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Improving Therapeutic Vaccination against Hepatitis B—Insights from Preclinical Models of Immune Therapy against Persistent Hepatitis B Virus Infection
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Abstract: Chronic hepatitis B affects more than 250 million individuals worldwide, putting them at risk of developing liver cirrhosis and liver cancer. While antiviral immune responses are key to eliminating hepatitis B virus (HBV) infections, insufficient antiviral immunity characterized by failure to eliminate HBV-infected hepatocytes is associated with chronic hepatitis B. Prophylactic vaccination against hepatitis B successfully established protective immunity against infection with the hepatitis B virus and has been instrumental in controlling hepatitis B. However, prophylactic vaccination schemes have not been successful in mounting protective immunity to eliminate HBV infections in patients with chronic hepatitis B. Here, we discuss the current knowledge on the development and efficacy of therapeutic vaccination strategies against chronic hepatitis B with particular emphasis on the pathogenetic understanding of dysfunctional anti-viral immunity. We explore the development of additional immune stimulation measures within tissues, in particular activation of immunogenic myeloid cell populations, and their use for combination with therapeutic vaccination strategies to improve the efficacy of therapeutic vaccination against chronic hepatitis B.
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1. The Challenge of Chronic Viral Hepatitis

Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) infection affects almost one-third of the world’s population, and in most cases, is cleared by host anti-viral immunity [1,2]. However, more than 250 million individuals suffer from chronic hepatitis B [1], which puts them in danger of developing liver cirrhosis and liver cancer. Dysfunctional anti-viral immunity is considered the cause of persistent viral infection with virus-specific effector immune cells lacking the capacity to eliminate HBV infected hepatocytes, which is characterized by failure to achieve seroconversion to anti-HBs and the establishment of broad and strong HBV-specific T cell response [2,3]. Nevertheless, liver damage during acute and chronic hepatitis B is caused by the host’s immune response against HBV [2,4]. This suggests that a delicate balance exists between mechanisms promoting persistent infection of hepatocytes with HBV and the host’s HBV-specific immune response. Along this line, spontaneous clearance of persistent HBV infection is observed in some patients with chronic hepatitis B [5], which supports the notion that persistent HBV infection and chronic hepatitis B may be therapeutically targeted by strengthening HBV-specific immunity.

Currently, however, efficient direct antiviral therapies using nucleoside inhibitors are used for treatment in patients with chronic hepatitis B, which inhibit HBV replication but fail to induce protective HBV-specific immunity. The main reason for direct antiviral
therapies to fail to achieve a cure from chronic hepatitis B, is the establishment of a persistent form in HBV-infected hepatocytes, the so-called covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA) that serves as an extrachromosomal template for viral replication [6,7]. Despite successful control of HBV replication by direct antiviral drugs, treatment interruption is accompanied by re-activating cccDNA and initiation of viral replication, leading again to chronic viral hepatitis. In contrast, chronic hepatitis C is successfully treated by direct acting antiviral agents [8], but this sensitivity towards antiviral therapy is based on the strict requirement of the hepatitis C virus, as an RNA virus, to continuously replicate [9]. This is not the case for HBV, which can persist via its cccDNA without replicating at all. However, HBV cccDNA is sensitive to the anti-viral activity of cytokines, such as interferons and lymphotoxin [10], but these mediators fail to eliminate all HBV cccDNA from infected hepatocytes for reasons that remain to be discovered [6,11,12]. Current direct antiviral treatment options were recently addressed in expert reviews [13–15].

The only way to achieve control of persistent HBV infection and cure patients from chronic hepatitis B is to eliminate HBV-infected hepatocytes or at least eradicate the HBV cccDNA pool from the liver. Thus, an urgent medical need exists to develop novel immune therapies to strengthen HBV-specific effector responses in order to cure patients with chronic hepatitis B from the virus. A successful therapeutic vaccination against HBV would also provide a cure from infection with the more pathogenic hepatitis delta virus, which requires HBV coinfection to replicate, and against which few therapeutic options exist [16]. Furthermore, therapeutic vaccinations could prevent the occurrence of the sincere sequelae of continuous immune-mediated liver damage during chronic viral hepatitis that can result in liver cirrhosis and liver cancer.

2. Immunopathogenesis of HBV Infection and Chronic Viral Hepatitis

Understanding the immunopathogenesis of chronic hepatitis B is key for a rationale development of novel immune-based therapies. HBV is a strictly hepatotropic virus that selective targets hepatocytes and selectively replicates within hepatocytes [2]. This strict hepatotropism of HBV is most likely one of the reasons why mounting of protective immunity poses particular challenges for the host’s immune response. Successful immunity against HBV infection is characterized by induction of a strong CD4 and CD8 T cell response, specific for many different viral epitopes and presence of effector CD8 T cells, as well as induction of B cell immunity against HBV that is characterized by neutralizing antibodies against HBV surface antigens [17–19]. In contrast, development of a persistent HBV infection is associated with a dysfunctional immune response against HBV [2,20]. Several factors have been associated with induction of persistent HBV infection (see Figure 1).
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**Figure 1.** Schematic illustration of factors locally influencing T cell function in the liver.
First, HBV infection fails to elicit strong innate immunity and inflammation, which is necessary for maturation of antigen-presenting cells to induce protective immunity and for virus-specific immune effector cell populations to selectively localize to the site of infection. Lacking pattern recognition and lacking induction of cell-intrinsic immunity by HBV has been recognized as a major obstacle in raising anti-viral immunity [21–24], since inflammation is required for functional maturation of antigen presenting cells to mount protective immunity [25]. Activation of pattern-recognition pathways and induction of an inflammatory environment is therefore likely to play an important role in the generation of strong antiviral immunity in the liver.

Second, the restriction of HBV replication and gene expression to hepatocytes requires cells that use endocytosis for antigen acquisition and present HBV antigens on MHC molecules to virus-specific T cells. While antigen-uptake via receptor-mediated endocytosis is well established for induction of MHC-II restricted CD4 T cell immunity, presentation of endocytosed antigens on MHC-I molecules to CD8 T cells requires special competence of the antigen-presenting cell for a process called cross-presentation [26]. Thus, only certain professional antigen-presenting cells, such as functionally matured monocytes, can execute this cross-presentation of antigens released from virus-infected hepatocytes [27]. Furthermore, a complex interaction between different immune cell populations in distinct micro-anatomic niches within lymphoid tissues is required to generate antigen-specific CD8 T cells through cross-presenting dendritic cells [28]. Overall, this is believed to cause a failure to properly prime HBV-specific immunity, which then results in a dysfunctional HBV-specific immune response.

