Introduction

The stress test consists in a procedure where an individual undergoes programmed physical exercise in order for the physician to assess clinical, hemodynamic, and electrocardiographic responses. The exercise can be performed using a treadmill or a stationary cycle ergometer, and many protocols are available according to the test objective, being adaptable to patient conditions.

Even though it was created more than 5 decades ago, the Bruce protocol is still the most widely used in the world’s main laboratories. Its increments are performed every 3 minutes, but an increase of around 3 metabolic equivalents of task (MET) at each stage may hinder the adaptation of sedentary individuals and those who have heart diseases and physical limitations, leading to the early interruption of the test.

Technological advances allowed the creation of new protocols with smoother speed and incline increments, the so-called ramp tests. The first report of the ramp protocol is from the 1980s using a stationary cycle ergometer and, in the following decade, with a treadmill.

The main advantage of the ramp protocol, with smoother and more linear increments when
compared to protocols that use abrupt work increments, would be a better physiological response when considering hemodynamic and ventilatory variables.\textsuperscript{3,5,6} Moreover, speed and incline can be individually adapted to each patient.\textsuperscript{3–5}

However, literature is lacking when it comes to robust evidence of the accuracy of this protocol for myocardial ischemia, since most diagnostic studies of stress tests were performed using stage protocols.\textsuperscript{7}

**Objectives**

Our primary objective was to assess and compare the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the Bruce protocol, owing to its wide application on clinical practice, with the treadmill ramp protocol. The reference standard used in this study was exercise myocardial perfusion scintigraphy.

As for secondary objectives, we aimed to assess and compare the hemodynamic behavior, presence of arrhythmias, and functional capacity between both protocols.

**Methods**

This study was performed at a cardiology referral center in the city of São Paulo. Our sample included male and female participants aged 18 or older, who underwent treadmill exercise myocardial perfusion scintigraphy as recommended by their respective physicians. Patient selection was performed consecutively between October 2018 and February 2020.

Patients who had a resting electrocardiogram with an ST segment depression > 1 mm, left bundle branch block, atrial fibrillation, pacemaker rhythm, or ventricular pre-excitation were not included. Exclusion criteria were (i) therapeutic interventions (angioplasty or myocardial revascularization) between any of the study phases; (ii) patient dropouts at any phase; and (iii) contraindications to the exercise test, according to the literature.\textsuperscript{8}

After myocardial scintigraphy examination and the invitation to participate in the study, individuals were randomized for exercise testing using the Bruce and ramp protocols. The randomization was performed through codes generated by the Sealed Envelope software.\textsuperscript{8} The interval between each phase of the study was 14 days. Study phases are illustrated on Figure 1.

---

**Figure 1 – Selection and randomization**

*Source: this study*
All participants received a free and informed consent form (FICF) that was previously approved by the Research Ethics Committee (CEP) and were also informed of the risks and benefits of performing the study. Participants were instructed to wear appropriate clothes and shoes during the test. Moreover, they were instructed not to drink or smoke and to have a light meal up to 3 hours before the test.

According to the last myocardial scintigraphy guideline and to the nuclear medicine unit of the center where this study was conducted, participants were instructed to interrupt the use of medications with negative chronotropic effects (betablockers and dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers) 72 hours before each test. This instruction was adopted in all study phases to avoid differences in the sensitivity of ischemia detection between myocardial scintigraphy and the subsequent exercise tests. Other medications were maintained in all study phases.

For the ramp protocol, functional capacity was estimated through an interview with the participant using the Portuguese version of the Veterans Specific Activity Questionnaire (VSAQ). Increments were automatically calculated by the software based on the functional activity programming and a target duration of 10 minutes.

During the test, both in the Bruce and ramp protocols, we allowed patients to use front or side bars for maintaining balance, with minimal support. Participants were stimulated to reach exhaustion, as long as there were no criteria recommending the interruption of the test. Functional capacity was calculated using the equation derived from the Fitness Registry and the Importance of Exercise (FRIEND) registry.

The interpretation of exercise tests was performed by an experienced physician who was blinded to the participant data, test protocol, and myocardial scintigraphy results. Physicians overseeing the tests were also unaware of the results of the previously performed scintigraphy.

