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Spectral response functions are central quantities in the analysis of quantum many-body states, since they describe the response of many-body systems to external perturbations and hence directly correspond to observables in experiments. In this paper, we evaluate a momentum-averaged dynamical density structure factor for the fermionic \(\nu = 1/3\) fractional quantum Hall (FQH) state on a torus, using the continued fraction method to compute the dynamical correlation function. We establish the scaling behaviour of the screened Coulomb structure factor with respect to interaction range, and expose an inherent self-similarity of structure factors in the frequency domain. These results highlight the statistical properties of spectral response functions for FQH states and show how they can be efficiently approximated in numerical models.

1. Introduction

One of the key observables yielding insights into interacting quantum systems is the dynamical structure factor \(S(q, \omega)\), which captures the complete momentum- and energy-resolved spectrum of particle excitations. Apart from its central role in the dynamics of quantum many-body systems, the structure factor has
a number of appealing properties that stimulate a broad range of research. In particular, we focus on its application in fractional quantum Hall (FQH) systems, which have been known for several decades to host a rich spectrum of collective modes [1–4], and have been extended to both lattice models [5,6] and effective field theories [7,8]. Since the structure factor is directly related to the correlation function, it can be computed in a variety of ways, such as via Feynman diagram resummation [3] or continued fractions [9]. Moreover, the structure factor can be directly probed in two-dimensional electron gases, e.g., via surface acoustic waves [10,11], and analysed using Raman scattering to reveal additional spin properties [12]. Despite its rich structure and experimental applicability, however, numerical studies that systematically investigate the spectral response of FQH states have only recently gained traction [5,8,13–17].

In this paper, we study a type of dynamical density structure factor1 for the $\nu = 1/3$ fermionic Laughlin state on a torus, using the continued fraction method to compute the dynamical correlation function. In particular, two aspects of the structure factor are investigated: (i) the effect of interaction range and (ii) self-similarity. We start by tuning between the $V_1$ and screened Coulomb interactions to reveal the scaling behaviour of the structure factor with respect to interaction range. Then, motivated by the fractality of continued fraction Green’s functions [19,20], we study the self-similarity of structure factors for long-range interactions in the frequency domain. In both cases, we present systematic exact diagonalization computations, which we scale with system size. Our results expose the scaling behaviour of the structure factor with respect to interaction range, which reflects the functional form of the interaction. Moreover, we reveal that FQH dynamical structure factors are statistically self-similar fractals in the frequency domain, across several orders of magnitude. Apart from providing a deeper insight into the spectral properties of FQH systems, these results may be exploited to compute response functions more efficiently.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In §2, we define our FQH system, and in §3, we describe the method for computing and analysing the structure factors. Subsequently, in §4, we present our exact diagonalization results. In §4a, we tune the structure factors between the $V_1$ and Coulomb interactions and study the effect of screening. In §4b, we examine the self-similarity of the Coulomb structure factor as the frequency domain is rescaled. Finally, in §5, we discuss the implications with respect to future numerical investigations.

2. Model

We consider a two-dimensional system of $N_f$ spin-polarized fermions of mass $m_f$ and charge $q_f$ in a perpendicular magnetic field $B$ on the $xy$-plane with periodic boundary conditions. Building on earlier work [4,21–23], the torus geometry has recently experienced a revival of interest [5,6,24–29], which motivates our choice. We consider the Landau gauge such that the momentum $k_y$ is a good quantum number. The energy spectrum of this FQH set-up is split into Landau levels, the lowest of which we fill up to a filling factor $\nu = N_f/N\Phi$, where $N\Phi$ is the number of flux quanta in the system. Moreover, we focus on the regime where the interaction is weak compared with the Landau level spacing (given by the cyclotron frequency $\omega_c = q_f B/m_f$). Hence, to a good approximation, we may project the interaction Hamiltonian to the lowest Landau level (LLL), such that

$$H = H_{\text{kin}} + \sum_{i<j}^{N_f} P_{\text{LLL}} V(|r_i - r_j|) P_{\text{LLL}},$$

(2.1)

where $H_{\text{kin}}$ is the constant kinetic part of the Hamiltonian, $P_{\text{LLL}}$ is the LLL projection operator, $V$ is the interaction potential and $r_i$ is the displacement of particle $i$. The relevant length scale in the problem is the magnetic length $l_B = 1/\sqrt{q_f B}$.

