Tunable structural and optical properties of CuInS$_2$ colloidal quantum dots as photovoltaic absorbers $^\dagger$
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Facile phase selective synthesis of CuInS$_2$ (CIS) nanostructures has been an important pursuit because of the opportunity for tunable optical properties of the phases, and in this respect is investigated by hot-injection colloidal synthesis in this study. Relatively monodispersed colloidal quantum dots (3.8–5.6 nm) of predominantly chalcopyrite structure synthesized at 140, 180 and 210 °C over 60 minutes from copper(ii) hexafluoroacetylacetonate hydrate and indium(iii) diethylidithiocarbamate precursors exhibit temperature-dependent structural variability. The slightly off-stoichiometric quantum dots are copper-deficient in which copper vacancies (V$^\ast_{Cu}$), indium interstitials (In$^\ast_{In}$), indium–copper anti-sites (In$^\ast_{Cu}$) and surface trapping states are likely implicated in broad photoluminescence emission with short radiative lifetimes, $\tau_1$, $\tau_2$, and $\tau_3$ of 1.5–2.1, 7.8–13.9 and 55.2–70.8 ns and particle-size dependent tunable band gaps between 2.25 and 2.32 eV. Further structural and optical tunability ($E_g$ between 2.03 and 2.28 eV) is achieved with possible time-dependent wurtzite to chalcopyrite phase transformation at 180 °C likely involving a dynamic interplay of kinetic and thermodynamic factors.

Introduction

Multinary copper chalcogenide nanocrystals (NCs) such as copper indium sulphide (CuInS$_2$) are an extraordinarily interesting class of materials. This is because of their (i) excellent intrinsic functional properties, including direct band gaps appropriate for solar energy utility, remarkable charge carrier mobilities and densities, (ii) versatility of structure, composition, and stoichiometry, including large off-stoichiometry and abundant non-stoichiometric phases and (iii) earth abundance, low cost, environmental and benign health effects compared to cadmium and lead-based counterparts.$^{1-5}$ Additionally, metal chalcogenide NCs smaller than twice the Bohr exciton radius, i.e. quantum dots (QDs), typically exhibit a unique combination of high optical absorptivity, photoluminescence with high quantum yields (QYs) and strong particle size-dependent band gap tunability.$^6$ These, in combination allow for broad variation of photophysical properties attractive for more spectrally adaptive thin film and quantum dot solar cells.

As the more thermodynamically stable phase, the chalcopyrite (CH) structure of CIS has been mostly studied because of its tolerance for a large range of anion and cation off-stoichiometry which allows doping defects of either p- or n-type conductivity, tunable band gap and photoluminescence properties. These tunable properties are influenced by the presence of intrinsic defects such as sulphur vacancies (V$^\ast_{S}$) and interstitials (In$^\ast_{S}$) as donors and copper vacancies (V$^\ast_{Cu}$) as acceptors; types implicated in the off-stoichiometric phases. In addition to the chalcopyrite (CH) phase that is stable up to 980 °C, the phase diagram of the Cu$_2$S–In$_2$S$_3$ ternary system suggests that CuInS$_2$ exists in two other crystal phases: (i) zinc blende (ZB) between 980 and 1045 °C and (ii) wurtzite (WZ) between 1045 and 1090 °C, with all three stable in nanocrystals at room temperature.$^{17}$ In the bulk state, wurtzite CuInS$_2$ with band gap near the red edge of the visible spectrum is less investigated with some recent reports highlighting the mechanism of formation and optical properties,$^8$ including for various nanocrystals.$^9$ Along with high optical absorption coefficients and substantial photostability, WZ phase CIS is equally promising as a light-absorbing material in printed and flexible photovoltaic devices, light-emitting diodes and nonlinear optical devices.$^9$

Notwithstanding the attractive properties of CIS, the highest recorded power conversion efficiencies (PCEs) in solar cells are not as competitive as silicon-based cells with a PCE of 26.5%.$^{10}$ Efficiencies for quantum dot based cells are about 7–8% with thin film cells at 13%.$^{11-13}$ Accordingly, the facile and predictable tunability of structural and optoelectronic properties of CIS is a critical means through which PCEs can be improved.
Results and discussion

