Patterns of Leisure-Time Physical Activity Participation in a British Birth Cohort at Early Old Age
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Abstract

Using data from a nationally representative British birth cohort we characterized the type and diversity of leisure-time physical activity that 2,188 participants (age 60–64 years) engaged in throughout the year by gender and obesity. Participants most commonly reported walking (71%), swimming (33%), floor exercises (24%) and cycling (15%). Sixty-two percent of participants reported ≥2 activities in the past year and 40% reported diversity on a regular basis. Regular engagement in different types of activity (cardio-respiratory, balance/flexibility and strength) was reported by 67%, 19% and 11% of participants, respectively. We found gender differences, as well as differences by obesity status, in the activities reported, the levels of activity diversity and activity type. Non-obese participants had greater activity diversity, and more often reported activities beneficial for cardio-respiratory health and balance/flexibility than obese participants. These findings may be used to inform the development of trials of physical activity interventions targeting older adults, and those older adults with high body mass index.
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Introduction

Leisure-time physical activity (LTPA) can be defined as volitional activity obtained through participation in sports, exercise and recreation at a moderate and/or vigorous intensity level, independent of other key physical activity (PA) domains, i.e., active transport (purposeful walking or cycling), domestic and occupational activity [1,2]. In most high-income nations, modern technology and amenities have reduced the necessity for regular higher-level intensity activity via housework, physically demanding occupations, and active transport [3]. This is reflected in time-trend data showing declines in the average amount of time spent in domestic and work activity domains over recent decades [4,5]; trends in active transport have been mixed, indicating both a decline [4] and an increase in this domain [6,7]. Unlike other domains, time spent in LTPA has either remained stable [7,8] or increased in several countries [2,6], including the United Kingdom (UK) [9,10]. Despite this population-level increase in LTPA, the proportion of UK adults meeting the minimum recommended PA guidelines (≥30 minutes of moderate or vigorous intensity PA on ≥5 days a week) was low in the Health Survey for England 2008 (10,11). Among older (≥65 years) UK adults, only 16% of men and 12% of women met the guidelines [10,11], with exercise and fitness activities constituting only a small proportion of time spent in moderate-to-vigorous PA [11]. Data from Sport England’s 2012/13 Active People Survey suggest that, of English adults aged 55–64 and ≥65 years, 61.6% and 73.9%, respectively, do not play any sports [12]. However, to achieve recommended levels of PA, LTPA is thought to be the domain most amenable to intervention especially in later life because other domains (e.g. occupational activity) are unlikely to be viable targets due to factors including retirement. Medical and public health professionals, as well as policymakers, have therefore focused intently on increasing the levels of activity obtained through LTPA at all ages, including older adults, in efforts to improve population health [13,14].

Physical inactivity is associated with increased risk of numerous chronic conditions, cognitive decline and physical disability, as well as premature mortality [15–19]. Given the health benefits of PA, previous research has explored and identified numerous real and/or perceived personal and environmental barriers to initiating and maintaining PA [20,21]. In older adults, barriers to PA can include current health status, availability of social support, and access to safe and affordable resources [21–24]. Additionally, a
narrower range of different types of LTPAs may be acceptable and/or feasible in older adults because of increasing chronic disease burden and perceived and real injury risk. To help health promotion programs to be successful in improving levels of LTPA, it may also be useful to examine what sports and exercises adults in early old age organically engage in during their leisure-time, identifying the types and diversity of activity that are most acceptable and therefore potentially amenable to intervention.

Only a few nationally representative studies have examined the type and diversity of LTPA self-reported by community-dwelling older adults. Walking for exercise was the most commonly reported LTPA among older Americans (≥60 years) [25], Australians (≥65 years) [26], European Union citizens (≥55 years) [3], and English adults (≥65 years) [11]. After walking, activities such as cycling, golf, aerobics, swimming/water sports, and stretching were most often reported [3,25]. Less than a third of older Australians reported two or more LTPA activities and only 2.6% reported engaging in a combination of aerobic, balance and stretching were most often reported [3,25]. Less than a third of older adults aged 60–64 years report undertaking by gender and obesity status.

