Introduction

The pore-forming α1 subunits of voltage-gated calcium channels (CaV) are composed of four homologous but non-identical domains (repeats I, II, III, IV), each consisting of six membrane-spanning helices (S1-S6). Helices S1 through S4 of each repeat form voltage-sensing domains (VSD); helices S5, S6 and the connecting P-loop of all four repeats together form the channel pore [1,2]. Membrane depolarization moves the positively charged S4 helices outward, causing conformational change in the VSDs that activates channel opening. The energetically unfavorable transition of the positive S4 gating charges across the plane of the membrane is facilitated by sequential exchange of ion pair partners with negatively charged residues (countercharges) in the other helices of the VSDs [3-6]. Particularly an inner negative cluster functions as charge transfer center through which the S4 charges (R1, R2, R3, K4) transit upon activation and deactivation [7].

Recently our laboratory identified an additional countercharge (D1196, short D4) in the outer segment of the S3 helix of the fourth VSD that is essential for the function and modulation of CaV1.1 gating [8]. Rosetta homology modeling and site-directed mutagenesis suggested a model according to which in the activated state the negatively charged D4 establishes hydrogen bonds with the two outermost arginines (R1 and R2) of the IVS4 helix (Figure 1(B)). When D4 or either one of the putative interaction partners (R1, R2) were mutated in CaV1.1e, the voltage-dependence of activation was shifted by ~20 mV towards more positive potentials and the current amplitude was dramatically reduced. Interestingly, this mirrored the effect of insertion of exon 29 into the
extracellular loop connecting helices IVS3 and IVS4 (IVS3-S4 linker) that causes a similar 30 mV shift in voltage-dependence of activation and reduction of current density [9,10]. Therefore, this molecular interaction within VSD IV of CaV1.1 facilitates channel gating and provides a means for regulation of the gating properties by alternative splicing. Consistent with this model, structure modeling indicated that insertion of exon 29 reduces the number and strength of the hydrogen bonds between D4 and R1/R2, thus perturbing both, the entry into the activated state, and/or the stabilization of the activated state [8].

Voltage-dependent calcium channels activate over a wide range of membrane potentials, tuned to function in many different physiological processes [12]. CaV1.1a is the calcium channel least responsive to depolarization. Its voltage-dependence beyond the physiological range (Figure 1(C)) enables it to activate EC coupling without simultaneous calcium influx [13]. CaV1.3 is the most responsive L-type calcium channel, ideally suited to function as pacemaker in neurons and the sinoatrial node [14]. CaV1.2 – the predominant L-type calcium channel in the cardiovascular system and in the brain – has an intermediate voltage-dependence of activation [1]. Interestingly, the negatively charged amino acid D4 in IVS3 is highly conserved throughout the CaV family and all L-, P/Q-, and N-type channels comprise functionally important alternatively spliced exons in the IVS3-S4 linker (Figure 1(A)) [9,15–18]. Therefore we hypothesized that the D4-R1/R2 interaction mechanism, previously identified in CaV1.1, may be involved in adjusting the specific voltage-dependences of activation and its regulation by alternative splicing also in other CaV1 channels.

The four VSDs of CaV channels are structurally homologous but not identical [2,19]. Our recent mutagenesis studies unambiguously identified VSD IV as the major determinant of the voltage-dependence of CaV1.1, whereas VSD I determines the kinetics of activation [8,10]. In contrast, recent voltage-clamp fluorometry studies demonstrated that in CaV1.2 voltage-dependence of activation primarily is determined by VSDs II and III, whereas VSD IV does not contribute to it at all [20]. These conflicting findings indicate different roles of the four VSDs in CaV1.1 and CaV1.2 channels. Interestingly, VSD II (but not VSDs I and III) contains a conserved negatively charged residue (E510, short E4) in the position corresponding to D4 of VSD IV (Figure 1(A)). Thus, it is possible that molecular interactions similar to the D4-R1/R2 interactions in VSD IV are in place in VSD II. There they might participate in determining the specific voltage-sensitivity of CaV1 channels, but probably not to their modulation, because the S3-S4 linker of the second VSD does not contain alternatively spliced exons.

