Novel circRNA discovery in sheep shows evidence of high backsplice junction conservation
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Circular RNAs (circRNAs) are covalently closed circular non-coding RNAs. Due to their structure, circRNAs are more stable and have longer half-lives than linear RNAs making them good candidates for disease biomarkers. Despite the scientific relevance of these molecules, the study of circRNAs in non-model organisms is still in its infancy. Here, we analyse total RNA-seq data to identify circRNAs in sheep from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) and parietal lobe cortex. Out of 2510 and 3403 circRNAs detected in parietal lobe cortex and in PBMCs, a total of 1379 novel circRNAs were discovered. Remarkably, around 63% of all detected circRNAs were found to be completely homologous to a circRNA annotated in human. Functional enrichment analysis was conducted for both tissues based on GO terms and KEGG pathways. The enriched terms suggest an important role of circRNAs from encephalon in synaptic functions and the involvement of circRNAs from PBMCs in basic immune system functions. In addition to this, we investigated the role of circRNAs in repetitive vaccination experiments via differential expression analysis and did not detect any significant relationship. At last, our results support both the miRNA sponge and the miRNA shuttle functions of CDR1-AS in sheep brain. To our knowledge, this is the first study on circRNA annotation in sheep PBMCs or parietal lobe cortex samples.

Circular RNAs (circRNAs) are a new class of covalently closed circular non-coding RNAs, formed when a splice donor and upstream acceptor from a linear RNA are linked together, a process also called backsplicing1. Due to their circular structure, circRNAs are more stable, resistant to RNAse R and have longer half-lives than linear RNAs2, making them good candidates for disease biomarkers. Despite being discovered long ago, with the first circular molecules (viroids) revealed by electron microscopy in 19763 and the first endogenous circRNA originating from the DCC tumour suppressor reported in humans in 19914, for a long time circRNAs were thought to be low abundance products derived from splicing errors5. With the recent increase in high-throughput sequencing studies, it was shown that these molecules are more common than initially thought and that some of them have important roles in multiple pathways6,7. The exact mechanism of circularization is not totally understood, but multiple factors have been related. It has been shown that circRNA biogenesis is positively correlated by RNA polymerase II elongation rate8. In addition, multiple reports have shown that reverse complementary sequences in the flanking introns of the backspliced exons brings under close proximity the splice sites9, allowing for the canonical splicing machinery to be employed. Furthermore, RNA binding proteins such as Quaking (QKI), muscleblind (MBL) and fused in sarcoma (FUS) have also been reported to promote circRNA biogenesis9.

Although the biological function of most circRNAs remains unknown, some circRNAs have been shown to contain clusters of miRNA binding sites that function as miRNA sponges (e.g., the circRNAs related to CDR1 and SRY sequester miR-7 and miR-138, respectively)10. Thus, circRNAs may interfere in the usual miRNA-mRNA binding procedures. Other circRNAs have been shown to contain sequences that can act as internal ribosome entry sites (IRESes), such as circ-ZNF60911, thus can potentially code for proteins. However, their actual translation in vivo remains to be probed. Last, circRNAs can regulate a number of processes via protein-binding activity (e.g., the circ-FOXO3 forms a ternary complex with p21 and CDK2)12.

Recent reports have associated circRNA expression with multiple diseases and it has opened a new field for diagnosis and treatment. It has been shown that circRNA levels increase with age in brain, but the same has been shown in age-associated neurological disorders such as Alzheimer’s disease and Parkinson’s disease13. In addition
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to evidence of circRNAs playing a role in diseases such as atherosclerotic vascular disease risk, osteoarthritis and diabetes, it has been shown dysregulated expression of circRNAs in multiple types of cancer, including colorectal cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma and breast cancer, among others.

More recently, many circRNAs have been reported to be expressed abnormally and play important roles in the progression of autoimmune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus or multiple sclerosis. Thus, circRNAs may not only serve as potential biomarkers but also act as immune regulators and offer potential opportunities for therapy.