1We note that the dynamical density structure factor is also known as the density-density response function [18] or spectral function of the density operator [8] in related works.
In this paper, we consider the Coulomb $V_C(r) \sim r^{-1}$ and Yukawa $V_Y(r) \sim r^{-1} e^{-\lambda r}$ interactions explicitly by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian directly in Fourier space, where $\lambda$ is the Yukawa mass. However, we note that it is not always necessary or desirable to directly account for a long-range interaction in this way. Haldane showed that for systems with a translation and rotation invariant two-body interaction, the interaction Hamiltonian may be written as

$$H_{\text{int}} = \sum_{i<j} \sum_{L} V_L P^L_{ij},$$

where $V_L$ are the Haldane pseudopotentials, $L$ is the relative angular momentum quantum number between particles $i$ and $j$, and $P^L_{ij}$ is the corresponding projection operator. This simplifies a certain class of long-range interactions into a simple sum of projectors, which has found a diverse set of applications from accelerating early numerical computations on the sphere, through to modelling realistic semiconductor heterojunctions [24]. Consequently, we complement our analysis by using a Haldane pseudopotential formalism in Sec. SI of the electronic supplementary material.

Throughout our study, we focus on the primary Laughlin state defined at the filling factor $\nu = 1/3$. Laughlin famously proposed a wave function ansatz for the ground state of a FQH system with particles interacting via the Coulomb potential in a $1/m$-filled LLL, where $m$ is an odd integer. Although the Laughlin ansatz is a successful description of the problem, since it is in the correct universality class, it is not the exact ground state for the Coulomb interaction. Rather, it was later shown to be the unique, highest-density, zero-energy state for the $V_1$ Haldane pseudopotential. In this paper, we investigate the $\nu = 1/3$ state in both limits. When we discuss the ‘Laughlin state’, we refer to the general ground state solution to a FQH system with a $1/m$-filled LLL and not the Laughlin ansatz wave function, in particular.

3. Method

In this section, we outline our numerical method. In §3a, we introduce the continued fraction algorithm for computing dynamical structure factors and in §3b, we define fractals and self-similar distributions.

(a) Structure factors

In order to efficiently find the eigenspectrum of the many-body Hamiltonian in equation (2.1), we employ the Lanczos algorithm [9]. This method uses an orthogonal Krylov basis, in which the original Hamiltonian $H$ is transcribed to a tridiagonal form $\tilde{H}$, to compute the eigenbasis

$$H |\psi_i\rangle = E_i |\psi_i\rangle \quad \text{with } i = 0, \ldots, N - 1$$

and

$$\tilde{H} |\tilde{\psi}_j\rangle = \tilde{E}_j |\tilde{\psi}_j\rangle \quad \text{with } j = 0, \ldots, M - 1,$$

where the check marks denote the Krylov representation, $N$ is the dimension of the original Hamiltonian $H$ and $M \leq N$ is the dimension of the Lanczos Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$. Tridiagonalization in the Krylov space is rapid, since many degrees of freedom are simultaneously used in the optimization, and memory efficient, since only two vectors of length $N$ need to be stored.\(^2\) Moreover, there is typically good agreement between extremal eigenvalues in the Krylov representation $\tilde{E}_j$ and those in the original system $E_i$, even for $M \ll N$ [9,30,31]. Further details of the method are presented in Sec. SII of the electronic supplementary material.

The Lanczos algorithm was later extended by Haydock et al. and applied to compute observables in physical systems with a large number of particles [32–37]. In particular, Haydock showed that the resolvent of the Hamiltonian can be efficiently computed using a continued fraction expansion, which is useful for calculating local quantities, such as the single-particle

\(^2\)An additional third vector may be stored to restart the algorithm from a specific point.
density matrix and the density of states. Crucially, when the original Hamiltonian \( H \) is written as a tridiagonal Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} \) in the Krylov basis, the problem is effectively reduced to a chain of length \( M \), which expedites the computation. The algorithm is consequently a widely used approach in large-scale exact diagonalization computations for quantum many-body systems and has been optimized to diagonalize sparse matrices as large as \( \dim(H) \sim 10^9 \) [38–40].

