A case of Vp4 hepatocellular carcinoma with tumor thrombosis extending into the confluence of the splenic/portal vein achieved a good prognosis with emergent hepatectomy and postoperative adjuvant therapy with lenvatinib
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Abstract

In this report, we describe a case of highly advanced hepatocellular carcinoma with tumor thrombosis extending into the main portal vein of the pancreas that was successfully treated with adjuvant lenvatinib after right hepatic resection with thrombectomy. A 70-year-old woman was referred from the clinic because of elevated hepatobiliary enzymes. The patient was positive for the hepatitis B virus antigen at our hospital. The tumor markers were highly elevated with alpha-fetoprotein (14.5 U/mL) and protein induced by vitamin K absence (PIVKAII) (1545 ng/mL), suggesting hepatocellular carcinoma. Dynamic abdominal computed tomography showed an early enhanced tumor approximately 6 cm in size and portal vein tumor thrombosis filling the main portal vein, but not extending into the splenic or superior mesenteric vein (SMV). On magnetic resonance imaging 1 week after CT, portal vein tumor thrombosis had extended to the confluence of the splenic vein with the SMV, indicating rapid tumor growth. Thus, we performed emergent right hepatectomy with tumor thrombectomy. Postoperatively, we treated the patient with lenvatinib for a tumor reduction surgery. Fortunately, the patient was alive 2 years postoperatively without recurrence. This case report suggests that a favorable outcome may be achieved with multidisciplinary treatment including resection and postoperative treatment with lenvatinib.
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Introduction

The prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) with portal vein tumor embolization is extremely poor, especially for Vp4 HCC with thrombosis in the main trunk of the portal vein. The indications for surgery in such cases are yet to be established and are dependent on the institution. Herein, we describe a case of highly advanced HCC with tumor thrombosis extending into the main...
portal vein of the pancreas that was successfully treated with adjuvant lenvatinib after right hepatic resection with thrombectomy.

**Case report**

A 70-year-old woman was referred from the clinic because of elevated hepatobiliary enzymes. She was found positive for hepatitis B virus antigen at our hospital and underwent close examination at the Department of Gastroenterology. After a thorough examination, she was found to have elevated levels of alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) and protein induced by vitamin K absence (PIVKA-II) and was referred to our department for further evaluation. According to the blood test at the time of admission, hepatobiliary enzymes were mildly elevated, with AFP of 14.5 U/mL and PIVKAII of 1545 ng/mL, suggesting HCC. Dynamic abdominal computed tomography (CT) showed an early enhanced tumor, approximately 6 cm in size, infiltrating the middle hepatic vein in segment 8 of the liver (Fig. 1). Tumor thrombosis was observed in the main portal vein (Fig. 2A). The coronal section of the CT scan showed portal vein tumor thrombosis filling the main portal vein but not extending into the splenic vein or superior mesenteric vein (SMV) (Fig. 2B, C). Doppler ultrasonography showed that the flow in the portal vein was barely preserved (Fig. 2D). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) 1 week after the CT showed that the portal vein tumor thrombosis had extended to the confluence of the splenic vein with the SMV, indicating rapid tumor growth (Fig. 3). In terms of preoperative liver function, the ICG clearance rate (ICGK) and ICGR15 were 0.164 and 8%, respectively. Meanwhile, the estimated remnant liver volume was 64%, with a remnant ICGK value of 0.103, indicating that the patient could tolerate surgery. Thus, we performed emergent right heptectomy with tumor thrombectomy because the rapid extension of the tumor thrombosis was considered life-threatening. Figure 4 shows the surgical findings. First, the inferior border of the pancreas was dissected, and the SMV was taped, followed by taping of the splenic vein. Liver resection was performed after taping of the right hepatic hilar plate. The right hepatic duct and artery were divided, and the right, left, and main portal veins were taped. While clamping the left portal vein, SMV, and splenic vein, the right portal vein was incised by 1 cm, and the tumor thrombosis was removed as carefully as possible. After thrombectomy, intraoperative ultrasonography showed no residual tumor thrombus, the right portal vein was divided, and the stump was closed with 6–0 Prolene. Blood loss was 3325 g, and the operation time was 384 min. The resected specimen revealed that the tumor was a 35 × 25 mm HCC, moderately differentiated type, Vp4, vv0, va0, b0, p0, and surgical margin-negative (Fig. 5).

Postoperatively, the patient had no complications and was discharged on postoperative day 20. After a joint conference between gastroenterology and surgery, we decided to treat the patient with lenvatinib postoperatively because we considered this surgery to be a tumor-reduction surgery rather than a radical surgery. Lenvatinib was initiated at 8 mg/day and continued for 6 months. Subsequently, the dose was reduced...
to 4 mg/day and is continuing now. The patient visited the outpatient clinic every 2 months. Tumor markers such as AFP and PIVKAII were measured at that time. Enhanced CT was performed every 4 months to check for recurrence. Fortunately, the patient was alive 2 years postoperatively without recurrence (Fig. 6).

