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Abstract
Based on previous clinic-based magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies showing regional differences in the cerebral cortex between those with and without headache, we hypothesized that headache sufferers have a decrease in volume, thickness, or surface area in the anterior cingulate cortex, prefrontal cortex, and insula. In addition, exploratory analyses on volume, thickness, and surface area across the cerebral cortical mantle were performed. A total of 1006 participants (aged 50–66 years) from the general population were selected to an imaging study of the head at 1.5 T (HUNT-MRI). Two hundred eighty-three individuals suffered from headache, 80 with migraine, and 87 with tension-type headache, whereas 309 individuals did not suffer from headache and were used as controls. T1-weighted 3D scans of the brain were analysed with voxel-based morphometry and FreeSurfer. The association between cortical volume, thickness, and surface area and questionnaire-based headache diagnoses was evaluated, taking into consideration evolution of headache and frequency of attacks. There were no significant differences in cortical volume, thickness, or surface area between headache sufferers and nonsufferers in the anterior cingulate cortex, prefrontal cortex, or insula. Similarly, the exploratory analyses across the cortical mantle demonstrated no significant differences in volume, thickness, or surface area between any of the headache groups and the nonsufferers. Maps of effect sizes showed small differences in the cortical measures between headache sufferers and nonsufferers. Hence, there are probably no or only very small differences in volume, thickness, or surface area of the cerebral cortex between those with and without headache in the general population.
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1. Background
In recent years, several magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies have reported differences in cortical morphology between patients with headache and healthy controls (Table 1). The current criteria for the primary headache conditions, such as migraine and tension-type headache (TTH), include no such descriptions of macroscopic anatomical cortical changes in the brain. If such changes exist, they could give valuable insight into the pathophysiology and mechanisms of headache.

Most previous MRI studies on cortical morphology and headache have been clinic based with a case–control design and relatively small sample sizes, and the majority investigated volume or thickness of the cortex of migraineurs. Reduced cortical grey matter volume in regions linked to affective pain processing, such as the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), insula, and various regions in the prefrontal cortex (PFC), is the most consistent finding.5 Studies of other headache types such as TTH,46 medication overuse headache,57 and cluster headache1 have yielded similar results. To the present authors' knowledge, only 1 study26 has examined cortical surface area in headache sufferers with migraine, demonstrating both increased and decreased surface areas in several cortical regions in the frontal and temporal lobes.

Many MRI studies exploring brain morphology and headache have used voxel-based morphometry (VBM) where differences in volume or density of grey matter are investigated. Other studies have used surface-based morphometry (SBM), such as FreeSurfer6,11,12,19,24,25,34,36,42,50,58 which provides separate measures of cortical thickness and surface area. Both methods are fully automated enabling fast processing of large data sets. To facilitate comparison with previous studies, the present study used both VBM to examine cortical volume and FreeSurfer to examine cortical thickness and surface area.

The aim of the present study was to investigate cerebral cortical morphology in relationship to headache in a large population-based sample. Both migraine and TTH diagnoses were available, as well as data on frequency of attacks and evolution of headache. Based on a review of the results of previous studies, summarized in Table 1, we hypothesized that headache sufferers, regardless of type, would have decreased cortical grey matter, ie, volume, thickness, or surface area, in the
Table 1
Cerebral cortical regions associated with headache suffering in previous MRI studies investigating the whole cortical mantle.*

