Response of Hybrid Rice (Oryza sativa L.) to Several Nitrogen Levels during Kharif (Autumn) Season in New Alluvial Zone of West Bengal
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the most important staple foods for more than half of the world’s population
Aim: To find out the most suitable nitrogen level for hybrid rice productivity in new alluvial zone of west Bengal.
Study Design: The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with 10 treatment combinations and three replicates.
Place and Duration of Study: Kharif seasons of 2018 and 2019 at Regional-Research Sub-Station (RRSS) Chakdaha of BCKV under new alluvial zone of West Bengal.
Methods: Combinations having two hybrid rice varieties viz., V₁ (PAN 2112 Gold), V₂ (KRH-2) and five nitrogen levels viz. N₁ (0:60:60 N: P₂O₅: K₂O Kg ha⁻¹), N₂ (50:60:60 N: P₂O₅: K₂O Kg ha⁻¹), N₃ (100:60:60 N: P₂O₅: K₂O Kg ha⁻¹), N₄ (150:60:60 N: P₂O₅: K₂O Kg ha⁻¹), N₅ (200:60:60 N: P₂O₅: K₂O Kg ha⁻¹).

*Corresponding author E-mail: meghasana31@gmail.com;
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1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the most important cereal crops of India and is used as a staple food for more than 60% of the total population of the country and contributes with about 42% of countries food grain production [1]. In India, the state of West Bengal ranks first with acreage of 5.80 million ha with the largest production of 15.5 million tones [2]. But the demand for rice is still increasing day by day due to enormous population growth. In addition, due to yield plateauing in high yielding rice in our country, yield growth has slowed down like in other south-east Asian countries due to lack of expansion in acreage [3]. Hybrid rice technology has been proved to be one of the most feasible and readily adoptable approaches to meet the domestic demand. The hybrid rice, recently introduced in cultivation on an average, give 20-30% higher yield over the common high yielding varieties [4].

Enhancing the rice productivity through the improvement of yield potential of genotypes and appropriate nutrients management has been the main thrust of Indian rice policy. Inorganic fertilizer is one of the key factors to increase the rice productivity. Rice yield and biomass increased rapidly due to increased use of chemical fertilizers. Nitrogen (N) is the key element in the production of rice and also one of the yield limiting factors [5]. However, it is known that almost every farmer has tendency to apply costly N fertilizer in excess to get a desirable yield [6], but imbalance use of N fertilizer causes harm to the crop and decreases grain yield. Earlier studies have shown that judicious and proper use of fertilizers increase the yield and quality of rice [7]. Also, application of the optimum N rate in different splits and timing has significant effects on grain yield and yield components [8]. So, a strategy for increasing food production might involve balanced use of fertilizers to hybrid rice, as hybrid rice gives their full potential under adequate supply of nutrients.

Therefore, to know the best dose of N to increase the yield and quality of rice as well as its influence on components of yield, in the present experiment, we evaluated the effect of N on two varieties of hybrid rice and showed that application of N4 level of nitrogen in the hybrid PAN 2112 Gold can be performed better in the South Bengal locations.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted in 2018 and 2019 during kharif season at Regional Research Sub-Station, Chukdaha under Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya West Bengal. The climate of the experimental site is subtropical. Therefore, it is mostly hot, humid and long summer with a mild and short winter.

The experiment was conducted under irrigated shallow medium land situation, having medium fertility status with good drainage facility. The soil of the experimental field was sandy clay loam in texture (Entisol) with pH 7.0, EC 0.61 ds m\(^{-1}\), organic carbon 0.38%, available N 184 kg ha\(^{-1}\), and available P 16.00 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and available K 126.10 kg ha\(^{-1}\). The data were laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with three replicates [9]. The 4 m × 3 m experimental plots were made with 0.5 m bunds leaving 1 m irrigation channel in between. Hybrid rice varieties used in the experiment were PAN 2112 Gold and KRH-2. Five levels of nitrogen [Control, 50 kg ha\(^{-1}\) nitrogen, 100 kg ha\(^{-1}\) nitrogen, 150 kg ha\(^{-1}\) nitrogen and 200 kg ha\(^{-1}\) nitrogen] were applied to prescheduled experimental plots. 60 kg ha\(^{-1}\) P\(_2\)O\(_5\) and 60 kg ha\(^{-1}\) K\(_2\)O were common in all the above treatments. One fourth of total N, entire amount of P and three fourths of K were applied as basal after draining out the standing

Kg ha\(^{-1}\)) Generally, yield contributing characters were studied at maturity of the crop. For yield
analysis samples were taken from each plot and yield attributes were calculated.

