Application of response surface methodology for simultaneous removal of major cations from seawater using metal oxide nanostructures
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INTRODUCTION

Clean water is a prime requirement of human beings for their health and basic survival. Water pollution is increasing worldwide due to a large (and continually growing) population, industrial growth, commercial and agricultural activities (Schwarzenbach et al., 2006). Due to the increase in anthropogenic processes, freshwater supplies suitable for potable use are becoming limited, especially at dry and arid locations (Daer et al., 2015). In addition, the scarcity of freshwater resources has resulted in water shortage and seawater being used as viable potable water resources (Glennon 2005; Barats et al., 2016). However, seawater has high salinity thus making it unfit for domestic purposes. Therefore, in order to cope with freshwater shortages, desalination has become an option for producing potable water from brackish water and seawater in many parts of the world (Ghaffour and Missimer 2013). Over the past decade, numerous commercial-scale desalination plants have been designed, built and operated. These include membrane distillation (Morillo et al., 2014), multi-effect desalination (Zhao et al., 2011), and membrane-based reverse osmosis plants (Nataraj et al., 2006). However, further research needs to be undertaken to optimize the performance of nano-scale membranes (Daer et al., 2015). It is important for researchers to develop commercially feasible technology for major and trace metal removal from seawater through improved overall system efficiency.

Among the above-mentioned techniques, adsorption is one of the most efficient methods for the removal of a wide range of metals, due to its high efficiency, easy operation and low cost (Ma et al., 2013). It is also known to be an efficient and economic method for the removal of pollutants from the environment (Fenglia and Wang, 2011). Removal efficiency for trace metals is mainly dependent on the surface properties of the adsorbent (Ma et al., 2013). For instance, an adsorbent with a large surface area can provide more adsorption sites. Conversely, the adsorbent surface is negatively charged at pH values higher than its point of zero charge (PZC), which is a benefit for adsorption/removal of trace metals (Ma et al., 2013). Recently, the use of nanomaterial-sized metal oxides as trace metal ion adsorbents has become an active area of research in the field of analytical science due to their special properties (Shishehbore et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). The latter include small diameter, large specific surface area, corrosion resistance, non-toxicity and low cost, high chemical stability, unique electrical properties and the resultant superior mechanical properties (Chen et al., 2013).

Researchers have synthesised and characterized different nanometer-sized metal oxides such as alumina (Cui et al., 2011), titania (Huang and Hu 2011), iron oxide (Morillo et al., 2015), magnesium oxide (Purwajanti et al., 2015), and zirconia (Zheng et al., 2009), among others, nano-adsorbents and these solid materials have been applied for the removal of trace elements (such as Cd, Pb, Hg, As, Zn, Cu and Co, among others) in environmental samples.

In terms of saline solutions, Li et al. (2009) showed that ordered mesoporous carbon (OMC) can remove significant amounts of NaCl by adsorption, due to its high BET surface area of 1 491 m²g⁻¹ and ordered mesopores of 3.7 nm. The recovery of high-purity magnesium solution from reverse osmosis brine by adsorption of Mg(OH)₂ has been studied on the surface area of Fe₂O₃ micro-particles (Lehmann et al., 2014). This method has realised a significant improvement on the separation step for extracting and reuse of Mg (II) from seawater reverse osmosis (SWRO) brine. In...
recent years, binary or ternary metal mixed oxides (such as ceria-coated silica–iron oxide; silica-coated iron oxide, silica-alumina and SiO$_2$-ZnO-Al$_2$O$_3$ among others) have been shown to exhibit superior properties as compared to single metal oxides (Diniz et al., 2014). The properties of nanometer-sized mixed oxide adsorbents include increased adsorbent reusability, stability and high adsorption capacity for metal ions over a wide pH range. In addition, these mixed oxide nanomaterials possess strong chemical activity as a result of the excess Lewis and Bronsted acid/base binding sites that permit high retention/adsorption of cationic and anionic metal species (Diniz et al., 2014; Kundala and Jeevanandam, 2015; Wu et al., 2016).

