Increased substance P and synaptic remodeling occur in the trigeminal sensory system with sustained osteoarthritic temporomandibular joint sensitivity
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Abstract

Introduction: Temporomandibular joint (TMJ) pain is among the most prevalent musculoskeletal conditions and can result from atypical joint loading. Although TMJ pain is typically self-resolving, 15% of patients develop chronic TMJ pain that is recalcitrant to therapy and may be attributed to changes in pain processing centers. Although TMJ overloading induces pain and osteoarthritis, whether neuronal modifications in the trigeminal sensory system contribute to persistent TMJ pain is unknown.

Objective: This study investigates changes in excitatory neuropeptides and synaptic transmission proteins in cases of transient and persistent TMJ sensitivity in a rat model.

Methods: Rats underwent repeated jaw loading that produces transient (2N-load) or persistent (3.5N-load) sensitivity. In both groups, immunolabeling was used to assess substance P in the spinal trigeminal nucleus caudalis (Sp5C) and glutamate transporter 1 in the ventroposteromedial thalamus early after loading. Synaptosomal Western blots were used to measure synaptic proteins in the caudal medulla and thalamus at a later time after loading.

Results: Substance P increases transiently in the Sp5C early after loading that induces persistent sensitivity. However, glutamate transporter 1 is unchanged in the ventroposteromedial thalamus. At a later time, synaptosomal Western blots show loss of the presynaptic tethering protein, synapsin, and the inhibitory scaffolding protein, gephyrin, in the thalamus with persistent, but not transient, sensitivity. No changes are identified in synapsin, phosphorylated synapsin, homer, or gephyrin in the caudal medulla.

Conclusions: Substance P in the Sp5C and later loss of inhibitory synapses in the thalamus likely contribute to, or indicate, persistent TMJ pain.
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mechanistic understanding of whether and which regional activation and reorganization occur.

Studies of trigeminal nerve injury, TMJ infection, and chemical stimulation of TMJ afferents suggest that the spinal trigeminal nucleus caudalis (Sp5C) in the caudal medulla plays an important role in nociceptive processing of TMJ pain. Although Sp5C neurons also process and modulate nociceptive afferents, those signals are propagated to primary neurons in the thalamus. Neurons in the ventroposterior medial (VPM) thalamus are sensitized by TMJ injury and inflammation and relay signals to cortical brain regions for further processing and integration. Applying mustard oil to TMJ afferents excites neurons in the VPM within minutes and produces long-lasting (≥40 minutes) increases in spontaneous activity in naïve rats. Although molecular changes in the VPM thalamus, excitatory synaptic activity is assessed using glutamate transporter (GLT)-1 expression in that region. To evaluate whether persistent sensitivity modifies synaptic communication at the medulla and thalamus, structural proteins involved in synaptic communication, synapsin, phosphorylated synapsin, homer, and gephyrin, were measured.

2. Materials and methods

Adult female Holtzman rats (n = 46; 273 ± 16 g) (Envigo, Indianapolis, IN) were doubly or triply housed in standard polycarbonate cages with free access to food and water in an Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care accredited vivarium under a 12:12 hours light:dark cycle in a temperature-controlled environment according to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. All procedures were approved by the University of Pennsylvania Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and adhered to the guidelines for research and ethical issues of the International Association for the Study of Pain.

2.1. Repeated jaw loading

Temporomandibular joint loading procedures were performed under isoflurane inhalation anesthesia (4%–5% induction; 2%–3% maintenance). Previously established protocols were used for noninvasive, open-mouth jaw loading. Rats were placed in a prone position in a ventilated acrylic chamber. The mandible was held stationary, and the maxilla was opened by a sling attached to 2 N (n = 16) or 3.5 N (n = 18) load for 1 h/d for 7 consecutive days (days 0–6) (Fig. 1A). Sham rats (n = 12) underwent identical anesthesia procedures, but with no load (0 N) applied. Rats were monitored during recovery from anesthesia.

2.2. Assessment of temporomandibular joint sensitivity

Temporomandibular joint sensitivity was assessed in all rats (n = 46) by testing mechanical hyperalgesia at baseline, during loading (days 1, 3, and 5), after loading (day 7), and at later times after loading (days 9, 11, 13, and 14) (Fig. 1A). In a subset of rats (n = 8), TMJ sensitivity was assessed only at baseline, day 7, and day 15. A series of von Frey filaments with increasing strengths from 0.6 to 60 g (Stoelting, Wood Dale, IL) was used to evaluate thresholds for eliciting a head-withdrawal response when the stimulus was applied to the TMJ region using previously described methods. The average withdrawal threshold for each rat was calculated from the 3 thresholds recorded for each of the left and right TMJs on each day. Because of a nonnormal distribution of the mechanical sensitivity data, data were log-transformed before comparison by a repeated measures two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (day × group). Post hoc comparisons were performed between groups at each day using a Tukey test, with significant differences defined as P < 0.05.

