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Tracking Parkinson’s: Study Design and Baseline Patient Data
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Abstract

Background: There is wide variation in the phenotypic expression of Parkinson’s disease (PD), which is driven by both genetic and epidemiological influences.

Objectives: To define and explain variation in the clinical phenotype of PD, in relation to genotypic variation.

Methods: Tracking Parkinson’s is a multicentre prospective longitudinal epidemiologic and biomarker study of PD. Patients attending specialist clinics in the United Kingdom with recent onset (<3.5 years) and young onset (diagnosed <50 years of age) PD were enrolled. Motor, non-motor and quality of life assessments were performed using validated scales. Cases are followed up 6 monthly up to 4.5 years for recent onset PD, and up to 1 year for young onset PD. We present here baseline clinical data from this large and demographically representative cohort.

Results: 2247 PD cases were recruited (1987 recent onset, 260 young onset). Recent onset cases had a mean (standard deviation, SD) age of 67.6 years (9.3) at study entry, 65.7% males, with disease duration 1.3 years (0.9), MDS-UPDRS 3 scores 22.9 (12.3), LEDD 295 mg/day (211) and PDQ-8 score 5.9 (4.8). Young onset cases were 53.5 years old (7.8) at study entry, 66.9% male, with disease duration 10.2 years (6.7), MDS-UPDRS 3 scores 27.4 (15.3), LEDD 926 mg/day (567) and PDQ-8 score 11.6 (6.1).

Conclusions: We have established a large clinical PD cohort, consisting of young onset and recent onset cases, which is designed to evaluate variation in clinical expression, in relation to genetic influences, and which offers a platform for future imaging and biomarker research.

Keywords: Parkinson’s disease, heterogeneity, genotype, phenotype

BACKGROUND

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disease affecting the elderly, the prevalence of which is projected to double by 2030.
which will have significant implications on future healthcare delivery and economics [1, 2].

Our understanding of the pathogenesis of PD changed significantly with the discovery of alpha-synuclein aggregation in Lewy bodies and Lewy neurites, the neuropathological hallmarks of the disease, as a central mechanism in the underlying disease process [3]. From the initial genetic study linking a specific mutation in the gene coding for alpha-synuclein, SNCA, to a familial form of PD [4], a variety of rare genetic mutations including LRRK2, PARK2, and PINK-1 were subsequently linked to PD. Collectively, however, these Mendelian genes account for less than 10% of all PD cases in the general population [5]. More recently, large genome-wide association studies have collectively identified susceptibility variants at over 18 loci that increase risk for “idiopathic” PD [5–11]. However, in common with other complex traits, the pathogenesis in the large majority of cases of PD is expected to be multifactorial, involving a combination of genetic and environmental risk factors [12].

Differences in the clinical phenotype between patients with PD linked to Mendelian genes, compared to sporadic cases, have been recently reviewed [13]. Detailed genotyping will be performed in the current study, while also examining the role of environmental influences.

Finding a serum biomarker for PD would be a major clinical advance, given known diagnostic error rates, but would have even greater research implications for early diagnosis and recording of disease progression. Our study is collecting data in a large cohort of PD patients to facilitate detailed genetic studies and as a resource for linked biomarker research. Here we present the study protocol and descriptive baseline data, as a background to subsequent analytical reports emerging from this study.

METHODS

General outline

The study is carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki [14] and is supported by research nurses from the dementia and neurodegenerative research network (DeNDRON), a division of the National Health Service National Institute of Health Research in the United Kingdom (UK). Grant funding is from Parkinson’s UK, the national patient care and research organization. The primary objective is to define and explain the variation in the clinical phenotype of Parkinson’s disease. Secondary objectives are: (a) to relate the variation in the clinical phenotype of PD to genetic influences; (b) to support additional studies exploring genetic, serum and imaging biomarkers for the diagnosis, stratification and progression of PD.

