Microtubule plus-end tracking proteins in neuronal development
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Abstract Regulation of the microtubule cytoskeleton is of pivotal importance for neuronal development and function. One such regulatory mechanism centers on microtubule plus-end tracking proteins (+TIPs): structurally and functionally diverse regulatory factors, which can form complex macromolecular assemblies at the growing microtubule plus-ends. +TIPs modulate important properties of microtubules including their dynamics and their ability to control cell polarity, membrane transport and signaling. Several neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative diseases are associated with mutations in +TIPs or with misregulation of these proteins. In this review, we focus on the role and regulation of +TIPs in neuronal development and associated disorders.

Keywords Neuron · Development · Polarity · Cytoskeleton · Microtubule · Plus-end tracking proteins · EB · CLIP · CLASP

Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| AIS          | Axon initial segment |
| BDNF         | Brain-derived neurotrophic factor |
| CAMSAP       | Calmodulin-regulated spectrin-associated protein |
| CAP-Gly      | Cytoskeletal-associated protein glycine-rich |
| CEP          | Centrosomal protein |
| CFEOM1       | Congenital fibrosis of the extraocular muscles type 1 |
| CH           | Calponin homology |
| CLASP        | Cytoplasmic linker protein-associated protein |
| CLIP         | Cytoplasmic linker protein |
| DRG          | Dorsal root ganglia |
| EB           | End-binding protein |
| EFA-6        | Exchange factor for Arf6 |
| EM           | Electron microscopy |
| ER           | Endoplasmic reticulum |
| GAR          | Growth arrest-specific 2 protein-related region |
| GSK3\(\beta\) | Glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta |
| HMN7B        | Hereditary motor neuropathy 7B |
| MACF         | Microtubule-actin crosslinking factor |
| MAP          | Microtubule-associated protein |
| MCAK         | Mitotic centromere-associated kinesin |
| MT           | Microtubule |
| NAV          | Neuron navigator |
| NMDA         | \(N\)-Methyl-\(D\)-aspartate |
| PI3K         | Phosphoinositide 3-kinase |
| SCA11        | Spinocerebellar ataxia type 11 |
| SOCE         | Store operated calcium entry |
| STIM1        | Stromal interaction molecule 1 |
| TDP-43       | Transactive response DNA binding protein of 43 kDa |
| +TIP         | Microtubule plus-end tracking protein |
| TOG          | Tumor overexpressed gene |
| TTBK         | Tau-tubulin kinase |

\(^1\) Cell Biology, Faculty of Science, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands
Introduction

Microtubules (MTs) are one of the major types of filaments that constitute the eukaryotic cytoskeleton. Over the years, MTs have emerged as key players in cellular processes such as vesicle and organelle transport, DNA segregation during mitosis, cell migration and maintenance of cell polarity. Neurons are among the most complex and polarized cells, whose distinct morphology allows them to establish intercellular connections and propagate chemical and electrical signals across the nervous system. Mature neurons typically extend multiple processes, one of which (the axon) serves as a transmitter whereas others (the dendrites) act as receivers of input from other neurons. MTs are important for numerous functions in nerve cells (reviewed in [1–3]), such as long-range transport of cargo and neuron-specific processes like growth cone guidance. Indeed, MTs are indispensable for neurodevelopment, and many neurological diseases stem from defects in the MT cytoskeleton or its regulation.

Evidence for the existence of MTs was first obtained from electron microscopy (EM) data in the 1950s (reviewed in [4]). Prior to the development of EM, fibrillar structures had already been described as part of the mitotic spindle and cytoplasm. However, interpretative differences and technical limitations of early microscopy made it challenging to identify unity among observations [4]. It therefore was not until 1963 that MTs were acknowledged as distinct structures and named by Slautterback, Ledbetter and Porter [5, 6]. Today, we know that MTs are hollow tubes with a diameter of approximately 25 nm. MTs are typically assembled from 13 laterally associating protofilaments, which in turn consist of α, β-tubulin dimers aligned in a head-to-tail fashion. As a consequence of tubulin dimer polarity, MTs possess polarity throughout, which results in distinct ends of the polymer: the minus- and the plus-end, exposing α- and β-tubulin, respectively. MTs alternate between rapid phases of growth and shrinkage, a behavior termed ‘dynamic instability’ [7]. A transition from shrinkage to growth is called a rescue, whereas the opposite transition is referred to as a catastrophe.

Dynamic instability allows MTs to be swiftly remodeled in response to environmental cues. The MT cytoskeleton is suitable for rapidly sensing and responding to changes in the intracellular environment. To this end, the MT cytoskeleton acts in concert with a large number of proteins (MAPs for MT-associated proteins) that either influence MTs themselves or relay signals from the MT cytoskeleton to other parts of the cell. MAPs are known to regulate MT behavior such as stability, assembly, bundling and targeting by associating with specific parts of the MT lattice or by interacting with the soluble tubulin pool. Well-characterized neuronal MAPs include MAP2 and tau, which maintain a polarized, mutually exclusive distribution and decorate MT bundles in dendrites and axons, respectively. Both proteins stabilize MTs and are able to induce MT bundling (reviewed in [8]). Abnormal phosphorylation of tau triggers its dissociation from MTs and causes tau to aggregate, resulting in the formation of potentially toxic tau deposits (neurofibrillary tangles) found in the brains of patients suffering from Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and other tauopathies. This process is accompanied by degradation of the axonal MT cytoskeleton, suggesting a model in which dissociation of tau results in MT instability. It should be noted, however, that the precise hierarchy of events during the onset of AD remains unclear. Additional roles for tau are still emerging and may shed new light on the biology of tauopathies (reviewed in [9]). Among these is the regulation of the subcellular distribution of MAPs that specifically bind to the growing MT plus-end [10], the subclass of MAPs that this review will focus on.

MT dynamics are most pronounced at the plus-end. Although growth events have been observed at the MT minus-end [11], in cells minus-ends are often anchored or stabilized, restricting their dynamic behavior [12]. At the growing plus-end, freshly polymerized MT stretches contain GTP-loaded β-tubulin as opposed to the GDP-bound subunits present in the MT lattice, resulting in a so-called GTP cap. Moreover, the structures of polymerizing and depolymerizing MT plus-ends are different [13]. The unique chemical environment of the polymerizing MT plus-end grants it its own interactome within the realm of MAPs, consisting of MT plus-end tracking proteins (+TIPs; reviewed in [14–16]). +TIPs display a large structural and functional variation between individual proteins. However, a common theme sets them apart from other MAPs: +TIPs associate with the polymerizing MT plus-end, where they act as powerful regulators of MT dynamics and MT interactions with other structures.

In this review, we use the neuronal MT cytoskeleton to illustrate the role of +TIPs in the development of one of the most polarized and complex cell types. Before discussing plus-end tracking mechanisms and highlighting the roles and regulation of various +TIPs in neurons, we touch upon the function of the MT cytoskeleton in the developing and mature nervous system. Moreover, we highlight the role of +TIPs in neurodegenerative and neurodevelopmental diseases. We conclude this review with an outlook on the future of neuronal +TIP research and briefly discuss the drug target potential of these pivotal proteins.
Microtubules in neurons

Neurons are derived from progenitor cells located in the ventricular zone deep inside the brain, necessitating young neurons to migrate large distances into remote regions. During their journey, neurons undergo dramatic changes in morphology and establish complex polarity. Even mature neurons must remain plastic as connections between neurons, synapses, are continuously rewired in response to stimuli. This intricate development relies heavily on both the MT and the actin cytoskeleton, on their crosstalk and on their accessory proteins. For a detailed analysis of the role of the cytoskeleton and in particular MTs during neuronal development, we refer the reader to a number of excellent reviews [1–3, 17]. Here, we briefly highlight some of the main events involving MTs during the maturation of multipolar neurons. It should be noted that the development of neurons extending a single process, unipolar neurons, is considerably different [18].

Microtubules during neurite formation and axon outgrowth

Neurons start out as spherical, unpolarized cells with a MT organization similar to that commonly found in mammalian cells (Fig. 1a). In young neurons, MTs mainly nucleate from the centrosome, with MT minus-ends pointing inward and plus-ends oriented towards the cell periphery [19]. Upon differentiation, neurons undergo symmetry breaking. During this event, the neuron extends multiple processes that start as small buds on the membrane and elongate to form thin protrusions [20]. These early protrusions, termed neurites, mature into axons and dendrites when the neuron polarizes. It has been proposed that neurite formation is powered, at least in part, by MT-dependent force to trigger protrusion formation [21]. Another model proposes that the motor protein kinesin-1 powers the displacement of MTs along other MTs, exerting a mechanical force on the membrane which results in neurite extension [22] (Fig. 1b).

Shortly after neurite extension, the axon is formed (Fig. 1c). This event is preceded by local stabilization of MTs in the pre-axonal neurite [23]. As the newly formed axon starts to elongate, it relies on stable MT tracks for the transport of proteins and organelles necessary for the formation of new axonal segments [24]. The direction of axon outgrowth is determined by the growth cone, which probes the extracellular environment to allow non-random establishment of synaptic connections. Amidst the actin, which drives invasion of the extracellular matrix (reviewed in [25]), an array of MTs controls the direction in which the growth cone advances (Fig. 1d; [26]). The MT array assumes a looped conformation in pausing growth cones [27], while active growth cones maintain a dynamic MT array. These MTs probe the growth cone cortex and respond to guidance signals by being stabilized or destabilized, prompting the growth cone to turn towards or away from the guidance cue, respectively [24, 28]. As the axon matures further, it branches to allow higher interconnectivity. Branch formation is accomplished by splaying of tau-decorated MT bundles at branching sites. Here, dynamic MTs invade actin-rich areas close to the membrane to form a collateral branch [29]. Thus, while dynamic MTs play only a minor role in neurite outgrowth, they are crucial for axon polarization, pathfinding and branching.

Microtubules during dendrite maturation

Dendritic differentiation occurs later in development than axogenesis and prompts dramatic changes to the soon-to-be dendritic MT infrastructure. Prior to dendritogenesis, mammalian precursor neurites cycle between phases of growth and shrinkage and maintain ∼80 % plus-end out1 MT directionality [30]. Perhaps the most striking feature of the dendritic MT cytoskeleton is the appearance of MTs with their minus-ends oriented towards the dendritic tips [31, 32] (Fig. 1e). However, it should be noted that the ratio between minus- and plus-end out MT orientations changes per neuron type and even differs between regions of the same dendrite. In invertebrates, as much as ninety percent of dendritic MTs are oriented minus-end out [33, 34]. Differences in MT orientations between axon and dendrites likely contribute to proper targeting of specific cargo by enabling transport by specific motor proteins. While dendrites branch more than axons, the behavior of MTs during this process has been studied less extensively. Specialized Golgi compartments called Golgi outposts were identified as potential sites of MT nucleation in centrosome-free Drosophila neurons [35], and were shown to localize to dendritic branch points [36]. Since axon branching depends on dynamic MTs arising from splayed bundles, it seems likely that dynamic MTs nucleated at Golgi outposts fulfill a similar role in dendritic branching [35].

1 With the terminology ‘plus-end out’, we designate MTs with their plus-end oriented towards the cell periphery, or towards dendritic or axonal tips. ‘Minus-end out’ indicates MTs of which the minus-end is oriented towards the cell periphery or process tips.
Microtubules and synapses

The formation of synapses, connections between the axon of one neuron and the dendrite of another, is the final and ongoing step in neuronal maturation. Excitatory synapses are formed on dendritic spines, which are actin-rich protrusions on the dendrite that scaffold the post-synaptic density. The absence of MAP2-positive MTs in dendritic spines raised the belief that the MT cytoskeleton remained confined to the dendritic shaft [37]. However, visualization of the behavior of MTs and MT plus-ends revealed that dynamic MTs transiently invade dendritic spines by polymerizing from proximal sites [38–41]. MT-depolymerizing drugs such as nocodazole markedly reduce the number of spines, while the number of dendrites remains unaffected [38]. Nocodazole also blocks the spine-inducing effect of the growth factor BDNF (brain-derived neurotrophic factor), while the MT stabilizing drug taxol enhances BDNF-
induced spine formation [40]. Finally, depletion of end-binding protein 3 (EB3), a key scaffolding factor at the MT plus-end and regulator of MT dynamics discussed below, reduces the amount of spines [40]. These studies imply dynamic MTs as modulators of neuronal plasticity. +TIPs involved in synaptic remodeling may rely on dynamic MT plus-ends as a means of reaching spines targeted for remodeling [38]. Other candidate regulatory mechanisms involve the facilitation of cargo delivery to the postsynaptic terminal [1] (Fig. 1f), though it remains to be elucidated exactly which events are at play.

Microtubule plus-end tracking proteins in neurons

MT behavior during neurodevelopment has been catalogued extensively. However, research has only just begun to uncover which factors control MT dynamics and how MTs are able to relay intricate signals in neurons. Many of the cellular processes and the molecular mechanisms that underlie them remain unknown to date.

Since +TIPs have emerged as potent MT regulators, they are excellent candidates to control MTs and relay their signals during neuronal development and homeostasis. Indeed, numerous +TIPs have already been linked to neurodevelopmental functions (Table 1). New +TIPs are still discovered on a regular basis, and it is likely that additional roles for +TIPs in neurons will be uncovered in the future. Current knowledge suggests that, based on their mode of association with MTs, +TIPs can be divided into three categories: end-binding proteins (EBs), EB-dependent +TIPs and EB-independent +TIPs. It should be noted that even in the case of EB-independent +TIPs, there is crosstalk between these +TIPs and EBs albeit indirectly. Therefore, none of these categories can be regarded as fully independent.

End-binding proteins

EBs are at the core of the MT plus-end interactome. They are known to regulate MT behavior both autonomously and by providing a structural scaffold for other +TIPs [42–44]. EB plus-end tracking depends on an N-terminal calponin homology (CH) domain that grants MT affinity [45]. It has been shown that EB proteins associate with the MT plus-end by a CH-dependent nucleotide sensing mechanism [46, 47]. MT binding is regulated by a negatively charged C-terminal domain, which repels the negatively charged MT lattice and thereby contributes to specificity for the MT plus-end [48]. In addition, C-terminal coiled-coil and EB-homology domains mediate homo- and heterodimerization as well as interaction with other proteins including +TIPs [49, 50].

EBs mainly function as scaffolding proteins at the MT plus-end, where they form a hub for other +TIPs to associate with and thereby regulate local protein composition and MT dynamics. This is illustrated by the fact that EBs promote catastrophes when reconstituted with tubulin in biochemical preparations, while they reduce the number of catastrophes and promote continuous MT growth in cells. This suggests that EBs primarily act on other MT regulators in cells rather than autonomously [44]. Plus-end bound EBs rapidly exchange with the cytosolic pool, providing a rapidly remodeling platform for protein binding [43, 51]. Quantitative proteomic studies of non-neuronal cell lines have revealed that EBs are the most abundant plus-end binding proteins: the EB family outnumbers the second most abundant +TIPs by factors of approximately 7–30 in cultured fibroblasts [52, 53]. While technical limitations arising from sample heterogeneity have hampered large-scale quantitative proteomics studies in nerve cells [54], it is expected that EBs dominate neuronal MT plus-ends in a similar fashion as in cultured fibroblasts. The relatively high concentration of EBs compared to other +TIPs offers a simple explanation for how EB-decorated plus-ends are efficiently formed and maintained. Such a hub provides cells with an extra layer of control to regulate large numbers of +TIPs with minimal changes to the MT cytoskeleton itself, making it easier to retain MT integrity and reliant functions alongside.

