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Abstract—Curvelets are efficient to represent highly anisotropic signal content, such as a local linear and curvilinear structure. First-generation curvelets on the sphere, however, suffered from blocking artefacts. We present a new second-generation curvelet transform, where scale-discretised curvelets are constructed directly on the sphere. Scale-discretised curvelets exhibit a parabolic scaling relation, are well-localised in both spatial and harmonic domains, support the exact analysis and synthesis of both scalar and spin signals, and are free of blocking artefacts. We present fast algorithms to compute the exact curvelet transform, reducing computational complexity from $O(L^5)$ to $O(L^3 \log_2 L)$ for signals band-limited at $L$. The implementation of these algorithms is made publicly available. Finally, we present an illustrative application demonstrating the effectiveness of curvelets for representing directional curve-like features in natural spherical images.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Spherical wavelets (e.g. [1]–[21]) have proved to be highly successful in applications to cosmology (e.g. [22]–[35]; for a review, see [36]), astrophysics (e.g. [37]), planetary science (e.g. [38], [39]), geophysics (e.g. [40], [41]), and many other disciplines such as neuro-science (e.g. [42]). The reasons for this success are two-fold. Firstly, spherical data is common in nature, as demonstrated by the diverse range of applications above. In such cases, signals are naturally defined on the sphere and the most efficient analysis techniques are those that respect this underlying geometry. Secondly, different physical processes manifest on different scales, thereby imprinting scale-dependent, localised features within signals. Wavelets enable simultaneous extraction of both spectral and spatial information, thus making them a powerful analysis tool.

In addition to scale-dependent and localised characteristics of signals, signals often contain directional and geometrical features, such as linear or curvilinear structures in 2D images (e.g. edges), or sheet-like and filamentary structures in 3D space. Extraction of these features can in turn provide insightful information about the origin of signals or play crucial roles in diagnostic uses. Traditional wavelets, however, fall short of capturing this signal content effectively, which has motivated the development of a variety of directional or geometric wavelets.

Ridgelet (e.g. [43]) and curvelet (e.g. [44]–[47]) transforms are of substantial interest since they provide efficient representations of line-type structures and exploit the anisotropic contents of signals. Among the two, ridgelets are limited to application to signals with global straight-line features only. In order to analyse local linear or curvilinear structures, which are dominant in nature, a block ridgelet-based transform, namely the first-generation curvelet transform, has been proposed. In Euclidean space, such a curvelet transform consists of applying an isotropic wavelet transform, followed by a special partitioning of the image and the application of the ridgelet transform to local overlapping blocks [44]. The overlapping blocks, which are used to mitigate blocking artefacts, increase the redundancy, hence increasing the computational storage and timing costs. The same authors proposed second-generation Euclidean curvelets, rectifying these issues, where the discrete frequency domain is tiled and a ridgelet transform is no longer required [45]–[47]. The second-generation curvelet construction is conceptually more natural and enables faster algorithms, thus opening up a wider and more successful applicability of curvelets, particularly in the fields of image processing, seismic image recovery and scientific computing (for reviews of the planar ridgelet and curvelet transforms, see [48], [49]).

Recently, a new generation of ridgelets on the sphere has been constructed in [15], which is applicable to study antipodal signals on the sphere and is capable to handle both scalar and spin signals. First-generation curvelets have also been constructed on the sphere [50], where the HEALPix [51] scheme of partitioning of the sphere is employed and a discrete planar ridgelet transform is performed on each block independently. First-generation spherical curvelets are therefore not defined natively on the sphere (but rather by stitching together planar patches). Furthermore, unlike the approach of the first-generation planar curvelets, the twelve base-resolution faces of the HEALPix pixelisation do not overlap. This unavoidably leads to blocking artefacts [50]. In addition, in this framework curvelets larger than the scale of the base-resolution face cannot be constructed and first-generation spherical curvelets only satisfy the typical curvelet parabolic scaling relation (i.e. width $\approx$ length$^2$) in the Euclidean limit.

In this article, we construct a second-generation curvelet transform, which is not built on a ridgelet transform, following a similar motivation to the development of the second-generation planar curvelets. Second-generation curvelets live natively on the sphere (i.e. are not reliant on a specific
pixelisation such as HEALPix), are free from any blocking artefacts, satisfy the typical curvelet parabolic scaling relation, and support the exact synthesis of a band-limited signal from its curvelet coefficients (i.e. capture all of the information content of the signal of interest without loss).

It is possible to construct spherical curvelets through the inverse stereographic projection of planar wavelets [1], [2], [8], but the continuous scales required for the continuous analysis prevents exact reconstruction of the signals in practice. We therefore construct scale-discretised curvelets using the general spin scale-discretised wavelet framework presented in [14], where the dilations of the curvelets are directly defined in harmonic space and exact synthesis can be performed in practice. This framework also enables a straightforward generalisation of the curvelet transform to spin signals, where the spin value of the curvelets is a free parameter. Depending on the desired applications, different spin values can be chosen: spin-0 for analysing scalar signals, spin-1 for vector fields and spin-2 for polarisation studies, for example. Furthermore, we show explicitly how the parabolic scaling relation is rendered in (spin) spherical polar coordinates by setting the absolute value of the azimuthal frequency index of spin spherical harmonic functions equal to the angular frequency index. Curvelets constructed in this manner exhibit many desirable properties, as listed earlier, which are lacking in alternative constructions (e.g. [50]).

