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ABSTRACT

We report Hubble Space Telescope observations of six gravitational lenses with the Advanced Camera for Surveys. We measured the flux ratios between the lensed images in seven filters from 8140 Å to 2200 Å. In three of the systems, HE0512−3329, B1600+434, and H1413+117, we were able to construct UV extinction curves partially overlapping the 2175 Å feature and characterize the properties of the dust relative to the Galaxy and the Magellanic Clouds. In HE1104−1804, we detect chromatic microlensing and use it to study the physical properties of the quasar accretion disk. For a Gaussian model of the disk exp(−r^2/2σ^2), scaling with wavelength as r_s ∝ λ^p, we estimate r_s(λ3363) = 4σ_s (7 ± 4) light days and p = 1.1 ± 0.6 (1.0 ± 0.6) for a logarithmic (linear) prior on r_s. The remaining two systems, FBQ0951+2635 and SBS1520+530, yielded no useful estimates of extinction or chromatic microlensing.

Key words: accretion, accretion disks – cosmology: observations – dust, extinction – galaxies: ISM – gravitational lensing: micro

Online-only material: color figure

1. INTRODUCTION

The wavelength-dependent flux ratios between gravitationally lensed images of quasars can be used to probe both the extinction law in the lens galaxy and the structure of the quasar. Each image is differentially extincted by dust along the path of the image through the lens galaxy, and this can be used to measure the extinction, the extinction law, and the lens redshift (e.g., Nadeau et al. 1991; Falco et al. 1999; Motta et al. 2002; Muñoz et al. 2004; Mediavilla et al. 2005; Eliasdottir et al. 2006; Mosquera et al. 2011). The second effect, microlensing by the stars in the lens galaxy (Chang &Refsdal 1979), leads to wavelength-dependent changes in the flux ratios because the effective size of the quasar accretion disk varies with wavelength (Wisotzki et al. 1993, 1995; Wucknitz et al. 2003; Anguita et al. 2008; Bate et al. 2008; Eigenbrod et al. 2008; Pointdexter et al. 2008; Floyd et al. 2009; Mosquerá et al. 2009, 2011; Blackburne et al. 2011; Mediavilla et al. 2011). Detections of “chromaticity” between the images of a lensed quasar are useful for studying both phenomena if they can be disentangled.

In extragalactic astronomy, understanding dust is crucial to understanding galaxies, through its effects on estimates of star formation rates and galaxy evolution (e.g., Conroy et al. 2009), cosmology, through its effects on Type I supernova fluxes (e.g., Jha et al. 2006), and the interpretation of gamma-ray burst afterglows (e.g., Jakobsson et al. 2004). Unfortunately, classical methods for obtaining accurate extinction curves to characterize dust cannot be used outside the Local Group because they depend on detailed measurements of individual stars. Gravitational lenses are one of the best quantitative astrophysical probes of dust properties at intermediate redshifts given lenses with the right amount of dust and the appropriate combinations of redshifts. If there is too little dust, it is difficult to measure the extinction at long wavelengths and microlensing is more likely to dominate the chromaticity. If there is too much dust, it becomes impossible to measure extinction curves into the rest-frame ultraviolet. Similarly, the lens redshift must be high enough to make the rest-frame 2175 Å dust feature observable, while the source redshift must be low enough to avoid having the quasar continuum blocked by absorption in the intergalactic medium. Similar considerations hold for studying chromatic microlensing over the broadest possible wavelength baseline.

We selected six lenses from the survey of extinction by Falco et al. (1999) that roughly satisfied these criteria: HE 0512−3329, FBQ 0951+2635, HE 1104−1804, H 1413+117, SBS 1520+530, and B 1600+434. As we report in Section 2, we observed them in seven filters spanning 2200−8100 Å (F220W, F250W, F330W, F435W, F555W, F625W, and F814W) using the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) and the Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS)/High Resolution Channel (HRC) camera. This approach ensures that we can measure the image flux ratios without contamination from the lens or the host galaxy of the quasar. Section 2 also outlines how we model the results to study extinction and microlensing. In Section 3, we present the results, reporting on the extinction curves of three of the systems and the chromatic microlensing in one system. Section 4 summarizes the results and lessons for future observations.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

