Multi-Messenger Astrophysics with THESEUS in the 2030s
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Abstract  Multi-messenger astrophysics is becoming a major avenue to explore the Universe, with the potential to span a vast range of redshifts. The growing synergies between different probes is opening new frontiers, which promise profound insights into several aspects of fundamental physics and cosmology. In this context, THESEUS will play a central role during the 2030s in detecting and localizing the electromagnetic counterparts of gravitational wave and neutrino sources that the unprecedented sensitivity of next generation detectors will discover at much higher rates than the present. Here, we review the most important target signals from multi-messenger sources that THESEUS will be able to detect and characterize, discussing detection rate expectations and scientific impact.

Keywords  multi-messenger astrophysics · gamma-ray burst · compact binary merger · kilonova · X-ray sources · neutrino sources
1 Introduction

The breakthrough discoveries of the last few years have demonstrated the great scientific potential of gravitational wave (GW) astronomy and of multi-messenger astrophysics with GW and neutrino sources. Since the first detection of GWs in 2015 from coalescing binary black hole (BH-BH) systems [12], tens of additional stellar-mass black hole coalescences [3] as well as two confirmed binary neutron star (NS-NS) mergers and at least one possible NS-black hole (NS-BH) merger [4,5,6] have been detected so far with Advanced LIGO [7] and Advanced Virgo [8]. These observations likely represent only the tip of the iceberg and have confirmed the expectation that compact binary coalescences (CBCs) would represent the most common GW sources at the high frequencies where ground-based GW detectors are sensitive (i.e. from ~ 10 Hz up to a few kHz). At such frequencies, there are also other potentially detectable GW sources, including core-collapsing massive stars as well as rotating and/or bursting NSs, whose output in GWs is however more uncertain with respect to CBCs (e.g., [9,10]).

All these high-frequency GW sources (possibly including stellar-mass BH-BH coalescences in rare circumstances; e.g., [11]) are expected to emit a variety of bright electromagnetic (EM) signals over the entire spectrum, from radio to gamma-rays (see Sections 2, 3), offering opportunities for a multi-messenger investigation. The first GW detection of a NS-NS coalescence on August 17th 2017 [4], accompanied by the observation of the short gamma-ray burst (GRB) 170817A [12], the optical/infrared kilonova AT2017gfo, and further X-ray, optical, infrared, and radio emission [13] (and refs. therein), provided a first striking example of what can be accomplished by combining together the information from these two distinct channels (see also Section 2).

During the next few years, the aLIGO and AdVirgo will reach their design sensitivity and together with the first undergroound GW interferometer KAGRA in Japan [14], which recently joined the network, they will ensure an increase in CBC detection rates and an improvement in source localization [15]. By the end of the 2020s, further upgrades on aLIGO (A+ and Voyager [16]) and AdVirgo (Virgo+) are planned to be completed and the GW sky will be routinely monitored with the final second-generation (2G) GW detector network, composed by five interferometers with the addition of LIGO-India, a clone of the two LIGO detectors [15]. The distances up to which CBCs will be detected by the 2G network will go from few hundreds of Mpc to few Gpc [15]. Within such distances, the expected 2G network detection rate of NS-NS coalescences, i.e. the most promising multi-messenger sources, could be as high as 80/yr [15]. Nonetheless, joint short GRB observations by current and future high-energy missions that will be operational during the 2020s as, e.g., Swift [17], Fermi [18,19], INTEGRAL [20], or SVOM [21] are still expected to be rare and likely less than one per year for geometrical reasons [1].

About ten times more sensitive, third generation (3G) ground-based GW interferometers, such as the Einstein Telescope (ET; e.g., [23,et-gw.eu]) and Cosmic Explorer (CE; e.g., [16]), are being planned for operation starting in the first half of the 2030s, allowing us to observe CBCs at distances nearly ten times farther with respect to the 2G network (see Figure 1). This will significantly boost the detection rates for CBCs and, at the same time, greatly enhance the detection chances for the other types of fainter GW sources in the nearby Universe. However, the next generation of ground-based GW interferometers will have relatively poor sky localisation capabilities for the vast majority of detected GW sources, implying serious difficulties in the identification of the EM counterparts. For instance, a network composed by ET and all the 2G detectors will localize within a sky area below 100 deg$^2$ only 10 – 20% of NS-NS coalescences at $z$ ≃ 0.3 (e.g., [26,24]).

Key discoveries have also been made in neutrino astronomy during the last decade, with at least two major results: (i) a diffuse flux of astrophysical very-high-energy neutrinos (10 TeV-10 PeV) detected by IceCube [27], the origin of which is still to date unknown (e.g., [28]); (ii) the possible identification of a neutrino cosmic source with the

---

1 Only a small fraction of NS-NS coalescences will be face-on, i.e. with their orbital angular momentum nearly directed along the line of sight (within a few degrees). Even assuming a very high jet production efficiency from such systems, most of the corresponding short GRBs will be beamed away from us. The possible detection of "off-axis" or "misaligned" short GRBs, like in the case of GRB 170817A, will remain limited to very near (and very rare) events.
blazar TXS0506+056 [29], which adds to the only two previously known sources of neutrinos, both belonging to the Local Group environment, i.e. the Sun and the supernova SN 1987A. Among the most promising candidates for the diffuse neutrinos, GRBs, AGNs, and star bursting galaxies are of particular relevance and, for those, multi-messenger observations will be crucial to achieve the sensitivity level required by detection, thanks to the possibility of exploring spatial correlations as well as temporal coincidences in the case of transient events (see Section 4). Looking ahead towards the future multi-messenger campaigns, larger volume detectors are being planned, in particular gigaton water Cherenkov telescopes such as KM3NeT in the Mediterranean Sea [30] and IceCube-Gen2 at the South Pole [24] (see also [31,32]). In the early 2030s, these detectors will be completed, accessing the level of fluxes expected from cosmic sources (Figure 1). Their sky localisation capabilities will however remain rather limited (e.g., [33] and refs. therein).

