Fabrication of superhydrophobic and antibacterial surface on cotton fabric by doped silica-based sols with nanoparticles of copper
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Abstract
The study discussed the synthesis of silica sol using the sol-gel method, doped with two different amounts of Cu nanoparticles. Cotton fabric samples were impregnated by the prepared sols and then dried and cured. To block hydroxyl groups, some samples were also treated with hexadecyltrimethoxysilane. The average particle size of colloidal silica nanoparticles were measured by the particle size analyzer. The morphology, roughness, and hydrophobic properties of the surface fabricated on cotton samples were analyzed and compared via the scanning electron microscopy, the transmission electron microscopy, the scanning probe microscopy, with static water contact angle (SWC), and water shedding angle measurements. Furthermore, the antibacterial efficiency of samples was quantitatively evaluated using AATCC 100 method. The addition of 0.5% (wt/wt) Cu into silica sol caused the silica nanoparticles to agglomerate in more grape-like clusters on cotton fabrics. Such fabricated surface revealed the highest value of SWC (155° for a 10-μl droplet) due to air trapping capability of its inclined structure. However, the presence of higher amounts of Cu nanoparticles (2% wt/wt) in silica sol resulted in the most slippery smooth surface on cotton fabrics. All fabricated surfaces containing Cu nanoparticles showed the perfect antibacterial activity against both of gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria.
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Background
Studying over 200 species of water repellent plants, Neinhuis and Barthlott [1] found an ideally wonderful superhydrophobic effect on lotus (Nelumbo nucifera) leaves which leads to supreme self-cleaning properties, so-called lotus effect [2,3]. The rough structure of lotus leaves (hills and valleys template) causes a reduced contact area with water. The presence of the hydrophobic nanoparticles, however, will prevent water from penetrating hills [4].

To simulate or produce such superhydrophobic surface on substrates, among different methods (such as chemical vapor deposition [5], phase inversion [6], electrospinning [7], electrowetting [8], lithography [9], and etching [10]), the sol-gel method seems more conventional to be used on textile materials, due to easy processing and acceptable treatment conditions (e.g., low temperature) [11-17]. In this method, hydrolysis and condensation reactions of the precursor material are carried out to form a nano-colloidal solution, and a network of nanoparticles will be formed on the substrate through the gradual evaporation of the solvent. The precursors are often based on metal organic compounds such as acetylacetone, or metal alkoxides like tetraethoxyxilane Si(OC2H5)4 (TEOS), titanium(IV) isopropoxide Ti(OC3H7)4, and Al(OC4H9)3 [18].

According to its natural properties, cotton fabric is among the very popular textiles. Producing superhydrophobic surface on cotton fabric will guarantee its dryness and cleanness which are considered as desired features, in particular on its outside facet [11-17,19-21]. Furthermore, cotton fabric is an ideal place for settling and growing pathogenic bacteria because of its porous and hydrophilic structure. So, antibacterial finishing is also of importance, especially in some specific applications like medical usage. There are many antibacterial...
agents used in this field, including metal nanoparticles like silver and copper [22-29]. The latter is the most familiar antibacterial agent used for centuries. Like many other particles, the desired properties of copper may be improved by reducing its size to nano-scale. Hence, these nanoparticles can be developed and applied in various new fields, such as water purification, medical science, human tissue, antifouling and antibacterial agent, etc. [28].

Few researches have been focused on developing two abovementioned properties on cellulose substrates like cotton fabric, simultaneously [30-32]. On the other hand, nanoparticles of copper and core shell SiO$_2$/Cu have been less developed for textile finishing [33-36]. The current aimed to fabricate an antibacterial and superhydrophobic surface on the cotton fabric, by introducing Cu nanoparticles into the silica sols. It was expected that due to their chemical activities, such nanoparticles would change the morphology and arrangement of silica nanostructure, and in addition, promote antibacterial activity on cotton fabrics.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

Bleached and desized cotton fabric was provided by Polpine Co (Iran, Rasht). Tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS), hydroxide ammonium (NH$_4$OH 25%) and ethanol (C$_2$H$_5$OH 98%) were purchased from Merck Company. Nano-Cu (average particle size, 40 ±5 nm) was obtained from Plasma Company (PlasmaChem GmbH, Berlin, Germany), and hexadecyltrimethoxysilane (HDTMS) was purchased from Fluka Company (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Taufkirchen, Germany). All chemicals were used as received without any further purification.

