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Efficacy and Safety of Maxing Xianchang Su in the Treatment of Functional Constipation: A Randomized Controlled Trial
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Background. Functional constipation (FC) is one of the prevalent gastrointestinal disorders that affect people of all ages. Long-term FC has significant effects on the quality of life of patients. Although commonly used drugs have reliable short-term effects, they are easily addictive and have side effects. Therefore, pursuing a convenient drug-food homogenous program is critical for FC patients. Maxing Xianchang Su is a functional food based on traditional Chinese medicine. To investigate the efficacy and safety of Maxing Xianchang Su in FC treatment, we conducted a randomized controlled trial.

Methods. We carried out a prospective multicenter randomized parallel controlled study in three hospitals in Jiangsu Province, China, from January 2020 to March 2021, which included 206 FC patients. All patients were arbitrarily assigned into a treatment group and a control group at a ratio of 1:1; 103 cases in each group. The treatment group was given oral Maxing Xianchang Su, whereas the control group was treated with lactulose oral solution. The course of treatment was two weeks. The two groups of patients were evaluated after six weeks for symptom improvement before and after taking the drug. Furthermore, the safety of Maxing Xianchang Su was assessed.

Results. Both groups of patients successfully completed the study without shedding cases. The effective rates of the treatment group and control group after two weeks were 90.6% and 67.0%, respectively. The treatment group had a better curative effect than the control group (P < 0.05). The symptom score of the two groups improved compared with that before the treatment. The difference between the two groups was statistically significant (P < 0.05). During the treatment process, neither group experienced abnormal changes in blood lipid, blood glucose, routine hematuria, or liver and kidney functions. There were no adverse reactions in both groups.

Conclusion. Maxing Xianchang Su has a positive effect on FC treatment with reliable mid-term effect and a high level of safety.

1. Introduction

Functional constipation (FC) refers to constipation without an organic etiology. Symptoms include difficulty in defe-
cation and reduced stool frequency, which are often ac-
companied by abdominal distension and pain [1]. Func-
tional constipation has a more complicated mechanism
that involves emotions, diet, pelvic floor function, and
intestinal flora [2]. The therapy of FC focuses on symp-
tomatic treatment with the primary aim of restoring normal
intestinal functions, maintaining a healthy intestinal
microecology, and improving the quality of life of patients.
Drug and nondrug therapies are currently used to treat FC.
Drug therapy includes fiber supplements, osmotic and
stimulant laxatives, stool softeners, enemas, and other drugs
[3]. Although the drugs have reliable short-term effects, they
are typically dependent. Furthermore, some of the drugs have severe side effects [4]. Nondrug treatments include dietary adjustment, emotional conditioning, appropriate exercise, regular defecation, and other beneficial habits that make the stool smooth and improve the symptoms [5]. However, the efficacy is uncertain and the therapy cycle is long.

Thousands of years of development and clinical practice of traditional Chinese medicine have revealed that several Chinese herbal medicines provide excellent benefits in the treatment of FC [6]. However, the clinical use and promotion of the traditional Chinese medicinal decoction are severely restricted because of its inconvenient and poor taste. A growing number of scholars are advocating the use of functional foods to solve some chronic diseases [7]. At present, some probiotics-based functional foods are being used in the treatment of FC; however, the clinical efficacy is insignificant [8].

