Interaction of in-plane magnetic skyrmions with 90° magnetic domain walls: micromagnetic simulations
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90° pinned magnetic domain walls can be observed in thin magnetic layers attached to a ferroelectric substrate. The main stabilization mechanism of the noncollinear magnetic texture is the strain transfer which is responsible for imprinting of the ferroelectric domains into the uniaxial anisotropy of the ferromagnet. Here, we investigate by means of micromagnetic simulations how the interfacial Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction influences the 90° domain wall structure. It is shown that Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction induces a large out-of-plane magnetization component, strongly dependent on the domain wall type. In particular, it is shown that this out-of-plane magnetization component is crucial for the transport of the in-plane magnetic skyrmions, also known as bimerons, through the magnetic domain walls. Based on the results of micromagnetic simulations, a concept of in-plane magnetic skyrmion valve based on two 90° pinned magnetic domain walls is introduced.

I. INTRODUCTION

Coupling of ferroelectric and ferromagnetic degrees of freedom is the key ingredient of novel multiferroic structures, which are intensively studied for their considerable potential for the future applications in spintronics [1]. It has been demonstrated that when a thin ferromagnetic layer is attached to a ferroelectric one, the ferroelectric domain patterns might imprint into the structure of the uniaxial anisotropy in the ferromagnet. As a result, magnetic anisotropy axis abruptly rotates at the anisotropy boundary by 90° giving rise to the 90° magnetic domain walls (DWs) strongly pinned to the position of the anisotropy boundary [2]. The physical mechanisms recognized beyond this effect are exchange coupling between the canted magnetic moment of ferroelectric layer and an adjacent ferromagnetic one [3, 4] and strain transfer from ferroelectric domains to a magnetostrictive film [5–8]. According to the in-plane magnetic texture, two types of the 90° magnetic DWs can be distinguished in the ferroelectric/ferromagnet bilayers: uncharged and charged. Both of them are strictly in-plane magnetic textures. In the center of an uncharged 90° magnetic DW, the magnetization is perpendicular to the magnetic DW. In contrast, magnetization in the center of a charged 90° DW is aligned with the DW. While the first type is stabilized mainly by the interplay of the magnetic exchange interactions with uniaxial anisotropy, the latter one is usually influenced by the magnetostatic dipolar interaction [2].

The technical merit of the 90° magnetic DWs in multiferroic heterostructures consists in a vast array of related physical phenomena that can be deployed in future applications. Firstly, it has been demonstrated that the anisotropy boundaries in the ferromagnet dynamically follow the changes in the ferroelectric domain structure [9]. This effect allows one to position the ferromagnetic DWs purely via the electric field. Secondly, a possibility of utilizing a 90° pinned DW as a highly efficient source of spin waves in a narrow range of wavelengths has been shown by means of micromagnetic simulations [10]. Moreover, a theoretical analysis has shown that the static and dynamic properties of the pinned 90° DWs can be tuned via applied magnetic field [11]. Alternatively, short-wavelength spin waves can be emitted in multiferroic heterostructures with modulated magnetic anisotropy using applied magnetic field [12]. Finally, it has been recently reported that individual magnetic domains in multiferroic composite bilayers can be manipulated via a laser pulse showing laser-induced changes of magnetoelastic anisotropy leading to precessional switching [13].

In this paper, we extend the range of phenomena discussed in the anisotropy-modulated multiferroic multilayers by examining the effect of interfacial Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (DMI) [14, 15] on the 90° pinned DWs employing micromagnetic simulations. DMI can be observed not only in bulk crystals of low symmetry [14] but also in layered materials where the inversion symmetry is broken at the interfaces [16–20]. It has been shown that DMI significantly affects the dynamics of 180° magnetic DWs by increasing their Walker field and velocity [21, 22]. DMI also has a noticeable impact on the critical current density for DW depinning by spin transfer torque and DW resonance frequency [23]. Here, we show that interfacial DMI might substantially influence the structure of the 90° DWs by inducing an out-of-plane magnetization component. While this effect introduces just a minor variation of the magnetization texture of the uncharged DWs, it becomes conspicuous in the case of the charged DWs. Importantly, the direction of the out-of-plane magnetization induced by DMI depends on the direction of the in-plane magnetization rotation. Thus the out-of-plane magnetization components are opposite for the head-to-head and tail-to-tail charged DWs.
Recently, numerical simulations by Moon et al. have shown a possibility of existence of the in-plane skyrmions in thin magnetic layers with in-plane easy-axis magnetic anisotropy and interfacial DMI [24]. Similar topological magnetic structures in systems with in-plane magnetic anisotropy have been reported in various materials and models [25–28]. Existence of the in-plane skyrmions would open new possibilities to study their interactions with other planar magnetic textures like the in-plane magnetic DWs. Another advantage of the in-plane skyrmions is that, unlike skyrmions in systems with the perpendicular magnetic anisotropy, the in-plane skyrmions of both topological charges \( Q = \pm 1 \) can simultaneously exist in the same magnetic domain. Importantly, due to the skyrmion Hall effect [29, 30], current-induced trajectories of skyrmions of opposite charges are bent in opposite directions [24]. Although, the mentioned skyrmions are in-plane, their core magnetization is perpendicular to the layer. We show here that DMI-induced out-of-plane magnetization in the charged DWs can significantly influence the interaction of the in-plane skyrmions with DWs. Namely, using micromagnetic simulations we demonstrate that charged pinned 90° magnetic DWs can serve as a topological charge selective skyrmion filters. Following the results of micromagnetic simulations, we introduce a simple concept of in-plane skyrmion valve based on two 90° pinned magnetic DWs.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we describe studied systems of 90° magnetic DWs in a layer with modulated easy-axis and our results of micromagnetic simulations. Section III analyzes in-plane skyrmions and their dynamics in our system. Consequently, in Section IV we describe mutual interaction of in-plane magnetic skyrmions with the 90° magnetic DWs. In Sec. V we describe the concept of in-plane skyrmion valve and discuss its functioning in practice. Finally, we conclude in Sec. VI. Additional materials can be find in the Appendix.

