Prevalence and Antibiotic-Sensitivity of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in Pyogenic Skin Affections of Animals and Human
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Abstract: MRSA infections commonly present as skin and soft tissue infections in man and animals. Treatment usually includes opening of abscess and drainage with or without antibiotic therapy. MRSA is usually resistant to certain antibiotics including methicillin, oxacillin, penicillin and ampicillin. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the prevalence of MRSA in man and animals with suppurative skin affections in Assiut Governorate and to test the phenotypic and genotypic antibiotic susceptibility of the isolated MRSA strains to the commonly-used antibiotics in Egyptian medical practices. MRSA were detected in 3.0% of tested sheep and goat, 27.3% of equines, 7.7% of cows and in 14.3% of tested humans in the same area. All animal and man MRSA strains were positive to erm(A), TetK and TetM genes, but erm(C) gene could only be detected in 80% of human MRSA isolates. human and animal MRSA isolates were resistant to penicillin and ampicillin antibiotics with MIC ranging from 8 to 128 µg/ml. Sheep and cow MRSA isolates were resistant to oxytetracycline, while equine isolates were sensitive to it. About 44.4% of human isolates were resistant to oxytetracycline. All animal-derived MRSA isolates were resistant to cefixime and more than of 55% of human isolates were also resistant to the same drug. All MRSA isolates were sensitive to clindamycin except for strains isolated from cows. Enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin were the most effective antibiotics against all MRSA isolates. None of the 16 MRSA isolates had reduced susceptibility to vancomycin with MICs laying in the 0.5-4 µg/mL range. The similarity in phenotypic and genotypic antibiotic susceptibility of MRSA isolates recovered from both humans and animal cases, despite the difference in the frequently used antibiotics in veterinary and human hospitals, suggesting the possibility of zoonotic circulation of those isolates between them.
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Introduction

Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) has now become a major nosocomial infection worldwide (Deurenberg and Stobberingh, 2008) and a common cause of skin and soft tissue infections (Moran et al., 2006). In Egypt, the highest proportion of MRSA among invasive Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) strains isolated from blood cultures has been reported (Borg et al., 2007; Falagas, et al., 2013). Unfortunately, MRSA found its
Materials and Methods

Ethical Approval

All the participants were informed about the objectives of the study, methods, voluntary participation and the individual information was concealed. Consents were recorded following participant agreement and their rights were clearly explained to each one of them. Also, animal samples and data were obtained after agreement of their owners.

Patients

Human

Samples were collected from 63 patients from the Assiut University hospital dermatology clinic with suppurative skin lesions, infected wounds and abscess during the period from 2015 to 2017.

Animals

Samples were collected from a three different animal species (66 sheep, 11 equines and 26 cows) that were referred to the Veterinary teaching hospital, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Assiut University during the same time period. These animals suffered from pyogenic skin affections, suppurating wounds and abscesses.

Demographic Data of Human Patients

Age: from less than 2 to 40 years. Gender: 40 males and 23 females. Residence: 47 patients from overcrowded bad hygienic condition rural areas, 16 patients from urban areas. Sites of skin lesions: 26 on the hand, 13 on the leg, 11 on the abdomen and breast, 9 on the buttock and 4 on the face.

Samples and Bacterial Identification

Sterile bacteriological swabs were collected from already opened skin lesions, wounds and abscesses of the diseased animals and man after disinfection of the opening with tincture iodine, while the closed abscesses were surgically incised and pus material were swabbed under septic conditions. Swabs taken from abscesses and wounds were directly inoculated into tubes containing tryptic soy broth then incubated at 37°C for 24-48 h. The incubated tubes were streaked onto blood agar base enriched with 10% citrated sheep blood and on Baird-Parker agar used for selective isolation of Staphylocooci. The inoculated plates were aerobically incubated at 37°C and examined for bacterial growth after 24-48 h. The plates were examined for growth, morphologic features and hemolytic characteristics following routine guidelines (Quinn et al., 1994; Carter et al., 1995). Identification of the isolated bacteria was done according to colony morphology, microscopic examination of gram stained smear and biochemical examination (Collins et al., 1991; Cruickshank et al., 1975).
Table 1: Sequence of primer sets for antibiotic resistance genes and the amplicon size

| Resistance phenotype | Gene | Sequences of primer sets | Amplicon Size (bp) | References |
|----------------------|------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Clindamycin, erythromycin | erm(A) | F- AAGCGGTAAACCCCTCTGA  
R- TTCGCCAACATCCCTCTCAAC | 190 | Strommenger et al. (2003) |
|                      | erm(C) | F- AATCTGCAATTCCTGACATTGT  
R- TAATCTGGAATACGGGTITG | 299 |          |
| Tetracycline      | tetK  | F- GTAGCGACAATAGGTAATAGT  
R- GTAGTGACAAATACCTCTTA | 360 |          |
|                     | tetM  | F- AGTGAGGCGATTACAGAA  
R- CATATGTCCCTGGGGTGCT | 158 |          |

