Replication termination and chromosome dimer resolution in the archaeon Sulfolobus solfataricus
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Archaea of the genus Sulfolobus have a single-circular chromosome with three replication origins. All three origins fire in every cell in every cell cycle. Thus, three pairs of replication forks converge and terminate in each replication cycle. Here, we report 2D gel analyses of the replication fork fusion zones located between origins. These indicate that replication termination involves stochastic fork collision. In bacteria, replication termination is linked to chromosome dimer resolution, a process that requires the XerC and D recombinases, FtsK and the chromosomal dif site. Sulfolobus encodes a single-Xer homologue and its deletion gave rise to cells with aberrant DNA contents and increased volumes. Identification of the chromosomal dif site that binds Xer in vivo, and biochemical characterization of Xer/dif recombination revealed that, in contrast to bacteria, dif is located outside the fork fusion zones. Therefore, it appears that replication termination and dimer resolution are temporally and spatially distinct processes in Sulfolobus.
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Introduction

Archaea have attracted considerable attention because of the orthogonal relationship of their information processing machineries to those of eukaryotes. However, archaea have a prokaryotic cell structure and, like many bacteria, they have circular chromosomes. Some archaea, such as Pyrococcus spp., have a bacterial-like mode of chromosome replication, with a single origin of replication that initiates bidirectional replication (Myllykallio et al., 2000). In contrast, Sulfolobus spp. have three bidirectional replication origins per chromosome (Lundgren et al., 2004; Robinson et al., 2004, 2007; Duggin et al., 2008a). All three origins are activated in each round of replication within a narrow temporal window (Duggin et al., 2008a), and marker frequency analyses (MFAs) have revealed that replication forks meet approximately mid-way between the origins whereupon replication fork fusion (termination) occurs (Lundgren et al., 2004; Duggin et al., 2008a). However, it is unknown whether there are specific replication fork arrest sites that restrict fork fusion to a ‘terminus’ region, as in bacteria (Duggin et al., 2008b), or whether fork fusion occurs at essentially random sites mid-way between the origins.

A consequence of chromosome circularity is that an odd number of crossover events occurring between sister chromosomes will generate a chromosome dimer—a covalent fusion of the two newly replicated chromosomes. Any dimer that forms must be resolved accurately into monomers so that each daughter cell inherits one complete chromosome. Bacteria have a specific locus, called dif, where a crossover catalysed by the Xer site-specific recombinases resolves any chromosome dimers to monomers (Sherratt, 2003). In Escherichia coli, XerCD-mediated recombination at dif requires FtsK, a DNA translocase that is anchored at the mid-cell nascent division site. FtsK reads short-sequence motifs in the genome that are polarized towards dif and specifically translocates DNA, bringing the two dif sites together at mid-cell for synapsis. FtsK then stimulates catalysis by XerD (Assel et al., 2002).

The conserved location of dif in the terminus region (~180° from the origin of replication) in a broad range of bacteria and the role of FtsK likely reflect the manner in which chromosome replication and segregation are coupled in bacteria. Visible segregation of newly replicated marker loci occurs soon after their duplication (Toro and Shapiro, 2010). The late replication and segregation of dif therefore reduce the work required of FtsK to align the dif sites at mid-cell. The replication termination systems of bacteria that restrict termination to the region containing dif are therefore expected to optimize this aspect of chromosome segregation (Duggin et al., 2008b). Evidence supporting a link between termination of replication and dimer resolution came when Lemon et al. (2001) deleted the Bacillus subtilis gene encoding the replication terminator protein (rtp) in combination with deletions of either ripX or spoIIIE (B. subtilis homologues of XerD and FtsK, respectively). This led to an elevated production of anucleate cells, indicative of failed chromosome segregation. In E. coli, deletion of the replication terminator protein, Tus, worsened the reduced fitness seen in FtsK
mutants defective in directional DNA translocation (Sivanathan et al., 2009).

In this study, we demonstrate that replication termination and chromosome dimer resolution are spatially distinct processes in the Sulfolobus solfataricus chromosome. The findings are congruent with a previous observation of an extended period of sister chromosome cohesion in Sulfolobus (Robinson et al., 2007), and suggest an explanation for how Sulfolobus cells can accommodate multiple active replication origins per chromosome.

