Flagella by numbers: comparative genomic analysis of the supernumerary flagellar systems among the Enterobacterales
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Abstract

**Background:** Flagellar motility is an efficient means of movement that allows bacteria to successfully colonize and compete with other microorganisms within their respective environments. The production and functioning of flagella is highly energy intensive and therefore flagellar motility is a tightly regulated process. Despite this, some bacteria have been observed to possess multiple flagellar systems which allow distinct forms of motility.

**Results:** Comparative genomic analyses showed that, in addition to the previously identified primary peritrichous (\textit{flag-1}) and secondary, lateral (\textit{flag-2}) flagellar loci, three novel types of flagellar loci, varying in both gene content and gene order, are encoded on the genomes of members of the order Enterobacterales. The \textit{flag-3} and \textit{flag-4} loci encode predicted peritrichous flagellar systems while the \textit{flag-5} locus encodes a polar flagellum. In total, 798/4,028 (~20%) of the studied taxa incorporate dual flagellar systems, while nineteen taxa incorporate three distinct flagellar loci. Phylogenetic analyses indicate the complex evolutionary histories of the flagellar systems among the Enterobacterales.

**Conclusions:** Supernumerary flagellar loci are relatively common features across a broad taxonomic spectrum in the order Enterobacterales. Here, we report the occurrence of five (\textit{flag-1} to \textit{flag-5}) flagellar loci on the genomes of enterobacterial taxa, as well as the occurrence of three flagellar systems in select members of the Enterobacterales. Considering the energetic burden of maintaining and operating multiple flagellar systems, they are likely to play a role in the ecological success of members of this family and we postulate on their potential biological functions.

**Background**

Flagella are complex structures that provide bacteria with an effective means of carrying out swimming (movement of single bacterial cells in liquid environments) and swarming (coordinated mobility of bacterial population on semi-solid or solid surfaces) movements [1]. Furthermore, they participate in biofilm formation, adhesion to surfaces and host cell invasion [2-4]. As such, flagella provide bacteria with a considerable competitive advantage over non-motile microorganisms occupying the same ecological niche and >80% of known bacterial species are known to produce and maintain these structures [2,3].

The basic structure of the flagellum is relatively well conserved among the flagellate bacteria, comprising of a basal body, hook and flagellar filament [5]. However, extensive diversity occurs within and between bacterial lineages in terms of the number of flagella per cell, the positions of the flagella on the cell surface, the number of genes required for the production and regulation of flagella [5,6]. Bacterial flagellar arrangements vary from one polar flagellum (monotrichous), two polar flagella one at each end of the bacterial cell (amphitrichous), many polar flagella at one or both ends of the bacterial cell (lophotrichous), or several flagella distributed along the bacterial cell surface (peritrichous) [5]. In addition, a limited number of bacterial taxa produce dual flagellar systems encoded by distinct sets of genes present on the
genome. This trait has been most widely studied in *Vibrio* and *Aeromonas* spp., which possess both a polar flagellum as well as several lateral (peritrichous) flagella [2,6-9]. Dual flagellar systems have furthermore been observed in *Azospirillum*, *Rhodospirillum* and *Helicobacter* spp. [2]. The polar and lateral flagella allow these bacterial cells to carry out different modes of motility, where the polar flagellum facilitates swimming motility and the lateral flagella enable swarming motility [2,6-9]. While this dual flagellar motility may provide the bacteria with a competitive advantage, flagellar synthesis and functioning is an energetically expensive commitment [3,9]. As such, bacteria that are capable of producing dual flagellar systems tightly regulate the production of polar and lateral flagella [9,10].

Members of the order Enterobacterales are commonly isolated from a wide range of environments including air, soil and water and include some of the most well-known pathogens of both plant and animal hosts [11,12]. The ecological success of the Enterobacterales can in part be attributed to their capacity for motility, which is facilitated by their primary, peritrichous flagellar system [12,13]. In the enterobacterial model organisms *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica*, up to 50 genes are required for the assembly, maintenance and functioning of this flagellum. These genes are organized in three genomic clusters, which are collectively termed the primary flagellar (*flag*-1) locus [3,12,13]. In addition to the *flag*-1 system, a second, evolutionary distinct, flagellar system, encoded by the *flag*-2 locus, was observed and shown to be relatively prevalent among members of the order Enterobacterales [14,15]. The *flag*-2 locus encodes a lateral flagellar system and has been postulated to facilitate swarming motility among the Enterobacterales, although it has been inactivated through gene deletions and transposon integration in a substantial proportion of enterobacterial taxa [14-16]. Here, by means of a comprehensive comparative genomic analysis, we identified three additional distinct flagellar loci *flag*-3, *flag*-4 and *flag*-5 which are distributed among the Enterobacterales. These occur predominantly as a secondary flagellar system in enterobacterial taxa with the *flag*-1 primary flagellar system, while a limited subset of taxa incorporate three flagellar loci. Here we postulate on the evolutionary histories and potential functions of these supernumerary flagellar systems among the Enterobacterales.

