Detection of a planetary companion around the giant star $\gamma^1$ Leonis *,**,\footnote{Based on observations made with the BOES spectrograph at the 1.8 m telescope of BOAO, KASI}
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ABSTRACT

\textbf{Aims.} Our primary goal is to search for planets around intermediate mass stars. We are also interested in studying the nature of radial velocity (RV) variations of K giant stars.

\textbf{Methods.} We selected about 55 early K giant (K0–K4) stars brighter than fifth magnitude that were observed using BOES, a high resolution spectrograph attached to the 1.8 m telescope at BOAO (Bohyunsan Optical Astronomy Observatory). BOES is equipped with 14 absorption cell for high precision RV measurements.

\textbf{Results.} We detected a periodic radial velocity variations in the K0 III star $\gamma^1$ Leonis with a period of $P = 429$ days. An orbital fit of the observed RVs yields a period of $P = 429$ days, a semi-amplitude of $K = 208$ m s$^{-1}$, and an eccentricity of $e = 0.14$. To investigate the nature of the RV variations, we analyzed the photometric, Ca II K 8662 equivalent width, and line-bisector variations of $\gamma^1$ Leonis. We conclude that the detected RV variations can be best explained by a planetary companion with an estimated mass of $m \sin i = 8.78 M_J$ and a semi-major axis of $a = 1.19$ AU, assuming a stellar mass of 1.23 $M_\odot$.

\textbf{Key words.} stars: individual: $\gamma^1$ Leonis – planetary systems – supergiants

1. Introduction

Since the first exoplanet around a main sequence star was discovered in 1995, more than 300 exoplanets have been detected (http://exoplanet.eu/catalog.php). Among them, the majority of exoplanets candidates has been detected by using radial velocity methods around late F-G and K main-sequence stars.

The existence of planets around intermediate mass early-type stars and their planetary parameters have not been investigated well due to their fast stellar rotational velocities and the lack of an appropriate number of sharp absorption lines in the stellar spectra. When intermediate mass stars evolve toward the red giant stage, they go through the G and K-giant phase where many sharp absorption lines appropriate for high precision RV measurements are available. Therefore, G and K giant stars are suitable targets for detecting exoplanets with the RV technique. There are several ongoing exoplanet survey projects around giant stars (Sefiawan et al. 2005; Hatzes et al. 2005; Sato et al. 2007; Johnson et al. 2007; Lovis & Mayor 2007; Niedzielski et al. 2007; Liu et al. 2008). Now, more than 20 exoplanets have been detected around giant stars and from this sample some statistical studies on the planetary systems around giants have been made (Pasquini et al. 2007; Hekker et al. 2008; Sato et al. 2008).

We started our precise RV survey using the 1.8 m telescope at BOAO (Bohyunsan Optical Astronomy Observatory) in 2003 in order to search for exoplanets and to study the pulsations and stellar surface activity of K-giant stars. Our sample consists of 55 K0–K4 giant stars. Most of them are brighter than fifth magnitude. Results from the first 3 years of our survey show that the majority (more than 90%) of our sample of K-giants show RV variations. Among them, we found spectroscopic binaries, pulsating variables (see Kim et al. 2006), and several periodic RV variable stars. Our survey confirmed an exoplanet around $\gamma^1$ Leonis (Han et al. 2008) discovered by Hatzes et al. (2006) and Reffert et al. (2006). In this paper we present a new exoplanet detection around the giant star $\gamma^1$ Leonis.

2. Observations and data reduction

The RV observations of $\gamma^1$ Leonis have been carried out from May 2003 until May 2009 using the fiber-fed high resolution Echelle spectrograph BOES (Kim et al. 2007), attached to the 1.8 m telescope at BOAO. BOES has five fibers with diameters of 80, 100, 150, 200, and 300 $\mu m$. The measured resolving power for each fiber is $R = 90,000$, 75,000, 60,000, 45,000, and 30,000 respectively. Using a 2k $\times$ 4k CCD, the wavelength
Table 1. Some stellar parameters of γ¹ Leonis.

