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The protein universe as we know is composed of folded structures and intrinsic disordered regions. The latter may adopt structures upon interaction with binding partners. In addition, some proteins contain C-terminal extensions which act as independent functional units in the context of the protein. Since their activity does not depend on the protein context they can be considered as peptides in proteins. To illustrate this principle, we here discuss the C-terminal extensions of IgM antibodies which dictate their assembly and the molecular chaperones Hsp90, Hsp70, and Hsp104 which use C-terminal peptide extensions as a docking site for interaction with different co-chaperones.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Proteins are known as chains of amino acids which fold into well-defined three-dimensional structures. In recent years, intrinsically disordered proteins were discovered which are devoid of structure in isolation. Additionally, an increasing number of proteins has been identified which contain folded and intrinsically disordered regions (IDR). About one-third of the human proteome may contain IDRs. Importantly, these IDRs can adopt three-dimensional structures upon interaction with ligands such as DNA or other proteins, allowing specific interactions with different ligands thus expanding the repertoire of complexes as, e.g., seen for transcription factors. The range of structural elements present in proteins is further expanded by N-terminal signal sequences of 30 to 50 residues needed for targeting to mitochondria and the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) that are cleaved after translocation across the membrane. In addition, short (three to four residues) C-terminal targeting sequences exist. Here, we highlight the existence of C-terminal elements in proteins which act similarly to independent peptides attached to a protein domain. In this review, examples are presented where these peptide sequences serve as assembly regulators of homotypic and heterotypic interactions in different biological contexts. The idea of peptide extensions as independent elements attached to folded domains is supported by the fact that for the examples discussed here, homologues of the respective proteins exist that lack the specific peptide extension. Since the respective isolated peptides also perform the basic assembly reactions, their biological functions may be encoded in the peptide sequence alone.

With a view to describe generic aspects of peptide tags, we present examples for this concept from two different areas: molecular immunology and molecular chaperones. In both cases, peptides in the range of 18 to 30 amino acid length perform essential functions that govern the architecture of large protein complexes up to the giga Dalton range.

2 | THE TAILPIECE PEPTIDE-MEDIATED ASSEMBLY OF IgM ANTIBODIES

In general, antibodies or immunoglobulins (Ig) contain two identical heavy (HC) and two identical light chains (LC). They exhibit modular
structures consisting of several domains that each adopt the Ig fold. The variable N-terminal domains of the HC and LC (VH and VL, respectively) bind to antigens with high affinity and specificity. The C-terminal part (Fc) interacts with receptors and is responsible for effector functions that drive the immune response such as activating the complement system.

Two classes of antibodies, IgM and IgA, contain a short peptide extension of ~20 residues located at the C-terminus of their HCs. This so-called tailpiece (tp) dictates the polymerization of the entire antibody into complex macromolecular structures such as IgA dimers or IgM hexamers. Therefore, this process is of major importance for the immune response of vertebrates. Since most progress has been made in the investigation of the IgM-tp (μtp), we focus here on the role of the μtp in IgM hexamer formation.

Upon initial exposure to an antigen, IgM is the first antibody produced in the primary immune response. To ensure rapid activity, IgMs are secreted before B cells undergo somatic hypermutation, which increases antigen binding affinity and specificity. Consequently, IgMs show generally lower antigen affinity than other immunoglobulin classes. However, this is compensated by

