Clinical Pharmacology Regulatory Sciences in Drug Development and Precision Medicine: Current Status and Emerging Trends
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Abstract. In the regulatory setting, clinical pharmacology focuses on the impact of intrinsic and extrinsic factors on inter-patient and intra-subject variability in drug exposure and response. This translational science contributes to the understanding of the benefit-risk profile in individual patients and the development of relevant therapeutic monitoring and management strategies. Clinical pharmacology also plays a major role in the development and qualification of drug development tools. This article presented some recent examples to illustrate the important roles of clinical pharmacology in drug development and evaluation. In addition, emerging trends in clinical pharmacology regulatory sciences were also discussed, including the Model-Informed Drug Development (MIDD) pilot program, the use of real-world data to generate real-world evidence, and leveraging advances in basic, biomedical, and clinical science into useful tools for drug development and evaluation. Continued advances in clinical pharmacology can be the basis of more rational and efficient drug development and improved access to new drug treatments that are tailored to the patient to achieve better efficacy and safety.
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THE MISSION OF CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGISTS IN THE REGULATORY SETTING

Despite its critical role in drug development and precision medicine, the definition of clinical pharmacology is not universally understood. The American Society of Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics defines this discipline as the “study of drugs in humans,” which is “underpinned by the basic science of pharmacology, with added focus on the application of pharmacological principles and methods in the real world” (1). In the regulatory setting, clinical pharmacology focuses on the impact of intrinsic and extrinsic factors on inter-patient and intra-subject variability in drug exposure and response. This translational science contributes to the understanding of the benefit-risk profile in individual patients and the development of relevant therapeutic monitoring and management strategies. Clinical pharmacology also plays a major role in the development and qualification of drug development tools.

At the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the clinical pharmacologists regulating drug development work in the Office of Clinical Pharmacology (OCP), a sub-office of the Office of Translational Sciences in the Center of Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER). Their mission is twofold: (1) advance development of innovative medicines by applying state-of-the-art scientific principles and (2) promote therapeutic optimization and individualization through best practices in research, policy development, and drug evaluation throughout the product lifecycle (2). This mission is also consistent with the mission of OTS, which is to drive advancements in human health through scientific and regulatory innovation. To achieve this mission, in 2019 OCP engaged in 138 research projects and published 158 manuscripts that describe its scientific findings or discuss critical questions, challenges, and opportunities that arise as it applies the principles and methods of clinical pharmacology to advance development, evaluation, and use of new medical products (3).

THE ROLE OF CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY IN DRUG DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION

Drug development proceeds through a planned sequence of studies that includes an initial pre-clinical phase and subsequent clinical studies. In this sequence, early in vitro,
Clinical pharmacology studies in the course of drug development include both in vitro and in vivo studies and are focused on evaluating the drug's pharmacokinetics (e.g., absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME)), its pharmacodynamics (both the desired drug activity and the adverse effects), and the impact of intrinsic factors (e.g., age, gender, race/ethnicity, genomics, and organ dysfunction) and extrinsic factors (e.g., food effect, smoking, and drug-drug interactions) on drug exposure and response. As compared to small-molecule drugs, biologics may require different studies. For example, the development programs for monoclonal antibodies usually do not include mass balance, food effect, or studies of a drug's effect on the QT interval, but they require immunogenicity evaluation, which is usually not needed for small-molecule drugs. Starting with the Pre-Investigational New Drug Application (Pre-IND) meeting (which ensures that the drug development plan and future clinical trials are acceptable), clinical pharmacologists at OCP provide guidance to the drug developers throughout the development process, including recommendations and advice on what clinical pharmacology questions need to be addressed for each investigational drug and when and how to conduct the required studies. The advice is conveyed during FDA-sponsor meetings and/or in written responses. In addition, formal guidance documents are developed to assist drug developers. In 2019, OCP issued six clinical pharmacology guidances (4–9) and contributed to 24 multidisciplinary guidelines on broad drug development topics. Each published guidance is the product of years of regulatory research and represents the agency’s current thinking on a particular subject. For example, the recently published guidelines for industry In Vitro Drug Interaction Studies — Cytochrome P450 Enzyme- and Transporter-Mediated Drug Interactions Guidance for Industry (10) and Clinical Drug Interaction Studies — Cytochrome P450 Enzyme- and Transporter-Mediated Drug Interactions Guidance for Industry (11) reflect over a decade of regulatory research and broad collaborations of FDA with industry, academia, and other regulatory agencies. In addition, the comments received during the public comment period when the draft guidance documents were published have been considered in making the final recommendations.

