An Experimental Study on the Effects of Atomized Rain of a High Velocity Waterjet to Downstream Area in Low Ambient Pressure Environment
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Abstract: A better understanding of the atomized rain characteristics in low ambient pressure areas is beneficial in reducing the jeopardizing effect of flood discharge atomization on high-altitude hydropower stations. A random splash experiment is designed with two measurement planes to investigate the effects of low ambient pressure on downstream atomized rain under the complicated conditions of low ambient pressure (within 0.60 \( P_0 \)~1.00 \( P_0 \)) and high waterjet velocity (at a magnitude of 10 m/s). The results demonstrate that the atomized rain (rain intensity \( \geq 2 \) mm/h) downstream, characterized by two-dimensional distribution, can be enhanced by decreasing the ambient pressure and by increasing the inflow discharge. When the ambient pressure decreases at the same inflow discharge, both the distance of the rain intensity lines (40 mm/h, 10 mm/h, 2 mm/h) in the horizontal plane from the constricted nozzle outlet and the average rain amount in the inclined plane within the atomized source ratio of \((0-30) \times 10^{-3}\)% appear as “linear” growth. With the ambient pressure decreasing by 0.10 \( P_0 \), the range of those characteristic rain intensity lines is expanded by 0.68%~1.37%, and the average rain amount is enlarged by 11.06%~20.48%. When keeping the low ambient pressure unchanged, both the point average rain intensity reduction along the releasing centerline and the surface average rain amount growth with increased inflow discharge all follow an exponential function. The aeration reduction in the waterjet boundary and the resistance reduction in atomized water-droplets are contributing factors for the enhancement effect of low ambient pressure. This study can enable the establishment of a foundation to further predict flood discharge atomization in a high-altitude environment.
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1. Introduction

As fundamental infrastructure components of flood control and energy development systems, many large hydraulic projects have been constructed or are under construction globally [1–3]. The flood discharge security of these projects largely depends on the reasonable design of releasing structures and security regulations suitable for discharge environments [4]. Changes in the local meteorological environment induced by atomized flow during flood discharge are commonly manifested as super-heavy rain and widespread mist—namely, flood discharge atomization. This situation can be seen from Jinping-1 Hydropower Station in Figure 1, where, under different energy
dissipation conditions, the atomized rain intensity has been measured as high as 534 mm/h when
induced by dam orifice discharging \((Q = 4100 \text{ m}^3/\text{s})\), \(2#/4#\) deep orifices and \(2#/3#\) surface orifices in
Figure 1a) and up to 331 mm/h when induced by spillway tunnel discharging \((Q = 2130 \text{ m}^3/\text{s})\), gate
opening of 75% in Figure 1b [5]. In surveying global studies on atomized flow, it is found that most
flood discharge atomization occurs in China, especially for hydropower stations with ski-jump
energy dissipation characterized by high heads, high discharge and deep canyons. It has been widely
recognized that hazard sources arise from strong atomized rain, and major atomized rain sources are
generated by aerial waterjet collisions and the hydraulic impact of falling waterjets into a water
cushion [6]. With approximately 30 years of progressive research, the damages caused by strong
atomized rain have been greatly reduced, but they still occur occasionally, such as the severe
landslides at the Longyangxia Hydropower Station in 1987 and 1989 due to its soft geology and arid
climate [7], the unexpectedly high level of atomized rain at the Ertan Hydropower Station due to a
high energy dissipation ratio with aerial waterjet collisions in 1998 [8], the rare rainstorm disaster of
the Shuibuya Hydropower Station formed by the combination of atomized and natural rain in 2016
[9], and the flood at the Nazixia Hydropower Station Powerhouse due to unreasonable design in 2017
[10]. Moreover, the slip square has reached 81 million m\(^3\) in the “1989·7·26” slope instability of the
Longyangxia Hydropower Station [7], and the direct economic loss has reached about 50 million yuan
for the “2016·7·19” flood event of the Shuibuya Hydropower Station [9]. From the above, we can see
that such strong precipitation events probably led to a variety of direct damages and secondary
disasters such as those resulting from extreme natural rain events, which can bring more severe
economic and environmental consequences with characteristics of the new age [11,12]. To minimize
production and living damage, partition protections have been acknowledged as a mitigation
strategy according to rain thresholds based on precipitation forecast results. Therefore, predicting
and controlling flood discharge atomization is a long-term goal, especially for projects with unique
structures or complex designs. Furthermore, due to both generation and diffusion processes of
atomized rain containing complicated water-air interactions, the low ambient pressure environment
possibly affects the atomization processes to a varying degree, which will increase the uncertainty of
the prediction of the distribution of rain atomization. The challenge of flood discharge atomization
increases because some hydropower stations with ski-jump energy dissipation are constructed and
operated in areas with low air density. For example, the ambient pressure of the Rumei spillways is
only 73.53 kPa, which means the operating pressure is only 0.72 that of the standard pressure \(P_0\)
(101.53 kPa) [5,13].

---

Figure 1. Prototype observation of flood discharge atomization in Jinping-1 Hydropower Station. (a)
Flood discharge atomization induced by the release from dam orifices; (b) Flood discharge atomization induced by the release from a spillway tunnel.

