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A B S T R A C T

The present investigation was carried out at Gadchiroli Tahsils of Gadchiroli Dist. during the year 2016–17 under sanctioned project by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Department of Biotechnology, New Delhi entitled as “Hope generation in livestock owners of tribal area under two blocks of Gadchiroli district through training and demonstration of scientific livestock management practices” to the Section of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, College of Agriculture, Nagpur. Dairy Farming Practices Adopted For Buffaloes Around Gadchiroli Tahsils, were evaluated in project implementing areas of Gadchiroli Tahsil of Gadchiroli Dist. Five villages namely, Wasa, Wakadi, Pulkhal, Kaneri and Kharpudi were randomly selected. The data on productive performance and quality of milk was collected by contacting with 200 buffalo owners and chemical quality in terms of fat, SNF and TS percentage in milk of buffaloes were evaluated and analysed statistically to see the productive performance and to know the chemical quality of milk. Average maximum weekly milk yield was recorded in Kharpudi village (60.04±0.67) and minimum in the Wasa village (59.01±0.66) buffaloes supplemented with Bypass fat mineral mixture. Average maximum weekly milk yield did not show in all average weekly milk yield performance remains constant with respect to weekly milk yield for a period of four weeks from 8th to 11th week after attaining peak milk yield. Thereafter declining trend was upto 12th week of lactation. In relation to chemical quality the overall fat, SNF and TS were recorded as 8.63 %, 9.86 % and 18.49 % respectively. These three components in milk of buffaloes were greatly affected due to supplemented with Bypass fat mineral mixture in different selected villages. The results indicated that the milk production increased all selected village due to supplementation of Bypass fat mineral mixture. The chemical quality of milk of buffaloes in terms of fat, SNF and TS percentage also improved.
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Introduction

Most of the animals in developing countries including India are fed on agriculture by-products and low quality crop residues, which have got low inherent low nutritive value and digestibility. High producing buffaloes in early lactation do not consume sufficient dry matter to support maximal production of milk (Goff and Horst, 1997). Demand for energy is very high during early stage of lactation but supply is not commensurate with demand due physiological stage or limited intake may

4694
affects production potential of animal in the whole lactation length (Sirohi et al., 2010).

The beginning of lactation is one of the most crucial periods in the lactation cycle of dairy animals. Despite having access to high energy diet ad-libitum, most dairy animals go through a period of negative energy balance, particularly during the first trimester of lactation. The process allows much higher production by changing energy flow so as to partition more energy to milk and less to body reserves for a longer period during lactation. Therefore nutritional management during this period is crucial for the productivity of dairy animals. Dairy animals mobilize large amounts of fatty acids, also known as non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA), from adipose tissue to meet their energy requirement during early lactation, resulting in increased circulating concentrations of NEFA in the bloodstream. Supplementation with calcium salts of long chain fatty acids is a good method for increasing energy density of the diet to improve productive performances (Garg et al., 2012).

Bypass fats are commonly referred to as ruminal inert fat, protected fat and escape fat and are more expensive per unit of energy provided compared to commodity fats. Calcium salts of fatty acids increase milk yield and fat contents but partially degrade in the abomassum. Prill fat, a bypass fat is available in different forms and augments productive performance of lactating animals by getting digested in the small intestine (Sing et al., 2015).

Cereal grains and fats plays an important role as source of energy in the ration of high yielding dairy animals for optimum productivity but due to use of cereals for human consumption and monogastric animals the alternate source of energy in dairy ration is supplemental fat (Saijpaul et al., 2010). Keeping this in mind the above revelations an attempt was made to effect of feeding of bypass fat mineral mixture on productive performance and quality of milk of buffaloes in Gadchiroli tahsil.

Materials and Methods

The study was carried out in Gadchiroli tahsil of Gadchiroli district during the year 2016 – 17 under sanctioned project by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Department of Biotechnology entitled as “Hope generation in livestock owners of tribal area under two blocks of Gadchiroli district through training and demonstration of scientific livestock Management practices” to the Section of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science College of Agriculture, Nagpur. Five villages from Gadchiroli tahsil namely, Wasa, Wakadi, Pulkhal, Kaneri and Kharpudi were randomly selected. The list of buffalo owners was prepared for each village with the help of Gramsevak and Livestock Development officers of Gadchiroli Panchayat Samiti. These buffalo owners were contacted from each village and accordingly total buffalo owners contacted were 200 i.e. 40 buffalo owners from each village contacted from Gadchiroli tahsil of Gadchiroli dist.

