Fast and equilibrium CEST imaging of brain tumor patients at 3T
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Chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) MRI, versatile for detecting endogenous mobile proteins and tissue pH, has proved valuable in tumor imaging. However, CEST MRI scans are often performed under non-equilibrium conditions, which confound tissue characterization. This study proposed a quasi-steady-state (QUASS) CEST MRI algorithm to standardize fast and accurate tumor imaging at 3 T. The CEST signal evolution was modeled by longitudinal relaxation rate during relaxation delay (Td) and spinlock relaxation during RF saturation time (Ts), from which the QUASS CEST effect is derived. Numerical simulation and human MR imaging experiments (7 healthy volunteers and 19 tumor patients) were conducted at 3 T to compare the CEST measurements obtained under two representative experimental conditions. In addition, amide proton transfer (APT), combined magnetization transfer (MT) and nuclear overhauser enhancement (NOE) effects, and direct water saturation were isolated using a 3-pool Lorentzian fitting in white matter and gray matter of healthy volunteers and for patients in the contralateral normal-appearing white matter and tumor regions. Finally, the student’s t-test was performed between conventional and QUASS CEST measurements. The routine APT and combined MT & NOE measures significantly varied with Ts and Td (P < .001) and were significantly smaller than the corresponding QUASS indices (P < .001). In contrast, the results from the QUASS reconstruction showed little dependence on the scan protocol (P > .05), indicating the accuracy and robustness of QUASS CEST MRI for tumor imaging. To summarize, the QUASS CEST reconstruction algorithm enables fast and accurate tumor CEST imaging at 3 T, promising to expedite and standardize clinical CEST MRI.

1. Introduction

Amide proton transfer (APT) is a specific chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) MRI sensitizing to mobile proteins/peptides and tissue pH. It has emerged as a promising non-invasive imaging approach to complement gadolinium-enhanced and perfusion MRI that together characterize not only hemodynamic and blood brain barrier states but also the altered tumor microenvironment. Briefly, the APT signal appears hyperintense in high-grade glioblastoma, while it shows isointense in low-grade glioma as the normal brain tissue (Choi et al., 2017; Park et al., 2016b; Su et al., 2017; Togao et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2013). Although it has been long recognized that it is not straightforward to differentiate tumor recurrence from treatment necrosis (Verma et al., 2013), APT MRI can distinguish active glioma from radiation effects (Togao et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2011). As such, APT and variants of CEST MRI have been increasingly adopted for tumor imaging (Jiang et al., 2019; Sagiya et al., 2014).

Although CEST MRI is versatile, its measurement is highly variable among studies. This is because the CEST measurement depends not only on tissue properties but also on scan parameters (Dula et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2016b; Su et al., 2017; Togao et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2013).
et al., 2015), including the radiofrequency (RF) saturation amplitude, saturation time (Ts), and the relaxation delay (Td) (Sun et al., 2013; Zaiss et al., 2018). Furthermore, whereas quantitative in vivo CEST MRI has progressed substantially, most solutions assumed equilibrium states. To make it more challenging, nearly all CEST scans have been performed under non-equilibrium conditions due to scan time or hardware constraints. Thus, there is an unmet need to standardize the CEST MRI measurements across sites and facilitate its adoption in the clinical setting. Towards this goal, our study herein proposed a quasi-steady-state (QUASS) postprocessing algorithm to reconstruct the equilibrium CEST effect for imaging brain tumor patients at 3 T. Building on numerical simulations, both healthy volunteers and brain tumor patients were enrolled to test the accuracy and reproducibility of QUASS CEST MRI in the clinical setting, demonstrating its advantage for tumor imaging over routine CEST MRI.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Theory

