Cholinergic Modulation of the Hippocampus During Encoding and Retrieval of Tone/Shock-Induced Fear Conditioning
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We investigated the role of acetylcholine (ACh) during encoding and retrieval of tone/shock-induced fear conditioning with the aim of testing Hasselmo’s cholinergic modulation model of encoding and retrieval using a task sensitive to hippocampal disruption. Lesions of the hippocampus impair acquisition and retention of contextual conditioning with no effect on tone conditioning. Cholinergic antagonists also impair acquisition of contextual conditioning. Saline, scopolamine, or physostigmine was administered directly into the CA3 subregion of the hippocampus 10 min before rats were trained on a tone/shock-induced fear conditioning paradigm. Freezing behavior was used as the measure of learning. The scopolamine group froze significantly less during acquisition to the context relative to controls. The scopolamine group also froze less to the context test administered 24 h postconditioning. A finer analysis of the data revealed that scopolamine disrupted encoding but not retrieval. The physostigmine group initially froze less during acquisition to the context, although this was not significantly different from controls. During the context test, the physostigmine group froze less initially but quickly matched the freezing levels of controls. A finer analysis of the data indicated that physostigmine disrupted retrieval but not encoding. These results suggest that increased ACh levels are necessary for encoding new spatial contexts, whereas decreased ACh levels are necessary for retrieving previously learned spatial contexts.

Cholinergic antagonists disrupt the acquisition of many spatial tasks that are also sensitive to hippocampal disruption, including, but not limited to, place navigation in a water-maze (Hagan et al. 1987), Hebb–Williams maze (Rogers and Kesner 2003), and contextual fear conditioning (Young et al. 1995; Wallenstein and Vago 2001). During tone/shock-induced fear conditioning, a conditioned stimulus (CS; tone) is paired with an unconditioned stimulus (US; shock). The unconditioned response (UR; freezing) is associated with the CS, producing a conditioned response (CR; freezing). Kim and Fanselow (1992) found that during tone/shock conditioning, rats also froze to the context in which the shock was administered. In addition, hippocampal lesions abolished this effect. Additional data from Phillips and LeDoux (1992) demonstrated that this type of conditioning, called “contextual conditioning” requires an intact hippocampus, whereas tone/shock associations require an intact amygdala. Hippocampal function is required in order to associate a set of independent features, or “context” (Rudy and O’Reilly 2001), with an aversive stimulus (i.e., foot-shock).

Computational models of hippocampal function, namely those of Hasselmo and colleagues (Hasselmo and Bower 1993; Hasselmo 1995a,b, 1999; Hasselmo and McClelland 1999) and Rolls (1996, 1989), suggest that the hippocampus, in particular the CA3 subregion, participates in the processes of encoding and retrieval. According to Hasselmo (1995a,b, 1999), a match/mismatch operation occurs in CA1 based on entorhinal cortex (EC) inputs and Schaffer collateral inputs [via dentate gyrus (DG)-CA3 mossy fibers]. A mismatch between inputs results in excitatory input into the medial septum, resulting in high acetylcholine (ACh) release in CA3. The increased levels of ACh attenuate CA3 recurrent collaterals, establishing an encoding phase. In contrast, a match between EC and DG-CA3 inputs in CA1 results in little activation of the medial septum and therefore reduced release of ACh into CA3. The recurrent collaterals dominate CA3 activity in the absence of ACh, establishing retrieval dynamics (see Hasselmo 1999). Encoding and retrieval were defined using the procedures recently employed by Lee and Kesner (2004) in which within-day and between-day analyses of freezing behavior assessed encoding and retrieval, respectively. It is assumed that the encoding phase dominates as the animal learns within a day of testing, whereas the retrieval phase dominates as the animal is exposed to the context after a 24-h delay, during which it is assumed that some consolidation has occurred. The purpose of these experiments was to test computational models using behavioral paradigms; therefore, the terms “encoding” and “retrieval” are used.

In the present study, rats were administered saline, scopolamine, or physostigmine directly into the CA3 subregion of the hippocampus 10 min prior to tone/shock-induced fear conditioning. The contextual retention test was administered 24 h postconditioning, and the cue retention test was administered 48 h postconditioning. According to the model championed by Hasselmo, the cholinergic antagonist, scopolamine, should disrupt contextual conditioning by means of impaired encoding, while sparing contextual retrieval and cue conditioning. In addition, the acetylcholinesterase inhibitor, physostigmine, should disrupt contextual conditioning by means of impaired retrieval, while sparing contextual encoding and cue conditioning.

