Enrichment of heterozygous germline
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Abstract Patients harboring germline pathogenic biallelic variants in genes involved in the
recognition and repair of DNA damage are known to have a substantially increased cancer risk. Emerging evidence suggests that individuals harboring heterozygous variants in these
same genes may also be at heightened, albeit lesser, risk for cancer. Herein, we sought to
determine whether heterozygous variants in RECQL4, the gene encoding an essential DNA
helicase that is defective in children with the autosomal recessive cancer-predisposing con-
dition Rothmund–Thomson syndrome (RTS), are associated with increased risk for child-
hood cancer. To address this question, we interrogated germline sequence data from
4435 pediatric cancer patients at St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital and 1127 from
the National Cancer Institute Therapeutically Applicable Research to Generate Effective
Treatment (TARGET) database and identified 24 (0.43%) who harbored loss-of-function
(LOF) RECQL4 variants, including five of 249 (2.0%) with osteosarcoma (OS). These
RECQL4 variants were significantly overrepresented in children with OS, the cancer most
frequently observed in patients with RTS, as compared to 134,187 noncancer controls in
the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD v2.1; P = 0.00087, odds ratio [OR] = 7.1,
95% CI, 2.9–17). Nine of the 24 (38%) individuals possessed the same c.1573delT
(p.Cys525Alafs) variant located in the highly conserved DNA helicase domain, suggesting
that disruption of this domain is central to oncogenesis. Altogether these data expand
our understanding of the genetic factors predisposing to childhood cancer and reveal
a novel association between heterozygous RECQL4 LOF variants and development of
pediatric OS.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]
INTRODUCTION

The RecQ like helicase 4 (RECQL4) gene encodes a helicase essential for repairing DNA damage and maintaining genomic stability (Lu et al. 2016). Pathogenic homozygous or compound heterozygous variants affecting RECQL4 cause three clinically overlapping autosomal recessive (AR) disorders, namely Rothmund–Thomson syndrome (RTS), Baller–Gerold syndrome (BGS), and RAPADILINO (RAdial ray defect, PAtellar aplasia/arched or cleft PALate, DLarrea/Disclosed joints, Little size/Limb malformation, NOse slender/NOrmal intelligence) syndrome (Wang and Plon 2019). Rothmund–Thomson syndrome is the most prevalent of these syndromes, with nononcologic features including poikiloderma; sparse hair, eyelashes, and eyebrows; short stature; dental abnormalities; dysplastic or poorly formed nails; and gastrointestinal problems in infancy (Siitonen et al. 2009). BGS is the rarest of these disorders and is characterized by craniosynostosis, radial ray defects, short stature, and malformed or missing patellae (Van Maldergem et al. 2007). Finally, RAPADILINO syndrome is characterized by short stature, radial and patellar aplasia or hypoplasia, absence of thumbs, dislocation of joints, highly arched palate, infantile diarrhea, and pigmented changes or café au lait macules (Siitonen et al. 2003). A predisposition to cancer has been reported in all three syndromes, with the highest cancer occurrence in RTS. Patients with RTS, and to a lesser degree RAPADILINO, are at greatest risk to develop osteosarcoma (OS) (Siitonen et al. 2003; Cao et al. 2017; Wang and Plon 2019), whereas patients with all three conditions are at risk for lymphoma (Van Maldergem et al. 2007; Siitonen et al. 2009).

Through a clinical research protocol (NCT02530658), we identified a child with OS for whom germline whole-exome and whole-genome sequencing (WGS) and in-depth analysis of 156 cancer predisposition genes (Supplemental Table 1) revealed no pathogenic or likely pathogenic (P/LP) variants in known OS genes such as TP53, RB1, the mismatch repair, and Fanconi anemia genes. However, testing did reveal two rare variants in RECQL4, including a frameshift c.1573delT (p.Cys525Alafs), which is predicted to truncate the RECQL4 protein within its DNA helicase domain.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Index Case

A 16-yr-old girl with previously treated OS of the left tibia developed recurrent OS of the left femur and left wrist, 5 and 8 yr after the initial diagnosis, respectively. Family history revealed a maternal first cousin with an unspecified brain tumor at 3 yr of age (Fig. 1). The maternal grandparents developed cancer after 60 yr of age, but no other family members were reported to have cancer. Germline WGS performed as part of a clinical research protocol (NCT02530658) that comprehensively analyzed 156 cancer predisposition genes (Supplemental Table 1) revealed no pathogenic or likely pathogenic (P/LP) variants in known OS genes such as TP53, RB1, the mismatch repair, and Fanconi anemia genes. However, testing did reveal two rare variants in RECQL4, including a frameshift c.1573delT.
(p.Cys525Alafs) and an in-frame deletion of nine nucleotides that is predicted to remove three amino acids c.2412_2420del (p.Ala805_Arg807del) (Table 1). These variants were classified by a CLIA-certified laboratory as pathogenic and of uncertain significance, respectively, based on the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) and the Association for Molecular Pathology (AMP) guidelines for sequence variant interpretation (Richards et al. 2015).