**Methods**

Colloidal SiO$_2$ nanoparticle solutions were prepared considering the principles of Stöber method: 25 ml of ethanol, 1 ml of ammonium hydroxide, 3.6 ml of distilled water, and 11.5 ml of TEOS were mixed for 2 h at room temperature [37].

The prepared silica sols doped with two different amounts (0.5% and 2.0% wt/wt) of Cu nanoparticles, and were then sonicated for 30 min. Cotton fabric samples were immersed in the sols at 30°C for 5 min, dried for 24 h at ambient temperature and cured at 160°C for 5 min, respectively. Some samples were immersed in hydrolyzed and diluted HDTMS (with 4% wt/wt ethanol) for 4 h at room temperature. Again, these samples were cured at 120°C for 1 h [15].

**Characterization**

Particle sizes of the silica sols prepared were measured with a particle size analyzer (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, Worcestershire, UK). The surface morphology was investigated by the scanning electron microscope (SEM) (XL30, Philips, Royal Philips Electronics, Amsterdam, Netherlands), while the surface roughness was analyzed via the scanning probe microscope (SPM) (DualScope™ C26, DME, Herlev, Denmark) using AC mode. The SiO$_2$/Cu hybrid structure was observed with the transmission electron microscope (TEM) (EM 10C, Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). The static water contact angle (SWC) was determined by using a contact angle measurement device (Krüss G10, KRÜSS GmbH, Hamburg, Germany). At 23 ± 5°C, a 10-μl droplet of deionized water was placed into five different positions on the sample surfaces, and the angles of drops on the fabrics were determined. The static contact angle values for the sample reported were the average of five measurements.

Water shedding angle (WSA) of various samples was measured by the method of Zimmermann et al. [38]. After releasing a drop of water (15 μl) in a height of 1 cm, the minimum angle of inclination at which the drop completely rolls off the surface was determined.

The antibacterial activity of samples was quantitatively evaluated using AATCC 100 method. Two non-spore-forming bacteria, one Gram-positive *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC = 25923) and one Gram-negative *Escherichia coli* (ATCC = 25922), were used for antibacterial testing.

For determining the number of bacteria after 0 contact time, autoclaved swatches were placed in wide mouth glass jars and 100 μl of inoculums (containing 10$^6$ colony-forming units (CFU)) was poured on each of them. Immediately after inoculation (0° contact time), 100 ml of neutralizing solution (phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)) was added to each jar. After vigorous stirring (2,500 rpm for 1 min), the solution in each jar was poured on a nutrient agar plate.

For determining the number of bacteria after 24-h contact period, additional jars containing inoculated untreated control swatches and jars containing inoculated treated test swatches were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The bacteria were eluted from each of the inoculated and incubated swatches by adding PBS (100 ml) neutralizing solution after vigorous stirring (2,500 rpm for 1 min). The solutions were poured on nutrient agar and all plates were incubated for further 18 h at 37°C. Finally, formed colony units were counted and antibacterial activity was reported in the percentage of reduction based on below equation (Equation 1):

$$R = \frac{100(B-A)}{B}$$

where $R$ is the percent reduction, $A$ is the number of bacteria recovered from the inoculated treated test specimen swatches in the jar incubated over 24 h, and $B$ is...
the number of bacteria recovered from the inoculated treated test specimen swatches in the jar immediately after inoculation (at "0" contact time).