II. 90° MAGNETIC DOMAIN WALLS IN THE PRESENCE OF DMI

First, we shall analyze, how DMI influences the 90° magnetic DWs pinned to the anisotropy boundaries. To this end, we employ micromagnetic simulations implemented in the MuMax3 framework [31]. We simulated a thin magnetic layer of thickness \( d = 1 \text{ nm} \), shown in Fig. 1. The lateral sizes of the layer were \( L_x = 1024 \Delta x \) and \( L_y = 512 \Delta y \), where \( \Delta x = \Delta y = 2.5 \text{ nm} \) are the sizes of the discretization cell along the \( x \) and \( y \) axis, respectively. The discretization cell size along the \( z \)-axis is equal to the layer’s thickness, \( \Delta z = d = 1 \text{ nm} \).

In the layer’s plane we defined two anisotropy boundaries, where easy axis abruptly changes its direction by 90°. The anisotropy boundaries are located at positions \( x = x_L \) and \( x_R \), shown in Fig. 1. The distance between the anisotropy boundaries was set to \( |x_R - x_L| = 1 \mu m \).

In the simulations we assumed periodic boundary conditions along the \( x \) and \( y \) axis. Therefore, the studied system is translationally symmetric along the \( y \)-axis. The easy axis changes along the \( x \) direction as follows

\[
\hat{e}_u = \begin{cases} 
(1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2}, 0) & \text{for } x \in (x_L, x_R) \\
(1/\sqrt{2}, -1/\sqrt{2}, 0) & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\] (1)

The uniaxial magnetic anisotropy parameter, \( K_u \), is the same in the whole layer. Moreover, in the simulations we used following parameters: exchange stiffness parameter \( A_{ex} = 1.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ J/m} \), saturated magnetization \( M_s = 7.20 \times 10^5 \text{ A/m} \) [24], corresponding to the range of parameters of cobalt and iron based magnetic thin films [32–35].

Furthermore, we assumed interfacial DMI, as described in Refs. [31] and [36], given by an effective field term

\[
\mathbf{H}_{\text{DM}} = \frac{2 D}{\mu_0 M_s} \left( \frac{\partial m_z}{\partial x} \frac{\partial m_y}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial m_x}{\partial x} \frac{\partial m_y}{\partial y} \right),
\] (2)

where \( \mu_0 \) is the vacuum permeability, \( \mathbf{m} = (m_x, m_y, m_z) \) is a unit vector in the direction of local magnetization, \( \mathbf{M} = M_s \mathbf{m} \), and \( D \) is the strength of the DMI. In our simulations with nonzero DMI, we assumed \( D = 2 \text{ mJ/m}^2 \) [24, 37].

![FIG. 1. 90° magnetic DWs resulting from micromagnetic simulations: (a) uncharged DWs, (b) charged DWs. The color scale shows the \( z \)-component of reduced magnetization vector \( \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{M}/M_s \). The parameters of calculation were \( A_{ex} = 1.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ J/m} \), \( M_s = 7.20 \times 10^5 \text{ A/m} \), \( K_u = 10^4 \text{ J/m}^3 \), and \( D = 2 \text{ mJ/m}^2 \). The distance between the domain walls is \( x_R - x_L = 1 \mu m \).](image_url)
are shown by the arrows, while the color scale expresses the out-of-plane, \( m_z \), component. Fig. 1(a) depicts the uncharged DWs. Charged DWs can be seen in Fig. 1(b). In both cases we can see out-of-plane magnetization localized at the DWs. In case of the uncharged DWs the out-of-plane magnetization is rather small and changes sign when passing the anisotropy boundary. On the other hand, out-of-plane magnetization of the charged DW is about 10 times larger than the one of the uncharged DW. Moreover, we can see that the out-of-plane magnetization of the tail-to-tail DW, located at \( x_L \), has a sign opposite to the one of the head-to-head DW, at \( x_R \).

