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ABSTRACT

Climate change is a major threat to most of crops grown globally in subtropics and tropics. One of the consequences of climate change is drought, which has a negative effect on crop growth and productivity. However, present research drought affected breed wheat was tested to examine the quality of wheat selection for different stage using morphological and phenological traits. Ten genotypes along with two local check varieties were tested in randomized complete block design were used for this experiment. The experiment was conducted in the field of Southern Wheat Research Station, Agriculture Research Institute, Tandojam, in winter season to determine the different levels of fillers per plant, growth vigor, early growth habit, plant type, Leaf type, content of proline in (μ mol. g⁻¹ fresh wt), osmotic potential in (-Mpa) and relative water content in (%) analyzed for significance. The genotypes showed positive significantly different in response to studied traits. Ten genotypes were sown in three replications, in two blocks. The genotypes were significantly different in response to the moisture stress. There were highly significant differences for all traits. The positive association for studied the parameters between the field trials demonstrated that greater seedling vigor of root and shoot is key factor influencing in wheat. Therefore, our finding suggested that these genotypes had potential to grow well and perform better than other genotypes and check varieties.

Keywords: Evaluation, Early growth, Moisture stress, Osmotic potential, Relative water content.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is grown in more than 85 countries with approximately 2.1 million total hand-picked area, and it subsidizes about 20% of the world’s dietary calories and protein subsidies [1]. In arid areas, there is a severe shortage of water resources, where annual transportation is less than 1500 mm and rainfall are less than 200 mm [2]. Abiotic stresses curtailing wheat production, climatic conditions (biotic and Abiotic) factors have the most detrimental effects in rain-fed environments [3]. Its status is imperative among cereal crops, as of its nutritional value and highly consumption. The rapid increasing population and better lifestyle reduce new challenges for wheat scientists to breed new varieties with improved yield, quality, and resistance in contradiction of biotic and abiotic stresses [4]. It is used as a staple food for half of the world’s populace, consequently considered as a strategic crop [5]. The global warming and climate change are changing the weather’s pattern worldwide. These climatic conditions and hot weather generally affect not only the total amount of rainfall in a particular season, but also the frequency, duration, and harshness of water stress in the crops at different growth stages [6].

In wheat development programs, testing and identification of lines is the first and important step in crop improvement from the introduction of improved plant material. The promise of the genotypes for commercial production can be...
reliably brought about by high productivity, good adaptation and agricultural characteristics required. Nevertheless, an effective and high hybridization programs in the place, and maintains a promise for the success of such hybridization programs, evaluating important bacterial variations and current germ pad pattern [7]. The wheat breeders are focusing on the improvement for yield potential of wheat crop by evolving new cultivars having promising genetic composition in order to cope with the consumption pressure of ever-increasing world population [8]. Another strategy, Identification of genomic regions linkage with breeder’s interest’s traits, including yield of the grain under minimal water can help breeders and scientists in different ways. These improve the enhancement of breeding germplasm pools with significant and positive alleles as well as direct introgression through the selection of the marker assisted parameters.

Hence, wheat breeders strive to gain a comprehensive information on the extent and genetic basis of the variability regarding vital parameters in wheat genotypes. Thus, present studies were designed to determine performance of wheat morphophysiological attributes to obtain the information on the nature and magnitude of genetic components of variation controlling the expression of yield and its components attributes in hexaploid wheat.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Current research was conducted to examine mean performance of early growth characterization of ten inbred lines along with two local check varieties of bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) genotypes. Ten genotypes along with two local check varieties were used for this experiment, sown in Randomized Complete Block Design. These genotypes are of different in characters from each other. Each replicate is randomized separately. Each treatment has the same probability of being assigned to a given experimental unit within a replicate. Each treatment must appear at least once per replicate. A field trial was conducted in the growing seasons, to determine the mean performance on early stage of wheat genotypes at Southern Wheat Research Station, Agriculture Research Institute, Tandojam. The experiment was laid out under split plot design with three replications arranged in a plot of size 3×1.2 m (3.6 m²) having four rows each of three meter long.

A. Statistical Analysis

For the research experimental the ANOVA was used to evaluate the mean performance of wheat genotypes on early growth stages of wheat genotypes. The collected data were subjected to the statistical analysis of variance according to the statistical methods developed by Gomez and Gomez [9]. DMRT (Duncan’s Multiple Range Test) was calculated for the comparison of means according to Duncan [10].

III. RESULTS

Data recorded on various early growth components were analyzed statistically and mean squares obtained from examination of variance.