**FIGURE 1** Tailpiece peptide-mediated assembly of IgM. (A) Scheme of hexameric IgM. HC and LC domains are illustrated in blue and gray, respectively. HC and LC domains are illustrated in blue and gray, respectively. S-S indicates a disulfide bond. (B) Crystal structure of the monomeric Cμ4 domain (PDB: 4JVW, green) with the modeled domain boundary (orange ribbons) and μtp extension (red ribbons) as indicated by SAXS measurements with corresponding amino acid sequence of the murine μtp. (C) SAXS-, NMR-, and X-ray-derived modeled structure of the hexameric IgM Fc fragment including the Cμ2 (orange), Cμ3 (blue), and Cμ4 (green) domains as well as the μtp (red ribbons).
oligomerization (Figures 1A and 2), leading to multiple antigen binding sites in one antibody complex and an overall high avidity. IgMs are secreted as pentamers and hexamers. In pentameric IgM, one IgM subunit is replaced by a small cysteine-rich protein, called J chain (~15 kDa). Its function and secondary structure remain unknown. While most other Igs comprise three constant heavy chain domains, the HC of IgM is C-terminally extended by the Cμ4 domain (Figure 1A,B). In contrast to IgM, IgA is highly antigen-specific and accomplishes rather local immune functions. Therefore, the majority of IgA molecules serve as a first-line defense against foreign pathogens. IgA exists in two distinct, tissue-dependent isoforms, IgA1 and IgA2. Similar to IgM, secretory IgA comprises a tp which is required to form defined dimers in a complex with the J chain.

The IgM hexamer and pentamer are highly symmetric assemblies (Figure 1A,C). Electron microscopy (EM) and small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) revealed a mushroom-shaped quaternary structure of the polymer with the Cμ4 domains and the μtps located in the stem. Already in 1986, it was found that the μtp is required for IgM oligomerization. More recently, progress was made on the mechanistic role of the μtp and the contribution of its residues (Müller et al, 2013; Pasalic et al, 2017).

The μtp and the IgA-tp (αtp) share remarkable sequence homology (Figure 3A). Of highest importance, both contain the important

---

**FIGURE 2** Proposed mechanism of IgM oligomerization dictated by the μtp. (A) Cμ4-tp in vitro oligomerization. Red areas indicate hydrophobic surface patches, S-S indicates a disulfide bond, SH a nonoxidized thiol group of a cysteine. The distinct conformation of the μtp neither for the monomer, nor for the oligomer is known in detail. (a) + (b) Dimerization initiated by the penultimate Cys575 disulfide formation is the driving step of IgM oligomerization. (c) Intermediate structures assemble via noncovalent hydrophobic interactions, leading to a highly symmetric IgM hexamer (d). (B) (a) Initial step of oligomerization of full-length IgM, (b) schematic section of full-length IgM hexamer assembly.
penultimate cysteine. Further similarities are a region of mostly hydrophobic residues ranging from Val to Gly as well as the conserved N- and C-terminal residues Gly-Thr and Thr-Tyr, respectively. Interestingly, at two positions, there are distinct differences between the two tps: while IgA incorporates His-Val/Ile, IgM has inverted polar/nonpolar residues comprising Leu-Thr, respectively. Additionally, while Ala/Gly in IgM are small, nonpolar residues, IgA contains a rather large Thr571) and a very short (Cys Tyr) are necessary for oligomerization in some positions.25,32 The Cμ4 tp peptide is able to form dimers corresponding to Cμ4 tp dimers,24 corresponding to Cμ4 tp domain function.28 Interestingly, the secondary structure of the Cμtp is still unknown (Figure 1B). Since it could not be determined along with the Cμ4 domain by X-ray crystallography, the Cμtp is potentially flexible or intrinsically unfolded and therefore likely to adopt various transient conformations.24 Secondary structure prediction suggested two β-strand segments, a long (Thr560-Thr571) and a very short (Cys-Tyr) one, linked by coiled residues.28 Of note, within the Cμtp, the “NVS”- motif containing Asn563 represents an active N-glycosylation site. The Cμtp glycan supports the assembly of IgM pentamers with the J chain.20,31 Remarkably, the isolated Cμtp peptide is able to form dimers upon disulfide bond formation, which is accompanied by a change in its relative secondary structure toward a larger β-strand content.28