The spinlock theory provides a simplified quantitative description of CEST MRI measurement (Jiang et al., 2016; Jin et al., 2011; Zaiss and Bachert, 2013). For a representative CEST sequence with a continuous wave RF saturation, the saturated scan (I) under long relaxation delay normalized by respective control scan without RF irradiation (I0) can be shown (Jin et al., 2011; Zaiss and Bachert, 2013),

\[
\frac{I}{I_0} = e^{-\gamma B_1^* T_{s}} + \frac{R_{1w}}{R_{1p}} \cos^2 \theta (1 - e^{-\gamma B_1^* T_{d}})
\]  

(1)

where \( R_{1w} \) is the longitudinal relaxation rate of water and \( \theta \) is the effective tipping angle of the RF saturation field. When Ts is sufficiently long, Eq. (1) becomes

\[
\frac{I}{I_0} = \frac{R_{1w}}{R_{1p}} \cos^2 \theta
\]  

(2)

However, when the conditions of long relaxation delay and saturation time are not met, Eq. (1) is not accurate. Briefly, the control scan (apparent I, \( I_{app} \)) is given by \( I_{app} = I_0 \times (1 - e^{-\gamma B_1^* (T_s + T_d)}) \), from which the thermal equilibrium state \( I_0 \) could be derived, being

\[
I_0 = \frac{I_{app}}{1 - e^{-\gamma B_1^* (T_s + T_d)}}
\]  

(3)

For the saturated scan with given Ts and Td (apparent I, \( I_{app} \)), we have,

\[
I_{app} = I_0 \times (1 - e^{-\gamma B_1^* T_s})e^{-\gamma B_1^* T_s} + I_0 \times \frac{R_{1w}}{R_{1p}} \cos^2 \theta (1 - e^{-\gamma B_1^* T_s})
\]  

(4)

Combining Eqs. (3) and (4), we have (Jiang et al., 2016),

\[
I_{app} = \frac{I_{app}}{1 - e^{-\gamma B_1^* (T_s + T_d)}} [(1 - e^{-\gamma B_1^* T_s})e^{-\gamma B_1^* T_s} + \frac{R_{1w}}{R_{1p}} \cos^2 \theta (1 - e^{-\gamma B_1^* T_s})]
\]  

(5)

Eq. (5) could be rewritten as,

\[
\frac{I_{app}}{I_0} \times (1 - e^{-\gamma B_1^* (T_s + T_d)}) = 1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma B_1^* T_s}) + \frac{R_{1w}}{R_{1p}} \cos^2 \theta \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\gamma B_1^* T_s}}{1 - e^{-\gamma B_1^* T_s}} \right)
\]

(6)

\[
= 1 + (1 - e^{-\gamma B_1^* T_s}) \left( \frac{R_{1w}}{R_{1p}} \cos^2 \theta \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\gamma B_1^* T_s}}{1 - e^{-\gamma B_1^* T_s}} \right) - 1 \right)
\]

(6)

\[
R_{1p} \text{ can be numerically solved from Eq. (6), and the QUASS CEST effect is calculated as}
\]

\[
\frac{I_{app}}{I_0}^{\text{QUASS}} = \frac{R_{1w}}{R_{1p}} \cos^2 \theta
\]  

(7)

which approximates the equilibrium CEST effect in Eq. (2).

2.2. Numerical simulation

The CEST effect was simulated using Bloch McConnell equations in MATLAB 2021a (MathWorks, Natick, MA) with 3-pools of the bulk water (0 ppm), amide protons (3.5 ppm), and semisolid macromolecules (0 ppm) (Woessner et al., 2005). Typical bulk water T1w and T2w of 1.47 s and 71 ms were used, with amide protons T1s and T2s of 1 s and 15 ms, and macromolecules T1Mw and T2Mw of 1 s and 10 ms (Stanisz et al., 2005). Two representative amide proton fraction ratios of 0.1% and 0.13% were simulated to illustrate a protein level difference, with a representative amide exchange rate of 100 s⁻¹. We had the fraction ratio and exchange rate for semisolid macromolecules being 13.9% and 23 s⁻¹, respectively. CEST Z-spectra were simulated from −5 to 5 ppm with increments of 0.1 ppm for Ts/Td from 1 to 5 s at intervals of 0.5 s, under a representative RF amplitude (B1) of 1 μT. The CEST effect was calculated using the routine asymmetry analysis. The confidence bounds of Z-spectra and respective asymmetry spectra from different Ts/Td were compared between apparent and QUASS measurements to evaluate the impact of saturation parameters on CEST MRI.