In order to maximise the science return of the multi-messenger investigations during the 2030s, it will be essential to have a facility that can both (i) detect, localize, and disseminate the EM counterpart signals independently from the GW/neutrino events and, at the same time, (ii) rapidly cover with good sensitivity the large compatible sky areas provided by GW or neutrino detections. Moreover, given the lack of precise knowledge about the properties of various EM counterparts of both GW and neutrino sources, (iii) a large spectral coverage is another essential capability. These combined requirements are uniquely fulfilled by the Transient High-Energy Sky and Early Universe Surveyor (THESEUS).

THESEUS will allow us to monitor the transient sky with a number of advantages with respect to previous missions, yielding a significant step forward in our ability to investigate the multi-messenger Universe:

- A large fraction of poorly localised multi-messenger sources will be independently discovered with the THESEUS XGIS and SXI within one orbit, due to the unprecedented combination of large field-of-view (XGIS: 2 sr in the 2 – 150 keV energy range and > 4 sr at > 150 keV; SXI: 0.5 sr) and grasp (i.e. the product of effective area and FoV) of these instruments. This will enable independent triggers on EM counterparts of numerous GW/neutrino sources, as it was the case for GRB 170817A triggered by Fermi/GBM independently from the GW detection of the same source. At the same time, XGIS and SXI will provide fairly accurate localisation (< 15'), which is a missing feature in Fermi/GBM. This will allow for follow-up observations with the onboard 0.7 mt IR telescope (IRT) as well as other space and ground-based narrow field instruments. Sky coordinates can be disseminated to the astronomical community within minutes.

- In case of detection of the NIR/optical counterpart by IRT, in response to an SXI/XGIS trigger, disseminated sky coordinates will be accurate at the arcsecond level. This fundamental input will make it possible to trigger deeper follow-up observations with the very large ground- and space-based telescopes available in the early 2030s, such as SKA, CTA, ELT, or Athena, which will further boost the scientific return in terms of GW and/or neutrino source characterization.

- The high cadence spectral observations across the wide range 0.3 keV – 20 MeV (SXI + XGIS), possibly with additional NIR coverage (IRT), will represent a great advantage for the identification and characterization of the diverse EM counterparts of GW and neutrino sources with respect to other all sky monitors that are limited to a narrower band, such as the forthcoming Chinese mission, Einstein Probe (0.3 – 4 keV).

- In response to THESEUS triggers, the search for sub-threshold events in GW and neutrino archival data will also be enabled (e.g., in case of a GRB trigger). Such a strategy has been already pursued by the current LIGO-Virgo Collaboration for a number of detected GRBs (e.g., [34]).

The next Sections describe the main expected EM counterparts that THESEUS will be able to detect in synergy with the future GW and neutrino facilities, both in Survey mode and via Target of Opportunity programs. In Section 2 we focus on the EM counterparts of NS-NS and NS-BH mergers, representing the most promising GW and multi-messenger sources. Section 3 is devoted to GW sources with detectable EM counterparts other than merging compact binaries. Then, we complete the discussion on EM counterparts that THESEUS will be able to detect independently from an external trigger (Survey mode) with the most promising multi-messenger neutrino sources (Section 4). EM counterparts detectable by THESEUS in response to external triggers are discussed in Section 5 while we draw our conclusions in Section 6.

2 Electromagnetic counterparts from NS-NS and NS-BH mergers

NS-NS and NS-BH mergers are among the most promising high-frequency GW sources (for ground-based interferometers) from which we expect a variety of detectable EM counterparts. From short GRBs to other X-ray and IR signals accompanying these merger events, we discuss here the main EM counterparts that THESEUS will be able to detect.
2.1 Short gamma-ray bursts

The NS-NS merger detected with LIGO and Virgo on August 17, 2017 (GW170817) and its associated short GRB 170817A was the first direct evidence of the progenitor of a short GRB as a compact binary merger system (12, 15, 26, 30, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46) (see, e.g., (47) for a review), which confirmed several indirect pieces of evidence collected in the last decade (e.g., (48, 49)). The afterglow properties of GRB 170817A also confirmed the formation of a relativistic, narrow jet (half-opening angle of about 2 – 4 deg (45, 46)) after the NS-NS merger, a result that theoretical studies and MHD simulations could not fully predict. It was also the first short GRB viewed from outside the core of the jet (i.e. the cone with very high Lorentz factor), as demonstrated by the rising and then slowly decaying afterglow. The viewing angle was estimated to be around 15−30 deg away from the direction of propagation of the highly relativistic jet core (45, 46). Such a lateral view, allowed to identify the observed gamma-ray emission as directly originating from the mildly relativistic cocoon that formed around the jet core via the interaction of the incipient jet itself with the surrounding material ejected during and after the NS-NS merger. Figure 2 depicts our current understanding of NS-NS merger emitting regions, as gathered from the single multi-messenger observation of the August 2017 event.

The above results clearly show how the detection of short GRBs is of crucial relevance for multi-messenger astrophysics and underline the fundamental role of THESEUS in ensuring short GRB observations during the 2030s, when the current and future space missions as Fermi, Swift, or SVOM are not guaranteed and, at the same time, both 2G and 3G GW interferometers are expected to be operational.