In mammalian cells, the EB family is represented by three members (EB1, EB2 and EB3), which all bind to MT plus-ends but differ in their affinity for MT tips, phosphorylation and affinity for binding partners [44, 55–59]. EB1 and EB2 appear to be expressed ubiquitously, while EB3 is strongly expressed in muscle and brain tissue [60, 61]. During neurodevelopment, EB1 expression decreases while EB3 expression is upregulated [38]. Axon extension coincides with EB1 expression in neuroblastoma cells [62]. In Drosophila, depletion of EB1 impairs axon outgrowth and leads to the disorganization, but not loss, of MTs [63]. Other studies also point in the direction of a role for EB1 in axogenesis [64], and suggest a differential role of EB proteins in neurite formation. EB1 and EB3 have a positive role in neurite outgrowth, while EB2 has a negative effect [65], possibly because EB1 and EB3 have a higher affinity than EB2 for MT-stabilizing partners [56, 66]. EB3 has been specifically implicated in neuritogenesis in the context of actin-MT interactions [67], suggesting that the mechanisms underlying the importance of EBs for controlling neuronal morphogenesis can be quite complex.

Apart from scaffolding other +TIPs, EBs may also aid the capture of MT plus-ends for regulatory purposes. For example, MTs are proposed to rely on EB3 and Drebrin to enter actin-rich spines. Drebrin interacts with the growing MT plus-end via an unconventional interaction with EB3
| +TIP (common aliases) | Mode(s) of MT plus-end association | Reported involvement in neurodevelopment | Human neurological disease association(s) | References |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------|
| Amer2 (FAM123A)      | SxIP motif                        | Neuronal migration                     | Autism; brain tumor-polyposis syndrome 2 | [188]     |
| APC (DP2.5)          | Autonomous; SxIP motif; kinesin-dependent | Neuronal migration; neurite outgrowth; axon specification; axon outgrowth; axon branching; growth cone steering; synaptic maturation | Autism; brain tumor-polyposis syndrome 2 | [189–199] |
| APC2 (APCL)          | SxIP motif                        | Neuronal migration; axon branching; growth cone steering | Sotos syndrome | [200–202] |
| CDK5RAP2 (Cep215)    | SxIP motif                        | Neuronal migration; axon outgrowth; growth cone steering | Autosomal recessive primary microcephaly (ARPM) | [203–206] |
| CEP104 (KIAA0562)    | SxIP motif                        | Neural progenitor cell division        | Autosomal recessive primary microcephaly (ARPM) | [207]     |
| ch-TOG (CKAP5)       | Autonomous                        | Axon outgrowth                         | Joubert syndrome | [128, 129] |
| CLASP1/2 (1: hOrbit1, KIAA0622; 2: hOrbit2, KIAA0627) | SxIP motif                        | Axon outgrowth; growth cone steering; dendritic branching; synaptic maintenance; synaptic activity | Joubert syndrome | [90, 91, 93–96] |
| CLIP-115/170 (−115: CLIP2, WBSCR3, WBSCR4, KIAA0291; −170: CLIP1, CYLN1, restin) | CAP-Gly domain                     | Axon formation; axon outgrowth; growth cone dynamics; dendritic outgrowth; dendritic branching | Williams syndrome (CLIP-115); autosomal recessive intellectual disability (CLIP-170) | [82, 83, 85, 208] |
| CTTNBP2 (CORTBP2, C7Orf8, KIAA1758) | SxIP motif                        | Dendritic branching; dendritic spine formation; dendritic spine maintenance; synaptic signaling | Autism | [209–213] |
| DDA3 (PSRC1, FP3214) | SxIP motif                        | Neurite outgrowth; axon formation      | Autism | [214]     |
| EB1–3 (MAPRE1–3)     | Autonomous                        | Neurite outgrowth; axon formation      | Autism | [214]     |
| FILIP1 (KIAA1275)    | SxIP motif                        | Neuronal migration                     | Autism | [217]     |
| iASPP (PPP1R13L, NKIP1, RAI) | SxIP motif                        | Neuronal fate after injury             | Glioma; stroke | [218–221] |
| KIF2C (MCAK, kinesin-13) | SxIP motif; Plus-end directed motor activity | Neuronal migration; neurite outgrowth; axon outgrowth; axon branching; growth cone steering; dendritic outgrowth; cell surface receptor transport | Microcephaly with or without chorioreinopathy, lymphoedema, or mental retardation (MCLMR); glioma | [222]       |
| KIF11 (Eg5, TRIP5, KNSL1) | SxIP motif                        | Neuronal migration; neurite outgrowth; axon outgrowth; axon branching; growth cone steering; dendritic outgrowth; cell surface receptor transport | Microcephaly with or without chorioreinopathy, lymphoedema, or mental retardation (MCLMR); glioma | [223–231] |
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[188] [189–199] [200–202] [203–206] [207] [128, 129] [90, 91, 93–96] [82, 83, 85, 208] [209–213] [214] [217] [218–221] [222] [223–231]
| +TIP (common aliases) | Mode(s) of MT plus-end association | Reported involvement in neurodevelopment                                                                 | Human neurological disease association(s)                                                                 | References |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| LIS1 (PAFAH1B1)     | Via CLIP-170                      | Neural progenitor cell division; neuronal migration; neurite outgrowth; axon outgrowth; dendritic outgrowth; dendritic branching; synaptic formation; synaptic activity; dynein-based transport | Lissencephaly; subcortical band heterotopia (SBH)                                                                 | [232–247] |
| MACF1 (ACF7, macrophin-1, ABP620, trabeculin-alpha, KIAA1251) | SxIP motif                        | Neuronal migration; axon outgrowth; axon branching; dendritic branching; dendritic spine maturation         | Spectraplakinopathy type 1                                                                                   | [63, 99, 101, 144, 248, 249] |
| MACF2               | SxIP motif                        | Axonal transport; maintenance of axonal cytoskeleton integrity; maintenance of Golgi integrity; ER stress level regulation; autophagy | Dystonia; hereditary sensory autonomic neuropathy                                                          | [104–109] |
| Neuron navigators 1–3 (NAV1/2/3; Steerin-1/2/3; Unc53H1/2/3; −1: POMFIL3, KIAA1151, KIAA1213; −2: HELAD1, RAINB1, POMFIL2, KIAA1419; −3: POMFIL1, KIAA0938) | SxIP motif                        | Neuronal migration; neurite outgrowth; axon outgrowth                                                      | Neuroblastoma (NAV3)                                                                                       | [135, 250–258] |
| P140Cap (SNIP, SRCIN1, KIAA1684) | SxIP motif                        | Dendritic spine formation; dendritic spine maintenance; synaptic vesicle secretion                           |                                                                                                           | [38, 113, 115, 116, 259, 260] |
| p150glued (dynactin subunit 1, DCTN1, p135, DAP-150) | CAP-Gly domain                    | Dynein-based transport                                                                                   | Perry syndrome; hereditary motor neuropathy 7B (HMN7B); amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)              | [157, 159, 162, 163, 261, 262] |
| SLAIN1/2 (−1: C1orf32; −2: KIAA1458) | SxIP motif                        | Axon outgrowth                                                                                           |                                                                                                           | [128] |
| STIM1 (GOK)         | SxIP motif                        | Neural differentiation; growth cone steering; SOCE                                                        | Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS); neurogenic muscular atrophy; Huntington’s disease; neuroblastoma; brain damage after insult or injury | [118, 119, 263–269] |
| Syntabulin (Golsyn, KIAA1472) | SxIP motif                        | Axonal transport; synaptic plasticity; mitochondria trafficking                                            |                                                                                                           | [270–273] |
Drebrin localization becomes enhanced in spines upon NMDA (N-methyl-D-aspartate) receptor activity, whereupon Drebrin is believed to capture EB-decorated MT plus-ends near or in the spine neck and thereby guide dynamic MT entry into spines. Accordingly, Drebrin overexpression and increased amounts of F-actin upregulate the number of MT entries into spines [41].

Interestingly, another neuron-specific role for EB1/3 was reported that does not depend on plus-end tracking. EBs are enriched in the axon initial segment (AIS) of hippocampal neurons, where they contribute to AIS integrity and maintenance [69]. This possibly contributes to enhanced MT stability in the AIS [69], although the precise mechanism remains unclear.

**EB-dependent +TIPs: CAP-Gly proteins**

Most known EB-dependent +TIPs can be divided in two categories, depending on their mode of association with EB proteins. The first category consists of a minority of +TIPs that contain an evolutionarily conserved cytoskeletal-associated protein glycine-rich (CAP-Gly) domain, which associates with EEY/F motifs in the C-terminus of EB proteins and tubulin [70–73].

An example of one such CAP-Gly domain-containing +TIP is p150glued: the largest out of eleven subunits of the dynactin complex. Dynactin is essential to nearly all functions of dynein, the most prominent minus-end directed motor protein [74]. Dynein plays a particularly important role in axons, wherein transport into the soma relies on minus-end directed transport due to the uniform plus-end out orientation of MTs. It is likely that multiple mechanisms contribute to dynein activation at different locations along the neuron [74]. At the MT plus-end specifically, one such model explains how retrograde transport is initiated when the dynamic MT plus-end loaded with p150glued and other dynein regulators encounters minus-end directed cargos ([75] and reviewed in [74]). While the role of dynactin in dynein plus-end targeting appears to differ between organisms [74], research suggests that dynactin accumulation at the MT plus-ends in axons of murine dorsal root ganglia (DRG) neurons contributes to long-range retrograde transport by recruiting dynein to vesicles [76]. It has been postulated that this function is exerted by a neuron-specific p150glued isoform, which reduces the frequency of catastrophes and thus increases MT stability [77].

Although p150glued binds to EBs directly, its affinity for MT plus-ends appears not to be very high. In cells, p150glued is assisted in targeting the plus-ends by another CAP-Gly domain containing protein, cytoplasmic linker protein of 170 kDa or CLIP-170 [76, 78]. Mammals also
Since discussing all currently identified SxIP proteins is beyond the scope of this review, we here focus on a selection of prominent examples to illustrate the broad range of neurodevelopmental functions of these proteins which utilize a short linear motif known as the SxIP motif (serine/threonine-any amino acid-isoleucine/proline) to bind the EB homology domain ([42] and reviewed in [14]). SxIP motifs are generally embedded in unstructured amino acid stretches enriched in proline, serine and basic residues, resulting in a positive charge [42]. Further computational analysis revealed that the nine amino acids surrounding the SxIP motif cannot contain acidic amino acids, and that at least one basic amino acid is present in the four amino acids preceding the motif [56]. Since discussing all currently identified SxIP proteins is beyond the scope of this review, we here focus on a selection of prominent examples to illustrate the broad range of neurodevelopmental functions of these proteins. All currently known neurodevelopmental functions are listed per +TIP in Table 1, along with their mode of association with the MT plus-end and the known neurological disease associations.

Among the most conserved SxIP proteins are CLASPs (cytoplasmic linker protein-associated proteins), the mammalian versions of which were discovered through their association with CLIPs [86]. Similar to CLIPs, there are two CLASP-encoding genes in mammals: CLASP1, which is expressed ubiquitously, and CLASP2, the products of which appear enriched in nervous tissue [86]. CLASPs utilize their SxIP motifs to bind EB1 and contain several additional TOG (tumor overexpressed gene) domains which might be redundant with that of other MT regulators.

**EB-dependent +TIPS: SxIP proteins**

The largest subclass of EB-dependent +TIPS comprises proteins which utilize a short linear motif known as the SxIP motif (serine/threonine-any amino acid-isoleucine/proline) to bind the EB homology domain ([42] and reviewed in [14]). SxIP motifs are generally embedded in unstructured amino acid stretches enriched in proline, serine and basic residues, resulting in a positive charge [42]. Further computational analysis revealed that the nine amino acids surrounding the SxIP motif cannot contain acidic amino acids, and that at least one basic amino acid is present in the four amino acids preceding the motif [56]. Since discussing all currently identified SxIP +TIPS is beyond the scope of this review, we here focus on a selection of prominent examples to illustrate the broad range of neurodevelopmental functions of these proteins. All currently known neurodevelopmental functions are listed per +TIP in Table 1, along with their mode of association with the MT plus-end and the known neurological disease associations.

Among the most conserved SxIP proteins are CLASPs (cytoplasmic linker protein-associated proteins), the mammalian versions of which were discovered through their association with CLIPs [86]. Similar to CLIPs, there are two CLASP-encoding genes in mammals: CLASP1, which is expressed ubiquitously, and CLASP2, the products of which appear enriched in nervous tissue [86]. CLASPs utilize their SxIP motifs to bind EB1 and contain several additional TOG (tumor overexpressed gene) domains which might be redundant with that of other MT regulators.

**EB-dependent +TIPS: SxIP proteins**

The largest subclass of EB-dependent +TIPS comprises proteins which utilize a short linear motif known as the SxIP motif (serine/threonine-any amino acid-isoleucine/proline) to bind the EB homology domain ([42] and reviewed in [14]). SxIP motifs are generally embedded in unstructured amino acid stretches enriched in proline, serine and basic residues, resulting in a positive charge [42]. Further computational analysis revealed that the nine amino acids surrounding the SxIP motif cannot contain acidic amino acids, and that at least one basic amino acid is present in the four amino acids preceding the motif [56]. Since discussing all currently identified SxIP +TIPS is beyond the scope of this review, we here focus on a selection of prominent examples to illustrate the broad range of neurodevelopmental functions of these proteins. All currently known neurodevelopmental functions are listed per +TIP in Table 1, along with their mode of association with the MT plus-end and the known neurological disease associations.

Among the most conserved SxIP proteins are CLASPs (cytoplasmic linker protein-associated proteins), the mammalian versions of which were discovered through their association with CLIPs [86]. Similar to CLIPs, there are two CLASP-encoding genes in mammals: CLASP1, which is expressed ubiquitously, and CLASP2, the products of which appear enriched in nervous tissue [86]. CLASPs utilize their SxIP motifs to bind EB1 and contain several additional TOG (tumor overexpressed gene) domains which might be redundant with that of other MT regulators.
crosstalk and renders them a popular subject for neurodevelopmental research. Indeed, homozygous MACF1 knockout mice are not viable, and mutant mice die from neuronal migration defects when MACF1 is depleted during development [99]. MACF1 is known to guide MTs along actin filaments and to mediate MT capture at actin-rich sites near the membrane [100], consistent with a role for MACF1 and its orthologs in growth cone MT organization and axon extension [101]. In case of the Drosophila MACF1 ortholog Shot, this function was shown to depend on its SxIP motifs and interaction with EB1 [63]. MACF1 has also been implicated in formation of growth cone filopodia, although for Shot this function does not rely on its actin- or MT-binding domains [101].

