Animal Husbandry Practices in Hill Farming Situation: Gender Role Analysis

Rakesh Roy¹*, Tista Mondal² and M. W. Moktan¹

¹Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Kalimpong-734301, Darjeeling, West Bengal, India
²Poultry Multiplication Centre, Animal Resources Development Department, GTA, Kalimpong-734301, Darjeeling, West Bengal, India

*Corresponding author

A B S T R A C T

Agriculture in general and livestock in particular are important to make women empowered by giving them employment. Research and experience confirm the importance of gender equity, not only as a fundamental human right, but also as essential to poverty reduction and improved living standard. The study was purposively conducted with an objective to assess gender role in animal husbandry practices in hill farming situation where involvement of the women in agriculture is higher than their counterpart. Data collection was done through personal interview from randomly selected 60 farm families. Both male and female members of the family were interviewed separately. Thus, forms a sample size of 120 respondents for the study. The study shows that women were highly involved in major animal husbandry practices such as breeding, feeding, management and animal healthcare practices. Mann Whitney U test shows highly significant difference in between men and women farmers in respect to involvement in major animal husbandry practices. The study further reveals that women role in economic activities like making decision to buying or selling and keeping money were considerably less compared to men in animal husbandry practices. Mann Whitney U test shows highly significant difference between men and women in relation to livestock marketing practices. Policy makers as well as the extension agencies working in hill region should focus more on women for dissemination of recent livestock technologies to enhance the production performance of animal husbandry sector in hill farming situation.
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Introduction

As per 2011 census, women workforce in agriculture and allied sectors is 98 million, which is 37 per cent of total wage workers. Research on women in agriculture shows that on an average women work for 15 to 16 hours a day and 4 to 5 hours more than their men counterparts (Singh et al., 2016). Agriculture in general and livestock in particular are important to make women empowered by giving them employment. India’s livestock sector is one of the largest in the world. It has 56.7% of world’s buffaloes, 12.5% cattle, 20.4% small ruminants, 2.4% camel, 1.4% equine, 1.5% pigs and 3.1% poultry. In 2010-
2011, livestock generated outputs worth Rs. 2075 billion (at 2004-05 prices) which comprised 4% of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and 26% of the agricultural GDP (GoI, 2012).

Out of 600 million rural poor of the world who keep livestock two third are women (FAO, 2012). In India also, livestock production is largely in the hands of women. Women constitute about 69% of workforce engaged in livestock sector (Patel et al., 2016). Most of the animal farming activities such as fodder collection, feeding, watering, and health care, management, milking and household-level processing, value addition and marketing are performed by women. Despite their considerable involvement and contribution, significant gender inequalities also exist in access to technologies, credit, information, inputs and services probably because of inequities in ownership of productive assets including land and livestock.

Livestock are the asset which can be easily owned by women and which have the potential to make contribution towards reduction in gender asset gap with in household (Kristjanson et al., 2010) and livestock often is the single asset for rural women which is owned and controlled by them and which serves as an important source of income and cash for them during the time of need (World Bank, 2009).

Further, rapidly increasing demand for livestock products creates opportunities for empowerment of women (Taneja, 2013). Gender balanced programs and projects have become an important goal for many public as well as private agencies (Schindler 2008). Therefore, there is a need to correct gender bias in livestock sector, veterinary education, research and service delivery systems as to enhance the effectiveness of women-oriented livestock development programs (GoI, 2012). Therefore, a study was taken with an objective to assess the role of women in relation to animal husbandry practices in hill farming situation.

Materials and Methods

Study location

The study was carried out among livestock farmers located in hills region of West Bengal.

Study design

The study was designed to assess gender role in animal husbandry activities in hill farming situation. The study was carried out during April 2014 to January 2015. One block each i.e. Darjeeling Pulbazar, Kalimpong II and Mirik was randomly selected from three hill sub-divisions of Darjeeling district and further 2 villages each were randomly selected from these three blocks. From each village, 10 farm families were randomly selected for data collection. Personal interview method through semi structures interview schedule was applied for data collection from both male and female members of the family separately. Thus, 120 respondents form the sample size of the study compounding 60 men and 60 women respondents.

Data analysis

Data was coded and entered into excel spreadsheets. Descriptive statistics were used to characterize respondents’ perceptions. Simple statistical analysis such as percentage was used. Further, non-parametric test like Mann Whitney U Test was performed using SPSS 20.0 software.