Third, the liver microenvironment is known for its tolerogenic function and contributes to down-tuning of effector T cell responses in the liver [29]. Liver-resident tolerogenic antigen presenting cells, such as liver dendritic cells and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs), render CD4 and CD8 T cells dysfunctional, thereby attenuating anti-viral T cell immunity locally in the liver [30–33]. Antigen-presentation by hepatocytes themselves lead to clonal elimination of antigen-specific T cells and may thereby contribute to the attrition of T cell responses [34,35]. Hepatic stellate cells engage in veto function preventing local activation of specific T cells through professional antigen-presenting cells in the liver, and liver macrophages may further contribute to development of T cell dysfunction [36,37].

Fourth, regulatory immune cell populations in the liver such as regulatory T cells, but also myeloid-cell derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) are present in the liver microenvironment and contribute to local inhibition of T cell immunity [38–41]. Fifth, the liver micromilieu is particularly rich in regulatory mediators, such as IL-10 or TGF-β, derived from local immune cell populations in the liver, such as Kupffer cells, dendritic cells, or hepatic stellate cells, and may contribute to local skewing of virus-specific immune effector functions [42–44].

Fifth, the continuous exposure to antigen appears to be a key driver of T cell dysfunction. For experimental viral infections, such as lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus infection, the mechanisms mediating this dysfunction of virus-specific T cells have been described as a state of exhaustion that is determined by the exhaustion promoting transcription factor TOX [45–48]. In chronic hepatitis B, virus-specific T cells are also dysfunctional, but the mechanisms determining their dysfunction remain to be discovered. Recently it was found that HBV-specific T cells in chronic hepatitis suffer from metabolic disturbances that can affect their effector functions [49,50]. Thus, cell-intrinsic regulation of effector function of virus-specific T cells may also contribute to the lack of immune control of HBV infection.

Thus, a large number of immune inhibitory mechanisms operate locally in the liver to control immune effector cell functions and will have to be taken into account when developing novel immune therapies that aim to increase immune effector functions in the liver. Moreover, target cell killing in the liver also seems to be subject to regulation by target cells themselves. Expression of antigens at low levels on MHC-I molecules by hepatocytes and a lack of MHC-II on hepatocytes unless there is significant inflammation
protects them from effector cell killing [51], and may thus establish a further level of T cell
dysfunction in the liver. Finally, continuous exposure towards antigens expressed in the
liver for prolonged periods of time is associated with development of immune tolerance,
which includes generation of regulatory immune cell populations [52]. Taken together,
numerous mechanisms impede generation as well as execution of virus-specific effector T
cells.

Beyond alterations in T cell immunity, there are also contributions of HBV itself to
persistence of infection. As already mentioned, the establishment of the extrachromosomal
form, the covalently, closed circular HBV DNA is associated with viral per-
sistence. HBV cccDNA is extraordinarily stable and may serve as template for viral gene
expression and initiate a virus rebound even long time after the active HBV replication has
ceased [6]. It remains an open question whether a shut-down of HBV gene expression upon
cytokine exposure may help infected hepatocytes to escape from killing by virus-specific
effector T cells [53]. Presentation of antigens on MHC I molecules is typically related to
ongoing gene expression and processing of defective ribosomal products for presentation
on MCH-I molecules [54,55], so the consequences of stalling HBV gene expression for sub-
sequent recognition by virus-specific effector T cells remains unclear. Furthermore, under
immune pressure HBsAg-escape mutations develop, that can contribute to the failure of
immune control against HBV infection even after vaccination [56]. Since depletion of B
cells by anti-CD20 therapy leads to reactivation of HBV infection [57], continuous virus
control by virus-specific B cells appears to be an important part of immune control of
HBV infection. However, recent studies identified broadly neutralizing antibodies that can
overcome these escape mutants and provide protection [58].

On the other hand, there is a large amount of viral antigens expressed in hepatocytes
upon active viral replication. Recent studies indicate that expression of these viral antigens
in the liver rather that secretion of viral antigens and presentation on non-hepatic antigen
presenting cells induces antigen-specific immune tolerance [59,60].

Finally, mutated viral proteins may contribute to a viral immune escape if T cell
recognition of infected hepatocytes is impaired. Although HBV is a DNA virus, it replicates
via reverse transcription allowing mutations in the viral genome. Due to the very compact
viral genome with largely overlapping open reading frames, however, most of the resulting
variants are defective and immune escape variants remain rare.

Thus, a combination of factors influences the immune response to infection with HBV,
generation of virus-specific effector T cells and elimination of HBV-infected hepatocytes. It
is worth noting that clearance of HBV in a natural host, i.e., chimpanzees, requires several
months [61,62], which is clearly distinct from the immune response to other viruses like
influenza targeting lung tissue where rapid immune responses are observed [65]. This
requirement for a prolonged time period to clear infected hepatocytes from the liver not
only after HBV, but also after HAV or HCV infection points towards particular obstacles
that have to be overcome by the host’s immune response, to mount virus-specific immunity
and eliminate virus-infected hepatocytes.

3. Strategies for Therapeutic Vaccination against Chronic Hepatitis B

Different approaches have been used to establish a therapeutic vaccination against
chronic hepatitis B. These were most often based on novel insights into the immunopatho-
genesis of HBV infection and novel technologies to improve the strengths of virus-specific
immunity. However, one of the major problems in developing immune therapies against
chronic hepatitis B is the lack of a suitable animal model that faithfully reflects all features
of HBV infection in humans [64]. Human HBV shows strict species restriction. Only chim-
panzees are susceptible for HBV infection, and important discoveries were made on HBV
infectiousness and anti-viral immune responses in this model [4,62,65], before research
was stopped for ethical reasons. While infection models exist for individual animal species
with their particular hepatitis B viruses, such as, e.g., the duck and duck hepatitis B virus
(DHBV), the woodchuck and woodchuck hepatitis B virus (WHBV), these models are
restricted by important differences between the viruses and human HBV, with antigen being non-compatible as well as marked differences in immune responses and a lack of tools to study virus-specific immunity. Mice, as preferred preclinical animal models to study immune pathogenesis, are also employed for the study of HBV pathogenesis. However, to deliver HBV into hepatocytes in a species were infection is not possible, different strategies have been developed: first, genetic manipulation (transgenic mice expressing the HBV genome); second, hydrodynamic injection of HBV genomes or third, viral carriers for delivery of HBV genomes into hepatocytes [66,67]. Thus, most of our knowledge on the immunopathogenesis in persistent HBV infection and experimental approaches targeting particular immune mechanisms to control persistent infection have been generated in non-optimal models of HBV infection.

