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ABSTRACT

We introduce the concept of continuous transportation task to the context of multi-agent systems. A continuous transportation task is one in which a multi-agent team visits a number of fixed locations, picks up objects, and delivers them to a transportation hub. The goal is to maximize the rate of transportation while the objects are replenished over time. In this extended abstract, we present a hybrid of centralized and distributed approaches that minimize communications in the multi-agent team. We contribute a novel online partitioning-transportation algorithm with information gathering in the multi-agent team.
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1. INTRODUCTION

We are interested in multi-agent coordination and continuous transportation, where agents visit locations in the environment to transport objects (passengers or items) and deliver them to a transportation hub. The objects replenish over time, and we consider Poisson model of object replenishment. Poisson model is suitable for scenarios with independently-occurring objects, such as passengers appear at the transit stops. Thus, the continuous transportation task is general and applicable to many real-life scenarios, e.g., first/last mile problem, package or mail collection and delivery.

The most similar work has been done is in multi-robot foraging and delivery problem [2, 3]. A multi-robot team forages resources from environment to a home location or replenishes resources over time. In this extended abstract, we present a hybrid of centralized and distributed approaches that minimize communications in the multi-agent team. We contribute a novel online partitioning-transportation algorithm with information gathering in the multi-agent team.

2. PROBLEM AND APPROACH

In this section, we formally define the multi-agent continuous transportation problem in detail, and give an overview of our approach. In a continuous transportation task, a multi-agent team visits a number of fixed locations and transports objects to a transportation hub.

2.1 Formal Problem Definition

The multi-agent continuous transportation problem can be defined as follows:

- $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, ..., a_k\}$ is the set of transportation agents, e.g., the autonomous vehicles.
- $s_i, c_i$, and $y_i$ ($\leq c_i$) denote agent $a_i$’s speed, maximum capacity, and current load, i.e., the number of objects carried.
- $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, ..., l_n\}$ is the set of locations, where $l_0$ is the transportation hub.
- $v_{j,t}$ denotes the number of objects available at location $l_j$ at time step $t$, e.g., the number of passengers appear at the transit stop $l_j$.
- $v_{j,t}^{(a_i)}$ denotes $a_i$’s estimate of $v_{j,t}$ at time step $t$.
- $o_j$ denotes the observation made at location $l_j$. When a transportation agent $a_i$ arrives at a location $l_j$ ($j > 0$), $\min(v_{j,t}, c_i - y_i)$ objects at $l_j$ are picked up by $a_i$, and $a_i$ makes an observation $o_j$ of the number of objects remaining. When $a_i$ arrives at $l_0$, all $y_i$ objects carried by $a_i$ are transferred to $l_0$.
- $D: \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is the distance function of the locations.
- $t(a_i, l_j, l_k) = \left\lceil \frac{D(l_j, l_k)}{s_i} \right\rceil$ is the time steps taken for an agent $a_i$ to move from location $l_j$ to $l_k$.

The goal is to maximize the rate of objects delivered to the transportation hub $l_0$ within $T$ time steps, i.e., maximize $\frac{\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=0} {v_{j,t}^{(a_i)}}}{T}$.
2.2 Our Approach

Our approach for solving the continuous transportation problem is:

- We assume that $v_{j,t}$ follows a known model — in this paper, we assume that $v_{j,t}$ follows the Poisson model, where the number of objects replenished every time step follows a Poisson distribution with mean $\lambda_j$. However, the parameters of the models (i.e., $\lambda_j$) are not known in advance;
- The estimates $\hat{v}_{j,t}$ are updated using the model and observations from transportation agents $a_i$ visiting location $l_j$;
- Following [2], the transportation agents do not share their models $\hat{v}_{j,t}$ since it could be expensive subject to the communication bandwidth and team size. Different from [2], in our approach, the sharing of destination and load among agents are not required.

We contribute an online algorithm that partitions the locations based on their 2D-position and estimated replenishment rate, and controls the transportation agents $a_i$ visiting location $l_j$;

The estimates $\hat{v}_{j,t}$ are updated using the model and observations from transportation agents $a_i$ visiting location $l_j$;

Following [2], the transportation agents do not share their models $\hat{v}_{j,t}$ since it could be expensive subject to the communication bandwidth and team size. Different from [2], in our approach, the sharing of destination and load among agents are not required.

We introduce an online balanced partitioning algorithm that dynamically corrects the transportation rate of clusters based on their 2D-position and estimated replenishment rate, and controls the transportation agents $a_i$ visiting location $l_j$, that use $\hat{v}_{j,t}$ to plan their next destination within the cluster of locations assigned. The algorithm dynamically repartitions locations based on information gathered by the multi-agent team.

3. ALGORITHM AND EVALUATION

Our Online Balanced Partitioning (OBP) algorithm begins with statically partition the locations into clusters based on 2D-position of locations with $k$-means algorithm. The algorithm is inspired by algorithms proposed for continuous foraging [2]. The main difference is that the agents replan destinations in the cluster of locations assigned, instead of all the locations. The replanning of destination within each cluster is based on Greedy Rate [2], i.e., maximizing the transportation rate. Further, due to partitioning, communications of destinations and loads are not required.

By only considering the 2D-position of locations, the workload of each agent might not be balanced, i.e., the total replenishment rate of some clusters could be so high that the agents cannot afford it, while some other agents are idle. On the other hand, the result of standard $k$-means algorithm depends on the choice of initial centroid which is randomly generated. In this case, we introduce online balanced partitioning, i.e., balance estimated total replenishment rate of each cluster with information gathering. The replenishment rate is not known in advance. The agents use preset estimated replenishment rate to update its estimated number of objects. The estimate can only be corrected when they visit the location and make an observation. Since we focus on Poisson replenishment model, where number of objects replenished per time step follows a mean value, we believe that estimated replenishment rate can be corrected with continuous observations, i.e., the total number of objects replenished divided by time steps elapsed.

Figure 1 shows the performance of our Online Balanced Partitioning (OBP) algorithm when the capacities of the agents are 10 and the number of locations are 20. In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of OBP, we compared OBP with GR+EO [2] which requires the presence of a reconnaissance agent. The solid red, blue, and gray lines show Online Balanced Partitioning (OBP), Greedy Rate with Expected Observation (GR+EO), and Random Transportation (R), and the shaded areas show the standard deviations of these algorithms.

As the number of agents increase, OBP outperforms all other algorithms, even GR+EO ($p = 3 \times 10^{-23}$), primarily because of the dynamic partitioning with information gathering by the multi-agent team. It clearly illustrates the efficacy of our OBP algorithms over GR. Without the use of reconnaissance agent and communications among agents, our OBP algorithm can still outperform GR+EO algorithm.
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