Levodopa/benserazide microsphere (LBM) prevents L-dopa induced dyskinesia by inactivation of the DR1/ PKA/P-tau pathway in 6-OHDA-lesioned Parkinson’s rats
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L-3, 4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-dopa) is the gold standard for symptomatic treatment of Parkinson’s disease (PD), but long-term therapy is associated with the emergence of L-dopa-induced dyskinesia (LID). In the present study, L-dopa and benserazide were loaded by poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) microspheres (LBM), which can release levodopa and benserazide in a sustained manner in order to continuous stimulate dopaminergic receptors. We investigated the role of striatal DR1/PKA/P-tau signal transduction in the molecular event underlying LID in the 6-OHDA-lesioned rat model of PD. We found that animals rendered dyskinetic by L-dopa treatment, administration of LBM prevented the severity of AIM score, as well as improvement in motor function. Moreover, we also showed L-dopa elicits profound alterations in the activity of three LID molecular markers, namely DR1/PKA/P-tau (ser396). These modifications are totally prevented by LBM treatment, a similar way to achieve continuous dopaminergic delivery (CDD). In conclusion, our experiments provided evidence that intermittent administration of L-dopa, but not continuous delivery, and DR1/PKA/p-tau (ser396) activation played a critical role in the molecular and behavioural induction of LID in 6-OHDA-lesioned rats. In addition, LBM treatment prevented the development of LID by inhibiting the expression of DR1/PKA/p-tau, as well as PPEB mRNA in dyskinetic rats.

With a growing elderly population and a reduction in other causes of mortality, the prevalence of Parkinson’s disease (PD) is likely to increase, it has been suggested that the burden of PD is speculated to grow substantially over the next few decades. To our knowledge, L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-dopa) continues to be the chief choice for symptomatic treatment associated with PD even if its long-term use leads to the development of debilitating side effects such as choreic, dystonic, and ballistic movements, collectively referred to as L-dopa-induced dyskinesia (LID). The incidence of LID is observed in about 10% of patients in the first year of treatment and in nearly 90% of patients after 9 years of treatment. Dyskinesia negatively affects patients’ quality of life and substantially increases the costs associated with their health care. Undoubtedly, these involuntary movements represent a serious limitation to the current pharmacotherapy for PD, especially during the advanced stages of the disease. Current treatment strategies for LID are restricted and include a decrease in L-dopa dosing which has the shortcoming that there is a rebound of parkinsonian symptoms, adjunct therapy with amantadine which has only limited effectiveness or surgical intervention.

Until now, two major factors appear to underlie the appearance of LID. The first is the primary nigral dopaminergic cell loss, which determines the degree of drug exposure and time required for the initial onset of involuntary movements, as well as the pulsatile manner in which short-acting dopaminergic agents stimulate striatal postsynaptic dopamine receptors is key to the priming of the basal ganglia for induction of LID. Based on such theory, numerous studies have shown that continuous dopamine stimulation (CDS) can reduce the respon-
siveness of dopamine receptor in a direct way, is probably helpful in reducing LID\(^9\). Not only restrict in non-human primate researches, in a recent clinical double-blind controlled study reported that continuous delivery of levodopa-carbidopa with an intestinal gel offers a promising option for control of advanced PD with motor complications\(^9\).

In addition, accumulating evidence indicates that LID develops in response to activation of sensitized D1 dopamine receptor (DR1) located on the medium spiny neurons (MSNs) of the direct striatogniral pathway\(^10\). In rodent and non-human primate models, repeated administration of L-dopa increases the binding of the DR1 antagonist SCH23390 to striatal membranes\(^11\), which is associated with increased localization of DR1 at the cell surface. Experimental models of PD further lend support to the hypothesis that L-dopa, through the activation of DR1, triggers profound alterations in the activity of the molecular markers for LID\(^12\). Sensitized DR1 transmission may also be caused by increased levels of adenylyl cyclase in striatogniral MSNs. Prolonged administration of L-dopa leads to persistent and intermittent hyper-activation of the cAMP signaling cascade. Activation of cAMP signaling results in increased activity of the cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) and dopamine- and cAMP-dependent phosphoprotein of 32 kDa (DARPP-32)\(^13\). Abnormal PKA/DARPP-32 signaling increases the phosphorylation of protein tau and several downstream effector targets\(^14\). All of these effects promote the excitability of MSNs and may result in LID occur. However, pharmacological or genetic interventions aimed at reducing abnormal DR1 mediated signal transduction at the level of these various intracellular cascades have been shown to attenuate LID in rodent and non-human primate models.

