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Diabetologists have been well aware since the seminal work of Himsworth (1) in the 1930s that type 2 (insulin resistant) and type 1 (insulin deficient) diabetes were distinct entities with different pathophysiological bases. They have been aware for some time that type 2 diabetes is associated with resistance of tissues such as muscle and liver to the biological effects of insulin. Furthermore, they knew that type 2 diabetes per se and insulin resistance could both predispose to an increased risk of premature coronary artery disease and particularly the premature occurrence of myocardial infarction (MI). By contrast, cardiologists came to embrace these concepts only slowly. It was not until approximately 1999 (2) that the major organizations that represented them consistently designated type 2 diabetes as a risk factor for coronary artery disease. It was against this backdrop that we and others sought to identify pathophysiological determinants that were both manifestations of type 2 diabetes and determinants of acceleration of coronary artery disease and precipitation of MI. Because type 2 diabetes is the predominant form, comprising more than 90% of patients with diabetes, and because its association with premature coronary artery disease is particularly well established, type 2 diabetes is the focus of this article and was the focus of the journey from the bench to the bedside that it addresses. Because we were graciously invited to describe our particular journey, we have emphasized references to our own work.

Potential impact of the fibrinolytic system on coronary artery disease—We and others have been interested in the fibrinolytic system in relation to the pathogenesis of coronary artery disease and precipitation of MI (3–5). Fibrinolysis in blood is mediated by activation of plasminogen activators, particularly tissue-type plasminogen activator (t-PA), that can be elaborated from endothelial cells in association with intravascular thrombi (Fig. 1). t-PA is a serine protease. When it is elaborated into the blood it converts circulating plasminogen (present in high concentrations but biologically inert) to plasmin, a relatively nonspecific protease. Because both plasminogen and t-PA bind specifically to fibrin associated with nascent thrombi, the action of t-PA in blood is largely confined to clots, giving rise to what has been called clot-specific fibrinolysis (6). The fibrin-associated plasmin results in lysis of clots and preclusion of generation of otherwise induced macroscopic thrombi that can precipitate MI. A circulating protein called plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1) inhibits serine proteases such as t-PA, thereby attenuating the activity of the fibrinolytic system in blood. Thus, it inhibits t-PA associated with clots. Proteolysis that can be mediated by the relatively nonspecific proteinase, plasmin (Fig. 1) in other loci, can be inhibited by PAI-1 as well. One important such system operates in tissue. We have referred to it as the proteofibrinolytic system. It entails the conversion of plasminogen, present in high concentrations in the matrix, to plasmin when a plasminogen activator is elaborated. In tissues, the predominant plasminogen activator is urokinase. When it is expressed on cell surfaces such as those of vascular smooth muscle (VSM) cells, it converts matrix-associated plasminogen to plasmin. The result is activation of matrix metalloproteinases by cleavage of zymogens to form active moieties such as collagenase and stromelysin. A consequence is increased porosity of the matrix and facilitation of migration of diverse cell types through the matrix (5). Migration of VSM cells from the tunica media into the neointima is a hallmark of atherogenesis. The impact of increased expression of PAI-1 in tissue would therefore be expected to attenuate activation of matrix metalloproteinases, augmented porosity of the matrix, and migration of VSM cells from the tunica media into the tunica intima of evolving atheroma. Consequently, coronary atherosclerotic plaques that form under such circumstances are likely to be relatively devoid of VSM, lipid laden, and prone to rupture, thereby precipitating acute coronary syndromes. Plaques rich in VSM, though potentially obstructive, are known to be biologically quite stable, often over decades. By contrast, plaques relatively devoid of VSM, lipid laden, with thin fibrous caps are prone to rupture. Hence they are called vulnerable (to rupture) plaques. When they do rupture they can and often do precipitate acute coronary syndromes including MI and sudden cardiac death. Accordingly, overexpression of PAI-1 in the vessel that predisposes to development of vulnerable plaques predisposes also to acute coronary syndromes.

