Lack of negative effects of fasting of gilthead seabream (Sparus aurata) breeders during the spawning period on maternal and egg nutrient composition, fertilization success, and early embryo/larval development
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Abstract The effect of fasting on spawning performance, maternal, and egg nutrient composition, and on embryo/larval development was monitored in gilthead seabream (Sparus aurata). Two broodstocks were fasted during two consecutive years, for a period of 43 and 54 days within the spawning season, in a preliminary (year 1, 5-year-old breeders) and the main study (year 2, 6-year-old breeders), respectively. Mean daily fecundity showed a declining trend during fasting in the main study only, while fertilization success was high in both years and it was not affected by fasting, as was hatching and 5-day larval survival. There was a loss of 23.5% of maternal body mass due to fasting, and a reduction in gonadosomatic and hepatosomatic indexes, as well as crude protein in maternal muscle and gonads, but not in the liver. After fasting, muscle Σω-6 PUFA and C18:3ω-3 were reduced while C20:4ω-6, 20:5ω-3/20:4ω-6, and C22:6ω-3/20:4ω-6 increased; in the liver, significant reductions were observed in C16:0, C18:3 ω-3, 20:5ω-3/C22:6ω-3 and increases in C18:0, C20:5ω-3, Σω-6 PUFA, and 20:5ω-3/20:4ω-6; in gonads, C15:0, ΣMUFA, 20:5ω-3/C22:6ω-3, 20:5ω-3/20:4ω-6 were increased, while C18:1ω-9 and C20:5ω-3 decreased. Contrary to maternal tissues, the energy density and proximate composition of the eggs did not change due to fasting. The study suggests that fasting of gilthead seabream breeders for 6–8 weeks during the spawning period does not affect spawning performance, egg proximate composition, or embryo and early larval development since maternal nutrient reserves are mobilized to maintain optimal egg nutrient composition.
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Introduction

The gilthead seabream (Sparus aurata) is a prolific marine species and during spawning (Northern hemisphere: December–April) females spawn >2×10^6 eggs kg^-1 of body weight, resulting in a total egg biomass that exceeds many folds the body weight of the fish (Mylonas et al. 2011). The gametogenic process consists of an oocyte growth phase (2 months before spawning) and a maturation-spawning phase (3–4 months) when primary oocytes are continually being recruited for vitellogenesis (asynchronous ovarian development), and batches of post vitellogenic oocytes undergo maturation, ovulation, and spawning.
almost on a daily basis. During reproduction, gilthead seabream continues to feed, albeit with an apparent decrease in voluntary feed intake (Kadmon et al. 1985), and thus, the diet is expected to provide the bulk of biomass needed to produce eggs. It has been also suggested that females utilize dietary nutrients for the oocyte growth phase (i.e., vitellogenesis) without depletion of their body nutrients, while the muscle and adipose tissue are additional sources of nutrients during the spawning phase (Harel et al. 1994).

Although the importance of broodstock nutrition for the production of good quality fry is well recognized (Cerdá et al. 1994a, b; Fernández-Palacios et al. 2011; Izquierdo et al. 2001; Perez and Fuiman 2015; Tandler et al. 1995), little is known about the effect of feeding ration on nutrient and energy allocation for growth, maintenance, and reproduction in spawning gilthead seabream. This is because various parameters present unique challenges to broodstock nutrition research. Firstly, nutrients for gonadal development can be obtained either from the feed or from resources deposited in tissues a long time before spawning, and the breeding cycle itself may affect nutrient acquisition. Secondly, feed availability does not simply influence spawning success in terms of directing nutrients towards maturing oocytes; it can be a determinant factor, as in salmonids, where puberty occurs 1 year before spawning, and depending on the available energy resources, there is stimulation or inhibition of the brain-pituitary-gonad axis through hormonal action (Roa et al. 2010). Feed availability can also be a modulating factor, as in European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax), where a half ration delayed the time of spawning and reduced egg diameter (Cerdá et al. 1994a). Thirdly, the criteria for evaluating nutrient requirements for broodstock are more diverse and not always clearly defined (e.g., larval quality) compared to the usual growth and feed conversion ratio indexes used for fish during nursery or grow-out rearing. Finally, certain nutrients (e.g., carotenoids) may be essential to produce good quality eggs, but they may not be required for growth (Scabini et al. 2011).

In order to ensure optimal egg production in commercial aquaculture, hatchery managers are always concerned with the quality and quantity of feed provided to their broodstocks. Although there is a consensus on the importance of good nutrition during the period of vitellogenesis (Cerdá et al. 1994a; Higuchi et al. 2018, 2017), there are few studies on the effect of food ration during the spawning season on reproductive performance of cultured fishes. In the present study, we examined the allocation of body resources to eggs in the gilthead seabream, a highly fecund, multiple spawning species with a long spawning period, using an extreme scenario of total feed deprivation for a period of a few weeks within the annual spawning season. The hypothesis examined was that long-term fasting (6–8 weeks) would eventually affect negatively spawning performance (fecundity and fertilization success), as well as the nutrient composition of eggs and probably embryo survival. The obtained results are expected to provide guidance for better broodstock feeding practices in fish aquaculture.

