CT Radiomics Model for Predicting the Ki-67 Index of Lung Cancer: An Exploratory Study
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Objective: To establish a radiomics signature and a nomogram model based on enhanced CT images to predict the Ki-67 index of lung cancer.

Methods: From January 2014 to December 2018, 282 patients with lung cancer who had undergone enhanced CT scans and Ki-67 examination within 2 weeks were retrospectively enrolled and analyzed. The clinical data of the patients were collected, such as age, sex, smoking history, maximum tumor diameter and serum tumor markers. Our primary cohort was randomly divided into a training group (n=197) and a validation group (n=85) at a 7:3 ratio. A Ki-67 index ≤ 40% indicated low expression, and a Ki-67 index > 40% indicated high expression. In total, 396 radiomics features were extracted using AK software. Feature reduction and selection were performed using the lasso regression model. Logistic regression analysis was used to establish a multivariate predictive model to identify high and low Ki-67 expression in lung cancer. A nomogram integrating the radiomics score was established based on multiple logistic regression analysis. Area under the curve (AUC) was used to evaluate the prediction efficiency of the radiomics signature and nomogram.

Results: The AUC, sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of the radiomics signature in the training and validation groups were 0.88 (95% CI: 0.82–0.93), 79.2%, 84.3%, 81.2% and 0.86 (95% CI: 0.78–0.94), 74.6%, 88.1%, 79.8%, respectively. A nomogram combining radiomics features and clinical risk factors (smoking history and NSE) was developed. The AUC, sensitivity, specificity and accuracy were 0.87 (95% CI: 0.80–0.95), 75.0%, 90.2% and 83.5% in the validation group, respectively.

Conclusion: The radiomics signature and nomogram based on enhanced CT images provide a way to predict the Ki-67 expression level in lung cancer.

Keywords: lung cancer, Ki-67, tomography, X ray, radiomics model, classification
INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is one of the most common malignant tumors that endanger human health and life, ranking first in the number of cancer-related deaths (1, 2). The proliferation mode and speed of tumor cells are related to the malignancy and prognosis of lung cancer (3–5). Ki-67 is a nuclear antigen expressed by cells in the proliferation phase that accurately reflect the proliferation activity of cells. Because of its short half-life, Ki-67 is significantly better than those proliferating cell nuclear antigens with a long half-life in evaluating the proliferative activity of tumor (6–9).

Presently, Ki-67 expression in lung cancer must be determined by biopsy or surgical histopathology, but biopsy samples generally represent only a small part of the tumor tissue. Because of the heterogeneous expression of Ki-67 in tumors, the Ki-67 index obtained by needle biopsy samples cannot fully and accurately represent the Ki-67 level of the entire tumor. This situation leads to deviations in results and even misdiagnosis and nonoptimal clinical decision-making (10). As a new research field, radiomics has obvious advantages in assessing tumor heterogeneity. It can discover and analyze different cell phenotypes of tumors (11–13) and provide comprehensive and quantitative tumor measurements, including texture, intensity, heterogeneity and morphological information, enabling a comprehensive analysis of the tumor phenotype (14–16). Zhou B et al. (17) found that twelve CT radiomic features were significantly associated with the Ki-67 of lung cancer, but they did not build a predictive model. Gu Q et al. (18) built a machine learning-based radiomics classifier to predict the Ki-67 index of non-small cell lung cancer, however, their study did not include cases with small cell lung cancer, so the model was not applicable to all patients with lung cancer. Moreover, these past studies have not established a nomogram model that combines radiomic features with clinical parameters, which may have better prediction efficiency. This study aimed to establish a radiomics signature based on enhanced CT images and a nomogram based on radscores and clinical parameters to predict the Ki-67 index of lung cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Cohort

This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board. The data of 2286 consecutive patients with lung cancer confirmed by surgery between January 2014 and December 2018 were identified for this retrospective study. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) a diagnosis of lung cancer by surgical pathological specimens and immunohistochemical Ki-67 examination and (2) dual-phase enhanced chest CT examination before surgery.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) no Ki-67 immunohistochemistry or enhanced CT examination at our hospital (n=1280); (2) poor image quality or image layer thickness greater than or equal to 5 mm (n=130); (3) incomplete clinical data (n=335); (4) prior neoadjuvant treatment before surgery (n=91); (5) small lesions (long diameter < 1 cm) (n=104); (6) other primary malignancies in the same period (n=64).

