An otherwise healthy four-year-old boy was brought to the emergency department by his mother several hours after he had an unwitnessed fall at home. He had been playing with his friends, when they found him bleeding through his shirt. He had no relevant past medical or surgical history, medication use or allergies. His vital signs were within normal limits, although he complained of abdominal pain.

On physical examination, his abdomen was soft, nondistended and diffusely tender, with no rebound tenderness or involuntary guarding. A small 1-cm linear wound was found in the left upper quadrant of his abdomen, along the mid-clavicular line. A foreign body could not be felt despite careful examination, and penetration of the peritoneum could not be ruled out by local wound exploration. Faint bowel sounds were present, and he had an elevated leukocyte count (19.2 [normal 5–12] × 10^9 cells/L); a differential count was not performed. His hemoglobin level was 130 (normal 110–160) g/L, his international normalized ratio was 1.1 (normal 0.9–1.1), and his serum aspartate aminotransferase was normal at 33 (normal ≤ 40) U/L. His amylase (51 [normal 28–100] U/L) and lipase (17 [normal 13–60]) levels were also within normal limits.

Because of the boy’s abdominal pain and the emergency physician’s suspicion of a possible penetrating injury, computed tomography (CT) of his abdomen and pelvis was performed, showing a 3 × 1.5 cm² foreign body in the left upper quadrant that appeared to penetrate the bowel (Figure 1). There was no free fluid or air, and there were no injuries to the spleen, liver, adrenal glands, pancreas or kidneys. The patient was transferred to a tertiary-care pediatric trauma centre for operative management. The plan was to remove the foreign body via laparoscopy, and, if a problem were found that could not be repaired by minimally invasive surgery, a full laparotomy would be performed.

After endotracheal intubation, the boy’s abdominal cavity was examined by laparoscopy through a 12-mm infraumbilical port. A thin shard of glass (2 × 6 cm²) wrapped in omentum was visualized in the left upper quadrant (Figure 2). A second 5-mm port was placed through the wound site, and the foreign body was grasped and removed under direct visualization. Two additional 5-mm ports were inserted in the left and right lower quadrants to facilitate mobilization and inspection of the small and large bowels. Thorough examination of the peritoneal cavity did not reveal any injury to the stomach, small intestine, retroperitoneum, colon, liver or spleen. The ports were removed, his abdomen was deflated, and the fascia and skin were closed. The patient resumed eating and drinking that evening. He was discharged home the following day, and he was able to tolerate a full diet, with no problems with bowel movements, and minimal pain. He was seen in clinic 1 month after his operation and was back to his normal activities.

Discussion

The care of children with abdominal injuries has evolved over the last 30 years, from mandatory open exploration in almost all cases to nonoperative and minimally invasive strategies. The morbidity and mortality rates (20% and 5%, respect-
tively),\textsuperscript{2} the long-term risk of adhesive bowel obstruction (3%)\textsuperscript{2} and the high incidence of non-therapeutic or negative laparotomies (5%–53%)\textsuperscript{2} in children and adolescents reduced the use of laparotomy in trauma cases in this age group, and increased the use of options that did not involve open surgery.\textsuperscript{3} Imaging, especially CT imaging, has enhanced the diagnostic acumen of the initial evaluation.\textsuperscript{4} The use of modern laparoscopic techniques, first reported for diagnosing traumatic injuries in 1976\textsuperscript{5} and followed by many large-scale studies from major trauma centres,\textsuperscript{6} has also contributed substantially to the minimally invasive management of abdominal trauma.

**Penetrating injuries**

Because there are no guidelines for the management of penetrating trauma in children, treatment algorithms for this population are typically derived from adult literature.\textsuperscript{1,7} For penetrating injuries, the indications for laparotomy are usually restricted to patients with peritonitis, free intra-abdominal air, organ injury with hemodynamic instability\textsuperscript{1} or retained foreign bodies such as knives.\textsuperscript{7} According to the Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma Practice Management Guidelines, hemodynamically stable patients (adults and children) with stab wounds or tangential gunshot wounds without signs of peritonitis or diffuse abdominal tenderness do not routinely warrant laparotomy (Figure 3).\textsuperscript{3}

Computed tomography should be performed for patients selected for nonoperative care, because such scans can effectively screen for the presence of peritoneal penetration.\textsuperscript{1} Although the associated exposure to radiation warrants their careful use among children,\textsuperscript{4} CT scans are superior to other types of imaging, including ultrasonography, for identifying penetrating trauma injuries.\textsuperscript{1}

In our patient’s case, the CT scan identified the occult glass shard, which had not been detected by physical examination, thus providing diagnostic clarity for a patient with suspected penetrating abdominal injury and pain. The young age of the patient and the absence of witnesses likely contributed to his inability to explain how he had been injured.

Studies involving adults and children have shown laparoscopy to be an effective way to diagnose and treat penetrating injuries to the diaphragm, solid organs and hollow viscera; cur-

---

**Figure 1:** A computed tomogram of the abdomen and pelvis of a four-year-old boy showing a small, thin foreign object in the left upper quadrant (indicated by the white arrow) (A). The sagittal view (B) suggested a potential penetrating bowel injury by a foreign body, which was not detected by physical examination.

**Figure 2:** Laparoscopic examination of the abdominal cavity showed a long glass shard (white arrow; $2 \times 6$ cm$^2$) wrapped in omentum. No further injuries were identified, and the shard was successfully removed under direct visualization.
rent practice guidelines acknowledge its role in penetrating trauma (Figure 3). Our patient's case, in which an occult foreign body was removed by laparoscopy, shows the capabilities of a minimally invasive approach to treat injuries that would have typically required laparotomy. The glass shard was identified and successfully removed laparoscopically, avoiding full laparotomy and allowing the patient to be mobile and resume feeding earlier and to go home the day after surgery.

Nonpenetrating injuries
Laparoscopy is a sensitive and specific technique for evaluating and treating blunt or nonpenetrating abdominal injuries. Such injuries can be challenging to diagnose and manage in children because the injuries may be confounded by equivocal findings on physical examination, associated neurologic or extra-abdominal injuries, and the presence of progressing injuries such as hollow viscus or mesenteric injuries.

Computed tomography is the optimal test for evaluating solid organs within the abdomen and retroperitoneum, but it is not as reliable for identifying bowel injuries. Diagnostic peritoneal lavage, which involves the insertion of a catheter into the peritoneal cavity, can accurately identify intra-abdominal hemorrhage or bowel injury, but it is invasive and has a high rate of false-positive results. Focused abdominal sonography for trauma (FAST) can be per-

Figure 3: An algorithm for the management of penetrating abdominal trauma in children (adapted from Feliz and colleagues, with permission from Elsevier). *Patients with, for example, brain or spinal cord injury, intoxication or need for sedation. †Includes computed tomography or diagnostic peritoneal lavage.
formed noninvasively and quickly, but it is unreliable for identifying organ-specific injury and cannot replace CT scans.1,10

Although laparotomy is the treatment of choice for hemodynamically unstable patients with suspected intra-abdominal injuries, laparoscopy may be an effective treatment strategy for stable patients with intra-abdominal fluid but no solid organ injury detected by CT.1 In such patients, laparoscopy can identify and aid in treating hollow viscus injuries, while avoiding nontherapeutic laparotomies.1,5
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