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Abstract: Background: In obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD), symptom content and severity appear to fluctuate over the course of the life cycle in accordance with stressful life events. The objective of this paper was to compare OCD patients with and without reported stressful life events (SLEs) in terms of the sociodemographics of patients and the clinical characteristics of OCD. Methods: This was a cross-sectional study involving 1001 patients with OCD. Data concerning SLEs were collected via the Yale OCD Natural History Questionnaire, while for OCD symptoms, the Dimensional Yale–Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Scale was used. Results: Of the 1001 OCD patients, 605 (60.5%) reported experiencing at least one SLE in their lifetime. Self-declared nonwhite skin color (odds ratio (OR) = 1.51), the presence of a sensory phenomenon (OR = 1.47), and comorbidity with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (OR = 2.38) were some of the logistic regression variables related to the reported SLEs with relevant statistical significance and risk (i.e., OR) values. Conclusions: Our results indicate that SLEs may make Brazilian OCD patients vulnerable to the onset or exacerbation of obsessive–compulsive symptoms. The positive association of the occurrence of SLEs and sensory phenomena in this population could corroborate that environmental influences impact the neurobiology associated with OCD, and likely with other psychiatric disorders as well.
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1. Introduction

Obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD) is a heterogeneous condition, composed of distinct subtypes [1]. These subtypes can be described according to the predominant obsessions or compulsions, the age at onset [2–6], the content of the obsessions or compulsions [7–9], insight level [10,11],
the presence of sensory phenomena [12,13], the presence of tics [14–17], psychiatric comorbidities [18,19],
the response to conventional treatments [20–22], the presence of a familial history of OCD [23–25],
and many other clinical features. The relationship between environmental factors and each of
these subtypes or the course or severity of the clinical features of OCD, however, has not yet been
substantially explored.

It is known that environmental factors are very important factors that impact the likelihood
of obtaining, persisting, or remitting with respect to obsessive–compulsive symptoms (OCS) [26].
For example, some studies have shown that sexual abuse appears to be an important mediator of
subsequent onset of OCD [26,27]. Stressful life events (SLEs) are defined as a combination of major life
events and the subjective perception of the relevance of these events by the individual [28], and they
are recognized to contribute to the onset or worsening of symptoms of diverse major psychiatric
disorders, as explained by the classic diathesis–stress model [29]. It is known that SLEs play a crucial
role in the onset of many psychiatric disorders, such as major depression [30–33], and in trauma-
and stress-related disorders [34,35]. Adams et al. [36] postulated that it is unclear whether trauma or
SLEs cause OCD, are triggering factors that interact with pre-existing vulnerabilities, or are simply
nonspecific factors that can exacerbate OCD along with other aspects of psychiatric symptomatology.

The impact of environmental stress and/or SLEs on OCD has been explored by some authors.
Maina et al. [37] explored the occurrence of SLEs in a small sample of OCD patients and found a
nonsignificant excess of SLEs in patients compared to that in healthy subjects. Fontenelle et al. [38]
explained the influence of traumatic events on the pattern of the clinical presentation of OCD and noted
that it may modulate the onset and the content of the symptoms, leading patients with post-traumatic
OCD onset to show later onset and to present contamination/cleaning/washing symptoms more
frequently than patients with pre-traumatic onset did [38]. Most of the cited papers investigated SLEs
in relatively small OCD patient samples, exploring OCD severity as a homogeneous disorder and
the occurrence of specific OCS as a categorical variable, but they did not include specific information
(such as sensory phenomena, level of insight, suicidality, psychiatric comorbidities, and the therapeutic
strategies used) and have not yet investigated the occurrence of SLEs in a Latin sample of OCD patients.

The present exploratory study aimed to compare patients with and without reported SLEs with
regard to the severity and expression of specific dimensions of OCD symptoms (according to the
Dimensional Yale–Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Scale (DY-BOCS)) as well as other psychopathological
features (i.e., gender, age at onset, OCD familial history, OCD course, presence of sensory phenomena,
insight into OCS, severity of depressive and anxious comorbid symptoms, occurrence of specific
lifetime psychiatric comorbidities, and employed treatment options) in a large Brazilian sample of
OCD patients. We hypothesize that the severity of OCD symptoms will be higher and that there will
be a higher prevalence of aggressive and sexual/religious dimensions, as well as a higher severity and
presence of major depression, other anxiety disorders, and suicidality in the SLE group.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Methodology

This study is part of the Brazilian Research Consortium on Obsessive–Compulsive Spectrum
Disorders (CTOC), which is a multicentric project involving seven research centers located in diverse
regions of Brazil [39]. This was a cross-sectional study, with data from 1001 patients who had a primary
diagnosis of OCD. All of the patients were evaluated between 2003 and 2008 via clinical interviews
and questionnaires. More information regarding the methodology of the data acquisition process
is described in another study [39]. The primary OCD diagnosis of these patients was confirmed by
the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID-I) [40,41]. We obtained written
consent from all of the participants included in this study according to the principles established in the
Declaration of Helsinki. All research conducted by the CTOC is approved by the local research ethics
committees of the centers involved prior to commencement.
The “Initial Evaluation Protocol” of the CTOC was used to assess all participants. This protocol is an assessment package (available in Brazilian Portuguese upon request) designed to collect medical history, socioeconomic, and sociodemographic data. Furthermore, it includes a semi-structured interview regarding familial history of psychiatric disorders, standardized scales, questionnaires, and inventories. Questions assessing personal and familial history of suicidal ideation or behavior are also included. The other main instruments utilized in this study were as follows:

