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Abstract

The present study was conducted to assess the impact of glass industry effluent on soil characteristics in the province of Asahi India glass Ltd. located in the Haridwar region, India. The results showed that the values of TS (1620.5 mg L⁻¹), TDS (1435.25 mg L⁻¹), TSS (364.25 mg L⁻¹), EC (1.34 dS m⁻¹), BOD (1447.75 mg L⁻¹), COD (3029.00 mg L⁻¹), Cl⁻ (446.00 mg L⁻¹), Ca²⁺ (164.47 mg L⁻¹), Cr (7.64 mg L⁻¹), Cu (2.06 mg L⁻¹), Pb (2.07 mg L⁻¹) and Zn (0.44 ± 0.08 mg L⁻¹) in the glass industry effluent were found beyond the prescribed limit of BIS standards. The glass industry effluent disposal decreased the moisture content, WHC and increased pH, EC, Cl⁻, OC, Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, TKN, PO₄³⁻, SO₄²⁻, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb and Zn of the soil in comparison to control soil. The contamination factor of heavy metals in the soil was recorded in the order of Pb > Cd > Fe > Zn > Cu after disposal of glass industry effluent. Among different heavy metals Pb (11.31) showed maximum contamination whereas Cu (2.23) showed minimum contamination. Therefore, the results indicated that the effluent of glass industry was rich in certain nutrients as well as heavy metals. Consequently, disposal of glass industry effluent significantly altered the soil quality and affected the natural composition of the soil.
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Introduction

Industrialization has become an important factor to the development of a country’s economy, through the establishment of plants and factories [Megharaj, M., et al, 2003, Samuel, S., et al.2011]. However, the waste or by-products discharged from them are severely disastrous to the environment consists various kind of contaminant which contaminate the surface water, ground water and soil [Jain, C., et al 2005, Janardhana Raju, N., et al.2009, Kumar, V., et al.2011, Kumar, V., et al.2012c]. There are a number of reasons the waste are not safely treated. One of the reasons is mainly due to the lacking of highly efficient and economic treatment technology [Kumar, V., et al.2014a]. The focus of this chapter is to give a detail illustration at the effect of industrial discharge and on the environment and human health. Some corrective actions shall also be illustrated in the later part of this chapter; to overcome the contamination of industrial discharge [Ahmad, M., et al 2008, Baskaran, L., et al 2009, Kumar, V., et al.2014d] Aquatic ecosystems are still suffering from the large amount of hazardous compounds introduced into them by man. The presence of heavy metals in aquatic environment may render it unsuitable for some fauna and flora, and the potential risk of bioaccumulation along the food chain cannot be over emphasized [Zafar, S., et al 2007, Kumar, V., et al 2012a, Kumar, V., et al.2012b, Kumar, V., et al. 2015]. Take for instance, many industries discharge raw, untreated and highly toxic wastes (effluents) into open gutters, drains, streams, ponds, canals, river, etc. Effects of this act have almost rendered many of our surface water system unsafe for domestic, agricultural, recreational and other beneficial uses, destroy life, poison the natural ecosystems and even threat to human life. Little wonder that water-related
disease such as diarrhoea, cholera, typhoid fever, hepatitis, dysentery, guinea worm, poliomelitis, skin diseases are rampant in the country, both in the urban and rural communities [Vijayaragavan, M., et al 2011]. Though, most importantly children, who normally have low immunity, and rural populace with poor healthcare facilities, are particularly vulnerable victims of these epidemics [Zafar, S., et al 2007, Srinivasa, Gowd, S., et al 2000, Tandi, N.K., et al 2004]. Pollution may be defined as undesirable changes in physical chemical and biological characteristics of soil, which are harmful for all living organisms. Environmental pollution is an emerging threat and of great concern in today’s context pertaining to its effect on the ecosystem. Water pollution is one of the greatest concerns now a day. In recent years, considerable attention has been paid to industrial wastes discharged to land and surface water. Industrial effluent often contains various toxic metals, harmful dissolved gases, and several inorganic and organic compounds [Perfus Barbeoch, L., et al 2002, Purushotham, D., et al 2011].

