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**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an invisible enemy that has made people observe issues such as eating habits, personal hygiene, and environmental factors that may affect their immune systems.

**Objectives:** Because air pollution can affect the immune system, it is necessary to examine the relationship between air quality parameters and COVID-19.

**Methods:** This study examines the correlation between air quality and COVID-19 considering 7 air pollutants: PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, CO, NO$_2$, NO$_x$, NO, and O$_3$. The confirmed COVID-19 cases were considered from 9 provinces, accounting for 78% of the total cases in Turkey. The required data were collected from the websites of the country’s relevant official institutions. Two statistical tests, the Pearson correlation, and Spearman Rho were conducted to determine any potential linear and monotonic relationships.

**Results:** Based on both test results, a significant positive correlation was observed between air SO$_2$ content and the number of COVID-19 cases in Turkey.

**Conclusion:** The outcomes could help identify provincial actions or measures.
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**Introduction**

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) was first detected in China in late December 2019, and it affected virtually the whole world, causing life to move beyond the ordinary. The COVID-19 situation report by the World Health Organization stated 2160207 confirmed cases, 85678 new cases, and 146088 deaths as of April 18, 2020, globally [1]. This result has led nations to enforce practices such as compulsory social distance, non-compulsory business closure, and compulsory quarantine. Nevertheless, COVID-19 has remained a health crisis, and the risk level is high globally [1].

The examination and determination of the factors affecting COVID-19 are one of the prominent topics of current studies. Some studies have claimed that human-
to-human transmission through direct contact or droplets has been one of the sources of COVID-19 infection [2-4]. Other studies found that meteorological parameters (e.g. temperature, humidity, and wind speed) are associated with COVID-19 transmission [5-8]. Ma anf Zhao analyzed the impact of meteorological factors on COVID-19 mortality and revealed the association [9].

Considering that COVID-19 is an infectious disease, air quality will be a prominent determinant of COVID-19 prevalence. Living in a highly polluted area makes the inhabitants susceptible to infective agents and can cause chronic respiratory conditions; air pollution is a chronic inflammatory stimulus in the long term [10, 11]. Particulate Matter (PM) is an intricate combination of small airborne particles and liquid droplets. PM contributes to haze, affects the climate through direct and indirect impacts, and damages materials such as glass, metals, and stone. PM also causes severe health conditions, including irregular heartbeat, non-fatal heart attack, decreased lung function, aggravated asthma, and premature death [12]. PM$_{10}$ denotes particles with diameters ≤10 μm and is one of the most significant pollution indicators that characterize air quality. PM$_{10}$ particles are small enough to enter the throat and lungs of a person. Nitrogen Dioxide ($\text{NO}_2$) and Sulfur Dioxide ($\text{SO}_2$), separately and together, adversely affect the environment and human health. Ground-level Ozone ($\text{O}_3$) is one of the most substantial atmospheric pollutants, and inhaled ozone can trigger various health problems, including reduced lung functions. Carbon Monoxide (CO) endangers human health while breathing [13]. Air pollutants, including (PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, CO, NO$_2$, Nitrogen Oxides (NOx), Nitric Oxide (NO), and O$_3$ were considered in this study.

There is strong evidence that air pollution is a risk factor for harmful respiratory and vascular health outcomes [14]. Zhu and Xie examined the association between short-term exposure to air pollution factors and COVID-19 in China and found a significant positive association between PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, and O$_3$ with COVID-19 [15]. Ogen analyzed the association between COVID-19 fatality and long-term exposure to NO$_2$ and found it a prominent contributor to fatality caused by the virus [16]. Conticini and Frediani examined the association between atmospheric pollution and COVID-19 lethality in Italy and proposed that air pollution in Northern Italy could be considered as a cofactor [10]. Cui and Zhang found a positive correlation between air pollution and severe acute respiratory syndrome case fatality in China [17].

