Barriers and Facilitators for HIV Testing Practice Among Ethiopian Women Aged 15-24 years: Analysis of the 2016 Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey
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Background: In developing countries, youth women are most at risk of HIV infection. Center for Disease Control recommends that people who participate in high-risk behaviors get tested for HIV at least annually. In 2016, the Ethiopian Ministry of Health set goals to identify 90% of the people living with HIV by 2030. But undiagnosed HIV infections are still high in the country. To alleviate the problem, it is vital to identify the factors that hinder HIV testing practice. Therefore, this study aimed to identify the facilitators and barriers of HIV testing practice among Ethiopian youth women.

Methods: The analysis was done on 6401 women aged 15–24 years using data from the 2016 Ethiopia Demographic Health Survey (EDHS). The main outcome variable was self-reported HIV testing practice. Multivariable logistic regression was used to identify the facilitators and barriers of HIV testing practice.

Results: In this study, 37.7% [95% CI: (33.6, 39.1)] of youth women were tested for HIV in their life. Being married (AOR=4.7; 95% CI: (3.67, 6.01)), divorced (AOR=6.2; 95% CI: (3.98–9.54)), having primary level of education (AOR=2.4; 95% CI: (1.79–3.13)), and secondary level of education (AOR=4.0; 95% CI: (2.87–5.63)), being rich (AOR=2.3; 95% CI: (1.39–3.91)), and being in the highest wealth index category (AOR=2.6; 95% CI: (1.30–5.16)) increase the odds of HIV testing. However, lack of media access (AOR = 0.7; 95 CI %: (0.54–0.87)), lack of comprehensive HIV knowledge (AOR = 0.68; 65% CI: (0.53–0.86)) and having discriminatory attitude towards PLHIV (AOR=0.79; 95% CI: (0.64–0.97)) decrease the odds of HIV testing.

Conclusion: The practice of HIV testing among youth populations was low as compared to national recommendations. Lack of media access, lack of comprehensive knowledge about HIV, and having discriminatory attitudes were the barriers to HIV testing practice. Marriage, secondary or higher education attainment, and high wealth index category were the facilitators for HIV testing. Improving HIV-related knowledge, improving media access, and minimizing discriminatory attitudes are strongly recommended to promote HIV testing practice.
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Introduction
Globally, in 2017, approximately 3.9 million youths (15–24 years) were infected with HIV 1 and it was increased by 30% between 2005 –2016.2,3 In Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), young and adolescent are particularly vulnerable to HIV and other
sexually transmitted infections; of all youth women living with HIV worldwide, 80% of women live in SSA. In 2017, the national HIV prevalence in Ethiopia was estimated to be 1.16%. Currently, in Ethiopia, 62% of the total people living with HIV (PLHIV) were female. One-third of the Ethiopian total population are young peoples, and approximately half of them were females. Youth and adolescents are extremely affected by HIV/AIDS and other sexually transmitted infections but the majority of youth did not know their HIV serostatus. Therefore, undiagnosed HIV infections are still high among sexually active segments of the population.

Identifying 90% of the people infected with HIV was the first and crucial goal established by the Ethiopian Ministry of Health in 2016 because of the increasing number of new HIV infections. The goal will be achieved by improving HIV testing practice. Timely HIV testing is crucial for early diagnosis and enrollment to HIV chronic care which reduced the probability of transmission and HIV-related mortality. It is also important to promote HIV prevention among the negatives.

The majority of youth in SSA including Ethiopia have not been tested for HIV. Past evidence revealed that only 32–55% of youth have been tested for HIV in their life. Similarly, a recent population-based survey in Ethiopia showed only 40% of women previously tested prior to the survey which implies a significant number of HIV seropositive people did not know their HIV-positive serostatus. Therefore, identifying the barriers and enablers to uptake HIV testing is crucial to improve HIV testing practice among population groups who participate in high-risk behaviors.

Previous studies have identified age, residence, education status, wealth index, used condom at last sex, consistent condom use, access to general medical care, access to HIV testing, knowledge of HIV, and substance use as factors that affect HIV testing. But the identified factors vary from population to population and from country to country. Most of the studies in Ethiopia have focused only on pregnant mothers whose HIV testing practice and health-seeking behaviors were different from the young and adolescent populations. Therefore, information regarding barriers to HIV testing specific to the youth population was limited in Ethiopia.

