Surgical treatment of primitive gastro-intestinal lymphomas: a systematic review
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Abstract

Primitive Gastrointestinal Lymphomas (PGIL) are uncommon tumours, although time-trend analyses have demonstrated an increase. The role of surgery in the management of lymphoproliferative diseases has changed over the past 40 years. Nowadays their management is centred on systemic treatments as chemo-/radio-therapy. Surgery is restricted to very selected indications, always discussed in a multidisciplinary setting. The aim of this systematic review is to evaluate the actual role of surgery in the treatment of PGIL.

A systematic review of literature was conducted according to the recommendations of The Cochrane Collaboration. Main outcomes analysed were overall survival (OS) and disease free survival (DFS).

There are currently 1 RCT and 4 non-randomised prospective controlled studies comparing surgical versus medical treatment for PGIL. Seven hundred and one patients were analysed, divided into two groups: 318 who underwent surgery alone or associated with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy (surgical group) versus 383 who were treated with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy (medical group).

Despite the OS at 10 years between surgical and medical groups did not show relevant differences, the DFS was significantly better in the medical group (P = 0.00001). Accordingly a trend was noticed in the recurrence rate, which was lower in the medical group (6.06 vs. 8.57%); and an higher mortality was revealed in the surgical group (4.51% vs. 1.50%).

The chemotherapy confirms its primary role in the management of PGIL as part of systemic treatment in the medical group. Surgery remains the treatment of choice in case of PGIL acutely complicated, although there is no evidence in literature regarding the utility of preventive surgery.

Introduction

Primitive Gastrointestinal Lymphomas (PGIL) are uncommon tumours, although time-trend analyses have demonstrated an increase of 2.7% per annum in incidence for gastric (6.3%) and small bowel diseases (5.9%) [1].

PGIL could be localised in any site of the gastrointestinal tract [1-7]. The most frequent site is the stomach (44-75%). Other locations might be the jejunum or the ileo-cecal region, while duodenum, colon and rectum are rare. Multiple gastrointestinal lesions are very infrequent.

The treatment of patient with PGIL is quite undefined. In fact, although the efficacy of chemotherapy (CT) is well recognised and all treatment strategies for PGIL include CT, with or without radiotherapy (RT); whether or not CT should be performed as unique medical treatment or as part of a combined treatment, which includes the surgical resection of the primary lymphoma, is still discussed. Moreover, surgery is sometime necessary to manage acute complications, such as haemorrhage, abscess, gastrointestinal occlusion or perforation during systemic therapies or suggested for prevention of such emergencies.

The aim of this systematic review is to evaluate the actual role of surgery in the treatment of PGIL, analysing overall and disease free survival as main outcomes.

Methods of meta-analysis

We conducted the review according to the recommendations of The Cochrane Collaboration and performed the
statistical analysis using Review Manager 5 (RevMan) software.

Research methods for identification of studies
We searched for all published and unpublished randomised controlled trials (RCT) and controlled clinical trials (CCT) using the following electronic databases: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, MEDLINE, Science Citation Index, ISI Proceedings, Current Controlled Trials metaRegister, Zetoc, CINAHL and EMBASE. The following medical search headings (MeSH) and free text words were used: “surgery”; “chemotherapy”; “radiotherapy”; “gastric lymphoma”; “gastrointestinal lymphoma”, “colonic lymphoma”. We checked the reference lists of all relevant studies obtained from our search and from previously published systematic reviews in order to identify other possible articles. The latest date for this search was February 25th 2010.

Data Extraction
Three authors (RC and ST) assessed titles or abstracts of all the studies identified by the initial search and excluded clearly non-relevant studies. They obtained the full text of all potentially relevant studies and also those with unclear methodology. These studies were assessed by the authors as to whether they met the inclusion criteria for this review. Disagreements on inclusion were resolved by discussing and, if necessary, by involving an independent third author (EF).

Inclusion Criteria
To be included in the analysis, the studies had to compare surgery alone or associated with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy (surgical group) versus chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy (medical group) in the treatment of gastrointestinal lymphoma tumours.

Exclusion Criteria
Studies were excluded from the meta-analysis if the outcomes of interest were not reported for both groups, or solid tumours were considered, or there was a considerable overlap between authors, centres or patient cohorts evaluated.

Outcomes of Interest
Primary outcomes analysed were: overall survival (OS) and disease free survival (DFS). Secondary outcomes measured were: recurrence rate and mortality.

Measures of treatment effect
Statistical analysis for categorical variables was performed by using the odds ratio (OR). This ratio represents the odds of an adverse event occurring in the surgical treatment group compared with the medical treatment group. The Mantel-Haenszel method was used to combine the ORs for the outcomes of interest. Intention-to-treat analyses were performed extracting the number of patients originally allocated to each treatment group irrespective of compliance. Results were presented on a forest plot graphs.

