Onto better TRAILs for cancer treatment
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Tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL), also known as Apo-2 ligand (Apo2L), is a member of the TNF cytokine superfamily. By cross-linking TRAIL-Receptor (TRAIL-R) 1 or TRAIL-R2, also known as death receptors 4 and 5 (DR4 and DR5), TRAIL has the capability to induce apoptosis in a wide variety of tumor cells while sparing vital normal cells. The discovery of this unique property among TNF superfamily members laid the foundation for testing the clinical potential of TRAIL-R-targeting therapies in the cancer clinic. To date, two of these therapeutic strategies have been tested clinically: (i) recombinant human TRAIL and (ii) antibodies directed against TRAIL-R1 or TRAIL-R2. Unfortunately, however, these TRAIL-R agonists have basically failed as most human tumors are resistant to apoptosis induction by them. It recently emerged that this is largely due to the poor agonistic activity of these agents. Consequently, novel TRAIL-R-targeting agents with increased bioactivity are currently being developed with the aim of rendering TRAIL-based therapies more active. This review summarizes these second-generation novel formulations of TRAIL and other TRAIL-R agonists, which exhibit enhanced cytotoxic capacity toward cancer cells, thereby providing the potential of being more effective when applied clinically than first-generation TRAIL-R agonists.
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Facts

- On its discovery, TRAIL was described to be capable of inducing apoptosis selectively in cancer cells. However, soon afterwards it was found that many cancer cell lines as well as primary cancer cells are either intrinsically TRAIL-resistant, or become resistant upon TRAIL treatment.
- The results from TRAIL using clinical trials have been disappointing, showing little antitumor efficacy. All these clinical trials have used a soluble form of the protein, which is known to be rather unstable and to have poor physicochemical properties.
- TRAIL has four receptors that are expressed at the plasma membrane, of which two can trigger apoptosis. Little is known about the relative contribution or differential roles of these two pro-apoptotic TRAIL receptors (TRAIL-Rs).
- Physiologically, TRAIL is expressed as a transmembrane protein. This fact may be exploitable therapeutically since membrane-bound as well as artificially cross-linked TRAIL is by several orders of magnitude more active than conventional soluble trimeric TRAIL.
- New TRAIL formulations with increased bioactivity due to improved stability and/or cross-linking efficiency have been developed. Besides, new approaches trying to combine inherent TRAIL pro-apoptotic ability with delivery systems based on nanoparticles are also being explored.

Open Questions

- Could new forms of TRAIL or other TRAIL-R agonist formulations with increased bioactivity, improved pharmacokinetic and targeting properties contribute to overcoming TRAIL resistance without causing systemic toxicity?
- Could such novel TRAIL-R-targeting biotherapeutics exert improved synergy with known TRAIL-sensitizing agents, over TRAIL-R agonists used clinically to date?

Despite remarkable advances in understanding the biology of cancer and the development of novel diagnostic and therapeutic strategies, cancer still remains one of the major causes of death. To date, in addition to surgical resection of the tumor, conventional radio- and chemotherapy constitute the central pillars of cancer treatment. These therapies aim to limit proliferation and/or induce the death of cancer cells. However, they mostly lack cancer specificity and, therefore, also damage normal, healthy tissues resulting in often severe side effects that constitute the dose-limiting toxicities. In addition, many cancers acquire resistance to these therapies, rendering them ineffective in consecutive treatment rounds. Hence, during the past decades great efforts have been made to develop new therapeutic approaches, aiming to improve the specific targeting of cancer cells and to overcome resistance to current therapies.¹,²
The better understanding of tumor biology, tumor immunology and how cancer cells interact with the tumor microenvironment, sparked the development of cancer immune-therapeutics as well as so-called targeted cancer therapeutics. The identification of the tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL), also referred to as Apo-2 ligand (Apo2L), and most importantly, the discovery of TRAIL’s capacity to kill cancer cells while sparing all the vital normal cells, appeared to promise a promising step forward in the development of targeted anticancer therapies. TRAIL belongs to the TNF superfamily (SF) of cytokines and is capable of inducing apoptosis in cells by binding to either of two cognate death receptors (DRs), TRAIL-R1/DR4 (ref. 9) and TRAIL-R2/DR5. Physiologically, TRAIL has been implicated in the function of cytotoxic effector cells and the homeostasis of the lymphoid compartment by being a mediator of activation-induced cell death (AICD) in effector immune cells.

Given the cancer-selective apoptosis-inducing potential of TRAIL and the fact that TRAIL-R1 and, even more so, TRAIL-R2 are often highly expressed in different malignancies, the use of TRAIL or other agonists for TRAIL-R1/R2 for cancer therapy appeared an attractive concept. Consequently, TRAIL-R agonists were developed for clinical application. The results of the clinical studies performed with these first-generation TRAIL-R agonists so far have been rather disappointing, however, with limited patient benefit despite promising pre-clinical results. The fact that many human tumors are partially or completely resistant to monotherapy with TRAIL and other TRAIL-R agonists likely contributed to the limited therapeutic activity observed in these studies. However, another—perhaps decisive—factor for the lack of clinical efficacy of the specific TRAIL-R agonists that have been tested clinically most likely is that their agonistic capacity was simply not sufficiently potent. This is exemplified by a recent study in which it was shown that, only when used in combination, two of the above-mentioned clinically developed TRAIL-R agonists exerted virtually the same agonistic activity as isoleucine zipper-TRAIL (iz-TRAIL), a highly active form of TRAIL that has in-use for some time and is based on the original leucine zipper form of TRAIL (LZ-TRAIL) used in the study in which TRAIL’s tumor-selective apoptosis-inducing potential was discovered, importantly, in the absence of systemic toxicity. Unfortunately, this fact went largely unnoticed and because of safety concerns with certain more potent forms of TRAIL, several TRAIL-R agonists with, as it turned out, insufficient agonistic activity and consequently pro-apoptotic potency were developed for clinical use. Yet, the fact that to date no sufficiently potent TRAIL-R agonist that lacks systemic toxicity has been clinically validated, has led to the development of novel formulations of TRAIL and other TRAIL-R agonists with improved bioactivity, with the aim to overcome TRAIL resistance in combination with improved sensitization strategies and patient-selection criteria.

