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Abstract

**Background:** *Aedes albopictus* (Skuse, 1884) (*Diptera: Culicidae*), a mosquito native to Asia, has recently invaded all five continents. In Central Africa it was first reported in the early 2000s, and has since been implicated in the emergence of arboviruses such as dengue and chikungunya in this region. Recent genetic studies of invasive species have shown that multiple introductions are a key factor for successful expansion in new areas. As a result, phenotypic characters such as vector competence and insecticide susceptibility may vary within invasive pest species, potentially affecting vector efficiency and pest management. Here we assessed the genetic variability and population genetics of *Ae. albopictus* isolates in Cameroon (Central Africa), thereby deducing their likely geographic origin.

**Methods and Results:** Mosquitoes were sampled in 2007 in 12 localities in southern Cameroon and analyzed for polymorphism at six microsatellite loci and in two mitochondrial DNA regions (NDS and COI). All the microsatellite markers were successfully amplified and were polymorphic, showing moderate genetic structure among geographic populations (*FST* = 0.068, *P* < 0.0001). Analysis of mtDNA sequences revealed four haplotypes each for the COI and NDS genes, with a dominant haplotype shared by all Cameroonian samples. The weak genetic variation estimated from the mtDNA genes is consistent with the recent arrival of *Ae. albopictus* in Cameroon. Phylogeographic analysis based on COI polymorphism indicated that *Ae. albopictus* populations from Cameroon are related to tropical rather than temperate or subtropical outgroups.

**Conclusion:** The moderate genetic diversity observed among Cameroonian *Ae. albopictus* isolates is in keeping with recent introduction and spread in this country. The genetic structure of natural populations points to multiple introductions from tropical regions.

* E-mail: christophe.paupy@ird.fr

Introduction

The Asian “tiger” mosquito, *Aedes* (*Stegomyia*) *albopictus* (Skuse, 1894) (*Diptera: Culicidae*), is a major vector of dengue (DENV, Flaviviridae, flavivirus) and chikungunya (CHIKV, Togaviridae, alphavirus) viruses in tropical areas [1–3]. This mosquito species originated in southeast Asia [4], and has rapidly invaded numerous countries in Africa, the Middle East, Europe and the Americas over the last three decades [5]. This rapid global spread was favored by international trade, especially in used tires [6]. In Africa, *Aedes albopictus* was first reported in South Africa in 1989, where it was immediately controlled [7], and two years later in Nigeria, where it pululated [8]. In Central Africa, *Ae. albopictus* was first recorded in Cameroon in 2000 [9], followed by rapid expansion in most of the south [10]. *Aedes albopictus* is now the dominant species at the periphery of urban centers such as Yaoundé and Douala [11], where it has gradually replaced the resident species, *Aedes aegypti* (L., 1762).

Coinciding with the spread of *Ae. albopictus*, numerous DENV and CHIKV epidemics occurred in Cameroon [12] and Gabon [13] during the 2000s. *Ae. albopictus* was incriminated as the main vector of both viruses during a 2007 dual outbreak in Libreville, Gabon [3,13]. In addition, *Ae. albopictus* isolates in Cameroon proved to be orally susceptible to DEN-2 virus and highly competent for CHIKV [3].

*Aedes albopictus* exhibits strong physiological and ecological plasticity, allowing it to thrive in a wide range of climates and habitats. Known as a sylvatic species in Asia [4], *Ae. albopictus* has now adapted to human environments and is preferentially found in suburban areas, although it has also been recorded in densely populated urban areas such as Rome, Italy [14]. The range of larval development sites suitable for *Ae. albopictus* is extremely
broad and includes natural water collections (e.g., bamboo stumps, bromeliads and tree holes) and artificial containers (e.g., water storage containers, abandoned car parts and all other discarded items where rainwater can accumulate) [15]. The mosquito preferentially feeds on mammals [15], but females can feed on most groups of cold- to warm-blooded vertebrates, including reptiles, birds and amphibians [16]. The feeding preferences of *Ae. albopictus* vary with the geographic origin of local mosquito populations [17]. This ability of *Ae. albopictus* to feed on different animal species enhances not only its biological traits (e.g.,
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*Figure 1. Location of *Ae. albopictus* sampling sites in Cameroon, April 2007.*

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020257.g001
fecundity and survival) but also its capacity to propagate zoonotic pathogens across animal species and to humans [18]. Finally, the broad host spectrum would facilitate invasion of diverse environments ranging from forests to urban areas.

