Effect of Electric Discharge Machining on Material Removal Rate and White Layer Composition
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ABSTRACT

In this study the MRR (Material Removal Rate) of the aerospace grade (2024 T6) aluminum alloy 2024 T6 has been determined with copper electrode and kerosene oil is used as dielectric liquid. Discharge energy is controlled by electric current while keeping Pulse-ON time and Pulse-OFF time as constant. The characteristics of the EDMed (Electric Discharge Machined) surface are discussed. The sub-surface defect due to arcing has been explained. As the surface material of tool electrode and workpiece melts simultaneously and there are chances of the contamination of both surfaces by the contents of each other. Therefore, the EDS (Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy) of the white layer and base material of the workpiece was performed by SEM (Scanning Electron Microscope) at the discharge currents of 3, 6 and 12 amperes. It was conformed that the contamination of the surface of the workpiece material occurred by carbon, copper and oxygen contents. The quantitative analysis of these contents with respect to the discharge current has been presented in this paper.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The EDM (Electrical Discharge Machining) is the non-conventional machining process that is mostly used for machining of hard to cut conductive materials [1-2]. But the complex geometries of other relatively soft materials are also machined by EDM [3]. As aluminum alloys remained highly acceptable in the aerospace and automobile industry because of high specific modulus [4]. As EDM is non-contact machining process that does not cause lateral stress and vibrations to the workpiece material and results in accurate and precise geometric dimensions [5]. The choice of proper process parameters are important for the desired surface finish, machining time and electrode wear rate [6]. Machining in hydrocarbon oil gives better surface finish and MRR as compared to water based dielectric liquids while using low discharge energies [7].

EDM is a complex machining process, in which the electrical, thermal and chemical processes co-exist [8]. Thermal energy is produced by discrete electric sparks, due to which material of both workpiece and tool electrode melts and even evaporates [9]. At the end of each discharge, the molten material is splashed away by the pressurized dielectric liquid. Hemispherical surface cavities...
are formed on the surface which are termed as ‘Crater’ [10]. The surface layer is termed as ‘White layer’, which have different microstructure and metallurgical conditions than the parent material [11-13]. Only 15% of the total quantity of the molten material is removed and the residue resolidifies on the surface [14]. Such layer was also observed in other traditional machining processes [15] but there its thickness is comparatively lower than the EDM machining [15]. Residual stresses are developed due to rapid cooling of the molten material [16]. These stresses are of tensile nature that is detrimental for cyclic loadings [17]. The residual stresses are lower at the surface which are increasing in the depth to maximum in the white layer. The generation of residual stresses depend on the cooling rate of the molten material, which is more at the surface and go on decreasing in the depth. Then the maximum stresses should appear at the surface but these stresses are relaxed by the formation of micro-cracks in the surface. When the amount of residual stresses exceed the limit of rupture strength of the material then cracks generate and cause relaxation in the residual [18-19].

The MRR and tool wear rate have been the focus of different studies [5,20-21]. Where it is was common observation that the MRR generally improved at high discharge energy, but all materials had their unique MRR with respect to the selected conditions. But it was also seen that the surface damage rate in terms of surface roughness, cracks, pits and depth of heat affected zone are also increased with the factors that result high MRR [22]. Each material have different optimized setting of parameters for high surface finish and better machining rate. Saleem et. al. [23] investigated that the discharge current has dominating influence on surface finish and MRR as compared to parameters such as Pulse-ON time, Pulse-OFF time, servo speed and machining time etc. A. Torres et. al. [24] have reported for EDM of Inconel 718 that current intensity and Pulse-ON time are the most influential and duty cycle and voltage are least influential parameters on surface finish and MRR. Choudhary et. al. [25] have determined the effect of process parameters on the newly developed Nomonic 75 alloy and found that current, pulse-ON time and polarity are most influential on the surface roughness. Positive polarity tool electrode results maximum contamination of the surface layer by the carbon contents.