Here we applied site directed mutagenesis and patch-clamp electrophysiological analysis to address the question as to whether the molecular mechanism (D4-R1/R2 interaction) in VSD IV of CaV1.1 represents an isoform-specific feature of CaV1.1 or a general mechanism for determining the gating properties of several CaV channels. Our data demonstrate that in CaV1.2 and CaV1.3 the countercharge D4 is involved in regulating the voltage-dependence of activation and/or the current density, although with a narrower dynamic range compared to CaV1.1. Thus, the same mechanism that determines the specific voltage-dependence and amplitude of calcium currents in CaV1.1 is utilized to fine tune the gating properties of CaV1.2 and CaV1.3.

Results

Role of the putative D4-R1/R2 interaction in regulating the gating properties of CaV1.3

CaV1.3 is the member of the CaV1 channel family with the most left-shifted voltage sensitivity (Figure 1(C)). Moreover, a recent study demonstrated that its voltage-dependence of activation is differentially regulated by alternative splicing of exon 32 in the IVS3-S4 linker [16]. Therefore this channel is well suited to test the hypothesis that – in analogy to the D4-R1/R2 voltage-sensing interaction recently identified in CaV1.1 [8,10] – D4 in the VSD IV is an important determinant of the gating properties of other L-type calcium channels as well. If in CaV1.3 the negatively charged D4 (D1283) also acts as countercharge for the positive gating charges R1 and R2, and if this interaction is important for determining the sensitivity of
CaV1.3 to relatively low voltages, then charge neutralization of D4 is expected to cause a right-shift in the voltage-dependence of activation.

To answer these questions we mutated the IVS4 D4 residue to an asparagine (D1283N) in a GFP-tagged CaV1.3 construct lacking exon 32 (Figure 2(A)). Note, that in our previous work, inclusion of the analogous exon 29 in CaV1.1 masked the effect of the D4N mutation [8]. Therefore, we performed the mutation in the splice variant lacking exon 32 to circumvent potential effects of exon inclusion on the putative D4-R1/R2 interaction.
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GFP-CaV1.3-ΔE32 and GFP-CaV1.3-ΔE32-D4N were expressed and analyzed in dysgenic myotubes. These Cav1.1-null muscle cells are a well-established expression system for L-type calcium channels. Previously we have demonstrated that the L-type calcium channels Cav1.2 and Cav1.3 can be expressed in dysgenic myotubes, are correctly targeted into triads and/or peripheral junctions, express L-type calcium currents, and restore excitation-contraction coupling [21,22]. Figure 2(B) shows GFP-CaV1.3-ΔE32 and the point mutant GFP-CaV1.3-ΔE32-D4N expressed in...
dysgenic myotubes and immunofluorescence labeled with anti-GFP and anti-ryanodine receptor type 1 (anti-RyR1). Both, wildtype and mutant channels are equally expressed and distributed in clusters, representing triads and peripheral junctions as evidenced by the colocalization with RyR1.

The GFP fluorescence aided the selection of transfected myotubes for whole-cell patch-clamp analysis. Calcium currents were recorded in series of 200 ms test pulses increasing from −50 to +80 mV in 10 mV increments. Figure 2(C–E) show representative current traces, the mean current-voltage relationships, and the fractional conductance curves, respectively, of GFP-Ca\textsubscript{v}1.3-ΔE32 and GFP-Ca\textsubscript{v}1.3-ΔE32-D4N. Charge neutralization of D4 (D1283N) in GFP-Ca\textsubscript{v}1.3-ΔE32-D4N resulted in a significant 5.4 mV shift of the mean voltage of half-maximal activation (V\textsubscript{½}) to more depolarizing potentials (p = 0.0056; Figure 2(D,E,G); Table 1), as well as in a significant reduction of the mean current density (p = 0.0368; Figure 2(D,F); Table 1), without altering kinetics of activation nor inactivation (Table 1). These effects indicate an involvement of D1283 in IVS3 in voltage-sensing and channel gating of Ca\textsubscript{v}1.3. The right-shifted V\textsubscript{½} and reduced current density are qualitatively similar to the effects of the analogous mutation in Ca\textsubscript{v}1.1, although considerably smaller [8]. But as in Ca\textsubscript{v}1.1, the magnitude of the right-shift of V\textsubscript{½} corresponds to the reported effects of inclusion of the alternatively spliced exons in the IVS3-S4 linker [16].