For our system, we work in momentum space and consider the zero-temperature dynamical correlation function for the operator \( O_q \) in the Lehmann representation, which using the Krylov basis may be approximated as

\[
\hat{G}_O(q, z) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \frac{|\langle \hat{\Psi}_j | O_q | \Psi_0 \rangle|^2}{E_0 + z - \hat{E}_j} = \langle \Psi_0 | O_q^{\dagger} \frac{1}{E_0 + z - \hat{H}} O_q | \Psi_0 \rangle,
\]

where \( q \equiv (q_x, q_y) \) are the Fourier components of the \( O \) operator, \( z \equiv \omega + i\epsilon \), \( \omega \) is the frequency and \( \epsilon \) is a small parameter used to avoid poles in the expansion. From this formula, it is straightforward to show that for the symmetric tridiagonal Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} \), with \((b_j) a_j \) along the (sub)diagonal, the correlation function may be written as a continued fraction

\[
\hat{G}_O(q, z) = \frac{\langle \Psi_0 | O_q^{\dagger} O_q | \Psi_0 \rangle}{E_0 + z - a_0 - b_1^2/(E_0 + z - a_1 - b_2^2/\ldots)},
\]

which terminates at \(-b_{M-1}^2/(z - a_{M-1})\). This form of the correlation function converges rapidly to machine precision [31].

Specifically, we focus on the density-density correlation functions arising from the density operator

\[
\rho_q \equiv \int \text{d}r e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} c^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) c(\mathbf{r}), \tag{3.6}
\]

where \( \mathbf{r} \equiv (x, y) \) is the position operator conjugate to \( \mathbf{q} \). Given our choice of Landau gauge with definite momentum \( k_y \), we are particularly interested in resolving the \( q_y \) Fourier components of the density operator. We therefore choose to integrate out the \( q_x \) modes on the torus to avoid an additional free variable and consider the \( q_x \)-momentum-averaged density operator, setting

\[
O_{q_y} \equiv \bar{\rho}_{q_y} = \sum_{m=0}^{N_q-1} \rho_{q_y = (2\pi m/L_x) q_y}
\]

where \( L_x \times L_y \) are the system dimensions. We have separately verified, by evaluating at specific \( q_x \) values, that the density operator is only weakly dependent on \( q_x \). The full derivation of the momentum-averaged density operator is presented in Sec. SIII of the electronic supplementary material. Finally, we may use this operator to compute the corresponding dynamical density structure factor

\[
\hat{I}_{\rho}(q_y, \omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \text{Im} \hat{G}_\rho(q_y, \omega + i\epsilon),
\]

which we often refer to simply as the ‘structure factor’. The crucial property of the continued fraction expansion is that the structure factor in the Krylov representation \( \hat{I} \) accurately reproduces the moments of the structure factor in the Hilbert representation \( I \), and so we now drop the check marks [9].

(b) Fractals and self-similarity

In this work, we investigate the self-similarity of the structure factors \( I_\rho(q_y, \omega) \). Fractals and self-similarity appear in many contexts in condensed matter physics, such as the Hofstadter spectrum of energy levels for electrons hopping in a periodic potential [41], the Haldane hierarchy of stable
FQH filling fractions [42], and the statistical analysis of time series [43]. Moreover, they have several important characteristics that can often be leveraged in theory and simulations.

A fractal is defined as an object with a fractal dimension $D$ that is greater than its topological dimension $d$ [44]. The fractal dimension may be computed in a variety of ways, however is traditionally defined via $n \equiv s^{-D}$, where $n$ is the number of units in the whole object and $s$ is the scale factor. One of the distinctive properties of fractals is their scale-invariance, also known as self-similarity, where subregions of a structure are identical to the whole. However, we note that not all self-similar objects are fractals. For example, a square is a self-similar object with $D = d = 2$, whereas a Koch curve is a fractal with $D = \log(4) / \log(3) > d$ [45].

