The complete chloroplast DNA sequences of the charophycean green algae Staurastrum and Zygnema reveal that the chloroplast genome underwent extensive changes during the evolution of the Zygnematales
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Abstract

Background: The Streptophyta comprise all land plants and six monophyletic groups of charophycean green algae. Phylogenetic analyses of four genes from three cellular compartments support the following branching order for these algal lineages: Mesostigmatales, Chlorokybales, Klebsormidiales, Zygnematales, Coleochaetales and Charales, with the last lineage being sister to land plants. Comparative analyses of the Mesostigma viride (Mesostigmatales) and land plant chloroplast genome sequences revealed that this genome experienced many gene losses, intron insertions and gene rearrangements during the evolution of charophyceans. On the other hand, the chloroplast genome of Chaetosphaeridium globosum (Coleochaetales) is highly similar to its land plant counterparts in terms of gene content, intron composition and gene order, indicating that most of the features characteristic of land plant chloroplast DNA (cpDNA) were acquired from charophycean green algae. To gain further insight into when the highly conservative pattern displayed by land plant cpDNAs originated in the Streptophyta, we have determined the cpDNA sequences of the distantly related zygnematalean algae Staurastrum punctulatum and Zygnema circumcarinatum.

Results: The 157,089 bp Staurastrum and 165,372 bp Zygnema cpDNAs encode 121 and 125 genes, respectively. Although both cpDNAs lack an rRNA-encoding inverted repeat (IR), they are substantially larger than Chaetosphaeridium and land plant cpDNAs. This increased size is explained by the expansion of intergenic spacers and introns. The Staurastrum and Zygnema genomes differ extensively from one another and from their streptophyte counterparts at the level of gene order, with the Staurastrum genome more closely resembling its land plant counterparts than does Zygnema cpDNA. Many intergenic regions in Zygnema cpDNA harbor tandem repeats. The introns in both Staurastrum (8 introns) and Zygnema (13 introns) cpDNAs represent subsets of those found in land plant cpDNAs. They represent 16 distinct insertion sites, only five of which are shared by the two zygnematalean genomes. Three of these insertions sites have not been identified in Chaetosphaeridium cpDNA.

Conclusion: The chloroplast genome experienced substantial changes in overall structure, gene order, and intron content during the evolution of the Zygnematales. Most of the features considered earlier as typical of land plant cpDNAs probably originated before the emergence of the Zygnematales and Coleochaetales.
Background

About 450 million years ago, green algae belonging to the class Charophyceae emerged from their aquatic habitat to colonize the land [1-3]. This important event in the history of life gave rise to all the land plant species that make up the flora of our planet. The few thousand species of charophycean green algae that are alive today exhibit great variability in cellular organization and reproduction [4]. With the land plants, they form the green plant lineage Streptophyta [5], whereas all other green algae (more than 10,000 species), with perhaps the exception of Mesostigma viride, belong to the sister lineage Chlorophyta [4]. Five monophyletic groups of charophycean green algae have been recognized: the Chlorokybales, Klebsormidiales, Zygmenatales, Coleochaetales and Charales [6], given here in order of increasing cellular complexity. Mesostigma may represent an additional lineage of the Charophyceae, the Mesostigmatales, as indicated by phylogenetic studies that placed this unicellular green alga at the base of the Streptophyta [7-10]. This lineage, however, remains controversial, considering that separate analyses based on a large number of chloroplast- or mitochondrial-encoded proteins [11-13] and on the chloroplast small and large subunit rRNA genes [14] identified Mesostigma before the divergence of the Chlorophyta and Streptophyta.

On the basis of morphological characters alone, the two charophycean groups that exhibit the greatest cellular complexity, i.e. the Charales and Coleochaetales, have been proposed to be the closest relatives of land plants [15,16]. Recent analyses of the combined sequences of four genes from the nucleus (small subunit rRNA gene), chloroplast (atpB and rbcL) and mitochondria (nad5) of 25 charophycean green algae and eight green plants revealed that the Charales and land plants form a highly supported clade; however, moderate bootstrap support was observed for the positions of the other charophycean groups [8]. The best trees inferred by Bayesian and maximum likelihood methods in this four-gene analysis support an evolutionary trend toward increasing cellular complexity [17]. In contrast, all phylogenies of charophycean green algae previously inferred from a smaller number of genes failed to provide any conclusive results concerning the branching order of the charophycean green algae and their relationships with land plants [15,16].