Table 1 provides a log of our ACS/HRC observations based on 13 HST orbits in Cycle 12. Table 2 summarizes previous HST observations of these systems, and Table 3 presents our new photometry. The observations in each filter consisted of multiple, dithered sub-exposures which were corrected for cosmic rays and combined using standard methods. We modeled the images following the procedures of Lehár et al. (2000). The images were fit as a combination of point sources, de

* Based on observations made with the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope. The Space Telescope Science Institute is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-26555.
Vaucouleurs, and exponential disk profiles convolved with TinyTim (Krist & Hook 1997) point-spread function models. We determined the relative astrometry of the components and the structure of the lens galaxy using the CASTLES H-band images where the lens galaxy is best detected and characterized (see Figure 1). These were then held fixed and the remaining images were fit to determine the fluxes of the components in each filter. For the bluer filters the lens galaxy was undetected and we could easily confirm the model fits with aperture photometry.

Consider multiple images $i$ of a single lensed quasar. Let $m_0(\lambda, t)$ be the intrinsic quasar flux at time $t$, expressed in magnitudes at observed wavelength $\lambda$. The redshifted, absorbed flux of image $i$ is then

$$m_i(\lambda, t) = m_0(\lambda, t) - M_i(\lambda, t) + E_i \left( \frac{\lambda}{1+z_i} \right),$$

where $M_i(\lambda, t)$ and $E_i = E(B - V)$ are the magnification (in magnitudes) and color excess of image $i$, respectively, and $R(\lambda/(1+z_i))$ is the extinction curve at the lens redshift $z_i$. The magnification $M_i(\lambda, t)$ may depend on wavelength and time due to microlensing effects (Wambsganss 2006, and references therein). By measuring the magnitude differences as a function of wavelength for each image pair (labeled $i$ and $j$),

$$m_j(\lambda, t) - m_i(\lambda, t) = \Delta M(\lambda, t) + \Delta E \left( \frac{\lambda}{1+z_j} \right),$$

we constrain the relative magnifications, $\Delta M(\lambda, t) = M_j(\lambda, t) - M_i(\lambda, t)$, the extinction differences, $\Delta E(B - V) = E_j(B - V) - E_i(B - V)$, and the mean extinction curve $R(\lambda)$.

For the extinction law $R(\lambda)$, we used either the Cardelli et al. (1989, hereafter CCM) parameterized models for the Galactic extinction curve or the Fitzpatrick & Massa (1988, hereafter FM) model with its parameters set to match the average extinction in the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC; Gordon et al. 2003). The main difference is that the Galactic models have a strong 2175 Å absorption feature while the SMC models do not. One way to confirm the presence of extinction is to estimate a dust redshift $z_d$ (Jean & Surdej 1998; Falco et al. 1999), the redshift at which the extinction curve best fits the data, and show that it agrees with the observed lens redshift $z_i$. We assume that the extinction law is the same for all images. Generally one image dominates the extinction and this assumption is unimportant, but it can be an issue if all images are significantly extinguished (see Muñoz et al. 2004 and McGough et al. 2005). For models assuming there is only extinction, we fit the data with a single $\Delta M(\lambda, t) \equiv \Delta M,$
a common differential magnification for all wavelengths which removes any effects from the magnifications of the macro model and most of the effects of source variability.

The second physical effect in Equation (2) is the chromatic microlensing produced by the $\Delta M(\lambda, t)$ term. Because of the structure in the microlensing magnification patterns, the changing size of the disk with wavelength changes the magnification. Since the observer, lens, stars, and host galaxy are all in relative motion, this magnification then changes with time. In our present study we will examine this using simulations. Based on the properties of the macro models for the lens geometry, we generate magnification patterns using the approach of Mediavilla et al. (2006), assuming that a fraction $\alpha = 0.1$ of the surface density is in stars (i.e., the surface density is dark matter dominated; see Kochanek et al. 2006; Mediavilla et al. 2009; Pooley et al. 2009; Morgan et al. 2010; Mosquera et al. 2011) and we simply use $M = 1 M_\odot$ stars. We then convolve the patterns with Gaussian intensity profiles to model the quasar accretion disk, $I(R) \propto \exp(-R^2/2r_s^2)$, where $r_s \propto \lambda^p$ characterizes the disk size at wavelength $\lambda$. These sizes can be rescaled to a different microlensing mass as $r_s \propto \sqrt{M/M_*}$. We make many random trials fitting the data as a function of $r_s$ and $p$, and then use Bayesian methods to estimate the size $r_s$ and the scaling exponent $p$ for either linear or logarithmic priors on $r_s$ and linear priors on $p$, as explained in detail in Mediavilla et al. (2011).

