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**Abstract**

**Background:** The macro/micro-morphology of nutlets in 11 species (and 22 accessions) of the Boraginaceae family was investigated using stereomicroscope and scanning electron microscopy to evaluate the taxonomic relevance of the traits. To evaluate the phylogenetic significance of the character evolution, phylogenetic analysis was carried out by comparing available DNA sequence data from GenBank with selected original nutlet data.

**Results:** The Rochelieae nutlets’ shape varied from ovoid (ovoid, ovoid-triangular, and ovoid-rectangular) to pyramid. Six major patterns were recognized based on the nutlet ultrastructure characters. Rochelieae is characterized by a transition from “without appendage” to “with tubercles and prickles” on the nutlet disk, and also via a shift from “lack of prickles” to “glossy prickles”.

**Conclusions:** The results show that the nutlet ultrastructure pattern of Rochelieae is systematically informative at the genus level, but not at the species level. Findings demonstrated that glochid is not an ancestral trait but is a synapomorphy and the transition to this trait occurred in the genus *Lappula*. The close boundary of nutlet microstructures between *L. barbata* and *L. microcarpa* has been discussed.
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**Background**

*Boraginaceae* s.str. is a sub cosmopolitan family of flowering plants with nearly 90 genera and ca. 1600 to 1700 species distributed globally. According to a molecular phylogeny study by Chacón et al. (2016), infrafamilial classification of Boraginaceae might fall within three Subfamilies (Echiochiloideae, Boraginoideae, Cynoglossoideae) and 10 tribes (Boragineae, Lithospermeae, Trichodesmeae, Lasiocaryae, Asperugeae, Omphaloideae, Rochelieae, Craniospermeae, Myosotideae, Cynoglossoideae). Tribe Rochelieae consists of approximately 207 species, and belongs to the subfamily Cynoglossoideae. According to Chacón et al. (2016), Rochelieae can be divided into two subtribes including Eritrichiinae and Heterocaryinae. The genera *Eritrichium*, *Hackelia*, *Lappula*, *Lepechiniella*, and *Rochelia*, belong to the Eritrichiinae and the genera *Heterocaryum*, *Suchtelenia*, and *Pseudoheterocaryum* to sub-tribe Heterocaryinae (Chacón et al. 2016; Saadati et al. 2017). Three genera of subtribe Eritrichiinae (*Eritrichium*, *Lepechiniella*, and *Lappula*) are recognized as non-monophyletic lineage. *Hackelia* and *Rochelia* are comprised of monophyletic clades (Khoshosokhan-Mozaffar et al. 2018). The recent molecular phylogeny of the Rochelieae tribe provided by Khoshosokhan-Mozaffar et al. (2018) has indicated a well-supported clade. *Hackelia* and *Rochelia* are monophyletic while *Lappula*, *Eritrichium*, and *Lepechiniella* are not. Therefore, *Lappula*, as currently circumscribed, is polyphyletic (Khoshosokhan-Mozaffar et al. 2018). The genus *Eritrichium* is the largest genus of the tribe, with 71 species. The genus *Lappula* contains about 70
species of annual, biennial perennial herbs distributed in Eurasia, Africa, North America, and Australia (Ovchinnikova 2005). Although Lappula has a cosmopolitan distribution, the center of diversity is in the Siberian and Irano-Turanian provinces of the Holarctic kingdom (Ovchinnikova 2009). Initially, Lehmann (1818) circumscribed 15 species in Echinospermum Lehm. (Synonym of Lappula). Echinospermum was divided by de Candolle (1845) into three sections based on nutlet morphology as follow: Lappula, Sclerocaryum, and Homalocaryum. The taxonomical problems of Lappula were increased as the number of species in the genus began to expand. Consequently, the number of sections, subsections, and series seems to be widely varied upon what has been reported by different authors (Popov 1953; Riedl 1967). First off, Lehmann (1818) used nutlet characters in the systematics of Lappula and showed mericarp characters including mericarp shape and surface ornamentation to distinguish the species of this genus. Generally, regarding Boraginaceae, nutlet morphology provides valid systematic characters at various taxonomic levels, such as straight or incurved nutlet, a specialized form of emergence, the position of attachment scar, the distinctive form of prickles or glochids, and epidermal features of nutlets (Johnston 1937; Hilger 1985; Al-Shehbaz 1991; Riedl 1996; Långström and Chase 2002; Moon and Hong 2006; Selvi et al. 2006; Kahraman et al. 2011). In this study, we aimed to evaluate the nutlet morphological characters of several Iranian Lappula species and compare them to related genera in Rochelieae by scanning samples using electron microscopy. DNA sequence data and selected nutlet characters were examined to investigate the character evolution and phylogenetic relationships. The results will be discussed with a particular focus on Iranian species of Lappula and some related genera of the Rochelieae tribe.