**Materials and methods**

Our investigation was carried out over two consecutive years, looking at the spawning performance of gilthead sea bream subjected to fasting for a long period of time during the spawning season. We carried out first a preliminary study (year 1), looking only at the effect of fasting on fecundity and fertilization success. Then, in the next year (year 2), we carried out the main study looking additionally at the effects on maternal and egg nutrient composition, and on embryo/larval development.

**Broodstock maintenance**

The study was conducted at the AQUALABS facilities of the Institute of Marine Biology, Biotechnology and Aquaculture (IMBBC) of the Hellenic Centre for Marine Research (HCMR), Heraklion, Crete, Greece, (Registration No EL91-BIObr-03 and EL91-BIOexp-04). Ethical approval for the study was obtained by the relevant Greek authorities (National Veterinary Services) under the license No 255356 (ΑΔΑ:6ΛΙ17ΛΚ-ΠΛΩ). All procedures followed the “Guidelines for the treatment of animals in behavioral research and teaching” (Anonymous 1998), the Ethical justification for the use and treatment of fishes in research: an update (Metcalfe and Craig 2011), the “Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and the council of 22 September 2010 on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes” (EU 2010) and the Greek Presidential Decree 56/2013.
on “the protection of animals used for scientific purposes”.

Two gilthead seabream broodstocks, consisting of fish from the same origin (broodstock 1 and broodstock 2, Table 1), were maintained in separate 5-m³ tanks for two consecutive years. In year 1 during the preliminary study, the fish were 5-years old (3rd reproductive season of all individuals), and in year 2 during the main study, they were 6-years old (4th reproductive season). The tanks were supplied with well seawater (37 ppt) at temperatures ranging from 18.5–20.0 °C connected to a recirculating aquaculture system (RAS), and were exposed to a simulated natural photoperiod. Measurements of temperature and water quality (dissolved oxygen, NH₃-N, and NO₂-N) were conducted once per week throughout the year. Feeding was done 5 days per week to apparent satiation with commercial broodstock feed (Skretting S.A., Spain, and IRIDA, S.A., Greece). Both broodstocks were exposed to the same fasting during the spawning season and were used as replicates, and no additional fully fed control broodstocks were considered necessary. Each broodstock was used as its own control every year since comparisons were made within both broodstocks among the pre-fasting, fasting, and post-fasting states. The introduction of two additional fully fed control broodstocks was not considered necessary to address the hypothesis, and could also have introduced errors due to breeder variability, unrelated to the feeding regime.

The preliminary study examined the effect of fasting (for 43 days) on spawning performance (fecundity and fertilization success), by monitoring fecundity and fertilization success before, during, and after the fasting period. In year 2, the main study monitored also embryonic development (hatching and 5-day larval survival success), as well as maternal (before fasting and after fasting) and egg nutrient content (before, during, and after fasting), which was extended to 54 days this year, since no effect was observed the previous year. We only sampled (i.e., killed) two females from each tank (n = 2 replicate tanks for a total of 4 fish per condition), before fasting and after fasting, because we did not want to affect greatly the sex ratio and dominance structure of the breeding population, since this could have significant effects on sex reversal of the smaller males in the population, and potentially egg production and fertilization, which would not be related to the hypothesis examined in the study. The female samples were taken on January 9 (at the beginning of the spawning season) and on May 12 (the last day of fasting). During the samplings, fish length and weight were measured and their gonads and livers were excised and weighed, for the calculation of the gonadosomatic index (GSI), the hepatosomatic index (HSI), and Fulton’s condition factor (K) as follows: GSI = (gonad weight/body weight) × 100, HSI = (liver weight/body weight) × 100, and K = weight (g) × 100/length (cm)³.

Table 1 Biometric data (mean ± SD) of the breeders in two hatchery-produced gilthead seabream broodstocks used in the preliminary (year 1, 5-year-old) and main studies (year 2, 6-year-old). The reduction in the number of females between year 1 and 2 was done to decrease the total biomass and maintain an appropriate stocking density in the spawning tanks (Mylonas et al. 2011), a practice done in commercial hatcheries every 2–3 years

|                      | Broodstock 1 | Broodstock 2 |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| **Preliminary study (year 1)** |              |              |
| (n)                  | 23           | 19           |
| Body weight (kg)     | 1.30 ± 0.27  | 1.52 ± 0.22  |
| **Main study (year 2)** |              |              |
| (n)                  | 14           | 13           |
| Body weight (kg)     | 1.69 ± 0.45  | 1.70 ± 0.32  |

Evaluation of egg/larval quality

At the expected onset of the spawning season (January), a passive egg collector was placed in the outflow of each spawning tank, to collect the spawned eggs. For both the preliminary and main study, spawned eggs were collected every morning (~ 18 h after spawning) into a 10-l bucket and their number (fecundity) was estimated by counting the total number of eggs in a sub-sample of 5 or 10 ml (depending on the total number of eggs) under a stereoscope. Fertilization success was evaluated at
the same time by examining the sampled eggs for the presence of viable embryos (usually at the blastula stage).