Synthetic route

In this synthetic scheme, formation of CIS colloidal nanoparticles can occur via cation exchange of In\(^{3+}\) with Cu\(^{+}\) of copper sulphide nanoparticles in situ or thermolysis of in situ precursor Cu–In thiolate complex,\(^7\) the former more likely since dodecanethiol (DDT) capping ligand, a soft base would preferentially react with [and reduce] Cu\(^{2+}\) ion, a soft Lewis acid, than with In\(^{3+}\) ion, a hard Lewis acid. Since the copper precursor decomposes initially during the colloidal mechanism (see Fig. S1 ESI†), a higher concentration of Cu\(^{+}\) ions than In\(^{3+}\) ions at this stage would propel the condition for copper sulphide (CuS/Cu\(_2\)S) nucleation. The unstable nanoparticles then undergo cation exchange with In\(^{3+}\) ions forming stable DDT-capped CIS nanocrystals. As an alternative, the presence of oleylamine (OLA) in the DDT mixture would increase the reactivity of the In\(^{3+}\) ions propelling the formation of Cu–In thiolate with subsequent thermolysis precipitating colloidal CIS QDs.\(^{8,18}\)

Structural elucidation

TEM images in Fig. 1 show monodispersed (RSD = 13–18%; histograms in Fig. S2 ESI†) quasi-spherical nanoparticles of size 3.8–5.6 nm depending on growth temperature (Table 1). Inset HR-TEM images confirm the \(d_{112}\) lattice spacing of the CH structure (see Fig. S3 and Table S1 ESI†). Additionally, Fig. 2 shows overlaid p-XRD diffractograms of as-synthesized QDs prepared at respective temperatures with broad reflections typical of nanostructured particles, correlating well with the reference (tetragonal structure, ICDD reference no. 00-047-137) for the CH phase of CuInS\(_2\). Particle sizes calculated from the Scherrer formula\(^9\) compare well with estimates from HR-TEM, Table 1.

As shown in Fig. 2, p-XRD data and Raman vibrational modes at approximately 474 and 323 cm\(^{-1}\) confirm phase pure QDs of no secondary binary phases and absence of the CuS and In\(_2\)S\(_3\).\(^9,20\) The spectra display bands at around 295 and 305 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively related to \(S^2-\) ion \(A_1\) and \(\ast A_1\) vibrational modes which overlap to form a broad band.\(^{21–23}\) These along with the \(E_{1\text{LO}}\) band at 337 cm\(^{-1}\) suggest a mixed phase attributed to off-stoichiometry\(^{24,25}\) of CH and CA-type (copper–gold-type) tetragonal structures of a face centered cubic chalcogen lattice, differing in copper and indium sites. Since the CA-type phase is

Table 1  Structural properties of CIS quantum dots evaluated from TEM, p-XRD and EDS measurements

| Growth temperature/°C | Growth time/min | Particle diameter/nm | Elemental composition/% | Stoichiometry |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------|
|                       |                 | TEM (RSD/%) | PXRDA | Cu | In | S | Cu/In |             |
| 140                   | 60              | 3.8 ± 0.7 (17.8) | 3.0 | 19.0 | 23.5 | 56.6 | 0.8 | Cu\(_{0.80}\)In\(_{0.94}\)S\(_{2.26}\) |
| 180                   | 10              | 4.7 ± 0.5 (9.9) | 4.0 | 23.5 | 26.0 | 50.5 | 0.9 | Cu\(_{0.93}\)In\(_{1.00}\)S\(_{2.02}\) |
| 210                   | 60              | 5.2 ± 0.7 (12.9) | 5.1 | 21.0 | 22.3 | 56.7 | 0.9 | Cu\(_{0.88}\)In\(_{0.90}\)S\(_{2.27}\) |

A Calculated using Scherrer formula.\(^{20}\)
commonly associated with indium–copper anti-site ($\text{In}_{\text{Cu}}^-$) defects$^{24,25}$ and the ternary phase diagram$^{17}$ of the Cu$_2$S–In$_2$S$_3$ system suggests that copper-rich CIS typically possesses copper–indium anti-site ($\text{Cu}_{\text{In}}^-$) and indium vacancy ($\text{V}_{\text{In}}^-$) defects, whilst indium-rich CIS possesses indium–copper anti-sites ($\text{In}_{\text{Cu}}^-$) and copper vacancies ($\text{V}_{\text{Cu}}^-$), we could on the basis of the defect chemistry attribute reasons for the structural and optical properties of the QDs. Notably, both $\text{Cu}_{\text{In}}^-$ and $\text{V}_{\text{Cu}}^-$ produce p-type CIS with acceptor energy states slightly above the valence band maximum and sulphur-deficient CIS typically has sulphur vacancies ($\text{V}_{\text{S}}^-$) of n-type conductivity with donor energy states slightly below the conduction band minimum.$^{26}$

