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Reparametrizations with given stop data

by

Martin Raussen
REPARAMETRIZATIONS WITH GIVEN STOP DATA

MARTIN RAUSSEN

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], we performed a systematic investigation of reparametrizations of continuous paths in a Hausdorff space that relies crucially on a proper understanding of stop data of a (weakly increasing) reparametrization of the unit interval. I am indebted to Marco Grandis (Genova) for pointing out to me that the proof of Proposition 3.7 in [1] is wrong. Fortunately, the statement of that Proposition and the results depending on it stay correct. It is the purpose of this note to provide correct proofs.

2. REPARAMETRIZATIONS WITH GIVEN STOP MAPS

To make this note self-contained, we need to include some of the basic definitions from [1]. The set of all (nondegenerate) closed subintervals of the unit interval $I = [0,1]$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{P}(I) = \{[a,b] \mid 0 \leq a < b \leq 1\}$.

**Definition 2.1.**

- A reparametrization of the unit interval $I$ is a weakly increasing continuous self-map $\varphi : I \to I$ preserving the end points.
- A non-trivial interval $J \subset I$ is a $\varphi$-stop interval if there exists a value $t \in I$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(t) = J$. The value $t = \varphi(J) \in I$ is called a $\varphi$-stop value.
- The set of all $\varphi$-stop intervals will be denoted as $\Delta_\varphi \subseteq \mathcal{P}(I)$. Remark that the intervals in $\Delta_\varphi$ are disjoint and that $\Delta_\varphi$ carries a natural total order. We let $D_\varphi := \bigcup_{J \in \Delta_\varphi} J \subset I$ denote the stop set of $\varphi$; and $C_\varphi \subset I$ the set of all stop values.
- The $\varphi$-stop map $F_\varphi : \Delta_\varphi \to C_\varphi$ corresponding to a reparametrization $\varphi$ is given by $F_\varphi(J) = \varphi(J)$.

It is shown in [1] that $F_\varphi$ is an order-preserving bijection between (at most) countable sets. It is natural to ask (and important for some of the results in [1]) which order-preserving bijections between such sets arise from some reparametrization:

To this end, let
- $\Delta \subseteq \mathcal{P}(I)$ denote an (at most) countable subset of disjoint closed intervals – equipped with the natural total order;
- $C \subseteq I$ denote a subset with the same cardinality as $\Delta$;
- $F : \Delta \to C$ denote an order-preserving bijection.

**Proposition 2.2.** There exists a reparametrization $\varphi$ with $F_\varphi = F$ if and only if conditions (1) - (8) below are satisfied for intervals contained in $\Delta$ and for all $0 < z < 1$:

(1) $\min J = \sup_{J' < J} \max J' \Rightarrow F(J) = \sup_{J' < J} F(J')$;
(2) \( \max J = \inf_{J < J'} \min J' \Rightarrow F(J) = \inf_{J < J'} F(J') \);
(3) \( \sup_{J < z} \max J' = \inf_{z < J'} \min J' \Rightarrow \sup_{J < z} F(J') = \inf_{z < J'} F(J') \);
(4) \( \sup_{J < z} \max J' < \inf_{z < J'} \min J' \Rightarrow \sup_{J < z} F(J') < \inf_{z < J'} F(J') \);
(5) \( \inf_{0 < J} \min J = 0 \Rightarrow \inf_{0 < J} F(J) = 0 \);
(6) \( \inf_{0 < J} \min J > 0 \Rightarrow \inf_{0 < J} F(J) > 0 \);
(7) \( \sup_{J < 1} \max J = 1 \Rightarrow \sup_{J < 1} F(J) = 1 \);
(8) \( \sup_{J < 1} \max J < 1 \Rightarrow \sup_{J < 1} F(J) < 1 \).

Proof. Conditions (1) – (3), (5) and (7) are necessary for the stop data of a continuous reparametrization \( \varphi \); (4), (6) and (8) are necessary to avoid further stop intervals.