The last point we note is that our data are obtained at a single epoch, so our flux ratios are really comparing $m_q(\lambda, t) - m_q(\lambda, t + \Delta t)$, where $\Delta t$ is the time delay between the images. This means that intrinsic source variability combined with the time delay between the images can lead to physically uninteresting wavelength-dependent changes in the flux ratios which we will ignore by assuming that $m_q(\lambda, t) - m_q(\lambda, t + \Delta t) \equiv 0$. Particularly in the estimates of extinction, we will see negligible effects because the parameter $\Delta M$ for the difference in the macro model magnifications also captures any achromatic effects from ignoring time variability. For most of the lenses we consider, these changes will be small, as can be seen from the empirical quasar variability models of MacLeod et al. (2010), Yonehara et al. (2008) based on the ensemble Sloan...
Digital Sky Survey quasar structure functions (Vanden Berk et al. 2004; Ivezić et al. 2004) estimated that there would be typical shifts of $\sim 0.1$ mag in single epoch observations, but that the changes in colors would be significantly smaller because the color changes associated with quasar variability are far smaller than the overall variability. We can compensate for this problem by using modestly larger uncertainties, but it is really only an issue for systems with long time delays. The worst case is HE 1104−1805 which has a relatively long time delay of almost six months. If we follow the procedures of Yonehara et al. (2008), we estimate that the time delay can produce a bias in the shortest wavelength filter (F330W) of roughly 0.1 mag, with a potential color change between the F330W filter and the $H$ band of only 0.05 mag.

3. RESULTS

We now consider each of the systems individually. We found extinction in HE 0512−3329, B 1600+434, and H 1413+117 and chromatic microlensing in HE 1104−1805. The remaining two systems, FBQ 0951+2635 and SBS 1520+530, did not show enough of a chromaticity signature to perform a deeper analysis given only a single epoch of data. In each of the analyses, it is necessary to determine whether the filters include any broad emission lines, because line and continuum flux ratios can be quite different (e.g., see Mediavilla et al. 2005). While both are equally altered by extinction, the broad emission line regions are more spatially extended and hence far less affected by microlensing (e.g., see Abajas et al. 2002). Here, we are restricted to photometry, but by tracking the filter and line locations and widths we can determine the degree of contamination. Figure 1 shows HST images of the six systems. Note that at least half of them are relatively disky, which is not the norm for gravitational lenses.

3.1. HE 0512−3329

HE 0512−3329 is a two-image lensed quasar with a separation of 0′.65 and a source redshift of $z_s = 1.565$ (Gregg et al. 2000). The lens redshift is not directly measured, but the presence of a damped Ly$\alpha$ absorber (DLA) system and associated strong metal line absorption systems suggests that the lens is a spiral galaxy at $z_l = 0.93$ (Gregg et al. 2000; Wucknitz et al. 2003). Table 2 presents the photometry for the lens galaxy as well as the quasar images in the CASTLES data. While the time delays are not measured, they will be so short given the image separation ($\sim 10$ days is estimated from a singular isothermal ellipsoid model) that the single epoch flux ratios will be unaffected by intrinsic variability.

As we see in Figure 2, the flux ratios have a steep dependence on the wavelength, and the slope is little changed from the earlier CASTLES results or the later results from Eliasdottir et al. (2006). While there are offsets between the epochs indicative of microlensing, they show no significant wavelength dependencies. Wucknitz et al. (2003) found that the broad emission line flux ratios, which should be very little affected by microlensing, show a wavelength dependence consistent with these trends. They also found evidence of microlensing in the continuum.