With a view to determining the chemical quality of milk of buffaloes from selected villages, milk samples were collected from morning milking of buffaloes. The first milk sample from each village per buffalo was collected after the period of colostrum milk period after calving was over. Thereafter, five samples of morning milk were collected at an interval of 5 days. Thus, in all 5 samples per buffalo were collected during each village and used for analysing various constituents of milk. The fat content in the milk during each village was determined by Gerber's method as described in IS: 1224 (part-I), (Anonymous, 1977). SNF content was calculated by using...
ISI lactometer and Total Solid content was calculated by addition of fat and SNF content in milk. The data collected in respect of all above parameters were tabulated and subjected to statistical evaluation by adopting the standard technique prescribed by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). To find out mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation so as to estimate the central value and the extent at variability in the data. The standard deviation and coefficient of variation was calculated by adopting the following formula.

\[ \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n}} \]

Where,

\( \sigma \) = Standard deviation  
\( x_i \) = Values of the variables  
\( \bar{x} \) = Mean  
N = No. of observation of the series

Coefficient of variation was used to compare the magnitude of relative dispersion among the data of different variations.

\[ \frac{\text{S.D.}}{\bar{x}} \times 100 \]

Where,

\( \text{C.V.} \) = coefficient of variation  
\( \text{S.D.} \) = Standard Deviation  
\( \bar{x} \) = Mean

**Results and Discussion**

It is evident from the table 1 that the overall average weekly milk yield of 26 buffaloes over a period of experimental period i.e. upto 12th week of lactation was 47.80±0.80 lit before feeding of bypass fat mineral mixture (BFMM). The corresponding figure of weekly average milk yield after supplementation of BFMM was 59.34 ± 0.69 lit.

Maximum milk yield was recorded in Kharpudi village (60.04±0.67 l.) followed by Wakadi village (59.36±0.74 l.), Kaneri village (59.21±0.65 l.), Pulkhal (59.05±0.73 l.) and Wasa village (59.01±0.66 l) respectively with coefficient of variation 3.83, 4.37, 3.76, 4.26 and 3.91, respectively.

It was observed that average weekly milk yield of buffaloes before supplementation of BFMM was very less as compared to the average weekly milk yield of buffaloes supplementation with BFMM over a experimental period.

It was noticed that average weekly milk yield showed inclined performance upto 7th week of lactation in overall Gadchiroli tahsil. Maximum weekly milk yield recorded in village of Kharpudi (60.04±0.67 l.) while minimum milk yield recorded in village of Wasa (59.01±0.66 l.)

After attaining the peak yield, the productive performance found as more or less constant for a period of five weeks i.e. 8th to 12th week with respect of average weekly milk yield in all selected villages (Wasa, Wakadi, Pulkhal, Kaneri and Kharpudi in Gadchiroli tahsil).

Garg et al., 2008 reported that feeding by pass fat supplement improved supply of amino acids in the presence of sufficient metabolizable energy, might have also improved the protein – energy balance and created a better balance of precursors for milk synthesis, resulting in increased milk production. The significant improvement in milk production performance could be due to the increased supply of amino acids at the
tissue level. Garg et al., 2012 observed significant improvement in milk yield on supplementing with bypass fat alone or with RPC in lactating Jaffarabadi buffaloes, the average increases in milk yield (kg) were 1.26 (p<0.05)and 1.55 (p<0.01) in Groups 2 and 3, respectively, as compare to the control.

It was noticed that average weekly milk yield showed that Bypass fat supplementation at 1.4% of DMI (200 g day⁻¹) increased the milk production and feed efficiency in lactating Murrah buffaloes (Ranjan et al., 2012). There was an improvement of 6.02% in milk yield of early lactating crossbred cows fed 75 g day⁻¹ per animal PF (Rajesh, 2013). Likewise similar amount of prill fat (PF) feeding to Murrah buffaloes resulted in 10% increase of milk yield in organized herd (Singh, 2015). These studies are in line with the result of present study.

