Health consequences of thick forest fire smoke to healthy residents in Riau, Indonesia: a cross-sectional study
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND Indonesia forest fire in 2015 emitted a huge amount of pollutants into the air. This study was aimed to assess the health consequences of forest fire smoke in healthy residents in Riau during forest fire disaster in 2015.

METHODS This cross-sectional study was performed in healthy residents who lived in Pekanbaru, Riau Province, Sumatera, for at least 6 months during forest fire disaster in 2015, and data were taken in October 2015. Questionnaires consisting of respiratory and non-respiratory symptoms were collected. Lung function was assessed by spirometry (MIR II Spirolab™ spirometer, Medical International Research, Italy) and exhaled carbon monoxide (CO) was assessed using pICO+ Smokerlyzer®. Heart rate at rest and oxygen saturation in the room air were measured using Onyx 9591 Pulse Oximeter®.

RESULTS A total of 89 subjects were mostly female (75.3%), housewife (37.7%), non-smoker (86.5%) with mean age of 38.9 years old. The non-respiratory and respiratory symptoms were reported in 84.7% and 71.4% subjects, respectively. Lung function was impaired in 72.6% subjects, mostly with mild obstruction and mild restriction. Exhaled CO was highly detected over normal values (mean [standard deviation] = 32.6 [9.97] ppm) with predicted carboxyhemoglobin (COHb) of 5.74 (1.56).

CONCLUSIONS Forest fire smoke exposure increased the respiratory and non-respiratory symptoms among healthy individuals, which showed impairment in lung function, exhaled CO, and predicted COHb. Long term health effects on healthy individuals exposed to forest fire smoke warrant further evaluation.
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According to the World Bank Report 2016, around 2.6 million hectares of land in Indonesia, an area size of 4.5 times Bali Island, were burned during June and October 2015. The burned area was mainly peatland with mixed vegetation, including rainforests, palm oil plantations, and bushes.¹ The World Bank estimates that the 2015 forest fire disaster in Indonesia cost the country at least USD 16.1 billion ( IDR 221 trillion), which is equivalent to 1.9% of its 2015 gross domestic product (GDP). In the same year, agriculture and forestry sustained estimated losses and damages of USD 8.8 billion ( IDR 120 trillion). Substantial costs to the environment, which made up 26% of the total losses, included losses to biodiversity and ecosystem services. Haze also forced school closures for up to 34 days, resulting in USD 34 million in costs. The worst of the fires in October 2015 impacted 24,773 schools’ closure and 4,692,537 students.¹

Forest fire smoke emits vast amounts of air pollutants of varying diameters into the air; of these
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pollutants, particles of PM2.5 and PM10 are the most hazardous because of their adverse effect on human health.² Existing research suggests that long term exposure to air pollutants increased cardiovascular, chronic respiratory, and neurological diseases.³ Air pollution also correlates with the higher risk of mortality and morbidity among children. A study on the effects of the 1998 Indonesian forest fire haze on fetal, infant, and under-three child mortality showed that air pollution led to 15,600 fewer surviving children.⁴ Another study showed that children exposed to the fire smoke are shorter on average 3 years post-exposure and had lower lung capacity 10 year post-exposure.⁵ Data on direct and acute effects on respiratory symptoms and lung function at the time of the forest fire disaster among healthy residents are scarce because carrying out relevant studies in the middle of such disasters is extremely difficult. Given this background, this study was aimed to assess acute symptoms and lung function among residents exposed to heavy smoke during the forest fire disaster in Riau, Sumatra, in 2015.

METHODS

This study was a cross-sectional study to assess symptoms and lung function among healthy subjects exposed to forest fire smoke during the forest fire disaster in 2015 in Pekanbaru, Riau Province. Riau Province in Sumatera, Indonesia was among the worst area affected by the forest fire based on Indonesia National Institute of Aeronautics and Space satellite data in 2015 (Figure 1). Pekanbaru was selected as the study site because this city is among the most seriously affected by the fires could be accessed within 7–8 hours by car from Padang Airport during the disaster. Based on Indonesian Meteorological, Climatological, and Geophysical Agency, the air quality index during the study period was hazardous (numerical value, 357) and visibility was <500 m.