### Methods

#### Morphological study

The plants used in the present study were collected from their natural habitats in Iran and deposited in the Herbarium of Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University (ASMUH). Also, a small number of species were taken from herbarium specimens of FUMH (Ferdowsi University of Mashhad Herbarium). The list of voucher specimens and details of their locations were given in Table 1. This study was carried out on nine species of tribe Rochelieae (covering four genera) and two species of tribes Asperugeae and Myosotideae as out-groups. Depending on the amount of material available, 10 nutlets of each taxon were investigated and scored for the standard descriptors in Table 2. The air dried nutlets (10 per each taxon and accession) were investigated for their shape, size, and other features using stereomicroscope

### Table 1 List of sampled taxa, locality and their vouchers numbers

| Species (Pop. Code) | Locality | Voucher No. |
|---------------------|----------|-------------|
| Lappula barbata (M.Bieb.) Gürke (m) | Tehran, Chalus road, Kooshk | ASMUH0020 |
| L. barbata (c) | Mazandaran, Chalus, Delir vilage | ASMUH0021 |
| L. barbata (ab) | Mazandaran, Noshahr, Kojur, Laregan | ASMUH0022 |
| L. barbata (w) | Tehran, Tuchal | ASMUH0023 |
| L. ceratophora (Popov) Popov | South Khorasan, south-west Sarayan | FUMH46077 |
| L. microcarpa (Ledeb.) Gürke (b) | Mazandaran, Noor, Chamestan, Lavij | ASMUH0024 |
| L. microcarpa (e) | Mazandaran, Neka, Hezarjerib | ASMUH0025 |
| L. microcarpa (g) | Golestan, East of Golestan national park | ASMUH0026 |
| L. microcarpa (l) | Mazandaran, Savadkooch, Veresik | ASMUH0027 |
| L. microcarpa (j) | Mazandaran, Polor to Rine | ASMUH0028 |
| L. microcarpa (p) | Mazandaran, Noshahr, Kojur, | ASMUH0029 |
| L. microcarpa (z) | North Khorasan, Chamanbid | ASMUH0030 |
| L. microcarpa (a) | Tehran, Lavasan, Glucan | ASMUH0031 |
| L. semiglabra (Ledeb.) Gürke | Khorasan Razavi, North of Gonabad | FUMH7236 |
| L. sessiliflora (Boiss.) Gürke | Khorasan Razavi, East of Kashmir | FUMH26656 |
| L. spinocarpus (Forssk.) Asch. ex Kuntze | South Khorasan, Birjand, Shahzile | FUMH30399 |
| Pseudolappula sinaica (A.DC.) Asch. & Schweinf | Tehran, Chalus road, Morod | ASMUH0032 |
| Asperugo procumbens L | Mazandaran, Damavand, Sarbandan | ASMUH0033 |
| Heterocaryum rigidum A. DC | Tehran, Jajroad | ASMUH0035 |
| Myosotis sylvatica Ehrh | Mazandaran, Sari, Sangdeh forest | ASMUH0036 |
| Rochelia disperma (L. f) C. Koch | Tehran, Lavasan | ASMUH0037 |
To observe nutlets under SEM, they were mounted (two per each taxon and accession) onto standard aluminum stubs using double-sided adhesive tape and then photographed using a PHILIPS / FEI XL 20 Scanning Electron Microscope at 15 kV voltages. The measurements were based on 15–20 evaluations from each specimen.

The terminology used for describing the nine qualitative characters is in line with (Ma et al. 2010; Selvi et al. 2011; Yu et al. 2012; Hilger 2014). The very relevant traits were the shape of nutlet, the centerline of its shape, the base surface of prickles on the desk, lamella status, appendage on nutlet desk, the number of glochid row on nutlet edge, tubercles on a desk, prickles surface, and surface emergence (nutlet ultrasculpture) (Table 2).

Nutlet epidermal feature and its surface ornamentation (using SEM) are of diagnostic value in genera/species delimitation (Boyd 2002). The body of literature revealed their importance for delineating evolutionary pathways in the Boraginaceae including Eritrichieae (Ovczinnikova 2007; Ovczinnikova 2008), Cynoglosseae and Eritrichieae (Hilger 2014), Microula (Yu et al. 2012), Cynoglossum L. (Akcın 2007), Lithospermum L. (Weigend et al. 2009), Onosma L. (Akcın 2008; Binzet and Akçın 2009), Lappula (Ma et al. 2010). Various nutlet traits were described and defined for these taxa. Reviewing the literature about our specimens paved the way for screening the final selected traits. For example, the traits related to the ornamentation of nutlet surface (states tuberculare and stellare-aculeate of surface emergence) were defined according to (Ovczinnikova 2009) that studied the fruits of the Eritrichieae tribe. Moreover, the status of glochid and anchor was of great favor due to its significance concerning the Rochelieae tribe and particularly the Lappula genus. They were defined according to the study by (Ma et al. 2010) on nutlet dimorphism of the Lappula genus.