To understand the effect of the DMI, let us compare the domain wall profiles, plotted in Fig. 2, showing the magnetization components at the anisotropy boundaries calculated without and with the DMI. The magnetization components are plotted as a function of position \( x \) (at constant \( y \)) with respect to the anisotropy boundary position, \( x_c; x_c = x_L \) for the left DW, and \( x_c = x_R \) for the right DW. Magnetization components calculated without and with the DMI are plotted by the dashed and solid lines, respectively. Note, the local magnetization vector is normalized to 1. The in-plane magnetization components of the the uncharged and charged DWs are shown in Fig. 2(a) – (d). The left column shows magnetization components of the uncharged DWs, while the right one plots the magnetization components of the charged DWs. First, the uncharged DWs seem to be almost uninfluenced by the DMI. The charged DW, however, appears to be significantly affected by the DMI field, which reduces the DW width. Similar trends can be observed also on the DMI effect on the out-of-plane magnetization components plotted in Figs. 2(e) and 2(f). In case of \( D = 0 \) the uncharged as well as charged DWs are strictly planar structures with zero out-of-plane magnetization components [11]. Thus, the out-of-plane magnetization observed in Fig. 1 are induced purely by the DMI. This effect is understandable, since the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya field, \( H_{\text{DMI}} \), has a non-zero \( z \)-component, which is proportional to \( \partial m_x / \partial x \). Although, DMI induces just a minor out-of-plane magnetization component in the uncharged DWs, in case of the charged DWs the effect of DMI is about 10-times stronger. The reason, why the uncharged DWs are almost unaffected by the DMI is that it is defined mainly by variation of the \( m_y \) component with modest change of \( m_x \). On the other hand, in case of charged DWs, dominant magnetization component which changes along the \( x \) direction is \( m_x \). Additionally, the charged DWs have also relatively large magnetic moment which responds to the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya field by tilting in the \( z \)-direction. The direction of the DMI-induced out-of-plane magnetization of the charged DWs can be explained by Eq. (2), since \( \partial m_x / \partial x \) has a different sign in case of the tail-to-tail and head-to-head DW. In contrast, the out-of-plane components of the right and left uncharged DWs are both the same since the \( m_z \) components vary in the same way in both cases.

Our simulations confirm that a charged magnetic DW has higher energy than the uncharged one. The difference in energy densities of the two systems shown in Figs. 1 (a) and (b) is \( \Delta \varepsilon \sim 124 \text{mJ/m}^2 \). As the magnetic anisotropy increases, total energy of both systems decreases linearly keeping approximately the same energy difference between the two systems. The main reason of elevated energy of the charged 90° magnetic DW is its relatively large magnetostatic field.

In our micromagnetic simulations, the distance between the DWs was set to 1 \( \mu \text{m} \). In order to rule out that the dipolar interaction between the two DWs influences the final magnetic configuration, we simulated longer sample with \( L_x = 2048 \Delta x \) with the other parameters unchanged, where distance between the DWs has been set to 2 \( \mu \text{m} \). The final magnetic configurations matched with those presented in Figs. 1 and 2. Thus, we can exclude any effect of the dipolar coupling between the charged DWs on their magnetic configurations.
A. Effect of magnetic anisotropy

![Graph showing DW width as a function of anisotropy constant](image)

**FIG. 3.** Dependence of (a) the out-of-plane magnetization, \(m_z(x_c)\), and (b) the DW width of a charged 90° DW as a function of the anisotropy constant, \(K_u\). The parameters used in the calculations are the same as in Fig. 1. Calculations of \(m_z(x_c)\) were done with \(D = 2 \text{ mJ/m}^2\); \(x_c\) is the position of the anisotropy boundary. DW width has been calculated with and without DMI.

Let us now inspect how the charged DW structure depends on the amplitude of the uniaxial anisotropy, \(K_u\). Fig. 3(a) shows how the DMI-induced out-of-plane magnetization changes as a function of \(K_u\). Fig. 3(b) compares DW width with (solid circles) and without (open circles) DMI. The DW width has been determined from the results of micromagnetic simulations as

\[
\delta = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \cos^2(\phi'(x)),
\]

where

\[
\phi'(x) = \left[ \phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi \right] \frac{\pi}{\Delta \phi},
\]

with \(\phi(x)\) being the magnetization angle calculated from the easy axis in the central domain of the simulated sample. Moreover, \(\Delta \phi\) is the magnetization rotation angle calculated as a difference of magnetization angles in the left and right domains far from the domain wall, \(\Delta \phi = \phi_R - \phi_L\).

