Background/Aims: The risk of recurrence of colorectal adenoma among obese individuals without metabolic abnormalities or in those with metabolically healthy obesity is largely unexplored. Therefore, we longitudinally investigated the risk of adenoma occurrence in individuals undergoing surveillance colonoscopy according to metabolic status and obesity.

Methods: This retrospective cohort study included 16,872 Korean adults who underwent their first screening colonoscopy between 2003 and 2012 and who then underwent follow-up colonoscopy until 2017. Participants were categorized into a metabolically healthy nonobese group (reference group), a metabolically healthy obese group, a metabolically abnormal nonobese group, and a metabolically abnormal obese group. Hazard ratios (HRs) for adenoma recurrence compared to the reference group were calculated in each group.

Results: During a median follow-up duration of 47.3 months (interquartile range, 35.6 to 58.9 months), 3,673 (21.8%) and 292 (1.73%) participants developed adenoma and advanced adenoma, respectively. When age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, family history of colorectal cancer, and baseline adenoma risk were adjusted, the risk of adenoma recurrence was increased in metabolically healthy obese individuals (HR, 1.33; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.12 to 1.57) and metabolically abnormal obese individuals (HR, 1.18; 95% CI, 1.08 to 1.30) but not in metabolically abnormal nonobese individuals (HR, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.94 to 1.13).

Conclusions: In this study, metabolically healthy obese individuals and metabolically abnormal obese individuals exhibited increased risks of occurrence of colorectal adenoma diagnosed by surveillance colonoscopy. This finding implies that obesity itself, even without metabolic abnormalities, is associated with an increased risk of adenoma recurrence. (Gut Liver 2021;15:383-390)
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INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common cancer, and the second most common cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide, and over 1.8 million new cases of CRC and 0.8 million deaths were reported in 2018.\(^1\) Although there is a wide variation in the incidence of CRC among different countries, the global burden of this disease is expected to increase owing to the influences of dietary patterns, lifestyle factors, and obesity. On the contrary, as observed in developed countries, the mortality associated with CRC could decline with the increased application of CRC screening for early detection of cancer and removal of precancerous lesions.\(^2\)

According to the current practice guidelines, surveillance interval after screening colonoscopy with or without polypectomy is determined solely based on the results of the previous colonoscopy.\(^3\) However, several studies have
reported that the risk of occurrence of colorectal adenoma in surveillance colonoscopy also varies considerably according to age, sex, metabolic syndrome, obesity, and visceral obesity.

Obesity is a growing health concern that has global implications for increased risks of cancers, especially CRC. Most obese individuals usually have metabolic abnormalities. However, a small proportion of individuals retain a favorable metabolic profile; this is referred to as metabolically healthy obesity (MHO) phenotype. The clinical implications of MHO are still controversial. Previous studies have reported increased prevalence of colorectal neoplasm in individuals with MHO. On the contrary, in another study, the increased risk of prevalent advanced neoplasm was evident only in obese individuals with metabolic abnormalities but not in those with MHO phenotype. Moreover, no study has evaluated the association between MHO and the risk of adenoma occurrence in surveillance colonoscopy.

Therefore, in this study, we longitudinally investigated the risk of adenoma occurrence in surveillance colonoscopy according to metabolic status and obesity in a large cohort of Korean individuals.

1. Study population

This retrospective cohort study included asymptomatic men and women who underwent their first screening colonoscopy, defined as index colonoscopy, between January 2003 and December 2012 and had at least one follow-up colonoscopy until June 2017 at Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Seoul, Korea. As previously described, individuals who had undergone previous colorectal examination, those with a history of CRC or colorectal surgery, those with a history of inflammatory bowel disease, those who underwent incomplete index colonoscopy, and those with missing data were excluded at baseline. In the present study, participants with a diagnosis of CRC at index colonoscopy, those who underwent colorectal surgery during follow-up, those with a new diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease, those who underwent incomplete surveillance colonoscopy, those with missing surveillance data, and those who were followed up for less than 1 year were further excluded.