Figure 3 shows the result of fitting the flux ratios assuming they are due to differential extinction. Like Wucknitz et al. (2003), and unlike Eliasdottir et al. (2006), we identify a weak 2175 Å feature. The Galactic CCM extinction curve fits poorly, with $\chi^2 = 13$ for 4 degrees of freedom (dof), an estimated $R(V) \lesssim 0.5$, and a best-fit $R(V) \simeq 0$, a region where the model makes no sense. The model with the weaker feature of the mean SMC extinction law fits far better, with $\chi^2 = 2.8$ for 5 dof and $\Delta E(B-V) = 0.06 \pm 0.01$. If we allow the parameter responsible for the stretch of the bump ($c3$) in the FM extinction
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Figure 2. Magnitude differences of HE 0512−3329 as a function of the inverse of the observed wavelength for the new HST observations (filled squares) along with the previous CASTLES observations (open triangles) and the ground-based data from Eliasdottir et al. (2006) (asterisks). The shaded regions correspond to the wavelength location and width of the most prominent quasar broad emission lines. The vertical solid line indicates the expected position of the 2175 Å extinction curve feature based on the estimated lens redshift. The horizontal error bars on the HST data indicate the widths of the filters.

law to vary, we find a best fit with $\chi^2 = 1.1$ for 4 dof and parameter $c3 = 1.7 \pm 0.9$ confirming the marginal detection of the bump by Wucknitz et al. (2003). The interpretation of the flux ratios as extinction and the feature as the 2175 Å feature seems robust since we obtain a dust redshift of $z_d = 0.92 \pm 0.15$ that is in good agreement with that of the DLA and metal line systems at $z_s = 0.93$ (Gregg et al. 2000; Wucknitz et al. 2003). Although 25% of the C IV emission line lies in the F435W filter, we estimate that differential microlensing between the line and continuum of order 0.2 mag would lead to a line-induced bias in the estimated continuum flux ratios of only $\sim 0.01$ mag. This is smaller than the photometric uncertainties and cannot explain the observed shift of $\sim 0.1$ mag.

3.2. B 1600+434

B 1600+434 is a two-image system with a separation of $1^\prime.4$, a source redshift of $z_s = 1.59$, and a lens redshift of $z_l = 0.41$ (Jackson et al. 1995) where the lens is a nearly edge-on spiral (Jaunsen & Hjorth 1997). The time delay is relatively short ($\sim 47$ days; Koopmans et al. 2000; Burud et al. 2000), so single epoch flux ratios will be little affected by intrinsic variability. Not surprisingly, it was quickly found that the image passing through the disk of the galaxy suffered from extinction (Jaunsen & Hjorth 1997; Falco et al. 1999; Burud et al. 2000).

Figure 4 shows the magnitude differences as a function of wavelength, where the redder image A is the image passing through the disk of the lens. The slope of the differences is little changed from the CASTLES observations, but there is an offset of approximately 0.3 mag. Thus, as for HE 0512−3329, the dominant effect is differential extinction with weaker effects due to microlensing that show no obvious wavelength dependence.
2175 Å feature is not only blueward of our shortest wavelength filter but also lies on top of the Lyα line of the quasar. Dai & Kochanek (2005) estimated a gas column density difference between the images of $\sim 3 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ based on differences in the X-ray spectra of the two images. Using the extinction estimate of $\Delta E(B-V) \simeq 0.1$ from Falco et al. (1999), this implied a dust-to-gas ratio that was somewhat high. However, if we adopt our new estimate, we find a dust-to-gas ratio of $\sim 7 \times 10^{21}$ mag$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ that is very close to the typical Galactic value of $5.8 \times 10^{21}$ mag$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Bohlin et al. 1978).

3.3. H 1413+117

H 1413+117 is a four image system with a maximum separation of $\sim 1''$ and $z_s = 2.55$ (Magain et al. 1988). The lens galaxy is marginally detected in the H band and its redshift is unknown, although Kneib et al. (1998) propose $z \sim 0.9$ based on the photometric redshifts of nearby galaxies. Figure 6 shows the magnitude differences for our HRC observations, the CASTLES data, the Turnshek et al. (1997) and Chae et al. (2001) data, and the mid-infrared (11 μm) flux ratios from MacLeod et al. (2009). The small shifts between the epochs appear to be due to changes in the fluxes of images A and D, at levels of approximately 0.1 and 0.05 mag. The largest wavelength dependencies correspond to images A and B and the lack of significant changes in the colors with time indicates that they should be attributed to extinction. The lack of a wavelength dependence between images D and C suggests that they are little affected by either extinction or chromatic microlensing at these wavelengths. The small bump in the F435W magnitude differences including image D (see Figure 6) is probably due to contamination by the Lyα emission line. In Figure 6, we also see that the flux ratios excluding image D ($m_A - m_C$, $m_B - m_C$) extend naturally into the mid-IR as might be expected for extinction, while the flux ratios including image D ($m_B - m_D$, $m_D - m_C$) show significant shifts ($\sim 0.2$ mag) going from near-IR to the mid-IR. This seems more easily explained by microlensing, where the near-IR emission is from the accretion disk while the mid-IR emission is from thermal dust emission on larger scales. Recently, Hutsemékers et al. (2010) have reached similar conclusions based on spectroscopic differences between the images.