The present study aims to examine the correlation between COVID-19 pandemic and air quality parameters in Turkey. Unlike previous studies, two statistical tests were conducted, and the linear and monotonic relationships between the air quality parameters and COVID-19 pandemic were analyzed. PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, CO, NO$_2$, NOx, NO, and O$_3$ parameters were included in the analysis. Considering Lauer, Grantz and other studies posited that symptoms would develop after 14 days of active monitoring; the average values of the parameters within 14 days of each case were included in the model [18]. Nine provinces with the highest confirmed cases in Turkey were included, totaling 78% of the confirmed cases in

Figure 1. The density of COVID-19 cases by provinces in Turkey (Source: Google)
the analysis to discover whether differences exist between countries as well.

Materials and Methods

Study area

As of April 3, 2020, The Ministry of Health of the Republic of Turkey stated that 20921 COVID-19 cases had been identified in Turkey. It should be noted that April 3, 2020, was the latest date in which COVID-19 data was announced on a provincial basis in Turkey. Therefore, the data as of that date were used in this study. Based on the data, 62.5% of these cases were located in Istanbul, the most populous city in Turkey. Eight other provinces of Izmir, Ankara, Konya, Kocaeli, Sakarya, Bursa, Adana, and Zonguldak were also considered in this study. Therefore, this study analyzed 78% of total confirmed cases. Although Isparta is among the top 10 provinces in terms of approved COVID-19 cases, it was excluded due to incomplete air quality data. Figure 1 demonstrates the general view of the density of COVID-19 cases by provinces in Turkey.

Study data

Data for the confirmed COVID-19 cases were collected from the official website of the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Turkey as country-wide data has been published daily on the website [19]. Figure 2 illustrates...
COVID-19 data, as of April 13, 2020, in Turkey, including total confirmed cases, total deaths, and recoveries. The first case detected in Turkey was on March 10, 2020, and data collection began on that date.

Table 1 presents the number of confirmed cases, cases per one million people, and deaths in different locations in Turkey. The first case detected in Turkey was on March 10, 2020, and data collection began on that date.

Table 1. The number of confirmed cases, cases per one million people, and deaths in different locations in Turkey

| Location     | Confirmed Cases | Cases per 1 M People | Deaths |
|--------------|----------------|----------------------|--------|
| Istanbul     | 12,231         | 788.12               | 117    |
| Izmir        | 1,105          | 255.76               | 18     |
| Ankara       | 860            | 156.25               | 7      |
| Konya        | 601            | 272.49               | 7      |
| Kocaeli      | 500            | 262.28               | 8      |
| Sakarya      | 337            | 327.3                | 3      |
| Isparta      | 289            | 654.72               | 1      |
| Bursa        | 259            | 86.49                | 2      |
| Adana        | 241            | 108.55               | 2      |
| Zonguldak    | 197            | 1,806.01             | 5      |
| Samsun       | 167            | 125.03               | 1      |
| Kayseri      | 130            | 93.55                | 1      |
| Tekirdağ     | 121            | 117.48               | 0      |
| Eskisehir    | 118            | 135.45               | 1      |
| Balikesir    | 106            | 86.42                | 5      |
| Antalya      | 102            | 42.04                | 2      |
| Rize         | 101            | 289.72               | 3      |
| Manisa       | 100            | 69.95                | 1      |
| Edirne       | 91             | 221.13               | 2      |

Air quality parameters were collected from the official website of the Republic of Turkey Ministry of Environment and Urbanization [21]; PM$_{10}$ (µg/m$^3$), SO$_2$ (µg/m$^3$), CO (µg/m$^3$), NO$_2$ (µg/m$^3$), NO$_x$ (µg/m$^3$), NO (µg/m$^3$), and O$_3$ (µg/m$^3$) parameters were considered in the analysis in the present study. Because the incubation period of COVID-19 is around 14 days, the data of each parameter for each location were examined from March 21, 2020, to April 3, 2020. The average values of each parameter for that period were included in the analysis. Generally, the provinces examined have multiple stations providing air quality data; however, some of them either do not provide any data or provide incomplete data. Considering this, when choosing a station for each location, the completeness of the required data was the priority. In Isparta, there is one station that does not provide NO$_2$, NO$_x$, or NO data for the period considered. Therefore, Isparta was excluded from analysis, as indicated earlier.