Assessment of heterogeneity
Heterogeneity was first tested using Chi-squared test. A Chi-squared test with a P value < 0.100 representing statistical significance. However, since tests of heterogeneity had a relative low power when there were few study we further explored heterogeneity derived from another statistical method named “inconsistency” or $I^2$ metric, which is independent of the number of combined studies. If $I^2$ is equal 0%, there is no heterogeneity. If $I^2 > 50$% heterogeneity is indicated.

Results for the meta-analysis
Eligible Studies
Using the search strategy listed above, 114 publications were identified. Fifty-two studies were excluded following title and abstract review. The remaining 62 studies were investigated in detail and 57 studies were excluded as they did not meet the inclusion criteria for this review (Figure 1).

There were 1 RCT and 4 non-randomised prospective controlled studies comparing surgical versus medical treatment for PGIL, which fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were analysed in the systematic review (Table 1) [6,8-11]. Seven hundred and one patients were analysed, divided into two groups: 318 who underwent to surgery alone or associated with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy (surgical group) versus 383 who were treated with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy (medical group). Only in the randomised trial [8] the patients were divided in three different group: surgery (80 patients), radiotherapy (78 patients) and chemotherapy (83 patients).

Results of Meta-analysis
Despite the OS at 10 years between surgical and medical groups did not show relevant differences ($P = 0.25$) (Figure 2), the DFS was significantly better in the medical group ($P = 0.00001$) (Figure 3). Despite not statistically significant, a trend was noticed in the recurrence rate, which was lower in the medical group (6.06 vs. 8.57%) ($P = 0.63$) (Figure 4). Furthermore the recurrences after surgical treatment were associated with higher mortality (50% vs. 0%) ($P = 0.10$) (Figure 5). Similarly an higher mortality was revealed in the surgical group (4.51% vs. 1.50%) ($P = 0.29$) (Figure 6).

Discussion
Based on the assumption that PGIL is a localised disease, the surgical treatment was traditionally considered...
the cornerstone of the therapeutical strategy showing impressive results in terms of long DFS and OS [3,12-16].

Nowadays this approach has been extensively revised and the management of PGIL is centred on systemic treatments such as chemo- and radiotherapy.

The current National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines [17,18] suggests for the gastric MALT lymphoma chemotherapy mainly and Helicobacter pylori eradication therapy in the early stage. Surgery is restricted to the treatment of complications, such as occlusion, bleeding or perforation. Preventive surgery is sometime advocated in bulky tumours, when rapid tumour necrosis secondary to chemo-/radiotherapy may be associated with a high risk of life threatening complications. Surgery is also required for removal of residual disease after medical debulking [19]. Total gastrectomy is the most frequent procedure performed for gastric MALT lymphomas, given the evidence that they are multicentric; a D2 lymphadenectomy is recommended [20].

The majority of small bowel lymphomas are represented by B-large cell lymphomas. The NCCN guidelines proposes surgery or radiotherapy as equally effective in the early stage of MALT lymphomas, while chemotherapy for B-large cell lymphomas and advanced stage of MALT lymphomas. In locally advanced lymphomas of the small bowel, surgical resection is indicated during laparotomy/laparoscopy for tumours of undefined histology or complicated by intestinal occlusion, bleeding, and perforation. Surgery may be advocated before chemotherapy in bulky
| Author/Year              | Types of study | N° of total evaluated patients | Inclusion criteria                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Surgical treatment +/- medical therapy | Medical therapy alone | Mean follow-up | Results          | Overall survival | Event-free survival |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Avilés et al. [8] 2005  | RCT            | 241                           | Patients with low-grade gastric MALT lymphoma age < 70 yr old, no gender difference, ECOG status ≤2, immunodeficiency virus test negative, tumor mass > 5, previously untreated, stage I or II (according to the Lugano Conference criteria)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 80 patients received surgery alone (total gastrectomy) | 75% R group | 10 years | 85% S group | 80% S group          |
| Gobbi et al. [10] 2000  | PNR            | 154                           | Patients who fulfilled Lewin’s criteria for diagnosing PGL (stomach and intestinal). Low-grade MALT lymphomas were excluded from this study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 106 patients received chemotherapy plus surgery | NI            | NI              | NI                |
| Popescu et al. [9] 1990 | PNR            | 37                            | Patients with a histological diagnosis of intermediate or high-grade NHL according to the Working Formulation (WF) involving the stomach were included. Patients who received radiotherapy but no chemotherapy treatment were not included. Patients in whom lymphoma diagnosis predated demonstration of gastric involvement or where the bulk of the disease and its manifestations was extra-abdominal, nodal, hepatic or splenic were considered to have secondary involvement of the stomach were excluded.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 13 Surgery and chemotherapy 5 total gastrectomy 8 partial gastrectomy | 53 months | 5 years | 60% in S+C group | 67% in medical therapy group |
| Binn et al. [11] 2003   | PNR            | 84                            | Patients with diffuse large B-cell primary gastric lymphoma with stage IE and IIE according to the Ann Arbor staging system. Mediterranean lymphoma, human immunodeficiency virus-related lymphoma and post-transplantation lymphoma were not included.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 40 patients received surgery plus chemotherapy 21 total gastrectomy 19 partial gastrectomy | 59 months (range 3-128) | 5 years | 90% 5% in S+C group | 91% 1% in medical therapy group |
| Koch et al. [6] 2001    | PNR            | 185                           | Patients with all histological tips of gastric low and high grade lymphoma but only in stage I E and II E 1-2. Patients who were older than 75 years and/or presented with second malignancies, had missing confirmation of histologic subtype by central review, or had comorbidity prohibiting therapy were excluded from study                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 79 patients received complete or partial resection in combination with radio- and/or chemotherapy | 52 months (range 0-92 months) | 5 years | 84% 2% in medical therapy group | 78% 9% Combined surgical treatment |