Two hundred eighty-two patients (178 men and 104 women with a mean age of 62.0 ± 8.9 years) were enrolled in our study (Figure 1), 158 patients with adenocarcinoma (ACC), 69 with squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), and 55 with neuroendocrine carcinoma (NEC) (including 38 patients with small cell lung cancer, 13 patients with large cell lung cancer, and 4 patients with carcinoid cancer). Using a stratified random sampling method, the patients were divided into a training group and a validation group at a ratio of 7:3.

The following information of the enrolled patients was evaluated: smoking history, sex, age, maximum tumor diameter, FIGURE 1 | Flow diagram of the patient selection.
pathological type, and serum tumor markers, including neuron-specific enolase (NSE) serum, gastrin-releasing peptide precursor (ProGRP), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), squamous cell carcinoma antigen (SCCA) and cytokeratin 19 fragment (cYFRA21-1). Smoking history was defined as smoking for more than one year and smoking more than 20 cigarettes per day on average. Histological classification was based on H&E staining according to the WHO classification of malignant lung tumors.

CT Protocol
All patients were scanned using a SOMATOM (Siemens Medical Systems, Germany) scanner or Brilliance iCT 256 (Philips Healthcare, Netherlands) scanner. The scanning parameters were as follows: tube voltage: 120 kVp; pixel size: 512×512; detector collimation: 64×0.6 and 128×0.625 mm; slice thickness: 5 mm; and reconstructed section thickness: 1 mm. Contrast-enhanced CT images were obtained by intravenous injection of 1.0 ml/kg of contrast material (iohexol injection; 300 mg/ml; Beijing, China) at a rate of 3.0-3.5 ml, followed by a saline flush (20 mL). CT images were acquired at 25 seconds and 70 seconds after the start of contrast medium injection, corresponding to the arterial and venous phases, respectively.

Ki-67 Expression Measurement
Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections with a thickness of 4 µm were created. The sections were then dried, dewaxed with xylene, rinsed in graded ethanol and rehydrated in double-distilled water. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining was performed using a Ki-67 protein antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, California, USA) diluted 1:100. Cells with brown nuclei were considered positive.

The whole specimen was scanned, and positive cells in five areas with the highest positive density were selected, then a percentage of positively labeled cells were determined by counting more than 1000 tumor nuclei at 400 magnification. Because the most active part of tumor proliferation can represent the degree of tumor malignancy and affect the prognosis of patients. So according to previous relevant studies (19–21), the Ki-67 index in this study was the average value of the five areas with the highest percentage of Ki-67-labeled cells, and according to previous studies (22), low Ki-67 expression was defined as ≤ 40% positive staining, while over 40% positive staining was defined as high Ki-67 expression.

Image Normalization and Feature Extraction
The workflow of radiomics implementation is displayed in Figure 2. All the images were normalized by z-score transformation, with intensity ranges for each imaging modality across all subjects with a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1. ITK-SNAP software (http://www.itksnap.org, version: 3.8.0, USA) was used to outline the lesion on the CT image with the largest diameter of the lesion. All lesion ROI outlining was completed by two radiologists with 12 years (HYB) and 10 years (SLL) of chest CT diagnosis experience, and the intragroup correlation coefficient (ICC) between among the observers was calculated. The ROI was outlined by the HYB once, and the second ROI was performed after a week to assess the observer’s ICC. SLL only performed the ROI once to evaluate the ICC between this physician and HYB. ICC>0.75 considers that the consistency is good. Both radiologists were blinded to the patient’s clinicopathological information. Commercial software (Analysis Toolkit 1.0.3; GE Healthcare, USA) was used to extract features. In total, 396 quantized features were extracted, such as 9 form factor features, 10 Haralick features, 11 gray level size zone matrix (GLSZM) features, 42 histogram features, 48 gray level cooccurrence matrix (GLCM) features with an offset of 1/4/7, and 60 gray level run-length matrix (GLRLM) features with an offset of 1/4/7.

Development of the Radiomics Signature, Clinical Model, Radiomics Nomogram
To minimize overfitting, the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (lasso) regression method was used to select the most valuable features from the primary datasets, and then validated in the validation cohort. The linear combination of selected features was used to calculate the radiomic scores (Rad-scores) for each patient. For validation, we evaluated the difference of rad score between the training set and the verification set, and calculated the sample size of the
verification set using the method of “comparing the mean between the two groups”, which meets the statistical power of more than 0.8. The cut-off value obtained from the training set was used to calculate the metrics of the validation set. The predictive accuracy of the radiomics signature was quantified by the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) in both the training and validation sets.

