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The conversion of allergic pollen grains into carbon microstructures was carried out through a facile, one-step, solid-state pyrolysis process in an inert atmosphere. The as-prepared carbonaceous particles were further air activated at 300 °C and then evaluated as lithium ion battery anodes at room (25 °C) and elevated (50 °C) temperatures. The distinct morphologies of bee pollens and cattail pollens are resembled on the final architecture of produced carbons. Scanning Electron Microscopy images shows that activated bee pollen carbon (ABP) is comprised of spiky, brain-like, and tiny spheres; while activated cattail pollen carbon (ACP) resembles deflated spheres. Structural analysis through X-ray diffraction and Raman spectroscopy confirmed their amorphous nature. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy analysis of ABP and ACP confirmed that both samples contain high levels of oxygen and small amount of nitrogen contents. At C/10 rate, ACP electrode delivered high specific lithium storage reversible capacities (590 mAh/g at 50 °C and 382 mAh/g at 25 °C) and also exhibited excellent high rate capabilities. Through electrochemical impedance spectroscopy studies, improved performance of ACP is attributed to its lower charge transfer resistance than ABP. Current studies demonstrate that morphologically distinct renewable pollens could produce carbon architectures for anode applications in energy storage devices.

Since its first introduction by the Sony Corporation in 1991, lithium ion batteries (LIBs) remain as the dominating energy storage technology for portable electronics and electric vehicles. The traditional LIB anode is graphite, exhibiting a theoretical capacity of 372 mAh/g and excellent capacity retention over extended cycling. However, due to low operating voltage (<0.3 V vs. Li/Li$^+$), graphite is subject to lithium plating and subsequently lithium dendrite formation when cycled at fast rates or low temperature. To mitigate this potential safety hazard and to improve the rate capabilities of LIBs, significant research efforts have been devoted into identifying the next-generation anode materials for LIBs.

One such material is hard carbon which typically allows faster lithiation due to larger interlayer spacing, and higher cycling capacity than graphite due to the additional nanopore filling Li storage mechanism. Experimentally, hard carbon of various morphologies such as spheres, hollow spheres, nansheets, carbon nanofibers, and porous monolith have been prepared. Wang et al. prepared carbon fibers via hydrothermal carbonization of rice husk and used it as anode material for LIB and achieved capacity of ~400 mAh/g at 75 mA/g. Moreover, Lotfabad et al. synthesized banana peel derived hard carbon via a pyrolysis reaction and reported high capacity of 1090 mAh/g in a LIB at current density of 50 mA/g.

Aside from renewability, biomass sources can be carefully selected to fine tune their morphologies. A vast biomass resource with wide selectivity of morphologies is pollen. The significance of the varied pollen morphologies are seldom noticed by the general public due to their miniature sizes and their antagonist role in allergy symptoms. Pollen grains typically have a tough outer layer made of sporopollenin biopolymer that is...
capable of deriving very divergent structures\(^2\). During the pollination season, plants can be thought to be mini-factories that replicate and generate species-specific pollen grains. Due to the micrometer particle size of pollens (above 6\(\mu\)m\(^2\)), considering their shrinkage after pyrolysis they could fall in the range of commercial carbon anode particle sizes. Solid, dense carbon particles provides high energy density to the rechargeable batteries.

Herein, we report the conversion of allergenic pollen grains into carbon microstructures through a facile, one-step, solid-state thermochemical decomposition in an inert atmosphere at elevated temperatures. For this study, carbon derived from both cattail pollens and bee pollens were evaluated for their potential application in LIB anodes. Cattail pollens was chosen to represent pollens with selective morphology but with limited commercial supply, while bee pollens represent pollens with unselective/diverse morphologies but are commercially available in large quantities.

### Results and Discussion

Figure 1 illustrates the two pollen sources utilized in this study and their corresponding carbon architecture. The cattail pollens were obtained from locally grown cattail plants, while the bee pollens were initially collected from flowers by foraging bees. The colored scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of ACP and ABP reveal the distinct morphological difference of the two pollen derived carbons. ACP carbon resembles the shape of collapsed spheres with uniform diameter of \(\approx 20\mu m\) (Fig. 2b). The uniformity is due to the utilization of a single pollen source. On the other hand, ABP exhibits unique morphologies of different pollen grains such as spiky spheres (\(\approx 15\mu m\) in diameter), brain-like spheres (\(\approx 50\mu m\)), and small smooth spheres (\(\approx 10\mu m\)) (Fig. 2a). The rich morphologies of ABP carbon can be attributed to the diverse pollen sources visited by the foraging bees. It is important to note that change of seasons and sourcing locations are expected to significantly impact the composition of bee pollens due to the change in available pollen species\(^2\). Such variation in bee pollen derived carbon microstructures could pose considerable challenges to battery anode quality control; however, controllable carbon microstructures with monodispersity could be attained via careful selection of pollen sources. For scale-up production of pollen carbon, it is possible to obtain structural uniformity through utilization of bee pollens harvested from commercial pollination beehives with singular target crop.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were taken to reveal high degree of disorderness in ACP carbon as shown in Fig. 2c. Due to the diverse carbon microstructures presented in ABP sample, TEM images of small sampling points would not be representative of the entire ABP sample hence its TEM were not collected.

