Mixed venous oxygen tension is a crucial prognostic factor in pulmonary hypertension: a retrospective cohort study
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Abstract

Background: The prognostic value of mixed venous oxygen tension (PvO2) at pulmonary hypertension diagnosis treated with selective pulmonary vasodilators remains unclear. This study sought to investigate the association of PvO2 with long-term prognosis in pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) and medically treated chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) and to identify the distinct mechanisms influencing tissue hypoxia in patients with CTEPH or PAH.

Methods: We retrospectively analyzed data from 138 (age: 50.2 ± 16.6 years, 81.9% women) and 268 (age: 57.4 ± 13.1 years, 72.8% women) patients with PAH and CTEPH, respectively, diagnosed at our institution from 1983 to 2018. We analyzed the survival rates of patients with/without tissue hypoxia (PvO2 < 35 mmHg) and identified their prognostic factors based on the pulmonary hypertension risk stratification guidelines.

Results: Survival was significantly poorer in patients with tissue hypoxia than in those without it for PAH (P = 0.001) and CTEPH (P = 0.017) treated with selective pulmonary vasodilators. In patients with PAH, PvO2 more strongly correlated with prognosis than other hemodynamic prognostic factors regardless of selective pulmonary vasodilators usage. PvO2 was the only significant prognostic factor in patients with CTEPH treated with pulmonary hypertension medication. Patients with CTEPH experiencing tissue hypoxia exhibited significantly poorer survival than those in the intervention group (P < 0.001). PvO2 more strongly correlated with the cardiac index (CI) than the alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient (A-aDO2) in PAH; whereas in CTEPH, PvO2 was more strongly correlated with A-aDO2 than with CI.

Conclusions: PvO2 may represent a crucial prognostic factor for pulmonary hypertension. The prognostic impact of tissue hypoxia affects different aspects of PAH and CTEPH, thereby reflecting their distinct pathogenesis.
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Background

Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is a progressive disease characterized by abnormal remodeling of small pulmonary arteries, elevated pulmonary arterial pressure, and increased pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) owing to various etiologies; it can lead to right ventricular dysfunction and death [1]. Currently, selective pulmonary vasodilators that act via three different pathways are available...
for treating pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH), and clinicians recommend initial combination therapy [2, 3]. Despite the establishment of treatment algorithms and reduced mortality in PAH, the number of patients in the red zone (the high-risk group) as per the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and European Respiratory Society (ERS) PH risk stratification is still high [4]. Conversely, patients with medically treated chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) not indicated for pulmonary endarterectomy (PEA) or balloon pulmonary angioplasty (BPA) have poor prognosis [5].

The high mortality of PAH warrants an accurate prognosis estimation for guiding its management. The 2015 ESC/ERS PH risk stratification guidelines proposed the right atrial pressure (RAP), cardiac index (CI), and mixed venous oxygen saturation (SvO₂) as hemodynamic prognostic risk factors for PAH, and French risk stratification also defined intermediate-risk (yellow zone) or high-risk (red zone) criteria as RAP ≥ 8 mmHg and CI < 2.5 L/min/m² [6, 7]. Sandqvist et al. reported that the ESC/ERS risk stratification for PAH also predicted survival in CTEPH [8]. Hurdmane et al. reported that age, SvO₂, and World Health Organization (WHO) functional class were independent predictors of survival in 101 registered patients with PH and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in the ASPIRE (Assessing the Spectrum of Pulmonary Hypertension Identified at a Referral Centre) study; moreover, an SvO₂ of 65% was reported as a better threshold for defining poor outcomes [9]. SvO₂ improves the adequacy of tissue oxygenation, which is an essential component of normal organ function. Moreover, SvO₂ and mixed venous oxygen tension (PvO₂) are related to tissue oxygenation; an SvO₂ of 65% corresponds to a PvO₂ of 35 mmHg according to the oxygen dissociation curve in the normal state [10]. Mithoefer et al. reported that normal PvO₂ values negatively correlate with age; at 70 years, PvO₂ decreases to approximately the lower limit of 35 mmHg [11, 12]. Accordingly, a PvO₂ < 35 mmHg is used as a key clinical threshold for tissue hypoxia in COPD and PH [10, 11, 13–15]. Physiologically, unlike SvO₂, PvO₂ reflects actual tissue hypoxia. However, the relevance of tissue hypoxia (defined by a PvO₂ < 35 mmHg) in PAH and CTEPH pathogenesis has not been reported. Moreover, tissue oxygenation is reportedly superior to cardiac function for assessing the disease severity and predicting survival in PAH [16]. Kapphire et al. [17] reported that the main cause of hypoxemia in CTEPH was ventilation-perfusion mismatch, and that low PvO₂ and PEA improved both; nonetheless, these issues remain controversial. Thus, in the present study, we aimed to investigate the association of PvO₂ with long-term prognosis in patients with PAH and medically treated CTEPH and to determine the relevance of PvO₂ relative to other prognostic factors. Furthermore, we aimed to clarify and compare the mechanisms underlying tissue hypoxia in CTEPH and PAH.

### Methods

#### Study participants and design

This retrospective cohort study included patients diagnosed with PAH or CTEPH (naïve patients who had not received PH treatment) at the Chiba University Hospital between January 1983 and December 2018 (Additional file 2: Fig. S1). These patients were identified from the Chiba University Hospital Pulmonary Hypertension Center Registry. Hemodynamic parameters were measured during the first right heart catheterization (RHC). The patients were followed up until September 2021. Follow-up data were obtained by contacting the patients or their physicians.

#### Ethical approval

This study was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the amended Declaration of Helsinki. Patient identity was concealed in this study, and data were compiled according to the requirements of the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, which is dedicated to privacy, information technology, and civil rights. The research protocol for this study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Chiba University School of Medicine (Approval No.: 2584); we had already performed "opt-out" by notifying or disclosing information. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients who were enrolled since 2009, when the requirement became mandatory (Approval No.: 826). In the case of patients who died before 2008, written informed consent was obtained from their next of kin when we examined prognosis in the relevant study (Approval No.: 84). The study database was anonymized, and all experiments were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

#### PAH

Patients with a mean pulmonary artery pressure (mPAP) ≥ 25 mmHg, pulmonary artery wedge pressure (PAWP) ≤ 15 mmHg, and PVR > 3 Wood units were considered to have PAH [6]. Patients suspected of complicating PH due to chronic pulmonary disease were excluded where possible by having computed tomography scans read by two respiratory experts. We diagnosed 167 patients with PAH but excluded 13 without PvO₂ data breathing room air, 11 who died due to other diseases during follow-up, 4 with left to right shunt due to atrial septal defect, and 1 with anemia (hemoglobin ≤ 8 g/dL) (Additional file 2: Fig. S1A). Of the remaining 138 patients analyzed, 61 were diagnosed with idiopathic or
hereditary PAH (Additional file 1: Table S1). By July 2021, 61 patients had died (35 patients treated with selective pulmonary vasodilators) and 77 had survived. The mean follow-up period was 7.0 ± 7.0 years.