Having constructed scale-discretised curvelets applicable to transform signals of arbitrary spin on the sphere, we then present a fast algorithm to compute the curvelet transform exactly and efficiently. Optimisation is achieved by working in a rotated coordinate system that renders the harmonic representation of many curvelets coefficients zero; only relatively small number of non-zero terms need then be computed. This fast algorithm leverages novel sampling theorems on the sphere [52], [53] and on the rotation group [54], where the later is further optimised for curvelets. These curvelet algorithms are implemented in the existing S2LET code [11] – an implementation of the scale-discretised wavelet transform on the sphere – and are made publicly available.

The remainder of this paper is organised as follows. In Section II, we construct curvelets that live natively on the sphere. The properties of curvelets and their differences to axisymmetric and directional wavelets on the sphere are highlighted. In Section III, we derive exact and efficient algorithms for the numerical implementation of the curvelet transform. Numerical accuracy and computational-time scaling for a complete forward and inverse transform are evaluated. In Section IV, we present an illustrative application, where a spherical image of a natural scene is analysed and the performance of curvelets and directional wavelets is compared. We conclude in Section V, outlining possible applications of the spherical curvelet transform and propose future extensions of this work.

II. SCALE-DISCRETISED CURVELETS ON THE SPHERE

In this section we present mathematical preliminaries of spin spherical harmonics and the rotation group, followed by the second-generation curvelet construction, properties and transform. We construct curvelets that are defined natively on the sphere, exhibit the standard curvelet parabolic scaling relation, are well-localised in both spatial and harmonic domains, and support the exact analysis and synthesis of both scalar and spin signals. The construction follows closely to that of spin scale-discretised wavelets [14], and their analogous scalar forms [10]–[13], except that the directionality component of curvelets is designed differently. For further details of the scale-discretised wavelet framework, including a review of harmonic analysis of (spin) functions on the sphere, we refer interested readers to the aforementioned papers. We conclude this section by noting some properties of curvelets, comparing those to axisymmetric and directional wavelets.

Throughout this paper, all the transforms are formulated for the general spin setting, where the scalar setting can be simply rendered by setting the spin value \( s \in \mathbb{Z} \) to zero. Also, we consider signals on the sphere band-limited at \( L \) throughout, i.e. \( s f_{\ell m} = 0, \forall \ell \geq L, \) where \( s f_{\ell m} \), with integer \( \ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}, |m| \leq \ell \), are the spin spherical harmonic coefficients of a spin signal of interest \( s f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^2) \), and are given by the usual projection onto each spin spherical harmonic (basis) function \( s Y_{\ell m} \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^2) : s f_{\ell m} = \langle s f, s Y_{\ell m} \rangle \).

A. Spin Spherical Harmonics And The Rotation Group

Curvelets probe signal content not only in scale and position on the sphere, but also in orientation. As such, the resulting curvelet coefficients live on the rotation group \( L^2(\text{SO}(3)) \). The Wigner D-functions \( D_{mn}^\ell(\rho) \in L^2(\text{SO}(3)) \), with natural \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \) and integers \( m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, |m|, |n| \leq \ell \), are the matrix elements of the irreducible unitary representation of the rotation group \( \text{SO}(3) \) [55]. Consequently, they or their conjugate \( D_{mn}^\ell \) form a complete set of orthogonal bases in \( L^2(\text{SO}(3)) \). The Wigner D-functions may also be related to the spin-s spherical harmonics by

\[
\mathcal{Y}_{\ell m}(\theta, \varphi) = (-1)^{s+m} \sqrt{\frac{2s+1}{4\pi}} D_{m(-s)}^\ell(\varphi, \theta, 0),
\]

(1)

[56], for \( s \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( |m| \leq \ell, |s| \leq \ell \), and where the Wigner D-functions are parameterised by the Euler angles \( \rho = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \), where \( \alpha \in [0, 2\pi) \), \( \beta \in [0, \pi] \) and \( \gamma \in [0, 2\pi] \). Here we adopt the \( xyz \) Euler convention, which corresponds to the rotation of a physical body in a fixed coordinate system about the \( z, y \) and \( x \) axes by \( \gamma, \beta \) and \( \alpha \), respectively. \( D_{mn}^\ell(\rho) \) can be further decomposed by

\[
D_{mn}^\ell(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = e^{-im\alpha} d_{mn}^\ell(\beta) e^{-in\gamma},
\]

(2)

where the Wigner small-d-functions may be expressed as

\[
d_{mn}^\ell(\beta) = (-1)^{\ell-n} \sqrt{(\ell + m)(\ell - m)(\ell + n)(\ell - n)} \sum_k (-1)^k \frac{\sin \beta}{2} 2^{\ell - m - n} \frac{m + n + 2k}{m + n + k} \frac{\sin \beta}{2} 2^{\ell - m - n - 2k} \frac{\cos \alpha}{2} k!(\ell - m - k)(\ell - n - k)(m + n + k)!
\]

(3)
in which the sum is performed over all values of \( k \) such that the arguments of the factorials are non-negative. It follows that

1 The Wigner D-functions satisfy the conjugate symmetry relation \( D_{mn}^{\ell*}(\rho) = (-1)^{m+n} D_{m-n}^{\ell*}(\rho) \).
the spin spherical harmonics can be expressed in spherical coordinates \( \omega = (\theta, \varphi) \), with colatitude \( \theta \in [0, \pi] \) and longitude \( \varphi \in [0, 2\pi] \), by
\[
s Y_{\ell m}(\omega) = (-1)^s \sqrt{\frac{2\ell + 1}{4\pi}} \, d_{m(-s)}^{\ell}(\theta) \, e^{im\varphi}.
\]

\( (4) \)