| Author of study | Cerebral cortical region |
|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Freese et al.   | Anterior cingulate cortex, frontal operculum, ventral striatum, insular cortex, middle temporal gyrus, superior temporal gyrus, supramarginal gyrus, angular gyrus, middle frontal gyrus, superior frontal gyrus, inferior frontal gyrus | ↑ |
| Hong 2019       | Anterior cingulate cortex, parietal cortex, insular cortex | ↑ |
| Mokri 2012      | Parietal cortex, insular cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, supplementary motor area, anterior insula, inferior parietal lobule | ↑ |
| Reus 2013       | Frontal cortex, insular cortex | ↓ |
| Reus 2002       | Frontal cortex, insular cortex | ↓ |
| Schmidt-Winke 2018 | Anterior cingulate cortex, parietal cortex, insular cortex, superior frontal cortex | ↓ |
| Schmidt 2005    | Anterior cingulate cortex, parietal cortex, insular cortex, frontal operculum, insula, middle temporal gyrus, supplementary motor area, anterior insula, paracentral lobule | ↓ |
| Schmidt 2014    | Anterior cingulate cortex, parietal cortex, insular cortex, supplementary motor area, anterior insula, paracentral lobule | ↓ |
| Schmidt 2015    | Anterior cingulate cortex, parietal cortex, insular cortex, supplementary motor area, anterior insula, paracentral lobule | ↓ |
| Schmidt 2016    | Anterior cingulate cortex, parietal cortex, insular cortex, supplementary motor area, anterior insula, paracentral lobule | ↓ |
| Schmidt 2017    | Anterior cingulate cortex, parietal cortex, insular cortex, supplementary motor area, anterior insula, paracentral lobule | ↓ |
| Schmidt 2018    | Anterior cingulate cortex, parietal cortex, insular cortex, supplementary motor area, anterior insula, paracentral lobule | ↓ |
| Schmidt 2019    | Anterior cingulate cortex, parietal cortex, insular cortex, supplementary motor area, anterior insula, paracentral lobule | ↓ |
| Schmidt 2020    | Anterior cingulate cortex, parietal cortex, insular cortex, supplementary motor area, anterior insula, paracentral lobule | ↓ |
| Schmidt 2021    | Anterior cingulate cortex, parietal cortex, insular cortex, supplementary motor area, anterior insula, paracentral lobule | ↓ |
| Schmidt 2022    | Anterior cingulate cortex, parietal cortex, insular cortex, supplementary motor area, anterior insula, paracentral lobule | ↓ |
| Schmidt 2023    | Anterior cingulate cortex, parietal cortex, insular cortex, supplementary motor area, anterior insula, paracentral lobule | ↓ |

Increase (↑) and decrease (↓) in the cortical volume/thickness are marked by arrows.

*Results based on region-of-interest analyses were not included in the table.
†Some of the studies are listed more than once because of implementation of more than one significance threshold.
‡Full text not available. The authors reported in the abstract that the results were corrected for multiple comparisons.
MR, magnetic resonance imaging.
ACC, PFC, and insula. In addition, exploratory analyses on cortical volume, thickness, and surface area across the cerebral cortical mantle were performed.

2. Methods

2.1. Cohort

The large population-based Nord-Trøndelag Health Surveys (HUNT) were conducted in 1984 to 1986 (HUNT1), 1995 to 1997 (HUNT2), and 2006 to 2008 (HUNT3). Health-related data from individuals aged ≥20 years in the county of Nord-Trøndelag, Norway, were collected with questionnaires and various supplementary investigations (eg, blood samples and blood pressure).

As part of HUNT3, a group of 1494 individuals were invited to participate in a neuroimaging study (HUNT-MRI). Participants were eligible for inclusion if they were aged between 50 and 65 years at the time of consent, had previously participated in HUNT1, HUNT2, and HUNT3, and lived maximally 45 minutes away by car or public transport from Levanger Hospital where the scanning was performed. At the time of scanning, 18 individuals had turned 66 years. Exclusion criteria were restricted to standard safety contraindication to MRI, ie, pacemaker, severe claustrophobia, or body weight above 150 kg. Between July 21, 2007, and December 10, 2009, 1006 individuals (530 women) underwent brain imaging with a standardized MRI protocol. The mean time between answering the questionnaire in HUNT3 and being scanned was 1.2 years. Details about the recruitment of participants to the HUNT-MRI study and the imaging procedure have been published previously. A separate analysis of the HUNT-MRI participants showed that these were not widely different from the general population, with the possible exception of somewhat reduced cardiovascular risk.

