What are the Real Causes of Substrate Inhibition in the Glucuronidation Reaction?
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UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs) are a class of enzymes (please refer to Mackenzie et al. [1] for UGT nomenclature and classification) anchored in the membrane of endoplasmic reticulum. The UGT enzyme catalyzes glucuronidation, a major metabolic pathway in humans, by transferring a glucuronic acid from the cofactor UDP-glucuronic acid to the substrate. In vitro characterization has revealed that many human UGT enzymes display substrate inhibition kinetics (i.e., inhibition of enzyme activity at high substrate concentrations) [2]. It is interesting to note that plant UDP-glucosyltransferases (using a similar catalytic mechanism as human UGTs), which are water-soluble, also display substrate inhibition kinetics. For example, plant UGT73C8 and UGT88E1 from Medicago truncatula [3], and UGT78K1 from black soybean (with cyanidin as the substrate) [4].

Although it remains uncertain whether substrate inhibition is operating in vivo, this phenomenon has been observed in cell systems [5,6]. Further, it is hypothesized that substrate inhibition might contribute to regulation in biosynthesis in vivo. For example, the inhibition of UGT78K1 by cyanidin might prevent the cytotoxic effects of high cytosolic cyanidin 3-O-glucoside concentrations [4]. The catalysis and substrate inhibition of dehydroepiandrosterone and androsterone by SULT2A1 may regulate the homeostasis and metabolism of these compounds, and to maintain steroid levels [7].

Understanding substrate inhibition in glucuronidation reactions has been confused by the fact that its occurrence is UGT isoform and (substrate) structure dependent. The isoform-dependency in substrate inhibition is illustrated in Figure 1 for selected substrates. UGT1A1 and 1A3 are more prone to the substrate inhibition kinetics, which predominates in the glucuronidation reactions catalyzed by these two isoforms [2]. In contrast, UGT1A7 may be a "typical" enzyme; the glucuronidation reaction catalyzed by this isoform usually obeys the classic Michaelis-Menten kinetics. It is also evident that substrate inhibition is highly structure dependent. For example, although prinuten and genistatin are structurally similar isoflavones, glucuronidation of these two compounds by UGT1A1 follows distinct kinetic mechanisms. The former displays Michaelis-Menten and the latter substrate inhibition kinetics [8]. At present, little is known about the structural features for the UGT substrates displaying substrate inhibition.

It has been proposed that the two substrate-binding sites (mechanism I) and the formation of a dead-end ternary complex [E•UDP•S] (mechanism II) might contribute to the substrate inhibition in the glucuronidation reaction [2]. In mechanism I, the two substrate-binding sites refer to one reaction and one inhibitor site (so called "effector-binding site"). It is generally favorable that the two substrate-binding sites are located in one active site because (a) there is no evidence that an effector-binding site of distant location in relation to the active site exists in the enzymes; and (b) the active sites of UGTs are predicted to be sufficient to accommodate two molecules of a single substrate [2,9]. However, the mechanism I is not without question. According to this mechanism, a small UGT substrate, two molecules of which may be bound in the active site of the enzyme, would have a more tendency to display substrate inhibition. This disagrees with the fact that several big UGT substrates (e.g., trilglitazone [Mr 442 Da] and SN-38 [Mr 392 Da] for UGT1A1) show substrate inhibition, whereas the much smaller substrates do not (e.g., 4-methylumbelliferone [Mr 176 Da] for UGT1A1) (Figure 2).

In mechanism II, binding of the substrate to the [E•UDP] complex results in a nonproductive (so called "dead-end") [E•UDP•S] ternary complex, trapping a portion of the enzyme in the inactive form and slowing the catalytic cycle [2]. If this is the working mechanism for substrate inhibition, one may argue that substrate inhibition kinetics should be kept for all substrates that are (highly) structurally related because supposedly they all can bind to the [E•UDP] in a similar fashion. On the contrary, 3-hydroxyflavone displays Michaelis-Menten kinetics; Substrate inhibition
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Citation: UGT1A9-mediated 3-O-glucuronidation of flavonols strongly indicate glucuronides from a single substrate and the successful modeling of completely consistent with the mechanism II for substrate inhibition. Apparently, this fact is not substrate inhibition (Figure 2). A similar observation is made between prunetin and genistein, two isoflavones. It demonstrates substrate inhibition, whereas its analog 3,7-dihydroxyflavone demonstrates substrate inhibition.

Figure 2: Comparisons of UGT substrates (for UGT1A1) with Michaelis-Menten vs. with substrate inhibition kinetics. Big UGT substrate traglitazone shows substrate inhibition, whereas the much smaller substrate 4-methylumbelliferone demonstrates substrate inhibition. 3-Hydroxyflavone displays Michaelis-Menten kinetics, whereas its analog 3,7-dihydroxyflavone demonstrates substrate inhibition.

Figure 3: Schematic illustration of the diversity and heterogeneity in substrate-binding modes for UGT reactions for a single substrate. Panel A: Three distinct binding modes are compared with similar binding affinity (Km) but divergent maximal turnover (Vmax). Panel B: Substrate inhibition kinetics match the Michaelis-Menten and substrate inhibition kinetics. Panel E/F: Single site mutation (F93A) changes the Michaelis-Menten kinetics (E) to substrate inhibition (F) by the induced nonproductive binding mode due to the amino acid alteration has also been observed in the sulfotransferase 2A1 (SULT2A1), which mediates the sulfonation reaction, another type of conjugation [16].

In summary, the answers are still open to the question "what are the real causes of substrate inhibition in the glucuronidation reaction?". Explanation is lacking as to why substrate inhibition is UGT isofrom and (substrate) structure dependent. A deeper understanding of substrate inhibition will be necessary as the knowledge will promote an alternative functional (and possible regulation) mechanisms of the enzymes, and help to predict glucuronidation at a turnover level. It is without doubt that Journal of Single Cell Genomics & Proteomics, as an open access journal, could contribute to this field with its published article about protein sciences.
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