A simulator study of adverse wear with metal and cement debris contamination in metal-on-metal hip bearings
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Objectives
Third-body wear is believed to be one trigger for adverse results with metal-on-metal (MOM) bearings. Impingement and subluxation may release metal particles from MOM replacements. We therefore challenged MOM bearings with relevant debris types of cobalt–chrome alloy (CoCr), titanium alloy (Ti6Al4V) and polymethylmethacrylate bone cement (PMMA).

Methods
Cement flakes (PMMA), CoCr and Ti6Al4V particles (size range 5 μm to 400 μm) were run in a MOM wear simulation. Debris allotments (5 mg) were inserted at ten intervals during the five million cycle (5 Mc) test.

Results
In a clean test phase (0 Mc to 0.8 Mc), lubricants retained their yellow colour. Addition of metal particles at 0.8 Mc turned lubricants black within the first hour of the test and remained so for the duration, while PMMA particles did not change the colour of the lubricant. Rates of wear with PMMA, CoCr and Ti6Al4V debris averaged 0.3 mm³/Mc, 4.1 mm³/Mc and 6.4 mm³/Mc, respectively.

Conclusions
Metal particles turned simulator lubricants black with rates of wear of MOM bearings an order of magnitude higher than with control PMMA particles. This appeared to model the findings of black, periarticular joint tissues and high CoCr wear in failed MOM replacements. The amount of wear debris produced during a 500 000-cycle interval of gait was 30 to 50 times greater than the weight of triggering particle allotment, indicating that MOM bearings were extremely sensitive to third-body wear.
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Article focus
In a prior clinical study of McKee–Farrar cases (cemented, large diameter total hip arthroplasty (THA)), Howie et al. proposed the following hip-impingement scenario for metal-on-metal (MOM) bearings used in THA, the rigid cobalt–chrome (CoCr) cup rim impacts against the CoCr head, producing a two-body wear mechanism. Large CoCr particles are released, and the circulating metal particles produce an aggressive third-body wear of CoCr surfaces. However, there appears to have been no follow-up of this novel hypothesis.

In this first simulator study of its kind, we investigated the wear response of MOM bearings to CoCr and titanium alloy (Ti6Al4V) particles introduced as clinically-relevant metal debris. Custom flakes of polymerised bone cement (PMMA) were used as control debris.

We hypothesised that particles of bone cement would have minimal effect on MOM wear, metal particles (CoCr, Ti6Al4V) would damage CoCr surfaces, increasing MOM wear by an order of magnitude and Ti6Al4V particles would smear onto CoCr surfaces, disrupting the protein lubrication and creating more severe wear.
Key messages
- It is proposed that a major risk with MOM bearings is the release of metal debris that circulates the joint and provokes aggressive third-body wear. Depending on alloys used for femoral stem and acetabular shell designs, such metal debris may include CoCr, Ti6Al4V and other particles.
- Our MOM simulator study showed that CoCr and Ti6Al4V particles turned test lubricants black within the first hour and resulting MOM rates of wear were elevated an order of magnitude above controls. These laboratory data appeared to mimic the high wear measured in retrieved MOM bearings and observations of black pericarticular tissues.
- This investigation with ten debris insertions spaced over a duration of five million cycles demonstrated consistent trends of wear (linear-regression coefficients R > 0.98) that indicated the methodology was applicable for future scientific study.
- It is proposed that a major risk with MOM bearings is the release of metal debris that circulates the joint and provokes aggressive third-body wear. Depending on alloys used for femoral stem and acetabular shell designs, such metal debris may include CoCr, Ti6Al4V and other particles.
- Our MOM simulator study showed that CoCr and Ti6Al4V particles turned test lubricants black within the first hour and resulting MOM rates of wear were elevated an order of magnitude above controls. These laboratory data appeared to mimic the high wear measured in retrieved MOM bearings and observations of black pericarticular tissues.
- This investigation with ten debris insertions spaced over a duration of five million cycles demonstrated consistent trends of wear (linear-regression coefficients R > 0.98) that indicated the methodology was applicable for future scientific study.