Non-living vaccine antigens, especially purified or recombinant subunit vaccines, are often poorly immunogenic and require additional components to help stimulate protective immunity based on antibodies and effector T cell functions. These additional components, termed adjuvants, are added to vaccines to achieve a better protection, with the aluminium-based ones (especially aluminium hydroxide) being some of the most widely employed adjuvants in human and animal vaccines. Despite its widespread use and its probes safety record, the adjuvant’s mechanism of action is not fully understood.

Recently, some concerns regarding the safety of aluminium adjuvants has been raised, due to the possibility for aluminium adjuvants to reach distant organs such as spleen or brain after a long-term exposition. It was shown that after intramuscular injection of the aluminium adjuvant in mice, the material was translocated at a very slow rate in normal conditions to draining lymph nodes (DNL) and thereafter was detected as associated with phagocytes in blood and spleen. In addition, several studies have addressed the translocation of aluminium to the brain. However, this remains a subject with much controversy in the scientific community and there is no complete agreement regarding the translocation and biopersistence of this material.

In sheep, a form of the autoimmune/autoinflammatory syndrome induced by aluminium-adjuvants has been described as linked to repetitive inoculation with aluminium-containing vaccines. In this species, a number of circRNAs were previously identified from RNA sequencing data in encephalon in prenatal and postnatal muscle and pituitary glands of sheep, respectively. Interestingly, they observed an association of some circRNAs with economically important traits, such as the growth and development of muscle related signaling pathways in the first tissue and the regulation of hormone secretion in the second. In addition to this, the same group identified 9231 circRNAs differentially expressed in the estrus and anestrous pituitary system of sheep. Last, 886 circRNAs were detected in the skeletal muscle by Cao et al., and some of them were reported to be involved in muscle cell development and signaling. Characterizing the circRNA profiles of specific tissues and cell types is a promising way to reveal functional properties of circRNAs.

Until now, there has been no study trying to address the functional role of circRNAs in aluminium adjuvancy through total RNA sequencing data analysis, nor attempts of annotating circRNAs in sheep peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) or parietal lobe cortex samples. In this work the circRNAs of these two tissues will be characterized and their expression in animals with different adjuvancy treatments assessed. Characterizing how circRNAs are expressed in different tissues can improve our understanding of the sheep transcriptome and analysing their expression in vaccinated or adjuvanted animals could add information on the role of circRNAs in the immune response to aluminium adjuvants.

Results
CircRNAs characterization and distribution in encephalon and PBMCs. Total RNA-seq data was produced from RNA samples extracted from encephalon and PBMCs. The data have been previously used for in depth differential expression analyses and it has been re-analysed for circRNA annotation. Two bioinformatics tools, Segemehl and DCC, were selected for circRNA identification, which resulted in 12,475 and 60,375 candidate circRNAs in encephalon and 19,611 and 63,138 candidate circRNAs in PBMC samples by segemehl and DCC, respectively. Out of all the circRNAs detected in the encephalon, 4996 had concordant coordinates in both tools. After filtering circRNAs based on their abundance and expression patterns among samples (see “Material and methods”), 2510 circRNAs were selected for subsequent analyses. In PBMCs, 10,414 circRNAs were concordant between tools. After filtering, 3403 circRNAs were retained for further analysis. Details about filtered circRNAs are available as Supplementary Data S1 and S2 for encephalon and PBMCs, respectively. The naming of circRNAs in each tissue list was performed by assigning sequential unique numeric identifiers. From the 2510 and 3403 circRNAs detected in encephalon and PBMCs, 1236 were present concordantly in both tissues (Fig. 1). The counts from DCC were taken as reference abundance values.

