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Common suppression pattern of eta and pi(0) mesons at high transverse momentum in Au plus Au collisions at root SNN=200 GeV

Abstract
Inclusive transverse momentum spectra of eta mesons have been measured within p(T)=2-10 GeV/c at midrapidity by the PHENIX experiment in Au+Au collisions at root s(NN) = 200 GeV. In central Au+Au the eta yields are significantly suppressed compared to peripheral Au+Au, d+Au, and p+p yields scaled by the corresponding number of nucleon-nucleon collisions. The magnitude, centrality, and p(T) dependence of the suppression is common, within errors, for eta and pi(0). The ratio of eta to pi(0) spectra at high p(T) amounts to 0.40 < R-eta/pi(0)< 0.48 for the three systems, in agreement with the world average measured in hadronic and nuclear reactions and, at large scaled momentum, in e(+)e(-) collisions.
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Inclusive transverse momentum spectra of $\eta$ mesons have been measured within $p_T = 2-10$ GeV/c at midrapidity by the PHENIX experiment in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. In central Au + Au the $\eta$ yields are significantly suppressed compared to peripheral Au + Au, $d + Au$, and $p + p$ yields scaled by the corresponding number of nucleon-nucleon collisions. The magnitude, centrality, and $p_T$ dependence of the suppression is common, within errors, for $\eta$ and $\pi^0$. The ratio of $\eta$ to $\pi^0$ spectra at high $p_T$ amounts to $0.4 < R_{\eta/\pi^0} < 0.48$ for the three systems, in agreement with the world average measured in hadronic and nuclear reactions and, at large scaled momentum, in $e^+e^-$ collisions.
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The major motivation for the study of high energy nucleus-nucleus (A + A) collisions is the opportunity to probe strongly interacting matter at extremely high energy densities. Of particular interest are energy densities well above the expected transition from normal hadronic matter to a deconfined system of quarks and gluons. Lattice quantum chromodynamics (QCD) calculations [1] predict that this transition will occur at a temperature of \( T \approx 170 \text{ MeV} = 10^{12} \text{ K} \). The formation of a quark-gluon plasma (QGP) in A + A reactions should manifest itself in a variety of experimental signatures [2].

At center-of-mass energies reached by the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC), arguably the most exciting experimental results so far are connected with the predicted “jet quenching” phenomenon [3–5] due to energy loss of hard-scattered partons as they traverse the dense medium formed in the reaction. Since (leading) hadrons with \( p_T > 4 \text{ GeV}/c \) at RHIC carry a large fraction of the momentum of the parent quark or gluon \( \langle z \rangle = P_{\text{hadron}}/P_{\text{parton}} = 0.5–0.7 \) [6,7], parton energy loss results in a significantly suppressed production of high-\( p_T \) hadrons [4]. The inclusive spectra of high-\( p_T \) neutral pions [8,9] and charged hadrons [10,11] in Au + Au at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV} \) are indeed suppressed by as much as a factor of 5 compared to the corresponding yields in \( p + p \) [12] and \( d + Au \) [13,14], scaled by the number of incoherent nucleon-nucleon (NN) collisions. The centrality [15], \( p_T \) [16–18] and center-of-mass energy [19] dependences of the observed quenching are consistent with theoretical calculations of QCD energy loss due to multiple gluon emission in a dense medium. Assuming a thermalized parton system, the magnitude of the suppression for central Au + Au at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV} \) implies initial energy densities above 15 GeV/fm³, ~100 times larger than normal nuclear matter [20].