Strengths and limitations
- This simulation study used what we would now consider clinically relevant particles of PMMA, CoCr and Ti6Al4V.
- The methodology was chosen to represent the production of debris during one episode of hip-impingement and the consequences of abrasive wear perpetuated by the damaged CoCr surfaces during the subsequent 500 000 cycles of gait.
- There are many issues facing simulation of debris formation in vivo. Some patients may sublux or impinge the hip joint at every step, while others may do so occasionally or not at all. The nature of debris production in vivo is open to speculation. In addition, metal debris may circulate the hip joint, be transported to distant tissue sites, or corrode and be eliminated. The decomposition lifetimes are unknown for metal debris. It is also unknown whether our 0.5 mg debris allotments or the number of particulates had clinical relevance.
- The methodological issues are complex but this debris study may aid in the development of adverse test methods that have clinical relevance.

Introduction
Metal-on-metal (MOM) bearings used for total hip arthroplasty (THA) in the past decade have produced many cases of failure, generally grouped under the term ‘adverse reactions to wear debris’ (ARMD).²-³ The majority of reports focused on cup design and implant malpositioning, with ‘edge loading’ appearing to be an important risk.⁴⁻⁷ However, a trigger mechanism largely overlooked is that of two-body and three-body wear.¹⁻¹¹

In the history of THA, the nemesis for metal-on-polyethylene (MPE) bearing combinations was hip impingement, debris production and third-body abrasion.¹²⁻¹⁴ Particles reported to be circulating in the joint included bone cement (polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA)), various metals (stainless steel, cobalt–chrome (CoCr), pure titanium (Ti) alloy (Ti6Al4V)) and ceramics (hydroxyapatite (HA), alumina). Various pin-on-disk (POD) wear studies have been performed to understand the abrasive wear of polyethylene surfaces¹⁵⁻¹⁷ while hip simulator studies have used abrasive slurries of PMMA debris.¹⁸⁻²¹ However, with respect to wear studies in MOM bearings, there appear to be only two reports.⁶,²² Lu et al¹⁸ investigated the effect of Ti particles and noted that wear was elevated from 0.036 mm³/Mc in MOM controls to 1.8 mm³/Mc and 14.7 mm³/Mc in two femoral heads (Fig. 1), the latter values representing an eightfold disparity. A MOM simulator study (n = 3, 36 mm) by Liao et al²² used HA particles. This study showed stable trends of wear reaching approximately 0.2 mm³/Mc over five million cycles, but with an 11 fold disparity between the high and low rates of wear.

Recent retrieval studies of modular MOM bearings have provided unequivocal evidence of two- and three-body wear damage.⁹,¹¹,²³⁻²⁵ A prior retrieval study of cemented McKee–Farrar THA (cemented non-modular stem and cup design) proposed three abrasive-wear scenarios, the rigid CoCr cup impinges on the femoral head creating surface damage, the cup impingement releases CoCr particles and circulating clouds of CoCr particulates produce an aggressive third-body wear.¹ Simulato
MOM wear, CoCr and Ti6Al4V particles would increase MOM wear by an order of magnitude, and Ti6Al4V debris would be found as a contaminating layer on CoCr bearings.

**Materials and Methods**

**Debris models.** The simulator model used cups mounted ‘inverted’ (under the head),\(^8,22\) debris was inserted at the beginning of each 500 000-cycle test interval, and ten debris insertions were spaced over the duration of the 5 Mc test (Table I).\(^22\) Determining a clinically-appropriate abrasive procedure for simulating total joint arthroplasties \textit{in vivo} is fraught with uncertainty. For example, Lu et al\(^8\) inserted 0.5 mg of Ti-metal debris, Liao et al\(^22\) inserted 280 mg of HA powder and Wang and Essner\(^19\) used a slurry containing 450 mg to 4500 mg of crushed bone cement. Without a consensus from the literature, we used our standard 5 mg debris allotment.\(^26\)

The protein concentration in the diluted serum lubricant (Hyclone Ogden, Utah) was 17 mg/ml and each test chamber held approximately 400 ml volume.\(^27,28\) As in our scratch-profiling study,\(^26\) we used commercially available CoCr beads and irregularly-shaped Ti6Al4V chips that represented considerable morphological differences (Fig. 2). The range of particle size reached a peak at around 420 μm and this represented the scale of damage seen on retrieved MOM bearings.\(^9,25\) We used a fine metal file to scrape off particles of bone cement from a retrieved total knee arthroplasty. The PMMA allotments were checked by SEM and EDS methods for contaminating metal particles. SEM imaging (MA 15, Zeiss, Thornwood, New York) also characterised the morphology of the particles (Fig. 2) and the numbers of particles per allotment were estimated at 230, 340, and 1300 for PMMA, CoCr and Ti6Al4V, respectively.\(^26\)

