Can Off-Training Physical Behaviors Influence Recovery in Athletes? A Scoping Review
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Recently, the attention on recovery in sport increased enormously although there is lack of scientific evidence on the role of lifestyle in terms of movement [i.e., physical behaviors (PBs)], apart from sleep. Few studies assessed physical activity (PA) and sedentary behavior (SB) in athletes. The aims of this scoping review were to answer to the following scientific questions: (1) How active/inactive are competitive athletes out of training? (2) Do off-training PBs affect recovery, performance, and health? (3) What strategies can be implemented to improve recovery using off-training PBs, apart from sleep? From 1,116 potentially relevant articles, nine were eligible for inclusion in this review. The main issues identified were related to the heterogeneity concerning the types of sports, age category, gender, competitive level, sample size, and instruments/devices adopted, the paucity of studies investigating the effects of PBs while awake on recovery, and the lack of experimental designs manipulating PBs while awake to accelerate recovery. Furthermore, PA and SB domains were rarely investigated, while no research articles focused on the combined effect of 24-h PBs. Eight out of nine studies measured PA, seven SB, and two included sleep. Three studies included training practice into PA measurement by the means of accelerometry. Overall, almost the totality of the athletes achieved recommended PA levels although they sustained prolonged SB. In conclusion, more descriptive researches are needed in different athletic populations and settings. Furthermore, experimental designs aimed at investigating the effects of PBs manipulation on recovery and the putative mechanisms are encouraged.
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The attention on recovery in sport has increased enormously in the last years and, nowadays, it is as important as training for performance and sport success. A plethora of recovery strategies has been developed in the last years including active and passive recovery, cold-water immersion, compression garments, massage and many others, but some of them lack scientific evidence to support their use (McGuigan, 2017). Surprisingly, although a healthy lifestyle is considered a key factor for athletic recovery, practitioners, and sport scientists often overlook this aspect except for sleep, which has attracted a widespread attention in the last years (Costa et al., 2018; Nedelec et al., 2018; Vitale et al., 2019a). On the other hand, the amount of evidence on the role of an active
Physical activity positively affects cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength and endurance, blood lipids and glucose levels, body composition, balance and coordination, and mental health and well-being. On the other hand, sedentary behavior (SB) and the lack of PA affect health and well-being, negatively acting at all the above-mentioned levels. According to the recent and more comprehensive definition of PA proposed by the DEDIPAC-KH research team, it consists of any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that results in energy expenditure and this may be unstructured and everyday life activity, exercise that includes prearranged, deliberate, and repetitive activity and grassroots sports and competitive sports (Condello et al., 2016). Unstructured and everyday life activity is also defined spontaneous physical activity (SPA), namely every daily PA excluding any volitional exercise (Garland et al., 2011). SB is defined as any waking behavior characterized by an energy expenditure $\leq$1.5 metabolic equivalents (METs), while in a sitting, reclining, or lying posture. Consequently, physical inactivity is considered the lack of meeting the recommended levels of PA and not a synonymous of SB (Tremblay et al., 2017a). Collectively these terms, together with sleep, are named 24-h movement behaviors (McGregor et al., 2018) or physical behaviors (PBs) (Freedson, 2018). The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends adults to perform at least 150 min/week of moderate-intensity physical activity (MPA) or 75 min/week of vigorous physical activity (VPA), or any combination of both intensities that meets the recommended amount. Notably, it recognizes the importance of sitting less, though no quantitative key guideline for sitting time or how to break up sitting duration are proposed (Piercy et al., 2018). Furthermore, 24-h movement guidelines have been recently published for children in different countries (New Zealand Ministry of Health, 0000; Tremblay et al., 2016, 2017b; Okely et al., 2017) and it is very probable that the same format will be adopted for future recommendations (Chaput et al., 2014). The aforementioned recommendations are considered the minimum amount of PA to obtain noticeable benefits for health. Additional health benefits are gained by doing physical activity beyond the equivalent of 300 min/week of MPA (Piercy et al., 2018). On the other hand, athletes are active “de facto” because they exercise regularly and athletes from most sports disciplines exceed those recommendations. Indeed, the mortality risk has been reported to be lower in elite athletes compared to non-athletes, particularly in endurance athletes (Garatachea et al., 2014; Kettunen et al., 2015; Lemez and Baker, 2015). However, the amount of time spent in training represents a reduced part of the full day and at present the majority of studies focus their attention only on the effects of sleep on recovery and performance, while PA and SB, during almost two-thirds of the day, have been investigated in a restricted number of studies. It is well-known that PBs while awake affect both bodily systems (e.g., vascular system, endocrine system, and immune system) and metabolic pathways (i.e., glucose and lipids), as well as systemic and local inflammation, mood, fatigue, and cognition (Pedersen and Saltin, 2015). However, there is a lack of evidence in scientific literature about the repercussions of off-training PBs in competitive athletes on recovery, training adaptations and performance, as well as on short and long-term career. Given the above considerations and the recent progresses in accelerometry micro-technology (Freedson, 2018), an overview of the studies describing off-training PBs in competitive athletes throughout the days, not only during sleep is warranted. For this purpose, considering the heterogeneity and the complex nature of the topic, and considering that it has not yet been comprehensive revised, a scoping review is appropriate. The aim of a scoping review is to identify knowledge gaps, scope a body of literature, clarify concepts or to investigate research conduct in emerging body of evidence and make recommendations for future researches. It answers to broader questions beyond those related to the effectiveness of an intervention or treatment (Peters et al., 2015). Then, the aim of this scoping review article is to provide an overview of the body of literature describing PBs while awake in competitive athletes and their effects on recovery, training adaptation, and performance, as well as on related factors (e.g., lactate clearance). This article aims to answer to the following scientific questions: (1) How active/inactive are competitive athletes out of training and do they differ on the basis of competitive level, age categories, and particular settings (e.g., home-based training, training camp, traveling, and tournament participation)? (2) Do off-training PBs affect recovery, performance, health, physical fitness, and career in competitive athletes and by means of which mechanisms? (3) What strategies can be implemented in competitive athletes to improve recovery using off-training PBs, apart from sleep? For the purpose of clarification, a competitive athlete is considered in this scoping review article a highly trained individual who engages in regular organized physical training within a particular sporting discipline and competes at county or national or international level (Sharma, 2003). This scoping review introduce the 3ST (Sleep, Sedentary behavior, Spontaneous physical activity, and Training) project which proposes to (1) provide a complete and detailed description of PBs of competitive athletes using an observational design; (2) explore associations between competitive athletes’ PBs and physiological/psychological markers of health, performance, and recovery, as well as describe the mechanisms that regulate such associations and; (3) test the effectiveness of interventions (e.g., education and therapies) to improve competitive athletes’ lifestyles and habits, aiming at optimizing training adaptation, recovery, and performance. Information gathered for this scoping review and the potential future results of the 3ST project could be useful for sports scientists, practitioners, and, ultimately, athletes, as it may help in the understanding of the conceptual and methodological gaps in the current health-enhancing and training recovery literature. Additionally, this review and the associated 3ST project
may inspire the design of high-quality studies in the field across different athletic populations and the various forms of training-related demands.

