Characterization of transcription within sdr region of *Staphylococcus aureus*
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**Abstract** *Staphylococcus aureus* is an opportunistic pathogen responsible for various infections in humans and animals. It causes localized and systemic infections, such as abscesses, impetigo, cellulitis, sepsis, endocarditis, bone infections, and meningitis. *S. aureus* virulence factors responsible for the initial contact with host cells (MSCRAMMs—microbial surface components recognizing adhesive matrix molecules) include three Sdr proteins. The presence of particular *sdr* genes is correlated with putative tissue specificity. The transcriptional organization of the *sdr* region remains unclear. We tested expression of the *sdrC, sdrD*, or *sdrE* genes in various in vitro conditions, as well as after contact with human blood. In this work, we present data suggesting a separation of the *sdr* region into three transcriptional units, based on their differential reactions to the environment. Differential reaction of the *sdrD* transcript to environmental conditions and blood suggests dissimilar functions of the *sdr* genes. *SdrE* has been previously proposed to play role in bone infections, whilst our results can indicate that *sdrD* plays a role in the interactions between the pathogen and human immune system, serum or specifically reacts to nutrients/other factors present in human blood.
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**Introduction**

*Staphylococcus aureus* is an opportunistic pathogen responsible for various infections in humans and animals. *S. aureus* causes both localized and systemic infections, such as abscesses, impetigo, cellulitis, sepsis, endocarditis, bone infections, and meningitis. *S. aureus* is also responsible for diseases caused by secreted toxins such as enterotoxins, exfoliatins (scalded skin syndrome), or toxic shock syndrome toxin (Lowy 1998). At present, *S. aureus* is one of the most important causes of nosocomial infections, especially infections of surgical sites, catheters, and implants. *S. aureus* causes a large number of dangerous community acquired infections that have a significant impact on public health (Bartlett 2008). In addition to its high pathogenic potential, *S. aureus*...
is a reservoir of multiple antibiotic resistance genes (Jensen and Lyon 2009).

The pathogenesis process is multifactorial, and it is very difficult to assess the role of particular virulence factors in the process. A group of *S. aureus* virulence factors responsible for the initial contact with host cells mediates adhesion of staphylococcal cells to the extracellular matrix of host cells and are called MSCRAMMs (microbial surface components recognizing adhesive matrix molecules). MSCRAMMs are cell surface proteins that recognize fibronectin-, fibrinogen-, collagen-, and heparin-related polysaccharides (Patti et al. 1994).

Sdr proteins (from SD Repeat), together with MSCRAMM proteins ClfA (clumping factor A) and ClfB (clumping factor B), are members of a structurally related family of cell wall anchored proteins (Josefsson et al. 1998). The characteristic feature of the family is the presence of R region containing multiple serine-aspartate repeats (Foster and Hook 1998; Josefsson et al. 1998; Ní Eidhin et al. 1998). The *sdr* locus encodes three proteins, SdrC, SdrD, and SdrE; however, not all three genes are present in all *S. aureus* strains (Sabat et al. 2006). Also, the transcriptional organization of the region remains unclear. Based on previous analyses (Peacock et al. 2002; Sabat et al. 2006), it was noticed that the *sdrC* gene is always present in the locus, while *sdrD* and *sdrE* are not. There also seems to be a correlation between carriage/invasive strains and the presence of the *sdrE* gene (Peacock et al. 2002). Strains carrying only the *sdrC* gene have a diminished potential to cause bone infections, which may be connected with the fact that one of the allelic variants of SdrE was previously identified as a bone sialoprotein-binding protein (Tung et al. 2003). SdrC binds β-neurexin1 exodomain and expression of the protein increases adherence to cultured mammalian cells expressing β-neurexin on their surface (Barbu et al. 2010). Other Sdr proteins are involved in adherence to epithelial cells (Corrigan et al. 2009) and SdrD is crucial in abscess formation (Cheng et al. 2009).

In this work, we present data confirming a separation of the *sdr* region into three transcriptional units, based on their differential reaction to the environment. In addition, we present data that the *sdrD* gene might be involved in pathogenesis and invasiveness, as it is activated upon contact with human blood.

### Materials and methods

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions**

*Staphylococcus aureus* strain 838/05 from the National Medicines Institute collection was grown in liquid trypticase soy broth (TSB) medium (Bio Merieux) with gentle aeration or on Columbia agar plates containing 5% sheep blood (Bio Merieux). The strain contains all the *sdr* genes i.e. *sdrC*, *sdrD*, and *sdrE*.