The role of each Cμtp residue in IgM assembly was determined by alanine-scanning mutagenesis employing Cμ4-tp. Cμ4-tp is the smallest IgM construct capable of forming regular higher order oligomers in vitro, ie, hexamers of Cμ4-tp dimers,24 corresponding to hexamers of full-length IgM. This screen emphasized that Cys575, the penultimate residue in the Cμtp, is essential for IgM polymerization. However, Cys575 alone was not sufficient for oligomerization in some variants indicating the importance of other Cμtp residues.25,32 The effects of mutations in Cμtp residues were highly similar for Cμ4-tp in vitro and full length IgM in cells suggesting that indeed the Cμtp is the decisive factor of IgM oligomerization and that the Cμ4-tp domain is an excellent minimal model to study IgM association. Several positions were identified for which the mutation to Ala did not interfere with oligomer formation. However, when Tyr562, Val564, Leu566, Ile567, Met568, and Cys575 were mutated to Ala, no oligomerization was observed, and either no IgM secretion was observed or only monomers were secreted from cells. Thus, in particular, the hydrophobic region from Val564-Met568 and Cys575 are of major importance.
for IgM oligomerization. This hydrophobic stretch is located within the predicted β-strand, suggesting that this secondary structure element and/or its hydrophobicity may be important for oligomerization. IgM oligomerization is a multistep mechanism governed by conformational transitions of the µtp (Figure 2): the initial step required for polymerization is the disulfide formation between two adjacent Cys575, connecting two IgM subunits to a dimer. Upon this event, the µtp undergoes a conformational shift leading to the exposure of largely hydrophobic amino acids, which had formerly been buried. It seems that hydrophobic interactions lead to oligomer formation via transient intermediate states, finally giving rise to Cµ4-tp pentamers and hexamers.

Interestingly, the C-terminal µtp is also sufficient to drive oligomerization when fused to other Ig classes such as IgG.33,34 However, there are still important gaps in our mechanistic understanding of this process such as the structural requirements of the tp-linked Ig domain for defined oligomerization. In this context, transient and stable interactions of the µtp in the IgM monomer, dimer, pentamer, and hexamer are subjects of current investigations. It is so far not possible to predict the quaternary structures that are created by fusing the µtp to various proteins. Furthermore, it is still enigmatic how exactly the J chain is incorporated into the IgM pentamer.

Besides polymerization, the µtp serves an important protein quality control (QC) function in the early secretory pathway of the ER.35 Given the fact, that about one third of the entire proteome matures in the ER, QC is of major importance to ensure that properly folded, functional proteins are secreted and to prevent overloading of the protein biosynthesis and secretion machinery.36,37 To meet these requirements, a number of chaperones and enzymes manage secretory protein maturation and QC in the ER. The redox enzyme ERp44 can specifically detect free, reduced cysteines of proteins.40

Another striking example for peptides in proteins are the C-termini of the µtp or KDEL or RDEL motif, respectively, that mediate interaction with other proteins such as the J chain. Thus, they are at the heart of the biological function of these antibodies and an efficient immune response.

3 PEPTIDE-GUIDED ASSEMBLY WITHIN THE MOLECULAR CHAPERONE MACHINERY

Another striking example for peptides in proteins are the C-terminal peptide extensions that emerged in several molecular chaperones upon transition from prokaryotes to eukaryotes. These peptide tails are used as anchors for the binding to partner proteins. This concept is most extensively used in the Hsp90 machinery. Hsp90 is important for the folding, stability, and activity of several hundred different client proteins in the cytosol of eukaryotic cells.41-43 As the interactome of Hsp90 includes kinases, transcription factors, ribosomal proteins, and proteins of the ubiquitination system, the regulatory potential of the chaperone ranges from signal transduction, transcription, and translation to protein synthesis and degradation.44-47

Hsp90 from prokaryotes and eukaryotes exhibits a conserved three-domain structure (Figure 4). The N-terminal domain binds ATP, the middle domain is mainly responsible for client interaction as well as ATP hydrolysis, and the C-terminal domain mediates dimerization. Strikingly, only in eukaryotic Hsp90s, an unstructured tail region of about 30 residues emerges from the C-terminal end of Hsp90. In the crystal structure of yeast Hsp90, this segment is unstructured and only partly resolved due to its flexibility.48 (PDB: 2CG9). Similarly, the cryo-EM structure of the human Hsp90 isoform Hsp90β revealed the terminal 35 amino acids as unstructured49 (PDB: 5FWK). Secondary structure predictions of the tail regions confirm the unstructured nature. In the Hsp90β structure, the C-terminal peptides protrude in opposing directions, whereas in the yeast Hsp90 structure they are parallel. Despite the large evolutionary distance, the amino acid composition of the C-terminal extension is similar in yeast and human Hsp90 regarding the nature of the side chains with roughly 40% hydrophobic as well as acidic amino acids (Figure 3B).