Most of the previous studies did not assess the effect of discriminatory attitudes towards PLHIV on HIV testing practice except a study done in Kenya reported as an obstacle to HIV testing. The current study also tried to answer this information gap. Furthermore, nationwide information regarding HIV testing is needed to accelerate progress towards the three 90s goals in the country. Therefore, this study aimed to identify barriers and facilitators for HIV testing practice on youth women in Ethiopia using data from a national-based household survey to inform future policymakers for designing gender and age-specific interventions.

**Materials and Methods**

**Data Sources and Study Population**

This analysis used secondary data from the 2016 EDHS. Data were obtained from the DHS program website: https://www.dhsprogram.com. In 2016 EDHS, five questionnaires were used: the Household Questionnaire, the Woman’s Questionnaire, Men’s Questionnaire, the Biomarker Questionnaire, and the Health Facility Questionnaire. However, in this analysis, the authors used only women’s data. In 2016 EDHS, 15683 reproductive-age women have participated in the survey. After excluding women beyond the youth age group, we restrict our analytical sample to 6401 women aged 15–24 years. A detailed explanation of the methodology of 2016 EDHS is found somewhere else.

**Description of 2016 EDHS**

In 2016 EDHS, the sampling frame was the 2007 Ethiopia Population and Housing Census. The census consists of 84,915 enumeration areas. One enumeration area was covering on average 181 households. During 2016 EDHS, Ethiopia has nine geographical regions and two administrative cities. The sample of 2016 EDHS was selected in two stages. Each region was stratified into urban and rural areas, yielding 21 sampling strata. Samples of enumeration areas were selected independently in each stratum in two stages. All reproductive-age women (15–49 years) who were either permanent residents or visitors who stayed in the selected household the night before the survey were eligible to be interviewed.

**Study Variables and Measurements**

The main outcome variable was HIV testing practice. Age, residence, educational status, wealth index, media exposure, Internet use, substance use, condom use at last sex, number of sexual partners, self-reported history of sexually transmitted infections, HIV-related stigma, and
comprehensive knowledge on HIV were the independent variables.

In 2016 EDHS, comprehensive knowledge on HIV/AIDS was measured using the following five items. 1) Having just one uninfected faithful partner can reduce the chance of getting HIV, 2) Consistent use of condoms during sexual intercourse can reduce the chance of getting HIV, 3) healthy-looking person can have HIV, 4) HIV can be transmitted by mosquito bites and 5) a person can become infected by sharing food with a person who has HIV. Having a comprehensive knowledge of HIV was defined if respondents responded to all five questions correctly.

Access to media was classified depending on the answer to how often women read a newspaper, listened to the radio, or watched television. Women who answered at least once a week to any of these media were considered to have access to media. Substance use was measured based on the response to whether or not participants chew chat, drink alcohol, or smoke cigarettes. HIV-related discrimination was computed from two questions: would you buy vegetables from a vendor with HIV and Children with HIV should be allowed to attend school with children without HIV. Women who respond “yes” for the above two questions categorized as “non-stigma and discriminatory attitude” and who responded “no” or “I don’t know” for one or more questions categorized as “have stigma and discriminatory attitude”

Statistical Analysis
Participant characteristics were summarized using frequency and weighted percentage. A multivariable logistic regression model was used to determine the predictors of HIV testing uptake. All possible variables were included in the final regression model regardless of the p-values at the bivariable regression model. Adjusted odds ratios with 95% CI were used to measure the association between the independent and outcome variable. All statistical techniques used a complex sampling design applied in the 2016 EDHS.

Results
Participant Sociodemographic Characteristics
From the total participants, about 55% were found in the age group between 15 and 19 years. The majority of respondents (76.1%) were rural residents. Within this sample, 43.0% of youth women were Orthodox religion followers. About 57% were single in marital status and 20% of youth women did not attend formal education. Nearly 9.0% of youths women ever chewed chat. Only 24.3% of women had a comprehensive knowledge of HIV and only 7.5% of youth women used the Internet (Table 1).