Given a stop map satisfying conditions (1) – (8), we construct a reparametrization \( \varphi_F = F \) as follows: For \( t \in D = \bigcup_{J \in \Delta} J \), one has to define: \( \varphi(t) = F(J) \) with \( t \in J \). This defines a weakly increasing function \( \varphi_F \) on \( D \). Conditions (1) and (2) make sure that this function is continuous (on \( D \)). Condition (3) makes it possible to extend \( \varphi_F \) uniquely to a weakly increasing continuous function on the closure \( \bar{D} \): \( \varphi_F(z) \) is defined as \( \sup_{J < z} F(J') \) for \( z = \sup_{J < z} \max J' \) and/or as \( \inf_{z < J'} \min J' \) for \( z = \inf_{z < J'} \min J \). By (5) and (7), \( \varphi_F(0) = 0 \) and \( \varphi_F(1) = 1 \) if \( 0, 1 \in \bar{D} \); if not, we have to take these as a definition.

The complement \( O = I \setminus \bar{D} \) is an open (possibly empty) subspace of \( I \), hence a union of at most countably many open subintervals \( J = [a^l, a^r] \) with boundary in \( \partial D \cup \{0, 1\} \). Condition (4), (6) and (8) make sure, that \( \varphi_F(a^-) < \varphi_F(a^+) \). Hence, every collection of strictly increasing homeomorphisms between \( [a^l, a^r] \) and \( [\varphi_F(a^-), \varphi_F(a^+)] \) – preserving endpoints – extends \( \varphi_F \) to a continuous increasing map \( \varphi_F : I \to I \) with \( \Delta_{\varphi_F} = \Delta, C_{\varphi_F} = C \) and \( F_{\varphi_F} = F \).

It is natural to ask, whether

- every at most countable subset \( C \subseteq \Delta \) occurs as set of stop values of some reparametrization: This is asserted affirmatively in [1], Lemma 2.10;
- every at most countable set \( [I] \neq \Delta \subseteq P[I] \) of closed disjoint intervals arises as set of stop intervals of a reparametrization:

**Proposition 2.3.** For every (at most) countable set \( \{I\} \neq \Delta \) of closed disjoint intervals in the unit interval \( I \), there exists a reparametrization \( \varphi \) with \( \Delta_\varphi = \Delta \).

Proof. Starting from an enumeration \( j \) of the totally ordered set \( \Delta \) (defined either on \( \mathbb{N} \) or on a finite integer interval \( [1, n] \)), we are going to construct a reparametrization \( \varphi \) with stop value set \( C_\varphi \) included in the set \( I[\frac{1}{2}] = \{0 \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \leq 1\} \) of rational numbers with denominators a power of 2. To this end, we will associate to every number \( z \in I[\frac{1}{2}] \) either an interval in \( \Delta \) or a degenerate one point interval; we end up with an ordered bijection between \( I[\frac{1}{2}] \) and a superset of \( \Delta \); all excess intervals will be degenerate one-point sets.

To get started, let \( I_0 \) denote either the interval in \( \Delta \) containing 0 or, if no such interval exists, the degenerate interval \( [0, 0] = \{0\} \); likewise define \( I_1 \). Every number \( z \in I[\frac{1}{2}] \)
apart from 0 and 1 has a unique representation $z = \frac{l}{2^k}$ with $l$ odd, $0 < l < 2^k$. The construction proceeds by induction on $k$ using the enumeration $j$.

