Performance of PROPELLER FSE T2WI in Reducing Metal Artifacts for Patients with Various Material Porcelain Fused To Metal Crown: A Preliminary Study
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Abstract

This study aimed to compare MRI quality between common fast spin echo T₂ weighted imaging (FSE T₂ WI) with periodically rotated overlapping parallel lines with enhanced reconstruction (PROPELLER) FSE T₂ WI for patients with various porcelain fused to metal (PFM) crown and analyze the value of PROPELLER technique in reducing metal artifacts. Common FSE T₂ WI and PROPELLER FSE T₂ WI sequences for axial imaging of head were applied in participants with different PFM crowns: cobalt-chromium (Co-Cr) alloy, pure titanium (Ti), gold-palladium (Au-Pd) alloy. Two radiologists evaluated overall image quality of section in PFM using a 5-point scale qualitatively and measured the maximum artifact area and artifact signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) quantitatively. The metal crown with the least artifacts and the optimum image quality shown in common FSE T₂ WI and PROPELLER FSE T₂ WI were in Au–Pd alloy, Ti, and Co–Cr alloy order. PROPELLER FSE T₂ WI was superior to common FSE T₂ WI in improving image quality and reducing artifact area for Co-Cr alloy (17.0±0.2% smaller artifact area, \( p = 0.001 \)) and Ti (11.6± 0.7 % smaller artifact area, \( p =0.005 \)), but had similar performance compared to FSE T₂ WI for Au-Pd alloy. For all PFMs, PROPELLER FSE T₂ WI significantly reduced the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of artifact (393.57±89.75 VS. 214.05±70.45, \( p < 0.001 \)) when compared to common FSE T₂ WI. Therefore, the different PFM crown generate varying degrees of metal artifacts in MRI, and the PROPELLER can effectively reduce metal artifacts especially in the PFM crown of Co-Cr alloy.

Introduction

The porcelain fused to metal (PFM) is the common traditional method for fixed dentures in patients with dental defects or dentition defects and is especially used for single tooth restoration. The PFM are valued for their visual appeal (they can match the color of the surrounding teeth and have similar visual properties to natural teeth), are extremely durable, and affordable. Also, these materials are not expensive and offer superior mechanical properties, and inertness compared with all other ceramic crowns \(^1,2\). At present, cobalt-chromium (Co-Cr) alloy, pure titanium (Ti) and gold-palladium (Au-Pd) alloy are the most common materials used for PFM crowns \(^1\).

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the most common head-neck clinical imaging technique used in clinical work mainly due to its non-ionizing radiation nature and superior soft-tissue image contrast \(^3,4\). However, MR image quality of the oral cavity and maxillofacial is often impaired by metallic dental restorations and implant-supported prostheses. For example, the metal crown of PFM causes artifacts including signal-loss, signal-pileup, geometric distortion \(^5,6\), which can affect the visibilities of the anatomic structures near the PFM such as a tooth, periodontal space, tongue. The artifacts of metal implants depend on many factors, including the MRI hardware and room shielding, MRI software, sequence parameters, amount, shape, and material characteristics of used abutments and metal crowns. Though several studies have described the effect of material characteristics on MRI interpretation as the most significant among these factors \(^7,8\), few have addressed these problems in clinical situations. Therefore, identifying preferable material compositions of PFM crown and investigating methods to
reduce or avoid metal artifacts in patients with PFM may improve individualized treatment and MRI scanning regimens.

To address the decreased image quality due to metal implants, some optimized MRI scanning protocols were proposed to minimize the metal artifacts, such as using spin-echo or fast spin-echo sequences with long echo train lengths, a high bandwidth, thin section selection, and an increased matrix. Recently, several MRI sequences were developed to reduce susceptibility artifacts, including view angle tilting (VAT), slice-encoding for metal artifact correction (SEMAC), multi-acquisition with variable resonance image combination (MAVRIC), ultrashort time-to-echo (UTE) and combinations of these techniques. Furthermore, various deep learning-based approaches were developed to reduce metal artifacts, improve image quality, and even predict the missing information/regions in MR images affected by metal artifacts. However, the clinical uses of these methods were restricted due to safety and quality control issues, as well as complex principles and higher demand for MRI equipment in hardware and software.

