Long term renal function following selective angioembolization for iatrogenic vascular lesions after partial nephrectomy: A matched case-control study
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Purpose: Partial nephrectomy is associated with a 1%–2% risk of renal iatrogenic vascular lesion (IVL) that are commonly treated with selective angioembolization (SAE). The theoretical advantage of SAE is preservation of renal parenchyma by targeting only the bleeding portion of the kidney. Our study aims to assess the long-term effect of SAE on renal function, especially that this intervention requires potentially nephrotoxic contrast load injection.

Materials and Methods: A retrospective review of patients undergoing partial nephrectomy between 2002 and 2018 was performed, and patients who developed IVL were identified. A 1:4 matched case-control analysis was performed. Paired t-test and χ² test were used for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. Multivariable logistic and Cox proportional hazards regression analyses were used to identify risk factors and confounders for SAE and postoperative renal function.

Results: Eighteen patients found to have an IVL after partial nephrectomy were matched with 72 control patients. IVL’s were more common in patients after minimally invasive partial nephrectomy (89% vs. 70%, p=0.008) and in those with higher RENAL nephrometry scores (8.8±2.0 vs. 6.5±1.8, p<0.001). On multivariable analysis, lower RENAL scores proved to decrease the odds of requiring postoperative SAE. No significant difference in renal function outcomes was seen at 24 months of follow-up after surgery.

Conclusions: SAE for the management of IVL following partial nephrectomy is a safe and efficient procedure with no significant impact on short or long-term renal function. Less complex renal tumors with lower RENAL scores are less likely to require postoperative SAE.
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INTRODUCTION

The incidence of renal cell carcinoma is approximately 60,000 cases per year [1] with partial nephrectomy (PN) being the most common surgical approach (52%) for the treatment of T1a renal tumors (<4 cm), followed by radical nephrectomy (27%), active surveillance (20%), and ablative interventions [2]. PN, when applicable, offers decreased risk of chronic kidney disease by preserving functional nephrons, as well as decreased risk of cardiovascular morbidity, while maintaining comparable oncologic control [3,4].

Whether through an open or minimally invasive approach, one of the most frequent complications following PN is an iatrogenic vascular lesion (IVL) leading to post-operative bleeding. IVLs include both renal artery pseudoaneurysms and arteriovenous fistulae (AVF), both of which may lead to life threatening hemorrhage [5-7]. A standardized approach to their management is not specifically defined, but rather clinician dependent. While observation of IVLs is possible, given the propensity of such lesions to progress to life threatening hemorrhage, most physicians prefer to intervene.

The most commonly utilized treatment for IVLs is selective percutaneous angioembolization (SAE), as reoperation for bleeding after PN often results in loss of the kidney. The theoretical advantage of SAE is to preserve renal function by maintaining normal blood flow to the uninvolved portion of the kidney [8]. In addition, this technique requires intravenous injection of iodinated contrast for visualization of the IVL or an actively bleeding vessel. To note, iodinated contrast can compromise renal function through several mechanisms like hypo-perfusion, direct cytotoxic effects on endothelial and tubular epithelial cells, and constriction of descending vasa recta through reduction of Nitric Oxide levels [9-12]. This study aims to assess the short and the two-year effect of SAE on renal function.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

After Institutional Review Board of the University of Chicago approval (approval number: 18-1435), we performed a retrospective review of a prospectively maintained database of patients undergoing partial nephrectomy between January 2002 and December 2018. Patients with post-operative bleeding at computed tomographic angiogram (CTA) and/or conventional angiography requiring SAE, were identified and matched to a control group. Informed consent was waived by the study protocol due to the lack of patient contact. Presence of IVL was defined by the detection of a renal pseudo-aneurysm or AVF. Each case was matched to four controls based on age, sex, preoperative comorbidities, tumor number, location and size, nephrometry score, and renal function. Demographics, perioperative data, postoperative outcomes (POD) at 90 days, 12 and 24 months of follow-up were collected and compared between groups. Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) was estimated using the chronic kidney disease epidemiology collaboration equation [13]. Exclusion criteria include immunosuppression, preoperative estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) less than 15 mL/min, uninephric patients (including kidney transplant recipients) and those with bilateral cystic kidney disease.

Partial nephrectomy was performed via either open or minimally invasive approach. Patients with suspected post-operative bleeding who underwent CTA received 120 mL of Iohexol (Omnipaque 350 mg/mL), a water-soluble radiographic contrast agent, intravenously. If a source of bleeding was identified, patients subsequently underwent conventional angiogram and SAE. Another larger subset of patients, however, proceeded directly to conventional angiogram with immediate SAE if confirmed positive. Procedural technique for SAE entailed obtaining percutaneous access via the common femoral artery. Once a catheter was placed into the ipsilateral renal artery, selective angiogram was performed, the IVL was identified, and embolization was performed. Embolization using coils, Gelfoam, and/or particles was performed depending on the size of the renal branch, level of catheterization, and/or extent of the IVL. Technical success was defined as angiographic arrest of bleeding and/or IVL resolution.