2.2. Headache diagnoses

Participants in the HUNT3 survey were classified as either headache sufferers or headache nonsufferers based on their answers (“yes/no”) to the opening screening question of the headache questionnaire, “Have you suffered from headache during the last 12 months?” The accuracy of being a headache sufferer was evaluated and showed a sensitivity of 88% and a specificity of 86%. Headache sufferers were further categorized into the 3 mutually exclusive headache categories: migraine, TTH ≥1 day per month, and unclassified headache. The migraine and TTH diagnoses were based on the criteria of the second edition of the International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD-II). The classification and accuracy of the questionnaire-based diagnoses have been described previously. For migraine, the sensitivity was 51% and the specificity was 95%, and for TTH, the sensitivity was 96% and the specificity was 69%. Headache sufferers not fulfilling the criteria of either migraine or TTH were categorized as having unclassified headache. In the present study, no analyses were performed on this group alone. In addition, the headache sufferers categorized themselves into one of 4 groups according to the number of headache attacks per month (<1 day, 1-6 days, 7-14 days, and >14 days). To ensure sufficiently sized groups, a dichotomization was performed with the cutoff at 7 days, which resulted in the 2 groups, headache <7 days/month and headache ≥7 days/month.

Because the participants in the HUNT-MRI population had participated in both HUNT2 and HUNT3, it was possible to describe 4 headache trajectories based on the evolution of their headache: previous headache (headache in HUNT2 but no headache in HUNT3), new-onset headache (no headache in HUNT2 but headache in HUNT3), stable headache (headache in both HUNT2 and HUNT3), and stable nonsuffering (headache in neither HUNT2 nor HUNT3). The last group was used as a control group in all analyses to ensure that controls were mostly headache free over a long period.

2.3. Magnetic resonance imaging scanning

All imaging was performed on the same 1.5 T General Electric Signa HDx 1.5 T MRI scanner equipped with an eight-channel head coil and software version pre-14.0 M (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL). No scanner updates were performed during the time of scanning. All participants underwent the same MRI protocol. In the present study, the Alzheimer Disease Neuroimaging Initiative volume, which is a T1-weighted volume (TR = 10.2 ms, TE = 4.1 ms, FOV = 240 mm, slice thickness = 1.2 mm, gap = 0 mm, matrix size = 192 x 192, and flip angle = 10°), was used.

2.4. Voxel-based morphometry

The T1-weighted volumes were first corrected for inhomogeneities using the N4 algorithm and thereafter segmented with SPM12 with default options, except that bias field estimation was disabled. A brain mask was constructed by summing the 3 tissue probability masks (grey matter + white matter + cerebrospinal fluid) from the segmentation and thresholding by 0.05. This brain mask was used to mask-stripe the T1-weighted images.

The ANTS toolkit version 2.1.0 (http://stnava.github.io/ANTS/) was used to normalize the images to standard space. First, a study-specific template was formed by dividing the subjects into 4 age groups, 50 to 54 years, 55 to 59 years, 60 to 64 years, and 65 to 66 years, and randomly selecting 4 men and 4 women with Fazeikas = 0 and no gross pathology from each age group, giving a total of 32 scans as basis for the template. Next, the template was formed by using the “antsMultivariateTemplateConstruction” script on the 32 skull-stripped T1-weighted images.

Because white matter hyperintensities appear hypointense in T1W images and may affect the normalization, a lesion-filling method in the FMRIB Software Library was used to mask hypointense regions with intensities similar to normal-appearing white matter. The skull-stripped and lesion-filled T1-weighted images were warped to the study-specific template using “antsRegistration” with a symmetric image normalization transform and a cross-correlation metric. This resulted in a nonlinear transform between each subject’s native space and the study-specific template space. To bring the image data into Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space, an additional transform between the study-specific template and the MNI 152 template was computed using “antsRegistration” and the same settings as described previously. Combining the “native space to study-specific template space” and the “study-specific template to MNI” transforms produced a single transform from native to MNI space. An MNI template with 1.5 mm isotropic resolution was used to reduce the size of the data set and the memory requirements in the statistical analysis.

The grey matter images were normalized to MNI space using the combined transform described above and multiplied by the Jacobian giving “modulated” grey matter maps in MNI space. To limit the analyses only to grey matter, a grey matter mask was constructed from the mean of all grey matter segments in MNI space and thresholded by P < 0.05. This mask was used in the VBM statistical analyses described below. Because volume and shape of subcortical structures in the present population have been published previously, the present study focused on only the cerebral cortex.
Before statistical analysis, the maps were smoothed by an 8-mm full-width-half-maximum Gaussian filter. This was similar to most previous studies.1,2,21,24,30,35,37,39,40,41,44,47,48

