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BACKGROUND: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (CT) followed by radiotherapy (RT) and surgery showed a median survival of 28.7 months in resectable stage IIIB non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients (pts). Here, we evaluate the impact of concomitant cetuximab to the same neoadjuvant chemo-radiotherapy (CRT) in selected patients (pts) with NSCLC, stage IIIB.

METHODS: Resectable stage IIIB NSCLC received three cycles of CT (cisplatin 100 mg/m² and docetaxel 85 mg/m² d1, q3w) followed by RT (44 Gy in 22 fractions) with concomitant cetuximab (250 mg/m², q1w) and subsequent surgery. The primary endpoint was 1-year progression-free survival (PFS).

RESULTS: Sixty-nine pts were included in the trial. Fifty-seven (83%) pts underwent surgery, with complete resection (R0) in 42 (74%) and postoperative 30 day mortality of 3.5%. Responses were: 57% after CT-cetuximab and 64% after CRT-cetuximab. One-year PFS was 50%. Median PFS was 12.0 months (95% CI: 9.0–15.6), median OS was 21.3 months, with a 2- and 3-yr survival of 41% and 30%, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS: This is one of the largest prospective phase 2 trial to investigate the role of induction CRT and surgery in resectable stage IIIB disease, and the first adding cetuximab to the neoadjuvant strategy. This trial treatment is feasible with promising response and OS rates, supporting an aggressive approach in selected pts.
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BACKGROUND
Management of locally advanced NSCLC is still challenging, and the role of surgery is mainly defined by regional preferences and local standards. While definition of stage IIIA/B has been slightly modified through the recent 6th to 8th TNM classification, stage IIIB/C as defined by T4 or N3 disease is characterised by a dismal 5-year overall survival (OS) ranging between 10 and 25%, possibly slightly higher in patients with resectable disease.1,2 Previously, several phase 2 trials have evaluated multimodal surgical treatment strategy, including induction chemo-radiotherapy (CRT) followed by surgery for patients with stage IIIB disease. Retrospective subgroup analyses of these trials, particularly of Southwest Oncology Group (SWOG) 8805, suggest that patients with operable stage IIIB NSCLC might present outcomes similar to those with stage IIIA disease.3 The Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research (SAKK) demonstrated previously that, in well-selected patients, the use of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (CT) of docetaxel/cisplatin followed by neoadjuvant accelerated radiotherapy (RT) of 44 Gy was feasible.4 Promising results of this SAKK 16/01 trial and surgical series5,6 are the rationale for the current quadrimodal concept, introducing cetuximab to neoadjuvant CRT. Cetuximab was a promising targeted agent in NSCLC, at the time of designing this clinical trial7 and in general in combination with RT.8–10 No unexpected toxicities were reported by combining platinum-based CT and cetuximab, or by adding cetuximab to definitive CRT in NSCLC.11,12 This is the first study to assess the feasibility and activity of adding cetuximab in the induction part of a trimodality treatment for stage IIIB NSCLC. The main objective of this trial was to evaluate efficacy and safety in patients with operable stage IIIB NSCLC.