We conclude that A and B images are significantly affected by differential extinction from the lens galaxy. Unfortunately, the lack of a candidate for the 2175 Å feature combined with the unknown redshift of the lens galaxy makes it difficult to analyze the extinction. If the bump feature is present in the lens galaxy, its absence in our observations implies a very low lens redshift ($\lesssim 0.3$), as illustrated by the example for a lens at $z_l = 0.25$ shown in Figure 7. The failure to detect the lens in the V- and I-band HST observations almost certainly guarantees that the lens redshift cannot be so low. Thus, we must conclude that the extinction law in this lens lacks a significant 2175 Å feature.

3.4. HE 1104−1805

HE 1104−1805 is a two-image lensed quasar with a relatively large separation of $\sim 3''$, a source redshift of $z_s = 2.32$ (Wisotzki et al. 1993), and a lens redshift of $z_l = 0.73$ (Lidman et al. 2000). Lehár et al. (2000) modeled the system in detail using the CASTLES images. The time delay is relatively long ($\sim 162$ days; Morgan et al. 2008), but based on the statistics of quasar variability discussed in Section 2, our single epoch flux ratios should not be strongly biased. Falco et al. (1999) modeled the flux ratios as extinction, although the X-ray absorption study by Dai et al. (2006) found negligible differential absorption. In fact, it was also clear from the later light curves (Schechter...
The Astrophysical Journal, 742:67 (10pp), 2011 December 1

Muñoz et al.

Figure 7. ACS/HRC and mid-infrared (MacLeod et al. 2009) magnitude differences $m_B - m_C$ and $m_A - m_C$, which are strongly indicative of extinction. As an example, the solid lines show fits with the same CCM extinction law for a fixed lens redshift $z_l = 0.25$. For the more probable, higher lens redshift, where the location of the 2175 Å feature should be shifted to the left, successful fits require extinction laws without a strong 2175 Å feature.

et al. 2003; Poindexter et al. 2007) that there was significant chromatic microlensing in this system. Indeed, as Poindexter et al. (2008) noted in their detailed study of microlensing, the relative colors of the two images reversed over the period since its discovery, very different from the limited color changes seen in the first three lenses we considered. Further evidence against significant extinction is that the mid-IR flux ratios from Poindexter et al. (2007) agree well with the emission line flux ratios (Wisotzki et al. 1993). Figure 8 shows the magnitude differences for images A and B for each ACS/HRC filter, along with the CASTLES magnitude differences and the mid-IR flux ratios from Poindexter et al. (2007). We can see again the change in slope of the wavelength dependence between the two epochs indicating the detection of chromatic microlensing.