Media containing 5 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM MgCl₂, and 5mM FeCl₂ were prepared by mixing sterile TSB medium with sterile stock solutions of the appropriate salt. To prepare TSB medium with 1 M NaCl, powdered medium was mixed with appropriate amount of NaCl, mixed with water and sterilized.

Growth curves for the 838/05 strain were determined for all experimental conditions in at least three independent replicates. Growth was determined by measuring OD₅₉₅ of the culture versus media. To properly determine optical density, cultures were diluted 10–25 times in growth medium prior the measurement.

**Sequence analysis**

The presence of putative promoters and transcriptional organization of the *sdr* region was detected using the BPROM and FGENESB algorithms (www.softberry.com) based on region 611262 bp–623152 bp (GeneBank number CP000730.1) of the *S. aureus* subsp. *aureus* USA300_TCH1516 complete genome sequence (Highlander et al. 2007).

**Sample collection for RNA isolation**

Five milliliter samples of bacterial cultures in early (EL), mid- (ML), and 2 ml samples from late logarithmic (LL) and stationary phases were mixed with 2 volumes of RNA protect reagent (Qiagen), centrifuged to collect cells and frozen at −80°C until processing.

**RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis**

RNA was isolated from frozen bacterial samples using acid phenol:chloroform extraction. The bacterial cells were mechanically disrupted with glass
beads in the presence of acid phenol (Sambrook et al. 1989) and precipitated with ethanol. Residual chromosomal DNA was removed by treatment with DNAse I (Roche). 10 µg of total RNA was transcribed into cDNA using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) and random hexamer primers (Invitrogen) according to SuperScriptIII manufacturer.

Taqman analysis

Non labeled primers were purchased from Genomed and fluorescently labeled probes with MGB, and VIC or FAM tags were purchased from Applied Biosystems. Multiplex analysis with primers-probe sets for gyrA (calibrator) and one of the sdrC, sdrD, or sdrE sets was performed according to the manufacturer of the 7500 Real Time PCR System and TaqMan® Gene Expression Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). Primers used in the study are presented in Table 1.

The relative amount of sdrC, sdrD, or sdrE transcripts during growth was calibrated to the amount of gyrA transcript in the sample; next, the increase (or decrease) in the amount of sdrC, sdrD, or sdrE during growth was normalized to the amount of transcript in the early exponential growth phase (EL) using the ΔΔCT method (Applied Biosystems 2001 User Bulletin #2). Briefly, C_T value representing amount of studied transcript is compared to the C_T value of the reference gene gyrA. The difference between C_T values is termed ΔCT. The ΔCT values are calculated for two experimental conditions and the difference between ΔC_T values is termed ΔΔCT. For the final calculation, ΔΔCT value is used in the equation 2^−ΔΔCT, and the result describes fold change value between two samples.

Interaction of S. aureus with human blood

50 ml of bacteria grown at 37°C in TSB medium to ML growth phase were collected by centrifugation and washed twice to remove the medium with sterile, pre-warmed to 37°C, PBS and re-suspended in 50 ml of pre-warmed sterile PBS. The time to prepare washed S. aureus cells was coordinated with blood collection from healthy volunteers, so the prepared cells could be immediately mixed with blood. 10 ml of cells were mixed with ~100 ml of mixed fresh human blood. Immediately after mixing blood with bacteria, 30 ml of the sample (Time 0) were mixed with two volumes of RNA Protect reagent (Qiagen), centrifuged to sediment cells, and frozen at −80°C. S. aureus cells were incubated with blood at 37°C with gentle mixing to avoid cell sedimentation. Additional samples were collected after 30 and 90 min of incubation of bacteria with blood. Samples collected after 30 and 90 min were treated the same as samples collected at the beginning of the experiment. RNA was isolated, and cDNA was generated, as described above. The expression level of the sdr genes was calibrated to the gyrA level and then normalized to the expression level at time 0 using the ΔΔCT method.

