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GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT

Today there is plausible evidence both on experimental and epidemiological basis, that hyperuricemia represents a risk factor for the development and progression of chronic kidney disease (CKD). Nevertheless, the role of serum uric acid lowering treatment in CKD is still a matter of serious controversy. Review of randomised controlled trials, suggests that there may be an improvement of renal function with allopurinol treatment in CKD stage 3–5. However, these studies have included a relatively limited number of participants and provide insufficient information on adverse events and on the incidence of the end stage renal disease. Therefore, before adequately powered randomised, placebo-controlled trials are completed we cannot recommend treating asymptomatic hyperuricemia in patients with CKD.

Introduction

Uric acid is in humans the end product of purine metabolism. In this pathway xanthine oxidase catalyzes the final oxidation of hypoxanthine and xanthine to uric acid [1–3]. In contrast to humans, most other mammals possess an additional enzyme in purine metabolism, namely uricase (urate oxidase). Uricase oxidizes uric acid to 5-hydroxyisourate, and to allantoin, a highly water soluble compound which is most efficiently excreted in urine. Early during the evolution, due to distinct gene mutations, primates have lost uricase activity and the ability to enzymatically produce allantoin. As a result, humans have much higher serum uric acid levels than other mammals and can easily develop hyperuricemia [4]. Uric acid is a poorly soluble weak organic acid, that circulates in blood (under physiologic pH of 7.40) as urate anion [1,3,4].

Hyperuricemia may result from an enhanced production or a reduced secretion of uric acid. There is no universally accepted
definition of hyperuricemia. Preferably, it is defined physicochemically as a serum urate concentration exceeding its solubility point (6.8 mg/dL). Crystals of monosodium urate form at levels exceeding solubility, and they precipitate in joint tissues causing gout.

About two-thirds of uric acid load is derived from internal sources (liver, muscle, intestine) and one-third from dietary sources, including fructose, alcohol, and purine-rich foods like certain meats and seafood [2]. High fructose intake (e.g. corn-syrup or various soft-drinks) can cause intracellular adenosine triphosphate depletion with enhanced nucleotide turnover and uric acid formation [5]. Therefore, uric acid lowering treatment almost always includes changes in diet and lifestyle.

Kidneys are responsible for most of the daily uric acid excretion (65–75%), with the remaining (25–35%) being excreted through the gastrointestinal tract [6]. Urate is freely filtered by the glomerulus but, owing to a net proximal tubular reabsorption, its fractional excretion is <10%. Knowledge about tubular handling of uric acid is still evolving and was recently shown to include reabsorption and secretion in the proximal tubule [7,8]. These processes are mediated by certain organic acid transport proteins, namely URAT1 (urate transporter 1, encoded by SLC22A12) and GLUT9 (glucose transporter 9, encoded by SLC2A9) [7,9]. It has been shown, that, in about 90% of cases, hyperuricemia is due to an impaired renal excretion [10]. In advanced stages of chronic kidney disease (CKD) prevalence of hyperuricemia exceeds 60% [11]. In addition, CKD is one of the most common independent risk factors for gout [12,13].

CKD is defined as kidney structure or function abnormalities that persist for more than 3 months [14]. These abnormalities include decreased glomerular filtration rate (GFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m²) or evidence of one or more markers of kidney damage (e.g. albuminuria or urine sediment abnormalities) [14]. Prevalence of CKD is increasingly recognized as a worldwide public health problem [15], which is present in about 14% of the US population [16]. CKD is, furthermore, associated with a significantly increased cardiovascular mortality [16] especially in patients with diabetes [17]. These facts highlight the need to adopt more effective treatment strategies for CKD [18].

There is no doubt about a strong relationship between hyperuricemia and CKD but the details of this relationship are still controversial. In particular, the putative causal relationship between hyperuricemia and CKD is a source of controversy. In the last two decades, hyperuricemia was accepted as a risk factor for incident or progressive CKD, but the causality remained uncertain. It was believed that urate nephropathy does not exist [19] and hyperuricemia was considered to cause merely uric acid nephroliathiasis or acute uric acid nephropathy in the tumor lysis syndrome [1,20]. However, this historical belief was based on the incorrect assumption that the mechanism of kidney damage should be mediated obligatorily by precipitation of monosodium urate crystals, similar to the pathogenesis of gout [1,20]. However, recent epidemiological data in humans, and experimental evidence in an animal model with mild hyperuricemia, have reasonably implicated a direct involvement of soluble urate in the pathogenesis of CKD [1,21–23].

