Conserved properties of dendritic trees in four cortical interneuron subtypes
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Dendritic trees influence synaptic integration and neuronal excitability, yet appear to develop in rather arbitrary patterns. Using electron microscopy and serial reconstructions, we analyzed the dendritic trees of four morphologically distinct neocortical interneuron subtypes to reveal two underlying organizational principles common to all. First, cross-sectional areas at any given point within a dendrite were proportional to the summed length of all dendritic segments distal to that point. Consistent with this observation, total cross-sectional area was almost perfectly conserved at bifurcation points. Second, dendritic cross-sections became progressively more elliptical at more proximal, larger diameter, dendritic locations. Finally, computer simulations revealed that these conserved morphological features limit distance dependent filtering of somatic EPSPs and facilitate distribution of somatic depolarization into all dendritic compartments. Because these features were shared by all interneurons studied, they may represent common organizational principles underlying the otherwise diverse morphology of dendritic trees.

The morphologies of dendrites directly influence synaptic integration and neuronal excitability. The passive properties of dendrites can present barriers to effective propagation of voltage signals from distal synaptic locations to the soma and axon, where action potentials are generally initiated. The intrinsic conductance and capacitance of dendritic membrane attenuates and broadens synaptic potentials in a distance-dependent manner that is exacerbated by the asymmetry of tapering dendrites1–5. However, tapering dendrites also have progressively decreasing diameters and surface areas, which will increase the local input impedance and reduce local capacitance at more distal dendritic locations2,3. By generating fast and large local synaptic responses at distal locations, tapering dendrites limit the functional impact of voltage attenuation in dendritic trees, a process sometimes referred to as “passive normalization,” and optimize the conduction of synaptic currents within dendrites. The structure of dendritic trees also influences the excitability of neurons and the pattern of action potential output6–9, even when dendritic trees have the same total dendritic length and surface area10,11. By influencing the transfer of current between soma and dendrites, the geometry of dendritic branch points can regulate the impact of variable dendritic topology on synaptic integration and excitability12.

Dendritic trees come in many shapes and sizes. Although similarities in dendritic morphology is often used to classify neurons into discrete subtypes, no two dendritic trees are exactly alike: individual dendritic segments appear tortuous and rather arbitrary in the locations of branch points. We asked whether there are common principles underlying the morphologies of dendritic trees in cortical GABAergic interneurons. Because light microscopy lacks the resolution to accurately measure dendritic dimensions at the sub μm level, we combined light microscopy and reconstructions of serial electron microscopy to compare dendritic dimension and shape in four neocortical nonpyramidal cell subtypes13–16. Our data reveal several conserved morphological characteristics of dendritic trees common to all subtypes examined that limit distance dependent filtering of somatic EPSPs and facilitate distribution of somatic depolarization into dendritic compartments. These features may represent common organizational principles underlying the otherwise diverse morphology of dendritic trees.
**Results**

Neurolucida reconstructions of biocytin-filled nonpyramidal neurons from slice preparations of rat frontal cortex were used to analyze the arborization of dendritic trees from a Martinotti (MA) cell, a fast-spiking basket cell (FS), a double-bouquet (DB) cell, and a large basket (LB) cell (Fig. 1a). Physiological properties of these neurons are shown in Supplementary Table 1. Dendritic arborizations were reconstructed three dimensionally by Neurolucida (reconstructions available at NeuroMorpho.Org, http://neuromorpho.org/neuroMorpho/index.jsp). These cells were selected because of their mostly intact dendritic trees (Fig. 1b) within the 300 μm thick slices of tissue. Eighty-five dendritic segments (red circles on dendrograms in Fig. 1b, mean length 16.8 ± 6.8 μm) were selected at ~50 μm intervals along dendritic branches lacking severed endings. Some dendritic segments included bifurcation points. Dendritic segments were serially sectioned, observed under electron microscopy (EM), and reconstructed into 3D morphologies (Fig. 1c, d, see Supplementary Fig. 1 online).