We have recently undertaken the sequencing of complete chloroplast genomes from representatives of the various charophycean lineages in order to elucidate the branching order of these lineages and also to understand the evolution of chloroplast DNA (cpDNA) within the Streptophyta. We have reported thus far the cpDNA sequences of Mesostigma (Mesostigmatales) [11] and Chaetosphaeridium globosum (Coleochaetales) [18]. Comparative analyses of the Mesostigma cpDNA sequence (136 genes, no introns) with its land plant counterparts (110–120 genes, about 20 introns) revealed that the chloroplast genome underwent substantial changes in its architecture during the evolution of streptophytes (namely gene losses, intron insertions and scrambling of gene order). At the levels of gene content (125 genes), intron composition (18 introns) and gene order, Chaetosphaeridium cpDNA is remarkably similar to land plant cpDNAs, implying that most of the features characteristic of land plant lineages were acquired from charophycean green algae. Like the cpDNAs of many chlorophytes, those of Mesostigma, Chaetosphaeridium and most land plant species exhibit a quadripartite structure that is characterized by the presence of two copies of a rDNA-containing inverted repeat (IR) separated by large and small single-copy regions. All the genes they have in common, with a few exceptions, reside in corresponding genomic regions.

In this study, we report the complete cpDNA sequences of two members of the Zygmenatales that belong to distinct lineages, Staurastrum punctulatum and Zygmena circumcari- natum. Although the chloroplast genomes of these charophycean green algae closely resemble their Chaetosphaeridium and bryophyte counterparts at the primary sequence and gene content levels, they feature substantial differences at the levels of structure, gene order and intron content. Like the cpDNA of the zygnematalean alga Spirogyra maxima [19], both Staurastrum and Zygmena cpDNAs lack a large IR. Clearly, loss of the IR appears to be a major event that shaped the architecture of the chloroplast genome in the Zygmenatales, an event that apparently occurred early during the evolution of this group of charophycean green algae.

Results

Selection of taxa

The Zygmenatales as circumscribed by Bold and Wynne [20] comprise the green algae whose mode of sexual reproduction is conjugation. This is the most important charophycean lineage in terms of diversity and number of species (~50 genera and ~6,000 species) [16]. Classification schemes based on cell wall organization have recognized two groups of conjugating green algae: first, the unicellular or multicellular green algae with an ornamented and segmented cell wall, also called placoderm desmids and often treated as members of the order Desmidiales, and second, the green algae that bear a smooth cell wall, which are often classified separately in the Zygmenatales [21]. Among the latter group are found filamentous forms and the saccoderm desmids that consist either of unicells or loosely joined cells. Phylogenies inferred using rbcL [21] or the combined rbcL and nuclear small subunit rRNA genes [22] support the monophyly of placoderm desmids and place the filamentous and
saccoderm desmids together in a distinct monophyletic group. For our study, we have selected a representative of each of these two monophyletic groups: Staurastrum is a unicellular, placoderm desmid, whereas Zygnema is a filamentous green alga with a non-ornamented cell wall.

**General features**

The 157,089-bp *Staurastrum* [GenBank:AY958085] and 165,372-bp *Zygnema* [GenBank:AY958086] cpDNAs map as circular molecules containing 121 and 125 genes, respectively (Fig. 1). Both genomes lack a rDNA-containing IR and no remnant of such a sequence could be detected during our analysis of repeated elements. All genes are present in single copy, with the exception of the duplicated *Zygnema trnE(uuc)* gene, the sequences of which differ at two positions. Note that the *matK* gene was not included in the total number of genes calculated for *Zygnema* cpDNA, because this gene occurs as an intron ORF in all other streptophytes where it has been identified. Aside from the absence of the IR, the most prominent differences displayed by the two saccoderm cpDNAs relative to their counterparts in *Chaetosphaeridium* [18] and land plants (here represented by the bryophyte *Marchantia polymorpha* [23]) are their larger size (taking into consideration the absence of the IR from these genomes) and their smaller number of *cis*-spliced group II introns (Table 1). The larger size of saccoderm cpDNAs is mainly explained by the expansion of intergenic spacers (Table 2). The latter sequences represent 42% of the genome in both *Staurastrum* and *Zygnema* cpDNAs compared to about 20% in *Chaetosphaeridium* and land plant cpDNAs. Introns have also expanded in size in both saccoderm cpDNAs compared to their *Chaetosphaeridium* and land plant homologues (Table 2).