**METHODS**

**Search Strategy**

A three-step search strategy was utilized in this review up to February 8, 2019. An initial limited search of MEDLINE was undertaken using the terms: physical activity, sedentary behavior, athletes, and recovery, followed by an analysis of the text word contained in title and abstract and of the index terms used to describe the articles. A second search of MEDLINE, ISI Web of Science, and Scopus was undertaken using all the identified keywords and index terms in the following four categories: population, assessment, comparison/subgroup, outcome/phenomenon of interest (Table 1). Search syntax is available in Supplementary Material. Thirdly, the reference list of all the identified manuscripts were searched for additional studies. Considering the broad international scope of the current review, no restrictions about language, type of study, and journal categories were applied. Furthermore, no age and gender criteria were imposed according to the objective of investigating the role of different group and settings on PBs. Based on the relevant recent definition of SB (Sedentary Behaviour Research Network, 2012) date criteria was limited to the articles published during and after 2012.

**Inclusion Criteria**

Included studies were those that incorporated competitive athletes without restriction concerning age, gender, and competitive level. Since the aim of this scoping review is to map the knowledge concerning PBs in athletes, studies including those behavior as primary or secondary outcomes were included. Studies concerning sleep were considered only when sleep measurement and assessment were accompanied by some other PBs while awake.

| Table 1 | Identified terms for the search strategy according to the categories of interest. |
|---|---|
| **Population** | **Assessment** | **Comparison/subgroup** | **Outcome/phenomenon of interest** |
| Player* | Self-reported time | Highly trained | Sitting |
| Athlete* | Questionnaire* | Injur* | Physical activity* |
| Amateur* | Accelerometer* | Recreational | Sedentar* |
| Professional* | | Young adult* | Recovery |
| Elite | | Master* | Performance |
| | | Young athlete* | |
| | | Adolescent* | |
| | | Youth | |
| | | Non-athlete* | |

*The identified keywords were searched according to the four categories in the table to provide a better structure to the search strategy. " indicates end-truncation in search strategy.*

**Exclusion Criteria**

Studies including only recreational sport participants were not included. Furthermore, no studies were considered in which it was not possible to infer if participants were competitive or not.

**Data Extraction**

From potentially relevant articles, generic information (e.g., author name, journal name, and year) and abstract were saved for the analysis. Two independent researchers independently processed all data, with one extracting information and the other verifying. Quantitative data (e.g., sample size, period of assessment) and qualitative data (e.g., phenomena of interest, setting, authors conclusions) were extracted.

**Eligible Articles**

From 1,116 articles, 1107 were excluded (Figure 1), leaving 9 eligible for data extraction.

