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Abstract

Swarming colonies of the bacterium *Proteus mirabilis* are capable of self recognition and territorial behavior. Swarms of independent *P. mirabilis* isolates can recognize each other as foreign and establish a visible boundary where they meet; by contrast, genetically identical swarms merge. The *ids* genes, which encode self-identity proteins, are necessary but not sufficient for this territorial behavior. Here we have identified two new gene clusters: one (*idr*) encodes rhs-related products and another (*tss*) encodes a putative type VI secretion (T6S) apparatus. The Ids and Idr proteins function independently of each other in extracellular transport and in territorial behaviors; however, these self-recognition systems are linked via this type VI secretion system. The T6S system is required for export of select Ids and Idr proteins. Our results provide a mechanistic and physiological basis for the fundamental behaviors of self recognition and territoriality in a bacterial model system.

Importance

Our results support a model in which self recognition in *P. mirabilis* is achieved by the combined action of two independent pathways linked by a shared machinery for export of encoded self-recognition elements. These proteins together form a mechanistic network for self recognition that can serve as a foundation for examining the prevalent biological phenomena of territorial behaviors and self recognition in a simple, bacterial model system.
Introduction

The ability to differentiate self from nonself is a behavior observed throughout biology, from animals to single-celled organisms. Self recognition has been hypothesized to be a cornerstone aspect of territorial behavior, i.e., a preference for kin and aggressiveness toward non-kin (1). Multiple implementations of self-recognition capability have been described in a growing set of bacteria, including *Proteus mirabilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Vibrio cholerae, Escherichia coli, Paenibacillus dendritiformis,* and *Myxococcus xanthus* (2-9). In both *P. aeruginosa* and *V. cholerae,* the type VI secretion (T6S) system mediates the exchange of toxins between neighboring cells; kin selection occurs through the strain-specific expression of an antitoxin to the T6S-mediated toxins, which are usually strain- or species-specific effector molecules (3-5). Similarly, contact-dependent inhibition (CDI) in *E. coli* and *Dickeya dadantii* is achieved through the direct exchange of toxin-encoding peptides that are selectively targeted to inhibit growth of non-isogenic strains; these non-isogenic strains do not express the requisite neutralizing antitoxin (7, 10-12). It has been proposed that these CDI toxins are linked to *rhs* (rearrangement hotspot) sequences in bacteria (11). While the molecular mechanisms of these systems are beginning to be described, the native environmental and physiological role for self recognition in bacteria is poorly understood.

In the model system *P. mirabilis,* a Gram-negative bacterium and causative agent of urinary tract infections, self recognition is necessary for territorial behavior. Migrating populations, or “swarms,” of independent *P. mirabilis* isolates can recognize each other as foreign and establish a macroscopically visible boundary (of up to three millimeters) where they meet. By contrast, genetically identical swarms merge, forming a single, larger swarm (2). This behavior indicates that *P. mirabilis* populations are capable of distinguishing self from nonself.
*P. mirabilis* infections have been described as clonal and as a consequence of infection by the host’s endogenous strain (13, 14).

We previously reported that *P. mirabilis* populations with mutations in the *ids* operon, consisting of *idsABCDE*, do not merge with the wild-type parent, indicating a loss of the ability to correctly recognize self (15, 16). More specifically, we found that IdsD and IdsE encode strain-specific self-identity determinants in *P. mirabilis*. Strains in which either *idsD* or *idsE* are absent form a territorial boundary with an otherwise genetically identical parent strain, and this behavior is not rescued by expression of *idsD* and/or *idsE* alleles from a foreign strain (15). This differs from the other four Ids proteins (IdsA, IdsB, IdsC, and IdsF), which we found do not confer strain-specific self-identity as their substitution with alleles from a foreign strain does not alter boundary formation (15). The Ids proteins, however, are necessary but not sufficient for self recognition and subsequent boundary formation in *P. mirabilis*.

To fully understand and model self-recognition behavior in bacteria, we need to know the core components and how they interact with one another. Indeed, the full set of proteins involved in self recognition in *P. mirabilis*, as well as their cellular location and the interconnections between them, were previously unknown. Moreover, the role of the Ids proteins, and of self recognition in general, in social behaviors outside of boundary formation has yet to be examined. Here, we have characterized core molecular networks for self recognition in one strain of *P. mirabilis*, as well as the interconnections between these proteins.

**Results**

Self recognition requires two gene clusters, *tss* and *idr*, in addition to the *ids* genes.
We sought to ascertain the full set of genes necessary for self recognition by searching for mutants that display a different territorial boundary formation phenotype from the wild-type strain and/or an *ids*-deficient mutant strain. To this end, we generated a library of roughly 13,000 single-insertion transposon mutants in the wild-type strain BB2000, representing an approximately three-fold coverage of its genome. Then we screened each mutant from the library by swarming it against a mutant lacking the *ids* operon (Δ*ids*) and against other mutants from the transposon library, which served as proxies for nonself and self populations, respectively. We isolated mutants that either merged with all strains or formed boundaries with Δ*ids* and each other (Figure 1A). Seven mutants were pursued: five that merged with both Δ*ids* and the wild-type parent (“all-merge”) and two that formed boundaries with both Δ*ids* and the wild-type (“no-merge”) (Figure 1B). We had isolated an additional no-merge mutant in a previous self-recognition screen (15). The isolated mutant strains, like the wild-type parent and Δ*ids*, formed boundaries with the independent *P. mirabilis* wild-type strain HI4320 (Figure 1B). The eight insertion sites represented by these recovered mutants map to two adjacent, divergently oriented gene clusters.

The insertions in the all-merge mutants map to a single 17-gene cluster, *tssA*-Q, located from base pairs 938,609 to 916,585 (Figure 1C, NCBI accession number BankIt1590180 BB2000 CP004022). The sequence of *tssA*-Q reveals similarities to genes encoding core components of the *Vibrio cholerae* type VI secretion (T6S) system, including the membrane proteins *icmF*, *dotU*, and *sciN*, as well as the ATPase *clpV* (17). This is the sole locus containing these T6S proteins in the BB2000 genome. To confirm the phenotype associated with *tssA*-Q, we introduced *tssN* (*icmF*) and the three downstream genes, *tssOPQ*, into a low-copy plasmid where gene expression is controlled by the region directly upstream of *tssA*; we transformed this
plasmid, pLW100, into a tssN-deficient mutant (tssN*) in which tssN is disrupted by a
transposon insertion. The four tss genes, tssNOPQ, were included on pLW100, because the tssN
mutation likely disrupts expression of the downstream genes. The plasmid pLW100
complements the tssN mutation; the resultant strain forms a boundary with Δids (Figure 1B). We
did not see complementation with a plasmid containing solely tssN using the same promoter
region, suggesting that a disruption in tssO, tssP, or tssQ may also be responsible for the all-
merge phenotype and that the upstream promoter is not contributing to the complementation
phenotype (see Supporting Information). Therefore, we conclude that disruption of T6S function
is responsible for the all-merge phenotype.

The no-merge mutants contain transposon insertions in three separate genes of a
previously uncharacterized five-gene locus, located from base pairs 940,506 to 949,474, that we
name idr for identity recognition (Figure 1D). The first gene, idrA, shares high sequence
similarity with idsA (98%) and the T6S-related gene hcp, whereas the second gene, idrB, has
some sequence similarity to idsB (50%) and the T6S-related gene vgrG (Figure 1D). The idrB-
deficient mutant strain (idrB*) in which idrB is disrupted by a transposon insertion serves as the
idr-deficient strain throughout our studies. The remaining genes, idrC, idrD, and idrE, are
predicted to encode polypeptides of unknown function. The idrD gene contains rhs sequences.
Some genes containing rhs sequences have been shown to encode antibacterial toxins (11).

