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Abstract- Estimating the depth of the scene from a monocular image is an essential step for image semantic understanding. Practically, some existing methods for this highly ill-posed issue are still in lack of robustness and efficiency. This paper proposes a novel end-to-end depth estimation model with skip connections from a pre-trained Xception model for dense feature extraction, and three new modules are designed to improve the upsampling process. In addition, ELU activation and convolutions with smaller kernel size are added to improve the pixel-wise regression process. The experimental results show that our model has fewer network parameters, a lower error rate than the most advanced networks and requires only half the training time. The evaluation is based on the NYU v2 dataset, and our proposed model can achieve clearer boundary details with state-of-the-art effects and robustness.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The depth estimation of monocular images is vital for computer vision tasks, which can be applied in many fields, including detection[1], segmentation[2], intelligent control[3], and pose estimation[4]. Adequate applications in automated industry and driverless cars[5] rely on the depth estimation method to measure 3D information to achieve scene reconstruction[6]. In other words, the depth is the distance between the camera and the objects. The main job requires a solution that can make good use of the plane details, shapes and prior knowledge from two-dimensional RGB images to explore the actual three-dimensional distance.

In recent years, depth estimation methods have made some progress via deep learning due to the convolutional neural network's feature representation effect. The CNN can help to understand RGB image semantic information and translate it into an RGB-D image. Though the quantitative evaluation becomes better, the actual prediction of depth maps still has low robustness and efficiency. At some point, higher accuracy is relative because the results cannot correspond with the input images, which means the loss of origin information. Missing details or low resolution may lead to divergence and incorrect judgment of intelligent decisions for applied robots. The main problems for depth estimation are the lack of specific details and inaccuracy for even areas; Therefore, we hope to propose a novel method to optimize the depth estimation model, maintaining both high-frequency information and object boundaries. Then, the balance of the predicting effect and quantitative indicators can work well at the same time.

We analyze recent excellent CNN depth estimation models and propose a new design to make the model can not only have good quantitative results but also ensure the depth map quality. It is difficult to normalize the feature resolution from different convolutional layers for effective concatenation by skip connections. In addition, the change in resolution may lead to higher error and convergence difficulty, so our main goal is to enhance transferred features efficiency, and normalize them achieving state-of-the-art accuracy for depth estimation. To realize our goal more efficiently, we actually add some small kernel convolutions to reduce the computational amount and other nonlinear functions to improve the regression effect.

In this paper, we propose a model that exploits transfer learning to recover high-quality depth maps. At the same time, we concentrate on the monocular image depth estimation in this research, and the experimental result show our designed module availability. Comparison with the state-of-the-art demonstrates the superiority of our proposed method, which can help to address the classic problems with predicting depth maps. Our main contribution in this paper is as follows:

- We propose three effective feature normalization modules to improve the feature aggregation process at different resolutions and make the depth inference more reliable.
- The proposed efficient end-to-end model for depth estimation helps the predicting process to maintain both the efficiency and superior accuracy of the state-of-the-art model.
- We introduce the Xception network as pre-trained encoder to accelerate training. Extensive experiments on NYU v2 demonstrate the superiority of
II. Related Work

In early depth estimation research, most studies were based on traditional machine learning algorithms with prior knowledge. Saxena[7] first defined a function to reflect the pixelwise relationship to a three-dimensional model and regress each pixel’s depth with a Markov random field. Liu[8] proposed a discrete-continuous conditional random field (CRF) model that deeply used the pixelwise relationship and Gaussian regression to estimate the specific depth. In the same year, Ladicky[9] leveraged the theory that the image scale is inversely proportional to its depth as the core reflects, and Wang[10] proposed a nonlinear kernel function to estimate depth and obtain the kernel function parameters through sampling.

As a development of deep learning, the CNN performs well in most computer vision tasks[39, 40, 41]. Eigen[11] first introduced this network into the depth estimation field by double-scale feature fusion, which consists of coarse and refined parts. These two parts are designed to extract global and local features. Before long, he improved the network structure and fusion scale types of features[12]. Liu[13] introduced CRF loss to optimize the prediction training process, which relies on less prior knowledge. As the research became deeper, the fully convolutional neural network[14] allowed dense estimation tasks to perform better and be more widely applicable, which was is usually difficult.