Maxing Xianchang Su, a functional and edible food with the same medicinal effects, is developed by Changshu Hospital Affiliated to Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine in China based on traditional Chinese medicine. The ingredients of Maxing Xianchang Su include Huo Ma Ren (Semen Cannabis), Xing Ren (Semen Armeniacae Amarum), Chen pi (Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae), lard, wheat dietary fiber, polydextrose, and xylooligosaccharide. Although some clinical studies have shown that Maxing Xianchang Su is beneficial to FC patients [9], its properties and long-term efficacy remain unclear. Moreover, it is not known whether the sugar and oil contained in Maxing Xianchang Su affect blood lipid and glucose levels in FC patients. Therefore, this study evaluated the efficacy and safety of Maxing Xianchang Su on FC using a prospective multicenter randomized parallel controlled study in three hospitals in Jiangsu Province, China.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Design. This study was conducted in three hospitals in Suzhou, including Changshu Hospital Affiliated to Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Jingjiang People’s Hospital of Jiangsu Province, and Second People’s Hospital of Changshu City, Jiangsu Province. Patients were recruited through outpatient clinics and networks. All participants were required to continue their current lives, and all patients had a 2-week observation period before being formally included in the study to eliminate the impact of special dietary components and living habits on the study’s outcomes. We shall not change the dietary habits or lifestyles of patients throughout this time to prevent influencing the study’s results. The patients’ clinical manifestations match the study’s criteria and are included in the study when the observation period ended. Patients who met the inclusion criteria were arbitrarily divided into two groups: treatment and control. Both groups were treated for two weeks and monitored for another six weeks. During the study, patients were not allowed to take any food or drugs that would have induced bowel movement, and their defecation and stool characteristics were recorded. The investigator evaluated the efficacy (total effective rate) at the time of enrollment (baseline), at the second week (after treatment), and at the eighth week (follow-up) to evaluate the patient’s stool symptom score, blood lipids, blood glucose, hematuria routine, and liver and kidney functions. Figure 1 demonstrates the research flow diagram.

2.2. Ethics and Registration. This research followed the latest consolidated standards of reporting trials (CONSORT 2017) and standard protocol items: recommendations for interventional trials (SPIRIT) 2013 statement (SPIRIT checklist, see Table S1). Furthermore, the protocol was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki and ethical guidelines for clinical research. The study was reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of Changshu Hospital Affiliated to Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine (ethics number: 20190053). Finally, each patient signed a written informed consent form.

2.3. Study Patients. All participants were diagnosed with primary FC. The diagnostic, inclusion, and exclusion criteria were as follows.

2.3.1. Diagnostic Criteria. The diagnostic criteria for FC were based on Roman III criteria [10]. The specific details are as follows: (1) two or more of the following symptoms had to be met: ① laborious defecation at least 25% of the time; ② lumpy defecation or hard stools at least 25% of the time (Bristol Stool Form Scale 1 or 2 [11], see Table 1); ③ a sense of incomplete defecation at least 25% of the time; ④ an anorectal obstruction or feeling of obstruction at least 25% of the time; ⑤ defecation needed manual assistance, such as assisting defecation with fingers or pelvic floor support, at least 25% of the time; ⑥ spontaneous defecation was less than three times a week. (2) Loose stools rarely occurred when laxatives were not used. (3) Did not meet the diagnostic criteria for irritable bowel syndrome with predominant constipation (IBS-C).

2.3.2. Inclusion Criteria

① Organic factors, drugs, and other secondary factors were excluded from the diagnosis of FC
② The age ranged from 18 to 80 years old
③ No medical conditions such as diabetes, hyperlipidemia, or visceral tumors
④ No other drugs and methods for constipation were used within one month before taking this product
⑤ The patients voluntarily participated in the study and signed an informed consent form

2.3.3. Exclusion Criteria

① Patients with a history of abdominal, rectal, or anal surgery
② Patients who were unable to quit the drug because of constipation caused by the drug
③ Persons who were allergic to the drugs and food used in this study
④ Patients with poor compliance or were participating in other clinical studies at the same time

2.4. Sample Size. The total effective rate of the main efficacy indicators was used to estimate the sample size for this study. Preliminary results indicated that the total effective rates of Maxing Xianchang Su and lactulose oral liquid was 91% and 64%, respectively. For sample size estimation, PASS15.0 was used. The optimal design was adopted, in which $\alpha = 0.05$, test efficiency $= 0.80$, boundary value $= 0.10$, and the number of cases in the treatment group: the number of cases in the control group = 1 : 1. The calculated sample size for the two groups was 184 cases. However, after considering the 10% abscission rate, a total of 206 cases were finally included, with 103 cases in each group.

2.5. Randomization and Blinding. This study used stratified randomization for the enrolled 206 patients and for each center (70 in Changshu Hospital Affiliated to Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, 70 in Jingjiang People’s Hospital in Jiangsu Province, 66 in Second People’s Hospital in Changshu City, Jiangsu Province). Excel 2013 software was used to generate arbitrary numbers and divide them randomly into two groups in a 1 : 1 ratio for the complete randomization method. The grouping was sealed in an opaque envelope with a number inscribed on it, and the patients were asked to pick an envelope arbitrarily to obtain the corresponding random number to finish the grouping. Throughout the study, the research assistant was responsible for screening, recruiting, and assigning random numbers to the participants. Random assignment was done by doctors who were not involved in treatment decisions. The patients and the principal investigator were aware of the distribution results because the appearance and taste of the drugs taken differed in the treatment and control groups. However, data statisticians and analysts were unaware of the distribution plan.

