Autophagic Protein Beclin 1 Serves as an Independent Positive Prognostic Biomarker for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer
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Abstract

Beclin 1, a key regulator of autophagy, has been found to be aberrantly expressed in a variety of human malignancies. Herein, we employed immunohistochemistry (IHC) to detect the protein expression of Beclin 1 in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and paired normal adjacent lung tissues, and analyzed its clinicopathological/prognostic significance in NSCLC. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was utilized to determine a cutoff point (>2 VS. ≤2) for Beclin 1 expression in a training set (n = 105). For validation, the ROC-derived cutoff value was subjected to analysis of the association of Beclin 1 with patients’ clinical characteristics and outcome in a testing set (n = 111) and the overall patient cohort (n = 216). Our data showed that Beclin 1 was significantly lower in NSCLC tissues compared with the adjacent normal tissues, negatively associating with tumor recurrence rate (65.8% VS 32.3%; p < 0.001). In the testing set and the overall patient cohort, low expression of Beclin 1 showed significantly inferior overall survival (OS) (p < 0.001) and progression-free survival (PFS) (p < 0.001) compared to high expression of Beclin 1. In the testing set and the overall patient cohort, the median duration of OS for patients with high and low expression of Beclin 1 was 108 VS. 24.5 months (p < 0.001) and 108 VS. 28 months (p < 0.001), respectively. Furthermore, low expression of Beclin 1 was also a poor prognostic factor within each stage of NSCLC patients. Multivariate analysis identified that Beclin 1 was an independent prognostic factor for NSCLC. Our findings in the present study provided evidence that Beclin 1 may thus emerge as an independent prognostic biomarker in this tumor entity in the future.
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Introduction

Lung cancer accounts for 15% of cancer diagnoses, presenting as one of the leading causes of cancer-related death worldwide [1]. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) represents 80–85% of lung cancers [2]. Despite significant advances in surgical techniques and medical treatment, the 5-year survival rate in patients with NSCLC remains only 15% [2]. Difficulties of early diagnosis, high potential of metastasis and the occurrence of treatment resistance for advanced disease are responsible for the poor survival rate of NSCLC. Although much is known about the causal factors, clinical features, and pathogenesis of NSCLC, the molecular marker that has major clinical prognostic predictive value remains substantially limited. Many studies have demonstrated that multiple genetic alterations and epigenetic changes are responsible for the development and progression of NSCLC [3]. The presence of KRAS mutation represents the most common molecular change in NSCLC and has been shown to be associated with a poor prognosis [4]. Activation of PI3K/AKT pathway and loss of PTEN in NSCLC patients are associated with high grade and more advanced disease [5]. Furthermore, aberrant DNA methylation served as a marker for the early detection of lung cancer [6]. Promoter methylation of p16 is an indicator of
Autophagy refers to a cellular catabolic process that delivers cytoplasmic components to lysosomes for subsequent degradation or recycles long-lived proteins and organelles in order to maintain intracellular homeostasis within the cell [8]. There are three principle autophagic pathways, including microautophagy, chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA), and macroautophagy [9]. Macroautophagy is the main subtype of autophagy (hereafter referred to as autophagy) [9]. Beclin 1, as the first identified mammalian autophagy effector, is essential for the initiation of autophagy by forming the Beclin 1-interacting complex, which consists of the BCL-2 family proteins, the class III phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (VPS34), and ATG14L [10]. It becomes clear that Beclin 1 possesses a novel Bcl-2 homology region-3 (BH3) domain [11-13]. Bcl-2 family proteins can bind to the BH3 domain of Beclin 1 and inhibit Beclin 1-mediated autophagy by sequestering Beclin 1 away from hVps34 [11-13]. Modulation of Beclin 1 or BCL-2 by phosphorylation or ubiquitination can dissociate BCL-2 family members from Beclin 1 and activate VPS34 kinase activity, leading to an increase in autophagy [14]. Accordingly, apoptosis and autophagy may be co-regulated in the same directions.