Numerous clinical trials have been performed in patients with chronic hepatitis B to explore the importance of particular concepts how to re-install protective immunity once persistent HBV infection had established [68–70]. In prophylactic vaccines, an emphasis is on the induction of immunity against the surface antigens of HBV in order to elicit neutralizing anti-HBs antibodies and prevent infection. The induction of HBs-specific CD8 T cells that target and eliminate HBsAg-expressing infected hepatocytes is less important. In contrast, in therapeutic vaccination also other viral antigens, in particular, HBcore antigen and the viral polymerase are targeted to increase the breadth of the virus-specific effector T cell response and a focus is on the induction of potent CD4 and CD8 T cell responses. In general, all strategies for developing therapeutic vaccination against chronic hepatitis B included a lowering of viral replication.

In the following, we will review the different strategies used for development of therapeutic vaccination for chronic hepatitis B and their outcome.

4. Strengthening the Immunogenicity of Vaccination against Chronic Hepatitis B

The conceptual idea behind the strategy for therapeutic vaccination lies in the assumption that a defective induction of HBV-specific B and T cell immunity is responsible for the lack of virus clearance [69,71–73]. Numerous approaches have been taken to increase the immunogenicity of vaccines against chronic hepatitis B, and thereby mount strong virus-specific immunity against the surface, nucleocapsid, or polymerase antigens of HBV that should then control HBV infection by induction of virus-specific neutralizing antibodies and elimination of virus-infected hepatocytes through effector T cells. The first attempts to establish therapeutic vaccination against chronic hepatitis B in patients were undertaken by increasing the number of administrations of vaccines, which were originally developed for use as prophylactic vaccines and, therefore, targeted HBsAg. Most vaccines contain alum as adjuvant, which has been shown to involve induction of innate immunity through still rather ill-defined pathways [74] and induces a strong Th2 bias. In an attempt to increase immunogenicity, prophylactic vaccines were injected at different sites and in particular intradermally, because local intradermal activation of immune responses is considered to be superior [75]. In addition, T cell-targeted vaccines or combinations of HBsAg and HBcAg as immunogens were investigated for their efficacy of therapeutic vaccination [76–78]. However, all these approaches failed to achieve a cure in patients with chronic hepatitis B [59,70,71].

The key for the success of prophylactic recombinant vaccines is the use of adjuvants [74] that are the basis for providing signal 3 to antigen presenting cells and induction of local inflammation and, therefore, properly prime T cell immunity. Hereby alum, by inducing a strong Th2 bias, prevents the induction of effector T cell responses. Using other adjuvants, in combination with particulate HBV antigens, have shown promising results at least in preclinical models [79]. The discovery of ligands for immune sensory molecules, such as ligands for TLR7, TLR8, TLR9, and cyclic-di-AMP as a ligand for the cGAS/STING pathway, as well as ligands for the cytosolic RNA-recognition receptor RIG-I or MDA-5, triggered substantial interest in their therapeutic use for chronic hepatitis B. Adjuvants serve the purpose of triggering inflammation and, more specifically, functional maturation
of dendritic cells, thereby increasing the strength of the immune response against recombi-
nant antigens. For instance, TLR9 is expressed on professional antigen-presenting B and
dendritic cells, and ligands of TLR9 used as an adjuvant may therefore have a positive
effect on the immunogenicity against antigens included in a vaccine [74,80]. Recently,
a new prophylactic vaccine against hepatitis B was brought to the market that includes
a TLR9-ligand as adjuvant showing superiority to alum-based vaccines [81]. It will be
interesting to see whether it will show efficacy in a therapeutic setting against chronic
hepatitis B.

Given the constant exposure of the persistently infected host to HBV antigens, in
particular high levels of circulating HBsAg, it was reasoned that application of adjuvants
might suffice to trigger HBV-specific immunity [74]. Along this line, oral delivery of TLR-
ligands, considered to lead through the portal venous drainage of the gut to delivery of
TLR-ligands to the liver, was evaluated as a treatment option for chronic hepatitis B [82,83].
Moreover, ligands for cytosolic immune sensory receptors, such as for the helicase RIG-I,
were shown to be effective in controlling experimental HBV infection [84–86]. In clinical
trials, neither control of HBV nor cure from chronic hepatitis B has been achieved using
TLR agonists so far, indicating that the application of a TLR agonist may not result in
induction of HBV-specific immunity, and triggering innate immunity and inflammation
alone may not be sufficient to overcome immune tolerance and achieve control of chronic
hepatitis B. However, alternative pattern-recognition receptor agonists triggering TLR8,
Rig-I, or STING are currently evaluated in clinical trials; it will be interesting to see the
outcome.

The choice of the immunogen in a vaccine is also of key importance. Whereas prophy-
lactic vaccines only need to elicit neutralizing antibodies directed against the HBV envelop
proteins, therapeutic vaccines most likely need to induce a broad T cell response and, thus,
should include other HBV antigens, such as HBV core and polymerase [70]. An interesting
approach identified the HBV X protein as a valuable target for vaccinations using a preclin-
ical model of persistent HBV infection [87]. The HBV X protein is expressed at much lower
levels than other viral proteins and its low abundance in the infected liver may provide a
better target for a vaccination, since high antigen expression levels of model viruses are
often associated with development of T cell exhaustion [88]. However, hepatocytes with
their low-level MHC-I expression may also fail to present any peptide from this small X
protein.

A further approach to increase immunogenicity of vaccines in the setting of chronic
hepatitis B is the development of heterologous prime-boost vaccination strategies [70]. The
combinations of adjuvanted protein-based vaccines, DNA vaccination, and vector-based
immunizations have been tested in various preclinical models of persistent HBV infections,
and have yielded promising results [89–91]. Conceptually, development of vaccines using
viral vectors to deliver HBV antigens and to elicit strong anti-viral immunity provides an
interesting approach for development of a therapeutic vaccine. Viral vectors employed for
this purpose include adenoviral vectors (mostly non-human adenoviral vectors e.g., from
chimpanzee), yellow fever virus vectors, and modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA)-based
vectors [89,91,92]. The combination of a protein prime followed by an MVA-boost, referred
to as TherVacB, has proven to be very successful in different preclinical models of persistent
HBV infection [59,91,93], making it an excellent candidate for a therapeutic vaccination
strategy to cure HBV. A key advantage of heterologous prime-boost vaccination is the
induction of both, CD8 and CD4 T cell responses. Since CD4 T cells are instrumental for
overcoming experimental chronic infection and have been shown to be associated with
clearance of chronic hepatitis B in patients [18,94], the concomitant induction of anti-viral
CD8 and CD4 T cell immunity may be critical for vaccine efficacy. Different combinations
of prime and boost vaccinations are currently tested in clinical trials for efficacy in overcoming
HBV-specific immune tolerance and control of chronic hepatitis B (Table 1).
Table 1. List of current clinical trials investigating heterologous prime boost therapeutic vaccines against chronic hepatitis B.