Results and discussion

Analysis of replication intermediates in the fork fusion zones

We have previously described the use of neutral–neutral 2D gel electrophoresis to map replication termination events in the E. coli chromosome (Duggin and Bell, 2009). Similar approaches have been applied to map and characterize fork arrest sites in eukaryotic cells (Calzada et al., 2005). We performed a series of 2D gels to analyse overlapping restriction fragments covering the three general fork fusion zones previously identified from MFA. The resolution of the MFA performed by Lundgren et al. (2004) accurately delimited replication origins to within 40 kb zones. Therefore, to search for termination sites, we analysed ~100 kb regions centred on the fork fusion zones between adjacent origins (oriC1/oriC2, oriC2/oriC3 and oriC3/oriC1). If defined termination sites exist, we would expect to detect a spot of greater intensity on the ‘Y-arc’, corresponding to paused replication forks (Figure 1A). A spike of hybridization might also be detectable emanating from the pause spot, corresponding to replication forks approaching the paused fork from the other direction (Brewer and Fangman, 1988; Duggin and Bell, 2009). In contrast, if termination occurs at random positions along a given restriction fragment then these specific signals would not be detected and a diffuse cone-like feature might be detectable emerging from the replication fork arc, representing fork fusion at random positions along the fragment (Friedman and Brewer, 1995) (Figure 1A). As may be seen in Figure 1B–D, in many fragments we detected the faint cone signal, but we did not detect any specific replication pause sites in the ~320 kb that we analysed over the three fork fusion zones. We conclude that there is no evidence for site-specific termination within the fork fusion zones identified by MFA. Therefore, it appears that replication termination in Sulfolobus occurs by random collision of replication forks, as is believed to be generally the case in eukaryotes, rather than via a bacterial-like site-specific termination mechanism.

Phenotypes of xer-deleted cells

Replication termination, sister chromosome segregation and dimer resolution are interlinked processes in bacteria. It was therefore relevant to characterize the potential role of the Xer recombinase homologue. S. solfataricus has a single-Xer homologue, encoded by the SSO0375 open reading frame (She et al., 2001), that shares 31% amino acid sequence identity with E. coli XerD. We deleted the xer gene from the chromosome of strain PBL2025 by replacing it with the lacS marker using double-crossover homologous recombination, and confirmed the replacement using PCR and western blotting (Figure 2A). The resultant strain showed a growth-rate reduction compared with the parent strain PBL2025; coculture revealed that this corresponded to a loss of fitness of 5.98% (±0.64) per generation when compared with the otherwise isogenic (but lac-) strain, PBL2025 (Figure 2B). To investigate the possibility that the lacS marker had caused the growth defect in the Δxer::lacS strain, we compared the ΔfluA::lacS strain, which has a flagellar defect (Szabó et al., 2007), with the parent PBL2025, and we observed no significant difference in growth rate (0.64% ±0.32) loss of fitness per generation; Figure 2B). Therefore, deletion of xer results in a loss of fitness.

To explore the possibility of cell division/cycle defects in the Δxer::lacS strain, we began by measuring cell size in mid-log phase cell populations using a Coulter counter. As can be seen in Figure 2C, the Δxer::lacS strain exhibited larger cell volumes compared to the parent PBL2025; the mean cell volumes were: PBL2025 = 0.720 (±0.001, s.e.m.) μm³, compared to Δxer::lacS = 0.866 (±0.001) μm³. Furthermore, the Δxer::lacS cell volume distribution had a greater skew towards cells of greater volume. For example, 8.2% PBL2025 cells were >1 μm³ versus 17.5% Δxer::lacS cells, whereas 14.8% PBL2025 and 11.7% Δxer::lacS cells were <0.4 μm³. This indicates a significant delay or failure of cell division in a subpopulation of cells in the Δxer::lacS strain. These findings are highly reminiscent of the E. coli dif (deletion-induced filamentation) phenotype (Kuempel et al., 1991; Hendricks et al., 2000), and are consistent with a role for Xer in resolving chromosome dimers in S. solfataricus.