The study participants were categorized into four groups according to their metabolic status and obesity, as follows: (1) metabolically healthy nonobese (MHNO) group: individuals with no metabolic abnormality who were not obese; (2) MHO group: individuals without any metabolic abnormality who were obese; (3) metabolically abnormal nonobese (MANO) group: individuals with metabolic abnormalities who were not obese; and (4) metabolically abnormal obese (MAO) group: individuals with metabolic abnormalities who were obese. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kangbuk Samsung Hospital (IRB number: KBSMC 2017-07-024). The requirement for obtaining informed consent from the participants was waived.

2. Definitions and measurements

Metabolic abnormality was defined as the presence of abdominal obesity (waist circumference ≥90 cm for men and ≥85 cm for women), elevated triglycerides (≥150 mg/dL), increased low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (<40 mg/dL for men and <50 mg/dL for women), high blood pressure (≥130/85 mm Hg or use of antihypertensive medications), or impaired fasting glucose (fasting plasma glucose ≥100 mg/dL, hemoglobin A1c ≥6.5%, or use of diabetes medication). A Korean-specific criterion was adopted for the definition of abdominal obesity, while the others were defined based on the modified National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III criteria. Obesity was also defined according to the Asian-specific criteria, as body mass index (BMI) ≥25 kg/m².

A standardized self-administered questionnaire was used to collect information regarding the demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients, including medication use, health behaviors, and family history of CRC at baseline. Smoking status was categorized as never, former, and current smokers according to the definitions from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control (https://www.cdc.gov/). Never smoker was defined as an individual who has smoked less than 100 cigarettes per lifetime. Former smoker was defined as an individual who has smoked at least 100 cigarettes but quit smoking. Current smoker was defined as an individual who is currently smoking and has smoked 100 or more cigarettes in his or her lifetime. Alcohol consumption ≥4 times per week was considered as heavy drinking. Moderate to vigorous exercise ≥1 times per week was defined as regular exercise. A history of CRC in ≥1 first-degree relatives regardless of age was considered as a family history of CRC. The weight, height, waist circumference, and sitting blood pressure of the participants were measured by trained nurses. Blood samples were taken after at least 10 hours of fasting.

3. Colonoscopy and histologic examination

All colonoscopic examinations were performed by 13 board-certified colonoscopists. The participants were administered 4 L of polyethylene glycol lavage solution.
for bowel cleansing. All suspected neoplastic lesions were removed via biopsy forceps, snare polypectomy, or endoscopic mucosal resection. The removed specimens were histologically assessed by experienced gastrointestinal pathologists. Baseline colonoscopy findings were categorized into no adenoma, low-risk adenoma, and high-risk adenoma. Low-risk adenoma was defined as 1–2 tubular adenomas measuring <10 mm in size, and high-risk adenoma was defined as advanced adenomas or patients with ≥3 adenomas. Advanced adenoma was defined by the presence of one of the following features: a lesion measuring ≥10 mm in diameter, showing a tubulovillous or villous structure, or high-grade dysplasia. The findings from colonoscopies conducted within 1 year from the index colonoscopy were considered as a part of index colonoscopy findings.

4. Statistical analysis

The chi-square test, linear-by-linear association, or Fisher exact test was used to compare categorical variables, and one-way analysis of variance or Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare continuous variables between the groups. The cumulative risk of adenoma recurrence in each group was also estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method, with differences determined using the log-rank test. The risk of adenoma recurrence on surveillance colonoscopy associated with metabolic abnormality and obesity was assessed using the Cox proportional hazard regression model by computing the hazard ratio (HR) and corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) after adjusting for major confounding factors including age, sex, smoking status, alcohol consumption, family history of CRC, regular exercise, and baseline colonoscopy findings. All reported p-values were two tailed, and a p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. STATA, version 15.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) was used for statistical analyses.

