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ABSTRACT: Novel experimental techniques allow for the manipulation and interrogation of biomolecules between metallic probes immersed in micro/nanofluidic channels. The behavior of ions in response to applied fields is a major issue in the use of these techniques in sensing applications. Here, we experimentally and theoretically elucidate the behavior of background currents in these systems. These large currents have a slowly decaying transient response, as well as noise that increases with ionic concentration. Using mechanically controllable break junctions (MCBJ), we study the ionic response in nanogaps with widths ranging from a few nanometers to millimeters. Moreover, we obtain an expression for the ionic current by solving time-dependent Nernst–Planck and Poisson equations. This expression shows that after turning on an applied voltage, ions rapidly respond to the strong fields near the electrode surface, screening the field in the process. Ions subsequently translocate in the weak electric field and slowly relax within the diffusion layer. Our theoretical results help to explain the short- and long-time behavior of the ionic response found in experiments, as well as the various length scales involved.

INTRODUCTION

The dynamics of ions in electrolyte solutions is receiving renewed interest due to the appearance of novel sensing applications, such as single-molecule approaches to sequencing based on micro/nanofluidic devices.1–4 As part of this general platform, several studies, for instance, measured the tunneling current across individual nucleotides using a mechanically controllable break junctions (MCBJ).5–9 Other recent works developed ionic current rectifiers that operate by exploiting surface charge effects,10–12 including devices that can be reconfigured by the application of external fields.13 Moreover, another pioneering work investigated the ionic response to ac fields.14 From another perspective, there are many possibilities for constructing narrow channels to obtain various nonlinear ionic transport characteristics. Despite a long history,15–18 however, the relation between the time and spatial scales of the electrical response of ionic solutions at the nanoscale remains unsolved. This is a key issue in controlling ionic transport by externally applied dc or ac fields. Its study is also of relevance for any nanofluidic technology that employs electrodes in solution, e.g., for enhancing the energy storage of electrochemical capacitors19–21 and for solid-state nanopore technologies22–25 in which electrical probes interrogate translocating molecules.26–29 In such complex systems, current noise is also inevitable due to the presence of ions and this noise obscures the electrical signals of interest — the ones from the individual molecules. A full understanding of the mechanisms responsible for the behavior of these “background” currents is therefore needed, as it will help the development of single-molecule approaches to sequencing based on electronic transport.30

In this study, we develop a combined experimental and theoretical understanding of the transient electrical response of ions in the vicinity of biased electrodes that have several associated length scales, such as the MCBJ electrodes shown in Figure 1a. This system displays a slowly decaying transient current and an associated noise that increases with the ionic concentration. We demonstrate a useful computational method to treat the various length and time scales involved in this complex phenomenon. The resulting theoretical understanding reveals the rich behavior in the electrochemical response of ions between nanoelectrodes.
Although KCl may be better as an electrolyte to yield high conductivity of ionic currents. From Figure 1c,d, the transient response displays a rapid increase and subsequent slow decay of the ionic current. The time constant is evaluated by least-squares fit and summarized in Table 1. Particularly, the time constant increases with increasing molarity and applied potential and is on the order of 1 s for the parameter regimes studied. Assuming an equivalent circuit, this system would then consist of a 1 GΩ resistance evaluated from the current—voltage characteristics and a 1 nF capacitor. However, such a huge resistance and small capacitance in the narrow space cannot be explained by the conventional macroscopic models. We now seek to understand these features by employing a microscopic model.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Parts a and b of Figure 1 show a schematic illustration and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image, respectively, of a MCBJ made with Au electrodes. The lithographically defined nanojunction is fabricated on a polyimide-covered phosphor bronze substrate. It consists of 30 nm thick Au/Cr wires of approximately 10 mm end-to-end length and width tapered from 10 to 1 μm along the length. We create a pair of nanoelectrodes using a self-breaking technique, which forms a 1 nm electrode gap with atomically sharp Au tips sensitive enough to detect single molecules by a tunneling current.7–9

In our experimental setup, the electrodes are symmetrically placed in a liquid container of 2 mm diameter. Therefore, large enough to detect single molecules by a tunneling current.7–9