CTEPH
Robust evidence supports a new definition of pre-capillary PH, referred to as CTEPH [5]. Patients with CTEPH were defined as follows: (1) mPAP ≥ 25 mmHg and PAWP ≤ 15 mmHg; (2) persistent symptoms > 3 months; and (3) chronic thrombi on lung perfusion images, enhanced computed tomography, or pulmonary angiography. We diagnosed 319 patients with CTEPH but excluded 5 with accompanying respiratory diseases, 3 without data on PvO2 breathing room air, 19 who died due to other diseases during follow-up, and 24 who died perioperatively (Additional file 2: Fig. S1B). Five patients had hyperthyroidism and six had hypothyroidism; however, they were well managed with treatment, and hence these patients were included. No severe anemia was observed. The remaining 268 patients were classified into three groups according to the treatment strategy. Patients who underwent PEA and BPA (either BPA after PEA or PEA after BPA) were classified into the PEA/BPA group. Patients treated with selective pulmonary vasodilators composed the PH medication group. Patients treated solely with anticoagulants and oxygen therapy composed the supportive group. By July 2021, 60 patients had died (20 patients with the PEA/BPA group and 25 with the PH medication group) and 208 survived. The mean follow-up period was 9.6 ± 6.9 years.

RHC
All patients were admitted and underwent RHC in the supine position with zero point of the transducer set at the intersection of the fourth intercostal space and mid-crest level. The pulmonary pressure was measured from the superior vena cava to PAWP at end-expiration in room air conditions whenever possible. The cardiac output was measured using a thermodilution method averaging at least three within 10% variation, and the CI and PVR were calculated.

Blood gas analysis
Mixed venous blood for gas analysis was obtained from the distal tip of the Swan–Ganz catheter and was freely located in the major pulmonary artery. Blood gas analysis of arterial oxygen tension (PaO2) was performed by puncture of the radial or femoral artery. All blood gas analyses were performed in room air during the RHC and measured at the time of (1) the first diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension and (2) the latest follow-up. The alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient (A-aDO2) was calculated using the following equation: A-aDO2 = 150 − PaCO2/0.8 − PaO2, where PaCO2 refers to the arterial carbon dioxide tension.

Statistical analysis
The results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation for continuous variables and as numbers and percentages for categorical variables. If the results did not show a normal distribution, a nonparametric test was performed. Comparisons between the groups were performed using the chi-squared test, Mann–Whitney U test, or analysis of variance with the Kruskal–Wallis test as appropriate. The Kaplan–Meier method was used to estimate the disease-specific and overall survival using the log-rank test for comparison. Differences between continuous variables, such as hemodynamic or oxygenation parameters, were compared using the paired t-test. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard models were used to examine the prognostic factors. Variable selection was based on the ESC/ERS risk stratification 2015 in addition to age, mPAP, PVR, A-aDO2 brain natriuretic peptide (BNP), 6-min walk distance (6MWD), percent predicted forced vital capacity, percent predicted carbon monoxide diffusing capacity (DLCO, %pred.), and WHO functional class. The predicted survival span in elderly patients is short, and mPAP decreased as patients with PAH became older [18]. A multivariate analysis was carried out with the addition of age, which was considered important as a prognostic factor, and the hemodynamic parameters, included in the 2015 ESC/ERS PH risk stratification guidelines and French risk stratification intermediate-risk (yellow zone) or high-risk (red zone) criteria. However, as ESC/ERS risk stratification for CTEPH was not widely accepted, we built another model in the PEA/BPA and PH medication groups based on significant prognostic factors in the univariate analysis. We considered a maximum of five parameters in a multivariate analysis for the number of events (range 20–35). Pearson’s correlation coefficient and multiple regression analysis were used to estimate the correlational and confounding factors for PvO2. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. Significant differences in the comparison of two survival curves among the three groups were determined using Bonferroni correction. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8® (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) and JMP Pro 15 (Japanese version; SAS Institute Inc., Tokyo, Japan).

Results
Patient characteristics stratified by PvO2 of 35 mmHg and categorized by treatment
The mean age of the 138 patients with PAH was 50.2 ± 16.6 years; the majority were women (81.9%), and
44.2% were diagnosed with idiopathic (IPAH) or heritable (HPAH) PAH (Additional file 1: Table S1). Table 1 shows the characteristics of patients with PAH stratified by \( \text{PvO}_2 \) of 35 mmHg at diagnosis. Patients with \( \text{PvO}_2 < 35 \) mmHg showed that most parameters (WHO functional class, hemodynamics, gas exchange, and even exercise endurance) were significantly worse compared to those without. Regarding the characteristics of patients treated and not treated with selective pulmonary vasodilators, patients treated with selective pulmonary vasodilators were significantly older and had significantly lower PaO2 and higher A-aDO2 than in untreated patients; however, no significant differences were observed in the other hemodynamic characteristics (Additional file 1: Table S2). In Japan, epoprostenol and bosentan have been available since 1999 and 2005, respectively. Among the untreated group, 25 patients died before 1999, 3 had oxygen therapy only for PH associated with portal hypertension, 3 had connective tissue disease (CTD) associated PAH that required intensified treatment of CTD with immunosuppressive drugs, and 3 had side effects from selective pulmonary vasodilators that failed to treat the PAH.

The mean age of the 268 patients with CTEPH was 57.4 ± 13.1 years, and the majority were women (72.8%). Table 2 indicates the characteristics of patients with CTEPH stratified by \( \text{PvO}_2 \) of 35 mmHg at diagnosis. The results were similar to those in PAH: patients with \( \text{PvO}_2 < 35 \) mmHg showed that WHO functional class, hemodynamics, gas exchange, and even exercise endurance were significantly worse compared to those without in CTEPH. There was a significant difference in treatment between the two groups. Additional file 1: Table S3 summarizes the patient characteristics according to the

