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Abstract
We prove that a curious generating series identity implies Faber’s intersection number conjecture (by showing that it implies a combinatorial identity already given in (Garcia-Failde, Kramer, Lewański, and Shadrin, SIGMA 15 (2019), 080)) and give a new proof of Faber’s conjecture by directly proving this identity.
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We recall one of the equivalent forms of Faber’s conjecture, now a theorem, on proportionalities of kappa-classes on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_g$ of curves of genus $g \geq 2$:

**Theorem** (Faber’s Intersection Number Conjecture [2]). Let $n \geq 2$ and $g \geq 2$. For any $d_1, \ldots, d_n \geq 1$, $d_1 + \cdots + d_n = g - 2 + n$, there exists a constant $C_g$ that only depends on $g$ such that

$$\frac{1}{(2g - 3 + n)!} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}} \lambda_g^{g-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{d_i} (2d_i - 1)!! = C_g.$$  

(1)
Remark [2]. From the known value of \( \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,1}} \lambda_g \lambda_{g-1} \psi_1^{g-1} \) one deduces the value \( C_g = \frac{|B_{2g}|}{2^{2g-1}(2g)!} \), where \( B_{2g} \) is the \((2g)\)th Bernoulli number.

This theorem has now been proved in several different ways. Getzler and Pandharipande [4] derived it from the Virasoro constrains for \( \mathbb{P}^2 \), later proved by Givental [5]. Liu and Xu [8] derived it from an identity for the \( n \)-point functions of the intersection numbers of \( \psi \)-classes that comes from the KdV equation. Goulden, Jackson, and Vakil proved it for \( n \leq 3 \) using degeneration and localization of Faber–Hurwitz classes [6]. Buryak and Shadrin proved it using relations for double ramification cycles [1]. Finally, Pixton showed the compatibility of this theorem with Faber–Zagier relations in [10], also proved by Faber and the second author (unpublished, see a remark in [9]). Together with a result of [9], this shows that the Faber–Zagier relations imply this theorem. The proof we will give relies instead on the following equivalence from [3], which was found via the so-called half-spin tautological relations [7]:

**Theorem [3].** Faber’s intersection number conjecture is equivalent to the following system of combinatorial identities: For any \( g, n \geq 2 \) and \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) with \( a_1 + \cdots + a_n = 2g - 3 + n \),

\[
0 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k (2g - 3 + k)!}{k!} \sum_{I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_k = \llbracket n \rrbracket} \sum_{I_j \neq \emptyset, \forall j} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{(2a_{|I_j|} + 1)}{2d_j} (2d_j - 1)!! \frac{(2d_j + 1 - 2|I_j|)!!}{(2d_j + 1 - 2|I_j|)!!}.
\]

(2)

Here by \( a_{|I_j|} \) we denote \( \sum_{\ell \in I_j} a_\ell \) and by \( |I_j| \) we denote the cardinality of the set \( I_j \subset \llbracket n \rrbracket, j = 1, \ldots, k \).

Since this theorem is an equivalence and Faber’s conjecture is proved, we know that the combinatorial identity (2), which was already verified for \( 2 \leq n \leq 5 \) in [3], must hold for all \( n \geq 2 \). Our goal is to give an independent elementary proof of it. Specifically, we will show in Section 1 that (2) is a consequence of the following curious identity, whose proof will then be given in Section 2.

Let \( A(v, y) = v^{-1} P(v(1 + y)^2) \), where \( P(X) = c_0 + c_1 X + \cdots + c_M X^M \) is a polynomial in \( X \) with infinitesimal coefficients \( c_m \), meaning that \( P(X) \) and \( A(v, y) \) are considered as formal power series in \( c_0, \ldots, c_M \) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Q}[X] \) and \( \mathbb{Q}[v^{\pm 1}, y] \), respectively. Define \( T(v, y) \) and \( T_-(v, y) \) in \( \mathbb{Q}[v^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}][[c_0, \ldots, c_M]] \) by

\[
T(v, y) := y + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} \left( \frac{1}{y} \frac{d}{dy} \right)^{r-1} \left( \frac{1 + y}{y} A(v, y)^{r} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad T_-(v, y) := \frac{T(v, y) - T(v, -y)}{2}.
\]

Note that both \( T \) and \( T_- \) start with \( y \) and hence are invertible in the ring \( \mathbb{Q}[v^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}][c_0, \ldots, c_M] \).