Table 1 Primers used in the study

| Primer      | Sequence (5′→3′)          |
|-------------|---------------------------|
| gyrAFtaq    | ACCAGTGAATAAGCGTGAAATCAT  |
| gyrARtaq    | CTGGCAATGCACGACGACAA      |
| gyrAP       | TTAGATTATGCGATGAGTGTATTA  |
| sdrCFtaq    | TGAAGCTAAAGCGGCAGAACA     |
| sdrCRtaq    | GGGCTGTCGTTTCATTTTTG      |
| sdrCP       | ACCAATGGGAATTAACAC       |
| sdrDFtaq    | AAGCGTTAACACCTGATTGCA     |
| sdrDrtaq    | GCATCTACCTTTTCTCTCTATT    |
| sdrDP       | AATCAGTGTTAAATGTTC       |
| sdrEFtaq    | TGATCGATTCTAGTTAGTACGACAT|
| sdrErtaq    | TTCTGTAATTGTTTCTACGAGCCTT|
| sdrEP       | ATTTTTGGTCTAGGGAACC       |

Results and discussion

The transcriptional organization of the sdr locus has not been investigated so far. We performed basic sequence analysis with tools that allow identification of putative transcriptional units, promoters and terminators (BPROM and FGENESB; www.softberry.com). We detected three putative −10/−35 promoter sequences in front of each sdr gene (Fig. 1). In addition, we detected the presence of a sequence responsible for the formation of a loop forming a rho independent terminator between the sdrC and sdrD genes. Such organization suggests independent transcription of all three genes, or the presence of transcripts encompassing various parts of the region for example sdrC and sdrD + sdrE, or combinations of both.
To test if all sdr genes are encoded by the same transcriptional unit, we determined their individual behavior during growth in rich laboratory medium at a standard 37°C. EL, ML, LL, and stationary (S) growth phases were determined based on growth properties of the strain. Growth curves were based on OD595 and determined for all experimental conditions in at least three independent replicates (data not shown). Transcript levels of sdrC and sdrD were comparable in EL phase, while transcript level of sdrE was about sixfold lower (data not shown). To compare transcript dynamics during growth, changes of the sdrC, sdrD, and sdrE transcript levels during bacterial growth were normalized to the basal level of their expression in the EL phase and set as 1. Consequently, we observed dissimilar expression patterns of the sdrC, sdrD, and sdrE genes in ML, LL, and S phases (Fig. 2). Expression of sdrC stays relatively steady and the transcript level declines in the S phase, with a similar pattern observed for sdrE; however, differences between EL and S phases are much greater for the sdrE gene. sdrD exhibits a different pattern; its expression is highest in the EL phase, significantly decreases in the ML phase, and stays low until the S phase. The differences in expression of individual genes of the sdr region are in concordance with in silico analysis of transcriptional organization of the region which suggests separation of the sdr region into three independent transcriptional units (Fig. 1).

To further characterize properties of the sdr region, we tested the influence on their transcription
of multiple environmental stress factors such as temperature (30, 40°C) and osmotic shock (1 M NaCl), as well as divalent cations (Fe^{2+}, Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}). Stress factors influence expression of the *sdrC* and *sdrD* genes, but have no or minimal influence on the expression of *sdrE* (Figs. 3, 4). Low temperature caused over a 20-fold increase in the activation of *sdrC* transcription in the S phase when compared to the EL phase and over a tenfold increase of the *sdrD* transcript amount. Conversely to the dramatic increase caused by low temperature, high temperature does not influence the expression of *sdrC*. During growth at 40°C, the amount of *sdrD* peaks in the ML phase (about a fivefold increase), but later returns to a level comparable with the EL phase. Both low and high temperatures cause a minimal increase of the *sdrE* transcript, about 1.5-fold in the S phase when compared with the EL phase. Osmotic shock did not significantly influence expression of the *sdrD* and *sdrE* genes. Expression of the *sdrC* gene increased about sixfold in the LL phase (Fig. 4).

Next, we tested the influence of divalent cations of important biological functions, such as calcium, magnesium, and iron. Iron ions do not cause significant changes in the expression of *sdrC* and slightly decrease the expression of *sdrD* and *E* over time (Fig. 4). Magnesium and calcium ions have a profound effect on the expression of all genes, especially *sdrC* (Fig. 4). Magnesium ions cause over a 30-fold increase in the *sdrC* transcript amount in the LL phase, over a sixfold increase of the *sdrD* transcript amount in the ML–LL phases, and about a threefold increase in the *sdrE* transcript amount in the ML–S phases. A similar pattern of expression, though, to a lesser extent, was caused by the addition of calcium ions. Transcription of *sdrC* increased about 12-fold in the LL phase and *sdrD* about sixfold, while transcription of *sdrE* was only slightly increased (Fig. 4).

Taking into consideration the reaction of the three transcripts to environmental conditions we can conclude that *sdrC* is probably more related to the transition from exponential to stationary phase, while *sdrD* is more related to the logarithmic phase. Sequential differences in expression of cell wall anchored proteins may translate into temporal changes of cell envelope composition and can have a profound effect on bacterial virulence and their biological role. The differences can be connected to various steps of bacterial invasion, such as establishing the infection and attachment to specific tissues (Schwarz-Linek et al. 2004). The differences in expression can also be connected to the role of the proteins in the colonization of various environments of the organisms; for example, genes reacting to low temperatures might be involved in skin infections.
while genes activated by high temperatures might play a role in establishing massive, invasive infections such as bacteremia.

