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Abstract

Background: Members of the public can potentially take action to assist someone in their social network who is distressed and at risk of suicide. The present study used data from a community survey to examine training experiences and sociodemographic factors associated with the quality of assistance provided in such situations.

Methods: A national telephone survey using random digit dialing was carried out with Australian adults on attitudes and intentions toward helping someone in severe distress or at risk of suicide, as well as actions taken. Participants were asked open-ended questions about their intentions to assist a hypothetical person in a vignette and about any actions they took to assist a family member or friend in distress over the previous 12 months. Each participant randomly received 1 of 6 vignettes which varied by gender and degree of suicidality portrayed. 3002 participants provided data on intentions and 932 on actions taken. Quality of Intentions and Quality of Actions were scored on 12-point scales.

Results: Quality of Intentions and Quality of Actions correlated 0.28. Quality of Intentions was associated with more overt suicidality in the vignette, age 31–59 years, female gender, university education, speaking English at home, being non-Indigenous and all forms of suicide training (professional, Mental Health First Aid and other). Quality of Actions was associated with female gender, university education and other suicide training.

Conclusions: Training on suicide prevention is associated with better quality of intentions and actions to help a person at risk of suicide. There are sub-groups in the population who are in greater need of such training because they have poorer quality of intentions to help and are less likely to have received training. These include males, less educated people and people from non-English speaking backgrounds.
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Background

People who develop a mental health problem often turn to those in their social network for support [1, 2]. The reactions they receive from others may vary from positive support to avoidance or even discrimination [3]. It is known that good social support can aid recovery [4], while negative interactions can impede it [5]. Supporters may also act to reduce suicide risk. In the case of people who die by suicide, about half communicate their intentions prior to death [6]. However, people in the social network may not feel comfortable raising the issue of suicide with the person and alerting others to the risk [7]. The ‘bystander effect’ may also inhibit action. People in the social network may also sometimes respond in a disapproving or dismissive way to expression of suicidal feelings [8], shutting down communication. However, the consensus of experts, including both professionals and people with lived experience, is that helpers should ask explicitly about suicide, assess the degree of risk and listen to the person’s suicidal feelings without judgement [9, 10].

In order to improve responses to a suicidal person, a number of gatekeeper training programs have been developed. We have previously reported data from a national survey of Australian adults on associations of training to assist a suicidal person with subsequent quality of support provided [11]. This survey involved telephone interviews with 3002 adults. Participants were presented with a vignette depicting a hypothetical person in distress and at risk of suicide. They were asked questions about their intentions to provide assistance to the person in the vignette and also about any actions they took to assist a family member or friend in distress over the previous 12 months. The questions covered 10 specific intentions and actions that were recommended in expert consensus guidelines for the public on how to assist a suicidal person, and 5 that were not recommended [9, 10]. Participants were also asked about any training they had received in how to assist a person at risk of suicide and were classified into three groups: professional training, Mental Health First Aid (MHFA) training and other training. In the previously published analyses [11], all types of training were associated with greater positive intentions and actions, and with lesser negative intentions. In particular, training was associated with a greater willingness to talk openly about suicide with a person in distress.

The previous paper analyzed responses to specific questions about intentions or actions to help a person at risk of suicide, but it did not cover other possible intentions or actions to help a person in distress. The purpose of the current article is to present further data from this survey concerning open-ended responses to questions about intentions to provide help and actual help provided over the previous 12 months. This scoring scheme is based on the action plan taught in Mental Health First Aid (MHFA) courses, which is in turn based on a series of expert consensus guidelines on how to provide mental health first aid [12]. Better quality intentions and actions are defined as those that more closely implement the action plan. The method has been used in a number of previous surveys of the quality of mental health first aid intentions and actions, including studies with Australian adults [13–15], Australian youth [16, 17], British university students [18], Sri Lankan university students [19], and Japanese high school students [20]. These studies have found that quality of intentions and actions tends to be low [13, 14, 17–19]. Better quality intentions have been found to be associated with vignettes depicting a person with depression (versus other mental disorders) [13], correct labelling of the disorder in a vignette [17, 19], female gender [13, 18], having had personal contact with a person with a mental health problem [18], lower stigma [13, 18, 19] and higher mental health literacy [13]. Better quality actions have been found to be associated with female gender [13], speaking only English at home (in an Australian sample) [15] and lower stigma [13]. Studies have also found that quality of intentions has small-to-medium correlations with quality of actions, both cross-sectionally [15] and longitudinally [15, 16].