Recently, autophagy defect is reported to play a critical role in tumorigenesis and tumor progression [10,15-17]. Indeed, Beclin 1 has been reported to be mono-allelically decreased or deleted in human ovarian, breast, and prostate cancers [10]. Beclin1−/− tumors showed decreased autophagy, elevated cell stress and genome instability that ultimately accelerates mammary tumorigenesis [18]. Knockdown of Beclin 1 in mice leads to inhibition of autophagy and subsequently a high incidence of spontaneous tumors, including lymphoma, liver and lung cancer [19,20]. Furthermore, reduced expression of Beclin 1 is found to be associated with the primary tumor growth in NSCLC [21]. These data indicates that Beclin 1 serves as a haploinsufficient tumor-suppressor protein through its regulation of autophagy. Even so, autophagy seems to as a cytoprotective process and it was proposed as an alternative mechanism of drug resistance through promoting the tumor cell survival under unfavorable conditions. In lung cancer, several studies show that autophagy inhibition represents a promising approach to improve the efficacy of the treatment in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer [22,23].

Herein, we analyzed the expression of autophagic protein Beclin 1 in 216 NSCLC specimens and analyzed its correlation with clinicopathological factors of NSCLC patients. Our results showed that Beclin 1, as detected by immunohistochemistry, was significantly lower in NSCLC tissues compared with the adjacent normal tissues, and negatively associated with tumor recurrence rate. Low expression of Beclin 1 predicted an increased OS and PFS in NSCLC. Furthermore, multivariate analysis revealed that Beclin 1 was an independent prognostic factor for NSCLC.

Materials and Methods

Patients and tissue samples

A total of 244 primary NSCLC specimens from the archives of the Department of Pathology in the cancer center of Sun Yat-sen University (Guangzhou, China) were included in our study. All patients accepted curative surgery from 2001 to 2003. We further screened patients using a strict eligibility criteria protocol as following: microscopically confirmed NSCLC (including squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma and adenosquamous carcinoma); without any distant metastatic diseases; no prior chemotheraphy or radiation therapy history; having over 5-year follow up period; including nonsmokers (daily cigarette consumption × years of smoking = 0) and smokers (former smokers and/or current smokers) [24]. Ultimately, 28 patients with loss of follow-up were not included in the present study, leading to 216 NSCLC patients subjecting to further clinical and survival analysis. Among the total patients (n=216), 116 (116/216, 53.7%) were censored as death during the 5 years of follow-up time (4 cases died from postoperative complications and 112 cases died from tumor progression).

Of the 216 NSCLC patients (median age, 47.0 year; range, 22–74 year), those with positive lymph node metastasis (n=100) were treated with four to six cycles of cisplatin + navelbine adjuvant chemotherapies after surgical resection, whereas patients with negative lymph node metastasis (n=116) did not receive adjuvant chemotherapies. Furthermore, no adjuvant radiotherapy was administered to any of the patients after surgery. The cohort of 216 NSCLC patients were then randomly divided into training set (n=110) and testing set (n=111) by computer (SPSS 17.0 software). Briefly, in the training set, there are 78 males and 27 females, with 38 cases for stage I, 31 cases for stage II and 36 cases for stage III. Meanwhile, there are 81 males and 30 females in the testing set, with 41 cases for stage I, 28 cases for stage II and 42 cases for stage III. The histological and stage type were determined according to the classification of NSCLC by WHO and International Union against Cancer Tumor-Node-Metastasis (TNM) staging system [25]. Written informed consent for the use of the tissues was obtained from all patients before surgery, and the study was approved by the Institute Research Ethics Committee of Sun Yat-Sen University.

Tissue microarray construction and immunohistochemistry staining

Two representative core tissue biopsies (0.6-mm in diameter) were punched from represented NSCLC tissues and one cylinder with the same diameter from adjacent normal lung tissues. Multiple sections (5 µm thick) were cut from the TMA blocks and mounted on the microscope slides. One section from the TMA block was stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) to confirm that the punches contained tumor.