In year 2 for the main study, in addition to monitoring daily fecundity and fertilization success, we also incubated fertilized eggs to examine embryonic development, hatching, and 5-day larval survival. This evaluation was done once a week for 70 days before fasting, 54 days during fasting, and 38 days (broodstock 1), and 79 days (broodstock 2) after fasting. To monitor egg and larval survival, eggs were placed individually in 96-well microtiter plates (in duplicates), which were kept in incubators at the same temperature as the tank water. The microtiter plates were checked daily for embryo survival, hatching, and larval survival until absorption of the yolk sac, according to a previously-developed procedure (Panini et al. 2001). Briefly, floating (almost 100% fertilized) eggs were taken in a 250-μm mesh filter and were rinsed with sterilized seawater and poured in a 2-l beaker. A Petri dish was used to scoop 100–200 eggs from the beaker. The Petri dish was then placed under a stereoscope and only fertilized eggs were taken one by one with a micropipette set to 200 μl and transferred to the wells of the microtiter plates (one egg per well). The microtiter plates were then covered with a plastic lid, placed in a controlled-temperature incubator, and maintained for 5 days at 19±0.5 °C. Using a stereoscope, embryonic and early larval development was evaluated once a day for 5 days. The number of (a) live embryos was recorded 1 day after egg collection (or ~36 h after spawning, day 1), (b) hatched larvae was recorded 2 and 3 days after egg collection (>60 h after spawning), and (c) viable larvae was recorded 4 and 5 days after egg collection (~yolk sack absorption).

Embryo survival was calculated as the number of eggs having live embryos 1 day after egg collection/number of fertilized eggs initially loaded in the microtiter plates. Hatching success was calculated as the number of hatched larvae/the number of live 1-day embryos, and 5-day larval survival was calculated as the number of live larvae 5 days after egg collection/the number of hatched larvae. Estimating percentage survival (%) by using in the denominator the number of individuals that survived to the previous developmental stage was considered a more independent evaluation of survival within specific developmental stages, without the potential of a masking effect of the previous stage (Mylonas et al. 1992; Mylonas et al. 2004).

Chemical analysis

For the chemical analysis, we obtained tissues (gonad, muscle, and liver) from female breeders before and after fasting ($n=4$), as well as eggs ($10 \text{ g}$) from various spawns before fasting ($n=12$), during fasting ($n=16$), and after fasting ($n=10$). All tissues/eggs were frozen immediately after collection (−80 °C) and subsequently freeze-dried until analyzed. The dry matter was determined by drying at 95 °C until constant weight, ash by burning at 600 °C, crude lipid by chloroform–methanol extraction (Folch et al. 1957), crude protein by Dumas method ($N \times 6.25$), and energy by adiabatic bomb calorimeter. The fatty acid profile was determined after the analysis of methyl esters by gas chromatography. Fatty acid methyl esters were prepared according to (AOAC 1989). The chromatography instrument was equipped with a flame-ionization detector and a capillary column (60 m × 0.25 mm i.d. × 0.15 μm film thickness). Helium was used as carrier gas at 2 ml min$^{-1}$ constant flow; the split ratio was 1:50 and the injected volume 1.0 μl. The thermal gradient was 50 °C for 1 min, 50 °C to 175 °C at 25 °C min$^{-1}$, 175 °C to 230 °C at 4 °C min$^{-1}$ and kept at 230 °C for 15 min. The injector and detector temperature were maintained at 250 and 280 °C, respectively. Fatty acids were identified by comparison with a known standard mixture (Supelco 37 Component FAME Mix). Fatty acid methylster (FAME) contents were expressed as a % of total FAME.

Statistical analysis

Differences in broodstock biometrics, fatty acid composition of eggs and tissues, proximate composition of eggs, mean relative fecundity, egg development parameters (fertilization success, hatching, and 5-day larval survival), fish length and weight, gonad and liver weight, and GSI and HSI before, during, and after fasting were examined using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), at a minimum $P \leq 0.05$, followed by Tukey’s HSD test at $P \leq 0.05$. Data were examined for normality in the distribution of variances, to comply with the prerequisites of ANOVA. All analyses were performed with a linear statistics software (JMP,
SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Results are presented as mean ± SEM, unless mentioned otherwise.

Results

Daily egg production during both the preliminary (year 1) and the main study (year 2) exhibited marked variations in both broodstocks (Figs. 1 and 2), representative of the variability in spawning kinetics among sparid broodstocks and over different years (Mylonas et al. 2004, 2011; Papadaki et al. 2008), exhibiting a gradual increase in fecundity at the beginning of the season, and a reduction towards the end. Fertilization success was always high, while hatching and 5-day larval survival in both tanks exhibited more variations (Fig. 2).

Mean daily relative fecundity was significantly higher during the periods of fasting and post-fasting during the preliminary study (Fig. 3A), whereas it showed a trend towards decreasing values in the main study (Fig. 3C), resulting in significantly lower mean values in the post-fasting period. Mean fertilization success also increased during fasting in the preliminary study (Fig. 3B), but was unchanged in the main study (Fig. 3D). Hatching exhibited a slight, statistically non-significant increase during fasting, but it decreased significantly in the post-fasting period (Fig. 3E), whereas 5-day larval survival exhibited high and unchanged values throughout the study (Fig. 3F).

After the period of fasting, the sampled females exhibited a weight reduction of 23.5% (Table 2). In addition, fasted fish showed reduced GSI and HSI. The body weight loss had an impact on proximate composition of the muscle, liver, and gonads (Fig. 4). After fasting, there was a significant increase in the water content of all three tissues, a reduction of crude protein concentration in muscle and gonads, but not in the liver, and a reduction of crude fat concentration in the liver, but not in the muscle and gonad. On the contrary, fasting did not affect the ash concentration in any of the tissues studied.