Two primary health centers were chosen in Pekanbaru due to accessibility during the disaster (Melur and Simpang Tiga). Healthy adult residents who lived around two primary health centers in Pekanbaru, Riau were consecutively recruited on October 23–24, 2015. To qualify for this study, subjects must have been living in Pekanbaru for a minimum of 6 months of which forest fire disaster happened. Moreover, the subjects must not have any chronic illness, such as diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, congestive heart failure, or cancer as determined by anamnesis and physical examination.

The subjects were interviewed using the Indonesian version of the American Thoracic Society (ATS) respiratory questionnaire. Additional information regarding non-respiratory symptoms and personal protective equipment use during smoke exposure were also obtained. Face mask usage was classified as “always” if respondent wear it more than 4 days in a week, otherwise it will be classified as “rare”.⁶ Those who always wear face mask will be asked further for the type of mask and the time of using it. Spirometry was performed using MIR II Spirolab™ spirometer (Medical International Research, Italy) that had been calibrated before the procedure. Spirometry values were taken a minimum of 3 times to ensure acceptable and reproducible results based on ATS guidelines.⁷ Forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume

**Figure 1.** Forest fire hotspots during October 2015 forest fire disaster in Indonesia (source: http://modis-catalog.lapan.go.id/monitoring/)
Table 1. Demographics, symptoms, and protective equipment use of respondents

| Characteristics          | n (%), N = 89 |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| Female sex               | 67 (75.3)    |
| Age (years), mean (SD)   | 38.9 (11.5)  |
| Non-smoker               | 77 (86.5)    |
| Occupations              |              |
| Student                  | 6 (6.7)      |
| Housewife                | 33 (37.1)    |
| Farmer                   | 11 (12.4)    |
| Indoor worker            | 39 (43.8)    |
| Respiratory symptoms     | 70 (71.4)    |
| Cough                    | 28 (28.6)    |
| Wheeze                   | 1 (1)        |
| Phlegm                   | 5 (5.1)      |
| Cough+wheeze             | 6 (6.1)      |
| Cough+phlegm             | 21 (21.4)    |
| Non-respiratory symptoms | 83 (84.7)    |
| Eye symptoms             | 40 (40.8)    |
| Headache                 | 40 (40.8)    |
| Tinnitus                 | 2 (2.1)      |
| GI tract symptoms        | 12 (12.2)    |
| Skin symptoms            | 3 (3.1)      |
| Type and time of protective equipment use | |
| Frequency of mask usage  |              |
| Always                   | 85 (95.5)    |
| Rarely                   | 4 (4.5)      |
| Types of mask* (n = 85)  |              |
| Cotton-type mask         | 16 (18.8)    |
| Surgical mask            | 57 (67.1)    |
| N95                      | 12 (14.1)    |
| Time of using mask* (n = 84) |            |
| Anytime (at home and outside) | 8 (9.4)  |
| During activities outside | 72 (84.8)   |
| During commuting to work | 4 (4.8)      |

SD=standard deviation; GI=gastrointestinal
*The type of mask and the time of using it (missing data = 1) were asked to those who always using face mask group

Table 2. Lung function among healthy residents exposed to forest fire smoke

| Lung function                         | n (%), N = 62 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Spirometry results                    |              |
| Normal                                | 17 (27.4)    |
| Not normal/decreased                 | 45 (72.6)    |
| Restrictive (mild)                    | 9 (30.6)     |
| Obstructive (mild)                    | 15 (24.2)    |
| Mixed (obstructive + restrictive)     | 11 (17.7)    |
| Exhale CO (ppm), mean (SD)            | 32.6 (9.97)  |
| Smoker (n = 9)                        | 45.08 (8.76) |
| Non-smoker (n = 53)                   | 30.19 (5.77) |
| COHb (% predicted), mean (SD)         | 5.74 (1.56)  |
| Smoker (n = 9)                        | 7.71 (1.54)  |
| Non-smoker (n = 53)                   | 5.4 (1.02)   |
| SpO₂, mean (SD)                       | 97.81 (1.36) |
| Heart rate, mean (SD)                 | 86.03 (14.61) |