The aim of this study was to use data from the Medical Research Council (MRC) National Survey of Health and Development (NSHD) (1946 British Birth Cohort) to describe the types and diversity of leisure-time sports and exercise activity in the past year and calculated the explanatory factors. Height and weight were measured by nurses using a standardized protocol; BMI was calculated (weight (kg)/height(m)2) and categorized as non-obese (<30 kg/m2) and obese (≥30 kg/m2).

Assessment of Type and Diversity of Leisure-Time Physical Activity

Physical activity was assessed using the EPIC-Norfolk Physical Activity Questionnaire (EPAQ2), which is a previously validated self-completed questionnaire that assesses activity in different domains of life – work, home and leisure [33]. In the current study, we used a modified version of this questionnaire which included the addition of two ‘free text boxes’ for participants to record other physical activities not in the pre-defined lists. LTPA was assessed by self-report of the frequency and duration of time spent in each of 30 pre-defined sports and exercise activities. Participants indicated the frequency of activity (choice of eight frequency categories ranging from ‘not done in last year’ to ‘six times a week/every day’) and gave the average time per episode in hours and minutes. We followed established methodology for data handling [27,33,34]. A duration variable (minutes/week) was derived for each leisure-time activity. For each of the activities, two variables were created: ‘report of any participation in the last year’ and ‘report of regular weekly participation of at least 30 minutes per episode.’ Ten activities were grouped to create five summary activities: swimming (leisure swimming and competitive swimming), running/jogging (jogging and competitive running), cycling (leisure cycling and racing cycling), aerobics (high-impact and other) and racquet sports (tennis and squash). In addition, we quantified the number of participants reporting zero, one, two, three, or four or more activities to assess level of activity diversity. Finally, we examined regular participation by ‘activity type’ categorized as: (1) cardio-respiratory, which included: walking for pleasure; back-packng/hill-walking; running/jogging; golf; swimming; cycling; aerobics; rowing; racquet sports; netball/volleyball/basketball; football; cricket; horse-riding; ice-skating; winter-sports (e.g. skiing); (2) activities beneficial for balance and flexibility, which included: martial arts; dancing; floor exercises; (3) activities beneficial for strength, which included; exercises with weights; conditioning exercises; and (4) all combinations, defined as a combination of cardio-respiratory, balance and flexibility and strength activity types [26].

Covariates

Gender and body mass index (BMI) were explored as potential explanatory factors. Height and weight were measured by nurses using a standardized protocol; BMI was calculated (weight (kg)/height(m)2) and categorized as non-obese (<30 kg/m2) and obese (≥30 kg/m2).

Statistical analysis

We examined the percent of participants reporting each sport and exercise activity in the past year and calculated the corresponding mean (SD) number of minutes per week among those who reported each activity. We formally tested whether differences in participation existed by gender for each activity reported in the last year and also on a regular basis (i.e. ≥30 min/week). We also tested whether participation in each of the activities differed by BMI status within gender. We then examined the difference between gender, as well as BMI status within gender, with regard to the number of different activities (i.e. diversity) and activity type (cardio-respiratory, balance and flexibility, and strength). Tests of difference were conducted using chi-squared and Fisher’s exact tests; Ns, percent participation (%), mean minutes per week (SD), and 95% confidence intervals are reported and we list top 10 activities by gender and BMI status (stratified by gender). All statistical analyses were conducted using STATA v13.0 (STATA Corp. – College Station, Texas).
Results

