Basilar Artery Dolichosis Increases the Risk of Long–Term Recurrence in Patients With Pontine Infarction: A Prospective Cohort Study
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**Background and Purpose:** Patients with basilar artery (BA) dolichosis are at high risk of acute pontine infarction (API), but the association between BA dolichosis and long–term stroke recurrence has received little attention. We aimed to identify the effect of BA dolichosis on the risk of long–term brainstem infarction recurrence in patients with API.

**Methods:** In this prospective cohort study, we enrolled 113 patients with API admitted to our department. BA dolichosis was diagnosed by a BA curve length >29.5 mm or bending length (BL) >10 mm on magnetic resonance angiography. The primary outcome was the occurrence of diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI)–confirmed brainstem infarction. The Cox proportional hazard model was used to detect possible predictors of brainstem infarction recurrence.

**Results:** Among 113 patients with API, 39 (34.5%) patients had BA dolichosis, and DWI–confirmed brainstem infarction recurred in 15 (13.3%) patients with a mean follow–up time of 31.2 months; the estimated 5–year incidence of brainstem infarction recurrence was 23.1% in patients with BA dolichosis, which was significantly higher than the incidence of 8.1% in patients without BA dolichosis. Cox proportional hazard analysis showed that age ≥65 years (hazard ratio (HR) = 3.341, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.079–10.348, P = 0.036) and BA dolichosis (HR = 3.048, 95% CI: 1.069–8.693, P = 0.037) were significantly associated with a higher risk of brainstem infarction recurrence. In a subgroup analysis stratified by age, the patients aged ≥65 years with BA dolichosis had a higher risk of brainstem infarction recurrence (HR = 7.319, 95% CI: 1.525–35.123, P = 0.013).

**Conclusions:** This study indicates that BA dolichosis may increase the risk of long–term brainstem infarction recurrence in patients with API, especially in elderly patients, and therefore warrants more attention in clinical practice.
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INTRODUCTION

Basilar artery (BA) dolichosis refers to the elongation or curvature of the BA, with a BA curve length > 29.5 mm or bending length (BL) > 10 mm, and is one of the primary diagnostic criteria for basilar artery dolichoectasia (BADE) or vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia (VBD) (1). VBD/BADE is an uncommon vasculopathy that can easily lead to posterior circulation infarction, especially brainstem infarction (2–4). Kumral et al. (3) found that in 29 patients with posterior circulation infarction complicated with VBD, more than half of the infarcts were located in the pons. However, many patients with simple BA dolichosis cannot be classified as having VBD (5, 6). In the study by Del Brutto et al. (7) the proportion of BA dolichosis reached as high as 11.6% in community-dwelling older adults. The incidence of BA dolichosis is higher in patients with pontine infarction. The cross-sectional study by Kwon et al. (8) found that in the Korean population, the detection rate of BA dolichosis was 19.8% in patients with acute pontine infarction (API).

Based on the current quantitative criterion for BA ectasia (BA diameter > 4.5 mm) (1), our preliminary study found very few patients (only one out of 101) with BA ectasia in the Han Chinese population. However, the detection rate of BA dolichosis was 33.6%. Further analysis showed that patients with BA dolichosis had larger infarct diameters at the maximal level of pontine infarction, and BA dolichosis was correlated with unfavorable 90–day outcomes (5), which may be attributed to persistent hemodynamic abnormalities and perforating artery disease due to BA dolichosis and/or bending. Therefore, it remains to be determined whether BA dolichosis or BA ectasia is more likely to cause posterior circulation infarction. Wolters et al. (9) conducted a meta-analysis of 375 VBD patients from nine cohorts and found that the estimated 5–year incidence of posterior circulation stroke was 17.6%, which was higher than that of other clinical manifestations, such as cerebral hemorrhage and cranial nerve compression. Patients with BA dolichosis are prone to perforator artery disease of the BA, but the effect of BA dolichosis on long-term stroke recurrence is unknown. We conducted a prospective cohort study to investigate the effect of BA dolichosis on the risk of long-term recurrence in patients with API, with diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI)-confirmed brainstem infarction as the endpoint event.