To examine chromosome segregation more directly, we measured the cellular DNA contents of mid-log phase cell populations by flow cytometry. PBL2025 had the characteristic distribution of Sulfolobus cells (Figure 2D, left panel), with 2N-content cells predominating due to the relatively long time these cells spend in the 2N (G2 + M) phases of the cell cycle. The Δxer::lacS strain showed a significantly altered distribution of DNA content compared to PBL2025 (Figure 2D, right panel). In all, 26% of the Δxer::lacS sample showed >2N genome equivalents, compared with 12% for PBL2025, and the DNA content of the >2N cells in the Δxer::lacS sample was heterogeneous. Similar effects were observed after impairment of cell division of S. solfataricus (Samson et al., 2008). Δxer::lacS also gave rise to an increased level of ‘debris’ or anucleate cells that have <1N genome equivalents of fluorescence with greater scatter signals (Figure 2D). Furthermore, the apparent 2N peak was significantly broader for the Δxer::lacS strain, indicating DNA content heterogeneity. Microscopic examination of cells from the cultures examined by flow cytometry revealed clear differences between the strains that are consistent with the effects observed by flow cytometry (Figure 2E and F; Supplementary Figure S2). The Δxer::lacS strain showed elevated frequencies of cells that were anucleate (or had very weak DAPI staining) and greater frequencies of cells that appeared to be undergoing chromosome segregation (Figure 2F). Rare dividing cells that had DAPI staining only on one side (Figure 2E, ‘FS’ label) were strongly suggestive of failed chromosome segregation, a likely cause of the generation of anucleate cells.

The above observations strongly suggest that Δxer::lacS cells frequently encounter problems undergoing or attempting termination of replication or chromosome segregation. We conclude that the phenotypic characteristics of the
Figure 1 2D gel electrophoresis analysis of the fork fusion zones of *S. solfataricus* P2. (A) Cartoons depicting the possible outcomes of 2D gel analysis with respect to different mechanisms of replication termination. (B) oriC1–oriC3 fork fusion zone. (C) oriC2–oriC3 fork fusion zone. (D) oriC2–oriC1 fork fusion zone. The scale map in each panel indicates the genomic location of the restriction fragments and corresponding 2D gel results in the panels below. For each 2D gel, DNA from mid-log phase cells was digested with an appropriate restriction enzyme and then subjected to 2D agarose gel electrophoresis. The 2D pattern for each particular restriction fragment was then obtained by Southern hybridization. The coordinates for the fragments and probes are given in Supplementary Table S1, available at *The EMBO Journal* Online.
Axer::lacS strain are consistent with a role for Xer in chromosome dimer resolution in S. solfataricus. Identification of the S. solfataricus dif site

In bacteria, the Xer proteins act at a specific chromosomal site, dif, to bring about recombination and chromosome segregation. Although dif is conserved amongst bacterial species, searches of the S. solfataricus genome sequence with bacterial dif consensus sequences failed to clearly identify candidate sites. Furthermore, DNA sequence composition analyses, which have helped guide searches for dif sites previously, revealed only weak sequence compositional skew profiles that are inconclusive with regards to the location of dif (Zhang and Zhang, 2005). To identify a binding site(s) for Xer, we conducted an in vivo search of the S. solfataricus genome using a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-based approach. DNA recovered from the Xer-ChIP was labelled and then used to interrogate microarrays that contained one oligonucleotide feature per open reading frame. The results of this ‘ChIP-chip’ approach, shown in Figure 3A, revealed significant enrichment of DNA hybridizing to probes for two adjacent open reading frames, SSO1161 and SSO1162, which are separated by a large intergenic region of 650 nt.

AN, anucleate; CN, compact nucleoid; LD, large diffuse nucleoid; NS, nucleoid segregation; FS, failed segregation; DC, doublet or dividing cell. Further examples are provided in Supplementary Figure S2, available at The EMBO Journal Online. (F) Frequency analysis of the morphology types observed by microscopy. Each cell type was scored as percent of total cells.
frames have an obvious connection to chromosome metabolism or cell division; SSO1161 encodes a protein of the higher eukaryote and prokaryote nucleotide-binding domain (HEPN) superfamily, SSO1162 encodes an efflux pump of the major facilitator superfamily.

To search for the specific binding site(s) for Xer within the SSO1161–1162 region, we first generated four overlapping PCR products from the intergenic region and used these in electrophoretic mobility-shift assays (EMSAs) using recombinant Xer. As shown in Figure 4A, the labelled fragment ‘dif3’, located approximately centrally in the intergenic region, exhibited two Xer-concentration-dependent mobility shifts, demonstrating specific binding by Xer to this fragment. Examination of the sequence of this fragment revealed three candidate dyad symmetric elements that we chose to analyse individually using EMSAs. Two of the dyad elements, named DYAD2 and DYAD3, overlap significantly (Figure 4B). We found that both sequences in isolation bound specifically to Xer (Figure 4B). However, DYAD2 only gave rise to one mobility-shifted species, whereas DYAD3 gave rise to two mobility-shifted species in a Xer-concentration-dependent manner, consistent with the previous EMSA in Figure 4A involving the much larger ‘dif3’ PCR fragment. These findings strongly suggest that DYAD3 is the dif site of S. solfataricus, with DYAD2 only sharing enough common sequence with DYAD3 to bind one subunit of Xer. Furthermore, DYAD3 shows recognizable sequence similarity to bacterial dif sites, including several of the most conserved bases that participate in sequence symmetry (Figure 4C). To test whether DYAD2 or DYAD3 acts as a dif site by acting as a substrate for Xer-mediated site-specific recombination, we next established a Xer-dependent recombination system.

