Maturation Stress Generation in Poplar Tension Wood Studied by Synchrotron Radiation Microdiffraction
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Tension wood is widespread in the organs of woody plants. During its formation, it generates a large tensile mechanical stress called maturation stress. Maturation stress performs essential biomechanical functions such as optimizing the mechanical resistance of the stem, performing adaptive movements, and ensuring the long-term stability of growing plants. Although various hypotheses have recently been proposed, the mechanism generating maturation stress is not yet fully understood. In order to discriminate between these hypotheses, we investigated structural changes in cellulose microfibrils along sequences of xylem cell differentiation in tension and normal wood of poplar (Populus deltoides × Populus trichocarpa ‘I45-51’). Synchrotron radiation microdiffraction was used to measure the evolution of the angle and lattice spacing of crystalline cellulose associated with the deposition of successive cell wall layers. Profiles of normal and tension wood were very similar in early development stages corresponding to the formation of the S1 layer and the outer part of the S2 layer. Subsequent layers were found with a lower microfibril angle (MFA), corresponding to the inner part of the S2 layer of normal wood (MFA approximately 10°) and the G layer of tension wood (MFA approximately 0°). In tension wood only, this steep decrease in MFA occurred together with an increase in cellulose lattice spacing. The relative increase in lattice spacing was found close to the usual value of maturation strains. Analysis showed that this increase in lattice spacing is at least partly due to mechanical stress induced in cellulose microfibrils soon after their deposition, suggesting that the G layer directly generates and supports the tensile maturation stress in poplar tension wood.

Wood cells are produced in the cambium at the periphery of the stem. The formation of the secondary wall occurs at the end of cell elongation by the deposition of successive layers made of cellulose microfibrils bounded by an amorphous polymeric matrix. Each layer has a specific chemical composition and is characterized by a particular orientation of the microfibrils relative to the cell axis (Mellerowicz and Sundberg, 2008). Microfibrils are made of crystalline cellulose and are by far the stiffest constituent of the cell wall. The microfibril angle (MFA) in each layer is determinant for cell wall architecture and wood mechanical properties.

During the formation of wood cells, a mechanical stress of a large magnitude, known as “maturation stress” or “growth stress” (Archer, 1986; Fournier et al., 1991) occurs in the cell walls. This stress fulfills essential biomechanical functions for the tree. It compensates for the comparatively low compressive strength of wood and thus improves the stem resistance against bending loads. It also provides the tree with a motor system (Moulia et al., 2006), necessary to maintain the stem at a constant angle during growth (Alméras and Fournier, 2009) or to achieve adaptive reorientations. In angiosperms, a large tensile maturation stress is generated by a specialized tissue called “tension wood.” In poplar (Populus deltoides × Populus trichocarpa), as in most temperate tree species, tension wood fibers are characterized by the presence of a specific layer, called the G layer (Jourez et al., 2001; Fang et al., 2008; Gorshkova et al., 2010), where the matrix is almost devoid of lignin (Pilate et al., 2004) and the microfibrils are oriented parallel to the fiber axis (Fujita et al., 1974). This type of reaction cell is common in plant organs whose function involves the bending or contraction of axes, such as tendrils, twinning vines (Bowling and Vaughn, 2009), or roots (Fisher, 2008).

The mechanism at the origin of tensile maturation stress has been the subject of a lot of controversy and is still not fully understood. However, several recent publications have greatly improved our knowledge about the ultrastructure, chemical composition, mo-
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Typical diffraction patterns obtained from one tension wood and one normal wood sample are shown in Figures 2 and 3 (results for other samples are shown in Supplemental Data S2).

Anatomy of the Studied Samples

Figures 2D and 3D show transverse sections of the studied sample strips that are focused on a 1,200-μm-long radial strip extending from the phloem to the mature wood. A 40- to 80-μm-thick tangential strip of periphloem fibers is visible in the bark, centered around 150 μm from the cambial area. These thick-walled cells are easily detected on the x-ray intensity patterns of both 004 and 200 cellulose crystal planes and were used to match the diffraction patterns and the microscopic observations.