A. Analysis of Variance

Reasonable differences for morphological and phenological traits. Tillers per plant, growth vigor, early growth habit, plant type, Leaf type, content of proline in μ mol. g⁻¹ fresh wt, osmotic potential in (Mpa) and relative water content in (%) performed significantly different for studied traits. It indicated a large amount of genetic variability present in genotypes wheat which could be put into practice for the upcoming breeding programmes for the improvement and development and of wheat genotypes.

B. Tillers Plant⁻¹

The overall mean for tillers plant⁻¹ of all wheat genotypes showed significant differences (P<0.05). Highly significant differences (P<0.05) for the trait tillers plant⁻¹ were recorded in wheat genotypes at (Table III, Fig. 1). Highly significant differences ranged from 20.6 in V12 to 28 in V4.

C. Early Growth Vigour

The overall mean for early growth vigour of all wheat genotypes showed significant differences (P<0.001). V12 (38.26 cm) showed significant increase in early growth vigour as compared to V7 (30.66 cm). Highly significant differences (P<0.05) for the trait early growth vigour were recorded in all wheat genotypes (Table III, Fig. 1).

D. Early Ground Cover

The overall mean for early ground cover of wheat genotypes showed significant differences (P<0.05). V12 (6.33) showed significant increase in early ground cover as compared to V7 (3.85) which indicated significant decrease (Table III, Fig. 2).

E. Proline Content

The overall mean for the proline content in wheat genotypes observed that all the genotypes contained accretion and exhibited proline in the leaf. V12 (10.2) showed significant increase in Proline content as compared to V2 (3.72). Highly significant differences (P<0.05) for the trait proline content were recorded in all wheat genotypes (Table III, Fig. 2).

F. Osmotic Potential

The overall mean for the Osmotic potential in wheat genotypes observed that all the genotypes contained accretion and exhibited osmotic potential in the leaf. V9 (0.801) showed significant increase in osmotic potential as compared to V7 (0.55). Highly significant differences (P<0.05) for the trait osmotic potential were recorded in all wheat genotypes (Table III, Fig. 2).

G. Relative Water Content

The overall mean for the relative water content in wheat genotypes observed that all the genotypes contained accretion and exhibited osmotic potential in the leaf. V8 (84.5) showed significant increase in osmotic potential as compared to V12 (73.77). Highly significant differences (P<0.05) for the trait relative water content were recorded in all wheat genotypes (Table III, Fig. 1).
the improvement of any character, plant breeders mostly count on the combining ability of parents determined by various mating designs [11]. Therefore, nowadays, the wheat varieties are improved through different conventional and nonconventional procedures by incorporating single or multiple traits into the wheat genome and expression of these

TABLE I: MEAN SQUARES FROM ANOVA OF DIFFERENT TRAITS OF WHEAT

| Source of variation | D.F. | No. T | E. G. V | E. G. C | P. T | L. T | Proline | O. P | RWC |
|---------------------|------|-------|---------|---------|------|------|---------|------|------|
| Replications        | 2    | 1.64  | 14.77   | 0.58    | 7.57 | 5.87 | 71.2    | 9543.94 | 27.33 |
| Genotypes           | 11   | 3.64**| 9.62**  | 1.90**  | 12.50** | 9.75* | 30276.60**| 9252.07** | 2942.7*** |
| Error               | 22   | 1.30  | 16.20   | 1.67    | 10.50 | 12.25 | 9       | 9552.7 | 105.05 |
| Total               | 35   |       |         |         |      |      |         |       |      |

* Significant at 0.05 level of probability. ** significant at 0.01 level of probability.

TABLE II: MEAN PERFORMANCE FOR PLANT TYPE AND LEAF TYPE IN TWO BLOCKS

| S. No. | Plant type | Leaf type | Plant type | Leaf type |
|--------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| 01     | S. Prostrate | Broad Leaf | Prostrate | Broad Leaf |
| 02     | S. Erect | Narrow Leaf | Erect | Narrow Leaf |
| 03     | Prostrate | Broad Leaf | Prostrate | Broad Leaf |
| 04     | S. Prostrate | Broad Leaf | Prostrate | Broad Leaf |
| 05     | Erect | Narrow Leaf | S. Prostrate | Broad Leaf |

