The PHLPP2 phosphatase is a druggable driver of prostate cancer progression

Dawid G. Nowak1,2, Ksenya Cohen Katsenelson3, Kaitlin E. Watrud1, Muhan Chen1, Grinu Mathew1, Vincent D. D’Andrea1, Matthew F. Lee1, John E. Wilkinson4, Alexandra C. Newton3, and Lloyd C. Trotman1

Metastatic prostate cancer commonly presents with targeted, bi-allelic mutations of the PTEN and TP53 tumor suppressor genes. In contrast, however, most candidate tumor suppressors are part of large recurrent hemizygous deletions, such as the common chromosome 16q deletion, which involves the AKT-suppressing phosphatase PHLPP2. Using RapidCaP, a genetically engineered mouse model of Pten/Tp53 mutant metastatic prostate cancer, we found that complete loss of Phlpp2 paradoxically blocks prostate tumor growth and disease progression. Surprisingly, we find that Phlpp2 is essential for supporting Myc, a key driver of lethal prostate cancer. Phlpp2 dephosphorylates threonine-58 of Myc, which renders it a limiting positive regulator of Myc stability. Furthermore, we show that small-molecule inhibitors of PHLPP2 can suppress MYC and kill PTEN mutant cells. Our findings reveal that the frequent hemizygous deletions on chromosome 16q present a druggable vulnerability for targeting MYC protein through PHLPP2 phosphatase inhibitors.

Introduction

Prostate cancer (PC) is one of the most prevalent cancers among men, causing almost 30,000 deaths in the United States alone. Death is mainly due to metastasis, as the 5-yr survival rate of metastatic PC is only 28%. In contrast, the 5-yr survival rate of organ-confined disease is almost 99% (National Cancer Institute, 2016). Understanding how genetic alterations are linked to cancer progression can help explain how tumor cells escape from focal disease sites to distant metastatic sites. However, there is a scarcity of human prostate metastatic samples for research purposes because invasive biopsies at metastatic sites await rigorous validation of the candidate cancer genes that they contain.

We, and others, use genetically engineered mice (GEMs) to functionally test the contribution of individual gene alterations to the disease (Irshad and Abate-Shen, 2013). Modeling of primary PC has demonstrated the pivotal role of Pten as an essential suppressor of PI 3-kinase/Akt signaling in controlling onset, progression, and outcome of the disease, either alone (Podsypanina et al., 1999; Kwabi-Addo et al., 2001; Trotman et al., 2003) or when combined with other insults (Di Cristofano et al., 2001; Chen et al., 2005; Trotman et al., 2006; Ding et al., 2011). Of particular relevance to this study, we have previously shown that loss of the phospho-Akt inhibiting Phlpp1 phosphatase triggers prostatic neoplasia on its own, and when combined with hemizygous loss of Pten results in highly penetrant prostate carcinoma (Chen et al., 2011). These results were copied from an unknown source.
consistent with the notion that the degree of PI 3-kinase/Akt pathway activation dictates disease course (Trotman et al., 2003), a notion that long served as the blueprint for target therapy of PC (Majumder and Sellers, 2005).

To now explore the mechanisms behind metastasis, we have recently developed RapidCap (Cho et al., 2014). In this GEM model for analysis and therapy of endogenous metastatic PC, we are using somatic gene transfer to trigger loss of Pten and Trp53 in prostate, two alterations that have emerged as a hallmark of the human metastatic PC genome (Armenia et al., 2018). The analysis of primary lesions and visceral metastases revealed a surprise: in contrast to primary PC, suppression of Akt was seen in metastasis (Cho et al., 2014; Nowak et al., 2015). Mechanistically, we showed that inactivation of phospho-Akt was mediated by its phosphatase, Phlpp2, consistent with high Phlpp2 expression in the phospho-Akt–negative metastatic lesions from multiple histological sites (Nowak et al., 2015).

PHLPP2 and the closely related paralog PHLPP1 are members of the protein phosphatase 2C (PP2C) family of Mg2+/Mn2+-dependent phosphatases, which are insensitive to most common phosphatase inhibitors, including okadaic acid (OA; Brognard et al., 2007). They can inactivate signaling of their targets AKT and PKC by dephosphorylation of the C-terminal hydrophobic phosphorylation motifs (Brognard and Newton, 2008; Gao et al., 2008).

Since Phlpp1 loss triggers PC initiation by activation of Akt (Chen et al., 2011), but in metastasis we found that Akt is suppressed by a mechanism that requires Phlpp2, it has become unclear if Phlpp2 promotes or prevents the disease. Human PC genomics does not provide strong clues, as PHLPP2 is part of a recurrent broad hemizygous deletion in primary and metastatic disease. Therefore, we used genetics to directly test the role of Phlpp2 in vivo using the RapidCaP system as done previously for other candidate cancer genes (Cho et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2017). Fig. 1 F shows how targeting of PHLPP2 in a stable PtenΔ/Δ;Trp53Δ/Δ MEFS, we confirmed suppression of Myc upon Phlpp2 knockout together with increased phosphorylation of Akt (Fig. 1 C, upper left panel). Functional analysis of these cells also showed decreased proliferation after Phlpp2 deletion (Fig. 1 C, right panel). Conversely, overexpression of PHLPP2 in the double-mutant cells caused an increase in Myc protein, confirming the notion that PHLPP2 regulates Myc levels (Fig. 1 C, lower left panel). Next, we knocked down PHLPP2 in DU145 cells, a human metastatic PC cell line. As shown in Fig. 1 D (left panel), PHLPP2 siRNA caused an ∼75% reduction in MYC protein, as quantified by densitometric analysis (Fig. 1 D, right panel). PHLPP2-siRNA knockdown in PC3, another PC metastatic cell line (Fig. 1 E, left panel), also caused a reduction in MYC protein levels (by ∼40%; Fig. 1 E, right panel).