In the first 300 μm after the cambium, the progressive thickening of the fiber secondary wall (formation of the S1 and S2 layers) is clearly visible both in normal wood and tension wood (D1–D3 in Figs. 2 and 3). No difference in cell wall appearance between tension wood and normal wood could be noticed in this area. After 300 μm, the thickening of the cell walls is complete for the normal wood sample (D4 and D5 in Fig. 2). In the tension wood sample, G layers (D4 and D5 in Fig. 3) start to become visible in fibers 430 μm after the cambium (thin dotted line in Fig. 3). No G layer was observed in the normal wood sample.

Changes in the Amount of Cellulose along a Development Sequence

The intensity of the 004 reflection depends on the amount of diffracting microfibrils crossed by the beam. Therefore, local variations in density (e.g., related to the presence of vessels) create slight fluctuations of the intensity profiles (but this does not affect the position of the peak, i.e., the lattice spacing of cellulose). The contributions of small MFA (less than 16°) and large MFA (greater than 16°) to the intensity profiles are shown separately in Figures 2C and 3C. Intensity is represented on a log scale in order that variations at low intensity can be seen despite the high intensity reached in mature wood. Comparison between these profiles indicates the relative abundance of cellulose oriented with a large angle and a small angle at each position.

After the first peak in the periphloem fibers, the cambial zone is characterized by a very low signal due to the absence of secondary walls. The intensity increases along 250 to 300 μm after the cambium, par-
allel to the thickening of the cell wall. During this phase, similar intensity profiles are observed for small MFA and large MFA in both normal wood and tension wood samples. After this phase, the signal of normal wood and tension wood begins to clearly differ. In normal wood, large and small angles have similar constant-intensity patterns, consistent with the fact that the cell walls have reached their final thickness. In tension wood, the signal emerging from small MFA deviates from the large MFA, where the former becomes 3 to 5 times higher than the latter. This steep increase in intensity for small MFA starts approximately 410 μm after the cambium, when the G layers become visible.

Changes in MFA along a Development Sequence

The changes in intensity of the 200 reflection are shown in Figures 2A and 3A (on a log scale) for different azimuthal sectors. The diffraction patterns are similar to those obtained for the 004 reflection for equivalent angle classes, consistent with the fact that both reflect the variations in cellulose quantity. The 200 reflections, however, were less scattered and therefore more suitable for the analysis of a wider range of MFAs.

Insets in Figures 2A and 3A show the full 200 reflection patterns at some specific locations. In the first 100 to 150 μm after the cambium, the signal is very low (data not shown). Cell walls at that stage are composed only of the primary walls, and the very low quality of the signal does not allow the analysis of microfibril orientation (Müller et al., 2002). At the end of this stage (represented by the vertical red dotted line farthest to the left located at +310 μm in normal wood and +410 μm in tension wood), a signal at large angles can be detected (A1 in Figs. 2 and 3), showing the dominant contribution of the large MFA (estimated to be approximately 45°) of the S1 layer. In the next 200 μm, the signal intensity continues to increase together with the thickening of the cell wall. Clearly, this increase occurs at different rates for different classes of MFA. The contribution of MFA < 28° increases much more quickly than that of larger MFAs. This indicates that the developing layer has a lower MFA than the previous one and probably corresponds to the development of the S2 layer. The peak angle at that stage is approximately 22° (A2 in Fig. 2), indicating that the mean MFA is about 25° (Supplemental Data S1). Normal wood and tension wood have very similar patterns until a point where the signal from MFA < 16° diverges from larger MFAs (second red dotted line from the left, located at +310 μm in normal wood and +410 μm in tension wood). This divergence indicates that the cell wall layers deposited from that point have a MFA < 16° both in normal wood and tension wood. In normal wood, the contribution of this class of angles stops increasing near +350 μm (A3 and A4 in Fig. 2) and remains modest. In tension wood, the increase is much larger (A3 and A4 in Fig. 3) and continues until +650 μm, parallel to the increase in small-MFA 004 reflection intensity noted previously. Analysis of the 200 reflection intensity for tension wood reveals a very large specific contribution at small angles, with intensity 5 times higher than for large angles, parallel to the development of the G layer.