**Figure 2.** Charge-neutralization of D4 in VSD IV of Ca\textsubscript{v}1.3 affects voltage sensitivity and peak current density. (A) Domain structure of Ca\textsubscript{v}1.3 indicating the location of D4 in the outer S3 helix of VSD IV. (B) GFP-Ca\textsubscript{v}1.3 and mutant GFP-Ca\textsubscript{v}1.3-ΔE32-D4N are targeted to triads of dysgenic (Ca\textsubscript{v}1.1−/−) myotubes. Scale bar, 10 μm. (C) Representative calcium currents of GFP-Ca\textsubscript{v}1.3-ΔE32 (blue) and mutant GFP-Ca\textsubscript{v}1.3-ΔE32-D4N (orange) at 0 mV and +20 mV. (D) I/V curves and (F) the scatter plot of the current density (I peak) show that D4N has a reduced current density. (E) Fractional activation curves and (G) scatter plot of the V\textsubscript{½} indicate that voltage sensitivity was reduced significantly by the charge neutralization of D4. (Mean± SEM; *p ≤ 0.05; **p ≤ 0.01; n = 9,10; Unpaired t-test).

**Role of the putative VSD IV D4-R1/R2 interaction in the regulation of Ca\textsubscript{v}1.2 gating properties by alternative splicing**

Ca\textsubscript{v}1.2 is the most widely expressed L-type calcium channel with a voltage-dependence of activation in between that of Ca\textsubscript{v}1.3 and Ca\textsubscript{v}1.1a. Alike these two...
channels also the gating properties of Cav1.2 are modulated by alternative splicing in the IVS3-S4 linker [15]. Therefore we next examined the potential involvement of the D4-dependent mechanism in the modulation of voltage-dependence of activation in wildtype and mutant (D1327N) Cav1.2 with and without exon 33. Normal expression and targeting of all used channel constructs in dysgenic myotubes was verified by double immunofluorescence labeling (Figure 3).

Because alternative splicing of exon 33 typically is combined with other splicing events and the effects of its exclusion on gating properties depend very much on the specific combination of exons [23,24], we first examined the effect of excluding the exon 33 sequence from our rabbit GFP-Cav1.2 construct (GFP-Cav1.2-ΔE33) in the dysgenic myotube expression system. Consistent with published reports [15] exclusion of exon 33 caused a 6.3 mV (P = 0.052) shift of $V_{1/2}$ to less depolarizing potentials without affecting peak current density (Figure 4(D–G); Table 2). Thus, alternative splicing of the Cav1.2 IVS3-S4 linker shares a common effect on voltage-sensitivity with the analogous splicing events in Cav1.1 and Cav1.3, but unlike these channels it does not modify current density.

To examine whether this modulation of GFP-Cav1.2 gating properties by alternative splicing of exon 33 is effected by a mechanism involving the putative D4 countercharge, we next inserted the charge-neutralizing mutation D1327N into both GFP-Cav1.2 and GFP-Cav1.2-ΔE33 (Figure 4(A)). We hypothesized that if an interaction of the negatively charged D1327 with positive gating charges is necessary for modulation of $V_{1/2}$ by

|                | $I_{\text{peak}}$ (pA/pF) | Significance (P value) | $V_{1/2}$ (mV) | Significance (P value) | $V_{\text{rev}}$ (mV) | $k_{\text{act}}$ (mV) | $G_{\text{max}}$ (nS/nF) | Tau (ms) | $I_{\text{res}200}/I_{\text{peak}}$ | n  |
|----------------|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|----|
| Cav1.3-ΔE32    | −2.9 ± 0.6                | 0.7 ± 1.5              | 80.7 ± 4.9     | 7.6 ± 0.8              | 50.3 ± 8.4             | 1.9 ± 0.3             | 0.77 ± 0.04             | 9        |                               |    |
| Cav1.3-ΔE32-D4N| −1.6 ± 0.2 * (0.0368)     | 6.1 ± 0.9 ** (0.0056)  | 74.6 ± 5.1     | 7.9 ± 0.4              | 35.5 ± 5.2             | 2.6 ± 0.3             | 0.75 ± 0.03             | 10       |                               |    |

**Figure 3.** Expression and triad targeting of Cav1.2 constructs. Dysgenic myotubes (Cav1.1−/−) were reconstituted with GFP-Cav1.2, GFP-Cav1.2-D4N, GFP-Cav1.2-ΔE33, or GFP-Cav1.2-ΔE33-D4N and double immunofluorescence labeled with anti-GFP and anti-RyR. All channel constructs are equally expressed and colocalized in clusters with the RyR1, indicative of their correct targeting into t-tubule/SR or plasma membrane/SR junctions. Scale bar, 10 μm.