HIV Testing Practice
The magnitude of HIV testing uptake in youth women was 37.7% (95% CI:35.3–40.2). Among HIV-tested women, the majority (63%) were in the age group between 20 and 24 years, and 65% were from rural residents. Nearly two-thirds of HIV-tested participants had media access but only one-third of women had a comprehensive knowledge of HIV (Table 1).

Barriers and Facilitators for HIV Testing
Marital status, wealth index, level of education, media exposure, comprehensive knowledge of HIV, and discriminatory attitude were significantly associated with HIV testing in the multivariate analysis model.

In this study, the odds of being tested for HIV were 5 times [AOR=4.7; 95% CI: (3.67–6.01)] higher among married women, 6.2 times [AOR=6.16; 95% CI: (3.98–9.54)] higher among divorced than single. The odds of being tested for HIV were higher among women with a primary level of education [AOR=2.4; 95% CI: (1.79–3.13)] and secondary level of education [AOR=4.0; 95% CI (2.87–5.63)] when compared to those with no formal education.

Women found in the richer wealth index group were 2.3 times at higher odds of testing for HIV as compared to the lowest group. Similarly, women found in the richest level of wealth index were 3 times more likely to be tested for HIV (AOR=2.60;95% CI: (1.30–5.16)). Youth women with a lack of media access had a 31% reduction in the odds of testing uptake [AOR = 0.69; 95 CI %: (0.54–0.87)]. Similarly, participants with poor comprehensive HIV knowledge had a 32% [AOR = 0.68; 95% CI: (0.53–0.86)] lower probability of HIV testing as compared to their counterpart. Similarly, women who have discriminatory attitudes have lower HIV testing practice [AOR=0.79;95% CI: (0.64–0.97)] compared to those who have no discriminatory attitude (Table 2).

Discussion
Using national survey data, we found that only one-third of women (15–24 years) were tested for HIV in their lifetime which is similar to previous studies in Africa.
but much lower than a study done in Zimbabwe. The current study is restricted to youth women age 15–24 years which may account for the differences in findings. Most of the HIV testing programs in Ethiopia targets key populations which include adolescents and young age groups. Although youths were identified as a target group for HIV testing in Ethiopia, the current finding suggests that a substantial number of youth women were unaware of their HIV status. Persons with unknown HIV status might enhance ongoing HIV transmission. To accelerate progress toward achieving the three 90s goal, the Ethiopian Ministry of Health and its partners should increase HIV testing coverage in communities particularly in the adolescents and young population.

| Table 1 Background Information of Participants (N=6401) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Variables | Category | Total N (%) | Ever Been Tested for HIV |
| | | N | % | N | % |
| Age | 15–19 | 3498 (55.0) | 1033 | 36.9 | 2465 | 66.0 |
| | 20–24 | 2903 (45.0) | 1627 | 63.1 | 1276 | 34.0 |
| Residence | Urban | 2340 (23.9) | 1321 | 34.8 | 1019 | 17.3 |
| | Rural | 4061 (76.1) | 1339 | 65.2 | 2722 | 82.7 |
| Religion | Orthodox | 2613 (43.0) | 1363 | 54.9 | 1250 | 35.7 |
| | Muslim | 2569 (30.7) | 821 | 26.6 | 1748 | 35.5 |
| | Protestant | 1135 (24.2) | 455 | 20.8 | 680 | 26.3 |
| | Others | 84 (2.1) | 21 | 0.79 | 63 | 1.68 |
| Marital status | Never union/single | 3622 (57.0) | 1180 | 41.1 | 2442 | 66.6 |
| | Married | 2366 (36.2) | 1233 | 48.9 | 1133 | 28.6 |
| | Divorce | 245 (3.7) | 136 | 5.5 | 109 | 2.7 |
| | Widowed | 12 (0.2) | 3 | 0.1 | 9 | 0.3 |
| | Living with partner | 81 (1.2) | 62 | 2.1 | 19 | 0.7 |
| | No longer living together | 75 (1.7) | 46 | 2.4 | 29 | 1.3 |
| Educational status | No education | 1408 (20.0) | 310 | 12.9 | 1098 | 24.3 |
| | Primary | 3104 (45.3) | 1194 | 49.0 | 1910 | 57.4 |
| | Secondary | 1361 (19.3) | 763 | 25.9 | 598 | 15.3 |
| | Higher | 528 (6.4) | 393 | 12.2 | 135 | 3.0 |
| Wealth index | Poorest | 1476 (15.4) | 305 | 8.8 | 1171 | 19.4 |
| | Poorer | 774 (17.6) | 279 | 14.9 | 495 | 19.2 |
| | Middle | 799 (18.1) | 295 | 16.2 | 504 | 19.3 |
| | Richer | 837 (20.0) | 346 | 19.2 | 491 | 20.5 |
| | Richest | 2515 (28.9) | 1435 | 40.8 | 1008 | 21.7 |
| Access to media | No | 2898 (49.5) | 835 | 37.5 | 2063 | 56.7 |
| | Yes | 3503 (50.5) | 1825 | 62.5 | 1678 | 43.3 |
| Number of sexual partner | None | 6160 (97.2) | 2473 | 94.9 | 3687 | 98.5 |
| | One or more | 54 (0.9) | 241 | 2.8 | 187 | 1.9 |
| Comprehensive HIV knowledge | No | 4933 (75.5) | 1842 | 37.7 | 609 | 80.5 |
| | Yes | 1468 (24.3) | 815 | 32.3 | 650 | 19.5 |
| STI in past 12 months | No | 6376 (99.8) | 2646 | 99.7 | 3730 | 99.9 |
| | Yes | 25 (0.1) | 14 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.1 |
| Ever tested for HIV | Yes | 2660 (37.7) | 2646 | 99.7 | 3730 | 99.9 |
| | No | 3741 (62.3) | 14 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.1 |