Conventionally, optimization in adsorption methodologies has been performed using univariate techniques, i.e. monitoring one factor at a time. However, the disadvantages of this method include the possibility of ambiguous results and interpretation because the interactive effects among the variables are not examined, and, secondly, that univariate optimization increases the number of experiments that need to be conducted. Therefore, this leads to an increase in analysis time as well as an increase in the consumption of reagents and materials (Bezerra et al., 2008). Statistical and design of experiments methods have been widely used at various stages of multivariate optimization strategy (Ferreira et al., 2007). Factorial design (either fractional or full) and RSM are the main statistical and mathematical tools which determine the significant factors and their optimum values (Bezerra et al., 2008, Benyounis and Olabi 2008). For instance, Namagh et al., (2015) reported the use RSM based on Box–Behnken design for identification and optimization of key parameters in preparation of a thin-film composite membrane for water desalination. Mazaheri et al. (2015) applied RSM based on central composite design for simultaneous removal of methylene blue and Pb$^{II}$ ions using ruthenium nanoparticle-loaded activated carbon. Other applications of multivariate techniques for optimization of batch adsorption methodologies are reported in the literature (e.g., Mourabet et al., 2015; Mondal and Roy, 2016; Rekadwad and Khobragade 2016).

The aim of this study was to investigate characteristics of hematite and SiO$_2$/Nb$_2$O$_5$/Fe$_3$O$_4$ as an adsorbent for the removal of Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ from brine solution in a batch system. Optimization of the following factors affecting the adsorption efficiency, such as solution pH, contact time, initial concentration, and adsorbent mass, were investigated using RSM.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Materials and reagents**

Tetraethyl orthosilicate, (TEOS, 99.0 %), ethanol, hydrochloric acid, and HNO$_3$ were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich. Standard solutions of Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ were prepared from a 1000 mg.L$^{-1}$ stock solution by making appropriate dilutions using ultra-pure water. The pH of these solutions was adjusted by drop-wise additions of hydrochloric acid and ammonium hydroxide (1 M NaOH solution was added dropwise to increase the pH to 9. The contents were stirred for a further 6 h). The formed iron hydroxide was filtered and washed with distilled water several times followed by ethanol. The product was dried for 12 h at 60°C. The dried sample was then heated for 3 h at 550°C in a muffle furnace.

**Preparation of SiO$_2$/Nb$_2$O$_5$/Fe$_3$O$_4$ mixed oxide**

The synthesis procedure was adapted from the literature (Diniz et al., 2014). The material was prepared by mixing 133 mL tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), 133 mL of ethanol and 11 mL of 3.5 mol/HCl together in a beaker. The solution was stirred at 35°C for 3 h. 1 g iron oxide (Fe$_2$O$_3$), 10.3 g of niobium chloride (NbCl$_5$), 10 mL of ethanol and 4 mL of 3.5 mol L$^{-1}$ HCl were added to the mixture. The solution was kept under stirring at 60°C for 20 h. Solvent (ethanol) was then gently evaporated at 35°C for 2 h and the obtained material was milled to particle size 150–300 μm using a ball mill. The material was then washed with 100 mL of 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$ HNO$_3$, ethanol, deionized water and again with ethanol, after which it was further calcined at 550°C for 2 h.

**Batch sorption studies**

The adsorption capacity of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and SiO$_2$/Nb$_2$O$_5$/Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposite were assessed with a batch experiment using water samples of known initial concentrations. A batch experimental mode was adopted due to its simplicity. In the first set of experiments, 20 mL of Na, K, Ca and Mg solution contained in 100 mL plastic bottles were contacted with 0.1 g of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and SiO$_2$/Nb$_2$O$_5$/Fe$_3$O$_4$. The sealed bottles containing brine solution were placed in an ultrasonic bath for 25 min at 25°C. After 25 min the samples were filtered by 0.22 μm micro-filters. The filtrate was then analysed for residual brine concentration using ICP-OES. The Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ concentration was measured using ICP-OES.

