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Abstract
Treatment of hazardous wastes existing as effluent using cement-based solidification/stabilization is an effective option for remediating environmental pollution. Among the various treatment techniques, it is one of the most widely acknowledged methods for treating the wastes. The primary objective of this review is to survey our current knowledge in this subject, focusing on cement chemistry, the effects of inorganic (heavy metals) and organic compounds on cement hydration and the mechanisms of immobilization of different organic and inorganic compounds.
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Environmental Pollution
Modern civilization equipped with advanced science and technological skill is rapidly transforming the earth to a modern world through developmental activities thereby creating numerous ecological problems and environmental pollutions associated with the hazards to human beings. These development activities have enhanced the productivity at the cost of exploitation of natural resources. The addition and accumulation of such unwanted materials or things into the environment causes destruction and degradation in ecology. The knowledge of environmental chemistry serves as a key to the understanding of water, land, air and soil pollution that may be caused through biological, chemical and physical activities [1,2].

As the amount of hazardous industrial wastes accumulates due to rapid industrialization, its planned management is required to reduce adverse impact on human beings and ecosystem. The type of waste, cost, legislation and technology limits the disposal options for industrial waste. Organic wastes with high calorific values are generally treated by oxidation or incineration, whereas inorganic wastes, commonly found in aqueous solutions or suspensions often require pretreatment before landfill. Among the industries with highest emission of heavy metals are the mining industry, metallurgical industry, chemical industry, leather industry, sugar industry, paper industries, distilleries, battery industry and thermal power plants. Toxic metals are added in the aquatic system from industrial processes, domestic sewage discharge, street dust and fossil fuel burning. All these waste streams containing heavy metal ions with very low organic content require treatment before disposal. The continuously increasing demand for raw materials and the limited availability of natural resources gave rise to the investigations of the by-products of the industry for possible reuse. Studies have shown that solidification/chemical fixation of toxic heavy metals and hazardous wastes using cement, lime, pozzolanas and other inorganic materials have been attempted to a limited extent in some of the developed countries [3]. These processes involve mixing the waste with a binder material to enhance the physical properties of the waste and to immobilize contaminants that may be detrimental to the environment. This method, effective at times, has been designated the best demonstrated available technology for some wastes and the environment. This method, effective at times, has been designated the best demonstrated available technology for some wastes and the environment. Some of the heavy metals are essential for life processes where as others like Cd, Pb, and Hg have no known useful biological role. All of them are injurious to living organisms at higher concentration. The heavy metals have great affinity to sulphur and attack sulphur bonds in enzymes, thus immobilizing the later. Heavy metals bind to cell membrane, affecting transport process through the cell wall. They also tend to precipitate phosphate bicomounds or catalyze their decomposition. Soil and water are characterized by varying degree of metal contamination. Air, soil and water contribute a significant cadmium, nickel, mercury, zinc, copper, lead, arsenic, chromium, etc. reach the ground and contaminates soil and water [6] (Figures 1 and 2).

Impact of Heavy Metals on Human Beings
Some of the heavy metals are essential for life processes where as others like Cd, Pb, and Hg have no known useful biological role. All of them are injurious to living organisms at higher concentration. The heavy metals have great affinity to sulphur and attack sulphur bonds in enzymes, thus immobilizing the later. Heavy metals bind to cell membrane, affecting transport process through the cell wall. They also tend to precipitate phosphate bicomounds or catalyze their decomposition. Soil and water are characterized by varying degree of metal contamination. Air, soil and water contribute a significant...
proportion of the lead content in plant tissues. The soil and organic litter layer components of woodland ecosystems are the ultimate sinks of heavy metals in aerially contaminated areas. It is now known that the major part of the ecosystem shows high level of contamination [7].

Toxic Heavy Metals

Toxic heavy metals containing waste is generated from various process like gathering industries discharge without treatment or improper treatment in the landfills, effluent from chemical, pesticide industries. These wastes may contain many impurities in different concentrations like toxic heavy metals and other hazardous substances. As the rain falls on these waste landfills the water-soluble inorganic salts/compounds transverse to a considerable depth in soil. Due to this ground water may get contaminated and may show hazardous effect on human life as well as on the environment [8].

