Intriguing magnetism of Fe monolayers on hexagonal transition-metal surfaces
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Using first-principles calculations, we demonstrate that an Fe monolayer can assume very different magnetic phases on hexagonal hcp (0001) and fcc (111) surfaces of 4\textit{d}- and 5\textit{d}-transition metals. Due to the substrates’ \textit{d}-band filling, the nearest-neighbor exchange coupling of Fe changes gradually from antiferromagnetic (AFM) for Fe films on Te, Re, Ru and Os to ferromagnetic on Rh, Ir, Pd, and Pt. In combination with the topological frustration on the triangular lattice of these surfaces the AFM coupling results in a 120° Néel structure for Fe on Re and Ru and an unexpected double-row-wise AFM structure on Rh, which is a superposition of a left- and right-rotating 90° spin spiral.

PACS numbers:

Intrigued by the discovery of the giant-magnetoresistance effect and to realize novel spintronic device concepts\textsuperscript{1}, magnetic nanostructures on surfaces have been a focus of experimental and theoretical research for more than 20 years now. In particular, there has been a tremendous effort to grow ultra-thin transition-metal films on metal surfaces and to characterize and explain their magnetic properties. It is now generally believed that these structurally simple systems are well understood and more complex nanostructures such as atomic chains, clusters, or molecules on surfaces have moved into the spotlight of today’s research.

Therefore, it came as a big surprise when it was experimentally shown that the prototypical ferromagnet Fe becomes a two-dimensional (2D) antiferromagnet on the W(001) surface\textsuperscript{2}. That complex magnetic order can be obtained even in single monolayer (ML) magnetic films on non-magnetic substrates has been dramatically demonstrated by the recent discovery of a spin-spiral state for a Mn ML on W(110)\textsuperscript{3} and a Mn ML on W(001)\textsuperscript{4} and a nanoscale magnetic structure for an Fe ML on Ir(111)\textsuperscript{5}. Surfaces of 4\textit{d}- and 5\textit{d}-transition metals (TMs) such as W, Re, Ru, or Ir have been particularly attractive from an experimental point of view as ultra-thin 3\textit{d}-TM films can often be grown pseudomorphically and without intermixing\textsuperscript{6, 7, 8, 9, 10}. However, there has been a controversy in the past about reports concerning dead magnetic layers and absence of magnetic order in ultra-thin films on these surfaces\textsuperscript{8, 9}. The fundamental key to many unresolved puzzles may be the itinerant character of TMs resulting in competing exchange interactions beyond nearest-neighbors and higher-order spin interactions beyond the Heisenberg model. The latter interactions have been proposed to play a role in transition-metals, however, to our knowledge no unambiguous proof of their importance has been given.

Here, we use first-principles calculations to demonstrate that an Fe ML can assume very different magnetic phases on a triangular lattice provided by hcp (0001) and fcc (111) surfaces of 4\textit{d}- and 5\textit{d}-transition metals, which are also experimentally accessible, e.g. Fe/Ir(111)\textsuperscript{3, 8}, Fe/Ru(0001)\textsuperscript{8}, or Fe/Pt(111)\textsuperscript{10}. We show that the nearest-neighbor exchange interaction,\textit{J}$_1$, in the Fe ML changes continuously from antiferro- to ferromagnetic (FM) with filling of the substrate \textit{d}-band. Due to topological frustration on a triangular lattice, AFM coupling for Fe on Re(0001) and Ru(0001) leads to a Néel ground state with angles of 120° between adjacent spins. This finding can explain unresolved experimental studies reporting the absence of a ferromagnetic signal for Fe/Ru(0001)\textsuperscript{8, 9, 11, 12}.