B. Curvelet Construction

We construct scale-discretised curvelets \( s \Psi^{(j)}(\omega) \in L^2(S^2) \) in harmonic space in factorised form
\[
s \Psi^{(j)}_{\ell m}(\omega) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2\ell + 1}{8\pi^2}} \, \kappa^{(j)}(\ell) \, s \zeta_{\ell m},
\]
where \( s \Psi^{(j)}_{\ell m} = \langle s \Psi^{(j)}, s Y_{\ell m} \rangle \) are the spin spherical harmonic coefficients of the curvelets with \( s Y_{\ell m} \in L^2(S^2) \) denoting the spin spherical harmonic functions, for \( s \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( |m| \leq \ell, \ |s| \leq \ell \). The angular localisation of the \( j \)-th scale curvelet is characterised by the kernel \( \kappa^{(j)}(\ell) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \) whose construction follows exactly the same as that of the spin directional scale-discretised wavelets given in [14]. On the other hand, the directional localisation of curvelets is controlled by the directional component \( s \zeta \), with harmonic components \( s \zeta_{\ell m} = \langle s \zeta, s Y_{\ell m} \rangle \). It is this directional component that is defined in a way such that the parabolic scaling relation typical of curvelets is satisfied.

We now show that the standard curvelet parabolic scaling relation can be rendered in spherical coordinates by considering spin spherical harmonics with the absolute value of the azimuthal frequency index equal to the angular frequency index, i.e. \( |m| = \ell \). Specifically, we show that the full-width-half-maximum (FWHM) of the colatitude \( \theta \in [0, \pi] \) part of \( s Y_{\ell} \) is approximately the square of that of the longitude \( \varphi \in [0, 2\pi] \) part. Such a parabolic scaling also applies to curvelets since their harmonic coefficients are constructed from a windowed sum of spherical harmonics, with a central dominant angular frequency.

We define the FWHM, which characterises the width about the peak of a function, as the difference between \( \theta \) (or \( \varphi \)) at which the (real or imaginary part of) the function \( s Y_{\ell} \) is equal to half of its maximum value. It is then straightforward to show that
\[
\text{FWHM}_\varphi = 2\varphi_0 = \frac{2}{\ell} \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{2\pi}{3\ell},
\]
(6)

where \( \varphi_0 \) is the angle at the half maximum of the \( \varphi \)-part of \( s Y_{\ell} \) (i.e. real or imaginary part of \( e^{i\varphi} \)) within the interval \( 0 < \varphi_0 < \pi/2 \). The \( \theta \)-dependence of \( s Y_{\ell} \) is determined by the Wigner small-\( d \)-function
\[
d_{\ell-s,\ell}^{(j)}(\theta) = (-1)^{\ell+s} \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell)!}{(\ell-s)!(\ell+s)!}} \, \sin^{\ell+s} \frac{\theta}{2} \, \cos^{s-\ell} \frac{\theta}{2},
\]
(7)

which attains its maximum at
\[
\theta_{\text{max}} = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{-s}{\ell} \right),
\]
(8)

for \( |s| \leq \ell \). As \( s \) varies from 0 to \( \ell \), \( \theta_{\text{max}} \) takes the value from \( \pi/2 \) to \( \pi \) (indicating a change of the colatitude position

\(^{2}\) Note that we adopt the Condon-Shortley phase convention such that the conjugate symmetry relation \( s Y_{\ell m}^*(\omega) = (-1)^{s+m} s Y_{\ell,-m}(\omega) \) holds.

at which spin-curvelets are centred, as will become explicit in the complete curvelet construction that follows). Furthermore, note that for the scalar setting \( s = 0 \) and also for \( s \ll \ell \), Eqn. (7) reduces to the form of \( A_{\ell} \sin^\ell \theta \), where \( A_{\ell} \) is a function of \( \ell \) contributing to the overall magnitude of \( s Y_{\ell} \) only (and thus can be ignored in the evaluation of FWHM_\theta).

It follows that
\[
\text{FWHM}_\theta = 2 \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_0 \right) = \pi - 2 \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2\ell} \right),
\]
(9)

where \( \theta_0 \) is the angle at the half maximum of the \( \theta \)-part of \( s Y_{\ell} \) within the interval of \( 0 < \theta_0 < \pi/2 \). Eqn. (9) can be further rearranged to
\[
\sin \left( \frac{\pi - \text{FWHM}_\theta}{2} \right) = 2^{-u},
\]
(10)

where \( u = 1/\ell \). In the limit \( \ell \to \infty \), \( u \) and FWHM_\theta both approach to zero. Hence, by taking Taylor's expansion at both sides of Eqn. (10) one obtains
\[
1 - \frac{1}{8} \text{FWHM}^2_\theta \approx 1 - (\ln 2) \frac{1}{\ell},
\]
(11)

which implies the important curvelet parabolic scaling relation
\[
\text{FWHM}^2_\rho \approx \text{FWHM}_\varphi.
\]
(12)

We stress that the cases of spin value \( s = 0 \) or \( s \ll \ell \), for which the parabolic scaling relation has been shown to hold, are common in real-life applications since physical signals are often scalar or have a low spin value. Furthermore, low-\( \ell \) information is often not probed by curvelets but rather by a scaling function, which will be discussed subsequently. Nevertheless, for completeness and clarity, we remark that in extreme cases when \( s = \ell \), the approximate parabolic scaling relation still holds, with the value of FWHM_\rho double that in the scalar setting. We also note that the parabolic scaling relation may start to deteriorate as \( s \to (\ell - 1) \) due to the asymmetry of \( d_{\ell-s,\ell}^{(j)}(\theta) \) about \( \theta_{\text{max}} \). However, for at least \( s = \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor \) (a very conservative limit), empirical numerical findings show that any deviation from the scalar setting is insignificant, so the parabolic scaling relation remains to hold.