**Theorem 1.** For every positive even integer \( N \), we have

\[
 [v^{N-1}y^{-2}] \left( T_-(v, y)^{-N} \right) = - \frac{(2N + 1)!}{(N - 1)!(N + 1)!} c_N.
\]

(3)

Note that the restriction to positive even integers is harmless since the left-hand side of (3) vanishes trivially for \( N = 0 \) or \( N \) odd. A second remark is that equation (3) is slightly stronger than what we need to prove (2), for which it would suffice to know that the coefficient on
the left is linear in the coefficients $c_m$, that is, that it has no terms of total degree $\geq 2$ in these coefficients.

## 1 REDUCTION TO A CURIOUS IDENTITY

Theorem 1 will be proved in § 2. In this section, we will show how it implies the identity (2) (and hence Faber’s conjecture). To do this, we will rewrite the right-hand side of (2) in terms of a simpler expression defined using generating functions.

**Proposition.** Let $P(X) = P(x_1, \ldots, x_n; X) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} x_{\ell} X^{a_{\ell}}$ and define $S(v, y) = S(x_1, \ldots, x_n; v, y)$ by

$$S(v, y) = y + \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{1}{r!} \left( \frac{1}{y} \frac{d}{dy} \right)^{r-1} \frac{(1+y)P(v(1+y)^2) + (1-y)P(v(1-y)^2)}{2y}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Then the right-hand side of (2) is equal to $(2g - 3)! \left[ x_1 \cdots x_n v^{2g+n-3} y^{-2} \right] S(v, y)^{2-2g}$.

In this proposition, $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ are as in equation (2) and the coefficients $x_{\ell}$ are infinitesimal variables in the sense explained just before Theorem 1. In fact, in the application, $P(X)$ will be the same polynomial as in Theorem 1, with $c_m = \sum a_{\ell} = m x_{\ell}$.

**Proof.** We first note that dividing by $(2g - 3)!$ replaces the prefactor $\frac{(-1)^k (2g-3+k)!}{k!}$ in (2) by the simpler binomial coefficient $\binom{2g-2}{k}$, and also that the sum from $k = 1$ to $n$ can be replaced by a sum over all $k \geq 0$ since a decomposition $I_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_k = [n]$ with all $I_j$ non-empty can only exist if $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then we introduce a formal variable $y$ and use the equality

$$\frac{(2d_j - 1)!!}{(2d_j + 1 - 2|I_j|)!!} y^{2d_j + 1 - 2|I_j|} = \left( \frac{1}{y} \frac{d}{dy} \right)^{|I_j|-1} y^{2d_j-1}$$

to write the inner sum in (2) for given $a_{\ell}$ and $I_j$ as the coefficient of $y^{2g-4+k}$ in

$$k \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{y} \frac{d}{dy} \right)^{|I_j|-1} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2a_{I_j} + 1}{2d} \right) y^{2d-1} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{y} \frac{d}{dy} \right)^{|I_j|-1} \frac{(1+y)^{2a_{I_j}+1} + (1-y)^{2a_{I_j}+1}}{2y} \right].$$

(Extracting the coefficient of $y^{2g-4+k}$ corresponds to the condition $d_1 + \cdots + d_k = g - 2 + n$.) We now introduce $n + 1$ further formal variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and $v$ and use the identity

$$\sum_{I_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_k = [n]} F(I_1) \cdots F(I_k) = \left[ x_1 \cdots x_n \right] \left( \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [n]} F(I) x_{\{I\}} \right)^k,$$

where $x_{\{I\}}$ stands for $\prod_{\ell \in I} x_{\ell}$, which is valid for any function $F$ on the power set of $[n]$, to write the quotient of (2) by $(2g - 3)!$ as the coefficient of $x_1 \cdots x_n y^{2g-4} v^{2g+n-3}$ in
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2 - 2g}{k} \right) \left( \frac{1}{y} \right) \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [n]} x^{\{I\}} \nu^{a[I]} \left( \frac{1}{y} \right)^{|I| - 1} \left( \frac{(1 + y)^{2a[I] + 1} + (1 - y)^{2a[I] + 1}}{2y} \right)^k
\]

\[
= \left( 1 + \frac{1}{y} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [n]} x^{\{I\}} \nu^{a[I]} \left( \frac{1}{y} \right)^{|I| - 1} \left( \frac{(1 + y)^{2a[I] + 1} + (1 - y)^{2a[I] + 1}}{2y} \right) \right)^{2 - 2g}.
\]

