MiR-34a in Age and Tissue Related Radio-Sensitivity and Serum miR-34a as a Novel Indicator of Radiation Injury
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Abstract

MiR-34a, a direct target of p53, has shown to exert potent anti-proliferative effects. It has also been found that miR-34a can be induced by irradiation in vitro and in vivo. However, the relationship between miR-34a and radio-sensitivity, and its potential diagnostic significance in radiation biology, remain unclear. This study found that differing responses to ionizing radiation (IR) of young and adult mice were related to miR-34a. First, we found that miR-34a could be induced in many organs by radiation of both young and adult mice. However, the level of miR-34a induced by young mice was much higher when compared to adult mice. Next, we found that miR-34a played a critical role in radio-sensitivity variations of different tissues by enhancing cell apoptosis and decreasing cell viability. We also found that the induction of miR-34a by radiation was in a p53 dependent manner and that one possible downstream target of miR-34a that lead to different radio-sensitivity was the anti-apoptosis molecular Bcl-2. However, over-expression of miR-34a and knockdown of Bcl-2 could significantly enhance the radio-sensitivity of different cells while inhibition of miR-34a could protect cells from radiation injury. Finally, we concluded that miR-34a could be stable in serum after IR and serve as a novel indicator of radiation injury. Taken together, this data strongly suggests that miR-34a may be a novel indicator, mediator and target of radiation injury, radio-sensitivity and radioprotection.
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Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs, miRs) are a novel class of highly conserved, small, non-coding RNAs, about 22 to 25 nucleotides in length, that have been shown to regulate gene expression in many important pathways in many organisms [1, 2]. These RNA molecules are produced from larger transcripts that are processed by RNase III enzyme Drosha/Pasha and Dicer [3]. Studies showed that Drosha/Pasha and Dicer work se-
sequently to generate mature 20–25 nucleotide miRNAs from the hairpin precursors [1]. They function by binding to the 3’UTR of multiple target mRNAs [4–6]. The bound mRNAs resulted in a decreased number of transcripts [7]. In animal cells, miRNAs play a vital role in development, cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, and metabolism [8–10]. However, the role of miRNAs in radiation biology is unclear and only about 10 papers have been published on ionizing radiation (IR) induced miRNA [11]. Two papers attracted our attention were the change of miR-34a after IR [11, 12], and its potent anti-proliferative effects and ability to induce cell apoptosis [12–14]. It is well established that miR-34a is a direct target of p53, whose induction by DNA damage depends on p53 [11]. Besides, the role of p53 in the radio-sensitivity of different cells and tissues has been extensively studied [15–17]. It is well accepted that wild-type p53 is one of the key factors that enhanced the radio-sensitivity of many cells [16, 18] while the p53-mutated or deficient cells, especially some p53 deficient cancer cells, respond very poorly to radiation [19, 20]. However, the role of miR-34a in age and tissue related radio-sensitivity and its’ potential diagnostic significance in radiation biology, remain unclear.

The relationship between radio-sensitivity and age has been recognized for many years [21–23]. Using a single dose, Abrams found that age, body weight, and sex could influence radiation susceptibility [21]. The Sacher group and Crossill group studied the relationship between radio-sensitivity and age systematically and found that young mice have a higher radio-sensitivity than adult mice [22, 23]. Similar results were obtained by the Rotblat group [24, 25]. In 1971, Chaffey and Hellman found that differing responses to IR of murine bone marrow stem cells were related to the cell cycle [26]. However, in all of these studies the mechanism of age dependent radio-sensitivity was largely ignored and the role of miRNA in radio-sensitivity was given even less study.

