THE USE OF MICRO-OSEOPERFORATION CONCEPT FOR ACCELERATING DIFFERENTIAL TOOTH MOVEMENT
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the rate of accelerated tooth movement in canine retraction with micro-osteoperforation on one side and control on the other.

Study Design: Quasi experimental study.

Place and Duration of Study: Orthodontics department, Armed Forces Institute of Dentistry, Rawalpindi Pakistan, from Jul 2018 to Jan 2019.

Methodology: A total of 30 patients were inducted. After alignment and extraction of maxillary first premolars, canine retraction was started with closed NiTi coil spring on both sides of the maxillary arch. Micro-osteoperforation was done on the right side and other side was a control side. The distance between the lateral incisor and the canine was measured on both sides before micro-osteoperforation. The same measurements were recorded after three weeks of retraction. The difference between pre- and post retraction measurements was recorded. The difference in the rate of canine retraction between both modalities was compared using independent sample t-test.

Results: The study included 17 males (56%) and 13 females (43%). The mean rate of tooth movement at experimental side of maxilla was 1.6 ± 0.52 mm and on control side was 0.66 ± 0.31 mm (p<0.05).

Conclusion: Micro-osteoperforation was an effective, comfortable, and safe procedure to accelerate tooth movement (1.6 times in accordance with this study). It significantly shorten the duration of orthodontic treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

A number of patients undergoing orthodontic treatment are worried about the duration of treatment because of physical and social uneasiness related to prolonged use of fixed appliances. Duration of treatment is the main element that determines the compliance of patients with orthodontic treatment plan. However the major challenge is reducing treatment time, avoiding undesirable side effects and achieving desirable end results. In the recent years, introduction of new procedures and treatment modalities has escalated the orthodontic tooth movement process. Thus, reducing the usual duration to 18.1 from 24.5 months and to 19.4 from 27.9 months for non-extraction and extraction orthodontic cases respectively.

As, long span of orthodontic treatments can have detrimental effects on the dentition and its housing like greater risk of gingival inflammation, dental caries, root resorption (external) and decalcification. It leads the orthodontists to embark onto the regional acceleratory phenomenon (RAP) procedures. By their virtue of shortening the orthodontic treatment time regional acceleratory phenomenon (RAP) procedures benefit both the patient and clinician. Decreased time in fixed appliances moderates the possibility of external root absorption, demineralization and caries. There are several non-surgical and surgical techniques which anticipate fast orthodontic tooth movement, by affecting the osteoclastic activity and bone turnover rate. The RAP procedures include non-surgical interventions like self-ligating brackets design, drugs (vitamin D, prostaglandin, interleukins, parathyroid hormone, misoprostol) and physical/mechanical stimulation interventions like vibrations (cyclic forces), direct electric currents, pulsed electromagnetic fields, low level laser therapy, photo-biomodulation and Surgical modalities such as corticotomy, micro-osteoperforations (MOP), wilkodontics, piezocision, dentoalveolar distraction, periodontal distraction, monocortical tooth dislocation & ligament distraction, surgery first approach.

In this article, the role of micro-osteoperforation is emphasized. Alikhani et al introduced this procedure. It is one of the least invasive procedures that cause minimal discomfort to patient with minor complications. Type of movement, bone anatomy and anchorage needs should be taken into consideration by the orthodontists to achieve desired results. Detailed medical and dental history should be taken before the procedure including allergy to any element of local anesthetic, excessive alcohol and tobacco use and conditions.
like uncontrolled diabetes mellitus. “Propel” device is used by which; three perforations in a triangular or straight fashion through the gingiva into the cortical bone are made in the interproximal region. This in turn generates regional acceleratory phenomenon.

Orthodontic tooth movement (OTM) by applying mechanical forces is a biological process characterized by the remodeling of the periodontal tissue including periodontal ligament (PDL) and alveolar bone. Alveolar bone remodeling comprises selective resorption in some area and apposition in other in reaction to the orthodontic force which will promote extensive cellular, mechanical and chemical response. The PDL stress will lead to release of different inflammatory mediators which in turn modify the activity of osteoblasts and osteoclasts for bone resorption. The biological response also includes the response of the growing areas which are far from the dentition.