2.3. Immunolabeling for substance P and glutamate transporter-1 in brain regions

Substance P was labeled in the Sp5C and GLT-1 was labeled in the VPM thalamus on days 8 and 15 in rats exposed to 3.5N (n = 6 rats/day), 2N (n = 5 rats/day), and 0N (sham; n = 4 rats/day) jaw loading (Fig. 1B). On either day after jaw loading, rats were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (65 mg/kg), perfused
with phosphate buffer saline, and fixed by 4% paraformaldehyde perfusion. Brainstem tissue was harvested, sagittally sectioned (14 μm thickness; 6 sections/slide), and collected serially on glass slides so each slide included a representative selection of anatomical positions within the Sp5C. The Sp5C was labeled with a primary antibody for substance P (guinea pig, 1:100; Neuromics, Edina, MN) and a secondary antibody for goat anti-guinea pig Alexa Flour 633 (1:1000; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The specificity of immunostaining for substance P was established using evidence in the literature, omission of the primary antibody, and labeling of the VPM thalamus as a negative control, where no substance P labeling is expected because the adult rat thalamus lacks neurokinin receptors 1, 2, and 3. Images of the dorsal Sp5C were acquired at 3× magnification using a fluorescent widefield microscope (Leica DM6000; Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). The same region of the Sp5C was consistently imaged by identifying the intersection of the most posterior point of the cerebellum and brainstem (−15 mm from bregma). Each image was taken under the same settings with matching exposure times; images were removed from analysis if there were artifacts from tissue folding or out of focus. The extent of substance P labeling was estimated by automated densitometry measuring the percent of positively labeled pixels by a custom Matlab script described for substance P labeling. Separate two-way ANOVAs compared differences in each of substance P and GLT-1 between the 3.5N, 2N, and sham groups at days 8 and 15.

2.4. Assays of synaptosomal synapsin, homer, and gephyrin in brain

Caudal medulla and thalamus tissue (Fig. 1B) were harvested on day 15 from each of the 3.5N (n = 6 rats), 2N (n = 6 rats), and 0N (sham; n = 4 rats) groups to characterize structural proteins involved in synaptic communication. The caudal medulla and thalamus were separately homogenized in Syn-PER Synaptic Protein Isolation Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) to extract only synapses from neural tissue; each homogenized tissue was combined with a protease inhibitor cocktail, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and the phosphatase inhibitor sodium orthovanadate, all from the RIPA Lysis Buffer System (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX) (Fig. 1C). Medulla and thalamus tissues from a normal rat (n = 1) were processed separately and included in each gel for normalization. Gel electrophoresis was performed by loading synaptosome protein (25 μg/well) on a precast NuPAGE Bis-Tris polyacrylamide gel (Invitrogen) and run for 75 minutes at 150 V. Protein was transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane using an iBlot (Invitrogen) and blocked for 1 hour in 5% dry milk–blocking agent in tris-buffered saline with Tween 20 solution.

The membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies for the synaptic vesicle tether synapsin (rabbit, 1:1000; Cell Signaling, Boston, MA), its activated form phosphorylated synapsin (p-synapsin; rabbit, 1:1000; Cell Signaling), the excitatory post-synaptic density scaffold protein homer (rabbit, 1:1000; Synaptic Systems, Goettingen, Germany), the inhibitory postsynaptic density scaffold protein gephyrin (rabbit, 1:1000; Cell Signaling).
scaffolding protein gephyrin (mouse, 1:1000; Synaptic Systems), or β-III tubulin (mouse, 1:1000; BioLegend, San Diego, CA) as a loading control. The membrane was washed in tris-buffered saline with Tween 20, followed by 2-hour incubation at room temperature with goat anti-rabbit 800 and anti-mouse 680 fluorescent secondary antibodies (1:10,000; Li-Cor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE). Membranes were imaged using an Odyssey Imaging System (Li-Cor). The fluorescence intensity of each protein band was measured in standardized regions of interest (45 pixels wide × 15 pixels in height) in Fiji version 2.0.15 and normalized to the corresponding β-III tubulin fluorescence. The fold change in fluorescence relative to normal was compared between groups using separate one-way ANOVAs for each protein.