The contamination of metals is a major environmental problem and especially in the aquatic environment [Kumar, V., et al 2014a, Kumar, V., et al 2014b, Kumar, V., et al 2014c]. Some metals are potentially toxic or carcinogenic even at very low concentration and are thus, hazardous to human if they enter the food chain [Biswas, A.K., et al 2009, Hati, K.M., et al 2007, Kumar, V., et al 2013b, Kumar, V., et al 2013c]. Metals are usually dissolved into the aquatic system through natural or anthropogenic sources. Metal ions are distributed thoroughly during their transport in different compartments of the aquatic ecosystems, in biotic or abiotic compartment such as fishes, water, sediment, plant. Metals remain in contaminated sediments may accumulate in microorganisms which in return entering into the food chain and eventually affect human well being [Shakeri, A., et al 2009, Kumar, V., et al 2013a, Kumar, V. et al 2014b, Baruah, B.K., et al 1998, Kumar, V., et al 2014c, Kumar, V., et al 2014].

The glass industry includes a variety of manufacturing facilities and products. It produces glass objects from a wide range of raw materials among which the most important ones are silica sand, glass cullet, and intermediate / modifying materials such as soda ash, limestone, dolomite, and feldspar [Werner, V., et al 1994]. The most significant water use occurs during cooling and cullet cleaning. As a result liquid effluents discharged from glass manufacture industries. Discharges may be affected by glass solids, some soluble glass-making materials (e.g. sodium sulfate), some organic compounds caused by lubricant oil used in the cutting process, and treatment chemicals (e.g. dissolved salts and water treatment chemicals) for the cooling-water system [Werner, V., et al 1994]. Metal emission is an important issue in some sub-sectors (e.g. lead crystal and frits production); however, this problem is present in all other glass manufacturing sectors to a lesser degree. Heavy metals may be present as minor impurities in some raw materials, in cullet, and in fuels. Lead and cadmium are used in fluxes and coloring agents in the frit industry. Particulates from lead crystal manufacture may have a lead content of 20 – 60 percent. Special glass manufactures may release arsenic, antimony, and selenium (the coloring agent in bronze glass or decolouring agent in some clear glasses). In the recent past various studies has been made on the effects of different industrial effluent on soil characteristics [Jain, C., et al 2005, Zafar, S., et al 2007, Tandi, N.K., et al 2004, Hati, K.M., et al 2007, Kumar, V., et al 2004]. But there is scanty of scientific reports of impact of glass industry effluent on soil properties [Shakeri, A., et al 2009, Kumar, V., et al 2014b]. Keeping in view of effluent generation and their effects on soil properties the present investigation was conducted to study the physico-chemical characteristics of Asahi India Glass Ltd. Industry effluent and assess its pollution load and to study the impact of glass industry effluent disposal on physico-chemical characteristics.

Materials and Methods

Study area, collection of effluent samples and analysis

The Asahi India Glass Ltd. Jabbera, Roorkee, Haridwar (29°47’50”N 77°48’23”E) was selected for the collection of effluent samples. The glass industry is located about 45 Km away from Haridwar at Haridwar Saharanpur via Jabbera High-way. For analysis of various physico-chemical and parameters the effluent samples were collected from the effluent disposal channel. The samples were collected in thoroughly cleaned plastic container of 5 liters capacity provides with the double cap device. Some of the parameters like pH were carried out on the spot and dissolved oxygen (DO) was also fixed on the spot because time consumed during transportation could alter the results. Remaining parameters could be carried out on composite sample. The collected samples were brought to the laboratory and were analyzed for various physico-chemical parameters viz., total solids (TS), total dissolved solids (TDS), total suspended solids (TSS), electrical conductivity (EC), pH, DO, bio-chemical oxygen demand (BOD), chemical oxygen demand (COD), chlorides (Cl-), calcium (Ca2+), sodium (Na+), potassium (K+), magnesium (Mg2+), total Kjehldahl nitrogen (TKN), phosphate (PO4 3-) and sulfate (SO4 2-) and heavy metals like cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), iron (Fe), lead (Pb) and zinc (Zn) following standard techniques [APHA In, 2005, Chaturvedi, R.K., et al 2006].

Soil sampling and analysis:

Total six composite soil samples from the surface (0-20 cm) were collected in the vicinity of effluent disposal channel originated from Asahi India Glass Ltd. The bore well water irrigated soil was taken as control. The samples were brought to the laboratory and dried in clean plastic trays for 7 days at room temperature and then sieved through a 2-mm or 5-mm sieve. The samples were analyzed for various physico-chemical parameters namely soil moisture content, water holding capacity (WHC), bulk density (BD), pH, EC, Cl-, organic carbon (OC), Na+, K+, Ca2+, Mg2+, TKN, PO4 3-, SO4 2-, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb and Zn following standard methods [Chaturvedi, R.K., et al 2006].