Frost proposed the Regional accelerated phenomenon, in which an insult to the bone escalates the process of healing: which includes modeling, remodeling, cell turnover, metabolism and inflammation. Micro-osteoperforation (MOP) procedure enhances the cytokine release, which in turn employs osteoclasts, enhancing the bone remodeling process. Micro-osteoperforation is not only restricted to accelerating tooth movement but also can be used in scenarios with dense cortical bones where prior orthodontic treatment cannot be performed or did not generate desire results. It can also be used to facilitate root movement in cases like intrusions, extrusions and closure of large space, movement into deficient alveolar bone in situations where old extraction space is needed to be closed, asymmetric expansion and differential anchorage. Micro-osteoperforation also decrease the possibility of root resorption by decreasing bone density and by limiting the period of exposure to osteoclast, it also improve dental expansion in adults with less possibility of recession. Micro-osteoperforation can be used as a complementary procedure with any orthodontic device, both fixed appliances like braces and removable appliances such as expanders and distalizers.

Decreasing treatment time without compromising treatment outcome has led the orthodontists to embark upon different solutions i.e. chemical agents, physical agents and surgical approaches. The aim of the study was to introduce simple effective cost beneficial biocompatible method to enhance rate of tooth movement. Micro-osteoperforation is a newer concept that acts by augmenting the patient’s ordinary biological reaction to the orthodontic forces. The purpose of doing this study was to establish the role of micro-osteoperforation in accelerating regional tooth movement in orthodontic treatment.

**METHOD**

This quasi-experimental study was conducted at department of Orthodontics, Armed Forces Institute of Dentistry, Rawalpindi, from July 2018 to January 2019. Study was approved by the ethics research committee (IERB no. 905/Trg-ABPIK2). The split mouth study was done in which micro-osteoperforation (MOP) was done on one side (right) and the other side was a control side. The patients selected were those, who required maxillary first premolars extraction. Thirty patients who attended the orthodontic clinic during the duration of study were selected randomly.

This study includes both male and female patients between the ages 18-30 years without gingivitis, periodontitis, no radiographic evidence of bone loss and systemic illness or were not using any type of medication like anti-inflammatory drugs, corticosteroids, calcium channel blockers, immunosuppressant, long term antibiotic therapy, skeletal class I & II as confirmed by cephalometric radiographs and non-smokers. Exclusion criteria was patients having skeletal class III malocclusion, probing depth greater than 4mm, dental cross bites, temporomandibular joint problems (TMJ ankylosis), facial asymmetry and deviation in closing due to occlusal interferences, restorations or untreated carious lesions.

Informed consent was taken from patients undergoing orthodontic treatment and fulfilling selection criteria. They were randomly allocated to the study groups. After the completion of alignment, maxillary first premolars were extracted (only upper first premolars). To augment anchorage, retraction was not initiated until 17 × 25 SS wire was inserted. A day prior to surgery patient was asked to rinse in the morning and evening (twice) with 0.12% chlorhexidine mouth wash. Before the commencement of micro-osteoperforation the patient was instructed to rinse with chlorhexidine containing mouthwash for a minute. Patient was seated on a Belmont-Clesta II dental chair and was evaluated by the same doctor on every appointment. The site was cleaned with sterile gauze. Patient was locally anesthetized with 2% lignocaine with adrenaline 0.001% (composed of lignocaine 44mg and adrenaline 22mcg). A TAD screw diameter of 1.6mm was used to make three perforations distal to canine to a depth of
3mm into the bone on the right side, as shown in figure. No flap was raised; no pain or antibiotic med-

cation was given. On the other side no such procedure was performed and conventional mechanics were used. After this procedure was carried out, canine retrac-
tion was initiated with the help of NiTi retraction coil spring (6mm) on both sides. The hooks of the retra-
tion coil spring were attached anteriorly with canine bracket hook and posteriorly on the molar tube hook.

Patient’s intraoral distance between second premolar and canine was measured at incisal, middle and cer-
vical region with the help of inner arms of Vernier cali-
pers and mean were taken. Patient was recalled and distance was remeasured on both sides of the patient
mouth after three weeks interval at the level of incisal, middle and cervical third of the crown. After 3 weeks
the patients were continued with their treatment at AFID, orthodontics department. The amount of tooth
movement on both the sides i.e. control side and the experimental side, was calculated. Means and Stan-
dard deviation of tooth movement were calculated, t-
test was applied and p-value was calculated. The p-
value of ≤0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Thirty patients were selected who attended the orthodontic clinic during the duration of study. Study
was completed without losing any patient to follow up. The study included 17 males (56%) and 13 females
(43%). Mean age of participants is 21.7 ± 2.98 years.