Note: Frequency (N) = unweighted count. Percentage (%) = weighted percent.
Abbreviations: HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; STI, sexually transmitted infection.
Table 2 Bivariable and Multivariable Analysis to Show Factors Associated with HIV Testing Among Youth Women Ethiopia

| Variables                          | Category                  | COR (95% CI)                      | AOR (95% CI)                      | P-value of AOR |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Age                                | 15–19                     | Ref.                             | Ref.                             | Ref            |
|                                    | 20–24                     | 3.33(2.805–3.950)                | 2.18(0.854–2.652)                | 0.271          |
| Residence                          | Urban                     | 2.55(2.053–3.166)                | 1.33(0.882–2.013)                | 0.550          |
|                                    | Rural                     | Ref.                             | Ref.                             |                |
| Marital status                     | Single                    | Ref.                             | Ref.                             |                |
|                                    | Married                   | 2.77(2.246–3.420)                | 4.70(3.674–6.008)                | 0.025          |
|                                    | Divorce                   | 3.31(2.145–5.129)                | 6.16(3.976–9.541)                | 0.007          |
|                                    | Widowed                   | 0.78(0.137–4.483)                | 1.15(0.231–2.685)                | 0.140          |
|                                    | Living with partner       | 5.16(2.376–3.420)                | 0.97(0.917–1.227)                | 0.074          |
| Educational status                 | No education              | Ref.                             | Ref.                             |                |
|                                    | Primary                   | 1.61(1.228–2.119)                | 2.36(1.787–3.127)                | <0.001         |
|                                    | Secondary                 | 3.21(2.360–4.366)                | 4.02(2.865–5.627)                | <0.001         |
|                                    | Higher                    | 7.71(4.838–12.303)               | 5.15(2.874–9.230)                | 0.021          |
| Wealth index                       | Poorest                   | Ref.                             | Ref.                             |                |
|                                    | Poorer                    | 1.41(1.101–2.563)                | 1.22(0.411–2.831)                | 0.201          |
|                                    | Middle                    | 1.65(1.341–3.086)                | 1.77(0.831–3.108)                | 0.140          |
|                                    | Richer                    | 2.50(1.390–3.637)                | 2.33(1.390–3.906)                | 0.027          |
|                                    | Richest                   | 2.71(2.011–4.231)                | 2.60(1.301–5.162)                | 0.002          |
| Access to media                    | Yes                       | Ref.                             | Ref.                             |                |
|                                    | No                        | 0.46(0.380–0.549)                | 0.69(0.540–0.870)                | 0.017          |
| Number of sexual partners          | None                      | Ref.                             | Ref.                             |                |
|                                    | One or more               | 1.64(1.216–3.870)                | 2.48(0.35–4.551)                 | 0.090          |
| Comprehensive HIV knowledge        | No                        | Ref.                             | Ref.                             |                |
|                                    | Yes                       | 0.51(0.151–0.746)                | 0.68(0.530–0.861)                | 0.007          |
| STIs in past 12 months             | No                        | Ref.                             | Ref.                             |                |
|                                    | Yes                       | 2.31(1.530–4.108)                | 1.50(0.379–5.906)                | 0.213          |
| Discriminatory attitude towards HIV infected people | No | Ref.                             | Ref.                             |                |
|                                    | Yes                       | 0.45(0.271–0.735)                | 0.79(0.638–0.908)                | 0.001          |