Detection of mecA Gene

All isolates identified as *S. aureus* were tested for the presence of mecA gene using specific primers. DNAs from *S. aureus* isolates were extracted as described by using Dneasy Tissue Kit (QIamp® DNA Mini Kit) with some modifications. The extracted DNA was used as a template for PCR amplification. PCR amplifications were performed with a pair of primers specific for mecA gene, synthesized from the previously published Sequences: Primer 1: 5'--AAA ATC GAT GGT AAA GGT TGG C--3', primer 2: 5'--AGT TCT GCA GTA CCG GAT TTG C--3' (Louie et al., 2009). The PCR cycles consisted of initial denaturation at 96°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 60°C for 30 s and extension at 72°C for 1 min and final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel containing 0.5 mg of ethidium bromide per mL. The size of the amplification products were estimated by comparison with a 100bp DNA step ladder. The PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel containing 0.5 mg of ethidium bromide per mL. The sizes of the amplification products were estimated by comparison with a 100bp DNA step ladder.

Detection of Antibiotic Resistance Genes

mecA gene positive MRSA isolates were examined by using PCR for the presence of some antibiotic resistance genes (erm(A), erm(C), tetK, tetM genes) by using specific primers (Table 1). DNAs from MRSA isolates were extracted as described by using Dneasy Tissue Kit (QIamp® DNA Mini Kit) with some modifications. The PCR cycles consisted of initial denaturation at 96°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s and extension at 72°C for 1 min and final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel containing 0.5 mg of ethidium bromide per mL. The sizes of the amplification products were estimated by comparison with a 100bp DNA step ladder.

Antimicrobials Test

mecA gene positive MRSA isolates were tested for their sensitivity towards the most commonly used antibiotics in the human and veterinary field in Egypt. These antibiotics included penicillin, ampicillin, oxytetracycline, enrofloxacin, vancomycin, ciprofloxacin, clindamycin and cefixime. Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MIC) of antibiotics were evaluated with the broth microdilution technique in Mueller Hinton broth (MHB) with an initial inoculum of $5 \times 10^8$ in non-treated Polystyrene microtiter plates in accordance with the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2010). The MIC were interpreted as the lowest concentration of antibiotic that completely inhibited the visible growth of bacteria after 16 h incubation of the plates at 37°C. Each agent was tested in triplicate in at least two independent experiments. The highest MIC value were reported.

Statistical Analysis

The svy commands in Stata statistical software (release 15.1; 2017, Stata Corp LP, College Station, TX) was used to measure the impact of each factor individually on the occurrence of the disease. Odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals was calculated and a probability value ($P$-Value) $p<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Occurrence of *S. aureus* in Animals and Human

*S. aureus* was isolated from 28 out of 103 (27.2%) different animal species with both open and closed skin abscesses. The 28 cases with *S. aureus* included 10 out of 66 (15.2%) examined sheep, 7 out of 11 (63.6%) examined equine and 11 out of 26 (42.3%) examined cows (Table 2). Meanwhile, 63 human individuals with infected wounds after surgery or skin abscesses in different body areas (hands, legs, face, breast and buttock) were examined for *S. aureus* infection and it could be isolated by 23.8% (15 out of 63).

Detection of mecA Gene

Methicillin-Resistant *S. aureus* mecA gene positive isolates (MRSA) could be detected in 3.0% of examined sheep and goat, 27.3% of examined equines and 7.7% of examined cows (Table 2). PCR product of MRSA isolates gave positive band at 533bp on the agarose gel electrophoresis (Fig. 1). MRSA isolates...
could also be detected in examined human individuals with prevalence 14.3%. The impact of some factors like gender, age and area on MRSA prevalence in humans has been evaluated (Table 3).