Univariate logistic regression was used to select clinical risk factors for high Ki-67 lung cancer. The clinical features and imaging omics features with \( P < 0.05 \) were used to develop a predictive model to distinguish low Ki-67 lung cancer from high Ki-67 lung cancer using multivariate logistic regression in the primary cohort. In logistic regression, backward stepwise selection was applied using a likelihood ratio test with Akaike’s information criterion as the stopping rule.

To provide clinicians with a quantitative tool to predict the Ki-67 level of lung cancer, a radiomics nomogram was established based on multivariate logistic analysis in the primary cohort. The algorithm built by the training set was used to calculate the Rad score in the validation set.

Validation and Assessment of the Radiomics Nomogram

We assessed the value of the radiomics nomograms in training (n=197) and validation (n=85) data sets, including identification, calibration, and clinical value, and quantified the differential performance of AUC. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test was used together with the calibration curve to determine the goodness-of-fit of the nomogram. The validation data set was used to test the internal value of the radiomics nomogram.

Decision curve analysis (DCA) was used to calculate the net benefit of the threshold probability range in the training and validation data sets to estimate whether the nomogram was sufficiently reliable for clinical use. The net benefit was determined by calculating the difference between the true positive rate and weighted false positive rate of different threshold probabilities in the validation set. A “decision curve” was then drawn based on the threshold probability.

Statistical Analysis

R statistical software (http://www.Rproject.org, version 3.4.4) was used for statistical analysis. Lasso regression was performed using the “glmnet” package. The “RMS” package was used to construct multivariate logistic regression, nomogram and calibration charts. DCA was performed using the “DCA. R” function. ROC curves were drawn and analyzed using the “proc” package. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test the normality of the quantitative data, and the measurement data conforming to the normal distribution were expressed as \( x \pm s \). Counting data was expressed in frequency. Chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test was used to compare the count data between groups, and independent samples t test was used to compare the measurement data. \( P < 0.05 \) indicated a statistically significant difference.

RESULTS

Comparison of the Clinical Data Results of the Training and Validation Groups and the Low Ki-67 and High Ki-67 Lung Cancer Groups

No significant differences were found in age, sex, the tumor diameter or pathological type between the training and validation groups (\( P > 0.05; \) Table 1). Statistically significant differences were found in sex, age, and the pathological type between the high and low Ki-67 expression groups (\( P < 0.05 \) (Table 2). High Ki-67 expression was more common in men, elderly individuals, and SCC patients.

Extraction/Selection of Radiomics Features and Construction of the Radiomics Signature

First, we performed repeatability evaluation (between and within data sets with a consistency coefficient> 0.75), and then removed highly correlated features (correlation coefficient> 0.6). Finally, we used lasso logistic regression to screen out 16 features (Figures 3A–C), including Low Intensity Small Area Emphasis, Difference Variance, Surface Volume Ratio, Cluster Shade_\_angle135_offset7, Inverse Difference Moment_Angle Direction_offset7_SD, Max Intensity, High Intensity Large Area Emphasis, Zone Percentage,Correlation_All Direction_offset7_SD, Inverse Difference Moment_All Direction_offset1_SD, Haralick Correlation_All Direction_offset4_SD, Large Area Emphasis, Short Run High Grey Level Emphasis_All Direction_offset1_SD, Grey Level Non uniformity_All Direction_offset7_SD, Haralick

| TABLE 1 | Comparison of the clinical data and pathological staging results of patients in the training and validation groups. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Clinical feature | Training group (n = 197) | Verification group (n = 85) | p value | t value or \( \chi^2 \) value |
| Sex | Male | 126 (64.0%) | 52 (61.2%) | 0.66 | 0.20 |
| | Female | 71 (36.0%) | 33 (38.8%) | | |
| Age (years) | | 61.6 ± 8.9 | 62.4 ± 9.2 | 0.49 | 0.69 |
| Tumor maximum diameter (cm) | | 4.1 ± 2.2 | 4.0 ± 2.3 | 0.58 | 0.55 |
| Smoking | Yes | 111 (56.3%) | 41 (48.2%) | 0.18 | 1.81 |
| | No | 86 (43.7%) | 44 (51.8%) | | |
| Pathological type | ACC | 110 (55.8%) | 48 (56.5%) | 0.91 | 0.19* |
| | SCC | 48 (24.4%) | 21 (24.7%) | | |
| | NEC | 39 (19.8%) | 16 (18.8%) | | |

