Endocannbinoid system mediates the association between gut-microbial diversity and anhedonia/amotivation in a general population cohort
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Abstract
Anhedonia and amotivation are debilitating symptoms and represent unmet therapeutic needs in a range of clinical conditions. The gut-microbiome-endocannabinoid axis might represent a potential modifiable target for interventions. Based on results obtained from animal models, we tested the hypothesis that the endocannabinoid system mediates the association between gut-microbiome diversity and anhedonia/amotivation in a general population cohort. We used longitudinal data collected from 786 volunteer twins recruited as part of the TwinsUK register. Our hypothesis was tested with a multilevel mediation model using family structure as random intercept. The model was set using alpha diversity (within-individual gut-microbial diversity) as predictor, serum and faecal levels of the endocannabinoid palmitoylethanolamide (PEA) as mediator, and anhedonia/amotivation as outcome. PEA is considered the endogenous equivalent of cannabidiol, with increased serum levels believed to have anti-depressive effects, while increased stool PEA levels, reflecting increased excretion, are believed to have opposite, detrimental, effects on mental health. We therefore expected that either reduced serum PEA or increased stool PEA would mediate the association between microbial diversity and anhedonia/amotivation. Analyses were adjusted for obesity, diet, antidepressant use, sociodemographic and technical covariates. Data were imputed using multiple imputation by chained equations. Mean age was 65.2 ± 7.6; 93% of the sample were females. We found a direct, significant, association between alpha diversity and anhedonia/amotivation (β = −0.37; 95%CI: −0.71 to −0.03; P = 0.03). Faecal, but not serum, levels of the endocannabinoid palmitoylethanolamide (PEA) mediated this association: the indirect effect was significant (β = −0.13; 95%CI: −0.24 to −0.01; P = 0.03), as was the total effect (β = −0.38; 95%CI: −0.72 to −0.04; P = 0.03), whereas the direct effect of alpha diversity on anhedonia/amotivation was attenuated fully (β = −0.25; 95%CI: −0.60 to 0.09; P = 0.16). Our results suggest that gut-microbial diversity might contribute to anhedonia/amotivation via the endocannabinoid system. These findings shed light on the biological underpinnings of anhedonia/amotivation and suggest the gut microbiota-endocannabinoid axis as a promising therapeutic target in an area of unmet clinical need.

Introduction
Anhedonia and amotivation are commonly experienced in the general population, with prevalence rates ranging between 15 and 20% [1, 2]. Population-based investigations of these symptoms are increasingly common in the scientific
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literature, given their high prevalence rate and their detrimental impact on functioning [3].

As suggested by large-scale initiatives, such as the Research Domain Criteria (RDoC), research focussed on population-based measures of anhedonia and amotivation might offer unique insight towards aetiological risk factors of severe mental illness and facilitate treatment breakthroughs [3, 4]. Similar to other dimensional constructs (e.g., psychotic-like experiences – PLEs), anhedonia and amotivation in otherwise “healthy” subjects might also have a clinical relevance per se as these symptoms are believed to predate the onset of a wide range of debilitating clinical conditions (psychosis, depression, substance misuse, chronic fatigue, and dementia) [5, 6]. Shedding light on new treatment targets for anhedonia and amotivation is a clinical and research priority, as these symptoms still represent an unmet therapeutic need across diagnostic boundaries [3, 7].

Among the biological correlates of anhedonia and amotivation, the gut-microbiome has been receiving mounting attention given its modifiable nature and the potential implications for therapeutics [8, 9]. Reduced gut-microbial diversity has been consistently associated with a range of conditions manifesting with anhedonia and amotivation [10], such as depression [11], schizophrenia [12] and chronic fatigue [13]. Recent evidence suggests a causal link between reduced gut-microbial diversity and features of mental disorders [14], including anhedonic/amotivational behaviours [10, 15]. However, while a multitude of gut-to-brain paths have been explored, there is no clear evidence to date explaining how perturbations of the gut-microbiome can manifest with mental health phenotypes [16, 17]. In clinical practice, this translates in a number of clinical trials targeting the gut-microbiome reporting negative findings [18], likely due to the lack of specificity of the proposed interventions.