This review summarizes the main novel formulations of such TRAIL-R agonists that are currently being tested or developed to improve biological attributes such as stability, delivery, targeting and cytotoxic activity against tumor cells as well as their potential for applications in cancer therapy.

TRAIL signaling

Physiologically, TRAIL is expressed as a type 2 transmembrane protein that can be cleaved, resulting in the release of a 24 kDa extracellular portion comprising amino acids 114–281 of the protein. The C-terminal extracellular domain of TRAIL shares high homology with other members of the TNF SF and is composed of two anti-parallel β-sheets. As shown by the crystal structure of TRAIL interacting with TRAIL-R2, TRAIL forms a trimer and each receptor molecule interacts with the crevice formed by two monomers of the trimer. Thereby, the TRAIL trimer can engage three receptors simultaneously. Interestingly, unlike other TNF SF members, the ligand trimer appears to be stabilized by an internal zinc atom, which interacts non-covalently with three cysteine residues, one from each TRAIL monomer. This interaction is thought to be crucial for the stability, solubility and bioactivity of trimeric TRAIL.

TRAIL can bind to four transmembrane receptors: TRAIL-R1, TRAIL-R2, TRAIL-R3, also known as decoy receptor 1 (DcR1) and TRAIL-R4 (DcR2), as well as to the soluble receptor osteoprotegerin (OPG). Among them, only TRAIL-R1 and TRAIL-R2 are able to trigger apoptosis as TRAIL-R3, TRAIL-R4 and OPG lack the functional cytoplasmic death domain (DD) that is required for apoptosis induction. On the basis of overexpression experiments, TRAIL-R3 and TRAIL-R4 have been suggested to act as decoy receptors that inhibit apoptosis induction by TRAIL as a consequence of ligand scavenging. In addition, TRAIL-R4 has been proposed to be capable of inhibiting TRAIL-induced apoptosis by forming ligand-independent inactive complexes with TRAIL-R2 or the induction of pro-survival pathways such as NF-κB. However, there is still controversy concerning the physiological role of TRAIL-R3 and TRAIL-R4, and their function might depend on the cell type. For example, and in contrast to the mentioned studies, these receptors have also been described not to function as DcRs in the human hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines Hep3b and a TRAIL-resistant variant of HepG2 (HepG2-TR).

TRAIL triggers the extrinsic apoptosis pathway upon binding of the TRAIL trimer to TRAIL-R1 and/or TRAIL-R2, resulting in receptor trimerization, which in turn leads to recruitment of the adaptor protein Fas-associated DD (FADD) via homotypic DD-DD interaction between the DDs of the ligand cross-linked receptors and FADD, respectively. FADD, in turn, recruits pro-caspase-8 and pro-caspase-10 via homotypic interactions of death-effector domains (DED) present both in FADD and caspase-8 and -10, respectively. This multi-protein complex formed by TRAIL-DRs, FADD and caspase-8/10 is called death-inducing signaling complex (DISC). On recruitment to the DISC, the pro-caspases-8 and -10 form homodimers. This induces a conformational change that exposes their proteolytically active sites, resulting in auto-activation and subsequent cleavage of additional pro-caspase-8 and -10 molecules leading to full caspase activation at the DISC.

TRAIL can activate both branches of the apoptosis pathway by caspase-8-mediated cleavage and activation of the effector caspase-3 and the BH3-only protein Bid. In so-called type I cells, cleavage and activation of caspase-3 by activated...
caspase-8 is sufficient to induce apoptosis, whereas in type II cells, activation of the mitochondrial pathway is required for apoptosis induction as a consequence of TRAIL DISC activation.\textsuperscript{56,57} The latter is triggered by caspase-8-mediated cleavage of Bid, which results in the formation of truncated Bid (tBid) as the active fragment of this protein.\textsuperscript{58–61} Subsequently, tBid activates the mitochondrial pathway by enabling the pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family members Bax and Bak to insert in the mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM), resulting in MOM permeabilization (MOMP) and release of cytochrome C and Smac/DIABLO (second mitochondrial activator of caspases/direct inhibitor of apoptosis-binding protein with low pI)\textsuperscript{62,63} from the mitochondrial intermembrane space into the cytosol.\textsuperscript{64,65}

Although TRAIL-R1 and TRAIL-R2 bear high structural similarity and both are able to trigger apoptosis upon TRAIL-induced cross-linking, functional differences between them have been reported. First, TRAIL-R2 has higher affinity for TRAIL than TRAIL-R1.\textsuperscript{56} Yet, higher affinity does not necessarily result in enhanced DISC activation as although TRAIL-R2 can be engaged by the soluble ligand, this interaction only triggers a comparably weak DISC formation.\textsuperscript{67} This result supports the notion that TRAIL-R2 may require further cross-linking of soluble TRAIL (sTRAIL), whereas stimulation of TRAIL-R1 by sTRAIL appears to be able to trigger apoptosis independently of further cross-linking.\textsuperscript{68,69} However, recently it was shown that oligomerized TRAIL versions can also activate TRAIL-R1 more efficiently than sTRAIL.\textsuperscript{70} Altogether, it seems clear that TRAIL presents a much stronger activity when it is presented in its transmembrane form than their soluble counterparts, and this enhanced activity is directly linked to its ability to cluster and arrange their specific receptors in supramolecular structures. In line with this, several studies showed that clustering of two trimers was sufficient to improve their activity to optimal levels for the other members of the TNF family ligands.\textsuperscript{71,72} The requirement for oligomerization for optimal agonistic activity has also been proposed for the other members of the TNF SF, including CD95L (also known as FasL or APO-1L) whose ability to induce apoptosis is dramatically increased (up to 1,000-fold) on clustering of soluble trimers.\textsuperscript{73,74} Once clustered, the receptors adopt a supramolecular hexagonal organization, similar to a ‘honeycomb’ structure.\textsuperscript{75} In line with this, several studies showed that dimerization of two trimers was sufficient to improve their activity to optimal or near-optimal levels.\textsuperscript{71,72} The clustering of DRs achieved thereby most likely facilitates and stabilizes DISC assembly.\textsuperscript{75–77}