The S. solfataricus dif site functions in intermolecular and intramolecular site-specific recombination

We inserted a series of double-stranded oligonucleotides with either wild-type or mutant DYAD2 and DYAD3 into pPCR-Script and assayed for plasmid multimerization in vitro in a Xer-dependent manner. We first tested a 50-bp DNA fragment encompassing both DYAD2 and DYAD3. As may be seen in Figure 5A, we observed a Xer-dependent multimerization of the plasmid, dependent on the presence of the 50-bp sequence containing DYAD2 and DYAD3 (compare ‘empty vector’ with ‘dIId–dIII’, Figure 5A). Significantly, when we mutated DYAD2 but retained DYAD3 as wild type, we detected clear Xer-mediated recombination indistinguishable from the full-length wild-type sequence (‘dII mutA’ and ‘dII mutB’, Figure 5A). In contrast, the mutation of DYAD3 with preservation of DYAD2 abolished Xer-dependent recombination (Figure 5A, ‘dIII mut’). Thus, in agreement with our conclusions from the EMSA experiments above, DYAD3 functions as a dif site in intermolecular recombination reactions containing purified Xer-6H.

Next, we inserted the dif site, either as the dif3 273nt PCR product or the isolated 38-bp DYAD3 sequence in tandem or inverted orientations in the plasmid, with a 1.8-kb fragment (containing lac5) between each site. Recombination between tandemly repeated sites would result in excision of the intervening DNA, whereas recombination between inversely oriented sites would invert the intervening DNA (Figure 5B, upper panels). As can be seen in the lower panel of Figure 5B, the 38-bp DYAD3 site was sufficient to generate the predicted intramolecular recombination products in both orientations. Therefore, the Xer-binding DYAD3 site that our in vivo and in vitro studies have identified acts as a dif site for Xer-mediated intramolecular and intermolecular recombination in a reconstituted system containing only the pure Xer protein. This contrasts with studies in E. coli, Streptococcus pneumoniae and Vibrio cholerae, where a complete recombination reaction at dif required the Xer recombinases and FtsK (Aussel et al., 2002; Val et al., 2008; Nolivos et al., 2010).

In bacteria, dif is located within the last-replicated region of the chromosome, ~180° across from the origin of replication. It is notable that in S. solfataricus, the dif site is located very asymmetrically between oriC2 and oriC3. This inter-origin region is 1.27 Mbp in length with dif located 0.26 Mbp from oriC3 and 0.375 Mbp from the mid-point of the fork fusion zone (Figure 6). This organization strongly suggests that chromosome dimer resolution is spatially and temporally distinct from replication termination in S. solfataricus. Furthermore, the absence of FtsK homologues in Sulfolobus suggests that chromosome segregation and dimer resolution

Figure 3 Identification of the Xer-binding ‘dif’ locus in S. solfataricus. Xer was immunoprecipitated from formaldehyde-fixed and sonicated S. solfataricus P2 cell extracts, and bound DNA was analysed by the ‘ChIP-chip’ method and by quantitative real-time PCR. (A) ChIP-chip data for anti-Xer ChIP reactions, indicating the peak heights for ORFs SSO1161 and SSO1162, which show a significant enrichment above background. (B) qPCR analysis of anti-Xer and anti-MCM ChIP reactions, using the four indicated primer sets (dif2, dif3, oriC3 and lrs14), in two sets of independent experiments. ‘Input’ DNA, purified from the cell extract used in the chromatin immunoprecipitations, was used to generate standard curves in quantification. Data were normalized to the highest yielding sample for each ChIP. The anti-MCM ChIP serves as a control reaction, in which MCM was expected to bind preferentially to origin DNA. The lrs14 control locus was expected not to bind specifically to either MCM or Xer.
are not linked in a bacterial-like manner. The relatively early replication of dif before termination in S. solfataricus suggests the existence of another mechanism to attain close proximity of dif sites until after replication termination. Previous data have suggested that S. solfataricus maintains its sister chromatids in a cohesed state for an extended period of time after replication (Robinson et al., 2007), and observations of chromosome conformation in whole cells suggested that segregation occurs relatively rapidly late in the cell cycle, well after termination of replication (Poplawski and Bernander, 1997). It is therefore possible that dimer resolution does not occur until an as-yet unidentified chromosome segregation machinery acts later in the cell cycle.