Finally, investigating the ontogeny and systematic importance of the fruits of Cynoglosseae and Eritrichieae (Hilger 2014) helped us achieving desirable characters and their status. These characters were scored as binary variables and numerical values, and then they were standardized and prepared for the following analysis.

The data were analyzed and examined by PCA, and WARD dendrogram using PAST software to study decimation of L. microcarpa and L. barbata species. Due to high morphological similarities between L. microcarpa and L. barbata, the Flora of Iran (Nasseh and Joharchi 2017) and Flora Iranica (Riedl 1996) were used for discerning them from each other.

### Table 2  Micromorphological characters of the nutlet in the studied taxa

| Taxon            | SN | CL | BPD | LS  | AND | NGRN | TD | SE     | PS |
|------------------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|--------|----|
| L. microcarpa    | 0  | 0  | 1   | 1   | 2   | 1    | 2  | 0      | 2  |
| L. barbata       | 0  | 1  | 0   | 1   | 2   | 2    | 2  | 0      | 2  |
| L. semiglabra    | 0  | 0  | 1   | 1   | 2   | 1    | 0  | 0      | 2  |
| L. ceratophora   | 4  | 0  | 0   | 0   | 1   | 1    | 0  | 1      | 0  |
| L. spinocarpus   | 4  | 0  | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1    | 0  | 1      | 0  |
| L. sessiliflora  | 3  | 2  | 2   | 1   | 2   | 1    | 3  | 0      | 1  |
| R. disperma      | 0  | 0  | 0   | 1   | 1   | 2    | 3  | 0      | 1  |
| L. sinuca       | 0  | 0  | 0   | 1   | 2   | 0    | 0  | 1      | 0  |
| H. rigidum       | 2  | 0  | 0   | 2   | 2   | 1    | 0  | 1      | 2  |
| A. procumbens    | 1  | 0  | 0   | 3   | 0   | 3    | 0  | 3      | 0  |
| M. sylvatica     | 5  | 0  | 0   | 1   | 1   | 0    | 1  | 0      | 4  |

| Taxon            | Characters |
|------------------|------------|
| L. microcarpa    | 0 0 1 1 2 1 2 0 2 |
| L. barbata       | 0 1 0 1 2 2 2 0 2 |
| L. semiglabra    | 0 0 1 1 2 1 0 0 2 |
| L. ceratophora   | 4 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 |
| L. spinocarpus   | 4 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 |
| L. sessiliflora  | 3 2 2 1 2 1 3 0 1 |
| R. disperma      | 0 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 0 |
| L. sinuca       | 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 2 0 |
| H. rigidum       | 2 0 0 2 0 1 0 2 2 |
| A. procumbens    | 1 0 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 |
| M. sylvatica     | 5 0 0 1 1 0 0 4 0 |
the Boraginales at different taxonomic levels. Given the sequences deposited in the gene bank, we reconstructed the phylogenetic tree using ITS 1, 5.8S rRNA, ITS 2, of the nuclear region.

To optimally show the intra-tribe relationship, the general topology of the phylogenetic tree was performed on the Phylogeny.fr platform (Fig. 2a) following bellow steps: (1) sequences were aligned via MUSCLE (v3.8.31) configured for the highest accuracy; (2) ambiguous regions were removed with Gblocks (v0.91b); (3) the phylogenetic tree was reconstructed using the maximum likelihood method implemented in the PhyML program (v3.1/3.0 aLRT) (4) the HKY85 substitution model was selected assuming an estimated proportion of invariant sites (of 0.435) and 4 gamma-distributed rate categories to account for rate heterogeneity across sites (the gamma shape parameter was estimated directly according to the data [\(\text{gamma} = 0.445\]); (5) reliability for the internal branch was assessed by hiring aLRT test (SH-Like); and, (6) graphical representation and edition of the phylogenetic tree were performed using TreeDyn (v198.3) (Deereer et al. 2008).

To study the character evolution (Fig. 2b), the sequences manually aligned via MUSCLE using MEGA sofware ver.7 (Kumar et al. 2016). Poorly aligned positions and divergent regions were eliminated by using Gblocks 0.91b, following the given options for less stringency (Casteasana 2000). Phylogenetic analyses were performed using the combined 3-loci data set. The partitioned ML analysis was fully used raxmGUI 1.1 (Silvestro and Michalak 2012) under the GTR + G model with 1000 bootstrap replicates and with Asperugo (tribe Asprugeae) and Myosotis (tribe Myosotidiae) chosen as out-groups. The evolutionary history of characters was traced over an ML tree in Mesquite 3.04 (Maddison and Maddison 2015). The ML approach was applied with the Markov k-state one-parameter (Mk1) model (Lewis 2001).