In the following narrative review we present experimental findings, epidemiological studies and clinical trials about hyperuricemia as a risk factor for initiation and progression of CKD. We try to answer the question if currently treatment to control serum urate is invariably indicated in CKD.

**Experimental findings associating hyperuricemia with CKD**

It is really difficult to study the role of hyperuricemia in the pathogenesis of CKD in humans. Especially, taking into account that uric acid is excreted primarily by the kidneys [6] and hence in CKD serum uric acid levels are usually increased [10,11]. Therefore, in vitro experimental and animal studies are critical to understanding the relationship of uric acid and hyperuricemia in the causation or progression of kidney disease.

In order to link uric acid with the processes leading to kidney damage and indirectly to CKD various potential pathogenic pathways were investigated in vitro. In an experimental study involving human endothelial cells, uric acid significantly increased the production of reactive oxygen species and angiotensin II, both known to be involved in pathomechanisms of endothelial dysfunction and in the development of hypertension and renal disease [24].

In laboratory animals serum uric acid can be easily modulated, either by raising it with an uricase inhibitor such as oxonic acid or by lowering it with xanthine oxidase inhibitors or uricosuric agents. Hyperuricemic rats, after addition of oxonic acid in the diet (50–100% increase in serum urate), developed significant increase in blood pressure, as compared to the control group [25]. Raising the uric acid level also induced oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction, resulting in systemic and glomerular hypertension [26].

In rats with pre-existing renal disease the effects of increased uric acid levels on progression of renal disease were impressive despite the absence of crystals in the kidney [21]. Authors attributed these histological changes to hypertension with preglomerular arteriolosclerosis induced ischemia as well as to interstitial inflammation, induced by an activation of the renin-angiotensin system. However, soluble urate, which has been previously proven to act as a proinflammatory mediator [22,23,27], might also be involved in the process of tubulointerstitial fibrosis. Findings of both above studies [21,25], were independent of oxonic acid administration, as they could readily be prevented by normalising uric acid either with a xanthine oxidase inhibitor or an uricosuric agent. In type 2 diabetic db/db mice with hyperuricemia lowering previously elevated uric acid levels led to a significant improvement of kidney disease [28].

The above experimental studies clearly implicate that hyperuricemia probably causes kidney damage by a mechanism involving systemic and glomerular hypertension. Tubulointerstitial fibrosis, which might be readily associated to the direct proinflammatory effects of soluble urate, is independent from the precipitation of monosodium urate crystals in the kidney.

**Epidemiological data associating hyperuricemia with CKD**

Several epidemiological studies in the general population and in patients with CKD show that uric acid is a major independent risk factor for the development and progression of renal disease [29–33]. This association was seen in studies with patients with diabetes mellitus as well [29,34–36]. In the recent meta-analysis involving 13 observational trials with more than 190,000 patients with normal renal function, the presence of hyperuricemia was an independent predictor for the development of CKD. In hyperuricemia the risk for new-onset CKD was twofold increased and this effect was seen with comparable magnitude in both patients with and without diabetes [29].

These findings establish a firm association of hyperuricemia with the development of nephropathy in healthy subjects. However, evidence about secondary prophylaxis (i.e. prophylaxis for progression) in patients with CKD still remains debatable. In this context, certain epidemiological studies showed no relationship between hyperuricemia and the progression of kidney disease [11,37]. Namely, in the Mild to Moderate Kidney Disease study, patients with non-diabetic CKD (n = 177) were followed for a 7 year period and hyperuricemia (after adjustment for GFR and...
In conclusion, according to the above epidemiological findings it is clear that an elevated uric acid is strongly associated with the development of CKD, but not ubiquitously with the progression of CKD.