| Vaccine Candidates | Components | Stage          | Reference                  |
|--------------------|------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| GSK3528869A        | ChAd155-hIi-HBV HBc-HBs/AS01B-4 MVA-HBV | Phase 1        | NCT03866187                |
| VTP-300            | ChAdOx1-HBV MVA-HBV Nivolumab | Phase 1/2     | NCT04778904                |
| TherVacB           | HBs and HBcore antigen MVA-HBV | Phase 1 (in prep) | Available online: [here](https://www.thervacb.eu/) (accessed on 5 October 2021) |

The necessity for induction of potent HBV-specific immunity to overcome HBV-specific tolerance in the setting of chronic hepatitis B [2,95] may be best addressed by heterologous vaccination strategies. Such heterologous prime-boost vaccination strategies have proven beneficial for increasing immunity in other viral infection, such as SARS-CoV-2 [96,97]. The ongoing clinical trials will provide us with important information on the potency of heterologous prime-boost therapeutic vaccination in patients with chronic hepatitis B.

5. Local Support for T Cell Immunity in the Liver to Increase Efficacy of Therapeutic Vaccination

The liver has unique functions of as tolerogenic organ [2,29,71,98], and may curtail the effector function of T cells generated by a therapeutic vaccination, once they recognize their antigen in the liver. Such a threat of reducing the efficiency of therapeutic vaccination might not be possible to address by increasing the immunogenicity of therapeutic vaccination, but may require additional measures to enable effector T cells locally in the liver to control viral replication and to eliminate virus-infected cells. Three different approaches have surfaced over the last years that have the potential to increase the efficacy of therapeutic vaccination.

Combination of therapeutic vaccination with inhibition of co-inhibitory receptor signaling in T cells may be an option to increase efficacy of vaccination. Expression of PD1 was shown to be increased in virus-specific T cells during persistent infection with different viruses and blockade of PD-1 was shown to increase the effector function of HBV-specific T cells from patients with chronic hepatitis B or in preclinical models [99–103]. However, anti-PD-1 treatment of patients with chronic hepatitis B and hepatocellular carcinoma did not reveal an effect of checkpoint inhibition on restoration of HBV-specific immunity and consequent reduction in viral replication [104]. Notwithstanding this lack of an immunity-restoring effect of anti-PD-1 therapy, the combination of therapeutic vaccination with checkpoint inhibition may be beneficial to overcome the local tolerogenic microenvironment of the liver, where high expression levels of PD-L1 are observed [33,105]. Currently, one clinical trial explores the potential of an anti-PD-1 antibody in the context of therapeutic vaccination in chronic hepatitis B patients (Table 1).

High-level antigen expression has been identified as a key factor in reducing the efficacy of effector T cell responses [88,106] and has been suspected to play a role in attenuating HBV-specific immunity during chronic infection [95,106,107]. Recently, we have demonstrated that reduction of HBV-replication and gene expression through an siRNA or shRNA approach before therapeutic vaccination in two different models of persistent HBV infection in mice increased the efficacy of therapeutic vaccination, to eliminate HBV-expressing hepatocytes and achieve control of persistent infection [59]. Of note, neither induction of neutralizing antibodies reducing circulating HBsAg levels nor siRNA/shRNA-mediated knockdown of HBV gene expression alone was able to restore HBV-specific immunity [59]. This strengthens the notion that local inhibition of T cell...
effector function in the liver adds a separate hurdle to T cells generated by therapeutic vaccination to achieve control over persistent infection.

Although the liver is known for its tolerogenic function and has the capacity to curtail T cell effector functions, strong immunity can be built in the liver against pathogens, which seems to be strongly linked to the composition of myeloid cells in the liver [108]. In particular, replacement of tolerogenic liver macrophages (Kupffer cells), through pro-inflammatory monocytes, is correlated to the induction of immunity in the liver [109]. Recently, a distinct population of Kupffer cells was identified that is capable of cross-presenting hepatocyte-derived antigens to CD8 T cells upon stimulation by IL-2 and, thereby, increase HBV-specific immunity against infected hepatocytes [110].

Importantly, the accumulation of inflammatory monocytes in the liver as a consequence of TLR-induced inflammation leads to a massive expansion of T cells in the liver within dedicated anatomic niches termed iMATEs (intrahepatic myeloid cell aggregates associated with T cell expansion) [111]. The T cells expanding within iMATEs have potent effector potential and are capable of rapidly eliminating virus-infected hepatocytes [111]. Such TLR-induced and myeloid cell-mediated increase in effector T cell numbers in the liver also triggers elimination of hepatocytes expressing transgenes and establishes memory responses [112]. Recently, we have combined therapeutic vaccination and iMATE-induction in a model of persistent HBV infection in mice. The combination of heterologous prime-boost vaccination (HBV antigen prime vaccination followed by MVA-HBV boost vaccination) with iMATE induction leads to increased numbers of HBV-specific effector T cells in the liver [113]. Furthermore, it also improves the efficacy of therapeutic vaccination to eliminate HBV-expressing hepatocytes from the liver and clearing persistent infection [113]. This demonstrates a synergistic activity of therapeutic vaccination followed by local amplification of T cell immunity in the liver (see Figure 2). High numbers of HBV-expressing hepatocytes limit the efficacy of the heterologous prime-boost therapeutic vaccination [91]. The ability of the combination of therapeutic vaccination with iMATE-induced T cell expansion in the liver to control infection, higher levels of HBV infection than that controlled by therapeutic vaccination alone, further strengthens the notion that therapeutic vaccination to generate high numbers of virus-specific effector T cells, presumably in secondary lymphoid tissues and local expansion of T cells in the liver, are two separate mechanisms that synergize to increase the efficacy of therapeutic vaccination against virus-infected hepatocytes in the liver.

In summary, heterologous prime-boost vaccination strategies employ synergistic principles to increase the efficacy of vaccinations against chronic viral infections. Opportunities for a further increase in vaccine efficacy may lay in the combination of local amplification of vaccine-induced immune responses, such as the above-mentioned boosting of vaccine-induced T cell immunity by increasing the strength of T cell immunity locally in the liver. Furthermore, improvement of hepatic targeting and delivery strategies for molecules boosting T cell immunity in the liver may provide further benefits for overcoming immune tolerance during chronic inflammation.

