Hydrogen Production from Ethylene Glycol Aqueous Phase Reforming over Ni–Al Layered Hydrotalcite-Derived Catalysts
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Abstract: By introducing Mg, Cu, Zn, Sn, and Mn into the synthesis processes of Ni and Al based hydrotalcite, Ni–Al layered hydrotalcite-derived catalysts with different metal compositions were prepared. In this paper, the effect of metal composition on the structure of Ni–Al layered hydrotalcite-derived catalysts is investigated, and then catalytic activities of prepared catalysts with different metal compositions on ethylene glycol aqueous-phase reforming are analyzed. The physicochemical properties of the Ni–Al layered hydrotalcite-derived catalysts were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), temperature-programmed reduction (TPR), and nitrogen adsorption–desorption technology. The obtained hydrotalcite-derived catalysts were applied to the process of ethylene glycol aqueous-phase reforming (APR). The XRD results confirmed that the precursors of hydrotalcite-derived catalysts with metal compositions of Ni/Mg/Al, Ni/Cu/Al, Ni/Zn/Al, and Ni/Sn/Al had hydrotalcite crystalloid morphology. During the process of ethylene glycol aqueous phase reforming, all the catalysts showed high conversion of ethylene glycol (>90%), and the optimum hydrogen yield (73.5%) was obtained when using the catalyst with metal composition of Ni/Mg/Al at 225 °C under 2.6 MPa in nitrogen atmosphere for 2.5 h.
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1. Introduction

Fossil energy is a type of nonrenewable energy with limited reserves and potential to cause environment pollution. Thus, searching for renewable and clean energy resources is becoming urgent. As a clean energy with high heating value, hydrogen energy has aroused extensive attention [1,2]. However, the vast majority of hydrogen for human society is produced by further processing fossil fuel at present [3]. To this end, it is imperative to develop a new approach of hydrogen production, with renewable resources as raw materials [4]. The oxygenated compounds gained from biomass pyrolysis, such as alcohols and acids, have been used as raw materials for hydrogen production [4–6]. Hydrogen production from biomass pyrolysis-derived oxygenated compounds is considered environmental and economical [7].

Among those methods for biomass-derived oxygenated compounds reforming, gasification and steam reforming is considered much more appropriate for the process of hydrogen production, due to the merit of minimizing side effects under high pressures and temperatures. Considering the requirement of volatilizing water and multiple reactors or multi-stages to form low levels of CO in the gas products for steam reforming process, aqueous-phase reforming developed by the Dumesic group (which has advantages of low cost, no requirement of high temperature, and minimal undesirable
decomposition reactions) is thought to be a more appropriate method for biomass-derived oxygenated compounds reforming [8,9].

The oxygenated molecules from bio-oil have been extensively investigated in recent years [10,11]. Among these compounds, ethylene glycol (EG), as the main composition of bio-oil, is considered a renewable and available energy carrier [12,13]. Furthermore, ethylene glycol has a similar structural composition to polyols, such as the C–C, C–H, C–O, and H–O bands; with less carbon bonds, ethylene glycol is an optimum bio-oil model compound for aqueous phase reforming (APR).

In the first reaction step of the ethylene glycol APR process, C–C and C–H bonds of ethylene glycol were broken to form the surface adsorbed species, such as CO. Then, water–gas shift (WGS) reaction proceeded, and CO was transformed into H$_2$ and CO$_2$, as shown by Equations (1) and (2):

$$\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \quad (2)$$

Various catalysts have been used for APR process, such as Pt, Pd, Ir, or Ru [14,15]. These noble metals present a high activity of hydrogen production; however, their further application is hindered due to the high cost. Therefore, it is necessary to seek APR catalysts with low cost and high activity. Metals of monometallic, bimetallic, or oxides obtained with low cost are ideal catalysts for APR reactions. Layered double hydroxides (LDHs), also known as hydrotalcite-like compounds, have attracted attention due to their outstanding properties as catalyst support, adsorbents, ion-exchange materials, and drug storage-delivery agents. The chemical composition of hydrotalcite-like compounds can be defined as: $[\text{M}^{2+}_{(1-x)} \text{M}^{3+}_x (\text{OH})_2]_x \times [\text{A}^{n-}]_{x/n} \times \text{mH}_2\text{O}$, where $\text{M}^{2+}$ and $\text{M}^{3+}$ can be used instead of any divalent (typically Mg$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$) and trivalent (typically Al$^{3+}$, Fe$^{3+}$, La$^{3+}$, Cr$^{3+}$, Ga$^{33+}$) metal cations, and $\text{A}^{n-}$ is a substitutable anion. Thermal decomposition of hydrotalcite-like compounds leads to crystal water loss and removal of interlayer anions and hydroxyl, so that mixed oxides with higher surface area can be obtained [16,17]. The mixed oxides after calcination always show high activity owing to their smaller crystal size, basic surface properties, higher surface area, and structure memory effect [18–20]. Catalysts derived from hydrotalcite have been used in the reactions of H$_2$ production, such as steam reforming of alcohols or hydrocarbon; and other reactions like polymerization, aldol condensation, and hydrogenation [21–23].

