Circular polarization of the cosmic microwave background from vector and tensor perturbations
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Circular polarization of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) can be induced by Faraday conversion of the primordial linearly polarized radiation as it propagates through a birefringent medium. Recent work has shown that the dominant source of birefringence from primordial density perturbations is the anisotropic background CMB. Here we extend prior work to allow for the additional birefringence that may arise from primordial vector and tensor perturbations. We derive the formulas for the power spectrum of the induced circular polarization and apply those to the standard cosmology. We find the root-variance of the induced circular polarization to be \(\sqrt{\langle V^2\rangle} \approx 3 \times 10^{-14}\) for scalar perturbations and \(\sqrt{\langle V^2\rangle} \approx 7 \times 10^{-18}(r/0.06)\) for tensor perturbations with a tensor-to-scalar ratio \(r\).

I. INTRODUCTION

The cosmic microwave background (CMB) has helped us understand the history of the Universe. Through measurement of the temperature and polarization fluctuations in the CMB, we have determined precisely the classical cosmological parameters \([1]\). However, the temperature measurements are already limited by cosmic variance, thus motivating the investigation of other observables, such as polarization \([2–4]\) and frequency distortions \([5]\) of the CMB.

In this paper, we focus on the circular polarization. In astrophysics, circular polarization may arise in masers \([6, 7]\), gamma-ray-burst afterglows \([8–11]\), jets of active galactic nuclei \([12–15]\), and pulsars \([16–19]\). In addition, circular polarization has recently been discussed also in the context of the CMB \([20–25]\). Circular polarization can be produced through Faraday conversion when a linearly polarized light ray propagates through a medium where the indexes of refraction differ along the two different transverse axes. In this way the linear polarization induced at the CMB last-scattering surface can be converted to circular polarization. Refs. \([20, 21]\) discuss CMB circular polarization produced by birefringence from magnetic fields and from new physics beyond the Standard Model (BSM). The circular polarization produced via Faraday conversion due to supernova remnants of Population III stars is discussed in Ref. \([22, 23]\). The current constraint to the CMB circular-polarization angular power spectrum is \(\ll (l+1)c_{VV}^C/(2\pi) \lesssim 10^{-8}\) at multipole moments \(l > 3000 [26]\), \(\lesssim 3 \times 10^{-11}\) at \(33 < l < 307 [27]\), and \(\lesssim 10^{-7}\) at larger scale \([28]\). Forthcoming experiments, such as CLASS \([29]\) and PIPER \([30]\), are expected to improve considerably on the sensitivity to CMB polarization.

Recently, a detailed investigation of the circular polarization that arises from primordial perturbations was presented in Ref. \([24]\). No circular polarization arises at linear order, but there are several physical mechanisms that, at second order in the primordial-perturbation amplitude, can induce circular polarization from the primordial linear polarization. Although this primordially-induced circular polarization may be smaller than that induced by other late-time astrophysical effects, and/or BSM physics, these predictions are more robust and may be thought of as a lower bound to the expected circular polarization. There are a number of possible standard-model sources of the cosmic birefringence needed for Faraday conversion, including, for example, spin-polarization of hydrogen atoms induced by an anisotropic CMB background \([24]\). Still, the most significant source is photon-photon interactions \([24, 31–35]\), which is the mechanism we consider here. In this case, the required birefringence is provided by the CMB anisotropies seen by the CMB photon as it propagates from the surface of last scatter.

In this paper, we extend prior work by considering the additional cosmic birefringence that may be induced by primordial vector and tensor perturbations. In particular, tensor perturbations, or primordial gravitational waves, are a highly sought relic in the canonical single-field slow-roll inflationary paradigm \([3, 4]\). Since the tensor contribution to the CMB quadrupole may be almost 10% of the total, it is conceivable—given order-unity factors—that the tensor contribution to the circular polarization may rival the scalar contribution. Note that although the photon-graviton scattering can also induce the circular polarization from tensor perturbations \([36]\), the induced circular polarization in CMB is much smaller than that induced through photon-photon scattering as we will see later. The calculation is also valuable as an illustrative application of the total-angular-momentum (TAM) formalism \([37, 38]\) employed earlier \([25]\) for the simpler scalar-perturbation case. In the TAM formalism, primordial perturbations are expanded in terms of TAM waves, which are eigenstates of the generators of rotations, rather than the usual plane waves (eigenstates of...
the generators of spatial translations). The TAM formalism allows for predictions for observables on a spherical sky to be obtained far more simply than through traditional approaches, particularly for vector and tensor perturbations.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we introduce the basic formulas describing circular polarizations induced through the Faraday conversion. Then, we briefly review the TAM formalism in Section III. In Section IV, we take the photon-photon scattering source term as a concrete example and show how to express the source term with the TAM formalism. In Section V, we relate the source term to the angular power spectrum and perform numerical calculations assuming the standard cosmology. We make some concluding remarks in Section VI. Note that, throughout this paper, we take the Cartesian coordinate and the metric $g_{ij}$ equals to $\delta_{ij}$.

II. BASIC FORMULAS FOR CIRCULAR POLARIZATION

In this Section, we introduce the formulas for the circular polarizations induced by Faraday conversion. Faraday conversion occurs when a light ray passes through a medium in which each axis perpendicular to the light-ray trajectory has a different index of refraction. The three-dimensional index-of-refraction tensor is given by [24]

$$n_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}(\chi e_{ij} + \chi m_{ij}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\chi e_{ij}$ and $\chi m_{ij}$ are the electric and magnetic susceptibilities respectively. We focus on the $x$ and $y$ components of the tensor ($z$ axis: photon trajectory) because photon does not have the longitudinal polarization. Then, the index-of-refraction tensor in the two-dimensional plane perpendicular to the trajectory can be expressed with four parameters as

$$n_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} n_l + n_Q & n_U + i n_V \\ n_U - i n_V & n_l - n_Q \end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $n_l$ is the polarization-averaged index of refraction, $n_Q$ the difference between the indexes of refraction in $x$ and $y$ axes in the transverse plane, and $n_U$ is the difference between the indexes of refraction on two axes that are rotated by 45° from the $x$ and $y$ axes. Also, $n_V$ is the difference between the indexes of refraction for the two different circular polarizations, which we ignore in the following because it does not convert linear polarization to circular polarization [24]. The relation between Eqs. (1) and (2) are given as $n_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(n_{xx} + n_{yy})$, $n_{Q} = \frac{1}{2}(n_{xx} - n_{yy})$, and $n_{U} = \frac{1}{2}(n_{xy} + n_{yx})$. In the following, we use the subscripts $i, j$ and $k$ to describe the three-dimensional space and use the subscripts $a, b$ and $c$ to describe the two-dimensional plane perpendicular to the trajectory.

An observed CMB photon has a radial trajectory that arrives from some observed direction $\hat{n}$. According to Refs. [24, 25], the circular polarization $V(\hat{n})$ observed in direction with Stokes parameters $Q(\hat{n})$ and $U(\hat{n})$ at the surface of last scatter is given as

$$V(\hat{n}) = \phi_{Q}(\hat{n})U(\hat{n}) - \phi_{U}(\hat{n})Q(\hat{n}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where the phases $\phi_{Q,U}(\hat{n})$ are obtained as integrals,

$$\phi_{Q,U}(\hat{n}) = \frac{2}{c} \int_{0}^{\chi_{LSS}} \frac{d\chi}{1 + z} \omega(\chi)n_{Q,U}(\hat{n}\chi, \eta_0 - \chi),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

over comoving distance $\chi$. Here, $z$ is redshift, $\chi_{LSS}$ is the comoving distance to the last-scattering surface and $\eta_0$ is the current conformal time. Note that a general refractive tensor is space-time dependent as $n_{ab}(x, \eta)$. Although the linear-polarization pattern on large angular scales is altered by reionization, the dominant contributions to the phase shift occur soon after the last scattering (see Section IV). Thus, the circular polarization induced after the reionization is negligible and neglected in the following.