We further investigated the effect of fasting on lipid composition by analyzing the fatty acid profiles of lipid extract of the muscle, liver, and gonads.
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**Fig. 1** Daily relative fecundity ($\times 10^3$ eggs Kg$^{-1}$ female biomass) and fertilization success (%), of gilthead searbeam (*Sparus aurata*) broodstocks ($n=2$) during the preliminary study (year 1). The grey-shaded area marks the 43-day fasting period during the reproductive season.
In general, fed and fasted fish exhibited a similar profile of muscle fatty acids without significant differences in Σ saturated fatty acids (ΣSFA) and Σ mono-unsaturated fatty acids (ΣMUFA) or Σω-3 poly-unsaturated fatty acids (Σω-3 PUFA). In the muscle, Σω-6 PUFA, C18:3 ω-3 were reduced after fasting, while C20:4ω-6 (arachidonic acid, ARA), C20:5ω-3/20:4ω-6 (eicosapentaenoic acid, EPA:ARA), and C22:6ω-3/20:4ω-6 (docosapexaenoic acid, DHA:ARA) increased. In the liver, significant reductions were observed in C16:0, C18:3ω-3, C20:5ω-3/C22:6ω-3, and increases in C18:0.
C20:5\omega-3, \Sigma\omega-6 PUFA, and C20:5\omega-3/20:4\omega-6. In the gonads, C15:0, \Sigma MUFA, 20:5\omega-3/20:4\omega-6, and 20:5\omega-3/20:4\omega-6 were increased while C18:1\omega-9 and C20:5\omega-3 reduced. Overall, in all tissues, the differences in numerical values were small, and their biological significance was not apparent.

The proximate composition of eggs was monitored over 125 days of the main study (year 2) with multiple samplings of daily spawns before, during, and after fasting (Table 5). Water was the major constituent of eggs, followed by crude protein, lipid, and ash with concentrations of ca. 93.0\%, 3.8\%, 1.5\%, and 1.2\%, respectively. The total number of eggs produced during fasting was 29.5 million; total egg biomass was 21 kg, which corresponds to 0.82 kg crude protein and 0.30 kg crude fat. Assuming a conservative flesh yield of 42\% prior and 38\% post-fasting, the total crude protein loss from flesh during fasting was 1.39 kg, exceeding by far the total crude protein

Table 2 Mean (±SD) gilthead seabream (Sparus aurata) biometrics of female breeder during the spawning period, sampled before and after a fasting period of 54 days. Asterisks denote statistically significant differences between fed and starved fish (one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s HSD, *P ≤ 0.05). GSI, gonadosomatic index; HIS, hepatosomatic index

|                     | Before fasting | After fasting |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Total length (cm)   | 40.3 (2.1)*    | 38.2 (4.8)   |
| Body weight (kg)    | 1.89 (0.21)*   | 1.44 (0.18)  |
| Fulton’s condition factor (K) | 2.89 (0.16)* | 2.59 (0.16)  |
| Liver weight (g)    | 33.7 (4.4)*    | 13.1 (4.8)   |
| Gonad weight (g)    | 146.8 (67.2)*  | 79.6 (36.9)  |
| GSI (%)             | 7.6 (2.8)      | 5.7 (2.9)    |
| HSI (%)             | 1.8 (0.2)*     | 0.9 (0.3)    |
release through eggs (0.82 kg). The energy density (c.a.1.33 kJ/g) and the proximate composition of the eggs were stable over the observation period, and notable differences were not observed between samples taken prior, during, and after the fasting period. Lipid extracts from eggs were also analyzed for their fatty acid profile. The most notable differences were detected in C16:0, C18:0 C18:1ω-9, C18:2ω-6, Σω-6 PUFA, C18:3ω-3, C22:6ω-3, Σω-3 PUFA, 20:5ω-3/ C22:6ω-3, and 20:5ω-3/20:4ω-6. Among fatty acids, fasting caused a temporal increase of C22:6ω-3, which returned to its initial value at the end of fasting. The opposite trend—a decrease—was shown in C18:1ω-9, C20:5ω-3, C18:2 ω-6, and Σω-6 PUFA.

Discussion

A balanced broodstock diet is considered imperative for the achievement of satisfactory egg production, and egg and larval quality in aquaculture. Fish may accumulate energy resources prior to reproduction (capital breeders), such as coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) (Yamamoto et al. 2011), or depend on the acquisition of energy through feed intake during gonad maturation and spawning (income breeders), such as the mummichog (Fundulus heteroclitus) (Wallace and Selman 1978). Between these two strategies, mixed patterns exist in other fishes, such as in the European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax), showing a capital-income pattern or in other cases, and in the yellowtail (Seriola quinqueradiata), where the potential to exhibit a capital or an income breeding pattern exists (Higuchi et al. 2017; McBride 2015). Fish with asynchronous oocyte development and multiple batch spawnings tend to be intake breeders. In another species of the Sparidae family, the common dentex (Dentex dentex), it has been shown that energy reserves are stored before spawning—including liver fat—classifying the specific breeding pattern as mixed capital-income (Grau 2016). In gilthead seabream—an asynchronous spawner—although feeding continues throughout the reproductive period, it has been shown that fish use their liver and muscle reserves during this period (Almansa 2001). Thus, its reproduction strategy regarding energy acquisition and allocation to eggs is not well defined.