First, the out-of-plane magnetization induced by DMI monotonously increases with \(K_u\). This effect can be elucidated simply, by shrinking of the DW width as \(K_u\) rises, as shown in Fig. 3(b). Since the DW width decreases, the derivation \(\partial m_z/\partial x\) at the anisotropy boundary increases and, consequently, the \(z\)-coordinate of the DMI field, \(H_{\text{DM}}\), becomes higher. Second, Fig. 3(b) demonstrates that the DW width decreases with \(K_u\) for zero as well as for nonzero \(D\). For the case with \(D = 0\), width of a 90° charged DW can be approximated as \(\delta \simeq \pi \sqrt{A/(2K_u)}\). Introducing DMI leads to a significant reduction of DW width, which is caused by the out-of-plane magnetization component.

B. Effect of magnetic field

Next, we analyze how the out-of-plane magnetization induced by DMI depends on the applied magnetic field. When the magnetic field is applied along the \(y\) direction, \(H_{\text{app}} = H_{\text{app}} \hat{e}_y\), with \(H_{\text{app}} > 0\), the equilibrium magnetization rotation angle decreases. Figure 4 plots field dependence of the out-of-plane magnetization component of a charged DW at the anisotropy boundary. When magnetic field, \(H\), is applied along the DW, the magnetization rotation angle decreases [11], as shown on the right vertical axis. This results in the reduction of the out-of-plane magnetization at the anisotropy boundary. The effect is the same for both head-to-head and tail-to-tail DWs. As \(\Delta \phi\) vanishes, \(m_z(x_c)\) also tends to zero.

C. Effect of the spin transfer torque

90° magnetic DW can be excited by electric current flowing in the magnetic layer [10]. It has been also shown by means of numerical simulations that electric current induces a small out-of-plane magnetization in the vicinity of the anisotropy boundary due to the spin transfer torque [11]. In order to compare the current-induced and DMI-induced out-of-plane magnetization components, we carried out number of simulations with DMI with electric current applied in the direction perpendicular to DW. The effect of the spin transfer torque is simulated using the Li-Zhang term [38, 39] as imple-
all cases we assumed typical value used for current-induced DW dynamics. In contrast to the out-of-plane skyrmions, in-plane skyrmions of both skyrmion numbers \( Q = \pm 1 \) can coexist in one magnetization layer. Figure 6 shows in-plane skyrmions of both topological charges obtained using micromagnetic simulations with DMI and \( K_u = 10^4 \text{ J/m}^3 \). For higher resolution, we used discretization cells of size \( \Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z = 1 \text{ nm} \).

Figures 6(a)–(f) plot the magnetization components of the in-plane skyrmions. In agreement with Fig. 1, we plotted skyrmions in a rotated coordination system \((x', y', z')\) so that the easy axis and the outer magnetization are aligned with the horizontal axis, \(x'\). The left column depicts components of the in-plane skyrmion with topological charge \( Q = 1 \), while the right one plots magnetization for in-plane skyrmion with \( Q = -1 \). In contrast to skyrmions in systems with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy, in-plane skyrmions are not spherically symmetric. They show an axial symmetry with respect to the easy axis. Moreover, their orientation is linked with their topological charge. The in-plane skyrmions can be seen as a combination of an vortex and antivortex [24], known also as bimerons. In case of skyrmion with \( Q = 1 \), the skyrmion core is formed by a vortex, while in the opposite case, antivortex takes the role of the skyrmion core. In Figures 6(g)–(h) we map the topological charge density, defined as

\[
\rho(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi} m \cdot \left( \frac{\partial m}{\partial x} \times \frac{\partial m}{\partial y} \right)
\]

The values of \( \rho \) in Figs. 6 are normalized to the range of \((-1, 1)\). The maximum of the topological charge density is located between the vortex and antivortex of the skyrmion. Unlike the out-of-plane skyrmions, the topological charge density of the in-plane skyrmion has a drop-like shape elongated towards the skyrmion core. The topological charge is then defined as \( Q = \int dx\, dy\, \rho(x, y) \).