- **Yale–Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS) [42,43]**—one of the main scales used worldwide in order to evaluate the severity of OCS.
  - Dimensional Yale–Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Scale (DY-BOCS) [44]—the DY-BOCS assesses OCS by specific dimensions, allowing the severity of each dimension to be independently quantified. This scale has some advantages, for example, avoidant behaviors, as well as rituals (physical or mental), are investigated within each dimension. As a result of this more detailed evaluation process, this scale provides a more precise evaluation of symptom severity. The DY-BOCS evaluates the time spent on OCS, as well as the level of anxiety and the impact of the symptoms, with scores ranging from 0 to 5 with a maximum of 15 for each dimension. The negative impact of OCS on the lives of subjects is also quantified on a scale, with a maximum score of 15. Combining the two scores mentioned before, the maximum total DY-BOCS score is 30.
  - Yale OCD Natural History Questionnaire [45]—this questionnaire consists of a comprehensive semi-structured interview. This questionnaire focuses on the course and onset of OCS, relating to life events and situations. It addresses whether specific life events could trigger, worsen, or improve OCS. Part I of this questionnaire addresses OCS onset, exploring the age at onset, whether the symptoms began abruptly or gradually, and whether they started after personal problems, emotional problems, drug use, family problems, financial problems, or the onset of a clinical disease. Part II evaluates the course of OCS and includes questions regarding environmental factors that could affect OCS (e.g., mood, fatigue, sleep, alcohol, coffee, tobacco, certain kinds of food, and weather). These factors are organized in a table where the patient may answer “no effect,” “worse OCS,” “improved OCS,” or “nonapplicable” (a specific paper on these aspects is in preparation); a second table is also provided that indicates the severity of OCS according to the age of the patient. Part III investigates the period with the most OCS, comprising questions similar to those mentioned above but specifically related to the worst period regarding symptom presentation. In the present study, Part I questions were used. To each of the questions, there are two possible responses: “yes” and “no.” When the response was “yes,” complementary questions were asked (e.g., “If yes, describe it better” or “If yes, choose one example option listed below”). If the answer to these questions was considered satisfactory by the interviewer, the participant was assigned to the “SLE” group.
  - Structured Clinical Interview for Diagnosis of Axis I Psychiatric Disorders (SCID-I) [40,41]—additional modules evaluating tic and impulse-control disorders were administered [41]. All DSM-IV axis I disorders, except for schizophrenia, which was an exclusion criterion, were included in the analysis. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and separation anxiety disorder were assessed utilizing the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia [46].
  - Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) (21 items) and Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) [47,48]—both scales were extensively used in order to determine the severity of the symptoms of depression and anxiety.
  - The University of São Paulo Sensory Phenomena Scale (USP-SPS) [49]—this is a semi-structured scale designed to investigate the presence and severity of different types of sensory phenomena occurring before or during the performance of repetitive behaviors. The USP-SPS is divided into two parts: a checklist (including different types of sensory phenomena, i.e., body sensations, “just right,” “inner tension,” and “urge only”) and a severity scale. The USP-SPS severity scale measures the severity of sensory phenomena on three 6-point anchored ordinal scales that focus on the frequency of the sensory phenomena (0 to 5), the amount of distress they cause (0 to 5),
The Brown Assessment of Beliefs Scale (BABS) [50]—the Portuguese version of the Brown Assessment of Beliefs Scale (BABS) was used to assess participants’ insight into several dimensions, including beliefs, perception of others’ views and explanations of different views, fixity of the belief, attempts to disprove it, insight into the cause of the belief, and presence of the idea of reference. Higher scores indicate poorer insight.

For the purpose of analysis, in this study, the term “stressful life events” (SLEs) was used to refer to the presence of any of the SLEs listed in Part I of the Yale OCD Natural History Questionnaire (Table A1), as described above. Possible answers to the questions regarding SLEs before the onset of OCD were “no,” “I don’t know,” and “yes.” Of the 1001 evaluated patients, 605 (60.5%) answered “yes” to having experienced an SLE. The remaining group of 396 patients (39.6%) was designated as the negative SLE group (i.e., the control group).

2.2. Statistical Analysis

Initially, the frequencies and distributions of the variables that were selected for the sample as a whole were calculated, using means (standard deviation), medians (with minimum and maximum values), and absolute (n) and relative (%) values. For the differences between groups, we used Pearson’s or Yates’s chi-square tests for categorical (polytomous or dichotomous) variables. Scores (on the Y-BOCS, DY-BOCS, BDI, and BAI) were analyzed as continuous variables and compared using the Student’s t-test or the Mann–Whitney U test according to the results of the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test for normal distribution. To calculate the probability of an event as a function of other events, thus reducing the “data mining” effect of exploratory studies with several variables [51,52], we employed two models of logistic regressions (probabilities for entry and removal of 0.05 and 0.10, respectively) to identify factors independently associated with SLE occurrence (dependent variable), controlling for confounding factors (sociodemographic and clinical aspects). The models were performed as follows: Model 1—to provide a better specific description of psychopathological features, a stepwise logistic regression was run for each variable of the following clinical aspects that resulted in a p-value lower than 0.10 after the univariate analysis: sociodemographic features (Table 1), intrinsic factors (Table 2), extrinsic factors (Table 3), and psychiatric comorbidities (Table 4); Model 2—a unique logistic regression (enter model) was run for every variable that resulted in a p-value lower than 0.10 after the univariate analysis, except for those that showed overfitting while performing Model 1.

For the second analysis (stepwise regression), the p-value was 0.05. The variance inflation factor (VIF) calculation verified that there was no relevant collinearity between continuous variables (VIF = 2.3). We considered the suggestion of Chen and colleagues that odds ratios (ORs) of 1.68, 3.47, and 6.71 are equivalent to Cohen’s d effect sizes of 0.2 (small), 0.5 (medium), and 0.8 (large), respectively [53]. To verify the replicability of the logistic regression models, we performed a holdout cross-validation analysis. This method is indicated when a large amount of data is available and consists of randomly dividing the total dataset into two mutually exclusive subsets, one for training with 70% of the total sample (parameter estimation) and another with the remaining 30% of the total sample for testing (validation) [54]. After partitioning, the model estimation was performed and, subsequently, the test data were applied, and the prediction error was calculated. The Pearson correlation between the calculated prediction error and the dependent variable was performed for the 70% training subset and the 30% testing subset. When the testing subset correlation coefficient was higher than that of the training subset coefficient, a non-overfitting model was considered [54]. The level of statistical significance was set at 5%. Analyses were executed with SPSS version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and Win-PEPI 11.0. (Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel)
Table 1. Sociodemographic comparison between groups who have or have not experienced a stressful life event (SLE).