In the present study, we were interested in investigating whether the energy and nutrients stored in gilthead seabream tissues are adequate to cover the demands of spawning, and if, or how soon, diet deprivation may have negative effects on the spawning performance of fish, both in terms of fecundity, but also fertilization success and embryo/larval development.
This information may provide guidance for optimal broodstock feeding practices in fish aquaculture.

The preliminary experiment in the present study examined the effect of fasting for 43 days at the beginning of the spawning season on egg fecundity and fertilization success, demonstrating that not only these reproduction parameters were not affected negatively by the fasting, but in fact, they increased during and after the fasting period. This might have been because the fasting period started early in the spawning season (February), when fecundity was still in an increasing trend and the nutritional status of the females was still great, and the number of vitellogenic oocytes in their ovaries was still high. Based on these surprising results, in the main study that was carried out the next year using the same broodstocks, fasting begun later in the year, around the middle of the spawning period, and also consisted of a longer

**Table 3** Effect of feed deprivation (fasting) on mean (± SD) fatty acid composition (% area of total fatty acids) of maternal tissues from fed and fasted \((N=4)\) gilthead sea bream \((Sparus aurata)\). Different letter superscripts indicate statistically significant differences between fed and fasted means (one-way ANOVA, Tukey's HSD, \(P<0.05\)). The sums of the data for each different category of fatty acids are shown in bold. *n.i.* non identified \((ARA\) arachidonic acid, \(EPA\) eicosapentaenoic acid, \(DHA\) docosahexaenoic acid, \(SFA\) saturated fatty acids, \(PUFA\) monounsaturated fatty acids, \(PUFA\) polyunsaturated fatty acids)

| Fatty acid | Muscle | Liver | Gonads |
|-----------|--------|-------|--------|
|           | Fed \((n=4)\) | Fasted \((n=4)\) | Fed \((n=4)\) | Fasted \((n=4)\) | Fed \((n=4)\) | Fasted \((n=4)\) |
| C14:0     | 6.81 (0.23) | 6.77 (1.33) | 7.07 (1.78) | 6.75 (0.98) | 5.38 (1.36) | 5.81 (0.70) |
| C15:0     | 0.35 (0.04) | 0.35 (0.07) | 0.54 (0.16) | 0.47 (0.11) | 0.40 (0.01) | 0.42 (0.01) |
| C16:0     | 20.89 (0.88) | 21.01 (3.19) | 25.20 (0.14) | 24.29 (0.20) | 23.23 (2.43) | 23.57 (2.97) |
| C17:0     | 0.54 (0.10) | 0.55 (0.13) | 0.84 (0.07) | 0.82 (0.12) | 0.71 (0.08) | 0.74 (0.06) |
| C18:0     | 3.46 (0.09) | 3.45 (0.39) | 3.98 (0.30) | 4.03 (0.53) | 4.12 (0.28) | 4.04 (0.32) |
| C20:0     | 0.09 (0.02) | 0.08 (0.01) | 0.09 (0.03) | 0.08 (0.03) | 0.13 (0.03) | 0.12 (0.04) |
| C24:0     | 0.29 (0.01) | 0.29 (0.11) | 0.15 (0.02) | 0.15 (0.02) | 0.21 (0.03) | 0.2 (0.06) |
| Σ SFA     | 32.42 (0.83) | 32.52 (4.93) | 37.87 (3.35) | 36.59 (3.41) | 34.18 (3.67) | 34.91 (3.61) |
| C14:1 ω-5 | 0.34 (0.08) | 0.32 (0.15) | 0.20 (0.07) | 0.18 (0.09) | 0.17 (0.06) | 0.18 (0.02) |
| C16:1 ω-7 | 6.36 (1.45) | 6.19 (0.66) | 8.09 (0.84) | 8.15 (0.69) | 6.79 (0.94) | 7.18 (1.13) |
| C18:1 ω-7 | 0.38 (0.05) | 0.37 (0.14) | 0.30 (0.15) | 0.30 (1.72) | 0.25 (0.04) | 0.25 (0.03) |
| C18:1 ω-9 | 2.56 (0.08) | 2.56 (1.10) | 1.27 (0.50) | 1.37 (0.30) | 0.72 (0.12) | 0.67 (0.22) |
| C24:1 ω-9 | 0.53 (0.07) | 0.52 (0.23) | 0.20 (0.09) | 0.21 (0.14) | 0.33 (0.06) | 0.31 (0.11) |
| Σ MUFA    | 37.94 (2.67) | 37.64 (5.58) | 39.44 (1.39) | 39.84 (1.51) | 30.57 (0.05) | 30.74 (0.12) |
| C18:2 ω-6 | 9.88 (0.13) | 9.86 (0.67) | 9.34 (0.29) | 9.34 (0.61) | 9.29 (0.53) | 9.19 (0.86) |
| C18:3 ω-6 | 0.25 (0.03) | 0.25 (0.04) | 0.37 (0.16) | 0.37 (0.13) | 0.37 (0.13) | 0.37 (0.05) |
| C20:2 ω-6 | 0.35 (0.05) | 0.34 (0.09) | 0.23 (0.04) | 0.23 (0.16) | 0.26 (0.11) | 0.21 (0.07) |
| C20:4 ω-6 | 0.74a (0.01) | 0.75 (0.01) | 0.70 (0.17) | 0.72 (0.11) | 0.90 (0.22) | 0.84 (0.37) |
| Σ ω-6 PUFA | 11.22 (0.01) | 11.20 (0.01) | 10.64 (0.31) | 10.66 (0.71) | 10.83 (0.61) | 10.61 (0.93) |
| C18:3 ω-3 | 1.77 (0.03) | 1.73 (0.01) | 1.37a (0.12) | 1.34b (0.07) | 1.4 (0.06) | 1.4 (0.26) |
| C20:3 ω-3 | 0.17 (0.01) | 0.17 (0.04) | 0.14 (0.05) | 0.15 (0.22) | 0.19 (0.06) | 0.16 (0.06) |
| C20:4 ω-3 | 2.41 (0.02) | 2.42 (1.18) | 1.14 (0.41) | 1.22 (0.40) | 0.82 (0.14) | 0.75 (0.22) |
| C20:5 ω-3 | 4.06 (0.29) | 4.08 (0.24) | 2.81 (0.58) | 2.98 (0.20) | 5.32 (0.08) | 5.20 (0.05) |
| C22:5 ω-3 | 1.94 (1.32) | 2.00 (0.39) | 1.01 (0.38) | 1.06 (0.19) | 2.08 (0.17) | 2.05 (0.66) |
| C22:6 ω-3 | 8.05 (1.32) | 8.23 (2.16) | 5.57 (2.21) | 6.15 (1.15) | 14.63 (2.64) | 14.18 (2.65) |
| Σ ω-3 PUFA | 18.41 (1.76) | 18.64 (1.15) | 12.05 (3.18) | 12.9 (1.75) | 24.42 (3.15) | 23.74 (3.59) |
| EPA/DHA   | 0.51 (1.84) | 0.5 (0.08) | 0.53 (0.09) | 0.51 (0.04) | 0.37 (0.06) | 0.38 (0.03) |
| EPA/ARA   | 5.48 (0.03) | 5.51 (0.01) | 4.08 (0.03) | 4.20 (0.05) | 6.26 (0.04) | 6.62 (0.07) |
| DHA/ARA   | 10.80 (0.04) | 10.99 (0.03) | 7.82 (0.36) | 8.38 (0.47) | 17.55 (7.62) | 18.56 (4.99) |
fasting period of 54 days, in an effort to see if this will produce a reduction in reproductive performance. The results, as discussed below, were again similar, in that no negative effects on spawning performance were observed, except from a gradual reduction in fecundity.