We refer readers to Appendix A for further details.

Apart from setting \( |m| = \ell \), the directionality component of curvelets \( s \zeta_{\ell m} \), without loss of generality, is defined to satisfy the condition
\[
\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} |s \zeta_{\ell m}|^2 = 1,
\]
(13)

for all values of \( \ell \) for which \( s \zeta_{\ell m} \) are non-zero for at least one value of \( m \). Consequently, the directionality component reads
\[
s \zeta_{\ell m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} (-1)^m \delta_{\ell m}, & m < 0 \\ \delta_{\ell m}, & m \geq 0 \end{array} \right.,
\]
(14)

for all \( \ell \) of interest (with largest possible domain \( 0 < \ell < L \)) and \( |m| < L \). Here, \( \delta \) denotes the Kronecker delta function, and the symbol \( \tilde{\cdot} \) denotes that the quantity is associated to unrotated curvelets offset from the North pole (see Eqn. (8)). It is desirable to centre curvelets on the North pole, so that the Euler angles parameterising curvelet coefficients have their
standard interpretation, and their directionality component are given by the harmonic rotation
\begin{equation}
\zeta_{\ell m} = \sum_{n=-\ell}^{\ell} D_{m,n}^\ell(p^*) \zeta_{n0},
\end{equation}
where \( p^* \) is the Euler angle describing the rotation to the North pole and is specified subsequently.

Following [10]–[14], the scale-discretised curvelet kernel for scale \( j \) is constructed by
\begin{equation}
k^{(j)}(t) \equiv \kappa_\lambda(\lambda^{-j} t),
\end{equation}
which is generated from \( \kappa_\lambda(t) \equiv \sqrt{k_\lambda(\lambda^{-1} t) - k_\lambda(t)} \), has a compact support on \( t \in [\lfloor \lambda^{-1} \rfloor, \lceil \lambda^j \rceil] \), with \( \lfloor \cdot \rfloor \) and \( \lceil \cdot \rceil \) denoting the floor and ceiling functions respectively, and reaches a peak of unity at \( t = \lambda^j \). The functions \( k_\lambda \) is defined by
\begin{equation}
k_\lambda(t) \equiv \frac{\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dt'}{\lambda^j} s_\lambda^j(t')}{{\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dt'}{\lambda^j} s_\lambda^j(t')}} \ ,
\end{equation}
which is unity for \( t < \lambda^{-1} \), zero for \( t > 1 \), and is smoothly decreasing from unity to zero for \( t \in [\lambda^{-1}, 1] \). It is defined through the infinitely differentiable Schwartz function
\begin{equation}
s_\lambda(t) \equiv s\left( \frac{2\lambda - 1}{\lambda - 1} \left( t - \lambda^{-1} \right) - 1 \right),
\end{equation}
where
\begin{equation}
s(t) \equiv \begin{cases} 
e^{-\left(1-t^2\right)^{-1}}, & t \in [-1, 1] \\
0, & t \notin [-1, 1],
\end{cases}
\end{equation}
which has compact support \( t \in [\lambda^{-1}, 1] \), for dilation parameter \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+, \lambda > 1 \). Note that \( \lambda = 2 \) corresponds to a common dyadic transform (i.e. the mother curvelet is dilated by powers of two).

As noted earlier, without applying any rotation the constructed spin-\( s \) curvelets \( s \Psi_{\ell m}^{(j)} \) are not centred on the North pole but at colatitude
\begin{equation}
\vartheta^j = \cos^{-1}\left( \frac{-s}{\lambda^j} \right),
\end{equation}
cf. Eqn. (8), which lies in the range \([\pi/2, \pi]\). Explicitly, spin-0 curvelets are centred along the equator (-z-axis), and for higher-s curvelets up to \( s = \ell \), curvelets effectively move down to be centred around the South pole. Curvelets are therefore rotated to the North pole by a rotation with Euler angle \( \rho^* = (0, \vartheta^j, 0) \).

Scaling functions \( s \Phi \in L^2(S^2) \), which are required to probe the low-frequency content (approximation-information) of the signal not probed by curvelets, are defined explicitly in [11]–[14]. They are chosen to be axisymmetric since directional structure of the approximation-information of signal is typically not of interest. For completeness, we repeat their definition here, which reads
\begin{equation}
s \Phi_{\ell m} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2\ell + 1}{4\pi}} \sqrt{k_\lambda(\lambda^{-\ell} t)} \delta_{m0},
\end{equation}
where \( J_0 \) is the minimum scale to be probed by curvelets.

\[ \text{Fig. 1. Scalar scale-discretised curvelets on the sphere (}\lambda = 256, \lambda = 2\). Curvelets are rotated to be centred on the North pole. Notice that the characteristic curvelet parabolic scaling (i.e. width} \approx \text{length}^2 \text{)} \text{makes them highly anisotropic and directionally sensitive.} \]

\[ \text{Fig. 2. Spin-2 scale-discretised curvelets on the sphere (}\lambda = 256, \lambda = 2\). Curvelets are rotated to be centred on the North pole. Notice that the characteristic curvelet parabolic scaling (i.e. width} \approx \text{length}^2 \text{)} \text{makes them highly anisotropic and directionally sensitive.} \]

\[ \text{C. Curvelet Tiling And Properties} \]

Examples of spin-0 (scalar) and spin-2 curvelets rotated to the North pole of the sphere are plotted in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. We highlight that the spin value is a free parameter, allowing easy construction of curvelets of any spin \( s \in \mathbb{Z} \). Note also that as the scale \( j \) increases, curvelets become increasingly elongated and exhibit increasingly higher directional sensitivity and anisotropic features (for spin curvelets, notice their absolute value is directional). This feature, originated by the satisfaction of the parabolic scaling relation, is absent in directional scale-discretised wavelets.