Potential impact of increased concentrations of PAI-1 in blood associated with diabetes on coronary artery disease—It has been known for several decades that increased concentrations of PAI-1 in blood are associated with a predilection toward venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. Such an association is to be anticipated on the basis of inhibition by PAI-1 of lysis of nascent thrombi within the venous system. In addition it has been known for many years that increased concentrations of PAI-1 in blood (referred
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Figure 1—Effects of increased concentrations of PAI-1. In blood (A) PAI-1 inhibits the action of t-PA, largely associated with clots, and thus attenuates the activity of the fibrinolytic system. In tissue (B), PAI-1 attenuates activation of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) by plasmin generated from plasminogen by urokinase; plaques formed when PAI-1 is increased are likely to be prone to rupture. ECM, extracellular matrix; uPA, urokinase plasminogen activator.

Results of studies in vitro demonstrating direct effects of hormonal and metabolic factors on expression of PAI-1—An association between obesity, particularly in patients with type 2 diabetes, and elevated concentrations of PAI-1, led to studies in vitro evaluating the effects of insulin and precursors of insulin on expression of PAI-1. Insulin was shown to directly augment the expression of PAI-1 in a hepatocyte cell line, HepG2 (8). The effects of insulin were increased synergistically by the combination of insulin plus insulin-like growth factor 1 (9). In addition, precursors of insulin, both proinsulin and split products of proinsulin, concentrations of which are known to be elevated in blood from patients with type 2 diabetes, augment the expression of PAI-1 (10). Accordingly, insulin resistance increases the expression of PAI-1 by promoting compensatory hyperinsulinemia secondary to greater pancreatic β-cell release of insulin and its precursors, proinsulin and split proinsulin.

Results of studies in vivo linking diabetes and insulin resistance to increased expression of PAI-1—Elevated concentrations of PAI-1 have been observed consistently in blood from patients with diabetes, particularly those with type 2 diabetes. In addition, concentrations of PAI-1 are increased in blood from obese subjects, many of whom exhibit insulin resistance. In aggregate, results of studies in vitro have demonstrated that the combination of hormonal abnormalities and metabolic derangements associated with insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes have direct effects on the expression of PAI-1 (Table 1). Synergism has been demonstrated and is consistent with the diverse mechanisms by which each of the agents augments the expression of PAI-1.
in vitro, increased concentration and activity of PAI-1 correlate with elevated concentrations in blood of triglycerides and hyperinsulinemia (20). We found that increased concentrations in blood of PAI-1 impaired endogenous fibrinolytic system activity not only under basal conditions but also in response to a physiological challenge, i.e., transitory venous occlusion induced by inflation of an arm blood pressure cuff (4). Furthermore, we found that obese subjects with diabetes exhibited a threefold elevation of PAI-1 in blood compared with values in subjects without diabetes despite values of t-PA in blood that were virtually the same. These observations are consistent with constrained activity of the fibrinolytic system in the patients with diabetes. The observation of an impairment of fibrinolysis not only under basal conditions but also in response to physiologic stress indicates that the impairment is likely to shift the balance between fibrinolysis and thrombosis in vivo favoring thrombosis (4). It has been well established that impaired fibrinolysis predisposes to exaggerated and persistent thrombosis.

Expression of PAI-1 has been shown to be increased in tissues from patients with type 2 diabetes. Atherectomy specimens (excised segments of diseased coronary arteries) from patients with type 2 diabetes were shown to exhibit increased PAI-1 compared with that in comparably obstructive atheroma from patients without diabetes (Fig. 2) (21). Similarly, Pandolfi et al. (22) examined the internal mammary arteries from patients with type 2 diabetes and found a marked increase in active PAI-1. Adipose tissue expresses PAI-1 (23) and may be a particularly important source of PAI-1 in blood in obese subjects. Immunohistochemical examinations of retinas demonstrated that endothelial cells from patients with type 2 diabetes express significantly greater amounts of PAI-1 (24). Accordingly, greater concentrations in blood of PAI-1 reflect increased tissue expression of PAI-1 in patients with type 2 diabetes.