Changes in Axial Lattice Spacing of Cellulose along a Development Sequence

The evolution of the d_004 is shown separately for the small MFA (less than 16°) and large MFA (greater than 16°) classes (Figs. 2C and 3C). The graph displays only the points with a signal clear enough to accurately determine the lattice spacing.

In normal wood, d_004 remains constant along the profile for both small- and large-angle microfibrils. In tension wood, the d_004 profile for large angles is also
roughly constant, although more scattered because of the comparatively lower intensity of the signal for this category of angles. For small MFA in tension wood, one can clearly see a progressive increase in lattice spacing in the first 600 μm after the cambium. This increase is particularly steep in the area where the innermost part of the cell wall, dominated by small MFA, diverges on the intensity signal (Fig. 3A). This area clearly corresponds to the development of the G layer. Similar patterns were observed in almost all studied profiles of normal wood and tension wood, except one tension wood profile out of six, for which the d_004 signal was very scattered (Supplemental Data S2).

The axial strain of cellulose was computed as the relative change in d_004 observed in this area. For small-angle microfibrils in tension wood, it ranged between +0.1% to +0.3% with a mean of +0.18% for the five tension wood profiles. In most of the tension wood profiles, no or very little delay was observable between the deposition of cellulose (detected by intensity) and the increase in mean lattice spacing.

**DISCUSSION**

X-ray microdiffraction allowed the exploration of changes in cellulose ultrastructure along sequences of cell wall development in normal and tension wood. Variations in intensity were consistent with the deposition of crystalline cellulose during the progressive thickening of the cell walls. Variations of the 200 and 004 reflection intensities were observed along the sequences and interpreted in terms of MFA of the...
The different patterns observed in normal and tension wood revealed new information about the particular ultrastructure of tension wood secondary walls and their mechanical behavior during fiber development.

**MFA of Cell Wall Layers**

Interpretation of the 200 reflection profiles, in terms of MFA distribution, is not straightforward because walls that are not perpendicular to the beam create some artificial increase of the signal at small azimuth angles (Cave, 1997b). Therefore, the signal intensity at small azimuth does not always reflect the amount of cellulose with small MFA and must be interpreted with caution. We used a numerical model to assess our results (Supplemental Data S1). Based on this analysis, we found that the development of the secondary wall started with the deposition of a layer having a large mean MFA and assumed that this corresponds to the S1 layer. This stage was followed by an abrupt decrease in MFA of the deposited layers assumed to correspond to the early development of the S2 layer. The MFA of this layer was evaluated to be approximately 25° in both normal and tension wood, which is in the upper range of mean MFA usually reported for poplar. After this stage, we observed that further increase in wall thickness occurred along with a steep decrease in MFA of the deposited wall layers in both normal and tension wood. Accurate determination of this MFA was not possible, but simulations clearly show that the mean MFA is less than 10° in normal wood and close to 0° in tension wood. Microscopic observations showed that this occurs during the de-
development of the inner part of the S2 layer for normal wood and during the development of the G layer for tension wood.

These observations indicate that the MFA is not uniform in the S2 layer of the studied normal wood samples. Donaldson and Xu (2005), observing individual cell walls with polarized light, reported that the S2 layer of Pinus radiata had relatively uniform microfibril angles but noticed a trend of increasing MFA toward the S1 layer. Using x-ray microdiffraction across single walls of Norway spruce (Picea abies), Peura et al. (2005) showed that the dominant MFA had a broad distribution ranging over approximately 20° to 30°. Our observations suggest that there is a decrease in MFA of the S2 layer toward the lumen in poplar normal wood.

