Factors associated with preschool workers’ willingness to continue working
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Abstract
In industrialized countries, the turnover rate of preschool workers is extremely high and now represents a serious social problem. Consequently, it has become important to promote stable early care and educational environments for this population. Several factors related to working environments are known to affect turnover intention; however, the specific factors related to turnover intention among preschool workers have not yet been identified. Considering this, the objective of the present study was to determine factors associated with preschool workers’ willingness to continue working.

The participants of this study were 1137 preschool workers based in Nagasaki Prefecture, Japan. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to analyze the participants’ data, with willingness to continue working for 5 years or more set as the dependent variable.

Analysis of the results for all subjects clearly showed that male sex, older age, good mental health, high number of social supports, and good work engagement have a positive effect on willingness to continue working. Further, stratifying the participants in terms of age revealed that for preschool workers under 39 years, male sex, good mental health, high number of social supports, and good work engagement act positively in regard to willingness to continue working. Meanwhile, for those over 40 years, it was found that permanent employment and good work engagement act positively in this regard. Thus, work engagement was the only common factor between preschool workers under 39 and over 40.

The findings of this cross-sectional study demonstrate that the factors associated with willingness to continue working among preschool workers differ between younger and older professionals. These findings underline the importance of considering age categories when attempting to maximize such professionals’ willingness to continue working.

Abbreviations: ERI = effort-reward imbalance, UWES = Utrecht Work Engagement Scale.
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1. Introduction
In industrialized countries, the turnover rate of preschool workers is extremely high and now represents a serious social problem,[1,2] in particular, this has become a major issue for childcare-related organizations. For instance, in a 2006 survey of 308 people engaged in child-welfare services in Sweden, 48% reported intending to leave their positions.[1] Many preschool workers also report having health problems, particularly musculoskeletal complaints.[3–5] Further, regarding the mental health aspects of preschool workers, low satisfaction in work is common among this population.[2,6] Thus, preschool work is a profession that induces a high mental and physical burden. However, it has been determined that appropriate rewards are important factors influencing these professionals’ willingness to continue working.[1,7] Further, for such workers, it is important to promote more stable early care and educational environments.

Several occupational factors are known to affect turnover intention. For example, the job demands-resources model, which is commonly used to measure turnover intention, suggests that strain (a contributor to turnover intention) is a response to an imbalance between job demands and job resources.[8,9] Social support is also a job factor, and supervisor support and organizational support have been determined to contribute to reductions in turnover intention.[2,9] Conversely, social exclusion at work has been found to increase turnover intention.[1] Effort-reward imbalance (ERI) in work is also considered to be related to higher turnover intention, mainly due to its association with burnout.[5,12] for example, in a cross-sectional study of 436 elementary school teachers in China, logistic regression analysis revealed that ERI is an independent risk factor for burnout.[12]

As of 2018, approximately 10 studies have investigated turnover among preschool workers. These studies have generally focused on the following 4 areas: pain,[3–5] ERI,[4,5] workplace environment,[1,7,13] and job satisfaction.[2,6] Regarding pain, as mentioned, many preschool workers develop skeletal muscle
problems.[31] The reason for this is that the tasks of preschool workers include performing troublesome work, lifting heavy objects, and maintaining a static working posture for long periods of time;[31] further, a longitudinal study of 199 preschool workers in Hamburg revealed that ERI causes musculoskeletal symptoms and burnout. Thus, it is possible that the occurrence of health problems particular to preschool workers can cause a desire to leave their jobs. Regarding workplace environments, it has been found that remuneration affects willingness to continue working,[1,7] and that the type of treatment received in work environments influences psychological happiness.[13] Finally, regarding job satisfaction, a study of 525 preschool workers showed that turnover intention is low when workplace satisfaction is high.[6]

In recent years, research into the work engagement of workers has been rapidly gaining popularity.[14–16] Consequently, it has been found that strong work engagement is related to high work ability,[17] low psychological distress,[18] and low burnout.[14] For example, a study of 403 Finnish firefighters revealed that work engagement is related to work ability;[17] meanwhile, with regard to psychological distress, cross-sectional studies of 894 Portuguese nursing staff showed that work engagement increases and psychological distress lessens when there are many structural job resources available.[19]

In fact, many studies have reported that high work engagement also lowers turnover intention.[16,19,20] For example, Forbes et al.[21] conducted a cross-sectional study on job resources and turnover intention in regard to 231 dental nurses in Scotland, finding that the most important job resource is a good working relationship; similar results were found in a study of 215 Portuguese nursing staff.[22]

