Necrotising granulomatous mass of the foreskin with inguinal lymphadenopathy: a rare presentation of syphilis
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SUMMARY
We report the case of a 24-year-old man who presented with a 5-week history of painful right inguinal lymphadenopathy, weight loss and non-ulcerative foreskin mass. The patient’s symptoms progressed despite initial antibiotic therapy. The foreskin mass was clinically suspicious for squamous cell carcinoma; however, histopathology of both the foreskin mass and inguinal lymph node showed necrotising granulomatous inflammation. Extensive immunohistochemistry testing was inconclusive and could not identify a causative microorganism. Ultimately, serology was positive for Treponema pallidum and he was treated with intramuscular benzathine penicillin. This is an unusual case, which highlights the importance of extensive investigation for differential diagnoses of penile mass and exemplifies the resurgence of syphilis in developed countries.

INTRODUCTION
Penile lesions can arise from the epithelium of the foreskin, glans or shaft. They are a diagnostic challenge largely guided by clinical presentation and physical examination. The broad differential for penile lesions includes sexually acquired and non-sexually acquired infections, malignant and premalignant lesions, inflammatory conditions, trauma and, rarely, iatrogenic causes (such as resection for penile lesions).89 Cutaneous horn presents as overgrowth and cornification of epithelium, creating a solid protuberance, whereas lichen sclerosus appears as a phimotic, hypopigmented foreskin or glans.6

CASE PRESENTATION
A 24-year-old urban indigenous Australian heterosexual man was admitted with a 5-week history of painful right inguinal lymphadenopathy and foreskin mass. He reported penile pain, which he initially attributed to sustaining a physical blow to his groin, but did not notice a mass at the time. He noted some dysuria but denied any haematuria or penile discharge. He reported a 2-week history of constitutional symptoms, including low-grade fevers, chills and rigours, night sweats, anorexia and unintentional weight loss of ~5 kg.

In the 2 weeks leading up to admission, he presented multiple times to both a general practitioner and emergency department. He underwent an inguinal ultrasound that showed an enlarged inguinal lymph node measuring 2.0×2.3×3.4 cm with increased vascularity. The findings were suggestive of lymphadenitis. He completed two courses of amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, but his symptoms progressed. He eventually represented with worsening inguinal lymphadenopathy and new penile pain.

He reported life-long phimosis. He is otherwise healthy with no other significant medical or family history. He reported being sexually active with one regular female partner, and no additional risk factors for sexually transmitted infections (STIs) were identified.

His observations were unremarkable and he was afebrile on presentation. On examination, there was a hard, nodular mass on the ventral aspect of his distal foreskin. He had a tight, non-retractable phimosis and the foreskin was retracted some are preceded by premalignant lesions, such as cutaneous horn and lichen sclerosus.6 Cutaneous horn presents as overgrowth and cornification of epithelium, creating a solid protuberance, whereas lichen sclerosus appears as a phimotic, hypopigmented foreskin or glans.6

INVESTIGATIONS
White cell count was mildly elevated at 11.8×109/L, and C reactive protein was 124 mg/L. Urine and blood cultures were negative. Genital swab and urine PCR were negative for Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Repeat ultrasound of the right groin showed an enlarged lymph node measuring 2.8×2.9×3.2 cm, demonstrating increased vascularity and a thickened cortex with...
surrounding subcutaneous oedema. There was no drainable collection.

TREATMENT
He was treated empirically with intravenous flucloxacillin and gentamicin. Given antibiotics were unsuccessful and the patient had significant constitutional symptoms, the lesion was considered suspicious for penile carcinoma. He subsequently underwent circumcision and excisional biopsy of the right inguinal lymph node. During surgery, sleeve technique circumcision was performed to excise the mass from the distal foreskin. There was no macroscopic involvement of the corpora or glans penis (figure 1). The inguinal node was noted to be necrotic and discharged pus. The foreskin and right inguinal lymph node were sent for histopathology.

Histological examination of the 2.5×20 mm ulcerated foreskin lesion showed florid granulomatous inflammation with foci of necrosis (figure 2). The inflammatory infiltrate also included some plasma cells and lymphocytes. Similarly, the lymph node showed necrotising granulomatous inflammation. There was no evidence of malignancy. The findings of necrotising granulomatous inflammation were highly suggestive of an infectious aetiology. The differential diagnosis for this histological appearance at this site included lymphogranuloma venereum and granuloma inguinale. Other considerations were syphilis, mycobacterial, atypical mycobacterial or fungal infections. Subsequently, a range of stains were performed in an attempt to detect a causative organism. A Warthin-Starry stain showed a few possible organisms, however was difficult to interpret due to background staining. No organisms were seen on other stains, including a modified Ziehl-Neelsen, auramine, Periodic Acid-Schiff for fungus, Grocott’s methamine silver, gram stain or a Treponema pallidum immunoperoxidase stain.