Along these lines, a new way to improve sTRAIL bioactivity by enhancing TRAIL-R2 clustering was described very recently.\textsuperscript{27,78} In these studies, sTRAIL was used in combination with the TRAIL-R2-specific agonistic antibody AMG-655/Conatumumab. Of note, both sTRAIL and AMG-655 had been developed to be used individually as novel anticancer biotherapeutics and had already been tested in clinical trials as discussed in more detail below. Co-administration of AMG-655 and sTRAIL was able to greatly enhance the inherent ability of sTRAIL to activate TRAIL-R2, even sensitizing certain cancer cell lines that are resistant to sTRAIL. This synergistic effect was due to secondary TRAIL-R2 cross-linking exerted by the antibody, which acted in cooperation with the normal engagement of TRAIL-R2 exerted by sTRAIL. In a similar way, a recent work has used another specific TRAIL-R2 antibody in combination with sTRAIL, obtaining the same synergistic effect.\textsuperscript{67}

It is, however, still largely unresolved what the relative contribution of the two individual TRAIL-DRs to apoptosis induction in a given cancer is. Although TRAIL-R1 has been described to mediate cell death in chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells, acute myelogenous leukemia cells and pancreatic tumors,\textsuperscript{79–82} TRAIL-R2 appears to be the main contributor to apoptosis induction in several other epithelial-derived cancers.\textsuperscript{83,84} This differential pro-apoptotic performance of TRAIL-R1 and TRAIL-R2 depending on the cell/cancer type may be exploitable therapeutically by specifically targeting the receptor that is preponderant at inducing apoptosis in the particular cancer type in question. Such targeting may increase the specific cytotoxic effect by sparing non-apoptotic interactions with other TRAIL-Rs. Apart from antibody-based biotherapeutics, such receptor-specific TRAIL constructs can be generated by inducing point mutations in residues within the TRAIL sequence that are required for interaction with particular TRAIL-Rs and not others. A number of such TRAIL variants have been devised and have become valuable tools for assessing specific roles of the different TRAIL-Rs, and, moreover, have recently been shown to bear the potential of improving the efficacy of specifically activating TRAIL-R1 and TRAIL-R2, respectively.\textsuperscript{45,80,83,85–87}

TRAIL-induced apoptosis is tightly regulated at different stages to prevent excessive cell death in normal cells. These mechanisms are exploited by tumor cells to evade TRAIL-induced apoptosis. At the level of expression of the TRAIL-Rs it has been suggested that, as mentioned above, the non-apoptotic receptors TRAIL-R3, -R4 and/or OPG may modulate sensitivity to TRAIL. At the DISC level, the main regulator protein is cellular FLICE-Like Inhibitory Protein (cFLIP), that closely resembles caspase-8 but lacks the protease activity required for apoptosis induction.\textsuperscript{88,89} Two main variants of cFLIP are expressed on the protein level: a short isoform (cFLIP\textsubscript{S}) and a long isoform (cFLIP\textsubscript{L}).\textsuperscript{90} Both cFLIP isoforms contain two DEDs that are structurally similar to the DEDs present in the N-terminal portion of pro-caspase-8 and -10 and allow recruitment to the DISC. The cFLIP\textsubscript{S} isoform can inhibit caspase-8 activation in a dominant-negative manner by competing with it for binding to FADD. The role of cFLIP\textsubscript{L} is, however, more complex and seemingly depends on the ratio between caspase-8 and cFLIP\textsubscript{L}.

91–93 Although cFLIP\textsubscript{L} was first reported to act as an anti-apoptotic protein in a manner similar to cFLIP\textsubscript{S},\textsuperscript{94} later studies demonstrated that the cFLIP\textsubscript{L}/caspase-8 heterodimer, apart from retaining enzymatic activity, also displays an enhanced and more localized activity toward certain substrates when compared with the caspase-8 homodimer, somehow modulating caspase-8 substrate specificity.\textsuperscript{94–96} In fact, the activity of the FLIP\textsubscript{L}/caspase-8 heterodimer is required to prevent necroptosis.\textsuperscript{91–93,97,98} Nevertheless, it should be noted that, when expressed at high levels, cFLIP\textsubscript{L} can also completely prevent DR-induced apoptosis. Several studies have demonstrated that cancer cells exploit overexpression of cFLIP to evade TRAIL-induced apoptosis\textsuperscript{99–101} and, consequently,
downregulation of cFLIP may sensitize certain cancers to TRAIL-induced apoptosis.\textsuperscript{46,102–105}

Another important checkpoint in the apoptotic cascade is exerted by XIAP (X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein), a molecule that can bind caspases 3, 7 and 9, thereby inhibiting their pro-apoptotic activity.\textsuperscript{106} Several additional mechanisms of different nature can modulate TRAIL signaling. Post-translational modifications such as O-glycosylation, which promotes ligand-stimulated clustering of TRAIL-DRs and recruitment/activation of procaspase-8,\textsuperscript{107} ubiquitination regulating the full activation of caspase-8 upon TRAIL stimulation\textsuperscript{108} and endocytosis of the DISC upon TRAIL binding\textsuperscript{109} are just a few examples of several mechanisms proposed to be implicated in the modulation of TRAIL signaling.

Apart from inducing apoptosis, TRAIL can also trigger non-apoptotic signaling such as necroptosis and the activation of pro-inflammatory pathways (via NF-κB, Akt, MAPK and JNK activation). Induction of these non-apoptotic pathways depends on the cell type and is often triggered in scenarios when apoptosis induction is inhibited.\textsuperscript{40,110–112} The induction of pathways resulting in gene activation has been suggested to be mediated by the formation of a secondary complex following DISC activation. This secondary complex also contains the DISC components FADD, caspase-8 and cFLIP\textsuperscript{113} and, additionally, recruits receptor-interacting protein 1 (RIP1), TNF receptor-associated factor 2 (TRAF2) and the NF-κB essential modulator (NEMO).\textsuperscript{114} Initially, TRAIL-induced activation of pro-inflammatory pathways was proposed to be mainly a mechanism to negatively regulate apoptosis induction by TRAIL. However, activation of these pathways, such as NF-κB, AKT and MAP kinases can also enhance the malignancy of cancer cells by increasing their proliferation, migration, invasion and/or metastasis.\textsuperscript{115–117}