Our results also show that the MFA of the S2 layer is close to 25° in tension wood (i.e. similar to that of the equivalent layer of normal wood). This result was compared with previous reports about the MFA of the S2 layer in poplar tension wood. Müller et al. (2006) analyzed the 200 diffraction patterns of mature tension wood. These patterns were dominated by a very strong central peak, but the authors detected “shoulders” beside this peak, very similar to what we observed (A3 and A4 in Fig. 3) and ascribed them to the reflection of the S2 layer. They concluded that the MFA of the S2 layer was between 20° and 25°. This is consistent with the MFA we determined for the tension wood S2 layer. Goswami et al. (2008) used selective enzymes to remove the G layer from a tension wood block and measured the MFA using x-ray diffraction. They found a value of approximately 36°, larger than the usual values of MFA of poplar normal wood, and assumed that this was representative of the S2 layer of tension wood. However, careful observation of their micrographs (Fig. 1 in Goswami et al., 2008) reveals that the enzyme treatment probably removed not only the G layer but also part of the S2 layer. In that case, the large observed MFA would be representative of the S1 layer and the outer part of the S2 layer, consistent with what we found here.

Analysis of Observed Variations in Cellulose Lattice Spacing

Our procedure was designed to measure the evolution of cellulose d_004 along a sequence of cell wall development. Several studies demonstrated that the occurrence of mechanical strains in the cellulose crystal produces such a change in d_004 (Ishikawa et al., 1997; Nakai et al., 2005; Clair et al., 2006; Peura et al., 2007; Zabler et al., 2010), strongly suggesting that our observations provide evidence for the direct implication of the G layer in the generation of maturation stress.

However, to support this conclusion, at least three alternative interpretations must be examined. The first is a possible artifact in data processing: a change of the 004 peak position on the screen may result either from a change in d_004 or from a change in the distance between the sample and the detector. This possibility of artifact has been minimized due to the special care taken with the control of sample vertical alignment during the measurement. The flatness of all profiles obtained for normal wood confirms the quality of this vertical alignment.

The second possible artifact is related to the mechanical perturbation of wood during sample preparation, such as the suppression of the tree self-weight when cutting the segment or the release of maturation stress when preparing the sample. We designed our procedure in order to minimize these effects, and we subsequently checked numerically that these effects were negligible. The overall reliability of our procedure is again attested by the flatness of the profiles obtained for normal wood.

The third alternative interpretation is that the change in d_004 may be due to a change in equilibrium lattice spacing rather than to a change in the mechanical state of the cellulose crystal. Such variations in equilibrium lattice spacing between different sources of cellulose have actually been reported and interpreted as the consequence of variations in the width of the cellulose crystal (Davidson et al., 2004). This hypothesis deserves further examination, since differences in apparent crystal width have repeatedly been reported between the G layer and other cell wall layers (Müller et al., 2002, 2006), or between normal and tension wood (Washusen and Evans, 2001; Ruelle et al., 2007), or between the tension wood of different species (Ruelle et al., 2007).

The above-mentioned apparent crystal sizes were all obtained from the measurement of the broadening of the 200 diffraction peak and the application of the Sherrer equation. The Sherrer equation expresses the relation between the size L (nm) of the crystal and the broadening parameter H (rad), defined as the full width of the diffraction peak at half maximum:

\[
L = \frac{K \lambda}{W} 
\]

where W is the width at half maximum, \( K \) is a constant, and \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of the x-ray radiation.
and mechanical strains as we postulate in this crystal size effect proposed by Davidson et al. (2004). Variations in lattice spacing do not result only from linearly related in our data set, demonstrating that in Figure 5, together with their measured lattice spacing.

Each category using the Sherrer equation and are plotted angle in the G layer have a narrower peak.

Tension wood, oriented either at the small or large angle and located either in the S2 layer or the G layer. It clearly shows that the microfibrils oriented at the small angle in the G layer have a narrower peak.

The apparent crystal sizes have been estimated for each category using the Sherrer equation and are plotted in Figure 5, together with their measured lattice spacing. This clearly shows that the two parameters are not linearly related in our data set, demonstrating that variations in lattice spacing do not result only from variations in equilibrium lattice spacing due to the crystal size effect proposed by Davidson et al. (2004) but also from mechanical strains as we postulate in this paper.