Ni species which belong to VIII groups have similar properties to noble metals, such as Pt and Pd. Moreover, nickel species as APR catalysts have advantages, such as high availability and low cost. It was reported that the hydrogen selectivity and catalytic activity were improved when introducing Sn to Ni/Al hydrotalcite [24]. Meanwhile, the introduction of Cu can lead to small and well-dispersed particles during the co-precipitation synthesis process of hydrotalcite precursor [25]. Abello et al. found that adding ZnO to the Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst could improve the activity of the catalyst and the selectivity of H$_2$ and CO$_2$ during the ethanol steam reforming reaction [26]. Also, hydrotalcite-derived Ni/Mg–Al catalysts exhibited high activity and stability in methane reforming [27].

In this paper, five kinds of hydrotalcite-derived catalysts were prepared by co-precipitation method and a series of transition metals (Mg, Cu, Zn, Sn, Mn) were added in the synthesis process of Ni–Al-based hydrotalcite-like precursors. The catalytic performances of hydrotalcite-derived catalysts with different metal compositions in the ethylene glycol APR process were investigated.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Catalyst Characterization

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of hydrotalcite-like precursors are shown in Figure 1. It can be seen that NMgA, NSnA, NZnA, and NCuA samples exhibited a similar structure. Due to the formation of SnO at 29.8, 33.2, 50.6, and 57.5°, several special peaks of NSnA hydrotalcite precursors were higher than those of other hydrotalcite precursors. The diffraction peaks appeared at 11.4 (003),
23.2 (006), 35.4 (102), 38.8 (105), 46.3 (108), 61.9 (110), and 62.1° (113) in the XRD patterns of NMgA, NSnA, NZnA, and NCuA hydrotalcite-like precursors, which demonstrated that these four samples had well-crystallite hydrotalcite structure and only traces of other crystallite phases existed in these compounds. However, for the Ni/Mn/Al precursor, no hydrotalcite crystalline peak was observed.

