Putative Re-entrant Loop 1 of AE2 Transmembrane Domain Has a Major Role in Acute Regulation of Anion Exchange by pH*
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Normal pH sensitivity of the SLC4A2/AE2 anion exchanger requires transmembrane domain (TMD) amino acid (aa) residues not conserved in the homologous but relatively pH-insensitive SLC4A1/AE1 polypeptide. We tested the hypothesis that the nonconserved aa cluster 1075DKPK1078 within the first putative re-entrant loop (RL1) of AE2 TMD contributes to pH sensor function by studying anion exchange function of AE2 mutants in which these and other RL1 aa were systematically substituted with corresponding RL1 aa from AE1. Regulation of Cl−/Cl− and Cl−/HCO3− exchange by intracellular pH (pHi) or extracellular pH (pHe) was measured as 4,4′-di-isothiocyanatostilbene-2,2′-disulfonic acid-sensitive 36Cl− efflux from Xenopus oocytes. AE2 RL1 mutants 1075DKPK1078 and 1075AAAQ1078 showed reduced pHi sensitivity and pHe sensitivity was acid-shifted by ~1 pH unit. Individual mutants D1075A and P1077A exhibited moderately altered pH sensitivity, whereas a range of substitutions at conserved AE2 Ile-1079 substantially altered sensitivity to pHe and/or pHi. Substitution of the complete AE1 RL1 with AE2 RL1 failed to confer AE2-like pH sensitivity onto AE1. Replacement, however, of AE1 RL1 763SGPGAAAQ770 with AE2 1071VAPGDKPK1078 restored pHi sensitivity to the chimera AE1(1–920)/AE1(613–929) without affecting its low sensitivity to pHe. The results show that acute regulation of AE2 by pH requires RL1 of the TMD. We propose that critical segments of RL1 constitute part of an AE2 pH sensor that, together with residues within the N-terminal half of the TMD, constrain the AE2 polypeptide in a conformation required for regulation of anion exchange by pHi.

The mouse SLC4A2/AE2 anion exchanger regulates intracellular pH (pHi),3 chloride concentration, cell volume, and transepithelial ion transport in many tissues and is essential to postweaning life in mice (1). SLC4A2/AE2 and its paralogs SLC4A1/AE1 and SLC4A3/AE3 each mediate Na+−independent electroneutral anion exchange (2). The AE polypeptides are expressed in distinct tissue and cell type-specific patterns and exhibit distinct modes of acute regulation. AE2 and AE3 but not AE1 are acutely regulated by pH (3). AE2 is also acutely regulated by extracellular pH (pHe), NH4+, hypertonicity, and (in a calmodulin-independent manner) calmidazolium (4, 5). Although the structural basis for these regulatory differences is not completely understood, recent structure-function analysis studies have identified isoform-specific residues in both N-terminal cytoplasmic and C-terminal transmembrane domains of AE2 that are required for acute regulation (4, 6).

The 400–700-aa N-terminal cytoplasmic domains of the SLC4 AE gene products are divergent in sequence, with only 35% aa identity between AE2 and AE1. The better conserved ~500-aa transmembrane domains (TMDs) of AE1, AE2, and AE3 share ~65% sequence identity. Even in the absence of their N-terminal cytoplasmic domains, AE TMDs expressed in erythrocytes, Xenopus oocytes, or mammalian cells can mediate electroneutral anion exchange (7–9). However, removal of all or part of the AE2 N-terminal cytoplasmic domain alters its acute regulation by pH, NH4+, hypertonicity, and calmidazolium (5). Mutation of individual aa residues of the N-terminal cytoplasmic domain partially or completely recapitulates these regulatory changes. Moreover, many of these residues are predicted to reside on the surface of the N-terminal cytoplasmic domain (6). Removal of the N-terminal cytoplasmic domain from the AE2 TMD abolishes regulation by pH (10) and significantly acid-shifts the pHn versus activity profile compared with wild-type AE2 (9). These findings suggest the presence of a pH sensor motif within the AE2 TMD that cooperates with sensor components of the cytoplasmic N-terminal domain to modulate anion exchange activity in response to changing pHn and pHe. Earlier studies of the AE2 TMD pH sensor have identified nonconserved histidines (11), charged residues, and other amino acids of the TMD (12), which contribute to AE2 regulation by pH. We have identified in more recent studies of AE2/AE1 chimeras TMD subdomains of AE2 that contribute to different regulatory phenotypes (4). Together, these studies
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Among the AE1 anion selectivity filter (14). Structure-function analysis of transmembrane spans have been proposed to contribute to the The second putative re-entrant loop (RL2) and the last two transmembrane spans have been proposed to contribute to the AE1 anion selectivity filter (14). Structure-function analysis of the first putative re-entrant loop (RL1) has not been described. Among the ~38 aa of RL1 (15) are 9 residues that differ between AE1 and AE2 (Fig. 1B). The RL1 of AE2 includes a nonconserved charged stretch of residues 1075DKPK1078 (Fig. 1B) that we hypothesized might be important in regulation of AE2 by pH. Therefore, we tested the consequences to pH sensitivity of AE-mediated 36Cl– transport of systematic introduction of AE1 RL1 residues into their corresponding positions in the RL1 of AE2.

These studies identify conserved and nonconserved RL1 residues of AE2 whose mutation individually or as a group sufficed to alter AE2 sensitivity to pH, or to both. Introduction into the AE1 RL1 of AE2 RL1 aa 1075DKPK1078 or 1072VAPGD-KPK1078 failed to confer AE2-like pH sensitivity on AE1. In contrast, introduction of these same AE2 RL1 residues into RL1 of pH-insensitive chimera AE2(1–920)/AE1(613–929) conferred AE2-like sensitivity to pH, but not to pH. These data identify discrete clusters of amino acid residues within AE2 RL1 as crucial components of a TMD pH sensor that requires functional interaction with region(s) within the first putative 6 TM spans and/or cytoplasmic N-terminal domain for normal regulatory activity.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Reagents—Na36Cl was purchased from GE Healthcare. (2-Aminoethyl) methanethiosulfonate (MTSEA), (2-trimethylammonium)-ethyl methanethiosulfonate (MTSET), and (2-(trimethylammonium)-ethyl) methanethiosulfonate (MTSES) were purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals. Other chemical reagents were of analytical grade and obtained from Sigma, Fluka (St. Louis, MO), or Calbiochem. Restriction enzymes and T4 DNA ligase were from New England Biolabs (Beverly, MA). TaqDNA polymerase was from Roche Biochemicals (Mannheim, Germany), and dNTPs were from Promega (Madison, WI).

