Spatial organization of a ubiquitous eukaryotic kinetochore protein network in *Drosophila* chromosomes
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Abstract Chromosome segregation during meiosis and mitosis depends on the assembly of functional kinetochores within centromeric regions. Centromeric DNA and kinetochore proteins show surprisingly little sequence conservation despite their fundamental biological role. However, our identification in *Drosophila melanogaster* of the most diverged orthologs identified so far, which encode components of a kinetochore protein network including the Ndc80 and Mis complexes, further emphasizes the notion of a shared eukaryotic kinetochore design. To determine its spatial organization, we have analyzed by quantitative light microscopy hundreds of native chromosomes from transgenic *Drosophila* strains coexpressing combinations of red and green fluorescent fusion proteins, fully capable of providing the essential wild-type functions. Thereby, Cenp-A/Cid, Cenp-C, Mis12 and the Ndc80 complex were mapped along the inter sister kinetochore axis with a resolution below 10 nm. The C terminus of Cenp-C was found to be near but well separated from the innermost component Cenp-A/Cid. The N terminus of Cenp-C is further out, clustered with Mis12 and the Spc25 end of the rod-like Ndc80 complex, which is known to bind to microtubules at its other more distal Ndc80/Nuf2 end.

Introduction

The kinetochore which is formed within the centromeric region of eukaryotic chromosomes is crucial for faithful segregation of genetic information during mitotic and meiotic divisions. Its composition changes during the division cycle. In prometaphase, it allows attachment of chromosomes to spindle fibers (Rieder 2005). Moreover, it is associated with a number of checkpoint proteins that monitor chromosome integration into the spindle and prevent progression into anaphase as long as chromosomes without or with a syntelic attachment to the spindle are present.

Despite their fundamental biological role, centromeric DNA and primary sequences of associated proteins have evolved very rapidly (for recent reviews, see Schueler and Sullivan 2006; Vos et al. 2006). Initially, therefore, it has been difficult to integrate findings from different model organisms into a general model for kinetochore organization in eukaryotes. However, recent progress has dramatically improved the recognition of shared elements of centromere kinetochore complexes (CKC). The basis of CKC assembly appears to be formed by specialized chromatin containing nucleosomes with a centromere-specific histone H3 variant (Vos et al. 2006; Fujita et al. 2007). Cenp-A/Cid, the *Drosophila* centromere-specific histone H3 variant, is found at the centromere throughout the division cycles (Henikoff et al. 2000), as also true in other organisms (Vos et al. 2006). Cenp-C homologs represent another ubiquitous CKC component with a constitutive centromere localization (apart from the mito-
sis-specific association observed in the holocentric chromosomes of Caenorhabditis elegans; Moore and Roth 2001; Oegema et al. 2001; Heeger et al. 2005; Vos et al. 2006). Four multiprotein complexes (Sim4/COMA/NAC/Cenp-H/I, Mis12/MIND, Spc105/KNL-1, Ndc80) that were originally identified in yeast have also been characterized, at least partially, in a wide range of eukaryotes (McAinsh et al. 2003; Kline-Smith et al. 2005; Liu et al. 2005; Cheeseman et al. 2006; Foltz et al. 2006; Meraldi et al. 2006; Okada et al. 2006; Vos et al. 2006). Their centromere association in human cells appears to vary from constitutive (Sim4/COMA/NAC/Cenp-H/I; Foltz et al. 2006; McAinsh et al. 2006; Okada et al. 2006), constitutive except for telophase (Mis12; Kline et al. 2006; McAinsh et al. 2006), to mitosis-specific (Ndc80; Chen et al. 1997; Martin-Lluesma et al. 2002; Kline-Smith et al. 2005). Moreover, a number of mitotic spindle checkpoint proteins are conserved and present at high concentrations at those kinetochores, which are either not attached to the spindle or not exposed to the physical tension resulting from bipolar attachment (Vos et al. 2006). Apart from these proteins, about 50 additional CKC components have been described in various experimental systems, and the identification of diverged orthologs in other eukaryotes is progressing in many cases (Meraldi et al. 2006; Vos et al. 2006).

Initial insights into the details of the structural CKC organization were obtained by electron microscopy (EM; Rieder 1982; Vos et al. 2006). In Drosophila, kinetochore ultrastructure is similar to the appearance of vertebrate kinetochores (Maiato et al. 2006), in particular, during prometaphase when the hemispherical organization is transformed into a disk with a distinct outer plate of about 40 nm thickness. This outer plate is separated by a gap of about 30 nm from another electron-dense inner plate. In Drosophila, this inner plate is poorly resolved from the underlying amorphous inner chromatin mass. On the other side, i.e., distal to the outer plate, prometaphase kinetochores have a fibrous corona of variable depth (up to 200 nm), which has been clearly described in mammalian cells. We point out that kinetochore ultrastructure is known to change significantly from prophase to metaphase (Rieder 1982; Maiato et al. 2006), and that certain aspects like the distinction of the inner plate might reflect artifactual chromatin shrinking during fixation (McEwen et al. 1998).

Only a few CKC components have been localized by immuno-EM at an ultrastructural level. Thereby, vertebrate Cenp-C has been assigned to the inner plate (Saitoh et al. 1992) and the Ndc80 complex components Ndc80/Hec1 and Nuf2 to the outer plate (DeLuca et al. 2005). Moreover, Cenp-E has been located within the outer corona (Cooke et al. 1997). Based on double immunofluorescence comparisons with these well-studied proteins, almost all other CKC components have been tentatively classified as present either in the inner chromatin, the inner or outer plate, or the fibrous corona. Moreover, several studies have characterized kinetochore ultrastructure after RNA interference-mediated depletion of CKC components (Vigneron et al. 2004; DeLuca et al. 2005; Liu et al. 2006). RNA interference mostly in combination with fluorescence microscopy or immunodepletion in Xenopus egg extracts has also been used extensively to analyze dependencies in the CKC assembly process (see for instance Emanuele et al. 2005; Liu et al. 2006, and references in Vos et al. 2006). In general, inner components were found to be required for the later assembly of outer components, although certain inconsistencies point to a higher complexity (Liu et al. 2006). Finally, light microscopic analyses have been performed with stretched chromatin fibers, which have argued for a lateral association of repeating units into a kinetochore disk (Blower et al. 2002; Sullivan and Karpen 2004).