The percentage of participants reporting participation in each sport and exercise activity in the past year, as well as the mean number of minutes per week participants reported undertaking an activity are given in Table 1. Overall, 71% of participants reported walking for pleasure in the past year for an average of 192.7 minutes per week. Other activities were less frequently reported; the top 10 most commonly reported activities in the last year were swimming (33%), floor exercises (24%), conditioning exercises (16%), cycling (15%), backpacking/hill-walking (14%), dancing (14%), golfing (12%), exercises with weights (11%), and aerobics (8%). Walking for pleasure was also the most common activity reported on a regular basis (≥30 minutes per week), as it was reported by 59% of women and 52% of men (test of gender difference, \( p = 0.002 \)). While similarities existed between men and women with regard to the top 10 activities reported on a regular basis, gender differences were observed in the percentage of individuals reporting each given activity. Briefly, women more often participated in walking for pleasure, swimming, aerobics, floor exercises, and dancing on a regular basis (Figure 1), whereas men more often engaged in backpacking/hill-walking, jogging and running, cycling and golfing.

Among men (Figure 2A), the top 10 regular reported activities were similar by obesity status, however differences were observed in the percentage of individuals reporting each given activity. A greater percentage of non-obese men regularly engaged in walking for pleasure (55% vs. 46%, \( p = 0.02 \)) and floor exercises (12% vs. 5%, \( p = 0.002 \)) than obese men. A trend for non-obese men to more often report cycling (8% vs. 5%, \( p = 0.08 \)) than obese men was also observed. Similar to men, among women the top 10 regular activities were comparable by obesity status but again differences were observed in the reported percentages. Among women (Figure 2B), those who were non-obese more often reported regularly walking for pleasure (64% vs.47%, \( p<0.001 \)), engaging in floor exercises (23% vs. 17%, \( p = 0.02 \)), as well as backpacking/hill-walking (7% vs. 3%, \( p = 0.01 \)), and golfing (5% vs 2%, \( p = 0.01 \)) when compared to obese women. However, obese women more frequently reported taking part in conditioning exercises (12% vs. 8%, \( p = 0.04 \)) than non-obese women.

Overall, 16% of participants reported no engagement in any of the activities at least once within the past year, while approximately 21% engaged in one, 19% in two, 15% in three and 28% in four or more activities; the distribution across groups was comparable by gender (\( p = 0.2 \) (Table 2). When restricting analyses to activities participants engaged in on a regular basis, there was less activity diversity; nearly 27% of participants reported no regular engagement in any of the activities, 34% reported one activity, 20% reported two, and just 9% of participants reported regular engagement in four or more different activities. Diversity of regular activity was comparable by gender (\( p = 0.9 \)). The majority of activity was classified as cardio-respiratory and the percentage engaging in cardio-respiratory activity was comparable by gender, both at least once throughout the year (\( p = 0.2 \)) and on a regular basis (\( p = 0.3 \)). However, when compared to men, women were more likely to engage in activities beneficial for balance and flexibility at least once throughout the year and on a regular basis (both \( p<0.001 \)).

Figure 1. The percentage (%) of participants, (n = 2188) reporting sport and exercise activities on a regular basis, by gender.

*Percentages reported are based on available n for each specific activity; \( \text{report of weekly participation in } x \text{ activity of minimum duration, } 30 \text{ minutes per episode} \). \( p<0.05 \); \( p<0.10 \): test of gender difference. Note: No difference by gender existed for exercises with weights and conditioning exercises either in the last year or report of regular participation; Ns were not robust to examine football, horse-riding, cricket, ice-skating, martial arts, and netball by gender due to low number of participants reporting these activities. Activity order was determined by the most frequent activity in both genders combined. Top ten most frequently reported activities by gender (where ‘1’ indicates most frequently reported activity): Men: 1) walking for pleasure, 2) golfing, 3) floor exercises, 4) backpacking/hill-walking, 5) conditioning exercises, 6) cycling, 7) swimming, 8) exercises with weights, 9) fishing and 10) snooker. Women: 1) walking for pleasure, 2) floor exercises, 3) swimming, 4) conditioning exercises, 5) aerobics, 6) dancing, 7) backpacking/hill-walking, 8) exercises with weights, 9) golfing and 10) cycling.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098901.g001
lower percentage of men and women engaged in all combinations of activity on a regular basis than at any point throughout the year. When compared to men, women were more likely to engage in all combinations of activity at least once throughout the year ($p = 0.02$) and on a regular basis ($p = 0.04$).