The Stokes parameters $Q(\hat{n})$ and $U(\hat{n})$, as well as the phases $\phi_{Q}(\hat{n})$ and $\phi_{U}(\hat{n})$, are not rotational invariants; they are components (in the $x$-$y$ coordinate system, respectively), of polarization and phase-shift tensors, which are, respectively, [25]

$$P_{ab}(\hat{n}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} Q(\hat{n}) & U(\hat{n}) \\ U(\hat{n}) & -Q(\hat{n}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_{ab}(\hat{n}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{Q}(\hat{n}) & \phi_{U}(\hat{n}) \\ \phi_{U}(\hat{n}) & -\phi_{Q}(\hat{n}) \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Then, we can rewrite Eq. (3) as

$$V(\hat{n}) = \epsilon_{ac} P_{ab}(\hat{n}) \Phi_{bc}(\hat{n}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\epsilon_{ab}$ is the antisymmetric tensor on the 2-sphere.
III. TAM FORMALISM

In this Section, we briefly review aspects of the total-angular-momentum (TAM) formalism [37, 38] relevant for this work. In particular, we focus on the TAM formalism for tensor fields because the relevant anisotropies in the index of refraction are described by an index-of-refraction tensor field. Throughout this paper, we follow the notation and conventions for the TAM formalism used in Ref. [37]. In the following, we consider a symmetric trace-free tensor because, as we will see in the next Section, the Faraday conversion is only related to the trace-free part of the index-of-refraction tensor.

In the usual approach, a symmetric trace-free tensor field can be expanded in terms of plane waves of helicities \( \lambda = -2, \ldots, 2 \) as,

\[
h_{ij}(x) = \sum_{\lambda=-2}^{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} h^{\lambda}(k)(\hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^k(k))^* e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot \mathbf{x}},
\]

where the power spectra are given by

\[
\langle h^{\lambda}(k) [h^{\lambda'}(k')]^* \rangle = \begin{cases} 
\delta^{\lambda\lambda'} (2\pi)^3 \delta(k - k') P_L(k) & (|\lambda| = 0), \\
\delta^{\lambda\lambda'} (2\pi)^3 \delta(k - k') P_V(k) & (|\lambda| = 1), \\
\delta^{\lambda\lambda'} (2\pi)^3 \delta(k - k') P_T(k) & (|\lambda| = 2).
\end{cases}
\]

Here, \( P_L(k), P_V(k), \) and \( P_T(k) \) are the power spectra for the longitudinal, transverse-vector, and transverse-traceless components of the tensor field, and \( \hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^k \) are polarization tensors defined as [37]

\[
\hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{\pm 1}(k) = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{i} \mp i \hat{j}), \quad \hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{\pm 2}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\hat{e}_{ij}^{\pm 1} \hat{k} + \hat{e}_{ij}^{\pm 1} \hat{k}], \quad \hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{0}(k) = -\hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{\pm 1} \hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{\pm 1}, \quad \hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{\partial}(k) = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} - \hat{k} \hat{k} \right),
\]

where \( \hat{\theta} \) and \( \hat{\phi} \) are the transverse directions of \( \hat{k} \).

However, we can alternatively expand a symmetric trace-free tensor field in terms of total-angular-momentum (TAM) waves as [37],

\[
h_{ij}(x) = \sum_{\lambda=0, \pm 1, \pm 2} \sum_{lm} \int \frac{k^2 dk}{(2\pi)^3} h^{k, \lambda}_{(lm)ij}(x) = \sum_{\alpha=L,VE,VB,TE,TB} \sum_{lm} \int \frac{k^2 dk}{(2\pi)^3} h^{k,\alpha}_{(lm)ij}(x).
\]

Here we have written the tensor field in terms of longitudinal (L), vector-E (VE) and vector-B (VB), and tensor-E (TE) and tensor-B (TB) modes, and then also in terms of an alternative helicity basis, with \( \lambda = -2, \ldots, 2 \). The TAM waves are defined as

\[
\Psi^{k, L}_{(lm)ij}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} T^{L}_{ij} \Psi^{k}_{(lm)ij}(x), \quad \Psi^{k, VE}_{(lm)ij}(x) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{l(l+1)}} T^{VE}_{ij} \Psi^{k}_{(lm)ij}(x), \quad \Psi^{k, VB}_{(lm)ij}(x) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{l(l+1)}} T^{VB}_{ij} \Psi^{k}_{(lm)ij}(x),
\]

\[
\Psi^{k, TE}_{(lm)ij}(x) = -\sqrt{\frac{(l-2)!}{2(l+2)!}} T^{TE}_{ij} \Psi^{k}_{(lm)ij}(x), \quad \Psi^{k, TB}_{(lm)ij}(x) = -\sqrt{\frac{(l-2)!}{2(l+2)!}} T^{TB}_{ij} \Psi^{k}_{(lm)ij}(x),
\]

where \( T^{\alpha}_{ij} \) is defined as

\[
D_i \equiv \frac{i}{\hbar} \nabla_i, \quad L_i \equiv -i e_{ijk} \hat{n}^j \nabla_k, \quad K_i \equiv -i L_i, \quad M_{ij} \equiv \epsilon_{ijk} D^j K^k, \quad T^L_{ij} \equiv -D_i D_j + \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij}, \quad T^{VE}_{ij} \equiv D_i M_j, \quad T^{TB}_{ij} \equiv M_i (K_j + 2D_i M_j), \quad T^{TB}_{ij} \equiv K_i (M_j + M_i K_j) + 2D_i K_j,
\]

and \( \Psi^{k}_{(lm)ij}(x) = j_l(k \chi) Y_{lm}(\hat{n}) \ (x = \chi \hat{n}) \) are scalar TAM waves, written in terms of the spherical Bessel function \( j_l(x) \) and spherical harmonic \( Y_{lm}(\hat{n}) \).

The helicity-basis TAM waves are then,

\[
\Psi^{k, L}_{(lm)ij}(x) = \Psi^{k, L}_{(lm)ij}(x), \quad \Psi^{k, \pm 1}_{(lm)ij}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \Psi^{k, VE}_{(lm)ij}(x) \pm i \Psi^{k, TB}_{(lm)ij}(x) \right], \quad \Psi^{k, \pm 2}_{(lm)ij}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \Psi^{k, TE}_{(lm)ij}(x) \pm i \Psi^{k, TB}_{(lm)ij}(x) \right].
\]
The relations between $h^{k,\lambda}_{(lm)}$ and $h^{k,\alpha}_{(lm)}$ are given by

$$ h^{k,0}_{(lm)} = h^{k,L}_{(lm)}, \quad h^{k,\pm1}_{(lm)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ h^{k,VE}_{(lm)} \mp i h^{k,VB}_{(lm)} \right], \quad h^{k,\pm2}_{(lm)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ h^{k,TE}_{(lm)} \mp i h^{k,TB}_{(lm)} \right]. \quad (14) $$

The plane waves with an arbitrary trace-free polarization tensor $\hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}$, which is a combination of $\hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{\lambda}$ or $\hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{\alpha}$ in general and can be $\hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{\lambda}$ or $\hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{\alpha}$ themselves in some cases, are related to the TAM basis functions as

$$ \hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}(k)^{o_{k,x}} = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{l=0,1,\pm2} 4\pi i^\lambda B_{ij}^\lambda(k)^{\psi_{\alpha,ij}(x)} = \sum_{\lambda=0,1,\pm2} \sum_{lm} 4\pi i^\lambda B_{ij}^\lambda(k)^{\psi_{\alpha,ij}(x)}, \quad (15) $$

where $\alpha$ runs over $L, VE, VB, TE, TB$, and

$$ B_{ij}^\alpha(k) = \hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{ij}(k)^{Y_{ij}^{\alpha,\ast}_{(lm)ij}(\hat{n})}, \quad B_{ij}^\lambda(k) = \hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{ij}(k)^{Y_{ij}^{\lambda,\ast}_{(lm)ij}(\hat{n})}. \quad (16) $$

The tensor spherical harmonics $Y_{ij}^{\lambda}(n)$ are defined as

$$ Y^{L}_{ij}(\hat{n}) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} W^{L}_{ij} Y_{ij}(\hat{n}), $$

$$ Y^{VE}_{ij}(\hat{n}) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{l(l+1)}} W^{VE}_{ij} Y_{ij}(\hat{n}), \quad Y^{VB}_{ij}(\hat{n}) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{l(l+1)}} W^{VB}_{ij} Y_{ij}(\hat{n}), $$

$$ Y^{TE}_{ij}(\hat{n}) = \sqrt{\frac{(l-2)!}{(l+2)!}} W^{TE}_{ij} Y_{ij}(\hat{n}), \quad Y^{TB}_{ij}(\hat{n}) = \sqrt{\frac{(l-2)!}{(l+2)!}} W^{TB}_{ij} Y_{ij}(\hat{n}), \quad (17) $$

where $W^{\alpha}_{ij}$ is defined as

$$ N_i = -\hat{n}_i, \quad K_i = -iL_i, \quad M_{\pm1} = \varepsilon_{ijk} N^j K^k, \quad W^{L}_{ij} = -N_i N_j + \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij}, \quad W^{VE}_{ij} = N_i M_{\pm1}, \quad W^{VB}_{ij} = N_i (K_{\pm1} + 2N_i K_{\pm1}), \quad (18) $$