In this study, we first found that miR-34a could be induced in many organs by the radiation of both young and adult mice. However, the level of miR-34a induced by young mice was much higher when compared to adult mice, which was closely correlated with the fact that young mice had a higher radio-sensitivity when compared to adult mice. Next, we found that miR-34a correlated closely with radio-sensitivity variations of different tissues in genetic normal mice. However, the level of miR-34a induced by IR seems to be in a p53 dependent manner since the level of miR-34a induced by IR in HCT116 p53−/− cells was decreased significantly when compared to HCT116 p53+/+ cells. Then, we used transfection assay to find that over-expression of miR-34a markedly suppressed cell proliferation and enhanced apoptosis both before and after radiation. Furthermore, we also found that the possible downstream target of miR-34a that led to different radio-sensitivity was Bcl-2 since the over-expression of miR-34a and the knockdown of Bcl-2 could significantly enhance the radio-sensitivity of different cells. Finally, we found that miR-34a could be detected not only in tissues, but also in mice serum, while the p53 mRNA could not be detected in mice serum after IR, which indicated miR-34a is a novel indicator of radiation injury. Taken together, our data demonstrated that miR-34a may be a novel indicator, mediator and target of radiation injury, radiosensitivity and radioprotection.

Materials and Methods

Experimental animals and grouping

Thirty young (3–4 weeks adult) and thirty adult (6–8 weeks adult) male BALB/c mice or C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Chinese academy of science (Shanghai, China). All mice were housed in a pathogen-free facility for all experiments. All animal experiments were undertaken in accordance with the National Institute of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, with the approval of the Laboratory Animal Center of Second Military Medical University, Shanghai. The approval ID for this study was 20081011. All efforts were made to minimize the number of animals used as well as their suffering.

Cell culture

Human glioblastoma-astrocytoma, epithelial-like cell line U87MG cells or Human Embryonic Kidney 293 cells HEK293 cells were obtained from the Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). HCT116 p53−/− cells, HCT116 p53+/− cells were cultured in 24-well plates at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 with DMEM Medium (PAA, Austria) containing 10% fetal calf serum (PAA, Austria). Exponentially growing cells were used for experiments.

Total-body irradiation

A 60Co irradiator was introduced for total-body ionizing irradiation as described in our previously studies [27]. In detail: un-anaesthetised mice were placed in well-ventilated plastic boxes and exposed to the 60Co irradiator at a distance of 3 m from the source. A single dose of 7 Gy (or 2Gy and 12 Gy) γ-radiation was delivered depending upon the requirement of the study. The dose rate was 1 Gy/min in this study.
Survival Experiments

Mice were irradiated with the lethal doses described above, and the survival was recorded daily up to day 30 after irradiation. Differences in survival of the various groups of mice were assessed using Kaplan-Meier analyses and tested for significant differences using the Log Rank test. Changes in median survival (days at risk after exposure or sham exposure, +/- S.E.) with increasing dose were derived from the Kaplan-Meier analyses and tested by ANOVA for consistency with linear regression analyses.

RNA extraction

RNA from different spleens, livers, thymi and cell lines was homogenized in Trizol (Invitrogen) and isolated according to the manufacturer’s instructions as described previously [11]. RNA from sera was homogenized in mirVana™ PARIS™ Kit (Part Number AM1556, Applied Biosystems, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Concentration and purity of total RNA samples were measured using the SmartSpec Plus spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The ratio of A260:A280 was used to indicate the purity of total RNA.

Reverse Transcription

cDNA was generated using the miScript Reverse Transcription (RT) Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). According to the manufacturer’s instructions, 1ug total RNA, 1ul miScript Reverse Transcriptase Mix, and 4ul miScript RT buffer were mixed well and incubated for 60min at 37°C. All reverse transcriptions and no-template controls were run at the same time.

