Contribution of volatile organic compound fluxes to the ecosystem carbon budget of a poplar short-rotation plantation
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Abstract

Biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) are major precursors of both ozone and secondary organic aerosols (SOA) in the troposphere and represent a non-negligible portion of the carbon fixed by primary producers, but long-term ecosystem-scale measurements of their exchanges with the atmosphere are lacking. In this study, the fluxes of 46 ions corresponding to 36 BVOCs were continuously monitored along with the exchanges of mass (carbon dioxide and water vapor) and energy (sensible and latent heat) for an entire year in a poplar (Populus) short-rotation crop (SRC), using the eddy covariance methodology. BVOC emissions mainly consisted of isoprene, acetic acid, and methanol. Total net BVOC emissions were 19.20 kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, which represented 0.63% of the net ecosystem exchange (NEE), resulting from −23.59 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ fixed as CO₂ and 20.55 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ respired as CO₂ from the ecosystem. Isoprene emissions represented 0.293% of NEE, being emitted at a ratio of 1 : 1709 mol isoprene per mol of CO₂ fixed. Based on annual ecosystem-scale measurements, this study quantified for the first time that BVOC carbon emissions were lower than previously estimated in other studies (0.5–2% of NEE) on poplar trees. Furthermore, the seasonal and diurnal emission patterns of isoprene, methanol, and other BVOCs provided a better interpretation of the relationships with ecosystem CO₂ and water vapor fluxes, with air temperature, vapor pressure deficit, and photosynthetic photon flux density.
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Introduction

Plants exchange a wide array of biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) with the atmosphere (Kesselmeier & Staudt, 1999). On a global scale, these BVOC emissions were estimated to be an order of magnitude larger than those emitted from anthropogenic sources. Annually 1150 Tg of carbon assimilated by primary producers is emitted back to the atmosphere as BVOCs, and in particular, 500 Tg of carbon is emitted as isoprene (Guenther et al., 1995). BVOCs play a role in several ecological processes and are major precursors of both ozone and secondary organic aerosols (SOA) in the troposphere (Ashworth et al., 2012). Considering the ecological and atmospheric importance, the exchange of BVOCs needs to be accurately measured and represented in models to accurately model and understand the coupled biosphere–atmosphere interactions with photosynthetic activities and CO₂ exchanges (Seco et al., 2015).

Photosynthesis and isoprene emission are particularly closely linked as photosynthetic carbon is the main source of isoprene (Sharkey & Yeh, 2001). Therefore, the high photosynthetic capacity (10–20 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) that characterizes the Populus genus might explain its high isoprene emission rates (20–45 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹) in leaf cuvettes at optimal temperature and under saturating light (Guidolotti et al., 2011; Rasulov et al., 2015). Studies have shown a temporal lag between isoprene emission and the onset of photosynthesis (Kuzma & Fall, 1993; Sharkey & Loreto, 1993; Monson et al., 1995). Leaf phenology plays an important role in determining the level of isoprene emissions (Brilli et al., 2016; Portillo-Estrada et al., 2017). Isoprene emission rates differ among different species of the same genus, or among genotypes of the same species, as already documented in Populus (Calfapietra et al., 2008). The release of carbon into the atmosphere in the form of BVOCs from vegetation is estimated around 0.1–2% of the carbon assimilated by photosynthesis (Sharkey & Yeh, 2001) while these values may reach 1–3% in poplar species (Goldstein et al., 1998).
Plants of short-rotation poplar crops (SRC) dedicated for the production of bioenergy are characterized by a considerable amount of BVOCs emitted mainly in the form of isoprene (Zenone et al., 2016) and methanol (Brilli et al., 2016). Previous studies (Brilli et al., 2014, 2016) have shown that SRC is a net, albeit very small (~1 g m⁻² per growing season) BVOC carbon source to the atmosphere. Long-term ecosystem-scale studies of BVOC fluxes are scarce, although there have been some short-term studies (e.g., Brilli et al. (2014), Misztal et al. (2011), Schallhart et al. (2016)). To our knowledge, this study is the first one that continuously monitored and quantified the fluxes of many BVOC species together with CO₂ fluxes during a whole year.

This study aimed (i) to quantify the net annual carbon emitted as BVOCs in a poplar SRC, while at the same time evaluating the individual contributions of each BVOC species, (ii) to calculate the year-based ratio of carbon emitted as BVOCs to the net ecosystem exchange of carbon, and (iii) to investigate the relationships of the fluxes of isoprene and other major BVOCs with CO₂ fluxes and meteorological variables.

Materials and methods

Study site

The study was conducted at an existing poplar (Populus spp.) plantation managed as a SRC located in Lorchristi, Belgium (51°6′44″N, 3°51″E), at an elevation of 6 m.a.s.l. The 11-ha plantation contained monoclonal rows of 12 different interspecific genotypes of Populus deltoides, P. maximowiczii, P. nigra, and P. trichocarpa, with a stand density of 8000 trees ha⁻¹. In the year 2015, the poplar plantation was in its second year of the third rotation. More details of the plantation, site characteristics, and genotypic features have been previously published (Broeckx et al., 2012; Verlinden et al., 2015). The long-term average annual and growing season temperature at the site are 9.5 and 13.72 °C, respectively. Average annual and growing season precipitation are 726 mm and 433 mm, respectively (Broeckx et al., 2012). For this study, the growing season was defined as the period of the year when weekly averaged net ecosystem exchange (NEE) was negative, that is, net ecosystem carbon uptake. All measurements of this study were collected between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015.

