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Novel Method to Improve the Uniformity of 7T Body MR Images
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When using ultrahigh-field MR systems (7T), the variations in the RF magnetic field can lead to significant loss in image uniformity. To optimize the overall MR image quality, the image region is divided into multiple smaller regions of interest (the ROIs), which can be independently optimized using transmit array optimization techniques including RF shimming, to improve RF magnetic fields and image intensity. Electromagnetic numerical simulations and corresponding transverse magnetization ($|M_t|$) acquired using the Bloch equation-based MRI simulator are used to evaluate the proposed method. Compared to the simulation results of quadrature driving method, mean and standard deviation (SD) of $|M_t|$ in the full image (an inner diameter of 500 mm) were improved 47% (mean) and 48% (SD), whereas 94% (max) and 97% (mean) improved in the unaveraged SAR using the proposed method. The uniformity of $|M_t|$ acquired using the method was especially improved in the peripheral region of the selected phantom image compared to that of other methods. The proposed method using multiple independently optimized ROIs and numerical simulations significantly improved the uniformity of $|M_t|$ body images at 7T. This technique would be generally applicable to any high-field strength MR systems, which generate short RF wavelengths compared to the field of view.

1. Introduction

To acquire magnetic resonance (MR) images of high resolution and increased signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), a higher static magnetic field ($|B_0|$) is needed [1–6]. This is because the intrinsic SNR (ISNR) in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is proportional to the square of $|B_0|$ (ISNR $\propto |B_0|^2$) [1, 2]. However, as $|B_0|$ is increased, the absorbed power increases significantly [5, 7], and magnetic field inhomogeneity caused by a wavelength effect results in decreased image intensity uniformity [6–8]. These effects are significant in the imaging of human tissue using 7T MRI systems [6], the highest field approved for MRI by the FDA. Many different methods have been studied to solve the issues of image uniformity and absorbed power of high-field MRIs. Some of the examples are multichannel excitation using a shielded birdcage coil [9, 10], transmit array with radiofrequency (RF) shimming [11–15], composite pulse [16, 17], spoke pulse [18–20], different transmit RF coil designs [21–24], high dielectric constant (HDC) materials [5, 7, 25–28], and simultaneous transmit excitation of phased array and volume coil [8]. Specifically, Taracila [9] showed image uniformity was improved by applying a series of multiple current distributions on the entire birdcage coil using different modes of the birdcage coil and then combining individually acquired images at 300–400 MHz. Orzada et al. [10] showed similar results using time-interleaved acquisition of modes (TIAMO) methods. Collins et al. [11] showed that optimization of amplitude and phase of current at each transmit channel; i.e., RF shimming could significantly improve flip angle uniformity for high-field human head MRIs with operating frequencies between 300 MHz and 600 MHz. Setsompop et al. [18] showed that custom designed spatially selective RF waveforms, called spoke pulses, with an 8-channel transmit array could improve image uniformity compared to the standard slice selective method for 3.0 T human head MRI. Erturk et al. [21] designed a 16-channel transmit and receive array, consisting of eight combined loop-dipole elements, which improved
SNR, peak rotating RF transmit magnetic field amplitude (|$B_1^*|$), and specific absorption rate (SAR) compared to imaging with the 16-channel microstrip line and 10-channel fractionated dipole antenna design. Yang et al. [25] showed improved SNR from brain and reduced SAR with HDC material of water (conductivity ($\sigma$) = 0.0047 S/m and relative permittivity ($\varepsilon_r$) = 78) for 3T head MRI. Some researchers used the above high-field RF optimization methods for imaging of specific regions of interest (ROIs) such as prostate [29], liver [30], and head imaging [31, 32]. However, most of the previous methods have limitations on making uniform RF magnetic fields and image intensity when the size of a imaging region was large enough to over one wavelength ($\lambda$) and |$B_0$| > 3 T; e.g., image nonuniformity was evident for whole body MR images at 7 T or higher field strengths in the previous research [21–23].