Real-Time PCR

Real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed using miScript SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen) on a Rotorgene 3000 series PCR machine (Corbett Research) and analyzed with software of Rotorgene System. The 20ul PCR mixture included 2ul reverse transcription product, 10ul 2x QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Master Mix, 2ul 10x miScript Universal Primer, 2ul 10x miScript Primer Assay (for miR-34a; Qiagen), and 4ul autoclaved distilled water. The reaction mixtures were incubated at 95°C for 10min, followed by 40 amplification cycles of 94°C for 15s, 55°C for 30s, and 70°C for 30s. The threshold cycle (Ct) was defined as the fractional cycle number at which the fluorescence passed the fixed threshold. We also quantified transcripts of U6 small RNA using Hs_RNU6B_2 miScript Primer Assay (Qiagen) for normalizing the levels of miR-34a. Small RNAs are preferred because they have similar purification and amplification characteristics to miRNAs. Choosing an appropriate control for a miRNA quantification experiment is similar to choosing an appropriate housekeeping gene for normalization when quantifying mRNA. For each sample type or treatment under study, it is necessary to verify that the control RNA is not regulated under the experimental conditions. In addition, a control for a non-coding RNA that shows a constant level of expression and similar abundance to the target miRNA should be chosen. U6 small RNA is a widely used endogenous reference RNA in miRNA quantification studies. Serum miR-34a was normalized to miR-16 or Exogenous U6 RNA as described previously [28-30] [31]. The ΔCt method was used for analysis. The experiment was repeated 3 times. The investigators were blinded to the results of clinical and pathological diagnosis.

Transfection

Cells were cultured and transfected as described previously [32]. Human glioblastoma-astrocytoma cell line U87MG cells; Human Embryonic Kidney 293 cells; HEK293 cells, HCT116 p53-/- cells and HCT116 p53+/- cells were seeded at 6×10⁴ cells per well in 24-well plates and transfected with synthetic miRNAs (mimics/inhibitors, genePharm, Shanghai, China) at a final concentration of 50nM or 100nM using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, ON, Canada) transfection reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions as described previously [32]. Three anti-Bcl-2 siRNA (siRNA 1, siRNA 2 and siRNA 3) and a control non-targeting siRNA (siRNA NC) were purchased from genePharm company (genePharm, Shanghai, China) and they were transfected into cells similar to miRNA. Cells were infected 48 h later and subjected to further experiments.

Apoptosis assay

After different treatments, single cell suspension of Spleen, liver and thymus tissues were made and labeled with annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide (PI) provided by BIPEC, following manufacturer’s instructions as described by our previously studies[27]. Samples were examined by fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) analysis, and the results were analyzed using Cell-Quest software (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA).

Cell Viability Analyses

Trypan blue exclusion test were used for detecting cell viability as previously described in our previously studies[26]. Briefly, after different treatments, single cell suspension of spleen, liver and thymus tissues were made and labeled with Trypan Blue. The cellular morphology was observed using Olympus...
BX60 fluorescent microscope equipped with Retiga 2000R digital camera. Average percentage of Trypan Blue positives and negative cells were calculated in 5-7 randomly selected high power field (HPF). Trypan Blue negative cells were regarded as living cells.

**Statistical analysis**

Comparisons between experimental groups and relevant controls were performed by Student’s t-test. P< 0.05 was considered a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**MiR-34a is closely related to age related radio-sensitivity**

To study the role of miR-34a in age related radio-sensitivity, we first recorded the survival ability of different aged mice after receiving a total-body irradiation (TBI) at a single dose of 7 Gy. We found that the survival capacity of young (3-4 weeks old) mice was much lower than adult (6-8 weeks old) BALB/c mice after TBI (Figure 1A). This data indicated that young mice have a higher radio-sensitivity than adult mice. To further prove our finding, we also recorded the survival ability of different aged mice after receiving a single dose of 12 Gy TBI. The mean survival time of adult mice was 120 ± 24.0 h; while, the mean survival time was significantly decreased in young mice, which had a mean survival time of 72 ± 36 h (Figure 1A). We also found that Total-body irradiation suppressed the water consumption and food intake, and resulted in weight loss in both young as well as adult mice. However, TBI suppressed young mice were much more effective than adult mice (data not shown). Taken together, our data suggests that young mice have a higher radio-sensitivity when compared to adult mice. Next, we studied the possibility of miR-34a in age related radio-sensitivity. We first used q-RT-PCR analysis to detect the relative expression of miR-34a in different tissues from different aged mice. We found that miR-34a could be induced in the spleen by radiation of both young and adult mice 8 hours after irradiation. However, the level of miR-34a induced by young mice was much higher compared to adult mice (Figure 1B). Similar data was reported in thymus tissue after 7Gy total-body irradiation (Figure 1B). The different ability to induce miR-34a by young and adult mice was closely related to the differences in their radio-sensitivity.