BMI was associated with both activity diversity and activity type for both men and women (Table 2). A greater percentage of non-obese men reported engaging in four or more activities, while a greater percentage of obese men reported no participation in any of the activities at any point throughout the year ($p = 0.03$). Diversity was not significantly associated with obesity status among men when examining activity on a regular basis ($p = 0.02$) and on a regular basis ($p = 0.04$).

BMI was associated with both activity diversity and activity type for both men and women (Table 2). A greater percentage of non-obese men reported engaging in four or more activities, while a greater percentage of obese men reported no participation in any of the activities at any point throughout the year ($p = 0.03$). Diversity was not significantly associated with obesity status among men when examining activity on a regular basis ($p = 0.02$) and on a regular basis ($p = 0.04$).

BMI was associated with both activity diversity and activity type for both men and women (Table 2). A greater percentage of non-obese men reported engaging in four or more activities, while a greater percentage of obese men reported no participation in any of the activities at any point throughout the year ($p = 0.03$). Diversity was not significantly associated with obesity status among men when examining activity on a regular basis ($p = 0.02$) and on a regular basis ($p = 0.04$).

When examining activity type reported, a greater percentage of non-obese men and women reported cardio-respiratory activity at some point throughout the year ($p = 0.01$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively) and on a regular basis ($p = 0.02$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively), than did obese participants. In addition, a greater percentage of non-obese men also reported activities beneficial for balance and flexibility at some point throughout the year ($p = 0.04$), as well as on a regular basis ($p = 0.01$). This was also observed among women throughout the year ($p = 0.03$), as well as on a regular basis ($p = 0.01$). No difference was observed by BMI status for participation in activities beneficial for strength or all combinations of activities among men and women (all $p > 0.05$).

**Discussion**

Using data from a nationally representative study, we have characterized the types and diversity of LTPA that British adults in early old age reported undertaking in the past year. We found gender differences, as well as differences by obesity status, in the activities reported, the levels of activity diversity and activity types. Walking for pleasure was the most commonly reported LTPA among both men and women, and these findings are consistent with the literature [3,11,25,26]. In addition to walking, we found that 62% of participants reported diversity (i.e., ≥2 unique activities) of leisure-time activities at least once throughout the year, but only 40% reported doing so on a regular basis. Levels of

---

**Table 1.** The percentage (%) of participants reporting each sport and exercise activity in the past year and mean (SD) time (minutes per week) spent in each activity.

