The Healthy Weights Initiative: the first 1,000 participants

Mark Lemstra1,2
Jeff Fox3
Randy Klassen4
Dean Dodge5

1Alliance Health Medical Clinic, Moose Jaw, 2Alliance Health Medical Clinic, Regina, 3YMCA of Moose Jaw, 4YMCA of Regina, 5YMCA of Saskatoon, SK, Canada

Background: According to Statistics Canada, the number of adults who are overweight or obese rises every year in Canada. As such, it is obvious that various public policies are not working. After extensive community consultation, the Healthy Weights Initiative (HWI) started in Moose Jaw and expanded to Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada.

Objectives: This study aimed to determine adherence, factors affecting adherence and their impact on various health outcomes.

Methods: From January 2014 to March 2015, 229 participants started the comprehensive 6-month HWI program. It was determined that having a “buddy” and signing a social support contract with three additional family members or friends were important to program adherence. As such, both policies went from being recommended to becoming mandatory. From April 2015 to August 2016, 771 additional participants started the program, allowing evaluation of the two new policies. Moreover, HWI participant adherence was compared to that of 100 new YMCA members.

Results: Among the first 229 HWI participants, 79.9% completed the 6-month program. After the two new policy changes among the 771 participants, 96.1% completed the HWI program (risk ratio =1.20; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.01–1.49). In comparison, among the new YMCA regular members without supervision or assistance, 14.0% were still fully adhering to their fitness program after 6 months (RR =6.85; 95% CI: 3.88–12.10). After logistic regression, the only variable with an independent effect for not completing the HWI program was not having a spouse/partner supporting the program (odds ratio =2.31; 95% CI: 1.13–3.67). Although weight loss reductions were obtained (mean: 4.3 kg), the more significant benefits observed were health outcomes. For example, the prevalence of depressed mood reduced from 44% to 16.4% (P=0.000).

Conclusion: With two new policy changes, including a mandatory “buddy” and a signed social support contract, the HWI has become more successful at promoting program adherence. Moreover, numerous positive health outcomes have been obtained during this free, community-based initiative.
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Introduction

Being overweight or obese is a more complex health outcome than politicians and public policy experts were originally prepared for. A recent report from Statistics Canada found that the number of adult Canadians being overweight or obese increased from 11,476,904 in 2003 to 14,222,521 in 2014. In each and every single year, the number of adults being overweight or obese increased, from a low rate of annual increase of 0.9% (in 2010–2011) to a high rate of 3.7% (2012–2013). In 2014, this equates to 54% of adult Canadians being overweight or obese (61.8% of males and...
There are three objectives of the current study: 1) to determine the impact of the policy change, namely, making the inclusion of a buddy and completing the social support contract mandatory, on program adherence of the first 1,000 HWI participants; 2) to determine adherence levels of 1,000 HWI participants relative to that of 100 new YMCA regular members; and 3) to determine the impact of HWI participation on numerous health outcomes.

**Methods**

**Setting**

The HWI started in the city of Moose Jaw, Saskatchewan, Canada, on January 1, 2014, and expanded to the city of Regina on February 1, 2016. Both programs were conducted at the local YMCA.

**Participants**

All participants had to be an adult with obesity (body mass index [BMI] of ≥30 kg/m²) and had to be referred by their medical doctor to help ensure that their physician would provide social support. There were no other inclusion or exclusion criteria.

**Pre- and post-HWI measures**

The participants’ family doctor was asked to determine the former’s blood pressure, blood glucose and blood cholesterol levels. Each participant also completed a standardized fitness test based on guidelines from the Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology, including the Canadian Aerobic Fitness Test (CAFT), as well as measurements of weight, waist and hip and body fat percentage. Depressed mood was measured with the valid and reliable Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II). Depressed mood was defined as a score of 11 or higher on the scale. Physical and emotional health and quality of life was measured with the well-known and validated 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (Short Form-36 or SF-36). Questions on self-reported health and self-reported mental health were taken from the Canadian Comprehensive Health Survey (CCHS) administered by Statistics Canada. Health care utilization was self-reported.

**Procedures**

The program was free (no direct or indirect cost), comprehensive and evidence based.

During the initial 12 weeks, each participant received five supervised exercise therapy sessions per week in small groups of eight (60 sessions). In addition, each participant...
also received one group dietary education lecture per week (12 sessions) and one group CBT education lecture per week (12 sessions) for a total of 84 sessions during the initial 12 weeks. During the second 12-week program, each participant was asked to exercise four times per week on their own and once per week with the exercise therapist (12 additional supervised sessions, for a total of 96 supervised exercise sessions over 24 weeks). The second 12-week session included maintenance therapy to try to help ensure participants continue.