Additionally, in the bulk state, copper and sulphur-rich compositions influence transformation from metastable CA-type structure to the thermodynamically stable CH structure.$^{18,29}$ Accordingly, on the premise of the relative intensity of the $\text{A}_1$ and $^*\text{A}_1$ Raman vibrational modes and with reference to EDS elemental data (Table 1), the as-synthesized CIS quantum dots are copper-deficient, which possibly influences formation of an appreciable fraction of CA-type phase though the material is predominantly chalcopyrite.$^{21}$ Since, annealing or extrinsic doping can possibly influence transition to the CH phase,$^{28}$ we will report in a subsequent publication, the influence of Ag$^+$ ion doping on phase transformation, the quality of the phase and tunable optical properties.

### Wurtzite to chalcopyrite phase transformation

As previously stated, nanostructured CIS typically crystallizes as the stable CH, ZB or metastable WZ phases depending on synthetic factors.$^{18,29}$ In our case, quantum dots grown at 180 °C for 10 minutes, unlike those grown for 60 minutes, possess a wurtzite structure as shown from X-ray diffractograms in Fig. 3, with characteristic reflections of (100)/(002) and (101) lattice planes at $2\theta = 26.70^\circ$ and 29.65°, respectively and suggests possible time-dependent structure selectivity. The inset highlighting the d-spacing of as-synthesized nanoparticles from HR-TEM corroborates this (see Table S1 ESI†). The WZ (hexagonal) phase may be linked to CH-CIS via a mechanism involving a dynamic interplay of kinetic and thermodynamic factors, further explored in subsequent discussions.

The thermodynamic stability of these chalcogenide phases was first documented in the pioneering work conducted by Binsma et al. and later concretized by Qi et al. in investigations which demonstrated the conversion of metastable WZ-CIS to the more thermodynamically favourable CH-CIS under elevated temperature.$^{30-31}$ Though there are no reports of time-dependent

---

Fig. 2: (i) Powder X-ray diffractograms of CIS nanoparticles grown at (a) 140, (b) 180 and (c) 210 °C for 60 minutes with reference peak positions for CIS chalcopyrite (tetragonal structure, ICDD reference no. 00-027-0159) shown as vertical dash lines and (ii) corresponding Raman spectra highlighting main vibrational modes.

Fig. 3: Powder X-ray diffractograms with inset showing HR-TEM with corresponding d-spacing for CIS nanoparticles grown at 180 °C for 60 minutes (top) with corresponding reference peak positions for CIS chalcopyrite (tetragonal structure, ICDD reference no. 00-027-0159) and CIS wurtzite grown at 180 °C for 10 minutes (bottom) (hexagonal structure, ICDD reference no. 01-077-9459) shown as vertical lines.
WZ- to CH-CIS phase transformation at constant temperature, the conditions that influence the emergence of different chalcogenide phases are well established including the modulation of pH, solvent, reaction temperature as well as the nature and composition of the precursory material.\textsuperscript{1,18,32–38} Interestingly, work by Perera and team showed that wurtzite/chalcopyrite phase changes are not only thermodynamically driven as previously documented but can also be kinetically driven since work by Perera and team showed that wurtzite/chalcopyrite exhibited wurtzite/zinc blende phase selectivity.\textsuperscript{36} Controlled experiments where nanodisks and nanoparticles also demonstrated this through a series of kinetically controlled experiments where nanodisks and nanoparticles exhibited wurtzite/zinc blende phase selectivity.\textsuperscript{18}

Evaluation of these findings has enabled us to propose a particle growth mechanism illustrated in Fig. 4, in which the high growth rate in the early stages (up to 10 minutes) of synthesis involves a burst of nucleation upon precursor hot injection to form intermediate transition state Cu\textsubscript{2}S or Cu\textsubscript{2}–xS seeds and subsequent WZ CIS formation. It should be highlighted that Cu\textsuperscript{+} ions present in the binary chalcogenide are highly mobile and the abundance of vacancies within the binary copper chalcogenide structure accelerate cation exchange under kinetic conditions.\textsuperscript{39,40} As such, this drives the cation exchange of Cu\textsuperscript{+} and In\textsuperscript{3+} to form the kinetic product, metastable WZ CIS which subsequently undergoes transformation involving cation reordering to form the more thermodynamically stable phase at equilibrium when monomer concentration and growth rates decrease. Furthermore, kinetic factors are also dependent on the nature of the coordinating solvent.\textsuperscript{3,35,41,42}

