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A genetic variant in telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) modifies cancer risk in Lynch syndrome patients harbouring pathogenic MSH2 variants

Mariann Unhjem Wiik, Tiffany-Jane Evans, Sami Belhadj, Katherine A. Bolton, Dagmara Dymerska, Shantie Jagmohan-Changur, Gabriel Capellá, Grzegorz Kurzawski, Juul T. Wijnen, Laura Valle, Hans F. A. Vasen, Jan Lubinski, Rodney J. Scott & Bente A. Talseth-Palmer

Individuals with Lynch syndrome (LS), have an increased risk of developing cancer. Common genetic variants of telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) have been associated with a wide range of cancers, including colorectal cancer (CRC) in LS. We combined genotype data from 1881 LS patients, carrying pathogenic variants in MLH1, MSH2 or MSH6, for rs2075786 (G>A, intronic variant), 1207 LS patients for rs2736108 (C>T, upstream variant) and 1201 LS patients for rs7705526 (C>A, intronic variant). The risk of cancer was estimated by heterozygous/homozygous odds ratio (OR) with mixed-effects logistic regression to adjust for gene/gender/country of sample origin considering family identity. The AA genotype of SNP rs2075786 is associated with 85% higher odds at developing cancer compared to GG genotype in MSH2 pathogenic variant carriers (p = 0.0160). Kaplan–Meier analysis also shows an association for rs2075786; the AA allele for MSH2 variant carriers confers risk for earlier diagnosis of LS cancer (log-rank p = 0.0011). We report a polymorphism in TERT to be a possible modifier of disease risk in MSH2 pathogenic variant carriers. The rs2075786 SNP in TERT is associated with a differential risk of developing cancer for MSH2 pathogenic variant carriers. Use of this information has the potential to personalise screening protocols for LS patients.

Lynch syndrome (LS) is an autosomal dominantly inherited cancer syndrome that accounts for 2–5% of all colon cancer cases and approximately 2% of all endometrial cancer (EC) cases. LS is characterised by early-onset epithelial cancers in a variety of organs (including but not limited to; CRC, EC, cancer of the kidneys, duodenum, ureter, ovaries, stomach and brain) at a mean age of disease onset that appears to be much lower than that of the general population. LS is a result of pathogenic germline variants in four DNA mismatch repair (MMR) genes (MLH1, MSH2, MSH6 and PMS2) or by epigenetic inactivation of MSH2 due to a deletion at the 3' end of EPCAM, but an obvious pre-malignant phenotype/genotype correlation is not evident. Genetic variation is the foundation of diversity observed in the human phenotype, and accounts for the large variety of susceptibilities to common diseases. Differences in disease expression are not only observed between patients who harbour causative germline variants in different MMR genes, but also between patients carrying variants in the same gene and even in patients harbouring the same variant, suggesting that other genetic and environmental factors.
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Telomeres are located at the end of chromosomes and have many functions that are critical for genome stability and integrity. Telomere shortening limits the proliferation of normal somatic cells but not cancer cells, which can maintain long telomeres, usually via the enzymatic activity of telomerase. Human cancer cells have the capacity of unlimited proliferation potential, associated with the expression of telomerase activity. Telomere shortening has also been shown to result in chromosomal instability. Besides telomere shortening, telomeres may malfunction due to genetic variation in telomere maintenance genes, especially that orchestrated by telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT). Significant up-regulation of TERT is found in Lynch syndrome CRC as well as microsatellite instable sporadic CRC, indicating the influence this gene has on telomere length. The study also shows that mean telomere length systematically shortened in all tumour tissue in LS cancer and sporadic CRC compared to reference systems. Many TERT single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been associated with a wide range of cancers, including CRC, and many of these SNPs have been associated with increased cancer risk. The study provides evidence that increased telomere shortening being an early event in CRC carcinogenesis, this makes MSH2 pathogenic variant carriers especially vulnerable.