![Figure 2. Cont.](image-url)
Figure 2. Virus-specific T cells generated by therapeutic vaccination in lymphoid tissues recognize virus-infected hepatocytes and eliminate infection if the numbers of hepatocytes are limited (upper panel), whereas therapeutic vaccination fails to control viral infection if the numbers of hepatocytes are too high (middle panel). Combination of induction of virus-specific T cells in lymphoid tissue through therapeutic vaccination and local expansion of T cells in the liver acts synergistically to achieve control of infection (bottom panel).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, P.A.K. and U.P.; writing—original draft preparation, P.A.K.; writing—review and editing, L.-R.H., A.K., D.W. and U.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG), grant number 272983813-TRR179.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. MacLachlan, J.H.; Cowie, B.C. Hepatitis B virus epidemiology. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med. 2015, 5, a021410. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Protzer, U.; Maini, M.K.; Knolle, P.A. Living in the liver: Hepatic infections. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2012, 12, 201–213. [CrossRef]
3. Knolle, P.A.; Thimme, R. Hepatic immune regulation and its involvement in viral hepatitis infection. Gastroenterology 2014, 146, 1193–1207. [CrossRef]
4. Thimme, R.; Wieland, S.; Steiger, C.; Ghrayeb, J.; Reimann, K.A.; Purcell, R.H.; Chisari, F.V. CD8(+) T cells mediate viral clearance and disease pathogenesis during acute hepatitis B virus infection. J. Virol. 2003, 77, 68–76. [CrossRef]
5. Liu, J.; Yang, H.L.; Lee, M.H.; Lu, S.N.; Jen, C.L.; Wang, L.Y.; You, S.L.; Iloeje, U.H.; Chen, C.J.; Group, R.-H.S. Incidence and determinants of spontaneous hepatitis B surface antigen seroclearance: A community-based follow-up study. *Gastroenterology* 2010, 139, 474–482. [CrossRef]

6. Nassal, M. HBV cccDNA: Viral persistence reservoir and key obstacle for a cure of chronic hepatitis B. *Gut* 2015, 64, 1972–1984. [CrossRef]

7. Wei, L.; Ploss, A. Mechanism of Hepatitis B Virus cccDNA Formation. *Viruses* 2021, 13, 1463. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

8. Pawlotsky, J.; Negro, F.; Aghemo, A.; Berenguer, M.; Dalgard, O.; Dusheiko, G.; Marra, F.; Puoti, M.; Wedemeyer, H. EASL recommendations on treatment of hepatitis C: Final update of the series. *J. Hepatol.* 2020, 73, 1170–1218. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

9. Lindenbach, B.D.; Rice, C.M. Unravelling hepatitis C virus replication from genome to function. *Nature* 2005, 436, 933–938. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

10. Lucifora, J.; Yaia, R.; Reisinger, F.; Zhang, K.; Stadler, D.; Cheng, X.; Sprinzl, M.; Koppensteiner, H.; Makowska, Z.; Volz, T.; et al. Specific and nonhepatotoxic degradation of nuclear hepatitis B virus cccDNA. *Science* 2014, 343, 1221–1228. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

11. Allweiss, L.; Dandri, M. The Role of cccDNA in HBV Maintenance. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 2017, 9, 156. [CrossRef]

12. Lucifora, J.; Protzer, U. Attacking hepatitis B virus cccDNA—The holy grail to hepatitis B cure. *J. Hepatol.* 2016, 64, 541–548. [CrossRef]

13. Tosounis, E.P.; Tourkokristou, E.; Mouzaki, A.; Triantos, C. Toward a new era of hepatitis B virus therapeutics: The pursuit of a functional cure. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 2021, 27, 2727–2757. [CrossRef]

14. Martinez, M.G.; Villerer, F.; Testoni, B.; Zoulif, F. Can we cure hepatitis B virus with novel direct-acting antivirals? *Liver Int.* 2020, 40 (Suppl. 1), 27–34. [CrossRef]

15. Naggie, S.; Lok, A.S. New Therapeutics for Hepatitis B: The Road to Cure. *Annu. Rev. Med.* 2021, 72, 93–105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Urban, S.; Neumann-Haefelin, C.; Lampertico, P. Hepatitis D virus in 2021: Virology, immunology and new treatment approaches for a difficult-to-treat disease. *Gut* 2021, 70, 1782–1794. [CrossRef]

17. Rehermann, B.; Nascimbeni, M. Immunology of hepatitis B virus and hepatitis C virus infection. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2005, 5, 215–229. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

18. Wang, H.; Luo, H.; Wan, X.; Fu, X.; Mao, Q.; Xiang, X.; Zhou, Y.; He, W.; Zhang, J.; Guo, Y.; et al. TNF-alpha/IFN-gamma profile correlates with reduced capacity to activate allogeneic T cells in response to endotoxin. *J. Hepatol.* 2020, 72, 45–56. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

19. Gill, U.S.; McCarthy, N.E. CD4 T cells in hepatitis B virus: "You don't have to be cytotoxic to work here and help". *J. Virol.* 2009, 83, 66–75. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. Kurts, C.; Robinson, B.W.; Knolle, P.A. Cross-priming in health and disease. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2010, 10, 403–414. [CrossRef]

21. Wieland, S.F.; Chisari, F.V. Stealth and cunning: Hepatitis B and hepatitis C viruses. *Annu. Rev. Med.* 2000, 51, 112–118. [CrossRef]

22. Guidotti, L.G.; Isogawa, M.; Chisari, F.V. Host-virus interactions in hepatitis B virus infection. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 2015, 36, 61–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Hosel, M.; Quasdorff, M.; Wiegmann, K.; Webb, D.; Zedler, U.; Broxtermann, M.; Tedjokusumo, R.; Esser, K.; Arzberger, S.; Kirschning, C.J.; et al. Not interferon, but interleukin-6 controls early gene expression in hepatitis B virus infection. *Hepatology* 2009, 50, 1773–1782. [CrossRef]

24. Cheng, X.; Xia, Y.; Serti, E.; Block, P.D.; Chung, M.; Chayama, K.; Rehermann, B.; Liang, T.J. Hepatitis B virus evades innate immunity of hepatocytes but activates cytokine production by macrophages. *Hepatology* 2017, 66, 1779–1793. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Shortman, K.; Naik, S.H. Steady-state and inflammatory dendritic-cell development. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2007, 7, 19–30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Kurts, C.; Robinson, B.W.; Knolle, P.A. Cross-priming in health and disease. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2010, 10, 403–414. [CrossRef]

27. Gehring, A.J.; Haniffa, M.; Kennedy, P.T.; Ho, Z.Z.; Boni, C.; Shin, A.; Banu, N.; Chia, A.; Lim, S.G.; Ferrari, C.; et al. Mobilizing monocytes to cross-present circulating viral antigen in chronic infection. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2013, 123, 3766–3776. [CrossRef]

