Edge Detection on DICOM Image using Triangular Norms in Type-2 Fuzzy
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Abstract—In image processing, edge detection is an important venture. Fuzzy logic plays a vital role in image processing to deal with lacking in quality of an image or imprecise in nature. This present study contributes an authentic method of fuzzy edge detection through image segmentation. Gradient of the image is done by triangular norms to extract the information. Triangular norms (T norms) and triangular conorms (T conorms) are specialized in dealing uncertainty. Therefore triangular norms are chosen with minimum and maximum operators for the purpose of morphological operations. Also, mathematical properties of aggregation operator to represent the role of morphological operations using Triangular Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Yager Weighted Geometric (TIT2FYWG) and Triangular Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Yager Weighted Arithmetic (TIT2FYWA) operators are derived. These properties represent the components of image processing. Here Edge detection is done for DICOM image by converting into 2D gray scale image, using Type-2 fuzzy MATLAB and which is the novelty of this work.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the field of optimization problems in Mathematics, Statistics, Economics and Information Science, the max and min operators are very useful for any dimension. Uncertainty convoluted in most of the real world problems. Fuzzy theory has been developed as an efficient and powerful mechanism in mathematical design of many engineering and objective phenomena [1-5]. To deal uncertainty in any field one needs an effective and predictable incentive. Usually incomplete data and errors in the analyzing stage will be the reason for getting vague situation and this can be dealt with fuzzy theory. Mathematical devices may figure out an imprecision. The largest and the smallest elements of a precise set of real numbers is the maximum and the minimum and so Yager triangular norm is chosen for this work [6-10]. We are facing many problems to add, melt and synthesize the datum from different sources to get a conclusion. The operators may be chosen according to the characteristic properties and then the operations for minimum and maximum can be applied [11-14].

The triangular norms with maximum and minimum operators could be used for an image processing since these norms play as the synthesize operators for which these maximum and minimum operators are just an exclusive choice [15-17]. A Fuzzy Set (FS) is defined from a universal set to [0, 1] and the membership values (MVs) of every element is a crisp value between 0 and 1. This kind of system is called Type-1 Fuzzy Set (T1FS) system. In many of the real world problems it is necessary to have a MV itself fuzzy instead of crisp value which is called T2FS [18-19]. The generalization of union and intersection operators are triangular norms. Though the general case is important there is an equal important for the particular cases which provide efficient algorithm and more understanding missing in the general case [20].

T2FS is used when T1FS is blurred. In T2FS, the MVs lies in an interval so it is useful in image processing as many of the images are not properly visible. The parameter η in Yager triangular norms, accepts for tuning the norm between the other norms [21]. Yager norms covers all the continuous norms by changing the parameter where as other major norms can’t do the same and have more time complexity [22]. In automation, visual sense, remotely second scene analysis and bio medical image processing, Fuzzy image analysis has been applied. When the images with low brightness, the structure will not be evidently visible. In this situation, the sets which have better and naturally include different types of uncertainties might be useful for image analysis in any field.

To deal this complication Fuzzy Sets and their advanced extensions like T2FS Sets are suitable since it handles the uncertainty in a better way. Using Type-2 Fuzzy thresholding techniques, different regions and abnormal lesions can be separated. Image processing can be done by FMM using triangular norms. Using T2FS, collection of undesirable scraps can be made while noise exist. In image processing, image enrichment, clustering, thresholding, edge detection and morphological image processing are easy to be done using T2FS. Application of single image analysis is always not reliable and therefore image processing based on T2 Fuzzy system has been considered [23, 24]. Borderline between two compatible regions is called an edge.

Using unit of the regional array, sense of the trial edge will be done at different points. Real world issues are levelheaded of various structures at various scales and an ideal image cannot be expected. The technique of selecting and detecting acute disruption in an image is called edge detection. DICOM is worn to store, transfer and pass on the medical images (MIs). Most of the MIs are saved in DICOM pattern where one can...
store data of an image and header as well and per file there is one slice in general. Single color images are called gray scale which accommodate the knowledge of only gray level but not about color. Every pixel has some number of bits that determines available number of various gray levels [25-30].

The paper is organized in the following manner. In section II, literature review has been done related to the present work. In section III, basic definitions required for developing the concept have been described. In section IV, operational laws have been proposed for TIT2FN. In section V, aggregation properties have been proved using weighted arithmetic and geometric operators. In section VI, the theory of image processing and the role of T2FS and Yager norms is presented. In section VII, applied Type-2 fuzzy logic in edge detection for DICOM image in two dimensional through MATLAB. In section VIII, conclusion and future work is given.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The authors of, [1] described Aggregation operators elaborately with their advanced direction and applications. [2] explained about gathering of the information and its related aggregation operators. [3] proposed Frank Aggregation Operators (AOs) and its mathematical properties for TIT2FSs and applied in a decision making problem. [4] studied t norms of Yager and Hamacher and also metric space on fuzzy logic. [5] utilized AOs in the process of decision making under the environment of probabilistic fuzzy logic.

[17] proposed fuzzy image processing (FIP) using Dubois and Prade triangular norm. [22] proposed a methodology for an image condensation and rehabilitation on a Lossy image using fuzzy relational equations. [23] proposed a technique for image analysis with the application of morphological operators with the support of unnorms.