Finally, we tested if genetic targeting of PHLPP2 can be used to deplete DU145 cells upon stable infection with our anti-PHLPP2-CRISPR-Cas9-P2A-VenusFP viral vector system (ECPV; Chen et al., 2017; Senturk et al., 2017). Fig. 1 F shows how targeting of PHLPP2 with two different guides efficiently depleted the fluorescence-positive cells relative to the control. In contrast, the nonmetastatic cell line 22Rv1 showed no difference after Phlpp2 targeting (Fig. 1 F and Fig. S1 C). Collectively, our results suggested that PHLPP2 both controls and is required to maintain MYC levels and proliferation of cells, possibly more efficiently in metastatic cell lines.

To assess the impact of Phlpp2 loss in double-mutant cells, we generated and tested triple-mutant PtenΔ/Δ;Trp53Δ/Δ;Phlpp2Δ/Δ mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs). First, we noted a significant drop in proliferation of these cells compared with the double-mutant PtenΔ/Δ;Trp53Δ/Δ cells (Fig. 1 B, left panel). Protein analysis revealed increased in Akt activation upon Phlpp2 loss, as expected. To our surprise, however, we found that loss of Phlpp2 caused a sharp reduction in the total levels of Myc (Fig. 1 B, right panel). Myc is a driver oncogene of many cancer types, including human PC and classic GEMs of the disease (Ellwood-Yen et al., 2003; Farrell and Sears, 2014; McKeown and Bradner, 2014; Fernandez-Salas et al., 2016). Notably, we found that Myc is a spontaneously activated, essential driver of metastasis and resistance to castration therapy in RapidCap (Cho et al., 2014; Nowak et al., 2015). Activation of the p16 tumor suppressor was also seen in these cells (Fig. 1 B, right panel), a response that can be overcome by Myc to cause immortalization (Gil et al., 2005). In addition, Phlpp2 loss did not affect levels of Phlpp1, showing lack of general compensation between these two proteins (Fig. 1 B, right panel).

To explore the result on MYC suppression in more depth, we next turned from simultaneous deletion of the three cancer genes to spontaneous loss of PHLPP2 in a stable PtenΔ/Δ;Trp53Δ/Δ mutant (cancer) cell background using CRISPR/Cas9 and siRNA. Using the latter approach first in PtenΔ/Δ;Trp53Δ/Δ MEFS, we confirmed suppression of Myc upon Phlpp2 knockout together with increased phosphorylation of Akt (Fig. 1 C, upper left panel). Functional analysis of these cells also showed decreased proliferation after Phlpp2 deletion (Fig. 1 C, right panel). Conversely, overexpression of PHLPP2 in the double-mutant cells caused an increase in Myc protein, confirming the notion that PHLPP2 regulates Myc levels (Fig. 1 C, lower left panel). Next, we knocked down PHLPP2 in DU145 cells, a human metastatic PC cell line. As shown in Fig. 1 D (left panel), PHLPP2 siRNA caused an ∼75% reduction in MYC protein, as quantified by densitometric analysis (Fig. 1 D, right panel). PHLPP2-siRNA knockdown in PC3, another PC metastatic cell line (Fig. 1 E, left panel), also caused a reduction in MYC protein levels (by ∼40%; Fig. 1 E, right panel).

Finally, we tested if genetic targeting of PHLPP2 can be used to deplete DU145 cells upon stable infection with our anti-PHLPP2-CRISPR-Cas9-P2A-VenusFP viral vector system (ECPV; Chen et al., 2017; Senturk et al., 2017). Fig. 1 F shows how targeting of PHLPP2 with two different guides efficiently depleted the fluorescence-positive cells relative to the control. In contrast, the nonmetastatic cell line 22Rv1 showed no difference after Phlpp2 targeting (Fig. 1 F and Fig. S1 C). Collectively, our results suggested that PHLPP2 both controls and is required to maintain MYC levels and proliferation of cells, possibly more efficiently in metastatic cell lines.