**RESULTS**

**General Information**

Items considered for data extraction and the identified categories are summarized in Figure 2. All the nine eligible articles were written in English and published in 9 different journals, from 5 countries, between 2014 and 2019 (Figure 3). One study compared PA and SB between athletes and non-athletes (Clemente et al., 2016), and two studies correlated PBs with some physiological/psychological markers, in competitive athletes (Júdice et al., 2014; Sufrinko et al., 2018). Overall, there is substantial heterogeneity in the selected studies concerning the types of sports, age category, gender, competitive level, sample size, and instruments/devices adopted to assess PBs (Table 2). Júdice et al. (2014) performed the study in a crucial time of the competitive season (e.g., the last days before engaging in an international competition), Weiler et al. (2015) during a week of the competitive season, Clemente et al. (2016) assessed university athletes the majority of which lived in rented flats or in the campus hostels with a small home-to-university distance, but they did not give information about the competitive period, as well as McCracken and Dogra (2018); Sperlich et al. (2017) performed the study during a preparation training camp in the pre-season, while Exel et al. (2018, 2019) during a typical week of the competitive season. Finally, Sufrinko et al. (2018) performed the study during recovery after concussion. Not all the studies reported the monitoring device sampling frequency, as well as the epoch length at which data were collected and analyzed/collapsed (Table 3).

**Off-Training Physical Behaviors While Awake in Competitive Athletes**

All the included studies, except for the one from Júdice et al. (2014) assessed PA. One study (McCracken and Dogra, 2018) assessed PA in master athletes using the short form of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (short-IPAQ), which assesses MPA, VPA, walking, and sitting time. Six out of seven accelerometry studies categorized PA according to its intensity in LPA (1.5–3 METs), MPA (3–6 METs), and VPA.
(>6 METs), although not all the studies reported the cut-points used to calculate the intensity (Table 3). On the other hand, Sufrinko et al. (2018) only reported total daily vector magnitude (VM) counts, average VM counts per minute, and maximum VM counts per minute, making it difficult to categorize PA levels and to compare with other studies. Nevertheless, even among studies using the same threshold-based analysis for classifying PA by its intensity, the comparison remains difficult, due to the lack of some information on the technical specifications and the differences in instrumentation, setting, and data processing.
Overall, the recommendation on PA levels for health was met on average by all the samples of the considered studies that reported PA levels, apart from children in days without sport practice (Ala-Kitula et al., 2019). Clemente et al. (2016) and McCracken and Dogra (2018) compared university and master competitive athletes with non-athletes and recreational athletes, respectively. Despite some statistical differences with small effect size (LPA for men and SB, LPA, and VPA for women), the results of Clemente et al. (2016) suggest proximity between PA levels of athletes and non-athletes. On the other hand, male master athletes in the study from McCracken and Dogra (2018) showed significantly higher levels of VPA compared to male (age-matched) recreational athletes. Another study on male master runners and footballers (runners were recreational participants) also indicated that master athletes meet global recommendations on PA (including training time) (Exel et al., 2019). A study on young footballers compared days with soccer practice with days without soccer practice showing that in the days without training children fail to reach the national recommendations on MVPA (Ala-Kitula et al., 2019).

All the studies that assessed SB reported worrisome levels of sedentariness, regardless of using subjective or objective methods. No difference emerged when competitive athletes were compared to non-athletes (Clemente et al., 2016) or recreational masters (McCracken and Dogra, 2018). However, when considering the domains of SB, some differences were found between master and recreational athletes: male master athletes spent less time watching TV than the recreational ones and less time in hobbies that imply sitting, as well as at work and during leisure time, compared to both male recreational athletes and female master athletes. On the other hand, female master athletes spent more time sitting at work and during leisure time than female recreational athletes, although this result may be due to the higher rate of workers among master than recreational athletes. Interestingly, Exel et al. (2018) found that young athletes showed different patterns of activity (i.e., more or less active), in spite of the time spent in environments which can favor SB, such as school and home. Adult recreational runners and master footballers competing at local level showed no difference in terms of SB, although they differed in LPA, MPA, and VPA but not in MVPA cumulated in bouts of at least 10 min (Exel et al., 2019). Overall, these studies suggest that athletes have a similar SB to the general population but the majority meet PA recommendations, although results about children are matter of concern.

Association Between PBs While Awake and Markers of Recovery, Performance, Health, and Physical Fitness, in Competitive Athletes

Júdice et al. (2014) reported that sitting time was positively associated with total and trunk adiposity while weight class sports showed higher values of sitting time, in spite of a lower amount of training, and a stronger association between sitting time and adiposity. Sufrinko et al. (2018) showed that both PA and sleep changed throughout the course of the recovery from sport-related concussion. In particular, PA intensity (i.e., maximum VM counts per minute) increased and total time in bed decreased throughout concussion recovery, without any difference in total sleep time and sleep efficiency. Furthermore, both PA and sleep were associated with clinical outcomes of recovery. Both PA and sleep were associated with clinical outcomes of recovery: visual memory scores at follow up (sub-acute phase) were linearly and positively associated with PA intensity during the first week after recovery (acute phase), while very high and very low average PA counts during the acute phase were associated with worse motor speed scores at follow up (inverted “U” relationship). In addition, PA during the first week postinjury was also associated with worse vestibular/oculomotor scores at follow up. Finally, Ala-Kitula et al. (2019) investigated the relationship between SPA preceding training and PA during training: SPA before the practices had significant positive correlations with different activity levels during practices on weekdays and on weekend days. The highest correlation was found between preceding LPA and MPA during training on the same days, on weekdays and on weekend days.