Periodically rotated overlapping parallel lines with enhanced reconstruction (PROPELLER) combines a fast-spin echo (FSE) has been shown to be effective in decreasing motion artifact and suppressing flow artifacts after applying of contrast agent in the whole body. The recent study confirmed that the PROPELLER sequence could decreases metallic artifacts apart from motion artifacts. The sequence was used to minimize the metallic artifacts and distortion near a metallic prosthesis in patients with hip metal work. So, we hypothesize that the PROPELLER sequences would probably reduce metal artifacts of PFM crowns.

Therefore, we aimed to compare MR imaging quality in common FSE T₂WI with PROPELLER FSE T₂WI for patients with PFM of different metal crowns and investigate the value of the PROPELLER technique in reducing metal artifacts.

### Materials And Methods

#### Study population

This prospective study was approved by the local ethics committee (Second Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, China). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. Between July 2020 and March 2021, participants with single unit PFM crowns of three different metal materials scheduled to undergo a clinically indicated 1.5T head MRI for known or suspected head pathology (cerebrovascular disease, tumor, infectious lesion) were enrolled in this study from the Department of Stomatology (Second Hospital of Shanxi Medical University) consecutively. Inclusion criteria included participants who had no metal fillings, implants, titanium plates or other metal materials except for PFM, had good compliance and were eligible for MRI. Exclusion criteria included space-occupying lesion in the oral cavity and maxillofacial regions or motion artifacts of MRI images. The participant characteristics (e
g. age, sex, and material of metal crown) were collected. The metal crown materials and their components used in this study were: Co–Cr (Co 60.2%, Cr 25%, Mo 4.8%, W 6.2%, Si 1.0%), Au–Pd (Au 65%, Pd 25%), Ti (Ti 99.9%).

**Image acquisition**

All MRI data were acquired on a 1.5T MR scanner (Signa; GE Healthcare, Waukesha, Wis) with an 8-channel head and neck coil. Each participant was placed in the supine position, and underwent head MRI scan. The MRI sequences consisted of axial FSE $T_2$WI, axial PROPELLER FSE $T_2$WI, axial FSE $T_1$WI, axial diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) and sagittal FSE $T_1$WI. Parameters of axial FSE $T_2$WI were as follows: repetition time (TR)/echo time (TE), 3000/113ms; Field of View (FOV), 240×240mm$^2$; slice thickness/gap, 6/1 mm; the number of excitations (NEX) , 2; Echo-train length(ETL), 19; matrix size , 352 × 352; Axial PROPELLER was optimized by selecting a bandwidth to minimize TE with the following parameters: TR/TE, 3000/112ms; NEX, 2; ETL, 32; FOV, matrix size, slice thickness and orientation were matched to $T_2$WI. All sequences used 2D acquisition.

**Image analysis**

The image quality of common axial FSE $T_2$WI and axial PROPELLER FSE $T_2$WI were evaluated quantitatively and qualitatively using our institution’s picture archiving and communication system (PACS) workstation (Advantage Windows Workstation 4.6; GE Healthcare). The images were independently reviewed and scored by two radiologists (X.X. and X. X.), with 5 and 10 years of experience in head and neck MRI, respectively. All images were deidentied and evaluated in a blinded and randomized fashion with respect to the method of image acquisition.