On physical examination, the patient was well-developed with no dysmorphic facial features. She had relatively thin hair and eyebrows, which by the patient’s account predated chemotherapy. Her height was about 2nd percentile for age and in proportion to the calculated midparental height (height = 148 cm, midparental height = 153.5 cm). Although her head circumference was small, it was in proportion to her height and weight. Approximately 12 café au lait macules were observed on the patient’s trunk and buttocks ranging in size from 4 mm to 4 cm; scattered hypopigmented areas on her left thigh and

![Figure 1](image-url). Three-generation pedigree of a 16-yr-old female with recurrent osteosarcoma (arrowhead). Circles and squares denote female and male family members, respectively, and shaded figures denote persons with cancer. The individuals age in years (yr), age at diagnosis (dx.), and age at death (d.) are indicated on the pedigree where applicable. (NOS) Not otherwise specified.

| Gene     | Chr  | Location | Exon | HGVS   | HGVS protein | Variant type | Variant allele frequency | Coverage | Clinical significance in ClinVar | Comments            |
|----------|------|----------|------|--------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| RECQL4   | 8    | 144,514,983 | 9    | c.1573delT | p.Cys525Alafs'33 | Frameshift | Rs386833845 | Heterozygous | 0.277 | P | Mother is heterozygous          |
| RECQL4   | 8    | 144,513,269 | 15   | c.2412_2420del | p.Ala805_Arg807del | In-frame deletion | Rs766312203 | Heterozygous | 0.308 | VUS | Father is homozygous            |

Table 1. Germline RECQL4 variants identified in the index case.
trunk were also observed. No axillary freckling was observed, and none of the skin lesions were consistent with poikiloderma. Based on the clinical phenotype, the patient did not meet the diagnostic criteria for RTS (Wang and Plon 2019) by the account of two clinical geneticists who examined the patient on multiple occasions and/or reviewed multiple photographs highlighting close-up views of the face, stature, and pigmented skin lesions. The patient’s parents had no clinical features of RTS and no personal history of cancer. Based on parental testing, the two variants found in the patient were determined to be on opposite chromosomes (in trans). This testing revealed that the patient’s father was homozygous for the c.2412_2420del (p.Ala805_Arg807del) VUS. The absence of RTS features in the father provided supporting evidence that this VUS was likely benign or that it is a hypomorphic allele that does not have a phenotype when found in the homozygous state but may play some role in this patient’s unusual phenotype when opposite a loss-of-function variant. The patient’s mother was heterozygous for the pathogenic c.1573delT (p.Cys525Alafs) variant.

Prevalence of Heterozygous Germline RECQL4 LOF Variants in Pediatric Cancer

Heterozygous pathogenic variants in DNA damage repair genes (e.g., ATM, BRCA2, PALB2) are associated with a moderate to high cancer risk (Thompson et al. 2005; Renwick et al. 2006; Antoniou et al. 2014; Helgason et al. 2015; Rebbeck et al. 2015; Esteban-Jurado et al. 2016; Esai Selvan et al. 2019), whereas compound heterozygous or homozygous variants confer syndromic presentations such as ataxia-telangiectasia (ATM) (Swift et al. 1987; Ahmed and Rahman 2006) and Fanconi anemia (BRCA2, PALB2) (Howlett et al. 2002; Reid et al. 2007), which confer an even greater cancer risk. Building upon this notion, we sought to determine whether heterozygous RECQL4 LOF variants are more prevalent in pediatric oncology patients as compared to control individuals not selected for cancer. Among 4435 pediatric cancer patients at St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital (Zhang et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2018) and 1127 in the National Cancer Institute TARGET database (dbGaP accession phs000218.v20.p7), we identified 24 of 5562 (0.43%) who carried heterozygous RECQL4 LOF variants (Table 2), including five of 249 (2.0%) with OS (Fig. 2).