In an attempt to avoid and reduce the negative effects of strong atomized rain, the prediction
and classification of atomized rain have attracted considerable attention. In order to accurately
predict the intensity of atomized rain, the random splash models are proposed to explore the
atomization mechanism and have been successfully applied in projects [10,14–16]. More specifically,
the random splash models derive from a better insight into prototypical atomized sources. Different
from scale tests designed by the gravity similarity criterion according to individual projects, the
random splash models focus on common factors of atomized rain without thinking about scale effects. In practice, the distribution of atomized rain arising from random splash models are used for the qualitative analysis and quantitative prediction of uncertainty factors, and eventually, the main influencing factors and influencing modes of atomized rain can be identified by introducing random functions. However, the atomized rain intensity arising from the scale tests needs to be corrected by statistical law, and then the degree and area of atomized rain can be described by a geometric scale depending on the corrected rain intensity [5]. Liu et al. [17] measured rain intensity distribution splashed by high-velocity waterjets with velocities of 37.08 m/s, 52.40 m/s and 58.59 m/s. Liu et al. [15], Liu et al. [18], and Lian et al. [19,20] carried out diverse random splash experiments induced by a single waterjet with relatively low velocities. In such experiments, the distribution law of point average rain intensity is used to explore the formation of the random splash source and the influence mechanism of the atomized rain field, which is introduced in the application of the parameter calibration for numerical models to predict the full-scale distribution of atomized rain in prototype engineering [14–16]; the distribution law of surface average rain amount is designed to explore the relationship between inflow hydraulic conditions and localized atomized rain, which has been qualitatively extended to analyze the impact and protection design in local area [10]. The random splash process can be divided into three steps [15,18–20]. First, when the aerated waterjet comes into contact with the water cushion, a great impact force is produced, similar to solid crashes. Subsequently, part of the water splashes out, further breaking under the action of nappe wind. Finally, variable-size atomized water-droplets spread downstream and converge on a solid surface. That is, the stochastic splash is of macroscopic splash characteristics with an enormous number of water-droplets, which is different from applications in other fields, e.g., forest fire fighting (liquid droplets impinging on a solid surface) [21], pesticide spraying (liquid droplets impinging on leaf surface) [22], diving (a solid falling into a liquid surface) [23], wind-driven rain erosion (rain droplets driven by high-speed wind impinging on building facades) [24], rain–wind-induced vibrations (rain droplets driven by high-speed wind impinging on beam or rope structures) [25], vehicle soiling and aircraft icing problems (droplets impinging on a high-speed moving boundary) [26], etc. Currently, all random splash models are conducted under atmospheric pressure with particular emphasis on various hydraulic conditions of inflow waterjets. Nevertheless, environmental conditions like ambient pressure have not been systematically considered and studied [5], even though the splash in particular is likely to be influenced by low ambient pressure in the course of waterjet aeration and atomized water-droplets diffusion [27–31].

In high-altitude areas, the decrease in air density can reduce the ambient pressure, which generally results in low ambient pressure effect in many fields. To assess the low ambient pressure effect, it is helpful to conduct a relevant experiment in an artificial low-pressure environment. Depressurized chambers have been applied to mechanism research and practical applications in many related fields. In the study of water mist and water-droplets, Cai [32] discovered that the water mist tends to gather towards the conical surface under operating conditions of reduced ambient pressure (<\(P_0\)) and constant nozzle pressure; Wang et al. [33] found that the mean diameters of water mist decrease as the ambient pressure decreases (<\(P_0\)) under conditions with the same working pressure of water mist system, while axial velocities of water mist droplets are affected by the ambient and system working pressure; Tsai et al. [34] indicated that there is a dry area at the collision center that can trap an air mass after an ethanol drop (millimeter-sized) impacts a solid wall, and a lower ambient pressure leads to a smaller splash; Mitchell et al. [35] presented droplet splash experiments using 3.5mm diameter ethanol droplets discretely impacting on metal surfaces at 4m/s, in which the impulse and peak force of collisions were slightly higher in low ambient pressure (23.3 kPa) than in stranded pressure (101 kPa) despite the lower ambient pressure significantly reducing the corona splash; Latka et al. [36] analyzed the inhibiting effects of surface roughness and environmental pressure, such that the splash can be suppressed under low ambient pressure conditions with very rough surfaces and the splash can be produced under high ambient pressure conditions with relatively smooth surfaces; Burzynski et al. [37] expounded that the angle and number of secondary droplets are affected by the surrounding gas, but the size distribution and horizontal velocity are not
affected by the impacting course of a droplet and a smooth dry glass surface. Hence, low ambient pressure has a high potential to impact the movement of atomized rain droplets. Speaking of hydraulic model experiments, experimental results indicate that decreasing the ambient pressure can lead to a decrease in gas nuclei and an increase in the surface tension coefficient of the still water, and meanwhile can enhance the dynamic pressure of a plunge pool floor [30]. Hence, the low ambient pressure probably affects the flood discharge security of high-altitude hydropower stations. However, systematic research results remain rare, especially in the field of flood discharge atomization generated by high-velocity modeling waterjets [5].