**Qualitative image analysis**

Visualizations of the anatomic structures around PFM were evaluated by two radiologists using a 5-point scale in all image sets as described before$^{11}$. The visibilities of four anatomic structures near the PFM including visualization of the periodontal space, the tooth, the tongue, and bone (maxilla or mandible) in the MR images were graded as follows: grade 1 indicated the worst quality for interpretation where the anatomic structures around PFM were barely delineated; grade 2 indicated that 25% of the above structures were visible; grade 3, visualization of 50% of the above structures; grade 4, visualization of 75% of the above structures; and grade 5, none of the four anatomical structures around the PFM were affected by artifacts.

**Quantitative image analysis**

The artifact was defined as areas of signal void pileup or geometric distortion. First, the plane with the maximal artifact were determined, then the maximum areas of metal artifacts were outlined and measured by two radiologists jointly using Advantage Workstation. The artifact area reduction rate of PROPELLER FSE $T_2$WI image were calculated as the difference between PROPELLER FSE $T_2$WI image
artifact area and common FSE T2WI image artifact area divided by common FSE T2WI image artifact area. Next, in the plane with the maximal artifact, circular ROIs were drawn in the white artifact and the background of the same level respectively. Positioning and sizing of these ROIs were identical in common FSE T2WI and PROPELLER FSE T2WI images to minimize individual variations for sequence comparison. The SNR were then calculated as the mean signal intensity within an artifact ROI divided by the standard deviation of signal intensity in the background.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 25.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Interrater agreement for qualitative scores was assessed by Cohen's weighted kappa (κ) and was interpreted as follows: Poor correlation (κ < 0.20); Fair correlation (κ= 0.21-0.40); Moderate correlation (κ= 0.41-0.60); Good correlation (κ= 0.61-0.80); and excellent correlation (κ= 0.81-1.00). The image quality scores and artifact areas of different metal crowns were compared using post-hoc analysis's Friedman test. The image quality scores, artifact areas and SNR of all common FSE T2WI and PROPELLER FSE T2WI were compared using a two-sample Wilcoxon test. Results were provided as mean ± standard deviation (SD). A P value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**Patient data**

A total of 64 participants with single unit PFM crowns underwent MRI in the head. One participant with maxillofacial space-occupying lesion, two participants with tumor of tongue and two participants with motion artifacts were excluded. The final study sample consisted of 59 participants (24 males and 35 females; mean age ± SD, 63 ± 5.2 years; age range,35–70 years old). There were 21 participants with the metal crown of Co-Cr alloy in PFM, 20 with the metal crown of Au–Pd alloy in PFM, and 18 participants with the metal crown of Ti in PFM.

**Inter-reader variability**

There were good agreements between readers for scoring image quality of Co–Cr (κ= 0.80) and Au–Pd (κ= 0.73) in common FSE T2WI, with the excellent agreement (κ= 0.84) for the image quality of Ti. PROPELLER FSE T2WI demonstrated good agreements between readers for the image quality of Co–Cr (κ= 0.77), Au–Pd (κ= 0.78), and Ti (κ= 0.67). The interreader variability parameters are summarized in Table 1.

**Comparison of artifacts between common FSE T2WI and PROPELLER FSE T2WI for three different material compositions**

Artifacts caused by three different PFM crowns can be clearly identified on both common FSE T2WI and PROPELLER FSE T2WI (Fig. 1). The image quality of Au-Pd was significantly better than those of Ti and
Co-Cr in common FSE T₂WI (reader 1 (score ± SD): Au-Pd 3.5±0.1 vs. Ti 1.6±0.2 vs. Co-Cr 1.4±0.1, p = 0.028; reader 2: Au-Pd 3.6±0.1 vs. Ti 1.6±0.2 vs. Co-Cr 1.4±0.1, p = 0.006) and PROPELLER FSE T₂WI (reader 1 (score ± SD): Au-Pd 3.6±0.2 vs. Ti 1.8±0.2 vs. Co-Cr 1.7±0.1, p = 0.021; reader 2: Au-Pd 3.6±0.2 vs. Ti 1.9±0.2 vs. Co-Cr 1.7±0.2, p = 0.002). Moreover, Ti had a slightly higher image quality score than Co-Cr in both sequences, however these differences were not statistically significant (reader 1: p=0.36, p=1.0; reader 2: p=0.42, p=0.84, respectively). In addition, the artifact areas caused by the metal crown of Co-Cr, Ti and Au-Pd were significantly different from each other in common FSE T₂WI (Au-Pd 75.4±3.8mm² vs. Ti 99.7±7.0mm² vs. Co-Cr 198.1±8.1mm², p<0.001) and PROPELLER FSE T₂WI (Au-Pd 71.4±2.9mm² vs. Ti 89.4±6.3mm² vs. Co-Cr 165.3±7.9mm², p < 0.001, Fig. 2). The smallest artifact area was observed for Au-Pd in both sequences.