Table 1. Effect of feeding of BFMM on mean weekly milk yield (lit) of buffaloes

| Weeks | Selected Villages | Average |
|-------|------------------|---------|
|       | Wasa             |         |
| 1st   | 42.51 (54.25)*   |         |
|       | Wakadi           | 44.17 (54.55) |
|       | Pulkhal          | 43.26 (53.21) |
|       | Kaneri           | 45.32 (56.12) |
|       | Kharpudi         | 44.52 (56.54) |
| 2nd   | 42.63 (56.24)    |         |
|       | Wakadi           | 43.64 (55.35) |
|       | Pulkhal          | 42.36 (57.42) |
|       | Kaneri           | 43.54 (55.75) |
|       | Kharpudi         | 45.43 (56.35) |
| 3rd   | 43.51 (57.62)    |         |
|       | Wakadi           | 45.72 (58.77) |
|       | Pulkhal          | 43.80 (57.31) |
|       | Kaneri           | 44.55 (57.52) |
|       | Kharpudi         | 44.89 (59.65) |
| 4th   | 45.27 (57.33)    |         |
|       | Wakadi           | 45.50 (58.46) |
|       | Pulkhal          | 46.36 (57.87) |
|       | Kaneri           | 44.86 (57.48) |
|       | Kharpudi         | 45.24 (58.11) |
| 5th   | 46.13 (58.22)    |         |
|       | Wakadi           | 47.25 (57.34) |
|       | Pulkhal          | 46.56 (58.78) |
|       | Kaneri           | 47.31 (58.26) |
|       | Kharpudi         | 47.75 (60.17) |
| 6th   | 49.25 (60.12)    |         |
|       | Wakadi           | 49.88 (59.54) |
|       | Pulkhal          | 49.71 (59.79) |
|       | Kaneri           | 50.25 (60.15) |
|       | Kharpudi         | 50.71 (59.24) |
| 7th   | 50.26 (61.25)    |         |
|       | Wakadi           | 49.35 (62.54) |
|       | Pulkhal          | 51.55 (61.84) |
|       | Kaneri           | 51.25 (63.00) |
|       | Kharpudi         | 51.33 (63.92) |
| 8th   | 49.37 (60.67)    |         |
|       | Wakadi           | 48.62 (61.82) |
|       | Pulkhal          | 49.55 (59.10) |
|       | Kaneri           | 51.17 (59.21) |
|       | Kharpudi         | 51.50 (59.81) |
| 9th   | 49.51 (60.45)    |         |
|       | Wakadi           | 49.21 (60.44) |
|       | Pulkhal          | 47.81 (60.15) |
|       | Kaneri           | 50.14 (61.55) |
|       | Kharpudi         | 49.52 (61.14) |
| 10th  | 49.36 (61.32)    |         |
|       | Wakadi           | 49.85 (61.13) |
|       | Pulkhal          | 49.28 (60.49) |
|       | Kaneri           | 49.68 (61.18) |
|       | Kharpudi         | 50.13 (60.85) |
| 11th  | 50.61 (61.52)    |         |
|       | Wakadi           | 49.62 (62.25) |
|       | Pulkhal          | 48.25 (63.15) |
|       | Kaneri           | 49.57 (60.15) |
|       | Kharpudi         | 49.90 (62.50) |
| 12th  | 49.53 (59.14)    |         |
|       | Wakadi           | 49.55 (60.12) |
|       | Pulkhal          | 50.51 (59.53) |
|       | Kaneri           | 49.92 (60.18) |
|       | Kharpudi         | 50.21 (62.21) |
| Avg.  | 47.33 (59.01)    |         |
|       | 47.70 (59.36)    |         |
|       | 47.42 (59.05)    |         |
|       | 48.13 (59.21)    |         |
| S.E. (±)| 0.89 (0.66) |         |
|       | 0.67 (0.74)      |         |
|       | 0.86 (0.73)      |         |
|       | 0.81 (0.65)      |         |
| C.V.  | 6.57 (3.91)      |         |
|       | 4.90 (4.37)      |         |
|       | 6.32 (4.26)      |         |
|       | 5.88 (3.76)      |         |
|       | 5.56 (3.83)      |         |
|       | 5.85 (4.03)      |         |