Therefore, it is urgent to carry out a random splash experiment associated with low ambient pressure to meet the demand of flood discharge atomization protection in high-altitude hydropower stations. In this paper, a random splash experiment is designed in a depressurized chamber to evaluate the effects of low ambient pressure on downstream atomized rain splashed by a high-velocity waterjet. In detail, the regulation of the stable gas–water coupling environment and the measurement of atomized rain in the restricted closed environment will be introduced. The experimental results of atomized rain distribution and relevant factors will be discussed and analysed.

2. Experimental Setup and Measurements

2.1. Design and Regulation of Experimental Platform

The experiment was conducted on a two-phase experimental platform characterized by high flow velocity and low ambient pressure at the State Key Laboratory of Hydraulic Engineering Simulation and Safety of Tianjin University. The high velocity of the waterjet was created by a jet pump and a constricted nozzle. The low pressure in the depressurized chamber was regulated by a vacuum pump and a sealed air tank. The time-averaged point rain amount in a horizontal plane and the space-averaged surface rain amount in an inclined plane were designed as the measurement parameters. Figure 2 describes the physical diagram and partial design of the experimental platform, including the layout of the depressurized chamber (Figure 2a), the layout of the constricted nozzle (Figure 2b), the point rain collection and the measurement device of the horizontal plane (Figure 2c) and the surface rain collection and the measurement device of the inclined plane (Figure 2d).

As shown in Figure 2a, the depressurized chamber was designed as a cuboid with an internal volume of 51.00 m (length) × 4.50 m (height) × 1.25 m (width). The water-regulating and air-regulating devices were installed outside the depressurized chamber. In the depressurized chamber, a coordinate system was built by taking the center point of the constricted nozzle outlet as the coordinate origin, in which the x-axis, y-axis, and z-axis separately represent the flow direction, transverse direction, and vertical direction; the experimental area is 1487 cm long along the flow direction, which is surrounded by the depressurized chamber walls and a rectangular weir (125 cm in width and 56 cm in height).

As a classic fluid problem [38–40], the rectangular waterjet also plays an important role in the research on flood discharge atomization [14]. Therefore, the constricted nozzle was designed with a rectangular outlet, including three sections along the flow direction: Section 1 is a circle-to-square transition region for connecting the upstream water pipe (D = 20 cm), Section 2 is a contraction section (12.50 cm wide × 12.50 cm high to 10.00 cm wide × 3.00 cm high) for improving the velocity, and Section 3 is a rectifying section (10.00 cm wide × 3.00 cm high) for producing a regular waterjet; the sections are 30.00 cm, 25.00 cm, and 20.00 cm long, respectively, as shown in Figure 2b.

Within experimental conditions, the waterjet diffusion varies from the initial width of 10.00 cm to the impacting width about 40.00 cm (one-third of the depressurized chamber width) without coming into contact with solid walls; the non-atomized region in the flow direction and vertical direction takes up nearly two-thirds room of the depressurized chamber without affecting the atomized rain diffusion, and the walls on both sides along the flow direction can hinder the transverse diffusion of atomized rain to a certain extent; the horizontal diffusion of the waterjet is sufficient to produce a large amount of atomization , which can further result in splash atomized rain in the
measurement area. As a consequence, the experimental design considers the verisimilitude of the physical model and the testability of the test object to maximize the simulation of the discharge environments in high-altitude and deep-canyon hydropower stations based on the existing decompression conditions.

The first set of the experiment (Exp.1) was designed to measure the point rain amount in the horizontal plane by an electronic scale (accuracy of 0.01 g and range of 5 kg), as detailed in Figure 2a and 2c. The point rain collecting device is located 450.00 cm long in the horizontal plane at the elevation of −50.00 cm, which includes two sections with upstream pile numbers of 984.00 cm and 1261.30 cm. Ninety-one (13 × 7 matrix) and 28 (14 × 2 matrix) measurement points were placed separately in Regions I and II, respectively, for point rain collecting. The location of the center point on the rain point collector entrance face (500 ml measurement cylinder with a diameter of 5.75 cm) is defined as the measurement point coordinate. The rain collectors are placed at constant transects spacing 10.60 cm apart in the measurement plane, except for boundary points in the two measurement transition zones. To maintain the measurement points consistent, rain collectors were installed in the horizontal orifice plate ($D = 86$ mm). The horizontal orifice plate was equipped with frame beams and bottom plates to prevent plane deformation and maintain rain collectors repeatable. To avoid ineffective atomized rain effects, a remote-controlled curtain (4.0 m in high and 1.20 m in wide) hung 50 cm upstream from Region I and a plastic cloth by adding pressure rods were used to cover the point collectors.

