Major Changes in Plastid Protein Import and the Origin of the Chloroplastida

**HIGHLIGHTS**
- Chloroplastida evolved a dual system, Toc75/Oep80, for high throughput protein import.
- Loss of F-based targeting led to dual organelle targeting using a single ambiguous NTS.
- Relaxation of functional constraints allowed a wider Toc/Tic modification.
- A broad response to high-light stress appears unique to Chloroplastida.
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SUMMARY
Core components of plastid protein import and the principle of using N-terminal targeting sequences are conserved across the Archaeplastida, but lineage-specific differences exist. Here we compare, in light of plastid protein import, the response to high-light stress from representatives of the three archaeplastidal groups. Similar to land plants, Chlamydomonas reinhardtii displays a broad response to high-light stress, not observed to the same degree in the glaucophyte Cyanophora paradoxa or the rhodophyte Porphyridium purpureum. We find that only the Chloroplastida encode both Toc75 and Oep80 in parallel and suggest that elaborate high-light stress response is supported by changes in plastid protein import. We propose the origin of a phenylalanine-independent import pathway via Toc75 allowed higher import rates to rapidly service high-light stress, but with the cost of reduced specificity. Changes in plastid protein import define the origin of the green lineage, whose greatest evolutionary success was arguably the colonization of land.

INTRODUCTION
Mitochondria and plastids are of endosymbiotic origin and compartments surrounded by a double membrane (Zimorski et al., 2014; Archibald, 2015). Most possess their own genomes, but the bulk of their former coding capacity was either lost or integrated into the nuclear genome (Timmis et al., 2004; Martin and Herrmann, 1998). As a consequence, most of their proteins are post-translationally imported. Guiding of precursor proteins to the mitochondrial matrix or plastid stroma typically relies on N-terminal targeting sequences (NTS) (Schleiff and Becker, 2011; Dudek et al., 2013; Paila et al., 2015), although some exceptions are known (Goldberg et al., 2008; Hamilton et al., 2014; Garg et al., 2015). Archaeplastidal plastids have a monophyletic origin (Rodrı´ guez-Ezpeleta et al., 2005; Jackson and Reyes-Prieto, 2014; Sa´ nchez-Baracaldo et al., 2017), which is also evident from the conserved nature of plastid import components, a reliable indicator for the monophyly of organelles (Cavalier-Smith, 1999; Kalanon and McFadden, 2008; Gould et al., 2015).

Although they share a single origin, the plastids of the three algal lineages have evolved considerable differences since their divergence more than a billion years ago (Gibson et al., 2017; de Vries et al., 2016). These include, but are not limited to, (1) the thickness of a remaining peptidoglycan layer (Pfanzagl et al., 1996; Hirano et al., 2016), (2) the localization of starch deposits (Suzuki and Suzuki, 2013), (3) the coding capacity of their genomes (Timmis et al., 2004; Allen et al., 2012), (4) pigment composition and the types of antenna complexes used (Tomitani et al., 1999), (5) the absence or presence of a xanthophyll cycle (Goss and Jakob, 2010), and (6) the composition of the protein import machinery (Day et al., 2014; Kikuchi et al., 2013). It raises the question to what degree the two—critical changes in protein import and changes in plastid biology—are connected, and whether one of the two conditioned or enabled the other. Although most information about plastid protein targeting stems from the green lineage (Kohler et al., 2015), several remarkable differences between the protein import in plastids of the three algal groups (Glaucophyta, Rhodophyta, and Chloroplastida) are known.

One important difference concerns the NTS that targets proteins to the stroma. Rhodophytes and glaucophytes employ a single amino-acid-based motif to target proteins to their plastids (Gould et al., 2015; Kohler et al., 2015; Steiner et al., 2005; Wunder et al., 2007). In most cases this amino acid is a phenylalanine, less frequently other bulky aromatic amino acids (Kohler et al., 2015; Gruber et al., 2007). The F-based motif is found at the very N-terminus of the NTS (Figure 1) and even retained in organisms with secondary plastids of red algal origin, such as the cryptophyte Guillardia theta, the diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum, and the parasite Toxoplasma gondii (Patron and Waller, 2007). It is uncertain why the F-based motif was lost in Chloroplastida, but it came with several changes such as a rise in...
phosphorylatable serine residues that might help in avoiding erroneous targeting to the mitochondria (Garg and Gould, 2016; Lee et al., 2006).

Despite a tendency toward organelle specificity, eukaryotes also target many proteins simultaneously to two different compartments, a process known as dual-targeting. Dual-targeting can affect different combinations of compartments (Karniely and Pines, 2005; Carrie and Small, 2013), in plants also the two organelles of endosymbiotic origin. About 100 proteins are dually targeted to the mitochondria and plastids of Arabidopsis thaliana after their translation (Carrie and Small, 2013; Carrie et al., 2009). This large number is a consequence of the similarity between the two import mechanisms performed by Tom/Tim (translocator of the outer and inner mitochondrial membrane) and Toc/Tic (translocator of the outer and inner chloroplast membrane) (Schleiff and Becker, 2011; Garg and Gould, 2016). In A. thaliana, a duplicate of the Toc64 receptor localizes to the outer mitochondrial membrane and now functions in mitochondrial import (Chew et al., 2004). Both Arabidopsis organelles also use the same targeting-associated PURPLE ACID PHOSPHATASE2 (AtPAP2) at their outer membranes (Sun et al., 2012; Law et al., 2015). The extent of dual-targeting in non-chloroplastidal species remains largely unexplored.

To investigate plastid targeting in a comparative approach across the three main algal lineages, we generated RNA-Seq, pigment profile, and trans-electron microscopy data from three different conditions (with high-light stress as the stimulus) for the chlorophyte Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, the rhodophyte
Porphyridium purpureum, and the glaucophyte Cyanophora paradoxa. The data were compared and evaluated in light of evolutionary changes regarding protein import. Our analysis connects the loss of F-based targeting and the emergence of new critical import proteins in the ancestor of the Chloroplastida, with a series of major changes connected to the origin of the green lineage.