**Gene content**

Table 3 compares the gene contents of *Staurastrum*, *Zyg- nema*, *Chaetosphaeridium* and *Marchantia* cpDNAs. The two saccoderm cpDNAs share 120 genes, 116 of which are present in both *Chaetosphaeridium* and *Marchan- tia* cpDNAs. Five genes in *Zygnema* cpDNA are missing from *Staurastrum* cpDNA; they encode the trnSer(CGA), trnC(GCA), tRNA*Cys* and *TrnV*(uac)-*trnMe*(cau)-*ndhC*-ndhK-ndhf (cluster 15), *trnH*(gug)-fisf-*trnD*(guc) (in *Staurastrum* only), and *trnE*(uuc)-*cytA*-trnT*(ggu) (in *Zygnema* only).

Of the two saccoderm cpDNAs, that showing the most similar gene arrangement with its *Chaetosphaeridium* and land plant counterparts is *Staurastrum* cpDNA (Table 4). In both *Staurastrum* and *Zygnema* cpDNAs, the gene organization more closely resembles that of *Marchantia* than that of *Chaetosphaeridium* (Table 4). *Staurastrum* cpDNA shares with its *Marchantia* counterpart 22 blocks of colinear sequences that contain a total of 101 genes, whereas *Zygnema* cpDNA shares 20 blocks featuring 81 genes (Fig. 1). Close inspection of these blocks relative to those conserved between *Mesostigma* and *Marchantia* cpDNAs [11] reveals that 13 ancestral gene clusters, including those containing the rDNA, *atpA*, *psbB* and *rpoB* operons, were fragmented at 27 sites during the evolution of the *Zygnemates* (Fig. 2). Eleven of these rearrangement breakpoints are common to the two green algal cpDNAs, whereas 2 and 14 breakpoints are unique to *Staurastrum* and *Zygnema* cpDNAs, respectively. Assuming that these unique rearrangement breakpoints appeared after the divergence of the two saccoderm species, we infer that the chloroplast genome of the common ancestor of *Stau- strum* and *Zygnema* shared a number of derived gene clusters with *Chaetosphaeridium* and land plants. For example, the cluster of 29 genes extending from *petL* to *trnI*(cau) in *Marchantia* cpDNA and that of 13 genes delimited by *rps12b* and *atpL* were likely present in the common ancestor of *Staurastrum* and *Zygnema*. Only four gene clusters are shared specifically between saccoderm and *Marchantia* cpDNAs: *rps4-trnS*(gga)-*ycf3* (cluster 9 in Fig. 1), *atpB-atpE-trnV*(uac)-*trnMe*(cau)-*ndhC*-ndhK-ndhf (cluster 15), *trnH*(gug)-fisf-*trnD*(guc) (in *Staurastrum* only), and *trnE*(uuc)-*cytA*-trnT*(ggu) (in *Zygnema* only).
Figure 1
Gene maps of *Staurastrum* and *Zygnema* cpDNAs. Genes (filled boxes) shown on the outside of each map are transcribed in a clockwise direction, whereas those on the inside of each map are transcribed counterclockwise. Genes absent from *Marchantia* cpDNA are represented in beige. Gene clusters shared with *Marchantia* cpDNA [GenBank:NC_001319] are shown as alternating series of green and red boxes. Genes present in *Marchantia* cpDNA but located outside conserved clusters are shown in grey. Gene clusters shared by the two zygnematalean cpDNAs are represented by labelled bars outside each map. Genes containing introns (open boxes) are denoted by asterisks. Dispersed repeat regions in *Zygnema* cpDNA that contain short tandem repeats are denoted by symbols. The repeat units in these regions are as follows: filled squares, TAGAA; open squares, TTCTA; filled circles, GTAT; open circles, ATAC; filled triangles, CTCA. Note that filled and open symbols with the same geometric shape represent the repeat regions of which the sequences are in inverted orientation relative to one another. The intron sequences bordering the rps12 exons (rps12a and rps12b) are spliced in trans at the RNA level. tRNA genes are indicated by the one-letter amino acid code (Me, elongator methionine; Mf, initiator methionine) followed by the anticodon in parentheses. The ORFs unique to *Staurastrum* or *Zygnema* cpDNA are not indicated (see [GenBank:AY958085] and [GenBank:AY958086] for more details).
The higher degree of ancestral characters displayed by Staurastrum cpDNA compared to its Zygnema homologue at the gene organizational level is also evident when one examines the genomic region in which each gene locus would be expected to map if the IR had been retained (Fig. 3). In Staurastrum cpDNA, the 15 genes predicted to have been present in the small single-copy region occupy a discrete region just beside five of the eight genes that usually make up the IR; in Zygnema cpDNA, however, the genes are more widely dispersed in the genome.