**Figure 1 | Dendritic structures of neocortical cells representing 4 nonpyramidal neuron subtypes.** (a) Morphology of the neuron. Axons are shown in red, dendrites and somata are shown in black. The MA cell extends its axonal arbors into layer I, whereas the FS cell has a horizontally elongated axonal arborization. The DB cell has a descending narrow axonal arborization, and the LB cell has a large soma and basket terminals. (b) Dendrograms of the same neurons. Red circles indicate segments processed for 3D reconstruction from successive EM sections. Asterisks indicate cut ends of dendrites. Dendritic trees are labeled (M1-M4, F1-F9, D1-D5, L1-L5) and referenced in later figures. Ultrastructure images for segment p, m, d of Martinotti cell are shown in Figure 3 g–i, respectively. (c) Ultrastructure showing dendrite (Den) and spine head (Sp) of the MA cell, which correspond with the dendritic segment indicated by arrow head in (a) and (b). White arrows indicate synapses occurring on those structures. (d) Multi-focus photograph of the dendrites indicated by arrowheads in (a) and (b), along with reconstructed dendrites made from successive EM images of the same dendrite segments. Gray arrow indicates the spine and dendrite shown in (c).
To explore how dendrites taper with distance, we first investigated the relationship between dendrite cross-sectional area and distance from the soma (Fig. 2a, upper panels). Cross-sectional areas were calculated by dividing the volume of a dendritic cylinder by its length (0.18 – 2.7 μm), where no spines were attached, to generate an average value for the cylinder. The average circumference of the dendrite was calculated by dividing the surface area of the dendritic cylinder by its length. These methods reduced potential variability when choosing 2-dimensional cross-sectional planes. Proximal dendritic sections varied in cross-sectional areas (0.1 – 2.2 μm²), while all distal dendritic segments had small cross-sectional areas (~ 0.5 μm²). Dendritic thickness steeply tapered over the first 50 μm of dendrite, where bifurcations were frequently found (Fig. 1b, 2a). Toward the distal end of dendritic branches, branching was infrequent and tapering became less extreme, but remained significant. Trajectory lines drawn between sequential dendritic segments (Fig. 2a, lower panels) revealed that parent dendrites bifurcated into two smaller daughter branches of different sizes. Smaller branches occurring after initial bifurcations at proximal locations fully accounted for all of the small cross-sectional dendrites located near the soma. These thin proximal dendrites extended for only short distances and typically ended without additional bifurcations, whereas thicker proximal daughter dendrites extended for long distances and had frequent additional bifurcations. While dendrite cross-sectional areas varied across cells and within individual cells, remarkably, for any dendritic location, the cross-sectional area was well correlated with the total dendritic length expanding distally from the point of reference (Fig. 2b, see also Supplementary Fig. 2 online). For this analysis, we used only reconstructed segments having natural, non-severed endings.

Our reconstructions revealed that dendritic cross-sections do not approximate circles, but rather are irregular ellipses. We quantified this feature using an “ellipticity index” determined by dividing the cross-sectional area of the reconstructed dendrite by the area of a true circle having the same circumference, where the index of true circle is 1 and that of line is 0. Across all neurons, dendritic cross-sections had a mean ellipticity index of 0.64 ± 0.15 (range: 0.33 – 0.95, n = 163) (Fig. 3). FS cell dendrites were slightly, but significantly, flatter than those of double bouquet cell (p = 0.029, Fig. 3c, Table 1). In dendrites from all neurons, smaller and more distal dendrites tended to be more circular than more proximal, larger dendrites (Fig. 3a). Interestingly, we found a linear relationship between cross-sectional area and circumference in all neurons studied (Fig. 3e), suggesting the dendritic volume and surface area decrease at a constant rate with distance from the soma, which would not be true for dendrites with circular cross-sections. As shown in Figure 3f, the surface area to volume ratio is relatively uniform at dendritic locations more distal than 30–40 μm from the soma. At more proximal locations, surface area to volume becomes smaller. However proximal dendritic segments tend to be filled with greater

Figure 2 | Dendritic dimensions of nonpyramidal cell subtypes. (a) upper panel: The cross-sectional areas of dendritic segments plotted against their distances from the soma. Large dendrites are frequently found near somata, whereas only small dendrites are observed at distal locations. Some small dendrites were also found in proximal locations. lower panel: cross-sectional areas of dendritic segments shown with trajectory lines for some trees (M2, M3, F4 – F6, D1, D2, L2 – L4). At the dendritic bifurcations, the daughter branches split into larger (black circle) and smaller (white circle) segments. (b) For all nonpyramidal cells tested, dendritic cross-sectional areas were well correlated with the total dendritic length distal from the point of reference.
Figure 3 | The cross-sections of nonpyramidal cell dendrites are irregular ellipses. (a) Ellipticity Index plotted against cross-sectional area for dendritic segments in each of the four neurons studied. Ellipticity indices were generally between 0.4 and 0.9 in most dendrites. Proximal (thicker) dendrites tended to be flatter than distal (thinner) dendrites. The index of a circle is 1 and that of a line is 0. (b) Reconstructed image of a dendrite segment of the Martinotti cell. The cross-section is an ellipse. (c) Average ellipticity index of the FS cell is smaller than those of the double bouquet cell (*Tukey, $p=0.029$). MA, Martinotti cell; FS, FS basket cell; DB, double bouquet cell; LB, large basket cell. (d) Rotating 3D image of the elliptical dendritic segment of the large basket cell. The apparent thickness varies greatly when viewed from different angles (arrows), and pronounced diameter fluctuations are apparent along the segment length when viewed from a single point of view, as would occur under light microscopy. (e) Cross-sectional areas plotted against perimeter of the cross-section showing a strong linear correlation between the two variables. Line of true circle (red dotted curve) and liner fit line are shown. The larger
dendrites are located below the curve of true circle, although the smaller dendrites are tend to be on or quite near the curve. It suggested the smaller dendrites tended to be true circle, but the larger ones were rather elliptical in their cross section. (f) Surface-area-to-volume ratios plotted against distance from soma showing constant especially from 30 – 40 \( \mu m \) from soma or more distal. (g–i) Ultrastructure of Martinotti cell dendrites shown as \( p, m, d \) in dendrogram of Figure 1b. Note that the proximal dendrite (g) contains many organelles, while the middle (h) and distal dendrites (i) contain only a small number of organelles. Scale bar, 1 \( \mu m \).

amounts of intracellular membrane-bound compartments (Fig. 3g–i; e.g., mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum, Golgi apparatuses, etc.), suggesting that our measurements may disproportionately underestimate surface area to cytoplasmic volume ratios in these proximal locations.