**RESULTS**

**Adaptive Changes of Common Photosynthetic Pigments upon High-Light Stress**

Plants react in particular to changes in light intensity (Lichtenthaler et al., 1981; Zhu, 2016). To analyze the differences that high-light stress has on the three algae, representing the three major groups (Table 1), we set out to perform comparative studies. The algae were adapted to growing at 50 μmol photons m⁻²s⁻¹ under a 12/12-h day-night cycle and at 20°C. Through rapid light curves we assessed that at 600 μmol photons m⁻²s⁻¹, a saturation of the photosystems was reached in all three species (Figure S1). For the high-light stress treatment, the algae were therefore exposed to 600 μmol photons m⁻²s⁻¹ for 1 h. For comparison we determined the pigment profiles from cultures that were either 6 h into the night or 6 h into the day phase.

The glaucophyte C. paradoxa showed no significant change in pigment concentration or composition, neither at night nor after light stress (Figure 2A). For the red alga P. purpureum we observed only very marginal changes and the concentration of pigments for the samples collected at night was the highest. Pigment concentrations seemed to slowly decrease during the day and even further under high-light stress. This was observed for all three major pigment groups at a similar rate (Figure 2A). Only in the green alga C. reinhardtii the pigment composition changed significantly, especially upon high-light stress (Figure 2A). Here in particular the xanthophyll cycle—the enzyme-driven and reversible conversion of violaxanthin into zeaxanthin—was evident, a component of non-photochemical quenching thought to be absent in

| Organism                  | Protein-Coding Genes | Antenna Proteins | Chlorophylls                  | Antenna Pigments                                      | Thylakoid Organization | Starch & Storage |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Arabidopsis thaliana      | 35,176               | 88               | 122                           | LHC protein complex                                    | a,b                    | Stacked, Granal
| (Streptophyte plant)      |                      |                  |                               | Beta-Carotin, lutein, neoxanthin, violaxanthin,       |                        | Starch          |
|                           |                      |                  |                               | anthexanthin, antheraxanthin, zeaxanthin               |                        |                 |
| Chara braunii             | 23,546               | 105              | 46                            | LHC protein complex                                    | a,b                    | Stacked         |
| (Streptophyte algae)      |                      |                  |                               | Beta-Carotin, lutein, neoxanthin, violaxanthin,       |                        | Starch          |
|                           |                      |                  |                               | anthexanthin, antheraxanthin, zeaxanthin               |                        |                 |
| Chlamydomonas reinhardtii | 14,411               | 69               | 8                             | LHC protein complex                                    | a,b                    | Stacked         |
| (Chlorophyte algae)       |                      |                  |                               | Beta-Carotin, lutein, neoxanthin, violaxanthin,       |                        | Starch          |
|                           |                      |                  |                               | anthexanthin, antheraxanthin, zeaxanthin               |                        |                 |
| Porphyridium purpureum    | 8,355                | 199              | ND                            | Phycobilisomes antheraxanthin, zeaxanthin              | a                      | Unstacked       |
| (Rhodophyte)              |                      |                  |                               | Beta-Carotin, zeaxanthin                               |                        | equidistant and single |
|                           |                      |                  |                               | Unstacked, equidistant and single                      |                        | Glycogen, floridean starch |
| Cyanophora paradoxa       | 27,921 (25,831)      | 136              | 44                            | Phycobilisomes antheraxanthin, zeaxanthin              | a                      | Unstacked       |
| (Glaucophyte)             |                      |                  |                               | Beta-Carotin, zeaxanthin                               |                        | equidistant and single |
|                           |                      |                  |                               | Unstacked, equidistant and single                      |                        | Floridean starch |

Table 1. Major Differences among the Three Primary Algae Lineages and Land Plants, concerning Their Coding Capacity, Composition of the Photosynthetic Apparatus, and Carbon Storage Properties
glauco- and rhodophytes (Goss and Jakob, 2010). Concentrations of chlorophylls and carotenoids actually increased under high-light stress in *C. reinhardtii*, demonstrating their rapid de novo synthesis.

The thylakoid stacks (grana) of land plants relax under high-light stress in order for the repair mechanism of the photosystems to properly function (Khatoon et al., 2009). This concerns in particular the degradation of the D1 protein through the membrane-bound protease FtsH, whose dimerized size is too large for the space where two thylakoid stacks align (Yoshioka-Nishimura and Yamamoto, 2014). Algae form different types of thylakoid stacks (Bertrand, 2010; Tsekos et al., 1996) but no grana-like structures. We performed trans-electron microscopy (TEM)-based analysis of the cells from the three different conditions and determined the distance between neighboring thylakoid stacks. The differences we observed were marginal, but only in the case of *C. reinhardtii* did we observe a statistically significant increase in spacing upon high-light stress (Figure 2B).

**The Transcriptional Response to High-Light Stress Is Most Pronounced in the Chlorophyte**

We also generated RNA-Seq data on all samples. They reveal stark differences among the three species in terms of overall transcriptional regulation (Figure 3). In the chlorophyte, the response to high-light stress was the most pronounced among the three algae, both regarding the number of differentially expressed genes as well as the number of upregulated genes during high-light conditions. For each condition a clear separation was observed, and a specific gene set was found to be upregulated relative to the average expression of each gene over all conditions (Figure 3).

Under high-light conditions the chlorophyte upregulates the expression of photosynthesis machinery components as well as proteins that promote photoprotection. A total of 418 transcripts were found to be
differentially expressed (of a total of 2,810 differential expressed transcripts between any conditions), 274 values of which were significantly upregulated compared with daylight conditions (Table S3). The upregulated photoprotective proteins include stress-related chlorophyll-binding proteins 1 and 3 involved in energy-dependent quenching to dissipate excess energy (Bonente et al., 2011), members of the early-light inducible protein family (Elip), and ancestral homologs of the non-photochemical quenching-associated PSBS/LHCSR3 family (Hutin et al., 2003; Engelken et al., 2010), a CPD photolyase class II that reverses the formation of pyrimidine dimers that result from the exposure to strong UV radiation (Carell et al., 2001), and chlorophyll b reductases and beta-carotene hydroxylases that prevent over-excitation of the photosystem and protect the cells from high-light intensities (Sato et al., 2015; Davison et al., 2002).

