The Interaction of Oxytocin and Social Support, Loneliness, and Cortisol Level in Major Depression
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Objective: Loneliness is a specific risk factor for depressive symptoms and suicidal behavior. The present study examined whether the serum oxytocin level would interact with social support and buffers loneliness and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA)-axis activity in drug-naïve patients with major depressive disorder (MDD).

Methods: Twenty-six patients with MDD (male:female = 3:23; mean age, 45.54 ± 12.97 years) were recruited. The 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, UCLA Loneliness Scale and self-reported Measurement of Support Function Questionnaire were administered. Serum oxytocin and cortisol levels were assessed using a commercial immunoassay kits.

Results: In MDD patients, a negative association was found between degrees of social support and loneliness (β = −0.39, p = 0.04). The interaction between social support and serum oxytocin level was negatively associated with loneliness (β = −0.50, p = 0.017) and serum cortisol level (β = −0.55, p = 0.020) after adjusting for age. Follow-up analyses showed that the association between higher social support and lower loneliness was observed only in the higher-oxytocin group (r = −0.75, p = 0.003) but not in the lower group (r = −0.19, p = 0.53). The significance remained after further adjusting for sex and depression severity.

Conclusion: Low oxytocin level is a vulnerability factor for the buffering effect of social support for loneliness and aberrant HPA-axis activity in MDD patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Loneliness is a crucial determinant factor for common mental disorders and depression [1,2]. In major depressive disorder (MDD) patients, their depression severity is associated with degrees of loneliness [3]. Furthermore, loneliness could be an important risk factor for suicide among depression [4]. Loneliness is also associated with poor prognosis in late-life depression [5]. The presence of loneliness is associated with a flattening of the diurnal cortisol rhythm [6].

The negative association between loneliness and perceived social support is well-documented [7-9]. Higher loneliness or lower perceived social support at baselines are both significant predictors of depression remission [9], while loneliness might be a better predictor of depression than social support [10]. Compared the direct effects of loneliness on depression, the effects of social support is indirect and it plays a mediating role between loneliness and depression [11,12].

Evidence suggests that the influence of perceived social support on health is mediated by the neuropeptide oxytocin [13,14]. Oxytocin increases trust, regulates stress, facilitates emotion recognition, increases prosocial behavior, and attenuates loneliness [2,15]. Oxytocin modu-
lates the emotional functions of the amygdala and hypothalamus [16,17]. On behavioral level, oxytocin facilitates expression of the levels of sociality, prosocial behavior, and stress regulation through the effects on hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis [13,18,19].

Anxiety and depression are part of the adverse consequences of HPA axis dysfunction [20]. Increased morning cortisol [21], cortisol awakening response and average daily cortisol had been reported in depressed individuals [22]. Both higher serum oxytocin level and better social interaction are associated with reduced activity in the HPA axis. Studies also suggest that the alleviating effect of social support is mediated by oxytocin [14,23,24]. The negative correlations between oxytocin level and the core symptoms of depression have been reported [25]. Furthermore, oxytocin levels in depressed female were associated with depression and anxiety symptoms severity [26]. Its enhancing effect on social affiliation may play a crucial role in both pathophysiology and therapeutic effect in MDD [27].

Despite that oxytocin-based social buffering effect of stress is observed in both animal [28] and human studies [13], the effect has not been examined in patients with depression. Assuming that lack of social support could be a risk factor for the poor outcome among patients with MDD, in the current study we aimed to examine the buffer effect of oxytocin, in the link between lack of social support with loneliness, as well as poor HPA-axis activity in MDD patients. Well-established statistical models were employed [29], to test that whether there is significant interaction between the serum oxytocin level and social support on the loneliness and HPA-axis activity in MDD patients.

METHODS

Ethics Statement

The research protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee for Human Research at the National Cheng Kung University (B-ER-106-374), and written informed consent was obtained from each subject before any procedures were performed.

Subjects

In total, 26 drug-naïve MDD patients were recruited from 2013 to 2015. MDD outpatients aged 21 to 63 years who met the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth edition (DSM-IV), diagnostic criteria, underwent a Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI), and completed the 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D) were enrolled consecutively by trained psychiatrists. Patients who met the following criteria were excluded: 1) monoamine oxidase inhibitor or any class of antidepressant treatment prior to entering the study; 2) a DSM-IV diagnosis of substance abuse within the past three months; 3) an organic mental disease, mental retardation or dementia; 4) a serious surgical condition or physical illness; and 5) patients who were pregnant or breastfeeding.