Intron composition
As in Chaetosphaeridium cpDNA, the introns in Staurastrum and Zygnema cpDNAs represent subsets of those found in land plant cpDNAs (Fig. 4). Both zygnematalean cpDNAs share with their Chaetosphaeridium and land plant counterparts one group I intron in trnL(uaa), two cis-spliced
group II introns in *rpl16* and *trnG(ucc)*, and one transspliced group II intron in *rps12*. Only three group II introns in *Staurastrum* and/or *Zygnema* cpDNAs (in *atpF*, *rps12* at site 346 and *ycf3*) have no homologues in *Chaetosphaeridium* cpDNA. Evidence for a charophycean green algal origin of land plant group II introns is lacking for only the *clpP* intron at site 363. The *Staurastrum* transspliced *rps12* intron resembles its *Chaetosphaeridium* homologue in exhibiting a large ORF in domain IV. The putative protein of 404 amino acids encoded by the *Staurastrum* ORF is related to reverse transcriptases, whereas the smaller protein (247 amino acids) specified by the *Chaetosphaeridium* ORF lacks similarity with such proteins.

Like its *Chaetosphaeridium* and land plant counterparts, the cis-spliced group II intron in *Staurastrum trnK(uuu)* encodes the maturase MatK. As mentioned earlier, a free-standing *matK* gene was identified in *Zygnema* cpDNA even though an intron is absent from *trnK(uuu)* in this charophycean green alga. Close inspection of the regions immediately flanking the *Zygnema matK* gene for the presence of sequences conserved in domains V and VI of group II introns failed to reveal any evidence that this gene had once been an integral part of a group II intron. The *Zygnema matK* is most probably a functional gene because its predicted protein features the vast majority of the conserved amino acids that the *trnK* intron-encoded MatK of *Staurastrum* shares with its *Chaetosphaeridium*, *Chara*, *Nitella* and land plant homologues (Fig. 5).

### Repeated sequences

Comparison of each zygnematalean cpDNA sequence against itself using PipMaker [24] indicated the presence of repeats in many intergenic regions of *Zygnema* cpDNA and the virtual absence of such sequences from *Staurastrum* cpDNA. Analysis of the *Zygnema* genome sequence with REPuter [25] revealed that the great majority of the repeat regions larger than 30 bp are composed of short tandem repeats. Each of the 35 repeat regions identified consists of 4 to 16 bp units that are repeated in tandem 4 to 50 times (Table 5). Most regions (29/35) feature repeat
units of 4 or 5 bp, and the regions with GTAT, ATAC, TAGAA, TTCTA and CITTA units occur at more than one location on the chloroplast genome (Fig. 1). All three regions carrying the CITTA units feature sequences that are in direct orientation relative to one another; however, the 13 regions with the GTAT and complementary ATAC units and the four regions with the TAGAA and complementary TTCTA units form a population of dispersed repeats that are in direct or inverted orientation relative to one another. Eighty percent of the repeat regions (28/35) reside outside the blocks of sequences that are colinear with \textit{Staurastrum} cpDNA. We estimate that at least 2,245 bp of \textit{Zygnema} cpDNA, i.e. about 60% of the increased size of the \textit{Zygnema} intergenic regions compared to their \textit{Staurastrum} homologues, are accounted for by short tandem repeats.