**Application to real water samples**

Seawater samples were collected from the Indian Ocean, City of Durban (KwaZulu-Natal Province, South Africa). The seawater samples were collected in 1000 mL polyethylene bottles which were previously soaked in 10% nitric acid and rinsed twice with the ultrapure water and 3 times with the sample. This was done in order to reduce any possible elemental contamination. The samples were then stored at 4–8°C in the refrigerator.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization

Figure 1a shows XRD patterns of α-Fe₂O₃ samples calcined at 550°C. The sharp peaks indicate that the sample is crystalline with characteristic rhombohedral structure of α-Fe₂O₃. Crystalline peaks for Fe₂O₃ appeared at 2 theta angles of 23.51°, 32.81°, 35.63°, 40.70°, 49.22°, 53.54°, 57.40°, 62.23°, 64.01°, 71.27°, 75.46°, respectively. The most intense peak is ascribed to miller index 104 at 2 theta angle 32.81°. A plausible explanation for this is that by increasing the reaction temperature there is more energy within the solution. The XRD patterns obtained in this structure were similar to the XRD pattern obtained by Shivakumara et al. (2014). Figure 1b shows the amorphous structure of SiO₂/Nb₂O₅/Fe₂O₃ calcined at 550°C. This may be due to the addition of amorphous SiO₂. Amorphous SiO₂ has shown some advantages in preparing highly active supported catalyst (Sun et al., 2013).

Figure 2 shows the typical TEM images of the resulting Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles and SiO₂/Nb₂O₅/Fe₂O₃ adsorbent prepared through the sol gel method and calcined at 550°C temperature. TEM measurements at Fig. 2a. show that a few of the particles had a cubic shape, whereas most particles show a spherical morphology of Fe₂O₃. The TEM image for SiO₂/Nb₂O₅/Fe₂O₃ composite nanoparticles obtained showed that the Nb₂O₅/Fe₂O₃ was coated by an amorphous layer of SiO₂. Such shell structures for composite particles with Nb₂O₅ have been reported previously in the literature (Wang and Lee 2015; Xu et al., 2013).

In order to evaluate the surface area and porous nature of α-Fe₂O₃ and SiO₂/Nb₂O₅/Fe₂O₃ nanostructures, nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms were obtained. The specific surface area was calculated using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method. Shivakumaru et al. (2014) shows how the pore volume and surface area of α-Fe₂O₃ samples decrease with an increase in preparation temperature. A relatively small surface area is observed with both materials (6.7931 m²∙g⁻¹ for α-Fe₂O₃ and 21.2029 m²∙g⁻¹ for SiO₂/Nb₂O₅/Fe₂O₃). The nanocomposite was comprised of a variety of metal oxides with different shapes and sizes; these different sizes and shapes ensure that there are more active sites (especially due to more atoms on the surface and edges of the composite) (Munonde et al., 2017).

Selection of an adsorbent

The selection of adsorbents for the removal of Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ ions was conducted using a univariate approach. The
percentage removal efficiency, calculated using Eq. 1, was used to assess the performance of each adsorbent.

\[
\%RE = \frac{C_i - C_f}{C_i} \times 100
\]

where \(C_i\) is the initial concentration of cations in a model seawater solution and \(C_f\) is the final concentration of metal ions in water after treatment.

From Fig. 3, it was observed that SiO\(_2\)/NbO\(_2\)/FeO\(_3\) was the best adsorbent for removal of all metal ions. Further experiments were conducted using SiO\(_2\)/NbO\(_2\)/FeO\(_3\).

### Point of zero charge on SiO\(_2\)/NbO\(_2\)/FeO\(_3\)

Zeta potential experiments were carried out to determine the pH at point of zero charge of SiO\(_2\)/NbO\(_2\)/FeO\(_3\). In addition, these experiments were performed in order to investigate the behaviour of the adsorbent at different pH values. The result for the zero-point charge pH\(_{pzc}\) of the composite was found to be 4.5. These results imply that SiO\(_2\)/NbO\(_2\)/FeO\(_3\) composite surface is negatively charged when the pH of the solution is higher than pH\(_{pzc}\) and positively charged when pH\(_{pzc}\) is lower. This result indicates the potential of the material to adsorb positively charged metals Na\(^+\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\) and K\(^+\) at pH values of more than 4.5, and to adsorb the negatively charged ions such as Cl\(^-\) and SO\(_4^{2-}\) at pH less than 4.5.

### Optimization using response surface methodology

The experimental parameters (sample pH, contact time, initial concentration and adsorbent mass) affecting the simultaneous removal of metal ions were optimized using a multivariate approach. A response surface methodology based on central composite design was used to optimise the removal process. The CCD matrix and analytical results for each run (\(n = 3\)), performed as per the experimental design, are given in Table 1. In order to assess the significance of the main effects and their interactions, the experimental results were statistically analysed by means of analysis of variance (ANOVA).