We observe that the ids, idr, and tss gene clusters are all present in the genome of the
independent strain HI4320 (18). The Ids proteins share greater than 97% sequence identity
among strains, except for IdsD and IdsE, which share 96% and 93% sequence identity,
respectively (15). The polypeptides encoded by the tss locus are highly similar (over 97%
sequence identity) between strains BB2000 and HI4320 (Figure 1C). However, the idr locus
differs in both nucleotide sequence and gene content between strains BB2000 and HI4320, suggesting that the idr locus encodes as-yet uncharacterized strain-specific factors necessary for self recognition (Figure 1D).

The ids, tss, and idr loci are each critical for competition on surfaces.

We next examined the role of each gene cluster in self recognition and territorial behaviors. We predicted that self-recognition capability likely provides an increased ability to survive against other organisms. As self recognition-dependent boundary formation in P. mirabilis is principally apparent on surfaces, we investigated whether loss of self-recognition capability decreases a population’s ability to compete on surfaces. In equal initial ratios, we mixed cells of the parent BB2000, which is fully capable of self recognition, with those of either the ∆ids, tssN*, or idrB* mutant strains, all of which are deficient in one or more self-recognition protein. We placed each mixed population on a nutrient surface in a single spot from which the population migrated outward as a single swarm. Then we analyzed for dominance by measuring whether the mixed population merged with either a pure swarm of parent BB2000 or of an isolated swarm of the tested mutant strain. The parent BB2000 prevailed in virtually every mixed population (Figure 2A).

To determine how the parent strain achieves dominance, we sampled for the presence of the parent and mutant strains at discrete locations within the swarm of the mixed population. Notably, parent BB2000 cells migrated to the periphery of the swarm more rapidly than any of the mutant strains (Figure 2B). None of the mutant strains have a motility defect, as compared to BB2000, when migrating alone (Figure 1). Therefore, loss of self-recognition capability diminishes a population’s relative rate of movement to, and dominance of, the leading edge of a
swarm colony when growing with an otherwise genetically identical strain fully capable of self recognition (Figure 2B).

We next assessed how the BB2000 parent and mutant strains fared in competition with the independent wild-type *P. mirabilis* strain HI4320. In similar assays for dominance as described above, we mixed an equal ratio of HI4320 and BB2000 cells and then placed the mixed population onto a nutrient surface in a spot from which the cells migrated outwards as a single swarm. We measured for dominance by examining whether the swarm of the mixed population formed a boundary with an adjacent pure swarm of either HI4320 or of BB2000. Most mixtures of HI4320 and BB2000 yielded boundaries with the neighboring HI4320 swarm but would merge with the BB2000 swarm, indicating that BB2000 cells dominated at the leading edges of mixed populations (Figure 2C). Likewise, mixtures of HI4320 and the ∆ids mutant strain primarily formed boundaries with a pure HI4320 swarm but merged with a pure ∆ids swarm, indicating that the ∆ids strain was dominant in these mixed population and that the ids genes are not needed for competition between strains (Figure 2C). By contrast, mixtures of HI4320 with either the tssN* or idrB* mutant strain primarily yielded swarms that merged with a pure HI4320 swarm but formed boundaries with pure swarms of the tssN* or idrB* mutant strain, respectively, indicating that HI4320 dominated in these mixed populations (Figure 2C). The presence of the Idr and T6S proteins, but not the Ids proteins, is therefore advantageous in competitions against the independent strain HI4320. Further, the Idr and Ids proteins have discrete roles in competitions; while Ids and Idr proteins are necessary for competitions with the parent strain, only Idr proteins are involved in competition with foreign strains.

Type VI secretion is required for export of components involved in self recognition.
The phenotypes observed during the competition assays suggest a dynamic connection between these three gene clusters that together contribute to self recognition and territorial behaviors. Since T6S is needed for the export of proteins in other bacterial systems, we predicted that self-recognition products in *P. mirabilis* are likely exported from the cell via this system. As such, we examined the secretion profiles of the wild-type, Δids, *idrB*+, and *tssN*+ strains for proteins involved in self recognition using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). We detected the self-identity determinant protein IdsD, as well as IdsA and IdsB, in the extracellular fraction of the wild-type *P. mirabilis* strain BB2000 but not in that of the Δids mutant strain (Figure 3A). None of the remaining Ids proteins were present in any of the extracellular fractions by LC-MS/MS analysis. The newly identified IdrA and IdrB proteins were also present in the extracellular fractions for both the wild-type and the Δids mutant strains, indicating that export of the Idr proteins is independent of the Ids proteins (Figure 3A).

Conversely, IdsA, IdsB, and IdsD, as well as IdrA, were detected by LC-MS/MS analysis in supernatant isolated from the *idrB*+ mutant strain, providing further support that the Ids and Idr proteins likely function independently in export from the cell (Figure 3A).

We readily observed IdsA and IdrA in the extracellular fraction of the wild-type strain as discrete bands in a Coomassie Blue-stained protein gel. We excised these bands and confirmed by LC-MS/MS that they were indeed IdsA and IdrA (Figure 3B). Only a single polypeptide band corresponding to the molecular weight of IdrA was present in the Δids extracellular fraction, confirming the LC-MS/MS results (Figure 3B). By contrast, neither IdsA nor IdrA were visible in the extracellular fraction of the *tssN*+ mutant strain (Figure 3B). Indeed, neither Ids nor Idr proteins were detected above background levels in the supernatant of the *tssN*+ mutant strain analyzed by LC-MS/MS (see Supporting Information).
To further confirm the LC-MS/MS data, we attached a FLAG epitope to the C-terminus of IdsA in a low-copy plasmid containing the entire ids operon with its native promoter, resulting in plasmid pLW101, and then introduced this plasmid construct into the Δids strain, into wild-type BB2000, and into the tssN* mutant strain. In these plasmid-carrying strains, IdsA-FLAG was absent in the extracellular fraction of the tssN* mutant strain, but was present in that of the Δids and wild-type strains, as detected by western blot (Figure 3C). Of note, IdsA-FLAG was detected not only in the supernatant, but also on the surface of intact BB2000 carrying pLW101 cells (see Supporting Information). The lack of extracellular IdsA-FLAG in the tssN* mutant strain was not due to reduced production, as IdsA-FLAG was present at equivalent levels in the whole cell extracts of all plasmid-carrying cells (Figure 3C).

We performed a similar western blot analysis using custom-raised antibodies to IdsB. IdsB was detected at equivalent levels in the whole cell extracts of the wild-type, idrB*, and tssN* strains, but was only detected in the supernatant fractions of the wild-type and idrB* strains (Figure 3D). Unfortunately, we were unable to directly localize epitope-tagged variants of IdsD in vivo, perhaps due to low expression of IdsD and/or to steric hindrance of the epitope by a putative identity complex. However, based on the LC-MS/MS and western blot analyses, export of the self-identity determinant protein IdsD, as well as the non-identity determinant proteins IdsA, IdsB, IdrA, and IdrB, requires a functional T6S system. Moreover, export of the Ids components is independent of the Idr components and vice-versa.

