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ABSTRACT

Background and objectives: The main causative factors for maximum periodontal diseases are dental plaque and oral biofilms. This study was done to check the impact of quantitative light-induced fluorescence-digital (QLF-D) as a motivational tool for plaque control among children from various schools situated in Dehradun district along with education using audiovisual aids.

Materials and methods: A total sample of 800 school-going children including both males and females aged 6 to 12 years from various schools situated in Dehradun district of Uttarakhand were surveyed. A pro forma was prepared and the demographics of the students were noted. All the students were first examined for the Oral Hygiene Index-Simplified (OHI-S) and then the random division was done into two groups, group A (Q-scan) and group B (basic diagnostic aids) for examination of plaque index.

Results: The results of the study revealed that good OHI-S scores increased significantly from 1st visit to 3rd visit. The plaque scores for the control group, when examined with basic diagnostic aids of all three visits, were significantly good which increased subsequently from 1st visit to 3rd visit. Good plaque index score increased significantly for the test group from 1st to 3rd visit when examined with QLF-D.

Conclusion: We found in our study that QLF technology is of paramount importance in epidemiological surveys and plays a pivotal role in evaluating masses in maintaining oral health care.
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INTRODUCTION

The main causative factors for maximum periodontal diseases are dental plaque and oral biofilms.1 Conventional aids for plaque control require manual dexterity and are time-consuming whereas recent advancements are more accurate and less time-consuming.2 Brushing and flossing are practices to maintain good oral health, along with regular dental visits.3 There are various measures to evaluate plaque existence available for daily toothbrushing at home. The most common and inexpensive approach is plaque disclosing products. Disclosing solutions cause staining of teeth as it consists of phloxine B, a staining substance widely used as a color additive for food, drugs, and cosmetics.4 Thus, to overcome these limitations, computerized dental plaque quantification has been attempted as it mainly focuses on the plaque covering the tooth surface.5

Oral health education has been considered a primary method via which dental services can provide oral health information and improve the health literacy of patients, especially when the health sources are finite.5–7 Schools are appropriate for applying for health education programs as it can be used to promote healthy lifestyles and self-care practices at a very low cost.8–10 School-based oral health education programs have produced positive outcomes in oral cleanliness, oral health knowledge, and oral behavior.11 Audiovisual aids are valuable tools in the field of health education due to their long-lasting impression on the target population. Studies utilizing audiovisual aids for improving oral health concluded them to have a great impact on oral health knowledge of the masses.12,13

Quantitative light-induced fluorescence (QLF) technology is commonly used in dentistry for the assessment of dental plaque.14 It is a portable device that can be used by the clinician chairside to visualize plaque and precarious lesions15 (Fig. 1). Education using QLF technology seems to be effective in changing the attitude of children as they can visualize the presence of plaque in their mouth which serves as a better educational guide.16 A benefit of using QLF-D may be in its ability to motivate patient behavior. Hence, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the QLF device as a vector to improve the perception of schoolchildren regarding oral health care.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Source of the Data

A total sample of 800 school-going children including both males and females aged 6 to 12 years from various schools situated in the Dehradun district of Uttarakhand were assessed. Informed consent was obtained from the participant’s parents, who were provided with detailed information on the study protocol and institutional ethical clearance was acquired from the Ethical committee.
Inclusion Criteria

- Children in the age-group of 6 to 12 years with mixed dentition.
- Cooperative children.
- Children with no medical history of systemic diseases/disorders.

Exclusion Criteria

- Children with serious medical illnesses (psychiatric problems, endocrine diseases).
- Children below the age of 6 years and above the age of 12 years.
- Children with disabilities.

Method of Collection of Data

All the students were first examined for the Oral Hygiene Index-Simplified (OHI-S). Then, the random division of the group was done.

- The test group, i.e., group I which was clinically assessed through the Q-scan device for plaque index (Fig. 2).
- The control group, i.e., group II which was clinically checked for plaque index with the help of basic diagnostic aids.

The oral hygiene levels of the children in the study were examined using the OHI-S (Green and Vermillion in 1960)\(^1\) and the plaque score was measured using the plaque index (Silness and Loe in 1964).\(^1\) After the examination, a health talk was given to the students and teachers of the respective schools, with the help of audiovisual aids along with a demonstration of proper toothbrushing techniques after the completion of data assembled.

All participants were examined using torchlight, dental mirrors, and mouth probes. The OHI-S index was used to assess the oral hygiene score for six index teeth: #11, #16, #26, #31, #36, and #46. The plaque levels were evaluated using plaque index for six index teeth: #12, #16, #24, #32, #36, and #44.

The Q-scan device was used to help students visualize plaque in their mouth in red color and the importance of its daily removal.

All participants were asked questions regarding their oral health, attitude, and dental health practices. Questions were included on brushing habits, aids used for brushing, frequency, and rinsing habits to assess the practices related to oral health.

Lessons on oral hygiene were taught to both the groups in a traditional lecture format lasting 10 minutes along with a video presentation and also demonstrational models.

The OHI-S index and the plaque index assessment were repeated after a period of 1 and 3 months to check for the impact of the educational program on the oral hygiene index status and also to check the effectiveness of the Q-scan device on the plaque index of the target population. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25.0 and Med Calc software were used for statistical analysis. The statistical tests used were repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) test, post hoc tests, and unpaired or independent t-test.