During its nominal mission lifetime, THESEUS/XGIS and SXI are expected to detect and accurately (< 15') localize ~ 40 short GRBs (± 12/yr assuming 3.45 years of scientific observations) inside their imaging field of view, plus numerous short GRBs at higher energies (> 150 keV) with coarse or no sky localization. These numbers are obtained from simulations of a realistic observational sequence of THESEUS, considering all observational constraints, in response to a random set of short GRB triggers based on the population model of (31). Such a population model is built on short GRBs observed before GRB 170817A with Swift and Fermi, for which the line of sight falls inside the narrow core of the corresponding jet (i.e. “aligned”) (4). Figure 3 (left panel) shows the redshift distribution of these short GRBs (blue line). Joint short GRB+GW detections are also shown, obtained by considering, at each redshift, the GW detection efficiency for NS-NS mergers. In these computations, three scenarios for the 3G GW interferometers have been considered: 1) ET alone, 2) ET plus one CE (in USA), 3) ET plus two CEs (one in USA and one in Australia). The expected numbers of short GRBs detected and localized with THESEUS and detected also by 2G and 3G interferometers are summarized in Table 1. These conservative numbers are robust and based on the Mission Observation Simulator (MOS) results and state-of-the-art NS-NS merger simulations for the GW detection efficiency estimates.

By considering the possibility to observe short GRBs also outside the solid angle of the narrow jet core, the number of potential detections can sensibly increase. Indeed, the misaligned view of GRB 170817A enabled us for the first time to quantify how the high-energy prompt emission becomes gradually softer and less energetic as the viewing angle increases (with respect to the jet axis). As a result, it has been possible to estimate, for events similar to GRB 170817A, the maximum viewing angle at which a given instrument could detect the prompt emission depending on

---

*3 For more details, see the THESEUS Assessment Study Report [https://sci.esa.int/s/8Zb0RB8](https://sci.esa.int/s/8Zb0RB8).
*4 We note that other population models for aligned short GRBs exist in the literature (e.g., (52)).
distance (see Figure [4][5]. Based on such an estimate, the unique capabilities of THESEUS offer excellent prospects for detecting the prompt emission from misaligned short GRBs within the relatively small distance reach of GW detectors. In particular, for NS-NS mergers detected by 2G interferometer network, the GRB 170817A-like prompt emission would be observable up to 10 – 30 deg, depending on the energy band (Figure [4]), corresponding to a detection rate increased by almost a factor of 50 with respect to the result for aligned events only (Table [1]). At the typical distance reached by a 3G detector such as ET, the prompt emission would still be observable by THESEUS up to order ~ 10 deg, more than doubling the joint detection rate (Table [1]).

Building statistically relevant samples of short GRBs for which coincident GW observations will be available (Table [1], which is a unique capability of THESEUS, will allow for unprecedented investigations on the nature of compact binary mergers. Fundamental open questions on the nature of CBC sources and short GRB central engines that THESEUS will allow us to solve in synergy with the next generation GW interferometers include the following:

- **How frequent is relativistic jet formation in NS-NS and NS-BH mergers?** THESEUS will allow for the detection of at least a few to about 10 or more short GRBs associated with GW-detected NS-NS/NS-BH mergers. The association of a short GRB with NS-NS/NS-BH mergers unambiguously brought us the proof of the formation of a relativistic jet. Along with detections, THESEUS will also allow for confident non-detections in case of face-on mergers without a short GRB (based on the binary system inclination extracted via the GW signal).

- **What is the jet launching mechanism in NS-NS/NS-BH mergers?** The time delay between the GW merger epoch and the GRB peak flux is a powerful diagnostic indicator for the jet launching mechanism (e.g., [12,55 56,67]). which is still a matter of debate (e.g., [58,59,60 61,62]). The significant number of short GRBs observed by THESEUS in synergy with GW detectors will allow us to uniquely characterize this important parameter and highlight differences between NS-NS and NS-BH systems.
– What is the nature of the short GRB central engine and the origin of the still unexplained extra-features (e.g., “Extended Emission”, “Plateaus”)?

For short GRBs detected by THESEUS, the subsequent X-ray emission will be observed via the on-board SXI and/or by communicating the accurate sky localization to X-ray telescopes such as Athena. In presence of a coincident GW detection, a combined analysis will be possible, shedding light on the nature of the merger remnant (i.e. accreting BH or massive NS; e.g., \([63,64,65,66,67]\)). This unprecedented collection of information will also unveil the origin and statistical properties of puzzling X-ray features like the Extended Emission and the X-ray plateaus (see Sections 2.1.1 and 2.1.2).

– Do jets have a universal structure and are there any systematic differences between NS-NS and NS-BH mergers? The afterglow properties of short GRBs viewed from outside the core of the jet strongly depend on the jet structure (and in particular the energy and Lorentz factor angular distribution around the jet axis). THESEUS will detect and localize down to arcmin level several misaligned short GRBs (Table 1). The afterglow profile of the brightest nearby sources will be monitored with SXI and IRT (see Section 10). Moreover, synergy with powerful facilities, such as the contemporaneous mission Athena, will allow for deep and long afterglow monitoring.

