Implementing graph-theoretic quantum algorithms on a silicon photonic quantum walk processor
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Applications of quantum walks can depend on the number, exchange symmetry and indistinguishability of the particles involved, and the underlying graph structures where they move. Here, we show that silicon photonics, by exploiting an entanglement-driven scheme, can realize quantum walks with full control over all these properties in one device. The device we realize implements entangled two-photon quantum walks on any five-vertex graph, with continuously tunable particle exchange symmetry and indistinguishability. We show how this simulates single-particle walks on larger graphs, with size and geometry controlled by tuning the properties of the composite quantum walkers. We apply the device to quantum walk algorithms for searching vertices in graphs and testing for graph isomorphisms. In doing so, we implement up to 100 sampled time steps of quantum walk evolution on each of 292 different graphs. This opens the way to large-scale, programmable quantum walk processors for classically intractable applications.

INTRODUCTION

In a classical random walk, a particle or “walker” moves stochastically around a discrete space. Quantum walks are the quantum analog, where the walker is also governed by quantum effects such as superposition, quantum interference, and entanglement. They have attracted broad interest because of a growing range of applications in quantum information processing (1–4) and quantum simulations (5, 6). Their markedly distinct properties provide a quantum speedup in solving problems, such as database search (7) and graph isomorphism (GI) (8, 9), that more broadly can be applied to pattern recognition and computer vision (10), network analysis and navigation (11), and website traffic optimization (12) and could find application in the use and analysis of large but imperfect graph states in measurement-based quantum computing (13).

Further application of quantum walks can be explored using multiple quantum walkers (14). This is because the quantum state space increases exponentially with the number of walkers, and scenarios are emerging where the underlying particle properties are strongly influential. How distinguishable quantum particles are determines the amount of nonclassical multiparticle interference and leads to rich and complex interference phenomena (15). Specifying particle exchange statistics can facilitate generation of high-dimensional entangled states via multifermion quantum walks (16), enables study of braiding statistics with anyonic walks (17), and can dictate whether sampling from multiparticle quantum interference patterns is efficient (fermions) or intractable (bosons) to reproduce on a classical computer (18).

A range of physical systems (19–21) including photonics (22–24) have been used to implement analog simulations of quantum walks as well as digital simulations with quantum logic (19, 25, 26). By using arrays of evanescently coupled integrated waveguides, quantum walks of up to five photons (27) have been demonstrated, and using the inherent stability of integrated optics, linear graphs of hundreds of vertices could be realized (28). However, these planar devices are each limited to graphs defined by the layout of the passive underlying photonic circuit, requiring additional modified circuits to observe different variations in quantum walk parameters, such as time evolution (29). Increasing capabilities of integrated optics for implementing unitary transformation are demonstrated over the recent years (24, 26, 30, 31), including a silica nonreconfigurable multipath unitary that is fixed at the point of fabrication (30), reconfigurability over six paths in silica (31), programmable optical circuits in silicon (24), and multiple reconfigurable two-mode operations combined in silicon, together with pre-entanglement to implement reconfigurable two-qubit processes (26).

Here, we present a fully programmable silicon photonic device capable of simulating quantum walk dynamics of correlated particles with full control over all important parameters including Hamiltonian structure, evolution time, particle distinguishability, and exchange symmetry. Specifically, we realize the device by implementing two simultaneous reconfigurable five-mode operations acting on the two parties of a bipartite photonic entangled state: Two on-chip photon pair sources (32) generate spatially entangled photons that are manipulated to continuously tune the distinguishability and exchange symmetry of two simulated particles (33, 34); they then undergo continuous-time quantum walks (CTQWs) on any graph of up to five vertices for arbitrary time evolution by using five-mode universal optical circuits (35, 31). By equating the state space of multiparticle quantum walks on one graph to a single particle undergoing a quantum walk evolution on another exponentially...
larger graph (14, 36), we can program particle properties to access geometries of larger single-particle Hamiltonians that are otherwise beyond the capabilities of a fixed-size universal linear optical circuit acting on single photons or coherent light. With the full programmability of the device and the inherent subwavelength stability of its monolithic integration, we are able to experimentally implement quantum walk–based algorithms of hundreds of graph configurations on a single device—we search for marked vertices in graphs and distinguish nonisomorphic graph pairs. These demonstrations physically explore the suitability of simulating quantum walk phenomena and applications in silicon photonics, as the scale and capability of the technology grow.