Discussion

Here we report the discovery of two additional gene clusters that together with the ids operon comprise a network of self-recognition genes (Figure 4A). One locus, idr, encodes
proteins necessary for merger with the parent BB2000 strain, while the other locus, tss, is a type 
VI secretion system that mediates export of Ids and Idr proteins. Significantly, we found that 
multiple Ids and Idr proteins are exported from the cell, including the self-identity determinant 
protein, IdsD.

The Idr and Ids proteins represent two separate mechanisms for self recognition. Export 
of the Idr proteins is independent of the ids gene cluster, and likewise, export of the Ids proteins 
is not dependent on the idr gene cluster. Moreover, strains with mutations in the ids or idr genes 
have different phenotypes in intraspecies competitions. The Ids proteins, which are only needed 
for competition with the parent strain, encode strain-specific self-identity determinants (15). 
Interestingly, the idrD gene contains rhs sequences, which are commonly found in bacterial 
species. Recent research has implicated that these rhs-encoding proteins, as well as proteins 
involved in contact-dependent inhibition such as CdiA in E. coli, encode toxin elements in the C-
terminal domain (6, 11, 19). However, the rhs-containing proteins may also encode for adhesion 
molecules because they share some sequence similarity to YD-repeat-containing teneurin 
proteins (11). Either of these idrD proposed functions could explain why the idr genes are 
required for increased competition (and/or population migration) against foreign strains.

Indeed, we demonstrate that the self-recognition capability of P. mirabilis provides a 
competitive advantage for the population specifically on surfaces. We did not observe similar 
advantages when wild type was grown with self-recognition mutant strains in liquid (see 
Supporting Information). Growth on surfaces induces a broad developmental change in P. 
mirabilis where increased cell-cell contact yields increased population-wide coordination that is 
integral to migration and outward expansion of the swarm (reviewed in (20, 21)). Perhaps the
behavior of self recognition is most beneficial in environments where social interactions are
more frequent and, thus potentially, more impactful.

Our research supports a model in which *P. mirabilis* self recognition involves the display
of self-identity proteins that are likely interpreted via a direct physical interaction with other
cells; this communication then yields a self versus nonself assessment that guides whether
boundaries are formed between populations (Figure 4B). Some self-identity proteins are likely
displayed on or near the cell surface, as physical contact between cells is required for boundary
formation (22). This extracellular exposure may serve to communicate a cell's identity
represented by the self-identity determinant molecules, IdsD and IdsE, during interactions with
neighboring cells (15, 16). Indeed, Ids and Idr proteins are transported out of the cell via the T6S
system and are either transported into the neighboring cell or localize on the cell surface (see
Supporting Information). However, we have not yet found evidence for the transfer of self-
recognition proteins into a neighboring cell.

We propose that boundary formation can result from the actions of a single population,
which has queried on a cell-cell level, whether the neighboring cell is self or not. For each
population of *P. mirabilis* strain BB2000, “self” is defined by the combined actions of the Ids
and Idr pathways. Self recognition occurs when both the expected cognate Ids and Idr proteins
are present in (or on) the neighboring cell, ultimately resulting in merger with the neighboring
swarm (Figure 4B). By contrast, we predict that boundary formation results from the absence of
the cognate Ids and Idr self-determinants in the neighboring cell (Figure 4B). Both the Ids and
Idr proteins likely initiate downstream signaling pathways that are altered depending on whether
the interactions are with cognate or non-cognate Ids and Idr proteins, respectively.

This two-part network for self recognition appears analogous to aspects of the innate
immune system and indeed has many parallels to the immune surveillance of natural killer (NK) cells. In current models for NK cell activity, the presence of self cells (i.e., of one’s own organism) is conveyed by the combined detection of two surface receptors (an activation-receptor ligand and Class I MHC), resulting in no killing of the self cell. By contrast, the absence of either receptor leads to the NK cell’s determination of an absence of self and the subsequent killing of the non-self (or receptor-deficient) cell, as reviewed in (23). Intriguingly, these results in *P. mirabilis* further support the idea that cellular self recognition is a behavior shared amongst many levels of biological complexity.

While the capability for self recognition is broadly found, it remains unclear why and how bacteria utilize this ability. In *P. mirabilis*, self recognition is necessary for territorial expansion when interacting with competing non-isogenic populations. Recently, other research groups have shown that type VI secretion systems confer a fitness advantage in interbacterial and interkingdom competitions, likely through transport of small molecules, but their role in intraspecies interactions is only beginning to emerge (3-5, 24-31). Our demonstration that a T6S system functions directly in self recognition-dependent territoriality expands the set of known applications for this widely conserved export machinery. We still need to explore the mechanisms of T6S in *P. mirabilis* and its relative functional capabilities as compared to T6S systems described in other bacteria. Importantly, we still need to understand the dynamics of Idr and Ids protein-protein interactions within and between cells. Indeed, the Ids, Idr, and T6S proteins together form a mechanistic foundation for examining the basic biological phenomena of territoriality and self recognition in a bacterial model system.
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Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and media. All strains are listed in Table 1. Escherichia coli strains were maintained on LB agar and Proteus mirabilis strains were maintained on LSW- agar (32). P. mirabilis was grown on CM55 Blood Agar Base agar (Remel Inc., Lenexa, KS) for swarm colony growth. For broth cultures, all strains were grown in LB broth under aerobic conditions at 37°C. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: 15 µg/ml tetracycline (Tet); 100 µg/ml rifampicin (Rif); 50 µg/ml kanamycin (Kn); 35 µg/ml chloramphenicol (Cm) for E. coli and 50 µg/ml for P. mirabilis. All media contained antibiotics appropriate for selection or maintenance of plasmids.

Plasmid construction. The tssN (icmF) complementation plasmid pLW100 encodes the last four genes of the tss gene cluster (tssN through tssQ) under the transcriptional control of the proposed promoter contained in the region immediately upstream of the tss gene cluster. This plasmid was constructed in two steps: the 1200 basepairs upstream of tssA was amplified by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) from the BB2000 genome and inserted into the pBBR1-NheI (15) plasmid using the Infusion HD system (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA); genes tssN, tssO, tssP, and tssQ were then PCR-amplified and inserted into the above plasmid (with Infusion HD), resulting in pLW100. To construct pLW101, which is the plasmid encoding IdsA-FLAG, a FLAG epitope (N-DYKDDDDK-C) was inserted immediately before the idsA stop codon in the pidsBB plasmid (15) using Quikchange site-directed mutagenesis (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Plasmids were propagated in E. coli XL10-Gold and then conjugated into P. mirabilis via mating with E. coli S17-1 λpir carrying the target plasmid as described earlier (15).
Swarm boundary assay. Cells were inoculated from overnight or mid-logarithmic cultures with an inoculation needle onto the surface of CM55 agar. Swarm plates were incubated for 18 - 24 hours at 37°C and screened by eye for the presence or absence of a visible boundary.