**Results**

**The Enterobacterales encode five distinct flagellar systems**

The complete and draft genomes of 4,082 taxa belonging to the order Enterobacterales were screened for the presence of additional flagellar (*flag*) loci by performing tBlastN analysis of the full complement of complete protein sequences required for the synthesis of the *flag*-1 and *flag*-2 flagella of *E. coli* K-12 strain MG1655 (47 proteins) and *E. coli* 042 (38 proteins), respectively, against the genome sequences. In total, 816 (20.26%) of the studied strains incorporate at least two distinct *flag* loci (Table 1; Additional File 1: Table S1). When considering that 664 strains lack any *flag* loci altogether and can be considered as incapable of swimming motility, dual or multiple *flag* loci are hallmarks of 24.26% of the presumed motile enterobacterial taxa. The secondary *flag* loci are predominated by the previously characterized *flag*-2 loci, with 593 (575 strains with and 18 strains lacking *flag*-1 loci) of the studied strains incorporating this locus (Table 1) [15]. The protein complements encoded by the remaining additional flagellar loci, along
with taxonomically representative flag-1 and flag-2 datasets were compared. A total of twenty-five distinct single-copy orthologues (SCOs) are conserved among the loci and were aligned and concatenated to generate a Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogeny. The resultant SCO phylogeny showed that, aside from the flag-1 and flag-2 loci, the remaining loci form three distinct clades, which we have termed flag-3, flag-4 and flag-5 loci (Figure 1). The largest clade incorporates the novel flag-3 loci, which occur in 249 distinct taxa (6.18% of total taxa studied), across a broad taxonomic spectrum, including members of the families Enterobacteriaceae Erwiniaceae, Hafniaceae, Morganellaceae and Yersiniaceae (Table 1; Figure 2). By contrast, the flag-4 and flag-5 loci are less prevalent, being restricted to six (four Pectobacteriaceae and two Yersiniaceae members) and eight taxa (all Plesiomonas shigelloides isolates; Family unassigned), respectively (Table 1; Figure 2).

The flag-3 loci are predominantly found in enterobacteria that also harbour a flag-1 locus, with only Rahnella variigena DLL 7529 incorporating a flag-3 locus, but lacking the flag-1 system. This is similar to the flag-2 system which, with the exception of ten taxa, occur in flag-1 flagellated taxa [15]. The flag-4 system occurs in three taxa (Sodalis spp.) that also incorporate flag-1 loci, while the other three (two Wigglesworthia spp. and Biostraticola tofi DSM 159580) lack the latter locus. All flag-5 encoding P. shigelloides strains also incorporate a flag-2 locus, but lack a flag-1 locus. While the majority of enterobacteria with supernumerary flagellar loci incorporate two flag loci in their genome, nineteen taxa (~0.5% of all strains studied) incorporate three distinct flag loci (Table 1). Eighteen of these harbour a flag-1, flag-2 and flag-3 locus and include members of the families Enterobacteriaceae (one strain of Buttiauxella warmboldiae, one strain of Enterobacter and four strains of Citrobacter Clade C), Hafniaceae (four strains of Obesumbacterium proteus) and Yersiniaceae (eight Yersinia spp.) (Table 1; Figure 2). One strain, Enterobacter ludwigii OLC-1682, lacks a flag-2 locus, but instead incorporates one flag-1 locus and two flag-3 loci (discussed in further detail below).

Comparison of the flag-1 through to flag-5 loci revealed that, while there is some synteny in gene blocks between the distinct flag loci (flag-1 through to flag-5), there is also evidence of extensive rearrangements and inversion of gene blocks (Figure 3). Furthermore, some of the flag loci show evidence of gene deletion events, while in others additional, non-conserved genes have been integrated within the loci (Figure 3). Average amino acid identity (AAI) values across the 25 SCOs shared among all flag loci further supports the separation of the enterobacterial flag loci into five distinct types with intra-clade AAI values ranging between 57.79 % (flag-4 loci) and 99.06 % (flag-5 loci), while the inter-clade values are only between 32.80 and 52.23% (Additional File 1: Table S2). These data suggest distinct evolutionary histories for the flag-1 to flag-5 loci. As such, a more in depth analysis of the flag-3, -4 and -5 loci was undertaken.

The flag-3 peritrichous flagellar loci can be further divided into two subtypes, flag-3a and flag-3b on the basis of sequence synteny and conservation

The flag-3 loci cluster with the peritrichous primary flagellar (flag-1) loci in the SCO phylogeny (Figure 1) and they share 52.23% average amino acid identity across the twenty-five conserved SCO proteins
encoded on the loci. The former loci incorporate genes coding for 46/47 proteins involved in flagellar biosynthesis, regulation and maintenance and chemotaxis in the primary flagellar locus. One exception is the absence of orthologues of the gene coding for FliZ in the flag-3 loci. In the flag-1 loci FliZ is an activator for the expression of class 2/middle genes involved in the synthesis in the flagellar hook and basal body [17]. A distinct regulatory system for class 2 gene expression may occur in the flag-3 system.