METHODS

Study Population

The study population consisted of patients with API in the Department of Neurology of our hospital. They were from an ongoing prospective cohort study for purposively determining the relationship between BA morphology and outcomes in patients with posterior circulation infarction in a single-center Han population. Patients with API were consecutively enrolled between July 2015 and June 2019 if they met the following eligibility criteria: ① aged 18–80 years, ② admitted within 7 days after onset, and ③ diagnosed with API by DWI. Patients with a previous history of atrial fibrillation or newly diagnosed atrial fibrillation, segmental thickening of the BA or BA aneurysms (10), evidence of hemodynamically severe BA stenosis (≥ 70%) or occlusion affecting our measurements, or incomplete clinical or imaging information were excluded. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Second People's Hospital of Hefei. All patients provided written informed consent and were informed about the long-term follow-up plan of the study. The data supporting the findings of this study can be obtained from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Clinical Information and Assessment

Baseline clinical information were collected in detail on enrollment, including current smoking, drinking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia, as well as systolic and diastolic blood pressure on admission. Hypertension was defined as a resting systolic/diastolic blood pressure of ≥ 140/≥ 90 mmHg on repeated measurements or taking antihypertension drugs. Diabetes mellitus was diagnosed when the patient had a fasting blood glucose ≥ 7.0 mmol/L or had taken oral hypoglycemic agents or been treated with insulin. Dyslipidemia was diagnosed if the patients had total cholesterol ≥ 5.60 mmol/L, triglycerides ≥ 1.81 mmol/L, or low-density lipoprotein ≥ 3.57 mmol/L or had taken antilipemic medication for these conditions. According to the etiology classification of API proposed by Kumral et al. (11), the stroke etiology was classified into vertebrobasilar large-artery disease (LAD), small–artery disease (SAD), basilar artery branch disease (BABD), and other and undetermined etiologies. The etiology of potential cardiac sources of embolism was excluded. Discharge medications, including antiplatelet agents, statin therapy, antihypertensive agents, and glucose-lowering agents, were also recorded in detail.

Imaging Protocol and Analysis

All patients underwent conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with a 1.5-tesla MRI unit (Siemens Healthineers, Model: Avanto I class, Germany). The DWI scan parameters included a repetition time/echo time of 3,400/102 ms, a slice thickness of 5 mm, a dispersion mode of 3–scan trace, and a b-value of 0–1000. The location of pontine infarction on DWI was divided into pontine base infarction (extending to the basal surface of the pons) and deep pontine infarction (not reaching the basal surface). We conducted magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) using a three-dimensional time–of–flight (TOF) sequence with a repetition time of 25 ms, an echo time of 7 ms, and a slice thickness of 0.6 mm. Maximum-intensity projection reconstructed images were used for analysis. The evaluation method of BA features, mainly including BA diameter, BA curve length, BA length (BAL), and BL, was described in detail in our previous study (5). A BA curve length > 29.5 mm or BL > 10 mm is diagnosed as BA dolichosis We defined a BA diameter > 4.5 mm at any location along its course as BA ectasia. Patients meeting both of the above criteria were considered to have BADE (1). The line of BAL is used to determine the location of a BA curvature (toward the right or left side or straight) (12). The severity of the BA curvature was classified into no curvature (straight), moderate curvature (BL ≤ 10 mm) or prominent curvature (BL > 10 mm, namely BA curvature of the BA, with a BA curve length > 29.5 mm or bending length (BL) > 10 mm, and is one of the primary diagnostic criteria for basilar artery dolichoectasia (BADE) or vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia (VBD) (1). VBD/BADE is an uncommon vasculopathy that can easily lead to posterior circulation infarction, especially brainstem infarction (2–4). Kumral et al. (3) found that in 29 patients with posterior circulation infarction complicated with VBD, more than half of the infarcts were located in the pons. However, many patients with simple BA dolichosis cannot be classified as having VBD (5, 6). In the study by Del Brutto et al. (7) the proportion of BA dolichosis reached as high as 11.6% in community-dwelling older adults. The incidence of BA dolichosis is higher in patients with pontine infarction. The cross-sectional study by Kwon et al. (8) found that in the Korean population, the detection rate of BA dolichosis was 19.8% in patients with acute pontine infarction (API).

Based on the current quantitative criterion for BA ectasia (BA diameter > 4.5 mm) (1), our preliminary study found very few patients (only one out of 101) with BA ectasia in the Han Chinese population. However, the detection rate of BA dolichosis was 33.6%. Further analysis showed that patients with BA dolichosis had larger infarct diameters at the maximal level of pontine infarction, and BA dolichosis was correlated with unfavorable 90–day outcomes (5), which may be attributed to persistent hemodynamic abnormalities and perforating artery disease due to BA dolichosis and/or bending. Therefore, it remains to be determined whether BA dolichosis or BA ectasia is more likely to cause posterior circulation infarction. Wolters et al. (9) conducted a meta-analysis of 375 VBD patients from nine cohorts and found that the estimated 5–year incidence of posterior circulation stroke was 17.6%, which was higher than that of other clinical manifestations, such as cerebral hemorrhage and cranial nerve compression. Patients with BA dolichosis are prone to perforator artery disease of the BA, but the effect of BA dolichosis on long-term stroke recurrence is unknown. We conducted a prospective cohort study to investigate the effect of BA dolichosis on the risk of long-term recurrence in patients with API, with diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI)-confirmed brainstem infarction as the endpoint event.
dolichosis). We further evaluated the maximum bend of the BA (proximal, middle, or distal). BA hypoplasia (BAH) refers to a continuous diameter reduction all over the artery and a basilar artery diameter <2 mm (13). All vascular images were analyzed by two experienced neuroradiologists, and the mean values were recorded as the result for analysis. When there was any disagreement, a radiologist with 10 years of experience was consulted to resolve the issue. A Bland–Altman plot was used to analyze the agreement between two readers.