The infusion of insulin and proinsulin in rabbits increased expression of PAI-1 (25). Similarly, acute hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia increased the concentration and activity of PAI-1 in blood from rats (26). The effect of insulin on metabolic derangements, particularly triacylglycerides and free fatty acids, could confound assessment of expression of PAI-1 in humans. However, localized intra-arterial infusion of insulin led to a marked increase in the concentration of PAI-1 in blood and induced impaired fibrinolysis (27). Furthermore, systemic infusion of a combination of insulin, glucose, and α-lipoysyn to simulate hypertriglyceridemia and insulin resistance was sufficient to increase expression of PAI-1 in healthy, normal human subjects (28). Results from these studies demonstrate that the combination of hormonal (hyperinsulinemia) and metabolic (hyperglycemia and hypertriglyceridemia) derangements typical of type 2 diabetes elevates the concentration of PAI-1 in blood.

#### Table 1—Conditions associated with and factors implicated in elevated expression of PAI-1

| Conditions                          | Factors                              |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Diabetes (type 2 substantially more than type 1) | Insulin                              |
| Obesity                            | Proinsulin and split proinsulin      |
| Hypertension                       | Triglycerides                        |
| Polycystic ovarian syndrome        | Free fatty acids                     |
|                                    | Glucose                              |
|                                    | Inflammatory cytokines (e.g., tumor necrosis factor-α) |

Mechanisms by which increased expression of PAI-1 may influence outcomes in patients with coronary artery disease—As noted above, increased concentrations of PAI-1 in blood can lead to inhibition of fibrinolysis, facilitation of evolution of nascent thrombi to macroscopic thrombosis, and precipitation of MI. In addition, increased expression of PAI-1 within vessels walls can limit the migration of VSM cells. In general, migration of cells entails surface expression of urokinase and hence activation of the proteofibrinolytic system. Degradation of matrix follows facilitating migration. We speculated that increased PAI-1 in vessel walls would predispose to acceleration of atherosclerosis and development of plaques with specific characteristics rendering them vulnerable to rupture (29). Such plaques are characterized by a paucity of VSM (presumably resulting from inhibition of migration of VSM cells from the tunica media into the neointima). We hypothesized that as a result they would manifest increased deposition of lipid-laden cells and be relatively devoid of VSM. Plaques with these features are known to be prone to rupture in contrast to obstructive but biologically stable plaques populated heavily with VSM cells. Our hypothesis was spawned in part by observations made in the Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation 1 (BARI 1) trial. This trial was an investigation of patients with type 2 diabetes and clinically unstable coronary artery disease. The comparator groups were patients subjected to coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) as opposed to the then-available percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA). Observations in the BARI 1 trial showed that mortality over 5 years in the group subjected to CABG surgery was 9%. However, it was markedly augmented (fourfold greater) in those who had been treated initially with PTCA, despite successful initial restoration of coronary artery vascular patency with both interventions. The observations led to an issuance of a clinical alert by the National Institutes of Health pointing out that PTCA may be deleterious in patients with type 2 diabetes (30). We interpreted these results to indicate that iatrogenic trauma to the vasculature in patients with type 2 diabetes and insulin resistance would lead to accelerated evolution of plaques vulnerable to rupture and hence subsequently increased mortality consistent with the biological behavior of vasculature in which expression of PAI-1 was increased.

An additional factor requiring consideration with respect to the pathogenesis of coronary vascular disease per se in association with type 2 diabetes and insulin resistance is the impact on vessels subjected to injury, including the potent mitogenic iatrogenic injury induced by percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). Such interventions are often followed by restenosis, a phenomenon more frequent and more pronounced in patients with diabetes, especially when drug eluting stents are not used. It is known that cellular proliferation and apoptosis are flip sides of the same coin. To determine whether PAI-1 could alter their balance and induce
poorly controlled type 2 diabetes (HbA1c ~10%), the elevation of PAI-1 in blood driven by hyperglycemia per se, hypertriglyceridemia, increased concentrations of free fatty acids, and increased compensatory hyperinsulinemia was profound (5- to 10-fold greater than normal). The elevation of PAI-1 was decreased comparably in response to administration of an insulin secretagogue, glipizide and with the insulinsparing agent metformin (34). Metformin reduces hyperglycemia without stimulating pancreatic β-cell production or release of insulin. Results of other studies in patients with diabetes and other insulin-resistant states have demonstrated that metformin decreases the concentration of PAI-1 in blood (35). Nagi et al. (36) showed that metformin not only attenuates release of insulin but also attenuates release of precursors of insulin, namely proinsulin and proinsulin split products, each of which can stimulate expression of PAI-1.

