Spontaneous Formation of a Superconductor–Topological Insulator–Normal Metal Layered Heterostructure
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The discovery of graphene has spurred vigorous investigation of 2D materials, revealing a wide range of extraordinary properties and functionalities. 2D heterostructural materials have recently been fabricated by assembling isolated planes layer-by-layer in a desired sequence. Unusual properties and novel physical phenomena have been unveiled in such layered heterostructures.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^4\) For example, Hofstadter’s butterfly, an intriguing pattern of the energy states of Bloch electrons, was predicted several decades ago to be observable only under unfeasibly strong magnetic fields in conventional materials. But it has been observed recently under current experimental conditions in graphene/BN layered heterostructures, one of the outstanding new kinds of 2D materials.\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^8\) Moreover, another amazing physics phenomenon, Majorana fermions was predicted to exist in heterostructural systems consisting of a superconductor (SC) and a topological insulator (TI).\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^14\) The coupling between an s-wave superconductor and the edge state of a 2D topological insulator can produce the long-sought Majorana fermions.\(^1\)\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^22\) The key to observing the Majorana phenomenon experimentally is finding ideal material systems, typically by construction of TI/SC hybrid structures. Few such heterostructures have been reported, fabricated by stacking different low-dimensional materials.\(^19\)\(^-\)\(^22\) However, the transfer and stacking process involves complicated steps of advanced microfabrication techniques. Certainly, it is essential both to explore more related heterostructures and to develop new approaches, like a method based on spontaneous formation of desired heterostructures, for both fundamental research and technological applications.

In particular, we note that HfTe\(_5\) films in few-layer thickness have recently been theoretically predicted as a promising large-gap topological insulator.\(^23\) HfTe\(_3\) bulk has been reported as a superconductivity experimentally.\(^24\) Interestingly, these two materials have a layered configuration in the a-c plane. In the a-c plane, a HfTe\(_3\) layer can be considered as a HfTe\(_5\) layer linked via Te–Te chains (see models in Figure 1d,e; Figure S1 in the Supporting Information).\(^23\)\(^,\)\(^25\)\(^-\)\(^27\) In addition, an idea for a spontaneous structural transformation from HfTe\(_5\) to HfTe\(_3\) can be spurred by analyzing the Hf-Te binary phase diagram, which shows these two structures are neighboring phases and the phase transition is possible under proper temperature. All these data from literatures provide hint for the formation of a HfTe\(_3\)/HfTe\(_5\) heterostructure with combined properties of topological insulator and superconductor. Besides the bulk materials, however, either HfTe\(_3\) or HfTe\(_5\) films are not reported experimentally yet. This stimulates us to explore a spontaneous way to construct such film materials and their layered heterostructures. This method may lay the groundwork for the construction of novel 2D systems with integrated properties in few-layer thickness, suitable for superconducting devices and experimental realization of Majorana fermions.

Here we report fabricating a superconductor–topological insulator–normal metal heterostructure with a layered configuration of HfTe\(_3\)/HfTe\(_5\)/Hf for the first time. By optimizing the experimental process, we find that this heterostructure can indeed form spontaneously. The atomic structure of the heterostructure has been determined by in situ scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS) measurements directly reveal a bandgap as large as 60 meV in HfTe\(_5\) film and a superconducting spectrum in HfTe\(_3\)/HfTe\(_5\) film. Such a heterostructure is potentially suitable for studying many fascinating phenomena including Majorana bound states.\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^14\) Unlike the artificial film lift-transfer-stacking technique, our current method of making desired heterostructures is based on a spontaneous formation process of surface reaction and epitaxial growth and significantly simplifies the fabrication process. This method may offer new routes for the development of other related functional heterostructures and nanodevices.

The HfTe\(_3\)/HfTe\(_5\) heterostructures were fabricated through direct reaction and epitaxial growth of tellurium atoms on a Hf(0001) substrate (see the schematic in Figure 1). Our method
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for forming this heterostructure is a straightforward and transfer-free technique. Only tellurium atoms were deposited on a Hf(0001) substrate (Figure 1a). The substrate was annealed to 500 °C while depositing Te atoms to obtain epitaxial HfTe5 film (Figure 1b). Then the substrate was annealed to 530–590 °C without depositing Te atoms to obtain epitaxial HfTe3 film at the top of the sample (Figure 1c).