Follow-Up Data
The enrolled patients with API were followed up by telephone interviews or outpatient visits every 6 months. Once the patients had stroke symptoms, they were hospitalized and submitted to complete DWI–MRI examination to determine whether there was acute cerebral infarction recurrence. The primary outcome was the occurrence of DWI–confirmed acute brainstem infarction. The endpoint date for follow–up was June 30, 2020. The follow–up interval was defined as the time between the stroke onset and recurrence, loss to follow–up, or death.

Statistical Analysis
All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 22.0 package for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous variables were tested for normality by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test and are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) or as the median (M) and interquartile range (IQR). Categorical variables are presented as proportions (%). The age of onset and BA diameter (normally distributed) were compared by Student's t-test. A chi–squared test or Fisher's exact test was used to compare the distribution of categorical variables between groups, including age grouped by median, sex, risk factors, medications at discharge, basilar artery features, location of pontine infarction, and stroke etiology. The time to the first recurrence of brainstem infarction was estimated by the Kaplan–Meier method and was compared using a two–sided log–rank test. The association of brainstem infarction recurrence with potential factors was assessed by the Cox proportional hazard model, with and without adjustment for the prespecified factors mentioned above. Subgroup analysis was also performed when necessary. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were subsequently calculated. All tests used a two–sided P value of 0.05 as the threshold for statistical significance. All plots were drawn in R version 3.6.1 with the survival (version 3.2.1) and survminer (version 0.4.6) packages.

RESULTS

Clinical Data
A total of 162 patients with API were identified for this study; 113 patients were ultimately included, as shown in Figure 1. The median age of onset was 64 years (IQR 56–73) years, and the sex ratio was 66:47 male: female. Among them, 39 (34.5%) patients were diagnosed with BA dolichosis, whereas the other 74 (65.5%) patients had no BA dolichosis. The mean diameters of BA were 2.89 ± 0.64 mm, with 3.13 ± 0.74 mm in patients with BA dolichosis and 2.76 ± 0.54 mm in patients without BA dolichosis. The 50th percentile BA diameter was 2.90 mm. Only two patients were diagnosed with BA ectasia according to the current criteria, and they were diagnosed with BADE due to the simultaneous presence of BA dolichosis. Sixty–six patients had BA curvature, including 64 cases of moderate curvature and 2 cases of prominent curvature (dolichosis). Among them, the maximum bend was at the distal portion of the BA in 3 cases,

![Study flowchart of the present study.](image-url)
the middle of the BA in 61 cases, and the proximal portion of the BA in 2 cases. The proportion of BA curvature was significantly higher in patients with BA dolichosis than in those without BA dolichosis (82.1 vs. 45.9%, \( P < 0.001 \)). The etiology of API included LAD in 25 patients (22.1%), BABD in 67 patients (59.3%), SAD in 8 patients (7.1%), and other and undetermined etiologies in 13 patients (11.5%). The clinical characteristics and imaging data of the BA are presented in Table 1.

The mean follow-up time was 31.2 months (range 0.9–59.7 months). At the last follow-up, three patients had stopped antiplatelet drugs due to adverse gastrointestinal reactions, one of whom had BA dolichosis, while two patients had no BA