Intervention Studies on Physical Behaviors While Awake in Competitive Athletes

No studies were found that manipulated PBs while awake with the aim of improving health, recovery, performance, training adaptations, or any other related outcomes in competitive athletes.
| Authors                  | Disciplines     | Gender     | Competitive level | Sample size (num) | Age (years, Mean ± sd) | Assessed behaviors | Main results                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Júdice et al., 2014     | Various disciplines | Males      | Elite              | 82                | 21.8 ± 4.8             | Sitting time       | Sitting time predicts total fat and trunk fat mass independent of age, weekly training time, and residual mass but not abdominal fat. Weight-class sports is the category most responsible of this association, compared to non-weight sensitive sports and gravitational sports. |
| Weiler et al., 2015     | Soccer          | Males      | Elite              | 25                | 26.8 ± 4.4             | SB, LPA, MPA, VPA  | The majority (79%) of post-training time of elite soccer players from an English Premier League football club is spent in sedentary activities.                                                                              |
| Clemente et al., 2016   | Various disciplines | Males and females | Amateurs and professionals | 33                | NA                     | SB, LPA, MPA, VPA, steps | Despite some statistical differences with minimal effect size (LPA for men and SB, LPA, and VPA for women), the results of this study suggested proximity between PA levels of athletes and non-athletes, mainly in the case of SB. |
| Sperlich et al., 2017   | Rowing          | Males      | Elite (U23 men’s national team) | 11                | 20.0 ± 2.0             | SB, LPA, MPA, VPA, sleep | Rowers display a considerable amount of time spent in sedentary pursuits (about 11.5 h/day).                                                                                                                   |
| McCracken and Dogra, 2018 | Various disciplines | Males and females | Local to international masters | 79                | 63.6 ± 7.2             | Sitting time, MPA, VPA, PA and SB domains | Male recreational athletes spend more time in SB and less time in VPA compared to master athletes, while female recreational athlete spend less time in SB in comparison to master athletes. Although older athletes accumulate high volumes of SB, they also accumulate the suggested 60–75 min of moderate-vigorous intensity PA per day to negate the detrimental effects of sitting. |
| Exel et al., 2018       | Various disciplines | NA         | Elite (youth)      | 8                 | 15.7 ± 2.0             | SB, LPA, MPA, VPA, MVPA, standing, sitting, lying, sedentary breaks over 30 min | Young athletes showed different patterns of PA and SB. Most weekdays waking hours are spent in places that promote sedentarism (school and home). Some athletes still manage to balance healthy PA and SB levels and may serve as a reference. |
| Sufinko et al., 2018    | Various disciplines | Males and females | NA | 19                | 15.5 ± 1.9             | Bed time, sleep time, sleep efficiency, variation in total sleep time, total PA, mean PA, PA intensity | PA increases during recovery from concussion while total time in bed decreases, although total amount of sleep and sleep efficiency did not change. Both PA and sleep are associated with neurocognitive and vestibular/oculomotor outcomes. |
| Exel et al., 2019       | Footballers and runners | Males      | Footballers: local masters; Runners: recreational masters | 29                | 43.9 ± 3.9             | SB, LPA, MPA, VPA, MVPA in 10 min bouts, | Different sports determine different distributions of PA levels in adults. Amateur runners tend to higher amounts of VPA, while footballers perform higher amounts of LPA and MPA. There are no differences in terms of SB. |
| Ala-Kitula et al., 2019 | Soccer players  | Males      | National           | 18                | 12.6 ± 0.3             | LPA, MPA, VPA, MVPA, SB lasting at least 30 min | The amount of MVPA attained on practice days is not achieved on days without practice. On weekdays without practice the MVPA recommendations are not met. Previous PA of the same day before soccer practice has positive correlation with PA during soccer practice at several different activity levels. |

