The histone demethylase KDM5 controls developmental timing in *Drosophila* by promoting prothoracic gland endocycles.
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Abstract

In *Drosophila*, the larval prothoracic gland integrates nutritional status with developmental signals to regulate growth and maturation through the secretion of the steroid hormone ecdysone. While the nutritional signals and cellular pathways that regulate prothoracic gland function are relatively well studied, the transcriptional regulators that orchestrate the activity of this tissue remain largely unknown. Here we show that lysine demethylase 5 (KDM5) is essential for prothoracic gland function. Indeed, restoring *kdm5* expression only in the prothoracic gland in an otherwise *kdm5* mutant animal is sufficient to rescue both the larval developmental delay and the pupal lethality caused by loss of KDM5. Molecularly, our studies show that KDM5 functions by promoting the endoreplication of prothoracic gland cells, a process that increases ploidy and is rate-limiting for the expression of ecdysone biosynthetic genes. This occurs through KDM5-mediated regulation of the receptor tyrosine kinase *torso*, which in turn promotes polyploidization and growth through activation of the MAPK signaling pathway. Taken together, our studies provide key insights into the biological processes regulated by KDM5 and the molecular mechanisms that govern the transcriptional regulation of animal development.
INTRODUCTION

One key means by which transcription is regulated is through changes to covalent modifications that occur on nucleosomal histone proteins that comprise chromatin (Bannister and Kouzarides, 2011). The enzymes that add or remove these modifications play critical roles during development and their dysregulation can lead to disease states (Mirabella et al., 2016). Lysine demethylase 5 (KDM5) family proteins are chromatin-mediated regulators of transcription that are encoded by four paralogous genes in mammalian cells, KDM5A-D, and by a single gene in *Drosophila, kdm5* (also known as *little imaginal discs; lid*). The most well-established gene regulatory function of KDM5 proteins is their enzymatic activity that demethylates trimethylated lysine 4 of histone H3 (H3K4me3) (Accari and Fisher, 2015, Xhabija and Kidder, 2018). High levels of H3K4me3 are found surrounding transcriptional start sites and are associated with active gene expression (Santos-Rosa et al., 2002). Whereas absolute levels of H3K4me3 are unlikely to be primary drivers of gene expression levels, the breadth of these promoter peaks can impact transcriptional consistency (Benayoun et al., 2014, Howe et al., 2017). Recruitment of KDM5 to promoters to demethylate H3K4me3 is therefore one mechanism by which this family of proteins regulate transcription. KDM5 proteins can also affect gene expression through demethylase-independent mechanisms, such as by through interactions with the chromatin remodeling NuRD complex or by regulating histone acetylation via interactions with lysine deacetylase (HDAC) complexes (Barrett et al., 2007, Lee et al., 2009, Liu et al., 2014, Nishibuchi et al., 2014, Gajan et al., 2016).

KDM5 proteins play key roles in orchestrating diverse gene expression programs. This is emphasized by the large volume of literature linking dysregulation of KDM5 proteins to two seemingly disparate diseases, cancer and intellectual disability. Whole exome sequencing of patients with intellectual disability has identified loss of function mutations in KDM5A, KDM5B and KDM5C (Vallianatos and Iwase, 2015, Kim et al., 2017, Collins et al., 2019). Efforts to
understand the link between KDM5 and intellectual disability using mice, flies and worms have revealed that KDM5 can regulate many genes that could impact neuronal development or function (Iwase et al., 2016, Zamurrad et al., 2018, Mariani et al., 2016, Chen et al., 2019). However, the extent to which any of these pathways contribute to the cognitive impairments observed in patients remains unknown. A similar deficit exists in our understanding of how the dysregulation of human KDM5 genes contributes to cancer (Blair et al., 2011, Plch et al., 2019). Unlike in intellectual disability, which is exclusively associated with loss of function mutations in KDM5 genes, malignancies have been associated with overexpression of KDM5A or KDM5B, either loss or gain of KDM5C, or loss of KDM5D. The best studied of these is the overexpression of KDM5B observed in breast cancer and melanoma that correlates with poor prognosis (Han et al., 2017). Despite being shown to directly or indirectly regulate genes involved in cell cycle progression, cancer stem cell survival and DNA repair, no clear model has emerged to explain its oncogenic capacities (Yamane et al., 2007, Catchpole et al., 2011, Roesch et al., 2013, Yamamoto et al., 2014, Han et al., 2017, McCann et al., 2019). Thus, our lack of understanding of KDM5-regulated pathways that lead to disease states underscores the importance of defining the physiological functions of KDM5 proteins.

*Drosophila melanogaster* offers a genetically amenable model to provide fundamental insight into KDM5 function *in vivo*, as it encodes a single, highly conserved, *kdm5* gene (Gildea et al., 2000). Moreover, in contrast to viable knockouts of KDM5A, KDM5B or KDM5C in mice, loss of *Drosophila* KDM5 results in lethality (Klose et al., 2007, Albert et al., 2013, Iwase et al., 2016, Drelon et al., 2018, Martin et al., 2018). This allows us to dissect critical functions of KDM5 without the complication of functional redundancy between mammalian KDM5 paralogs that could partially occlude phenotypes. We have previously shown that *kdm5* null mutants take 5 days longer than wild-type animals to complete larval development, linking KDM5 function to growth control. Interestingly, this phenotype is independent of the histone demethylase activity
of KDM5, as animals specifically lacking this enzymatic function grow normally and produce viable adult flies (Li et al., 2010, Drelon et al., 2018). Emphasizing the importance of understanding cellular functions of KDM5 proteins that are independent of their enzymatic activity, the contribution of KDM5’s demethylase function to normal development and to disease states in mammalian cells remains unresolved. For instance, while some intellectual disability-associated mutations in KDM5C reduce in vitro histone demethylase activity, others do not (Iwase et al., 2007, Tahiliani et al., 2007, Brookes et al., 2015, Vallianatos et al., 2018). Similarly, whereas the growth of some cancers can be attenuated by pharmacologically inhibiting KDM5 activity, KDM5 appears to act through demethylase-independent activities in others (Paroni et al., 2018, Cao et al., 2014). KDM5 proteins are therefore likely to utilize more than one gene-regulatory activity to control a range of cellular processes in vivo.

