Peripheral osteoma on the medial eyebrow successfully extracted while preserving supratrochlear nerve

INTRODUCTION

Osteomas are benign, slow-growing osteogenic tumors composed of mature compact or cancellous bone. They are most frequently found in the craniomaxillofacial region, especially in the mandible and paranasal sinuses [1,2]. Osteomas can be classified as peripheral, central, or extraskeletal. Specifically, peripheral osteomas are derived from the periosteum and are attached to the cortical plates while they grow.

Reactive mechanisms to trauma or infection, as well as muscle traction, are thought to play a major role in the development of peripheral osteomas. In the present report, a 41-year-old woman presented with a slow-growing, painless mass on her left eyebrow. She had suffered trauma 15 years prior. In the computed tomography scan, a 2.5 × 2.0 × 0.7-cm radio-opaque tumor was detected just medial to the left supraorbital foramen, and a peripheral osteoma was clinically diagnosed. An elective operation under general anesthesia was planned. Following a suprabrow incision, subcutaneous and intramuscular dissection was performed. In the surgical plane deep to the corrugator muscles and superficial to periosteum, a branch of the supratrochlear nerve was encountered and preserved using a vessel loop. The osteoma beneath the periosteum was extracted in multiple fragments using a chisel and mallet to minimize trauma to the nerve. Contour and facial symmetry were corrected. To use a suprabrow incision, the surgeon must understand neighboring anatomical structures, including the course of the supratrochlear and supraorbital neurovascular bundles. When these structures are located adjacent to tumor lesions, careful surgical maneuvers should be performed to preserve them.
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CASE REPORT

A 41-year-old woman presented to the outpatient department seeking treatment for a painless mass on her left eyebrow (Fig. 1). She had no relevant medical history other than trauma that occurred 15 years prior: she had bumped her head. On physical examination, the mass measured $2.5 \times 2$ cm, and it was firm, round, and hardly movable. No cutaneous abnormalities were observed around the left eyebrow, and the patient reported no neurological symptoms such as sensory deficit.

A computed tomography (CT) scan revealed a $2.5 \times 2 \times 0.7$-cm radio-opaque tumor just medial to the left supraorbital foramen (Fig. 2).

An elective operation under general anesthesia was planned. The patient’s skin was incised horizontally along the wrinkle line, just above the hair-bearing area of the left eyebrow (supra-brow incision). The subcutaneous fat, as well as the frontalis and corrugator muscles, was dissected along the direction of the muscle fibers. In the surgical plane deep to the corrugator and superficial to the peristeme, a branch of the supratrochlear nerve was encountered; this was gently turned over using a vessel loop to the lateral aspect. A vertical incision was made in the periosteum, and a $2.5 \times 2$-cm osteoma was observed attached to the cortex of the frontal bone. Ostectomy was then performed using a chisel and mallet. The osteoma was extracted in multiple fragments to minimize trauma to the nerve. Contour was compared to that of the contralateral eyebrow and bilateral eyebrows were symmetrical. A postoperative CT scan showed that bone symmetry was adequately achieved (Fig. 3). Postoperative pathological findings were consistent with those

![Fig. 1. A 41-year-old female patient presented with a slow-growing, painless mass on her left eyebrow. The mass was $2.5 \times 2$ cm in size, firm, round, and hardly movable.](image1)

![Fig. 2. In the preoperative computed tomography scan, a radio-opaque lesion just medial to the left supraorbital foramen was observed.](image2)

![Fig. 3. In the postoperative computed tomography scan, the tumor lesion was removed and forehead symmetry was well achieved.](image3)

![Fig. 4. Clinical photograph a week after the operation. Postoperative scars are well hidden, and the contours of the forehead are symmetrical.](image4)
of an osteoma.
After surgery, no sensory deficit was observed. A clinical photograph was taken after 1 week and showed that the scar was well hidden (Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

Osteomas are most frequently found in the craniomaxillofacial region, especially in the mandible and paranasal sinuses, and it occurs more often in men [1,2].

Osteomas are classified as peripheral, central, or extraskeletal. Central osteomas develop from the endosteum, while extraskeletal osteomas grow within a muscle. Meanwhile, peripheral osteomas are derived from the periosteum and are attached to the cortical plates while they grow. The etiology of osteomas is multifactorial, with traumatic, inflammatory, metaplastic, and genetic causes all playing a role [7]. In the case of peripheral osteomas, trauma and muscle traction are thought to play a major role in pathogenesis. Specifically, subperiosteal hematoma after trauma, combined with periosteal elevation caused by muscle traction, may result in osteogenesis [1,3-5].

Osteomas are slow-growing and often show no clinical symptoms. As such, they are usually incidentally diagnosed after radiological evaluations, and CT is the gold standard for diagnosis [1,2]. However, depending on the size or location of the tumor, various clinical signs may manifest. Facial pain or headache due to compression of the abutting sensory nerve is common [8]. Furthermore, obstructions to mucosal outflow can cause chronic sinusitis, while paranasal tumors extending into the orbital cavity can lead to ophthalmic symptoms, including epiphora, proptosis, diplopia, or visual loss. Osteomas can also result in auditory dysfunctions or intracranial complications, such as pneumocephalus or mucocele with intracranial extension [2,9]. Magnetic resonance imaging is helpful when evaluating intraorbital or intracranial extensions of the tumor [10].

In the case of osteomas located on the forehead, aesthetic deformities are the main complaint [6].

The supratrochlear nerve is a peripheral nerve that supplies sensation to the glabella, lower medial portion of the forehead, upper eyelid, and conjunctiva. The supratrochlear nerve exits into the deep tissues of the forehead and out of the frontal notch or foramen. The nerve runs between the periosteum and corrugator supercilii muscles. It then courses superficially to the muscle approximately 1.5 cm above the orbital rim [11]. Considering the anatomical plane the nerve runs in, when osteomas on the eyebrow are treated through a suprabrow incision, the intramuscular and periosteal plane should be dissected bluntly and vertically, parallel to the course of the nerves, and the tumor must be excised in the subperiosteal plane. In addition, during dissection, the surgeon must confirm the course of the nerve under direct visualization to avoid iatrogenic injury.

In cases of incidentally detected, asymptomatic osteomas, clinical observation is recommended. However, surgery is indicated if the tumor grows rapidly; if it involves the nasofrontal outflow tract, ethmoid sinus, or > 50% of the frontal sinus; or if it leads to symptoms, including aesthetic deformity [12]. In cases of forehead osteomas, open forehead osteotomy could be performed under direct visualization of the lesion. However, patients are often concerned about scarring, particularly those who have a smooth forehead or a genetic predisposition to hypertrophic scar, keloid, or skin pigmentation [6]. In such cases, incision through the hairline or eyebrow (suprabrow approach) may be preferable. Endoscopic resection of forehead osteomas is well established and avoids conspicuous scarring. However, potential complications include an increased risk of hematoma formation and significant postoperative edema [6,13,14]. Besides experienced practitioner and additional instruments are required in endoscopic approaches.

Osteomas are usually asymptomatic, except when they block osteomas in this region, surgeons must understand the precise anatomy of the region and preserve these structures through meticulous surgical maneuvering.
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