* Denote Average milky yield after supplementation BFMM (BFMM: By pass Fat Mineral Mixture)
**Table 2** Chemical quality of milk in terms of fat % in milk of buffaloes under Different Selected Villages

| Sr. No. | Selected Villages | Fat % | S.E. (±) | C.V. | S.D. |
|---------|-------------------|-------|----------|------|------|
|         |                   | Control | Final | Control | Final | Control | Final | Control | Final |
| 1       | Wasa              | 7.63   | 8.35    | 0.18 | 0.11 | 5.35   | 2.81   | 0.4    | 0.24  |
| 2       | Wakadi            | 7.75   | 8.25    | 0.23 | 0.07 | 6.68   | 2.1    | 0.51   | 0.17  |
| 3       | Pulkhal           | 7.9    | 8.88    | 0.18 | 0.03 | 5.23   | 0.89   | 0.42   | 0.07  |
| 4       | Kaneri            | 6.95   | 8.25    | 0.12 | 0.08 | 4.16   | 2.19   | 0.28   | 0.18  |
| 5       | Kharpudi          | 8.7    | 9.42    | 0.28 | 0.17 | 7.11   | 4.15   | 0.62   | 0.39  |
| Overall average | 7.79 | 8.63 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 5.71 | 2.43 | 0.45 | 0.21 |

(Mean based on 5 milk samples)

**Table 3** Chemical quality of milk in terms of SNF (solids not fat) % in milk of buffaloes under different Selected Villages

| Sr. No. | Selected Villages | SNF % | S.E. (±) | C.V. | S.D. |
|---------|-------------------|-------|----------|------|------|
|         |                   | Control | Final | Control | Final | Control | Final | Control | Final |
| 1       | Wasa              | 9.42   | 9.56    | 0.09 | 0.04 | 2.02   | 0.96   | 0.19 | 0.09 |
| 2       | Wakadi            | 9.64   | 9.88    | 0.06 | 0.06 | 1.52   | 1.45   | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| 3       | Pulkhal           | 9.4    | 9.9     | 0.11 | 0.08 | 2.65   | 1.81   | 0.24 | 0.17 |
| 4       | Kaneri            | 9.54   | 9.95    | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.69   | 3.36   | 0.06 | 0.33 |
| 5       | Kharpudi          | 9.79   | 9.99    | 0.04 | 0.13 | 1.07   | 2.98   | 0.1  | 0.3  |
| Overall average | 9.56 | 9.86 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 1.59 | 2.11 | 0.15 | 0.21 |

(Mean based on 5 milk samples)

**Table 4** Chemical quality of milk in terms of TS (Total solids) % in milk of buffaloes under different Selected Villages

| Sr. No. | Selected Villages | TS % | S.E. (±) | C.V. | S.D. |
|---------|-------------------|------|----------|------|------|
|         |                   | Control | Final | Control | Final | Control | Final | Control | Final |
| 1       | Wasa              | 17.05  | 17.91   | 0.22 | 0.13 | 2.82   | 1.65   | 0.48 | 0.29 |
| 2       | Wakadi            | 17.38  | 18.13   | 0.29 | 0.14 | 3.74   | 1.63   | 0.65 | 0.30 |
| 3       | Pulkhal           | 17.30  | 18.78   | 0.29 | 0.12 | 3.75   | 1.35   | 0.64 | 0.25 |
| 4       | Kaneri            | 16.48  | 18.21   | 0.15 | 0.15 | 2.06   | 1.93   | 0.34 | 0.35 |
| 5       | Kharpudi          | 18.49  | 19.4    | 0.30 | 0.31 | 3.75   | 3.46   | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| Overall average | 17.34 | 18.49 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 3.22 | 2.00 | 0.56 | 0.38 |

(Mean based on 5 milk samples)
Chemical quality of milk

Fat (%)

It is seen from table 2 that the fat percentages in average weekly milk yield in Wasa, Wakadi, Pulkhal, Kaneri and Kharpudi in Gadchiroli tahsil with their standard error were recorded as 8.35±0.11, 8.25±0.07, 8.88±0.03, 8.25±0.08 % and 9.42±0.17 per cent, respectively. The overall average fat per cent in milk of buffaloes was recorded as 8.63±0.09 per cent.