The wavelength of electromagnetic fields would be decreased inside the human body because of an increased $\varepsilon_r$ value ($\lambda \propto \sqrt{\varepsilon_r}$) compared to the $\lambda$ in the free space. Specifically, $\varepsilon_r$ of an average human muscle is 63.9 at 128 MHz and 59.0 at 300 MHz [33, 34], whereas $\varepsilon_r$ is 1 in the free space at any frequency. Therefore, one wavelength of electromagnetic fields in the average human muscle is 0.29 m at 128 MHz and 0.13 m at 300 MHz, whereas it is 2.34 m at 128 MHz and 1.0 m at 300 MHz in the free space. Therefore, it would be challenging to make uniform RF magnetic fields inside the human body with the decreased $\lambda$ of electromagnetic fields.

Based on the results shown in previous research studies [9–18, 21–23], the maximum size of a region that can make uniform magnetic fields and image intensity at 7.0 T would be less than or approximately one wavelength. However, the waist size of an average man is about 0.83–0.99 m [35] (the diameter is about 0.26–0.32 m with assumption of a cylinder), which is much bigger than one wavelength at 7.0 T. Therefore, it would be difficult to make uniform magnetic fields and image intensity within the whole human body including a waist at 7.0 T with any known methods; for example, Vaughan et al. [2] showed MR image intensity in the boundary region was decreased about 43% compared to that in the center region of a brain at 300 MHz ($\lambda$ = 0.14 m; $\varepsilon_r$ = 51.9 in the average human brain at 300 MHz), whereas about 23% decreased at 170 MHz ($\lambda$ = 0.23 m; $\varepsilon_r$ = 58.2 in the average human brain at 170 MHz).

A new method is presented using RF shimming with multiple ROIs, RSMR, to improve uniformity of the RF magnetic field and corresponding MR image intensity at 7 T. Specifically, if the ROI is larger than one wavelength, the region would be divided into multiple smaller regions. After that, independent optimization techniques including RF shimming would be applied to each region to improve RF magnetic fields and image intensity. The RSMR method was implemented using the finite difference time domain (FDTD) numerical simulations and the Bloch equation-based MRI simulator [36].

2. Methods

Numerical simulations and optimization were performed using 16-channel transmit array, cylindrical body phantom, whole-body human female model named Ella from the Virtual Family [37], and the MRI system simulator [36] for body imaging. The experimental verifications for body imaging were not shown in this study because of difficulties to acquire experimental data. All FDTD numerical simulations were performed at 300 MHz with commercially available software (xFDTD; Remcom, Inc; State College, PA, USA), and analysis of the results was performed in MATLAB (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA). The magnitude of transverse magnetization (|$M_r$|) and MR images of the head were acquired using experimentally acquired 8-channel transmit rotating RF magnetic field ($B_1^*$) of each channel, optimization methods, and the Bloch equation-based MRI system simulator [17, 36].

2.1. Overall Flow Chart of the Optimization. Figure 1 shows the overall flowchart of acquiring optimized MR images using multiple ROIs considering wavelength within the sample and electromagnetic properties of the sample and transmit array optimization methods, e.g., RF shimming for this study. MR images are acquired sequentially after each ROI optimization. The image data are combined after all the ROIs have been optimized and imaged.

2.2. Computational Model of RF Coils. The 16-channel transmit array for body imaging was used in this study (Figure 2). The 16-channel transmit array has an inner diameter (ID) of 620 mm, inner rod width of 30 mm, outer rod width of 60 mm (Figure 2(b), red and white arrows), gap between inner and outer rods of 10 mm, and length of 620 mm (Figures 2(a) and 2(c)). The RF shield having ID of 827 mm and length of 845 mm was used in this study (Figures 2(a) and 2(c)) [17]. A cell size of the 16-channel transmit array and RF shield for FDTD simulations was $3 \times 3 \times 3$ mm$^3$.

