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A B S T R A C T

A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of drip irrigation, fertigation and mulching on coconut (Cocos nucifera L.) var. VHC 3. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with seven treatments and three replications. The treatments comprised of 100% (T1), 80% (T2) and 60% (T3) recommended dose of fertilizer with 100 micron thickness plastic mulch and 100% (T4), 80% (T5) and 60% (T6) recommended dose of fertilizer without plastic mulch. The control was with conventional method of irrigation (basin irrigation) without mulch (T7). The recorded data on the growth and yield of coconut revealed that the plant height, canopy development and other plant growth parameters were higher in the treatment with 100% recommended dose of fertilizer (0.50:0.32:1.20 kg of NPK / tree) with 100 micron thickness plastic mulch (T1) when compared to other treatments. The maximum yield attributes viz., spathe length, number of inflorescence, number of bunches/palm/year and number of nuts/bunch of 127.76 cm, 13, 13 and 31, respectively were recorded in 100 % of recommended dose of drip fertigation with 100 micron plastic mulching. The lowest spathe length, number of inflorescence, number of bunches/palm/year and number of nuts/bunch of 92.16 cm, 8, 8 and 12, respectively were recorded in control treatment without mulch. The number of nuts per palm, an important yield contributing trait was promising with 292 nuts/ palm/ year in 100 % of recommended dose of drip fertigation with 100 micron plastic mulching (T1) treatment. Likewise gradual reductions in weed population, superior water use efficiency and nutrient use efficiency were observed in all the plastic mulch combination treatments when compared with other treatments without mulch.

Key words Plastic mulch, Drip irrigation, Fertigation, Coconut.

Introduction

Coconut (Cocos nucifera L.) is a perennial crop grown mainly in the tropics and subtropics of India, the Philippines, Malaysia, Sri Lanka, and Indian Ocean and South Pacific islands. Coconut is a multi-utility palm that plays a significant role in the economy of these countries, including 10 million farming communities in India (Naresh Kumar et al., 2008). The annual demand for coconut-based products is projected to increase to about 17 billion nuts by 2020 (Naresh Kumar, 2007). Hence, evaluating the effect of precision farming technologies viz., drip irrigation, fertigation and plastic mulching on plant growth and yield of coconut is imperative.
Coconut palm requires large quantities of water per day for its normal growth and yield. Adequate and assured supply of water throughout the year, either by rainfall or by irrigation or by sub-soil moisture is imperative for the successful cultivation of coconut palms. To improve upon the productivity of coconut under moisture stress conditions a package has to be developed.

Plasticulture techniques such as drip irrigation and plastic film mulch have undoubtedly contributed to increase the productivity of agricultural and horticultural crops in many regions of the world. In India, Drip fertigation cum plastic film mulch in coconut has shown promise for increasing productivity. However, very little research data is available on the performance of coconut palms under drip fertigation cum plastic film mulch. With this in view, the trial has been conducted.

**Materials and Methods**

A field experiment was conducted to evaluate the effect of drip fertigation and plastic mulching on plant growth and yield of coconut at Farms of Precision Farming Development Centre, Department of Soil and Water Conservation Engineering, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. The soil of the experimental field was categorized as clay loam. The soil was neutral in reaction with low in organic carbon, available nitrogen and phosphorus and medium in available potassium. Normal weather conditions prevailed during the crop growth period. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with seven treatments and three replications.

**Treatment details**

T1 – 100% RDF- Drip fertigation with mulch
T2 – 80% RDF- Drip fertigation with mulch
T3 – 60% RDF- Drip fertigation with mulch
T4 – 100% RDF- Drip fertigation without mulch
T5 – 80% RDF- Drip fertigation without mulch
T6 - 60% RDF- Drip fertigation without mulch
T7 – Control (conventional method of irrigation and fertilization without mulch)

The pits of 1x1x1 meter were dug with a spacing of 7.5 x 7.5 m and the pits were filled with 50 kg of FYM, red earth and sand mixed at equal proportions. The treatments were allocated to each pit as per random principle. Thereafter, planting of 10 months old quality seedlings of coconut var. VHC-3 was carried on December, 2004. After planting, 100 micron thickness black plastic mulch was laid as 1.5 m diameter from the base of the coconut as per the treatment details. All cultural practices including gap filling, weeding, plant protection measures and other cultural practices were done as per the recommendations of Tamil Nadu Agricultural University. The various growth, yield and quality parameters were recorded at appropriate stages.

**Crop water requirement**

During experimental periods, the irrigation has been given as per the following details.

- First year - 16 lit/plant/day
- Second year - 32 lit/plant/day
- Third year onwards – 72 lit/plant/day

Control – Flood irrigation once in 8 days (Ring basin method)
Fertigation schedule

Monthly once fertigation has been given as per the details furnished in Table 1.