The mean rate of tooth movement at experimental side of maxilla was 1.66 ± 0.52 mm and on control side
was 0.66 ± 0.31 mm. In general rate of canine retraction was much higher on experimental side as compared to
control side.

The difference of tooth movement progress was quite elevated as compared to other side where no inter-
vention like micro-osteoperforation was performed. The difference of rate of tooth movement betw-

![Figure: MOPs done in a linear pattern distal to canine.](image)

| Groups       | Mean ± SD of tooth movement rate (mm/day) | p-value |
|--------------|------------------------------------------|---------|
| Control      | 0.66 ± 0.31                              |         |
| Experimental | 1.61 ± 0.52                              | 0.038   |

DISCUSSION

Movement of canine retraction is of primordial importance in orthodontic treatment. Recent advances
in orthodontics have been made which aim at the acceleration of orthodontic tooth movement. There are
several methods employed in orthodontics for canine retraction like bennet retraction, Niti coil spring, differ-
ent retraction loops which engage the canine bracket anteriorly and auxiliary tube on molar band posteri-
orly. One of the recent advances is micro-osteoperforation (MOP) which enhances orthodontic tooth move-
ment by rapid acceleratory phenomenon.

This study was done to evaluate rate of tooth movement after micro-osteoperforation. Our study de-
monstrated that canine retraction after micro-osteoperforation on buccal cortex without any surgical inter-
vention was increased by 1.6 times. Our findings indicated that there was increase in tooth movement as com-
pared to control side. But there are several factors that can effect tooth movement like occlusal forces.

Another important factor was the type of tooth movement tipping and bodily movement but in our
study force was applied near the center of resistance of canine by sliding mechanics on heavy SS wire (17×25
SS). Age of patient is another factor that effect the rate of tooth movement because density of the bone and
regional acceleratory phenomenon varies with age and to minimize this factor age group from 18-30 years was
selected.

Feizbakhsh et al. showed that 2.3 times increase in canine retraction as compared to control group. This
shows similar results to our findings. Ren et al. reported that, 28 days after procedure the tooth movement
was increased by 2.13 times in experimental rats.

A study was conducted in thirty adults with Class II Division 1 malocclusion. The rate of tooth movement
was increased by 2.4 fold with micro-osteoperforation; there was also a significant rise in the levels of inflam-
matory markers.

Cramer et al. conducted a study on effects of micro-osteoperforation on tooth movement and bone in
beagle maxilla in May 2019. Beagle dogs were incorporated in the split mouth study. MOPs were done 3 mm away from the dentition. It was concluded that MOPs did not increase the rate of tooth movements and have partial and temporary effect on bone.

Aboalnaga et al. concluded in his study that micro osteoperforation doesn’t accelerate the tooth movement in canine retraction rather effects the movement of the root. The study sample included 18 patients and MOPs were conducted with mini screws of dimensions 1.8mm diameter and length 8mm between the canine and premolar. For four months canine retraction was carried out.

Research conducted at Rehman Dental College, Pakistan, in which they studied the effect of mini-screws perforation with maxillary canine retraction rate. This study was aimed at the evaluation of rate of canine retraction with flapless cortical perforations with a mini screw. Thirty adult patients of class II div 1 malocclusion with premolars extracted were taken and canine retraction was initiated. Three bilateral cortical flapless cortical perforations were made with the self-tapping mini screw of 1.5mm diameter and patients were reviewed on 28th day after the initiation of canine retraction. It was concluded that micro-osteoperforation accelerate the tooth movement 2-3 folds in the first month.

This was the first study of its kind conducted at AFID on the outcome of micro-osteoperforation on rate of tooth movement. This study concludes that MOPs significantly enhance the rate of tooth movement by 1.6 folds. Moreover, it is a safe procedure and effectively accelerates the tooth movement.

LIMITATION OF STUDY

Readings of canine retraction taken clinically might be less accurate as compared to the ones taken on dental models or 3-D superimpositions. The study was conducted on a limited time frame; it didn’t encompass the entire orthodontic treatment.

Effect of MOPs on root resorption needs to be studied more. Although no patient in this study showed any significant signs of root resorption on final panoramic radiographs. However, panoramic radiographs aren’t precise enough to measure the amount of root resorption.

CONCLUSION

MOPs increased the rate of canine retraction by 1.6 folds as compared to the control side. MOPs are an effective, safe and comfortable method to increase the rate of tooth movement. Patients undergoing MOPs experienced minimal discomfort at the site.
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