Here we demonstrate that KDM5 regulates larval development by playing an essential role in the prothoracic gland, a tissue that secretes the steroid hormone ecdysone, which is a key regulator of animal growth and maturation (Yamanaka et al., 2013). Although KDM5 is expressed ubiquitously during larval development, re-expression of kdm5 exclusively in the prothoracic gland within a kdm5 null mutant background rescues the larval growth delay and restores adult viability. We further show that within cells of the prothoracic gland, KDM5 is necessary to promote the endoreplicative cell cycles that increase DNA copy number and is required for the transcription of enzymes that mediate ecdysone production (Ohhara et al., 2017). This effect is likely to be achieved through regulation of the Torso receptor tyrosine kinase by KDM5. While stimulation of the Torso receptor and subsequent activation of the MAPK pathway is known to be necessary for ecdysone production (Rewitz et al., 2009), it has not been previously linked to either KDM5, nor to the regulation of prothoracic gland endocycles. Our data therefore provide new insight into the molecular mechanisms underlying the regulation of ecdysone production and developmental growth control.
RESULTS:

KDM5 expression in the prothoracic gland is sufficient to rescue lethality and restore correct developmental timing to kdm5 null mutants.

To understand the underlying basis of the lethality caused by the kdm5^{140} null allele, we sought to define the spatial requirements of KDM5 function during development. To do this, we re-expressed kdm5 in a null mutant background using a UAS-inducible transgene that we have previously used to rescue hypomorphic alleles of kdm5 (Li et al., 2010) (Table 1). Ubiquitous expression of this UAS-kdm5 transgene using Ubiquitin-Gal4 (Ubi-Gal4) resulted in slightly higher than endogenous levels of KDM5 expression and rescued the lethality of kdm5^{140} to produce morphologically normal adult flies (Figure 1A, B; Table 1). Re-expressing kdm5 ubiquitously also led to a developmental timing profile that was indistinguishable from that of wild-type flies (Figure 1C). This is consistent with the observation by us and others that KDM5 is broadly expressed in all cell types examined to-date (Lee et al., 2009, Liu et al., 2014, Moshkin et al., 2009, Secombe et al., 2007, Tarayrah et al., 2015, Zamurrad et al., 2018).

To test whether KDM5 plays key developmental roles in specific tissues, we tested a range of Gal4 drivers for their ability to rescue kdm5^{140} lethality when combined with UAS-kdm5 (Table 1). These included strains that drive Gal4 expression in tissues that have phenotypes in kdm5 mutant or knockdown larvae such as imaginal discs and hemocytes (Drelon et al., 2018, Moran et al., 2015, Moshkin et al., 2009). In addition, we tested tissues linked to the regulation of larval growth such as the hormone-producing larval prothoracic gland, insulin secreting cells of the brain, or cells of the fat body that coordinate larval growth with feeding and nutritional status (Yamanaka et al., 2013). Significantly, all Gal4 drivers that were expressed in the larval prothoracic gland significantly rescued kdm5^{140} lethality, including spookier-Gal4 (spok-Gal4), which is expressed exclusively in this tissue (Table 1) (Hrdlicka et al., 2002, Moeller et al., 2017,
Shimell et al., 2018). Consistent with KDM5 playing critical functions in the ecdysone-secreting larval prothoracic gland, spok-Gal4-mediated re-expression of kdm5 was sufficient to rescue the developmental delay of kdm5140 (Figure 1C). It is, however, notable that while expression of kdm5 in the prothoracic gland allowed kdm5140 mutant pupae to eclose, adult flies were shorter-lived than flies expressing kdm5 ubiquitously (Figure 1D). In addition, while adults rescued by ubiquitous expression of kdm5 were largely male and female fertile, prothoracic gland re-expression of kdm5 resulted in significant infertility (Table 2). These data are consistent with previous observations showing roles for KDM5 in oogenesis and in testis germ line stem cell proliferation (Tarayrah et al., 2015, Navarro-Costa et al., 2016, Zhaunova et al., 2016).

Together, these studies demonstrate essential roles for KDM5 in the larval prothoracic gland and in other cell types that are important for wing maturation, adult survival and reproduction.

These rescue studies also revealed a role for KDM5 in wing development that is not essential for viability. While adult flies generated by re-expression of kdm5 in the prothoracic gland such as spok-Gal4 were the same size as those rescued by ubiquitous expression, they had wings that were curved downward (Figure 1B). A similar curved-down wing phenotype was observed in kdm5140 flies that expressed kdm5 in the wing imaginal disc in addition to the prothoracic gland such as Mai60-Gal4 or 32B-Gal4, but not with more broadly expressed drivers like T80-Gal4 (Figure 1B, Table 1). This curved-wing phenotype could be caused by the proliferative or cell death phenotypes we previously observed in kdm5140 larval wing imaginal discs (Drelon et al., 2018). To test this, we quantified wing disc proliferation and cell death using antibodies that detect the mitotic marker phosphorylated histone H3 (pH3) and the cleaved caspase Dcp-1, respectively. As expected, the decreased levels of pH3 observed in kdm5140 mutant wing imaginal discs were fully restored by ubiquitous kdm5 expression using Ubi-Gal4 (Figure 2A-C). Significantly, expressing kdm5 under the control of Mai60-Gal4 or spok-Gal4, which drive low or no KDM5 expression in the wing disc, also rescued pH3 to wild-type levels.
(Figure 2B, C). Similarly, the increased number of Dcp-1 positive cells seen in kdm5\textsuperscript{140} wing discs was restored to wild type levels by Mai60-Gal4 or spok-Gal4 driven expression of kdm5 (Figure 2D-I). Thus, neither the proliferative nor the apoptotic phenotypes of kdm5\textsuperscript{140} wing imaginal discs are triggered by loss of KDM5 in the disc itself but are caused by cell-nonautonomous mechanisms. While the basis for the wing defects observed in Mai-60 and spok-Gal4 rescued flies remains unclear, it could be due to a requirement for KDM5 during pupal development or during wing maturation in newly eclosed flies.

**Reduced ecdysone production causes the developmental delay of kdm5\textsuperscript{140} mutant larvae.**

The prothoracic gland, corpus allatum and corpora cardiaca are the three sub-tissues that comprise the larval ring gland, a semi-circular structure associated with the central larval brain. Whereas the prothoracic gland synthesizes ecdysone, the corpus allatum and corpora cardiaca produce juvenile hormone and adipokinetic hormone, respectively (Yamanaka et al., 2013). In order to evaluate the role of KDM5 in these two other sub-tissues, we tested whether expressing kdm5 in the corpus allatum rescued the lethality of kdm5\textsuperscript{140} using Aug21-Gal4 (Table 1) (Gaziova et al., 2004). In contrast to expression in the prothoracic gland, no significant rescue was observed when kdm5 was reintroduced in the corpus allatum. Similarly, expression of kdm5 in the corpora cardiaca using Akh-Gal4 failed to rescue kdm5\textsuperscript{140} mutants (Lee and Park, 2004) (Table 1). Thus, within the ring gland, KDM5 is critical only for prothoracic gland function, linking its transcriptional regulatory functions to ecdysone biology.