METHODS
Patients
Patients were enrolled from 11 participating sites, with experience in trimodality-approach, into this open-label, multicentre, prospective phase 2 SAKK trial. Patients with resectable stage IIIB (T4N0–3M0 or T1–4N3M0), as evaluated by a multidisciplinary
tumour-board at diagnosis and according to 6th TNM classification, were considered eligible. Stages IIIB with malignant pleural or pericardial effusion, invasion of the aorta, oesophagus, myocardium, supraclavicular, scalene N3 nodes, or with satellite lesions in the same lobe as only T4 descriptor were excluded. Baseline assessment was performed by brain magnetic resonance imaging, whole body PET-CT, contrast enhanced CT scan of thorax and upper abdomen, pulmonary function test and electrocardiogram. Lymph-node staging was performed by mediastinoscopy or EBUS in cases of N-positive disease on PET-CT (SUV above mediastinum background SUV) or CT (size of > 10 mm in the smallest diameter), within 42 days from registration. In case of lymph nodes not accessible by mediastinoscopy (ATS position 5 or 6), fine needle aspiration biopsy by EBUS, TBNA or VATS was required.13,14 In case of N-negative disease on PET-CT and CT, mediastinoscopy was only mandatory in case of suspicion of T4 tumour invading the trachea. Patients had to be medically fit to undergo surgery and to present sufficient pulmonary reserve to allow the required surgery, according to ESTS guidelines.15–17 Other eligibility criteria included: age 18–75 years; WHO performance status 0 or 1; adequate bone marrow, hepatic and renal function, as well as heart function within 42 days from registration.18 The study was done in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the ethics committee of each participating site. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Procedures
CT consisted of three cycles of intravenous cisplatin (day 1 and 2, 50 mg/m²) and docetaxel (85 mg/m² day 1) given every 3 weeks. The administration of prophylactic granulocyte-colony stimulating factor was compulsory. Dose reductions were not allowed for cisplatin. Switch to carboplatin (target area under the curve 6) was compulsory. Dose reductions were not allowed for carboplatin (target area under the curve 6). The administration of prophylactic granulocyte-colony stimulating factor was compulsory. Dose reductions were not allowed for cisplatin. Switch to carboplatin (target area under the curve 6) was compulsory. Dose reductions were not allowed for carboplatin (target area under the curve 6). The regimen consisted of a total dose of 44 Gy in 22 fractions of 2 Gy (PTV 1 = 30 Gy, PTV 2 = 14 Gy). The overall treatment duration was 19 days. Dose prescription and recording had to comply with the recommendations of the ICRU 50/62 quality control. Before surgery, contrast enhanced CT scan of thorax and upper abdomen and pulmonary function tests were obtained and each patient was evaluated by a local multidisciplinary tumour-board for surgical resection. Surgery was to be planned within 21–28 days after completion of CT (study design is represented in Fig. 1). The recommendation was to avoid pneumonectomy whenever possible, especially in situations where a complete R0 resection could be obtained by a parenchyma-saving procedure. Surgery included tumour resection and systematic lymph-node dissection. Patients whose tumours had progressed at either post-baseline assessment were withdrawn from the study treatment but further followed for toxicity and survival. Patients attended follow-up visits 1 month after surgery, then every 3 months for 2 years, every 6 months for 3 years, until 5 years after surgery or treatment termination, unless clinically indicated otherwise. During visits patients were assessed for toxicity and chest CT every 3 months for the first 2 years, afterwards every 6 months until 5 years. WHO criteria were used to assess tumour response; assessments were done locally by the trial investigators. Adverse events were graded according to the 1994 revised version 3.0 of the National Cancer Institute of Health CTCAE guidelines. Moreover, the first 25 patients were strictly evaluated regarding safety and toxicity and 1 month after operation of the 25th enrolled patient, an interim safety analysis was performed by an IDMC.

Statistical analysis
The primary endpoint was progression-free survival (PFS) at 1 year, defined as the absence of disease progression/relapse or death at 1 year (± 1 month) after registration.

Secondary endpoints included: treatment-related death during cetuximab-CT, cetuximab-RT and peri-operatively (until 30 days after surgery); tumour response after cetuximab-CT and after cetuximab-RT; complete pathological response (pCR); OS, defined as the time from registration until death due to any reason; adverse events (AEs); operability (based on a multidisciplinary tumour-board decision, under exhaustive evaluation of cardiac and pulmonary function according to ESTS/ATS guidelines); resection margins; failure pattern (defined as location of first progression).

Sample size was calculated based on PFS at 1 year. The PFS rate of ≤50% was considered uninteresting and ≥65% promising. Fleming-A’ Fern single-stage phase 2 procedure with a power of 80%, a one-sided significance level of 5% and a sample size of 69 evaluable patients was chosen.