Detection of Antibiotic Resistance Genes in MRSA Isolates

All MRSA strains isolated from animal and human individuals were positive to \textit{erm(A)}, \textit{TetK} and \textit{TetM} genes (Table 4) and PCR product showed positive bands at 190 bp, 360 bp and 158 bp respectively (Fig. 2, 4 and 5). Meanwhile, \textit{erm(C)} gene could not be detected in all animal MRSA isolates and were detected in 80% of human MRSA isolates (Table 4). PCR product showed positive band for \textit{erm(C)} gene at 299bp (Fig. 3).

Antimicrobial Testing Results

As demonstrated in Table 5, human and animal MRSA isolates were resistant to penicillin and ampicillin antibiotics with MIC ranging from 8 to 128 µg/ml. Sheep and cow MRSA isolates were resistant to oxytetracycline, while equine isolates were sensitive to it. About 44.4% of human isolates were resistant to oxytetracycline. All animal MRSA isolates were resistant to cefixime and more than of 55% of human isolates were also resistant to it. All MRSA isolates were sensitive to clindamycin except for cow’s strains. Enerofloxacin and ciprofloxacin were the most effective antibiotics against all MRSA isolates. None of the 16 MRSA isolates had reduced susceptibility to vancomycin with MICs laying in the 0.5-4 µg/ml range.

Fig. 1: Agarose gel electrophoresis showing PCR products of \textit{mecA} gene positive \textit{S. aureus} isolates from animal and human cases with skin affections (positive band at 533 bp). M= 100 bp ladder, lane (+)= positive control, lane (-)= negative control, lane (1,2,4,5)= positive samples and lane (3)= negative sample

Fig. 2: Agarose gel electrophoresis showing PCR products of \textit{erm(A)} gene positive \textit{S. aureus} isolates from animal and human cases with skin affections (positive band at 190 bp). lane (+)= positive control, lane (-)= negative control, lane (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9) = positive samples
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Fig. 3: Agarose gel electrophoresis showing PCR products of **erm(C)** gene positive *S. aureus* isolates from animal and human cases with skin affections (positive band at 299 bp). lane (+)= positive control, lane (-)= negative control, lane (3,4,6,7,8)= positive samples and lane (1,2,5)= negative samples

Fig. 4: Agarose gel electrophoresis showing PCR products of **tetK** gene positive *S. aureus* isolates from animal and human cases with skin affections (positive band at 360 bp). lane (+)= positive control, lane (-)= negative control, lane (1-9)= positive samples

Fig. 5: Agarose gel electrophoresis showing PCR products of **tetM** gene positive *S. aureus* isolates from animal and human cases with skin affections (positive band at 158 bp). lane (+)= positive control, lane (-)= negative control, lane (1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9)= positive samples and lane (6)= negative sample
Table 2: Prevalence of MRSA among animals with skin affections

| Species          | No. tested | MRSA (%) | MSSA (%) | Odds ratio | 95% CI      | P Value |
|------------------|------------|----------|----------|------------|-------------|---------|
| Sheep and goat   | 66         | 2 (3.0)  | 8 (12.1) | 6.39       | 2.05-19.92  | 0.001   |
| Equine           | 11         | 3 (27.3) | 4 (36.4) |            |             |         |
| Cow              | 26         | 2 (7.7)  | 9 (34.6) |            |             |         |
| Total            | 103        | 7 (6.8)  | 21 (20.4)|            |             |         |

95% confidence intervals (95% CI), probability value (P-Value)

Table 3: Prevalence of MRSA among human individuals with skin affections

| Factor            | No. tested | MRSA (%) | MSSA (%) | Odds ratio | 95% CI      | P Value |
|-------------------|------------|----------|----------|------------|-------------|---------|
| Gender            |            |          |          |            |             |         |
| Male              | 40         | 7 (17.5) | 3 (7.5)  | 0.45       | 0.09-2.37   | 0.35    |
| Female            | 23         | 2 (8.7)  | 3 (13.0) |            |             |         |
| Total             | 63         | 9 (14.3) | 6 (9.5)  |            |             |         |
| Age (Year)        |            |          |          |            |             |         |
| > 2 - 20          | 29         | 3 (10.3) | 2 (6.9)  | 1.43       | 0.57-3.59   | 0.45    |
| 20 - 40           | 23         | 4 (17.4) | 4 (17.4) |            |             |         |
| 40 - 70           | 11         | 2 (18.2) | 0        |            |             |         |
| Total             | 63         | 9 (14.3) | 6 (9.5)  |            |             |         |
| Area              |            |          |          |            |             |         |
| Rural             | 47         | 8 (17.0) | 4 (8.5)  | 0.66       | 0.49-0.87   | 0.003   |
| Urban             | 16         | 1 (6.3)  | 2 (12.5) |            |             |         |
| Total             | 63         | 9        | 6        |            |             |         |