Based on the requirement for KDM5 in the prothoracic gland, we tested whether kdm5\textsuperscript{140} larvae had altered levels of the active form of ecdysone (20-hydroxyecdysone; 20E). These analyses revealed that kdm5\textsuperscript{140} larvae had a 3-fold reduction in 20E levels and concomitant reduction in the expression of ecdysone-regulated genes such as broad, E74 and E75 (Figure 3A, B). Ecdysone is synthesized in the prothoracic gland from cholesterol through the action of
a series of cytochrome p450 biosynthetic enzymes that include *neverland* (*nvd*), *spookier* (*spok*) and *disembodied* (*dib*) (Gilbert, 2004). It is then subsequently converted to 20E in cells of the larva by the hydroxylase Shade (*shd*). qPCR analyses to examine the expression of these genes revealed that *nvd*, *spok* and *dib* transcripts were significantly decreased in *kdm5*¹⁴⁰ mutant 3rd instar larvae, whereas levels of *shd* mRNA were unchanged (Figure 3C, D). *kdm5*¹⁴⁰ mutants therefore have reduced 20E due to a defect in the biosynthesis of ecdysone in the prothoracic gland.

To test whether re-expression of *kdm5* in the prothoracic gland restored ecdysone production, we quantified levels of 20E in *kdm5*¹⁴⁰ larvae expressing UAS-*kdm5* using Ubi-Gal4, Mai60-Gal4 or spok-Gal4. All three Gal4 drivers restored levels of 20E, the expression of ecdysone-regulated genes, and transcription of the ecdysone biosynthetic genes *nvd*, *spok* and *dib* to wild type levels (Figure 3A-D). Based on these data, dietary supplementation with 20E would be expected to attenuate the phenotypes caused by loss of KDM5. We therefore fed wild-type and *kdm5*¹⁴⁰ larvae food containing 20E. As shown in Figure 3E, exogenously providing 20E rescued the developmental delay of *kdm5* mutant larvae compared to a vehicle alone control, emphasizing the importance of KDM5-mediated regulation of ecdysone production. 20E was not, however, able to rescue the lethality of *kdm5*¹⁴⁰ animals whereas wild-type and *kdm5* heterozygous animals eclosed after ecdysone feeding (Figure 3F). Loss of KDM5 therefore causes additional defects in the prothoracic gland that are not able to be substituted by feeding 20E.

**KDM5 regulates the Torso/MAPK signaling pathway and prothoracic gland endocycles.**

To investigate the basis of the reduced ecdysone levels, we examined the activity of two key pathways known to regulate its production: the Torso/MAPK and insulin receptor pathways (Yamanaka et al., 2013). Activation of the insulin receptor by insulin-like peptides leads to the
phosphorylation of Akt, which subsequently induces cell growth and ecdysone production (Ohhara et al., 2017, Danielsen et al., 2016). To test whether this pathway was affected by the loss of KDM5, we examined levels of phosphorylated Akt compared to total Akt in kdm5^{140} ring glands by Western blot (Figure 4A, B). We also quantified mRNA levels of the insulin receptor (InR) in brain-ring gland complexes (Figure 4C). Neither of these signaling components were affected in kdm5^{140}, suggesting that this pathway was unlikely to mediate the developmental delay caused by loss of KDM5.

Ecdysone production can also be regulated by activation of the Torso receptor by prothoracicotropic hormone (PTTH), which induces a Ras/ERK MAP kinase cascade (Niwa and Niwa, 2014, Yamanaka et al., 2013). To test whether this pathway was altered in kdm5 mutant larvae, we examined levels of phosphorylated ERK relative to total ERK in addition to mRNA levels of the torso receptor (Figure 4D, E, F). Both phosphorylated ERK and torso expression were significantly decreased compared to wild type, suggesting that loss of KDM5 caused a defect in this pathway. To examine the functional role that the Torso/MAPK pathway plays in the developmental delay and lethality of kdm5^{140}, we expressed a UAS transgene encoding wild-type torso or an activated form of the downstream GTPase Ras (Ras^{V12}). Expression of either of these transgenes restored the larval growth defect and the lethality of kdm5^{140} and produced adults that, like reintroduction of kdm5, showed a curved-down phenotype (Figure 4G; Table 3). These data are consistent with the reduced Torso/MAPK signaling observed in kdm5^{140} playing a key role in mediating KDM5’s prothoracic gland activities.

While Torso/MAPK activation is known to lead to ecdysone production (Rewitz et al., 2009), the mechanisms underlying this link are unclear. One requirement for maximal expression of the genes that are limiting for the synthesize ecdysone are a series of endoreplicative cell cycles that increases the DNA content of prothoracic cells up to ~64C (Ohhara et al., 2017, Aggarwal
and King, 1969). Loss of KDM5 could therefore affect ecdysone production by affecting the ability of prothoracic gland cells to endoreplicate, and ectopic activation of the Torso/MAPK pathway may be able to restore this defect. To test whether KDM5 was required for polyploidization, we quantified the number of kdm5 mutant prothoracic gland cells undergoing S-phase by incorporating the thymine nucleoside analog 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU). This revealed a significant decrease in the number of EdU positive cells in kdm5140 compared to wild type (Figure 5A-I). Importantly, loss of KDM5 caused these endocycle defects without altering the total number of cells that comprise the prothoracic gland (Figure 5J). The specification of these cells therefore occurs normally during embryogenesis in kdm5 mutants, but fail to endocycle and grow correctly during larval development. We next tested the extent to which torso expression in the prothoracic gland rescued the endocycling defect of kdm5140. Consistent with the notion that the Torso/MAPK pathway affects ecdysone by promoting endoreduplication, expression of torso using spok-Gal4 was able to restore prothoracic gland polyploidization in a similar manner to expression of kdm5 (Figure 5I).