Instead, X-ray diffraction and Raman spectroscopy were utilized to characterize the bulk samples (Fig. 2d,e). XRD spectra reveal that ABP and ACP have very similar crystal structures with (002) peaks at 24.6° and 25.1° respectively, corresponding to interlayer spacing of 0.358 nm and 0.360 nm (vs. 0.335 nm for graphite\(^2\)). The larger interlayer spacing is a common feature of hard carbon and is expected for these pollen samples since they were both synthesized at low temperatures. Moreover, Raman spectra of ABP and ACP both shows I_D/I_G ratios of \(\approx 1.00\), indicating the equivalent presence of both disordered and graphitic carbon in the samples. The similarities
of XRD and Raman spectra between these two samples suggest analogous carbon composition and carbon crystal structure in both samples.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was carried out to further examine their compositional difference and the results are presented in Fig. 2f and Table 1. Since XPS is surface sensitive technique, prior to XPS measurements; both ABP and ACP samples were mechanically ground to expose their core composition20. Both ABP and ACP samples contain comparable amount of C contents (~83.5%) and slight deviations in O (~11.5%) and N (~3.0%) contents as listed in Table 1. The high level of oxygen presence in both samples likely resulted from air activation. It is known that air activation in hard carbon improves lithiation capacity via formation of additional surface pores for additional lithiation 25. Similar improvements in capacity have also been observed in current work as described in the following section. Additionally, trace amount of phosphorus (~1%), calcium (~0.5%), and potassium (~0.5%) are detected in both samples, as pollens naturally contain these components.

Long cycling of electrode comprising ACP vs. Li half cells were studied at C/10 rate within voltage window of 0 to 3 V at both 50 °C and 25 °C. Voltage profiles of both cells were constructed to compare their cycling behavior (Fig. 3a). Both cells show sloping voltage profiles that are characteristic of hard carbon5; low-voltage plateaus that is typically seen in graphite anode is not observed here, suggesting the risk of lithium plating could be reduced. Furthermore, cattail pollen-derived anode cycled at elevated temperature (ACP_50 °C) exhibit slightly higher potential onset at 1st and 40th discharges than a similar anode cycled at room temperature (ACP_25 °C), suggesting a greater tendency for ACP to lithiate at elevated temperature.

Differential capacity (dQ/dV) plots of the 1st and 40th cycles for each cell further reveal the difference in their cycling behavior. As shown in Fig. 3b, the 1st discharge curve of ACP_25 °C cell appears to be erratic from 1.0V to 0.2V; this can be correlated to the 1st cycle solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) formation26. On the contrary, ACP_50 °C cell exhibits rather smooth discharge curve, suggesting very different reaction pathways to form the initial SEI layer, likely promoted by the temperature elevation. The continuous capacity fading observed in the first 10 cycles of ACP_50 °C cell suggests that this 1st cycle SEI layer is not stable (Fig. 3c). The 40th discharge curves for both cells represent stable discharge profiles at both 50 °C and 25 °C; and their comparable profiles suggest similar lithium intercalation mechanisms into the ACP carbon.

On the other hand, 1st and 40th charge profiles of both cells are shown on the inset of Fig. 3b to reveal distinctly different deintercalation features at the two temperatures. The peaks centered at 1–1.3 V region are narrower

---

### Table 1. XPS elemental composition comparison of pollen carbons.

| Samples | C wt% | O wt% | N wt% | P wt% | Ca wt% | K wt% |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| ABP     | 84.08 | 10.81 | 2.74  | 1.31  | 0.73   | 0.44  |
| ACP     | 83.18 | 12.14 | 3.20  | 0.82  | 0.12   | 0.55  |

---

**Figure 2. Characterization of pollen derived carbons.** SEM images of (a) ABP and (b) ACP; (c) TEM images of ACP; (d) XRD and (e) Raman patterns of ABP and ACP; (f) XPS spectra of ground ABP and ACP samples.
and more intense for the 50 °C cell than the 25 °C cell, indicating a more moderate sloping profile at higher temperature as observed in Fig. 3a. Small peaks appear at 2–3 V in the 1st charge curve of the 50 °C cell may be attributed to the extraction of Li from oxygen functional groups that reside on the surface of carbonaceous materials. These peaks disappear upon cycling likely due to the deactivation of surface functional groups.