When a new drug application (NDA) or biologics license application (BLA) is submitted to FDA, OCP's clinical pharmacologists work closely with colleagues from other disciplines such as medical reviewers, statisticians, chemists, pharmacologists, toxicologists, and regulatory project managers to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of the drug and to make the decision as to whether the application should be approved. The clinical pharmacology review is issue-driven, and the information in the application for a new drug or biologic is assessed to address important issues of dose selection and optimization, therapeutic individualization, and benefit-risk (including application in subpopulations) (12). If the drug is approved, the important clinical pharmacology information must be captured in the product labeling, especially factors that influence pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, effectiveness, and safety, which may require dose adjustment (Fig. 1). When appropriate, OCP clinical pharmacologists may request post-marketing requirement (PMR) or post-marketing commitment (PMC) studies to address issues that have not been adequately addressed. For example, subpopulations of patients, such as patients with different stages of hepatic impairment, may not have been studied, and PMRs are needed to provide dosing instructions for these patients to prevent serious adverse events when treated with the new drug.

In the case of remdesivir for the treatment of COVID-19, which was approved 76 days after submission, the approval letter required clinical pharmacology post-marketing studies in children and patients with renal and hepatic impairment, as well as evaluation of the drug's potential to prolong the QT interval (13). The approval letter also included a PMC study in pregnant women. The FDA granted this application Fast Track and Priority Review designations (14, 15).

In these unprecedented and challenging times, it is especially critical to leverage the power of clinical pharmacology, because it provides unique tools to integrate different kinds of data and translate them into informed interpretations and predictions. A good example of the discipline's importance in regulatory decision-making comes from the current pandemic, in which OCP clinical pharmacologists provided advice on the critical step of translating in vitro antiviral activity of potential drugs to treat the disease to appropriate clinical dosing regimens (16). Their analysis of the available data, which indicated that the oral administration of hydroxychloroquine under a safe dosing regimen is unlikely to produce lung concentrations that are effective against SARS-CoV-2, supported FDA's decision to revoke its Emergency Use Authorization for oral formulations of chloroquine phosphate and hydroxychloroquine sulfate to treat COVID-19 (17).

**EMERGING TRENDS IN CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY REGULATORY SCIENCES**

**The Model-Informed Drug Development Pilot Program**

The Model-Informed Drug Development (MIDD), in which a wide range of quantitative models are used to facilitate new drug development and healthcare, has been evolving rapidly in recent decades. These models, which provide a platform to integrate current understanding of a disease, patient characteristics, and pharmacology, have been used in dosage optimization and selection, the design of clinical program and trials, identification of supportive evidence of efficacy, and new policy development (Fig. 2) (18, 19).

Under the sixth iteration of the Prescription Drug User Fee Act (PDUFA VI), FDA committed to developing MIDD-related guidance updates, holding public workshops on these approaches, and establishing a standard operating procedure for reviews of MIDD-related submissions. In 2018, FDA also established the MIDD Pilot Program, which affords sponsors or applicants the opportunity to meet with agency staff to discuss modeling approaches in medical product development (20). Jointly administered by CDER and the Center of Biologics Evaluation and Research, with OCP as the point of contact, the program provides a regulatory platform that engages all stake holders early in the development of a new drug and bridges multidisciplinary efforts.

As of October 2020, FDA had received 27 meeting requests and granted 19 submissions. These submissions...
covered many aspects of drug development (e.g., dose selection in a first-in-human study, post-approval dose optimization, and design of a phase 3 clinical trial) and a wide range of approaches, including population pharmacokinetics, exposure-response modeling, quantitative systems biology, and physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modeling. Under this pilot program, approval of two regulatory submissions, a supplemental New Drug Application (sNDA) and a supplemental Biological License Application (sBLA), was facilitated by discussions between FDA and sponsors. Figure 3 shows the increasing use of PBPK for drug development in various areas (e.g., drug-drug interactions involving metabolizing enzymes and transporters; pediatrics, assessment of drug exposure in patients with renal...
impairment) (21, 22). Another emerging trend that needs attention is the use of hybrid modeling strategies, where the model types shown in Fig. 2 are used in sequence or simultaneously (e.g., combining mechanistic modeling with machine learning for response prediction) (23).