To further explore the link between phenotypes caused by loss of KDM5 and reduced prothoracic gland endocycling, we directly drove endoreplication by overexpressing the cell cycle regulator Cyclin E (Ohhara et al., 2017). Spok-Gal4-mediated expression of a UAS-cyclin E transgene in kdm5140 mutant larvae was sufficient to rescue their slowed larval development (Figure 5K). A deficit in prothoracic gland cell endocycling is therefore likely to be a key cause of the developmental delay seen in kdm5140 larvae. Significantly, in contrast to activation of the Torso/MAPK pathway, expression of cyclin E was not able to restore viability to kdm5140 mutant animals (Table 3). KDM5 therefore likely regulates larval growth rate by activating the torso/MAPK pathway, which promotes the polyploidization of prothoracic gland cells that is limiting for the production of steroidogenic enzymes (Figure 6). These data also indicate that kdm5 mutant larvae have currently unknown prothoracic gland defects that are not able to be
overcome by restoring ecdysone levels, but can be rescued by activating the MAPK pathway by overexpressing \textit{torso} or \textit{Ras}^{V12}.

**Discussion**

In this study, we demonstrate that KDM5 is essential for the function of the ecdysone-producing prothoracic gland during \textit{Drosophila} larval development. Critical to this conclusion was our finding that expressing \textit{kdm5} in the prothoracic gland was sufficient to rescue the lethality and developmental delay phenotypes of \textit{kdm5}^{140} null allele homozygous mutant animals. Consistent with this observation, prothoracic gland function was defective in \textit{kdm5} mutants, with mutant larvae having low levels of ecdysone and reduced expression of downstream hormone-responsive target genes. Demonstrating the importance of KDM5-mediated regulation of ecdysone production, dietary supplementation of 20E restored normal developmental timing to \textit{kdm5} mutant larvae. 20E was not, however, able to restore adult viability to \textit{kdm5} mutant animals. At the cellular level, loss of KDM5 slowed the prothoracic gland endoreplicative cycles that increase the ploidy of these cells and are key to ecdysone biosynthesis (Ohhara et al., 2017). Restoring these endocycles reestablished normal developmental timing to \textit{kdm5}^{140} mutants but was not able to rescue their lethality. In contrast, ectopic activation of the Torso/MAPK pathway was able to restore both developmental timing and rescue the lethality caused by loss of KDM5. We therefore propose that KDM5-mediated activation of the Torso pathway is essential for larval growth control by promoting prothoracic gland polyploidization and that KDM5 also affects additional cellular process(es) that are critical to survival.

We suggest that one key function of KDM5 is to regulate the expression of the \textit{torso} gene, which then affects downstream MAPK signaling. Consistent with this view, mutations in \textit{torso}, or ablation of the neurons that produce its ligand PTTH, cause a 5-day delay to larval development similar to that observed for \textit{kdm5}^{140} (McBrayer et al., 2007, Drelon et al., 2018, Rewitz et al.,
Little is known about the transcriptional regulation of torso and other genes that comprise the pathways that mediate ecdysone production. One possibility is that KDM5 is a direct transcriptional activator of the torso gene, thus decreased expression of this receptor would be expected in kdm5 mutant animals. Because of the small size of the prothoracic gland, it is not currently feasible to carry out ChIP experiments to examine KDM5 promoter binding in this tissue. It is, however, notable that the promoter of torso was not bound by KDM5 in existing ChIP-seq datasets from the larval wing imaginal discs or from whole adult flies (Liu and Secombe, 2015, Lloret-Lliinares et al., 2012). This could be because torso expression is largely restricted to the prothoracic gland during larval development and so may not be expected to have promoter-bound KDM5 in the tissues examined to-date (Rewitz et al., 2009). Alternatively, KDM5 might regulate torso indirectly. In the silkworm Bombyx mori, expression of the torso gene is repressed in response to starvation conditions (Gu et al., 2011). Although the mechanism by which this occurs is unknown, it does indicate that other cellular defects caused by loss of KDM5 could lead to changes to torso transcription and subsequent decrease in ecdysone production. Whether the regulation of torso by KDM5 is direct or indirect, it occurs in a demethylase-independent manner, as larvae lacking enzymatic activity show a normal developmental profile (Drelen et al., 2018). Consistent with this observation, components of KDM5 complexes that regulate gene expression through demethylase-independent mechanisms also affect developmental timing. For example, a development delay similar to that of kdm5^{140} is caused by RNAi-mediated knockdown of the histone deacetylase HDAC1 or the NuRD complex components asf1 and Mi-2 (Danielsen et al., 2016). KDM5 could therefore interact with these proteins to regulate the expression of genes critical to the regulation of larval development.

Our data show that KDM5-mediated regulation of the Torso/MAPK pathway affects ecdysone production by promoting prothoracic gland endoreplication. While Torso/MAPK has
been proposed to promote ecdysone production by activating the expression of ecdysone biosynthetic genes (Rewitz et al., 2009, Danielsen et al., 2013), it has not been linked to the regulation of polyploidization. Our model is, however, consistent with recent data demonstrating that increased ploidy within the cells of the prothoracic gland is necessary for the optimal expression of steroidogenic genes (Ohhara et al., 2017). Because the genes required for ecdysone biosynthesis are among the most abundantly expressed in the prothoracic gland, their mRNA levels may be entirely limited by gene copy number (Christesen et al., 2017, Fox and Duronio, 2013). A similar requirement for copy number amplification to produce peak gene expression levels has been observed in other cell types in *Drosophila*, including chorion gene expression in ovarian follicle cells (Orr-Weaver, 2015). How could Torso/MAPK activation promote prothoracic gland cell cycle progression? One possibility is by affecting levels of cell cycle regulators such as the transcription factor E2f1, which is essential for both mitotic and endoreplicative cell cycles (Davoli and de Lange, 2011, Fox and Duronio, 2013, Frawley and Orr-Weaver, 2015). This model is based on studies of the polyploid enterocytes of the adult midgut, in which activation of the MAPK pathway via the EGF receptor stabilizes E2f1 protein, leading to transcription activation of *cyclin E* (Xiang et al., 2017). Because restoring the polyploidization defect of *kdm5* mutant prothoracic glands restored developmental timing but not lethality, this model would require that ectopic Torso/MAPK also impact the activity of additional cellular pathways in concert with, or independent from, a direct effect on cell cycle regulators. A potential candidate for this is the target of rapamycin (TOR) pathway, which serves as a hub for the integration of extrinsic and intrinsic signals in many cell types, including the prothoracic gland (Saxton and Sabatini, 2017b). The TORC1 complex is required for expression of *cyclin E*-mediated prothoracic gland polyploidization (Ohhara et al., 2017) and is also linked to numerous downstream cellular consequences including autophagy, changes to translation and to cell growth and division (Saxton and Sabatini, 2017a). An effect of KDM5 on TOR activity would provide a means by which KDM5 affects numerous key cellular processes. Genetic dissection of
where KDM5 lies with respect to established components of the Torso and TOR pathways will provide clarity on this important issue.

