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Abstract  
The Covid-19 pandemic has an impact on SMEs in Bantul, Yogyakarta. During a pandemic, SMEs rely on digital marketing to access consumers. This study aims to examine the effect of digital marketing capabilities on innovation capabilities, the influence of digital marketing capabilities on marketing performance, and innovation capabilities on marketing performance. The research was conducted on SMEs that produce batik at the Giriloyo batik center and the Wijirejo batik center, Bantul, Yogyakarta. Respondents of this study believe 81 SMEs were selected by cluster sampling. The research instrument used a questionnaire and the data were processed using SPSS and WarpPLS. The results of this study found that digital marketing capabilities have a significant effect on innovation capabilities, digital marketing capabilities have a significant effect on marketing performance, and innovation capabilities have a significant effect on marketing performance. The results of this study are useful for SMEs to improve their digital marketing capabilities and the government to participate in providing technical training related to online sales.
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I. INTRODUCTION

SMEs engaged in batik production experienced the impact of the Covid-19 disaster, including the Giriloyo and Wijirejo batik centers in Bantul, Yogyakarta. Based on the results of observations and interviews with Batik entrepreneur in the area on April 15-16 2020, they felt the impact of the corona disaster, even though not all SMEs had a negative impact. The impacts experienced vary, ranging from decreased sales, inefficient production due to rising raw material prices, delays in product distribution, and several other impacts that resulted in decreased sales. Many factors affect the performance of SMEs, including internal factors and external factors. Internal factors such as innovation, digital marketing capability,
entrepreneurship, and relation capability. External factors that are felt are uncertain environmental conditions.

This study aims to determine the effect of Digital Marketing Capability on Innovation, Innovation on Marketing Performance, and Digital Marketing Capability on Marketing Performance. This research was conducted during the Covid-19 pandemic so it is unique compared to previous studies. This research is important to do to describe the internal factors of entrepreneurs that affect the performance of SMEs.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

II.1. Resource-Based View

The relationship between concepts in this study refers to the Resource-Based View (RBV). RBV analyzes and interprets organizational resources to understand how the organization achieves a sustainable competitive advantage to achieve the specified performance. RBV focuses on the concept of company attributes that are difficult to imitate as a source of superior performance and competitive advantage (Barney, 1991; Hamel and Prahalad, 1996). Resources that cannot be easily transferred or purchased, which require a long learning curve or major changes in organizational climate and culture, are more likely to be unique to the organization and, therefore, more difficult for competitors to imitate. According to Conner (1991), the variance in performance between firms depends on input ownership and unique abilities. The ability to apply digital marketing and entrepreneurial orientation creates unique products in the form of innovation so that it becomes a company advantage that has implications for performance. External factors also have an impact on company excellence so that it also has implications for performance. In the context of this research, the external environment is in the form of environmental uncertainty which is expected to hinder performance.

II.2. Digital Marketing Capability

Digital marketing capability in this study refers to the company's ability to utilize digital media as part of a marketing strategy, especially market-oriented products, distribution networks, and promotions. Ellonen (2012) defines Digital Marketing Capability as The ability of businesses to market their products and services by using digital technology in obtaining customers, promoting brands, retaining customers, and increasing sales. Digital marketing has several advantages over conventional marketing, including coverage. Digital marketing can expand the market with online-based technology so that it is more efficient. Besides that, digital marketing also makes it easier for companies to find creative ideas so that companies can come up with new, innovative products. Wardaya et al. (2019) reveal the dimensions of Digital Marketing Capability include Customer Information, Customer Needs, Customer Relations, and Customer Communication.

II.3. Innovation Capability

Innovation capability is the ability to develop new products according to market demand (Adler & Shenbar, 1990). Innovation is an important organizational capability because the
success of new products is a growth engine that has an impact on increasing sales, profits, and the strength of competition (Battor & Battor, 2010; Sivadas & Dwyer, 2000). Innovation includes the process, product, and organization of new ideas (Hult et al., 2004). Thornhill (2006) describes the steps of innovation starting from finding ideas, developing findings, introducing new products and services in the market (Thornhill, 2006).

II.3. Digital Marketing Capability, Innovation, and Marketing Performance

The development of marketing, financial, production, and human resource capabilities is an important aspect of creating innovation in small or medium-sized companies (Baldwin, 1995). Marketing capability is correlated with innovation (Weerawardena, 2003). Marketing ability is considered important in driving company performance (Day, 1994; Morgan et al., 2009; O'Cass & Ngo, 2011). Wisdom (2015) and Onyango (2016) found that digital marketing has a positive effect on performance. Binter (2019) also revealed that digital marketing drives sales success. Based on this description, the following hypothesis is formulated:

H1. Digital Marketing Capability has a significant effect on Innovation.
H2. Digital Marketing Capability has a significant effect on Marketing Performance.

II.4. Innovation and Marketing Performance

A study conducted by Tsai and Tsai (2010) concluded that innovation significantly and positively affects performance. A study conducted by Battor and Battor (2010) found a strong relationship between innovation ability and performance. Sulistyo and Siyamtinah (2016) concluded that SME innovation has a significant positive effect on SME performance. In the context of this study, the performance dimensions of SMEs are measured from a marketing perspective.