| Variables                                      | Total (\( n = 113 \)) | With BA dolichosis (\( n = 39 \)) | Without BA dolichosis (\( n = 74 \)) | \( P \) value |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| **Age (years)**                                 | 63.7 ± 10.0            | 64.1 ± 10.5                       | 63.6 ± 9.9                          | 0.799        |
| **Age grouped by median, n (%)**                |                        |                                   |                                     | 0.424        |
| Age < 65 y                                      | 58 (51.3)              | 18 (46.2)                         | 40 (54.1)                           |              |
| Age ≥ 65 y                                      | 55 (48.7)              | 21 (53.8)                         | 34 (45.9)                           |              |
| **Sex, n (%)**                                  |                        |                                   |                                     | 0.373        |
| Female                                          | 47 (41.6)              | 14 (35.9)                         | 33 (44.6)                           |              |
| Male                                            | 66 (58.4)              | 25 (64.1)                         | 41 (55.4)                           |              |
| **Risk factors, n (%)**                         |                        |                                   |                                     |              |
| Smoking                                         | 36 (31.9)              | 14 (35.9)                         | 22 (29.7)                           | 0.504        |
| Drinking                                        | 18 (15.9)              | 9 (23.1)                          | 9 (12.2)                            | 0.132        |
| Hypertension                                    | 94 (83.2)              | 36 (92.3)                         | 58 (78.4)                           | 0.060        |
| Diabetes mellitus                               | 49 (43.4)              | 10 (25.6)                         | 39 (52.7)                           | 0.006        |
| Dyslipidemia                                     | 43 (38.4)              | 16 (42.1)                         | 27 (36.5)                           | 0.563        |
| **Medications at discharge, n (%)**             |                        |                                   |                                     |              |
| Antiplatelet agents                             | 1.000                  |                                   |                                     |              |
| Single antiplatelet agents                      | 99 (87.6)              | 34 (87.2)                         | 65 (87.8)                           |              |
| Dual antiplatelet agents                        | 14 (12.4)              | 5 (12.8)                          | 9 (12.2)                            |              |
| Statins                                         | 113 (100)              | 39 (100)                          | 74 (100)                            | -            |
| Antihypertensive agents                         | 84 (74.3)              | 35 (89.7)                         | 49 (66.2)                           | 0.006        |
| Glucose-lowering agents                         | 40 (35.4)              | 10 (25.6)                         | 30 (40.5)                           | 0.115        |
| **Basilar artery features, n (%)**              |                        |                                   |                                     |              |
| BA curvature                                     | <0.001                 |                                   |                                     |              |
| No BA curvature                                  | 47 (41.6)              | 7 (17.9)                          | 40 (54.1)                           |              |
| Moderate curvature                               | 64 (56.6)              | 30 (76.9)                         | 34 (45.9)                           |              |
| Prominent curvature                             | 2 (1.8)                | 2 (5.1)                           | 0                                   |              |
| BA diameter (mm)                                 | 2.89 ± 0.64            | 3.13 ± 0.74                       | 2.76 ± 0.54                         | 0.008        |
| BA diameter grouped by median                   |                        |                                   |                                     | 0.087        |
| <2.90 mm                                        | 56 (49.6)              | 15 (38.5)                         | 41 (55.4)                           |              |
| ≥2.90 mm                                        | 57 (50.4)              | 24 (61.5)                         | 33 (44.6)                           |              |
| BAH                                             | 11 (9.7)               | 4 (10.3)                          | 7 (9.5)                             | 1.000        |
| **BA stenosis**                                 |                        |                                   |                                     | 0.196        |
| No stenosis                                      | 70 (61.9)              | 24 (61.5)                         | 46 (62.2)                           |              |
| Mild stenosis                                    | 24 (21.2)              | 6 (15.4)                          | 18 (24.3)                           |              |
| Moderate stenosis                                | 19 (16.8)              | 9 (23.1)                          | 10 (13.5)                           |              |
| **Location of pontine infarction, n (%)**        |                        |                                   |                                     | 0.541        |
| Deep pontine infarction                          | 13 (11.5)              | 3 (6.7)                           | 10 (13.5)                           |              |
| Pontine base infarction                          | 100 (88.5)             | 36 (92.3)                         | 64 (86.5)                           |              |
| **Stroke etiology, n (%)**                      |                        |                                   |                                     | 0.218        |
| SAD                                             | 8 (7.1)                | 2 (5.1)                           | 6 (8.1)                             |              |
| BABD                                            | 67 (59.3)              | 23 (59.0)                         | 44 (59.5)                           |              |
| LAD                                             | 25 (22.1)              | 12 (30.8)                         | 13 (17.6)                           |              |
| Other and undetermined etiologies               | 13 (11.5)              | 2 (5.1)                           | 11 (14.9)                           |              |

BA, basilar artery; BAH, basilar artery hypoplasia; SAD, small-artery disease; BABD, basilar artery branch disease; VLAD, large-artery disease.
dolichosis. However, no patients discontinued statins. During follow-up, DWI–confirmed brainstem infarction occurred in 15 (13.3%) patients, with estimated 1–year, 3–year, and 5–year incidence rates of brainstem infarction recurrence of 2.7, 9.7, and 17.6%, respectively. Among these cases, one recurred in the medulla oblongata and cerebellum (this patient had no BA dolichosis), two in the midbrain and pons, and the other 12 in the pons (see Figure 2, a typical case), with the average time from onset to recurrence of 24.9 months (range 0.9–50.9 months). In patients with BA dolichosis, the recurrence rate reached 23.1%, which was significantly higher than the incidence of 8.1% in patients without BA dolichosis. During the whole follow-up period, four patients were lost to follow-up, for a loss to follow-up rate of 3.5%. At the end of follow-up, three patients had died of sudden acute coronary syndrome, and one patient had died of cerebral hemorrhage. None of the four patients had BA dolichosis. In addition, basal ganglia infarction recurred in three patients during the follow-up period, which was considered unrelated to BA dolichosis and was not regarded as a defined outcome event. Another patient had basal ganglia infarction first and then brainstem infarction. The recurrence time of brainstem infarction was taken as the follow-up end point. In addition, three patients had stroke symptoms during the follow-up, two of whom were considered to have transient ischemic attack (TIA), and one was diagnosed with DWI–negative cerebral infarction. However, it was uncertain whether it was brainstem infarction recurrence.

