Electromagnetic field and eddy currents in the mantle of the ore-smelting furnace
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Abstract. Ore-smelting furnaces are the biggest and the most powerful furnaces in which the electric arc is used for converting electric energy into heat. Studying electromagnetic field in their structural components is one of instruments in energy saving developments. Since an ore-smelting furnace is a complex electrical device, we are forced to make assumptions, which would allow reducing the complex problem to a set of more simple analytical or numerical problems admitting not only quantitative but also qualitative solutions with acceptable accuracy. There is an approach when an electromagnetic problem is reduced to calculating an equivalent circuit that comprises both resistances and reactances. For calculating electromagnetic fields, cascade equivalent circuits are well-known in electro-mechanics. Particularly, cascade equivalent circuits of magneto-electric induction heating systems may be used as prototypes for qualitative calculating electromagnetic field and eddy currents in the mantle of the ore-smelting furnace. In the paper, qualitative calculating the resistance losses in the mantle of the ore-smelting furnace with such cascade equivalent circuit is offered.

1. Introduction
Ore-smelting furnaces are the biggest furnaces in which the electric arc is used for converting electric energy into heat. In addition, ore-smelting furnaces are the most powerful among all the electrical devices. Therefore energy saving has primary importance when it is necessary to develop ore-smelting furnaces; and studying electromagnetic field in their structural components becomes one of instruments in such development.

In view of the fact that an ore-smelting furnace is a complex electrical device, studying electromagnetic field is difficult both in furnace interiors and in furnace exteriors. This significant circumstance forces us to make assumptions, which would allow reducing the complex problem to a set of more simple analytical or numerical problems admitting not only quantitative but also qualitative solutions with acceptable accuracy.

As an alternative of numerical methods, there is an approach when an electromagnetic problem is reduced to calculating an equivalent circuit that comprises both resistances and reactances. An extensive branch (known as partial equation equivalent circuits) has been actively worked out recently [1-3].

For calculating electromagnetic fields, cascade equivalent circuits on basis of Cartesian and cylindrical laminated models are well-known in electro-mechanics [4-10]. These circuits differ from that which are described in [1-3] since constants of cascade equivalent circuits result from reducing general solutions of partial differential equations to equations of a standard four-terminal network.
Particularly, cascade equivalent circuits are described in [10] for calculating eddy fields of magneto-electric induction heating systems that may be considered as unconventional electrical machines.

Calculating resistance losses in the mantle of the ore-smelting furnace may be carried out with a similar cascade equivalent circuit. If a furnace bath is round, then a mantle is cylindrical on a top and conical on a bottom. Therefore, in a strict sense, a problem should be solved as 3D. However if a conical bottom is neglected and a source is considered as the symmetrical three-phase current system, electromagnetic field becomes planar and resistance losses may be qualitatively calculated with the cascade equivalent circuit.

It is qualitative calculating resistance losses in the mantle of the ore-smelting furnace that are offered in this paper. The calculation is carried out with the cascade equivalent circuit that is analogous to the cascade equivalent circuit of the magneto-electric induction heating system.

2. The laminated model

The experimental data given in [11] show that the configuration of electrodes has not the considerable influence on electromagnetic losses within the furnace mantle. It allows using the approach given in [12] in respect to three-phase cable lines with conducting shells. This approach consists in the replacement of current-carrying conductors by equivalent current sheets.

Figure 1 shows the laminated model of the ore-smelting furnace. Arc-like current sheets that are located at the pitch circle diameter replace electrodes. Thus, the space within the furnace is divided into three layers marked as 1, 2 and 3.

![Figure 1. The laminated model of the ore-smelting furnace.](image)

Let us synthesize the cascade equivalent circuit in which the certain four-terminal network would correspond to each layer. In this equivalent circuit, a vector potential will be regarded as a voltage, and a tangential component of a magnetic intensity vector multiplied by a variable radius will be regarded as a current.