ST segment measurement was performed in 3 consecutive complexes, considering the last PR segment as baseline. Tests were considered positive for myocardial ischemia when they presented, at peak exercise or recovery phases, one of the following alterations:

a) A horizontal or downsloping ST segment depression \( \geq 1.0 \) mm measured at the J point.

b) An upsloping ST segment depression \( \geq 1.5 \) mm measured 80 ms after the J point.

c) An ST segment elevation \( \geq 1.0 \) mm in the absence of a pathological Q wave.

In patients with right bundle branch block, we did not analyze the V1, V2, and V3 leads since they presented alterations in ventricular repolarization when at rest, which could be intensified during exercise and hinder the electrocardiographic analysis of ischemia.

Arrhythmias were recorded during and after exercise and classified as complex ventricular arrhythmias: frequent ventricular extrasystoles (over 10% of QRS complexes in a 30-second period); bigeminy; or ventricular tachycardia.

Myocardial scintigraphy results were independently analyzed by blinded physicians at the nuclear medicine unit. Images at rest and after exercise were evaluated, aiming to compare alterations in myocardial perfusion between phases. Scintigraphy results were considered positive for myocardial ischemia when a transient low uptake was observed, that is, a difference in perfusion between resting and stress states. In this study, analyses were conducted categorically, that is, results were considered positive or negative for ischemia and were classified according to the extent of left ventricular myocardial impairment, according to the following description:

a) mild – stress-induced perfusion defect encumbering less than 5% of the myocardium;

b) moderate – stress-induced perfusion defect encumbering 5% to 9.9% of the myocardium;

c) important – stress-induced perfusion defect encumbering 10% or more of the myocardium.

**Statistical analysis**

Participant data and test results were registered using Microsoft Excel 365 software. The analysis of collected data was performed using R 4.0.2 software. The DTComPair package was also used for calculating confidence intervals (CIs).

For testing the difference between data derived from each of the studied protocols, we used the paired Student’s t-test or the Wilcoxon test when our data normality assumption was not satisfied via a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Continuous variables with normal distribution were presented through means and standard deviations, and those that did not have a normal distribution were presented as medians and interquartile ranges. For comparing the incidence of
arrhythmias between protocols, we used the McNemar test, since participants underwent the same test using different protocols. The significance level adopted for all tests was 5%.

Sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy calculations, in addition to likelihood ratios and predictive values, were calculated for all protocols so that each classification could be compared to that of the reference standard (myocardial perfusion scintigraphy). CIs were constructed considering a 95% confidence level.

For comparing ST segment analyses for each protocol and estimating the odds ratio, we adjusted a statistical model considering the predominant morphology as the response variable and the protocol (ramp or Bruce) as the explanatory variable. We adjusted an ordinal logistic regression model with proportional odds considering a mixed model, since assessments by both protocols were performed with the same participants.

**Results**

After patient selection, our sample contemplated 43 participants who went through all 3 study phases: myocardial scintigraphy and exercise testing with the Bruce and ramp protocols, according to randomization. Our first results refer to sample characterization and are described on Table 1.

Out of 86 tests, the criteria for interrupting the study were exhaustion (94.1%), impairing thoracic pain (3.5%), nonsustained ventricular tachycardia (1.2%), and a drop in systolic arterial pressure (SAP) along with cardiovascular symptoms (1.2%). Data referring to the

| Table 1 – Clinical characteristics of the selected sample |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| (n = 43 participants)                          |
| **Sex - male**                                 |
| 32 (74.4 %)                                    |
| **Weight (kg)**                                |
| 79.65 (± 12.51)                                |
| **Height (cm)**                                |
| 166 (± 76.46)                                  |
| **Age**                                        |
| 61.72 (± 8.64)                                 |
| **Medical history**                            |
| Systemic arterial hypertension                 |
| 37 (86.0%)                                     |
| Diabetes mellitus                             |
| 20 (46.5%)                                     |
| Dyslipidemia                                   |
| 37 (86.0%)                                     |
| Smoking                                        |
| 18 (41.9%)                                     |
| Coronary artery disease                       |
| 28 (65.1%)                                     |
| Previous acute myocardial infarction           |
| 13 (30.2%)                                     |
| Previous angioplasty                           |
| 9 (20.9%)                                      |
| Myocardial revascularization                   |
| 12 (27.9%)                                     |
| **Continuous medications**                    |
| Platelet antiaggregants                        |
| 37 (86.0%)                                     |
| Statin                                         |
| 38 (88.4%)                                     |
| ACEI/ARB                                       |
| 34 (79.1%)                                     |
| Diuretics                                      |
| 19 (44.2%)                                     |
| Nitrate                                        |
| 7 (16.3%)                                      |
| Beta blockers                                  |
| 33 (76.7%)                                     |

*Source: this study
Kg: kilogram; cm: centimeters; ACEI: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB: angiotensin receptor blocker.*
tests and comparing the ramp and Bruce protocols are described on Table 2.

