Multi-OMIC profiling of survival and metabolic signaling networks in cells subjected to photodynamic therapy
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Abstract Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is an established palliative treatment for perihilar cholangiocarcinoma that is clinically promising. However, tumors tend to regrow after PDT, which may result from the PDT-induced activation of survival pathways in sublethally afflicted tumor cells. In this study, tumor-comprising cells (i.e., vascular endothelial cells, macrophages, perihilar cholangiocarcinoma cells, and EGFR-overexpressing epidermoid cancer cells) were treated with the photosensitizer zinc phthalocyanine that was encapsulated in cationic liposomes (ZPCLs). The post-PDT survival pathways and metabolism were studied following sublethal (LC50) and supralethal (LC90) PDT. Sublethal PDT induced survival signaling in perihilar cholangiocarcinoma (SK-ChA-1) cells via mainly HIF-1-, NF-κB-, AP-1-, and heat shock factor (HSF)-mediated pathways. In contrast, supralethal PDT damage was associated with a dampened survival response. PDT-subjected SK-ChA-1 cells downregulated proteins associated with EGFR signaling, particularly at LC90. PDT also affected various components of glycolysis and the tricarboxylic acid cycle as well as metabolites involved in redox signaling. In conclusion, sublethal PDT activates multiple pathways in tumor-associated cell types that transcriptionally regulate cell survival, proliferation, energy metabolism, detoxification, inflammation/angiogenesis, and metastasis. Accordingly, tumor cells sublethally afflicted by PDT are a major therapeutic culprit. Our multi-omic analysis further unveiled multiple druggable targets for pharmacological co-intervention.
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Introduction Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is a non-to-minimally invasive treatment modality for solid cancers that entails the photosensitization of a tumor using light-sensitive compounds called photosensitizers. After the photosensitizer molecules have sufficiently accumulated in the target tissue, the tumor is illuminated with light to activate the photosensitizer molecules [1]. Activated photosensitizers interact with molecular oxygen through energy or electron
transfer, leading to the photochemical production of singlet oxygen and superoxide anion, respectively. These reactive oxygen species (ROS) subsequently attack biomolecules in the vicinity of their production site and induce a state of hyperoxidative stress in the illuminated tumor cells in case of an optimal PDT regimen. The oxidative damage in turn results in tumor cell death, microvascular shutdown and corollary tumor hypoxia and hyponutrition, and induction of an anti-tumor immune response (reviewed in [2]), altogether culminating in tumor destruction and removal.

Some types of cancers respond well to PDT and are associated with excellent cure rates, including esophageal carcinoma [3] and basal cell carcinoma [4]. In contrast, the cure rates for nasopharyngeal carcinoma [5] and superficial recurrent urothelial carcinoma HCl [6, 7] are suboptimal with respect to PDT and warrant improvement. Moreover, non-resectable perihilar cholangiocarcinomas respond better to PDT than to any other last-line treatment such as chemotherapy [8], but all available treatments (including PDT) are currently palliative and not curative. The recalcitrant nature of these tumor types to PDT is believed to stem from the use of photosensitizers with suboptimal spectral properties and poor pharmacokinetics as well as the activation of cell survival pathways by tumor cells following PDT [2, 9].

To resolve these issues with a single therapeutic modality, we have developed a 4th-generation photosensitizer-based PDT platform that aims to target pharmacologically relevant locations in the tumor, namely the tumor cells [10], the tumor endothelium [11–13], and the tumor interstitium [14]. The platform employs a 2nd-generation photosensitizer (zinc phthalocyanine, ZnPC) encapsulated in targeted liposomes (making it a 3rd-generation photosensitizer, which was employed in this study) with co-encapsulated molecular inhibitors of survival pathways (making it a 4th-generation photosensitizer) [2, 9–14]. Previously, we demonstrated that PDT of human skin and bile duct cancer cells with liposomal ZnPC and acriflavine, an inhibitor of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α) [15], increases therapeutic efficacy by down-modulation of HIF-1α-driven survival signaling following PDT [11, 13]. In light of this combined therapy and the broader scope of applicability of the PDT platform technology, it is imperative to map post-PDT survival pathways [9] for every liposomal formulation so as to identify druggable targets beyond those already tested [9]. So far we have mapped PDT-activated survival pathways with respect to the interstitially targeted ZnPC-liposomes [16], but not yet for the endothelium- and tumor cell-targeting liposomes.

Of the three different liposomal formulations that were developed, the most promising is the tumor endothelium-targeting ZnPC formulation. These liposomes, which are cationic and PEGylated, are taken up by cultured endothelial cells [14], macrophages (manuscript in preparation), and tumor cells [11, 13, 14], enabling multi-targeted delivery of the photosensitizer to key locations. Moreover, the liposomes are relatively non-toxic in the absence of light (this study), but become highly toxic to cultured cells upon illumination in the low nanomolar photosensitizer concentration range [11, 13]. Finally, ZnPC distributes to multiple intracellular loci after uptake of the liposomes [17, 18], from which different cell death pathways but also cell survival pathways are activated [2]. In preliminary experiments it was discovered that epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), a receptor overexpressed in a multitude of cancers [19] including perihilar cholangiocarcinoma [20, 21], was afflicted by PDT with ZnPC-liposomes. EGFR constitutes an important druggable target in cancer therapy, as evidenced by the approval status of the monoclonal antibodies cetuximab and panitumumab, as well as the kinase inhibitors gefitinib and erlotinib [22]. This study therefore examined the cell survival pathways induced by ZnPc-encapsulating PEGylated cationic liposomes (ZPCLs) in tumor parenchymal and non-parenchymal cell types using a multi-omics approach: transcriptomics, (phospho)proteomics, and metabolomics. The cells that were employed are human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) as a model for vascular endothelium; RAW 264.7 murine macrophages as a model for tumor resident macrophages; human biliary adenocarcinoma (SK-ChA-1) cells as model for PDT-recalcitrant perihilar cholangiocarcinomas; and EGFR-overexpressing human epidermoid carcinoma (A431) cells to further elaborate on the preliminary experimental results. The studies were performed at supralethal light dose (90% lethal concentration, LC90), reflective of cells fully affected by PDT, and at sublethal light dose (LC50), representative of cells in the distant and peripheral portions of the illuminated tumor, where the fluence rates are insufficient due to light absorption and scattering [23]. Therapeutically, the low-fluence sites are the most important tumor regions because survival signaling is expected to predominate, which may negatively impact therapeutic outcome and facilitate tumor recurrence as has been observed in PDT-treated patients [24].

The most important results of the study were that (1) ZPCLs were not toxic in vitro, which is key for clinical translation, (2) sublethal PDT was associated with extensive survival signaling, which is detrimental to therapeutic outcome, (3) PDT resulted in downregulation of proteins involved in EGFR signaling and cell adhesion, in particular after optimal PDT, and (4) sublethal and optimal PDT both downregulated metabolic pathways involved in energy production, including glycolysis and the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle. The latter two findings are chiefly advantageous for therapeutic efficacy.
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Materials and methods

Chemicals

1,2-Dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC) and 3β-[N-(N',N'-dimethylaminoethane)-carboximyl]cholesterol (DC-cholesterol) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA). β-Mercaptoethanol, cholesterol, chloroform, 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-polyethylene glycol (DSPE-PEG, average PEG molecular mass of 2000 amu), ZnPC (97% purity), acetonitrile, 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), potassium carbonate (K2CO3), pyridine, sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium deoxycholate, sodium fluoride, sodium orthovanadate, sulforhodamine B (SRB), tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris), and Triton X-100 were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Glycerol was purchased from Fisher Scientific (Hampton, NH, USA), and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and bromophenol blue were obtained from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Hercules, CA, USA). Methanol, perchloric acid (O4), and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) were from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

All lipids were dissolved in chloroform and stored under a nitrogen atmosphere at –20 °C. ZnPC was dissolved in pyridine at a 178-μM concentration and stored under nitrogen at room temperature (RT) in the dark.

Cell culture

Human epidermoid carcinoma (A431) cells and murine macrophages (RAW 264.7) were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Lonza, Walkersville, MD, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Bodinco, Alkmaar, the Netherlands), 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 2 mM l-glutamine (all from Lonza). Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were isolated as described in [25] and maintained in EndoGro-LS complete culture medium (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). HUVECs were grown in Primaria culture plates (Corning Life Sciences) throughout the study. After reaching confluence, cells were washed with PBS and incubated with ZPCLs in serum-free supplemented phenol red-free medium for 1 h (drug-light interval) at 37 °C under standard culture conditions. Control cells received an equal volume of physiological buffer. The concentrations of ZPCLs that were used for the different cell types are specified in Table S1. Next, cells were washed with PBS and fresh fully supplemented phenol red-free medium was added. Cells were either returned to the incubator (control and dark toxicity) or irradiated with a 671-nm diode laser (CNI, Changchun, China) at a laser power of 500 mW with a fluence of 15 J/cm2. The spot size was set to the exact dimensions of the well (6-wells plate: 9.5 cm2, 24-wells plate: 1.9 cm2). During the application of PDT, cells were maintained at 37 °C using a hotplate (Cat. No. 97042-616, VWR, Radnor, PA, USA).

