Tangential View and Intraoperative Three-Dimensional Fluoroscopy for the Detection of Screw-Misplacements in Volar Plating of Distal Radius Fractures

Sascha Rausch 1,2; Ivan Marintschev 1; Isabel Graul 1; Arne Wilharm 1; Kajetan Klos 2; Gunther O. Hofmann 1,3; Marc Florian Gras 1

1Trauma Department, Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany
2Foot and Ankle Department, Catholic Medical Center, Mainz, Germany
3Berufsgenossenschaftliche Kliniken Bergmannstrost Halle, Halle, Germany

Received: October 15, 2014; Revised: December 31, 2014; Accepted: February 28, 2015

Background: Volar locking plate fixation has become the gold standard in the treatment of unstable distal radius fractures. Juxta-articular screws should be placed as close as possible to the subchondral zone, in an optimized length to buttress the articular surface and address the contralateral cortical bone. On the other hand, intra-articular screw misplacements will promote osteoarthritis, while the penetration of the contralateral bone surface may result in tendon irritations and ruptures. The intraoperative control of fracture reduction and implant positioning is limited in the common postero-anterior and true lateral two-dimensional (2D)-fluoroscopic views. Therefore, additional 2D-fluoroscopic views in different projections and intraoperative three-dimensional (3D) fluoroscopy were recently reported. Nevertheless, their utility has issued controversies.

Objectives: The following questions should be answered in this study: 1) Are the additional tangential view and the intraoperative 3D fluoroscopy useful in the clinical routine to detect persistent fracture dislocations and screw misplacements, to prevent revision surgery? 2) Which is the most dangerous plate hole for screw misplacement?

Patients and Methods: A total of 48 patients (36 females and 13 males) with 49 unstable distal radius fractures (22 x 23 A; 2 x 23 B, and 25 x 23 C) were treated with a 2.4 mm variable angle LCP Two-Column volar distal radius plate (Synthes GmbH, Oberdorf, Switzerland) during a 10-month period. After final fixation, according to the manufacturers’ technique guide and control of implant placement in the two common perpendicular 2D-fluoroscopic images (postero-anterior and true lateral), an additional tangential view and intraoperative 3D fluoroscopic scan were performed to control the anatomic fracture reduction and screw placements. Intraoperative revision rates due to screw misplacements (intra-articular or overlength) were evaluated. Additionally, the number of surgeons, time and radiation-exposure, for each step of the operating procedure, were recorded.

Results: In the standard 2D-fluoroscopic views (postero-anterior and true lateral projection), 22 screw misplacements of 232 inserted screws were not detected. Based on the additional tangential view, 12 screws were exchanged, followed by further 10 screws after performing the 3D fluoroscopic scan. The most lateral screw position had the highest risk for screw misplacement (accounting for 45.5% of all exchanged screws). The mean number of images for the tangential view was 3 ± 2.5 images. The mean surgical time was extended by 10.02 ± 3.82 minutes for the 3D fluoroscopic scan. An additional radiation exposure of 4.4 ± 4.5 seconds, with a dose area product of 39.2 ± 14.5 cGy/cm² were necessary for the tangential view and 54.4 ± 20.9 seconds with a dose area product of 2.1 ± 2.2 cGy/cm², for the 3D fluoroscopic scan.

Conclusions: We recommend the additional 2D-fluoroscopic tangential view for detection of screw misplacements caused by overlength, with penetration on the dorsal cortical surface of the distal radius, predominantly observed for the most lateral screw position. The use of intraoperative 3D fluoroscopy did not become accepted in our clinical routine, due to the technical demanding and time consuming procedure, with a limited image quality so far.
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1. Background

Volar locking plate fixation became the gold standard in operative treatment of unstable distal radius fractures (1-3). Juxta-articular screws should to be placed as close as possible to the subchondral zone, in an optimized length to buttress the articular surface and address the contralateral cortical bone, to prevent a fragment dislocation under early postoperative functional physiotherapy, especially in dorsal comminuted fractures (4-6). These demands, together with the use of variable angle stable plates, are associated with an increased risk of screw misplacements. Nevertheless, intra-articular screw placements will promote osteoarthritis, while the penetration of the contralateral bone surface may result in extensor tendon irritations and ruptures (7-9). If screw
misplacement is confirmed postoperatively, revision surgery is required to avoid such iatrogenic complications. Therefore, conclusive intraoperative detection of screw misplacements is mandatory. The standard intraoperative control of anatomic fracture reduction and implant placement is the 2D-fluoroscopic view, in two perpendicular planes (postero-anterior and true lateral). These projection images are not sufficient to securely detect screw misplacements, due to the complex shaped distal radius, especially on the dorsal side (10-18). To the best of our knowledge, Doenicke et al. (19) were the first who described an additional 2D fluoroscopic tangential view to detect screw penetrations on the dorsal distal radius, due to screw overlength.

