as the weighted average of the differences between the experimental $13C^a$ chemical shifts and the $\langle 13C^a_i \rangle$—values for all the residues in the protein.

NMR structure determination of NAB and generation of the structure NAB-$^{13}C^a$

The structure determination of the NAB protein by Serrano et al. (2009) has an all-heavy-atom rmsd of 0.88 Å for the set of 20 conformers used to represent the globular domain comprising residues 5–112. The Ramachandran plot statistics obtained with PROCHECK (Laskowski et al. 1993) indicated that more than 97% of the backbone dihedral angles are in the most favored region or the additional allowed regions, with no residue in disallowed regions.

A new ensemble of 20 conformers, NAB-$^{13}C^a$, was generated to provide a reference with which to evaluate the origin of per-residue $^{13}C^a$ chemical shift differences, $\Delta_{ca,i}$, in the NAB structure. The input for the calculation of NAB-$^{13}C^a$ contains the same experimental NOE distance constraints as used for the published NAB NMR structure (Serrano et al. 2009) and a new set of $\phi$ and $\psi$ dihedral angle constraints. The new set of dihedral angle constraints for each residue was chosen from the conformer of the published NAB ensemble (Serrano et al. 2009) that best reproduced the experimental $^{13}C^a$ chemical shifts for this residue (Vila et al. 2007a, 2008a). The dihedral angle constraints derived from these values were used with a ± 30° range to allow for local rearrangements during structure calculation with the software CYANA. The NAB-$^{13}C^a$ ensemble was energy-minimized with the software OPALp (Luginbühel et al. 1997; Koradi et al. 2000) and exhibits an all-heavy-atom rmsd of 0.87 Å for the globular domain comprising residues 5–112. The NMR structure of NAB and the structure NAB-$^{13}C^a$ show very similar global architectures but different patterns of differences between observed and computed $^{13}C^a$ chemical shifts, $\Delta_{ca,i}$, along the amino acid sequence. Hence, comparison of the two structures should enable us to investigate possible conformational-sampling effects.

CPU time used

The computational time was evaluated as the average of the CPU times $T_i$ needed for each of the $N = 116$ residues of conformer 1 of the NAB protein,

$$
\text{Average CPU time} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} T_i
$$

(4)

$T_i$ is the total CPU time (in seconds) used for residue $i$, as reported by the Gaussian 03 suite of programs (Frisch et al. 2004). All DFT calculations were carried out on a system of 768 cores with a floating point capability of 5.1 Tflops located at the Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center. The CPU time needed to compute the $^{13}C^a$ chemical shifts for the NAB protein conformer 1 was, on average, 1,800 s per residue when using a cluster of 116 processors, which adds up to 10 h for twenty conformers.

Results and discussion

The set of 20 conformers representing the NMR structure of the SARS-CoV NAB protein (Serrano et al. 2009) after
energy minimization with the software OPALp (Luginbühl et al. 1997; Koradi et al. 2000) (Fig. 1) was evaluated using the $^{13}\text{C}_a$ chemical shift-based protocol by Vila and Scheraga (2009). Residues with significant differences between experimental and calculated $^{13}\text{C}_a$ chemical shifts were selected to further investigate possible contributions from nearest-neighbor sequence effects and from limited conformational sampling.

Sequential nearest-neighbor effects on computed $^{13}\text{C}_a$ chemical shifts

Figure 2 shows a histogram of the sequence distribution of the differences between observed and computed $^{13}\text{C}_a$ chemical shifts, $\Delta_{\text{ca},i}$, obtained with Eqs. (1) and (2) for the NAB ensemble. Here, we investigate sequential nearest-neighbor effects on the calculated shifts.

In the standard calculation procedure of Vila et al. (2007b) each residue XXX has Gly residues as sequential neighbors in the model peptides Ac-Gly-XXX-Yyy-Gly-NMe. For further refinement of the predictions we are interested in determining the magnitudes of the changes in $\Delta_{\text{ca},i}$ that may occur if the glycines in the model peptides are replaced by the actual nearest-neighbor residues in the protein sequence. Thus, we computed $^{13}\text{C}_a$ chemical shifts for each residue XXX of the 20 NAB conformers using the two model peptides Ac-Gly-Yyy-XXX-Gly-NMe and Ac-Gly-XXX-Yyy-Gly-NMe, where Yyy designates the preceding or subsequent residue-type, and the dihedral angles for the dipeptides -Yyy-XXX- and -XXX-Yyy- were taken from the 20 NMR conformers.