Interpretation in Relation to Maturation Stress Generation

We have provided evidence that the cellulose lattice spacing increases during the deposition of the G layer in tension wood but not during the deposition of the inner part of the S2 layer of normal wood. Assuming that most of this change in lattice distance is related to mechanical strains in the cellulose crystal rather than to a change in equilibrium lattice spacing, these observations suggest that microfibrils of the G layer are put under tension just after their incorporation to the cell wall. Furthermore, the magnitude of the strain deduced from our experiments (between 0.1% and 0.3%) is very close to the macroscopic released strain of maturation stress usually reported for poplar tension wood (Fang et al., 2008).

Several previous observations have supported the assumption that the tensile maturation stress in poplar tension wood develops inside the G layer. First, a positive correlation between the released strain of maturation stress and the amount of G layer in the tissue has been reported on several different wood materials (Clair et al., 2003; Yamamoto et al., 2005; Fang et al., 2008). This shows that, in species where the G layer occurs, it takes an active part in the generation of maturation stress. Moreover, the longitudinal contraction of the G layer observed on longitudinal sections of poplar and beech (Fagus silvatica) tension wood with light microscopy (Clair et al., 2005) and on transverse sections using atomic force microscopy (Clair and Thibaut, 2001) very probably results from this preexisting tensile stress. This state of tension of the G layer would also be consistent with the observation that a tension wood block extends longitudinally when G layers are removed using selective enzyme (Goswami et al., 2008). Our study provides a direct confirmation of this assumption and further shows that the elastic energy associated with this tensile stress is stored within microfibrils rather than, for example, within the hemicellulosic matrix.

CONCLUSION

We showed that the microfibrils are put under tension during the maturation of the G layer in tension wood, while this does not occur in the S2 layer of tension wood. To further understand the generation of maturation stress in tension wood, we still need to identify the mechanism by which tension is set inside G layer microfibrils. At least two hypotheses can be formulated: either the stress is set directly within the microfibrils during maturation, for example, due to the contraction of amorphous cellulose regions (Yamamoto, 2004), or this stress is generated by physicochemical changes occurring in the matrix (e.g., changes in moisture content or in ion concentration) and is transmitted to the microfibrils by a mechanism yet to be identified. This last hypothesis seems consistent with recent observations of the presence of pectin-like substances (Bowling and Vaughn, 2008) that are likely to induce moisture changes to the matrix and with the nanoporous structure of the G layer recently evidenced by nitrogen sorption isotherms (Clair et al., 2008; Chang et al., 2009).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Experiments were performed on young poplar trees (Populus deltoids × Populus trichocarpa ‘145-51’). In early spring 2007, trees were grown from cuttings in the INRA center at Orleans, France. They were kept tilted, outdoors, and were regularly watered until the start of the experiments. After preliminary experiments, four trees, approximately 2 m high, were selected and studied successively between June 27 and June 28. Sample preparation and measurements of each tree were carried out within 8 h.
Sample Preparation

Sample preparation was designed to prevent the release of mechanical stress within the segment, in order to keep the sample as close as possible to its in planta mechanical state (Clair et al., 2006). On each tree, a 40-cm-long stem segment (approximately 3 cm in diameter) was taken from the curved basal part of the stem. This length was chosen so that the central part of the segment was far enough from its ends to minimize mechanical disturbance of the studied area. The segment was then prepared so as to leave a thin strip of peripheral wood on the mid part of one side (Fig. 1) using a homemade device made of two parallel razor blades inserted radially into the segment. Special care was taken to remain parallel to the fibers and thus to avoid chopping them. Bark and wood were removed on both sides of the strip in order to leave a window for the x-ray beam (low thickness and small beam size), the data yielded a high-quality signal with very low noise, allowing a detailed analysis of the 004 and 200 reflections.

The sample holder was designed to maintain the stem segment perpendicular to the beam while allowing precisely monitored vertical and lateral displacements. On each wood strip, three radial profiles (1 mm apart from each other along the fiber direction) were recorded in the transition zone between bark and mature wood (Fig. 1). Each profile contains 150 measurement points at successive radial positions separated by 10 μm, extending from outer bark to mature wood. Samples were kept wet during the measurements using a microdrop system fixed to the wood segment.