Preparation of ZPCLs

ZPCLs were composed of DPPC, DC-cholesterol, cholesterol, and DSPE-PEG (66:25:5:4, molar ratio) and prepared by the lipid film hydration technique as described previously [13, 16]. Physiological buffer composed of 10 mM HEPES, 0.88% (w/v) NaCl, pH = 7.4, 0.293 osmol/kg [14] was used as hydration solution. ZnPC was incorporated in the liposomal formulation at a ZnPC:lipid molar ratio of 0.003. Liposomal formulations were purged with nitrogen gas and stored at 4 °C in the dark. Under these conditions the liposomal ZnPC remains stable for at least 56 days [13].

PDT protocol

Cells were seeded in either 6-well (2 mL per well) or 24-well (0.5 mL medium per well) culture plates (Corning Life Sciences) as specified in the corresponding subsections and grown under standard culture conditions. HUVEC, RAW 264.7, SK-ChA-1, and A431 cells were seeded at a density of 0.5 × 105 cells/mL, 0.5 × 106 cells/mL, 0.25 × 106 cells/mL, and 0.5 × 106 cells/mL, respectively, and cultured until confluence in 24 h (48 h for SK-ChA-1 cells). HUVECs were cultured in Primaria culture plates (Corning Life Sciences) throughout the study. After reaching confluence, cells were washed with PBS and incubated with ZPCLs in serum-free supplemented phenol red-free medium for 1 h (drug-light interval) at 37 °C under standard culture conditions. Control cells received an equal volume of physiological buffer. The concentrations of ZPCLs that were used for different cell types are specified in Table S1. Next, cells were washed with PBS and fresh fully supplemented phenol red-free medium was added. Cells were either returned to the incubator (control and dark toxicity) or irradiated with a 671-nm diode laser (CNI, Changchun, China) at a laser power of 500 mW with a fluence of 15 J/cm2. The spot size was set to the exact dimensions of the well (6-wells plate: 9.5 cm2, 24-wells plate: 1.9 cm2). During the application of PDT, cells were maintained at 37 °C using a hotplate (Cat. No. 97042-616, VWR, Radnor, PA, USA).

Cell metabolic activity and viability assays

Cell metabolic activity was assessed using the water-soluble tetrazolium salt (WST-1) reagent (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). Cells were seeded in 24-wells plates and cultured until confluence. After a predetermined time interval following PDT, the culture medium was removed and 300 μL of WST-1-containing serum-free and phenol red-free medium (at a 1:25 volume ratio) was added to the wells. After 30 min of incubation under standard culture conditions, the absorbance was read at 450 nm using 600 nm as a reference wavelength (BioTek Synergy HT multi-well plate reader, Winooski, VT, USA). Data were normalized to the average value of the control cells that was set at a metabolic activity of 100%.
After the measurement, the wells were washed with PBS and the protein content was determined with the SRB total protein assay as described by Vichai et al. [26]. SRB absorbance was read at 564 nm using 690 nm as a reference wavelength (BioTek Synergy HT). Data were normalized to the average value of the control cells that was set at a viability of 100%.

**Whole genome expression analysis**

Cells were seeded in 6-wells plates and cultured until confluence. Cells were treated using the PDT protocol as described in “PDT protocol” (n = 3 per group). Total cellular RNA was extracted using 1 mL of TRIzol (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA samples were purified using the NucleoSpin RNA kit (Machery-Nagel, Düren, Germany) and eluted in 30 µL RNAase-free water. The quality control, RNA labeling, hybridization, and data extraction were performed at ServiceXS (Leiden, the Netherlands). The procedure can be found in [16]. Samples for human cell lines were randomly assigned to three Human-HT12 v4 arrays. For the RAW 264.7 cell line, MouseWG-6 v2 arrays were used with control and vehicle samples on one chip and LC_{50} and LC_{90} samples on a second chip.

**Microarray data preprocessing and analysis**

Microarray data preprocessing and analysis were performed as described previously [16]. In short, each cell line was analyzed separately with Bioconductor packages (version 2.13) using the statistical software package R (version 3.1.0). Normalization was performed starting from the Illumina sample and control probe profiles by a normexp-by-control background correction, quantile normalization, and log₂ transformation (limma package). Probes with a detection P value of >0.05 (non-expressed) on all arrays for the cell line under study were filtered out. Differential expression between the experimental conditions was assessed with a moderated t test using the linear model framework (limma package). Resulting P values were corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate. Corrected P values ≤0.05 were considered statistically significant. Probes were reannotated using the Bioconductor IlluminaHumanv4.db and IlluminaMousev2.db packages. The microarray data have been deposited in NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus in a MIAME compliant format and are accessible under GEO series accession number GSE84758. Microarray data were confirmed using quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) since the qRT-PCR data were in agreement with the microarray data (Fig. S1). This also strongly suggests that, for the RAW 264.7 cells, potentially confounding effects due to systematic differences between chips and biological effects of interest (comparison of LC_{50}/LC_{90} versus control/vehicle) are limited. In addition, a ROAST gene set test [27] was performed on the downstream targets of each survival pathway (Table S2) to statistically determine whether a survival pathway was either upregulated or downregulated using 10,000 rotations with Benjamini-Hochberg-based multiple testing correction of the mid P values.

**qRT-PCR**

RNA was extracted as described in “Whole genome expression analysis”. cDNA synthesis and qRT-PCR reactions were performed as described previously [16]. Primer sequences can be found in Table S3. The quantitative analysis of the qRT-PCR data was performed according to Ruijter et al. [28] to calculate the starting concentration (N₀) of each cDNA template. Gene expression levels were normalized to the expression level of the reference gene ribosomal protein S18 (RPS18). Log₂ fold-changes of the target genes were calculated based on the mean values of the control group.

**Proteomics**

**Harvesting**

SK-ChA-1 cells were seeded in 6-wells plates and cultured until confluence. Cells were treated using the PDT protocol as described in “PDT protocol” (n = 12 per group). Ninety minutes post-PDT, cells were washed three times with 2 mL PBS and 150 µL of lysis buffer [8 M urea, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 50 mM NH₄HCO₃, supplemented with cOmplete Mini protease inhibitor cocktail and phosSTOP (both from Roche)] was added to each well that was ensued by 30-min incubation on ice. Lysates were scraped, collected, pooled (to yield n = 4 per treatment group), and centrifuged for 15 min at 20,000×g. The supernatant was stored at −80 °C for further analysis. Protein concentrations were determined with the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

**Affinity purification and digestion**

For each sample, 400 µg of proteins was reduced by incubating with 2 µL of 1 M DTT at 56 °C for 25 min, alkylated by adding 4 µL of 200 mM IAA for 30 min at RT in the dark, and digested by Lys-C (enzyme:protein ratio of 1:75) for 4 h at 37 °C. Samples were then diluted four times with 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ and digested overnight at 37 °C with trypsin (enzyme:protein ratio of 3:100). Next, 100 µL of acetic acid was added to each sample to
precipitate sodium deoxycholate, after which the samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 20,000×g. The obtained digests were desalted using 1 cc Sep-Pak C18 cartridges. Phosphoenrichment was performed with Ti-IMAC micro-columns with 250 μg of digests following the protocol previously described in detail [29], while the rest of the digests was kept for proteome analysis.

**NanoLC–MS/MS analysis**

Phosphoproteome and proteome were analyzed by NanoLC-MS/MS using an Agilent 1100 HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) coupled to a Q Exactive Plus Orbitrap (Thermo Scientific) mass spectrometer. Peptides were trapped at 5 μL/min in 100% solvent A (0.1 M acetic acid in water) on an in-house packed 20 mm × 100 μm ID trapping column (ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ, 3 μm, Dr. Maisch, Ammerbuch, Germany) and then transferred to an in-house packed 50-cm × 50-μm ID analytical column (Poroshell 120 EC-C18, 2.7 μm, Agilent Technologies) maintained at 40 °C. The gradient used for proteome analysis ranged from 10% to 40% solvent B [0.1 M acetic acid in 82:18 (v/v) acetonitrile/water] in 180 min at ~100 nL/min, whereas the gradient for phosphopeptides ranged from 4% to 40% in 120 min. The eluent was sprayed via distal coated emitter tips (New Objective, Woburn, MA, USA) connected to the analytical column. The Q Exactive Plus was operated in data-dependent mode, automatically switching between MS and MS/MS. Full-scan MS spectra (from m/z 350 to 1500) were acquired in the Orbitrap with a resolution of 60,000 at m/z 400 (after accumulation to a target value of 500,000). The 20 most intense ions at a threshold above m/z 500 were successively selected and fragmented in HCD cells at normalized collision energy of 35% after accumulation to a target value of 10,000.