As for the fractional dimension above, self-similarity may also be defined differently depending on the context. Exact self-similarity holds on all scales, and in this case the various definitions of the fractal dimension coincide. However, quasi- or multi-fractal self-similarity is more common, with lower and upper bounds on where this behaviour applies. In functional analysis, self-similarity occurs when a subsection of a function statistically resembles the entire function. Specifically, for a function of one variable $I(\omega)$, this occurs when

$$I(\omega) \equiv s^\kappa I(s)$$

where $s$ is a scale factor and $\kappa$ is the self-similarity parameter [46]. In equation (3.9), ‘≡’ implies that the distributions on both sides of the equation are statistically identical. However, in practice, this is approximated by examining the first and second moments [46–48].

In contrast to geometric analysis, a general function of one variable is in a two-dimensional space where each axis represents different physical quantities. Consequently, two magnification factors are required to quantify self-similarity, such that

$$\kappa \equiv \log M_y / \log M_x$$

where $M_i$ is the magnification factor of the $i$-axis. Note that this takes an analogous form to the definition of fractal dimension discussed above, albeit with a different interpretation. The fractional dimension of a function is often difficult to quantify. However, since the demonstration of self-similarity for any non-trivial curve indicates detail across many orders of magnitude, which precludes an integer dimension, this is taken as evidence to show that a curve is a fractal with respect to the axes on which the magnification occurs.

4. Results

In this section, we present our exact diagonalization results [49]. In §4a, we investigate the scaling of structure factors as we tune from the $V_1$ to the screened Coulomb interaction, and in §4b, we expose a statistical self-similarity of structure factors in the frequency domain.

(a) Tuning the interaction range

We compute the momentum-averaged dynamical density structure factor $I_\bar{\rho}$ for the $\nu = 1/3$ Laughlin state stabilized by a linear superposition of the $V_1$ Haldane pseudopotential [42] and an explicit $V_Y(q)$ Yukawa interaction. In this system, the interaction Hamiltonian is given as $H_{\text{int}} = (1 - \alpha)H_{V_1} + \alpha H_{V_Y}$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. The tuning parameter $\alpha$ allows us to interpolate between two common ground state solutions in the same universality class, and the Yukawa mass $\lambda$ enables us to vary the interaction range and recover the Coulomb limit.

In figure 1a,b, we start by computing the structure factors corresponding to the two most common approaches for stabilizing the $\nu = 1/3$ Laughlin state, via the $V_1$ and Coulomb interactions. We present our initial results for all $q_y$ momentum sectors. Since the structure factor defined in equation (3.8) conserves particle number, these plots show the coupling of the ground state to gapped excitations and consist of a spectrum of peaks at finite frequency. As expected: the structure factor corresponding to the $V_1$ interaction yields a broader spread of frequencies, due to
Figure 1. Tuning the structure factor with respect to interaction range. Structure factors $I_\rho(q_y, \omega)$ as a function of angular frequency offset by the ground state energy $\omega - \omega_0$, for the $\nu = 1/3$ FQH state on a torus, with $N_p = 6$ particles and $N_{\Phi} = 18$ flux quanta, stabilized by (a) the $V_1 = 1$ pseudopotential, (b) the exact Coulomb interaction $V^c$ and (c–e) $H_{\text{int}} = (1 - \alpha)H_{\Phi} + \alpha H_{\gamma}$, in the LLL. In (a,b), the spectra are resolved with respect to their $q_y$ momentum sector, whereas in (c–e) $q_y = 0$. The mean, $\mu$, and standard deviation, $\sigma$, of offset angular frequencies coinciding with spectral peaks, $\omega_{\text{peak}}$, are shown as a function of (c) $\alpha$ and (d) $\lambda$. (e) Finite-size scaling of the $\alpha = 1$ curve from (d). The transition points between the two regimes are marked with crosses. The computations were performed with a resolution of (a,b) $\Delta \omega = 10^{-3}$, $\Delta l = 10^{-3}$, $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$ and (c–e) $\Delta \omega = 10^{-7}$, $\Delta l = 10^{-4}$, $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$.

the normalization of the $V_1 = 1$ pseudopotential [14]; the relative peak amplitudes are consistent in the two cases, owing to the dominant $V_1$ component of the Coulomb interaction [13,16]; and the shape of both distributions is unimodal, according to the theory for Laughlin states.\(^3\) Up to

\(^3\)In the literature, these collective peaks are typically $q_y$-momentum-resolved and may sometimes be referred to simply as peaks [14,15], or collective modes [13,16] in certain contexts.
slight variations in the number and heights of the peaks, the overall shape of the envelope holds for all runs and for all \( q_y \), and there is a close resemblance between the structure factors of these two FQH states.