---

**Table 1** Characteristics of patients with PAH stratified by \( \text{PvO}_2 \) of 35 mmHg

| Variable                      | \( \geq 35 \text{ mmHg} \) | \( < 35 \text{ mmHg} \) | \( P \) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| N                             | 85                          | 53                          |       |
| Age (years)                   | 48.0 ± 17.5                 | 53.6 ± 14.6                 | 0.066 |
| Sex (F/M)                     | 70/15                       | 43/10                       | 0.856 |
| RAP (mmHg)                    | 4.6 ± 3.7                   | 6.8 ± 4.7                   | 0.005 |
| mPAP (mmHg)                   | 42.0 ± 10.8                 | 51.8 ± 14.1                 | < 0.001 |
| CI (L/min/m²)                 | 3.1 ± 0.7                   | 2.2 ± 0.6                   | < 0.001 |
| PVR (W.U)                     | 7.8 ± 3.5                   | 14.4 ± 7.7                  | < 0.001 |
| PaO2 (mmHg)                   | 77.2 ± 11.6                 | 62.5 ± 12.1                 | < 0.001 |
| \( \text{PvO}_2 \) (mmHg)     | 39.0 ± 28.8                 | 31.0 ± 26.2                 | < 0.001 |
| SvO2 (mmHg)                   | 72.0 ± 5.2                  | 75.8 ± 7.1                  | < 0.001 |
| A-aDO2 (mmHg)                 | 26.9 ± 11.9                 | 43.4 ± 13.1                 | < 0.001 |
| BNP (pg/mL)                   | 128.0 ± 235.5               | 460.0 ± 523.0               | < 0.001 |
| 6MWD (m)                      | 395.6 ± 106.3               | 306.8 ± 100.0               | < 0.001 |
| FVC, %pred. (%)               | 87.2 ± 16.8                 | 81.4 ± 22.5                 | 0.140 |
| DLCO, %pred. (%)              | 61.8 ± 20.1                 | 50.9 ± 22.9                 | 0.011 |
| WHO functional class I, II, III, IV | 6/44/34/1 | 0/16/29/8 | < 0.001 |
| Medical treatment             | 63 (74.1%)                  | 41 (77.4%)                  | 0.667 |
| Combination pulmonary vasodilators, n (%) | 36 (42.4%) | 21 (39.6%) | 0.751 |
| ERA, n (%)                    | 44 (51.8%)                  | 23 (43.4%)                  | 0.339 |
| PDE5-I, n (%)                 | 38 (44.7%)                  | 23 (43.4%)                  | 0.880 |
| Prostacyclin, n (%)           | 38 (44.7%)                  | 29 (54.7%)                  | 0.252 |
| sGCS, n (%)                   | 5 (5.9%)                    | 2 (3.8%)                    | 0.583 |

Statistically significant, \( P < 0.05 \), are shown in bold

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or numbers

\( \text{A-aDO}_2 \), alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient, \( \text{BNP} \) brain natriuretic peptide, CI cardiac index, \( \text{DLCO} \), %pred. percent predicted carbon monoxide diffusing capacity, ERA endothelin receptor antagonists, FVC, %pred. percent predicted forced vital capacity, mPAP mean pulmonary arterial pressure, PAH pulmonary arterial hypertension, \( \text{PaO}_2 \) arterial oxygen tension, \( \text{PDE5-I} \) phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors, \( \text{PvO}_2 \) mixed venous oxygen tension, PVR pulmonary vascular resistance, RAP right arterial pressure, sGCS soluble guanylate cyclase stimulator, SvO2 mixed venous oxygen saturation, WHO World Health Organization, W.U Wood units, 6MWD 6-min walk distance

---

**Table 2** Characteristics of patients with CTEPH stratified by \( \text{PvO}_2 \) of 35 mmHg

| Variable                      | \( \geq 35 \text{ mmHg} \) | \( < 35 \text{ mmHg} \) | \( P \) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| N                             | 84                          | 184                         |       |
| Age (years)                   | 55.6 ± 14.7                 | 58.2 ± 12.1                 | 0.297 |
| Sex (F/M)                     | 57/27                       | 138/46                      | 0.223 |
| RAP (mmHg)                    | 3.3 ± 2.6                   | 6.3 ± 4.1                   | < 0.001 |
| mPAP (mmHg)                   | 37.3 ± 8.8                  | 47.1 ± 10.3                 | < 0.001 |
| CI (L/min/m²)                 | 3.0 ± 0.6                   | 2.4 ± 0.6                   | < 0.001 |
| PVR (W.U)                     | 6.6 ± 2.8                   | 10.9 ± 4.2                  | < 0.001 |
| \( \text{PaO}_2 \) (mmHg)     | 66.2 ± 9.5                  | 55.0 ± 8.0                  | < 0.001 |
| \( \text{PvO}_2 \) (mmHg)     | 37.6 ± 2.4                  | 31.1 ± 2.7                  | < 0.001 |
| SvO2 (mmHg)                   | 70.1 ± 4.0                  | 58.9 ± 6.0                  | < 0.001 |
| A-aDO2 (mmHg)                 | 36.0 ± 11.0                 | 49.2 ± 8.7                  | < 0.001 |
| BNP (pg/mL)                   | 692.4 ± 109.3               | 285.0 ± 329.2               | < 0.001 |
| 6MWD (m)                      | 409.6 ± 99.9                | 340.3 ± 92.2                | < 0.001 |
| FVC, %pred. (%)               | 97.9 ± 20.4                 | 93.1 ± 18.0                 | 0.031 |
| DLCO, %pred. (%)              | 79.1 ± 18.8                 | 73.3 ± 21.1                 | 0.021 |
| WHO functional class I, II, III, IV | 4/45/33/2 | 1/49/121/13 | < 0.001 |
| PH medication, n (%)          | 49 (58.3%)                  | 128 (69.6%)                 | 0.005* |
| Supportive, n (%)             | 20 (23.8%)                  | 46 (25.0%)                  |       |
| Medical treatment             | 15 (17.9%)                  | 10 (5.4%)                   |       |

Statistically significant, \( P < 0.05 \), are shown in bold

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or numbers

\( \text{A-aDO}_2 \), alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient, \( \text{BNP} \) brain natriuretic peptide, BPA balloon pulmonary angioplasty, CI cardiac index, CTEPH chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension, \( \text{DLCO} \), %pred. percent predicted carbon monoxide diffusing capacity, FVC, %pred. percent predicted forced vital capacity, mPAP mean pulmonary arterial pressure, \( \text{PaO}_2 \) arterial oxygen tension, PEA pulmonary endarterectomy, PH pulmonary hypertension, \( \text{PvO}_2 \) mixed venous oxygen tension, PVR pulmonary vascular resistance, RAP right arterial pressure, SvO2 mixed venous oxygen saturation, WHO World Health Organization, W.U Wood units, 6MWD 6-min walk distance

*There was a significant difference in treatment between the two groups
treatment modality. The PEA/BPA group was significantly younger and had a significantly higher mPAP than the PH medication group. In the PEA/BPA group, 51 patients had residual PH and were treated with selective pulmonary vasodilators.