Transposon library construction and screen. A library of *P. mirabilis* transposon insertion mutants was generated by mating *P. mirabilis* strain BB2000 with *E. coli* strain SM10λpir carrying pUTmini-Tn5-Cm as described previously (15). Matings were performed on LSW' agar plates in the absence of selection for 8 - 16 hours, spread on 22 cm x 22 cm LSW' Tet+Cm agar trays (Genetics/Molecular Devices, UK), and incubated at 37°C for 24 - 36 hours. Colonies were picked using a robotic colony picker (Qbot/Molecular Devices, Genetix, UK) and arrayed into 96-well master plates. In total, 12,960 transposon insertion mutants were arrayed from 96-well master plates onto swarm agar trays (Nunc Omnitray, Nalge Nunc International, Rochester, NY) using the gridding head of the robotic colony picker. The swarm agar trays were arrayed in one of two patterns: 1) the mutants alone were arrayed and screened for boundary formation between independent mutants, or 2) the mutants were arrayed alternating with the ∆ids mutant. After 24 and 48 hours, each mutant was scored for boundary formation or merger with neighboring colonies. From the initial high-throughput, robotic screen of ~26,000 interactions, 192 potential mutants were selected for further re-testing. Potential mutants were then examined in individual swarm boundary assays against the BB2000 parent, the ∆ids mutant strain, and wild-type *P. mirabilis* strain HI4320 (33) to confirm the phenotype. Of those tested, 21 mutant strains were confirmed. Eight mutants contained disruptions in eight different loci, six strains contained mutations in the *ids* locus, and the remaining mutants contained disruptions in the *tss* (five) and *idr* (two) loci.
Mapping the transposon insertion sites. Arbitrary PCR was used to map the sites of the mini-Tn5-Cm transposon insertions as described previously (34-36). Briefly, genomic DNA was isolated from each transposon mutant of interest by phenol chloroform extraction, and the transposon insertion sites were amplified using Vent Polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) and primers Tn5Ext and ARB6 for the first round, then oNS054 (5’-TTCACACAGGAAACAGCTATGACCGCATTAAATCTAGCGAGG-3’) and ARB2 for the second round. Samples were treated with ExoSAP-IT (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) between rounds and prior to sequencing. Sanger sequencing was performed using primer oNS056 (5’-TTCACACAGGAAACAGCTATGACCGCATTAAATCTAGCGAGG-3’) via Genewiz, Inc. (South Plainfield, NJ). Results were mapped against the HI4320 (18) and the BB2000 (NCBI accession number BankIt1590180 BB2000 CP004022) genome sequences using ViroBLAST (37).

Sequence alignments. The predicted polypeptide sequences for the ids, tss, and idr gene clusters were compared between independent *P. mirabilis* strains BB2000 and HI4320. Percent identities for the entire peptide were calculated in pairwise comparisons using ClustalW2 (38, 39).

Surface competitions. To observe the spatial distribution of co-swarming *P. mirabilis* strains over time, BB2000 c. pBBR2-GFP (16) was competed against BB2000, Δids, tssN*, or idrB*. Overnight cultures were normalized to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.1. Competing strains were mixed together in a 1:1 ratio, and 0.5 µL of each co-culture was spotted onto the center of a CM55 agar plate. After incubating first at room temperature for 22 hours and then at 37°C for 6 hours, each swarm consisted of four swarm rings and was patched using a half-plate 48-prong device onto selective
plates that could detect the marked BB2000 strain (LSW Kn) and, when applicable, the mutant strain (LSW Cm). Select swarms (i.e. BB2000 versus BB2000) were also plated non-selectively onto LSW agar. To determine which strain was dominant in surface competitions, overnight cultures, normalized to OD$_{600}$ of 1.0, of BB2000 were mixed at a 1:1 ratio with those of $\Delta ids$, $tssN^*$, or $idrB^*$. Mixed populations were inoculated onto CM55 agar using an inoculation needle; monocultures of the boundary indicator strains were inoculated approximately 1 cm away. After overnight incubation at 37°C, the presence or absence of boundaries between swarm was used to assess strain dominance at the leading edge. Dominance of BB2000 was assessed as the merger of mixed populations with a mono-swarm of BB2000; dominance of the mutant was assessed as a merger with a mono-swarm of itself, or in the case of the $tssN^*$ strain, the $\Delta ids$ strain.

For competition between independently derived strains, the surface competition described above was repeated using the $P. mirabilis$ wild-type strain HI4320 competed against BB2000, $\Delta ids$, $tssN^*$, or $idrB^*$, with the modifications that a mono-culture of HI4320 was used as the indicator strain on each plate instead of BB2000 and dominance of HI4320 was assessed as the merger of mixed populations with the HI4320 mono-swarm. For all assays, unclear boundaries were marked as neither.

**TCA precipitation.** Overnight cultures were diluted to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.1 in fresh LB+Kn and grown at 37°C with shaking to an OD$_{600}$ of 3.5 - 4.5. For whole cell extracts, 1 ml of culture was centrifuged and the pellet was resuspended in 100 µl SDS-PAGE sample buffer. For supernatant samples, 30 ml of culture was clarified by centrifugation and filter sterilized (0.22 µm filters). The filtered supernatant was treated with trichloroacetic acid (10% final concentration) and
incubated on ice for 30 minutes. Precipitated proteins were collected by centrifugation, washed twice with pre-chilled 100% acetone, dried, and resuspended in 100 µL 2X SDS-PAGE sample buffer.

**Protein sequence analysis by LC-MS/MS.** TCA-precipitated samples were analyzed by electrophoresis using 10% SDS-PAGE gels and then stained with Coomassie Blue. Gel regions of interest were excised and analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) by the Taplin Biological Mass Spectrometry Facility (Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA). The unique peptide results for the Ids and Idr proteins are in Tables S1, S2, S3, and S4.

**Antiserum preparation.** Polyclonal antiserum against residues Cys713-Ala723 of IdsB was raised in rabbits according to the standard protocols (Covance Research Products, Denver, CO).

**Gel electrophoresis and western blot.** Protein samples were separated by gel electrophoresis using 15% tris-tricine gels and were either stained with Coomassie Blue or transferred to nitrocellulose for western blot analysis. Western blot membranes were probed with primary antibody (either 1:5000 mouse anti-FLAG, Sigma-Aldrich, Allentown, PA; 1:1000 mouse anti-Sigma70, Thermo Scientific, West Palm Beach, FL; or, 1:1000 rabbit anti-IdsB antiserum) for 1 hour, with secondary antibody (1:5000 goat anti-mouse-HRP, KPL, Gaithersburg, MD; 1:5000 goat anti-rabbit-HRP, KPL, Gaithersburg, MD) for 1 hour, and visualized using Immun-Star HRP Luminol/Enhancer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and the ChemiDoc XRS (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).
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**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1. The tss and idr genes are necessary for self recognition.** (A) Diagrammatic representations of the boundary behavior patterns exhibited by mutants isolated in the screen, matched with the swarm plates below. (B) Swarm agar plates inoculated with *P. mirabilis* strains exhibited the boundary formation behavior of two representative mutants isolated from the self-recognition screen: the *tssN* mutant strain that merged with all other BB2000-derived strains (left), the complemented *tssN* mutant strain carrying plasmid pLW100 that formed a boundary with the Δids strain (center), and the *idrB* mutant that formed boundaries with all other strains (right). (C) Diagram of the putative type VI secretion (*tss*) gene locus with sites of the transposon insertions, as depicted by lollipops. (D) Diagram of the *idr* gene locus with sites of the transposon insertions, as depicted by lollipops. For (C) and (D), the dark grey shading indicates 97% or higher percent identity for the predicted polypeptide sequences of the *tss* and *idr* genes between strains BB2000 and HI4320; otherwise, specific identities are provided underneath. The dashed box indicates the region of *idrD* that shares sequence similarity between strains BB2000 and HI4320. Slanted lines indicate a break in the genomic regions, corresponding to approximately 8 kilobase pairs (kb).

