Giant Net Modal Gain of plasmonic quantum dot nanolaser
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Abstract. This work studies the net modal gain from plasmonic quantum dot (QD) nanolaser. A metal/semiconductor/metal (MSM) structure was considered to attain plasmonic nanocavity with active region contains: QDs, wetting layer (WL) and barrier layers. Band alignment between layers was used to predict their parameters. Momentum matrix element for transverse magnetic (TM) mode in QD structure was formulated. Waveguide Fermi energy was introduced and formulated, for the first time, in this work to cover the waveguide contribution (Ag metal layer) in addition to the active region. Giant net modal gain was obtained when the waveguide Fermi energy was taken into account which means that the increment comes from the material gain not from the confinement factor. The change in waveguide Fermi energy in the valence band explained the high net modal gain, where the valence band QD states are fully occupied referring to an efficient hole contribution.
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1. Introduction

While the quantized (nano sized) active region was attained by the quantum structures: quantum wells, quantum wires and quantum dots (QDs). The work to reduce the waveguide or cavity to a nanoscale was challenged more difficulties. In the conventional semiconductor laser with nano-active region, a considerable part of the mode profile spread out into the dielectric cladding. This increases the scattering loss and then reduces gain [1]. Cladding the structure with metal reduces the field penetration. Then, the structure becomes efficient in confining the mode in a very small size. The volume of the optical mode turns below the diffraction limit with the aid of the surface-plasmon resonance [2] [3]. This is due to negative real part of permittivity at optical frequencies. Therefore, metal-semiconductor-metal (MSM) waveguide was the base of plasmonic waveguide nanocavity that supports surface-plasmon-polaritons (SPPs), however the main challenge is the significant loss of metal which require high gain active medium to balance losses [1] [4] [5].

When electromagnetic (EM) waves are propagates in metal produced surface plasmons (SPs). SPs are depending on the plasma frequency of the metal. In another case, when it is propagate into a dielectric produced a surface plasmon-polaritons (SPPs). SPPs are EM waves that propagate along the metal-dielectric interface [6].
The gain of the propagating mode in all the laser structure is the net modal gain, while the material gain is the active region gain which is a material property. The confinement factor (CF) can be defined as the power in the active material to the power of the entire wave i.e. it is the ratio of the material gain to the net modal gain. In a strong guiding structures (such as MSM), there is a high difference between refractive indices of the active and cladding layers. Moreover, the power CF definition falls in these structures [6] while the energy CF gives the physical sense in plasmonic nanolasers [7].

Due to their high gain, QD nanostructures can offer the possibility to balance high losses to metal cladding in nanolasers. In addition, QD active region can be introduced into a nanolaser as a high material gain layer. Since QD possess discrete energy states resemble those in natural atoms or molecules, QDs regarded as a zero-dimensional structure where the electronic motion is confined in all the three spatial dimensions. They exhibit unexpected characteristics due to their incredible small size with quantum mechanical behavior [5]. QD structure contains a QD layer grown into wetting layer (WL) which is in the form of a quantum well layer. These two layers (QD and WL) are covered by the barrier (B) which is in the form of bulk layer. The Fermi energy in the conventional QD structure was introduced as the global Fermi energy as it was proposed by Kim et al., where it covers the contributions of QD-wetting layer-barrier (QD-WL-B) layers [8].

Li and Ning were predicts a high net modal gain in plasmonic nanolaser (with bulk active region) and they assigned it to the slowdown in the average energy that was propagated in the structure [6] [9]. The present work models QD nanolaser where structure studied was MSM Fabry-Perot plasmonic nanocavity with QD semiconductor active region. This type of lasers can be fabricated by many methods such as metal coating [2]. Effect of QD, WL, B and metal (M) layers was considered. Band alignment between these layers was regarded to predict their parameters. Momentum matrix element for transverse magnetic (TM) mode in the QD structure was formulated. Contribution of metal to the Fermi energy of QD nanolaser was derived. This was done through the introduction of “waveguide Fermi energy”. It was included QD-WL-B-M structure contributions. The modal gain result was coincided with that of Li and Ning [6] [9].