| Parameter             | Value            | Reference                |
|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| $m_V$                 | 2.01             | Hipparcos                |
| $B - V$               | 1.13             | Hipparcos                |
| parallax (mas)        | 25.06 ± 0.83     | Hipparcos                |
| $M_V$                 | $-0.92 ± 0.069$  | derived                  |
| diameter (mas)        | 7.7 ± 0.3        | Dyck et al. (1998)       |
| radius ($R_\odot$)   | 31.88 ± 1.61     | derived                  |
| mass ($M_\odot$)      | 1.23 ± 0.21      | This study               |
| $v \sin i$ (km s$^{-1}$) | 1.1 ± 1.3  | de Medeiros & Mayor (1999) |
|                       | 2.0               | Gray (1982)              |
|                       | 3.9               | Massarotti et al. (2008) |
|                       | 2.7               | this study               |
| $T_{\text{eff}}$      | 4300             | Tomkin et al. (1975)     |
|                       | 4470             | McWilliam (1990)         |
| $4330 ± 15            | This study       |                          |
| log $g$               | 1.7              | Tomkin et al. (1975)     |
|                       | 2.35             | McWilliam (1990)         |
|                       | 2.7              | McWilliam (1990)         |
| [Fe/H]                | $-0.49 ± 0.12$   | McWilliam (1990)         |
|                       | $-0.51 ± 0.05$   | This study               |
| $\zeta$ (km s$^{-1}$) | 2.4              | McWilliam (1990)         |
|                       | 1.5              | This study               |

The coverage of BOES is 3600−10 500 Å with 86 spectral orders in a single exposure. BOES is also equipped with J2 absorption cell for precise radial velocity measurements. All observations of γ¹ Leonis were conducted by using 80 µm fiber to achieve the highest resolving power and RV accuracy. The typical exposure time was 200 s to give a S/N ratio of about 150.

The extraction of the normalized 1D spectra was carried out using IRAF software package by following standard procedures (bias subtraction, background removal, flat fielding, wavelength calibration). After extracting normalized 1D spectra, the RVs were computed using a code called RV12CELL which was developed at BOAO by Han et al. (2007).

3. The target star

γ¹ Leonis (HIP50583, HD 89484, HR 4057, Al Gieba) is the bright ($V = 2.01$, $B - V = 1.13$, KOIII) component of a visual binary system (ADS 7724AB, WDS10200+1950, STF 1424AB). The Hipparcos parallax is 25.96 ± 0.83 mas, which results in a distance of 38.5 pc. The double star nature of this system was first discovered by William Herschel in 1792. Although the orbit is still not well determined, Mason et al. (2006) listed the orbital elements as $P = 510$ years, $e = 0.84$, $\alpha = 4.24$ arcsec, and $i = 76^\circ$. At present the separation between the two stellar components is about 4.6 arcsec.

The stellar parameters of γ¹ Leonis has been determined by several authors. Table 1 summarizes the results. We determined the atmospheric parameters of γ¹ Leonis directly from the spectra obtained using the BOES spectrograph without the iodine cell. By using 247 neutral and 11 ionized iron absorption lines and measuring their equivalent widths, we estimated the $T_{\text{eff}}$, log $g$, $v_\text{i}$, and [Fe/H] of the star using the program TGVIT by Takeda et al. (2005). We also estimated the projected rotational velocity $v \sin i$ using the procedure given by Takeda et al. (2008). To estimate the mass of the star, we used the online evolutionary track tool from da Silva et al. (2006) and Girardi et al. (2000). This resulted in $M = 1.23 ± 0.21 M_\odot$ and log $g = 1.49 ± 0.11$. We note that the log $g$ value estimated by using the evolutionary track is in good agreement with the result of 1.59 from our spectroscopic estimation.

4. The observed radial velocities and orbital analysis

The RV measurements obtained from May 2003 until May 2009 are shown in Fig. 1 and listed in Table 3. (Table 3 is shown only in a machine-readable form in the online journal.) The typical internal error of the RV measurements is about 5 to 7 m s$^{-1}$. To check the long term RV measurements accuracy of BOES, we have observed a RV standard star τ Ceti. Figure 2 shows the RV variation of τ Ceti. From the result of τ Ceti monitoring, we estimate the RV measurements accuracy of BOES to be about 8 m s$^{-1}$.