Arsenic is an unwanted hazardous waste generated from the processing of a variety of ores including those of copper, gold, nickel, lead and zinc. Arsenic in the past was widely used in many agricultural applications as an active ingredient in many herbicides and insecticides. Arsenic is a known poison, carcinogen and possible teratogen. It has widespread use in agriculture and industry to control a variety of insect and fungal pests. From the 1860s, until the introduction of DDT and other organic pesticides in the 1940s, inorganic compounds of arsenic remained the dominant insecticides available to farmers and fruit growers. The use of most of these compounds has now been discontinued. However, residues, as a result of their former widespread usage, together with ongoing generation of arsenic wastes from the smelting of various ores, have left a legacy of a large number of arsenic contaminated sites. The community now faces the serious dilemmas of how best to deal with ever growing stockpiles of arsenic wastes. As arsenic is a carcinogen, environmental regulators are adopting a more stringent attitude to arsenic exposure. The world health organization revised the guideline for arsenic from 0.050 to 0.010 mg/l in 1993. In general, there are three options available for dealing with arsenic waste streams: concentration and containment, dilution and dispersion, encapsulation of the material. Among these the most attractive option for dealing with arsenic wastes lies in encapsulation the contaminated material usually through solidification/stabilization techniques and disposing of the treated wastes in secure landfills [9,10]. In the environment, arsenic exists in several oxidation states: As (V), arsenate, As (III), arsenite, As (0), and As (-III), arsine. The arsenic valence state primarily depends on type and source of arsenic pollutant, environmental pH, oxidation–reduction potential, and ligand exchange, the presence of iron oxides and other sulfur-containing compounds, as well as microbial activities [11,12]. In general, As (III) is the most toxic form of arsenic, followed by As (V), and to a lesser extent, methylated arsenic, e.g., CH₃H₂AsO₃ [9]. Arsenic is classified in the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) priority pollutant list with a carcinogenicity classification (human carcinogen). The LD50 (lethal dose) is estimated to be (1–4) mg As/kg for adult [10]. The US EPA has established a maximum contaminant level for arsenic in drinking water (0.01 mg/l) by 2006 [13]. The demand for arsenic trioxide in the manufacture of wood preservatives has increased noticeably over the last 20 years, increasing from 970 tons in 1971 to 9100 tons in 1981 and 14,300 tons in 1991 [14]. The only other area that has seen an increase in the use of arsenic is the electronics industry. High purity arsenic metal of 99.9999% or higher purity is used in the manufacture of crystalline gallium arsenide, a semi-conducting material used in optoelectronic circuitry, high speed computers and other electronic devices. Therefore, the management of hazardous wastes containing arsenic is of major public concern [15].

Cadmium is highly toxic and has been implicated in some cases of poisoning through food. Minute quantities of cadmium are suspected of being responsible for adverse changes in arteries of human kidneys. Cadmium also causes generalized cancers in laboratory animals and has been linked epidemiologically with certain human cancers. A cadmium concentration of 200 microg/l is toxic to certain fish. Cadmium may enter water as a result of industrial discharges or the deterioration of galvanized pipes [16].

Molybdenum occurs at trace levels (<10 micro g/L) in natural waters. In waters draining mineralized areas of waste water from processes using molybdenum, concentrations may be much higher. It is frequently used in alloy industries and in the manufacture of electronic devices owing to its high melting point, high strength at elevated temperatures high thermal conductivity and good resistance to corrosion. It is also used as a catalyst in many petrochemical and organic reactions. In solutions, molybdenum generally occurs in the form of MoO₄²⁻ ions at about pH 5 and above [17].

An industrial waste effluent generally contains alarming amounts of copper. In industrial waste effluents it exists as soluble copper salts or as precipitated copper compounds on suspended solids. Copper is essential to human being and daily requirement for adult has been estimated to 2.0 mg. Copper salts are used in water supply systems to control biological growths in reservoirs and distribution pipes and to catalyze the oxidation of manganese. Corrosion of copper containing alloys in pipefitting may introduce measurable amounts of copper into the water in a pipe system. Excessive oral doses of copper may eventually result in liver damage.