The common FSE T₂WI sequence and PROPELLER FSE T₂WI sequence differed significantly in image quality score and artifact area for Co–Cr and Ti, but not for the Au–Pd. PROPELLER FSE T₂WI exhibited significantly better image quality than common FSE T₂WI for Co–Cr (reader 1 (score ± SD): 1.4±0.1 VS. 1.8±0.1, p < 0.001; reader 2: 1.4±0.1 VS. 1.8±0.2, p < 0.001) and Ti (reader 1 (score ± SD): 1.6±0.2 VS. 1.8±0.2, p < 0.001; reader 2: 1.6±0.2 VS. 1.9±0.2, p < 0.001). There was a significant decrease of 17.0±0.2% in artifact area in PROPELLER FSE T₂WI compared with common FSE T₂WI for the Co–Cr (198.1±8.1mm² VS. 165.3±7.9mm², p<0.001), and 11.6± 0.7 % for the Ti (99.7±7.0mm² VS. 89.4±6.3 mm², p=0.005, Fig. 2). The artifact SNR of PROPELLER FSE T₂WI was significantly reduced compared with the common FSE T₂WI sequence (214.05±70.45 VS. 393.57±89.75, p < 0.001). The results are summarized in Table 2 and Table 3.

Discussion

PFM restorations are increasingly used in prosthetic dentistry. Dental MRI offers radiation-free and high-resolution in vivo imaging of the teeth, jaw and adjacent soft tissue. However, image assessment may be distorted by artifacts due to metallic dental restorations. Prior studies have demonstrated that the PROPELLER sequence could reduce metal artifacts in patients with a metal implant for orthopedic and neurosurgical applications¹⁸,²¹. Our study has further shown that PROPELLER FSE T₂WI may significantly improve imaging quality and reduce artifact areas compared to the common FSE T₂WI sequence in dental MR imaging especially in the PFM crown of Co-Cr alloy.

There is an increasing demand for PFM in patients with dental defects or dentition defects. In the future, radiologists will be required to select appropriate MRI sequences and parameters which could reduce metal artifacts caused by metal crowns in PFM, because high quality MR images was benefit of clinicians for diagnosis of diseases in head and oromaxillo-facial region. Therefore, the understanding about causes of artifacts related to metal implants on MR images would be beneficial to dentists making individualized regimens ⁵. Our data suggested that the PFM crown of Co-Cr alloy produces the more metal artifacts compared to the pure Ti and the gold-palladium alloy in the common FSE T₂WI and
PROPELLER FSE T<sub>2</sub>WI sequence. The reasons is most likely due to the specific ferromagnetic compositions of these alloys. Cobalt and chromium are ferromagnetic metals, they distort local magnetic fields, causing large artifacts that make image interpretation impossible. Titanium itself has ferromagnetic properties but has a lower magnetic susceptibility. Although gold is a diamagnetic substance, gold alloys contain traces of other ferromagnetic metals could also explain the ability to degrade MRI images.<sup>7,22</sup> Nevertheless, some studies showed that high gold-content alloys and pure Ti materials produce more artifacts<sup>23,24</sup>. The probable reasons were 1) The materials used probably come from different manufacturers with varied standards for material processing. 2) MRI scanners, imaging parameters and experimental methods used in different studies are different. 3) The research objects were not unied, including dental implants, orthodontic devices, embedded phantoms etc.<sup>25</sup> Therefore, it is necessary to formulate unied experimental criteria for accurately evaluating the effects of different dental materials on MRI metallic artifacts.