Table 1: Bristol stool scale.

| Classification | Fecal property                                      | Score |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Level 1        | Separate hard lumps, like nuts                      | 3     |
| Level 2        | Sausage shaped but lumpy                            | 2     |
| Level 3        | Like a sausage or snake but with cracks on its surface | 1     |
| Level 4        | Like a sausage or snake, smooth and soft            | 0     |
| Level 5        | Soft blobs with clear cut edges                     | 0     |
| Level 6        | Fluffy pieces with ragged edges, a mushy stool       | 0     |
| Level 7        | Watery stool, no solid block (completely liquid)    | 0     |
2.6. Therapeutic Interventions. The treatment group received Maxing Xianchang Su (strictly produced in accordance with the standards of Good Manufactory Practice (GMP) and Chinese Pharmacopoeia 2010 by the Changshu Hospital Affiliated to Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine) orally [12]. The ingredients are shown in Table 2. For two weeks, the patients were instructed to chew one piece (25 g/piece) and drink 300 mL of water after eating three times a day.

The formula of Maxing Xianchang Su is as follows: polyglucose 34 g, expanded wheat flour 93 g, wheat fiber particles 37 g, lard 150 g, sugar 125 g, xylo-oligosaccharide 13 g, almond 50 g, orange peel 30 g, hemp seed 50 g, egg 150 g, leavening agent 4 g (baking soda 2 g and ammonium bicarbonate 2 g). Bake at this temperature (surface temperature: 150°C and bottom temperature: 140°C) for 30 minutes [9].

The control group took oral lactulose oral liquid (Sichuan Jianneng Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Sichuan, China, H20103621; 100 ml: 66.7 g). The participants were instructed to take 15 mL twice in a day for two weeks.

2.7. Outcome Indicators

2.7.1. Primary Outcome Indicators. Total effective rate: the efficacy was evaluated according to the clinical efficacy calculation method (efficacy index = (posttreatment score pretreatment score)/pretreatment score × 100%) [13, 14]. The clinical efficacy was evaluated according to the “Guiding principles for clinical research of new traditional Chinese medicine (trial)” [15]. The clinical curative effect was divided into recovery, markedly effective, effective, and ineffective. Recovery: the discomfort symptoms of constipation disappeared, and the reduction in symptom score was more than 95%. Markedly effective: the clinical symptoms were significantly improved, and the symptom score reduction ranged from 70% to 95%. Effective: the clinical symptoms were alleviated, and the symptom score reduction ranged from 30% to 70%. Ineffective: no improvement or aggravation of the clinical symptoms, and the reduction in the symptom score was less than 30%. The total effective rate = (the number of people cured + the number of people in the markedly effective category + the number of people in the effective category)/total number of people × 100%. The efficacy index of this study was the total score of stool symptoms.

2.7.2. Secondary Outcome Indicators. The stool symptom score refers to the FC symptom quantification scoring standard established in the 2017 “Consensus on the diagnosis and treatment of functional constipation integrated traditional Chinese and western medicine” [1] (Table 3).

2.8. Safety Evaluation. Safety evaluation included the general conditions of the participants, the incidence of adverse events (such as rashes, abdominal pain, abdominal distension, diarrhea, dizziness, and other uncomfortable symptoms during the study period), and laboratory indicators (such as blood routine, urine routine, and liver and kidney functions). As Maxing Xianchang Su contains sugar and oil, we focused on the changes in blood sugar and blood lipids before and after the treatment. Hematological indices were obtained before and after the treatment and early in the morning at three different time points during the follow-up period. The venous blood was tested while the patients were fasting.

2.9. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 21.0 software. The chi-square test was used on the enumeration data, whereas the mean ± standard deviation (x ± s) was used on the measurement data. The independent sample t-test was used for the normal distribution, while the nonparametric test was used for the skewed distribution. The difference was considered to be statistically significant when P < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline Characteristics. A total of 206 FC patients were included in this study. None of the participants withdrew from the study. The treatment group and the control group each had 103 cases. There was no significant difference in baseline characteristics between the two groups (P > 0.05; Table 4).