**Survival analysis of the treatment groups**

Patients with PAH and tissue hypoxia at diagnosis had significantly poorer survival than those without tissue hypoxia, regardless of treatment with selective pulmonary vasodilators (treated: \( P=0.001 \), Fig. 1A; untreated: \( P<0.001 \), Fig. 1B). These results were similar in the IPAH/HPAH group (treated: \( P=0.006 \), Additional file 3: Fig. S2A; untreated: \( P=0.011 \), Additional file 3: Fig. S2B). For patients with CTEPH in the PEA/BPA group, there was no significant difference in survival between those with and without tissue hypoxia (\( P=0.445 \), Fig. 2A). However, survival was significantly poorer in patients with tissue hypoxia than in those without tissue hypoxia in the PH medication (\( P=0.017 \), Fig. 2B) and supportive (\( P=0.043 \)) groups. In the absence of tissue hypoxia at diagnosis, there was a significant difference in survival among the three groups, with poor prognosis in the supportive group (\( P=0.002 \)); however, no significant difference was observed between the PEA/BPA and PH medication groups (\( P=0.366 \), Fig. 2C). In the presence of tissue hypoxia at diagnosis, significant differences in survival were observed among the three groups (\( P<0.001 \)) and between the PEA/BPA and PH medication groups (\( P<0.001 \), Fig. 2D).

**Prognostic factors stratified by treatment**

Univariate analyses revealed that age, mPAP, CI, CI < 2.5 L/min/m², PVR, PaO₂, PvO₂, PvO₂ < 35 mmHg, SvO₂, A-aDO₂, BNP, 6MWD, DLCO, %pred., WHO functional class, and medication significantly correlated with prognosis in all PAH patients. Multivariate analyses were made by two models: using continuous variables by ESC/ERS risk stratification, medication, and age as model 1; and using categorical variables by ESC/ERS and French risk stratification in yellow and red zone, age, and medication as model 2. In model 1, age, CI, PvO₂, and medication were significant prognostic factors, while in model 2, PvO₂ < 35 mmHg, CI < 2.5 L/min/m², age, and medication were significant prognostic factors (Table 3).

Furthermore, additional analyses with/without treatment in PAH showed that age, CI, CI < 2.5 L/min/m², PVR, PaO₂, PvO₂, PvO₂ < 35 mmHg, SvO₂, A-aDO₂, BNP, 6MWD, and WHO functional class were significant prognostic factors in the group treated with selective pulmonary vasodilators (Table 4), and that mPAP, CI, CI < 2.5 L/min/m², PVR, PvO₂, PvO₂ < 35 mmHg, SvO₂, A-aDO₂, DLCO, %pred., and WHO functional class were significantly correlated with prognosis in the untreated group (Table 5). As to multivariate analyses, in the group treated with selective vasodilators, PvO₂, CI, and age were prognostic factors in both models 1 and 2 (Table 4). Whereas in the untreated group, PvO₂ was the only significant prognostic factor in models 1 and 2 (Table 5).

In all patients with CTEPH, PvO₂ and PEA/BPA treatment were prognostic factors, however, PvO₂ < 35 mmHg was not (Table 6). Multivariate analyses showed that
PEA/BPA treatment and PVO2 or PVO2 < 35 mmHg were significant prognostic factors by models 1 and 2, respectively (Table 6).

Furthermore, we conducted additional analyses by treatment modality in CTEPH. In the PEA/BPA group, only 6MWD and DLCO, %pred. correlated with the prognosis; however, in the PH medication group, RAP, mPAP, PVR, PAO2, PVO2, PVO2 < 35 mmHg, RAP ≥ 8 mmHg, SvO2, A-aDO2, BNP, 6MWD, and WHO functional class significantly correlated with the prognosis (Tables 7, 8).

In the PEA/BPA group, multivariate analyses showed that no significant prognostic factors other than age remained in any of the models (Table 7), whereas in the PH medication group, PVO2 or PVO2 < 35 mmHg were significant prognostic factor by models 1, 2 and 3, respectively (Table 8).

Relationships between PVO2 and CI/A-aDO2

In patients with PAH, PVO2 significantly correlated with CI and A-aDO2 (CI: r = 0.642, P < 0.001; A-aDO2: 

The standardized coefficients of CI were larger than those of A-aDO\(_2\) in the multiple regression analysis, suggesting that CI was a more important determinant of \(PvO_2\) than was A-aDO\(_2\). (CI: \(\beta = 0.522\), A-aDO\(_2\): \(\beta = -0.435\); Additional file 1: Table S4).

In patients with CTEPH, \(PvO_2\) correlated with A-aDO\(_2\) and CI (CI: \(r = 0.470, P < 0.001\); A-aDO\(_2\): \(r = -0.678, P < 0.001\); Additional file 4: Fig. S3B). Conversely, the standardized coefficients of A-aDO\(_2\) were larger than that of CI, suggesting that A-aDO\(_2\) was a more important determinant of \(PvO_2\) than CI (CI: \(\beta = 0.418\), A-aDO\(_2\): \(\beta = -0.645\); Additional file 1: Table S5).

**Treatment-induced improvements in hemodynamics/oxygenation**

We examined the post-treatment hemodynamic and oxygenation parameters at the most recent RHC (7.2 ± 7.2 years after PAH diagnosis and treatment with selective pulmonary vasodilators; 2.7 ± 4.0 years for the PEA/BPA group; and 4.8 ± 4.5 years for patients with CTEPH who received PH medication). Only mPAP and PVR were significantly improved in the PAH and PH medication groups comprising patients with CTEPH. However, no improvements were observed in oxygenation parameters, including \(PvO_2\) (Additional file 1: Tables S6, S7). Similar trends were observed in the IPAH/HPAH group (data not shown). In the PEA/BPA group comprising patients with CTEPH, all hemodynamic and oxygenation parameters, including \(PvO_2\), were significantly improved (Additional file 1: Table S7).

**Prognostic differences by eras of diagnosis in PAH and CTEPH**

Recently, survival in PAH has improved significantly as upfront combination therapy has become the mainstream treatment based on data from 2008 to 2013 [19], and riociguat for CTEPH became available after 2014. Hence, we analyzed 35 patients in the PAH treated group and 14 patients in the CTEPH PH medication group diagnosed after 2014. Survival was significantly poorer in patients with tissue hypoxia at diagnosis than in those without tissue hypoxia in PAH (\(P = 0.002\), and \(PvO_2\) significantly correlated with the prognosis in univariate analyses (Table 3).