[24] described and explained very clearly about the role of theoretical fuzzy logic strategies in medical image processing. [25] reviewed the applications of type-2 fuzzy systems in the field of image processing. [26] presented a comprehensive depiction of imitation of an image with the help of fuzzy logic. [27] established an algorithm for edge detection under fuzzy environment where instability of a digital image for every pixel has been calculated.

[28] proposed a methodology for fusion of image under intuitionistic fuzzy setting. [29] introduced a new technique for edge detection with the support of representation of fuzzy image and pixels. [30] examined and done a comparative analysis of various techniques of edge detection. From this review it is found that there is no work has been done for edge detection on DICOM image using Type-2 fuzzy logic. This is the motivation of the present work.

III. BASIC DEFINITIONS

The following basic concepts are given for the better understanding of the paper.

A. Aggregation Operator [3]

Let \( \{M_A\}_{a \in [0,1]} \) be a group of aggregation operators (AOs) which is non-decreasing. If \( A \) is an AO then

\[
M_A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1]
\]

B. Triangular Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Set (TIT2FS) [3]

The membership function (MFs) are developed using triangular fuzzy number in IT2FS called TIT2FS. In IT2FS, upper and lower MFs represented by a triangular fuzzy number \( \overline{M} = \left[ l_M, c_M, r_M \right] \) called TIT2FS and are defined by

\[
LMF_{\overline{M}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x - l_M}{c_M - l_M}, & l_M \leq x < c_M \\ 1, & x = c_M \\ \frac{c_M - x}{c_M - r_M}, & c_M \leq x < r_M \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

\[
UMF_{\overline{M}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x - l_M}{c_M - l_M}, & l_M \leq x < c_M \\ 1, & x = c_M \\ \frac{c_M - x}{c_M - r_M}, & c_M \leq x < r_M \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

Where \( l_M, c_M, r_M \) are the measuring points on TIT2FS satisfying \( 0 \leq l_M \leq l_M \leq c_M \leq r_M \leq r_M \leq 1 \). If we consider \( x \) as a set of real numbers, a TIT2FS in \( x \) is called TIT2FN. The FOU is the area between lower and upper membership functions in figure 1. If \( l_M = r_M = c_M \), then \( UMF_{\overline{M}}(x) = LMF_{\overline{M}}(x) \) for all the values of \( x \) in \( x \), then the TIT2FS will become Type-1 case. Here FOU is the footprint of Uncertainty.

C. Ranking formula for TIT2FN [3]

Let \( \overline{M} = \{(A, B), (C, D, E)\} \)

\[
A = l_M, B = l_M, C = c_M, D = r_M, E = r_M
\]

be the TIT2FN. The ranking value is defined by

\[
\text{Rank}(\overline{M}) = \left( \frac{A + E}{2} \right) \times \frac{A + B + D + E + 4C}{8}
\]
D. Yager Triangular Norms [7]

\( \otimes \) is Yager product (T Norm) and \( \ominus \) is a Yager sum

(\( T \) conorm) and are defined as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
r \otimes s &= \max \left\{ 1 - [(1-r)^\eta + (1-s)^\eta]^\eta, 0 \right\}, \eta > 0, \text{for all } r, s \in [0,1]^2 \\
&= \min \left\{ r + s - rs^\eta, 1 \right\}, \eta > 0, \text{for all } r, s \in [0,1]^2
\end{align*}
\]

(4)

(5)

E. Triangular Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Yager Weighted Arithmetic (TIT2FYWA) Operator [3]

Consider a set of TIT2FNs and the operator

\( \text{TIT2FYWA}_\varepsilon : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \Omega \)

is defined by

\[
\text{TIT2FYWA}_\varepsilon (\overline{M_1}, \overline{M_2}, \ldots, \overline{M_n}) = e_1 \bullet \overline{M_1} \ominus e_2 \bullet \overline{M_2} \ominus \cdots \ominus e_n \bullet \overline{M_n}
\]

and its weight vector is \( \varepsilon = (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n)^T \) and the sum of the weight vectors is equal to 1, when \( \varepsilon = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_n)^T \), triangular interval type-2 fuzzy Yager weighted arithmetic operator will become triangular interval type-2 fuzzy Yager averaging operator of dimension \( n \) and is defined by

\[
\text{TIT2FYAA}_\varepsilon (\overline{M_1}, \overline{M_2}, \ldots, \overline{M_n}) = \frac{1}{n} \bullet \overline{M_1} \ominus \overline{M_2} \ominus \cdots \ominus \overline{M_n}
\]

(6)

F. Triangular Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Yager Weighted Geometric (TIT2FYWG) Operator [3]

Let \( \overline{M} = \{ \overline{l_{M_p}}, \overline{r_{M_p}} \mid \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}^n \} \) be a set of TIT2FNs. Triangular Interval Type-2 fuzzy Yager Weighted Geometric Mean Operator (TIT2FYWA), TIT2FYWG : \( \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \Omega \)

\[
\text{TIT2FYWG}_\varepsilon (\overline{M_1}, \overline{M_2}, \ldots, \overline{M_n}) = \overline{M_1}^\gamma_1 \ominus \overline{M_2}^\gamma_2 \ominus \cdots \ominus \overline{M_n}^\gamma_n
\]

Here also sum of all weight vectors is equal to 1, when \( \varepsilon = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_n)^T \), triangular interval type-2 fuzzy Yager weighted arithmetic operator will become triangular interval type-2 fuzzy Yager geometric averaging operator of dimension \( n \) and is defined by

\[
\text{TIT2FYGA}_\varepsilon (\overline{M_1}, \overline{M_2}, \ldots, \overline{M_2}) = \frac{1}{n} \left( \overline{M_1} \ominus \overline{M_2} \ominus \cdots \ominus \overline{M_n} \right)^\gamma
\]

(7)

IV. PROPOSED OPERATIONAL LAWS

Let \( \overline{M}, \overline{M_1}, \overline{M_2} \) be three TIT2FNs and \( \eta > 0 \), then we define their operational laws as follows.