Our observed role of KDM5 in the growth and polyploidization of larval prothoracic gland cells raises the possibility that it might play a role in other cell types that utilize endoreplicative cycles. This could have broad consequences for our understanding of KDM5 biology, since polyploidization is observed in many plant and animal cell types and is widely used during *Drosophila* larval development (Orr-Weaver, 2015, Fox and Duronio, 2013). For example, polyploid cells of the larval fat body survive until early adulthood where they are necessary for survival because flies are unable to immediately begin feeding (Aguila et al., 2007). In fact, a role for KDM5 in cells of the fat body would provide an explanation for the shortened adult lifespan of *kdm5* mutant flies rescued by prothoracic gland-specific expression of *kdm5*. In addition to being critical for normal development, endocycling cells are also found in tumors in both humans and *Drosophila* (Fox and Duronio, 2013, Davoli and de Lange, 2011, Cong et al., 2018). While the role of polyploid cells in the etiology or maintenance of cancers remains a topic of ongoing research, KDM5-regulated endocycling could contribute to its tumorigenic activities in humans. Regulation of polyploidization the nervous system could also contribute to the role of KDM5 proteins in intellectual disability (Vallianatos and Iwase, 2015). This could, for instance, be mediated by KDM5 function in glial cells, as polyploidization of a superineurial glial cells in *Drosophila* is required for normal brain development (Unhavaithaya and Orr-Weaver, 2012). While it is not clear the extent to which a similar phenomenon occurs during human brain development, it is interesting to note that glial cell types contribute to the clinical severity of intellectual disability disorders such as Rett syndrome (Sharma et al., 2018). Thus, while there is still much to be learned regarding the contribution of polyploid cells to normal development and to disease states, KDM5-regulated transcriptional programs are likely to be important in cells that utilize this variant cell cycle.
Materials and Methods

Care of fly strains and crosses
Fly crosses were all carried out at 25°C with 50% humidity and a 12-hour light/dark cycle. Food (per liter) contained 18g yeast, 22g molasses, 80g malt extract, 9g agar, 65 cornmeal, 2.3g methyl para-benzoic acid, 6.35ml propionic acid. Sex of dissected larvae for imaginal disc studies were not determined. For Western blot and real-time PCR analyses, the number of male and female larvae were equal across the genotypes examined. For studies comparing wild-type and kdm5 mutant larvae, we matched animals for developmental stage, and not chronological age, as we have done previously (Drelon et al., 2018). Thus, wild-type wandering 3rd instar larvae were ~120 hours after egg laying, while kdm5140 larvae were ~10 days old.

Real-time PCR
Total RNA was purified from either whole larvae or dissected brain-ring gland complexes using TRIzol. Reverse transcription was carried out using 1 µg of RNA (or 5 µg of RNA for ecdysone biosynthetic genes) using a Verso cDNA kit (Thermo-Fisher AB1453A). Real-time PCR used the Power SYBR Green Master Mix and was performed in Applied Biosystems Step ONE plus real-time PCR system. Changes to gene expression were determined by normalizing samples to rp49 (RpL32).

Western blot
Western blots were carried out with dissected wing discs or ring glands. Samples were dissected in 1xPBS and transferred to 1xNuPAGE LDS sample buffer, run on a 4-12% Bis-Tris 1mm gel and transferred to PVDF. Secondary antibodies were donkey anti-mouse IgG 680RD or donkey anti-rabbit IgG 800CW. Blots were scanned using a LI-COR Odyssey Infrared scanner and quantified using LI-COR imaging software v3.0.
**Immunostaining of wing discs**

Third instar wing imaginal discs were dissected in 1xPBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 minutes. After blocking in 0.1% BSA/TBST (1xPBS, 0.2% Triton, 0.1% BSA) for 1 hour, samples were incubated with anti-Dcp-1 overnight at 4°C. Wing discs were washed with PBST and incubated with anti-rabbit Alexa Fluro 568 secondary antibody for 2 hours at 4°C. Samples were mounted in Vectashield for microscopy.

**Edu incorporation into prothoracic glands**

For EdU staining, click-IT Edu kit and Alexa Fluor 594 Azide were used. Third instar larvae were dissected in Schneider’s Drosophila medium and brain-ring gland complexes were incubated in 50μM EdU in Schneider’s Drosophila medium for 2.5 hours at room temperature. After washing in 1xPBS, tissues were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 minutes. Tissues were blocked in 3% BSA for 30 minutes and then permeabilized in 0.5% triton and detected using 1x click-IT reaction buffer, CuSO4, Alexa Fluor 594 Azide and reaction buffer additive for 30 minutes. DNA was stained using 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and brain-ring gland complexes were mounted in Vectashield for microscopy.

**Larval feeding of 20E**

Control wild-type or kdm5140/CyO-GFP flies were allowed to mate and lay eggs for 6 hours. At 96 hours AEL, a mixture of dry yeast, 20-hydroxyecdysone (0.33mg/ml in 100% ethanol) or control ethanol alone in 500μl of ddH2O was added to each vial. kdm5140 homozygous larvae or pupae were identified by the absence of the CyO-GFP balancer.

**20E quantification**
Ecdysone was extracted from whole larvae as previously described (Moeller et al., 2017).
Briefly, ten 3rd instar larvae were washed in ddH2O and then homogenized in 0.5ml methanol.
After centrifugation, the pellet was re-extracted in 0.5ml methanol and then in 0.5ml ethanol.
The three supernatants were mixed and 0.5ml was evaporated using a SpeedVac. The pellet
was dissolved in 100µl of EIA buffer and subjected to the 20-hydroxyecdysone EIA Kit.

**Lifespan analyses**

Lifespan analyses was carried out by collecting adult flies 24-36 hours after eclosion and
placing flies into vials at a density of 20 animals or fewer. Survival was quantified by transferring
flies every two days into vials with fresh food and counting the number of dead animals. Survival
analyses were carried out using Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test in Prism v8.0.