RESULTS

Figure 1 shows that the injection cannulae were located bilaterally within the CA3 subregion of the dorsal hippocampus (n = 20). The dye (Chicago blue) spread to the majority of the CA3 region and was limited to only some of the CA1 and hilar regions of the dorsal hippocampus. However, the spread of the
dye may not reflect the spread of the drug accurately, due to the differences in molecular weights of each substance and viscosity of the solution.

Once conditioning began, the saline group readily displayed freezing behavior to the intertrial interval (ITI). The physostigmine group froze less than the controls. In contrast, the scopolamine group displayed decreased freezing behavior relative to controls for the duration of conditioning, suggesting a failure to associate the context with the shock stimulus (Fig. 2). A repeated-measures ANOVA with groups as the between-factor and trials as the within-factor revealed a significant difference among drug groups [F(2,17) = 4.71; P < 0.02]. A DMR test indicated that the scopolamine group froze significantly less than either the saline or physostigmine group (P < 0.05). There was also a main effect for trials, suggesting that some extinction had occurred [F(7,119) = 2.10; P < 0.04]. Given that the scopolamine group froze less on trial 10 on Day 1 compared to trial 1 on Day 1, it is not surprising that this group froze significantly less than controls during the context test 24 h later. When a finer analysis (retrieval index) was performed on the data, however, the scopolamine group froze at similar levels of the first retention trial compared to the last conditioning trial. Interestingly, it was the physostigmine group that, at this point, froze less during retrieval (Fig. 5). An one-way ANOVA revealed that during retrieval there was a significant group difference [F(2,17) = 4.20; P < 0.03]. A DMR test indicated that the physostigmine group froze significantly less than either the saline or scopolamine group (P < 0.05).

During the cue retention test administered 48 h posttraining, all groups displayed equal freezing levels during the onset of the tone stimulus (Fig. 6). A repeated-measures ANOVA revealed no group difference during cue testing [F(2,17) = 1.37; P > 0.28]. There was, however, a main effect for minutes, suggesting that some extinction occurred across time [F(5,85) = 5.01; P < 0.0004]. Interestingly, the physostigmine group did not indicate any signs of extinction, although this was not significantly different from either the saline or scopolamine group.

**DISCUSSION**

The present experiment demonstrates that the muscarinic antagonist, scopolamine, reduces the acquisition of tone/shock conditioning when administered before training. A finer analysis of the data indicated that scopolamine impairs the encoding of new contextual information when administered prior to conditioning on Day 1. The present findings also demonstrated that...
the acetylcholinesterase inhibitor, physostigmine, impairs the retrieval of previously learned contextual information when administered prior to conditioning. Rogers and Kesner (2003) recently observed very similar results when rats were tested on a spatial maze. Those authors also found that physostigmine impaired retrieval by disrupting the consolidation process.

Young and colleagues (1995), however, found that scopolamine impaired tone conditioning but had no effect on context conditioning. They also reported facilitation of consolidation when scopolamine is injected after conditioning. It should be noted that the injections of scopolamine were administered peripherally, therefore impacting the entire CNS. Wallenstein and Vago (2001) as well as Gale et al. (2001) found that scopolamine administration directly into dorsal hippocampus impaired acquisition to context conditioning, but spared conditioning to the tone. In the present study, scopolamine and physostigmine were administered directly in the CA3 subregion of the hippocampus. Computational models of hippocampal function suggest that the CA3 subregion participates in the processes of encoding and retrieval. Thus, the present experiments were created to test the role of ACh during the encoding and retrieval of context conditioning, subsequently blending computational models with data obtained from behavioral experiments.

The results indicate that scopolamine infusion prior to conditioning drastically reduces freezing behavior during context acquisition. A finer analysis of the data (encoding index) reveals that scopolamine impaired acquisition by disrupting encoding. During the context retention test given 24 h postconditioning, the scopolamine group also froze less compared to controls; however, given that the scopolamine group did not learn the task (Figs. 2, 3), it is not surprising that this group froze less than controls during the contextual retention test. A finer analysis of the data (retrieval index) revealed that the scopolamine group was not impaired compared to the saline group; however, a finer analysis of the data (retrieval index) revealed that physostigmine impaired retrieval.