The actual investigation was carried out by first comparing the DFT-based $^{13}\text{C}_a$ chemical-shift values of the XXX residue in the model peptides Ac-Gly-XXX-Yyy-Gly-NMe and Ac-Gly-XXX-Gly-NMe. Consequently, for each XXX residue $i$ the second-order differences, $\Delta_{\text{XY}}^{\text{ca},i} - \Delta_{\text{XG}}^{\text{ca},i}$, were computed as $\Delta_{\text{XY}}^{\text{ca},i} - \Delta_{\text{XG}}^{\text{ca},i}$ with $\Delta_{\text{ca},ij}$ and $\Delta_{\text{ca},ij}$ given by Eq. (2), and $(^{13}\text{C}_a^{\text{XY}})_i$ and $(^{13}\text{C}_a^{\text{XG}})_i$ being the averages of the computed $^{13}\text{C}_a$ chemical shifts calculated for the model peptides Ac-Gly-XXX-Yyy-Gly-NMe and Ac-Gly-XXX-Gly-NMe, respectively. The amino acid composition of NAB is 9 Ala, 9 Pro, 8 Asp, 8 Phe, 14 Thr, 3 Arg, 4 Gln, 3 His, 10 Lys, 3 Trp, 7 Asn, 2 Glu, 4 Ile, 1 Met, 3 Tyr, 3 Cys, 3 Gly, 10 Leu, 7 Ser and 6 Val. For each residue-type Yyy we computed the average second-order difference on XXX, $\langle \Delta_{\text{XY}}^{\text{ca},i} - \Delta_{\text{XG}}^{\text{ca},i} \rangle_{\text{Yyy}}$ (Fig. 3a). The result indicates that the influence of the C-terminally neighboring residue-type on the...
the computed $^{13}$C$^\alpha$ chemical shifts is less than $\pm 0.50$ ppm, with the sole exception of Pro, where, on average of the nine Xxx-Pro dipeptide segments in the NAB, a value of $+1.73$ ppm was obtained. Inclusion of the “proline effect” in the validation of the published bundle of 20 NAB conformers (Serrano et al. 2009) leads to a significantly improved fit to the experimental chemical shifts for residues preceding proline (compare Fig. 4a with Fig. 2).

We then computed the average second-order difference $\langle \Delta_{\text{ca},i}^{\text{YY}}, \Delta_{\text{ca},j}^{\text{YY}} \rangle_{\text{yy}}$ arising from N-terminally neighboring residues by using the model peptides Ac-Gly-Yyy-Xxx-Gly-NMe and Ac-Gly-Xxx-Gly-NMe (Fig. 3b). A comparison with Fig. 3a indicates two important differences. First, none of the residue-types shows a significant nearest-neighbor effect. Second, except for Pro, the preceding residue-type shows slightly larger effects on the computed $^{13}$C$^\alpha$ chemical shifts of Xxx than that due to the subsequent residue-type.

Further investigation of the “proline effect”

The overestimation of $1.73 \pm 1.27$ ppm arising from the C-terminal Pro nearest-neighbor effect in the computation of the $^{13}$C$^\alpha$ chemical shifts is in line with empirical observations made with statistical-coil oligopeptides (Wishart et al. 1995a; Schwarzinger et al. 2001). These authors reported systematic chemical shift differences of about $+2.0$ ppm due to the presence of a subsequent proline in the sequence. The physical nature of this effect has been described by Wishart et al. (1995a) as “…an imide bond formed by an Xxx–Pro pairing is generally thought to be much less electron-withdrawing than an amide bond…”

Of the 9 residues immediately preceding proline in NAB, 6 are in coil segments (Gln-5, Gln-13, Leu-15, Lys-44, Phe-55 and Lys-83), 2 in $\beta$-strands (Val-10 and Lys-112), and 1 in an $\alpha$-helix (Lys-99). If the proline effect is not taken into account during the DFT-based computation of the $^{13}$C$^\alpha$ chemical shifts, these residues experience an average overestimation of $+2.85$ ppm and $+1.72$ ppm when located in coils or $\beta$-strands, respectively, while the residue in the $\alpha$-helix shows an underestimation of $-0.51$ ppm. These results are in agreement with a statistical analysis of the secondary structure influence on both the chemical shifts and the nearest-neighbor-residue effects in proteins by Wang and Jardetzky (2002). By using a database containing $\sim 14,400$ observed chemical shift values representing almost all possible nearest-neighbor pairs of amino acid residues in different conformations, these authors found that the $^{13}$C$^\alpha$ chemical shifts of residues immediately preceding proline experience average proline neighboring-residue effects of $-2.04 \pm 0.45$ ppm, $-1.63 \pm 0.56$ ppm and $+0.51 \pm 2.54$ ppm for residues in coil, $\beta$-strand and $\alpha$-helix segments, respectively.

Analysis of the structure NAB-$^{13}$C$^\alpha$

Figure 5 shows a histogram of the absolute values of the conformational-average difference per residue, $\Delta_{\text{ca},i}$, for the NAB-$^{13}$C$^\alpha$ ensemble, with the positions of prolines denoted by black bars. The $\Delta_{\text{ca},i}$ values were computed considering the proline-effect (grey bars) and without taking the proline-effect into account (red dots). An analysis of the differences between the grey bars and red dots indicates an average overestimation of $1.67 \pm 1.30$ ppm in the computed $^{13}$C$^\alpha$ chemical shifts for residues immediately preceding proline. This is in very good agreement with the value $1.73 \pm 1.27$ ppm obtained for the published NMR structure of the NAB (Serrano et al. 2009).