**Table 1.** Current properties of Cav1.3-ΔE32 and Cav1.3-ΔE32-D4N. Numerical values represent mean ± SEM. Statistical differences between mutants and controls were determined with unpaired Student’s t-test. Difference against control is represented as *p ≤ 0.05; **p ≤ 0.01.
Figure 4. Effects of alternative splicing of exon 33 and of charge neutralization of E4 in VSD IV on the gating properties of Ca\textsubscript{v}1.2. (A) Domain structure of Ca\textsubscript{v}1.2 showing the location of the mutated D4 residue and the alternatively spliced exon 33 in VSD IV. (B,C) Representative calcium currents at +10 mV and +30 mV. (D) I/V curves and (F) scatter plots of the peak current densities show that charge neutralization of D4, but not exon 33 splicing, causes a significant reduction in current density. (E) The fractional activation curves and (G) scatter plots of the V\textsubscript{1/2} show that inclusion of exon 33 and charge neutralization of D4 shift the voltage sensitivity of activation to more depolarized potentials. (Mean±SEM; *p ≤ 0.05; ***p ≤ 0.001; one-way ANOVA; n = 21,14,12,13).
Table 2. Current properties of the different Ca_{1.2} mutant and control constructs. Numerical values represent mean ± SEM. Statistical differences between mutants and controls were determined with one-way ANOVA. \( I_{\text{peak}} \): \( F_{\text{calc}} = 5.3; \ p = 0.0027; \ V_{1/2}: \ F_{\text{calc}} = 6.3; \ p = 0.0009 \), followed by a Holm-Sidak multiple comparison test. Differences against control are represented as *(P-value), difference against Ca_{1.2}-D4 are represented as †(P-value) and difference against Ca_{1.2}-ΔE33 are shown as ‡(P-value). Mean differences and their associated adjusted P-values for each of the six comparisons tested with the Holm-Sidak multiple comparison test.

| Mutant | \( I_{\text{peak}} \) (pA/pF) | \( V_{1/2} \) (mV) | \( I_{\text{rev}} \) (pA/pF) | \( G_{\text{max}} \) (nS/nF) | Tau (ms) | \( I_{\text{peak}}/I_{\text{200}} \) | n |
|--------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------|----------------|---|
| Ca_{1.2} | –13.8 ± 1.7 | 22.0 ± 1.7 | 94.38 ± 2.273 ± 0.4 | 75.5 ± 30.425 ± 0.29 | 0.62 ± 0.03 | 21 |
| Ca_{1.2}-D4N | –7.9 ± 1.7 | *(0.0393) | 27.1 ± 2.3 | 95.5 ± 2.989.4 ± 0.4 | 0.60 ± 0.03 | 14 |
| Ca_{1.2}-ΔE33 | –14.9 ± 1.9 | †(0.0393) | 15.7 ± 1.4 | *(0.0005) | 88.25 ± 1.870.0 ± 0.4 | 12 |
| Ca_{1.2}-ΔE33-D4N | –6.9 ± 1.1 | *(0.0233) | 19.8 ± 1.1 | †(0.0379) | 85.79 ± 2.177.1 ± 0.3 | 13 |

**Is the analogous E4-R1/R2 interaction in VSD II of Ca_{1.2} involved in the regulation of gating properties?**

Although in both Ca_{1.2} and Ca_{1.3} neutralization of D4 in the fourth VSD affected voltage-dependence of activation and peak current density, the magnitude of these effects was substantially smaller than that previously observed in Ca_{1.1} [8,10]. This could indicate that in Ca_{1.2} and Ca_{1.3} the putative D4-R1/R2 interaction is of minor importance for voltage-sensing, or it could indicate that the contribution of VSD IV to channel gating is smaller compared to Ca_{1.1}. In fact, a recent voltage-clamp fluorometry study indicated that in Ca_{1.2} mainly VSDs II and III determine the gating properties, whereas the contribution of VSD IV is marginal [20]. Of the two presumably more relevant VSDs, only VSD II contains a conserved negatively charged residue (E632) in the position analogous to D4 in VSD IV (Figure 1(A)). Therefore we wondered whether in Ca_{1.2} an interaction of the positive gating charges in IIS4 with E632 in IIS3 might be involved in the gating charge transition during voltage-sensing.