The obtained results show that covering the structure by a metal (Ag) increases the gain by a huge value compared with that obtained from conventional QD laser. This increment on net gain came from the material gain not from the confinement factor. The material gain was increased as a result of rearrangement of Fermi energy by addition of metal which makes a pinning of the Fermi energy and then increment in material- and modal-gain. The results clarify that WL in QD-WL-B-M works as a reservoir for valence band (VB) QD states and they are fully occupied which refers to an efficient hole contribution. This gives higher gain in these structures. The obtained results were assessed with many works about metal-semiconductor contacts [10] [11].

2. Symmetric slab waveguide

Figure 1 shows the structure of a three-layer symmetric slab waveguide. The core region of the guide, which is called the film, has a refractive index \( n_f = \sqrt{\varepsilon_r \mu_r / \varepsilon_\infty \mu_\infty} \). Another two layers are the substrate and clad which are assumed same. Their refractive index is \( n_s = \sqrt{\varepsilon_s \mu_s / \varepsilon_\infty \mu_\infty} \). According to the geometry, there is no variation in material ‘or field’ along y-direction i.e. \( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} = 0 \) and it is assumed here that y-dimension is longer than other dimensions. In this slab waveguide, there are a finite number of guided modes and an infinite number of unguided modes propagated on it. For guided modes, it is a requisite that \( n_f \) be larger than \( n_s \) and the guided modes, which are propagated through
waveguide, are either even or odd in their field distributions. Its number in the waveguide was depending on waveguide thickness $d$ wavelength $\lambda$ and refractive indices of layers $(n_f, n_s)$.

Starting with Maxwell’s equations, taking into account the spatial variation in the z-direction, the fields have ($e^{-i k_z z}$), and ($\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \equiv i k_z$) dependencies. One can define $k_z$ as the complex propagation constant, and $k$ as the free space propagation constant ($k = \omega \sqrt{\mu \epsilon}$). The relation between them can be written as follows,

$$k^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 \Rightarrow k^2 - k_z^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2 = k_i^2$$ (1)

Where $k_i$ is a transverse propagation constant. Critical propagation constant is given by ($k^2 - k_z^2 = k_c^2$).

![Figure 1: Longitudinal Cross-Section of symmetric slab waveguide.](image)

3. Guided modes

In a symmetric slab waveguide, there are several kinds of modes that can propagate through the waveguide such as: transverse electric (TE) transverse magnetic (TM) and transverse electric-magnetic (TEM) modes depending on the longitudinal components ($E_z, H_z$) [12]. Now, this work concentrated on two cases (TE and TM modes) in general symmetric slab waveguide but later it's consider only TM-even and -odd modes in the nanoplasmic slab waveguide case.

For TM modes which have; $E_z \neq 0, H_z \neq 0$, the transvers components of the field ($E_x, E_y, H_x, H_y$) are obtained as follows,

$$E_{x,y} = \frac{i}{k_z} \{ k, \partial_{x,y} E_z \}$$ (2)
The electric field propagation in the $z$-direction is zero ($E_z = 0$) in the case of TE mode, therefore one can derive the non-zero components of the fields in a symmetric slab waveguide,

$$H_y = \frac{i}{k_e} (k_e \partial_y H_z) \quad (4)$$

$$E_y = -\frac{i}{k_z^2} (\omega \mu \partial_y H_z) \quad (5)$$

With; $H_y = 0$, and $E_z = 0$.

Furthermore, the non-zero tangential component of the electric field is ($E_y$). On the other side, for TM mode, take into account; $H_z = 0$, to get

$$H_x = \frac{i}{k_e} (\omega \varepsilon \partial_x E_y) \quad (6)$$

$$E_x = -\frac{i}{k_e^2} (k_e \partial_x E_y) \quad (7)$$

With $H_x = 0$, and $E_y = 0$. Then, the non-zero tangential component of the magnetic field is $H_y$. One can write $E_y$ using $H_y$ as following,

$$E_y = -\frac{k}{\omega \varepsilon} H_y \quad (8)$$

4. The wave equation for a symmetric slab waveguide

Consider a symmetry slab waveguide is shown in Figure 2 with Ag-metal as cladding and QD-WL-B (from InAs-InGaAs-GaAs, respectively) as a core region. Maxwell’s equations can be written in terms of the permittivity of materials ($\varepsilon_i$, $i = f, s$), of the layers, assuming that the material of each one is non-magnetic and isotropic, i.e. $\mu_i = \mu_s$. For TE modes: $E_z = 0$ and the non-zero component of the electric field was $E_y$, while for TM modes: $H_z = 0$ and the non-zero component of the magnetic field was $H_y$. Therefore, the wave equation for two cases, after noting $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = ik_z$, can be written as,