**Figure 2. Competitions between *P. mirabilis* strains.** (A) Competitions between mutant strains and the parent strain BB2000 on surfaces were initiated at a 1:1 ratio, and the mixed populations were permitted to swarm against either BB2000 or the mutant strain, N = 12. Population dominance was measured as the ability of the mixed swarm to merge with either BB2000, indicating BB2000 dominance, or the mutant strain, indicating dominance of the mutant strain. Unclear boundaries were classified as neither. (B) To observe the spatial distribution of co-
swarming *P. mirabilis* strains over time, BB2000 c. pKG101 (16) was competed against
BB2000, Δids, tssN*, or idrB*. Overnight cultures were normalized to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.1.
Competing strains were mixed together in a 1:1 ratio, and 0.5 μL of each co-culture was spotted
onto the center of a CM55 agar plate, N=3. After incubating first at room temperature for 22
hours and then at 37°C for 6 hours, each swarm consisted of four swarm rings and was patched
using a half-plate 48-prong device onto selective plates that could detect the marked BB2000
strain (LSW- Kn) and, when applicable, the mutant strain (LSW- Cm). Swarms of BB2000 versus
BB2000 were also plated non-selectively onto LSW- agar. Representative photographs of the
swarm plates, after sampling for migration distance, are depicted below. (C) Competitions
between BB2000, the BB2000 mutant strains, and an independent strain HI4320 were initiated at
a 1:1 ratio, and the mixed populations were swarmed against either a BB2000 mutant strain or
HI4320. The BB2000 mutant strain was defined as dominant when there was a merger between
the mixed population and the BB2000 mutant strain, while HI4320 was dominant if the mixed
population merged with the HI4320 swarm. N = 6 for tssN*; 12 for Δids and idrB*; and 18 for
BB2000.

**Figure 3. Proteins involved in self recognition are exported outside of the cell.** (A) LC-
MS/MS peptide hits for proteins in the culture supernatants of wild-type BB2000 and the Δids
mutant strains. *For BB2000 and the idrB* strains, an additional 6 unique (74 total) and 4 unique
(28 total) peptides, respectively, could be assigned to either IdsA or IdrA, due to high similarity
of the two proteins. (B) The secretion profiles of the wild-type, Δids, and tssN* strains were
examined by gel electrophoresis followed by Coomassie Blue staining. The identity of bands
corresponding to IdsA and IdrA were confirmed by LC-MS/MS. (C) Western blots of
extracellular secretions (left) and whole cell extracts (right) isolated from strains expressing IdsA-FLAG. The Δids c. pids<sub>bb</sub> strain was included as a negative control for the FLAG epitope. For Δids expressing IdsA-FLAG in trans, the FLAG epitope was engineered in-frame into an expression plasmid that contains the entire ids operon under native control. (D) Western blots of extracellular secretions (left) and whole cell extracts (right) isolated from the indicated strains using a polyclonal anti-IdsB antibody. The asterisks mark the size of the expected band.

Figure 4. Model for Ids and Idr functional roles in self recognition. (A) Depicted is a functional flowchart for the roles of the Ids, Idr, and T6S proteins in self recognition and territorial behaviors. A subset of Ids and Idr proteins are primarily exported via a shared T6S system (tss) and are necessary for competition on surfaces with the parent strain. Idr proteins are also needed for competition against foreign strains. (B) Our proposed model for self recognition predicts that the combined actions of interactions between cognate Ids and Idr proteins between two neighboring cells result in the determination that self is present, ultimately resulting in the merger of two swarms. Expression of the self-recognition components within the cells is sufficient, though in wild-type strains, some of these components are exported out of the cell by a T6S system. By contrast, absence of one or more of the Ids and Idr self-recognition systems leads to the determination that self is absent and ultimately to boundary formation.
| Strain | Genotype | Source |
|--------|----------|--------|
| *Proteus mirabilis* | | |
| BB2000 | wild type | (32) |
| HI4320 | wild type | (18, 40) |
| Δids | Δids::Cm(R) | (15) |
| Δids c. pids<sub>BB</sub> | Δids::Cm(R) carrying a plasmid expressing the *ids* operon under control of the *ids* upstream region | (15) |
| *idrB* | idrB::Tn-Cm(R) | This study |
| *idrC* | idrC::Tn-Cm(R) | This study |
| *idrD* | idrD::Tn-Cm(R) | (15) |
| *tssA* | tssA::Tn-Cm(R) | This study |
| *tssB* | tssB::Tn-Cm(R) | This study |
| *tssG* | tssG::Tn-Cm(R) | This study |
| *tssM* | tssM::Tn-Cm(R) | This study |
| *tssN* | tssN::Tn-Cm(R) | This study |
| BB2000 c. pKG101 | wild type carrying a plasmid with Kn(R) and promoter-less gfp | (16) |
| tssN* c. pLW100 | tssN::Tn-Cm(R) carrying a plasmid expressing *tssNOPQ* under control of the *tssA* upstream region | This study |
| BB2000 c. pLW101 | wild type carrying a plasmid expressing | This study |
IdsA-FLAG in which a FLAG was engineered to the C-terminus of IdsA in the pids<sub>BB</sub> vector

| Escherichia coli |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| SM10<sup>λ</sup>.pir c. pUTmini-Tn5-Cm | Cm(R) |  (41) |
| S17-1<sup>λ</sup>.pir | Cm(R) |  (41) |
| XL10-Gold Ultracompetent Cells | Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA |  |
Supporting Information legends

Figure S1. Boundary assays with tssN*-derived strain. (A) Diagrammatic representations of the boundary behavior patterns exhibited by the indicated strains, matched with the swarm plate to the right. (B) On this swarm agar plate, the tssN* mutant strain carrying plasmid pLW103, which encodes for tssN expression alone, merges with the both Δids strain (top) and the parent BB2000 (left), as did the tssN* mutant strain.

Method: The tssN-expressing plasmid, pLW103, encodes the tssN gene under the transcriptional control of the proposed promoter contained in the region immediately upstream of the tss gene cluster. This plasmid was constructed as follows: pLMW100 was digested at NheI and XmaI sites to obtain the vector backbone; gene tssN and the 1200 basepairs upstream region were then PCR-amplified from pLMW100 using primers 5’-

ATAGCTAGCTCGAGGCTCTCATTACAGTAGCAATATTGAGAGAAGATT-3’ and 5’-

ATACCCGGGCCGCGGGTTAATAAAGCGTTTCAGGTAAACGGA-3’; this product was then digested with NheI and XmaI and ligated with the vector backbone. The plasmid pLW103 was then transformed into E. coli S17λpir using standard protocols and subsequently conjugated into the tssN* mutant strain.

Figure S2. No clear disadvantage for loss of self-recognition in liquid competitions. No significant difference was seen between the growth of BB2000 and each self-recognition mutant when grown together in liquid broth after three hours (as measured by a two-tailed t-test, p = 0.38, 0.39, and 0.14 for Δids, tssN*, and idrB*, respectively) and after 20 hours (as measured by a two-tailed t-test, p = 0.22, 0.89, and 0.31 for Δids, tssN*, and idrB*, respectively). These
results suggest that the self-recognition components do not confer a competitive advantage under liquid-grown conditions.