Despite the above findings, no previous study has focused on the relationship between work engagement and turnover intention in regard to preschool workers. Further, for preschool workers, there may also be factors other than work engagement that is related to turnover intention, and this also requires investigation. Considering this, it is clearly necessary to study the factors associated with preschool workers’ willingness to continue in their posts, and this represents the focus of the present study.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

The participants were preschool workers who had worked in Nagasaki Prefecture, Japan, or a similar institution between April 2017 and December 2017. Overall, 1,137 Japanese preschool workers were recruited and, of these, 214 individuals failed to fully answer the questionnaire. Thus, the final sample consisted of 923 preschool workers. Of this final sample, 35% were aged in their 20s, 27% were in their 30s, 22% were in their 40s, and 16% were over 50. Women accounted for 98% of the subjects. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Nagasaki University (approval no. 17060864), and the study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. All participants provided written informed consent before study participation. With regard to data availability, all relevant data are included within the paper.

2.2. Procedure

Participants completed the study questionnaire in their own workplaces. The questionnaire, which required approximately 10 minutes to complete, collected data regarding sex, age, occupation, form of employment, willingness to continue working in their present position for 5 years or more, mental health, number of social supports available, and work engagement. Using these data, the participants were divided into 2 groups according to their willingness to continue working in their present positions for 5 years or more (“yes” or “no”). They were also divided into 2 groups based on their form of employment (permanent employee, contract employee). Then, their occupations were classified into one of the following 4 categories:

1. kindergarten teacher,
2. childcare teacher,
3. childcare-provider,
4. and other.

Meanwhile, the participants were also classified in terms of age into one of the following 4 categories:

1. 0 to 1,
2. 2 to 3,
3. 4 to 5,
4. ≥6.

Finally, for work engagement, which was assessed using the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES; high UWES scores indicate high work engagement),[14] the participants were classified into one of the following 4 categories based on their scores:

1. Quartile 1,
2. Quartile 2,
3. Quartile 3, and
4. Quartile 4.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Work engagement. Work engagement was operationalized using UWES, a self-report instrument that includes 3 dimensions, comprising 17 items altogether,[14] vigor (6 items), dedication (5 items), and absorption (6 items). All items are scored using a 7-point Likert scale, which ranges from 0 (“never”) to 6 (“always”). Inter-correlations and internal consistencies (Cronbach’s α on the diagonal) of the 3 scales of the original version of the UWES (vigor, dedication, and absorption) have previously been deemed to be sufficient.[13]

2.3.2. Social support questionnaire (SSQ). The SSQ assesses the perceived availability of and satisfaction with social support, which is usually defined as the existence or availability of people on whom we can rely.[25,26] The internal consistency, factor validity, and construct validity of the Japanese version of the SSQ have previously been determined to be high.[27] The short version of the SSQ consists of 12 items, and each item has 2 parts. Six of the items measure the perceived amount of social support, and the
other 6 measure satisfaction with social support. The items that measure satisfaction with social support are rated using a 6-point Likert scale (1 = “very dissatisfied” to 6 = “very satisfied”). The average scores for the 2 domains are then calculated. The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient for the SSQ Number subscale was .91, and that for the SSQ Satisfaction subscale was .94 (Furukawa et al, 1999).

In this study, we only used the amount subscale. Since satisfaction with social support (as indexed by the SSQ) is related to social desirability and neuroticism, it was not assessed in the present study.

2.3.3. Mental health. The 6-item K6 was used to evaluate the presence/absence of psychological distress among the subjects. The K6 was developed to identify persons who are at a risk of developing mental states such as depression and anxiety. The total K6 score can range from 0 to 24, and patients with scores of 13 or greater are categorized as suffering from psychological distress.

2.4. Data analyses

First, using the data for all of the subjects, multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed, using willingness to continue working for 5 years or more as the dependent variable. Next, another multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed, using willingness to continue working for 5 years or more as the dependent variable, but with the results stratified into those for participants under 39 years old and those for participants over 40 years old. Both crude and adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. In calculating multivariate odds, sex, age, occupation, form of employment, willingness to continue working for 5 years or more, mental health, and number of social supports available were set as covariates. JMP ver. 10.0 software was used for all statistical analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Univariate and multivariate analysis of the associations, for all participants, between willingness to continue working for 5 years or more and demographics, social support, and work engagement

Of the analyzed population, the crude percentage showing willingness to continue working in their current positions for 5 years or more was 41.6% (384/923; 95% CI [38.5, 44.8]). Univariate analysis showed that the following factors were significantly associated with higher willingness to continue working for 5 years or more: male sex, older age, good mental health (K6 < 13), high number of social supports available, and high work engagement (Table 1). After adjusting for covariates, these results remained unchanged.