For an Fe ML on substrates such as Ru, Os, Rh, or Ir,\textit{J}$_1$ is small and interactions beyond nearest-neighbors or higher-order spin interactions can be relevant. We exemplify this by studying so-called multi-\textit{Q} states, a superposition of symmetry equivalent spin spirals, which are degenerate in the Heisenberg model but can gain energy, e.g., due to the presence of biquadratic or four-spin interactions\textsuperscript{13}. In the 4\textit{d}-TM substrates, significant magnetic moments are induced by the Fe ML due to their high susceptibility. For Fe/Rh(111), this is a crucial effect which stabilizes an unexpected collinear ground-state of ferromagnetic double-rows coupling antiferromagnetically along the [11\bar{2}]-direction, a 2D analog of the antiferromagnetic bilayer state in Fe films on Cu(001)\textsuperscript{14}. For 5\textit{d}-TM substrates such as Ir or Re, the large spin-orbit coupling may cause a significant Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction in the Fe ML which can crucially affect the magnetic order\textsuperscript{2, 4}, making these systems a unique playground for complex magnetism.

We have determined the electronic and magnetic properties of 1 ML Fe on the hexagonal hcp (0001) and fcc (111) surfaces of 4\textit{d}- and isoelectronic 5\textit{d}-transition metals based on density-functional theory. Calculations have been carried out in the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) to the exchange-correlation functional\textsuperscript{15} using the full-potential linearized augmented plane wave (FLAPW) method, as implemented in the FLEUR code\textsuperscript{16, 17}.

The collinear magnetic states were investigated in sys-
tems modelled by 6 or 7 layers of 4d- or 5d-TM substrate with hcp or fcc stacking covered by a pseudomorphic Fe monolayer on each side of the films. We have used the experimental lattice constants which are very close to the values obtained by GGA. The structural relaxation of the Fe overlayer has been performed for both fcc and hcp stacking. The non-collinear magnetic states have been studied employing an asymmetric film consisting of four substrate layers and an Fe monolayer on one side of the film at the distance optimized for the collinear (FM or AFM) state of lowest energy. For Fe on Rh(111) we have used 6 substrate layers; we found that adding two layers of substrate did not influence the spin-spiral dispersion by more than 6 meV. The spin spirals have been calculated exploiting the generalized Bloch theorem. We have used about 100 basis functions per atom for all calculations and at least 676 \( k \parallel \) points in the two-dimensional Brillouin zone (2D-BZ) for the spin-pair calculations, 48 \( k \parallel \) points in one quarter of the 2D-BZ for the \( uud \) configurations and 32 \( k \parallel \) points in the 2D-BZ for the 3Q-state requiring a surface unit-cell comprising 4 atoms.

In order to find the magnetic ground state, we start by evaluating the total-energy difference between the FM and the row-wise AFM (RW-AFM) configuration, Fig. 1, considering hcp and fcc stackings of the monolayer. Only for substrates at the end of the TM-series, Pd and Pt, the Fe monolayer prefers fcc stacking. On all other substrates Fe prefers an hcp stacking. Only on Rh and Ir the energy difference between fcc and hcp stacking is sufficiently small (9.0 and 7.6 meV/Fe-atom, respectively) to suggest the experimental observation of both types \([5, 19]\). For Fe on substrates at the end of the TM-series, Pd and Pt, the Fe MLs on hcp (0001) and fcc (111) surfaces of 4d- and 5d-TMs. Closed and open symbols indicate a favorable hcp or fcc stacking of the Fe ML, respectively. The magnetic moment of the Fe atoms, \( m_{\text{Fe}} \), is given. \( \Delta E_{\text{FM-RW-AFM}} \) is nearly constant for an unsupported hexagonal Fe ML (UML) with the corresponding in-plane lattice constants (dashed line).

![FIG. 1: (color online) Total-energy difference between the FM (\( \Delta E > 0 \)) and RW-AFM (\( \Delta E < 0 \)) configuration for Fe MLs on hcp (0001) and fcc (111) surfaces of 4d- and 5d-TMs. Closed and open symbols indicate a favorable hcp or fcc stacking of the Fe ML, respectively. The magnetic moment of the Fe atoms, \( m_{\text{Fe}} \), is given. \( \Delta E_{\text{FM-RW-AFM}} \) is nearly constant for an unsupported hexagonal Fe ML (UML) with the corresponding in-plane lattice constants (dashed line).](image)