A. Addition

\[
\begin{align*}
D_1 &= \sum_{p=1}^{2} \left( \frac{1}{r_{M_p}} \right), E_1 &= \sum_{p=1}^{2} \left( \frac{1}{l_{M_p}} \right) \\
M_1 \ominus M_2 &= \left[ \min \left( \frac{1}{l_{M_p}}, \frac{1}{B_p} \right), \min \left( \frac{1}{A_p}, 1 \right) \right] \ominus \left[ \min \left( \frac{1}{l_{M_p}}, \frac{1}{C_p} \right), \min \left( \frac{1}{B_p}, 1 \right) \right]
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

B. Multiplication

Consider,

\[
A_2 = \sum_{p=1}^{2} \left( 1 - \frac{l_{M_p}}{A_p} \right), B_2 = \sum_{p=1}^{2} \left( 1 - \frac{l_{M_p}}{B_p} \right), C_2 = \sum_{p=1}^{2} \left( 1 - \frac{l_{M_p}}{C_p} \right)
\]

D. Power

Consider

\[
A_3 = 1 - \frac{l_{M_p}}{A_p}, B_3 = 1 - \frac{l_{M_p}}{B_p}, C_3 = 1 - \frac{l_{M_p}}{C_p}, D_3 = 1 - \frac{l_{M_p}}{D_p}, E_3 = 1 - \frac{l_{M_p}}{E_p}
\]

(9)

(10)
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\[
\left[ \max \left( 1 - \left[ D_1^p \right]^{\frac{1}{r_p}}, 0 \right), \max \left( 1 - \left[ E_1^p \right]^{\frac{1}{r_p}}, 0 \right) \right]\right]^{\frac{1}{r_p}}.
\]

(11)

V. PROPOSED THEOREMS

Here the mathematical properties of aggregation properties for TIT2FN using TIT2FYWG and TIT2FYWA operators are proved and they are playing an important role in image processing.

Consider a collection of TIT2FNs

\[
\mathcal{M} = \left\{ M_1, M_2, ..., M_n \right\}, \quad p = 1, 2, ..., n
\]

Where

\[
0 \leq l_{M_i} \leq l_{M_i} \leq c_{M_i} \leq r_{M_i} \leq r_{M_i} \leq 1
\]

A. Theorem

The aggregation value of these fuzzy numbers using TIT2FYWG operator is again a TIT2FN and

\[
\text{TIT2FYWG}_{\mathcal{M}} \left( M_1, M_2, ..., M_n \right) = \left[ \max \left( 1 - \left[ A_n^p \right]^{\frac{1}{r_n}}, 0 \right), \max \left( 1 - \left[ B_n^p \right]^{\frac{1}{r_n}}, 0 \right), \max \left( 1 - \left[ C_n^p \right]^{\frac{1}{r_n}}, 0 \right), \max \left( 1 - \left[ D_n^p \right]^{\frac{1}{r_n}}, 0 \right), \max \left( 1 - \left[ E_n^p \right]^{\frac{1}{r_n}}, 0 \right) \right]\right]^{\frac{1}{r_n}}.
\]

(12)

Where the weight vector is \( w = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, ..., \varepsilon_n)^T, \varepsilon_n \geq 0 \), the sum of the weight vectors is equal to 1.

Proof:

Here use mathematical induction method.

Case (i): For \( n = 2 \).

Consider,

\[
P_2 = \left( 1 - l_{M_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}}, Q_2 = \left( 1 - l_{M_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}}, R_2 = \left( 1 - c_{M_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}}.
\]

Consider,

\[
S_2 = \left( 1 - r_{M_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}}, T_2 = \left( 1 - r_{M_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}}
\]

Using Yager power operation

\[
\left[ \max \left( 1 - P_2^{\frac{1}{r_2}}, 0 \right), \max \left( 1 - Q_2^{\frac{1}{r_2}}, 0 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{r_2}}.
\]

\[
\\text{max} \left\{ 1 - R_2^{\frac{1}{r_2}}, 0 \right\}, \\text{max} \left\{ 1 - S_2^{\frac{1}{r_2}}, 0 \right\}, \\text{max} \left\{ 1 - T_2^{\frac{1}{r_2}}, 0 \right\}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\max_{\sum_{p=1}^{k}} & \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{2}{1 - \max \left\{ -C_{4}^{\eta}, 0 \right\}} \right) \right\}, \\
& \left[ \max_{\sum_{p=1}^{k}} \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{2}{1 - \max \left\{ -D_{4}^{\eta}, 0 \right\}} \right) \right\} \right], \\
& \left[ \max_{\sum_{p=1}^{k}} \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{2}{1 - \max \left\{ -E_{4}^{\eta}, 0 \right\}} \right) \right\} \right], \\
& \left[ \max_{\sum_{p=1}^{k}} \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{2}{1 - \max \left\{ -F_{4}^{\eta}, 0 \right\}} \right) \right\} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