**Result**

**General description of nutlet micromorphology**

The nutlet's morphology and ultrastructure characteristics such as shape size, appendages, and surface sculpturing, varied among the studied taxa. The Rochelieae nutlets’ shapes were different across samples; while some had ovoid shapes (ovoid, ovoid-triangular, and ovoid-rectangular), some other samples had pyramid shape (Fig. 1). In the out-groups, the shape of *Asperugo procumbense* was semicircular, and the *Myosotis sylvatica* was of ellipse shape. These two genera belong to Asprugeae and Myosotidiae tribes, respectively.

Nine qualitative characters were selected for the morphological evaluation of nutlets. The results obtained from nutlet-ultrastructure investigations are described below and illustrated in Fig. 1. Generally, six different surface types were recognized among studied taxa based on nutlet ultrastructure characters:

### Table 3 List of taxa used in phylogenetic analysis with their GenBank accession numbers

| Taxon                           | Tribe (based on Chacón et al. 2016) | GenBank accession number |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Heterocaryum macrocarpum        | Rochelieae                          | AB758300.1               |
| Heterocaryum szovitsianum       | Rochelieae                          | AB758298.1               |
| Heterocaryum subsessile         | Rochelieae                          | KU927721.1               |
| Heterocaryum rigidum *          | Rochelieae                          | AB758299.1               |
| Suchtelenia calycina (1)        | Rochelieae                          | LC194913.1               |
| Suchtelenia calycina (2)        | Rochelieae                          | LC194912.1               |
| Lappula balchschensis           | Rochelieae                          | JX976776.1               |
| Lappula anocarpa                | Rochelieae                          | JX976775.1               |
| Lappula intermedia              | Rochelieae                          | JX976885.1               |
| Lappula patula                  | Rochelieae                          | AB758305.1               |
| Lappula stricta                 | Rochelieae                          | JX976798.1               |
| Lappula occidentalis            | Rochelieae                          | KU927723.1               |
| Lepechiniella wendelboi         | Rochelieae                          | AB758314.1               |
| Erichthium rupestre             | Rochelieae                          | KU927644.1               |
| Erichthium thymifolium          | Rochelieae                          | JX976807.1               |
| Erichthium canum                | Rochelieae                          | KU927710.1               |
| Erichthium splendidus           | Rochelieae                          | JQ388501.1               |
| Erichthium villusum             | Rochelieae                          | JQ388502.1               |
| Erichthium pectinatociliatum    | Rochelieae                          | KU927713.1               |
| Erichthium arietoides           | Rochelieae                          | KU927709.1               |
| Erichthium nanum                | Rochelieae                          | JQ388499.1               |
| Hackelia micrantha              | Rochelieae                          | JQ388504.1               |
| Hackelia deflexa (1)            | Rochelieae                          | JX976808.1               |
| Hackelia deflexa (2)            | Rochelieae                          | KU927716.1               |
| Hackelia revoluta               | Rochelieae                          | KF849119.1               |
| Hackelia difusa                 | Rochelieae                          | JQ388503.1               |
| Rochelia macrocalyx             | Rochelieae                          | AB564700.1               |
| Rochelia bungei                 | Rochelieae                          | AB564695.1               |
| Rochelia disperma *             | Rochelieae                          | LC410071.1               |
| Lappula ceratophora *           | Rochelieae                          | AB758301.1               |
| Lappula semiglabra *            | Rochelieae                          | AB758306.1               |
| Lappula spinocarpos *           | Rochelieae                          | AB758309.1               |
| Lappula sinaica *               | Rochelieae                          | LC410061.1               |
| Lappula sessiliflora *          | Rochelieae                          | LC410059.1               |
| Lappula microcarpa *            | Rochelieae                          | JX976788.1               |
| Lappula barbata *               | Rochelieae                          | LC410054.1               |
| Asperugo procumbens *           | Asperugeae                          | AB758290.1               |
| Myosotis sylvatica *            | Myosotidiae                         | AB989064.1               |

*The species used for character evolution*
**Type I: Heterocaryum and Pseudolappula (Syn: L. siniaca)**

There was no glochid or appendage on the nutlet disk, but a row of glochid (*Heterocaryum*) or glochid-like (*Pseudolappula*) on the nutlet edge. The glochids were distributed sparsely on the edges of the nutlets of *Pseudolappula*. The nutlet disk ornament of *Heterocaryum* was “papilla verrucose with verrucae minutely muricate” (called complex papilla), the nutlet disk ornament of *Pseudolappula* was “papilla with aggregate verrucose in the center”. It appeared that each of the microcapillaries found in *Psudolappula* were complex in the *Heterocaryum*, and each formed warts (verruce), leading to a more complex and denser status.