1. Baseline characteristics of the study population

Among 70,336 participants who had undergone index colonoscopy from 2003 and 2012, a total of 19,734 individuals underwent follow-up colonoscopy until 2017. In total, 2,862 participants were excluded because of the following reasons: CRC or colorectal surgery during follow-up (n=35), new diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease during follow-up (n=8), incomplete surveillance colonoscopy (n=1,897), missing data (n=122), and follow-up duration <1 year (n=819). Some participants satisfied more than one exclusion criteria. Consequently, 16,872 participants were included in the analysis and categorized into MHNO (n=4,157; 24.6%), MHO (n=698; 4.1%), MANO (n=6,272; 37.2%), and MAO groups (n=5,745; 34.1%) (Fig. 1). The included participants were more likely to be men and to have advanced adenoma or cancer in index colonoscopy than those who were not included (Supplementary Table 1). However, there were no clinically meaningful differences in metabolic status and obesity between the two groups of individuals although the p-values were statistically significant because of large sample size.

The baseline patient characteristics and index colonoscopy findings are described in Table 1. The mean age (±standard deviation) of the overall participants was 42.0±7.1 years, and 80.4% of the participants (13,659/16,872) were men. The participants in MAO and MANO groups were older (aged 42.1 and 42.8 years, respectively), and those in MAO and MHO groups were more likely to be men (89.8% and 91.6%, respectively) (all p<0.001). As expected, BMI, lifestyle factors, and metabolic parameters showed significant differences between the groups. In the index colonoscopy, MAO group had the highest prevalence of high- and low-risk adenomas (6.1% and 21.7%), followed by MANO (5.3% and 19.8%), MHO
2. Cumulative risk of colorectal adenoma in surveillance colonoscopy

During a median follow-up duration of 47.3 months (interquartile range, 35.6 to 58.9 months), 3,673 (21.8%) and 292 (1.73%) participants developed adenoma and advanced adenoma, as observed in surveillance colonoscopy, respectively. No participant was diagnosed with CRC in the follow-up examination.

The cumulative risk of developing adenoma was higher in MHO and MAO groups than in MANO and MHNO groups (log-rank \( p < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 2A). The 3- and 5-year cumulative risks for adenoma occurrence were 8.0% and 36.0% in MHO group and 9.0% and 32.9% in MAO group, respectively, whereas the risks were 6.5% and 27.5% in MANO group and 5.7% and 25.3% in MHNO group, respectively (Table 2). The risk of developing advanced adenoma also seemed higher in MHO and MAO groups than in MANO and MHNO groups; however, the difference was only marginally significant because of the small number of advanced lesions (\( p = 0.062 \)) (Table 2, Fig. 2B).

3. Adjusted hazards ratios for colorectal adenoma according to metabolic status and obesity

Compared with MHNO group as a reference, all the other groups showed significantly increased HRs for developing adenoma in surveillance colonoscopy (\( p < 0.001 \)) (Table 3). The multiple Cox regression model was con-
structed after adjusting for age, sex, smoking status, alcohol consumption, family history of CRC, regular exercise, and baseline risk of adenoma. In this model, HRs for adenoma development were still significantly increased in MHO group (HR, 1.33; 95% CI, 1.12 to 1.57) and MAO group (HR, 1.18; 95% CI, 1.08 to 1.30) but not in MANO group (HR, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.94 to 1.13). The adjusted HR for developing advanced adenoma was also increased in MHO (HR, 1.31; 95% CI, 0.71 to 2.42) and MAO (HR, 1.15; 95% CI, 0.82 to 1.61) groups compared with MHNO group; however, the difference did not reach statistical significance owing to the wide range of the estimates.

4. Number of adenomas according to metabolic status and obesity

As ad hoc analyses, we additionally investigated the risks of developing 3 or more and 5 or more adenomas according to metabolic status and obesity. In the results, the cumulative risks of developing 3 or more and 5 or more adenomas were significantly increased only in the MAO group than in the other groups (log-rank p<0.001 and p=0.010, respectively) (Supplementary Fig. 1). In the adjusted Cox regression models, the MAO group was associated with significantly increased risk of developing 3 or more adenomas than the other groups (HR, 1.51; 95% CI, 1.14 to 2.00) (Supplementary Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