**Protein quantification and identification**

Data analysis was performed using MaxQuant (version 1.5.2.8) [30] and the integrated search engine Andromeda [31]. For peptide and protein identification, raw files were searched against the human Swissprot database (20,201 entries) with carbamidomethylated cysteine as fixed modification and phosphorylation of serine, threonine, and tyrosine and oxidation of methionine as variable modifications. Trypsin/P was set as the proteolytic enzyme for which up to two missed cleavage sites were allowed. Precursor tolerance was set to 4.5 ppm and fragment ion tolerance to 0.05 Da. Peptide identifications required a minimal length of 7 amino acids and all data sets were adjusted to 1% PSM FDR. For label-free quantification (LFQ), match between runs was selected with a maximum shift time window of 3 min and the intensities of razor and unique peptides were summed up. Resulting protein intensities were then normalized to obtain LFQ intensities. To facilitate further data analysis, the results were imported into Perseus (version 1.5.2.4). Replicates were grouped per condition, and proteins or phosphopeptides identified in less than 3 out of 4 replicates were discarded. A two-tailed t-test was used to assess statistical significance. Phosphopeptide and protein P values were corrected by permutation-based FDR correction (FDR 5%). Phosphopeptides were filtered for a localization probability of >0.75 (class 1 sites). Regulated proteins were analyzed using Reactome within the Cytoscape environment and regulated phosphorylation sites were analyzed by Phosphopath [32] within Cytoscape. The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD004320.

**Western blotting**

Western Blotting was performed to validate the (phospho)proteomic data (Fig. S2). For these purposes, SK-ChA-1 cells were seeded in 6-wells plates, cultured until confluence, and treated by PDT as described in “PDT protocol” (n = 3 per group). Ninety minutes after PDT, cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS, placed on ice, and lysed in ice-cold RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% SDS) supplemented with cComplete Mini protease inhibitor cocktail, 10 mM sodium fluoride, and 1 mM sodium orthovanadate. The samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 14,000×g (4 °C) and the supernatant was stored for further analysis. Protein lysates were mixed with 4 × SDS sample buffer (200 mM Tris (pH = 6.8), 8% SDS, 40% glycerol, 0.02% bromophenol blue) and boiled for 5 min at 95°C. Next, samples (20–30 μg) were loaded on a TGX 10% precast gel (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and electrophoresis was performed at 150 V. The gels were blotted onto Amersham Hybond P 0.45 PVDF membranes (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) for 2 h at 250 mA at 4°C. The membranes were blocked for 1 h with 5% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) in 0.1% Tween 20 Tris-buffered saline (TBST, 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH = 7.6), after which the membranes were incubated overnight with the primary antibody at 4°C on a rocker. The primary antibodies used were (dilution factor, catalogue number, company): EGFR [1:1000, #4267, Cell Signaling (Danvers, MA, USA)], phospho-ERK (1:1000, #4370, Cell Signaling), phospho-p38 MAPK (1:500, #9216, Cell Signaling), p38 MAPK (1:1000, #9228, Cell Signaling), COX IV (1:1000, #4844, Cell Signaling), and ERK (1:1000, sc-2771270, Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, USA)]. All primary antibodies were diluted...
with 5% BSA in TBST. Next, the membranes were washed three times in TBST and incubated with an HRP-conjugated secondary antibody [1:2000, Dako Cytomation (Glostrup, Denmark)] for 1 h at RT. Subsequently, membranes were washed three times with TBST. The enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) kit (Thermo Scientific) was used as substrate and protein bands were visualized on an ImageQuant LAS 4000 luminometer (GE Healthcare).

Metabolomics

SK-ChA-1 cells were seeded in 6-wells plates and cultured until confluence. Cells were treated using the PDT protocol as described in “PDT protocol” (*n* = 3 per group). After 90 min, the cells were washed with 1 mL cold PBS and the cells were lysed in 1 mL lysis buffer (40% acetonitrile, 40% methanol, 20% water). The cells were scraped and transferred to 2-mL centrifuge tubes that were shaken for 10 min at 4 °C. Next, the samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 20,000 × g (4 °C), after which the supernatant was aspirated and stored at −915 min at 20,000 g. The ZIC-pHILIC column [2.1 × 3.5 mm, 5 μm (Merck)] using a linear gradient of acetonitrile and eluent A (20 mM (NH₄)₂CO₃, 0.1% NH₄OH in ULC/MS grade water [Biosolve, Valkenswaard, the Netherlands]) was added to the protein fraction. After mixing thoroughly, the samples were centrifuged for 5 min at 10,000 × g (4 °C) and the protein-containing pellet was dissolved in 200 μL of 0.2 M NaOH. Protein content was determined using the bicinchoninic acid assay protein kit (Thermo Scientific).

Nucleotide extracts were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a Partisphere 5-μm SAX cartridge column (Cat. No. 4621-0505, Hichrom, Reading, United Kingdom). Nucleotides were eluted with a gradient from 100% buffer A (100-fold dilution of buffer B) to 70% buffer B (0.75 M NaH₂PO₄, pH = 4.55) in 50 min at a flow rate of 1 mL/min.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Normality was tested with the D’Agostino Pearson omnibus test. Differences between normally distributed variables were analyzed with one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test. Intergroup differences were indicated with (*) and differences between the treated groups and the control group at the same time point were indicated with (#). Differences between a condition and the previous condition at the same time point are, when relevant, indicated with ($) (pertains only to Fig. 1). A single, double, and triple sign indicate a *P* value of ≤ 0.05, ≤ 0.01, and ≤ 0.001, respectively. Data are presented as mean ± SD throughout the manuscript.

Results

PDT induces photosensitizer concentration- and time-dependent cell death

To correlate the transcriptomic-, (phospho)proteomic-, and metabolomic responses to the extent of PDT-induced cell death, the viability of HUVEC, RAW 264.7, SK-ChA-1, and A431 cells was determined first as a function of time after PDT at previously calculated LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ concentrations (details can be found in Table S1). The effect of PDT on cells was assessed with the WST-1 and SRB assays. WST-1 is a measure of mitochondrial metabolic activity [33] and therefore represents a parameter of early onset cell demise. In contrast, SRB stains total protein and is therefore used as a parameter of late, fully executed cell death.

The ZPCLs exhibited no deleterious effect on metabolic activity (Fig. 1a–d) or cell viability (Fig. 1e–h) in any of the cell types in the absence of laser irradiation, indicating that the ZPCLs imparted no dark toxicity. The loss of metabolic activity (Fig. 1a–d) and extent of cell death (Fig. 1e–h) were more pronounced in the LC₉₀ group
versus the LC$_{50}$ group and occurred in a time-dependent manner. The loss of metabolic activity is in line with the localization of ZnPC to mitochondrial membranes [2] and the post-PDT induction of mitochondrial permeability transition [12]. Typically, cells were most afflicted at the longest incubation time, underscoring that metabolic perturbations and execution of cell death pathways are progressive during at least 24 h after PDT. Unexpectedly, the LC$_{90}$ HUVECs showed significantly higher cell viability 24 h after PDT compared to 2 h post-PDT (Fig. 1e). HUVECs in the LC$_{90}$ group were also more resilient to treatment 24 h following PDT than HUVECs in the LC$_{50}$ group (Fig. 1e).

**PDT at LC$_{90}$ has greater transcriptional effects than at LC$_{50}$, but the effect size is cell type-dependent**

To gain insight in the early transcriptomic response after PDT with ZPCLs, non-illuminated and PDT-treated cells were harvested 90 min after (control) treatment and the transcriptome was analyzed by whole genome microarray, summarized in Fig. 2, and correlated to cell viability. This toxicogenomics approach corroborated the absence of dark toxicity of ZPCLs (Fig. 2, vehicle vs control), given that of all screened genes, none were dysregulated compared to control. The same had been observed previously with the ZnPC-encapsulating interstitially targeted liposomes [16], which differ from the ZPCLs in that they lack DC-cholesterol in the membrane and therefore bear a neutral surface charge rather than a cationic charge.