For \( n = k + 1 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
TIT2FYWG_{\sum_{\eta}^{\sum_{p=1}^{k}}}(M_{1}, M_{2}, ..., M_{k}) & \otimes TIT2FYWG_{\sum_{\eta}^{\sum_{p=1}^{k}}}(M_{k+1}) \\
= & \left[ \max \left\{ 1 - A_{k}^{\eta}, 0 \right\}, \max \left\{ 1 - B_{k}^{\eta}, 0 \right\}, \max \left\{ 1 - C_{k}^{\eta}, 0 \right\} \right], \\
& \left[ \max \left\{ 1 - D_{k}^{\eta}, 0 \right\}, \max \left\{ 1 - E_{k}^{\eta}, 0 \right\} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

For \( n = k \),

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{k} = & \sum_{p=1}^{k} \left( 1 - \frac{t_{M_{p}}}{M_{p}} \right)^{\eta}, B_{k} = \sum_{p=1}^{k} \left( 1 - \frac{t_{M_{p}}}{M_{p}} \right)^{\eta}, C_{k} = \sum_{p=1}^{k} \left( 1 - \frac{c_{M_{p}}}{M_{p}} \right)^{\eta}, \\
D_{k} = & \sum_{p=1}^{k} \left( 1 - \frac{t_{M_{p}}}{M_{p}} \right)^{\eta}, E_{k} = \sum_{p=1}^{k} \left( 1 - \frac{c_{M_{p}}}{M_{p}} \right)^{\eta}.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
TIT2FYWG_{\sum_{\eta}^{\sum_{p=1}^{k}}}(M_{1}, M_{2}, ..., M_{k}) & \otimes TIT2FYWG_{\sum_{\eta}^{\sum_{p=1}^{k}}}(M_{k+1}) \\
= & \left[ \max \left\{ 1 - \frac{\eta_{k+1}^{\eta}}{\eta}, \max \left\{ 1 - \frac{\eta_{k+1}^{\eta}}{\eta}, 0 \right\} \right\} \right], \\
& \left[ \max \left\{ 1 - \frac{\eta_{k+1}^{\eta}}{\eta}, \max \left\{ 1 - \frac{\eta_{k+1}^{\eta}}{\eta}, 0 \right\} \right\} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
& \max \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{k} \left( 1 - \frac{\eta_{p}}{\eta}, 0 \right) \right\}, \\
& \max \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{k} \left( 1 - \frac{\eta_{p}}{\eta}, 0 \right) \right\}, \\
& \max \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{k} \left( 1 - \frac{\eta_{p}}{\eta}, 0 \right) \right\}, \\
& \max \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{k} \left( 1 - \frac{\eta_{p}}{\eta}, 0 \right) \right\}.
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\max \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{k+1} \left[ 1 - \max \left\{ 1 - B_k^{\frac{s_p}{\eta}}, 0 \right\} \right] \right\},
\]
\[
\max \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{k+1} \left[ 1 - \max \left\{ 1 - C_k^{\frac{s_p}{\eta}}, 0 \right\} \right] \right\},
\]
\[
\max \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{k+1} \left[ 1 - \max \left\{ 1 - D_k^{\frac{s_p}{\eta}}, 0 \right\} \right] \right\},
\]
\[
\max \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{k+1} \left[ 1 - \max \left\{ 1 - E_k^{\frac{s_p}{\eta}}, 0 \right\} \right] \right\}.
\]

Hence the result holds for all the values of \( n \).

**B. Theorem (Idempotency)**

If \( \overline{M_p} = \overline{M} \) for all the values of \( p \) then

\[
TIT2FYWG_{\overline{M_1}, \overline{M_2}, \ldots, \overline{M_n}} = \overline{M}.
\]  (13)

**Proof:**

By theorem A,

\[
TIT2FYWG_{\overline{M_1}, \overline{M_2}, \ldots, \overline{M_n}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\left\{ 1 - A_n^{\frac{s_p}{\eta}}, 0 \right\} \times \left\{ 1 - B_n^{\frac{s_p}{\eta}}, 0 \right\} \\
\left\{ 1 - C_n^{\frac{s_p}{\eta}}, 0 \right\} \times \left\{ 1 - D_n^{\frac{s_p}{\eta}}, 0 \right\} \\
\left\{ 1 - E_n^{\frac{s_p}{\eta}}, 0 \right\} \times \left\{ 1 - F_n^{\frac{s_p}{\eta}}, 0 \right\} \\
\end{array} \right\}.
\]

**C. Theorem (Boundary)**

Let

\[
\overline{M} = \left\{ \left[ \max_{p=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{n} M_{p} \right), \max_{p=1}^{n} c_{M_{p}} \right], \max_{p=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{n} r_{M_{p}} \right), \max_{p=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\eta} \right) \right\}
\]

Then

\[
\overline{M} \leq TIT2FYWG_{\overline{M_1}, \overline{M_2}, \ldots, \overline{M_n}} \leq \overline{M}.
\]  (14)