TABLE III: MEAN PERFORMANCE OF DIFFERENT TRAITS OF WHEAT GENOTYPES

| Genotypes | Tillers/plant | Early Growth Vigour | Early Growth Cover | Proline Content | Osmotic Potential | Relative Water Content |
|-----------|---------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| 1         | 26.2          | 33.13               | 5.66               | 8.41            | 0.537             | 81.14                 |
| 2         | 25.8          | 32.4                | 4.33               | 8.44            | 0.587             | 76.95                 |
| 3         | 26.6          | 33.2                | 4.33               | 3.72            | 0.791             | 70.71                 |
| 5         | 28            | 35.3                | 4.33               | 8.3             | 0.692             | 78.32                 |
| 6         | 24.8          | 35.3                | 4.33               | 9.35            | 0.707             | 83.27                 |
| 7         | 26            | 32.6                | 4.33               | 6.38            | 0.718             | 78.99                 |
| 8         | 25            | 30.6                | 3.85               | 8.52            | 0.55              | 74.28                 |
| 9         | 24.4          | 35.86               | 4.33               | 7.86            | 0.593             | 84.5                  |
| 10        | 25.8          | 34.53               | 4.25               | 4.65            | 0.801             | 75.31                 |
| 11        | 26            | 36.53               | 4.66               | 8.01            | 0.699             | 79.72                 |
| 12        | 27.4          | 34.25               | 4.66               | 9.35            | 0.715             | 74.53                 |

IV. DISCUSSION

Trait improvements such as higher yields have remained important objectives of wheat breeders for many decades. For the improvement of any character, plant breeders mostly employ nonconventional procedures by incorporating single or multiple traits into the wheat genome and expression of these
traits is also regulated for the wheat hybrid development [12]. Significant differences for morphological and phenological traits. All characters performed significantly different for studied traits. It indicated a large amount of genetic variability present in genotypes wheat which could be put into practice for the upcoming breeding programmes for the improvement and development of wheat genotypes. Previous workers like [13] found the same results for such characters in wheat genotypes. Our results were also in agreement of Sootaher et al. [14] who worked on wheat. These results were also observed by [15, [6]. These results were also articulated by [17, [18], and suggested that the genotypes having genetic variability for different characters must be chosen for future breeding.

Highly significant and positive differences were revealed among accessions for all studied parameters, identify the dissimilarity in germplasm. Moderate variability of properties showed ropes that an achievable variability in performance compared to the genotype tested for the study, those with the best performance were considered on early growth characterization. The results indicated that ten genotypes along with two local check varieties produced significantly the highest tillers per plant, significant increase in early growth vigor, highly increase in early ground cover and semi-erect nature of growth habit whereas; all other genotypes showed the erect nature including two check varieties in early growth habit. The overall mean for tillers plant$^{-1}$ of all wheat genotypes showed significant decrease in tillers plant$^{-1}$. It was observed that all the cultivars contained accentuation and exhibited proline in the leaf. Comparing the proline content among the cultivars, it was a great variation.

In our research trail, the osmotic potential (-MPa) of wheat cultivars were extremely notable (p≤0.05). Escalating of water paucity in wheat cultivars were significantly affected on the leaf’s osmotic potential. In terminal drought mean value of OP (1.20 –MPa) was superior. Variance analysis results revealed that relative water content (%) in the wheat cultivars become thoroughly significant (p<0.05). The relative percentage of water contents become increased with terminal drought for all studied traits. Akbar et al. [19] also reported good results for this trait in wheat. Kalhoro et al. [20] and Kumbhar et al. [21] who articulated the same results as the present results for determining number of tillers in a single plant and other different characters of wheat. Similar results were also found by [22]. On the other hand, Baloch et al. [23] and Kachi et al. [24] added that success in the enlargement of high yielding and widely adapted hybrids nevertheless is governed by good contribution of good genotypes for hybridization and selection programmes to develop breeding material with medium taller plant height for hybrid wheat development. Sharma and Jaiswal [25] also observed better results for such valuable characters of wheat. Such results had also been confirmed by Padhar et al. [26] and Hijam et al. [27] in his experiment working with the crop of wheat on different morphophysiological characters.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Author sincerely appreciate Dr. Ali Gohar Chang and all the authors for their and contributions through all research work.

REFERENCES

[1] FAO. Statistics, World Food and Agriculture—Statistical Pocketbook; FAO: Rome, Italy (2018).

[2] G. Bentivenga, A. Spina, K. Ammar, M. Allegre, and S. O. Cacciola, Screening of durum wheat (Triticum turgidum L.)...: Plants, 10(1) (2021) 68-74.

[3] A. Patn stable, M. Dehbeck, V. Klynisky, L. Merchuk-Ovhat, Z. Peleg, F. Ordin, T. Shamaa, A. Kurool, Y. Saranga, and T. Kromgan, Genomic Architecture of Phenotypic Plasticity in Response to Water Stress in Tetratiploid Wheat. International Journal of Molecular Science, 22(4) (2021) 1723.