The Use of Real-World Data to Generate Real-World Evidence

In the past decade, electronic health record systems have been widely adopted, and smart phones and wearables make it possible for patients to generate vast amounts of health data on a continual basis. The use of digital health technology has increased due to the COVID19 pandemic, and the digital ecosystem for healthcare is rapidly evolving. We have an opportunity (and an obligation) to seize the moment and use the opportunities presented by this rapid evolution to explore and advance the use of RWE including the use of PBPK modeling and simulation for regulatory decision-making and its impact on the US prescribing information: An Update on the 2018-2019 Submissions to the US FDA's Office of Clinical Pharmacology. J Clin Pharmacol. 2020 Oct;60 Suppl 1:S160-S178. doi: 10.1002/jcph.1767. PMID: 33205429.
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consistent numerical increase of treatment-associated pneumonitis in patient with a past medical history of pneumonitis as compared to patients without this prior history. This trend was observed in both ICI and chemotherapy treated groups, and in both clinical trials and RWD (28).

- To develop clinical practice guidelines for accurate prescribing of opioids to treat acute pain indications that can decrease opioid misuse, the Acute Pain Pathways (APP) study has gathered real-world data on opioids prescribed and dispensed, and actual opioid use from patients, providers, and pharmacies for diverse patient populations.

On an average day in the USA, more than 463,000 opioid prescriptions are dispensed (29), and 4402 individuals, age 12 or older, initiate nonmedical use of prescription opioids (30). Leftover prescription opioids can be a source of misuse. In a 2019 National Survey of Drug Use and Health report, the source for misused prescription opioids was mainly from a friend or relative. There has been considerable literature published since the review documented in Fig. 5 on the excess prescription opioid supply, variation in amounts used by medical procedures, and variations in use across patients getting the same procedure. Leftover pills may result in misuse and related outcomes such as addiction.

FDA is collaborating with the Yale-Mayo Center of Excellence in Regulatory Science and Innovation (CERSI) on the APP study in order to inform evidence-based individualized clinical practice guidelines for prescribing opioids for acute pain to ensure appropriate access to opioids for pain management while limiting leftover supply that can contribute to misuse, diversion, and accidental poisonings. A total of 1550 patients who will be prescribed opioids will be recruited from multiple healthcare systems, primary care/
urgent care, emergency department, and dental practices. The sites selected in 9 states are geographically and racially diverse. The data sources include pharmacies, electronic health records, and personal device apps which will collect patient-reported outcome measures on fills/refills, pain, patient satisfaction, health status, and health outcomes such as adverse events and functional status. This will support FDA’s goal of decreasing exposure and preventing new addiction while providing effective individualized pain management.

- The Reagan-Udall Foundation (RUF) launched the COVID-19 Evidence Accelerator Collaborative initiative for the FDA in collaboration with Friends of Cancer Research (Friends) to provide a unique venue for major data organizations, government and academic researchers, and health systems to gather and design quick-turn-around queries and share their results. As part of the COVID-19 Evidence Accelerator Collaborative initiative, FDA harmonized a set of COVID-19 data elements identified with several widely used common data models. The common data models refer to agreed-upon formats into which participating healthcare sites transform their data and standards (Table I). This COVID-19 data set includes a sub-set of data elements from EHRs, administrative claims, and other sources of RWD. Data elements included in this project include demographics, medical history, medication, travel and social history, vital signs, laboratory and imaging, diagnosis, intervention, and clinical outcome.

Figure 6 illustrates the steps taken for this harmonization process. Following the detailed review of the COVID-19 data elements by the subject matter experts at the FDA, the data elements were harmonized to several common data models and standards. Figure 7 illustrates an example of several COVID-19 data elements mapped to various common data models and data standards.

This project is critical to advance research on COVID-19 across healthcare networks, all of which use different models and standards for their data.

These demonstration projects provide us with valuable insights on when and how to use RWD/RWE to address clinical pharmacology questions. The lessons learned will help OCP and FDA prepare for what is expected to be an increasing number of regulatory submissions with RWD/RWE components.