| Activity                        | N*   | % reporting participation | Mean minutes per week (SD) |
|---------------------------------|------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Walking for pleasure            | 2187 | 71 (1555)                 | 192.7 (300.5)             |
| Swimming*                       | 2187 | 33 (725)                  | 333.3 (61.1)              |
| Floor exercises                 | 2176 | 24 (524)                  | 663.3 (84.7)              |
| Conditioning exercises          | 2176 | 16 (342)                  | 537.7 (57.1)              |
| Cycling*                        | 2187 | 15 (328)                  | 704.0 (158.2)             |
| Backpacking/Hill-walking        | 2187 | 14 (310)                  | 850.0 (167.6)             |
| Dancing                         | 2170 | 14 (296)                  | 566.6 (112.3)             |
| Golfing                         | 2175 | 12 (266)                  | 309.1 (298.5)             |
| Exercises with weights          | 2176 | 11 (236)                  | 534.4 (77.8)              |
| Aerobics*                       | 2176 | 8 (163)                   | 661.1 (76.7)              |
| Bowling                         | 2175 | 7 (161)                   | 100.6 (166.6)             |
| Snooker                         | 2175 | 6 (130)                   | 70.5 (115.8)              |
| Racquet sports*                 | 2175 | 5 (113)                   | 100.1 (123.8)             |
| Fishing                         | 2170 | 4 (92)                    | 171.2 (65.2)              |
| Sailing                         | 2170 | 4 (85)                    | 160.3 (409.1)             |
| Jogging/running*                | 2187 | 3 (71)                    | 581.0 (69.8)              |
| Table Tennis                    | 2175 | 3 (59)                    | 361.1 (75.2)              |
| Winter sports (e.g., skiing)    | 2170 | 3 (57)                    | 444.9 (790.1)             |
| Rowing                          | 2170 | 1 (26)                    | 27.1 (43.4)               |
| Football*                       | 2175 | <1 (20)                   | 431.4 (42.7)              |
| Horse-riding                    | 2170 | <1 (17)                   | 169.6 (205.5)             |
| Ice-skating                     | 2170 | <1 (14)                   | 20.4 (37.5)               |
| Cricket*                        | 2175 | <1 (13)                   | 104.8 (137.7)             |
| Martial arts                    | 2170 | <1 (9)                    | 111.3 (66.7)              |
| Netball, volleyball, basketball | 2170 | <1 (4)                    | 22.7 (17.7)               |

* N varies due to missing data for specific activities.

1 Represents mean time spent in activity among those reporting activity participation in past year.

2 Combined swimming leisurely and competitive swimming; 3 Combined cycling recreational and competitive cycling; 4 Combined high-impact aerobics and other activities; 5 Combined tennis and squash; 6 Combined jogging and competitive running.

Values represent time spent in activity during the season.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098901.t001
participation diversity were higher in this study than previously reported in a sample of older Australians ($\geq 65$ years) [26].

There were gender differences found in the activities reported. Among the list of the top 10 activities undertaken on a regular basis, a greater percentage of men reported golfing, cycling, and backpacking/hill-walking, whereas more women reported walking for pleasure, floor exercises, aerobics, dancing and swimming. The activities men more often reported engaging in are of greater intensity and have higher associated metabolic equivalent (MET) values [35,36] yet high-impact team activities (e.g. football) that are often popular in earlier adulthood, especially among men [28], are noticeably absent from the top 10 list in this study. Indeed, football was one of the most frequently reported activities among men in the NSHD at age 36 years [37]. Swimming (in both sexes)

Figure 2. The percentage (%) of men (n = 1046) and women (n = 1142) reporting sport and exercise activities on a regular basis$^1$, by obesity status. $^1$ Percentages reported are based on available n for each specific activity. $^2$ Report of weekly participation in 'x' activity of minimum duration, 30 minutes per episode. $^3$ p < 0.05; $^4$ p < 0.10: test of difference by obesity status. (A) Activity order was determined by the most frequent activity among men. Top ten most frequently reported activities among men (where '1' indicates most frequently reported activity): $\text{BMI} \leq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$: 1) walking for pleasure, 2) golfing, 3) floor exercises, 4) backpacking/hill-walking, 5) conditioning exercises, 6) cycling, 7) swimming, 8) fishing, 9) exercises with weights and 10) snooker. $\text{BMI} > 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$: 1) walking for pleasure, 2) golfing, 3) conditioning exercises, 4) backpacking/hill-walking, 5) fishing, 6) exercises with weights, 7) swimming, 8) floor exercises, 9) sailing and 10) cycling. (B) Activity order was determined by the most frequent activity among women. Top ten most frequently reported activities among women (where '1' indicates most frequently reported activity): $\text{BMI} < 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$: 1) walking for pleasure, 2) floor exercises, 3) swimming, 4) conditioning exercise, 5) aerobics, 6) exercises with weights, 7) backpacking/hill-walking, 8) exercises with weights, 9) golfing and 10) cycling. $\text{BMI} \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$: 1) walking for pleasure, 2) floor exercises, 3) swimming, 4) conditioning exercise, 5) aerobics, 6) exercises with weights, 7) dancing, 8) bowling, 9) backpacking/hill-walking and 10) cycling.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098901.g002
Table 2. Diversity in leisure-time physical activity and participation in different activity-types, % (CI), by gender and obesity status.