RESULTS

Silicon photonic chip for quantum walks with tunable particle properties

Particle exchange symmetry and indistinguishability are critical to the dynamics of multiparticle quantum walks (33, 34)—for example, the antisymmetry associated to fermions leads to the Pauli exclusion principle, while the symmetry associated to bosons enables bunching. Exchange symmetry refers to a wave function acquiring a phase $\phi$ after interchanging two indistinguishable particles. This effect is characterized by the creation operator $a_j^\dagger$ and annihilation operator $a_j$ of mode $j$ satisfying $a_j^\dagger a_j = e^{i\phi} a_j^\dagger a_j$ and $a_j a_j^\dagger = e^{-i\phi} a_j a_j^\dagger + \delta_{ij}$, where $\phi = 0$ for bosons and $\pi$ for fermions. Indistinguishability, which we denote as $\gamma \leq 1$, decides the strength of multiparticle quantum interference in the quantum walk evolution. For example, a pair of partially distinguishable photons in the polarization degree of freedom can be represented as $|H_1\rangle(\gamma |H_2\rangle + \sqrt{1-\gamma^2}|V_2\rangle)$, where $H$ and $V$ represent the horizontal and vertical polarizations in modes 1 and 2, respectively.

We extend the protocols of (33, 34) to simulate the CTQW evolution of multiple particles through control over both $\gamma$ and $\phi$. The protocol works by sending each particle of an entangled pair of photons through identical copies of the target CTQW evolution and then measuring the corresponding correlated detection probabilities (Fig. 1A)—controlling parameters of the entangled state tunes the properties of the simulated particles. For two particles parameterized by $\phi$ and $\gamma$ evolving in a unitary CTQW evolution $U$, the probability to measure two particles occupying vertices $r$ and $q$ is

$$\Gamma_{r,q}^{\phi,\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \left| \gamma (U_{r,q,k} + e^{i\phi} U_{r,q,k} U_{q,r}) + \sqrt{1-\gamma^2} U_{r,q,k} \right|^2$$

(1)

where $U_{r,q,k}$ is an element of the unitary CTQW evolution $U$. With $\phi = 0$ and $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$, the probability of measuring two particles occupying vertices $r$ and $q$ of $U$ is

$$P_{r,q}^{\phi,\gamma} = \left| \alpha U_{r,q,k} + e^{i\phi} \beta U_{r,q,k} U_{q,r} \right|^2$$

(2)

Comparing $\Gamma_{r,q}^{\phi,\gamma}$ and $P_{r,q}^{\phi,\gamma}$, we obtain $\Gamma_{r,q}^{\phi,\gamma}$ by measuring $P_{r,q}^{\phi,\gamma}$ as below (see section S1)

$$\Gamma_{r,q}^{\phi,\gamma} = \mu P_{r,q}^{\phi,\gamma}$$

(3)

where $\mu = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma + 2\gamma \sqrt{1-\gamma^2})$, by creating the two-photon entangled state as

$$|\Psi(\phi, \gamma)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \gamma + \sqrt{1-\gamma^2} a_1^\dagger b_2^\dagger + e^{i\phi} \gamma a_1^\dagger b_2^\dagger \right) |0\rangle$$

(4)

To generate the entangled state (Eq. 4) and apply the unitary evolutions, our device consists of two main parts: entangled photon-pair generation and universal linear optical transformation (Fig. 1B and C). The $11 \times 3 - \text{mm}^2$-sized chip comprises mainly 2 spontaneous four wave mixing (SFWM) photon sources, 22 simultaneously running thermo-optic phase shifters, 32 multimode interferometer beam splitters, and 16 optical grating couplers (not shown). The two SFWM sources used to create possible (signal-idler) photon pairs are pumped with a laser that is launched into the chip and split across the two sources. The spatially bunched photon pairs are coherently generated in any of the two sources. By postslecting the cases that the signal photons exit at the top of the device (as oriented in Fig. 1C) and the idler photons exit at the bottom, respectively, the required path-entangled state $|\Psi(\phi, \gamma)\rangle$ is created with success probability of one-quarter. The two five-mode universal linear optical networks are designed to implement unitary transformation of up to five dimensions with fixed inputs and can be reconfigured at $\approx$kHz rates with thermal phase shifts (37).