PHLPP2 controls MYC stability
MYC up-regulation in human cancers occurs via a multitude of mechanisms (see Discussion); we observed gene amplification in metastatic and castration-resistant RapidCaP lesions (Cho et al., 2014). MYC stability is regulated by an intriguing phosphorylation system involving two conserved residues. Phosphorylation...
Deletion of *Phlpp2* suppresses proliferation of primary and cancer cells. (A) Analysis of signaling pathways by Western blot analysis of cell lysates from different genotypes of primary MEFs. (B) Loss of the *Phlpp2* gene causes primary MEFs to proliferate less aggressively when compared with the
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of Serine 62 (S62) by the kinases CDK2 (Hydrbring et al., 2010), extracellular signal–related kinase (ERK; Sears et al., 2000), or CAMKKII (Gu et al., 2017) increases MYC stability (Fig. 2 A). In contrast, phosphorylation of threonine-58 (T58) by GSK3β triggers dephosphorylation of phospho-S62 by PP2A (Yeh et al., 2004). This is followed by ubiquitination of MYC by the SCF-Fbw7 E3 ligase and prostateosal degradation (Welcker et al., 2004). MYC degradation takes place in the nucleolus because, in the nucleoplasm, ubiquitination is counteracted by USP28, an ubiquitin-specific protease. USP28 binds to MYC via an interaction with FBW7α (Popov et al., 2007). We therefore tested if PHLPP2 affects phosphorylation of MYC and its stability as outlined in Fig. 2 A.

Deletion of Phlpp2 clearly increased the steady-state phosphorylation of Myc at T58 (Fig. 2 B), and PHLPP2 overexpression led to decreased T58 phosphorylation (Fig. 2 C). OA is an inhibitor of PP2A to which the PP2C-type phosphatases, such as PHLPP2, are insensitive (Gao et al., 2008). First, we observed that the Phlpp2 knockout boosted T58 phosphorylation, but not that of S62 (Fig. 2 D and E, lane 1 vs. 3). Second, Phlpp2 loss lowered Myc total levels (Fig. 2 D, lane 1 vs. lane 3). Furthermore, we saw that treatment with OA boosts Myc phosphorylation on S62 (Fig. 2 D and E; lane 1 vs. lane 2). These results confirmed the previously proposed dominant role of T58 phosphorylation in dictating Myc levels (Sears, 2004; Farrell and Sears, 2014) and introduced Phlpp2 as a key regulator.

Based on our quantitative PCR results, we could exclude transcriptional suppression of Myc upon Phlpp2 knockout (Fig. 3 A). Instead, Myc protein was decreased by ~75% on average (Fig. 3 B). Note that, for example, p16/Ink4a was in contrast increased at both protein and mRNA levels (Fig. 1 B and Fig. S2 A). Next, we studied Myc protein turnover as a function of Phlpp2 status using cycloheximide. As shown in Fig. 3, C and D, the Phlpp2-deficient MEFs consistently showed a reduced half-life of Myc protein (see Fig. S2, B and C, for replicates at various cycloheximide concentrations). Taken together, our results introduced Phlpp2 as a regulator of Myc stability via regulation of T58 phosphorylation.

**PHLPP2 directly dephosphorylates Myc**

To address whether PHLPP2 targets Myc directly, we first expressed HA-PHLPP2 in 293T cells. These lysates were then mixed with lysates from the murine PC-derived Myc Cap cells, which express elevated levels of transgenic Myc (Watson et al., 2005). Co-immunoprecipitation revealed that overexpressed HA-PHLPP2 specifically pulled down the transgenic Myc, consistent with a direct interaction (Fig. 4 A). We next examined if PHLPP2 directly and selectively dephosphorylates the T58 residue on Myc. The purified FLAG-tagged PP2C domain of PHLPP2 (Sierecki et al., 2010) was incubated with cell lysates derived from *Pten/Trp53/Phlpp2*-negative cells, which present well-detected levels of phosphorylated Myc at T58. As shown (Fig. 4 B), this incubation resulted in strong dephosphorylation of T58 (lane 3 vs. lane 4) that was effectively blocked by a PHLPP2 inhibitor (lane 5 vs. lane 6). Similar results were obtained when testing our positive control, the known regulation of PKC by PHLPP2 (Fig. 4 B and Fig. S3 A). To test if PHLPP2 also affects S62 of Myc, the purified FLAG-tagged PP2C domain of PHLPP2 was incubated with cell lysates derived from OA-treated, *Pten/p53*-deficient cells generating visibly phosphorylated Myc-S62 because of the PP2A inhibition. In contrast to T58, however, this incubation did not result in dephosphorylation of S62 (Fig. 4 C, lane 3 vs. lane 4), consistent with specific dephosphorylation of pMYC*T58 by PHLPP2. Lambda phosphatase, a broadly active serine–threonine phosphatase, was used as a positive control for dephosphorylation in these assays (Fig. 4 B and C, lane 7 vs. lane 8). The PP2C domain is mediating PHLPP1/2 phosphatase activity, but the similarity between the paralogs is only 57% at the amino acid level (Fig. 4 D and Fig. S3 B). We thus also tested the kinetics of dephosphorylation by the PP2C domain of PHLPP1 and found that it did not cause dephosphorylation of pMYC at T58 (Fig. 4 D). Collectively, these results strongly suggested that PHLPP2 directly dephosphorylates the T58 site of MYC, but not S62.

Phosphorylation on T58 is a signal for MYC degradation (Sears et al., 2000; Welcker et al., 2004), and the lymphoma-associated T58A mutation of MYC has therefore enhanced oncogenicity (Hemann et al., 2005). Based on our findings, MycT58A protein levels should thus not be affected by Phlpp2 deletion. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 4 E, we found that MycT58A levels were insensitive to Phlpp2 deletion as we observed strong MycT58A expression under conditions where we could not detect MycWT expression in the Phlpp2-deficient cells (Fig. 4 E, see VS-Myc, right panels).