In this paper, we describe a light-microscopic approach for kinetochore analysis with unprecedented spatial resolution. This approach exploits some unique advantages of the model organism Drosophila melanogaster. Genetic complementation tests were used to demonstrate the functionality of CKC proteins fused to fluorescent proteins. These fluorescent proteins, the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) and monomeric red fluorescent protein (mRFP), provide localization tags, which are considerably smaller than antibodies. The cylindrical β-barrels formed by EGFP and mRFP have a diameter of 2.4 nm and a height of 4.2 nm (Ormo et al. 1996; Yang et al. 1996; Yarbrough et al. 2001). In contrast, indirect immunolocalization involves detection by two antibodies, each being more than fivefold larger than EGFP/mRFP, in combination with colloidal gold in the case of EM. In addition, fluorescent proteins eliminate potential problems with antibody specificity and antigen accessibility. Moreover, potential fixation artifacts can be avoided by imaging unfixed specimens. In Drosophila, the rapid syncytial division cycles of early embryogenesis result in a very high physiological mitotic index approaching 50%, which allows a very efficient preparation of native mitotic chromosomes. After our identification of components of the Drosophila Ndc80 and Mis12 complexes, we analyzed their localization in comparison to the previously described Cenp-A/Cid (Henikoff et al. 2000) and Cenp-C proteins (Heeger et al. 2005). By averaging the data obtained with hundreds of native chromosomes released from embryos coexpressing a red and a green fluorescent CKC component, we were able to map these proteins with a spatial resolution well beyond the light diffraction limit. Thereby, we localized Cenp-C to a region between the innermost Cenp-A/Cid and the outer Mis12 and Ndc80 complexes. Moreover, both Cenp-C and
the rod-like Ndc80 complex were found to have a defined, polar orientation along the spindle axis.

**Materials and methods**

**Fly strains**

*Drosophila* stocks with the mutations *Nuf2*\(^{SH2276}\) (Oh et al. 2003) and l(3)A34-1 (synonym: l(3)87Da\(^2\); Hilliker et al. 1980) or the deficiencies *Df(2L)ade3* and *Df(3R)ry75* were obtained from the Bloomington stock center. The piggyBac insertion line *Spc25*\(^{co00064}\) (Thibault et al. 2004) was kindly provided by the Harvard Medical School stock collection.

*Nuf2*\(^{SH2276}\) appears to be a hypomorphic allele. Hemi-zygotes with *Nuf2*\(^{SH2276}\) over *Df(2L)ade3*, which deletes *Nuf2*, were found to die during the late pupal stages, i.e., earlier than *Nuf2*\(^{SH2276}\) homozygotes.

The EMS-induced recessive lethal mutation l(3)A34-1, which had genetically been mapped to a chromosomal region including *Spc25* (Hilliker et al. 1980), failed to complement *Spc25*\(^{co00064}\). Moreover, the lethality of l(3)A34-1 in trans over the deficiency *Df(3R)ry75*, which deletes *Spc25*, was rescued by the *Spc25*-mRFP transgene. *Spc25*\(^{co00064}\) homozygotes and hemizygotes (in trans over the deficiency *Df(3R)ry75*) displayed an indistinguishable phenotype which was more severe than that of l(3)A34-1 hemizygotes. Therefore, *Spc25*\(^{co00064}\) appears to be an amorphic and l(3)A34-1 a hypomorphic allele.

The gene trap line *P*\([w^+mC]=PTT-GA\]Jupiter\(^{G00147}\) expresses a fusion of EGFP and Jupiter, a microtubule-binding protein (Morin et al. 2001). Transgenic strains expressing kinetochore proteins fused to fluorescent proteins were generated by standard P-element-mediated germ line transformation. Lines expressing functional Cenp-A/Cid with an internal EGFP insertion 11 amino acids before the start of the histone fold domain (gcid-EGFP-cid) have been described before (Schuh et al. 2007). Lines with an mRFP insertion in Cenp-A/Cid in place of the EGFP insertion (gcd-mRFP-cid) were generated and also confirmed to express a functional Cid fusion protein (S.H., unpublished observations). Lines expressing Cenp-C with an N-terminal EYFP extension (gEYFP-Cenp-C) or with a C-terminal EGFP extension (gCenp-C-EGFP) have been described before (Heeger et al. 2005). The gEYFP-Bub3, *sryα-GAL4*, and *UAS-EGFP-CG11743* lines will be described in detail elsewhere. A line with *sryα-GAL4* and *UAS-EGFP-CG11743* was used for control in the coimmunoprecipitation experiments.

Additional lines were generated with the constructs described below. Lines with combinations of transgenes resulting in the expression of a red and a green fluorescent CKC component were generated by standard crosses. We analyzed lines with *gcd-mRFP-cid* II.1 in combination with either *gcd-mRFP-cid* II.2, *gEYFP-Cenp-C* II.1, *gCenp-C-EGFP* III.2, *gEYFP-Nuf2* II.1, *gMis12-EGFP* II.1, *gEYFP-Bub3*, or *P*\([w^+[mC]]=PTT-GA\]Jupiter\(^{G00147}\). In addition, we also analyzed lines with gSpc25-mRFP II.1 in combination with either gSpc25-EGFP II.1, gcd-EGFP-cid II.2, gEYFP-Cenp-C II.1, gCenp-C-EGFP III.2, gEYFP-Nuf2 II.1, or gMis12-EGFP II.1. All transgenic lines had a w mutant background.

Plasmid constructions and transfections

pP\{CaSpeR-4\} constructs were made for the expression of kinetochore proteins fused to fluorescent proteins under control of the corresponding genomic regulatory region. Genomic fragments were amplified from bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clones characterized by the *Drosophila* genome project (BACR30C16 for *Ndc80*, BACR39J17 for *Nuf2*, BACR17F05 for *Spc25*, BACR14L10 for *Mis12*) (Hoskins et al. 2000). The sequences of the primers were: AnW20 (5'-GGAAATTC GTA GAA TCG TTT GGA AAT GC-3') and AnW21 (5'-GGGATCC CTT GGT ATT GAA ACT AC-3') for the 5' part of *Ndc80*, AnW22 (5'-CTCTAGA ATG TCG CAC CTTG ATG CCC-3') and AnW23 (5'-CATGTT AGGCCT ACG TTA GCA CTA CCG GGG-3') for the 3' part of *Ndc80*; RaS73 (3'-CACCAGGATTC GCGGGCGG ATG TAT CTTG GGC GAG-3') and RaS74 (5'-GA GATGCTT AGC TTT GGA AAT GC-3') for the 5' part of *Nuf2*, RaS77 (5'-TGCTCTAGA ATG GCG TTA TCG GAA AAT-3') and RaS78 (5'-ACAACAAGGGT GCG GAGGATTC GCG TTA GCA CTA CTTG GGG-3') for the 3' part of *Nuf2*; RaS93 (5'-CTTGAATGTTAAGGGATTC GCGGGCGG ATG TAT CTTG GGC GAG-3') and RaS94 (5'-GA GATGCTT AGC TTT GGA AAT GC-3') for the 5' part of *Spc25*; RaS95 (5'-CACTCTAGA ATG GCG TTA TCG GAA AAT-3') and RaS97 (5'-AGGAACCA ACG TTA ACA CCG GCC GAG-3') for the 3' part of *Spc25*; RaS125 (5'-AGGCATGTC TTT TTT TCG TCG GGC GAGG-3') and RaS126 (5'-GTGCTCTAGA ATG GCG TTA ACA CCG GCC GAGG-3') for the 3' part of *Mis12*; RaS127 (5'-ACTCTCTAGA ATG GCG TTA ACA CCG GCC GAGG-3') and RaS128 (5'-CTCTAGA ATG GCG TTA ACA CCG GCC GAGG-3') for the 3' part of *MIS12*. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) fragments with the 5' and 3' parts of a given gene were introduced into polynucleoty sites of pP\{CaSpeR-4\}. Moreover, a PCR fragment containing the coding sequences of either mRFP1 or EGFP was introduced into the BamH1 and XbaI sites between the 5' and 3' parts. The primers for the amplification of the coding sequences of the fluorescent proteins were: RaS85 (5'-GC GATTAC ATG GTC GTC AAG GGC GAG-3') and RaS86 (5'-TC CTCTAGA ATG GTC GTC AAG GGC GAG-3') for EGFP in gEYFP-Nuf2, RaS85 and RaS80 (5'-
GG TCTAGA TTA CTT GTA CAG CTC GTC CAT G-3’ for EGFP in gMis12-EGFP and gSpe25-EGFP, RaS91 (5’-AG GGATCC ATG GCC TCC TCC GAG GAC-3’) and RaS92 (AA TCTAGA TTA GCC GCG GTG GGA GTG-3’) for mRFP1 in gSpe25-mRFP.