**Table 3** Univariate and multivariate analyses of prognostic factors for patients with PAH (N = 138)

| Variable | Univariate | Multivariate model 1 | Multivariate model 2 |
|----------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|          | HR (95% CI) | \(P\)               | HR (95% CI) | \(P\)               | HR (95% CI) | \(P\)               |
| Age (years) | 1.03 (1.012–1.047) | < 0.001 | 1.04 (1.018–1.057) | < 0.001 | 1.03 (1.012–1.050) | < 0.001 |
| RAP (mmHg)   | 0.99 (0.928–1.058)  | 0.822 | 0.95 (0.877–1.015)  | 0.124 |                       |          |
| mPAP (mmHg)  | 1.03 (1.008–1.048)  | 0.008 |                       |          |                       |          |
| CI (L/min/m²) | 0.23 (0.142–0.367)  | < 0.001 | 0.42 (0.244–0.706)  | < 0.001 |                       |          |
| PVR (WU)     | 1.15 (1.110–1.196)  | < 0.001 |                       |          |                       |          |
| \(PvO_2\) (mmHg) | 0.98 (0.958–0.997)  | 0.026 |                       |          |                       |          |
| \(PvO_2\) (mmHg) | 0.87 (0.816–0.918)  | < 0.001 | 0.86 (0.784–0.931)  | < 0.001 |                       |          |
| \(PvO_2\) < 35 mmHg | 2.86 (1.719–4.774)  | < 0.001 |                       |          | 3.13 (1.707–5.735)  | < 0.001 |
| RAP ≥ 8 mmHg | 0.60 (0.312–1.156)  | 0.108 |                       |          | 0.58 (0.288–1.178)  | 0.132   |
| CI < 2.5 L/min/m² | 3.65 (2.151–6.183)  | < 0.001 |                       |          | 2.87 (1.599–5.146)  | < 0.001 |
| \(SvO_2\) (mmHg) | 0.94 (0.918–0.964)  | < 0.001 |                       |          |                       |          |
| A-aDO\(_2\) (mmHg) | 1.03 (1.013–1.052)  | 0.001 |                       |          |                       |          |
| BNP (pg/mL)  | 1.00 (1.001–1.002)  | < 0.001 |                       |          |                       |          |
| 6MWD (m)     | 0.99 (0.990–0.997)  | 0.001 |                       |          |                       |          |
| FVC, %pred. (%) | 0.99 (0.970–1.003)  | 0.111 |                       |          |                       |          |
| DLCO, %pred. (%) | 0.98 (0.964–0.996)  | 0.017 |                       |          |                       |          |
| WHO functional class I+II (vs. III+IV) | 0.31 (0.176–0.534)  | < 0.001 |                       |          |                       |          |
| Medication   | 0.33 (0.196–0.543)  | < 0.001 | 0.15 (0.084–0.285)  | < 0.001 | 0.18 (0.100–0.321)  | < 0.001 |

Statistically significant, \(P < 0.05\), are shown in bold

A-aDO\(_2\), alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient, BNP, brain natriuretic peptide, CI, cardiac index, CIv, confidence interval, DLCO, %pred, percent predicted carbon monoxide diffusing capacity, FVC, %pred, percent predicted forced vital capacity, HR, hazard ratio, mPAP, mean pulmonary arterial pressure, PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension, \(PaO_2\), arterial oxygen tension, \(PvO_2\), mixed venous oxygen tension, PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance, RAP, right arterial pressure, \(SvO_2\), mixed venous oxygen saturation, WHO, World Health Organization, WU, Wood units, 6MWD, 6-min walk distance.

Model 1: Multivariate analysis by ESC/ERS risk stratification, medication and age

Model 2: Multivariate analysis by ESC/ERS and French risk stratification in yellow and red zone, medication and age
No statistical significance was seen due to the small events in multivariate analysis. No deaths were recorded among patients with CTEPH, and hence we could not perform any analyses.

**Discussion**

This is a novel study to demonstrate that among the pulmonary hemodynamic parameters included in the 2015 ESC/ERS risk stratification criteria and French risk stratification criteria, lower $PvO_2$ (especially $PvO_2 < 35$ mmHg associated with tissue hypoxia) was a significant prognostic factor in patients with PAH and CTEPH. Lower $PvO_2$ was significantly associated with poor prognosis in patients with PAH and CTEPH independent of treatment with selective pulmonary vasodilators. However, no hemodynamic parameter (RAP, CI, and $PvO_2$) correlated with the prognosis in the PEA/BPA group (Table 7). In patients with PAH and CTEPH, pulmonary vasodilator treatment improved the mPAP and PVR, but not $PaO_2$ and $PvO_2$, whereas invasive treatment with PEA and BPA improved both $PaO_2$ and $PvO_2$. Selective pulmonary vasodilators inhibit vasoconstriction, thereby decreasing the PVR and mPAP; concurrently, these agents cause a worsening in ventilation-perfusion matching, resulting in decreased $PaO_2$ and maintenance of $PvO_2$ in PH due to respiratory diseases [20]. Contrarily, in PAH hypocapnia is reported to be a risk of mortality, and may reflect the extent of the pulmonary vascular disease, cardiac dysfunction, and impairment in oxygen delivery [21]. Then pulmonary vasodilators may adjust hyperventilation due to pulmonary vascular disease, resulting in increased $PaCO_2$. In our study, $PaCO_2$ increased without worsening of A-aDO$_2$ in patients with PAH and CTEPH who were treated by selective pulmonary vasodilators. Although 48% of PAH patients had worsening of A-aDO$_2$ after treatment, the remaining patients demonstrated improved A-aDO$_2$ with significant improvement in PVR compared to those with worsened A-aDO$_2$ ($\Delta$PVR $-3.3 \pm 4.1$ Wood units in the improved A-aDO$_2$ group versus $-1.0 \pm 4.5$ Wood units in the worsened A-aDO$_2$ group, $p = 0.010$) (data not shown). Thus, long-term effects of selective pulmonary vasodilators on ventilation-perfusion mismatch may not be significant in PAH. However, $PvO_2$ remained a strong prognostic factor even in patients who received selective pulmonary vasodilators. It may be caused by a multi-factorial mechanism.
related to worsening of PaO2 as well as change in PVR and cardiac output. Conversely, PEA and BPA treatment was more effective in improving hemodynamics, as well as PaO2 and PvO2. These data are consistent with those reported in previous studies by Tanabe et al. [22] and Isobe et al. [23] suggesting that baseline PvO2 is unlikely to correlate with prognosis. In patients without tissue hypoxia, no significant differences in survival were observed between the PH medication and PEA/BPA groups, although patients with milder diseases were included in the PH medication group. First, as shown in Additional file 1: Table S7, all hemodynamics at diagnosis indicate improvement predominantly after treatment. The prognosis of PEA is associated with perioperative death and residual PH in the long-term postoperative period [24, 25]. In this study, although perioperative mortality was excluded, 51 patients were treated with selective pulmonary vasodilators due to residual PH, which might have influenced the results. Although 6MWD and DLCO, %pred. were associated with long-term survival in the univariate analysis, we were unable to build a good model in the multivariate analysis using these parameters and PvO2. Furthermore, the perioperative mortality was 20% in patients with PVR > 1200 dynes s cm⁻⁵; our multidisciplinary team discussed whether surgery should be avoided in cases where the PVR is > 1200 dynes s cm⁻⁵ [26]. Moreover, the surgeon’s technical ability may have influenced the results of the PEA and BPA, suggesting that the levels of these hemodynamic factors at the time of diagnosis did not indicate their prognosis.

Thus, treatment with selective pulmonary vasodilators may be an option for patients with CTEPH without tissue hypoxia. Conversely, PEA or BPA is strongly recommended for patients with tissue hypoxia if there is an indication for PEA or BPA.

