Endoreversible Trigeneration Cycle Design Based on Finite Physical Dimensions Thermodynamics
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Abstract: This paper focuses on the finite physical dimensions thermodynamics (FPDT)-based design of combined endoreversible power and refrigeration cycles (CCHP). Four operating schemes were analyzed, one for the summer season and three for the winter season. These basic CCHP cycles should define the reference ones, having the maximum possible energy and exergy efficiencies considering real restrictive conditions. The FPDT design is an entropic approach because it defines and uses the dependences between the reference entropy and the control operational parameters characterizing the external energy interactions of CCHP subsystems. The FPDT introduces a generalization of CCHP systems design, due to the particular influences of entropy variations of the working fluids substituted with influences of four operational finite dimensions control parameters, i.e., two mean log temperature differences between the working fluids and external heat sources and two dimensionless thermal conductance inventories. Two useful energy interactions, power and cooling rate, were used as operational restrictive conditions. It was assumed that there are consumers required for the supplied heating rates depending on the energy operating scheme. The FPDT modeling evaluates main thermodynamic and heat transfer performances. The FPDT model presented in this paper is a general one, applicable to all endoreversible trigeneration cycles.
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1. Introduction

The thermodynamic design and optimization of simple or complex thermal systems might be performed through the below methods.

• Equilibrium thermodynamics (ET) involves the reversible Carnot cycle and Carnot energy efficiency. Besides, they developed the methods of optimization of real cycles by using mean thermodynamic temperature, exergy, and irreversible entropy generation concepts. These additional concepts can evaluate the irreversibility of real cycles by comparison with the Carnot one. The use of the Carnot cycle does not take into account the time, respectively it has maximum–maximorum energy efficiency but zero power because it asks infinitesimal temperature differences, i.e., infinite time to fulfill the heat transfers between the working fluid and external heat reservoirs.

• Finite time thermodynamics (FTT) based on Curzon–Ahlborn–Novikov maximum power and energy efficiency at maximum power criteria. FTT considers the externally irreversible Carnot cycle that requires finite time to complete the heat transfers between the working fluid and external heat reservoirs. The main drawback of approaches based on FTT is the fact that it cannot be
applied entirely for non-Carnot irreversible cycles, see for instance [1], where they concluded: “Things are not so straightforward that a simple formula may account for a variety of situations”.

- Finite physical dimensions thermodynamics (FTPD) allows various approaches based on energy/entropy, like in ET or on energy/entropy rates close to FIT. FTPD assessments are entropic approaches because they define and use the dependences between the reference entropy and the control operational parameters characterizing the external energy interactions of thermal systems. The particular influences of reference entropy variations of the working fluids are substituted with influences of operational finite dimensions control parameters, i.e., finite temperature differences, finite thermal conductance inventory of heat exchangers, and finite energy rates. The internal irreversibility of cycles can be quantified through extra irreversible entropy generation models depending on the working fluid nature and the type/geometry of thermal system. The FPDT restrictive design prerequisites of basic energy systems might consider constant reference entropy, constant heat input, constant power, or constant energy efficiency.

- Finite speed thermodynamics (FST) studies the interactions between moving solids and fluids. FST has a narrow domain of application, i.e., reciprocating thermal machines.

Cogeneration (CHP) and trigeneration (CCHP) are energy technologies that simultaneously supply two useful energy rates (heat and power) or three useful energy rates (refrigeration, heat, and power) based on a single thermal energy source. The usual design of these systems follows specific restrictive operational strategies, for instance constant or variable power, and constant or variable heat rate, and constant or variable refrigeration rate. The characteristics of energy sources and energy end-users impose specific restrictive operational strategies. The simplest thermodynamic design considers a single useful energy as a restrictive parameter (power, heat rate, or refrigeration rate). The complex thermodynamic design aims to correlate two or three useful energies as restrictive parameters (power and heat rate, or power, heat, and refrigeration rates). The optimization of CHP and CCHP systems assumes different energy scenarios connecting the input energy rates to the output energy rates. The thermodynamic design performs this optimization by means of auxiliary energy technologies, e.g., energy storage systems, and/or new heat exchangers. The optimized design of CHP and CCHP plants takes into account both thermodynamic efficiency (first and second law efficiencies) and economic and environmental ones (investment cost/depreciation, CO₂ emissions, and life cycle and SWOT analyses). At the European level, new CHP systems must have energy efficiencies larger than 85%. CCHP systems do not have imposed minimum thermodynamic energy efficiencies, because refrigeration is usually performed in distributed grids operating on existing energy sources. The studies on CCHP systems either survey the design of new local applications or optimize existing system models. Recent theoretical and experimental research on CHP and CCHP energy technologies are focused on the analysis of different performance criteria and hierarchical control, on thermodynamic, exergo-economic, and thermo-economic optimization, and sensitivity analysis on reviews and comparison. Almost all publications evaluate, to different extents, the environmental or ecological aspects. Separately, many specific researches regarding new energy systems have been developed. For instance, the most suitable control strategy for certain cogeneration system by referring to the related standards available [2]; comparative analysis of different performance indices, applied to a real small-scale district heating network in operation [3]; exergy destruction rate in each component of Brayton cogeneration systems [4]; analysis based on marginal cost assessment of the internal flows and final products of the system, allowing to explain the optimal operation of the system and the role of the thermal energy storage (TES) in achieving the optimal solution [5]; primary energy savings analysis and exergy destruction analysis to compare decentralized power production through cogeneration/trigeneration systems and centralized thermal plants [6]; investigation of CCHP systems to exhibit the influence of various operating parameters on performance, CO₂ emissions reduction, and exergy destruction in three modes of operation followed by optimization [7]; analysis of specific CCHP hybrid systems composed of a gas turbine, an organic Rankine cycle (ORC) cycle and an absorption refrigeration cycle, for residential usage [8]; ecological coefficient of performance, exergetic
performance coefficient, and maximum available work of an irreversible Carnot power cycle [9]; an innovative trigeneration system which uses low temperature level heat sources [10]; development of an exergoeconomic optimization model to integrate solar energy into trigeneration systems producing electricity, heating, and cooling according to exergetic, economic, and environmental targets [11]; exergoeconomic optimization of a trigeneration system using total revenue requirement (TRR) and the cost of the total system product as objective function in optimization using a genetic algorithm technique [12]; a new objective function, representing total cost rate of the system product, including cost rate of each equipment and cost rate of environmental impact (NOx and CO), and minimizing the objective function using evolutionary genetic algorithm [13], an extensive overview of various energy—and exergy-based efficiencies used in the analysis of power cycles [14]; production and use of alternative fuels [15]; integrated heating and cooling with long-term heat storage [16]; residual energy recovery by using small engines such as Stirling [17]; optimization of heating systems based on geothermal heat pumps [18]; implementation of combined cycle power plant (CCPP for energy supply of future building stock [19]; and measures to adapt institutional and financial barriers that restrict the use of cogeneration and district heating networks in the EU-28 [20]; analysis of a small-scale CCHP with ORC engine powered by the combustion of olive pomace, experimental data and Aspen Plus simulation, CCHP system sizing and assessment [21]; proposal of a novel methodology, Trigeneration System Cascade Analysis (TriGenSCA), for developing an insight-based numerical Pinch Analysis technique to simultaneously target the minimum cooling, heating and power requirements for a total site energy system [22]; investigation of the potential energy, carbon emissions, and financial impact of the size of co/tri-generation systems on a real case scenario of an existing UK hotel, using Thermal Analysis Simulation software (TAS) and a payback methodology [23]; evaluation of the energetic feasibility and the performances of a novel residential micro-CCHP system, based on low temperature proton exchange membrane fuel cell power unit and half effect lithium bromide absorption chiller [24]; optimization and evaluation of a solar-driven trigeneration system with an organic Rankine cycle and an absorption heat pump operating with LiBr-H2O and which operates with nanofluid-based parabolic trough collectors [25]; proposal of a model where the non-linear part load characteristics of the gas turbine are linearized by means of physical insight of the working principles of turbomachinery [26]; analysis of the influence of erroneous estimation of the uncertain energy loads and prices on the optimal plant design and operation, exploring all the most frequent errors occurring in the estimation of energy loads and prices [27]; design of a system providing electricity by coupling photovoltaic/thermal collectors and a wind turbine [28]; investigation of the energy and environmental potential of a renewable trigeneration system in a residential application under Incheon (Korea) and Ottawa (Canada) weather conditions [29]; analysis of a hybrid solar-assisted trigeneration system composed of a 20 m2 solar field of evacuated tube collectors, a natural gas fired micro combined heat and power system delivering 12.5 kW of thermal power, an absorption heat pump (AHP) with a nominal cooling power of 17.6 kW, two storage tanks (hot and cold) and an electric auxiliary heater (AH) [30]; reports on a study of the modelling, validation and analysis of an integrated 1 MW (electrical output) tri-generation system energized by solar energy and evaluation of the impact of local climatic conditions in the Mediterranean region on the system performance [31]; methodology for multi-objective optimization of trigeneration plants, primarily applicable to the systems for buildings’ energy supply characterized by high load variations on daily, weekly and annual bases, as well as the components applicable for flexible operation [32]; utilization of waste heat from a gas turbine power plant is analyzed for simultaneous production of electricity by combining steam rankine cycle using heat recovery steam generator, of clean water by air gap membrane distillation plant and of cooling by single stage vapor absorption chiller [33]. Recently, proposed methods of thermal systems early design [34–36] apply finite physical dimensions thermodynamics (FPDT) to design, optimize, or perform sensitivity analysis of various thermal systems. Currently, studies are focused on developing complex systems, fueled or solar hybrid, and combined power cycles. Beside all of these researches, the optimized planning of CCHP systems asks for more complex models—see [37],
where a mixed integer linear program (MILP) solver model was developed in order to minimize the operating costs of a CCHP system comprising multiple cogeneration engines, heat pumps and refrigeration cycles, and auxiliary heating peak boilers and heat storage devices.