Phylogenetic evaluation of the flag-3 loci, on the basis of the concatenated alignment of 45 SCOs (excluding FliC which is present in multiple copies in some strains) conserved amongst them, showed that they fall into two distinct clades (Figure 4), with the loci of the upper clade (flag-3a) comprising 154 (61.6% of total flag-3 loci) and the lower clade (flag-3b) comprising 95 taxa. The flag-3a loci are restricted to members of three genera in two enterobacterial families (the Enterobacteriaceae and Erwiniaeae), namely Enterobacter (111/608 of the studied strains), Erwinia (41/61 of the studied taxa) and Pantoea (3/151 studied taxa) (Table 1). By contrast, the flag-3b locus is represented across a much broader taxonomic spectrum, including the Enterobacteriaceae (one Buttiauxella, four Citrobacter Clade D, fifteen Kosakonia and fourteen Pluralibacter strains), Erwiniaeae (one Erwinia, three Mixta and seven Pantoea strains), Hafniaceae (four Obesumbacterium proteus strains), Morganellaceae (Morganella sp. nov. 2 H1r) and Yersiniaceae (four Rahnella, one Serratia Clade B and 39 Yersinia strains) (Table 1). The presence of these flag-3 loci appears to be mutually exclusive, with no taxon containing both flag-3a and flag-3b loci. There is, however, one strain, Enterobacter ludwigii OLC-1682 which incorporates two flag-3a loci. These cluster together with the other Enterobacter sp. flag-3a loci, but in distinct sub-clades, with flag-3a-1 clustering with those of E. bugandensis and flag-3a-2 clustering with the other E. ludwigii loci. They furthermore share 84.42% AAI values (entire Enterobacter clade = 84.12% AAI), suggesting that E. ludwigii OLC-1682 derived these loci through distinct evolutionary events.

The flag-3a loci are on average 46.3 kb in size, have an average G+C content of 55.0% and code for 51 distinct proteins, while the flag-3b loci are ~44.3 kb in size, have an average G+C content of 50.5% and code for 48 proteins (Additional File 1: Table S3). The proteins encoded on the two subtypes, flag-3a and flag-3b, share distinct sequence conservation, with intraclade AAI values of 75.54% (flag-3a) and 69.09% (flag-3b), respectively, while the inter-clade AAI value is 59.01% (on the basis of 25 conserved SCOs) (Additional File 1: Table S2). Furthermore, the flag-3a and flag-3b loci have distinct gene syntenies. The flag-3a loci all comprise of three gene blocks occurring in the order block 1: fliEAB-chezYBR-fliEFHGIJKLMNOPQR, block 2: fliCDST and block 3: flgNMABCDEFHGHIJKL-fliA-fliHDC-motAB-cheAW. In most of the flag-3b loci block 3 precedes block 2 and block 1 is situated at the 3′ end of the locus. Two notable exceptions are the flag-3b loci of Buttiauxella warmboldiae CCUG 35512 and Morganella sp. nov 2 H1r. In both taxa, gene block 3 occurs at the 5′ end of the locus, but block 2 is integrated within gene block 1, with part of the latter gene block occurring in reverse complement (Figure 5). The co-localisation of the genes in their gene blocks, regardless of the locus subtype, suggests these loci may have been built by the step-wise incorporation of the individual gene blocks, which may have been derived from distinct ancestral loci. This is supported by the distinct G+C contents of the gene blocks. The G+C content of gene block 1 is on average 4.45% and 2.23% higher than those of block 2 and block 3 of the flag-3 loci (both flag-3a and flag-3b), respectively.
Similar to what is observed in both flag-1 and flag-2 loci [12,15], the flag-3a and flag-3b loci are characterized by the presence of a non-conserved genomic island adjacent to the fliC gene (Figure 5). This island occurs in 150/155 of the flag-3a loci and 78/95 of the flag-3b loci, has an average size of 3.9 kb (range: 0.5 - 8.9 kb) and codes for between one and eight distinct cargo proteins (Additional File 1: Table S3). A total of twenty-seven distinct proteins are encoded on this island, with nine of these unique to the flag-3a loci and seventeen unique to the flag-3b loci (Additional File 1: Table S4). One island feature is shared between the islands of 15 flag-3a and 57 flag-3b loci and codes for a methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein (Mcp1; 45.26% average amino acid identity; COG0840). A second methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein (Mcp2; 86.22% average amino acid identity; PRK15048) is found in the flag-3a islands of Enterobacter and Pantoea spp. A key feature among the Enterobacterales is the presence of genes in the flagellar locus coding for proteins with roles in glycosylation and post-translational modification of the main flagellar structural protein, flagellin. Flagellin glycosylation has been linked to a number of functions, including flagellar synthesis and stabilization, biofilm formation, surface recognition and adherence, virulence and host immune evasion [18,19]. Previous studies that showed 17.4% (307/1,761) and 57.6% (341/592) of the flag-1 and flag-2 loci incorporated flagellin glycosylation machinery, respectively [12,15]. Among the flag-3 loci, only the flag-3a loci of 41 (26.45% of the flag-3a loci; 16.4% of total flag-3 loci) Erwinia spp. incorporate three genes coding for enzymes involved in flagellin modification adjacent to the fliC gene (Figure 5). One gene codes for a 1,127 amino acid (96.45% AAI) N-acetyl glucosamine glycosyltransferase (Spy), which catalyses the post-translational addition of O-linked beta-N-acetylglucosamine to serine/threonine residues in the target protein (Additional File 1: Table S4) [20]. The flagellin glycan chains are frequently further decorated by formyl, methyl, acetyl and amino groups [12]. Adjacent to the spy gene in the Erwinia flag-3a are genes coding for an aminotransferase (WecE; 98.01% AAI; COG0399) and O-acetyltransferase (WbbJ; 93.65% AAI; COG0110) suggesting that the Erwinia flag-3b flagellin glycan is both acetylated and aminated, while the Spy protein also incorporated a methyltransferase domain (pfam13649) (Additional File 1: Table S4).