Meteorological data were measured on an extendable meteorological mast at the site and recorded at half-hourly time steps. Air temperature (°C) and air relative humidity (%) were measured by Vaisala probes (model HMP45C; Vaisala, Helsinki, Finland) and used to calculate vapor pressure deficit (VPD; kPa) (Allen et al., 2005). Photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) was measured by a photodiode-based radiometer active in the PPFD wavelength range, that is, 400 nm to 700 nm (model LI-190R, LI-COR Biosciences Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA).

Eddy covariance measurements of BVOCs and CO₂

A proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-TOF-MS Ionicon, Innsbruck, Austria) to measure the volume mixing ratios of BVOCs at a frequency suitable for the eddy covariance technique was co-located with a sonic anemometer (HS-50, Gill Instruments Ltd, Lymington, Hampshire, UK) to measure the tri-dimensional direction of wind speed. The data of both instruments were recorded by two independent logging devices, synchronized to each other with a dedicated software (NTP, Network Time Protocol, University of Delaware, DE, USA) and to an external clock through the Internet with an accuracy of ±20 ms. The volume mixing ratios of CO₂ and H₂O used to estimate NEE and latent heat fluxes (LH), respectively, were measured using a fast-response CO₂/H₂O infrared analyser (LI-7200, LI-COR Biosciences Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) at a 10 Hz rate. A continuous air stream was drawn through a 25-m PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene) tube (6 mm inner diameter) by a pump at a constant flow rate of ≈20 L m⁻¹. The pipeline was heated to ≈40 °C to prevent condensation of water within the pipe. The flow was diverted to the PTR-TOF-MS through a 1/32 inch ID (1/16 inch OD) capillary heated to 60 °C at a flow rate of 74.4 µmol s⁻¹. The sample air continuously entered the PTR-TOF-MS and met a rich H₂O⁺ mixture in a chamber where the proton-transfer-reaction takes place. Unavoidably the H₂O⁺ mixture contained small amounts of parasitic reagent ions as NO⁺, O₃⁺, and water cluster H₂O-H₃O⁺. Protonated molecules were driven through a drift tube operated at 600 V of electric potential, 2.3 mbar of pressure, and 60 °C of temperature, resulting in a field density ratio (E/N) of ≈130 Td. The ions exiting the drift tube were pulsed every 32000 ns to the time-of-flight region and separated by their m/z ratio. A total of 3125 spectra ranging from m/z of 0–316 were averaged every 100 ms, resulting in 10 Hz data (32000 ns spectrum⁻¹ × 3125 spectra = 0.1 s). The data recording was automated as shown in Fig. S1.

The mass range of the spectra was automatically calibrated during the measurement process by identifying the peak center of hydronium isotope (H₁⁰O⁺H⁺) as m/z = 21.0221 and the peak center of diiodobenzene fragment (C₆H₄I-H⁺) as m/z = 203.9431, which was continuously permeated to the air inlet. The PTR-TOF-MS had higher values of ion transmission (fraction of ions detected to the initial amount of ions entered) for heavier masses than for lighter masses. Therefore, every month, a mixture of ten pure species containing acetaldehyde, methanol, isoprene, acetone, methyl vinyl ketone, benzene, toluene, t-2-hexen-1-al, c-3-hexen-1-ol, and ω-pine (masses ranging from m/z 33 to 137) was used to determine the transmission values at certain molecular masses. The transmission curve was later used to inter- and extrapolate the transmission values for each BVOC and to calculate the original amount of molecules entering the PTR-TOF-MS.

Each peak of the spectrum was fitted to a Gaussian function and its signal integrated. The Gaussian function was also used to discriminate the signal of adjacent peaks in multiplex systems (Portillo-Estrada et al., 2015b) (example shown in Fig. S2). The 10 Hz spectra were individually processed with PTR-MS Viewer v3.2 (Ionicon, Innsbruck, Austria) to extract the peak area of each ion. The concentration of each compound was
calculated based on the peak area of the protonated parent ion, the concentration of H$_3$O$^+$ of the current spectrum (measured by hydronium isotope), the ion transmission factor at a given molecular mass explained above, and the reaction rate coefficient of each BVOC (explained further below), expressed in ppb at a given time. This laborious step (~4.3 million peaks per day of data) was facilitated by the ‘automation tool’ of the software. The files with volume mixing ratio values were then exported to .txt files and merged half-hourly. A total of 46 ion peaks corresponding to 36 BVOCs and their major fragments were selected (see Table S1) to characterize the carbon fluxes as BVOCs.

The coefficients of reaction between each BVOC and H$_3$O$^+$ were either calculated via a calibration with the above-mentioned gas standard mixture, retrieved from the Supplementary Information of Cappellin et al. (2012), or otherwise they were assumed to be $2 \times 10^{-9}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$.