Several phylogeographic studies have been undertaken to determine the origin of invasive Aedes albopictus populations. An early study based on isoenzymatic markers suggested that North and South American populations (USA and Brazil) were closely related to Japanese populations [19]. This was confirmed by a study suggesting that “temperate populations” in the USA, Japan and Italy form a genetic cluster distinct from tropical Asian populations [20]. More recently, however, studies of mitochondrial markers challenged the common origin of North and South American populations [21], and suggested that Brazilian populations were related to Southeast Asian populations rather than to temperate Asian populations [22]. Differences in biological traits, such as the winter diapause exhibited by USA populations but not by Brazilian populations [15], support this hypothesis. It has also been shown that the vector competence of Aedes albopictus varies according to its geographic origin [23–25]. As biological traits of Aedes albopictus are genetically determined, and as these traits may influence virus transmission in newly colonized areas, it is important to determine the geographic origin of invading populations.

As little is known of the geographic origin or genetics of Aedes albopictus in Africa, we undertook a genetic study of Aedes albopictus in Cameroon.

### Materials and Methods

#### Ethics Statement

An institutional clearance for this study, including the sampling of mosquito, was granted by Health Ministry of Cameroon.

#### Mosquito sampling

Aedes albopictus mosquitoes were sampled as larvae or pupae in 12 localities in South Cameroon during April 2007. The geographic locations and main characteristics of the sampling sites are summarized in Figure 1 and Table 1. Aquatic stages (field generation, F0) were collected from domestic containers (tanks) and peri-domestic water collections (used tires, discarded containers, etc.) and forwarded in plastic boxes to insectaries. Each sample from a given locality consisted of pooled larvae from 4–6 breeding places, to avoid inbreeding. In the insectaries, mosquito larvae and pupae were transferred to pans containing water for rearing to adulthood. Adults were examined morphologically to confirm they were Aedes albopictus and were then stored in individual tubes containing a desiccant at −20°C until molecular analysis.

#### Microsatellite analysis

Total DNA was extracted from whole mosquito bodies, as described by Morlais et al. [26]. DNA pellets were resuspended in sterile water and stored at −20°C. Genetic polymorphism was assessed at six microsatellite markers: AcalbA9, AcalbB6, AcalbB5, AcalbB52, AcalbB52 and AcalbF3 [27].

DNA was amplified in a GeneAmp 9600 thermal cycler (PerkinElmer, USA) in 25-µl reaction mixes containing 2 µl of 1/5-diluted total DNA, 2.5 µl of 10× reaction buffer (Qiagen, USA), 0.5 mM MgCl2 (for AcalbB6 and AcalbB52), 0.2 mM each dNTP (Eurogentec, Belgium), 10 pmol of each primer, and 0.5 U of Taq polymerase (Qiagen, USA). The 5’ end of the forward primer was labeled with a fluorescent dye (FAM, NED, PET and VIC). Cycling conditions were as follows: 5 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 45 s at 94°C, 30 s at the annealing temperature (TA), 45 s at 72°C, and 30 min at 72°C. PCR products were diluted in

