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Abstract

In the Clothes-Changing Re-Identification (CC-ReID) problem, given a query sample of a person, the goal is to determine the correct identity based on a labeled gallery in which the person appears in different clothes. Several models tackle this challenge by extracting clothes-independent features. However, the performance of these models is still lower for the clothes-changing setting compared to the same-clothes setting in which the person appears with the same clothes in the labeled gallery. As clothing-related features are often dominant features in the data, we propose a new process we call Gallery Enrichment, to utilize these features. In this process, we enrich the original gallery by adding to it query samples based on their face features, using an unsupervised algorithm. Additionally, we show that combining ReID and face feature extraction modules alongside an enriched gallery results in a more accurate ReID model, even for query samples with new outfits that do not include faces. Moreover, we claim that existing CC-ReID benchmarks do not fully represent real-world scenarios, and propose a new video CC-ReID dataset called 42Street, based on a theater play that includes crowded scenes and numerous clothes changes. When applied to multiple ReID models, our method (GEFF) achieves an average improvement of 33.5% and 6.7% in the Top-1 clothes-changing metric on the PRCC and LTCC benchmarks. Combined with the latest ReID models, our method achieves new SOTA results on the PRCC, LTCC, CCVID, LaST and VC-Clothes benchmarks and the proposed 42Street dataset.

1. Introduction

Person re-identification (ReID) aims to match the same people appearing at different times and locations. Given samples of people of interest — commonly referred to as a gallery, and unlabeled query samples, the goal is to predict the correct label (i.e., person ID) for every query sample based on the given gallery. Existing ReID models tend to perform poorly when re-identifying the same people over a prolonged time due to appearance changes such as different clothes and hairstyles [49]. Moreover, the performance of models that try to extract clothes-independent features such as body shape [5], contours [27, 48], or gait [33, 52], is subpar compared to same-clothes settings, as clothes are often the most dominant features [16, 49]. To address the clothes-changing problem we introduce a simple process which we refer to as Gallery Enrichment. In this process, we use the gallery data to automatically add to it parts of the query data where people appear in different outfits. Extending the gallery in this manner results in an enriched gallery that increases the chances of finding a correct match. This is done by an unsupervised algorithm that uses the similarity between the faces in the query and gallery samples.

As face features provide an accurate prediction for the query samples that include faces, this algorithm results in an enriched gallery with minimal errors (Fig. 1). Once enriched, the gallery allows the ReID model, which relies on appearance-related features, to correctly predict the identity of a person with previously unseen outfits, even if the query sample does not include a face. In addition to using faces in the gallery enrichment process, we claim that integrating a face feature extraction module during inference is beneficial for the results of ReID, and introduce a new method that combines pre-trained face features extraction and ReID modules alongside an enriched gallery. We call this method GEFF — Gallery Enrichment with Face Features.

We claim that current video CC-ReID benchmarks do not include enough cases of occlusions, various illumination conditions, and multiple clothes and hairstyle changes. Therefore, we introduce the 42Street dataset, curated from a theater play, as many theater plays include these challenges.

Extensive experiments show that GEFF improves the performance of the evaluated ReID models, on 5 CC-ReID benchmarks and the 42Street dataset.
2. Related Work

2.1. Person Re-Identification

The common inference process of person ReID can be seen as an instance retrieval problem. Given gallery and query samples, the goal is to classify each query sample correctly. First, feature vectors for all gallery samples are extracted by applying a feature-extraction model. Next, given an unseen query sample, the distances between its feature vector and the gallery feature vectors are computed. Finally, the predicted label of the query sample is defined as the label of the gallery feature vector that is closest to the query.

Image-based and Video-based ReID In image-based ReID datasets, every data sample is a single-person crop. Over the years, multiple image-based models have been developed [20, 29, 30, 46], and they achieve impressive results on same-clothes image-based benchmarks. In video-based ReID datasets, every data sample is a sequence of crops, i.e., a track, and the video ReID model produces a single feature vector to represent the entire sequence by using the spatio-temporal information in the sequence [13, 16, 21, 33].