**Pharmacological inhibition of Phlpp2 suppresses Myc and proliferation**

Based on the above findings, we next tested the intriguing hypothesis that an inhibitor developed to target the PHLPP2 PP2C domain could have an anticancer effect by suppressing MYC protein, a key oncogene that cannot yet be pharmacologically controlled. Various cell types were therefore treated with this inhibitor (NCI45586; see Sierecki et al., 2010). First, we tested
two GEM-derived cell lines, known to drive PC through Myc (Watson et al., 2005; Cho et al., 2014). As expected, the Phlpp2 inhibitor induced a dose-dependent increase in phospho-S473 of Akt in the Pten\textsuperscript{Δ/Δ};Trp53\textsuperscript{Δ/Δ}; Phlpp2\textsuperscript{Δ/Δ} cells (Fig. 5 A). Importantly, this was accompanied by a dose-dependent reduction in total Myc protein, entirely consistent with our observations above. The murine PC-derived MycCaP cell line showed the same opposite effects on Myc and Akt (Fig. 5 B) as well as an increase in cell death (Fig. S3 C). We confirmed that the inhibitor also caused cell death in our RapidCaP PC-derived RCaP cells (Naguib et al., 2018; see Fig. S3 D) and that genetic ablation of Phlpp2 increased cell death in MEFs (Fig. S3 E). These results strongly indicated that Myc levels, rather than Akt activation, drive survival and proliferation in the cells, a hierarchical relation that is consistent with our previously published results in cells (Nowak et al., 2015) and in mouse primary and metastatic PC (Cho et al., 2014).

Figure 2. Phlpp2 status controls phosphorylation of Myc. (A) Scheme representing regulators of MYC protein through T58 and S62 phosphorylation sites. These sites can be phosphorylated by GSK3β and CDK1/ERK/CAMKII, respectively. Note that decreased ratios of T58 to S62 phosphorylation and T58 mutation to non-phospho-mimetic residues (e.g., T58A) are recurrent in human cancer. (B) Phlpp2 knockout in Pten/Trp53-null MEFs increases phosphorylation at the T58 site. Lines indicate splicing of three irrelevant lanes. (C) Overexpression of PHLPP2 leads to decreased T58 phosphorylation. (D) Treatment of Pten/Trp53-null MEFs with OA results in an increase in Myc phosphorylation on S62, but not T58. Total levels of Myc were reduced upon deletion of Phlpp2. (E) Denisometric analysis shows that the phosphorylation ratio of T58 to S62 is significantly increased in the triple-deleted MEFs. Data are presented as the densitometric measurements of pMycT58 and MycS62 normalized to β-actin and then these ratios of pMycT58 to MycS62 were used in graph as fold to Pten\textsuperscript{Δ/Δ}; Trp53\textsuperscript{Δ/Δ} DMSO. Error bars, SD; n = 5; one-way ANOVA, P values corrected for multicomparison testing using the two-stage linear step-up procedure of Benjamini, Krieger, and Yekutieli, with a false discovery rate at 10%. *, P < 0.05. KO, knockout; ns, not significant.
We next tested human PC cell lines. In the metastasis-derived DU145 cells, NCI45586 also showed suppression of MYC and some activation of AKT (Fig. 5 C; see Fig. S3 F for replicates). Treatment with inhibitor led to decreased cell viability and proliferation (Fig. 5 E), and it increased cell death (Fig. 5 F). Similarly, in the bone metastasis-derived PC3 cells, the inhibitor caused suppression of MYC and a decrease in cell viability, despite activation of AKT (Fig. 5, G–I; and Fig. S3 G). Our results with the NCI45586 tool compound closely mirrored those from genetic ablation of the PHLPP2 gene, a proof of concept that could lead to development of pharmacologically relevant drugs. In summary, our results showed that the PHLPP2 function of protecting MYC can be targeted to kill PTEN mutant cells.

Deletion of Phlpp2 slows down primary tumor growth and prevents progression to metastasis