SB, sedentary behavior; PA, physical activity; LPA, light-intensity physical activity; MPA, moderate-intensity physical activity; VPA, vigorous-intensity physical activity; MVPA moderate to vigorous-intensity physical activity.
| Author               | Instrument/device                        | Days of assessment | Wear time                                                                 | Body site                  | Sampling frequency | Epoch length                | Metrics                          | Cut-points                        |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Weiler et al., 2015  | GENEActiv triaxial wrist accelerometer    | 7 consecutive days | ≥ 500 min/day of continuous wear time during waking hours of the off-training period | Wrist (dominance not specified) | 50Hz               | Not specified                | Activity counts                  | Not specified                     |
| Clemente et al., 2016| ActiGraph accelerometer wGT3X-BT          | Seven consecutive days | 24 h/day, apart from water-based activities. Sixty minutes without activity (zero counts) was considered non-wear time and not included in the data treatment. | Wrist (dominance not specified) | Not specified     | Collected at 10-s epochs, subsequently collapsed into 60-s epochs | Activity counts                  | SB ≤ 100 cpm; LPA = 100–1,951 cpm; MPA = 1,952–5,724 cpm; VPA ≥ 5,725. |
| Sperlich et al., 2017| Wrist-worn multisensory device Microsoft Band II (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington, USA) | 31 consecutive days, with 21 weekdays, and 10 weekend days | ≥ 480 min/day of continuous wear time during waking hours of the off-training period | Wrist (dominance not specified) | Not specified | Microsoft Band II stores the data of mean hourly energy expenditure online | Proprietary algorithm            | Not specified                     |
| Exel et al., 2018    | ActiGraph GT9X Link (Actigraph Corp, Pensacola, FL, USA) | 15 consecutive days | ≥ 600 min/day of continuous wear time during waking hours of the off-training period | Hip, on the dominant side | 30Hz               | Collapsed into 60-s epochs | Activity counts                  | SB = 0–180 counts·15s⁻¹, LPA = 181–756 counts·15s⁻¹, MPA = 757–1,111 counts·15s⁻¹, VPA = ≥ 1,112 counts·15s⁻¹ |
| Sufrinko et al., 2018| ActiGraph GT3X+ (Actigraph Corp, Pensacola, FL, USA) | 20.2 ± 9.7 days   | ≥ 24 h/day, apart from water-based activities. Non-wear time was identified and re-moved using the Ticiano algorithm in Actilife Soft- ware v6.13.3 (Actigraph Corp, Pensacola, Florida) | Non-dominant wrist | Not specified | Collapsed into 60-s epochs | Activity counts                  | Not specified                     |
| Exel et al., 2019    | ActiGraph GT9X Link (Actigraph Corp, Pensacola, FL, USA) | 7 consecutive days | 9–12 h/day of waking hours; removed during water-based activities and competitions. | Dominant wrist | 30Hz               | Raw acceleration data         | Euclidean Norm Minus One (ENMO) | intensity-specific cut-points calculated according to Hildebrand et al., 2014 |
| Ala-Kitula et al., 2019| Polar A300 -activity monitor (Polar Electro-Oy, Finland) | 9 consecutive days, including 7-week days and 2 weekend days | Minimum wear time for valid data not specified. | Non-dominant wrist | Not specified | Not specified                | Proprietary algorithm            | Not specified                     |

SB, sedentary behavior; PA, physical activity; LPA, light-intensity physical activity; MPA, moderate-intensity physical activity; VPA, vigorous-intensity physical activity.
DISCUSSION

The aim of this scoping review was to answer to the following scientific questions: (1) how active/inactive are competitive athletes out of training and are there differences in their PBs on the basis of competitive level, age categories, and settings? (2) Do off-training PBs affect recovery, performance, health, physical fitness, and career in competitive athletes and by means of which mechanisms? (3) What strategies can be implemented in competitive athletes to improve recovery by using off-training PBs, apart from sleep? The main results of the present article reveal the paucity of information about off-training PBs while awake in competitive athletes, although the number of articles increased in the last years (Figure 3), as well as about the association of the PBs with physiological/psychological markers of health, performance, and recovery. Furthermore, the results of this scoping review indicate that there are no studies with an experimental design that might link off-training PBs while awake with recovery, performance, health, and physical fitness. Moreover, there are no studies that attempted to improve these aspects by means of manipulation of the off-training PBs while awake. Finally, the large variability in the eligible studies concerning the populations and the contexts, the instruments and their settings, as well as data processing procedures, make comparison and generalization of the results difficult. Notwithstanding, in the following paragraphs, a discussion is provided on the few emerging evidence and their implications regarding PBs in athletes and future directions for off-training lifestyle research in competitive athletes are indicated.

How Active/Inactive Are Competitive Athletes out of Training?