These data lend considerable evidence in support of Hasselmo’s predictions regarding ACh during encoding and retrieval (1995a,b, 1999). Here, physostigmine impaired retrieval; however, the drug was given 10 min prior to conditioning on Day 1. It could be the case that physostigmine does not impair retrieval per se, but instead boosts ACh levels beyond the time of testing into a time when ACh levels need to drop, thereby interfering with the consolidation process (see Rogers and Kesner 2003).

A computational model offered by Lorincz and Buzsaki (2000) suggests that the EC may operate as a match/mismatch mechanism, rather than the CA1 as Hasselmo suggests. According to Lorincz and Buzsaki, the match/mismatch operation may lie in a comparison between layers II and V of the EC. Furthermore, the EC has been shown to generate oscillations that are thought to be important for inducing θ into the hippocampus (Dickson et al. 2000). The EC, therefore, could establish a θ oscillation from the septum to the hippocampus, causing an increase of ACh, at the same time activating the dentate gyrus to CA3 pathway to facilitate encoding. During retrieval, however, the EC may activate perforant path inputs directly to the CA3, with little or no ACh. A recent paper by Egorov et al. (2002) suggests that the EC is able to sustain activity over a time delay, further implicating layer V (the output layer from CA1) as a potential mechanism for a match/mismatch process. These data also support the computational model originally put forth by Treves and Rolls (1994), further expanded by Rolls (1996; 1984), who suggests that EC-DG mossy fiber inputs into CA3 are responsible for encoding, and that direct EC perforant path inputs are responsible for retrieval.

The findings obtained in the present study support the computational models suggested by Hasselmo and Lorincz and Buzsaki. These data do not allow for a comparison between these two models; however, taken with recent data from Lee and Kesner (2004) as well as Rogers and Kesner (2003), our findings sug-
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Twenty Long-Evans rats (Simonsen Laboratories) approximately 4 mos of age at the start of the experiment, weighing ∼350 gm, served as subjects. The rats were housed individually in plastic tubs located in a colony with a 12-h light-dark cycle. All rats had free access to food and water. All testing was conducted during the light portion of a 12-h:12-h light-dark cycle. The rats used in the current study were previously used in a maze experiment. All experiments were conducted according to the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the University of Utah Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Drug Infusion

After cannulae implantation, each rat was given bilateral injections of saline (0.035 µL; n = 6), 35 µg scopolamine hydrobromide (n = 7), or 10 µg physostigmine (n = 7). Scopolamine was dissolved in 1 µL of 0.9% saline solution and delivered in a volume of 0.35 µL. Both the concentration and volume of scopolamine were selected from previous studies in which scopolamine administration directly into the CA3 disrupted acquisition of a spatial task (Blockland et al. 1992; Wallenstein and Vago 2001). Physostigmine was dissolved in 1 µL of 0.9% saline solution and delivered in a volume of 0.10 µL. The concentration of physostigmine was selected from previous studies (Todd and Kesner 1978; DeGroot and Parent 2000), and the volume of physostigmine was selected on the basis of a pilot study. The criteria for selection were that there would be no major changes in activity level, no obvious side effects, but still an efficacy in producing memory problems (see Rogers and Kesner 2003).

Infusions were administered through an injection cannula (33 ga.) extending 1.0 mm below the guide cannula (28 ga.). The injection cannula was attached to a 10-g Hamilton syringe with polyethylene tubing. The syringe was then mounted in an infusion pump (Harvard Apparatus), and substances were delivered via pressure injection with the tubing filled with water/air/drug at a constant rate of 0.5 µL/min for 3 sec. The two sides of the...
rat were infused sequentially, and injection cannulae were left in position for an additional 60 sec following the infusion to allow for diffusion of the drug or vehicle. To allow the drugs to take effect, there was a 10-min delay from the time of drug injection and testing on the maze.