The equal amount of suppression for \( \pi^0 \) and \( h^\pm \) observed above \( p_T = 5 \text{ GeV}/c \) for the same Au + Au centrality seems to indicate that the mechanism of quenching is independent of the identity of the high-\( p_T \) light-quark hadron. This is expected if the suppression takes place at the parton level prior to its fragmentation into a given hadron. Indeed, in this case the high-\( p_T \) deficit depends only on the energy lost in the medium by the parent \( (u, d, s) \) quark or gluon and not on the nature of the final leading hadron which will be produced with the same universal probabilities (fragmentation functions) which govern hadron production in the vacuum in more elementary systems. The partons involved in high-\( p_T \) hadroproduction considered in this work have typical momenta \( \gtrsim 5 \text{ GeV}/c \), 10 times larger than the “bulk” average momenta \( \langle p_T \rangle = 0.55 \text{ GeV}/c \) of the system [21]. Such energetic partons are then supposed to traverse (and lose energy in) the medium and hadronize in the vacuum a few tens of fm/c later [15]. The equal suppression of \( h^\pm \) and \( \pi^0 \) does not by itself provide a conclusive argument for parton energy loss before fragmentation in the vacuum because above \( p_T = 5 \text{ GeV}/c \), unidentified charged hadron yields are dominated by \( \pi^\pm \) [11]. Measurement of the yields of an additional light-quark species like the \( \eta \) meson at large enough \( p_T \) allows a confirmation of the independence of the quenching with respect to the nature of the produced hadron, and tests the consistency of the data with medium-induced partonic energy loss prior to vacuum hadronization. Besides its interest as a signal in its own right, the \( \eta \) meson constitutes, after the \( \pi^0 \), the second most important source of decay \( e^\pm \) and \( \gamma \). Reliable knowledge of their production cross sections is thus required in order to eliminate the background of secondary \( e^\pm \) and \( \gamma \) in single electron [22], dielectron [23], and direct \( \gamma \) [24] measurements.

This Letter presents measurements of the \( \eta \) meson by the PHENIX experiment [25] in Au + Au collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV} \) during the second RHIC run (2001–2002) and compares them to \( \eta \) from \( p + p \) and \( d + Au \) [26] and to \( \pi^0 \) [8,9] and direct \( \gamma \) [24] from Au + Au, all measured in the same experiment at the same \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} \). The \( \eta \) measurement reaches the second largest \( p_T \) for identified hadrons at RHIC, after the \( \pi^0 \). The analysis reported here uses beam-beam counters (BBC, \( 3.0 < |\eta| < 3.9 \)) plus the zero degree calorimeters (ZDC) for trigger and global event characterization. For each collision, the reaction centrality is obtained by cuts in the correlated distribution of the charge detected in the BBC and the energy measured in the ZDC [27]. A Glauber Monte Carlo model combined with a simulation of BBC and ZDC responses is used to determine the corresponding nuclear overlap function \( \langle T_{AA} \rangle \) for each centrality [8]. The \( \eta \) mesons are reconstructed at midrapidity in the lead-scintillator (PbSc) electromagnetic calorimeter [28] via their \( \gamma \gamma \) decay mode (BR = 39.43%). The PbSc consists of 15,552 individual lead-scintillator sandwich modules (5.54 cm × 5.54 cm × 37.5 cm, 18 \( X_0 \)), grouped in six sectors located at a radial distance of 5.1 m from the beam line, covering a total solid angle of \( \Delta \eta = 0.7 \) and \( \Delta \phi = 3 \pi/4 \) rad. The energy calibration of the PbSc modules is obtained from the beam-test values and confirmed with the measured position of the \( \pi^0 \) mass peak, the energy deposited by minimum ionizing particles traversing the calorimeter, as well as with the expected \( E_{\text{PbSc}}/E_{\text{tracking}} \sim 1 \) value for \( e^\pm \) identified by the Ring-Imaging Čerenkov detector. The systematic error on the absolute energy scale is less than 1.5%, which translates into a maximum 8% uncertainty in the final \( \eta \) yields.

For this analysis a minimum bias (MB) trigger sample of \( 34 \times 10^6 \) events, also used for the previously published \( \pi^0 \) analysis [8], is combined with a Level-2 trigger event sample for centralities 0%–60%, equivalent to an additional \( 30 \times 10^6 \) minimum bias events. The Level-2 trigger sample is obtained with a software trigger on highly energetic particles (3.5 GeV threshold). The resulting trigger reaches a 50% (100%) efficiency for \( \eta \) above \( p_T = 5(7) \text{ GeV}/c \). The normalization of the Level-2 data sample
relative to the MB data sample is accurate to 2%. Both sets of events are required to have a vertex position $|z| < 30$ cm along the beam axis. Photon candidates are identified in the PbSc by applying particle identification (PID) cuts based on the time-of-flight and shower profile [8,26]. The systematic uncertainty on the yields related to the applied PID cuts is \( \sim 8\% \). The \( \eta \) yields are determined by an invariant mass analysis of photon pairs with asymmetries \( |E_{y1} - E_{y2}|/(E_{y1} + E_{y2}) < 0.5 \). The combinatorial background is obtained by combining uncorrelated photon pairs from different events with similar centrality and vertex, and by normalizing the distribution in a region below \( (m_{\text{inv}} = 400-450 \text{ MeV}/c^2) \) and above \( (m_{\text{inv}} = 750-1000 \text{ MeV}/c^2) \) the \( \eta \) mass peak. The resulting distribution is fit to a Gaussian plus an exponential to account for the detector response (energy resolution, dead time). The shape, position, and width of the \( \eta \) peak measured for all \( p_T \)’s and centralities are well reproduced by the embedded data.