The spawning kinetics of gilthead seabream during both years were typical of cultured fish (Mylonas et al. 2011). Spawning began in early January, soon after the shortest day of the year, with an initially increasing trend in daily fecundity, while fertilization success was very high almost from the beginning of the spawning season. The values and variation from day-to-day obtained were typical of the species under culture conditions (García-Fernández et al. 2018), although the slight reduction in daily

| Fatty acid | Eggs | Pre-fasting (n = 12) | During fasting (n = 16) | Post-fasting (n = 10) |
|------------|------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| C14:0      | 5.8  | (1.97)              | 5.44 (0.81)            | 5.56 (1.73)          |
| C15:0      | 0.20 | (0.02)              | 0.22 (0.02)            | 0.21 (0.02)          |
| C16:0      | 15.89| (1.14)              | 18.14 (1.18)           | 15.00 (1.27)         |
| C17:0      | 0.50 | (0.13)              | 0.40 (0.10)            | 0.44 (0.10)          |
| C18:0      | 3.80 | (0.23)              | 3.31 (0.21)            | 3.27 (0.26)          |
| C20:0      | 0.05 | (0.01)              | 0.06 (0.02)            | 0.09 (0.03)          |
| C24:0      | 0.20 | (0.04)              | 0.18 (0.02)            | 0.20 (0.03)          |

**Σ SFA** 26.43 (1.12) 27.75 (1.42) 24.77 (1.65)

| Fatty acid | Eggs | Pre-fasting (n = 12) | During fasting (n = 16) | Post-fasting (n = 10) |
|------------|------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| C14:1 ω-5 | 0.18 | (0.09)              | 0.22 (0.07)            | 0.28 (0.16)          |
| C16:1 ω-4 | 1.21 | (0.03)              | 1.41 (0.10)            | 1.18 (0.10)          |
| C16:1 ω-5 | 0.31 | (0.03)              | 0.37 (0.03)            | 0.31 (0.05)          |
| C16:1 ω-7 | 4.07 | (0.30)              | 4.95 (0.46)            | 3.87 (0.48)          |
| C18:1 ω-4 | 0.03 | (0.00)              | 0.07 (0.01)            | 0.02 (0.01)          |
| C18:1 ω-7 | 0.18 | (0.09)              | 0.13 (0.06)            | 0.21 (0.18)          |
| C18:1 ω-9 | 24.17| (1.22)              | 22.56 (0.76)           | 22.94 (1.36)         |
| C20:1 n-7 | 0.43 | (0.02)              | 0.37 (0.06)            | 0.39 (0.07)          |
| C20:1 ω-9 | 0.64 | (0.04)              | 0.75 (0.10)            | 0.71 (0.14)          |
| C24:1 ω-9 | 0.24 | (0.04)              | 0.23 (0.04)            | 0.35 (0.05)          |