Clothes-changing ReID In this setting, a person in the query set might wear different clothes from the gallery set. Some models try to extract clothes-independent features by modeling body shape and gait using skeletons [35], silhouettes [5], and contour sketches [46]. M2Net [27] uses contour images and human-parsing images to extract meaningful features. CAL [16], proposes a Clothes-based Adversarial Loss to mine clothes-independent features, and uses the video input to extract spatio-temporal patterns. AIM [49] utilizes a causality-based auto-intervention model to mitigate clothing bias and CCFA [19] implicitly augments clothes-changing data in the feature space. A concurrent work, IGCL [14], applies vision transformers to provide direct supervision to learn identity-specific features. Since the accuracy of these models is lower under the clothes-changing setting compared to the same-clothes setting, we suggest a process that partially converts the clothes-changing setting into the same-clothes setting, by building an enriched gallery and using face features during inference.

2.2. Face Feature Extraction

Face feature extraction is the process of detecting and identifying specific facial features from images or videos. Early works in the field such as Viola-Jones [41] and Local Binary Pattern [1] laid the foundations for more recent methods such as Face Attention Network [43] and the Insightface [9–12] library, which use deep learning to extract facial features and surpass human performance [44].

Using Face Features for ReID Several studies attempted utilizing face features for the task of person ReID, using various deep learning techniques [3, 15, 24, 25]. While these works try to predict an identity based on face features only, as we show in our work, face features are insufficient on their own since not all query samples contain faces. Therefore, we propose a model that combines both face and ReID modules. Another work, 3APF [42], combines a holistic feature extractor (ReID part) and a local face
feature extractor (face part) on the feature vectors space. In our method, we propose to create a score vector for each model separately and then combine them into a final score vector. Additionally, we use face features to build the enriched gallery. In our experiments, we show that we outperform 3APF on VC-Clothes [42], the dataset they publish.

3. Method

In order to address the clothes-changing ReID problem, our method enriches the gallery using an unsupervised process (Sec. 3.1) and combines a pre-trained ReID module together with a pre-trained face feature extraction module (Sec. 3.2). Both elements work in conjunction and define our method which can be applied to any ReID model and works with image-based and video-based settings.

3.1. Creating an Enriched Gallery

The objective of most ReID models is to produce a feature extraction function that generates a feature vector for each data sample. Given two different data samples of the same person, the feature extraction function is expected to generate feature vectors with a lower distance compared to two samples of different persons. The richer the gallery is with samples that are similar to the query set, the more likely it is to find a correct gallery match. Hence, we propose the following unsupervised algorithm to enrich a given gallery from the query using face features, when available (Fig. 1). Given gallery and query samples:

1. We first apply a face detector on all gallery samples. We then build a face gallery by applying a face feature extractor on every sample in which a face was detected.
2. For every query sample in which a face was detected, we save a reference to the most similar gallery sample by computing its face feature vector and comparing it to the face gallery from Step 1 using cosine-similarity.
3. We create an enriched gallery by extending the original gallery with the queries from Step 2.

During evaluation, the references to the original gallery samples are used to determine the predicted identity of a given query sample.

3.1.1 Matching Face to Pose Estimation

A person crop is a part of an image that aims to capture a single individual. However, in crowded scenes multiple people might appear in the background, making it difficult to determine which face belongs to the main person in the crop. Therefore, when predicting an identity by using face features, it is crucial to verify that the detected face indeed belongs to the targeted individual. To achieve this, we utilize a pose estimator [7] (which we limit to provide a single pose estimation) to confirm that the eyes and nose keypoints of the main person in the crop fall within the face bounding box. Therefore, for datasets curated from crowded scenes (like the proposed 42Street dataset), Steps 1 and 2 should include an additional step of face-to-pose matching. Examples are shown in Fig. 2.