The second set of the experiment (Exp.2) was designed to measure the surface rain amount in the inclined plane, as detailed in Figure 2a and 2d. The surface rain was converged by a collecting panel and recorded by rain gauges at per minute. The rain surface collector is half of an inclined rectangular plate (116.00 cm wide × 97.50 cm high) that is inclined $18^\circ$ towards the downstream. The inclined rectangular plate is surrounded by a 3.00 cm high cofferdam, separated by a vertical separator with a thickness of 5 mm in the center, and arranged an overflow hole at the bottom corner. The rain gauge can self-record and is self-powered, with a measurement range of 0 ~ 4.0 mm/min and a measurement accuracy of ± 0.2 mm. The collecting precipitation flowed through the surface rain collector, a delivery pipe (free flow), an overflow diverter, three delivery pipes (free flow), and then into the rain gauges.
Figure 2. Physical diagram and partial design of the experimental platform. (a) Depressurized chamber layout; (b) Constricted nozzle layout; (c) Point rain collection and measurement device of the horizontal plane; (d) Surface rain collection and measurement device of the inclined plane.

Figure 3 displays the schematic diagram with the water-regulating system in blue, the air-regulating system in red and the depressurized chamber in black. The water circulation system consists of three water pumps, nine water valves, and three electromagnetic flowmeters and one water level gauge, including three functional paths (replenish, circulate and drain water paths). The ambient pressure regulation system was designed to pump and replenish air. Five suction air pipes were connected to the top of the depressurized chamber, and two supplementary air pipes were connected to the atmosphere environment. In addition, a vacuum meter (the accuracy of 0.02P₀ and the range of −1.0P₀~0P₀) and an absolute pressure sensor (the accuracy of 0.1% FS and the range of 0~10 m) were arranged at the top of the depressurized chamber to record the ambient pressure.

The control and maintenance of the experimental system were very important, especially under unconventional operation conditions [41]. Some details were conducive to the stable adjustment of the experimental conditions (green arrows in Figure 3): two diversion positions were arranged on an elevated water tank (①) and behind a jet pump (②), and the branch flow was back into the depressurized chamber downstream (③); suction and supplementary air pipes were connected with the same ventilation supervisor (④), and the air pipe of vacuum pump was extended to the underground reservoir to reduce air pollution (⑤).
Figure 3. Schematic diagram of water-regulating and air-regulating systems. Notes: 1-depressurized chamber, 2-rectangular weir, 3-observation window, 4-rectifier grille, 5-water level gauge, 6-sealed door, 7-stiffening girder, 8-underground reservoir, 9-elevated water tank, 10-diversion weir tank, 11-jet pump, 12-electromagnetic flowmeter, 13-centrifugal pump, 14-water valve, 15-pipe strainer, 16-constricted nozzle, 17-sealed air tank, 18-vacuum pump, 19-air valve, 20-pressure gauge.

2.2. Measurement Conditions and Data Statistics

2.2.1. Atomized Point Rain Measurement of Exp.1

In Exp.1, the random splash experiment contained 20 conditions \( H_j \) with four inflow discharges \( \frac{Q_i}{m^3/h} \), \( i = 1 \sim 4 \) and five low ambient pressures \( \frac{P_j}{P_0}, j = 1 \sim 5 \), as shown in Table 1. With the outlet surface area of the constricted nozzle \( 30.00 \text{ cm}^2 \), the average exit velocity \( \frac{V_i}{m/s} \) could be calculated. The effective collection time of each condition lasted 40 minutes and was duplicated three times, so the calculation time \( \Delta T \) was 2 hours. The measurement principle was to weigh the increased precipitation in the point rain collector over an experimental period using an electronic scale. The effective measurement position and time of the point rain collector must be controlled.

| \( H_j \) \( \text{m}^3/h \) | \( V_i \) \( m/s \) | \( P_j / P_0 \) |
|---|---|---|
| \( H_{1(1\sim5)} \) | 115.00 | 10.65 | 0.99 | 0.90 | 0.81 | 0.72 | 0.62 |
| \( H_{2(1\sim5)} \) | 120.00 | 11.11 | 0.99 | 0.90 | 0.81 | 0.72 | 0.62 |
| \( H_{3(1\sim5)} \) | 125.00 | 11.57 | 0.99 | 0.90 | 0.81 | 0.72 | 0.62 |
| \( H_{4(1\sim5)} \) | 130.00 | 12.04 | 0.99 | 0.90 | 0.81 | 0.72 | 0.62 |

In the horizontal plane, \( P_{(m,n)} \) represents the mearing point, in which \( m \) is the serial number \( m = 1 \sim 27 \) of the point rain collectors along the x-axial direction (flow direction), \( n \) is the serial number \( n = 1 \sim 7 \text{ in Region I } \) and \( n = 1 \sim 2 \text{ in Region II } \) of the point rain collectors along the y-axial direction (transverse direction). Therefore, the increasing mass of the point rain collector \( \frac{M}{kg} \) is expressed as the difference between the bottle mass before and after the experiment (kg). With the inlet area of point rain collector \( A_m = 2.60 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^2 \), the average rain intensity of each point \( \frac{RI_{(m,n)}}{mm/h} \) can be determined by Equation. (1). The average rain intensity \( \frac{RI_{(m,n)}}{mm/h} \) of the transverse line along the y-axis in Regions I and II can be calculated by using Equation (2) and Equation (3). Then, the rain distribution along the x-axis can be acquired.
2.2.2. Atomized Surface Rain Measurement of Exp.2

In Exp.2, the random splash experiment contained 25 conditions (I_n) of Exp.2 with five inflow discharges (Q_i (m^3/h), i=1-5) and five low ambient pressures (P_j, j=1-5), as shown in Table 2. With the outlet area of the constricted nozzle (30.00 cm^2), the average exit velocity (V_m / (m/s)) could be obtained. The effective collection time of each condition in Exp.2 was continued for 10 min and duplicated three times. The surface rain was recorded per minute using rain gauges, so the control of the confluent rain amount in the test range of rain gauge effectively was important for experimental success.