2.3. Computational Model of Sample and Human. A cylindrical uniform phantom used for body imaging had an ID of 540 mm and length of 620 mm. The phantom was composed of a material having electrical properties of $\sigma = 0.79$ S/m and $\varepsilon_r = 59.0$, which are the same as the properties of average human muscle at 300 MHz [33, 38]. The optimized size of each ROI was calculated by checking |$M_t$| uniformity using the RF shimming method (Figure 3 and Table 1). Using the optimized size, the phantom was divided into three regions (regions I (0–100 mm), II (100–200 mm), and III (200–260 mm)) for optimization (Figures 2(b) and 4, Table 2), and the matrix size for the phantom simulations was $258 \times 259 \times 250$ mm$^3$. The regions were determined based on the one wavelength size (about 130 mm at 300 MHz within the average human muscle) and changed by a 10 mm until finding a maximum size having a good uniformity of |$M_t$| (more than 90% of the best value).

The Ella model for body imaging included 37 anatomical structures, with a 3 mm isotropic resolution, and the electromagnetic tissue properties were assigned based on literature [33, 34, 38, 39]. The model was positioned to have the
Figure 1: Overall flowchart of acquiring optimized MR images using multiple regions of interest (ROIs) and transmit array optimization methods, e.g., RF shimming, composite pulse, and spokes RF pulse.

Figure 2: Geometrical models and multiple ROIs used in this study: (a) 16-channel transmit array (ID = 620 mm and length = 620 mm), RF shield (ID = 827 mm, length = 845 mm), and cylindrical uniform phantom (ID = 540 mm, length = 620 mm, $\sigma = 0.79$ S/m, and $\varepsilon_r = 59.0$ (ave. muscle at 300 MHz)) at 7.0 T MRI; (b) multiple ROIs considering wavelength and electromagnetic properties of sample (inner rod (red arrow) width of 30 mm and outer rod (white arrow) width of 60 mm); (c, d) with human body model of Ella.
center of the heart corresponding to the center of the body transmit array in the Z-direction (Figure 2(c)). The Ella model was divided into two different cases: cases I and II. Case I has three different concentric regions of interest I (0–80mm), II (80–150mm), and III (150–500mm) for optimization (Figure 2(d)), whereas case II has two regions of interest I (0–200mm) and II (200–500mm). Case II regions were selected to decrease the scanning time with a minimum sacrifice of $|M_t|$ uniformity (Table 3). Also, the matrix size for numerical simulations using the Ella model was $258 \times 259 \times 589 \text{mm}^3$. A multiresolution matrix size was used for the numerical simulations to save computational time; i.e., $3 \times 3 \times 3 \text{mm}^3$ (within RF coils, sample, and human model) and $20 \times 20 \times 20 \text{mm}^3$ (outside of the RF coils, sample, and human model).

2.4. Computational Model of Driving Methods. Each port of the 16-channel transmit array for body imaging was excited with a voltage source having a magnitude of 1 V and a phase equal to the azimuthal position of the element in series with a 50-ohm resistor connected in serial. The RF shimming technique [11–15] was used for optimization of each region in phantom and human body imaging. Specifically, the amplitude and phase of each channel were optimized to produce the most homogeneous $|M_t|$ and the lowest SAR within the ROI by minimizing the SD of $|M_t|$ and/or maximum SAR over the ROI. During optimization, a simple cost function was used to balance the uniformity of $|M_t|$ and SAR.

$$\eta \times |M_t|_{\text{inhomogeneity}} + (1 - \eta) \times \text{SAR}_{\text{Max}}, \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq 1. \quad (1)$$

The value of $\eta$ can be varied any number from 0 to 1 for considering the uniformity of $|M_t|$ and SAR; however, three numbers of 0 (only considering SAR), 0.5 (half), and 1 (only considering uniformity of $|M_t|$) are shown in this study (Figures 5 and 6).

The $|M_t|$ was calculated, ignoring the effect of spin-lattice relaxation time ($T_1$) and spin-spin relaxation time ($T_2$), using the Bloch equation and $|B_{1n}^z|$ of each channel acquired from numerical FDTD simulations [36]:

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = \gamma M \times \sum_n B_{1n}^z, \quad (2)$$

where $M$ represents the net magnetization vector, $\gamma$ is the gyromagnetic ratio, i.e., 42.58 MHz/T for the $^1$H, and $B_{1n}^z$ is the complex circularly polarized component of RF magnetic field in each unit.