RCT = randomised clinical trial; PNR = prospective non randomised; NI = not indicated; S = surgery; R = radiotherapy; C = chemotherapy
lesions in order to prevent bowel perforation. A segmental intestinal resection with the own mesentery containing at least 12 lymph nodes is recommended.

In the colon-rectum localization, the MALT lymphomas are more common. The NCCN proposed for the colon the same protocols as for the small intestine. In these cases the surgical approach is represented by the segmental resection of the colon, or a local excision for rectal tumours.

The MALT lymphomas represent the majority of PGIL [21], therefore the disease stage is commonly IE [6] with a favourable prognosis [21].

Given the actual dominant role of chemotherapy in the treatment of PGIL, in our literature research most of outcomes resulted from combined therapy. We could identify only one trial [8] analysing surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy separately. In this trial, Aviles et al included only patients with diagnosis of low-grade gastric MALT lymphoma, who were randomised to be treated with primary surgical resection (total gastrectomy and D2 lymphadenectomy), radiotherapy or chemotherapy. At 4 weeks complete response was achieved in all patients, but relapse in another abdominal site were more frequent in patients treated with surgery or radiotherapy. At 10 years DFS and OS were statistical significantly higher in the chemotherapy group (p = 0.01 and p = 0.04).

Surgery lost its leading role, becoming the treatment of choice only in acute complicated cases or in the prevention of chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy related complications secondary to rapid tumour necrosis [22]. The aim of preventive surgery is to reduce the high incidence of severe morbidity and mortality due to an emergency laparotomy in highly compromised patients [23]. In the past this risk was overestimated and a surgical management was more frequently advocated; actually it stands at 5% [24]: surgery has more than 5% of procedure related morbidity [25] and similarly, from our meta-analysis resulted a higher mortality (P = 0.01 and p = 0.04). Therefore surgery must be reserved to very selected patients.

One of the main limitations of our study is the retrospective nature of the majority of studies included in the systematic review. These studies are heterogeneous, combining different types of malignant lymphoma, using different histology classifications and staging systems. Moreover, the aim of this review was the comparison of surgery versus medical therapies but only one study confronted these two approaches. In the others studies, surgery was part of a multimodal treatment, associated to chemo with or without radiotherapy. Besides, case history considers different type of lymphomas, in different stages, with different prognosis, without stratification. Therefore, the application of selective methods and statistical analysis,

| Study or Subgroup | MG Events Total | SG Events Total | Odds Ratio M.H. Fixed, 95% CI | Odds Ratio M.H. Fixed, 95% CI |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Avilés 2005       | 42              | 80              | 0.17 [0.08, 0.37]             |                               |
| Total (95% CI)    | 80              | 83              | 0.17 [0.08, 0.37]             |                               |
| Total events      | 42              | 72              |                               |                               |

Heterogeneity: Not applicable

Test for overall effect: Z = 4.52 (P = 0.00001)
even if apparently they are in line with what is the generally accepted, they cannot bring to evidence based conclusions.

It would be interesting to analyze only studies including surgery during not surgical treatments in order to evaluate if, when and why surgery was used. From this type of analysis prognostic factors for development of acute complications could be evident and could help selecting high risk patients that are preemptively candidate for surgery.

**Conclusions**

Although from our meta-analysis there was not any significant difference in terms of OS between surgical and medical groups, DFS was significantly better in the medical group. Accordingly a lower recurrence rate was reported in the medical group. Moreover, our meta-analysis showed an higher mortality in the surgical group. This confirms the widely recognized primary role of the chemotherapy, as part of systemic treatment in the medical group.
Surgery remains the treatment of choice in case of PGIL acutely complicated, although there is no evidence in literature regarding the utility of preventive surgery.

Despite the absence in literature of high quality studies (RCT) demonstrating the effectiveness of chemotherapy without local surgical resection in patient with PGIL, the evidence present in literature and analyzed in our review well support a systemic approach for PGIL patients.
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