To determine whether these LOF variants are enriched in children with cancer, we examined their prevalence in the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD v2.1, noncancer; Lek et al. 2016), which spans 118,479 whole-exome and 15,708 whole-genome sequences from individuals who were not ascertained for having cancer. There were a total of 385 RECQL4 LOF alleles in the gnomAD noncancer population after removal of the founder variant, c.1390+2delT (p.Ala420_Ala463del), which is commonly encountered in the Finnish population at a minor allele frequency of 0.4% (Lek et al. 2016) but was absent from our cohort. Low-confidence LOF variants and variants of dubious quality were also removed as detailed in the Methods. Compared to the noncancer cohort in gnomAD, we observed a significant association between heterozygous RECQL4 LOF variants and OS (P = 0.00087; OR = 7.1; 95% CI, 2.9–17.0) but no association for other tumor types. One patient with retinoblastoma was noted to harbor an additional pathogenic variant in RB1 and was excluded from subsequent analysis. Available tumor data from 12 germline RECQL4 LOF variant positive cases did not show loss of heterozygosity for RECQL4 or any pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in the remaining RECQL4 allele.

Evaluation of RECQL4 Genotype and Association with Clinical Phenotype

To understand how germline RECQL4 LOF variants impacted the encoded protein, we evaluated their location within the gene. Among the 24 variants identified, 17 (71%) resided...
| Subject ID   | Cancer diagnosis                        | Age at diagnosis | Ethnicity | Gene | Chr | Location (hg38) | Exon | HGVS DNA        | HGVS protein          | Variant class | Variant allele frequency | WES coverage | Variant allele frequency | WES coverage | Variant allele frequency | WES coverage | Clinical significance in ClinVar | AF in gnomAD noncancer v2.1 | AF in gnomAD noncancer v2.1 | Ethnicity- specific AF | Cohort |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|------|-----|-----------------|------|----------------|------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| SJOS044968  | Cranopharyngioma                         | 5                | NFE       | RECQL4 | 8   | 144,516,696     | 5    | c.423delG      | p.Lys141Asn*39          | Frameshift    | 0.294                    | 34  | NA                       | NA            | -                        | -              | -                             | NA                        | NA                        | NFE                   | SJORH  |
| SJALL016422 | Acute B-lymphoblastic leukemia           | NA               | NFE       | RECQL4 | 8   | 144,516,254     | 5    | c.865delG      | p.Ala291Leu*4           | Frameshift    | NA                       | NA            | 0.687                    | 32  | -                        | -              | -                             | NA                        | NA                        | NFE                   | SJORH  |
| SJALL019720 | Acute lymphoblastic leukemia, NOS        | 11               | NFE       | RECQL4 | 8   | 144,516,248     | 5    | c.871delG      | p.Ala291Leu*2           | Frameshift    | 0.409                    | 22  | 0.545                    | 33  | rs1389647533              | P              | 4.28 × 10^{-06}             | 9.85 × 10^{-06}            | SJORH           | SJORH                  |
| SJALL015934 | Acute B-lymphoblastic leukemia           | NA               | SAS       | RECQL4 | 8   | 144,515,890     | 7    | c.1132-2A>G    | p.Ala378,E6splice       | Splice        | NA                       | NA            | 0.510                    | 249 | -                        | -              | 4.29 × 10^{-06}             | 3.28 × 10^{-06}            | TARGET          | SJORH                  |
| SJALL019022 | Acute B-lymphoblastic leukemia           | 3                | NFE       | RECQL4 | 8   | 144,515,243     | 7    | c.1391-1G>A    | p.Glu444,E6splice       | Splice        | 0.423                    | 26  | 0.750                    | 16  | rs117642173               | P              | 2.89 × 10^{-05}             | 6.59 × 10^{-05}            | SJORH           | SJORH                  |