Our reconstructions also revealed that dendrites twist as they extend outward, a feature that may account for the perceived diameter fluctuations of dendrites when they are observed under light microscopy (Fig. 3d and see Supplementary Video 1 online). For this reason, measurements of dendritic diameters using light microscopes may not be reliable\(^{38}\).

In order to facilitate computational modeling of voltage signals in dendrites, Rall described a special case in which dendritic branches have uniform input conductance between parent and daughter dendrites. Rall’s so called “three-halves power law,” which allows dendritic trees with uniform electrotonic distances at terminal branches to be modeled as simple cables\(^{3,19,20}\). While some attempts have been made to measure the diameter of parent and daughter branches using light microscopy, it is still controversial whether branch points in real neurons follow precise rules, such as Rall’s 3/2 power law\(^{21–24}\). We analyzed EM 3D-reconstructions of dendritic branch points (Fig. 4a) to directly address this issue, and found that the cross-sectional area of parent dendrites matched well with the summed cross-sectional areas of the two daughter branches (Fig. 4b). To quantify this relationship, we calculated a cross-sectional area “matching index” by dividing the summed dendritic cross-sectional area of the two daughter branches by the cross-sectional area of the parent dendrite (Table 2). We found that the majority of branches in all cell types had near-perfect matching of parent-to-daughter cross-sectional areas. Matching cross-sectional areas might allow a constant number of microtubules to transit through bifurcation points toward distal dendrites (Fig. 4c), which could optimize transport mechanisms in dendritic trees. Indeed, using serial SEM images having 7 or 10 nm \( z \) steps, we found that the number of microtubules in dendritic segments is linearly correlated with their cross-sectional areas (Fig. 5a–f, Supplementary Video 2). The microtubules in the mother dendrite were found to run continuously into one of the two daughter dendrites (Fig. 5k, l, Supplementary Video 3). Thus the total number of microtubules was almost identical in parent and daughter branches. These results suggest that the conservation of cross-sectional area at branch points may function to maintain a consistent number of microtubules in parent and daughter branches to facilitate microtubule-dependent transport into dendritic trees.

Rall’s computational simplification of bifurcation points is known as the “3/2 power law.”\(^{39}\) We evaluated conductance-matching at dendritic bifurcations in the nonpyramidal neurons using the formula

\[
\sqrt{c_p \times a_p} = \sqrt{c_{d1} \times a_{d1}} + \sqrt{c_{d2} \times a_{d2}}
\]

where \( c \) is the circumference and \( a \) is the cross-sectional area of the dendrite, with \( p \) indicating parent dendrite and \( d1 \) and \( d2 \) being daughter dendrites (see Methods). We found that the majority of branches had near-perfect matching of parent-to-daughter \( \sqrt{c \times a} \), which, at least for cortical interneurons, substantiates the original assumptions Rall used for simplification in his computational models (Fig. 4d). To quantify this relationship, we calculated the conductance-matching index by dividing the summed dendritic \( \sqrt{c \times a} \) of the two daughter branches by the \( \sqrt{c \times a} \) of the parent dendrite (Table 2). Matching the conductance of parent and daughter branches may compensate for the impact of variable dendritic topology on synaptic integration and spike generation\(^{39}\).

We were surprised that branch points in cortical nonpyramidal neurons showed near-perfect matching of parent-to-daughter cross-sectional areas as well as conductance-matching. To compare the two relationships, we plotted the two formulas

\[
d_p = a_{d1} + a_{d2}
\]

\[
r_p^{3/2} = r_{d1}^{3/2} + r_{d2}^{3/2}
\]

on a single graph to identify those values that satisfy both formulas simultaneously. To plot both relationships, we transformed equation (3) into a form using \( E \) (ellipticity index) and \( a \) (cross sectional area) (see Methods),

\[
\left( \frac{a_p}{E_p} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = \left( \frac{a_{d1}}{E_{d1}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \left( \frac{a_{d2}}{E_{d2}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}
\]

Using our measurements for the cross-sectional areas of the parent dendrites, we plotted the range of possible solutions that allow for matching of cross-sectional areas and conductance for daughter dendrites. We found that for the dimensions typical of dendrites, these