The proportion of those willing to continue working for 5 years or more increased with age (Table 1). In regard to amount

| Variables                          | No. of persons willing to continue working for five years or more/No. of participants | Univariate OR (95% CI) | Multivariate OR (95% CI) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Sex                               |                                                                                     |                        |                          |
| Male                              | 10/18                                                                                 | 1.00 (referent)        | 1.00 (referent)          |
| Female                            | 374/905                                                                               | 0.56 (0.21–1.44)       | 0.29 (0.10–0.83)         |
| Age group (years)                 |                                                                                     |                        |                          |
| 20–29                             | 88/321                                                                                | 1.00 (referent)        | 1.00 (referent)          |
| 30–39                             | 87/249                                                                                | 1.42 (0.99–2.03)       | 1.52 (1.03–2.24)         |
| 40–49                             | 115/201                                                                               | 3.54 (2.45–5.15)       | 3.72 (2.48–5.63)         |
| ≥ 50                              | 94/152                                                                                | 4.29 (2.86–6.49)       | 4.49 (2.81–7.28)         |
| Occupation                        |                                                                                     |                        |                          |
| Kindergarten teacher              | 166/399                                                                               | 1.00 (0.76–1.30)       | 0.87 (0.64–1.19)         |
| Childcare teacher                 | 174/422                                                                               | 1.06 (0.82–1.38)       | 1.18 (0.88–1.59)         |
| Childcare-provider                | 29/77                                                                                 | 0.84 (0.51–1.34)       | 0.80 (0.45–1.40)         |
| Other                             | 10/25                                                                                 | 0.93 (0.40–2.08)       | 1.22 (0.47–3.10)         |
| Form of employment                |                                                                                     |                        |                          |
| Permanent employee                | 282/665                                                                               | 1.00 (referent)        | 1.00 (referent)          |
| Contract employee                 | 102/258                                                                               | 0.89 (0.66–1.19)       | 0.70 (0.48–1.01)         |
| Mental health                     |                                                                                     |                        |                          |
| K6 < 13                           | 367/829                                                                               | 1.00 (referent)        | 1.00 (referent)          |
| K6 ≥ 13                           | 17/94                                                                                 | 0.28 (0.16–0.47)       | 0.37 (0.21–0.66)         |
| Amount of social support available|                                                                                     |                        |                          |
| 0-1                               | 17/69                                                                                 | 1.00 (referent)        | 1.00 (referent)          |
| 2-3                               | 151/372                                                                               | 2.09 (1.19–3.85)       | 2.64 (1.39–5.23)         |
| 4-5                               | 149/347                                                                               | 2.30 (1.30–4.25)       | 2.48 (1.29–4.95)         |
| ≥ 6                               | 67/135                                                                                | 3.01 (1.61–5.86)       | 2.72 (1.33–5.76)         |
| Work engagement                   |                                                                                     |                        |                          |
| Quartile 1                        | 42/231                                                                                | 1.00 (referent)        | 1.00 (referent)          |
| Quartile 2                        | 87/231                                                                                | 2.72 (1.78–4.20)       | 2.56 (1.65–4.04)         |
| Quartile 3                        | 106/231                                                                               | 3.82 (2.52–5.87)       | 3.53 (2.27–5.58)         |
| Quartile 4                        | 149/230                                                                               | 8.28 (5.43–12.80)      | 6.67 (4.21–10.73)        |
of social support, if the number of social supports was 2 or more, the odds ratio of those willing to continue working for 5 years or more was 2.00 or more when compared with participants for whom the number of social supports available was 0 to 1 (Table 1). For work engagement, the odds ratio of those willing to continue working for 5 years or more increased in accordance with increased work engagement (Table 1).

3.2. Univariate and multivariate analysis of the associations, for participants under 39 years of age, between willingness to continue working for 5 years or more and demographics, social support, and work engagement

Of the participants under 39 years of age, the crude percentage showing willingness to continue working for 5 years or more was 30.7% (175/570; 95% CI [27.1, 34.6]). Univariate analysis showed that, among this population, the following factors were significantly associated with a higher willingness to continue working for 5 years or more: good mental health (K6 < 13), high number of social supports, and high work engagement (Table 2). After adjusting for covariates, male sex was also determined to be significantly associated with a higher willingness to continue working for 5 years or more; the other results were unchanged.