Table 2. Operating conditions parameters of Exp. 2.

| I_n | Q_i (m^3/h) | V_m (m/s) | P_i / P_0 | P_0=101.53 kPa |
|-----|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| I_1(1-5) | 115.39 | 10.68 | 0.99 | 0.90 | 0.81 | 0.72 | 0.62 |
| I_2(1-5) | 119.97 | 11.11 | 0.99 | 0.90 | 0.81 | 0.72 | 0.62 |
| I_3(1-5) | 124.74 | 11.55 | 0.99 | 0.90 | 0.81 | 0.72 | 0.62 |
| I_4(1-5) | 126.00 | 11.67 | 0.99 | 0.90 | 0.81 | 0.72 | 0.62 |
| I_5(1-5) | 127.68 | 11.82 | 0.99 | 0.90 | 0.81 | 0.72 | 0.62 |

In the inclined plane, synchronizing the rain gauge time with a stopwatch was helpful to identify the effective working period before the experimental processing; the converged flow was regulated by three water valves near the overflow diverter. During the experimental processing, the effective test start time was set 10 minutes later than the debugging completion time to ensure stability; the water level of the airtight cylinder was below the bottom of the rain gauge. The instantaneous rain intensity (RI_i / (mm/h)), average rain amount (RA_i / (L/h)) and atomized source ratio (R_i / (10^{-3}%)) in the inclined plane can be given by Equation (4) – Equation (6).

\[
RI_i = \left(60 \times A_k \times \sum_{L=1}^{10} I_{Lk}\right) / (A_k / 2),
\]

\[
RA_i = A_k \times \sum_{L=1}^{10} I_{Lk} \times (T_k \times 2),
\]

\[
R_i = (RA_i \times 100) / Q_i,
\]

where \(A_k\) is the area of the single-electrical recording gauge (3.14 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m}^2), \(L\) is the serial number of the electrical recording gauges, \(I_{Lk}\) is the rain intensity recorded by single-electrical recording gauge (mm/min), \(T_k\) is the calculation time (30 min), the measurement surface is \(A_k / 2\), \(A_k\) is 1.13 m^2, and \(Q_i\) is the inflow discharge, m^3/h.

3. Results and Discussions
3.1. Horizontal Plane Distribution of Atomized Rain

Atomized rain is commonly characterized by the rain intensity, which is used to classify the atomized zone. The average rain intensity of each point in Regions I and II was calculated according to Equation (1). Figure 4 depicts the atomized rain distribution described by cloud atlas with 10 equidistant color levels. In all cases, the rain intensity ranges are separately within 0–5, 0–10, 0–20, and 0–60 mm/h under inflow discharge conditions with 115, 120, 125, and 130 m³/h.

It can be seen from Figure 4 that the point rain intensity in the horizontal plane increases under constant inflow discharge conditions with the decreasing of ambient pressure, which causes an increase in the atomized zone and attenuation gradient in the flow direction along the x-axis; the rain intensity of majority measurement points in the horizontal plane increases with increasing inflow discharge under conditions with certain low ambient pressure, which is consistent with the trend of the ambient pressure effect. Comparing the enhanced effects on atomized rain induced by the lower ambient pressure and higher inflow discharge, although the increment of atomized rain intensity range due to the decrease in ambient pressure by about 0.40 $P_0$ is smaller than that due to the increase in inflow discharge by 5 m³/h, the effect of low pressure is also very obvious, directly leading to the extension of the local protection area to the downstream.

It also can be seen from Figure 4 that the two-dimensional distribution of atomized rain under low ambient pressure shares the same trend with that under normal pressure, in which the transverse gradient is closely symmetrical with a small gradient and the flow gradient decreases with the first steep change and then slows. Additionally, the reason for the transverse distributed rule is that the transverse diffusion of atomized water-droplets is nearly equal to the depressurized chamber width in a short time, as the measurement zone width is approximately twice the entry-water width of the waterjet and two-thirds the width of the water cushion zone. Meanwhile, the reason for the difference in flow distribution is due to the diversity movement of atomized water-droplets generated by the splashing of the waterjet front edge. The movement difference of atomized water-droplets is a result of the emission conditions (velocity, angle, diameter, etc.) and diffusion environment (natural wind, waterjet wind, three-dimensional terrain, ambient pressure, etc.) [15,18,19].