After an initial evaluation in March 2015, it was determined that having a buddy participate in the program and signing a social support contract were two important factors predicting adherence. For example, those not having a family member or friend sign the social support contract were almost three times less likely to complete the program (risk ratio [RR] = 2.90, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.01–8.34). Social support is also recommended by the Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology to promote adherence. Thus, in April 2015, having a buddy and signing the social support contract became mandatory (previously, they were requested but not mandatory).

Ethics
All participants provided written informed consent for the clinical program and evaluation. The Behavioral Ethics Board of the University of Saskatchewan determined that the study was exempt from ethical review, including the publication of deidentified data (BEH-15-28).

Analysis
SPSS 22 was used to compare mean scores for before and after program completion with paired-sample t-tests. After initial cross-tabulations, binary logistic regression was used to determine the independent association between the outcome variable of not completing the program and the potential explanatory variables. The unadjusted effect of each covariate was determined and then entered one step at a time based on changes in the \(-2\) log likelihood and the Wald tests. The final results are presented as adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs.

Results
Demographic results of the sample are presented in Table 1. The sample of participants differed significantly from the community by gender. Among the first 1,000 HWI participants, 24% were male and 76% were female. According to Statistics Canada, in 2011, 48% of Moose Jaw residents over the age of 15 years were male and 52% were female. The other differences were difficult to compare. For example, among the HWI sample, 73.5% were married or common law, with 26.5% being single, separated, divorced or widowed. Among Moose Jaw residents over the age of 15 years, 57% are married, while 43% are single, separated, divorced or widowed. However, this comparison is not ideal because Statistics Canada defines adulthood at age 15, while the HWI sample only included adults who were of age \(\geq 18\) years. In addition, this updated sample of 1,000 participants is nearly identical to the original sample of 229 participants published previously. For example, in the original sample of 229, 25.9% of the sample was male. In this updated sample of 1,000 participants, 24.0% are male (Table 1).

From January 2014 to March 2015, 183/229 (79.9%) participants completed the 6-month HWI program. After program evaluation, participating with a buddy and completing the social support contract with three family members or friends became mandatory. In response, from April 2015 to August 2016, 741/771 (96.1%) participants completed the HWI program (RR = 1.20; 95% CI: 1.01–1.49). During the initial 12-week program, adherence to sessions was monitored electronically (exercise) or by documented attendance.

Table 1 Demographics of the first 1,000 HWI participants

| Demographics | % |
|--------------|---|
| Gender       |   |
| Male         | 24.0 |
| Female       | 76.0 |
| Age, years   |   |
| 65+          | 3.0  |
| 55–64        | 22.7 |
| 45–54        | 25.0 |
| 35–44        | 26.5 |
| 26–34        | 17.2 |
| 18–25        | 5.6  |
| Mean age, years | 44.7 |
| Marital status |     |
| Married/common law | 73.5 |
| Single       | 26.5 |
| Employment   |   |
| Not employed | 17.6 |
| Nonprofessional | 52.9 |
| Professional | 19.4 |
| Education level |    |
| < High school | 6.6 |
| High school  | 34.3 |
| College/technical/trades | 42.4 |
| University   | 16.4 |
| Medical comorbidities |     |
| None         | 29.8 |
| 1            | 33.9 |
| 2            | 17.3 |
| \(\geq 3\)   | 18.8 |

Abbreviation: HWI, Healthy Weights Initiative.
(dietary and CBT education), with 81.5% attending all 60 exercise therapy sessions, 87.5% attending all 12 dietary sessions and 80.9% attending all 12 CBT sessions.

Among the 100 new YMCA regular members in Moose Jaw, who did not receive any known supervision, health care support or social support, 14 (14%) members were still adhering five times per week to their exercise program at 6 months. Comparing this with the HWI participants of April 2015–August 2016, this results in a rate ratio difference of 6.88 (95% CI: 3.88–12.10). While 14% exercised five times per week at 6 months, 48% were exercising at least once per week and 38% were not exercising at all.