Immunohistochemical staining was performed as described previously [26]. In brief, after deparaffinization, rehydration, antigen retrieval, and blocking, the TMA slides were incubated overnight at 4°C with a polyclonal antibody against human Beclin1 serves as a prognostic biomarker for NSCLC
Beclin 1 (1:200; Santa Cruz, SC-11427) in a moist chamber. Then slides were incubated in corresponding secondary antibodies (HRP-anti-Rabbit; Thermo Scientific, Cat. No. 31460) at room temperature for 30 min. Reaction products were visualized by staining with 3, 3-diaminobenzidine (3, 3-diaminobenzidine; Dako, Cat. No. K5007). After counterstained with hematoxylin, all the slides were dehydrated and stored. Negative controls were achieved by replacing the Beclin 1 antibody with corresponding non-immune serum immunoglobulin. Our previously well-defined immunostaining-scoring system. In discrepant cases, a re-assessment was performed. The staining intensity and extent of Beclin 1 was graded as described previously [27]. Briefly, the staining intensity was graded into negative (score 0), bordering (score 1), weak (score 2), moderate (score 3) and strong (score 4). In addition, the staining extent was also graded into five levels according to the percentage of cells with elevated Beclin 1 staining, including negative (score 0), 0–25% (score 1), 26–50% (score 2), 51–75% (score 3) and 76–100% (score 4). Beclin 1 staining was assessed by two pathologists who were unaware of any clinical details related to the patients. The assessment was congruent in 85% of the cases, indicating a highly reproducible scoring system. In discrepant cases, a re-assessment was performed. The value was selected until both pathologists agreed with the result.

Selection of a cutoff point for Beclin 1 expression

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis is usually used in clinical oncology to evaluate and compare the sensitivity and specificity of diagnostic tests [28,29], or identify the threshold value above which a test result should be considered as positive for some outcome [28]. ROC curve analysis has been shown to be reproducible to evaluate IHC protein expression and to select biologically or clinically a relevant cut-off score for tumour positivity [27,28,30]. Herein, we used ROC curve analysis to select the cutoff point of Beclin 1 for OS and PFS in the training set (n = 105). In brief, the score localized closest to the point at both maximum sensitivity and specificity (0.0, 1.0) was selected as the cutoff score of Beclin 1, leading to the greatest number of tumors which were correctly classified as having or not having the outcome. ROC curve analysis was facilitated by dichotomizing the features of patients’ outcome into survival (death VS. others (censored, alive or death from other causes)) and progression (local failure or distant metastasis).

Follow up

All patients had follow-up records for over 5 years. After the completion of therapy, patients were observed at 3 month intervals during the first 3 years and at 6 month intervals thereafter. The latest follow-up was updated in September 2011. OS was defined as the time from the tumor resection to the date of death or when censored at the latest date if patients were still alive; PFS was defined as the time from the tumor resection to the date of disease relapse/progression or the date of death or when censored at the latest date.

Statistical analysis

ROC analysis was used to get an optimal cutoff point of Beclin 1 expression for survival analysis in the training set (n = 105). For validation, the relationship between Beclin 1 expression and OS, PFS were evaluated in the testing set (n = 111) and the overall patient cohort (n = 216). Relationship between Beclin 1 expression and clinicopathological variables were analyzed by the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test. Kaplan-Meier analysis was employed to evaluate the relationship between Beclin 1 expression and OS and PFS. Differences in survival probabilities between patient subsets were assessed by the log-rank tests. The multivariate Cox proportional hazards model was utilized to estimate the hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals for patient outcome. All p values quoted were two sided and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v. 17.0 (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL).

Results

Beclin 1 Expression in NSCLC and normal adjacent tissues

Immunohistochemistry was employed to examine the protein expression of Beclin 1 in primary NSCLC specimens and normal adjacent tissues. Immunoreactivity of Beclin 1 was observed primarily in the cytoplasm (Figure 1 and Figure S1). Based on the combination of intensity of staining and the extent, the samples were classified into four groups, from group 1 with nearly negative staining (+), group 2 with the weak staining (++), group 3 with moderate staining (+++), to group 4 with the strong staining (++++; Figure 1A-C). As shown in Figure 1, high expression of Beclin 1 is mainly found in normal lung tissues, manifesting the large number of samples with group 3 (74 of 216, 34.3%; Figure 1D) and group 4 (93 of 216, 43.1%, Figure 1D), whereas lung tumor tissues, regardless of tumor subtypes, showed moderate Beclin 1 staining (Figure 1A, B, D).

For further survival analysis and avoid the problems of multiple cutpoint selection, ROC curve analysis was performed to determine a reasonable cutoff point of Beclin 1 in the training set (n = 105). The Beclin 1 cutoff point for OS and PFS in the training set was 2.7 (p < 0.001, Figure 2A) and 2.9 (p = 0.001, Figure 2B), respectively. A score of 2 (>2 VS. ≤2) for Beclin 1 expression was selected as the uniform cutoff point to distinguish NSCLC patients as high or low expression of Beclin 1.