In the available literature a number of studies have described the principal characteristics of circRNAs in human and mouse. In our sheep data, in both tissues, we observe that the longer the chromosome, the more circRNAs are detected (Supplementary Fig. S1), and that the circRNAs are most commonly formed by two or three exons, being those composed of two exons the most prevalent ones (Supplementary Fig. S1). This is in accordance with what was previously described in other species. A representation of the location of each circRNA in the reference genome is given in Supplementary Fig. S2 for encephalon and Supplementary Fig. S3 for PBMCs.

Out of the 2510 candidate circRNAs detected in encephalon, 2372 overlap with 1642 annotated sheep genes. Of those circRNAs that originated from an annotated gene, 1927 were concordant with an annotated exon–intron boundary in both ends, while in the other cases, despite the overlap with an annotated gene, at least one end was not concordant with an annotated exon–intron boundary. Concerning the 3403 circRNAs detected in PBMCs, 3249 were found to originate from 2006 annotated sheep genes. Of these, 2597 were concordant with an annotated exon–intron boundary in both ends. In some cases, the cause of the discrepancy between the annotated exon–intron boundaries and the circRNA backspliced junctions could be explained by the incomplete state of the sheep gene annotation. The majority of genes host only one circRNA in both tissues (Supplementary Fig. S1).
Sheep circRNAs are conserved. CircRNAs have been shown to be tissue specific and to be evolutionary conserved27. The circRNAs detected in this study were compared to others previously identified in other tissues (pituitary gland and longissimus dorsi muscle) in sheep. Notably, only 175 circRNAs were consistently detected in all tissues, including ours (Fig. 2). Such low concordance is in agreement with other studies, which showed that the expression of circRNAs is tissue-dependent8. In addition, our results showed that 421 and 841 circRNAs were exclusive to the encephalon and PBMCs data, respectively, while the overlap between the two sets is composed of 117 circRNAs (Fig. 2).

In addition to this, the detected circRNAs were compared to the human circRNAs annotated in CIRCpedia28. First, sheep circRNA coordinates were translated to human ones with the UCSC liftOver tool29 and classified based on their backsplice junction conservation. Out of the 2510 detected circRNAs in encephalon, 52 splice sites coordinates could not be lifted. For the rest, nearly all had at least one reported human circRNA utilizing one of the splice sites. A total of 1606 (63.98%) circRNAs were completely homologous to a human circRNA (Fig. 3a).
In PBMCs, out of the 3403 detected circRNAs, 93 splice sites coordinates were not lifted to human, while 2114 (62.12%) circRNAs were found to be completely homologous to a human circRNA (Fig. 3b). Given that circRNAs include exons of coding genes, sheep circRNAs completely homologous to a human one but lacking a gene annotation in sheep were also screened for possible corresponding genes annotated in human (Supplementary Table S1).

**Enrichment analysis.** A functional enrichment analysis was conducted with g:Profiler on the GO and KEGG databases for both tissues, by considering the terms annotated for the parental genes of the detected circRNAs and after setting as background all the genes expressed in the corresponding tissue. Terms with an FDR less than 0.05 were selected as significant. The enriched GO terms are represented as networks in Supplementary Figure 3.
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**Figure 3.** Bar plot with the result of the conservation analysis. In the x-axis the different categories described in “Material and methods” and in the y-axis the number of circRNAs in each category. (a) Encephalon; (b) PBMCs.
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**Figure 4.** Sub-network from enriched GO terms by g:Profiler in encephalon and visualized in Cytoscape after clustering with Autoannotate. Node size correspond to number of genes expressed from the term; edge size represents the number of genes that overlap between different terms; node colour represents the significance level (FDR).
mentary Fig. S4 and S5. Selected highly connected sub-networks of interest are shown in Figs. 4 and 5. The 20 most enriched KEGG pathways are shown in Fig. 6a,b, for encephalon and PBMCs, respectively. Among the GO terms significantly enriched in encephalon, there are a number of terms related to synapse regulation, presynaptic endocytosis, behaviour, brain development and myelination, while among the KEGG pathways glutamatergic synapse, dopaminergic synapse and serotonergic synapse were enriched, suggesting an important role for some circRNAs in synaptic functions. Instead, in PBMCs, we retrieved GO terms related to B- and T-cell proliferation, T-cell differentiation, activation and regulation of immune response and neutrophil degranulation. In both tissues, the KEGG T-cell receptor signaling pathway and B-cell receptor signaling pathway were enriched, suggesting that some circRNAs may be involved in basic immune system functions.