The pP(CaSpeR-4) constructs for expression of Cenp-C variants with green and red fluorescent proteins fused to N- and C termini were based on a genomic 8.7 kb Pml- Xbal fragment used previously for the generation of gEGFP-Cenp-C lines (Heeger et al. 2005). Inverse PCR with primers CM51 (5’-GTC GTT AGC GGG CCT GGA CCT GAA AAA CAG-3’) and CM52 (5’-AAG CCC GCT AGC AAC GAC ACT CTG GAG CTG-3’) or MF8 (5’-GGC CTA GCT AGC ACT GTG CAT G-3’) and MF9 (5’-CGC AGT GCT AGC TAG TAA TTG CTT TGT AAT TTA-3’) was used to introduce NheI sites after the start or directly before the stop codon, respectively. EGFP coding sequences were amplified with primers MF11 (5’-GG TCTAGA CTA ATG ATT CTT GTA CAG CTC CTC CTA AGG CCA-3’) and MF12 (5’-GGC CGC TAG CGT GAG CAA GGG AAT AGC CTA GC-3’) for N-terminal and MF11 and MF12 (5’-GGC CGC TAG CTG ACT TGT AGC CCT CGT CCA TG-3’) for C-terminal fusions. mRFP1 coding sequences were amplified with primers HSmRFP2 (5’-AGC GGC TAG CAT GGC CTC CTC CGA GGA C-3’) and HSmRFP3 (5’-CGA AAC TAG TGG CTC GAG CCG TGG AGT GG-3’).

pPUAST constructs containing cDNAs fused to the EGFP coding sequence were used for transfection of Drosophila S2R+ cells. For these constructions, we first generated two pPUAST variants for either N- or C-terminal EGFP fusions. For the former variant, the EGFP coding sequence was amplified using the primers RaS42 (5’-GG TCTAGA TTA GCC GCG GTG GGA GTG-3’) and RaS43 (5’-GAATTTCTG GCGGCCGC CTT GTA CAG CTC CTC CTA AGG CCA-3’) for N-terminal and MF11 (5’-GG TCTAGA CTA ATG ATT CTT GTA CAG CTC CTC CTA AGG CCA-3’) and MF12 (5’-GGC CGC TAG CTG ACT TGT AGC CCT CGT CCA TG-3’) for C-terminal fusions. mRFP1 coding sequences were amplified with primers HSmRFP2 (5’-AGC GGC TAG CAT GGC CTC CTC CTA AGG CCA-3’) and HSmRFP3 (5’-CGA AAC TAG TGG CTC GAG CCG TGG AGT GG-3’). For coimmunoprecipitation experiments, we collected 5–8 h embryos from either w; P[w’+, gEGFP-Nnf1a] II.1 or w; P[w’+, srych-Gal4] II.1, P[w’+, UAS-EGFP-CG11743] II.1 flies at 25°C. After dechorionization in 50% bleach, eggs were shocked frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. 1 ml packed embryos were homogenized in 4 ml lysis buffer [50 mM 4-2-hydroxyethyl-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) at pH 7.5, 60 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl2, 1 mM CaCl2, 0.2% Triton X-100, 0.2% Nonidet NP-40, 10% glycerol, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT)] containing 0.2 μl protease inhibitor cocktail (P 8340, Sigma). The extracts were cleared by centrifugation (20 min, 14000×g). For further clearing, supernatants were incubated with 0.15 ml Protein-A-Sepharose beads (Affi-Prep, Bio-Rad) during 1 h
followed by another centrifugation. 25 μl Protein-A-Sepharose beads to which about 25 μg affinity-purified rabbit antibodies against GFP or mRFP (J. Dürr, S. Herzog and S. H., unpublished) had been crosslinked with dimethyl pimelimidate (Harlow and Lane 1988) were used for immunoprecipitation from the supernatant. Immunoprecipitated proteins were washed four times with lysis buffer. During the third and fourth washes, 0.5% Nonidet NP-40 and 0.5% Triton-X-100 were present in the lysis buffer. Moreover, during the fourth wash, 300 mM NaCl was also present in the lysis buffer. Immunoprecipitated proteins were eluted for 5 min at 37°C with 0.04 ml 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, followed by another elution at 94°C. Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) sample buffer was added, and the immunoprecipitated proteins were resolved on a large 12% Tris–HCl precast gel (Bio-Rad). Silver staining was performed essentially as described (Blum et al. 1987).

Fixation was done in 30% ethanol and 10% acetic acid. After a wash in 30% ethanol, water was used for an additional 20 min wash. 0.02 and 0.05% formaldehyde were included in the silver nitrate solution and the developer, respectively. Staining was terminated in 5% acetic acid. Excised bands were rinsed in water and analyzed by mass spectrometry essentially as described previously (Riedel et al. 2006). Briefly, bands were reduced with DTT, carboxymethylated using iodoacetamide, and digested with trypsin. Tryptic peptides were extracted with formic acid and separated by nano high-performance liquid chromatography (LC-Packings, Netherland) on a PepMap C 18 column. The eluate of the column was applied online to an LTQ ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo-Fisher) equipped with a nanospray source. Mass data on all peptides and their fragmentation pattern were analyzed using the Mascot software (Matrix Science). Note that Fig. 2 displays proteins eluted at 37°C. The majority of EGFP-Nuf2 and Spc25-mRFP was only eluted during the second elution at 94°C.