95% confidence intervals (95% CI), probability value (P-Value)

Table 4: Correlation between phenotypic antibiotic resistance and PCR results of antibiotic resistance genes in MRSA isolates.

| Isolates            | Resistance phenotype | mecA | erm(A) | erm(C) | tetK | tetM |
|---------------------|----------------------|------|--------|--------|------|------|
| Animal isolates     |                       |      |        |        |      |      |
| Sheep and goat      | PEN, OTE, CEF, AMP    | +    | +      | -      | +    | +    |
| Equine              | PEN, OTE, CEF, AMP    | +    | +      | -      | +    | +    |
| Cows                | PEN, OTE, CEF, AMP    | +    | +      | -      | +    | +    |
| Human isolates      |                       |      |        |        |      |      |
| Female              | PEN, OTE, AMP         | +    | +      | +      | +    | +    |
| Male                | PEN, AMP              | +    | +      | -      | +    | +    |
| PEN, OTE, AMP       | +                    | +    | +      | +      | +    | +    |
| PEN, OTE, CEF, AMP  | +                    | +    | +      | +      | +    | +    |
| PEN, CEF, AMP       | +                    | +    | +      | +      | +    | +    |
| PEN, OTE, CEF, AMP  | +                    | +    | +      | +      | +    | +    |

PEN, penicillin; CLI, clindamycin; OTE, oxytetracycline; CEF, cefixime; AMP, ampicillin.

Table 5: Minimum inhibitory concentrations of the common used antibiotics (µg/ml) against animal and human MRSA isolates

| Antibiotics | MIC (µg/ml) |
|-------------|-------------|
| Animal Isolates | Sheep isolates | Equine isolates | Cow isolates | Human Isolates | Sheep isolates | Equine isolates | Cow isolates | Female isolates | Male isolates |
| Penicillin   | 8           | 64          | 16          | 8           | 16           | 64          | 8           | 16           | 8           |
| Oxytetracycline | 64          | 64          | 0.25        | 0.125       | 0.125        | 0.125       | 0.125       | 0.125        | 0.125       |
| Enrofloxacin | 0.125       | 0.125       | 0.5         | 0.125       | 0.125        | 0.125       | 0.125       | 0.125        | 0.125       |
| Vancomycin   | 0.5         | 1           | 1           | 4           | 4           | 1           | 1           | 1           | 1           |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.5         | 0.25        | 2           | 0.5         | 0.25         | 0.25        | 0.25        | 0.25         | 0.25        |
| Clindamycin  | 0.125       | 0.125       | 0.125       | 0.125       | 0.125        | 0.125       | 0.125       | 0.125        | 0.125       |
| Cefixime     | 8           | 8           | 8           | 8           | 8           | 8           | 8           | 8           | 8           |
| Ampicillin   | 16          | 128         | 16          | 32          | 32          | 64          | 64          | 64          | 64          |

62
Discussion

MRSA is one of the most problematic pathogens in veterinary and human medicine. The increasing number of MRSA cases detected in Egypt necessitates the continuous monitoring of the epidemiological phenotypic and genotypic antimicrobial susceptibility features of MRSA infections (Abd El-Hamid and Bendary, 2015). Moreover, the recovery of MRSA from various animal species increases the concern about the role of animals in MRSA infection in human (Weese, 2010). The current investigation was carried out to detect MRSA prevalence at Assiut Governorate, Egypt and to compare the phenotypic and genotypic antibiotic resistance of the isolated MRSA strains.

MRSA was first isolated from milk of mastitic cows (Devrieze and Hommez, 1975), then it could be isolated from other animal species including dogs, cats, pigs, horses and poultry worldwide (Leonard and Markey, 2008). MRSA was identified in the present study from cattle with septic skin infections by 7.7%. This finding was similar to Jayaweera and Kumbukgolla (2017), who reported prevalence rate 6.2% from nasal and perineal swabs from cattle. Meanwhile, despite the high number of sheep and goat with skin abscesses subjected to this study, the estimated prevalence of MRSA was low (3.0%). This finding came in agreement with other investigations carried out on small ruminants (Giacinti et al., 2017; Caruso et al., 2015; Cortimiglia et al., 2015) in Italy, (Ariza-Miguel et al., 2014) in Spain and (Pexara et al., 2005) in Greece, who reported prevalence rates ranged from 0-2%.