**Type II: Lappula (L. barbata, L. microcarpa and L. semiglabra)**

Glochids in different sizes and rows can be seen at the nutlet edge and sometimes on the nutlet disk surface. Glochids had an anchor with 2–4 branches at the apex, and their surface was smooth. The ultrastructure of the nutlet emergencies was stellar-acute, and in some case the appendage, prickles, or tubercles could be seen (scattered or collected) on the surface of the nutlet disk and edge. The glochid stem was composed of fusiform cells, and there were tubercles with 2 to 5 mineralized spines on the stem. These tubercles were also present on the entire surface of the nutlet with a different distribution.

**Type III: Rochelia (R. disperma, R. sessiflora = L. sessiflora)**

The prickles were arranged in a stellate pattern and scattered throughout the surface of the nutlet. The surface of the prickles was not glossy and was of verrucose. The prickles often had more than 2 spines, and the emergencies were stellar-acute (similar to type II). Nonetheless, the nutlet surface of *R. sessiflora* was similar to type II (presence of glochid on the nutlet edge). Moreover, the prickles and the accumulation of tubercles with more than 5 spines around each prickle shared more resemblance with type III.

**Type IV: L. ceratophora and L. spinocarpus**

There was not any glochid, tubercle, or prickles on the nutlet surface. The nutlet surface of *L. ceratophora* was not smooth, and the papilla appeared as verrucose-like. The ultrastructure of the nutlet in *L. spinocarpus* had a similar appearance to papilla with flowerlike verrucose. Also, the tubercles appeared as verrucose lacking any spines.

**Type V: Asperugo**

The nutlet surface lacked any glochid and prickles. Papilla appeared dome-shaped in different sizes, with verrucose at the base of it.

**Type VI: Myosotis**

The surface of the nutlet was smooth, and there was no ornamentation (nonexpressiante).

**Evolution of nutlet’s microstructural characters**

We did not observe topological contradiction for the Rochelieae tribe in the analysis that were performed by constructing gene trees from the nr-DNA ITS, concatenated trnL-F–rpl32-trnL(UAG), and concatenated nr-DNA ITS–trnL-F–rpl32-trnL(UAG) data sets. This was consistent with what was reported by Khoshsokhan-Mozaffar et al. (2018). Therefore, for preventing slopepiness, we selected nr-DNA ITS because of better resolution. Details of the ML analyses based on the nr-DNA ITS data set confirmed the phylogenetic relationships of some species of Rochelieae and then formed a well-supported lineage encompassing two clades. This is totally in agreement with the topology published by Khoshsokhan-Mozaffar et al. (2018). One clade was composed of sub-tribe Heterocaryinae (*Heterocaryum*-Suchtelenia), with high support (BS=0.99). The next

(See figure on next page.)

**Fig. 1** Six types of nutlet morphology in the studied species. a–h=Type I; (a–d) *Heterocaryum rigidum*; a, b: An overview photograph of nutlet with stereomicroscope and SEM; c, d: The close-up views of the nutlet disk with “papilla verrucose with verrucae minutely muricate” (e–h) *Pseudolappula siniaca*; e, f: An overview photograph of nutlet with stereomicroscope and SEM; g, h: The close-up views of the nutlet disk with “papilla with aggregate verrucose in the center” i–o Type II. (i, j) *Lappula barbata*; (k, l) *Lappula Microcarpa*; (The more detail of these two species were described between different specimens in Fig. 3). *Lappula semiglabra*; m, n: An overview photograph of nutlet with stereomicroscope and SEM; o: The close-up views of the nutlet disk; p–v = Type III; (p–r) *Rochelia sessiflora*; p, q: An overview photograph of nutlet with stereomicroscope and SEM; r: The close-up views of the nutlet disk with prickles and verrucose on it. (s–v) *Rochel dispersa*; s, t: An overview photograph of nutlet with stereomicroscope and SEM; u, v: The close-up views of stellar-acute emergencies in nutlet disk; w–ac = Type IV; (w–y) *Lappula spinocarpus*; w, x: An overview photograph of nutlet with stereomicroscope and SEM; y: The close-up views of the nutlet disk with papilla with flowerlike verrucose on it. (z–ab) *Lappula ceratophora*; z, aa: An overview photograph of nutlet with stereomicroscope and SEM; ab: The close-up views of the nutlet disk with papilla appear as a verrucose-like on it. ac–af = Type V; *Asperugo procumbens*; ac–ad: the overview photograph of nutlet with stereomicroscope and SEM; af: The close-up views of the nutlet disk with papilla appear as dome-shape on it. ag–aj = Type VI; *Myosotis sylvatica*; ag–ai: the overview photograph of nutlet with stereomicroscope and SEM; aj: The close-up views of the nutlet disk with a smooth surface.
clade contained most subtribe Eritrichiinae (BS = 0.85) comprising Lappula, Eritrichium, Lepechiniella, Hackelia, and Rochelia. Within this clade, Lappula sinica formed the distinct branch and nested far away from other Lappula species. Moreover, Lappula sessiliflora was nested with a subclade of Rochelia species (Fig. 2A).