The result showed that there was the increased in fat percentage in milk of buffaloes after supplementation BFMM, in Gadchiroli tahsil while studying the effect of feeding bypass fat supplement on milk production and constituents of milk.

Garg et al., 2008 reported that significant effect of supplementing bypass fat on average weekly milk yield in lactating crossbred (HF x Jersey). The fat content increased from 3.90±0.65 to 4.33±0.58 (p<0.05) crossbred cows supplemented with bypass fat. Likewise, significant effect of supplementing bypass fat on milk production and dairy fat yield in Holstein Frisian cows has been reported by past workers (Garg and Mehta 1998 and Garg et al., 2002).

There was significant (p<0.001) improvement in milk fat percent and fat yield due to feeding of calcium salt of palm oil fatty acids. The milk fat percent was 7.75±0.02 in BYFAT group which was more compared to that of 7.18±0.03 in CON animals (Vahora et al., 2013).

SNF (solids not fat- %)

It is evident from Table 3 that the SNF per cent in milk of buffaloes in selected villages namely, Wasa, Wakadi, Pulkhal, Kaneri and Kharpudi in Gadchiroli tahsil were recorded as 9.56±0.04, 9.88±0.06, 9.90±0.08, 9.95±0.14 and 9.99±0.13 per cent, respectively. The overall SNF percentage in milk of buffaloes was recorded as 9.86±0.09 with standard deviation 0.21 and coefficient of variation 2.11. Average maximum SNF per cent (9.99±0.13%) was recorded in Kharpudi village followed by Kaneri village (9.95±0.14%), Pulkhal (9.90±0.08%), Wakadi (9.88±0.06%), and Wasa (9.56±0.04%), respectively.

From table 3, the SNF content of milk is not altered by supplementing BFMM to lactating buffaloes. Naik et al., 2009b reported that SNF content of milk of crossbred dairy cows not altered by feeding indigenously prepared rumen protected fat The present investigation are also in line with Thakur and Shelke, 2010; Sirohi et al., 2010. However, the total SNF yield is increased due to the increase in milk production (Naik et al., 2009b).

TS (Total solids) %

From table 4, it is seen that the total solid percentage in milk of buffaloes in Wasa, Wakadi, Pulkhal, Kaneri and Kharpudi in Gadchiroli tahsil was as 17.91±0.13, 18.13±0.14, 18.78±0.12, 18.21±0.15 and 19.40±0.31 per cent respectively. Average maximum TS per cent (19.40±0.31%) was recorded in Kharpudi village followed by Pulkhal village (18.78±0.12), Kaneri village (18.21±0.15%), Wakadi village (18.13±0.14) and Wasa village (17.91±0.13), respectively.

Atkare and Khupse (2016) reported that feeding of bypass fat mineral mixture fed to lactating crossbred cows have greater milk yield than control feed while pertaining to milk quality they notice that feed supplement was no effect on milk quality but supplementation leads to increase the components in milk such as fat and Total
solids while SNF remains unaffected. These findings are supportive to the result of present study.

The present investigation revealed that the chemical composition in terms of fat SNF and TS percentage was significantly affected due to supplementation of Bypass fat mineral mixture. Supplementation of bypass fat improves the energy balance of lactating buffaloes and significantly increase milk volume as well as modify composition of fat, SNF and TS in buffaloes on feeding of bypass fat mineral mixture on productive performance and quality of milk of buffaloes.

SNF percentage in milk of buffaloes remained unaffected by the lactation numbers. Therefore, the results from present study suggested that the buffaloes should be provided feeding of bypass fat mineral mixture (BFMM), quality nutrition and protection from the environmental condition for maintaining calving pattern, rate of milk production in every lactation and chemical quality of milk.
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