Plasma Level of Oxytocin and Serum Level of Cortisol

Fasting blood samples were collected between 08:00 and 10:00 in the morning. Blood samples for plasma oxytocin assay were collected from the antecubital vein into pre-chilled 5-ml ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid tubes with 250 KIU of apoprotinin and refrigerated until processing. Plasma was isolated by centrifugation at 1,800 ×g for 15 minutes at 4°C and stored in aliquots at −70°C. Oxytocin immune reactivity levels were quantified in duplicate using a commercial oxytocin ELISA kit (Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, NY, USA; formerly Assays Design, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). The detection range was from 12.35 to 1,000 pg/ml. The sensitivity, i.e., the minimum detectable dose of oxytocin, of our assay was 4.92 pg/ml. No extraction was conducted. The intra-assay precision and inter-assay precision of the assay were lower than 10% and 12%, respectively (coefficient of variance [CV] (%) = standard deviation/mean × 100; intra-assay: CV < 10%; inter-assay: CV < 12%).

Fasting blood sample for cortisol was collected between 08:00 and 10:00 in the morning, too. Cortisol level was assessed using a commercial radioimmunoassay kit (sensitivity, 0.2 ng/dl) (Immulite Cortisol; DPC Biemann, Bad-Nauheim, Germany). The inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation were < 7.8% and < 7.7%, respectively.

Measurement of Support Function (MSF)

The self-reported MSF questionnaire [30] was adopted for use in this study. This measurement has been used previously to assess social support status, and consists of four subscales: perceived crisis support, perceived routine
support, received crisis support, and received routine support. In this study, the sum score was employed and the Cronbach’s alpha of this sample is 0.91. A higher score indicated the receipt of more social support.

The University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) Loneliness Scale

UCLA Loneliness Scale (version 3) has previously been used to measure loneliness [31]. This scale is one of the most widely used loneliness measures and has a high reliability and validity. This scale contains 20 items and the Cronbach’s alpha of this sample is 0.65. Participants rated how often they felt the way described in the item using a four-point Likert scale ranging from ‘never’ to ‘often’.

Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D)

The 17-item HAM-D is widely available for measurement of the severity of depressive symptoms. This scale provides comprehensive coverage of depressive symptoms, and has strong psychometric properties, high concurrent and differential validities, and a strong reliability [32]. Participants rated the severity of depressive symptoms using a three- to five-point scale for each item. The total score ranged from 0 to 52 and the Cronbach’s alpha of this sample is 0.77.

Statistics

In the first multiple linear regression model, we examined the cross-sectional associations of loneliness with social support and serum oxytocin level, adjusting for age (model 1) [33-35]. Building on model 1, we repeated the analysis with an additional term for the effect of interaction between social support and oxytocin to examine the association between the interaction term and loneliness, adjusting for age (model 2). The interaction term was centered before entering the model. As sex and level of depression may also influence subjective loneliness, in the third analysis (model 3), we tested the interaction of oxytocin and social support by repeating model 2 with further adjustment for sex and HAM-D score. To examine whether the interaction of oxytocin with social support is also associated with the stress-related biological marker, serum cortisol level, we performed a parallel set of regression analyses, including models 1, 2, and 3, with the serum cortisol level as the outcome variable. For linear regression models, we reported unstandardized coefficient estimates (B) and standardized estimates (β) with significance test results (p values).

To increase the interpretability of the oxytocin-social support interaction effect on loneliness and cortisol level, we performed an additional analysis by splitting the subjects into groups of low and high oxytocin levels at the median (22.5 pg/ml). Pearson’s correlations between loneliness, cortisol level and social support were examined in the low and high oxytocin level groups separately. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Significance was assumed at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

The 26 MDD patients were predominantly female (male:female = 3:23), with a mean age of 45.54 years. The other demographic, clinical, and laboratory data are sum-

| Variable                      | Total (n = 26) | Low (n = 13) | High (n = 13) | Statistic |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|
| Age (yr)                      | 45.54 ± 12.97 | 45.38 ± 13.36 | 45.69 ± 13.10 | −0.06 | 0.95 |
| Sex, male/female              | 3/23          | 1/12        | 2/11         | 0.38 | 0.54 |
| Oxytocin level (pg/ml)        | 27.98 ± 17.80 | 14.20 ± 6.84 | 41.76 ± 14.19 | −6.31 | <0.001 |
| Loneliness                    | 52.19 ± 11.23 | 51.23 ± 10.49 | 53.15 ± 12.27 | −0.61 | 0.55 |
| MSF sum score                 | 102.96 ± 18.64 | 100.69 ± 23.94 | 105.23 ± 11.82 | −1.31 | 0.20 |
| HAM-D                         | 17.46 ± 6.38  | 15.85 ± 5.87  | 19.08 ± 6.69  | 0.13 | 0.89 |
| Cortisol level (mg/dl)        | 15.06 ± 6.31  | 15.23 ± 6.74  | 14.89 ± 6.13  | 0.07 | 0.89 |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number only.
Patients were split into groups with low and high levels of oxytocin according to the median (22.5 pg/ml).
MSF, Measurement of Support Function; HAM-D, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale.
*The results were similar when the Mann–Whitney U test was used.
Effect of Social Support and Oxytocin Interaction on Subjective Loneliness