Overall, the included studies agree on the following points: first, athletes spend too much time in sedentary pursuits, which make them comparable to the general population (Clemente et al., 2016); secondly, most of them meet PA recommendations, although the younger athletes seems to face more difficulties in doing so (Exel et al., 2018), especially in the days when they do not train (Ala-Kitula et al., 2019). In recent years, scientific studies have indicated that too much sitting is harmful for health, independent of PA. However, Ekelund et al. (2016) provided evidence suggesting that high PA levels (60-75 min of MVPA/d) are protective against the risk of death associated with prolonged sitting and TV-viewing. As athletes can easily exceed these levels of PA, health issues should not be a matter of concern for them. However, while the results of this scoping review indicate that most of the athletes meet the international recommendations on PA, some of them such as university athletes and young soccer players (Table 4) may not reach the levels suggested by Ekelund et al. (2016) to counteract the harmful effects of SB. Notably, SB are excessive for all the populations investigated in the eligible studies of this scoping review. The only exception is represented by a subgroup of young athletes in the study of Exel et al. (2018) that showed a pattern of activity that was less sedentary and more active compared to the others, despite having been in the same environments (home and school). As suggested by the authors, this subgroup seems to show a resilience to the “sedentariogenic environments” (home and school) and researchers should investigate the reasons of such behavior because they can represent a healthy reference to the others. This is particularly important considering another result from the study of Ekelund et al. (2016): while high levels of PA seem to eliminate the increased risk of mortality associated with prolonged sitting (more than 8 h/d) they only mitigate, not eliminate the risk associated with protracted TV-viewing (more than 5 h/d), a behavior generally performed at home, suggesting that the domains of activities while sitting are as relevant as the amount of exposure to SB. In a recent study, Jones et al. (2019) showed in well-trained athletes that the use of multiple devices in the evening was associated with more perceived difficulty in falling asleep. Considering the already-known importance of good sleep in athletes and that the use of electronic devices can disturb attention and mood, which are also fundamental in sports training and competitive performance (Green et al., 2017), the investigation of the inherent relationships between training, SPA, SB, and sleep during 24 h appears of crucial importance, together with the need to study the domains of PA and SB. These behaviors take place in a continuum from sleep to very vigorous PA and can occur in different parts of the day. Recent studies showed that the intervention on one of those behaviors (e.g., introducing moderate-intensity physical exercise) would necessarily have consequences on the others (Izzicupo et al., 2012; Blasio et al., 2018), a phenomenon that can make efforts for improving health vain (Di Blasio et al., 2012). For this reason, several studies have investigated the combined effect of 24-h PBs on health using isetemporal and compositional data analysis techniques (Biswas et al., 2015; Colley et al., 2018; McGregor et al., 2018). This can be even more important for athletes due to the fact that they are involved, generally, in very vigorous training sessions or in mentally-demanding activity (e.g., archery), which can impact on other behaviors during the remaining time of the day. For instance, training sessions and competitions can take place during different periods of the day (e.g., morning session vs. evening session, multiple training sessions during the day, evening competition), and professional athletes can have a large amount of leisure time, while other competitive athletes are employed, possibly having different repercussions on athletes’ training recovery and performance. Indeed, it has been recently shown that athletes’ rest-activity circadian rhythm differs in accordance to the sport discipline (Vitale et al., 2019b). However, none of the eligible studies in this scoping review investigated the interaction effects of differently combining PBs over 24-h, but rather analyze behaviors in isolation and descriptively. It is plausible, that training load affects PBs during the remainder of the day and vice versa, as well as it is possible that sleep can be affected by training practice, daily PA and SB and their combination. However, such eventuality has not yet been studied. The only study that considered the relationship between different behaviors is the one from Ala-Kitula et al. (2019) where PA preceding soccer practice had a positive correlation with all PA intensity levels during practice. It is known that children with high PA level are active throughout the day compared to less active children (Fairclough et al., 2012). This result suggests that there might be interdependence
between off-training practices and quantity and quality of movements during training. This hypothesis needs to be further addressed.

Screen time exposure and the domains of SB were investigated by McCracken and Dogra (2018): female master athletes exceeded the amount of screen time (more than 4 h/d) determining harmful effects for health and male master athletes were very close to this value (3.42 h/d). Then, hypothetically they can counteract the effects of too much sitting but not the effects of too much screen time. Furthermore, it must be considered that PA and SB were assessed through questionnaires in this study, which underestimate sitting time while overestimating PA, not separate training from non-training PA. Notably, runners showed higher VPA levels due to training routine. McCracken and Dogra (2018) also showed that master athletes spent a considerable amount of time in sedentary activities at school and home, as showed by Ala-Kitula et al. (2019), as well as plasma fibrinogen, hematocrit, hemoglobin, and red blood cell count, aside from a reduction in plasma volume (Howard et al., 2013). While it remains to be elucidated if an increase in blood viscosity may hinder skeletal muscle blood supply and then recovery, hypercoagulability in athletes and flight travel-associated thrombotic events (not so rare among athletes) (Bishop et al., 2017) are a matter of concern (Meyering and Howard, 2004). Although there are no previous studies that associated vascular alteration due to prolonged sitting with recovery, it is plausible that both energetic restoration and tissue repair are affected by prolonged sitting, due to the importance of muscle blood flow in these processes. Nevertheless, a comprehensive description of PBs of competitive athletes using an observational design, possibly investigating the combined effect of 24-h PBs considering their domains, is first needed to identify specific patterns of activity. Such a knowledge will

---

### TABLE 4 | Daily physical behaviors time.

| Authors | Sleep | Sedentary behavior | Light-intensity physical activity | Moderate intensity physical activity | Vigorous-intensity physical activity |
|---------|-------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|         | (h/day) |                     |                                  |                                      |                                     |
| Judice et al., 2014 | NA | 7.70 ± 2.70 | NA | NA | NA |
| Weiler et al., 2015 | 8.34 ± 0.98 | 0.93 ± 0.48 | 1.24 ± 0.47 | 0.03 ± 0.06 |
| Clemente et al., 2016 | 12.29 ± 3.38 | 5.18 ± 1.91 | 0.88 ± 0.71 | 0.09 ± 0.14 |
| Males | 12.17 ± 2.30 | 5.23 ± 1.26 | 0.79 ± 0.69 | 0.07 ± 0.11 |
| Females | 11.63 ± 1.25 | 1.27 ± 1.15 | 0.76 ± 0.37 | 0.51 ± 0.44 |
| Sperlich et al., 2017 | 8.07 ± 1.34 | 12.49 ± 1.10 | 0.67 ± 0.43 | 0.59 ± 0.37 |
| Week days | 8.18 ± 1.24 | 11.63 ± 1.25 | 1.27 ± 1.15 | 0.76 ± 0.37 |
| Weekend days | 8.07 ± 1.34 | 12.49 ± 1.10 | 0.67 ± 0.43 | 0.59 ± 0.37 |
| McCracken and Dogra, 2018 | 4.72 ± 0.41 | NA | 0.64 ± 0.15 | 0.80 ± 0.08 |
| Males | 5.63 ± 0.51 | NA | 0.44 ± 0.07 | 0.87 ± 0.12 |
| Females | 7.06 ± 0.69 | 4.0 (2.23) | 1.49 (1.4) | 0.03 (0.08) |
| Sufinko et al., 2018 | 9.01 (3.25) | 2.83 ± 0.85 | 1.3 ± 0.45 | 1.08 ± 0.32 |
| Exel et al., 2019 | 9.01 (3.25) | 2.83 ± 0.85 | 1.3 ± 0.45 | 1.08 ± 0.32 |
| Ala-Kitula et al., 2019 | 9.01 (3.25) | 2.83 ± 0.85 | 1.3 ± 0.45 | 1.08 ± 0.32 |
| Training days | 9.01 (3.25) | 2.83 ± 0.85 | 1.3 ± 0.45 | 1.08 ± 0.32 |
| Non-training days | 3.3 ± 1.0 | 0.85 ± 0.43 | 0.42 ± 0.32 |