In particular, $Y^{TE}_{ij}(\hat{n})$ and $Y^{TB}_{ij}(\hat{n})$ live in the plane perpendicular to $\hat{n}$ and can be expressed as $Y^{TE}_{ij}(\hat{n})$ and $Y^{TB}_{ij}(\hat{n})$ respectively. The helicity-basis spherical harmonics $Y^{\lambda}_{ij}(\hat{n})$ are defined as

$$ Y^{0}_{ij}(\hat{n}) = Y^{L}_{ij}(\hat{n}), \quad Y^{\pm1}_{ij}(\hat{n}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ Y^{VE}_{ij}(\hat{n}) \pm i Y^{VB}_{ij}(\hat{n}) \right], \quad Y^{\pm2}_{ij}(\hat{n}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ Y^{TE}_{ij}(\hat{n}) \pm i Y^{TB}_{ij}(\hat{n}) \right]. \quad (19) $$

The $Y^{\lambda}_{ij}(\hat{k})$ are related to the spin-weighted spherical harmonics by

$$ \hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{\lambda}(k) Y^{\lambda'}_{ij}(\hat{k}) = -\lambda Y_{ij}(\hat{k}) \delta^{\lambda'}_{\lambda}. \quad (20) $$

From Eqs. (7), (10), and (20), we can derive the following relations between $h^{\lambda}(k)$ and $h^{k,\lambda}_{(lm)}$:

$$ h^{k,\lambda}_{(lm)} = \int d\hat{k} h^{\lambda}(k) \left(-\lambda Y_{ij}(\hat{k})\right)^{\ast}. \quad (21) $$

As a result, the TAM amplitudes satisfy

$$ \langle h^{k,\lambda}_{(lm)} | h^{k',\lambda'}_{(lm')} \rangle = \delta_{l'l'} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \left(\frac{2\pi}{k}ight)^3 \delta(k-k') P_{\lambda}(k), \quad (|\lambda| = 0), $$

$$ \langle h^{k,\lambda}_{(lm)} | h^{k',\lambda'}_{(lm')} \rangle = \delta_{l'l'} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \left(\frac{2\pi}{k}ight)^3 \delta(k-k') P_{\lambda}(k), \quad (|\lambda| = 1), $$

$$ \langle h^{k,\alpha}_{(lm)} | h^{k',\alpha'}_{(lm')} \rangle = \delta_{l'l'} \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \left(\frac{2\pi}{k}ight)^3 \delta(k-k') P_{\alpha}(k), \quad (|\alpha| = L), $$

$$ \langle h^{k,\alpha}_{(lm)} | h^{k',\alpha'}_{(lm')} \rangle = \delta_{l'l'} \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \left(\frac{2\pi}{k}ight)^3 \delta(k-k') P_{\alpha}(k), \quad (|\alpha| = V, E, B), $$

$$ \langle h^{k,\alpha}_{(lm)} | h^{k',\alpha'}_{(lm')} \rangle = \delta_{l'l'} \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \left(\frac{2\pi}{k}ight)^3 \delta(k-k') P_{\alpha}(k), \quad (|\alpha| = TE, TB). \quad (23) $$

1. The two components that live in the plane of the sky, which we here refer to as TE and TB modes, are in much of the literature (which considers only these two components) as E and B.

2. The spin-weighted spherical harmonics satisfy $\int d\hat{n} \lambda Y_{ij}(\hat{n}) Y^{\ast}_{ij}(\hat{m}) = \delta_{l'l'} \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$. 
IV. Calculation of $\Phi_{ab}(\hat{n})$

Although there are several physical effects that may generate Faraday conversion, the dominant mechanism, as noted in Ref. [24], is photon-photon scattering. The components of the index-of-refraction tensor due to photon-photon scattering is (see Appendix B and Ref. [24] for the derivation),

$$n_Q(x, \eta) \equiv \frac{1}{2}(n_{xx}(x, \eta) - n_{yy}(x, \eta)) \approx 48 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} A_c \mu_0 a_{\text{rad}} T_{\text{CMB}}^4 \text{Re} a_{2, -2}^E(x, \eta), \quad (24)$$

$$n_U(x, \eta) \equiv \frac{1}{2}(n_{xy}(x, \eta) + n_{yx}(x, \eta)) \approx 48 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} A_c \mu_0 a_{\text{rad}} T_{\text{CMB}}^4 \text{Im} a_{2, -2}^E(x, \eta). \quad (25)$$

Here, $\mu_0$ is the magnetic permeability of the vacuum, $a_{\text{rad}}$ is the radiation energy density constant, $T_{\text{CMB}}$ is the CMB temperature, $a_{m}^E(x, \eta)$ is the coefficient of the local E-mode moment induced by primordial perturbations, and $A_c$ is the Euler-Heisenberg interaction constant, which can be expressed with electron mass $m_e$, Compton wavelength $\lambda_c$, and the fine structure constant $\alpha$ as $A_c = 2\alpha^2 \lambda_c^5/(45 \mu_0 m_e c^2)$. Next, we write the spatial dependence of the indexes of refraction in terms of Fourier components through,

$$n_Q(x, \eta) \approx 48 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} A_c \mu_0 a_{\text{rad}} T_{\text{CMB}}^4 \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} (a_{2, -2}^E(k, \eta) + a_{2, 2}^E(k, \eta)) e^{i k \cdot x}, \quad (26)$$

$$n_U(x, \eta) \approx 48 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} A_c \mu_0 a_{\text{rad}} T_{\text{CMB}}^4 \frac{1}{2i} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} (a_{2, -2}^E(k, \eta) - a_{2, 2}^E(k, \eta)) e^{i k \cdot x}, \quad (27)$$

where we have used the relation $a_{E, -2}^E = a_{2, -2}^E$. We then transform the local quadrupole moments in Eqs. (26) and (27) using

$$a_{2, \pm 2}^E(k, \eta) = \sum_{m=0, \pm 1, \pm 2} D_{\pm 2, m}^2(\pi - \phi_k, \theta_k, 0) a_{2, m}^E(k, \eta), \quad (28)$$

where un-barred quantities are in the line-of-sight frame (with the z-axis toward the observer), and barred quantities are in the wave vector frame ($\hat{k}$ is the z axis and the direction toward the observer in the $\hat{x}\hat{z}$-plane, with $\hat{x} < 0$). Here, $\theta_k$ and $\phi_k$ are the polar and azimuthal angle of $\hat{k}$ in the line-of-sight frame, and $D_{m}^2$ is the Wigner rotation matrix, which is defined in Eq. (A1). Then, we derive

$$a_{2, \pm 2}^E(k, \eta) = \sum_{m} D_{\pm 2, m}^2(\pi - \phi_k, \theta_k, 0) a_{2, m}^E(k, \eta) + \sum_{m} D_{2, m}^2(\pi - \phi_k, \theta_k, 0) a_{-2, m}^E(k, \eta)$$

$$= \sqrt{3} \left( \cos \phi_k^2 - \sin \phi_k^2 \right) \sin \theta_k \frac{a_{2, 0}^E(k, \eta)}{a_{0, 0}^E(k, \eta)} - \sin \theta_k \left( - \cos \theta_k \cos 2 \phi_k + i \sin 2 \phi_k \right) a_{2, 1}^E(k, \eta)$$

$$- \sin \theta_k \left( \cos \theta_k \cos 2 \phi_k + i \sin 2 \phi_k \right) a_{-2, 1}^E(k, \eta) + \left( \frac{3 + \cos 2 \theta_k}{4} \cos 2 \phi_k - i \cos \theta_k \sin 2 \phi_k \right) a_{2, -1}^E(k, \eta)$$

$$+ \left( \frac{3 + \cos 2 \theta_k}{4} \cos 2 \phi_k + i \cos \theta_k \sin 2 \phi_k \right) a_{2, -2}^E(k, \eta)$$

