Classification of odontogenic cysts and tumors – Antecedents
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INTRODUCTION

Odontogenic tumors (OTs) are lesions derived from epithelial, ectomesenchymal or both the elements that have been part of the tooth-forming apparatus. Odontogenic cysts are the most common type of cysts occurring within the jaws. They arise as a result of proliferation and cystic degeneration of odontogenic epithelial rests.

The first attempt to classify odontogenic cysts and tumors was published by Broca in 1868, following which numerous works have been done. It was not until the 1960's when a group of experts from different countries, sponsored by the World Health Organization (WHO), produced a consensus-based classification aimed to define the clinicopathological criteria necessary to diagnose these entities. These efforts in 1971 led to the publication of the first edition of the “histological classification of OTs, jaw cysts and allied lesions,” which had professors Jens J. Pindborg and Ivor R.H. Kramer as editors. The classification was based on the concept suggested in 1958 that characteristic interactions between epithelial and ectomesenchymal tissue elements occurring during normal tooth development also operate to a certain extent in the pathogenesis and histodifferentiation of OTs.

PREVALENCE OF ODONTOGENIC LESIONS

OTs and cyst are uncommon lesions accounting for <2–3% of all oral and maxillofacial specimens sent for diagnosis to oral pathology services. If viewed as a percentage of all tumors in the human body, this figure is reduced to a conservative estimate of approximately 0.002–0.003%. More than 95% of all OTs reported in large series are benign and around 75% are represented by odontomas, ameloblastomas and myxomas.
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HISTORY

The earliest journal report of an OT was published in 1839 which was a bony hard lesion of the maxillary bicuspids region that in today’s terminology would be diagnosed as cementoblastoma. A complex odontoma was reported in the American Journal of Dental Science in 1848. It was, however, the renowned French Dentist, the founder of modern dentistry, Pierre Fauchard, who in 1746 provided the first accurate description of an odontoma.[1]

In 1869, the French physician and professor of Pathology and Clinical Surgery, Pierre Paul Broca produced a monograph on tumor classification which also included the classification of OTs. Bland-Sutton's contribution in 1888 lay down to what could be called modern OT taxonomy by basing his classification upon the nature of the particular cells of the tooth germ from which the tumor arose. They included odontogenic cysts and fibrous osteogenic tumors in his classification, but the term odontoma remained as the common designation for any tumor of odontogenic origin.[1]

In 1930, Ivy and Churchill introduced the term ameloblastoma. The connective tissue odontomes become fibromas or cementomas according to their structure. Thoma and Goldman in 1946 modified the classification introduced by Bland-Sutton in 1888. They considered enamel pearls as developmental malformations rather than neoplasms. Pindborg and Clausen in 1958 suggested OT to be a result of epithelial-mesenchymal interaction with cellular changes in tumor pathogenesis. On this basis, tumors were divided into two main groups: epithelial and mesodermal.[1]

Depending on the ability of the epithelium to induce changes in the surrounding mesenchymal tissue, the epithelial tumors were further subdivided into two groups:
• Comprising pure epithelial tumors with no inductive changes in the connective tissue, such as ameloblastoma and calcifying epithelial OT
• Composed of epithelial tumors that do show inductive changes in the mesenchyme.

These tumors comprise a soft tissue type and those characterized by the occurrence of hard dental tissue, dentinomas and odontomas.

Mesodermal tumors covered include odontogenic fibroma (fibrosarcoma), odontogenic myxoma and cementifying fibroma.