The rapid cooling rate in EDM is also associated with the refined grain structure as compared to grinding and turning process [26]. During machining the surfaces of both electrodes melt and due to the sudden change in the pressure of the plasma as well as by dielectric liquid, there are chances of the surface contamination of one material with the contents of other material [12,27]. Therefore, the resulting surface of the workpiece after EDM at different discharge currents is characterized by EDS. In this investigation, the material removal rate of aerospace grade (2024 T6) aluminum alloys has been investigated. A critical defect that occurred while machining for higher MRR has also been elaborated in this study.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Aluminum alloy 2024 T6, in the form of extruded rods with 22mm diameter had been used. Its physical and mechanical properties are presented in Table 1. Small cylindrical specimen of 20x20mm were prepared on lathe machine.

Die-Sinking EDM (NEUAR) of Taiwanese origin was used and the experimental procedure is explained in Fig. 1(a-b). Where, EDM machining is being performed by the electric sparks between copper tool electrode and aluminum alloy workpiece and the machined surface is magnified to show the craters and cracks. The industrial grade kerosene oil was used as dielectric liquid.
Specimens were clamped on the bed of EDM with a for k-type clamp as shown in Fig. 2. Pure commercial grade copper material was used for tool electrode with have slightly more diameter than the workpiece. The physical properties of copper are represented in Table 2.

Side flushing of the hydro-carbon based kerosene oil was provided with the pressure of 0.9 kg/cm². The machining was performed at varying current levels of 3, 4.5, 6, 9, and 12 Amperes. The other constant parameters are presented in Table 3. The machined surface of the specimen have contents of kerosene oil, carbon particles and debris. Before determination of MRR, these free particles were removed by acetone liquid in a ultrasonic vibrating chamber for ten minutes.

A digital balance with a resolution of 0.1 mg was used for weighing the specimen before and after machining. Thus the accuracy of the digital balance for weighing of the removed material is ±0.05 mg. Machining time was observed by a digital stopwatch. The MRR in mm³/min was determined by the relation by:

\[
\text{MRR (mm³/min)} = \frac{(m_1 - m_2)}{t_m} \cdot \rho
\]

Where \(m_1\) is Mass (gram) of the material before machining, \(m_2\) is Mass (gram) of the material after machining, \(t_m\) is Machining time (minute) and \(\rho\) is Density of the material (gram/mm³)

The EDS was performed on the field-emission SEM, MIRA 3 XMU, TESCAN, USA. The prepared sample are mounted on the holders of SEM chamber. Dry nitrogen gas is used to evacuate air completely from the chamber. Accelerating voltage of 20KV is used for this analysis. In this analysis all elements were analyzed with five iterations and results are shown with all elements.

![FIG. 1(a). ELECTRICAL DISCHARGE MACHINING](image)

![FIG. 1(b). REPRESENTATION OF EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE](image)

**TABLE 1. PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF AL 2024 T6**

| Property                  | Value  |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Density (g/cc)            | 2.78   |
| Hardness (HRB)            | 78     |
| Specific Heat Capacity (J/kg.K) | 875    |
| Thermal Conductivity (W/m.K) | 151    |
| Electric Resistivity (Ω-cm) | 4.49E-6 |
| Mod of Elasticity (GPa)   | 72.4   |
| Liquids/ Solidus Temperature (°C) | 638/502 |
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3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Surface and Sub-Surface Observations

EDM removes the surface material in the form of debris and craters are formed on the surface. The resulting surface is non-reflective and non-directional. The material splattered after each discharge and resolidified on the lips of the crater. The crater and globule sizes are found the characteristics of each discharge current level. The characteristic EDMed surface formed at the discharge current of 9A is shown in Fig. 3.