2.5. Surface-based morphometry

Estimation of cortical thickness and surface area was performed on the T1-weighted volumes using the FreeSurfer image analysis suite, version 5.3 (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/). The technical details of cortical reconstruction with FreeSurfer are described elsewhere.9,10,14–18 Matching of cortical geometry across subjects is achieved by registration to a spherical atlas based on individual cortical folding patterns. Cortical thickness and surface area estimates were obtained as described in previous publications.14,55 The 2 cerebral hemispheres were processed separately, and cortical thickness and surface area
were estimated in more than 160,000 vertices across the cortical mantle. To facilitate comparison with previous studies, the surfaces were smoothed with a full-width-half-maximum Gaussian kernel of 10 mm. The statistical model is described below.

2.6. Statistics

For both the VBM and FreeSurfer analyses, the 8 different headache groups (headache in HUNT3, migraine in HUNT3, TTH in HUNT3, headache <7 days/month in HUNT3, headache ≥7 days/month in HUNT3, previous headache in HUNT2, new-onset headache in HUNT3, and controls) were analysed in SPSS version 21 and thresholded at $P < 0.05$ (2-tailed). Age and sex differences were assessed with analysis of variance. Analysis of covariance corrected for age and sex. Chi-square test. Binary logistic regression corrected for age and sex.

All statistical analyses of the FreeSurfer data were performed within the MATLAB software suite 2011b (MATLAB and Statistics Toolbox Release 2011b; The MathWorks, Inc, Natick, MA). A general linear model was fitted for each vertex across the cortical mantle, with cortical surface area or cortical thickness as a dependent variable, headache status as an independent variable, and age and sex as covariates. The appropriate contrast vectors were set to test for a relationship between headache status and cortical morphology. The hemispheres were analyzed separately, and cortical maps of $P$ values ($P$ maps) were generated. To correct for multiple comparisons, the $P$ maps were thresholded to yield an expected false discovery rate (FDR) of 5%. In addition, cortical maps of Cohen’s $d$ values for the analyses of headache sufferers in HUNT3 vs the controls with a smoothing of 10 mm full-width-half-maximum Gaussian kernel were generated.

Differences in basic characteristics between the headache and control groups were analysed in SPSS version 21 and thresholded at $P < 0.05$ (2-tailed). Age and sex differences were assessed with analysis of variance.
an analysis of variance and a $\chi^2$ test, respectively. Differences in level of education, smoking, and having muscle/joint pain were examined with binary logistic regression corrected for age and sex. Analysis of covariance, with age and sex as covariates, was used to assess differences in body mass index (BMI), HADS score, and systolic and diastolic blood pressure.

2.7. Ethical approval

The study was approved by the Norwegian Data Inspectorate, the Norwegian Board of Health, and the Regional Committee for ethics in Medical Research. All participants provided their informed written consent.

3. Results

3.1. Exclusion of participants and characteristics of the present population

Of the 1006 participants in HUNT-MRI, 44 individuals were excluded from the present analyses because of cortical brain pathology influencing morphology (e.g., tumours, multiple sclerosis, cortical infarctions, lacunar infarctions, traumatic contusions, postoperative changes, or arachnoid cysts). Furthermore, MRI data from 50 individuals were not included in the analyses owing to poor image quality (mostly motion artefacts) or other errors in the image data acquisition incompatible with the software algorithms. Of the remaining 912 individuals, 782 had answered the headache questionnaire in HUNT3, and 705 had answered the headache questionnaires in both HUNT2 and HUNT3.

Figure 1 summarizes the participation and exclusion of the participants, and Table 2 shows the number of individuals in the different headache groups and basic demographic and health-related characteristics. Compared with the controls, a significantly higher percentage of women and individuals suffering from muscle/joint pain were found in all headache groups except for the new-onset headache group. Those with migraine, headache $<7$ days/month, or stable headache were also significantly younger than the controls. In addition, those suffering from headache, except those with previous headache, had significantly higher HADS scores than the controls. Body mass index, blood pressure, daily smoking, and level of education were similar among the groups.

3.2. A priori hypothesis

Individuals suffering from headache, migraine, or TTH in HUNT3 did not show a significant decrease in cortical volume (VBM),
thickness (FreeSurfer), or surface area (FreeSurfer) in the ACC, PFC, or insula compared with the controls.