To further study the time and dose response of miR-34a induced by different aged mice, we then detected miR-34a level in spleen after 7Gy IR for various time periods and found that miR-34a can be induced by IR in a time-dependent manner (Figure 1C). We also detected the miR-34a level in spleen after 2Gy and 12Gy IR and found that 2Gy IR, although a little weaker than 7Gy IR and 12Gy IR, was enough to induce miR-34a in spleen (Figure 1C).The time and dose response also showed that young mice have higher ability to induce miR-34a than adult mice and these data indicate that miR-34a is closely related to age related radio-sensitivity.

**MiR-34a was correlated to radio-sensitivity variations of different tissues and mice strains.**

During our study of miR-34a in age related radio-sensitivity we found an interesting phenomenon. The relative level of miR-34a induced by IR in thymus tissues was much higher when compared to spleen tissues after TBI (Figure 1B and 2C). Indeed, radio-sensitivity variations among different tissues were also an interesting issue and the role of miRNA in this area is still not clear. Then we hypothesized that miR-34a may not only play a role in age related radio-sensitivity, but also a novel mediator of tissue related radio-sensitivity and radiation injury. To prove this theory, we first analyzed the radio-sensitivity variations among different spleen, thymus and liver tissues. We found that the spleen, thymus and liver have a similar apoptosis rate before radiation. However, the apoptosis rate of the liver is much lower than thymus after TBI, while the spleen has a middle apoptosis rate between the liver and thymus after TBI (Figure 2A and 2B); Consistent with the apoptosis data, the spleen, thymus and liver also have similar cell viability before radiation. However, the liver tissue has a much higher proliferate capacity than the thymus tissues, while the spleen has higher cell viability than the thymus but lower than the liver after TBI (Figure 2B). This data indicates that, consistent with previous studies, the thymus has a relatively high radio-sensitivity while the liver has a fairly low radio-sensitivity and the radio-sensitivity of the spleen is between the two. Next we studied the possibility of miR-34a in tissue related radio-sensitivity. Interestingly, we found that miR-34a could be induced in the thymus, liver and spleen by radiation in nearly every mouse. However, the relative level of miR-34a induced in the thymus tissue is much higher when compared to the liver after TBI (Figure 2C). Spleen tissues also have a higher capacity to induce miR-34a compared to the liver and slightly higher than the thymus after TBI. The ability to induce miR-34a by different tissues was closely related to their radio-sensitivity. These data also indicate that miR-34a is correlated to tissue related radio-sensitivity.
Figure 1. MiR-34a in age related radio-sensitivity. (A) Left: Yong or adult BALB/c mice were irradiated (+IR; 7 Gy, dose rate 1 Gy/min.) and survival time were recorded. Right: Survival time of mice in different groups after total-body irradiation (+IR; 12 Gy, dose rate 1 Gy/min.). N=20. (B) Yong or adult BALB/c mice were either irradiated (+IR; 7 Gy, dose rate 1 Gy/min) or left untreated (con) and sacrificed 8 hr later, Spleen (left) or thymus (right) RNA was subjected to qRT-PCR analysis. N=6. (C) miR-34a can be induced by IR in a dose and time-dependent manner. Right: Yong or adult BALB/c mice were either