To investigate the atomic structure of the as-grown epitaxial films described in Figure 1b, we performed STM studies. Figure 2a is a large-scale STM image of the sample. The zoom-in image (Figure 2b) shows a well-ordered pattern of protrusions with rectangular symmetry and crystalline constant of the a-c plane in HfTe5 bulk material (more discussions are presented in Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). Moreover, the formation of HfTe3 film was monitored by in situ X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS). The XPS data from this HfTe3 film were consistent with those of HfTe5 single crystal (see Figure S2 in the Supporting Information). With a combination of these data, we conclude that a HfTe5 film is formed on the substrate at this stage. In Figure 2b, the surfaces show certain amount of defects, which are probably due to the lack of Te atoms at related high sample annealing temperature.

In order to further reveal the electronic properties of the HfTe5 film, we performed STS measurements (Figure 2c). STS detects the differential tunneling conductance (dI/dV), which gives a measure of the local density of states of the samples near the Fermi level (zero bias) of electrons at energy eV. The dI/dV spectra in Figure 2c show that the Fermi level is within...
the energy gap. This energy gap is as large as 60 meV, and is close to the energy gap theoretically calculated for HfTe$_5$ with a thickness of three layers (see Figure S3 in the Supporting Information), providing further clear evidence of the formation of HfTe$_5$ film. This film is identified as a topological insulator in theoretical prediction.\cite{21,28}

We then conducted further thermal treatment of the sample with increasing sample temperatures to drive the formation of HfTe$_5$ film at the top of the substrate, as described in Figure 1c. Figure 2d is a large-scale STM image of the treated sample. We can see that its topography is clearly different from that of HfTe$_5$ film shown in Figure 2a. Figure 2e is a zoom-in sample. The well-ordered protrusions in this image exhibit a rectangular lattice (the model is shown in Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). The periodicities of these protrusions match the crystalline constants in the a-c plane in HfTe$_3$ bulk.\cite{29} The electronic properties of HfTe$_3$ film were also investigated by STS at sample temperature of 4 K, as shown by the dI/dV spectrum in Figure 2f, the feature in which is obviously different from that of HfTe$_5$ film (Figure 2c). One significant difference is that no bandgap exists in the HfTe$_3$ film, while HfTe$_5$ film has an energy bandgap of 60 meV. The Hf–Te interaction in an individual HfTe$_5$ or HfTe$_3$ layer is in Figure S1 of the Supporting Information), providing further clear evidence of the formation of HfTe$_3$ film at the top of the substrate, as described in Figure 1c, of which is as large as 60 meV, and is close to the energy gap theoretically calculated for HfTe$_5$ with a thickness of three layers (see Figure S3 in the Supporting Information), providing further clear evidence of the formation of HfTe$_5$ film. This film is identified as a topological insulator in theoretical prediction.\cite{21,28}

To confirm and analyze the possible superconducting properties of the resulting HfTe$_3$ film, dI/dV spectra were further obtained at various sample temperatures and externally applied magnetic fields, which are often utilized together to determine a material’s superconductivity. In the as-prepared HfTe$_3$ film, we indeed observed superconducting gap-like spectra: a pronounced dip in the density of states at the Fermi level, and one peak existing on each side of this dip (see dI/dV spectra in Figure 3a,c; Figure S4, Supporting Information). Figure 3a shows the spectra measured at different sample temperatures from 0.48 to 0.93 K with interval of 0.1 K. From these experimental spectra, the superconducting gap ($\Delta$), critical temperature ($T_C$) for superconductor and the Bardeen–Cooper–Schrieffer (BCS) ratio $2\Delta/k_B T_C$ ($k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant) can be deduced by the BCS fitting.\cite{10} the values are 0.20 meV, 1.23 K, and 3.75, respectively (Figure 3b). The superconducting property of HfTe$_3$ film is further supported by STS experiments under variable external magnetic fields. Figure 3c shows the dI/dV spectra obtained at magnetic fields from 0 to 6 T. applied to the sample surface in vertical direction. By a systematic analysis of the zero-bias conductance (ZBC) in these field-dependent dI/dV spectra, an upper critical field ($H_{c2}$) of 5.76 T for completely suppressing superconductivity can be obtained (Figure 3d). Thus, these combined measurements verified the superconducting properties of the HfTe$_3$ film.