As shown in Figure 2, the lamellar structure of hydrotalcite disappeared after calcining at 500 °C, which demonstrated that the hydroxyll of the crystal lattice in the catalysts was dehydrated and the carbonate was decomposed. NiO and spinel phase appeared in the NMgA–C, NCuA–C, and NZnA–C samples after calcination. The formation of a spinel phase in these samples could enhance the interaction between NiO, ZnO/CuO/MgO, and Al2O3, making the catalysts exhibit higher uniformity and become more beneficial for APR reaction. Due to the formation of NiO, Ni species were obtained in the following reduction process, which was the key metal for dissociation of C–H and C–O bonds during the reforming reaction [28]. A mixture of the rock salt type phase (NiO), wurizite type phase (ZnO), and spinel phase (ZnAl2O4) was formed, as shown in the XRD pattern of NZnA–C catalyst, which is consistent with the results reported by Resini et al. [29]. However, no Al2O3 phase was observed in the XRD pattern of NZnA–C, which was probably due to the dispersion of Al2O3 in NZnA–C sample being beyond the limit of detection. The results of XRD pattern showed that rock salt type phase (NiO) and the spinel phase (CuAl2O4) appeared in NCuAl–C, which is consistent with the results reported by Alejandre [30]. However, CuO phase was not observed in the NCuAl–C sample, which was due to the large amount of CuNiAl mixed metal oxides that formed after calcination [31]. In these mixed metal oxides, CuO, NiO, and Al2O3 were combined through metal–oxygen bonds, and a solid solution with high dispersion of active species was formed. Such a well-distributed structure in the NCuA–C sample could enhance the catalytic activity. Meanwhile, the rock salt type of the Ni–Mg–O solid solution was formed in NMgA–C. In combination with the TPR result of NMgA–C samples, it can be speculated that NiO had a strong interaction with MgO and/or Al2O3 in the NMgA–C sample, which is consistent with the report by Takehira at al. [32]. As shown in Figure 2, the XRD peaks of NMgA–C samples were attributed to the characteristic diffractions of the Ni–Mg–O solid solution, which was formed by incorporating NiO into the MgO crystallite phase. Mn3O4 and MnO2 were shown in the XRD pattern of the NMA–C sample, which is inconsistent with the result reported by Chen et al. [33]. This difference in results might be caused by the difference in the Ni/Mn ratio during the preparation processes. As for the NSnA–C sample, SnO2 and Sn3O4 were formed after calcination, but species of NiO and Al2O3 were not detected, due to its well dispersion after calcination.
observed in the NMgA–R sample, which indicated that spinel was difficult to reduce at 500 °C. AlNi3, Al0.9Ni4.22, and Al7Mn3Ni30 phases appeared in the XRD pattern of NMnA–R sample. Spinel was not detected, which was due to copper preventing its formation or growth during the process of calcination. In addition, the presence of copper strongly influenced the dispersion of Ni on the catalyst and inhibited NiO from forming nickel alumination [35]. Likewise, AlNi3 alloy also appeared in both NZnA–R and NMgA–R samples, and part of ZnO still existed in the NZnA–R sample, as shown in the XRD pattern. This was because the reduction of ZnO required higher temperatures, over 500 °C. Meanwhile, ZnO can be easily transformed to Zn(OH)2 under the H2 atmosphere and then dehydrated to ZnO [36,37]. MgAl2O4 still existed and no Mg0 was observed in the NMgA–R sample, which indicated that spinel was difficult to reduce at 500 °C. AlNi3, Al0.9Ni4.22, and Al7Mn3Ni30 phases appeared in the XRD pattern of NMnA–R sample.

The XRD patterns of reduced multi-metal oxides are as shown in Figure 3. The nickel oxide was almost reduced to Ni (39.08, 46.60, and 70.91°) in all five samples [34]. However, several characteristic crystals were also formed, such as the NiCu and AlNi3 alloy in the reduced catalyst of NCuA–R. Spinel was not detected, which was due to copper preventing its formation or growth during the process of calcination. In addition, the presence of copper strongly influenced the dispersion of Ni on the catalyst and inhibited NiO from forming nickel alumination [35]. Likewise, AlNi3 alloy also appeared in both NZnA–R and NMgA–R samples, and part of ZnO still existed in the NZnA–R sample, as shown in the XRD pattern. This was because the reduction of ZnO required higher temperatures, over 500 °C. Meanwhile, ZnO can be easily transformed to Zn(OH)2 under the H2 atmosphere and then dehydrated to ZnO [36,37]. MgAl2O4 still existed and no Mg0 was observed in the NMgA–R sample, which indicated that spinel was difficult to reduce at 500 °C. AlNi3, Al0.9Ni4.22, and Al7Mn3Ni30 phases appeared in the XRD pattern of NMnA–R sample.

Figure 2. XRD patterns of different calcined catalysts derived from hydrotalcite. ●: NiO; ○: ZnO; ●: ZnAl2O4; □: CuAl2O4; ▼: AlNi3; ▲: AlCu4; □: MgAl2O4; ★: Al2Mg; ○: Mg3Ni; ×: Al2O3; ◆: Mn3O4; →: MnO2; #: SnO2; ★: Sn3O4.