In addition, both exogenous TRAIL and FasL were shown to induce proliferation and to promote migration in KRAS-mutated cancer cells upon external administration.\textsuperscript{118} These findings led to the recent discovery of a pro-invasive role for endogenous TRAIL in KRAS-mutated cells. In these cells, autocrine endogenous TRAIL stimulates cancer cell-expressed TRAIL-R2 to activate Rac1 which, in turn, activates PI3K to induce cell migration.\textsuperscript{119} Interestingly, activation of this signaling pathway was independent of TRAIL-R2’s DD but instead required its membrane proximal domain (MPD).\textsuperscript{119}

### TRAIL-R agonists as anticancer therapeutics

So far, two main TRAIL-DR-targeting therapeutic strategies have being pursued in clinical trials: (i) a recombinant form of human sTRAIL (Apo2L.0 or AMG-951/Dulanermin) and (ii) agonistic antibodies that specifically target TRAIL-R1 or TRAIL-R2.\textsuperscript{25} Although these TRAIL-R agonists have been shown to be safe and well tolerated in patients, their respective anticancer activities have been largely disappointing\textsuperscript{24–26} (extensively reviewed by Lemke \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{25} and Holland\textsuperscript{120}). The fact that most primary tumor cells are intrinsically resistant to TRAIL or may acquire resistance during the course of treatment\textsuperscript{121–125} has most likely contributed to this failure.

In addition to these considerations, non-apoptotic signaling induced by TRAIL has been shown to be exploited by tumor cells, at least in certain cases, to their own advantage. For example, it has been shown that TRAIL promotes the development of liver metastasis in a pancreatic adenocarcinoma xenograft model, pointing toward potentially harmful effects of monotherapy with TRAIL-R agonists.\textsuperscript{116} In this context, it is noteworthy to mention that TRAIL-R1 expression positively correlates with tumor grade in patients with breast cancer.\textsuperscript{126,127}

To avoid the undesired pro-tumorigenic effects of monotherapy with TRAIL-R agonists in TRAIL-resistant cancers, it has been proposed to combine them with sensitizing agents (reviewed in Lemke \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{25}). However, regardless of the promising results obtained with such combinatorial approaches, careful evaluation, both pre-clinically and in early clinical testing, is needed as it may bear the risk of sensitizing a vital normal cell type to TRAIL-induced cell death.\textsuperscript{28–30,46}

It is now clear that, besides adding more potent sensitizing agents to a TRAIL-R-agonist-comprising therapy, improvement of the agonistic capacities of TRAIL-R agonists is imperative to render TRAIL-based therapies effective. To enhance the therapeutic potential of TRAIL, different shortcomings of currently used TRAIL-R agonists need to be addressed. In the specific case of Apo2L.0/AMG-951/Dulanermin, the disappointing results obtained in clinical trials are most likely due to the combination of its short plasma half-life and rapid clearance from circulation\textsuperscript{128,129} with its limited ability to cluster TRAIL-DRs. It should be noted that antibodies directed to TRAIL-DRs have a comparably long half-life in serum, whereas their \textit{in vivo} activity is hampered by the fact that they require external cross-linking to induce effective TRAIL-DR clustering and, hence, TRAIL-DR-mediated apoptosis.\textsuperscript{130,131} To overcome these shortcomings and pharmacological downsides, novel TRAIL formulations have been developed with the aim to increase the efficiency of TRAIL-DR-targeting therapies (Figure 1).

These novel formulations improve the activity of TRAIL-R agonists by tackling the following two main aspects: (i) increasing stability and valency and (ii) enhancing cancer-specific delivery. A wide variety of experimental approaches are currently engineered to address these aspects, resulting in novel versions of TRAIL-R agonists with promising attributes, which will hopefully prove useful to cancer treatment in the future.

### Increasing the stability of TRAIL

The correct conformation and stability of TRAIL has a crucial role for its biological activity since trimerization of TRAIL monomers is pivotal to induce TRAIL-R clustering on the cell surface. The physical and chemical changes can, however, result in the collapse of TRAIL’s trimeric structure.\textsuperscript{31,33,34,132} Furthermore, TRAIL monomers can easily form disulfide-linked dimers that impair its apoptotic potential by up to 90-fold.\textsuperscript{34}

The first recombinant versions of TRAIL comprised the extracellular portion of the protein or its TNF homology domain (THD) with an N-terminally added poly-Histidine tag (His-TRAIL\textsuperscript{8}) or FLAG epitope tag (FLAG-TRAIL\textsuperscript{1}). These tags were added merely to facilitate the purification process. Noteworthy, FLAG-TRAIL alone was poorly active, and
required further cross-linking by the FLAG-specific anti-body M2.\(^7\) These constructs rendered promising results \textit{in vitro} and also provided promising \textit{in vivo} safety profiles in the different animal models, mainly rodents and nonhuman primates.\(^8,31,133\) However, both His-TRAIL and cross-linked FLAG-TRAIL were capable of killing freshly isolated primary human hepatocytes (PHH) \textit{in vitro}.\(^30,134,135\) Most likely, the main reason for this hepatotoxicity was the formation of aberrant supramolecular aggregates owing to the interactions between the added tags. In particular, in the case of His-TRAIL, metal analysis showed an abnormally low molar ratio between zinc and the TRAIL trimer, implying that anomalous supramolecular structures may have formed.\(^31\) These findings suggested that TRAIL trimer stability may impact hepatotoxicity \textit{in vivo} and turned the focus on potential liver toxicity of systemic TRAIL administration.