NA, not assessed or not available. In the study of Exel et al. (2018) physical behaviors (PBs) were reported as min/h and the devices were worn only during awake time. Since it was not possible to transform accurately PBs time in h/day, the study was not included in the table. Exel et al. (2019) data are presented as median (interquartile range).
be helpful in focusing research aimed to understand if and how off-training PBs can affect recovery, performance, health, physical fitness, and career in competitive athletes and through which mechanisms.

**Do Off-Training PBs Affect Recovery, Performance, Health, Physical Fitness, and Career in Competitive Athletes?**

Only two studies associated off-training PBs in competitive athletes with variables associated with health or recovery. Júdice et al. (2014) reported sitting time was positively associated with total and trunk adiposity in different groups of elite athletes, while weight class sports athletes, despite sustaining lower training volume, showed the highest values of sitting time and the strongest association between sitting time and adiposity. This association may cause surprise because training is considered an activity with high energy expenditure and elite athletes should train enough to maintain an excellent body composition. Indeed, the sample in the study into consideration reported a weekly training time that was far above the MVPA recommendations for the general population or the highest active group in previous studies that also found an association between fatness and SB (Vandelanotte et al., 2009). However, the lowest weekly training time was reported by weight class sports participants who also showed the strongest association with adiposity, while the two sports groups that performed higher weekly training times (>20 h/week) showed no association. Then, higher levels of MVPA may compensate for the effects of time spent in SB. On the other hand, a higher amount of training in some categories of athletes may “replace” SB, while the ones with lower weekly training time may have a larger amount of leisure time that can be spent in low energy expenditure activities, especially during a preparation period for a competition. However, the energy balance alone cannot be sufficient to explain the positive association between SB and fat mass in athletes. Indeed, both glucose and lipid metabolisms are affected by SB and lack of PA, even in active people and when matching caloric intake for the reduction in energy expenditure or basal metabolism (Bergouignan et al., 2009; Stephens et al., 2011). Notably, the reduction in insulin sensitivity, lead to lower nitric oxide production and release from endothelial cells, which ends with reduced blood flow to the skeletal muscle (Wagenmakers et al., 2016). It is also possible that athletes involved in weight class sports have less energy due to energy restriction practices and for this reason are more prone to engage in SB. There is evidence that the homeostatic system tries to preserve energy to compensate both high training energy expenditure and low energy intake, reducing consciously or unconsciously SPA (Garland et al., 2011). Moreover, a negative energy balance may also affect physiological functions to preserve energy by reducing the calories spent from the immune and reconstructive systems (Pontzer et al., 2015). As a consequence, both low testosterone levels (Trexler et al., 2014), especially in combat sports, and immune response (Ghaemi et al., 2014) may impair performance and recovery in athletes when they underwent weight management. Immune function affects behavior, including movement: elevated circulating cytokines induce a set of behaviors referred to as “sickness” behavior, which involves mood, and behavior changes (e.g., excessive sleep, asthenia, lack of appetite) that in normal conditions support resolution of systemic inflammation but in athletes with poor rest, injuries, and competitive pressure may lead to the overtraining syndrome (Smith, 2000) Although not yet demonstrated, measuring PBs may represent a valid tool for monitoring the risk of overtraining as well as recovery after training or injuries. Preliminary evidence in this direction emerge from one of the studies included in this scoping review which found an association between the levels of PA and the course of recovery following sport-related concussion (Sufrinko et al., 2018). Sport-related concussion itself has a significant neuroinflammatory component which could explain both the association between PA levels and post-concussion recovery as well as the highest sedentary time in weight class sports, represented by combat sports in the study of Júdice et al. (2014), although in the absence of evident concussion. It is important to underline that sitting time in that study was assessed by questionnaires. Then, although they indicated an association that was somewhat surprising considering the investigated population, future studies need to investigate the association between off-training PBs and body composition, vascular adaptations, tissue repair after training and competition, as well as with physiological and psychological indices of recovery. Furthermore, the putative mechanisms (Figure 4) should be extensively investigated to better address intervention protocols during off-training periods in athletes to promote repair and recovery.