Figure 4 (A-G) compare the air quality parameters of each province. Figure 4a displays the average PM$_{10}$ values for each city (PM$_{10}$ referring to atmospheric particles with diameters ≤10 µm), which is one of the most crucial pollution indicators used to characterize air quality and the greatest threat to human health. The Figure reveals that Ankara had the highest average PM$_{10}$ value (59.92 µg/m$^3$), whereas Adana had the least (28.06 µg/m$^3$). Figure 4 (B & C) illustrate the comparative average SO$_2$ and NO$_x$ values of the provinces, respectively. NO$_2$ and SO$_2$ together significantly affect human health and the environment because atmo-
spheric SO2 and NOx mix easily with rainwater and can create acid rains that are very detrimental [22].

Inhaling NOx and SO2 in high concentrations can cause some respiratory problems, including coughing, asthma, and wheezing [23]. The highest SO2 value (14.65 µg/m³) was observed in Istanbul, whereas the lowest value was in Zonguldak (2.57 µg/m³). The highest NO value (36.62 µg/m³) was observed in Istanbul, whereas the lowest value was in Izmir. In most ambient cases, NO is emitted and converts to nitrogen dioxide in the atmosphere [24]. NOx is a general term for nitrogen oxides, which are related to air pollution.

Figure 4 (D & E) show the average NO and NOx values for locations, respectively. The highest NO value (36.62 µg/m³) was seen in Bursa, whereas the lowest (3.91 µg/m³) was measured in Izmir and the highest NOx value (112.89 µg/m³) was measured in Bursa, and the lowest (13.55 µg/m³) in Izmir. CO is a lethal gas in the atmosphere generated from the imperfect combustion of carbon-containing fuels like natural gas, gasoline, coal, oil, and wood. Inhalation of high CO concentrations can limit O2 passage within hemoglobin that can be harmful to health [25].

Figure 4F compares the CO values observed in each location. The highest average CO value (2458.57 µg/m³) was seen in Bursa, whereas Adana had the lowest value (44.56 µg/m³). O3, which is produced mainly from vehicle emissions, is one of the most significant atmospheric pollutants. Inhaled ozone can trigger various health problems such as coughing, chest pain, airway inflammation, and reduced lung functions. Figure 4G illustrates the comparative values of the average O3 in the provinces. The highest value (68.60 µg/m³) was measured in Istanbul, whereas the lowest (7.25 µg/m³) was in Ankara.

Statistical analysis

Table 2 presents the descriptive statistics of the confirmed COVID-19 cases and air quality parameters. The timespan was from March 21, 2020, to April 3, 2020. In that period, average concentrations were as follows: PM10: 45.69 µg/m³; SO2: 7.67 µg/m³; CO: 1041.94 µg/m³; NOx: 27.57 µg/m³; NO: 44.9 µg/m³; NO2: 13.37 µg/m³; and O3: 34.41 µg/m³.

Also, n denotes the number of observations, a1, a2, …, an and b1, b2, …, bn denote the individual data value of a and b variables, respectively.

The Spearman Rho is a non-parametric test. As a statistical measure, the Spearman correlation coefficient reflects the strength of a monotonic relationship between 2 variables. The higher the value of the coefficient, the higher was the correlation between the 2 variables. Unlike the Pearson correlation, normality is not required, which makes it a non-parametric statistic. The Spearman correlation coefficient (rs) is determined through the following function (Formula 2):

2. \( r_s = 1 - 6 \frac{\sum d_i^2}{n(n^2 - 1)} \)

where \( d_i \) designates the difference between orders of 2 variables, and \( n \) is the number of observations.

Results

Table 3 provides the Pearson correlation coefficients. The results indicate a significant correlation between CO and NO. Besides, there are significant correlations between NO, NOx, and NO2, as expected. The focus point in the study was the association of the air quality parameters and COVID-19. It can be inferred that the correlation between SO2 and COVID-19 is significant at the 0.05 level. This positive correlation means that an increase in SO2 is an indication of an increase in COVID-19 cases. The correlation between O3 and COVID-19 cases is also positive and high. However, there are no significant correlations between NO2, NO, NOx, and COVID-19; this implies the correlation is negative.

