FOLFIRI plus bevacizumab as a second-line therapy for metastatic intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma
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AIM: To evaluate the efficacy and tolerance of FOLFIRI plus bevacizumab treatment outcome as second-line treatment for metastatic intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma.

METHODS: Thirteen consecutive patients with metastatic intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma who were refractory to first-line therapy consisting of gemcitabine plus oxaliplatin-based first-line chemotherapy given intravenously via intra-arterial infusion were treated with FOLFIRI [irinotecan (180 mg/m² i.v. over 90 min) concurrently with folinic acid (400 mg/m² i.v. over 120 min) followed by fluorouracil (400 mg/m² i.v. bolus) then fluorouracil 2400 mg/m² intravenous infusion over 46 h] and bevacizumab (5 mg/kg) every 2 wk. Tumor response was evaluated by computed tomography scan every 4 cycles.

RESULTS: The best tumor responses using response evaluation criteria in solid tumor criteria were: complete response for 1 patient, partial response for 4 patients, and stable disease for 6 patients after 6 mo of follow-up. The response rate was 38.4% (95%CI: 12.5-89) and the disease control rate was 84.5% (95%CI: 42-100). Seven deaths occurred at the time of analysis, progression free survival was 8 mo (95%CI: 7-16), and median overall survival was 20 mo (95%CI: 8-48). No grade 4 toxic events were observed. Four grade 3 hematological toxicities and one grade 3 digestive toxicity occurred. An adaptive reduction in chemotherapy dosage was required in 2 patients due to hematological toxicity, and a delay in chemotherapy cycles was required for 3 patients.

CONCLUSION: FOLFIRI plus bevacizumab combination treatment showed promising efficacy and safety as second-line treatment for metastatic intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma after failure of the first-line treatment of gemcitabine plus oxaliplatin chemotherapy.
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this particular chemotherapy treatment gives good response rates and prolongs survival.
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INTRODUCTION

Biliary tract cancer is a collective term that groups together different tumors, including gallbladder tumor, cholangiocarcinoma, and ampulla of Vater tumor with a relative frequency of 41%, 42%, and 17%, respectively. These tumors arise from the transformation of intrahepatic or extrahepatic bile duct epithelial cells. Cholangiocarcinoma is divided into 3 categories based on anatomic location of origin within the biliary system: intrahepatic, hilar, and distal extrahepatic canals. Upon epidemiological study, hilar tumors were more frequent; however intrahepatic tumor incidence is rising. The median survival for biliary tract cancer is poor; but very different for each subtype. Gallbladder cancer is more frequent in females, with a survival around 6-9 mo, while cholangiocarcinoma is more frequent in males and is also more aggressive, with a poor survival time of around 4-6 mo without therapy. The only curative treatment is the complete surgical removal of the tumor. When the tumor can be removed by surgery, the 5 year survival rate is around 30%. When the tumor is not resectable, the standard treatment is systemic chemotherapy. Recently, 2 phase III trials demonstrated that chemotherapy combining gemcitabine and platinum derivatives could be considered as a standard of care for unresectable cholangiocarcinoma and seems to improve overall survival, with a median survival of 12 mo for the cisplatin plus gemcitabine regimen and 9.5 mo for the oxaliplatin plus gemcitabine regimen.

While the choice for first-line chemotherapy is largely agreed upon, second-line treatment is still a topic of discussion. Very few studies of second-line chemotherapy for advanced biliary tract cancer have been reported, with all such studies pooling together patients with different types of biliary tract cancer with different prognoses. The role of targeted therapies is also under investigation in some trials. In this study, we report on the tolerance and efficacy of the off-label usage of FOLFIRI plus bevacizumab combination as a second-line treatment in metastatic cholangiocarcinoma after failure of the gemcitabine plus oxaliplatin regimen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eligibility criteria

This retrospective study was conducted at the Georges Francois Leclerc Center from January 2009 to January 2014. The proposal of the off-label usage of FOLFIRI bevacizumab was evaluated and validated by the local multidisciplinary staff. Informed consent was obtained from each participant and follow-up was prospectively registered. We proposed this treatment for patients with advanced biliary tract carcinoma who met the following criteria: (1) received gemcitabine plus oxaliplatin combination therapy as a first-line treatment administrated intravenously or by intra-arterial injection; (2) underwent progression during the first-line therapy; (3) had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0-2; and (4) had adequate bone marrow function (white blood cell count > 3000/mm³, hemoglobin > 9.0 g/dL, and platelet count > 100000/mm³), liver function (total bilirubin < 3 times the upper limit of normal (ULN) and aspartate alanine transaminases < 5 times the ULN), and renal function (creatinine < 1.2 mg/dL or creatinine clearance > 50 mL/min). In patients with obstructive jaundice, total serum bilirubin was required to be within 3 times the ULN after biliary drainage. Exclusion criteria included: uncontrolled infection, uncontrolled massive pleural effusion or massive ascites, active ulcer of the gastrointestinal tract, pregnancy/lactation, a history of drug hypersensitivity, active concomitant malignancy, and concurrent severe medical conditions.