| SJAML007029 | Acute myeloid leukemia                   | 11               | NFE       | RECQL4 | 8   | 144,514,983     | 9    | c.1573delT     | p.Cys525Alafs*33         | Frameshift    | 0.567                    | 30  | 0.550                    | 20  | rs386833845               | P              | 2.43 × 10^{-04}             | 4.21 × 10^{-04}            | SJORH           | SJORH                  |
| SJS019534   | Osteosarcoma                             | 6                | NFE       | RECQL4 | 8   | 144,514,983     | 9    | c.1573delT     | p.Cys525Alafs*33         | Frameshift    | 0.355                    | 31  | 0.429                    | 28  | rs386833845               | P              | 2.43 × 10^{-04}             | 4.21 × 10^{-04}            | SJORH           | SJORH                  |
| SJCBF029    | Acute myelogenous leukemia               | 11               | NFE       | RECQL4 | 8   | 144,514,983     | 9    | c.1573delT     | p.Cys525Alafs*33         | Frameshift    | NA                       | NA            | 0.571                    | 28  | rs386833845               | P              | 2.43 × 10^{-04}             | 4.21 × 10^{-04}            | SJORH           | SJORH                  |
| SJBALL030048| Acute B-lymphoblastic leukemia           | 3                | NFE       | RECQL4 | 8   | 144,514,983     | 9    | c.1573delT     | p.Cys525Alafs*33         | Frameshift    | 0.218                    | 64  | 0.315                    | 200 | rs386833845               | P              | 2.43 × 10^{-04}             | 4.21 × 10^{-04}            | SJORH           | SJORH                  |
| SJO523129   | Osteosarcoma                             | 8                | AMR       | RECQL4 | 8   | 144,514,983     | 9    | c.1573delT     | p.Cys525Alafs*33         | Frameshift    | NA                       | NA            | 0.277                    | 90  | rs386833845               | P              | 2.43 × 10^{-04}             | 1.42 × 10^{-04}            | SJORH           | SJORH                  |
| SJALL041208 | Acute B-lymphoblastic leukemia           | 4                | NFE       | RECQL4 | 8   | 144,514,983     | 9    | c.1573delT     | p.Cys525Alafs*33         | Frameshift    | 0.4                      | 30  | 0.470                    | 66  | rs386833845               | P              | 2.43 × 10^{-04}             | 2.43 × 10^{-04}            | SJORH           | SJORH                  |
| SJGCT041514 | Germ cell tumor, testicular              | 0.3              | NFE       | RECQL4 | 8   | 144,514,983     | 9    | c.1573delT     | p.Cys525Alafs*33         | Frameshift    | 0.364                    | 44  | 0.473                    | 55  | rs386833845               | P              | 2.43 × 10^{-04}             | 2.43 × 10^{-04}            | SJORH           | SJORH                  |
| SJBALL002191| Acute B-lymphoblastic leukemia           | NA               | NFE       | RECQL4 | 8   | 144,514,983     | 9    | c.1573delT     | p.Cys525Alafs*33         | Frameshift    | NA                       | NA            | 0.293                    | 58  | rs386833845               | P              | 2.43 × 10^{-04}             | 2.43 × 10^{-04}            | SJORH           | SJORH                  |
| SJALL022208 | Acute T-lymphoblastic leukemia           | 10               | AMR       | RECQL4 | 8   | 144,514,983     | 9    | c.1573delT     | p.Cys525Alafs*33         | Frameshift    | NA                       | NA            | 0.545                    | 11   | rs386833845               | P              | 2.43 × 10^{-04}             | 1.44 × 10^{-04}            | SJORH           | SJORH                  |
| SJHU019300  | Hodgkin lymphoma                         | 7                | APR       | RECQL4 | 8   | 144,514,363     | 10   | c.1705-1delG   | p.Ile569,E6 splice       | Splice        | 0.364                    | 44  | 0.500                    | 10  | -                        | -              | NA                       | NA            | SJORH                  |
| SJST030131  | Spindle cell sarcoma                     | 16               | APR       | RECQL4 | 8   | 144,514,350     | 11   | c.1717C>T     | p.Gln573*                | Nonsense      | 0.275                    | 58  | 0.25                     | 8   | -                        | -              | 3.19 × 10^{-05}             | 1.15 × 10^{-05}            | SJORH           | SJORH                  |