This paper develops a general FPDT based design for all types of combined endoreversible power and refrigeration cycles. The paper’s logical structure includes the FPDT-based design statements, the basic mathematical model, the numerical results, one possible scenario in designing endoreversible trigeneration systems, discussion, and conclusion. This new FPDT model generalizes the design of CCHP systems, substituting the entropy variations of the working fluids by four operational finite dimensions control parameters. This method is applicable to various subsystem components, i.e., engine and refrigeration machine. Four operational schemes were analyzed, one for the summer season (case “a”), and three for the winter season (cases “b”, “c” and “d”). These endoreversible trigeneration systems might be used as reference CCHP in designing irreversible ones. These operational schemes can be combined in developing the reference trigeneration grids. The mathematical models can be applied to irreversible cycles through irreversibility-defined coefficients that should link the thermal cyclic interactions, e.g., entropy balance equation, i.e., through an overall number of internal irreversibility.

The FPDT modeling requires, as an input parameter, the ratio \( x \) of refrigeration rate to engine power. This ratio has a decisive influence upon the useful energy rates and first and second law efficiencies of the whole trigeneration system. This paper considers, as a possible scenario, a conventional ratio of \( x = 0.1 \). The increased values of \( x \) reduce the useful power and increase the heat transfer rates of the refrigeration cycle. The ratio of heating rate to engine power (\( y \)), especially during the winter season, depends on the energy operating scheme. The entire use of the heating rates requests specified customers of heating and of domestic hot water (DHW). Below, a possible scheme is presented (Figure 1) that can deliver constant heating rate for a variable number of customers, and constant heat rate for a constant number of DHW customers.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** The heating carrier flow, assuring constant heat rates for heating and domestic hot water (DHW).

The heating system adjusts the heat carrier inlet and outlet temperatures, depending on the environmental one. These variable temperatures can be attained with two by-pass flows between the inlet and the outlet, which maintain a constant heating rate for a variable number of customers and allow the control of inlet and outlet temperatures.

### 2. FPDT-Based Design Statements

Cogeneration and trigeneration are based on four main power cycles: Closed Rankine cycle, open Joule-Brayton cycle, open reciprocating engine cycles, and fuel cells. Four possible energy operating schemes of various generic trigeneration systems were analyzed (Figure 2):

a. Supplying power and refrigeration rate, the summer season;
b. Supplying power and heat rate by engine cycle, and refrigeration rate by reverse cycle, the winter season;
c. Supplying power by engine cycle, and heat and refrigeration rates by reverse cycle, the winter season; and
d. Supplying power and heat rate by engine cycle, and heat and refrigeration rates by reverse cycle, the winter season.

Figure 2. Thermodynamic scheme of the trigeneration system.

a. \[ T_{CS} = T_{\text{environment}}, T_{BS} = T_{\text{environment}} \]
b. \[ T_{CS} > T_{\text{environment}}, T_{BS} = T_{\text{environment}} \]
c. \[ T_{CS} = T_{\text{environment}}, T_{BS} > T_{\text{environment}} \]
d. \[ T_{CS} > T_{\text{environment}}, T_{BS} > T_{\text{environment}} \]

The FPDT-based design model set up firstly the reference entropy, i.e., the working fluid entropy rate during the reversible cyclic heat input of the trigeneration engine and refrigeration cycles. This design model adopted four finite dimensions control parameters:

- Two mean log temperature differences between the working fluids and external heat sources (\( \Delta T_H \), the mean log temperature difference of the heat exchanger thermally linking the engine working fluid with the engine hot heat source; and \( \Delta T_R \), the mean log temperature difference of the heat exchanger thermally linking the refrigeration working fluid with the refrigeration machine cold heat source); and
- Two dimensionless thermal conductance inventory:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{G}_H &= \frac{G_H}{U_H} = \frac{G_H}{U_H + U_C} = \frac{(U/A)_H}{(U/A)_{H} + (U/A)_{C}} \quad \text{for the engine cycle, and} \\
\mathcal{G}_R &= \frac{G_R}{U_R} = \frac{G_R}{U_R + U_0} = \frac{(U/A)_R}{(U/A)_{R} + (U/A)_{0}} \quad \text{for the refrigeration cycle.}
\end{align*}
\]

Two extra restrictive parameters (energy efficiency of engine and coefficient of performance—\((COP)\) of refrigeration machine) were assumed in order to simplify the FPDT-based design.