Analysis of the Risk of Brainstem Infarction Recurrence
Kaplan–Meier curve analysis revealed a significantly higher rate of brainstem infarction recurrence in the patients with BA dolichosis than in those without BA dolichosis (P = 0.012, log rank test). Age ≥65 years also significantly increased the risk of brainstem infarction recurrence (P = 0.041, log rank test) (Figure 3).

Univariate Cox proportional hazard analysis showed that BA dolichosis was associated with an increased risk of brainstem infarction recurrence (HR = 3.466, 95% CI: 1.229–9.779, P = 0.019). The risk of brainstem infarction recurrence was increased in patients with moderate curvature (HR = 2.057, P = 0.203) and prominent curvature (HR = 10.394, P = 0.036), using patients with no curvature as a reference. However, age, male sex, risk factors, medications at discharge, BA curvature,
BA diameter, BAH, BA stenosis, location of pontine infarction and stroke etiology were not significantly associated with a higher risk of brainstem infarction recurrence. After adjusting for variables \((P < 0.15)\) with potential association, age \(\geq 65\) years \((HR = 3.341, 95\% CI: 1.079–10.348, P = 0.036)\), and BA dolichosis \((HR = 3.048, 95\% CI: 1.069–8.693, P = 0.037)\) were significantly associated with brainstem infarction recurrence (Table 2).

### TABLE 2 | Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional-hazards models for the risk of brainstem infarction recurrence.

| Variables                           | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                     | HR (95%CI)          | \(P\) value           | HR (95%CI)          | \(P\) value           |
| Age                                 |                     |                       |                       |                       |
| Age \(<65\)                         | 1.037 (0.983–1.093) | 0.187                 |                       |                       |
| Age \(\geq65\)                      | 3.011 (0.998–9.086) | 0.050                 | 3.341 (1.079–10.348) | 0.036                 |
| Sex                                 |                     |                       |                       |                       |
| Female                              | Ref                 |                       |                       |                       |
| Male                                | 2.802 (0.790–9.946) | 0.111                 | 3.564 (0.977–12.955) | 0.054                 |
| Risk factors                        |                     |                       |                       |                       |
| Smoking                             | 1.483 (0.527–4.176) | 0.456                 |                       |                       |
| Drinking                            | 1.258 (0.354–4.467) | 0.723                 |                       |                       |
| Hypertension                        | 1.493 (0.336–6.642) | 0.598                 |                       |                       |
| Diabetes mellitus                   | 0.932 (0.331–2.623) | 0.894                 |                       |                       |
| Dyslipidemia                        | 0.982 (0.349–2.763) | 0.973                 |                       |                       |
| Medications at discharge            |                     |                       |                       |                       |
| Antplatelet agents                  |                     |                       |                       |                       |
| Single antplatelet agents           | Ref                 |                       |                       |                       |
| Dual antplatelet agents             | 0.666 (0.087–5.103) | 0.695                 |                       |                       |
| Statins                             | –                   | –                     |                       |                       |
| Anthypertensive agents              | 1.565 (0.438–5.593) | 0.490                 |                       |                       |
| Glucose-lowering agents             | 0.764 (0.242–2.414) | 0.647                 |                       |                       |
| Basilar artery features             |                     |                       |                       |                       |
| BA dolichosis                       | 3.466 (1.229–9.779) | 0.019                 | 3.048 (1.069–8.693) | 0.037                 |
| BA curvature                        |                     |                       |                       |                       |
| No BA curvature                     | Ref                 |                       |                       |                       |
| Moderate curvature                  | 2.057 (0.677–6.248) | 0.203                 |                       |                       |
| Prominent curvature                 | 10.394 (1.159–93.239) | 0.036             |                       |                       |
| BA diameter (mm)                    | 1.347 (0.571–3.179) | 0.496                 |                       |                       |
| BA diameter grouped by median       |                     |                       |                       |                       |
| \(<2.90\) mm                       | Ref                 |                       |                       |                       |
| \(\geq2.90\) mm                    | 0.982 (0.355–2.715) | 0.973                 |                       |                       |
| BAH                                 | 0.498 (0.065–3.802) | 0.501                 |                       |                       |
| BA stenosis                         |                     |                       |                       |                       |
| No stenosis                         | Ref                 |                       |                       |                       |
| Mild stenosis                       | 2.188 (0.693–6.906) | 0.182                 |                       |                       |
| Moderate stenosis                   | 1.952 (0.497–7.667) | 0.338                 |                       |                       |
| Location of pontine infarction      |                     |                       |                       |                       |
| Deep pontine infarction             | Ref                 |                       |                       |                       |
| Pontine base infarction             | 1.486 (0.194–11.375) | 0.703                 |                       |                       |
| Stroke etiology                     |                     |                       |                       |                       |
| SAD                                 | Ref                 |                       |                       |                       |
| BABD                                | 1.070 (0.119–9.627) | 0.952                 |                       |                       |
| LAD                                 | 1.076 (0.136–8.489) | 0.945                 |                       |                       |
| Other and undetermined etiologies   | 0                   | 0.980                 |                       |                       |