| Table 1 | Summary of morphological properties of nonpyramidal cells |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | Martinotti | FS basket | double bouquet | large basket |
| segment # | 15 | 14 | 29 | 27 |
| reconstructed length (\( \mu m \)) | 242 | 245 | 517 | 423 |
| total dendrite length (\( \mu m \)) | 3421 | 2928 | 3970 | 3532 |
| analyzed % in length | 7.1 | 8.4 | 13.0 | 12.0 |
| segment # for ellipticity index | 30 | 30 | 50 | 53 |
| ellipticity index | 0.65 ± 0.11 | 0.57 ± 0.13 | 0.66 ± 0.14 | 0.65 ± 0.16 |
| ellipticity index range | 0.42 – 0.88 | 0.33 – 0.84 | 0.36 – 0.94 | 0.33 – 0.95 |
| segment of branching point # | 7 | 7 | 11 | 10 |
| minimum distance to cross sectional area matching line (\( \mu m^2 \)) | 0.10 ± 0.12 | 0.04 ± 0.03 | 0.06 ± 0.07 | 0.22 ± 0.16 |
| minimum distance to conductance-matching arc (\( \mu m^2 \)) | 0.17 ± 0.10 | 0.06 ± 0.03 | 0.08 ± 0.03 | 0.20 ± 0.16 |
two relationships are quite similar to each other, and that values for daughter dendrites consistently fell near the predicted ranges for both relationships (Fig. 4e, Table 1). We measured the minimum distance between data obtained from the 3D reconstructed daughter branches, and compared them with the values predicted for matching of cross-sectional area and conductance. We found that dendritic branching in the large basket cell fell closer to the expected values calculated for cross-sectional area matching, but
that data for other cell types did not show significant preference for either concept ($p > 0.05$, Fig. 4e). Thus, branch points of cortical nonpyramidal cells tend to conserve both cross-sectional area and conductance-matching.

To test whether there might be a functional significance of having dendritic bifurcations that follow Rall’s “3/2 power law,” we simulated synaptic input in computational models of reconstructed neuron morphologies (“real morphology” models; available at ModelDB: https://senselab.med.yale.edu/modeldb, model number 140299) and morphologies modified to eliminate branch point conductance-matching (“conductance-mismatch” models) (Supplementary Fig. 3 and see Methods). We stimulated dendrites with a synaptic current (alpha function, 2 nS) at different locations in the dendritic tree (10 μm intervals). In morphologically realistic model neurons, the peak somatic depolarization resulting from excitatory post synaptic potentials (EPSP) (Fig. 6a) showed limited synapse location-dependent variability (black line near bottom in Fig. 6c, and colored lines in Fig. 6d), while local EPSPs at the site of synaptic

| AC index cell type | EM 3D image | n | Real Mor. | C. Mismatch | EM 3D image | n | Real Mor. | C. Mismatch |
|-------------------|-------------|---|-----------|-------------|-------------|---|-----------|-------------|
| Martinotti Average | 7 | 0.93 ± 0.15 | 18 | 1.00 ± 0.11 | 0.94 ± 0.25 | 7 | 1.12 ± 0.17 | 18 | 1.22 ± 0.15 | 1.14 ± 0.22 |
| FS basket Average | 7 | 1.08 ± 0.29 | 16 | 1.20 ± 0.23 | 1.27 ± 0.39 | 7 | 1.24 ± 0.21 | 16 | 1.37 ± 0.19 | 1.35 ± 0.26 |
| double Average | 11 | 1.07 ± 0.21 | 26 | 1.32 ± 0.30 | 1.07 ± 0.21 | 11 | 1.21 ± 0.17 | 26 | 1.45 ± 0.26 | 1.44 ± 0.35 |
| large Average | 10 | 1.03 ± 0.31 | 25 | 1.13 ± 0.23 | 1.12 ± 0.37 | 10 | 1.20 ± 0.29 | 25 | 1.3 ± 0.22 | 1.24 ± 0.31 |
| basket range | 0.50 – 1.56 | 0.50 – 1.56 | 0.40 – 1.89 | 0.63 – 1.60 | 0.63 – 1.65 | 0.53 – 1.76 |

Real Mor.: real morphology model cell; C. Mismatch: conductance-mismatch model cell.

Figure 5 | Microtubule distribution at dendritic branch points. (a) The number of microtubules is linearly correlated with dendritic cross sectional areas. Black circles indicate spiny dendrites and white circles indicate aspiny dendrites. (b – f) Serial FIB/SEM images of a dendrite (10 nm pitch, 5 nm lateral resolution). The same microtubules can be traced from section to section easily. Scale bar, 0.5 μm. (g – j) Serial FIB/SEM images of a bifurcated dendrite (300 nm pitch, 5 nm lateral resolution). The mother dendrite (g) gradually changes shape (h, i) and bifurcates into two daughter dendrites (arrows, (j)). Scale bar, 1 μm. k, A 3D reconstruction of the bifurcated dendrite shown in (g – j). (l) Traces of the microtubules observed in the bifurcated dendrite shown in (k). Microtubules present in the mother dendrite run into one of the two daughter dendrites.
Figure 6 | Functional significance of branch point geometry. (a–f) Attenuation of synaptic responses in dendrites. (a, b) Somatic (a) and local (b) responses to synaptic currents generated in different parts of the dendritic trees in “real morphology” model cells. Inset shows the injected alpha function synapse current (2 nS). (c) Plots of peak of somatic (black) and local synaptic depolarization (color-coded as dendrogram shown in h) in response to
synaptic input to various parts of the dendrite of the real morphology model cells. (d) Peak somatic depolarization as shown in (c) but at a larger scale. (e) Plots of peak depolarization at the soma (black) and at the site of synaptic input (color-coded as in h) for synapses occurring in the dendrites of the "conductance-mismatch" models. (f) Peak somatic depolarization as shown in (e) but at a larger scale. Deviations among different branches are larger than the real model cells (d). (g) Plots of the coefficients of variation (CV) of peak somatic depolarization in response to synaptic input to dendritic compartments at a given distance from the soma for the models exhibited in (d) and (f). CVs in the real morphology model cell (blue circles) are very low across the entire dendritic length, while CVs in the conductance mismatch model cell (red circles) increase with distance from soma. (h) Color-coded dendrogram of the model cells used in simulations.