We recently reported that levodopa/benserazide microspheres (LBM), which release levodopa and benserazide in a sustained manner, could be used to reduce established LID in a rat model of PD\(^16\). Previous study showed LBM sustained release within approximately 3 weeks, with the initial burst rate only 18.3% and 30% total drug loading in the first 2 days\(^17\). However, whether DR1-mediated transmission and downstream effector targets are involved in the mechanisms by which LBM reduce LID in dyskinetic rats was still unknown. Thus, the present study was mainly to investigate the effect of LBM on DR1, PKA and phosphorylated levels of tau in LID rats. In parallel, we evaluated whether different dose of LBM (20 mg/kg, 40 mg/kg, and 60 mg/kg) affects the occurrence of AIM score and the performance of motor function.

**Results**

**Treatment with LBM prevents the development of LID in 6-OHDA-lesioned rat model of PD.** 40 SD rats were unilaterally injected with 6-OHDA in the medial forebrain bundle (MFB). Contralateral turning behaviours after apomorphine injection were tested 21 days later. Rats in the LID group were administrated twice-daily (9:00 and 15:00) with L-dopa (20 mg/kg, i.p.) plus benserazide (5 mg/kg, i.p.) for 3 weeks; Rats in the LBM group were further divided into three groups, namely LBM low dose group (LBM-L, 20 mg/kg, n = 8), LBM mild moderate dose group (LBM-M, 40 mg/kg, n = 8), and LBM high dose group (LBM-H, 60 mg/kg, n = 8). Rats received LBM subcutaneous (SC) twice one day per week for 3 weeks. AIMS were evaluated during this period, at days 2, 7, 12, 17 and 21. The animals were sacrificed 1 h after the last injection for western blot, Q-PCR and immunofluorescence.

**Effects of LBM on cylinder test.** LBM prevents LID without reducing the anti-parkinsonian action (Fig. 4). We attempted to evaluate the effect of LBM on cylinder test in unilaterally lesioned animals, which monitors preferential forelimb usage as the animal spontaneously rears in a cylinder. We observed that 6-OHDA-lesioned rats treated with L-dopa plus benserazide prefer to use the compromised (contralateral) forelimb to touch the inner wall of the cylinder compared with the 6-OHDA-lesioned rats treated with saline (p < 0.05, Fig. 4). If the animals were injected with LBM-L (20 mg/kg) they also demonstrated preferential to touch the wall with contralateral forelimb, but less effect than LBM-M (40 mg/kg) and LBM-H (60 mg/kg) groups as well as 6-OHDA-lesioned rats treated with L-dopa plus benserazide (p < 0.05, Fig. 4). When we continued to measure forelimb preference between LBM-M and LBM-H, we found that it was no significant difference between two groups (p > 0.05, Fig. 4). Namely, LBM-H tested (60 mg/kg) did not produce an additional benefit over the LBM-M (40 mg/kg) administration. Meanwhile, there was no significant difference in total parkinsonian disability between animals treated with L-dopa alone and LBM-M or LBM-H (p > 0.05, Fig. 4). These data suggested that the higher dose of LBM (60 mg/kg) did not result in a greater improvement in cylinder test than LBM-M (40 mg/kg).