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + k_z^2 \right) \{E_y, \mu_y \} = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$k_z^2 = (\omega^2 \mu \varepsilon_i - k_z^2) = k^2 - k_z^2 = k_0^2 n_i^2 - k_z^2 \quad (10)$$
Where $\varepsilon_i$ and $n_i$ are the relative permittivity and refractive index at each layer ($i = f, s$). Since this work specialized waveguide that both cladding and substrate are metal, the film is dielectric i.e. plasmonic slab waveguide. One must deal with TM modes only which are the most confined at the interface of core-metal [13] [14]. The electric field in the core region have an even function (cos($k_x$)), (cosh($k_x$)), and an odd function (sin($k_x$)), (sinh($k_x$)) that is depending on the wavelength. Also, electric field was decay exponentially in the cladding or substrate [15] [16]. Now, the magnetic field for an even and odd mode can be written, respectively, in the form;

$$H_y(x) = e^{ik_x x} \begin{cases} c_e e^{-\alpha (x-d/2)} & \text{at } x \geq d / 2 \\ c_i \cosh(k_x x) & \text{at } |x| \leq d / 2 \\ c_o e^{i(x+d/2)} & \text{at } x \leq -d / 2 \end{cases}$$ (11)

$$H_y(x) = e^{ik_x x} \begin{cases} c_e e^{-\alpha (x-d/2)} & \text{at } x \geq d / 2 \\ c_i \sinh(k_x x) & \text{at } |x| \leq d / 2 \\ c_o e^{i(x+d/2)} & \text{at } x \leq -d / 2 \end{cases}$$ (12)

By substituting Eqs. (11) and (12) into Eq. (9) one can obtain the following relations inside and outside the guide,

$$k_x^2 + k_z^2 = \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon_1 \text{ (inside)}$$ (13)

$$-\alpha^2 + k_z^2 = \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon \text{ (outside)}$$ (14)

Where the dielectric constant of semiconductor is ($\varepsilon_1$), and ($\varepsilon$) is dielectric constant of metal. From Maxwell’s equations one can derive TM mode component of; $E_z(x)$. Note that; $H_y(x) = \hat{y} H_y$, ($H_z = H_z = 0$), and ($E_z \neq 0, E_x \neq 0, E_y = 0$). So, one becomes able to write $H_y$ by $E_z$ and one has ($\partial_z^2 E_z = -k_z^2 E_z$). Then, the wave equation becomes,

$$E_z = i \frac{\partial H_y}{\omega \varepsilon \partial z}$$ (15)

The graphical solution was used to find the propagation constant

($k_z$) after obtaining the eigen-equations by matching the boundary conditions in $H_y$ (Eqs. 11 and 12) and $E_z$ which are continuous at $x = \pm d / 2$. From; (Eqs. 13 and 14) one must eliminated $k_z$. The graphical solution [17] [18] gives $\alpha$ and $k_z$ by plot ($ad / 2$) vs. ($k_z d / 2$) plane.
To obtain the normalization constants \((c_\alpha, c_1)\) for the optical mode first, the power \(P_z\) flows along \(z\)-direction in the film layer is given by [12],

\[
P_z(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (E \times H^*) \cdot \hat{z} \, dx \right\} = 1
\]

(16)

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega \epsilon}{k_z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E_z(x)|^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \frac{k_z}{\omega \epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |H_y(x)|^2 \, dx
\]

(17)

Where Eq. (10) was used. Then,

\[
c_1 = \sqrt{\frac{4 \omega^2 \epsilon^2}{k_z^2 (k_z d + \sinh(k_z d))}}
\]

(18)

\[
c_\alpha = c_1 \cosh(k_z d)
\]

(19)

**Figure 2:** General plasmonic nanolaser cavity with \((L)\) length. (A) Longitudinal and (B) transvers cross-section. Active region has a dimensions \((d)\) in \(x\)-direction and \((w)\) in \(y\)-direction. (C) Active region of plasmonic QD nanolaser structure.
5. Optical confinement factor (Γ)