Solutions—ND-96 medium consisted of 96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgCl2, 5 mM HEPES, and 2.5 mM sodium pyruvate, pH 7.40. Flux media lacked sodium pyruvate. pH values of 7.0, 8.0, and 8.5 in room air flux media were achieved with 5 mM HEPES. 5 mM MES was used for room air flux media of pH values 5.0 and 6.0. In Cl– free solutions, NaCl was replaced isosmotically with 96 mM sodium isethionate, and equimolar gluconate salts of potassium, calcium, and magnesium were substituted for the corresponding Cl– salts. CO2/HCO3– buffered solutions of pH 7.4 containing 24 mM NaHCO3 and 72 mM sodium isethionate were saturated with 5% CO2, 95% air at room temperature for ~1 h, and pH was verified prior to the start of each experiment. The addition to flux media of the weak acid salt sodium butyrate was in equimolar substitution for NaCl.

Mutant AE2 cDNAs—Murine AE2 (accession number J04036) and AE chimeras (4) encoded in plasmid pΔX (9) or pXT17, mouse erythroid AE1 (accession number X02677) encoded in plasmid pBL, mouse kidney AE1 (16) encoded in plasmid pL2Δ, and rat cardiac AE3 encoded in plasmid pXT7 (17) were used as templates for PCR. Specific AE chimeras and AE2 and AE3 missense mutants were constructed by a four-primer PCR method (9, 10) using oligonucleotide primers ( Biosynthesis, Woodlands, TX); primer sequences are available upon request. Integrity of PCR fragments and ligation junctions was confirmed by DNA sequencing of both strands.

cRNA Expression in Xenopus Oocytes—Ovarian segments were excised from female Xenopus laevis (Department of Systems Biology, Harvard Medical School) anesthetized with 0.17% tricaine according to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center. Stage V-VI oocytes were manually defolliculated following room temperature incubation of ovarian fragments with 2 mg/ml collagenase Type A (Roche Applied Science) for 60 min in ND-96 solution containing 50 ng/ml gentamycin and 2.5 mM sodium pyruvate. Oocytes were injected on the same day with 50 nl of cRNA or H2O. Capped cRNA was transcribed from Xbal-linearized (pXT7), HindIII-linearized (pBL, pL2Δ), or Clal-linearized (pΔX) cDNA templates with the T7 MEGAscript kit (Ambion, Austin, TX) and resuspended in diethylpyrocarbonate-treated water. RNA integrity was confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis in formaldehyde, and RNA concentration was estimated by A260 (NanoDrop, Wilmington, DE). The amount of injected cRNA (0.5–40 ng) was titrated for each AE mutant construct to approximate the 36Cl– efflux rate constant at pH 7.4 associated with injection of 10 ng of wild-type AE2 cRNA. Injected oocytes were then maintained for 2–6 days at 19 °C in ND-96 culture medium.

Confocal Laser-scanning Immunofluorescence Microscopy—Two days after injection with water or with cRNA encoding wild-type or mutant AE2 bearing the HA epitope YPYDVP-DYA inserted between Glu-858 and Ala-859 in the AE2 third extracellular loop, oocytes (n = 10–12/group) were fixed at 4 °C for 30 min in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 3% paraformaldehyde, washed three times in PBS supplemented with 0.002% sodium azide, and then blocked in PBS with 1% bovine serum albumin and 0.05% saponin (PBS-BSA) for 1 h at 4 °C. Oocytes were then incubated for 1 h at 4 °C with mouse monoclonal anti-HA peptide (dilution 1:100; Sigma), followed by three washes of 10 min at 4 °C. Oocytes were then incubated for 1 h with Cy3-conjugated secondary donkey anti-rabbit Ig (dilution 1:200; Jackson Immunochemicals) and again thoroughly washed in PBS-BSA.

Other oocytes were injected with water or with cRNA encoding wild-type or mutant AE2 fused at the carboxyl termini with green fluorescent protein (GFP). These oocytes were directly fixed for 30 min at room temperature in 1 ml of 3% paraformaldehyde in PBS. Oocytes expressing HA-tagged constructs were aligned in uniform orientation along a Plexiglass groove and sequentially imaged through the 10× objective of a Zeiss
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LSM510 laser scanning confocal microscope using the 543-nm laser line at 512 × 512 resolution at a uniform setting of 80% intensity, pinhole 160 (2.0 Airy units), detector gain 685, amp gain 1, 0 amp offset. Aligned oocytes expressing GFP fusion constructs were imaged with the 488-nm laser line at the same resolution and with a uniform setting of pinhole 112 (1.4 Airy units), detector gain 918, amp gain 1, 0 amp offset.

Polypeptide abundance at or near each oocyte surface was estimated by quantitation (ImageJ version 1.38, National Institutes of Health) of specific fluorescence intensity (FI) at the circumference of one quadrant of an equatorial focal plane (supplemental Fig. 1, A and B). Mean background-corrected FI for quadrants of oocytes previously injected with water was subtracted from the background-corrected FI for quadrants of individual cRNA-injected oocytes to yield intensity values for surface-associated specific FI for each oocyte. Surface expression of AE2 mutant polypeptides was normalized to that of the appropriate wild-type AE2 polypeptide. Normalized mutant- and wild-type-specific fluorescence intensities were compared with Student’s unpaired two-tailed t test (for the AE2-GFP constructs) or Dunnett’s two-tailed t test (for AE2-HA tag constructs). p < 0.05 was interpreted as significant.

\[ V = \frac{V_{\text{max}} \times 10^{-d}}{10^{-x} + d} \]  

where \( V \) is the AE2-mediated Cl\(^-\) efflux rate constant, \( V_{\text{max}} \) is the maximal AE2-mediated Cl\(^-\) efflux rate constant, \( x \) is the pH\(_i\) at which the rate constant was measured, \( K \) is pH\(_{50}\), the pH\(_i\) at which \( V \) is half-maximal, and \( d \geq 0 \). Equation 1 allowed calculation (Microsoft Excel) of \( V_{\text{max}} \) and pH\(_{50}\) values for each individual oocyte. Rate constants measured at each pH\(_i\) value were normalized to that oocyte’s \( V_{\text{max}}\), which was given the value of 100%. Mean normalized data for wild-type AE2 or AE mutants were fit (Sigma Plot version 8.02) to Equation 1 (as in Figs. 2C, 3D, 4C, 5C, 6D, and 8C). The mean normalized data were best fit by Equation 1 when \( d > 0 \) (\( r^2 = 0.99 \)).