The harmonic tiling of scale-discretised curvelets is schematically depicted in the right-most panel of Figure 3, along with the tilings of the the axisymmetric scale-discretised
wavelets [11](left-most panel) and the directional scale-discretised wavelets [12]–[14](middle panel) for comparison purposes. Axisymmetric wavelets probe signals in scale and position, but not in orientation, by tilting the line \( m = 0 \) only. Directional waves are capable of probing the directional features of signals, but do not exploit the geometric properties of structures in signals. Tiling therefore occurs up to a low azimuthal band-limit \( N < L \) (typically only even or odd \( m \) are non-zero to enforce various azimuthal symmetries). In contrast to axisymmetric and directional waves, curvelets probe not only spatial and spectral information, but also both directional and geometric contents of a signal. Such an ability is afforded by their specific design to render the parabolic scaling relation. This standard curvelet scaling relation is imposed by the tilting of curvelets along the corresponding lines.

\[ S_\ell m \equiv \langle 0_s f, \psi_\ell m \rangle = \langle s f, Y_\ell m \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad s \Psi_\ell m = \langle s \Psi(j), s Y_\ell m \rangle \]  

where \( s f_{\ell m} = \langle s f, s Y_{\ell m} \rangle \) and \( s \Psi(j) = \langle s \Psi(j), s Y_\ell m \rangle \) are the spin spherical harmonic coefficients of the function of interest and curvelet, respectively.

The Wigner coefficients of the wavelet coefficients defined on SO(3) are given by \( (W_s \Psi(j))_{\ell m} = (W_s \Psi(j), D_{mn}^s) \), which can be reduced to

\[ (W_s \Psi(j))_{\ell m} = \frac{8 \pi^2}{2\ell + 1} s f_{\ell m} s \Psi(j)^* \quad (25) \]

As such, the forward curvelet transform may be computed via an inverse Wigner transform.

The low-frequency content of the signal is captured by the scaling coefficients \( s \Phi \in L^2(S^2) \), which are given by the axisymmetric convolution

\[ W_s \Phi(\omega) = \langle s f, R_{\omega} s \Phi \rangle = \int_{S^2} d\Omega(\omega') s f(\omega') (R_{\omega'} s \Phi)(\omega) \quad (26) \]

where \( R_{\omega} = R(\phi, 0, 0) \). Since the scaling function is, by design, axisymmetric, its harmonic coefficients are non-zero for \( m = 0 \) only: \( s \Phi_{00} = \langle s \Phi, s Y_{\ell m} \rangle \). Decomposing the scaling coefficients into their harmonic expansion yields

\[ W_s \Phi(\omega) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} s f_{\ell m} s \Phi_{00}(\omega) \quad (27) \]

whose spherical harmonic coefficient is simply given by

\[ (W_s \Phi)_{\ell m} = \langle W_s \Phi, s Y_{\ell m} \rangle = \frac{4 \pi}{2\ell + 1} s f_{\ell m} s \Phi_{00}(\omega) \quad (28) \]

2) Curvelet Synthesis: Provided that the admissibility condition in Eqn. (22) is satisfied, the signal \( s f \) can be reconstructed exactly from its curvelet and scaling coefficients by

\[ s f(\omega) = \int_{S^2} d\Omega(\omega') W_s \Phi(\omega') (R_{\omega'} s \Phi)(\omega) + \sum_{j=0}^{J} \int_{SO(3)} d\rho(\rho) W_s \Psi(j)(\rho) (R_{\rho} s \Psi(j))(\omega) \quad (30) \]

where the invariant measure on SO(3) is \( d\rho(\rho) = \sin \beta d\alpha d\beta d\gamma \), and \( J_0 \) and \( J \) are, respectively, the minimum and maximum analysis depths considered, i.e. \( J_0 \leq j \leq J \). Eqn. (30) may be re-written as

\[ s f(\omega) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \left[ s f_{\ell m} \sum_{j=0}^{J} \left( W_s \Psi(j))_{\ell m} s \Psi(j)^* \right) s Y_{\ell m}(\omega) \quad (31) \]

[14]. As such, the inverse curvelet transform of Eqn. (30) may be computed via a forward Wigner transform.

### III. Exact and Efficient Computation

In this section, we devise a fast algorithm to compute the curvelet transform, which is theoretically exact by appealing to sampling theorems on the sphere [52], [53] and rotation group [54]. The computational complexity of the algorithm attains \( O(L^3 \log L) \), compared to the naive scaling of \( O(L^5) \). We
then discuss the implementation of this algorithm and evaluate its performances in terms of both numerical accuracy and computation time via simulations of random test signals on the sphere.

A. Fast Algorithm

Wigner transforms can be computed efficiently using the fast algorithm of [54] which reduces the complexity from \( O(L^6) \) to \( O(L^4) \). For (steerable) directional wavelet transforms, for which the wavelets have an azimuthal band-limit \( N \), the complexity is reduced to \( O(NL^3) \) and since typically \( N \ll L \), the overall complexity of \( O(L^3) \) is recovered. For curvelets, there is however no azimuthal band-limit so fast Wigner transforms can only yield \( O(L^4) \). Here we develop an algorithm that attains \( O(L^3 \log L) \). This is achieved by first rotating Wigner coefficients of curvelets (rather than the curvelets themselves) and by optimising the fast Wigner transform for curvelets. We present these algorithmic details next, followed by a description of additional optimisation that are exploited to further speed up our implementation.