\(BA,\) basilar artery; \(BAH,\) basilar artery hypoplasia; \(SAD,\) small-artery disease; \(BABD,\) basilar artery branch disease; \(VLAD,\) large-artery disease.
Subgroup Analysis

Further analysis showed that age and sex both had interaction effects with BA dolichosis for the risk of brainstem infarction recurrence ($P$ for trend <0.001 and $= 0.007$, respectively). The subgroup analysis stratified by age demonstrated that BA dolichosis was highly associated with the risk of brainstem infarction recurrence in patients aged ≥65 years ($HR = 7.319$, 95% CI: 1.525–35.123, $P = 0.013$) (Table 3). We also carried out a subgroup analysis based on sex, but no association was found between BA dolichosis and brainstem infarction recurrence stratified by sex (Table 4).

### DISCUSSION

In this prospective cohort study, we found that age ≥65 years and BA dolichosis were significantly associated with brainstem infarction recurrence. In the subgroup analysis stratified by age, the patients aged ≥65 years with BA dolichosis had a higher risk of brainstem infarction recurrence. Therefore, the API patients with BA dolichosis may have a higher risk of recurrent brainstem infarction, especially in elderly patients.

BA dolichoectasia is a complex arteriopathy that can result in diverse neurologic complications, some of which may be more frequently explained by BA dolichosis and others by BA ectasia. In addition to common atherosclerotic factors, BA dolichosis or BA ectasia, which can lead to intraluminal thrombosis or perforating artery stenosis or occlusion through compression or stretching and subsequent hemodynamic abnormalities, may also increase the risk of ischemic stroke (14). The study by Passero et al. (15) focused on the long-term prognosis in patients with VBD. The end-point events they selected were relatively broad, such as ischemic stroke, without regard to whether the recurrent infarction was associated with VBD. However, VBD/BADE patients are at a high risk of posterior circulation stroke, with brainstem infarction being the most common (2–4). Therefore, we selected DWI–confirmed brainstem infarction as the endpoint event. In the Chinese population, BA ectasia is uncommon under the current criteria, while BA dolichosis is relatively more common (5). Whether BA dolichosis or BA ectasia is more likely to lead to posterior circulation infarction remains to be determined. In the present study, we demonstrated that BA dolichosis was associated with a higher risk of long-term brainstem infarction recurrence ($HR = 3.048$, $P = 0.037$). Although the BA diameter of patients with BA dolichosis was greater than that of patients without BA dolichosis, the BA diameter was not statistically significantly correlated with the recurrence of brainstem infarction. Additionally, no recurrence was noted in the two patients with BA ectasia at the end of the follow-up.

In the present study, patients with BA dolichosis were more likely to have BA curvature than those without BA dolichosis (82.1% vs. 45.9%). Our previous study also showed a positive correlation between BA curve length and BL ($r = 0.550$, $P < 0.001$) (5). Whether BA curvature did not enter into the final multivariate Cox regression model possibly due to the relatively small sample size, the recurrence risk was 2.057 ($P = 0.203$) and 10.394 times ($P = 0.036$) higher in patients with moderate curvature and prominent curvature than in those with no curvature in the univariate Cox regression analysis. The study by Zhu et al. (16) showed that patients with BA curvature had a higher rate of posterior circulation infarction than those without BA curvature, and BA curvature led to a tendency for patients to develop posterior circulation infarction. Zhang et al. (17) also demonstrated that BL of level 3 (3.77–7.25 mm) was an independent risk factor for API. Moreover, the maximum bend was largely located at the middle of the BA in this study, which could also explain the fact that the infarct recurrence was mostly located in the pons.