The FPDT model of the endoreversible cycle generalizes and simplifies the preliminary design by assuming that operational finite physical dimensions main thermodynamic control parameters are not dependent on the nature of working fluids. The generalization is performed by using the specific first and second laws of thermodynamics’ relationships between the defined reference entropy/entropy rate and the finite physical dimensions main thermodynamic control parameters.

3. Basic Mathematical Model

The mathematical model combines equations of the first and second laws of thermodynamics with the linear heat transfer law, describing the heat transfers between working fluids and external
heat reservoirs. These connections asked the definition of a new form of mean temperatures of external heat reservoirs in order to avoid any computational error. This new mean temperature is not a mean thermodynamic one, nor a mean log one, nor a mean integral one. It is the mean temperature fulfilling entirely the united equations. The FPDT mathematical models for engine and refrigeration cycles, and of the trigeneration system, are detailed below.

3.1. Engine

The reference entropy rate of the working fluid for the endoreversible engine cycle is $\Delta \hat{S}_E$:

$$\Delta \hat{S}_E = \left( \dot{m} \cdot \Delta s \right)_\text{hot side} = \left( \dot{m} \cdot |\Delta s| \right)_\text{cold side}$$

where $\Delta s _{hot side}$ = $\dot{m} \cdot (\theta \cdot T)$ and $\Delta s _{cold side}$ = $\dot{m} \cdot (\theta \cdot T)$.

The operational finite physical dimension control parameters are:

- External heat reservoirs temperature ratio $\theta_{HS} = \frac{T_{HS}}{T_{CS}}$, and
- Variable mean log temperature difference $\Delta T_H$ [K], inside the heat exchanger working at the hot side of engine.

The main equations connecting the reference entropy rate of the working fluid to the operational finite physical dimension control parameters are presented below.

- **Thermal conductance inventory:**

  $$G_{TE} = G_H + G_C = (U \cdot A)_{H} + (U \cdot A)_{C} \left[ \text{kW} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \right]$$

- **Energy balance Equations:**

  $$\dot{Q}_H = g_H \cdot G_{TE} \cdot \Delta T_H = T_H \cdot \Delta \hat{S}_E = (\theta_{HS} \cdot T_{CS} - \Delta T_H) \cdot \Delta \hat{S}_E$$

  $$\Rightarrow G_{TE} = \frac{(\theta_{HS} \cdot T_{CS} - \Delta T_H) \cdot \Delta \hat{S}_E}{g_H \cdot \Delta T_H}$$

  $$\left| \dot{Q}_C \right| = (1 - g_H) \cdot G_{TE} \cdot \Delta T_C = T_C \cdot \Delta \hat{S}_E = (T_{CS} + \Delta T_C) \cdot \Delta \hat{S}_E$$

  $$\Rightarrow \Delta T_C = \frac{g_H \cdot \Delta T_H}{\theta_{HS} \cdot (1 - g_H - \frac{\Delta T_H}{\theta_{HS} \cdot T_{CS}})}$$

- **Energy efficiency Equation:**

  $$EE_E = \frac{W_E}{Q_H} = 1 - \frac{\left| \dot{Q}_C \right|}{Q_H} = 1 - \frac{T_{CS} + \frac{g_H \cdot \Delta T_H}{\theta_{HS} \cdot (1 - g_H - \frac{\Delta T_H}{\theta_{HS} \cdot T_{CS}})}}{\theta_{HS} \cdot T_{CS} - \Delta T_H}$$
Exergy efficiency equation, related to exergy contents of \( \dot{Q}_H \):

\[
\text{Ex}_{E} = \frac{\dot{W}_E}{\dot{Q}_H \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{\theta_{HS}})} = \frac{\dot{E}_E}{\dot{E}_E} = \frac{EE_{E}}{EE_{Carnot}} \tag{10}
\]

where

- \( m \text{[kg·s}^{-1}\text{]} \) is the mass flow rate of the working fluid through engine;
- \( \dot{Q}_H \text{[kW]} \) is the engine cycle input heat rate;
- \( T_H = T_{HS} - \Delta T_H = \theta_{HS} \cdot T_{CS} - \Delta T_H \text{[K]} \) is the mean thermodynamic temperature of the working fluid at the hot side;
- \( T_{HS} = \theta_{HS} \cdot T_{CS} \text{[K]} \) is, by definition, the fitting mean temperature of the hot heat source, complying with Equation (4);
- \( |\dot{Q}_C| \text{[kW]} \) is the engine cycle exhaust heat rate;
- \( T_{C} \text{[K]} \) is the mean thermodynamic temperature of the working fluid at the cold side; and
- \( T_{CS} = T_{C} + \Delta T_{C} \text{[K]} \) is, by definition, the fitting mean temperature of the cold heat sink, complying with Equation (6).

3.2. Refrigeration Machine

- The reference entropy rate of working fluid for the endoreversible reverse cycle is \( \Delta \hat{S}_R \):

\[
\Delta \hat{S}_R = \left( \dot{m} \cdot \Delta s \right)_\text{cold} = \left( \dot{m} \cdot |\Delta s| \right)_\text{hot} \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta s = \left| \Delta s \right| \tag{11}
\]

The finite physical dimension control parameters are:

- External heat reservoirs temperatures ratio \( \theta_{RS} = \frac{T_{0S}}{T_{RS}} \), and
- Variable mean log temperature difference \( \Delta T_{C} \text{[K]} \), inside the heat exchanger at the cold heat source of refrigeration machine.

The main equations connecting the reference entropy rate of the working fluid and the operational finite physical dimensions control parameters are presented below.

- Thermal conductance inventory:

\[
G_{TR} = G_R + G_0 = (U \cdot A)_R + (U \cdot A)_0 \text{[kW·K}^{-1}\text{]} \tag{12}
\]

\[
g_R = \frac{G_R}{G_{TR}}, g_0 = \frac{G_0}{G_{TR}}, g_R + g_0 = 1 \Rightarrow g_0 = 1 - g_R \tag{13}
\]

where \( U \text{[kW·m}^{-2}·\text{K}^{-1}\text{]} \) is the overall heat transfer coefficient and \( A \text{[m}^2\text{]} \) is the heat transfer area.