In case of the interstitially targeted liposomes, the milder PDT protocol (irradiation of cells at 50 mW) induced more profound transcriptional dysregulation than the severe PDT regimen (500 mW laser irradiation) [16]. In contrast, the extent of mRNA dysregulation following PDT with ZPCLs was most pronounced in the LC$_{90}$ groups compared to the LC$_{50}$ groups (Fig. 2). The overlap between genes dysregulated in both the LC$_{90}$ and LC$_{50}$ groups was also cell type-specific. The highest number of commonly afflicted genes was observed in RAW 264.7 cells (3363), followed by A431 (790), SK-ChA-1 (638), and HUVEC (134) cells.

**PDT-mediated induction of survival signaling**

The basis of therapeutic recalcitrance towards PDT may partly originate from the induction of survival signaling after PDT [16]. PDT activates six major pathways that encompass a nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B cells (NF-κB)-mediated inflammatory response, a proteotoxic stress response via the unfolded protein response (UPR) and heat shock transcription factor (HSF)-mediated response, an activator protein 1 (AP-1)-mediated immediate early gene response, a HIF-1-mediated hypoxia-induced stress response, and a nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like (NFE2L2)-mediated antioxidant response [9]. The pathways have been described in detail in...
The microarray expression data were superimposed on these pathways [Fig. S3 (with pathways and transcriptional targets) and Fig. 3 (transcriptional targets only)]. The downstream targets of the survival pathways were analyzed with a ROAST gene test to determine whether a pathway was differentially regulated in response to PDT. All cell types upregulated the NF-κB, AP-1, and HSF survival pathways at LC$_{50}$ and LC$_{90}$, whereas only the LC$_{50}$ groups exhibited upregulation of HIF-1-mediated signaling (Table S4). Importantly, HIF-1-, UPR-, AP-1-, and NF-κB-associated genes were less extensively dysregulated in the LC$_{90}$ group of the tumor-derived cell lines (A431, SK-ChA-1) compared to the LC$_{50}$ group. In contrast, the LC$_{90}$ group of the non-tumor derived cells (RAW 264.7, HUVEC) displayed more HSF-mediated signaling than the LC$_{50}$ group. Altogether, these findings indicate that PDT induced extensive survival signaling in all cell types tested, whereby survival signaling was more prominent in cells treated by sublethal PDT.

NF-κB-mediated inflammatory response

NF-κB mediates an inflammatory response following PDT [35, 36]. As shown in Fig. 3, the transcription of various pro-inflammatory cytokines that are under the control of NF-κB, including interleukin 1A (IL1A), IL1B, IL6, and chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 8 (CXCL8), increased following PDT in the human cell types. Murine Il1b and Cxcl2 were also considerably induced following PDT in RAW 264.7 cells. Sublethal PDT resulted in upregulation of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in SK-ChA-1.
and A431 cells, which was downregulated in HUVEC cells. The pro-inflammatory factor prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2 (PTGS2, Ptgs2) was also highly upregulated following PDT in HUVEC, RAW 264.7, and A431 cells.

**Proteotoxic stress response**

The proteotoxic stress response can be induced by ROS-mediated endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress that leads to the accumulation of misfolded and unfolded proteins in the ER [37]. As a result, the UPR is initiated together with the activation of HSF1 [38]. ZPCL-PDT at both regimens induced upregulation of the UPR-associated genes DNA-damage-inducible transcript 3 (DDIT3), activating transcription factor 3 (ATF3, Atf3), protein phosphatase 1, and regulatory subunit 15A (PPP1R15A, Ppp1r15a) in all cell types (Fig. 3). PDT at LC50 triggered upregulation of DnaJ (Hsp40) homolog, subfamily B, member 9 (DNAJB9) in all cell types, of which the protein product protects cells from apoptosis [39]. With respect to HSF signaling, all cell types exhibited elevated DNAJB1 (Dnajb1) and heat shock 70 kDa protein 1A (HSPA1A, Hspa1a) mRNA levels following PDT (Fig. 3). In contrast to RAW 264.7, SK-ChA-1, and A431 cells, HUVECs revealed a dose-dependent effect on the transcript levels of HSPA1A, DNAJB1, JUN, and FOS, where PDT at LC50 caused the most pronounced upregulation of these genes.

**AP-1-mediated immediate early gene response**

In response to various extracellular and intracellular (e.g., ROS) stimuli, the immediate early response is activated via apoptosis signal-regulating kinase 1 (ASK-1) that enables AP-1-mediated transcription [40]. The AP-1 transcription factors FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog (FOS, Fos) and jun proto-oncogene (JUNB, Junb) were upregulated in RAW 264.7, SK-ChA-1, and A431 cells in both the LC50 and LC90 groups (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the survival factor heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor (Hbegf, Hbegf) was strongly upregulated in all cell types following both PDT regimens. EGFFR was downregulated in HUVEC, SK-ChA-1, and A431 cells, particularly in the LC90 group.

In addition, the effect of PDT on EGFR signaling in EGFR-overexpressing A431 cells versus SK-ChA-1 cells is shown in more detail in Fig. S4. This subanalysis revealed that PDT had an inhibitory effect on the various ErbB isoforms, which was observed in both cell lines, although EGFR (ERBB1) was most affected. Also, known downstream targets of EGFR [41] appeared to be more inhibited in A431 cells compared to SK-ChA-1 cells after supralethal PDT (Fig. S4).

**HIF-1-mediated hypoxia-induced stress response**

HIF-1 is a transcription factor that is induced by ROS and hypoxia [42], which promotes the transcription of genes involved in cell survival and angiogenesis [43]. ZPCL-PDT caused upregulation of various HIF-1-associated genes, including VEGFA (not in HUVECs), PTGS2, endothelin 1 (EDN1), myeloid cell leukemia 1 (MCL1), and phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate-induced protein 1 (PMAIP1) (Fig. 3). The effects were more pronounced after sublethal PDT. PDT also upregulated several HIF-1-associated genes in RAW 264.7 cells, including Vegfa, Ptgs2, Edn1, and Pmaip1 but not Mcl1. However, RAW 264.7 cells did not exhibit any dose-dependent differences as observed in the human cell types.

**NFE2L2-mediated antioxidant response**

The NFE2L2-mediated antioxidant response is activated by oxidative stress and serves to restore the cellular redox balance. As shown in Fig. 3, the NFE2L2 pathway was largely unaffected. In fact, PDT reduced the expression of genes involved in detoxification [e.g., ATP binding cassette subfamily C member 4 (ABCC4, Abcc4), ATP binding cassette subfamily G member 2 (ABCG2, Abcg2)] and antioxidant activity [e.g., epoxide hydrolase 1 (EPHX1, Ephpx1)]. Heme oxygenase 1 (HMOX1, Hmox1) is linked to cell survival following PDT [44]. In addition to NFE2L2, HIF-1 (“HIF-1-mediated hypoxia-induced stress response”) is also able to mediate transcription of HMOX1 [45]. Its gene expression after PDT was higher in RAW 264.7, SK-ChA-1, and A431 cells but not in HUVEC cells. This effect was more pronounced in the LC50 cells compared to the LC90 cells as evidenced by the log2 fold-changes in HMOX1/Hmox1 gene expression: A431 (2.1 versus 1.3, respectively), SK-ChA-1 (2.1 versus 1.4, respectively), and RAW 264.7 cells (1.7 versus 1.5) respectively.

**PDT upregulates transcription-related proteins and downregulates proteins linked to EGFR signaling**

To explore the cellular response in a cell line derived from a tumor that is refractory towards PDT [24], SK-ChA-1 cells were subjected to more in-depth analysis using an untargeted (phospho)proteomic-based approach 90 min after PDT. The EGFR-overexpressing A431 cell line was excluded from the (phospho)proteomic analysis to eliminate redundancy, given that SK-ChA-1 cells also express high basal levels of EGFR [46]. The differentially expressed phosphorylated and non-phosphorylated proteins (compared to non-treated cells) are presented in Table S5.
A no-liposome, irradiation-only group was excluded because we have shown previously that red light irradiation has no effect on cells [14].

The proteome data revealed a dose-dependent response in the number of differentially expressed proteins (Fig. S5). To gain more insight in the affected molecular pathways, the data were analyzed with Reactome [47, 48] (Fig. 4). Based on the proteomics data, PDT caused downregulation of various proteins involved in endocytosis in the LC50 group, but more predominantly in the LC90 group [AP-2 complex subunit alpha-1 (AP2A1), AP2M1, AP2B1, AP3B1]. Furthermore, SK-ChA-1 cells that had been treated at LC90 upregulated proteins involved in pre-RNA splicing (serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 4 (SRSF4), SRSF6) and epigenetic control of transcription [protein dpy-30 homolog (DPY30), WD repeat-containing protein 5 (WDR5)] (Fig. 4).