The aims of the present study are to: (1) examine the association of training on suicide prevention with quality of intentions and actions expressed in response to open-ended questions, (2) investigate sociodemographic factors that are associated with poorer quality of intentions and actions, and with not having received training, which may be used to find sub-groups in particular need of training.

Methods

Participants

The survey was commissioned by beyondblue, which is an Australian, non-government, non-profit organization working to address issues associated with depression and anxiety disorders. The survey was conducted by Roy Morgan Research Ltd. in March 2017. The sample was drawn by a process of random digit dialing of both landlines and mobile telephones covering the whole of Australia. Up to six calls per number were made to establish contact. Interviewers ascertained whether there were residents in the household aged 18 or over and, if there were multiple, selected one for interview using the last-birthday method. Verbal consent was obtained from all respondents before commencing the interviews. Computer-assisted telephone interviews were carried out with 3002 people. There are a number of ways to calculate survey response rates. For this survey, the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) response rate [21] was 3.1% and the simple response rate was 12.2%. AAPOR response rates in other
national surveys recently run by the survey company were in the range 3.5–9.0%. Characteristics of the sample have been previously reported [11].

**Measures**

The survey interview covered sociodemographic characteristics, intentions and confidence to help a person in distress, barriers and enablers to helping, actual helping behaviour, the participant’s own suicidal thoughts, help received, attitudes to suicide, exposure to suicide, training in suicide prevention and exposure to suicide prevention messages in the media. The full interview has been previously published [11]. Only the measures of specific relevance to the aims of the present paper are described in detail below.

**Sociodemographics**

Participants were asked questions about sociodemographic characteristics: gender, age group, language spoken at home, education, Indigenous status and location of residence.

**Helping intentions**

Helping intentions were assessed in relation to one of six vignettes of distressed persons that were randomly assigned to participants. The vignettes were assigned according to a 2 x 3 factorial design, with male or female versions of three scenarios: distressing life events only (“When you ask him about what is going on, John/Jenny tells you that he/she and his/her partner have separated, and he/she is having financial problems”), indirect verbal suicide communication (“John/Jenny says he/she feels he/she will never be happy again and believes his/her family would be better off without him/her”) and direct verbal suicide communication (“John/Jenny says he/she feels s/he will never be happy again and believes his/her family would be better off without him/her”). The full versions of the six scenarios have been previously published [11].

Participants were then asked: “Remembering John/Jenny is someone you know well, what, if anything, would you do? Anything else?” The responses were recorded verbatim by the interviewer. The open-ended responses were scored for quality by one of the authors (AFJ). The responses were scored for quality using the same method as for intentions to yield a score from 0 to 12. The scoring of the Quality of Actions was carried out independently of the scoring of Quality of Intentions.

Participants were then asked a series of 15 questions about specific actions taken that paralleled the questions on intentions. As for the intentions items above, the 10 recommended items were transformed into a Positive Actions scale and the 5 items recommended against were transformed into a 5-item Negative Actions scale, which has previously been reported on for the current sample [11]. The data on these scales are reported here as validation of the open-ended responses and to allow comparison with the data previously reported.

**Helping behaviour**

Participants were asked “In the last 12 months, has anyone in your family or close circle of friends experienced a similar level of distress to John/Jenny?” and “Did just one of your family or close friends experience this level of distress in the last 12 months, or more than one?”. If the participant knew more than one person, they were told: “Because you know more than one family member or close friend experiencing a similar level of distress, for the next few questions, I want you to think about the one you know BEST”. Participants were asked an open-ended question about what they did to help the person and the responses were recorded verbatim by the interviewer. These responses were scored for quality using the same method as for intentions to yield a score from 0 to 12. The scoring of the Quality of Actions was carried out independently of the scoring of Quality of Intentions.

Participants were then asked a series of 15 questions about specific actions taken that paralleled the questions on intentions. As for the intentions items above, the 10 recommended items were transformed into a Positive Actions scale and the 5 items recommended against were transformed into a 5-item Negative Actions scale, which has previously been reported on for the current sample [11].