Results and Discussion

The study on gender role in animal husbandry practices were assessed as follows:
Gender Role in Livestock Breeding Practices

The study shows that livestock breeding practices in hill farming system were done by women farmers. Involvement of women in livestock breeding practices as perceived by both male and female ranged from 27.5 percent to 79.17 percent whereas involvement of men as perceived by both male and female ranged 20.83 percent to 72.5 percent in hill farming system (Table 1). Highest involvement of women was found in taking care and management of pregnant animals whereas men had highest involvement in calling of AI workers or bringing of breeder male. Mann Whitney U test shows highly significant difference between men and women farmers in respect to livestock breeding practices. Artificial insemination related activities were mainly done by male members of the family but taking care and management of the pregnant animals were done by female members of the family (Bishnoi et al., 2014).

Gender Role in Livestock Feeding Practices

The study reveals that livestock feeding practices in hill farming system were mainly done by women. Involvement of women in livestock feeding practices as perceived by both male and female ranged from 65 percent to 78.83 percent whereas involvement of men as perceived by both male and female was 21.67 percent to 35 percent (Table 2). Highest involvement of women was found in preparation of low cost concentrate feed whereas men had highest involvement in collecting of fodder. Mann Whitney U test shows a highly significant difference between men and women in respect to feeding practices. Cutting of fodder and feeding of feed and fodder to the animals were mainly done by the female members of the family (Singh et al., 2016; Bishnoi et al., 2014 and Poudel et al., 2009).

Gender Role in Livestock Management Practices

It was found that daily livestock management practices in hill farming situation were mainly done by women. Involvement of women related to livestock management practices as perceived by both male and female ranged from 15.83 percent to 76.67 percent whereas involvement of men as perceived by both male and female was 22.5 percent to 84.17 percent (Table 3).

Table 1 Comparative role analysis in livestock breeding practices as perceived by male and female respondents (n=120)

| Activities                                | Perception of Male (%) | Perception of Female (%) | Overall perception (%) | Mann Whitney U Test |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Detection of heat                         | Men: 28.33 Women: 71.67 | Men: 13.33 Women: 86.67  | Men: 20.83 Women: 79.17 | -9.018**            |
| Calling of AI workers or bringing of breeder male | Men: 80.00 Women: 20.00 | Men: 65.00 Women: 35.00  | Men: 72.50 Women: 27.50  | -6.957**            |
| Taking care at the time of mating         | Men: 76.67 Women: 23.33 | Men: 60.00 Women: 40.00  | Men: 68.33 Women: 31.67  | -5.669**            |
| Taking care after inseminating           | Men: 43.33 Women: 56.67 | Men: 35.00 Women: 65.00  | Men: 39.17 Women: 60.83  | -3.350**            |
| Care and management of pregnant animals  | Men: 18.33 Women: 81.67 | Men: 8.333 Women: 91.67  | Men: 13.33 Women: 86.67  | -11.337**           |

** Significant at p< 0.01 level
Table.2 Comparative role analysis in livestock feeding practices as perceived by male and female respondents (n=120)

| Activities                        | Perception of Male (%) | Perception of Female (%) | Overall perception (%) | Mann Whitney U Test |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
|                                   | Men        | Women       | Men        | Women       | Men        | Women       |                        |
| Collecting of fodder              | 41.67      | 58.33       | 28.33      | 71.67       | 35.00      | 65.00       | -4.638**               |
| Cutting of fodder                 | 36.67      | 63.33       | 23.33      | 76.67       | 30.00      | 70.00       | -6.184**               |
| Feeding of feed and fodder        | 36.67      | 63.33       | 21.67      | 78.33       | 29.17      | 70.83       | -8.503**               |
| Feeding of water                  | 36.67      | 63.33       | 21.67      | 78.33       | 29.17      | 70.83       | -9.018**               |
| Preparation of low cost concentrate feed | 26.67   | 73.33       | 16.67      | 83.33       | 21.67      | 78.33       | -8.760**               |

** Significant at p< 0.01 level

Table.3 Comparative role analysis in livestock management practices as perceived by male and female respondents (n=120)

| Activities                        | Perception of Male (%) | Perception of Female (%) | Overall perception (%) | Mann Whitney U Test |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
|                                   | Men        | Women       | Men        | Women       | Men        | Women       |                        |
| Construction of shed              | 86.67      | 13.33       | 81.67      | 18.33       | 84.17      | 15.83       | -10.564**              |
| Cleaning of shed                  | 31.67      | 68.33       | 15.00      | 85.00       | 23.33      | 76.67       | -8.245**               |
| Care and management of new born   | 25.00      | 75.00       | 20.00      | 80.00       | 22.50      | 77.50       | -8.503**               |
| Milking                           | 23.33      | 76.67       | 23.33      | 76.67       | 23.33      | 76.67       | -10.306**              |
| Disposal of waste                 | 31.67      | 68.33       | 20.00      | 80.00       | 25.83      | 74.17       | -7.472**               |