The WHO collaborating centre established in 1966 attended by Professors Ivor Kramer, University of London, and Jens Pindborg drafted a tentative classification including the jaw cysts. In the year 1971, the classification of OTs, cysts and allied lesions was published. The WHO classification of epithelial jaw tumors (1971) was based on the behavior with a broad division of the lesions into “benign” or “malignant” tumors. The WHO histological typing of OTs, jaw cysts and allied lesions, from the first edition, 1971, included three main divisions: “neoplasms and other tumors related to the odontogenic apparatus,” “neoplasms and other lesions related to bone” and “epithelial cysts.”[6]

Twenty-one years later, in 1992, a second edition: “histological typing of OTs” appeared. In this second edition, the benign category is subdivided into three groups: lesions in which there is odontogenic epithelium without (morphologically identifiable) odontogenic ectomesenchyme; lesions in which both of these elements are identifiable (some lesions in this group show inductive changes leading to the formation of one or more of the dental hard tissue) and lesions in which odontogenic ectomesenchyme appears to predominate; although in some instances, odontogenic epithelium may be included. There are also substantial changes in section on ameloblastomas, while some lesions designated in the first edition have been moved to another part of the classification or merged into different subgroups. New variants described in this classification include desmoplastic ameloblastoma and keratoameloblastoma.[2]

In the year 2000, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IRAC) in Lyon, France, started a series on the WHO classification of tumors. In the early 2002, Philipsen and Reichart updated a revision of the second classification. Advances made in this new classification were origin of the tumor and interactions of odontogenic tissues in tumor development. This classification was based on the biological behavior of the lesion, where the lesions were categorized into benign, malignant and non-neoplastic. The classification approved at the Editorial and Consensus Conference held in Lyon, France (WHO/IRAC) in July 2003 in conjunction with the preparation of the new WHO blue book and genetics of tumors of head and neck is shown in Table 1.[5]

MALIGNANT TUMORS

Odontogenic carcinomas
• Metastasizing malignant ameloblastoma
• Ameloblastic carcinoma
• Primary intraosseous squamous cell carcinoma
• Clear cell odontogenic carcinoma
• Ghost cell odontogenic carcinoma.

Odontogenic sarcomas
• Ameloblastic fibrosarcoma
• Ameloblastic fibrodentino sarcoma and fibro-odontosarcoma.
Non-neoplastic lesions occurring in the maxillofacial skeleton

- Fibrous dysplasia
- Osseous dysplasia
- Central giant cell lesion
- Cherubism
- Aneurysmal bone cyst
- Simple bone cyst.

An important aspect associated with the first group of tumors lies in the characteristics of the tumor stroma. The stroma is relatively acellular and fibrous in contrast to other groups where the stroma is ectomesenchymal in nature. Odontogenic fibroma represents a rare and controversial tumor. At present, two variants can be distinguished: the epithelium – poor type and the epithelium – rich type, formerly known as simple and complex (or WHO) types, respectively.\(^5\)

A wealth of clinical and molecular evidence has indicated that the odontogenic keratocyst (OKC) has been regarded as a benign cystic neoplasm. OKC is now termed as keratinizing cystic odontogenic tumor (CCOT). Malignant transformation of DGCT into dentinogenic ghost cell carcinoma has been described.\(^4\)

**FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

The current WHO classification of OTs is based on the behavior of the lesion. Although OKC and calcifying odontogenic cyst are considered to be benign cystic neoplasms in this classification, many authors are reluctant to agree on this notion. The reason behind this reluctance is that not all the OKCs and COCs behave aggressively similar to a neoplasm. Further, there are a few lesions which histologically show the proliferation of odontogenic epithelium, but the pattern does not fit into the diagnostic criteria of any of the above-mentioned odontogenic neoplasms. Hence, a revised classification of odontogenic cysts and tumors that would incorporate the molecular pathology of the lesion has become mandatory.

**CONCLUSION**

The classification schemes and terminologies used to describe odontogenic lesions have undergone various modifications since 1971 when the WHO published the initial consensus on the taxonomy of OTs. Minor changes were introduced in the second edition in 1992. It is only in the very recent years the additional knowledge has accumulated that resulted in refining the classification of both benign and malignant OTs. Changes in the classification help us to understand not only the pathogenesis of the tumor but also to determine the behavior and prognosis of the tumor.
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