For the primary endpoint, the PFS rate at 1 year together with its two-sided 90% CI was presented using the binary variable showing the information of progression at 1 year. PFS rate at 1 year was also calculated using the Kaplan–Meier estimator at 1 ear from registration together with both 90 and 95% two-sided CI, to be able to make comparisons with the results of the binary variable and to put our results in perspective to results in the published literature. Analyses were done with SAS version 9.4 and R version 3. The analysis of the primary endpoint was performed in both the intention-to-treat (ITT) population and the per protocol population (PP), defined as a subset of patients of the ITT population excluding patients who did not receive full trial treatment or patients who had major protocol violations in a prospectively planned exploratory analysis. For the secondary endpoints expressed as rates, the point estimates of the rate together with the associated two-sided 95% CI were calculated. For the secondary endpoints expressed as time-to-event endpoints, the median value was estimated using the Kaplan–Meier
RESULTS
Between June 2010 and January 2016, 69 patients with resectable Stage IIIb NSCLC were included in the trial at 11 centres in Switzerland. One of the 69 patient was found to be misdiagnosed with lung cancer after having received full treatment and a supporting statistical analysis has been performed excluding this patient. Analysis for primary endpoint (1-year PFS) and toxicity is reported for the ITT population. However, 16 out of the accrued 69 patients were not included in the PP set (due to toxicity, early progression or death) and are not included in the analysis of secondary endpoints. Patients’ baseline and tumours characteristics are shown in Table 1. Twenty-seven (39%) patients had a T1–3N3M0 disease, 37 (54%) a T4N0–2M0 stage and 5 (7%) a T4N3M0 disease. The majority of patients had good performance status. Adenocarcinoma was the predominant histology (49%).

Treatment delivery is presented in Fig. 2. Sixty-two out of 69 patients (90%) completed the three cycles of cetuximab-CRT. A total of 197 cycles of cetuximab-CRT were delivered with a median treatment duration of 63 days (21–83). Ninety percent of patients completed the three cycles of chemotherapy-cetuximab with a relative dose intensity for cetuximab of 90%, for cisplatin of 99.2%, for docetaxel of 98.5% (Supplementary Table 1). Eleven patients switched from cisplatin to carboplatin due to worsening of renal function (in four cases), hearing loss (in three cases), electrolytes disorders (three cases) and gastrointestinal toxicity (1 case). Reasons for not completing the three cycles were: death due to infection (in two cases), infection, haemoptysis, hepatitis B reactivation, oesophageal fungal infection and PD. Cetuximab-RT was planned for 63 patients. Two patients did not receive RT, due to toxicity and refusal after cetuximab-CRT, respectively. RT schedule was administered for a median period of 20 days (19–25 days). Ninety-five percent of the 61 patients received radiotherapy per protocol with 22 fractions of 2 Gy with planned target volumes (respectively, with 30 Gy and 14 Gy). Cetuximab was given with a median duration of 21 days (7–42 days). In total, 52 (83%) completed the 3-weeks of cetuximab-radiotherapy. The response rate after cetuximab-CRT in these 52 patients was 64% (95% CI: 51–75%), see Table 2.

Toxicity to cetuximab-CRT was in the expected profile and range, including grade 3/4 neutropenia in 33% of patients, febrile neutropenia in 1%, renal function impairment grade 3 in 7%; grade 3 and 4 rash in 16% of patients, grade 3 diarrhoea in 15%. Drug related AE s leading to discontinuation of cetuximab-CRT were observed in 9% of the patients. One patient died due to cerebral nocardiosis. Toxicity during cetuximab-RT included grade 2 esophagitis in 3% of patients, pneumonitis in 1.6% of patients. Thirteen percent of patients (8 out of 61 undergoing cetuximab-RT) discontinued the treatment due to adverse events (Supplementary Table 2).

The median time from registration to surgery was 17 weeks (range 8–21 weeks). Sixty-three out of the 69 ITT patients were considered operable (91%, 95% CI: 82–97%), but only 57 out of these 63 underwent surgery 90% (95% CI: 80–96%), related to disease progression (3), worsening of lung function (1) and absence of resectability (2). The median duration of patient hospitalisation for surgery was 13 days (3–113 days). The type of surgery (including bilobectomy, lobectomy or pneumonectomy), together with surgical outcomes, are summarised in Table 3 and Supplementary Table 3. Rate of pathologic complete response was 29% (95% CI: 19–41%) for all 69 patients. Calculated only for the R0/R1 resected patients, it was 35% (20 out of 57) (95% CI: 23–50%). No correlation was found between the rate of R0 resection and clinical nodal stage (N0–2 vs. N3; Fisher’s exact test p = 0.471).