The ANOVA results presented in the form of a Pareto chart are shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen from Fig. 4 that the initial concentration (coded as (3) C (L)), pH (coded as pH(Q)) and mass of adsorbent (coded as (2) MA(L)) were significant at the 95% confidence level and that the other factors and their interactions were not statistically significant. Therefore, it was clear that the simultaneous removal of major cations was dependent on the concentration of Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\), pH, and mass of the adsorbent. In addition, it can be seen from Table 2 that as the initial metal ion concentration and mass of adsorbent increase the analytical response is affected. Therefore, the RSM model was used to obtain the optimum conditions.

### Table 2. Confirmation experiments

| Analytes | RSM predicted response (%) | Confirmation experiment response (%) |
|----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Na       | 90.5±1.1                    | 93.6±1.2                            |
| K        | 93.1±1.3                    | 94.3±0.9                            |
| Ca       | 91.2±1.6                    | 93.5±1.3                            |
| Mg       | 91.3±1.3                    | 93.2±1.4                            |

### Table 1. Central composite design matrix and analytical response

| Runs | pH  | MA  | IC  | CT  | Removal efficiency (%) |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------------------|
| 1    | 9   | 1   | 1000| 5.0 | 81.83                   |
| 2    | 9   | 1   | 25  | 5.0 | 99.47                   |
| 3    | 9   | 0.1 | 1000| 30.0| 50.24                   |
| 4    | 2   | 1   | 25  | 30.0| 61.98                   |
| 5    | 9   | 0.1 | 25  | 30.0| 89.47                   |
| 6    | 2   | 0.1 | 1000| 5.0 | 34.23                   |
| 7    | 2   | 1   | 1000| 30.0| 44.17                   |
| 8    | 2   | 0.1 | 25  | 5.0 | 61.98                   |
| 9    | 2   | 0.55| 512 | 17.5| 62.95                   |
| 10   | 9   | 0.55| 512 | 17.5| 86.03                   |
| 11   | 5.5 | 0.1 | 512 | 17.5| 65.9                    |
| 12   | 5.5 | 1   | 512 | 17.5| 96.51                   |
| 13   | 5.5 | 0.55| 25  | 17.5| 99.49                   |
| 14   | 5.5 | 0.55| 1000| 17.5| 86.37                   |
| 15   | 5.5 | 0.55| 512 | 5.0 | 86.16                   |
| 16   | 5.5 | 0.55| 512 | 30.0| 96.29                   |
| 17   | 5.5 | 0.55| 512 | 17.5| 98.16                   |
| 18   | 5.5 | 0.55| 512 | 17.5| 98.47                   |

Where MA = adsorbent mass, IC = initial concentration, CT = contact time. Each run was performed in triplicate.
To investigate the effect of two independent factors and their interactions on the removal efficiency for the major cations, the response surface plots were constructed based on the quadratic models using RSM. Figure 5 shows the 3D surface plots for the combined effect of sample pH and mass of adsorbent. It can be seen from Fig. 5 that removal efficiency was high when pH ranges from 6–7 with adsorbent mass of 0.5–1.0 g. The combined effect of initial concentration and mass of the adsorbent is shown in Fig. 6b. It can be seen that the maximum removal efficiency was obtained when mass of the adsorbent was 1.0 g and the initial concentration of each analyte is between 800 mg L\(^{-1}\) and 1 000 mg L\(^{-1}\).

The effect of initial concentration and sample pH on the analytical response (removal efficiency) is presented in Fig. 6. The results obtained showed that the maximum removal efficiency was observed when the initial concentration and sample pH were at 1 000 mg L\(^{-1}\) and 7, respectively. Figure 7b represents the combined effect of contact time and sample pH. It was

![Figure 4. Pareto chart of standardized estimated effects caused by the investigated factors](image)

![Figure 5. Response surface plot showing the combined effect of mass of adsorbent with sample pH and initial concentration on the % removal efficiency: other factors were fixed at central point](image)

![Figure 6. Response surface plot showing the combined effect of contact time with sample pH and initial concentration with sample pH on the % removal efficiency: other factors were fixed at central point](image)
observed that the quantitative removal of Na\textsuperscript{+}, K\textsuperscript{+}, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and Mg\textsuperscript{2+} could be attained when the pH of the solution ranges from 6–8 and that the contact time did not show a significant effect on the analytical response. These observations were in agreement with the results shown in the Pareto chart and Table 2.

