The economic growth in the agriculture sector contributes to the income of the Bangka Belitung Island Province. In the few decades, pepper production in Indonesia retains its position as one of the biggest producers in the world. However, pepper production in the province goes down. Consequently, the income of pepper farmers reduces. Understanding the farmers’ demographic and their perception of the technology acceptance model will help policymakers provide the best empowerment program and agricultural support program to uplift their welfare. The study aims to understand the socio-economic profile and the perception of pepper farmers towards the technology acceptance model using information technology in pepper farming activity. The data collection process was conducted from August to November 2019. Structured questionnaires and face-to-face data collection methods were applied to earn the primary data from 100 pepper smallholders in Bangka Tengah and Bangka Selatan, Bangka Belitung Island Province. Descriptive analysis was used to identify the respondents’ profiles and explain their skills and awareness to adopt information technology in their farming activity. The study revealed that most of the pepper farmers were in the average age of 45 years old, held elementary school level, received income of about 5.7 million, was experienced farmers with 20 years of pepper farming activity, and had about 2.1 – 5 ha of land area. The majority of the pepper smallholders offered low scores to the proportion of perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use. The results benefit the policymaker and farmer in providing a better farming activity and offering the best program to empower pepper farmers.
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**INTRODUCTION**

In the last three decades, the average of pepper production in Indonesia remains the top producer globally, counted 67,725 ton indicating the different 8,223 ton with the second-highest pepper production in the world, Vietnam (Nuryati & Noviati, 2015). Even though Indonesia, on average, maintains the position as the top producer globally, starting from 2004, the pepper production of Vietnam has taken over Indonesia’s pepper production counted 95,420 tons and 77,008 tons, respectively (FAO, 2019). It leads to the condition that Vietnam becomes the world’s leading exporter (Kementan, 2015).

The study of the socio-economic profile of pepper farmers in Indonesia is relatively insufficient. Most of the tasks which research in Indonesia attempt to identify the socio-economic profile of oil palm farmers (Laure, 2010; Bahtera, 2016), food crop farmers (Andri, 2014), rice farmers (Wulandari, 2013), horticulture farmers (Naibaho, Fauzia, & Emalisa, 2012) even at overseas, i.e., in India about potato farmers (Rana et al., 2014), and chili farmers (Biradar & Chandrgi, 2013). The new business model currently shows how customers are more open to demand their needs and become the decision-maker on their ideal product (Peszko, 2015). It makes customers more aware of the received benefit from the source of the new business model, offering accessible and reliable information about their product. To attract potential buyers to buy a particular product, the producers require adapting their marketing practices with the new business model. As the grand theory, the...
technology acceptance model is used in this study to know producers’ readiness in using the technology. Perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use are two variables that identify the attitude of technology use (Davis, 1986). Below is the figure that shows those variables mentioned.

Understanding the pepper Farmers' socio-economic profile is vital as it can be used as the information for policymaker on the strategy of disseminating the use of information technology on the marketing practices. Thus, the study's objective is to identify the socio-economic profile of pepper Farmers and the perceived benefit and perceived usefulness towards their attitude on the use of information technology in their marketing practices.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted from August to November 2019. Data and information were obtained from 100 Pepper Farmers in Central and South Bangka. A structured questionnaire was designed by completing the face-to-face data collection method. The questionnaire consisted of questions asking the socio-economic profile such as age, education, income, years of farming, and farming size. The survey also asked about the perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and attitude of pepper Farmers towards using information technology in their marketing practices. The trained enumerators facilitated the respondents in answering the five-point Likert-scale questions ranging from strongly disagree to agree strongly. Descriptive analysis was applied to know the pepper farmer's socio-economic profile and describe their abilities and awareness to adapt the information technology in their farming activity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Socio-Economic Profile of Respondent

| Variable               | Frequency | Percentage | Mean | SD  |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|------|-----|
| **Age**                |           |            | 46   | 12.1|
| 25-35                  | 21        | 21         |      |     |
| 36-45                  | 33        | 33         |      |     |
| 46-55                  | 28        | 28         |      |     |
| 56-65                  | 13        | 13         |      |     |
| >65                    | 5         | 5          |      |     |
| **Education**          |           |            |      |     |
| Non-Formal Education   | 11        | 11         |      |     |
| Elementary School      | 47        | 47         |      |     |
| Junior High School     | 16        | 16         |      |     |
| Senior High School     | 21        | 21         |      |     |
| Diploma                | 3         | 3          |      |     |
| Degree                 | 2         | 2          |      |     |
| **Monthly Income (IDR)** |             |    5,766,558| 7,402,356|
| <700 thousand          | 1         | 1          |      |     |
| 701 thousand – 1.4 million | 12    | 12         |      |     |
| 1.41 million – 3.5 million | 37    | 37         |      |     |
| 3.51 million – 7 million | 28    | 28         |      |     |
| >7million              | 22        | 22         |      |     |
| **Years of Farming**   |           |            | 20.5 | 13.2|
| 1-10                   | 29        | 29         |      |     |
| 11-20                  | 35        | 35         |      |     |
| 21-30                  | 21        | 21         |      |     |
| 31-40                  | 7         | 7          |      |     |
| 41-50                  | 5         | 5          |      |     |
| >51                    | 3         | 3          |      |     |
| **Farming Size (ha)**  |           |            |      |     |
| <2                     | 24        | 24         |      |     |
| 2.1 – 5                | 59        | 59         |      |     |
| 5.1 – 10               | 17        | 17         |      |     |
Table 1 showed that 25 – 73 years old was the range of the pepper Farmers’ age while 46 years old was the mean age with a standard deviation of 12.1 years old. 33 % of respondents were between 36 and 45 years old, 28 % between 46 and 55 years old, and 21 % between 25 and 35. It explained that most farmers were around the productivity age, which was around 40s years old (O’Connell, 2013, Bahtera, 2016).