**Σ MUFA** 31.46 (0.16) 31.06 (0.09) 30.26 (0.64)

| Fatty acid | Eggs | Pre-fasting (n = 12) | During fasting (n = 16) | Post-fasting (n = 10) |
|------------|------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| C18:2 ω-6 | 12.34| (1.09)              | 9.73 (1.53)            | 13.15 (1.68)         |
| C18:3 ω-6 | 0.30 | (0.05)              | 0.23 (0.20)            | 0.21 (0.02)          |
| C20:4 ω-6 | 0.77 | (0.05)              | 0.7 (0.05)             | 0.82 (0.12)          |

**Σω-6 PUFA** 13.41 (1.02) 10.66 (0.60) 14.19 (1.81)

| Fatty acid | Eggs | Pre-fasting (n = 12) | During fasting (n = 16) | Post-fasting (n = 10) |
|------------|------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| C18:2 ω-9 | 0.22 | (0.02)              | 0.16 (0.03)            | 0.13 (0.03)          |
| C20:2 ω-9 | 0.27 | (0.01)              | 0.23 (0.03)            | 0.27 (0.04)          |
| C18:3 ω-3 | 1.99 | (0.24)              | 1.29 (0.21)            | 2.03 (0.32)          |
| C20:3 ω-9 | 0.24 | (0.03)              | 0.29 (0.55)            | 0.48 (0.04)          |
| C20:4 ω-3 | 0.61 | (0.08)              | 0.6 (0.06)             | 0.54 (0.56)          |
| C20:5 ω-3 | 4.73 | (0.39)              | 3.99 (0.30)            | 4.03 (0.14)          |
| C22:5 ω-3 | 1.94 | (0.16)              | 1.90 (0.16)            | 1.58 (0.26)          |
| C22:6 ω-3 | 15.33| (1.55)              | 17.70 (2.03)           | 14.76 (0.16)         |

**Σω-3 PUFA** 24.61 (1.57) 25.48 (1.74) 22.94 (1.19)

| Fatty acid | Eggs | Pre-fasting (n = 12) | During fasting (n = 16) | Post-fasting (n = 10) |
|------------|------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| EPA/DHA   | 0.31 | (0.04)              | 0.23 (0.02)            | 0.27 (0.06)          |
| EPA/ARA   | 0.16 | (0.16)              | 0.17 (0.24)            | 0.20 (0.18)          |
| DHA/ARA   | 20.06| (1.93)              | 25.51 (2.11)           | 17.96 (1.53)         |
fecundity right before the onset of the fasting period that was observed in both monitored broodstocks in the main study (year 2) was unexpected. However, egg production and quality did not decrease during the 43- and 54-day fasting periods of the preliminary and the main study, respectively, suggesting that even long fasting periods during the spawning season do not affect the production of good quantity and quality eggs in gilthead seabream. This assertion is supported by historical data obtained in our laboratory and elsewhere. The two replicated broodstocks of the present study spawned for 143 and 138 days, respectively, during their first reproductive season and for 161 and 199 days, respectively, during their second reproductive season, when they were not subjected to fasting. In the present study, the spawning days of the two broodstocks were 172 and 210 in the preliminary study (3rd reproductive season) and 150 and 162 in the full study (4th reproductive season), respectively.

As regards total mean daily relative fecundity, it was 16,660, 18,000, 12,980, and 12,670 eggs kg\(^{-1}\) female body weight for the broodstock 1; and were 20,230, 16,590, 10,700, and 9050 eggs kg\(^{-1}\) female body weight for broodstock 2 during their first, second, third, and fourth reproductive season, respectively, in our facilities. These results are comparable to the ones obtained in similar-age gilthead seabream in Spain, where mean daily fecundity values were 19,200, 11,400, 13,100, and 15,000 eggs kg\(^{-1}\) female body weight during their first, second, third, and fourth reproductive season (3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year-old breeders), respectively (Jerez et al. 2012). It might be argued that as gilthead seabream age and grow larger, they store more energy resources and thus could be in a better position to cope with the energy needs of reproduction compared to younger and smaller fish.

In addition, 5-day larval survival was stable during and after fasting. The decreases in fecundity and hatching percentage observed during the post-fasting period of the main study could be due to the approaching end of the spawning period, rather than the effect of fasting. As mentioned above, the fasting period in the main study started later than in the preliminary study, and it was closer to the end of the spawning season. Reduced egg quality towards the end of the reproductive season is common in aquaculture and has been shown both in gilthead seabream (Jerez et al. 2012) and in other sparids, such as the red porgy (*Pagrus pagrus*) (Mylonas et al. 2004).