2.3. Study participants

The study was approved by the local Institutional Review Board. Written informed consents were obtained from all participants prospectively recruited from November 2020 to March 2021. The enrolled participants included 7 healthy volunteers without a history of neurological disorders (40 ± 13 years, 3 Males) and 19 patients (59 ± 10 years, 4 Males) with the following enrollment criteria: (1) brain lesion detected by MRI examination, and (2) brain tumor confirmed with histology, or clinical diagnosis with follow-up.

2.4. MRI study

Participants underwent MR scans with a 24-channel head coil on a 3 T scanner (Discovery MR 750w, General Electric, Waukesha, WI). An inversion recovery T₁-weighted mapping with single-shot fast-spin-echo (FSE) readout was acquired (FOV = 240 × 240 mm², in-planar resolution = 1.9 × 1.9 mm², slice thickness = 5 mm (single slice), recovery time/TE = 5000/7.7 ms, multiple TI = 200, 500, 800, 1100, and 1400 ms). A rectangular-shaped continuous wave RF saturation pulse was used for CEST MRI. Z-spectra were obtained from −600 to 600 Hz with intervals of 20 Hz under a B₁ of 1 μT. CHEMical Selective Saturation (CHESS) was employed to suppress fat signal following by single-shot FSE image readout without any accelerated method for data acquisition (TE = 26 ms, echo spacing = 3.6 ms, and readout bandwidth = 976.6 Hz/Pixel) with two representative Ts/Td parameters of 1 s/2 s and 2 s/2 s, in addition to unsaturated control scans. Their scan times were 2.1 min and 4.3 min for Ts/Td of 1 s/1 s and 2 s/2 s, respectively. Gadolinium-enhanced T₁w fluid-attenuated inversion recovery imaging was conducted 5 min after intravenous injection of 0.2 mL/kg of the gadolinium contrast agent (Dotarem, Guerbet Inc., France), with imaging parameters of TR/TE = 3000/26 ms, TI = 870 ms, and spatial resolution = 0.75 × 0.75 × 5 mm³.

2.5. Data analysis

The parametric T₁ map (T₁w) was obtained by fitting the signal with respect to the recovery time (\( I_s = I_0 [1 - (1 - \eta) e^{T/T_1s}] \)) per pixel, where \( \eta \) is the inversion efficiency, and T₁ is the \( i^{th} \) inversion time (Ji et al., 2021). The saturated scans (\( I_{app}^{\text{pow}} \)) were normalized by the control scan (\( I_{app}^{\text{pow}} \)) without RF saturation (i.e., \( Z_{app}^{\text{pow}} = \frac{I_{app}^{\text{pow}}}{I_{app}} \)). The superscript app denotes that these indices were simply calculated from measured signals. The field inhomogeneity was corrected using the water saturation
shift reference (WASSR) algorithm (Kim et al., 2009). For the QUASS reconstruction, $R_1$ was estimated from Eq. (6), from which the QUASS CEST effect was calculated (Eq. (7)). The multipool $Z^{app}$ and $Z^{QUASS}$ were isolated using a 3-pool Lorentzian model, $Z(\omega) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{3} L_i(\omega)$, where $L_i$ is the Lorentzian spectrum of the $i$th pool. As magnetization transfer (MT) and the nuclear overhauser enhancement (NOE) effect overlap substantially at 3 T, they were combined as a single component (Zhang et al., 2018). Saturation transfer effects, including APT, combined MT & NOE, and direct water saturation, were resolved, with their chemical shifts at 3.5, −1.5, and 0 ppm, respectively (Wu et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017). For healthy volunteers, skulls and ventricles were excluded, and gray matter (GM) and white matter (WM) were segmented with empirical thresholding of $T_1$ (WM: $T_{1w} < 1.2$ s, and GM: $1.2$ s $< T_{1w} < 2$ s) (Stanisz et al., 2005). Two neuroradiologists reviewed and confirmed the segmentation results. For patients, ROIs were outlined by two neuroradiologists based on Gd-enhanced $T_1w$ and conventional MR images. Any discrepancies were resolved through a consensus discussion. ROIs were placed at the solid portion of tumors and contralateral normal-appearing white matter (CNAWM). Note that necrosis, hemorrhage, and peripheral edema were excluded from the analysis. Apparent and QUASS CEST effects were calculated from $Z^{app}$ and $Z^{QUASS}$, respectively, in each ROI.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Values were reported as mean ± standard deviation. Paired Student’s $t$-test was applied, and $P$ values $< 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Numerical simulation data