In our case, Cu\textsubscript{2}S/Cu\textsubscript{2}–xS seeds produce predominantly WZ phase nanoparticles since DDT as a soft Lewis base preferentially reacts with Cu\textsuperscript{+} ions (soft Lewis acid) than with hard In\textsuperscript{3+} ions as previously suggested. It is also reasonable to posit that polytypic wurtzite–chalcopyrite CIS nanostructures could intermediate the phase transition. Indeed, Koo et al. confirmed in predominantly wurtzite nanodisks, polytypism of wurtzite-chalcopyrite phases in which disks displayed wurtzite faces but chalcopyrite edges. Morevoe from a structural standpoint, resultant CH-CIS phase from WZ-CIS is likely due to CH-(112) interface having a low lattice mismatch with WZ-(002) facet.\textsuperscript{35,33,44}

In this regard, a priori assumption can be that defect formation mechanisms involving cationic reordering at the WZ–CH interface with low lattice mismatch to WZ CIS allow for the emergent thermodynamic chalcopyrite structure during particle growth. Though further experiments would allow for more in depth understanding of the kinetic and thermodynamic factors influencing such possible time-dependent phase transformation derived from this synthetic scheme, the current findings provide an alternative and facile route for phase selectivity not previously reported towards tunable properties of CIS nanoparticles.

**Absorption and photoluminescence properties**

The size, composition and phase-dependent optical tunability are exceptional features of CIS quantum dots to be investigated for quantum dot solar cells applications, for example. Accordingly, UV-vis spectra in Fig. S4 ESI† show a slight red-shift in band edge with particle growth time suggesting marginal size-dependence for the CIS quantum dots. However, corresponding photoluminescence spectra in Fig. S5 ESI† show a more distinct red-shift with the exception of quantum dots synthesized at 140 °C. The slight effect of growth temperatures 140, 180 and 210 °C, respectively are reflected in the direct band gaps of 2.32, 2.28 and 2.25 eV evaluated from Tauc plots shown in Fig. 5.\textsuperscript{45,46} Indeed, it has been established that the electronic band structure for CIS has a valence band comprised of Cu 3d and S 3p energy states from Cu–S bonds, whilst the conduction band is comprised of Cu 4s, S 3p and In 5s antibonding states.\textsuperscript{47} Since Laporte and spin-allowed direct band gap transitions are influenced by nanoparticle size, composition and structure,\textsuperscript{16,18–51} respective Cu/In ratio of 0.8, 0.9 and 0.8 from EDS analysis recorded in Table 1 confirm copper-deficiency which reduces the density of states associated with Cu 3d orbitals lowering the valence band maximum and widening the band gap. The extent of this effect is not clear since marginal particle size increase with growth temperature from 3.8 to 5.6 ± 0.7 nm is possibly a contributor.

Another factor for the shift in band gap may be the presence of surface defect states. Accordingly, nanoparticles synthesized at 140 °C exhibit photoemission characterized as a doublet peak at 568 and 630 nm of full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) = 19 and 67 nm respectively (see Fig. 5). For quantum dots synthesized at 180 and 210 °C, there is a slight-red shift in the emission maxima from 625 to 635 nm of FWHM = 98 and 91 nm, respectively with a shoulder at approximately 572 nm. The interesting, uncharacteristic doublet or shoulder features suggest competing mechanisms of electron–hole recombination. Though such broad emissions can be associated with broad size distributions\textsuperscript{52} (which is not so in this case), they are more likely associated with a combination of intra-band gap
surface states and intrinsic defect states involving donor–acceptor pairs (DAPs) rather than band to band transitions.3,5,51,53 Since the quantum dots are copper-deficient, defects of $V_{Cu}$ as acceptors and donors of $In_{Cu}$ and $In_{Cu/Cu}$ are likely implicated as intrinsic intra-band gap states.54,55