– Do jets have a universal structure and are there any systematic differences between NS-NS and NS-BH mergers? The afterglow properties of short GRBs viewed from outside the core of the jet strongly depend on the jet structure (and in particular the energy and Lorentz factor angular distribution around the jet axis). THESEUS will detect and localize down to arcmin level several misaligned short GRBs (Table 1). The afterglow profile of the brightest nearby sources will be monitored with SXI and IRT (see Section 10). Moreover, synergy with powerful facilities, such as the contemporaneous mission Athena, will allow for deep and long afterglow monitoring.

– What is the role of merging NS-NS and NS-BH systems in the chemical enrichment of the Universe? Kilonova observations provide crucial information on the r-process element formation accompanying these events, which is a fundamental open problem. Moreover, the overall contribution to the r-process element abundances relative to the one from supernovae (SNe) remains unclear. THESEUS accurate sky localization of several NS-NS/NS-BH mergers will allow for kilonova detection and characterization through the follow-up with the onboard NIR telescope and/or through ground-based follow-up campaigns (see Section 11).

![Figure 4: Maximum distance/redshift for detecting with THESEUS the prompt emission of a short GRB like 170817A versus the viewing angle, depending on the energy band (red, violet, and blue lines/stripes). Calculations are based on [54] and employ a series of simplifying assumptions.](image)

Besides the short GRB prompt emission, other EM signals directly related to short GRBs are expected to be detected with THESEUS jointly with GW observations of CBC events. These additional EM counterparts are described in the following Sections and include the well-known jet afterglows as well as the so-called “Extended Emission” and “X-ray Plateaus” often observed in short GRB events, whose origin is still matter of debate. Extended Emission and X-ray Plateaus, never detected without the prompt short GRB emission, are of particular interest as they (i) might be significantly less collimated with respect to the latter and as such observable from a larger fraction of GW events, and (ii) could provide fundamental clues on the nature of the post-merger remnant.

### 2.1.1 Extended Emission

A fraction of short GRBs, immediately after the hard spike, shows a softer and prolonged emission (“Extended Emission”, hereafter EE) lasting a few tens up to hundreds of seconds [68]. Past attempts to quantify the fraction of short GRB with EE led to a wide range of values that goes from 2% up to 25%, depending also on the sensitivity band of the gamma-ray detector used for the classification [68,69,70].

A recent systematic analysis of Swift XRT and BAT data of a sample of 65 short GRBs (6 times larger than past studies, [71]) suggests the presence of a severe bias against the lack of an X-ray view of the prompt emission, with a true fraction of short GRBs accompanied by EE of more than 75%.

A prototype of short GRBs with EE is GRB 050724 at \(z = 0.26\) (Figure 5). Simulations of this burst show that THESEUS could have clearly detected both the main hard
spike and the EE component with XGIS as well as characterized its spectrum. Further simulations over a sample of 8 short GRBs with EE at known redshift [70] show that EE can be detected up to $z \simeq 2$ and in some cases the detection significance of the EE component with XGIS is even higher than the detection significance of the main hard spike.

The physical interpretation of the EE is still unclear. The long EE duration (order 10 – 100 s) challenges the leading scenario envisaging an accreting BH as the short GRB central engine and supports the formation of a long-lived spinning down massive NS remnant (e.g., [74]; see also Figure 6). In this alternative scenario, the EE is expected to be much less collimated with respect to the main spike. For this reason, the EE can also represent a possible “short GRB-less” EM counterpart of NS-NS mergers, which can in turn further boost the number of EM counterparts of GW sources that THESEUS will be able to catch. As an illustrative example, Figure 7 shows the expected number of EE signals that THESEUS can detect in 1 year in combination with ET GW detections. This number depends on the two still uncertain parameters, namely the fraction of short GRBs with EE and the characteristic opening angle of the EE. For instance, by assuming a fraction of short GRBs with EE of 50% and an EE half-opening angle four times larger than the main hard spike (assuming a jet half-opening angle of a few degrees, this would correspond to $10-15$ deg), THESEUS would detect about 45 EE signals with a GW counterpart observed by ET. The largest fraction of these events will be “short GRB-less”, thus adding to the overall number of multi-messenger detections enabled by THESEUS.

2.1.2 X-ray plateaus

The soft X-ray afterglow lightcurve of GRBs is often characterized by an initial steep decay, followed by a rather shallow decay phase (so-called “plateau” phase) which can extend up to several thousands of seconds. According to over 15 years of observations by Swift, a large fraction of all short GRBs ($\approx 50\%$) may be accompanied by an X-ray plateau. A common interpretation for the X-ray plateaus is based on an external shock emission sustained by energy injection from an active central engine that can either be an accreting BH or a highly magnetized NS (see also Section 2.2.1). In the latter case, the plateau emission can be poorly collimated or even nearly isotropic (e.g., [75, 76]). According to an alternative interpretation, both the steep decay and the plateau are instead due to high-latitude emission (HLE) produced from a structured jet whose energy and bulk Lorentz factor gradually decrease with the angular distance from the jet symmetry axis (e.g., [77, 78]). This model predicts an X-ray emission that becomes fainter at larger polar angles and thus detectable, for a given distance, up to a maximum angle (see Figure 2).

Figure 8 shows the X-ray flux range spanned by the best observed plateaus associated with (aligned) short GRBs with redshift measurements, where the latter allows to rescale the flux itself with distance. A comparison with the sensitivity of the THESEUS SXI shows that such an instrument is perfectly suitable to catch this emission and, assuming an exposure of 1 ks, would allow us to detect about 90% (30%) of all X-ray plateaus up to 330 Mpc (2.9 Gpc), which is the typical 2G (ET) GW detection distance for a randomly oriented NS-NS merger. For GRB 170817A, the X-ray plateau lightcurve predicted by the HLE modelling is consistent with the non-detection by, e.g., Swift and MAXI. At the same time, the
sensitivity of THESEUS/SXI, combined with the ability of THESEUS to trigger the burst and rapidly localize it, would have allowed for an early and confident detection.