1) Rotating Wigner coefficients: As highlighted in Sec. II-D, curvelets are centred on the North pole in our scale-discretised curvelet transform so that the Euler angles parameterising curvelet coefficients have their standard interpretation. However, our directly constructed curvelet \( s \tilde{\Psi} \) is naturally centred at a different position. A rotation is therefore needed: either by rotating the curvelets directly or by rotating the Wigner coefficients of the curvelet coefficients. By exploiting the unrotated curvelet’s property that \( s \tilde{\Psi}_{\ell n} = s \tilde{\Psi}_{\ell \ell} \delta_{\ell n} \) and hence

\[
(W_{s \tilde{\Psi}}^{j(i)})_{mn} = (W_{\tilde{\Psi}}^{j(i)})_{mn} \delta_{|n|\ell}, \tag{32}
\]

i.e. unrotated Wigner coefficients are non-zero for \(|n| = \ell \) only, we show in the following that rotating Wigner coefficients rather than curvelets leads to an additional optimisation.

The rotation of the Wigner coefficients for the forward transform proceeds as follows. The Wigner coefficients of unrotated curvelets (offset from the North pole) can be computed by \( W_{s \tilde{\Psi}}^{j(i)}(\rho) \equiv \langle s f, R_{s \rho} s \tilde{\Psi}^{j(i)} \rangle \), but we require

\[
W_{s \tilde{\Psi}}^{j(i)}(\rho) \equiv \langle s f, R_{s \rho} s \tilde{\Psi}^{j(i)} \rangle = \langle s f, R_{\rho} R_{s \rho} s \tilde{\Psi}^{j(i)} \rangle, \tag{33}
\]

where \( s \tilde{\Psi}^{j(i)}(\rho) = R_{\rho} s \tilde{\Psi}^{j(i)}(\rho) \) denotes curvelets centred on the North pole, and \( \rho^* = (0, -\vartheta, 0) \) is the Euler angle defining rotation to the North pole. It follows that

\[
W_{s \tilde{\Psi}}^{j(i)}(\rho) = \bar{W}_{s \tilde{\Psi}}^{j(i)}(\rho^*), \tag{34}
\]

where \( \rho^* \) describes the rotation formed by composing the rotations described by \( \rho \) and \( \rho^* \), i.e. \( R_{\rho^*} = R_{\rho} R_{\rho^*} \). Eqn. (34) then can be computed by

\[
(W_{s \tilde{\Psi}}^{j(i)})_{mn}^\ell = \sum_n \bar{(W_{s \tilde{\Psi}}^{j(i)})}_{mn}^\ell D_{kn}^\ell(\rho^*), \tag{35}
\]

where we have exploited the additive property of the Wigner \( D \)-functions and Eqn. (32).

For the inverse transform, unrotated Wigner coefficients, which are non-zero for \(|k| = \ell \) only, are computed by

\[
(W_{s \tilde{\Psi}}^{j(i)})_{mn}^\ell = \sum_{n=-\ell}^\ell (W_{s \tilde{\Psi}}^{j(i)})_{mn}^\ell D_{kn}^\ell(\rho^*), \tag{36}
\]

where inverse rotation described by the Euler angle \( \rho^* = (0, -\vartheta, 0) \) is performed, i.e. \( R_{\rho^*} = R_{\rho^*} \).

Notice from Eqn. (35) and Eqn. (37) that the computational complexity of the rotation is \( O(L^3) \) only. In contrast, if one chooses to rotate curvelets directly, non-zero rotated curvelets coefficients will span across the domain of \( n < L \), prohibiting additional optimisation enabled by computing only the non-zero coefficients.

2) Optimised Wigner Transform: It is not possible to directly optimise the fast algorithm to compute Wigner transforms presented in [54] (where fast spin spherical harmonic transforms are used for intermediate calculations), even with minor modifications, since the order of summations needs to be altered. Instead, we adapt this approach by interchanging the order of summations and performing all computations explicitly.

We adopt an equiangular sampling of the rotation group with sample positions given by

\[
\alpha_a = \frac{2\pi a}{2M-1}, \quad a \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2M-2\}, \tag{38}
\]

\[
\beta_b = \frac{\pi(2b+1)}{2L-1}, \quad b \in \{0, 1, \ldots, L-1\}, \tag{39}
\]

and

\[
\gamma_g = \frac{2\pi g}{2N-1}, \quad g \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2N-2\}. \tag{40}
\]

[54]. The forward Wigner transform, optimised for curvelets, proceeds as follows. Firstly, a Fourier transform is performed over Euler angles \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \):

\[
X_{mn}(\beta_b) = \sum_{a=-((M-1)/2)}^{(M-1)/2} \sum_{g=-((N-1)/2)}^{((N-1)/2)} W_{s \tilde{\Psi}}^{j(i)}(\alpha_a, \beta_b, \gamma_g) \times e^{-i(m\alpha_a+n\gamma_g)/(2M-1)(2N-1)}, \tag{41}
\]

for \( b \in \{0, \ldots, L-1\} \), \(|m|, |n| \leq \ell \), for which the computation can be reduced from \( O(L^5) \) to \( O(L^3 \log_2 L) \) by the fast Fourier transform (FFT), where \( O(L) = O(M) = O(N) \). Secondly, we employ the trick (e.g. [53], [54]) of extending \( X_{mn}(\beta_b) \) to the domain \([0, 2\pi]\) through the construction

\[
\overline{X}_{mn}(\beta_b) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m+n}X_{mn}(-\beta_b), & b \in \{L, \ldots, 2L-2\} \\ X_{mn}(\beta_b), & b \in \{L, \ldots, L-1\}, \end{cases} \tag{42}
\]