### Table 1. Characteristics of Aedes albopictus sampling sites in Cameroon, April 2007.

| Region                  | Locality     | Number of sampled larvae sites | Type of larval habitat   | Geographic coordinate | Climate                        |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| East                    | Abong-Mbang  | 5                              | Used tires               | 04° 00'N, 13° 19'E   | Subequatorial Guinean climate  |
|                         | Bertoua      | 5                              | Tin cans, used tires     | 04° 33'N, 13° 46'E   | Subequatorial Guinean climate  |
|                         | Garoua-Boula | 5                              | Discarded tanks, tree holes | 05° 50'N, 14° 28'E | Subequatorial Guinean climate  |
| Center                  | Ayos         | 4                              | Used tires               | 03° 52'N, 12° 29'E   | Subequatorial Guinean climate  |
|                         | Bafia        | 5                              | Tin cans, tree holes, used tires | 04° 44'N, 11° 11'E | Subequatorial Guinean climate  |
|                         | Yaoundé      | 6                              | Car wrecks, used tires   | 03° 54'N, 11° 37'E   | Subequatorial Guinean climate  |
| South-West and Littoral | Buea         | 4                              | Drums, used tires        | 04° 09'N, 09° 13'E   | Equatorial monsoon with heavy summer rains |
|                         | Douala       | 5                              | Tarpaulins, used tires   | 04° 02'N, 09° 41'E   | Equatorial monsoon with heavy summer rains |
|                         | Pouma        | 4                              | Discarded tanks, tin cans | 03° 50'N, 10° 33'E | Equatorial monsoon with heavy summer rains |
| West and North-West     | Bamenda      | 5                              | Car wrecks, tin cans, used tires | 05° 58'N, 10° 13'E | Equatorial monsoon (highlands) |
|                         | Banganté     | 4                              | Drums, tin cans          | 05° 09'N, 10° 33'E   | Equatorial monsoon (highlands) |
|                         | Bafoussam    | 5                              | Car wrecks, tin cans, used tires | 05° 29'N, 10° 26'E | Equatorial monsoon (highlands) |
Table 2. Genetic variability of *Ae. albopictus* populations surveyed in Cameroon, based on six microsatellite loci.

| Sample (N) | AaelbB6 | AaelbD2 | AaelbA9 | AaelbB51 | AaelbB52 | AaelbF3 | All loci |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|            | N Allel | R Allel| H e     | N Allel | R Allel| H e     | N Allel | R Allel| H e     |
| Abong-Mbang (40) | 9 | 6.42 | 0.55 | 9 | 5.10 | 0.60 | 11 | 8.97 | 0.88 | 1 | 1.00 | - | 3 | 1.95 | 0.08 | 4 | 3.33 | 0.50 | 6.2 | 4.46 | 0.43 |
| Bertousa (40) | 9 | 5.28 | 0.44 | 7 | 5.54 | 0.67 | 12 | 8.81 | 0.82 | 2 | 1.74 | 0.07 | 3 | 2.88 | 0.31 | 3 | 2.93 | 0.47 | 6.0 | 4.53 | 0.46 |
| Garoua-Boulai (27) | 5 | 4.21 | 0.32 | 7 | 6.35 | 0.81 | 9 | 7.33 | 0.68 | 1 | 1.00 | - | 2 | 2.00 | 0.39 | 3 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 4.5 | 3.91 | 0.45 |
| Ayos (40) | 4 | 3.27 | 0.27 | 7 | 5.38 | 0.62 | 11 | 9.31 | 0.86 | 2 | 1.44 | 0.03 | 3 | 2.63 | 0.36 | 4 | 3.36 | 0.54 | 5.2 | 4.23 | 0.45 |
| Bafia (40) | 9 | 6.83 | 0.74 | 7 | 5.93 | 0.66 | 9 | 7.49 | 0.82 | 2 | 1.73 | 0.07 | 2 | 1.58 | 0.05 | 3 | 2.35 | 0.45 | 5.3 | 4.32 | 0.47 |
| Younende (40) | 8 | 5.23 | 0.56 | 8 | 6.21 | 0.75 | 10 | 8.12 | 0.78 | 2 | 1.58 | 0.05 | 4 | 3.24 | 0.31 | 3 | 2.84 | 0.36 | 5.8 | 4.53 | 0.47 |
| Buea (25) | 6 | 6.00 | 0.69 | 6 | 5.47 | 0.73 | 11 | 9.56 | 0.84 | 1 | 1.00 | - | 4 | 3.58 | 0.32 | 4 | 3.51 | 0.57 | 5.3 | 4.85 | 0.53 |
| Douala (40) | 8 | 6.04 | 0.76 | 8 | 5.70 | 0.79 | 8 | 6.84 | 0.69 | 2 | 1.74 | 0.07 | 3 | 2.10 | 0.1 | 3 | 2.84 | 0.48 | 5.3 | 4.38 | 0.48 |
| Pouna (40) | 6 | 3.98 | 0.27 | 6 | 5.30 | 0.77 | 7 | 7.98 | 0.74 | 2 | 1.93 | **0.14** | 4 | 3.26 | 0.33 | 4 | 3.24 | 0.53 | 5.5 | 4.28 | 0.46 |
| Bamenda (40) | 8 | 6.14 | **0.61** | 5 | 4.58 | 0.72 | 11 | 7.88 | 0.74 | 1 | 1.00 | - | 2 | 2.00 | 0.25 | 3 | 2.77 | 0.53 | 5.0 | 4.06 | 0.47 |
| Banganté (40) | 7 | 5.73 | 0.50 | 5 | 4.31 | 0.68 | 10 | 8.30 | 0.86 | 2 | 1.83 | 0.1 | 2 | 1.98 | 0.20 | 3 | 2.58 | 0.50 | 4.8 | 4.12 | 0.47 |
| Bafoussam (40) | 5 | 4.65 | **0.49** | 3 | 4.00 | 0.61 | 5 | 4.48 | 0.76 | 1 | 1.00 | - | 2 | 2.00 | 0.48 | 2 | 2.00 | 0.49 | 3.0 | 2.85 | 0.46 |
| All samples (452) | 17 | 7.09 | **0.52** | 11 | 5.97 | 0.70 | 17 | 9.52 | 0.79 | 2 | 1.53 | **0.05** | 4 | 2.79 | **0.27** | 5 | 3.32 | 0.54 | 9.3 | 5.04 | **0.48** |