Only two loci of the \textit{Staurastrum} chloroplast genome contain short tandem repeats: a region composed of four units of the GAATAAATA sequence in the \textit{infA}-rpl36 spacer and a region containing nine units of the GTATTT sequence in the \textit{rps16-odpB} spacer. Aside from two copies of 45-bp sequence (in the \textit{atpF-atpH} and \textit{atpH-rps14} spacers) that are in direct orientation, no dispersed repeats larger than 30 bp were detected in \textit{Staurastrum} cpDNA.

**Discussion**

Although \textit{Staurastrum} and \textit{Zygnema} cpDNAs bear high similarity in primary sequence and gene content to their \textit{Chaetosphaeridium} and land plant counterparts, they differ substantially from one another and from the latter genomes in overall structure, gene order and intron content. From our comparative analysis of streptophyte cpDNAs, we infer that the chloroplast genome of the last common ancestor of \textit{Staurastrum} and \textit{Zygnema} probably lacked a large IR encoding the rRNA genes, had a low gene density, and more closely resembled \textit{Chaetosphaeridium} and land plant cpDNAs at the gene organizational and intron levels than do \textit{Zygnema} and \textit{Staurastrum} cpDNAs. At least 16 of the 22 intron positions commonly found in land plant cpDNAs, including three sites that have not
Figure 3
Compared patterns of gene partitioning in zygnematalean and Marchantia cpDNAs. Each gene in Staurastrum and Zygnema cpDNAs is colour-coded according to the region of Marchantia cpDNA [GenBank:NC_001319] carrying its homologue; cyan, large single-copy region; magenta, small single-copy region; and yellow, IR. Genes shown in grey are absent from Marchantia cpDNA.
been identified in *Chaetosphaeridium*, were probably present in the common ancestor of *Staurastrum* and *Zygnema*.

Considering the absence of an rDNA-encoding IR region in both *Staurastrum* and *Zygnema* cpDNAs, it is not surprising that these genomes are considerably rearranged relative to their coleochaetalean and land plants counterparts that have retained the quadripartite structure. All green plant cpDNAs that have lost the IR tend to be highly scrambled in gene order [26,27]. It has been hypothesized that the loss of the IR enhances opportunities for intramolecular recombination between small dispersed repeats [28]. In agreement with the idea that there is a direct link between the frequency of intramolecular recombination events and the abundance of small dispersed repeats [28], we identified more rearrangements in the repeat-rich genome of *Zygnema* than in the repeat-poor genome of *Staurastrum*. As in the cpDNAs of the nonphotosynthetic, parasitic flowering plant *Epifagus virginiana* [29] and the evening primrose *Oenothera* [30], the repeated sequences in *Zygnema* cpDNA consist essentially of tandem repeats that probably arose by replication slippage.

A single event of IR loss likely accounts for the absence of a quadripartite structure from both *Staurastrum* and *Zygnema* cpDNAs. This hypothesis is more parsimonious than the alternative scenario involving two independent losses, and is consistent with previous evidence that the cpDNA of *Spirogyra* (a distant relative of *Zygnema*) has no IR [19]. It is also supported by our finding that *Staurastrum* and *Zygnema* cpDNAs share 11 rearrangement breakpoints within ancestral gene clusters. Given the close connection between IR loss and gene rearrangements, several of these shared breakpoints might have appeared following the loss of the IR in the lineage leading to the last common ancestor of *Staurastrum* and *Zygnema*. Considering that this ancestor occupies a basal position in the tree describing the relationships among zygnematalean green algae [21,22], then most, if not all, of the algae belonging to the Zygnematales are expected to lack an IR in their chloroplast genome.

As introns appear to be generally stable in land plant cpDNAs [28], the important difference in intron content displayed by *Staurastrum* and *Zygnema* cpDNAs is unexpected. The two zygnematalean cpDNAs share only five of the 16 intron insertion sites they exhibit in total. *Staurastrum* cpDNA lacks seven of the 13 introns that are present in *Zygnema* cpDNA, whereas the latter cpDNA lacks five of the eight introns found in the former genome. The intron distributions in these cpDNAs are best explained by assuming that all 16 insertion sites were populated with introns in the common ancestor of *Staurastrum* and *Zygnema* and that subsequently, several