**Results:** Results revealed that almost all yield attributes gave significantly superior performance in the variety PAN 2112 Gold with N\(_4\) level of fertilization. The maximum grain yield (5.10 t ha\(^{-1}\)) was recorded in the variety V\(_1\) in combination with N\(_4\) level of nitrogen which was 6.69% more than KRH-2. Highest harvest index (50.86%) was noticed in same treatment combination.

**Conclusion:** It may be concluded that PAN 2112 Gold with fertilizer dose N: P\(_2\)O\(_5\): K\(_2\)O 150:60:60 Kg ha\(^{-1}\) can be recommended for South Bengal locations contributing to a yield of 5.10 t ha\(^{-1}\) as an Aman crop.
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Yield Components

To get a good amount of yield from a crop, different yield attributes play an important role. There was a significant influence of all yield attributing characters like number of panicle $m^{-2}$, panicle length, panicle weight, total grains/panicle except filled grains/panicle and test weight. Significantly higher number of panicle $m^{-2}$ (399.38), highest panicle length (27.81 cm), weight of panicle (3.40 g) and no of filled grains panicle$^{-1}$ (132.20) was observed in the variety PAN 2112 Gold than the variety KRH-2. It is also reported that panicle $m^{-2}$ in hybrid rice was more than any other inbred line due to their genetic build up [11]. Influence of nitrogen level was significant in case of all yield attributing characters namely number of panicle $m^{-2}$, panicle length, panicle weight, total grains/panicle, filled grains/panicle and test weight (Table 1 and Table 2) and application of 150 kg N ha$^{-1}$ showed the best result accounting 38.83, 30.77, 32.70, 16.40, 23.47 and 4.30% increase over control respectively. Similar findings have shown that increasing nitrogen levels increases panicle weight [9]. Except total grains/panicle all yield components showed significant result in case of combination approach. All yield attributes like number of panicle $m^{-2}$ (446.90), panicle length (29.5 cm), weight of panicle (3.85 g) and filled grains/panicle (149.00) were reported highest in the variety PAN 2112 Gold in combination with 150 kg N ha$^{-1}$ level. But the highest test weight (23.1 g) was obtained from the treatment KRH-2 in combination with 150 kg N ha$^{-1}$. These findings confirm the observation [12]. Similar observations were also recorded in hybrid Sahyadri [13].

3.2 Yield and Harvest Index

The grain yield, straw yield and harvest index of paddy hybrid significantly varied among the varieties and different levels of nitrogen. The grain yield of PAN 2112 Gold (5.10 t ha$^{-1}$) was 6.69% higher than KRH-2. The difference in their

Table 1(a, b). Effect of nitrogen levels and varieties on yield components of hybrid rice during wet season (mean data of 2 years)

| Nitrogen management | No. of panicles $m^{-2}$ | Panicle length (cm) | Panicle weight (g) |
|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|                     | V1          | V2          | Mean   | V1         | V2         | Mean   | V1          | V2         | Mean   |
| Variety             |             |             |        |            |            |        |              |            |        |
| N1                  | 324.82      | 267.90      | 296.36 | 22.10      | 21.90      | 22.00   | 2.80        | 2.51       | 2.66   |
| N2                  | 374.96      | 349.68      | 362.32 | 28.32      | 25.90      | 27.09   | 3.20        | 2.70       | 2.95   |
| N3                  | 414.20      | 357.20      | 385.70 | 28.70      | 26.50      | 27.58   | 3.33        | 2.95       | 3.14   |
| N4                  | 446.90      | 376.00      | 411.45 | 30.50      | 27.00      | 28.77   | 3.85        | 3.20       | 3.53   |
| N5                  | 436.00      | 361.90      | 398.95 | 29.50      | 26.50      | 28.01   | 3.80        | 3.10       | 3.45   |