The previous studies showed that the spin-echo (SE) sequence signicantly reduces the susceptibility artifact compared with the gradient-echo (GRE) sequence, yet, this still did not meet the expected standards. Furthermore, advances in MR sequence (e.g., VAT, SEMAC, MAVRIC, UTE) and serious deep learn-based methods now allow signicantly improved image quality in the presence of ferromagnetic materials<sup>10–15</sup>. The PROPELLER sequence has the advantages of mature technology, imaging easily and high signal-to-noise ratio, and has been widely applied in clinical MR imaging to reduce motion artifacts<sup>17–20</sup>. The recent study confirms that the PROPELLER could decreases both artifact and distortion in patients with hip metalwork.<sup>21</sup> Our qualitative and quantitative studies confirmed that PROPELLER FSE T<sub>2</sub>WI significantly improves imaging quality and reduces artifact areas and SNR compared to the common FSE T<sub>2</sub>WI sequence in patients with PFM. Meanwhile PROPELLER FSE T<sub>2</sub>WI had the best eciency on reducing metal artifacts of cobalt-chromium alloy compared with the other two kinds of materials in our study. These findings can be attributed to 1) PROPELLER's unique radial k-space acquisition sequencing, combined with a fast-spin echo (FSE) technique, which diminishes artifact in the phase-encoding direction.<sup>24</sup> 2) Susceptibility effects primarily affect T<sub>2</sub>*signal decay, by inducing local distortions in the static magnetic field. Therefore, the PROPELLER sequence refocuses T<sub>2</sub> using a spin-echo pulse prior to each readout and removes the distorted T<sub>2</sub>* signal component from the NMR signal to reduce the subsequent image distortion caused by magnetic susceptibility.<sup>21</sup>

Our study has several limitations. First, all MRI examinations were performed at 1.5T, and we did not obtain sagittal and coronal images. Because the level of metallic susceptibility artifact in output images is directly related to field strength, we anticipate that there might be larger artifact when scanning at 3T. For the sake of clinical imaging diagnosis, further research is necessary to combine axial, sagittal and coronal images to study the ability of PROPELLER to reduce metal artifacts in patients with PFM. Second, although our study selected adult participants whose PFM was closed to same size, the shape of PFM and the porcelain in PFM may be varied, which may lead to bias. Third, we only studied the effect of PROPELLER technology in reducing artifacts of metal copying in the single unit PFM crowns, the
applications of PROPELLER technology to metal artifact reduction in multiple unit PFM crowns and other oral fields such as implant prosthesis and orthodontics will be performed in the next study. Finally, the sample size was small. Increasing the sample size would have increased the statistical power of the study.

In conclusion, the different PFM crown generates varying degrees of metal artifact areas in MR imaging. The PROPELLER sequence can effectively reduce metal artifacts in dental MR imaging especially in the PFM crown of Co-Cr alloy. These findings could add value to the clinical management and MRI examination planning in patients with PFM.
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**Tables**

**Table 1.** Interrater agreement for image qualitative scores in common FSE T\(_2\)WI and PROPELLER FSE T\(_2\)WI sequences

| Material composition | Common FSE T\(_2\)WI | PROPELLER FSE T\(_2\)WI |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Co–Cr (n=21)         | 0.80±0.63-0.90\(\pm\)  | 0.77±0.57-0.89\(\pm\)  |
| Ti (n=18)            | 0.84±0.69-0.92\(\pm\)  | 0.67±0.41-0.82\(\pm\)  |
| Au–Pd (n=20)         | 0.73±0.44-0.87\(\pm\)  | 0.78±0.62-0.94\(\pm\)  |