input (Fig. 6b) were highly variable and location-dependent (colored lines in Fig. 6c). In conductance-mismatch models, in which branch points do not show conductance-matching, there was larger variability in peak somatic EPSP amplitudes (black line near bottom in Fig. 6e, and colored lines in Fig. 6f) as quantified by the coefficients of variation for somatic EPSP amplitudes (Fig. 6g). We next examined passive invasion of steady-state somatic depolarization into dendritic trees. Somatic current injection (40 pA) provided a more uniform depolarization of dendritic branches in neurons having morphologically authentic branch points, with less variation in peak depolarization across locations in the dendritic tree (Fig. 7a). In contrast, somatic current injection generated variable depolarization of dendrites in the conductance mismatch models (Fig. 7b), with the magnitude of variability increasing with distance from the soma (Fig. 7c).

Lastly, we investigated the relationship between somatic size and dendritic trees in the morphologically realistic models. We found a linear relationship between somatic and dendritic volume and between somatic and dendritic surface area among the four neuron subtypes (Fig. 7d, e, Table 3). Somatic volumes were about 1.4 times larger than total dendritic volumes, while somatic surface areas were about 7% of total dendritic surface areas. These data are consistent
with the hypothesis that larger somata, containing more intracellular organelles such as ribosomes, may be required to support larger and more elaborate dendritic trees.

**Discussion**

Our results reveal several features of dendritic structure that underlie the otherwise diverse and variable morphologies of dendritic trees. First, dendritic cross-sectional areas were found to be proportional to the total lengths of all distal dendritic segments, regardless of the number of distal branch points. Second, nonpyramidal neuron dendrites were found to be elliptical, rather than circular, with the degree of ellipticity decreasing with dendritic size and increasing with distance from the soma. This feature tends to limit the variability of surface-area to volume ratios along dendrites. Finally, we found branch points conserve the total cross-sectional area of the parent dendrite. The close match between parent and daughter dendrite cross-sectional area may optimize microtubule-dependent transport within dendrites, and may promote efficient electrical distribution of somatic depolarization into dendritic branches, a process that can reduce the influence of dendritic topology on neuron excitability\(^{10,11}\). This morphological property also optimized the fidelity of EPSP propagation to the soma. In addition, we found that, across all neuron subtypes, somatic volume and surface areas are predictive of total dendritic volumes and surface areas. While our results were obtained from the neurons of adolescent rats, we found similar results in immunohistochemically stained dendrites of nonpyramidal cells in the cortex of adult rats fixed in vivo (22 segments, data not shown, see Immunohistochemistry in Methods.).

