Lead Based Metal Organic Frameworks (Pb-MOFs): Anion Influence, Luminescence and Gas Adsorption Study
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Two Lead based organic frameworks (1 & 2) have been synthesized using 4,6-dihydroxy-2-mercaptopyrimidine (H2DMP) as organic linker. Lead nitrate (1) and Lead acetate (2) salts were used to investigate their influence on morphology of resulting MOFs, adsorption capacities and luminescence behaviour. Structure and morphology of these MOFs was established on the basis of UV/Vis, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), 1H NMR, energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and X-ray diffraction technique. Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) studies revealed better N2 adsorption capacity for 1 (surface area 814 m2/g) when compared with 2 (surface area 196 m2/g). Photoluminescence behaviour of (1 & 2) was investigated in solid state at room temperature and has been attributed to metal-centred s→p transition.
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In past decade, hybrid inorganic organic frameworks have attracted much attention due to their appropriate properties and fascinating applications [1–8]. Several organic linkers particularly having carboxylate and hydroxyl moieties [9–14] have been widely employed to assemble frameworks of versatile structural design as well as excellent physical properties. Among metal ions, much attention have been paid on transition and lanthanide metal ions compared to main group elements. Among main group elements, Lead metal ion exhibits flexible coordination moieties [9–14] have been widely employed to assemble frameworks of versatile structural design as well as excellent physical properties. Among metal ions, much attention have been paid on transition and lanthanide metal ions compared to main group elements. Among main group elements, Lead metal ion exhibits flexible coordination.

Methods and Materials

4,6-dihydroxy-2-mercaptopyrimidine, were procured from Sigma-Aldrich while lead nitrate and lead acetate trihydrate were purchased from Uni-chem. All chemicals were of reagent grade and used without further purification. Gallenham melting point apparatus was used for the melting point determination. The IR spectra were recorded using Cary 630 FTIR Agilent Technologies. 1H NMR spectra were recorded on Avance AV-400 spectrometers. The morphology of the sample was done by NovaNano 450-SEM igel emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) and model vega tescan LMU. Thermogravimetric Analyzer (TGA) was performed from 30 to 800 °C at a heating rate of 10 C/min under a nitrogen atmosphere using SDT Q600 instrument. The Powder x-ray diffraction patterns of MOFs (1 & 2) were obtained by diffractometer (Equinox 2000, Thermo scientific, USA) using CuKα, radiation. Also Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) based N2 gas adsorption studies were made by using Tri Star II 3020 version 2.00, a BET surface area measurement instrument.

Synthesis of MOFs

Synthesis of MOF (1)
A mixture of lead nitrate trihydrate (0.4 g, 1 mmol, 10 mL H2O) and 4,6-dihydroxy-2-mercapto-pyrimidin (0.2 g, 1 mmol, 15 mL H2O) was stirred for 4 h at ambient temperature in a round bottom flask. The resulting solution was concentrated up to 10 mL. Colorless precipitates formed were filtered off, washed with water (05 mL) thrice, dried and kept in dessicator at room temperature for further use. Colorless ppt. Yield: 48%. m.p.: 190 °C. IR: C=O 1725, C=N 1625 cm–1. 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 4.10 (s, 1H, –SH). Analytical data: Formula of the MOF (1): C 27.24 (27.24); H 1.65 (1.65); N 5.90 (5.90); S 6.75 (6.75)%.

Synthesis of MOF (2)
This MOF was synthesized as described for MOF (1) by using lead acetate trihydrate (0.4 g, 1 mmol, 10 mL H2O) and 4,6-dihydroxy-2-mercapto-pyrimidin (0.2 g, 1 mmol, 15 mL H2O). Colorless ppt. Yield: 58%. m.p.: 230 °C. IR: C=O 1725, C=N 1625 cm–1. 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 4.11-4.15 (br, 1H, –SH). Analytical data: Formula of the MOF (2): C 28.17 (28.17); H 2.25 (2.25); N 5.90 (5.90); S 6.75 (6.75)%.