We have recently developed RapidCaP, a GEM model for analysis and therapy of endogenous metastatic PC (Cho et al., 2014). Of note, we could show that metastasis to multiple organs can be selectively targeted using JQ1 to transcriptionally suppress Myc. Thus, we now used RapidCaP to test how ablation of Phlpp2 would affect disease (note that NCI45586 cannot be used in mice). We generated conditional knockout mice (Phlpp2loxP/loxP) and crossed them to the PtenloxP/loxP;Trp53loxP/loxP mice on which RapidCaP is based to generate the novel triple-mutant PtenloxP/loxP;Trp53loxP/loxP;Phlpp2loxP/loxP mice (Fig. S4, A and B). Next, we used the RapidCaP system of intraprostatic Cre-Luciferase virus delivery to generate a trial cohort of PtenloxP/loxP;Trp53loxP/loxP;Phlpp2loxP/loxP mice.
Trp53Δ/Δ; Phlpp2Δ/Δ mice and compared their disease progression and outcome to that of the PtenΔ/Δ; Trp53Δ/Δ RapidCaP mice. As shown in Fig. 6 A, four out of five Pten/Trp53 double-mutant mice presented with strong disease signals over a period of 10 mo. They also showed bioluminescent signal derived from metastases that was clearly distant and distinct from the prostate-derived signal seen in the lower abdomen. Kaplan-Meier analysis of metastasis onset and outcome in the double-mutant
Figure 5. Small-molecule PHLPP2 inhibitors suppress MYC and trigger cell death. (A) Treatment with a PHLPP2 inhibitor decreases Myc expression and increases pAktS473 activation in Pten\(^{Δ/Δ}\); Trp53\(^{Δ/Δ}\) cells, compared with control DMSO treatment. (B) PHLPP2 inhibitor treatment results in decreased Myc expression and increased Akt activation in the MycCaP murine PC cell line. Lines indicate splicing of three irrelevant lanes. (C) Treatment with the PHLPP2 inhibitor decreases Myc expression and increases pAktS473 activation in DU145 cells, compared with control DMSO treatment. (D) Relative cell viability of DU145 cells upon PHLPP2 inhibitor treatment. The mean with error bars, SD (\(n=6\)), and dose-response curves are plotted. IC50 values were derived from curves fitted using a nonlinear regression model. (E) Treatment with the PHLPP2 inhibitor decreases cell numbers measured with crystal violet assays in DU145 cells, compared with control DMSO. ANOVA, Dunnett’s post hoc test; *, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001 versus control; error bars, SD; \(n=4\). (F) Treatment with the PHLPP2 inhibitor increases cell death as shown by PI staining. ANOVA, Dunnett’s post hoc test; ***, P < 0.001 versus control; error bars, SD; \(n=4\). (G) PHLPP2 Nowak et al.
inhibitor treatment suppresses Myc and activates Akt in the PC3 human metastatic PC cell line. (H) Relative cell viability of PC3 cells upon PHLPP2 inhibitor treatment. The mean ± SD (n = 3) and dose–response curves are plotted. IC50 values were derived from curves fitted using a nonlinear regression model using.

Treatment with the PHLPP2 inhibitor increases cell death as shown by the PI-positive cell fraction. ANOVA, Dunnett’s post hoc test; ***, P < 0.001 versus control; error bars, SD; n = 4.

mice (Fig. 6, A and B) showed overall kinetics that were very similar to what we originally published (Cho et al., 2014). In contrast, the cohort with Phlp2 deletion showed significantly reduced overall disease burden after a 10-mo follow up (Fig. 6, A–C; Pten^Δ/Δ; Trp53^Δ/Δ; Phlp2^Δ/Δ). Yet, as seen in Fig. 6 C, quantification showed an insignificant difference between the two cohorts at 4 mo (P = 0.6, two-tailed Fisher’s exact test), demonstrating the lack of metastatic progression potential of the Phlp2 mutant prostate tumors. Postmortem analysis of the disease (Fig. 6 D) indicated metastasis to lymph nodes, liver, and spleen, as previously described for RapidCaP (Cho et al., 2014), but not in the triple-mutant animals (Fig. S4 C). Histological examination of luciferase-positive lymph nodes allowed us to identify the nests of large metastatic tumor cells with prominent nucleoli and pale pleomorphic nuclei (Fig. 6 D, right panel, red arrows) embedded within the lymphocytes. Note that total sectioning of lymph nodes from the triple-mutant cohort did not reveal any metastatic cells (data not shown).

Taken together, our in vivo genetic validation data demonstrated that Phlp2 is required for the tumor and metastasis formation seen in the Pten/Trp53 mutant RapidCaP model.

**Discussion**

By activating MYC and suppressing AKT, PHLPP2 exhibits a dual nature in cancer. This may be reflected in its cancer-associated alteration patterns, which are dictated by broad chromosomal 16q hemizygous deletions and some amplifications, as shown in Fig. S5 A. Also, in PC, the vast majority of PHLPP2 mutations are broad 16q deletions (Fig. S5 B), which may result in some AKT activation, as we have shown for Phlp1 loss (Chen et al., 2011). Yet, the remaining allele is widely retained in human PC metastasis (Fig. S5 C) and clearly necessary to obtain metastasis in RapidCaP, as shown above. This requirement for the retention of one allele has been recognized as a druggable vulnerability of cancer (“Cyclops” genes; Nijhawan et al., 2012). Indeed, an unbiased analysis of such Cyclops gene candidates from human cancer data did include PHLPP2 (see Table S3 in Nijhawan et al., 2012). Thus, PHLPP2 could fall into a new type of category of cancer genes. Its hemizygous loss can promote cancer through AKT activation. The remaining allele, however, is protected because it mediates survival through MYC. Thus, PHLPP2 is in a unique position to regulate the signaling plasticity of a cell and its switching between AKT (epithelial) and MYC (mesenchymal) phenotypes. This switch can be observed in primary PC, and it can drive Pten-deficient metastasis (Cho et al., 2014; Nowak et al., 2015). It is intriguing to speculate that these opposing activities may be achieved by regulation of PHLPP2 pools in the nucleus (MYC) and the cytoplasm (AKT).