Treatment

The FOLFIRI plus bevacizumab regimen consists of bevacizumab injection (5 mg/kg) followed by irinotecan (180 mg/m² i.v. over 90 min) concurrently with folinic acid (400 mg/m² i.v. over 120 min), followed by fluorouracil (400 mg/m² bolus) then fluorouracil (2400 mg/m² intravenous infusion over 46 h). Dose reductions were based on adverse events that were graded according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 3.0. Treatment was temporarily suspended in cases of grade 3/4 hematological toxicity or grade 2 or higher non-hematological toxicity. After toxicity was reduced to grade 1 or below, treatment was restarted at a lower dose. The treatment was suspended if the patients continued to experience further toxicity. Dose re-escalation was not applied in this setting. Treatment continued until disease progression, unacceptable toxicity, or patient refusal.

Pretreatment and follow-up evaluation

Pretreatment evaluation included physical examination, complete blood cell counts, blood chemistry, tumor
marker level (carbohydrate antigen, CA 19-9), and thorax abdominal and pelvic computed tomography (CT)-scan within 15 d of starting chemotherapy. Tumor responses were determined by RECIST criteria\(^1\). Complete blood cell counts and serum chemistry (including liver and renal function) were performed at least every 2 wk, with tumor assessment via thorax abdominal and pelvic CT-scan and CA19.9 dosage performed every four cycles (8 wk). Toxicity was graded according to the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria version 2.0.

**Statistical analysis**

Efficacy analysis was performed according to the intention to-treat principle. Patients were considered assessable for response if they were eligible, had measurable disease, and had received at least one cycle of chemotherapy. In the analysis of survival and subsequent treatment, all patients were followed until death or lost to follow-up. Progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method. PFS was calculated from the start of FOLFIRI bevacizumab therapy to the date of disease progression, and OS was calculated from the start of FOLFIRI bevacizumab therapy to the date of death. Analysis was carried out using MEDCALC software (MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium).

**RESULTS**

**Patient characteristics**

Between January 2009 and January 2014, a total of 13 patients were treated at the Department of Medical Oncology, Georges-Francois Leclerc Cancer Center, Dijon, France by FOLFIRI plus bevacizumab combination treatment for metastatic intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma after failure of first-line gemcitabine plus oxaliplatin combination. Demographic details of the patients included in the study are shown in Table 1. All 13 patients were assessable for toxicity, survival, and radiological response using RECIST criteria.

**Toxicity and feasibility**

A total of 128 cycles of chemotherapy were administered (median 6; range: 2-22). Hematological and non-hematological toxicities of grades 1-4 are listed in Table 2. No grades 4 toxic events were observed. Four grade 3 hematological toxicities and one grade 3 digestive toxicity occurred. An adaptive reduction in chemotherapy dosage was required in 2 patients because of hematological toxicity and a delay in chemotherapy cycles was required for 3 patients. The most frequent events were neutropenia in 7 patients, anemia in 5 patients, thrombocytopenia in 6 patients, and diarrhea in 5 patients. No febrile neutropenia were observed.

Concerning bevacizumab-induced toxicity, no interruption to treatment was required, and no bowel perforation, brain bleeding, or digestive bleeding was observed. Tolerance of bevacizumab was good, with only 2 cases of grade 2 hypertension and epistaxis. At the time of analysis, with a median follow-up of 25 mo (range: 6-48 mo), a total of 7 patients (83%) had died, all due to disease progression.

**Objective tumor responses and survival**

All included patients were previously treated with systemic chemotherapy with gemcitabine plus oxaliplatin as a first-line treatment, which failed. In addition 4 patients received hepatic intra-arterial chemotherapy by gemcitabine plus oxaliplatin as a second-line treatment. All patients are metastatic at the start of FOLFIRI plus bevacizumab treatment. According to the RECIST criteria, among the 13 assessable patients we noted one complete response, 4 partial responses, 6 stable diseases for at least 6 mo, and two progressions. The response rate was 38.4% (95%CI: 12.5-89) and the disease control rate was 84.5% (95%CI: 42-100). At 2 mo, the CA 19.9 level decreased in all patients (mean

---

**Table 1 Patient characteristics**

| Characteristics                   | Patients (n) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Median age (range) (yr)           | 60 (39-72)   |
| Sex                               |              |
| Male                              | 6            |
| Female                            | 7            |
| ECOG performance status           |              |
| 0                                 | 3            |
| 1                                 | 8            |
| 2                                 | 2            |
| Median CA 19.9 level (range) (ng/mL) | 73 (2-4472) |
| Previous chemotherapy             |              |
| Intravenous gemcitabine oxaliplatin | 13         |
| Intra-arterial gemcitabine oxaliplatin | 5          |

**Table 2 Observed toxicity according National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for adverse events grading (n = 12)**

| NCI-CTC grade | All grades | Severe |
|---------------|------------|--------|
| Hematological |            |        |
| Anemia        | 5          | 0      |
| Neutropenia   | 7          | 3      |
| Thrombocytopenia | 6      | 2      |
| Non hematological |        |        |
| Nausea/vomiting | 6       | 0      |
| Mucositis     | 1          | 0      |
| Diarrhea      | 5          | 2      |
| Infection     | 0          | 0      |
| Nose bleeding | 2          | 0      |
| High blood pressure | 2 | 0    |

\(^1\)Grade 3-4 according to the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for adverse events (NCI-CTC) version 2.0 scale.
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Figure 1 Kaplan-Meier curves of overall survival and progression free survival. OS: Overall survival; PFS: Progression-free survival.

level 518 ± 1254 vs 173 ± 364, P = 0.04 Wilcoxon test). On June 2014, 7 deaths occurred, PFS was 8 mo (95%CI: 7-16), and median OS was 20 mo (95%CI: 8-48). Figure 1 shows PFS and OS curves.