3. The three-phase system of current sheets as the source of traveling electromagnetic field

Prior to synthesizing the cascade equivalent circuit, let us consider the source of traveling electromagnetic field. The current sheet corresponds to each electrode and the total electrode current is concentrated at the current sheet:
where $I_{ph}$ is the electrode current; $d_p = 2R_0$ is the pitch circle diameter; and $2\Theta$ is the angle at which we can see the current sheet (from the mantle centre).

Within the accuracy that is acceptable for practical calculating, the angle $\Theta$ are determined as:

$$\Theta = \frac{d_e}{d_p},$$

where $d_e$ is the electrode diameter.

A curve of the current sheet at the pitch circle diameter (the phase $A$) is shown in Figure 2. If to expand this curve into Fourier’s series then we will obtain:

$$J_A(\alpha) = \frac{I_{ph}}{2\pi R_0} + \frac{I_{ph}}{\pi R_0} \sum_k \sin k\Theta \frac{\cos k\alpha}{k}.$$

(3)

Figure 2. A curve of the current sheet at the pitch circle diameter (the phase $A$).

By analogy, for the phase $B$ and the phase $C$ we will obtain:

$$J_B(\alpha) = \frac{I_{ph}}{2\pi R_0} + \frac{I_{ph}}{\pi R_0} \sum_k \sin k\Theta \frac{\cos k\alpha - 2\pi}{3},$$

(4)

$$J_C(\alpha) = \frac{I_{ph}}{2\pi R_0} + \frac{I_{ph}}{\pi R_0} \sum_k \sin k\Theta \frac{\cos k\alpha + 2\pi}{3}.$$

(5)

Expressions (3)-(5) are amplitudes of sinusoidal temporal functions:

$$J_A(\alpha, t) = \left(\frac{I_{ph}}{2\pi R_0} + \frac{I_{ph}}{\pi R_0} \sum_k \sin k\Theta \cos k\alpha\right) \sin \omega t,$$

(6)

$$J_B(\alpha, t) = \left(\frac{I_{ph}}{2\pi R_0} + \frac{I_{ph}}{\pi R_0} \sum_k \sin k\Theta \cos \left(k\alpha - \frac{2\pi}{3}\right)\right) \sin \left(\omega t - \frac{2\pi}{3}\right),$$

(7)

$$J_C(\alpha, t) = \left(\frac{I_{ph}}{2\pi R_0} + \frac{I_{ph}}{\pi R_0} \sum_k \sin k\Theta \cos \left(k\alpha + \frac{2\pi}{3}\right)\right) \sin \left(\omega t + \frac{2\pi}{3}\right),$$

(8)

where $\omega$ is the current angular frequency.

In the complex plane, these expressions (for the $k$-th harmonic) take the form:

$$J_A(k) = \frac{I_{ph}}{2\pi R_0} + \frac{I_{ph}}{\pi R_0} \sin k\Theta \cos k\alpha,$$

(9)

$$J_B(k) = \left(\frac{I_{ph}}{2\pi R_0} + \frac{I_{ph}}{\pi R_0} \sin k\Theta \cos \left(k\alpha - \frac{2\pi}{3}\right)\right) e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{3}},$$

(10)
\[ J_{ck} = \left( \frac{I_{ph}}{2\pi R_0} + \frac{I_{ph}}{\pi \Theta R_0} \right) \sin k\Theta \frac{\sin k\alpha + \frac{2\pi}{3}}{k} e^{\frac{j\pi}{3}} \]. \quad (11)

Let us sum up these complex harmonics of the current sheets (9)-(11):

\[ \dot{J}_k = \frac{I_{ph}}{\pi \Theta R_0} \frac{\sin k\Theta}{k} \left( \cos k\alpha \left( 1 - \cos \frac{2k\pi}{3} \right) - j\sqrt{3} \sin k\alpha \cdot \sin \frac{2k\pi}{3} \right), \quad (12) \]

and all the harmonics in which the parameter \( k \) is multiple of 3 (and \( k = 0 \) too) will vanish, but if \( k = 1, 4, 7, \ldots \) then the expression (12) will take the form:

\[ \dot{J}_k = 1.5 \frac{I_{ph}}{\pi \Theta R_0} \frac{\sin k\Theta}{k} e^{-j\alpha}, \quad (13) \]

and if \( k = 2, 5, 8, \ldots \) then the expression (12) will take the form:

\[ \dot{J}_k = 1.5 \frac{I_{ph}}{\pi \Theta R_0} \frac{\sin k\Theta}{k} e^{j\alpha}. \quad (14) \]