A recent animal study on a well-validated model of depression showed that the relationship between the gut-microbiome and anhedonia is mediated by the endocannabinoid system [19].

These results were not surprising as the exogenous modulation of the endocannabinoid system has well-known effect on anhedonia: acute and chronic administration of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) in otherwise healthy subjects can induce an anhedonic/amotivational syndrome, which can be prevented by cannabidiol (CBD) [20]. CBD inhibits the degradation of the main endogenous cannabinoid agonists, anandamide and 2-acylglicerol, which have well-known anti-(neuro)inflammatory and anti-(neuro)oxidative central properties [21]. Endocannabinoid mediators are mentioned among the molecular targets of the RDoC Positive Valence System as they are believed to play a central role in the pathogenesis of anhedonia/amotivation [21].

Palmitoylethanolamide (PEA) is considered the endogenous equivalent of CBD, as it shares a similar pharmacodynamic [22, 23] (i.e., inhibition of degradation of endocannabinoid agonists). A recent randomised trial in patients with depression showed that the oral supplementation of PEA has beneficial effects on anhedonia and amotivation [24]. Increased circulating levels of PEA are believed to have anti-depressive effects by enhancing the activity of the endocannabinoid agonists [22, 23]; contrarily, increased stool PEA levels, reflecting increased excretion, are believed to have opposite, detrimental, effects on mental health [25, 26].

Blood and stool levels of PEA are influenced by the gut-microbiome composition [23, 27] and this might have downstream effect on the host mental health [28].

Hypothesis and theoretical model

Using longitudinal data collected from a well-validated general population cohort (TwinsUK), we tested the hypothesis that altered gut-microbiome composition leads to anhedonia/amotivation via the endocannabinoid system. This hypothesis is based on the results obtained from a recent animal study that showed that the endocannabinoid system mediates the effect of the gut-microbiome on anhedonia [19].

In particular, based on the aforementioned considerations on PEA, we expected that reduced gut-microbiome diversity would lead to either reduced circulating levels of PEA or increased stool levels of PEA, which in turn would determine more severe anhedonia/amotivation.

We then conducted exploratory analyses to test if any specific gut-microbiome taxa, net of microbial diversity, could explain these associations.

Methods and materials

Study population

We used data from the TwinsUK cohort, a UK-representative cohort of volunteer twins, consisting of over 14,000 adult twins (55% monozygotic and 43% dizygotic) aged between 18 and 101 years.

The initial aim of the TwinsUK registry, which was open in 1992, was to investigate osteoarthritis and osteoporosis in middle-aged women. The registry subsequently expanded to target a wider range of health outcomes and collected a number of behavioural and biological data over the years, including anhedonia/amotivation, gut microbiota profiling, serum, and faecal metabolites data.

Detailed information about the cohort is reported elsewhere [29].

Our study analytic sample included 786 twins (twin-pairs) who had complete data on faecal PEA levels.
TwinsUK received ethics approval and all participants provided informed consent (REC Reference No.: EC04/015).

**Measures**

Stool samples were collected at home by participants and either shipped or brought in person to the clinical research department. Samples were then frozen at −80 °C and sent to Cornell University for sequencing as described previously [30]. In brief, DNA was extracted and targeted amplification of V4 region of the 16s rRNA gene carried out before sequencing using the Illumina MiSeq platform. Generation of microbiota profiles has also been described in detail previously [31]. Sequencing data was screened to remove chimeric sequences generated during library preparation before carrying out de novo clustering of remaining reads into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at 97% identity. The Shannon index was used as a measure of within-individual diversity (alpha diversity) and calculated from raw OTU counts. The first five axes of principal coordinate analysis of the beta diversity (between sample distances; Weighted and Unweighted UniFrac metrics) were extracted to represent between-individual differences in overall microbiome composition. OTU taxonomy was assigned by alignment to the Greengenes database (v13_8) and log transformed (following addition of a pseudo count of 10−6) relative abundances of aggregated counts were used when modelling individual taxa.