Next to these photoprotective proteins, photosynthesis house-keeping genes such as PSII Pbs27, Rieske protein, PSII subunit 28, and several proteins of the LHC superfamily were upregulated as well as a few stress-response proteins such as the plastidal homolog of DnaJ and other members of the HSP70 protein family that together form a multichaperone complex (Willmund et al., 2008) (Table S3).

In the glaucophyte C. paradoxa, most of the 1,463 differentially expressed transcripts were found upregulated during darkness in correspondence to nightly proliferation (Figure 3). The overall difference between day and night was far more pronounced than day versus high-light and the difference between light and high-light conditions smaller than in Chlamydomonas (Table S3). In comparison to the green alga, fewer proteins involved in photosynthesis regulation and photoprotection were found to be upregulated during high-light stress, but they also included several Elip proteins.

The identification of differentially expressed genes in P. purpureum proved more difficult. Only 90 transcripts were initially identified, but by lowering the significance cut-off according to the standard edgeR protocol we were able to detect another 980. The expression profile matches that of C. paradoxa, although the number of regulated genes is far smaller (when compared with the same cutoff of p = 0.001). For the transition from daylight to high-light, a total of 38 transcripts were identified (Table S3). The most notable genes that were upregulated during high-light stress were a high-light inducible protein (Hlip) involved in
non-photochemical quenching (Komenda and Sobotka, 2016) and several heat shock proteins (HSP70). The response to light stress was far weaker than in the other two algae (p = 0.001), but P. purpureum might regulate its RNA levels through the extensive use of miRNAs (Gao et al., 2016), which could contribute to the lower levels of differentially expressed genes identified.

Comparisons of the most highly upregulated proteins of each of the three algae among all conditions revealed additional differences in light-dependent differential gene expression. Although C. reinhardtii upregulated the synthesis of several photosynthesis and plastid-related proteins during light and high-light conditions, C. paradoxa and P. purpureum upregulated only a few. In the case of C. paradoxa, the biggest notable difference was the focus on protein biosynthesis during darkness/night. The 50 most highly upregulated proteins during the night were ribosomal proteins (approximately 90%), indicating an increase in overall protein biosynthesis and proliferation (Table S4). We observed photosynthesis machinery as well as photoprotection components to be among the most upregulated proteins in combination only in C. reinhardtii, illustrating the chlorophyte’s more elaborate and immediate ability to adapt to changing light conditions compared with the other two screened algae.

The Red Toc75 Is an Oep80 and Toc75 Unique to Chloroplastida

Most members of the Arabidopsis Toc75 family have been characterized. This includes the main import channel of the outer membrane, Toc75 (Kessler et al., 1994) (TOC75-III, TAIR: At3g46740), as well as Oep80 (TOC75-V, TAIR: At5g19620) whose exact function remains unresolved while the protein is essential for plant viability (Hsu et al., 2008; Patel et al., 2008), and the most recently characterized SP2 (TAIR: At3g44160), which serves protein export for chloroplast-associated protein degradation (Ling et al., 2019). The situation in rhodophytes and glaucophytes differs. They do not seem to encode the same number of Toc75 homologs (Day et al., 2014; Topel et al., 2012).

We collected 77 eukaryotic proteins of the Toc75 and Oep80 family from 44 eukaryotic species and rooted them against their cyanobacterial homologs for the construction of a phylogenetic tree. The single glaucophyte sequence sits basal to all others, whereas the rhodophyte sequences form a well-supported group that is sister to all chloroplastidial sequences (Figure 4). The sequences of green algae and plants fall into two distinct and again well-supported clusters: one comprises a group of proteins including the AtOep80, the other a group containing the main import pore AtToc75. Within these two groups separating the Oep80 from the Toc75 proteins, the separation between the chloro- and streptophytes is observed, as well as the basal branching of the streptophyte alga Chara braunii related to the ancestor of land plants (Wickett et al., 2014; Nishiyama et al., 2018) (Figure 4).

DISCUSSION

If one measures evolutionary success by species diversity, the green lineage is the most successful. About 16,000 green algal, 5,000 rhodophyte, and 13 glaucophyte species have been recognized (with >100,000, 500–1,000 and about a dozen that remain to be described, respectively) (Andersen, 1992). Another 400,000 land plant species (Govaerts, 2001) evolved since the conquering of land some 480 million years ago (Kenrick et al., 2012; Delwiche and Cooper, 2015). We argue that the evolutionary origin and success of the green lineage hinges upon early changes in plastid protein targeting.

Algae and plant cells target more than a thousand proteins specifically to each of their two compartments of endosymbiotic origin. Plastid targeting evolved in a cell that had already established mitochondrial targeting, yet both import machineries share similarities and both rely on specific NTSs for matrix and stroma targeting (Schleiff and Becker, 2011). The origin of the mitochondrial NTS is uncertain, but its positive charge was an early requirement to overcome the bioenergetic inner mitochondrial membrane (Garg et al., 2015). The most N-terminal domain carries the charged residues critical for distinguishing between mitochondrial- and plastid-targeting (Figure 1), whereas the C-terminus is exchangeable (Lee et al., 2019). Because the plastid is younger and because the photosynthetic organelle evolved in a eukaryotic cell instead of contributing to its actual origin, we understand more about the origin of the plastid NTS.