- Energy balance Equations:

\[
\dot{Q}_R = g_R \cdot G_{TR} \cdot \Delta T_R = T_R \cdot \Delta \hat{S}_R = \left( \frac{T_{0S}}{\theta_{RS}} - \Delta T_R \right) \cdot \Delta \hat{S}_R \text{ at the cold side.} \tag{14}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow G_{TR} = \frac{T_{0S}}{\theta_{RS} - \Delta T_R} \cdot \Delta \hat{S}_R \tag{15}
\]

\[
\dot{Q}_0 = g_0 \cdot G_{TR} \cdot \Delta T_0 = T_0 \cdot \Delta \hat{S}_R = (T_{0S} + \Delta T_0) \cdot \Delta \hat{S}_R \text{ at the hot side.} \tag{16}
\]
\[ \Delta T_0 = \frac{g_R \cdot \theta_{RS} \cdot \Delta T_R}{1 - g_R - \frac{\theta_{RS} \cdot \Delta T_R}{T_{0S}}} \]  

(17)

\[ W_R = \dot{Q}_R - |\dot{Q}_0| = -\Delta S_R \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\theta_{RS}} \right) \cdot T_{0S} + \frac{g_R \cdot \theta_{RS} \cdot \Delta T_R}{1 - g_R - \frac{\theta_{RS} \cdot \Delta T_R}{T_{0S}}} \right] \]  

(18)

**Energy efficiency Equation:**

\[ COP = \frac{\dot{Q}_R}{|W_R|} = \frac{T_{0S}}{\theta_{RS}} - \Delta T_R \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\theta_{RS}} \right) \cdot T_{0S} + \frac{g_R \cdot \theta_{RS} \cdot \Delta T_R}{1 - g_R - \frac{\theta_{RS} \cdot \Delta T_R}{T_{0S}}} \right] \]  

(19)

**Exergy efficiency Equation, related to exergy contents of \( \dot{Q}_f \):**

\[ ExE_R = \frac{|\dot{Q}_R \cdot (1 - \theta_{RS})|}{|W_R|} = \frac{COP}{COP_{Carnot}} \]  

(20)

where

- \( m [kg \cdot s^{-1}] \) is the mass flow rate of the working fluid through the refrigeration machine;
- \( \dot{Q}_R [kW] \) is the refrigeration heat rate;
- \( T_R = T_{RS} - \Delta T_R = \frac{T_{0S}}{\theta_{RS}} - \Delta T_R [K] \) is the mean thermodynamic temperature of the working fluid at the cycle cold side;
- \( T_{RS} = \frac{T_{0S}}{\theta_{RS}} [K] \) is, by definition, the fitting mean temperature of the cold heat source, complying with Equation (14);
- \( |\dot{Q}_0| [kW] \) is the absolute heat rate at the hot heat sink;
- \( T_0 = (T_{0S} + \Delta T_0) [K] \) is mean thermodynamic temperature of the working fluid at the cycle hot side; and
- \( T_{0S} \) is, by definition, the fitting mean temperature of the hot heat sink, complying with Equation (16).

### 3.3. Endoreversible Trigeneration System

The dependences between the reference entropies, \( \Delta S_E \) and \( \Delta S_R \), and the adopted finite dimensions control parameters have to be defined as functions of operational restrictive conditions imposed by the consumers of useful energies. The possible operational restrictive conditions include the specific parameters of useful energies, i.e., power, refrigeration rate and temperatures, and heating rate and temperatures. The prime energy is useful engine power. The refrigeration and heating rates might be evaluated through two ratios, the ratio of refrigeration rate to power (x) and the ratio of heating rate to power (y). This paper considered, as a possible scenario, imposed engine power \( W_{E0} \) and imposed refrigeration rate \( \dot{Q}_R = x \cdot W_{E0} \) and temperatures. The ratio of heating rate to engine power (y), especially during the winter season, is dependent on the energy operating scheme.

For a specified value for power, from Equation (8) yields \( \Delta S_E \) and the new forms of Equations (4)–(6) are:

\[ \Delta S_E = \frac{W_{E0}}{(\theta_{HS} - 1) \cdot T_{CS} - \Delta T_H - \frac{g_H \cdot \Delta T_H}{\theta_{HS} (1 - g_H - \frac{\theta_{HS} \cdot \Delta T_H}{T_{CS}})}} \]  

(21)
\[
G_{TE} = \frac{\theta_{HS} \cdot T_{CS} - \Delta T_H}{g_H \cdot \Delta T_H} \cdot \frac{W_{E0}}{(\theta_{HS} - 1) \cdot T_{CS} - \Delta T_H - \frac{g_H \cdot \Delta T_H}{\theta_{HS} \cdot (1 - g_H \cdot \frac{\Delta T_H}{g_{H1} \cdot T_{CS}})}} \quad (22)
\]

\[
\dot{Q}_H = \frac{(\theta_{HS} \cdot T_{CS} - \Delta T_H) \cdot W_{E0}}{(\theta_{HS} - 1) \cdot T_{CS} - \Delta T_H - \frac{g_H \cdot \Delta T_H}{\theta_{HS} \cdot (1 - g_H \cdot \frac{\Delta T_H}{g_{H1} \cdot T_{CS}})}} \quad (23)
\]

\[
\dot{Q}_C = -W_{E0} \cdot \frac{T_{CS} + \frac{g_H \cdot \Delta T_H}{\theta_{HS} \cdot (1 - g_H \cdot \frac{\Delta T_H}{g_{H1} \cdot T_{CS}})}}{(\theta_{HS} - 1) \cdot T_{CS} - \Delta T_H - \frac{g_H \cdot \Delta T_H}{\theta_{HS} \cdot (1 - g_H \cdot \frac{\Delta T_H}{g_{H1} \cdot T_{CS}})}} \quad (24)
\]

For a specified value of refrigeration rate \( \dot{Q}_R = x \cdot W_{E0} \), from Equation (14) yields \( \Delta \dot{S}_R \) and the new forms of Equations (15) and (16), and (18) are:

\[
\Delta \dot{S}_R = \frac{\dot{Q}_R}{\frac{T_{0S}}{\theta_{RS}} - \Delta T_R} = \frac{x \cdot W_{E0}}{1 - g_R \cdot \frac{\theta_{RS} \cdot \Delta T_R}{T_{0S}} - \frac{\theta_{RS} \cdot \Delta T_R}{T_{0S}}} \quad (25)
\]

\[
G_{TR} = \frac{x \cdot W_{E0}}{\sum_{\text{Useful Energy}} - \sum_{\text{Consumed Energy}}} = \frac{x \cdot W_{E0}}{\frac{T_{0S}}{\theta_{RS}} - \Delta T_R} \quad (26)
\]

\[
\dot{Q}_0 = -x \cdot W_{E0} \cdot \left\{ T_{0S} + \frac{\frac{g_R \cdot \theta_{RS} \cdot \Delta T_R}{T_{0S}}}{1 - g_R \cdot \frac{\theta_{RS} \cdot \Delta T_R}{T_{0S}}} \right\} \quad (27)
\]

\[
\dot{W}_R = -x \cdot W_{E0} \cdot \left\{ T_{0S} + \frac{\frac{g_R \cdot \theta_{RS} \cdot \Delta T_R}{T_{0S}}}{1 - g_R \cdot \frac{\theta_{RS} \cdot \Delta T_R}{T_{0S}}} \right\} - 1 \quad (28)
\]

**Energy efficiency Equation of the trigeneration system:**

The general equation of energy efficiency (EE) of the whole system is the ratio \( EE = \frac{\sum_{\text{Useful Energy}}}{\sum_{\text{Consumed Energy}}} \), where for all cases \( \sum_{\text{Consumed Energy}} = \dot{Q}_H \).

