Energy Barrier Scalings in Driven Systems
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Energy landscape mappings are performed for two different molecular systems under mechanical loads. Barrier heights are observed to scale as $\Delta U \sim \delta^{3/2}$, where $\delta$ is a residual load. Catastrophe theory predicts that this scaling should arise for vanishing $\delta$, however, this region is irrelevant in physical processes at finite temperature because thermal fluctuations cause the system to cross over the barrier before reaching the small $\delta$ regime. Surprisingly, we find that the $\Delta U \sim \delta^{3/2}$ scaling is valid far beyond the vanishing $\delta$ regime described by catastrophe theory. This scaling will therefore be relevant at finite temperatures, and can be the basis for corrections to standard rate theoretic approaches.

In a broad range of condensed matter systems, one is interested in the question of how some material responds to an external mechanical load. External loads cause liquids to flow, in Newtonian or various types of non-Newtonian flows. Glassy materials, composed of polymers, metals, or ceramics, can deform under mechanical loads, and the nature of the response to loads often dictates the choice of material in various industrial applications. In biological systems, the response of proteins to external loads governs aspects of cell adhesion and muscle function.

The nature of all of these responses depends on both the temperature and loading rate. As described by Eyring [1], mechanical loading lowers energy barriers, thus facilitating progress over the barrier by random thermal fluctuations. The Eyring model approximates the loading dependence of the barrier height as linear. The Eyring model, with this linear barrier height dependence on load, has been used over a large fraction of the last century to describe the response of a wide range of systems [2] and underlies modern approaches to biophysical rupture processes [3,4,5], sheared glasses [6,7], etc.

The linear dependence will always correctly describe small changes in the barrier height, since it is simply the first term in the Taylor expansion of the barrier height function. Minima: solid, Barrier: dotted. At $\delta = 0$ the minimum of interest collides with a barrier. At $\delta = \delta_{\text{min}} = -1/4$, the barrier collides with a distant minimum and ceases to exist; it makes no sense to discuss quantities such as $\Delta U$ for $\delta < \delta_{\text{min}}$.

The analysis of experimental results leads to inaccurate conclusions.

The present investigation addresses this load dependence of the barrier height. The analysis is based on the energy landscape formalism [8], which considers dynamics to be the sum of vibrational-like motion within energy minima and transitions between energy minima. Barriers are associated with saddle points that connect adjacent energy minima.

In molecular systems, the energy is a smooth function of the internal degrees of freedom plus a control parameter (e.g. the controlled stress or strain). As the control parameter is varied, any minimum in the landscape will flatten out in some direction as the minimum collides with a first order saddle point collides [11,12] (c.f. figure 1). This type of externally induced topological change in a function is known as a fold catastrophe [13].

It has long been appreciated that these fold catastrophes induce universal scalings of particular features of the surface in the limit where the minimum and saddle point are infinitesimally close together [14]. In this limit, the function has the lowest order Taylor expansion

$$U = -Ax^3 - Bx\delta$$

FIG. 1: Left: Energy from equation 8 for various $\delta$. $\delta = 0$: solid, $\delta = -1/8$: dotted, $\delta = -1/4$: dashed. Right: Bifurcation diagram indicating the locations of the extrema as a function $\delta$. Minima: solid, Barrier: dotted. At $\delta = 0$ the minimum of interest collides with a barrier. At $\delta = \delta_{\text{min}} = -1/4$, the barrier collides with a distant minimum and ceases to exist; it makes no sense to discuss quantities such as $\Delta U$ for $\delta < \delta_{\text{min}}$. 
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because the first order term (in the internal degrees of freedom) is zero at a minimum or saddle point, and the second order term (projected along the direction which connects the minimum and barrier) is zero at the point where the minimum and saddle point collide. In equation $x$ is the projection of the system’s coordinates onto the zero curvature direction, $\delta$ is the control parameter’s distance away from the singularity, and $A$ and $B$ are positive constants. To obtain the scaling laws, we note that the minimum and saddle point, $x_-$ and $x_+$, are the points where the energy derivative vanishes, the curvature along the reaction coordinate at the minimum and saddle point correspond to the second partial derivatives of the function, $\lambda_- = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} |_{x_-}$, $\lambda_+ = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} |_{x_+}$, and the height of the barrier, $\Delta U$, corresponds to $\Delta U = U(x_+) - U(x_-)$. This analysis leads to the following scaling relations:

$$-x_- = x_+ = \sqrt{-B\delta \over 3A} \quad (2)$$

$$\lambda_- = -\lambda_+ = 6A \sqrt{-B\delta \over 3A} \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta U = 2A \left( -B\delta \over 3A \right)^{3/2} \quad (4)$$

The fold ratio, $r_f \equiv 6\Delta U/(2\lambda_- x_+^2)$, is unity when all three of these scaling relations are valid. [14] These arguments appeal only to $\delta$’s role as a control parameter and are equally valid when $\delta$ represents, e.g., an imposed strain or stress. Recently, the consequences of these scalings have been discussed quantitatively in the context of phenomenological models [13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. Fold ratios in incipient catastrophes have been measured in molecular simulations [14], but the individual scaling relations have not previously been addressed in externally driven molecular level simulations.