CO=carbon monoxide; COHb=carboxyhemoglobin; SpO₂=saturation level of oxygen

Smokerlyzer® (Bedfont Scientific Ltd, United Kingdom) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Briefly, breaths were held for 10 sec during exCO measurement. Predicted carboxyhemoglobin (COHb) was calculated based on exCO value. Pulse oximetry (Onyx 9591 Pulse Oximeter®, Nonin Medical B.V, The Netherlands) was measured before spirometry, procedure and heart rates at rest and oxygen saturation in room air were recordd. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia (No: 10/UN2.F1/ETIK/2016).

RESULTS

One hundred subjects who lived near the health centers were asked to participate in the study. Eleven subjects did not complete the initial screening and refused to participate.

A total of 89 residents with mean (standard deviation) age of 38.9 (11.5) years were included in the analysis. All subjects had been living in Pekanbaru, Riau, for over 3 years and stayed in the city during the forest fire disaster in 2015. They were mostly female (75.3%), non-smoker (86.5%), and housewife (37.7%) as shown in Table 1.

Respiratory symptoms were present in 71.4% of the sample (70 subjects). Non-respiratory symptoms, such as eye rash/irritation, urticaria/itching, gastrointestinal
(GI) tract issues (diarrhea, nausea), headache, and tinnitus, were also reported by 84.7% of the subjects. Most of the subjects wore protective equipment, usually during outdoor activities, with surgical mask being the most frequent type of protective equipment.

Among 89 subjects, 62 subjects agreed and were able to perform spirometry in accordance with ATS guideline and exCO measurement (Table 2).

Lung function was lower in smoker (n = 9) than in non-smoker (n = 53) in terms of FEV₁% (64.7 versus 70.9%); FVC% (78.2 versus 80%); FEV₁/FVC (70 versus 75%). ExCO and predicted COHb were also higher in smokers (45.08 ppm exCO and 7.71% predicted COHb) than non-smokers (30.19 ppm exCO, 5.4% predicted COHb).

**DISCUSSION**

There were limited data regarding health effect during the 2015 forest fire disaster in Indonesia, especially in healthy population, and most of the related studies were conducted in neighboring but otherwise far areas, such as Malaysia and Singapore. This study was done in Pekanbaru, Riau Province, Sumatera, one of the cities most heavily exposed to forest fire smoke in October 2015. Based on the Indonesian Meteorological, Climatological, and Geophysical Agency, the air quality index during this study was hazardous (numerical value, 357) and visibility was <500 m during the study period. The city airport was shut down, and the area could only be accessed via an 8–12-hour trip by car from the nearest other city airport.

Of 89 subjects recruited in this study, most were female (74.1%), housewife (37.0%), indoor workers (43.8%), and non-smoker (84.0%) with a mean age of 39.8 years. This population represented one of the most prone to health effects from forest fire smoke. During the disaster, this population was heavily exposed to forest fire smoke both indoors and outdoors and could not move to less-polluted areas. Smoking was less prevalent among the subjects because most of the population was female. This study was compatible with the Global Adult Tobacco Survey 2011, which showed that less than 5% of Indonesian females are smokers compared with 67% of Indonesian males.

Based on the questionnaire, respiratory symptoms were reported by 71.4% and surprisingly non-respiratory symptoms, such as eye rash/irritation, urticaria/itching, GI tract issues (diarrhea, nausea), headache, and tinnitus, were also reported by 84.7% of the subjects. Forest fire smoke constitutes molecules less than 10 µm in diameter and could induce inflammation of the respiratory tract. Smog may also induce non-respiratory symptoms, such as eye irritation and GI tract symptoms through water contamination. According to a study in Jambi, Sumatera, by a Japanese team during forest fire in 1998, forest fire smoke contains large amounts of hydrocarbon derivatives, such as benzopyrenes, which may cause not just airway irritation but also skin and eye irritation and cancer. Knowledge of the chemicals that make up forest fire smoke is useful to understand the potential effects of forest fires on human health. Relevant measurements may be conducted as part of mitigation during disaster in the future.