Sequence comparison

Secondary structure predictions were performed using Quick2D (Biegert et al. 2006). In case of the human proteins, predictions are based on a multiple sequence alignment obtained with the position-specific iterated basic local alignment search tool (PSI-BLAST) search option. In case of the Drosophila proteins, predictions are based on a multiple sequence alignment assembled using ClustalW and ortholog sequences identified in Drosophilid genome sequences (Thompson et al. 1994; Richards et al. 2005; prepublication data from Agencourt Bioscience Corporation and Genome Sequencing Center at Washington University). In case of Drosophila Nnf1, the alignment contained both Nnf1a and Nnf1b paralog sequences, which result in essentially indistinguishable predictions when tested individually (data not shown). Nnf1 homologs in Drosophilid genomes were identified by BLAST searches and compared using ClustalW, which was also used for phylogenetic tree construction.

Preparation and analysis of native chromosomes

Eggs were collected on apple juice agar plates and aged to the syncytial blastoderm stages. After chorion removal with 50% bleach and extensive washing in water, eggs were returned to apple juice agar plates. Three eggs were lined up on a glass slide, and 3.5 μl of phosphate buffered saline containing 2 μg/ml Hoechst 33258 was added. The embryos were squashed by capillary forces after adding a coverslip (24×32 mm). Microscopic analyses were started within a few minutes after turbulent mixing within the specimen had settled. Single focal planes were acquired with a Zeiss Axiosoplan 2 imaging system using a 100× PlanApo NA 1.4 objective, an AxioCam MRm camera, and an AxioVision software. With this setup, a camera pixel represents about a 68 nm square region of the object. The extent of movement within the unfixed sample was controlled by comparing the spatial distribution of the DNA staining acquired before and after acquisition of the red and green signals. Chromosomes that had moved more than 0.2 μm during acquisition were excluded from the analyses. In addition, chromosomes which did not clearly display two distinct sister kinetochore signals were also excluded from the analyses. Thereby, chromosomes from anaphase embryos, as well as chromosomes from prometaphase and metaphase embryos with an orientation of the axis between sister kinetochores perpendicular (or nearly perpendicular) to the slide were eliminated. The majority of chromosomes (about 70%, n=436) from prometaphase and metaphase embryos displayed two distinct sister kinetochores. The squashing procedure therefore appears to result in a preferred planar orientation of the two sister kinetochores on the slide. The relatively weak signals in combination with the extent of bleaching observed especially with the mRFP fusion proteins prevented us from acquiring z stacks followed by three-dimensional analyses of centroid signal maxima. For evaluation, signal intensities along a line connecting the two sister kinetochores were determined using ImageJ software and transferred to MS Excel software for further analysis. The $d_{\text{RInter}}$, $d_{\text{GGInter}}$ and $d_{\text{RIntra}}$ values for a given pair of CKC components (see Fig. 7d and Table 1), as well as the $d_{\text{Inter}}$ values obtained for a given CKC component by pooling the corresponding data from the pairwise analyses (Table 2), were not normally distributed, as expected since chromosomes with closely spaced, overlapping sister kinetochore signals were excluded from the analyses for instance.
adequate statistical error analysis was further complicated by unexplored potential biological (kinetochore variation in chromosome 2, 3, 4, X and Y, or precise mitotic stage), experimental (chromosome orientation and extent of stretch/compression), and instrumental variability (pixelation, background noise). The distribution of all the measured data is therefore given in Fig. 7f–i.

**Results**

Identification of *Drosophila Ndc80* and Mis12/MIND complexes

Bioinformatic analysis revealed similarities between known Ndc80/Hec1 proteins and the predicted *Drosophila* CG9938 product (data not shown). Similar findings from a systematic bioinformatic search for eukaryotic kinetochore proteins were described while this work was in progress (Meraldi et al. 2006). However, the observed similarities are only very limited and not correlated with evolutionary descent. Vertebrate Ndc80/Hec1 proteins are clearly more similar to fungal and plant homologs than to CG9938. To confirm therefore that the diverged CG9938 indeed encodes the *Drosophila* Ndc80 homolog, we expressed an EGFP fusion protein in *Drosophila* S2R+ cells. The subcellular localization of the EGFP signals (data not shown) was found to correspond to the known behavior of human Ndc80/Hec1 (Chen et al. 1997; Martin-Lluesma et al. 2002). Moreover, the expected localization was subsequently also observed in transgenic embryos expressing EGFP-CG9938 fusion protein (Fig. 1a).

### Table 1 Pairwise mapping of CKC components

| Red protein | Green protein | \(d_{RR\text{inter}}^a\) (nm) | \(d_{GG\text{inter}}^a\) (nm) | \(d_{RG\text{intra}}^a\) (nm) |
|-------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Cenp-A/Cid  | Cenp-A/Cid    | 445                         | 455                         | 5                           |
| Cenp-C(C)b  | Cenp-C(C)b    | 460                         | 490                         | 15                          |
| Cenp-C(N)b  | Cenp-C(N)b    | 463                         | 547                         | 42                          |
| Mis12       | Mis12         | 472                         | 574                         | 51                          |
| Nuf2        | Nuf2          | 451                         | 589                         | 69                          |
| Spec25      | Spec25        | 573                         | 475                         | 49                          |
| (N)c-Cenp-C-(C)c | (N)c-Cenp-C-(C)c | 575                         | 559                         | 8                           |
| (C)d-Cenp-C-(N)d | (C)d-Cenp-C-(N)d | 461                         | 491                         | 15                          |

*Native chromosomes (n=100) from embryos coexpressing a red and a green fluorescent CKC component were analyzed. Average values for the distances separating the red fluorescent signal maxima of sister kinetochores (\(d_{RR\text{inter}}\)) and the distances separating the green fluorescent signal maxima of sister kinetochores (\(d_{GG\text{inter}}\)) were used for the calculation of the distance separating the two components within a sister kinetochore (\(d_{RG\text{intra}}\); see also Fig. 7d and experimental procedures).

b Cenp-C was expressed as a fusion with EYFP either at the N terminus [Cenp-C(N)] or at the C terminus [Cenp-C(C)].

c Cenp-C was expressed with mRFP and EGFP at the N and C termini, respectively.

d Cenp-C was expressed with EGFP and mRFP at the N and C termini, respectively.