**Can Off-Training Physical Behaviors be Considered as a Recovery Strategy?**

No studies in our search used off-training PBs while awake as a strategy to improve recovery, training adaptation or performance. Then, unfortunately, current literature does not allow us to know if an active off-training lifestyle can offset fatigue, accelerate the return to “baseline” body function and to the reference performance levels, or even accelerate tissue repair. The following discussion is therefore based on evidence from studies examining recovery strategies other than off-training PBs. It can be hypothesized that if active recovery can do it, then, a certain amount of SPA should be beneficial for recovery. However, active recovery does not seem to be a universal solution to foster regeneration: in energetic terms, active recovery seems to be superior to passive recovery when performance must be repeated in a short period (< 30 min) and when exercise involves a significant anaerobic contribution (Franchini et al., 2003; Heyman et al., 2009). Active recovery favors an earlier decrease in lactatemia (Bangsbo et al., 1994) and it allows a faster return to resting pH values than passive recovery (Fairchild et al., 2003). This reduces exercise-induced acidosis, promotes co-transport of lactate and H+ ions from intramuscular medium and the blood, and then it should preserve neuromuscular function during anaerobic tasks that are performed close to each other. Interestingly, active recovery involving large muscle mass is beneficial also when the event...
does not involve the same muscle groups that exerted the recovery task (climbing vs. pedaling) (Heyman et al., 2009). Furthermore, aerobic training involving a large muscle mass determines higher circulating levels of VEGF (Izzicupo et al., 2017) that can improve local blood flow in resting muscle. Notably, oxygen availability (McMahon and Jenkins, 2002) and aerobic fitness (Buchheit and Ufland, 2011) are crucial for a fast phosphocreatine resynthesis between short-term events such as repeated sprints. In contrast, depleted glycogen restoration seems to benefit more when using passive recovery (Choi et al., 1994), probably because active recovery further mobilizes glycogen stores. In term of recovery from muscle damage rather than energy restoration, results are contrasting: several studies focused on active recovery after training with both better (Gill, 2006; Tufano et al., 2012) and worse (Sherman et al., 1984; Suzuki, 2004) effects when compared to passive recovery. Overall, active recovery seems to be more effective after eccentrically-based activity (Tufano et al., 2012) and when duration is very short (Gill, 2006). On the other hand, active recovery in the days following an exhaustive competition (i.e., marathon race) seems to be detrimental (Sherman et al., 1984). These results suggest that the amount, intensity, and timing of active recovery are crucial, and, in some cases, it can be better to simply rest rather than perform prolonged active recovery. Probably, while sitting too much may impair local blood flow to the muscle and metabolic efficiency, passive rest can simply be necessary to allocate the energy to the anabolic processes taking place after training and during recovery. Under this point of view, also the intensity of the activities performed during recovery can be important. Several studies suggested that LPA is sufficient to promote positive effects on health (Chastin et al., 2018), however, our knowledge about physiological adaptations to low-intensity work as a recovery strategy in athletes is very limited to date. Hypothetically, sitting interruption and LPA, both implemented off-training and during daily activities, should be considered a promising approach to the problem. Since few minutes seems to be better than longer active recovery interventions, just frequent interruptions of SB through LPA can both promote the positive effects of active recovery, on the one hand, and counteract the side effect of prolonged sitting, on the other one. Furthermore, LPA may be implemented after the main meals to avoid the detrimental metabolic effects of post-prandial prolonged sitting (Thorpe et al., 2014) as well as during off-season or after suffering an injury, because some athletes may be very inactive in these phases, compromising the ability to maintain specific fitness components and return to optimal conditions. The activity levels of athletes during these conditions, though, need to be investigated in the future using reliable and standardized methods.

However, at this point, an important consideration must be made regarding the nature of rest and SB: although rest can be performed in a sedentary way, it should be no more or less than the body needs to recover, while, implicitly, SB is harmful because it is over-prolonged. This distinction is a very difficult challenge that, in our opinion, scientific research will have to face in the next years by designing intervention studies in which PBs is modified via education (or other means) to improve athletes’ lifestyles and habits.

This is a scoping review to present and mapping the current state of evidence specific to off-training PBs while awake in competitive athletes. The main limitation of this article is that we were not able to answer to part of the formulated scientific questions. Furthermore, both objective and subjective PBs measurement methods were included into the study. Although in our opinion such a choice was necessary to map current understanding on PBs in competitive athletes, the risk of collapsing data that are not directly comparable is high. Nonetheless, this article suggests that at the moment the athlete’s lifestyle management is based on nothing more than simple recommendations that are not based in scientific evidence, due to the paucity of indication relative to off-training
PBs in athletes. The 3ST project aims to fill the gap in current literature, first by means of descriptive researches, secondly investigating the putative mechanisms of the eventual effects of SB and SPA on recovery and performance and finally, designing intervention studies in which PBs are modified via education (or other means) to improve athletes' lifestyles and habits. This scoping review article aims at motivating the sport scientists, practitioners and athletes to involve themselves in this new research topic that, based on the limited evidence, can be the next stage to promote better lifestyles along with longer and more successful careers. The results of the 3ST project and future researches related to this topic will be useful to go beyond anecdotal recommendation on lifestyle for athletes and, possibly, allow the implementation of a conceptual model on how athletes should pursue PBs to improve recovery and performance, as well as specific 24-h hygiene recommendations on PBs for athletes.
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