To test this possibility we generated a charge-neutralizing mutation (E632Q, short E4Q) in VSD II of GFP-Ca_{1.2} (Figure 5(A)) and analyzed its
current properties using patch-clamp recordings in dysgenic myotubes. If the negative charge of E632 is important for voltage sensing (e.g. acting as countercharges for R1 and R2 as in VSD IV of CaV1.1), then its neutralization should right-shift the voltage-dependence of activation and/or diminish the peak current density. Figure 5(B) shows that the mutant channel GFP-CaV1.2-E4Q is normally expressed and distributed in dysgenic myotubes. However, the electrophysiological analysis demonstrates that the current properties of GFP-CaV1.2-E4Q were affected by the charge-neutralizing mutation (Figure 5(C–G)) differently to what was observed in the VSD IV D4N mutation. While peak current density of GFP-CaV1.2-E4Q currents did not differ from that of the matched GFP-CaV1.2 controls (Table 3), the $V_{1/2}$ was significantly shifted toward more negative potential ($p = 0.0254$). This increase in voltage-sensitivity by the charge neutralizing mutation refutes the possibility that an intramolecular interaction involving E4 in VSD II facilitates the activation of

**Table 3.** Current properties of CaV1.2 and CaV1.2-E4Q. Numerical values represent mean ± SEM. Statistical differences between mutants and controls were determined with unpaired Student’s t-test. Difference against control is represented as *(P-value).

|      | $I_{\text{peak}}$ (pA/pF) | Significance (P value) | $V_{1/2}$ (mV) | Significance (P value) | $V_{\text{rev}}$ (mV) | $k_{\text{act}}$ (mV) | $G_{\text{max}}$ (mV) | Tau (ms) | $I_{\text{res}200}/I_{\text{peak}}$ | n  |
|------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|------------------|----|
| CaV1.2 | $-12.6 \pm 1.7$     |                      | $15.3 \pm 1.6$|                      | $86.7 \pm 1.8$   | $6.9 \pm 0.4$     | $233.1 \pm 34.8$ | $1.7 \pm 0.2$| $0.55 \pm 0.05$ | 9  |
| CaV1.2-E4Q | $-13.3 \pm 1.7$     | n.s. (0.7620)        | $9.7 \pm 1.6$ | * (0.0254)           | $87.5 \pm 1.5$   | $6.2 \pm 0.5$     | $305 \pm 64.2$   | $1.9 \pm 0.2$| $0.52 \pm 0.03$ | 11 |

*Figure 5.* Charge neutralization of E4 in VSD II of CaV1.2 affects the voltage sensitivity. (A) Domain structure of CaV1.2 indicating the location of E4 in VSD II. (B) GFP-CaV1.2 and mutant GFP-CaV1.2-E4Q are equally expressed and targeted to triads of dysgenic myotubes. Scale bar, 10 μm. (C) Representative calcium currents at +10 and +30 mV depolarizations. (D) I/V curves (E) fractional activation curves and the corresponding scatter plots of I peak (F) and $V_{1/2}$ (G) show that the charge neutralization of E4 in VSD II shifts the voltage sensitivity to more hyperpolarized potentials. (Mean± SEM; *p ≤ 0.05; n = 9,11; Unpaired t-test).
CaV1.2. If anything it would be consistent with a role of E4 in stabilizing a pre-open state.

**Discussion**

Previously we identified a novel molecular mechanism that controls the voltage-dependence of current activation in the skeletal muscle isoform of voltage-gated calcium channels. It involves dynamic interactions of the outer gating charges (R1 and R2) with a newly identified countercharge (D4) in the S3 transmembrane segment of the fourth VSD. This interaction facilitates channel activation in the embryonic CaV1.1e variant, but it is disrupted by alternative splicing in the extra-cellular IVS3-S4 linker of the adult CaV1.1a splice variant, resulting in a striking right-shift of V½ and reduction of channel open probability. Whether this mechanism is unique to CaV1.1 or plays a role in other L-type calcium channels remained to be elucidated.