The dependence of the CEST effect on the saturation time and relaxation delay was illustrated in Fig. 1. The mean Z-spectra were plotted in solid lines for two amide proton concentrations (i.e., $f_s = 0.13\%$ (red) and $f_s = 0.1\%$ (green)) with the ranges of Z-spectra from different Ts/Td marked by the confidence bounds, widespread and substantially overlapped, indicating substantial dependence on saturation parameters (Fig. 1a). Fig. 1b shows the apparent asymmetry spectra. Although the CEST effect increases with the amide concentration, different Ts/Td causes large variance, suggesting that it is challenging to compare routine CEST MRI results unless identical protocols are chosen. Furthermore, for each amide proton concentration, the Z-spectral intensity was higher at shorter Ts/Td times due to insufficient saturation transfer, leading to noticeably smaller CEST effects at 3.5 ppm than that at longer Ts/Td. In comparison, the QUASS algorithm-constructed Z-spectra (Fig. 1c) showed substantially narrowed confidence bounds, clearly revealing the concentration difference. Furthermore, despite the considerable range of the simulated Ts and Td, the QUASS asymmetry spectra showed two CEST effects independent of Ts/Td (Fig. 1d). Altogether, the QUASS algorithm suppresses the impact of experimental conditions on the CEST measurement and derives the equilibrium CEST effect from the apparent metrics.

3.2. Demographic information

The enrolled participants included 7 healthy volunteers without a history of any known neurological disorders (3 Males/4 Females) and 19 patients (4 Males/15 Females). Patient demographics are summarized in Table 1. Five patients had primary brain tumors, and the remaining 14 had brain metastases.

Fig. 1. Simulation of the apparent and QUASS CEST signals under conditions of different saturation time (Ts) and relaxation delay (Td). (a) Apparent Z-spectra for two amide proton fraction ratios obtained for Ts/Td from 1 s to 5 s at intervals of 0.5 s, under a representative RF amplitude ($B_1$) of 1 µT. The mean Z-spectral signals were plotted in solid lines with their ranges marked by the confidence bounds. (b) The apparent asymmetry spectra. (c) The reconstructed QUASS Z-spectra for the same two amide proton fraction ratios. (d) The QUASS asymmetry spectra.
### 3.3. Healthy volunteer data

Fig. 2 shows two CEST Z-spectra from a region of interest in the WM of a representative healthy volunteer (28 years, Male). The Z-spectrum acquired using short Ts/Td (1 s/1s) was substantially higher than that with the long Ts/Td of 2 s/2s (Fig. 2a), resulting in a difference in resolved CEST effects (Fig. 2b). In comparison, the QUASS Z-spectra (Fig. 2c) were nearly identical for the two sets of Ts/Td parameters. Note that the QUASS Z-spectra were lower than the apparent Z-spectra, suggesting that 2 s was not sufficiently long to obtain the equilibrium state. In addition, QUASS Z-spectra and resolved APT and combined MT & NOE curves overlapped well between different Ts/Td, indicating robust CEST quantification (Fig. 2d).