Regarding the number of social supports, for cases where the number of social supports was 2 or more, the odds ratio of those willing to continue working for 5 years or more was 3.00 or more when compared with those with 0 to 1 social supports (Table 2). For work engagement, the odds ratio of those willing to continue working for 5 years or more increased in accordance with increased work engagement (Table 2).

3.3. Univariate and multivariate analysis of the associations, for participants over 40 years of age, between willingness to continue working for 5 years or more and demographics, social support, and work engagement

For the participants over 40 years of age, the crude percentage showing willingness to continue working for 5 years or more was 59.2% (209/353; 95% CI [54.0, 64.2]). Univariate analysis showed that the following factors were significantly associated with a higher willingness to continue working for 5 years or more: being a permanent employee (vs a contract employee), good mental health (K6 < 13), high number of social supports, and high work engagement (Table 3). After adjusting for covariates, the results for permanent employees and work engagement did not change; however, mental health (K6 < 13) and number of social supports were found to be irrelevant regarding willingness to continue working for 5 years or more.

For work engagement, the odds ratio of those willing to continue working for 5 years or more increased in accordance with increased work engagement (Table 3).

4. Discussion

Analysis of the results for all subjects showed that male sex, older age, good mental health, high number of social supports, and high work engagement have a positive effect on willingness to continue working. Applying stratification of age revealed that,

### Table 2

| Variables                                      | No. of persons willing to continue working for five years or more/No. of participants | Univariate OR (95% CI) | Multivariate OR (95% CI) |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Sex                                            |                                                                                     |                        |                          |
| Male                                           | 7/13                                                                                 | 1.00 (referent)        | 1.00 (referent)          |
| Female                                         | 168/557                                                                             | 0.37 (0.12–1.13)       | 0.20 (0.05–0.69)         |
| Occupation                                     |                                                                                     |                        |                          |
| Kindergarten teacher                           | 80/265                                                                               | 0.96 (0.67–1.37)       | 0.79 (0.53–1.17)         |
| Childcare teacher                              | 83/264                                                                               | 1.07 (0.75–1.52)       | 1.19 (0.81–1.76)         |
| Childcare-provider                             | 8/29                                                                                 | 0.85 (0.35–1.90)       | 1.07 (0.42–2.55)         |
| Other                                          | 4/12                                                                                 | 1.13 (0.30–3.64)       | 1.67 (0.40–6.15)         |
| Form of employment                             |                                                                                     |                        |                          |
| Permanent employee                             | 140/458                                                                              | 1.00 (referent)        | 1.00 (referent)          |
| Contract employee                              | 35/112                                                                               | 1.05 (0.66–1.60)       | 1.19 (0.72–1.94)         |
| Mental health                                  |                                                                                     |                        |                          |
| K6 < 13                                        | 166/498                                                                              | 1.00 (referent)        | 1.00 (referent)          |
| K6 ≥ 13                                        | 9/72                                                                                | 0.29 (0.13–0.56)       | 0.42 (0.18–0.87)         |
| Amount of social support available             |                                                                                     |                        |                          |
| 0-1                                            | 4/35                                                                                 | 1.00 (referent)        | 1.00 (referent)          |
| 2-3                                            | 70/221                                                                               | 3.37 (1.27–11.64)      | 4.04 (1.41–15.0)         |
| 4-5                                            | 75/226                                                                               | 3.85 (1.46–13.29)      | 3.64 (1.27–13.63)        |
| ≥ 6                                            | 26/78                                                                                | 3.86 (1.35–14.05)      | 3.27 (1.27–13.63)        |
| Work engagement                                |                                                                                     |                        |                          |
| Quartile 1                                     | 17/143                                                                               | 1.00 (referent)        | 1.00 (referent)          |
| Quartile 2                                     | 38/143                                                                               | 2.68 (1.45–5.13)       | 2.73 (1.45–5.30)         |
| Quartile 3                                     | 51/143                                                                               | 4.11 (2.27–7.75)       | 4.55 (2.47–8.76)         |
| Quartile 4                                     | 69/141                                                                               | 7.10 (3.96–13.34)      | 7.99 (4.31–15.50)        |
among preschool workers who are under 39 years of age, male sex, good mental health, high number of social supports, and high work engagement have a positive effect on willingness to continue working. Meanwhile, for preschool workers over 40, permanent employment and high work engagement were determined to act positively in regard to willingness to continue working. Work engagement was the only common factor that increased willingness to continue working for both under 39 seconds and over 40 seconds.