Let us now inspect the current-induced motion of the in-plane skyrmions in our system. We assume an in-plane skyrmion nucleated in the middle of the sample shown in Fig. 1(b). To induce the skyrmion motion we assumed electric current flowing along the \(x\)-axis. The resulting spin transfer torque has been modeled using the Li-Zhang torque [38, 39] implemented in MuMax3 [31]. First, we study the skyrmion velocity in the central magnetic domain of the sample as a function of \( K_u \) shown in Fig. 7. We analyzed skyrmion velocity for both topological charges separately. The velocities in Fig. 7 are plotted in the units of \( u \) defined as

\[
u = \frac{\mu_B}{2 e M_s (1 - \beta^2)} P I
\]

where \( \mu_B \) is Bohr magneton, \( P \) is the spin current po-

FIG. 5. Effect of the spin transfer torque on the out-of-plane DW profile in case of a (a) uncharged and (b) charged 90° DW. The anisotropy boundary is located at \( x_c = x_L \) for the left (L) DW and \( x_c = x_R \) for the right (R) DW. In all the calculations we assumed \( D = 2 \text{ mJ/m}^2 \). The electric current flows in the direction of the \( x \)-axis. When the current density, \( I \), is non-zero, it has been set to \( I = \pm 10^{12} \text{ A/m}^2 \). The other simulation parameters are the same as in Fig. 1. In case of the uncharged DWs, the current-induced effect for the left and right DW is identical.

III. IN-PLANE SKYRMIONS

Recent numerical analysis of thin magnetic layers with DMI and in-plane uniaxial anisotropy with easy axis has revealed a possibility of the in-plane skyrmions exis-
tence [24]. A stable in-plane skyrmion can be obtained by rotating the out-of-plane magnetic texture with skyrmion into the easy-axis direction. After relaxing the magnetic configuration one receives an in-plane skyrmion of a bean-like shape and out-of-plane core magnetization.
FIG. 6. In-plane skyrmions obtained using micromagnetic simulations with the in-plane anisotropy constant $K_u = 10^4$ J/m$^3$. The quantities are plotted in a rotated coordination system ($x',y',z'$) (see Fig. 1) so that the easy axis and outer magnetization are aligned with the $x'$-axis. The first three rows of the left and right column show the magnetization components of an in-plane skyrmion with topological charged $Q = 1$ and $Q = -1$, respectively; (a)–(b) $m_{x'}$, (c)–(d) $m_{y'}$, and (e)–(f) $m_{z'}$. In the fourth row is plotted the topological charge density.

FIG. 7. Skyrmion velocities in the central magnetic domain of the sample shown in Fig. 1(b) as a function of $K_u$ calculated for both topological charges, $Q = \pm 1$, using micromagnetic simulations. The current is applied along the $x$-axis, hence, under an angle $-45^\circ$ with respect to the outer magnetization. The skyrmion velocities are given in the units of $u$ defined by Eq. 6 with parameters described below.

The skyrmion velocity changes approximately in a range of 10% of the average velocity. Moreover, the velocities of skyrmions with different topological charges are shifted in phase by $\pi/2$. As a result, skyrmions of both topological charges move with the same longitudinal velocity when the electric current is oriented parallel or perpen-
The magnetic anisotropy parameter was $K = 1 \times 10^4 \text{ J/m}^3$ and current density $I = 10^{12} \text{ A/m}^2$, while the other parameters are the same as on Fig. 7. The current is applied under an angle $\Phi$ with respect to the $x'$-axis. The velocities are plotted in the in the units of $u$ given by Eq. (6). The points show the skyrmion velocities estimated for the micromagnetic simulations, while the black lines are corresponding skyrmion velocities calculated using the Thiele equation (see Appendix A). Note, since the Thiele equation overestimates the skyrmion velocities, we shifted the calculated values by a constant value, which is $-0.07$ in case of longitudinal velocities and $\pm 0.02$ in case of the transverse velocities.

The skyrmion velocities described above are fully consistent with the Thiele equation [40] studied also in Ref. [24]. More details on the calculation of the skyrmion velocities based on the Thiele equation can be found in Appendix A. The black lines in Fig. 8 are the results of the corresponding skyrmion velocities using Eqs. (A4). Since the Thiele equation slightly overestimates the skyrmion velocities, we shifted the calculated velocities by a value of $-0.07$ in Fig. 8(a), and by $\pm 0.02$ in Fig. 8(b). The overestimation of skyrmion velocities by the Thiele equation can be explained by additional dissipation mechanisms related to dynamic variation of the skyrmion shape, which cannot be captured by the rigid shape approximation used to derive the Thiele equation. However, the skyrmion velocity oscillations and their amplitude are well captured by the Thiele equation.