3.2. Combining ReID and Face Modules

Following is a detailed description of our method for the video-based setting, where every data sample is a person track. The prediction process for the image-based setting is treated as a special case of the video-based setting, in which a data sample, i.e., a single image, is a track of length 1. In our method we propose to use a face feature extraction module and a ReID module to compute face and ReID score vectors respectively. These score vectors represent the confidence of each module that the given track belongs to each of the possible identities. Our method combines these two score vectors into a final score vector which is used to predict the identity of the person in a given data sample.

Predicting an Identity of a Track Given a gallery with a set of identities \( I \), we first build an enriched gallery, \( G_{\text{enriched}} \), as described in Sec. 3.1, and a face gallery denoted \( G_{\text{face}} \), using face features extracted in the gallery enrichment process. Then, we use the ReID and face feature extraction modules on the track to create score vectors of size \( |I| \), \( v_{\text{ReID}} \in \mathbb{R}^{|I|} \) and \( v_{\text{face}} \in \mathbb{R}^{|I|} \), respectively, which represent the confidence of each module that the given track belongs to each identity in \( I \). This process is inspired by works such as CTL [46] and MCTL [2] that use the identity of each sample during inference to calculate centroids. Finally, we combine \( v_{\text{ReID}} \) and \( v_{\text{face}} \) into a single score vector. The process is illustrated in Fig. 3 and detailed next.
ReID and Face Score Vectors To compute $v_{\text{ReID}}$, for every crop $q$ in a track of size $N$, we compute the feature vector using the ReID module. Then, for each identity $i \in I$, we determine the confidence that image $q$ belongs to identity $i$ by finding the maximum cosine-similarity between the feature vector of $q$ and the feature vectors of all gallery samples of identity $i$ in $G_{\text{enriched}}$. The ReID score for identity $i$, i.e., $v_{\text{ReID}}[i]$, is the mean confidence for identity $i$ across all images in the track.

To compute $v_{\text{face}}$ we follow the same procedure as above while changing the input images and gallery. In this case, the input images for the procedure are $M$ detected face images from the original person track. Those are created by applying a face-detector on every image in the original track and comparing them to the gallery $G_{\text{face}}$, while verifying that the pose matches the main person in the image (Sec. 3.1.1).

Combining Score Vectors The combined score vector is defined as:

$$v_{\text{pred}} = \alpha \cdot v_{\text{ReID}} + (1 - \alpha) \cdot v_{\text{face}}$$

With $\alpha$ as a hyper-parameter determining the weight of each module. In the supplementary material, we examine different $\alpha$ values. The final prediction for the entire track is given by taking the identity with the highest score in $v_{\text{pred}}$.

4. The 42Street Dataset

Widely used clothes-changing ReID benchmarks (e.g. LTCC [35], PRCC [48], LaST [37], VC-Clothes [42]) do not capture crowded scenes that include multiple clothes changes per identity with various scale and illumination conditions. Moreover, CCVID [17], a video-based clothes-changing ReID benchmark, was curated from a gait-recognition dataset (FVG [52]), in which the people are captured while walking towards the camera with clearly visible faces. On this dataset, a simple face-recognition model achieves superior results compared to ReID models, as shown in Tab. 6. For these reasons, we publish a new video-based clothes-changing dataset — the 42Street dataset. While the theater-play based dataset is attractive since it addresses the challenges described above, there are not enough people-of-interest to create both training and evaluation sets. Hence, we publish it as an evaluation dataset only, which can be used as a new benchmark to test the generalization ability of ReID models.

The dataset is created using a public recording of the 42Street theatre play [32]. The play is $\sim$1.5 hours long and we split it into 5 equally long parts of $\sim$20 minutes each, with various clothes changes between the different parts. From Part 1 we label samples from which a gallery is built. Parts 2–3 and 4–5 are used for validation and test, respectively. From these parts we randomly extract 5 validation videos and 10 test videos, each 17 seconds long.