Notes: *P-value <0.05; **P-value <0.001.
Abbreviations: HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; STI, sexually transmitted infection.

Comparable to prior research from Zimbabwe and Uganda, married women had a higher probability of being tested for HIV than never in a union. In Ethiopia, HIV testing before marriage for couples has been introduced as one approach to improving HIV testing coverage prior to the 2016 EDHS. This might initiate married women to know their HIV status better than single. In addition, women who are married might be tested during pregnancy. Finally, it might be because women with relationships might perceive their own risk of being infected with HIV.

In this study educational attainment is an important facilitator of HIV testing. A high educational level is associated with good HIV testing practice because of continued exposure to HIV prevention information that is more freely available in the school system than in the community. Educated women were found to be more knowledgeable on the benefit of testing like prevention of mother-to-child transmission which might initiate women to be tested for HIV. Finally, education might improve testing practice through improved recognition of the significance of knowing one’s HIV status and greater control over the decision to test. Thus, HIV testing approach should be emphasized among uneducated youth women.

Existing evidence has recommended that wealth index is vital in influencing HIV testing practices. Good economic status might be related to improved awareness of
 risks and reduce financial barriers to testing. This study also revealed that the highest wealth index (richer and richest) was associated with an increased likelihood of testing for HIV. Even if the test was free of charge in all government health institutions in Ethiopia, youth prefer private health institutions for their confidentiality. Lack of money might be a barrier for HIV testing in private health institutions as pointed in the past evidence.

The other important finding is that good media access is positively associated with HIV testing which is supported by previous studies done in East Africa. Media exposure increases awareness about the importance of HIV testing and improves the understanding of the prevention approach which might initiate women to be tested for HIV. This finding points to the need for governmental and non-governmental organizations to use several types of media in convincing youths to constantly learn about the benefit of HIV testing and to know their HIV status. The current finding also showed that women who have no comprehensive HIV knowledge were less likely to be tested for HIV. This suggests that creating awareness about HIV in societies leads to an increase in the practice of HIV testing. This finding was supported by previous studies done in different parts of the world. In opposite to this, there was no association in a previous study conducted in Zimbabwe.

As previously documented, this study shows that women who had a discriminatory attitude were less likely to be tested for HIV. The attitudes and perceptions related to HIV mode of transmission can be an important barrier to HIV testing and can operate on an individual level and institutional levels such as in healthcare services.

The current study has several strengths such as a large nationally representative sample size, availability of detailed data on confounders, and standardized and high-quality data collection tool. However, there are several limitations to consider. First, we cannot assign causality because the data were cross-sectional. Secondly, in the original survey, data were collected based on self-report which might result in social desirability biases.

Conclusion
In Ethiopia, HIV testing for the youth population is a public health priority as the new infection is significantly high among this age group. However, HIV testing practice among youth is still low in our study. Being married, secondary level of education and high wealth index category were facilitators for HIV testing. Lack of media access, lack of comprehensive knowledge about HIV, and having discriminatory attitudes were the barriers to HIV testing practice. Therefore, promotion of education improves HIV-related knowledge, strengthens mass media awareness campaigns and minimizes discriminatory attitudes may be the possible means to increase HIV testing practice in Ethiopia. Future researchers should consider institutional level factors like lack of staff training and maintenance of confidentiality.

Abbreviations
AOR, adjusted odds ratio; COR, crude odds ratio; EDHS, Ethiopia Demographic Health Survey; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; PLHIV, people living with HIV; SPSS, Statistical Package for Social Science.
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