Pair production and electron capture in relativistic heavy-ion collisions
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Results are presented for simulations of electron-positron pair production in relativistic heavy-ion collisions leading to electron capture and positron ejection. We apply a two-center relativistic continuum distorted-wave model to represent the electron/positron dynamics during the collision process. The results are compared with experimental cross-section data for La$^{57+}$ and Au$^{79+}$ impact on Gold, Silver and Copper targets. The theory is in very good agreement with experiment, verifying the result that the process increases in importance with both collision energy and target atomic number, and improves upon previous simulations of this process.

Early theoretical work on the production of an $e^-/e^+$ pair through heavy-ion collisions considered only the creation of the electron and positron in the continuum. However capture by pair production (CPP), in which the electron is formed in a bound state of one or other ion, becomes a significant process at high relativistic energies. Remarkably this process was sufficiently important to enable the synthesis of atomic antihydrogen using the low-energy antiproton ring at CERN. A beam of fast antiprotons impacting on a xenon gas target led to pair production with positron capture. Theory had predicted that cross sections for CPP would increase with energy, and indeed this has been verified experimentally. In fact, this process eventually becomes the dominant mechanism for charge exchange in highly-relativistic atomic collisions. As well as being an interesting area of study in its own right, this process has important applications in the physics of heavy ion colliders such as the large-hadron collider (LHC) and the relativistic heavy-ion collider (RHIC). The process of CPP will lead to depletion of the charge state of the beam and hence a loss in luminosity of the collider. For typical operating conditions of such facilities, these losses might amount to 50% or more.

Although the process is strongly coupled at high energy, simulations based on relativistic coupled-channel calculations have indicated that leading-order perturbation theory is adequate for total cross section estimates for energies ($E$) up to 150 GeV/u. Nonetheless in the energy range $E \sim 1$ GeV/u where reliable experimental data exist, theory and experiment have been in least agreement. It is this region which we address in this paper.

It is now some thirteen years since Becker and co-workers obtained the first estimates of cross sections for pair creation with simultaneous capture of the electron into the $K$-shell of one of the colliding ions. However with the exception of Deco and Rivarola, who gave a two-center description of the continuum positron, two somewhat artificial modes of reaction have been distinguished and treated separately when modeling this process: excitation from the negative energy continuum of an ion to one of its bound states or transfer to a bound state of the other ion. Such approaches, while suited to circumstances in which one ion is much more highly charged than the other, lack symmetry and make a distinction between two separate modes of CPP. They lead to different formulae within first-order perturbation theory and hence different projectile charge ($Z_P$), target charge ($Z_T$) and $E$ dependencies. As a result theoretical estimates of the asymptotic ($E \to \infty$) energy dependence of the total cross sections are not in agreement, with estimates of $\sigma_{CPP} \sim \ln(E)$, and more recently $\sigma_{CPP} \sim E^2$. The former is based on the positron-electron pair being created around the same ion, the latter assuming that the pair is divided between the two ions. Of course both pathways will interfere and contribute to the process, thus pointing to the necessity of a two-center treatment for the positron and electron. It has been shown that the two-center description is essential in obtaining the correct positron emission spectrum and accurate total cross section for CPP. Leading-order perturbation theory (the first Born approximation) does give reasonably good estimates for the cross section in the high-energy region ($E \sim 150$ GeV/u) for collisions of heavy ions, and has been a reliable model for fast collisions of light ions with low $Z$ targets in the process of antihydrogen formation involving CPP by antiprotons.

Experimental results for highly relativistic heavy ions on a variety of targets support the simple scaling law derived from the virtual-photon method (Born approximation) which included multiple scattering from the projectile ion alone: $\sigma_{CPP} \sim Z_T^2$, for a given energy. At lower energies this is not the case, the $Z_T$ dependence is more complex, showing an enhancement in excess of the $Z_T^2$-scaling. In this paper we propose a refinement of the Born approximation to take into account higher-order scattering processes. In particular we tackle the question of the two-center nature of the continuum positron and the polarization of the captured electron. We find both these effects are vital and lead to theoretical results which are in accord with experiment. We discuss the physical explanation for scaled cross section en-
hancement and provide numerical estimates which agree very well with experiment in qualitative and quantitative terms.