**Developmental delay quantification**

Female and male flies were placed in a vial and allowed to lay eggs for 16 hours. Starting at day
4 AEL, the number of animals that had pupariated were scored every 24 hours. Pupal genotype
was ascertained by balancing kdm5d using a CyO-GFP chromosome.

**Fertility analyses**

To determine fertility, individual female flies were placed in a vial with 5 wild-type male flies and
individual males were placed in a vial with 3-5 virgin wild-type females and allowed to mate and
lay eggs for 4 days. If larvae were present in the vial by day 5, the fly was designated fertile. In
cases where the fly died before day 3, we were unable confidently assess fertility and these
animals were eliminated from the analyses.

**Image acquisition and processing**
Adult fly images were obtained using Zeiss Discovery.V12 SteREO or ZEISS ApoTome microscopes and captured using AxioVision Release 4.8 software. Images of larval tissues were obtained using Zeiss AxioImager.M12 microscope and AxioVision SE64 Release 4.9.1 software. All images were processed using Adobe Photoshop CC 2019.

**Statistical analyses**

All experiments were done in biological triplicate (minimum) and Ns are provided for each experiment. Fisher’s exact test was carried out in R. Student’s t-test, one-way ANOVA and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were carried out using GraphPad Prism.

**Resource information**

| REAGENT                        | SOURCE          | IDENTIFIER                                      |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------|
| **Antibodies**                 |                 |                                                |
| KDM5                           | Secombe lab     | (Secombe et al., 2007)                         |
| Alpha-tubulin                  | DSHB            | 12G10; 1:2000                                  |
| Phosphorylated ERK              | Cell Signaling  | 9106; 1:250                                    |
| ERK                            | Cell Signaling  | 39763; 1:250                                   |
| Histone H3                     | Active Motif    | 39763; 1:1000                                  |
| S10 phosphorylated histone H3  | Cell Signaling  | 9701S; 1:1000                                 |
| Atk                            | Cell Signaling  | 9272; 1:200                                    |
| Dcp-1                          | Cell Signaling  | 9578; 1:100                                    |
| Ser505 phosphorylated Akt      | Cell Signaling  | 4054S; 1:200                                   |
| Donkey anti-mouse IgG 680RD    | LI-COR          | 925-68072; 1:8000                             |
| Donkey anti-rabbit IgG 800CW   | LI-COR          | 926-32213; 1:8000                             |
| Alexa Fluor 568 anti-rabbit    | Invitrogen      | A11036                                         |
| Alexa Fluor 594 Azide          | Invitrogen      | A10270                                         |
| **Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins** |                 |                                                |
| Paraformaldehyde               | Fisher Scientific| 28906                                         |
| Schneider’s Drosophila medium   | Fisher Scientific| 21720024                                      |
| 20-hydroxyecdysone             | Fisher Scientific| H5142                                         |
| 10xPBS                         | Fisher Scientific| 70011069                                      |
| Trizol                         | Invitrogen      | 15596026                                       |
| Vectashield                    | Vector Labs     | H-1000                                         |
| **Critical Commercial Assays**  |                 |                                                |
| Click-IT EdU                    | Invitrogen      | C10337                                         |
20-hydroxyecdysone EIA kit  Cayman Chemical  501390
Verso cDNA synthesis kit  Fisher-Thermo  AB-1453
Power SYBR green master mix (real-time PCR)  Fisher-Thermo  4367659
1xNuPAGE LDS sample buffer  Invitrogen  NP0008
4-12% Bis-Tris 1mm gels  Invitrogen  NP0322
PVDF  Fisher Scientific  88518

**Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains**

| Gene name | Source/Super Stock Center | Reference |
|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|
| kdm5<sup>140</sup> | Secombe lab | (Drelon et al., 2018) |
| Wild type  | kdm5<sup>140</sup>; g[kdm5<sup>WT</sup>:HA]attP-86Fb | (Zamurrad et al., 2018) |
| w<sup>1118</sup> | Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (BDSC) | BL5905 |
| UASp-kdm5 | Secombe lab | (Secombe et al., 2007) |
| Ubiquitin-Gal4 | BDSC | BL32251 |
| 32B-Gal4 | BDSC | BL1782 |
| T80-Gal4 | BDSC | BL1878 |
| Mai60-Gal4 | BDSC | BL30811 |
| spok-Gal4 | Michael O’Connor | (Shimell et al., 2018) |
| phm-Gal4 | Michael O’Connor | (Rewitz et al., 2009) |
| Aug21-Gal4 | BDSC | BL20137 |
| Akh-Gal4 | BDSC | BL25684 |
| dlp2-Gal4 | BDSC | BL37516 |
| CG-Gal4 | BDSC | BL7011 |
| Mef2-Gal4 | BDSC | BL27390 |
| UAS-torso | Michael O’Connor | (Moeller et al., 2017) |
| UAS-cD8GFP | BDSC | BL8768 |
| UAS-cyclin E | Helena Richardson | (Richardson et al., 1995) |

**Software and Algorithms**

| Software/Algorithm | Version | Link/Source |
|--------------------|---------|-------------|
| GraphPad v8.0.2 | | GraphPad Software |
| R v3.3.2 | | http://www.R-project.org/ |

**Primers**

| Gene name | Forward primer | Reverse primer |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| broad | ACAACAACAGCCCCGACTT | GCTTGTGCTGATGGAGATT |
| E74 | ATTCGCTGCCGAACATATGG | GTAGGACGTTGTCAGGTAGC |
| Gene  | Forward primer | Reverse primer |
|-------|----------------|----------------|
| torso | ACTTTTACGTGAGG AGTGG | CATGGAATGG AATTCTAGGG |
| rp49 (RpL32) | CAGGCGCAAGACGCTGGAAGAA | TGCCTTTGTTGATCCGATCC |
| nvd | GCTGACGACTGTGTTATATGCC | GTCCACTTTCCGCGAATCC |
| Shade | TTCCTGAGACCGCTGGAAATG | GAAATGGAGAACACACGGGT |
| dib | GATCGGACGAGATCAGCTTTGC | CTTGCGATATTGAGCCAGTG |
| E75 | GCAGCAAGCAGATCGGAATCTC | CCGACTCAATGCCCCGAATCC |
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Figure 1: KDM5 expression in the prothoracic gland rescues $kdm5^{140}$ developmental delay and lethality

(A) Western blot using 3rd instar larval wing imaginal discs (five per lane) from wild-type, $kdm5^{140}$ and $kdm5^{140}$ expressing $kdm5$ ubiquitously ($kdm5^{140}$; Ubi>$kdm5$). Anti-KDM5 (top) is indicated by the arrowhead. Anti-alpha-tubulin is used as a loading control (bottom).