In this study, the univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards models revealed that PvO2 < 35 mmHg was further validated as a prognostic factor in univariate analyses adjusted by

### Table 5

| Variable                                      | Univariate                  | Multivariate model 1 | Multivariate model 2 |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                                               | HR (95% CI)                 | P                    | HR (95% CI)          | P                    |
| Age (years)                                   | 1.02 (0.993–1.044)          | 0.155                | 1.02 (0.987–1.045)   | 0.279                |
| RAP (mmHg)                                    | 1.06 (0.924–1.220)          | 0.416                | 0.95 (0.797–1.195)   | 0.533                |
| mPAP (mmHg)                                   | 1.03 (1.001–1.050)          | 0.041                |                      |                      |
| CI (L/min/m²)                                 | 0.31 (0.170–0.531)          | < 0.001              | 0.56 (0.255–1.144)   | 0.112                |
| PVR (W.U)                                     | 1.12 (1.066–1.187)          | < 0.001              |                      |                      |
| PaO2 (mmHg)                                   | 0.98 (0.946–1.010)          | 0.170                |                      |                      |
| PvO2 (mmHg)                                   | 0.77 (0.690–0.854)          | < 0.001              | 0.82 (0.700–0.937)   | 0.003                |
| PvO2 < 35 mmHg                                | 11.94 (4.007–35.554)        | < 0.001              |                      |                      |
| RAP ≥ 8 mmHg                                  | 0.98 (0.333–2.891)          | 0.972                |                      |                      |
| CI < 2.5 L/min/m²                             | 6.18 (2.551–14.950)         | < 0.001              | 2.57 (0.771–8.548)   | 0.135                |
| SvO2 (mmHg)                                   | 0.86 (0.812–0.916)          | < 0.001              |                      |                      |
| A-aDO2 (mmHg)                                 | 1.05 (1.012–1.085)          | 0.009                |                      |                      |
| BNP (pg/mL)                                   | 1.00 (0.992–1.007)          | 0.903                |                      |                      |
| 6MWD (m)                                      | 0.99 (0.952–1.009)          | 0.105                |                      |                      |
| FVC, %pred. (%)                               | 0.99 (0.965–1.026)          | 0.719                |                      |                      |
| DLCO, %pred. (%)                              | 0.96 (0.917–0.991)          | 0.011                |                      |                      |
| WHO functional class I + II (vs. III + IV)     | 0.16 (0.047–0.572)          | < 0.001              |                      |                      |

Statistically significant, P < 0.05, are shown in bold

A-aDO2: alveolar–arterial oxygen gradient, BNP: brain natriuretic peptide, CI: cardiac index, CIv: confidence interval, DLCO, %pred.: percent predicted carbon monoxide diffusing capacity, FVC, %pred.: percent predicted forced vital capacity, HR: hazard ratio, mPAP: mean pulmonary arterial pressure, PAH: pulmonary arterial hypertension, PaO2: arterial oxygen tension, PvO2: mixed venous oxygen tension, PVR: pulmonary vascular resistance, RAP: right arterial pressure, SvO2: mixed venous oxygen saturation, WHO: World Health Organization, W.U: Wood units, 6MWD: 6-min walk distance

Model 1: Multivariate analysis by ESC/ERS risk stratification and age

Model 2: Multivariate analysis by ESC/ERS and French risk stratification in yellow and red zone, and age
other parameters in the present study. This finding is consistent with that of Khirfan et al’s study, which was based on ESC/ERS risk stratification and indicated that SvO₂ was more strongly correlated with prognosis than were thermodilution CI and other parameters in patients with IPAH/HPAH [16]. Tissue oxygenation can be explained using Krogh’s tissue cylinder model [27] (described in Additional file 1: Appendix S1), which forms the theoretical basis for understanding the exchange of oxygen and other solutes between the capillaries and tissues [28]. However, blood sampling at the capillary terminals (termed as the “lethal corner”) is challenging, and tissue hypoxia can be deduced using the mixed venous blood oxygen partial pressure [29, 30]. Based on the oxygen dissociation curve (described in Additional file 1: Appendix S2 and Additional file 5: Fig. S4), SvO₂ may be normal in a state of alkalosis (e.g., with diuretic use), notwithstanding the presence of tissue hypoxia. Moreover, PvO₂ can be measured directly using a Swan–Ganz catheter or blood gas analysis; however, it is derived by calculation, which may induce measurement errors. In the present study, logistic regression analyses demonstrated no significant differences between PvO₂ and SvO₂ in prognostic ability (data not shown). Thus, PvO₂ may be more suitable than SvO₂ for assessing tissue hypoxia. Survival was significantly poorer in patients with tissue hypoxia at diagnosis than in those without tissue hypoxia in both groups regardless of treatment with selective pulmonary vasodilators. Several studies have conducted survival analyses based on the presence of tissue hypoxia in PH. A prospective study by Kawakami et al.

| Variable                        | Univariate                      | Multivariate model 1 | Multivariate model 2 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                                 | HR (95% CI)                     | P                    | HR (95% CI)          | P                    |
| Age (years)                     | 1.02 (0.995–1.041)              | 0.139                | 1.01 (0.987–1.032)   | 0.439                |
| RAP (mmHg)                      | 1.07 (1.009–1.137)              | 0.026                | 1.03 (0.952–1.117)   | 0.425                |
| mPAP (mmHg)                     | 1.02 (0.994–1.043)              | 0.136                | 1.11 (1.052–1.178)   | <0.001               |
| CI (L/min/m²)                   | 0.66 (0.426–0.996)              | 0.048                | 0.84 (0.521–1.353)   | 0.479                |
| PVR (WU)                        | 0.98 (0.955–1.011)              | 0.241                | 0.91 (0.848–0.976)   | 0.008                |
| PaO₂ (mmHg)                     | 1.59 (0.873–2.913)              | 0.115                | 2.46 (1.140–5.307)   | 0.019                |
| PvO₂ (mmHg)                     | 2.13 (1.221–3.710)              | 0.011                | 1.58 (0.944–2.639)   | 0.080                |
| SvO₂ (mmHg)                     | 0.94 (0.909–0.977)              | 0.001                | 0.89 (0.815–0.969)   | 0.007                |
| A-aDO₂ (mmHg)                   | 1.01 (0.986–1.035)              | 0.418                | 0.99 (0.990–0.996)   | <0.001               |
| BNP (pg/mL)                     | 1.00 (1.000–1.001)              | 0.056                | 0.99 (0.969–0.996)   | 0.011                |
| 6MWD (m)                        | 0.66 (0.990–0.996)              | <0.001               | 0.99 (0.977–1.003)   | 0.124                |
| WHO functional class I+II (vs. III+IV) | 0.41 (0.206–0.808)   | 0.005                |
| Treatment                       |                                 |                      |                      |
| PEA/BPA (vs. PH medication)     | 0.20 (0.107–0.367)              | <0.001               | 0.19 (0.099–0.354)   | <0.001               |
| PEA/BPA (vs. supportive)        | 0.14 (0.072–0.283)              | <0.001               | 0.09 (0.045–0.187)   | <0.001               |
| PH medication (vs. supportive)  | 0.72 (0.376–1.377)              | 0.320                | 0.49 (0.249–0.959)   | 0.038                |