Results and discussions
During the sol-gel process, TEOS was first hydrolyzed to silicic acid (Equation 2). Then, condensation reactions led to the formation of Si-O-Si bounds (Equation 3) and colloidal silica nanoparticles would be appeared by the emergence of a milky silica sol [37,39,40]. In this stage, synthesized nanoparticles of colloidal silica had the mean size of 80 nm (Figure 1a).

\[
\text{Si(OC}_2\text{H}_5\text{)} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{Si(OH)}_4 + 4\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH} \tag{2}
\]

\[
2\text{Si(OH)}_4 \rightarrow 2(\text{Si - O - Si}) + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{3}
\]

After drying and curing, the solvent was evaporated and the agglomeration of silica nanoparticles fabricated silicon nanostructures on cotton fabrics. Since the presence of hydroxyl groups (Si-(OH)₃) in silicon nanostructures, the surface fabricated still remained hydrophilic (Figure 2b). However, due to its covering effect on cotton fabrics, a water droplet could not easily penetrate into the fabric as in pristine fabric. The interaction of a long-chain alkylsilane agent like HDTMS with silanol groups produced a hydrophobic surface which in turn would increase the contact angle (Figure 2c). Figure 3 represented SEM images of untreated sample and cotton fabrics treated with alkylsilane and SiO₂ nanoparticles. Alkylsilane-treated SiO₂ surface (Figure 3b) apparently showed higher roughness than untreated one (Figure 3a). Its SWC and WSA were 151.1° and 30°, respectively (Table 1 Figure 3b). The contact angles of pristine fabric and samples only treated with alkylsilane or silica were not measurable, due to rapid absorbance of falling water droplets.

When silica sol was doped with Cu nanoparticles and then cotton fabrics were immersed in it, as expected, the energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis confirmed the presence of Cu nanoparticles on the sample surface (Figure 4).

Cu nanoparticles were introduced into the silica sols when the colloidal silica nanoparticles had been previously formed by the sol-gel process. Hence, they would be settled on the surface of colloidal SiO₂ nanoparticles. This was confirmed by TEM images (Figure 5). Dissolution in alkaline silica sol may result to various cuprous and cupric complexes like Cu(OH)₂, Cu₂CO₃(OH)₂, Cu(NH₃)₂⁺, and Cu(NH₃)₄²⁺, indicating a tendency towards colloidal silica nanoparticles.

The addition of 0.5% wt/wt Cu into silica sol caused the flocculation of colloidal silica nanoparticles (Figure 5b). The emersion of two peaks and the broadening of silica peaks in a size distribution graph just 5 min after introducing Cu nanoparticles may be attributed to the gradual agglomeration of silica and Cu particles (Figure 1b).

Such agglomeration would produce more grape-like clusters on the final fabricated surface. Compared with ordinary SiO₂ nanostructured surface, this morphology showed higher air trapping capability and SWC(Table 1).
The valleys generated in (0.5%) Cu-doped treated samples were also obvious in SPM micrographs (Figure 6b).

Based on the fundamental theories on motion of liquid droplets on the rough surfaces [41], there are two important models about the wetting behavior of these surfaces: Wenzel and Cassie-Baxter [42]. The major difference between the two is the existence of air packets trapped in the valleys between liquid droplets and the solid substrates. Regarding the below equation [41,42], if the air pockets fraction \( f_{LA} \) is high, then the value of \( \cos \theta \) is decreased which may be followed by the enhanced superhydrophobic effect on the roughened surfaces:

\[
\cos \theta = R_f \cos \theta_0 - f_{LA}(R_f \cos \theta_0 + 1)
\]

where \( R_f \) denotes the roughness factor, and \( \theta \) and \( \theta_0 \) are the contact angles of liquid droplets on rough and flat surfaces, respectively. The WSA value for such sample was decreased and reached to 24°, and also, the slipperiness of treated surface was increased.

Table 1 Static water and water shedding angles of fabricated surface on cotton fabric samples

| Kind of surface | Mean of static contact angle “SWC” (°) | Standard error of “SWC” | Mean of water shedding angle “WSA” (°) | Standard error of “WSA” |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| SiO2_Alylsilane | 151.1                                  | 0.30                    | 30                                     | ?                       |
| SiO2_LowCu      | 155.9                                  | 0.64                    | 24                                     | ?                       |
| SiO2_HighCu     | 147.0                                  | 0.98                    | 22                                     | ?                       |

The valleys generated in (0.5%) Cu-doped treated samples were also obvious in SPM micrographs (Figure 6b).