$$= - \left( \hat{e}_{xx}^E(\hat{k}) \right)^* - \left( \hat{e}_{yy}^E(\hat{k}) \right)^* a_{2, 0}^E(k, \eta) - \left( \hat{e}_{xx}^{+1}(\hat{k}) \right)^* - \left( \hat{e}_{yy}^{+1}(\hat{k}) \right)^* a_{2, 1}^E(k, \eta)$$

$$- \left( \hat{e}_{xx}^{-1}(\hat{k}) \right)^* - \left( \hat{e}_{yy}^{-1}(\hat{k}) \right)^* a_{-2, 1}^E(k, \eta) - \left( \hat{e}_{xx}^{+2}(\hat{k}) \right)^* - \left( \hat{e}_{yy}^{+2}(\hat{k}) \right)^* a_{2, 2}^E(k, \eta)$$

$$- \left( \hat{e}_{xx}^{-2}(\hat{k}) \right)^* - \left( \hat{e}_{yy}^{-2}(\hat{k}) \right)^* a_{2, -2}^E(k, \eta), \quad (29)$$
\[
\frac{1}{i}(a_{2,-2}^E(k,\eta) - a_{2,2}^E(k,\eta)) = \frac{1}{i} \left( \sum_m D_{2,2,m}^m(\pi - \phi_k, \theta_k, 0)a_{2,m}^E(k,\eta) - \sum_m D_{2,2,m}^m(\pi - \phi_k, \theta_k, 0)a_{2,m}^E(k,\eta) \right)
= \sqrt{6} \cos \phi_k \sin \phi_k \sin \theta_k a_{2,0}^E(k,\eta) + \sin \theta_k i \cos 2\phi_k + \cos \theta \sin 2\phi_k a_{2,1}^E(k,\eta) + \sin \theta_k (i \cos 2\phi_k - \cos \theta_k \sin 2\phi_k)a_{2,-1}^E(k,\eta) + \left( \frac{3 + \cos 2\theta_k}{4} \sin 2\phi_k + i \cos \theta_k \cos 2\phi_k \right) a_{2,2}^E(k,\eta) + \left( \frac{3 + \cos 2\theta_k}{4} \sin 2\phi_k - i \cos \theta_k \cos 2\phi_k \right) a_{2,-2}^E(k,\eta)
= -2(\varepsilon_{xy}^i(\hat{k}))^* a_{2,0}^E(k,\eta) - 2(\varepsilon_{xy}^i(\hat{k}))^* a_{2,1}^E(k,\eta) - 2(\varepsilon_{xy}^i(\hat{k}))^* a_{2,-1}^E(k,\eta) - 2(\varepsilon_{xy}^i(\hat{k}))^* a_{2,2}^E(k,\eta) - 2(\varepsilon_{xy}^i(\hat{k}))^* a_{2,-2}^E(k,\eta).
\]

where \(\varepsilon_{ij}^k\) is defined by Eq. (9), and the basis vectors are
\[
\bar{\theta}(\hat{k}) = (\cos \phi_k, \cos \theta_k \sin \phi_k, -\sin \theta_k, \sin \phi_k, \cos \phi_k, 0).
\]

Here, we separate the primordial perturbations and their transfer functions through \(a_{2,2}^E(k,\eta) = a_{2,2}^E(k,\eta)h^E(k)\), where the power spectra of \(h^E(k)\) are given by Eq. (8).

From Eqs. (24), (25), (29), and (30), we see that the part of \(\Phi_{(l)ij}\) proportional to \((\varepsilon_{ij}^k)^*\) can describe \(n_Q\) and \(n_U\). which means that the part related to \(n_Q\) and \(n_U\) can be expressed with a trace-free tensor, given as Eq. (7). On the other hand, a non-zero-trace part of \(n_{ij}\) is irrelevant to \(n_Q\) or \(n_U\). Using the relation between \((\varepsilon_{ij}^k)^*\) and \(\Psi^{k\lambda}_{(lm)ij}\) given in Eq. (15), we can express \(n_{ij}\) as
\[
n_{ij}(x,\eta) = 48 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} A_{x} \mu_0 a_{\text{rad}T}^2 \sum_{(lm)\lambda=0,\pm1,\pm2} \sum_{\lambda} 4\pi^2 \Psi^{k\lambda}_{(lm)ij}(x) h_{(lm)}(\bar{a}_{-2,\lambda}^E(k,\eta)) + \bar{n}_{ij}(x,\eta)
\]

To calculate the induced circular polarization, we need to derive \(\Phi_{ab}(\hat{n})\) from \(n_{ij}(x)\). Since \(P_{ab}\) and \(\Phi_{ab}\) are \(2 \times 2\) symmetric trace-free tensors in the celestial sphere, we can expand them in terms of \(Y_{(lm)ab}^TE\) and \(Y_{(lm)ab}^TB\) as [42, 43],
\[
\begin{align*}
P_{ab}(\hat{n}) &= \sum_{lm} \left[ P_{lm}^E Y_{(lm)ab}^TE(\hat{n}) + P_{lm}^B Y_{(lm)ab}^TB(\hat{n}) \right], \\
\Phi_{ab}(\hat{n}) &= \sum_{lm} \left[ \Phi_{lm}^E Y_{(lm)ab}^TE(\hat{n}) + \Phi_{lm}^B Y_{(lm)ab}^TB(\hat{n}) \right].
\end{align*}
\]

To relate \(n_{ij}(x)\) to the shift tensor \(\Phi_{ab}(\hat{n})\), we define the projection operator \(\Lambda_{ij}^{kl}(\hat{n})\) to project \(n_{ij}(x)\) to a spin-2 tensor on the celestial sphere as
\[
\Lambda_{ij}^{kl}(\hat{n}) \equiv P_{i}^{k} P_{j}^{l} - \frac{1}{2} P_{m}^{n m} P_{m}^{l} \delta_{ij},
\]
where \(P_{ij}\) is given as \(P_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \bar{n}_{ij}\). Then, we can express the shift tensor in terms of \(n_{ij}(x)\) as [25]
\[
\Phi_{ij}(\hat{n}) = \frac{\omega_0}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{4\pi}{3}} \sin \chi \left[ \Lambda_{ij}^{k\ell} (\hat{n}) n_{k\ell}(\hat{n},\eta,\varphi) - \Lambda_{ij}^{k\ell} (\hat{n}) n_{k\ell}(\hat{n},\eta,\varphi) \right],
\]
where \(\omega_0\) is the frequency today. Note that since \(\Phi_{ij}\) lives on the plane perpendicular to \(\hat{n}\), we can regard \(\Phi_{ij}\) as \(\Phi_{ab}\) and, by definition, \(\Lambda_{ij}^{k\ell} \hat{n}_{k\ell} = 0\) is satisfied. As we found in Eq. (33), the spatial dependence of \(n_{ij}(x)\) can

\[3\] In the line-of-sight frame, \(\hat{n}\) is parallel to \(z\) axis and \(a\) and \(b\) run over \(x-y\) plane in the three-dimensional Cartesian coordinate. In other words, \(\Phi_{ij}\) is non-zero only for \(i, j \neq z\) in that frame.
be expressed with \( \Psi^{k,\lambda}_{(lm)jj}(x) \) and the projection of \( \Psi^{k,\lambda}_{(lm)ij}(x) \) onto the celestial sphere is discussed in Refs. [25, 37]. According to Eq. (94) in Ref. [37], \( \Lambda_{ij}^{k',\lambda'} \Psi^{k',\lambda'}_{(lm)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}_\chi) \) are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_{ij}^{k',\lambda'}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \Psi^{k,L}_{(lm)(k')\lambda'}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}_\chi) & = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \sqrt{\frac{(l+1)!}{(l-2)!}} \frac{j_l(k\chi)}{(k\chi)^2} Y^{TE}_{(lm)ij}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \equiv -\sqrt{2} \epsilon^{(0)}(k\chi) Y^{TE}_{(lm)ij}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}), \\
\Lambda_{ij}^{k',\lambda'}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \Psi^{k,V/E}_{(lm)(k')\lambda'}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}_\chi) & = -\sqrt{(l-1)(l+2)} \left( f_l(k\chi) + 2 \frac{j_l(k\chi)}{k\chi} \right) Y^{TE}_{(lm)ij}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \equiv -2 \epsilon^{(1)}(k\chi) Y^{TE}_{(lm)ij}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}), \\
\Lambda_{ij}^{k',\lambda'}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \Psi^{k,B}_{(lm)(k')\lambda'}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}_\chi) & = -\frac{1}{2} \left( -j_l(k\chi) + g_l(k\chi) + 4 f_l(k\chi) + 6 \frac{j_l(k\chi)}{k\chi} \right) Y^{TE}_{(lm)ij}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \equiv -2 \epsilon^{(2)}(k\chi) Y^{TE}_{(lm)ij}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}), \\
\Lambda_{ij}^{k',\lambda'}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \Psi^{k,TB}_{(lm)(k')\lambda'}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}_\chi) & = -i \sqrt{(l-1)(l+2)} \frac{j_l(k\chi)}{k\chi} Y^{TB}_{(lm)ij}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \equiv -2 i \beta^{(1)}(k\chi) Y^{TB}_{(lm)ij}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}), \\
\Lambda_{ij}^{k',\lambda'}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \Psi^{k,TB}_{(lm)(k')\lambda'}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}_\chi) & = -i \left( j_l(k\chi) + 2 \frac{j_l(k\chi)}{k\chi} \right) Y^{TB}_{(lm)ij}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \equiv -2 i \beta^{(2)}(k\chi) Y^{TB}_{(lm)ij}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( f_l(x) \equiv \frac{d}{dx} \frac{j_l(x)}{x} \), \( g_l(x) \equiv -j_l(x) - 2 f_l(x) + (l-1)(l+2) \frac{j_l(x)}{x} \) and \( \epsilon^{(m)}(x) \) and \( \beta^{(m)}(x) \) are defined as in Ref. [41]. Note again that since \( Y^{TE}_{(lm)ij} \) and \( Y^{TB}_{(lm)ij} \) can be described in the plane perpendicular to \( \hat{\mathbf{n}} \), we can regard them as \( Y^{TE}_{(lm)ab} \) and \( Y^{TB}_{(lm)ab} \) respectively.