(Here extracting the coefficient of \(v^{2g-3+n}\) corresponds to the condition \(a_1 + \cdots + a_n = 2g - 3 + n\).) The proposition then follows by noting that the coefficient of \(x_1 \cdots x_n\) in a polynomial or power series depends only on its congruence class modulo the ideal generated by \(x_1^2 (\ell' = 1, \ldots, n)\) and that, if we denote this equivalence relation by \(\equiv\), we have

\[
\sum_{I \subseteq [n]} x^{\{I\}} \nu^{a[I]} (1 \pm y)^{2a[I]} \equiv \frac{1}{r!} P(v(1 \pm y)^2)^r,
\]

for each \(0 \leq r \leq n\), since each term \(\prod_{\ell \in I} x_\ell v^{a_\ell} (1 \pm y)^{2a_\ell}\) appears \(r!\) times in \(P(v(1 \pm y)^2)^r\). The last identity can also be justified by observing that the left-hand side is the coefficient of \(t^r\) in \(\prod_{\ell = 1}^n (1 + tx_\ell v^{a_\ell} (1 \pm y)^{2a_\ell})\), which is congruent to \(\exp(tP(v(1 \pm y)^2))\).

The identity (2) for \(g, n \geq 2\) follows immediately by combining Theorem 1 (with \(N = 2g - 2\)) and the proposition (with the same \(P\), so with \(c_m\) equal to \(\sum_{a_\ell = m} x_\ell\)), since if we rescale \(x_1, \ldots, x_n\) in (4) by dividing them by \(v\) then the expression whose vanishing we have to prove is just the coefficient of \(x_1 \cdots x_n\) in the left-hand side of (3), which vanishes for \(n > 1\) because \(c_N\) is a linear function of the \(x_{\ell'}\).

2 | PROOF OF THE CURIOUS IDENTITY

In this section, we prove Theorem 1. The first step is to give a different expression for the power series \(T(v, y)\) appearing there. This is done in the following lemma, in which there is no parameter \(v\).

**Lemma.** Let \(A(y)\) be a polynomial with infinitesimal coefficients. Then

\[
y + \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r!} \left( \frac{1}{y} \right)^{r-1} \left( \frac{1 + y}{y} A(y)^r \right) = w + A(w),
\]

where \(w\) is the solution near \(y = w\) of \(w^2 = y^2 + 2A(w)\).

**Proof.** This is in principle just an application of Lagrange’s inversion theorem, but we give a proof via a residue calculation. As with ‘Theorem 1, ‘polynomial with infinitesimal coefficients’ means that all expressions being considered are to be interpreted as formal power series (with coefficients in the ring of Laurent polynomials in \(y\)) in the coefficients of \(A\). The easiest way to keep track of everything is to replace \(A(y)\) by \(xF(y)\), where \(F\) is a polynomial, so that the powers of \(x\) keep track
of the degree of the terms with respect to the coefficients of \( A \). Then setting \( T = w + xF(w) = w + \frac{w^2 - y^2}{2} \) and using residue calculus (with \( y \) fixed and \( x \) variable), we find

\[
[x^r](T) = \text{Res}_{x=0}(\frac{w + w^2/2}{x^{r+1}} dx) = \frac{1}{r} \text{Res}_{w=y}(\frac{1 + w}{w} F(w)^r \frac{dz}{z^r}) = \frac{1}{r!} \left( \frac{1}{y} \frac{d}{dy} \right)^{r-1} \left( \frac{1 + y}{y} F(y)^r \right)
\]

for \( r > 0 \), where we have used the local parameter \( z = xF(w) = \frac{w^2 - y^2}{2}, \frac{d}{dz} = \frac{1}{y} \frac{d}{dy} \), near \( w = y \).

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Applying the lemma to \( A(v, y) \), we find that \( T(v, \pm y) = w_\pm + A(v, w_\pm) \), where \( w_\pm \) is the solution of \( w^2 - 2A(v, w) = y^2 \) near to \( \pm y \). Our goal is to show that

\[
[y^{-2}]S^{-N} = \text{Res}_{y=0} y^2 \frac{d}{dy} \frac{1}{2} (n-1)! \frac{(2N+1)!}{(N-1)!} c_N \quad \text{for} \quad N > 0 \quad \text{even},
\]

where \( S = v \frac{T(v, y) - T(v, -y)}{2} \) and \( A(v, y) = \sum_m c_m v^{m-1} (1 + y)^{2m} \). The first step is to note that, again by residue calculus, for fixed \( v \) we have

\[
[y^{-2}]S^{-N} = \text{Res}_{y=0} \frac{y^2 d}{dy} \frac{1}{2} (n-1)! \frac{(2N+1)!}{(N-1)!} c_N = \frac{N}{2} \text{Res}_{S=0} y^2 \text{d}S \quad \text{for} \quad N > 0 \quad \text{even},
\]