Method: The constitutive lacZ expression plasmid pLW102 encodes the lacZ gene under the transcriptional control of the fla and lac promoters. This plasmid was constructed as follows:

pKG105 (Gibbs et al., 2011) was digested at SacI and AgeI sites to obtain the vector backbone; gene lacZ was then PCR-amplified from pQF50 (1) using primers 5’-

CATGAGCTCATGAAAGGGAATTCACTGGCC-3’ and 5’-

TAAACCGGTTTATTTTGACACCAGACCAACTG-3’; after digesting with SacI and AgeI, this product was then ligated with the vector backbone. The ligation reaction was transformed into Stellar Competent Cells (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA). The plasmid pLW102 was then transformed into E. coli S17λpir using standard protocols and subsequently transformed into wild-type BB2000. BB2000 c. pLW102 was competed against BB2000 c. pKG101, ∆ids c. pKG101, tssN* c. pKG101, or idrB* c. pKG101. Overnight cultures were back-diluted to OD_{600} of 0.1 in 3 ml LB+Kn and rotated at 37˚C until late-log growth. Cultures were then normalized to OD_{600} of 3.5. The competing strains were mixed together in a 1:10 BB2000:mutant ratio, back-diluted to a 1:3 in LB+Kn for a total volume of 1.5 ml, and incubated at 37˚C while shaking at 225 rpm. After three hours and 20 hours of growth, cells were spotted on non-selective (LSW+Kn and 300 µg/ml X-gal) and, when applicable, selective (LSW+Cm) plates to measure for colony forming units (CFUs). The resultant ratio in CFUs of each strain was compared to the initial inoculation ratio to calculate fold change. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA).

(1) Farinha MA, Kropinski AM. 1990. Construction of broad-host-range plasmid vectors for easy visible selection and analysis of promoters. J Bacteriol 172:3496-3499.
Figure S3. Dot blot analysis of IdsA-FLAG. IdsA-FLAG, expressed in trans in *P. mirabilis* cells, was found in the supernatant and on the cell surface of wild-type BB2000 and in severely attenuated amounts for the *tssN* mutant strain. The blot on the left was probed with an anti-FLAG antibody primary while an anti-sigma-70 antibody was used to probe the blot on the right as a control for cell lysis. These results confirm that IdsA requires *tssN* for proper export out of the cell as indicated by the LC-MS/MS and western blot analyses. This result suggests that IdsA is normally located and exposed on the cell surface.

Method: Samples for whole cell immunoblots were prepared as described previously (2). Briefly, cell cultures were grown to late-logarithmic phase. “Cell culture” samples were prepared by spotting directly onto nitrocellulose membrane in 5 x 5 µl aliquots for a total of 25 µl in each spot. For “cell surface” samples, the loosely-adhered portion of a cell pellet from 5 ml of cell culture was gently resuspended in 1 ml LB and spotted on the membrane as above. For “lysed cells” samples cells from 10 ml of culture were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in 1 ml lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, and protease inhibitor cocktail, Roche, Indianapolis, IN). Cells were lysed by vortexing with cell disruptor beads (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) and centrifuged to remove cell debris. The soluble fraction was spotted onto the membrane as above. The dot immunoblot was then developed as the above western blots using one of two primary antibodies: mouse anti-FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich, Allentown, PA) or mouse anti-sigma70 (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL.).

(2) Newell PD, Monds RD, O'Toole GA. 2009. LapD is a bis-(3',5')-cyclic dimeric GMP-binding protein that regulates surface attachment by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf0-1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:3461-3466.
Table S1. For the wild-type parent strain BB2000, listed are the unique peptide results for Ids and Idr proteins, acquired by LC-MS/MS. Peptide fragments that could correspond to either IdsA or IdrA are marked as such. The minimum detection cut-off recommended by the Taplin Biological Mass Spectrometry Facility was three unique peptides.

Table S2. For the Δids mutant strain, listed are the unique peptide results for Ids and Idr proteins, acquired by LC-MS/MS. The minimum detection cut-off recommended by the Taplin Biological Mass Spectrometry Facility was three unique peptides.

Table S3. For the idrB* mutant strain, listed are the peptide results for Ids and Idr proteins, acquired by LC-MS/MS. Peptide fragments that could correspond to either IdsA or IdrA are marked as such. The minimum detection cut-off recommended by the Taplin Biological Mass Spectrometry Facility was three unique peptides.

Table S4. For the tssN* mutant strain, listed are the unique peptide results for Ids and Idr proteins, acquired by LC-MS/MS. Peptide fragments that could correspond to either IdsA or IdrA are marked as such. Consistent with the dot blots, the tssN* mutant strain is greatly attenuated for IdsA or IdrA export, though not completely deficient. The tssN* mutant strain does not export any of the remaining Ids and Idr proteins. The minimum detection cut-off recommended by the Taplin Biological Mass Spectrometry Facility was three unique peptides, which IdrA/IdsA did not achieve for the tssN* sample.
A. Dominant in swarm (%)

- vs Δids
- vs tssN^*
- vs idrB^*

B. Number of swarm rings from center

- vs BB2000
- vs Δids
- vs tssN^*
- vs idrB^*

C. Swarm of mixed population

- BB2000
- BB2000 mutants
- HI4320
- Neither
A. Wild-type BB2000:

| Protein   | Predicted size (kDa) | No. of unique peptides | No. of total peptides | Percent coverage |
|-----------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| IdsA⁺     | 18.9                 | 3                      | 18                    | 13.4            |
| IdsB      | 79.5                 | 8                      | 10                    | 16.3            |
| IdsD      | 113.7                | 6                      | 6                     | 5.7             |
| IdrA⁺     | 18.9                 | 6                      | 31                    | 39.5            |
| IdrB      | 80.3                 | 22                     | 32                    | 33.3            |

The Δids mutant strain:

| Protein   | Predicted size (kDa) | No. of unique peptides | No. of total peptides | Percent coverage |
|-----------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| IdrA      | 18.9                 | 8                      | 134                   | 27.3            |
| IdrB      | 80.3                 | 14                     | 23                    | 24.4            |

The idrB⁺ mutant strain:

| Protein   | Predicted size (kDa) | No. of unique peptides | No. of total peptides | Percent coverage |
|-----------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| IdsA⁺     | 18.9                 | 3                      | 13                    | 13.4            |
| IdsB      | 79.5                 | 9                      | 11                    | 18.4            |
| IdsD      | 113.7                | 13                     | 15                    | 13.1            |
| IdrA⁺     | 18.9                 | 4                      | 24                    | 35.5            |

B.

C. 

D.
A

Territorial behavior

Competition with parent  Competition with foreign

IdsA
IdsD
IdsB
IdrA
IdrB

Self is present.

WT WT

WT WT

WT tss

Idr-
or
ids-

Self is absent.

WT tss

idr-
or
ids-

B

Self is present.

Self is present.

Self is absent.