**MOM simulator wear tests.** A total of nine 38 mm MOM were used with three bearings allocated to each debris treatment (wrought, high-carbon CoCr alloy: DJO Surgical, Austin, Texas). A 12-station hip simulator (Shore Western Manufacturing, Monrovia, California) was run under standard guidelines.\(^27,28\) The cups were mounted below the femoral heads as is typical for debris-insertion studies (Tables II and III). All chambers were run with a ‘clean’ lubricant to 0.8 Mc to complete the run-in wear phase. From 0.8 Mc onwards, 5 mg particle allotments\(^26\) were added at the beginning of each test interval (n = 10 intervals of 0.5 Mc duration).\(^22\) Particles were placed in each cup, loaded with the femoral head, and lubricant

---

**Table I. Summary of hip simulator studies using abrasion methods**

| Study     | Bearings | Diameter (mm) | Cup mounting | Debris method (mg/ml) | Particle type | Particle size (μm) | No. particles | Test interval | No. sample replicates |
|-----------|----------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Lu 2000\(^8\) | MOM      | 28            | Inverted     | Insert (0.5)          | Ti            | NS                 | 150           | 0.25 Mc       | 2                     |
| Liao 2010\(^22\) | MOM      | 36            | Inverted     | Insert (280)          | HA            | < (100)             | NS            | 0.5 Mc        | 3                     |
| Parikh 2013\(^21\) | “DHOxZr” | 38            | Anatomic 35° | Slurry (10)           | P             | NA                 | NS            | 0.5 Mc and 1 Mc | NS                   |
| Halim 2014\(^26\) | MOM      | 38            | Inverted     | Insert (5)            | P, C, T6      | Commercial bone cement powder (Versabond, Smith & Nephew, Memphis, Tennessee) | 230 C, 340 T6, 1300 P | 10 cycles | 2 each set |

Insert, debris inserted between bearing surfaces; Slurry, debris mixed into serum lubricant; C, CoCr particle; DHOxZr, zirconia ceramic surface with diffusion hardened sub-surface zone; HA, hydroxyapatite particle; 1 Mc, 1 million simulator gait cycles; MOM, metal-on-metal bearing; NA, not applicable; NS, not specified; P, Proprietary bone–cement powder; Ti, titanium particle; T6, titanium alloy particle (Ti6Al4V)
added to fill the chambers. Wear analysis was by weight loss and data were analysed by linear regression, with statistical analyses performed using one-way ANOVA and Dunn’s multiple comparisons. Volumetric rates of wear were calculated using specific gravity 8.26 for a CoCr alloy.27

Surface roughness of femoral heads. Femoral heads were examined at 2.5 Mc, 3.5 Mc and 5 Mc duration and wear zones marked and photographed pre-analysis. Femoral-head roughness and scratch profiles were measured by white light interferometry (NewView 600, Zygo Corporation, Middlefield, Connecticut). A total of 12 replicated fields of view were taken per wear scar. The large scratches evident in tests with metal debris were individually profiled on one head selected per debris group (n = 12 measurements). Scratch widths, lip heights and valley depths were compared.26 SEM imaging at 5 Mc duration further characterised the scratch topography, with EDS imaging used to identify surface contaminants (X-flash detector 4010, Bruker AXS, Madison, Wisconsin). Roughness data were assessed using two methods. The main wear zone roughness (MWZ-Ra) was assessed with exclusion of areas containing large scratches i.e. > 20 μm wide. This provided an indication of the wear-polishing effect in the MWZ-Ra method. The total inclusion method (TWZ-Ra) measured roughness that was typical of areas featuring large scratches (common after metal-debris challenge). TWZ-Ra data was also used in support of the profiling method of characterising scratch topography.