These scaling relations must be obtained in the limit $\delta \to 0$, but at finite temperature, thermal fluctuations cause the barrier to be crossed before this vanishing $\delta$ regime is being reached. Little attention has been paid to the accuracy of these scaling relations for the physically meaningful finite $\delta$ regime. While the fold ratio has been shown to deviate significantly from unity at finite $\delta$, [14] the accuracy of the scaling relations for the individual quantities has not previously been addressed.

Chute how the scaling breaks down at finite $|\delta|$ are obtained from a 1+1D energy function, $U(x, \delta)$, based on arguments similar to those given in [14]. The only requirement we make of $U$ is that the coupling to the control parameter is bi-linear over the region of interest: $U(x, \delta) = U_0(x) - B_0x\delta$. Demanding that $(\partial U/\partial x)$ remain zero as we change $\delta$, requires that

$$\frac{d}{d\delta} \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x \partial \delta} + \left( \frac{dx_0}{d\delta} \right) \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} \bigg|_{x_0} \quad (5)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dx_0}{d\delta} = \frac{B_0}{\lambda_0} \quad (6)$$

where $x_0$ is a stationary point. The energy of a stationary point then changes according to

$$\frac{dU}{d\delta} = \left( \frac{dx_0}{d\delta} \right) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x_0} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \delta} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial \delta} = -B_0x_0. \quad (7)$$

where the second equality follows from mechanical equilibrium. The fold scalings obey the above relations between the energy, position and curvature of a stationary point, but these latter relations are more general because they are based on much weaker assumptions about the form of the energy function than the cubic form used to obtain the fold scalings. Since the energy barrier is obtained after two integrations of the inverse curvature, we anticipate that as the load is backed away from the catastrophe deviations from the scaling relations should occur first for the curvature then for the position and finally for the energy; i.e., the barrier height scaling should be more accurate at finite $\delta$ than the other scaling relations.

We first test this hypothesis regarding the relative accuracy of the barrier height scaling on a simple, analytically solvable, model. This simple model includes the next order term in the Taylor expansion for the energy, giving a 4-th order polynomial.

$$U = -x^3 - x\delta + x^4 \quad (8)$$

The landscape for this energy function for various $\delta$ is shown in figure [24]. As $\delta$ goes to zero, the (left hand) minimum and the energy barrier join together at $x = 0$. As $\delta$ is backed away from zero, the minimum and barrier move apart. As $\delta$ is backed further away from zero, the barrier eventually collides with some other minimum at $\delta = -1/4$, rendering the quantities $\Delta U$, $\lambda_+$, $x_+$ undefined. The results for this simple model are consistent with our expectation that the scaling of the barrier height will be more accurate than the scaling of the curvature: at the largest possible values of $\delta$, the barrier height scaling remains accurate to within 10%, while the scaling for $\lambda_+$ is in error by 100%, and the scaling prediction for $\lambda_+$ is infinite in error ($\lambda_+$ vanishes altogether). Analogous results are obtained with a negative fourth order term, in which case, the maximal $|\delta|$ occurs when the minimum collides with the other barrier (in this case $\lambda_+$ is at 50% of the value from the scaling relation, and $\lambda_-$ vanishes altogether). Similar results are obtained for other simple, analytically solvable, models.

We have tested these ideas in simulations of realistic atomistic models by tracking local minima and saddle points as a control parameter is varied. To check the generality of our arguments, we investigate two very different systems (a model glass, and a model protein), and consider both strain and stress as control parameters. The model glass simulations use the Stillinger-Weber 80:20 mixture [15], and include 500 particles in an orthorhombic simulation cell with periodic boundary conditions (the Stillinger-Weber functional form, which
is similar to the Lennard-Jones potential, is used because the continuous derivatives at a finite cutoff are necessary to analyze the energy landscape at the required precision. The model protein is the Thirumalai model [19], which consists of 46 sites that interact with bond stretching, angle bending, torsion, and nonbonded (Lennard-Jones) interactions. A minimum is tracked by repeatedly minimizing the energy as the control parameter is varied in small increments. Similarly, a saddle point is tracked by repeatedly minimizing the sum of the squares of the forces as the control parameter is varied in small increments. The magnitude of the single negative eigenvalue at the minimum and barrier collide. (ii) the accuracy of the scaling relation for the curvature becomes poor while the scaling relation for the barrier height is reasonably accurate over the entire interval up to $\gamma_c \sim .007$.