| SLE Group (n = 605) | Non-SLE Group (n = 396) | Statistics Test (p) (ϕ Effect Size) |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| **Female**          |                        |                                   |
| n = 605             | n = 396                |                                   |
| n                   | % (%)                  | n                   | % (%)                  |
| 353                 | 58.3 54.5              | 216                 | 35.1 36.4              |
| **Marital status**  |                        | αYates = 1.26 (0.26) |
| Single              | 238 39.3              | 139                 | 35.1 2.36 (0.31)      |
| Currently with a partner | 317 52.4 | 227                 | 57.3                   |
| Currently separated from partner | 50 8.3 | 30                 | 7.6                   |
| **With offspring**  |                        | αYates = 1.68 (0.20) |
| 246                 | 40.7 36.4              | 144                 | 36.4 1.68 (0.20)      |
| **Have a religion** |                        | αYates = 0.92 (0.34) |
| 59                  | 9.8 11.9               | 47                  | 11.9                    |
| **Practice the religion** | 334 55.2 | 213                 | 53.8                   |
| **Not Working**     |                        | αYates = 0.00 (1.00) |
| 307                 | 50.9 49.1              | 194                 | 49.1 0.24 (0.62)      |
| **Social Class (ABIPEME)** |                  | χPearson = 52.9      |
| Class A             | 59 9.7 26.7            | 106                 | 26.7 52.9 (<0.001)    |
| Class B             | 246 40.5 35.1          | 139                 | 35.1 2.36 (0.31)      |
| Class C             | 237 39.2 28.2          | 112                 | 28.2                   |
| Class D             | 47 7.7 6.6             | 26                  | 6.6                   |
| Class E             | 12 1.9 1.4             | 10                  | 1.4                   |
| **Self-declared skin color (nonwhite)** |            | αYates = 7.97 (0.005) |
| 119                 | 19.7 12.6              | 50                  | 12.6 0.22               |
| **Mean SD**         |                        | Statistics Test (p) (Cohen’s d) |
| Current age (years) | 35.5 12.7 34.2 13.3   | tStudent = 1.47 (0.14) |
| Number of years studied | 14.2 4.7 15.3 5.3   | tStudent = 3.37 (0.001) |
| Personal income (minimum wage) | 2.8 1.2 3.3 1.3 | tStudent = 5.34 (0.001) |

SLE, stressful life event; p, level of statistical significance; n, absolute value; %, relative value; χYates, Yates’s chi-square; χPearson, Pearson’s chi-square; ABIPEME, socioeconomic classification of the Associação Brasileira de Pesquisa de Mercado; SD, standard deviation; tStudent, Student’s t-test. ϕ effect size and Cohen’s d were calculated only for those variables with p < 0.05. All significant effects have been bolded.
Table 2. Comparison of the intrinsic features of psychopathological obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD) between the SLE and non-SLE groups.

| Presence of DY-BOCS dimensions | SLE Group | Non-SLE Group | Statistics |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---------------|------------|
|                               | (n = 605) | (n = 396)     | Test (p)   |
|                               | n         | %             | n          | %          | (q Effect Size)
| Aggressive                    | 433       | 71.6          | 239        | 60.4       | \( \chi^2 = 13.1 \times 0.001 \) (0.14)
| Sexual/religious              | 378       | 62.5          | 194        | 49         | \( \chi^2 = 17.2 \times 0.001 \) (0.17)
| Symmetry/ordering             | 531       | 87.8          | 337        | 85.3       | \( \chi^2 = 1.05 \times 0.31 \)
| Contamination/washing         | 451       | 74.5          | 286        | 72.2       | \( \chi^2 = 0.35 \times 0.46 \)
| Hoarding                      | 342       | 56.5          | 186        | 47         | \( \chi^2 = 8.4 \times 0.004 \) (0.13)
| Miscellaneous                 | 538       | 88.9          | 335        | 84.6       | \( \chi^2 = 3.64 \times 0.056 \)
| Sensory phenomena (any type)  |           |               |            |            |
| Body sensations               | 259       | 42.8          | 112        | 28.3       | \( \chi^2 = 21.0 \times 0.001 \) (0.24)
| “Just right” sensations       | 338       | 55.9          | 181        | 45.7       | \( \chi^2 = 6.5 \times 0.002 \) (0.14)
| Incompleteness               | 114       | 18.8          | 62         | 15.7       | \( \chi^2 = 1.46 \times 0.23 \)
| Inner tension                | 99        | 16.4          | 45         | 11.4       | \( \chi^2 = 4.6 \times 0.035 \) (0.18)
| “Urge” sensations            | 147       | 24.3          | 93         | 23.5       | \( \chi^2 = 0.05 \times 0.83 \)
| Chronic tics (any type)       |           |               |            |            |
| Tourette’s syndrome           | 192       | 31.7          | 92         | 23.2       | \( \chi^2 = 8.1 \times 0.004 \) (0.17)
| Age at onset (categorical)    |           |               |            |            |
| Early (<11 years old)         | 300       | 50.9          | 190        | 50.9       | \( \chi^2 = 10.3 \times 0.006 \) (0.10)
| Intermediate (11–18)          | 191       | 32.4          | 146        | 39.1       | \( \chi^2 = 10.3 \times 0.006 \) (0.10)
| Late (≥18 years old)          | 98        | 16.6          | 37         | 9         | \( \chi^2 = 10.3 \times 0.006 \) (0.10)
| OCS course                    |           |               |            |            |
| Chronic/continuous            | 38        | 7.2           | 24         | 6.7        | \( \chi^2 = 0.09 \times 0.96 \)
| Episodic/oscillating          | 263       | 49.4          | 180        | 50.1       | \( \chi^2 = 10.3 \times 0.006 \) (0.10)
| Progressively worsening       | 231       | 43.4          | 155        | 43.2       | \( \chi^2 = 10.3 \times 0.006 \) (0.10)
| Familial OCD                  | 302       | 49.9          | 201        | 50.9       | \( \chi^2 = 0.06 \times 0.81 \)
| Familial tics                 | 113       | 19.9          | 79         | 20.9       | \( \chi^2 = 0.08 \times 0.78 \)
| Mean SD                       |            |               |            |            |
| Mean SD                       | 13.2      | 22            | 13.2       | 22         |
| Statistics                    |            |               |            |            |
| OCS duration (in years)       | 22.7      | 13.2          | 22         | 13.2       | \( t_{\text{Student}} = 0.77 \times 0.44 \)
| Severity of DY-BOCS dimensions|           |               |            |            |
| Aggressive                    | 9         | (1–15)        | 9          | (1–15)     | \( \text{U}_{\text{MW}} = 42,263.5 \times 0.38 \)
| Sexual/religious              | 9         | (1–15)        | 8          | (1–15)     | \( \text{U}_{\text{MW}} = 25,901.5 \times 0.04 \) (0.78)
| Symmetry/ordering/arrangement | 10        | (1–15)        | 9          | (1–15)     | \( \text{U}_{\text{MW}} = 73,878.5 \times 0.04 \) (0.38)
| Contamination/washing/cleaning | 10       | (1–15)        | 9          | (1–15)     | \( \text{U}_{\text{MW}} = 49,696.5 \times 0.07 \) (0.58)
| Hoarding                      | 7         | (1–15)        | 6          | (1–15)     | \( \text{U}_{\text{MW}} = 21,337.5 \times 0.006 \) (0.82)
| Miscellaneous                 | 10        | (1–15)        | 9          | (1–15)     | \( \text{U}_{\text{MW}} = 75,618.5 \times 0.049 \) (0.37)
| DY-BOCS total score           | 23        | (2–30)        | 22         | (1–30)     | \( \text{U}_{\text{MW}} = 101,558 \times 0.001 \) (0.15)
| Y-BOCS                        |           |               |            |            |
| Obsessions score              | 13        | (1–20)        | 13         | (3–20)     | \( \text{U}_{\text{MW}} = 109,860.0 \times 0.12 \)
| Compulsions score             | 13        | (3–20)        | 13         | (2–20)     | \( \text{U}_{\text{MW}} = 108,163.5 \times 0.048 \) (0.10)
| Y-BOCS total score            | 26        | (7–40)        | 25         | (7–40)     | \( \text{U}_{\text{MW}} = 108,143.5 \times 0.024 \) (0.10)
| Age at OCS onset              | 10        | (3–50)        | 10         | (3–54)     | \( \text{U}_{\text{MW}} = 104,221.0 \times 0.23 \)
| Gap of time without treatment | 15        | (0–56)        | 14         | (0–58)     | \( \text{U}_{\text{MW}} = 102,008.5 \times 0.93 \)
| USP-SPS total score           | 8         | (1–15)        | 7          | (1–15)     | \( \text{U}_{\text{MW}} = 42,103.5 \times 0.25 \)
| BABS                           | 6         | (0–24)        | 6          | (0–24)     | \( \text{U}_{\text{MW}} = 105,267.5 \times 0.12 \)