Photoluminescence decay time measurements shown in Fig. 5 fit well to a tri-exponential function of the form:

$$I_{PL}(t) = I_0 + A_1 e^{-t/t_1} + A_2 e^{-t/t_2} + A_3 e^{-t/t_3},$$

with $t_1$, $t_2$, and $t_3$ being the lifetimes and $A_1$, $A_2$ and $A_3$ the radiative components respectively. The $I_0$ term is more likely related to the non-radiative recombination with a lifetime constant of $\tau_{NR}$ as illustrated in Fig. 6. The radiative lifetimes, $t_1$, $t_2$, and $t_3$ are found to be 1.50–2.1, 7.8–13.9 and 55.2–70.8 ns, respectively (Table 2). As illustrated in Fig. 6, the 1.5–2.1 ns decay is assigned to surface trapping states, $S_D$ since these are usually shallow and therefore show faster decay lifetimes compared to intrinsic recombination of populated core states, which are assigned to 7.8–13.9 ns, respectively (Table 2).5,56 The longest lifetime, $t_3$ of 55.2–70.8 ns is likely related to internal defect states such as DAPs of $In_{Cu/Cu}$ and $V_{Cu}$. Corresponding amplitude constants $A_1$, $A_2$ and $A_3$ which range from 41.4–55.2, 38.4–49.8 and 6.4–14.0%, respectively indicate that the most dominant radiative recombination channels involve the
faster decays between band edges and surface defects and In$^{3+}$/In$^{+}$ with transition involving DAPs of In$^{3+}$/In$^{+}$ and V$^{\text{Cu}}$ contributing significantly less to the luminescence.

Importantly, there is a notable difference in optical properties between the WZ and CH phase CIS QDs. As shown in Fig. 7, the WZ phase CIS has a band gap of 2.03 eV which is red-shifted to that of the CH phase QDs at 2.28 eV and likewise the emission maxima (625–656 nm; CH–WZ). As shown in Table 1, with a marginal increase of approximately 0.5 nm and slight increase in the RSD of 3%, particle size variation is a minimal contributor. Certainly, it is difficult to ascertain the extent of the defects, In$^{3+}$/In$^{+}$ and V$^{\text{Cu}}$ arising from a higher concentration of In$^{3+}$ ions for WZ CIS or the structural difference on the red-shift. In either case, the narrower band gap for the WZ phase CIS could be attributed to differences in the density of states arising from a higher concentration of states, primarily shallow defect states near the band edges.

## Conclusion

Relatively monodispersed colloidal CIS quantum dots (3.8–5.6 nm) of mixed phase chalcopyrite and copper–gold type structures were synthesized over a range of particle growth temperatures. The composition of nanoparticles synthesized at 140, 180 and 210 °C, respectively were very close to the CuInS$_2$ stoichiometry with band gaps of 2.32, 2.28 and 2.25 eV, respectively. The copper-deficient quantum dots exhibit broad PL emission with a doublet/shoulder feature corresponding to decay lifetimes, $\tau_1$, $\tau_2$, and $\tau_3$ of 1.50–2.1, 7.8–13.9 and 55.2–70.8 ns respectively, indicate a combination of surface, donor and acceptor (In$^{3+}$/In$^{3+}$, Cu$^{3+}$ and V$^{\text{Cu}}$) defect states during electron–hole recombination. Notably, we were able to implicate wurtzite to chalcopyrite phase transformation at 180 °C, the first study of its kind involving possible time-dependent phase transformation at constant temperature, likely originating from a dynamic interplay of kinetic and thermodynamic factors influencing their phase. As such, this provided a unique route towards tunable structural and optical properties of colloidal CIS quantum dots.

## Experimental

### Materials

1-Dodecanethiol (DDT, Aldrich, >98%) and 1-octadecene (ODE, Aldrich, 90%) were used without further purification while methanol, acetone and toluene were distilled and dried using standard methods. Copper(II) hexafluoroacetylacetonate hydrate [Cu(Hfacac)$_2$·2H$_2$O], sodium diethylthiourea hydrate (Nadetc ·3H$_2$O) and indium(III) chloride (InCl$_3$) were used as purchased from Aldrich. Indium(III) diethyldithiocarbamate, In(dedtc)$_3$ was prepared according to a literature method.\textsuperscript{14}
Synthesis of indium(III) diethylthiocarbamate precursor