[4] J. Kumar, K. M. Rai, S. Purseyerd, E. M. Elias, S. Xu, R. Dill-Macky, and S. F. Kianian, Epigenetic regulation of gene expression improves Fusarium head blight resistance in durum wheat. Science Reproduction, 10. (2016) 2176.

[5] A. L. Sival, F. K. Sival, and T. Jatt, Yield from genetic variability of bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) genotypes under water stress condition: A case study of Toandojam, Sindhi. Pure and Applied Biology, 10(3) (2021) 841-866.

[6] B. Ghimire, S. Sapkota, B. A. Bahri, A. D. Martinez-Espinosa, J. W. Buck, and M. Mergoum Fusarium head blight and rust diseases in soft red winter wheat in the Southeastern United States: State of the art, challenges and future perspectives for breeding. Frontiers in Plant Science, 11. (2020) 1068.

[7] J. K. Haile, A. N. Diaye, S. Walkowiak, K. T. Nilsen, J. M. Clarke, H. R. Kuchter, B. Steiner, H. Buerstmayr, C. J. Pozniak, Fusarium head blight in durum wheat; Recent status, breeding directions, and future research prospects. Phytopathology, 109, (2019), 664–1675.

[8] A. L. Sival, Effect of bio fertilizer in addition with phosphorus on the growth of maize (Zea may z L.). International Journal of Advance Research, 5(12) (2017) 527-532.

[9] K. A. Gomez, and A. A. Gomez, Statistical procedures for agricultural research (Second Edition). New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1984.

[10] D. B. Duncan, Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biomometrics, 11(1) (1955) 1-42.

[11] N. Solangi, W. A. Jatoi, M. J. Baloch, M. Sial, A. H. Solangi, and S. Memon, Heterosis and combining ability estimates for assessing potential parents to develop F$^2$ hybrids in upland cotton. The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences 29, (2019) 1362-1373.

[12] Akanksha, and H. K. Jaiswal, Combining ability studies for yield and quality parameters in basmati rice (Oryza sativa L.) genotypes using diallel approach. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 10, (2019) 9-17.

[13] K. K. Bazai, M. Baloch, J. K. Sootaher, T. A. Baloch, M. Naeem, T. F. Abro, M. S. Chang, and K. K. Menghwar, Correlation, heritability and genetic distance analysis in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) genotypes. Proceedings of the Pakistan Academy of Sciences: B. Life and Environmental Sciences, 57(1) (2020) 75-83.

[14] J. K. Sootaher, T. F. Abro, Z. A. Soomro, M. K. Soothar, T. A. Baloch, K. K. Menghwar, M. Kachi, M. A. Mastoi, and T. A. Soomro, Assessment of genetic variability and heritability for grain yield and its associated traits in F$^2$ populations of bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Pure and Applied Biology, 9(1) (2020) 36-45.

[15] K. Laghari, M. Baloch, J. K. Sootaher, T. A. Baloch, M. K. Menghwar, M. Kachi, Z. M. Kumbhar, W. H. Shah, M. K. Soothar, and I. Daupottnoor, Correlation and Heritability Analysis in Rapeseed (Brassica napus L.) Genotypes. Pure and Applied Biology, 9(1) (2020) 507-516.

[16] F. H. Laghari, S. N. Mari, J. K. Sootaher, A. A. Gadahi, M. S. Chang, A. Maree, Z. Channa, and A. G. Shah, Heritability and genetic advance studies in F$^2$ population of bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). International Journal of Biology and Biotechnology, 18(1) (2021) 157-167.

[17] T. F. Abro, P. K. Oud, J. K. Sootaher, K. K. Menghwar, T. A. Soomro, A. A. Shaikha, H. A. Bhatri, M. S. Chang, W. H. Shah, and Z. Channa, Genetic variability and character association between grain yield and oil content traits in sunflower (Helianthus annus L.). International Journal of Biology and Biotechnology, 17(4) (2020) 701-706.

[18] P. A. Shar, J. K. Sootaher, Z. A. Soomro, T. F. Abro, A. H. Shar, M. S. Chang, A. A. Soomro, N. A. Rind, and K. H. Rind, Interrelationship for yield and yield associated traits in mustard (Brassica juncea L.). Pure and Applied Biology, 9(3) (2020) 1988-1994.

[19] M. Akbar, M. Saleem, M. Y. Ashraf, A. Husain, F. M. Azhar, and R. Ahmad, Combining ability studies for physiological and grain yield traits in maize at two temperature regimes. Pakistan Journal Botany, 41, (2009) 1817-1829.