irradiated (+IR; 7 Gy, dose rate 1 Gy/min) or left untreated (con) and sacrificed 4, 8, 24 and 48 hr later, Spleen RNA was subjected to qRT-PCR analysis. N=6. Left: Yong or adult BALB/c mice were either irradiated with different doses (+IR; 2 Gy, 7 Gy or 12 Gy, dose rate 1 Gy/min) or left untreated (con) and sacrificed 8 hr later, Spleen RNA was subjected to qRT-PCR analysis. Levels of miR-34a were normalized in the same sample and the level of miR-34a in adult un-irradiated mice was regarded as 100%. Data show the relative miR-34a expression level. N=6; *: P < 0.05.
Figure 2. MiR-34a in radio-sensitivity variations of different tissues and mice strains. (A). BALB/c mice were irradiated with different doses (+IR; 2 Gy, 7 Gy or 12 Gy, dose rate 1 Gy/min) or left untreated (con) and sacrificed 24 hr later. Spleen, thymus and liver cells were stained with annexin V–FITC and PI and subjected to FACS assay of cellular apoptosis. N=6. Data showed a typical data of 3 repeats. (B). upper: BALB/c mice were irradiated (+IR; 7Gy, dose rate 0.8 Gy/min.) or left untreated then sacrificed 24 hr later for apoptosis assay. Figure showed statistical apoptosis rate of Spleen (Sp), thymus (Thy) and liver (Liv). Lower: BALB/c mice were irradiated (+IR; 7Gy, dose rate 1 Gy/min.) or left untreated then sacrificed 24 hr later. Spleen, thymus and liver cells were subjected to Trypan blue exclusion test for detection of cell viability. N=6. (C).BALB/c mice were irradiated (+IR; 7Gy, dose rate 1 Gy/min.) or left untreated then sacrificed 8 hr later. Spleen, thymus and liver cells RNA was subjected to qRT-PCR analysis. Levels of miR-34a were normalized in the same sample and the level of miR-34a in adult un-irradiated mice was regarded as 100%. Data show the relative miR-34a expression level. N=6. (D).BALB/c mice and C57BL/6 mice were irradiated (+IR; 7Gy, dose rate 1 Gy/min.) or left untreated then sacrificed 8 hr later. Spleen, thymus and liver cells RNA was subjected to qRT-PCR analysis. Levels of miR-34a were normalized in the same sample and the level of miR-34a in adult un-irradiated mice was regarded as 100%. Data show the relative miR-34a expression level of 7Gy compare to 0Gy in the same strain. N=6.*: P < 0.05; NS: No Significant difference detected.
Since C57BL/6 mice are less sensitive to ionizing radiation than radiation sensitive strain BALB/c mice, we then detected the miR-34a level in the thymus, liver and spleen from C57BL/6 mice and BALB/c mice before and after 7 Gy IR and found that IR can induce miR-34a expression in thymus, liver and spleen tissues from both C57BL/6 mice and BALB/c mice (Figure 2D), however, the thymus and spleen from BALB/c mice showed higher miR-34a induction, while the livers from C57BL/6 mice and BALB/c mice showed similar miR-34a enhancement. These data also indicate that miR-34a was correlated to radio-sensitivity variations of different mice strains.

**The induction of MiR-34a by IR is in a p53 dependent manner**

Since miR-34a is a direct target of p53 in many pathways [11], we next used p53 sufficient and deficient cell line HCT116 p53+/+ cells and HCT116 p53−/− cells to study the possible relationship of miR-34a and p53 in radio-sensitivity. We first found that the radio-sensitivity of HCT116 p53+/+ cells was significantly higher than HCT116 p53−/− cells since HCT116 p53+/+ cells displayed higher apoptosis cell rate and reduced cell viability than HCT116 p53−/− cells after the same dose of IR (Figure 3A and 3B).