PEA concentrations in faeces and serum were obtained using an untargeted LC/MS platform by Metabolon Inc, as previously described [32].

In line with previous factor analyses and meta-analytic evidence [33], anhedonia/amotivation were measured as the sum of a subgroup of seven items from the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), a 14-items self-reported questionnaire sent to the TwinsUK cohort in 2017. The full list of HADS items used to assess anhedonia/amotivation is reported in the Supplement (eTable 1).

The sum obtained from the other 7 items of the HADS is a well-validated measure of anxiety [33].

**Longitudinal data collection timeline**

The collection of gut-microbiome, PEA and HADS data occurred longitudinally. Single measures of gut-microbiome data were collected first, followed by PEA (after ~1 year), and HADS (after ~5 years).

The full data collection timeline, including covariates, is reported in the Supplement (eFig. 1).

**Covariates**

The following covariates, with a known impact on the variables of interest, were taken into account: age; gender; obesity; unhealthy diet; use of antidepressants; technical confounders (microbiome collection method: post or visit; operator for microbial pre-processing; storage time of samples for PEA analysis in fridge and freezer). Obesity was defined when BMI was ≥30. Quality of diet was evaluated with the healthy eating index, with values <60 considered as unhealthy diet [34].

**Statistical analysis**

Analyses were performed using STATA 16.0 and the R package.

Pearson’s correlation analyses were performed to explore the association between microbial diversity measures (alpha and beta), PEA (serum and faecal) and severity of anhedonia/amotivation.

When the association between microbial diversity, PEA and severity of anhedonia/amotivation was significant, the relationship between these three variables was further investigated with a multilevel mediation model using family structure as random intercept, adjusted for the full list of covariates. The multilevel approach was used to take into account the not-independent nature of the observations (twin-pairs).

Exploratory analyses on the association between taxonomic units collapsed at the genus level, PEA and anhedonia/amotivation were performed using linear mixed models correcting for family structure as random intercept and Shannon index, age, BMI and technical covariates as fixed effect; p values were adjusted for multiple testing using the false discovery rate method.

When the association between the relative abundance of taxonomic units, PEA and anhedonia/amotivation was significant, the relationship between these three variables was further investigated with a multilevel mediation model using family structure as random intercept, adjusted for the full list of covariates.

Mediation models were tested using microbial features as predictor, PEA as mediator, and anhedonia/amotivation as outcome. In accordance with the “causal steps approach” by Baron and Kenny [35], we initially tested the direct relationship between predictor (microbial features) and outcome (anhedonia/amotivation); we then tested the mediation by evaluating the indirect relationship between the mediator (PEA) and outcome (anhedonia/amotivation) while controlling for the direct effect between predictor (microbial features) and outcome (anhedonia/amotivation). The indirect effects in our mediation models are the associations of PEA with both microbial features and anhedonia/amotivation. If the confidence intervals for the coefficient of the indirect effects does not include zero, one can conclude that the indirect effect is significant, and that mediation is present.
When models revealed presence of mediation, we re-tested them using anxiety as an outcome to investigate specificity of findings for anhedonia/amotivation.

In all models, the mediator PEA had complete data. There was missing data in predictor (microbial data) and outcomes (HADS) of 12.2% and 32.7%, respectively. Missing data among covariates ranged from 12.7% and 46.3% (antidepressant use). Missing data were imputed (20 imputed datasets) using multiple imputation by chained equations. To predict missing data, we used all variables selected for analysis models. Among microbial features, only alpha diversity measures were imputed, as beta diversity metrics were the result of principal coordinate analyses.