(Continued on next page.)
| Subject ID | Cancer diagnosis               | Age at diagnosis | Ethnicity of subject | Gene    | Chr  | Location (hg38) | Exon  | HGVS DNA                      | HGVS protein                       | Variant class | Variant allele frequency | WES coverage | Variant allele frequency | Coverage | Clinical significance in ClinVar | AF in gnomAD noncancer v2.1 | Ethnicity-specific AF in gnomAD noncancer v2.1 | WGS variant allele frequency | WES coverage | Cohort |
|------------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------|------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------|
| SJALL065   | Acute T-lymphoblastic leukemia | 8                | AFR                  | RECQL4  | 8    | 144,513,592     | 13    | c.2178_2179insCCTGGTC | p.Ala727Profs*119 | Frameshift   | NA                      | NA          | 0.2                         | 73         | -                              | -                          | NA                | NA      |
| SJOS040215 | Osteosarcoma                   | NA               | NFE                  | RECQL4  | 8    | 144,513,412     | 14    | c.2269C>T        | p.Gln757*           | Nonsense      | NA                      | NA          | 0.503                        | 153        | 1.24 × 10^-14                   | 1.45 × 10^-04               | TARGET             | SJCRH  |
| SJOS040163 | Osteosarcoma                   | NA               | NFE                  | RECQL4  | 8    | 144,513,383     | 15    | c.2296+1C>G      | p.Arg766_E15splice  | Splice        | NA                      | NA          | 0.357                        | 26         | -                              | NA                        | -                  |          |
| SJNHL019456| Non-Hodgkin lymphoma           | 7                | NFE                  | RECQL4  | 8    | 144,513,109     | 16    | c.2492_2493delAT | p.His831Argfs*52    | Frameshift    | 0.263                   | 19          | 0.064                         | 47         | 7.02 × 10^-105                   | 7.01 × 10^-05               | SJCRH             | SJCRH  |
| SJHL042013 | Hodgkin lymphoma               | 18               | NFE                  | RECQL4  | 8    | 144,513,109     | 16    | c.2492_2493delAT | p.His831Argfs*52    | Frameshift    | 0.5                     | 34          | 0.400                         | 5          | 7.02 × 10^-105                   | 7.01 × 10^-05               | SJCRH             | SJCRH  |
| SJOS040168 | Osteosarcoma                   | NA               | NFE                  | RECQL4  | 8    | 144,512,846     | 16    | c.2755+1G>A      | p.Ala919_E16splice | Splice        | NA                      | NA          | 0.316                        | 19         | 3.16 × 10^-105                   | 2.86 × 10^-05               | SJCRH             | SJCRH  |
| SJALL019726| Acute B-lymphoblastic leukemia  | 3                | NFE                  | RECQL4  | 8    | 144,513,109     | 19    | c.3073_3074delAG | p.Thr1024_Glu1025fs | Frameshift   | 0.44                    | 25          | 0.385                         | 39         | -                              | -                          | NA                | SJCRH |
| SJRB001130 | Retinoblastoma                 | NA               | OTH                  | RECQL4  | 8    | 144,511,911     | 20    | c.3393+2T>G      | p.Arg1131_E20splice | Splice        | 0.6                     | 15          | NA                           | NA          | 1.52 × 10^-105                   | 0.00 × 10^-00               | SJCRH             | SJCRH  |

All ethnicities were computationally predicted unless otherwise noted. All predicted populations were consistent with self-reporting unless otherwise noted.

(LOF) Loss of function, (WGS) whole-genome sequencing, (WES) whole-exome sequencing.

*Index case.

Self-reporting not available.

Self-reported ethnicity only.
within the helicase domain (residues 489–850; Fig. 3), which is critical to maintaining genome stability, specifically in the case of DNA repair (Chu and Hickson 2009; Croteau et al. 2012). All variants in the helicase domain are predicted to result in NMD or a disrupted protein product. Four of 24 (17%) patients harbored germline RECQL4 variants amino-terminal to the helicase domain, all of which are predicted to cause nonsense-mediated decay (NMD). Of these, three were located in a region having sequence similarity to yeast Sld2 (the Sld2-like domain; residues 1–388), which is essential for the initiation of DNA replication, and the fourth was located in a nuclear targeting signal (residues 363–492) (Colombo et al. 2018). Three of 24 patients had variants located carboxy-terminal to the helicase domain, in exons 16 and 19, which are also predicted to cause NMD.

Notably, nine (38%) of the RECQL4 LOF variant–positive individuals were noted to carry the same alteration, c.1573delT (p.Cys525Alafs), which was also present in our index case (Fig. 3). Patients with this variant carried diagnoses of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL; n = 4), OS (n = 2), acute myeloid leukemia (AML; n = 2), and germ cell tumor (GCT; n = 1) and were of different racial and ethnic backgrounds (Table 2). Our analysis revealed that this particular variant is significantly enriched in the pediatric cancer population (P = 0.0024; OR = 3.3; 95% CI, 1.7–6.7) compared to the gnomAD noncancer cohort in which this variant accounts for 64 of 385 (17%) RECQL4 LOF variants with a global allele frequency of 2.43 × 10−4. This supports the notion that the c.1573delT (p.Cys525Alafs) alteration contributes to the pathogenesis of pediatric cancer; however, its presence in a presumably healthy population suggests that it may be a lower penetrance allele.