In this study we have used genotypes of three SNPs in TERT, located on chromosome 5p15.33; rs2736108 (upstream variant) and rs7705526 (intronic variant) both of which have been associated with longer telomeres and breast cancer, and rs2075786 (intronic variant) reported to be associated with shorter telomeres and increased cancer risk in LS, using data from four different LS cohorts (two of the cohorts previously described for one of the SNPs in 3. With additional analysis, we aim to determine if these polymorphisms are associated with the age of cancer onset or cancer risk in this susceptible population. If targeted genetic screening is used to identify patients with further increased risk of developing cancer, more personalized screening strategies may be appropriate to reduce the likelihood of LS patients presenting with cancer.

Materials and methods

The study complies with the ethical considerations from Hunter New England Research Ethics Committee (Australia), University of Newcastle Human Research Ethics Committee (Australia), the ethics committee of the Pomeranian Academy of Medicine (Poland), ethics committee of Institut d’Investigació Biomèdica de Bellvitge (Spain), Leiden University Medical Centre (the Netherlands) and Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics (Norway)—all experiments were performed in accordance with institutional guidelines and regulations. Written, informed consent was obtained from all participants. A parent or guardian provided informed consent for participants under the age of 18 years of age.

Sample cohort. This study consists of 1971 LS patients carrying pathogenic variants (class 4 and 5) in MLH1, MSH2 or MSH6 (681 Australian, 396 Polish, 240 Spanish and 654 Dutch) from 716 families, representing one of the largest LS cohort published for modifier genes to date. The Spanish and Dutch genotype data for SNP rs2075786 has previously been published, while the two additional SNPs and the Australian and Polish dataset has not. The reason for doing a combined analysis instead of using Australian and Polish samples as a validation cohort is the increased statistical power the larger sample size provides. Note that the statistical power for SNP rs2075786 is larger than for the two other SNPs due to it being analysed in a larger sample cohort. This study also represents an extension of the previous study with more and deeper analyses. In addition, it has accounted for country in the multi-variable analysis of this study.

Genotyping. The Australian and Polish LS patient samples were genotyped for SNPs (major > minor allele according to GnomAD) in TERT; rs2075786 (G>A), rs2736108 (C>T) and rs7705526 (C>A) using TaqMan SNP assays (Applied Biosystems) for the Australian and Polish sample cohorts. Thermo-cycling was undertaken according to the TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assay Protocol, involving: 10 min at 95 degrees; 40 cycles of 15 s at 95 degrees; and 1 min at 60 degrees. Raw data was generated using the 7500 standard real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Raw data was analysed using TaqMan Genotyper Software (Life Sciences, Foster City, CA).

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using Stata 12.1 (StataCorp LP, TX USA). Pearson’s Chi-square test was used to evaluate deviation from the expected Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) and genotype frequency differences between sample cohorts. We applied Bonferroni correction for multiple testing, resulting in a corrected significance threshold of $p = 0.0167$ (0.05 divided by the 3 SNPs tested). Variation in age of diagnosis between each SNPs genotype was examined using Kaplan–Meier estimator analysis using Wilcoxon’s (Breslow), Log-rank and Tarone-Ware tests to examine homogeneity of the Kaplan–Meier
plots. For the Kaplan–Meier analysis, age of diagnosis of LS cancer or CRC is the endpoint for analysis and individuals free from cancer/polyposis were censored at their age at last follow up.

Risk of cancer was estimated for each SNP by genotypic odds ratio (OR) using multilevel mixed-effects logistic regression taking into account family id (because we have both probands and relatives in the cohort), while adjusting for country, gender and gene. Odds ratios, 95% confidence intervals and p-values are presented using forest plots; model coefficients for each model are presented in the supplementary material. Due to significant findings for SNP rs2075786 and to replicate a previous study29, additional analysis within MSH2 carriers was conducted (as described above) but modelling LS cancer diagnosis < 45 years of age, versus those diagnosed after 45 years of age or who were cancer-free with no age restriction.