### THEORETICAL MODEL

A large number of previous works sought to understand electrochemical reactions at electrode surfaces,31–36 ionic motions in solutions,37,38 and dynamical response.39,40 In recent years, the nonequilibrium behavior of ions confined to micro/nanoscale spaces is becoming increasingly important. Here, we focus on phenomena outside the strongly adsorbed (Stern) layer.41 Ionic motion in aqueous solution is expressible in terms of Newton’s equation of motion with fluctuations and dissipation, which gives $m \ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \xi \dot{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{F} + R$, where $m$ is the mass, $\mathbf{v}$ is the velocity, $\xi$ is the friction coefficient, $\mathbf{F}$ is the external force (due to an applied potential), and $R$ is the random force from the solvent molecules. In this scenario, ions will rapidly respond to the application of an applied potential, strongly screening it by building up near the electrode surfaces.35,37,42,43 Subsequently, ions gradually form a diffusion layer.

We elucidate the scale of this phenomena (and hence illuminate the underlying physics) by considering one-dimensional ionic current densities $j_i(x,t)$ due to the migration and diffusion of each of the ionic species $i$ in aqueous solution. Taking into account the stochastic process in electrolyte solutions, ionic motions are expressible by a Nernst–Planck equation for each species:15,16,41,44

$$\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial j_i}{\partial x} + \frac{D_i}{\xi_i} \rho_i - \frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial x}$$

(1)

where $\rho_i(x,t)$ is the charge density expressed by the valence $z_i$, the number density $n_i(x,t)$, and the elementary charge $e$: $\rho_i = z_i n_i$, and $D_i$ is the diffusion coefficient. The coordinate $x$ is defined in an interval of $x \in (0,L)$ where the electrode surface is at $x = 0$ and the thickness of the diffusion layer is $L$. Ionic migration is driven by the electrostatic force due to the applied potential and thus $F_i$ can be represented by the gradient of potential $\phi$ such that $F_i = -\varepsilon \mathbf{E} \nabla \phi$.

The relation between $\phi$ and $\rho_i$ is expressed by the Poisson equation:

$$-\varepsilon \Delta \phi = \sum_i \frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial x}$$

(2)

### Table 1. Time Constant $\tau$ of Ionic Current Response

| Condition    | $\tau$ [s] | Condition    | $\tau$ [s] | Condition    | $\tau$ [s] |
|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| 1 mM/0.4 V   | 0.459      | 200 mM/0.1 V | 0.267      | 200 mM/0.6 V | 0.544      |
| 10 mM/0.4 V  | 0.499      | 200 mM/0.2 V | 0.446      | 200 mM/0.7 V | 0.558      |
| 50 mM/0.4 V  | 0.556      | 200 mM/0.3 V | 0.528      | 200 mM/0.8 V | 0.599      |
| 100 mM/0.4 V | 0.594      | 200 mM/0.4 V | 0.542      | 200 mM/0.9 V | 0.710      |
|              |            | 200 mM/0.5 V | 0.577      |              |            |
where $\epsilon$ is the dielectric constant. The solution with respect to charge distributions is given by
\[
\phi(x) = \phi(0) + \left(\phi(L) - \phi(0)\right)\frac{x}{L} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(1 - \frac{x}{L}\right) \int_0^L x' \rho(x') \, dx'
\]
\[
+ \frac{x}{\epsilon} \sum_i \int_x^L \left(1 - \frac{x'}{L}\right) \rho_i(x') \, dx'.
\]
where $\phi(0)$ and $\phi(L)$ are the potentials at $x = 0$ and $L$, respectively. The summation is taken for all species that contribute to $\phi$. The ion density and the electrostatic potential should be determined self-consistently to solve the nonlinear partial differential equation. In previous works, Sokalski et al.\(^{44,45}\) and Lingefelter et al.\(^{46}\) developed numerical methods for the coupled Nernst–Planck and Poisson equations and obtained useful results for the ion selective membrane potentials. In particular, they discuss a long-period response at the interface of the electrolyte solution and an ion-exchange membrane. Here, focusing on MCBJ electrodes, we introduce another method that correctly predicts the rapid response and noise found in experiments (Figure 1c,d). Incorporating source terms into the dynamics, eq 1 becomes
\[
\frac{d\rho_x}{dt} - \frac{z_x e^{-\phi}}{\epsilon_x} \frac{d\rho}{dx} = \frac{d^2\rho}{dx^2} = \sum_r f_r \cos(\omega_r t + \varphi_r) \delta(x)
\]
where $f_r$ are constants depending on the current density and noise, and $\varphi_r$ are the phase shifts at $t = 0$. In the numerical solution, $\phi$ depends only on the displacement of ions and thus it is treated as independent of time in the short interval. The smallest time step, which is large enough to represent the stochastic process, should be determined properly to maintain constraints at boundaries and electroneutrality. The source terms can express noise generated at $x = 0$, which induces external flux in the domain. As a first step, we consider Gaussian white noise, although it is known that noise detected in micro-/nanofluidic devices usually show flicker noise.\(^{47-49}\) The parameters $\omega_r$ and $\varphi_r$ are generated by Gaussian\(^{50}\) and uniform probability distributions, respectively.