5. Experiments

5.1. Experiments on Existing Benchmarks

In this section, we show the potential improvement of several ReID models when applying GEFF, across all
benchmarks detailed in Tab. 1. For each benchmark, we apply GEFF on the most recent ReID model for which we were able to reproduce similar results to the results reported in the original paper. Additionally, as our method relies on faces, we also apply a face-recognition model — InsightFace [9–12], to all the evaluated benchmarks as a face module baseline.

5.1.1 Evaluation Protocol

Following most CC-ReID works, Top-1 accuracy and mAP are used as evaluation metrics in three test scenarios:

• **General:** All query and gallery samples are used to calculate accuracy.

• **Clothes-Changing:** Uses only query samples that have matching gallery samples with different clothes. Additionally, gallery samples with the same identity and the same clothes are discarded.

• **Same-Clothes (SC):** Uses only query samples that have matching gallery samples with the same clothes. Additionally, gallery samples with the same identity and different clothes are discarded.

Under all settings, gallery samples with the same identity and the same camera id are discarded.

5.1.2 Results — Existing Benchmarks

In Tab. 2, we apply GEFF to three ReID models on the PRCC and LTCC benchmarks showing an average improvement to the Top-1 and mAP metrics, under most evaluated settings. Specifically, our method achieves an average improvement of 33.5% and 6.7%, under the clothes-changing setting, respectively. Tabs. 3 to 5 show that when applying GEFF on the SOTA models on the PRCC, LTCC, LAST, and VC-Clothes datasets, new SOTA results are achieved. On the CCVID benchmark, as the SOTA model DCR-ReID requires pre-processing of the dataset which is yet to be published, we apply our method on the second best model — CAL. Tab. 6 shows that applying GEFF achieves a significant improvement (outperforming even the DCR-ReID model). Notice that for this dataset, the baseline face-recognition model, InsightFace, achieves superior results under the general setting. We attribute this success to the fact that the CCVID dataset was curated from a gait recognition dataset, in which every image includes a clearly visible face. While the face-recognition model is successful on this dataset, the results on the other datasets, suggest that it is insufficient by itself.

**Cross-Dataset Results** In this experiment, we analyze the generalization ability of different models when training on one dataset but testing on another. Tab. 7 shows that applying GEFF to three ReID models increases their generalization abilities. While training the ReID models on the PRCC dataset and evaluating them on the LTCC dataset, applying GEFF achieves an average Top-1 improvement of 4.7% and 6.4% on the General and Clothes-Changing settings, respectively. While training the ReID models on the LTCC dataset and evaluating them on the PRCC dataset, applying GEFF achieves an average Top-1 improvement of 5.2% and 44.5% on the Same-Clothes and Clothes-Changing settings, respectively. Note that in both experiments, CTL was trained on the DukeMTMC [36] dataset.

5.2. Experiments on The 42Street Dataset

5.2.1 Evaluation Protocol

Given the gallery created from part 1 of the play, we apply our method to the CTL ReID model and measure its performance on the evaluation videos of the 42Street dataset. In our evaluation protocol, all models are evaluated without any training, as training data is not provided in this dataset.

**Evaluation Metrics** Similar to most ReID works, we measure the performance of a model using the top-1 metric. Since the query tracks are of different lengths, we measure the top-1 accuracy of both Per-Image and Per-Track accuracy.

• **Per-Image Accuracy:** the number of correctly identified person crops in a video, divided by the total number of person crops in the video, across all evaluation videos.

• **Per-Track Accuracy:** the number of correctly classified tracks, i.e. whether the model’s single prediction on the entire track is correct, divided by the total number of tracks in the video, across all evaluation videos.

We observe that the image-based models we assess generate individual predictions for each image and do not offer a single prediction for an entire track. To overcome this shortcoming, we establish a single prediction based on the majority vote for the entire track. Additionally, since the primary focus of this study is not on enhancing tracking capabilities, we exclude tracks with less than 10 frames from our evaluation calculations, as they are more likely to be tracking errors. We note that the evaluation protocol of the 42Street dataset concerns only the detected person crops. The person detector of ByteTrack [51] which we used, achieved an IDF1 score of 80.5 on MOT16 [31].