Tracking Parkinson’s is a large prospective, observational, multicentre project. Patients were recruited with a clinical diagnosis of PD, corroborated by Queen Square Brain Bank criteria [15] and supported by neuroimaging performed when the diagnosis was not firmly established clinically. Both drug-naive and treated patients, aged 18 to 90 years were eligible. Young onset cases were diagnosed at or before age 50 years, and recent onset cases were diagnosed within the preceding 3.5 years. Baseline recruitment is complete and patients are currently engaged in 6 monthly follow up. Recruitment of first degree relatives, to a target of 840 unaffected siblings, is underway. All participants have LRRK2 and GBA mutation carrier status assessed with young onset cases also screened for PARK2 and PINK1 mutations. Exclusion criteria were severe comorbid illness e.g severe COPD or symptomatic heart failure that would not allow patient participation in clinic visits, other degenerative forms of parkinsonism e.g. progressive supranuclear palsy, or, parkinsonism attributable to significant cerebrovascular disease e.g. lower body parkinsonism attributed to significant cerebrovascular disease e.g. lower body parkinsonism with prominent vascular history (patients with ‘incidental’ small vessel disease on brain imaging were not excluded). Patients with drug-induced parkinsonism were excluded, but drug-unmasked PD was allowed if justified by abnormal functional dopaminergic imaging with dopamine transporter (DaT) single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) or fluorodopa (18F) positron emission tomography (F-DOPA PET).

72 sites in the UK providing secondary care treatment for PD patients as part of the UK National Health Service (NHS) (and in selected sites, their linked academic institutions) are participating, with multicentre ethics committee and local research and development department approvals.

Data handling

Data capture by the local clinical and research team allowed direct entry to a secure anonymized web-based electronic data capture system, backed by a paper case record form. Data collection for clinical assessments followed the standards of the Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium (CDISC) PD user guide.
which incorporates common data elements developed by the US National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke. Missing and erroneous data points were identified and pursued by central study coordinators. Statistical analysis was performed in Bristol. All genetic data are generated, analyzed and stored at the central laboratory in Cardiff.

Clinical assessments

Clinical assessments were made in out-patient clinics, using standardized and validated scales, to document the motor and non-motor features, quality of life and drug responsiveness of the enrolled subjects. Home visits were performed in a few remote settings. Study follow up visits were 6-monthly with more detailed observations at 0, 18, 36 and 54 months (Fig. 1). Levodopa equivalent daily dose (LEDD) was calculated using established formulas for equivalence [16, 17]. Orthostatic hypotension was defined as a systolic drop ≥20 mmHg or diastolic drop ≥10 mmHg. Montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA) was adjusted for education years and mild cognitive impairment defined as a score 21–25, and dementia defined as a score <21 [18]. Non-motor symptom severity (NMSS) was graded according to predefined severity categories: mild 1–20, mod 21–40, severe 41–70 and >70 very severe [19]. Depression and anxiety were identified from scores above 6 in the Leeds hospital anxiety and depression scale (LADS) [20]. Epworth sleep scale (ESS) was used to define excessive daytime sleep when the score exceeded 9 [21]. Rapid eye movement (REM) sleep behaviour disorder (RBD) was defined from a score above 4 [22]. Constipation was defined as laxative use or less than one bowel motion per day. Impaired olfaction was defined as an UPSIT (University of Pennsylvania smell identification test) <24/40 for age for age 60 years and older, and <29/40 for under 60 years old [23].

Genetic analysis

Blood samples were collected at all sites at study entry; an ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) sample for DNA extraction and an acid citrate dextrose (ACD) sample for cryopreservation of peripheral blood lymphocytes at the European Centre for Cell Cultures (ECACC) in Wiltshire, England to generate a long term backup resource. All DNA samples are stored for analysis and distribution at the study’s centralized laboratory at Cardiff University, Wales. In all PD patients the G2019S mutation at LRRK2 is genotyped using a Kompetitive Allele Specific Polymerase (KASP) assay (LGC Genomic solutions) and the GBA mutation carrier status established by DNA sequencing of all coding exons. The genes PARK2 and PINK1 are screened for mutations using DNA sequencing and multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) (MRC Holland) in all young onset PD patients. All DNA samples will also be genotyped using the Illumina Human Core Exome array, which has been supplemented with custom content. This will allow for the analysis of approximately 250,000 common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and 250,000 rare variants, plus over 27,000 custom variants selected due to their previous implication in a range of neurodegenerative, neurological, and psychiatric disorders.