Beclin 1 expression and clinical features

The clinical features of patients, including age, gender, smoking history, CEA level, initial clinical stage, histology, differentiation, tumor stage, lymph node metastasis, recurrence, and Beclin 1 expression, were summarized in Table 1. The Beclin 1 cutoff score of 2 derived from the training set successfully segregated the testing set into two subgroups.
Figure 1. IHC analysis of Beclin 1 expression in human NSCLC and normal adjacent tissues. (A-C), NSCLC tissue arrays containing normal lung and tumor tissues were stained for Beclin 1 expression. Stained normal and tumor tissues were classified into four groups (+ to ++++) according to the staining intensity and extension of each tissue. (D), percentage of normal or tumor tissues in each staining group. Tissue samples with different staining intensity and extension were grouped and tabulated.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0080338.g001
In brief, patients with a cutoff score > 2 are regarded as Beclin 1 high expression (50/111, 45.0%) and those with a score ≤ 2 are viewed as Beclin 1 low expression (61/111, 55.0%). Low expression of Beclin 1 was mainly detected in patients with positive recurrence (78/117, 65.8% in patients with positive recurrence VS. 32/99, 32.3% in patients with negative recurrence, $p < 0.001$). Furthermore, correlation analysis demonstrated that Beclin 1 expression was negatively correlated with tumor recurrence rate in both sets ($p < 0.001$ in the training set and $p = 0.001$ in the testing set; Table 1). In addition, Beclin 1 expression also negatively correlated with the initial tumor stage ($p < 0.001$, Table 1). Low expression of Beclin 1 was associated with metastatic spread to the lymph nodes ($p = 0.001$, Table 1) in the training set, and correlated

**Figure 2. ROC curves analysis of Beclin 1 cutoff score.** (A) and (B) showed the Beclin 1 cutoff points for overall survival and progression-free survival in the training set. At each immunohistochemical score, the sensitivity and specificity for the outcome being studied was plotted, thus generating a ROC curve. The cutoff point of Beclin 1 for overall survival and progression-free survival was 2.7 and 2.9 respectively.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0080338.g002
with differentiation \( (p = 0.020, \text{Table 1}) \) and CEA level \( (p = 0.002, \text{Table 1}) \) in the testing set. We could not show any correlation between Beclin 1 expression and other patient characteristics including age, gender, smoking history etc.

**Beclin 1 expression and survival analysis: univariate survival analysis**

As shown in Figure 3, Kaplan-Meier analysis showed that low expression of Beclin 1 strongly predicted an inferior OS and PFS in the testing set \( (p < 0.001 \text{ for both OS and PFS, Figure 3A and 3B}) \) and the overall patient cohort \( (p < 0.001 \text{ for both OS and PFS, Figure 3C and 3D}) \). In the testing set and the overall patient cohort, the median duration of overall survival for patients with high and low expression of Beclin 1 was 108 VS. 24.5 months \( (p < 0.001) \) and 108 VS.28 months \( (p < 0.001) \), respectively. Further analysis was performed between Beclin 1 expression and subsets of NSCLC patients within each clinical stage. Low expression of Beclin 1 also served as a poor prognostic factor in each stage of NSCLC patients in the testing set: stage I \( (p = 0.002 \text{ for OS and } p = 0.030 \text{ for PFS, Figure 4A and 4B}) \), stage II \( (p = 0.018 \text{ for OS and } p = 0.049 \text{ for PFS, Figure 4C and 4D}) \), and stage III \( (p < 0.001 \text{ for OS and } p = 0.003 \text{ for PFS, Figure 4E and 4F}) \). For the the overall patient cohort, results were similar to those found in the testing set (Figure 4G-L).