Rescaling $x$, $t$, and $\phi$ to make them dimensionless by
\[
x^* = \frac{x}{L} \quad t^* = \frac{D_t}{L^2} t \quad \psi^* = \frac{z_x e^{\phi}}{k_B T} \quad \rho^* = \frac{z_x e^{\phi}}{k_B T}
\]
and, considering a unit surface, replacing $\rho_i$ by
\[
n_i^* = \frac{L}{z_x e^{\phi}} \exp\left[\frac{1}{2} \int x^* \frac{d\psi^*}{dx^*} \, dx^* \right]
\]
eq 4 becomes
\[
\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^*} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{d\psi^*}{dx^*}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2\psi^*}{dx^*} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \frac{\alpha^2}{dx^*} \right] \psi^* = \sum_f f^n \cos(\omega^n t + \varphi^n) \delta(x^*)
\]
where $f^n = L^2 f_i/z_x e^{\phi} D_i$ and $d^n = L^2 d_i/D_i$. For the other species, the equation can be derived in the same manner. Here, $n_i^*$ and $\psi^*$ are expanded by the Fourier series:
\[
n_i^*(t^*) = \sum_k c_i^k(t^*) e^{ikx^*}
\]
\[
\psi^*(t^*) = \sum_k g_k^* e^{ikx^*}
\]
where $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$, $\delta(x^*)$ is also expanded similarly:
\[
\delta(x^*) = \frac{1}{2L} \sum_k e^{ikx^*}
\]
Each basis function is orthogonal on $x^* \in [-1, +1]$. In this model, a mirror symmetry is assumed at $x^* = 0$ and 1. Ions are adsorbed or reflected at $x^* = 0$ and the concentrations correspond to those of bulk at $x^* \geq 1$, conserving the electroneutrality in the domain. On the basis of the description above, eq 7 becomes
\[
\frac{dc_i}{dt^*} + H_i c_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_r f_r^n \cos(\omega^n t^* + \varphi^n) + \varphi^n
\]
where
\[
H_i = \pi^2 k L^2 \delta_i \mathbf{d} + \frac{\pi^2}{2} (k - l)^2 \gamma_i \mathbf{g}(l-k-1)
\]
\[
- \frac{\pi^2}{4} \sum_k k_i (l - k - l) \gamma_i \mathbf{g}(l-k-1+k)
\]
The $\Psi^*$ are real functions and thus $H_i$ are Hermitian matrices. The variable transform of eq 6 results in equations amenable to analytic treatment. For the homogeneous case, we can solve eq 11 via its eigenvalues $\lambda_j$ and eigenvectors. Using these solutions, we can also solve the inhomogeneous case. If $\gamma_j$ are nonzero, then
\[
c_i(t^*) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k u_{ik}^r \left[ f_{0j}^r (1 - e^{-\lambda_j t^*}) \cos \varphi_{r0} + \sum_0^r f_{i0}^r e^{-\lambda_j t^*} \right] + \sum_k u_{ik}^t \left[ \int_0^r \cos(\omega^n t^* + \varphi^n) e^{ikx^*} \right] dt^*
\]
At equilibrium, $\lambda_j$ should be zero. As a consequence, we obtain
\[
\rho_i(t, x) = z_x e^{\phi} \left[ - \frac{z_x e^{-\phi}}{2k_B T} \int x^* \frac{d\psi^*}{dx^*} \right] \sum_k c_k \exp\left[\frac{ik x}{L}\right]
\]
and, from eq 1,
\[
j_i(t, x) = \frac{2\pi z_x e^{\phi}}{L^2} \exp\left[- \frac{z_x e^{-\phi}}{2k_B T} \int x^* \frac{d\psi^*}{dx^*} \right] \times \sum_{k>0} \left[ c_k \exp\left[ \frac{ik x}{L} \right] - \frac{z_x e^{\phi} D_i}{2k_B T} \frac{d\psi^*}{dx^*} \right]
\]
The non-linear eq 7 can be numerically solved according to the procedure shown in Figure 2.