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | length µm | % | surface area µm² | % | in dendrite | volume µm³ | % | in dendrite |
| M1 | 1292.0 | 33.5% | 2894.2 | 30.5% | 32.7% | 279.1 | 11.2% | 28.9% |
| M2 | 831.7 | 21.6% | 1729.8 | 18.3% | 19.5% | 168.6 | 7.5% | 17.5% |
| M3 | 690.4 | 17.9% | 1778.7 | 18.8% | 20.1% | 231.8 | 13.3% | 24.0% |
| M4* | 1040.2 | 27.0% | 2461.1 | 26.0% | 27.8% | 285.6 | 11.5% | 29.6% |
| MA soma total | 3854.4 | 100.0% | 8863.7 | 93.6% | 100.0% | 965.1 | 43.5% | 100.0% |
| total | 17.9** | 610.1 | 6.4% | 1406.4 | 56.5% |
| MA tree average | 963.6 | 25.0% | 2215.9 | 24.3 |
| SD | 261.9 | 6.8% | 562.0 | 54.1 |
| F1 | 250.8 | 8.2% | 447.0 | 15.8% | 26.2% | 142.9 | 5.3% | 14.2% |
| F2 | 137.0 | 4.5% | 240.9 | 12.1% | 14.2% | 116.8 | 4.1% | 11.7% |
| F3 | 373.6 | 12.2% | 748.0 | 30.9% | 31.3% | 211.6 | 7.3% | 7.3% |
| F4 | 493.2 | 16.1% | 977.0 | 38.3% | 38.3% | 283.6 | 10.4% | 10.4% |
| F5 | 690.4 | 22.5% | 1270.6 | 51.7% | 51.7% | 312.6 | 11.2% | 11.2% |
| F6 | 496.2 | 16.2% | 934.7 | 35.6% | 35.6% | 203.6 | 7.0% | 7.0% |
| F7 | 108.2 | 3.5% | 192.3 | 7.0% | 7.0% | 92.9 | 4.0% | 4.0% |
| F8 | 201.4 | 6.6% | 365.2 | 13.2% | 13.2% | 135.2 | 4.7% | 4.7% |
| F9* | 316.6 | 10.3% | 588.5 | 21.6% | 21.6% | 270.5 | 9.4% | 9.4% |
| dendrite total | 3067.5 | 100.0% | 5764.3 | 93.5% | 100.0% | 462.8 | 38.1% | 100.0% |
| soma | 11.8** | 401.0 | 6.5% | 751.5 | 61.9% |
| total | 340.8 | 11.1% | 640.5 | 51.4 |
| F1 | 191.8 | 6.3% | 368.2 | 30.9 |
| D1 | 2255.7 | 43.3% | 4061.9 | 40.4% | 42.9% | 414.4 | 18.2% | 42.9% |
| D2 | 230.6 | 4.4% | 397.4 | 4.0% | 4.2% | 39.5 | 1.7% | 1.7% |
| D3 | 498.0 | 9.6% | 904.7 | 9.0% | 9.6% | 89.2 | 3.9% | 9.2% |
| D4* | 50.6 | 1.0% | 80.0 | 0.8% | 0.8% | 8.6 | 0.4% | 0.3% |
| DB soma total | 5204.7 | 100.0% | 9458.5 | 94.1% | 100.0% | 965.6 | 42.3% | 100.0% |
| total | 17.9** | 597.7 | 5.9% | 1316.8 | 57.7% |
| total | 10056.2 | 100.0% | 2282.5 | 100.0% |
| tree average | 1040.9 | 20.0% | 1891.7 | 193.1 |
| SD | 1081.9 | 20.8% | 1981.5 | 204.8 |
| L1 | 926.1 | 21.6% | 1597.1 | 18.7% | 20.1% | 151.9 | 8.4% | 19.5% |
| L2 | 850.3 | 19.8% | 1480.5 | 17.4% | 18.7% | 142.4 | 7.9% | 18.3% |
| L3 | 1109.8 | 25.9% | 2334.1 | 27.4% | 29.4% | 245.5 | 11.6% | 31.5% |
| L4* | 25.8 | 0.6% | 31.3 | 0.4% | 0.4% | 9.6 | 0.4% | 0.4% |
| L5 | 1375.2 | 32.1% | 2488.4 | 29.2% | 31.4% | 237.7 | 13.1% | 30.5% |
| dendrite total | 4287.1 | 100.0% | 7931.3 | 93.1% | 100.0% | 778.9 | 43.0% | 100.0% |
| soma | 26.7** | 588.5 | 6.9% | 1030.8 | 57.0% |
| total | 8519.9 | 100.0% | 1809.7 | 100.0% |
| tree average | 857.4 | 20.0% | 1586.3 | 155.8 |
| SD | 507.0 | 11.8% | 975.0 | 98.5 |
| LB dendrite total | 4103.4 | 93.6% | 8004.4 | 93.6% | 979.3 | 41.7% |
| soma | 4103.4 | 93.6% | 8004.4 | 93.6% |
| total | 891.0 | 20.0% | 1620.3 | 40.4% | 237.1 | 5.9% |
| SD | 549.1 | 6.4% | 1126.4 | 58.3% |
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The linear relationship between the cross-sectional area and the total dendritic length of all dendrites distal to that point indicates that dendrites taper smoothly, independent of branch points, a morphological feature that may facilitate efficient intracellular transport into dendritic trees by allowing an initially large number of microtubules near the base of the dendrite to course continuously from the soma to all distal dendritic endpoints. The conservation of total cross-sectional area at branch points is consistent with this hypothesis in allowing even distribution of trafficked molecules into the dendritic tree. Indeed, in two interneuron branch points examined for microtubule content, we found that the total number of microtubules in daughter branches was almost identical to the number found in the parent dendrite. The irregular elliptical shape of dendritic cross-sections may provide more efficient packing of neuropil within small volumes of space, especially given the presence of fibers coursing in all directions (vertically, horizontally, and radially) through the tissue. Additional studies of neuron packing efficiency are needed to determine if elliptic dendritic segments are indeed advantageous for neuropil organization. Alternatively, elliptical cross-sections at proximal locations will tend to preserve surface area to volume ratios along dendrites. Indeed, we found that dendrites have a fairly constant surface area to volume ratio at locations more than 30–40 μm from soma. This may benefit neurons by normalizing gas exchange and molecular diffusion into compartments having different diameters. It would also tend to normalize cytosolic ion concentrations following activation of ion channels uniformly distributed in the dendritic membrane. For instance, it could allow given density of calcium channels to produce a standardized intracellular calcium concentration, independent of dendritic location, to preserve the fidelity of Ca2+ protein interactions.

Changes in dendritic morphology are well documented in neurodegenerative diseases. Our data suggest that, in healthy neurons, dendritic structure is more precisely regulated than might be guessed given the diversity of dendritic tree morphologies. It will be important for future work to assess the detailed morphology of dendrites in pathological tissue to test if alterations in dendritic tapering and branch point uniformity might participate in generating the cognitive deficits associated with disease.