From the observed RV data, we can see a periodicity immediately. An analysis using a Lomb-Scargle periodogram (Lomb 1976; Scargle 1982) confirmed a presence of strong power at the frequency $f = 0.0023$ c/d ($P = 435$ days). Figure 3 shows the Lomb-Scargle periodogram and window function. We found that the false alarm probability (FAP) of this periodicity estimated by a bootstrap randomization process is less than 10$^{-6}$. A more detailed analysis by using the non-linear least squares orbital fitting yields a period of $P = 429$ days, and a semi-amplitude of $K = 208$ m s$^{-1}$. The orbital parameters with their errors are listed in Table 2.

As can be seen from Fig. 1, the rms of the RV residuals is 43 m s$^{-1}$ which is significantly larger than the typical RV
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Fig. 3. The periodogram (top) and window function (bottom) of the observed RVs. The peak of the periodogram is located at \( f = 0.0023 \) c/d or \( P = 435 \) days.

Table 2. Orbital parameters of γ^1 Leonis.

| Parameter          | Value             |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| Period (days)      | 428.5 ± 1.25      |
| \( K \) (m s\(^{-1}\)) | 208.3 ± 4.3     |
| \( e \)            | 0.144 ± 0.046     |
| \( T_{\text{periastron}} \) | 2451.236 ± 13.5 |
| \( \omega \) (deg) | 206.7 ± 9.2      |
| rms (m s\(^{-1}\)) | 43               |
| reduced \( \chi^2 \) | 48               |
| \( m \sin i \) (M\(_{\text{Jupiter}}\)) | 8.78 ± 1.0       |
| \( a \) (AU)       | 1.19 ± 0.02       |

measurements error of 5 to 7 m s\(^{-1}\). We also noticed some systematic pattern in the residual RV plot shown in the lower panel of Fig. 1. The periodogram analysis of the residuals RVs shows a strong power around \( P = 1340 \) days with a FAP less than \( 0.5 \times 10^{-5} \). Figure 4 shows the Lomb-Scargle periodogram and window function of the RV residuals. Although it is still premature to firmly establish the reality and cause of 1340-d periodicity, the orbital fit yields a semi-amplitude of \( K = 35 \) m s\(^{-1}\), and an eccentricity \( e = 0.13 \). The estimated mass and semi-major axis of the hypothetical companion is \( a = 2.6 \) AU, and \( m \sin i = 2.14 \) M\(_{\text{Jupiter}}\). The 1340-d period may arise from stellar rotational modulation. Taking the \( v \sin i \) of de Medeiros & Mayor in Table 1 and the derived stellar radius of 31.88 R\(_{\odot}\) results in a rotational period of \( P/\sin i = 1474 \) days, consistent with the residual RV period given the uncertainty in the \( v \sin i \) measurement.

In addition to 1340-d period, the periodogram in Fig. 4 also shows a strong power at \( P = 8.5 \) days with an amplitude of about 20 m s\(^{-1}\). The FAP of this periodicity is less than \( 0.5 \times 10^{-5} \). By using the formula in Cox et al. (1972), we calculated the expected fundamental radial pulsation frequency of 8.4 days which is very close to the observed 8.5-d periodicity. If the 8.5-d periodicity is truly due to pulsations, it indicates that our mass and radius estimate for γ^1 Leonis may be reasonable. More data taken over a shorter time span and with better sampling is needed to confirm the 8.5-d period.

5. The cause of the RV variation

Although the observed 429-d periodic RV variation can be explained by an orbital motion of an unseen companion, intrinsic mechanisms such as pulsations and rotational modulation by surface features may cause the RV variations. To confirm whether the RV variations are due to intrinsic stellar activity, we investigated the photometric and spectral line profile variations of γ^1 Leonis.

In the next three subsections we will check the intrinsic stellar activity hypothesis by a) photometric method, b) Ca II \( \lambda 8662 \) equivalent width measurements, and c) the spectral line bisector method.