Figure 7 shows the combined effect of contact time, mass of adsorbent and initial concentration. The increase in MA value increases the removal efficiency. This observation was attributed to the availability of more adsorption sites. In addition, it can be seen from the response surface plot that percentage removal efficiency increases with increasing metal concentration up to about 1 000 mg L\textsuperscript{−1}. As mentioned above, the effect of contact time did not have much influence on the analytical response.

The optimum values of the factors, i.e., sample pH, extraction time, initial concentration and mass of the adsorbent, were obtained by checking the maxima formed by the x- and y-coordinates (Xu et al., 2013) and confirmed by analysing the RSM quadratic equations (not included). Therefore, the optimum conditions for removal of Na, K, Ca and Mg were 7.5, 1, 1 500 mg L\textsuperscript{−1} and 1.0 g for sample pH, extraction time, initial concentration and mass of adsorbent, respectively.

**Confirmation experiments**

The analytical data given by the RSM model under optimized conditions was validated by performing the confirmatory experiments conducted under the parameters suggested by the model (pH 7.5, adsorbent dose 0.1 g, initial concentration 850 mg L\textsuperscript{−1} and extraction time 16 min) and the removal efficiencies are presented in Table 2. From the table, the results are in agreement with predicted values given by the RSM model.

**Adsorption equilibrium studies of SiO\textsubscript{2}/Nb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}/Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}**

Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm studies were carried out to understand the nature of the adsorption process that is taking place during removal of Na\textsuperscript{+}, K\textsuperscript{+}, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and Mg\textsuperscript{2+} ions. The Langmuir isotherm describes a homogenous monolayer chemical adsorption process, while the Freundlich isotherm describes the adsorption characteristics in a heterogeneous surface (Nomngongo et al., 2014; Hettiarachchi et al., 2016). The Langmuir and Freundlich linearized equations are represented by Eqs 2 and 3 (Nomngongo et al., 2014; Hettiarachchi et al., 2016; Langmuir 1918; Freundlich 1906).

**Langmuir linearized equation:**

\[
\frac{C_c}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_{\text{max}}} + \frac{1}{K_L q_{\text{max}}} C_e
\]  

where: \(q_e\) (mg g\textsuperscript{−1}) and \(C_e\) (mg L\textsuperscript{−1}) are the amounts of adsorbed metal ion per unit gram of adsorbent and unadsorbed metal ion concentration in the solution at equilibrium, respectively. The constant \(K_L\) is the Langmuir equilibrium constant and \(q_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum monolayer adsorption capacity (Hettiarachchi et al., 2016).

**Freundlich linearized equation:**

\[
\ln q_e = \ln K_f + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e
\]  

where \(K_f\) is the Freundlich constant which relates to adsorption capacity and \(n\) is the Freundlich exponent, which relates to adsorption intensity. The values of \(K_f\) and \(n\) are calculated from a graph of \(\log q_e\) vs. \(\log C_e\).

The adsorption process of Na, K, Ca and Mg ions was carried out at different initial metal ion concentrations (500–5 000 mg L\textsuperscript{−1}) and the results are shown in Fig. 8. It can be seen from this figure that the removal of major cations through the adsorption.
process depended on the initial concentration of cations. For this reason, the adsorption–desorption equilibrium state is reached faster at lower initial concentration, thus resulting in lower adsorption capacities (Hettiarachchi et al., 2016). This phenomenon is prevalent in cases where the adsorption process is that of a homogeneous monolayer. It should be noted that the adsorption process was performed using a multi-element standard that contains all four cations.

The results drawn from each isotherm parameter and the respective correlation coefficients are presented in Table 3. From the data, the adsorption process of major cations was better described by the Langmuir model than the Freundlich model.