Statistically significant, P < 0.05, are shown in bold

A-aDO₂, alveolar–arterial oxygen gradient, BNP, brain natriuretic peptide, BPA, balloon pulmonary angioplasty, CI, cardiac index, CIv, confidence interval, CTEPH, chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension, DLCO, %pred., percent predicted carbon monoxide diffusing capacity, FVC, %pred., percent predicted forced vital capacity, HR, hazard ratio, mPAP, mean pulmonary arterial pressure, PaO₂, arterial oxygen tension, PEA, pulmonary endarterectomy, PH, pulmonary hypertension, PvO₂, mixed venous oxygen tension, PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance, RAP, right arterial pressure, SvO₂, mixed venous oxygen saturation, WHO, World Health Organization, WU, Wood units, 6MWD, 6-min walk distance

Model 1: Multivariate analysis by ESC/ERS risk stratification, treatment and age
Model 2: Multivariate analysis by ESC/ERS and French risk stratification in yellow and red zone, treatment and age
first demonstrated the relative importance of \( \text{PvO}_2 \) compared with pulmonary hemodynamics for the prognosis of COPD [10]. \( \text{PvO}_2 \) was significantly poorer in non-survivors than in survivors; nonetheless, no significant differences were observed in pulmonary hemodynamics, including mean PAP and CI, between the groups [10]. Higenbottam et al. reported that \( \text{SvO}_2 \), but not CI, was associated with survival in patients with PAH [31, 32]. In the present study, we clarified, for the first time, using \( \text{PvO}_2 < 35 \text{ mmHg} \) as a crucial threshold in patients with PH, that long-term survival was poor in patients with tissue hypoxia.

\( \text{PvO}_2 \) is defined by cardiac output, oxygen consumption, hemoglobin content, and \( \text{PaO}_2 \). In PAH, the decrease in \( \text{PvO}_2 \) may reflect a lower cardiac output and impaired gas exchange. Multiple regression analyses revealed that CI exerted a stronger effect on \( \text{PvO}_2 \) than A-aDO\(_2\) (Additional file 1: Table S4), suggesting that the cause of tissue hypoxia may be related to a lower CI. The decrease in \( \text{PvO}_2 \) in CTEPH may also reflect impaired gas exchange and lower cardiac output. However, multiple regression analyses revealed that A-aDO\(_2\) exerted a greater effect on \( \text{PvO}_2 \) than did CI (Additional file 1: Table S5), implying that the cause of tissue hypoxia may be associated with a mismatch in ventilation-perfusion. PAH is characterized by major homogeneous pulmonary vascular remodeling in the pulmonary arterioles (<0.5 mm in diameter), which may appear as normal or mottled patterns on perfusion scans [33]. However, in CTEPH, the location of the thrombus is heterogeneous on pulmonary perfusion scans. Moreover, hypoperfused areas due to thrombi and hyperperfused areas without thrombi are observed, which are indicative of pulmonary vascular remodeling, similar to PAH. Consequently, a mismatch in ventilation-perfusion may be more notable in CTEPH than in PAH.

\( \text{PvO}_2 \) in patients with PAH or CTEPH was not significantly improved by treatment with selective pulmonary vasodilators alone, suggesting that it remains a key prognostic factor even in the current era of multiple

### Table 7: Univariate and multivariate analyses of prognostic factors for patients in the CTEPH PEA/BPA group

| Variable                        | Univariate | Multivariate model 1 | Multivariate model 2 | Multivariate model 3 |
|---------------------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                                 | HR (95% CI) | P                    | HR (95% CI) | P                   | HR (95% CI) | P                   | HR (95% CI) | P                   |
| Age (years)                     | 1.04 (0.991–1.085) | 0.120               | 1.05 (1.001–1.097) | 0.044               | 1.04 (0.991–1.087) | 0.120               | 1.06 (0.999–1.139) | 0.053               |
| \( \text{RAP (mmHg)} \)         | 1.05 (0.929–1.158) | 0.432               | 1.10 (0.967–1.261) | 0.209               |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| \( \text{mPAP (mmHg)} \)        | 1.03 (0.987–1.083) | 0.153               |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| \( \text{CI (L/min/m}^2\)      | 0.75 (0.334–1.560) | 0.455               | 0.70 (0.272–1.587) | 0.413               |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| \( \text{PVR (W.U.)} \)         | 1.08 (0.958–1.198) | 0.209               |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| \( \text{PaO}_2 (mmHg) \)       | 1.00 (0.954–1.054) | 0.873               |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| \( \text{PvO}_2 (mmHg) \)       | 1.05 (0.928–1.197) | 0.419               | 1.15 (0.989–1.352) | 0.069               |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| \( \text{PvO}_2 < 35 \text{ mmHg} \) | 1.54 (0.507–4.659) | 0.430               |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| \( \text{RAP} \geq 8 \text{ mmHg} \) | 1.38 (0.447–4.229) | 0.589               |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| \( \text{CI} < 2.5 \text{ L/min/m}^2\) | 1.26 (0.515–3.064) | 0.616               |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| \( \text{SvO}_2 (mmHg) \)       | 0.99 (0.925–1.058) | 0.730               |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| \( \text{A-aDO}_2 \) (mmHg)     | 0.97 (0.932–1.018) | 0.241               |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| \( \text{BNP (pg/mL)} \)        | 1.00 (0.998–1.002) | 0.603               |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| \( \text{6MWD (m)} \)           | 0.99 (0.988–0.999) | 0.030               |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| \( \text{FVC, %pred. (\%)} \)   | 0.96 (0.957–1.006) | 0.123               |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| \( \text{DLCO, %pred. (\%)} \)  | 0.98 (0.952–0.998) | 0.033               |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| WHO functional class I+II (vs. III+IV) | 0.31 (0.070–1.332) | 0.067               |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |

Statistically significant, \( P < 0.05 \), are shown in bold

\( \text{A-aDO}_2 \) = alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient, \( \text{BNP} \) = brain natriuretic peptide, \( \text{BPA} \) = balloon pulmonary angioplasty, \( \text{CI} \) = cardiac index, \( \text{CIv} \) = confidence interval, \( \text{CTEPH} \) = chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension, \( \text{DLCO} \) = percent predicted carbon monoxide diffusing capacity, \( \text{FVC} \) = percent predicted forced vital capacity, \( \text{HR} \) = hazard ratio, \( \text{mPAP} \) = mean pulmonary arterial pressure, \( \text{PaO}_2 \) = arterial oxygen tension, \( \text{PEA} \) = pulmonary endarterectomy, \( \text{PvO}_2 \) = mixed venous oxygen tension, \( \text{PVR} \) = pulmonary vascular resistance, \( \text{RAP} \) = right arterial pressure, \( \text{SvO}_2 \) = mixed venous oxygen saturation, \( \text{WHO} \) = World Health Organization, \( \text{W.U} \) = Wood units, \( \text{6MWD} \) = 6-min walk distance