3.2. Evaluation of the Outcome

3.2.1. The Total Effective Rates between the Two Groups. The total effective rates after two weeks in the treatment group and control group were 90.6% and 67.0%, respectively. The treatment group had a better effective rate than
3.2.2. Symptom Scores in the Two Groups before and after Treatment. There was no significant difference in defecation frequency, defecation time, difficult defecation, abdominal distension, and stool character score between the two groups before treatment (\(P > 0.05\)). The scores of defecation symptoms in the two groups of patients improved after the treatment, and the treatment group was better than the control group; the difference was statistically significant (\(P < 0.05\); Tables 6 and 7).

3.2.3. Symptom Scores between the Two Groups of Patients after Six Weeks of Follow-up. After six weeks of follow-up, the defecation symptom scores of the two groups of patients improved more considerably than at the end of the treatment. The treatment group was better than the control group, with a statistically significant difference (\(P < 0.05\); Tables 6 and 7).

3.2.4. Comparison of Symptom Scores between Male and Female Patients in Treatment Group before and after Treatment. There were no significant differences in frequency, defecation time, defecation difficulty, abdominal distension, and stool traits between the male and female patients before the treatment (\(P > 0.05\)). After the treatment, there was no significant difference between the male and female patients in defecation frequency, defecation time, and defecation traits (\(P > 0.05\)). In terms of defecation difficulty and abdominal distension, the male scores were higher than the female scores (\(P < 0.05\)), as shown in Tables 9 and 10.

3.2.5. Comparison of Symptom Scores between Male and Female Patients in the Treatment Group after 6 Weeks of Follow-Up. After a 6-week follow-up, there were no significant differences between the male and female patients in defecation frequency, defecation time, defecation difficulty,
Table 8: Comparison of follow-up symptom scores between the treatment and the control groups (X ± s).

| Group             | Defecation frequency | Defecation time | Difficult defecation | Abdominal distension | Stool character score |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Treatment group   | 0.37 ± 0.49          | 0.29 ± 0.45     | 0.43 ± 0.52          | 0.31 ± 0.47          | 0.46 ± 0.62           |
| Control group     | 0.80 ± 0.66          | 0.66 ± 0.50     | 1.19 ± 0.57          | 1.00 ± 0.66          | 1.02 ± 0.72           |
| P value           | <0.01                | <0.01           | <0.01                | <0.01                | <0.01                 |

Table 9: Comparison of symptom scores between male and female patients in treatment group before and after treatment (X ± s).

| Groups    | Defecation frequency | Defecation time | Difficult defecation |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Male      | 1.18 ± 0.76          | 0.41 ± 0.50     | 1.38 ± 0.71          |
| Female    | 1.33 ± 0.64          | 0.33 ± 0.51     | 1.55 ± 0.62          |
| P value   | 0.290                | 0.423           | 0.224                |

Table 10: Comparison of symptom scores of male and female patients in the treatment group before and after treatment (X ± s).

| Groups    | Abdominal distension | Defecation traits |
|-----------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Male      | 2.08 ± 0.90          | 0.62 ± 0.75       |
| Female    | 2.23 ± 0.75          | 0.28 ± 0.58       |
| P value   | 0.341                | 0.020             |

Table 11: The comparison of symptom scores between male and female patients in the treatment group during follow-up (X ± s).

| Groups    | Defecation frequency | Defecation time | Difficult defecation | Abdominal distension | Stool character score |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Male      | 0.28 ± 0.46          | 0.36 ± 0.49     | 0.54 ± 0.51          | 0.38 ± 0.49          | 0.51 ± 0.68           |
| Female    | 0.28 ± 0.45          | 0.36 ± 0.48     | 0.36 ± 0.52          | 0.25 ± 0.44          | 0.42 ± 0.56           |
| P value   | 0.993                | 0.997           | 0.088                | 0.165                | 0.463                 |

Table 12: The comparison of blood glucose and blood lipids contents between the treatment and control groups before and after treatment (X ± s).