On the Origin of the N-terminal Targeting Sequence

It has been speculated that N-terminal targeting sequences evolved from antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) (Wollman, 2016), as both share similarities in terms of charged amino acid residues, the ability to form
Figure 4. Phylogenetic Analysis of Oep80 and Toc75 Homologs

A total of 77 amino acid sequences of Oep80/Toc75 homologs from members of the chlorophytes, rhodophytes, and glaucophytes were used for phylogeny reconstruction via RAxML (PROTCATWAGF) with 100 bootstraps. The tree was rooted on the split between the monophyletic cyanobacteria and the eukaryotic sequences. The cyanobacteria as well as all three algal groups form monophyletic groups. Within the green lineage, the Toc75 and Oep80 sequences form separate clusters, indicating the emergence of Toc75 within the green lineage. Bootstrap values > 90 are represented by dots.
amphiphilic $\alpha$-helices, and because they are frequently identified in host-endosymbiont relationships (Mergaert et al., 2017). One example regarding the latter is *Paulinella chromatophora*, whose chromatophore origin is independent from that of the Archaeplastida and much younger (Nowack, 2014). Two types of NTSs were identified that target nuclear-encoded proteins to the chromatophore, but both are not related to the simultaneously identified AMPs (Singer et al., 2017), which argues against an AMP-origin of the NTS in *Paulinella*. The concept is also not compatible with the origin of phenylalanine-based plastid targeting and Toc75.

The components of the Toc and Tic machinery share a mixed pro- and eukaryotic ancestry (Jarvis and Soll, 2001; Day and Theg, 2018). Toc75, the $\beta$-barrel import pore in the outer membrane, is of prokaryotic origin and a member of the Omp85 superfamily (Day et al., 2014). Some bacterial Omp85’s recognize their substrates through a C-terminal phenylalanine (Robert et al., 2006) and evidence is emerging that the POTRA domains of Toc75 act as binding sites for the NTS (O’Neil et al., 2017). If we recall that the phenylalanine-based motif is retained in rhodophytes and glaucophytes (Wunder et al., 2007), we can conclude that the pNTS did not evolve from AMPs but rather adapted in evolution and traces back to a recognition signal for the cyanobacterial Omp85 that evolved into Toc75 (Sommer et al., 2011). The ancestral character of phenylalanine-based plastid targeting was lost with the origin of the Chloroplastida and we suggest simultaneously to the expansion of the Toc75 family, with significant consequences for the green lineage.

**Dual-Targeting Using a Single Ambiguous Signal as a Result of Losing the F-based Motif**

The use of an F-based motif offered an elegant solution to the archaeplastidal ancestor. It utilized an existing translocons-substrate recognition mechanism and allowed to distinguish cytosolically translated mitochondrial from plastid proteins through a single amino-acid-based motif. With the emergence of the green Toc75 and loss of the F-based motif, false targeting likely increased. One counter-measure was the increase in phosphorylation sites in the NTS, which adds negative charge and hampers import of the substrate by mitochondria (Garg et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2006; Law et al., 2015). Many proteins, however, remain dual targeted in Arabidopsis (Carrie and Small, 2013), and we predict this is restricted to the green lineage. Dual-targeting to mitochondrion and plastid does occur in algae with a red plastid, but through alternative transcription/translation initiation and not through the use of a single ambiguous NTS (Gile et al., 2015).

Evolution is blind. Dual-targeting evolves from falsely targeted proteins that initially might not offer a direct benefit but are also not detrimental to the cell’s viability. This can re-localize or establish entire new pathways (Martin, 2010). Our phylogenetic analysis (Figure 5) shows there is no apparent preference regarding the evolutionary origin (cyanobacterial vs. proteobacterial) and flow of dual-targeted proteins: as much proteins of cyanobacterial origin are targeted to the mitochondria as there are vice versa (Figure 5).

Dual-targeted proteins are largely part of the transcription and translation machinery (Carrie and Small, 2013), which might include the plastid-associated polymerases whose dual-targeting in Chloroplastida might be an ancestral trait of the lineage (Nishiyama et al., 2018). Both the mitochondrion and plastid have a genome and as such information processing proteins suit a dual-targeting route well. A simultaneous control over the transcription and translation of both organelles might allow for a faster and accurate response or simply easier house-keeping. Dual-targeting reinforces the simultaneous addressing of the two organelles of endosymbiotic origin, which we speculate offers an evolutionary advantage to cells carrying dozens of mitochondria and plastids simultaneously such as the cells of land plants but not all algae.

**An Oep80-Derived Toc75 Is Unique to the Green Lineage**

One of the earliest descriptions of Toc75 was for a protein isolated from pea (Schnell et al., 1994). Conserved homologs across all Chloroplastida were quickly identified (Kalanon and McFadden, 2008; Shi and Theg, 2013) but required more effort across the diversity of the Archaeplastida. Through the identification of an Omp85 homolog in algae with secondary red plastids, it became evident that all phototrophic eukaryotes harbor beta-barrel-forming proteins of an extended Omp85 family that form the import pore in the outer plastid membrane (Bullmann et al., 2010), but with a decisive difference regarding the number of encoded homologs.

Our phylogenetic analysis of Toc75 and Oep80 supports previous analyses without the need of any sequence trimming. It demonstrates the clear-cut, likely also functional, separation between the Toc75
and Oep80 proteins of Chloroplastida (Day et al., 2014). The red sequences are closer to their prokaryotic homologs, and the green Toc75 is further derived. From the perspective of phylogeny, there is little doubt that Toc75 is unique to the green lineage and originated from the duplication of a green lineage-specific Oep80/Omp85. This suggests a division of labor at the outer chloroplast membrane not found in rhodoplasts or cyanelles, the benefits of which are plenty. Glauco- and rhodophytes work with a single import pore, whereas Arabidopsis and its green relatives encode a single full-length Toc75 and a single full-length Oep80. Both of the latter are expressed at high levels in a conserved ratio and in the different tissues according to the gene expression atlas of the TAIR database (Berardini et al., 2015). Their presence is needed simultaneously and appears synchronized.

We speculate that the duplication of Omp85/Oep80 allows for a more efficient, faster, and versatile protein import. It might be a prerequisite for the elaborate response to high-light stress, which our data support (Figures 2 and 3). A response to high-light stress is evident in all three lineages (Figure 3), but differs in quantity and detail. Chlamydomonas not only alters its gene expression network the most upon high-light stress but also focuses more on photosynthesis maintenance and protection (Table S3), reacts less stressed, and rapidly synthesizes pigments denovo (Figure 2). The upregulation of Elips that are of cyanobacterial origin occurs in all three lineages, but they were only expanded and diversified in the green lineage (Heddad and Adamska, 2002). Retrograde signaling (a critical part of the response to high-light stress) is limited by the plastid’s import capacity (Wu et al., 2019), highlighting the direct dependence.