Chromium salts are used extensively in industrial processes and are present in the discharge of wastes. Chromium may exist in aqueous medium in both the hexavalent and the trivalent state although the trivalent form rarely occurs in potable water. Chromium enters a water supply through industrial wastes from metal plating baths and from industrial cooling towers where chromate is used to inhibit metal corrosion. Pollution by chromium and its compounds is of considerable concern as the metal is highly reactive and has found widespread use in leather tanning, electroplating metal finishing and chromate preparations processes. Two predominant forms of chromium namely Cr (III) and Cr (VI) occur in aqueous systems. Over exposure of chrome workers to chrome dust and mists has been related to irritation and corrosion of the skin and respiratory track and probably to lung carcinoma. In digestion may cause epigestric related to irritation and corrosion of the skin and respiratory track. Over exposure of chrome workers to chrome dust and mists has been linked epidemiologically with certain human cancers.
Environmental Pollution Remediation Measures

Various conventional and unconventional techniques have been explored for the environmental pollution remediation but among the several technologies adopted for pollution control the following two methods have been widely used all over the world.

Adsorption for the removal of toxic pollutants from water/waste water

Adsorption was first observed by CW Scheele in 1773 for gases and subsequently for solutions by Lowitz in 1785 and Kraemer in 1930, now it is recognized as a significant phenomenon in most natural physical, biological, and chemical processes. Adsorption, is a process in which the molecules or atoms of one phase interpenetrates uniformly to form a ‘solution’ with the second phase. The term sorption includes both adsorption and absorption, is a general expression for a process in which a component moves from one phase to be accumulated in another particularly for cases in which the second phase is solid. Adsorption involves the interphase accumulation or concentration of substances at a surface or interface. The process can occur at an interface between any two phases, such as liquid–liquid, gas liquid, gas–solid or liquid solid interface. The material being concentrated or adsorbed is the adsorbate, and the adsorbing phase is termed the adsorbent [23-25].

Solidification/stabilization for the fixation of toxic wastes in cement

Stabilization and solidification (S/S) is known as one of the most popular technologies for treating and disposing of hazardous wastes. It is a widely accepted treatment process for a broad range of waste generally the metal concentrations in these wastes are too low for economic recovery but high enough to represent toxicity hazards [26,27]. Ludwig et al. [28] found that ordinary Portland cement is a material found to be most useful for the purpose of solidification/stabilization due to its ability for fixation and immobilization of heavy metals. These S/S technologies using cementitious materials have been used for decades as a final treatment step prior to the disposal of both radioactive and chemically stable forms, resulting in better environmental acceptance.

Physical stabilization refers to the process of solidification improves engineering properties, such as bearing capacity, trafficability and permeability of stabilized waste forms. Chemical stabilization is the alteration of the contaminants’ chemical form so that leachability is eliminated or substantially reduced [29-31]. Various technologies have been developed to reduce the release of toxic species into the environment [32]. The United State Environmental Protection Agency also recognizes solidification/stabilization as “The Best Demonstrated Available Technology” for land disposal of most toxic elements [33-35]. Portland cement can be modified for suitable S/S process by using flyash, lime slag soluble silicates [36,37]. One of the most difficult problems in this process is that the hydration of cementitious materials is too retarded to set and harden enough due to the inhibition of hydration reaction of heavy metals in a landfill area. A number of researchers have reported the effect of metals and other inorganic compounds on the setting, mechanical and engineering properties of cement [38-43]. Lime and Portland cement are relatively inexpensive having consistent properties. Cement and Ca(OH)₂ may also be mixed with each other and with a variety of other reactive wastes including slag, fly ash to form solid matrices. Physical containment is most important for mobile liquids, dusts, sludges and solutions because cement can accommodate wet wastes, neutralize acids and tolerate salts. The wastes can frequently be dispersed throughout a cementitious matrix. The cement affords both physical resistance to leaching and a definite chemical immobilization potential. Cement provides a strongly alkaline internal environment. Ca(OH)₂, and calcium silicate hydrogel (C-S-H) are available to buffer the pH to about 12.4 at 18°C in the short term any alkali in the cement or waste tends to raise the pH above 12.4 because counter ions other than OH⁻ are relatively insoluble: chloride and nitrate are the main exceptions. Hence the pH of the lime or cement conditioned matrix is likely to be within the range 12.4-14.0 and is well buffered by the cement solids [44-47].