Results

Descriptive analysis

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the analytic sample. As expected, given the original aim of the TwinsUK registry, the majority of twins were middle-aged/elder women. Less than a third of our sample was obese and only a small minority were using antidepressants.

Exploratory analyses: microbial diversity

We first investigated the association between microbial diversity metrics (alpha and beta), PEA levels (serum and faecal) and anhedonia/amotivation. Exploratory correlation analyses showed that alpha diversity had a significant negative association with both faecal PEA levels ($\beta = -0.31\); $P < 0.001$) and severity of anhedonia/amotivation ($\beta = -0.10\); $P = 0.02$). Faecal, but not serum, PEA was found to have a significant positive association with anhedonia/amotivation ($\beta = 0.13\); $P < 0.01$; see also eTable 2).

Some of the beta diversity components were independently associated with either faecal PEA levels or anhedonia/amotivation. No beta diversity measures were associated with serum levels of PEA (see eTable 3).

Mediation model 1: microbial diversity as predictor

Based on these initial findings we tested a mediation model with alpha diversity as predictor, faecal PEA as mediator and severity of anhedonia/amotivation as an outcome.

We initially tested the direct association between alpha diversity and anhedonia/amotivation, which was statistically significant ($\beta = -0.37\); 95%CI: $-0.71\) to $-0.03\); $P = 0.03$). Then, we tested if faecal levels of PEA mediated this association. Results of the unadjusted model (Fig. 1) suggested that PEA completely mediates the association between alpha diversity and anhedonia/amotivation: the indirect effect was significant ($\beta = -0.13\); 95%CI: $-0.24\) to $-0.01\); $P = 0.03$, as was the total effect ($\beta = -0.38\); 95%CI: $-0.72\) to $-0.04\); $P = 0.03$, whereas the direct effect of predictor on the outcome was attenuated fully ($\beta = -0.25\); 95%CI: $-0.60\) to $0.09\); $P = 0.16$). Similar results were obtained when the model was adjusted for basic demographics/technical confounders (Fig. 1, Model A) and for the whole set of covariates (Fig. 1, Model B).

Contrarily, when anxiety was set as the outcome instead of anhedonia/amotivation, there was no evidence of mediation (indirect effect: $\beta = -0.05\); 95%CI: $-0.13\) to $0.14\); $P = 0.94$).

Exploratory analyses: individual genera

We then investigated the association between taxonomic units collapsed at the genus level, faecal PEA and anhedonia/amotivation. This analysis was meant to investigate if any specific microbial genera contribute to anhedonia/amotivation via PEA.

The relative abundance of two taxa (Blautia and Dorea) was significantly associated with both faecal PEA and anhedonia/amotivation.

Mediation model 2: genera as predictors

The relative abundance of Blautia and Dorea was used in two separate mediation models as a predictor, with faecal PEA as mediator, and severity of anhedonia/amotivation as an outcome. We found no statistically significant mediation. However, the mediation model using the relative abundance of Blautia as predictor showed a significant total effect (unadjusted model: $N = 529\); $\beta = 0.49\); 95%CI: 0.25–0.72; $P < 0.001$; fully adjusted model: $N = 321\); $\beta = 0.40\); 95%CI: 0.07–0.73; $P = 0.02$; and a statistic trend for the
indirect effect (unadjusted model: $N = 529; \beta = 0.05; 95\% \text{ CI}: -0.01$ to $0.11; P = 0.06$; fully adjusted model: $N = 321; \beta = 0.07; 95\% \text{ CI}: -0.01$ to $0.15; P = 0.07$). The direct effect of *Blautia* remained significant in both the unadjusted and fully adjusted models. These results might suggest a partial mediation of PEA on the association between the relative abundance of *Blautia* and severity of anhedonia/amotivation.