3.3. Exploratory analyses

The exploratory VBM analyses showed no differences in cortical volume between any of the headache groups and the controls. This was also true when the analyses were corrected for HADS scores or having muscle/joint pain. The Cohen’s $d$ maps of the VBM-based cortical volume analyses of headache sufferers in HUNT3 vs the controls showed values in the range of −0.3 to 0.3 where the large majority of the values were in the range of −0.2 to 0.2 (Fig. 2).

Similar to the VBM analyses, the exploratory FreeSurfer analyses showed no differences in cortical thickness or surface area between any of the headache groups and the controls across the entire cortical mantle. This was also true when the analyses were corrected for HADS scores or having muscle/joint pain. The Cohen’s $d$ maps of the FreeSurfer-based cortical thickness and surface area analyses of headache sufferers in HUNT3 vs the controls showed values in the range of −0.3 to 0.3 where the large majority of the values were in the range of −0.2 to 0.2 (Fig. 3).

4. Discussion

The present study failed to confirm our hypothesis that headache sufferers, migraine and TTH included, would have a decrease in grey matter in the ACC, PFC, and insula. Likewise, the exploratory analyses across the cerebral cortical mantle showed no difference in cortical volume, thickness, or surface area between any of the headache groups and those not suffering from headache. Thus, neither evolution of headache, frequency of attacks, nor type of headache was associated with differences in cortical morphology.

There are several strengths of the present study. First, the participants were randomly drawn among individuals attending a large longitudinal epidemiological study (HUNT) in the general population, and there were no major group differences in socioeconomic status, smoking, BMI, or blood pressure. Second, headache sufferers were categorized into different headache categories allowing for investigation of associations between different types of headache and cortical differences. Third, all scans were performed on the same scanner with no scanner updates during the study. Fourth, both VBM and FreeSurfer were applied, facilitating comparison with previous studies. Fifth, before running the analyses, a precise hypothesis based on previous findings was formulated. In addition, exploratory analyses were performed. Sixth, data on headache status in HUNT2 and HUNT3 allowed selection of individuals with presumably no headache complaints over several years as controls. Finally, compared with the previous studies, this study was superior in terms of number of participants.

An important limitation in the present study is the relatively long time interval from the participants answered the headache questionnaire (1995-1997 in HUNT2 and 2006-2008 in HUNT3) to when they were scanned (2007-2009). It has previously been reported that morphological changes can both arise and recede within a year. Although this effect cannot be ruled out, it seems unlikely that the headache had improved or increased dramatically in the majority during the time from the HUNT3 questionnaire to the scanning (mean 1.2 years). Furthermore, as the evolution of the participant’s headache was based on data from only 2 time points, caution must be taken when interpreting these specific analyses. Also, we had no information on whether the participants were scanned during an attack or interictally. Finally, estimating the headache status with a questionnaire is inferior to a clinical interview. However, the headache criteria were validated, showing acceptable accuracy. The migraine diagnosis was highly specific but had lower sensitivity. This relationship was opposite for the TTH diagnosis, probably classifying some true migraineurs as having TTH. Such misclassification will diminish rather than increase differences between the groups.

Figure 3. Cohen’s $d$ (effect size) maps comparing cortical thickness and surface area between those suffering from headache in HUNT3 and those not suffering from headache in neither HUNT2 nor HUNT3. Differences were small and not statistically significant in any of the cortical areas. The maps were smoothed with a full-width-half-maximum Gaussian kernel of 10 mm.
In contrast to several previous VBM and SBM studies, the present analyses showed no structural difference in the cerebral cortex between headache sufferers and nonsufferers. Nearly all significant findings in previous VBM studies demonstrated a decrease in cortical grey matter, and most frequently in the ACC, PFC, and insula. Studies based on FreeSurfer on the other hand have reported both thicker and thinner cortices in several brain regions in those with headache, but with no clear association with the ACC, PFC, or insula (Table 1). Taking the present results into consideration, there is little evidence for an association between headache status and cortical thickness in these 3 brain regions. One other study has examined cortical surface area in headache sufferers and found migraineurs to have regions of both larger and smaller surfaces in the frontal and temporal lobes. None of these findings were replicated in the present study. This could be due to the fact that the previous study used a liberal significance threshold of P < 0.01 with a cluster extent of 100 mm², whereas we used a threshold of P < 0.05 FDR corrected. Perhaps the most important difference between the present study and the previous ones was the design. We included individuals from the general population, whereas most of the others conducted research on patients drawn from tertiary clinics. There is an increased likelihood that individuals with multiple conditions will seek medical care compared with those with only 1 condition. Therefore, it cannot be ruled out that a confounder could explain the different results. Previously, anxiety and depression have been shown to be associated with headache and with differences in brain morphology similar to those found in headache samples. In the present study, headache sufferers had higher HADS scores than the controls, but correction for HADS did not affect the results. However, it should be pointed out that the HADS scores were generally low and maybe a higher degree of anxiety/depression is needed to affect brain morphology. Similarly, headache sufferers had more muscle/joint pain, but correction for this did not affect the results.