Results and Discussion

Effect of drip fertigation and plastic mulching on coconut

In this experiment, the various plant growth parameters, yield attributes and yield of coconut were observed from the year 2008-09 to 2012-13 and the results shown that the maximum growth of coconut and nut yield were recorded in drip fertigation at 100% of recommended fertilizer dose (0.50:0.32:1.20 kg of NPK/tree) with plastic mulching compared to other treatments. In entire period of study, trees grown under plastic mulch showed a dramatic increase in trunk height, stem girth and number of leaves over trees grown under no mulch. Among different treatments, 100 % of recommended dose of drip fertigation (0.50:0.32:1.20 kg of NPK / tree) (from 4th year onwards) with 100 micron plastic mulching (T1) had registered significantly higher trunk height, stem girth and number of leaves (6.11 m, 145.46 cm and 31.80, respectively) and the lower was in conventional method of irrigation and no mulch trees (T7) (4.79 m, 106.90 cm and 23.30, respectively) (Table 2).

Figure 1 shows the root zone temperature (°C) of coconut as influenced by drip fertigation and mulching. In general, plastic mulches increased the root zone (soil) temperature in relation to non-mulch soil and the increase was 0.50 – 2.30 °C. Data regarding soil temperature under different mulch treatments revealed that plastic mulches increased soil temperature significantly than un-mulched control plots. Suwon and Judah (1985) also reported that soil temperature increased with the use of plastic mulch.

Table.1 Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (RDF) for coconut

| Age                | N (g/tree) | P2O5 (g/tree) | K2O (g/tree) |
|--------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| First year         | 50         | 40           | 135          |
| Second year        | 160        | 120          | 405          |
| Third year         | 330        | 240          | 810          |
| Fourth year onwards| 500        | 320          | 1200         |

Table.2 Height of trunk, trunk girth and number of leaves in coconut as influenced by drip fertigation and plastic mulching

| Treatments                                          | Height of trunk (m) | Trunk girth (cm) | Number of leaves/tree |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| T1 - 100% RDF- Drip fertigation with mulch          | 6.11                | 145.46           | 32                    |
| T2 - 80% RDF- Drip fertigation with mulch           | 5.72                | 138.12           | 29                    |
| T3 - 60% RDF- Drip fertigation with mulch           | 5.51                | 119.50           | 27                    |
| T4 - 100% RDF- Drip fertigation without mulch       | 5.82                | 123.24           | 28                    |
| T5 - 80% RDF- Drip fertigation without mulch        | 5.30                | 117.03           | 26                    |
| T6 - 60% RDF- Drip fertigation without mulch        | 5.27                | 112.70           | 25                    |
| T7 - Control (without mulch)                        | 4.79                | 106.90           | 23                    |
| Sed                                                | 0.40                | 2.98             | 1.24                  |
| CD (0.05)                                          | 0.83                | 6.17             | 2.57                  |
### Table 3: Number of inflorescence in coconut as influenced by drip fertigation and plastic mulching

| Treatments                                | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | Pooled Mean |
|--------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
| T1 - 100% RDF - Drip fertigation with mulch | 12.00   | 12.00   | 12.00   | 14.00   | 14.00   | 12.80       |
| T2 - 80% RDF - Drip fertigation with mulch | 11.66   | 12.00   | 12.00   | 13.00   | 13.00   | 12.33       |
| T3 - 60% RDF - Drip fertigation with mulch | 11.33   | 11.33   | 11.33   | 12.00   | 11.00   | 11.40       |
| T4 - 100% RDF - Drip fertigation without mulch | 11.33 | 12.00     | 12.00   | 12.00   | 13.00   | 12.07       |
| T5 - 80% RDF - Drip fertigation without mulch | 11.00 | 11.33     | 11.33   | 13.00   | 11.00   | 11.47       |
| T6 - 60% RDF - Drip fertigation without mulch | 10.60 | 10.60     | 10.60   | 11.00   | 10.00   | 10.56       |
| T7 - Control (without mulch)                | 0.00    | 9.67     | 9.67    | 10.00   | 9.00    | 7.67        |
| Sed                                       | 0.43    | 0.36     | 0.36    | 0.46    | 0.36    | 0.82        |
| CD (0.05)                                 | 0.95    | 0.76     | 0.76    | 0.91    | 0.71    | 1.70        |

### Table 4: Number of nuts/palm/year in coconut as influenced by drip fertigation and plastic mulching

| Treatments                                | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | Pooled Mean |
|--------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
| T1 - 100% RDF - Drip fertigation with mulch | 282     | 293     | 302     | 295     | 288     | 292         |
| T2 - 80% RDF - Drip fertigation with mulch | 272     | 268     | 277     | 270     | 268     | 271         |
| T3 - 60% RDF - Drip fertigation with mulch | 198     | 210     | 215     | 208     | 205     | 207         |
| T4 - 100% RDF - Drip fertigation without mulch | 228 | 232     | 237     | 224     | 227     | 230         |
| T5 - 80% RDF - Drip fertigation without mulch | 190     | 193     | 198     | 196     | 194     | 194         |
| T6 - 60% RDF - Drip fertigation without mulch | 170     | 173     | 183     | 176     | 172     | 175         |
| T7 - Control (without mulch)                | 0       | 121     | 128     | 124     | 126     | 125         |
| Sed                                       | 18.63   | 15.24   | 14.42   | 9.51    | 8.96    | 9.25        |
| CD (0.05)                                 | 40.59   | 33.19   | 31.42   | 18.65   | 17.56   | 19.09       |