Heavy metal analysis:

For heavy metals analysis 10 ml sample of effluent, 100 ml sample of bore well water and 0.5 g sample of soil was digested with a mixture of concentrated HNO3 and HClO4 (10 ml + 2 ml) separately. The digested samples were filtered through Whatman filter No. 42 and finally volume were made 50 ml with 0.1N HNO3 and analyzed for heavy metals using AAS (Model ECIL-4129). The contamination of heavy metals in the soil was determined by following the standard methods [Håkanson, L., 1980].

Contamination factor (Cf) = \[
\frac{\text{Mean content of metal in the sample}}{\text{Background metal content of the substance}}
\]
Data interpretation and statistical analysis:

Data were analyzed for one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for determining the difference between soil parameters before and after effluent irrigation, crop parameters and effluent concentration, standard deviation, coefficient of correlation for soil, crop parameters and effluent concentrations were also calculated with the help of MS Excel 2003, SPSS12.0 and Sigma plot, 2000.

Results and Discussion

Characteristics of glass industry effluent:

During the present study the values of total solids (TS), total dissolved solids (TDS) and total suspended solids (TSS) in the glass industry effluent were recorded to be significantly (P < 0.05) different in comparison to the values of groundwater (Table 1 Figure 1). Kumar and Chopra et al. 2014 recorded the more values of TS (860 mgL⁻¹) in the paper mill effluent while Baruah and Das 1998, also found the higher values of TDS (1945.44 mgL⁻¹), TSS (245.50 mg L⁻¹) in the paper mill effluent. Moreover, the values of TS (2100 mgL⁻¹) and TDS (1900 mgL⁻¹) were found within the permissible limit while the values of TSS (200) was recorded beyond the prescribed limit of BIS standards for inland disposal of treated water.

The value of electrical conductivity (EC) was observed (1.34 dS m⁻¹) in the glass industry effluent was recorded higher in contrast to the value of the EC (0.10 dS m⁻¹) in the groundwater. The higher values of EC (8.84 dS m⁻¹) were also reported by Kumar and Chopra 2014, in the distillery effluent. The value of pH (8.07) of the glass industry effluent was recorded to be more alkaline in comparison to the pH (7.61) of the groundwater and the value of pH was found within the range of the pH (6.5 - 8.5 and 5.5 - 9.0) prescribed by BIS for irrigation water. The findings were in accordance with El-Bestawy et al. 2008 who reported the alkaline pH of the paper mill effluent. The value of dissolved oxygen in the glass industry effluent was observed to be nil. Therefore, the glass industry effluent was not suitable for inland disposal. Kumar and Chopra 2014c, also found the lower values of DO (4.78 mgL⁻¹) in the treated sugar mill effluent.

The values of BOD, COD and chlorides was recorded significantly (P < 0.01) higher in the glass industry effluent when compared to the values of groundwater and it is likely due to the presence of more inorganic and organic load in the glass industry effluent (Table 1 Figure 2). The value of BOD (4.0 mg L⁻¹and 100 mg L⁻¹) and COD (250 mg L⁻¹) was found beyond the prescribed limit of BIS standards for irrigation water. Fazeli et al. 1998 also reported the higher values of BOD (1840.50 mgL⁻¹) in the paper mill effluent whereas, Ghaly et al. 2011 reported the more COD (2450.60 mgL⁻¹) in the paper mill effluent. Howe et al. 1996 who observed the higher values of chlorides (360.00 mgL⁻¹) in the paper mill effluent. The values of Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, TKN, PO₄³⁻ and SO₄²⁻ in the glass industry effluent were observed to be significantly (P < 0.01) higher in comparison to the values of there parameters in the groundwater (Table 1 Figure 3). The contents of TKN (22.68 mg L⁻¹), PO₄³⁻ (7.79 mg L⁻¹) and SO₄²⁻ (878 mg L⁻¹) in the glass industry effluent were observed to be significantly (P < 0.05) higher in comparison to the contents of TKN (1.59 mg L⁻¹), PO₄³⁻ (0.42 mg L⁻¹) and SO₄²⁻ (340.25 mg L⁻¹) in the groundwater. Kumar and Chopra 2014, also reported the more Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, TKN, PO₄³⁻ and SO₄²⁻ in the sugar mill effluent while Lacorte et al. 2003 also reported the more sulphate in the paper mill effluent.
Contents of heavy metals in the glass industry effluent:

In the present study the value of Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb and Zn in the glass industry effluent were recorded to be significantly higher in comparison to the values of Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb and Zn observed in the groundwater (Table 1 Figure 4) The value of Cd (1.5 mg L⁻¹), (2.0 mg L⁻¹), Cu (1.0 mg L⁻¹), Fe (15 mg L⁻¹), Pb (3.00 mg L⁻¹) and Zn (1.00 mg L⁻¹) were found beyond the prescribed limit of BIS standards for irrigation water. The heavy metals are at very low concentrations in the natural environment, and they are typically introduced to surface waters as waste from human activities. Some of the metals of concern for human and aquatic health are cadmium, lead, copper, mercury, selenium, and chromium etc. The findings were in agreement with Patterson et al. 2008, who reported more values of heavy metals in the paper mill effluent.

Table 1: Physico-chemical characteristics of control (Borewell water) and Glass industry effluent.

| Parameter | Borewell water | Effluent | BIS for drinking water | BIS for irrigation water |
|-----------|----------------|----------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| TS (mg L⁻¹) | 178.25 ± 4.65 | 1620.5 ± 7.72 | 600 | 2100 |
| TDS (mg L⁻¹) | 140.25 ± 9.07 | 1435.25 ± 9.36 | 500 | 1900 |
| TSS (mg L⁻¹) | 20.00 ± 3.65 | 364.25 ± 6.95 | 100 | 200 |
| EC (dS m⁻¹) | 0.10 ± 0.03 | 1.34 ± 0.19 | - | - |
| pH | 7.61 ± 0.30 | 8.07 ± 0.45 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 5.5 - 9.0 |
| DO (mg L⁻¹) | 8.64 ± 1.25 | NIL | 6-8 | - |
| BOD (mg L⁻¹) | 5.30 ± 0.78 | 1447.75 ± 8.34 | 4.0 | 100 |
| COD (mg L⁻¹) | 18.77 ± 1.73 | 3029.00 ± 18.31 | 150 - 200 | 250 |
| Cl⁻ (mg L⁻¹) | 183.00 ± 2.58 | 446.00 ± 9.38 | 250 | 500 |
| Na⁺ (mg L⁻¹) | 11.40 ± 1.46 | 40.92 ± 2.95 | - | - |
| K⁺ (mg L⁻¹) | 18.38 ± 1.65 | 82.10 ± 3.05 | - | - |
| Ca²⁺ (mg L⁻¹) | 34.27 ± 1.96 | 164.47 ± 8.91 | 75 | 200 |
| Mg²⁺ (mg L⁻¹) | 17.50 ± 2.11 | 31.30 ± 3.72 | - | - |
| TKN (mg L⁻¹) | 1.59 ± 0.31 | 22.68 ± 3.29 | 100 | - |
| PO₄³⁻ (mg L⁻¹) | 0.42 ± 0.19 | 7.79 ± 0.20 | - | - |
| SO₄²⁻ (mg L⁻¹) | 340.25 ± 4.26 | 878.00 ± 13.73 | 200 | 1000 |
| Cd (mg L⁻¹) | ND | 0.23 ± 0.2275 | 0.05 | 1.5 |
| Cr (mg L⁻¹) | ND | 7.64 ± 8.0725 | 0.05 | 2.0 |
| Cu (mg L⁻¹) | 0.45 ± 0.08 | 2.06 ± 0.82 | 0.30 | 1.0 |
| Fe (mg L⁻¹) | 1.25 ± 0.32 | 2.68 ± 0.61 | 5.00 | 15 |
| Pb (mg L⁻¹) | 0.01 ± 0.01 | 2.07 ± 0.01 | 0.05 | 3.00 |
| Zn (mg L⁻¹) | 0.00 ± 0.01 | 0.44 ± 0.08 | 0.05 | 1.00 |

Mean ± SD of six values; BWW - Borewell water; BIS- Bureau of Indian standard; ND-Not detected.