Fig. 3 shows multiparametric images of a representative healthy volunteer. The histograms show the apparent MT & NOE and APT maps increase with Ts/Td, indicating that the use of short Ts/Td leads to a noticeable underestimation of the CEST effect due to partial saturation transfer. In comparison, QUASS maps were more consistent between different Ts/Td values than the corresponding apparent CEST maps.

### 3.4. Tumor patient data

Similarly, consistent QUASS CEST MRI results were observed in tumor patients. Fig. 4 shows multiparametric images of a meningioma patient (57 years, Female, Patient No. 8 in Table 1). Compared with the contralateral normal-appearing white matter (CNAWM), the Gd-enhanced tumor region exhibits hyperintensity in T₁ and APT images with a signal decrease in combined MT & NOE maps. The magnitude of

| No. | Age | Gender | Tumor type     | Primary tumor     | Lesion location within the image plane (lesion No.) | Diagnostic method              |
|-----|-----|--------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1   | 62  | Female | Meningioma     | Not applicable    | Left frontal lobe (1)                              | Clinical diagnosis and follow-up |
| 2   | 64  | Female | Metastasis     | Lung adenocarcinoma | Left Parietal lobe (1)                              | Histology                       |
| 3   | 58  | Female | Metastasis     | Lung adenocarcinoma | Right frontal lobe (1)                              | Clinical diagnosis and follow-up |
| 4   | 73  | Female | Metastasis     | Breast cancer     | Left Parietal lobe (1)                              | Clinical diagnosis and follow-up |
| 5   | 63  | Female | Meningioma     | Not applicable    | Left cavernous sinus (1)                            | Clinical diagnosis and follow-up |
| 6   | 55  | Female | Metastasis     | Lung adenocarcinoma | Left parietal and occipital lobe (1)               | Clinical diagnosis and follow-up |
| 7   | 68  | Male   | Metastasis     | Esophageal carcinoma | Right cerebellum (1)                               | Histology                       |
| 8   | 57  | Female | Meningioma     | Not applicable    | Right parietal lobe (1)                            | Histology                       |
| 9   | 61  | Female | Metastasis     | Breast cancer     | Left parietal lobe (1)                              | Clinical diagnosis and follow-up |
| 10  | 62  | Male   | Metastasis     | Lung small cell carcinoma | Left frontal lobe, right centrum semiovale (2)     | Clinical diagnosis and follow-up |
| 11  | 70  | Female | Metastasis     | Lung adenocarcinoma | Left temporal lobe (1)                              | Histology                       |
| 12  | 57  | Female | Metastasis     | Breast cancer     | Right cerebellum (1)                               | Clinical diagnosis and follow-up |
| 13  | 72  | Male   | Metastasis     | Lung cancer       | Bilateral parietal lobe and bilateral frontal lobe (4) | Histology                       |
| 14  | 62  | Female | Metastasis     | Lung adenocarcinoma | Left cerebellum (1)                                | Clinical diagnosis and follow-up |
| 15  | 69  | Female | Metastasis     | Lung adenocarcinoma | Left occipital lobe (1)                             | Histology                       |
| 16  | 33  | Female | Metastasis     | Lung adenocarcinoma | Left fronto-parietal junction (1)                  | Clinical diagnosis and follow-up |
| 17  | 43  | Female | Metastasis     | Breast invasive ductal carcinoma | Left cerebellum (1)                               | Clinical diagnosis and follow-up |
| 18  | 58  | Female | Meningioma     | Not applicable    | Pineal area (1)                                    | Clinical diagnosis and follow-up |
| 19  | 44  | Male   | Glioblastoma   | Not applicable    | Bilateral periventricles and splenium of the corpus callosum (2) | Histology                       |
the apparent CEST effect increased with the Ts/Td. In comparison, QUASS CEST images were substantially stronger than the apparent CEST images. While the apparent APT effect showed little hyperintensity, the QUASS APT displayed a signal increase in the region of Gd enhancement. Multiparametric images of two representative patients with histologically confirmed metastatic brain tumors (patient No.11 in Table 1) and glioblastoma (patient No.19 in Table) were illustrated in Figs. 5 and 6. Similarly, robust QUASS CEST quantification in good agreement with Gd-enhanced tumor was shown in both cases, supported by the histology.