Several independent studies have now confirmed the existence of a Pten-null Akt-off state in PC (Ku et al., 2017; Zou et al., 2017). In humans, the modeling of “AR indiffERENCE” by PHLPP2 promotes prostate cancer progression
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cells fully depend on it. In contrast, normal cells do not, as demonstrated by the normal viability of the Phlpp2 knockout mice (Wen et al., 2015). Next, general advances in structural understanding of phosphatases have led to a “renaissance” of inhibitor design (Krishnan et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2016). By looking beyond the active site, novel allosteric inhibitors can now shut down phosphatases that used to be considered “undruggable.” This could also apply to the PP2C-type active site of PHLP2. Thus, our work introduces the PHLP2 phosphatase as an unexpected, yet druggable, driver of MYC-driven PC and its progression.

### Materials and methods

#### Mice

Pten<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>, Trp53<sup>Δ/Δ</sup>, Phlpp2<sup>Δ/Δ</sup> and Pten<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>, Trp53<sup>Δ/Δ</sup>, Phlpp2<sup>loxP/loxP</sup> were used in this study. All protocols for mouse experiments were done in accordance with the institutional guidelines and approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. For the creating of Phlpp2<sup>loxP/loxP</sup> animals, we used embryonic stem cells that were received from the Mouse Phenotype Consortium (Phlpp2-IKMC Project: 47191). We used promoter-driven targeting cassettes for the generation of a “knockout-first allele” in C57BL/6N mice. First, mice were bred to a flipase (Flp) mouse to removelacZ/loxP/neo cassette that gave a rise toloxP conditional mice. Following removal of the floxed region using Cre, our expression analysis confirmed knockouts of Phlpp2 at the protein level. Pten<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>, Trp53<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>, Pten<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>, Trp53<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>, Phlpp2<sup>loxP/loxP</sup> transgenic mice were generated by crossing Pten<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>, Trp53<sup>loxP/loxP</sup> and Phlpp2<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>. For genotyping, PCR of tail DNA was used with the following primers: for Pten<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>, primer 1 (5′-TTGTTTG CGACATTAAGTAGGCCTG-3′) and primer 2 (5′-AAAAGTC CCTCTGCTGATTTTG-3′) were used; for Trp53<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>, primer 1 (5′-TACAAAAGACGT TACAAAAGACG-3′) and primer 2 (5′-AGCATAGGGG CAGAGAC-3′) were used; and for Phlpp2<sup>loxP/loxP</sup>, primer 1 (5′-CGTCTGCTTC GGCCCTGTCG-3′) and primer 2 (5′-CGTCTGCTTC GGCCCTGTCG-3′) were used.

#### MEFs

Animals with different combinations ofloxP alleles were used. At 13.5 d, embryos were harvested by sacrificing a female using CO₂. Embryos were minced and trypsinized for 15 min in a 37°C water bath. Cells were plated on a 10-cm dish and cultured in 10% DMEM and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. 72 h after plating, cells were split into a 10-cm dish at 900,000 cells per dish per 15-ml volume of 10% DMEM and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Cells were cultured for the next 3 d, collected, frozen in 20% dimethyl sulfoxide/80% FBS, and stored in batches of 1,000,000 cells per vial in liquid nitrogen. All protocols for mouse experiments were conducted in accordance with the institutional guidelines and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (protocol number 562709, “Tumor suppressor mutation in mouse models for cancer”).

#### Cancer cell lines

Cell lines were used and cultured in 10% DMEM (MycinCap) or RPMI (PC3, DU45) medium and 1% penicillin-streptomycin.

#### Drug treatments on 2D cell lines and propidium iodide analysis

For PHLP2 inhibitor experiments, the NCI45586 compound was used to assess effects of PHLP2 on pAkt, Myc signaling, and cell death measured with propidium iodide (PI) using Guava easyCyte SHT Benchtop Flow Cytometer (Millipore Sigma). The PHLP2 inhibitor was resuspended in DMSO and used as previously described (Sirecki et al., 2010).

#### Drug treatment on 3D cultured RapidCap-derived prostate epithelial cells

3D cultures of a RapidCap-derived prostate cell line was performed as per the protocol in Drost et al. (2016). Matrigel was...
thawed overnight at 4°C and placed on ice during the experiment. Prechilled glass-bottom 6-well plates were coated with a thin layer of Matrigel (5 μl) and incubated for 30 min at 37°C to allow the Matrigel to set. Cells (80% confluent) were harvested to a single-cell suspension by trypsinization. Approximately 15,000 cells were aliquoted into a 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tube, pelleted, and resuspended in 100 μl of Matrigel. The mixture of cells and Matrigel was gently mixed. Three 30-μl aliquots of the mixture were carefully overlaid onto the precoated surface of the glass plate and allowed to set for 15 min at 37°C. Once the Matrigel had set, cell culture media was carefully added to the wells. The culture was maintained for 5 d before drug treatment and media changed every 2 d. For drug treatment, 1 ml of fresh basal media with vehicle or drug was added to overnight serum-starved cells. 72 h after treatment, media were removed and cells were extracted from Matrigel and dissociated for cell viability analysis using trypan blue dye.