The one-dimensional coordinate space is divided into $2^n$ points where $n \geq 7$ is employed to maintain the numerical
accuracy. Computations are carried out for \( L = 2, 5, 10, 15, \) and 20 nm with a 128 grid and for \( L = 30 \) and 40 nm with a 256 grid to evaluate eigenvalues of the homogeneous equation of eq 7. Furthermore, for the inhomogeneous case with the source and noise, the computations are carried out for \( L = 40 \) nm with a 256 grid. In each case, the time step is fixed at \( dt = 1 \times 10^{-11} \) s to maintain the computational accuracy of \( \rho_f \) and \( \phi_f \). From the viewpoint of stochastic processes, the condition of \( dt < 10^{-11} \) s tends to cause the divergence of computations. On the other hand, large \( dt \) cannot represent electronic properties precisely. In the numerical analysis, \( \omega_{ir} \) are determined randomly by the Box–Muller\(^{50} \) algorithm and \( \phi_{ir} \) by a uniform random number in \((−\pi/2, +\pi/2)\). The variance of Gaussian white noise is set to \((1 \times 10^{12})^2\). In this study, the number of noise components is set to 100.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We apply this model to evaluate the ionic current near an Au electrode in NaCl solution. At the negatively charged cathode surface, \( \text{Na}^+ \) is highly concentrated regardless of the anion species. In the numerical analysis, \( L \) is taken as a constant for each molarity. The applied potential is restricted to a practical range of potentials in aqueous solution: \( \phi(0) = −0.01 \) to \( −1 \) V and \( \phi(L) = 0 \) V. The valence is +1 and −1 for \( \text{Na}^+ \) and \( \text{Cl}^- \), respectively. The diffusion coefficients are known: \( D_{\text{Na}} = 1.35 \times 10^{-9} \) m\(^2\)/s and \( D_{\text{Cl}} = 2.03 \times 10^{-9} \) m\(^2\)/s.\(^{38} \) The dielectric constant and temperature are \( \epsilon = 78.4 \),\(^{51} \) where \( \epsilon_0 \) is the dielectric constant of vacuum and \( T = 298.15 \) K, respectively. As a first approximation, the time constant \( \tau = L^2/\epsilon \epsilon_0 \) due to the slowest process within the transient response is determined by the homogeneous equation of eq 7 for the two component system.

Figure 3 shows \( \tau \) for \( \text{Na}^+ \) and \( \text{Cl}^- \) as a function of the ratio of \( V = \phi(L) − \phi(0) \) to \( L \) for the molarity of 1, 10, 50, and 100 mM, where \( V/L \) merely expresses a fraction of the applied potential and the length, but not the actual electric field in the system. It is found that \( \tau \) tends to be proportional to \( (V/L)^2 \), where \( \zeta = −2.15 \) for \( \text{Na}^+ \) and −2.05 for \( \text{Cl}^- \) resulting from the fits of the computational data at 1 mM. We can then predict that \( \tau \propto (V/L)^2 \) in the dilute limit, because the second term on the left-hand side of eq 7 dominates as \( n_e^* \sim 0 \). On the other hand, the gradient decreases as the molarity increases due to the strong screening of the electrode surface. These results suggest that ions rapidly respond to the strong electric field near the electrode surface and that \( \tau \) becomes large in the weak electric fields far away from the electrode. The magnitude of \( \tau \) is expected to be on the order of 1 s for the electric field of \( 10^5 \) V/m. Thus, \( L \) is estimated to be 100 \( \mu \)m (1 mm), when the applied potential is on the order of 0.1 V (1 V). Recently, a similar trend of time and spatial scales of the ionic response was reported by using a nanofluidic field effect transistor.\(^{14} \) In experimental systems, as shown in Figure 1a, several length scales are usually present, but the slowest process is likely the result of the longest length scale. To evaluate \( \tau \) from the experimental results (Figure 1c,d), \( L \) is fixed at 1.57 mm assuming that the longest length along the electric field line in the experimental system is a quarter of 1 mm radius circle, although the actual diffusion layer thickness is possibly less than this value. The series of \( \tau \) from the experiment with 200 mM solution is expected to show a negative gradient if \( L \) becomes larger with increasing applied potential. Consequently, the theoretical evaluations are in reasonable agreement with the experimental results.