The data presented here provide evidence for the involvement of this D4-R1/R2 interaction in VSD IV in the regulation of channel gating in other members of the L-type calcium channel family. In CaV1.2 and in CaV1.3 charge neutralization of D4 (D1327N, D1283N, respectively) caused a right-shift of V½ and a reduction of current density. Qualitatively these effects are consistent with the original observation in CaV1.1 [8,10] and suggest that, similarly to the situation in the skeletal muscle channel isoform, D4 in CaV1.2 and CaV1.3 might be involved in voltage sensor function and facilitate channel activation by serving as countercharge for the outer gating charges R1 and R2 in VSD IV. Previous structure modeling and mutagenesis analysis in CaV1.1 suggested that hydrogen bonds established between D4 and R1 during intermediate state 2 facilitate the transition into the activated state, resulting in the observed left-shifted V½. Additional hydrogen bonds subsequently established between D4 and R2 likely stabilize the activated state, thus resulting in an increased P0. Finding similar effects of D4N charge neutralization in CaV1.2 and CaV1.3 suggests that the principal function of D4 in VSD IV is a general feature of L-type calcium channels. Interestingly, in CaV1.2 the effect of D4N charge neutralization on reducing the current amplitude was stronger than its effect on V½, suggesting that in this channel D4-R1/R2 interactions primarily function in stabilizing the activated state.

While qualitatively the effects of charge neutralization of D4 were similar in the three examined CaV1 channels, the magnitude of the effects observed in CaV1.2 and CaV1.3 was much smaller than those previously found in the skeletal muscle isoform. Whereas in CaV1.1 charge neutralization of D4 caused a -20 mV right-shift of V½ and reduction of current density to 15% of control [8,9], in CaV1.2 and CaV1.3 current density was reduced to about half of control levels and the right-shift of V½ was only about 5 mV, and in CaV1.2 did not reach statistical significance. Thus, it appears that this mechanism, although present, is of lesser importance for voltage sensing of VSD IV in CaV1.2 and CaV1.3. This does not exclude the possibility that the role of D4 as countercharge in the VSD IV of CaV1.2 and CaV1.3 might be essentially the same as in CaV1.1, if the contribution of this VSD to channel gating were lower in CaV1.2 and CaV1.3. In that case, even perturbing an important mechanism of voltage sensing in VSD IV would only result in minor changes of the gating properties. This notion is consistent with recent findings indicating that in CaV1.2 VSD IV contributes the least of all four VSDs to channel gating [20]. Another implication of this concept is that the specific contributions of individual VSDs differ between the CaV1 family members. The limited effects of exon 32 insertion and D4N charge neutralization in CaV1.3 suggest that also in this L-type calcium channel VSD contributes little to channel gating. Conversely, the big effects of exon 29 insertion and D4N charge neutralization in CaV1.1 clearly demonstrate that in the skeletal muscle channel isoform the contribution of VSD IV to channel gating is substantially greater than in its close relatives CaV1.2 and CaV1.3. This explanation is corroborated by the different magnitudes of effects of alternative splicing in the IVS3-S4 linker which are also considerably smaller in CaV1.2 [15] and CaV1.3 [16], compared to CaV1.1 [8,10].

Using voltage-clamp fluorometry Pantazis et al. [20] reported that in CaV1.2 VSD II and VSD III together contribute about 85% of the energy necessary for channel opening, whereas the contribution of VSD IV is negligible. Because a negatively
charged amino acid in the position corresponding to D4 in VSD IV is conserved in VSD II, neutralization of this charge in CaV1.2 might affect voltage sensitivity in this channel similarly to neutralizing D4 in VSD IV of CaV1.1. However, our data do not support this possibility, as charge neutralization of E632 in CaV1.2 did not affect current density and even showed the opposite effect on voltage-dependence, causing a small but significant shift of the $V_{1/2}$ to less depolarized potentials. Beyl and coworkers demonstrated that neutralization of the gating charges R1 and R2 in CaV1.2 VSD II [25,26] resulted in little or no shifts of the voltage-dependence of activation. Together these findings dismiss the notion that interactions between R1/R2 and E4, acting as a counter charge, are important for VSD II function and constitute a major determinant of the specific gating properties of CaV1.2.