Through crossing symmetries the leading-order matrix element for the pair production process, in which the electron is captured by the projectile $P$,

$$P + T \rightarrow (P, e^-) + T + e^+ \quad (1)$$

is the same as that for the related reaction, $e^- + P + T \rightarrow (P, e^-) + T$, which is mathematically equivalent to the time-reversed ionization process

$$(P, e^-) + T \rightarrow e^- + P + T. \quad (2)$$

In each crossing symmetry the equivalence relies on the electron-positron interaction being much weaker than their interactions with the highly-charged ions; a reasonable assumption. Let $r_P, t$ and $r_P', t'$ be the space and time coordinates of the electron in the projectile and target frames, respectively. The nuclei follow straight-line paths with relative velocity $v$. The Hamiltonian, in the projectile frame of reference and in atomic units, is given by:

$$H = -i\hbar \sigma \cdot \nabla_{r_P} + \beta c^2 + V_P(r_P) + S^2 V_T'(r_P') \quad (3)$$

where $\sigma$ and $\beta$ are Dirac matrices and $S$ is the operator which transforms the wavefunction from the projectile frame to the target frame, namely

$$S = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{c^2}\right)^2 (1 - x\alpha \cdot \hat{v}), \quad (4)$$

with $x = v\gamma c^{-1}(1 + \gamma)^{-1}$, $\gamma = (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$, and $1$ represents the unit matrix. For a given impact parameter $b$, the transition amplitude can be written in the form

$$A(b) = -i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int dr_P \chi^T_i(H - i\partial_t)\chi_i, \quad (5)$$

where $\chi_i$ and $\chi_f$ are the initial and final states.

The undistorted bound-state is approximated by a semirelativistic $(Z_T \ll c)$ wavefunction:

$$\Phi_i = \Phi_{0i} + \Phi_{1i}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\Phi_{0i} = Z_P^\frac{3}{2}n - \frac{i}{2} e^{-Z_P r_p - iE_s t - iE_s t} \omega_i \quad (7)$$

and

$$\Phi_{1i} = (2i\hbar)^{-1} \sigma \cdot \nabla_{r_P} \Phi_{0i}, \quad (8)$$

with $E_s$ the non-relativistic eigenenergy, and the electron spin along the beam axis defined as ‘up’ by: $\omega_U = (1 0 0 0)$ and ‘down’ by $\omega_D = (0 1 0 0)$

The continuum function is given by:

$$\Phi_f = \Phi_{0f} + \Phi_{1f}. \quad (9)$$

where

$$\Phi_{0f} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} N^*(\omega_f) F_1(-i\omega_f; 1; -i\gamma_e (v_e r_p + v_e \cdot r_p)) \times e^{-i\gamma_e c^2 t + i\gamma_e v_e \cdot r_p} S_{v_e}^{-1} \omega_f. \quad (10)$$

The spinor correction term is given by

$$\Phi_{1f} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} (2i\gamma_e c)^{-1} N^*(\omega_P) \times$$

$$\alpha \cdot \nabla r_p F_1(-i\omega_f; 1; -i\gamma_e (v_e r_p + v_e \cdot r_p)) \times e^{-i\gamma_e c^2 t + i\gamma_e v_e \cdot r_p} S_{v_e}^{-1} \omega_f. \quad (11)$$

with $\omega_P = Z_P/v_e$, where $v_e$ is the electron velocity.

$$N(\zeta) = \exp \left(\frac{\pi \zeta}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma(1 - i\zeta)}{\Gamma(1 + i\zeta)}, \quad (12)$$

where $x_e = v_e\gamma_e c^{-1}(1 + \gamma)^{-1}$, and $\gamma_e = (1 - v_e^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$. These functions are appropriate when $Z_{P,T} \ll c$.

The initial distortion factor $L_i$ is a matrix given by:

$$L_i = L_{0i} + L_{1i}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$L_{0i} = \exp(-i\nu_T \ln[\gamma v r_p + \gamma v \cdot r_p']) \mathbf{1}, \quad (14)$$

and

$$L_{1i} = S^{-1}(2i\gamma_e c)^{-1} \alpha \cdot \nabla r_p L_{0i} S, \quad (15)$$

with $\nu_T = Z_T/v$.