If Oep80’s main duty is indeed the integration of beta-barrel proteins (and maybe other delicate substrates of unknown nature), then it releases Toc75 from this job. This would then mirror the situation in mitochondria. Here, Tom40 acts as the main import channel, whereas Sam50 receives beta-barrel proteins with a complicated topology from Tom40 for their integration into the outer mitochondrial membrane (Wiedemann et al., 2003). The division of labor appears more effective than the simple increase in number of a single import gateway. This could have allowed the endosymbiotic gene transfer of the small subunit of RubisCo to the nucleus, a trademark of the green lineage (Broglie et al., 1983; Coen et al., 1977). The sheer amount of RbcS protein required to be imported might simply overstrain the Omp85/Oep80 of rhodo- and...
glaucophytes, and its gene transfer from the plastid to the nucleus is hence selected against. These patterns allow to speculate on the sequence of evolutionary events.

Initially a duplication of the ancestral green Omp85 occurred and both paralogs might have performed the same duty early on. Mutations in one of the two copies led to an independence of F-based targeting, alternative substrate recognition, the emergence of NTS phosphorylation (Garg and Gould, 2016), and a cytosolic 14-3-3/Hsp70-based guidance complex (May and Soll, 2000) that we predict is unique to the green lineage, too. The plastid-encoded Tic214(YCF1)/YCF2/FtsHi complex emerged early in chlorophyte evolution, too, maybe through the duplication of an early Tic20-like protein (Wunder et al., 2007; Kikuchi et al., 2018). The components of this complex are highly diverse, except for a C-terminal motif, and were entirely lost in grasses without impacting protein import (de Vries et al., 2015, 2017). Other components were added such as Tic40 that further increases import efficiency (Chou et al., 2003) and which is absent from rhodo- and glaucophytes (Kalanon and McFadden, 2008). Ever more plastid proteins went via the Toc75 route, apart from maybe some slow folding proteins of the outer-membrane that continued to be integrated via Oep80. Noteworthy, however, both import pathways remain linked in their function (Day et al., 2019). A more recent extension was the emergence of the CHLORAD pathway (chloroplast-associated protein degradation). Its central component, SP2, is an Omp85 paralog, too, which however lacks the POTRA domains (Ling et al., 2019). It likely emerged in angiosperms and might facilitate the remodeling of plastids (e.g., of a chloroplast to a chromoplast), a feature that in its entirety is unique to the evolutionary more recent land plants and their embryo plast (de Vries et al., 2016). Therefore, the implementation of yet another plastid protein transport pathway based on an Omp85/Oep80 duplication coincided with yet another major step in land plant evolution.

Conclusion
Plastid endosymbiosis introduced phototrophs to the eukaryotic Tree of Life. A critical step was the evolution of a basicToc/Tic protein import machinery that is conserved across all algae and plants. It is evident that major modifications of the Tic/Toc machinery and changes in the targeting sequences occurred early in the origin of the Chloroplastida. This concerns especially (1) the loss of phenylalanine-based targeting and (2) the emergence of new import machinery components including Tic40, a plastid-encoded Tic214, and a Toc75 that evolved from the duplication of the ancestral green Omp85. We suggest that the former resulted in the emergence of dual organelle (plastid and mitochondrion) targeting using a single ambiguous targeting sequence and that the latter introduced an import pathway for nuclear-encoded proteins that permitted higher import rates at the expense of targeting specificity. The main import pore of the green plastid, Toc75, was freed from dealing with slow-folding proteins of the outer membrane and permitting rapid import of proteins required to cope with high-light stress. Whatever the details regarding the substrates imported by Oep80, the Chloroplastida make use of two Omp85 homologs, Oep80 and Toc75, where rhodophytes and glaucophytes use only one. The transition to life on land was a transition to high-light stress. Responses to high-light require the efficient and immediate import of over a hundred nuclear-encoded plastid proteins simultaneously after retrograde plastid signaling. This, we speculate, was realized by the implementation of an efficient plastid import pathway that enabled the evolutionary success of the Chloroplastida.

Limitations of the Study
Transcriptome assemblies profit immensely from reference genomes during assembly. Since current reference genomes of P. purpureum and C. paradoxa still lack quality, we assembled the transcriptomes de novo. By updating reference genomes and improving their quality, we might get a better insight into light-stress-induced gene regulation within the three major algal lineages. Many components of protein targeting to the mitochondrion and/or plastid have been identified but for a few their exact function remains unclear. Furthermore, direct protein import kinetics via proteomic studies are still limited by reliable plastid isolation protocols for all three species.

METHODS
All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY
Transcriptomes are available via the Sequence Read Archive of NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) with the accession number PRJNA509798.
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Major Changes in Plastid Protein Import and the Origin of the Chloroplastida
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**Transparent Methods**

**Culturing**

*C. reinhardtii* (SCCAP K-1017) and *C. paradoxa* (SCCAP K-06262) were grown in NF2 medium and *P. purpureum* (SCCAP K-0515) cells were grown in MV10 medium (see [http://www.sccap.dk/media/freshwater/4.asp](http://www.sccap.dk/media/freshwater/4.asp) and [http://www.sccap.dk/media/marine/3.asp](http://www.sccap.dk/media/marine/3.asp) of the SCCAP for exact recipe and preparation), all three in aerated flasks at 20°C and illuminated with 50 \( \mu \text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) under a 12/12h day-night cycle. RNA was isolated from cells growing in the exponential phase either at 6h into the day, 6h into the night or after 1h of high-light treatment at 600 \( \mu \text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \). RNA was sequenced and assembled exactly as described previously (Gould et al., 2019), based on pooled biological triplicates and independently sequenced technical triplicates.