To discuss the spatial scale of the ionic response, the time evolution of the ionic current and density at the surface are calculated for various \( L \) with respect to applied potentials. A constant source term, on the right-hand side of eq 7, is applied only for \( \text{Na}^+ \) to define a steady current condition. We set \( f_n e_n = \epsilon e_n D(\phi(L) − \phi(0))/Lk_B T \) on the basis of the Nernst–Einstein relation, where \( e_n \) is the number density of bulk solution and \( \alpha \) is a constant. Here, we demonstrate a case of \( \alpha = 1 \). The total ionic current density is evaluated as \( \sum (j_i(x→0) − j_i(x→L)) \), which is simply the difference of ion flux at both ends without any electrochemical reaction at the electrode surface. Figure 4 shows the time evolution of net current density and normalized number density of \( \text{Na}^+ \) at the cathode surface, resulting from the condition of \( L = 5 \) and 20 nm under the applied potential of \( V = 0.05 \) V. In both lengths of \( L \), the current density increases and converges to a constant value that becomes higher with the molarity, as shown in Figure 4a,c. The response of the current density is apparently affected by the density of \( \text{Na}^+ \). As shown in Figure 4b, it is interesting that the fractional density of \( \text{Na}^+ \) relatively increases up to 100 mM and then decreases with increasing the molarity when \( L = 5 \) nm. On the other hand, the normalized density seems to uniformly decrease with increasing molarity when \( L = 20 \) nm (Figure 4d). This means that there is an absolute limit of the surface density with respect to \( V \) and \( L \). The response time becomes longer with increasing \( L \). It is then suggested that it takes long times when there is a large space for ions to stabilize the electric field.
in solution. Figure 5 also shows similar computational results for the applied potential of $V = 0.3$ V. As shown in Figure 5a, the current density quickly increases and is saturated at a steady state, when the bulk solution is defined at $L = 5$ nm. The response time becomes shorter with increasing the molarity. As shown in Figure 5b, the response of surface density is correlated with the current density and the difference from the bulk tends to be apparent at low concentrations. On the other hand, clear peaks can be observed in the ionic current response as $L$ increases. As shown in Figure 5c, a peak point can be recognized at 40 mM and turns to be a sharp one as the molarity increases. The surface density of Na$^+$ exhibits a similar response (Figure 5d). It is found that the small $L$ causes a sufficient provision of Na$^+$ near the cathode that results in quickly charging and stabilizing the surface. This property may be preferable to make a good capacitor, but such a trend was not observed in experiments. On the other hand, the large $L$ results in the appearance of maximum peak in the response, in which Na$^+$ highly concentrates at the electrode surface immediately after applying an electric potential and successively reduces to relax the excessive concentration. Additionally, it is clear that the magnitude of applied potentials also causes the response characteristics, in comparison with Figure 4.