Methanethiosulfonate (MTS) reagents were dissolved immediately before the start of the experiment in Cl\(^-\)-free ND-56 containing 40 mM butyrate at final concentrations of 10 mM MTSET, 10 mM MTSES, or 2.5 mM MTSEA. Oocytes were preincubated with MTS reagents for 10 min prior to the start of measurement of pH\(_i\) dependence of AE2-mediated 36Cl\(^-\) efflux. MTS reagents did not alter wild-type AE2-mediated 36Cl\(^-\) efflux at pH\(_i\) 7.4 (data not shown).

**Statistics**—Data are reported as mean ± S.E. Analysis of variance was performed among groups of mutants compared with wild-type AE2 by Dunnett’s two-way t test. Statistical significance was also assessed by Student’s paired and unpaired t tests, for which the level of significance was \( p < 0.05 \).

**RESULTS**

Putative RL1 of mouse AE2 encompasses aa 1050–1083 within the AE2 transmembrane domain (Fig. 1A) and shares with the corresponding regions of AE1 and cAE3 respective sequence identities of ~75 and ~85% (Fig. 1B). To test the hypothesis that all or part of RL1 is important for AE2 regulation by pH, we tested the pH-sensitive anion exchange activity of AE2 mutant polypeptides in which all or part of RL1 was replaced with corresponding aa residues from RL1 of the relatively pH-insensitive mouse AE1 polypeptide and vice versa. As described under “Experimental Procedures” and shown in Fig. 1C, injected cRNA quantities were chosen for each mutant construct to result in 36Cl\(^-\) efflux rate constants as close as possible to that of wild-type AE2. Thus, paralogous substitution of either the entire RL1 nor of its most divergent subdomain resulted in significantly decreased rates of 36Cl\(^-\)/Cl\(^-\) exchange at pH\(_i\) 7.4. Nominal surface expression of C-terminally GFP-

---

4 An alternative method normalized each rate constant to that individual oocyte’s rate constant measured at pH\(_i\) 8.5, which was given a value of 100%. The normalized rate constants at every pH\(_i\) value were fit with Equation 1. Data calculated by this approach yielded pH\(_{50}\) values not significantly different in most cases from those presented. However, application of this alternative method to Equation 1 yielded poor fits (\( r^2 \) as low as 0.6) for some AE2 mutants.
FIGURE 1. Nonconserved amino acid residues in transmembrane domain re-entrant loop 1 of AE2. A. model of the C-terminal transmembrane domain of AE2 by analogy with that of AE1 proposed by Zhu et al. (13) and based also on data from Refs. 15, 20, and 33. The oval marks putative RL1, and nonconserved amino acid residues, including \textsuperscript{1057}DKPK\textsuperscript{1076} are highlighted in gray. B, aligned amino acid sequences of the RL1 regions from mouse anion exchangers AE2 (Slc4a2; J04036), AE1 (Slc4a1; J02756), and AE3 (Slc4a3; AAA40692). Residues in boldface type are nonconserved amino acids. Boxed residues were previously tested for function after mutational substitution (11, 12). Oocytes expressing substitution mutants of boxed italicized residues exhibited loss of anion transport function at pH 7.4. Schematics below the aligned sequences show alternative transmembrane dispositions of the AE2 RL1 loop as proposed by the models of Refs. 19 (left) and 13 (right). C, \textsuperscript{36}Cl\textsuperscript{-} efflux rate constants measured at pH 7.4 in \emph{n} oocytes previously injected with the indicated ng amounts of cRNA encoding wild-type AE2, wild-type AE1, or the indicated RL1 mutants (mean \textpm S.E.).
tagged AE2 mutant 1075AAAQN1079 did not differ from that of WT AE2-GFP (supplemental Fig. 1A). This finding was consistent with our previous demonstration that anion exchange rates of RL1 to regulation of AE2 by pH shifted by pH o7.4 for intact and GFP-tagged wild-type and (other) mutant AE2 polypeptides correlate well with nominal surface expression of the GFP fusion proteins in Xenopus oocytes (4). Additional experiments with AE2 polypeptides bearing an HA epitope tag introduced into the third extracellular loop of the TMD and immunodetected at 4 °C in unfixed oocytes (4). Additional experiments with AE2 polypeptides in which nonconserved RL1 residues Ser-1068, Val-1071, and Ala-1072 were substituted individually with their corresponding AE1 residues acid-shifts the pH i dependence of normalized 36Cl− efflux activity profile of those mutants compared with wild-type AE2 by ~1 pH unit. In contrast to AE2 regulation by pH i (Fig. 3, B and C), the acid-shifted pH o(sat) values of AE2 mutants 1075AAAQN1079 and 1075DKPK1078 did not differ. This latter finding suggested that AE2 Ile-1079, although important to pH i sensitivity, appeared to be dispensable for regulation by pH o.

Nonconserved Residues of the AE2 RL1 Are Involved in pH i Regulation—Since the proposed structure of AE2 RL1 predicts accessibility to both intra- and extracellular compartments, we tested the roles in AE2 regulation by both pH i and pH o of individual nonconserved aa residues of RL1, systematically changing each to the corresponding AE1 residue. As shown in Fig. 4A and summarized in Fig. 4B, AE2 mutant polypeptides in which nonconserved RL1 residues Ser-1068, Val-1071, and Ala-1072 were substituted individually with their

regulation by pH i and pH o, respectively (12), suggesting the involvement of multiple TMD aa residues in regulation by pH. We therefore mutated contiguous groups of nonconserved amino acids within AE2 RL1 to their corresponding AE1 residues in order to identify subdomains of RL1 potentially important to regulation by pH. Thus, AE2 RL1 sequences 1068SKAVA1072 and 1075DKPK1078 were changed (Fig. 3A) to the corresponding AE1 sequences 1068GKASG1072 and 1075AAAQN1079 (in which AE2 numbering is retained). We also tested a mutant produced during the mutagenesis reactions in which AE2 Ile-1079 was additionally changed to Asn, yielding AE2-1075AAAQN1079. Fig. 3B shows 36Cl− efflux time courses from oocytes expressing wild-type or mutant AE2 polypeptides in the presence (acid pH i) or absence (more alkaline pH i) of 40 mM butyrate. The normalized pH i sensitivity data in Fig. 3C show that AE2 mutants 1068GKASG1072 and 1075AAAQN1079 both exhibited significant loss of sensitivity to mutation of 1075AAAQN1079 did not differ from that of WT AE2-GFP (supplemental Fig. 1A). This finding was consistent with our previous demonstration that anion exchange rates measured at pH 7.4 for intact and GFP-tagged wild-type and (other) mutant AE2 polypeptides correlate well with nominal surface expression of the GFP fusion proteins in Xenopus oocytes (4). Additional experiments with AE2 polypeptides bearing an HA epitope tag introduced into the third extracellular loop of the TMD and immunodetected at 4 °C in unfixed oocytes confirmed that wild-type AE2 and AE2 RL1 mutant polypeptides do not differ detectably in their expression at the oocyte surface (supplemental Fig. 1B).