**Proof:**

Since,

\[
\min_{p=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{n} M_{p} \right), \max_{p=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{n} c_{M_{p}} \right), \max_{p=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{n} r_{M_{p}} \right), \max_{p=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\eta} \right)
\]

we have,

\[
1 - \max_{p=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{n} M_{p} \right) \leq \bar{M} \leq 1 - \min_{p=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{n} M_{p} \right).
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \min \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \max_{p=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{n} M_{p} \right) \right) \right\} \leq 1 \leq \min \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \min_{p=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{n} M_{p} \right) \right) \right\}.
\]
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\[
\Rightarrow \min \left(1 - \max (l_{M_p})^{\eta} \prod_{p=1}^{n} (\frac{1}{\eta})\right) \leq \min \left(1 - l_{M_p}\right)^{\eta}, 1
\]
\[
\leq \min \left(1 - \min (l_{M_p})^{\eta} \prod_{p=1}^{n} (\frac{1}{\eta})\right), 1
\]
\[
\Rightarrow \min \left(1 - \min (l_{M_p}), 1\right) \leq \min \left(1 - l_{M_p}, 1\right)
\]
\[
\leq \min \left(1 - \min (l_{M_p})\right)\]
\[
\Rightarrow \min \left(1 - \min (l_{M_p}), 1\right) \leq \min \left(1 - \sum_{p=1}^{n} (l_{M_p}), 1\right) \leq \min \left(\max (l_{M_p}), 1\right)
\]
\[
\Rightarrow \min (l_{M_p}) \leq \min \left(1 - \sum_{p=1}^{n} (l_{M_p}), 1\right) \leq \max (l_{M_p})
\]

Similarly we have,
\[
\min (c_{M_p}) \leq \min \left(1 - \sum_{p=1}^{n} (c_{M_p}), 1\right) \leq \max (c_{M_p})
\]
\[
\min (r_{M_p}) \leq \min \left(1 - \sum_{p=1}^{n} (r_{M_p}), 1\right) \leq \max (r_{M_p})
\]
\[
\min (\bar{r}_{M_p}) \leq \min \left(1 - \sum_{p=1}^{n} (\bar{r}_{M_p}), 1\right) \leq \max (\bar{r}_{M_p})
\]

By using the ranking value formula for TIT2FN and using the arithmetic average ranking value,
\[
R(M) = \frac{l_{M_p} + \bar{r}_{M_p}}{2} + \frac{\max (l_{M_p}) + \max (\bar{r}_{M_p}) + 4c_{M_p} + 4r_{M_p} + 4\bar{r}_{M_p}}{8}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{\max (l_{M_p}) + \max (\bar{r}_{M_p})}{2} + 1 \times \frac{n}{\sum_{p=1}^{n} (l_{M_p}) + \max (\bar{r}_{M_p}) + \max (r_{M_p})}
\]
\[
+ \sum_{p=1}^{n} (\max (c_{M_p}) + \max (\bar{c}_{M_p})) \times 8^{-1} = R(M^+)
\]

Hence the result.

D. Theorem

If \( t > 0 \) for all the values of \( p \) then
\[
TIT2FWG_{e_i}(\overrightarrow{M_1^{\#}}, \overrightarrow{M_2^{\#}}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{M_n^{\#}})
\]

\[
\overrightarrow{M^*_{TIT2FWG_e}} = \left[ \max \left(1 - A_2^{\eta}, 0\right), \max \left(1 - B_2^{\eta}, 0\right), \max \left(1 - C_2^{\eta}, 0\right),\right]
\]
\[
\overrightarrow{M^*_{TIT2FWG_e}} = \left[ \max \left(1 - D_2^{\eta}, 0\right), \max \left(1 - E_2^{\eta}, 0\right)\right]
\]

\[
TIT2FWG_{e_i}(\overrightarrow{M_1^{\#}}, \overrightarrow{M_2^{\#}}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{M_n^{\#}})
\]

\[
\overrightarrow{M^*_{TIT2FWG_e}} = \left[ \max \left(1 - A_2^{\eta}, 0\right), \max \left(1 - B_2^{\eta}, 0\right), \max \left(1 - C_2^{\eta}, 0\right),\right]
\]
\[
\overrightarrow{M^*_{TIT2FWG_e}} = \left[ \max \left(1 - D_2^{\eta}, 0\right), \max \left(1 - E_2^{\eta}, 0\right)\right]
\]

\[
TIT2FWG_{e_i}(\overrightarrow{M_1^{\#}}, \overrightarrow{M_2^{\#}}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{M_n^{\#}})
\]

\[
\overrightarrow{M^*_{TIT2FWG_e}} = \left[ \max \left(1 - A_2^{\eta}, 0\right), \max \left(1 - B_2^{\eta}, 0\right), \max \left(1 - C_2^{\eta}, 0\right),\right]
\]
\[
\overrightarrow{M^*_{TIT2FWG_e}} = \left[ \max \left(1 - D_2^{\eta}, 0\right), \max \left(1 - E_2^{\eta}, 0\right)\right]
\]
\[
\max \left[ 1 - \sum_{p=1}^{n} \max \left( 1 - \left( 1 - D_{2i} \right)^{r_{p}} , 0 \right), 0 \right] \right], \]
\[
\max \left[ 1 - \sum_{p=1}^{n} \max \left( 1 - \left( 1 - E_{2i} \right)^{r_{p}} , 0 \right), 0 \right] \right].
\]
\[
\max \left[ 1 - A_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - B_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right].
\]
\[
\max \left[ 1 - C_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - D_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - E_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right]
\]