Results
There were 1971 samples across four countries (654 samples from the Netherlands and 240 samples from Spain, both previously described29, and 681 samples from Australia and 396 samples from Poland) with enough clinical data to warrant inclusion in the current analysis from which 76 samples failed to genotype for all three TERT SNPs (sample cohort of 1895).

Genotyping. Samples from the Netherlands and Spain were originally only genotyped for rs207578629, while the Australian and Polish were genotyped for this SNP for the purpose of the current study. A further 14 samples failed genotyping yielding an analysis cohort of 1881 for this SNP. The Spanish, Australian and Polish samples were genotyped for the two additional SNPs; making 1241 samples available for rs2736108, an additional 34 samples failed genotyping yielding 1207 for analysis, and for rs7705526, 40 samples failed genotyping yielding 1201 samples. Demographic data from combined and individual sample cohorts can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographic data. (A) Displays demographics for the combined LS cohort (rs2075786 and rs2736108 + rs7705526), while (B) Displays demographics for the four countries separately. * Average age of cancer for cancer patients and average age at last follow up for cancer-free patients.
Here we present results from a large sample cohort of 1881 LS patients that statistically show that a polymorphism modifies cancer risk in LS patients with TERT in influences disease risk in LS patients. SNP rs2075786 in TERT SNP rs2075786 in MLH1 pathogenic variant carriers (OR = 0.68, CI = 0.50–0.92, p = 0.014), and later age of onset compared MLH1 and MSH2 variants carriers, see supplementary Fig. S1. None of the three TERT SNPs demonstrated independent associations with risk of LS cancer or age of diagnosis (i.e. when not accounting for LS mutant gene); observed genotype frequencies, crude genotypic odds ratios and odds ratios are presented in supplementary table 1. There were no deviations from HWE (rs2075786 p = 0.53, rs7705526 p = 0.32, rs7705526 p = 0.67).

Results for the mixed-effects logistic regression investigating the interactions of each SNP with the respective gene, adjusting for confounders, are presented in Fig. 1; depicted are the corresponding odds ratios by each level of gene and genotype with the reference group (homozygous major allele) set at unity. There was weak evidence that effects for rs2075786 and rs2736108 genotypes were different by gene (p for interaction = 0.07 and 0.05, respectively). Model coefficients, confidence intervals and p-values are presented in supplementary tables S2-S4.

For SNP rs2075786 in Fig. 1, it was apparent that within MLH1 and MSH6 variant carriers, the genotype risk patterns were similar; the GG genotype confers the greatest risk but it was not statistically significantly different from the other genotypes (95% confidence intervals overlap substantially). Whereas for MSH2 variant carriers, harbouring the heterozygous genotype was associated with greater risk, and those homozygous for A had the greatest risk of cancer across the cohort.

Within MSH2 variant carriers, the AA genotype of rs2075786 is associated with 85% higher odds of developing cancer compared to MSH2 carriers with the GG genotype (Fig. 2, ORs within MSH2 pathogenic variant carriers only, estimated from the same model as Fig. 1).

A previous study of LS patients with MSH2 variants, linked SNP rs2075786 to an increased risk of cancer diagnosis younger than 45 years age using logistic regression35. When we analysed this outcome we also observed an association (see supplementary Fig. S2); the heterozygous genotype (GA) is linked to the greatest risk of cancer (OR 1.79, 95% CI 1.2 to 2.7, p = 0.005; Model coefficients, confidence intervals and p-values are presented in supplementary table S5). Our Kaplan–Meier analysis also demonstrated that MSH2 variant carriers who also carry the rs2075786 A allele have earlier onset of cancer (Kaplan–Meier analysis log-rank p = 0.001, Wilcoxon p = 0.0006 and Tarone-Ware p = 0.0007 see Fig. 3), which was not observed for MLH1 and MSH6 variant carriers (log-rank p = 0.3524 and p = 0.3763, respectively).