![Diagram](image1)

**Legend**

- $R_{IP}$
- $P_i$ = inflow discharge
- $P_0$ = ambient pressure

---

**Figure 4**

- (a) Case $H_{11}$
- (b) Case $H_{31}$
- (a) Case $H_{13}$
- (b) Case $H_{33}$
- (a) Case $H_{15}$
- (b) Case $H_{35}$
Figure 4. Rain intensity distribution of each point in Region I affected by low ambient pressure. (a) $Q = 115.00$ m³/h and $V = 10.65$ m/s; (b) $Q = 120$ m³/h and $V = 11.11$ m/s; (c) $Q = 125.00$ m³/h and $V = 11.57$ m/s; (d) $Q = 130.00$ m³/h and $V = 12.04$ m/s.

To further analyze the influence of low ambient pressure on rain intensity line range along the flow direction of the horizontal plane, the average rain intensity of the transverse line was calculated according to Equation (2) and Equation (3). According to the partition standard of atomized rain, the atomized rain zone can be divided into four types by using rain intensity lines at 2, 10 and 40 mm/h; namely, a light fog and vapor area, a mist and rainfall area, a heavy fog and rainstorm area and a waterjet spallation and splash area. Therefore, the distance of rain intensity lines (40, 10, 2 mm/h) of the horizontal plane from the constricted nozzle outlet affected by low ambient pressure can be calculated by fitting calculation, as shown in Figure 5a and 5b.

It can be found in Figure 5a that the distributed trend along the flow direction can be well-matched with a composite exponential formula of $\exp(a + bx + cx^2)$ under constant test conditions. Therefore, the measuring points in this atomized rain area can be simplified appropriately according to the function relation in a similar experiment, in which it is advisable to arrange 3~5 measuring points in different atomized rain areas.

It can be found in Figure 5b that when the ambient pressure drops by $0.10P_0$, the range of the characteristic rain intensity line at 2 mm/h experiences an increase of $0.10-0.14$ m and that of 10 and 40 mm/h separately increase by $0.07$ m–$0.10$ m and $0.07$ m, respectively, which is in accordance with linear growth rates within the ranges of $0.92-1.37$, $0.68 -1.01$ and $0.69$ ($\% / (0.10P_0)$), respectively, under experimental inflow discharges. Under the constant inflow discharge, the effect degrees of low ambient pressure on the mist and rainfall area (rain intensity $\geq$ 2 mm/h), the heavy fog and rainstorm area (rain intensity $\geq$10 mm/h), and the waterjet spallation and splash area (rain intensity $\geq$ 40 mm/h) are decreased in turn. Surprisingly, in the mist and rainfall area, the rain intensity tends to coincide as the $x$-axis increases, regardless of ambient pressure. Therefore, it can be inferred that the atomized rainstorm area (rain intensity $\geq$10 mm/h) of high-altitude hydro-junction possibly appears in unprotected areas without considering low ambient pressure correction.
3.2. Inclined Plane Distribution of Atomized Rain

In the atomized protection of actual projects, the local protection also plays an equally important role as the whole protection. For instance, the tunnel portal and powerhouse all require a drainage system based on the assessment of surface confluent rain. Therefore, the instantaneous rain intensity of the inclined plane is calculated according to Equation (4). Figure 6 displays the instantaneous rain intensity of the inclined plane affected by low ambient pressure with five inflow discharge conditions, which is distributed on a radar map including 30 circumferential time nodes and six radial rain intensity contours that increase from inner circle to outer circle with equal gradient. The rain intensity intervals are within 0–3, 2–7, 4–14, 12–27, and 16–36 mm/h, which separately correspond with the cases of $I_{1(1–5)}$, $I_{2(1–5)}$, $I_{3(1–5)}$, $I_{4(1–5)}$, and $I_{5(1–5)}$ (Figure 6a–e).

As illustrated in Figure 6, the instantaneous rain intensity of the inclined plane expands outward radially as the ambient pressure decreases with constant inflow discharge, which indicates that the instantaneous rain intensity can be affected by the ambient pressure reduction. Also, the decrease in ambient pressure increases the mean value of the instantaneous rain intensity and the growth rate increases as the inflow discharge increases. This results from the integrated impacts, such as the waterjet characteristics, which are related to the atomized line source amount and the air resistance, directly affecting the atomized water-droplets diffusion. Meanwhile, under certain low ambient pressure conditions, increasing the inflow discharge causes the mean value and fluctuation interval to increase. This is mostly because the principal component of the atomized droplet spectrum gradually changes from small diffused droplets to large splash droplets with increasing inflow discharge, which increases the base weight and randomness of the confluent rain.
To further analyze the influence of low ambient pressure on average rain amount characteristics in the inclined plane, the surface average rain amount is calculated according to Equation (5), as shown in Figure 7. Then, the atomized rain increment (Gr) and increased percentage (GPGr) are calculated when the ambient pressure dropped by 0.10P₀, and then the required inflow discharge increment (GQ) and increased percentage (GPQ) for the same atomized rain increment under stranded pressure P₀(101.53 kPa) are calculated averagely, as indicated in Table 3.