**Table 3. Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption model parameters for adsorption of Na, K, Ca and Mg ions**

| Cations | Langmuir parameters | Freundlich parameters |
|----------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Na       | $q_{max}$ (mg·g$^{-1}$) | 181.8 | $K_L$ | 1.4 |
|          | $K_L$ (L·mg$^{-1}$) | 0.37 | $N$ | 3 |
|          | $R^2$ | 0.9995 | $R^2$ | 0.7523 |
| K        | $q_{max}$ (mg·g$^{-1}$) | 163.9 | $K_L$ | 1.3 |
|          | $K_L$ (L·mg$^{-1}$) | 0.45 | $N$ | 3 |
|          | $R^2$ | 0.9997 | $R^2$ | 0.7725 |
| Ca       | $q_{max}$ (mg·g$^{-1}$) | 161.3 | $K_L$ | 1.3 |
|          | $K_L$ (L·mg$^{-1}$) | 0.47 | $N$ | 3 |
|          | $R^2$ | 0.9999 | $R^2$ | 0.7054 |
| Mg       | $q_{max}$ (mg·g$^{-1}$) | 151.5 | $K_L$ | 1.4 |
|          | $K_L$ (L·mg$^{-1}$) | 0.67 | $N$ | 5 |
|          | $R^2$ | 0.9999 | $R^2$ | 0.4887 |

**Table 4. Application of SiO$_2$/Nb$_2$O$_5$/Fe$_3$O$_4$ composite for removal of major elements in real samples**

| Analytes | Conc. (mg·L$^{-1}$) | %RE | Conc. (mg·L$^{-1}$) | %RE |
|----------|---------------------|-----|---------------------|-----|
| Na       | 10 393±29           | 77.1±1.2 | 10 786±63           | 76.3±1.3 |
| K        | 374±9               | 96.5±1.4 | 387±11              | 97.3±1.1 |
| Ca       | 403±7               | 96.7±1.5 | 394±9               | 96.4±1.6 |
| Mg       | 1 273±13            | 85.2±2.3 | 1 330±15            | 84.5±1.8 |

**Table 5. Summary of salinity removal in desalination processes**

| Material/adsorbent | Analyte | Removal efficiency (%) | Reference |
|--------------------|---------|-------------------------|-----------|
| CO$_2$ hydrates    | Na, Mg, K, B | 78 | Park et al., 2011 |
| Magnetite multiwalled carbon nanotube | As, Na, Mg, Ca | (58, 70, 67, 73) | Mishra and Ramaprabhu 2010 |
| Activated coconut (ACC) | Na, Mg | (72, 82) | Hettiarachchi et al., 2016 |
| Polyvinylidene (fluoride) | Ca, S, O, C, Na, Cl, Mg | >99.9 | Hou et al., 2013 |
| Graphene sheet | Na, Mg, Ca, K | (68, 71, 60, 56) | Mishra and Ramaprabhu, 2011 |
| Gas hydrate (CO$_2$ CH$_3$) | Na, K, Mg, Ca, Cl | 76 | Kang et al., 2014 |

The summary of some materials reported in the literature to treat saline water is shown in Table 5. Cao et al. (2009) showed that percentage of saline removal was greater than 90% when anion exchange membrane and cation exchange membrane were used to treat saline water.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The SiO$_2$/Nb$_2$O$_5$/Fe$_3$O$_4$ composite was prepared as adsorbent for simultaneous removal of Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ ion from seawater. The influential experimental parameters were optimized using central composite design. The quadratic equations from RSM were helpful in choosing the appropriate experimental parameters for effective adsorptive removal of Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ in aqueous model solution. Validation experiments were carried out in order to confirm the results obtained by RSM model and the results were similar to those predicted using the model. The maximum percentage removal efficiency of metal ions from synthetic sample solutions and seawater samples ranged from 76–93% for Na$^+$, 94–97% for K$^+$, 75–93% for Ca$^{2+}$ and 85–94% for Mg$^{2+}$. The equilibrium adsorption data were better represented by the Langmuir isotherm model with maximum adsorption capacities being 181.8, 163.9, 151.5, and 161.3 mg·g$^{-1}$ for Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$, respectively. X-ray diffraction and TEM image showed that the Nb$_2$O$_5$, Fe$_3$O$_4$ were successfully coated by silica. The results further showed that the crystallite of Nb$_2$O$_5$, Fe$_3$O$_4$ were dependent on the temperature. It can be concluded that SiO$_2$/Nb$_2$O$_5$/Fe$_3$O$_4$ composite can be used as an adsorbent for removal of major cations Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ from seawater. However, further investigation of its performance in a multi-bed adsorption system should be undertaken.
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