For rs2736108, the risk patterns observed for MLH1 variant carriers was similar to MSH2 variant carriers whereas, the pattern for MSH6 variant carriers was different (see Fig. 1). Within MSH6 variant carriers, the C allele confers greater risk than the T allele (Fig. 4 presents the odds ratios for the genotypes). The odds of LS cancer were 48% lower for the CT genotype vs the CC genotype (OR 0.52 95%CI 0.29 to 0.98) and the odds for the TT genotype were lower again however the confidence interval overlapped one due to the small number of observations with this covariate pattern.

There was a lack of evidence that the risk pattern for genotypes of rs7705526 differed by gene (p for interaction = 0.73).

| TERT SNP | Combined sample cohort Total n (%) | Australian sample cohort Total n (%) | Polish sample cohort Total n (%) | Spanish sample cohort Total n (%) | Netherland sample cohort Total n (%) |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| rs2075786 |                                   |                                     |                                 |                                  |                                     |
| GG       | 782 (41.6)                        | 228 (37.5)                          | 155 (40.8)                      | 109 (45.6)                       | 290 (44.3)                         |
| GA       | 852 (45.3)                        | 296 (48.6)                          | 162 (42.6)                      | 102 (42.7)                       | 292 (44.7)                         |
| AA       | 247 (13.1)                        | 84 (13.9)                           | 63 (16.6)                       | 28 (11.7)                        | 72 (11.0)                           |
| rs2736108 |                                   |                                     |                                 |                                  |                                     |
| CC       | 629 (52.1)                        | 279 (46.4)                          | 222 (58.3)                      | 128 (56.9)                       |                                     |
| CT       | 475 (39.4)                        | 261 (43.4)                          | 133 (34.9)                      | 81 (36.0)                        |                                     |
| TT       | 103 (8.5)                         | 61 (10.2)                           | 26 (6.8)                        | 16 (7.1)                         |                                     |
| rs7705526 |                                   |                                     |                                 |                                  |                                     |
| CC       | 513 (42.7)                        | 280 (46.5)                          | 146 (38.9)                      | 87 (38.7)                        |                                     |
| CT       | 549 (45.7)                        | 263 (43.8)                          | 182 (48.6)                      | 104 (46.2)                       |                                     |
| AA       | 139 (11.6)                        | 58 (9.7)                            | 47 (12.5)                       | 34 (15.1)                        |                                     |

Table 2. Genotype frequencies in the combined sample cohort and for each of the four countries included.

Statistical analysis—risk of LS cancer. Both simple logistic regression and Kaplan–Meier analysis show that the patient cohort is consistent with published literature; carriers of MSH6 pathogenic variants have a decreased risk of LS cancer compared to MLH1 pathogenic variant carriers (OR = 0.68, CI = 0.50–0.92, p = 0.014), and later age of onset compared MLH1 and MSH2 variants carriers, see supplementary Fig. S1. None of the three TERT SNPs demonstrated independent associations with risk of LS cancer or age of diagnosis (i.e. when not accounting for LS mutant gene); observed genotype frequencies, crude genotypic odds ratios and odds ratios are presented in supplementary table 1. There were no deviations from HWE (rs2075786 p = 0.53, rs2736108 p = 0.32, rs7705526 p = 0.67).
eliminates a retinoid binding site, causing natural retinoids not to efficiently limit TERT expression, culminating in accelerated tumour growth\textsuperscript{29}. This finding is consistent with another study that revealed leukocyte telomeres of patients with LS cancer were shorter than those of controls and unaffected LS patients\textsuperscript{36}, suggesting that shortened telomeres are a result of the disease or an additional risk factor for LS patients. A second LS study reported no evidence of association between TERT SNPs and risk of CRC, overall or when stratified by gender and MMR gene after adjustment for multiple testing and censored by age 45 years\textsuperscript{37}, but differently to the current study they only considered CRC risk not including all LS associated cancers. Another advantage of the current study is the large sample size and the ability to detect smaller effect sizes.