The term \( \sum_{\text{Useful Energy}} \) has specific forms for each case a, b, c and d, that involve the below energy balance Equations:

The energy balance equations of the whole system:

\[
\dot{Q} + \dot{Q}_R = W_u + |\dot{Q}_C| + |\dot{Q}_0|, \quad \text{and} \quad W_u = W_{E0} - |\dot{W}_R| > 0 \quad (29)
\]

The energy balance equations of the subsystems:

\[
\dot{Q}_H = W_E + |\dot{Q}_C|, \quad EE_E = \frac{\dot{W}_{E0}}{\dot{Q}_H} < 1, \quad EE_{cog} = \frac{\dot{W}_E + |\dot{Q}_C|}{\dot{Q}_H} \quad \text{for engine.} \quad (30)
\]

\[
\dot{Q}_R + |\dot{W}_R| = |\dot{Q}_0| \cdot \frac{\text{COP}}{\frac{\dot{Q}_R}{\dot{W}_R}}, \quad \dot{Q}_R = x \cdot W_{E0} \cdot |\dot{W}_R| = x \cdot \frac{\dot{W}_E}{\text{COP}} \quad \text{for refrigeration machine.} \quad (31)
\]

where \( EE_{cog} \leq 1 \) is the energy efficiency in the case of cogeneration through engine cycle and \( |\dot{Q}_C| \leq |\dot{Q}_C| \) is the useful heat rate supplied by engine cogeneration.
Thus, it yields a general restriction for all cases:

$$\dot{W}_u = \dot{W}_E - |\dot{W}_R| = \dot{W}_E \cdot \left(1 - \frac{x}{\text{COP}}\right) = \dot{W}_{u,\text{min}} > 0 \Rightarrow x \leq \text{COP} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\dot{W}_{u,\text{min}}}{\dot{W}_E}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

where $\dot{W}_{u,\text{min}}$ is the minimum admissible useful power, required by the power end users.

- **Case “a”—energy efficiency:**

  $$EE_a = \frac{\dot{W}_E - |\dot{W}_R| + \dot{Q}_R}{\dot{Q}_H} = EE_E \cdot \left(1 + x \cdot \frac{\text{COP} - 1}{\text{COP}}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

- **Case “b”—energy efficiency:**

  $$EE_b = \frac{\dot{W}_E + |\dot{Q}_c| - |\dot{W}_R| + \dot{Q}_R}{\dot{Q}_H} = EE_{\text{cog}} + EE_E \cdot x \cdot \frac{\text{COP} - 1}{\text{COP}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

- **Case “c”—energy efficiency:**

  $$EE_c = \frac{\dot{W}_E + |\dot{Q}_c| - |\dot{W}_R| + \dot{Q}_R + \dot{Q}_0}{\dot{Q}_H} = EE_E \cdot (1 + 2 \cdot x)$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

- **Case “d”—energy efficiency:**

  $$EE_d = \frac{\dot{W}_E + |\dot{Q}_c| - |\dot{W}_R| + \dot{Q}_R + \dot{Q}_0}{\dot{Q}_H} = EE_{\text{cog}} + EE_E \cdot x$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

Equations (34) and (36) considered the engine cogeneration energy efficiency of $EE_{\text{cog}} \leq 1$ \((|\dot{Q}_c| \leq |\dot{Q}_c|)\). Also, zero heat losses were assumed along the delivering path of useful thermal energies (heating) for all cases.

For all cases, the useful power is:

$$\dot{W}_u = \dot{W}_E - |\dot{W}_R| = \dot{W}_E \cdot \left(1 - \frac{x}{\text{COP}}\right) \geq \dot{W}_{u,\text{min}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

- **Exergy efficiency equation of the trigeneration system:**

  - **Case “a”**: $ExE_a = \frac{\dot{W}_E - |\dot{W}_R| + Ex_{\dot{Q}_R}}{Ex_{\dot{Q}_H}}$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

  - **Case “b”**: $ExE_b = \frac{\dot{W}_E - |\dot{W}_R| + Ex_{\dot{Q}_R} + Ex_{\dot{Q}_C}}{Ex_{\dot{Q}_H}}$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

  - **Case “c”**: $ExE_c = \frac{\dot{W}_E - |\dot{W}_R| + Ex_{\dot{Q}_R} + Ex_{\dot{Q}_0}}{Ex_{\dot{Q}_H}}$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

  - **Case “d”**: $ExE_d = \frac{\dot{W}_E - |\dot{W}_R| + Ex_{\dot{Q}_R} + Ex_{\dot{Q}_C} + Ex_{\dot{Q}_0}}{Ex_{\dot{Q}_H}}$  \hspace{1cm} (41)
where
\[ E_{Q_H} = \dot{Q}_H \cdot (1 - \frac{T}{T_{HS}}) \], \[ E_{Q_r} = |\dot{Q}_r \cdot (1 - \frac{T}{T_{RS}})| \], \[ E_{Q_C} = |\dot{Q}_C \cdot (1 - \frac{T}{T_{CS} + \Delta T_0})| \], \[ E_{Q_0} = |\dot{Q}_0 \cdot (1 - \frac{T}{T_{RS} + \Delta T_0})| \] are the exergy contents of thermal energy rates \( \dot{Q}_H \), \( \dot{Q}_r \), \( \dot{Q}_C \), \( \dot{Q}_0 \); \( \Delta T_C \) and \( \Delta T_0 \) comply with Equations (7) and (17); and \( T_e \) is the environmental temperature.

Equations (7), (17) and (21)–(40) provide the complete preliminary mathematical algorithm to design the endoreversible trigeneration systems. Equations for energy efficiency could also be applied to irreversible systems, if real energy efficiencies of system components are known, i.e., \( E_{E cog} \), \( E_{E real} \), and \( \text{COP}_{real} \), and ratios \( x \) and \( y \). The restrictive operational conditions \( W_E = W_{E0}, T_{CS}, T_{RS}, x, y, \theta_{HS}, \) and \( \theta_{RS} \) are imposed by the end-users of useful energies. The variable dimensions control parameters are \( g_H, g_R, \Delta T_H, \) and \( \Delta T_R \). The thermodynamic functions of the system components (engine and refrigeration machine), defined by Equations (1)–(20) depend on two parameters \( (g_H, \Delta T_H) \) for engine, \( g_R \) and \( \Delta T_R \) for refrigeration machine). The energy and exergy efficiencies of the trigeneration systems are dependent on all four variable finite dimensions parameters. In this paper, efficiency evaluation was simplified by assuming two extra restrictive conditions: \( EE_E = EE_{E0} \) and \( \text{COP} = \text{COP}_{0} \), both close to maximum value, and the additional simplifying constraint \( E_{E cog} = 1 \).