|                              | Total (n = 1,046) | BMI <30 (n = 754) | BMI ≥30 (n = 292) | Men | Women | Total (n = 1,142) | BMI <30 (n = 801) | BMI ≥30 (n = 341) | % (CI) | % (CI) | % (CI) | % (CI) |
|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-----|-------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Diversity within year°       |                   |                  |                  |     |       |                  |                  |                  |       |       |       |       |
| 0 activities                 | 16.1 (13.9, 18.4) | 13.9 (11.5, 16.6)* | 21.6 (17.0, 26.7) | 15.9 (13.8, 18.1) | 12.9 (10.6, 15.4)* | 22.9 (18.5, 27.7) |
| 1 activity                   | 21.6 (19.1, 24.2) | 22.7 (19.7, 25.8) | 18.8 (14.5, 23.8) | 21.1 (19.0, 23.8) | 20.9 (18.2, 24.0)* | 22.3 (17.9, 27.1) |
| 2 activities                 | 18.8 (16.5, 21.3) | 18.6 (15.9, 21.5) | 19.5 (15.1, 24.5) | 20.4 (17.8, 22.5) | 20.1 (17.4, 23.0) | 19.9 (15.8, 24.6) |
| 3 activities                 | 14.0 (12.0, 16.3) | 14.7 (12.3, 17.5) | 12.3 (8.8, 16.7) | 16.0 (14.8, 19.2) | 18.0 (15.4, 20.8) | 14.3 (10.8, 18.5) |
| 4+ activities                | 29.5 (26.7, 32.3) | 30.1 (26.8, 33.5) | 27.7 (22.7, 33.3) | 26.6 (23.3, 28.5) | 28.1 (25.0, 31.3) | 20.5 (16.4, 25.2) |
| Diversity on a regular basis°|                   |                  |                  |     |       |                  |                  |                  |       |       |       |       |
| 0 activities                 | 26.7 (24.0, 29.5) | 24.8 (21.8, 28.0) | 31.5 (26.2, 37.2) | 26.4 (23.8, 29.0) | 22.2 (19.4, 25.3)* | 36.1 (30.1, 41.4) |
| 1 activity                   | 33.3 (30.4, 36.2) | 33.8 (30.4, 37.3) | 31.8 (26.5, 37.5) | 34.5 (31.7, 37.3) | 35.4 (32.0, 38.8) | 32.6 (27.6, 37.8) |
| 2 activities                 | 19.6 (17.2, 22.1) | 20.2 (17.4, 23.2) | 18.2 (13.9, 23.1) | 19.5 (17.3, 21.9) | 21.8 (19.0, 24.9) | 14.1 (10.6, 18.2) |
| 3 activities                 | 10.7 (8.9, 12.7)  | 10.9 (8.7, 13.3)  | 10.3 (7.0, 14.3)  | 11.1 (9.4, 13.1)  | 11.5 (9.4, 13.9)  | 10.3 (7.3, 14.0)  |
| 4+ activities                | 9.8 (8.0, 11.7)   | 10.3 (8.3, 12.7)  | 8.2 (5.3, 12.0)   | 8.5 (6.9, 10.3)   | 9.1 (7.2, 11.3)   | 7.0 (4.6, 10.3)   |
| Activity-type on a regular basis°|                   |                  |                  |     |       |                  |                  |                  |       |       |       |       |
| Cardio-respiratory°          | 77.8 (75.2, 80.3) | 79.8 (76.8, 82.6)* | 72.6 (67.1, 77.6) | 80.3 (77.9, 82.6) | 83.6 (80.9, 86.1)* | 72.4 (67.4, 77.1) |
| Balance and flexibilityb     | 23.9 (21.3, 26.6)*| 25.6 (22.5, 28.9)*| 19.5 (15.1, 24.5) | 39.6 (36.7, 42.5) | 41.7 (38.3, 45.2)* | 34.6 (29.6, 39.9) |
| Strengthc                    | 17.5 (15.2, 19.9) | 17.1 (14.5, 20.0) | 18.5 (14.2, 23.4) | 19.4 (17.2, 21.9) | 19.1 (16.4, 22.0) | 20.2 (16.1, 24.9) |
| All combinationsd            | 10.5 (8.7, 12.5)* | 10.6 (8.5, 13.0)  | 10.3 (7.0, 14.3)  | 13.9 (12.0, 16.1) | 14.1 (11.8, 16.7) | 13.5 (10.0, 17.6) |