Harnessing particle properties to simulate CTQWs on complex graphs

The CTQW evolution on a graph $G$ is governed by the adjacency matrix $A$ of $G$, whose elements are $A_{jk} = 1$, if vertices $j$ and $k$ are connected by an unweighted edge ($A_{jk} = w$ for an edge of weight $w$), and $A_{jk} = 0$ otherwise. The unitary time evolution at time $t$ can be derived as

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iAt} |\psi(0)\rangle$$

(5)

where $|\psi(0)\rangle$ and $|\psi(t)\rangle$ are the initial and evolved states in the orthonormal basis $\{|1\rangle, |2\rangle, \ldots, |N\rangle\}$ corresponding to the vertices of $G$. With our device, we first experimentally simulated the CTQW dynamics of two particles with continuously tunable particle exchange symmetry and indistinguishability. For this, the two Reck et al.–style networks are configured to implement the same CTQW evolution of a specific time and the particle property parameters $\phi$ and $\gamma$ are controlled by creating the corresponding two-photon entangled state $|\Psi(\phi, \gamma)\rangle$.

As shown in Fig. 2A, we implemented CTQW evolution on a four-vertex circle graph and obtained the two-particle interference statistics for different cases of exchange symmetry and indistinguishability. The results show substantial changes of the particle correlations in the same unitary evolution depending on the particle properties. With particle exchange symmetry changing, the two-particle interference statistics ranges from corresponding to the Bose-Einstein statistics ($\phi = 0$), to the intermediate fractional statistics ($\phi = \frac{\pi}{3}$), and to Fermi-Dirac statistics ($\phi = \pi$). With indistinguishability increasing from 0 to 1, the particle correlations are gradually changing from being governed by classical effects ($\gamma = 0$) to the case that quantum interference becomes dominant ($\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$), and lastly, quantum interference completely determines ($\gamma = 1$). For example, diagonal terms are still reduced for the fermionic case when $\gamma < 1$, but the Pauli exclusion principle does not hold.
The dynamics of CTQW evolution of correlated particles can also be easily simulated on our device by reconfiguring the on-chip networks to implement different time evolutions (see section S4).

Multiparticle quantum walks can simulate a single-particle walk on an exponentially increasing sized graph, whose size and geometry depend on the particle properties. A multiparticle quantum walk of $P$ fully distinguishable particles on a graph of $N$ vertices can simulate a single-particle walk on the Cartesian product graph of $N^P$ vertices, while $P$ fully indistinguishable particles can simulate a single-particle walk on a graph of $\binom{N + P - 1}{P}$ vertices (for bosons) or $\binom{N}{P}$ vertices (for fermions). Given the adjacency matrix of a graph $G$, the simulated larger Hamiltonians corresponding to multiparticle CTQWs on $G$ can be obtained explicitly, according to the specific particle indistinguishability and exchange symmetry (see section S2). For example, as shown in Fig. 2 (B and C), two indistinguishable fermion CTQWs on a 4-vertex star graph simulate a single-particle walk on a 6-vertex circle graph—such quantum walks were previously implemented physically using a passive three-dimensional direct-write waveguide chip (38), and two indistinguishable boson CTQWs on the same 4-vertex star graph simulate a single-particle walk on a 10-vertex graph (see additional results in section S5).

Particle properties are important factors affecting the efficiency of classical simulation of multiparticle quantum interference, e.g., simulation of multiparticle interference with bosonic symmetry is classically inefficient (18), but with fermionic symmetry, it is efficient, and partial indistinguishability reduces the hardness of boson sampling task (39). This implies that some simulated exponentially sized quantum walk evolution in our scheme can be simulated classically efficiently, depending on the specific properties of particles undergoing physical quantum interference, while most would include both classically tractable and intractable aspects, giving rise to complicated analysis of complexities. On the other hand, controllable particle properties enable experiments regarding fundamental interest: Tunable distinguishability enables investigation of the non-monotonicity of the quantum-to-classical transition (40) and the full spectrum of multiphoton nonclassical interference (41); the ability to tune continuously between bosonic and fermionic quantum interference with a single device may be essential for verification of boson sampling (34, 42).