Motivated by the effect that interaction range has on the form of the structure factors, in figure 1c,d, we tune \( I_{\beta}(0, \omega) \) from figure 1a,b, with respect to \( \alpha \) and \( \lambda \), at the increased resolution of \( \Delta \omega = 10^{-7} \) and \( \epsilon = 10^{-6} \).\(^4\) We note that decreasing \( \epsilon \) has the auxiliary effect of proportionally increasing the peak amplitudes and decreasing the peak widths. We present the evolution of the first two moments of the distribution: the mean (top panels) and standard deviation (bottom panels). In this case, we consider the offset angular frequencies coinciding with spectral peaks, \( \omega_{\text{peak}} \), and use their mean, \( \mu_{\omega_{\text{peak}}} \), and standard deviation, \( \sigma_{\omega_{\text{peak}}} \), as quantifiers of centre and spread, respectively.\(^5\)

From the constant gradient of the first two moments in figure 1c, we can see that the structure factor scales linearly with the tuning parameter \( \alpha \). This is expected, since we are effectively multiplying the Yukawa interaction by a scale factor modulo a correction from the \( V_1 \) term. Subsequently, in figure 1d, we plot the scaling of the structure factor on different axes, to clearly show the influence of \( \lambda \). As \( \alpha \to 0 \), the structure factor does not depend on \( \lambda \), since there is a vanishing component of the Yukawa interaction in the Hamiltonian. Similarly, the influence of \( \lambda \) increases linearly with \( \alpha \). Most notably, however, we observe two non-trivial scaling regimes for the structure factor as \( \alpha \to 1 \). For \( \log \lambda \lesssim -1 \), the structure factor is approximately independent of \( \lambda \), whereas for \( \log \lambda > -1 \), the centre and spread exponentially diminish to zero. This behaviour reflects the exponential suppression of the Yukawa interaction potential at large Yukawa mass, which correspondingly restricts the domain of the response functions.

To investigate this transition in detail, in figure 1c, we illustrate the finite-size scaling of the \( \alpha = 1 \) curve from the top panel of figure 1d on a log-log plot. Here, we see explicitly that the continuous connection between \( \alpha \) and \( \lambda \) translates to a non-trivial scaling with respect to \( \lambda \), with two regimes. Connecting lines of best fit from these two regions yields a transition point at \( \log \lambda \approx 0 \). Using equation (3.10), with \( M_x \) corresponding to \( \lambda \) and \( M_y \) corresponding to \( \mu_{\omega_{\text{peak}}} \), we obtain the self-similarity parameters \( \kappa_{\mu} = 0.00116 \) and \( -2.67 \) for \( \log \lambda \lesssim 0 \) and \( \log \lambda > 0 \), respectively. This reflects the asymptotic scaling of the Yukawa interaction potential in the small and large \( \lambda \) limits. Note that we used a linear scale for \( \alpha \) in figure 1c, since this corresponds to linearly interpolating between two Hamiltonians, whereas we use a logarithmic scale for \( \lambda \), to analyse a wide scope of interaction ranges.\(^6\)

In this section, we have established the scaling of structure factors with respect to \( \alpha \) and \( \lambda \) in the framework of statistical self-similarity, and showed that it reflects the functional form of the interaction. Moreover, the scaling is not exactly self-similar, since the combined effect of peak fluctuations due to microscopic details of the Hamiltonian, and numerical noise due to sample aliasing, yields approximately 1% fluctuations in peak number and amplitude.