The second mammalian spectraplakin, MACF2 or dystonin, is best known for its role in the neurological disorder dystonia. MACF2 knockout mice develop dystonia and show repetitive muscle spasms [102], and mutations in MACF2 have been identified in patients with Hereditary Sensory Autonomic Neuropathy [103]. These pathologies are associated with the degeneration of sensory and autonomic nerves [102, 103]. Axons of MACF2 null mice degenerate as a result of MT fragmentation, which is believed to contribute to the dystonia phenotype independent of the neurofilament-binding functions of MACF2 [104]. MACF2 has also been implicated in retrograde axonal transport by interacting with p150glued [105]. This function may depend on MACF2’s EB-dependent association with the MT plus-end, as overexpression of peptides which competitively block EB–SxIP interactions inhibit retrograde transport of endosomes [106]. Another important factor in dystonia may be the neuron’s inability to regulate ER stress levels, Golgi integrity, MT acetylation and autophagy due to loss of a neuron-specific MACF2 isoform (BPAG1-a2) [107–109]. This particular isoform has an N-terminal trans-membrane domain and does not localize to MTs [110], although it does affect MTs near the centrosome via an association with MAP1B [108] and retains the C-terminal SxIP motifs. The same isoform was shown to partially rescue phenotypes in a dystonia muscularum mouse model [111]. On the other hand, another neuron-specific MACF2 splice variant (BPAG1n3) exclusively binds MTs and may be involved in sustaining axonal MT integrity [104], suggesting distinct functions for different MACF2 isoforms. The same holds true for the many splice variants of MACF1, and more research is needed to elucidate the contribution of spectraplakin plus-end tracking to their functions in both nerve cells and in other cell types.

+TIPs also play important roles in mature neurons, as neurons remain plastic throughout their lifespan and remodel synapses in response to both intra- and extracellular cues. One such +TIP with a function at the synapse is p140Cap, whose name is a combination of its molecular weight and ‘Cas-associated protein’ (Cap; Cas for Crk-associated substrate). p140Cap is regarded as a tumor suppressor protein due to its function as an inhibitor of Src kinase, which is involved in cell migration and growth [112]. In addition to its potential to associate with MT plus-ends via an SxIP/EB3-mediated interaction, p140Cap binds actin fibers and localizes to actin-rich dendritic spines of hippocampal neurons [38, 112]. p140Cap knockout mice display impaired learning and memory functions, and spine defects have been observed in the absence of p140Cap both in cultured primary neurons and in knockout mice [38, 113]. Synaptosomes prepared from p140Cap−/− mice reveal hyperactivation and hyperphosphorylation of Src kinase and its substrate cortactin, respectively, as well as reduced RhoA activity [113]. Research suggests that p140Cap forms a synaptic complex with and increases the interaction between Src kinase and Citron-N, a protein known to scaffold the actin remodeling machinery, and as such controls spine morphology [113]. EB3 appears to function upstream of the spine remodeling process as synaptic phenotypes of EB3 knockdown mimic those of p140Cap knockdown, and can be rescued by simultaneous overexpression of p140Cap or Citron-N [38, 113]. Notably, while overexpressed p140Cap tracks MT plus-ends in neurons, this is rarely the case for endogenous p140Cap. p140Cap’s affinity for local binding partners in spines is likely sufficiently high to prevent cytoplasmic diffusion necessary for MT plus-end tracking behavior [38, 114]. In addition to its function at the postsynapse, p140Cap likely plays a role at the presynapse where it interacts with several proteins implicated in synaptic vesicle secretion [115, 116].

Another interesting SxIP-containing partner of EB1 is the Stromal interaction molecule 1 or STIM1 [117], a transmembrane ER protein which contains one SxIP motif and can thus link EB-decorated MT plus-ends to the ER membrane. STIM1 regulates store operated calcium entry (SOCE) in neurons and is necessary to resupply the ER with calcium by opening plasma membrane channels after calcium release during synaptic signaling [118, 119]. The function of the interaction of STIM1 with MT tips is not yet entirely clear. STIM1 participates in ER tubule extension by coupling growing MT plus-ends to the ER [117], and it is possible that such ER remodeling contributes to SOCE in certain cell types by bringing STIM1 in the vicinity of the plasma membrane. Interestingly, in HEK293T cells changes in MT dynamics affect STIM1’s association with calcium channels [120], suggesting that in some cell types MT dynamics are important for calcium signaling, possibly via the action of +TIPs. It remains to be verified, however, what the exact contribution of STIM1’s plus-end tracking behavior is to SOCE in neurons. Part of
the answer may come from the function of STIM2, a STIM1 homolog with 63% sequence identity but without conservation of the SxIP motif. STIM2 regulates SOCE instead of STIM1 in pyramidal neurons of the neocortex [121], suggesting that plus-end tracking is not required for STIM functioning during SOCE in neurons. However, remodeling of the ER was observed along MTs during SOCE [122]. As STIM1 was found to remodel the ER via its interaction with EB1 in HeLa cells [117], there is a possibility STIM1 may exert additional, potentially plus-end tracking-dependent functions in neurons. This is underlined by the finding that STIM1 participates in growth cone steering, and that this effect is only coupled to effects on SOCE for certain guidance cues [118].

To summarize, SxIP motif-containing proteins represent a large and heterogeneous group of EB-dependent +TIPs, which exert different functions at different stages of neurodevelopment. Although many roles have been identified (Table 1), it is not always clear what the contribution of plus-end tracking behavior is to each of these functions.

Other +TIPs

While EB proteins are responsible for targeting a large variety of proteins to MT tips, several major classes of MT plus-end interacting factors target the growing MT plus-end via other mechanisms. These include the MT polymerase ch-TOG/XMAP215 and certain kinesin motor proteins, such as kinesin-4, -8 and -13 family members (see below). In addition, for some MT- or tubulin-binding proteins, such as doublecortin and stathmin, specific MT plus-end interacting factors target the growing MT plus-end in in vitro reconstitution assays, confirming the plus-end polymerase at the tip of the growing MT [128]. Like the majority of +TIPs implicated in maintaining MT cytoskeleton integrity, ch-TOG plays a role in axon outgrowth. Depletion of ch-TOG increases catastrophe rates in all subcellular compartments of rat hippocampal neurons, while reducing MT growth rates [128]. Axon outgrowth defects are apparent in young neurons depleted of ch-TOG, as well as in those overexpressing a dominant negative SLAIN construct that prevents ch-TOG from accumulating at the MT plus-end [128]. In Xenopus, the ch-TOG homolog XMAP215 is necessary for MTs to resist axon retraction induced by contractile actin forces and thereby promotes persistent axon outgrowth [129]. Interestingly, depletion of XMAP215 results in an increased rate of MT plus-end displacement specifically in the growth cone but not in axons. This effect does not seem to depend on XMAP215’s MT-polymerizing function, which relies on plus-end localization, but rather seems to be a result of an additional role for Xenopus XMAP215 in MT sliding [129].

The kinesin-4 family member KIF21A is also involved in axon development. In HeLa cells, KIF21A is part of a cortical MT-anchoring complex that includes CLASP, where KIF21A acts as a growth inhibitor to prevent further polymerization of MTs that reach the cell cortex [130]. Missense mutations in KIF21A cause Congenital Fibrosis of the Extraocular Muscles type 1 (CFEOM1), a disease characterized by the patients’ inability to control eye movements due to defects in oculomotor nerve development [131]. These mutations were found to prevent KIF21A autoinhibition, promoting increased cortical MT growth inhibition via an EB-independent interaction of KIF21A with the MT plus-end [130, 132]. Mutant KIF21A results in growth cone and axon pathfinding defects in cultured neurons and knockin mice, suggesting that improper innervation of extraocular muscles in CFEOM1 is a result of misregulation of MT dynamics by KIF21A [130, 132].

Regulation of interactions between microtubules and +TIPs

It is clear that elaborate control of the MT cytoskeleton, which involves tight regulation of interactions between +TIPs and MTs, is of pivotal importance to neurons. Since many +TIPs use the same mode of association with EBs, competitive binding between +TIPs from the same subclass is a major factor in the regulation of interactions. This is illustrated by the use of SxIP-motif containing peptides to disrupt +TIP complexes and MT dynamics in the literature (e.g. [133, 134]), and such competition was proposed between CLIP-115 and CLIP-170, for example [85]. However, competition between different subclasses has also been reported. For instance, the SxIP-motif containing Neuron Navigator (NAV) +TIPs have been shown to displace p150glued from MT plus-ends upon overexpression [135], although p150glued relies on CAP-Gly domains to associate with EBs. Small SxIP peptides can also abolish p150glued and CLIP binding to MT plus-ends in vitro reconstitution assays, confirming that binding sites on EBs for different +TIPs of different subclasses overlap at least partly [133]. Additionally, it will be interesting to see whether +TIPs can provide indirect
interaction between the two purified proteins, but p150glued binds the plus-end tighter in the presence of CLIP-170 because of an additional interaction between the two +TIPs [133]. A similar mechanism is employed by SLAIN2, which likely uses interactions with multiple +TIPs to overcome the issue of competition [127]. Intuitively, multiplying the number of binding modules should also increase the affinity of +TIPs for the MT plus-end. This has been shown for both the repetition of SxIP motifs within individual proteins and for increases in the number of SxIP motifs via oligomerization [42].

Apart from effects arising from the presence of other +TIPs at the MT plus-end, modifications of MTs or +TIPs provide additional layers of control. At the MT level, post-translational tubulin modifications favor binding of certain +TIPs over others. For example, CAP-Gly +TIPs only associate with MT plus-ends containing tyrosinated α-tubulin [71, 136]. Presence of the C-terminal tyrosine on α-tubulin also promotes MT interaction with another +TIP, the SxIP-containing kinesin-13 KIF2C/MCAK (mitotic centromere-associated kinesin), which has a MT-stabilizing function [137]. MAPs present on the MT lattice may also contribute to regulation of +TIP binding: MAP1B is able to capture cytosolic EBs and immobilize them along MTs, effectively lowering the concentration of EBs at the plus-end of the MT and thereby fine-tuning axon outgrowth [138]. Likewise, MAP2 recruits EBs to the MT lattice in dendrites upon synaptic stimulation [139] and tau was recently reported to bind to EB1. Tau expression levels may also regulate EB localization, as high levels of tau result in EB immobilization along the MT lattice [10].

At the +TIP level, phosphorylation is considered the classic mechanism to regulate binding. Phosphorylation of +TIPs results in unfavorable electrostatic interactions with negatively charged MTs and may promote +TIP accumulation at the MT plus-end rather than along the MT lattice, or abrogate binding altogether [15, 114]. Phosphorylation of +TIPs in the vicinity of SxIP motifs can also suppress binding to the negatively charged C-terminal part of EBs and thus the plus-end tracking [42]. Many +TIPs involved in axon outgrowth are substrates of GSK3β [92, 140–142], a kinase involved in prominent signaling pathways such as the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt pathway and Wnt signaling. In addition to the previously discussed role of CLASP as a GSK3β substrate during axon outgrowth, GSK3β exerts control on the +TIP APC during growth cone advance [140, 143]. Binding of the spectraplakin +TIP MACF1 to MTs is also under control of GSK3β, and the GSK3β-MACF1 interaction plays a role in pyramidal neuron migration [142, 144]. Interestingly, both APC and MACF1 were suggested to regulate GSK3β activity during Wnt signaling [145, 146], hinting at the existence of complex feedback loops between +TIPs and signaling pathways during neurodevelopment.

A second kinase with strong connections to +TIP regulation is the Abelson kinase (Abi). Together with its substrate, Abelson interacting protein (Abi), Abi orchestrates actin dynamics important for Drosophila axon guidance and synaptogenesis [90] and appears to link +TIPs to the actin remodeling machinery. The +TIP NAV2 can promote actin polymerization by interacting with Abi at sites targeted by pioneer MTs [147]. Abi also interacts with p140Cap and is required for p140Cap-mediated actin remodeling [148], although this interaction has not yet been explored in neurons. Interestingly, Abi controls axon guidance via CLASP, which is also under control of GSK3β during the same process [90, 93]. Possibly, multiple signaling pathways and kinases act in parallel on the same +TIPs to allow additional levels of control.

Although phosphorylation remains the best-studied mechanism for regulation of MT–+TIP associations, other types of regulation have started to gain attention. For example, EB1 acetylation has been postulated to regulate binding of the SxIP motif-containing +TIP DDA3 during directional cell migration [149]. Intracellular calcium levels determine whether the MACF2 isoform BPAG1n4 localizes to the lattice or to the plus-end of MTs [150]. Finally, since individual EB proteins display different affinities for their binding partners [56, 151], their expression levels can also affect the composition of +TIP networks in manner dependent on the cell type or developmental stage.

Microtubule plus-end tracking proteins in brain diseases

For certain neurological disorders, MT dynamics have been examined by live imaging of +TIPs. In the case of multiple sclerosis, a neuroinflammatory condition associated with axonal transport defects and motor neuron degeneration, the number of EB3-positive MT plus-ends was found to increase and their directionality was altered in swollen axons of mouse models [152]. MT plus-end dynamics were also investigated in C. elegans after axon damage, which can occur after spinal cord injury or stroke in humans. As expected, axon severing generated a large amount of dynamic MT plus-ends at the newly formed tip of the axon, but interestingly axon regrowth depended on the C. elegans...
EB homolog EBP-1 and could be inhibited by overexpression the MT catastrophe-promoting protein EFA-6 (Exchange Factor for Arf6) [153]. Consistently, axon injury or stress induced by the expression of expanded polyglutamine proteins led to increased MT dynamics, which had a neuroprotective role by delaying or counteracting neuron degeneration in Drosophila [154].

While such studies strongly imply that regulation of MT dynamics is important in the response to neurological damage and disease, the underlying mechanisms are still poorly understood. Due to their diverse range of neurodevelopmental functions, many +TIPs are involved in human neurological disorders (Table 1), with mutations in certain +TIPs found to directly cause neurological disease. In this section, we highlight two +TIPs and associated diseases for which the role of plus-end tracking has been investigated at least to some extent: p150glued and tau-tubulin kinase 2 (TTBK2). In addition we consider TTBK1, the closest homolog of TTBK2.

**p150glued in Perry syndrome and hereditary motor neuropathy 7B**

As described earlier in this review, p150glued is an essential component of the retrograde axonal transport machinery by regulating and positioning dynein at MT plus-ends. Mutations in the CAP-Gly domain of p150glued, which is required for p150glued binding to EBs and MTs [155, 156], cause two distinct neurological disorders: Perry syndrome and hereditary motor neuropathy 7B (HMN7B). HMN7B affects motor neurons. Symptoms ensue in early adulthood and include muscle atrophy, vocal fold paralysis and breathing difficulties [157]. By contrast, Perry syndrome (reviewed in [158]) mainly affects neurons in the substantia nigra but not motor neurons. This rare syndrome manifests itself around 46 years of age and is associated with parkinsonism, depression, hypoventilation and weight loss.