Calculation of CO2 and BVOC fluxes

Fluxes were estimated by applying the following processing options among the available solutions (Rebmann et al., 2012). Turbulent fluctuations and statistical moments, that is, variance and covariance, were calculated using the linear detrending method. Time lags between wind speed components and CO2 and H$_2$O mixing ratios were estimated using the covariance maximization method. The coordinate reference system was rotated to align it with the mean wind direction applying the 2D rotations. The spectral corrections for high-frequency attenuation were executed using the method proposed by Liborn et al. (2007) to correct for signal attenuation mainly due to path averaging and scalar attenuation and the method of Horst & Lenschow (2009) to account for the spatial displacement of the sensors. All this processing was executed with the EDDYPRO software version 5.1.1, freely available from LI-COR Biosciences Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA (https://www.licor.com/env/products/eddy_coevariance/compute.html#eddypro).

The anemometer and PTR-TOF-MS time series were merged and synchronized with a dedicated MATLAB code and then processed with EnvPro applying a processing scheme similar to CO2 and H$_2$O fluxes. The time lag was estimated only for isoprene and then applied to all other BVOC species, assuming that all BVOCs were subject to the same physical turbulent transport across the sampling line (Fares et al., 2012).

Data series gap-filling and partitioning method

Quality controls on half-hourly fluxes were applied according to the methodology reported in Mauder & Foken (2015): The flag attained the value ‘0’ for the best quality fluxes, ‘1’ for fluxes suitable for general analysis such as annual budgets, and ‘2’ for fluxes that were discarded from the results dataset. Missing values of BVOC fluxes were gap filled using the mean diurnal variation technique as proposed by Bamberger et al. (2014). The missing half-hourly values were replaced by the corresponding half-hourly values of the average diurnal cycle calculated within a time window of ±8 days around the missing values. Ecosystem respiration ($R_{eco}$) and gross primary production (GPP) half-hourly values were estimated using nighttime CO2 flux data applying the partitioning procedures defined by Reichstein et al. (2005). GPP represents the total uptake of CO2 by the ecosystem. Missing or noncorrect values of measured NEE were gap filled to obtain complete yearly time series of NEE, $R_{eco}$, and GPP using the freely available routine of the Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry (https://www.bgc-jena.mpg.de/bgi/index.php/Services/REdyProcWebRPackage).

Results

Partitioning of ecosystem carbon fluxes

The amount of net C emitted as BVOCs was 19.20 kg C ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, which represented 0.63% of NEE. Ecosystem GPP was −23.59 Mg C ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, $R_{eco}$ was 20.55 Mg C ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, and NEE (the difference between GPP and $R_{eco}$) was −3.04 Mg C ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ for the year 2015. The growing season started after DOY 80 (March 21), when GPP became larger than $R_{eco}$. According to the aforementioned definition, the growing season ended at DOY 270 (September 27), after which $R_{eco}$ became larger than GPP until the end of the year 2015.

The length of the measurement period provides high confidence when averaging the carbon allocated to BVOC emissions. If this experiment would have lasted only the growing season, where the cumulative NEE was −5.82 Mg C ha$^{-1}$ and BVOC carbon emission was 19.21 kg C ha$^{-1}$, BVOCs would represent only 0.33% of NEE, which is half the year-long average. Furthermore, the weekly result of BVOC allocated carbon varied considerably during the growing season, from 0.09 to 1.14% of NEE, being the low values due to low BVOC emissions at the beginning and the end of the growing season.

Net annual emissions of BVOCs

Annual net isoprene emission represented 0.293% of assimilated carbon (in terms of mass), and stoichiometrically, one molecule of isoprene was emitted for every 1709 molecules of CO2 fixed (i.e., 148.1 mol isoprene ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ divided by 253167 mol CO2 ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$). Total BVOC emissions were mainly dominated by isoprene, acetic acid, and methanol, which together made up 73% of the carbon emitted and a total of 79% of all molecules emitted (Fig. 1). The net annual emission levels of isoprene and methanol were similar in terms of molecules, but once transformed to mass of carbon, isoprene contributed five times more than methanol to the carbon emissions, due to the five atoms of carbon contained in isoprene molecules. The individual contribution of other volatiles was minor in terms of carbon as well as in
amount of molecules. Few compounds (e.g., formaldehyde and ethyl salicylate) resulted in a negative net flux (Fig. 1).

Seasonal variations in the emission of major BVOCs

Carbon emissions in the form of BVOCs occurred during the growing season, reaching a maximum between June and mid-August (Fig. 2i–l). This was the period of the year with weekly averaged highest mean air temperature (15.7–22.9 °C, Fig. 2a), lowest mean relative air humidity (60–77%, Fig. 2b), highest vapor pressure deficit (0.45–1.05 kPa, Fig. 2c), highest mean PPFD (33–46 mol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\), Fig. 2e), and a high evapotranspiration (2.3–4.6 mm day\(^{-1}\), Fig. 2d).

Isoprene emission began few weeks after the onset of the growing season (Fig. 2j), denoting an increase in isoprene emissions as leaves developed until maximum leaf area index was achieved in mid-August, before bud set (information retrieved from Vanbeveren et al. (2016)). Isoprene emission followed the same seasonal trend as VPD (Fig. 2c) and PPFD (Fig. 2e), which presented lower values in mid-July than during the previous weeks. This lower emission was almost certainly due to a drop in photosynthetic activity during these days.