**Figure 1**  TRAIL formulations with increased bioactivity for cancer treatment. Different formulations of TRAIL using distinct experimental approaches have been developed to increase its therapeutic potential. These formulations are mainly based in fusion proteins with single-chain variable antibody fragments (scFv), conjugation with nanoparticles and, cell-based methods to express and/or secrete Apo2L/TRAIL. The main properties improved with these highly bioactive formulations are the increase of the molecule stability, tumor targeting and the possibility of combination with other antitumor agents in a unique formulation. References: 1: leucine zipper-TRAIL;\(^142\) 2: Isoleucine zipper-TRAIL homotrimer;\(^7\) 3: PEG-HZ-TRAIL;\(^100,152\) 4: APG350;\(^150\) 5: Fn14-TRAIL;\(^102,113\) 6: TRAIL HSA-NPs;\(^153,7\) 7: PEG-TRAIL microspheres;\(^152,159\) 8: TRAIL-LP\(_3\);\(^173,174,178\) 10: PEG-TRAIL/Dox microspheres;\(^151\) 11: TRAIL/Dox HSA-NPs;\(^157\) 12: magnetic NPs-TRAIL;\(^175\) 13: LUV-TRAIL;\(^67,171,212\) 14: LUV-Apo2L\(_D\);\(^213\) 15: sTRAIL-targeted stealth liposome;\(^179\) 16: TRAIL/\(_D\)ox HSA-NPs;\(^168\) 17: immuno-LipoTRAIL;\(^177\) 18: Anti-CD3:TRAIL K12:TRAIL;\(^198\) 19: leukocytes coated with LUV-TRAIL-ES;\(^178\) 20: granulocytes coated with CLL1:TRAIL;\(^202\) 21: MBOs4:TRAIL;\(^159\) 22: scFv425:TRAIL;\(^185\) 23: scFvCD19:sTRAIL;\(^185\) 24: sTRAIL-expressing E. coli;\(^215\) 25: scFvCD33:sTRAIL;\(^186\) 26: Anti-MCSP:TRAIL;\(^186\) 27: scFv-ES2:scTRAIL;\(^211\) 28: scFvG28:TRAILmutR\(_s\);\(^185\) 29: scFvCD70:TRAILmutR\(_s\);\(^70\) 30: RGD-L-TRAIL;\(^203\) 31: CD40ed:TRAILed;\(^214\) 32: MSC. scFvCD20-sTRAIL;\(^197\) 33: ANG-CLP/PTX/pEGFP-hTRAIL;\(^176\) 34: sTRAIL-expressing E. coli DH5\(_\alpha\).\(^215\)
The only recombinant form of TRAIL approved for use in clinical trials to date has been an untagged version of the molecule comprising residues 114–281 of TRAIL. This version, known as the aforementioned Apo2L.0 or AMG-951/Dulanermin, appeared to be both active and safe as it worked well in several xenotransplant cancer models,8,64,128,133 but did not kill freshly isolated PPH and was well tolerated by cynomolgus monkeys and chimpanzees.30,31,128,136 Consequently, Apo2L.0/Dulanermin was tested in the cancer patients where it indeed proved to be safe, though also disappointingly inactive.25,26,137–141 Apo2L.0/Dulanermin is rather unstable, presenting low pharmacokinetic profiles, especially concerning its serum half-life with an extended distribution half-life (t1/2α) of only 3–5 min and an elimination half-life (t1/2β) of 20 min.8,128 In addition, as previously mentioned, Apo2L.0/Dulanermin mainly induces activation of TRAIL-R1 and appears to be unable to potently activate TRAIL-R2.58,69

To address these issues, improved versions of TRAIL have been engineered to enhance its stability while retaining the proper trimer structure. The first approach that, interestingly, even predated the engineering of Apo2L.0/Dulanermin, was the inclusion of a specific trimerization domain, a modified leucine zipper motif (LZ-TRAIL)8 followed by the use of an isoleucine zipper (iz-TRAIL)30 at the N terminus of the extracellular domain. The addition of these trimerization motifs achieves robust stabilization of the TRAIL trimer by specific interactions between the modified leucine or isoleucine zipper domains that form stable triple helices. These first high-activity recombinant forms of TRAIL were significantly more active than Apo2L.0/Dulanermin, both in vitro and in vivo, and also exhibited better pharmacokinetic profiles in rodents with an extended distribution half-life (t1/2α) of 1.3 h and an elimination half-life (t1/2β) of 4.8 h. Most importantly, however, these proteins showed neither specific toxicity on PPH ex vivo nor systemic toxicity in vivo in mice.8,142

More recently, Berg et al.143 developed a new highly stable version of TRAIL by the incorporation of the tenascin-C (TNC) oligomerization domain (TNC-TRAIL), which stabilized the trimeric conformation in a similar fashion to LZ-TRAIL and iz-TRAIL. Besides, several groups recently developed novel versions of highly stable TRAIL trimers that build upon a single-chain TRAIL (scTRAIL) trimer.144,145 Contrarily to ‘classic’ approaches in which TRAIL is expressed from a monomer-encoding cDNA, scTRAIL is expressed as a single amino-acid sequence encoding a TRAIL trimer as three consecutive extracellular TRAIL domains that are fused in a head-to-tail configuration, inserting a short linker between each domain. Hence, once correctly folded, scTRAIL already forms an active TRAIL trimer, reducing the risk of unspecific aggregation of the monomers. The common feature of these constructs is their more stable trimerization, which enhances their pro-apoptotic potential so that they are even able to kill some of the cancer cell lines that are resistant to the less-active Apo2L.0/Dulanermin.8,30,142,144,145 In addition, these forms of recombinant TRAIL also exhibit increased in vivo half-lives, whereas the formation of higher-order, aberrant protein oligomers that can result in hepatotoxicity and systemic toxicity31 appears not to occur.8,30,142,144,145

Another strategy to improve the in vivo performance of TRAIL is based on covalently linking TRAIL to molecules known to have favorable pharmacokinetic properties such as human serum albumin (HSA)146 or polyethylene glycol (PEG). PEGylation is a process by which polymer chains of PEG are added covalently to biomolecules such as peptides, proteins or antibodies. The resulting PEGylated biomolecules usually present improved pharmacokinetic properties and, consequently, enhanced therapeutic efficacy.147–149 Hence, PEGylated versions using site-specific N-terminal PEGylation of iz-TRAIL showed widely improved pharmacokinetic profiles in vivo and, furthermore, greatly augmented stability and solubility under physiological conditions.150–154 In addition, PEGylation improved TRAILs efficacy at targeting cancer cells owing to the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect, which will be discussed in more detail below.

Targeting TRAIL to cancer cells

An important obstacle when treating primary tumors effectively with TRAIL is that they are often intrinsically TRAIL-resistant, or acquire resistance when treated with TRAIL. Several studies have shown that co-administration of certain chemotherapeutic drugs can sensitize the cancer cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis.155–159 However, chemotherapeutics lack cancer cell selectivity and cause severe adverse effects by also targeting normal cells. Thus, this obstacle could be overcome by improving the specificity of TRAIL for cancer cells when used in combination with chemotherapeutics or other sensitizing compounds. Furthermore, targeted delivery of TRAIL specifically to the tumor would increase the local concentration and minimize dilution of the drug in circulation. Mainly two approaches of targeting methods have been pursued: (i) passive targeting based on the EPR effect and (ii) active targeting by using antibody fragments or peptides that target TRAIL to specific tumor-enriched antigens.