Arcing is one of the major problem of EDM that can deteriorate the material integrity. Such defects made the EDM process very sensitive that needs much care as compared to traditional machining processes. This defect is shown in Fig. 4 that occurred while machining at the discharge current of 12A. The dielectric liquid behaves as an insulator material between oppositely charged electrodes. At the start of machining process the gap between electrodes is decreased and monitored by servo control unit. When electrodes come closer the existing electrostatic field among the near ends of electrodes becomes stronger and the atoms of dielectric liquid get polarized. With the increase of voltage and decrease in the gap a limit approaches when the dielectric liquid ionizes and electron and positive ions of the dielectric liquid move towards oppositely charged electrodes. These charged particles collide with the surface of oppositely charged electrodes and heat is produced due to transfer of kinetic energy of these particles to the electrode material. High energy electrons causes more MRR than

| Specific Heat (J/kg.K) | Thermal Conductivity (W/m.K) | Specific Gravity at 20°C (g/cm³) | CTE (×10⁻⁶ (1/°C)) | Boiling Temperature (°C) | Melting Point (°C) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 385                    | 380.7                       | 8.9                             | 17                  | 2595                     | 1083              |

**TABLE 2. PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF COPPER ELECTRODE [28]**

**TABLE 3. CONSTANT PARAMETERS FOR EDM**

| Parameter | ON time | Off Time | Voltage | Gap | Polarity | Dielectric |
|-----------|---------|----------|---------|-----|----------|------------|
| Value     | 60μs    | 4μs      | 110V    | 5   | Positive | Kerosene oil |

**FIG. 2. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP**

**SPECIMEN INSTALLATION IN EDM [29]**
the positive ions [30]. Therefore, positive polarity is provided to workpiece for high MRR. This material is removed in the form of debris by the dielectric liquid. But, if the gap between the electrodes is not sufficient, then some debris remained suspended between these electrodes, a bridge is formed and localized electric spark generates high thermal energy. Due to which extensive melting of the material occur that has more depth comparative to actual machined surface. The subsequence rapid cooling of the material generate residual stresses that causes cracking below the normal white layer. Therefore, proper flushing of the debris is suggested for higher MRR so that such defects could be avoided.

3.2 Material Removal Rate

The MRR has been determined for five discharge current levels. The MRR is found less for lower discharge current and more for high discharge current, Fig. 5. As high discharge current produces intense sparks that melts more surface material in the form of large crater size. The results are shown in Table 4 and presented in Fig. 5. These observations are in accordance with the qualitative analysis of MRR of tungsten carbide, Nickle based alloy and steel based alloy at different current values [24,28,31].

| Discharge Current (ampere) | MRR (mm$^3$/min) |
|---------------------------|-------------------|
| 3                         | 8.15              |
| 4.5                       | 11.60             |
| 6                         | 28.38             |
| 9                         | 62.66             |
| 12                        | 91.27             |

FIG. 4. EXTENSIVE MATERIAL MELTING BELOW THE WHITE LAYER

FIG. 5. MRR (MM$^3$/MIN) VS DISCHARGE CURRENT (AMPERES)
3.3 Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy

EDS spectrograms are obtained for 3A, 6A, 9A and 12A, which are shown in Figs. 6-9, as well as for globule and base material, shown in Figs. 10-11 respectively. The EDS analysis shows that Al, C and Cu are the major components of the white layer and their quantity is dependent on the discharge current as summarized in Table 5 and Fig. 12. It is found that the white layer is contaminated by Cu at lowest current only, where the inter-electrode gap is smallest due to which material transfer might have occurred. Whereas, Copper is the major alloying element of 2xxx aluminum alloys that has an amount up to 4%. The contamination of the white layer by the carbon contents is observed for all discharge current levels. Where the amount of carbon contents is inversely proportional to the discharge current. These carbon content are transferred from the kerosene oil that disintegrated at the very high discharge temperature upto 40,000 K [32-33]. At low discharge current, the white layer is comparatively thinner than that of high discharge current and at lower discharge current the machining time is large to remove equal quantity of the workpiece material than higher discharge current [28]. Therefore, the concentration of carbon contents is more for lower discharge current than the higher discharge current. Overall the total quantity of impurities is high at lower discharge current due to which the amount of aluminum element is smaller at the lower discharge current. The contamination of the EDMed surface by the transfer of copper electrode material was also observed by Guo, et. al. [34] for Fe-Mn-Al alloy. The EDS analysis of the globule that was formed at discharge current of 12A has shown that the amount of both copper and carbon is increased. But for the white layer formed at the same discharge current the amount of copper was not increased. Its reason could be attributed as the globules are formed by the vaporized material which might have jumped and went close to the tool electrode during machining and then fell down on the surface of the workpiece. Also the high vaporizing temperature also increases mobility of the globule particles due to which the contamination rate might have raised. Oxygen does not exist during machining in the kerosene oil but when material is exposed to open air, the machined surface is oxidized. The EDS of the base material has been presented for reference purpose and it is clearly observed that the amount of carbon, copper and aluminum elements are nearly equal to that of the white layer formed at high discharge current of 12A. This means that the white layer formed at high discharge current has little or no contamination of the impurities.