Cement based S/S is an established and proven technology that prevents contaminants moving into the environment from treated material. This immobilization occurs through physical and chemical bonding with the contaminants and, in many cases, chemical changes within the contaminants themselves. Immobilizing contaminants within treated waste prevents human, animal, and plant exposure to hazardous constituents. Cement based S/S is done by mixing Portland cement into the waste as it is generated by industry to make the waste safe for land disposal. Recent studies have shown that solidification/stabilization (S/S) process are viable for most metallic waste involves mixing the waste with a binder material to enhance the physical properties of the waste and to immobilize contaminants that may be detrimental to the environment [48,49]. Several binder systems are currently available and widely used for solidification/stabilization. The two main constituents of most commercial fixing agents are cementitious materials (Portland cement, fly ash and lime) and sodium silicate, but increasing research efforts are being directed towards using cement-based binders. Many studies showed that alkalis accelerate early hydration, resulting in increasing the initial strength of Portland

| S No | Heavy metals | Sources | Health effect |
|------|--------------|---------|---------------|
| 1    | Pb           | Lead gasoline, battery industry | Nausea, Brain and kidney damage, mental retardation in adult |
| 2    | Cu           | Metal plating industry | Carcinogenic Wilson's disease |
| 3    | Cr           | Electroplating, paint industry, metal plating | Carcinogenic Skin damage |
| 4    | Cd           | Electroplating waste, Ni-Cd batteries | Kidney damage, sterility on males, flu-like disorder, high blood pressure and Itai-Itai, hypertension |
| 5    | Ni           | Ni plating industry | Carcinogenic disease |
| 6    | Hg           | Electrochemical industry | Brain damage, Mina Mata disease |
| 7    | As           | Coal burning | Gastro-intestine disorders, brain damage |

Table 1: Effect of heavy metals on human health.
The use of iron, lime and cement can be beneficial in the stabilization of hazardous constituents that can leach from treated waste under worst-case scenarios. Physical tests such as compressive strength are used to determine the absence of free liquids in treated waste and also construction properties, if the treated material is destined for reuse or land disposal. A flux of hydroxyl ions is produced within seconds when water is added to cement, which allows the precipitation of several metal hydroxides. These insoluble precipitates of toxic elements such as lead, chromium and arsenic get into the structures of hydrated compounds of the elements especially calcium sulfoaluminates and get adsorbed rigidly over the resulting hydrated phases. This results in the fixation of toxic elements in the slowly forming calcium silica hydrate phases in the presence of Zn, Pb and Cu [38].

Trussel and Spence and Mattus and Mattus; included the discussion of qualitative effects on Zn, Pb and Cr on the cement properties [26,53]. Arlquie and Grandet reported that an amorphous layer of Zn(OH)₂ is formed during hydration of C₃S phase in the presence of Zn, thus retarding the hydration of this phase [54]. Hamilton and Sammes analyzed cement doped with ZnO (1:1 to 2%) and this compound seems to form protective layer that inhibits the normal hydration of cement grains [55]. Mollah et al. suggested that the formation of a surface layer of CaZn(OH)₂·H₂O which does not allow the transport of water to C₃S phase [56].

Ortega et al. studied that the effect of zinc nitrate on the hydration of cement and observed that 60% of the added water is lost after the exposition of the product in open containers. They indicated that most of the added water does not react with the clinker to form hydration products. He also suggested that lead is present as sulfate or hydrosulfate species, this fact can be related to the absence of ettringite reported by Tashiro et al. [57,58].

Zivica studied the long-term behavior of cement mortars containing PbO and Cr₂O₃ under the action of a NaCl solution they observed a greater degradation of the mortar compared to the control sample [59]. Mollah et al. suggested that chromium compounds are not formed on the hardened surface cement, these compounds were dispersed below the surface of the ordinary Portland cement matrix and chromium ions can substitute the silicon in the C-S-H [56]. A lot of work has been reported concerning the binding mechanism during S/S processes.

