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ABSTRACT
Arthroscopically assisted latissimus dorsi transfer is a viable option for treatment of patients in their 50s to 70s, without arthritis of the glenohumeral joint, who suffer from massive rotator cuff tears that are not amendable to primary repair due to fatty changes in the muscle tissue, or that have failed previous repair attempts. This procedure offers immediate and dramatic pain relief and is not as technically demanding as one might think. Understanding and respecting the principles of tendon transfer is a key to the success of this procedure.
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INTRODUCTION
This article describes the evolution of our previously reported technique for the arthroscopically assisted latissimus dorsi (LD) Transfer and fixation with an interference screw. During the years, transfer of the LD was used as a salvage procedure to massive irreparable rotator cuff tears. The technique was first described by Christian Gerber in his 1988 article. Since then many alterations to the original technique were used, mainly altering the anchorage technique with the use of suture anchors, bone tunnels, interference screws or a combination of techniques. The LD was regarded as a large vascularized tendon that can be used to close a massive cuff defect and that exerts an external rotation and head depressing moment. The transfer of the LD tendon, to our knowledge, was never regarded as a tendon transfer per-se and therefore, less notice was given to the principles of tendon transfer. This could account for inconsistent outcomes.

Five important principles of tendon transfer are:
1. The point of fixation – precise location of the point of fixation of the transferred tendon
2. The muscle tension – the tension acquired at the end of the operation should resemble the original tension within the transferred muscle
3. Tendon to bone contact – fixation to the bone at the site of insertion should provide as much contact as possible between the transferred tendon and the surrounding bone
4. Minimal exposure and tissue damage
5. The use of a muscle that acts as an agonist muscle to the one it is replacing.

In our previous publication, we described an arthroscopically assisted LD transfer. For fixation, we used either titanium or absorbable interference screws (IFS) (Stryker Interference Screw, www.stryker.com). Using the IFS technique has two shortcomings:
First, we had to drill the tunnel for the tendon in a cranial to caudal direction. This puts the acromion in the way, especially, when the patient has a large lateral acromion extension, as described by Nyffeler et al.[7] In these cases, even the use of the neviser portal does not offer a clear path to the humeral head at the intended insertion point. Second, as the IFS has a diameter of 7-8 mm, mal-positioning of the drill hole laterally resulted in a stress riser that led to the fracture of the lateral cortex and failure of fixation in two cases. These patients required revision surgery in which the tendon was re-attached using suture anchors.

Drilling the tunnel in a caudal to cranial direction addressed the first issue as the acromion was now out of the way. We still had to deal with the diameter of the tunnel required for our fixation device.

In our exploration for a safer way to anchor the transferred tendon to the Humerus, we thought of using an endobutton against the anterolateral cortex of the Humerus, on the lateral aspect of the bicipital groove.

This technique has three obvious advantages over former techniques:
1. The tunnel drilled through the Humerus should match the tubularized tendon diameter. This ensures that the transferred tendon is in full contact with the surrounding bone tunnel
2. Using an endobutton allows us to precisely adjust the tension of the tendon prior to fixation
3. A metallic button laid against the anterolateral cortex of the Humerus is a strong fixation technique relying on cortical bone which is inherently stronger than cancellous bone.

**SURGICAL TECHNIQUE**

The patient is placed in the standard lateral decubitus position with 3 kg of traction applied to his arm using weights and a pulley system. A 5 cm incision (4-7 depending on patient anatomy) is made at the anterior (axillary) border of the scapula, 5 cm cranial to the scapular apex [Figure 1]. The incision can be easily extended caudally or cranially as needed.

Once the incision is made, the first visible muscle is the LD. The redundancy of skin around the axilla is used to extend the dissection subcutaneously.

The next step is the identification and mobilization of the neurovascular pedicle of the LD, which enters the muscle mid belly from its medial surface [Figure 2]. Thorough mobilization is required to prevent over stretching this structure while the transfer is performed and tension is placed over the muscle-tendon flap. A healed but clinically and electrically non-functional transfer, as described by Codsi et al.[8] can be a result of insufficient release around the pedicle of the LD.

Once the pedicle is mobile, the LD muscle belly is separated from the muscle belly of the Teres major (TM). Beck and Hoffer performed a cadaveric study and described the tendons of the LD and the TM as a conjoined tendon.[9] Goldberg found fascial connections between the muscle bellies of the muscles in all the specimens of his cadaveric study.[10] In our experience, a fatty interface is present in about 50% of the cases between the two muscles and can be used for separating the fascial connections. Care should be taken to thoroughly release these fascial connections between the LD muscle belly and that of the TM. Once the pedicle has been released and the muscle freed from its surrounding structures, the tendon should be carefully followed distally to its humeral insertion. Effort should be made to achieve a graft that is as long as possible. The release of the tendon should be done at the tendon-bone transition zone. This allows for up to 2 cm of additional tendon tissue. Prior to sectioning of the tendon, we place two marker sutures on the muscle belly at a distance of 3 cm from one another while the arm is at an abducted and externally rotated position. This position puts the LD muscle at maximum tension. These marker sutures are used later on to restore tension to the muscle belly when the tendon is being fixed. Restoring the correct tension of the muscle belly is important for muscle activity but we believe that restoring the correct tension on the muscle-tendon unit and on the neurovascular pedicle is crucial in maintaining a viable functional flap.