The resulting ancestral state reconstruction and the proportional likelihoods for character states are shown in Fig. 2B. Two out-group species Asperugo and Myosotis were unique with the bilaterally flattened and ellipse with a smooth surface, respectively. Tracing the evolution of nutlet micromorphology indicated that the glochids are not an ancestral character.

Arrangement of glochid character
The status of ancestral taxa (with or without glochids) was unclear, and the proportional likelihoods of all three characters were almost equal (node A). Transition to the glochid character occurred in the genus Lappula (L. semiglabra, L. microcarpa, and L. barbata) (node H).

The appendage on nutlet disk character
The status “without appendage” and “dump-shape papilla” in Myosotis and Asperugo (the proportional likelihood 1) differentiated these two tribes from each other and Rocheliea tribe. The tubercle and prickles on the disk were ancestral characters (the proportional likelihood 0.43). While the ancestor of these characters was unclear in node A, the status “tubercle and prickles on disk” was of more proportional likelihood in A and then C, D, F group. Transition to the “lack of appendage” status occurred in the L. ceratophora, L. spinocarpus; node G).

Prickles surface character
Tracing of character “prickles surface” showed the ancestral status of “lack of prickles” in node A that to be followed with less proportionality in nodes B, C, and D. Transition to the “glossy prickles” status occurred in the genus Lappula in node H. Moreover, the transition to the simple and complex “verrucose prickles” status was observed in genus Rochelia in node F.

Surface emergence
Tracing of surface emergence character was unclear in node A. Though, the proportional likelihoods of “stellar-aculeate” status had the highest node C ratio (0.72).

In node G, the transition to “verrucose-subverrucose” status (the proportional likelihoods 0.99) was stabilized as a synapomorphy.

Other traits were studied regarding evolutionary tracing that did not show clear evolutionary signals in the nodes, such as the shape of the nutlet, the lamella type, the shape of the nutlet, the lamella type, and the center-line of the nutlet disk.

Close boundary of nutlet microstructures between L. barbata and L. microcarpa
Both L. microcarpa and L. barbata had high micro-morphological similarities (Fig. 3). The very close relationship was studied by PCA analysis about other studied taxa in the tribe Rochelieae. In total, nine nutlet characteristics of the studied taxa were investigated (see nutlet SEM characters in Table 2). PCA analysis of nutlet characteristics revealed that the first two PCs comprised 75.83% of the total variability of the taxa. In the first PCA axis, characters such as the appendage on nutlet desk, shape of nutlet; surface emergence and prickles surface showed the highest correlation, while in the second PCA axis, other characters, such as seed shape of nutlet, tubercles on the desk and the centerline of nutlet showed the highest correlation. Therefore, these were the most varied micro-morphological characters of the taxa. PCA-biplot of micro-morphological characters (Fig. 4a) separated the taxa into distinct groups. All specimens of L. ceratophora and L. microcarpa were clustered together and close to L. semiglabra (Fig. 4a), while other taxa were grouped together. Moreover, L. ceratophora and L. spinocarpus overlapped each other.

Different clustering and ordination methods produced similar results; therefore, only the WARD tree of micro-morphological characters is presented here (Fig. 4b). The taxa were separated in the WARD tree of micro-morphological features, subsequently resulted in main branches. One included three genera arranged in two sub-groups: subgroup I, including all specimens, which belonged to L. barbata and L. microcarpa, while L. semiglabra diverged from others and placed in sub-group II. The rest of the taxa were clustered with each other in the same branch, similar to the results of the PCA plot (Fig. 4a). This result implies that the micro-morphological characters could not delimit two species L. barbata and L. microcarpa.

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 2
A Details of the ML tree of some species of Rochelieae showing the glancing view on phylogenetic relationships of different genera and species with focused on Lappula genus. The taxa selected for character evolution analysis were shown by an asteroid. B Results of nutlet character evolution are shown on the Maximum Likelihood tree of tribe Rochelieae (based on the internal transcribed spacer). Numbers on branches are Maximum Likelihood bootstrap support (only shown when ≥ 65).
Fig. 2 (See legend on previous page.)
Discussion

As in other Boraginaceae genera, the infrageneric taxonomic significance of nutlet characteristics in tribe Rochelieae were found to be evident through investigation employing stereomicroscope and SEM (Ovchinnikova 2009; Weigend et al. 2009; Selvi et al. 2011; Yu et al. 2012; Hilger 2014). In this study, we found that the appendages on the nutlet varied between different genera. Although the fruit type of Boraginaceae is relatively constant, the variation in nutlet ornamentation has quickly occurred in some tribes like Cynoglosseae sensu lato (including tribe Rocheliea) and Trichodesmeae (Cohen 2014).