Most studies show that BA dolichosis and curvature cause brainstem infarction due to hemodynamic abnormalities, in situ thrombosis, or perforating artery disease (5, 16, 17). First, BA dolichosis and curvature cause abnormal hemodynamics in the BA and atherosclerotic changes in the vessel wall, which
aggravate the perforating artery disease. Second, perforating artery stretching due to BA curvature aggravates vasospasm and perforating artery disease, which are believed to increase the risk of ischemic stroke (8, 17). In addition, BA dolichosis or curvature often accompanies the asymmetrical development of vertebral arteries, and the associated asymmetrical flow aggravates BA dolichosis, curvature, or dilation, in turn further aggravating perforating artery disease and increasing the risk of long–term stroke recurrence (12, 16). Zhang et al. (17) found that BL was positively correlated with the differential diameter of the vertebral arteries, which along with exposure to vascular risk factors increased the risk of pontine infarction. BAL and BL measurements may be used to predict which patients are at higher risk of posterior circulation infarction. In short, BA dolichosis and/or curvature may be more closely related to infarction recurrence.

The association between BA dolichoectasia or recurrent cerebral infarction and older age is universally accepted (18, 19). This study showed that the risk of brainstem infarction recurrence was significantly higher in patients aged ≥65 years than in patients aged <65 years. Subgroup analysis showed that among older patients, the risk of recurrent brainstem infarction was significantly higher in the BA dolichosis group than in the non–BA dolichosis group, while an intergroup difference was not observed in younger patients, which also explains why most patients with BA dolichosis are asymptomatic when they are young but are susceptible to recurrent posterior circulation TIA and even posterior circulation infarction (especially brainstem infarction) when they become older. Therefore, elderly patients with BA dolichosis should be closely monitored for the risk of long–term ischemic stroke. Although males had a marginally higher risk of recurrent brainstem infarction \( (P = 0.054) \), subgroup analysis did not find a statistically significant difference in the risk of brainstem infarction recurrence between male and female patients with BA dolichosis. Deng et al. (6) showed that the mean BA diameter in men was 0.2 mm larger than that in women, indirectly indicating that the diameter of the BA may not be the main factor underlying recurrent brainstem infarction.

In this prospective cohort study, we used the semiquantitative criterion to define BA dolichosis, with DWI–confirmed brainstem infarction as the endpoint event, which provided robust support for the analysis of the relationship between BA dolichosis and the risk of long–term recurrence of brainstem infarction. Nevertheless, this study has some limitations. First, we only enrolled patients with API, a patient population with a high incidence of BA dolichosis and more severe perforating artery disease. As a result, the patients had a higher long–term risk of brainstem infarction recurrence than the general population. However, due to the time constraints of this study, the sample was relatively small, and few patients had an endpoint event. Second, DWI–confirmed brainstem infarction was set as the endpoint event. During the follow–up period, three patients with API had recurrent stroke symptoms (TIA and DWI–negative cerebral infarction), but we were unable to confirm whether the symptoms were attributed to recurrent brainstem infarction. In addition, some patients with recurrent brainstem infarction may not go to hospital because of mild or no symptoms. Therefore, this study may underestimate the risk of recurrent brainstem infarction. Third, we were unable to accurately evaluate the relationship between BA dolichosis and the extent of perforating artery disease due to a lack of effective methods to evaluate the extent of perforating artery disease of the BA. However, we believe that BA dolichosis or curvature will aggravate perforating artery disease. Last, we did not use high–resolution MRI to analyze the stability of the vessel wall or plaque. Negative arterial remodeling may contribute to underestimated arterial diameters, which may also explain the rarity of BA dilatation. Nevertheless, subgroup analysis showed no correlation between BA diameter and recurrent brainstem infarction.

**CONCLUSION**

BA dolichosis may increase the risk of long–term brainstem infarction recurrence in patients with API, especially in elderly patients, and therefore warrants more attention in clinical practice.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

**ETHICS STATEMENT**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Second People's Hospital of Hefei. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

This work was conceptualized by MX, SC, and WX and all approved the protocol. Data collection was done by QW, XN, JH, PC, TG, SC, and JW. Statistical analysis was undertaken by XZ, XN, and SC. SC, XZ, and QW prepared the manuscript. MX and WX were recipients of the obtained funding and were involved in the interpretation of the data and the manuscript revision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

**FUNDING**

This study was supported by grants from the Major Research Development Program of Anhui Province (1804h08020233) and the Major Applied Medical Research Foundation of the Health and Family Planning Commission of Hefei (hwk2017zd008).
REFERENCES

1. Ubogu EE, Zaidat OO. Vertebralbasilar dolichoectasia diagnosed by magnetic resonance angiography and risk of stroke and death: a cohort study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. (2004) 75:22–6.