It can be found in Figure 7a and Table 3 that the links between the average rain amount growth and the ambient pressure reduction obey a linear relationship under constant inflow discharge in the inclined plane. When the ambient pressure is reduced by 0.10 P₀, the linear growth amounts under inflow discharge conditions of 115.39, 119.97, 124.74, 126.00 and 127.68 m³/h are 0.28, 0.61, 1.13, 1.98 and 2.83 L/h, respectively, with growth rates 20.48%, 15.89%, 12.65%, 11.06% and 11.56%. Meanwhile, a higher inflow discharge can lead to a stronger enhancement effect of low ambient pressure on the average rain amount with the growth rate from sharp to stable. In addition, the atomized source ratio is within ((0~30) × 10⁻³)% according to Equation (6), which matches the same trend of average rain amount affected by low ambient pressure.

It can be found in Figure 7b and Table 3 that, under constant low ambient pressure conditions, the average rain amount increase results from the increase in inflow discharge. Under conditions with experimental inflow discharges, the growth of the average rain amount can be quantified by increasing the inflow discharge of 0.41~0.62 m³/h as the ambient pressure drops by 0.10P₀ which is equal to an increase of 0.33%~0.53% relative to the standard inflow discharge. The higher the inflow discharge is, the smaller the compensation inflow discharge is, because the average rain amount increases with a composite exponential formula of \( \exp(a+bQ+cQ^2) \) as the inflow discharge increases. Therefore, the average rain amount atomized in the local area is relatively sensitive, regardless of the ambient pressure decreases and the inflow discharge increases.
Figure 7. Average rain amount characteristics. (a) Affected by low ambient pressure; (b) Affected by inflow discharge.

Table 3. Equivalent increase of average rain amount by decreasing ambient pressure and increasing inflow discharge.

| $Q_i$  | $G_P$ | $GP_P$ | $G_Q$ | $GP_Q$ |
|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|
| m$^3$/h | L/h   | %      | m$^3$/h | %      |
| 115.39 | 0.28  | 20.48  | 0.62   | 0.53   |
| 119.97 | 0.61  | 15.89  | 0.53   | 0.44   |
| 124.74 | 1.13  | 12.65  | 0.45   | 0.36   |
| 126.00 | 1.98  | 11.06  | 0.41   | 0.33   |
| 127.68 | 2.83  | 11.56  | 0.43   | 0.34   |

3.3. Analysis of Depressurized Effects

In random splash theory [14–16], the atomized process can be described as a semi-empirical and semi-theoretical model that considers the impinging outer edges of waterjets as atomized line sources and splash droplets as independently moving spheres. Therefore, the movements of experimental waterjets and atomized water-droplets are taken into account in this section.

Figure 8 and Figure 9 display the waterjet diffusion in air and the downstream flow pattern in the water cushion. In Figure 8, the horizontal diffusion of the waterjet is obvious due to a high exit velocity at a magnitude of 10 m/s. Compared with the horizontal images in Figure 8 and Figure 9, the low ambient pressure with the same inflow discharge can narrow the waterjet transverse diffusion width and lessen the side-spallation under conditions with different ambient pressures and the same inflow discharge, but this parameter has less effect on the water-collision location and the downstream flow pattern in the water cushion. As stated in the studies of [31,42,43], waterjet properties can vary with aeration concentration. Zhang et al. [31] found that the upper boundaries of aerated waterjets become shorter as the aeration concentration of circular waterjets decreases and that the spallation water-droplet velocities tend to be higher. Deng et al. [42] stated that scour can become stronger when induced by rectangular submerged waterjets under experimental conditions with a lower aeration concentration and the same inflow velocity. Dong et al. [43] discovered that, regarding the dynamic pressure on the bottom of a water cushion generated by a two-dimensional submerged waterjet, the lower the aeration concentration of the waterjet is, the higher the averaged pressure is, and the lower the fluctuation pressure is. Therefore, it is speculated that the aeration concentration of the waterjet outer boundary can decrease in a low ambient pressure environment, which can increase the number of atomized line sources due to the increase in the water-entry velocity of impacting waterjet.

Meanwhile, the atomized water-droplet velocity can be described by Equation (7).

$$\frac{d\bar{v}_i}{dt} = -c_i |\bar{v}| - v_i \left| \frac{3}{4D \rho} (v_i - v_s) + \frac{\rho - \rho_s}{\rho_s} g_i \right| \quad (i = 1, 2, 3),$$

(7)
where, \(v_i\) and \(v_f\) are the atomized water-droplet velocity and wind velocity (m/s), respectively; \(v_i\) and \(v_s\) are the components of the atomized water-droplet velocity and wind velocity (m/s), respectively; \(g\) is the gravitational acceleration, (m/s\(^2\)); \(i\) represents the axis in the cartesian coordinate system (m/s); \(\rho_w\) and \(\rho_a\) are the water and air density (kg/m\(^3\)), respectively; \(D\) is the average diameter of atomized water-droplet, 0.003 m~0.005 m, \(c_f\) is the air resistance coefficient of atomized droplet, \(c_f\) depends on the relative Reynolds number \(\text{Re}_f = \left( \frac{v_f - v_i}{D} \right) \), and \(v_s\) is the dynamic viscosity coefficient of air.