The highest carbon emissions as BVOCs peaked at 0.33 kg C ha\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) in weeks 27 (DOY 180–186, June 29–July 5) and 32 (DOY 215–221, August 3–9) (maxima in Fig. 2i) concurring with high air temperature, low relative humidity, high VPD, high \(H_2O\) flux, high PPFD, and high GPP. These BVOC emission peaks were dominated by isoprene emissions (0.210 and 0.144 kg C ha\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\), Fig. 2j), which represented 64% and 43% of the total BVOC carbon emission in weeks 27 and 32, respectively.

There was a heat wave from DOY 181–187 (June 30–July 6) characterized by at least five consecutive days with air temperature maxima over 25 °C, from which at least three days trespassed the 30 °C (as defined by the Royal Institute of Meteorology of Belgium; www.meteo.be/meteo). These extreme temperatures affected the isoprene emission by decreasing it when it was too hot, but not evapotranspiration nor \(CO_2\) assimilation. On DOY 181 (June 30), the highest isoprene emission of 0.376 kg C ha\(^{-1}\) (i.e., 6.275 mol ha\(^{-1}\)) was observed (daytime air temperature of 25.2 ± 4.0 °C, maximum temperature of 27.8 °C, mean relative air humidity of 53%, mean VPD of 1.22 kPa, mean \(H_2O\) flux of 5.6 mm day\(^{-1}\), and total PPFD of 52 mmol m\(^{-2}\)). The following day, DOY 182 (July 1), was the hottest (daytime air temperature of 30.3 ± 4.6 °C and maximum of 34.5 °C) and driest (mean RH of 44% and mean VPD of 1.82 kPa) day of the year, along with 54 mmol m\(^{-2}\) of PPFD throughout the day. Although evapotranspiration was sustained (mean \(H_2O\) flux of 6.3 mm day\(^{-1}\)) by these
not water-limited poplars, the prolonged heat on DOY 182 (July 1) exceeded the threshold for optimal temperature. This led to a drop in photosynthetic activity and consequently a drop in isoprene emission to 0.255 kg C ha\(^{-1}\) (i.e., 4.247 mol ha\(^{-1}\)).

Similar to isoprene, methanol was emitted throughout the growing season although the emission started earlier and lasted longer (DOY 75–233, March 16–August 21) than for isoprene. Overall, ecosystem carbon emitted as methanol was lower than as isoprene (Fig. 2k), but at a similar level in terms of molar quantities (Fig. 1). As for the seasonal dynamics, methanol emission increased during the beginning of the growing season and achieved a steady value during the summertime until the end of leaf growth in late August (Vanbeveren et al., 2016) similar to the seasonal dynamics of isoprene emissions. Carbon under the form of acetic acid was also emitted at a similar level than methanol and isoprene with an emission peak in the beginning of August (Fig. 2l).

**Diurnal patterns of isoprene emission, other BVOCs, and correlations with environmental parameters**

To compare the dynamics of BVOC emission rates with those of environmental variables, we selected the month of July, which was representative of the growing season. An average daily course has been graphically represented (Fig. 3). More data of the diurnal trends of 16 BVOCs, CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O fluxes, and meteorological variables in other months (March–October) are found in Figs S3–S6.

A typical day in July began at 05:30 when PPFD lighted the top of the canopy; PPFD became maximum at about 12:00–14:00, and the day ended at 20:30 (Fig. 3d; local time = GMT+1, no summertime daylight saving time correction). Air temperature and VPD had their maxima (minimum for air RH) around 16:00 to 18:00 (Fig. 3a–c). The isoprene emission during the day started at 06:00, peaked around 13:00–14:00, and ended at 20:30 (Fig. 3h). We observed a consistent time lag of about 1:00 to 1:30 h in the isoprene emission maxima with respect to the PPFD maxima throughout the month of July. This resulted in a hysteretic correlation with PPFD (Fig. 4a).
The emission of other BVOCs presented a similar diurnal trend, especially pronounced during the months of June, July, and August. This clearly was the case for acetaldehyde, acetic acid, benzoic acid (Fig. S3), hexenal, formaldehyde, methyl ethyl ketone, methanol (Fig. S4), methyl vinyl ketone and methacrolein, pentenal, pento- tone, and propene (Fig. S5). Other BVOCs presented less obvious diurnal trends. The month of October was in general low in BVOC emissions, and the month of April displayed high standard deviations over the monthly mean. The plantation was a net sink of formaldehyde (Fig. S4h–n). The 30-min flux data revealed a trend of a negative formaldehyde flux when its concentration in the air (VMR, volume mixing ratio) increased; the compensation point in the air was 0.53 ppb. As the measured formaldehyde VMR was always higher than the compensation point, the deposition was favored at the poplar plantation. Annual mean formaldehyde flux was \(-0.114 \text{ nmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\).

Isoprene emissions in July strongly correlated to air temperature and CO₂ fluxes (Fig. 4b, d). GPP and NEE followed a similar diurnal trend as isoprene emissions and as PPFD, whereas the trend of \(R_{\text{eco}}\) followed the dynamics of air temperature and VPD (Fig. 3e–g). As expected, GPP correlated very well with PPFD, and \(R_{\text{eco}}\) with air temperature and VPD (Fig. S7). Similar trends for other months and BVOCs were observed, as shown in Figs S3–S6.

