A prospective randomized study comparing abdominal sacrocolpopexy and vaginal sacrospinous fixation for the management of vault prolapse

Abstract

Introduction: Pelvic organ prolapse is a common health problem, affecting up to 40% of parous women over 50 years old, with significant negative influence on quality of life. Some degree of prolapse is common in most parous women, but treatment is required only for the symptomatic patients.

Purpose of the study: To compare between efficacy and feasibility of ASC and SSF in patient with POP.

Material and methods: This was a randomized prospective comparative study which included post hysterectomy women attending the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology in Maternity Kuwait Hospital, Kuwait, who were referred with vault prolapse at least stage II between January 2008 and March 2012. The population study consisted of 74 women. Patients were divided into 2 groups. The first group (Group A) unilateral sacrospinous fixation; the second (Group B) underwent abdominal sacrocolpopexy. Data were normally distributed, which enabled the use of an independent samples t-test to assess the postoperative difference between the two groups and a paired Student’s t-test to assess the same group before and after the surgery. The level of significance was 0.05.

Results: There was no significant statistical difference among the POP-Q measures between the groups pre-operatively. Sixty-nine women (93.2%) had an optimal anatomical result at 12 months after surgery, four patients from SSF group and two from ASC group. None of the six women required additional surgery. There was statistically significant difference between the POP-Q values of Aa, Ab, Pa, Pb,C before and after operation (P<0.001) demonstrating that both techniques were able to improve anatomical parameters. The values of POP-Q, before and after operation (n=74) are seen in Table 3.

Conclusion: Sacrocolpopexy and sacrospinous fixation are both effective in the management of vault prolapse with less procedure and recovery time in sacrospinous fixation group making it more friendly. Further studies are indicated for the comparison between both procedures.
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Introduction

Pelvic organ prolapse is a common health problem, affecting up to 40% of parous women over 50 years old, with significant negative influence on quality of life. Some degree of prolapse is common in most parous women, but treatment is required only for the symptomatic patients.

Surgery for prolapse is one of the most common operations performed in gynecology. In women, the lifetime risk of needing surgery for prolapse by the age of 80 is around 11%. It is interesting to note that 25% of these operations are performed for recurrent prolapse, suggesting that success rates for initial operations are poor. The ideal procedure for vaginal apical support has yet to be determined. The past decade has seen several innovations in the treatment of prolapse due to greater understanding of pelvic anatomy and pathophysiology.

Abdominal sacral colpopexy is the gold standard abdominal procedure used for apical prolapse. Success rates range from 78% to 100%. This is probably the only procedure in prolapse surgery where there is level1 evidence to use synthetic mesh. Major complications from this procedure are rare but serious. Sacrospinous ligament fixation (SSF) is a vaginal procedure that is well established for POP repair. However, data are limited on the comparative efficacy of abdominal sacrocolpopexy and SSF.

We conducted a randomized, non-inferiority trial to determine whether the efficacy of sacrospinous fixation is similar to abdominal sacrocolpopexy in women with symptomatic vault prolapse (POP-Q) stage 2 or higher.

Materials and methods

This was a randomized prospective comparative study which included post-hysterectomy women attending the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology in Maternity Kuwait Hospital, Kuwait, Kuwait University, Kuwait. This was a randomized prospective comparative study which included post-hysterectomy women attending the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology in Maternity Kuwait Hospital, Kuwait, Kuwait University, Kuwait.
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who were referred with vault prolapse at least stage II between January 2008 and March 2012. Subjects were excluded if they had vaginal or pelvic infections, any chronic disabling diseases, above 70 years old. The population study consisted of 74 women. Characteristics of the patients were shown in Table 1. Approval of ethical committee was conducted before collecting any of these data.