A full and detailed description of the pre- and post-HWI health results is presented in Table 2. Statistically significant

Table 2 Health differences in pre- and post-HWI completion parameters

| Factors                          | Pre-HWI measure | Post-HWI measure | Difference | Significance |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------|--------------|
| **Physiological changes**       |                 |                  |            |              |
| Weight, kg                      | 108.2 (21.6)    | 103.9 (22.1)     | -4.3       | 0.000        |
| BMI, kg/m²                      | 38.4 (6.9)      | 36.7 (6.6)       | -1.7       | 0.000        |
| Fat, kg                         | 47.7 (12.4)     | 39.7 (12.9)      | -8.0       | 0.000        |
| Body fat, %                     | 48.5 (4.1)      | 44.3 (5.1)       | -4.2       | 0.000        |
| Waist circumference, cm         | 115.57 (14.73)  | 108.20 (13.46)   | -7.37      | 0.000        |
| Hip circumference, cm           | 128.52 (16.26)  | 122.43 (14.99)   | -6.09      | 0.000        |
| Systolic BP, mmHg               | 129.4 (14.6)    | 126.0 (10.0)     | -3.4       | 0.000        |
| Diastolic BP, mmHg              | 82.6 (11.9)     | 80.4 (8.8)       | -2.2       | 0.000        |
| Blood glucose, mmol/L           | 5.7 (1.8)       | 5.6 (1.2)        | -0.1       | 0.081        |
| Blood cholesterol, mmol/L       | 4.9 (1.1)       | 4.5 (1.1)        | -0.4       | 0.030        |
| CAFT aerobic fitness, score     | 120.5 (117.1)   | 162.0 (135.9)    | 41.5       | 0.000        |
| Length of hard PA/week, minutes | 46.4            | 130.0            | -83.6      | 0.000        |
| Length of moderate PA/week, minutes | 75.4         | 195.5            | -120.1     | 0.000        |
| MSK pain score (average)        | 3.6/10 (0.4)    | 2.9/10 (0.5)     | -0.7       | 0.001        |
| **SF-36 score**                 |                 |                  |            |              |
| Physical functioning            | 60.0 (21.1)     | 70.8 (22.7)      | +10.8      | 0.000        |
| Limits – physical               | 69.1 (31.3)     | 57.4 (29.3)      | -11.7      | 0.000        |
| Pain                            | 65.4 (20.9)     | 58.9 (20.9)      | -6.5       | 0.000        |
| General health                  | 47.8 (19.2)     | 60.2 (19.0)      | +12.4      | 0.000        |
| Vitality                        | 42.7 (16.1)     | 58.6 (16.1)      | +15.9      | 0.000        |
| Social functioning              | 63.0 (23.7)     | 72.2 (23.6)      | +9.2       | 0.000        |
| Limits – emotional              | 70.3 (31.8)     | 62.0 (28.8)      | -8.3       | 0.000        |
| Emotional well-being            | 58.7 (18.2)     | 67.6 (18.4)      | +8.9       | 0.000        |
| Physical composite score        | 43.7 (9.2)      | 48.9 (7.7)       | +5.2       | 0.000        |
| Mental composite score          | 46.3 (11.4)     | 51.2 (8.2)       | +4.9       | 0.000        |
| **Depressed mood**              |                 |                  |            |              |
| BDI-II score                    | 10.4 (7.2)      | 6.3 (6.3)        | -4.1       | 0.000        |
| Severe/extreme (31+)            | 1.8%            | 0.3%             | -1.5%      |              |
| Moderate (21–30)                | 6.6%            | 4.5%             | -2.1%      |              |
| Borderline (17–20)              | 12.9%           | 2.3%             | -10.6%     |              |
| Mild (11–16)                    | 22.7%           | 9.3%             | -13.4%     |              |
| No depressed mood               | 56.0%           | 83.6%            | +27.6%     |              |
| Depressed mood (11+)            | 44.0%           | 16.4%            | -27.6%     | 0.000        |
| **Self-reported health**        |                 |                  |            |              |
| Poor                            | 16.4%           | 2.3%             | -14.1%     | 0.000        |
| Fair                            | 41.9%           | 17.8%            | -24.1%     |              |
| Good                            | 33.4%           | 50.5%            | +17.1%     |              |
| Very good/excellent             | 8.2%            | 28.9%            | +20.7%     |              |
| **Self-reported mental health** |                 |                  |            |              |
| Poor                            | 3.8%            | 0%               | -3.8%      | 0.000        |
| Fair                            | 17.1%           | 8.0%             | -9.1%      |              |
| Good                            | 44.1%           | 45.5%            | +1.4%      |              |
| Very good/excellent             | 35.0%           | 46.5%            | +11.5%     |              |