Phosphoproteomic data were analyzed with the Phosphopath plugin in Cytoscape [32] and only phosphosites which were differentially regulated in either LC50 or LC90 groups were analyzed. PDT of SK-ChA-1 cells induced phosphorylation of heat shock protein beta-1 (HSPB1) (Fig. 5), which is involved in the defense against oxidative stress [49, 50]. Furthermore, PDT decreased phosphorylation of proteins involved in EGFR signaling, such as mitogen-activated protein kinase 1 (MAPK1), son of sevenless homolog 1 (SOS1), and catenin delta-1 (CTNND1). This effect was more evident at LC90 inasmuch as these cells downregulated the EGFR-associated proteins EGFR (confirmed by Western blotting, Fig. S2), proto-oncogene tyrosine-protein kinase Src (SRC), caveolin-1 (CAV1), and phosphorylated proteins SOS1, related RAS viral (r-ras) oncogene homolog 2 (RRAS2), MAPK1, and MAPK3. Altogether, it seems that PDT induced the expression of transcription-related proteins and downregulated proteins involved in EGFR signaling.

**PDT affects metabolites that are involved in energy production and redox signaling**

Finally, PDT-treated SK-ChA-1 cells were investigated in terms of metabolomics at 90 min post-PDT. Incubation of cells with ZPCLs in the absence of light only marginally affected the metabolomic profile (Fig. 6a, Table S6), again confirming the in vitro safety of the ZPCLs.

PDT highly influenced almost all studied metabolites, whereby the effects observed in the LC50 group were essentially exacerbated in the LC90 group. PDT-subjected SK-ChA-1 cells upregulated multiple amino acid levels as well as metabolites involved in nucleotide metabolism. In contrast, metabolites involved in the TCA cycle and urea cycle were downregulated, reflecting perturbations in anaerobic energy production as evidenced by the lactate and succinate accumulation. Moreover, PDT also affected metabolites that modulate the redox balance (Fig. 6b). Glutathione and oxidized glutathione (GSSG) were downregulated, while nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) was upregulated. Possibly as a consequence of the pro-oxidative state, metabolites in the oxidative branch of the pentose phosphate pathway were upregulated (Table S6). Lastly, the nucleotide profile was also determined in PDT-treated cells, which showed slightly lower uridine triphosphate (UTP), cytidine triphosphate (CTP), and guanosine triphosphate (GTP) levels in the LC50 group (Fig. 6f). The lower ATP:ADP ratio in PDT-treated cells may be indicative of dying cells (Fig. S6).

**Discussion**

Clinical PDT may be enhanced by pharmacologically interfering in molecular pathways that mediate resistance to therapy [2]. During PDT, light intensity attenuates in the tumor tissue as a result of absorption and scattering, creating a gradient of cumulative light dose (fluence) across the tumor. Since PDT-mediated ROS production is proportional to the fluence [14], tumor cells that are more distal from the light source, or tumor cells that are insufficiently oxygenated, may experience less oxidative stress than fully exposed and oxygenated cells [23], allowing the sublethally afflicted cells to activate survival pathways. Inasmuch as tumor cell survival may ultimately enable recurrence and metastasis, it is critical that the tumor bulk is completely eradicated in a single PDT session.

One potential strategy to optimize PDT is using pharmacological adjuvants that inhibit post-PDT survival signaling, which may be co-administered with the photosensitizer [11–13]. This study was conducted to determine which pathways are activated and hence eligible for pharmacological targeting. The response of tumor parenchymal and non-parenchymal cells subjected to sublethal (LC50) and supralethal PDT (LC90) was therefore investigated in the acute phase of PDT—a time point where the transcriptome and acute phase proteins were expected to be dysregulated. SK-ChA-1 and A431 cells were used because the former are derived from a tumor known to be refractory to PDT [51, 52] and because both overexpress EGFR, which was shown to be profoundly affected by PDT. It is critical to underscore that the post-PDT environment temporally evolves in a dynamic manner at the level of the transcriptome, lipidome, proteome, and metabolome [53]. In support of this, the extent of PDT-induced cell death progressively increased at 2, 4, and 24 h after PDT and transcriptomic and (phospho)proteomic analysis revealed that mRNA and protein expression was discordant at
Fig. 4 Differentially expressed proteins observed after ZPCL-PDT of SK-ChA-1 cells in the LC$_{90}$ group. Upregulated (in red) and downregulated (in green) proteins between the PDT-treated groups and control group ($n = 4$ per group) were analyzed using Reactome to assess functional interactions [47, 48]. Arrows indicate activating/catalyzing reactions, whereas straight and dashed lines indicate functional and predicted functional interactions, respectively. Proteins without functional interactions are not displayed in the figure.
90 min post-PDT (Fig. S7). First, mRNA and protein expression profiles may be more in sync at later time points, i.e., when the mRNA has been translated to functional proteins. Second, the transcriptome and proteome are also expected to change over time, potentially necessitating an acclimating pharmacological inhibition strategy after PDT. Because the transcriptomic-, (phospho)proteomic-, and metabolomic temporal changes are vital to therapeutic outcome, studies in our labs are underway to establish post-PDT molecular signatures across the 24-hour time span.

In the acute phase, transcriptomic analysis revealed that PDT-treated tumor cells (SK-ChA-1, A431) were afflicted at multiple physiological and biochemical junctions and activated extensive survival signaling via HIF-1, NF-κB, AP-1, and HSF. Survival signaling was most pronounced in the low-dose PDT group, which is detrimental to the desired clinical outcome. Second, PDT-treated SK-ChA-1 cells downregulated proteins involved in EGFR signaling. Third, metabolomic analysis of PDT-treated SK-ChA-1 cells pointed to downregulation of metabolites involved in energy metabolism (glycolysis, TCA cycle), altered cellular redox state, and upregulation of metabolites involved in nucleotide metabolism and the pentose phosphate pathway. These latter two findings are expected to be beneficial for PDT outcome, as EGFR downregulation and perturbed energy metabolism negatively affect cell viability and proliferation and hence offset the survival signaling.

The ROAST gene set analysis supports our hypothesis that suboptimally treated tumor cells (LC_{50}) engage in more extensive survival signaling in response to PDT. Especially the HIF-1- and NF-κB-mediated pathways may be attractive for therapeutic interventions. PDT of SK-ChA-1 and A431 cells upregulated genes downstream of HIF-1 and NF-κB (IL1A, IL1B, IL6, CXCL8, VEGFA, HMOX1) that mediate inflammation, survival, and angiogenesis [54, 55]. These findings have been echoed in literature (Table 1). Whereas overexpression of HIF-1 was associated with therapeutic resistance in 5-aminolevulinic acid (5-ALA)-PDT-treated human esophageal carcinoma cells [56], combination therapy of siRNA-mediated knockdown of HIF-1 with Photosan-PDT significantly improved therapeutic efficacy in human head-and-neck cancer (SCC4, SAS) tumor-bearing mice [57]. Corroboratively, treatment of A431 and SK-ChA-1 cells with the HIF-1 inhibitor acriflavine significantly improved PDT efficacy [11, 13]. Similarly, it was shown in various studies...
that combined treatment comprising NF-κB inhibitors and PDT augmented therapeutic efficacy [35, 58, 59].

In addition to the tumor-derived cell lines, murine macrophages (RAW 264.7) responded fervently to PDT, inasmuch as these cells significantly upregulated all survival pathways (except for NFE2L2 in the LC90 group). This hyperactive state may in part have been caused by the fact that macrophages become activated upon exposure to

---

**Fig. 6** Metabolomic analysis of SK-ChA-1 cells after ZPCL-PDT. 
**a** Metabolites were classified into pathways and metabolite expression is depicted as the log₂ fold-change (bottom left corner) between treated and control cells (n = 3 per group). Numerical values can be found in Table S6. **b** Log₂ fold-change of metabolites in the category carbohydrate metabolism grouped per pathway. Changes in LC₅₀- (left) and LC₉₀-treated (right) SK-ChA-1 cells compared to control cells are depicted. Identical log₂ fold-change values are plotted for 3PG and 2PG and for citrate and isocitrate, as these metabolites could not be resolved. Metabolites indicated in gray could not be quantified. TCA cycle, tricarboxylic acid cycle; PPP, pentose phosphate pathway; G6P, glucose-6-phosphate; F6P, fructose-6-phosphate; F1,6BP, fructose-1,6-bisphosphate; DHAP, dihydroxyacetone phosphate; G3P, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate; 1,3 BPG, 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate; 3PG, 3-phosphoglycerate; 2PG, 2-phosphoglycerate; PEP, phosphoenolpyruvate; 6pG, 6-phosphogluconate; PenP, pentose-phosphate
For all the molecular targets, its general function is listed, as well as whether inhibition improves (indicated with ↑) or hampers (indicated with ↓) PDT efficacy.