Assume for a given $k \geq 1$, $I_z$ and thus the map $I : z \mapsto I_z$ defined for all $z = \frac{l}{2^k}$, $0 \leq l \leq 2^{k-1}$ as an ordered map. For $0 < z = \frac{l}{2^k} < 1$ and $l$ odd, both $z_{\pm} = z \pm \frac{1}{2^k}$ have a representation as fraction with denominator $2^{k-1}$ and thus $I_{z_-} < I_{z_+}$ are already defined. Let $I_z = j(m)$ with $m$ minimal (and thus $k \leq m$) such that $I_{z_-} < j(m) < I_{z_+}$ if such an $m$ exists; if not, then $I_z$ is defined as the degenerate interval containing the single element $\frac{1}{2}(\max I_{z_-} + \min I_{z_+})$. The map $I : z \mapsto I_z$ thus constructed on $I\bigl[\frac{1}{2}\bigr]$ is order-preserving and has therefore an order-preserving inverse map $I^{-1} : I_z \mapsto z$.

For $k \geq 0$, let $\varphi_k$ denote the piecewise linear reparametrization that has constant value $z$ on $I_z$ for $z = \frac{l}{2^k}$, $0 \leq l \leq 2^k$ and that is linear between these intervals. Remark that $\varphi_{k+1} = \varphi_k$ on all $I_z$ with $z = \frac{l}{2^k}$ including all occurring degenerate intervals. As a consequence, $\|\varphi_k - \varphi_{k+1}\| < \frac{1}{2^k}$, and hence for all $l > k$, $\|\varphi_k - \varphi_l\| < \frac{1}{2^k}$. Hence, the sequence $(\varphi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to a continuous reparametrization $\varphi$.

By construction, the resulting reparametrization $\varphi$ is constant on all intervals in $\Delta$; on every open interval between these stop intervals, it is linear and strictly increasing. In particular, $\Delta_{\varphi} = \Delta$.  

\begin{remark}
I was first tempted to prove Proposition 2.3 by taking some integral of the characteristic function of the complement of $D$ and to normalize the resulting function. But in general, this does not work out since, as already remarked in [1], it may well be that $\bar{D} = I$!
\end{remark}

\section{Concluding Remarks}

\begin{remark}
(1) Instead of constructing the reparametrization $\varphi$ in Proposition 2.3, it is also possible to apply the criteria in Proposition 2.2 to the restriction $I|_{\Delta}$ of the map $I$ from the proof above.

(2) Proposition 2.2 replaces Proposition 2.13 in [1]. To get sufficiency, requirements (1) and (2) had to be added to those mentioned in [1] in order to make sure that the map $\varphi_F$ is continuous on $D$. Moreover, (6) and (8) had to be added to avoid stop intervals containing 0, resp. 1 in case $\Delta$ does not contain such intervals.

In particular, the midpoint map $m$ that associates to every interval in $\Delta$ its midpoint satisfies the criteria given in [1], Proposition 2.13, but if fails in general to satisfy conditions (1) and (2) in Proposition 2.2 in this note; in particular, the map $\varphi_m$ will in general not be continuous, as remarked by M. Grandis. The midpoint map $m$ was used in the flawed proof of [1], Proposition 3.7 – stated as Proposition 3.2 below.

The main focus in [1] is on reparametrizations of continuous paths $p : I \to X$ into a Hausdorff space $X$. A continuous path $q$ is called \textit{regular} if it is constant or if the restriction $q|_f$ to every non-degenerate subinterval $f \subseteq I$ is \textit{non-constant}.
Proposition 3.2. (Proposition 3.7 in [1])
For every path $p : I \to X$, there exists a regular path $q$ and a reparametrization such that $p = q \circ \varphi$.

Proof. A non-constant path $p$ gives rise to the set of all (closed disjoint) stop intervals $\Delta_p \subseteq \mathcal{P}(I)$, consisting of the maximal subintervals $J \subseteq I$ on which $p$ is constant. Proposition 2.3 yields a reparametrization $\varphi$ with $\Delta_\varphi = \Delta_p$ and thus a set-theoretic factorization

\[
\begin{array}{c}
I \\
\downarrow \varphi \\
I \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow \\
\uparrow q \\
\rightarrow \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
X \\
\end{array}
\]

through a map $q : I \to X$ that is not constant on any non-degenerate subinterval $J \subseteq I$. The continuity of $q$ follows as in the remaining lines of the proof in [1]. □
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