Figure 3c shows the long cycling performance of both ACP_50 °C and ACP_25 °C cells at C/10 rate. ACP_50 °C cell exhibits high capacity of 590 mAh/g with minimal capacity fading after the first 10 cycles, while ACP_25 °C cell reaches stable reversible capacity of 382 mAh/g after the 1st cycle. The higher capacity of ACP_50 °C cell can be attributed to faster charge transfer/Li ion diffusion at elevated temperature enabling better utilization of the active material. The longer time it took to reach stable capacity is a result of more side reactions taking place on the carbon surface at elevated temperature until a thicker and more stable SEI layer is formed. This phenomena is also reflected on the lower coulombic efficiency of ACP_50 °C cell (~97%).

Further studies were conducted to evaluate ACP cells‘ rate capability at 50 °C and 25 °C. As shown in Fig. 3d, ACP_50 °C cell displays significant improvements in capacities (up to 200 mAh/g more capacity) at slow cycling rates, likely due to the fast charge transfer/Li ion diffusion at elevated temperature. However, the advantage of high temperature gradually diminishes at faster cycling rates. In terms of cycling stability, 25 °C cell outperforms 50 °C cell at slow cycling rates as a result of having fewer side reactions at lower temperature.

ABP half-cells were also cycled to evaluate their performance against ACP cells. As shown in Fig. 4a, the rate study of ABP cells at both 50 °C and 25 °C cell displays significant improvements in capacities (up to 200 mAh/g more capacity) at slow cycling rates, likely due to the fast charge transfer/Li ion diffusion at elevated temperature. However, the advantage of high temperature gradually diminishes at faster cycling rates. In terms of cycling stability, 25 °C cell outperforms 50 °C cell at slow cycling rates as a result of having fewer side reactions at lower temperature.

Electrochemical impedance spectrpscopy (EIS) was employed to probe the evolution of SEI and charge transfer kinetics of both pollen carbons at room temperature with respect to time. The inset of Fig. 5 illustrates the equivalent circuit model used to fit the EIS data. $R_{\text{SEI}}$ is the resistance of the electrolyte solution and $R_{\text{SEI}}$ is the SEI film resistance. The constant phase element (CPE) was used instead of double-layer capacitor to account for surface nonideality (roughness) of the particle. $R_{\text{CT}}$ is the charge transfer resistance at the particle surface. And $Z_{\text{f}}$
is the Warburg impedance that describes solid state diffusion of lithium ions into carbon. All the EIS and fitting data are summarized in Fig. S3. $R_{\text{sol}}$ calculated from the model remain nearly constant (~2.6 $\Omega$) for all the measurements. The results for $R_{\text{sei}}$ and $R_{\text{ct}}$ are summarized in Fig. 5. Both ABP and ACP cells experienced high film resistance ($R_{\text{sei}}$ = 150 $\Omega$ and 135 $\Omega$, respectively) during the 1st lithiation as a result of initial SEI formation. The slightly greater value of ABP cell may come from its larger surface area as discussed previously. The film resistance
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Figure 4. (a) Rate studies of ABP half-cells from 0 to 3 V at both 50 °C and 25 °C; (b) comparison of all rate studies of ACP and ABP cells.
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Table 2. Surface characterization of pollen carbons vs. 1st cycle irreversible capacity loss.