**Table 1** Potential druggable targets that were identified in this study

| Identified target | Druggable target | General function | Inhibitor | PDT efficacy | References |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|
| Transcriptomics   | ↑ HMOX1         | HMOX1            | Cytoprotective, antioxidative properties | SnPPIX  | ↑ | [75] |
|                   | ↑ AP-1 pathway  | AP-1             | Proliferation, inflammation, apoptosis | –       | n.d. | [9] |
|                   | ↑ HIF-1 pathway | HIF-1            | Survival, angiogenesis, glycolysis     | Acriflavine | ↑ | [11, 13] |
|                   | ↑ HSF pathway   | HSF1             | Proteostasis, survival                 | –       | n.d. | [9] |
|                   | ↑ NF-κB pathway | NF-κB            | Inflammation, proliferation, anti-apoptosis | NF-κB siRNA | ↑ | [35] |
| Proteomics        | ↑ HSPB1         | HSPB1            | Anti-apoptosis, cell invasion          | –       | ↑ ↓ | [50, 77] |
| Metabolomics      | ↑ Succinate     | SUCNR1           | Inflammation, HIF-1 stabilization      | –       | n.d. | [73] |

For all the molecular targets, its general function is listed, as well as whether inhibition improves (indicated with ↑) or hampers (indicated with ↓) PDT efficacy.

SnPPIX tin protoporphyrin, ZnPPIX zinc protoporphyrin, siRNA small interfering RNA, n.d. not determined, SUCNR1 succinate receptor 1

dying cells and cell debris [60], including post-PDT [35]. The same pattern was observed for HUVEC cells, but in contrast to the tumor cell lines, only few differences were observed between the LC_{50} and LC_{90} groups. Unexpectedly, after PDT the endothelial cells slightly downregulated VEGF, which is a growth factor for (tumor) endothelium that stimulates angiogenesis. Zhang et al. also observed downregulated VEGF protein levels after hypericin-PDT in HUVECs [61], which may indicate that PDT is able to induce growth inhibition of tumor endothelium.

SK-ChA-1 cells were also subjected to (phospho)proteomic and metabolomic analysis, of which the main results are summarized in Fig. 7. At the proteomic level, PDT-mediated phosphorylation of HSPB1, which is a stress protein that acts as a chaperone to stimulate survival under stress conditions [50]. PDT at LC_{90} downregulated proteins involved in focal adhesion [CAV1, integrin alpha-2 (ITa2)], adherens junctions (CTNND1, EpCAM), and tight junctions (phosphorylated ZO1 and ZO3). As reported in [62–64], PDT may oxidatively damage proteins involved in cell–cell adhesion, cytoskeletal structure, and focal adhesion, which appears to be dependent on cell type, photosensitizer concentration, and light dose. However, it may also contribute to a higher metastatic potential after PDT, inasmuch as loss of adhesion proteins is associated with invasion [62]. Further research is warranted to establish whether PDT enhances the metastatic potential of cancer cells, as activation of both survival and metastasis pathways by PDT may hamper clinical safety of the procedure.

Supralethal PDT also downregulated various proteins involved in EGFR signaling, which is an important therapeutic target as it is overexpressed in numerous cancer types [19]. Previous studies have shown that SK-ChA-1 and A431 cells in the absence of PDT are sensitive to EGFR inhibitors, as these compounds inhibited cell growth [46, 65]. ZPCL-PDT of SK-ChA-1 cells at LC_{90} revealed downregulation of EGFR on both the transcriptomic and proteomic level. Both SK-ChA-1 and A431 cells exhibited a reduction in EGFR mRNA levels after PDT and this effect was enhanced in the LC_{90} group. Although the exact mechanism is still unknown, the general trend is that PDT is able to inhibit and/or degrade EGFR, thereby deterring tumor growth and inducing apoptosis [66]. However, exceptions do exist. For instance, Edmonds and co-workers showed that human ovarian carcinoma (OVCAR-5) and non-small cell lung cancer (H460) cell lines upregulated EGFR after PDT with verteporfin (log \( P = 3.74 \)) [67, 68]. Inhibition of EGFR with erlotinib increased PDT efficacy and resulted in apoptotic cell death [67], linking pharmacological EGFR inhibition to cell demise. Also, a more recent study demonstrated that erlotinib treatment prior to PDT induced higher complete response rates in NSCLC (H460, A549)-xenografted mice [69]. Interestingly, treatment of various cancer cell lines with the photosensitizer Photofrin (porfimer sodium, log \( P = 8.5 \)) alone downregulated EGFR protein expression, which was enhanced upon PDT, indicating that Photofrin alone is able to downmodulate EGFR expression [70]. ZnPc is a highly lipophilic photosensitizer (log \( P = 8.5 \)) that intercalates into biomembranes [13]. Given that EGFR is a transmembrane protein, ZnPc is expected to reside in the direct vicinity of the transmembrane domain of EGFR, where it can subsequently cause oxidative modification of EGFR’s transmembrane structures and impede its functional properties. The same applies to verteporfin and Photofrin. However, apparently the site of ROS generation is not ubiquitously linked to protein dysfunctionalization.
Instead, EGFR expression after PDT is photosensitizer-dependent, whereby inhibition of EGFR by PDT may contribute to an anti-cancer effect when photosensitizers are employed that induce its downregulation, such as ZnPC, by an as yet undefined mechanism.

The metabolomics data of PDT-treated SK-ChA-1 cells showed similar trends between the LC50 and LC90 groups, although the effects were more pronounced in the LC90 group. PDT-treated cells exhibited increased glucose whereas a number of glycolysis-associated metabolites were reduced, suggesting that glucose is shuttled into pathways that branch off glycolysis, such as the pentose phosphate pathway. Also, the TCA cycle appeared to be downmodulated following PDT, as evidenced by downregulation of acetyl-CoA, citrate, α-ketoglutarate, and malate. As a result of ROS production during PDT, the redox status of a cell may be seriously affected. This is also observed in PDT-treated SK-ChA-1 cells, as regulators of the redox response differed (e.g., reduction of glutathione and GSSG, increase in NADP+). The post-PDT pro-oxidative state may also explain the upregulation of the pentose phosphate pathway (Fig. 6b), as the pentose phosphate pathway contributes to the production of NADPH—a major player in the antioxidant response [71]. Another important factor that was increased in PDT-treated SK-ChA-1 cells is succinate. Mitochondria are a known target of ZnPC-based PDT [2, 17], after which mitochondria-localized succinate may be released into the cytoplasm [72]. Succinate has been shown to mediate ATP generation in mitochondria, activation of HIF-1, and pro-inflammatory signaling (reviewed in [73]). Pharmacological strategies that limit succinate production could therefore serve as a strategy to augment PDT efficacy, although succinate build-up in mitochondria is also a precursor condition for latent oxidative stress [74] that in turn may promote tumor cell death.

To our knowledge, this is the first study that explored the PDT response in such detail at the cellular and molecular level. Therefore, it may provide novel information that could be valuable to design new therapeutic
strategies, possibly based on therapeutic targets that were found in this study (Table 1). Consistent with earlier reports, the combined use of PDT and inhibitors of survival pathways may be an attractive approach to improve therapeutic efficacy in the aforementioned clinically recalcitrant cancer types.