5.2.2 Results — 42Street Dataset

Tab. 8 summarizes the results on the 42Street dataset. Similarly to the results shown in Sec. 5.1.2, since the evaluated models have a limited generalization ability, they perform poorly on this dataset as they are not being trained on...
Table 2. Applying GEFF on 3 ReID models over the PRCC and LTCC benchmarks. In green are improvements of at least +1.0%. The first row of every evaluated model is a result reproduced by us, done in order to create a fair comparison between a ReID model that we trained and the improvement achieved by applying GEFF on it. The second and third rows show the improvement achieved by the gallery enrichment (GE) and by applying GEFF (Enriched Gallery + Face Module), respectively. The last rows (Avg.) show the average improvement of applying an Enriched Gallery and GEFF across all models.

it. However, when applying GEFF to the CTL and CAL ReID models, whilst not requiring any further training on the dataset, strong results are achieved, significantly outperforming the baseline ReID models. Fig. 4 visualizes the performance of the various models on a single frame from a test video. Interestingly, face-recognition by itself achieves mediocre results, as the face detector detected faces only in 74% of the total person crops, some of which are not of sufficient quality to be recognized correctly.

Table 3. Results on the LTCC and PRCC benchmarks. CC-Models (Non-CC-Models) are ReID models that were (not) designed specifically for the clothes-changing challenge. AIM + GEFF is the AIM model combined with a gallery enrichment and face module. Our method introduces an improvement over the Clothes-Changing setting and achieves comparable result on the Same-Clothes setting.
### Table 4. Results on LaST. GEFF introduces a significant improvement when applied to the CAL model.

| Method         | General SC | General CC |
|----------------|------------|------------|
|                | top-1 mAP  | top-1 mAP  |
| CTL [46]       | 20.1 3.2   |            |
| InsightFace [9–12] | 57.8 31.1 |            |
| OSNet [53]     | 63.8 20.9  |            |
| BotT [28]      | 68.3 25.3  |            |
| mAPLoss [37]   | 69.9 27.6  |            |
| CAL [16]       | 73.7 28.8  |            |
| CAL + GEFF     | 78.0 37.2  |            |

### Table 5. Results on the VC-Clothes benchmark. GEFF introduces a significant improvement under all settings when applied to the CAL model.

| Method         | General | Clothes-Changing |
|----------------|---------|------------------|
|                | SC      | CC               |
|                | top-1 mAP | top-1 mAP | top-1 mAP | top-1 mAP |
| InsightFace [9–12] | 83.8 61.8 | 92.7 89.2 | 63.1 34.4 |
| PCB [39]       | 87.7 74.6 | 94.7 94.3 | 62.0 62.2 |
| MDLA [34]      | 88.9 76.8 | 94.3 93.9 | 59.2 60.8 |
| 3APF [42]      | 90.2 82.1 | -     -     | -     -     |
| Part-align [38] | 90.5 79.7 | 93.9 93.4 | 69.4 67.3 |
| FSAM [22]      | -     -     | 94.7 94.8 | 78.6 78.9 |
| 3DSL [6]       | -     -     | -     -     | 79.9 81.2 |
| CAL [16]       | 92.9 87.2 | 95.1 95.3 | 81.4 81.7 |
| CAL + GEFF     | 94.9 88.9 | 96.5 96.3 | 86.7 84.4 |