3. Results

Withdrawal thresholds are significantly lower than sham levels with both 2N (P < 0.0001) and 3.5N (P < 0.0001) loading both during the loading period (days 1, 3, and 5) as well as after the cessation of loading (days 7, 9, 11, and 13) (Fig. 2). Although thresholds for the 2N loading group are higher (P < 0.0001) than the 3.5N loading group on day 13, thresholds for the 2N loading group only return to sham levels on day 14. Thresholds remain lower (P < 0.0001) than sham after 3.5N loading on days 14 and 15 (Fig. 2).

Substance P increases in the Sp5C alone at day 8; GLT-1 does not increase in the VPM thalamus at either day (Fig. 3). On day 8, although substance P labeling in the Sp5C is greater (P = 0.03) in the 3.5N loading group (1.6 ± 0.4) than sham (0.5 ± 0.2), it is not different (P = 0.87) from the 2N group (1.3 ± 0.5) (Fig. 3A). The extent of substance P labeling in the Sp5C at day 8 also is greater (P = 0.04) in the 3.5N group than at day 15 (0.7 ± 0.7) (Fig. 3A). At day 15, there is no difference in substance P expression between groups (Fig. 3A). In addition, there are no differences in GLT-1 labeling in the VPM thalamus between any groups at either day (Fig. 3B). Increases in substance P are evident in the superficial layers of the dorsal Sp5C (Fig. 3A). Localized increases in GLT-1 are not visible in the VPM of the thalamus for any group or day (Fig. 3B).

There are no changes in the synaptic structural proteins synapsin, phosphorylated synapsin, homer, and gephyrin in the caudal medulla at day 15 after painful 3.5N TMJ loading (Fig. 4). In the thalamus, expression of synaptic vesicle tethering proteins and inhibitory protein decreases (P < 0.02) on day 15 after 3.5N loading (Fig. 5), when only that group exhibits behavioral sensitivity.51 Thalamic synapsin decreases on day 15 only after 3.5N loading (0.83 ± 0.07) compared with both the 2N loading (1.20 ± 0.17; P = 0.002) and sham (1.14 ± 0.12; P = 0.01) groups on that day (Fig. 5). Its activated form, phosphorylated synapsin, is similarly decreased after 3.5N loading (0.75 ± 0.09) below levels observed after transiently painful 2N loading (1.05 ± 0.16; P = 0.004) and unpainful sham (1.08 ± 0.08; P = 0.005) (Fig. 5). The excitatory postsynaptic protein homer is unchanged in the thalamus on day 15 (Fig. 5). However, the postsynaptic inhibitory protein gephyrin decreases in the painful 3.5N group (0.77 ± 0.11) compared with the 2N (1.14 ± 0.25, P = 0.02) and sham (1.24 ± 0.14, P = 0.01) groups (Fig. 5).

4. Discussion

Despite evidence from macroscale imaging of white matter abnormalities in the trigeminal nerve, reduced gray matter in the Sp5C nucleus, and increased activity in the Sp5C in patients with TMJ disorder,54,55 little is known about the cellular mechanisms within the trigeminal system that may initiate or maintain TMJ pain. Increased substance P immunolabeling in the Sp5C supports that increases in neuronal activity after TMJ loading may contribute to inducing persistent pain (Fig. 3A). That increase in substance P may directly or indirectly increase excitability of Sp5C postsynaptic neurons61 that can contribute to pain maintenance. Because neuropeptides promote synaptic plasticity,13 more substance P could initiate the structural changes within the trigeminal sensory pathway that are observed with sustained TMJ pain54,54 and even promote functional alterations of higher-order circuits, which are known to undergo reorganization with persistent, but not transient, TMJ sensitivity.4,54 Although GLT-1 is not altered in the VPM in the case of persistent sensitivity (Fig. 3B), synapsin and phosphorylated synapsin decrease in the thalamus with persistent sensitivity (3.5N group) at day 15 (Fig. 5). In addition to the loss of synapsin that controls the presynaptic release of neurotransmitters, rats with persistent, but not transient, sensitivity exhibit less thalamic gephyrin in the postsynaptic membrane (Fig. 5). The loss of thalamic postsynaptic gephyrin may reflect disinhibition within the thalamus leading to hypersensitivity, which has been suggested to occur in clinical TMJ pain and rodents with facial neuropathic pain.26,61 It is also possible that gephyrin is reduced within descending pathways in the thalamus, which may explain the loss of descending inhibitory control reported with painful TMJ disorders.50,48 Although the periaqueductal gray and rostral ventromedial medulla are typically associated with descending pain modulation,2,59 motor cortex stimulation in rats suggests that inhibiting thalamic sensory neurons increases periaqueductal gray neuron activity that is required for inhibitory control.54 Both possibilities suggest that critical inhibitory functions in the thalamus may be compromised with sustained TMJ pain.
The elevated substance P at day 8 after the 3.5N loading in the superficial laminae of the Sp5C (Fig. 3A) can serve as a proxy for nociceptor activity in the TMJ because that region contains nociceptive neurons derived from small-diameter afferents. The localization of substance P in the superficial layers of the dorsal Sp5C is consistent with expression of phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated kinase observed within days of trigeminal nerve injury and activation of wide dynamic range and nociceptive-specific neurons.