It is not surprising that *Lappula*, one of the largest genera in Rochelieae, exhibits considerable diversity in nutlet characters. Recent molecular evidence suggested that *Lappula* is polyphyletic (Khoshsokhan-Mozaffar et al. 2018). In this regard, Khoshsokhan-Mozaffar et al. indicated that species of the *Lappula* genus are scattered across the Eritrichiinae clade and formed three distinct lineages. *Lappula sinaica*, as a new genus, was segregated from *Lappula* and established as genus *Pseudolappula* (Khoshsokhan-Mozaffar et al. 2018). Moreover, as shown in our study, a distinct generic delimitation based on nutlet characters alone could be detected for *Pseudolappula* (syn: *Lappula sinaica*). Nutlet micromorphology of *Pseudolappula* (syn: *L. sinaica*) provides valuable data in favor of separating it from *Lappula* genus; so that, the characters are “no glochid or appendage on nutlet disk” and the type of nutlet ornamentation including “papilla with aggregate verrucose in the center.” The features of disk ornamentation indicated a more close affinity of *Pseudolappula sinaica* to *Heterocaryum* than *Lappula* genus, especially in the evolution of microcapillaries. Current data are also in accordance with molecular phylogenies provided by (Khoshsokhan-Mozaffar et al. 2018) that transferred closely related species *L. sessiliflora* to *Rochelia* genus. This conclusion has previously been suggested by various studies (Khoush et al. 2010; Huang et al. 2013; Mozaffar et al. 2013; Rolfsmeier 2013; Weigend et al. 2013). Moreover, the flowers and nutlet features (two of them undeveloped) designated more affinity of the species to *Rochelia* genus than *Lappula* (Popov 1974). Our result stipulated the features like prickles and verrucose on the nutlet, and the accumulation of tubercles with more than five spines around each prickle showed more similarity to genus *Rochelia*. 

![Fig. 3 nutlet morphology in the different specimens of Lappula microcarpa and lappula barbata. The details of the studied specimens are in accordance with Table 1 (L. microcarpa codes: b, e, l, g, p, z, a; L. barbata: c, m, Ab, w)](image-url)

Fig. 3
The present study convincingly provided a clear distinction between two species *L. ceratophora* and *L. spinocarpus*, which belong to sect. *Sclerocaryum* (Riedl 1967; Ovchinnikova 2009), from other *Lappula* genus. The lack of glochid, tubercle, or prickle on the nutlet surface and specific types of nutlet ornamentation (papilla with...
verrucose-like or flowerlike-verrucose) characterized the clade that includes them regarding recent molecular phylogenetic analysis (Khoshsokhan-Mozaffar et al. 2018).

We identified four different types of nutlet surface ornamentation among taxa. According to our study and reported by others (Cohen 2014), the ancestral type is ambiguous for the family and Rochelieae tribe. Given the matrix of cpDNA-nr-DNA ITS and nutlet surface analysis, (Cohen 2014) indicated the smooth nutlets as ancestral for the clade that includes Boragineae and Lithospermeae tribes, and nutlets with glochids as a synapomorphy for Cynoglosseae sensu lato (including tribe Rocheliea). The results of the current study are in agreement with Cohen (2014) who demonstrated that glochid is not an ancestral trait but is a synapomorphy so that in node H, the transition trait occurred in the genus Lappula. Rochelieae is characterized by a transition from “without appendage” to “with tubercles and prickles” on the nutlet disk, and also by a shift from “lack of prickles” to “glossy prickles”. Also, a transition from the “nonexpressiata” status of surface emergence to “stellar-paniculate” status occurred in this tribe. Interestingly, in this tribe, smooth nutlets were a synapomorphy (Cohen 2014). Considering this, the transition to “lack of appendage” occurred in node G could indicate a synapomorphy of two species of sect. Sclerocaryum (L. cerasitophora and L. spinocarpus).

In Boragineae, nutlets need to develop strategies to achieve dispersal ability. In previous studies, nutlets with glochids or wings have implied adaptive traits for additional dispersal types, such as epizoochory or anemochory (Ma et al. 2010; Selvi et al. 2011). The presence of glochid on the nutlet could explain the widespread geographic distribution of Cynoglosseae sensu lato (including Rochelieae) (Cohen 2014). According to (Weigend et al. 2016), two species of sect. Sclerocaryum applies “the whole-plant dispersal by wind or flash-floods” as a dispersal mechanism which could explicate the synapomorphic “lack of appendage” observed in our results. Indeed, this mechanism caused the separation of nutlets from the mother plant to become unnecessary.