H3. Innovation has a significant effect on Marketing Performance.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This type of research is explanatory research, namely research that explains the causal relationship (cause and effect) between variables through hypothesis testing as well as explanations. The approach used in analyzing this research is quantitative (Kerlinger, 2003). This research was conducted in the district of Bantul, Yogyakarta, Indonesia. The population in this study were the batik SMEs in the Giriloyo batik center and the Wijirejo batik center. The population of this research is 810 Batik UKM. The research sample consisted of 81 respondents who were selected by cluster sampling technique. The research variables consist of 1) Digital Marketing Capability (X), Innovation Capability (Y1), and Marketing Performance (Y2).
Performance (Y2). The variable digital marketing capability is measured according to Wardaya et al. (2019), the innovation capability variable based on Thornhill (2006), and the Marketing Performance variable adapting Battor and Battor (2010) and Sulistyo & Siyamtinah (2016). Data were collected using a questionnaire and each item was measured by a Likert scale, which was graded from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (5). The validity and reliability of the questionnaire were tested on 30 respondents before being used for all respondents. Data were analyzed using WarpPLS 6.0 (Kock, 2015) to determine the influence between variables in the structural model.

IV. FINDING AND DISCUSSION

IV. 1. Validity and reliability of the instrument
The research instrument testing was carried out on 30 Batik SMEs in Giriloyo and Wijirejo batik centers. The results of the research instrument test show that the correlation coefficient value of each item with a total item ≥ 0.3 so it can be stated that the instrument produces valid data (Sekaran, 2011). The test results also show that the Cronbach's Alpha coefficient is ≥ 0.6, so it can be stated that the instrument is reliable (Malhotra, 2010).

Table 1: Result of instrument validity test

| Variable                    | Indicator                                      | Correlation Coefficient | Cronbach’s α |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| Digital Marketing Capability (X) | Customer Information                          | 0.454                   | 0.777         |
|                             | Customer Needs                                | 0.662                   |               |
|                             | Customer Relations                            | 0.768                   |               |
|                             | Customer Communication                        | 0.562                   |               |
| Innovation Capability (Y1)  | Ability to create new products innovation     | 0.745                   | 0.853         |
|                             | Ability to create new services                | 0.735                   |               |
| Marketing Performance (Y2)  | Increased market coverage                     | 0.885                   | 0.893         |
|                             | Addition of new market segments               | 0.866                   |               |
|                             | Increase in the total number of subscribers   | 0.894                   |               |
|                             | An increase in the number of new customers    | 0.842                   |               |
|                             | Sales increase                                | 0.844                   |               |

Table 2. Characteristics of the sample (% of respondents, n = 81)

| Gender       |       |
|--------------|-------|
| Male         | 76.54 |
| Female       | 23.46 |
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Table 2 shows that the majority of respondents are male (76.54%), most of the entrepreneurs are in the 26-45 age category (60.46%). Most of the companies were > 10 years old (45.67%) and most of the respondents were married (83.95%).

IV.3. Final Structural Model

The measurement results of the fit and quality indices model show that all items are by the requirements in the WarpPLS (Kock, 2015).

Table 3. Hypothesis testing results

| Relations between variables         | Coefficient | $p$-value | Description | Hypothesis Decision |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|
| Digital Marketing Capability       | Innovation Capability | 0.663     | < 0.000     | Significant         | Accepted            |
| Digital Marketing Capability       | Marketing Performance  | 0.325     | < 0.000     | Significant         | Accepted            |
| Innovation Capability              | Marketing Performance  | 0.552     | < 0.000     | Significant         | Accepted            |

Figure 2. Final Structural Model

V. CONCLUSION AND FURTHER RESEARCH

V.1. The Influence of Digital Marketing Capability on Innovation

The results of this study reveal that innovation capability is influenced by digital marketing capability. The results of this study strengthen the RBV argument (Barney, 1991; Hamel and
Prahalad, 1996). RBV focuses on the concept of company attributes that are difficult to imitate as a source of superior performance and competitive advantage. One of the advantages the company has is innovation. These results support the research of Baldwin (1995). These results also support the study of Weerawardena (2003) which concluded that there is a very strong influence of marketing capabilities on innovation.

V.2. The Effect of Digital Marketing Capability on Marketing Performance
The results of this study found that digital marketing capability affects marketing performance. The results of this study reinforce RBV (Barney, 1991; Hamel and Prahala, 1996) which states that company resources are a source of company performance. The results of this study are also consistent with previous studies (Day, 1994; Morgan et al., 2009; O'Cass & Ngo, 2011; Wisdom, 2015; Onyango, 2016; Binter, 2019). Marketing ability is considered important in driving company performance. Digital marketing capability has a positive effect on sales success.

V.3. The Influence of Innovation on Marketing Performance
These results indicate that innovation capability affects marketing performance. By RBV (Barney, 1991; Hamel and Prahala, 1996), innovation capability is one of the company's resources that can create unique service goods that have implications for company performance. The results of this study support the research of Tsai and Tsai (2010). Battor and Battor (2010) have also revealed a strong relationship between innovation capabilities and performance. Sulistiyo and Siyamdinah (2016) also found that the performance of SMEs is determined by the company's innovation ability.

This study has several limitations. First, the research was conducted only on batik SMEs. Second, this study is cross-sectional. Further research is proposed to examine more broadly other aspects that influence innovation during the Covid-19 pandemic. Further research is also expected to expand the research location and research object. Future studies can also compare the results of research during this pandemic with studies during normal times.
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