Traveling waves (that are sources inducing eddy currents within the mantle) correspond to expressions (13) and (14):

\[ J_k(\alpha, t) = 1.5 \frac{I_{ph}}{\pi \Theta R_0} \frac{\sin k\Theta}{k} \sin \left( k\Theta t \pm k\alpha \right). \quad (15) \]

4. The cascade equivalent \( A-H \)-circuit of the system named “the mantle and electrode”

The cascade equivalent \( A-H \)-circuit of the system named “the mantle and electrodes” is shown in Figure 3. In this circuit, a vector potential is regarded as a voltage, and a tangential component of a magnetic intensity vector multiplied by a variable radius is regarded as a current.

![Figure 3. The cascade equivalent A-H-circuit of the system named “the mantle and electrodes”](image)

In essence, this cascade equivalent \( A-H \)-circuit differs from the cascade equivalent \( A-H \)-circuit of the magneto-electric induction heating system described in [10] in the field source that is the magnitude of the function (13) multiplied by the pitch circle radius:

\[ I_0 = 1.5 \frac{I_{ph}}{\pi \Theta} \frac{\sin k\Theta}{k} \]. \quad (16)

In the equivalent \( A-H \)-circuit, there are three four-terminal cells. The middle cell corresponds to the layer marked as 2 (see Figure 1). Constants of this cell are given in [10]:

\[ Z_{A3} = \left( \frac{r_1}{r_0} \right)^k + \left( \frac{r_0}{r_1} \right)^k - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{A2} = \left( \frac{r_1}{r_0} \right)^k - \left( \frac{r_0}{r_1} \right)^k \]. \quad (17)

\[ Z_{A4} = \frac{2}{k} \left( \frac{r_1}{r_0} \right)^k - \left( \frac{r_0}{r_1} \right)^k \]. \quad (18)
If in expressions (17) and (18), the lesser radius tends to zero then constants of the left cell (corresponding to the layer marked as 1 in Figure 1) may be obtained. The transversal resistance will vanish and the single longitudinal resistance will determined as:

$$Z_{10} = \frac{\mu_0}{k}. \quad (19)$$

The right cell corresponds to the furnace mantle i.e. the layer marked as 3 (see Figure 1). In this cell, output terminals are open since electromagnetic field is absent beyond the penetration depth. Constants of this cell are also given in [10] and determined by Hankel functions of the first and second kind that cannot be calculated if the argument is too large. In this case, certain asymptotic approximations are usually used [13]. On other hand, an alternative approach may be advised.

In the Mathcad 11, there are scaled Hankel functions. For example, first- and second-order scaled Hankel functions are determined by Hankel functions as:

$$H_{1}^{sc(1)}(z) = H_{1}^{(1)}(z)e^{-jz}, \quad (20)$$

$$H_{1}^{sc(2)}(z) = H_{1}^{(2)}(z)e^{jz}, \quad (21)$$

$$H_{2}^{sc(1)}(z) = H_{2}^{(1)}(z)e^{-jz}, \quad (22)$$

$$H_{2}^{sc(2)}(z) = H_{2}^{(2)}(z)e^{jz}. \quad (23)$$

Replacing Hankel functions by scaled Hankel functions in formulas given in [10], we can obtain constants of the cell corresponding to the layer marked as 3 (see Fig. 1) in the form:

$$Z_{F_{23}} = \frac{\mu}{v_k r_1} \left\{ \frac{H_{k}^{sc(1)}(v_k r_1)H_{k}^{sc(2)}(v_k r_2)}{e^{\chi_k(r_1-r_2)}} - \frac{H_{k}^{sc(1)}(r_1)H_{k}^{sc(2)}(r_2)}{e^{\chi_k(r_1-r_2)}} \right\}^{-1} \times$$