Proteomic analysis

Serum samples are stored in 6 aliquots at study entry only in young onset cases; every 18 months in recent onset patients; and every 3 years in siblings of patients.
Storage is at −80 °C. A proteomic biomarker research program is coordinated in Oxford, England, involving samples from the current study and other ongoing UK PD cohort studies.

Statistical analysis

Sample sizes were calculated pragmatically using known UK incidence rates and NHS clinic activity levels, but sufficient to allow prognostic modelling involving random splits of the samples into training and validation cohorts. Standard statistical methods (survival curves and Cox proportional hazard models) and more complex multivariable models such as multi-level, latent class and/or growth curve models will be used to examine for heterogeneity in the presenting features and natural history of PD. Collaboration with other linked cohort studies, such as the Oxford Parkinson Disease Centre (OPDC) Discovery cohort, has been established and will be used for replication of findings for external validation [18].

RESULTS

Demographic characteristics

The average age of recent onset cases at diagnosis was 66.3 years, with mean disease duration from diagnosis of 1.3 years at the time of recruitment. 43.9% of these were recruited within 1 year of diagnosis, 28.5% between 1 and 2 years and 27.6% between 2 and 3.5 years.

The average age of young onset cases at diagnosis was 43.3 years, with a mean disease duration from diagnosis of 10.2 years at the time of recruitment. In both groups, males outnumbered females by approximately 2:1. Young onset cases, as expected, had a longer disease duration from symptom onset to diagnosis (2.2 years, SD 3.4) compared to 1.8 years (SD 2.9) in recent onset patients. Additional demographic data are in Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1.

Comorbid disease

Recent onset cases had more than double the comorbid cardiovascular disease, cerebrovascular disease and vascular risk factors compared to young onset cases (Supplementary Table 2). With the exception of lung cancer, cancer diagnoses overall were also more prevalent in the recent onset group. The rates of breast cancer though were similar between the groups.

Family history

In young onset cases, 25.5% had a family history of PD, which was more frequent than in recent onset cases (19.9%) (p = 0.038). A family history of dementia did not differ significantly between recent and young onset cases (p = 0.076), and a family history of stroke was similar across groups (Table 2).

Neuroimaging

Data relating to the mode and results of neuroimaging undertaken as part of the patient’s routine clinical care prior to recruitment were extracted. The proportion of patients with brain computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), FP-CIT SPECT and F-DOPA PET imaging are detailed in Supplementary Table 3.

Clinical observations

Baseline lying/standing pulse, and blood pressure in cases are detailed in Supplementary Table 4. Orthostatic hypotension (Table 4) was more frequent at baseline in recent onset cases (17.2 vs. 11.3%). BMI (Table 1) in both groups was also slightly above the normal range (defined as 18.5–24.9) [24].

Taking into account the longer disease duration of young onset cases, the following features are noted. Young onset cases had higher MDS-UPDRS Part 3 scores (Table 3), as well as total MDS-UPDRS scores.
Table 2

| Variable | Recent onset | Young onset |
|----------|--------------|-------------|
| Parkinson’s disease | | |
| Only one affected | 311 (15.8%) | 51 (19.7%) |
| More than 1 affected | 82 (4.2%) | 15 (5.8%) |
| Recessive PD historya | 38 (1.9%) | 4 (1.5%) |
| Dominant PD historyb | 355 (18.0%) | 62 (23.9%) |
| Dementia or Alzheimer’s disease | | |
| Mother only | 159 (8.1%) | 13 (5.0%) |
| Father only | 46 (2.3%) | 6 (2.3%) |
| Paternal | 10 (0.5%) | 1 (0.4%) |
| Stroke | | |
| Mother only | 68 (3.5%) | 12 (4.6%) |
| Father only | 74 (3.8%) | 8 (3.1%) |
| Paternal | 4 (0.2%) | 1 (0.4%) |

Data are number (percentage), aOnly siblings affected, bAny other relative affected (could also include a sibling affected), cMother and another maternal family member affected, dFather and another paternal family member affected.