Interestingly, the p150glued mutations that give rise to these different conditions are in close proximity: G59S mutations cause HMN7B [157], and G71(R/E/A), T72P and Q74P mutations were identified in Perry syndrome patients [159]. Differences between these two diseases may in part be explained by the effect of these mutations on the stability of p150glued. In case of the HMN7B mutation, mutant p150glued aggregates and is incorporated into inclusion bodies [160, 161]. However, p150glued’s global folding and stability is largely unaffected by Perry syndrome mutations [161]. This observation is reflected by the presence of dynactin aggregates in motor neurons of HMN7B patients [162], which are believed to contribute to cell death [160]. Such inclusions are less common in Perry syndrome patients [159]. All mutations disturb the interactions between p150glued and EB proteins or MTs [157, 159, 163], but functional differences between mutated forms of p150glued were also reported. The HMN7B mutation globally perturbs axonal transport by disturbing dynactin binding to dynein when mutant p150glued is incorporated in the dynactin complex. Conversely, Perry syndrome mutations do not affect global axonal transport, but G71R p150glued has a dominant negative effect on the initiation of retrograde trafficking from distal axon tips [163]. This function directly depends on p150glued’s interaction with EB proteins at the MT plus-end [76]. Another potentially disease-related mechanism is the MT catastrophe-suppressing function of p150glued, which is disturbed by the Perry syndrome mutation Q74P [77]. p150glued’s ability to suppress catastrophes relies on its binding to MTs and free tubulin dimers, and is independent of EB proteins. p150glued isoforms harboring all domains necessary for these interactions are primarily expressed in neurons, rendering the effect neuron-specific.

p150glued’s involvement in Perry syndrome and HMN7B is an excellent example of how deficiencies in plus-end tracking can result in neurological disease. It underlines the importance of investigating the role of plus-end tracking for +TIPs implicated in disorders of the brain, yet surprisingly, p150glued remains the single best-studied case.

**TTBK1/2 in spinocerebellar ataxia and Alzheimer’s disease**

Two other, closely related +TIPs firmly implicated in brain disease are tau-tubulin kinase 1 and 2 (TTBK1 and TTBK2). TTBK1/2 belong to the casein kinase 1 group and share 60 % sequence identity, primarily between their N-terminal kinase domains (reviewed in [164]). Both TTBK proteins contain two SxIP motives in their C-terminal tail [56], which is only present in vertebrates [164]. TTBK2 was the first tau-tubulin kinase to be identified, phosphorylating MAP2 and α-casein in addition to tau and tubulin [165]. TTBK2 is expressed ubiquitously [166] and can phosphorylate tau at sites identified in paired helical filament tau, a hyperphosphorylated tau variant found in the brains of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) patients [166]. Mutations in TTBK2, which yield a mutant protein truncated after the N-terminal kinase domain, cause spinocerebellar ataxia type 11 (SCA11) [167]: a rare neurodegenerative disease of which symptoms include pronunciative difficulties, involuntary eye movement and ataxia [168]. So far, the brain of one SCA11 patient has been examined and revealed the presence of tau deposits among other signs of pathological aging and cerebellar degeneration, raising the possibility that aberrant tau phosphorylation by mutant TTBK2 contributes to SCA11.
pathology [167]. In addition, TTBK2 was more recently shown to be required for the formation of cilia by promoting the removal of the centriolar capping protein CEP110 to allow axoneme extension [169]. Diseases resulting from cilia defects, termed ciliopathies, often affect the brain (reviewed in [170]), and mice harboring a mutation that prematurely truncates TTBK2 lack certain neural cell types known to be lost in cilia-depleted animal models [169]. Since the SCA11-mutated form of TTBK2 is unable to initiate ciliogenesis and can interfere with the ciliary function of full-length TTBK2, TTBK2’s function in cilia formation provides a second explanation for the symptoms of SCA11 [169]. However, the EB1-TTBK2 interaction plays no role in the recruitment of TTBK2 to the basal body or in the subsequent initiation of ciliogenesis [171]. Recently, TTBK2 was found to phosphorylate the kinesin-13 family member KIF2A at the plus-end of MTs in an EB-dependent manner. This interaction regulates the binding and thereby depolymerization of MTs by KIF2A in HeLa cells [172]. The same study suggested that TTBK2 can exist in an auto-inhibited conformation, wherein the kinase domain is folded back onto the tail domain containing the SxIP motifs. Binding of EB would liberate the kinase domain and promote kinase activity, presenting an attractive mechanism for how TTBK kinase activity can be regulated at the plus-end of MTs [172]. Interestingly, overexpressed TTBK2 is also able to displace EB1 from the MT plus-end, and TTBK2 affinity to MTs itself appears to be regulated by its autophosphorylation, suggesting that TTBK2 has the potential to regulate +TIP-MT associations [56]. These studies clearly signal the importance of TTBK2’s interaction with EBs and MT plus-ends. The direct relevance of plus-end tracking for neuronal functions of TTBK2 other than ciliogenesis has not been investigated. It is thus currently unclear whether the functions attributed to TTBK2, such as the earlier discussed pathological tau phosphorylation, a role in neuronal migration [172], regulation of the retrieval of synaptotagmin-1 during synaptic vesicle endocytosis [173] or the control of the activity of transport channels including BGT1, a betaine/γ-amino-butyric acid (GABA) transporter [174], require TTBK2 plus-end tracking.

Full-length TTBK1 was only characterized in 2006 as a neuron-specific kinase with the ability to phosphorylate tau on sites associated with AD [175]. During AD, tau becomes hyperphosphorylated, causing it to detach from MTs and form non-soluble aggregates in the cytosol. Certain genetic variations of TTBK1 have been linked to lower risk of developing AD [176, 177], suggesting that TTBK1 and variations in its expression levels may play a role in Alzheimer pathology. Full-length TTBK1 co-localizes with EB1 and bundles MTs at high expression levels independent of its kinase activity [56], but the contribution of TTBK1 plus-end tracking and MT modulation has never been investigated with respect to its function or involvement in disease.

More recently, TTBK1 and TTBK2 were also shown to phosphorylate TDP-43 (transactive response DNA binding protein of 43 kDa) [178], the phosphorylation-driven aggregation of which is a hallmark of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and frontotemporal lobar degeneration as well as numerous other neurodegenerative diseases [179]. Understanding the cell biology of these kinases both in health and disease, as well as mapping the full range of substrates of TTBKs will be a crucial step towards the identification of targets for therapeutic intervention.

**Future outlook**

As versatile regulators of the MT cytoskeleton, +TIPs play important roles in all aspects of neurodevelopment. It is therefore not surprising that +TIPs are involved in many neurological diseases (Table 1). Although more is known about how individual +TIPs affect MT behavior and integrity, in many cases this knowledge is yet to be extrapolated to neuronal MT networks and in some cases insight is lacking altogether.

It is crucial to develop such understanding as pharmacological intervention to inhibit or promote +TIP activity, and thereby affect specific subsets or properties of MTs, likely provides a more elegant and refined approach to therapy development than the use of MT targeting agents that globally impact MT dynamics. The influence of low doses of MT-targeting drugs on neurons has been studied extensively and was found to impact nearly all processes in developing and mature neurons. For example, treatment with 15–75 nM of the MT destabilizer nocodazole impairs the formation (but not outgrowth) of neurites in young dissociated neurons [23]. At 200 nM, nocodazole was also reported to inhibit primary dendrite formation [83] and has the ability to transform mature, mushroom-headed spines of dendrites into filopodia [38]. These morphological spine changes are paired with decreased synaptic response [38]. The MT stabilizing drug taxol, on the other hand, is known to induce the formation of multiple axons in young dissociated neurons at concentrations as low as 3 nM [23], and nanomolar concentrations of both MT stabilizing and destabilizing drugs affect axon pathfinding [28]. The above effects on neurons were mostly found to be a result of altered MT dynamics, rather than drug-specific effects on other cellular processes. It is therefore reasonable to assume that treatment with other MT-targeting agents would result in similar effects on neuronal development and functioning.
MT-targeting agents do provide evidence that drugs altering MT dynamics may be successful in treating brain diseases. For example, the MT stabilizing, blood-brain barrier-penetrant drug epothilone D shows promise as a therapeutic agent in neurological disorders, as epothilone D treatment partially relieves pathology in AD mouse models [180]. Recently, the closely related MT-stabilizing agent epothilone B also proved to stimulate regeneration of axons after spinal cord injury in rats [181]. However, in contrast to the global effect of MT-targeting drugs which can yield unintended side effects, targeting individual +TIPs that are restricted to certain subcellular localizations or tissues, expressed at certain times or influence only limited aspects of MT behavior may be preferable. Even when +TIPs are not involved in establishing pathogenesis, they can potentially be stimulated or inhibited to counteract MT-related disease mechanisms. Of note, it has recently been proposed that the neuroprotective peptide NAP possibly exerts its effects via EBs. NAP affects the brain-specific tubulin pool as well as MT stability and dynamics [182, 183], and has been described to have beneficial effects in tauopathy animal models [184–186]. NAP is believed to bind EB3 via an alternative SxIP motif, Ser-Ile-Pro, and EB silencing in PC12 cells obliterates the protective effect of NAP to zinc toxicity. Although the precise mechanism remains unclear, these data suggest that NAP’s binding to EBs may contribute to its neuroprotective properties [187].

In summary, +TIPs may offer a more precise approach to interfere with pathological MT-related processes, rather than conventional MT-targeting agents currently used in the clinic. In order to truly establish their potential as therapeutic targets for treatment against neurological disorders, more research is needed into the functions and mechanisms of action of an ever-growing pool of +TIPs. New +TIPs are still being recognized on a large scale (e.g. [56]), rendering the field of +TIP research an exciting and promising one for years to come.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. Hoogenraad CC, Bradke F (2009) Control of neuronal polarity and plasticity—a renaissance for microtubules? Trends Cell Biol 19(12):669–676. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2009.08.006
2. Conde C, Caceres A (2009) Microtubule assembly, organization and dynamics in axons and dendrites. Nat Rev Neurosci 10(5):319–332. http://www.nature.com/nrn/journal/v10/n5/suppinfo/nrn2631_S1.html
3. Kapitein LC, Hoogenraad CC (2015) Building the neuronal microtubule cytoskeleton. Neuron 87(3):492–506. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.05.046
4. Wells WA (2005) Microtubules get a name. J Cell Biol 168(6):852–853. doi:10.1083/jcb1686fta1
5. Slatterback DB (1963) Cytoplasmic microtubules. I. Hydra. J Cell Biol 18:367–388
6. Ledbetter MC, Porter KR (1963) A “microtubule” in plant cell fine structure. J Cell Biol 19(1):239–250
7. Desai A, Mitchison TJ (1997) Microtubule polymerization dynamics. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 13:83–117. doi:10.1146/annurev.cellbio.13.1.83
8. Dehmelt L, Halpain S (2005) The MAP2/Tau family of microtubule-associated proteins. Genome Biol 6(1):204. doi:10.1186/gb-2004-6-1-204
9. Lee G, Leugers CJ (2012) Tau and tauopathies, chapter 8. In: David BT (ed) Progress in molecular biology and translational science, vol 107. Academic Press, New York, pp 263–293. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-385883-2.00004-7
10. Sayas CL, Tortosa E, Bollati F, Ramirez-Rios S, Arnal I, Avila J (2015) Tau regulates the localization and function of End-binding proteins 1 and 3 in developing neuronal cells. J Neurochem 133(5):653–667. doi:10.1111/jnc.13091
11. Jiang K, Hua S, Mohan R, Grigoriev I, Yau KW, Liu Q, Katrukha EA, Alteaa AF, Heck AJ, Hoogenraad CC, Akhmanova A (2014) Microtubule minus-end stabilization by polymerization-driven CAMSAP1 deposition. Dev Cell 28(3):295–309. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2014.01.001
12. Akhmanova A, Hoogenraad CC (2015) Microtubule minus-end targeting proteins. Curr Biol 25(4):R162–R171. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.12.027
13. Nogales E (2000) Structural insights into microtubule function. Annu Rev Biochem 69:277–302. doi:10.1146/annurev.biochem.69.1.277
14. Kumar P, Wittmann T (2012) +TIPs: SxIPping along microtubule ends. Trends Cell Biol 22(8):418–428. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2012.05.005
15. Akhmanova A, Steinmetz MO (2008) Tracking the ends: a dynamic protein network controls the fate of microtubule tips. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 9(4):309–322. doi:10.1038/nrm2369
16. Akhmanova A, Steinmetz MO (2015) Control of microtubule organization and dynamics: two ends in the limelight. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 16(12):711–726. doi:10.1038/nrm4084
17. Poulain FE, Sobel A (2010) The microtubule network and neuronal morphogenesis: dynamic and coordinated orchestration through multiple players. Mol Cell Neurosci 43(1):15–32. doi:10.1016/j.mcn.2009.07.012
18. Sanchez-Soriano N, Bottenberg W, Fiala A, Haessler U, Kerassoviti A, Knust E, Lohr R, Prokop A (2005) Are dendrites in Drosophila homologous to vertebrate dendrites? Dev Biol 288(1):126–138. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.09.026
19. Kuipjers M, Hoogenraad CC (2011) Centrosomes, microtubules and neuronal development. Mol Cell Neurosci 48(4):349–358. doi:10.1016/j.mcn.2011.05.004
20. Gotz M, Hutten WB (2005) The cell biology of neurogenesis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 6(10):777–788. doi:10.1038/nrm1739
21. Dehmelt L, Nalbant P, Steffen W, Halpain S (2006) A microtubule-based, dynein-dependent force induces local cell protrusions: implications for neurite initiation. Brain Cell Biol 35(1):39–56. doi:10.1007/s11068-006-9001-0
22. Lu W, Fox P, Lakonishok M, Davidson MW, Gelfand VI (2013) Initial neurite outgrowth in Drosophila neurons is driven by kinesin-powered microtubule sliding. Curr Biol 23(11):1018–1023. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.04.050
23. Witte H, Neunkechen D, Bradke F (2008) Microtubule stabi-
24. Geraldo S, Gordon-Weeks PR (2009) Cytoskeletal dynamics in
growth-cone steering. J Cell Sci 122(Pt 20):3595–3604. doi:10.
25. Dent EW, Gertler FB (2003) Cytoskeletal dynamics and trans-
26. Tanaka E, Ho T, Kirschner MW (1995) The role of microtubule
dynamics in growth cone motility and axonal growth. J Cell Biol
27. Tanaka EM, Kirschner MW (1991) Microtubule behavior in the
growth cones of living neurons during axon elongation. J Cell Biol
28. Buck KB, Zheng JQ (2002) Growth cone turning induced by
29. Dent EW, Kalil K (2001) Axon branching requires interactions
between dynamic microtubules and actin filaments. J Neurosci
30. Yau KW, Schatzle P, Tortosa E, Pages S, Holtmaat A, Kapitein
31. Baas PW, Black MM, Banker GA (1989) Changes in micro-
tubule polarity orientation during the development of
hippocampal neurons in culture. J Cell Biol 109(6 Pt
32. Baas PW, Black MM, Banker GA (1989) Changes in microtubule
polarity orientation during the development of
hippocampal neurons in culture. J Cell Biol 109(6 Pt
33. Stone MC, Roegiers F, Rolls MM (2008) Microtubules have
opposite orientation in axons and dendrites of Drosophila neu-ons. Mol Biol Cell 19(10):4122–4129. doi:10.1091/mbc.E07-
34. Maniar TA, Kaplan M, Wang GJ, Shen K, Wei L, Shaw JE, Koushika SP, Bargmann CI (2012) UNC-33 (CRMP) and
ankyrin organize microtubules and localize kinesin to polarize
axon-dendrite sorting. Nat Neurosci 15(1):48–56. http://www.
nature.com/neurojournal/v15/n1/abs/nn.2970.
35. Ori-Mckenney KM, Jan LY, Jan YN (2012) Golgi outposts
shape dendrite morphology by functioning as sites of acen-
tosomal microtubule nucleation in neurons. Neuron 76(5):921–930. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.10.008
36. Ye B, Zhang Y, Song W, Younger SH, Jan LY, Jan YN (2007)
Growing dendrites and axons differ in their reliance on the
secretory pathway. Cell 130(4):717–729. doi:10.1016/j.cell.
2007.06.032
37. Matus A (2000) Actin-based plasticity in dendritic spines. Sci-
cence (New York, NY) 290(5492):754–758
38. Jaworski J, Kapitein LC, Gouveia SM, Dortland BR, Wulf PS,
39. Hu X, Viesselmann C, Nam S, Merriam E, Dent EW (2008)
Activity-dependent dynamic microtubule invasion of dendritic
spines. J Neurosci 28(49):13094–13105. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.
3074-08.2008
40. Gu J, Firestein BL, Zheng JQ (2008) Microtubules in dendritic
spine development. J Neurosci 28(46):12120–12124. doi:10.
1523/jneurosci.2509-08.2008
41. Merriam EB, Millette M, Lombard DC, Saengsawang W,
Fothergill T, Hu X, Ferhat L, Dent EW (2013) Synaptic regul-
ation of microtubule dynamics in dendritic spines by calcium,
F-actin, and drebrin. J Neurosci 33(42):16471–16482. doi:10.
1523/jneurosci.0661-13.2013
42. Honnappa S, Gouveia SM, Weisbrich A, Damberger FF, Bha-
vesh NS, Jawhari H, Grigoriev I, van Rijsssel JF, Buey RM,
43. Bieling P, Laan L, Schek H, Munteanu EL, Sandblad L, Dog-
terom M, Brunner D, Surrey T (2007) Reconstitution of a
microtubule plus-end tracking system in vitro. Nature
450(7172):1100–1105. doi:10.1038/nature06386
44. Komarova Y, De Groot CO, Grigoriev I, Gouveia SM, Mun-
teau EL, Schober JM, Honnappa S, Buey RM, Hoogenraad CC,
45. Slep KC, Vale RD (2007) Structural basis of microtubule plus-
end tracking by XMAP215, CLIP-170, and EB1. Mol Cell
27(6):976–991. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2007.07.023
46. Maurer SP, Fourniol FJ, Bohner G, Moores CA, Surrey T (2012)
EBs recognize a nucleotide-dependent structural cap at growing
microtubule ends. Cell 149(2):371–382. doi:10.1016/j.cell.
2012.02.049
47. Zhang R, Alushin GM, Brown A, Nogales E (2015) Mechanistic
origin of microtubule dynamic instability and its modulation by
EB proteins. Cell 162(4):849–859. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.07.
012
48. Buey RM, Mohan R, Leslie K, Walzthoeni T, Missinher JH,
Menzel A, Bjelic S, Bargsten K, Grigoriev I, Smal I, Meijering
E, Aebersold R, Akhmanova A, Steinmetz MO (2011) Insights
into EB1 structure and the role of its C-terminal domain for
discriminating microtubule tips from the lattice. Mol Biol Cell
22(16):2912–2923. doi:10.1091/mbc.E11-01-0017
49. De Groot CO, Jelesarov I, Damberger FF, Bjelic S, Schek H, Malmstroem J, Claassen M, Ori A,
50. Slep KC, Rogers SL, Elliott SL, Ohkura H, Kolodziej PA, Vale
51. Dragestein KA, van Cappellen WA, van Haren J, Tsibidis GD,
52. Geraldo S, Gordon-Weeks PR (2009) Cytoskeletal dynamics in
growth-cone steering. J Cell Sci 122(Pt 20):3595–3604. doi:10.
1242/jcs.042309
20.
1. Stepanova T, Slemmer J, Hoogenraad CC, Lansbergen G, Dottorland B, De Zeeuw CI, Grosfeld V, van Cappellen G, Akhmanova A, Galjart N (2003) Visualization of microtubule growth in cultured neurons via the use of EB3-GFP (end-binding protein 3-green fluorescent protein). J Neurosci 23(7):2655–2664