Note: Values for football, cricket and winter sports (e.g., skiing) represented time spent in activity during the season.

°Any report of activity (activities) in last year.

*Report of average participation in activity (activities) for >30+ min per week; note that values used for skiing, winter sport football and cricket represented time spent in activity during the season.

*bCardio-respiratory, which included: walking for pleasure; backpacking/hill-walking; running/jogging; golfing; swimming; cycling; aerobics; rowing; racquet sports; netball/volleyball/basketball; football; cricket; horse-riding; ice-skating; winter-sports (e.g., skiing).

*bMartial arts, dancing, floor exercises.

*cExercises with weights, conditioning exercises.

*dCombination of Cardio-respiratory, Balance and flexibility and Strength.

*eIndicates p<0.05 for chi-squared test of association by BMI status within gender.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098901.t002

and golf (in men) were among the most frequently reported activities at both ages [37], suggesting that such lower intensity activities may be more likely to be maintained with increasing age than more vigorous activities such as football and running. That more of the activities frequently reported among women at age 36 years (e.g. swimming and dancing) were also in the top 10 most frequently reported activities at age 60–64 years [37], suggests that greater changes in activity type may occur among men than women with increasing age.

Study results also suggest that activity diversity and activity type varied by obesity status among men and women. Non-obese women reported greater activity diversity on a regular basis than obese women, though this relationship was not observed among men. These results are in line with findings from a Canadian study that found the prevalence of physical inactivity increased with increasing BMI among women, but not in men and that the largest difference between BMI status occurred among the oldest age group (50–64 years) [58]. In the current study, lower BMI was associated with more regular participation in cardio-respiratory activity, as well as balance and flexiblity activity for both men and women. To our knowledge, this study is the first to examine the relationship between leisure-time sport and exercise activity, gender and BMI in this way. Despite different PA measurement and operationalization (e.g., leisure METS), our findings are also supported by prior research suggesting an association between higher levels of recreational activity and lower BMI, as well as no association for vigorous active recreation (time spent in any activity of ≥5METES) among men by BMI status [29].

Although walking is often recommended to adults, especially those overweight and/or older, as a way to increase PA levels through low-impact activity, our study found that regular weekly walking for pleasure varied by BMI status among both men and women. This difference was not observed among another low-intensity activity, swimming, which is commonly recommended to older and/or overweight populations as a low weight-bearing, joint-friendly activity [39,40]. While the difference in reports observed by BMI status may reflect lower BMI as a result of lower activity levels among those overweight and/or older, as a way to increase PA levels through low-impact activity, our study found that regular weekly walking for pleasure varied by BMI status among both men and women. To our knowledge, this study is the first to examine the relationship between leisure-time sport and exercise activity, gender and BMI in this way. Despite different PA measurement and operationalization (e.g., leisure METS), our findings are also supported by prior research suggesting an association between higher levels of recreational activity and lower BMI, as well as no association for vigorous active recreation (time spent in any activity of ≥5METES) among men by BMI status [29].
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our findings may also indicate that obese individuals face additional barriers to walking for pleasure (e.g., pain, physical disability, embarrassment) that go beyond, but are also related to body size and weight [41]. Indeed, findings from the EPIC-Norfolk cohort suggest that weight status and weight gain are associated with both contemporaneous levels and subsequent changes in physical activity [42]. Combined with findings from the current study, this may suggest that the benefits of walking should be promoted within a context that acknowledges personal, social, and/or environmental barriers among older adults with higher BMI, so as to increase engagement in walking for pleasure as a LTPA and reduce the observed difference by BMI status.