$$\times \left[ H_{k}^{sc(2)}(v_k r_1)H_{k}^{sc(1)}(v_k r_2) - H_{k}^{sc(2)}(v_k r_1)H_{k}^{sc(1)}(v_k r_2)e^{-\chi_k(r_1-r_2)} \right] \quad (24)$$

$$Z_{F_{33}} = \frac{\mu}{v_k r_2} \left\{ \frac{H_{k}^{sc(1)}(v_k r_2)H_{k}^{sc(2)}(v_k r_1)}{e^{\chi_k(r_1-r_2)}} - \frac{H_{k}^{sc(1)}(v_k r_1)H_{k}^{sc(2)}(v_k r_2)}{e^{\chi_k(r_1-r_2)}} \right\}^{-1} \times$$

$$\times \left[ H_{k}^{sc(2)}(v_k r_1)H_{k}^{sc(1)}(v_k r_2) - H_{k}^{sc(2)}(v_k r_1)H_{k}^{sc(1)}(v_k r_2)e^{-\chi_k(r_1-r_2)} \right] \quad (25)$$

where $v_k = \sqrt{-j\omega k^2 \mu} \gamma$; $\gamma$ is the mantle conductivity; $\mu$ is the mantle magnetic permeability.

The first-order derivatives of scaled Hankel functions are calculated as:

$$H_{k}^{sc(1)}(v_k r) = \frac{k H_{k}^{(1)}(v_k r) - v_k r H_{k+1}^{(1)}(v_k r)}{v_k r}, \quad (26)$$

$$H_{k}^{sc(2)}(v_k r) = \frac{k H_{k}^{(2)}(v_k r) - v_k r H_{k+1}^{(2)}(v_k r)}{v_k r}. \quad (27)$$

After calculating vector potentials and tangent components of magnetic intensity vectors with the cascade equivalent $A$-$H$-circuit, resistance losses in the mantle are calculated on the basis of Poynting’s theorem.

5. Test results
Geometrical data are given in Table 1. The mantle material is steel; the conductivity is
4·10⁶ Ohm−¹m⁻¹; the relative magnetic permeability is 100; the electrode conductivity (for numerical calculating) is 1.7·10⁷ Ohm−¹m⁻¹; the electrode current is 93 kA; the current frequency is 50 Hz.

**Table 1.** Geometrical data of the system named “the mantle and electrodes”

|                              |       |
|------------------------------|-------|
| Bath diameter, mm            | 8800  |
| Wall depth, mm               | 25    |
| Pitch circle diameter, mm    | 3950  |
| Electrode diameter, mm       | 1400  |

Calculation of the resistance losses in the mantle is given in Table 2. The good correlation is evident between analytical and numerical results.

**Table 2.** Resistance losses in the mantle (kW per the unit bath height)

| Calculating with the cascade equivalent circuit | First harmonic | 35.821 |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Second harmonic                                | 8.782         |        |
| Fourth harmonic                                | 0.284         |        |
| Total                                          | 44.887        |        |

Numerical calculating (FEMM 4.2) — 41.853

Nevertheless, the offered 2D model is only approximate. Only qualitative calculating is possible here. The conical mantle bottom, the source asymmetry and the low ratio of the mantle height to the mantle diameter don’t allow considering electromagnetic field as planar in a strict sense. Therefore, quantitative calculating is possible only in the 3D model.

**6. Conclusion**

For modeling eddy electromagnetic field and calculating resistance losses in the mantle of the ore-smelting furnace, the cascade equivalent A-H-circuit is offered.

Electromagnetic field is considered as planar; therefore only qualitative calculating is possible in this cascade equivalent A-H-circuit. In this model, the field sources are equivalent current sheets replacing current-carrying electrodes. These current sheets are located at the pitch circle diameter.

The good correlation is evident between analytical and numerical results. Nevertheless, the offered 2D model is approximate and quantitative calculating is possible only in the 3D model.
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