OCD, obsessive–compulsive disorder; SLE, stressful life event; \( p \), level of statistical significance; \( n \), absolute values; \%, relative values; DY-BOCS, Dimensional Yale–Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Disorder Scale; Y-BOCS, Yale–Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Disorder Scale; USP-SPS, University of São Paulo Sensory Phenomena Scale; BABS, Brown Assessment of Beliefs Scale; \( \chi^2_{\text{Yates}} \), Yates’s chi-square; \( \chi^2_{\text{Pearson}} \), Pearson’s chi-square; OCS, obsessive–compulsive symptoms; Min, minimum value; Max, maximum value; \( \text{U}_{\text{MW}} \), Mann–Whitney \( U \) test. \( q \) effect size and Glass effect size were calculated only for those variables with \( p < 0.05 \). All significant effects have been bolded.
Table 3. Comparison of the extrinsic features of psychopathological OCD between the SLE and non-SLE groups.

|                                  | SLE Group (n = 605) | Non-SLE Group (n = 396) | Statistics Test (p) (ϕ Effect Size) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                                  | n       | %       | n       | %       |                          |                                     |
| Any previous psychiatric treatment | 468     | 77.4    | 294     | 74.2    | χ^2 Yates = 1.11 (0.29)  |                                     |
| SSRI                             | 431     | 71.2    | 277     | 69.9    | χ^2 Yates = 0.14 (0.71)  |                                     |
| Clomipramine                     | 202     | 33.4    | 120     | 30.3    | χ^2 Yates = 0.91 (0.34)  |                                     |
| Other antidepressants            | 86      | 14.2    | 55      | 13.9    | χ^2 Yates = 0.003 (0.96) |                                     |
| Benzodiazepines                  | 243     | 40.2    | 135     | 34.1    | χ^2 Yates = 3.50 (0.061 0.10) |                         |
| Mood stabilizers                 | 63      | 10.4    | 44      | 11.1    | χ^2 Yates = 0.06 (0.81)  |                                     |
| Lithium                          | 32      | 5.3     | 17      | 4.3     | χ^2 Yates = 0.32 (0.57)  |                                     |
| Neuroleptics                     | 119     | 19.7    | 84      | 21.2    | χ^2 Yates = 0.26 (0.61)  |                                     |
| Any previous psychotherapy       | 389     | 64.3    | 254     | 64.1    | χ^2 Yates = 0.00 (1.00)  |                                     |
| CBT (E/RP)                       | 93      | 23.9    | 63      | 24.8    | χ^2 Yates = 0.03 (0.87)  |                                     |
| Psychiatric hospitalization      | 33      | 5.5     | 35      | 8.8     | χ^2 Yates = 3.81 (0.051) |                                     |

Suicidality

|                                  | Median (Min–Max) | (Min–Max) | Statistics Test (p) (Glass effect size) |
|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------|
| Suicidal ideation—lifetime       | 232              | 39.7      | 116                                    | 30.9                      | χ^2 Yates = 7.26 (0.007)            |
| Suicidal ideation—current        | 71               | 12.2      | 33                                    | 8.8                      | χ^2 Yates = 2.32 (0.13)             |
| Suicide attempt                  | 71               | 12.2      | 33                                    | 8.8                      | χ^2 Yates = 2.32 (0.13)             |
| Familial history of suicide      | 101              | 17.3      | 55                                    | 14.7                     | χ^2 Yates = 0.94 (0.33)             |