Table 1 Characteristics of the patients in 2 surgical groups

|                          | Vaginal SSF | Abdominal SCP |
|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Number of patients       | 37          | 37            |
| Age (years)              | 57.8±12.3   | 60.1±10.5     |
| Parity                   | 4.5±2.5     | 4.3±2.6       |
| Body mass index (kg/m2)  | 29.6±4.2    | 28.2±5.1      |
| History of prolapse surgery * | 8 (21.62%) | 8 (21.62%)   |
| Anterior colporraphy     | 4           | 3             |
| Anterior and posterior colporraphy | 4        | 2             |
| Vaginal Sacrospinous fixation | 0         | 3             |

**Cause of Hysterectomy**
- Fibroid uterus: 23
- Adenomyosis: 4
- DUB: 2
- Endometrial carcinoma: 2
- Uterine prolapse at least stage II: 9
- Ovarian Pathology: 4

**Comorbidity**
- Hypertension: 5
- Diabetes mellitus: 15
- Hypercholesterolaemia: 4
- Hypothyroidism: 3
- Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: 2
- Cardiovascular accidents: 2

**Prolapse stage pre-operative**
- Stage 0: 0
- Stage I: 0
- Stage II: 9 (24.3%)
- Stage III: 13 (35.1%)
- Stage IV: 15 (40.5%)

**Performed surgical procedures**
- Vaginal hysterectomy: 37 (100%)
- Sacro-colpopexy: –
- Anterior colporraphy: 34 (83)
- Posterior colporraphy: 35 (85)
- Colposuspension: –
- Kelly’s suture: 25 (67.6%)

All patients underwent a standardized Urogynecologic interview that included pelvic organ prolapse quantification (POP-Q) by the authors and a classification of the genital prolapse according to the recommendations of the ICS.

Preoperatively, the 2 groups were homogeneous with respect to age, body mass index, stage of apical prolapse. All eligible women who agreed to participate in the study and who provided written informed consent were enrolled (74 patients). The patients were allocated randomly to one of the two surgery groups. The first group (Group A) unilateral sacrospinous fixation; the second (Group B) underwent abdominal. All patients were consented for random selection for surgery.

All SSF were performed unilaterally to the right sacrospinous ligament. The posterior vaginal wall was incised and separated from the rectum and access to sacrospinous ligament was obtained through the pararectal space. The right ischial spine was localised digitally and the ligament was made visible through blunt dissection. One permanent suture (Prolene 1.0, Ethicon, Somerville, NJ, USA) and one delayed absorbable (Vicryl 1.0, Ethicon, Somerville, NJ, USA) were placed through the right sacrospinous ligament at least 2cm from the ischial spine. The sutures were placed through the vaginal apex. Two thirds of the posterior vaginal wall was closed with absorbable sutures (Vicryl 2, Ethicon, Somerville, NJ, USA). The suture was then tied, drawing the apex of the vaginal vault towards the sacrospinous ligament. The rest of posterior vaginal wall incision was then closed.

In abdominal sacrocolpopexy, the abdomen was entered via a transverse incision. The bladder and rectum wall dissected as needed. A transverse incision was made in the peritoneum overlying the vault to expose the pubocervical and rectovaginal fasciae. A longitudinal incision was made over the anterior surface of the sacrum towards the transverse incision over the vault, taking care to avoid injury to the presacral vessels, the rectum and the sigmoid colon. Mersiline tape was attached to the vaginal vault and to the anterior longitudinal ligament overlying the sacrum, without being too tight, to help maintain a horizontal rather than an upright direction of the upper vagina on standing. The incision was closed with vicryl 2/0.

All surgeries were performed by experienced gynecologists who were familiar with both techniques. The patients were seen 4-6 weeks after surgery, and then at 6, 12 and 24 months. There were no drop-outs in the follow-up. The preoperative assessments and the postoperative follow-ups were conducted by the authors at alternating visits.

All numerical data were expressed as the means±standard deviations. To detect preoperative intergroup differences, we used a Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables and a chi-square test for categorial variables. Data were normally distributed, which enabled the use of an independent samples t-test to assess the postoperative difference between the two groups and a paired Student’s t-test to assess the same group before and after the surgery. The level of significance was 0.05.

**Results**

Operations were performed under general anesthesia in 12.1 % (9) or epidural anesthesia 87.8 % (65) of cases. The mean operating time was 44±16min for sacrospinous group and 65±18min for abdominal sacrocolpopexy group. The presence of an associated cystocele was
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In ASC group there were 12 complications, 3 patients had bleeding during surgery due to dissection and injury to venous presacralplexus and compression of the plexus was enough in 2 of the patients while 3rd one received blood transfusion. Estimated loss 200ml while in the 3rd one it was 500 ml. four patients had wound infection and received antibiotic according to culture and sensitivity result.