which can be computed for all arguments and indices in \( O(L^3) \). Subsequently, it is possible to compute the Fourier transform of \( \overline{X}_{mn}(\beta_b) \) in \( \beta \):

\[
\overline{X}_{mnm'} = \frac{1}{(2L-1)} \sum_{b=-L}^{L-1} \overline{X}_{mn}(\beta_b) e^{-im'b}, \tag{43}
\]
which can be computed for all indices in $O(L^3 \log_2 L)$ by FFTs. Thirdly, an exact quadrature for integration over $\beta$ follows by
\[
Y_{mn\ell} = (2\pi)^2 \sum_{m'=-L}^{L-1} X_{mnm'} w(m'' - m') \quad (44)
\]
where the weights are given by $w(m') = \int_0^1 d\beta \sin \beta \ e^{im'\beta}$, which can be evaluated analytically [53]. Eqn. (44) can be computed directly at $O(L^4)$ or through its dual Fourier representation in $O(L^3 \log_2 L)$ (noting it is essentially a discrete convolution; see [53]). Finally, Wigner coefficients can be computed by
\[
(\tilde{W}_s \psi^{(j)}(\ell))_{m\ell} = i^{n-m} \sum_{m'=-L}^{L-1} \Delta_{m'm} \Delta_{n'n} Y_{mn\ell} \quad (45)
\]
in $O(L^3)$, where $\Delta_{m'n} \equiv d_{m'n}^\ell (\pi/2)$ for $|m|, |n| \leq \ell$, and we have exploited Eqn. (32). The overall forward transform is dominated by the computation of Eqn. (41) or Eqn. (43) and thus scales as $O(L^3 \log_2 L)$.

The inverse Wigner transform, optimised for curvelets, proceeds as follows. Firstly, Fourier coefficients of the Wigner coefficients are computed by
\[
X_{mn\ell} = i^{n-m} \frac{2|n| + 1}{8\pi^2} \Delta_{m'm} \Delta_{n'n} (\tilde{W}_s \psi^{(j)}(\ell))_{m\ell} \quad (46)
\]
in $O(L^3)$, where we have exploited Eqn. (32).

Secondly, curvelet coefficients are computed from the Fourier representation of their Wigner representation by
\[
\tilde{W}_s \psi^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{n=-M}^{N-1} \sum_{m=-L}^{L-1} \sum_{m'=-(L-1)}^{L-1} X_{mn\ell} \ e^{i(mn + m'n' + n'\gamma)} \quad (47)
\]
for which the computation can be reduced from $O(L^6)$ to $O(L^3 \log_2 L)$ by FFTs. The samples of $\tilde{W}_s \psi^{(j)}$ computed over $\beta \in (\pi, 2\pi)$ are discarded. The overall inverse transform is dominated by the computation of Eqn. (47) and thus scales as $O(L^3 \log_2 L)$.

3) Additional optimisations: We construct a multi-resolution algorithm exploiting the reduced band-limit $L_j = \lambda_j^{+1}$ of the curvelets for scales $j < J-1$ such that the minimal number of samples on the sphere is used to reconstruct curvelet coefficients for each scale (see also [11], [14]). Consequently, only the finest curvelet scales at the largest $j \in \{J-1, J\}$ are computed at maximal resolution (corresponding to the band-limit of the signal) and thereby dominate the computation. The overall complexity of computing both forward and inverse wavelet transforms, including all scales, is thus $O(L^3 \log_2 L)$. In addition, for real signals, we exploit their conjugate symmetry which leads to a further reduction of computational and memory requirements by a factor of two.

B. Implementation

We have implemented the spherical curvelet transform in the existing S2LET code$^3$, and the fast Wigner transform algorithm optimised for curvelets in the existing S03 code$^4$. The S2LET package, which currently supports scale-discretised scalar and spin axisymmetric wavelet [11], directional wavelet [12–14] and ridgelet [15] transforms, relies on the SSHT code$^5$ [53] to compute spherical harmonic transforms, the S03 code$^6$ to compute Wigner transforms and the FFTW code$^7$ [57] to compute fast Fourier transforms. Its core algorithms are implemented in C, with also Matlab, Python, IDL and JAVA interfaces provided, and HEALPix maps are also supported.

C. Numerical Experiments

We evaluate the numerical accuracy and computation time of the scale-discretised curvelet transform implemented in the S2LET code. Random band-limited test signals are simulated on the sphere through the inverse spherical harmonic transform of their spherical harmonic coefficients $\phi_{ftm}$ with real and imaginary parts uniformly distributed in the interval [-1,1]. We then perform a forward curvelet transform, followed by an inverse transform to reconstruct the original signals from their curvelet coefficients. Three repeats of the complete transform are performed for each $L$, where $L = \{4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128\}$. All numerical experiments are performed on a workstation with 2×12 core 1.8 GHz Intel(R) Xeon(R) processors and
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Fig. 5. Plots of scaling coefficients and curvelet coefficients obtained from the Uffizi Gallery at various scales and orientations. Notice the ability of curvelets to extract oriented, spatially localised, scale-dependent features in the light probe images and their very high sensitivity to line and curvilinear structures.

256 GB of RAM (but parallelisation of the code has not been performed to fully exploit the multi-core architecture).

1) Numerical Accuracy: Numerical accuracy of a round-trip curvelet transform is measured by the maximum absolute error between the spherical harmonic coefficients of the original test signal \( s_{\ell m}^o \) and the recomputed values \( s_{\ell m}^r \), i.e., \( \epsilon = \max_{\ell, m} | s_{\ell m}^o - s_{\ell m}^r | \). Results of the numerical accuracy tests, averaged over three random test signals, are plotted in Fig. 4(a). We plot results for a scalar signal, although the accuracy for spin signals is identical since the spin number is simply a parameter of the transform. The numerical accuracy of the round-trip transform is close to machine precision and found empirically to scale as \( O(L^2) \).