**Rapid light curves and pigment profiles**

The relative electron transport rates (rETR) of the different algae were measured with use of the FluorCam FC 800MF (Photo Systems Instruments) with modulated red light (emission at 625nm and bandwidth of 40nm) as a source of measuring light (<0.1\( \mu \text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) and modulated blue light as saturation pulse (> 8000\( \mu \text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) (Suppl. Fig. 1). The algae samples were dark adapted for 5 min and repeatedly submitted to increasing light intensities (13, 48, 122, 160, 200, 235, 305, 375, 542, 670 \( \mu \text{mol quanta m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) every 11 min. The exported numeric values were fitted according to Eilers & Peeters, 1988. For each pigment extraction the pellet of 50 ml culture was resuspended with 100% acetone, homogenized and kept at -20°C over night. On the next day extracts were centrifuged and supernatant was filtered once through a 200 nm polytetrafluoroethylene membrane and then analyzed by reversed-phase high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) with ultraviolet/visible spectroscopy detection (Hitachi/Merck). Pigment concentrations were determined using external pigment standards isolated from spinach thylakoids (Färber et al., 1997).

**Microscopy**

For trans-electron microscopy cells were centrifuged at 800 x g and pellet was washed twice with PBS. Afterwards pellets were carefully resuspended with 2,5 % glutaraldehyde in 0,1 M cacodylate buffer and incubated for 2-3 days at 4°C. Fixed cells were then centrifuged and pellets was washed four times with 0,1 M cacodylate buffer with a minimum of 10 min incubation time and centrifugation for 2 min at 13.000 rpm. For contrasting, samples were resuspended in 2% Osmium(VIII)-oxid + 0,8% potassium hexacyanoferrate and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Then cells were washed again five times and after addition of 3,5 % agarose and resuspension cells were incubated on ice for a minimum of 10 min until agarose became hardened. Tube tips were cut using a guillotine and the solid agar embedded pellet was pulled out and transferred to a small glass container (40 x 19 mm, 5 ml, with plastic lids). Dehydration of cell pellets was achieved using an ascending ethanol washing series starting with 60% ethanol (1 x 10 min), followed by 70% (overnight at 4°C), 80% (2 x 10 min), 90% (2 x 10 min) and 100% (1 x 10 min), finishing with 100% ethanol + molecular strainer (1 x 10
min) and propylenoxid (1 x 15 min). Afterwards epoxide resin/propylenoxid mixtures were added to the samples with increasing epoxide resin concentrations. First, a 1h incubation with epoxide resin/propylenoxid (1:2) was followed by a 1h incubation with epoxid resin/propylenoxid (1:1) and finally an overnight incubation with epoxide resin/propylenoxid (2:1) was performed. Freshly prepared epoxide resin was added the next day and samples incubated for four hours in a vacuum to remove any remaining oxygen within the epoxide resin/cell pellet solution. Finally, pellets were cut in approx. 1 mm slices with a razor blade and placed onto the tip of a notch on a rubber mat and completely covered with epoxide resin. After that epoxide resin filled mats were incubated for 24 h at 40°C followed by 24 h incubation at 60°C for complete polymerization. Probes were then cut using a ultramicroton, placed on monitoring grids and examined using trans-electron microscopy (Zeiss EM902). For the analysis of thylakoid stacks, the distances within 10 cells were counted using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). For each graph 10 cells were analyzed and within each cell 10 different areas counted.

Identification of differentially expressed genes and annotation

Subsequent to the assembly via Trinity (Grabherr et al., 2011) (r2013-02-25), edgeR (Robinson et al., 2009) was used to calculate the number of differentially expressed genes. The criteria for the identification were a logarithmic fold change of at least 2 and significance of 0.001 or lower. Since this approach only detected 91 differentially expressed genes for P. purpureum, the significance cutoff was lowered to 0.05 as suggested by the EdgeR manual (https://github.com/trinityrnaseq/trinityrnaseq/wiki). For an overall comparison of all three conditions, for each transcript the fold changes were calculated relative to the average over all conditions. The transcripts were ranked according to mean expression values for all three light conditions and each organism. Protein annotation was performed by a BLAST search of all CDS against 112 Refseq plant and algae genomes. All BLAST hits with at least 25% local identity and a maximum E-value of 1x10^{-10} were used for annotation, although in cases where the hit did not provide enough information (hypothetical proteins, predicted proteins) the next best non-hypothetical hit was selected, accepting also E-values up to 10^{-3}. Additionally, InterProScan 5.39-77.0 (Quevillon et al., 2005) (Linux Standalone version, Pfam analysis) was used to retrieve Pfam, InterPro and GO Identifier.

Phylogenomic analysis

The sequence dataset for the phylogenetic analysis of the Toc75/Oep80 homologs consists of 77 amino acid sequences from Chlorophytes, Rhodophytes, Cyanobacteria, Plants and one Glaucophyte. We consulted Inoue and Potter 2004 to obtain 39 amino acid sequences of Toc75 and Oep80 homologs from either the Refseq (O’Leary et al., 2016) or GenBank (Clark et al., 2016) database via their respective gene identifiers (Suppl. table 1). Additionally, 28 genomes from Chlorophytes, Rhodophytes (Rossoni et al., 2019) and one Glaucophyte were downloaded either from the Refseq, GenBank or the JGI Genome Portal (Grigoriev et al., 2012) (Suppl. table 1). The initial set of sequences was used as query sequences to search for Toc75 and
Oep80 homologs via BLASTp (version 2.5.0) (Altschul et al., 1997, Altschul et al., 1990). All non-redundant hits from each subject genome with at least 25% local identity and a maximum E-value of 0.001 were added to the sequence set. Blast hits of Oep80 and Toc75 sequences of the initial sequence set were named pOep80 and pToc75, respectively.