The correlation and consistency of the apparent and QUASS measurements obtained under different Ts/Td were tested. The apparent measurements deviated noticeably from the identity line (Fig. 7a). The Bland-Altman plots showed that apparent APT had a significant shift between different Ts/Td (Fig. 7b). In comparison, the corresponding QUASS measurements were in good agreement (Fig. 7c). Also, Bland-Altman plots showed that QUASS APT exhibited excellent consistency (Fig. 7d) between different Ts/Td. In addition, a better agreement was observed in combined MT & NOE with QUASS measurements than the apparent measures (Fig. 8).

### 3.5. CEST effect quantification

Table 2 compares the apparent and QUASS CEST measurements under different Ts/Td. For both healthy volunteers (WM and GM) and tumor patients (CNAWM and tumor), the apparent APT and combined
MT & NOE signals increased significantly with Ts/Td and were significantly lower than respective QUASS measurements ($P < .001$). In comparison, QUASS APT signals were consistently comparable with little dependence on Ts/Td ($P > .05$). Furthermore, although the combined QUASS MT & NOE effects differed significantly between different Ts/Td, the magnitude of the difference was much smaller than the respective apparent measures.

4. Discussion

This study proposed and evaluated the new quasi-steady-state (QUASS) CEST imaging at 3 T. Data from healthy volunteers and brain tumor patients demonstrated that the QUASS algorithm effectively minimizes the impact of experimental conditions on the CEST quantification, promising to expedite CEST clinical examinations without loss in accuracy. In addition, the proposed protocol is uniquely fit to help
Fig. 5. Multi-parametric MR images of a 70 years old female patient with histologically confirmed metastatic brain tumor (patient No.11 in Table 1), including Gd enhancement $T_1$w image, apparent and QUASS APT maps with Ts/Td of 1 s/1s and 2 s/2s, respectively.

Fig. 6. Multi-parametric MR images of a 44 years old male patient with histologically confirmed glioblastoma (patient No.19 in Table 1), including Gd enhancement $T_1$w image, apparent and QUASS APT maps with Ts/Td of 1 s/1s and 2 s/2s, respectively.
standardized CEST imaging analysis, laying the foundation for elucidation of the complex contrast mechanism of tumor CEST imaging.

We observed a substantial influence of $T_s/T_d$ on the apparent APT effect quantification, especially in GM or tumor regions with increased $T_1$, making them more susceptible to measurement errors than WM or CNAWM. Because long saturation time and relaxation delay are not commonly used in routine clinical scans due to SAR, hardware, or total scan time limitations, the routine CEST MRI measurement does not yield the equilibrium state. As shown in Table 2, the apparent measures were significantly lower than the reconstructed QUASS MT&NOE and APT effects ($P < .001$), suggesting that even a saturation time of 2 s is not sufficiently long. This likely introduces inaccuracies in downstream CEST quantification, such as the omega plot (Sun, 2021a). Arguably, SNR of CEST MRI scan could be lower when using short $T_s/T_d$. However, the SNR per unit time shall be compared to account for the scan time difference which favors a relatively short $T_s/T_d$ (Jiang et al., 2016). As such, the QUASS algorithm allows the scans to be optimized under the peak SNR efficiency condition while reconstructing the equilibrium CEST effect as validated previously (Zhang et al., 2021), promising to advance APT imaging within a shortened scan time and improved SNR efficiency, such as tumor grading and characterization (Jiang et al., 2017; Meissner et al., 2019; Ohno et al., 2016; Park et al., 2016a; Togao et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2019) and pH quantification (Harston et al., 2015; McVicar et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2019a). Also, the QUASS algorithm provides a practical postprocessing approach to standardize the CEST measurements among studies by unifying results obtained from different experimental protocols.