Participants presented at least one episode of arrhythmia in 60.5% of the ramp protocol tests, of which only 7% were complex ventricular arrhythmias. On the other hand, the incidence of arrhythmias was 69.8% in Bruce protocol tests, with 9.3% of complex ventricular arrhythmias. No statistically significant difference was observed between both protocols considering episodes of arrhythmia ($p = 0.453$) and complex ventricular arrhythmias ($p = 1.000$). We also analyzed the incidence of arrhythmias between test phases (exercise and recovery) and did not find significant differences ($p = 0.211$ and $p = 0.453$, respectively).

Out of the performed tests, the ramp protocol presented criteria for myocardial ischemia in 11 participants (25.5%) while the Bruce protocol was positive in 19 cases (44.2%), considering the study criteria. The Bruce protocol resulted in 12 participants with false positive results and 2 participants with false negative results, whereas the ramp protocol had more false negative results (4 participants) and only 6 false positive results. These data can be seen on Figure 2.

The difference between protocols as to the morphological classification of the ST segment depression was significant ($p = 0.008$), and participants who underwent the Bruce protocol had a higher chance of developing morphologies related to a worse prognosis when compared to the ramp protocol (odds ratio 5.83, 95% CI 1.58–21.45), as demonstrated on Figure 3.

Nine participants had a transient low uptake at myocardial scintigraphy, indicating that 21% of the study sample presented myocardial ischemia diagnosed by the standard reference test. Five participants presented discrete alterations at myocardial scintigraphy, 2 had moderate alterations, and 2 had important impairment.

The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of each of the protocols and their respective CIs, as well as the likelihood ratio and predictive values for each protocol, are presented on Table 3.

### Discussion

In this study, both protocols had their mean duration within the recommended 8–12-minute interval, which allows the optimization of VO2 max in this period of time.\(^1\)\(^{17-19}\) When undergoing the ramp protocol, participants had a longer exercise duration, which was justified by the adaptation of patients to smoother work increments, corroborating other studies.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^20\)\(^,\)\(^21\)

Estimating functional capacity through objective tools such as the VSAQ can help in elaborating the ramp protocol. The questionnaire, initially developed for male individuals, was further validated in other populations including women and other nationalities; it was thus revealed to be a useful instrument for programming ramp protocol data, since this protocol can be influenced by the physician’s experience.\(^13\)\(^,\)\(^22\)\(^-\)\(^24\)

### Table 2 – Clinical data of exercise tests

|                                | Ramp          | Bruce         | p-value |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Duration of exercise (seconds) | 706 (± 166)   | 600 (± 165)   | <0.001  |
| Resting HR (bpm)               | 75.86 (± 14.66) | 72.51 (± 12.80) | 0.066   |
| Maximum HR (bpm)               | 146 (± 21.37) | 150 (± 18.10) | 0.043   |
| % maximum HR                   | 92.22% (± 11.96) | 94.85% (± 9.43) | 0.042   |
| HR at the first minute of recovery (bpm) | 121.84 (± 21.22) | 123.47 (± 18.81) | 0.708   |
| Resting SAP (mmHg)*            | 130.0 [120.0; 140.0] | 130.0 [120.0; 140.0] | 0.441   |
| Maximum SAP (mmHg)*            | 190.0 [170.0; 207.5] | 190.0 [180.0; 220.0] | 0.075   |
| Maximum DP (bpm.mmHg x 10)*    | 27.7 [24.0; 32.3] | 29.88 [26.15; 32.63] | 0.040   |
| Workload (MET)                 | 9.46 (± 2.18) | 9.57 (± 2.27) | 0.109   |

* values represented by median and interquartile range

HR: heart rate; bpm: beats per minute; SAP: systolic arterial pressure; mmHg: millimeters of mercury; DP: double product; MET: metabolic equivalent of task.
Functional capacity was calculated using the equation derived from the FRIEND registry, which has been applied to different protocols (including the Bruce and ramp protocols) in healthy populations and in those with coronary artery disease (CAD). Its results are closer to the VO2 max measured through ergospirometry than to those obtained by the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) equation, which is still widely used.