From Eqs. (37)–(42) for \( P^{E/B}_{lm} \) and Ref. [44] for \( P^{E/B}_{lm} \), we can rewrite the coefficients in Eqs. (34) and (35) as

\[
\begin{align*}
P^{E/B}_{lm} & = \sum_\alpha P^{E/B,\alpha}_{lm}, \quad \Phi^{E/B}_{lm} = \sum_\alpha \Phi^{E/B,\alpha}_{lm},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
P^{E,L}_{lm} & = 4 \pi \int \frac{k^2 dk}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{y_0}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y} g(y) \left( -\sqrt{6} \mathcal{P}^{(0)}(k,y) \right) h^{(L)}_{lm}(\eta_0(k,y_0 - y)), \\
P^{E,V/E,V/B}_{lm} & = 4 \pi \int \frac{k^2 dk}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{y_0}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y} g(y) \sqrt{2} \left( -\sqrt{6} \mathcal{P}^{(1)}(k,y) \right) h^{(V/E,V/B)}_{lm}(\eta_0(k,y_0 - y)), \\
P^{E,T/E,T/B}_{lm} & = 4 \pi \int \frac{k^2 dk}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{y_0}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y} g(y) \sqrt{2} \left( -\sqrt{6} \mathcal{P}^{(2)}(k,y) \right) h^{(T/E,T/B)}_{lm}(\eta_0(k,y_0 - y)), \\
\Phi^{E,L}_{lm} & = 4 \pi A \int \frac{k^2 dk}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\eta_0}^{\infty} \frac{d\eta}{\eta} (1 + z)^4 \left( \bar{a}^{E,0}_{1,0}(k,\eta) \right) h^{(L)}_{lm}(\eta_0(k,y_0 - y)), \\
\Phi^{E,V/E,V/B}_{lm} & = 4 \pi A \int \frac{k^2 dk}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\eta_0}^{\infty} \frac{d\eta}{\eta} (1 + z)^4 \sqrt{2} \left( \bar{a}^{E,1}_{1,1}(k,\eta) \right) h^{(V,E,V/B)}_{lm}(\eta_0(k,y_0 - y)), \\
\Phi^{E,T/E,T/B}_{lm} & = 4 \pi A \int \frac{k^2 dk}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\eta_0}^{\infty} \frac{d\eta}{\eta} (1 + z)^4 \sqrt{2} \left( \bar{a}^{E,2}_{1,2}(k,\eta) \right) h^{(T/E,T/B)}_{lm}(\eta_0(k,y_0 - y)),
\end{align*}
\]

where the integration variable is changed as \( \chi \rightarrow \eta \) \( (\eta = \eta_0 - \chi) \), \( \phi^{(m)}(x) \) is \( \epsilon^{(m)}(x) \) for \( V/E \) and \( T/E \) or \( i \beta^{(m)}(x) \) for \( V/B \) and \( T/B \). \( g(\eta) \) is the visibility function, \( \mathcal{P}^{(m)}(k,\eta) \) is the function defined in Ref. [44], and \( A \equiv 96(\pi/5)^{1/2} A_{h0}d_{rad} T_0^{-3} c^{-1} \omega_0 \approx 1.11 \times 10^{-38} (\nu_0/100 \, \text{GHz}) \, \text{m}^{-1} \) \[24\]. The power spectra of \( h^{k,\lambda}_{lm} \) are given by Eq. (23). The factor of \((1 + z)^4\) implies that the dominant contribution to the phase shift is near the epoch of recombination, as we mentioned in Section II.

Finally, the results for the angular power spectra. We can express \( C_{l}^{P^{E,E}} \) and \( C_{l}^{P^{B,B}} \) as

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{l}^{P^{E,E}} = \sum_{\alpha=L,V,E,T,E} \left( P^{E,E}_{lm}(\alpha) P^{E,E}_{lm}(\alpha) \right) = 4 \pi \int \frac{dk}{k} \left( \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P^{(L)}(k) \right) \int_{y_0}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y} g(y) \left( -\sqrt{6} \mathcal{P}^{(0)}(k,y) \right) \epsilon^{(0)}(k(y_0 - y))^2 + 4 \pi \int \frac{dk}{k} \left( \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P^{(V,E)}(k) \right) \int_{y_0}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y} g(y) \left( -\sqrt{6} \mathcal{P}^{(1)}(k,y) \right) \epsilon^{(1)}(k(y_0 - y))^2 + 4 \pi \int \frac{dk}{k} \left( \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P^{(T,E)}(k) \right) \int_{y_0}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y} g(y) \left( -\sqrt{6} \mathcal{P}^{(2)}(k,y) \right) \epsilon^{(2)}(k(y_0 - y))^2,
\end{align*}
\]
\[ C_{l}^{P_{nn}} = \sum_{\alpha = V, TB} \left\langle P_{lm}^{B_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}} \right\rangle = 4\pi \int \frac{dk}{k} \left( \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P^{(VB)}(k) \right) \int_{0}^{\eta_{0}} d\eta g(\eta) \left( -\sqrt{6} \mathcal{P}^{(1)}(k, \eta) \right)^2 \beta_{1}^{(1)}(k(\eta_0 - \eta)) \]

\[ + 4\pi \int \frac{dk}{k} \left( \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P^{(TB)}(k) \right) \int_{0}^{\eta_{0}} d\eta g(\eta) \left( -\sqrt{6} \mathcal{P}^{(2)}(k, \eta) \right)^2 \beta_{1}^{(2)}(k(\eta_0 - \eta)) \],

where the tensor-to-scalar ratio is defined as \( r = 2P^{(TE)}(k)/P^{(L)}(k) = 2P^{(TB)}(k)/P^{(L)}(k) \). Similarly, \( C_{l}^{\Phi_{E}\Phi_{E}} \) and \( C_{l}^{\Phi_{B}\Phi_{B}} \) are given by

\[ C_{l}^{\Phi_{E}\Phi_{E}} = \sum_{\alpha = L, V, E, TE} \left\langle \Phi_{lm}^{E, (\alpha)\ast} \Phi_{lm}^{E, (\alpha)} \right\rangle = 4\pi A^2 \left( \int \frac{dk}{k} \left( \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P^{(L)}(k) \right) \int_{0}^{\eta_{0}} d\eta (1 + z)^4 \left( \tilde{a}^{E}_{2,0}(k, \eta) \right) \epsilon_{1}^{(0)}(k(\eta_0 - \eta)) \right)^2 \]

\[ + \int \frac{dk}{k} \left( \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P^{(TE)}(k) \right) \int_{0}^{\eta_{0}} d\eta (1 + z)^4 \left( \tilde{a}^{E}_{2,2}(k, \eta) \right) \epsilon_{1}^{(1)}(k(\eta_0 - \eta)) \]