As indicated in Equation (7), the atomized water-droplet velocity is dependent on the initial injection and environmental conditions and can be solved by the Fourth-Order Runge-Kutta method. Both the atomized water-droplet velocity and wind velocity are generalized by the water-entry velocity of impacting waterjet [14]. The air resistance coefficient is close to 0.44 as the exit velocity of the experimental waterjet is in the order of 10 m/s in this experiment [18]. Although the decrease in air density can bring on the decrease in air resistance and the increase of buoyancy force, the decrease in air resistance has more impact than the increase in the buoyancy force [30]. Therefore, it can be further indicated that the decrease in air density can also make a direct contribution to the enhancement of downstream atomized rain.

Both lower ambient pressure and higher inflow discharge can enhance downstream atomized rain, but with different mechanics. Compared with the vertical images in Figure 8 and Figure 9, as the inflow discharge increases with the same ambient pressure, the water-collision locations obviously move downstream, and the milky white zones of the water cushion surface expand. Therefore, the main contribution of the enhancement in downstream atomized rain due to the increase in inflow discharge is the downstream movement of the water-collision location and the enlargement of the atomized line sources due to higher impacting waterjet velocities. Therefore, an in-depth study on the high-velocity diffusion mechanism of aerated waterjets and atomized water-droplets in low ambient pressure environments needs to be carried out.
Figure 8. Waterjet aerial diffusion affected by low ambient pressure. (a) $q = 115.00$ m$^3$/h and $v = 10.65$ m/s; (b) $q = 125.00$ m$^3$/h and $v = 11.57$ m/s.

Figure 9. Downstream flow patterns in water cushion affected by low ambient pressure. (a) $q = 115.00$ m$^3$/h and $v = 10.65$ m/s; (b) $q = 125.00$ m$^3$/h and $v = 11.57$ m/s.

4. Conclusions

The links between downstream atomized rain and low ambient pressure are investigated by the random splash experiment in the depressurized chamber, in which the waterjet exit velocity is in the order of a 10 m/s release and the low ambient pressure is reduced from approximately $1.00P_0$ to $0.60P_0$. The main conclusions are listed below:

1. Under constant low ambient pressure conditions, both the point rain intensity and surface rain amount increase with increasing inflow discharge; the average rain intensity in the horizontal plane is symmetrical and similar in the transverse direction and decreases along the flow direction; both the decreasing trend of average rain intensity along the releasing centerline ($x$) and the increasing trend of average rain amount with an enlarged outflow flux ($Q$) can be described by the exponential formula of $\exp(a + bx + cx^2)$ and $\exp(a + bQ + cQ^2)$.

2. As the ambient pressure decreases, the point rain intensity increases, and the rain intensity lines extend linearly downstream in the horizontal plane. The downstream expansion distances of
rain lines at 2, 10 and 40 mm/h are within 0.10–0.14 m / 0.10P₀, 0.07–0.10 m / 0.10 P₀, and 0.07 m / 0.10 P₀, respectively, and the expansion rates are within 0.92%–1.37% / 0.10 P₀, 0.68%–1.01% / 0.10 P₀ and 0.69% / 0.10 P₀, respectively, separately corresponding to experimental conditions with inflow discharges of 120.00 m³/h, 125.00 m³/h, and 130.00 m³/h. So the atomized rainstorm area in high-altitude hydro-junction need be expanded by considering low ambient pressure correction.

(3) As the ambient pressure decreases, the instantaneous and mean value of surface rain intensity increase, along with the expansion of the fluctuation interval, which results in a linear increase in the average rain amount and mean amount ratio (within ((0–30) × 10⁻²) %) in the inclined plane. The average rain amount under low ambient pressure conditions is larger, within 0.28–2.83 L/h / 0.10P₀, and the percentage of average rain amount is larger, within 11.06%–20.48% / 0.10P₀. Additionally, the equivalent increase in average rain amount affected by low ambient pressure can be quantified by adjusting the inflow discharge with nonlinear variation in experimental conditions.

(4) The transverse diffusion of an aerial waterjet decreases under low ambient pressure conditions, while the waterjet impact position, as well as the downstream flow pattern in the water cushion, remain almost invariant. Further analysis pointed out that the reduction in the waterjet aeration and air density can directly enhance the downstream atomized rain under low ambient pressure conditions.

The low ambient pressure enhancement effect on downstream atomized rain has been confirmed from the experimental results, which means that multi-scale predictive methods and multi-form protective modes need to be explored. Additionally, the quantitative study on the variation of the intensity and range of atomized rain in low ambient pressure conditions can accurately improve the atomized protection standard of prototypical discharge project in the high-altitude area. In the future, it is urgent to carry out long-term systematic research with multi-objective optimization requirements on flood discharge atomization of high-altitude hydropower stations integrated in the areas of quality and cost control with eco-friendly. Our further studies will focus on mechanism analysis and numerical prediction so as to provide a theoretical foundation for flood discharge atomization prediction of high dam projects in high altitude areas.
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