Beck Depression Inventory

|                                  | Median (Min–Max) | (Min–Max) | Statistics Test (p) (Glass effect size) |
|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------|
| Beck Depression Inventory        | 17               | (0–52)    | 13                                    | (0–53)                    | U_MW = 97,271.0 (<0.001) (0.19)     |

Beck Anxiety Inventory

|                                  | Median (Min–Max) | (Min–Max) | Statistics Test (p) (Glass effect size) |
|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------|
| Beck Anxiety Inventory           | 16               | (0–52)    | 12                                    | (0–53)                    | U_MW = 97,590.0 (<0.001) (0.18)     |

OCD, obsessive–compulsive disorder; SLE, stressful life event; p, level of statistical significance; n, absolute values; %, relative values; χ^2 Yates, Yates’s chi-square; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; CBT (E/RP), cognitive behavioral therapy (exposition/response prevention); Min, minimum value; Max, maximum value; U_MW, Mann–Whitney U test. ϕ effect size and Glass effect size were calculated only for those variables with p < 0.05. All significant effects have been bolded.
Table 4. Comparison of psychiatric comorbidities between SLE and non-SLE groups.

|                          | SLE (n = 605) | Non-SLE (n = 396) | Statistics Test (p) |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|
|                          | n %           | n %               | ϕ Effect Size       |
| ADHD                     | 95 15.7       | 42 10.6           | X_yates = 4.84     |
| Separation anxiety disorder | 37 6.1       | 12 3.0            | (0.028) (0.19)     |
| Major depression         | 220 36.4      | 112 28.3          | X_yates = 6.69     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.01) (0.14)      |
| Dysthymia                | 78 12.9       | 34 8.6            | X_yates = 4.05     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.044) (0.19)     |
| Affective bipolar disorder | 16 2.6       | 7 1.8             | X_yates = 0.48     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.46)             |
| Alcohol use disorder     | 31 5.1        | 14 3.5            | X_yates = 1.06     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.30)             |
| Panic + agoraphobia      | 49 8.1        | 20 5.1            | X_yates = 3.01     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.08)             |
| Panic                    | 34 5.6        | 11 2.8            | X_yates = 3.87     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.049) (0.29)     |
| Agoraphobia              | 29 4.8        | 17 4.3            | X_yates = 0.05     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.83)             |
| Social anxiety           | 198 32.7      | 122 30.8          | X_yates = 0.32     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.57)             |
| Simple phobia            | 190 31.4      | 118 29.8          | X_yates = 0.22     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.64)             |
| PTSD                     | 82 13.6       | 17 4.3            | X_yates = 22.0     |
|                          |               |                   | (<0.001) (0.47)    |
| Generalized anxiety disorder | 213 35.2    | 125 31.6          | X_yates = 1.26     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.26)             |
| Somatization disorder    | 16 2.6        | 7 1.8             | X_yates = 0.48     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.49)             |
| Pain disorder            | 20 3.3        | 7 1.8             | X_yates = 1.61     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.20)             |
| Hypochondria             | 18 3.0        | 14 3.5            | X_yates = 0.89     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.64)             |
| Body dysmorphic disorder | 72 11.9       | 39 9.8            | X_yates = 2.25     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.28)             |
| Any eating disorder      | 51 8.4        | 27 6.8            | X_yates = 0.66     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.42)             |
| Grooming behavior disorder | 128 21.8    | 76 19.2           | X_yates = 0.46     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.50)             |
| Intermittent explosive disorder | 39 6.5    | 20 5.1            | X_yates = 0.57     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.45)             |
| Compulsive buying disorder | 52 8.6       | 23 5.9            | X_yates = 2.21     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.14)             |
| Compulsive sexual behavior | 15 2.5       | 6 1.6             | X_yates = 0.64     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.42)             |
| Internet addiction       | 15 2.5        | 8 2.0             | X_yates = 2.07     |
|                          |               |                   | (0.36)             |

SLE, stressful life event; p, level of statistical significance; n, absolute values; %, relative values; X_yates, Yates’s chi-square; ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder. ϕ effect size was calculated only for those variables with p < 0.05. All significant effects have been bolded.

3. Results

3.1. Describing SLEs in OCD Patients

Approximately 60% of the patients (n = 605) reported having experienced an SLE of any type. The most common self-related lifetime SLEs were emotional problems in the family (n = 231; 38.2%), moving to another city/neighborhood (n = 210; 34.7%), family financial problems (n = 139; 23.0%), being in love/starting a significant relationship/marrying (n = 127; 21.0%), health problems in the family (n = 118; 19.5%), childbirth (especially the birth of a brother or sister) (n = 101; 16.7%), death of a relative/friend (n = 99; 16.4%), having a clinical disease of any type (n = 85; 14.0%), a significant relative moving to another city/neighborhood (n = 80; 13.2%), ending a significant relationship/divorcing (n = 60; 9.9%), and housing problems (n = 59; 9.8%).
3.2. Comparing OCD Patients Who Have or Have Not Experienced an SLE

As seen in Table 1, the SLE group appears to comprise a greater number of self-declared nonwhite skin color and socioeconomic class C (instead of class A of the negative SLE group) individuals, with a lower number of years studied and a lower mean personal income.

3.3. Intrinsic Psychopathological Features

Regarding the presence of specific OCS, the SLE group presented a higher prevalence of aggressive, sexual/religious, and hoarding content when compared to that of the non-SLE group (Table 2). The same occurred for all types of sensory phenomena (especially for body sensations, the “just right” sensation, and inner tension) and all types of chronic tics. Both groups presented a prevalent early onset of OCS (50.9% of the cases), but the SLE group seemed to experience a later onset of OCD symptoms (after 18 years old) when compared to the non-SLE group, while the non-SLE group more frequently experienced intermediate onset (during adolescence) than the SLE group. Table 2 also shows that, except for the “aggressive” and “contamination/washing/cleaning” dimensions, the other OCS dimensions were more severe for the SLE group. The total severity of OCD, as measured by the Y-BOCS or the DY-BOCS, was also higher for the SLE group. Concerning the total score of the Y-BOCS for statistical significance, this was probably due to the score related to compulsions.