---

**Figure 4**

Distributions of introns in streptophyte cpDNAs. Circles denote the presence of group I introns, and squares denote the presence of group II introns. Divided squares represent trans-spliced group II introns. Open symbols denote the absence of intron ORFs, whereas filled symbols denote their presence. Intron insertion sites in protein-coding and rRNA genes are given relative to the corresponding genes in *Mesostigma* cpDNA; the insertion site in *rlt* is given relative to the *Escherichia coli* 23S rRNA. For each insertion site, the position corresponding to the nucleotide immediately preceding the intron is reported. Note that *rpl16* is lacking in *Marchantia* cpDNA and that the *rlt* intron at position 2593 is absent from all completely sequenced land plant cpDNAs, with the exception of *Anthoceros* cpDNA. The intron data were taken from the following accession numbers: *Staurastrum*, [GenBank:AY958085]; *Zygnema*, [GenBank:AY958086]; *Chaetosphaeridium*, [GenBank:NC_004115]; *Marchantia*, [GenBank:NC_001319]; and *Anthoceros formosae* [GenBank:NC_004543].
introns were specifically lost in each of the lineages leading to these green algae. Obviously, we cannot exclude the possibility that chloroplast introns occupying common insertion sites were lost independently in the *Staurastrum* and *Zygnema* lineages; thus, the predicted number of introns in the common ancestor of these algae may represent a minimal estimate. Given that intron losses are thought to result from insertions, through homologous recombination, of intron-less cDNA copies generated by reverse transcription [31], the frequency of sequence conservation among streptophyte *MatK* proteins. The *MatK* sequences of selected green algae and land plants were aligned with T-COFFEE [40] and arranged into two separate groups. Identical amino acids in all the sequences examined are displayed on a black background, whereas identical amino acids in all the green algal or land plant sequences are shown on a dark grey background. In each group, sets of residues sharing eight of the 10 features in the property matrix of AMAS [41] are shown on a light grey background. The accession numbers for the *MatK* sequences analyzed are as follows: *Zygnema*, [GenBank:AY958086]; *Staurastrum*, [GenBank:AY958085]; *Chaetosphaeridium*, [GenBank:NC_004115]; *Chara connivens*, [GenBank:AY170442]; *Nitella opaca*, [GenBank:AY170449]; *Marchantia*, [GenBank:NC_001319]; and *Physcomitrella patens* [GenBank:NC_005087].
homologous recombination events or the level of reverse transcriptase activity might be higher in the chloroplasts of conjugating green algae than in land plant chloroplasts. In this respect, it is interesting to note that the \textit{Staurastrum} \textit{trans}-spliced \textit{rps12} intron specifies a reverse transcriptase and is the only known streptophyte chloroplast intron encoding such an activity.

Our finding that \textit{matK} is free-standing in \textit{Zygnema} cpDNA together with the absence of the \textit{trnK(\textit{uuu})} intron in which it usually resides strongly suggests that its putative maturase product is essential for the splicing of group II introns other than the \textit{trnK(\textit{uuu})} intron. Circumstantial evidence that MatK functions in splicing of multiple introns has previously been reported for land plant chloroplasts. The \textit{matK} gene is located within the group II intron of \textit{trnK(\textit{uuu})} in all photosynthetic land plants, but occurs as a free-standing gene in \textit{Epifagus} cpDNA [29]. \textit{In vivo} splicing analyses of the complete set of chloroplast group II introns in land plant mutants lacking chloroplast ribosomes disclosed specific splicing defects involving mainly group IIA introns (in \textit{atpF}, \textit{rpl2}, \textit{rps12}, \textit{trnA}, \textit{trnl}, \textit{trnk}), thus implying that cpDNA-encoded protein(s) act as splicing factors [32-35]. It has been proposed that MatK evolved from a \textit{trnK(\textit{uuu})} intron-specific maturase to a more versatile maturase that assists the splicing of most or all group IIA introns of land plants [32-35].