DISCUSSION

The treatment of metastatic biliary tract cancer currently remains a challenging question. Recently, the combination treatment of cisplatin and gemcitabine became the standard of care in first-line therapy based on the phase III randomized controlled study UK NCRI ABC-02.[6] This study demonstrated an overall survival advantage for cisplatin plus gemcitabine combination versus gemcitabine alone (11.7 mo vs 8.1 mo, HR = 0.64, 95%CI: 0.52-0.80; P < 0.001). Similar results were observed in a Japanese randomized phase II study (BT22) using the same treatment regimen, with a median survival of 11.2 mo with cisplatin and gemcitabine.[12] In France, most oncologists prefer the gemcitabine plus oxaliplatin combination due to its easier usage and reduced toxicity.[13,14] Recently, the phase II BINGO study observed that gemcitabine plus oxaliplatin had an overall survival of 12 mo, similar to the gemcitabine and cisplatin combination.[15], thereby confirming that gemcitabine plus oxaliplatin could be a valuable first-line regimen.

In contrast, to date there is no phase III evidence supporting the use of second-line chemotherapy after failure of first-line chemotherapy for metastatic biliary tract cancers. In the UK NCRI ABC-02 trial,[6] 15% were treated with second-line chemotherapy.[16] In contrast, 63 of the 84 patients (75%) included in the Japanese BT22 trial[12] received second-line chemotherapy, essentially with S1 chemotherapy. Despite this difference in the rate of second-line chemotherapy, similar survival was observed in the 2 studies, thus questioning the benefit of second-line chemotherapy. A recent multicentric retrospective Italian study reported the evolution of 300 patients receiving second-line chemotherapy for biliary tract cancer in a cohort of 811 patients that previously received first-line therapy. In this study, only 4% of partial responses and 30% of disease stabilizations were observed, giving a median PFS of 3.2 mo[17]. In the ASCO 2014 meeting, the AGEO group reported the efficacy of second-line therapy for biliary tract carcinoma in patients previously treated with gemcitabine and platinum combination.[18] They observed that the usage of second-line therapy is associated with disease control in half of the patients who previously received gemcitabine plus platinum as a first-line treatment. When looking at chemotherapy regimens, were was no difference in term of PFS or OS for the usage of 5-fluorouracil (5FU) monotherapy or association of 5FU plus cisplatin or irinotecan. However, in another study irinotecan was reported to have some efficacy in patients previously treated with gemcitabine and platinum, suggesting that this treatment is effective in second-line therapy.[19]. Few studies have tested the efficacy of targeted therapies in biliary tract cancer. The mTOR inhibitor everolimus was tested in second-line therapy in a recent phase II trial with PFS around 3 and an 8 mo of OS with acceptable toxicity.[20] Anti-human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER)1 and HER2 therapies were also tested. Cetuximab failed to demonstrate efficacy in first-line biliary tract cancer.[15] Erlotinib was tested in combination with gemcitabine plus oxaliplatin and did not improve PFS or OS[7]. Moreover, combination of erlotinib plus sorafenib[21] or monotherapy with lapatinib failed to demonstrate clinical efficacy[22]. Sunitinib was also tested in a phase II study as a second-line regimen and demonstrated marginal efficacy and significant toxicity.[23]. Bevacizumab was tested in first-line treatment in combination with erlotinib in a phase II trial and gave an interesting control rate and OS of about 10 mo.[24] In addition, a phase II study of bevacizumab in combination with gemcitabine and oxaliplatin gave a major response rate of 44% in first-line therapy[25] compared with 20% with gemcitabine plus oxaliplatin alone, thus suggesting the efficacy of bevacizumab. Based on all these data, we hypothesize that bevacizumab associated with 5FU plus irinotecan may have some efficacy in biliary tract cancer treatment. A case report underlined the high efficacy of bevacizumab plus panitumumab combination treatment[26].

We decide in our institute to propose off-label usage of FOLFIRI bevacizumab for patients with cholangiocarcinoma that progressed after first-line gemcitabine plus oxaliplatin usage. This off-label usage was validated after multidisciplinary staff consultation, and all patients give written consent to this off-label drug usage. We also prospectively examined the efficacy and toxicity of the protocol for each patient. Limitations of this study were its retrospective nature, non-comparative design, and low number of patients. However, very few prospective studies have been conducted to assess second-line efficacy for cholangiocarcinoma, and no comparative study has been conducted in
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