Table 3

| Variable | Recent onset | Young onset |
|----------|--------------|-------------|
| UPDRS | | |
| Part 1 | 9.3 (5.4) | 13.8 (7.3) |
| Part 2 | 9.6 (6.6) | 17.6 (9.5) |
| Part 3 | 22.9 (12.3) | 27.4 (15.3) |
| Part 4 | 0.8 (1.8) | 5.7 (5.0) |
| Total | 42.7 (19.8) | 63.6 (29.6) |
| Motor subtype | | |
| Tremor dominant | 46% | 27.5% |
| Postural instability gait difficulty | 41% | 60% |
| Intermittent | 13% | 9.6% |
| Hoehn and Yahr stage; median (IQR) | | |
| Motor | 2 (1-2) | 2 (1-2.5) |
| LEDD | | |
| MCI stage | 429 (211.3) | 926 (566.6) |
| Montreal cognitive assessment | | |
| Normal | 53.4% | 55.5% |
| Mild cognitive impairment | 36.8% | 36.2% |
| Dementia | 9.8% | 8.3% |

Data are mean (standard deviation) or percentage unless otherwise stated. UPDRS=Movement Disorder Society unified Parkinson’s disease rating scale; IQR=Interquartile range; LEDD=levodopa equivalent daily dose.

Table 4

| Variable | Recent onset | Young onset |
|----------|--------------|-------------|
| Non-motor symptom severity | | |
| Mild | 37.1% | 19.7% |
| Moderate | 34.5% | 19.1% |
| Severe | 19.6% | 27.3% |
| Very severe | 9.8% | 33.9% |
| Restless legs | 23.6% | 28.3% |
| Depression | 23.6% | 43.0% |
| Anxiety | 24.0% | 50.0% |
| Excessive daytime sleepiness | 24.5% | 49.0% |
| REM sleep behaviour disorder | 43.7% | 60.0% |
| Constipation | 33.6% | 33.7% |
| Hypoesthesia | 75.3% | 75.9% |
| Orthostatic hypotension | 17.2% | 11.3% |

REM=Rapid Eye Movement.

at study entry (mean 63.6) compared to recent onset cases (mean 42.7). Despite these differences both groups had a median Hoehn and Yahr stage of 2. In recent onset cases, 36.8% had mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and 9.8% dementia at study entry. Young onset cases had a similar frequency of MCI (36.2%) to the recent onset cases but a lower frequency of dementia (8.3%). The spectrum and severity of both motor features and motor complications, disability and cognitive impairment in recent and young onset cases, according to the clinical impression of severity index for Parkinson’s disease, is shown in Fig. 2.

Results of patient scored questionnaires at study entry assessing non-motor symptoms are in Tables 4 and 5. Overall non-motor symptoms were common in both groups, but young onset patients reported a greater frequency and severity of these at study entry, including sleep disturbance, depression, anxiety, autonomic symptoms (except constipation and laxative use) and impulsive-compulsive behaviours, with perceived poorer quality of life compared to recent onset patients, while again noting their longer disease duration.

Medication use

Overall 90% of patients were prescribed antiparkinson medication at study entry (Fig. 3). The mean LEDD was 295 mg/day in recent onset cases and 926 mg/day in young onset cases, reflecting disease duration.

Withdrawal rates

Study retention has been successful to date (Table 6). Fourteen cases were excluded from the recent onset cohort following diagnostic revision: 4 were later diagnosed with PSP, one with multiple sclerosis, one with MSA, one with essential or dystonic tremor, one with corticobasal degeneration, one had a normal FP-CIT SPECT scan, and 5 without a clear diagnosis. One case was excluded from the young onset cohort following a subsequent normal FP-CIT SPECT scan.
Fig. 2. Clinical impression of severity index scores in 2247 PD patients. Recent onset cases had a significantly shorter disease duration than young onset cases, explaining their milder motor features and disability, while the cognitive pattern was more equal, given the greater risk of cognitive impairment with age.