2. Objectives

In recent years, intraoperative 3D fluoroscopy was introduced to acquire CT-like images for the control of anatomic fracture reduction and prevention of implant misplacements, predominantly in articular fractures (20-23). The following two questions should be answered in this study:

1) Are the additional tangential view and the intraoperative 3D fluoroscopy useful in the clinical routine to detect persistent fracture dislocations and screw misplacements to prevent revision surgery?

2) Which is the most dangerous plate hole for screw misplacement?

3. Patients and Methods

Between February and November 2013, all patients with unstable distal radius fractures, treated with a polyaxial locking plate fixation [2.4 mm variable angle LCP Two-Column volar distal radius plate (Synthes GmbH, Oberdorf, Switzerland)], were prospectively included. Exclusion criteria were: I, patient’s age under 18 years; II, refusal of participation; or III, need for a dorsal approach or use of other implants. Surgical procedure: The operation was performed according to the manufactures technique guide. After final fixation of the distal radius fracture including the intraoperative control of fracture reduction and implant placement in the standard 2D fluoroscopy (postero-anterior and true lateral), the additional 2D fluoroscopic tangential view was performed first, followed by the final intraoperative 3D fluoroscopic scan. If any screw misplacement was detected, an exchange of screws was performed immediately after each image control (tangential view or 3D fluoroscopy). The senior consultant classified the fracture types according to the AO-Müller (AO) classification system and analyzed all intraoperative control images. The number and cause for screw exchanges, as well as procedure time (in minutes) and radiation exposure (no. of fluoroscopic images, fluoroscopy time and dose area product) were recorded for each step. Additionally, the number of surgeons and their level of education were documented.

3.1. Performing the 2D-Fluoroscopic Tangential View

The patient’s arm is placed on a radiolucent hand table, in 90° abduction, in the glenohumeral joint. The forearm is 70° flexed in the elbow, with a maximum volar tilt in the wrist joint to get a central fluoroscopic beam path perpendicular to the dorsal surface of the distal radius (Figures 1 and 2).
3.2. Performing the Intraoperative Three-Dimensional Fluoroscopic Scan

The patient’s arm is placed on a radiolucent hand-table in 90° abduction in the glenohumeral joint, with extension in the elbow and neutral position in the wrist. The c-arm (Ziehm Vario 3D, Ziehm Imaging GmbH, Nuremberg, Germany) is placed in a cephalad position, parallel to the patient’s body axis (Figure 3). After adjusting for the exact isocenter, focused on the wrist joint, a manual orbital rotation of 136°, without radiation, is performed as control-scan, to exclude a collision of the c-arm with the hand table. Finally, the operating team leaves the operating room for the automatic scan for image acquisition. Out of one hundred 2D-fluoroscopic images, a computed tomography like 3D data-set will be reconstructed, covering a cube dimension of approximately 12 × 12 × 12 cm. In reformatted 2D-slice imaging, the anatomic reduction and save screw placement were evaluated (Figure 4), while the second surgeon closed the wound.

3.3. Statistical Analysis

A pre-hoc power analysis for an estimated screw misplacement rate of 20%, revealed a minimum study sample size of 46 patients (α-value of 0.05, power 0.8). The descriptive statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee (No. 3630-12/2012).

4. Results

The study included 48 patients (37 women, 11 men) with 49 distal radius fractures (22 right wrist, 25 left wrist, and one, both wrists). The patient’s characteristics are displayed in Table 1. The most common fracture type, according to the AO classification system, was the A3 fracture. Of the total, 15 radius fracture diagnoses were performed by senior consultants while 34 radius fractures by residents under supervision. The mean procedure time was 79 ± 20 minutes. A total of 7.3 fluoroscopic images (14.1 ± 8.2 seconds fluoroscopic time, and 10.0 ± 19.7 cGy/cm² dose area product) were necessary for the standard operating procedure.
Table 1. Patients’ Characteristics

| Variables | Fracture Type Distribution Due to AO Classification | Wrist | Mean Age in Years ± SD (Range) |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| A         | B                                                    | C     | Left Side | Right Side | Both Sides |  |
| All patients | 22   | 2   | 15 | 25 | 22 | 1 | 62.1 ± 16.3 (22 - 84) |
| Female    | 17   | 2   | 18 | 20 | 17 | 0 | 66.7 ± 11.8 (45 - 84) |
| Male      | 5    | 0   | 7  | 5  | 5  | 1 | 47.9 ± 16.7 (22 - 72) |

4.1. Two-Dimensional Fluoroscopic Tangential View

The additional images were 3 ± 2.5 fluoroscopic images (4.4 ± 4.5 seconds fluoroscopy time, 2.12 ± 2.21 cGy/cm² dose area product), which were necessary to obtain a tangential view in an analyzable projection. Twelve of 232 screws in the distal plate-hole row had an overlength not suspicious in the standard fluoroscopic images (postero-anterior and true lateral) and were exchanged intraoperatively.