The highest MRSA prevalence was recorded in equine (27.3%), despite the low number of examined horses with septic wounds and skin abscesses. The difference in MRSA prevalence between equine and other animal species recorded in this study was of high significance (OR = 6.39, 95% CI = 2.05-19.92, = 0.001). MRSA reported as emerging pathogen in veterinary medicine especially in small animals and equine (Leonard and Markey, 2008). Wound infections and post-operative contaminations are the most common manifestation of MRSA in horses. The principle route of transmission is contaminated hands of veterinary personnel (Weese et al., 2004). The emergence of MRSA as an equine pathogen implies that horses could be a community reservoir of MRSA and a source of infection to human (Weese et al., 2005).

Nine out of 63 (14.3%) human patients with suppurative skin lesions were positive to MRSA infection. The prevalence of CA-MRSA in the present study agreed with Abdel-Maksoud et al. (2016), who recorded 11.5% prevalence of CA-MRSA in Egypt. Also, this finding was in concordance with findings reported in other studies done in Egypt (Guirguis, 2004). A previous study by Ahmed et al. (2014) in Egypt at Minya Governorate, which is the geographically close to Assiut, recovered MRSA from infected skin and soft tissue sites in 31 (15%) out of 208 patients admitted to Minya University hospital. The prevalence rate of CA-MRSA in Egypt is probably due to the self-medication with antibiotics for mild bacterial or viral infections, where antibiotics usually received without prescription (Sabry et al., 2014). Baddour et al. (2006) studied the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of MRSA isolates from several hospitals in Saudi Arabia and concluded that the inappropriate use of antibiotics is an important cause of the increasing prevalence of resistant bacteria as MRSA in developing countries.

Prevalence of MRSA in male patients was 17.5% while it was 8.7% in females. This finding meant that 77.8% of recovered MRSA isolates from human patients were from males. Despite this finding was statistically insignificant (OR = 0.45, 95%CI = 0.09-3.59, P = 0.35), it was similar to those recorded by Baddour et al. (2006), Tentolouris et al. (2006) and Van Belkum et al. (1997). They recovered more than 66% of their MRSA isolates from males. The higher prevalence of MRSA in males may be attributed to the fact that exposure in males working as farmers, veterinarians, workers and milkers is greater (Baddour et al., 2006). Concerning age of the examined patients, the difference in MRSA prevalence between the three age groups was statistically insignificant (OR = 1.43, 95% CI = 0.57-3.59, P = 0.45), which indicate that infection with MRSA is not related to the age of the patient.

Most of human patients subjected to our study were originally from rural village of Assiut (47 out of 63) and 17.0% of them were positive to MRSA infection. Statistically the higher prevalence of MRSA in patients from rural areas than those from urban areas were highly significant (OR = 0.66, 95%CI = 0.49-0.87, P = 0.003). In rural areas, individuals usually keep their animals in the house where there is a close daily contact between these individuals and their animals. Most of animal’s MRSA infections are skin and soft tissue infections (Seguin et al., 1999). Close contact of human with animals gives the opportunity for horizontal transmission of MRSA between them (Morgan, 2008). Historically, MRSA infections in companion animals caused by strains resembling human strains (Rich and Roberts, 2004). Veterinary personnel may play a role as a source of MRSA infection to animals (Walter et al., 2009). MRSA infected animals like cattle may act as a reservoir for transmission of infection to other animals and their human handlers (AVMA, 2014; Klevens et al., 2007). Owners, farmers, veterinarians, milkers and people working at slaughter houses who meet MRSA colonized or infected animals are at risk to be colonized by MRSA (Paterson et al., 2012). MRSA transmission from horses to humans was also documented especially with animals.
experienced skin infections (Weese et al., 2006). 10 (9.7%) of 103 tested veterinary hospital personnel in a large animal clinic were colonized with MRSA and pyogenic skin infections were recorded in 3 of them (Weese et al., 2006).