Figure 3. Tissue markers of neuronal excitability increase only in the Sp5C after painful loading at day 8 and do not change in the VPM. (A) The fold change in substance P (SP) fluorescent labeling over normal increases (*P = 0.03) in the Sp5C of the 3.5N loading group compared with sham levels on day 8 and is higher (**P = 0.04) in that group than at day 15. Representative images show substance P labeling in the dorsal Sp5C of the caudal medulla with the imaged region (purple rectangle; inset) in the anatomical diagram. (B) No changes in GLT-1 fluorescent labeling relative to normal are detected between any groups at either day. Glutamate transporter-1 labeling is shown in representative images from the VPM thalamus, with the imaged region (green rectangle; inset) shown in the anatomical diagram. Brightness is increased equally across all fluorescent images for viewing, and the scale bar applies to all images in (A and B). Outliers in each data set are shown as individual points on each plot. GLT-1, glutamate transporter-1; Sp5C, spinal trigeminal nucleus caudalis; VPM, ventroposteriomedial.

Figure 4. Structural proteins in synaptosome preparations are unchanged in the caudal medulla at day 15. (A) Representative immunoblots show gephyrin (93 kDa), synapsin (77 kDa), phosphorylated synapsin (77 kDa), β-III tubulin (50 kDa), and homer (40 kDa) in the synaptosome fraction (dashed square) isolated from caudal medulla tissue (solid line square). (B) Expression of synapsin, its activated phosphorylated form, homer, or gephyrin in the synapses of the caudal medulla is also unchanged at day 15. Each plot shows the fold change in protein expression relative to a normal naive rat.
in the superficial layers of the Sp5C reported within minutes of noxious stimulation to the TMJ in the Sprague Dawley rat.\textsuperscript{32,42} Although this study investigated TMJ pain in the closely related Holtzman rat, their withdrawal responses to orofacial cold testing are within a similar range in mechanically and inflammation-induced TMJ pain models,\textsuperscript{32,52,53} so major strain differences in sensitivity are not expected. Release of substance P from afferent terminals may have a sustained effect on the central nervous system by stimulating glial activity and depolarizing postsynaptic neurons.\textsuperscript{28}

Chemical stimulation of small fibers innervating the disk, joint capsule, and synovial lining of the rodent’s TMJ expands receptive fields, decreases firing thresholds, and increases response frequency in VPM thalamic neurons, mirroring the sensitization that occurs in the Sp5C for the same stimulus.\textsuperscript{32,60} Although substance P increases in the Sp5C (Fig. 3A), GLT-1 is unchanged in the VPM both early (day 8) and later (day 15) after 3.5N TMJ loading that induces sustained sensitivity (Fig. 3B), raising the possibility that substance P may not induce glutamate activity in postsynaptic neurons in the Sp5C or in the VPM. Alternatively, nociceptive signals from the Sp5C may activate non-VPM regions within the thalamus, such as the posterior or parafascicular thalamic nuclei.\textsuperscript{6,31} Because 3.5N TMJ loading induces supraspinal facial grimace,\textsuperscript{45} it is expected that nociceptive signals are transmitted to the thalamus and higher-order brain nuclei. In this same TMJ loading model, rats with sustained jaw sensitivity exhibit functional brain network reorganization, especially within cortico-limbic circuits,\textsuperscript{44} suggesting TMJ pain activates and alters brain circuits responsible for higher-order processing. As such, signals from the Sp5C may be routed to other centers within the brainstem or thalamus, not probed here, for modulation and integration.