**Discussion**

The proportion of carbon allocated to BVOC emissions highly depended on the temporal perspective as we observed from our one-year-long monitoring. The large variation in this proportion depending on the time period that is considered (week, month, year) clearly illustrated that the extrapolation of flux measurements over only a few weeks results in highly uncertain or wrong values. Several studies tried to quantify global BVOC emissions using modeling approaches (e.g., Ashworth *et al.* 2012, 2013b, Karl *et al.* 2009, Kesselmeier *et al.* 2002). Although these studies have some value, their simulated outcome and reliability are fairly questionable whether the data used for modeling and for extrapolation do not correctly capture the environmental
conditions or whether they are based on results from short-term monitoring (e.g., the extrapolation of short-term leaf or branch enclosure studies under laboratory conditions to ecosystem-scale BVOC fluxes and to annual carbon budgets). Bridging instantaneous leaf measurements to ecosystem-scale fluxes remains therefore a major challenge because canopies are characterized by a high level of heterogeneity of temperature and PPFD absorption (Ashworth et al., 2013a).

As for the units of BVOC emissions, we consider it important to report values in both mass of carbon and number of molecules. Generally, atmospheric chemists and plant physiologists prefer to report fluxes in terms of number of molecules (e.g., mmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). This allows to understand the chemical reactions within the leaf, the phyllosphere, or the atmosphere by their stoichiometry; emission rates can then be compared to the production of precursor metabolites, etc. On the other hand, scientists interested in biogeochemical cycles or in ecosystem productivity prefer to report these values based on mass of carbon, for which we have to count the number of carbon atoms in the structure of each volatile species. Two of the most emitted BVOCs in our ecosystem, isoprene and methanol, adequately illustrate the difference between both types of units. Reporting only the values in mass of carbon would give at first instance a biased view of the yield of these molecules in the atmosphere. Both contribute to subsequent reactions with hydroxyl radicals (·OH), although isoprene reacts much faster than methanol and also generates further products that can also react with ·OH.

**Percentage of assimilated carbon emitted as BVOCs**

A previous study (Brilli et al., 2016) conducted in 2012 at the same plantation (first year of the second rotation) reported that carbon emitted as BVOCs was 0.8% of NEE during the growing season, a value slightly higher than our current results. The poplar plantation has evolved toward a stronger net sink of carbon throughout the three rotations (Zenone et al., 2015): The NEE values of the second year of each rotation were -95.6 g C m$^{-2}$ in 2011, -275 g C m$^{-2}$ in 2013, and -304 g C m$^{-2}$ in 2015. The increasing carbon intake denotes that poplars could grow bigger at each rotation (achieving a higher leaf area index) helped by an already existing root system from the previous rotation. Despite this, the plantation was a net source of carbon on the first year of each rotation: NEE was 75.2 g C m$^{-2}$ in 2010 (starting on DOY 152), 151 g C m$^{-2}$ in 2012, and 70.2 g C m$^{-2}$ in 2014 (value not yet published). This reflected a sustained Reco carried out by a developed belowground tree biomass (Berhongaray et al., 2015) while GPP was suppressed due to the harvested aerial biomass, resulting in a carbon imbalance at the plantation. Accordingly, the percentage of carbon emitted as BVOCs may have also varied throughout the years and rotations. This makes it difficult to find a clear explanation to the variation in the carbon emitted as BVOCs between 2012 (the first year of the second rotation) and 2015 (the second year of the third rotation). The carbon emitted as BVOCs amounted to 0.49% of NEE during the same period studied by Brilli et al. (2016) in the year 2015, a smaller portion compared to the 0.8% calculated in 2012. As exposed previously, it is hard to accurately explain the effect of plantation age on the portion of carbon allocated to BVOCs in a recently established short-rotation coppice plantation.

Other studies reported values higher than ours for the *Populus* genus: 0.5–2% of NEE was emitted as BVOCs in a hybrid poplar in Boulder (CO, USA) with *P. deltoides* × *P. trichocarpa* hybrids being stronger...
emitters than *P. deltoides* × *P. nigra* hybrids (Eller et al., 2012). Moreover, *P. deltoides* and *P. nigra* emitted 0.55–1.31% of NEE as isoprene at the leaf level under maximum photosynthetic capacity conditions in Rome, Italy (Guidolotti et al., 2011). In contrast, a mixed temperate forest (oak, red maple, red pine, and hemlock) in Petersham (MA, USA) released a 2% of annual NEE as isoprene emissions (Goldstein et al., 1998) and a high-emitting nonstoring temperate oak forest in Ashland (MO, USA) emitted up to 5–10% of NEE as isoprene (Seco et al., 2015), while a coniferous *Pinus ponderosa* forest in the Sierra Nevada Mountains (CA, USA) emitted a 4% of the NEE annually as BVOC emissions (Bouvier-Brown et al., 2012). The higher percentage in the latter case was most probably related to the higher amount of isoprenoids synthesized and stored in resin ducts in pine needles (Portillo-Estrada et al., 2015a) that are absent in *Populus* leaves.