**RNA isolation and quantitative RT-PCR**

Isolation of RNA was performed using TRIzol (Invitrogen) and purified by precipitation with isopropanol. Total RNA was reverse transcribed using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s indications. 2 μl of this cDNA was then amplified by real-time PCR in a final volume of 10 μl per reaction on a StepOnePlus System thermocycler using the respective assays (Life Technologies). All reactions were performed in triplicate. The relative expression of Myc, p16, and Phlp2 was determined using the mean value of the control samples (WT) as calibrator and following the 2^(-ΔΔCt) method.

**Western blotting**

To assess protein expression, we used SDS-PAGE (8% and 10% reducing gels, 5% 2-β mercaptoethanol). Gels were loaded with 20–40 μg protein per well (Bradford assay). The following antibodies were used: β-actin (1:30,000; Sigma-Aldrich), pAKT (S473; 193H12, 1:3,000; Cell Signaling Technology), total AKT (4D4, 1:3,000; Cell Signaling Technology), c-Myc (ab32072; 1:3,000; Abcam), pMYC (T58; ab28842, 1:1,000; Abcam), pMYC (40D4, 1:3,000; Cell Signaling Technology), c-Myc (ab32072; 1:3,000; Abcam), pMYC (S62; E1J4K, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) (PC10, 1:6,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), PTEN (6H2.1; 1:1,000; Cascade Bioscience), p16 (M-156; 1:1,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), Phlp2 (A300-661A; 1:1,000), and V5-tag (#13202; 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology). ECL was used with Amersham Hyperfilm ECL (Amersham Bioscience) combined with signal intensity analysis using the ImageJ 1.38x software. Infrared-based recording and quantification were done using the LiCor Odyssey Classic infrared scanner and Image Studio Lite software version 5.2. Histogram analysis was done to prevent pixel saturation and clipping.

**MTT assays**

10,000 cells/well were seeded in full media (RPMI, 10% FBS) on a 96-well plate and left to adhere overnight. The cells were serum starved (RPMI, 0% FBS) for 24 h. After serum starvation, the cells were treated with an eight-point twofold dilution of the Phlp2 inhibitor (compound #13) in 2% FBS-RPMI for 96 h. After treatment, the medium containing the compound was removed and MTT solution was added. After labeling cells with MTT, the absorbance was read at 570 nm.

**Crystal violet assay**

Cell proliferation was also measured using the crystal violet method as previously described (Nowak et al., 2015). Cells were fixed in 10% formalin for 15 min, washed with sterile water, and stained with 0.1% crystal violet solution. Solutions were aspirated and washed with water, and then plates were air dried overnight. After this, 10% acetic acid was added and mixed with water in a 1:3 ratio. Absorbance was measured at 590 nm using a plate reader (Synergy H4 Hybrid Multi-Mode Microplate Reader; Biotek).

**Myc mutant transfection**

PTen^loxP/loxP,Trp53^loxP/loxP and PTen^loxP/loxP,Trp53^loxP/loxP, Phlp2^loxP/loxP cells previously infected with adenovirus carrying Cre recombinase were seeded in the full media (DMEM, 10% FBS) on a 6-well plate and left to adhere overnight. Cells then were transfected with plasmids: pD40-His/V5-c-Myc (Addgene; #45597) and pD40-His/V5-c-MycTs58A (Addgene; #45598; Yeh et al., 2004) using Lipofectamine 2000 following the manufacturer’s protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific; 11668027). 16 h after transfection, cells were analyzed using Western blots.

**siRNA transfection targeting PHLP2**

PC3 and DU145 cells were transfected with siRNAi control (ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting Pool; Dharmacon) and siRNAi targeting PHLP2 (ON-TARGETplus Human PHLP2; Dharmacon) using DharmaFECT following the manufacturer’s protocol. 48–72 h after transfection, cells were analyzed using Western blots.

**Immunoprecipitation**

DNA was transfected into HEK-293T cells using FuGene6 (Roche). Cells were collected 24 h after transfection and then lysed in a buffer containing 50 mM Na2HPO4 (pH 7.5), 1 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 20 mM NaF, 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM EGTA, 1% SDS, 1 mM DTT, 1 μM microcystin, 20 μM bezamidine, 40 μg/ml leupeptin, and 1 mM PMSF and then were sonicated briefly. For co-immunoprecipitation, cells were lysed and the detergent-solubilized cell lysates were incubated with an anti-HA antibody (BioLegend; #901503) at 4°C overnight. The next day, the samples were incubated with protein A/G PLUS-Agarose (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; #45598) for 1 h at 4°C and then washed three times in lysis buffer containing 0.05 M NaCl and 0.25% Triton-X. Bound proteins and lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE gel and analyzed by Western blotting.