(b) Rescaling the frequency domain

Previously, we demonstrated that the structure factor scales trivially with respect to a linear interpolation between the \( V_1 \) and screened Coulomb interactions, and non-trivially with respect to interaction range, reflecting the functional form of the interaction. In both cases, this scaling is the result of tuning parameters; namely, \( \alpha \) and \( \lambda \). In this section, we investigate a form of self-similarity with respect to the frequency domain, which cannot be explicitly linked to a tuning parameter.

In figure 2, we investigate the distribution of the peak magnitudes, \( I_{\text{peak}} \), in the Coulomb structure factor from figure 1b, as we scale the frequency domain. For clarity, we denote

\(^4\)Although the evolution is shown only for \( q_y = 0 \), this analysis holds for all momentum sectors.

\(^5\)In figure 1, we chose to study the self-similarity of \( |\alpha_{\text{peak}}| \) with respect to the \( \alpha \) and \( \lambda \) axes. However, analogous relations also hold for \( I_{\text{peak}} \).

\(^6\)The interaction matrix elements are too small for \( \lambda \geq 10^3 \) to reliably stabilize the fermionic Laughlin state.
Figure 2. Rescaling the structure factor in the frequency domain. Structure factor $I_{\bar{\rho}}(0, \omega)$ for the $v = 1/3$ FQH state on a torus, with $N_f = 6, 7, 8, 9$ particles, stabilized by the exact Coulomb interaction $V^c$, in the LLL. For comparison, we overlay the $N_f = 9$ data at $q_x = 0$ with half opacity. (a) Mean, $\mu$, and standard deviation, $\sigma$, of the peaks of the structure factor, $I_{\text{peak}}$, as we symmetrically scale the $\Omega_0$ domain about its midpoint $\omega_{\text{mid}} = (\omega_{\text{min}} + \omega_{\text{max}})/2$, by a scale factor $\gamma \equiv \text{range}(\Omega)/\text{range}(\Omega_0)$. The initial frequency domain, $\Omega_0$, is chosen to span the entire structure factor. For each iteration, we correspondingly scale $\epsilon$, to reduce the widths of the peaks, and $\Delta \omega$ to increase our numerical resolution. The lines of best fit for the $N_f = 9$ data in the linear regions are drawn in black and the self-similarity parameters are given by the gradients of the slopes. (b) The magnitude of the $\Omega$ domain reduction between successive steps, $\Delta \text{range}(\Omega_i) \equiv \text{range}(\Omega_i) - \text{range}(\Omega_{i-1})$, where $i$ is the frequency domain index, and the average separation between $\omega_{\text{peak}}$ values, $\mu_{\Delta \omega_{\text{peak}}}$. (c) The number of peaks, $n(\{I_{\text{peak}}\})$, and (d) the average peak magnitude, $\mu_{I_{\text{peak}}}$, as we scale $\Omega$. The first computation with $\Omega = \Omega_0$ was performed with a resolution of $\Delta \omega = 10^{-5}$, $\Delta I = 10^{-5}$ and $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$.