Model 1: Multivariate analysis by ESC/ERS risk stratification and age

Model 2: Multivariate analysis by ESC/ERS and French risk stratification in yellow and red zone, and age

Model 3: Multivariate analysis adding age and \( \text{PvO}_2 \) to variables that were significant in univariate analysis
combination therapies. However, this finding was inconsistent with the findings of Boucly et al. [7] and Sitbon et al. [34], who suggested that vasodilator treatment improves the SvO2 in PAH. This may be explained by the follow-up timing after RHC. A subset of patients received RHC when they were not stabilized or had deteriorated. Particularly, elderly patients with PAH tended to have a smoking history with lower baseline PaO2, even without obvious changes in the pulmonary parenchyma on computed tomography. In such cases, ventilation-perfusion mismatching deteriorated with the use of selective pulmonary vasodilators. This finding is consistent with Khirfan et al’s [35] report describing that older age and a history of smoking are associated with hypoxemia at rest in patients with IPAH/HPAH.

A limitation of the present study is its retrospective design. Furthermore, biases may have occurred in the treatment decisions between the groups with/without tissue hypoxia and among the treatment groups. Additionally, we were unable to propose a model for predicting prognosis in combination with multiple parameters. Some cases with microscopic lung damage that could not be clearly identified as interstitial pneumonia or emphysema on computed tomography were included.

**Conclusions**
The present study revealed PvO2 as a crucial prognostic factor in PH. The prognostic impact of tissue hypoxia affects different aspects of PAH and CTEPH, reflecting their distinct pathogeneses. Therefore, PvO2 can be considered a therapeutic target in patients with PH, warranting further investigation.

**Abbreviations**
A-aDO2: Alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient; BNP: Brain natriuretic peptide; BPA: Balloon pulmonary angioplasty; CI: Cardiac index; COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CTD: Connective tissue disease; CTEPH: Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension; DLCO, %pred.: Percent predicted carbon monoxide diffusing capacity; ERS: European Respiratory Society; ESC: European Society of Cardiology; HPAH: Heritable pulmonary arterial hypertension; IPAH: Idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension; mPAP: Mean pulmonary arterial pressure; PAH: Pulmonary arterial hypertension; PaCO2: Arterial carbon dioxide tension; PaO2: Arterial oxygen tension; PEA: Pulmonary
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**Table 8** Univariate and multivariate analyses of prognostic factors for patients in the CTEPH PH medication group

| Variable             | Univariate       | Multivariate model 1 | Multivariate model 2 | Multivariate model 3 |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                      | HR (95% CI)      | P                    | HR (95% CI)          | P                    | HR (95% CI)          | P                    |
| Age (years)          | 0.99 (0.959–1.020) | 0.427                | 0.99 (0.951–1.025)   | 0.479               | 0.99 (0.966–1.027)   | 0.701               |
| RAP (mmHg)           | 1.13 (1.034–1.237) | 0.008                | 1.00 (0.864–1.127)   | 0.958               | 0.99 (0.861–1.119)   | 0.889               |
| mPAP (mmHg)          | 1.05 (1.013–1.085) | 0.008                |                      |                     | 0.99 (0.923–1.054)   | 0.688               |
| CI (L/min/m²)        | 0.59 (0.352–1.034) | 0.064                | 1.26 (0.569–2.983)   | 0.575               |                      |                     |
| PVR (W.U)            | 1.17 (1.081–1.264) | < 0.001              |                      |                     | 1.06 (0.895–1.250)   | 0.499               |
| PaO2 (mmHg)          | 0.95 (0.904–0.994) | 0.025                |                      |                     |                      |                     |
| PvO2 (mmHg)          | 0.74 (0.651–0.842) | < 0.001              | 0.73 (0.625–1.367)   | < 0.001             | 0.77 (0.633–0.930)   | 0.006               |
| PaO2 < 35 mmHg       | 4.83 (1.133–20.608) | 0.008                |                      |                     | 4.26 (0.871–20.820)  | 0.047               |
| RAP ≥ 8 mmHg         | 2.80 (1.226–6.418) | 0.019                |                      |                     | 2.08 (0.786–5.490)   | 0.142               |
| CI < 2.5 L/min/m²    | 2.11 (0.931–4.782) | 0.067                |                      |                     | 0.88 (0.317–2.416)   | 0.798               |
| SvO2 (mmHg)          | 0.87 (0.816–0.924) | < 0.001              |                      |                     |                      |                     |
| A-aDO2 (mmHg)        | 1.07 (1.025–1.111) | 0.002                |                      |                     |                      |                     |
| BNP (pg/mL)          | 1.00 (1.001–1.004) | 0.002                |                      |                     |                      |                     |
| 6MWD (m)             | 0.99 (0.984–0.994) | < 0.001              |                      |                     |                      |                     |
| FVC, %pred. (%)      | 0.99 (0.971–1.004) | 0.112                |                      |                     |                      |                     |
| DLCO, %pred. (%)     | 0.99 (0.977–1.011) | 0.492                |                      |                     |                      |                     |
| WHO functional class I+II (vs. III+IV) | 0.22 (0.053–0.968) | 0.014                |                      |                     |                      |                     |

Statistically significant, P < 0.05, are shown in bold

A-aDO2: Alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient; BNP: Brain natriuretic peptide; CI: Cardiac index; CIv: confidence interval; CTEPH: Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension; DLCO, %pred.: Percent predicted carbon monoxide diffusing capacity; FVC, %pred.: Percent predicted forced vital capacity; HR: Hazard ratio; mPAP: Mean pulmonary arterial pressure; PaO2: Arterial oxygen tension; PH: Pulmonary hypertension; PVO2: Mixed venous oxygen tension; PVR: Pulmonary vascular resistance; RAP: Right arterial pressure; SvO2: Mixed venous oxygen saturation; WHO: World Health Organization; W.U: Wood units; 6MWD: 6-min walk distance

Model 1: Multivariate analysis by ESC/ERS risk stratification and age
Model 2: Multivariate analysis by ESC/ERS and French risk stratification in yellow and red zone, and age
Model 3: Multivariate analysis adding age and PvO2 to variables that were significant in univariate analysis
endoarterectomy. PH: Pulmonary hypertension; Pvo2: Mixed venous oxygen tension; PVR: Pulmonary vascular resistance; RAP: Right atrial pressure; RHC: Right heart catheterization; SvO2: Mixed venous oxygen saturation; WHO: World Health Organization; 6MWD: 6-Min walk distance.
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