It has been shown that cell lines with variants in MMR genes show telomere instability, with highest mutation frequency in MSH2 deficient cells\textsuperscript{35}. Reduction in MSH2 expression leads to accelerated telomere shortening in normal cells\textsuperscript{35} and MSH2 deficient cells have been shown to have minor telomere capping effects\textsuperscript{38}. MSH2 is associated with the TERT promoter and regulates promoter activity, i.e. knockdown of MSH2 results in a significant reduction of telomerase activity in human oral squamous cell carcinoma cells\textsuperscript{39}. MSH2 variants lead to accelerated telomere shortening in normal cells (an early event in CRC carcinogenesis) and the A allele of SNP rs2075786 is predicted to cause early telomerase activation (carriers of the AA genotype have shorter telomeres\textsuperscript{29}). Individually they might just have subtle inhibitory effect on TERT but together they may increase LS patients’ risk of cancer development. This can explain why we observe the significantly increased risk of cancer in LS

Figure 1. This forest plot displays across cohort, odds ratios for risk of LS cancer by gene and genotype for the three TERT SNPs in the current study, the reference group is MLH1 major genotype. The reference group for each model, is the gene/genotype group set to unity. All other ORs are relative to this reference group.
patients compared to LS cancer-free patients and makes SNP rs2075786 a plausible modifier for disease risk in MSH2 pathogenic variant carriers.

Possible biases in the current study include confounding factors such as lifestyle, smoking and other environmental factors influencing the reported results, however since there was no specific selection for patients these variables are likely to be equally distributed across the patient cohort. Studies on modifier genes in LS are difficult due to all the variables affecting cancer risk, and controversial results have rather been the rule than the exception, but with increased sample sizes we are now hoping to avoid this. Ascertainment bias related to sampling and selection bias (where some members are less likely to be included than others) should not be a problem since there is good representation of both cancer affected and unaffected MMR variant carriers. Our results could aid in explaining the controversial evidence for anticipation in LS, even though we have not looked into this in the current study, as increased rate of telomere shortening in MSH2 deficient cells provides a mechanism that may contribute to genetic anticipation in some LS families. We were unable to control for

Figure 2. Displays rs2075786 odds ratios for risk of LS cancer in MSH2 pathogenic variant carriers. The reference is genotype GG (wildtype).

| rs2075786 | LSCancer | NoLSCancer | OR (95% CI) | pvalue |
|-----------|----------|------------|-------------|--------|
| AA        | 52 (58%) | 37 (42%)   | 1.85 (1.12, 3.07) | 0.0160 |
| GA        | 157 (51%)| 152 (49%)  | 1.29 (0.92, 1.80) | 0.1382 |
| GG (ref)  | 131 (43%)| 173 (57%)  | (Excluded)               |        |

Figure 3. Kaplan–Meier estimated by rs2075786 genotypes in MSH2 pathogenic variant carriers. The graph shows the effect the genotypes has on age of diagnosis of Lynch Syndrome (LS) cancer in LS patients. A significant difference in the age of diagnosis of LS cancer can be seen between the three genotypes (Log-rank p = 0.0011, Wilcoxon p = 0.0006 and Taroné-Ware p = 0.0007). LS patients over the age of 50 years and carriers of the A allele (GA and AA genotype) will develop LS cancer earlier than LS patients carrying the GG genotype will.
differences in individual causal germline variants (i.e. frameshift, splice site, etc.) in individual genes as this information was not available for all patients.

In conclusion, we present a polymorphism in TERT to be a possible modifier of disease risk in MSH2 pathogenic variant carriers. The rs2075786 SNP in TERT is associated with a differential risk of developing cancer for MSH2 pathogenic variant carriers. By including this SNP in future risk algorithms, it should be possible to tailor surveillance options for individual patients. Use of this information has the potential to personalize screening protocols for LS patients.
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