5.1. The Hipparcos photometry

The photometric database from the Hipparcos satellite contains 50 measurements made over a three year interval. The rms scatter of the data, after omitting four outliers, is 4.3 mmag. To see any periodic photometric variation, we performed a periodogram analysis. Figure 5 shows the Lomb-Scargle periodogram and window function of the Hipparcos photometry. We did not detect any power around the 429-d orbital period.
σ = result of our EW measurements is a mean value at the central part – from 8661.0 to 8663.5 Å of the line. The with the 429-d periodic RV variation. The EW was measured the equivalent width of Ca II λ8662 line has been used as an indicator of stellar activity. In fact, Walker et al. (1992) found the EW variation of this line from the spectra of γ Cep and concluded that the periodic RV variation of γ Cep was not due to the orbital motion by the unseen sub-stellar companion. Subsequently, Hatzes et al. (2003) showed that there were no Ca II variations with the 429-d RV variation. On the other hand, in order for spots to produce the observed RV amplitude of the 429-day period a spot filling factor of about 0.1 is required, according to the relations in Saar & Donahue (1997), Hatzes (2002), and Desort et al. (2007). Note that if the v sin i is as low as 1.1 km s\(^{-1}\) the filling factor will be about a factor of 2 higher. But the very stable Hipparcos photometry excludes this large filling factor. It is thus unlikely that cool spot causes the observed RV variations, although the Hipparcos data were taken at different epoch from our RV observation.

5.2. The variation of the Ca II λ8662 equivalent width

The EW of Ca II λ 8662 Å line has been used as an indicator of stellar activity. In fact, Walker et al. (1992) found the EW variation of this line from the spectra of γ Cep and concluded that the periodic RV variation of γ Cep was not due to the orbital motion by the unseen sub-stellar companion. Subsequently, Hatzes et al. (2003) showed that there were no Ca II variations with the RV period which confirmed the planet hypothesis. We measured the equivalent width of Ca II λ8662 to check any correlation with the 429-d periodic RV variation. The EW was measured at the central part – from 8661.0 to 8663.5 Å of the line. The result of our EW measurements is a mean value =1778.2 and σ = 0.7 mA. It implies that the EW of Ca II λ8662 of γ′ Leonis is very stable. Figure 6 is the plot of the periodogram of the EW variations. Figure 6 shows that the EW does not exhibit any significant periodicity at the 429-d RV period.

5.3. The line bisector variations

Spectral line bisector analysis has been extensively used to look for line profile shape variations due to surface features or non-radial pulsations of exoplanet candidate stars. For our line bisector analysis, unfortunately our spectrograph BOES showed an unstable instrument profile variation due to the imaging camera optical axis mismatch. It is a more serious problem at the edge of each order of the spectra. For RV measurements most of the change in the instrumental profile is taken into account in the modeling process so this does not pose a serious problem. For line bisector analysis, instrumental line profile variations directly affect the bisector measurements. Nevertheless, we tried the line bisector analysis by selecting the lines very carefully. We looked for well-isolated and strong lines with central depth larger than 0.6. Because of the instrument line profile variation, we tried to find the lines at the central region of the spectral order. Finally we chose six lines for our bisector analysis – Ca I 6499.6, Ni I 6643.7, Fe I 6750.2, Ni I 6767.8, Fe I 7780.6, Ni I 7788.9. As usual, we measured both BVS (Bisector Velocity Span) and BVC (Bisector Velocity Curvature). BVS is the bisector difference between two different flux levels. BVC is the difference between two different BVS estimated at different flux levels. We used three flux levels of 0.8, 0.6, and 0.4 relative to the central line depth to estimate BVS and BVC.

Figure 7 shows the BVS and BVC of all the lines as a function of RV. We do not see any correlation between BVS, BVC and RV. We computed a periodogram for the BVS and BVC of each line. We do not see any significant power at P = 429 days from the periodograms. Figure 8 shows an example of the periodogram obtained from Ni I 6643.7. Thus our line bisector analysis does not show any evidence that the stellar activity is the cause of the 429-d periodicity in the observed RVs.

5.4. Ca II H line variation

Ca II H and K line profile variation, or S-Index was used to investigate the stellar activity and the nature of RV variation by several authors (Saar & Donahue 1997; Queloz et al. 2001; Hatzes et al. 2003). Unfortunately the S/N ratio of the spectra of BOES at the blue region is too low to compute the S-Index of each spectrum. Instead of computing the S-Index of each spectrum, we computed the Ca II H mean line profiles at the positive and negative RV phases to see any systematic difference between the two RV phases. Figure 9 shows the computed line profiles. As we see in the plot, there is no systematic difference between the line profiles at the two RV phases.
there are not still many exoplanet found around binary system, we consider our discovery as a valuable addition to the field.
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