angular frequencies with a lower-case $\omega$ and a set of angular frequencies with an upper-case $\Omega \equiv \{\omega\}$. We consider an initial frequency domain $\Omega_0$ with $\text{range}(\Omega_0) = \omega_{\text{max}} - \omega_{\text{min}}$, which we scale symmetrically about its midpoint $\omega_{\text{mid}} = (\omega_{\text{min}} + \omega_{\text{max}})/2$, by a scale factor $\gamma \equiv \text{range}(\Omega)/\text{range}(\Omega_0)$. We choose $\Omega_0$ to span the entire structure factor, although we note that the precise choice is arbitrary. In order to keep the scaling numerically consistent, we correspondingly scale the frequency resolution, $\Delta \omega$, and the $\epsilon$ value in our simulations. In figure 2a, we plot the first two moments of the distribution against the domain scale factor to
compute the self-similarity parameters. We additionally scale this with system size up to $N_f = 9$ particles. We find that there is a contiguous linear region, which grows with system size, and has a correlation coefficient of $R^2 > 0.99$\footnote{The $R^2$ value is defined as the square of Pearson’s correlation coefficient for the lines of best fit. Note that $R^2$ cannot be computed when the gradient $\kappa_\sigma \approx 0$.}, which indicates a statistical self-similarity of the Coulomb structure factor with respect to frequency domain rescaling. This behaviour also holds for the $q_x$-momentum-resolved dynamical density structure factor, as demonstrated for $N_f = 9$, $q_x = 0$. The self-similarity parameters for the mean and standard deviation are $\kappa_\mu = -0.97 \pm 0.00706$ and $\kappa_\sigma = -0.000769 \pm 0.0528$. As mentioned in §4a, since a reduction of $\epsilon$ increases the heights of the peaks, it is consistent that the self-similarity parameter $\kappa_\mu \approx -1$. Finite-size scaling shows that the self-similarity parameter for the standard deviation holds deeper into the domain rescaling procedure with increasing system size, and maintains a constant value across the entire procedure for $N_f = 9$, up to sampling effects at small $\Omega$. In figure 2b, we compare the magnitude of the frequency interval reduction between successive steps, $\Delta \mathrm{range}(\Omega_i) \equiv \mathrm{range}(\Omega_i) - \mathrm{range}(\Omega_{i-1})$, where $i$ is the frequency domain index, with the average spacing between the peaks, $\mu \Delta \epsilon_{\mathrm{peak}}$. Since the frequency domain scaling requires a finite section of structure factor peaks to be truncated on each iteration, this allows us to verify the continuity of the procedure. We observe that the average spacing between the peaks is initially smaller than the size of the frequency interval being removed, up to $\gamma \approx 2^{-3.5}$. For smaller values of $\gamma$, breakdowns in the rescaling continuity become more likely, as observed for the $\sigma_{\mathrm{peak}}$, $N_f = 9$ data in figure 2a. However, the average spacing between the peaks remains constant, independent of particle number, which shows that this is not the cause of numerical breakdown for small system sizes. In figure 2c, we examine the number of peaks in the structure factor, $n(I_{\mathrm{peak}})$, with frequency domain rescaling. Since the moments of a distribution are sensitive to the sample size, this is another validity test for the self-similar scaling. We find that the number of peaks in the structure factor decreases exponentially with frequency domain reduction, which holds independently of system size, up to the influence of initial conditions at $\Omega \approx \Omega_0$. Nevertheless, for $\gamma \lesssim 2^{-5.5}$, we find that for smaller particle numbers, there are slightly fewer peaks in the spectrum, which may be a contributing cause of the numerical breakdown for small system sizes, since the number of peaks is already extremely low. Finally, in figure 2d, we analyse the average magnitude of spectral peaks, $\mu I_{\mathrm{peak}}$, as we shrink the frequency domain. Since the height of the peaks increases linearly with decreasing $\epsilon$, we expect that $\mu I_{\mathrm{peak}}$ is constant in the linear region. This holds approximately for larger system sizes, up to initial conditions at $\Omega \approx \Omega_0$. However, for smaller systems, and particularly $N_f = 6$, we see that the mean amplitude of the peaks fluctuates during the procedure, which shows that the influence of peak fluctuations and numerical noise is too great for a reliable scaling.

In general, structure factors for the Yukawa interaction are statistically self-similar with respect to frequency domain rescaling, for all values of screening. This is quantified by the linear scaling of their first two moments, as shown for $\lambda = 0$ in figure 2. However, since the mean and standard deviation of the structure factors approaches zero as $\lambda \to \infty$, as shown in figure 1, this self-similarity is most apparent for long-range interactions.

5. Discussion and conclusion

In this paper, we studied numerically the momentum-averaged dynamical density structure factors $I_{\psi}$ (equation (3.8)) for the $\nu = 1/3$ Laughlin state on the torus, using the continued fraction method. Our main result is the discovery of a statistical self-similarity of the structure factor in the frequency domain. Specifically, in §4b, we showed that the peak distribution has fractal properties across several orders of magnitude. This self-similar nature is realized most precisely and across the largest range of frequency scales in the thermodynamic limit, for systems stabilized by long-range interactions. In addition, in §4a, we established the scaling behaviour of the structure factor with respect to interaction range. This dependence is determined by the asymptotic behaviour of the Yukawa interaction with respect to the screening parameter $\lambda$. 