In this large cohort study of Korean adults who underwent follow-up colonoscopy, compared with normal weight, obesity without metabolic abnormality and obesity with metabolic abnormality were associated with high
Table 3. Hazard Ratios for Occurrence of Adenoma and Advanced Adenoma Diagnosed by Surveillance Colonoscopy among the Four Patient Groups According to Metabolic Status and Obesity

| Outcome                | Person-years | Incident case | Incidence rate (per 1,000 person-years) | Crude HR (95% CI) | p-value | Adjusted HR* (95% CI) | p-value |
|------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|
| Any adenoma            |              |               |                                        |                   |         |                      |         |
| MHNO                   | 15,750       | 680           | 43.2                                   | 1 [reference]     |         | 1.33 [1.12–1.57]     | 0.001   |
| MHO                    | 2,626        | 162           | 61.7                                   | 1.45 [1.22–1.72]  | <0.001  | 1.33 [1.05–1.68]     | 0.004   |
| MANO                   | 24,962       | 1,382         | 55.4                                   | 1.15 [1.05–1.26]  | 0.003   | 1.03 [0.94–1.13]     | 0.494   |
| MAO                    | 22,013       | 1,449         | 65.8                                   | 1.43 [1.30–1.56]  | <0.001  | 1.18 [1.08–1.30]     | <0.001  |
| p overall              |              |               |                                        |                   | <0.001  |                      | <0.001  |
| Advanced adenoma       |              |               |                                        |                   |         |                      |         |
| MHNO                   | 15,997       | 50            | 3.1                                    | 1 [reference]     |         | 1.31 [0.71–2.42]     | 0.393   |
| MHO                    | 2,709        | 13            | 4.8                                    | 1.53 [0.83–2.81]  | 0.175   | 1.31 [0.71–2.42]     | 0.393   |
| MANO                   | 25,752       | 108           | 4.2                                    | 1.12 [0.80–1.57]  | 0.497   | 0.89 [0.63–1.25]     | 0.511   |
| MAO                    | 22,829       | 121           | 5.3                                    | 1.46 [1.05–2.04]  | 0.024   | 1.15 [0.82–1.61]     | 0.427   |
| p overall              |              |               |                                        |                   | 0.065   |                      | 0.233   |

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; MHNO, metabolically healthy nonobese; MHO, metabolically healthy obesity; MANO, metabolically abnormal nonobese; MAO, metabolically abnormal obese.

*Adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, alcohol consumption, family history of colorectal cancer, regular exercise, and baseline risk of adenoma.

risks of incident colorectal adenoma, over a 5-year surveillance period. The risk of incident advanced adenoma in these populations also increased with an extent similar to that of overall adenoma, suggesting possible increased risk of advanced adenoma in individuals with MHO although the differences between the groups were not statistically significant.

In our study, compared with patients from MHNO group, those from MHO and MAO groups were at increased risks of adenoma occurrence, with HRs of 1.33 (95% CI, 1.12 to 1.57) and 1.18 (95% CI, 1.08 to 1.30), respectively. In previous studies, the effects of obesity and metabolic syndrome on adenoma recurrence were individually assessed. A pooled analysis of 8,213 participants from seven prospective studies showed a statistically significant association between BMI and the risk of adenoma at follow-up colonoscopy (p < 0.001). Recently, Im et al. examined the association between visceral obesity and the occurrence of colorectal adenoma in surveillance colonoscopy. In this study, increasing BMI as well as visceral adiposity and waist circumference were associated with the risk of incident adenoma (HR, 1.33; 95% CI, 1.18 to 1.46). Meanwhile, Chiu et al. showed that metabolic syndrome was associated with the detection of advanced neoplasms in surveillance colonoscopy after negative or low-risk index colonoscopy (HR, 2.07; 95% CI, 1.13 to 3.81 and HR, 2.34; 95 CI, 1.01 to 5.41; respectively). Another study reported metabolic syndrome as an independent risk factor of adenoma occurrence in follow-up colonoscopy (HR, 1.28; 95% CI, 1.09 to 1.51). However, the influence of MHO has been evaluated only for prevalent colorectal neoplasm in a cross-sectional design. Individuals with MHO were associated with increased risk of prevalent adenoma compared with metabolically healthy normal-weight individuals, with ORs of 1.44 (95% CI, 1.23 to 1.69) and 1.25 (95% CI, 1.09 to 1.43), respectively, in two previous studies, but not with advanced neoplasm (odds ratio, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.67 to 1.46), in another study. In the current study, the association between MHO and the risk of adenoma occurrence was evaluated in surveillance colonoscopy in 16,872 individuals who underwent a median of 47.3 months of follow-up, which is a strength of this study. Our findings are consistent with those of previous studies on obesity and adenoma recurrence, and on MHO and prevalent adenoma. Further, our results provide new evidence suggesting that excessive body weight, even in the absence of metabolic abnormalities, increases the risk of colorectal adenoma occurrence in surveillance colonoscopy, not only in initial screening colonoscopy.