On our device, CTQW evolution operators are calculated classically and then configured to the optical circuits; this nevertheless does not eliminate the potential quantum computational advantage. When the underpinning structures and even processes of quantum walks can be classically described and simulated, there are known examples that indicate that the properties of the resulting output quantum state are difficult to reproduce classically—in (25), it was shown that for quantum walks on circulant graphs, it is likely to be inefficient on a classical computer to sample from the output distributions, while research into boson sampling (18) is revealing that the probability distributions associated with the output states of multiboson quantum interference in large unitary processes are also inefficient to sample from with classical resources, even when the underpinning unitary process acting on the modes is completely understood. While in our scheme, for example, a sample from the output states of $P$-boson quantum walk can be obtained via $P$ photons entering $P$ copies of $N$-dimensional optical circuits (34), with polynomial complexity [i.e., $O(N^3 + PN^2)]$ in total for calculating the underpinning unitary externally and configuring the circuits, which, by contrast, is classically intractable as the size of experiment increases.

**Implementing quantum walk–based search**

The quantum walk model provides a framework for solving the search problem, able to achieve a quadratic speedup over classical algorithms in the same way as Grover’s algorithm (7, 43). Quantum
The graph is defined as \( G \). The element \( \text{graph} \) constructs a search Hamiltonian from the adjacency matrix of the graph \( G \) of \( N \) vertices and performs a continuous-time evolution. The element \( a_w \) can be found by measuring the position of the evolution state over all vertices as
\[
|s⟩ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |i⟩
\]
The search evolves from the vertex 1. The obtained average classical fidelities between experimental and theoretical results is 98.11 ± 1.89%. (C) Experimental simulated probability distributions of a single-particle walk on a 6-vertex graph (B) via two-fermion CTQW and a 10-vertex graph (C) via two-boson CTQW. Both walks start from the vertex 1. The obtained average classical fidelities between experimental and theoretical distributions are 96.96 ± 0.03% and 97.33 ± 0.03%, respectively. All error bars represent the SD estimates from Poissonian statistics (see sections S4 and S5 for details).

The optimality of the quantum walk–based search holds even for more general cases. Suppose that finding \( n_w \) marked vertices of \( G \) (denoted as \( \{w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_{n_w}\} \)) and starting with an initial superposition state over \( n_r \) chosen vertices (denoted as \( \{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_{n_r}\} \)), i.e.,
\[
|ψ_{\text{ini}}⟩ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} |r_j⟩
\]
we can define the search Hamiltonian to be
\[
H_{sh} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n_r} |r_i⟩ ⟨r_i| - \sum_{j=1}^{n_w} |w_j⟩ ⟨w_j| - κA \tag{8}
\]
The probability of finding one marked vertex is then obtained by
\[
P_w = \sum_{i=1}^{n_r} \left| ⟨w_i| e^{-iH_{sh}t} |ψ_{\text{ini}}⟩ \right|^2 \tag{9}
\]
when \( n_r = n_w \ll N \), \( P_w \) can also achieve \( O(1) \) with an evolution time \( t = O(\sqrt{N}) \), holding quadratic speedup over classical algorithms (see section S6).

Full programmability of our device enables implementation of quantum walk–based searches on a diverse variety of graph structures and in various scenarios with different initial states and targets. By first using a single-photon CTQW, we realized the search over a five-vertex complete graph (Fig. 3A) to find one marked vertex, starting with a uniformly superposition state (Fig. 3D) or with a single-vertex state (Fig. 3E) and to find two marked vertices with an
Implementing quantum walk–based GI algorithm

The GI problem is to determine whether two graphs can be made identical by relabeling their vertices, and it plays an important role in the fields of pattern recognition and computer vision. For graphs of polynomial size $M$, there are, in total, $M!$ possible permutations over $M$ vertices, which makes it hard to solve GI for polynomial-sized graphs using a brute force approach. GI is thought to be possibly nondeterministic polynomial (NP)-intermediate (neither NP-complete nor polynomial time) problem, and currently, the best proven general algorithm is in quasi-polynomial time (46). To explore the potentials of quantum computation in solving GI, a number of algorithms have been proposed on the basis of various quantum models (47–49), and most of them use quantum walk evolutions to calculate “graph certificates” to distinguish nonisomorphic graphs (8, 9, 50–52). The quantum walk (QW)-based GI algorithms are mainly different in the aspects of the used model (i.e., discrete or continuous time), the particles involved, the presence of particle interactions and localized inhomogeneities, and the way of constructing graph certificates (see section S7). Although none of the proposed QW-based GI algorithms have been proven analytically to be able to distinguish all graphs in polynomial time, their capability of distinguishing nonisomorphic graphs has been tested by abundant numerical simulations on wide classes of graphs including the strongly regular graphs (SRGs) that are difficult to distinguish.