There is a considerable genetic load placed on bacterial chromosomes to ensure that dimer resolution at the dif site occurs at the appropriate time and place in the replication cycle. The sequence composition of the chromosome imparts directional cues to FtsK to ensure that DNA is pumped in the appropriate direction (Bigot et al., 2005, 2007; Lesterlin et al., 2008), and the Ter sites ensure that dif remains in the last replicating region of the chromosome (Duggin et al., 2008b). One consequence of having such highly tailored chromosomes is that if ectopic origins of replication integrate into the chromosome, they will likely result in an unsynchronized arrival of replication forks at termination sites and cause a premature replication and segregation of dif. This would consequently lead to inefficient prolonged stalling of replication forks and their potential collapse, and could result in failure to resolve chromosome dimers. The deleterious consequences of such scenarios are consistent with the observed maintenance of a single-replication origin per bacterial chromosome. In contrast, in Sulfolobus, we have found that termination occurs by stochastic collision of forks and the dif site is located well outside the fork fusion zones. These apparently less constrained features of the Sulfolobus genome structure may have imparted greater plasticity to replicon evolution in Sulfolobus, thus making ancestral Sulfolobus chromosomes receptive to additional origins of replication.

Materials and methods

Analysis of fork fusion zones by 2D gel electrophoresis

DNA from mid-log phase S. solfataricus P2 cells was extracted after embedding the cells in agarose plugs (Robinson et al., 2004). After digestion with each of the restriction enzymes indicated in Supplementary Table S1, 2D gel electrophoresis and Southern transfer were carried out as described (Duggin et al., 2008a). The
membranes were probed with 32P-random-prime-labelled PCR products (0.5 kb) that had been amplified from S. solfataricus P2 genomic DNA to target the appropriate restriction fragments (Supplementary Table S1, available at The EMBO Journal Online).

Xer-deletion strain construction and phenotypic analysis
The plasmid pIDSB21, for deletion of xer (Figure 2A), was constructed by sequentially cloning PCR products of the xer upstream (genome coordinates: 319885–319141) and downstream (318443–317686) flanking regions into the KpnI–NcoI and BamHI–NotI sites, respectively, of pET2268 (Szabó et al., 2007). pIDSB21 was linearized with KpnI, purified, and then mixed with PBL2025 cells for electroporation as described (Albers and Driessen, 2008). Transformed cells were selected by liquid-culture growth for several passages in Brock’s medium with 0.4% (w/v) lactose as the sole carbon source, followed by streak plating on Gelrite plates of the same media for isolation of clones. Double-crossover xer-deleted clones were identified by PCR, and western blotting using anti-Xer antisera.

Coulter cell volume analyses were carried out as described (Duggin et al., 2008a). For flow cytometry, cells were first fixed by mixing 0.3 ml of culture (A600 = 0.3) with 0.7 ml ice-cold ethanol and stored at 4°C. Cells were centrifuged for 5 min at 13 000 r.p.m. and resuspended in 1 ml 10 mM Tris–Cl (pH 7.4), 10 mM MgCl2. Cells were centrifuged and then resuspended in this buffer containing 10 μM Sytox Green (Invitrogen) and 100 μg/ml RNaseA. Fluorescence distributions containing ~100 000 cells were recorded on a BD LSR II flow cytometer with a 488-nm laser excitation and a 525-nm emission filter, with signal acquisition triggered on the side-scatter parameter. All samples were acquired using identical voltage settings.

For microscopy, cells were sampled at the same time as those for flow cytometry in Figure 2D and were prepared with the same method except the final resuspension contained 1 μg/ml DAPI.