**Figure 3.** The induction of MiR-34a by IR is in a p53 dependent manner. (A/B). HCT116 p53+/+ cells and HCT116 p53−/− cells mice were irradiated with different doses (+IR; 2 Gy, 4 Gy or 8 Gy, dose rate 1 Gy/min) or left untreated (0 Gy) and 24 hr later cells were subjected to FACS assay (A) of cellular apoptosis and Trypan blue exclusion test for detection of cell viability(B). (C). HCT116 p53+/+ cells and HCT116 p53−/− cells mice were irradiated with different doses (+IR; 2 Gy, 4 Gy or 8 Gy, dose rate 1 Gy/min) or left untreated (0 Gy) and 8 hr later cells were subjected to real-time PCR assay for detection of miR-34a level. (D). HCT116 p53+/+ cells and HCT116 p53−/− cells were irradiated with 4 Gy ( dose rate 1 Gy/min) irradiation and 0,4,8,24,48 hr later cells were subjected to real-time PCR assay for detection of miR-34a level. *P < 0.05.
This result was consistent with the previous concept that p53 enhanced radiosensitivity. Furthermore, it is consistent with the radio-sensitivity difference between these two cell lines. The relative level of miR-34a was also higher in HCT116 p53+/+ cells than in HCT116 p53/- cells (Figure 3C, 0Gy). Also, miR-34a was induced by IR in a dose and time related manner in HCT116 p53+/+ cells, but not in HCT116 p53/- cells (Figure 3C and 3D). These data indicate that miR-34a is positively correlated to cellular radio-sensitivity and the induction of miR-34a was in a p53 dependent manner.

**MiR-34a may be a critical mediator of radiation injury and may also be a critical target of radio-protection**

Since miR-34a is closely related to age and tissue related radio-sensitivity, studies have shown that in-activation of miR-34a strongly attenuates apoptosis in cells, whereas over-expression of miR-34a increases apoptosis [11]. Next we posited that miR-34a may have significant function on radiation-induced cell death. To prove our theory, we used in vitro transfection assays to explore the biological effects of miR-34a in radiation biology. Human glioblastoma cell U87MG cells and human embryonic kidney HEK293 cells were used for transfection. We found that U87MG cells have a relatively lower miR-34a expression level than HEK293 cells and transient transfection of miR-34a mimics could lead to substantial increased production of mature miR-34a in U87MG cells, while, transient transfection of miR-34a specific inhibitors (anti-34a) could partly knockdown the production of miR-34a in 293 cells, as can be detected by qRT-PCR (Figure 4A).

![Figure 4](http://www.biolsci.org)

**Figure 4. MiR-34a enhanced radiation induced apoptosis.** (A) Relative miR-34a expression level in HEK293 cells and U87MG cells and validation of miR-34a mimics (miR-34a) and miR-34a specific inhibitors (miR-34a ASO, antisense oligo). MiR-34a mimics and miR-34a ASO were transfected with nonspecific control miRNA mimics (NC) and NC ASO into U87MG cells and HEK293 cells as indicated for 24 hours, the relative levels of miR-34a were analyzed by qRT-PCR. (B) U87MG cells were transfected with nonspecific control NC or miR-34a for 48 hours and then subjected to radiation (+IR, 7Gy, dose rate 1 Gy/min) or left untreated. After an additional 24 hours cells were stained with annexin V-FITC and PI and subjected to FACS assay of cellular apoptosis. (C) HEK 293 cells were transfected with miR-34a specific inhibitors (miR-34a ASO) or scrambled
miRNA control (NC ASO) for 48 hr later. Then cells were exposed to irradiation (+IR; 7Gy, dose rate 1 Gy/min) or left untreated (con). After an additional 24 hours were stained with annexin V and PI and subjected to FACS assay of cellular apoptosis. Data are representative of at least three wells. *: P < 0.05.

More importantly, we found that over-expression of miR-34a mimics markedly suppressed cell proliferation and enhanced apoptosis in transfected U87MG cells both before and after IR (Figure 4B, apoptosis; proliferation data not shown). Furthermore, we found that inhibition of miR-34a expression could markedly decrease the apoptosis rate of HEK293 cells after 7Gy irradiation (Figure 4C).