In this section, let’s going to derive the optical confinement factor in general formula and later it’s specialized to plasmonic nanocavity waveguide. The optical confinement factor is defined as the ratio of the squared electric field that is restricted in the active region. Derivation of the optical confinement factor can be found from Maxwell's equations for TM modes, following a procedure similar to [16]. Depending on field components, non-zero components that are working into the waveguide are \( E_x \) and \( H_y \), and optical confinement factor is called energy confinement factor (\( \Gamma_E \)), which have values that must be less than unity (i.e. \( \Gamma_E < 1 \)) because an optical modes cannot overlap perfectly with the active region [7]. This condition holds in plasmonic cavities in which the real part of the metal permittivity is negative [19]. The energy confinement factor is given by,

\[
\Gamma_E = \Gamma_x \Gamma_{EJ}
\]

(20)

Where \( \Gamma_{EJ} \) is the transverse energy confinement factor, which is written in terms of nonzero component of TM modes \( E_x \) as:

\[
\Gamma_{EJ} = \frac{\int_A \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} |E_x(x)|^2 \, dx}{\int_A \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} |E_s(x)|^2 \, dx}
\]

(21)

While \( \Gamma_x \) is a longitudinal confinement factor. For its derivation, suppose that the waveguide as in Figure 1 (c). In the active region, assuming the solutions is of the form,

\[
U_o(z) = \begin{cases} 
A \cosh(k_\zeta z) & \text{(for even solutions)} \\
A \sinh(k_\zeta z) & \text{(for odd solutions)} 
\end{cases}
\]

(22)

Where \( U_o \) is the field in the active region, depend on x-direction. The propagation constant is \( k_\zeta = \sqrt{k_o^2n_a^2 - k_s^2} \), with \( k_o \), \( n_a \) and \( k_s \) are the propagation constant in free space, refractive index of the active region, and the complex propagation constant, respectively, as in previous section. The longitudinal confinement factor, \( \Gamma_x \) can be defined as,

\[
\Gamma_x = \frac{1}{L} \left( \int_{-d/2}^{+d/2} |U_o(z)|^2 \, dz \right)
\]

(23)

Where \( L \) and \( d \) are the length and thickness of the active region, respectively. For even modes, one can be obtained,

\[
\Gamma_x = \frac{d}{L_o} \left( \frac{1}{d/2 + \sinh(k_\zeta d/2)} \right)^{1/2} \left( 1 + \frac{\sinh(k_\zeta d)}{(k_\zeta d)} \right)
\]

(24)

While for odd modes,

\[
\Gamma_x = \frac{d}{L_o} \left( \frac{1}{d/2 + \cosh(k_\zeta d/2)} \right)^{1/2} \left( 1 + \frac{\cosh(k_\zeta d)}{(k_\zeta d)} \right)
\]

(25)
The transverse confinement factor $\Gamma_{xy}$ is given by [18],

$$\Gamma_{xy} = \frac{\int_{-d/2}^{d/2} \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} |U_{a}(x,y)|^2 \, dx \, dy}{\int_{-d/2}^{d/2} \int_{-d/2}^{d/2} |U_{a}(x,y)|^2 \, dx \, dy}$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

Which it is obtains by substituting of Eq. (22) into Eqs.(26).

6. Material gain of plasmonic QD nanolaser

In this work, the material gain is calculated for QD plasmonic nanolaser. It depends on the Fermi distributions $f_c, f_v$ in the conduction (CB) and valence bands (VB), respectively.

The MSM plasmonic nanocavity was composed from Ag metal layer covers both the structure sides of the active region which is a semiconductor layer (gain layer), Figure 2 (b). This semiconductor active region is a multilayered QD structure composed from: GaAs (B), InGaAs (WL) and InAs (QD), Figure 2 (c). The barrier was in the form of bulk semiconductor layer. QDs were assumed to be in the form of quantum disks. Using a disk radius of $a=14$ nm and a height of $h=2$ nm, energy subbands in the QD (InAs) and WL (InGaAs) are calculated using the quantum disk model [20]. This model was checked with experiment [5] [21].