Figure 3. XRD patterns of different hydrotalcite-derived catalysts after reduction. ●: Ni; ○: AlNi3; ◆: NiCu; ×: SnO; □: AlNi; ○: ZnO; ▲: MgAl2O4; ★: Al0.9Ni4.22; ▲: Al7Mn3Ni30.
H$_2$-TPR spectra of different hydrotalcite-derived Ni–Al calcined catalysts are as shown in Figure 4. Two reductive peaks were shown in NCuA–C; one appeared between 188 and 281 °C, and the other appeared between 281 and 385 °C. The peak that appeared at the lower temperature was attributed to the reduction of CuO to Cu$^0$, which suggested that traces of well dispersed CuO existed in calcined Ni/Cu/Al samples [35,37]. Moreover, the peak at the higher temperature was ascribed to the reduction of Ni$^{2+}$ to Ni$^0$ [37]. Similarly, the reduction peak of Ni$^{2+}$ to Ni$^0$ occurred between 411 °C and 793 °C for the NMgA–C sample. The introduction of Mg species broadened the scope of the reduction peaks in the NMgA–C sample and made it difficult for the Ni$^{2+}$ species to be reduced to Ni$^0$ [38,39]. The increase of reduction temperature for Ni$^{2+}$ was due to the formation of a NiO–MgO solid solution, by which Ni$^{2+}$ ions were stabilized. Two reductive peaks appeared in the TPR profile of the NMA–C sample. The peak at 252 °C was probably attributed to the reduction of MnO$_2$, to Mn$_2$O$_3$ or Mn$_3$O$_4$. The peak appearing at the higher temperature between 343 and 690 °C was ascribed to the reduction of Ni$^{2+}$ to Ni$^0$ with a shoulder peak [33]. There were also two reductive peaks in the TPR profile of the calcined NSnA–C sample. The first peak appearing within the range of 460 to 525 °C was attributed to the reduction of Ni$^{2+}$, while that appearing between 525 and 637 °C was mainly ascribed to the reduction of NiSnAl(O) oxides [24,40]. The broad peak of calcined NZnA–C appearing between 333 and 813 °C can probably be attributed to the reduction of Ni$^{2+}$ to Ni$^0$. The existing Zn cation made the reduction of Ni$^{2+}$ shift to a higher temperature. The reductive peak appearing at 950 °C was attributed to the reduction of Zn$^{2+}$ in the NZnA–C sample.

![Figure 4. Temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) profiles of different calcined catalysts derived from hydrotalcite.](image)

Specific surface area, pore volume, and pore diameter of all the samples obtained from N$_2$ physical adsorption are listed in Table 1. Among the calcined samples, NZnA–C had the highest specific surface area of 149 m$^2$/g, and NSnA–C had the lowest specific surface area of 80 m$^2$/g. NMgA–C had the largest pore volume of 0.319 cm$^3$/g. After reduction, the specific surface areas and pore volumes were decreased significantly for NZnA–R, NCuA–R, NSnA–R, and NMnA–R, but the specific surface area and pore volume of NMgA–R decreased slightly. The pore sizes were decreased significantly for all the samples after reduction. The NMgA–R sample showed the highest specific surface area of 110 m$^2$/g, while NZnA–R exhibited the largest pore volume of 0.126 cm$^3$/g.
Table 1. Textural characteristics of the calcined and reduced catalysts derived from hydrotalcite.

| Sample   | BET (m²/g) | Pore Volume (cm³/g) | Pore Size (nm) |
|----------|------------|---------------------|----------------|
| NCuA–C  | 120        | 0.149               | 23.6           |
| NCuA–R  | 43         | 0.108               | 16.9           |
| NZnA–C  | 149        | 0.246               | 39.9           |
| NZnA–R  | 70         | 0.126               | 36.6           |
| NMgA–C  | 138        | 0.319               | 53.3           |
| NMgA–R  | 110        | 0.115               | 18.8           |
| NMA–C   | 128        | 0.182               | 21.7           |
| NMnA–R  | 23         | 0.052               | 18.9           |
| NSnA–C  | 80         | 0.151               | 25.8           |
| NSnA–R  | 31         | 0.079               | 18.9           |

2.2. Catalytic Performance

The APR reaction results over hydrotalcite-derived catalysts are as shown in Figures 5 and 6. Through comparing the reaction results over hydrotalcite-derived catalysts before and after reduction, it was found that the selectivity and yield of H₂ were higher when using reduced hydrotalcite-derived catalysts, except for in NMgA–C and NMgA–R. Meanwhile, as shown in Table 1, the conversions of ethylene glycol are generally higher than 90%.

![Figure 5. The selectivity of H₂, CO, CO₂, and alkane in the gas products after aqueous-phase reforming (APR) of ethylene glycol over hydrotalcite-derived catalysts.](image-url)
were the main gas products for the APR reaction. The selectivity of H$_2$ ranged from 29.5% to 70.3%. The highest selectivity of H$_2$ was obtained by NMgA–C. It can be deduced that adding magnesium to the Ni–Al hydrotalcite-derived catalysts could enhance the selectivity of H$_2$. In addition, strong interaction was formed between Ni and Mg(Al)O, which is in favor of transforming electrons from the Mg(Al)O supporter into Ni species. Thus, the electronegativity of the active Ni species increased, and the dehydration probability of ethylene glycol was further decreased [41]. Then, the selectivity of H$_2$ was improved in APR reaction. The lowest selectivity of H$_2$ was obtained by the NMA–C catalyst, and only weak catalytic activity was shown during the APR reaction. As shown in Figure 5, NCuA–R/C and NZnA–R/C as catalysts, showed excellent catalytic performance; and the selectivity of H$_2$ over these catalysts were higher than that when using NSnA–R/C and NMnA–R/C as catalysts.