Multiple protein sequence alignments were constructed using MAFFT (version 7.299b) with the parameters “--maxiterate 1000” and “--localpair” (Katoh et al., 2002). The initial multiple protein sequence alignment was used to check the quality of identified homologs, resulting in the removal of sequences that differed drastically in overall amino acid composition. The multiple amino acid sequence alignment was then used to construct a phylogenetic tree via RAxML (Stamatakis, 2014, version 8.2.8) using the substitution model ‘PROTCATWAGF’ (WAG substitution Matrix and empirical base frequencies; model determined by the IQ-Tree 1.6.7 Modelfinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017)) and 100 non-parametric bootstraps. An additional tree was constructed using the new RAxML-NG with the model LG+F+R5 and 1000 bootstraps (Kozlov et al., 2019) (Suppl. Fig. 2). The trees were rooted on the split between the monophyletic cyanobacterial sequences and the rest of the taxa.

Sequences of plastid-, mitochondria- and dual-targeted proteins of A. thaliana were obtained from Garg and Gould 2016. All proteins were blasted (diamond blastp, identity cutoff: 25%, e-value cutoff: 1x10^-10) against a database of 94 cyanobacterial and 460 alphaproteobacterial proteomes (Suppl. table 2). All hits meeting the cutoffs were plotted against all proteomes in a 2D binary heatmap. The members of each group were sorted according to phylogenetic trees from concatenated alignments, while the order of genes was determined by hierarchical clustering (hclust, method: “average”). The intracellular localization of the proteins was color coded.

Identification of nuclear encoded, mitochondria- and plastid-targeted genes

Plastid-targeted proteins were identified by blasting known and manually curated plastid-targeted proteins from A. thaliana (Garg and Gould, 2016) against the genome of C. reinhardtii, C. paradoxa and P. purpureum (identity of at least 50%, query coverage of at least 50%, maximum E-value of 1x10^-5) or extracted from published proteome data when available (Terashima et al., 2010, Facchinelli et al., 2013). To identify mitochondria-targeted proteins, we blasted all mitochondria-targeted proteins from human, mouse and rat (according to the IMPI database, marked as “Known mitochondrial”) against the genomes of the three algae (identity of at least 50%, query coverage of at least 50%, maximum E-value of 1x10^-5). Sequence logos of the mitochondria- and plastid-targeted proteins were curated manually by aligning the first 20 amino acids following an F, Y, W or L (according to Gruber et al., 2007) and plotted using Seq2Logo (Thomsen and Nielsen, 2012).
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Suppl. Fig. 1: Related to Figure 2. Rapid light curves (RLCs) of the three primary algae species.
Relative electron transport rate (rETR) was determined using the FluorCam FC 800MF. Algae cultures were cultivated at 20°C with an illumination intensity of 50µE under a 12/12h day-night cycle. After 5 min of dark adaptation photosynthetic activity was measured with increasing light intensities (13, 48, 122, 160, 200, 235, 305, 375, 542, 670 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹). At 600 µE (indicated with circles) a saturation of the photosynthetic apparatus occurred in all three lineages analyzed.
Suppl. Fig. 2: Related to Figure 4. Alternative phylogenetic analysis of Oep80 and Toc75 homologs

Phylogeny reconstruction via RaXML-NG (LG+R5+F) with 1000 bootstraps. The tree was rooted on the split between the monophyletic cyanobacteria and the eukaryotic sequences. Although the branching pattern differs from the tree reconstructed with RAxML 8 and the PROTCATWAGF Model, all Toc75 and Oep80 sequences from Chloroplastida still form a monophyletic clade. Like in the analysis underlying Fig. 4, Toc75 and Oep80 proteins of plants each form monophyletic clades.
Suppl. Fig. 3: Related to Figure 3. Venn diagrams of upregulated genes during each tested light condition. Upregulation of transcripts between all conditions (Fig. 3). While *C. reinhardtii* upregulates distinct sets of genes during light and high-light conditions, *C. paradoxa* and *P. purpureum* upregulate predominantly the same genes during light and high-light conditions (p=0.001) illustrating *C. reinhardtii*’s superior adaptation to high light stress.
| Sequence header in this study | Dataset | Group   | original Accession |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| Bathycoccus_pisanoi_pTOC75   | Extended set | Chlorophytes | XP_007505108.1     |
| Chlamydomonas_reinhardtii_pOEP80 | Extended set | Chlorophytes | XP_001695043.1     |
| Chlamydomonas_reinhardtii_pTOC75 | Extended set | Chlorophytes | XP_001703281.1     |
| Chlorophyceae_pTOC75         | Extended set | Chlorophytes | XP_005843553.1     |
| Chlorophyceae_pTOC75         | Extended set | Chlorophytes | gjiChredf1!9@d29481204g131011 |}