In terms of educational background, the majority of respondents held only elementary school education (47 %), only 2 % and 3 % have a university degree and a diploma degree respectively, 11 % had non-formal education, 16 % received junior high school education, and 21 % achieved senior high school education. It described that most of the pepper Farmers had a low level of education. It was also similar condition with the livestock smallholder in Bangka Belitung Island Province (Yulia, Bahtera, Herdiyanti, & Hayati, 2020).

On average, the household’s monthly income was counted at IDR5,766,558 with a standard deviation of IDR7,402,356. About one-third of respondents acquired between 1.41 million and 3.5 million Rupiah per month, and almost one-fourth of them received monthly income at more than IDR7 million. Only 1 % and 12 % of respondents gained income per month less than 700 thousand Rupiah and 701 thousand – 1.4 million Rupiah, respectively. It indicated that most respondents lived in the lower-middle-class poverty line based on World Bank’s definition. The smallholder's skills in entrepreneurship were required to eradicate poverty on pepper farmers (Astuti, Bahtera, & Atmaja, 2019, 2020).

35 % of respondents were relatively experienced farmers who had spent 11 – 20 years in the pepper commodity, 29 % and 21 % stated 1 – 10 years and 21 – 30 years, respectively. These data suggested that most of the pepper Farmers were upper intermediate level in pepper farming. Furthermore, in terms of farming size, the majority of pepper Farmers (59%) had 2.1 – 5 ha, close to one-fourth of the respondents (24 %) had less than 2 ha, and the rest of them (17 %) owned 5.1 – 10 ha. Therefore, it indicated that most of the respondents had a small amount of farming area.

Table 2 illustrated the perception and attitude of 100 farmers towards information technology use in marketing practices. There were three variables provided in Table 2, which were: perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and attitude towards using. Each variable showed a similar pattern that the most dominant level was low, followed by moderate and high level accept perceived ease of use, which was quite similar between middle and high class, 25 and 26 respectively.

The first variable showed in Table 2 was perceived usefulness. It consisted of using the Internet in the marketing practices such as helping in providing information about pepper and weather forecasts, supporting in a business transaction, saving time, gaining more profit, and improving productivity. 55 % of respondents were at the low level, while 28 % and 17 % were at moderate and high levels, respectively. It indicated that most farmers considered that using the Internet in the marketing practices was still not familiar. It was due to most of them were at a low level of education. The smallholders tend not to use technology in marketing and farming activities (Bahtera, Evaehelda, Atmaja, Setiawan, & Irwanto, 2019). The Internet was used in the education sector to play (Budiyan & Sujarwo, 2019; Shahibi & Rusli, 2017) and not play an important role (Krismant, 2002).

The second variable figured in Table 2 was perceived ease of use. It explained the perception of farmers on the easiness of using the Internet to support agribusiness activity. Seven statements were provided on the questionnaire. The questions were about how easy the Internet was to be used by farmers in their farming activity. The statements were basically about easy learning using the
Internet, facilitating farmers in the farming activity, strong internet connection, user-friendly application on gadgets, affordable price of data packages, accessibility in purchasing data packages, and the ability to use the Internet. Table 2 illustrated that almost half of respondents were low on the perceived ease of use. At the same time, about a quarter of respondents went to moderate level (25%) and high level (26%). It explained that most respondents considered the Internet as challenging to use as the age of farmers considered the digital immigrant. The years of age can affect the adult's social life (Zhang & Kaufman, 2015), and often the elders tend to be excluded in the digital era (Van Deursen & Helsper, 2015).

CONCLUSIONS

The study's objective was to describe the socio-economic profile of the pepper smallholders and their perception towards the use of information technology in their farming activity in Bangka Tengah and Bangka Selatan. The study revealed that the average age of the smallholders was 46 years old, earned a monthly income of Rp 5,700,000, considered as experienced pepper smallholders with 20 years of experience in pepper farming activity, mostly had farmland about 2.1 – 5 ha and acquired low level of education with elementary school holder in average. The majority of the pepper smallholders classified perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and attitude towards using as skeptical about the information technology in pepper farming activity. The findings offered valuable information to the stakeholders to support more relevant and needed a program to uplift the economic situation faced by the smallholders. The local government might use the study's discovery to solve the empowerment program and agriculture support program, which could help the smallholders strengthen their position in the global trade of pepper. It suggested that the farmer group institution should be maintained to create a solid bond to support each other (Bahtera & Hayati, 2018). Participation in agriculture support programs should be applied by improving smallholders' knowledge to gain better practices (Bahtera, Arshad, Sidique, Djama, & Abu-Samah, 2016).
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