N, sample size (number of mosquitoes); N Allel, number of alleles; R Allel, allele richness [28]; H e, Nei’s unbiased estimate of expected heterozygosity [29]; Values in bold highlight significant deficit in heterozygote (P < 0.05 after Bonferroni correction).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020257.t002

A Bayesian approach available in STRUCTURE 2.2 was used to infer the number of clusters (K) in the data set, without prior information of the sampling locations [41]. A model in which allele frequencies correlated within populations was assumed (K was set at 1, the default value). The software was run with the option of admixture, allowing for some mixed ancestry within individuals, and τ was allowed to vary. We used 20 independent runs for each value of K (K = 1 to 12), with a burn-in period of 100 000 iterations and 400 000 replications. The method of Evanno et al. [42] was used to determine the most likely number of clusters. This approach uses an *ad hoc* quantity, ΔK, based on the second-order rate of change of the likelihood function between successive values of K.

**Mitochondrial DNA analysis**

At least ten individuals per locality, selected among those previously analyzed for microsatellite markers, were used to explore sequence polymorphism in the mitochondrial genes ND5 and COI. DNA samples were used as templates to amplify a 400-bp fragment of ND5 and a 550-bp fragment of COI. Two sets of primers were used: 5’TCCTTGGAAATATCCCGC-3’ (FOR-ND5) and 5’GTTTTCTGTTTATGATTCTTCC-3’ (REV-ND5) for ND5 [21], and 5’GGAGGATTGGAAGATTTAGTTCC-3’ (FOR-COI) and 5’CCCGGTAAAATTAAATAC-3’ (REV-COI) for COI [43]. PCR amplification was performed with a GeneAmp 9600 thermal cycler (PerkinElmer) with 21 µl reaction mix containing 4 µl of 1/50-diluted template DNA, 2.5 µl of 10× reaction buffer (Qiagen), 0.5 mM MgCl₂ (for ND5), 0.2 mM dNTP, 10 pmol of each primer and 0.5 U of Taq polymerase (Qiagen). The thermal cycling conditions consisted of 3 min at 94°C, 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 45 s at TA (52°C for ND5 and 54°C for COI), and 45 s at 72°C, followed by 10 min at 72°C. PCR products were detected by agarose gel electrophoresis in Tris-borate-EDTA buffer (TBE), stained with 0.5 µg/ml ethidium bromide in TBE, and visualized under UV light. PCR products were purified with the Ampure kit (Beckman Coulter, France, Paris) and sequenced on both strands using ABI Prism BigDye Terminator version 3.1 (Applied Biosystems). Each 10-µl
sequencing reaction mix contained 1 μl of Ready Reaction mix (Applied Biosystems), 1 μl of 5× sequencing buffer, 3.2 pmol/μl primer, and 0.5–1.0 μl of purified PCR product. The reaction consisted of an initial denaturation step of 1 min at 96°C, followed by 25 cycles of 10 s at 96°C, 5 s at 50°C, and 4 min at 60°C, with a final elongation step of 3 min at 72°C. Sequencing reaction products were purified with the Agencourt CleanSeq kit (Beckman Coulter) and analyzed on an ABI 3130XL automatic sequencer (Applied Biosystem).