Indium(III) diethylthiocarbamate, In(dedtc)$_3$, was prepared according to a literature method.$^{14}$ InCl$_3$ (8.9 mmol, 1.9761 g) was dissolved in minimum amount of distilled water, cooled in an ice bath and then added dropwise to Nadedtc·3H$_2$O (26.7 mmol, 6.0158 g), dissolved in 40 mL 50:50 mixture of methanol and water with constant stirring. In(dedtc)$_3$ crystals were obtained by vacuum filtration and washed several times with methanol, recrystallized from toluene and air dried. Elemental analysis found (%): C, 32.21; H, 5.41; N, 7.51; S, 34.24; In, 20.47. Calculated for C$_{15}$H$_{30}$InN$_3$S$_6$ (559.63 g mol$^{-1}$) (%): C, 33.02; H, 5.53; N, 7.39; S, 34.24; In, 20.47. Calculated for C$_{15}$H$_{30}$InN$_3$S$_6$ (559.63 g mol$^{-1}$) (%): C, 33.02; H, 5.53; N, 7.39; S, 34.24; In, 20.47. Calculated for C$_{15}$H$_{30}$InN$_3$S$_6$ (559.63 g mol$^{-1}$) (%): C, 33.02; H, 5.53; N, 7.39; S, 34.24; In, 20.47.

Synthesis and purification of DDT-capped CIS nanoparticles

In a routine synthesis, the first step involves heating a mixture of In(dedtc)$_3$ (0.2 mmol; 0.1169 g), DDT (2.4 mL) and ODE (5 mL) to 140 ºC under argon. To this a hot mixture of Cu(hfacac)$_2$·2H$_2$O (0.2 mmol; 0.1038 g) and ODE (5 mL) was quickly injected followed by rapid heating to the respective particle growth temperature of either 140, 180 or 210 ºC for a fixed time. Aliquots of as-synthesized nanoparticles at various time intervals were prepared from 0.5 mL of the reaction mixture and 1 mL of toluene for optical spectroscopy. As-synthesized nanoparticles were purified by reversible electrophoretic deposition adapted from Bass et al. using DC 50 V instead of 500 V removing the need for the use of a glovebox.$^{15}$ Dispersed electropurified nanoparticles were centrifuged in acetone, isolated and later stored as solid or dispersed in toluene.

Measurements

High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) was carried out using a FEI Talos F200X and Phillips 420 microscopes. For powder X-ray diffraction, nanoparticles as a toluene ink were drop-casted onto glass substrates, allowed to dry and mounted onto sample holders. Diffractograms were obtained using a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer utilizing copper anode with filtered Cu-K$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.15406$ nm) within a 2$\theta$ range of 20 to 80º and step size of 0.02º s$^{-1}$ for 2$\frac{1}{2}$ hours operating at 40 mA and 20 kV. Diffractograms were auto-indexed using the XPert HighScore software.$^{16}$ Likewise, Raman spectra were recorded using a Renishaw RM system 1000 Mk1 Raman spectrometer with Modu-Laser Aries 163514/25 argon-ion 514 nm 25 mW laser at 0.277 mW with 50× objective lens within the range 26 to 1000 cm$^{-1}$. Thermograms (Fig. S1 ESIF) of precursors were recorded between room temperature and 600 ºC under nitrogen using a Mettler Toledo TGA/DSC1 instrument. CHNS data were recorded using a Thermo Scientific Flash 2000 elemental analyzer and metal ion contents measured using a Thermo Scientific iCAP 6300 Duo inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES). Energy dispersive spectroscopic (EDS) data on nanoparticles were recorded in scanning electron microscopy (SEM) mode using a Phillips XL 30 field emission gun (FEG) microscope with electron beam accelerating voltage of 20 kV and working distance of 10 mm. Optical spectroscopy of nanoparticles dispersed in toluene was possible using a Perkin Elmer Lambda 1050 UV/vis/NIR spectrometer within the range of 350 to 800 nm and a Perkin Elmer LS55 fluorescence spectrophotometer with excitation wavelength of 480 nm within the region of 550 to 880 nm. Photoluminescence time decay measurements were performed using a time-correlated-single-photon-counting setup. The output from a mode-locked Ti:sapphire laser (Mai Tai HP, Spectra-Physics), which provided 100 fs pulses at a repetition rate of 80 MHz and a central wavelength of 820 nm, was frequency doubled to 410 nm to provide the required wavelength for photo-excitation. An acousto-optic pulse picker (Pulse Select, APE) was employed to reduce the repetition rate of the laser pulse train to 2 MHz, and thereby increase the measurement time window. After photo-excitation the PL emission was directed into a monochromator (Spex 1870c) and detected at the PL peak (or tuned at the preferred wavelength within the range of the PL spectra of each sample) by a multi-channel plate (Hamamatsu R3809U-50). The time correlation of the detected photons was performed using a PC card (TCC900, Edinburgh Instruments).
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