Similarly, over-expression of miR-34a mimics markedly enhanced the radio-sensitivity of HCT116 p53-/− cells while inhibition of miR-34a expression could markedly decrease the radio-sensitivity of HCT116 p53+/+ cells (Figure 5A and 5B). These data indicate that miR-34a may be a critical mediator of radiation injury and may also be a critical target of radioprotection.

**Figure 5.** Over-expression of miR-34a mimics markedly enhanced the radio-sensitivity of HCT116 p53-/− cells while inhibition of miR-34a expression could markedly decrease the radio-sensitivity of HCT116 p53+/+ cells. *: P < 0.05; NS: No Significant difference detected.
One possible downstream target of miR-34 that leads to different radio-sensitivity was Bcl-2

MiRNAs function through binding and inhibition of the 3’UTR of their target genes [4, 5]. A couple of published targets (e.g. cyclin-dependent kinase (cdk) 4, cyclin E2, cMet, cdk6, and bcl-2) of miR-34a were identified by many groups [13, 14]. These molecules have been proven to play a critical role in apoptosis, cell survival and cell cycle. We are particularly interested in Bcl-2 because many studies have shown that the Bcl-2 family proteins are key molecules that modulate radio-sensitivity in human cancer cells [33], specific, over-expression of bcl-2 has been proven to reduce the radio-sensitivity of many cancer cell lines [34] and Bcl-2 has been proven to be a direct target of miR-34a. To explore the relevance of Bcl-2 in miR-34a related radio-sensitivity, we performed Bcl-2 KnockDown (KD) study. Three anti-Bcl-2 siRNA (siRNA 1, siRNA 2 and siRNA 3) and a control non-targeting

Figure 6. One possible downstream target of miR-34a that leads to different radio-sensitivity was Bcl-2. (A). Validation of three anti-Bcl-2 siRNA (siRNA 1, siRNA 2 and siRNA 3) and a control non-targeting siRNA (siRNA NC) in transfected into U87MG cells and HCT116 p53−/− cells 48 hours after transfection. (B). Knockdown of Bcl-2 (si-Bcl-2-1), but not KD of nonspecific control (si-NC), enhanced the apoptosis rate of the HCT116 p53−/− cells and U87MG cells after IR, which mimics the effects of miR-34a over-expression. (C). Knockdown of Bcl-2 (si-Bcl-2) attenuated, but not fully wiped off, the radio-protective role of miR-34a inhibitor in HEK293 cells and HCT116 p53+/+ cells. *: P < 0.05.
Serum miR-34a as a Novel Indicator of Radiation injury

It is of critical importance to develop novel biomarkers or indicators for individual radiation biodosimetry of exposure to ionizing radiation [35, 36]. However, the potential miRNA indicators in radiation biology are largely ignored. Recent studies have shown that miRNAs are novel myocardial biomarker, and potential biomarkers for colorectal cancer and many other types of human cancers [37-39]. More importantly, some recent studies demonstrated that plasma or serum miRNAs are potential biomarkers for some diseases [37-39]. We asked whether plasma or serum miR-34a can serve as a biomarker or indicator of radiation injury. To explore this issue, we conducted a q-PCR assay to detect the relative miR-34a level in different mice before and after 7Gy of TBI. Consistently, we used exogenous U6 RNA as loading control and found that serum miR-34a, but not serum miR-16, was enhanced after irradiation (Figure 7A).