Acknowledgements MH has received grants from Stichting Technologische Wetenschap (STW 12064), the Phospholipid Research Center in Heidelberg, the Dutch Anticancer Foundation in Amsterdam (Stichting Nationaal Fonds Tegen Kanker), and the Nijbbakker Morra Foundation. SL and SC were supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) through a VIDI Grant (Project 723.013.009). CRB was supported by NWO through a VENI Grant (Project 722.013.009). The A431 cell line was a kind gift from Gerben Koning (Erasmus Medical Center, the Netherlands). The SK-ChA-1 cell line was a kind gift from Alexander Knuth and Claudia Matter (University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland). The RAW 264.7 cell line was a kind gift from Joan Kwakkel (Academic Medical Center, the Netherlands).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. PLaetzer K, Krammer B, Berlanda J, Berr F, Kiesslich T (2009) Photophysics and photochemistry of photodynamic therapy: fundamental aspects. Lasers Med Sci 24(2):259–268. doi:10.1007/s10103-008-0539-1
2. Weijer R, Broekgaarden M, Kos M, van Vught R, Rauws EAJ, Breukink E, van Gulik TM, Storm G, Heger M (2015) Enhancing photodynamic therapy of refractory solid cancers: Combining second-generation photosensitizers with multi-targeted liposomal delivery. J Photochem Photobiol C Photochem Rev 23:103–131. doi:10.1016/j.jphotchem.2015.05.002
3. Sibille A, Lambert R, Souquet JC, Sabben G, Descos F (1995) Long-term survival after photodynamic therapy for esophageal cancer. Gastroenterology 108(2):337–344
4. Zeitouni NC, Shieh S, Oseroff AR (2001) Laser and photodynamic therapy in the management of cutaneous malignancies. Clin Dermatol 19(3):337–338
5. Sun ZQ (1992) Photodynamic therapy of nasopharyngeal carcinoma by argon or dye laser—an analysis of 137 cases. Zhonghua Zhong Liu Za Zhi 14(4):290–292
6. Benson RC Jr (1986) Laser photodynamic therapy for bladder cancer. Mayo Clin Proc 61(11):859–864
7. Nseyo UO, Dougherty TJ, Boyle DG, Potter WR, Wolf R, Huben R, Pontes JE (1985) Whole bladder photodynamic therapy for transitional cell carcinoma of bladder. Urology 26(3):274–280
8. Quyn AJ, Ziyaie D, Polignano FM, Tait IS (2009) Photodynamic therapy is associated with an improvement in survival in patients with irresectable hilar cholangiocarcinoma. HPB (Oxford) 11(7):570–577. doi:10.1111/j.1477-2574.2009.00102.x
9. Broekgaarden M, Weijer R, van Gulik TM, Hamblin MR, Heger M (2015) Tumor cell survival pathways activated by photodynamic therapy: a molecular framework for inhibition strategies. Cancer Metastasis Rev:1–48
10. Broekgaarden M, van Vught R, Oliveira S, Roovers RC, van Bergen en Henegouwen PM, Pieters RJ, van Gulik TM, Breukink E, Heger M (2016) Site-specific conjugation of single domain antibodies to liposomes enhances photosensitizer uptake and photodynamic therapy efficacy. Nanoscale 8(12):6490–6494
11. Weijer R, Broekgaarden M, Krekorian M, Alles LK, van Wijk AC, Mackaaij C, Verheij J, van der Wal AC, van Gulik TM, Storm G, Heger M (2016) Inhibition of hypoxia inducible factor 1 and topoisomerase with acriflavine sensitizes perihilar cholangiocarcinomas to photodynamic therapy. Oncotarget 7(3):3341–3356. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.6490
12. Broekgaarden M, Weijer R, van Wijk AC, Cox RC, Egmond MR, Hoebe R, van Gulik TM, Heger M (2016) Photodynamic therapy with liposomal zinc phthalocyanine and tirapazamine increases tumor cell death via DNA damage. J Biomed Nanotechnol 12:1–16
13. Broekgaarden M, Weijer R, Krekorian M, van den Jissel B, Kos M, Alles LK, van Wijk AC, Bikadi Z, Hazai E, van Gulik TM, Heger M (2016) Inhibition of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 with acriflavine sensitizes hypoxic tumor cells to photodynamic therapy with zinc phthalocyanine-encapsulating cationic liposomes. Nano Res 9(6):1639–1662
14. Broekgaarden M, de Kroon AL, Gulik TM, Heger M (2014) Development and in vitro proof-of-concept of interstitially targeted zinc-phthalocyanine liposomes for photodynamic therapy. Curr Med Chem 21(3):377–391
15. Lee K, Zhang H, Qian DZ, Rey S, Liu JO, Semenza GL (2009) Acriflavine inhibits HIF-1 dimerization, tumor growth, and vascularization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106(42):17910–17915. doi:10.1073/pnas.0909353106
16. Weijer R, Broekgaarden M, van Golen RF, Bulle E, Nieuwenhuis E, Jongejan A, Moerland PD, van Kampen AH, van Gulik TM, Heger M (2015) Low-power photodynamic therapy induces survival signaling in perihilar cholangiocarcinoma cells. BMC Cancer 15(1):1014. doi:10.1186/s12885-015-1994-2
17. Alexandratou E, Yova D, Loukas S (2005) A confocal microscopy study of the very early cellular response to oxidative stress induced by zinc phthalocyanine sensitization. Free Radic Biol Med 39(9):1119–1127. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2005.06.006
18. Fabris C, Valduga G, Miotto G, Borsetto L, Jori G, Garbisa S, Reddi E (2001) Photosensitization with zinc (II) phthalocyanine as a switch in the decision between apoptosis and necrosis. Cancer Res 61(20):7495–7500
19. Herbst RS, Shin DM (2002) Monoclonal antibodies to target epidermal growth factor receptor-positive tumors: a new paradigm for cancer therapy. Cancer 94(5):1593–1611
20. Harder J, Waiz O, Otto F, Geissler M, Olschewski M, Weinhold B, Blum HE, Schmitt-Graeff A, Optiz OG (2009) EGFR and HER2 expression in advanced biliary tract cancer. World J Gastroenterol 15(36):4511–4517
21. Yang X, Wang W, Wang C, Wang L, Yang M, Qi M, Su H, Sun X, Liu Z, Zhang J, Qin X, Han B (2014) Characterization of EGFR family gene aberrations in cholangiocarcinoma. Oncol Rep 32(2):700–708. doi:10.3892/or.2014.3261
22. Joseph SO, Wu J, Muggia FM (2012) Targeted therapy: its status and promise in selected solid tumors. Part II: Impact on selected tumor subsets, and areas of evolving integration. Oncology (Williston Park) 26(11):1021–1030, 1035
23. Postiglione I, Chiaviello A, Palumbo G (2011) Enhancing photodynamic therapy efficacy by combination therapy: dated, with the promise of the future.
current and oncoming strategies. Cancers (Basel) 3(2):2597–2629
24. Shim CS, Cheon YK, Cha SW, Bhandari S, Moon JH, Cho YD, Kim YS, Lee LS, Lee MS, Kim BS (2005) Prospective study of the effectiveness of percutaneous transhepatic photodynamic therapy for advanced bile duct cancer and the role of intraductal ultrasonography in response assessment. Endoscopy 37(5):425–433. doi: 10.1055/s-2005-861294
25. Post IC, de Boon WM, Heger M, van Wijk AC, Kroon J, van Buul JD, van Gulik TM (2013) Endothelial cell preservation at hypothermic to normothermic conditions using clinical and experimental organ preservation solutions. Exp Cell Res 319(17):2501–2513. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2013.05.011
26. Vichai V, Kirtikara K (2006) Sulforhodamine B colorimetric assay for cytotoxicity screening. Nat Protoc 1(3):1112–1116. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2006.179
27. Wu D, Lim E, Vaillant F, Asselin-Labat ML, Visvader JE, Smyth GK (2010) ROAST: rotation gene set tests for complex microarray experiments. Bioinformatics 26(17):2176–2182. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq401
28. Ruijter JM, Ramakers C, Hoogaars WM, Karlen Y, Bakker O, van den Hoff MJ, Moorman AF (2009) Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. Nucleic Acids Res 37(6):e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp045
29. Zhou H, Ye M, Dong J, Corradiini E, Cristobal A, Heck AJ, Zou R, Mohammed S (2013) Robust phosphoproteome enrichment using monodisperse microsphere-based immobilized titanium (IV) ion affinity chromatography. Nat Protoc 8(3):461–480. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2013.010
30. Cox J, Mann M (2008) MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and protein-wide protein quantification. Nat Biotechnol 26(12):1367–1372. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1511
31. Cox J, Neuhauser N, Michalski A, Scheltema RA, Olsen JV, Mann M (2011) Andromeda: a peptide search engine integrated into the MaxQuant environment. J Proteome Res 10(4):1794–1805. doi: 10.1021/pr101065j
32. Raaijmakers LM, Giansanti P, Possik PA, Mueller J, Peeper DS, Heck AJ, Altena AR (2015) PhosphoPath: Visualization of phosphosite-centric dynamics in temporal molecular networks. J Proteome Res 14(10):4332–4341. doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.5b00529
33. Berridge MV, Herst PM, Tan AS (2005) Tetrazolium dyes as tools in cell biology: new insights into their cellular reduction. Biochem J. 407(3):259–273. doi: 10.1042/BJ20041104
34. Kutmon M, Riutta A, Nunes N, Hanspers K, Willighagen E, Vajro P, de Boer P, de Haan J, Hermjakob H (2017) ROAST: rotation gene set tests for complex microarray experiments. Bioinformatics 33(10):1371–1377. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx208
35. Broekgaarden M, Kos M, Jurg FA, van Beek AA, van Gulik TM, van den Hoff MJ, Moorman AF (2009) Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. Nucleic Acids Res 37(6):e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp045
36. Kutmon M, Riutta A, Nunes N, Hanspers K, Willighagen ET, Hermjakob H, de Haan J, Vajro P (2017) ROAST: rotation gene set tests for complex microarray experiments. Bioinformatics 33(10):1371–1377. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx208
37. Broekgaarden M, Kos M, Jurg FA, van Beek AA, van Gulik TM, van den Hoff MJ, Moorman AF (2009) Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. Nucleic Acids Res 37(6):e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp045
38. Kutmon M, Riutta A, Nunes N, Hanspers K, Willighagen E, Vajro P, de Boer P, de Haan J, Hermjakob H (2017) ROAST: rotation gene set tests for complex microarray experiments. Bioinformatics 33(10):1371–1377. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx208
39. Broekgaarden M, Kos M, Jurg FA, van Beek AA, van Gulik TM, van den Hoff MJ, Moorman AF (2009) Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. Nucleic Acids Res 37(6):e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp045
40. Kutmon M, Riutta A, Nunes N, Hanspers K, Willighagen E, Vajro P, de Boer P, de Haan J, Hermjakob H (2017) ROAST: rotation gene set tests for complex microarray experiments. Bioinformatics 33(10):1371–1377. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx208
41. Broekgaarden M, Kos M, Jurg FA, van Beek AA, van Gulik TM, van den Hoff MJ, Moorman AF (2009) Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. Nucleic Acids Res 37(6):e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp045
42. Kutmon M, Riutta A, Nunes N, Hanspers K, Willighagen E, Vajro P, de Boer P, de Haan J, Hermjakob H (2017) ROAST: rotation gene set tests for complex microarray experiments. Bioinformatics 33(10):1371–1377. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx208
43. Broekgaarden M, Kos M, Jurg FA, van Beek AA, van Gulik TM, van den Hoff MJ, Moorman AF (2009) Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. Nucleic Acids Res 37(6):e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp045
44. Kutmon M, Riutta A, Nunes N, Hanspers K, Willighagen E, Vajro P, de Boer P, de Haan J, Hermjakob H (2017) ROAST: rotation gene set tests for complex microarray experiments. Bioinformatics 33(10):1371–1377. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx208
45. Broekgaarden M, Kos M, Jurg FA, van Beek AA, van Gulik TM, van den Hoff MJ, Moorman AF (2009) Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. Nucleic Acids Res 37(6):e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp045
46. Kutmon M, Riutta A, Nunes N, Hanspers K, Willighagen E, Vajro P, de Boer P, de Haan J, Hermjakob H (2017) ROAST: rotation gene set tests for complex microarray experiments. Bioinformatics 33(10):1371–1377. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx208
47. Broekgaarden M, Kos M, Jurg FA, van Beek AA, van Gulik TM, van den Hoff MJ, Moorman AF (2009) Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. Nucleic Acids Res 37(6):e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp045
48. Kutmon M, Riutta A, Nunes N, Hanspers K, Willighagen E, Vajro P, de Boer P, de Haan J, Hermjakob H (2017) ROAST: rotation gene set tests for complex microarray experiments. Bioinformatics 33(10):1371–1377. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx208
49. Broekgaarden M, Kos M, Jurg FA, van Beek AA, van Gulik TM, van den Hoff MJ, Moorman AF (2009) Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. Nucleic Acids Res 37(6):e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp045
50. Broekgaarden M, Kos M, Jurg FA, van Beek AA, van Gulik TM, van den Hoff MJ, Moorman AF (2009) Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. Nucleic Acids Res 37(6):e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp045
51. Broekgaarden M, Kos M, Jurg FA, van Beek AA, van Gulik TM, van den Hoff MJ, Moorman AF (2009) Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. Nucleic Acids Res 37(6):e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp045
52. Broekgaarden M, Kos M, Jurg FA, van Beek AA, van Gulik TM, van den Hoff MJ, Moorman AF (2009) Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. Nucleic Acids Res 37(6):e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp045
53. Buescher JM, Driggers EM (2016) Integration of omics: more than the sum of its parts. Cancer & metabolism 4:4. doi:10.1186/s40170-016-0143-y