**Exposure to suicide**

Participants were asked “Do you know anyone who has died by suicide?”, with responses recorded as yes or no.

**Training received**

Participants were asked “Have you ever completed any training or course in how to help someone who is suicidal?” The interviewer coded responses as professional training, MHFA, ASIST (Applied Suicide Intervention Skills Training), QPR (Question, Persuade, Refer) or other.
Because of low frequencies of some types of training, these were categorized as professional, MHFA or other [11]. The commissioning organization beyondblue is not associated with any of the training programs evaluated in the present study.

**Statistical analysis**

To assess the reliability of quality scoring of the open-ended Quality of Intentions and Quality of Actions, another author (AFJ) independently scored 50 intention responses and 50 action responses. Reliability was assessed using Krippendorff’s alpha (interval) calculated using ReCal (http://dfreelon.org/utils/recalfront/recal-oir/).

Associations among the Quality of Intentions and Quality of Actions scores and the Positive and Negative Intentions and Actions scales were assessed using Pearson correlations.

Mean scores on Quality of Intentions and Quality of Actions were compared across vignettes using 2 X 3 analyses of variance with factors of vignette gender and type of training received (dummy coded). Unstandardized regressions coefficients and their 95% CIs are reported, with \( P < 0.05 \) used for statistical significance.

To investigate the sociodemographic factors associated with having received suicide training of any sort, a logistic regression analysis was carried out with having received training as the binary outcome and the sociodemographic factors as predictors. All analyses were carried out with IBM SPSS Statistics 22.

**Results**

Sample characteristics are shown in Table 1. 3002 participants provided data that was coded for Quality of Intentions and 932 for Quality of Actions. The inter-rater reliability (Krippendorff’s alpha) for the Quality of Intentions score was 0.86, and for the Quality of Actions score it was 0.75, which was considered to be acceptable.

Table 2 shows the mean scores on Quality of Intentions and Quality of Actions, which were low for all of the six vignettes. Quality of Intentions differed by level of suicidality in the vignettes (\( P < 0.001 \)), with scores increasing as suicidality was more overt, but there was no effect of gender of the person in the vignette. For Quality of Actions there were no differences between vignettes.

Table 3 shows the correlations between the Quality of Intentions and Quality of Actions scores, and correlations of these scores with the Positive and Negative Intentions and Actions scales. There was a medium association between Quality of Intentions and Quality of Actions. There were also medium correlations between the Quality of Intentions and the Positive Intentions scale, and between the Quality of Actions and the Positive Actions scale. Other correlations were small.

The binary logistic regression analysis on sociodemographic factors associated with training of any type showed that training was more likely to have been received by females (OR = 1.22, 95% CI = 1.00–1.49, \( P = 0.046 \)), those with a bachelor degree or above (OR = 2.05, 95% CI = 1.68–2.50, \( P < 0.001 \)) and those exposed to suicide (OR = 1.88, 95% CI = 1.52–2.33, \( P < 0.001 \)), while it was less likely to have been received by people who use a language other than English (OR = 0.58, 95% CI = 0.37–0.91, \( P = 0.02 \)).

Multiple linear regression analyses were carried out to assess whether type of training predicted intention and action scale scores. The unstandardized coefficients after adjustment for type of vignette presented are shown in Table 4. Better Quality of Intentions was significantly associated with age 31–59 years, female gender, higher education and all forms of training. Quality of Actions was significantly associated with a smaller set of predictors: female gender, higher education and other suicide training. Consistent with the data shown in Table 2, the quality of intentions was greater when there was more overt suicidality in the vignette, whereas quality of actions taken was not associated with vignette characteristics.