S/S treatability studies and mix designs include tests to determine the physical and chemical properties of the treated material. Using leaching and extraction tests scientists can determine the amounts of hazardous constituents that can leach from treated waste under worst-case scenarios. Physical tests such as compressive strength are used to determine the absence of free liquids in treated waste and also construction properties, if the treated material is destined for reuse or land disposal. A flux of hydroxyl ions is produced within seconds when water is added to cement, which allows the precipitation of several metal hydroxides. These insoluble precipitates of toxic elements such as lead, chromium and arsenic get into the structures of hydrated compounds of the elements especially calcium sulfoaluminates and get adsorbed rigidly over the resulting hydrated phases. This results in the fixation of toxic elements in the slowly forming calcium silica hydrate gel structure [60,61]. Stabilization procedure is rather complex, that involves either binding or adsorption of heavy metal toxic species in the matrix. If the waste is mixed with anhydrous cement and water there is the possibility of ions incorporation in the cement structure during the hydrolysis process. Heavy metal ions could bind with the cement by the process of chemisorptions, precipitation, surface absorption, and “capturing” inside the matrix, chemical incorporation with the combination of mentioned possibilities [62-64]. Various workers have reported the effect of many individual metal ions on physical, chemical and engineering properties of cement based system like molybdenum [65] chromium [5,38,56,58,66] copper, arsenic cadmium, lead, zinc etc. [6,42,43,52,60].

**Advantages of Solidification/Fixation Techniques**

Due to the introduction of recent stringent regulations, alternative methods to mono or co-disposal, without domestic refuse of toxic wastes, there is a growing interest among the waste disposal industry and controlling bodies in the utilization of solidification/stabilization processes for the disposal of toxic wastes. Solidification/Stabilization may provide (a) Overall protection of human health and the environment, (b) compliance with applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements, (c) long term effectiveness, (d) reduction of toxicity, mobility and volume, (e) short term effectiveness, (f) implementability. In brief, S/S treatment has demonstrated (a) protective effects, (b) complies with land disposal restrictions, (c) demonstrated long term effectiveness through treatability testing and monitoring, (d) reduces toxicity and mobility of hazardous constituents, (e) can be carried out safely for site workers and surrounding community, with in-situ treatment reducing hazardous posed by waste transportation, and (f) cost-effectiveness. Among solidification/stabilization formulations investigated, the use of cement and flyash appears to be the least successful.

**Fixation of Heavy Metals in Portland Cement**

Fixation of metals using Portland cement and fly ash believed to occur via the combination of producing an impermeable monolith, which reduces the surface area available for leaching, creating a high pH environment that generally limits the solubility of most metals and limits the formation of metal complexes with the cement/flyash matrix [65-66]. Akhter et al. have raised serious concerns about the benefits of using flyash [67]. The work of Akhter et al. yielded results that indicated that the leachability of arsenic is much greater from those solidification/stabilization formulations that contained fly ash. The use of fly ash is associated with it the problem of bulking. Since fixed waste is generally buried in a landfill, it is desirable, for cost and space reasons, to bury the smallest quantity possible. Bulking due to treatment by silicates and metal hydroxides is low, approximately 20% or less, while bulking resulting from treatment with cement/flyash is high, approximately 100% [68]. The solidification/stabilization of arsenic is most successful when cement, cement and iron, cement and lime or combinations thereof, are used [69-71]. Akhter et al. investigated various methods for the immobilization of arsenic, cadmium, chromium, and lead in contaminated soil. The soil under investigation had an arsenic concentration of 12,200 ppm. The suitability of various combinations of Portland cement, fly ash, blast furnace slag, lime and silica fume were investigated. The only sample in the study showing reasonable leaching performance was that using Portland cement alone, at a dosage of 1.0 part soil in 0.44 part cement. While the research to date indicates that the use of iron, lime and cement can be beneficial in the solidification/stabilization of arsenic, it is difficult to differentiate between the results obtained by the numerous researchers and draws any firm conclusions on which S/S processes are the most efficient and effective [72-77]. Despite the extensive efforts by several researchers, there is very less data reported in the literature especially about the quantitative effects of addition of different metal ions on initial and final setting times of ordinary Portland cement, therefore, efforts have been made to fill void in this data. A realistic goal is to minimize the risk by treatment and disposal of these wastes by transforming the containment into less hazardous compounds. In this technique metal ions are converted into insoluble salts which do not leach into water at appreciable risk also the technique is cost effective, simple and easy to apply (Hamilton and Sammes). Ordinary Portland cement is being used as an immobilizing agent for heavy metal ion since the late 19th century worldwide. It has very well defined final property relatively...
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