The tenotomy is performed in a cranial-caudal manner. Due to the close proximity of the deep axillary vessels medially, this should be done with extreme care.

The tendon of the LD is a flat thin structure. When used to close a massive cuff defect this is advantageous. Our technique requires a structure that is tubular. We therefore tubularize the tendon using two sutures (Arthrex Fiberloop No. 2, Arthrex...
Inc., FL USA, Tornier Force Fiber, Tornier Inc.), total of four suture limbs.

We normally achieve a tubular tendon measuring about 7 mm in diameter and 7 cm in length [Figure 3]. This is consistent with the publication by Goldberg et al.\textsuperscript{[10]}

At this stage, we further release the muscle belly bluntly, all the way to the apex of the scapula while maintaining traction on the now tabularized tendon. The goal of the release is reached when one’s finger could easily engulf the muscle belly circumferentially.

The next step comprises the preparation of the route for the transferred tendon. Creating a tunnel that allows for the shortest route for the transfer is preferable because it preserves the muscle-tendon length.

This step begins with an arthroscopic preparation of the greater tuberosity via the standard portals (posterior, anterolateral and lateral portal for visualization and instrumentation). Next, the scope is moved in a posterior direction over the Teres minor in a caudal direction. The plane between the Teres minor, when intact, and the deltoid is developed using electrocoagulation and an alternating shaver blade. Once possible, the suture manipulator is passed through this newly created space and retrieved through the axillary incision. The four suture limbs of the tabularized tendon are retrieved and passed through the tunnel and the tendon is carefully pulled while the index finger helps in creating the passage. The diameter of the tubular tendon is measured using a standard metallic diameter gauge.

The next step is the drilling of the bone tunnel. We introduce a specially designed two parts guide, where the proximal part is placed over the humeral head and guided to the desired position of insertion under vision using the scope. The distal part is then placed against the cortex of the humerus at the desired exit point of the tunnel. The two parts of the guide are then attached and secured. This device allows us to precisely choose our entry and exit points and to hold the position while drilling and passing the shuttle guide [Figure 4].

A shuttle guide is then placed in a caudal to cranial direction. A drill with the same diameter as the tendon graft is introduced
over the shuttle guide and drilling is performed in the same
direction (caudal to cranial). The sutures are then passed with
the aid of the shuttle guide from cranial to caudal and retrieved
outside the skin, where the button is attached [Figure 5]. While
maintaining the precise tension of the muscle-tendon unit using
the marker sutures placed before sectioning of the tendon, the
button is lowered gradually until it lays flush against the bone
cortex. The sutures are then tied with the aid of a knot pusher.
Dynamic function of the graft is assessed with great care.

**DISCUSSION**

With the advancement in treatment options for repairable
rotator cuff tears and for (RC) arthropathy, we still face a
problem when we look for treatment for an ever growing
group of patients in their 50s to 70s, without arthritis of the
glenohumeral joint, who suffer from massive rotator cuff tears
that are not amendable to primary repair due to fatty changes in
the muscle tissue, or that have failed previous repair attempts.
These people pursue an active life style and many times, have
good passive (and sometimes active) range of motion, but are
in constant pain.

We believe that a transfer of the LD has much to offer to this
specific group of patients. We perform this surgery with good
results on patients that have not yet been operated on as well
as on patients who had failed previous attempts of rotator cuff
repair. We manage to respect four out of the five principles
of tendon transfer.

Our Arthroscopic technique is much less invasive than the
classic LD transfer[6] or the single incision technique.[7] It
is relatively easy to perform and in our experience offers
immediate and dramatic pain relief.

The use of an arthroscope and a specially designed guide allows
us to accurately choose our insertion point into the humeral
head, with minimal exposure and damage to surrounding
tissue [Figure 4]. The tunnel is drilled at the same diameter of
the tabularized tendon, which allows for a large surface area
of contact between the bone and the tendon. This increases
the chance for good healing of tendon into the insertion point.

The use of an endobutton as a fixation device allows
us to have more precise control over the tension of the
transferred muscle-tendon unit. Once the desired tension
is recreated and maintained, the button is locked against
the lateral cortex of the bicipital groove, a strong cortical
structure [Figure 5].

Being an antagonist muscle to external rotation, the LD is not an
agonist muscle and the 4th principle is therefore, not respected.

Our experience is showing promise after 1 year but longer
follow-up is needed as we document improvement in results
as late as 3 years after surgery.
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