### Discussion

This paper provides the first evidence obtained in a large population cohort in support of the hypothesis that modifications of the endocannabinoid system mediate the association between the diversity of the gut microbiota and anhedonia/amotivation. Our findings are line with those recently obtained in an animal model of depression [19] and support a theoretical model where reduced microbial diversity corresponded with increased excretion of PEA, which in turn led to more severe anhedonia/amotivation. (Fig. 1).

The observed association between reduced alpha diversity and more severe anhedonia/amotivation is line with the view of high diversity as an hallmark of a healthy gut microbiome [36]. However, evidence on the association between reduced gut microbiota diversity and clinical conditions manifesting with anhedonia/amotivation (e.g., depression, schizophrenia) is mixed [10]. One hypothesis is that these mixed results might stem from the high heterogeneity of the clinical conditions examined. Therefore, although they are present across diagnoses, anhedonia and amotivation are not always part of the clinical presentation of these conditions [3, 7]. In this context, the use of categorical diagnoses might translate into conflicting findings. In line with this hypothesis, one recent study showed that reduced microbial diversity in the gut was associated with the severity of anhedonia/amotivation in psychotic illness, rather than with the diagnosis per se [37].

Faecal, but not serum, levels of PEA were associated with more severe anhedonia/amotivation. In the context of the endocannabinoid system, PEA acts as an inhibitor of the fatty acid amid hydrolase (FAAH) [26], the main catabolic enzyme of the endocannabinoid agonists anandamide (AEA) and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG). Endocannabinoid agonists are protective towards mental health by providing inhibitory regulatory feedback at the synaptic level and by modulating the excitatory/inhibitory balance in the brain [21]. Increased levels of faecal PEA suggest increased
excretion of this metabolite [32]. The resulting lack of inhibition on FAAH and the subsequent increased catabolism of AEA and 2-AG provide an explanation for a detrimental effect of increased PEA excretion on anhedonia/amotivation [26]. These considerations are in line with results of a recent randomised clinical trial showing beneficial effects on anhedonia/amotivation of add-on PEA in depressed subject [24]. PEA has also anti-inflammatory actions (blockage peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors γ) [22]. Given the well-known link between inflammation and mental health [38], it is possible that the effect of PEA on anhedonia/amotivation might follow a complementary biological path to the endocannabinoid system. Increased PEA, and related metabolites, are also observed in inflammatory bowel disease alongside a similarly reduced gut microbiota diversity; where direct inhibitory effects of PEA on growth of certain gut commensals has been demonstrated in vitro. The existence of alternative biological paths linking the gut-microbiome with brain functions and behaviour phenotypes is in line with previous literature [10] and with our findings on beta diversity. In our analytic sample, similarly to findings on alpha diversity, measures of beta diversity were associated with more severe anhedonia/amotivation. However, this association was not mediated by PEA. It is possible that beta diversity could influence mental health through gut-brain pathways other than the endocannabinoid system, such as the inflammatory or vagal system [16].

Alternatively, these wide-scale compositional changes may also reflect shifts in the microbiome in response to disease itself or lifestyle changes associated with anhedonia/amotivation.

The implications of PEA for anhedonia/amotivation are in line with the RDoC initiative, which highlights the endocannabinoid system as a molecular target for symptoms associated with the positive valence system [39] (in particular, reward evaluation/expectancy – regulation of anhedonic/amotivational experience and behaviour). Whilst faecal metabolites are considered an accurate functional readout of the gut-microbiome [32], serum metabolites are subject to multiple peripheral influences (e.g., metabolism, inflammation, etc.). The greater variability resulting from these influences might explain the lack of association between serum PEA and anhedonia/amotivation.

In line with aforementioned considerations on faecal metabolites and gut-microbiome, only faecal, but not serum, PEA levels were inversely associated with microbial diversity. Findings of the association between PEA and gut-microbiome diversity is in line with a large body of literature that is currently investigating the interplay between the endocannabinoid system and the gut-microbiome in a wide range of health outcomes.