The QUASS algorithm improved the quantification of not only the APT effect but also of the MT&NOE effects. NOE quantification is difficult due to its broad linewidth ranging from 0 to 5 ppm upfield from the water (Mori et al., 1998; van Zijl et al., 2003) and undiscernible peaks from the acquired Z-spectra under the current imaging protocols. Hence, fitting these invisible NOE peaks separately at 3 T could lead to significant errors if the nonlinear DWS and MT contributions are not correctly accounted for. Previous studies have demonstrated decreased NOE and MT effects in tumors compared to normal brain tissues (Cai et al., 2015). Furthermore, the combined MT&NOE effects have shown a negative correlation with Ki-67, an indicator of tumor cell proliferation and growth that differentiates different grades of gliomas (Zhang et al., 2018). Hence, this study chose a previously well-studied model to compare the QUASS and apparent CEST MRI. Our results showed that the tumor regions exhibit significantly reduced combined MT&NOE effects than CNAWM, consistent with previous observations (Wu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2018). It could be interesting to further improve the model by isolating MT and NOE contributions in the future and then further apply it to investigate QUASS CEST MRI.

Note that our study did not assume fully relaxed equilibrium magnetization, which is one of the advantages of QUASS reconstruction. Also, our study chose a typical CEST MRI resolution and modeled each voxel as one unit. Admittedly, there could be partial volume within each

Fig. 7. (a) Correlation test and (b) Bland-Altman plots of the apparent APT measurements, and (c) correlation test and (d) Bland-Altman plots of the QUASS APT measurements between $T_s/T_d$ of 2 s/2 s and 1 s/1 s. The three horizontal lines depict the mean and the upper and lower limits of agreement (i.e., $+1.96$ and $-1.96$ times of standard deviation, respectively).
voxel, but correcting the intra-voxel partial volume effect is not straightforward. One potential approach will be to collect multi-resolution images to assess the partial volume effect, which could be explored in the future. Nevertheless, both healthy volunteer and tumor patient results showed that the QUASS technique could derive robust CEST measurement with less dependence on Ts/Td, despite the possible partial volume effect.

Most recently, Schüre et al. employed a segmented RF saturation scheme to accelerate CEST MRI (Schüre et al., 2021), building on that proposed by Sun et al. (Sun et al., 2011). Arguably, such an approach only results in steady-state that could differ from the equilibrium state that the QUASS algorithm reconstructs. Therefore, although the current study used the term quasi-steady-state as proposed by Sun et al (Sun, 2021b), it should have been the quasi-equilibrium CEST state.

There were several minor limitations of this study that should be noted. First, both primary brain tumor and brain metastasis patients were enrolled. Additionally, most patients had received or were under treatment, including chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and chemoradiotherapy. Although such heterogeneous enrollment criteria result in elevated variations of routine CEST measurements, the robustness of the