**Treatment with LBM prevents activation DR1, PKA and p-tau protein.** The extent of 6-OHDA-induced nigrostriatal dopamine alteration was verified by western blotting with an antibody raised against Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH). No significant changes were observed in TH levels in the intact hemisphere between sham-operated and 6-OHDA lesioned rats (p > 0.05, Fig. 5A). In

---

**Figure 1** | Experimental design of the study. SD rats (48) were unilaterally injected with 6-OHDA in the medial forebrain bundle (MFB).
Figure 2 | Daily time course of LID scores with L-dopa plus benserazide exposure. Sham group and 6-OHDA-lesioned rats were daily treated with saline or L-dopa plus benserazide for 21 days and individually tested for ALO AIMS. Scores are shown as the (A) sum of axial, limb and orolingual, (B) axial, (C) limb, and (D) orolingual AIMS on each testing session; # p < 0.01, ## p < 0.05 vs 6-OHDA-lesioned rats; * p > 0.05 vs 6-OHDA-lesioned rats.

Figure 3 | Time course of AIMS score development in 6-OHDA-lesioned rats during a 3 weeks treatment with L-dopa + benserazide, LBM-L (20 mg/kg), LBM-M (40 mg/kg), and LBM-H (60 mg/kg). 6-OHDA-lesioned rats treated with LBM did not develop LID over the 21 day treatment period, which differed significantly from the LID groups in all testing sessions. (A) sum of axial, limb, and orolingual, (B) axial, (C) limb, and (D) orolingual AIMS on each testing session were rated following the administration of LBM. Data are presented as mean ± standard error; # p < 0.01, ## p < 0.05 vs LID.
LBM groups also demonstrated preferential to touch the wall with contralateral forelimb. Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean; # p < 0.01, ## p < 0.05 vs LID; * p > 0.05 vs LID.

Figure 4 | Unilaterally 6-OHDA-lesioned rats were tested for the percentage of impaired forelimb use compared with the total numbers. Animals were injected with either saline, L-dopa + benserazide, LBM-L (20 mg/kg), LBM-M (40 mg/kg) and LBM-H (60 mg/kg). Experiment consisted of four different sessions, namely 3 d, 8 d, 13 d and 18 d in the treatment period. 6-OHDA-lesioned rats treated with L-dopa plus benserazide prefer to use the compromised (contralateral) forelimb to touch the inner wall of the cylinder compared with the 6-OHDA-lesioned rats treated with saline. Rats in the LBM groups also demonstrated preferential to touch the inner wall with contralateral forelimb. Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean; # p < 0.01, ## p < 0.05 vs LID; * p > 0.05 vs LID.

In contrast, TH protein level was dramatically decreased by more than 90% in the lesioned side of 6-OHDA-injected rats when compared with sham group (p < 0.0001, Fig. 5A). There was mild decrease in the level of DRI in the lesioned hemispheres of animals treated with vehicle compared with sham group but did not reach statistical difference (p < 0.05, Fig. 5B). However, in 6-OHDA lesioned rats treated with L-dopa, the level of striatal DRI protein expression in the lesioned hemispheres was significantly increased as compared to the other five groups (p < 0.05, Fig. 5B). This elevation in DRI expression was apparent prevented in animals treated with LBM (p < 0.05, Fig. 5B). We performed a dose response experiment to measure the protein expression for each of the animals using increasing dose of LBM to verify that the DRI expression was dependent upon the administration dose of LBM. Meanwhile, we investigated whether LBM subcutaneous altered PKA levels in the striatum of 6-OHDA lesioned rats. Striatal levels of PKA in 6-OHDA-lesioned rats were not different from those seen in sham-operated controls. However, pulsatile L-dopa treatment in 6-OHDA-lesioned animals evoked a robust increment of PKA levels (p < 0.05, Fig. 5C). Remarkably, LBM-L administration totally prevented this effect (p < 0.001 compared with LBM-M and LBM-H, Fig. 5C). When we continued to measure PKA expression between LBM-M and LBM-L, we found there was no significant difference between two groups (p > 0.05, Fig. 5C). Phosphorylated levels of tau (ser396), relative to total tau protein in the striatum of 6-OHDA-lesioned rats were mild increase compared with sham group but without reaching statistical difference (p > 0.05, Fig. 5C). However, pulsatile L-dopa treatment in denervated rats induced a robust increase in the phosphorylated levels of tau compared to other five groups (p < 0.05, Fig. 5D). As the aforementioned results, LBM could totally prevent the increased tau protein phosphorylation at ser396. Further, p-tau expression was dependent upon the administration dose of LBM (Fig. 5D).