A key feature of the non-conserved island adjacent to the fliC gene among the flag-3a loci is the universal presence of a gene coding for a transcriptional regulator, CadC1 (COG3710) (Additional File 1: Table S4). Given its position in the locus, where fliZ occurs in the flag-1 locus, it is plausible that this transcriptional regulator may serve a similar role in the flag-3a loci, but this will need to be validated experimentally. No gene with this purported function is present in the flag-3b loci and hence how the class 2 gene expression would be regulated in the latter loci remains unclear.

The flag-4 locus is predominant among insect endosymbionts and codes for a predicted peritrichous flagellum

The flag-4 loci cluster with the flag-1 and flag-3 loci in the flagellar SCO phylogeny and share 49.69% and 46.73% AAI values with the former and latter loci, respectively (Figure 1; Additional File 1: Table S2). BlastP analysis of the flag-4 protein complement revealed the closest matches are proteins encoded on the flag-1 and flag-3 loci, suggesting that the flag-4 locus likewise codes for a peritrichous flagellar system. Of all the flag systems, the flag-4 loci show the greatest versatility, ranging in size between 31.6
and 45.1 kb and G+C content between 22.5 and 56.1% with an intra-clade AAI value of 57.79% (across 25 conserved SCOs) (Additional File 1: Table S2; Additional File 1: Table S3). Furthermore, while the flag-4 loci share extensive synteny, the flag-4 loci show evidence of frequent deletions and gene disruption through transposon integration (Figure 6). As such, the flag-4 locus of the tsetse fly endosymbiont Sodalis glossinidius ‘morsitans B4’ lacks the cheZ/YBR-cheA/W and fliZ genes and incorporates a pseudogene of flhE, while that of S. pierantonius SOPE (Sitophilus oryzae endosymbiont) lacks the genes cheZ/YBR-cheA/W, flhE, flgB, fliZST and incorporates pseudogene copies of flgC, flI/Q, flI/E, flI/C and flI/D. Similarly, while their genomes incorporate flag-1 loci, they are likewise heavily degraded (Figure 6). The eroded flag-1 and flag-4 loci in these Sodalis strains are typical of the observed degenerative genome evolution as these bacteria adapted to a symbiotic lifestyle and indeed they have been described as non-motile [21,22]. The gene complement of the flag-4 locus of Sodalis praecaptivus HS1 reflects that observed in S. glossinidius ‘morsitans B4’, and it also harbours a complete flag-1 locus (encodes 46/47 of the primary flagellar proteins, with the exception of Fliz). This latter strain, differs from the insect symbiont Sodalis spp. in that it was isolated from a human wound, can persist in free-living form and has been observed to be capable of swarming motility [23,24], although whether the flag-1 or flag-4 locus encoded flagellar system is responsible for this capacity remains to be elucidated.

The two tsetse fly endosymbiotic Wigglesworthia glossinidia strains included in this study lack flag-1 loci but both incorporate flag-4 loci. The loci of these two strains are the smallest among the flag-4 loci (Additional File 1: Table S3) and include only 33/47 genes coding for orthologues of the primary flagellar (flag-1) locus proteins, lacking the chemotaxis genes cheZ/YBR-cheA/W, as well as the flagellar biosynthetic and regulatory genes flhE, flgM and fliATZ (Figure 6). As such, the resultant flagellar system would be expected to be non-functional as is the case in the endosymbiotic Sodalis spp. However, gene expression analysis of the flIC (flagellin) and motA (motor protein A) genes and immunohistochemistry analysis with flagellins-specific antibodies showed the expression of both and production of flagellin in intrauterine larvae and the milk gland cells of tsetse flies, suggesting an important role for the flag-4 flagellum in Wigglesworthia vertical transmission from host mother to progeny [25]. Similarly, Biostraticola tofI DSM 19580, isolated from biofilm on a tufa limestone deposit has been shown to synthesise flagella and be capable of swimming motility [26]. As the genome of this bacterium solely incorporates a flag-4 locus, a role for the flagellar system it encodes in motility can be suggested for the Biostraticola and Wigglesworthia.