Stress-induced arrhythmias are associated with a higher incidence of cardiovascular events and higher long-term mortality. Jouven et al. demonstrated that asymptomatic individuals who presented complex ventricular arrhythmias during the exercise period had
a higher risk of cardiovascular death. Dewey et al.,\textsuperscript{30} however, observed that the presence of ventricular arrhythmias at the recovery phase was associated with a worse prognosis when compared to the exercise phase, which is similar to what was found in a recent meta-analysis.\textsuperscript{31}

The incidence of stress-induced arrhythmias was superior in our study when compared to the literature, which can be justified by the severity of the studied population, referred by a high-complexity cardiology center. Despite a high incidence of arrhythmias, no statistical difference was observed in this aspect when comparing both protocols, even when considering the exercise and recovery phases.

When evaluating the morphological patterns of ST segment depression, we observed that the Bruce protocol had a higher chance of detecting morphologies related to a worse prognosis. It is known that downsloping and horizontal morphologies are related to a higher ischemic load and a probability of multi-arterial impairment.\textsuperscript{32,33} Guidelines diverge when associating the upsloping morphology with an ischemic response, but it has been shown that this morphology may be associated with CAD, even if associated with better prognosis and a lower ischemia extent when compared to the downsloping and horizontal morphologies.\textsuperscript{11,33–35}

Studies performed for evaluating the diagnostic power of exercise tests present heterogeneous methodologies and most of them used staged protocols, particularly the Bruce protocol. Therefore, sensitivity and specificity values present a wide variation in the literature.\textsuperscript{7} Data for the Bruce protocol obtained in this work are within value intervals from previously published studies.\textsuperscript{7,36,37} Moreover, data referring to the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the exercise test using the ramp protocol were similar to results obtained by Macedo Júnior and Silva (2015).\textsuperscript{38}

Aspects that may explain a higher number of tests suggesting myocardial ischemia at the Bruce protocol include a higher heart rate and double product at peak exercise, secondary to more abrupt increments. It is known that an increase in heart rate generates higher oxygen consumption by the myocardium, while an elevation of the double product is associated with a reduction in myocardial perfusion.\textsuperscript{12,20} In our study, we observed that the ramp protocol presented a lower sensitivity for detecting myocardial ischemia. This finding could be related to lower increments at each stage, which would result in an attenuation of the ischemic response, as demonstrated by other studies.\textsuperscript{20,39,40}

For assessing only the test’s diagnostic power, without the influence of disease prevalence, we used likelihood ratios. The positive likelihood ratio for the ramp protocol was slightly higher, that is, a positive test had a stronger influence in confirming the probability of myocardial ischemia. On the other hand, the negative likelihood
ratio was lower in the Bruce protocol, indicating that a negative test represented a lower probability of ischemia. Our likelihood ratio values are compatible with those of Thiers et al., who evaluated diagnostic thresholds of non-invasive tests for CAD.

Finally, the interpretation of the exercise test should not be limited to the electrocardiographic pattern. Clinical and hemodynamic variables are fundamentally important for result interpretation, providing relevant information to the diagnosis, prognosis, and clinical conduct. Therefore, the choice of the test and best protocol for investigating ischemia should always consider the patient’s clinical characteristics and the objective to which the test is being requested. In case the exercise test has the main objective of screening for CAD, protocols with higher sensitivity are recommended. That is, based on the data presented by this study, the Bruce protocol is a better alternative when compared to the ramp protocol.

Among possible limitations of this study, we highlight the smaller sample size when compared with the initial calculation. This information reflects a lower statistical power that was achieved with the evaluated sample. We also note the availability bias, that is, patients with less cardiovascular limitations are more prone to accepting to participate in studies, also indicating a small number of participants with systemic perfusion alterations observed through myocardial scintigraphy.

Conclusion

The ramp protocol, when compared to the Bruce protocol, had higher specificity and accuracy. However, a higher sensitivity achieved by the Bruce protocol favors its use in exercise testing when screening patients for myocardial ischemia is the main goal.

We had more participants with positive criteria for ischemia at the exercise test using the Bruce protocol and a higher chance of developing horizontal and downsloping ST segment depression, which are associated with a worse prognosis. The main variables found in this study that justified this finding were higher heart rate and double product at peak exercise at the Bruce protocol.

The achieved workload and maximum systolic arterial pressure were similar in both protocols. No significant difference was observed on the incidence of arrhythmias.
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