\textbf{Conclusion}

Our structural analyses of the \textit{Staurastrum} and \textit{Zygnema} chloroplast genomes have revealed that many of the features considered earlier as typical of land plant cpDNAs

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{\textit{Zygnema} cpDNA regions containing tandem repeats}
\begin{tabular}{ccc}
\hline
Repeat region & Repeat unit & Number of units \\
\hline
3276 – 3360 & CTTAA & 17  \\
11203 – 11242 & GTAT & 10  \\
11535 – 11602 & GTAT & 17  \\
14272 – 14319 & CTTA & 12  \\
15765 – 15807 & AGAAAG & 7  \\
17944 – 18047 & GTAT & 26  \\
18110 – 18179 & TAGAA & 14  \\
18184 – 18263 & CTTTT & 16  \\
24490 – 24565 & ATAC & 19  \\
30556 – 30597 & AAGTAC & 7  \\
32429 – 32533 & GTAAA & 21  \\
34907 – 35018 & ATAC & 28  \\
49994 – 50038 & TAGAA & 9  \\
51521 – 51580 & GTAT & 15  \\
51618 – 51817 & CAAA & 50  \\
55388 – 55442 & CTTTA & 11  \\
59550 – 59613 & TGTGGTGTATATTTA & 4  \\
60129 – 60183 & TTCTA & 11  \\
68724 – 68763 & TTCT & 10  \\
73516 – 73571 & CTTA & 14  \\
73876 – 73915 & ATAC & 10  \\
73919 – 73954 & GTAT & 9  \\
88870 – 88925 & ATAC & 13  \\
90538 – 90581 & GAAT & 11  \\
92651 – 92730 & TATATTACAT & 8  \\
102484 – 102531 & TTTTAAAT & 6  \\
103132 – 103183 & AATT & 13  \\
103629 – 103676 & ATAC & 12  \\
104932 – 105090 & GTAT & 33  \\
106702 – 106737 & GTAT & 9  \\
132449 – 132496 & GTAT & 12  \\
134893 – 134932 & CTTA & 10  \\
140237 – 140308 & TTCAATAGATT & 6  \\
143451 – 143485 & TAATA & 7  \\
161662 – 161696 & TTCTA & 7  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{a} Only the repeat regions larger than 30 bp are indicated; their coordinates refer to [GenBank:AY958086].
\textsuperscript{b} The number of units was estimated by allowing one substitution per repeat unit.
originated before the emergence of the Coleochaetales and Zygnematales. While the chloroplast genome appears to have maintained relatively stable in the coleochaetalean lineage, it has lost the IR and has undergone many changes in gene order and intron content during the evolution of the Zygnematales.

Methods

DNA isolation and cloning

Chloroplast DNA fractions from Staurastrum punctatum de Brébisson (SAG 679-1) and Zygnema circumcarinatum Czurda (SAG 698-1a) were obtained by isopycnic centrifugation of total cellular DNAs in CsCl-bisbenzimide gradients [36]. A random clone library was prepared from each algal cpDNA fraction as follows. DNA was sheared by nebulization and 1,500–2,000-bp fragments were recovered by electrophoresis after agarose gel electrophoresis. These fragments were treated with E. coli Klenow fragment and T7 DNA polymerase and cloned into the SmaI site of Bluescript II KS+ or into ligation-ready pSMART-HCKan (Lucigen Corporation, Middleton). After filter hybridization of the clones with the original DNA used for cloning as a probe, DNA templates from positive clones were prepared with the QIAprep 96 Miniprep kit (Qiagen Inc., Canada).

Sequence analyses

Nucleotide sequences were determined with the PRISM BigDye terminator cycle sequencing ready reaction kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), the PRISM dGTP BigDye terminator ready reaction kit (Applied Biosystems), and the DYEnamic ET terminator cycle sequencing kit (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Canada) on ABI model 373 or 377 DNA sequencers (Applied Biosystems), using T3 and T7 primers as well as oligonucleotides complementary to internal regions of the plastid DNA inserts. Genomic regions not represented in the clones analyzed were sequenced from PCR-amplified fragments. Sequences were assembled using SEQUENCHER 4.1.1 (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, MI) and analyzed using the Genetics Computer Group (Madison, WI) software (version 10.3) package. Protein-coding and rRNA genes were identified by BLAST searches [37] of the nonredundant database at the National Center for Biotechnology Information, and tRNA genes were found using tRNAscan-SE [38]. Repeated sequence elements were searched using REPuter [25]. The GRIMM web server [39] was used to infer the number of gene permutations by inversions. Genes within copy A of the Chaetosphaeridium and Marchantia IRs were excluded in these gene order analyses. Pairwise comparisons of genome sequences were carried out using PipMaker [24].

Abbreviations

cpDNA, chloroplast DNA; IR, inverted repeat; ORF, open reading frame; rRNA, ribosomal RNA.
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