The flag-5 locus is unique to Plesiomonas shigelloides among the Enterobacterales and codes for a polar flagellum

Plesiomonas shigelloides lack flag-1 loci, but previous studies have identified a lateral flag-2 locus in this species [15,16]. Furthermore, they incorporate the distinct flag-5 locus that forms a separate clade in the SCO phylogeny (Figure 1). The proteins encoded by this locus share limited sequence identity with those encoded on the other flag loci, with AAI values ranging between 32.80% (flag-4) and 34.92% (flag-1) across the 25 SCOs conserved among the loci (Additional File 1: Table S2). Instead, they share 54.95% AAI across 52 proteins with the polar flagellar loci of Vibrio parahaemolyticus BB220 (AF069392.3 and
Furthermore, the *flag*-5 locus shows extensive synteny with the polar flagellar locus of the latter strain (Figure 7). While the *V. parahaemolyticus* polar flagellar system is encoded by two loci, which are separated by ~1.45 megabases on the chromosome, the genes for polar flagellar synthesis in *P. shigelloides* are harboured in a single locus, which ranges between 57.9 and 62.0 kb in size and codes for 57-61 distinct proteins among the eight *P. shigelloides* incorporated in this study. These loci also represent the largest among the *flag*-1 to *flag*-5 loci.

*Vibrio parahaemolyticus* encodes two flagellar systems which facilitate movement under distinct conditions, with the lateral flagella (multiple) allowing swarming motility across surfaces, while the single polar flagellum enables swimming in liquid environments [7,27]. The former are powered by the proton motive force, as observed for the peritrichous (*flag*-1) flagella of the Enterobacterales, while the latter derive their energy through the sodium membrane potential [7,27]. A previous study has shown that *P. shigelloides* is likewise capable of both swarming and swimming motility, with the *flag*-2 and *flag*-5 linked to the former and latter form of motility, respectively [16].

Comparison of the protein complement with those of the other Enterobacterales *flag* loci identified twenty-nine proteins that are unique to the *flag*-5 loci, although seven of these share orthology with proteins encoded by the *V. parahaemolyticus* locus. Three of these orthologues, FlgO, FlgP and FlgT (30.04-48.83% AAI with *V. parahaemolyticus* BB220 AGB09241.1-244.1) are predicted to form part of the H ring, an additional basal body ring that is associated with the outer membrane. The H-ring is specific to *Vibrio* spp. and facilitates outer membrane penetration and external assembly of the sheathed polar flagella [27,28]. The *Vibrio* polar flagellar locus lacks the genes *flhC* and *flhD* coding for the master transcriptional regulators in the enterobacterial peritrichous flagella. Instead the *Vibrio* polar flagellar loci incorporate three genes, *flaK*, *flaL* and *flaM* which are purported to fulfil this function. FlaK is a σ₅₄-dependent transcription factor of FlaL and FlaM. The histidine kinase-like FlaL protein then phosphorylates FlaM which activates the transcription of the agellar middle class genes [10]. The *Plesiomonas* FlaK orthologues share 58.15% AAI with that of *V. parahaemolyticus* BB220 (AGB10537.1). However, instead of two distinct FlaL and FlaM proteins, the *flag*-5 locus of *P. shigelloides* encodes a single 558 aa protein, FlaLM, with a histidine kinase domain (cd00082; Bitscore: 41; E-value 8.47e-5) and a σ₅₄-activator domain (pfam00158; Bitscore: 295; E-value: 3e-98) at the C and N terminal, respectively. The FlaLM protein appears to be the product of a gene fusion between *flaL* and *flaM*, with the first 120 aa sharing 56.08% AAI with the *V. parahaemolyticus* FlaL protein (AGB10535.1; aa 1-184) and aa 200-558 sharing 59.24% AAI with the *V. parahaemolyticus* FlaM protein (AGB10536.1; aa 121-468). Both the *P. shigelloides* *flag*-5 and *V. parahaemolyticus* BB220 polar flagellar loci lack orthologues of the chaperone protein FliT. However, both incorporate a gene, *flaI*, adjacent to the *fliS* orthologues, which encodes a protein that is similar in size to FliT and hence may perform the chaperone function [7]. Furthermore, both encode a chemotaxis related protein, CheV, a CheY-CheW hybrid protein which is absent in the Enterobacterales *flag* loci [7].