X-Ray Setup and Experimental Method

The experiment was carried out at the ID13 beamline of the ESRF using a 5-μm beam (Riekel, 2000). The wavelength of the monochromatic x-ray beam was λ = 0.961176 Å. A MAR165 CCD detector (16-bit readout with 2,048 × 2,048 pixels) was placed at approximately 177 mm from the sample so that it recorded both the 200 and 004 reflections. The distance between the sample and the detector was determined by using a silver-behenate calibration powder (Blanton et al., 1995), which was directly applied on the wood strip after the measurements.

The sample holder was designed to maintain the stem segment perpendicular to the beam while allowing precisely monitored vertical and lateral displacements. On each wood strip, three radial profiles (1 mm apart from each other along the fiber direction) were recorded in the transition zone between bark and mature wood (Fig. 1). Each profile contains 150 measurement points at successive radial positions separated by 10 μm, extending from outer bark to mature wood. Samples were kept wet during the measurements using a microdrop system fixed to the wood segment.

Data Processing

A total of 1,800 diffraction patterns were recorded for this study. They were processed using the Fit2D software (http://www.esrf.eu/computing/scientific/FIT2D/) and homemade software procedures programmed with Microsoft Excel/Visual Basic. Despite the very small amount of wood material crossed by the x-ray beam (low thickness and small beam size), the data yielded a high-quality signal with very low noise, allowing a detailed analysis of the 004 and 200 reflections.

The 004 reflection in each pattern (centered at θ_{004} = 7.31°) was radially integrated across its profile in order to obtain a plot of the azimuthal intensity distribution of 200 planes. The azimuthal plot was used to obtain information about the orientation of microfibrils. The diffraction patterns were interpreted in terms of MFA distribution. For cell walls oriented perpendicular to the x-ray beam (low thickness and small beam size), the data yielded a high-quality signal with very low noise, allowing a detailed analysis of the 004 and 200 reflections.

The 200 reflection in each pattern (centered at θ_{200} = 2θ_{004}) was radially integrated across its profile in order to obtain a plot of the azimuthal intensity distribution of 200 planes. The azimuthal plot was used to obtain information about the orientation of microfibrils. The diffraction patterns were interpreted in terms of MFA distribution. For cell walls oriented perpendicular to the beam, the variation of intensity as a function of azimuthal position is a direct indication of MFA distribution. For cell walls oriented perpendicular to the beam, the data yielded a high-quality signal with very low noise, allowing a detailed analysis of the 004 and 200 reflections.

The 004 reflection was used to obtain information about cellulose lattice spacing. The diffraction patterns were integrated on a defined azimuthal beam, the variation of intensity as a function of azimuthal position is a direct indication of MFA distribution. For cell walls oriented perpendicular to the beam, the data yielded a high-quality signal with very low noise, allowing a detailed analysis of the 004 and 200 reflections.

A given microfibril contributes to the 004 reflection at an azimuthal position that depends on the microfibril orientation. Therefore, the lattice spacing of cellulose can be computed separately for different classes of MFA by analyzing the signal in specific azimuthal sectors. The above-mentioned calculations were carried out for two distinct azimuthal integration sectors (±0°–12° and ±12°–24°), yielding two distinct sets of lattice spacings. The first is representative of the fraction of cellulose with MFA < 16°, and the second is representative of the fraction with MFA > 16° (Supplemental Data S1).

Microscopic Observations

The studied wood strips were taken from the segment just after the x-ray diffraction experiment and kept in water at 4°C until further processing. First, samples were dehydrated in ethanol, then in propylene oxide, and finally embedded in Epon after polymerization at 60°C. Transverse sections of 1 μm thickness were stained with methylene blue/AzurII mix and observed with a light microscope (Leica DMR, Leica Microsystems).

Supplemental Data

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

Supplemental Data S1. Interpretation of diffraction patterns.

Supplemental Data S2. Changes in lattice spacing in all studied profiles.
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