IV. INTERACTION OF SKYRMIONS WITH DOMAIN WALLS

Up to now, we studied current-induced dynamics of in-plane skyrmions remaining in the central magnetic domain. Let us now inspect how the skyrmion motion is affected by the pinned 90° magnetic domains. Recently, interaction of skyrmions with DW has been studied in magnetic thin film with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy [41]. It has been shown, that a chiral DW can be considered as a guide for the skyrmion transport preventing them from annihilation at the sample boundary. Although we have not observed such an effect in the studied system with 90° DWs, we show that 90° DWs might have a significant effect on transport of the in-plane skyrmions. It has been shown that the in-plane skyrmions can be efficiently moved by means of the spin transfer torque or spin orbit torque [24]. In Sec. II C we have demonstrated that applied electric current in the direction perpendicular to the anisotropy boundaries has just a minor effect on the DW structure. Thus we can use the spin transfer torque to study the common interaction between the 90° DWs and the in-plane skyrmions.
First, we inspect how the in-plane skyrmion passes an uncharged 90° magnetic DW. As shown in the previous section, magnetization in the vicinity of an uncharged DW remains mainly in the layer’s plane. Considering the sample shown in Fig. 1(a), we created an in-plane skyrmion in the center of the sample. We assumed \( D = 2 \text{mJ/m}^2 \) and \( K_u = 10^4 \text{J/m}^3 \). We move the skyrmions by applying a constant electric current of the density \( I = 10^{-12} \text{A/m}^2 \) in the direction perpendicular to the DWs. For \( I > 0 \), the skyrmions move towards the left DW.

In Figure 9, we show motion of the in-plane skyrmions passing an uncharged magnetic DW. The colors in Fig. 9 show the \( z \)-components of the reduced magnetization vector in the color scale shown in Fig. 6. The anisotropy boundary is marked by the vertical dashed line and the local in-plane magnetization direction is indicated by the thick grey arrows. The single image shows skyrmion positions in different times with time step approx. 7 ns, while the directions of moving skyrmions are given by the green arrows. Fig. 9 covers 30 ns of the skyrmions dynamics. Apparently, the skyrmion trajectories deviate from the horizontal direction due to the skyrmion Hall effect [29, 30]. Skyrmions with opposite topological charge are deflected in opposite directions. As shown in Fig. 6, the in-plane skyrmions are strictly oriented according to the local magnetization direction. Thus, when a skyrmion passes the domain wall it rotates to the direction of magnetization of the neighboring domain. Importantly, the topological charge of the skyrmion remains unchanged, therefore, also the direction of the skyrmion motion after passing the DW is the same. For the opposite current direction with \( I < 0 \), the skyrmions move in the opposite direction towards the right DW (not shown). The deflection of the skyrmion trajectories is also reversed. Otherwise, the skyrmion transport through the right DW is analogous to the one described for the left DW.

The situation is more complex in the case of charged DW. The summary of the skyrmion interaction with the 90° charged magnetic DWs is shown in Fig. 10 analogically to Fig. 9. The simulation parameters are the same as in Fig. 9. In the left column of Fig. 10, we show how the in-plane skyrmions with different topological charges interact with the left DW when \( I > 0 \). While the skyrmion with \( Q = -1 \) passes the DW changing its orientation, the skyrmion with \( Q = 1 \) is destroyed when hits the DW. For \( I < 0 \), the skyrmions move towards the right DW, where the situation reverses. Namely, skyrmion with \( Q = 1 \) transmits the DW, however, skyrmion with \( Q = -1 \) is annihilated. We attribute this selective skyrmion annihilation at the localized 90° DW to the out-of-plane component induced by DMI. As follows out from our simulations, when the direction of the out-of-plane component of the DW is in accord with the skyrmion core magnetization direction, the skyrmion passes through the DW. In contrast, when the skyrmion core magnetization is opposite to the one of the DW out-of-plane magnetization component, the skyrmion becomes unstable. Inside an opposite DW, the skyrmion core shrinks down and the skyrmion annihilates.

Moreover, we find out that the effect of the charge selective skyrmion annihilation at the charged DWs depends on the strength of the uniaxial anisotropy. Namely, in our simulations we observe the effect when \( K_u \geq 7 \times 10^3 \text{J/m}^3 \) at the same value of \( D = 2 \text{mJ/m}^2 \). Below this threshold skyrmions of both charges can transmit through both charged DWs. In Fig. 3 we show, that the magnitude of the out-of-plane magnetization component in the DW center increases with increasing \( K_u \). This suggests that the out-of-plane DW magnetization component is responsible for the skyrmion annihilation. It also explains why such an effect is not observed in the case of the uncharged DWs, where the out-of-plane magnetization is of one order of magnitude smaller. In addition, we examined the stability of our results for a smaller Gilbert damping parameter. Simulations with \( \alpha = 0.03 \) lead to the same qualitative results on skyrmion transmission and annihilation.