Also since,
\[
TIT2FYWG_{\epsilon} \left( M_{1} , M_{2} , \ldots , M_{n} \right)^{r_{p}}
\]
\[
= \left[ \max \left( 1 - A_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \max \left( 1 - B_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \right]^{r_{p}}
\]
\[
\max \left[ 1 - C_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - D_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - E_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right]
\]
\[
= \left[ \max \left( 1 - A_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \max \left( 1 - B_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \right]^{r_{p}}
\]
\[
\max \left[ 1 - C_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - D_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - E_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right]
\]
\[
= \left[ \max \left( 1 - A_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \max \left( 1 - B_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \right]^{r_{p}}
\]
\[
\max \left[ 1 - C_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - D_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - E_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right]
\]

Since (16) = (17), hence the result.

E. Theorem (Stability)

If \( t > 0 \), then
\[
TIT2FYWG_{\epsilon} \left( M_{1}^{r_{p}} , M_{2}^{r_{p}} , \ldots , M_{n}^{r_{p}} \right)^{r_{p}} \otimes M_{n+1}
\]
\[
= \left[ \max \left( 1 - A_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \max \left( 1 - E_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \right]^{r_{p}}
\]
\[
\max \left[ 1 - C_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - D_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - C_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - D_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - E_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right]
\]
\[
\max \left[ 1 - A_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - B_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - C_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - D_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - E_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right]
\]
\[
\max \left[ 1 - A_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - B_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - C_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - D_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - E_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right]
\]
\[
= \left[ \max \left( 1 - A_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \max \left( 1 - B_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \max \left( 1 - C_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \max \left( 1 - D_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \max \left( 1 - E_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \right]^{r_{p}}
\]

Proof:

\[
TIT2FYWG_{\epsilon} \left( M_{1}^{r_{p}} , M_{2}^{r_{p}} , \ldots , M_{n}^{r_{p}} \right)^{r_{p}} \otimes M_{n+1}
\]
\[
= \left[ \max \left( 1 - A_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \max \left( 1 - B_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \max \left( 1 - C_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \max \left( 1 - D_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \max \left( 1 - E_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right) \right]^{r_{p}}
\]
\[
\max \left[ 1 - A_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - B_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - C_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - D_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right] \max \left[ 1 - E_{n}^{r_{p}} , 0 \right]
\]
\[
\max \left\{ 1 - B_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, \left[ (1 - I_{M+1}^\frac{1}{\eta}) \right] \sum_{p=1}^{\max\varepsilon_p} \right\},
\]
\[
\max \left\{ 1 - C_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, \left[ (1 - c_{M+1}^\frac{1}{\eta}) \right] \sum_{p=1}^{\max\varepsilon_p} \right\},
\]
\[
\max \left\{ 1 - D_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, \left[ (1 - d_{M+1}^\frac{1}{\eta}) \right] \sum_{p=1}^{\max\varepsilon_p} \right\},
\]
\[
\max \left\{ 1 - E_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, \left[ (1 - r_{M+1}^\frac{1}{\eta}) \right] \sum_{p=1}^{\max\varepsilon_p} \right\}.
\]

(19)

Based on the theorem A and the operational law, TT2FYWG, \(M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_n\)^\(\sum\) \(\gamma\) \(M_{n+1}\)

\[
\max \left\{ 1 - A_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, 0 \right\}, \max \left\{ 1 - B_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, 0 \right\}, \max \left\{ 1 - C_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, 0 \right\}, \max \left\{ 1 - D_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, 0 \right\}, \max \left\{ 1 - E_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, 0 \right\}.
\]

\[
\max \left\{ 1 - A_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, 0 \right\}, \max \left\{ 1 - B_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, 0 \right\}, \max \left\{ 1 - C_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, 0 \right\}, \max \left\{ 1 - D_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, 0 \right\}, \max \left\{ 1 - E_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, 0 \right\}.
\]

\[
\max \left\{ 1 - A_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, 0 \right\}, \max \left\{ 1 - B_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, 0 \right\}, \max \left\{ 1 - C_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, 0 \right\}, \max \left\{ 1 - D_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, 0 \right\}, \max \left\{ 1 - E_n^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, 0 \right\}.
\]

\[
\sum_{\gamma} M_{n+1} \left\{ I_{M+1} - I_{M+1}, c_{M+1} - c_{M+1}, d_{M+1} - d_{M+1}, r_{M+1} - r_{M+1} \right\}
\]

\[
\sum_{\gamma} M_{n+1} \left\{ I_{M+1} - I_{M+1}, c_{M+1} - c_{M+1}, d_{M+1} - d_{M+1}, r_{M+1} - r_{M+1} \right\}
\]

\[
\sum_{\gamma} M_{n+1} \left\{ I_{M+1} - I_{M+1}, c_{M+1} - c_{M+1}, d_{M+1} - d_{M+1}, r_{M+1} - r_{M+1} \right\}
\]

\[
\sum_{\gamma} M_{n+1} \left\{ I_{M+1} - I_{M+1}, c_{M+1} - c_{M+1}, d_{M+1} - d_{M+1}, r_{M+1} - r_{M+1} \right\}
\]

\[
\sum_{\gamma} M_{n+1} \left\{ I_{M+1} - I_{M+1}, c_{M+1} - c_{M+1}, d_{M+1} - d_{M+1}, r_{M+1} - r_{M+1} \right\}
\]

\[
\sum_{\gamma} M_{n+1} \left\{ I_{M+1} - I_{M+1}, c_{M+1} - c_{M+1}, d_{M+1} - d_{M+1}, r_{M+1} - r_{M+1} \right\}
\]

Here, (19) = (20).