**Multivariate Cox regression analysis**

For the purpose of avoiding the influence caused by univariate analysis, the expression of Beclin 1 as well as other parameters was examined in multivariate Cox analysis in the testing set and the overall patient cohort (Table 2 and Table 3). As shown in Table 2, Beclin 1 was an independent biomarker to predict the prognosis of OS (hazard ratio, 4.235; 95% CI, 2.382 to 7.528; \( p < 0.001 \), Table 2) and PFS (hazard ratio,
Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of Beclin 1 expression in the testing set and the overall patient cohort. (A) Low expression of Beclin 1 was closely correlated with poor overall survival and (B) progression-free survival in the testing set (n = 111). (C) Patients with lower Beclin 1 expression also acquired an inferior overall survival and (D) progression-free survival in the overall patient cohort (n = 216). In the testing set and the overall patient cohort, the median duration of overall survival for patients with high and low expression of Beclin 1 was 108 VS. 24.5 months ($p < 0.001$) and 108 VS.28 months ($p < 0.001$), respectively.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0080338.g003
Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of Beclin 1 expression in subsets of NSCLC patients. (A) Probability of overall survival and (B) progression-free survival of NSCLC patients with stage i in the testing set: low expression, n = 18; high expression, n = 23. (C) Probability of overall survival and (D) progression-free survival of NSCLC patients with stage ii in the testing set: low expression, n = 16; high expression, n = 12. (E) Probability of overall survival and (F) progression-free survival of NSCLC patients with stage iii in the testing set: low expression, n = 27; high expression, n = 15. (G) Probability of overall survival and (H) progression-free survival of NSCLC patients with stage iv in the overall patient cohort: low expression, n = 29; high expression, n = 50. (I) Probability of overall survival and (J) progression-free survival of NSCLC patients with stage ii in the overall patient cohort: low expression, n = 27; high expression, n = 32. (K) Probability of overall survival and (L) progression-free survival of NSCLC patients with stage iii in the overall patient cohort: low expression, n = 54; high expression, n = 24.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0080338.g004
Table 2. Results of multivariate Cox proportional-hazards analysis in the testing set (n = 111).

| Variable                                      | For death                  | For progression-free survival |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                                               | Hazard Ratio | 95% confidence interval | p    | Hazard Ratio | 95% confidence interval | p    |
| Age (years) ≥ 60.00 (VS. < 60.0)              | 1.266         | (0.734 to 2.195)          | 0.396 | 1.053       | (0.613 to 1.800)        | 0.852 |
| Gender Male (VS. Female)                     | 3.289         | (1.336 to 8.296)          | 0.010 | 3.449       | (1.535 to 7.747)        | 0.003 |
| Smoking history Yes (VS. No)                 | 4.643         | (1.958 to 11.009)         | 0.000 | 2.443       | (1.158 to 5.152)        | 0.019 |
| CEA (ng/ml) > 5 (VS. ≤ 5)                    | 2.556         | (1.516 to 4.309)          | 0.000 | 2.133       | (1.271 to 3.581)        | 0.004 |
| Initial clinical stage                        |              |                          |      |             |                          |      |
| I                                             | 0.998         | (0.277 to 3.603)          | 0.998 | 1.063       | (0.267 to 4.231)        | 0.931 |
| II                                            | 0.785         | (0.340 to 1.813)          | 0.571 | 0.928       | (0.367 to 2.228)        | 0.868 |
| III                                           | 1             | 1                          | 1     | 1           |                          |      |
| Histology                                     |              |                          |      |             |                          |      |
| Squamous cell carcinoma                       | 1.558         | (0.443 to 5.478)          | 0.490 | 1.195       | (0.405 to 3.523)        | 0.747 |
| Adenocarcinoma                                | 1.474         | (0.378 to 5.742)          | 0.576 | 0.640       | (0.179 to 2.293)        | 0.493 |
| Adenosquamous carcinoma                       | 1             | 1                          | 1     | 1           |                          |      |
| Differentiation                               |              |                          |      |             |                          |      |
| Well                                          | 0.886         | (0.414 to 1.897)          | 0.756 | 0.538       | (0.258 to 1.121)        | 0.098 |
| Moderately                                    | 1.159         | (0.578 to 2.324)          | 0.677 | 0.641       | (0.315 to 1.303)        | 0.219 |
| Poorly                                        | 1             | 1                          | 1     | 1           |                          |      |
| Tumor stage T4 + T3 (VS. T2 + T1)             | 1.091         | (0.583 to 2.042)          | 0.785 | 1.359       | (0.715 to 2.585)        | 0.350 |
| Lymph node metastasis Positive (VS. Negative) | 2.448         | (1.428 to 4.199)          | 0.001 | 1.854       | (1.098 to 3.130)        | 0.021 |
| Beclin 1 Low (VS. High)                       | 4.235         | (2.362 to 7.528)          | 0.000 | 3.420       | (1.936 to 6.042)        | 0.000 |