2. Jiang K, Toedt G, Montenegro Gouveia S, Davey NE, Hua S, van der Vaart B, Grigoriev I, Larsen J, Pedersen LB, Bezstarosti K, Lince-Faria M, Demmers J, Steinmetz MO, Gibson TJ, Akhmanova A (2012) A Proteome-wide screen for mammalian SxIP motif-containing microtubule plus-end tracking proteins. Curr Biol 22(19):1800–1807. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2012.07.047

3. Yue J, Xie M, Gou X, Lee P, Schneider MD, Wu X (2014) Microtubules regulate focal adhesion dynamics through MAP4K4. Dev Cell 31(5):572–585. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2014.10.025

4. Komarova YA, Huang F, Geyer M, Daneshjou N, Garcia A, Idalino L, Kreutz B, Mehta D, Malik AB (2012) VE-cadherin signaling induces EB3 phosphorylation to suppress microtubule growth and assembly adherens junctions. Mol Cell 48(6):914–925. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2012.10.011

5. Ban R, Matsuzaki H, Akashi T, Sakashita K, Park H, SY, Tanaka H, Furukawa K, Urano T (2009) Mitotic regulation of the stability of microtubule plus-end tracking protein EB3 by ubiquitin ligase SIAH-1 and Aurora mitotic kinases. J Biol Chem 284(11):28367–28381. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.00273

6. Nakagawa H, Koyama K, Murata Y, Morito M, Akiyama T, Nakamura Y (2000) EB3, a novel member of the EB1 family associated with microtubule polymerisation during neurite development. J Neurosci 20(4):1181–1189. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.0416-12.2012

7. Aversa ES, Paglino G, Gonzalez-Billault C, Caceres A, Avila J (2005) End binding protein-1 (EB1) complements microtubule-associated proteins and EB1-dependent +TIPs (tip interacting proteins). J Neurosci 32(27):9143–9158. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.1230-2004

8. Ares J, Duong T-T, Dehmelt L (2011) A morphometric screen identifies specific roles for microtubule-regulating genes in neuronal development of P19 stem cells. PLoS One 8(11):e79796. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0079796

9. Lacerta V, Simpson JC, Bechtel S, Wiemann S, Peppercorn T, Chilton JK, Gordon-Weeks PK (2008) Cloning and functional characterization of the F-actin-binding protein drebrin by the microtubule plus-tip protein EB3 is required for neuritogenesis. Nat Cell Biol 10(10):1181–1189. doi: 10.1038/nclb.2011.778

10. Kojima N, Shirao T (2007) Synaptic dysfunction and disruption of postsynaptic dendritic–actin complex: a study of neurological disorders accompanied by cognitive deficits. Neurosci Res 58(1):1–5. doi: 10.1016/j.neures.2007.02.003

11. Leterrier C, Vacher H, Fache MP, d’Ortolis SA, Castets F, Autillo-Touati A, Dargent B (2011) End-binding proteins EB3 and EB1 link microtubules to ankyrin G in the axon initial segment. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108(21):8826–8831. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1018671108

12. Bieling P, Kandel-Erte S, Telley IA, van Dijk J, Janke C, Surrey T (2008) CLIP-170 tracks growing microtubule ends by dynamically recognizing composite EB1/tubulin-binding sites. J Cell Biol 183(7):1223–1233. doi: 10.1083/jcb.2008090190

13. Weiszbrich A, Honnappa S, Jaussi R, Ohrimenko O, Frey D, Jelesarov I, Akhmanova A, Steinmetz MO (2007) Structure-function relationship of CAP-Gly domains. Nat Struct Mol Biol 14(10):959–967. doi: 10.1038/nsmb1291

14. Mishima M, Maesaki R, Kasa M, Watanabe T, Fukata M, Kaibuchi K, Hoshikawa T (2007) Structural basis for tubulin recognition by cytoplasmic linker protein 170 and its autoinhibition. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104(25):10346–10351. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0703876104

15. Honnappa S, Ohrimenko O, Jausi R, Jawhari H, Jelesarov I, Winkler FK, Steinmetz MO (2006) Key interaction modes of dynamic +TIP networks. Mol Cell 23(5):663–671. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2006.07.013

16. Kardon JR, Vale RD (2009) Regulators of the cytoplasmic dynein motor. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 10(12):854–865. doi: 10.1038/nrm2804

17. Vaughan PS, Miura P, Henderson M, Byrne B, Vaughan KT (2002) A role for regulated binding of p150(Glued) to microtubule plus ends in organelle transport. J Cell Biol 158(2):305–319. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200201029

18. Moughamian AJ, Osborn GE, Lazarus JE, Maday S, Holzbaur EL (2013) Ordered recruitment of dynactin to the microtubule plus-end is required for efficient initiation of retrograde axonal transport. J Neurosci 33(32):13190–13203. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.0935-13.2013

19. Lazarus JE, Moughamian AJ, Tokito MK, Holzbaur EL (2013) Dynactin subunit p150(Glued) is a neuron-specific anti-catalase factor. PLoS Biol 11(7):e1001611. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001611

20. Lansbergen G, Komarova Y, Modesti M, Wyman C, Hoogenraad CC, Goodson HV, Lemaire RP, Drechsel DN, van Munster E, Gadella TW Jr, Grosfeld V, Galjart N, Borisy GG, Akhmanova A (2004) Conformational changes in CLIP-170 regulate its binding to microtubules and dynactin localization. J Cell Biol 166(7):1003–1014. doi: 10.1083/jcb.2004020822

21. De Zeeuw CI, Hoogenraad CC, Goedknecht E, Hertzberg E, Neuwasser A, Grosfeld V, Galjart N (1997) CLIP-115, a novel brain-specific cytoplasmic linker protein, mediates the localization of dendritic lamellar bodies. Neuron 19(6):1187–1199. doi: 10.1016/S0896-6273(00)00411-0

22. Komarova YA, Akhmanova AS, Kojima S, Galjart N, Borisy GG (2002) Cytoplasmic linker proteins promote microtubule rescue in vivo. J Cell Biol 159(4):589–599. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200208058

23. Beaven R, Dzhindzhiev NS, Qu Y, Hahn I, Dajas-Bailador F, Okhura H, Prokop A (2015) Drosophila CLIP-190 and mammalian CLIP-170 display reduced microtubule plus end association in the nervous system. Mol Biol Cell 26(8):1491–1508. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E14-06-1083

24. Neukirchen D, Bradke F (2011) Cytoplasmic linker proteins regulate neuronal polarization through microtubule and growth cone dynamics. J Neurosci 31(4):1528–1538. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.3963-10.2011

25. Swiech L, Blazejczyk M, Urbanska M, Pietruszka P, Dottorland BR, Malik AR, Wolf PS, Hoogenraad CC, Jaworski J (2011) CLIP-170 and IQGAP1 cooperatively regulate dendrite morphology. J Neurosci 31(12):4555–4568. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.6582-10.2011

26. Akhmanova A, Mausset-Bonnefont AL, van Cappellen W, Keijzer N, Hoogenraad CC, Stepanova T, Drabek K, van der

© Springer
Wees J, Mommaas M, Onderwater I, van de Meulen H, Tanenbaum ME, Medema RH, Hoogerbrugge J, Vreeburg J, Uringa EJ, Grooteboom JA, Grosveld F, Galjart N (2005) The microtubule plus-end-tracking protein CLIP-170 associates with the spermatid manchette and is essential for spermatogenesis. Genes Dev 19(20):2501–2515. doi:10.1101/gad.344505

Hoogenraad CC, Koekkoek B, Akhmanova A, Krugers H, Dortland B, Miedema M, van Alphen A, Kistler WM, Jaegle M, Koutsourakis M, Van Camp N, Verhoye M, van der Linden A, Kaverina I, Grosveld F, De Zeeuw CI, Galjart N (2002) Targeted mutation of Cyn2 in the Williams syndrome critical region links CLIP-115 haploinsufficiency to neurodevelopmental abnormalities in mice. Nat Genet 32(1):116–127. doi:10.1038/ng954

Akhmanova A, Hoogenraad CC, Drabek K, Stepanova T, Dortland B, Verkerk T, Vermeulen W, Burgering BM, De Zeeuw CI, Grosveld F, Galjart N (2001) Clasps are CLIP-115 and -170 associating proteins involved in the regional regulation of microtubule dynamics in motile fibroblasts. Cell 104(6):923–935

Leano JB, Rogers SL, Slep KC (2013) A cryptic TOG domain of CLASP2 modulates its association with microtubules and growth cone microtubules. Genes Dev 25(18):1968–1981. doi:10.1101/gad.17015911

Mimori-Kiyosue Y, Grigoriev I, Lansbergen G, Sasaki H, Matsui C, Severin F, Jiang SX, Hou ST, Kothary R (2012) Neuronal dystonin isoform s00018-014-1611-6 interacts with microtubule plus end is important for processive retrograde axonal transport. Cell Mol Life Sci 71(4):569–572. doi:10.1007/s00018-014-1611-6

Watanabe T, Noritake J, Kakeno M, Matsui T, Harada T, Wang W, Kuo D, Zha X, Kothary R (2015) Disruption in the autophagic process underlies the sensory neuropathy in dystonia musculorum mice. Autophagy 11(7):1025–1036. doi:10.1080/14770590.2015.1022070

Young KG, Kothary R (2008) Dystonin/Bpag1 is a necessary endoplasmic reticulum/nuclear envelope protein in sensory neurons. Exp Cell Res 314(15):2759–2770. doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2008.06.021

Engel U, Zhan Y, Long JB, Boyle NG, Ballif BA, Dorey K, Gygi 94. Engel U, Zhan Y, Long JB, Boyle NG, Ballif BA, Dorey K, Gygi 96. Schmidt N, Basu S, Sladecek S, Gatti S, van Haren J, Treves S, Pielage J, Galjart N, Brenner HR (2012) Agrin regulates CLASP2-mediated capture of microtubules at the neuromuscular junction synaptic membrane. J Cell Biol 198(3):421–437. doi:10.1083/jcb.201111130

Sun D, Leung CL, Liem RK (2001) Characterization of the microtubule binding domain of microtubule actin crosslinking factor (MACF): identification of a novel group of microtubule associated proteins. J Cell Sci 114(Pt 1):161–172

Goryunov D, He CZ, Lin CS, Leung CL, Liem RK (2010) Nervous-tissue-specific elimination of microtubule-actin crosslinking factor 1a results in multiple developmental defects in the mouse brain. Mol Cell Neurosci 44(1):1–14. doi:10.1016/j.mcn.2010.01.010

Kodama A, Karakesisoglou I, Wong E, Vaezi A, Fuchs E (2003) AC7f: an essential integrator of microtubule dynamics. Cell 115(3):343–354