In SSF group 34 (83%) patients had an anterior repair and 35(85%) patients had a posterior repair. while in the ASC group 10(27%) patients had an anterior repair and 10 (27%) patients had a posterior repair. In ACC group 22 patients had colposuspension for stress urinary incontinence while in SSF group 25 patients had Kelly’s suture.

There was no significant statistical difference among the POP-Q measures between the groups pre-operatively. Sixty-nine women (93.2%) had an optimal anatomical result at first postoperative visit. The three patients with unsatisfied results two in SSF group (4.5%) and one in ASC group (3.33%). Six asymptomatic (stage I prolapse) were diagnosed 12 months after surgery, four patients from SSF group and two from ASC group. None of the six women required additional surgery. There was statistically significant difference between the POP-Q values of Aa, Ab, Pa, Pb,C before and after operation (P<0.001) demonstrating that both techniques were able to improve anatomical parameters. The values of POP-Q, before and after operation (n=74) are seen in Table 3.

**Table 2** Shows complications in both groups

|                      | Group ASC |          | Group SSF |          | 3 month | 1 year | 2 years |
|----------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| Range                | Mean      | Range    | Mean      | 3 month  | 1 year  | 2 years |
| Age                  | 55-60     | 53       | 54-60     | 52       |         |        |
| BMI                  | 20-24     | 22       | 21-24     | 21       |         |        |
| Parity               | P6-11     | P7       | PS-P9     | P6       |         |        |
| Time of procedure    | 44min±16  | 65±18min |           |          |         |        |
| Blood transfusion    | 1         | No       |           |          |         |        |
| Postoperative recovery| 2 days   | 1 day    |           |          |         |        |
| Complications        | 12 (32.4%)| 13 (35.1%)| -VE      | -VE      | -VE     |
| Bleeding             | 3         | 1        | -         | -        |         |
| Infection            | 4 wound infections | 5 vaginitis | -VE     | -VE     | -VE     |
| Urine retention      | 2         |          |           |          |         |        |
| Injury to bladder    | NO        | 1        | -         | -        |         |
| Injury to rectum     | NO        | NO       | -         | -        |         |
| Gluteal pain         | NO        | 1        | -VE       | -VE      | -VE     |
| Hematoma of pararectal fossa | NO  | 2         | -VE       | -VE      | -VE     |
| Paralytic ileus      | 5         | 1        | -VE       | -VE      | -VE     |
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Table 3 POP-Q in sacrospinous (Group A) and abdominal sacro-colpopexy (Group B) groups' pre-operative and 4 weeks' post-operative

|       | Group SSF | Group ASC | pb     |
|-------|-----------|-----------|--------|
| Aa    | 1.23 (1.52) | 1.97 (1.18) | 0.679  |
| Post-operative | -0.95 (0.61) | -0.87 (0.41) | 0.974  |
| pb    | <0.01     | <0.01     |        |
| Ba    | 3.24 (1.67) | 2.93 (1.81) | 0.901  |
| Post-operative | -0.56 (0.31) | -0.88 (0.79) | 0.891  |
| pb    | <0.01     | <0.01     |        |
| C     | -0.07 (4.22) | -0.96 (4.10) | 0.938  |
| Post-operative | -0.41 (2.50) | -0.16 (2.67) | 0.954  |
| pb    | <0.01     | <0.01     |        |
| Bp    | 0.64 (2.96) | 0.51 (3.17) | 0.904  |
| Post-operative | -0.27 (1.15) | -0.88 (1.58) | 0.84   |
| pb    | <0.01     | <0.01     |        |
| Ap    | +2.3 (1.35) | +2.1 (1.61) | 0.982  |
| Post-operative | -2.1 (2.25) | -2.4 (1.99) | 0.985  |
| pb    | <0.01     | <0.01     |        |
| GH    | 4.79 (0.67) | 4.81 (0.92) | 0.995  |
| Post-operative | 2.76 (0.55) | 2.46 (0.48) | 0.896  |
| pb    | 0.46      | 0.383     |        |
| PB    | 2.39 (0.83) | 2.81 (0.67) | 0.854  |
| Post-operative | -2.5 (0.82) | -2.6 (1.13) | 0.972  |
| pb    | 0.472     | 0.614     |        |
| TVL   | 7.45 (0.99) | 7.17 (1.32) | 0.942  |
| Post-operative | 9.89 (0.75) | 10.17 (0.47) | 0.947  |
| pb    | 0.722     | 0.621     |        |