circRNAs acting as sponges. To identify circRNAs which could function as miRNA sponges, we compared all 2510 (encephalon) and 3403 (PBMCs) predicted circRNAs with clusters of miRNA binding sites reported by Pan et al.33 in the human genome, a dataset that comprises a total of 3673 predicted sponges for 1250 miRNAs. Out of 3 (encephalon) and 4 (PBMCs) sheep circRNAs overlapping one or more candidate sponges-miRNA pairs, we filtered out those entries for which the predicted sponged miRNA does not have a homologous pre-miRNA in sheep. As a result, in the encephalon tissue we identified 1 circRNA (circRNA4960) overlapping predicted sponges for two miRNAs (miR-7 and miR-1224), while in PBMCs we retained two circRNAs, circRNA2342, which overlaps predicted sponges for miR-409, miR-383, miR-370, miR-369 and miR-212, and circRNA8181 for miR-124 (Supplementary Table S2). Then circRNA-target-miRNA pairs were screened for miRNA binding sites in both human and sheep circRNA sequences with Rsearch234. After removing overlapping binding sites as described in Pan et al.33 44 and 65 binding sites were respectively found on circRNA4960 for miR-7 and miR-1224. Although the sheep circRNA4960 is shorter than the corresponding cluster of miRNA binding sites detected in human for miR-7 and miR-1224, the per-base binding sites ratio is higher in sheep, further underlying a possible functional role of this molecule in the sheep brain.

One of the most well characterized circRNAs in brain is the one related to the CDR1 gene35. Although CDR1 is not annotated in sheep, blasting the human sequence of this gene against the sheep reference genome results in a single hit, matching a region of circRNA4960, detected in our encephalon samples. We lifted the coordinates
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Figure 5. Sub-network from enriched GO terms by g:Profiler in PBMCs and visualized in Cytoscape after clustering with Autoannotate. Node size correspond to number of genes expressed from the term; edge size represents the number of genes that overlap between different terms; node colour represents the significance level (FDR).
of the sheep backsplice junctions (sheep genome version Oar_3.1) to the human genome (version hg38) with the UCSC liftOver tool and found that circRNA4960 is homologous to the human CDR1-AS. Interestingly, circRNA4960 was one of the most expressed in our cortex samples (Supplementary Table S3). Among the highly expressed circRNAs detected in encephalon other two were homologous to previously characterized human circRNAs, circRNA4266 and circRNA4357, which originate from HOMER1 and ZNF609 genes, respectively.

In addition, recent studies have shown that miR-671 has sufficient complementarity with CDR1-AS. Interestingly, the binding pattern of miR-671 in sheep is identical to the human one and includes 13 canonical base pairs in the seed region, and only 1 mismatch over the entire sequence (Supplementary Fig. S6). Hence, our results support both the miRNA sponge and the miRNA shuttle functions previously proposed for CDR1-AS in brain and suggest a possible similar mechanism for miR-1224, which is reported as highly expressed in brain according to the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) Project v8.

The screening of circRNA-target-miRNA pairs identified in PBMCs showed that miRNA binding sites are scattered far away from one another over both the exonic and the much longer intronic regions of circRNA2342 and circRNA8181, with few bindings overlapping with the clusters of miRNA binding sites identified in human, hence we could not infer any sponge activity for these circRNAs. The complete list of binding sites identified for sheep circRNA-miRNA pairs in both encephalon and PBMCs candidate circRNA sponges is available in Supplementary Table S2.