Hence the identity to be proved can also be written as \([v^0 S^N](Y) = -\frac{(2N+2)!}{(N+1)!} c_N \) for \( N > 0 \) even, where \( Y = (y^2 - 1)v \). (As already mentioned in the introduction, this coefficient is trivially 0 if \( N \) is zero or odd.) We define new variables \( V, W, \tilde{W} \) by \( V = \sqrt{v}, W = (1 + w_+)^{1/2}V, \tilde{W} = (1 + w_-)^{1/2}V \). Then \( S, V \) and \( Y \) all become polynomials in \( W \) and \( \tilde{W} \), namely

\[
S = \frac{W^2 - \tilde{W}^2}{4}, \quad V = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q(W) - Q(\tilde{W})}{W - \tilde{W}}, \quad Y = \frac{WQ(\tilde{W}) - \tilde{W}Q(W)}{W - \tilde{W}},
\]

where \( Q(X) = X^2 - 2P(X^2) \). Now change variables again by \((W, \tilde{W}) = (r + s, r - s)\) and set \( Q^\pm = Q(r \pm s) \). Then a simple computation shows that

\[
S = rs, \quad V = \frac{Q^+ - Q^-}{4s}, \quad Y = \frac{Q^+ + Q^-}{2} - 2rV
\]

and the quantity that we want to compute is

\[
[v^0 S^N](Y) = \text{Res}_{V=0} \text{Res}_{S=0} \left( \frac{y}{V} \frac{dV}{S^{N+1}} \right) = [r^N S^N](JY/V),
\]

where \( J = rV_r - sV_s, \) with \( V_r = \partial V/\partial r \) and \( V_s = \partial V/\partial s \), denotes the Jacobian of the transformation \((r, s) \mapsto (V, S)\). We have

\[
\frac{JY}{V} = 2r \left( sV_s - rV_r \right) + \frac{rV_r - sV_s}{2V} (Q^+ + Q^-)
\]

\[
= 2r \left( sV_s - rV_r \right) + \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{r(sV)_r - s(sV)_s}{2sV} \right) (Q^+ + Q^-).
\]
The coefficient of $r^N s^N$ in the first four terms is easily computed in closed form and is given by

$$[r^N s^N] \left( 2r (sV - rV_r) + \frac{Q^+ + Q^-}{2} \right) = -2c_N \left( \binom{2N}{N} + \binom{2N}{N-1} \right) = -\left( \frac{2N+2}{N+1} \right)c_N,$$

and the two numbers $[r^N s^N] \left( \frac{r(sV)_r - s(sV)_s}{sV} \right) Q^\pm$ both vanish because they are diagonal coefficients of power series in $r$ and $s$ that are antisymmetric under interchange of the two variables. (To see that $(r(sV)_r - s(sV)_s)/(sV)$ is a power series in $r$ and $s$, note that $Q$ is an even polynomial with non-vanishing quadratic term, so $sV$ is $rs$ times a polynomial with non-vanishing constant term and $r(sV)_r - s(sV)_s$ is divisible by $rs$.) This concludes the proof. □

3 | ANOTHER CURIOUS IDENTITY

In the course of finding and proving Theorem 1, we empirically discovered the following result, which seems interesting enough to be worth stating, even though we do not know of any applications, since it may indicate that there are much more general identities of this sort.

**Theorem 2.** Let all notations be as in Theorem 1. Then for all $N \geq 1$, one has

$$[v^{N-1}y^{-2}] \left( \frac{T(v, y) + y}{2} \right)^{-N} = -\frac{N}{4} \left( \frac{2N+2}{N+1} \right)c_N. \quad (5)$$

**Proof.** The proof follows the same lines as that of Theorem 1. We again set $V = \sqrt{v}$, $W = (1 + w)V$, $Y = (y^2 - 1)v$ and want to evaluate $R_N := [V^0 S^N](Y)$, but now with $S := v(T(v, y) + y)/2$. This time we define the new local coordinates $r$ and $s$ by $r = (W + (1 - y)V)/2$ and $s = (W - (1 - y)V)/2$, so that the variables $(r, s)$ are again related to $W$ and $S$ by $r + s = W$ and $rs = S$. The expressions $sV = rs - P((r + s)^2)/2$ and $Y = (r - s)^2 - 2(r - s)V$ are now different polynomials in $r$ and $s$, but we still have $R_N = [r^N s^N](JY/V)$ and $J = rV_r - sV_s$. Then $JY/V = -2(r - s)J + (r - s)^2(1 + (r(sV)_r - s(sV)_s)/(sV))$. The first two terms are easy and give the desired binomial coefficient times $c_N$, and the last one is antisymmetric and hence gives 0. The only slightly tricky point is that $(r(sV)_r - s(sV)_s)/(sV)$ is no longer a power series in $r$ and $s$, but instead a power series in the infinitesimal variables whose coefficients are Laurent polynomials in $r$ an $s$, rather than actual polynomials as before. □
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