Replacement of the AE2 RL1 Sequence with That of AE1 Alters AE2 Regulation by pH i and pH o—We assessed the contribution of RL1 to regulation of AE2 by pH i and by pH o through study of a chimeric polypeptide in which the RL1 sequence from mouse AE1 was inserted into mouse AE2. As shown in Fig. 2, A and B, chimera AE2-AE1RL1-mediated 36Cl− efflux exhibited a significant decrease in sensitivity to pH i. Fig. 2C compares the pH i dependence of normalized 36Cl− efflux activity of AE2-AE1RL1 with that of wild-type AE2. As summarized in Fig. 2D, the pH o(sat) value for AE2-AE1RL1 (6.44 ± 0.06, n = 11) was significantly acid-shifted compared with AE2 (6.90 ± 0.06, n = 12; p < 0.05). These data demonstrate the importance of AE2-specific aa residues of RL1 in the acute regulation of AE2 by pH. We have previously shown that individual mutagenesis of AE2 RL1 residue Asp-1075 and Pro-1077 modestly alters AE2

FIGURE 2. AE2 RL1 amino acids are essential for regulation of AE2 by pH. A, a time course of 36Cl− efflux from representative individual oocytes expressing wild-type AE2 (filled circles) and AE2-AE1RL1 (open squares) during elevation of pH i by removal of bath butyrate (40 mM), followed by inhibition with DIDS (200 μM). B, normalized rate constants ± S.E. of 36Cl− efflux in the presence of bath butyrate for n oocytes expressing wild-type AE2 or AE2-AE1RL1. C, regulation by pH i of normalized 36Cl− efflux rate constants exhibited by oocytes expressing wild-type AE2 (filled circles) or AE2-AE1RL1 (open squares). Values are means ± S.E.; curves were fit to the data as described under “Experimental Procedures.” D, pH o(sat) values (mean ± S.E.) of n oocytes expressing wild-type AE2 or AE2-AE1RL1. B and D, * gray bar, p < 0.05 compared with wild-type AE2.
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regulation by pH i and pH o, respectively (12), suggesting the involvement of multiple TMD aa residues in regulation by pH. We therefore mutated contiguous groups of nonconserved amino acids within AE2 RL1 to their corresponding AE1 residues in order to identify subdomains of RL1 potentially important to regulation by pH. Thus, AE2 RL1 sequences 1068SKAVA1072 and 1075DKPK1078 were changed (Fig. 3A) to the corresponding AE1 sequences 1068GKASG1072 and 1075AAAQN1079 (in which AE2 numbering is retained). We also tested a mutant produced during the mutagenesis reactions in which AE2 Ile-1079 was additionally changed to Asn, yielding AE2-1075AAAQN1079. Fig. 3B shows 36Cl− efflux time courses from oocytes expressing wild-type or mutant AE2 polypeptides in the presence (acid pH i) or absence (more alkaline pH i) of 40 mM butyrate. The normalized pH i sensitivity data in Fig. 3C show that AE2 mutants 1068GKASG1072 and 1075AAAQN1079 both exhibited significant loss of sensitivity to

regulation by pH i and pH o, respectively (12), suggesting the involvement of multiple TMD aa residues in regulation by pH. We therefore mutated contiguous groups of nonconserved amino acids within AE2 RL1 to their corresponding AE1 residues in order to identify subdomains of RL1 potentially important to regulation by pH. Thus, AE2 RL1 sequences 1068SKAVA1072 and 1075DKPK1078 were changed (Fig. 3A) to the corresponding AE1 sequences 1068GKASG1072 and 1075AAAQN1079 (in which AE2 numbering is retained). We also tested a mutant produced during the mutagenesis reactions in which AE2 Ile-1079 was additionally changed to Asn, yielding AE2-1075AAAQN1079. Fig. 3B shows 36Cl− efflux time courses from oocytes expressing wild-type or mutant AE2 polypeptides in the presence (acid pH i) or absence (more alkaline pH i) of 40 mM butyrate. The normalized pH i sensitivity data in Fig. 3C show that AE2 mutants 1068GKASG1072 and 1075AAAQN1079 both exhibited significant loss of sensitivity to
AE1 counterparts lacked sensitivity to pH. This contrasted with the lack of effect of similar substitutions of nonconserved RL1 residues Asp-1075, Lys-1076, and Lys-1078 and the modest decrease in pH sensitivity produced by substitution of Pro-1077 (reproduced in Fig. 4B from Ref. 12 for the purpose of comparison). Interestingly, mutation of the conserved Ile-1079 to Asn greatly reduced pH sensitivity, probably explaining the different pH sensitivities of the AE2 mutants 1075AAAQ1078 and 1075AAAQ1079 (Fig. 3B).