Results

MOM simulator wear tests. Run-in and steady state wear phases were completed satisfactorily to 0.8 Mc duration with ‘clean’ lubricants, all of which retained their golden yellow colour. Following the PMMA challenge (0.8 Mc to 5 Mc), the wear trends were satisfactorily linear (Table II: regression coefficients > 0.9). The resulting MOM rates of wear were < 0.45 mm³/Mc (Table III) and there was no lubricant colour change at any test interval up to 5 Mc duration (Fig. 3). In contrast with the CoCr challenge, the lubricants turned black within the first hour and remained black for the duration of each test interval. MOM rates of wear ranged from 3.1 mm³/Mc up to 5.9 mm³/Mc (Fig. 4). The Ti6Al4V challenge also turned lubricants black with rates of wear that ranged from 5.7 mm³/Mc to 7.4 mm³/Mc (Table III). MOM wear ratios for the CoCr and Ti6Al4V challenges versus PMMA controls were 11.2:1 and 17.7:1 (Table II). Comparing wear in metal groups with the cement group revealed statistically significant differences (p < 0.01). The Ti6Al4V and CoCr groups showed only minor differences (p = 0.069). The CoCr challenge produced a MOM weight loss that was 31 times greater than the weight of the debris allotment, and a 50 times greater weight loss in the Ti6Al4V challenge (Table IV).

Surface roughness of femoral heads. In each of the three test groups, the MWZ-index showed no statistically significant differences with respect to the three heads in each group, between-debris groups or overall test duration (Fig. 5). In addition, the PMMA debris challenge provided almost identical MWZ-Ra and TWZ-Ra assessments due to absence of large scratches. The metal debris challenges provided a very different result. Using the total-inclusion method (TWZ-Ra) that measured roughness typical of areas with large scratches, the CoCr particulates showed roughness elevated almost sevenfold; with Ti6Al4V particulates there was an almost tenfold elevation (Table V). SEM and EDS imaging identified islands of metal contamination containing elemental signatures of T, Al and V. Profiling of wear topography at 5 Mc duration revealed that the dramatic changes were caused by scratches 37 μm to 116 μm wide, evident on all bearings challenged by metal particulates (Fig. 6, Table VI).

Discussion

This appears to be the first five million-cycle simulator study comparing the effects of abrasion of PMMA, CoCr and Ti6Al4V contamination in MOM bearings. We propose that debris insertion at the beginning of each test interval represented one impingement episode,1 which was followed with 500 000 gait cycles for the MOM wear assessment. PMMA particulates have been used for some time as simulator test slurries.19,20,29 and were included

### Table II. Metal-on-metal (MOM) rates of wear assessed over 0.8 Mc to 5 Mc duration (weight loss in mg/Mc)

| MOM challenges | Minimum R-value | Weight loss range min/max (mg/Mc) | Max/min wear ratios | Average weight loss (mg/Mc) | Average wear ratio |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| PMMA           | 0.913           | 2.1/3.8                           | 1.8                | 3                           | 1                 |
| CoCr           | 0.925           | 25.7/48.6                         | 1.9                | 33.6                        | 11.2              |
| Ti6Al4V        | 0.986           | 47.4/60.8                         | 1.3                | 53.1                        | 17.7              |

MOM, metal-on-metal; Min, minimum; Max, maximum; PMMA, polymethylmethacrylate; CoCr, cobalt–chrome; Ti6Al4V, titanium alloy

### Table III. Metal-on-metal (MOM) rates of wear assessed over 0.8 Mc to 5 Mc duration with volumetric rates of wear in mm³/Mc (shown weight loss as mm³/Mc)

| MOM challenges | Volume range min/max (mm³/Mc) | Average rate of wear (mm³/Mc) |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| PMMA           | 0.25/0.45                     | 0.36                          |
| CoCr           | 3.12/5.88                     | 4.07                          |
| Ti6Al4V        | 5.74/7.37                     | 6.43                          |

PMMA, polymethylmethacrylate bone cement; CoCr, cobalt–chrome alloy; Ti6Al4V, titanium alloy; Min, minimum; Max, maximum.
here as controls. As anticipated, MOM rates of wear remained low. PMMA particulates and the bearings revealed minimal roughness changes, thus supporting our first hypothesis. In contrast, insertion of metal debris into MOM bearings had an adverse effect over each 500 000-cycle test interval. Metal particulates turned all lubricants black within the first hour of the test and produced rates of wear that were an order of magnitude higher than the controls. This supported the second hypothesis, indicating that wear in MOM bearings were very sensitive to the presence of metal debris.