Here, we present a single-particle CTQW-based algorithm for tackling the GI problem, aiming to distinguish two nonisomorphic polynomial-sized graphs in polynomial time. The algorithm is specifically tailored for the implementation in linear optics using entangled photons together with reconfigurable optical circuits. It obtains its distinguishing power by circularly adding phases to the edges of vertices of the graph, which adds local inhomogeneities into the graphs to break the symmetry with respect to the walk evolution that exists between two similar graphs. Specifically, we construct a hierarchical graph certificate $C$ for each of the two graphs and distinguish graphs by comparing two certificates $C_G$ and $C_H$. If $C_G \neq C_H$, the algorithm determines that the two graphs are nonisomorphic; if $C_G = C_H$ and an isomorphism between graphs is found by running an extension to the algorithm in polynomial time, the two graphs are determined to be isomorphic; otherwise, the algorithm cannot distinguish the graphs down to their isomorphism classes (Fig. 4A). $C = \{C^{(s)}\}$ ($s = 0, \cdots, 4$) consists of the sorted lists defined as

$$C^{(0)} = \{1, 2, \ldots, 4\},$$

$$C^{(1)} = \{1, 2\},$$

$$C^{(2)} = \{1\},$$

$$C^{(3)} = \{1, 3\},$$

$$C^{(4)} = \{1, \}.$$
where $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ represents the $N$ vertices of the graph, and $A^{(s)}$ is the CTQW Hamiltonian that is obtained by adding phases to the elements of the adjacency matrix $A$: $A^{(0)}$ is equal to the original $A$; $A^{(1)}$ is obtained by iteratively adding phases to each edge of a single vertex; and $A^{(2)}$, $A^{(3)}$, and $A^{(4)}$ are obtained by iteratively adding phases to two, four, and six edges from two distinct vertices, respectively. Numerically, we have tested the algorithm for more than 226 million pairs of nonisomorphic graphs, including 46,119 pairs of SRGs with same parameters, and all nonisomorphic graphs were successfully distinguished by using up to $C^{(4)}$ certificate (Fig. 4B). The numerical results indicate that the distinguishing ability is increasing with the level of certificates, i.e., phase additions to more edges. However, it remains to be proven whether the algorithm using up to $C^{(4)}$ certificate or even some higher level can distinguish all graphs, and further analytical investigations are required. There could be a fraction of graphs on which the algorithm fails to distinguish—a possible direction for further investigation is to explore whether the unique certificates constructed were sufficient for the number of nonisomorphic SRGs of Latin square group with particular parameters (52) that have not been tested here. Thus, our algorithm does not hold the overall theoretical superiority over the best proven GI algorithm (46); it can nevertheless find potentials in applications such as discriminating graphs and measuring graph similarity, due to its distinguishing ability for broad classes of graphs.

On a classical computer, a CTQW on an $N$-vertex graph can be simulated via approximating the exponential of the adjacency matrix, which has the complexity of $O(\text{sim})$ due to its nonisomorphic SRGs of Latin square group with particular parameters (25) can be implemented using our device, achieving a potential quantum speedup compared to the complexity cost of using a classical computer. In addition, here we use phase addition to edges as the way to introduce local inhomogeneities, and there could also be other ways that induce possibly different complexities.