Fig. 4C compares pH dependence of normalized 36Cl− efflux activity for AE2 RL1 mutants A1072G and I1079N with that of wild-type AE2. As summarized in Fig. 4D, the pHo(50) value for A1072G (6.04 ± 0.13, n = 17) was significantly acid-shifted from that of wild-type AE2 (6.81 ± 0.04, n = 27; p < 0.05), whereas the pHo(50) of I1079N did not differ from the wild-type value (p > 0.05). AE2 mutants S1068G, V1071S, and D1075A were less dramatically acid-shifted in their pHo versus AE2 RL1 residue Ile-1079 abolished pH sensitivity while retaining regulation by pH. We hypothesized that Ile-1079 was important for the structural integrity of AE2 RL1 or adjacent regions and thus investigated the consequences of systematic side chain substitution at this position to acute AE2 regulation by pH. Fig. 5, A and B, show that change of AE2 Ile-1079 to Lys but not to Ser reduced pH sensitivity compared with wild-type AE2, whereas change to Cys increased pH sensitivity. Substitution of Ile-1079 with the hydrophobic Leu or polar residue Ser had no effect on AE2 pH sensitivity, but substitution with the larger hydrophobic residue Met, with aromatic residues Phe or Tyr, with larger polar residues Thr or Asn, or with charged residues Lys or Glu each substantially reduced the sensitivity of AE2 to pH. Fig. 5, C–E, reveals reduced pH sensitivity of AE2 mutants I1079E and I1079K, with pHo(50) values acid-shifted ~0.8 pH unit compared with wild-type AE2 and to mutant I1079N. In contrast, mutant I1079C exhibited increased pHo.
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**FIGURE 4.** Individual substitution into AE2 RL1 of corresponding AE1 RL1 amino acids identifies residues involved in regulation of AE2 by pH. A, time course of $^{36}$Cl efflux from representative individual oocytes expressing wild-type AE2 (filled circles) or AE2 mutant polypeptides S1068G (open triangles), A0172G (open circles), or I1079N (filled triangles) during elevation of pH$_i$ by the removal of 40 mM butyrate, with subsequent exposure to DIDS (200 µM). B, normalized $^{36}$Cl efflux rate constants ± S.E. in the presence of 40 mM butyrate for n oocytes expressing wild-type AE2 or the indicated AE2 RL1 missense substitution mutants. C, regulation by pH$_i$ of normalized $^{36}$Cl efflux from oocytes expressing wild-type AE2 (filled circles), A1072G (open circles), and I1079N (filled triangles). Values are means ± S.E. D, pH$_{50}$ values for n oocytes expressing wild-type AE2 or the indicated AE2 RL1 mutants (mean ± S.E.). For both B and D, asterisk and gray bar indicate $p < 0.05$ compared with wild-type AE2 ($p < 0.05$). In B and D, data for AE2 mutants D1075A, K1076A, P1077A, and K1078A are reproduced from Ref. 12 and included for clarity.

sensitivity, with the pH$_{50}$ value alkaline-shifted by 0.7 units (Fig. 5E). Taken together, these data show that various side chain substitutions at the functionally important residue AE2 Ile-1079 can produce mutant polypeptides with a range of pH sensitivity phenotypes. We tested further the impact of side chain variation in the context of the AE2 mutant I1079C by modification with MTS reagents. Since I1079C is predicted to be accessible to extracellular reagents (Figs. 1 and 3), we tested the consequences of its modification with membrane-permeant MTSEA as well as with membrane-impermeant MTSES and MTSET. In contrast to isoleucine modification to Lys or Glu, none of the MTS reagents altered the enhanced pH$_i$ dependence of $^{36}$Cl efflux mediated by the AE2 mutant I1079C (Fig. 5F).

**Regulation of Cl$^-$/HCO$_3$ Exchange Is Altered by Mutation of Residues of the AE2 RL1—**AE2 pH sensitivity structure-function relationships derived from Cl$^-$/Cl$^-$ exchange measurements in our previously published work (6, 10) have applied equally to pH sensitivity of AE2-mediated Cl$^-$/HCO$_3$ exchange. We therefore tested the consequences of mutation of functionally important RL1 residues on AE2-mediated Cl$^-$/HCO$_3$ exchange (Fig. 6A). $^{36}$Cl efflux was measured in the presence of bath Cl$^-$ (period a representing $^{36}$Cl$^-$/Cl$^-$ exchange) and then during exposure to CO$_2$/HCO$_3$ in the continued presence (period b) and subsequent absence of bath Cl$^-$ (period c representing $^{36}$Cl$^-$/HCO$_3$ exchange), followed by confirmation of efflux specificity by inhibition with 200 µM DIDS (period d). Wild-type AE2-mediated $^{36}$Cl$^-$/HCO$_3$ exchange (period c) was only ~20% of the rate of $^{36}$Cl$^-$/Cl$^-$ exchange (period a), in contrast to the higher relative rates of Cl$^-$/HCO$_3$ exchange mediated by each of these three mutants was pH$_i$-insensitive (Fig. 6, C and D), as previously noted for Cl$^-$/Cl$^-$ exchange. The higher relative HCO$_3$ transport rates of these mutants evident in Fig. 6, A and B, may reflect altered substrate selectivity.

The AE2 RL1 Is Not Sufficient to Confer AE2-like pH$_i$ Sensitivity on AE1—Since the AE2 RL1 was necessary for normal pH$_i$ sensitivity of AE2, we tested the hypothesis that AE2 RL1 or a subdomain might suffice to confer AE2-like pH$_i$ sensitivity on the relatively pH$_i$-insensitive AE1. Fig. 7, A and B, shows that neither AE1 mutant polypeptide containing the AE2 RL1 subdomain 1075DKPK$_{1078}$ nor that containing subdomain 1071VAPGDKPK$_{1078}$ conferred regulation by pH$_i$. Replacement of the complete AE1 RL1 with that from AE2 RL1 (AE1-AE2$_{RL1}$) also failed to confer regulation by pH$_i$ (Fig. 7B). Moreover, regulation by pH$_i$ of AE1-AE2$_{RL1}$ was indistinguishable from that of WT AE1 (Fig. 7C). The pH$_{50}$ value for AE1-AE2$_{RL1}$ was acid-shifted >1.3 units (5.57 ± 0.05, n = 8) with respect to that of wild-type AE2 (6.90 ± 0.06, n = 14; $p < 0.05$) (Fig. 7D).

Replacement of the AE1 RL1 Sequence with That of AE2 Restores pH$_i$ Regulation to the pH$_i$-insensitive Chimera AE2$_{RL1}$—We recently proposed that multiple regions of the AE2 TMD are required for physiological regulation of AE2 by pH (4). Replacement of AE2 aa 921–1237 with the corresponding C-terminal section of the AE1 TMD severely attenuated both sensitivities to pH$_i$ and pH$_z$. Since the AE1-encoded C-terminal TMD region of chimera AE2$_{RL1}$ includes RL1, we tested the hypothesis that reintroduction into this chimeric polypeptide of all or part of the AE2 RL1 might restore to it AE2-like regulation by pH$_i$.