To gain further insight into the epitaxial film and its growth process, we performed in situ XPS studies of the formation process of the heterostructure. Figure 4a shows the XPS spectra of the Te$_{54}$ core level during the growth of the film with increasing sample temperatures. After the substrate was annealed to $\approx 500 ^\circ C$ while depositing Te atoms, two peaks of binding energy (marked in red at binding energies 582.82 and 572.42 eV) are the same as those of HfTe$_5$ single crystal (Figure S5 in Supporting Information). These two peaks can be assigned to the HfTe$_5$, as revealed by the STM measurements at this stage (Figure 2a). Further increasing the sample temperature up to 530 $^\circ C$ without depositing Te atoms, as described in Figure 1c, results in decreased intensity of the red peaks (at 582.82 and 572.42 eV), while two new peaks appear (marked by the purple at binding energies 583.47 and 573.0 eV). These two purple peaks can be assigned to the HfTe$_3$, as analyzed by the STM measurements (Figure 2d). Even at $\approx 590 ^\circ C$, the intensity of purple peaks increases while the red peaks still exist, demonstrating the coexistence of HfTe$_5$ and HfTe$_3$ films.

Another issue is the stacking order of the HfTe$_3$ and HfTe$_5$ films. We found that the geometric structure of the whole sample surface is the same as the STM observation in Figure 2d, indicating that the topmost layer of the sample is HfTe$_3$ film. We also found that different samples prepared...
in same experimental conditions showed an identical geometric structure. To clarify the coexistence and layer order of the HfTe$_3$/HfTe$_5$ films, the ratios of photoemission peak area of Te 3d in HfTe$_5$ to Te 3d in HfTe$_3$ are measured as a function of annealing time (Figure 4b; Figure S5, Supporting Information). As shown by curves in the inset of Figure 4b, the peak area of Te 3d in HfTe$_3$ increases, while that in HfTe$_5$ decreases during the initial annealing stage. After an annealing time up to ≈3 min, both the peak areas of Te in HfTe$_3$ and in HfTe$_5$ remain constant. We therefore conclude that there is a HfTe$_5$ film between HfTe$_3$ film and the substrate. So a combined analysis of XPS, STM, and STS data demonstrates that a HfTe$_3$/HfTe$_5$ layered films is formed on the Hf substrate.

In summary, we successfully fabricated a heretofore-unexplored superconductor–topological insulator–normal metal heterostructure with a HfTe$_3$/HfTe$_5$/Hf layered configuration through direct reaction and epitaxial growth of Te atoms on a Hf(0001) substrate. The geometric structures and electrical properties of the heterostructure were experimentally determined by XPS, STM, and STS. This layered heterostructure has potential for studies of both the QSH effect and topological phase transitions.$^{[23]}$ Our newly demonstrated method opens up a route to fabricate heterostructures and nanodevices with a combination of multiproperties, particularly to form systems to study Majorana quasiparticle excitations and topological quantum computation.$^{[31,32]}$

**Experimental Section**

**Sample Preparation:** The superconductor–topological insulator–normal metal HfTe$_3$/HfTe$_5$/Hf heterostructures were fabricated in an ultrahigh vacuum chamber, with a base pressure of $2 \times 10^{-10}$ mbar, equipped with standard molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) capabilities. The Hf(0001) substrate was cleaned by several cycles of Ar$^+$ ion sputtering, followed by annealing until clean surface terraces were obtained in the STM images. Plenty of Te (Sigma, 99.999%) evaporated from a Knudsen cell was deposited onto the clean Hf(0001) surface at room temperature. The sample was subsequently annealed at proper temperatures to achieve different structures (at 500 °C for the HfTe$_5$ structure, and at about 560 °C for HfTe$_3$/HfTe$_5$ layered structures). After growth, the samples were transferred to STM equipment and cooled for imaging of topographies and measuring of the local electronic properties.

**XPS Measurements:** The in situ X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy measurements were performed at the Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility (BSRF). Synchrotron radiation light monochromated by four high-resolution gratings and controlled by a hemispherical energy analyzer has photon energy in the range from 10 to 1100 eV.

**STM Measurements:** To obtain the electronic structures of the films, STM experiments were performed using an ultralow-temperature STM system (Unisoku and RHK) operated at a base temperature of 0.45 K and under a magnetic field up to 9 T. The differential conductance (dI/dV) was measured using lock-in detection of the tunnel current I by adding a 5 mV for 4 K and 0.15 mV for 0.45 K modulated bias voltage at 973 Hz to the sample bias voltage V. The energy resolution is better than 0.4 meV at 0.45 K with an electrochemically etched tungsten tip.

**Supporting Information**
Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.
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