Study evaluating the lung function in normal individuals exposed to heavy forest fire smoke are rare. In this study, we found 72.6% of the sample reported disturbances in lung function in the form of mild obstructive and mild restrictive patterns. The high proportion of subjects with impaired lung function reveals the acute effect of heavy smoke on normal lungs. These effects may increase in highly prone individuals, such as asthmatics or chronic obstructive lung disease patients. Kunii et al found that 68% of the study subjects, had a decreased in lung function in the study subject, including those with other respiratory diseases and the elderly, developed decreases in lung function after the 1998 forest fire disaster. A study of active firefighters indicated that lung function decreases on duty but returned to baseline levels off duty. Cohort study related to lung function should be done to determine the extent of chronic forest fire smoke exposure in this population.

One of the parameters to show the air quality inhale into the respiratory system was exCO measurement and routinely done for smoking cessation program. The reference value of exCO in normal healthy non-smoker is <4 ppm; for smokers, it is set at 15–17 ppm. In this study, exCO was very high, even among healthy subjects who did not smoke. The mean exCO was 32.6 ppm, which is 7.5 times higher above normal levels. The exCO of smokers (45.08 ppm) was higher than that of non-smokers (30.19 ppm); both levels are much higher compared with the baseline exCO of smokers. CO binds to hemoglobin to form COHb, which reduces the ability of hemoglobin molecules to bind O₂ and could potentially induce tissue hypoxia. Thus, CO in the air correlates directly with COHb levels. Based
on the predicted/calculated COHb values, the subject has increased predicted COHb in the body with 5.74% of COHb during exposure to the forest fire may be observed at 5% COHb, and 16% COHb could induce headache. The lethal effect could be seen in 40% COHb. Individual with heart disease, children, and pregnant women are especially susceptible to high COHb. For example, 5% COHb could induce arrhythmia and angina in individuals with coronary arterial disease, and 5–7% COHb could induce nausea, headache, and lethargy in children. The acute effect of >13% COHb induce stillbirth in pregnant women. In this study, 40% of subjects reported headache. While the causative etiology of this effect must be further elucidated, chronic exposure to CO may contribute to the symptom. Long-term effects related to chronic high CO exposure in this population must be studied further.

Use of protective masks prevents inhalation of pollutants into the lungs. This study also evaluated the practice of using protective masks during the disaster and found 95.5% of participants using the protective mask during the disaster, especially when carrying out outdoor activities. However, only 14.1% of the respondents reported using N95 masks, mainly because these masks are not widely available and uncomfortable. The reasons for those were N95 mask not widely available and less comfortable. Information on the correct and appropriate use of personal protective equipment during forest fire smoke exposure should be included in the educational programs for this population. N95 masks should also be provided by the government if possible.

There are some limitations of this study. It was done during the peak of forest fire disaster in 2015 of which access to the area was limited only by land/car and some residents moved to safer and better air quality out of Pekanbaru, Riau Province, Sumatera. There was no data regarding the chemical components of polluted air nor details of various air quality parameters during the study period. Whether the observed permanent lung dysfunction is unknown because the present study design is cross-sectional and the assessments were conducted only once. Regarding COHb, it is ideally measured in the blood, but in this study, we calculate the predicted COHb values from exCO since it was not feasible. Nevertheless, this study reveals the effects of forest fire smoke exposure on a common group who are prone to health risks from such exposure. The data also reflect the baseline acute effect of forest fire smoke exposure on this group.

In conclusion, forest fire smoke exposure increases respiratory and non-respiratory symptoms among healthy individuals even when personal protective equipment is used during the fire. Acute respiratory symptoms include increased exhale CO, increased predicted COHb, and reduced lung function (obstructive and restrictive pattern). Long-term health effects not only on the respiratory system but also other organ systems warrant further evaluation.
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