### Table 2 Axial positions of CKC components from pooled data

| Protein     | Number | \(d_{\text{inter}}^a\) (nm) | Axial position\(^b\) (nm) |
|-------------|--------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Cenp-A/Cid  | 700    | 460                         | 0                           |
| Cenp-C(C)   | 400    | 505                         | 22                          |
| Cenp-C(N)   | 400    | 531                         | 36                          |
| Spec25      | 700    | 540                         | 40                          |
| Mis12       | 200    | 545                         | 43                          |
| Nuf2        | 200    | 584                         | 62                          |

*All \(d_{RR\text{inter}}\) and \(d_{GG\text{inter}}\) measurements obtained for a given CKC in the analyses after pairwise expression of red and green fusion proteins (see Table 1) were summed and averaged to estimate the distance separating the signal maxima of sister kinetochores (\(d_{\text{inter}}\)). The differences between the \(d_{\text{inter}}\) values obtained for different CKC components were found to be significant according to Mann-Whitney U tests (p<0.05), except for the closely clustered Cenp-C(N), Spec25 and Mis12.

\(^b\) The separation of CKC components from Cenp-A/Cid along the inter sister kinetochore axis in a kinetochore was estimated by halving the difference between the \(d_{\text{inter}}\) values obtained for Cenp-A/Cid and a given CKC component. Axial separation is given relative to Cenp-A/Cid, which was set to zero.
interphase, we did not observe signals above background (Fig. 1a). However, during mitosis, distinct signals were present at the kinetochore from prometaphase until late anaphase. The kinetochore signals were in close proximity to those obtained after double labeling with antibodies against the constitutive centromere protein Cenp-C (Fig. 1a). Based on this and the following evidence, we conclude that CG9938 represents the Drosophila Ndc80 gene.

In a genome-wide yeast two hybrid screen, Drosophila Ndc80 was reported to support a high confidence interaction with the predicted CG8902 product (Giot et al. 2003). Moreover, this CG8902 protein has a very limited similarity to the Ndc80 complex component Nuf2 (Meraldi et al. 2006). To evaluate whether this most distant Nuf2 family member displayed the expected kinetochore localization during mitosis, it was also expressed as an EGFP fusion protein in S2R+ cells. Its subcellular localization (data not shown) was found to be indistinguishable from that of vertebrate Ndc80 components. In addition, the same localization behavior was also observed in transgenic embryos expressing EGFP-CG8902 (Fig. 1b). We conclude that CG8902 represents the Drosophila Nuf2 gene.

An additional Drosophila Ndc80 interactor (Giot et al. 2003), the CG7242 product, was observed to have limited similarity to the Ndc80 complex component Spc25. This gene was also identified as the most distant Spc25 family member in the bioinformatic study (Meraldi et al. 2006). An analysis of the intracellular localization of an EGFP fusion protein confirmed the expected kinetochore localization also in this case, both in transfected S2R+ cells (data not shown) and in transgenic embryos (Fig. 1c). We conclude that CG7242 encodes Drosophila Spc25.

Apart from Ndc80 complex members, the bioinformatic search had revealed an additional putative Drosophila CKC component. The CG18156 protein was reported to have very limited sequence similarity to fungal and metazoan Mis12 proteins (Meraldi et al. 2006). Mis12 was originally identified in fission yeast and subsequently found to be a component of a conserved CKC complex called Mis12/MIND, which contains three additional proteins (Takahashi et al. 1994; De Wulf et al. 2003; Goshima et al. 2003; Obuse et al. 2004; Kline et al. 2006). Our localization studies after transfection of S2R+ cells with an EGFP-CG18156 expression construct revealed centromere localization. EGFP signals were found to be colocalized with...
Cenp-A/Cid at the centromere not only during mitosis but also in interphase cells (Fig. 3a). This localization behavior corresponds to that of human Mis12, which is centromeric throughout the cell cycle except for a brief period in late telophase and early G1 (Kline et al. 2006; McAinsh et al. 2006). In transgenic embryos expressing EGFP-CG18156, we observed the centromeric signals only during mitosis (Fig. 1d). The apparent discrepancy concerning localization during interphase in transfected cells and transgenic embryos might reflect differences in expression levels and background signals. Primary and secondary structure comparisons (Fig. 4a) and kinetochore localization strongly support the proposal that CG18156 encodes the Drosophila Mis12 homolog.

To demonstrate that the identified putative Drosophila Ndc80 complex components Ndc80, Nu2, and Spc25 are indeed present in a complex in vivo, we used mass spectrometry to analyze the proteins coprecipitated with functional EGFP-Nu2 or Spc25-mRFP from embryo extracts. Antibodies against EGFP and mRFP were used for immunoprecipitation. SDS-PAGE of the immunoprecipitates followed by silver staining revealed the presence of proteins specifically coprecipitated by EGFP-Nu2 but not by an unrelated control EGFP fusion protein (Fig. 2a,b). Mass spectrometry revealed the identity of these proteins. Among the coimmunoprecipitated proteins were Drosophila Ndc80 and Spc25, as expected from the Ndc80 complex characterizations in yeast and vertebrates (Kline-Smith et al. 2005). Moreover, Drosophila Mis12 was also coimmunoprecipitated. In addition, we detected the products of the uncharacterized CG13434 and CG1558 in the EGFP-Nu2 immunoprecipitates (Fig. 2a). An expression of corresponding EGFP fusion protein in S2R+ cells revealed a kinetochore localization in both cases (Fig. 3b,d). CG13434 appears to encode a Drosophila homolog of Nnf1, which is a Mis12 complex component in yeast, vertebrates, and C. elegans (De Wulf et al. 2003; Nekrasov et al. 2003; Westermann et al. 2003; Cheeseman et al. 2004; Obuse et al. 2004; Kline et al. 2006). While primary structure comparisons revealed only very limited similarity (data not shown), secondary structure comparisons (Fig. 4a) provided additional support for our suggestion that CG13434 encodes a Drosophila Nnf1 homolog. Interestingly, the sequenced genomes of melanogaster subgroup species (D. melanogaster, D. simulans, D. sechellia, D. erecta, D. yakuba) all encode a CG13434 paralog, resulting from an apparent duplication of the primordial CG13434 ortholog at the start of the melanoogaster subgroup lineage (Fig. 4b). An EGFP fusion of this paralog, CG31658, was also observed to localize to the centromere during interphase and mitosis (Fig. 3c). Therefore, we will designate CG13434 as Nnf1a and CG31658 as Nnf1b. Reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR experiments suggested coexpression of the Nnf1 paralogs at least during early Drosophila development (Fig. 4c). The coimmunoprecipitation experiments with Spc25-mRFP confirmed an association of the Ndc80 complex components (Ndc80, Nu2, Spc25) with Mis12, Nnf1a, and Nnf1b (Fig. 2b; data not shown).