** Significant at p< 0.01 level

Table.4 Comparative role analysis in animal healthcare practices as perceived by male and female respondents (n=120)

| Activities                                | Perception of Male (%) | Perception of Female (%) | Overall perception (%) | Mann Whitney U Test |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
|                                           | Men        | Women       | Men        | Women       | Men        | Women       |                        |
| Calling of veterinarian                   | 26.67      | 73.33       | 40.00      | 60.00       | 33.33      | 66.67       | -5.153**               |
| Providing medicine                        | 33.33      | 66.67       | 35.00      | 65.00       | 34.17      | 65.83       | -4.896**               |
| Cleaning of wound                         | 36.67      | 63.33       | 28.33      | 71.67       | 32.50      | 67.50       | -5.411**               |
| Taking initiative for vaccination         | 40.00      | 60.00       | 31.67      | 68.33       | 35.83      | 64.17       | -4.380**               |
| Care and management of sick animals       | 28.33      | 71.67       | 25.00      | 75.00       | 26.67      | 73.33       | -7.214**               |
| Disposal of carcasses                     | 80.00      | 20.00       | 58.33      | 41.67       | 69.17      | 30.83       | -5.926**               |

** Significant at p< 0.01 level
Table 5 Comparative role analysis in livestock marketing practices as perceived by male and female respondents (n=120)

| Activities                                      | Perception of Male (%) | Perception of Female (%) | Overall perception (%) | Mann Whitney U Test |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
|                                                 | Men        | Women       | Men        | Women       | Men        | Women       |                        |
| Decision making to buy or sell animals or animal products | 70.00  | 30.00  | 81.67  | 18.33  | 75.83  | 24.17  | -7.987**                         |
| Marketing of animals or animal products          | 70.00  | 30.00  | 73.33  | 26.67  | 71.67  | 28.33  | -6.699**                         |
| Money kept after selling of animals or animal products | 65.00  | 35.00  | 66.67  | 33.33  | 65.83  | 34.17  | -4.896**                         |

** Significant at p < 0.01 level

Highest involvement of women was found in taking care and management of new born whereas men had highest involvement in construction of shed. Mann Whitney U test shows highly significant difference between men and women farmers in respect to livestock management practices. Activities like cleaning of shed, care and management of new born and milking were mainly done by female members (Singh et al., 2016; Bishnoi et al., 2014 and Poudel et al., 2009).

Gender Role in Animal Healthcare Practices

The study shows that animal healthcare practices in hill farming situation were mainly done by women. Involvement of women regarding activities related to animal healthcare practices as perceived by both male and female was ranged from 30.83 percent to 73.33 percent whereas involvement of men as perceived by both male and female was ranged 26.67 percent to 69.17 percent (Table 4). Highest involvement of women was found in taking care and management of sick animals whereas men had highest involvement in disposal of carcasses. Mann Whitney U test shows a highly significant difference between men and women in respect to animal healthcare practices. Engagement of the female member of the family was found higher than male members in animal care (Singh et al., 2016).

Gender Role in Livestock Marketing Practices

It was found that livestock marketing in hill farming situation were mainly done by men. Involvement of men in livestock marketing practices as perceived by both male and female were ranged from 65.83 percent to 75.83 percent whereas involvement of women as perceived by both male and female ranged from 24.17 percent to 34.17 percent (Table 5). Involvement of men was very high than female in all the aspect of marketing practices.

Only 34.17 percent women kept money after selling of animals or animal products as perceived by both male and female. Mann Whitney U test shows highly significant difference between men and women in respect to marketing practices. Engagement of the male member of the family was found higher than female members in marketing of the produce (Singh et al., 2016 and Poudel et al., 2009).
The study has shown that female members in the family were more engaged to various animal husbandry practices in hill farming system. Women were more involved in feeding, management and healthcare practices whereas as men were involved in making decision regarding marketing of animals or animal products and keeping the money for future use. Considering this study and similar past studies the policy makers and the extension agencies working in hill region should focus more on women for dissemination of recent livestock technologies to develop animal husbandry in hill farming situation. Extension agencies should also encourage women entrepreneurship through livestock farming in hill farming situation.
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