Table 5

| Variable          | Recent onset n = 1987 | Young onset n = 260 | Controls a |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Impulsivity       |                       |                     |            |
| Gambling          | 1.6%                  | 23.2%               | 0.7%       |
| Sex               | 5.3%                  | 25.0%               | 3.5%       |
| Buying            | 3.7%                  | 25.7%               | 2.1%       |
| Eating            | 5.4%                  | 21.7%               | 10.5%      |
| Medication        | 1.5%                  | 8.4%                | NA         |
| Hobbitism         | 9.7%                  | 37.6%               | 11.9%      |
| Pandling          | 5.5%                  | 17.1%               | 2.1%       |
| Walkabout         | 1.1%                  | 6.4%                | 0.7%       |
| One or more       | 22.7%                 | 67.6%               | 20.3%      |
| PDSS              | 109 (23.5)            | 91.8 (28.7)         | 120.7 (21.0) |
| SCOPA – AUT       |                       |                     |            |
| Gastrointestinal  | 3.0 (2.5)             | 4.2 (3.2)           | 1.4 (1.6)  |
| Urinary           | 4.7 (3.3)             | 5.3 (3.6)           | 3.9 (2.4)  |
| Cardiovascular    | 0.7 (1.1)             | 1.1 (1.3)           | 0.3 (0.6)  |
| Thermoregulatory  | 1.6 (1.8)             | 3.1 (2.5)           | 1.8 (2.0)  |
| Pupillometer      | 0.4 (0.7)             | 0.6 (0.8)           | 0.4 (0.7)  |
| Sexual male       | 1.9 (2.0)             | 1.7 (1.8)           | 1.3 (1.7)  |
| Sexual female     | 1.6 (1.7)             | 1.4 (1.4)           | 1.4 (1.5)  |
| Total autonomic score | 11.8 (7.1)          | 15.6 (9.2)          | 8.8 (5.4)  |

**Table 5**

| Variable          | Recent onset n = 1987 | Young onset n = 260 | Controls a |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Impulsivity       |                       |                     |            |
| Gambling          | 1.6%                  | 23.2%               | 0.7%       |
| Sex               | 5.3%                  | 25.0%               | 3.5%       |
| Buying            | 3.7%                  | 25.7%               | 2.1%       |
| Eating            | 5.4%                  | 21.7%               | 10.5%      |
| Medication        | 1.5%                  | 8.4%                | NA         |
| Hobbitism         | 9.7%                  | 37.6%               | 11.9%      |
| Pandling          | 5.5%                  | 17.1%               | 2.1%       |
| Walkabout         | 1.1%                  | 6.4%                | 0.7%       |
| One or more       | 22.7%                 | 67.6%               | 20.3%      |
| PDSS              | 109 (23.5)            | 91.8 (28.7)         | 120.7 (21.0) |
| SCOPA – AUT       |                       |                     |            |
| Gastrointestinal  | 3.0 (2.5)             | 4.2 (3.2)           | 1.4 (1.6)  |
| Urinary           | 4.7 (3.3)             | 5.3 (3.6)           | 3.9 (2.4)  |
| Cardiovascular    | 0.7 (1.1)             | 1.1 (1.3)           | 0.3 (0.6)  |
| Thermoregulatory  | 1.6 (1.8)             | 3.1 (2.5)           | 1.8 (2.0)  |
| Pupillometer      | 0.4 (0.7)             | 0.6 (0.8)           | 0.4 (0.7)  |
| Sexual male       | 1.9 (2.0)             | 1.7 (1.8)           | 1.3 (1.7)  |
| Sexual female     | 1.6 (1.7)             | 1.4 (1.4)           | 1.4 (1.5)  |
| Total autonomic score | 11.8 (7.1)          | 15.6 (9.2)          | 8.8 (5.4)  |

**DISCUSSION**

**Young onset cases**

Impulsivity data from such a large cohort of young onset cases has not been reported. The presence of one or more impulsive/compulsive behaviours in two-thirds of our young onset cases considerably exceeds the prevalence rate found in our recent onset cases, and prior reports [28]. This may relate to the known greater risk of impulse control disorders (ICD) in young onset PD [29] and frequent use of dopamine agonists. The multiplicity of involved domains from the ICD questionnaire was striking in these young onset cases.

Family history of PD was more likely in young onset than recent onset cases, consistent with known higher rates of genetic mutations in young onset disease [30] but the difference between groups was not striking. One in 4 young onset patients had at least one other family member with PD, compared to less than 1 in 5 recent onset cases. This familial association of PD also applied when considering cases where more than 1 family member was affected by PD, matching prior reports [31].
Fig. 3. Antiparkinson medication at baseline in 2247 PD patients. Most patients were already on antiparkinson medication at recruitment. Young onset cases had a longer disease duration than recent onset cases, which will affect usage rates and the proportions on more than one drug class. MAOB-I = monoamine oxidase B inhibitor; COMT-I = catechol-O-methyl transferase inhibitor.