From Fig. 1, it can be concluded that Fe on substrates from the center of the TM-series, Tc and Re, exhibits a clear antiferromagnetic behavior and the RW-AFM state has the lowest energy. Fe on substrates at the end of the TM-series is ferromagnetic. In between we observe a gradual change from a strongly AFM behavior to a strongly FM one as function of the electron filling of the substrate. It is argued that this change in the magnetic coupling results from the 3d–4d and 3d–5d hybridization between the Fe ML and the substrate, which is altered by the d-band filling. This argument is supported by the fact (i) that the role of the hybridization is also apparent from the monotonous variation of the Fe magnetic moments as one moves through the TM-series and (ii) the gradual change from FM to AFM coupling cannot be explained on the basis of the changing in-plane lattice constant as the comparison with unsupported MLs on the respective lattice constants shows a rather stable ferromagnetic value of about 160 meV/Fe-atom, c.f. Fig. 1.

From the above results we can conclude that the antiferromagnetic exchange interaction is strong and important for Fe on most of these substrates except Pd and Pt on which Fe is clearly ferromagnetic. The antiferromagnetic interaction on a triangular lattice leads to the frustration of magnetic interactions and is the origin of complex magnetic states. Fe on Re or Tc exhibits strong antiferromagnetic interactions as shown by the large energy gain when assuming a RW-AFM state and the true ground state could be a 120°-Néel state. Due to the small energy difference between the FM and RW-AFM order for Fe on Os, Ru, Rh and Ir many magnetic states have to be considered as possible ground states. Therefore, we first focus on Fe MLs on Ru(0001) and on Rh(111) as model systems of complex magnetism on a triangular lattice \([20]\).

We study non-collinear magnetic structures by performing spin-spiral calculations. Flat spin spirals are the general solution of the classical Heisenberg model on a periodic lattice \( H = -\sum_{i<j} J_{ij} \hat{M}_i \cdot \hat{M}_j \), where the exchange constants \( J_{ij} \) determine the strength and the type of coupling between local moments at sites \( i \) and \( j \) pointing along the unit vectors \( \mathbf{M}_i \) and \( \mathbf{M}_j \), respectively. Spin spirals are characterized by a wave vector \( \mathbf{q} \) and the moment of an atom at site \( \mathbf{R}_i \) is given by \( \mathbf{M}_i(\mathbf{R}_i) = M\left(\cos(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_i), \sin(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_i), 0\right) \), where \( M \) is the spin moment per atom. By considering spin spirals along the high symmetry lines of the 2D-BZ we cover an important part of the magnetic phase space. At high symmetry points, we find well-known magnetic states such as the FM state at the \( \Gamma \) point, the RW-AFM state at the M-point, and the 120° Néel state at the \( \overline{K} \) point, c.f. Fig. 2a).

The calculated total-energy dispersion, \( E(\mathbf{q}) \), of spin spirals for Fe/Ru(0001), Fig. 2b), shows that the Néel state, i.e. for \( \mathbf{q} = \overline{K} \), is the energetically most favorable magnetic configuration. The energy gain is 58 meV/Fe-atom with respect to the FM state and only 13 meV/Fe-atom with respect to the RW-AFM state. The magnetic
moment in the Fe ML, Fig. 2(c), depends only weakly on \( q \). Interestingly, the moments of the surface and subsurface Ru layer are of similar magnitude and change their alignment to the Fe moments, Fig. 2(c)-(d).

To interpret our calculations, we have mapped the results onto a 2D Heisenberg model which allows us to determine effective exchange constants \( J_{ij} \) between moments of the Fe atoms in the ML, Fig. 2(b) shows that a good fit is obtained by including up to five nearest neighbors. From the \( J_{ij} \)’s, given in Table I we conclude that the main effect of the substrate is to change the nearest-neighbor exchange coupling, \( J_1 \), from FM (\( J_1 > 0 \)) for Rh and Ir to AFM (\( J_1 < 0 \)) for Ru and Re.

For itinerant magnets such as iron, it is not \( a \ priori \) clear that the Heisenberg model, which relies on localized magnetic moments, can provide a good description. The next higher-order terms beyond the Heisenberg model are the biquadratic and four-spin interactions. These terms can lead to energy contributions on the order of 15 meV/Fe-atom which is similar to the energy difference between Néel and RW-AFM state of Fe/Ru(0001).