![Energy band diagram of the plasmonic QD nanostructure.](image)

Figure 3: Energy band diagram of the plasmonic QD nanostructure.
Figure 3 shows the calculated energy band diagram of the QD nanolaser used in this work. For MSM band alignment, the band-edge discontinuity of Ag/GaAs i.e. M/B was calculated in CB as:

\[ \Delta E_{\text{C}}^{(M/B)} = E^M_c - E^B_c, \]

taking \( E^M_c = Q_m \) with \( Q_m \) is the work function of Ag metal. For B/QD:

\[ \Delta E_{\text{C}}^{(B/QD)} = E^B_c - E^{QD}_c = Q_c \Delta E_c = E^B_c - E^{QD}_c. \]

Note that, \( E^i_c \) and \( E^i_v \) represents CB and VB edges, respectively, with the superscript \( i \) refers to either of M, B, WL, QD layer. QD and WL materials are not much different, so taking the difference between GaAs/InAs to calculate their band edge discontinuity. The band-edges in VB were calculated by the same way using \( Q_v = 1 - Q_c \). Note that \( Q_c \) and \( Q_v \) are the partition ratios of the band edge discontinuities. Since both \( E^B_c \) and \( E^{QD}_c \) are obtained, \( \Delta E_{\text{C}}^{(M/B)} \) can be obtained. The parameters used in the calculations were listed in Table 1. It also contains some of the calculated parameters in the current work.

| Parameter                              | Value    | Unit    |
|----------------------------------------|----------|---------|
| Bandgap Energy of InAs QD              | 0.354 eV |         |
| background refractive index of InAs QD | nb=1.5   |         |
| Electron effective mass                | mfe=0.023 mo |       |
| Heavy Hole Mass of InAs                | mhh=0.400 mo |       |
| Electron Effective Mass of InGaAs      | mwle=0.03 mo |       |
| Heavy Hole Mass of InGaAs              | mwhh=0.4600 mo |     |
| Electron Effective Mass of GaAs        | meB=0.067 mo |       |
| Heavy Hole Effective Mass of GaAs      | mb=0.333 mo |         |
| Electron Effective Mass of Ag metal    | mm=0.99 mo |         |
| Heavy Hole Effective Mass of Ag metal  | mm=mo    |         |
| Barrier layer thickness                | tB=10 nm |         |
| Ag metal layer thickness               | tM=3 nm  |         |
| Ag work function                      | Qm=4.64 eV |        |
| Ariel density of QDs                   | Nd=5e12 m² |        |
| disc height                           | h=3 nm   |         |
| Carrier density                       | n2d=3.2e12 m² |       |
| Spectral variance of QDs               | \( \sigma = 0.05 \) eV |       |
| Variance of the linewidth              | \( \gamma = 0.05 \) eV |       |

**Table 1:** Some of parameters used to simulate plasmonic QD nanolaser. Some of calculated states are also listed.

\[
g(h\omega) = \frac{\pi e^2}{h_g e_c m_e \omega} \sum_i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE' |M_{o\omega}|^2 |\vec{p}_i| |\vec{p}_f| L(E', h\omega)[f_v(E', F_v) - f_v(E', F_v)]
\]

The optical transitions are occur between \( (e_1-hh_1) \), \( (e_2-hh_2) \) and \( (e_3-hh_3) \), respectively where \( (e_i-hh_i) \) with the subscript \( i=1, 2, 3 \) refers to the \( i^{th} \) conduction electron-heavy hole transitions. The material gain is calculated from the relation [20],
The summation runs over all the radiative transitions. The terms $\omega, n_b, c, \varepsilon_o, m_o, E'$ and $M_{\text{env}}$ are the angular optical frequency, the background refractive index of the material, the speed of light in free space, the permittivity of free space, the free electron mass, the optical transition energy, and the envelope function overlap between the QD electron and hole states, respectively. It is assumed that the envelope function overlap is nearly unity between the QD electron and hole states of the same quantum numbers [20]. The term $D(E')$ represents the inhomogeneous broadening of QDs, which is the density of states of self-assembled QDs. When the spectral variance of QDs is $\sigma$, and the transition energy at the QD maximum distribution of the $i^{th}$ optical transition is $E_{\text{max}}^i$, the $D(E')$ is given by [20],

$$D(E') = \frac{s^i}{V_{\text{det}}^i} \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{(E' - E^i_{\text{max}})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

Where; $s^i$ represents the number of degeneracy at each QD state. For QDs: $s^i = 2$ for the ground state, and $s^i = 4$ for other excited states. The term $V_{\text{det}}^i$ represents the effective volume of QDs. If the areal density of QDs is $N_D$ and the average height of QDs is $h$, then, the effective volume is expressed as $V_{\text{det}}^i = h/N_D$. Note that this density of states automatically gives the material gain $g($h$\omega)$ per QD layer.