Sanchez-Soriano N, Travis M, Dajas-Bailador F, Goncalves-Pimentel C, Whitmarsh AJ, Prokop A (2009) Mouse AC7f and drosophila stop modulate filopodia formation and microtubule organisation during neuronal growth. J Cell Sci 122(Pt 14):2534–2542. doi:10.1242/jcs.046268

Guo L, Degenstein L, Dowling J, Yu QC, Wollmann R, Perman B, Fuchs E (1995) Gene targeting of BPAG1: abnormalities in mechanical strength and cell migration in stratified epithelia and neurologic degeneration. Cell 81(2):233–243

Axelrod FB, Elpeleg O (2012) Hereditary sensory autonomic neuropathy caused by a mutation in dystonin. Ann Neurol 71(4):569–572. doi:10.1002/ana.23524

Yang J, Bauer C, Strasser G, Wollman R, Julien JP, Fuchs E (1999) Integrators of the cytoskeleton that stabilize microtubules. Cell 98(2):229–238

Liu JJ, Ding J, Kowal AS, Nardine T, Allen E, Delcroix JD, Wu C, Mobley W, Fuchs E, Yang Y (2003) BPAG1ln4 is essential for retrograde axonal transport in sensory neurons. J Cell Biol 163(2):223–229. doi:10.1083/jcb.200306075
112. Di Stefano P, Damiano L, Cabodi S, Aramu S, Tordella L, Praduroux A, Piva R, Cavallo F, Forni G, Silengo L, Tarone G, Turco E, Defilippi P (2007) p140Cap protein suppresses tumour cell properties, regulating Csk and Src kinase activity. EMBO J 26(12):2843–2855. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601724

113. Repetto D, Camera P, Melani R, Morello N, Russo I, Calcagno E, Tomasoni R, Bianchi F, Berto G, Giustetto M, Berardi N, Pizzorusso T, Matteoli M, Di Stefano P, Missler M, Turco E, Di Cunto F, Defilippi P (2014) p140Cap regulates memory and synaptic plasticity through Src-mediated and citron-N-mediated actin reorganization. J Neurosci 34(4):1542–1553. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2341-13.2014

114. Akhmanova A, Hoogenraad CC (2005) Microtubule plus-end-tracking proteins: mechanisms and functions. Curr Opin Cell Biol 17(1):47–54. doi:10.1016/jceb.2004.11.001

115. Ito H, Atsuzawa K, Sudo K, Di Stefano P, Iwamoto I, Morishita R, Takei S, Semba R, Defilippi P, Asano T, Usuda N, Nagata K (2008) Characterization of a multidomain adaptor protein, p140Cap, as part of a pre-synaptic complex. J Neurochem 107(1):61–72. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2008.05585.x

116. Chin LS, Nugent RD, Raynor MC, Vavalle JP, Li L (2000) SNIP, a novel SNAP-25-interacting protein implicated in regulated exocytosis. J Biol Chem 275(2):1191–1200

117. Grigoriev I, Gouveia SM, van der Vaart B, Franker MA, Kuijpers M, Hua S, Bouchet BP, Yang H, Akhmanova A (2008) STIM1 is a MT-plus-end-tracking protein involved in remodeling of the ER. Curr Biol 18(3):177–182. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.12.030

118. Mitchell CB, Gasperini RJ, Small DH, Foa L (2012) STIM1 is necessary for store-operated calcium entry in turning growth cones. J Neurochem 122(6):1155–1166. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2012.07840.x

119. Hartmann J, Karl RM, Alexander RP, Adelsberger H, Brill MS, Ruhlmann C, Adelsberger HR, Wu CC, Drokhlyansky E, Delisle MM, Chan WM, Chen L, Chilton JK, Wordeman L, Wehland J, Kozielski F, Job D, Wordeman L, Wehland J, Andrieux A (2009) Motor-dependent microtubule disassembly driven by tubulin tyrosination is a major factor affecting the recruitment of CAP-Gly proteins at microtubule plus ends. J Cell Biol 174(6):839–849. doi:10.1083/jcb.200912058

120. Peris L, Wagenbach M, Lafanchere L, Brocard J, Moore AT, Kozieleski F, Job D, Wordeman L, Andrieux A (2009) Motor-dependent microtubule disassembly driven by tubulin tyrosination. J Cell Biol 185(7):1159–1166. doi:10.1083/jcb.200902142
Tortosa E, Galjart N, Avila J, Sayas CL (2013) MAP1B regulates microtubule dynamics by sequestering EB1/3 in the cytosol of developing neuronal cells. EMBO J 32(9):1293–1306. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2013.76

Kapitein LC, Yau KW, Gouveia SM, van der Zwan WA, Wulf PS, Keijzer N, Demmers J, Jaworski J, Akhmanova A, Hoogenraad CC (2011) NMDA receptor activation suppresses microtubule growth and spine entry. J Neurosci 31(22):8194–8209. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.6215-10.2011

Zumbrunn J, Kinoshita K, Hyman AA, Nathke IS (2001) Binding of the adenomatous polyposis coli protein to microtubules increases microtubule stability and is regulated by GSK3 beta phosphorylation. Curr Biol 11(1):44–49

Kumar P, Lyle KS, Gierke S, Matov A, Danuser G, Wittmann T (2009) GSK3beta phosphorylation modulates CLASPs-microtubule association and lamella microtubule attachment. J Cell Biol 184(6):895–908. doi:10.1083/jcb.200901042

Wu X, Shen QT, Cristian DS, Lu CP, Zheng Q, Wang HW, Fuchs E (2011) Skin stem cells orchestrate directional migration by regulating microtubule-ACF7 connections through GSK3beta. Cell 144(3):341–352. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.12.033

Asada N, Sanada K (2010) LKB1-mediated spatial control of tubule association and lamella microtubule attachment. J Cell Biol 184(6):895–908. doi:10.1083/jcb.200901042

Valvezan AI, Zhang F, Diehl JA, Klein PS (2012) Adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) regulates multiple signaling pathways by enhancing glycogen synthesis kinase-3 (GSK-3) activity. J Biol Chem 287(6):3823–3832. doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.323337

Chen HJ, Lin CM, Lin CS, Perez-Olle R, Leung CL, Liem RK (2006) The role of microtubule actin cross-linking factor 1 (MACF1) in the Wnt signaling pathway. Genes Dev 20(14):1933–1945. doi:10.1101/gad.1411206

Stringham EG, Schmidt KL (2009) Navigating the cell: UNC-53 and the navigators, a family of cytoskeletal regulators with multiple roles in cell migration, outgrowth and trafficking. Cell Adhes Migr 3(4):342–346

Belotti D, Arena S, Boeri Erba E, Sharma N, Grasso S, Russo I, Jensen ON, Cabodzi S, Turco E, Di Stefano P, Delippili P (2013) Mapping of p140Cap phosphorylation sites: the EPLYA and EGLYA motifs have a key role in tyrosine phosphorylation and Csk binding, and are substrates of the Abl kinase. PLoS One 8(1):e54931. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054931

Zhang L, Shao H, Zhu T, Xia P, Wang Z, Liu Y, Yan M, Hill DL, Fang G, Chen Z, Wang D, Yao X (2013) DDA3 associates with microtubule plus ends and orchestrates microtubule dynamics and directional cell migration. Sci Rep 3:1681. doi:10.1038/srep01681

Karup M, Wang W, Maloney MT, Millan I, Lundin VF, Tran TA, Yang Y (2012) Calcium tips the balance: a microtubule plus end to lattice binding switch operates in the carboxyl terminus of BPAG1n1. EMBO Rep 13(11):1021–1029. doi:10.1038/embor.2012.140

Bjelc S, De Groot CO, Scharer MA, Jaussi R, Bargsten K, Salzmann M, Frey D, Capistano G, Kammerer RA, Steinmetz MO (2012) Interaction of mammalian end binding proteins with CAP-Gly domains of CLIP-170 and p150(gluD). J Struct Biol 177(1):160–167. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2011.11.010

Sorbara CD, Wagner NE, Ladwig A, Nikic I, Merkler D, Kleele T, Marinkovic P, Naumann R, Godinho L, Bareyre FM, Bishop D, Misgeld T, Kerschensteiner M (2014) Pervasive axonal transport deficits in multiple sclerosis models. Neuron 84(6):1183–1190. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.11.006

Chen L, Wang Z, Ghosh-Roy A, Hubert T, Yan D, O’Rourke S, Bowerman B, Wu Z, Jin Y, Chisholm AD (2011) Axon regeneration pathways identified by systematic genetic screening in C. elegans. Neuron 71(6):1043–1057. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.07.009

Chen L, Stone MC, Tuo J, Rolls MM (2012) Axon injury and stress trigger a microtubule-based neuroprotective pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109(29):11842–11847. doi:10.1073/pnas.1121180109

Waterman-Storer CM, Karki S, Holzbaur EL (1995) The p150GluD component of the dynactin complex binds to both microtubules and the actin-related protein ctenactin (Arp-1). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92(5):1634–1638

Ashham JM, Vaughan KT, Goodson HV, Morrison EE (2002) Evidence that an interaction between EB1 and p150(GluD) is required for the formation and maintenance of a radial microtubule array anchored at the centrosome. Mol Biol Cell 13(10):3627–3645. doi:10.1091/mbc.E02-01-0061

Puls I, Jonnakuty C, LaMonte BH, Holzbaur EL, Tokito M, Mann E, Floeter MK, Bidus K, Drayna D, Oh SJ, Brown RH Jr, Ludlow CL, Fischbeck KH (2003) Mutant dynactin in motor neuron disease. Nat Genet 33(4):455–456. doi:10.1016/S1096-3214(03)00363-1

Wider C, Wszolek ZK (2008) Rapidly progressive familial parkinsonism with central hyperventilation, depression and weight loss (Perry syndrome)—a literature review. Parkinson Relat Disord 14(1):1–7. doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2007.07.014

Farrer MJ, Hulihan MM, Kachergus JM, Dachsel JC, Stoessl AJ, Grantier LL, Calne S, Calne DB, Lechevalier B, Chapon F, Tsuboi Y, Yamada T, Gutmann L, Elboll B, Bhatia KP, Wider C, Vilarino-Guell C, Ross OA, Brown LA, Castanedes-Casey M, Dickson DW, Wszolek ZK (2009) DCTN1 mutations in Perry syndrome. Nat Genet 41(2):163–165. doi:10.1038/ng.293

Levy JR, Sumner CJ, Caviston JP, Tokito MK, Ranganathan S, Ligon LA, Wallace KE, LaMonte BH, Harmison GG, Puls I, Fischbeck KH, Holzbaur ELF (2006) A motor neuron disease–associated mutation in p150(GluD) perturbs dynactin function and induces protein aggregation. J Cell Biol 172(5):733–745. doi:10.1083/jcb.2005111068

Ahmed S, Sun S, Siglin AE, Polenova T, Williams JC (2010) Disease-associated mutations in the p150(GluD) subunit destabilize the CAP-Gly domain. Biochemistry 49(25): 5083–5085. doi:10.1021/bi100235x

Puls I, Oh SJ, Sumner CJ, Wallace KE, Floeter MK, Mann EA, Kennedy WR, Wendelschafer-Crabb G, Vortmeyer A, Powers R, Finnegan K, Holzbaur EL, Fischbeck KH, Ludlow CL (2005) Distal spinal and bulbar muscular atrophy caused by dynactin p150(GluD) mutations. Ann Neurol 57(5):687–694. doi:10.1002/ana.20468

Mouhamadian AJ, Holzbaur EL (2012) Dynactin is required for transport initiation from the distal axon. Neuron 74(2):331–343. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.02.025

Ikezu S, Ikezu T (2014) Tau-tubulin kinase. Front Mol Neurosci 7:33. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2014.00033

Takahashi M, Tomizawa K, Sato K, Ohtake A, Omori A (1995) A novel tau-tubulin kinase from bovine brain. FEBS Lett 372(1):59–64

Tomizawa K, Omori A, Ohtake A, Takahashi M (2001) Tau-tubulin kinase phosphorylates tau at Ser-208 and Ser-210, sites found in paired helical filament-tau. FEBS Lett 492(3):221–227

Houlden H, Johnson J, Gardner-Thorpe C, Lashley T, Hernandez D, Worth P, Singleton AB, Hilton DA, Revesz T, Davis MB, Giunti P, Wood NW (2007) Mutations in TTBK2, encoding a kinase implicated in tau phosphorylation, segregate with spinocerebellar ataxia type 11. Nat Genet 39(12):1434–1436. doi:10.1038/ng.2007.43

Schl"os L, Bauer P, Schmidt T, Schulte T, Riess O (2004) Autosomal dominant cerebellar ataxias: clinical features,
169. Goetz SC, Liem KF Jr, Anderson KV (2012) The spinocerebellar ataxia-associated gene Tau tubulin kinase 2 controls the initiation of ciliogenesis. Cell 151(4):847–858. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.10.010

170. Waters AM, Beales PL (2011) Ciliopathies: an expanding disease spectrum. Pediatr Nephrol 26(7):1039–1056. doi:10.1007/s00467-010-1731-7

171. Oda T, Chiba S, Nagai T, Mizuno K (2014) Binding to Cep164, but not EB1, is essential for centriolar localization of TTBK2 and its function in ciliogenesis. Genes Cells 19(12):927–940. doi:10.1111/gtc.12191

172. Watanabe T, Kakeno M, Matsumi T, Sugiyama I, Arimura N, Matsuzawa K, Shirahige A, Ishidate F, Nishioka T, Taya S, Hoshino M, Kaibuchi K (2015) TTBK2 with EB1/3 regulates microtubule dynamics in migrating cells through KIF2A phosphorylation. J Cell Biol 210(5):737–751. doi:10.1083/jcb.201412075

173. Zhang N, Gordon SL, Fritsch MJ, Esoof N, Campbell DG, Watanabe T, Kakeno M, Matsui T, Sugiyama I, Arimura N, Sato S, Cerny RL, Buescher JL, Ikezu T (2006) Tau-tubulin kinase 1 (TTBK1), a neuron-specific tau kinase candidate, is involved in tau phosphorylation and aggregation. J Neurochem 98(5):1573–1584. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2006.04059.x

174. Yu NN, Yu JT, Zhang HW, Lu RC, Jiang H, Xing ZH, Liachko NF, McMillan PJ, Strovas TJ, Loomis E, Greenup L, Vazquez-Higuera JL, Martinez-Garcia A, Sanchez-Juan P, Murrell JR, Ghetti B, Raskind MA, Montine TJ, Bird TD, Leverenz JB, Kraemer BC (2014) The tau tubulin kinases TTK and its function in ciliogenesis. Genes Cells 19(12):927–940. doi:10.1111/gtc.12191

175. Sato S, Cerny RL, Buescher JL, Ikezu T (2006) Tau-tubulin kinase 1 (TTBK1), a neuron-specific tau kinase candidate, is involved in tau phosphorylation and aggregation. J Neurochem 98(5):1573–1584. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2006.04059.x

176. Votin V, Nelson WJ, Barth AI (2005) Neurite outgrowth involves adenomatous polyposis coli protein and beta-catenin. J Cell Sci 118(Pt 24):5699–5708. doi:10.1242/jcs.02679