This emergence of the C-terminal tail in eukaryotic Hsp90 correlates with the occurrence of co-chaperones for Hsp90: while no co-chaperone has been detected in bacteria, more than a dozen of Hsp90 co-chaperones exist in eukaryotes. The long unstructured tp can be regarded as a flexible attachment site with an anchor sequence at its C-terminal end to which some co-chaperones bind. Specifically, these recognize the (M)EEVD amino acids at the very end of the tail.50,51 This increases the client range and the functional flexibility of the Hsp90 system. The co-chaperones interacting with the (M)EEVD peptide are PP5, CHIP, Fkbp51, Fkbp52, Cyp40, HOP/Sti1, and RPAP3/Tah1 (see Table 1). Their interaction is mediated by a specific module, the tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR) domain (Figure 5) present in some of the co-chaperones. TPR domains are mediators of protein-protein interactions and contain five to seven helix-turn-helix segments of 34 amino acids with hydrophobic patches.52 Conserved residues are alanine at position 8, 20, and 27 and glycine at position 8. Proline acts as a helix-breaking amino acid at position 32. The helices form a binding groove which can accommodate peptide sequences ranging from five to nine amino acids (Figure 5). The binding motifs of TPR domains are not conserved, as different combinations of multiple hydrophobic and charged interactions are possible.53 Accordingly, extended sequences as well as α-helices are able to bind to the TPR.54 Crystal structures of TPR domains in complex with tp peptides revealed that different extended conformations are bound to the TPR domains (Figure 5). While the MEEVD peptide binds to the Tah1 TPR in a stretched fashion, the same peptide binds...
the PP5 TPR domain in a reversed orientation with the C-terminal Asp buried inside the TPR domain and the N-terminal Met protruding. Binding affinities of TPR domains to the Hsp90 tails are in the low μM range (1-25 μM) and drop significantly to the mM range for shorter peptides.

Hsp90 undergoes large conformational changes during the ATPase reaction (Figure 6). In the apo state, Hsp90 is in the open conformation in which the monomers are only associated via the C-domains. Upon ATP binding, the N-domains undergo a conformational transition which leads to their association. To completely assemble the ATPase site, the N-domains have to associate with the M-domains. The transitions between these states are slow, in the minute range, and represent the rate-limiting steps of the cycle. After ATP hydrolysis, ADP and phosphate are released, and Hsp90 returns to the open state.

Co-chaperones control this conformational cycle by stabilizing specific Hsp90 states or by interacting with client proteins. Well-studied examples are the co-chaperones Hop/Sti1 and Cdc37 which bind to open Hsp90 conformations and Aha1 and p23 which bind to closed states. Co-chaperone binding sites are found in all domains of Hsp90, but by far the most prominent interaction site is the (M)EEVD motif in the C-terminal tail. To exert their specific functions, these co-chaperones contain different functional domains in addition to the TPR module. Depending on the co-chaperone, this allows modulation of chaperone activity and substrate specificity.

An example in case are several TPR-containing co-chaperones comprising peptidyl-prolyl cis/trans isomerase (PPIase) domains which empower these co-chaperones to act as important regulators of the mammalian stress response and disorders like depression and diabetes via glucocorticoid signaling. The Fkbp51/52 co-chaperones comprise three domains: an N-terminal immunosuppressive (FK506) binding FK1 domain responsible for the PPIase activity, an FKBP-like domain (FK2), and a 7 helix-containing TPR domain. These co-chaperones are part of Hsp90-steroid receptor complexes and affect the affinity of the glucocorticoid receptor for its ligand.