Alternatively, the difference in results between the present and several previous studies may be due to patients from tertiary clinics being more severely affected by their headache than individuals participating in population-based studies. However, if this were true, one would expect to find a dose-response effect between headache suffering and morphology. In the present study, no association between cerebral morphology and frequency of headache attacks was found.

The participants in the present study were somewhat older, i.e., 50 to 66 years, than the participants in the other studies, most of whom were in their thirties or forties. The prevalence of headache is known to peak in the thirties and forties. However, the prevalence of migraine and headache in the present population was 9% and 31%, respectively, and thus not widely different from the prevalence in the general population. One could speculate that some of the individuals classified as controls in the present analyses had suffered from headache earlier in their lives. However, because the control group had not suffered from headache during HUNT2, such misclassification would probably only be applicable to a few individuals and not affect the results. Since the present study was based on middle-aged and elderly individuals and individuals with presumably long-lasting headache complaints was identified, the present results give no indication that suffering from headache for many years have effects on cortical morphology.

Most previous VBM studies used the FWE correction, a cluster-based threshold, or a stringent significance level (P < 0.001) without correction for multiple comparisons, whereas in previous FreeSurfer studies, the FDR and Monte Carlo corrections were frequently used (Table 1). Seven previous studies used more than 1 statistical threshold, and 5 of these reported no or very few significant findings when correcting for multiple comparisons (eg, FDR or FWE). Cluster-based thresholds and uncorrected tests were considered to be too sensitive and increase the risk of type I errors. When performing a large number of tests, as is the case in voxel- and surface-based MRI studies, FWE or FDR corrections should be used. However, FWE correction can be too stringent when analyzing small samples. Because the number of individuals in the present study was quite high, FWE correction was applicable. The present VBM analyses were performed using the ANTS-Syn toolbox and the often-used SPM DARTEL toolbox. It has previously been shown that these 2 approaches give similar results and are the highest ranked VBM registration methods. If anything, our approach is reported to be slightly better in terms of normalization. As the present study resembled previous studies with regard to level of smoothing, the discrepancy in findings is probably not caused by this.

The effect size maps had Cohen’s d values mostly in the range of −0.2 to 0.2. At a power level of 0.8 and a probability level of 0.05 (2-sided), a sample size per group of minimum 394 individuals would be needed to draw conclusions on the association between headache and cortical morphology. Effect sizes of 0.3 and 0.5 would require minimum group sizes of 176 and 64, respectively. Because the number of individuals in the present effect size analysis was 283 (headache sufferers) and 309 (controls), we lack the power to detect small to very small differences, but we can conclude that having headache in the general population has no medium to large effects on cortical volume, thickness, or surface area.

There is now a sizeable literature on headache and cortical morphology, but the results are mixed. We suggest that future studies should investigate the relationship between brain morphology and headache in population-based samples to avoid selection bias, which is more likely to be present in clinic-based studies. Furthermore, studies should be based on a high number of cases and controls to provide sufficient statistical power to discover potentially small to very small differences in cortical morphology.

This large population-based imaging study implementing both VBM and SBM failed to confirm our hypothesis that headache sufferers would have a decrease in cortical grey matter in the ACC, PFC, and insula. In the exploratory analyses, neither evolution of headache, frequency of attacks, nor type of headache was associated with cerebral cortical morphology. In the general population aged 50 to 66 years, there are probably no or only small differences in cerebral cortical volume, thickness, or surface between those with and without headache.
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