Regarding the importance of D4 in IVS3 for modulation of gating properties by alternative splicing our data reveal differences between the members of the L-type calcium channel family. In CaV1.3 the observed right-shift of $V_{1/2}$ upon charge neutralization of D4 matched the voltage shift reported for insertion of exon 32 [16]. Thus, it is reasonable to assume that, as demonstrated for CaV1.1, insertion of the alternatively spliced exon into the IVS3-S4 linker perturbs the interaction of D4 with R1 and R2 in CaV1.3. However, in CaV1.2 a similar effect of exon 33 insertion on $V_{1/2}$ was observed with and without D4, and conversely, the effects of D4N charge neutralization on current density were observed with and without exon 33. Therefore, the effects of alternative exon 33 splicing on gating properties do not depend on the negative charge of D1327. Thus, CaV1.1 and CaV1.2 utilize distinct molecular mechanisms for modulation of current properties by alternative splicing in the IVS3-S4 linker. Whereas the interaction between D4 and R1/R2 in VSD IV appears to contribute to voltage-sensing of VSD IV in all three examined CaV1 channels it is not the general mechanism for the regulation of gating properties in all L-type calcium channels. On a broader scale, the S3-S4 linker of the fourth VSD appears to be a hotspot for modulation of gating properties by alternative splicing and toxin binding in many voltage-gated cation channels [9,16–18,27]. This highlights the overall importance of this VSD for channel gating. However, the differential effects of alternative splicing in the IVS3-S4 linker on open probability, rate and voltage-dependence of activation in various CaV1 and CaV2 channels are consistent with the notion that multiple molecular mechanisms mediate these effects – the D4-R1/R2 interaction being one of them.

Together with our previous findings [8,10], our current results support the model that D4 acts as a counter charge for the voltage-sensing mechanism in the VSD IV of all examined CaV1 channels. However, due to the different contributions of VSD IV to channel gating in L-type calcium channels, alternative splicing or experimental perturbations of this mechanism affect voltage-dependence of activation and current density far less in CaV1.2 and CaV1.3 than in CaV1.1. Consequently, the D4-R1/R2 interaction in VSD IV is a major determinant of the characteristic gating properties of CaV1.1, whereas in CaV1.2 and CaV1.3 it contributes to the fine tuning of channel gating. This differential importance of D4 in shaping current properties might on the one hand relate to the need of CaV1.2 and CaV1.3 to adjust their current properties to diverse cellular functions in the cardio-vascular system and the nervous system, and on the other hand to the unique dual function of CaV1.1 as calcium channel in embryonic muscle and as voltage-sensor for EC coupling in mature skeletal muscle.

**Materials and methods**

**Cloning procedures**

All $\alpha_1$-subunits are N-terminally GFP-tagged and their expression is under the control of a CMV promoter. Sequence integrity of all newly generated constructs was confirmed by sequencing (MWG Biotech, Martinsried, Germany). GFP-CaV1.1-3-E33: The human CaV1.3-$\alpha_1$ subunit (EU363339) comprising alternative exons 8a and 42, but not exon 33 (long C-terminal splice variant) was previously cloned into the pGFP$^-\Delta$ vector [28]. Briefly the SacII to SalI fragment of GFP-CaV1.2 [29] containing the GFP-tag was inserted “in-frame” and upstream of the coding region of CaV1.3, yielding GFP-CaV1.3-3-E33. GFP-CaV1.3-3-E33-D4N: The D1283N mutation neutralizes the fourth negative charge in the IVS3 of CaV1.3 and was generated by SOE-PCR. Briefly, nt 3278–4842 of CaV1.3 were PCR amplified with
overlapping primers introducing the point mutation G > A at position nt 3847 in separate PCR reactions using GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.3-ΔE33 as template. The two separate PCR products were then used as templates for a final PCR reaction with flanking primers to connect the nucleotide sequences. This fragment was then BglII/BstEII digested and cloned into the respective sites of GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.3-ΔE33 yielding GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.3-ΔE33-D4N. **GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2:** For the GFP-tagged rabbit Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2α{\textsubscript{1}}-subunit (X15539) cloning procedures were previously described [29]. **GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2-D4N:** The D1327N mutation neutralizes the fourth negative charge in the IVS3 of Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2 and was generated by SOE-PCR. Briefly, nt 3403–4728 of Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2 were PCR amplified with overlapping primers introducing the point mutation G > A at position nt 3979 in separate PCR reactions using GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2 as template. The two separate PCR products were then used as templates for a final PCR reaction with flanking primers to connect the nucleotide sequences. This fragment was then Apal/BstEII digested and cloned into the respective sites of GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2 yielding GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2-D4N.

**GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2-ΔE33** and **GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2-ΔE33-D4N** (D1327N) lack exon 33 and were generated by SOE-PCR. Briefly, nt 3403–4728 of Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2 or Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2-D4N were PCR amplified with overlapping primers deleting exon 33 (aa 1335–PAEHTQCSPSM–1345) in separate PCR reactions using either GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2 or GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2-D4N as template. The two separate PCR products were then used as templates for a final PCR reaction with flanking primers to connect the nucleotide sequences. This fragment was then Apal/BstEII digested and cloned into the respective sites of GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2 yielding GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2-ΔE33 and GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2-ΔE33-D4N. **GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2-E4Q:** The E632Q mutation neutralizes the third negative charge in the IIS3 of Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2 and was generated by SOE-PCR. Briefly, nt 1165–2766 of Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2 were PCR amplified with overlapping primers introducing the point mutation G > C at position nt 1893 in separate PCR reactions using GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2 as template. The two separate PCR products were then used as templates for a final PCR reaction with flanking primers to connect the nucleotide sequences. This fragment was then BamHI/AflII digested and cloned into the respective sites of GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2 yielding GFP-Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1.2-E4Q.

**Dysgenic myotubes cell culture and transfection**

Myotubes from the dysgenic cell line GLT [30] were cultured as previously described. Briefly, cells were plated on 35 mm culture dishes and transfected with 0.5 μg of the desired Ca{\textsubscript{V}}1 subunit 4 days after plating using FuGENE HD transfection reagent (Promega). After 8 to 10 days in culture, transfected myotubes showing GFP fluorescence were analyzed by electrophysiology or fixed for immunolabeling. GLT myotubes endogenously express the auxiliary α\textsubscript{2}δ-1, β\textsubscript{1a}, and γ\textsubscript{1} calcium channel subunits as well as STAC3 and the ryanodine receptor, enabling proper functional incorporation of the channel constructs in the triad junction [31–33].

**Immunofluorescence and antibodies**

Paraformaldehyde-fixed cultures were immunolabeled as previously described [34] with rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (1:10,000; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) and mouse monoclonal anti-RyR (34-C; 1:1000; Alexis Biochemicals, Lausanne, Switzerland) and fluorescently labeled with goat anti-rabbit Alexa-488 and secondary goat anti-mouse Alexa-594 (1:4000; Molecular Probes), respectively. Thus, the anti-GFP label and the intrinsic GFP signal were both recorded in the green channel. Samples were observed using a 63X, 1.4 NA objective Axioimager microscope (Carl Zeiss Inc., Oberkochen, Germany) and 14-bit images were captured with a cooled charge-coupled device camera (SPOT; Diagnostic Instruments, Stirling Heights, MI, USA) and Metaview image-processing software (Universal Imaging, West Chester, PA, USA). Image composites were arranged in Adobe Photoshop CS3 (Adobe Systems INC., San Francisco, CA, USA) and linear adjustments were performed to correct black level and contrast.

**Electrophysiology and data analysis**

Calcium currents were recorded using the whole cell patch clamp technique in voltage clamp mode using an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Axon Instruments). Patch pipettes were pulled (Sutter instrument model P-1000) from borosilicate glass (Warner Instruments).
and were filled with (mM) 145 Cs-aspartate, 2 MgCl2, 10 HEP ES, 0.1 Cs-EGTA, and 2 Mg-ATP (pH 7.4 with CsOH) and had a resistance between 1.5 and 3 MΩ. For the recording of the calcium currents, the myotubes were bathed in solution containing (mM) 10 CaCl2, 145 tetraethylammonium chloride, and 10 HEPES (pH 7.4 with tetraethylammonium hydroxide). Data acquisition and command potentials were controlled by Clampex software (version 10.6; Axon Instruments); analysis was performed using Clampfit 10.5 (Axon Instruments) and Sigma-Plot 8.0 (SPSS Science) software. The current-voltage dependence was fitted according to: \( I = G_{\text{max}} \cdot \left( V - V_{\text{rev}} \right)/\left(1 + \exp\left(-\left( V - V_{1/2} \right)/k \right)\right) \), where \( G_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum conductance of the L-type calcium currents, \( V_{\text{rev}} \) is the extrapolated reversal potential of the calcium current, \( V_{1/2} \) is the potential for half maximal conductance, and \( k \) is the slope. The conductance was calculated using \( G = \left( -I \cdot 1000 \right)/\left( V - V_{\text{rev}} \right) \), and its voltage dependence was fitted according to a Boltzmann distribution: \( G = G_{\text{max}}/\left(1 + \exp\left(-\left( V - V_{1/2} \right)/k \right)\right) \). The Kinetics of activation was best described by a mono-exponential function and its time constant (\( \tau \)) was used to compare the kinetics of each constructs, while their inactivation properties were determined as the residual current at 200ms (\( I_{\text{res}} \)). The statistical significance was calculated using t-test or one-way ANOVA as indicated in the legends of the tables.
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