28. Eckloff, S.; Brewitz, A.; Gerner, M.Y.; Klauschen, F.; Komander, K.; Hemmi, H.; Garbi, N.; Kaiso, T.; Germain, R.N.; Kastenmuller, W. Robust Anti-viral Immunity Requires Multiple Distinct T Cell-Dendritic Cell Interactions. *Cell* 2015, 162, 1322–1337. [CrossRef]

29. Thomson, A.W.; Knolle, P.A. Antigen-presenting cell function in the tolerogenic liver environment. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2010, 10, 753–766. [CrossRef]

30. De Creus, A.; Abe, M.; Lau, A.H.; Hackstein, H.; Raimondi, G.; Thomson, A.W. Low TLR4 expression by liver dendritic cells correlates with reduced capacity to activate allogeneic T cells in response to endotoxin. *J. Immunol.* 2005, 174, 2037–2045. [CrossRef]

31. Carambia, A.; Frenzel, C.; Bruns, O.T.; Schwinge, D.; Reimer, R.; Hohenberg, H.; Huber, S.; Tieg, G.; Schramm, C.; Lobase, A.W.; et al. Inhibition of inflammatory CD4 T cell activity by murine liver sinusoidal endothelial cells. *J. Hepatol.* 2013, 58, 112–118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Limmer, A.; Ohl, J.; Kurts, C.; Ljunggren, H.G.; Reiss, Y.; Groettrup, M.; Momburg, F.; Arnold, B.; Knolle, P.A. Efficient presentation of exogenous antigen by liver endothelial cells to CD8+ T cells results in antigen-specific T-cell tolerance. *Nat. Med.* 2000, 6, 1348–1354. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Diehl, L.; Schurich, A.; Grochtmann, R.; Hegenbarth, S.; Chen, L.; Knolle, P.A. Tolerogenic maturation of liver sinusoidal endothelial cells promotes B7-homolog 1-dependent CD8+ T cell tolerance. *Hepatology* 2008, 47, 296–305. [CrossRef]
34. Bowen, D.G.; Zen, M.; Holz, L.; Davis, T.; McCaughan, G.W.; Bertolino, P. The site of primary T cell activation is a determinant of the balance between intrahepatic tolerance and immunity. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2004, 114, 701–712. [CrossRef]

35. Holz, L.E.; Benseler, V.; Bowen, D.G.; Bouillet, P.; Strasser, A.; O’Reilly, L.; d’Avigdor, W.M.; Bishop, A.G.; McCaughan, G.W.; Bertolino, P. Intrahepatic murine CD8 T-cell activation associates with a distinct phenotype leading to Bim-dependent death. *Gastroenterology* 2008, 135, 989–997. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Schildberg, F.A.; Wojtalla, A.; Siegmund, S.V.; Endl, E.; Diehl, L.; Abdullah, Z.; Kurts, C.; Knolle, P.A. Murine hepatic stellate cells veto CD8 T cell activation by a CD84-dependent mechanism. *Hepatology* 2011, 54, 262–272. [CrossRef]

37. You, Q.; Cheng, L.; Kedl, R.M.; Ju, C. Mechanism of T cell tolerance induction by murine hepatic Kupffer cells. *Hepatology* 2008, 48, 978–990. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. Luth, S.; Huber, S.; Schramm, C.; Buch, T.; Zander, S.; Stadelmann, C.; Bruck, W.; Wraith, D.C.; Herkel, J.; Lohse, A.W. Ectopic expression of neural autoantigen in mouse liver suppresses experimental autoimmune neuroinflammation by inducing antigen-specific Tregs. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2008, 118, 3403–3410. [CrossRef]

39. Pallett, L.J.; Gill, U.S.; Quaglia, A.; Sinclair, L.V.; Jover-Cobos, M.; Schurich, A.; Singh, K.P.; Thomas, N.; Das, A.; Chen, A.; et al. Metabolic regulation of hepatitis B immunopathology by myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *Nat. Med.* 2015, 21, 591–600. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

40. Bissell, D.M.; Wang, S.S.; Jarnagin, W.R.; Roll, F.J. Cell-specific expression of transforming growth factor-beta in rat liver. Evidence for autocrine regulation of hepatocyte proliferation. *J. Clin. Invest.* 1995, 96, 447–455. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

41. Stross, L.; Gunther, J.; Gasteiger, G.; Asen, T.; Graf, S.; Aichler, M.; Esposito, I.; Busch, D.H.; Knolle, P.; Sparwasser, T.; et al. Foxp3+ regulatory T cells protect the liver from immune damage and compromise virus control during acute experimental hepatitis B virus infection in mice. *Hepatology* 2012, 56, 873–883. [CrossRef]

42. Knolle, P.A.; Ührig, A.; Protzer, U.; Tripppler, M.; Dachmann, R.; Meyer zum Buschenfelde, K.H.; Gerken, G. Interleukin-10 expression is autoregulated at the transcriptional level in human and murine Kupffer cells. *Hepatology* 1998, 27, 93–99. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

43. Fisicaro, P.; Barili, V.; Montanini, B.; Acerbi, G.; Ferracin, M.; Guerrieri, F.; Salerno, D.; Boni, C.; Massari, M.; Cavallo, M.C.; et al. Regulatory myeloid cells paralyze T cells through cell–cell transfer of the metabolite methylglyoxal. *Nat. Immunol.* 2020, 21, 555–566. [CrossRef]

44. Carambia, A.; Freund, B.; Schwinge, D.; Heine, M.; Laschtowitz, A.; Huber, S.; Wraith, D.C.; Korn, T.; Schramm, C.; Lohse, A.W.; et al. Targeting mitochondrial dysfunction can restore antiviral activity of exhausted HBV-specific CD8 T cells in chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 2018, 68, 2089–2105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Wherry, E.J. T cell exhaustion. *Nat. Immunol.* 2011, 12, 492–499. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

46. Alfei, F.; Kanev, K.; Hofmann, M.; Wu, M.; Ghoneim, H.E.; Roelli, P.; Utschneider, D.T.; von Hosslin, M.; Cullen, J.G.; Fan, Y.; et al. TOX reinforces the phenotype and longevity of exhausted T cells in chronic viral infection. *Nature* 2019, 571, 265–269. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

47. Khan, O.; Giles, J.R.; McDonald, S.; Manne, S.; Ngiow, S.F.; Patel, K.P.; Werner, M.T.; Huang, A.C.; Alexander, K.A.; Wu, J.E.; et al. TOX transcriptionally and epigenetically programs CD8+ T cell exhaustion. *Nature* 2019, 571, 211–218. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