4.2. Intraoperative Three-Dimensional Fluoroscopy

The additional procedure time for the intraoperative 3D-fluoroscopic scan was 10.02 ± 3.82 minutes. Totally, 3 ± 2.5 fluoroscopic images (54.4 ± 20.9 seconds fluoroscopy time, 39.2 ± 14.5 cGy/cm² dose area product) were necessary to obtain a tangential view in an analyzable projection. In four patients (8.2%) the 3D-fluoroscopic scan could not be finished due to technical errors. Further, 10 of 232 screws (nine penetrating and one intra-articular screw) in the distal fragments were replaced after the 3D-fluoroscopic scan, not identified as suspicious in the three previous 2D-fluoroscopic control views (in postero-anterior, true lateral and tangential projections).

4.3. Most Dangerous Screw Position for Misplacement

In 10 cases (45.5% of all screw misplacements), the screws in the most radial plate hole position had to be exchanged. In five patients, more than one screw had to be replaced. The overall intraoperative revision rate for all 49 distal radius fractures was 34.7%.

5. Discussion

The anatomy of the distal radius is complex and difficult to visualize intraoperatively (14, 24, 25). The articular surface of the radius is biconcave and tilted in different planes. Further, the commonly used volar plating technique does not allow joint visualization and therefore, the intra-articular fracture reduction can be controlled by fluoroscopy, only (2). Extensive experience and detailed knowledge of the anatomy are necessary to restore the anatomical alignment, without articular steps or gaps, and to ensure an adequate screw length, leading to reliable retention of individual fragments. Standard intraoperative postero-anterior and true lateral fluoroscopic views cannot adequately visualize the correct extra-articular subchondral screw position and length (9, 14, 17, 25). Several additional 2D-fluoroscopic projection images have been described to solve this problem (10, 12). Alternatively, intraoperative 3D imaging of articular fracture has become an integrated part of several surgical procedures (20, 21, 23, 26). Different studies described an intraoperative screw replacement rate of 10% to 20% in the treatment of distal radial fractures, which, in the authors’ opinion, spared the patients from further revision surgery (20, 21, 27). Multi-slice imaging allows for precise detection of screw misplacements, poor reduction results due to persistent articular steps and gaps. A common limitation of most of these techniques, however, is the lack of standardization, as well as missing proof of clinical relevance in a large patients population provided in this study. Our findings demonstrate that the additional tangential view (Doenicke view) is a helpful 2D-fluoroscopic image projection for the detection of screw-overlength, with penetration of the dorsal cortical surface in the distal radius. The easy and fast acquisition, with negligible increase of radiation exposure, justifies the application in our routine clinical setting. In contrast, the intraoperative 3D-fluoroscopic scan did not become accepted due to the technical demands, as well as time and cost-intensive procedure, despite the further detection of 10 additional screw misplacements. Important to mention is the fact...
that no revision was performed to increase the reduction quality after the intraoperative 3D-fluoroscopic control scan, due to persistent steps or gaps. Errorneous interpretations, however, may arise from a limited image quality of the 3D-fluoroscopy. The high intraoperative rate for screw revisions in our study may be attributed to the large number of operations performed by inexperienced surgeons (34 by residents and 15 by senior consultants).

In a level I trauma center, most distal radius fractures are a typical training operation for residents supervised by the trauma-surgeons on call. The lack of tactile feed-back for the supervised surgeon makes an adequate intraoperative imaging necessary to avoid revision surgery. The study has several limitations:

I) A direct comparison of both image modalities was not possible, due to the study design that primarily focused on the clinical algorithm, with stepwise exchange of screws after each image acquisition (tangential view and 3D-fluoroscopic scan).

II) The detected screw misplacements could not be verified by direct visualization after soft tissue dissection or by postoperative computed tomography.

III) The observed misplacement rate due to overlength screw cannot be directly extrapolated to potential clinical complications, like tendon synovitis and ruptures.

In conclusion, the additional tangential view (Doenicke view) is recommended for the routine detection of screw overlength, penetrating the posterior cortical surface of the distal radius, which may lead to postoperative tendon irritations and ruptures. The use of the intraoperative 3D-fluoroscopy is subject for selected cases only, due to the limitations discussed above.
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