For the protein model, the end to end distance of the protein, $L$, can be taken as the control parameter. As shown in figure 3 both increasing and decreasing $L$ causes the lowest curvature at the minimum to go to zero, indicating the onset of catastrophes. As expected, the scaling relations are accurate at small values of $\delta$, where $\delta$ is $L_c - L$ and $L_c$ is the length at which the minimum and barrier collide. At large $\delta$, the scaling relation for the curvature becomes poor while the scaling relation for the barrier height is reasonably accurate over the entire range of $\delta$. In contrast to the Lennard-Jones case, it is the minimum which disappears in a collision with an extraneous barrier with $\lambda_-$ going to zero at the maximal $L_c - L$, but, in both cases, the barrier scalings are found to be superior to the scalings of either of the curvatures.

To use force as a control parameter in the protein parameter, are shown in figure 2. Since the system is multidimensional, it will have many eigenvalues at the minimum, and we take $\lambda_-$ to be the smallest of these. The magnitude of the single negative eigenvalue at the barrier is denoted as $\lambda_+$. We choose to use $\lambda_-$ to compute the fold ratio.

In the small $\delta$ limit all of the scaling relations (equations 2, 3, and 4) are accurate, and the fold ratio is unity. This behavior is of course expected, because the catastrophe is of the fold type. In terms of the large $\delta$ behavior, the results are fully consistent with the ideas based on the arguments above, namely: (i) the barrier eigenvalue goes to zero at about $\gamma_c - \gamma \sim .007$ in a collision with some other minimum. (ii) the accuracy of the scaling relation for the curvature (3) is quite poor in comparison with the accuracy of the scaling relation for the barrier height (4), with the barrier height scaling being a reasonable approximation over the entire interval up to $\gamma_c - \gamma \sim .007$. 25

Results for the glass, with shear strain as the control parameter, are shown in figure 2. Since the system is multidimensional, it will have many eigenvalues at the minimum, and we take $\lambda_-$ to be the smallest of these. The magnitude of the single negative eigenvalue at the barrier is denoted as $\lambda_+$. We choose to use $\lambda_-$ to compute the fold ratio.
functions of $F$ and $U_{\text{dom}}$, and an external coupling is introduced so that $\Delta U$ is reinstated as a bona fide degree of freedom, and an external coupling is introduced so that $\Delta U \sim \delta^{3/2}$. While this scaling relation has been known to be rigorously valid in the $\delta \to 0$ limit, this vanishing $\delta$ regime is not not physically significant at finite temperature, because thermal fluctuations cause the system to cross the barrier before the low delta regime is reached. However, our investigation shows that the scaling relation is appropriate outside of the low $\delta$ limit – even when the scalings fail dramatically for the the curvatures or the fold ratio. The barrier height scaling is relevant for all driven thermal systems, including flowing liquids, mechanically deformed glasses, and stretched proteins. Quantitative analyses of these driven thermal systems, based on modifications of Eyring’s theory to take the proper scalings of the barrier height into account, will lead to an improved understanding and description of these systems.
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FIG. 4: Top: $\Delta U$ and Bottom: $\lambda_\gamma$ for the protein model, as functions of $F_c - F$ where $F$ is the external force and $F_c$ is the value of force at which the minimum and barrier collide.

In summary, barrier heights in molecular systems are found to follow, to fairly high accuracy, the scaling relation $\Delta U \sim \delta^{3/2}$. While this scaling relation has been known to be rigorously valid in the $\delta \to 0$ limit, this vanishing $\delta$ regime is not physically significant at finite temperature, because thermal fluctuations cause the system to cross the barrier before the low delta regime is reached. However, our investigation shows that the scaling relation is appropriate outside of the low $\delta$ limit – even when the scalings fail dramatically for the curvatures or the fold ratio. The barrier height scaling is relevant for all driven thermal systems, including flowing liquids, mechanically deformed glasses, and stretched proteins. Quantitative analyses of these driven thermal systems, based on modifications of Eyring’s theory to take the proper scalings of the barrier height into account, will lead to an improved understanding and description of these systems.
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