\[ + \int \frac{dk}{k} \left( \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P^{(TB)}(k) \right) \int_{0}^{\eta_{0}} d\eta (1 + z)^4 \left( \tilde{a}^{E}_{2,2}(k, \eta) \right) \epsilon_{1}^{(2)}(k(\eta_0 - \eta)) \],

\[ C_{l}^{\Phi_{B}\Phi_{B}} = \sum_{\alpha = V, TB} \left\langle \Phi_{lm}^{B, (\alpha)\ast} \Phi_{lm}^{B, (\alpha)} \right\rangle = 4\pi A \left( \int \frac{dk}{k} \left( \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P^{(L)}(k) \right) \int_{0}^{\eta_{0}} d\eta (1 + z)^4 \left( \tilde{a}^{E}_{2,0}(k, \eta) \right) \epsilon_{1}^{(0)}(k(\eta_0 - \eta)) \right) \times \left( \int \frac{dk}{k} \left( \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P^{(TB)}(k) \right) \int_{0}^{\eta_{0}} d\eta g(\eta) \left( -\sqrt{6} \mathcal{P}^{(1)}(k, \eta) \right)^2 \beta_{1}^{(1)}(k(\eta_0 - \eta)) \right) \]

\[ + \int \frac{dk}{k} \left( \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P^{(TE)}(k) \right) \int_{0}^{\eta_{0}} d\eta (1 + z)^4 \left( \tilde{a}^{E}_{2,2}(k, \eta) \right) \epsilon_{1}^{(1)}(k(\eta_0 - \eta)) \times \left( \int \frac{dk}{k} \left( \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P^{(TB)}(k) \right) \int_{0}^{\eta_{0}} d\eta g(\eta) \left( -\sqrt{6} \mathcal{P}^{(2)}(k, \eta) \right)^2 \beta_{1}^{(2)}(k(\eta_0 - \eta)) \right) \],

\[ + \int \frac{dk}{k} \left( \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P^{(E)}(k) \right) \int_{0}^{\eta_{0}} d\eta (1 + z)^4 \left( \tilde{a}^{E}_{2,2}(k, \eta) \right) \epsilon_{1}^{(2)}(k(\eta_0 - \eta)) \times \left( \int \frac{dk}{k} \left( \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P^{(TB)}(k) \right) \int_{0}^{\eta_{0}} d\eta g(\eta) \left( -\sqrt{6} \mathcal{P}^{(2)}(k, \eta) \right)^2 \beta_{1}^{(2)}(k(\eta_0 - \eta)) \right) \.

V. CALCULATION OF \( C_{l}^{IV} \) AND NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this Section, we explain how to calculate the power spectrum \( C_{l}^{IV} \) for the induced circular polarization with the results derived in the previous Section, and we present numerical results for a scale-invariant spectrum of primordial
tensor perturbations. We follow the discussion in Ref. [25], but take into account the B mode, which is not considered in Ref. [26]. This is because, unlike scalar perturbations, vector and tensor perturbations induce B modes as we saw in the previous Section.

The angular power spectrum is defined by $C_l^{VV} = \langle V_{lm}^* V_{lm} \rangle$ in terms of expansion coefficients,

$$V_{lm} = \int d\hat{n} \, V(\hat{n}) Y_{lm}^*(\hat{n}).$$

Substituting Eqs. (6), (34), and (35) into Eq. (56), we obtain

$$V_{lm} = \sum_{l_1 m_1 l_2 m_2} (P_{l_1 m_1}^E \psi_{l_2 m_2}^E) \int d\hat{n} \, e^{ib} Y_{(l_1 m_1)ac}(\hat{n}) Y_{(l_2 m_2)bc}(\hat{n}) Y_{lm}^*(\hat{n}) + P_{l_1 m_1}^B \psi_{l_2 m_2}^B \int d\hat{n} \, e^{ib} Y_{(l_1 m_1)ac}(\hat{n}) Y_{lm}^*(\hat{n})$$

$$= \sum_{l_1 m_1 l_2 m_2} (P_{l_1 m_1}^E \psi_{l_2 m_2}^E) \int d\hat{n} \, e^{ib} Y_{(l_1 m_1)bc}(\hat{n}) Y_{lm}^*(\hat{n}) + P_{l_1 m_1}^B \psi_{l_2 m_2}^B \int d\hat{n} \, e^{ib} Y_{(l_1 m_1)bc}(\hat{n}) Y_{lm}^*(\hat{n})$$

$$+ P_{l_1 m_1}^B \psi_{l_2 m_2}^E \int d\hat{n} \, (1) Y_{(l_1 m_1)bc}(\hat{n}) Y_{lm}^*(\hat{n}) + P_{l_1 m_1}^B \psi_{l_2 m_2}^B \int d\hat{n} \, \sum_{ab} (\hat{d} \hat{E}) Y_{lm}^*(\hat{n}),$$

where we have used $e^{ib} Y_{(l_1 m_1)ac}(\hat{n}) = Y_{lm}^* b (\hat{n})$ and $e^{ib} Y_{(l_1 m_1)bc}(\hat{n}) = -Y_{lm}^* b (\hat{n})$. According to Refs. [45, 46], we can express the integrals as

$$\int d\hat{n} \, Y_{(l_1 m_1)abc}(\hat{n}) Y_{lm}^*(\hat{n}) = \int d\hat{n} \, Y_{(l_1 m_1)abc}(\hat{n}) Y_{lm}^*(\hat{n}) = \xi_{l_1 m_1 l_2 m_2}^l H_{l_1 l_2}^l,$$

$$\int d\hat{n} \, Y_{(l_1 m_1)abc}(\hat{n}) Y_{lm}^*(\hat{n}) = \int d\hat{n} \, Y_{(l_1 m_1)abc}(\hat{n}) Y_{lm}^*(\hat{n}) = \xi_{l_1 m_1 l_2 m_2}^{lm} H_{l_1 l_2}^{lm},$$

where the result is zero unless $l_1 + l_2 + l = (\text{even})$ in Eq. (58) or $l_1 + l_2 + l = (\text{odd})$ in Eq. (59), and $\xi_{l_1 m_1 l_2 m_2}^l$ and $H_{l_1 l_2}^l$ are defined in terms of Wigner 3-j symbols as

$$\xi_{l_1 m_1 l_2 m_2}^l = \begin{pmatrix} (2l_1 + 1)(2l_2 + 1) \\ -1 \\ 4\pi \\ (-1)^m \\ \binom{l_1 \, l \, l_2 \, \text{w}}{l_2 \, m_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_{l_1 l_2}^l = \begin{pmatrix} l_1 \, l \, l_2 \\ 2 \, 0 \, -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
respectively. Then we derive

\[ C_l^{VV} = \sum_{l_1, l_2 (\text{odd})} \left[ (C_{l_1}^{EE} C_{l_2}^{EE} - C_{l_1}^{EE} C_{l_2}^{EE}) + (C_{l_1}^{BB} C_{l_2}^{BB} - C_{l_1}^{BB} C_{l_2}^{BB}) \right] |G_{l_1 l_2}^m|^2 \]

\[ + \sum_{l_1, l_2 (\text{even})} \left( C_{l_1}^{EE} C_{l_2}^{EE} + C_{l_1}^{BB} - 2C_{l_1}^{EE} C_{l_2}^{BB} \right) |G_{l_1 l_2}^m|^2 \]

\[ = \sum_{l_1 l_2 (\text{odd})} \frac{(2l_1 + 1)(2l_2 + 1)}{4\pi} \left( (C_{l_1}^{EE} C_{l_2}^{EE} - C_{l_1}^{EE} C_{l_2}^{EE}) \right. \]

\[ \left. + (C_{l_1}^{BB} C_{l_2}^{BB} - C_{l_1}^{BB} C_{l_2}^{BB}) \right) |H_{l_1 l_2}^1|^2 \]

\[ + \sum_{l_1 l_2 (\text{even})} \frac{(2l_1 + 1)(2l_2 + 1)}{4\pi} \left( C_{l_1}^{EE} C_{l_2}^{EE} + C_{l_1}^{BB} - 2C_{l_1}^{EE} C_{l_2}^{BB} \right) |H_{l_1 l_2}^1|^2 \]

\[ \simeq \int \frac{d^2 l_1}{(2\pi)^2} \sin^2 \phi_1 (l_1 - l) \left( (C_{l}^{EE} C_{l+1}^{EE} - C_{l}^{EE} C_{l+1}^{EE}) + (C_{l}^{BB} C_{l+1}^{BB} - C_{l}^{BB} C_{l+1}^{BB}) \right) \]