Antimicrobial testing and analysis for presence of antibiotic resistance genes were employed in this study. The analyzed genes were the most frequently associated with resistance of *S. aureus* (Beninati et al., 2015). All the identified MRSA strains were both phenotypically (MICs 8 - 128 µg/mL) and genotypically (*mecA*-positive) resistant to penicillin and ampicillin. Lee (2003) also found that all MRSA isolates were resistant to penicillin and ampicillin. Moreover, Ahmed et al. (2014) found that all MRSA isolates recovered from infected surgical sites were completely resistant to β-lactams. A 100% of animal MRSA strains and more than 55% of man strains were shown to be resistant to the cephalosporin antibiotic (cefixime), meanwhile higher resistance rates reported by Vinodkumar et al. (2011) and lower rates were recorded by Sasikala et al. (2007). Previous studies, also done in Egypt, reported that β-lactams, macrolides and cephalosporins were the most prescribed antibiotics in Egyptian hospitals (Abdel-Maksoud et al., 2016). Tetracycline resistance was also common, especially among cattle and sheep MRSA isolates and in 44.4% of human isolates. Meanwhile, equine isolates and the remaining 55.6% of human isolates were sensitive to tetracycline despite that all MRSA strains were positive to tetK and tetM resistance genes. El-Jakee et al. (2011) also studied the antimicrobial resistance in MRSA isolates from cattle and human in Egypt and found high resistance rate to penicillin followed by oxytetracycline of these isolates. Rajala-Schultz et al. (2004), Wang et al. (2008), Coelho et al. (2009) and Bhatt et al. (2011) indicated that β-lactams (penicillins) and tetracycline are widely used for intra-mammary treatment of staphylococcal bovine mastitis, therefore these drugs most frequently associated with resistance.

Due to the close structural similarity of tetracycline resistance plasmids of different staphylococcal species from man and animals, the exchange of tetracycline resistance genes between man’s and animal’s staphylococci is possible (Schwarz et al., 1998). Tetracyclines are not commonly used in treatment of bacterial infections of horses in Egypt which explains the sensitivity of equine isolates to oxytetracycline but the present of the resistance genes of tetracycline may indicate the transmission of MRSA infection from another animal species or from human handlers (Pantosti, 2012). On the other hand, presence of tetracycline resistance genes in all human isolates even the phenotypically sensitive ones indicate the risk of transmission of these isolates from food animals where tetracycline is widely used (Lewis et al., 2008). Transfer of MRSA from food animals to human is possible, which may lead to convey of novel resistance genes (Pantosti, 2012).

Except for cow’s isolates, the recovered MRSA strains in this study were sensitive to clindamycin. Clindamycin is frequently used in human patients to treat skin and soft tissue infections, because of its ability to distribute well into skin structures and to inhibit toxins production in staphylococci (Levin et al., 2005; Coyle et al., 2003; Stevens et al., 1988). However, the possibility of inducible resistance during therapy with clindamycin is a major concern, that inducible resistance does not usually appear in susceptibility testing and molecular detection of resistance genes (*erm* genes) is necessary (Abdel Fattah and Darwish, 2012). Expression of *erm* genes may be either constitutive or inducible and staphylococci with inducible resistance against clindamycin are sensitive to the drug if no inducer is present (Steward et al., 2005). This could explain the presence of *erm(A)* and *erm(C)* genes in phenotypically sensitive isolates to clindamycin.

All the 16 MRSA isolates recovered in this study were sensitive to vancomycin with MICs laying in the 0.5-4 µg/ml range. This could be explained by the fact that vancomycin use in human Egyptian out patients is not common due to lack of oral bioavailability and it is usually used in intensive care units for life-threatening conditions (Abdel Fattah and Darwish, 2012). Moreover, vancomycin is not used in veterinary Egyptian field.

MRSA isolates showed no resistance against ciprofloxacin and enrofloxacin. This finding agreed with Lee (2003) and Kumar et al. (2017), they found that MRSA isolates were susceptible to fluoroquinolones like ciprofloxacin and norfloxacin. Although the fluoroquinolones are not new antibiotics but its use in Egyptian field is not common like penicillines and cephalosporins. They don’t affect by β-lactamase enzymes or altered by penicillin binding proteins so, it might be less likely to develop resistance (Kayser, 1985).

**Conclusion**

The study documented the isolation of MRSA from clinical specimens in several animal species at Assiut Governorate and the recovery of MRSA from human individuals at the same area. This suggests that transmission between animals and humans can be of both veterinary and public health importance. Zoonotic transmission risk may be real, it should be considered when handling animals with skin and soft tissue infections especially horses. Antibiotic susceptibility of bacteria isolated from suppurative skin lesions is recommended to guide individual therapy and to limit misuse of antimicrobials. Absence of concurrent sampling of animals and their in-contact humans and the limited number of MRSA cases in the study necessitates
the further investigation on the epidemiology of MRSA infection in animals and humans in the study area.
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