Fig. 8, A and B, shows in the pH$_i$-insensitive AE chimera, AE2$_{RL1}$, that replacement of AE1 RL1 resi-
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**FIGURE 5.** Systematic side chain substitution at Ile-1079 of AE2 RL1 reveals differential regulation by pH<sub>i</sub> and pH<sub>o</sub>. A, time course of 36Cl<sup>-</sup> efflux from representative individual oocytes expressing wild-type AE2 (filled circles), 11079K (open circles), 11079K (open squares), or 11079K (filled squares) during elevation of pH<sub>i</sub> by removal of 40 mM butyrate, with subsequent exposure to DIDS (200 μM). B, normalized 36Cl<sup>-</sup> efflux rate constants ± S.E. in the presence of 40 mM butyrate for n oocytes expressing wild-type AE2 or the indicated I1079 substitution mutants. C, time course of 36Cl<sup>-</sup> efflux from representative individual oocytes expressing WT AE2 (filled circles), 11079K (gray squares), 11079K (gray circles), and 11079K (filled triangles) during sequential increases in pH<sub>i</sub> (top bar). D, regulation by pH<sub>i</sub> of normalized 36Cl<sup>-</sup> efflux from oocytes expressing WT AE2 (filled circles), 11079K (filled squares), 11079K (open squares), 11079K (gray circles), and 11079K (filled triangles). Values are means ± S.E.; curves were fit to the data as described under “Experimental Procedures.” E, pH<sub>50</sub> values for n oocytes expressing WT AE2 or the indicated I1079 substitution mutants (mean ± S.E.). For both B and E, asterisk and gray bars indicate significant difference in values for I1079 single point mutants when compared with WT AE2 (p < 0.05). F, normalized 36Cl<sup>-</sup> efflux rate constants ± S.E. in the presence of 40 mM butyrate for n oocytes expressing AE2 mutant I1079C preincubated 10 min without or with the indicated methanethiosulfonate reagent (see “Experimental Procedures” for details).

**DISCUSSION**

The anion exchangers SLC4A2/AE2 and SLC4A3/AE3 differ from their close homolog SLC4A1/AE1 in their pH-sensitive regulation across the physiological range. AE2 is regulated independently by pH<sub>i</sub> and by pH<sub>o</sub>, resulting from mutagenesis of individual or multiple residues of RL1. Thus, unlike restoration of the chimera’s pH<sub>i</sub> sensitivity, introduction into the AE1-derived RL1 of groups of nonconserved AE2 RL1 residues does not rescue AE2-like regulation by pH<sub>o</sub>.

Since chimeric polypeptide AE2<sup>1–920/</sup>AE1<sup>613–929</sup> lacks regulation by pH<sub>o</sub> (4), we assessed its pH<sub>i</sub> sensitivity following substitution of its AE1-derived RL1 with functionally important residues from the RL1 of AE2. Substitution of AE1 RL1 residues with AE2 residues, 1075DKPK<sup>1078</sup> or 1072VAPGD-PKP<sup>1078</sup>, failed to rescue AE2-like regulation by pH<sub>i</sub> (Fig. 8, C and D). The pH<sub>i</sub> versus activity profile for AE chimera, AE2<sup>1–920/AE1<sup>613–929</sup></sup> with complete replacement of its AE1 RL1 by that of AE2, could not be determined due to low transport activity of oocytes expressing this mutant polypeptide. Thus, it remains possible that replacement in this chimera of AE1 aa Ser-743 and Thr-745 with AE2 aa Ala-1051 and Ala-1053 alone or together with the other nonconserved aa of RL1 may have restored AE2-like pH<sub>i</sub> regulation. Together, the data show clearly distinct differences in regulation by pH<sub>i</sub> and by pH<sub>o</sub>, resulting from mutagenesis of individual or multiple residues of RL1. Thus, unlike restoration of the chimera’s pH<sub>i</sub> sensitivity, introduction into the AE1-derived RL1 of groups of nonconserved AE2 RL1 residues does not rescue AE2-like regulation by pH<sub>o</sub>.
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**FIGURE 6. Mutation of AE2 RL1 residues alters Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange and its regulation by pH.** A, time course of ⁴⁰Cl⁻ efflux from representative individual oocytes expressing wild-type AE2 (filled circles), I1079N (filled triangles), H₁⁰⁷⁷AA AQ¹⁰⁷⁹ (filled squares), and H₁⁰⁷⁷O (open circles) sequentially exposed to 96 mM Cl⁻ (a) to 24 mM HCO₃⁻ in the presence of 72 mM Cl⁻ (b) and then to Cl⁻-free bath in continued presence of HCO₃⁻ (c), terminated by the addition of 200 μM DIDS (d). B, ⁴⁰Cl⁻ efflux rate constants of Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange normalized to those of wild-type Cl⁻/Cl⁻ exchange in the same oocytes. Rate constant of period 1 (k₁)/rate constant of period 2 (k₂) × 100%. Values are means ± S.E. of n oocytes. C, time course of ⁴⁰Cl⁻ efflux from representative individual oocytes expressing wild-type AE2 (filled circles) or AE2 RL1 mutants H₁⁰⁷⁷AA AQ¹⁰⁷⁹ (open circles) and H₁⁰⁷⁷O (open triangles) in the presence of 5% CO₂/24 mM HCO₃⁻ at pH₉.7. A and D, * and gray bars, p < 0.05 compared with wild-type AE2.

exchange and of Cl⁻/Cl⁻ exchange mediated by the closely related AE3 anion exchanger.

Although the RL1 region is crucial for wild-type regulation by pH of AE2 and AE3, introduction of the AE2 RL1 into the relatively pH-insensitive AE1 polypeptide does not suffice to confer AE2-like pH sensitivity. However, introduction of the AE2 RL1 into the pH-insensitive chimera AE2₁₁₋₉₂₃/Æ1₆₁₃₋₉₂⁹ rescues AE2-like pH sensitivity selectively, without effect on the chimera’s acid-shifted, AE1-like pH sensitivity. Thus, the AE2 RL1 is sufficient, in the presence of the AE2 N-terminal cytoplasmic domain and the first six TM spans of the AE2 transmembrane domain, to confer AE2-like pH sensitivity. Individual residues from RL1, defined here, jointly contribute with undefined residues from within the first six TM spans of AE2 to form a structure (Fig. 9) that, together with residues of the N-terminal cytoplasmic domain (6), can sense changes in pH₇ in response adjust the rate of AE2-mediated anion exchange (Fig. 9). The ability of RL1 to contribute effectively to physiological regulation of AE2 by pH₇ requires the presence of additional regions of AE2 yet to be defined.