Clinical trials

In CKD the prevalence of hyperuricemia, gout and uric acid lithiasis is increased because the kidneys are the primary excretion root for uric acid [3,11]. In addition, epidemiological findings implicate an additional connection of hyperuricemia with the development and progression of CKD, however, a respective treatment strategy has not been adopted yet. On the contrary, indications for treatment of hyperuricemia in CKD patients are limited to a prophylaxis of gout and lithiasis. In this context, several studies have been conducted, to investigate the impact of uric acid lowering treatment on renal outcomes [39,46–66]. These were all single centre trials, which had only small number of participants and a limited duration of follow up and most of them were studying allopurinol [3,67].

Today, apart from diet and life style modifications, the main available options for uric acid lowering therapy in CKD are the xanthine oxidase inhibitors allopurinol and febuxostat. Allopurinol is generally a safe drug, but about 2% of patients develop hypersensitivity reactions. It can also lead to fatal Stevens-Johnson syndrome [68]. Side effects of allopurinol can be dose-related (e.g. gastrointestinal intolerance and rashes). Therefore, side effects occur more often in CKD because allopurinol and its metabolite oxipurinol may accumulate in subjects with low GFR [8,69].

The new xanthine oxidase inhibitor, febuxostat, is a non-purine, xanthine oxidase inhibitor with a chemical structure different from allopurinol. Febuxostat does not appear to be associated with Stevens-Johnson-syndrome to date, and its dosage does not need to be modified in CKD. The most commonly reported adverse drug reactions are liver function abnormalities, diarrhea, headache, nausea, and rash [69].

Results of two meta-analyses, which included most available randomized trials, were not conclusive. In the first, which involved 8 trials of patients with or without CKD at baseline [39,46–52], allopurinol therapy had no effect on eGFR but showed a reduction of serum creatinine levels in some studies [31,70]. In the second meta-analysis, which involved randomized trials with a total of 992 patients with CKD stage 3–5 [39,48–65], treatment with allopurinol was associated with significant reductions in serum uric acid levels and a favorable influence on blood pressure and on eGFR compared with untreated controls [71]. Expectedly, both meta-analyses reported significant heterogeneity among these allopurinol trials in respect with design, endpoint and follow-up period.

Recently, treatment with febuxostat was also evaluated in a randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled trial, which included 93 patients with asymptomatic hyperuricemia and CKD stage 3 and 4. After 6 months, mean changes in eGFR were significantly more favourable in the febuxostat group compared with the placebo group [72]. Furthermore, topiroxostat, a xanthine oxidase inhibitor approved in Japan, was evaluated in a recent double-blind trial of 123 patients with CKD stage 3 and hyperuricemia. This study showed that treatment with topiroxostat compared with placebo significantly reduced serum uric acid and the levels of albuminuria [73]. These two trials are interesting but also accompanied with serious limitations, namely, the small sample, the short follow up period and the single centre design. Their results need to be confirmed in larger multicenter trials, with longer duration of follow up.

Losartan, an angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB), has significant renoprotective effects in diabetic nephropathy [74]. Unlike other ARBs, however, losartan has the unique ability to lower serum uric acid levels by decreasing reabsorption, most probably by a direct URAT1 inhibition in the proximal tubule [75,76]. There is now evidence, that this aspect of losartan treatment may provide additional benefits for renal disease [77]. In a post hoc analysis of the RENAAL (Reduction of Endpoints in Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus with the Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan) trial, the risk of renal events (a doubling of serum creatinine or development of ESRD) was decreased by 6% for every 0.5 mg/dL decrement in serum uric acid levels during losartan treatment [77].

Sodium glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2 encoded by SLC5A2) is the major glucose transporter in the kidney. It is found primarily in the proximal tubules and responsible for 90% of renal glucose reabsorption. Inhibition of SGLT2 increases urinary glucose excretion, thereby improving glycemic control [78]. In addition, SGLT2 inhibitors reduce serum uric acid levels, possibly by indirect (via glucosuria) activation of the GLUT9 mediated urate transport [79]. Indeed, in the pooled analysis of data from four phase 3 placebo controlled trials the effect of canagliflozin, a SGLT2 inhibitor, was shown to reduce serum uric acid levels [80]. A recently published randomized placebo controlled trial showed, that the SGLT2 inhibitor, empagliflozin slowed the progression of renal disease in patients with diabetes mellitus. Empagliflozin slowed the progression of renal disease and effectively reduced serum uric acid levels. The authors postulated a possible contribution of this latter effect on renal outcomes [80]. These beneficial effects of SGLT2 inhibition must be weighed against potential side effects [78].
In conclusion, before a uric acid lowering therapy of any form can be embraced for prophylaxis of CKD, there is a need to establish its efficacy by large randomised controlled trials. Also safety issues are to be adequately addressed.