48. Utschneider, D.T.; Legat, A.; Fuertes Marraco, S.A.; Carrie, L.; Luescher, I.; Speiser, D.E.; Zehn, D. T cells maintain an exhausted phenotype after antigen withdrawal and population reexpansion. *Nat. Immunol.* 2013, 14, 603–610. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

49. Fischer, P.; Barili, V.; Montanini, B.; Acerbi, G.; Ferracin, M.; Guerrieri, F.; Salerno, D.; Boni, C.; Massari, M.; Cavallo, M.C.; et al. Targeting mitochondrial dysfunction can restore antiviral activity of exhausted HBV-specific CD8 T cells in chronic hepatitis B. *Nat. Med.* 2017, 23, 327–336. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

50. Boni, C.; Barili, V.; Acerbi, G.; Rossi, M.; Vecchi, A.; Laccabue, D.; Penna, A.; Missale, G.; Ferrari, C.; Fisicaro, P. HBV Immune-Therapy: From Molecular Mechanisms to Clinical Applications. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 2754. [CrossRef]

51. Manske, K.; Kallin, N.; Konig, V.; Schneider, A.; Kurz, S.; Bosch, M.; Welz, M.; Cheng, R.L.; Bengsch, B.; Steiger, K.; et al. Outcome of Antiviral Immunity in the Liver Is Shaped by the Level of Antigen Expressed in Infected Hepatocytes. *Hepatology* 2018, 68, 2089–2105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

52. Mingozzi, F.; Liu, Y.L.; Dobrzynski, E.; Kauffhold, A.; Liu, J.H.; Wang, Y.; Arruda, V.R.; High, K.A.; Herzog, R.W. Induction of immune tolerance to coagulation factor IX antigen by in vivo hepatic gene transfer. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2003, 111, 1347–1356. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Xia, Y.; Protzer, U. Control of Hepatitis B Virus by Cytokines. *Viruses* 2017, 9, 18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Yewdell, J.W.; Reits, E.; Neefjes, J. Making sense of mass destruction: Quantitating MHC class I antigen presentation. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2003, 3, 952–961. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

55. Anton, L.C.; Yewdell, J.W. Translating DRiPs: MHC class I immunosurveillance of pathogens and tumors. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 2014, 95, 551–562. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

56. Carman, W.F.; Zanetti, A.R.; Karayiannis, P.; Waters, J.; Manzillo, G.; Tanzi, E.; Zuckerman, A.J.; Thomas, H.C. Vaccine-induced escape mutant of hepatitis B virus. *Lancet* 1990, 336, 325–329. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

57. Loomba, R.; Liang, T.J. Hepatitis B Reactivation Associated With Immune Suppressivie and Biological Modifier Therapies: Current Concepts, Management Strategies, and Future Directions. *Gastroenterology* 2017, 152, 1297–1309. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
84. Ebert, G.; Poeck, H.; Lucifora, J.; Baschuk, N.; Esser, K.; Esposito, I.; Hartmann, G.; Protzer, U. 5′ Triphosphorylated small interfering RNAs control replication of hepatitis B virus and induce an interferon response in human liver cells and mice. *Gastroenterology* 2011, 141, 696–706, 706.e1-3. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

85. Sato, S.; Li, K.; Kameyama, T.; Hayashi, T.; Ishida, Y.; Murakami, S.; Watanabe, T.; Iijima, S.; Sakurai, Y.; Watashi, K.; et al. The RNA sensor RIG-I dually functions as an innate sensor and direct antiviral factor for hepatitis B virus. *Immunity* 2015, 42, 123–132. [CrossRef]

86. Ebert, G.; Poeck, H.; Lucifora, J.; Baschuk, N.; Esser, K.; Esposito, I.; Hartmann, G.; Protzer, U. 5′ Triphosphorylated small interfering RNAs control replication of hepatitis B virus and induce an interferon response in human liver cells and mice. *Gastroenterology* 2011, 141, 696–706, 706.e1-3. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

87. Horng, J.H.; Lin, W.H.; Wu, C.R.; Lin, Y.Y.; Wu, L.L.; Chen, D.S.; Chen, P.J. HBV X protein-based therapeutic vaccine accelerates viral antigen clearance by mobilizing monocyte infiltration into the liver in HBV carrier mice. *J. Biomed. Sci.* 2020, 27, 70. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

88. Utzschneider, D.T.; Alfei, F.; Roelli, P.; Barras, D.; Chennupati, V.; Darbre, S.; Delorenzi, M.; Pinschewer, D.D.; Zehn, D. High antigen levels induce an exhausted phenotype in a chronic infection without impairing T cell expansion and survival. *J. Exp. Med.* 2016, 213, 1819–1834. [CrossRef]

89. Kosinska, A.D.; Zhang, E.; Johrden, L.; Liu, J.; Seiz, P.L.; Zhang, X.; Ma, Z.; Kemper, T.; Fiedler, M.; Glebe, D.; et al. Combination of DNA prime–adenovirus boost immunization with entecavir elicits sustained control of chronic hepatitis B in the woodchuck model. *PloS Pathog.* 2013, 9, e1003391. [CrossRef]

90. Chen, H.; Chua, X.; Deng, Y.; Wen, B.; Wang, W.; Xiong, S.; Ruan, L.; Tan, W. Optimisation of prime-boost immunization in mice using novel protein-based and recombinant vaccinia (Tiantan)-based HBV vaccine. *PloS ONE* 2012, 7, e43730. [CrossRef]

91. Backes, S.; Jager, C.; Dembek, C.J.; Kosinska, A.D.; Bauer, T.; Stephan, A.S.; Dislers, A.; Mutwiri, G.; Busch, D.H.; Babiuk, L.A.; et al. Protein-prime/modified vaccinia virus Ankara vector-boost vaccination overcomes tolerance in high-antigenemic HBV-transgenic mice. *Vaccine* 2016, 34, 923–932. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

92. Boudewijns, R.; Ma, J.; Neyts, J.; Dallmeier, K. A novel therapeutic HBV vaccine candidate induces strong polyfunctional cytotoxic T cell responses in mice. *JHEP Rep.* 2021, 3, 100295. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

93. Kosinska, A.D.; Festag, J.; Muck-Hausl, M.; Festag, M.M.; Asen, T.; Protzer, U. Immunogenicity and Antiviral Response of Therapeutic Hepatitis B Vaccination in a Mouse Model of HBeAg-Negative, Persistent HBV Infection. *Vaccines* 2021, 9, 841. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