Because increased substance P in superficial laminae is linked to long-term synaptic potentiation in secondary neurons,\textsuperscript{13} synaptic remodeling was hypothesized to occur with sustained TMJ sensitivity. Despite increased substance P (Fig. 3A), structural proteins involved in synaptic communication do not differ with loading or sensitivity in the caudal medulla at day 15 (Fig. 4). However, the decreases in synapsin and phosphorylated synapsin in thalamic synapses (Fig. 5) suggest that fewer synaptic vesicles are docked at presynaptic terminals for neurotransmitter release.\textsuperscript{25} The release of neurotransmitters, specifically glutamate, is attenuated in mice lacking synapsin,\textsuperscript{18} indicating these phosphorylation-regulated proteins are critical for neuronal signaling. The reduction in both synapsin and its phosphorylated counterpart here suggests that neurotransmitters may not be properly trafficked to the neuronal cytoskeleton and released for fusion with the nerve terminal, possibly leading to reduced synaptic activity at the VPM thalamus. However, because homeron-expressing excitatory synapses are unchanged and inhibitory synapses containing gephyrin decrease (Fig. 5), the lower expression of synapsin may be, in part, attributed to an overall loss of inhibitory synapses in the thalamus. Synaptic structure has not been assessed in TMJ pain, yet a loss of inhibitory synapses does occur in the dorsal spinal cord after painful facet injury.\textsuperscript{15} Together, these findings suggest that joint pain may be maintained by a combination of synaptic remodeling in spinal circuits and supraspinal regions.

In this study, the lack of synaptic plasticity initiated in the caudal medulla was unexpected because TMJ afferents terminate in the Sp5C and substance P increases early (day 8) after loading (Figs. 3–5). Because excitatory neuropeptides can increase excitability at N-Methyl-D-aspartate receptor sites, leading to excessive depolarization and excitotoxicity, it was expected that exaggerated substance P release at the Sp5C could affect synapse levels in this region.\textsuperscript{22,37} Although changes in synaptic structural proteins were not detected in the medulla, evidence of synaptic plasticity was identified in the thalamus, where GLT-1 was unchanged (Figs. 3–5). Because synapses were assayed in the entire thalamus, it is possible that synaptic activity increases in non-VPM regions of the thalamus,\textsuperscript{6,31} which could stimulate synaptic remodeling. In fact, for masseter inflammation in rodents, very few functional connections are identified between the Sp5C and VPM by FluoroGold tracing.\textsuperscript{6} Most connections were found between the Sp5C and the submedius nucleus,\textsuperscript{6} suggesting that the thalamic region may contribute to the synaptic modifications observed (Fig. 5).
Although increases in substance P in the Sp5C and modifications in synaptic structure in the thalamus are associated with persistence of behavioral sensitivity (Figs. 3A and 5), neuronal modifications may not be the sole modulators. Because substance P increases at day 8 in the Sp5C (Fig. 3A) and activates glial cells,20 microglia may be activated. Furthermore, microglial activation has also been reported as early as 3 days after the onset of trigeminal neuropathy and intraarticular inflammation in the rat.42,62 However, in female patients and rodents, the actions of the adaptive immune system may contribute to persistent sensitivity. In female mice, T cells infiltrate the spinal cord during pain onset and T-cell–deficient mice develop sensitivity after the adoptive transfer of CD4+ or CD8+ T cells.36,43 Because TMJ disorders disproportionately affect females,36 this may also be relevant to understanding the neuroimmune mechanisms driving TMJ pain development. Because transient increases in substance P and persistent pain develop after 3.5N loading (Figs. 2 and 3A), T-cell infiltration may sustain nociceptive signals through production of interferon-γ, which promotes synaptic glutamate release.10,43

This study is limited by the use of antibodies labeling all synapsins and phosphorylated synapsins instead of each individual synapsin isoform. Pan-synapsin and phosphorylated synapsin labeling produced multiple bands due to the presence of synapsin isoforms at different molecular weights (Fig. 5A), corresponding to a group of at least 5 related members (synapsins Ia, Ib, Ila, Iib, and Illa).12 Because 2 slim bands are more distinctly visible in the 3.5N loading group at day 15 compared with a single thicker band in the sham and 2N groups, it is possible that different pools of these isoforms undergo changes. Separate measurement of each synapsin isoform would be beneficial for understanding synaptic structure in persistent TMJ pain.

Together, these studies establish that substance P in the Sp5C (Fig. 3A) and later loss of inhibitory synapses in the thalamus (Fig. 5) likely contribute to, or indicate, the development of sustained TMJ pain. Because structural remodeling of thalamic inhibitory synapses may sustain pain, targeted stimulation could potentially treat patients with TMJ disorder who develop abnormalities in the descending pain modulation pathways.40,48 Indeed, spinal cord stimulation in a rat model of cervical radiculopathy stimulates the release of gamma aminobutyric acid,4 promoting inhibition in spinal cord neurons. Although such stimulation has not been tested in thalamic circuits, high-frequency stimulation attenuates spontaneous neuronal activity in the thalamus, reduces pain, and increases thalamic volume.3,16 Such stimulation studies could further clarify the inhibitory changes in thalamic synapses as well as test a potential treatment approach.
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