Sequences were analyzed with SEQSCAPE software 2.5 (Applied Biosystems) and aligned with Clustal W [44]. ND5 and COI sequences were numbered according to the reference sequences (GeneBank ID AJ971026.1 and AJ971015.1, respectively). Basic sequence statistics, including the number of

![Image of dendrogram](image-url)

**Figure 2. Dendrogram based on microsatellite Nei’s genetic distance [37] clustering by UPGMA methods.** The genetic relationship among 12 *Ae. albopictus* populations sampled in Cameroon is shown.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020257.g002
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**Table 3. Matrix of pairwise estimates of $F_{ST}$ among *Ae. albopictus* populations from Cameroon.**

| Sample          | Abong-Mbang | Bertoua | Garoua-Boulai | Ayos | Bafia | Yaoundé | Buea | Douala | Pouma | Bamenda | Banganté |
|-----------------|-------------|---------|---------------|------|------|---------|------|--------|-------|---------|----------|
| Abong-Mbang     | -           |         |               |      |      |         |      |        |       |         |          |
| Bertoua         | 0.006       | -       |               |      |      |         |      |        |       |         |          |
| Garoua-Boulai   | 0.083***    | 0.063***| -              |      |      |         |      |        |       |         |          |
| Ayos            | 0.026*      | 0.017   | 0.059***      | -    |      |         |      |        |       |         |          |
| Bafia           | 0.023***    | 0.042***| 0.100***      | 0.063***| -    |         |      |        |       |         |          |
| Yaoundé         | 0.026*      | 0.021*  | 0.039***      | 0.045***| 0.053***| -       |      |        |       |         |          |
| Buea            | 0.144***    | 0.141***| 0.163***      | 0.162***| 0.164***| 0.176***| -    |        |       |         |          |
| Douala          | 0.073***    | 0.073***| 0.142***      | 0.099***| 0.072***| 0.075***| 0.159***| -    |       |         |          |
| Pouma           | 0.039***    | 0.023   | 0.013*        | 0.039 | 0.069***| 0.018   | 0.140***| 0.101***| -       |          |          |
| Bamenda         | 0.036**     | 0.036** | 0.023**       | 0.049***| 0.047***| 0.020*  | 0.118***| 0.075***| 0.016***| -        |          |
| Banganté        | 0.020***    | 0.026***| 0.071***      | 0.029** | 0.116***| 0.051***| 0.127***| 0.086***| 0.036***| 0.038** | -        |
| Bafoussam       | 0.089***    | 0.067***| 0.101***      | 0.090***| 0.045***| 0.096***| 0.162***| 0.156***| 0.077***| 0.094***| 0.054** |

The statistical significance of $F_{ST}$ estimates was assessed using the Fisher exact test of homogeneity of genotypic frequencies [32]. In bold, significant P values (*P<0.05, **P<0.001, ***P<0.0001) after correction for multiple tests.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020257.t003
haplotypes per sample (Hp), the number of segregating sites (S), haplotype diversity (Hd), nucleotide diversity (π) and the average number of nucleotide differences were computed with DnaSP 4.10.9 [45]. Neutrality tests used the statistics of Tajima [46], Fu and Li [47] and Fu [48] in DnaSP 4.10.9. The phylogenetic relationships between COI and ND5 haplotypes recorded in Cameroon and previously published sequences of \textit{Ae. albopictus} from Asia, the Americas, the Indian Ocean and Europe were explored with Bayesian inference analysis. MrModeltest v2.2 [49] was first used to select the model best fitting the COI and ND5 nucleotide sequence data (under Akaike’s information criterion). Analyses were performed with MrBayes 3.1.2 [50] and four Markov chains were run for 2,000,000 generations (sampling every 100 generations) to allow adequate time for convergence. The first 2,500 trees were discarded as burn-in, and the remaining 17,500 sampled trees were used to estimate the 50% majority rule consensus tree and the Bayesian posterior probabilities. All MCMC runs were repeated twice to confirm consistent approximation of the posterior distributions.