With our device, we experimentally demonstrated the proposed CTQW-based GI algorithm for distinguishing graphs. In total, 763 pairs of graphs were tested, including 85 pairs of isomorphic graphs and 678 pairs of nonisomorphic graphs. By using two-particle CTQW evolutions with fermionic or bosonic symmetry, we are able to test graphs of up to 15 vertices. In the experiments, we constructed the graph certificates $C_G$, $C_H$ of the given graphs and distinguished them by investigating the classical fidelity between the two experimentally obtained certificates defined as $F_C = \sum_i \sqrt{C_G(i)C_H(i)}$. Isomorphic graphs have ideally unity fidelity, while nonisomorphic graphs can only achieve a theoretical fidelity less than 1. As shown in Fig. 4C, the experimental and theoretical results achieve

![Fig. 4. Experimental demonstration of quantum walk–based GI algorithm.](image)
high agreement. Furthermore, the fidelity between the proposed graph certificates can be further used for applications of practical interest, such as measuring the similarity between two graphs. Consider, for example, an unweighted five-vertex graph and the same graph but with only one weighted edge, then the obtained fidelities show clear distinctness changing with the weight (Fig. 4D), suggesting a possible way for ranking similar graphs.

**DISCUSSION**

We have shown that a photonic circuit design consisting of reconfigurable linear optical networks together with controllable entangled photons can implement universal quantum walks and thus allows the simulation of thousands of CTQW evolutions for quantum walk dynamics and quantum walk–based algorithms on a single device. This approach provides full programmability and control over the properties of quantum walks and thus has more flexibility and capability than analog systems. It is more likely to be achievable in the short term compared to a digital quantum computer. The entanglement structure can be scaled up: on the one hand, by increasing the size of the optical networks, where an alternative design having shorter optical depth and more robustness to losses could also be used (54), and on the other hand, to the simulation of P-particle quantum walks with tunable particle correlations, by subjecting a generalized P-particle entangled photonic state to P copies of the optical networks (34). The complementary metal-oxide semiconductor (CMOS)–compatible silicon photons shows promise in satisfying the requirements of the scheme scaling-up—for its great potential in implementing large-scale optical networks (24, 26) and multiphoton sources (55), as well as developing integration with on-chip detection (56). Our range of quantum walk simulation and quantum walk–based algorithms obtained high fidelities with the theoretical prediction, while it is still an open question if such an analog approach can have error correction or fault tolerance techniques to tolerate the discrepancy with perfect agreement with the theory. To this end, some technical approaches may be helpful, such as pushing the limits of the precision of photonic manipulation by using the optimized block designs of interferometers (57) and compensating for fabrication errors via numerical optimization techniques (58). This work paves a feasible path for engineered photonic processors for implementing classically intractable quantum walk applications and also for simulating large-scale quantum many-body systems with various particle types, which could be further used in studying many-fermion behaviors (59), and fractional quantum interference phenomena (60).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Device fabrication**

The presented chip is designed and fabricated on a silicon-on-insulator (SOI) platform with top silicon thickness of 220 nm and buried oxide layer of 2 μm. First, a shallowly etched 70-nm grating coupler was defined by e-beam lithography (EBL) and inductively coupled plasma (ICP) etching. After that, a second EBL and ICP etching were used to define the waveguide pattern on the SOI wafer. Then, a 1.5-μm-thick layer of SiO2 was deposited on top of the chip by plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition. The surface of the top SiO2 was polished and thinned down to 0.9 μm, which is used as an isolation layer between the silicon waveguides and the microheaters to avoid potential optical losses. Afterward, a 150-nm-thick NiCr heater and a 250-nm-thick Au pad were defined by the standard ultraviolet lithography, metal deposition, and liftoff process. Last, the chip was cleaved and wire-bonded to a printed circuit board.

**Experimental setup**

A tunable continuous-wave laser of 1549.3 nm is amplified with an erbium-doped fiber amplifier, spectrally filtered by a dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) module and launched into the device through a V-groove fiber array (VGA), which is 127-μm spacing and 8° angle polished from top to bottom. Photons emerging from the device are collected through the same VGA, and two five-channel optical switches are used to choose the output channels for photon detection, controlled from a computer. Two off-chip DWDM module filters (200-GHz channel spacing and 1-nm, 0.5-dB bandwidth) are used to separate the signal (red) and idler (blue) photons. Photons are detected by two fiber-coupled superconducting nanowire single-photon detectors. The polarizations of input/output photons are optimized by in-line polarization controllers. Coincidence counting logic records the two-photon coincidence events. Phase shifters on the device are configured through a digital-to-analog converter, controlled from a computer. A Peltier cell controlled by a proportional integrative derivative controller is used to actively keep the device temperature constant.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/7/9/eabb8375/DC1
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