Tabular listing of all sequences used to construct phylogenetic trees (Fig. 4 and Suppl. Fig. 2) including the sequence header used in this study, accession number and taxonomic group of the source organism. All sequences that were found via BLAST searches are labelled as “Extended set”. Additionally, the genome sources of these sequences are listed including their source database, URL, download date and their respective accession level.
| Organism            | Source             | Genome URL                                                                 | Downloaded | Assembly level  |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Osteococcus tauri   | Refseq             | ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/refseq/Osteococcus_tauri/assembly_versions/GCF_000214015.3_version_140606 | 20.03.19   | chromosome     |
| Chlamydomonas reinhardii | Refseq             | ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/refseq/Chlamydomonas_reinhardii/assembly_versions/GCF_000002595.1_v3.0 | 20.03.19   | scaffold       |
| Micromonas commoda  | Refseq             | ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/refseq/Micromonas_commoda/assembly_versions/GCF_000090985.2_ASM9098v2 | 20.03.19   | scaffold       |
| Micromonas pusilla  | Refseq             | ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/refseq/Micromonas_pusilla/assembly_versions/GCF_000151265.2_Micromonas_pusilla_ASM15126v2 | 20.03.19   | scaffold       |
| Osteococcus lucimarinus | Refseq             | ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/refseq/Osteococcus_sp_lucimarinus/assembly_versions/GCF_000092065.1_ASM9206v1 | 20.03.19   | scaffold       |
| Chlorella variabilis | Refseq             | ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/refseq/Chlorella_variabilis/assembly_versions/GCF_000147415.1_v1.0 | 20.03.19   | scaffold       |
| Volvox carteri      | Refseq             | ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/refseq/Chlorella_variabilis/assembly_versions/GCF_000143455.1_v1.0 | 20.03.19   | scaffold       |
| Bathycoccus prasinos | Refseq             | ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/refseq/Bathycoccus_prasinos/assembly_versions/GCF_00222035.1_ASM22203v1 | 20.03.19   | scaffold       |
| Coccomyxa subellipsoidea | Refseq             | ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/refseq/Coccomyxa_subellipsoidea/assembly_versions/GCF_000058705.1_Coccomyxa_subellipsoidae_ASM58705v1 | 20.03.19   | contig         |
| Monoraphidium neglectum | Refseq             | ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/refseq/Chlorella_variabilis/assembly_versions/GCF_000611645.1_mono_v1 | 20.03.19   | scaffold       |
| Corinum pektoreale   | JGI                | https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/portal/pages/dynamicOrganismDownload.jsf?organism=Corinum_pectoreale | 20.03.19   | –               |
| Chromochloris zafingensis | JGI                | https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/portal/pages/dynamicOrganismDownload.jsf?organism=Chromochloris_zafingensis | 20.03.19   | –               |
| Prochloron sp. | JGI                | https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/portal/pages/dynamicOrganismDownload.jsf?organism=Prochloron_sp | 20.03.19   | –               |
| Cyanophora paradoxa  | Institute of Plant Biochemistry, HHU, Germany | http://cyanophora.rutgers.edu/cyanophora/Cyanophora_paradoxa_MAKER_gene_predictions-022111-a.fasta | 20.03.19   | contig         |
| Calderia sulphuraria 074W | Refseq             | ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/refseq/Calderia_sulphuraria/assembly_versions/GCF_000341285.1_ASM34128v1 | 20.03.19   | scaffold       |
| Calderia p lategrae Soos | Institute of Plant Biochemistry, HHU, Germany | http://porphyra.rutgers.edu/Rossoni_et_al_2019.zip | –          | –               |
| Calderia sulphuraria 002 | Institute of Plant Biochemistry, HHU, Germany | http://porphyra.rutgers.edu/Rossoni_et_al_2019.zip | –          | –               |
| Calderia sulphuraria 5572 | Institute of Plant Biochemistry, HHU, Germany | http://porphyra.rutgers.edu/Rossoni_et_al_2019.zip | –          | –               |
| Calderia sulphuraria Azorica | Institute of Plant Biochemistry, HHU, Germany | http://porphyra.rutgers.edu/Rossoni_et_al_2019.zip | –          | –               |
| Calderia sulphuraria 551 | Institute of Plant Biochemistry, HHU, Germany | http://porphyra.rutgers.edu/Rossoni_et_al_2019.zip | –          | –               |
| Calderia sulphuraria 555H | Institute of Plant Biochemistry, HHU, Germany | http://porphyra.rutgers.edu/Rossoni_et_al_2019.zip | –          | –               |
| Calderia sulphuraria RT22 | Institute of Plant Biochemistry, HHU, Germany | http://porphyra.rutgers.edu/Rossoni_et_al_2019.zip | –          | –               |
| Calderia sulphuraria SAG231 | Institute of Plant Biochemistry, HHU, Germany | http://porphyra.rutgers.edu/Rossoni_et_al_2019.zip | –          | –               |
| Calderia sulphuraria YNP587_1 | Institute of Plant Biochemistry, HHU, Germany | http://porphyra.rutgers.edu/Rossoni_et_al_2019.zip | –          | –               |
| Cyanidioschyzon megalum Soos | Institute of Plant Biochemistry, HHU, Germany | http://porphyra.rutgers.edu/Rossoni_et_al_2019.zip | –          | –               |
| Cyanidioschyzon mendax 10D | Refseq             | ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/refseq/Cyanidioschyzon_mendax/assembly_versions/GCF_000091205.1_ASM9120v1 | 20.03.19   | complete genome |
| Chondrus crispus     | Refseq             | ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/refseq/Chondrus_crispus/assembly_versions/GCF_000350225.1_ASM35022v2 | 20.03.19   | scaffold       |
Suppl. Table 2: Provided as Excel-Table
Suppl. Table 3: Provided as Excel-Table
Suppl. Table 4: Provided as Excel-Table
| Organism name | assembly accession | Taxonomictype | Assembly level | Genomerelease date | Downloaded |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Citrus sinensis | GCF_000317415.1 | 2713 Chromosome | 10.04.09 | 28.06.19 | |
| Physcomitrella patens | GCF_000002425.4 | 3218 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Papaver somniferum | GCF_003862925.2 | 3818 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Gossypium hirsutum | GCF_000897745.1 | 3659 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Theobroma cacao | GCF_000387465.1 | 3641 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Cucumis sativus | GCF_000400675.2 | 3659 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Lycopersicon esculentum | GCF_000935255.1 | 3711 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Malus domestica | GCF_001141155.1 | 3750 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Prunus persica | GCF_000364665.2 | 3760 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Arachis hypogaea | GCF_003862995.2 | 3818 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Cajanus cajan | GCF_000390665.1 | 3821 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Cicurbita pepo subsp. pepo | GCF_002808685.1 | 3646 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Papaver somniferum | GCF_000002775.4 | 3659 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Arabidopsis thaliana | GCF_000017355.1 | 3702 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Brassica napus | GCF_000895895.2 | 3818 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Brassica rapa | GCF_000399985.1 | 3711 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Cucumis melo | GCF_000965525.1 | 109376 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Malus domestica | GCF_001141155.1 | 3750 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Prunus persica | GCF_000364665.2 | 3760 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Solanum tuberosum | GCF_000935255.1 | 3711 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Vitis vinifera | GCF_000003745.3 | 29760 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Gossypium arboreum | GCF_000612285.1 | 29729 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Vigna radiata | GCF_000741045.1 | 3916 Chromosome | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Prunus avium | GCF_002207925.1 | 42229 Scaffold | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |
| Eucalyptus camaldulensis | GCF_000612305.1 | 71139 Scaffold | 02.02.17 | 28.06.19 | |

Related to Suppl. paradoxa plant and purple genomes.

CompleteGenome were annotate transcripts.