Table 4 presents the Spearman Rho values. The results showed some significant correlations between air quality parameters, namely SO2 and NO; NO2 and NOx; and NO and NO2. Considering the correlation of COVID-19 cases, the correlation between PM10 and SO2 with COVID-19 is high and positive. However, based on the Pearson coefficients results, the correlations of NO2.
Figure 4. The comparison of average PM10 (µg/m³)
A: SO₂ (µg/m³); B: NO₂ (µg/m³); C: NO (µg/m³); D: NOX (µg/m³); E: CO (µg/m³); F: O₃ (µg/m³); and G: Values of the provinces
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Table 2. Descriptive statistics of confirmed cases and air quality parameters

| Parameters | Mean | Min | Max |
|------------|------|-----|-----|
| PM$_{10}$ | 45.69 | 28.06 | 59.92 |
| SO$_2$    | 7.67  | 2.57 | 14.65 |
| CO        | 1041.94 | 44.56 | 2458.57 |
| NO$_2$    | 27.57 | 9.64 | 56.63 |
| NO$_x$    | 44.90 | 13.55 | 112.89 |
| NO        | 13.37 | 3.91 | 36.62 |
| O$_3$     | 34.41 | 7.25 | 68.60 |
| COVID-19 cases | 1814.56 | 197 | 12231 |

Table 3. The Pearson Correlation coefficients

| Parameters | PM$_{10}$ | SO$_2$ | CO | NO$_2$ | NO$_x$ | NO | O$_3$ | COVID-19 Cases |
|------------|-----------|-------|----|--------|--------|----|-------|----------------|
| PM$_{10}$ | 1.000     | -0.164| 0.355 | -0.317 | -0.055 | 0.257 | -0.189 | 0.317          |
| SO$_2$    | -0.164    | 1.000 | 0.044 | -0.285 | -0.326 | -0.397 | 0.363 | 0.672          |
| CO        | 0.355     | 0.044 | 1.000 | 0.237  | 0.567  | 0.676 | 0.391 | 0.266          |
| NO$_2$    | -0.317    | -0.285| 0.237 | 1.000  | 0.911  | 0.706 | 0.070 | -0.454         |
| NO$_x$    | -0.055    | -0.326| 0.567 | 0.911  | 1.000  | 0.919 | 0.138 | -0.334         |
| NO        | 0.257     | -0.397| 0.676 | 0.706  | 0.919  | 1.000 | 0.044 | -0.217         |
| O$_3$     | -0.189    | 0.363 | 0.391 | 0.070  | 0.138  | 0.044 | 1.000 | 0.649          |
| COVID-19 cases | 0.317 | 0.672 | 0.266 | -0.454 | -0.334 | -0.217 | 0.649 | 1.000          |

Table 4. The Spearman Rho values

| Parameters | PM$_{10}$ | SO$_2$ | CO | NO$_2$ | NO$_x$ | NO | O$_3$ | COVID-19 Cases |
|------------|-----------|-------|----|--------|--------|----|-------|----------------|
| PM$_{10}$ | 1.000     | -0.017| 0.300 | -0.367 | -0.250 | 0.150 | -0.400 | 0.617          |
| SO$_2$    | -0.017    | 1.000 | -0.100 | -0.250 | -0.367 | -0.667 | 0.217 | 0.617          |
| CO        | 0.300     | -0.100| 1.000 | 0.033  | 0.100  | 0.533 | 0.367 | 0.200          |
| NO$_2$    | -0.367    | -0.250| 0.033 | 1.000  | 0.950  | 0.617 | 0.167 | -0.667         |
| NO$_x$    | -0.250    | -0.367| 0.100 | 0.950  | 1.000  | 0.700 | 0.183 | -0.717         |
| NO        | 0.150     | -0.667| 0.533 | 0.617  | 0.700  | 1.000 | 0.083 | -0.500         |
| O$_3$     | -0.400    | 0.217 | 0.367 | 0.167  | 0.183  | 0.083 | 1.000 | -0.200         |
| COVID-19 cases | 0.617 | 0.617 | 0.200 | -0.667 | -0.717 | -0.500 | -0.200 | 1.000 |

NO$_x$, NO$_2$, and COVID-19 cases are negative, and these require further analysis. Unlike the Pearson results, the correlation between O$_3$ and COVID-19 cases is weak and negative.