Effects of LBM on DR1, PKA, PPEB and tau mRNA. The expression of mRNA encoding DR1, PKA, PPEB and tau mRNA in the ipsilateral striatal was compared between the six different groups of rats (Fig. 6). Six groups did not induce obvious significant difference on the induction of striatal DR1 mRNA (p > 0.05, Fig. 6A), suggesting that it may depend on alterations in the number of functionally available receptors. In 6-OHDA lesioned rats treated with L-dopa, striatal PKA mRNA levels were significant higher in the LID group compared to other five groups (p < 0.05, Fig. 6B). This indicated that intermittent L-dopa treatment was helpful in the expression of PKA mRNA in dyskinetic rats. From the Fig. 6B we found LBM could obvious down-regulate the expression of PKA mRNA levels. Meanwhile, we observed a dose dependent response over a range of LBM-L to LBM-H for inhibited the up-regulation of PKA mRNA to similar levels as in non-dyskinetic rats (Fig. 6B). We also compared striatal PPEB mRNA among the six groups and found treatment with L-dopa (LID group) can apparent rise the PPEB mRNA level (p < 0.05 compared with other five groups). In accordance with previous results, treatment with LBM lowered the expression of PPEB mRNA to similar levels as in 6-OHDA-lesioned rats without treated with L-dopa (p > 0.05, Fig. 6C). Interestingly, dose dependent curve was also observed in the LBM mRNA level. However, we found that it was no significant difference between LBM-M and LBM-H groups (p > 0.05). Total tau mRNA level did not differ in the six groups (p > 0.05, Fig. 6D), suggesting that treatment with L-dopa or LBM did not impact the total tau gene expression.

Effects of LBM on p-tau positive neurons in striatum. We counted p-tau-positive neurons in the striatum. P-tau-positive neurons (ser396), in the striatum of 6-OHDA-lesioned rats was mild increase compared with sham group but without reaching statistical difference (p > 0.05, Fig. 7). However, pulsatile L-dopa treatment in denervated rats induced a robust increase in the p-tau compared to other three groups (p < 0.05, Fig. 7). As the aforementioned results, LBM-M could totally prevent the increased tau protein phosphorylation at ser396 (p < 0.05 compared with LID group, Fig. 7).

Correlation analysis of DR1, PKA, p-tau expression and dyskinesia levels. We also compared DR1, PKA and p-tau protein levels from individual dyskinetic and LBM group’s rats to their AIMs score.
There was significant positive correlations between the degree of expression up-regulation of DR1 (r = 0.937, p < 0.001, Fig. 8A), p-tau (r = 0.908, p < 0.001, Fig. 8B) and PKA (r = 0.839, p < 0.05, Fig. 8C) and the severity of AIM score exhibited by the rats.

Discussion

L-dopa treatment is currently the most successful approach to manage motor symptoms in PD. However, the emergence of LID with long-term use is a severe problem for PD patients. Our results from this study showed that treatment with LBM prevented the development of LID in 6-OHDA-lesioned rat model of PD, as well as dose-dependently improvement in motor function by cylinder test. Based on previous study, LBM was encapsulated into poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) as done previously, which can release levodopa and benzserazide in a sustained manner in order to continuous stimulate dopaminergic receptors. Continuous L-dopa infusion through microsphere, in contrast to intermittent treatment, provides temporally more stable dopamine replacement therapy, relieving AIM score while still producing an anti-parkinsonian effect. The mechanisms behind differences between pulsatile and continuous L-dopa are not