In order to find the magnitude of these interactions, we consider linear combinations of spin spirals, the multi-\( Q \) states. The degeneracy of single- and multi-\( Q \) states within the Heisenberg model is lifted by higher-order interactions. By calculating the energy differences between suitable single-\( Q \) and multi-\( Q \) states, we can obtain values for the nearest-neighbor biquadratic interaction, \( B \), and four-spin interaction, \( K \). One pair of such states are spin spirals at the \( \bar{M} \)-points of the 2D-BZ and the 3\( Q \)-state constructed from the three independent \( \bar{M} \)-points. As a second pair we choose the spin spiral at 3\( Q \)=4 and a superposition of two such spirals with opposite rotation sense, a so-called \( uudd \)-state (middle panel of Fig. 2(a)). The total-energy differences are

\[
E_{uudd} - E_{3\bar{Q}/4} = 4(2K - B) \quad (2)
\]

marked by squares in Fig. 2(b) and the obtained constants \( B \) and \( K \) are given in Table I.

The large energy differences between the multi-\( Q \) and single-\( Q \) states of 7 to 11 meV/Fe-atom found for Fe/Ru(0001) clearly demonstrate its itinerant character. The extracted values for the biquadratic and four-spin interaction are of the order of the exchange constants beyond nearest neighbors and cannot be neglected in finding the ground state. Higher-order interactions play a similar role for other substrates, as shown in Table I.

The Fe ML also induces considerable magnetic moments in the Ru substrate due to its high susceptibility. The induced magnetic moments of Ru depend on the magnetic structure in the Fe ML and their size contributes to the total energy of the system. This effect can be dramatic as seen by comparing the \( uudd \)-state along \( \bar{M} \)-\( \bar{M} \) and \( \bar{M} \)-\( \bar{K} \), c.f. right panel of Fig. 2(a), to the corresponding single-\( Q \) states. The energy difference due to biquadratic and four-spin interactions should be the same in both cases, which is clearly not the case. This finding can be traced back to the difference in the induced magnetic moments in the substrate.

We now turn to Fe on Rh(111) for which the FM state was slightly favorable among the collinear states considered in Fig. 1. Our spin-spiral calculations, however, indicate a non-collinear ground state with a spiral vector \( q \).
of about $0.225 \times \frac{2\pi}{a}$ along $\Gamma - K$, about 2.5 meV/Fe-atom below the FM state (Fig. 3). From the fitting to the Heisenberg model, c.f. Table I, we indeed find a very small FM nearest-neighbor exchange constant while second- and third-nearest neighbors prefer an AFM alignment of a magnitude comparable to the FM exchange.

Including the multi-Q states in our search for the ground-state results in the uudd-solution ($\Gamma - M$) as the most favorable configuration with a large energy gain of 29.0 meV/Fe-atom with respect to the single-Q state. However, the real magnetic ground-state might be even more complex due to the competing interactions involved making Fe/Rh(111) a truly intriguing system and a challenge for an experimental investigation.

In the phase diagram of the 2D Heisenberg model, shown in Fig. 4 we can provide a complete picture of the substrates’ impact on the Fe exchange coupling by including spin spiral calculations for an Fe ML on Re(0001), Ir(111), and Ag(111). In the $J_1$-$J_2$ plane of the diagram, Fig. 4(a), we see that the $d$-band filling of the substrate drives the system along the line $J_2 \approx 0$ from a Néel configuration on Re and Ru to the FM solution on Ir and Rh. For small $J_1$, we need to consider also the phase diagrams in the $J_2$-$J_3$ plane showing the spin spiral minimum of Fe on Rh(111) in the $\Gamma K M$-direction, Fig. 4(c), and the 120° Néel state of Re(0001), Fig. 4(b). In contrast, the FM state is the most favorable single-Q state for the hcp Fe ML on Ir(111) [21].

In conclusion, we have proposed Fe MLs on hexagonal surfaces of late 4d- and 5d-TMs as promising systems to study experimentally the magnetic interactions in TMs, e.g. by proving the influence of spin interactions beyond the Heisenberg model. Alloying of the substrate, e.g. PtRu(0001) [22], may allow an additional fine tuning of the degree of disorder in 2D systems as proposed in Ref. 23.
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