**Dynamics of isoprene emission and environmental drivers**

Isoprene emission is light- and temperature-dependent and related to ecosystem CO$_2$ intake (i.e., GPP). However, the observed hysteresis of the isoprene emission as a function of PPFD indicated a small lag in the response of the emission to the light input; this was also evident in the relationships of GPP with PPFD, and of Reco with VPD. This physiological hysteresis is due to a delay in the stomatal conductance response to light stimuli, already reported for *Populus* (Ceulemans et al., 1988). This lag in response could also partially reflect the time between leaf-level emissions and the detection by the eddy covariance tower due to developed mechanical turbulence and – more likely– the gas diffusion through the canopy.

From the long-term perspective, isoprene emissions did not represent a major carbon loss from this SRC plantation although the emission can be significant on a shorter time scale during hot days with optimal conditions for high photosynthetic activity (e.g., DOY 181) (Goldstein et al., 1998). The decrease in isoprene emission at DOY 182 (July 1) could reflect the temperature dependency of isoprene emission and its decay when leaf temperature trespasses the optimal temperature for isoprene synthase and DMAPD pool size, as reported for hybrid poplar (Rasulov et al., 2010). However, the productivity of the poplars at the SRC plantation was not substantially affected by the heat wave. This resulted in a sustained evaportranspiration of the poplars during the period of the lowest water table level (Horemans et al., 2017).

**Biological controls of the emission of other BVOCs**

The presence of methanol in the BVOC emission blend of poplar leaves is known (Huve et al., 2007). Methanol emission is related to cell elongation and division, and occurs more intensively in younger and expanding leaves (Brilli et al., 2011; Portillo-Estrada et al., 2017), remarkably in early spring, even before isoprene is emitted (Ghirardo et al., 2011). Therefore, a plantation of poplars, a fast-growing species that quickly unfolds its leaves in spring, generates a burst of methanol emission due to the many young and expanding leaves during this period. We observed that methanol – after spring – was steadily emitted during the growing season due to the acropetal development of poplar shoots. The latter implies that the leaf cohort always had a share of young and expanding leaves during the growing season. Later in the growing season, methanol emission could represent other leaf degenerative processes as leaf wounding by herbivores (Portillo-Estrada et al., 2015b), rust infection (Jiang et al., 2016), or programmed leaf senescence (Mozaffar et al., 2017).

Some of the BVOCs detected are oxygenated volatiles that are often by-products of key metabolic processes (Niinemets et al., 2014). This is the case of formic and acetic acid, acetone, formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, methanol, and ethanol (Seco et al., 2007). Their diurnal emission trends reflected a synchrony with the diurnal trends of the meteorological variables and plant metabolism, measured as CO$_2$ fixation and emission of isoprene. On the other hand, the diurnal trends of methyl ethyl ketone and methyl vinyl ketone + methacrolein reflected the oxidation of isoprene in the lower atmosphere or within the leaves (Brilli et al., 2016).

Ethanol is produced in anoxic conditions as a fermentation product of pyruvate (e.g., bacteria in the soil and plant roots). When produced in plant roots, it is transported in the xylem thanks to the transpiration flow and eventually emitted. Ethanol also oxidizes within the leaves to acetaldehyde and then to acetic acid (Kreuzwieser et al., 1999; Kreuzwieser & Rennenberg, 2013). Plant emission of acetic acid is light-dependent (Keselmeier & Staadt, 1999). We did, however, not find any significant relationship between net daily emissions of acetic acid and daily cumulated PPFD, despite the clear diurnal trends in June, July, and August. As there was no robust correlation with acetaldehyde, we assumed that acetic acid emission was more related to air and soil temperatures than to incoming light; it is mostly caused by soil microbial activity and litter decomposition (Gray et al., 2010; Hafner et al., 2013), because leaf litter is left to decompose on the ground of the plantation.
The poplar plantation was a net sink of formaldehyde. We found a higher average deposition (−0.055 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹, n = 7200) than in a previous study on the same SRC plantation (Brilli et al. (2016); −0.015 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹) for the period of June-October. This might probably be explained by differences in the developmental status of the soil structure, more developed root systems over time, and the accumulated increase in soil carbon content over the years observed at the site since its establishment (Berhongaray et al., 2017). More interesting is that the average deposition increased to −0.114 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ when accounting over the whole year, because formaldehyde was deposited also in April and May. This confirms that monitoring BVOC emissions before the start of the growing season is important. Formaldehyde, known to be a human carcinogen, does not accumulate in the atmosphere. Either it reacts fast with oxygen to form formic acid – which has a lower toxicity – or it is broken down by sunlight or by soil bacteria, or it is deposited to the vegetation facilitated by stomatal conductance and by a high volume mixing ratio in the air (Brilli et al., 2016).

This study confirmed the low significance of BVOC emissions in the carbon cycle compared to NEE; there is, thus, not much to correct the net ecosystem carbon exchange for BVOC emissions. However, our study emphasizes the importance of tracing BVOC emissions, their compounds, and amounts, to quantify the reactive carbon emitted to the atmosphere. Isoprene and metha-nol are the dominant molecules in the annual BVOC emissions, and the isoprene emissions are closely related to PPFD and to CO₂ fluxes.