A key difference between the *P. shigelloides* *flag*-5 loci and the *V. parahaemolyticus* BB220 polar flagellar locus is the presence of six distinct orthologues of the flagellin protein, FlaA-F in the latter strain. The *P*
**Discussion**

Flagellar motility, a common feature among most members of the Enterobacterales, was long considered to derive from a single chromosomally encoded peritrichous flagellar system (flag-1). However, a second, distinct lateral flagellar system (flag-2) was recently identified and shown to be fairly common across most family lineages within the order [14,15]. Here we have identified three additional distinct flagellar loci, flag-3 to flag-5, with discrete taxonomic distributions. These loci range in size between 31.6 and 62.0 kb and code for between 36 and 61 proteins. Research on the flag-1 system in *E. coli* showed that the assembly of the thirty proteins (including ~20,000 copies of the filament protein FlIC) that make up the primary flagellum structure is an energetically expensive process, contributing ~2% of the total energy burden of the cell [3]. Furthermore, rotation of the flagellum consumes ion motive forces which could power other cellular processes [13,31]. As such, flagellar synthesis and motility is a tightly regulated at multiple levels [13,31]. Furthermore, proteins involved in flagellar biosynthesis and function contribute on average 1.08% to the overall proteome (number of distinct flagellar proteins/total number of distinct proteins encoded on genome) and this already substantial proportion increases on average to 2.06% and
up to 3.20% of the proteome when two or three *flag* loci are present, respectively. Thus the maintenance and functioning of two and sometimes three distinct flagellar systems would substantively increase the metabolic burden on the cell and raises questions on their evolution, functioning and biological roles among members of the Enterobacterales.

Previous studies have postulated that the primary (*flag*-1) flagellar system evolved from a single precursor or a few genes, which have subsequently undergone gene duplications and gene fusions to give rise to the current complement of genes required for flagellar synthesis. This is based on the extensive sequence conservation observed among twenty-four core *flag*-1 proteins in 41 motile taxa across eleven bacterial phyla [6,32]. Similarly, the minimal gene set purported to have formed the primary flagellar system may have been duplicated elsewhere in the genome of many of the Enterobacterales. This may have given rise to the distinct clades represented by 1) the *flag*-1, -3 and -4 loci, 2) the *flag*-2 loci and 3) the *flag*-5 loci, which may subsequently have diversified through the recruitment of novel genes. For example, the *flag*-2 loci uniquely incorporate genes coding for the regulatory proteins LafK and LafZ and the hook-associated protein LafW, while the *flgO*, *flgP* and *flgT* genes coding for the H-ring and the regulatory genes *flaK* and *flaLM* are unique to *flag*-5 loci. This evolutionary hypothesis seems plausible for the *flag*-2 locus, which was previously shown to be prevalent among most enterobacterial lineages prior to extensive loss through deletion events [15]. By contrast, the polar *flag*-5 locus is unique among the Enterobacterales to strains of *P. shigelloides*, suggesting it may have been acquired through horizontal gene transfer, possibly from a member of the Vibrionaceae. The *flag*-1, -3 and -4 loci show a more convoluted relationship. These three loci also show extensive sequence conservation and synteny, with three gene blocks, *flgNMABCDEFGHIJKL*, *flIEFGHIJKLMNOPQR* and *flCDST* (Figure 3) universally present in each, while the *flag*-1 locus *flhEAB-cheZYBR-cheAW-motAB-flhDC* gene block is interspersed between these conserved blocks in the *flag*-3 and -4 loci. It is thus likely that the latter loci have been derived through duplications of the gene blocks and integration into an available genomic site, albeit in a different order from the *flag*-1 system. Notable is that the *flag*-4 loci in the three *Sodalis* spp. incorporated in this study display greater synteny with the *flag*-1 loci in these taxa, rather than the stereotypical *flag*-1 system as observed in *E. coli K-12* str. MG1655 (Figure 6). However, on the basis of sequence conservation, the *Sodalis flag*-1 locus is closer to its *flag*-1 counterpart, with the protein complement of the intact *S. praecaptivus HS1* *flag*-1 locus sharing 59.2% AAI with the *E. coli K-12* str. MG1655 *flag*-1 locus, while only sharing 47.5% AAI with the *S. praecaptivus flag*-4 locus. This suggests that, at least in *Sodalis*, the *flag*-1 was duplicated in its entirety, prior to sequence divergence of the *flag*-4 locus.