Results

Microsatellite analysis

\textbf{Genetic variability and Hardy Weinberg expectations.} A total of 452 \textit{Ae. albopictus} specimens collected in 12 localities in Cameroon were successfully genotyped at all 6 microsatellite loci (Table 2). All loci were polymorphic, showing a number of distinct alleles ranging from 2 at locus AaelB51 to 17 at loci AaelA9 and AaelB6. However, at AaelB51, the dominant and shared allele across all samples was fixed in Garoua-Boulai, Abong-Mbang, Buca, Bamenda and Bafoussam. Overall, the loci, the diversity indexes (RST and He) were lowest in Bafoussam and highest in Buca (Table 2). Departures from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium associated with significant heterozygote frequency deficits were detected in 7 of 67 tests that could be conducted (one in AaelB51 and six in AaelB6, see Table 2). All these deviations were attributed to the presence of null alleles, with frequencies of unobserved alleles ranging from 0.013 to 0.274 in loci with significant heterozygote deficiency (see Table S1). However, null alleles did not significantly bias our interpretation, as re-analysis of adjusted datasets gave similar results. Significant linkage disequilibrium was revealed in three occasions (AaelB9-AaelB6 and AaelB9-AaelB2 in Bamenda, and AaelB6-AaelB2 in Bertoua).

\textbf{Genetic differentiation.} Overall genetic differentiation between samples was moderate and statistically significant (FST = 0.068, P < 0.0001). Pairwise analysis of populations indicated significant genetic differentiation for most sample pairs (61/66), associated with FST estimates ranging from 0.006 (Bertoua-Abong-Mbang) to 0.176 (Buca-Yaounde) (Table 3). The UPGMA unrooted tree constructed with pairwise genetic distances and bootstrap values supporting node clearly indicated that the Buca sample was genetically distant from all the other samples (Figure 2). The topology of the tree shown in Figure 2 suggested that the pattern of genetic differentiation was not shaped by the geographic distance between sampling sites, in agreement with Mantel’s test (P = 0.33). Bayesian cluster analysis identified 2 distinct genetic clusters in the dataset, although most of geographic populations appeared as a mixture of specimens from the two clusters except Buca and Garoua-Boulai that appear made up of a single dominant cluster (see Figure S1).

\textbf{Mitochondrial DNA analysis}

Nucleotide sequences of mtDNA ND5 (400 bp) and COI (500 bp) were obtained for 153 \textit{Ae. albopictus} specimens sampled at the 12 Cameroonian locations. Overall, the polymorphism in the ND5 region was low, with only three segregating sites defining four closely related haplotypes, resulting in low haplotype and nucleotide diversity indexes (Table 4 and 5). The most frequent haplotype, H1 (86.3%), was detected in all geographic samples. Similarly, low levels of polymorphism were detected in the COI gene (Table 4 and 5). The most frequent haplotype, H1 (86.9%), was found in all geographic samples. Tajima’s D and Fu’s Fs statistics were negative for both genes (D = −0.8950 and Fs = −1.827 for ND5; D = −0.347 and Fs = −0.558 for COI), but not statistically significant. Negative values of these statistics might reflect either a selective sweep or recent demographic expansion [46].

In order to determine the geographic origin of \textit{Ae. albopictus} populations invading Cameroon, phylogenetic relationships between COI and ND5 sequences recorded in Cameroon and previously published sequences were assessed by using Bayesian inference. COI sequences segregated into two lineages (Figure 3). The first lineage encompassed specimens from temperate and subtropical areas (France, Greece, USA, Reunion Island and Madagascar) and the second encompassed specimens from tropical areas (Brazil, India, Cambodia, Thailand and Vietnam), including all Cameroonian specimens. Interestingly, a SNP at position 322 (Table 4) distinguished all tropical populations (G at 322) from all temperate/subtropical populations (A at 322). All the sequences were monophyletic at ND5. The sequence of the most frequent haplotype, H1, perfectly matched a cosmopolitan haplotype previously described in South-East Asia (Thailand, Cambodia, Vietnam), the Indian Ocean (Reunion Island and Madagascar), Europe (France), Hawaii and the USA [21,22]. Haplotypes H2 and H4 have previously been described in Cameroon [51], whereas H3 is new.