Passive targeting: combining TRAIL with nanoparticles.

The nanoparticle (NP)-based systems have emerged as a promising means to improve drug delivery in vivo.160–162 Structurally, NPs have a diameter in the range of 50–150 nm and can be composed of a wide variety of compounds, including lipids and polymers. These compounds can be combined with different therapeutic molecules trapped inside the NPs and/or presented on the NP surface. Independent of the NP composition, they possess interesting and desirable general features such as improved pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and in vivo stability of the therapeutic molecules encapsulated by them (Figure 2). Another important characteristic of NPs is the aforementioned EPR effect. Depending on the size and surface property of the NP in question, and given that blood and lymph vessel systems in tumors are thought to be leaky to macromolecules, NPs readily spill from capillaries and lymph vessels that vascularize tumor tissue. Consequently, the EPR effect allows the NPs to better target tumors than the therapeutic molecules alone.162–166 The optimal diameter of the NPs to take advantage of the EPR effect is in the range of 10–150 nm. Regarding the EPR effect, many anticancer drug-containing nano-systems such as micelles, microspheres and liposomes
TRAIL inside the particles so that they are released from the particle in a constant and stable manner; or (ii) to attach TRAIL to the surface of the nanoparticles so that TRAIL gets immobilized, resembling the physiological membrane-bound protein, increasing its bioactivity.

An additional benefit of both strategies is the possibility to load NPs with additional drugs that could act in concert with TRAIL thereby enhancing its pro-apoptotic effect. In fact, the combination of TRAIL with doxorubicin or paclitaxel in NPs has already been reported. In all the cases, the therapeutic effect was greatly enhanced by co-delivery of the chemotherapeutic agents with TRAIL, whereas no systemic toxicity was detected in vivo.

Besides the EPR effect, some authors have boosted the intrinsic tumor-targeting ability of NPs by functionalizing them with targeting molecules such as single-chain variable fragments (scFv), transferrin, allowing transferrin-mediated endocytosis of the NPs, a molecule that specifically targets the low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein, which is highly expressed on the blood–brain barrier and glioma cells. Furthermore, angiopep-2 has recently not only been used for enhanced delivery across the blood–brain barrier, but also for targeting brain tumors by the so-called ‘dual targeting effect’.

Active targeting: antigen-restricted activation of TRAIL receptors. An additional strategy to enhance TRAIL targeting is the use of domains or motifs that specifically target cancer cells or cells of the tumor stroma. Several groups have developed novel TRAIL constructs that have been fused to such domains. The resulting fusion proteins are intrinsically bivalent, maintaining the ability to engage TRAIL-DRs and simultaneously combining this with the specific targeting of an antigen expressed on the surface of particular tumor cells or cells in the tumor microenvironment.

Although antibodies would be an obvious choice to provide such targeting ability, whole immunoglobulins have a molecular weight of approximately 150 kDa, rendering them sterically less than ideal to be used as fusion domains. Single-chain variable-fragment (scFv) domains, by contrast, bear the advantage of maintaining antigen-specificity of full immunoglobulins, while presenting a much smaller size (around 25 kDa) allowing them to be readily fused recombinantly to other therapeutic such as TRAIL. Various such scFv:TRAIL constructs have been developed (Table 2), targeting surface antigens known to be highly expressed by the cells of certain tumor types. These include FAP, CD19, CD33, CD20, MCSP (melanoma-associated chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan), ErbB2 (ref. 144) or epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR).

A variant of this experimental approach is the use of the Fn14:TRAIL fusion protein. In this case, the protein fused with TRAIL is not an scFv, but a peptide corresponding to the extracellular domain of Fn14, the receptor for TWEAK/Apo3L (TNF-related weak inducer of apoptosis/Apo3L). TWEAK is a multifunctional cytokine involved in many cellular activities including proliferation, migration, differentiation, apoptosis, angiogenesis and inflammation, which is not only expressed by normal cells but also in tumor tissue (reviewed in ref. 194). An Fn14:
Table 1 Main formulations of TRAIL based on nanoparticles