Effects of glass industry effluent disposal on soil characteristics:

The value of soil moisture content (33.52 ± 2.47%) and WHC (40.81%) of the glass industry effluent irrigated soil was decreased in comparison to the moisture content (41.85%) and WHC (44.84%) of the groundwater irrigated soil, recorded in the glass industry effluent contaminated soil. Miller and Turk 2002, have indicated that the moisture content of soil is useful and an important factor which affects the pH, availability of nutrients to plant and aeration. Moreover, the presence of large soil particles reduces the soil moisture content. In the present study there was no drastic change in the bulk density (1.43 ± 0.10 gm cm⁻³) of the soil after glass industry effluent disposal. Haynes and Naidu 1998 and Celik et al 2005 reported a reduction in BD with addition of organic matter. The reduction in BD (uniformly repacked) was due to higher organic matter content in the treatments where paper mill effluent was added.

The EC (1.96 ± 0.07 dS m⁻¹) of the glass industry effluent contaminated soil was significantly (P < 0.01) increased to 125.28% when compared to groundwater irrigated soil. The

Figure 4: The contents of Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb and Zn in the glass industry effluent. Error bars are the standard error of the mean.
increase in the EC of the effluent irrigated soil is likely due to the presence of more salts in the glass industry effluent [Kumar, V., et al 2013b]. Mohan et al. 2007 concluded that the EC of water and wastewater is due to the presence of total dissolved solids. It is an important criterion to determine the suitability of water and wastewater for irrigation. Soils have alkaline pH levels that are greater than 7. If these soils have excessive amount of salts (i.e., EC > 4 dS m⁻¹) they are classified as saline soils. The pH of the glass industry effluent contaminated soil was recorded to be more alkaline (8.14) with the insignificantly (P > 0.05) increase to 3.82% in comparison to control soil. Charman and Murphy 1991, reported that the basic pH of the soil is to reduce the solubility of all micronutrients (except chlorine, boron and molybdenum), especially those of iron, zinc, copper and manganese.

During the present study the values of Cl⁻, PO₄³⁻, SO₄²⁻, Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, in the glass industry effluent contaminated soil was significantly (P < 0.01) increased in comparison to the control soil (Table 2, Figures 5, 6). The contents of Cl⁻ (75.01%), PO₄³⁻ (235.51%), SO₄²⁻ (29.79%), Na⁺ (106.49%), K⁺ (34.19%), Ca²⁺ (122.73%), Mg²⁺ (144.54%), TKN (45.16%) and OC (34.61%) increased in comparison to the groundwater irrigated soil. The contents of TKN (43.49 mg Kg⁻¹) and OC (0.35 mg Kg⁻¹) were significantly (P < 0.05) increased in the glass industry effluent irrigated soil when compared to the values of TKN (29.96 mg Kg⁻¹) and OC (0.26 mg Kg⁻¹) in the control soil. Kumar and Chopra 2013a reported the higher values of Cl⁻ and TKN in the paper mill effluent irrigated soil in comparison to bore well water irrigated soil. Biswas et al. 2009 recorded the more values of sodium and calcium in the distillery effluent irrigated soil. Baskaran et al. 2009 reported the higher values of Mg²⁺ in the sugar mill effluent irrigated soil. Effluent irrigation generally adds significant quantities of salts to the soil environment, such as sulfates, phosphates, bicarbonates, chlorides of the cations sodium, calcium, potassium and magnesium that stimulate the growth at lower concentration but inhibit at higher concentration reported by Patterson et al. 2008. Miller and Turk 2002 reported that potassium is the third most commonly added fertilizer nutrient (nitrogen is the most used; phosphorus is the second). Potassium is known to affect cell division, cell permeability formation of carbohydrates, translocation of sugars, various enzyme actions and resistance of some plants to certain diseases. Biswas et al. 2009, recorded the more values of organic carbon, phosphate and sulphate in the distillery effluent irrigated soil.

![Figure 5](image_url): The contents of Cl⁻, PO₄³⁻ and SO₄²⁻ in the glass industry effluent irrigated soil. Error bars are the standard error of the mean.

![Figure 6](image_url): The contents of Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ in the glass industry effluent irrigated soil. Error bars are the standard error of the mean.