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Macintosh, Build 10.0.1461 64-bit edition (released 2020; IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). A 1:4 case-control pairing was performed to match cases and controls based on the aforementioned preoperative variables. Continuous data were reported as mean±standard deviation. Postoperative success rates were calculated as the proportion of events among the number of patients available for follow-up, and 95% confidence intervals were then calculated for a single proportion. F- and D’Agostino-Pearson tests were performed to reject equal variance and normal distribution, respectively. Paired sample t-test, after logarithmic transformation, or Welch-test were used to compare continuous variables from independent samples. $\chi^2$ test was used to analyze data collected on non-continuous variables. Fisher’s exact test was utilized for categorical variables with fewer than ten events. Multivariable logistic and Cox proportional hazards regression analyses were used to identify risk factors and confounders for SAE and postoperative renal function. A $p$-
value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 685 nephron sparing surgeries were performed during the study period: 598 (87%) minimally invasive (MIPN) and 87 (13%) open (OPN). Postoperative bleeding was suspected in 23 (3.8%) patients. Among those, 4 (17.3%) patients underwent CTA followed by angiography and SAE. Nineteen (82.7%) patients proceeded directly to percutaneous conventional angiography. A total of 18 patients (2.6%) were found to have an IVL or arterial contrast extravasation that required intervention. These cases were matched with 72 control patients. No significant differences in age, sex, race, body mass index, smoking status, and Charlson comorbidity index were observed (Table 1). The impact of ischemia time on long term renal function was standardized by matching both groups as well. No significant differences were seen in preexisting comorbidities, such as diabetes mellitus and hypertension. Mean mass diameter was comparable among groups (4.4±20 cm vs. 3.5±16 cm, p=0.081), but patients with IVL had significantly higher RENAL scores (8.8±2.0 vs. 6.5±1.8, p<0.001) (Table 2). The median size of IVL was 1 cm (interquartile range 0.75–1). Regarding surgical technique, a higher proportion of cases had undergone MIPN compared to controls (89% vs. 70%, p=0.008) (Table 2). Hemostatic agents were less often used in patients who ultimately bled and required SAE compared to the control group (56% vs. 89%, p<0.001) (Table 2). On multivariable logistic regression analysis, only lower RENAL scores proved to be protective as they decrease the odds of requiring postoperative SAE (OR 1.72, p<0.001) (Table 3). Surgical technique, the number of resected masses, and the volume of intraoperative blood loss did not influence the need for SAE (p>0.05).

Mean preoperative GFR was comparable among groups (73.9 mL/min [19.9] vs. 70.1 mL/min [25.5], p>0.05). No significant differences in renal function were seen among the cases and controls at POD 90, 12, and 24 months after surgery (p>0.05) (Fig. 1). Cox proportional hazards regression analysis revealed that SAE, surgical technique, and minor differences in baseline preoperative eGFR do not significantly impact renal function after nephron sparing surgery (p>0.05) (Table 4).

### Table 1. Demographic and perioperative data of study and control groups

| Variable                        | SAE        | Control    | p-value |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Age (y)                         | 59.1±13.6  | 62.8±12    | 0.7     |
| Sex                             | Male       | 13 (72.2)  | 46 (63.9)| 0.6     |
|                                 | Female     | 5 (27.8)   | 26 (36.1)|         |
| Race                            | Caucasian  | 13 (72.2)  | 49 (68.1)| 0.3     |
|                                 | African American | 4 (22.2) | 20 (27.8) |         |
|                                 | Asian      | 1 (5.6)    | 0 (0.0)  |         |
|                                 | Other/unknown | 0 (0.0) | 3 (4.1)   |         |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)         | 30.6±8.7   | 30.4±8.5   | 0.9     |
| Preoperative eGFR (mL/min)      | Average    | 73.9±19.9  | 70.1±25.5| 0.2     |
|                                 | >90        | 4 (22.2)   | 14 (19.4)| 0.1     |
|                                 | 60–89      | 11 (61.1)  | 27 (37.5)|         |
|                                 | 30–59      | 2 (11.1)   | 29 (40.3)|         |
|                                 | 15–29      | 1 (5.6)    | 2 (2.8)  |         |
|                                 | <15        | 0 (0.0)    | 0 (0.0)  |         |
| Charlson comorbidity index      | Average    | 4.4±2.6    | 5.0±2.0  | 0.4     |
|                                 | ≥4         | 10 (55.6)  | 49 (68.1)| 0.3     |
| Patients with DM                | 4 (22.2)   | 20 (27.7)  | 0.8     |
| Patients with HTN               | 12 (66.7)  | 49 (68.1)  | 0.6     |
| Smoking                         | No         | 11 (61.1)  | 36 (50.0)| 0.5     |
|                                 | Current    | 1 (5.6)    | 12 (16.7)|         |
|                                 | Former     | 5 (27.8)   | 22 (30.6)|         |
|                                 | Unknown    | 1 (5.6)    | 2 (2.7)  |         |
| CL kidney present               | 17 (94.4)  | 68 (94.4)  | 0.9     |
| Any anticoagulation             | 9 (50.0)   | 25 (34.7)  | 0.2     |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number (%).