The CG1558 product, which was coimmunoprecipitated with both EGFP-Nu2 and Spc25-mRFP (Fig. 2), did not display significant similarities to known kinetochore network proteins in its predicted primary and secondary structure. After expression as an EGFP fusion protein, it was detected at the centromeres in both interphase and mitotic S2R+ cells (Fig. 3d). This localization behavior was identical to Mis12 but different from Ndc80, which
displayed a mitosis-specific kinetochore localization. Therefore, *CG1558* might encode an additional Mis12 complex subunit. Based on its size, it might correspond to Nsl1. However, both Nsl1 and Dsn1 are very poorly conserved Mis12 complex components according to a comparison of human, *C. elegans*, and yeast sequences. *CG1558* will be designated as *Kmn1* (kinetochore Mis12-Ndc80 network component 1).

Spc24 is present as a fourth 22–24 kDa subunit in both yeast and vertebrate Ndc80 complexes. We did not identify a potential *Drosophila* Spc24 homolog in the EGFP-Nu12 and Spc25-mRFP immunoprecipitates within the corresponding molecular weight range. However, we detected (Fig. 2b) an 11 kDa product of the predicted gene *HDC12388* (Hild et al. 2003), which is not annotated in FlyBase. Expression of an EGFP fusion protein in S2R+ cells revealed kinetochore localization during mitosis (Fig. 3e). The *C. elegans* Ndc80 complex is thought to contain a rudimentary 11 kDa Spc24 version (Cheeseman et al. 2006, supporting speculations that *HDC12388* might correspond to *Drosophila* Spc24. According to secondary structure predictions, the *HDC12388* product might correspond to the C-terminal globular Spc24 domain (Fig. 4a). At present, *HDC12388* will be designated as *Kmn2*.

More extensive purification of the *Drosophila* Ndc80 and Mis12 complexes will be required for their complete characterization. However, our findings demonstrate that *Drosophila* expresses a network of interacting kinetochore proteins including particularly diverged homologs of the Mis12 and Ndc80 complexes. Although hardly evident
Fig. 4 Secondary structure and genomic comparison of Drosophila kinetochore proteins. a Secondary structure predictions reveal similarities between Drosophila (Dm) and human (Hs) homologs of Mis12, Nnf1, and between Drosophila Kmn2 and the C-terminal region of human Spc24. Each dot represents an amino acid position. The lines with colored regions illustrate predictions obtained with various algorithms (PSIPRED, JNET, PROF Quali and King, PROF Rost, COILS from top to bottom). α-helical regions are shown in red, regions with β-sheets in blue and coiled coils in magenta. b A phylogenetic tree was constructed after aligning the predicted amino acids sequences encoded by Nnf1-like genes in Drosophilid genomes. An Nnf1 gene duplication resulting in the two paralogs Nnf1a and Nnf1b early after the divergence of the melanogaster subgroup lineage provides the most parsimonious explanation for the observed branching pattern. D. melanogaster (Dmel), D. simulans (Dsim), D. sechellia (Dsec), D. yakuba (Dyak), D. erecta (Dere), D. ananassae (Dana), D. persimilis (Dper), D. pseudoobscura (Dpse), D. willistoni (Dwil). Synteny considerations (data not shown) indicate that Nnf1a represents the primordial homolog. c The developmental expression pattern of D. melanogaster Nnf1a and Nnf1b was analyzed by RT-PCR experiments. The stages analyzed were: embryos 0–2 (0–2), 2–4 (2–4), 4–8 (4–8), and 8–16 (8–16) hours after egg deposition; larval stages (L1, L2, L3), pupae (P), adult males (M) and females (F). Control amplifications (G) from a cloned Nnf1a cDNA and an intron containing genomic Nnf1b fragment, as well as amplifications (N) with mock reverse transcribed mRNA demonstrated that the RT-PCR products were not derived from contaminating genomic DNA. The results are consistent with Nnf1a expression being correlated with mitotic proliferation and Nnf1b expression being germline-specific
from simple sequence comparisons, *Drosophila* kineto-
chore organization obviously shares extensive similarities
with yeast, *C. elegans*, and vertebrates, which all have a
kinetochore protein network containing the Mis12 and
Nde80 complexes (Wigge and Kilmartin 2001; De Wulf et
al. 2003; Goshima et al. 2003; McCleland et al. 2003;
Nekrasov et al. 2003; Westermann et al. 2003; Cheeseman
et al. 2004; Obuse et al. 2004; Emanuele et al. 2005; Liu
et al. 2005; Kline et al. 2006).

Essential functions of *Drosophila* Nde80 complex
components can be provided by fluorescent fusion protein
variants

To define the functions of the *Drosophila* Nde80 complex
genetically, we identified and characterized mutations in
Nuf2 and Spc25 (Fig. 5). A P element insertion in Nuf2 had
been isolated in a transposon mutagenesis screen for
recessive lethal mutations (Oh et al. 2003). The insertion
SH2276 was confirmed to be located 11 bp upstream of the
initiation codon in the 5′ untranslated region (UTR) of
Nuf2. The insertion leads to a partial loss of function.
Nuf2<sup>SH2276</sup> homozygotes were found to develop to the late
pupal stages and to die either as pharate adults or soon after
ecllosion. The gEGFP-Nuf2 transgene was found to prevent
the lethality of early Nuf2<sup>SH2276</sup> adults. Our findings
demonstrate that *Drosophila* Nuf2 is an essential gene.
Moreover, they indicate that the EGFP-Nuf2 fusion protein
is functional.

The piggyBac transposon insertion c00064 (Thibault et
al. 2004) was mapped 38 bp upstream of the initiation
codon in the 5′ UTR of Spc25. Spc25<sup>c00064</sup> homozygotes
were found to die during the late larval stages. Compared to
sibling control larvae, the mutants had only rudimentary
imaginal discs and small brains, suggesting that Spc25 is
required in mitotically proliferating cells. The late larval
lethality of Spc25<sup>c00064</sup> homozygotes was prevented by a
transgene driving expression of a Spc25-mRFP fusion
protein under control of the Spc25 regulatory region
(gSpc25-mRFP). This transgene also complemented the
recessive lethality of Spc25<sup>A34-1</sup>, another independent allele
previously isolated as a recessive lethal mutation (Hilliker
et al. 1980). Based on these observations, we conclude that
*Drosophila* Spc25 is an essential gene. In addition, the
Spc25-mRFP fusion protein must be functional.

Mapping of centromere and kinetochore proteins in native
chromosomes at high resolution

The accuracy of distance measurements can be increased
beyond the diffraction-limited resolution of light microsco-
py by analyzing the spatial separation between signals from
two different fluorophores (Stelzer 1998; Shimogawa et al.
2006). Therefore, we constructed a number of strains that
coexpressed both a red and a green fluorescent CKC
component. Eggs were collected from these strains and
aged to the syncytial blastoderm stage where thousands of
nuclei progress synchronously through mitoses. Embryos
were gently squashed in a buffer containing a DNA stain.
Native chromosomes released from mitotic embryos were
analyzed by wide-field fluorescence microscopy. Analyses
with embryos expressing red fluorescent Cenp-A/Cid and a
green fluorescent microtubule binding protein demonstrated
that spindles did not survive the squashing procedure and
that sister kinetochores of the released chromosomes were
not under tension (Fig. 6a).