| Formulation                | Type of platform                        | TRAIL location | Combined formulation | Main effects                                      | Experimental testing                                      | Ref. |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------|
| TRAIL HSA-NPs              | Human serum albumin NPs                 | Inside         | —                    | Increased biological half-life                    | Pharmacokinetic studies in vivo                           | 153  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Increased drug bioavailability                    |                                                          |      |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Passive targeting                                 |                                                          |      |
| PEG-TRAIL microspheres     | PLGA microspheres                       | Inside         | —                    | Increased biological half-life                    | Pharmacokinetic studies in vivo                           | 152  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Sustained delivery                                | Tumor xenograft model (CRC) in vivo                       | 169  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Increased antitumor activity                      |                                                          |      |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Absence of side effects                           |                                                          |      |
| PEG-TRAIL/Dox microspheres | PLGA microspheres                       | Inside         | Doxorubicin          | Increased antitumor activity                      | CRC and prostate cell lines in vitro                      | 151  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      |                                                  | Tumor xenograft model (CRC and prostate) in vivo         |      |
| TRAIL-PEG-NPs              | PEGylated heparin and poly-L-lysine NPs | Inside         | —                    | Increased biological half-life                    | Pharmacokinetic studies in vivo                           | 154  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Increased antitumor activity                      | Tumor xenograft model (CRC) in vivo                       |      |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Absence of side effects                           |                                                          |      |
| ANG-CLP/PTX/pEGFP-hTRAIL   | Angiopep-2 modified cationic liposome    | Inside (cDNA)  | Paclitaxel           | Sustained delivery                                | GBM cell line in vitro                                    | 176  |
| Liposomes                  | TRAIL-LPs                               | Inside         | —                    | Increased antitumor activity                      | Tumor xenograft model (NSCLC) in vivo                     | 175  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      |                                                  | GBM cell line in vitro                                    | 173  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Passive targeting                                 | Tumor xenograft model (GBM) in vivo                       | 174  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Increased antitumor activity                      | Leukemic, lymphoma and multiple myeloma cell lines in vitro| 172  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Increased DISC recruitment                        | Leukemic and lymphoma cell lines in vitro                 | 67   |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Increased antitumor activity                      | Leukemic cells in vitro and primary leukemia cells ex vivo | 212  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Increased anti-inflammatory effect                | Rheumatoid arthritis experimental model in vivo           | 171  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Increased antitumor activity                      | Tumor xenograft model (CTC) in vivo                       | 178  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Increased antitumor activity                      | Tumor xenograft model (CRC) in vivo                       | 177  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Improved pharmacokinetics                         | Neuroblastoma orthotopic model in vivo                    | 179  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Increased antitumor activity                      | Multiple cell lines in vitro                             | 213  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Increased antitumor activity                      | Tumor xenograft model (CRC) in vivo                       |      |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Passive targeting                                 | GBM cell line in vitro                                    | 170  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Increased antitumor activity                      | Tumor xenograft model (GBM) in vivo                       |      |
| Magnetic NPs-TRAIL         | Magnetic ferric oxide NPs                | Surface        | —                    | Passive targeting                                 | GBM cell line in vitro                                    | 168  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Increased antitumor activity                      | Tumor xenograft model (CRC) in vivo                       |      |
| TRAIL/Tf/Dox HSA-NPs       | Human serum albumin NPs with transferrin | Surface       | Doxorubicin          | Sustained delivery                                | CRC, pancreas and BC cell lines in vitro                  | 167  |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Active targeting                                  | Tumor xenograft model (CRC) in vivo                       |      |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Increased antitumor activity                      |                                                          |      |
| TRAIL/Dox HSA-NPs          | Human serum albumin NPs with transferrin | Surface       | Doxorubicin          | Sustained delivery                                | Lung carcinoma cell line in vitro                         |      |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Active targeting                                  | Tumor xenograft model (lung carcinoma) in vivo             |      |
|                            |                                         |                |                      | Increased antitumor activity                      |                                                          |      |

Abbreviations: ANG-CLP, angiopep-2 modified cationic liposome; BC, breast cancer; CRC, colorectal cancer; CTC, circulating tumor cell; Dox, doxorubicin; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; GBM, glioblastoma multiforme; HSA, human serum albumin; LPs, liposomes; NPs, nanoparticles; NSCLC, non small cell lung cancer; PEG, polyethylene glycol; pEGFP, plasmid enhanced green fluorescence protein; PLGA, poly (lactic-co-glycolic) acid; PTX, paclitaxel; scFv, single-chain variable fraction; Tf, transferrin.
TRAIL fusion protein that showed increased bioactivity in an experimental model of multiple sclerosis also showed enhanced antitumor activity in vitro and in vivo against hepatocellular carcinoma. This activity relies on the ability of Fn14:TRAIL to interfere with TWEAK-Fn14 signaling in cancer cells and simultaneously trigger TRAIL-induced apoptosis. It is worth pointing out that some authors have constructed such fusion proteins using novel versions of TRAIL such as TNC-TRAIL and scTRAIL to improve trimer stability.

An interesting additional variation to this approach, which has again been developed by several groups independently, is the targeting of TRAIL not to the surface of tumor cells but to that of immune cells via specific antigens expressed on their surface. Table 2 shows the main formulations of TRAIL fusion proteins.

### Table 2: Main formulations of TRAIL fusion proteins

| Fusion protein | Target | Main effects | Experimental testing | Ref. |
|----------------|--------|--------------|----------------------|------|
| MBOS4:TRAIL   | FAP    | Increased bioactivity | Fibrosarcoma cell lines in vitro | 69   |
| CD40ed:TRAILed| CD40   | Increased bioactivity | Fibrosarcoma cell lines in vitro | 214  |
| scFv425:sTRAIL| EGFR   | Increased drug bioavailability | Pharmacokinetic studies in vivo | 189  |
| scFvCD19:sTRAIL| CD19 | Absence of side effects | Hematologic and solid tumor cell lines in vitro | 190  |
| scFvCD33:sTRAIL| CD33  | Active targeting | Hematologic tumor cell lines and B-CLL primary cells in vitro | 185  |
| Anti-MCSP:TRAIL| MCSP  | Active targeting | Melanoma cell lines and normal primary cells in vitro | 188  |
| DbaEGFR-scTRAIL| EGFR | Active targeting | HCC and CRC cell lines in vitro | 145  |
| Anti-CD3:TRAILK12:TRAIL | CD3 | Enhanced T-cell activity | Hematologic, solid tumor cell lines and tumor primary cells in vitro | 196  |
| scFvCD70:TRAILmutRs| CD70 | Increased bioactivity | Hematologic and solid tumor cell lines in vitro | 70   |
| scFvG28-TRAIL | CD40   | Increased bioactivity | Fibrosarcoma cell lines in vitro | 195  |
| MSC.scFvCD20-sTRAIL | CD20 | Active targeting | Hematologic tumor cell lines and normal primary cells in vitro | 187  |
| CLL1:TRAIL | CLL1   | Enhanced T-cell activity | Hematologic and solid tumor cell lines in vitro | 202  |
| RGD:TRAIL | Integrins | Active targeting | BC and CRC cell lines in vitro | 203  |
| scTRAIL:Fc (APG350) | —    | Active targeting | Several cell lines in vitro | 209  |
| scFv-EHD2-scTRAIL | —    | Increased antitumor activity | Several cell lines in vitro | 211  |
| FN14:TRAIL | TWEAK  | Increased antitumor activity | HCC cell lines in vitro | 192  |

Abbreviations: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; B-ALL, B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia; BC, breast cancer; B-CLL, B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia; CRC, colorectal carcinoma; DC, dendritic cell; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; MCSP, melanoma-associated chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan; MSC, mesenchymal stem cells; NHL, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma; RCC, renal cell carcinoma; RGD, peptide with the sequence ACDCRGDCFC; scFv, single-chain variable region.
surface. In this regard, de Bruyn et al.\textsuperscript{196} use TRAIL fusion proteins with anti-CD3 or anti-CD7 scFv fragments. The aim is to improve the tumoricidal activity of T cells \textit{ex vivo} by expanding their cytotoxic arsenal and to thereby potentially overcome the shortcomings of conventional adoptive T-cell therapies in achieving the desired therapeutic effect.\textsuperscript{197–201}