**Discussion**

A recent study conducted by Zhu and Xie evaluated the results of this analysis [15]. They detected strong correlations between air quality parameters such as between PM$_{10}$ and CO as well as between PM$_{10}$ and SO$_2$ [15]. These differences may be due to the use of different study data and the incubation periods. The results of that study revealed that COVID-19 is associated with short-term exposure to high concentrations of CO, PM$_{10}$, O$_3$, and NO$_2$. Their results stated that the risk of COVID-19 infection is negatively associated with short-term exposure to a high concentration of SO$_2$. A significant positive association was observed between SO$_2$ and the number of COVID-19 cases. Comparing our study results to theirs indicates that the increase in SO$_2$ leads to an increased risk of COVID-19 infection. The correlation between PM$_{10}$ and O$_3$ with the risk of COVID-19 infection was also detected in this study. However, no association between CO and COVID-19 cases was found in this study.

Guo and Chang examined the association of air pollution and the incidence of lung cancer, taking into account...
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Socioeconomic indicators in China. They found a positive correlation in this regard [27]. Yao and Wu analyzed the impact of air pollutants and claimed that short-term exposure to ambient air pollutants was associated with health burdens and economic loss in China [28]. PM$_{10}$, CO, O$_3$, NO$_x$, and SO$_2$ were deemed to be the causative factors of death. Finally, the use of both Spearman and Pearson correlation tests may be criticized. Considering the data, the use of the Spearman Rho test might be more relevant for this study. However, Rahman, Luo [22] also applied both Spearman Rho and Pearson correlation tests to analyze the impact of the pollutants (PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, O$_3$, CO, NO$_2$, and SO$_2$) and weather parameters (temperature, relative humidity, precipitation, and wind speed) on airborne pollen concentrations. Therefore, the use of both methods allowed a comparative and detailed analysis.

Therefore, there is a significant association between SO$_2$ and the number of COVID-19 cases upon consideration of the results of both Spearman and Pearson correlation coefficients. SO$_2$ primarily affects respiratory functions and is a direct poisioner of people, so the results were expected. Based on the Spearman Rho values, PM$_{10}$ is correlated with the number of COVID-19 cases; however, the Pearson coefficient does not validate it. Since PM$_{10}$ can spread to the lungs, causing inflammation or heart and lung diseases that can adversely affect people, the correlation was expected to be higher. The impact of CO on the number of COVID-19 cases is negligible according to the results of both Pearson and Spearman correlation tests. Due to the previously mentioned adverse impacts of O$_3$ on health, the effect was expected to be high. Although the Pearson results indicated a correlation, the Spearman results did not detect any positive correlation. The effects of NO$_x$, NO$_x$, and NO on the number of COVID-19 cases might have been different; tests revealed that these have no association or have negative associations.

The limitations of this study included a limited amount of data. As city-based COVID-19 data in Turkey are made available in the future, more accurate analysis can be conducted. Second, the air-related parameters may show their effects in the long term; therefore, analysis of the short term may be criticized. The air quality-related parameters for different locations have similar proportionate values for different periods. Thus, the difference between locations in terms of values does not change significantly with time. Third, the values of the air quality parameters change based on the district of a province. Multiple stations exist in most of the provinces, and they measure different values, so it might be more reasonable to evaluate each city using district-based data. Regrettably, it is not possible to obtain such specific COVID-19 data in Turkey yet.

Conclusions

In this study, the impact of air quality parameters, PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, CO, O$_3$, NO$_x$, NO, and O$_3$ on COVID-19 cases in Turkey were evaluated through statistical analysis. Based on the results of the two tests, a significant positive correlation exists between SO$_2$ and the number of COVID-19 cases in Turkey. The Pearson correlation coefficients indicated the high impact of O$_3$ on the number of COVID-19 cases. The Spearman Rho values revealed a correlation between PM$_{10}$ and the number of cases. The impact of NO, NO$_x$, and NO$_x$ on COVID-19 cases was negative, and these variables require further evaluation.