Above all, the actual feature capture effect for the CNN model is essential, so it is inadvisable to ignore the more complex models that can also perform well. For instance, the Inception series is also a significant development route. Therefore, in our experiment, we selected the efficient Xception[22] as our encoder. Xception is an extreme Inception V3 module[23], which not only maintains accuracy with the latter but also simplifies both parameters and module architecture. Furthermore, Xception improves the traditional convolutional operation, and it is based on the hypothesis that separable convolution with both channels and spatial correlations can perform better.

Although the network can perform well in some scenes, the decoder stacked by plain convolutions limits the model effectiveness for depth estimation. Therefore, we design the modules in the decoder parts to aggregate transferred features and enhance performance. As illustrated in Fig.3, the upsampling is combined with zero-paddings operations to maintain the feature resolution. This part consists of four upsampling operations with 3 types of modules. Our model leverages the ELU activa-
Table 1: Feature scale in our proposed architecture.

| Input | Xception | Module a | Module b | Module c | Depth map |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| 640×480 | 317×237 | 159×119 | 80×60 | 40×30 | 20×15 | 40×30 | 80×60 | 160×120 | 320×240 | 320×240 |

Fig. 2: The proposed feature enhancement modules.

The general loss function[11] reflects the depth estimation pixel-wise regression degree between the ground-truth depth image $y$ and the predicted depth image $\hat{y}$. As an essential content, the loss function can significantly influence the actual training process, especially the model convergence speed. In our experiment, we define the depth estimation loss function $\mathcal{L}$ into two parts as follows:

$$
\mathcal{L}(y, \hat{y}) = (1 - \alpha) \mathcal{L}_{\text{pixel}}(y, \hat{y}) + \alpha \mathcal{L}_{\text{MS-SSIM}}(y, \hat{y})
$$

The first part $\mathcal{L}_{\text{pixel}}(y, \hat{y})$ is based on L1 regularization to calculate the divergence from the prediction to the ground-truth in the pixel value level.

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{pixel}}(y, \hat{y}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p} |y - \hat{y}|
$$

The structural similarity (SSIM)[27] is also precise for describing the distance of two similar images, and it can perform well in unsupervised depth estimation learning[28]. Therefore, we bring in multi-scale considered SSIM in our loss function definition. Although there are
already some trials for improving the loss function\cite{15, 16, 29}, we want to improve the convergence process by employing multi-scale structural similarity (MS-SSIM)\cite{30} to make the model reserve more high-frequency information and object details.

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{MS-SSIM}}(y, \hat{y}) = 1 - \text{MS-SSIM} (y, \hat{y})
\]

\section{C. Data Augmentation}

As an important step before CNN training, the data augmentation usually makes the model more robust and avoids overfitting. In this paper, we mainly refer to how former experiments\cite{11} pre-processed input data. In addition, swapping the color channels in the experiment can help the model learn similar images with various changes. The main pre-processing methods we use in the experiment are as follows:

- The training data are randomly rotated \( r \in [-10^\circ, 10^\circ] \).
- Color: The training values multiply a random value \( c \) range from \([0.8,1.2]\).
- The training pairs are horizontally flipped with 0.5 probability.
- The RGB channels of input image are randomly swapped with 0.25 probability.
- The input data are centered cropped and then resized to the former size.

\section{IV. Experiments}

\subsection{A. Implementation Details}

In this paper, our proposed model is trained and tested on the NYU V2 dataset\cite{6}, which includes more than 12K indoor scenes with both RGB and depth images sampled by the Microsoft Kinect camera. In our experiment, the dataset is divided into three parts for training, validation and testing. Following the official
Fig. 4: Visualization of results by our method and the state-of-the-art method for monocular depth estimation. (a) Input RGB image; (b) Chen et al. [34]; (c) Our method; (d) Groundtruth.
dataset divisions, the training part consists of 120K images, and the validation and testing parts include the same number of 659. As a pre-processing detail, the invalid area of depth groundtruth, especially the opened windows and doors that cannot be estimated, is set to the maximum value. The resolution of the input images is 640×480.