The broad range of functions that can be combined with Hsp90 via TPR-interactions is further demonstrated by the Hsp90 co-chaperone CHIP which consists of a TPR domain and a C-terminal U-box domain with E3 ligase function. Thus, it connects protein folding with degradation. CHIP mediates ubiquitination of important cellular regulators like p53. This requires the interaction of CHIP with Hsp90 and the flexibility of the tail as shortening of this segment leads to impaired p53 ubiquitination even if the (M)EEVD CHIP-binding motif remains unaltered.

FIGURE 4 Crystal structures of yeast Hsp82, yeast Hsp104, and human Hsp70. The closed conformation of the yeast Hsp90 dimer is shown on the left (blue) with a schematic representation of the tail domains as blue spheres with the C-terminal amino acids MEEVD (PDB: 2CG9, derived from the Hsp82-Sba1 complex). In the middle, a monomer Hsp104 NBD is depicted in red (PDB: 5VY9). The C-terminal tail region is depicted as red spheres with the C-terminal amino acids DDLD. The transition of the C-domain to the tail piece is depicted as sticks with dark blue colored nitrogen and red oxygen atoms. On the right, the Hsp70 NBD is depicted in dark green (PDB: 5BN8), and the SBD is depicted in light green (PDB: 4PO2). The C-terminal tail region is depicted as light green spheres with the C-terminal amino acids EEVD. The transition of the C-domain to the tail piece is depicted as sticks with dark blue colored nitrogen and red oxygen atoms. The tail piece of the second Hsp82 monomer is depicted as cartoon. Both structures show the last amino acids breaking the helix conformation.

### TABLE 1 Overview of TPR domain containing co-chaperones

| Co-Chaperone | Human | Yeast | Number of TPR Domains | Function |
|--------------|-------|-------|------------------------|----------|
| CHIP         | CHIP  | 1     | E3 ubiquitin ligase     |          |
| Cyp40        | Cpr6, Cpr7 | 1     | Prolyl isomerase        |          |
| Fkbp51       | ---   | 1     | Prolyl isomerase        |          |
| Fkbp52       | ---   | 1     | Prolyl isomerase        |          |
| Hop          | Sti1  | 3     | Hsp70/Hsp90 client transfer |        |
| PP5          | Ppt1  | 1     | Hsp90 phosphatase       |          |
| RPAP3        | Tah1  | 1     | Part of the R2TP complex|          |
TPR-co-chaperone-Hsp90 interactions can also affect posttranslational modifications: Protein phosphatase PP5 contains a phosphatase domain which dephosphorylates Hsp90 and the co-chaperone Cdc37 in complex with Hsp90 and may also act on client proteins of Hsp90.68,69

TPR-co-chaperones are also used to recruit further effector proteins to Hsp90. In this context, Tah1/RPAP3 mediates Pih1 binding and stabilization of the Hsp90-bound complex.71 Tah1/RPAP3 is also involved in rRNA processing72 and mTOR stability.73

In contrast to these co-chaperones which feature a single TPR domain, Hop/Sti1 contains three TPR domains with different specificities for Hsp90 and for the molecular chaperone Hsp70. Upon transition from prokaryotes to eukaryotes, also Hsp70 acquired a C-terminal extension of ~ 30 amino acids containing an EEVD motif that binds to TPR domains. In general, the Hsp90 system is functionally strongly connected to the molecular chaperone Hsp7074 and Sti1/Hop mates the two chaperones in one complex facilitating client protein transfer from Hsp70 to Hsp90.75-77