So far, it has not been possible to disentangle the different interpretations of X-ray plateaus outlined above (and others; e.g., [79]–[80], as the predicted flux evolution can in any case fairly well reproduce the events observed with Swift/XRT (e.g., [61]–[83], [77]). THESEUS will give us the opportunity to collect a statistically significant sample of plateau detections in synergy with GW observations and thus to constrain the emission model (possibly aided by the identification of the remnant nature, i.e., BH vs. NS, via the post-merger GW signal). In this respect, also the number of “orphan” X-ray plateaus detected (i.e. without a prompt short GRB detection) will be revealing.

2.1.3 Jet afterglows with SXI and IRT

The propagation of a GRB jet in the interstellar medium is known to produce a multi-wavelength afterglow signal, from X-rays to radio, via synchrotron emission at the forward shock [84,85]. GRB 170817A was the first short GRB viewed with line of sight significantly misaligned with respect to the jet axis and the properties of the observed afterglow radiation offered a unique chance to probe the angular jet profile. Taking this event as a reference, we can estimate the maximum distance at which the afterglow signal is above the detection sensitivity for the IRT and SXI instruments onboard THESEUS, depending on the viewing angle with respect to the jet propagation axis. In Figure 10 we show the result based on the power-law angular jet structure that best fits the observations according to [46,86] (see also [87,88,54]). A GRB 170817A-like afterglow signal at 40 Mpc could be detected with SXI up to an inclination of \(\sim 10\) deg, with a peak emission time between a few hours and 1 day, and with IRT up to \(\sim 20\) deg, with peak emission time around 2 days. Going to a larger distance of 500 Mpc (nearly the maximum distance reach of 2G GW detectors for NS-NS mergers), SXI and IRT could detect a GRB 170817A-like afterglow signal respectively up to \(\sim 4.5\) deg and \(\sim 10\) deg.

2.2 Other CBC counterparts of interest for THESEUS

2.2.1 Spin Down Powered Transients

A potentially powerful nearly-isotropic emission is expected if a NS-NS merger produces a long-lived highly magnetized NS that does not collapse to a BH for as long as minutes, hours, or more (see Figure 6). Soft X-ray to optical transients can be powered by the NS EM spin-down emission reprocessed by the baryon-polluted environment surrounding the merger site (e.g., [59]–[90], [75,76]). In soft X-rays, such spin-down powered transients (SDPTs) can last for a timescale of minutes to days and their expected luminosities are up to \(10^{46} - 10^{48}\) erg/s [75,76], which would be reachable by SXI up to 0.9 – 9 Gpc with 1ks exposures.

One or more unambiguous detections of this type of emission after a NS-NS merger would indicate that the remnant is long-lived, allowing us to achieve significant constraints on the NS equation of state and other key properties of the remnant itself (e.g., [93]). Moreover, it would provide crucial information to estimate the currently unknown fraction of mergers forming a long-lived NS remnant. Finally, it would clarify the possible connection with the extended emission...
and/or the X-ray plateaus of short GRBs. In the case of GW170817/GRB 170817A, no evidence for a SDPT was found in the soft X-ray band. However, the first deep pointed observations at $\sim$keV photon energies only started as late as $\sim 15$ h after merger with Swift/XRT [24], and the earlier constraints provided by MAXI 4.6 h after merger with a flux limit of $8.6 \times 10^{-5}$ erg/(cm$^2$ s) [95] were not able to exclude a SDPT. The combination of the THESEUS/SXI sensitivity at keV energies and its field of view about $10^4$ times larger than Swift/XRT will offer much better prospects for a detection within minutes/hours after a GW trigger.

### 2.2.2 Kilonovae with IRT

Neutron-rich matter released from NS-NS/NS-BH mergers undergoes rapid neutron capture (r-process) nucleosynthesis, leading to the formation of very heavy elements such as gold and platinum. This scenario likely provides a significant (if not dominant, compared to SNe) contribution to the observed abundances of rare heavy elements in the Universe. Radioactive decay of the newly-formed and unstable nuclei powers a rapidly evolving, nearly isotropic thermal transient within minutes/hours after a GW trigger via galaxy targeting inside the GW plausible sky area [13] and refs. therein.

The first robust observation of a kilonova, following a few candidates (e.g., [48,97], was the optical and infrared counterpart of GW170817 (e.g., [98,99,100,101,102], see also [96] and refs. therein), named AT2017gfo, discovered about 11 hours after the GW/GRB trigger via galaxy targeting inside the GW plausible sky area [13] and refs. therein.

During the next decade, we may observe other kilonovae associated with nearby NS-NS and perhaps NS-BH mergers as well as kilonovae without GW counterparts. Then, in the 2030s, the IRT onboard THESEUS will also contribute to the search and localization of kilonova signals, in particular if associated with a detectable aligned or misaligned short GRB. As shown in Figure [11], the IRT can detect the full SED (Spectral Energy Distribution) of a kilonova like AT2017gfo up to 320 Mpc (180 Mpc) with 600 s (60 s) of exposure, within one day from the merger epoch. At later times, the kilonova will be fading away, but IRT will still be capable to detect the source in each filter thus allowing to build spectral energy distribution up to 180 Mpc within a few days after the trigger. For nearby sources (< 40 Mpc), near-IR spectra can also be obtained.