| Samples | BET Surface Area (m²/g) | DFT Pore Diameter (Mode) (nm) | 1st cycle irreversible (mAh/g) |
|---------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| ABP     | 303.9                   | 1.543                        | 614.9                        |
| ACP     | 237.6                   | 1.475                        | 556.7                        |
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Figure 5. SEI and charge transfer resistances at 0.5 V discharges as a function of cycles. The inset shows the equivalent circuit used to model the EIS data.
for both cells dropped significantly after the initial discharge and quickly stabilized to ~5 Ω after 3 cycles, suggesting the formation of stable SEI layers on both pollen derived carbon. The charge transfer resistance $R_{CT}$ exhibited very similar behaviors for both cells during the first two cycles and stabilized at ~39 Ω for ABP and ~161 Ω for ACP afterward. In other words, ABP particles experiences more than twice the charge transfer resistance of ACP. Since the film resistances are very similar in both cells, differences in SEI is unlikely the root cause for difference in their charge transfer resistance $R_{CT}$. One possible explanation is that ABP derived carbon is low in N contents, which have been known to improve surface reactivity and electron conductivity in carbon $35,36$. Consequently, ABP should have a lower electron conductivity leading to poorer charge transfer than ACP. However, the opposite observation may also be true if high P content (also benefits charge transfer$37$) in ABP is taken into account. Nevertheless, the difference in $R_{CT}$ is in good agreement with the galvanostatic cycling results (Fig. 4).

In summary, carbon microstructures with unique morphologies have been successfully synthesized from bee pollens and cattail pollens through a facile, one-step solid-state pyrolysis route. XRD and Raman spectroscopy were employed to confirm their hard carbon nature; and XPS elemental analysis revealed that both samples contain high levels of O content (~11.5%), which contributes to their excellent capacities and rate capabilities. ACP carbon was found to deliver high capacities of 590 mAh/g at 50 °C and 382 mAh/g at 25 °C when cycled at C/10 rate, they also exhibit excellent rate capabilities with 1C rate. ABP cells were cycled under the same conditions but were found to deliver less capacities than ACP cells. The capacity difference between ABP and ACP are up to 150 mAh/g for both temperatures. EIS model fitting shows that ACP carbon benefits from having lower charge transfer resistance which is likely influenced by the presence of conductivity-enhancing elements. This study explored the potential of utilizing pollens as renewable carbon sources for energy storage application and found that high reversible capacities are achievable with careful selection of pollen morphology.

**Methods**

**Synthesis of carbon microstructure.** Bee pollen granules (Stakich, 1 pound) were used as purchased; cattail pollens were collected from blooming cattail spikes grown locally on August 2014. Each pollen sample was dispersed in deionized water and filtered through a 0.5 mm sieve to remove non-pollen impurities; this procedure was repeated three times. After drying overnight at 80 °C in a vacuum oven, these samples were pyrolyzed at 600 °C for 3 hours in a tube furnace under continuous argon gas flow (based on the TGA results Fig. S1). The obtained samples were subsequently activated in air at 300 °C for 6 hours in a tube furnace. The activated bee-pollen carbon is denoted as “ABP” while activated cattail pollen is denoted as “ACP”.

**Electrochemical Testing.** Electrode slurry consisting of 80 wt% ABP or ACP, 10 wt% carbon black, and 10 wt% polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) dissolved in appropriate amount of n-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) solvent was homogenized for 30 mins using a Thinky mixer. The slurry was subsequently casted onto a copper film using a doctor-blade. The laminate was dried overnight at 80 °C in a vacuum oven and then punched into 12 mm diameter electrodes. The as-prepared electrode was paired with a lithium counter electrode separated by a polymer membrane (Celgard 2500) in CR2032 coin cells. The electrolyte used is 1M LiPF$_6$ in equal volumetric ratio of ethylene carbonate (EC), diethyl carbonate (DEC), and dimethyl carbonate (DMC). Galvanostatic cycling was conducted using Arbin BT-2000 Potentiostat at both 25 °C and 50 °C (inside ESPEC BTZ environmental chamber), henceforth described as room temperature and elevated temperature, respectively. EIS measurements were carried out on a Gamry Reference 600 Electrochemical Workstation. Both cells were cycled at C/2 rate (with 1C being 372 mAh/g) in room temperature. The impedance data were obtained at 0.5 V during each discharge by applying AC voltage perturbation with amplitude of 10 mV over frequency range from 1MHz to 0.01 Hz. Fitting of EIS results was performed using Gamry Echem Analyst software and goodness of fit for all fitting is in the order of 10$^{-3}$.

**Material Characterization.** *FEI Nova 200 NanoLab DualBeam TM-SEM/FIB* was utilized to obtain Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) images of the samples. *FEI-TITAN* microscope operating at an accelerating voltage of 300 kV was used to obtain Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) images. X-ray diffraction was collected from 10° to 80° at 5 degree/min scan rate using Rigaku SmartLab XRD with a Cu Kα$_\text{α}$ radiation source. *Thermo Scientific DXR Raman Microscope* with a 532-nm laser was used for Raman measurements. X-ray photoelectron spectrometer was employed for XPS measurement. Prior to XPS analysis, the samples were degassed at 300 °C for 12 hours.
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