| Variable                  | Treatment group | Control group | P value |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------|
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L)|                 |               |         |
| 0 weeks                   | 3.18 ± 1.21     | 3.52 ± 1.74   | 0.10    |
| 2nd week                  | 3.31 ± 2.07     | 3.59 ± 1.86   | 0.31    |
| 8th week                  | 3.28 ± 1.76     | 3.39 ± 2.46   | 0.71    |
| Triglyceride (mmol/L)     |                 |               |         |
| 0 weeks                   | 1.13 ± 0.94     | 1.06 ± 0.84   | 0.57    |
| 2nd week                  | 1.21 ± 0.87     | 1.18 ± 0.79   | 0.80    |
| 8th week                  | 1.09 ± 0.98     | 1.12 ± 0.88   | 0.82    |
| Low density lipoprotein (mmol/L)|   |               |         |
| 0 weeks                   | 1.96 ± 1.51     | 1.82 ± 1.73   | 0.54    |
| 2nd week                  | 2.23 ± 1.62     | 2.01 ± 1.82   | 0.36    |
| 8th week                  | 2.11 ± 1.71     | 2.19 ± 1.64   | 0.73    |
| Blood glucose (mmol/L)    |                 |               |         |
| 0 weeks                   | 4.54 ± 1.93     | 4.79 ± 2.01   | 0.36    |
| 2nd week                  | 4.77 ± 1.74     | 4.97 ± 1.95   | 0.44    |
| 8th week                  | 5.05 ± 1.88     | 4.83 ± 2.17   | 0.44    |

Abdominal distension, and defecation traits (P > 0.05), as shown in Table 11.

3.2.6. Blood Glucose and Blood Lipids Levels in the Two Groups of Patients before and after Treatment. There were no abnormal fluctuations in the blood lipids and blood glucose in either group in the 0th week, 2nd week, or 8th week. There was no statistical significance between the treatment and control groups (P > 0.05), as shown in Table 12.

3.2.7. Safety and Adverse Events. Patients in both groups did not suffer any discomfort during the observation period of the study. There were no abnormalities in blood routine, urine routine, liver function, and kidney function indices of the two groups of patients before and after the treatment. Detailed data were presented in Table S2.

4. Discussion

Functional constipation (FC) is a common gastrointestinal disorder that is clinically characterized by difficulty in defecating, prolonged defecation time, and a low defecation frequency [16]. It has significant effects on the quality of life of patients, poses a risk to physical and mental health, and has a substantial impact on the occurrence and development of many diseases [17]. An epidemiological survey in China shows that the prevalence of constipation in Chinese adults is between 3% and 17% [18]. Women outnumber men and the prevalence increases with age [19]. Studies have shown that inert intestinal motility, insufficient dietary fiber intake, intestinal microecological imbalance, and emotional factors are the main causes of FC [16]. Dietary structure
adjustment, drug intervention, and intestinal micro-
ecological adjustment are currently among the main
methods for FC treatment [20]. Western medicine gives
symptomatic treatment to patients using drugs that im-
prove gastrointestinal motility and promote excretion.
Although the initial effect is strong, the long-term
maintenance effect is weak, and there are many adverse
reactions [21].

In traditional Chinese medicine, FC is classified as “intes-
tinal dryness” and “constipation.” The main pathogenesis
is intestinal conduction failure and Tongjiang imbalance [22].
Maxing Xianchang Su is a functional food developed based on
Maziren Pill, which is a famous prescription from the
“Shanghanlun” (a medical book written by Zhang Zhongjing, a
renowned physician in the Eastern Han Dynasty) that acts as a
laxative and moistens the intestines [23]. Maxing Xianchang Su
selects the main ingredients of Ma Zi Ren Pills, Huo Ma Ren
(Semen Cannabis), Xing Ren (Semen Armeniacae Amarum),
polydextrose, puffed wheat flour, wheat fiber particles, lard, and
sucrose. It has greater relaxing medicinal properties than
Maziren Pills, is not restricted by TCM syndromes, and is used
universally [9, 24]. Huo Ma Ren (Semen Cannabis) and Xing
Ren (Semen Armeniacae Amarum) are both Chinese medicinal
ingredients from the same sources of medicine and food. They
are rich in oil with approximately 35% in Huo Ma Ren (Semen
Cannabis) and 40%-50% in Xing Ren (Semen Armeniacae
Amarum) [25], which can lubricate the intestines and help in
defeating. Hemp seed contains unsaturated fatty acids and
hemp seed oil, which can improve the intestinal microecological
environment and optimize the structure of the intestinal flora
[25]. Amygdalin in almonds and naringin in tangerine peel, can
cause colonic smooth muscle to contract spontaneously [26, 27].
Polydextrose is a commonly used water-soluble dietary fiber
(SDF) with a complex mechanism for treating FC, which may
be because it can directly enter the intestine and produce a large
number of short chain fatty acids (SCFAs). When the SCFAs are
utilized by the intestinal beneficial bacteria, they may stimulate
intestinal peristalsis and increase fecal water content and vol-
ume, promoting fecal excretion [28]. Clinical studies have
confirmed that polydextrose can improve defecation frequency
and fecal properties, and 12 g/day is the optimal dose for cu-
rative effect [29, 30]. Wheat fiber granules contain water-in-
soluble dietary fiber (IDF). The IDF: SDF in the Maxing
Xianchang Su is 17.2%:9.5% (Qingdao Kechuang Quality In-
spection Co., Ltd.). The proportions are reasonable, and the
total dietary fiber content is over 26.7%, resulting in a satisfactory
defecation effect. Lactulose is a disaccharide compound that
changes the osmotic pressure of the intestinal tract through
physical action. It has permeability for disaccharides, which
preserves water and electrolytes in the intestinal tract while
softening stool and guiding excretion. It is a regularly prescribed
medication for constipation [31, 32].