### 2.3 CBC redshifts and prospects for $H_0$ measurement

In the last years, two main measurements of the Hubble constant $H_0$, obtained from Planck observations of the CMB and from the SNIa distance ladder, have come into significant tension with a steadily growing discrepancy, currently at more than 4 sigma level (e.g., [104]). An independent, new measurement of $H_0$ would be of utmost importance in order to understand if the current discrepancy is due to possible systematics or is the sign of a cosmological crisis that requires new paradigms. The luminosity distance from the detection of GWs from CBCs and the measurement of their redshift through their EM counterpart has already proven to be a potential alternative probe for $H_0$ with the example case of GW170817 [105].
To solve the current tension, however, a precision level of the order of 1% must be reached. In this context, THESEUS observations of a large number of short GRBs in synergy with 3G interferometers represent a unique opportunity. Simulations of NS-NS mergers observed with the 3G network along with an instrument like THESEUS/XGIS have been performed by [106]. Their results predict a number of joint detections of $130-300$ in $10$ years, from which $H_0$ could be measured with a precision of $0.2-0.4\%$ by assuming that a redshift can be measured for all events via either optical or X-ray spectroscopy: with this assumption, Figure 12 shows that, by rescaling these precision levels as $1/\sqrt{N}$, the goal of $\Delta H_0/H_0 \sim 1\%$ can be reached with $N \sim 15$ events jointly observed with the 3G network (ET+2CEs) and $N \sim 25$ events jointly observed with ET only (the lower number of events providing $\Delta H_0/H_0 \sim 1\%$ with the ET+2CEs network with respect to ET only is due to the better parameter estimation with the former network). THESEUS can reach these detection numbers in 1-2 years of operations in synergy with 3G GW detectors (see Tab. 4).

However, as we learned from past observations, the redshift cannot be measured for all short GRBs due to host galaxy identification challenges. This will likely not affect the $\sim 25\%$ of THESEUS short GRBs detected with IRT since their sky localization to arcsec accuracy will enable unambiguous identification of the host galaxy and redshift measurement (in the vast majority of cases). For the remaining $\sim 75\%$ without IRT detection, the large number of galaxies contained in the XGIS or SXI error boxes for almost all short GRBs (i.e. at distances $> 50 - 100\ Mpc$) severely challenges the identification of the host galaxy if no transient optical afterglow is detected. In order to quantify the chances to perform successful ground-based afterglow follow-up, we generated 1000 optical aligned and misaligned afterglow synthetic light curves assuming GRBs with equivalent isotropic radiated energy $> 10^{51}$ erg and mean value $\sim 2 \times 10^{51}$ erg and then compared with the magnitude limits of different telescopes that may operate in the era of THESEUS (in particular, we considered here LSST/VRO, the Liverpool Telescope, and GTC/OSIRIS). Results show that, for short GRBs observed with viewing angle between 0 and 10 degrees with respect to the jet axis and with no IRT detection, $\sim 50\%$ will have a detectable optical afterglow (that unambiguously pinpoints the host galaxy) by providing a ground-based telescope follow-up reaction time of a few hours. With the same assumptions, $\sim 13\%$ of short GRB observed with viewing angle between 10 and 30 degrees with respect to the jet axis will have a detected optical afterglow. By taking into account these results, $H_0$ should be measured with $\sim 1\%$ accuracy (at 1 sigma) with 1 yr of synergy with the ET+2CEs network and 3.45 yr with ET (Figure 12).

Fig. 10 Maximum redshift/distance vs. inclination for a THESEUS detection in the H-band (circles) and at 1 keV (X-rays) with IRT and SXI, respectively, for jet afterglow radiation assuming the GRB 170817A power-law jet structure from [46][86]. Color-coded is the peak time. Calculations are based on [67][88].

3 Other GW sources

3.1 Core-collapse of massive stars

Beside CBCs, core-collapse supernovae (CCSNe) represent another type of GW sources that are of great interest for the astrophysics community. However, contrary to the CBC

\footnotesize{Using the python module afterglowpy [107] that however does not take into account possible “rebrightenings” observed in several optical afterglows, the origin of which is not yet fully understood (e.g. [108]). Therefore, provided estimates are conservative.}
Similarly to short GRBs (Section 2.1), nearby events will be perfectly suited to catch them. The most relevant EM signals expected in association with a newly-born millisecond NS along with EM counterparts of CCSNe events to be limited within maximum distances that vary, depending on models, from tens of kpc up to a few Mpc (e.g., [9] and refs. therein; see also [119]). 3G detectors, with their ~10 times larger sensitivity, will lead to a corresponding extension of the expected horizon and open new prospects for discoveries.

The detection of the GW signal from a CCSN and/or a newly-born millisecond NS along with EM counterparts would represent a breakthrough discovery for NS physics. The most relevant EM signals expected in association with such events are those temporally coincident or nearly coincident with the GW burst epoch, since their detection can mark with more precision the start time of the GW emission and this would prove extremely helpful, if not crucial, for the challenging signal search process. Such EM signals are primarily high-energy transients and THESEUS will be perfectly suited to catch them.