\[\Delta \mathrm{range}(\Omega_i) = \mathrm{range}(\Omega_i) - \mathrm{range}(\Omega_{i-1}),\]
Physically, the structure factor $I_\vec{\rho}(q_y, \omega)$, with $q_y$ fixed, corresponds to the energy-resolved spectrum of particle excitations. The amplitudes and fine structure in the spectrum of peaks are consequently related to the probability distribution of many-particle excitations in the system. In §4a, we showed that an increase in the interaction range proportionally increases the centre and spread of particle excitations, which is a direct result of the increased average interaction amplitude, as well as the number of interaction permutations. Furthermore, in §4b, we demonstrated the fractality of the structure factors with respect to the energy axis, which reflects the continued chain of possible many-particle interactions with diminishing amplitudes, and is especially prevalent for systems stabilized by long-range interactions. Building on this, we expect that these properties also hold in the dynamical structure factors of other strongly correlated phases of matter with Coulomb-type interactions, such as superconductors [50] or transition metal oxides [51].

Our results highlight the effect of interaction range on, and the self-similarity of, dynamical structure factors $I_\vec{\rho}$ for FQH systems. However, statistical self-similarity is a more general property of response functions in condensed matter systems, and beyond. In particular, there have been a wealth of studies on the self-similarity, fractality and chaos of continued fractions in a mathematical context [19,20], and this is reflected in a wide class of observables derived from Green’s function. We have explicitly demonstrated the fine structure of spectral response functions in the frequency domain, which stems in part from their continued fraction representation. This leaves scope for further manifestations of self-similarity due to this recurrence relation, as well as potential applications. For example, there is a natural limitation to the achievable energy resolution of structure factors derived from experiments, such as inelastic X-ray scattering and photoemission spectroscopies [52,53], which may be numerically enhanced using statistical interpolation. Moreover, dynamical quantum simulators have recently been proposed as a method to compute structure factors [54,55], which may be expedited using self-similarity relations. On a more pragmatic level, our results offer a way to efficiently approximate the Coulomb structure factor. Specifically, for systems stabilized with long-range interactions, the structure factor may be readily derived by diagonalizing a short-range Yukawa interaction Hamiltonian in Fourier space. Large $\lambda$ yields a short-range interaction that is efficient to implement, and, provided the simulation resolution is sufficiently high, this result can be smoothly tuned to the long-range Coulomb limit.

To complement these results, in the electronic supplementary material, we examined the behaviour of dynamical structure factors for FQH states that are stabilized by Haldane pseudopotential interactions, which contrasts the effects of tuning the interaction range via the Yukawa mass, and truncating two-body interactions with large relative angular momenta. We showed that Haldane pseudopotentials are not designed to model long-range interactions on the torus at the system sizes currently accessible, however a reasonable approximation may be achieved, provided that the interactions are modulated to be sufficiently short-range relative to the system size. Using the example of the Coulomb structure factor from figure 1b, we found that the optimal approximation was recovered at pseudopotential order $\beta \sim N_\Phi/2$ with a weakly screened form of the interaction. This demonstrates that, provided sufficient care is taken, Haldane pseudopotentials provide another route to approximate dynamical structure factors for systems stabilized with long-range interactions, at a significantly reduced numerical cost.

There are several ways in which this work could be extended in the future. First, it would be interesting to build on this analysis of ground states in the same universality class at $\nu = 1/3$, to other FQH filling factors, and in particular, ground states that do not share a universality class and inherently require a long-range interaction to be stabilized [56,57]. Second, it would be useful to analyse the trade-off between interaction range/frequency window and simulation resolution using this approach to find the optimal efficiency benefit for a series of FQH states. Finally, there is current motivation to leverage this method and compute the full density-density response function, to identify collective excitations in FQH systems, and guide the latest experimental techniques, including spin wave spectroscopy in graphene [11].
Data accessibility. The functionality to compute spectral response functions for fractional quantum Hall states is available from the DiagHam repository: https://www.nick-ux.org/diagham/svn/DiagHam/. The scripts and data used for this manuscript are available from the Zenodo repository: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7991931.

Additional information is provided in the electronic supplementary material [58].
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