\( \chi^2 \) value (continuous variables were analyzed by t test, and categorical variables were analyzed by chi-square test).
TABLE 2 | Comparison of the clinical data results between the low Ki-67 and high Ki-67 lung cancer groups.

| Clinical feature             | low Ki group (n = 175) | high Ki group (n = 107) | p value | t value or \( \chi^2 \) value |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| Age (years)                  | 62.2 ± 8.8             | 61.8 ± 9.1              | 0.74    | 0.33                          |
| Sex                          | Male 93 (53.1%)        | 85 (79.4%)              | <0.01   | 19.73                         |
| | Female 82 (46.9%)          | 22 (20.6%)             |                       |        |                               |
| Smoking                      | Yes 76 (43.4%)         | 76 (71.0%)              | <0.01   | 20.36                         |
| | No 99 (56.6%)              | 31 (29.0%)             |                       |        |                               |
| Tumor diameter (cm)          | 3.7 ± 2.0              | 4.6 ± 2.4               | <0.01   | -3.46                         |
| Pathological type            | ACC 135 (77.1%)        | 23 (21.5%)              | <0.01   | 89.77                         |
| | SCC 29 (16.6%)             | 40 (37.4%)             |                       |        |                               |
| | NEC 11 (6.3%)              | 44 (41.1%)             |                       |        |                               |

Continuous variables were analyzed by t test, and categorical variables were analyzed by \( \chi^2 \) test. *u value: the overall variance of the two groups of data was uneven, and the rank-sum test was performed.

Predictive Efficacy of the Imaging Radiomics Signature

The ROC curves of the training and validation groups are shown in Figures 5A, B. The AUC, accuracy sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value were 0.88 (95% CI: 0.82–0.93), 81.2%, 79.8%, 84.4%, 88.9%, and 72% in the training group and 0.86 (95% CI: 0.78–0.94), 79.8%, 74.6%, 88.1%, 90.9%, and 68.5% in the validation group, respectively.

Establishment of a Nomogram Combining Radiomics With Clinical Risk Factors

Univariate analysis showed that the clinical factors were significantly related to the classification of low Ki-67 lung cancer and high Ki-67 lung cancer (Table 3). They include serum NSE and smoking (P < 0.05). The results of multivariate logistic regression analysis suggested that smoking, serum NSE and the rad score were independent predictors of low and high Ki-67 lung cancer classification (Table 4). A radiomics nomogram incorporating the predictive factors (including smoking, NSE, and the Rad score) was constructed (Figure 6).

The calibration curve showed that the predicted probability of the nomogram was consistent with the pathological findings (Figure 7). The results in Table 5 and Figure 8 show that the nomogram had better prediction efficiency than the radiomics signature and clinical model. The AUC value of the nomogram in the validation set was 0.87 (95% CI: 0.80–0.95), the accuracy was 0.83 (95% CI: 0.75–0.90), the sensitivity was 75.0%, and the specificity was 90.2%. Figure 9 shows the DCA of the radiomics nomogram. When the threshold probability is in the range of 0.1–1.0, the radiomics nomogram is superior to the model of “all treatment” and “no treatment” strategies.

DISCUSSION

Ki-67 nuclear protein is a marker of cell cycle and proliferation (9, 23, 24) and is typically used to estimate the population of proliferating cells. In malignant tumors, the percentage of Ki-67-
positive cells is related to tumor invasion or tumor progression. Presently, the Ki-67 proliferation index is considered a tumor biomarker that is valuable for tumor diagnosis, treatment and prognosis (4, 24, 25). Previous studies on the CT texture features of non-small cell lung cancer (10, 26, 27) have shown that tumors have internal heterogeneity due to different biological behaviors and metabolic levels, and CT texture analysis can quantify tumor heterogeneity. Lung cancer is a highly heterogeneous tumor, and
the heterogeneity of Ki-67 expression also exists in lung cancer. The Ki-67 labeling index can range from 1% to 90% in different intratumoral regions (28). High and low Ki-67 expression results in heterogeneity in the tumor cell proliferation rate, cell differentiation and subclonal region composition. Radiomics is a revolution to the traditional visual image features. It obtains high-throughput data and extracts a large number of quantitative features from the image through computer learning software to mine the quantitative information of the shape, texture and heterogeneity of the tumor itself, and screen the most valuable radiomic features to establish a prediction model (18, 29, 30). Radiomics can not only reduce the pain of patients undergoing biopsy, but also improve work efficiency and reduce the cost of patients. Therefore, predicting the expression of Ki-67 by analyzing the CT images of lung cancer is clinically significant.