(B) Male wild-type fly ($kdm5^{140}$; g[kdm5:HA]attp86F).

(B') Male $kdm5^{140}$, Ubi>$kdm5$ adult fly showing morphologically normal features.

(B'') Male $kdm5^{140}$; Mai60>$kdm5$ adult fly with normal body and slightly curved wings indicated by arrow.

(B'') Male $kdm5^{140}$; spok>$kdm5$ adult fly with normal body and curved wings indicated by arrow.

(C) Number of days taken for pupariation to occur in wild type (N=82), $kdm5^{140}$ (N=49), $kdm5^{140}$; Ubi>$kdm5$ (N=69), $kdm5^{140}$; Mai60>$kdm5$ (N=63) and $kdm5^{140}$; spok>$kdm5$ (N=74).

(D) Adult survival for $kdm5^{140}$; Ubi>$kdm5$ (N=56), $kdm5^{140}$; Mai60>$kdm5$ (N=62) and $kdm5^{140}$; spok>$kdm5$ (N=51) rescued male flies. Mai60 and spok-Gal4-rescued flies are significantly shorter lived than Ubi-Gal4-rescued flies (Mantel-Cox log-rank test; P<0.0001).

Figure 2: Increased cell death and reduced proliferation in $kdm5^{140}$ wing discs is due to non-cell autonomous effects.

(A) Western blot using anti-KDM5 (top), anti-phosphorylated histone H3 (middle; pH3) and total histone H3 (bottom; H3) in wild-type and $kdm5^{140}$ wing discs. Six wing discs per lane.

(B) Western blot using wing discs from $kdm5^{140}$ mutants re-expressing $kdm5$ using Ubi-Gal4, Mai60-Gal4 or spok-Gal4. anti-KDM5 (top), pH3 (middle) and total H3 (bottom). Six wing discs per lane.

(C) Quantification of the levels of pH3 relative to total H3 from three Western blots. ** P <0.01 (one-way ANOVA). Error bars indicate SEM.
(D) Wild-type wing imaginal disc from wandering 3rd instar larva stained with anti-Dcp-1. Dotted circle indicates pouch region of the wing disc that was used to count Dcp-1 positive cells.

(E) kdm5140 mutant wing imaginal disc stained with the apoptosis marker anti-Dcp-1.

(F) Anti-Dcp-1 staining of a wing imaginal disc from kdm5140 mutant re-expressing kdm5 in imaginal discs and prothoracic gland using Mai60-Gal4 (kdm5140; Mai60>kdm5).

(G) Anti-Dcp-1 staining of a wing imaginal disc from kdm5140 mutant re-expressing kdm5 in the prothoracic gland with spok-Gal4 (kdm5140; spok>kdm5).

(H) Quantification of the number of Dcp-1 positive cells in the pouch region of wing imaginal discs from wild type (N=12), kdm5140 (N=7), kdm5140; Ubi>kdm5 (N=9), kdm5140; Mai60>kdm5 (N=7) and kdm5140; spok>kdm5 (N=8). ****P<0.0001, ***P<0.001, *P<0.05 (one-way ANOVA). Error bars indicate SEM.

Figure 3: Exogenous ecdysone rescues the developmental delay of kdm5140 mutants.

(A) Quantification 20E levels in pg per larva using wild-type, kdm5140, kdm5140; Ubi>kdm5, kdm5140; Mai60>kdm5 and kdm5140; spok>kdm5 whole 3rd instar larvae. **** P<0.001 (one-way ANOVA). Error bars indicate SEM.

(B) Real-time PCR from biological triplicate samples quantifying the mRNA levels of the ecdysone target genes broad, E74 and E75 in wild type, kdm5140, kdm5140; Ubi>kdm5, kdm5140; Ma60>kdm5 and kdm5140; spok>kdm5 whole larvae. Data were normalized to rp49 and shown relative to wild type. * P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. Error bars indicate SEM.

(C) Real-time PCR from biological triplicate showing mRNA levels nvd, spok and dib from whole 3rd instar wild-type, kdm5140; Ubi>kdm5, kdm5140; Mai60>kdm5 and kdm5140; spok>kdm5 larvae. Data were normalized to rp49 and shown relative to wild type. *P=0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, **** P<0.0001. Error bars indicate SEM.
(D) Real-time PCR from biological triplicate showing mRNA levels of the hydroxylase Shade from whole 3rd instar wild type, \( kdm5^{140} \); Ubi>\( kdm5 \), \( kdm5^{140} \); Mai60>\( kdm5 \) and \( kdm5^{140} \); spok>\( kdm5 \) larvae. Data were normalized to \( rp49 \) and shown relative to wild type. Error bars indicate SEM.

(E) Quantification of the time for pupariation to occur upon feeding 20E to wild type (N=103) and \( kdm5^{140} \) (N=62) or vehicle alone (ethanol; EtOH) to wild type (N=67) or \( kdm5^{140} \) (N=60).

(F) Quantification of the number of pupae that eclose from their pupal case for wild-type larvae that were fed EtOH vehicle (N=33) or 20E (N=40), \( kdm5^{140} \) heterozygotes fed EtOH (N=165) or 20E (N=98) and \( kdm5^{140} \) homozygotes from the same vial as heterozygotes fed EtOH (N=16) or 20E (N=15). ns, not significant (Fisher’s exact test).

Figure 4: Levels and activity of the Torso receptor pathway are reduced in \( kdm5^{140} \).

(A) Western blot from wild-type and \( kdm5^{140} \) ring glands showing levels of phosphorylated Akt and total Akt as a control. Six rings glands per lane.

(B) Quantitation of triplicate Western blots showing ratio of pAkt to total Akt in \( kdm5^{140} \) and wild type. ns not significant.

(C) Real-time PCR quantitation showing average of biological triplicate of \( InR \) mRNA levels from dissected brain-ring gland complexes from wild-type and \( kdm5^{140} \) larvae. Data were normalized to \( rp49 \) and shown relative to wild type. ns not significant. Error bars indicate SEM.

(D) Western blot analyses of wild-type and \( kdm5^{140} \) dissected ring glands examining levels of phosphorylated ERK (pERK; top) and total ERK (bottom). Five ring glands per lane.