Morphologically, Rochelieae is characterized by divided calyx toward its base, hypocotyliform to infundibuliform corolla, pyramidal to subulate gynobase with 1–4 nutlets (Riedl 1967, Popov 1974, Chacón et al. 2016). However, Lappula species have blue to white funnel-form to campanulate corollas and form a scorpionid cymose inflorescence. In this genus, there are four homomorphic (sometimes heteromorphic) nutlets with one or more rows of marginal spines/glochidia (Riedl 1967, Popov 1974, Weigend et al. 2016). Morphometric results based on the nutlet morphology indicated four essential points, including the close affiliation of L. sessiflora to Rochelia than to the Lappula genus, the narrow barriers between L.barbata and L.microcarpa, and the apparent distinction of L.siniaca from other Lappula species. More affinity of L.sessiflora to Rochelia genus was proposed by Popov (1974), followed by different molecular phylogeny studies that finally ranked it as Rochelia species (Khoshsokhan-Mozaffar et al. 2018). Four zygomorphic and unequal nutlets were interpreted as diagnostic features of the Heterocaryum. These nutlets are small, flat, dorsiventrally compressed, with dentate wings (Khoshsokhan-Mozaffar et al. 2018). Although in the phylogenetic tree, the Heterocaryum genus did not indicate the close affinity to Lappula siniaca, morphometric results showed more similarity to Heterocaryum than other Lappula species. Based on morphometric results, Lappula spinocarpos and L.ceratophora (both of the sect. Sclerocaryum) were closely located to each other that showed more affinity to Myosotis and were apart from other Lappula species. These two species have nutlets with uniform, thick, and stonelike tubercles, with almost smooth surface. Although these two species have experienced different taxonomic treatment and have been identified as distinct genus [Sclerocaryopsis; Sadat (1989)], recent morphological and molecular studies have identified them as members of the genus Lappula (Nasir 1989; Khatamsaz 2002; Chacón et al. 2016; Khoshsokhan-Mozaffar et al. 2018).

Nutlet micromorphology results did not show clear distinction among species L.barbata and L.microcarpa. The morphological complexities of these two species have already been addressed by different taxonomists (Popov 1953; Akhani 1998). A revision of the Lappula genus by (Nasseh and Joharchi 2017) suggested that the two species may be synonymous and further molecular studies need to conduct. Moreover, according to the molecular results (Khoshsokhan-Mozaffar et al. 2018) in the nr-DNA ITS tree of Rochelieae, the clade delimiting these two species was not well-supported. All of this evidence and our results convinced us to consider all specimens of L. ceratophora, L. mocrocarpa, L.barbata, and L. wendelboi as “complex species” including L. mocrocarpa, L. barbata, L. semiglabra that could be comparable with L. wendelboi. Recently, Lepechiniella wendelboi Riedl was considered as a synonym of Lappula wendelboi (Riedl) Khoshsokhan & Kaz. Osaloo, based on molecular analysis (Khoshsokhan-Mozaffar et al. 2018). According to the literature, the three Lepechiniella species (L. albiflora, L. persica and L. wendelboi) were transformed to Lappula genus because of morphological and molecular similarities.

A supplementary molecular study and nutlet micro/macromorphology in different geographical regions is needed to synonym L. barbata and L.microcarpa or any taxonomical treatment.
The variety observed in the nutlet of L.microcarpa and L.barbata could be related to seed heteromorphism that formerly is known to occur in a few Boraginaceae genera, e.g. Eritrichium, Lappula (L. duplicicarpa and L. semiglabra), and Heterocaryum (Wang et al. 1989). In species with heteromorphic seeds, the variations in shape, color, size, or associated structures (wings or bracts) could be a strategy for flexibility in modes of dispersal, dormancy, and timing of germination. This could be helpful regarding the seedling establishment in arid regions (McEvoy 1984; Imbert 2002; Evans et al. 2007; Venable 2007; Sun et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2008). Zhao et al. (2008) reported that Lappula duplicicarpa and L. semiglabra have heteromorphism nutlets with long and short glochids. Given the close relative of L.semiglabra to L.barbata and L.microcarpa, it is recommended that future studies focus further on the nutlet heteromorphism.

Conclusions

In this study, the nutlet ultrastructure pattern of Rochelieae was systematically informative at the genus level, but not at the species level. The results showed that glochid is not an ancestral trait but is a synapomorphy and the transition to this trait occurred in the genus Lappula. Nutlet micromorphology results in this study provided no clear distinction between species L.barbata and L.microcarpa.
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