Three patients died after surgery, two of them within 30 days after surgery with hypoxaemia and sepsis, and one 38 days after surgery with massive pulmonary haemorrhage. Thirty-day postoperative mortality rate was 3.5% (2 out of 57). At the time of this analysis patients were followed-up for a median time of 32 months (IQR: 27–61 months). Median PFS was 12 months (95% CI: 9–16 months) (Fig. 3a). At the time of the analysis there were 43 events, mainly local or distant progression (86%). Among the 26 censored patients, five (19%) were followed for at least 5 years, ten (39%) were censored due to start of a new treatment and ten (39%) were still under follow-up.

One-year PFS rate, calculated according to the protocol as a binomial variable, was 38% (90% CI: 28–48%). However, using the Kaplan–Meier estimators, 1-year PFS rate was 50% (95% CI: 37–62%; 90%CI: 39–60%).

### Table 1. Patient characteristics

| Variable                          | Overall (N = 69) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Age (years)—median (min–max)     | 69 (36–73)      |
| Sex                              |                 |
| Female                           | 16 (23.2%)      |
| Male                             | 53 (76.8%)      |
| Tumour IIIb stage                |                 |
| T1 N3 M0                         | 5 (7.2%)        |
| T2 N3 M0                         | 18 (26.1%)      |
| T3 N3 M0                         | 4 (5.8%)        |
| T4 N0 M0                         | 18 (26.1%)      |
| T4 N1 M0                         | 2 (2.9%)        |
| T4 N2 M0                         | 17 (24.6%)      |
| T4 N3 M0                         | 5 (7.2%)        |
| Lymp-node staging by mediastinoscopy |               |
| No                               | 1 (1.4%)        |
| Yes                              | 57 (82.6%)      |
| Not available                    | 11 (15.9%)      |
| Patient considered operable      |                 |
| Yes                              | 69 (100.0%)     |
| WHO PS at entry                  |                 |
| 0                                | 46 (66.7%)      |
| 1                                | 23 (33.3%)      |
| Intervention planned at Tumour-board before inclusion |         |
| Bilobectomy                      | 11 (15.9%)      |
| Lobectomy                        | 36 (52.2%)      |
| Pneumonectomy                    | 15 (21.7%)      |
| Missing                          | 7 (10.1%)       |
| Histology                        |                 |
| Adeno-NSCLC                      | 34 (49.3%)      |
| Large-cell NSCLC                 | 1 (1.5%)        |
| Poorly differentiated NSCLC       | 5 (7.2%)        |
| Squamous NSCLC                   | 28 (40.6%)      |
| Missing                          | 1 (1.5%)        |
| Pack-years of smoking—median (min–max) | 40 (3–150) |

*Histology of tumour could not be defined in pathology report as material was not sufficient for evaluation
Median OS was 21 months (95% CI: 14–25 months). The Kaplan–Meier curve of OS can be found in Fig. 3b; estimated OS at 1, 2 and 3 years was 70% (57–79%), 41% (29–53%) and 30% (19–42%), respectively.

At the time of the analysis there were 46 deaths, mostly related to progression (80%). Among the 23 censored patients, 6 (26%) were followed for at least 5 years and 16 (70%) were still under follow-up.