The mechanisms that link obesity and adenoma recurrence are still unclear. Among them, insulin would be the best-established biochemical link. Obesity and metabolic syndrome cause insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia, and increased blood insulin levels lower the levels of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein, leading to increased levels of free insulin-like growth factor-1. Increased insulin-like growth factor-1 stimulates the proliferation of colonic cells and inhibits apoptosis through various intracellular signal transduction processes. In addition, adipokines such as leptin and adiponectin secreted from adipocytes and cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-α, interleukin-6, interleukin-8, and interleukin-10 are known to promote cancer development by promoting cell proliferation and angiogenesis.
to that for overall adenoma (1.33; 95% CI, 1.12 to 1.57). A similar finding was observed for MAO group (HR, 1.15; 95% CI, 0.82 to 1.61 for advanced adenoma and HR, 1.18; 95% CI, 1.08 to 1.30 for overall adenoma). These findings may indicate that MHO is possibly associated with increased risk of advanced adenoma occurrence in surveillance colonoscopy. However, these results were not statistically significant with very wide confidence ranges owing to the small number of events. Meanwhile, the risk of developing increased number of adenomas was increased in the MAO group but not in the MHO group. These results suggest that the mechanism underpinning the development of many adenomas may be different from those for the development of overall or advanced adenoma. Therefore, further evidences are required to elucidate whether the risks of developing advanced adenoma and many adenomas in surveillance colonoscopy are increased in individuals with MHO.

Our results may not necessitate different surveillance intervals for adults with MHO or MAO, compared with normal-weight individuals. The prevalence of incident adenoma after 5 years of follow-up ranged between 25.3% and 36.0%. It is noteworthy that the risk of advanced adenoma was not significantly different among the groups, although the possibility of difference has been mentioned. Therefore, it may be advisable to maintain better adherence in post-colonoscopy surveillance and conduct detailed inspection during follow-up colonoscopy for obese adults irrespective of the presence or absence of metabolic abnormalities.

Our study has multiple strengths. First, we adopted a well-established cohort as a baseline population that was involved in several studies.12,26 Second, as previously mentioned, this study involved 16,872 individuals who underwent surveillance colonoscopy, with a long follow-up duration of a median of 47.3 months. Third, multiple confounders were included in the adjusted analysis, including lifestyle factors such as smoking, alcohol consumption, and regular exercise. However, this study also has several inherent limitations. First, this was a retrospective cohort study, with most participants being employees who underwent colonoscopies for a health check-up program. Thus, some degree of selection bias may have occurred. Second, although the number of participants was large, a relatively small proportion (24.0%; n=16,872/70,336) of participants who underwent initial colonoscopy returned for surveillance exam. Because of higher proportion of men and advanced adenoma in the included participants than in those who were not included, the estimated risks of overall and advanced adenoma in surveillance colonoscopy might have been overestimated in the overall cohort. However, the association between obesity with or without metabolic abnormality and the risk of incident colorectal adenoma might not have affected by the large proportion of lost to follow-up because follow-up loss were not associated with these parameters. Third, we could not evaluate cytokines, such as insulin-like growth factor-1 and adipokines, which are considered to have an important role in the association between obesity and adenoma recurrence.

In conclusion, in this large cohort of participants who underwent colonoscopy follow-up, MHO individuals and MAO individuals exhibited increased risks of colorectal adenoma occurrence in surveillance colonoscopy. This implies that obesity itself, even in the absence of metabolic abnormalities, is associated with an increased risk of adenoma recurrence.
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