Discussion

This is the first study of the genetic variation and differentiation of \textit{Ae. albopictus} populations invading continental Africa. Twelve mosquito population samples from Cameroon were analyzed using a set of six microsatellite DNA markers and two mtDNA genes (COI and ND5).

| Table 4. MtDNA COI and ND5 haplotypes recorded among \textit{Ae. albopictus} from Cameroon. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| COI | ND5 |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Haplotype* | N | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 7 |
| REF (AJ971015) | C | C | A | A | A | A | REF (AJ971026) | T | A | T |
| H1 [JF309317] | 133 | T | . | G | H1 [JF309321] | 132 | . | 3 | 2 | . | . | . |
| H2 [JF309318] | 4 | . | . | G | H2 [JF309322] | 18 | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| H3 [JF309319] | 13 | . | G | G | H3 [JF309323] | 1 | A | . | . | . | . | . |
| H4 [JF309320] | 3 | . | T | . | G | H4 [JF309324] | 2 | . | G | . |

Only polymorphic positions are shown, and are numbered with reference to the published \textit{Ae. albopictus} sequences for COI (AJ971015; Reunion Island) and ND5 (AJ971026; Reunion Island). Dots represent identity with respect to reference. N: number of times the haplotype was found in the total sample.

GenBank accession number in brackets.
Table 5. Summary statistics for mtDNA gene polymorphism in *Ae. albopictus* from Cameroon.

| Sample         | mtDNA gene | N  | Hp | S   | Hpd | \(\pi\) | K  | D  | \(D^*\) | F* | Fs |
|----------------|------------|----|----|-----|-----|-------|----|----|---------|----|-----|
| Abong-Mbang    | ND5        | 10 | 2  | 1   | 0.53| 0.002 | 0.53| 1.30| 0.80    | 1.03| 1.03|
|                | COI        | 10 | 2  | 2   | 0.53| 0.003 | 1.07| 1.64| 1.03    | 1.31| 2.34|
| Bertoua        | ND5        | 10 | 3  | 2   | 0.64| 0.002 | 0.73| 0.12| 0.28    | 0.20| 0.10|
|                | COI        | 10 | 3  | 2   | 0.64| 0.003 | 1.01| 1.74| 1.03    | 1.34| 0.64|
| Garoua-Boulai  | ND5        | 25 | 1  | 0   | 0.00| 0.000 | NC  | NC  | NC      | NC  | NC  |
|                | COI        | 25 | 1  | 0   | 0.00| 0.000 | NC  | NC  | NC      | NC  | NC  |
| Ayos           | ND5        | 10 | 2  | 1   | 0.20| 0.001 | 0.20| −1.11| −1.24   | −1.35| −0.34|
|                | COI        | 10 | 3  | 2   | 0.38| 0.001 | 0.56| −0.69| −0.28   | −0.42| −0.59|
| Bangante       | ND5        | 9  | 1  | 0   | 0.00| 0.000 | NC  | NC  | NC      | NC  | NC  |
|                | COI        | 9  | 1  | 0   | 0.00| 0.000 | NC  | NC  | NC      | NC  | NC  |
| Yaoundé        | ND5        | 10 | 2  | 1   | 0.20| 0.001 | 0.20| −1.11| −1.24   | −0.35| −0.34|
|                | COI        | 10 | 2  | 1   | 0.20| 0.001 | 0.20| −1.11| −1.24   | −1.35| −0.34|
| Buea           | ND5        | 28 | 3  | 2   | 0.26| 0.001 | 0.27| −0.99| −0.91   | −1.13|      |
|                | COI        | 29 | 3  | 2   | 0.13| 0.001 | 0.20| −1.25| −0.73   | −1.01| −1.63|
| Douala         | ND5        | 9  | 2  | 1   | 0.39| 0.001 | 0.39| 0.16 | 0.84    | 0.75| 0.48|
|                | COI        | 9  | 2  | 2   | 0.39| 0.002 | 0.78| 0.20 | 1.06    | 0.95| 1.59|
| Pouma          | ND5        | 10 | 2  | 1   | 0.47| 0.001 | 0.47| 0.82 | 0.80    | 0.90| 0.82|
|                | COI        | 10 | 2  | 2   | 0.47| 0.002 | 0.93| 1.03 | 1.03    | 1.15| 1.15|
| Bamenda        | ND5        | 11 | 1  | 0   | 0.00| 0.000 | NC  | NC  | NC      | NC  | NC  |
|                | COI        | 10 | 1  | 0   | 0.00| 0.000 | NC  | NC  | NC      | NC  | NC  |
| Banganté       | ND5        | 11 | 2  | 1   | 0.18| 0.001 | 0.18| −1.13| −1.29   | −1.40| −0.41|
|                | COI        | 11 | 2  | 2   | 0.18| 0.001 | 0.36| −1.43| −1.66   | −1.80| 0.51|
| Bafoussam      | ND5        | 10 | 1  | 0   | 0.00| 0.001 | NC  | NC  | NC      | NC  | NC  |
|                | COI        | 10 | 1  | 0   | 0.00| 0.000 | NC  | NC  | NC      | NC  | NC  |
| Overall        | ND5        | 153| 4  | 3   | 0.24| 0.001 | 0.25| −0.90| −0.68   | −0.88| −1.83|
|                | COI        | 153| 4  | 3   | 0.24| 0.001 | 0.42| −0.35| 0.79    | 0.50| −0.56|