4. Numerical Results

The possible value ranges of \( \Delta T_H \) and of \( \Delta T_R \) were determined based on two extra restrictive conditions, energy efficiency of engine and \( \text{COP} \) of refrigeration machine, respectively, close to the maximum possible values obtained by the FPDT numerical results of the endoreversible trigeneration subsystem.

The general design energy rates of the trigeneration system are \( W_{E0} = 100 \text{ kWe} \) and \( \dot{Q}_R = 0.1 \cdot W_{E0} \). The restrictive design conditions are included in Table 1. The dimensionless thermal conductance \( g_H \) and \( g_R \) were defined through Equations (9) and (19) by imposing engine energy efficiency and refrigeration \( \text{COP} \). The numerical results are presented in Table 2 and Figures 3–21.

### Table 1. The design restrictive conditions of the trigeneration system.

| \( \theta_{HS} \) | \( T_{CS} \) | \( T_{RS} \) | \( T_r \) | \( g_H \) and \( g_R \) | \( \Delta T_H \) | \( \Delta T_R \) | \( EE \) | \( \text{COP} \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Case “a” | 4 | 308 | 308 | 253 | 308 | \( g_H \geq 1 - 0.002164 \cdot \Delta T_H \) | \( g_R \geq 1 - 0.001944 \cdot \Delta T_R \) | 50 | 300 | 3 | 10 | 0.6 | 3.5 |
| Case “b” | 4 | 343 | 273 | 253 | 273 | \( g_H \geq 1 - 0.001944 \cdot \Delta T_H \) | \( g_R \geq 1 - 0.000044 \cdot \Delta T_R \) | 50 | 300 | 3 | 10 | 0.6 | 7.0 |
| Case “c” | 4 | 273 | 343 | 253 | 273 | \( g_H \geq 1 - 0.000244 \cdot \Delta T_H \) | \( g_R \geq 1 - 0.00044 \cdot \Delta T_R \) | 50 | 300 | 3 | 10 | 0.6 | 2.25 |
| Case “d” | 4 | 343 | 343 | 253 | 273 | \( g_H \geq 1 - 0.000044 \cdot \Delta T_H \) | \( g_R \geq 1 - 0.00044 \cdot \Delta T_R \) | 50 | 300 | 3 | 10 | 0.6 | 2.25 |

### Table 2. Constant numerical results for the operational parameters.

| \( EE_E \) | \( EE_{E cog} \) | \( \text{COP} \) | \( W_{E0} \) | \( \dot{Q}_H \) | \( \dot{Q}_C \) | \( \dot{Q}_R \) | \( \dot{Q}_0 \) | \( W_R \) | \( W_n \) | \( EE \) | \( ExE \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| - | - | - | [kW] | [kW] | [kW] | [kW] | [kW] | [kW] | [kW] | [kW] | [kW] |
| Case a | 0.6 | 1 | 3.5 | 100 | 166.67 | 66.67 | 10 | -12.86 | -2.86 | 97.14 | 0.643 | 0.794 |
| Case b | 0.6 | 1 | 7 | 100 | 166.67 | 66.67 | 10 | -11.43 | -1.43 | 98.57 | 1.051 | f_b |
| Case c | 0.6 | 1 | 2.25 | 100 | 166.67 | 66.67 | 10 | -14.44 | -4.44 | 95.56 | 0.72 | f_c |
| Case d | 0.6 | 1 | 2.25 | 100 | 166.67 | 66.67 | 10 | -14.44 | -4.44 | 95.56 | 1.12 | f_d |

where \( f_b \) is the exergy efficiency function of \( \Delta T_H \)—case “a”, Figure 11; \( f_c \) is the exergy efficiency function of \( \Delta T_R \)—case “b”, Figure 12; \( f_d \) is the exergy efficiency function of \( \Delta T_H \) and \( \Delta T_R \)—case “d”, Figure 13.
Figure 3. $\Delta T_C$ dependence of $\Delta T_H$—case “a”.

Figure 4. $\Delta T_0$ dependence of $\Delta T_R$—case “a”.

Figure 5. $\Delta T_C$ dependence of $\Delta T_H$—case “b”.

Figure 6. $\Delta T_0$ dependence of $\Delta T_R$—case “b”.
Figure 7. $\Delta T_C$ dependence of $\Delta T_H$—case “c”.

Figure 8. $\Delta T_0$ dependence of $\Delta T_R$—case “c”.

Figure 9. $\Delta T_C$ dependence of $\Delta T_H$—case “d”.

Figure 10. $\Delta T_0$ dependence of $\Delta T_R$—case “d”. 
While the exergy efficiency of case “a” is constant, the exergy efficiencies of cases “b”, “c” and “d” depend on the finite temperature differences. The dependences of $\Delta T_H$ and $\Delta T_R$, respectively, are similar for all cases, i.e., the larger $\Delta T_H$, respectively, are similar for all cases, i.e., the larger $\Delta T_R$. The influence of $\Delta T_H$ is physically more significant than that of $\Delta T_R$.

The dependences $\Delta T_H$ of $\Delta T_H$ and $\Delta T_0$ of $\Delta T_R$, respectively, are similar for all cases, i.e., the larger $\Delta T_H$, respectively, are similar for all cases, i.e., the larger $\Delta T_R$. The influence of $\Delta T_H$ is physically more significant than that of $\Delta T_R$.

The dependences $\Delta T_H$ of $\Delta T_H$ and $\Delta T_0$ of $\Delta T_R$, respectively, are similar for all cases, i.e., the larger $\Delta T_H$, respectively, are similar for all cases, i.e., the larger $\Delta T_R$. The influence of $\Delta T_H$ is physically more significant than that of $\Delta T_R$.

The dependences $\Delta T_H$ of $\Delta T_H$ and $\Delta T_0$ of $\Delta T_R$, respectively, are similar for all cases, i.e., the larger $\Delta T_H$, respectively, are similar for all cases, i.e., the larger $\Delta T_R$. The influence of $\Delta T_H$ is physically more significant than that of $\Delta T_R$.

The dependences $\Delta T_H$ of $\Delta T_H$ and $\Delta T_0$ of $\Delta T_R$, respectively, are similar for all cases, i.e., the larger $\Delta T_H$, respectively, are similar for all cases, i.e., the larger $\Delta T_R$. The influence of $\Delta T_H$ is physically more significant than that of $\Delta T_R$.

$Figures 11, 12, and 13$ show the dependence of $ExE_b, ExE_c$, and $ExE_d$ on $\Delta T_H$ and $\Delta T_R$.

$Figure 14$ shows the dependence of $G_{TE}$ on $\Delta T_H$ for the case “a”. $G_{TE min} = 1.443 \text{ kW/K for } \Delta T_H = 231 \text{ K}$.
All Equations for thermal conductance inventory exhibit minimum values corresponding to $g_H = 0.5$ and $g_R = 0.5$. This quality is compatible with minimum investment costs (minimum CAPEX) regarding the heat exchangers of the trigeneration subsystems.