Table 6

| Variable                  | Recent onset | Young onset |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| n                         | n = 1987    | n = 260     |
| Withdrawn                 | 156 (7.9%)  | 11 (4.2%)   |
| Time to withdrawal years  | 1.4 (0.7)   | 0.7 (0.6)   |
| Reason*                   |             |             |
| Intercurrent illness      | 14.7%       | 9.1%        |
| Patient died              | 18.0%       | 27.3%       |
| Patient choice            | 43.6%       | 27.3%       |
| Other                     | 23.7%       | 36.4%       |

Data are number (percentage). *Percentage of those withdrawn.

We did not identify an increased likelihood of a dementia diagnosis in relatives of young onset PD cases, compared to our recent onset cases, which differs from earlier reports [32, 33]. Our definition of dementia in relatives depended on patient reporting, without corroboration from their medical records, matching the methods of one of those studies [33]. The age cut-off for defining young onset disease in those studies was however pragmatically set at a rather older age (60 or 66 years) representing the youngest quintile [32] or tertile [33] of their series, which may have influenced their findings.

RBD is proposed as a sensitive pre-motor marker of PD [34] and can be screened for by using the RBD screening questionnaire (RBDSQ) [22]. RBDSQ scores in our young onset cases were slightly worse than recent onset cases, which is contrary to another study that found a higher prevalence of RBD in older patients [35]. Further, the Oxford Discovery study noted that patients with RBD (47.2% of cases) had a greater prevalence of non-motor features [36]. RBD at baseline significantly correlated with an increase in total UPDRS scores over time in another study [37]. RBD therefore appears to be a marker of more advanced neurodegeneration, being described in patients with more motor complications, a higher rate of falls, the emergence of cognitive problems and psychotic symptoms, and it may therefore be a predictor of entering a more advanced stage of disease [38]. The longer disease duration in our young onset cases may therefore account for our worse RBDSQ scores.

While our young onset patients reported greater diagnostic delay (around 4 months longer than recent onset cases), recall bias affected by their longer disease duration may have contributed. Somewhat shorter delays are reported, being 15 months in young onset patients (aged <46) [39], and under 2 years in most studies [40, 41]. A timely diagnosis of PD is important to initiate necessary treatment and relay prognostic information, but has greater significance for the early implementation (in clinical trials) of potential disease modifying treatments.

Recent onset cases

There is a potential two-way association between PD and stroke, in both of which oxidative stress may be pathogenic [42]. In a prospective study, a 1.3 to 2 fold increased risk of developing PD was associated with previous stroke, with a similarly increased relative
risk for a first time diagnosis of ischemic stroke in patients diagnosed with PD [43]. A high prevalence of vascular disease, and risk factors for this, were present particularly in our recent onset cases where combining high cholesterol, hypertension, and diabetes gave a ‘vascular risk’ rate of around half of cases. Vascular risk factors are of interest as several are potentially modifiable. The presence of diabetes as a risk factor for developing PD is controversial, with one case-control study showing no increased risk [44], but a cohort study reporting almost doubling of the risk [45].

The presence of vascular risk factors may modify disease expression in PD. Elevated cardiovascular risk scores were an independent predictor of higher axial motor impairments in PD [46]. Hypertension, which was present in one-third of our recent onset cases, correlated with impaired cognitive performance (executive function and verbal memory) in PD in another study [47]. Continued observation of the relationship between vascular and parkinsonian features is planned in the follow-up phase.

Out of four cancer types recorded, the highest rates were for breast and prostate cancer in our recent onset cases, with no cases of prostate cancer in our young onset cases. A recent meta-analysis found no overall increased risk of breast or prostate cancer in PD patients compared to the general population [48]. The overall higher cancer prevalence in recent onset cases therefore most likely reflects the known increased incidence rates of most cancers with age [49].