[20] F.A. Kalhoro, A. A. Rajpar, S. A. Kalhoro, A. Mahar, A. Ali, S. A. Otho, R. N. Soomro, F. Ali, and Z.A. Baloch, Heterosis and combining

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24018/ejbio.2021.2.2.177
ability in F1 population of hexaploid wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). American Journal of Plant Sciences, 6, (2015) 1011-1026.

[21] Z. M. Kumbhar, W. A. Jatoi, J. K. Sootaher, M. I. Baloch, A. A. Gadahi, K. K. Menghwar, M. S. Chang, and M. Kachi, Studies on correlation and heritability estimates in upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) genotypes under the agro-climatic conditions of Tandojam, Sindh, Pakistan. Pure and Applied Biology, 9(4) (2020) 2272-2278.

[22] J. A. Khyber, F. Soomro, W. D. Sipio, A. W. Baloch, J. K. Sootaher, M. K. Sootahar, and Z. Ali, Evaluation of bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) genotypes for drought tolerance through selection indices. Journal of Horticulture and Plant Research, 7, (2020) 40-52.

[23] M. J. Baloch, J. A. Solangi, W. A. Jatoi, I. H. Rind, and F. M. Halo, Heterosis and specific combining ability estimates for assessing potential crosses to develop F1 hybrids in upland cotton. Pakistan Journal of Agriculture, Agricultural Engineering and Veterinary Sciences, 30, (2014) 8-18.

[24] M. Kachi, T. F. Abro, J. K. Sootaher, T. A. Baloch, M. A. Mastoi, T. A. Soomro, K. K. Menghwar, G. M. Jadgal, M. S. Chang, and W. H. Shah, Estimation of heritability and genetic advance in F2 populations of bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) genotypes. International Journal of Biosciences, 16(2) (2020) 286-295.

[25] A. Sharma, and H. K. Jaiswal, Combining ability analysis for grain yield and quality traits in basmati rice (Oryza sativa L.). Plant Archives, 20, (2020) 2367-2373.

[26] P. R. Padhar, R. B. Madaria, J. H. Vachhani, and K. L. Dobraiya, Combining ability analysis of grain yield and its contributing characters in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) under late sown condition. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 6, (2010) 267-272.

[27] C. Hijam, N. B. Singh, and J. M. Laishram, Diallel analysis of yield and its important components in aromatic rice (Oryza sativa L.). Indian Journal of Agricultural Research, 53, (2019) 67-72.

Ayaz Latif Siyal was born in Pacca Chang, District Khasspur Mir’s Sindh Pakistan on 10th of July 1993. Mr. Siyal is working at Research and Development Foundation (RDF) Sindh as Agriculture Officer. He obtained a master’s degree in Plant Breeding and Genetics from Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan. He acquired extensive experience at different designations in various leading organizations. Mr. Siyal has a wide experience in field research and produced a number of research articles in his career. His research interests are discovery and evaluate new varieties of Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) for Human consumption. It is worth mentioning here that he is author of a book “Gateway to Plant Breeding” and furthermore he has more than ten research articles in research journals of International repute to his credit. He participated and presented research papers in International conferences and workshops.

Ali Gohar Chang is a renowned educationist known for his quality education at school level. He has Master’s in Science (Botany) and Master’s in Education. He is pursuing PhD in Education from the Aga Khan University. Currently, he is associated with Sukkur IBA University. He has worked with a wide range of government, semi-government, and private organizations in Sindh province of Pakistan.

Dr. Nasiruddin Shaikh is working as a Professor in Department of Botany, Govt. College University Hyderabad. He did his Ph.D. in Molecular Physiology from Robert Hill Institute at University of Sheffield U.K. Dr. Shaikh is a dedicated researcher and an education specialist working on various high-profile assignments in different public institutions connected with the field of Education.

Dr. Tahira Jatt was born in Sakrand, District Sukkur IBA, Sindh, Pakistan on 21st of August 1995. She did Ph.D. in Cytogenetics. Dr. Jatt is working as an Assistant Professor in Shah Abdul Latif University Khairpur Sindh Pakistan. She is skilled in Cytogenetics techniques and Crop Sciences. She has published her research in peer reviewed journal.

Jay Kumar Sootaher was born on 1st January 1993 in a small village Moosa Khatian near Tandojam, District, Hyderabad, Sindh, Pakistan. He achieved his Bachelor of Science (Agriculture) Honours and Master of Science (Agriculture) Honours from the Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Faculty of Crop Production, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Sindh Pakistan.

Muhammad Saleem Chang was born on 21st January 1992 in a small village Mir Khan Chang near Talhar City, District Tando Muhammad Khan Sindh Pakistan. He achieved his bachelor’s and master’s degree in department of Agronomy, Faculty of Crop Production, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam Sindh Pakistan.