3.4. Extrinsic Psychopathological Features

Although the SLE group presented a higher prevalence of benzodiazepine use, such prevalence did not reach a significant statistical level. The same occurred for the higher prevalence of hospitalization for the non-SLE group. Both \( p \)-values of the above-cited variables (see Table 3), however, allowed us to include them in the regression analysis (see below). No other psychiatric treatment differed between the groups. The occurrence of SLE was statistically related to lifetime suicidal ideation (nearly 40%), although it should be noted that there was also a high level of lifetime suicidal ideation in the non-SLE group (nearly 31%). The SLE group also showed a higher median severity of depressive and anxious symptoms according to the Beck’s inventories.

As can be seen in Table 4, several psychiatric comorbidities were associated with the SLE group, especially ADHD, separation anxiety disorder, major depression, dysthymia, panic with agoraphobia, panic without agoraphobia, and post-traumatic stress disorder.

3.5. Logistic Regression Analysis

Table 5 summarizes the results of the two logistic regression models. For Model 1, the following variables were entered: from Table 1 (sociodemographic variables)—social class, self-declared skin color, number of years studied, and personal income; from Table 2 (OCD intrinsic factors)—the presence of aggressive, sexual/religious, hoarding, and miscellaneous DY-BOCS dimensions, the presence of any type of sensory phenomenon, the presence of any kind of chronic tic and Tourette’s syndrome, the age at onset (categorical), the severity of all DY-BOCS dimensions (except for the aggressive dimension), the DY-BOCS total score, and the Y-BOCS compulsions and total scores; from Table 3 (OCD extrinsic factors)—the use of benzodiazepines, psychiatric hospitalizations, lifetime suicidal ideation, and BDI and BAI scores; from Table 4 (psychiatric comorbidities)—ADHD, separation anxiety disorder, major depression, dysthymia, panic with agoraphobia, panic without agoraphobia, and post-traumatic stress disorder. For Model 2, all of the above-mentioned variables were entered together in a unique logistic regression analysis, except for the variables of Table 1, which were overfitted according to the cross-validation analysis. Only the variables that remained for each model are shown.
Table 5. Logistic regression models for the variables with \( p < 0.10 \) in the univariate analysis.

| Variable that remained in the model | \( p \)  | OR (95% CI) | Training Subset (70%) | Testing Subset (30%) | Conclusion |
|------------------------------------|---------|-------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| **Table 1—sociodemographic variables** |         |             |                        |                      |            |
| Self-declared skin color (nonwhite) | 0.033   | 1.51 (1.18–2.42) | 0.176 (<0.001) | 0.095 (0.104) | Overfitted |
| **Table 2—OCD intrinsic factors** |         |             |                        |                      |            |
| Presence of sensory phenomena      | <0.001  | 1.47 (1.04–1.76) | 0.193 (<0.001) | 0.208 (<0.001) | Not overfitted |
| Severity of sexual/religious DY-BOCS dimension | <0.001  | 1.06 | 0.131 (0.001) | 0.168 (0.003) | Not overfitted |
| Severity of hoarding DY-BOCS dimension | 0.001   | 1.06 | 0.147 (0.001) | 0.149 (0.01) | Not overfitted |
| **Table 3—OCD extrinsic factors** |         |             |                        |                      |            |
| BDI (depression severity)          | <0.001  | 1.03 | 0.131 (0.001) | 0.168 (0.003) | Not overfitted |
| ADHD                               | 0.042   | 1.18 (1.07–2.31) | 0.147 (0.001) | 0.149 (0.01) | Not overfitted |
| Separation anxiety                 | 0.037   | 1.31 (1.07–4.05) | 0.147 (0.001) | 0.149 (0.01) | Not overfitted |
| PTSD                               | <0.001  | 1.42 (1.04–4.99) | 0.208 (<0.001) | 0.214 (<0.001) | Not overfitted |
| **Model 2—analysis of all variables together (enter method)** |         |             |                        |                      |            |
| Early onset                        | 0.003   | 1.03 (1.01–1.05) | 0.208 (<0.001) | 0.214 (<0.001) | Not overfitted |
| Severity of hoarding DY-BOCS dimension | 0.005  | 1.05 (1.02–1.09) | 0.208 (<0.001) | 0.214 (<0.001) | Not overfitted |
| Severity of sexual/religious DY-BOCS dimension | 0.006  | 1.04 (1.01–1.07) | 0.208 (<0.001) | 0.214 (<0.001) | Not overfitted |
| Psychiatric hospitalization        | 0.015   | 1.95 (1.14–3.35) | 0.208 (<0.001) | 0.214 (<0.001) | Not overfitted |
| Beck Depression Inventory score    | 0.04    | 1.01 (1.00–1.03) | 0.208 (<0.001) | 0.214 (<0.001) | Not overfitted |
| PTSD                               | <0.001  | 2.93 (1.62–5.29) | 0.208 (<0.001) | 0.214 (<0.001) | Not overfitted |
| Presence of sensory phenomena      | <0.001  | 1.87 (1.39–2.52) | 0.208 (<0.001) | 0.214 (<0.001) | Not overfitted |

\( p \), level of statistical significance; OR, odds ratio; \( r_P \), Pearson correlation coefficient; OCD, obsessive–compulsive disorder; DY-BOCS, Dimensional Yale–Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Scale; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder. Overfitting occurred when the training subset \( r_P \) was higher than the testing subset \( r_P \); while when the training subset \( r_P \) was lower than the testing subset \( r_P \), overfitting did not occur.