Acknowledgements
We gratefully acknowledge the technical assistance of Jan Segers, the logistic support of Kristof Mouton as well as the help of Dr. Benjamino Gioli (CNR-IBIMET, Italy) with BVOC-flux calculations and the financial and logistic support received from ICOS-Belgium. This research has received funding from the European Commission’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) through the European Research Council as ERC grant agreement 233636 (POPFULL) and through the People Programme/Marie Curie Actions as REA grant agreement PIIF-GA-2013-624245 (SRF-OZO). Further support was provided by the Methusalem funding of the Flemish Community through the Research Council of the University of Antwerp as well by the Flemish Science Foundation (FWO, Brussels).

References
Allen RG, Walter IA, Elliott R, Howell T, Irideis D, Jensen M (eds) (2005) The ASCE Standardized Reference Evapotranspiration Equation. Environmental and Water Resources Institute of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Reston, Virginia, USA.
Ashworth K, Folketh G, Hewitt CN, Wild O (2012) Impacts of near-future cultivation of biofuel feedstocks on atmospheric composition and local air quality. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 919–939.
Ashworth K, Beissard C, Folketh G, Lathière J, Schurgers G (2013a) Global modelling of volatile organic compound emissions. In: Biology, Controls and Models of Tree Volatile Organic Compound Emissions (eds Niinemets U, Monson SK), pp. 451–487. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.
Ashworth K, Wild O, Hewitt CN (2013b) Impacts of biofuel cultivation on mortality and crop yields. Nature Climate Change, 3, 492–496.
Bamberger I, Hört nagl L, Walser M, Hanel S, Wohlfahrt G (2014) Gap-filling strategies for annual VOC flux data sets. Biogeosciences, 11, 2429–2442.
Berhongaray G, Verlinden MS, Broeckx LS, Ceulemans R (2015) Changes in belowground biomass after coppice in two Populus genotypes. Forest Ecology and Management, 337, 1–10.
Berhongaray G, Verlinden MS, Broeckx LS, Jaronses IA, Ceulemans R (2017) Soil carbon and belowground carbon balance of a short-rotation coppice: assessments from three different approaches. Global Change Biology Bioenergy, 9, 299–313.
Bouvier-Brown NC, Schade GW, Misson L, Lee A, Mckay M, Goldstein AH (2012) Contributions of biogenic volatile organic compounds to net ecosystem carbon flux in a ponderosa pine plantation. Atmospheric Environment, 60, 527–533.
Brilli F, Ruuskamen TM, Schützholdser R et al. (2011) Detection of plant volatiles after leaf wounding and darkening by proton transfer reaction “time-of-flight” mass spectrometry (PTR-TOF). PLoS ONE, 6, e20419.
Brilli F, Gioli B, Zona D et al. (2014) Simultaneous leaf- and ecosystem-level fluxes of volatile organic compounds from a poplar-based SRC plantation. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 187, 22–35.
Brilli F, Gioli B, Fares S et al. (2016) Rapid leaf development drives the seasonal pattern of volatile organic compound (VOC) fluxes in a ‘coppiced’ bioenergy poplar plantation. Plant, Cell and Environment, 39, 539–555.
Broeckx LS, Verlinden MS, Ceulemans R (2012) Establishment and two-year growth of a bio-energy plantation with fast-growing Populus trees in Flanders (Belgium): effects of genotype and former land use. Biomass and Bioenergy, 42, 151–163.
Calipietra C, Mugnozza GS, Karmosky DF, Loreto F, Sharkey TD (2008) Isoprene emission rates under elevated CO₂ and O₃ in two field-grown aspen clones differing in their sensitivity to O₂. New Plantologist, 179, 55–61.
Cappellin L, Karl T, Probst M et al. (2012) On quantitative determination of volatile organic compound concentrations using proton transfer reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Environmental Science and Technology, 46, 2283–2290.
Ceulemans R, Impens I, Imler R (1988) Stomatal conductance and stomatal behavior in Populus clones and hybrids. Canadian Journal of Botany, 66, 1404–1414.
Eskildsen AD, De Gouw J, Graus M, Monson RK (2012) Variation among different genotypes of hybrid poplar with regard to leaf volatile organic compound emissions. Ecological Applications, 22, 1865–1875.
Fares S, Park JH, Gentner DR, Weber R, Ormenzo E, Karkl J, Goldstein AH (2012) Seasonal cycles of biogenic volatile organic compound fluxes and concentrations in a California citrus orchard. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 9865–9880.
Ghirardo A, Gutknecht J, Zimmer I, Bruggemann N, Schnitzler JP (2011) Biogenic volatile organic compound and respiratory CO₂ emissions after 13C-labeling: online tracing of C translocation dynamics in poplar plants. PLoS ONE, 6, e17393.
Goldstein AH, Goudlen ML, Munger JW, Wofsy SC, Geron CD (1998) Seasonal course of isoprene emissions from a midlatitude deciduous forest. Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 103, 31045–31056.
Gray CM, Monson RK, Fierer N (2010) Emissions of volatile organic compounds during the decomposition of plant litter. Journal of Geophysical Research-Bioscience, 115, G03015.
Guenther A, Erickson D et al. (1995) A global-model of natural volatile organic compound emissions. Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 100, 8873–8892.
Guidotti G, Calipietra C, Loreto F (2011) The relationship between isoprene emission, CO₂ assimilation and water use efficiency across a range of poplar genotypes. Physiologia Plantarum, 142, 297–304.
Hafner SD, Howard C, Muck RE et al. (2013) Emission of volatile organic compounds from silage: compounds, sources, and implications. Atmospheric Environment, 77, 827–839.
Honemans JA, Van Gaalen H, Baes D, Zonne T, Ceulemans R (2017) Can the agricultural Aquacrop model simulate water use and yield of a poplar short-rotation coppice? Global Change Biology Bioenergy, 9, 1151–1164.
Horst TW, Lenschone DH (2009)Annihilation of scalar fluxes measured with spatially-displaced sensors. Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 130, 275–300.
Huje K, Christ MM, Kleist E, Uerlings R, Niinemets U, Walter A, Wild J (2007) Simultaneous growth and emission measurements demonstrate an interactive
control of methanol release by leaf expansion and stomata. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 58, 1783–1793.