Hence the result.

F. Theorem(Image Contrast)

For given arguments \(M_p, p = 1, 2, \ldots, n\) and the parameter \(\eta \in (1, +\infty)\) then TT2FYWG operator is monotonically non-decreasing (MND) with respect to the parameter.

Proof:

To prove the operator is MND with respect to the parameter, we have to prove the same for every reference point function is MND w.r.t the parameter.

\[
0 \leq I_M \leq \overline{M} \leq c_M \leq M \leq \overline{M} \leq 1, \max \left\{ 1 - A_k^\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\eta}, 0 \right\} > 0.
\]

And it is true for all the reference points.

Hence the result.

Note: The above theorems also can be proved by using TT2FYWA operator.

VI. THEORY OF IMAGE PROCESSING AND ROLE OF YAGER NORMS

The advantage of considering Yager triangular norms is having maximum and minimum operators which will be much useful in Image Processing while filtering.

A. Associativity of Yager T Norms [8]

Each fuzzy norm should be satisfied the associativity property to compute the norm for more than two values using continual manner as follows.

Consider the associativity property for Yager T Norm (YTN)

\[
YTN\left[ TN\left( \overline{M_1}, \overline{M_2}, \overline{M_3} \right) \right]
\]

\[
= \max \left\{ 1 - \left[ 1 - T \left( \overline{M_1}, \overline{M_2} \right) \right]^\frac{1}{\gamma}, \left( \overline{M_3} \right)^\frac{1}{\gamma} \right\}, 0 \right\}
\]

\[
= \max \left\{ 1 - \left[ 1 - \max \left\{ 1 - \left( \overline{M_1} \right)^\frac{1}{\gamma}, \overline{M_3} \right\} \right]^\frac{1}{\gamma} \right\}, \left( \overline{M_3} \right)^\frac{1}{\gamma} \right\}, 0 \right\}
\]

\[
= \max \left\{ 1 - \left[ 1 - \max \left\{ 1 - \left( \overline{M_1} \right)^\frac{1}{\gamma}, \overline{M_3} \right\} \right]^\frac{1}{\gamma} \right\}, \left( \overline{M_3} \right)^\frac{1}{\gamma} \right\}, 0 \right\}
\]

\[
= \max \left\{ 1 - \left[ 1 - \max \left\{ 1 - \left( \overline{M_1} \right)^\frac{1}{\gamma}, \overline{M_3} \right\} \right]^\frac{1}{\gamma} \right\}, \left( \overline{M_3} \right)^\frac{1}{\gamma} \right\}, 0 \right\}
\]
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The result of IDS application on two successive points $P$ and $Q$ is the same as applying on them in inverse order, since the value of flapped points is the sum of values of all data diluted on that point and therefore the operator is commutative.

2) Monotonicity:

If the brightness of $P$ is less than or equal to $Q$ then all the data points in brightness of $P$ is less than or equal to brightness with respect to the corresponding data points of brightness of $Q$.

Therefore for any point $n$, the brightness appeared from $P$ is $n + aP$, where $a$ is proportional to inverse of distance. Similarly, the brightness appears from $Q$ is $n + aQ$. Since $a > 0$, the brightness of $n$ appeared from $P$ is less than or equal to that of from $Q$.

3) Associativity:

Assume that $P$, $Q$, and $R$ are the sources of light going to affect to the point $n$ by IDS.

For every source, IDS increases the brightness with respect to the distance regardless of other sources.

On the point $n$, the order of applying IDS does not affect the distance.

Sum of effects of $P$, $Q$ and $R$ is the value of $n$. Therefore, the operator is associative.

4) Idempotency:

This property and its generalization is used for the morphological operation opening and closing.

5) Neutrality of 0:

Consider a pyramid of height 0, sum of this with others does not influence them. Therefore, 0 is the neutral element.

E. Morphological Gradient (MG) [24]

It is useful to detect an edge and act as a first approximation to a morphological segmentation. MG is the discrepancy between

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{a) dilatation and erosion}
  \item \textit{b) dilatation and the original image}
  \item \textit{c) original image and its erosion}
\end{itemize}

F. T-Norm and Image Compression(IC) [17]

IC is based on Fuzzy Relational (FR) Equations and it is a grayscale image of size $C \times D$ as a FR $\mathcal{R} \in F(A,B)$ where, $A = \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_C\}$, $B = \{b_1, b_2, ..., b_D\}$ enclosed the depth range of each pixel into $[0,1]$.

\[ CS = \{CS^{(R)}, CS^{(G)}, CS^{(B)}\} = F(A,B) \] represent the color image on RGB Color Space (CS). Here $CS^{(R)}$, $CS^{(G)}$ and $CS^{(B)}$ are the Red, Green and Blue color spaces.