**Topographical Disposition of the RL1 Region of the AE2 TMD—** The amino acid sequence differences between the TMD portions of AE2 and AE1 proposed to span the membrane bilayer are at their greatest in RL1 and RL2. Nine among the ~36 aa of RL1 differ between AE2 and AE1, suggesting RL1 as a candidate component of the AE2 pH-sensing mechanism. Early hydrophathy profiles of the AE1 sequence (19) envisaged the RL1 region as a helical hairpin, resulting in placement of several charged and polar residues within the plane of the lipid bilayer (Fig. 1B). This model placed AE1 Lys-743 (corresponding to mouse AE1 Lys-761 and AE2 Lys-1069) at the TMD cytoplasmic face consistent with the sensitivity of this site to tryptic cleavage in low ionic strength conditions in resealed human red cell ghosts, in inside-out red cell membrane vesicles, and in microsomes from HEK-293 cells expressing recombinant human eAE1 (20). In contrast, scanning cysteine accessibility mutagenesis studies of the recombinant Cys-less human AE1 expressed in HEK-293 cells (21) demonstrated accessibility to extracellular biotin maleimide and Lucifer Yellow iodoacetamide of individual Cys residues reintroduced across the entire RL1 region, and an extracellular facing RL1 was proposed (22). N-Glycosylation scan mutagenesis of recombinant human AE1 N642D also suggested extracellular disposition of RL1 when tested by microsomal insertion in vitro translation in reticulocyte lysate (15). However, lack of N-glycosylation of the same mutant expressed in HEK-293 and COS-7 cells was consistent with intracellular disposition of Lys-743 of RL1 (corresponding to mouse AE2 Lys-1069). Engineered glycosylation sites at neighboring RL1 residues of Ser-731 (mouse AE2 S1057) and Gln-754 (mouse AE2 Q1080) were N-glycosylated when expressed in the same mammalian cells, suggesting extracellular location of both residues, each only 11–12 residues to either side of the intracellular tryptic site Lys-743 (15). Therefore, RL1 was proposed to exhibit post-translational conformational flexibility manifest as a transition from an extracellular disposition in the endoplasmic reticulum membrane to a refolded intrabilayer/intracellular conformation subsequent to departure from the endoplasmic reticulum and prior to or upon arrival at the cell surface. The schematic of Fig. 1A attempts to reconcile these data. The absence of helical structure need not be invoked in RL1 if the low ionic strength required for tryptic
susceptibility of Lys-743 (mouse AE2 Lys-1069) allows protease access to the backbone amide substrate. The absence of Cys residues in AE1 might stabilize the extracellular disposition of RL1 in the construct subjected to scanning cysteine accessibility mutagenesis and prevent its post-ER insertional refolding (20, 21). The 40% reduced surface expression and reduced specific Cl/HCO₃ exchange activity exhibited by Cys-less human AE1 (23) may reflect such an altered conformation. Thus, the structure and orientation of the AE1 RL1 remains controversial.

The schematic in Fig. 9 incorporates our current and previous functional data with previously proposed transmembrane structures. Thus, individual mutation of the proposed exofacial residues Glu-888, Lys-889, Glu-981, Lys-982, Ala-1051, and Ala-1053 selectively alters pHₙ sensitivity, whereas mutation of proposed endofacial residues Arg-921, Phe-922, and Arg-1107 selectively alters regulation by pHᵢ. Among the 54 AE2 TMD residues individually mutated to date, mutation of only four of these (Ser-1068, Val-1071, Ala-1072, and Ile-1079; Fig. 9, black circles) alters both regulation by pHₙ and pHᵢ (Fig. 3), suggesting accessibility of both subdomains to cytoplasmic and extracellular protons or an important role for RL1 in maintaining the conformations required to sense and/or to respond to changing pH in either compartment.

Systematic introduction of individual AE1 RL1 amino acid residues in place of each of the nine nonconserved AE2 RL1 residues (Fig. 4) resulted in only two substitutions that left regulation of AE2 by pH unaltered, K₁₀₇₆A and K₁₀₇₈Q. The seven other RL1 residues not conserved in AE1 are present in all mammalian AE2 polypeptides. The selective alteration of pHₙ sensitivity by the mutation at Ala-1051/Ala-1053 is consistent
with the proposed extracellular location of this initial part of RL1 but suggests a conformational effect on titratable residues not contiguous in the linear sequence. Selective alteration of pH sensitivity by mutant D1075A and of pH sensitivity by mutant P1077A does not conform easily with the RL1 model of Fig. 1A. These data suggest a more complex structure in which individual mutations can project pH-sensitive conformational change to more remote titration sites. Alternatively, RL1 and other portions of this model may need revision.

Regulation by pH of Other SLC4 Anion Transporters—Among the seven nonconserved AE2 RL1 residues defined by individual mutation as of regulatory importance, only four are identical in the RL1 of pH-sensitive AE3: Ala-1053, Ala-1072, Asp-1075, and Pro-1077. Substitution of the latter two in cAE3 with the corresponding AE1 residues sufficed to reduce greatly the pH sensitivity of AE3 (supplemental Fig. 2). Among the AE2 RL1 residues defined through mutation as functionally important in AE2 regulation by pH, Ala-1053 is conserved in all SLC4 polypeptides except AE1. AE2 residues Ala-1072 and Pro-1077 are identical in all SLC4 polypeptides except AE1 and the putative borate transporter SLC4A11. The residue corresponding to AE2 Asp-1075 (identical in AE3) is conservatively replaced by Glu in all other SLC4 polypeptides.

Correlation of RL1 sequence conservation with pH-sensitive anion transport function of other SLC4 polypeptides is limited by available data. Rat AE3 is sensitively regulated by pH, but its regulation by pH is less sensitive than that of AE2. Guinea pig cardiomyocyte Cl−/OH− exchange is regulated independently by pH and by pHi (24), but its molecular identity remains unknown. Studies of acute regulation by pH have not yet been reported for recombinant Na+/H+−dependent SLC4 polypeptides.