**Discussion**

This study examined whether training on suicide and sociodemographic factors were associated with better quality intentions and actions in open-ended responses

---

**Table 1** Characteristics of the sample and allocation to vignettes (\( N = 3002 \))

| Characteristic                        | \( N \) (%)
|--------------------------------------|----------------
| Female gender                        | 1785 (59.5%)   |
| Aged 18–30                           | 356 (11.9%)    |
| Aged 31–59                           | 1408 (46.9%)   |
| Aged 60+                             | 1238 (41.2%)   |
| Bachelor’s degree or above           | 1215 (40.5%)   |
| Non-urban location                   | 1254 (41.8%)   |
| Exposed to suicide                   | 1839 (61.3%)   |
| Professional suicide training        | 256 (8.5%)     |
| Mental Health First Aid training     | 141 (4.7%)     |
| Other suicide training               | 221 (7.4%)     |
| Distressing life events only vignette: Male | 511 (17.0%) |
| Distressing life events only vignette: Female | 529 (17.6%) |
| Indirect verbal suicide communication vignette: Male | 492 (16.4%) |
| Indirect verbal suicide communication vignette: Female | 455 (15.2%) |
| Direct verbal suicide communication vignette: Male | 497 (16.6%) |
| Direct verbal suicide communication vignette: Female | 518 (17.3%) |
poorer Quality of Actions. While it is possible that these genous people. Males and less educated people also had poorer in males, young people, older people, those with-out a university degree, non-English speakers and Indi-
lar need of intervention. Quality of Intentions was to sub-groups in the population that may be in particu-
everyday conditions outside of a trial context.

The findings on sociodemographic associations point to sub-groups in the population that may be in particu-
lar need of intervention. Quality of Intentions was poorer in males, young people, older people, those without a university degree, non-English speakers and Indigenous people. Males and less educated people also had poorer Quality of Actions. While it is possible that these sub-groups were less able to express their intentions and actions in response to open-ended questions, this seems less likely when the responses were given orally and recorded by the interviewer. Furthermore, the data on predictors of having received training also showed that males, less educated people and non-English speakers were less likely to have had any type of suicide training, which also indicates a need for greater attention to these sub-groups.

There was a correlation of 0.28 between Quality of Intentions and Quality of Actions. This association is comparable to what has been found in previous studies with these measures. In studies of adults, Rossetto et al. [15], found correlations of 0.31 cross-sectionally and 0.27 longitudinally, while Rossetto et al. [14] found a correlation of 0.20 cross-sectionally. In a study of youth, Yap et al. [16] reported a standardized beta of 0.13 longitudinally. There are a number of factors that may reduce the correlation between intentions and actions. The first is error of measurement, which is reflected in the imper-
fect inter-rater reliabilities of the quality ratings. A second factor is that the intentions were rated in relation to a vignette which varied in expression of suicidality, whereas the actions were rated in relation to a real situation where the person involved may have had a different degree of suicidality to the vignette. A third factor is that the provision of mental health first aid in real life involves a number of factors that are not present in a hypothetical vignette. Rossetto et al. [23] have presented a model of help giving towards people with mental health problems, which describes the complex factors that may determine whether any action is taken and what is done. These include the triggers of concern that are present (e.g. whether the person approaches the helper, knowledge of the person’s history), the consider-
rations that inform decisions to help (e.g. relationship to the person, whether others are available to help, perceived danger) and the recipient’s reaction to any help provided (e.g. acceptance or resistance to help, improve-
mor or worsening of symptoms). Such factors indicate the need for training programs to consider how to over-
come potential barriers to action in addition to training people how best to respond to a suicidal person.

### Table 2 Quality of intentions and actions by type of vignette: mean (SD), 95% CI and N

| Type of Vignette                              | Quality of Intentions | Quality of Actions |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
|                                              | Mean (SD)             | 95% CI             | N      |
| Distressing life events only: Male           | 2.60 (1.33)           | 2.49–2.72          | 511    |
| Distressing life events only: Female         | 2.57 (1.29)           | 2.46–2.68          | 529    |
| Indirect verbal suicide communication: Male  | 3.00 (1.49)           | 2.87–3.13          | 492    |
| Indirect verbal suicide communication: Female| 3.18 (1.42)           | 3.05–3.32          | 455    |
| Direct verbal suicide communication: Male    | 3.22 (1.46)           | 3.10–3.35          | 497    |
| Direct verbal suicide communication: Female  | 3.23 (1.51)           | 3.10–3.36          | 518    |
|                                              | 2.77 (1.38)           | 2.56–2.97          | 175    |
|                                              | 2.80 (1.50)           | 2.57–3.02          | 176    |
|                                              | 2.49 (1.56)           | 2.23–2.75          | 145    |
|                                              | 2.72 (1.36)           | 2.51–2.92          | 165    |
|                                              | 2.66 (1.30)           | 2.43–2.89          | 125    |
|                                              | 2.55 (1.33)           | 2.33–2.77          | 146    |