Discussion

Genital prolapse is a common condition whose incidence is increasing representing a major public health issue. Hysterectomy for pelvic organ prolapse appears to be a particular risk factor. The risk of prolapse repair after hysterectomy was 4.7 times higher in women whose initial hysterectomy was indicated for pelvic organ prolapse and 8 times higher if preoperative prolapse grade 2 or more was present.6

The lack of standardized definitions for surgical success following pelvic organ prolapse (POP) surgery has resulted in highly variable estimates of success. Studies with the lowest success rates defined success using more stringent anatomical support while those with the highest success rates generally used subjective outcomes such as satisfaction and resolution of POP symptoms. Barber et al.7 stated that More than a hundred surgical techniques have been proposed, but the choice is not unique because no therapeutic has demonstrated its superiority.

In Barber et al.7 study, success after POP surgery included subjective criteria as the absence of bulge symptoms in addition to anatomic criteria (using the hymen as a threshold for anatomic success) and no need for re-surgery.

In several retrospective and prospective studies it has been shown that sacrospinous fixation in case of uterine or vaginal vault prolapse is a safe and effective treatment.8 In our study, Seventy-one women (95.94%) had an optimal anatomical result at first postoperative visit. The success rate of SSF group and ASC group are (95.5%) and (96.6%) respectively. Six asymptomatic (stage I prolapse) were diagnosed 12 months after surgery, none of the six women required additional surgery. There was a significant statistical difference between the POP-Q of Aa, Ba, Ap, Bp, and D before and after the operation (P<0.001).

In published literature reviews, the average mean follow-up after SSLP is 13.8 months to 4.8 years. Benson et al.9 and Maher10 followed up cases for 1 year unlike our study where follow up was 24 months. The success rate of SSF was 84.7%-97%. Recurrences usually happened within six months post operation.11,12

Sacrocolpopexy is an effective option for the correction of advanced POP. The major advantages of sacrocolpopexy are excellent ten-year success rates, and for the sexually active patient provide the longest possible vaginal length. In our study total vaginal length postoperative in ASC 10.17 compared to in 9.89 in SSF group however this not statically significant (p =0.947).

Many studies have shown that sacrospinous fixation is effective for vaginal vault repair.13 The advantages of the vaginal were supported by Maher et al.14 in a meta-analysis: the shorter operative time, less complications, faster recovery and costless.

In the study of Abeera Choudhry et al.15 they conclude that SSF is a patient friendly operation (shorter procedure and quicker recovery) with little short-term morbidity and excellent functional results, which are equivalent to sacrocolpopexy. To settle the war between abdominal VS vaginal route we need to design bigger and longer-term high quality clinical trials.

In the study of Aigmueller et al.16 99 women (mean 66 years) underwent vaginal SSF for vault prolapse. He contacted all patients 2-15 years after surgery for examination (POP-Q survey). Sixteen out of 55 (29%) patients, who completed follow-up, presented with cystocele, three patients with rectocele, and four patients had a recurrent vault prolapse. As for quality of life, 42/55 (76%) patients reported lower urinary tract symptoms, but only 9/55 (16%) felt a sensation of prolapse. Ten out of 24 patients, who were still sexually active, reported symptoms of sexual dysfunction. There was no correlation between lengths of follow-up and anatomical or functional results. Vaginal sacrospinous fixation resulted in excellent vault suspension but 29% of the patients developed cystocele formation. Only 16% of patients reported symptoms of descent.
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There are only two randomized controlled trials that compare the vaginal and abdominal routes for vault prolapse surgery. Surprisingly these trials report on only 175 cases. One trial was done in by Benson et al and the other in by Maher et al.15

Conclusion

Sacrocolpopexy and sacrospinous fixation are both effective in the management of vault prolapse with less procedure and recovery time in sacrospinous fixation group making it more friendly. Further studies are indicated for the comparison between both procedures.
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