The handout cross-validation showed that the variables of Table 1 were overfitted, probably because of the suppression of other variables, such as social class and personal income, which could have magnified the role of self-declared skin color in the model. Thus, such variables were not included in the Model 2 regression.
4. Discussion

Several variables were evaluated in this exploratory study and, although initially (in the univariate analysis), many showed differences in prevalence and severity between the groups, only six remained statistically and epidemiologically relevant after two models of logistic regression: self-declared nonwhite skin color; comorbidities with separation anxiety, ADHD, and PTSD; the presence of any sensory phenomena; lifetime psychiatric hospitalization. Despite the cross-sectional outline of this study and its reverse causality effect, it is possible that self-declared skin color (obviously) and comorbidity with separation anxiety and ADHD are conditions that occurred prior to the OCD onset, as described by de Mathis et al. [55]; in this way, they may be considered conditions of vulnerability for the sample. Otherwise, the association of SLEs and PTSD in OCD patients is fully comprehensible, since SLEs and/or traumatic events are necessary to develop diagnostic criteria for PTSD [56] (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to explore and find a relationship between SLEs and sensory phenomena in this population. Although psychiatric hospitalization remained a relevant factor after logistic regression, this may be due to the severity of the OCD symptoms, to the development of PTSD and its context, or to the subsequent severity of depressive symptoms at the moment of the traumatic event. It may also be a spurious result due to the large number of variables analyzed. As described in the methodology, the logistic regression retained variables with an OR lower than 1.50 (such as depressive symptoms, severity of BDI, severity of sexual/religious and hoarding DY-BOCS dimensions, and early onset), which were not considered epidemiologically significant and will also not be discussed below.

4.1. Sociodemographic Features Related to Stressful Life Events—Self-Declared Nonwhite Skin Color

According to Lopes, Faerstein, and Chor [57], the high rates of violence and crime, unequal access to health, inadequate housing conditions, social inequality, and unemployment rate make the Brazilian population particularly susceptible to SLEs. As the majority of the population in Brazil is self-declared nonwhite (according to the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE) (2010), 52.16% self-declare to be black, yellow, “pardo,” or Indian), we could argue that Brazilian OCD patients may follow the tendency of their country and may also be more frequently exposed to SLEs, independently of skin color. Corroborating this, Medeiros et al. [58] found that Brazilian OCD patients, when compared to U.S. ones, seemed to have significantly greater rates of generalized anxiety disorder and post-traumatic stress disorder and to be less frequently identified as Caucasian [58]. Thus, this nonwhite skin color finding would just be a specific feature of our Brazilian sample. However, one point for discussion is that our whole sample had only 16.89% nonwhite patients, differing substantially from the Brazilian population census. As self-declared nonwhite people are more prone to social disadvantages in Brazil because of the historical social context (such inequalities present at different moments in an individual’s lifetime, beginning in childhood and continuing through the school years, in terms of access to urban infrastructure and crystallizing in the labor market, consequently determining the income and living conditions of Afro-Brazilians as a whole [59]), this factor, added to a psychiatric diagnosis (OCD in this case) [60], may make this subsample more prone to SLEs, which may constitute a specific vulnerable group that may need further health assistance. However, this result must be interpreted carefully, since the model was overfitted due, probably, to a suppression effect of other variables, such as social class and personal income, which could have magnified the role of self-declared skin color in the model.

4.2. Comorbidity with Separation Anxiety, ADHD, and PTSD

De Mathis et al. [55], when analyzing the trajectory of psychiatric comorbidities of OCD patients, described that separation anxiety and ADHD have a mean age of onset prior to OCD symptoms (6, 7, and 12 years old, respectively). Curiously, but unsurprisingly, OCD patients who presented with separation anxiety disorder as a first diagnosis had a higher lifetime frequency of post-traumatic
stress disorder, a result that was not found for OCD patients who initially presented with ADHD [55]. Unfortunately, de Mathis et al. [55] did not perform a longitudinal analysis or an individual retrospective account when they developed the symptoms for each comorbid disorder; these are needed to better answer this question. Nevertheless, it may be argued that those two comorbidities may be related to SLEs in OCD patients, because patients may have become less resilient since early childhood, leading to a higher susceptibility to misfortune or change and an inability to recover or adjust easily [61,62]. As separation anxiety and ADHD may interfere with the development of attachment style, their occurrence prior to OCD may also lead patients to having lower resilience. To corroborate this argument, Zakiei et al. [63] showed a positive association between ambivalent/avoidant attachment styles and obsessive–compulsive personality disorder, as well as a negative correlation between resilience and obsessive–compulsive personality disorder [63].

Although the occurrence of comorbid PTSD may contribute to the presence of specific symptom content dimensions, as for the aggressive and hoarding dimensions [19], our results did not confirm our previous hypothesis, since the OR values in the logistic regression analyses were too low to be epidemiologically significant.

Perhaps the extensive heterogeneity of existing SLEs, multiplied by the different individual ways of processing the same environmental event and added to the lack of a standardized and comprehensive scale of SLEs, represent a real challenge in this field of research. As an example, Carr et al. [64] separated SLEs into subtypes (i.e., emotional abuse, physical abuse, sexual abuse, and neglect) in a systematic review that attempted to relate specific SLEs to specific mental disorders. In the present study, a patient was placed in the SLE group only because he presented an SLE listed in Part I of the Yale OCD Natural History Questionnaire. We believe that this is a limitation of our study, which prevented us from finding more credible results, at least in this respect. Regardless of this, we believe that the heterogeneity of existing SLEs should be the subject of new studies aimed at discovering the real role of their particularities.

In accordance with our results, Frías Ibáñez et al. [65] also postulated that SLEs constitute a nonspecific risk factor for OCD, either as predisposing or precipitating factors. Additionally, SLEs can determine OCD pathoplasty, reflecting the diversity of symptom presentation in patients. They also suggested a new clinical entity called “post-traumatic obsessive–compulsive disorder” [65]. Comorbid PTSD seems to be a risk factor of a lack of response to treatment in OCD patients [66], making this comorbidity an important factor in robust evidence-based therapeutic strategies.

4.3. Sensory Phenomena Related to Stressful Life Events

The way in which SLEs may be related to sensory phenomena in OCD patients is yet to be investigated. As sensory phenomena are robustly linked to tic-related OCD [12,17], including neurobiological distinct features when present in OCD patients (i.e., gray matter volume increases in the left sensorimotor cortex) [13], the DSM-V has recently acknowledged a new “tic-related” specifier for OCD [55]. Ferrão et al. [12] found that approximately 65% of OCD patients show at least one type of sensory phenomenon, and at least 15% describe it as more severe than the obsessions [12].