### Table 3 Correlations of Quality of Intentions and Quality of Actions with each other and with Positive and Negative Intentions and Actions scales (data pooled across vignettes)

|                        | Quality of Intentions | Quality of Actions |
|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Quality of Actions     | 0.38*                 | 1.00               |
| Positive Intentions    | 0.24*                 | 0.16*              |
| Negative Intentions    | -0.08*                | -0.10*             |
| Positive Actions       | 0.06*                 | 0.28*              |
| Negative Actions       | -0.08*                | 0.06               |

* *p < 0.05*
The limitations of the survey have been previously discussed [11]. The data are cross-sectional, which limits causal inference. There is limited information on the type of training received and no data on how long ago it occurred. The low response rate means that the sample may not be representative of the population. There may also be limitations in recall of helping actions, which would affect the validity of the Quality of Action responses. The open-ended nature of the responses may have affected associations with sociodemographic characteristics, in particular with non-English speaking background.

Conclusions
The findings show that training on suicide prevention is associated with better quality of intentions and actions to help a person at risk of suicide, with non-professional training being associated with similar effects to professional training. Such non-professional training has had substantial uptake in Australia, but could feasibly be extended considerably given that the training infrastructure is available, thereby increasing national capacity to support suicidal persons who may not be in contact with professional services. However, there are sub-groups in the population who are in greater need of such training because they have poorer quality of intentions to help and are less likely to have received training. These include males, less educated people and people from a non-English speaking background.

Table 4 Predictors of quality of intentions and actions: Unstandardized regression coefficients (and 95% CIs) ¹

| Predictors                                      | Quality of Intentions | Quality of Actions |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Age                                            | Reference             | Reference          |
| 18–30                                          | Reference             | Reference          |
| 31–59                                          | 0.20 (0.04, 0.36)*    | 0.20 (–0.07, 0.46) |
| 60+                                            | -0.01 (–0.17, 0.16)   | -0.08 (–0.37, 0.21)|
| Female gender                                  | 0.52 (0.42, 0.62)*    | 0.36 (0.16, 0.54)* |
| Language other than English                    | -0.29 (–0.48, –0.10)* | -0.24 (–0.64, 0.16)|
| Bachelor’s degree or higher                    | 0.30 (0.19, 0.40)*    | 0.47 (0.28, 0.66)* |
| Non-urban location                             | -0.06 (–0.16, 0.04)   | -0.05 (–0.24, 0.13)|
| Indigenous                                     | -0.52 (–0.88, –0.15)* | -0.18 (–0.67, 0.30)|
| Exposed to suicide                             | 0.04 (–0.06, 0.14)    | 0.04 (–0.16, 0.23) |
| Professional training in suicide               | 0.28 (0.10, 0.46)*    | 0.01 (–0.30, 0.32) |
| Mental Health First Aid training               | 0.47 (0.23, 0.69)*    | 0.30 (–0.07, 0.67) |
| Other suicide training                         | 0.51 (0.32, 0.70)*    | 0.48 (0.17, 0.79)* |
| Vignette characteristics ¹                     | -0.02 (–0.18, 0.15)   | 0.05 (–0.23, 0.34)|
| Distressing life events: Female                |                       |                    |
| Indirect suicide communication: Male           | 0.38 (0.21, 0.54)*    | -0.24 (–0.54, 0.07)|
| Indirect suicide communication: Female         | 0.53 (0.36, 0.70)*    | -0.10 (–0.39, 0.20)|
| Direct suicide communication: Male             | 0.64 (0.47, 0.81)*    | -0.12 (–0.43, 0.20)|
| Direct suicide communication: Female           | 0.62 (0.45, 0.78)*    | -0.21 (–0.51, 0.09)|
| Constant                                       | 2.32 (1.82–2.82)      | 2.30 (1.45, 3.12)  |
| Adjusted R²                                    | 0.12                  | 0.08               |

¹Reference group is ‘Distressing life events: Male’; *P < 0.05
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