After APR reaction, the selectivity of alkanes in gas ranged between 6.6% and 56.3%. The highest selectivity of alkanes, as well as lower selectivities of H$_2$, CO and CO$_2$, were obtained when using NMA–C as catalyst. The higher selectivity of hydrogen and lower activity for methanation over hydrotalcite-derived catalysts may be caused by well dispersion of Ni species, which was more suitable for CO dissociation, resulting in high H$_2$ selectivity and low alkane formation. This speculation is consistent with the report of Dumesic group who added Sn to Ni catalysts [34,42,43].

The yields of H$_2$ ranged between 9.8% and 73.5%, and the highest yield of H$_2$ was obtained when using NMgA–C as catalyst during the APR process. The catalytic activities of hydrotalcite-derived catalysts for H$_2$ production can be ranked in the order of: NMgA–C > NZnA–R > NCuA–R > NZnA–C > NCuA–C > NMgA–R > NSnA–R > NMnA–R > NSnA–C > NMA–C. APR reaction over the reduced hydrotalcite-derived catalysts could produce a higher yield of H$_2$ than APR reaction over the calcined hydrotalcite-derived catalysts, except for NMgA–C and NMgA–R. It can be deduced that part of the H$_2$ in products resulted from the water gas shift reaction, and part of the H$_2$O was transformed into H$_2$ under these reaction conditions due to the presence of Ni species in catalysts.

Hydrotalcite-derived catalysts exhibited excellent catalytic performance in terms of H$_2$ production, indicating that the hydrotalcite-derived catalysts have great potential for application in APR reactions. It was also found that the use of basic supporters, such as MgO, ZnO, and CuO, can enhance hydrogen production, because it has been reported that the basic supporter in hydrotalcite-derived catalysts can decrease the coke formation, and thus, enhance the stability of a catalyst [44–46].
3. Experimental Methods

3.1. Catalyst Preparation

Hydrotalcite-like compounds were prepared by co-precipitation method at room temperature. The detailed synthesis processes were as follows: Firstly, 3.14 mol of nickel nitrate hexahydrate, 0.69 mol of aluminum hydrate nitrate, and certain amounts of Mg/Cu/Zn/Sn/Mn in the form of nitrate were dissolved in 50 mL of deionized water while being vigorously stirred, resulting in solution A. The molar ratio of Al to the total metals was 0.25 in solution A. A mixture of 0.518 mol of Na$_2$CO$_3$ (CO$_3^{2-}$/Al$^{3+}$ = 0.375) and 8.7 mol of NaOH (OH$^-$/Al$^{3+}$ = 6.3) were dissolved in 50 mL of deionized water, resulting in solution B. Then, solution A was added dropwise into solution B while being vigorously stirred. Afterwards, the obtained gel was aged under a constant pH of 13 for 18 h at 80 °C. The obtained solid was filtered and washed with distilled water (90 °C) until the pH of the filtrate was adjusted to 7. Finally, the obtained hydrotalcite precursors were put into an oven and dried at 100 °C for 12 h. In this paper, in order to make it easier to understand, the hydrotalcite-like compounds of Ni/Mg/Al, Ni/Cu/Al, Ni/Zn/Al, Ni/Sn/Al, and Ni/Mn/Al were denoted as NMgA, NCuA, NZnA, NSnA, and NMnA for short, respectively.

The hydrotalcite-derived multi-metal mixed oxides were obtained by calcination of the above obtained hydrotalcite-like compounds at 500 °C for 2 h under dry air, at a heating rate of 10 °C/min. The samples after calcination were denoted as NMgA–C, NCuA–C, NZnA–C, NSnA–C, and NMnA–C for short in this paper, respectively.