*Interreader variability is statistically significant (P<0.001); FSE T\(_2\)WI, fast spin echo T\(_2\) weighted imaging; PROPELLER FSE T\(_2\)WI, periodically rotated overlapping parallel lines with enhanced reconstruction fast spin echo T\(_2\) weighted imaging; Co–Cr, cobalt-chromium; Ti, titanium; Au–Pd, gold-palladium.*
**Table 2.** Comparison of image quality scores of different metal crowns in common FSE T2WI and PROPELLER FSE T2WI sequences

| Material composition | Reader 1 (mean ± SD) | PROPELLER FSE T2WI | P value<sup>a</sup> |
|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|                      | Common FSE T2WI      |                     |                   |
| Co–Cr (n=21)         | 1.4±0.1              | 1.7±0.1             | <0.001            |
| Ti (n=18)            | 1.6±0.2              | 1.8±0.2             | <0.001            |
| Au–Pd (n=20)         | 3.5±0.1              | 3.6±0.2             | 0.350             |
|                      | **P value<sup>b</sup>** | 0.028               | 0.021             | -                 |

|                      | Reader 2 (mean ± SD) |                     |                   |
|                      |                      |                     |                   |
| Co–Cr (n=21)         | 1.4±0.1              | 1.7±0.2             | <0.001            |
| Ti (n=18)            | 1.6±0.2              | 1.9±0.2             | <0.001            |
| Au–Pd (n=20)         | 3.6±0.1              | 3.6±0.2             | 0.416             |
|                      | **P value<sup>b</sup>** | 0.006               | 0.002             | -                 |

FSE T2WI, fast spin echo T2 weighted imaging; PROPELLER FSE T2WI, periodically rotated overlapping parallel lines with enhanced reconstruction fast spin echo T2 weighted imaging; Co–Cr, cobalt-chromium; Ti, titanium; Au–Pd, gold-palladium. <sup>a</sup>Two-sample Wilcoxon test. Significant P values were <0.05. <sup>b</sup>Friedman test. Significant P values were <0.05.

**Table 3.** Comparison of artifact areas of different metal crowns in common FSE T2WI and PROPELLER FSE T2WI sequences (mm<sup>2</sup>)

| Material composition | Common FSE T2WI | PROPELLER FSE T2WI | P value<sup>a</sup> | Artifact reduction |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Co–Cr (n=21)         | 198.1±8.1       | 165.3±7.9           | <0.001            | 17.0±0.2%          |
| Ti (n=18)            | 99.7±7.0        | 89.4±6.3            | 0.005             | 11.6±0.7%          |
| Au–Pd (n=20)         | 75.4±3.8        | 71.4±2.9            | 0.057             | 5.1±0.5%           |
|                      | **P value<sup>b</sup>** | <0.001               | <0.001            | -                  |

FSE T2WI, fast spin echo T2 weighted imaging; PROPELLER FSE T2WI, periodically rotated overlapping parallel lines with enhanced reconstruction fast spin echo T2 weighted imaging; Co–Cr, cobalt-chromium; Ti, titanium; Au–Pd, gold-palladium. <sup>a</sup>Two-sample Wilcoxon test. Significant P values were <0.05. <sup>b</sup>Friedman test.
Figures

Figure 1

Images of common FSE T₂WI (a, b, c) and PROPELLER FSE T₂WI (d, e, f) in different participants. Images of the metal crown of Co-Cr alloy in participant 1 (a, d); Images of the metal crown of pure Ti in participant 2 (b, e); Images of the metal crown of Au–Pd alloy in participant 3 (c, f).
Figure 2

Comparison of artifact areas of common FSE $T_2$WI and PROPELLER FSE $T_2$WI sequences caused by the different PFM crowns. n.s, not significant; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$. 
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