In this study, the results showed that the bowel symptoms
of the two groups of patients improved after two weeks of
treatment. The treatment group had better symptom scores and
effective rates than the control group ($P < 0.05$). The im-
provement of each symptom score of the treatment group
remained significantly better than that of the control group
after six weeks of follow-up ($P < 0.05$). The results revealed no
abnormality in blood glucose and blood lipids in the patients
before and after the treatment, and the difference between the
groups was not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$). To investigate
whether Maxing Xianchang Su had a difference in efficacy
between men and women, we compared the treatment results
by gender and discovered that there was no statistical difference
in the stool symptom scores of men and women before
$treatment ($P > 0.05$), indicating that the sexes were not
comparable. Men and women had no significant differences in
defecation frequency, defecation time, or defecation traits after
$treatment ($P > 0.05$). Males scored higher than females in
terms of defecation difficulty and abdominal distension
($P < 0.05$), i.e., Maxing Xianchang Su is more effective in
treating bowel dysfunction and abdominal distension in
women than in men. There was no significant difference in
defecation frequency, defecation time, defecation difficulty,
abdominal distension, or defecation features between the male
and female patients at the 6-week follow-up ($P > 0.05$), indi-
cating that the efficacy of Maxing Xianchang Su was not
connected to gender in the long run. Females had a higher
prevalence of functional constipation, according to studies [33],
which is consistent with the features seen in this study (125/206).
Some symptoms (difficulty defeating and stomach
distension) differed between males and females after treatment.
We cannot say whether this is related to gender differences
because of the small sample size. There is no doubt that Maxing
Xianchang Su is beneficial for functional constipation in both
male and female patients based on the outcomes of the follow-
up. In future trials, it will be examined whether Maxing
Xianchang Su has different efficacies in men and women. The
results of this study show that Maxing Xianchang Su can ef-
effectively improve the stool frequency and traits of FC patients.
The curative effect is safe and reliable, and it does not affect
the blood sugar and blood lipids levels in the patients. Further-
more, the patients did not experience liver and kidney damage,
abdominal pain, diarrhea, dizziness, or other adverse reactions
while using Maxing Xianchang Su. Moreover, Maxing Xian-
chang Su is tasty, portable, and easy to use. These findings led to
a preliminary conclusion: Maxing Xianchang Su improves the
patient’s defecation condition considerably, making it a con-
venient, effective, and safe new treatment option for FC.

This study has the following shortcomings: the used
intervention method could not blind the physicians and
patients, which may result in biased findings. Furthermore,
this study did not conduct a stratified analysis of the in-
cluded population, such as the elderly, young people, etc.,
making it impossible to clarify the effect of Maxing Xian-
chang Su on different groups of people.

To summarize, Maxing Xianchang Su has a positive effect
on FC with a reliable mid-term effect. Additionally, it does not
adversely affect the blood lipids, blood sugar, liver and kidney
functions, and blood and urine routines, and it has a high level
of safety. Therefore, it is a safe and effective method to treat FC.
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