Figure 5 | LBM prevented increased levels of D1R, PKA, p-tau and total tau after pulsatile L-dopa treatment. Protein levels were evaluated by western blotting of proteins extracted from the ipsilaterally striatum of the rat brains. They were assessed in extracts from sham or 6-OHDA-lesioned rats treated with vehicle, pulsatile L-dopa (20 mg/kg, bid) or LBM-L (20 mg/kg, sc), LBM-M (40 mg/kg, sc) and LBM-H (60 mg/kg, sc). (A) Extent of the dopaminergic denervation induced by 6-OHDA lesions and sham. Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) levels expressed relative to actin levels. # p < 0.01 and * p > 0.05 vs sham-intact hemisphere (n = 4); (B) DR1 levels expressed relative to actin levels; (C) PKA levels expressed relative to actin levels; (D) phosphorylated tau levels at ser396 expressed relative to total tau levels. The data represent the mean of relative optical density (expressed as a percentage of respective control values and normalized using sham group) ± SD; # p < 0.01 and ## p < 0.05 vs LID group (n = 4).
entirely clear, but it is supposed that pulsatile L-dopa induces non-physiological activation of dopamine receptors, especially D1 dopamine receptors. Our findings also showed that expression of DR1, PKA and phosphorylation of tau at ser396 were not increased after LBM administration. In conclusion, these data demonstrate that LBM prevents molecular change from occurring when it is given intermittently and lend support to the hypothesis that continuous and stable dopaminergic stimulation is a promising mode of administration to avoid LID.

In the current study, we confirmed a dose range of LBM that is effective in reducing AIM score. LBM-L (20 mg/kg) and LBM-M (40 mg/kg) did not develop LID over the 21 day treatment period, while LBM-H (60 mg/kg) showed a mild dyskinesia after 12 days treatment. In terms of anti-parkinsonian action, LBM-M and LBM-H showed apparent improvement in motor function compared with LBM-L group similar levels as by L-dopa treatment. It has been suggested that LBM-M (40 mg/kg) can obvious prevent AIM score as well as ameliorate motor symptoms in 6-OHDA-lesioned rat models of PD. To our knowledge, changing the delivery profile of an identical drug, even one with a half-life shorter than L-dopa, seems to be able to lower the risk of LID17. In recent years, CDS has largely been replaced by the concept of continuous dopaminergic delivery (CDD). CDD aims to minimize motor fluctuations in PD by delivering the drug in as constant a manner as possible, regardless of serum half-life18. Actually, Levodopa/benserazide microsphere is able to achieve the pharmacokinetics or pharmacodynamics of CDD from our previous studies16.

Several researches lend support to the view that D1-like dopamine receptors are crucially involved in the molecular mechanisms underlying LID19. The pathological augment in the number of DR1 at the...
plasma membrane is likely to contribute to the increase in DR1 transmission associated to LID and denotes a potential target for therapeutic. Recently, genetic inactivation of the DR1 in rodents has been used to inspect the involvement of the receptor in LID. DR1 gene knock down blocked the development of dyskinesia and also reduced the associated molecular changes in the lesioned striatum. In the present study, it was found that DR1 protein level in the membrane of striatum was increased significantly after L-dopa treatment in dyskinetic rats. However, LBM treatment obvious reduced the increase of DR1. More interestingly, this effect is not accompanied by changes in the expression of DR1 mRNA, indicating that it may just alterations in the number of functionally available receptors.