The findings that 13% of recent onset cases had a mixed motor phenotype is very similar to prior observations [50]. While tremor was recorded at onset in approximately three quarters of cases in each group, PIGD was more likely in young onset cases, probably due to evolution of motor subtypes [51], which is important when evaluating the association of early clinical features and genetic and biomarker traits. For example, dementia is more likely in cases presenting with PIGD, compared to tremor dominant or indeterminate subtypes, and is also more likely in cases evolving to a PIGD subtype [52, 53]. Patients with a PIGD motor subtype also have faster disease progression [54] and worse health related quality of life [55].

The proportion of our recent onset cases with mild cognitive impairment (MCI, 36.8%) and dementia (9.8%) is similar to the Oxford Discovery cohort, at a similar disease duration (MCI 40.5%, dementia 11.7%) using similar methods [18]. These findings are consistent with the ICICLE-PD study which found MCI in 42.5% at baseline, in an incident cohort, using Movement Disorder Society level II criteria [56] that recommend cut-off scores of 1.5 SD below normal values [57]. Both results are higher than the Parkinson’s progression marker initiative (PPMI) study (average disease duration 6.5 months, untreated) [58] where 21.5% had MCI at baseline, 34.2% at 1 year, and 35.5% at 2 years, and may reflect different selection criteria.

Scores of daytime somnolence were similar to those reported in cohorts of a similar age (but generally with a longer disease duration), compared to our recent onset cases. Worse ESS scores correlate with more depression, higher disease severity, and higher doses of dopaminergic agents [59]. A correlation between daytime somnolence and cognitive impairment is also reported [60]. Our PD sleep scale (PDSS) scores in young onset cases (mean 91.8, SD 28.7) were slightly worse than those previously reported (mean 120.9, SD 20.0) [61]. While reports of a positive correlation between severity of sleep disturbance and motor severity are not uniform [62] some report such an association [26, 63]. The reported prevalence of RLS in PD is variable, ranging between 5.5 and 27% in European cohort studies [64], against which our rate was 28.3% in the young onset cases and 23.6% in recent onset cases, is at the higher end of this range.

Our SCOPA-AUT results lie between results found in newly diagnosed, drug naive patients [65] and those with a longer disease duration in cross-sectional studies [66, 67]. As well as disease duration, the prevalence and severity of autonomic symptoms have been associated with more severe impairments in motor symptoms, cognition, depression, sleep disturbance, psychiatric complications, and the prescription of dopaminergic drugs [68]. These factors may account for the higher scores seen in our young onset patients in all individual components of the SCOPA-AUT, except sexual function where recent onset patients reported a greater prevalence of such symptoms.

In keeping with previous reports, a proportion of our recent onset patients exhibited orthostatic hypotension (OH) early in the course of disease, as a marker of autonomic instability. Baseline autonomic dysfunction may serve as a predictor of cognitive impairment, with a systolic drop of >10 mmHg at baseline being associated with 7-fold increased risk of dementia at 4.4 years in a prospective study [69].

Constipation is of particular interest in PD, as one marker of autonomic involvement that may predate motor diagnosis [70, 71]. However around a quarter of our recent onset cases had 2 or more bowel motions per day (without laxative use), indicating that constipa-
tion is not a universal feature, even after the diagnosis of PD. Our results are consistent with the finding that the majority of PD patients have only mild colorectal symptoms [72]. Non-motor symptoms such as constipation, depression, restless legs, particularly when they cluster together are now well recognised as early clinical markers of PD but given that the majority of our patients reported motor symptoms as a presenting feature, the inclusion of motor assessments in studies designed to identify ‘at risk’ subjects would be appropriate.

Limitations

One limitation of the study design is that comparison of recent onset and young onset groups will inevitably show differences because of different disease duration. We included a young onset population primarily to enrich the proportion with known genetic mutations, given the involvement of siblings and the genetic focus of the study. While statistically correcting for age, gender and disease duration would aid some comparisons, it has limited capacity to correct for evolution of disease characteristics over time.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we present the baseline data of a large clinical research network which is actively following cases in a combined clinical-laboratory program, evaluating variation in the clinical expression of PD which will be studied in relation to genetic influences. This offers a platform for serum and imaging biomarker research. We hope that the scale and linkage of this research program will help to understand the pathogenesis of PD, and identify new pathways leading towards preventive treatments. The longitudinal follow-up of our PD cases and siblings is a key component, and will be the subject of further reports.
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