Spawning is an energy and nutrient demanding process and observations of loss of weight after spawning indicate that feed alone cannot cover the energy and nutrient requirements of spawning fish (Tocher 2010). The monitored gilthead seabream were fed to apparent satiation on a commercial broodstock diet, and presumably they had built up adequate nutrient reserves prior to being subjected to feed...
deprivation. Feed-deprived gilthead seabream continued to spawn without any significant negative effects on fecundity or egg quality. The significant reduction of crude protein concentration in the maternal muscle and crude lipid concentration in the liver coupled with the lower HSI indicate that muscle protein and liver fat were the main energy and nutrient sources utilized in fasted gilthead seabream during spawning. The fact that there was no detectable reduction in fat concentration in the muscle suggests that other tissues, namely, perivisceral and peritoneal fat, which were not measured in the present investigation, could be additional sources. On the other hand, maternal muscle fat and liver proteins appeared to cover a minor portion of the demands. The reduction in the concentration of muscle crude protein and liver crude fat was counterbalanced by an increase in moisture concentration to maintain cellular volume. In a similar study, gilthead seabream fed on main ration during spawning did not deplete muscle proteins (Harel et al. 1994), and apparently, protein demands were covered by the diet; furthermore, fat concentration in the muscle decreased, which was not observed in the feed-deprived seabream of the present study. It appears that gilthead seabream utilizes different nutrient reserves for maturing oocytes and for maintenance depending on their nutritional status, body reserves, and the availability of feed. It is likely that in our study, the fish undergo the third phase of fasting, a shift to protein mobilization as the main energy source, after a first short transient phase and a second fat oxidation phase (Bar 2014). Fasting had no effect on crude ash concentration in the muscle, liver, and gonads, apparently due to its minor influence on the mineral pool and the uptake of minerals from the rearing water. In general, the fatty acid profiles of muscle and liver lipids were similar prior to and after fasting. A reduction in Σω-6 PUFA in the muscle which is in line with the observation in sharpsnout seabream (Diplodus puntazzo) (Rondán et al. 2004) and an increase in Σω-6 PUFA in the liver were observed. Despite the statistically significant differences, their numerical differences appear to be small to have a biological significance.

Fasting reduced the crude protein concentration in gonads, as well as gonad size in terms of absolute weight and GSI. At the same time, the fecundity and proximate composition and quality of eggs were not affected. A confounding effect of time and fish physiology may exist as the reproduction season was advancing. Apart from the reduced crude proteins and subsequent water increase, no substantial effect of fasting was observed in crude lipids or fatty acids in the gonads. Minor differences were observed in the gonadal fatty acid profiles of starved and fed fish, indicating adequate lipid reserves and non-preferential use of any fatty acid. Since a fully fed control group throughout the experiment was not considered necessary to examine the main hypothesis of the study, we do not have available data to confirm the above claims—that starvation does not affect fatty acid composition in gonads—and exclude any confounding effects of the reproductive cycle of gilthead seabream. It is evident from the fasting and post-fasting comparison, however, that gonadal development cannot be arrested by fasting, and the produced eggs are of similar quality in terms of fertilization, embryonic development, and early larval survival.

The egg proximate composition was maintained unchanged without any apparent effect of feed deprivation in crude protein, crude lipid, crude ash, moisture, and energy density. The reduction of crude protein in the ovaries did not influence the crude protein concentration in the eggs. This may imply that not all ovary tissues are directed into eggs, or if there was an effect, this was not detectable due to the big difference in crude protein concentration between ovaries (c.a. 20%) and eggs (c.a. 3.8%); potential homeostatic mechanisms may have maintained the crude protein concentration in eggs despite the reduction of crude protein in the ovaries. In general, fish maintain egg quality under limiting resources. In red seabream (Pagrus major) and Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus), the protein content of the diet did not affect egg quality (Watanabe et al. 1984) while in three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus), the ration size (Fletcher and Wootton 1995) and in Nile tilapia feed deprivation also did not affect egg quality (Lupatsch et al. 2010). Still, it is generally agreed that diet composition affects the quantity and quality of eggs produced, and these reproduction parameters are highly influenced by nutrients such as essential fatty acids and α-tocopherol (Bell et al. 1997; Izquierdo et al. 2001; Watanabe and Vassallo-Agius 2003). Fish with long vitellogenic periods, e.g., salmonids or moronids such as European seabass, need to receive an adequate feed for a longer period prior to spawning, compared to fish with short vitellogenic periods, such
as gilthead seabream. On the other hand, the latter may be more sensitive to qualitative and quantitative changes during the spawning season, yet it appears that gilthead seabream can build up adequate energy and protein reserves during the ovarian growth phase, and feed restriction for many weeks within the spawning period has no detectable effects on egg production and quality. Past data reporting that feed consumption decreases around the onset of spawning (Kadmon et al. 1985) may suggest that gilthead seabream can cope well under feed restriction during spawning.

As far as feed management is concerned, fasting during the spawning phase, if needed for management purposes, might be a better option than the provision of a deficient or imbalanced diet in certain nutrients. A decisive factor in the influence of fasting or feed restriction on reproductive performance is the timing of feed deprivation and the physiological state of fish up to that point, so it is probably more important to provide optimal nutrition before the reproductive season when the animals are building their body reserves, as well as during the extended process of vitellogenesis, rather than during spawning. Therefore, other husbandry parameters, such as stocking density, water quality, lack of disturbances, and other welfare aspects, may be more important to reproductive performance than feeding to apparent satiation during the spawning period.
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