(Continued)
positive changes were obtained for weight loss (4.3 kg), BMI (1.7 kg/m²), fat loss (8.0 kg), body fat percentage (4.2%), waist circumference (3 in), hip circumference (2.4 in), systolic blood pressure (3.4 mmHg), diastolic blood pressure (2.2 mmHg), blood cholesterol (0.4 mmol/L), CAFT aerobic fitness score (41.5), duration of hard (83.6 minutes) and moderate (120.1 minutes) physical activity per week and average musculoskeletal pain score (0.7 out of total pain score of 10). There was no statistically significant change in the pre- to post-HWI blood cholesterol scores.

The SF-36 health-related quality-of-life survey has eight dimensions and two composite scores. Statistically significant differences were found in all dimensions, including physical functioning, limits to physical functioning, pain, general health, vitality, social functioning, limits to emotional functioning, emotional well being, as well as the physical composite score and the mental composite score (Table 2).

Prior to starting the program, 44% of participants had depressed mood, while only 16.4% had depressed mood upon completion of the program (measured by BDI-II, with a cutoff score of 11). In Table 2, the results are presented by severity to clarify that the majority of the reduction of depressed mood occurred in the mild and borderline depressed mood cases.

Although there were small reductions in health care utilization for physician visits, hospitalizations and medication usage, none of the results were statistically significant (Table 2).

There were statistically significant changes in self-reported health and self-reported mental health. Among dietary behaviors, there were positive changes in self-reported consumption of fruits, vegetables, as well as popular and fast food but no statistically significant changes in meat or milk consumption (Table 2).

### Independent risk factors for noncompletion

Out of the 1,000 initial HWI participants, 76 did not complete the program. Among these, 58 were females and 18 were males. Among females, the primary reason for not completing the program was not having active support from a male spouse or partner (51/58 or 88%). Among males, not completing the program, the primary reason was having a medical procedure booked (ie, elective knee surgery), with 8/18 (44%) stating this as the cause of nonadherence.

After logistic regression, the only variable with an independent effect for not completing the program was not having a spouse/partner actively supporting the program (OR = 2.31; 95% CI: 1.13–3.67). Other family members or friends were supporting the program, but not the spouse or partner.

### Discussion

The HWI is a supervised weight loss program. After implementing a mandatory buddy system for social support, along with a mandatory social support contract signed by three family members or friends, program adherence increased...
from 79.9% to 96.1% (RR =1.20; 95% CI: 1.01–1.49). This importance of social support is well documented in the literature.\textsuperscript{21–23} It appears that combining clinical supervision with a buddy system and social support is what is required to promote better adherence.

Consistent with this finding, there were also significant differences between 6-month adherence levels between HWI participants and new, regular YMCA members joining the fitness center for the first time, with the latter not having any known supervision, health care assistance or social support (RR =6.88; 95% CI: 3.88–12.10).

After logistic regression, the only variable with an independent effect for not completing the HWI program was not having a spouse or partner supporting the program (OR =2.31; 95% CI: 1.13–3.67). Although HWI participants were originally encouraged, and later mandated, to have three family members or friends sign social support contracts, the contract did not specify that it had to include spouses or partners. The impact of spouses or partners was especially important on females who did not complete the program because 88% of females self-reported that the main reason for not finishing the program was lack of active social support from their male partner. The authors were not able to find any studies that suggest which family members are more important than others for providing social support. In our study, the results suggest that spouses or partners should be included in the social support contract. This component will be included in future HWI programs.

Comparing our results to those of other studies, a recent systematic literature review and meta-analysis reviewed adherence and factors promoting adherence in weight loss programs.\textsuperscript{24} Overall, 60.5% adhere to weight loss programs (95% CI: 53.6–67.2). Adherence is obviously lower for real-world observational studies than in randomized clinical trials where participants are often paid to adhere and have one-on-one supervision. In the cited meta-analysis, interventions that offered supervision had higher adherence than those that did not (RR =1.65; 95% CI: 1.54–1.77). In addition, programs that had social support were also more likely to have higher adherence (RR =1.29; 95% CI: 1.24–1.34).

**Study limitations**

The HWI program is a real-world observational study and not a randomized controlled trial.

**Conclusion**

With two new policy changes, including a mandatory “buddy” and a signed social support contract with three family members or friends, the HWI has become more successful at promoting program adherence. In addition, numerous positive health outcomes have been obtained during this free, community-based initiative.
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