The present study first used quantitative imaging histology, and then, based on CT images routinely used to diagnose tumors clinically, quantitative image texture analysis was used to estimate Ki-67 expression in lung cancer patients. The radiomics signature was an independent predictor of the expression status of Ki-67 in lung cancer and can distinguish between low Ki-67 lung cancer and high Ki-67 lung cancer well. The AUC of the validation group reached 0.86, and the accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity were 0.80, 0.75, and 0.88, respectively. Radiomics is expected to provide a noninvasive, convenient, and reproducible method to predict the Ki-67 expression status in lung cancer.

In the present study, the training and validation group showed differences in sex, the maximum tumor diameter, smoking status, and pathological type. High Ki-67 expression is more common in men, smokers, and patients with large lesions, SCC and small cell lung cancer. This finding is consistent with previous reports (31, 32). To predict the Ki-67 index, the AUC value of the clinical prediction model established in this study was only 0.72, while that of the radiomics signature was 0.86, much higher than that of the clinical model, indicating that the radiomics signature was significantly better than the simple clinical data prediction model in predicting the Ki-67 index of lung cancer. This study also established a nomogram prediction model combining the radiomics signature and clinically related factors. The data revealed that the AUC value of the validation group was 0.87, which was slightly higher than the predictive power of the radiomics signature alone (AUC=0.86) but significantly higher than the predictive power of the clinical model (AUC=0.72), and the prediction accuracy and specificity of the nomogram were improved. The prediction efficiency of the nomogram was better than that of the clinical model and radiomics signature model. A certain complementarity exists between the radiomics signature model and clinical model, but it is not obvious. The subjects of this study covered all pathological subtypes of lung cancer, so our
model had better universality. Moreover, the results of this study showed that our model was more effective in predicting the Ki-67 index of lung cancer than the models built in previous studies (17, 18). Our model may become an accurate and noninvasive method to predict the status of Ki-67 in patients with lung cancer.

This study also has some limitations. First, this is a retrospective study with potential selection bias. Second, the sample size of this study was still relatively small, and the predictive ability of radiomics for the Ki-67 index of lung cancer must be further verified in a large sample. Third, although this study included patients with different pathological types of lung cancer, it did not specifically analyze the prediction of the Ki-67 index in a specific pathological type of lung cancer by radiomics. Different cutoff values of the Ki-67 index may need to be established for different pathological types of lung cancer, but the sample size of this study was not sufficiently large to perform this analysis. Therefore, this study is a preliminary exploratory study on the relationship between imaging features and the Ki-67 index of lung cancer. We will expand the sample size and integrate more clinical information to improve the performance and universality of the radiomics model.

In conclusion, we developed and validated the first nomogram model with good diagnostic performance for the classification of low Ki-67 lung cancer and high Ki-67 lung cancer based on the radiomics signature and clinical factors.
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**TABLE 5** Predictive ability of the radiomics nomogram, radiomics signature, and clinical model for the classification of low and high Ki-67 lung cancer.

| Variable          | AUC          | (95% CI)     | Accuracy | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Clinical model    | Train        | 0.77         | (0.69-0.85) | 0.69  | 0.59  | 0.82  |
|                   | Test         | 0.72         | (0.62-0.83) | 0.66  | 0.54  | 0.80  |
| Radiomics signature | Train   | 0.88         | (0.82-0.93) | 0.81  | 0.79  | 0.84  |
|                   | Test         | 0.86         | (0.78-0.94) | 0.80  | 0.75  | 0.88  |
| Radiomics nomogram | Train     | 0.91         | (0.85-0.98) | 0.83  | 0.79  | 0.85  |
|                   | Test         | 0.87         | (0.80-0.90) | 0.83  | 0.75  | 0.90  |
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