Together, our data from four different nonpyramidal cell subtypes reveal morphological features of dendritic trees that appear well suited to allow the uniform retrograde distribution of molecules and electrical signals into dendritic trees, while at the same time, enhancing the anterograde synaptic signal conduction and limiting the effect of dendritic topology on action potential output. Because these features are conserved across cortical nonpyramidal cell subtypes that differ greatly in sizes, shape, and functions within cortical circuits, it is likely that these features of dendrites are common to the dendrites of many neuron types throughout the brain.

Methods
Slices recording. Sections of frontal cortex of young Wistar rats (19–23 days postnatal) were cut to a thickness of 300 μm in oblique horizontal cutting plane and immersed in a buffered solution containing (in mM) NaCl, 124.0; KCl, 3.0; CaCl2, 2.4; MgCl2, 1.2; NaH2CO3, 26.6; NaHPO4, 1.0; and glucose, 10.0, aerated with a mixture of 95% O2 and 5% CO2. Cortical cells were targeted for whole-cell recording on a fixed stage at 30–31 °C using a 40x water immersion objective. The electrode solution consisted of (in mM): potassium methylsulfate, 120; KCl, 5.0; EGTA, 0.5; MgCl2, 1.7; Na2ATP, 4.0; NaGTP, 0.3; HEPES, 8.5; and bicytin, 17.

Histology. Tissue slices were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, 1.25 or 0.05% glutaraldehyde, and 0.2% picric acid in a 0.1 M phosphate-buffered (PB) solution and sectioned into 50 μm thick slices. Slices were incubated with avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (VECTASTAIN Elite ABC Kit PK-6100, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, U.S.A.), or calretinin (monoclonal; Chemicon, Temecula, CA, U.S.A., MAB1568; 1:2500) diluted in TBS buffer (pH 7.4) (PB), followed by 30% sucrose and 10% glycerol in PB for 2 hours, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and re-sectioned into 90 nm thick sections. The sections were rehydrated in 0.1% sodium borohydride for 30 minutes and at 0.05 M Tris-buffered saline (TBS) containing 1% H2O2 for 30 minutes before incubation with primary antiserum against either somatostatin developed in rabbit (generous gifts from Dr. R. Benoit, mixture of mixture [1:1000] and S320 [1:4000]), parvalbumin developed in mouse (I:4000, P3171, Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, U.S.A.), or calretinin developed in mouse (monoclonal; Chemicon, Temecula, CA, U.S.A., MAB1568; 1:2500) diluted in TBS containing 10% normal goat serum and 2% bovine serum albumin overnight at 4 °C. The sections were then incubated in biotin-conjugated secondary antiserum (1:2000, BA-1000 or BA-2000, Vector Laboratories) followed by the ABC (Vector Laboratories), and staining with biotin-avidin complexes (VECTASTAIN Elite ABC Kit PK-6100, Vector Laboratories), and staining with diaminobenzidine (DAB) with nickel (0.02% DAB, 0.3% nickel in 0.05 M Tris HCl buffer). The stained sections were post-fixed for 40 minutes in 1.5% potassium ferricyanide and 1% osmium tetroxide, followed by 1 hour in 1% osmium tetroxide alone and dehydrated in graded dilutions of ethanol with 1% uranyl acetate added at the 70% ethanol dehydration state. After flat-embedding in Epon, the sections were observed and photographed under the light microscope as described under ‘Histology’. Then slices were serially re-sectioned at a thickness of 90 nm using the ultramicrotome. The labeled dendrites and associated structures were photographed and digitized from EM negatives using a scanner (GT-9800F, Epson, Suwa, Japan). The structures were reconstructed using the 3D reconstruction software, Reconstruct; http://synapses.clm.utexas.edu/tools/index.stm.