Table 2. Response after chemotherapy-cetuximab (CTC) and radiotherapy-cetuximab (RTC)

| Response | CTC | N | % | After CTC | N | % | After RTC | N | % |
|----------|-----|---|---|-----------|---|---|-----------|---|---|
|          | PR  | 39| 56.5| 56.5% (44.0–68.4%) | PR | 44| 63.8| 63.8% (51.3–75.0%) |
|          | SD  | 27| 39.1|              | SD | 12| 17.4|              |
|          | PD  | 1 | 1.4|              | PD | 3 | 4.3|              |
|          | NE  | 2 | 2.9|              | NE | 10| 14.5|              |
|          |     |   |     | *One patient stopped due to haemorrhagic tumour and one patient stopped due to death. These events are usually counted as PD (progressive disease), PR (partial response), SD (stable disease), NE (not evaluable)* |     |   |     |              |

Table 3. Surgery results

| Variable | Overall (N = 69) | n | % |
|----------|-----------------|---|---|
| Operability |                  | n | (%) |
| No       | 6               | 8.7|
| Yes      | 57              | 82.6|
| NE       | 6               | 8.7|
| Resection |                 | n | (%) |
| R0       | 42              | 60.9|
| R1       | 14              | 20.3|
| NE       | 13              | 18.8|
| Pathologic complete response |            | n | (%) |
| No       | 34              | 49.3|
| Yes      | 20              | 29.0|
| No R0/R1 resected | 13 | 18.8|
| Missing  | 2               | 2.9|

*Fig. 2 Flow Chart of the trial. Asterisk indicates a patient was mistakenly registered and was not included in the total accrual*
DISCUSSION

About a third of patients with newly diagnosed NSCLC present with locally advanced disease. Optimal management of stage III NSCLC remains a matter of debate after several trials evaluating the role of surgery after C or CRT as compared to definitive radical CRT.8,9,25–,27 The SAKK group previously randomised 232 stage IIIA/N2 NSCLC patients to induction chemo-radiotherapy vs. chemotherapy with a similar median event-free survival in the two groups.25 While radiotherapy did not add any benefit to induction chemotherapy followed by surgery, this trial suggested that one optimal local treatment is sufficient to treat resectable stage IIIA/N2 NSCLC.

The European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO) does not recognise a clear benefit for one of the local treatments and the choice of local treatment modality may vary across countries and centres. For the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO), definitive CRT is recommended in patients with good performance status with a median survival that typically reaches 15 to 20 months.

Multimodal approach of stage IIIIB patients, including CRT and surgery reported a median OS of 17 months after CRT with surgery from the SWOG 8805 trial, with a survival rate of 39% at 2-years.26 Next the SAKK 16/01 trial, showed a median OS of 29 months and a 2-years OS of 52%. Such results led to the development of the here reported study (SAKK 16/08), resulting in a median OS of 21 months with a 2-year OS of 41%, reproducing the results of the SWOG trial. While in the SWOG trial, on the contrary of the SAKK 16/01 and SAKK 16/08 trials, supraclavicular lymph-node involvement and involvement of mediastinal structures were permitted, only 62% underwent surgery, compared to 71% from the SAKK 16/01 and 91% from the SAKK 16/08. This reflects the complexity of patients’ selection for surgery in stage IIIIB. A higher rate of resectability might also be explained by the use of systematic PET-CT scans at staging in the SAKK 16/08/27. While PET was only used in the last 60% of patients enrolled in the SAKK 16/01, SAKK 16/01 and 16/08 differed in the addition of cetuximab to induction treatment. Interestingly, the higher pathological complete response rate in the present trial compared to the SAKK 16/01 (29% vs. 13%, respectively), did neither result in an improved response rate to induction (64% vs. 59%), nor in 1-year PFS (50% vs. 54%) nor median OS (21 vs. 29 months). Toxicity to induction therapy was in the expected range and comparable to the SAKK 16/01 trial. Perioperative mortality in this trial was low and comparable to the SAKK 16/01 trial (4% in SAKK 16/08 vs. 6% in SAKK 16/01) and comparable to the SWOG 8805 trial (5.2%). The outcome of highly selected patients in both SAKK trials remains better than previously reported using definitive CRT.

Fig. 3  a. Kaplan–Meier plot of progression-free survival (PFS). One-year PFS rate is 50% (95% CI: 37–62%; 90% CI: 39–60%). b. Kaplan–Meier plot of overall survival (OS). Median OS was 21 months (95% CI: 14–25 months); estimated OS at 1, 2 and 3 years was 70% (57–79%), 41% (29–53%) and 30% (19–42%), respectively.
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