Other new types of medical data which are gaining attention include medical imaging data and microbiome data. Radiomics, an emerging science that uses algorithms to extract features from medical images, has the potential to uncover disease features and characteristics that cannot be appreciated by the naked eye (31, 32). Combined with advanced machine learning algorithms, radiomics can improve disease detection, characterization, staging, as well as assessment and prediction of treatment response (33). A related field, radiogenomics, assesses the relationship between imaging features and gene expression (34, 35). The OCP clinical pharmacologists are exploring the opportunities

| Common data models (CDMs) | OMOP | PCORnet | Sentinel |
|---------------------------|------|---------|----------|
| Standards                 | CDISC SDTM | HL7 FHIR r4 |

| Informatics for Integrating Biology & the Bedside / Accrual to Clinical Trials | Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Network |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|

| CDISC SDTM | Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium, Study Data Tabulation Model |
| HL7 FHIR r4 | Health Level Seven Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources, Release 4 |

Figure 6. COVID-19 common data elements harmonization process
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to apply machine learning on medical imaging data to advance precision medicine (36). The microbiome research has evolved rapidly and has gained significant traction in recent years (37). The microbiome can be defined as a characteristic microbial community occupying a reasonable well-defined habitat which has distinct physio-chemical properties. It has been discovered that the microbiome can have an impact on both the metabolism and the clinical outcome of the drug treatment (38, 39). Consequently, destruction of the microbiome (e.g., use of antibiotics) may be expected to have an impact of the treatment outcome of certain other drugs. In addition, live microbiome-based products could be developed to prevent, treat, or cure a disease or condition in humans, which may cause a new type of interaction potential with the drugs (40). We can expect that the microbiome data will be considered in precision medicine in the future and that microbiome-related research will be incorporated into the regulatory science research.

**Leveraging Advances in Basic, Biomedical, and Clinical Science into Useful Tools for Drug Development and Evaluation**

Under 21st Century Cures, new language on the qualification of drug development tools (DDTs) was added.
to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (24). DDTs are methods, materials, or measures that can potentially facilitate drug development (41). DDTs include, but are not limited to, a biomarker used for clinical trial enrichment, a clinical outcome assessment used to evaluate clinical benefit, and an animal model used for efficacy testing of medical countermeasures under the regulations commonly referred to as the Animal Rule. FDA has established qualification programs (42) to support DDT development and a multi-step process for DDT qualification (43). Clinical pharmacologists in OCP play an integral part in this program by participating in the review of the submissions to the DDT qualification program.

In addition, OCP has been actively conducting regulatory research to help develop DDTs. For example, a clinical study with implications for treatment of cardiac conditions like high cholesterol is currently being sponsored by the Division of Applied Regulatory Science/OCP to identify pharmacodynamic biomarkers to support development of biosimilars for PCSK9 inhibitors (44). This study will assess pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of evolocumab and alirocumab across an appropriate dose range to inform clinical trial operating characteristics for future pharmacodynamics similarity studies. Another major research focus within the division is the in vitro Proarrhythmia Assay (CiPA) collaboration which brings together FDA, its foreign counterparts, non-profits, academia, and drug developers to improve our ability to predict the risk that a drug will cause the potentially fatal cardiac arrhythmia torsades de pointes (45).

OCP also plays a role in the use of in vitro pharmacology assays for precision medicine. Ivacaftor is a cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) potentiator. It is indicated for the treatment of cystic fibrosis (CF) in patients age 4 months and older who have one mutation in the CFTR gene that is responsive to ivacaftor based on clinical and/or in vitro assay data. If the patient’s genotype is unknown, an FDA-cleared CF mutation test should be used to detect the presence of a CFTR mutation followed by verification with bi-directional sequencing when recommended by the mutation test instructions for use (46).

OCP scientists have also been scanning the scientific horizon (see the recent workshop on 3D Cell Culture Models for Drug Pharmacokinetics, Safety, and Efficacy Assessment co-sponsored by the University of Maryland Center of Excellence in Regulatory Science and Innovation and FDA) (47, 48) and researching emerging technologies.

**CONCLUSION**

Continued advances in clinical pharmacology can be the basis of more rational and efficient drug development and improved access to new drug treatments that are tailored to the patient to achieve better efficacy and safety. If we are to achieve this scenario, we need a vigorous, sustained, and forward-looking program of research that brings together diverse scientific talents in productive collaborations. FDA has a wide range of mechanisms to support these collaborations both nationally and globally, including working groups, consortia, Center of Excellence in Regulatory Science and Innovation (CERSI) (49), the Broad Agency Announcement Program (50), and collaborative agreements (e.g., Memoranda of Understanding (51) and Cooperative Research and Development Agreements (52)). OCP is strongly committed to working with all stakeholders to further the ever-expanding discipline of clinical pharmacology in support of the public health.
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