Several studies have shown that LID is associated with increased localization of D1Rs at the cell surface, which may be caused by receptor internalization and trafficking. Previous studies showed that increased responsiveness of the DR1 machinery to L-dopa results in augmented synthesis of cAMP and hyper-activation of PKA. The abnormal activation of PKA in animal models of LID cause changes in the state of phosphorylation of target effector proteins, which probable have profound repercussion on the excitability of striatal MSNs. What is more, intrastriatal PKA inhibition significantly attenuates the emergence of AIM score and decrease the expression of ΔFosB, phosphorylation levels of DARPP-32 at threonine34 and activation of ERK1/2. Moreover, one study showed that...
Figure 8 | Correlations between AIMs score and DR1 (A), p-tau (ser396) protein (B) and PKA (C) levels from individual dyskinetic and LBM groups rats to their AIMs score. Correlation coefficients were determined using a two-sided person test.
administration of cannabinoid agonist WIN could ameliorate AIM score in 6-OHDA-treated rats and reversed the concomitant activation of PKA via CB1-mediated mechanism26. Taken together, all these data indicate that PKA plays a pivotal role in molecular priming for dyskinesia by L-dopa and aberrant D1-dependent molecular plasticity in the striatum. Our current results are in line with previous studies showing that intermittent L-dopa evokes a robust increment of PKA protein and mRNA levels. Moreover, LBM overtly blocks PKA signaling and reduces AIM expression and development. Tau is one of the key microtubule-associated proteins which involves in the maintenance of dendritic processes that are known to influence synaptic strength and neuronal plasticity13. Normally, tau binds directly to microtubules and facilitates their polymerization, but it is well-established that increasing tau phosphorylation negatively adjusts microtubule-binding and leads to destabilization of the microtubule network, cytoskeletal dysfunction and modification of synaptic plasticity27. Recent studies showed that intermittent L-dopa treatment positively correlates with hyper-phosphorylation of the microtubule-associated protein tau in hemiparkinsonian rats, which was consistent with hypothesis that changes in dopamine levels of the rodent brain were associated with modification of cytoskeletal organization28. Moreover, PKA inhibition had been shown to prevent hyper-phosphorylation of tau, which may contribute to long-lasting synaptic plasticity changes underlying striatal dysfunction and motor impairment4. This indicates that p-tau may play a pivotal role in the expression of LID. In this study, we further confirmed that after repeated administration of L-dopa increased levels of p-tau were observed in dyskinetic rats, which was consistent with our previous study29. Nevertheless, LBM could totally prevent the increased phosphorylation of tau at ser396 while reducing the development of dyskinesia. This suggests that preventing the phosphorylation of tau might be helpful in reducing the emergence of LID in rats. Moreover, it is considered that PPEB is involved in the expression of LID. In the present study, it was found that intermittent L-dopa treatment induced increased levels of PPEB mRNA in the striatum of dyskinetic rats, which may represent increased responsiveness of a direct pathway. However, LBM treatmentameliorated the expression of PPEB mRNA while preventing the expression of LID. In conclusion, our experiments provide evidence that intermittent administration of L-dopa, but not continuous delivery, and DR1/PKA/p-tau (ser396) activation play a critical role in the molecular and behavioural induction of AIM in 6-OHDA-lesioned rats. In addition, we also found that LBM treatment prevented the development of LID by inhibiting the expression of DR1/PKA/p-tau, as well as PPEB mRNA in dyskinetic rats. Based on these results, we speculate the way to achieve CDD by microsphere may have interesting clinical implications for the treatment of LID in PD patients.

Methods

Animals. Forty-eight young adult females Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats weighing 180–220 g were used in this study. Upon their arrival, the animals were housed under a 12 h light:12 h dark cycle, temperature 22.0 ± 2.0 °C and relative humidity of 55 ± 10%. The rats had free access to water and were fed with a pelleted complete diet ad libitum. Animals were acclimated for at least one week before the L-dopa/benserazide injections were initiated. All protocols involving the animals were approved by the Institutional Review Board of Xinhua Hospital and were performed according to the guideline of the National Institutes of Health for the care and use of laboratory animals (NIH publication No 80-23). All efforts were made to reduce animal numbers used to the minimum required for valid statistical analysis.