(E) Quantitation of the ratio of pERK to total ERK in \( kdm5^{140} \) compared to wild-type from three Western blots. \( **P<0.01 \) (Student’s t-test). Error bars indicate SEM.

(F) Real-time PCR quantitation showing average of biological triplicate of \( torso \) mRNA levels from dissected brain-ring gland complexes from wild-type and \( kdm5^{140} \). Data were
normalized to rp49 and shown relative to wild type. ** P=0.0026 (Student’s t-test). Error bars indicate SEM.

(G) Number of days taken for pupariation to occur for wild-type (N=87) kdm5^{140} (N=46), kdm5^{140} ; spok>kdm5 (N=57), and kdm5^{140} ; spok>torso (N=73) animals.

**Figure 5: kdm5^{140} larvae have a prothoracic gland endocycle defect that is rescued by expression of cyclin E or torso.**

(A-D) Control flies in which EdU incorporation was carried out using larvae from a cross of spok>GFP to the wild-type strain w^{118} to mark prothoracic gland cells. (A) GFP (B) EdU (C) DAPI (D) merge of EdU and GFP channels.

(E-H) EdU incorporation into kdm5^{140} mutant larva carrying spok>GFP to mark cells of the prothoracic gland. (E) GFP (G) EdU (G) DAPI (H) merge of EdU and GFP channels.

(I) Quantification of the number of EdU positive prothoracic gland nuclei from control larvae (N=7 larvae) and kdm5^{140} homozygous mutant larvae (N=10), kdm5^{140} ; spok>kdm5 (N=7) and kdm5^{140} ; spok>torso (N=8). In all genotypes, prothoracic gland cells were marked using spok>GFP. **** P<0.0001, * P<0.05 (one-way ANOVA). Error bars indicate SEM.

(J) Quantification of the total prothoracic gland cell number in control spok>GFP (N=12 larvae) and kdm5^{140} ; spok>GFP (N=18) larvae. Error bars indicate SEM. ns not significant (Student’s t-test).

(K) Quantification of the time for pupariation to occur in wild-type (N=91), kdm5^{140} (N=56), kdm5^{140} ; spok>kdm5 (N=73) and kdm5^{140} ; spok>cyclin E (N=48) animals.

**Figure 6: Model for KDM5 function in the prothoracic gland.**

We propose that KDM5 activates the Torso/MAPK pathway to regulate prothoracic gland endocycling and subsequent ecdysone production in addition to other key cellular functions.
Table 1: Re-expressing *kdm5* in defined tissues in *kdm5*^<sup>140</sup> mutants.

| Gal4 driver strain | Expression pattern of Gal4 driven expression of KDM5 | % of expected flies | Number of flies scored | Significant rescue of lethality? | Adult phenotype of rescued flies? |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| No Gal4            | None                                                 | 0                   | 300                    | -                               | -                                |
| Ubiquitin-Gal4     | Ubiquitous                                           | 83.5%               | 365                    | P=8.8e-15                       | Wild type                        |
| 32B-Gal4           | Wing imaginal disc Salivary gland Eye-antennal disc Prothoracic gland | 21.5%               | 181                    | P=6.8e-6                        | Curved wings                     |
| T80-Gal4           | Prothoracic gland Imaginal discs Muscle Salivary gland Larval brain Gut | 77%                 | 132                    | P=3.8e-15                       | Wild type                        |
| Mai60-Gal4         | Prothoracic gland Larval brain Imaginal disc         | 62%                 | 246                    | P=2.3e-15                       | Curved wings                     |
| spok-Gal4          | Prothoracic gland                                    | 39%                 | 173                    | P=2.8e-9                        | Curved wings                     |
| phm-Gal4           | Prothoracic gland Leg and wing discs Trachea         | 44.5%               | 246                    | P=1.4e-11                       | Curved wings                     |
| CG-Gal4            | Fat body Hemocytes Lymph gland                       | 0%                  | 125                    | ns P=1                          | n.a.                             |
| dilp2-Gal4         | Insulin secreting cells of brain                     | 1.5%                | 208                    | ns P=1                          | n.a.                             |
| Mef2-Gal4          | Somatic, visceral and cardiac muscle                 | 0%                  | 449                    | P=1                             | n.a.                             |
| D42-Gal4           | Motor neurons Salivary gland                         | 0%                  | 222                    | P=1                             | n.a.                             |
| Aug21-Gal4         | Corpus allatum Salivary gland Tracheae Malpighian tubules Tv neurons | 2%                  | 173                    | ns P=0.5                        | n.a.                             |
| Akh-Gal4           | Corpus cardiaca                                      | 0%                  | 139                    | ns P=1                          | n.a.                             |
Rescue of $kdm5^{140}$ lethality using the Gal4 drivers shown in the table shown as a percentage of the number of homozygous mutant $kdm5$ flies expected based on Mendelian ratios. Significance determined using Fisher’s exact test. n.a. not applicable.
Table 2: Fertility of kdm5140-rescued adult flies

| Gal4 driver strain | % of fertile female flies | % of fertile male flies |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Ubi-Gal4           | 82% (N=17)                | 79% (N=19)              |
| Mai60-Gal4         | 7% (N=14) P=3.8e-05       | 0% (N=14) P=6e-06       |
| spok-Gal4          | 0% (N=9) P=7e-05          | 0% (N=17) P=7.2e-07     |

Fertility of kdm5140 homozygous mutant male and female flies expressing UAS-kdm5 under the control of Ubi-Gal4, spok-Gal4 or Mai60-Gal4. P-values were calculated using Fisher's exact test compared to Ubi-Gal4-rescued control flies.
Table 3: Rescue of *kdm5* lethality by UAS-transgene expression.

| UAS-transgene expressed under the control of spok-Gal4 | % of expected flies | Number of flies scored | Significant rescue of lethality? | Adult phenotype of rescued flies? |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| UAS-torso                                              | 13%                 | 299                   | P=0.002                         | Curved wings                     |
| UAS-Ras<sup>V12</sup>                                  | 31%                 | 90                    | P=9.2e-8                        | Curved wings                     |
| UAS-cyclin <i>E</i>                                     | 0%                  | 200                   | ns P=1                          | n.a.                             |

Rescue of *kdm5<sup>140</sup>* lethality by expressing the UAS transgene indicated. Data are shown as a percentage of the number of homozygous mutant flies expected based on Mendelian genetics. Fisher’s exact test was used to determine whether the rescue was significant compared to *kdm5<sup>140</sup>* flies harboring no UAS transgene. n.a. not applicable.
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