In particular, long GRBs are known to be associated with highly energetic CCSNe, and therefore nearby long GRBs may have a detectable GW counterpart. In this case, the full power of THESEUS as a GRB detector can be exploited. Similarly to short GRBs (Section 2.1), nearby events will be observable up to a certain viewing angle with respect to the GRB jet axis, via the prompt and afterglow emission and possibly also via the extended emission and/or an X-ray plateau. Low Luminosity GRBs (LLGRBs; e.g., [120][121] and X-ray Flashes (XRFs; e.g., [122]) populating the nearby Universe, if associated with CCSNe detectable in GWs, are also very promising as they are expected to be more numerous than ordinary long GRBs and their softer emission makes them ideal targets for THESEUS. In addition, for those CCSNe giving birth to highly magnetized NSs, SDPTs observable by THESEUS/SXI may be produced (as for the highly magnetized NS remnants resulting from NS-NS mergers; see Section 2.2.1). Finally, shock breakout signals associated with SNIbc and SNII explosions are expected to follow closely the core-collapse (within ~10–1000 s), appearing as bright X-ray bursts lasting for seconds to tens of minutes and having luminosities in the range \(10^{43} – 10^{46} \text{erg/s}\) (e.g., [124]). THESEUS/SXI and XGIS can detect such shock breakout signals up to about 50 Mpc, leading to an estimated rate of the order of one event per year.

Another particular class of GRBs potentially associated with CCSNe and their GW emission are the so called “ultra-long GRBs”, having a prompt emission lasting for tens of minutes up to several hours (e.g., [125]). So far, only a small fraction (~1%) of GRBs have been identified as ultra-long GRBs, which could be due to an intrinsic low rate but also to their lower luminosity (see also [126]). A larger accreting mass with respect to ordinary long GRBs has been invoked to explain the exceptional durations, suggesting blue supergiants as well as Pop III stars as possible progenitors (e.g., [127]). Another possible explanation is the long-lasting energy injection from a newly-born rapidly spinning NS. Also in this case, if a GW signal is detected from such systems, the combination with EM observations will represent a unique opportunity to identify the correct physical scenario. On the basis of ultra-long GRB average properties (see, e.g., [128]), simulation results show that THESEUS/XGIS will be able to detect these transients up to an average distance of about \(z \sim 1\) and with THESEUS/SXI up to very large distances (\(z \sim 3\) or more). At the expected (much smaller) distances for a joint GW detection, THESEUS will thus be able to catch ultra-long GRBs even for rather large viewing angles.

3.2 Magnetars

A different source of high-frequency GWs can originate from the bursting activity of highly magnetised isolated NSs or magnetars, which are known to manifest themselves as soft gamma repeaters (SGRs) or anomalous X-ray pulsars (AXPs) (e.g., [129][130]). Such bursting activity is likely associated with

---

\[^8\] See, e.g., [123] for an alternative interpretation of XRFs.
High Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECRs) as well. Hence, it is of paramount importance to address the question of the origin of the high-energy neutrinos, as they can probe the most extreme accelerators in the Universe.

Within our Galaxy, no sources are known to date that can achieve EeV energies, except for the cosmic rays possibly interacting with dense proton targets in the Galactic disk. However, these are likely not the major contributors of the diffuse neutrino flux observed \cite{143}. On the other hand, many classes of extra-galactic sources are considered plausible candidates, as their energetics can explain neutrino observations (e.g., \cite{144}). Among these, particularly relevant are GRBs, AGNs, and star forming galaxies, which represent targets for THESEUS. The joint detection of a large number of neutrino and EM emission sources, feasible only during the 2030s with next generation neutrino detectors, will allow us to answer long-standing questions on the acceleration mechanisms inside these systems, on which (hadronic vs. leptonic) processes characterize the photon and neutrino production, and on the role of different type of sources in producing the observed diffuse neutrino flux.

While AGNs are thought to produce the largest fraction of such neutrinos, another, smaller fraction of diffuse neutrino emission is expected to originate from SNe in starburst galaxies that are expected to behave as calorimeters \cite{145}. Starburst galaxies have typically masses $M^* < 10^9 M_\odot$ and obey the relation between $M^*$ and the galaxy K-band luminosity ($L_K$) that is $L_K/(M^*/M_\odot) < -0.3$ \cite{147,148}, which is valid also for low-mass star-forming galaxies. This implies an absolute magnitude $M_K > -21.3$. With a H-band limit $\sim 21$ (AB) and assuming a negligible H-K color, THESEUS/IRT could observe such galaxies up to $z \sim 0.6$.

An additional, still very uncertain fraction of neutrino diffuse emission can originate from GRBs. If during the GRB prompt phase a non-negligible fraction of baryons is accelerated at internal shocks \cite{149}, neutrinos are likely to be produced in proton-photon interactions, given the intense radiation field of the jet. So far, no neutrino event has been detected in correlation with a GRB \cite{150,151}, indicating a limited neutrino production in the most powerful sources \cite{152} and strengthening the case for extending this investigation to fainter sources. For this reason, LLGRBs may be better candidates than bright GRBs to account for the IceCube diffuse neutrino flux, although likely not dominant \cite{153,154}. The sensitivity and extended energy bandpass of THESEUS are fundamental to probe the poorly-sampled fraction of intrinsically soft LLGRBs (see also Section 3.1). In short GRBs, proton-photon collisions in the post-merger accretion disk are also expected to take place and contribute to the neutrino emission. As for long GRBs, no neutrino event has been detected so far in coincidence with a short GRB \cite{150,151,152}. Recent studies have suggested that high-

\begin{itemize}
\item \textbf{Fig. 12} Hubble constant 1 sigma precision level as a function of the number of compact binary mergers for which the redshift and the luminosity distance can be measured from the electromagnetic and gravitational wave emission, respectively. In this plot, the electromagnetic counterpart comes from aligned and misaligned short GRBs (see Table 1 for which redshift can be measured. These numbers are conservative estimates from afterglow simulations performed with “afterglowpy” (see text).
\end{itemize}

with dramatic magnetic field readjustments possibly causing fractures of the solid crust on their surface. Of particular interest are the rare “giant flares” already observed from three different soft gamma repeaters (e.g., \cite{131,129,130} and refs. therein; see also \cite{132}), which inevitably excite strong non-radial oscillation modes that may produce detectable GWs (e.g., \cite{133,134,135,136}). At the typical dominant (i.e. f-mode) oscillation frequencies in NSs (~kHz), ET and CE might be sensitive to relatively close giant flare events (see also \cite{137,138,139}).