Ibrahim A, Dollwik E, Flyvbjerg H, Jensen NO, Pilegaard K (2007) Strong low-pass filtering effects on water vapour flux measurements with closed-path eddy correlation systems. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 147, 140–156.

Jiang YF, Ye JY, Veromann LL, Niinemets U (2016) Scaling of photosynthesis and constitutive and induced volatile emissions with severity of leaf infection by rust fungus (*Melampsora larici-populina*) in *Pulsatilla bistortae var. suecurens*. *Tree Physiology*, 36, 856–872.

Karl M, Guenther A, Kohle R, Leip A, Seufert G (2009) A new European plant-specific emission inventory of biogenic volatile organic compounds for use in atmospheric transport models. *Biogeosciences*, 6, 1059–1087.

Kesselmeier J, Staudt M (1999) Biogenic volatile organic compounds (VOC): an overview on emission, physiology and ecology. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 33, 23–88.

Kesselmeier J, Cicicoli P, Kuhn U et al. (2002) Volatile organic compound emissions in relation to plant carbon fixation and the terrestrial carbon budget. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 16, 1126.

Kreuzwieser J, Rennenberg H (1999) Metabolic origin of acetalddehyde emitted by poplar (*Populus tremula* x *P. alba*) trees. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 50, 757–765.

Kuzma J, Fall R (1993) Leaf isoprene emission rate is dependent on leaf development and the level of isoprene synthase. *Plant Physiology*, 101, 435–440.

Maxder M, Foken T (2015) *Documentation and Instruction Manual of the Eddy Covariance Software Package TK3 (update).* University of Bayreuth, Bayreuth, Germany.

Misztal PK, Nemitz E, Langford B et al. (2011) Direct ecosystem fluxes of volatile organic compounds from oil palms in South-East Asia. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 157, 88.

Monsen RK, Lerdau MT, Sharkey TD, Schimel DS, Fall R (1995) Biological aspects of fungus (*Melampsora larici-populina*) in *Pulsatilla bistortae var. suecurens*. *Tree Physiology*, 36, 856–872.

Monson RK, Verdier M, Loreto F (1993) Water-stress, temperature, and light effects on the capacity for isoprene emission and photosynthesis of *kudzu* leaves. *Ecology*, 75, 328–333.

Sharkey TD, Yeh SS (2001) Isoprene emission from plants. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology*, 52, 407–436.

Vanbeveren SFP, Bloom J, Balzarolo M, Broeckx LS, Sarzì-Falchi I, Verlinden MS, Ceulemans R (2016) A comparative study of four approaches to assess phenology of *Populus* in a short-rotation coppice culture. *Forest-Biogeochemistry and Forestry*, 9, 682–689.

Verlinden MS, Broeckx LS, Ceulemans R (2015) First vs. second rotation of a poplar short rotation coppice: above-ground biomass productivity and shoot dynamics. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 73, 174–185.

Zenone T, Fischer M, Arriga N et al. (2015) Biophysical drivers of the carbon dioxide, water vapor, and energy exchanges of a short-rotation poplar coppice. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 209, 22–35.

Zenone T, Hendriks C, Brilli F et al. (2016) Interaction between isoprene and ozone fluxes in a poplar plantation and its impact on air quality at the European level. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 32676.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article:

**Figure S1.** Scheme of volatile organic compound data acquisition with the PTR-TOF-MS.

**Figure S2.** Example of analysis of a multipeak with the ‘Multipeak’ built-in tool in the software PTR-MS Viewer v3.2 (Ionicorn, Innsbruck, Austria).

**Figure S3.** Diurnal trends per month of BVOC emissions at the poplar short-rotation plantation in year 2015. Average ± SE (n = days of month).

**Figure S4.** Diurnal trends per month of BVOC emissions at the poplar short-rotation plantation in year 2015. Average ± SE (n = days of month).

**Figure S5.** Diurnal trends per month of BVOC emissions at the poplar short-rotation plantation in year 2015. Average ± SE (n = days of month).

**Figure S6.** Diurnal trends per month of environmental parameters, water and CO2 fluxes as GPP (gross primary production), Reco (ecosystem respiration), and NEE (net ecosystem exchange).

**Figure S7.** Correlations of CO2 fluxes and environmental parameters in July 2015.

**Table S1.** List of ion masses and molecular formulae measured with the PTR-TOF-MS.