The main sources of systematic errors in the measurement are the uncertainties in the yield extraction (10--30%), the yield correction (10%), and the energy scale (a maximum of 8%). The final combined systematic errors on the spectra are at the level of 10--30% (point-to-point) and 10%--20% \( (p_T\text{-correlated}) \) depending on the \( p_T \) and centrality bin [26]. A correction in the yield to account for the true mean value of each \( p_T \) bin is applied to the steeply falling spectra. The fully corrected \( p_T \) distributions are shown in Fig. 1 for MB and 3 centrality bins (0%--20%, 20%--60%, and 60%--92%) scaled for clarity by the factors indicated. The error bars are the quadratic sum of statistical and systematic errors.

Medium effects in \( A + A \) collisions are quantitatively determined using the nuclear modification factor given as the ratio of the measured \( A + A \) invariant yield over the \( p + p \) cross section scaled by the Glauber nuclear overlap function \( \langle T_{AA} \rangle \) in the centrality bin under consideration:

\[
R_{AA}(p_T) = \frac{d^2N_{AA}/dp_Tdy}{\langle T_{AA} \rangle d^2\sigma_{pp}/dp_Tdy}. \tag{1}
\]

Deviations from \( R_{AA}(p_T) = 1 \) quantify the degree of departure of the hard \( A + A \) yields from an incoherent superposition of \( NN \) collisions. Figure 2 compares the nuclear modification factor for \( \eta \) in central (0%--20%), semicentral (20%--60%), and peripheral (60%--92%) \( Au + Au \) reactions using the reference \( d^2\sigma_{pp}/dp_Tdy \) spectrum measured in \( p + p \) collisions [26]. As observed for high-\( p_T \) \( \pi^0 \) [8,9], the \( \eta \) yields are consistent with the expectation of independent \( NN \) scatterings in peripheral reactions \( (R_{AA} \approx 1) \) but are increasingly reduced for smaller centralities. The \( p_T \) dependence of \( R_{AA} \) is flat above 4 GeV/c as seen also for the \( \pi^0 \).

Figure 3 compares the \( R_{AA}(p_T) \) measured in \( Au + Au \) at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV} \) for \( \eta \) (0%--20% centrality), \( \pi^0 \) [8,9], and \( \gamma \) [24] (0%--10% centralities). Whereas direct \( \gamma \) are unsuppressed compared to the \( T_{AA} \)-scaled reference given here by a next-to-leading-order (NLO) calculation [24,30] that reproduces the PHENIX \( p + p \) photon data well [31], \( \pi^0 \) and \( \eta \) are suppressed by a similar factor of \( \sim 5 \) compared to the corresponding \( p + p \) cross sections [9,26]. Within the current uncertainties, light-quark mesons at

---

**FIG. 1** (color online). Invariant \( \eta \) yields as a function of transverse momentum for 3 centralities and MB \( Au + Au \) at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV} \) scaled by the factors indicated in the plot. Inset: invariant mass distribution of \( \gamma \) pairs with \( p_T = 45 \text{ GeV}/c \) measured in MB \( Au + Au \), after mixed-event (black open circles) plus residual (red solid circles) background subtraction.
FIG. 2 (color online). Nuclear modification factors for $\eta$ in Au + Au centralities: 0%–20%, 20%–60%, 60%–92%. The error bars show point-to-point uncertainties. The absolute normalization error bands at $R_{AA} = 1$ show the uncertainties in $\langle T_{AA} \rangle$ for decreasing centralities. The error box on the right indicates the 9.7% $p + p$ cross-section uncertainty [14].

RHIC show a flat suppression in the range $p_T = 4–14$ GeV/c, independent of their mass (note that the $\eta$ is 4 times heavier than the $\pi^0$). The results are in agreement with expectations of in-medium non-Abelian energy loss of the parent parton prior to its fragmentation in the vacuum. The initial gluon densities needed to quench the high-$p_T$ hadrons by such an amount are of the order of $dN^g/dy = 1100$ (solid curve in Fig. 3) [16].