Results

The distribution of the OHI-S index score was compared between the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd visit using the Chi-square test. Good OHI-S index score increased significantly from 1st to 2nd to 3rd visit, thus signifying the importance of an oral health awareness program using AV Aids. Also, it was observed that in all three visits, the maximum participants exhibited a fair OHI-S score (\(p\) value = 0.001) (Table 1).

The distribution of the plaque index score was compared for the control group which was examined with basic diagnostic aids between 1st, 2nd, and 3rd visit using the Chi-square test. Good plaque index score increased significantly from 1st to 2nd to 3rd visit, respectively (\(p\) value = 0.006) (Table 2).

The distribution of the plaque index score was compared between the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd visits using the Chi-square test. Good plaque index score increased significantly from 1st to 2nd to 3rd visit, thus proving the impact of Q-scan device as a motivational tool for plaque control (\(p\) value = 0.001) (Table 3).

Discussion

Dental plaque plays a dominant role in the causation of both dental caries and periodontal disease. Supragingival plaque is exposed to saliva and to the natural self-cleansing mechanism existing in the oral cavity which may eliminate food debris, but do not adequately
remove dental plaque. Thus, regular oral hygiene practice is a requisite for proper plaque elimination. In the current study, also children were educated about proper oral hygiene measures through a health talk wherein they were told about the importance of oral health and brushing and it was concluded from the results that school-based oral health awareness programs had a great impact on the sample population. A similar study was conducted on a school-based oral health education program using experimental learning (EL) or traditional lecturing (TL) in adolescents and it was found that the EL program was more effective than the TL program and it also helped in improving knowledge about oral health, attitude, attendance, and dental habits. Similarly, a study was conducted to check the impact of the oral health awareness program on children and it had a positive effect on the oral health care of children. In the present study, also the total sample of 800 was educated for oral hygiene and proper brushing technique with the help of AV aids which had key educational messages regarding oral health and from the results, it was concluded that education with AV aids proved to have a significant impact on oral hygiene levels of the masses. This is consistent with a similar study which concluded that AV aids help in providing anticipatory guidance regarding infant oral health in high-risk populations along with a comprehensive, self-directed, evidence-based approach to the promotion of infant oral health.

Quantitative light-induced fluorescence appears to be a technique that has many uses in dentistry. It has been validated both qualitatively and quantitatively for the assessment of caries. Based on a similar study, it was confirmed that QLF-D also aids in measuring old dental plaques that have strong clinical relation to diseases of the oral cavity. In the present study, it was seen that oral health education using QLF technology improved the oral hygiene and plaque status of children. This is also in accordance with a study which reported that QLF could be useful for plaque detection and encouraging children to receive daily habits and oral hygiene instructions. So, this is how it was proved in this study how the Q-scan device turned out to be a better motivational tool for plaque control in school-going children.

**Conclusion**

Education that utilizes new technologies and their associated devices can also be expected to be more effective. This study also reflected the importance of additional equipment such as the

---

**Table 1:** Intercomparison of OHI-S score between all three visits

| OHI-S index | 1st visit | 2nd visit | 3rd visit |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Frequency   | Percent   | Frequency | Percent   | Frequency | Percent   |
| Good        | 5         | 0.6       | 9         | 1.1       | 70        | 8.8       |
| Fair        | 573       | 71.6      | 643       | 80.4      | 700       | 87.5      |
| Poor        | 222       | 27.8      | 148       | 18.5      | 30        | 3.8       |
| Total       | 800       | 100.0     | 800       | 100.0     | 800       | 100.0     |

$\chi^2$ value $= 11.285, p$ value $= 0.001^*$

Chi-square test

*Significant difference

**Table 2:** Intercomparison of plaque scores for the control group between the three visits

| Plaque index | 1st visit | 2nd visit | 3rd visit |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Frequency    | Percent   | Frequency | Percent   | Frequency | Percent   |
| Good         | 253       | 63.3      | 316       | 79.0      | 364       | 91.0      |
| Fair         | 146       | 36.5      | 84        | 21.0      | 36        | 9.0       |
| Poor         | 1         | 0.3       | 0         | 0.0       | 0         | 0.0       |

$\chi^2$ value $= 7.485, p$ value $= 0.006^*$

Chi-square test

*Significant difference

**Table 3:** Intercomparison of plaque score for the Q-scan group between all three visits

| Test group | Plaque index at 1st visit | 2nd visit | 3rd visit |
|------------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Frequency  | Percent                   | Frequency | Percent   | Frequency | Percent   |
| Good       | 266                       | 66.5      | 366       | 91.5      | 386       | 96.5      |
| Fair       | 124                       | 31.0      | 34        | 8.5       | 14        | 3.5       |
| Poor       | 10                        | 2.5       | 0         | 0.0       | 0         | 0.0       |

$\chi^2$ value $= 9.852, p$ value $= 0.001^*$

Chi-square test

*Significant difference
QLF-D: The Need of the Hour

Q-scan device in oral health education can yield better educational effects than the traditional education system. Also, QLF can be used as a motivation booster for high caries risk individuals who are difficult to motivate. Thus, education using QLF technology should be included in the school health curriculum.
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