2. Passero S, Filosomi G. Posterior circulation infarcts in patients with vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia. Stroke. (1998) 29:653–9. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.29.3.653

3. Kumral E, Kisabay A, Atac C, Kaya C, Calli C. The mechanism of ischemic stroke in patients with dolichoectatic basilar artery. Eur J Neurol. (2005) 12:437–44. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2005.00993.x

4. Nakamura Y, Hirayama T, Ikeda K. Clinicoradiologic features of vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia in stroke patients. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. (2012) 21:5–10. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2010.04.003

5. Cao SG, Ni X, Wu Q, He J, Cui P, Ge T, et al. Basilar artery dolichoectasia is associated with a poor 90–day outcome in acute isolated pontine infarction. Sci Rep. (2020) 10:6557. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-62873-4

6. Deng D, Cheng FB, Zhang Y, Zhou HW, Feng Y, Feng JC. Morphological analysis of the vertebral and basilar arteries in the Chinese population provides greater diagnostic accuracy of vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia and reveals gender differences. Surg Radiol Anat. (2012) 34:645–50. doi: 10.1007/s00276-012-0960-9

7. Del Brutto OH, Mera RM, Del Brutto VJ, Costa AF, Zambrano M, Brorson J. Basilar artery dolichoectasia: prevalence and correlates with markers of cerebral small vessel disease in community-dwelling older adults. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. (2017) 26:2909–14. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2017.07.014

8. Kwon HM, Kim JH, Lim JS, Park JH, Lee SH, Lee YS. Basilar artery dolichoectasia is associated with paramedian pontine infarction. Cerebrovasc Dis. (2009) 27:114–8. doi: 10.1159/000077917

9. Wolters FJ, Rinkel GJ, Vergouwen MD. Course and treatment of vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia: a systematic review of the literature. Neuroradiology (2013) 55:131–5. doi: 10.1007/s00291-012-2195-y

10. Flemming KD, Wiebers DO, Brown RD Jr, Link MJ, Nakatomi H, Huston J 3rd, et al. The natural history of radiographically defined vertebrobasilar nonsaccular intracranial aneurysms. Cerebrovasc Dis. (2005) 20:270–9. doi: 10.1159/000087710

11. Kumral E, Bayulkem G, Evyapan D. The mechanism of ischemic stroke in patients with dolichoectatic basilar artery. Eur J Neurol. (2005) 12:437–44. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2005.00993.x

12. Nishikata M, Hirashima Y, Tomita T, Futatsuya R, Horie Y, Endo S. Measurement of basilar artery bending and elongation by magnetic resonance cerebral angiography: relationship to age, sex and vertebral artery dominance. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. (2004) 38:251–9. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2003.10.006

13. Olimdo S, Khaddam S, Bocquet J, Chausson N, Aveillan M, Cabre P, et al. Association between basilar artery hypoplasia and undetermined or lacunar posterior circulation ischemic stroke. Stroke. (2010) 41:2371–4. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.593145

14. Flemming KD, Wiebers DO, Brown RD Jr, Link MJ, Huston J 3rd, McClelland RL, et al. The natural history of radiographically defined vertebrobasilar nonsaccular intracranial aneurysms. Cerebrovasc Dis. (2005) 20:270–9. doi: 10.1159/000087710

15. Passero SG, Rossi S. Natural history of vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia. Neurology. (2008) 70:66–72. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000289473.89139.1f

16. Zhu W, Wang YF, Dong XF, Feng HX, Zhao HQ, Liu CF. Study on the correlation of vertebral artery dominance, basilar artery curvature and posterior circulation infarction. Acta Neurol Belg. (2016) 116:287–93. doi: 10.1007/s13760-015-0570-5

17. Zhang DP, Zhang SL, Zhang JW, Zhang HT, Fu SQ, Yu M, et al. Basilar artery bending length, vascular risk factors, and pontine infarction. J Neurol Sci. (2014) 338:142–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2013.12.037

18. Mangrum WI, Huston J 3rd, Link MJ, Wiebers DO, McClelland RL, Christianson TJ, et al. Enlarging vertebrobasilar nonsaccular intracranial aneurysms: frequency, predictors, and clinical outcome of growth. J Neurosurg. (2005) 102:72–8. doi: 10.3171/jns.2005.102.1.0072

19. Zhang DP, Peng YF, Ma QK, Zhao M, Zhang HL, Yin S. Why does my patient’s basilar artery continue to grow? A four–year case study of a patient with symptoms of vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia. BMC Neurol. (2018) 18:45. doi: 10.1186/s12883-018-1045-0

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Cao, Zhu, Wu, Ni, He, Cui, Ge, Wang, Xu and Xia. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.