No differential expression due to repetitive vaccination. Our preliminary analysis of transcripts expression showed that the adjuvant sample 116-E derived from the encephalon tissue was an outlier, thus it was removed prior differential expression analysis. A PCA showing clusters of samples is shown in Supplementary Fig. S7. Differential expression analysis was performed with the R package DESeq2. We did not detect any differentially expressed circRNA in any comparison after considering an adjusted p-value < 0.05 as cut-off.

For the PBMC samples, the Harman R package was applied to remove any batch effect in the data after normalizing by SRPBM. The PCA with the corrected data is shown in Supplementary Fig. S7. Then, both the limma package and Kruskal–Wallis test were used to test for differential expression, but no circRNA was found to be differentially expressed in any comparison with an adjusted p-value < 0.05.

Discussion

CircRNAs are a novel class of endogenous non-coding RNAs with a cyclic structure formed through a covalent bond of a linear transcript. Lately, circRNAs have gained more attention due to their abundance, their expression levels in specific tissues and their involvement in different biological functions, particularly studied in human and mouse. However, studies on circRNAs in non-model organism such as sheep are still lacking, and there is no database recording such data yet. Here, we improved the annotation of circRNAs in sheep by adding a total of 1379 novel circRNAs, combined with relevant information such as conservation and potential function.
set of robust circRNAs was selected from 2510 and 3403 circRNAs respectively detected in parietal lobe cortex and in PBMCs via in silico analysis of ribo-minus total RNA sequencing data. Most of the identified circRNAs in both tissues are from annotated genes, generally formed by two or three distinct exons, in agreement with what has been previously reported in human and mouse data. In addition, we observe that circRNAs are widely expressed in both of these tissues in sheep, which was somewhat expected since circRNAs are enriched in mammalian brain and human PBMCs.

Some circRNAs have a tissue-dependent or developmental stage-dependent expression pattern. The circRNAs detected in this study were compared to other sheep circRNA identified in pituitary gland and in longissimus dorsi muscle. Only 175 circRNAs were detected in all tissues, while several hundreds of circRNAs were exclusive to each tissue. Furthermore, given that numerous circRNAs have exhibited evolutionary conservation between human and mouse, the circRNAs detected in this study were analysed for backsplice site conservation, by comparing them to the human circRNAs available in CIRCPedia. We found that 1606 (63.98%) and 2114 (62.12%) sheep circRNAs have completely conserved backsplice sites between human and sheep in encephalon and PBMCs, respectively. Among the most expressed circRNAs, circRNA4266 and circRNA4357, in order originating from the HOMER1 and ZNF609 genes, had been previously characterized in other species. Consistent with this, it has been shown that the circRNA related to HOMER1 has a regulatory role in cell growth in human bronchial epithelial cells, as its silencing promotes cell proliferation. The circRNA originated from ZNF609 has been shown to adsorb miR-150-5p and to upregulate SP1 transcription factor, promoting the proliferation of nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells. In addition, this circRNA has been related to myoblast proliferation and the fact that its sequence includes an open reading frame and that a fraction of this circRNA is loaded into polyosomes indicates that it may code for proteins.

It was previously proposed that the binding activity between circRNAs and RNA binding proteins (RBPs) can have regulatory effects, which suggests that circRNAs can impact the same functional processes in which the corresponding linear host gene is involved. Under the assumption that the function of a circRNA may be associated with the known function of its parental gene, GO analysis indicated that the circRNAs identified in encephalon are related to synapse regulation, behaviour, learning process and brain development, while KEGG pathway analysis also related these circRNAs to synapses and to pathways implicated in cell proliferation such as MAPK/ERK pathways, the last ones being previously linked to circRNAs. In contrast, in the PBMCs samples, GO terms associated with the immune system such as B- and T- cell proliferation, neutrophil degranulation, the MAPK cascade and the NF-κB signaling were enriched, as well as DNA methylation and histone modification, supporting the possibility that circRNAs could be related to epigenetic alterations, as previously suggested. In both tissues the B- and T-cell receptor signalling pathways were enriched, in addition to Fc epsilon RI signaling pathway, Th17 cell differentiation and platelet activation in PBMCs samples, indicating a potential functional role for circRNAs in the immune system response.