In QDs, inhomogeneity arises from both shape and size fluctuations due to growth technique that is considered. The simulation was done in this work for the self-organized growing dots. For this reason the Lorentzian line shape function is not accurate and the Gaussian line shape function is used instead in the QD gain relation in Eq. (28). When the variance of the linewidth is defined as $\gamma$, the Gaussian lineshape function is given by [20],

$$L_g(E',\hbar\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\gamma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(E' - \hbar\omega)^2}{2\gamma^2}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

7. TM mode momentum matrix element of QD nanolaser

In Eq. (28), the term $|\hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p}_o|$ is the momentum matrix of QDs and it depends on the polarization of the light. Because of this work dealing with metal-coated structure, only the momentum matrix element for TM polarization in the case of $e - h$ transition was taken. To derive it, first, the momentum matrix element of QDs can be written from the relation [17],

$$\langle \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p}_{e-hh} \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi |\hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p}_{e-hh} |^2 = \frac{3}{2} M_{\epsilon}^2 \sin^2 \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

Where $M_{\epsilon}^2 = \left(\frac{m_{\epsilon}}{\hbar^2}\right) E_{\epsilon}$, and $E_{\epsilon}$ is optical matrix energy parameter. The angular factor $\cos^2 \theta$ can be related to the electron or hole wave vectors in the y-direction as follows [22],

$$\cos^2 \theta = \frac{E_{\text{sym}}}{E_{\text{coul}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)
with $E_{\text{sym}}$ is the CB energy in the y-direction and $E_{\text{cond}}$ is that of the quantum dots (in the all dimensions). As a result, the momentum matrix elements of the QD nanolaser, for TM mode becomes,

$$\left\langle \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{x} \right\rangle = \frac{3}{2} (1 - \cos^2 \theta) M_b^2 = \frac{3}{2} \left(1 - \frac{E_{\text{sym}}}{E_{\text{cond}}} \right) M_b^2$$

(32)

8. Waveguide Fermi Energy

In order to calculate the net modal gain for plasmonic nanostructure, band parameters must be set. Thus, the band alignment for InAs (QD)/InGaAs (WL)/GaAs (barrier)/Ag (metal) layers was done as stated above. Since the optical gain of the plasmonic QD nanolaser was investigated in this study at room temperature, the carrier distribution can be assumed to be in quasi-equilibrium. For the QD nanolaser structure under study, one can determine quasi-Fermi levels in the CB ($F_e$) and VB ($F_v$) numerically from the surface carrier density per QD layer as follow:

$$n_{2D} = N_s \sum_i \frac{s_i}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i}} \int e^{-(E_{e,-E_i})^2/2\sigma_i^2} \frac{1}{1 + e^{(E_{e,-E_i})/k_BT}} dE_e$$

$$+ \sum_i \sqrt{m_i^* k_BT} \ln(1 + e^{(E_{e,-E_i})/k_BT})$$

$$+ t_B \int \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left( \frac{2m^n_h}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \sqrt{(E_{e} - E_{c})^2 - E_{c}^2} \frac{1}{1 + e^{(E_{e,-E_i})/k_BT}} dE_e$$

$$+ t_M \int \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left( \frac{2m^M_h}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \sqrt{(E_{e} - E_{c})^2 - E_{c}^2} \frac{1}{1 + e^{(E_{e,-E_i})/k_BT}} dE_e$$

(33)

$$p_{2D} = n_{2D} = N_s \sum_i \frac{s_i}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i}} \int e^{-(E_{e,-E_i})^2/2\sigma_i^2} \frac{1}{1 + e^{(E_{e,-E_i})/k_BT}} dE_h$$

$$+ \sum_i \frac{m_i^* k_BT}{\pi\hbar} \ln(1 + e^{(E_{e,-E_i})/k_BT})$$

$$+ t_B \int \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left( \frac{2m^n_h}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \sqrt{(E_{h} - E_{c})^2 - E_{c}^2} \frac{1}{1 + e^{(E_{e,-E_i})/k_BT}} dE_h$$

$$+ t_M \int \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left( \frac{2m^M_h}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \sqrt{(E_{h} - E_{c})^2 - E_{c}^2} \frac{1}{1 + e^{(E_{e,-E_i})/k_BT}} dE_h$$