The SAR was calculated using the electric field distributions and tissue properties as follows:

---

Table 1: Mean and SD of 2D-|$M_t|$ depending on the inner diameter (ID) of the cylindrical phantom (Figures 2(a) and 3).

| ID (mm) | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 |
|---------|----|-----|-----|-----|
| Mean | 0.994 | 0.990 | 0.828 | 0.720 |
| SD | 0.006 | 0.013 | 0.260 | 0.304 |

---

Figure 3: Numerical simulation results of transverse magnetization ($|M_t|$) with different inner diameters (IDs) of region of interest (ROI) and designed RF shimming method (a). Mean and standard deviation (SD) of $|M_t|$ with different IDs (b).
**2.5. Single-Mode RF Shimming Vs. Multi-Mode RF Shimming.**

In this study, the multi-mode RF shimming is to excite two different $B_1^+$ modes using static RF shimming in an interleaved acquisition based on the previous research [10] (the authors called this method as TIAMO). In this method, each result compensates each other; for example, the first image has good uniformity of $|M_t|$ at the center region, whereas the second one has good uniformity of $|M_t|$ at the boundary region. Therefore, combining the two images can improve the uniformity of $|M_t|$ with sacrificing increase in scanning time by a factor of two.

The single-mode RF shimming uses one $B_1^+$ mode; therefore, images can be acquired without increasing a scanning time.

The single-mode and the multi-mode RF shimming as well as a conventional quadrature driving method with the same transmit array, sample, and human models were used for comparison. Before optimization, all electromagnetic fields were normalized so that the dissipated power of each channel was set as 1 W.

**3. Results**

Figure 1 shows the overall flowchart of acquiring optimized MR images using multiple ROIs considering wavelength and electromagnetic properties of sample combined with optimization methods, e.g., RF shimming [11–15], composite pulse [16, 17], and spoke RF pulse [18–20]. Each region of multiple ROIs was optimized individually starting with reference data and then combined into a full MR image using individually calculated $|M_t|$ of each region and MR simulator [14, 16, 17]. The reference data, i.e., initial values used for optimization of amplitude and phase of each channel, were selected based on the numerical simulations.

Table 2 shows calculated $|M_t|$-2D and corresponding mean and SD of $|M_t|$ (Table 1) within the cylindrical phantom using different inner diameters (IDs). Note that the mean and SD of $|M_t|$ were changed less than 10% until the ID was 100 mm (Table 1). The optimization of $|M_t|$ uniformity using RF shimming for each IDs was performed based on the previous research [17].
Figure 4 shows numerical simulation results of $2D - |M_t|$ acquired using the cylindrical phantom and four different optimization methods, i.e., quadrature driving, RF shimming using the single mode, RF shimming using the multi-mode, and RSMR method at 7.0 T. The corresponding mean and SD values of $|M_t|$ for each method are shown in Table 2. Compared to the results of quadrature driving method, mean and SD of $|M_t|$ were improved 39% (mean) and 35% (SD) using RF shimming with single mode without multiple ROIs, whereas 61% (mean) and 94% (SD) using the RSMR method. The uniformity of $|M_t|$ acquired using the RSMR method was improved especially in the peripheral region of the selected phantom image compared to that of other methods. The calculated unaveraged SAR values using the cylindrical phantom were not shown because of a small value (less than 1 W/kg within the ID of 260 mm).

Figures 5 and 6 show numerical simulation results of $|M_t|$ (first row) and corresponding unaveraged SAR (second row) using the human model (Ella) and two different optimization methods of quadrature driving and RF shimming with different cost function ($\eta$) values, considering uniformity of $|M_t|$ and SAR at 7.0 T.