177. Monckton C, Blair J, Oon E, Perez A, Salas E, Oh A, Ghukasyan D, Leverenz JB, Kraemer BC (2014) The NAP motif of activity-dependent neuroprotective protein (ADNP) regulates dendritic spines through microtubule end binding proteins. Mol Psychiatry 19(10):1115–1124. doi:10.1038/mp.2014.97

178. Purro SA, Ciani L, Hoyos-Flight M, Stamatakou E, Siomou E, Salinas PC (2008) Wnt regulates axon behavior through changes in microtubule growth directionality: a new role for adenomatous polyposis coli. J Neurosci 28(34):8644–8654. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.2320-08.2008

179. Preitner N, Quan J, Nowakowski DW, Hancock ML, Shi J, Cherkovskij E, Young-Peraez TL, Flanagan GC (2014) APC is an RNA-binding protein, and its interactome provides a link to neural development and microtubule assembly. Cell 158(2):368–382. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.05.042

180. Liu EE, Lee VMY, Trojanowski JQ (2012) Gains or losses of molecular mechanisms of TDP43-mediated neurodegeneration. Nat Rev Neurosci 13(1):38–50. doi:10.1038/nrn3121

181. Zhang B, Carroll J, Trojanowski JQ, Yao Y, Iba M, Potuzak JS, Hogan AM, Xie SX, Ballatore C, Smith AB 3rd, Lee VM, Brunden KR (2012) The microtubule-stabilizing agent, epothilone B promotes axon regeneration after spinal cord injury. Science (New York, NY) 348(6232):347–352. doi:10.1126/science.aaa2958

182. Divinski I, Holts-Cochav M, Vulih-Schultzman I, Steingart RA, Gozes I (2006) Peptide neuroprotection through specific interaction with brain tubulin. J Neurochem 98(3):973–984. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2006.03936.x

183. Oz S, Ivashko-Pachima Y, Gozes I (2013) NAP (davunetide) modulates the tubulin pool: implication for neurotrophic and neuroprotective activities. PLoS One 7(12):e51458. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051458

184. Pourro SA, Ciani L, Hoyos-Flight M, Stamatakou E, Siomou E, Salinas PC (2008) Wnt regulates axon behavior through changes in microtubule growth directionality: a new role for adenomatous polyposis coli. J Neurosci 28(34):8644–8654. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.2320-08.2008

185. Koester MP, Muller O, Pellegrin KG (2007) Adenomatous polyposis coli protein deletion leads to cognitive and autism-like disabilities. Mol Psychiatry. doi:10.1038/mp.2014.61

186. Eom TY, Stanco A, Guo J, Wilkins G, Deslauriers D, Yan J, Monckton C, Blair J, Oon E, Perez A, Salas E, Oh A, Ghukasyan D, Leverenz JB, Kraemer BC (2014) The NAP motif of activity-dependent neuroprotective protein (ADNP) regulates dendritic spines through microtubule end binding proteins. Mol Psychiatry 19(10):1115–1124. doi:10.1038/mp.2014.97

187. Shi Y, Jia Y, Peng G, Yang L, Zhong J, Li T, Peng J, Zeng X, Li Y, Huang L, Zhang B, Li Y, Li H (2009) NAP protects memory, increases soluble tau and reduces tau hyperphosphorylation in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease at early pathological stage. J Mol Neurosci 31(2):165–170

188. Qin YQ, Huang S, Liu S, Zhang L, Jia Y, Yuan H, Zeng X, Li H, Zhang B, Li Y, Li H (2010) NAP modulates the tubulin pool: implication for neurotrophic and neuroprotective activities. PLoS One 7(12):e51458. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051458

189. Qin YQ, Huang S, Liu S, Zhang L, Jia Y, Yuan H, Zeng X, Li H, Zhang B, Li Y, Li H (2010) NAP modulates the tubulin pool: implication for neurotrophic and neuroprotective activities. PLoS One 7(12):e51458. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051458
V, Snider WD, Rubenstein JR, Anton ES (2014) Differential regulation of microtubule severing by APC underlies distinct patterns of projection neuron and interneuron migration. Dev Cell 31(6):677–689. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2014.11.022

197. Chen Y, Tian X, Kim WY, Snider WD (2011) Adenomatous polyposis coli regulates axon arborization and cytoskeleton organization via its n-terminus. PLoS One 6(9):e24335. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0024335

198. Rosenberg MM, Yang F, Mohl JL, Storer EK, Jacob MH (2010) The postsynaptic APC multi-protein complex is required for localizing neurexin and neurexin to neuronal nicotinic synapses in vivo. J Neurosci 30(33):11073–11085. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.0983-10.2010

199. Hamilton SR, Liu B, Parsons RE, Papadopoulos N, Jen J, Powell SM, Krush AJ, Berk T, Cohen Z, Yetu B, Burger PC, Wood PA, Taq F, Booker SV, Petersen GM, Offerhaus GJA, Tersmette AC, Giardiello FM, Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW (1995) The Molecular Basis of Turcot’s Syndrome. N Engl J Med 332(13):839–847. doi:10.1056/NEJM199503303321302

200. Almuriekhi M, Shintani T, Fahiminiya S, Fujikawa A, Noda M (2015) Loss-of-function mutation in APC2 causes sotos syndrome. Cell Rep 10(9):1585–1598. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2015.02.011

201. Shintani T, Takeuchi Y, Fujikawa A, Noda M (2012) Direct readout of neuronal migration is impaired in mice lacking adenomatous polyposis coli 2. J Neurosci 32(19):6468–6484. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.09915-12.2012

202. Shintani T, Ihara M, Tani S, Sakuraba J, Sakuta H, Noda M (2009) APC2 plays an essential role in axonal projections through the regulation of microtubule stability. J Neurosci 29(37):11628–11640. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.2394-09.2009

203. Bond J, Roberts E, Springell K, Lizarraga S, Scott S, Higgins J, Hampshire DJ, Morrison EE, Leal GF, Silva EO, Costa SMR, Baralle D, Raponi M, Karbani G, Rashid Y, Jafri H, Bennett C, Hampshire DJ, Morrison EE, Leal GF, Silva EO, Costa SMR, Baralle D, Raponi M, Karbani G, Rashid Y, Jafri H, Bennett C, Corry P, Walsh CA, Woods CG (2005) A centrosomal mechanism involving CDK5RAP2 and CENPJ controls brain size. Nat Genet 37(4):353–355. doi:10.1038/ng1539

204. Corry P, Walsh CA, Fleming MD, Blevins S, Mudbhary R, Barker JE, Walsh CA, Fleming MD (2010) Cdk5rap2 interacts with pericentrin to maintain the neural progenitor pool in the developing neocortex. Neuron 66(3):386–402. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.03.036

205. Hassan MJ, Khurshid M, Azeem Z, John P, Ali G, Chishti MS, Srour M, Hamdan Fadi F, McKnight D, Davis E, Mandel H, Larti F, Kahrizi K, Musante L, Hu H, Papari E, Fattahi Z, Bazazzadegan A, Liu Z, Banan M, Garshasbi M, Wienker TF, Ropers HH, Galjart N, Najmabadi H (2015) A defect in the CLIP1 gene (CLIP-170) can cause autosomal recessive intellectual disability. Eur J Hum Genet 23(3):311–336. doi:10.1038/ejhg.2014.13

206. Cheung J, Petek E, Nakabayashi K, Tsui LC, Vincent JB, Scherer SW (2001) Identification of the human cortactin-binding protein-2 gene from the autism candidate region at 7q31. Genomics 78(1–2):7–11. doi:10.1006/geno.2001.6651

207. Chen YK, Chen CY, Hu HT, Hsu YP (2012) CTTNBP2, but not CTTNBP2NL, regulates dendritic spineogenesis and synaptic distribution of the striatoni-P2A complex. Mol Biol Cell 23(22):4383–4392. doi:10.1091/mbc.E12-05-0365

208. Chen YK, Hsu YP (2012) Cortactin-binding protein 2 modulates the mobility of cortactin and regulates dendritic spine formation and maintenance. J Neurosci 32(3):1043–1055. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.4405-11.2012

209. Shih PY, Lee SP, Chen YK, Hsu YP (2014) Cortactin-binding protein 2 increases microtubule stability and regulates dendritic arborization. J Cell Sci 127(Pt 16):3521–3534. doi:10.1242/jcs.149476

210. Iossifov I, Ronemus M, Levy D, Wang Z, Hakker I, Rosenbaum J, Yamrom B, Lee YH, Narzisi G, Leotta A, Kendall J, Grauworska E, Ma B, Marks S, Rodgers L, Stepansky A, Troge J, Andrews P, Bekritsky M, Pradhan K, Ghiban E, Kramer M, Parla J, Demeter R, Fulton LL, Fulton RS, Magrini VJ, Ye K, Darnell JC, Darnell RB, Mardis ER, Wilson KK, Schatz MC, McCombie WR, Wigler M (2012) De novo gene disruptions in children on the autistic spectrum. Neuron 74(2):285–299. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.04.009

211. Hsieh PC, Chiang ML, Chang JC, Yan YT, Wang FF, Chou YC (2012) DDA3 stabilizes microtubules and suppresses neurite formation. J Cell Sci 125(Pt 14):3402–3411. doi:10.1242/jcs.099150

212. Sweet ES, Previtera ML, Fernandez JR, Charych EI, Tseng CY, Wils J, Otsus M, Remm M, Verschuure M, Nuydens GD, Peeraer L, Vanhaecke J, Van Calenbergh M, De Keyser F, Broeckx P, Wieland T, Van Damme P (2014) Inhibitor of apoptosis-stimulating protein of p53 family in glioblastoma cells. Mol Cell Biochem 350(1–2):193–200. doi:10.1007/s11010-010-0698-9

213. Elpeleg O, Kukolich Mary K, Shalev S, Michaud Jacques L, Bras C, Brousseau M, Keller J, Oliveira J, Chang YC, Hsueh YP (2012) Cortactin-binding protein-2 (CTTNBP2) is required for neuronal survival after axonal injury. PLoS One 9(4):e94175. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.094175

214. Hsieh PC, Chiang ML, Chang JC, Yan YT, Wang FF, Chou YC (2012) DDA3 stabilizes microtubules and dendrite morphology of hippocampal neurons. Exp Cell Res 326(1):174–184. doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2011.04.006

215. Nagano T, Yoneda T, Hatanaka Y, Kabuta C, Murakami F, Sato M (2002) Filamin A-interacting protein (FILIP) regulates cortical fil insertion out of the ventricular zone. Nat Cell Biol 4(7):495–501. doi:10.1038/ncb808

216. Wilson AM, Chiodo VA, Boye SL, Brecha NC, Hauswirth WW, Di Polo A (2014) Inhibitor of apoptosis-stimulating protein of p53 (iASPP) is required for neuronal survival after axonal injury. PLoS One 9(4):e94175. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.094175

217. Li G, Wang R, Gao J, Deng K, Wei J, Wei Y (2011) RNA interference-mediated silencing of iASPP induces cell proliferation inhibition and G0/G1 cell cycle arrest in U251 human glioblastoma cells. Mol Cell Biochem 350(1–2):193–200. doi:10.1007/s11010-010-0698-9

218. Liu X, Li F, Zhao S, Luo Y, Kang J, Zhao H, Yan F, Li S, Ji X (2013) MicroRNA-124-mediated regulation of inhibitory member of apoptosis-stimulating protein of p53 family in experimental stroke. Stroke 44(7):1973–1980. doi:10.1161/strokeaha.111.000613

219. Zhao WH, Wu SQ, Zhang YD (2013) Downregulation of miR-124 promotes the growth and invasiveness of glioblastoma cells involving upregulation of PPP1R13L. Int J Mol Med 32(1):101–107. doi:10.3892/ijmm.2013.1365

220. Bie L, Zhao G, Wang YP, Zhang B (2012) Kinesin family member 2C (KIF2C/MCAK) is a novel marker for prognosis in human gliomas. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 114(4):356–360
223. Falnikar A, Tole S, Baas PW (2011) Kinesin-5, a mitotic microtubule-associated motor protein, modulates neuronal migration. Mol Biol Cell 22(9):1561–1574. doi:10.1091/mbc.E10-11-0905

224. Haque SA, Hasaka TP, Brooks AD, Lobanov PV, Baas PW (2004) Monastrol, a prototype anti-cancer drug that inhibits a mitotic kinesin, induces rapid bursts of axonal outgrowth from cultured postmitotic neurons. Cell Motil Cytoskeleton 58(1):10–16. doi:10.1002/cmot.10176

225. Jones GE, Ostergaard P, Moore AT, Connell PC, Williams D, Quarrell O, Brady AF, Spier I, Hazan F, Moldovan O, Wieczorek D, Mikat B, Petit F, Coubes C, Saul RA, Brice G, Gordon K, Jeffery S, Mortimer PS, Vasudevan PC, Mansour S (2014) Microcephaly with or without choreo-rettineopathy, lymphoedema, or mental retardation (MCMLKR): review of phenotype associated with KIF11 mutations. Eur J Hum Genet 22(7):881–887. doi:10.1038/ejhg.2013.263

226. Myers KA, Baas PW (2007) Kinesin-5 regulates the growth of polarized dendritic transport and uniform microtubule orientation in axons. Nat Cell Biol 10(10):1172–1180. doi:10.1038/ncb1777

227. Hekimi S, Kershaw D (1993) Axonal guidance defects in a yeast kinesin-like mutant. Nature 365(6447):475–478. doi:10.1038/365475a0

228. Reiner O, Carrozzo R, Shen Y, Faustinella F, Sudarov A, Gooden F, Tseng D, Gan WB, Ross ME (2013) LIS1 controls dynamics of neuronal filopodia and spines to impact synaptogenesis and social behaviour. EMBO Mol Med 5(4):591–607. doi:10.1002/emmm.201202106

229. Pilz DT, Kuc J, Matsumoto N, Bodurtha J, Bernadi B, Tassinari CA, Dobyns WB, Ledbetter DH (1999) Subcortical band heterotopia in rare affected males can be caused by missense mutations in DCX (XLI). JAMA 280(23):2838–2842

230. Kim KY, Kim KH, Hwang H, Lee SH, Hong KN, Kim D-H, Shim WH (2005) Mouse models: a selective in vitro role for the mitotic kinesin Eg5. Brain Res 1038(1–2):1–11. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2005.09.058

231. Coquelle FM, Caspi M, Cordelieres FP, Dompiere JP, Dujardin D, Kofiman C, Martin P, Hoogenraad CC, Akhmanova A, Galjart N, De Mey JR, Reiner O (2002) LIS1, CLIP-170’s key to the dynein/dynactin pathway. Mol Cell Biol 22(9):3089–3102. doi:10.1128/mcb.22.9.3089-3102.2002

232. Gambello MJ, Darling DL, Yingling J, Tanaka T, Gleeson JG, Wynshaw-Boris A (2003) Multiple dose-dependent effects of LIS1 on cerebral cortical development. J Neurosci 23(5):1719–1729