In model 1, we assessed the associations between social support, oxytocin level, and loneliness, taking age into consideration. We found that a younger age and lower social support, but not oxytocin level, were associated with a greater UCLA Loneliness Scale score (Table 2). After adding the interaction term in model 2, we observed that effect of interaction between social support and serum oxytocin level was negatively associated with UCLA loneliness score ($\beta = -0.50, p = 0.017$), in addition to social support alone ($\beta = -0.66, p = 0.002$). The negative association between the social support−oxytocin interaction and loneliness remained significant ($\beta = -0.50, p = 0.024$) after further adjustment for sex ($\beta = 0.10, p = 0.56$) and HAM-D score ($\beta = 0.12, p = 0.50$) in model 3.

In an additional analysis, we split the MDD subjects into groups of low and high oxytocin levels. There was no significant difference in the UCLA loneliness score between the two groups ($t = -0.43, p = 0.67$) (Table 1). A significant negative correlation between social support and loneliness was observed only in the group with a high oxytocin level ($r = -0.75, p = 0.003$), and not in the low oxytocin level group ($r = -0.19, p = 0.53$) (Table 3, Fig. 1).

Effect of Social Support and Oxytocin Level Interaction on Serum Cortisol Level

Based on our finding in this study of a negative correlation between the interaction of oxytocin with social support and loneliness, we further explored the correlation with the interaction of oxytocin level and social support by repeating the analyses of the biological stress marker of serum cortisol level (Table 2). We did not observe a significant association between social support or oxytocin level and serum cortisol level after controlling for age (model 1); however, after considering the interaction terms, we observed a negative relationship between the interaction of oxytocin and social support and cortisol level in both model 2 ($\beta = -0.55, p = 0.020$) and model 3 ($\beta = -0.52, p = 0.026$), in addition to a negative relation-

### Table 2. Three multiple linear regression models of cortisol level and loneliness

|                | Model 1          | Model 2          | Model 3          |
|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                | $B$   | $\beta$ | $p$   | $B$   | $\beta$ | $p$   | $B$   | $\beta$ | $p$   |
| Age            | -0.39 | -0.45 | 0.021* | -0.35 | -0.40 | 0.023* | -0.31 | -0.35 | 0.06  |
| MSF            | -0.23 | -0.39 | 0.040* | -0.40 | -0.66 | 0.002* | -0.39 | -0.65 | 0.004*|
| Oxytocin       | 0.10  | 0.16  | 0.38   | 0.07  | 0.11  | 0.51   | 0.03  | 0.04  | 0.83  |
| MSF x oxytocin | -0.02 | -0.50 | 0.017* | -0.02 | -0.50 | 0.024* | -0.02 | -0.50 | 0.024*|
| Sex            | 0.04  | 0.10  | 0.56   | 0.04  | 0.10  | 0.56   | 0.04  | 0.10  | 0.56   |
| HAM-D          | 0.22  | 0.12  | 0.50   | 0.22  | 0.12  | 0.50   | 0.22  | 0.12  | 0.50   |

**Dependent variable: Loneliness**

Unstandardized coefficient estimates ($B$) and standardized estimates ($\beta$) were both assessed.

### Table 3. Pearson correlations of the MSF score with loneliness and cortisol level in low and high oxytocin levels groups

| Association     | Low oxytocin group (n = 13) | High oxytocin group (n = 13) |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
|                 | $r$ | $p$ | $r$ | $p$|
| Between MSF and loneliness | -0.19 | 0.53 | -0.75 | 0.003 |
| Between MSF and cortisol | -0.11 | 0.73 | -0.41 | 0.16 |

MSF, Measurement of Support Function.

"The results were similar when Spearman’s rho correlation or partial correlation, controlling age, sex, and Hamilton Depression Rating Scale was used.

Unstandardized coefficient estimates ($B$) and standardized estimates ($\beta$) were both assessed.