Many regulatory systems are responsible for the reaction of the pathogen to the environment, for example, the \textit{covRS} system in \textit{Streptococcus pyogenes} reacts to magnesium concentration and triggers the regulatory cascade in a response. The CovRS cascade is responsible for the regulation of multiple virulence factors and cell wall anchored proteins (Gryllos et al. 2003, 2007). On the other hand, calcium ions are often co-factors of enzymes and regulatory proteins. The strong reaction of magnesium and calcium ions may be a result of the influence of major regulatory pathways on \textit{sdr} genes expression.

To further characterize the transcriptional response to the environment and its putative role in host-pathogen interactions, we used an \textit{ex vivo} approach. The technique allows the study of the influence of well defined biological elements, like body fluids or cell cultures. This type of approach simplifies the data analysis by minimizing environmental factors and can be a base for further, more complex, \textit{in vivo} analysis. Well defined experimental conditions with a known number of introduced components are the advantages of \textit{ex vivo} experiments. For example, in

![Fig. 4 Effect of in vitro growth conditions on the expression of \textit{sdr} genes. (A) Influence of osmotic stress on the expression of \textit{sdrC}, \textit{sdrD}, and \textit{sdrE} genes. (B) Influence of divalent ions on expression of \textit{sdrC}, \textit{sdrD}, and \textit{sdrE} genes. Expression of studied genes was calibrated to \textit{gyrA} level and normalized to expression in early log (EL) growth phase. Error bars 1 standard deviation.](image-url)
the experimental design of the influence of blood on the expression of bacterial genes, we can study well defined host tissue with a limited variety of known types of cells, in contrast to infected tissues. Previously, an ex vivo approach was successfully used to study gene expression in various streptococci (Graham et al. 2005; Mereghetti et al. 2008; Shelburne et al. 2005; Sitkiewicz et al. 2009).

In our experiments we studied the influence of complete human blood on the expression of the \textit{sdr} genes. As a result, we observed a significant increase in \textit{sdrD} expression after 30 and 90 min from the initial contact (Fig. 5). The changes in transcription of only the \textit{sdrD} gene confirm separation of the region into three independent transcriptional units. This conclusion is further supported by the behavior of the \textit{sdr} genes in multiple microarray experiments (\textit{S. aureus} micro-array meta-database; http://www.bioinformatics.org/sammd/) in which the \textit{sdrD} gene reacts in a different manner to the \textit{sdrC} and \textit{sdrE} genes. \textit{sdrC} and/or \textit{sdrE} are down-regulated by oxacillin, d-Cycloserine, and bacitracin, chlorination, SOS response, nitrite stress, cefoxitin, hemB and \textit{sarA}; and up-regulated by \textit{arlR}, in strains resistant to vancomycin, by \textit{murF}, peracetic acid, \textit{graRS}, in mild acid and when grown as biofilm. Conversely, \textit{sdrD} exhibits the reverse behavior and is up-regulated by \textit{sarA}, \textit{rot} and \textit{arlR}; and down-regulated by \textit{traP}, in vancomycin resistant mutant and during growth in biofilm conditions.

Differential reaction of \textit{sdrD} transcript levels to environmental conditions and blood suggests dissimilar functions of the \textit{sdr} gene products. The Sdr proteins have been previously proposed to play role in bone infections (Tung et al. 2000) and in adherence to epithelial cells (Barbu et al. 2010; Cheng et al. 2009; Corrigan et al. 2009). Our results indicate that \textit{sdrD} could also play a role in the interactions between the pathogen and human immune system, as it reacts to human blood. On the other hand, the differences in \textit{sdrD} expression could be caused by the other factors such as serum proteins or nutritional differences between TSB medium and blood.