### Table 6. Results on the CCVID benchmark. GEFF introduces a significant improvement when applied to the CAL model.

| Method         | General | Clothes-Changing |
|----------------|---------|------------------|
|                | top-1   |                 |
| CTL [46]       | 71.8    | 69.3             |
| 13D [4]        | 79.7    | 78.5             |
| Non-Local [45] | 80.7    | 79.3             |
| AP3D [18]      | 80.9    | 80.1             |
| TCLNet [40]    | 81.4    | 80.7             |
| CAL [16]       | 82.9    | 81.9             |
| DCR-ReID [8]   | 84.7    | 83.6             |
| InsightFace [9–12] | 95.3 | 73.5 |
| CAL + GEFF     | 89.2    | 90.5             |

6. Ablation Study

In this section, we evaluate the impact of each component of GEFF on the overall performance of a ReID model, both on the existing benchmarks and the 42Street dataset. From Tabs. 2 and 9 we conclude that the Gallery Enrichment Process introduces a significant improvement compared to using only the original gallery. In supplementary material, we further discuss the influence of additional raw data in the gallery enrichment process on the performance of the ReID model. Moreover, combining ReID and face modules using score vectors (even without gallery enrichment), significantly improves the results of ReID models. Finally, although the face module achieves solid results on some benchmarks (showing the significance of face features for ReID tasks), it is an insufficient model by itself as biometric information such as faces is often unavailable in ReID problems.

7. Ethical Considerations

New person ReID and tracking datasets raise privacy concerns as individuals may appear in them without consent. In this work, we use publicly available videos from the 42 Street theatre play and only utilize face features for image retrieval and distance measurement, without identity matching. Our dataset is intended for academic use only. Moreover, we condemn the usage of ReID methods with nefarious intent and publish this work to progress academic research in this field.

8. Limitations

In order to enrich the gallery with samples of an unseen clothes-set (of a single identity), the gallery enrichment process relies on the assumption that at least one sample with these clothes includes a clearly visible face. For datasets where this assumption does not hold on multiple clothes-
Table 7. Cross-Dataset Generalization. $X \rightarrow Y$ means that the model was trained on dataset $X$ and evaluated on dataset $Y$, with the exception of CTL that was trained on DukeMTMC. These results suggest that the generalization ability of ReID models increases significantly when applying the proposed GEFF method. The last row (Avg.) shows the average improvement of applying GEFF.

Table 8. Results on the 42Street dataset. All models are pre-trained on other datasets and are not fine-tuned on this dataset. CTL, CAL and InsightFace are image-based models, for which we apply a majority vote in order to calculate per-track accuracy.

Table 9. Ablation study of GEFF on the 42Street dataset. The used ReID module is CTL.

sets (e.g. LTCC), applying GEFF would only introduce a slight improvement, as only a limited amount of query samples will be added to the original gallery. That said, we believe our assumption holds for many real-world scenarios and as such can introduce a significant improvement when applied to ReID models, as we showed on multiple datasets.

Additionally, to apply our work to real-world applications we use a tracking module to extract person tracks. Therefore, we inherit all the tracker’s limitations, such as missed detection and mid-track identity switches. We use the tracking module without applying any changes to it, thus we do not deal with these potential tracking mistakes.

Finally, the proposed 42Street dataset does not include a training set with a separate identity set, as the number of identities in the data was limited. Hence, it should be used for evaluation purposes only. Moreover, the dataset does not conform to the standard CC-ReID dataset settings, as it does not provide clothes and camera ids labels. However, we note that the gallery and query samples are taken from different (non-overlapping) parts of the play, captured with dynamic camera settings (various scene cuts, angles, and scales), and multiple clothes sets per identity. Therefore, we find this dataset a valid CC-ReID benchmark and an important contribution to the field, especially since the number of publicly available video CC-ReID datasets is limited.

9. Conclusion

In this work, we show a simple yet effective approach to address the clothes-changing ReID challenge by creating an enriched gallery from the given query and gallery samples. By applying GEFF on existing ReID models, new SOTA results are achieved, both on the existing clothes-changing ReID benchmarks and on the real-world clothes-changing dataset we publish, 42Street. Furthermore, we showed that by using GEFF, the generalization ability of existing ReID models increases, without requiring any further training.
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