Then, we performed a differential expression analysis to find out if circRNAs could have a role in aluminium adjuvancy in vaccines. We did not detect any differentially expressed circRNAs in any of the two tissues, which indicates that circRNAs may not be connected with aluminium adjuvant effects. Despite this, it should be noted that no differential expression analysis software has been specifically designed to handle circRNA data, in which expression levels are generally lower compared to mRNA and are subjected to greater variability.

Moreover, we screened circRNAs for the presence of clusters of miRNA binding sites, following the concept that circRNAs can act as miRNA sponges. We report that the circRNA CDR1-AS, which corresponds to circRNA4960 in this study, contains numerous binding sites for miR-7 and miR-122, both reported to be expressed in the mammalian brain. In agreement with our expectations, we observed that this circRNA is highly expressed only in our encephalon samples. In addition, recent studies have shown that miR-671 has sufficient complementarity with CDR1-AS to induce AGO2 endonucleolytic cleavage and, based on this, an alternative function for this circRNA molecule as miRNA shuttle system, releasing its miR-7 cargo upon binding with miR-671, has been proposed. It was shown that the binding sites for miR-671 were retained in sheep, supporting its role in cleavage by AGO2.

In conclusion, a number of circRNAs were identified in sheep encephalon and PBMCs samples, expanding our knowledge on the sheep transcriptome. Moreover, several GO terms and KEGG pathways showed that circRNAs may be involved with synapse regulation and cell proliferation in encephalon and with the immune system response and epigenetic modifications in PBMCs. Furthermore, we showed how circRNA functions associated with the presence of clusters of miRNA binding sites are conserved between sheep and human. This study is a first systematic analysis of circRNAs in sheep parietal lobe cortex and PBMC samples, and it is also a first study of the changes in circRNA expression profiles after an aluminium-based adjuvant vaccine inoculation schedule.

Material and methods

Ethics statement. All experimental procedures were approved and licensed by the Ethical Committee of the University of Zaragoza (ref: PI15/14). Requirements of the Spanish Policy for Animal Protection (RED53/2013) and the European Union Directive 2010/63 on protection of experimental animals were always fulfilled.

Datasets. The data samples used in this work have been previously used for in depth differential expression analyses and detailed information about the experimental design and sequencing can be found in the corresponding articles for both tissues, PBMCs and parietal lobe cortex. Briefly, healthy three-month-old Rasa Aragonesa pure breed lambs from a single pedigree flock, with the condition of not having undergone any kind of vaccination before the experiment, were selected to be placed in the experimental farm of the university of Zaragoza. After a period of two months to acclimatize to the new environment, all lambs were randomly distributed in different treatment groups, each consisting of 7 animals. One of the groups, from now on denominated...
The 5′ and 3′ flank coordinates of each circRNA found in sheep were compared to the ones annotated in CIRCpedia for human. The following steps were performed:

1. The 5′ and 3′ flank coordinates of each circRNA found in sheep were converted to human coordinates with the USCS liftOver tool with default parameters (min. ratio of remapped bases = 0.95).

2. The resulting coordinates were screened for overlap with human annotated circRNAs in CIRCpedia. Splice sites detected in ± 2 nt intervals around the putative human sites were considered homologous.

3. Different categories were assigned to each circRNA: "not-aligned", a human circRNA that only uses the 5′ splice site; "3′-flank", a human circRNA that only uses the 3′ splice site; "5′-flank", a human circRNA that only uses the 5′ splice site; "both", a human circRNA that uses both splice sites; "any", a human circRNA that uses any splice sites; "exon", a human circRNA that uses both splice sites but with an alternative start or stop site; "5′-intron", a human circRNA that uses the 5′ intron; "3′-intron", a human circRNA that uses the 3′ intron; and "intronic", a human circRNA that uses both introns.