\[ + \int \frac{d^2 l_1}{(2\pi)^2} \cos^2 \phi_1 (l_1 - l) \left( C_{l}^{EE} C_{l+1}^{EE} + C_{l}^{BB} - 2C_{l}^{EE} C_{l+1}^{BB} \right), \]

where we have used \( \sum_{m_1 m_2} (\xi_{l_1 m_1 l_2 m_2}^m)^2 = (2l_1 + 1)(2l_2 + 1)/(4\pi) \) [45, 46] between the first equality and second equality and we have used [45]

\[ \sum_{l_1 l_2 (\text{odd})} \frac{(2l_1 + 1)(2l_2 + 1)}{4\pi} |H_{l_1 l_2}^1|^2 \simeq \int \frac{d^2 l_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^2 l_2}{(2\pi)^2} (2\pi)^2 \sin^2 \phi_1 l_1 l_2 \delta(l - (l_1 + l_2)), \]

\[ \sum_{l_1 l_2 (\text{even})} \frac{(2l_1 + 1)(2l_2 + 1)}{4\pi} |H_{l_1 l_2}^1|^2 \simeq \int \frac{d^2 l_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^2 l_2}{(2\pi)^2} (2\pi)^2 \cos^2 \phi_1 l_1 l_2 \delta(l - (l_1 + l_2)), \]

valid when \( l, l_1, l_2 \gg 1 \), between the second and third equality.

The variance of \( V \) is given by \( \langle V^2 \rangle = \sum (2l + 1) C_l^{VV} / (4\pi) \), which can be approximated,

\[ \langle V^2 \rangle \simeq \int \frac{d^2 l}{(2\pi)^2} C_l^{VV} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \left( \langle p_E p_E \rangle \langle \phi_E \phi_E \rangle - \langle p_E \phi_E \rangle^2 + \langle p_B p_B \rangle \langle \phi_B \phi_B \rangle - \langle p_B \phi_B \rangle^2 \right) \]

\[ + \langle p_E p_E \rangle \langle \phi_B \phi_B \rangle + \langle p_B p_B \rangle \langle \phi_E \phi_E \rangle - 2 \langle p_E \phi_E \rangle \langle p_B \phi_B \rangle. \]

Finally, we provide results of numerical calculations for a scale-invariant spectrum of primordial gravitational waves, using \textsc{class} [47] to obtain the CMB polarization transfer functions. Figs. 1, and 2 show \( C_l^{PP}, C_l^{EE}, C_l^{BB} \), and \( C_l^{VV} \) with scalar and tensor perturbations. We take \( v_0 = 100 \text{GHz} \) for both sets of perturbations. From Eq. (67) we find the root-variance of \( V \) to be

\[ \sqrt{\langle V^2 \rangle} \sim \begin{cases} 3 \times 10^{-14} & \text{(for scalar perturbations)}, \\ 7 \times 10^{-18} (r_{0.00}^{-1}) & \text{(for tensor perturbations)}. \end{cases} \]

or in temperature units,

\[ \sqrt{\langle V^2 \rangle} \sim \begin{cases} 8 \times 10^{-14} \text{K} & \text{(for scalar perturbations)}, \\ 2 \times 10^{-17} (r_{0.00}^{-1}) \text{K} & \text{(for tensor perturbations)}. \end{cases} \]

From Eqs. (68) and (69), we can see that the circular polarization induced by tensor perturbations through the photon-photon scattering is much larger than that induced through the photon-graviton scattering [36].

**VI. CONCLUSIONS**

We have used the TAM formalism to discuss the CMB circular polarization induced at second order in the primordial-perturbation amplitude, by general primordial perturbations, including vector and tensor perturbations.
in addition to scalar perturbations. To make the discussion concrete, we have assumed the standard cosmology and considered only the dominant contribution—from photon-photon scattering—to Faraday conversion. We performed numerical calculations of the power spectra for circular polarization and find root-variances of $\sqrt{\langle V^2 \rangle} \sim 3 \times 10^{-14}$ for scalar perturbations, and $\sqrt{\langle V^2 \rangle} \sim 7 \times 10^{-18} (r/0.06)$ for tensor perturbations. The derived formulas can be applied to the other source terms discussed in Ref. [24] such as spin polarization of neutral hydrogen atoms and the non-linear interactions induced by bounded or free electrons, although these are expected to be subdominant to the photon-photon process considered here.

Before closing, we note that it follows from Eq. (61) that the monopole $V_{l=0,m=0} = 0$ if there are no primordial vector or tensor modes (and thus no B modes). In other words, there will be circular-polarization fluctuations, but the mean value of the circular polarization, averaged over the entire sky, will be zero. We also note that here we have assumed that parity is conserved and thus that there are no correlations between the TE and TB TAM modes. An accompanying [48] paper shows that the TE/TB cross-correlations that arise if parity is broken may allow for a parity-breaking uniform (averaged over all directions) circular polarization $V_{l=0,m=0}$. The paper also shows that a uniform circular polarization may arise even in the absence of parity-breaking physics through a realization of a
gravitational-wave field that spontaneously breaks parity.
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Appendix A: Wigner rotation matrices

Here we review some useful properties of the Wigner rotation matrices, using the notation [49],

\[
D_{lm'}^{j}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{s=\text{max}(0, m-m')}^{\text{min}(l+m, l-m')} (-1)^{s} \frac{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!(l+m')!(l-m')!}}{(l-m' - s)!(l+m-s)!s!(s+m'-m)!} \times e^{im'\alpha} \left( \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \right)^{2l+m-m'-2s} \left( \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \right)^{2s+m'-m} e^{im\gamma}.
\]

The relations between the spherical harmonics in the line-of-sight frame \((\theta', \phi')\) and the wave-vector frame \((\theta, \phi)\) is given by [49]

\[
Y_{lm}^{*}(\theta', \phi') = \sum_{k} D_{mk}^{l}(\pi - \phi_k, \theta_k, 0) Y_{lk}^{*}(\theta, \phi).
\]

Since the coefficients are given by \(a_{lm}^{A} = \int d\hat{n} A(\hat{n}) Y_{lm}^{*}(\hat{n})\), the relation between the coefficients of spherical harmonics in the two frames is

\[
a_{lm}(k, \eta) = \sum_{k} D_{mk}^{l}(\pi - \phi_k, \theta_k, 0) a_{lk}^{E}(k, \eta).
\]

Appendix B: Photon-photon scattering

Here we derive Eqs. (24) and (25). For photons with energies far smaller than the electron rest-mass energy, the effective Lagrangian for the electromagnetic field can be approximated as the Euler-Heisenberg Lagrangian [50]:

\[
\mathcal{L} \simeq \frac{1}{2\mu_{0}} \left( \frac{E \cdot E}{c^2} - B \cdot B \right) + \frac{A_{e}}{\mu_{0}} \left[ \left( \frac{E \cdot E}{c^2} - B \cdot B \right)^2 + 7 \left( \frac{E \cdot B}{c} \right)^2 \right].
\]

By using the constitutive relations \(D = \partial \mathcal{L}/\partial E\) and \(H = -\partial \mathcal{L}/\partial B\), we obtain

\[
D = \epsilon_{0} E + \epsilon_{0} A_{e} \left[ 4 \left( \frac{E \cdot E}{c^2} - B \cdot B \right) E + 14(E \cdot B)B \right],
\]

\[
H = \frac{B}{\mu_{0}} + \frac{A_{e}}{\mu_{0}} \left[ 4 \left( \frac{E \cdot E}{c^2} - B \cdot B \right) B - 14(E \cdot B)E \right],
\]

where \(D\) is the electric-displacement vector and \(H\) is the magnetic-intensity vector. To consider the interaction between the propagating photon and background radiation, we write the electric and magnetic fields as

\[
E = E_{A} e^{i(k \cdot x - \omega t)} + E_{A}^{*} e^{-i(k \cdot x - \omega t)} + E_{B}(x, t), \quad B = B_{A} e^{i(k \cdot x - \omega t)} + B_{A}^{*} e^{-i(k \cdot x - \omega t)} + B_{B}(x, t),
\]