**Histology**

After all behavioral testing commenced, rats were injected with a blue dye (Chicago blue) to test the diffusion of the drug throughout the hippocampus and patency (i.e., whether or not the drugs were injected into region CA3) of the drugs through the cannulae. It should be noted, however, that the exact degree of diffusion could not be ascertained from the dye due to the different molecular weights of the dye and drugs. Rats were then anesthetized with a lethal dose of sodium pentobarbital and perfused intracardially with saline solution followed by a 10% formalin solution. The brains were then extracted and stored in 30% sucrose formalin for 5 d before being frozen and sliced coronally into 40-µm sections with a freezing-stage microtome.

**Behavioral Analysis**

Two observation chambers were used during the three consecutive days of testing. The first chamber was used for conditioning and contextual retention. This chamber (28 x 21 x 22 cm; Coulbourn Instruments) consisted of two clear Plexiglas walls (rear wall and front door) and two aluminum sidewalls. The chamber floor contained 18 steel rods connected to a precision-regulated shocker (Coulbourn Instruments) delivering electric footshock stimuli. A speaker was inserted into one of the aluminum side-walls of the conditioning chamber to deliver the tone. A computer program (Graphical State, Coulbourn Instruments) controlled all stimuli. The chamber was located in an isolated room surrounded by completely different visual cues. Numerous visual cues such as toys and posters were located around the conditioning chamber. A video camera monitored and recorded the animal’s behavior. The chamber was cleaned using water.

**Procedure**

**Day 1: Acquisition**

Ten min after drug administration, rats were placed in the fear conditioning chamber for 2 min without a tone stimulus. After the 2-min baseline period, rats received 10 trials of tone/shock pairing. A tone (10 sec, 2 kHz, 85 dB) presented through a speaker initiated each trial. The tone coterminated with an electric footshock (2 sec 0.5mA) delivered through the shock-floor. A 64-sec intertrial interval (ITI) separated each successive trial. After the tenth and final tone/shock pairing, the rat remained in the chamber for an additional 2 min without tone or shock stimuli. A freezing response (e.g., absence of movement minus respiratory movement) was measured during each ITI (64 sec x 10). A blind observer scored freezing behavior every 8 sec, resulting in eight observations for each interval between tone and shock pair trials.

**Day 2: Contextual Retention Test**

Each rat was tested for retrieval of contextual conditioning 24 h after acquisition. The rat was placed in the same chamber used during the acquisition period for 8 min in the absence of the tone stimulus. Freezing behavior was measured every 8 sec by a blind observer.

**Day 3: Cue Retention Test**

The rat was placed in the clear Plexiglas chamber 48 h after acquisition. Rats were given a 2-min preexposure period followed by a 6-min continuous tone (the same tone presented in Day 1 acquisition). Freezing behavior was measured during the 2-min baseline and 6-min tone every 8 sec by a blind observer.

**Data Analysis**

Freezing scores were transformed to percent scores of total observations for data analysis throughout the experiment. A repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed for testing group differences during acquisition and retention of the context and tone stimulus, and a post hoc comparison (Duncan Multiple Range test) was made when necessary. Acquisition data are presented as a function of trials shown as means. A finer analysis of the data was needed to assess the role of ACh during encoding and retrieval. It is assumed that the encoding and retrieval processes interact on a trial-by-trial basis in any behavioral task. This may be one of the reasons that encoding and retrieval are difficult to test behaviorally. Nonetheless, the control group displayed marked improvements in learning (more freezing) during the last trial than the first trial of tone/shock-induced fear conditioning. In addition, they continued to freeze when they were tested 24 h later, suggesting good retention from the previous day. Therefore, encoding and retrieval were operationally defined as follows. A within-day (W/D) encoding index was calculated within a day by subtracting D1A from D1B, where the first trial of the ITI during Day 1 was represented by D1A and the last trial of the ITI during Day 1 was represented by D1B. A between-day (B/D) retrieval index was calculated between 2 consecutive days by subtracting D1B from D2A, where D1B indicated the last trial of the ITI during Day 1 and D2A indicated the first 64 sec of the retention test administered 24 h postconditioning. It is feasible that the encoding phase is likely to dominate more during the learning of a new task within a day, whereas retrieval of encoded information is needed initially to perform the task 24 h later. Encoding and retrieval scores were generated only for contextual information; tone information may be acquired and retained without the use of the hippocampus (Philips and LeDoux 1992). Encoding and retrieval analyses are similar to analyses employed during the learning of a modified Hebb–Williams maze (see Lee and Kesner 2004; Rogers and Kesner 2003).
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