Acknowledgment The research was supported by Polish Ministry of Science grant number 2 P05A05230 to W.H.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

Barbu EM, Vannakambadi KG, Gurusiddappa S, Mackenzie CR, Foster TJ, Sudhof TC, Höök M (2010) beta-Neurexin is a ligand for the \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} MSCRAMM SdrC. PLoS Pathog 6(1):1000726

Bartlett JG (2008) Methicillin-resistant \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} infections. Top HIV Med 16:151–155

Cheng AG, Kim HK, Burts ML, Krausz T, Schneewind O, Missiakas DM (2009) Genetic requirements for \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} abscess formation and persistence in host tissues. FASEB J 23(10):3393–3404

Corrigan RM, Mijalovic H, Foster TJ (2009) Surface proteins that promote adherence of \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} to human desquamated nasal epithelial cells. BMC Microbiol 30:22

Foster TJ, Hook M (1998) Surface protein adhesins of \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}. Trends Microbiol 6:484–488

Graham MR, Virtaneva K, Porcella SF, Barry WT, Gowen BB, Johnson CR, Wright FA, Musser JM (2005) Group A Streptococcus transcriptome dynamics during growth in human blood reveals bacterial adaptive and survival strategies. Am J Pathol 166:455–465

Gryllios I, Levin JC, Wessels MR (2003) The CsrR/CsrS two-component system of group A Streptococcus responds to environmental Mg\(^{2+}\). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:4227–4232

Gryllios I, Grifantini R, Colaprico A, Jiang S, Deforce E, Hakansson A, Telford JL, Grandi G, Wessels MR (2007) Mg\(^{2+}\) signaling defines the group A streptococcal CsrS (CovRS) regulon. Mol Microbiol 65:671–683

Highlander SK, Hultén KG, Qin X, Jiang H, Yerrapragna S, Mason EO Jr, Shang Y, Williams TM, Fortunov RM, Liu Y, Igboeli O, Petrosino J, Tirumalai M, Uzman A, Fox...
GE, Cardenas AM, Muzny DM, Hemphill L, Ding Y, Dugan S, Blyth PR, Buhay CJ, Dinh HH, Hawes AC, Holder M, Kovar CL, Lee SL, Liu W, Nazareth LV, Wang Q, Zhou J, Kaplan SL, Weinstock GM (2007) Subtle genetic changes enhance virulence of methicillin resistant and sensitive Staphylococcus aureus. BMC Microbiol 7:99

Jensen SO, Lyon BR (2009) Genetics of antimicrobial resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Future Microbiol 4: 565–582

Josefsson E, McCrea KW, Ni Eidhin D, O’Connell D, Cox J, Höök M, Foster TJ (1998) Three new members of the serine-aspartate repeat protein multigene family of Staphylococcus aureus. Microbiology 144(Pt 12):3387–3395

Lowy FD (1998) Staphylococcus aureus infections. N Engl J Med 339:520–532

Mereghetti L, Sitkiewicz I, Green NM, Musser JM (2008) Extensive adaptive changes occur in the transcriptome of Streptococcus agalactiae (group B streptococcus) in response to incubation with human blood. PLoS One 3:e3143

Ni Eidhin D, Perkins S, Francois P, Vaudaux P, Höök M, Foster TJ (1998) Clumping factor B (ClfB), a new surface-located fibrinogen-binding adhesin of Staphylococcus aureus. Mol Microbiol 30:245–257

Patti JM, Allen BL, McCavin MJ, Höök M (1994) MSCRAMM-mediated adherence of microorganisms to host tissues. Annu Rev Microbiol 48:585–617

Peacock SJ, Moore CE, Justice A, Kantzounou M, Story L, Mackie K, O’Neill G, Day NP (2002) Virulent combinations of adhesin and toxin genes in natural populations of Staphylococcus aureus. Infect Immun 70:4987–4996

Sabat A, Melles DC, Martirosian G, Grundmann H, van Belkum A, Hryniewicz W (2006) Distribution of the serine-aspartate repeat protein-encoding sdr genes among nasal-carriage and invasive Staphylococcus aureus strains. J Clin Microbiol 44:1135–1138

Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd edn. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Plainview

Schwarz-Linek U, Höök M, Potts JR (2004) The molecular basis of fibronectin-mediated bacterial adherence to host cells. Mol Microbiol 52:631–641

Shelburne SA 3rd, Granville C, Tokuyama M, Sitkiewicz I, Patel P, Musser JM (2005) Growth characteristics of and virulence factor production by group A Streptococcus during cultivation in human saliva. Infect Immun 73:4723–4731

Sitkiewicz I, Green NM, Guo N, Bongiovanni AM, Witkin SS, Musser JM (2009) Transcriptional adaptation of group B Streptococcus to growth in human amniotic fluid. PLoS One 4:e6114

Tung H, Guss B, Hellman U, Persson L, Rubin K, Rydén C (2000) A bone sialoprotein-binding protein from Staphylococcus aureus: a member of the staphylococcal Sdr family. Biochem J 345(Pt 3):611–619