4. The conservation analysis was performed using a literature search of articles in which circRNAs in sheep are detected and are given at least as supplementary material. The following databases were used: CIRCpedia, CIRCOmput, and circBase. The conservation analysis was performed using a literature search of articles in which circRNAs in sheep are detected and are given at least as supplementary material. The following databases were used: CIRCpedia, CIRCOmput, and circBase.
Enrichment analysis. The detected circRNAs whose origin was in an annotated gene were further analysed as follows. Gene enrichment analysis was conducted using the GO and KEGG databases in gProfiler. This tool computes p-values for enriched terms using a Fisher’s exact test and applies the Benjamini–Hochberg multiple testing correction. The set of all expressed genes detected in the total RNA-seq libraries was set as background and related terms associated with the host genes of the circRNAs were tested for enrichment. Terms composed of more than 40 genes, due to limited interpretative value, or composed of less than 5 genes, due to the decrease in statistical power by multiple testing correction, were removed from the analysis. Those terms with an FDR less than 0.05 were selected for further analysis. For visualization purposes, the list of enriched GO term was further analysed with Cytoscape using EnrichmentMap and Autoannotate plugins. EnrichmentMap generates a network in which pathways are visualized as nodes connected between each other if they share many genes. Pathways with common genes often represent similar biological processes and are grouped together as sub-networks. Clusters with less than 3 interconnected nodes were removed for visualization purposes.

circRNAs acting as miRNA sponges. A list of predicted clusters of miRNA binding sites previously reported in the human genome (hg19) was downloaded from Pan et al. The genomic coordinates of each sponge candidate were converted to hg38 with liftOver (min. ratio of remapped bases = 0.95) and intersected with those of the circRNAs identified in this study, already lifted from the sheep reference genome to the human genome hg38 as explained above, with bedtools (min. fraction overlap = 75%). Results were then filtered by excluding sponges targeting miRNAs for which no high confidence orthologue sequence was reported in sheep according to Ensembl (release 97). All human miRNAs hairpins were screened for similarity with the Oar3.1 genome with BLAST, requiring a minimum sequence identity of 90% on at least 95% of the hairpin. The sequences of the processed miRNAs were downloaded from miRBase (Release 22.1) and the corresponding sheep orthologues were extracted from the alignment provided by Ensembl. CircRNAs were screened for miRNA binding sites with R1search, using the following parameters: -s 1:8/6 -e -10 -l 20 -p 2. In the same way we re-evaluated the clusters of miRNA binding sites identified in human and noticed almost no difference compared to the binding sites previously reported (Supplementary Table S2). The same criteria were applied to find binding sites of miR-671 on the human CDR1-AS and on the corresponding sheep circRNA.

Differential expression analysis. For the encephalon samples, the differential expression analysis was performed via two different methods. First, the analysis was done with DESeq2, setting an adjusted p-value < 0.05 as significance cut-off. An alternative method was also applied, given that DESeq2 is not designed to work on circRNA expression data. In this case, for normalization of the circRNA expression data, not only the circRNA counts were taken into consideration to calculate library sizes, but the total amount of reads aligned to the reference annotation was considered. The data was then normalized by SRPBM (Spliced Reads per Billion Mapped Reads). After normalization, a Kruskal–Wallis test was employed to check for differences between groups, and the resulting p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons with the Benjamini and Hochberg method. An adjusted p-value < 0.05 was taken as significance cut-off to identify the differentially expressed circRNAs.

For the PBMC samples, a batch effect removal program, harman [v1.12.0], was applied after normalizing data by SRPBM. Then, the package limma and the Kruskal–Wallis test were applied to check for differential expression. Those circRNAs with an adjusted p-value < 0.05 were taken as cut-off.

Data availability
RNA-seq data have been deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database with experiment accession number GSE128597 for encephalon samples and GSE113899 for PBMCs samples.
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