Overall the total quantity of impurities is high at lower discharge current due to which the amount of aluminum element is smaller at the lower discharge current. The contamination of the EDMed surface by the transfer of copper electrode material was also observed by Guo, et. al. [34] for Fe-Mn-Al alloy. The EDS analysis of the globule that was formed at discharge current of 12A has shown that the amount of both copper and carbon is increased. But for the white layer formed at the same discharge current the amount of copper was not increased. Its reason could be attributed as the globules are formed by the vaporized material which might have jumped and went close to the tool electrode during machining and then fell down on the surface of the workpiece. Also the high vaporizing temperature also increases mobility of the globule particles due to which the contamination rate might have raised. Oxygen does not exist during machining in the kerosene oil but when material is exposed to open air, the machined surface is oxidized. The EDS of the base material has been presented for reference purpose and it is clearly observed that the amount of carbon, copper and aluminum elements are nearly equal to that of the white layer formed at high discharge current of 12A. This means that the white layer formed at high discharge current has little or no contamination of the impurities.
### Table 1: Composition of White Layer at Different Currents

| Element | Weight (%) | Atomic (%) |
|---------|------------|------------|
| C K     | 19.55      | 43.69      |
| O K     | 5.62       | 7.93       |
| Mg K    | 0.42       | 0.39       |
| Al K    | 16.48      | 30.24      |
| Si K    | 0.17       | 0.14       |
| Ca K    | 0.28       | 0.16       |
| Mn K    | 1.66       | 0.68       |
| Cu K    | 13.66      | 4.85       |

### Figures

**Fig. 7. Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy of White Layer at 6A**

**Fig. 8. Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy of White Layer at 9A**

**Fig. 9. Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy of White Layer at 12A**
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TABLE 5. CONTENTS OF MAJOR ELEMENTS IN WHITE LAYER, GLOBULE AND BASE MATERIAL

| EDS  | Weight Copper (%) | Weight Carbon (%) | Weight Aluminum (%) | Weight Oxygen (%) |
|------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 3A   | 24.64             | 30.64             | 35.89               | 5.28              |
| 6A   | 3.23              | 26.64             | 56.32               | 11.48             |
| 9A   | 13.66             | 19.55             | 58.64               | 5.62              |
| 12A  | 2.19              | 16.48             | 73.35               | 5.53              |
| Globule | 19.45          | 25.73             | 36.98               | 17.84             |
| Base Material | 3.29       | 17.85             | 71.31               | 5.92              |

FIG. 10. ENERGY DISPERSIVE SPECTROSCOPY OF GLOBULE AT 12A

FIG. 11. ENERGY DISPERSIVE SPECTROSCOPY OF BASE-MATERIAL

FIG. 12. CONTENTS OF MAJOR ELEMENTS IN WHITE LAYER, GLOBULE AND BASE MATERIAL
4. CONCLUSION

The white layer is extensively contaminated by carbon content for all discharge currents and the amount of carbon contents is inversely proportional to the discharge current. The contamination of the copper contents only observed for 3 ampere discharge current level. The globules have relatively more contamination of the impurities than the white layer. The MRR is quantified for investigated discharge current levels and is found proportional to the discharge current for Al 2024T6, which is in agreement with the results of previous studies performed for other materials. The crater and globule sizes are the characteristic of the discharge current at which EDM is performed. At higher discharge currents the MRR increases, so proper flushing of removed debris from inter-electrode gap have been suggested so that the arcing phenomenon could be avoided. Otherwise severe arcing can cause high localized melting that results in generation of high residual stresses and subsequently severe cracking below the white layer.
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