6-hydroxodopamine (6-OHDA) lesioned rat model of PD. Unilateral 6-OHDA lesions were performed according to our standard procedure44. Briefly, 6-OHDA (2 × 16 μg dissolved in 8 μL of 0.9% physiological saline containing 0.2% ascorbic acid; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) was stereotaxically injected into the right medial forebrain bundle (MFB) of rats. The coordinates of the right medial forebrain bundle were calculated using the rat brain atlas as follows (in mm relative to the bregma and the dorsal surface): 1) anterior-posterior (AP), −4.4 mm, medial-lateral (ML), −1.2 mm, dorsal-ventral (DV), −7.8 mm; 2) AP, −3.7 mm, ML, −1.7, DV, −7.8. The tooth bar was set to −2.4 mm. Animals were anesthetized with ketamine (1–2 mL/kg). For the sham-operated rats, two intratrabecular injections of physiological saline containing 0.2% acetic acid were given at the same coordinates. Three week post lesion, the lesioned rats were screened behaviorally using an amphetamine-induced (0.5 mg/kg, i.p.) rotation test and all animals exhibited >7 full body turns/min toward the side of the lesioned side were selected for the next experiment.

Drug treatment. The whole process of preparation of levodopa/benserazide microspheres (LBM) was performed according to our previous protocol45. Plasma concentration of L-dopa microspheres reached a high stable level in the first 14 days then reverted to a relatively normal level until nearly 3 weeks had passed. The release profile of benserazide was similar to that of L-dopa microspheres, since they share the same release mechanism in vivo. In our previous research, we have reported that tail-encapsulation efficiency of L-dopa microspheres was 60.15% ± 4.2%, lower than that of benserazide (62.87% ± 6.9%)46. First, the valid PD rats were divided into two groups: the LID group (n = 8) and the microsphere group (n = 24). Rats in the LID group were administrated twice-daily (9:00 and 15:00) with L-dopa (20 mg/kg, i.p.) and benserazide (5 mg/kg, i.p.) for 3 weeks to induce a rat model of LID. Moreover, rats in the microsphere group were further divided into three groups, namely LBM low dose group (LBM-L, L-DOPA 20 mg/kg plus benserazide 5 mg/kg, n = 8), LBM mild moderate dose group (LBM-M, L-DOPA 40 mg/kg plus benserazide 10 mg/kg, n = 8), and LBM high dose group (LBM-H, L-DOPA 60 mg/kg plus benserazide 15 mg/kg, n = 8). Rats in the microsphere group received LBM subcutaneous (SC) one time per week for 3 weeks. Additionally, rats in the PD group (n = 8) and sham group (n = 8) were treated physiological saline for 3 weeks. AIM ratings. Abnormal involuntary movement (AIM) ratings were performed by an investigator who was unaware of the pharmacological intervention. On test days, rats were individually placed in plastic trays 5 minutes before the drug treatments. Following injections, each rat was assessed for exhibition of axial, limb, and orofacial movements (ALO AIM) as detailed in Lindenbach et al. For quantification of LID, rats were observed individually every 20 min from 20 to 120 min after the injection of L-dopa or saline as previously described by Cenci et al. For each of these three subtypes, the severity of dyskinesia was scored on a four-point scale, where 0 = absent, 1 = present during less than half of the observation time, 2 = present for more than half of the observation time, 3 = present all the times but suppressible by external stimuli, and 4 = present all the times and not suppressible by external stimuli. For each rat, a total AIMS score was calculated by adding each of the three dyskinesia scores. The maximum theoretical score per monitoring session was 72.

Cylinder test. The cylinder test was performed twice a week during the L-dopa treatment period to assess the spontaneous and independent use of each of the rat’s forelimbs in the context of an instinctive rearing behavior, with the rat standing on its hind legs and leaning on the enclosing walls47. Rats were placed individually in an open ended glass cylinder (22 × 35 cm) without habituation in a dimly lighted room. Wall exploration was expressed in terms of the percentage use of the impaired forelimb (contralateral) compared with the total number of limb use movements.