Of note, Hsp70 is not related to Hsp90 on both the sequence and structure level. By aligning isoforms of Hsp90 and Hsp70 from different organisms, only a strict conservation of the C-terminal MEEVD motif becomes obvious (Figure 3B,C). Hsp70 consists of an N-terminal nucleotide binding domain (NBD) and a C-terminal substrate binding domain (SBD) including the lid subdomain (Figure 4). The transition region from the folded domain to the C-terminal tail is more variable than in Hsp90. Some TPR-co-chaperones such as CHIP do not discriminate between the Hsp90 and Hsp70 peptide extensions while others like Fkbp51 exclusively bind Hsp90. Structures of TPR domains in complex with the respective peptide ligands show the different binding modes (Figure 5). For example in Hop/Sti1, two of the three TPR domains interact with Hsp70 (TPR1 and TPR2B) and one (TPR2A) with Hsp90.78 Furthermore, if Hop/Sti1s is attached to Hsp90 via binding to the TPR2A domain, the TPR2B domain interacts with the Hsp90 M-domain with regions outside its peptide binding site. These interactions prevent conformational transitions in Hsp90, thus inhibiting the ATPase activity and blocking the chaperone cycle.78,79 The specificity of an individual TPR domain for Hsp70 or Hsp90 is determined by amino acids upstream of the EEVD motif: for the interactions of TPR1/TPR2B with Hsp70, the amino acid Ile (IEEVD) is favored over Hsp90 Met (MEEVD).50 In opposition to TPR1/TPR2B, the Hsp90-binding TPR2A domain generates higher affinity to Met (MEEVD) than to Hsp70 Ile (IEEVD).

Strikingly, the concept of adding a peptide extension to a molecular chaperone upon transition from prokaryotes to eukaryotes is also seen in yeast Hsp104. This hexameric AAA + ATPase (Figure 4) is able to unfold proteins and dissolve protein aggregates.80-82 The acidic C-terminus (IDDDLD) of Hsp104 interacts with the TPR domains of the co-chaperones Sti1 (TPR1), Cpr7 and Cns1.83 Hsp104 is of major importance at severe heat stress, where survival increases up to 1000-fold compared with strains lacking Hsp104. In addition to its disaggregate activity, Hsp104 can prevent aggregation...
of proteins and hold them soluble. Interestingly, metazoa lack an Hsp104 orthologue. Their different components seem to compensate this loss.

Taken together, the C-terminal peptide extensions of Hsp90, Hsp70, and Hsp104 bind to TPR domains of a number of different proteins resulting in a competition for co-chaperone binding which is influenced by the levels of the respective proteins.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

The examples discussed in this review establish that nature evolved protein tags as independent functional units that can be added to the C-terminal ends of proteins to foster protein interactions. Interestingly, in all cases discussed, versions of the respective proteins exist in which the peptide tags are lacking. The repertoire ranges from short extensions of a few amino acids to more than 30 residues, thus covering the range typical for functional peptides. Different functional principles become obvious: in the case of antibodies, the homotypic interaction of two tail peptides seems to convey the steric information for the formation of specific oligomers. This requires not only the covalent linkage of the tp cysteines but specific structural information encoded in the tp’s hydrophobic region. Thus, the µtp is a rather complex functional unit. In contrast, the current knowledge on the Hsp90/70-tp suggests that the mode of action of this peptide extension is less complicated. Its function seems to correspond to that of a fishing rod with the (M) EEVD motif at its end as the prey for TRP-domain containing proteins. Here, complexity is added by the range of TPR-proteins competing for the interaction and the expansion of function that is achieved by the additional domains present in the TPR-proteins.

A comprehensive view of peptides in proteins is still lacking. Given that the two examples described here originate from completely different areas, it can be envisioned that many more (and different) peptide tags exist in different functional contexts. It will be interesting to see how this basic theme is orchestrated to support diverse biological processes.

ORCID

Johannes Buchner  https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1282-7737

REFERENCES

1. Oldfield CJ, Dunker AK. Intrinsically disordered proteins and intrinsically disordered protein regions. Annu Rev Biochem. 2014;83(1):553-584. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-072711-164947
2. Uversky VN. Intrinsically disordered proteins in overcrowded milieu: membrane-less organelles, phase separation, and intrinsic disorder. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2017;44:18-30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2016.10.015

3. Staby L, O’Shea C, Willemoës M, Theisen F, Kragelund BB, Skriver K. Eukaryotic transcription factors: paradigms of protein intrinsic disorder. Biochem J. 2017;474(15):2509-2532. https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20160631

4. Matlin KS. Spatial expression of the genome: the signal hypothesis at forty. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2011;12(5):333-340. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3105

5. Nilsson T, Warren G. Retention and retrieval in the endoplasmic reticulum and the Golgi apparatus. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 1994;6(4):517-521. https://doi.org/10.1016/0952-673X(94)90070-1