An additional way to determine possible differences in the suppression pattern of $\eta^0$ and $\eta$ is to study the centrality dependence of the $\eta/\pi^0$ ratio in Au + Au collisions and compare it with the ratio in more elementary systems ($e^+e^−, p + p, d + Au$). The $\eta/\pi^0$ ratio in hadron-hadron, hadron-nucleus, and nucleus-nucleus collisions is seen to increase rapidly with $p_T$ and flatten out above $p_T = 2.5$ GeV/c at an asymptotically constant $R_{\eta/\pi^0} = 0.5$ for all systems [26]. Likewise, in $e^+e^−$ at the Z pole ($\sqrt{s} = 91.2$ GeV) one also finds $R_{\eta/\pi^0} \approx 0.5$ for $\eta$ and $\pi^0$ at large scaled momenta $x_p = p_{hadron}/P_{beam} \simeq 0.3–0.7$ [26] consistent with the range of fractional momenta (z) relevant for high-$p_T$ production discussed here. It is interesting to test if this ratio is modified in any way by final- and/or initial-state medium effects in Au + Au collisions at RHIC.

Figure 4 shows $R_{\eta/\pi^0}(p_T)$ for three Au + Au centrality selections and for $p + p$ and $d + Au$ collisions [26]. A fit to a constant for $p_T > 2$ GeV/c gives $R_{AuAu0%–20%}^{R_{\eta/\pi^0}} = 0.40 \pm 0.04$, $R_{AuMB}^{\eta/\pi^0} = 0.47 \pm 0.03$, and $R_{p+p}^{\eta/\pi^0} = 0.48 \pm 0.03$, where the quoted errors are the quadratic sum of statistical and systematic uncertainties. The Au + Au ratio is consistent within $\sim 1\sigma$ with both the essentially identical $d + Au$ and $p + p$ ratios. The $R_{\eta/\pi^0}$ ratio shows thus no apparent collision system, centrality, or $p_T$ dependence. The dotted curve is the predicted PYTHIA [32] result for the $p + p$ ratio at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV which is also coincident with the world data measured in the same momentum range in hadronic, nuclear, and $e^+e^−$ collisions in a wide range of energies ($\sqrt{s} = 3–1800$ GeV) [26].

In summary, the transverse momentum spectra of $\eta$ mesons have been measured at midrapidity in the range $p_T = 2–10$ GeV/c in Au + Au at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The invariant yields per nucleon-nucleon collision are increasing with centrality in comparison to $p + p$ results at the same center-of-mass energy. The maximum

FIG. 3 (color online). $R_{AA}(p_T)$ measured in central Au + Au at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV for $\eta$, $\pi^0$ [8,9], and direct $\gamma$ [24]. The error bars include all point-to-point errors. The error bars at $R_{AA} = 1$ have the same meaning as in Fig. 2. The baseline $p + p \rightarrow \gamma + X$ reference used is a NLO calcualtion [24,30], that reproduces our own data well [31], with theoretical uncertainties indicated by the dash-dotted lines around the points. The solid yellow curve is a parton energy loss prediction for a medium with density $dN^g/dy = 1100$ [16].

FIG. 4 (color online). $\eta/\pi^0$ ratio in Au + Au (centralities: 0%–20%, 20%–60%, 60%–92%) compared to the ratio in $p + p$ and $d + Au$ [26] at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The error bars include all point-to-point errors that do not cancel in the ratio of yields. The dashed curve is the PYTHIA [32] prediction for $p + p$ at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV consistent with the asymptotic $R_{\eta/\pi^0} \approx 0.5$ measured in hadronic and $e^+e^-$ collisions in a wide range of c.m. energies [26].
suppression factor is ~5 in central Au + Au. The magnitude, $p_T$, and centrality dependences of the suppression are the same for $\eta$ and $\pi^0$ suggesting that the production of light neutral mesons at large $p_T$ in nuclear collisions at RHIC is affected by the medium in the same way. The measured $\eta/\pi^0$ ratio is flat with $p_T$ and amounts to $R_{\eta/\pi^0} = 0.40 \pm 0.04$. This value is consistent with the world value at high $p_T$ in hadronic and nuclear reactions and, at high $x_p$, in $e^+e^-$ collisions. We conclude that all these observations are in agreement with a scenario where the parent parton first loses energy in the produced dense medium and then fragments into a leading meson in the vacuum according to the same probabilities that govern high-$p_T$ hadroproduction in more elementary systems ($p + p$, $e^+e^-$).
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