It has been proposed that the PEA might act as a regulator of the gut-barrier (“gate-keeper”) by preventing leakage of bacterial antigens from the gut lumen to the blood stream (“metabolic endotoxemia”) [23, 27]. Reduced gut-microbial diversity is associated with increased gut-permeability and metabolic endotoxemia [10], so the association with PEA is not surprising.

Findings on the mediation operated by PEA on the association between gut-microbial diversity and anhedonia/amotivation fills an important gap in knowledge on the literature on the topic. Even if obtained from a general population cohort, these findings shed light on an important, potentially modifiable, biological pathway associated with debilitating symptoms that often represent early signs of severe clinical conditions.

Research on therapeutics targeting the gut-microbiome needs to take into account the role of the endocannabinoid system in mediating the effect on mental health. For anhedonia/amotivation this is greatly relevant, because these symptoms are unmet therapeutic needs in a range of clinical conditions, such as schizophrenia, depression, chronic fatigue and dementia. Of note, measures of gut microbiome and PEA were obtained before those related to anhedonia/amotivation (see eFig. 1). Future studies should investigate the predictive and prognostic value of gut-microbiome-endocannabinoid interactions in clinical populations suffering with anhedonia/amotivation.

Limitations

This work has limitations: (1) we cannot exclude reverse causality in the mediation model; variables of interest were collected over time (microbiome first – PEA second – anhedonia/amotivation at last), so the one presented here was the only model that was possible to test; however, the relationship between the included variables is supported by previous evidence and consistent theoretical ground; (2) measures of predictor, mediator and outcome were not repeated; however the longitudinal data collection partially corrected for this bias; (3) anhedonia/amotivation were measured with a subgroup of items of the HADS, which was not specifically designed for the assessment of these symptoms; however: (i) a number of factorial analyses showed internal consistency and reliability of these subgroup of items, which are considered by most literature as representative of anhedonia/amotivation [33]; and (ii) these items clearly overlap with those reported in other, well-validated, measures of anhedonia/amotivation (see also eTable 1); (4) findings were obtained on a general population cohort; future studies should investigate the relevance of the model in clinical samples by using measures of anhedonia that can differentiate between anticipatory and
consummatory components, such as the recently developed brief negative symptoms scale (BNSS); (5) data on some covariates were missing leading to loss of power in complete case analysis; however, the imputed data partially corrected for this bias; (6) there is evidence suggesting that women are more likely to be affected by cannabinoids than men [40]; considering the characteristics of our samples (93% females), it is important for future studies to investigate if the mediating effect of endocannabinoids on the relationship between gut-microbial diversity and anhedonia/amotivation might be influenced by gender.

The main strengths are the large sample size, the longitudinal design and the use of a well-known data registry (TwinsUK – see Verdi et al. [29], for a detailed description of the sample). To protect against multiple testing, the TwinsUK registry provide data only upon hypothesis-based requests. Therefore, pre-registration was not required, as our hypothesis was outlined in the data request form (TwinsUK E1019).

Finally, findings on gut-microbial taxonomic features are in line with a growing body of evidence showing that the overall composition (and functional readout) of the gut-microbiome, rather than specific taxonomic features, have implications for the host health [41, 42]. In fact, none of the bacterial species, with the exception of Blautia, seemed to contribute to interplay between PEA and anhedonia/amotivation. Findings on Blautia are interesting, as they are in line with previous evidence suggesting a role in depression [43], however these are limited to not-significant statistical trends and need replication.

In conclusion, our results suggest that gut-microbial diversity might contribute to anhedonia/amotivation via the endocannabinoid system. These findings shed light on the biological underpinnings of anhedonia/amotivation and suggest the gut microbiota-endocannabinoid axis as a promising therapeutic target in an area of unmet clinical need.
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