Pixel intensities of red and green CKC signals in native
chromosomes released from prometa- or metaphase embry-
os were quantified along the axis connecting the two sister
kinetochores (Fig. 7a–c). The distance between the intensity
maxima in the two sister kinetochores was determined. The
difference between the distances separating the green
distance (d<sub>CGinter</sub>) and red (d<sub>RRinter</sub>) fluorescent maxima, respective-
ly, was calculated and divided by two to obtain an estimate
for the distance (d<sub>RGintra</sub>) between the red and the green
fluorescent component within a CKC (Fig. 7d). Indepen-
dent estimates for $d_{\text{RGintra}}$ from at least 100 different chromosomes released from at least five different embryos were averaged to yield an estimate of the distance which separates a given pair of CKC proteins (Table 1). These pair separation values are represented by double arrows in Fig. 7e. Moreover, as an additional estimate for the spatial arrangement of the CKC proteins, we determined the average of all $d_{\text{GGinter}}$ and $d_{\text{RRinter}}$ values obtained for a given CKC protein in all our analyses. For instance, in the case of Cenp-A/Cid, the 500 $d_{\text{RRinter}}$ measurements and 200 $d_{\text{GGinter}}$ measurements resulted in an average value for the separation of the two sister kinetochore Cenp-A/Cid signal maxima of 460 nm. From the separation differences apparent when different CKC components were compared (Table 2), the CKC components were positioned along the centromere-spindle axis as indicated by the vertical colored lines in Fig. 7e.

To determine the position of a spindle checkpoint protein in native chromosomes, we used a strain coexpressing red fluorescent Cenp-A/Cid and green fluorescent Bub3. Various mitotic spindle checkpoint components have been reported to localize to the outermost, fibrous corona region of the kinetochore in intact cells (Vos et al. 2006). In our native chromosome preparations, the localization of EGFP-Bub3 in kinetochores from different chromosomes was found to be highly variable, as illustrated by the kinetochores indicated by numbered arrowheads in the top panels and shown at high magnification in the lower panels. Bar=5 μm.

Fig. 6 Association of released native chromosomes with spindles and mitotic checkpoint proteins. a To evaluate whether and how kinetochores of released native chromosomes interact with spindle remnants, we performed experiments with embryos expressing a green fluorescent microtubule binding protein (G147) and red fluorescent Cenp-A/Cid (Cenp-A). 82.4% of the chromosomes ($n=319$) had kinetochores which showed no association with microtubules, as illustrated by the inset in the upper left corner which displays the kinetochores indicated by the filled arrowhead at high magnification. 16.6% had kinetochores with a lateral association with microtubules, as illustrated by the inset in the lower right corner which displays the kinetochores indicated by the open arrowhead. 0.3% had kinetochores with a monopolar end-on attachment. 0.6% appeared to have a bipolar attachment with microtubules which however appeared to be so disorganized that the attachment presumably did not result in significant tension across the sister kinetochores. Bar=5 μm.

b Native chromosomes were released from embryos expressing red fluorescent Cenp-A/Cid and green fluorescent Bub3. In contrast to Cenp-A/Cid, the localization of EGFP-Bub3 in kinetochores from different chromosomes was found to be highly variable, as illustrated by the kinetochores indicated by numbered arrowheads in the top panels and shown at high magnification in the lower panels. Bar=5 μm.

The following control experiments support the accuracy of our CKC map. We generated a strain in which one of the two transgenes generated green and the other red fluorescent Cenp-A/Cid. In native chromosomes prepared from this strain (Fig. 7a,b), the red or green fluorescent proteins are expected to be perfectly colocalized. Our distance measurement resulted in $d_{\text{RGintra}}=5$ nm (Table 1). In an analogous analysis with a strain coexpressing red and green fluorescent Spc25, we obtained the expected $d_{\text{RGintra}}=0$ nm (Table 1). These distances were far smaller than the $d_{\text{RGintra}}$ values obtained for pairs of different proteins (Table 1). Moreover, the separation between signals from fluorescent protein tags at the N and the C termini of Cenp-C was determined twice using embryos expressing either EGFP-Cenp-C-mRFP or the reverse tag configuration mRFP-Cenp-C-EGFP, yielding 15 and 8 nm, respectively (Table 1). Finally, the additive behavior of different $d_{\text{RGintra}}$ values was found to correspond closely to the expectations. For instance, the sum of the measured distances between Cenp-A/Cid and Spc25 signals ($d_{\text{RGinter}}=49$ nm) on one hand and Spc25 and Nuf2 signals ($d_{\text{RGinter}}=15$ nm) on the other hand is only 5 nm different from the measured separation between Cenp-A/Cid and Nuf2 signals ($d_{\text{RGinter}}=69$ nm). Based on all these findings, we expect the correct signal maxima to be within ±5 nm of the positions indicated in Fig. 7e. We emphasize that our CKC map (Fig. 7e) was obtained by averaging values with distributions illustrated in Fig. 7f–i. As discussed below, averaging has important consequences for the interpretation of our CKC map.
Discussion

Our identification of Drosophila kinetochore proteins further exposes hidden similarities of kinetochore design in eukaryotes. In addition to the previously known, highly diverged Cenp-A/Cid and Cenp-C homologs (Henikoff et al. 2000; Heeger et al. 2005), Drosophila expresses similarly diverged homologs of the Mis12 and Ndc80 complex network, which is also present in yeast, C. elegans, vertebrates, and presumably in plants as well (Sato et al. 2005; Meraldi et al. 2006; Vos et al. 2006). We have been able to position several of these ubiquitous CKC components along the intersister kinetochore axis with unprecedented spatial resolution. Early Drosophila embryos allow an efficient isolation of native mitotic chromosomes and thereby imaging with reduced background. Moreover, transgenic strains allow the expression of fluorescent fusion proteins, which were demonstrated to be fully functional by genetic complementation tests.