N, number of sequences analyzed; Hp, number of haplotypes; S, number of segregating sites; Hpd, haplotype diversity; \(\pi\), nucleotide diversity; K, average number of nucleotide differences; D, Tajima’s statistic [44]; \(D^*\) and F*, Fu and Li’s statistics [45]; Fs statistic [46]; no test is statistically significant; NC, not computed.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020257.t005

The set of microsatellite makers used here had already been tested on a single *Ae. albopictus* population from Indonesia [27]. The number of alleles detected in our study of a larger number of field populations was significantly higher at all loci, except for AaelB51. Overall, the observed level of polymorphism and the small number of deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium across markers and samples suggest that this set of microsatellite markers is suitable for population genetic studies of *Ae. albopictus* in Africa.

The pattern of pairwise genetic differentiation estimated from the microsatellite data indicated a significant level of genetic structure among *Ae. albopictus* populations in Cameroon. No obvious relation between genetic and geographic distances was found, suggesting that the genetic structure has been shaped by additional biotic or abiotic factors. The Bayesian cluster analysis (i.e. existence of 2 genetic clusters co-occurring in most of cameroonian samples) supports a multiple introduction hypothesis. Recent studies have shown the important role of multiple introductions in successful colonization by invasive species [54] and in their genetic structure [55]. The introduction phase is usually subject to severe bottlenecks that reduce the genetic variability of founding populations to levels incompatible with an expansion phase. It is now thought that multiple introductions may help to reach sufficient additive genetic variability for efficient expansion and adaptation to novel environments. The Buea population was highly differentiated from other geographic
samples, possibly reflecting distinct introduction events with different geographic origins. Indeed, Buea is geographically and culturally close to Nigeria, where the first waves of *Ae. albopictus* colonization in Africa were recorded in the 1990s [8]. Intensive trade between Buea and Nigeria may have favored passive dispersion of *Ae. albopictus* through accidental transportation of
eggs, larvae or adults. Further analyses including samples from Nigeria would help to elucidate the relationships between Nigerian and Cameroonian populations of Aedes albopictus. Besides the effect of multiple introductions on the genetic structure of Aedes albopictus in Cameroon, the role of genetic drift must be considered. Indeed, the genetic variation observed in local populations of Aedes albopictus in Brazil [56] and in Reunion Island [57] has been attributed to genetic drift associated with restricted gene flow in recently established populations.

Analyses of COI sequences revealed that Cameroonian Aedes albopictus were related to specimens originating from tropical rather than temperate or subtropical areas. Unfortunately, we were unable to determine the geographic origin more precisely. Several studies have shown that Aedes albopictus populations that invaded Brazil [21,22] were genetically related to tropical rather than temperate Asian populations. These results, together with our findings, suggest that tropical lineages possess genetic and physiological traits that allow them to colonize new tropical areas more efficiently than temperate lineages. Among these putative physiological differences between temperate and tropical populations, Hawley et al. [58] has reported distinct winter diapause behavior in laboratory conditions.

Despite the low genetic variation across the sampled Aedes albopictus populations, this study suggests that Aedes albopictus invasion of Cameroon involved multiple introductions from tropical sources. Further studies with more extensive sampling and a larger number of genetic markers, including other nuclear loci, are needed to confirm this hypothesis and to determine the precise geographic origin of Cameroonian Aedes albopictus.
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