94. Beyer, M.; Abdullah, Z.; Chemnitz, J.M.; Maisel, D.; Sander, J.; Lehmann, C.; Thabet, Y.; Shinde, P.V.; Schmildeithler, L.; Kohne, M.; et al. Tumor-necrosis factor impairs CD4(+) T cell-mediated immunological control in chronic viral infection. *Nat. Immunol.* 2016, 17, 593–603. [CrossRef]

95. Barros-Martins, J; Hammerschmidt, S.I.; Coissmann, A.; Odak, I; Stankov, M.V.; Ramos, G.M.; Dopfer-Jablonska, A.; Heidemann, A.; Ritter, C.; Friedrichsen, M.; et al. Immune responses against SARS-CoV-2 variants after heterologous and homologous ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/BNT162b2 vaccination. *Nat. Med.* 2021, 27, 1525–1529. [CrossRef]

96. Tenbusch, M.; Schumacher, S.; Vogel, E.; Priller, A.; Held, J.; Steininger, P.; Beileke, S.; Irgang, P.; Brockhoff, R.; Salmanton-Garcia, J.; et al. Heterologous prime-boost vaccination with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 and BNT162b2 mRNA. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2021, 21, 1212–1213. [CrossRef]

97. Crispe, I.N. Immune tolerance in liver disease. *Hepatology* 2014, 60, 2109–2117. [CrossRef]

98. Barber, D.L.; Wherry, E.J.; Masopust, D.; Zhu, B.; Allison, J.P.; Sharpe, A.H.; Freeman, G.J.; Ahmed, R. Restoring function in exhausted CD8 T cells during chronic viral infection. *Nature* 2006, 443, 682–687. [CrossRef]

99. Fisicaro, P.; Valdatta, C.; Massari, M.; Loggi, E.; Biasini, E.; Sacchelli, L.; Cavallaro, M.C.; Silini, E.M.; Andreade, P.; Missale, G.; et al. Antiviral intrahepatic T-cell responses can be restored by blocking programmed death-1 pathway in chronic hepatitis B. *J. Exp. Med.* 2019, 200–205. [CrossRef]

100. Benechet, A.P.; Di Simone, G.; Emery, T.; Liberti, P.; Di Lucia, P.; Pelliccia, P.; Baarsma, G.; Le Bert, N.; Fumagalli, V.; Lusito, E.; et al. Dynamics and genomic landscape of CD8(+) T cells undergoing hepatic priming. *J. Biomed. Sci.* 2020, 27, 1333, 1333.e1-10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

101. Liu, J.; Zhang, L.; Yang, D.; Fu, S.; Bian, Y.; Sun, Z.; He, J.; Su, L.; Zhang, L.; Peng, H.; et al. Clearing Persistent Extracellular Antigen of Hepatitis B Virus: An Immunomodulatory Strategy To Reverse Tolerance for an Effective Therapeutic Vaccination. *J. Immunol.* 2016, 196, 3079–3087. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

102. Gane, E.; Verdon, D.J.; Brooks, A.E.; Gaggar, A.; Nguyen, A.H.; Subramanian, G.M.; Schwabe, C.; Dunbar, P.R. Anti-PD-1 blockade with nivolumab with and without therapeutic vaccination for virally suppressed chronic hepatitis B: A pilot study. *J. Hepatol.* 2019, 71, 900–907. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

103. Yau, T.; Hsu, C.; Kim, T.; Cho, P.K.; Kang, Y.K.; Hou, M.M.; Numata, K.; Yeo, W.; Chopra, A.; Ikeda, M.; et al. Nivolumab in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: Sorafenib-experienced Asian cohort analysis. *J. Hepatol.* 2019, 71, 543–552. [CrossRef]

104. Maier, H.; Isogawa, M.; Freeman, G.J.; Chisari, F.V. PD-1:PD-L1 interactions contribute to the functional suppression of virus-specific CD8+ T lymphocytes in the liver. *J. Immunol.* 2007, 178, 2714–2720. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

105. Zhu, D.; Liu, L.; Yang, D.; Fu, S.; Bian, Y.; Sun, Z.; He, J.; Su, L.; Zhang, L.; Peng, H.; et al. Clearing Persistent Extracellular Antigen of Hepatitis B Virus: An Immunomodulatory Strategy To Reverse Tolerance for an Effective Therapeutic Vaccination. *J. Immunol.* 2016, 196, 3079–3087. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
107. Iannaccone, M.; Guidotti, L.G. Immunobiology and pathogenesis of hepatitis B virus infection. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2021. [CrossRef]

108. Krenkel, O.; Tacke, F. Liver macrophages in tissue homeostasis and disease. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2017, 17, 306–321. [CrossRef]

109. Heymann, F.; Peusquens, J.; Ludwig-Portugall, I.; Kohlhepp, M.; Ergen, C.; Niemietz, P.; Martin, C.; van Rooijen, N.; Ochando, J.C.; Randolph, G.J.; et al. Liver inflammation abrogates immunological tolerance induced by Kupffer cells. *Hepatology* 2015, 62, 279–291. [CrossRef]

110. De Simone, G.; Andreata, F.; Bleriot, C.; Fumagalli, V.; Laura, C.; Garcia-Manteiga, J.M.; Di Lucia, P.; Gilotto, S.; Ficht, X.; De Ponti, F.F.; et al. Identification of a Kupffer cell subset capable of reverting the T cell dysfunction induced by hepatocellular priming. *Immunity* 2021, 54, 2089–2100.e8. [CrossRef]

111. Huang, L.R.; Wohlleber, D.; Reisinger, F.; Jenne, C.N.; Cheng, R.L.; Abdullah, Z.; Schildberg, F.A.; Odenthal, M.; Dienes, H.P.; van Rooijen, N.; et al. Intrahepatic myeloid-cell aggregates enable local proliferation of CD8(+) T cells and successful immunotherapy against chronic viral liver infection. *Nat. Immunol.* 2013, 14, 574–583. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

112. Cebula, M.; Riehn, M.; Hillebrand, U.; Kratzer, R.F.; Kreppel, F.; Koutsoumpli, G.; Daemen, T.; Hauser, H.; Wirth, D. TLR9-Mediated Conditioning of Liver Environment Is Essential for Successful Intrahepatic Immunotherapy and Effective Memory Recall. *Mol. Ther. J. Am. Soc. Gene Ther.* 2017, 25, 2289–2298. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

113. Kosinska, A.D.; Moeed, A.; Kallin, N.; Festag, J.; Su, J.; Steiger, K.; Michel, M.L.; Protzer, U.; Knolle, P.A. Synergy of therapeutic heterologous prime-boost hepatitis B vaccination with CpG-application to improve immune control of persistent HBV infection. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, 9, 10808. [CrossRef] [PubMed]