FIB/SEM image capture (Microtubule analysis). Two male Wistar rats (8 weeks of age, 240g) were anesthetized with an overdose of Nembutal and perfused through the heart with 10 ml of a solution of 250 mM sucrose, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.02 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) followed by 30% sucrose solution to body temperature preserved the structure of microtubules. Brains were then removed and oblique horizontal sections (50 μm thick) of frontal cortex were cut on a vibrating microtome (Leica VT1200S, Nussloch, Germany). Tissue sections were dehydrated in 10% sucrose in PB, followed by 25% sucrose and 10% glycerol in PB for 2 hours, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and re-sectioned into 90 nm thick sections. The sections were then rehydrated in 0.1% sodium borohydride for 30 minutes and at 0.05 M Tris-buffered saline (TBS) containing 1% H2O2 for 30 minutes before incubation with primary antiserum against either somatostatin developed in rabbit (generous gifts from Dr. R. Benoit, mixture of mixture [1:1000] and S320 [1:4000]), parvalbumin developed in mouse (I:4000, P3171, Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, U.S.A.), or calretinin developed in mouse (monoclonal; Chemicon, Temecula, CA, U.S.A., MAB1568; 1:2500) diluted in TBS containing 10% normal goat serum and 2% bovine serum albumin overnight at 4 °C. The sections were then incubated in biotin-conjugated secondary antiserum (1:2000, BA-1000 or BA-2000, Vector Laboratories) followed by the ABC (Vector Laboratories), and staining with biotin-avidin complexes (VECTASTAIN Elite ABC Kit PK-6100, Vector Laboratories). The stained sections were post-fixed for 40 minutes in 1.5% potassium ferricyanide and 1% osmium tetroxide, followed by 1 hour in 1% osmium tetroxide alone and dehydrated in graded dilutions of ethanol with 1% uranyl acetate added at the 70% ethanol dehydration state. After flat-embedding in Epon, the sections were observed and photographed under the light microscope as described under ‘Histology’. Then slices were serially re-sectioned at a thickness of 90 nm using the ultramicrotome. The labeled dendrites and associated structures were photographed and digitized from EM negatives using a scanner (GT-9800F, Epson, Suwa, Japan). The structures were reconstructed using the 3D reconstruction software, Reconstruct; http://synapses.clm.utexas.edu/tools/index.stm.
Auriga 40 Crossbeam® (Carl Zeiss NTS GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany). In a combined EIB/SEM system, very site specific regions of interest near the surface of embedded tissue in epoxy can be directly cross-sectioned and imaged for three dimensional analysis. The z-resolution is given by FIB slice thickness which can be in the nanometer scale. Regions of interest were identified by SEM imaging the top surface of the block and by comparison with light microscopy images. The top of the regions was protected by ion beam induced deposition of platinum (25 um2×25 um area, 1 nA ion beam current, 900 sec deposition time). A view channel for SEM observation was coarse milled and the region was separated from the bulk by two side cuts using a 16 nA ion beam. With a 2 nA ion beam, the first coarse cross-sectioning was medium polished. Using a 600 pA ion beam probe, the surface of the cross-section was further fine polished and slices of 10 nm thickness were milled. After each slice, the milling was paused and the freshly exposed surface of the cross-section was imaged at 1.5 kV acceleration potential using the in-column energy selective back-scattered electron (EsB) detector. The retarding potential of the EsB detector was set to 58 mV (MA cell), -72 mV (FS cell), -62 mV (DB cell) and -63 mV (LB cell), values which reflect the experimentally determined average resting membrane potential of each of these neuron subtypes16,17. Synaptic currents were simulated as finite synapses (2 nS, τ = 1 ms) and injected in all dendritic compartments of the model cells. To model dendrites with elliptical cross sections using NEURON (which uses cylindrical cables), we first modeled each nonpyramidal neuron with tapering cylinders with cross sections matching the real morphology and then modified the leak conductance and membrane capacitance in each compartment such that they were equivalent to those estimated in our elliptical dendrites (Fig. 4e). The correction factors were calculated in the following steps.

1. We obtained functions from EM data by the linear regression that yield cross sectional area (S) and circumference (L) from summed length of distal dendrites (Ri), DB: S = 365.83×R + 122000, L = 15195×R + 1540.9
MA: S = 1508.7×R + 6958.1, L = 46152×R + 1511.3
FS: S = 831.89×R + 83164, L = 3266×R + 1600.4
LB: S = 890.59×R + 43202, L = 41012×R + 1161.2
2. Model diameters (D) were calculated with sectional area from EM or above functions if no EM data were available.
3. To let the circular models to be equivalent to ones that have elliptical morphology, we corrected passive leak conductance and membrane capacitance by multiplying them by a correction factor L/r(D) to make the two properties larger.

We also constructed “conductance mismatch” models of each neuron by making the diameters of smaller daughter dendrites 1.5 times larger than the original size (i.e. cross-sectional area become 2.25 times larger than the original), and the diameter of larger daughter dendrites half of the original sizes (i.e. the cross-sectional area became quarter size). The conductance-matching indexes of the conductance mismatch models had larger standard deviations and wider ranges than models having realistic morphologies, although the averages were similar (Table 2).

To measure the volume and surface area of somata and dendritic branches of the model cells, branches were assumed to be truncated cones in which volume (Vabc) is calculated with:

| \( V_{abc} = \frac{1}{3}π\times l (a^2 + ap + \sqrt{a^2 + ap^2}) \) |

Where \( l \) is length of the branch, \( a \) is cross-sectional area of the proximal side, \( ap \) is cross-sectional area of distal side. Surface area \( (S_{abc}) \) is calculated with:

| \( S_{abc} = \frac{1}{2}π\times l (a^2 + ap + \sqrt{a^2 + ap^2}) \) |

where \( c \) is the circumference of proximal side and \( cp \) is circumference of distal side. The soma was also assumed to be elliptical; prolate spheroid which volume \( (V_s) \) is calculated with:

| \( V_s = \frac{4}{3}\pi\times a\times b\times c \) |

where \( a \) is the equatorial radius (along the x and y axes) and \( b \) is the polar radius measured under light microscope. Surface area \( (S_s) \) is calculated with:

| \( S_s = 2\pi\times a\times b\times \sin(x) \) |

Ethics Statement. All experiments were conducted in compliance with the guidelines for animal experimentation of the Okazaki National Research Institutes.
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