The final state distortion is given by

$$L_f = L_{0f} + L_{1f}, \quad (16)$$

where

$$L_{0f} = N^*(\omega_T) F_1(-i\omega_T; 1; -i\gamma_e' (v_e' r_p' + v_e' \cdot r_p')) \mathbf{1} \quad (17)$$

and

$$L_{1f} = S^{-1}(2i\gamma_e' c)^{-1} \alpha \cdot \nabla r_p' L_{0f} S. \quad (18)$$

Retaining terms of first order in $Z/c$, we have relativistic continuum distorted wave eikonal initial state (RCDW-E) wavefunctions:

$$\chi_i = L_{0i} \Phi_{0i} + L_{1i} \Phi_{0i} + L_{0i} \Phi_{1i}, \quad (19)$$

$$\chi_f = L_{0f} \Phi_{0f} + L_{1f} \Phi_{0f} + L_{0f} \Phi_{1f}. \quad (20)$$
the electron momentum \((p_e)\) is defined as:

\[
\sigma(p_e) = (d\sigma_{CPP}/dp_e) = \int db |A(b)|^2.
\] (21)

Using the Fourier transform method \([21]\) we define

\[T(\eta) = \gamma v \int db \exp(-i\eta \cdot b) A(b),\] (22)

where \(T(\eta)\) is a product of single-center integrals. The total cross section is obtained from the integral over the ejectile momentum (or velocity) and takes the form:

\[
\sigma_{CPP} = \sum_{spins} \frac{1}{2\pi(\gamma v)^2} \int_0^c dv \gamma v^5 v_c^3 \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \int |d\eta| |T(\eta)|^2.
\] (23)

where we sum over all the spin states of the electron and positron pair.

In order to compute CPP cross sections \([1]\) we note that a positron with energy \(\epsilon_+\) and momentum \(p_+\) traveling forward in time in the final state is equivalent to an electron with energy \(-\epsilon_+\) and momentum \(-p_+\) in the initial state. Thus we must take:

\[
v_e \rightarrow -v_+ \quad v_e' \rightarrow -v_+' \\
\epsilon_f \rightarrow -\epsilon_+ \quad \epsilon_f' \rightarrow -\epsilon_+'.
\] (24)

The experiments of Belkacem \textit{et al.} \([5,18,19]\) were for fully stripped Lanthanum ions \((La^{57+})\) striking thin foils of Copper \((Z_T = 29)\), Silver \((Z_T = 47)\) and Gold \((Z_T = 79)\). The collision energies were \(E = 0.405, 0.956\) and 1.300 GeV/u. The two graphs presented compare the scaled total cross sections \((\sigma_{CPP}/Z^2)\) given by theory and experiment. Consider figure 1 which compares RIB and RDWB with the measurements. Of course, the scaled RIB curve is independent of \(Z_T\), and it clearly shows the increase in importance of CPP with increasing collision energy. Considering the RDWB model however, we see a progressive reduction in the scaled cross section as \(Z_T\) increases. This is in agreement with the findings of Deco and Rivarola \([13]\), who reported a decrease in the size of the singly differential cross sections by an order of magnitude. Their model is essentially our RDWB approximation. While this model shows \(Z_T\) dependence for the scaled cross section, the trends and absolute values are incorrect. It predicts a suppression of the scaled cross section rather than an enhancement as \(Z_T\) increases. Thus the RDWB theory data for Gold gives the lowest scaled cross-section while experiment shows that it should be the highest. The same incorrect trend was obtained by the target-centered Born approximation \([13]\).

In contrast (figure 2) the equivalent results for RCDWEIS show the observed enhancement with increasing
$Z_T$. However the theoretical data lie below the experiment for the more energetic collisions. In comparing with experiment we have only presented simulations for the dominant channel; capture to the 1s ground state. At very high energies capture to excited states is thought to contribute $\sim 30\%$ to the total capture cross section $\sigma_{\text{CPP}}$. This would partly explain the differences between our theory results and the experimental data. These results are a great improvement on the R1B approximation $[10]$. Even at this higher energy our theoretical predictions for faster and more highly charged Gold ions do not accord with the experimental data which show a $Z^2_T$ dependence. While the refinements introduced in our model are significant theoretical improvements, clearly there still exist several unresolved important differences between theory and experiment.
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**Table I.** Total cross sections, $\sigma_{\text{CPP}}$ in barns, for electron capture from pair production for 10.8 GeV/nucleon Au$^{79+}$ impact on Gold, Silver and Copper foils.

| $Z_T$ | Experiment $[7]$ | CDWEIS theory | Becker et al $[10]$ |
|-------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| 79    | 8.8 $\pm$ 1.5   | 15.85          | 10.1                |
| 47    | 4.4 $\pm$ 0.73  | 3.44           | 3.6                 |
| 29    | 1.77 $\pm$ 0.31 | 0.74           | 1.36                |