Caloric restriction and exercise diminish insulin resistance, decrease hyperinsulinemia, improve glycemic control, and decrease concentrations of PAI-1 in blood (37). Thiazolidinediones such as troglitazone normalize metabolism and decrease the concentration in blood of insulin. These agents decrease PAI-1 in patients with type 2 diabetes (38) and subjects with insulin-resistant states (38,39) to the extent that they improve glyemic control and reduce hyperinsulinemia.

Agnostists of glucagon-like peptide-1, such as liraglutide, inhibit induction of PAI-1 mediated by tumor necrosis factor-α or hyperglycemia (40). Agents that decrease concentrations of triglycerides in blood such as atorvastatin and gemfibrozil reduce concentrations of PAI-1 in blood from patients with insulin-resistant states (41).

**Results in the BARI 2D trial**—The Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation 2 Diabetes (BARI 2D) trial was undertaken to test two null hypotheses in patients with type 2 diabetes (42). The first was that the treatment of documented coronary stenosis in patients with clinically stable coronary artery disease would yield comparable results whether it entailed PCI or CABG coupled with optimal medical management compared with optimal medical management alone. The second was that treatment with pharmacological agents that were sensitizers to insulin (i.e., that diminished insulin resistance) would yield similar clinical outcomes (the incidence of fatal MI, stroke, and all-cause mortality) with respect to strategies predicated on augmentation of elaboration of insulin or administration of insulin itself. The overall results of the trial indicated that the incidences of the protocol delineated clinical outcomes were comparable in patients treated with coronary interventions or surgery coupled with optimal medical management compared with those in whom medical management alone was implemented and interventions initiated predicated on clinical manifestations of progression of the coronary artery disease (43). In addition, incidences of the same clinical outcomes were comparable in the trial as a whole (though not in several subsets) in patients treated with agents that increased sensitivity to insulin compared with those that increased availability of insulin. Our interest in participating in the BARI 2D trial was fanned by the likelihood that the results to be obtained could determine whether the insulin-sensitizing strategy compared with the insulin-providing strategy would result in differential effects on the proteofibrinolytic system.

A total of 2,368 patients with type 2 diabetes and clinically stable angiographically
documented coronary artery disease were randomized to treatment with one of the two strategies, insulin sensitization or insulin provision. They were followed for 5 years. Concentrations of PAI-1 in blood (antigen), PAI-1 activity, and concentrations of diverse other analytes were assayed in duplicate sequentially over the entire follow-up interval in 13 sets of blood samples over time. The results were rather startling (44). In contrast to the insulin-providing strategy, the insulin-sensitizing strategy led to the following (Fig. 3):

1. Lower concentrations of insulin in plasma despite wide, anticipated variance
2. Lower concentrations of PAI-1 antigen and
3. Lower concentrations of PAI-1 activity