Side Chain Scan of Conserved RL1 Residue Ile-1079 of AE2 Reveals Steric and Charge Constraints for AE2 Regulation by pH—In addition to the four nonconserved RL1 residues whose mutation alters AE2 regulation by pH, the AE2 residue Ile-1079, conserved in mammalian AE1 and AE3, also proved important in governing pH sensitivity (Fig. 5). In mouse AE2, Ile-1079 substitution with Asn greatly attenuated pH sensitivity without effect on regulation by pH. Ile-1079 substitution with Phe, Tyr, or Thr also greatly reduced sensitivity to

**FIGURE 8.** pH insensitivity of chimeric polypeptide AE2,1–920/ AE1,613–929 is rescued by introduction of AE2 RL1 residues. A, time course of 36Cl− efflux from representative individual oocytes expressing wild-type AE2 or the indicated chimeric AE polypeptides (defined as in B) during elevation of pH by the removal of 40 mM butyrate, with subsequent exposure to DIDS (200 μM). B, normalized 36Cl− efflux rate constants ± S.E. in the presence of 40 mM butyrate for n oocytes expressing wild-type AE2 or the indicated chimeric AE polypeptides. Inset, RL1 schematic. Gray RL1 residues are those mutated in the constructs studied. C, regulation by pHi of normalized 36Cl− efflux from oocytes expressing wild-type AE2 (filled circles), wild-type AE1 (open circles), or the indicated chimeric AE polypeptides. Values are means ± S.E. The curves were fit to the data as described under “Experimental Procedures.” D, pH_{50} values (means ± S.E.) for n oocytes expressing wild-type AE2, wild-type AE1, or the indicated chimeric polypeptides. Data for construct 1 (AE2,1–920/AE1,613–929) are from Ref. 4 and are shown here for clarity. For both B and D, asterisk and gray bars indicate p < 0.05 compared with wild-type AE2.

5 A. K. Stewart, P. Papageorgiou, and S. L. Alper, unpublished observations.
pH, Phe replaces Ile-1079 in the Na1/H+11001-dependent SLC4 HCO3-/H11002 exchange transporters, and Thr does so in the putative borate transporter AE2. Phe replacement with Lys or with Glu reduced pH sensitivity, whereas replacement with Cys increased sensitivity to both pHi and pHo, whereas replacement with Cys increased sensitivity to both pHi and pHo. However, treatment of AE2 I1079C with cysteine modifier MTSES, MTSEA, or MTSET did not alter the enhanced pHi sensitivity of the mutant. This lack of effect contrasts with the accessibility of human AE1 A751 (corresponding to mouse AE2 A1077) to extracellular biotin maleimide (21), further suggesting that RL1 conformation in the human AE1 constructs subjected to cysteine scan studies was indeed altered, as suggested by trypsin susceptibility experiments (20). Thus, the topographical model of Fig. 1B (right) reflecting I1079 accessibility to the extracellular environment may not reflect the conformation of native, nonrecombinant polypeptide.

Alternatively, Cys at position 1079 could be accessible to and reactive with the MTS reagents, and the resultant adducts may not phenocopy substitutions with Lys or Glu for steric reasons. Application of MTS reagents has had limited success in other SLC4 studies. MTSEA and MTSET only slightly inhibited Cl-11001/HCO3- exchange mediated by Cys-less AE1 with single Cys residues reintroduced into RL1 in positions of accessibility to extracellular biotin maleimide (14). Similarly, MTSEA inhibited most NBCe1 constructs with individual Cys substitutions in TM8 by ≲20% (25). Side chain charge, size, and hydrophobicity may each contribute to maintenance of one or more conformation(s) competent to respond to changing pHi and/or pHo with normal regulation of AE2-mediated anion exchange.

\[ \text{pH}_{\text{m(50)}} \text{ values of the Ile-1079 substitution series correlated moderately well (supplemental Fig. 4) with interfacial free energies for phosphatidylcholine/water and for octanol/water (26), consistent with the extracellular interfacial location proposed for AE2 I1079 (Fig. 1B, right). In contrast, no correlation with pHi sensitivity was apparent (not shown). Neither pHi sensitivity nor pHm(50) values of the Ile-1079 substitution series correlated with side chain molecular weight, partial molar volume (27), van der Waals radius, solvent-accessible surface area (28), or charge separation index (29). The aa 1079 side chain may either block access to or modify the pK of a nearby titratable residue, as proposed for pH-sensitive K+ channels (30).

Potential Mechanisms by Which RL1 aa Residues Mediate Regulation of AE2 by pH—Mutation of individual AE2 RL1 residues to their AE1 counterparts produced three classes of pH phenotype: altered regulation by pHo only (at Asp-1075 and Ala-1051/Ala-1053), by pHi only (at Pro-1077), and by both pHi and pHo (at Ser-1068, Val-1071, and Ala-1072). Mutation of conserved AE2 residue Ile-1079 in one case selectively altered pHi sensitivity (I1079N), but other substitutions (I1079E, I1079K, and I1079C) altered regulation by both pHi and pHo. Whereas AE2 I1079C exhibited enhanced sensitivity to inhibition by both intrar- and extracellular protons, both sensitivities were decreased for all five other mutants of this class. In none of these mutants did the direction of changes in pHi sensitivity and pHo sensitivity diverge. These findings, along with the selective rescue of pHi sensitivity (but not pHo sensitivity) of a pH-insensitive chimeric AE polypeptide by reintroduction of part of the AE2 RL1, demonstrate independent regulation of AE2 by pH change on either side of the membrane. In AE2 mutants, such altered sensitivity to both pHi and pHo might reflect changes in pH dependence of anion binding affinity or of post-translocation dissociation rate at a side chain component of the anion translocation site alternately accessible to both intracellular and extracellular compartments. Similarly concordant changes of pH sensitivity on both sides of the plasma membrane characterize wild-type activities of the acid-extruding Na1/H+11001 exchanger (probably NHE1) of synaptosomes (31) and Purkinje fiber cardiomyocytes (32).

The pattern of pH sensitivity exhibited by AE1 replacement mutants in the nonconserved positions of the AE2 RL1 might also explain the wide variation noted in their “basal” 36Cl- efflux rates (supplemental Fig. 3). Thus, to achieve a comparable pHo 7.4 “basal” activity level expressed by
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...identified within the AE2 RL1 several nonconserved and one conserved residue playing critical roles in that regulation and shown that RL1 harbors all known individual missense mutations of the AE2 TMD that alter both regulation by pHo and by pHi. RL1 and the first six transmembrane spans of the AE2 TMD together are sufficient to confer wild-type regulation by pHi, but regulation by pHo requires the presence of other AE2 regions. These results strengthen the evidence favoring independent sensors of pHo and pHi in AE2. The structure of these sensors is likely to be complex. Further details on their mechanism and structure will require additional investigation of intramolecular contacts and, ultimately, electron micrographic and crystal structure of the AE2 TMD.
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