In Fig. 5, blue dots represent the highest-values of the conformational-average difference per residue, $\Delta_{\text{ca},i}$, obtained for the NAB ensemble, as seen in Fig. 4a. As judged by the differences between grey bars and blue dots, the largest values of $\Delta_{\text{ca},i}$ computed for the NAB protein for the majority of the residues, do not originate from local flaws in the structures, but are due to the limited sampling by the bundles of 20 conformers used here to represent the NMR structures, which corresponds to common practice (Wüthrich 1986). Conceivably, use of a larger number of conformers would enable one to demonstrate that the origin of all the differences between computed and observed $^{13}$C$^\alpha$ chemical shifts for the NAB protein are due to conformational-sampling effects. In fact, the ca-rmsd computed over all 20 conformers of protein NAB is 2.55 ppm while the
Given structure under consideration contains L residue, with L representing the size of the ubiquitin protein, for which a highly-accurately-solved NMR structure is available (1D3Z; Cornilescu et al. 1998). The normalized ca-rmsd value of 2.11 ppm for the NAB indicates that the published bundle of 20 conformers represents the experimental $^{13}$C$^a$ chemical shifts in solution with similar or better accuracy than the following experimental structures that have previously been subjected to the same validation protocol: (1) A set of 10 conformers representing an NMR structure of the 76-residue $\alpha/\beta$ protein ubiquitin (Cornilescu et al. 1998), PDB 1D3Z, for which the ca-rmsd is 2.18 ppm [2.20 ppm per residue before considering the effects due to the 3 prolines in the sequence (Vila et al. 2007b)]. (2) The X-ray structure of ubiquitin solved at 1.8 Å resolution (Vijay-Kumar et al. 1987; PDB 1UBQ), for which the ca-rmsd is 2.58 ppm [2.60 ppm per residue before considering the effects due to the 3 prolines in the sequence (Vila et al. 2007b)]. (3) A set of 20 conformers representing an NMR structure of the 48-residue all-α YnzC protein from Bacillus subtilis that contains no prolines (Aramini et al. 2008; PDB 2JVD), for which the ca-rmsd is 2.72 ppm per residue (Vila et al. 2007b). These results show that our standard approach, which does not account for proline nearest-neighbor effects, still provides a good estimate of the quality of the global structure, since only small differences are observed between the previous and current ca-rmsd values. The present inclusion of sequential nearest-neighbor effects into our method is therefore primarily driven by our interest in providing tools to identify local flaws in NMR structures of proteins.

Improving the CheShift server considering the pro effect

The $^{13}$C$^a$ chemical shifts for Xxx in the model peptide Ac-Gly-Xxx-Gly-NMe have always been computed by using the exact dihedral angles found in the conformation of the protein under investigation, and considering that the surrounding Gly residues and the end-blocking groups are free to rotate about the single bonds (Vila et al. 2007b; Vila and Scheraga 2009). Adoption of this assumption was crucial for the development of the CheShift server (Vila et al. 2009), and is still reasonable for Gly as Yyy in light of the small values of 0.08 and 0.19 ppm, observed for $\langle \Delta_{\text{rg}}^{\text{XG}} \Delta_{\text{rg}}^{\text{Gy}} \rangle$ and $\langle \Delta_{\text{rg}}^{\text{XG}} \Delta_{\text{rg}}^{\text{Gy}} \rangle$, respectively (Fig. 4). In other words, the influence of the backbone dihedral angles of the preceding or subsequent Gly residue on the computed $^{13}$C$^a$ chemical shifts of Xxx is small.

Overall, the use of the Ac-Gly-Xxx-Gly-NMe model peptides for the computation of the $^{13}$C$^a$ chemical shifts of the residue Xxx seems suitable, independent of the identity.
of the nearest-neighbor residues except when the subsequent residue is Pro. Consequently, this “proline effect” will now be introduced into our CheShift server.

Conclusions

The structure of the NAB protein, a 116-residue α/β protein with 50% of its residues in loops and turns, was chosen to further evaluate the origin of differences between computed and observed $^{13}$C$\alpha$ chemical shifts, as well as to study the influence of the nearest-neighbor residues on the computed $^{13}$C$\beta$ chemical shifts. The results indicate that computation of the $^{13}$C$\alpha$ chemical shifts of a given residue in the sequence of the NAB protein is not influenced significantly by the nature of the nearest-neighbor amino acids, except for residues immediately preceding proline. For such residues, proline must be considered during the computation of the $^{13}$C$\alpha$ chemical shifts; otherwise, an overestimation of the computed $^{13}$C$\alpha$ chemical shifts of about +1.7 ppm occurs, which is in good agreement with experimental evidence (Wishart et al. 1995a; Schwarzinger et al. 2001; Wang and Jardetzky 2002).

From the point of view of satisfying the NOE constraints, the NAB and the NAB,$^{13}$C$\alpha$ ensembles of 20 conformers are of comparable quality (data not shown), with some differences observed in loops and turns with scarce NOE-derived constraints (see Fig. 4b). From the fits of the $^{13}$C$\alpha$ chemical shifts the two ensembles are also of similar quality. The validation of a given protein structure in terms of both the NOEs and the $^{13}$C$\alpha$ chemical shifts should, according to the present data, be improved if based on the use of larger ensembles of conformers compatible with the available data.
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