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0080338.t002

3.420; 95% CI, 1.936 to 6.042; p < 0.001. Table 2) in the testing set. Similar observation was also found in the overall patient cohort (for OS, hazard ratio, 3.721; 95% CI, 2.453 to 5.645; p < 0.001, Table 3; for PFS, hazard ratio, 2.987; 95% CI, 2.004 to 4.453; p < 0.001, Table 3). Furthermore, CEA level, lymph node metastasis, smoking history, and age were also identified as independent prognostic parameters for OS and/or PFS in the testing set and/or the overall patient cohort (Table 2, Table 3). However, initial clinical stage, as a well-characterized independent prognostic factor, was not detected as independent prognostic factor in our results.

Discussion

Autophagy, which is highly conserved from yeast to human, is an essential catabolic pathway for the degradation of cellular components within the lysosome [8]. Different from the Ubiquitin-Proteasome System (UPS), which directly degrades a variety of regulatory proteins in the cytoplasm or nucleus, autophagy targets a wide spectrum of substrates including protein aggregates, long-lived proteins, or damaged organelles towards lysosomes for subsequent degradation. Autophagy involves in a series of normal and pathological processes. Recently, autophagy emerged as a key regulator of multiple aspects of cancer biology, including the initiation and progression of cancer, as well as the influence on the effectiveness of therapeutic interventions of this disease [31,32]. Autophagy may show an anticarcinogenic function in primary cells by safeguarding against metabolic stress through the homeostatic turnover of mitochondria and the elimination of protein aggregates. Beclin 1, as a key regulator of autophagy, gets much attention in recent years due to its involvement in carcinogenesis and cancer progression. Clinical studies have associated poor prognosis and aggressive tumor phenotypes with aberrant expression of Beclin 1 in tumor tissues [27,33-36]. Furthermore, Beclin 1-dependent autophagic function has been shown to be suppressed in human cancer through activating AKT [37].

Recently, decreased expression of Beclin 1 and LC3 were detected in human lung cancer tissues, indicating a possible role in the pathogenesis of lung cancer. However, none of the afore-mentioned studies addressed the prognostic role of Beclin 1 in lung cancer so far [38]. Additionally, another study showed that Beclin 1 expression was inversely associated with tumor size and primary tumor stage (pT) in lung squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma, but shows no relationship with overall survival [39]. Here, to avoid the problems of multiple cutoffpoint selection and get an unbiased Beclin 1 cutoff point for clinical implication, we used ROC curve analysis as an alternative method in the selection and validation of cut-off scores to determine the most clinically relevant threshold for immunohistochemical tumour positivity. We analyzed the clinicopathological/prognostic significance of Beclin 1 in a total number of 216 NSCLC patients, which consists of cohort I (n = 105) for training set and cohort II (n = 111) for testing set. The ROC-derived cutoff value was subjected to analysis of the association of Beclin 1 with patients’ clinical characteristics and outcome in a testing set (n = 111) and the overall patient cohort (n = 216).

Consequently, Beclin 1 expression, was mainly found to be low in patients with disease relapse (Table 1), indicating that Beclin 1 might be involved in NSCLC progression. Furthermore, Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed that low
expression of Beclin 1 predicted a significant OS and PFS disadvantage over high expression of Beclin 1 subgroup in the testing set and the overall patient cohort (Figure 3). In addition, low Beclin 1 expression remained a poor prognostic factor in each stage of NSCLC patients (Figure 4), emerging as an independent prognostic factor for NSCLC (Table 2, Table 3). However, initial clinical stage was not detected as independent prognostic factor in our results. Anyhow, there is a trend for better overall survival in the lower clinical stages, which account for small cases and are not statistically significant.