| Variety | Sem± | CD 5% | Sem± | CD 5% | Sem± | CD 5% |
|---------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|
| N       | 4.465| 13.027| 0.315| 0.920 | 0.038| 0.110 |
| V       | 2.824| 8.239 | 0.199| 0.582 | 0.024| 0.069 |
| NxV     | 6.315| 18.422| 0.446| 1.301 | 0.053| 0.155 |
yield was due to their variation in yield component. Highest straw yield and harvest Index was 6.10 t/ha and 44.96% respectively in case of PAN 2112 Gold variety. Regarding to nitrogen levels highest grain yield (6.27 t ha⁻¹) showed with the application of N₅ (i.e.150:60:60 N: P₂O₅: K₂O Kg ha⁻¹) nutrient level which was 85.50% more than the control treatment. But the highest straw yield was recorded from N₅ level of nitrogen dose i.e. 200 kg ha⁻¹. There was progressive and significant increase in straw yield with each incremental dose of nitrogen applied during the course of investigation. It was also shown that increasing trend of nitrogen level increased yield [14]. Except the grain yield the interaction between varieties and nitrogen levels was statistically significant in case of straw yield and harvest Index. PAN 2112 Gold in combination with 100 kg N/ha gave the highest straw yield.

3.3 Nutrient Status of Post-harvest Soil

The available nitrogen and phosphorus in soil after harvest of hybrid rice varied significantly with the varieties, nitrogen levels and interaction effect between variety and nitrogen. On the other hand, available potassium in soil after harvest of hybrid rice was not significant in terms of variety but it had significant relationship between different nitrogen levels and interaction effect. Among the varieties V₁ i.e. PAN 2112 Gold showed more available nitrogen (184.42 kg ha⁻¹) than other variety tested which was 2.5% inferior. But in case of available phosphorus KRH-2 (44.37 kg ha⁻¹) was significantly superior than PAN 2112 Gold (43.87 kg ha⁻¹) (Table 3).

Among the nitrogen levels N₅ treatment i.e. 200 kg N ha⁻¹ showed maximum available nitrogen (236.86 kg ha⁻¹) in the soil due to less soil mining by the crop. Available nitrogen in the soil after harvest gradually increased with increasing levels of nitrogen. In case of available phosphorus and available potassium control plot showed significantly superior value as the crop did not develop enough vegetative parts. Available phosphorus and potassium gradually decreased with advancement in the dose of nitrogen. Percent increase in the available phosphorus and potassium from highest level to control was 42.11 and 58.27 respectively.

Regarding to interaction effect between varieties and nitrogen levels V₂ i.e. KRH-2 recorded maximum value (233.85 kg ha⁻¹) in combination with N₅ level of fertilization. But available phosphorus and potassium recorded maximum value (49.96 P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹ and 102.31 K₂O kg ha⁻¹) in V₁ in combination with N₁ level of nitrogen dose. In an experiment [15] it was revealed that the increments in grain yield of rice with various composts were not much during two years experimentation, substantial improvement in soil fertility in terms of available NPK was observed.

3.4 Economic Analysis

The results revealed that the maximum net return (Rs. 206953.9 /-) was observed in 150 kg N/ha with the corresponding return per rupee investment of 3.81 (Table 4). The closest neighbor was N₅ with return per investment of rupees was 3.42. Among the varieties V₁ has a mean net return of Rs. 161248 at a corresponding economics of 3.01. V₁N₄ combination yielded the highest net return of Rs. 219689 and return per investment 4.04. Finding reported that N, P₂O₅ and K₂O as 100:60:60 kg/ha was the optimum dose which recorded higher economic return [16]. The economics, seemingly, was more of a contribution of price differential which hybrid rice enjoys over high yielding varieties of rice. This is because hybrid rice has more desired consumer characters are afforded by the prevailing market.
Table 2. Effect of nitrogen levels and varieties on yield and harvest index of hybrid rice during wet season (mean data of 2 years)