MSF, Measurement of Support Function; HAM-D, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale.

* $p < 0.05$. 
ship with social support alone ($\beta = -0.52, p = 0.025$ in model 2; $\beta = -0.47, p = 0.038$, respectively).

In the additional analysis in which subjects were split into two groups according to oxytocin level, there was no significant difference in the serum cortisol level between the patients with a high oxytocin level and those with a low level ($t = 0.13, p = 0.89$) (Table 1). We did not observe a significant association between social support and serum cortisol level in either the low or high oxytocin level group ($r = -0.11, p = 0.73$ and $r = -0.41, p = 0.16$, respectively) (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

In a female-predominant sample of patients with MDD, we observed an interaction effect between serum oxytocin level and social support, which was significantly associated with lower subjective loneliness. Follow-up analyses further revealed a negative association between social support and loneliness which only observed in the high oxytocin level subgroup. Similarly, social support—oxytocin interaction was also negatively associated with serum cortisol level, a biological marker of stress.

Our first regression model (model 1) replicated previous observations that greater social support is associated with lower loneliness in a healthy population [36] and in elder depressive patients [11]. Prior research has observed the association between greater loneliness and poorer social support and depression; however, limited studies have investigated the concurrent association between social support and loneliness in adult depressive patients [37]. In line with our hypothesis, we found a negative association between social support and loneliness in model 2 and model 3, and our results further suggested that oxytocin might facilitate the effect of social support in terms of buffering loneliness, even after adjusting for age (model 2), sex, and level of depression (model 3). Our results echoed findings of an oxytocin-based social buffering of stress cues in animal [28] and human studies [13]. The results suggested that social support may alleviate loneliness only in the existence of adequate oxytocin level in depressive patients.

As hypothesized, we observed a negative correlation between the social support—oxytocin level interaction and the serum cortisol level in model 2 and model 3, similar to that seen with loneliness. The negative correlation between social support and cortisol level was stronger in the high oxytocin level group ($r = -0.41$) than in the low oxytocin level group ($r = -0.11$), supporting the hypothesis of an oxytocin-based social buffering effect on stress regulation in depressive patients. This result suggests an oxytocin-based buffering effect to the current stress level, as to stress responsiveness observed by Heinrichs et al. [24], which employed intranasal oxytocin administration in a healthy male population.

Interestingly, we did not find a significant correlation between oxytocin and loneliness in MDD patients. The negative correlation with loneliness exists at the social support—oxytocin interaction level rather than the main effect of oxytocin may reflect a context-related prosocial effect of oxytocin. The interaction of oxytocin and social support had more prominent effects to suppress the stress-induced cortisol response and anxiety than social support or oxytocin alone [24]. In contrast, a negative or null effect was observed while the administration of oxytocin was in a negative or non-social context [38-40]. In sum, the effects of oxytocin are social environment dependent [13] and vary in different level of social support.

The exact role of oxytocin in MDD is a complex question. Depressed female is more likely to display a dysregulated pattern of peripheral oxytocin release than controls [26], and has a reduced plasma oxytocin level [41,42]. Furthermore, a negative correlation between oxytocin and the severity of depressive symptoms was identified [25]. In summary, the level of oxytocin seems to be lower in individuals with more severe depressive
symptoms, particularly in females. Given that depression severity is associated with degrees of loneliness [3], we expected that depression severity may moderate the association between the social support, oxytocin level and loneliness. However, in our sample, the severity of depression did not affect the alleviating effect of social support and its interaction effect with oxytocin on loneliness. Similarly, our results suggest that the synergistic effect of social support and oxytocin level on the HPA axis may be relatively independent to the severity of depression. These results imply a similar buffering effect of social support on loneliness and HPA axis activities in MDD patients and in healthy populations [6,11,43].

There were some limitations of the current study. First, the correlational analyses we applied limited the extent to which causal inferences may be made. Second, the sample size was limited, and the results may not be able to be generalized to other populations. Third, much of the variability in loneliness owing to objective social relationships, personality traits, or environmental interactions was not accounted for.

We replicated the buffering effect of social support on loneliness and HPA axis activity, and identified a facilitating factor, higher serum oxytocin level, that had synergistic effect with social support to alleviate the loneliness in drug-naïve female patients with depression. Those depressive patients with lower level of oxytocin and socially unsupported were likely to experience higher level of loneliness and HPA axis hyperactivity. These findings will inform a new direction of study into the neurobiology of oxytocin that may significantly influence the effect of social support, and may indicate a more effective route for bio-psycho-social intervention and prevention in major depression.
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