In the next step, to replicate noise associated with electrochemical reactions, we then apply other source terms on the right-hand side of eq 7. Perturbations at the cathode surface ($x = 0$) due to charge transfer via electrochemical reactions are mimicked by the frequency-dependent sources. The amplitude of noise is determined to be proportional to the square root of the bulk density based on the surface charge density such that $f_{\text{Na}^+} = \beta \eta n_0^{1/2}$ with a constant $\beta$ common to each molarity. $\eta$ is determined from $f_{\text{Na}^+} = 0$ of 10 mM such that $\eta = -f_{\text{Na}^+} = \beta \eta n_0^{1/2}$, because in this study, the molarity of 10 mM is the minimum and available as a reference. Details of electrochemical reactions have not been explicitly represented in the framework of Nernst−Planck equation. Here, we suggest a mathematical model to mimic such perturbations, although the quantitative evaluation of noise remains to be solved. We perform computations for a case of $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 100$, $L = 40$ nm, and $V = 0.3$ V. Figure 6 shows current density and normalized number density of each species obtained from 10, 50, 100, and 200 mM NaCl solutions as a function of time. As shown in Figure 6a, the net current density rapidly increases at the moment when the electric potential is applied. The maximum peak appears to be higher as the molarity increases, associated with the increase of Na$^+$ at $L = 20$ nm.
the cathode surface (Figure 6b). Such tendencies are similar to those in Figure 5c,d. Furthermore, the time constant $\tau$ of Na$^+$ tends to become large as the molarity increases as shown in the inset of Figure 6a. This trend has never been observed with short $L$. The time scale $\tau \sim 0.1$ $\mu$s, obtained from $L = 40$ nm and $V = 0.3$ V ($V/L \sim 10^7$V/m), closely corresponds to the result from Figure 3. This result explains well the experimental observations (Figure 1c,d and Table 1). Cl$^-$ also shows large $\tau$ but does not contribute to the current density at the cathode side due to the extremely low density near the surface. As shown in Figure 6b, the response in the current density is obviously caused by the prominent increase and subsequent decrease of Na$^+$ at the surface. Furthermore, the noise propagating through the solution is suppressed due to the highly screened surface. This is a reason why the effect of noise seems to be weakened as the molarity increases, even though the noise is proportional to the square root of bulk concentration.

Figure 7 shows the density profiles of Na$^+$, Cl$^-$, the electrostatic potential, and the electric field obtained from the simulations. Parts a–c, d–f, g–i, and j–l of Figures 7 present results from 10, 50, 100, and 200 mM NaCl solutions, respectively. Resulting from the density of Na$^+$, the electrode surface is strongly screened and the diffusion layer is gradually formed as time passes. On the other hand, the distribution of Cl$^-$ rapidly decreases near the electrode surface and exhibits uniform increase as a function of $x$ in each molarity. The electrostatic potential shows a drastic increase near the electrode surface and the screening effect is apparent as the molarity increases. These trends are also expressed more clearly by the electric field strength. As previously discussed for Figures 4 and 5, high salt concentrations may cause a rapid response to the applied field especially near the electrode surface and thus weak fields remain behind the strongly screened surface, which cause the whole solution to take a long period to relax.

Figure 8a shows density distributions of Na$^+$ at $t = 1.0$ $\mu$s. For each concentration, a minimum peak of Na$^+$ is found near the surface. This peak implies that part of the ions tends to adsorb on the electrode surface and the others separate, forming density gradients. In the case of Cl$^-$ as shown in Figure 8b, the concentration is depleted near the electrode surface and approaches its bulk density as $x$ increases. Due to these distributions, the electrostatic potentials show extremely steep gradients near the electrode surface, as shown in Figure 8c. In the 100 and 200 mM solutions, the electric field strength is 2 orders of magnitude different between the two ends. Despite the strong screening, however, weak fields also seem to exist widely in the solution, which drives the transport of electrolytes.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this study we developed a theoretical model and carried out numerical calculations to explain the dynamical behavior of electrolytes near biased electrodes in nanofluidic devices. We have found that the ionic response strongly depends on the

---

**Figure 6.** Time evolution of (a) current density of 10 (purple), 50 (blue), 100 (orange), and 200 (red) mM NaCl solution where $\tau$ of Na$^+$ is also shown in the inset and (b) normalized number density of Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$ (inset) at $x = 0$. Computations are for $V = 0.3$ V and $L = 40$ nm.

**Figure 7.** Time transition of number densities, electrostatic potential, and electric field resulting from (a)–(c) 10 mM, (d)–(f) 50 mM, (g)–(i) 100 mM, and (j)–(l) 200 mM NaCl solutions, applying $V = 0.3$ V on the length of $L = 40$ nm.
applied potential and the length of the nanogap, from which an effective power law arises in the numerical calculations. Moreover, multiple time and length scales are involved in the ionic response to a biased nanogap with large electrode surfaces as is present in MCBJ systems. The power law behavior shown in Figure 3 will allow for the prediction of the response times in devices and future experiments. Furthermore, this work is an important building block to investigate multiple electrode systems—a problem that requires a solution in higher dimensions where efficient computational techniques are required. These findings will also be helpful in understanding the background currents in novel single-molecule sequencing approaches, as well as the behavior of electrochemical capacitors for high-energy density storage.
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