V. IN-PLANE SKYRMION VALVE

From the practical point of view, the effect of the topological charge dependent skyrmion annihilation can be utilized as a skyrmion filter for construction of skyrmion-based logic gates. This raises a question how to control the properties of the charged DWs and possibly how to switch their effect on skyrmions on and off. We have noticed that the out-of-plane magnetization of a charged

\[ Q = 1 \quad I > 0 \]

\[ Q = -1 \quad I < 0 \]

\[ Q = 1 \]

\[ Q = -1 \]

FIG. 10. In-plane skyrmion with topological charged (a), (d) \( Q = 1 \) and (b), (d) \( Q = -1 \) passing a charged 90° DW under the influence of applied current in the direction perpendicular to the domain wall. The applied current density was (a), (c) \( I = 10^{-12} \text{A/m}^2 \) and (b), (d) \( I = -10^{-12} \text{A/m}^2 \). The other parameters are the same as in Fig. 9. The colors show the magnetization \( m_z \) components in the color scale identical to Fig. 6. The single image shows skyrmions positions with time step approx. 7 ns, while the green arrows give their direction. The vertical dashed lines marks the anisotropy boundary. The grey thick arrows show the local magnetization directions.
FIG. 11. Cartoon of an in-plane skyrmion valve based on two pinned 90° magnetic DWs. Pictures shows three neighboring magnetic domain separated by 90° magnetic DWs. The DWs are located at the gray dashed lines. The grey diagonal arrows show directions of magnetization in each of the domain. The smaller arrows show magnetizations in the centers of the DWs. The horizontal lines passing the structure depict the transmission of skyrmions with topological charge \( Q = 1 \) (red line) and \( Q = -1 \) (blue line). Figures (a) – (d) present different magnetic configurations allowing or blocking transfer of in-plane skyrmions depending on their topological charge.

DW must be large enough to be able to annihilate a passing skyrmion. Thus changing the magnitude of the out-of-plane magnetization might allow one to control the skyrmion flow through the DW. As we have shown, the out-of-plane magnetization component can be varied in various ways. On the one hand side, it can be manipulated by changing strength of the DMI or magnetic anisotropy. On the other hand, it can be changed by an applied magnetic field, which can vary the magnetization angle between two neighboring domains, and thus reduce the out-of-plane magnetization in the DW center. These methods, however, do not affect only the 90° magnetic DWs but also the skyrmions, which can become unstable under distinct conditions [24].

Alternatively, one can consider the central magnetic domain in Fig. 1 as a valve for the in-plane skyrmion flow. In Fig. 11 we present a concept of an in-plane skyrmion valve based on two 90° pinned magnetic DWs. The cartoon in Fig. 11 shows a device consisted of three magnetic domains separated by two 90° pinned DWs. The positions of the DWs are given by the vertical dashed lines. The diagonal thick arrows correspond to directions of magnetization in each domain. The smaller arrows located at the DW positions show the magnetization direction in the DW center. Finally, the horizontal arrows passing the structure stand for the flow of skyrmions with topological charge \( Q = 1 \) (top arrow) and \( Q = -1 \) (bottom arrow). Such a device has \( 2^3 \) possible magnetic configurations. In Fig. 11 we show its four basic functionalities. Fig. 11(a) shows a magnetic configuration featuring two uncharged magnetic DWs corresponding to Fig. 1(a). In this configuration, skyrmions of both topological charges can freely move from one side of the sample to the other one. However, when the magnetization in the central domain is switched, both DWs turn to charged, as shown in Fig. 11(b). As a result, skyrmions of both topological charges will be annihilated at the two DWs and none of them can pass the central domain. Additionally, if we want to introduce the topological charge selectivity into this scheme, we need to combine charged and uncharged DWs. Two examples are shown in Figs. 11(c) and (d). In the first one, the magnetization of the left domain has been switched giving rise to a charged tail-to-tail domain wall. This configuration allows just skyrmions with \( Q = -1 \) to pass the central domain. Oppositely, if the right domain magnetization is flipped, we obtain one charged head-to-head DW. Thus just skyrmions with \( Q = 1 \) can be transferred to the other side of the sample. Therefore, we suggest that such a device could fully control the flow of the in-plane magnetic skyrmions.

To make this scheme operate in practice, an effective method of switching magnetization selectively just in a specific magnetic stripe domain is necessary. To this goal, one can employ the laser-induced magnetization precessional switching, which has been recently demonstrated in multiferroic composite BaTiO\(_3\)/CoFeB by Shelukhin et al. [13] using femtosecond pump-probe technique with micrometer spatial resolution. It has been shown that a femtosecond laser pulse can significantly reduce magnetoelastic coupling in a given domain. Consequently, with the assistance of ultrafast demagnetization [42, 43] magnetization precessions can be triggered in the affected domain. Importantly, when an external magnetic field is applied along the anisotropy hard axis magnetization precessional switching [44] can be achieved in the given domain without influencing its neighborhood. In contrast to the previously described approaches, the magnetic field is applied just for the period of magnetization switching. Thus it does not affect the skyrmions passing the domain after the switching has been accomplished. Moreover, the precessional magnetization reversal happens on a subnanosecond time scale [13], which offers an ultrafast manipulation with the in-plane skyrmion valve.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied influence of interfacial DMI on the 90° localized magnetic DWs formed in a thin magnetic layer with uniaxial in-plane magnetic anisotropy modified due to a ferroelectric substrate hosting stripe domains [2]. By means of micromagnetic simulations we have shown that, unlike in the uncharged magnetic DWs, DMI induces significant out-of-plane magnetization component in the charged magnetic DWs. The sign of the DMI-induced out-of-plane magnetization is opposite in case of the head-to-head and tail-to-tail DW type. Consequently, we have studied magnetization dynamics of
recently proposed in-plane magnetic skyrmions [24] in the system of magnetic stripe domains separated by 90° magnetic DWs. Particularly, we analyzed the longitudinal and transverse skyrmion velocities as a function of the applied current direction. We demonstrate that the longitudinal skyrmion velocity also depends on the topological charge which results in different velocities of skyrmions with opposite topological charges when the electric current is applied under a general angle with respect to the easy axis.