**Figure 14.** GTE dependence of $\Delta TH$—case “a”. $G_{TEmin} = 1.443 \text{ kW/K for } \Delta TH = 231 \text{ K.}$

**Figure 15.** GTR dependence of $\Delta TR$—case “a”. $G_{TRmin} = 2.975 \text{ kW/K for } \Delta TR = 6.72 \text{ K.}$

**Figure 16.** GTE dependence of $\Delta TH$—case “b”. $G_{TEmin} = 1.296 \text{ for } \Delta TH = 257 \text{ K.}$

**Figure 17.** GTR dependence of $\Delta TR$—case “b”. $G_{TRmin} = 2.832 \text{ for } \Delta TR = 7.06 \text{ K.}$

**Figure 18.** GTE dependence of $\Delta TH$—case “c”. $G_{TEmin} = 1.628 \text{ for } \Delta TH = 204.75 \text{ K.}$

**Figure 19.** GTR dependence of $\Delta TR$—case “c”. $G_{TRmin} = 2.574 \text{ for } \Delta TR = 7.77 \text{ K.}$

**Figure 20.** GTE dependence of $\Delta TH$—case “d”. $G_{TEmin} = 1.295 \text{ for } \Delta TH = 257 \text{ K.}$

**Figure 21.** GTR dependence of $\Delta TR$—case “d”. $G_{TRmin} = 2.574 \text{ for } \Delta TR = 7.77 \text{ K.}$
5. Design of Endoreversible Trigeneration Systems

The FPDT-based design of endoreversible trigeneration systems depends on the restrictive conditions of each specific application. Possible operational restrictive conditions might refer to the customers’ requirements regarding useful energies from the trigeneration system: Only useful power, only refrigeration rate, only heating rate, or simultaneously two or three useful energies.

The dependences $\Delta T_H$ of $\Delta T_R$ and $\Delta T_c$ of $\Delta T_R$, respectively, are similar for all cases, i.e., the larger the values of $\Delta T_H$ and $\Delta T_R$, the smaller the values of $\Delta T_c$ and $\Delta T_c$. Figures 7 and 9 are identical because the refrigeration cycles works in identical operation restrictive conditions, i.e., identical $T_{GR}$, $T_{RS}$, $g_R$.

While the exergy efficiency of case “a” is constant, the exergy efficiencies of cases “b”, “c” and “d” depend on the finite temperature differences $\Delta T_H$ and $\Delta T_R$. The influence of $\Delta T_H$ is physically more significant than that of $\Delta T_R$.

All Equations for thermal conductance inventory exhibit minimum values corresponding to $g_H = 0.5$ and $g_R = 0.5$. This quality is compatible with minimum investment costs (minimum CAPEX) regarding the heat exchangers of the trigeneration subsystems.

The dependence of $\Delta T_R$—case “c”. $G_{\text{TRmin}} = 2.574$ for $\Delta T_R = 7.77 K$.

The dependence of $\Delta T_H$—case “d”. $G_{\text{TEmin}} = 1.295$ for $\Delta T_H = 257 K$.

The dependence of $\Delta T_R$—case “d”. $G_{\text{TRmin}} = 2.574$ for $\Delta T_R = 7.77 K$.

The dependences $\Delta T_H$ of $\Delta T_R$ and $\Delta T_c$ of $\Delta T_R$, respectively, are similar for all cases, i.e., the larger the values of $\Delta T_H$ and $\Delta T_R$, the smaller the values of $\Delta T_c$ and $\Delta T_c$. Figures 7 and 9 are identical because the refrigeration cycles works in identical operation restrictive conditions, i.e., identical $T_{GR}$, $T_{RS}$, $g_R$.

While the exergy efficiency of case “a” is constant, the exergy efficiencies of cases “b”, “c” and “d” depend on the finite temperature differences $\Delta T_H$ and $\Delta T_R$. The influence of $\Delta T_H$ is physically more significant than that of $\Delta T_R$.

All Equations for thermal conductance inventory exhibit minimum values corresponding to $g_H = 0.5$ and $g_R = 0.5$. This quality is compatible with minimum investment costs (minimum CAPEX) regarding the heat exchangers of the trigeneration subsystems.

5. Design of Endoreversible Trigeneration Systems

The FPDT-based design of endoreversible trigeneration systems depends on the restrictive conditions of each specific application. Possible operational restrictive conditions might refer to the customers’ requirements regarding useful energies from the trigeneration system: Only useful power, only refrigeration rate, only heating rate, or simultaneously two or three useful energies.
The design optimization might take into account extra restrictive conditions related to the operation of the trigeneration subsystems, such as: Imposed reference entropy rate of the working fluids, imposed input heat rate, imposed power, or imposed energy efficiency. The trigeneration system design is demonstrated here for the case of imposed useful power. The operational interrelated restrictive conditions are:

- Imposed useful minimum power, \( W_u = 0.5 \cdot W_E \), that implies
- Imposed consumed power by refrigeration machine, \( W_R = 0.5 \cdot W_E \),
- Imposed ratio of engine power to refrigeration rate, \( x = \text{COP} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{W_R}{W_E}\right) \),
- Imposed refrigeration rate, \( \dot{Q_R} = x \cdot W_E \), and
- Imposed cogeneration energy efficiency, \( EE_{cog} = 1 \); thus it assumes that there are existing consumers of all the useful heat rates (DHW and heating customers).

The trigeneration subsystem design considered only the optimized dimensionless thermal conductance inventory, i.e., \( g_H = 0.5 \) and \( g_R = 0.5 \) corresponding to minimum CAPEX of heat exchangers. The numerical results are included in Table 3.

### Table 3. Numerical results of the trigeneration system design.

| \( \theta_{HS} \) | Case “a” | Case “b” | Case “c” | Case “d” |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                  | 2        | 3        | 4        | 2        | 3        | 4        | 2        | 3        | 4        | 2        | 3        | 4        |
| \( T_{CS} \) [K] | 308      | 308      | 308      | 343      | 343      | 343      | 273      | 273      | 273      | 343      | 343      | 343      |
| \( T_{RS} \) [K] | 253      | 253      | 253      | 253      | 253      | 253      | 253      | 253      | 253      | 253      | 253      | 253      |
| \( T_{0S} \) [K] | 308      | 308      | 308      | 273      | 273      | 273      | 343      | 343      | 343      | 343      | 343      | 343      |
| \( \Delta T_H \) [K] | 90.2    | 195.3    | 308      | 100.5    | 217.5    | 343      | 79.9     | 173.1    | 273      | 100.5    | 217.5    | 343      |
| \( \Delta T_C \) [K] | 63.8    | 112.2    | 134      | 71       | 125.5    | 171.5    | 56.5     | 99.9     | 136.5    | 71.1     | 125.5    | 171.5    |
| \( \Delta T_R \) [K] | 13.5    | 13.5     | 13.5     | 6.1      | 6.1      | 6.1      | 12.2     | 12.2     | 12.2     | 12.2     | 12.2     | 12.2     |
| \( \Delta T_0 \) [K] | 18.3    | 18.3     | 18.3     | 6.9      | 6.9      | 6.9      | 18.3     | 18.3     | 18.3     | 18.3     | 18.3     | 18.3     |
| \( x \) | 1.38     | 1.38     | 1.38     | 3.75     | 3.75     | 3.75     | 1        | 1        | 1        | 1        | 1        | 1        |
| \( G_{TE} \) [kW/K] | 7.57    | 2.42     | 1.3      | 6.8      | 2.176    | 1.67     | 8.54     | 2.734    | 1.456    | 6.82     | 2.182    | 1.67     |
| \( G_{TR} \) [kW/K] | 20.51   | 20.51    | 20.51    | 123.78   | 123.78   | 123.78   | 16.44    | 16.44    | 16.44    | 16.44    | 16.44    | 16.44    |
| \( \text{COP} \) | 2.76    | 2.76     | 2.76     | 7.5      | 7.5      | 7.5      | 2        | 2        | 2        | 2        | 2        | 2        |
| \( EE_E \) | 0.292   | 0.421    | 0.5      | 0.292    | 0.421    | 0.5      | 0.292    | 0.421    | 0.5      | 0.292    | 0.421    | 0.5      |
| \( EE \) | 0.55    | 0.795    | 0.94     | 1.95     | 2.37     | 2.625    | 0.878    | 1.268    | 1.5     | 1.584    | 1.843    | 2.0      |