The CNN experimental environment is PyTorch, and the training hardware is based on an i7-9500 CPU, NVIDIA GTX TITAN X GPU and 128 GB of memory. The initial learning rate is 0.0001 with a 0.999 training decay. The parameters for the ADAM optimizer have a 0.0001 learning rate, 0.9 $\beta_1$ and 0.999 $\beta_2$. The ELU optimizer is 1.0 $\alpha$. The preset batch-size is 8, and the epoch is 10, which requires nearly 35 hours. The final trained model is approximately 38M parameters. Additionally, the frozen weights operation for transfer learning is leveraged, so the first few layer weights that are trained for the ImageNet dataset are set to untrainable.

B. Evaluation Metrics

According to previous research details, the most commonly used quantitative evaluations are average relative error (rel), root mean squared error (rms), mean log error ($\log_{10}$) and accuracy with three thresholds. These evaluation metrics, which follow [11], are defined as follows:

- Mean relative error (rel):
  \[
  \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} (d_i - g_i)},
  \]
  \[
  \text{(7)}
  \]
- Root mean squared error (rms):
  \[
  \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} |d_i - g_i|_1,
  \]
  \[
  \text{(8)}
  \]
- Mean log error ($\log_{10}$):
  \[
  \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} |\log_{10}d_i - \log_{10}g_i|_1,
  \]
  \[
  \text{(9)}
  \]
- Threshold accuracy:
  \[
  \max\left(\frac{d_i}{g_i}, \frac{g_i}{d_i}\right) = \delta < \text{threshold},
  \]
  \[
  \text{(10)}
  \]

where $T$ is the number of pixels in each depth image. $d_i$ and $g_i$ are the prediction and ground-truth pixel-wise values, respectively.

C. Experimental Analysis

In Table 2, we show the quantitative effect by comparing the result of our method with the state-of-the-art method in terms of six evaluation metrics. The superiority of our method is obvious. Specifically, compared with competing methods[15, 34, 35, 36, 38], our method can output better threshold accuracy. Although our method is 0.02 higher than Xu[38] for rel metric, our method outperform it by a large margin in terms of other metrics. In addition, our method can reach the state-of-the-art error rate[15, 35, 36, 37]. For the highly transferred Xception model and its feature resolution modules, the predicted depth maps perform as accurate as the state-of-the-art methods, even in boundary details. Importantly, our model training only requires 35 hours, which is approximately half of the state-of-the-art training. This demonstrates our method owns higher efficiency and accuracy at the same time.

As illustrated in fig.4, visual comparison of different methods for depth estimation demonstrates that our method perform well on both local and global depth estimation qualitatively. In detail, we compare our visual results with the state-of-the-art method Chen et al.[34] to further validate the effectiveness of our method. For example, our method estimates sharper shape and more accurate depth map for table lamp (the third row in fig.4), and estimates depth of sofa details as accurate as the groundtruth (the last row in fig.4). Moreover, the output depth maps can accurately predict some missing parts in the NYU v2 dataset (indicated by the bounding boxes), as illustrated in fig.5. For instance, the glass in the first row, the mirror in the second row, the door in the third row, and the chair in the fourth and fifth row.

To further analyze the contribution of different modules in our method, we used different combinations of module (b) and (c) to keep the scale consistency of extracted feature maps for skip connections. With the same training hyper parameters and condition, a quantitative comparison is shown in Table 3. When using three module (b) to replace module (c), the result appeared to a little bit degrade. However, it’s still has a better performance than most methods. In addition, we compare the module (b) and (c) with padding only scale alignment methods and our modules performs favorably against them. Thus, this is a great proof that the combination of our modules can help to normalize the different scale features for concatenation.

V. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a novel encoder-decoder depth estimation model through which depth maps can be efficiently predicted through their origin RGB images. Although the proposed model uses a transfer encoder, we propose three normalization modules that help the whole model perform better by concatenating encoder features with different resolutions. The experiments are on the NYU v2 dataset, and our method performs outstandingly compared with the state-of-the-art methods and apparently demonstrates its superiority in efficiency and accuracy. Next, we plan to explore how to regularize or improve our decoder modules and improve the application efficiency to make it more easily used in embedding systems or mobile terminals.
Fig. 5: The robustness of our proposed method. (a) Input RGB image; (b) Our results; (c) Error area in Groundtruth indicated by bounding boxes.
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