As detailed and updated COVID-19 data for each location are made available, further analysis can be conducted. It may be useful to use air quality data of the smallest possible districts for each city. Including different countries in the analysis could also help to generalize the results. If the number of citizens brought from abroad and quarantined in different locations was evaluated as a component of the study, this could enhance the accuracy of the analysis.

Ethical Considerations

Compliance with ethical guidelines

All ethical principles were considered in this article.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest

The author declared no conflict of interest.

References

[1] World Health Organization. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) situation report - 89. World Health Organization [Internet]. 2020 [Updated: 2020 Apr 04]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200418-sitrep-89-covid-19.pdf

[2] Chan JF-W, Yuan S, Kok KH, To KK-W, Chu H, Yang J, et al. A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. The Lancet. 2020; 395(10223):514-23. [DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30154-9]
[3] Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. J Am Med Assoc. 2020; 323(11):1061-9. [DOI:10.1001/jama.2020.13858] [PMID] [PMCID]

[4] Lai CC, Shih TP, Ko WC, Tang HJ, Hsueh PR. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and Coronavirus Disease-2019 (COVID-19): The epidemic and the challenges. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2020; 55(3):105924. [DOI:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105924] [PMID] [PMCID]

[5] Ahmadi M, Sharifi A, Dorosti S, Ghoushchi SJ, Ghanbari N. Temperature variation and humidity on the death of COVID-19 infection in 122 cities from China. Sci Total Environ. 2020; 726:138704. [DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138704] [PMID] [PMCID]

[6] Liu J, Zhou J, Yao J, Zhang X, Li L, Xu X, et al. Impact of meteorological factors on the COVID-19 transmission: A multicity study in China. Sci Total Environ. 2020; 726:138513. [DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138513] [PMID] [PMCID]

[7] Zhu Y, Xie J. Association between ambient temperature and COVID-19 infection in 122 cities from China. Sci Total Environ. 2020; 724:138201. [DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138201] [PMID] [PMCID]

[8] Sahin M. Impact of weather on COVID-19 pandemic in Turkey. Sci Total Environ. 2020; 728:138810. [DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138810] [PMID] [PMCID]

[9] Ma Y, Zhao Y, Liu J, He X, Wang B, Fu S, et al. Effects of temperature variation and humidity on the death of COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. Sci Total Environ. 2020; 724:138226. [DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138226] [PMID] [PMCID]

[10] Conticini E, Frediani B, Caro D. Can atmospheric pollution be considered a co-factor in extremely high level of SARS-CoV-2 lethality in Northern Italy? Environ Pollut. 2020; 261:114465. [DOI:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114465] [PMID] [PMCID]

[11] Cai QC, Lu J, Xu QF, Guo Q, Xu DZ, Sun QW, et al. Influence of meteorological factors and air pollution on the outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome. Public Health. 2007; 121(4):258-65. [DOI:10.1016/j.puhe.2006.09.023] [PMID] [PMCID]

[12] Environmental Protection Agency. Integrated Science Assessment (ISA) for Particulate Matter: United States Environmental Protection Agency [Internet]. 2020 [Updated: 2020 Apr 14]. Available from: https://www.epa.gov/isa/integrated-science-assessment-isa-particulate-matter.