Considering sensory phenomena in OCD patients, “interoceptive awareness” could be related to the cognitive processing of emotional stimuli, improving decision-making under risk, regulating emotion, improving the acquisition of fear conditioning and implicit memory for emotional words and/or pictures, and increasing cognitive interference from negative words; it has also been shown to moderate the relationships between behavior and brain functioning and between behavior and physiology [67]. Some studies show increased physiological arousal in patients with increased anxiety [68], making it possible that an increased awareness of, or attention to, body sensations contributes to the sensory phenomena experienced in OCD [69].

Considerable research has also identified increased activation and connectivity in the insula of patients with OCD [70–72], making this structure a main neural node in the interception of OCD, sensory phenomena, and anxiety, especially for patients with higher anxiety levels due to SLEs [67].
Another hypothesis is that SLEs may increase anxiety levels, triggering immunological alterations that persist across time and, thereby, promote a continuous subclinical effect on inflammatory processes, reducing individuals’ immune defense and promoting a link between psychological stress and physical symptoms [73]. This process may increase the perception and/or severity of sensory phenomena, which, in turn, may lead to repetitive behaviors (including compulsive acts). Acting on these compulsions may lead to relief of the sensory phenomenon and/or anxiety, which, in turn, may increase the “need” to perform the action over and over again.

Patients with prominent anxiety symptoms, including OCD ones, generally overreact to environmental/emotional stimuli not only due to chronic increased amygdala activation [74] but also due to the connectivity between the frontostriatal system areas, especially the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), cingulate gyrus, and basal ganglia [75]. As described by Bhikram et al. (2020) [76], sensory phenomena were associated with less connectivity between the OFC and sensorimotor cortex and between the inferior frontal gyrus and the putamen and insula seeds. Thus SLE, as external event (environmental/emotional) may activate or exacerbate vulnerable neurocircuits related to OCD phenomena (obsessions, compulsions, and sensory phenomena), but this statement needs further investigation.

In our study, sensory phenomena were significantly associated with SLEs. Despite having a significant association, this result could also be caused by the fact that questions regarding sensory phenomena were frequently asked to all patients in the database, since they were being cared for in facilities specializing in OCD. In other studies regarding OCD patients in facilities not specializing in a particular disorder, such specific questions may not have been addressed.

4.4. Psychiatric Hospitalization

Since SLEs were significantly associated with the presence of a PTSD diagnosis in our study, we hypothesize that this can have an impact on the association of SLEs and psychiatric hospitalization as well. PTSD can be a very severe disorder and is one of the few psychological conditions that predict suicidal behavior [77]. Since many patients with PTSD present clinically with an elevated risk of suicide [77], it is predictable that there will be an increase in psychiatric hospitalization in a population with reported SLEs, which are part of a PTSD diagnosis. In fact, MacFarlane found, in a sample of 141 hospitalized psychiatric patients, that 61% reported at least one traumatic event during their lifetime and 28% met the formal DSM-III-R criteria for a lifetime diagnosis of PTSD [78]. However, the association between OCD, SLEs, and psychiatric hospitalization needs further investigation.

5. Conclusions

This cross-sectional study allowed us to find some interesting associations between SLEs and specific characteristics of OCD. The association between SLEs and sensory phenomena was the most innovative finding of the study, allowing us to strengthen the evidence on the effect of environmental aspects affecting the neurobiology of OCD.

Associations between skin color and separation anxiety with reported SLEs initiating or worsening OCD symptoms were found. These findings allow us to postulate that separation anxiety and skin color (nonwhite) may lead to greater vulnerability to SLEs. The neurobiological mechanism of this interaction, however, needs further analysis. An association between SLEs and PTSD comorbid with OCD was also found. This association, however, is very predictable, bearing in mind that SLEs and/or traumatic life events are necessary to fulfill the diagnostic criteria for PTSD.

Our results cannot be generalized to populations treated at the primary or secondary healthcare levels and might not have broad external validity, since our sample was obtained from centers specializing in treating OCD, which attract patients with a higher severity of symptoms.

Although the study analyzed a large sample and had an exploratory aim, our results should be interpreted with caution, since the statistical approach (i.e., stepwise logistic regression) could lead to erroneous results, overfitting the significance of the model’s remaining variables [79,80].
Other statistical strategies, such as hierarchical regressions or even machine learning strategies, may be considered in future studies.

A limitation of this study is that the time of occurrence of SLEs and the time of obsessive–compulsive symptoms onset were not compared, since we do not have data on these important aspects. As a consequence, causality between SLEs and OCD aspects in our study becomes harder to establish. This study does not compare OCD patients with the general population and its rates of SLEs because our database includes only patients with OCD seen in tertiary services. As a result, the generalization of our results should be seen with caution. Another limitation of the present study is that the data were obtained between 2003 and 2008. Even though not much has changed regarding our understanding and treatment of OCD or of the types or quality of common SLEs since then, it is possible that this may have impacted our findings, making it more difficult to generalize our results to patients with OCD in the present moment. Fortunately, a new version of the CTOC is underway to assess this issue, which will possibly be compared to the present data.
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### Appendix A

**Table A1.** List of stressful life events (SLEs) extracted from the Yale OCD Natural History Questionnaire.

| List of SLEs                                           |
|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Emotional problems in the family                      |
| Moving to another city/neighborhood                    |
| Relative moving to another city/neighborhood           |
| Family with financial problems                        |
| Being in love/starting a significant relationship/marrying |
| Health problems in the family                          |
| Pregnancy (own)                                       |
| Pregnancy (of spouse/girlfriend/partner)              |
| Childbirth (own child)                                |
| Childbirth (child of a relative)                       |
| Death of a relative/friend                            |
| Having any type of clinical disease                    |
| Significant relative moving to another city/neighborhood |
| Ending a significant relationship/divorcing           |
| Family with housing problems                          |
| Started using illicit drugs                            |
| Family with legal problems                            |
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