In addition, the results showed that the concentrations of C-reactive protein and of fibrinogen at all intervals after baseline were significantly lower in the patients treated with the insulin-sensitizing compared with the insulin-providing strategy. These results indicated that insulin sensitization led to changes in biomarker profiles indicative of decreased insulin resistance and, as a consequence, a decrease in compensatory hyperinsulinemia. This decrease was associated with induction of an altered balance between thrombosis and fibrinolysis dependent on diminished expression of PAI-1 in patients treated with insulin sensitizers. The altered balance favored fibrinolysis. In addition, the biomarker profiles were consistent with a diminished intensity of a systemic inflammatory state in association with the use of insulin sensitizers. Both increased PAI-1 and an increased intensity of the systemic inflammatory state have been associated with acceleration of coronary atherosclerosis and an increased risk of MI. Accordingly, the results of the BARI 2D trial were consistent with results of preclinical observations showing that hyperinsulinemia associated with insulin resistance led to increased expression of PAI-1. Furthermore, they were consistent with the likelihood that insulin sensitization will protect patients with diabetes from acceleration of coronary atherosclerosis and precipitation of acute coronary syndromes including MI.

The lack of a difference in the incidence of the combined primary end point of overall mortality, fatal MI, and stroke in the BARI 2D trial in patients treated with an insulin-sensitizing compared with an insulin-providing strategy is not necessarily surprising. Factors responsible may include the fact that the overall event rate was quite low, as is common in many clinical trials in part because of patient selection and the intensity of monitoring and care. In addition, many factors other than the balance between fibrinolysis and thrombosis will affect outcomes in patients with diabetes. These include the severity of vascular disease at the time of entrance into a trial and the severity of metabolic derangements despite treatment. However, in the BARI 2D trial, there were strong trends consistent with favorable effects of insulin sensitization on outcomes with reduction of hazard ratios by 16% and with an improved prognosis in patients who underwent protocol-mandated investigator preselected CABG in those randomized to an intervention compared with optimal medical therapy alone. In this substratum, those patients who were treated with insulin sensitizing agents compared with those correspondingly randomized patients who underwent CABG but were treated with an insulin-provision strategy had a much more favorable outcome. In addition, the insulin-sensitizing strategy reduced the incidence of nonfatal, nonprocedurally related MI in the BARI 2D population as a whole (45).

Other considerations militate against a highly significant difference in clinical outcomes in a trial such as BARI 2D. Early studies of interventions such as diminution of hypertension showed favorable effects on clinical outcomes only when continued for many years in view of the lifelong nature of the evolution of atherosclerotic vascular disease. Thus, it is clear that delineation of statistically favorable effects on clinically significant outcomes per se may require a more prolonged interval of follow up in patients with type 2 diabetes treated with insulin-sensitizing compared with insulin-providing regimens than the 5-year interval of follow-up in BARI 2D.

Despite these caveats regarding clinical outcomes, the results in the BARI 2D trial with respect to the biomarker profiles are striking. Insulin sensitization favorably altered the balance between thrombosis and fibrinolysis reflected by concentrations of biomarkers such as fibrinopeptide A as a result of decreased constraints of fibrinolysis by PAI-1. Such a change in the balance between thrombosis and fibrinolysis is highly likely, in our view, when persistent for prolonged intervals, to translate into favorable effects on the progression of atherosclerosis, the incidence of MI, and hence clinical outcomes including mortality. Thus, the results in the BARI 2D trial constitute a key juncture in the journey from the bench to the bedside. They validate in patients with type 2 diabetes the existence of an intimate connection between insulin resistance and constrained fibrinolysis. They show that amelioration of insulin resistance favorably alters the balance between fibrinolysis and thrombosis favoring fibrinolysis over a 5-year interval in a large population of

Figure 3—Comparison of insulin-sensitizing (IS) with insulin-providing (IP) treatment strategies in 2,368 patients with type 2 diabetes and clinically stable coronary artery disease for an overall treatment interval of 5 years in the BARI 2D trial (44). The insulin-sensitizing strategy led to lower concentrations of both PAI-1 activity (A) and antigen (B). Baseline values for PAI-1 activity and PAI-1 antigen (16 AU/mL and 23 ng/mL, respectively) were the same for both the insulin-sensitizing and insulin-providing treatment groups.
rigorously monitored patients with type 2 diabetes. They imply that significant clinical benefit may be achievable with treatment strategies in patients with type 2 diabetes over prolonged intervals that induce rigorous glycemic control with the lowest possible prevailing concentrations of insulin and its precursors.
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