233. Ayoub YH, Pampramo T, Hirotose S, Wynshaw-Boris A (2009) Distinct dose-dependent cortical neuronal migration and neurite extension defects in Lis1 and Nde1 mutant mice. J Neurosci 29(49):15520–15530. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.4630-09.2009

234. Coquelle FM, Caspi M, Cordelieres FP, Dompiere JP, Dujardin D, Kofiman C, Martin P, Hoogenraad CC, Akhmanova A, Galjart N, De Mey JR, Reiner O (2002) LIS1, CLIP-170’s key to the dynein/dynactin pathway. Mol Cell Biol 22(9):3089–3102. doi:10.1128/mcb.22.9.3089-3102.2002

235. Hirotose S, Fleck MW, Gambello MJ, Bix GJ, Chen A, Clark GD, Ledbetter DH, McBain CJ, Wynshaw-Boris A (1998) Graded reduction of Pafah1b1 (Lis1) activity results in neuronal migration defects and early embryonic lethality. Nat Genet 19(4):333–339. doi:10.1038/1221

236. Reiner O, Carrozzo R, Shen Y, Wehnert M, Faustinella F, Dobyns WB, Caskey CT, Ledbetter DH (1993) Isolation of a Miller–Dieker lissencephaly gene containing G protein beta-subunit-like repeats. Nature 364(6439):717–721. doi:10.1038/364717a0

237. Reiner O, Carrozzo R, Ledbetter DH (1993) Lissencephaly. A human brain malformation associated with deletion of the LIS1 gene located at chromosome 17p13. JAMA 270(23):2838–2842

238. Pilz DT, Kuc J, Matsumoto N, Bodurtha J, Bernadi B, Tassinari CA, Dobyns WB, Ledbetter DH (1999) Subcortical band heterotopia in rare affected males can be caused by missense mutations in DCX (XLI) or LIS1. Hum Mol Genet 8(9):1757–1760

239. Ka M, Kim WY (2015) Microtubule-actin crosslinking factor 1 is required for dendritic arborization and axon outgrowth in the developing brain. Mol Neurobiol. doi:10.1007/s12035-015-9508-4

240. Jorgensen LH, Mosbech MB, Faergeman NJ, Geisler J, Jacobsen SV, Schroder HD (2014) Duplication in the microtubule-actin cross-linking factor 1 gene causes a novel neuromuscular condition. Sci Rep 4:5180. doi:10.1038/srep05180

241. Hekimi S, Kershaw D (1993) Axonal guidance defects in a yeast kinesin-like mutant. Nature 365(6447):475–478. doi:10.1038/365475a0

242. Reiner O, Carrozzo R, Shen Y, Wehnert M, Faustinella F, Dobyns WB, Caskey CT, Ledbetter DH (1993) Isolation of a Miller–Dieker lissencephaly gene containing G protein beta-subunit-like repeats. Nature 364(6439):717–721. doi:10.1038/364717a0

243. Sudarov A, Gooden F, Tseng D, Gan WB, Ross ME (2013) LIS1 controls dynamics of neuronal filopodia and spines to impact synaptogenesis and social behaviour. EMBO Mol Med 5(4):591–607. doi:10.1002/emmm.201202106

244. Reiner O, Carrozzo R, Ledbetter DH (1993) Lissencephaly. A human brain malformation associated with deletion of the LIS1 gene located at chromosome 17p13. JAMA 270(23):2838–2842

245. Reiner O, Carrozzo R, Shen Y, Wehnert M, Faustinella F, Dobyns WB, Caskey CT, Ledbetter DH (1993) Isolation of a Miller–Dieker lissencephaly gene containing G protein beta-subunit-like repeats. Nature 364(6439):717–721. doi:10.1038/364717a0

246. Dobyns WB, Reiner O, Carrozzo R, Ledbetter DH (1993) Lissencephaly. A human brain malformation associated with deletion of the LIS1 gene located at chromosome 17p13. JAMA 270(23):2838–2842

247. Reiner O, Carrozzo R, Shen Y, Wehnert M, Faustinella F, Dobyns WB, Caskey CT, Ledbetter DH (1993) Isolation of a Miller–Dieker lissencephaly gene containing G protein beta-subunit-like repeats. Nature 364(6439):717–721. doi:10.1038/364717a0

248. Reiner O, Carrozzo R, Shen Y, Wehnert M, Faustinella F, Dobyns WB, Caskey CT, Ledbetter DH (1993) Isolation of a Miller–Dieker lissencephaly gene containing G protein beta-subunit-like repeats. Nature 364(6439):717–721. doi:10.1038/364717a0
filament interacting like protein 1 (POMFIL1) is predominantly expressed in the nervous system and encodes different protein isoforms. Gene 290(1-2):73-94. doi:10.1016/s0731-8712(11)00616-9

252. Peeters PJ, Baker A, Goris I, Daneels G, Verhasselt P, Luyten WHML, Geyssen JGH, Kass SU, Moechars DWE (2004) Sensory deficits in mice hypomorphic for a mammalian homologue of unc-53. Dev Brain Res 150(2):89-101. doi:10.1016/j.devbrains.2004.03.004

253. Muley PD, McNeill EM, Marzinke MA, Knobel KM, Barr MM, Clagett-Dame M (2008) The aR4A-responsive gene neuron navigator 2 functions in neurite outgrowth and axonal elongation. Dev Neurobiol 68(13):1441-1453. doi:10.1002/dneu.20670

254. Martinez-Lopez MJ, Alcantara S, Mascaro C, Perez-Branguli F, Ruiz-Lozano P, Maes T, Soriano E, Buesa C (2005) Mouse neuron navigator 1, a novel microtubule-associated protein involved in neuronal developmental. Mol Cell Neurosci 28(4):599-612. doi:10.1016/j.mcn.2004.09.016

255. McNeill EM, Klockner-Bormann M, Roseler EC, Talton LE, Moechars D, Clagett-Dame M (2011) Nav2 hypomorphic mutant mice are ataxic and exhibit abnormalities in cerebellar development. Dev Biol 352(2):331-343. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.03.008

256. Marzinke MA, Mavencamp T, Duratinsky J, Clagett-Dame M (2013) 14-3-3 epsilon and NAV2 interact to regulate neurite outgrowth and axon elongation. Arch Biochem Biophys 540(1-2):94-100. doi:10.1016/j.abb.2013.10.012

257. Carlsson E, Krohn K, Ovitska K, Lindberg P, Hayry V, Malin- zemi P, Lintulahti A, Korja M, Kivisaari R, Hussein S, Sarna S, Niiranen K, Haaptaniemi S, Haapasalo H, Ranki A (2013) Neuron navigator 3 alterations in nervous system tumors associate with tumor malignancy grade and prognosis. Genes Chromosom Cancer 52(2):191-201. doi:10.1002/gcc.20209

258. Van Haren J, Boudeau J, Schmidt S, Basu S, Liu Z, Lammers M, Demmers J, Benhari J, Grosfeld F, Debant A, Galjart N (2014) Dynamic microtubules catalyze formation of navigator-TRIO complexes to regulate neurite extension. Curr Biol 24(15):1778-1785. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.06.037

259. Tomasoni R, Repetto D, Morini R, Elia C, Gaudino F, Di Luca M, Turco E, De Filippis P, Matteoli M (2013) SNAP-25 regulates spine formation through postsynaptic binding to p140Cap. Nat Commun 4:1-13. doi:10.1038/ncomms1316

260. Yang Y, Wei M, Xiong Y, Du X, Zhu S, Yang L, Zhang C, Liu JJ (2015) Endophilin A1 regulates dendritic spine morphogenesis and stability through interaction with p140Cap. Cell Res 25(4):496-516. doi:10.1093/cr/2015.31

261. Munch C, Sedlmeier R, Meyer T, Homberg V, Sperfeld AD, Kurt A, Prudlo J, Peraus G, Hanemann CO, Stumm G, Ludolph AC (2004) Point mutations of the p150 subunit of dynactin (DCTN1) gene in ALS. Neurology 63(4):724-726

262. Kwinter DM, Lo K, Maal F, Silverman MA (2009) Dynactin regulates bidirectional transport of dense-core vesicles in the axon and dendrites of cultured hippocampal neurons. Neuroscience 162(4):1001-1010. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.05.038

263. Bell N, Hann V, Redfern CP (1833) Cheek TR (2013) Store-operated Ca(2+) entry in proliferating and retinoic acid-differentiated N- and S-type neuroblastoma cells. Biochim Biophys Acta 3:643-651. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2012.11.025

264. Shim S, Zheng JQ, Ming GL (2013) A critical role for STIM1 in filopodial calcium entry and axon guidance. Mol Brain 6:51. doi:10.1186/1756-6606-6-51

265. Zhang M, Wu Y, Song JN, Zhao YL, Fu ZF, Ma XD, Zhai HC (2013) The role of store-operated calcium channels in early brain injury after subarachnoid hemorrhage. J Xian Jiaotong Univ 34(6):710-716. doi:10.7652/jxbx201306003

266. Li Y, Song J, Liu X, Zhang M, An J, Sun P, Li D, Jin T, Wang J (2013) High expression of STIM1 in the early stages of diffuse axonal injury. Brain Res 1495:95-102. doi:10.1016/j.brains.2012.12.005

267. Hao B, Lu Y, Wang Q, Guo W, Cheung KH, Yue J (2014) Role of STIM1 in survival and neural differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells independent of Orai1-mediated Ca(2+) entry. Stem cell research 12(2):452-466. doi:10.1016/j.scr.2013. 12.005

268. Kawamata H, Ng SK, Diaz N, Burstein S, Morel L, Osgood A, Sider B, Higashimori H, Haydon PG, Manfredi G, Yang Y (2014) Abnormal intracellular calcium signaling and SNARE-dependent exocytosis contributes to SOD1G93A astrocyte-mediated toxicity in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Neurosci 34(6):2331-2348. doi:10.1523/jeurosci.2689-13.2014

269. Vigont VA, Zimina OA, Glushankova LN, Kolokobaeva J, Ryazantseva MA, Mozhayeva GN, Kaznacheeva EV (2014) STIM1 protein activates store-operated calcium channels in cellular model of Huntington’s disease. Acta Nat 6(4):40-47

270. Su Q, Cai Q, Gerwin C, Smith CL, Sheng ZH (2004) Syntabulin is a microtubule-associated protein implicated in syntaxin transport in neurons. Nat Cell Biol 6(10):941-953. doi:10.1038/ncb1169

271. Cai Q, Gerwin C, Sheng ZH (2005) Syntabulin-mediated anterograde transport of mitochondria along neuronal processes. J Cell Biol 170(6):959-969. doi:10.1083/jcb.200506042

272. Cai Q, Pan PY, Sheng ZH (2007) Syntabulin-kinase-1 family member 5B-mediated axonal transport contributes to activity-dependent presynaptic assembly. J Neurosci 27(27):7284-7296. doi:10.1523/jeurosci.0731-07.2007

273. Ma H, Cai Q, Lu W, Sheng ZH, Mochida S (2009) KIF5B motor adaptor syntabulin maintains synaptic transmission in sympathetic neurons. J Neurosci 29(41):13019-13029. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.2517-09.2009

274. Yang YT, Wang CL, Van Aelst L (2012) DOCK7 interacts with TACC3 to regulate microtubule nucleation and cortical neurogenesis. Nat Neurosci 15(9):1201-1210. doi:10.1038/nn.3171

275. Xie Z, Moy LY, Sanada K, Zhou Y, Buchman JJ, Tsai LH (2007) Cep120 and TACCs control interkinetic nuclear migration and the neural progenitor pool. Neuro 56(1):79-93. doi:10.1101/nr.071367.106

276. Wurdak H, Zhu S, Min KH, Aimone LB, Watson J, Chopiku G, Demas J, Chabette B, Weerapan E, Cravatt BF, Cline HT, Peters EC, Zhang J, Walker JR, Wu C, Chang J, Tuntland T, Cho CY, Schultz PG (2010) A small molecule accelerates neuronal differentiation in the adult rat. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107(38):16542-16547. doi:10.1073/pnas. 100300107

277. Nwagbara BU, Faris AE, Bearce EA, Erdogan B, Ebbert PT, Evans MF, Rutherford EL, Enzenbacher TB, Lowery LA (2014) TACC3 is a microtubule plus-end tracking protein that promotes axon elongation and also regulates microtubule plus end dynamics in multiple embryonic cell types. Mol Biol Cell 25(21):3350-3362. doi:10.1091/mbc.E14-06-1121

278. DeGeer J, Boudeau J, Schmidt S, Bedford F, Lamarche-Vane N, Debant A (2013) Tyrosine phosphorylation of the Rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor Trio regulates netrin-1/DCC-mediated cortical axon outgrowth. Mol Cell Biol 33(4):739-751. doi:10.1128/mcb.01264-12

279. O’Brien SP, Seipel K, Medley OG, Bronson R, Segal R, Streuli M (2000) Skeletal muscle deformity and neuronal disorder in the adult rat. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97(22):12074-12078. doi:10.1073/pnas.97.22.12074
280. Newsome TP, Schmidt S, Dietzl G, Keleman K, Asling B, Debant A, Dickson BJ (2000) Trio combines with dock to regulate Pak activity during photoreceptor axon pathfinding in Drosophila. Cell 101(3):283–294

281. Bateman J, Shu H, Van Vactor D (2000) The guanine nucleotide exchange factor trio mediates axonal development in the Drosophila embryo. Neuron 26(1):93–106

282. Liebl EC, Forsthoefel DJ, Franco LS, Sample SH, Hess JE, Cowger JA, Chandler MP, Shupert AM, Seeger MA (2000) Dosage-sensitive, reciprocal genetic interactions between the Abl tyrosine kinase and the putative GEF trio reveal trio’s role in axon pathfinding. Neuron 26(1):107–118

283. Awasaki T, Saito M, Sone M, Suzuki E, Sakai R, Ito K, Hama C (2000) The Drosophila trio plays an essential role in patterning of axons by regulating their directional extension. Neuron 26(1):119–131

284. Briancon-Marjollet A, Ghogha A, Nawabi H, Triki I, Auziol C, Fromont S, Piche C, Enslen H, Chebli K, Cloutier JF, Castellani V, Debant A, Lamarche-Vane N (2008) Trio mediates netrin-1-induced Rac1 activation in axon outgrowth and guidance. Mol Cell Biol 28(7):2314–2323. doi: 10.1128/mcb.00998-07

285. Peng YJ, He WQ, Tang J, Tao T, Chen C, Gao YQ, Zhang WC, He XY, Dai YY, Zhu NC, Lv N, Zhang CH, Qiao YN, Zhao LP, Gao X, Zhu MS (2010) Trio is a key guanine nucleotide exchange factor coordinating regulation of the migration and morphogenesis of granule cells in the developing cerebellum. J Biol Chem 285(32):24834–24844. doi:10.1074/jbc.M109.096537

286. Lund H, Cowburn RF, Gustafsson E, Stromberg K, Svensson A, Dahllund L, Malinowsky D, Sunnemark D (2013) Tau-tubulin kinase 1 expression, phosphorylation and co-localization with phospho-Ser422 tau in the Alzheimer’s disease brain. Brain Pathol 23(4):378–389. doi:10.1111/bpa.12001