Results and discussions

Lead based MOFs (1 & 2) were synthesized under hydrothermal conditions by reacting respective lead salts and (H2DMP) in 1:1 as outlined in Scheme 1. Both these MOFs (1 & 2) are air stable solids and insoluble in water and common organic solvents. These are only soluble in DMSO. Their reduced solubility might be attributed to their polymeric/framework nature. Moreover, both are colourless and have been obtained in good yield of (48% 1, 58% 2) has been obtained.

To establish the coordination mode of 4,6-dihydroxy-2-mercaptopyrimidine (H2DMP) towards lead ion, FTIR
The IR spectra of Pb MOFs (1 & 2) lacks band for -OH group thus indicating deprotonation and subsequent involvement with lead ion. Moreover, the stretching frequency for C=N moiety in H2DMP at 1643 cm⁻¹ has been shifted at 1682 and 1618 cm⁻¹ in MOF 1 and 2 respectively. This comparison revealed that each H2DMP linker behave in a monoanionic bidentate manner.

1H NMR spectra of both MOFs (1 & 2) were recorded in DMSO-d6, and are shown in Figure 1. Chemical shifts values have been given in experimental section. The main feature of these spectra is the absence of signal for -OH proton in MOFs (1 & 2), thus supporting its deprotonation (16). The relatively broad singlets in the ranges 4.10-4.15 ppm have been attributed to –SH moiety [19].

**Powder X-ray diffraction studies**

X-ray powder diffraction study was employed to elucidate the structural information of these MOFs (1 & 2). The respective XRD pattern of 1 & 2 are shown in Figures (4-5) respectively. Both these MOFs possess similar crystal form i.e orthorhombic, however different space group Pbcn and cmma respectively. However, both exhibit different sharp peaks (MOFs (1) at 2θ = 12.54°; 19.00°; 28.00°; 29.00°; 31.44°; lattice parameters, a = 6.0268 Å, b = 11.3635 Å, c = 19.3485 Å, MOF (2), 2θ = 11.17°; 19.00°; 22.47°; 29.84°; 33.85°; lattice parameters, a = 5.6118 Å, b = 5.6114 Å, c = 4.998 Å).

The crystallite size estimation 15.97 nm for 1 and 16.18 nm for 2 was made by Debye-Scherrer formula (23), thus presenting MOF 1 as better candidate for BET studies.

**Thermal Stability**

The thermal stability of MOFs (1 & 2) was determined by TGA in a nitrogen atmosphere from room temperature to 800°C and TGA curves are presented in Figure 6. In MOF (1), first weight loss was observed between 30-155°C that can be attributed to release of water molecules [24]. Finally, a gradual weight loss approximately 48% of their initial mass occurred from 200 to 790°C thus leaving PbO as residue.
Compared to MOF 1, TGA curve of MOF 2 exhibits sharp weight loss in the range from 160 to 780°C. This reveals that MOF 2 degraded thermally more easily relative to MOF 1.

Surface Area and Porosity Characterization

Figures 8-11 displayed N₂ adsorption isotherms for Pb-MOFs (1 & 24) measured at 77 K. These isotherms are described as Type II isotherm according to the IUPAC classification that corresponds to mono-and multilayer physical adsorption. The estimated BET surface area for MOF 1 is 814 m²/g and total pore volume was 0.12 cm³/g. While it is 196 m²/g with total pore volume 0.20 cm³/g for MOF 2. Because of more BET surface area, MOF 1 showed significant adsorption capacity for N₂ relative to MOF 2.

Photoluminescence studies

The luminescence behaviour of both MOFs (1 & 2) were investigated in solid state at room temperature and their spectra are shown in Figure 7 (a & b). Both these MOFs (1 & 2) display a similar emission band at 514 nm which can be assigned to a metal-centered s→p transition as proposed by Vogler (25). Similar emission bands have also been assigned in the literature [Pb-based MOFs like [Pb(H₂O)(tip)]ₙ, Pb₃(μ₄-O(tip)₂]ₙ (tip = 5-tert-butylisophthalic acid) [26].
Fig. 11. BET analysis of MOF (2)

Conclusions
In this work, two lead-MOFs have been synthesized and structurally characterized. Both MOFs exhibited similar orthorhombic crystal form with different space groups. Both these MOFs display strong emission and could be considered as promising candidate for developing light emitting diode devices. BET studies of MOF 1 reveal it to be a better system for sequestering of gases and other pollutants from environment.
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