[13] Rushingabigwi G, Nsengiyumva P, Sibomana L, Twizere C, Kalisa W. Analysis of the atmospheric dust in Africa: The breathable dust’s fine particulate matter PM2.5 in correlation with carbon monoxide. Atmos Environ. 2020; 224:117319. [DOI:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117319]

[14] Chen G, Zhang W, Li S, Zhang Y, Williams G, Huxley R, et al. The impact of ambient fine particles on influenza transmission and the modification effects of temperature in China: A multi-city study. Environ Int. 2017; 98:82-8. [DOI:10.1016/j.envint.2017.06.019] [PMID] [PMCID]

[15] Zhu Y, Xie J, Huang F, Cao L. Association between short-term exposure to air pollution and COVID-19 infection: Evidence from China. Sci Total Environ. 2020; 727:138704. [DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138704] [PMID] [PMCID]

[16] Ogen Y. Assessing Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2) levels as a contributing factor to Coronavirus (COVID-19) fatality. Sci Total Environ. 2020; 726:138605. [DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138605] [PMID] [PMCID]

[17] Cui Y, Zhang ZF, Froines J, Zhao J, Wang H, Yu SZ, et al. Air pollution and case fatality of SARS in the People’s Republic of China: an ecologic study. Environ Health. 2003; 2(1):1-5. [DOI:10.1186/1476-069X-2-15] [PMID] [PMCID]

[18] Lauer SA, Grantz KH, Bi Q, Jones FK, Zheng Q, Meredith HR, et al. The Incubation Period of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) from publicly reported confirmed cases: Estimation and application. Ann Intern Med. 2020; 172(9):577-82. [DOI:10.7326/M20-3504] [PMID] [PMCID]

[19] The Ministry of Health of the Republic of Turkey. Current Situation in Turkey: The Ministry of Health of the Republic of Turkey [Internet]. 2020 [Updated: 2020 Apr 15]. Available from: https://covid19.saglik.gov.tr/

[20] Turkish Statistical Institute. Population and demography: Turkish Statistical Institute [Internet]. 2020 [Updated: 2020 Apr 16]. Available from: http://www.turkstat.gov.tr/UstMenu.do?metod=tameliset

[21] The Republic of Turkey Ministry of Environment and Urbanization. Air quality - station data download: The Republic of Turkey Ministry of Environment and Urbanization [Internet]. 2020 [Updated: 2020 Apr 15]. Available from: https://sim.csib.gov.tr/STN/STN_Report/StationDataDownloadNew#

[22] Rahman A, Luo C, Khan MHR, Ke J, Thilakanayala V, Kumar S. Influence of atmospheric PM10, PM2.5, O3, CO, NO2, SO2, and meteorological factors on the concentration of airborne pollen in Guangzhou, China. Atmos Environ. 2019; 212:290-304. [DOI:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.05.049]

[23] World Health Organization. WHO Air quality guidelines for particulate matter, ozone, nitrogen dioxide and sulfur dioxide: Global update 2005: Summary of risk assessment. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2006. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/69477

[24] Theakston F. Air quality guidelines. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2000. https://www.who.int/phe/health_topics/outdoorair/outdoorair_aqg/en/

[25] Sharma P, Khare M. Application of intervention analysis for assessing the effectiveness of CO pollution control legislation in India. Transp Res Part D: Transp Environ. 1999; 4(6):427-32. [DOI:10.1016/S1366-9209(99)00015-2]

[26] Pearson K. VII. Note on regression and inheritance in the case of two parents. Proc R Soc Lond. 1895; 58(347-352):240-2. [DOI:10.1098/rspl.1895.0041]

[27] Guo H, Chang Z, Wu J, Li W. Air pollution and lung cancer incidence in China: Who are faced with a greater effect? Environ Health. 2003; 2(1):105077. [DOI:10.1016/j.envint.2019.105077] [PMID] [PMCID]

[28] Guo H, Chang Z, Wu J, Li W. Air pollution and lung cancer incidence in China: Who are faced with a greater effect? Environ Health. 2003; 2(1):105077. [DOI:10.1016/j.envint.2019.105077] [PMID] [PMCID]

[29] Guo H, Chang Z, Wu J, Li W. Air pollution and lung cancer incidence in China: Who are faced with a greater effect? Environ Health. 2003; 2(1):105077. [DOI:10.1016/j.envint.2019.105077] [PMID] [PMCID]

[30] Guo H, Chang Z, Wu J, Li W. Air pollution and lung cancer incidence in China: Who are faced with a greater effect? Environ Health. 2003; 2(1):105077. [DOI:10.1016/j.envint.2019.105077] [PMID] [PMCID]