\[\text{References}\]
where $\mathbf{E}_A$ and $\mathbf{B}_A$ are the electromagnetic fields associated with the propagating photon and $\mathbf{E}_B$ and $\mathbf{B}_B$ are those associated with the background radiation. We assume $B^0_i = \epsilon_{ijk}k^j \mathbf{E}_A^k$, where $\epsilon_{ijk}$ is the Levi-Civita symbol. From Eqs. (B2)–(B4), we find that

\begin{equation}
D_i \simeq e^{i(k \cdot x - \omega t)} \epsilon_{0} \left( \delta_{ij} + A_{c} \left( \frac{(\mathbf{E}_B \cdot \mathbf{E}_B)}{c^2} - \langle \mathbf{B}_B \cdot \mathbf{B}_B \rangle \right) \right) \delta_{ij} + 8 \left( \frac{E^B_i E^B_j}{c^2} + 14 \langle B^B_i B^B_j \rangle \right) E^A_j + \cdots, \tag{B5}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
H_i \simeq e^{i(k \cdot x - \omega t)} \frac{1}{\mu_0} \left( \delta_{ij} - (-1) A_{c} \left( \frac{(\mathbf{E}_B \cdot \mathbf{E}_B)}{c^2} - \langle \mathbf{B}_B \cdot \mathbf{B}_B \rangle \right) \right) \delta_{ij} - 8 \left( \frac{E^B_i E^B_j}{c^2} - 14 \langle B^B_i B^B_j \rangle \right) \epsilon_{kli} \hat{k}_i E^A_l + \cdots, \tag{B6}
\end{equation}

where we explicitly write only the terms proportional to $e^{i(k \cdot x - \omega t)}$, and $\langle \cdots \rangle$ means the expectation value of the stochastic background radiation. Then, we derive

\begin{equation}
\chi_{e,ij} \simeq A_{c} \left( \frac{(\mathbf{E}_B \cdot \mathbf{E}_B)}{c^2} - \langle \mathbf{B}_B \cdot \mathbf{B}_B \rangle \right) \delta_{ij} + 8 \left( \frac{E^B_i E^B_j}{c^2} + 14 \langle B^B_i B^B_j \rangle \right), \tag{B7}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\chi_{m,ij} \simeq -A_{c} \left( \frac{(\mathbf{E}_B \cdot \mathbf{E}_B)}{c^2} - \langle \mathbf{B}_B \cdot \mathbf{B}_B \rangle \right) \delta^{kl} - 8 \langle B^B_i B^B_j \rangle - 14 \langle \frac{E^B_i E^B_j}{c^2} \rangle \epsilon_{kmi} \hat{k}_i E^A_l + \cdots. \tag{B8}
\end{equation}

From Eqs. (B7) and (B8), we obtain

\begin{equation}
n_{xx}(x,t) - n_{yy}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \chi_{e,xx} + \chi_{e,yy} - \chi_{e,yy} - \chi_{m,yy} \right) = 3 A_{c} \left( \langle B^B_x B^B_x \rangle - \langle B^B_y B^B_y \rangle - \frac{1}{c^2} \langle \frac{E^B_x E^B_x}{c^2} - \langle E^B_y E^B_y \rangle \rangle \right), \tag{B9}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
n_{xy}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \chi_{e,xy} + \chi_{m,xy} \right) = 3 A_{c} \left( \langle B^B_x B^B_y \rangle - \frac{1}{c^2} \langle E^B_x E^B_y \rangle \right). \tag{B10}
\end{equation}

Here, we expand the background electric and magnetic field with creation and annihilation operators as

\begin{equation}
E^B_i(x,t) = i \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{\alpha=x,y} \frac{U_p}{\epsilon_0} \hat{e}^\alpha_i \left( a_{\alpha}(p) e^{i(p \cdot x - \omega t)} - a_{\alpha}^\dagger(p) e^{-i(p \cdot x - \omega t)} \right), \tag{B11}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
B^B_i(x,t) = i \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{\alpha=x,y} \frac{U_p}{\epsilon_0 c} \left( \hat{p} \times \hat{e}^\alpha_i \right) \left( a_{\alpha}(p) e^{i(p \cdot x - \omega t)} - a_{\alpha}^\dagger(p) e^{-i(p \cdot x - \omega t)} \right), \tag{B12}
\end{equation}

where we define the basis vectors as $\hat{e}^x \equiv \hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{e}^y \equiv \hat{\phi}$. The expectation value of photon number density is described with a phase-space density matrix as

\begin{equation}
\langle a_{\alpha}^\dagger(p) a_{\beta}(p') \rangle_{x,t} = (2\pi)^3 \delta(p - p') f_{\alpha\beta}(p, x, t), \tag{B13}
\end{equation}

where the subscript $x$ and $t$ indicate the space-time point in which we consider the expectation value, and from Ref. [52],

\begin{equation}
f_{\alpha\beta}(p, x, t) = \left( f_I(p, x, t) + f_Q(p, x, t) + f_U(p, x, t) + i f_U(p, x, t) \right) \left( f_I(p, x, t) + i f_Q(p, x, t) - f_U(p, x, t) - i f_U(p, x, t) \right). \tag{B14}
\end{equation}

Substituting Eqs. (B11) and (B12) into Eqs. (B9) and (B10), we obtain

\begin{equation}
n_{Q}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} (n_{xx}(x,t) - n_{yy}(x,t))
= \frac{-3A_{c}}{2\epsilon_0 c^2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} \int \frac{U_p}{2} p^2 d\hat{p} \left[ 4 f_Q(p, x, t)(1 + \cos^2 \theta_p) \cos 2\phi - 8 f_I(p, x, t) \cos \theta_p \sin 2\phi_p \right]
= \frac{-24A_{c}}{\epsilon_0 c^2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} \int \frac{U_p}{2} p^2 d\hat{p} \left[ f_Q(p, x, t) \Re\{2Y_{22}(\hat{p}) + 2Y_{2,-2}(\hat{p})\} + f_I(p, x, t) \Im\{2Y_{22}(\hat{p}) + 2Y_{2,-2}(\hat{p})\} \right]
= 48 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} A_{c} \epsilon_0 c \epsilon_{rad} T_{CMB}^4 \Re \alpha_{E,2,-2}^2(x,t), \tag{B15}
\end{equation}

where $\Re$ and $\Im$ indicate the real and imaginary parts, respectively.
\[ n_U(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( n_{y^y}(x, t) + n_{y^z}(x, t) \right) \]
\[ = - \frac{3A_e}{2\epsilon_{0}c^6} \int \frac{d^2 \hat{p}}{x^2} \int U^p \left[ f_Q(p, x, t)(1 + \cos^2 \theta_p) \sin 2\phi_p + 8f_U(p, x, t) \cos \theta_p \cos 2\phi_p \right] \]
\[ = - \frac{24A_e}{\epsilon_{0}c^6} \int \frac{d^2 \hat{p}}{x^2} \int U^p \left[ f_Q(p, x, t) \text{Im} \left\{ 2Y_{22}(\hat{p}) - 2Y_{2,-2}(\hat{p}) \right\} \right] U^p(x, t) \text{Re} \left\{ 2Y_{22}(\hat{p}) - 2Y_{2,-2}(\hat{p}) \right\} \]
\[ = 48 \frac{A_{110\text{rad}}}{\epsilon_{0}c^6} T_{\text{CMB}}^1 \text{Im} a_{E}^{y^y}(x, t), \]

where \( \theta_p \) and \( \phi_p \) are the polar and azimuthal angles of \( \hat{p} \) in the line-of-sight frame, and we have used [53],
\[ f_Q(p, x, t) = Q(\hat{p}, x, t)(-p \partial f(0)/\partial p), \]
\[ f_U(p, x, t) = U(\hat{p}, x, t)(-p \partial f(0)/\partial p), \]
\[ Q(\hat{p}, x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,m} (a_{2,lm}(x, t) \, 2Y_{lm}(\hat{p}) + a_{-2,lm}(x, t) \, 2Y_{lm}(\hat{p})), \]
\[ U(\hat{p}, x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,m} (a_{2,lm}(x, t) \, 2Y_{lm}(\hat{p}) - a_{-2,lm}(x, t) \, 2Y_{lm}(\hat{p})), \]
\[ Y_{lm}(\hat{p}) = Y_{l,m}^{y^y}(\hat{p}), \]
\[ a_{lm}^{E}(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2}(a_{2,lm}(x, t) + a_{-2,lm}(x, t)). \]

If we take the conformal space-time, Eqs. (B15) and (B16) correspond to Eqs. (24) and (25).
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