There are many limitations in simulating abrasive wear mechanisms in vivo. It is impossible to predict the release of abrasive particulates in a patient’s hip joint.10,12,30 A patient can accumulate 500 000 cycles of gait within a few months of daily activities, but the number of impingement events is not known. Some patients may sublux or impinge their hip joint at every step, while others may do this occasionally or not at all. We can only speculate broadly on debris production in vivo. In addition the size, shape and decomposition-processes of metal particles in vivo are virtually unknown. The contrast here is that studies of both MOM retrievals31 and simulator samples32 have typically described CoCr particles that were predominantly < 50 nm median size. Our debris profiling study26 showed that even the 100 μm to 400 μm sized metal particles were pulverised within ten seconds of the start of the test in the hip simulator study. The decomposition of one 100 μm sized CoCr fragment to the 0.05 μm median size would produce 8000 million particles. The life history of such particles (100 μm to 50 nm in size) in regard to decomposition under compressive and shear stresses, with resulting corrosion–dissolution into ionic form, appear to be additional ‘unknowns’.

Particle size was addressed by our retrieval studies that showed surface scratches of between 40 μm and 100 μm wide.9,23,25 These observations indicated that the abrading particles had to be at least of similar size (up to 100 μm wide).26 However, there is no consensus in the literature and, therefore, future studies may wish to investigate different sizes of particles. In the patient, the debris

Table IV. Metal-on-metal (MOM) wear produced over the 0.5 Mc test interval relative to the 0.5 mg allotments of debris

| Debris challenges | Average trends per 0.5 Mc interval | PMMA debris | CoCr debris | Ti6Al4V debris |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| MOM weight-loss (mg) | 1.48 | 16.81 | 26.57 |
| Corrected (PMMA controls) | 15.33 | 25.09 |
| Debris allotment (mg) | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Ratio MOM/debris | 31 | 50 |

PMMA, polymethylmethacrylate bone cement; CoCr, cobalt–chrome alloy; Ti6Al4V, titanium alloy
may readily escape from the joint, whereas in the simulator, all debris was retained in the test chambers for 500,000 test cycles. It is also unknown whether CoCr beads or Ti6Al4V chips would be representative of debris produced in vivo or whether the introduction of 200 to 300 metal particles to MOM bearings at one time had clinical relevance. Could this test that turned lubricants black be considered unduly severe? It is noted that a common finding in contemporary MOM revisions has been black-coloured, peri-implant tissues, with MOM rates of wear reaching up to 70 mm$^3$ per year.\textsuperscript{2,4,33} Thus, simulator rates of wear of 3 mm$^3$ to 7 mm$^3$ per million cycles in this study may be a fair representation of a mild to moderate clinical risk. In this regard, simulator studies with clean lubricants have produced rates typically ranging from 0.5 mm$^3$ to 0.8 mm$^3$ per million cycles.\textsuperscript{34} The fact that blackened lubricants were conspicuous in this study with MOM rates of wear as low as 3.1 mm$^3$ per million cycles, indicated that the margin of safety may be very small.

The PMMA challenge produced low MOM rates of wear and fine scratches that likely represented ‘self-polishing’ by surface carbides. It was particularly interesting that PMMA particles produced rates of wear similar to that of the simulator study using ceramic particles (MOM HA-challenge = 0.2 mm$^3$/Mc).\textsuperscript{22} HA as a ceramic particle is reputed to have extreme hardness\textsuperscript{22} and conventional teaching suggests that the greater the hardness, the greater the damage.\textsuperscript{16,17} However, many factors may be involved, including the number of particles, debris circulation (ingress/escape ratios),\textsuperscript{12} and rate of decomposition, to name a few.

The Ti6Al4V particle challenge produced the highest MOM rates of wear and consistently turned lubricants black, thus supporting our third hypothesis. The earlier Ti-metal debris study\textsuperscript{8} produced a max-to-min wear ratio of $\times$ 8.2 (Fig. 1). Analysis of rates of wear in our Ti6Al4V debris study showed a max-to-min wear ratio of $\times$ 1.3 with particularly high linear-regression coefficients (Table VI: $R > 0.98$). This third-body abrasion study therefore presented a stable trend of wear over the standard five million-cycle test duration, and may offer a suitable model for future scientific studies.