On the basis of the protein content encoded by the loci and their phylogenetic clustering, it was predicted that these additional flagellar loci code for two peritrichous (*flag*-3 and *flag*-4) and polar (*flag*-5) flagellar systems. A number of studies have shown the co-existence of two flagellar systems, particularly in *Vibrio* and *Aeromonas* spp., which host both a polar and lateral flagellar system that facilitate movement in liquid environments and across surfaces, respectively [2]. It can be envisaged that the primary (*flag*-1) peritrichous and lateral (*flag*-2) flagellar systems enable similar interchangeable modes of motility in the Enterobacterales. Similarly, the lateral (*flag*-2) and polar (*flag*-5) flagellar loci have been shown to facilitate swarming and swimming motility in *P. shigelloides*, respectively [16]. The combination of
potentially dual peritrichous flagellar systems (flag-1 and flag-3; flag-1 and flag-4), however, is more puzzling. One possibility is that the second copy may serve as a source for spare-parts should the flag-1 locus no longer be functional. This is plausible among the Sodalis spp., where for example, the flag-1 of S. glossinidius ‘morsitans B4’ and S. pierantonius SOPE have undergone extensive gene decay, deletion and disruption through transposon integration (Figure 6), although this degeneration is also evident in the flag-4 loci. However, the relatively low sequence conservation between the flag-1 and flag-3 (52.23% AAI) and the flag-1 and flag-4 (49.69% AAI) loci proteins suggest they may not be interchangeable. The main flagellar filament component, flagellin, is highly immunogenic and, upon recognition by host receptors, trigger both local and systemic innate and adaptive immune responses against bacteria in both plant and animal hosts [4]. Host-associated bacteria have evolved a number of means to counteract this process, including phase variable expression of flagellins with distinct antigenic properties and posttranslational glycosylation of the flagellin protein. Given that many of the enterobacterial taxa which are predicted to encode two peritrichous flagellar systems originated from animal and plant hosts, it is possible that the flag-1 and flag-3 loci are expressed under distinct conditions. It can also not be excluded that the flag-3 locus-encoded system plays a role other than motility. The flag-1 system of several pathogenic bacteria has, for example, been shown to serve as secretion system for several distinct virulence factors, while the presence of predicted secretion targets in the cargo regions of flag-2 loci may indicate a similar role for this lateral flagellar system [15,33,34]. Finally, previous analyses, of the flag-2 loci in particular, have highlighted the prevalence of transposable elements, pseudogenes and en bloc gene deletions within additional flagellar loci [14,15]. It is possible that disruptions in the additional flag loci, may have resulted in non-functionality of previously functioning flagellar systems and concomitant energy conservation. It must be noted, however, that while transposase genes, pseudogenes and en bloc deletions are prominent features of the flag-4 loci, they are restricted to a very small number of flag-3a (11/155 – 7.1%) and flag-3b (6/95 – 6.3%) loci, while all flag-5 loci appear to be intact. Further characterization and knock-out mutagenesis of the additional flagellar systems need to be undertaken to unravel their functionality and biological roles. Furthermore, the cellular placement of the flag-3 and flag-4 loci was predicted purely on the basis of in silico data in this study, and further microscopic evaluation is required to determine their number and cellular localization of the flagella they encode.

Conclusions

A comprehensive comparative genomic analysis showed that supernumerary flagellar systems represent a relatively common feature among members of the order Enterobacterales with one fifth of the enterobacterial taxa harbouring a lateral (flag-2), predicted peritrichous (flag-3 and flag-4) or polar (flag-5) locus on their genome, in addition to the primary (flag-1) flagellar system. Furthermore, a limited number of enterobacterial taxa incorporate loci coding for three distinct flagellar systems, which has to date not been reported for any bacterium. Considering the energetic burden of maintaining and operating multiple flagellar systems, it is possible that they play important biological roles in the Enterobacterales and may provide competitive advantage to the bacteria that possess them.
Methods

Identification of additional flagellar systems among the Enterobacterales

The presence of additional flagellar loci was determined on the same dataset of 4,028 genomes representative of the order Enterobacterales as previously used to study the enterobacterial flag-2 loci [15]. Novel flagellar loci were identified by local tBlastN analyses with the flag-1 and flag-2 flagellar protein datasets from E. coli K-12 strain MG1655 (NCBI Acc. # U00096.3; 47 proteins; FlgNMABCDEFHJKLM-FlhEAB-CheZBRA-MotAB-FlhDC-FliZACDST-FliEFGHIJLKM NOPQR) and E. coli 042 (NCBI Acc. # CR753847; 38 proteins; LfhAB-LfiRQPNM-LafK-LfiEFGHIJ-LfgNMABDEFGHIJLKM-LafWZABCDEFSTU) with BioEdit v.7.2.5 [35]. Genomic contigs where Blast hits were obtained were searched up- and down-stream to identify the full flag loci. The G+C% content of each of the loci was calculated using BioEdit v.7.2.5 [35]. Proteins encoded on the loci were predicted using the Prokaryotic GeneMark.hmm v.2 server [36] and functionally annotated using BlastP analyses against the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) non-redundant protein sequence database and the Conserved Domain Database using Batch CD-Search [37].

Comparative and phylogenetic analyses

A representative subset of flag-1 and flag-2 loci, comprising of three of each loci per genus in which they occur, was selected for comparative analyses. Orthologous proteins conserved among the representative flag-1 and flag-2 and the flag-3 to flag-5 loci were identified using Orthofinder 1.1.4 [38]. The 25 orthologous proteins occurring in single copy (SCOs) that were conserved among all the flag loci were utilized to calculate average amino acid identity (AAI) values between and within the different locus groups using compareM v 0.1.0 [39]. The SCOs were furthermore individually aligned using the M-Coffee implementation of T-Coffee [40]. The resultant proteins were concatenated and the alignment was curated using GBlocks v 0.91b [41]. This curated alignment was then used to construct a ML phylogeny with IQTree v 1.6.11 [42] with the appropriate evolutionary model predicted using Modelfinder [43] and phylogeny support through bootstrapping with UFBoot2 (n = 1, 000 replicates) [44]. Similarly, a ML tree was generated on the basis of 45 SCOs conserved among the flag-3a and flag-3b loci. Finally, a ML on the basis of four conserved house-keeping markers, GyrB, InfB, RecA and RpoB was generated as previously described [15] and used to map the prevalence of the novel flag-3, flag-4 and flag-5 loci.
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Table

Due to technical limitations, Table 1 is provided in the Supplementary Files section.