54. Masoud GN, Li W (2015) HIF-1alpha pathway: role, regulation and intervention for cancer therapy. Acta Pharm Sin B 5(5):378–389. doi:10.1016/j.apsb.2015.05.007

55. DiDonato JA, Mercurio F, Karin M (2012) NF-kappaB and the link between inflammation and cancer. Immunol Rev 246(1):379–400. doi:10.1111/j.1600-065X.2012.01099.x

56. Ji Z, Yang G, Shahzidi S, Tkacz-Stachowska K, Suo Z, Nesland JM, Peng Q (2006) Induction of hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha overexpression by cobalt chloride enhances cellular resistance to photodynamic therapy. Cancer Lett 244(2):182–189. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2005.12.010

57. Chen WH, Lecaros RL, Tseng YC, Huang L, Hsu YC (2015) Nanoparticle delivery of HIF1alpha siRNA combined with photodynamic therapy as a potential treatment strategy for head-and-neck cancer. Cancer Lett 359(1):65–74. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2014.12.052

58. Li YJ, Zhou JH, Du XX, de Jia X, Wu CL, Huang P, Han Y, Sui X (2015) Molecular and pharmacological responses to environmental hypoxia in human glioblastoma cells. J Cell Physiol 230(5):1527–1536. doi:10.1002/jcp.20374

59. Coupienne I, Bontems S, Dewaele M, Rubio N, Habraken Y (2014) Loss of E-cadherin mediated cell-cell adhesion as an early trigger of apoptosis induced by photodynamic therapy via inactivation of NF-kappaB in Eca109 and Ec9706 esophageal cancer cells. Cell Physiol Biochem 33(5):1527–1536. doi:10.1159/000358716

60. Porta C, Riboldi E, Ippolito A, Sica A (2015) Two combined photosensitizers: a goal for more effective photodynamic therapy of cancer. Cell Death Dis 5:e1122. doi:10.1038/srep13898

61. Zhang Q, Li ZH, Li YY, Shi SJ, Zhou SW, Fu YY, Zhang Q, Yang X, Fu RQ, Lu LC (2015) Hypericin-photodynamic therapy improves the sensitivity of human glioblastoma cells to 5-aminolevulinic acid-based photodynamic therapy. Biochem Pharmacol 81(5):606–616. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2010.12.015

62. Acedo P, Stockert JC, Canete M, Villanueva A (2014) Erlotinib pretreatment improves photodynamic therapy as a potential treatment strategy for head and neck cancer. Cancer Lett 359(1):65–74. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2014.11.008

63. Nowis D, Legat M, Grzela T, Niderla J, Wilczek E, Wilczynski A (2014) Erlotinib pretreatment improves photodynamic therapy of cancer. Cell Death Dis 5:e1122. doi:10.1038/srep13898

64. Chouchani ET, Pell VR, Gaude E, Aksentijevic D, Sica A, Robb EL, Logan A, Nadtochiy SM, Ord EN, Smith AC, Eyassu F, Shirley R, Hu CH, Dare AJ, James AM, Rogatti S, Hartley RC, Eaton S, Costa AS, Brooks PS, Davidson SM, Duchen MR, Saeb-Parsy K, Shattock MJ, Robinson AJ, Work LM, Frezza C, Krieg T, Murphy MP (2014) Ischaemic accumulation of succinate controls reperfusion injury through mitochondrial ROS. Nature 515(7527):431–435. doi:10.1038/nature13909

65. Frank J, Lorneced-Schafer MR, Schoffl H, Flaccus A, Lambert C, Biesalski HK (2007) Inhibition of heme oxygenase-1 increases responsiveness of melanoma cells to ALA-based photodynamic therapy. Int J Oncol 31(6):1359–1364. doi:10.3892/ijo.2007.685

66. Hornbeck PV, Kornhauser JM, Tkachev S, Zhang B, Skrzypek E, Livstone M, Oughtred R, Dolinski K, Tyers M (2013) The BioGRID interaction database: 2013 update. Nucleic Acids Res 41:D816–D823. doi:10.1093/nar/gks1158

67. Kelder T, van Iersel MP, Hanspers K, Utsumon M, Conklin BR, Evelo CT, Pico AR (2012) WikiPathways: building research communities on biological pathways. Nucleic Acids Res 40 (Database issue):D1301–D1307. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr1074