(34)

The electrons and holes surface densities per QD layer are $n_{2D}$ and $p_{2D}$, respectively. $E_{e}^D$ and $\sigma_e$ are the $i^{th}$ maximum and the spectral variance of the QD electron distribution, respectively. In the same manner, $E_{h}^D$ and $\sigma_h$ are the $i^{th}$ maximum and the spectral variance of the QD heavy-hole distribution. The terms $E_{e}$ ($E_{h}$), $m^n_h$, $m^M_h$, $E_{el}$ ($E_{lm}$), $t_B$, $m^n_h$ ($m^B_h$), $E_{e}^B$ ($E_{h}^B$), $t_M$, $m^n_h$ ($m^M_h$), $E_{e}^M$ ($E_{h}^M$) are the QD subband energy in the CB (VB), the effective electron (hole) mass, the subband edge of the CB (VB) of the InGaAs wetting layer (WL), the thickness of the GaAs barrier layer, the carrier masses, the band edge of the CB (VB) of the GaAs barrier layer, the thickness of the Ag metal layer, the carrier mass and the band edge of the CB (VB) of the Ag metal layer, respectively. Relations of conventional QD laser that covers B, WL, and QD contributions are only discussed in [17] [8]. In this work, Eqs. (34) and (35) are covering the metal contribution to QD nanolaser gain. In this work it’s suitable calling $F_e$ and $F_v$ in these relations as “waveguide Fermi energies”.
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9. Modal Gain $g_M$

Modal gain $g_M$ can be defined as the material gain that adjusted to take into account the little overlap that always exists between the optical mode and the electron envelope function in the active region [23]. The modal gain is equal to the material gain multiple by the confinement factor. Modal gain $g_M$ can be written by taking into account the waveguide optical confinement factor, $\Gamma_{wg}$, which is approximated by $\Gamma_{xy}$ [7]. Then,

$$ g_M(\hbar\omega) = \Gamma_{xy} g(\hbar\omega) \quad (35) $$

where $g(\hbar\omega)$ is the material gain.

10. Results and discussion

Figure 4 (a) and (b) shows TM modes of $E_z(x)$ where an even and odd function behavior was seen. Figure 5 (a) shows the energy confinement factor (ECF) in the transverse direction ($\Gamma_{E_z}$), where the confinement was reduced with energy. The energy confinement factors for even and odd modes will diverge with transition energy. This behavior was contradicted to the confinement factor along $z$-axis, $\Gamma_z$, in Figure 5 (b). Figure 5 (c) illustrated the total ECF where it was increased with photon energy spectrum. The even and odd curves of total ECF are separated at high energy. Figure 6 show the optical confinement factor in the transverse direction ($\Gamma_{xy}$) where it was very low (three orders) comparing with ECF. One can see in Figure 7 the net modal gain for conventional QD structure (QD-WL-B). The peak net modal gain of QD-WL-B was $100 cm^{-1}$ which is in the range of Kim and Chuang results [20]. Figure 8 clarifies the net modal gain for plasmonic QD nanolaser structure (QD-WL-B-M). Note that the value of QD-WL-M gain was in the range of [7] who also deals with the MSM structure (but with bulk active region). Note that the value of gain obtained here overcomes the electron scattering losses ($10^{13}$ fs) [24]. To see the reason for this high gain, the Fermi energies in the conduction (Fc) and valence (Fv) bands were plotted versus surface carrier density for conventional plasmonic QD nanolaser. The figure also show the main difference that this work differs from others [6] [9] which deals with plasmonic structures. In [6] [9] Li and Ning ascribe the high modal gain to the slow-down of the average energy that propagates in the structure which gives a high confinement factor. In the present study, metal contribution was included in the Fermi energy calculations of QD nanolaser. Already only the active region was considered for Fermi energy calculations in conventional QD laser, for example see [8] [20]. Addition of metal was rearranged the Fermi energy as discussed by the literature discussing MSM structures [10] [11]. For the first time, our team added Fermi energy that covers metal contribution and that is calling in this work as; “waveguide Fermi energy”.
Figure 4: Electric field profiles, $E_z(x)$ versus core thickness for: (a) even modes (b) odd modes, in plasmonic QD nanostructure.