For clarity, gray scale image (GSI) will be considered. The GSI $\mathcal{R} \in F(A,B)$ is restrict into $\phi \in F(I \times J)$, through a max t-
nor norm FR equations with composition $\varphi = \max_{b \in B} \left\{ V_i (b) \right\}$, where $TN$ is a continuous t-norm, $U_j \in U = \{ U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_J \} \subset F(A)$ and $V_i \in V = \{ V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_J \} \subset F(B)$ are the coders.

The shape of the FSs of coders are the triangular line, preferable for IC. We can adjust the compression rate of IC by the sum of FSs consist in $U$ and $V$ ad is defined by $\zeta = \frac{IJ}{AB}$. Here $IJ$ and $AB$ the compressed and original image coefficients respectively. By adjusting the parameter $\zeta$, YTN will all the continuous T-norm where as Zadeh’s and major t norms cannot do the same. Though Frank t-norm can do the same, due to the computational complexity, we prefer Yager’s t norm for image processing.

G. Role of T2FS [24]

Here the components of an image processing and the role of T2FS is correlated.

1) Image Contrast Enrichment:

The most common image enrichment method is histogram equalization. Since an image has an imprecise pixel grey values, it may not produce acceptable results in IP. To handle the ambiguity of the gray values, Fuzzy methods have been suggested by many researchers.

By adjusting the membership values, the contrast of the image is increased by contrast intensification operator and it transforms the higher MVs to much higher and lower MVs to much lower in a nonlinear aspect. Since this aspect considers whole image, global histogram fails to produce satisfactory results.

Though the fuzzy methods deals ambiguity well and produced proper enrichment, it fails in some case and hence T2FS has been considered for this purpose since it deals more uncertainties.

2) Image Segmentation:

Region boundaries of an image may not have a fine growth, therefore fuzzy decision is used to check whether the pixel exists to a region and T2FS may be applied to get better threshold images.

3) Clustering:

The images have different regions with different pitch, clustering collects the similar pixels in a group with membership value 1 and collects different pixels in different group with membership value 0. But in fuzzy clustering the pixel associate to different number of groups and hence the MVs are not 0.

4) Edge Detection:

Since most of the images have poor brightness, the proper decision cannot be taken in checking the existence of an edge in an image. Edges may be enriched before carrying out the edge detection. In taking off the edge due to ambiguity, fuzzy method may be useful and may not find better edges. At this junction T2FS is useful as it handles more uncertainties.

5) Morphology:

Which is a non-linear image processing technique and is used to shape the image features. Here also T2FS plays an important role to get better results.

VII. APPLICATION OF IMAGE PROESSING

Fig. 2. Shows that the Architecture has been proposed for edge detection on DICOM image using triangular norms.

Using MATLAB 2015a, triangular norms has been applied in medical image processing from a patient DICOM image. In this case 3D image is converted to 2D image.

In Fig. 3, the image is collected from our experimental data set from a patient DICOM image in the Fig. 7. From this Fig. 7. the clear image Fig. 8. Has been considered for the experiment.

Size of the image = 512 x 517.
Mean of the image = 28.83.
Standard deviation = 60.79
Mean absolute deviation = 40.03.

To identify the gradient of the image by dilation-erosion, triangular norms are used.
Structuring elements are used in gradient value.

Image Erosion is

|     |     |     |
|-----|-----|-----|
| 0.9961 | 1.0000 | 0 |
| 1.0000 | 0.9961 | 0 |
| 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |

Gradient through y axis.

The below figures are the output of the image processing application in edge detection through triangular norms by MATLAB 2015 a.

Fig. 4. is the gradient through x axis and Fig. 5. is the gradient through the y axis.

The figures reveals that the image gradient to identify the region uniformly.

Fig. 6. is the output of the edge detection through T2 fuzzy by our experimental output using MATLAB 2015a

Fig. 6. shows that the edges of the object through FIS and equating the pixel on both direction. If the edge is block then pixel is not 0.

Edge detection plays a vital role in image identification. It is observed that, fuzzy logic edge detector helps in reducing the memory for saving medical images.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, operational laws of addition, multiplication, power and multiplication by an arbitrary number using Yager triangular norms for TIT2FN are derived. Also some properties of aggregation operation using Fuzzy Yager Weighted Geometric operators have been proved. Since Yager aggregation operator contains minimum and maximum operator, it will be act as a morphological filters in medical image processing. Detailed representation of the mathematical properties in image processing is presented. Also, the gradient of the DICOM image of MRI scan of a patient using Triangular norms is found and done edge detection using MATLAB in T2 fuzzy logic. The future work is planned to apply T2 Fuzzy logic in edge extraction on medical image in 3D models.
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The data set in Fig. 7, is the montage of the images in a single file and is from a patient MRI. This MRI which is in the 3D form is converted to 2D form (DICOM) using MATLAB 2015a. The 3D format consists of 25 DICOM file formats; the montage of the images is obtained as a single frame. Out of these 25 DICOM images a clear full image is chosen as in Fig. 8. Using Dilation corrosion method, the gradient is identified. The edge detection is performed through triangular norms using MATLAB 2015a.

Fig. 7. Montage of the images.

Fig. 8. Clear image from montage.
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