Previous research has found that, in contrast to domestic activities, leisure pursuits, outdoor pursuits, sports (team and non-team), and exercise and fitness contributed very little towards the proportion of total time per week spent in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity among English adults aged 65 years and older [11]. We extend these observations through our examination of diversity and activity type in the current study. While fewer than 10 percent of older adults reported high activity diversity, i.e. four or more different leisure sport and exercise activities on a regular basis, nearly a third were found to have high diversity throughout the year. Seasonality of activity participation may play a role in the finding of higher diversity when considering activity undertaken at any point throughout the year, as some activities can only be undertaken in specific seasons and better conditions exist for many activities (e.g., reduced access/transportation barriers, increased daylight, fairer weather conditions), especially those conducted outdoors, in spring and summer. However, these findings do suggest that older adults have varied activity interests and that there may be great potential for increasing the time older adults spend in sport and exercise activities by encouraging regular participation in a variety of diverse activities. That nearly 60 percent of participants reported either none or participation in just one of the activities on a regular basis represents an opportunity for public health practitioners and clinicians to discuss and encourage older adults to increase their PA through a varied and diverse routine of sport and exercise activities. Engagement in a greater number of different activities may be a strategy that results in greater time spent in overall activity, especially for older adults who are either overweight or obese.

Our study has several strengths. It is a nationally representative sample of adults in early old age, with good characterization of LTPA as measured by a validated questionnaire, the EPAQ2. However, some limitations should be noted. In general, self-report PA, as measured by questionnaire, is noted to suffer from recall bias, especially among older adults [43–45]. For example, participants may have difficulty remembering whether or not they engaged in a particular activity in the past, as well as the average length of time per episode. Self-report PA is also prone to social desirability bias, as participants might feel compelled to over-report activity levels knowing the benefits of PA on health. However, self-reported questionnaires are currently the best method for ascertaining information on participation in different types of activity, as these parameters of PA are not yet easily captured by objective monitoring. Further, we purposefully chose to focus on sport and exercise activities that are of higher intensity (moderate-to-vigorous) partly because they are reported with greater reliability and validity than lower intensity (light) activities [46,47]. Additionally, higher intensity activities are often thought to enable older adults to meet the currently recommended PA levels. We were unable to explore reasons for choice of activity and explanations of non-participation, as we did not ask participants whether or not non-participation or low participation frequency was due to lack of interest, lack of opportunity/resources, or physical inability to engage in a specific activity. Nor did we ask participants if they independently engaged in an activity, if they were active with a group or someone in their social network, or even their preference for solo or group activity. Therefore, we suggest that these factors are explored in future research, as well as in advance of development/implementation of interventions to increase PA among older men and women.

Conclusion

In conclusion, there is considerable variation in types and diversity of leisure sports and exercise activities in this population of British adults in early old age, and different patterns of activity were observed by gender and obesity status. While 40 percent engaged in two or more types of activity on a regular basis, the majority reported no diversity or regular participation in any of the activities queried. In general, walking for pleasure is the activity most often reported among older adults, perhaps due in part to its ease, convenience, lack of associated risk and inexpensive nature, as well as perhaps increased likelihood of exposure to an outdoor environment. Clinicians, public health professionals and researchers might capitalize on this knowledge when developing trials of PA interventions tailored and targeted to older men and women, and those with high BMI.
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