In terms of EM observations, magnetar bursts are commonly detected in the X-ray and soft gamma-ray bands (e.g., \cite{129,130}). The initial short (<0.5 s) bright spikes of giant flares can be detected with THESEUS/XGIS to considerable distances, favoured by its low energy threshold with respect to other coded-mask detectors. The following bursting activity is instead easily detectable with SXI.

\section{4 Neutrino sources}

High-energy neutrinos provide unique signatures of the presence of accelerated hadrons at the source. Emerging from hadronic collisions with characteristic energies 20 times smaller than the energy of the accelerated protons, the properties of the neutrino events detected so far point towards cosmic objects capable of producing energies as high as EeV. These sources are possibly responsible for the flux of Ultra

\footnote{Notably, a millisecond-duration radio burst was recently observed from a Galactic magnetar \cite{140,141} along with a high-energy counterpart \cite{142}, strengthening the putative link between magnetar flares and Fast Radio Bursts.}
energy neutrinos can be efficiently produced during the Extended Emission phase of short GRBs \cite{150,151}, a target that THESEUS/XGIS will detect up to large distances (see also Section 2.1.1).

5 External triggers

In addition to the major contribution of THESEUS in multi-messenger astronomy in standard survey mode, enabled by its capability to cover large portions of the sky and independently discover the EM counterparts of neutrino or gravitational wave sources, it will also be possible to activate Target of Opportunity (ToO) observations pointing in the direction of a given GW or neutrino trigger event. Since the localization of GW and neutrino events can be of the order of a few square degrees or even worse, ToOs with THESEUS will also exploit the large sky coverage of XGIS and SXI to identify the EM counterparts. At the same time, THESEUS capabilities to localize these sources down to a few arcminutes will be fundamental to activate further observations via dedicated follow-up campaigns with optical and radio facilities, ultimately characterizing the source and possibly identifying the host galaxy.

While the nominal mission requirement for THESEUS corresponds to a pointing time within about 12 hours since the alert from neutrino or GW detectors, a realistic goal is to follow-up within 4 hours. With such premises, there are a number of potential target signals. In the context of NS-NS/NS-BH mergers, late time X-ray emission that could be observed by THESEUS a few hours after the merger (i.e. the GW trigger) is predicted in various forms, including HLE from a structured short GRB jet and, for NS-NS mergers only, SPDT from a long-lived highly magnetized NS remnant (see Sections 2.1.2 and 2.2.1). Moreover, short GRB jet afterglows in both X-rays and NIR might have peak times significantly delayed with respect to the initial burst (Section 10) and thus be observable in ToO mode by SXI and IRT. Finally, thermal kilonova NIR transients are expected to peak on timescales of days to weeks (Section 11) and could therefore be observed with IRT provided that a good localization (of the order of arcminutes) is previously obtained via an optical/IR detection. Observations of CCSNe triggered by a GW precursor represent another possible ToO application, aimed at catching, e.g., shock-breakout X-ray signals (in events like SN 2008D the time-delay can be of several hours).

THESEUS ToO observations of neutrino events will be crucial to enhance the confidence in establishing their cosmic origin and to provide a complete phenomenological picture of the corresponding sources and underlying neutrino production mechanisms. Compatible with the THESEUS reaction timescales are, for instance, the flaring activity of AGNs with time-scales of hours/days or NIR observations of star-bursting galaxies within neutrino sky localization region (for well localized events only, i.e. < 1 deg$^2$) (see also Section 4).

6 Conclusions

Multi-messenger observations of GW and neutrino sources have led to a number of breakthrough discoveries in the last few years. The cases of the short GRB 170817A and the blazar TXS0506+056 proved that among the most promising EM counterparts of these sources, X-ray and gamma-ray transient signals play a central role. Therefore, high-energy transient sky surveys will certainly be of the utmost importance for the future of multi-messenger astrophysics.

Thanks to its unique capabilities, THESEUS will independently detect and characterize the main EM counterparts of multi-messenger sources in an era in which next generation neutrino and GW facilities will ensure much higher detection rates than today. Events like short GRBs, long GRBs and low-luminosity GRBs, AGNs and blazars, as well as X-ray emission from bursting (e.g., SGRs) or spinning-down NSs all represent ideal targets for THESEUS. Moreover, this mission will disseminate alerts of newly discovered multi-messenger sources with accurate sky localisation, which will be crucial to allow coeval narrow field facilities to perform deep follow-up observations.

Given the design sensitivities of next generation GW detectors (such as ET and CE) and neutrino detectors (such as IceCube-Gen2 and KM3NeT), as well as those of several future radio/optical/X-ray/gamma-ray facilities such as SKA, ELT, CTA, and Athena (among others), the 2030s will be a golden era of multi-messenger astrophysics. The expected launch epoch of THESEUS (early 2030s) and its performances make this mission timely and perfectly suited to face the future challenges posed by the multi-messenger investigation of the transient Universe, offering excellent prospects for a major contribution in the field.
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