| Nitrogen management | Grain yield (Kg/ha) | Straw yield (Kg/ha) | Harvest index (%) |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|                     | V1      | V2      | Mean    | V1      | V2      | Mean    | V1      | V2      | Mean    |
| N1                  | 3.46    | 3.30    | 3.38    | 5.47    | 5.34    | 5.41    | 38.76   | 38.20   | 38.48   |
| N2                  | 4.06    | 3.99    | 4.03    | 5.84    | 5.46    | 5.65    | 41.02   | 42.23   | 41.63   |
| N3                  | 5.59    | 4.86    | 5.23    | 6.6     | 6.02    | 6.31    | 45.86   | 44.66   | 45.26   |
| N4                  | 6.58    | 5.95    | 6.27    | 6.36    | 6.2     | 6.28    | 50.86   | 48.98   | 49.92   |
| N5                  | 5.83    | 5.79    | 5.81    | 6.24    | 6.48    | 6.36    | 48.32   | 47.20   | 47.76   |

Sem± CD 5%  
N  
0.146  0.425  0.012 0.036 0.077 0.224  
V  
0.092  0.269  0.008 0.023 0.121 0.354  
NxV  
0.206  NS 0.018 0.051 0.172 0.501

N1= PAN 2112 Gold, N2= KRH-2, N3= Control, N4= 50:60:60 Kg ha⁻¹, N5= 100:60:60 Kg ha⁻¹, N6= 150:60:60 Kg ha⁻¹, N7= 200:60:60 Kg ha⁻¹

Table 3. Effect of nitrogen levels and varieties of hybrid rice on residual soil nutrient status during wet season (mean data of 2 years)

| Nitrogen management | Available N | Available P | Available K |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                     | V1  | V2  | Mean  | V1  | V2  | Mean  | V1  | V2  | Mean  |
| N1                  | 116.81  | 119.93  | 118.37  | 49.96  | 49.86  | 49.91  | 102.31  | 97.90  | 100.14  |
| N2                  | 153.89  | 150.02  | 151.96  | 47.18  | 47.91  | 47.69  | 84.44   | 87.03   | 85.73   |
| N3                  | 187.57  | 180.52  | 184.05  | 45.11  | 46.72  | 45.91  | 80.11   | 83.06   | 81.58   |
| N4                  | 223.95  | 215.32  | 219.64  | 40.91  | 42.97  | 41.94  | 69.28   | 69.56   | 69.42   |
| N5                  | 239.85  | 233.86  | 239.86  | 35.87  | 34.38  | 35.12  | 63.80   | 62.75   | 63.27   |

Sem± CD 5%  
N  
0.698  2.037  0.084 0.245 0.143 0.418  
V  
0.442  1.288  0.053 0.155 0.091 NS  
NxV  
0.987  2.88  0.119 0.346 0.202 0.591

N1= PAN 2112 Gold, N2= KRH-2, N3= Control, N4= 50:60:60 Kg ha⁻¹, N5= 100:60:60 Kg ha⁻¹, N6= 150:60:60 Kg ha⁻¹, N7= 200:60:60 Kg ha⁻¹

Table 4. Economic analysis of cultivation of hybrid rice during wet season (mean data of 2 years)

| Parameter | N1 | N2 | N3 | N4 | N5 | Mean |
|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|------|
|           |    |    |    |    |    |      |
| Net return (Rs.) (Return/Re) | 97039 | 119527.6 | 181258.8 | 219689.9 | 188725 | 161248.16 |
| V1        | 1.92 | 2.26 | 3.38 | 4.04 | 3.43 | 3.01 |
| Net return (Rs.) (Return/Re) | 90418.5 | 116081.6 | 151072.8 | 194217.9 | 187533 | 147864.76 |
| V2        | 1.78 | 2.20 | 2.82 | 3.58 | 3.40 | 2.76 |
| Mean Net return (Rs.) (Return/Re) | 93729 | 117804.6 | 166165.8 | 206953.9 | 188129 |
| V1= PAN 2112 Gold, V2= KRH-2, N1= Control, N2= 50:60:60 Kg ha⁻¹, N3= 100:60:60 Kg ha⁻¹, N4= 150:60:60 Kg ha⁻¹, N5= 200:60:60 Kg ha⁻¹

4. CONCLUSION

Overall, from the study, it can be concluded that application of N4 level of Nitrogen in the hybrid PAN 2112 Gold can be recommended in the South Bengal locations contributing a yield of 6.27 t ha⁻¹ which can fetch an economics of Rs. 3.81 per rupees investment.
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