To investigate the possible penetrance of germline RECQL4 LOF variants, we examined the family histories of four patients from the St. Jude cohort for whom such information was available. None of these patients had first- or second-degree relatives with early onset of cancer (defined here as cancer before 50 yr of age; Fig. 4). This further supports the idea that heterozygous RECQL4 LOF variants function as lower penetrance alleles. These data are consistent with the literature in which there are only rare reports of relatives of RTS patients who have developed OS (Siitonen et al. 2009).

Prevalence of Germline LOF Variants in Other Genes of the RecQ Helicase Family

Because the RecQ helicase genes are highly conserved, it remained possible that other family members might also be associated with childhood cancer development. Therefore, we examined the St. Jude and TARGET cohorts for heterozygous LOF variants in RECQL, BLM, WRN, and RECQL5. Through these studies, we identified 51 children with...
heterozygous LOF variants in one of these genes, including 13 with variants in \textit{RECQL}, 13 with variants in \textit{BLM}, 15 with variants in \textit{WRN}, and 10 with variants in \textit{RECQL5} (Supplemental Table 2). Pan-cancer analyses did not reveal any significant associations between the presence of heterozygous LOF variants in other RecQ helicases and pediatric cancer (Table 3). However, cancer-specific analyses identified a significant association in which \textit{RECQL} variants were present in 1.2\% (3 out of 249) of OS cases ($P = 0.037$; OR = 4.2; 95\% CI, 1.3–13.1). Additional nonsignificant associations of potential interest include the identification of \textit{RECQL} variants in 1.3\% (2 out of 150) of rhabdomyosarcoma cases ($P = 0.071$; OR = 4.6; 95\% CI, 1.2–18.7) and \textit{WRN} variants in 0.39\% (9 out of 2314) of pediatric ALL patients ($P = 0.061$; OR = 1.9; 95\% CI, 0.98–3.7).

**Population Admixture of the Childhood Cancer Cohort Compared to the gnomAD Cohort**

To determine whether the enrichment of germline \textit{RECQL4} or \textit{RECQL} variants might be due to differences in the ethnic composition of pediatric cancer versus gnomAD noncancer cohorts, we compared the population admixtures of these two groups. Here, the ethnicity of individuals in the pediatric cancer cohort was computationally predicted (Supplemental Figure 3).
Fig. 1. As shown in Supplemental Table 3, the population admixture in the pediatric cancer cohort is not equivalent to that found in gnomAD noncancer version 2.1. Nevertheless, all RECQL4 and RECQL LOF variants are extremely rare with respect to both global and ethnicity-specific allele frequencies (Table 2; Supplemental Table 2). Therefore, it is unlikely that the differences in the composition of the two populations significantly impacts our results. To further examine this possibility, we evaluated for enrichment of germline heterozygous LOF variants in RECQL4 using only non-Finnish Europeans who comprise the majority of individuals in the cancer and noncancer cohorts (Supplemental Table 3). Through this analysis, significant enrichment in pediatric OS was once again observed for variants affecting RECQL4 ($P = 0.0012$, OR = 6.77 [2.79, 16.45]) and RECQL ($P = 0.024$, OR = 5.04 [1.60, 15.86]).

**DISCUSSION**

As genetic testing is increasingly applied to patients with cancer, our knowledge of the germline contributions to cancer risk is dramatically expanding. Through such efforts, it has become clear that heterozygous pathogenic variants in DNA damage repair genes, such as ATM, BRCA2, and PALB2, are associated with a significant increase in risk to develop certain cancers. Here, we examined whether heterozygous LOF variants in RECQL4, the
gene encoding an important DNA helicase that is mutated in individuals with RTS, are more prevalent in children with cancer.

After screening 5562 pediatric cases, we identified a significant enrichment of heterozygous germline \( \text{RECQL4} \) LOF variants in patients with OS, the most common cancer in RTS, which is caused by homozygous or compound heterozygous variants in \( \text{RECQL4} \). Although not significantly overrepresented, such variants were also identified in children with other tumor types, such as ALL, AML, craniopharyngioma, GCT, HL, and NHL. In 12 cases for whom tumor data were available, no deletions or mutations within the remaining \( \text{RECQL4} \) allele were detected. Although other mechanisms might account for a second hit (e.g., changes in methylation) (Mazor et al. 2015; Di Ruscio et al. 2016), it remains possible that \( \text{RECQL4} \) haploinsufficiency, perhaps in combination with other oncogenic events, is enough to promote malignant transformation.