**Phosphatase assays**

A 3XFLAG-tagged protein PHLP2-PP2C was expressed in HEK-293T cells and purified using anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel (Sigma-Aldrich; A2220). The FLAG-PP2C protein was eluted from the beads in elution buffer containing 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM Na2VO4, 0.01% NP-40, 10% glycerol, and 0.5 mg/ml 3XFLAG peptide (Sigma-Aldrich; F4799). Recombinant human Myc protein was purchased from...
Abcam (ab169901). To obtain phosphorylated Myc as substrate, in vitro kinase assays were performed by incubating cMyc (0.30 μM) with recombinant human GSK3 β (54.79 nM; ab60863; Abcam) at 30°C for 15 min. Reactions were stopped using 40 μM staurosporine (Calbiochem). The dephosphorylation reactions were performed with 3XFLAG-PP2C (0.76 μM) and phosphorylated cMyc (0.30 μM) as a substrate in a reaction buffer containing 50 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 1 mM DTT, and 5 mM MnCl₂ at 30°C for 0–90 min.

**Lentivirus production**

293FT cells were plated at a density of 400,000 cells/ml in the volume of 27 ml per 15-cm dish. The next day, target DNA (27 μg) was combined with packaging constructs, 8 μg of pMD2.G and 20 μg of psPAX2, and 2 mol/liter calcium chloride. The mixture was then added dropwise to cells that were treated with 6.7 μl 100 mmol/liter chloroquine. Medium was changed after 14 h, and viral supernatants were collected 72 h after transfection. Supernatants were then centrifuged and filtered with a 0.45-μm filter before infection of cells. The 293FT cells were bought from Thermo Fisher Scientific (R70007).

**Lentivirus CRISPR/Cas9 experiments**

gRNA were designed using the R package CRISPRseek (Zhu et al., 2018). The highest-ranking gRNAs were chosen. The gRNA oligonucleotides were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and phosphorylated and annealed according to previously published protocols (Chen et al., 2017). The duplex oligonucleotides were subsequently cloned into the lentCRISPR_V2 plasmid (Addgene Plasmid #52961) or ECPV vector (Senturk et al., 2017), replacing the 2-kb filler.

**Virus for mouse injections**

The Luc.Cre lentiviral plasmid for mice injections was purchased from Tyler Jacks (Koch Institute for Integrative Cancer Research, MIT; Addgene plasmid #20905). The lentivirus was packaged and purchased from the University of Iowa’s Viral Vector Core.

**Intraprostate injection**

Prior to surgery, the lower half of the mouse abdomen was shaved. The mouse was given 2% isoflurane for the duration of the 15-min procedure. Once deep anesthesia was determined, the abdomen was cleaned with betadine and ethanol twice before the incision was made. A 1.5-cm incision was made through the skin and the peritoneum to the right of the center abdomen. The seminal vesicle and the anterior prostate were removed and injected with 20 μl of lentivirus using a 30-gauge insulin syringe. The peritoneum incision was sutured with dissolvable sutures, and the skin incision was closed with steel EZ clips. The mouse was placed on a warmed cage, allowed to fully recover, and given DietGel. Lidocaine and buprenorphine were provided for pain management.

**Bioluminescence imaging and tissue histology**

For all bioluminescent imaging, a Xenogen IVIS Spectrum was used. For live imaging, animals were injected intraperitoneally with 200 mg/kg of luciferin (D-luciferin, potassium salt; Gold Biotechnology). 3 min after injection, animals were put under 2% isoflurane. At 10 min after luciferin administration, the animals were transferred to the warmed camera stage and placed in a nose cone with continuous 2% isoflurane. Animals were scanned for 3 min and then removed from the camera and recovered in cages. For postmortem imaging, animals were humanely sacrificed using CO₂. The body of the animal was opened up and luciferin was dropped throughout the body and scanned for 3 min in the IVIS Spectrum. After all organs of interest were also removed, luciferin was applied to the organs and scanned for 3 min. For histology analysis, tissue was dissected from the animal and placed in 10% buffered formalin. Tissues were fixed for 24 h, followed by gentle wash and transfer to PBS. After paraffin embedding the tissue was total sectioned at 5-μm thickness and placed on charged glass slides. Every 10th section was stained with hematoxylin and eosin to locate tumor cells. Histology imaging was done at RT on an Axioplan microscope (Zeiss) using a Zeiss 40× A-plan objective (Air; NA = 0.65) and recorded on a Spot Flex 15.2, 64-Mp Shifting Pixel camera and the Spot software version 5.2.

**Data analysis**

Graph analyses were performed using the R programming language and ggplot2 package on Apple Macintosh computers. Values are expressed as the means with SDs unless otherwise stated. To compare two groups, Student’s t test was used, and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. For multiple comparisons, statistical analyses were performed on raw data using the one-way ANOVA test (Dunnett’s post-test) or the one-way ANOVA, with P values corrected for multicomparsion testing using the two-stage linear step-up procedure of Benjamini, Krieger, and Yekutieli, with a false discovery rate at 10% using GraphPad Prism 7. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. IC₅₀ values were derived from curves fitted using a nonlinear regression model using GraphPad Prism 7. See Table S1 for a list of key resources.

**Online supplemental material**

Fig. S1 shows the mechanism of interaction between Akt and Myc. Fig. S2 shows how Phlpp2 status affects Myc stability. Fig. S3 presents an in vitro analysis of Phlpp2 activity and stability. Fig. S4 shows modeling of Phlpp2 deletion in RapidCaP. Fig. S5 shows that hemizygous, not complete loss of PHLPP2, is common in advanced PC patients. Table S1 shows a list of key resources.
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