We also found that miR-34a can be rapidly induced in serum from irradiated mice but not non-irradiated control mice, and the ratio of serum miR-34a vs. serum miR-16 from young mice was much higher compared to them from adult mice (Figure 7B). This is consistent with our previous data on the role of miR-34a in age related radio-sensitivity. To gain the usefulness of miR-34a as biomarker or indicator, we also found that the ratio of serum miR-34a vs. serum miR-16 can be enhanced and stable for 48 hours after IR and 2 Gy IR was enough to enhance serum miR-34a (Figure 7C and 7D). While, although played important role in radio-sensitivity, the mRNA of p53 and bcl-2 could not be detected in serum after IR (Data not shown). In our experiments, the serum levels of miR-34a (or the ratio of serum miR-34a vs. serum miR-16) are enhanced in many dose of radiation. However, it did not show clear dose-response. Therefore, these data indicated that serum miR-34a may be useful to estimate radiation exposure, but it still a long way to go for biodosimetry of radiation injury.

Figure 7. Serum miR-34a is enhanced after Radiation injury. (A). Adult BALB/c mice were either irradiated with different doses (+IR; 2 Gy, 7 Gy or 12 Gy, dose rate 1 Gy/min) or left untreated (con) and sacrificed 8 hr later, Serum RNA was subjected to qRT-PCR analysis. Levels of miR-34a and miR-16 were normalized to exogenous U6 RNA. Data show the relative miR-34a and miR-16 expression level. N=6. (B) Yong or adult BALB/c mice were either irradiated (+IR; 7Gy, dose rate 1 Gy/min) or left untreated (con) and sacrificed 24 hr later. Serum total RNA was subjected to qRT-PCR analysis. Levels of miR-34a were normalized to miR-16 in the same sample. Data show the relative miR-34a expression level. N=6. (C) Yong or adult BALB/c mice were either irradiated (+IR; 7Gy, dose rate 1 Gy/min) or left untreated (con) and sacrificed 4,8,24 and 48 hr later, Serum RNA was subjected to qRT-PCR analysis for detection of miR-34a. Levels of miR-34a were normalized in the same sample and the level of miR-34a in adult un-irradiated mice was regarded as 100%. Data show the relative miR-34a expression level. N=6. *: P < 0.05; NS: No Significant difference detected.
**Discussion**

Over 20 percent of cancer patients will require radiation therapy during the treatment of their disease. Radiation can induce significant damage and the development of radioprotectants for medical and bio-defense applications are very important [22, 23, 40, 41]. Studies also show that miRNAs play pivotal roles in diverse processes such as development, differentiation, cell proliferation, stress response and cancer [8, 9, 42, 43]. However, there has been very limited study demonstrating the role of miRNA in radio-sensitivity in vivo and in vitro. Additionally, radiation damage biomarkers or indicators in miRNA levels are not well understood.

In this study, we first found that miR-34a could be induced in many organs by radiation of both young and adult mice. However, the level of miR-34a induced by young mice was much higher compared to adult mice, which was closely correlated with the fact that young mice had higher radio-sensitivity when compared to adult mice. Next, we found that miR-34a correlated closely with radio-sensitivity variations of different tissues in genetic normal mice. However, the level of miR-34a induced by IR seems to be in a p53 dependent manner since the level of miR-34a induced by IR in HCT116 p53–/– cells was decreased significantly when compare to HCT116 p53+/+ cells. Then, we used transfection assay to find that over-expression of miR-34a markedly suppressed cell proliferation and enhanced apoptosis in transfected U87MG and HCT116 p53–/– cells both before and after radiation. Furthermore, We also found that the possible downstream target of miR-34a that lead to different radio-sensitivity was Bcl-2 since over-expression of miR-34 and knockdown of Bcl-2 could significantly enhance the radio-sensitivity of different cells. Finally, we found that miR-34a could be detected not only in tissues, but also in mice serum, while the p53 mRNA could not be detected in mice serum after IR, which indicated miR-34a as a novel biomarker of radiation injury. Taken together, our data demonstrated that miR-34a may be a novel indicator, mediator and target of radiation injury, radio-sensitivity and radioprotection.

In summary, this study indicates that miR-34a has the potential to be a novel mediator and target of radiation injury, radio-sensitivity and radioprotection.
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