Our findings are consistent with recent studies documenting heterozygous germline \( \text{RECQL4} \) variants in adults with a variety of malignancies (Schrader et al. 2016; Jalkh et al. 2017; Mandelker et al. 2017; Tedaldi et al. 2017; AlDubayan et al. 2018; Bonache et al. 2018; Lowery et al. 2018; Na et al. 2018; Paulo et al. 2018; Penkert et al. 2018; Quezada Urban et al. 2018; Slavin et al. 2018). In addition to these studies are reports of an increased prevalence of \( \text{RECQL4} \) variants in patients with bladder (Na et al. 2018) or colorectal cancer (AlDubayan et al. 2018) compared to the general population. Moreover, a heterozygous germline truncating variant in \( \text{RECQL4} \) has been implicated as a possible cancer risk factor in an individual with prostate cancer (Paulo et al. 2018). Curiously, a previous investigation did not find enrichment of \( \text{RECQL4} \) mutations in sporadic cases of OS compared to the general population (Nishijo et al. 2004); however, this prior study examined a much smaller cohort including only 71 patients with OS. Thus, this association could potentially have been missed.

| Cancer diagnosis | Pediatric cancer patients | gnomAD noncancer cohort | Cancer risk |
|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
|                  | Carriers | Noncarriers | Carriers | Noncarriers | Odds ratio (95% CI) | P-value |
| RECQL            |          |            |          |            |                      |         |
| Pan-cancer       | 13       | 5549       | 388     | 133,799    | 0.81 (0.46, 1.4)     | 0.52    |
| Osteosarcoma     | 3        | 246        | 388     | 133,799    | 4.2 (1.3, 13.1)      | 0.037*  |
| BLM              |          |            |          |            |                      |         |
| Pan-cancer       | 13       | 5549       | 279     | 133,908    | 1.1 (0.64, 2.0)      | 0.65    |
| Osteosarcoma     | 0        | 249        | 279     | 133,908    | 0                    | 1       |
| WRN              |          |            |          |            |                      |         |
| Pan-cancer       | 15       | 5547       | 273     | 133,914    | 1.3 (0.79, 2.2)      | 0.29    |
| Osteosarcoma     | 0        | 249        | 273     | 133,914    | 0                    | 1       |
| RECQL4           |          |            |          |            |                      |         |
| Pan-cancer       | 23       | 5539       | 385     | 133,802    | 1.4 (0.95, 2.2)      | 0.098   |
| Osteosarcoma     | 5        | 244        | 385     | 133,802    | 7.1 (2.9, 17.0)      | 0.00087** |
| RECQL5           |          |            |          |            |                      |         |
| Pan-cancer       | 10       | 5552       | 284     | 133,903    | 0.85 (0.45, 1.6)     | 0.76    |
| Osteosarcoma     | 0        | 249        | 284     | 133,903    | 0                    | 1       |

*P < 0.05.
**P < 0.005.
*Patient with pathogenic RB1 variant was excluded from statistical analysis.
Among the 24 patients identified as harboring a single germline RECQL4 LOF variant, 17 (71%) of these variants reside in the highly conserved helicase domain, with nine of these carrying the same c.1573delT (p.Cys525Alafs) alteration. Most RTS patients also harbor variants that result in a truncated protein lacking some or all of the helicase domain. Correspondingly, it has been reported that many RTS patients who developed OS harbor at least one truncating variant in RECQL4, whereas individuals with a clinical diagnosis of RTS and either a missense variant or no identified variant in RECQL4 were not reported to develop OS (Wang et al. 2003). In contrast to these deleterious truncating variants, the hallmark variant in RAPADILINO syndrome, a splice site variant that causes in-frame skipping of exon 7 (Van Maldergem et al. 2006), leaves the helicase domain largely intact (Kitao et al. 1999; Sitonen et al. 2003), which may correspond with the lower incidence of cancer.