Figure 5 Xer is necessary and sufficient for recombination at dif in vitro. (A) Intermolecular recombination assays. Plasmids containing a 50-bp DNA fragment encompassing DYAD3 (dIII) and DYAD2 (dII), or a 50-bp DNA fragment mutated for dII or dIII (the mutated bases are represented by bold underlined text) were incubated with Xer-6H. Recombination products (plasmid multimers) were detected by agarose gel electrophoresis (lower panel). (B) Intramolecular recombination assays. (Upper panel) Plasmids containing two test sequences in either a direct or inverted repeat orientation flanking a lacS gene cassette were incubated with Xer-6H. After digestion by SpeI, the products were analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis. For each DNA substrate tested (lower panel), the 273-bp dif3 PCR sequence is indicated by a grey box, whereas DYAD3, either in the context of the dif3 PCR fragment (within the grey box) or cloned as a 38-bp double-stranded oligonucleotide, is indicated by a white triangle. The lacS gene is shown as a white box.

Figure 6 Overall replication organization of the S. solfataricus chromosome. Origins are indicated with open circles, the ~100-kb fork fusion zones [(ffz(x–y), where x and y refer to adjacent origins] tested in Figure 1 are shown as thick black arcs and the dif site by a black triangle.
instead of Sytox Green/RNase. Cells were mounted on agarose pads containing DAPI and incubated for 20 min at room temperature before DIC and fluorescence imaging.

**Purification of Xer-6H and anti-Xer antibodies**

The S. solfataricus Xer protein was overproduced as a C-terminally hexa-Histidine tagged protein in E. coli. It was purified as described (Isogen, de Meem, the Netherlands) and characterized using standard methods with 2× TBS as the buffer base. Heparin-Sepharose chromatography was used as an additional step for Xer recombination reactions. Protein concentration was measured by absorbance at 280 nm, assuming $E_{280} = 24,410 \frac{M}{cm \cdot 1\text{M}}$. Antisera were raised against Xer-6H in rabbits. Antibodies were affinity purified from serum using Xer-6H immobilized on an NHS-activated agarose Hi-Trap column (GE Healthcare), and were eluted with 0.1 M glycine (pH 2.5) before neutralization and storage.

**ChiP with microarray (ChiP-chip) and qPCR analysis**

Mid-log phase S. solfataricus P2 cells were formaldehyde-fixed and total cell extracts were prepared in TBST buffer as described (Robinson et al., 2004). Extracts were sonicated to achieve a sheared DNA size range of 0.5–2.0 kb, and then centrifuged for 10 min at 13,000 r.p.m. at 4°C. In all, 1.5 ml of the supernatant (containing ~1.5 mg total protein by Bradford assay) was then gently mixed at 4°C for ~18 h with 100 μl Dynabeads-Protein A (Invitrogen), which had been pre-loaded with 20 μg affinity-purified antibody and then washed twice with 1 ml TBST. Beads were then washed five times with 1 ml TBST, and then DNA was recovered as described (Robinson et al., 2004), without the back-extraction step. In all, 10 ng of the recovered DNA was labelled with Cyanine dyes, as described (Duggin et al., 2008a), as was 500 ng input DNA purified from extract that had not been incubated with beads. Hybridization, including flip-dye replicates, with S. solfataricus microarrays (Isogen, de Meem, the Netherlands) was carried out as described (Duggin et al., 2008a), with the final scanning done at PMT voltages that gave no saturated spots. The intensity ratios of ChiP to input DNA for spots representing protein-encoding genes that passed quality control (Saeed et al., 2006) were then determined. Real-time quantitative PCR was carried out as described (Duggin et al., 2008a), with the dif1 and dif2 primer pairs, further below, and the following two control primer pairs:

oriC3-F: CAGACATTTTCTCACTGATTATTTAGTGTC
oriC3-R: GGGGGCGGGCGGGCGGGCGGGCGGGCGGGCGGGCGGGCGGGCG

**Electrophoretic mobility-shift assays**

PCR products were obtained using the following primer pairs and S. solfataricus P2 genomic DNA. PCR products were then digested with EcoRI and purified, prior to end labelling with Klenow.

dif1–dif2 U: ACAGTGTTACATATCGAGGATTAATGTCGTAAGGTGACCCCTATGTGTCCTCC

dif1–dif2 L: GGGAGACGTCAGTACGAACTGGTCTGGTGAGTTAACCTCCAGTT

Double-stranded oligonucleotides corresponding to the dyad symmetric elements in the dif3 PCR amplicon were generated by annealing the following oligonucleotide pairs after radiolabelling with $\gamma^{32}$P-ATP and polynucleotide kinase.
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