Figure 5: (a) Transverse, (b) axial (or longitudinal), and (c) total Energy confinement factor versus photon energy.
Figure 6: The optical confinement factor for even (blue curve) and odd (red curve) modes versus photon energy.

Figure 7: Net modal gain of QD-WL-B (red curve), structures are plotted versus photon energy.

Figure 8: Net modal gain of QD-WL-B-M (blue curve), structures are plotted versus photon energy.

Figure 9: CB quasi Fermi levels in (QD-WL-B) structures.

Figure 9 shows Fermi energy in CB, $F_c$, for the two structures studied. For the conventional QD laser i.e. QD-WL-B structure, a high $F_c$ was obtained. Covering the structure by a metal (Ag) which is the case of QD plasmonic nanolaser structure i.e. QD-WL-B-M, the waveguide Fermi energy $F_c$ curve was same as the first case of conventional laser (QD-WL-B). Figure 10 plots the Fermi energy in VB, $F_v$, of conventional QD (QD-WL-B) structure as in blue curve compared with that of plasmonic QD nanolaser structure (QD-WL-B-M) as in maroon curve. $F_v$ in conventional, QD-WL-B, structure was shown near the top of VB. After adding the metal (QD-WL-B-M structure) i.e. the waveguide Fermi energy of plasmonic QD nanolaser structure, $F_v$ goes down deeper than $F_v$ in
conventional structure by approximately 72 meV. So the main change in $F_c$ comes from adding metal. This may be attributed to the work function of metal which makes Fermi level de-pinning.

From Figs. 9 and 10, the smallest $F_c$ for QD-WL-B in Figure 9 was 855 meV at $0.1 \times 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-2}$ carrier density, while the highest $F_c$ was 942 meV at $3 \times 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-2}$. $F_v=-255$ meV for QD-WL-B and $-332$ meV for QD-WL-B-M. So, the smallest transparency points ($F_c-F_v$) in conventional and plasmonic structures were 1110 meV, 1187 meV, respectively, while their highest transparency points were 1197 meV, 1274 meV i.e. the difference between the smallest and highest transparency points was 87 meV. In plasmonic structure, the transparency point becomes wider by 77 meV than conventional one. This means that covering the structure with metal was permitted most of the active region transitions to contribute as a beneficial transitions. This was in the contrary to the conventional QD laser structures, where not all of them were contributed since some of them were below the transparency. In another words, in Figs. 9 and 10, $F_v$ in QD-WL-B-M structure goes deeper in VB than conventional QD-WL-B structure. Thus, for Fermi levels in metallic guiding structures de-pinning $F_v$ in VB means that the transparency energy ($F_c-F_v$) lies near the VB edge of GaAs barrier. So, WL in QD-WL-B-M works as a reservoir for VB QD states and they are fully occupied which refers to an efficient hole contribution. This gives higher gain in these structures. Conventional QD structures suffer from weak hole contribution (which requires p-doping) [8]. The results obtained here were coinciding with the conclusion of [25]. They find experimentally that when metal/semiconductor contact resistivity was reduced the performance was improved. Schottky barrier heights ($\phi_m$) must be reduced to get a low resistivity. Pinning Fermi-level close to VB in this work stiffs the low $\phi_m$ [25].

Although the optical confinement factor was changed by adding metal as coming along with the results of Chuang and Chang in [7]. It is not explains the net gain increment, see Figure 6. Therefore, plotting the material gain for these two structures in Figs. 11 and 12. For QD-WL-B, Figure 11 simulates the results that are obtained by conventional QD laser and its values are in the range of that in [8] [20].

For QD-WL-B-M, Figure 12 gives result that not justified by conventional QD laser. Returning to Figs. 9 and 10, they are obviously showing the effect of cladding the structure by metal. The metal was rearranged the Fermi energy, pinning it to a higher value, and then changing the material gain dramatically.
11. Conclusions

This work deals with modal gain in plasmonic QD nanolaser. TM modal gain and waveguide confinement factor were modeled. Metal contribution was covered through the waveguide Fermi energy. High net modal gain was obtained when the waveguide Fermi energy was taken into account.
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