| Table 2: Physico-chemical characteristics of soil before and after disposal of Glass industry effluent. |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Soil moisture (%)** | 41.85 ± 2.62 | 33.52a ± 2.47 | 7.071291* | 3.182446 |
| **WHC (%)** | 44.84 ± 3.50 | 40.81 ± 3.21 | 2.661559NS | 3.182446 |
| **BD (gm cm⁻³)** | 1.43 ± 0.10 | 1.43 ± 0.10 | 0.253849NS | 3.182446 |
| **pH** | 7.84 ± 0.13 | 8.14 ± 0.15 | 2.9528NS | 3.182446 |
| **EC (dS m⁻¹)** | 0.87 ± 0.04 | 1.96a ± ± 0.07 | 26.4928* | 3.182446 |
| **Cl⁻ (mg Kg⁻¹)** | 88.05 ± 9.54 | 154.10a ± 6.98 | 10.216* | 3.182446 |
| **OC (mg Kg⁻¹)** | 0.26 ± 0.03 | 0.35a ± ± 0.02 | 2.1273* | 3.182446 |
| **Na⁺ (mg Kg⁻¹)** | 11.85 ± 1.96 | 24.47a ± 3.70 | 4.82924* | 3.182446 |
| **K⁺ (mg Kg⁻¹)** | 126.91 ± 4.46 | 170.31a ± 4.27 | 16.3426* | 3.182446 |
| **Ca²⁺ (mg Kg⁻¹)** | 22.87 ± 1.66 | 50.94 ± 4.96 | 7.36417* | 3.182446 |
Contents of heavy metals in the glass industry effluent irrigated soil

Soil contamination is the result of anthropogenic activities, including the entry of industrial wastes into soil through atmospheric deposition or application of agrochemicals and dumping of domestic waste to the land. These contaminants reduce the soil quality for agricultural production. The soil is a long-term sink for the group of potentially toxic elements often referred to as heavy metals like zinc, copper, nickel, lead, chromium, and cadmium. While these elements display a range of properties in agricultural soil, including differences in mobility and bioavailability, leaching losses and plant uptake are usually relatively small compared to the total quantities entering the soil from different diffuse and agricultural sources.

During the investigation, the values of Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb and Zn in the glass industry effluent contaminated soil were observed to be significantly (P < 0.05) higher when compared to the groundwater irrigated soil (Table 2, Figure 7). The values of these metals Cd (933.33%), Cr (2082.85%), Cu (2.329 ± 0.19%), Fe (107.81%), Pb (1031.35%), Zn (192.02%) were increased significantly (P < 0.05) in the glass industry effluent contaminated soil in comparison to the control soil. Among different heavy metals Pb (11.31) showed maximum contamination whereas Cu (2.23) showed minimum contamination. The contamination factor of heavy metals in the soil was recorded in the order of Pb > Cd > Cr > Fe > Zn > Cu after disposal of glass industry effluent (Table 3, Figure 8). Additionally, the increase in the contents of Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Zn and Pb are likely due to the presence of more concentration of these metals in the glass industry effluent. The contents of heavy metals in the glass industry effluent contaminated soil were found to be below the maximum levels permitted for Cd (6.0 mg Kg⁻¹), Cr (10.0 mg Kg⁻¹), Cu (270 mg Kg⁻¹), Fe (1000 mg Kg⁻¹), Pb (250 mg Kg⁻¹) and Zn (600 mg Kg⁻¹) for soil in India [BIS In, 1991]. Mohammadi et al. 2010 concluded that the use of paper mill lime sludge as a soil amendment in an acidic soil significantly increased pH, which was proportional to the application rate of paper mill sludge.
Impact of Glass Industry Effluent Disposal on Soil Characteristics

Table 3: Contamination factor (Cf) of various heavy metals in soil after disposal of Glass industry effluent.

| Heavy metals | Contamination factor (Cf) in soil |
|--------------|----------------------------------|
| Cd           | 10.33                            |
| Cr           | 10.14                            |
| Cu           | 2.23                             |
| Fe           | 3.05                             |
| Pb           | 11.31                            |
| Zn           | 2.93                             |

Conclusion

The present study concluded that the effluent of glass industry was considerably loaded with different physio-chemical characteristics viz., TS, TDS, TSS, EC, BOD, COD, Cl⁻, Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, TKN, PO₄³⁻ and heavy metals viz., Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb and Zn. The disposal of effluent significantly (P < 0.05/P < 0.01) affected the soil characteristics. The values of soil parameters pH, EC, Cl⁻, OC, Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, TKN, PO₄³⁻, SO₄²⁻, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb and Zn were significantly (P < 0.05/P < 0.01) increased after disposal of glass industry effluent in comparison to control soil. Thus, the disposal of glass industry effluent considerably changed the soil quality and affected the natural composition of the soil. Such alterations enhanced the nutrients/toxicants status of the soil. However, the level of inland disposal should be within the prescribed limit of standards to avoid the development of soil salinity in the long run. Therefore the open land disposal of effluent should be regularly monitored for the alteration of the soil characteristics.
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