Western blot. The rats were sacrificed immediately by decapitation. Corpus striatum of rat in the lesioned hemisphere from each animal was obtained. The striatal tissue was homogenized in lysate buffer at 4 °C containing Tris pH 7.4 (50 mM), NaCl (50 mM), 1 mM each of EDTA, EGTA, PMSF, sodium orthovanadate, sodium fluoride 1%, SDS and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics, Laval, QC, Canada), and centrifuged at 5000 × g for 5 minutes at 4 °C. The supernatant was containing total and membrane-enriched proteins. Briefly, lesioned samples of one animal from each treatment group were loaded onto a single gel 40 μg of protein. The proteins were separated by 8–12% sodium dodecyl polyacrylamide gels, electrophoretically transferred to Polyvinyl Fluoride (PVDF) membranes. The membrane was incubated with polyclonal rabbit anti-DR1 IgG (diluted 1:1000; Millipore), polyclonal rabbit anti-PKA IgG (diluted 1:1000; Sigma-Aldrich, USA), polyclonal mouse anti-phospho-tau at ser396 IgG2b (diluted 1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology, USA), polyclonal rabbit anti-tau IgG (diluted 1:1000; Sigma-Aldrich, USA) and monoclonal rabbit anti-β-actin IgG (diluted 1:1000; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) overnight at 4 °C, respectively. The membrane was then incubated with anti-rabbit or anti-mouse Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP) IgGs (diluted 1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 1–2 h at room temperature. Immunoreactive bands were visualized using chemiluminescence (ECL kit; Pierce Biotechnology). Protein bands were scanned with Image-Pro plus 6.0 analyses Software; ODs were calculated with a computerized image analysis system (Image Lab) and normalized with that of β-actin.

Real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR). Total RNA was extracted from the brain striatum segments of treated hemisphere using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, USA). cDNA was generated from total RNA samples using the RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Takara Biotechnology company, Japan). Quantitative PCR was performed using the ABI 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and TaqMan universal PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems) according to the supplier’s instructions. PCR was carried out with the SYBR Green quantitative RT-PCR kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and TaqMan universal PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems) according to the supplier’s instructions. PCR was carried out with the SYBR Green quantitative RT-PCR kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and TaqMan universal PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems) according to the supplier’s instructions.
Calculations of threshold cycle and difference were analyzed with ABI 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). The primer sequences used in this study were as follows: 5′-CTATCTCCAGCCCCCTTCC-3′ (forward) and 5′-AGTGTCCCCTCCTGGTGC-3′ (reverse) for DR1 mRNA; 5′-GGGAAACCGAGGTTGAGAT-3′ (forward) and 5′-AACCGCTAAGAACGAG-3′ (reverse) for PKA mRNA; 5′-AGAAGCTCAACAGTGTGC-3′ (forward) and 5′-AAGCCGATAAGCAGAACG-3′ (reverse) for tau mRNA; 5′-GAGAATGAGTTGCTGGGA-3′ (forward) and 5′-AGAAGCTTGTAAGGATGGTTG (3′) (reverse) for preproenkephalin B (PPB) mRNA; 5′-GAGGGAATTACCGTGGTGAC-3′ (forward) and 5′-CTCATACCCAGGAAGGCT-3′ (reverse) for GAPDH mRNA.

Immunofluorescence. Whole brain was incubated for 10 min in 5% normal donkey serum in PBS and incubated overnight at 4°C in the primary antibody solution (polyclonal mouse anti-phospho-tau at ser396 IgG2b, same as above). Sections were rinsed in PBS and incubated in FITC-conjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG (1:200). Subsequently, sections were again rinsed in PBS, mounted on slides, coversoniplpped, and examined with confocal microscopy. Digitized images were analyzed for distribution of immunoreactive cells in the lesioned hemisphere striatum of rats.

Statistical analysis. Data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Behavioral data are non-parametric and were analyzed using a Kruskal Wallis followed by Dunn’s test for multiple comparisons in the case of comparing data over multiple days, or a Mann–Whitney U test. All rodent data, conform to normal distribution were performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunn’s test for multiple comparisons in the case of comparing data over multiple days, or a Mann–Whitney U test. All rodent data, conform to normal distribution were performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by LSD post-hoc comparisons when appropriate. P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant differences. All analyses were carried out using SPSS 16.0.
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