SAE, selective angioembolization; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension; CL, contralateral kidney.
DISCUSSION

Nephron sparing surgery (NSS) has emerged as the gold standard for cT1 kidney tumors due to the renal function preservation and subsequent advantages in cardiovascular survival. An unwanted complication of NSS/PN is the development of IVL, which have been reported to be around 1%–2% after open or minimally invasive nephron sparing surgery [14]. Such lesions can potentially be detrimental if they bleed and their treatment could compromise renal function. Over a 16-year period, 2.6% of patients at our institution had significant bleeding events following partial nephrectomy and were ultimately diagnosed with an IVL on imaging. Clinical presentation is dependent on IVL size and/or the severity of bleeding. Though some remain asymptomatic, most patients present within two weeks of surgery with gross hematuria, flank tenderness, hypotension, anemia, or clot retention [5,14,15].

The first reported IVL was a pseudoaneurysm reported in 1973 by Rezvani et al. [16]. Since then, numerous reports have been published about IVLs occurring after PN, with frequencies ranging from 0%–1% for OPN and 1%–2% for MIPN [14,17]. These lesions have become more common due to the increased adoption of PN for T1 cortical tumors, especially the surge in utility of minimally invasive surgery in the last decade. Therefore, it is imperative to understand IVLs and the effect of SAE on kidney function.

Although a small subset of IVLs following partial nephrectomy may resolve with observation alone, the majority require intervention given their instability and propensity for significant hemorrhage [5-7]. Some clinicians are concerned with worsening renal function following percutaneous SAE, the mainstay treatment of IVLs [18, 19]. In our series, no significant differences in serum creatinine and eGFR were seen acutely or at 12- and 24-months post-operative. These findings suggest that SAE may not significantly compromise renal function compared to surgery alone. In patients presenting with signs and symptoms of post-operative bleeding, this data supports the safety of proceeding with early SAE. There have been some published reports on the influence of SAE on kidney function. A similarly designed matched cohort previously published has shown that SAE is associated with a decline in kidney function [20].

### Table 2. Tumor and procedure-specific data of study and control groups

| Variable                        | SAE       | Control   | p-value |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Mass diameter (cm)              | 4.4±2     | 3.5±1.6   | 0.081   |
| Masses removed                  | 1.4±1     | 1.1±0.2   | 0.220   |
| Radius (cm)                     | 8 (44.4)  | 49 (68)   | 0.211   |
| <4                              | 9 (50)    | 21 (29.2) |         |
| 4–7                             | 1 (5.6)   | 2 (2.8)   |         |
| Total RENAL points              | 8.8±2     | 6.5±1.8   | <0.001  |
| Surgical approach               | Open surgery | 2 (11.1) | 22 (30.5) | 0.008 |
|                                 | Laparoscopic surgery | 4 (22.2) | 30 (41.7) |     |
|                                 | Robotic surgery | 12 (66.7) | 20 (27.8) |     |
| EBL (mL)                        | 110±79    | 199±185   | 0.075   |
| OR time (min)                   | 190±21    | 219±58    | 0.065   |
| Ischemia time (min)             | Warm     | 27.7±6.6  | 28.4±11 | 0.745 |
|                                 | Cold     | 21.5±4.9  | 21.7±8.4 | 0.835 |
| Hemostatic agent                | Yes      | 10 (56)   | 64 (89)  | <0.001 |
|                                 | No       | 8 (44)    | 8 (11)   |         |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number (%). SAE, selective angioembolization; EBL, estimated blood loss; OR, operating room.