We separately modeled the two epochs of HST observations using the procedures from Mediavilla et al. (2011) and Mosquera et al. (2011), as briefly outlined in Section 2, to compare the results from single epoch models to the more complex light curve modeling procedures used by Poindexter et al. (2008). Figure 9 shows the estimates for the scale radius $r_s$ in the F336W filter (1013 Å in the rest frame) and the logarithmic slope $p$ of the size with wavelength, $r_s \propto \lambda^p$, for the HRC data, the CASTLES data, and the combination of the two assuming either a logarithmic or a linear prior on $r_s$. In thin disk theory, where the disk temperature profile is $T \propto R^{-3/4}$, we would expect to find $p = 4/3$. Given the nature of the chromatic microlensing detected in the HRC observations the uncertainties are substantially greater than the ones derived from the CASTLES data, with its steeper, monotonic variations in the flux ratios, but the estimates (see Table 4) agree within the uncertainties. When we combine the two results, we find $p = 1.1 \pm 0.6$ and $r_s = 4^{+3}_{-1}$ lt-day for the logarithmic prior on the size, and $p = 1.0 \pm 0.6$ and $r_s = 7 \pm 4$ lt-day for the linear prior. We can compare to Poindexter et al. (2008), who used a different disk model and normalizing wavelength, by converting the scale lengths to the half-light radii $R_{1/2}$ of the models since Mortonson et al. (2005) showed that different microlensing models will agree on
in order to better compare to Poindexter et al. (2008). Open (filled) symbols correspond to logarithmic (linear) priors on \( r_s \). The dashed horizontal lines represent the size estimates inferred from the black hole mass based on the thin disk theory (upper) or the observed \( \ell \) band flux (lower) (see Poindexter et al. 2008). In this composition we have shifted the mean microlens mass to 0.3 \( M_\odot \) (see the text) in order to better compare to Poindexter et al. (2008).

### Table 4

| Disk Parameters | ACS | CASTLES | ACS × CASTLES |
|-----------------|-----|---------|---------------|
| \( r_s \) (lt-day) | 6^{+4}_{-2} | 4^{+4}_{-2} | 4^{+4}_{-2} |
| \( p \)          | 1.8 ± 0.8 | 1.0 ± 0.7 | 1.1 ± 0.6 |

Logarithmic prior

| \( r_s \) (lt-day) | 12 ± 6 | 7 ± 4 | 7 ± 4 |
| \( p \)          | 1.8 ± 0.8 | 0.9 ± 0.6 | 1.0 ± 0.6 |

Linear prior

Notes. \( r_s \) is the size of the quasar accretion disk modeled as a Gaussian \( (I(R) \propto \exp(-R^2/2\sigma^2)) \) at the observed wavelength \( \lambda = 3363 \text{ Å} \) and \( p \) is the power law of the size variation with wavelength \( r_s(\lambda) \propto \lambda^p \).

the half-light radius of the distribution. We transform our \( r_s \) at \( \lambda = 3363 \text{ Å} \) to \( R_{1/2}(\lambda=3363) = 1.18 (3363/3363)^3 r_s(\lambda=3363) \) at the normalizing wavelength \( \lambda = 4311 \text{ Å} \) used by Poindexter et al. (2008). In addition we rescale our microlens mass to \( M = 0.3M_\odot \) from \( M = 1M_\odot \) (\( r_s \propto \sqrt{M} \)) as this is closer to the expectation for normal stellar populations (see Poindexter et al. 2008). Figure 10 shows that our combined results are in excellent agreement with those of Poindexter et al. (2008). These estimated sizes of \( R_{1/2} \sim 9(16) \times 10^{15} \text{ cm} \) for the logarithmic (linear) prior imply, assuming a simple thin disk model (\( p = 4/3 \)) radiating at the Eddington limit with 10% efficiency, a mass for the central black hole of \( M_{\text{BH}} \sim 4.6(10) \times 10^9 M_\odot \) which agrees well with estimates from emission line widths of \( M_{\text{BH}} = 2^{+3}_{-1} \times 10^9 M_\odot \) from Assef et al. (2011). Thus, the quasar accretion disk is larger than the gravitational radius \( r_g = GM_{\text{BH}}/c^2 \), \( R_{1/2} \sim 13(10)r_g \), and smaller than the Einstein radius in the source plane \( r_E \), \( R_{1/2} \sim 0.4(0.2)r_E \), for the logarithmic (linear) prior.