Considering the prognostic impact of Beclin 1 protein in different human cancers, reports have drawn complicated conclusions. Previously, a number of studies have documented that Beclin 1 expression is down-regulated in breast cancer, liver cancer, cervical and ovarian cancer, associating with an inferior prognosis [33,34,36]. More recently, we further demonstrated that low expression of Beclin 1 predicted a poor prognosis in gastric cancer [27]. However, on the contrary, an elevated Beclin 1 expression was strongly correlated with poor OS and PFS in nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) [40]. With regard to the distinct prognostic impact of Beclin 1 protein in various types of tumors, we speculate that it might be dependent on intrinsic properties of the tumor type, as well as the nature of the therapeutic regimen in various types of human cancers. For example, the patients of breast cancer patients are submitted to a postoperative treatment schedule of radiotherapy or chemotherapy, and sometimes with tamoxifen therapy according to the hormone receptors, and NPC patients are more radiosensitive than other malignant tumors. However, some NSCLC patients with early stage accept curative resection without radiotherapy or chemotherapy.

Consistent with most of the previous studies, our results showed that Beclin 1 protein was down-regulated in NSCLC and low expression of Beclin 1 was significantly associated with poor prognosis, indicating the potential tumor suppressing role of Beclin 1 in this tumor. The underlying mechanism(s) of Beclin 1 to suppress tumorigenesis and tumor progression might be attributed to its multi-functions. First of all, expression of Beclin 1 stabilizes chromosome structure thereby prohibiting the process of carcinogenesis and tumor progression [20]. Furthermore, autophagy helps to eliminate damaged organelles, and then prevents oxidative stress from the damaged organelles [17]. In addition, Beclin 1 down-regulates the proliferation of cells, delays cell cycle progression, and induces autophagy and differentiation [17]. Conclusionly, our findings showed that low expression of Beclin 1 help to identify patients that are at high risk of progression, and shows clinical value in predicting the prognosis of NSCLC, emerging as a potential independent biomarker for NSCLC.

Supporting Information

Figure S1. Whole picture of TMA with Beclin 1 staining. (TIF)

Table 3. Results of multivariate Cox proportional-hazards analysis in the overall patient cohort (n = 216).

| Variable | For death | 95% confidence interval | P | For progression-free survival | 95% confidence interval | P |
|----------|-----------|------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|
|          | Hazard Ratio |                         |   |                               |                         |   |
| Age (years) ≥ 60.00 (VS. < 60.0) | 1.375 | (0.951 to 1.995) | 0.091 | 1.039 | (0.708 to 1.524) | 0.846 |
| Gender Male (VS. Female) | 1.422 | (0.794 to 2.546) | 0.236 | 1.285 | (0.744 to 2.219) | 0.369 |
| Smoking history Yes (VS. No) | 1.493 | (1.021 to 2.184) | 0.039 | 1.105 | (0.637 to 1.917) | 0.723 |
| CEA (ng/ml) > 5 (VS. ≤ 5) | 2.186 | (1.484 to 3.220) | 0.000 | 2.043 | (1.395 to 2.992) | 0.000 |
| Initial clinical stage | | | | | | |
| I | 0.758 | (0.286 to 2.012) | 0.578 | 0.969 | (0.354 to 2.652) | 0.951 |
| II | 0.853 | (0.467 to 1.558) | 0.605 | 0.928 | (0.494 to 1.742) | 0.816 |
| III | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Histology | | | | | | |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | 1.239 | (0.379 to 4.047) | 0.723 | 0.987 | (0.354 to 2.746) | 0.979 |
| Adenocarcinoma | 1.070 | (0.305 to 3.763) | 0.916 | 0.632 | (0.199 to 1.950) | 0.417 |
| Adenosquamous carcinoma | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Differentiation | | | | | | |
| Well | 0.765 | (0.434 to 1.347) | 0.353 | 0.640 | (0.369 to 1.110) | 0.112 |
| Moderately | 0.959 | (0.619 to 1.485) | 0.850 | 0.931 | (0.606 to 1.430) | 0.745 |
| Poorly | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Tumor stage T4 + T3 (VS. T2 + T1) | 1.122 | (0.701 to 1.794) | 0.631 | 1.195 | (0.678 to 1.814) | 0.477 |
| Lymph node metastasis Positive (VS. Negative) | 2.576 | (1.725 to 3.847) | 0.000 | 1.862 | (1.262 to 2.746) | 0.002 |
| Beclin 1 Low (VS. High) | 3.721 | (2.453 to 5.645) | 0.000 | 2.987 | (2.004 to 4.453) | 0.000 |

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0080338.t003
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