WT WT

WT tss

WT idr-
or ids-

WT tss

WT idr-
or ids-

WT idr-
or ids-
A. $\Delta ids$

parent BB2000

tssN* c. pLW103

B. $\Delta ids$

parent BB2000

$tssN^* c. pLW103$
|                | BB2000-IdsA-FLAG | Δids-pids  | tssN*-IdsA-FLAG | Δids-pids  | tssN*-IdsA-FLAG |
|----------------|------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------|
| cell culture   |                  |            |                   |            |                 |
| cell surface   |                  |            |                   |            |                 |
| lysed cells    |                  |            |                   |            |                 |

**Western Blot Analysis**

- **α-FLAG**
- **α-sigma70**
| Reference | XCorr | dCn | dCn2 | Ions | Peptide                                  |
|-----------|-------|-----|------|------|------------------------------------------|
| IdsA      | 5.3896| 0.5549 | 0.5549 | 25/32 | K.VDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A                   |
| IdsA      | 4.2485| 0.4309 | 0.4309 | 29/68 | R.KVDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A                 |
| IdsA      | 5.9656| 0.4986 | 0.4986 | 38/88 | R.KVDWEHTVAGTSGADDWRAPLEA.-               |
| IdsB      | 4.759 | 0.5298 | 0.5298 | 21/30 | K.AGSIQLDAQGVTITGK.I                     |
| IdsB      | 3.7675| 0.3475 | 0.3475 | 24/60 | K.TQYVGHDSSHTVANNR.K                    |
| IdsB      | 2.8448| 0.3676 | 0.3676 | 15/18 | R.DNNIHINHNK.T                           |
| IdsB      | 5.1584| 0.4859 | 0.4859 | 22/26 | R.FEEDAQGQPFQIR.Y                        |
| IdsB      | 2.3677| 0.1035 | 0.1035 | 13/20 | R.IFTLSNHPSAR.M                           |
| IdsB      | 2.9674| 0.2714 | 0.2714 | 17/30 | R.QVGSATTNC#IELAPGR.I                   |
| IdsB      | 5.0171| 0.4794 | 0.4794 | 31/68 | R.TVQGILAAYESEQGNTDGVK.T                 |
| IdsB      | 2.4417| 0.3319 | 0.3319 | 14/32 | R.VAQGWNGDGF5GF5M*AIPR.V                 |
| IdsD      | 2.0964| 0.1035 | 0.1035 | 11/18 | K.EATILFSES.K.L                          |
| IdsD      | 3.1107| 0.293  | 0.293  | 14/24 | K.ESINQNALDNEWK.N                        |
| IdsD      | 1.9879| 0.1803 | 0.1803 | 10/14 | K.ISLTFEVK.L                             |
| IdsD      | 3.6108| 0.3934 | 0.3934 | 16/20 | K.SYQEQtNDATK.G                          |
| IdsD      | 2.4055| 0.29   | 0.29   | 10/12 | K.TFIFFEK.H                              |
| IdsD      | 2.1136| 0.3466 | 0.3466 | 13/18 | K.TPSSAYVLNK.R                           |
| IdrA      | 4.9102| 0.3358 | 0.3358 | 29/64 | K.IDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A                   |
| IdrA      | 5.4061| 0.4659 | 0.4659 | 38/84 | K.IDWEHTVAGTSGADDWRAPLEA.-               |
| IdrA      | 5.6797| 0.467  | 0.467  | 32/68 | R.KIDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A                  |
| Protein | IDrA or IdsA | M含量 | P含量 | 浓度 | Peptide Sequence |
|---------|--------------|-------|-------|------|------------------|
| IdsA    | 4.0785       | 0.372 | 0.372 | 24/88 | R.KIDWEHTVAGTSGADDWRAPLEA.- |
| IdsA    | 4.2545       | 0.4478| 0.4478| 20/26 | K.ADFTQLIEVSLSYR.K |
| IdsA    | 2.8423       | 0.3304| 0.3304| 14/32 | K.AVPLLYNALASGEM*LPK.V |
| IdsA    | 4.4527       | 0.431 | 0.431 | 34/64 | K.AVPLLYNALASGEMLPK.V |
| IdsA    | 3.0643       | 0.3506| 0.3506| 30/80 | K.AVPLLYNALASGEM*LPKVELK.W |
| IdsA    | 3.0339       | 0.1195| 0.1195| 19/40 | K.AVPLLYNALASGEMLPKVELK.W |
| IdsA    | 2.2485       | 0.2758| 0.2758| 11/12 | K.VELKWR.T |
| IdsA    | 2.0092       | 0.1537| 0.1537| 9/12  | R.FTVALNK.A |
| IdsA    | 5.2513       | 0.4468| 0.4468| 31/92 | R.FTVALNKAVPLLYNALASGEM*LPK.V |
| IdrB    | 3.6976       | 0.3279| 0.3279| 16/18 | K.DM*NTVQNDK.G |
| IdrB    | 3.3175       | 0.285 | 0.285 | 15/18 | K.DMNTVQNDK.G |
| IdrB    | 4.282        | 0.4147| 0.4147| 28/60 | K.DNNFVRPSYPLSHENK.I |
| IdrB    | 2.7468       | 0.1269| 0.1269| 13/14 | K.GEGFNERL.F |
| IdrB    | 4.2695       | 0.343 | 0.343 | 31/64 | K.GTTVGANHTETIM*QNQK.I |
| IdrB    | 4.9009       | 0.4954| 0.4954| 24/28 | K.IEQGGQHSVFESYGR.F |
| IdrB | 4.2312 | 0.441 | 0.441 | 31/60 | K.ISVHGTTAQAVQADQK.N |
|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------|
| IdrB | 1.6257 | 0.1322| 0.1322| 9/16  | K.QEVFLHAQK.D       |
| IdrB | 2.8236 | 0.2991| 0.2991| 14/16 | K.TLLDEAHVK.A       |
| IdrB | 3.2206 | 0.1876| 0.1876| 18/32 | K.VNGILAGAVQGNTDGVK.T |
| IdrB | 2.2093 | 0.1234| 0.1234| 10/12 | R.DGVLIRK.V         |
| IdrB | 2.6654 | 0.3208| 0.3208| 13/16 | R.ESAFDFWC#R.L      |
| IdrB | 3.6954 | 0.3472| 0.3472| 23/60 | R.FEDAGGKQEVFLHAQK.D |
| IdrB | 3.1383 | 0.3473| 0.3473| 17/26 | R.FQLDDEGRPLTQVR.F  |
| IdrB | 2.4368 | 0.3   | 0.3   | 14/20 | R.HLGLASLTVK.R      |
| IdrB | 3.5169 | 0.2206| 0.2206| 17/22 | R.IFQHQSVPTILK.T    |
| IdrB | 6.084  | 0.4449| 0.4449| 37/12 | R.IGTGELDLNMDGAGPNGLEMKPDTSTIAQAK.D |
| IdrB | 3.9134 | 0.1133| 0.1133| 29/68 | R.KVNGILAGAVQGNTDGVK.T |
| IdrB | 1.6251 | 0.1763| 0.1763| 8/10  | R.LYTTQK.R          |
| IdrB | 2.3779 | 0.129 | 0.129 | 14/20 | R.NAPPIKFPENKT.T    |
| IdrB | 3.4027 | 0.3438| 0.3438| 23/32 | R.VAM*GWSGNGYGFSAVPR.I |
| IdrB | 3.2794 | 0.2957| 0.2957| 23/32 | R.VAMGWSGNGYGFSAVPR.I |
| Reference | XCorr  | dCn     | dCn2    | Ions     | Peptide                                      |
|------------|--------|---------|---------|-----------|----------------------------------------------|
| IdrA       | 3.7201 | 0.3646  | 0.3646  | 28/64     | K.AVPLLYNALASGEM*LPK.V                       |
| IdrA       | 4.4093 | 0.3502  | 0.3502  | 34/64     | K.AVPLLYNALASGEMLPK.V                       |