Figure 1 Intraoperative images of the penis and foreskin during circumcision (A) showing no macroscopic involvement of the corpora or glans penis (B).

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
He was subsequently referred to the sexual health clinic. Serological screening showed positive treponemal-specific antibody tests and a rapid plasma regain titre of 1:8, consistent with infectious syphilis. Serology was negative for hepatitis B, HIV and HSV type 2. Screening for C. trachomatis and N. gonorrhoeae by urine PCR testing was negative. Subsequently, the lymph node was reviewed and found to be syphilis PCR-positive. A revised sexual history revealed an additional female sexual partner approximately 6 months prior to symptom onset. The patient was treated with benzathine penicillin. The lymphadenopathy resolved and he was clinically well at 1-month follow-up review.

DISCUSSION
This case demonstrates a rare presentation of penile mass. We highlight the importance of considering broad differential diagnoses as not all isolated penile masses are malignant. A multidisciplinary approach to assessment and management is essential given the multitude of differentials. There has been a resurgence of syphilis within developed countries, and screening for STIs is paramount prior to diagnostic biopsy and radical excision.

The inconclusive finding of necrotising granulomatous inflammation from the foreskin and inguinal lymph node histopathology posed a diagnostic dilemma and required further clinical investigation. Granulomatous inflammation is a pattern of chronic inflammation due to various infectious, autoimmune, toxic, allergic and neoplastic causes. Identifying specific patterns of granulomatous inflammation, such as necrotising granulomas in this case, favours an infectious aetiology. The differential diagnosis for this histological appearance in the foreskin includes lymphogranuloma venereum and granuloma inguinale. However, necrotising granulomas are more commonly caused by tuberculous and non-tuberculous mycobacteria or fungal species. Syphilis may have a variety of histological patterns of inflammation, and although rare, granulomatous inflammation has been shown within nodular cutaneous lesions of secondary syphilis.

Syphilis is an STI caused by the spirochete bacterium T. pallidum. The typical histological method of detecting spirochetes is silver impregnation, such as Warthin-Starry staining. However, they are often difficult to identify due to marked background staining, which was the case in our tissue sections. Studies have shown that immunohistochemistry with a monoclonal antibody for T. pallidum is more sensitive and specific than Warthin-Starry staining. It is important to be aware that organisms may be difficult to identify in tissue sections, and negative special stains and immunoperoxidase do not exclude infection. Serology remains the gold standard for diagnosis of syphilis.

The sites most commonly affected by granulomatous inflammation are the lungs, skin and kidneys. Extensive review of the literature revealed only one other reported case of necrotising granulomatous inflammation found in a specimen of the glans penis. In this instance, no systemic cause was identified but was thought to be of possible autoimmunee aetiology after serological and microbiological testing yielded no significant results.

In this case, STIs were not immediately considered, given the clinical history was not concerning for sexual risk factors and the mass was not typically ulcerative as expected with syphilitic or herpetic lesions. There were neither clinical features of syphilitic chancre nor syphilitic balanitis of Vollmann, a manifestation of primary syphilis in which there is erosive balanitis without chancre. However, this case highlights that syphilis is an important differential diagnosis to consider for penile lesions.
Over the last decade, there has been a resurgence of reported cases of syphilis in Australia, with a 135% increase between 2013 and 2017 among both men (119%) and women (309%). The incidence of syphilis in the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander indigenous population was 6.6 times higher than in the non-indigenous population. Similar increases in incidence have been reported in the UK, with a 70% increase between 2014 and 2018, and the USA, with a 73% increase between 2013 and 2017. The histopathological findings of necrotising granulomas, although not specific, prompted a further pathway of investigation for this patient. While they were suggestive of an infectious aetiology, clinical correlation, and particularly correlation with serological results, was critical to definitive diagnosis of syphilis.

**Learning points**

- Suspicious penile lesions should be considered malignant until proven otherwise.
- Given the broad range of differential diagnoses for penile lesions, a multidisciplinary approach is important in reaching a diagnosis.
- This case exemplifies the resurgence of syphilis in developed countries and is a reminder that it should be considered as a differential diagnosis in penile lesions.
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