Discussion

Many studies [1–4] have shown that portal vein thrombosis is the strongest negative prognostic factor for HCC. Even when tumor thrombosis is localized within the primary branch of the portal vein (up to Vp3), their 5-year survival rates are around 8–35% [5]. However, if the tumor thrombosis extends to the main trunk of the portal vein (Vp4), the prognosis is extremely poor, and surgical resection is generally not indicated; even if resection is intended, more than 60% of cases are insufficiently resected, and the median survival time after resection is reported to be less than 1 year with a 90-day mortality rate of 8.2% [5]. Therefore, it is difficult to improve the prognosis of such highly advanced HCC by surgical resection alone, and multimodality treatment using new molecular targeted drugs may be an option to improve prognosis.

The usefulness of lenvatinib for unresectable HCC with portal vein tumor thrombosis has been reported in recent years [6–8]; Chuma et al. [9] reported favorable results in patients with Child–Pugh (CP) grade A unresectable HCC. In a recent study, they reported that the response rate to lenvatinib in patients with CP grade A unresectable HCC was significantly higher (26.7%) than in those with grade B unresectable HCC (0%). In Vp4 HCC, the median progression-free survival was
worse in patients with CP grade B (57 days) than in those with CP grade A (137 days, \( p = 0.0462 \)). Regarding multimodal treatment using a combination of surgery and lenvatinib, there have been several reports of patients with Vp4 HCC with pulmonary metastases or tumor thrombosis extending to the contralateral side, in whom lenvatinib was administered, and the tumor shrank, resulting in conversion hepatectomy to the primary tumor [7, 10]. In the present case, the patient was asymptomatic, and her performance status was well preserved. As shown by ultrasonography (Fig. 3), the main trunk of the portal vein was almost occluded, but intrahepatic portal vein flow was barely maintained. Even though there was no metastatic region, portal vein tumor thrombosis progressed rapidly within a week; therefore, emergency hepatic resection and thrombectomy were performed the day after the MRI. The patient provided informed consent for surgery, and the possibility of intraoperative death or serious complications was fully explained to the patient. The thrombosis was removed directly from the main trunk of the portal vein by aspiration with a small suction tube. Fortunately, no postoperative complications occurred, and the postoperative treatment was promptly initiated.
To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case of Vp4 HCC treated with lenvatinib after hepatectomy with tumor thrombectomy, which resulted in a favorable prognosis.

Conclusions
Although the prognosis of Vp4 HCC is extremely poor in previous reports, this case suggests that a favorable outcome may be achieved with multidisciplinary treatment, including resection and postoperative treatment.

Acknowledgements
Not applicable

Authors’ contributions
HK analyzed and drafted the manuscript. HK and AH participated in the data collection and assisted with the data interpretation. YA, MI, SA, MS, DK, TO, HY, TK, TH, HT, YK, HN, HS, and YK reviewed and revised the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
No funding was obtained for this study.

Availability of data and materials
All the data generated or analyzed during this study are included within the article.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Ethics approval was waived because this is a case report.

Consent for publication
Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and accompanying images.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References
1. Mähringer-Kunz A, Steinle V, Düber C, et al. Extent of portal vein tumour thrombosis in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: the more, the worse? Liver Int. 2019;39(2):324–31.
2. Chan SL, Chong CC, Chan AW, Poon DM, Chok KS. Management of hepatocellular carcinoma with portal vein tumor thrombosis: review and update at 2016. World J Gastroenterol. 2016;22(32):7289.
3. Shi J, Lai EC, Li N, et al. A new classification for hepatocellular carcinoma with portal vein tumor thrombus. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci. 2011;18(1):74–80.
4. Kondo K, Chijiiwa K, Kai M, et al. Surgical strategy for hepatocellular carcinoma patients with portal vein tumor thrombus based on prognostic factors. J Gastrointest Surg. 2009;13(6):1078–83.
5. Kokudo T, Hasegawa K, Matsuyma Y, et al. Survival benefit of liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma associated with portal vein invasion. J Hepatol. 2016;65(5):938–43.
6. Kuzuya T, Ishigami M, Ito T, et al. Sorafenib vs. lenvatinib as first-line therapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma with portal vein tumor thrombosis. Anticancer research. 2020;40(4):2283–90.
7. Takahashi K, Kim J, Takahashi A, et al. Conversion hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma with main portal vein tumour thrombus after lenvatinib treatment: a case report. World J Hepatol. 2021;13(3):384.
8. Mukouz T, Nagai H, Matsui D, et al. Adaptation of lenvatinib treatment in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma and portal vein tumor thrombosis. Cancer Chemospharmacol. 2022;89(1):11–20.
9. Chuma M, Uojima H, Hiraoka A, et al. Analysis of efficacy of lenvatinib treatment in highly advanced hepatocellular carcinoma with tumor thrombus in the main trunk of the portal vein or tumor with more than 50% liver occupation: a multicenter analysis. Hepatol Res. 2021;51(2):201–15.
10. Ohy A, Hayashida S, Tsuji A, et al. Conversion hepatectomy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma after right portal vein transection and lenvatinib therapy. Surgical Case Reports. 2020;6(1):1–7.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.