It is to be noted that smearing Ti6Al4V across bearing surfaces has been a common finding in both MPE and ceramic-on-ceramic retrievals with case histories of impingement and dislocation.\textsuperscript{2,34-38} In this MOM simulator study, islands of Ti6Al4V found contaminating the MOM surfaces were identical to those demonstrated in our ten-cycle scratch-profile study.\textsuperscript{26} While it is unknown how tenacious these titanium coatings are in vivo,\textsuperscript{35,36} they were clearly present on 38 mm MOM bearings after 500,000 gait cycles, thus confirming our third hypothesis.

| Methods   | PMMA Ra (nm) | CoCr Ra (nm) | Ti6Al4V Ra (nm) | PMMA PV (nm) | CoCr PV (nm) | Ti6Al4V PV (nm) |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| MWZ-Ra    | 11           | 21           | 16             | 389          | 430          | 450             |
| TWZ-Ra    | 13           | 145          | 159            | 329          | 1219         | 1401            |
| Ratio     | 1.2          | 6.9          | 9.9            | 0.8          | 2.8          | 3.1             |

PMMA, polymethylmethacrylate bone cement; CoCr, cobalt–chrome; Ti6Al4V, titanium alloy
It was notable that the surface roughness following the CoCr and Ti6Al4V debris challenges appeared similar. However, these data were taken 500,000 cycles after debris insertion. We could have investigated a more transient wear effect, e.g., using 1000 or 10,000 cycle intervals, but chose to remain consistent to the original test protocol. The dual ability of Ti6Al4V particles to both scratch and smear on CoCr surfaces appeared to be the most aggressive mechanism of wear. The Ti6Al4V challenge elevated the average roughness indexes (TWZ-Ra) almost tenfold, a most significant change. This may explain the diversity in the prior simulator study that used the softer titanium (pure) metal as debris (Fig. 1).

In the MOM retrieval study by Howie et al., it was proposed that a cascade of three events was necessary to trigger adverse wear, i.e., hip impingement or subluxation events that produced metal debris, ingress of metal debris between CoCr bearings, entrapment and displacement of the metal debris with the hip loading and movement producing a third-body abrasive wear. This was a study of cemented McKee–Farrar THA that featured non-modular stem and cup designs. It is to be noted that there are alternative sources of metallic particles in contemporary THA, including fretting with porous coatings adjacent to bone, fretting between fixation screws and metal implants, and mechanically-assisted corrosion at taper junctions. The additional metal debris would also be able to circulate the hip joint during subsequent activities of daily living. Such a patient-specific, abrasive wear mechanism would help explain why apparently identical

### Table VI. Scratch morphology profiled (n = 12) on one femoral head per debris group

| Debris test | Scratch width | Valley depth | Lip height | Lip: valley ratio | Scratch depth | Aspect ratio |
|-------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------------|---------------|-------------|
|             | (W μm)        | (V μm)       | (P μm)     | (PV μm)          | (PV/W)        |             |
| PMMA        | 3.9           | 0.055        | 0.021      | 0.38             | 0.08          | 0.019       |
|             | (2.5 to 5.5)  | (0.013 to 0.101) | (0.008 to 0.037) |                  |               |             |
| CoCr        | 78            | 0.848        | 0.211      | 0.25             | 1.06          | 0.014       |
|             | (62 to 116)   | (0.336 to 1.4) | (0.042 to 0.397) |                  |               |             |
| Ti          | 70            | 0.906        | 0.184      | 0.20             | 1.09          | 0.016       |
|             | (37 to 115)   | (0.267 to 1.8) | (0.065 to 0.535) |                  |               |             |

PMMA, polymethylmethacrylate bone cement; CoCr, cobalt–chrome alloy; Ti, titanium alloy; PV, peak to valley depth.
McKee–Farrar procedures could perform well for > 20 years in some cases and yet fail at < eight years in others, due to ARMD.1,4,3,4

This is the first MOM simulator study to investigate abrasive wear conditions created by metal particles and using bone cement as the control particles. The governing hypothesis was that the metal rim of an acetabular cup would damage the femoral neck during an impingement episode, thereby releasing large fragments of metal. Our simulator model assumed one THA impingement event followed by 500 000 cycles of gait, this being repeated ten times over five million cycles of study. The insertion of bone cement particles did not elevate MOM rates of wear above normal. In contrast, the insertion of CoCr and Ti6Al4V particles elevated rates of wear 11 and 17 times higher, respectively. These data indicated that MOM bearings were particularly sensitive to abrasive wear by metal particles. This may represent a clinically-relevant test mode as impingement is known to be the nemesis of THA devices.
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