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\section*{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Introduction:} Invasive procedures for colorectal cancer can cause iatrogenic tumor cell seeding. Implantation of these exfoliated cells in the surrounding tissue can result in locoregional cancer recurrence. This has been described in endoscopic procedures and major surgical resections, however recurrence in iatrogenic lesions of the anal canal during minimal invasive rectal surgery has not been shown in literature yet. This is the first reported case of recurrent rectal cancer that developed into an anal metastasis at the site where hooks of the Lone Star Retractor disrupted the epithelial lining of the anal canal during a local excision of early rectal cancer using TAMIS.

\textbf{Presentation of case:} A 57 year old male was diagnosed with a high risk early stage rectal adenocarcinoma. He was treated with transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS) with the use of a Lone Star retractor and he received subsequent chemo-radiotherapy. 23 months later the patient developed a bleeding mass bulging out of the anus. A true cut and incision biopsy was performed and the pathology report revealed localization of adenocarcinoma at the anal canal which was similar to the earlier diagnosed rectal carcinoma. The patient underwent an abdominal perineal resection and left-sided lymph node dissection.

\textbf{Discussion and conclusion:} This shows that local recurrence through implantation of exfoliated tumor cells can occur in iatrogenic lesions of the anal canal not only in major but also in minimal invasive rectal surgery. Careful tissue handling and rectal washout may reduce the chance of this implantation metastasis.

\section{1. Introduction and importance}

Invasive procedures for colorectal cancer can cause iatrogenic tumor cell seeding [1]. Implantation of these exfoliated cells in the surrounding tissue can result in locoregional cancer recurrence [2]. This has been shown for both endoscopic procedures and surgical resections for colorectal cancer [3–6]. It is thought that free intraluminal cancer cells during endoscopy implant in mucosal or serosal lesions of the intestinal tract and may lead to locoregional ent-metastases [7]. For transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS) procedures in patients with early rectal cancer, endoluminal recurrences have been described. However recurrence in iatrogenic lesions of the anal canal during TAMIS is not yet described in literature. We present the first reported case of recurrent rectal cancer that developed into an anal metastasis at the site where hooks of the Lone Star Retractor disrupted the epithelial lining of the anal canal during a local excision of early rectal cancer using TAMIS. This case report has been reported in line with the SCARE 2020 criteria [8].

\section{2. Presentation of case}

A 57 year old male was presented with anal blood loss, intermittent diarrhea, rectal tenesmus and a palpable mass in the rectum. Laboratory results showed a normal carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) level of <5 μg/L. Colonoscopy with polypectomy of nine polyps and biopsies of a malignant lesion in the rectum was performed. Pathology examination revealed a well differentiated adenocarcinoma of the mid rectum. Abdominal MRI showed a lesion with a diameter of 2 cm located 8 cm proximal to the anorectal junction without infiltration of the muscularis propria and no suspicious lymph nodes or extramural vascular invasion (EMVI) [Fig. 1]. On the additional CT imaging of chest and abdomen, no signs of distant metastases were observed, resulting in cT1N0M0 stage according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) classification. Since the tumor was a small T1 rectal cancer without signs of...
suspicious lymph nodes, a local excision was proposed as rectal preserving therapy. This was done through TAMIS with Lone Star retraction of the anal canal and a Gelpoint platform. Rectal washout with povidone iodine was performed following the local excision. The pathology report showed a complete resection of a stage pT1 tumor according to the Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) classification with free resection margins, however vascular invasion was seen and therefore complementary treatment was indicated.

In this case, the patient participated in a randomized controlled trial that compares completion total mesorectal excision (TME) versus adjuvant chemo radiotherapy after local excision in patients with intermediate risk early rectal cancer (TESAR trial) [9]. He was randomized to the chemo-radiotherapy arm and received small field radiation of the rectum and mesorectum (25 × 1.8 Gy) with concomitant capecitabine (825 mg/m² twice a day) for 5 weeks. Strict follow-up evaluation and imaging after 6, 12 and 18 months did not show any signs of recurrence.

However, after 23 months the patient developed a painless, growing and bleeding mass bulging out of the anus [Fig. 2]. The differential diagnosis included malignancy (recurrence or anal cancer) and a thrombosed hemorrhoid. Imaging showed involvement of the internal sphincter and intersphincteric space and a left-sided suspicious inguinal lymph node of 19 mm [Fig. 3]. Inspection at the operating room was performed. The mass appeared to be 4 cm located at the site of the Lone star Retractor used during the TAMIS procedure and was suspected for a submucosal malignancy [Fig. 4]. A true cut and incision biopsy of the mass and biopsy of the lymph node was performed since en-bloc resection was not possible. The pathology report revealed localization of adenocarcinoma at the anal canal and inguinal node which was similar to the earlier diagnosed rectal carcinoma. The TAMIS scar was free of tumor. Additional imaging showed no distant metastases.

The patient underwent an abdominal perineal resection and left-sided lymph node dissection. Pathology showed stage rpT2N1b with radical removal and 22 resected lymph nodes of which 2 were positive for metastasis. Postoperative recovery was complicated by wound infection for which vacuum sponge and antibiotic treatment was initiated. Furthermore, the patient had an uneventful recovery. The patient is currently at two years follow up after TAMIS and approximately one year after APR surgery. No new recurrence was seen and no further adjuvant treatment was given.

3. Clinical discussion

Spreading of rectal tumor cells to the anal canal or perianal region is rare. Colorectal cancer usually metastasizes via hematogenous route to the liver, lungs, bone, nervous system, via lymphatics to regional and distant nodes, and with intraabdominal exfoliated cancers cells to the peritoneum [10,11]. However several cases of perianal metastasis have been described [2]. These metastases are thought to be a result of three possible mechanisms: (1) implantation of exfoliated intraluminal tumor cells in preexisting lesions of the anal canal such as fissuras [12], fistulas [2,13–17] and anal crypts [17], (2) lymphovascular metastasis [7], and (3) seeding and implantation of tumor cells into injured anal tissue caused by an invasive procedure [7]. Both anal metastases at the site of preexisting lesions and hematogenous or lymphatic metastases have well been described in literature although the latter occurs less frequently [2]. However, implantation of rectal tumor cells in the perianal region during an invasive procedure is scarce. This type of metastasis has been described with the use of a circular stapler.

Fig. 1. MRI scan at first presentation shows a tumor mass of 2 cm located 11 cm proximal to the anal verge at the right side of the rectum.

Fig. 2. Photo of the tumor recurrence during physical examination 23 months after TAMIS: bleeding, painless mass bulging out of the anus.

Fig. 3. MRI scan at recurrence shows a mass in the anal canal bulging out of the anus.
Careful tissue handling and rectal washout may reduce the chance of occurrence not only in major but also in minimal invasive rectal surgery. This shows that this phenomenon can scar form a Lone Star Retractor hook that developed following local excision using TAMIS approach. This demonstrates that this phenomenon can occur not only in major but also in minimal invasive rectal surgery. Careful tissue handling and rectal washout may reduce the chance of implantation metastasis during invasive procedures for rectal cancer.
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