With the intention to increase the tumoricidal capacity of another type of immune cells, a TRAIL fusion protein with CLL1 (C-type lectin-like molecule-1), has recently been developed. This fusion protein targets human granulocytes, attaching TRAIL to their surface. These granulocytes artificially armed with TRAIL not only increased TRAIL induced apoptosis but also potentiates antibody-mediated cytotoxicity of several therapeutic antibodies.\textsuperscript{202} In this line, El-Mesery \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{197} generated a CD40-directed scFv-TRAIL fusion protein using the above-mentioned TNC-TRAIL, which results in enhanced TRAIL-mediated apoptosis and robust induction of CD40-mediated maturation of dendritic cells that, in turn, could serve to potentiate immune response against tumors. Along similar lines, Trebing \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{198} developed scFv:IohCD70-TNC-TRAIL, a fusion protein, which showed strongly enhanced activity of tumor cells expressing CD70 and stimulating their cell death. Another variation to this theme has been developed by Cao \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{203} who fused TRAIL to the peptide ACDCRGDCFC, which has high affinity for αβ3 and αβ5 integrins. Thereby, the authors aimed to target blood-forming capillaries within solid tumors as these highly express the αβ3 integrin.\textsuperscript{204} Furthermore, αβ3 and αβ5 integrins are highly expressed on many tumor cells including on melanoma,\textsuperscript{205} colon,\textsuperscript{206} breast\textsuperscript{207} and ovarian\textsuperscript{208} cancer cells. The resulting construct showed specificity for the tumor neovascularature and enhanced apoptosis-inducing activity, both \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}. In general, all of the above-described constructs have demonstrated improved activity over non-fused versions of TRAIL or ‘mock’ versions that were unable to bind the respective specific surface antigens. Of note, some of these targeted constructs have been built using TRAIL constructs specific for TRAIL-R1 or TRAIL-R2, which allows for selective activation to maximize the efficiency of the apoptosis induction and minimize possible undesired activation of non-tumoral TRAIL-DRs.\textsuperscript{70}

In addition, there has been a recent wave of ‘second generation’ TRAIL-based constructs, centered on the concept of TRAIL trimer dimerization via domains that enable this in an appropriate spatial configuration. The first description of such a fusion protein (Db\textsubscript{EGFR}-scTRAIL,\textsuperscript{144}) used a diabody as dimerization domain. In this case, the diabody had a dual role as it both stabilized the structure by acting as dimerization domain and provided targeting properties by recognizing EGFR, directing the molecule to EGFR-expressing cells.\textsuperscript{144} In a structurally similar manner, Gieffers \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{209} developed a dimer of TRAIL trimers by using the Fc-portion of human IgG\textsubscript{1} as dimerization domain. In this case, the resulting recombinant protein lacks a specific targeting domain but, importantly, the authors showed that its apoptosis-inducing capacity, in contrast to that of TRAIL-DR-targeting antibodies, was indeed independent of Fc\textsubscript{Y} receptor expression on proximal cells.\textsuperscript{210} Seifert \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{211} in turn, developed a new ‘tetravalent’ TRAIL-based scFv-containing formulation that is composed of two TRAIL trimers and two scFv regions fused together through the dimerization domain of IgE heavy chain domain 2 (EHD2). Physiologically, this domain acts by connecting the two heavy chains of an IgE molecule. The scFvs used in this construct recognize EGFR. Of note, all of these TRAIL-based constructs have been built as single-chain fusion proteins.

An additional effect of several of these constructs is that they bind to surface antigens through the N-terminal part of the protein, while the C-terminal, pro-apoptotic domain of TRAIL, is exposed, thereby mimicking membrane-bound TRAIL. Thereby, these constructs also gain the ability to efficiently cross-link and activate TRAIL-DRs, consequently enhancing the pro-apoptotic effect. Cell surface antigen-bound TRAIL not only acts in an autocrine manner, by recognizing an antigen on a cancer cell and triggering TRAIL-induced apoptosis in that same cell, but can also act in a paracrine fashion: once the fusion protein is attached to a surface antigen on one cell, the TRAIL domain can induce apoptosis in neighboring cells, even though they may not express the surface antigen, minimizing the tumor’s opportunities to evade treatment. However, whether this effect will always turn out to be beneficial, or may in certain cases specifically enhance unwanted effects beyond an acceptable level, remains to be determined.

Another characteristic of these constructs, thought to be advantageous in most cases, is the interaction with their specific cell surface receptors/targets which, depending on the construct in question, can lead to activation or inhibition of the signals normally transduced by these targets. Thus, depending on the type of cancer and regarding its phenotype, targeting of the cancer cell can be rationalized by choosing a specific antigen expressed by the tumor cells in question. This tumor antigen can be targeted, not only with directing purposes but also with the purpose of either activating or blocking it. Such an activity may synergize with the pro-apoptotic effect exerted by TRAIL-DR cross-linking via the TRAIL component of the recombinant protein in question.

Conclusions and perspectives

The ability of TRAIL to specifically kill tumor cells makes this cytokine a promising antitumor agent. In fact, numerous clinical trials using TRAIL-based therapies have been conducted.\textsuperscript{32} However, the anticancer activities of the TRAIL-R agonists that have been tested in patients so far has been limited to disappointing. Moreover, recent research has demonstrated that TRAIL can induce, by far, more diverse effects than merely apoptosis, some of which being rather undesirable in the context of cancer therapy. Hence, it is of crucial importance to evaluate the different TRAIL-based therapies and how they differentially affect signaling very carefully before delivering them to patients. Moreover, the poor stability of untagged soluble TRAIL \textit{in vivo} is not helpful with regard to its pharmacokinetic properties. These problems are currently being addressed by the development of a plethora of new formulations and ways of administration of novel recombinant forms of TRAIL and other TRAIL-R agonists as explained in this review. Yet, the promising results that have been obtained \textit{in vivo} with some of these new formulations of TRAIL must be further endorsed over the next years in a wider...
range of cancer types, and in more complex models, such as genetically engineered mouse models as well as in tumor models representing the heterogeneity of human cancers and, ultimately, in the cancer clinic.
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