Ongoing studies (discussion)

CKD has now become an increasing global public health problem with enhanced morbidity and mortality [15]. This fact underscores the urgent need for investigations of new and putatively more efficient treatment [18]. In this context, there is renewed interest in the relationship between uric acid and nephropathy, which has been considered to be a dead subject in the last decades [19]. New data in this field suggest that serum uric acid may be a risk factor for CKD. However, the influence of uric acid lowering therapies on renal outcomes is still largely unclear. Efficacy and safety of uric acid lowering therapies has to be further investigated and large randomised controlled trials have to be planned.

Concretely, the effects of allopurinol on the progression of IgA nephropathy (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT00793585) and diabetic nephropathy in type 1 diabetes mellitus [81] are currently evaluated in two ongoing nationally sponsored trials.

Furthermore, a prospective, double-blind, placebo-controlled study (FEATHER; UMIN identifier, UMIN00008343) is currently investigating the febuxostat effect on eGFR in adult Japanese patients (n = 400) with CKD stage 3 and asymptomatic hyperuricemia (without gout) for a follow up period of approximately two-years [82].

Apart from these large clinical trials, which are currently running with xanthine oxidase inhibitors, studies are also required to better understand the biological action of uric acid. In particular, the primary role of xanthine oxidase, as a cause of kidney damage independent from uric acid, should be also clarified. Xanthine oxidase, inhibited by allopurinol, produces apart from uric acid also reactive oxygen species (ROS); inhibition of ROS formation may have beneficial renal effects unrelated to serum uric acid levels [2,69].

Preliminary data suggest that SGLT2 inhibitors can reduce serum uric acid levels, which may in turn contribute to the renoprotective effect shown in diabetic nephropathy. Ongoing clinical studies are prospectively evaluating the effect of SGLT2 inhibitors on serum uric acid levels, renal outcomes and CKD. The CREDEntrial (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier, NCT02065791), is a phase 3 study evaluating canagliflozin as secondary prophylaxis in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and nephropathy (CKD stage 2 or 3 and severe albuminuria). The trial will assess whether canagliflozin has renal and vascular protective effects. The ongoing phase 4 CANVAS-R trial (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01989754) will study the effects of canagliflozin on renal endpoints in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

In respect to uric acid lowering treatment in CKD, there are still many unresolved points to address. Namely, the choice of the oxidase inhibitor with the right efficacy-safety profile in CKD, the role of uric acid reducing diet alterations, the limit of uric acid serum levels to be aimed at, the optimal medication dose. It is also unclear whether in subjects with CKD the combination with either ACE inhibitors or with ARBs abolishes the benefit of the uric acid lowering treatments. Finally, it is clear, that there are more questions than answers. The ongoing studies will probably add some more clarity to the field of uric acid lowering therapy in CKD.

Conclusions

In conclusion, hyperuricemia is clinically significant in the setting of CKD, which is an established independent risk factor for hyperuricemia and for gout. On the other hand, the role of hyperuricemia as an independent risk factor for CKD, is still being debated. There is serious experimental and epidemiological evidence as well as a number of clinical trials to support a relationship of hyperuricemia to CKD, and that uric acid lowering treatment might forestall CKD progression. These trials were of limited duration and included only small number of patients. Only large randomised controlled trials (RCTs) would provide definitive answers about efficacy and safety of a pharmacological treatment for asymptomatic hyperuricemia in CKD. The dangers of inappropriate treating asymptomatic hyperuricemia are well documented [81,83]. Large RCTs on treatment of hyperuricemia for primary or secondary CKD prophylaxis are under way in populations with hypertension or diabetic nephropathy. The unavailability of these RCTs – despite the serious evidence that uric acid lowering drugs could be suggested for asymptomatic hyperuricemia in the setting of CKD – makes a routine recommendation of these drugs unsubstantiated. Finally, in respect of hyperuricemia, only lifestyle and dietary modifications along with an appropriate treatment for gout and uric acid lithiasis are today the only recommended strategies for reducing the risk of developing or worsening CKD [84].
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