### Table 5: Water Use Efficiency and Fertilizer Use Efficiency in coconut as influenced by drip fertigation and plastic mulching

| Treatments                                | Water Use Efficiency (nuts/ha. m$^3$ of water) | Nitrogen Use Efficiency (nuts/ha. kg of N) | Phosphorus Use Efficiency (nuts/ha. kg of P) | Potassium Use Efficiency (nuts/ha. kg of K) |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| T1 - 100% RDF - Drip fertigation with mulch | 34                                            | 584                                       | 913                                        | 243                                       |
| T2 - 80% RDF - Drip fertigation with mulch | 31                                            | 678                                       | 1059                                       | 282                                       |
| T3 - 60% RDF - Drip fertigation with mulch | 24                                            | 690                                       | 1078                                       | 288                                       |
| T4 - 100% RDF - Drip fertigation without mulch | 27 | 460     | 719    | 192    |
| T5 - 80% RDF - Drip fertigation without mulch | 22 | 485     | 758    | 202 |
| T6 - 60% RDF - Drip fertigation without mulch | 20 | 583     | 911    | 243 |
| T7 - Control (without mulch)                | 14                                            | 250                                       | 391                                        | 104                                       |
| Sed                                       | 0.89                                          | 48                                        | 32                                         | 20                                        |
| CD (0.05)                                 | 1.97                                          | 100                                       | 71                                         | 44                                        |
This is because polythene mulches allowed part of the radiation to pass through it but acted as barriers against outgoing thermal radiation (Park et al., 1987). The temperature increase under plastic mulch is due to high soil moisture content, which leads to more heat flux for thermal conductivity (Robock et al., 2000; Chen and Dudhia 2001). The temperature increase in the Black Plastic Mulch condition might be because the black film absorbed incoming solar radiation and radiated much of this energy as sensible heat to the air (above) and soil (below). This well documented soil temperature rise is often used as an explanation for increased growth of coconut grown under plastic mulch (Davis, 1994 and Qumer et al., 2009).

As per the data shown in Table 3, drip fertigation with plastic mulching stimulated the plant growth and hastened the flowering in coconut. The maximum number of inflorescences per tree was recorded with drip fertigated along with mulched coconut compared to non-mulched and conventional irrigated coconut. The highest number of inflorescences of 12.80 was recorded in drip fertigation at 100% of recommended dose of fertilizer (0.50:0.32:1.20 kg of NPK/tree) with plastic mulching while the lowest number of inflorescences of 7.67 was recorded in non-mulched and conventional irrigated coconut.

The drip fertigation cum plastic mulching induced growth stimulation was also reflected in yield attributes and yield of coconut. The maximum spathe length and number of nuts/bunch of 127.76 cm and 31, respectively were recorded in 100 % of recommended dose of drip fertigation with 100 micron plastic mulching (T₁). The lowest spathe length and number of nuts/bunch of 92.16 cm and 12, respectively were recorded in control treatment without mulch (T₇). The maximum nuts yield of 292 numbers / palm / year was also recorded in the 100 % of recommended dose of drip fertigation (0.50:0.32:1.20 kg of NPK / tree) along with 100 micron plastic mulching (T₁) which was higher than conventional method (125 numbers / palm /
year), by enhancement of 167 nuts per palm / year (Table 4). In coconut palms, the drip fertigation cum plastic mulch increased the root zone (soil) temperature with moisture retention and changed plant microclimate in near the soil region and lowered the temperature of the canopy microclimate and thereby increased the plant growth parameters and yield attributes. Further, drip fertigation cum plastic mulch increased button nut production and nut setting and thus improving the yield.

In general, weed suppression was also higher (57 % in first, 43% in second and 27 % in third year) in plastic mulched plots compared to un-mulched plots. Similarly, Ibe et al., (2012) obtained better weed control than the hoe-weeding method in okra cultivation. The Water and Fertilizer Use Efficiency was also increased in the above recommendation of 100 micron plastic mulching. The highest Water Use Efficiency of 34 nuts/ tree.m³ of water was recorded in 100% RDF drip fertigation (0.50:0.32:1.20 kg of NPK/tree) (from 4th Year onwards) with 100 micron plastic mulching (T1) whereas, the lowest Water Use Efficiency of 14 nuts/ tree. m³ of water was registered in Conventional Flood Irrigation without mulch (T7) (Table 5). This result is in line with the findings of Seyfi et al., (2007), which showed that drip irrigation with black plastic mulch markedly decreased the amount of water applied, increased water use efficiency (WUE) and increased crop yield due to increase in number of fruits per plant, fruit weight and fruit thickness.

The result of the present study revealed that the plant growth parameters, yield attributes and nuts yield of coconut were enhanced by the combined effect of drip fertigation at 100% of recommended dose of fertilizer with plastic mulching over to conventional irrigation without mulch. Hence, the present study recommends the 100% RDF drip fertigation with 100 micron plastic mulching to maximizing yield in coconut.
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