| IdrA       | 4.6002 | 0.4061  | 0.4061  | 26/64     | K.IDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A                       |
| IdrA       | 4.664  | 0.4101  | 0.4101  | 34/84     | K.IDWEHTVAGTSGADDWRAPLEA.-                  |
| IdrA       | 1.769  | 0.0592  | 0.0592  | 8/12      | K.VELKWR.T                                  |
| IdrA       | 1.9592 | 0.1684  | 0.1684  | 8/12      | R.FTVALNK.A                                 |
| IdrA       | 5.6781 | 0.6324  | 0.6324  | 27/34     | R.KIDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A                      |
| IdrA       | 3.1953 | 0.2248  | 0.2248  | 25/88     | R.KIDWEHTVAGTSGADDWRAPLEA.-                 |
| IdrB       | 3.3388 | 0.3399  | 0.3399  | 15/18     | K.DM*NTVVQNDK.G                             |
| IdrB       | 4.5883 | 0.437   | 0.437   | 27/60     | K.DNNFVRPSYPLSHEK.I                         |
| IdrB       | 2.7321 | 0.1448  | 0.1448  | 13/14     | K.GEGFNELR.F                                |
| IdrB       | 4.307  | 0.3083  | 0.3083  | 29/64     | K.GTTVGANHTETIM*QNQK.I                      |
| IdrB       | 4.2783 | 0.3057  | 0.3057  | 28/56     | K.IEQGGQHSVFESYGR.F                         |
| IdrB       | 3.1619 | 0.3241  | 0.3241  | 27/60     | K.ISVHGTTQTTAVQADQK.N                       |
| IdrB       | 2.5799 | 0.1261  | 0.1261  | 11/12     | K.RDGVLIR.K                                 |
| IdrB       | 2.3248 | 0.2782  | 0.2782  | 15/16     | R.ESAFDFWC#R.L                              |
| IdrB       | 3.0519 | 0.352   | 0.352   | 16/26     | R.FQLDDEGRPLTQVR.F                          |
| IdrB       | 5.8585 | 0.4843  | 0.4843  | 35/12     | R.IGTGELDLNM*DGAGPNLEM*KP                  |
| IdrB       | 1.6372 | 0.1415  | 0.1415  | 8/10      | R.LYTTQK.R                                  |
| IdrB | 2.3593 | 0.2877 | 0.2877 | 13/20 | R.NAPPIKFPENK.T |
|------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-----------------|
| IdrB | 4.9851 | 0.5957 | 0.5957 | 24/32 | R.VAM*GWSGNGYGFSAVPR.I |
| IdrB | 3.4545 | 0.2977 | 0.2977 | 23/32 | R.VAMGWSGNGYGFSAVPR.I |
| Reference | XCorr | dCn  | dCn2 | Ions | Peptide       |
|-----------|-------|------|------|------|---------------|
| IdsA      | 4.9592| 0.4513| 0.4513| 29/64| K.VDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A       |
| IdsA      | 5.7991| 0.5746| 0.5746| 26/34| R.KVDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A       |
| IdsA      | 6.4703| 0.5907| 0.5907| 37/88| R.KVDWEHTVAGTSGADDWRAPELA.-   |
| IdsB      | 4.6550| 0.4943| 0.4943| 21/30| K.AGSIQLDAQGVTITGK.I        |
| IdsB      | 4.4363| 0.3836| 0.3836| 28/60| K.TQYVGHDHSHTVANNR.K        |
| IdsB      | 4.8031| 0.3950| 0.3950| 28/92| R.AGISLTYNPQSDTDITDSTATTWR.Y |
| IdsB      | 3.5356| 0.4250| 0.4250| 15/18| R.DNNIHINHNK.T             |
| IdsB      | 5.6329| 0.5124| 0.5124| 22/26| R.FEEDAQGQPFNQIR.Y          |
| IdsB      | 3.4731| 0.4005| 0.4005| 16/20| R.IFTLSNHPSAR.M             |
| IdsB      | 2.3558| 0.2076| 0.2076| 9/12 | R.SPIDLPK.H                |
| IdsB      | 6.4621| 0.5810| 0.5810| 25/34| R.TVQGILAAAEQGNTDGVK.T      |
| IdsB      | 3.6928| 0.3932| 0.3932| 24/32| R.VAQGWNGDGFGFM*AIAPR.V     |
| IdsD      | 2.2796| 0.1292| 0.1292| 12/14| K.DALQVSTK.L               |
| IdsD      | 3.4800| 0.2688| 0.2688| 16/18| K.DLLEISEQLK.M             |
| IdsD      | 1.7805| 0.0837| 0.0837| 11/12| K.DPVGYQK.D               |
| IdsD      | 3.1138| 0.3244| 0.3244| 16/18| K.EATILFSESKL.L           |
| IdsD      | 1.7527| 0.0873| 0.0873| 15/22| K.IAGAVGAALAAR.D         |
| IdsD      | 2.0824| 0.1028| 0.1028| 12/14| K.IEIIDITK.N             |
| IdsD      | 4.4499| 0.3154| 0.3154| 22/26| K.IINLGEETAVLPK.I        |
| IdsD      | 2.3336| 0.2436| 0.2436| 13/14| K.ISLTFVK.L              |
| IdsD  | 3.5650 | 0.2871 | 0.2871 | 17/20 | K.LSQTVSSTTLK.F |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|
| IdsD  | 3.6800 | 0.4398 | 0.4398 | 16/20 | K.SYEQNVDATK.G |
| IdsD  | 3.4074 | 0.4669 | 0.4669 | 20/32 | K.TLEASIPPSINQLLNK.D |
| IdsD  | 2.3196 | 0.3378 | 0.3378 | 15/18 | K.TPSSAYVLNK.R |
| IdsD  | 2.6735 | 0.2396 | 0.2396 | 14/16 | K.VISLIANSK.I |
| IdrA  | 5.5520 | 0.4721 | 0.4721 | 24/32 | K.IDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A |
| IdrA  | 5.2084 | 0.5535 | 0.5535 | 37/84 | K.IDWEHTVAGTSGADDWRAPLEA.- |
| IdrA  | 3.5020 | 0.1120 | 0.1120 | 29/68 | R.KIDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A |
| IdrA  | 4.2771 | 0.4223 | 0.4223 | 31/88 | R.KIDWEHTVAGTSGADDWRAPLEA.- |
| IdrA or IdsA | 4.0383 | 0.4488 | 0.4488 | 17/26 | K.ADFTQLIEVSLSYR.K |
| IdrA or IdsA | 4.4752 | 0.5319 | 0.5319 | 22/32 | K.AVPLLYNALASGEM*LPK.V |
| IdrA or IdsA | 4.7170 | 0.5144 | 0.5144 | 20/32 | K.AVPLLYNALASGEMLPK.V |
| IdrA or IdsA | 1.5866 | 0.0996 | 0.0996 | 8/12 | R.FTVALNK.A |
Table S4.

| Reference | XCorr | dCn  | dCn2 | Ions | Peptide               |
|-----------|-------|------|------|------|-----------------------|
| IdrA or   |       |      |      |      |                       |
| IdrA or   |       |      |      |      |                       |
| IdsA      | 5.3089 | 0.5427 | 0.5427 | 24/32 | K.AVPLLYNALASGEM*LPK.V |
| IdrA or   |       |      |      |      |                       |
| IdsA      | 5.3944 | 0.5881 | 0.5881 | 25/32 | K.AVPLLYNALASGEM*LPK.V |
| IdrA or   |       |      |      |      |                       |
| IdsA      | 4.2235 | 0.4096 | 0.4096 | 21/32 | K.AVPLLYNALASGEM*LPK.V |
| IdrA or   |       |      |      |      |                       |
| IdsA      | 5.1316 | 0.5971 | 0.5971 | 22/32 | K.AVPLLYNALASGEMLPK.V  |
| IdrA or   |       |      |      |      |                       |
| IdsA      | 5.479 | 0.5245 | 0.5245 | 24/32 | K.AVPLLYNALASGEMLPK.V  |