### Table 3. Multivariable logistic regression analysis showing the odds of postoperative SAE based on RENAL score, EBL, number of mass removed, surgical approach, and ischemia time

| Variable                        | OR      | 95% CI for OR | p-value |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------------|---------|
| RENAL score                     | 1.72    | 1.28–2.29     | <0.001  |
| EBL (mL)                        | 0.99    | 0.98–1.00     | 0.122   |
| Masses removed (n)              | 2.04    | 0.58–7.14     | 0.235   |
| Surgical technique (LS vs. OS)  | 2.76    | 0.39–19.47    | 0.333   |
| Surgical technique (RS vs. OS)  | 2.27    | 0.34–14.89    | 0.425   |
| Ischemia time (min)             | 0.99    | 0.92–1.08     | 0.915   |

SAE, selective angioembolization; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; EBL, estimated blood loss; OS, open surgery; LS, laparoscopic surgery; RS, robotic surgery.
ference in GFR before and after SAE similar to our findings
[21,22]. However, we are the first to show that there has been
no renal impairment after a 2-year period of follow-up.

Many factors may contribute to the likelihood of a pa-
tient developing an IVL following partial nephrectomy. The
RENAL nephrometry score is currently used to stratify
renal masses by complexity to assist in surgical decision-
making by taking into account mass size and location [23]. In
our study population, those who ultimately required SAE for
IVLs had higher RENAL nephrometry scores, suggesting an
increased likelihood of IVL with increased mass complexity.
A potential explanation for this finding is the proximity of
these kidney tumors to larger intrarenal vessels with in-
crease in mass size and proximity to the kidney hilum. The
impact of RENAL on the incidence on postoperative IVL
and the need for SAE has shown contradictory evidence in
the literature, whereby some studies show no correlation [20-
22], while others show a strong association [24].

Additionally, surgical approach may impact the likeli-
hood of developing a post-operative IVL. Most studies report
higher incidence of IVL following MIPN compared to OPN
[14,17]. Some postulate this may be related to the use of
larger needles in MIPN causing greater trauma, looser pa-
renchymal approximation, and/or obscuring small vascular
lesions by the pneumoperitoneum [17,25]. Multivariable logis-
tic regression analysis in our series showed that there was
no difference in the incidence of IVL’s among both surgical
approaches. This could be due to increased experience with
MIPN in our center whereby surgical technique in resect-
ing the tumor and nephorrhaphy mimic the safety of OPN
in terms of small vessel injury. Though regression analysis
showed a possible protective effect of hemostatic agents on
SAE risk (OR 0.19, p=0.022), this cannot be generalized to a
wider and more heterogeneous population. This investiga-
tion was specifically designed to focus on patients with postoper-
ative bleeding and its impact on renal function, reason why
a selection bias was reported among the study limitations.

Our findings concur with those of Collins et al. [26], in
that SAE does not adversely affect GFR. In addition, our
study shows that the hypothetical additive nephrotoxic ef-
fect of SAE after PN did not, in fact, alter kidney function
for up to two years of follow-up. Iatrogenic infarction of
nephrons after blocking the feeding arterioles causes paren-
chymal death; however, with the preservation of the contra-
lateral kidney we found that SAE can be safely performed.

Limitations of our study include its retrospective nature,
small sample size, and relatively short follow-up interval.
Also, since the patients selected were from a referral cen-
ter, there could be a referral bias towards complex kidney
masses, which may have increased the incidence of IVL. A 1:4
matched control analysis is not optimal due to selection bias;
however, the rarity of IVL precludes the conduction of an

Table 4. Cox proportional hazards regression analysis investigating the
effect of several variables (SAE, baseline eGFR, and surgical technique)
on renal function over time

| Variable                      | RR  | 95% CI for OR Lower | Upper | p-value |
|-------------------------------|-----|---------------------|-------|---------|
| SAE                           | 2.05| 0.95                | 4.55  | 0.072   |
| Baseline eGFR                 | 0.99| 0.98                | 1.01  | 0.440   |
| Surgical technique (LS vs. OS)| 1.09| 0.47                | 2.51  | 0.815   |
| Surgical technique (RS vs. OS)| 2.32| 0.93                | 5.77  | 0.072   |

SAE, selective angioembolization; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtra-
tion rate; RR, risk ratio; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; OS, open
surgery; LS, laparoscopic surgery; RS, robotic surgery.

Fig. 1. (A) Change in estimated GFR as a function of time in the SAE vs. control group. (B) Percentage change in eGFR over time in the SAE vs. con-
trol group. GFR, glomerular filtration rate; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; SAE, selective angioembolization; POD, postoperative day;
Pre-op, pre-operative; Post-op, post-operative.
CONCLUSIONS

The use of SAE for the management of IVL following partial nephrectomy is a safe and efficient procedure with no significant impact on short or long-term renal function. Less complex renal tumors are indeed safer to resect as they decrease the need for postoperative SAE. Further larger-scale, randomized, prospective studies are necessary to validate our findings.
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