Importantly, we have shown that the charged DWs have an important effect on the transport of the in-plane skyrmions. Namely, when an in-plane skyrmion passes a charged DW, it depends on its topological charge whether it passes or becomes destroyed. As follows from our simulations a tail-to-tail magnetic DW allows transmission of an in-plane skyrmion of topological charge equal to $Q = -1$, however, becomes fatal to a skyrmion with $Q = 1$. On the other hand, a head-to-head DW is passable for a skyrmion with $Q = -1$ but annihilates skyrmion with $Q = 1$. This process of skyrmion annihilation we attributed to the incompatibility of the out-of-plane magnetization of the charged DW and the skyrmion core. When a skyrmion passes a pinned DW with large enough out-of-plane magnetization of opposite direction, the skyrmion core becomes unstable and the skyrmion vanishes.

To achieve the coexistence of the pinned 90° magnetic domain walls and in-plane skyrmions one needs a composite featuring both magnetic stripe domains and interfacial DMI. Although, to our best knowledge, such a device has not been reported yet, a suitable candidate might be BaTiO$_3$/FM/Pt trilayer with FM being a thin magnetic layer made of CoFe or CoFeB [2, 6]. Once the material parameters fulfill the condition of in-plane skyrmion stability [24], they could be nucleated by known methods making use of spin injection [45, 46] or a laser pulse [47, 48].

Finally, we introduced a concept of a device based on two 90° degrees pinned magnetic DWs allowing one to fully control the in-plane skyrmion flow. We suggest that making use of laser-induced spatially selective magnetization reversal [13] such a device could be switched between different magnetic states acting as a valve for in-plane magnetic skyrmions.
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**Appendix A: Skyrmion velocities**

Velocities of the in-plane skyrmions can be calculated using the Thiele equation [40, 49]. Without any lost of generality, we assume here that the anisotropy easy axis is aligned with the $x$-axis. In case of an in-plane skyrmion moved by the spin transfer torque [38, 39] the Thiele equation leads to [24]

\[
\begin{align}
-G (u_y - v_y) &= D_{xx} (\beta u_x - \alpha v_x) , \\
G (u_x - v_x) &= D_{yy} (\beta u_y - \alpha v_y) ,
\end{align}
\]

where $v_x$, and $v_y$ are the skyrmion velocities in the $x$, and $y$ direction, respectively. Assuming the current density vector as $\mathbf{I} = I (\cos(\Phi), \sin(\Phi), 0)$, we obtain $u_x = u \cos(\Phi)$, and $u_y = u \sin(\Phi)$, where $u$ is given by Eq. (6). Here, $\Phi$ is the angle of the applied current with respect to the $x$-axis. Moreover, $G = -4 \pi Q$, and $D_{\xi \xi} = \int dx \ dy (\partial_{\xi} \mathbf{m}) \cdot (\partial_{\xi} \mathbf{m})$ with $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}(x, y)$ being the reduced magnetization vector. From (A1) we obtain

\[
\begin{align}
\begin{pmatrix} v_x \\ v_y \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{u}{\Delta} \mathcal{D} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\Phi) \\ \sin(\Phi) \end{pmatrix},
\end{align}
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} G^2 + \alpha\beta D_{xx} D_{yy} & G D_{yy} (\alpha - \beta) \\
-G D_{xx} (\alpha - \beta) & G^2 + \alpha\beta D_{xx} D_{yy} \end{pmatrix},
\]

and $\Delta = G^2 + \alpha\beta D_{xx} D_{yy}$. Applying the rotation transformation, we obtain the longitudinal, $v_{\parallel}$, and transverse, $v_{\perp}$, velocity components, which read

\[
\begin{align}
v_{\parallel} &= \frac{u}{\Delta} \left\{ G^2 + \alpha\beta D_{xx} D_{yy} - \frac{1}{2} (D_{xx} - D_{yy}) G (\alpha - \beta) \cos (2\Phi) \right\}, \\
v_{\perp} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{u}{\Delta} G \ (\alpha - \beta) \times \left[ D_{xx} + D_{yy} + (D_{xx} - D_{yy}) \sin (2\Phi) \right].
\end{align}
\]
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