![Figure 3 SEM micrographs of different samples. (a) Untreated. (b) Treated with SiO2.](image)

![Figure 4 EDX analysis for treated cotton fabrics containing different doped sols. (a) 0.5% Cu. (b) 2% Cu.](image)
Figure 5 TEM micrographs of the synthesized nanoparticles. (a) Silica. (b) 0.5% Cu-doped silica.

Figure 6 SEM and SPM micrographs of fabricated surface. Fabricated by: (a and b) (left) untreated, (middle) silica sol, (right) 0.5% Cu-doped silica, and (c) water drops on surface fabricated by 0.5% Cu-doped silica sol and its contact angle.
Increasing the amount of Cu nanoparticles (2% owf) in silica sol may probably disintegrate the agglomerated clusters of silica nanoparticles and furthermore fill in the valleys of fabricated surfaces. Therefore, a homogeneous silica-copper hybrid nanocomposite would be formed on the cotton fabric samples (Figures 7 and 8). Creating a fairly flat level of roughness with filled-in valleys may result to a decreased SWC, comparing to silica networks with low Cu content (Table 1). In contrast with low Cu content silica network, however, a water droplet showed less tendency (or “petal effect”) to adhere to surfaces of high Cu content silica network. This was consistent with WSA values, showing lower water shedding angle (Table 1).

Comparing data sets of static contact angles “SWC” for different samples through analysis of variance demonstrated that differences between silica-alkylsilane, silica-Cu (0.5%) and silica-Cu (2%) were significantly important (significant value < 0.5). Standard errors for the measured static contact angles were increased by introducing Cu nanoparticles (Table 1). Post hoc test (Duncan’s multiple range test) showed that (homogeneous subsets of) means of all three abovementioned samples were different (Table 2). The effect of Cu nanoparticle on superhydrophobic property of the surface was even more than treating the surface with an alkylsilane agent like HDTMS. It should be noted that the silica-Cu (0.5%) can be considered as a hierarchical structure. In the case, the fabricated surface may have a self-cleaning capability, like Hygroryza aristata leaves.

All fabricated surface containing Cu nanoparticles displayed acceptable antibacterial properties against E. coli and S. aureus bacteria (Table 3). The criterion for passing the test or evaluating them was the percentage of bacteria growth reduction. Approximately, the total numbers of bacteria for samples were 22,800 (for E. coli bacteria) and 17,440 (for S. aureus bacteria) CFU/ml at zero contact time. These amounts reduced considerably (more than 70% for E. coli and 90% for S. aureus bacteria) for doped silica treated samples with Cu nanoparticles but increased for control samples (undoped silica treated). It may be attributed, first, to the antibacterial activities of Cu nanoparticles and, second, to the prohibition of Cu nanoparticles agglomeration resulted from their settlements on silica nanoparticles (Figure 5b). The latter is considered as an important parameter because it has been known that the antibacterial activity of metallic nanoparticles has a strong relationship with their sizes. The samples containing 2% Cu showed less antibacterial activity, especially against E. coli bacteria.
This may result from the flocculation of Cu nanoparticles of high concentration.

Conclusion
Copper, especially in its nano scale, has noticeable antibacterial activity with a more low cost compared with other similar antibacterial metals. In addition, the sol-gel method is a conventional process to coat thermo-sensitive substrates like cotton fabrics by nanoparticles. Introducing Cu nanoparticles into silica sol will fabricate a surface with higher air trapping capability on cotton fabrics. Therefore, it can imply superior properties of superhydrophobicity on the substrate and eliminate the need for post-treatment of silica surfaces with alkylsilane. Besides the intrinsic antibacterial properties, disintegration of Cu nanoparticle through the settling on SiO2 particles will simultaneously lead to an efficient antibacterial activity of the surface fabricated. Further study can also be conducted on more interesting properties such as self-cleaning capability of fabricated hierarchical surfaces.
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