### 3.5. FBQ 0951+2635

FBQ 0951+2635 is a two-image lens with an image separation of 1′.1, a source redshift of \( z_s = 1.24 \) (Schechter et al. 1998), and a lens redshift of \( z_l = 0.260 \pm 0.002 \) (Eigenbrod et al. 2007). The time delay is short, \( \sim 16 \text{ days} \) (Jakobsson et al. 2005), and several studies have detected microlensing variability at the level of 0.04 mag year\(^{-1} \) (e.g., Schechter et al. 1998; Jakobsson et al. 2005; Paraficz et al. 2006). Figure 11 shows our measurements of the magnitude differences between the two images along with the differences found by CASTLES. The magnitudes of the differences are smaller than in the previous four systems, and it is clear that there is little differential extinction. This agrees with the similar conclusion of Mosquera et al. (2011) based on ground-based narrowband imaging. The UV data from \( HST \) allow us to cover the wavelength range where the 2175 Å feature is expected given the measured redshift of the lens galaxy. Although we see a small feature in the F250W filter, we cannot simply attribute it to the 2175 Å bump because it also overlaps the Ly\( \alpha \) emission line of the quasar. The differences between the present data and the CASTLES observations indicate the presence of chromatic microlensing, but the amplitudes are too small for single epoch microlensing models to yield significant results. Nonetheless, we confirm that FBQ 0951+2635 is a good candidate for future measurements, reinforced by the fact that Morgan et al. (2010) were already able to estimate a disk size based on microlensing variability in the \( R \)-band light curves of this source.

### 3.6. SBS 1520+530

SBS 1520+530 is a two-image lens with an image separation of \( \sim 1″.6 \), a source redshift of \( z_s = 1.86 \), and a lens redshift.
of \( z_l = 0.72 \) (Chavushyan et al. 1997). Burud et al. (2002) measured a time delay for the system of \( \sim 30 \) days, and Gaynullina et al. (2005) and Paraficz et al. (2006) observed microlensing at a level of \( \sim 0.14 \) mag over roughly four years. Morgan et al. (2010) estimated an R-band half-light radius for the disk based on modeling these light curves. Unfortunately, our HRC observations do not show a significant chromatic signal to allow us to perform a deeper analysis. Figure 12 shows the magnitude differences as a function of wavelength for our HRC data and the CASTLES differences presented in Table 2. The wavelength-dependent trends in the ACS/HRC observations are weak, indicating that the differential extinction is very low. Interpreting the CASTLES data is difficult because the V-band (F555W) flux ratio has an unexpected value with a large error bar. We have inspected the CASTLES data several times and have been unable to find a systematic problem (e.g., missed cosmic rays) that would explain the discrepancy.

4. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

The effects of both extinction and microlensing become larger as we observe them at shorter wavelengths. Unfortunately, the atmosphere prevents us from observing into the ultraviolet from the ground, and so we generally cannot observe the rest-frame 2175 Å region to search for the characteristic feature of Galactic and LMC extinction curves or to probe the hot regions near the inner edges of accretion disks. Here, we surveyed six gravitational lenses with evidence for significant wavelength-dependent flux ratios in the extinction study of Falco et al. (1999) from the \( I \) band into the UV (8100–2200 Å). Two of the lenses, FBQ 0951+2635 and SBS 1520+530, showed changes with wavelength that were too small to yield interesting constraints.

It is not surprising given the selection method that three of the lenses show significant evidence for differential extinction between the images. We argue for extinction dominating over chromatic microlensing systems based on the lack of evidence for significant time variability in color, although all three systems show small changes in the flux ratios that are probably due to microlensing. In the case of HE 0512–3329, we find evidence for a weak 2175 Å feature from the dust in the \( z_l = 0.93 \) lens. For B 1600+434 we cannot quite reach the wavelengths needed to quantify the presence of the 2175 Å feature, although a CCM extinction law agrees with our observations, while the lack of a lens redshift for H 1413+117 limits our conclusions. Both systems contain significant differential extinction, and it is likely that the dust in B 1600+434 has the 2175 Å feature and that the dust in H 1413+177 does not.

We clearly detect chromatic microlensing in HE 1104–1805.

If we estimate the wavelength-dependent size of the accretion disk by modeling our single epoch of data or the earlier CASTLES data, we find compatible results. If we combine the two single epoch estimates, the combined result agrees with the multi-band light curve analyses of Poindexter et al. (2008). Modeled as a Gaussian source \( \exp(-r^2/2\sigma^2) \) with \( \sigma = \) the observed wavelength \( \lambda = 3363 \) Å, we find \( \sigma = 4.5 \pm 1.5 \) light days and \( \rho = 1.1 \pm 0.5 \) (1.0 ± 0.6) for a logarithmic (linear) prior on \( \sigma \). These slopes are consistent with the expected slopes from standard thin disk theory \( (T \propto R^{-1/p}) \) with \( p = 4/3 \), but the uncertainties are too large to draw a stronger conclusion.
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