---

Table 2
Comparison of apparent and QUASS CEST effects in white matter (WM) and gray matter (GM) averaged from the 7 healthy volunteers, and in the contralateral normal-appearing white matter (CNAWM) and tumor regions averaged from the 19 patients with saturation time and relaxation delay of 1 s/1s and 2 s/2s

|                  | Apparent | QUASS    |
|------------------|----------|----------|
|                  | 1 s/1s   | 2 s/2s   | P      | 1 s/1s   | 2 s/2s   | P      |
| Healthy volunteer|          |          |        |          |          |        |
| MT & NOE (%) WM  | 24.31 ± 0.73 | 31.42 ± 0.64 | <0.001 | 35.11 ± 1.01 | 34.05 ± 0.71 | <0.001 |
| GM               | 18.25 ± 0.83 | 25.81 ± 1.12 | <0.001 | 33.46 ± 1.17 | 31.95 ± 1.17 | 0.002  |
| APT (%) WM       | 1.39 ± 0.19 | 2.64 ± 0.22 | <0.001 | 2.84 ± 0.18 | 2.93 ± 0.20 | 0.106  |
| GM               | 1.89 ± 0.23 | 2.98 ± 0.29 | <0.001 | 3.98 ± 0.36 | 3.82 ± 0.31 | 0.154  |
| Patient          |          |          |        |          |          |        |
| MT & NOE (%) CNAWM| 25.14 ± 3.64 | 31.74 ± 3.43 | <0.001 | 36.08 ± 2.74 | 34.39 ± 2.55 | <0.001 |
| Tumor            | 12.99 ± 4.12 | 18.29 ± 5.20 | <0.001 | 27.40 ± 6.67 | 25.52 ± 5.57 | <0.001 |
| APT (%) CNAWM    | 1.73 ± 0.57 | 2.82 ± 0.76 | <0.001 | 3.11 ± 0.90 | 3.07 ± 0.87 | 0.717  |
| Tumor            | 3.02 ± 1.05 | 4.42 ± 1.21 | <0.001 | 5.85 ± 1.61 | 5.69 ± 1.46 | 0.411  |
reconstructed QUASS CEST effects demonstrated its feasibility in the clinical setting. Second, the current study used a single-slice CEST MRI sequence, and the adoption of multi-slice or 3D CEST sequences will undoubtedly improve the clinical CEST imaging. A recent study has demonstrated fast multislice QUASS CEST MRI (Kim et al., 2021). Numerical simulation, in-vitro phantom, and healthy subject experiment documented the robust APT measurement over the routine asymmetry analysis when different saturation times and relaxation delays were used. It is critical to investigate its performance in tumor imaging or incorporate the QUASS algorithm into 3D CEST imaging processing (Goerke et al., 2021; Kumar et al., 2021; Mueller et al., 2020) in the future. Third, this study did not consider B1 inhomogeneity, which has been challenging in clinical CEST imaging. Because CEST scans need to be performed under multiple B1 fields for RF inhomogeneity correction, the scan time would have been substantially prolonged. As such, this study assumed a reasonably B1 field and did not correct B1 inhomogeneities. This assumption is likely valid because of the relatively small spatial coverage and the use of a moderate B1 field. Indeed, no gross CEST MRI inhomogeneity was observed in the intact tissue. Finally, as the QUASS CEST MRI expedites clinical CEST scan without the risk of underestimating the CEST effect, it has the potential to reduce the specific absorption rate via using moderate CEST imaging saturation times. QUASS CEST MRI may be particularly beneficial for applications at high fields where the saturation time needs to be shortened. It would be interesting to investigate other less visible CEST effects (such as guanidinium) at 7 T in future studies (Cai et al., 2012; Ellingson et al., 2019; Harris et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019b; Yao et al., 2019; Zu et al., 2017).

5. Conclusions

Routine CEST imaging has been performed under non-equilibrium conditions, and hence the experimental conditions confound the measurement. Such a complex dependency hinders the transition of CEST MRI from a research tool to a versatile and quantitative biomarker ready for multi-center experiments. The proposed QUASS CEST protocol addresses this unmet need by minimizing the impact of imaging parameters on CEST quantification. As a result, it not only permits expediting CEST imaging examinations without loss in accuracy, improving the quantitative elucidation of the contrast mechanism, but also makes it more feasible to design multi-center trials and applications.
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