6. Feige MJ, Hendershot LM, Buchner J. How antibodies fold. Trends Biochem Sci. 2010;35(4):189-198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tbs.2009.11.005

7. Schroeder HW, Cavacini L. Structure and function of immunoglobulins. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010;125(2):S41-S52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2009.09.046

8. Merle NS, Noe R, Halbwachs Capner P. The avidity of specific IgM detected in epidemiologic studies. Biomed Sci. 2008;27(2):315-326. https://doi.org/10.1054/bmsi.2007.0913

9. Brüggemann M, Caskey HM, Teale C, et al. A repertoire of monoclonal antibodies with human heavy chains from transgenic mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1989;86(17):6709-6713. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.86.17.6709

10. Taylor B, Wright JF, Arya S, Isenman DE, Shulman MJ, Painter RH. C1q Binding properties of monomer and polymer forms of mouse IgM Mu-chain variants. Pro544Gly and Pro434Ala. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 1998;10(8):409-411. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-437X(98)80056-1

11. Biebl MM, Buchner J. Structure, function, and regulation of the Hsp90 chaperone machinery. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2011;3(9):a034017. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a034017

12. Matthews AJ, Zheng S, DiMenna LJ, Chaudhuri J. Regulation of immunoglobulin class-switch recombination. Adv Immunol. 2014;122:1-57. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800267-4.00001-8

13. Pasalic D, Weber B, Giannone C, et al. A peptide extension dictates IgM assembly. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2017;114(41):E8575-E8584. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1701797114

14.📦 Weber B, Brandl MJ, Pulido Cendales MD, et al. A single residue switch reveals principles of antibody domain integrity. J Biol Chem. 2018;293(44):17107-17118. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.05475

15. Giannone C, Fagioli C, Valetti C, Sitia R. Roles of N-glycans in the polymerization-dependent aggregation of mutant Ig-μ chains in the early secretory pathway. Sci Rep. 2017;7:41815. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-04052-x

16. Mestecky J, Zikan J, Butler WT. Immunoglobulin M and secretory immunoglobulin a: a presence of a common polypeptide chain different from light chains. Science. 1971;171(3976):1163-1165. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.171.3976.1163

17. Thomas HJ, Morgan-Capner P. The avidity of specific IgM detected in primary rubella and reinfection. Epidemiol Infect. 1990;104(3):489-498. https://doi.org/10.1017/S095026880004749X

18. Staby L, O’Shea C, Willemoës M, Theisen F, Kragelund BB, Skriver K. Eukaryotic transcription factors: paradigms of protein intrinsic disorder. Biochem J. 2017;474(15):2509-2532. https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20160631

19. Putnam FW, Florent G, Paul C, Shinoda T, Shimizu A. Complete amino acid sequence of the Mu heavy chain of a human IgM immunoglobulin. Science (New York, NY). 1973;182(4109):287-291.

20. Kerr M. The structure and function of human IgA. Biochem J. 1990;271(2):285-296. https://doi.org/10.1010/9780470719831.ch3
72. Zhao R, Kakihara Y, Gribun A, et al. Molecular chaperone Hsp90 stabilizes Pih1/Nop17 to maintain R2TP complex activity that regulates SnoRNA accumulation. J Cell Biol. 2008;180(3):563-578. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200709061

73. Horejsi Z, Takai H, Adelman CA, et al. CK2 Phospho-dependent binding of R2TP complex to TEL2 is essential for MTOR and SMG1 stability. Mol Cell. 2010;39(6):839-850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molc.2010.08.037

74. Rosenzweig R, Nillegoda NB, Mayer MP, Bukan B. The Hsp70 chaperone network. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2019;20(11):665-680. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-019-0133-3

75. Hernández MP, Sullivan WP, Toft DO. The Assembly and intermolecular properties of the Hsp70-Hop-Hsp90 molecular chaperone complex. J Biol Chem. 2002;277(41):38294-38304. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M206566200

76. Morán Luengo T, Kityk R, Mayer MP, Rüdiger SGD. Hsp90 breaks the deadlock of the Hsp70 chaperone system. Mol Cell. 2018;70(3):545-552.e9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.03.028