Simultaneously, part of the decomposed hydrotalcite-derived samples, including NMgA–C, NCuA–C, NZnA–C, NSnA–C, and NMA–C, were further reduced under a hydrogen and nitrogen atmosphere with a H$_2$ to N$_2$ ratio of 3:7. The reduction step was proceeded at 500 °C for 4 h with a heating rate of 10 °C/min, and the obtained samples were denoted as NMgA–R, NCuA–R, NZnA–R, NSnA–R, and NMnA–R for short in this paper.

3.2. Catalyst Characterization

X-ray diffraction was conducted on a X-ray diffractometer (Bruker, D8 Advance, Germany) with the scanning scope of 2θ angle ranging from 10 to 90°. Scherrer Equation was introduced in this paper to calculate the crystallite size of samples. H$_2$-temperature programmed reduction (H$_2$-TPR) was performed using an Autosorb-iQ-C-TCD-MS instrument (Quantachrome, America). In order to remove the surface contaminants, 50 mg of the catalyst was charged in a U-shaped quartz cell and pretreated at 300 °C in a pure N$_2$ atmosphere for 3 h. Finally, the sample in the U-shaped quartz cell was kept under 95% H$_2$/Ar and heated from room temperature to 1000 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min. The consumption of H$_2$ was quantified by a thermal conductivity detector. The physical properties, including Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) specific area, pore volume, and pore size, were detected by N$_2$ sorption at −196 °C using an Autosorb-iQ-C-TCD-MS instrument. Before analysis, samples needed to outgas at 150 °C under N$_2$ gas flow for 6 h. Data on the surface area was obtained by using the classic BET method, and Barret-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method were applied to evaluate the pore properties of samples.

3.3. Catalytic Tests

The test for catalytic performance of Ni-Al based hydrotalcite-derived catalysts in ethylene glycol APR was conducted in an autoclave. Before reaction, 50 mL of 20 wt% ethylene glycol–water solution was put in the autoclave. Then, 100 mg of hydrotalcite-derived catalyst was put into the autoclave. After that, nitrogen was introduced into the autoclave, and the autoclave was flushed three times to remove the residue air. Then, the reaction was carried out at 225 °C under nitrogen pressure of 2.6 MPa for 2.5 h [47]. After reaction, the gas phases were collected using a gas collecting bag and detected using gas chromatography (Beifen GC-3420A, China) equipped with a Porapak Q column of 3 m and a 5A molecular sieve. The selectivity of these products was calculated under the condition of ignoring
water. The liquid phase that resulted from the reaction was detected using the apparatus of Agilent 7890A (GC, 60m DB-Wax capillary column, America).

The conversion of ethylene glycol and selectivity of H\textsubscript{2} and alkane were calculated according to the following equation [47].

The conversion of ethylene glycol is defined as follows:

\[
\text{Conversion of ethylene glycol} (\%) = \frac{\text{moles of ethylene glycol in the feedstock} - \text{moles of ethylene glycol in products}}{\text{moles of ethylene glycol in the feedstock}} \times 100
\]

The selectivity of gaseous products is expressed as:

\[
\text{Selectivity of gas product} (\%) = \frac{\text{The mole of the product in gas products}}{\text{The total mole of gas products}} \times 100
\]

The hydrogen yield is defined as follows:

\[
\text{H}_2 \text{ yield} (\%) = \frac{\text{The mole of } \text{H}_2 \text{ in gas products}}{\text{The mole of ethylene glycol as reactant}} \times 5 \times 100
\]

4. Conclusions

Hydrotalcite-derived catalysts were obtained by co-precipitation method and post-treatment. All of the hydrotalcite-like precursors showed hydrotalcite crystalloids with a layered double structure, except for the NMnA sample. Due to the instability of Mn cations, non-hydrotalcite crystalloid structure of the NMnA precursor was formed. Hydrotalcite-derived samples in the form of mixed metal oxides were obtained after calcination. By introducing Zn, Mg, and Cu metal species into Ni–Al based hydrotalcite-derived catalysts, a more uniform structure was formed, and NiO and spinel phase were generated after calcination. In the APR reaction, the yield of H\textsubscript{2} was 73.5%, 70.3%, and 71.9% when using NMgA–C, NCuA–R, and NZnA–R as catalysts, respectively. High conversion (>90%) of ethylene glycol was obtained over the hydrotalcite-derived catalysts. The optimum selectivity of H\textsubscript{2} was obtained by APR reaction over NMgA–C. The formation of MgO made both nickel and aluminum oxides well dispersed after calcination, which enhanced hydrogen reforming during the APR reaction over NMgA–C, and thereby improving the selectivity of hydrogen.
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