In addition to the new association of heterozygous germline RECQL4 LOF variants with pediatric OS, our data also showed evidence of an association linking RECQL variants with OS, which deserves further investigation. Germline RECQL variants have been reported in BRCA1/2-negative breast cancers (Cybulski et al. 2015; Sun et al. 2015; Kwong et al. 2016); however, this association is disputed (Kwong et al. 2016; Li et al. 2018). To date, RECQL variants have not been reported in pediatric cancer. No strong associations were found between pediatric cancer and germline heterozygous LOF variants in the other RecQ helicase genes (i.e., BLM, WRN, RECQL5). Although all RecQ helicase genes contain the highly conserved helicase domain (Hickson 2003), they each play unique roles in genome maintenance and stability (Croteau et al. 2014). Toward this end, previous work has demonstrated that only two of the five human RecQ helicases, RECQL and RECQL4, bind specifically to three well-defined DNA replication origins under native conditions (Thangavel et al. 2010), whereas the other helicases (i.e., BLM, WRN, RECQL5) can only be found at replication origins following treatment with replication inhibitors (Thangavel et al. 2010). The similar localization and putative roles of RECQL and RECQL4 may underlie how disruption of these helicases promotes the development of OS and possibly other cancers.

This study has several limitations. First, the prevalence of germline RECQL4 variants identified in this study might not reflect the prevalence in newly diagnosed pediatric cancer patients because 50% of the patients studied were long-term survivors of childhood cancer. If the presence of heterozygous germline LOF variants is associated with poorer (or better) outcomes, it is possible that their prevalence in our cohort will be lower (or higher) than in newly diagnosed patients. A second limitation is that the population admixture of the pediatric cancer cohort examined in this study is not equivalent to that found in the gnomAD non-cancer cohort (Supplemental Data). We suspect that this is due to differences in outcomes or referral patterns among different populations. Regardless, all of the LOF alleles reported in this study are extremely rare with respect to both global and ethnicity-specific allele frequencies. Last, this study is limited by the small number of individuals who harbor germline LOF variants. This factor makes it difficult to define the true spectrum of cancers associated with these germline variants. Continued evaluation of patients with cancer for the presence of heterozygous germline RECQL4 LOF variants, and LOF variants in the other RecQ helicase genes, is warranted to validate and refine this new association.

**METHODS**

**Study Participants**

Study participants were from the Pediatric Cancer Genome Project (n = 1120), Genomes for Kids protocol (n = 309), St. Jude Lifetime Cohort (SJLIFE) Study (n = 3006), and Therapeutically Applicable Research to Generate Effective Treatment (TARGET) program (n = 1127).
The index case consented to participate in an IRB-approved study at St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital that specifically allowed for germline interrogation and reporting.

Variant Detection and Classification
Single-nucleotide variants, small insertions and deletions, and copy-number variations were detected from whole-exome and/or genome sequencing (WGS) of the germline as previously described (Zhang et al. 2015; Rusch et al. 2018). Genetic variants were annotated, and LOF variants were further analyzed.

Curation of LOF Variants in gnomAD
Variants in RecQ helicase genes (RECQL, BLM, WRN, RECQL4, RECQL5) in the noncancer subset of the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD v2.1) were queried (Supplemental Tables 4–8). The putative LOF variants in gnomAD noncancer set v2.1 were downloaded first, and subsequently all variants of dubious quality via the tags “Flag” = “lc_lof” (low confidence-loss-of-function) were excluded. Variants with the “Flag” = “lcr” or “Flag” = “segdup” were reviewed and retained if they passed the quality control and manual curation steps. In the case of RECQL4, the founder variant, c.1390+2delT (p.Ala420_Ala463del), was removed as it is commonly encountered in the Finnish population at a minor allele frequency of 0.4% (Lek et al. 2016) but was absent from our cohort.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis of population enrichment was calculated via a 2 × 2 Fisher’s exact test, and estimates of the OR were performed using the RStudio R statistical computing environment with the epiR package (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=epiR). Statistical significance was defined by a two-sided \( P = 0.05 \).

Computational Prediction of Ethnicity
Data from our pediatric cancer cohort (comprised of 4435 pediatric cancer patients at St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital and 1127 from the National Cancer Institute TARGET database) was combined with data from 1000 Genomes, and the principal components (PCs) were extracted. Ethnicity data from 1000 Genomes were then used to train a random forest using the top 10 PCs which was used to predict the ethnicity of individuals in the pediatric cancer cohort. A visualization of the unsupervised ethnicity clustering is shown in Supplemental Figure 1.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
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