77. Wegele H, Wandinger SK, Schmid AB, Reinstein J, Buchner J. Substrate transfer from the chaperone Hsp70 to Hsp90. J Mol Biol. 2006;356(3):802-811. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2005.12.008

78. Schmid AB, Lagler MA, Gräwert MA, et al. The architecture of functional modules in the Hsp90 co-chaperone Sti1/Hop. EMBO J. 2012;31(6):1506-1517. https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2011.472

79. Lee C-T, Graf C, Mayer FJ, Richter SM, Mayer MP. Dynamics of the regulation of Hsp90 by the co-chaperone Sti1. EMBO J. 2012;31(6):1518-1528. https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2012.37

80. Glover JR, Lindquist S. Hsp104, Hsp70, and Hsp40: a novel chaperone system that rescues previously aggregated proteins. Cell. 1998;94(1):73-82. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81223-4

81. Grimminger-Marquardt V, Lashuel HA. Structure and function of the molecular chaperone Hsp104 from yeast. Biopolymers. 2010;93(3):252-276. https://doi.org/10.1002/bip.21301

82. Lee S, Roh SH, Lee J, Sung N, Liu J, Tsai FTF. Cryo-EM structures of the Hsp104 protein disaggregate captured in the ATP conformation. Cell Rep. 2019;26(1):29-36.e3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.12.037

83. Abbas-Terki T, Donzé O, Briand PA, Picard D. Hsp104 interacts with Hsp90 co-chaperones in respiring yeast. Mol Cell Biol. 2001;21(22):7569-7575. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.21.22.7569-7575.2001

84. Zhang X, Zhang S, Zhang L, et al. Heat shock protein 104 (HSP104) chaperones soluble tau via a mechanism distinct from its disaggregate activity. J Biol Chem. 2019;294(13):4956-4965. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.005980

85. Nillegoda NB, Kirstein J, Szlachcic A, et al. Crucial HSP70 co-chaperone complex unlocks metazoan protein disaggregation. Nature. 2015;524(7564):247-251. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14884

86. Torrente MP, Shorter J. The metazoan protein disaggregate and amyloid depolymerase system. Prion. 2013;7(6):457-463. https://doi.org/10.4161/prion.27531

**AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES**

**Benedikt Weber** was born in Koblenz, Germany, studied with a major in pharmaceutical sciences at the University of Bonn. After the practical training as a pharmacist, he performed his PhD thesis on the assembly of IgM antibodies and mechanisms of AL amyloidosis in the group of Johannes Buchner at the Chemistry department of the Technical University of Munich where he stayed there as a postdoctoral fellow.

**Andreas Maier** was born in Regensburg, Germany, studied biochemistry at the Goethe University Frankfurt (B. Sc.) and Regensburg University (M. Sc.). He was awarded the GBM-Masterpreis in 2017 for his work on the formation of the RNA Polymerase I preinitiation complex. Currently he is working on his PhD thesis on Hsp90 co-chaperones at the chair of Johannes Buchner at the Technical University Munich. His interests are in the complex formation of proteins involved in cell regulatory pathways.

**Johannes Buchner** was born in Ihrlerstein, Bavaria. He obtained his diploma with a major in biology/biochemistry from the University of Regensburg. There he also performed his doctoral research in protein folding with Rainer Rudolph. After a postdoctoral stay at the National Cancer Institute of the NIH in Bethesda, USA, he returned to Regensburg as a group leader at the institute of Rainer Jaenicke at the University of Regensburg. In 1998 he was appointed Full professor at the Technical University of Munich. His research is focused on protein folding and association in vitro and in vivo with a special interest in antibody structure formation and the mechanisms of molecular chaperones. He is member of the German Academy of Science and the Bavarian Academy of Science. Among the awards received are the Max Bergmann Medal, the Hans Neurath Award, the Schleiden Medal and the Albrecht Kossel Award.

**How to cite this article:** Weber B, Maier A, Buchner J. Peptides in proteins. J Peptide. 2020;26:e3235. https://doi.org/10.1002/psc.3235