Several previous studies that examined the relationship between work engagement and turnover intention have reported that high work engagement is associated with low turnover intention. As mentioned above, a cross-sectional survey of 231 dental nurses in Scotland found that a good working relationship inhibits turnover intention; the study also found that, for dental nurses, work engagement shows a strong negative correlation with turnover intention. Meanwhile, in a study of 316 nurses based in Ibero-American countries, it was revealed that both burnout and mental health were not important for preschool workers over the age of 40. As with social support for young men, measures to maintain mental health may contribute to the prevention of turnover intention. Burnout has previously been found to increase turnover intention and it is also known that burnout is likely to occur due to low work engagement. In other words, when work engagement negatively affects mental health, turnover intention may consequently increase. Therefore, the introduction of mental care and self-care for young preschool workers may lead to the suppression of turnover intention.

For the participants over 40, willingness to continue working was determined to be linked to permanent employment. However, permanent employment was not important for the under-39 seconds. Good rewards and welfare are known to contribute to the suppression of turnover intention thus, for individuals over the age of 40, there is a possibility that good treatment in regard to employment may lead to lower job-separation desire.

There were important limitations to this study. All of the participants were sourced from a single prefecture; specifically, from a medium-sized city on the island of Kyushu, in western Japan. Thus, it is unclear whether the results can be extrapolated to Japanese preschool workers in general. In the future, participants should be sourced from several prefectures in Japan.
considering age categories when attempting to maximize preschool workers’ willingness to continue working.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their appreciation to the participants of this study. This study was supported by the Center for Child Mental Health Care and Education, and Nagasaki University.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Jun Tayama, Ryoichiro Iwanaga, Akiko Tokunaga, Goro Tanaka, Akira Imamura, Akihito Shimazu, Susumu Shirabe.

Data curation: Akiko Tokunaga.

Formal analysis: Jun Tayama.

Investigation: Ryoichiro Iwanaga, Akiko Tokunaga.

Methodology: Jun Tayama, Akihito Shimazu.

Resources: Ryoichiro Iwanaga.

Supervision: Yurie Yoshida, Goro Tanaka, Akira Imamura, Akihito Shimazu, Susumu Shirabe.

Writing – original draft: Jun Tayama.