2) Computation Time: Computation time is measured by the round-trip computation time taken to perform a forward and inverse curvelet transform. Results of the computation time tests, averaged over three random test signals, are plotted in Fig. 4(b). We plot results for a scalar signal, although the computation time for signals of different spin numbers is identical. The computational complexity of the curvelet transform is found empirically to scale closely to the theoretical prediction of \( O(L^3 \log_2 L) \).

IV. ILLUSTRATION

In this section we present a simple application and analyse a spherical image of a natural scene with scale-discretised curvelets. We show that the spherical curvelet decomposition is sparse, with few large curvelet coefficients and many small coefficients. The ability of curvelets to represent natural spherical images efficiently is demonstrated using the light probe image of the Uffizi Gallery in Florence [58], which contains substantial line and curvilinear structures. For simple illustrative purposes, the image is band-limited to \( L = 256 \) and the image intensity is clipped and rescaled before the curvelet transform is performed. Plots of the scaling coefficients and curvelet coefficients are shown in Fig. 5. These plots show clearly that curvelets extract oriented, spatially localised, scale-dependent features in the image and are highly sensitive to edge-like features.

To compare the performance of curvelets and directional wavelets, both transforms are applied with the same parameters and the directional wavelets have azimuthal limit set to \( N = L \) for fair comparison with curvelets. We plot the histogram of curvelet and directional wavelet coefficients for scale \( j = 6 \) of the Uffizi image in Fig. 6. It is apparent that curvelets yield a sparser representation than directional wavelets, where not only are there many small curvelet coefficients and few large coefficients, but the decay in number of coefficients is much faster than that of directional wavelets. This sparseness of curvelet representations of natural spherical image can be exploited in practical applications such as denoising, inpainting, and data compression, for example.

V. CONCLUSION

In this article, we construct the second-generation curvelet transform that lives natively on the sphere. This curvelet transform exhibits the typical curvelet parabolic scaling relation for efficient representation of highly anisotropic signal content. It does not exhibit blocking artefacts due to special partitioning, does not rely on ridgelet transform, and admits exact inversion for signals defined on the sphere. Scale-discretised curvelets are constructed based on the general spin scale-discretised
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wavelet framework, which supports both scalar and spin settings. Fast algorithms to compute the exact forward and inverse curvelet transform are devised and are implemented in the existing S2LET code package, which leverages a novel sampling theorem on the rotation group whose implementation is further optimised for curvelets. Through simulations, we demonstrate that the numerical accuracy of our transforms is close to machine precision and the computational speed scales as $O(L^3 \log_2 L)$, compared to the naive scaling of $O(L^5)$. Our implementation of the curvelet transform is made publicly available.

We illustrate the effectiveness of spherical curvelets for decomposing images with substantial line and curvilinear structures using an example natural spherical image. The curvelet decomposition is found to be sparser than the directional wavelet analysis in this example. This sparseness can be exploited in applications to data compression and signal processing (e.g. to mitigate noise or handle incomplete data-set). More generally, the curvelets developed in this paper may find wide applications to transform scalar or spin signals acquired on the sphere where anisotropic and geometric structures in the signal content are of interest. For example, curvelets could be applied to identify and characterise (granules and) sunspots of the Sun and to study whole-sky polarisation signals, which are crucial in understanding cosmic magnetic fields ubiquitous in the Universe.

In addition, for data sets where different signal characteristics are targeted at different scales, a hybrid use of curvelets and the other type of wavelets, where curvelets are tilled at some scales and the axisymmetric or directional wavelets are tilled at others, can be considered. This hybrid transform, or the curvelet transform, may also be extended to the three-dimensional ball (i.e. solid sphere formed by augmenting the sphere with radial line) [59], [60]. Such tools could be used to study a diverse range of data-sets defined on the ball, such as cosmological 21-cm tomographic data, which is an important tool for understanding what happened when the first stars and first black holes formed and how the Universe transited from almost featureless to structure-filled as seen today. They are also important for weak gravitational lensing studies, in which the signal is a spin-2 field on the ball, that can be used to test the nature of dark energy and dark matter.

### Appendix A

#### PARABOLIC SCALING OF SPIN CURVELETS

As noted in Sec. II-B, due to the asymmetric property of $d_{\ell', s}(\theta)$ about $\theta_{\text{max}}$, as $s \to (\ell - 1)$, the parabolic scaling relation which has been shown to hold for cases $s = 0$ and $s \ll \ell$ may start to break down. To investigate at which stage the quantum number $s$ the offset from parabolic scaling becomes important, we evaluate the absolute percentage difference between $\text{FWHM}^{ \ell/2}_{\theta=0}$ and $\text{FWHM}^{s=0}_{\theta=0}$ at a set of test values $\ell = 2^p$ where $p$ runs from 1 to 8. Our empirical results are plotted in Fig. 7, from which one can see that for up to $s \approx \ell/2$ in all test-$\ell$ cases, $\text{FWHM}^{s=0}_{\theta=0}$ (i.e. the spin setting) remains very close to $\text{FWHM}^{0}_{\theta=0}$, with percentage error $< 0.05\%$. Hence, $s \approx [\ell/2]$ can serve as a very conservative limit for which the typical curvelet parabolic scaling relation remains to hold. Nevertheless, even for $s$ approaching $\ell$, the parabolic scaling relation holds to good approximation.
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