The design considered various temperature ratios of engine external heat reservoirs, \( \theta_{HS} \), and imposed temperatures of refrigeration machine external heat reservoirs, \( T_{RS}, T_{0S} \). Therefore, all engine operational parameters were obtained through the FPDT model. The refrigeration machine operational parameters were determined by adopting a \( \text{COP} \) value of about 60% of \( \text{COP}_{\text{Carnot}} \).

### 6. Discussion

Development of proof thermodynamic design models for trigeneration systems has to be performed by several logical stages, synthetically presented below.

1. Defining the reference complete reversible trigeneration models for all possible schemes of providing imposed useful energies. This stage was well performed by considering the Carnot cycle.
2. Defining the reference endoreversible trigeneration models for all possible schemes of providing imposed useful energies. This stage might be well achieved through FPDT mathematical models. These models allow the generalization of design results, not depending on the working fluid nature.
3. The limitations of endoreversibility have to be assessed for cases when the reversible model cannot be applied entirely for all possible cycles, see for instance [1]. The limitations of the Carnot cycle are exceeded through mean thermodynamic temperature concepts. Thus, the Carnot model
remains as the reference for all types of irreversible cycles. The comparison, reversible–irreversible, is performed through lost exergy, irreversible entropy generation, and second law efficiency concepts. The Curzon–Ahlborn–Novikov approach has limitations related to the efficiency at maximum power because it does not imply the entropy/entropy balance in its mathematical model. In this paper, the basic endoreversible trigeneration mathematical models considering all endoreversible non-Carnot cycles were generalized through the mean thermodynamic concept and the new defined mean temperature of external heat reservoirs complying with coupled energy conservation and linear heat transfer equations. These mathematical models would be the reference ones to compare reversibility–irreversibility, either by lost exergy/second law efficiency or by an overall number of internal irreversibility, see [38].

4. Defining the reference models assessing the irreversibility influence. The equilibrium thermodynamics were completed through the means of thermodynamic temperature, exergy, and irreversible entropy generation concepts. The FPDT assessments might be completed either defining a single concept evaluating priori the overall internal irreversibility, see for instance the short communication [38], or making a sensitivity analysis of each operational trigeneration scheme depending on the working fluid nature and on the type of thermal system.

5. Defining the optimization methods of reference reversible and irreversible cycles. The optimization methods consider either pure thermodynamic criteria, CAPEX criteria, operational costs criteria (see for instance [24]), environmental criteria (see LCA method), or improvement of a specific defined efficiency of thermal systems. The more elaborated methods combine different criteria.

6. Defining the reference models for possible interconnected trigeneration grids and the evaluation of performances, energy interactions, investments, operational costs, environmental effects, preservation of natural resources.

7. Defining the optimization methods for possible interconnected trigeneration grids. One good method might use MILP models, see reference [37].

The present paper is developed inside stage two. Four possible operational schemes were defined, one for the summer season and three for the winter season. The developed mathematical model designs of endoreversible trigeneration systems might be used as reference CCHP in designing irreversible ones. The proposed models use FPDT principles to minimize the number of adjustable finite physical dimensions design parameters that accomplish the customer’s requirements of these energy systems. The next stages, three and four, will be accomplished by generalized assessments involving the overall number of internal irreversibility concept, see [25], and complying with FPDT principles.

7. Conclusions

This paper proposes an original FPDT approach design of endoreversible trigeneration cycles. Four operational schemes were analyzed, one for the summer season (case “a”), and three for the winter season (cases “b”, “c”, and “d”). These endoreversible trigeneration systems might be used as reference CCHP in designing irreversible ones.

The FPDT generalizes the design of CCHP systems by substituting the entropy variations of the working fluids with four operational finite dimensions control parameters. Therefore, the design could apply to various subsystem components, i.e., engine and refrigeration machine. When applied to endoreversible cycles of trigeneration systems, the preliminary design is simplified by defining a complete thermodynamic and optimized design, depending on particular imposed restrictive operational conditions.

They were defined as the basic possible trigeneration reference operational schemes and the generalized FPDT mathematical models for each scheme. The operational schemes can be combined in developing the reference trigeneration grids. The mathematical models can be applied to irreversible cycles through irreversibility-defined coefficients that should link the thermal cyclic interactions, e.g., entropy balance equation, i.e., through an overall number of internal irreversibility.
The FPDT design model of the trigeneration component endoreversible cycles emphasizes the cycle’s internal relationships between the operational functions and the restrictive imposed variable finite physical dimensions parameters. These relationships are similar for all cases. The presented FPDT design of the whole endoreversible trigeneration system revealed the minimization of thermal conductance inventory \((G_{TE} \text{ and } G_{TR})\). This fact it is very important, because minimum thermal conductance inventory denotes minimum investment costs (CAPEX) for corresponding heat exchangers.

The Energy Efficiency functions depend on \(x, EE_{cog}, EE_{E}, \text{ and } COP\). These equations could also be applied to irreversible systems, if the real energy efficiencies of system components are known, i.e., \(x, EE_{cog,real}, EE_{E,real}, \text{ and } COP_{real}\), and the lost heat along the flow paths of useful thermal energy fluids carrier. These values might be larger than unity (see Tables 2 and 3). Unfortunately, it is difficult to propose a single value for typical trigeneration systems and therefore, the generalized schemes of basic trigeneration systems have to be developed to then determine the imposed minimum specific energy efficiency value.

Future FPDT work will develop the sensitivity analysis of irreversibility influence. This analysis has to specify the nature of cycles (e.g., engine: Rankine, Joule-Brayton, reciprocating ones; and refrigeration machine: Vapor or gas compression or absorption), and the nature of the working fluids, because the magnitude of irreversibility strongly depends on thermodynamic and thermal properties.
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