We have determined the position of fluorescent signal maxima within the kinetochore of native chromosomes released from embryos expressing fluorescent CKC fusion proteins. Our CKC map (Fig. 7e) is based on averaged data from hundreds of analyzed chromosomes. Therefore, its interpretation depends critically on the variability of kinetochore organization in individual chromosomes. For instance, in a principle component might be localized on the inner kinetochore side in 50% of the chromatids and on the outer side in the other half of the chromatids, resulting in a misleading central positioning in our CKC map. Theoretically, such variability should widen the distribution of the distances measured in individual chromosomes (Fig. 7f–i). However, kinetochore width is smaller than the spreading of the image of a point light source in the microscope, and several additional factors (like background, noise, pixelation) further limit the precision of our measurements. The effect of positional variability on distribution width of the measured values would therefore be very subtle. None of the known CKC proteins has been firmly demonstrated to be a spatially invariant kinetochore component, precluding comparisons to an established standard distribution. However, the reproducible trilaminar structure of the kinetochore during prometaphase which has been documented by EM, argues strongly against extensive organizational variability. We emphasize that the difficulties to detect subtle alterations in the distribution width of the measurements obtained for a given CKC component has important consequences even under the assumption that the spatial distribution of CKC components is essentially invariant in individual kinetochores. These difficulties prevent conclusions concerning the width occupied by a given CKC component within a kinetochore. For instance, Mis12 could either be confined to a single layer in the middle of the kinetochore or spread throughout the kinetochore, and both localization patterns would result in a central signal maximum. However, biochemical analyses of kinetochore proteins, which will be discussed in part below, have so far revealed highly specific interactions, arguing strongly for a precise and restricted localization of CKC components. The following discussion is therefore based on the unproven but likely assumption that the kinetochore represents a precisely defined layered structure.

Based on previous analyses, Cenp-A, Cenp-C, and Mis12 are thought to be components of the inner plate of the characteristic trilaminar kinetochore structure apparent in the EM (Kline et al. 2006; Vos et al. 2006). Our analyses indicate a significant separation between the inner most CKC component Cenp-A and all other CKC components analyzed here. Recently, Cenp-A nucleosomes purified from human cells were found to be intimately associated with the five proteins Cenp-M, Cenp-N, Cenp-T, Cenp-U, and Cenp-H in addition to Cenp-C (Foltz et al. 2006; Izuta et al. 2006; Okada et al. 2006). The apparent space between Cenp-A and Cenp-C might therefore be occupied by some of those proteins.

Many immunolocalization studies, including a recent study with Drosophila cells (Maiato et al. 2006), have failed to detect a comparable extensive spatial separation between Cenp-A/Cid and Cenp-C. However, immunolocalization with human chromosomes also revealed little overlap between Cenp-A and Cenp-C, with the latter extending over the top and bottom of a Cenp-A cylinder (Blower et al. 2002). Antigen accessibility problems, which were not excluded by Blower et al. (2002), cannot affect our concurrent findings.

In this paper, Cenp-C is shown to be spread in a polar orientation across a central CKC region. The C-terminal domain of Cenp-C, which contains the most conserved region including the CENP-C motif (Talbert et al. 2004; Heeger et al. 2005), points toward the centromeric DNA. These C-terminal sequences are connected via minimally conserved spacer sequences to the N-terminal domain which is oriented toward the kinetochore spindle fibers. The N-terminal region of D. melanogaster Cenp-C contains some blocks which are highly conserved among Droso-philids (Heeger et al. 2005). These blocks might be involved in recruiting the next layer of kinetochore proteins which we suggest to include the Ndc80 and Mis12 complexes. We find Mis12 to be close to the N-terminal Cenp-C region. Moreover, the Ndc80 complex component Spc25 appears to be even a bit closer but well separated by about 20 nm from the other Ndc80 component Nut2. Apart from a polar Cenp-C orientation, our analyses therefore also indicate a polar orientation for the Ndc80 complex.

The tetrameric Ndc80 complex has a highly elongated, rod-like structure in vitro (Ciferri et al. 2005; Wei et al.
The globular N-terminal domains of Ndc80 and Nut2 are present on one end of the rod. The remainder of these two subunits forms an extended coiled coil which is further prolonged at its C-terminal end by binding to the N-terminal coiled coil region of the Spc24/Spc25 dimer. Closely associated C-terminal globular domains of Spc24 and Spc25 (Wei et al. 2006) form the other end of the rod. Scanning force microscopy and EM analyses have indicated that the coiled coil region separating the globular domains at the end of the Ndc80 complex has an extension of about 40 nm (Ciferri et al. 2005; Wei et al. 2005). This is twofold longer than the distance that we have observed.
between fluorescent proteins at the N and C termini of Nufl2 and Spe25 in kinetochores of native Drosophila chromosomes. Many of the elongated Ndc80 complexes might not be perfectly oriented along the spindle axis, especially as the kinetochores in our preparations are not under tension. Such a nonuniform orientation could result in spatial distributions of the N and C termini of Nufl2 and Spe25, respectively, with signal maxima that are more closely spaced than their separation within an isolated complex. An analysis of the positions of CKC components in chromosomes that are bi-oriented within the spindle and under tension would clearly be of interest. However, the increased background levels present in living embryos have so far precluded such analyses.

Our observed polar orientation of the Ndc80 complex within the kinetochore confirms the findings of a recent independent study (Deluca et al. 2006). Moreover, the observation that Ndc80 and Nufl2 kinetochore localization is no longer observed in the absence of Spc24 or Spe25 (Bharadwaj et al. 2004) is consistent but does not prove an orientation of the complex with inner Spe24/Spc25 and outer Ndc80/Nufl2 globular domains, because absence of Spe24 or Spe25 for instance might simply result in an instability of other complex components, as often observed in the case of stable complexes.

In budding yeast, the Ndc80 complex has been proposed to function as a connection between the inner components (CBF3 complex, Cenp-A/Cse4 nucleosome, Cenp-C/Mif2, Mis12/MIND complex) and the Dam/DASH complex which is required for bi-orientation and appears to form a ring around the single microtubule attaching to a yeast kinetochore (Cheeseman et al. 2001; Shang et al. 2003; Tanaka et al. 2005; Westermann et al. 2006). More recently, bacterial expression of the C. elegans KMN network composed of the Spe105/KNL-1, Mis12 and Ndc80 complexes has led to a convincing identification of two independent sites in this protein network which can bind directly to microtubules in vitro (Cheeseman et al. 2006). One of these microtubule binding sites is present within Spe105/KNL-1. The other is found within the globular N-terminal Ndc80 domain (Cheeseman et al. 2006) which is known to be within the outer kinetochore plates where kinetochore microtubules terminate (DeLuca et al. 2005). In vitro, the Ndc80 complex binds to microtubules at an angle (Cheeseman et al. 2006). A corresponding orientation of the Ndc80 complex within the kinetochore is fully consistent with our finding that the separation of the terminal globular domains of Spe25 and Nufl2 along the interister kinetochore axis appears to be less than their separation along the axis of isolated complexes (Ciferri et al. 2005; Wei et al. 2005). Accordingly, the “barbed end” of microtubules decorated with the Ndc80 complex would be predicted to correspond to the plus end.

In conclusion, in addition to the identification of Drosophila Ndc80 and Mis12 complex components, our work provides a highly resolved structural framework integrating the most widely studied ubiquitous CKC components and a precise method for a future incorporation of additional proteins.
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