References

[1] Tham P. Why are they leaving? Factors affecting intention to leave among social workers in child welfare. Br J Soc Work 2006;36:1225-46.
[2] Wong Y-hp, Zhang L-F. Perceived school culture, personality types, and wellbeing among kindergarten teachers in Hong Kong. Australas J Early Child 2014;39:100-8.
[3] Grant KA, Habes DJ, Tepper AL. Work activities and musculoskeletal complaints among preschool workers. Appl Ergon 1995;26:405-10.
[4] Koch P, Stranzinger J, Nienhaus A, et al. Musculoskeletal symptoms and risk of burnout in child care workers—a cross-sectional study. PLoS One 2015;10:e0149980.
[5] Koch P, Kersten JR, Stranzinger J, et al. The effect of effort-reward imbalance on the health of childcare workers in Hamburg: A longitudinal study. J Occup Med Toxicol 2017;12:16.
[6] Barak MEM, Levin A, Nissly JA, et al. Why do they leave? Modeling child welfare workers’ turnover intentions. Child Youth Serv Rev 2006;28:548-77.
[7] Pek-Gree P, Wallace M. A study of childcare teacher retention in the childcare service industry. Global Bus Rev 2017;18:71-86.
[8] Bakker AB, Demerouti E. The job demands-resources model: state of the art. J Manag Psychol 2007;22:309-28.
[9] Schaufeli WB, Bakker AB, Van Rhenen W. How changes in job demands and resources predict burnout, work engagement, and sickness absenteeism. J Organ Behav 2009;30:893-917.
[10] Gallotta M, Portoghese I, Penna MP, et al. Turnover intention among Italian nurses: the moderating roles of supervisor support and organizational support. Nurs Health Sci 2011;13:184-91.
[11] Renn R, Allen D, Huning T. The relationship of social exclusion at work with self-defeating behavior and turnover. J Soc Psychol 2013;153:229-49.
[12] Loerbroks A, Meng H, Chen ML, et al. Primary school teachers in China: associations of organizational justice and effort-reward imbalance with burnout and intentions to leave the profession in a cross-sectional sample. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 2014;87:695–703.
[13] Jeon L, Buettner CK, Grant AA. Early childhood teachers’ psychological well-being: Exploring potential predictors of depression, stress, and emotional exhaustion. Early Educ Dev 2018;29:53-69.
[14] Schaufeli WB, Salanova M, González-Romá V, et al. The measurement of engagement and burnout: a two sample confirmatory factor analytic approach. J Happiness Stud 2002;3:71-92.
[15] Shimazu A, Schaufeli W, Kosugi S, et al. Work engagement in Japan: validation of the Japanese version of the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale. App Psychol 2008;57:510-23.
[16] De Simone S, Planta A, Cuogno G. The role of job satisfaction, work engagement, self-efficacy and agentic capacities on nurses’ turnover intention and patient satisfaction. Appl Nurs Res 2018;39:130–40.
[17] Airla A, Hakanen J, Punakallio A, et al. Is work engagement related to work ability beyond working conditions and lifestyle factors. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 2012;85:915–25.
[18] Sakuraya A, Shimazu A, Eguchi H, et al. Job crafting, work engagement, and psychological distress among Japanese employees: a cross-sectional study. BioPsychoSoc Med 2017;11:6.
[19] Caesens G, Stinghammer F, Marriner V. The curvilinear effect of work engagement on employees’ turnover intentions. Int J Psychol 2016;51:150–5.
[20] Daderman AM, Basinska BA. Job demands, engagement, and turnover intentions in Polish nurses: the role of work-family interface. Front Psychol 2016;7:1621.eCollection 2016.
[21] Forbes G, Freeman R, McCombes W, et al. Job leaving intentions and occupation-related beliefs amongst preregistered dental nurses in Scotland: the mediating role of work engagement and personal accomplishment. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2014:42:11–9.
[22] Orgambidez-Ramos A, de Almeida H. Work engagement, social support, and job satisfaction in Portuguese nursing staff: a winner competition. Appl Nurs Res 2017;36:37–41.
[23] Kessler RC, Andrews G, Colpe LJ, et al. Short screening scales to monitor population prevalences and trends in non-specific psychological distress. Psych Med 2002;32:959–76.
[24] Schaufeli W, Bakker AB. UWES - Utrecht Work Engagement Scale: Test Manual. Utrecht, The Netherlands: Utrecht University, Department of Psychology; 2003. Available at: https://www.wilmarshaufeli.nl/publications/Schaufeli/Test%20Manuals/Test_manaul_UWES_English.pdf Accessed on July 17, 2018.
[25] Sarason IG, Levine HM, Rasham RB, et al. Assessing social support: the social support questionnaire. J Pers Soc Psychol 1983;44:127–39.
[26] Sarason IG, Sarason BR, Shearin EN, et al. A brief measure of social support: practical and theoretical implications. J Soc Pers Relat 1987;4:497–510.
[27] Furukawa T, Harai H, Hirai T, et al. Social Support Questionnaire among psychiatric patients with various diagnoses and normal controls. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 1999;34:216–22.
[28] Furukawa TA, Kessler RC, Slade T, et al. The performance of the K6 and K10 screening scales for psychological distress in the Australian National Survey of Mental Health and Well-Being. Psychol Med 2003;33:357–62.
[29] Gabel Shemueli R, Dolan SL, Suarez Ceretti A, et al. Burnout and engagement as mediators in the relationship between work characteristics and turn over intentions across two Ibero-American nations. Stress Health 2016;32:597–606.
[30] Demerouti E, Bakker AB, De Jonge J, et al. Burnout and engagement at work as a function of demands and control. Scand J Work Environ Health 2003;279:86.
[31] Fragoso ZL, Holcombe KJ, McCluney CL, et al. Burnout and engagement: Relative importance of predictors and outcomes in two health care worker samples. Workplace Health Saf 2016;64:479–87.
[32] Hakanen JJ, Bakker AB, Schaufeli WB. Burnout and work engagement among teachers. J Sch Psychol 2006;43:495–513.
[33] Hakanen JJ, Schaufeli WB, Ahola K. The job demands-resources model: a three-year cross-lagged study of burnout, depression, commitment, and work engagement. Work Stress 2008;22:224–41.
[34] Schaufeli WB, Bakker AB. Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: a multi-sample study. J Organ Behav 2004;25:293–315.