Legend

Table 1: Prevalence of the primary (flag-1) and additional (flag-2 to flag-5) loci among the Enterobacterales. The number and percentage of taxa at the family and genus level that incorporate each type of locus are indicated.

Figures
Figure 1

Phylogenetic differentiation of five distinct flagellar (flag) loci among the Enterobacterales. A ML phylogeny was constructed on the basis of 25 SCOs conserved among all flag loci. The trimmed alignment was comprised of 4,523 amino acid sites and the best-fit evolutionary model LG+F+I+G4 was selected for phylogeny construction. Bootstrap values (n = 1,000) are shown. The flag-1 (red), flag-2 (green), flag-3 (blue), flag-4 (olive) and flag-5 (pink) clades are indicated by the distinct branch colours.
Figure 2

Taxonomic distribution of the novel flag-3, flag-4 and flag-5 loci among the Enterobacterales. The phylogeny was constructed as previously described [15] on the basis of four house-keeping proteins, GyrB, InfB, RecA and RpoB. The trimmed concatenated alignment comprised of 2,613 amino acid sites and the tree was constructed using the best-fit evolutionary model JTTDCMut+I+G4. Bootstrap support (n = 1,000 replicates) values > 50% are indicated for the major clades. The presence of the different loci are indicated by different coloured shapes, blue triangles (flag-3a), red diamonds (flag-3b), green circles (flag-
4) and purple triangles (flag-5), while those taxa where both flag-2 and flag-3 loci are present are indicated by pink squares. While the prevalence of flag-1 loci is not shown, those taxa that incorporate flag-2 loci are indicated by green-coloured branches.

**Figure 3**

Schematic comparison of the flag-1 to flag-5 loci. The flag loci genes are coloured according to orthology to conserved genes in the flag-1 locus, with flanking genes coloured in grey, and non-conserved cargo genes in white. The blue blocks indicate chromosomal gaps between the flag-1 locus regions. The scale bar (4 kilobases) indicates the relative sizes of the loci.
**Figure 4**

Phylogeny of flag-3 loci compared to house-keeping protein tree. ML phylogenies were constructed on the basis of the concatenated alignments of 45 SCOs conserved among the flag-3 loci (left) and the house-keeping proteins GyrB, InfB, RecA and RpoB (right). The final alignments comprised of 10,357 and 3,086 amino acids, respectively. The best-fit evolutionary model LG+F+I+G4 was used for construction of both trees and bootstrap support (n = 1,000 replicates) values are shown. The taxon names are coloured.
according to their family designation, while the flag-3a and flag-3b loci branches are coloured in red and blue, respectively in the flag-3 SCO phylogeny.

Figure 5

Schematic comparison of the flag-3 loci. The flag loci genes are coloured according to orthology to conserved genes in the flag-1 locus, with flanking genes coloured in grey, and non-conserved cargo genes in white. The flag-3a loci are background shaded pink, while the flag-3b loci are shaded light blue. The scale bar (4 kilobases) indicates the relative sizes of the loci.
Figure 6

Schematic comparison of the flag-4 loci and Sodalis flag-1 loci. The flag loci genes are coloured according to orthology to conserved genes in the flag-1 locus, with flanking genes coloured in grey, non-conserved cargo genes in white and transposase genes in black. Predicted pseudogenes are shown as hashed arrows. The blue blocks indicate chromosomal gaps between the flag-1 locus regions. The scale bar (4 kilobases) indicates the relative sizes of the loci.
Figure 7

Schematic comparison of the flag-5 loci and V. parahaemolyticus BB22O polar flagellar locus. The flag loci genes are coloured according to orthology to conserved genes in the flag-1 locus, with flanking genes coloured in grey, non-conserved cargo genes in white and transposase genes in black. The blue blocks indicate chromosomal gaps between the flag-1 locus regions. The scale bar (4 kilobases) indicates the relative sizes of the loci.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- Table1NUPrevalenceofflagloci.xlsx
- Figure1Allflagloci25proteintree.pptx
- AdditionalFile1SupplementaryInformation.xlsx
- Figure2AdditionalflagmappedagainstMLSA.pptx
- Figure4Flag3abvsMLSA.pptx
- Figure3Comparisonofallflagloci.pptx
- Figure7Flag5loci.pptx
- Figure6Flag4loci.pptx
• Figure5Flag3loci.pptx