Table 3: Mean and SD of 2D-$|M_t|$ and unaveraged SAR within the human body model, Ella (Figures 5 and 6).

|                 | Quad. driving | RF shimming (single mode) | RSMR Case I | RSMR Case II ($\eta = 1.0$) |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| $\eta = 1.0$    |               |                           |             |                            |
| Mean $|M_t| - 2D (A/m)$ | 0.64          | 0.89 (39%)                | 0.87        | 0.69 0.94 (47%)          | 0.93 0.51 0.92 (44%) |
| SD $|M_t| - 2D$      | 0.31          | 0.19 (39%)                | 0.20        | 0.30 0.16 (48%)          | 0.17 0.32 0.17 (45%) |
| Max SAR - 2D (W/kg) | 205         | 11.7 (94%)                | 10.2        | 6.32 12.3 (94%)          | 9.56 6.32 16.6 (92%) |
| Mean SAR - 2D (W/kg) | 12.8        | 0.38 (97%)                | 0.35        | 0.32 0.38 (97%)          | 0.34 0.28 0.36 (97%) |

Cost function: $\eta|M_t|$-inhomogeneity +$(1-\eta)$$\times$SAR-Max, Case I: (0–80) + (80–150) + (150–500 mm), Case II: (0–200) + (200–500 mm).

This is consistent with the results of the cylindrical phantom having a smaller ROI of 260 mm (Table 2). The improvement in $|M_t|$ uniformity was 35% (RF shimming single-mode) and 52% (RF shimming multi-mode), whereas it was 94% at RSMR.

4. Discussion

The principle of RSMR is based on the premise that it is difficult to achieve adequate image homogeneity in regions larger than one wavelength in tissue; i.e., when the ID of ROIs is close to the wavelength, the SD of $|M_t|$ was significantly increased with the RF shimming optimization method (Figure 3). Therefore, it is necessary to make multiple ROIs considering wavelength and electromagnetic properties of the tissue loading the coil. Then, the imaging parameters including RF coil design, amplitude, and phase.
of each channel should be optimized. The image post-processing within each ROI yields uniformly high $|M_t|$ and lower SAR distributions.

Compared to previous researches [7–11, 14–17, 24–26], the novelty of our designed method is using the independent multiple ROIs to optimize a big imaging region. Some previous research [9, 10] used multiple optimizations using different driving modes, which is similar to our method. Also, some improvements were shown compared to the results of quad- rature driving and RF shimming with a single mode, which is consistent with the results in this study (Table 2 and Figure 4). However, they used one big ROI to cover the whole imaging region making a limitation to produce uniform $|M_t|$ and lower SAR distributions within the ROI having a size bigger than or close to one wavelength (Figures 4–6). It is because the current optimization methods including multi-mode RF shimming [10] have limitations to make uniform $|M_t|$ within a big ROI even though the initial mode of $B_1^+$ was different. In our method, the initial parameters were optimized at the specific ROIs which is smaller than the whole ROI, resulting in better $|M_t|$ uniformity and lower SAR, especially at the center region. This would provide enough image uniformity at the torso imaging.

One drawback of the designed RSMR method is the increased data acquisition time because of multiple data acquisitions and postprocessing compared to the standard MR imaging method. This increased acquisition time can be compensated using (1) parallel imaging methods, including SENSE [40], GRAPPA [41], and compressed sensing [42], and/or (2) decreased number of averages in the imaging parameters because of higher SNR at 7.0 T MRI compared to lower $|B_0|$ MR systems, e.g., 1.5 T and 3.0 T.

The results shown in this study can be slightly changed depending on the selection of initial values of optimization parameters, e.g., magnitude and phase of each channel and increment parameter of magnitude and phase. Thus, a judicious choice of start values is needed. The proposed RSMR method can be applied to any arbitrary-shaped ROIs, e.g., heart, liver, and tumor.

The MRI experimental results using designed RSMR method and human body, e.g., Torso or CTL spine imaging, were not shown in this study because of nonavailability of the 7.0 T whole-body human MRI system.

5. Conclusions

This study shows that the designed RSMR method improved uniformity of $|M_t|$ and SAR within the cylindrical phantom and the human model compared to the results of quadrature driving and RF shimming with single mode and multi-mode using numerical simulations at 7.0 T. The designed method would be more efficient to the applications having bigger ROIs with a higher operating frequency. The methods and results presented here can provide useful information for improving uniformity and sensitivity of MR images in high-field human and animal MR imaging.
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