**Abstract**

**Introduction:** The incidence of complications and mortality in patients undergoing elective surgery in India are unknown. We contributed Indian data to ISOS. Since there were fewer than ten centers, Indian data were not included in the primary analysis. We report postoperative outcomes in the Indian data set of patients following elective surgery.

**Materials and methods:** In this prospective 7-day observational study, after obtaining a waiver of informed consent, data were collected for 30 days from consecutive patients >18 years undergoing elective surgery. The primary outcome was in-hospital postoperative complications. The secondary outcomes were in-hospital all-cause mortality, the relationship between postoperative complications and admission to critical care, and the duration of hospital stay. Complications were graded as mild, moderate, and severe. Failure to rescue was defined as mortality in patients admitted to an intensive care unit (ICU) for the treatment of complications.

**Results:** Complications occurred in 57 (27.5%) patients, who were older (53 vs 47 years, *p* < 0.001) and had American Society of Anaesthesiologists grades III and IV physical status (*p* = 0.029). One hundred and thirty-eight (65.7%) patients underwent a major surgical procedure of which 132 (62.8%) procedures were done for malignancy. Postoperative complications were significantly higher (41.5% vs 22.7%) in patients electively admitted to ICU. The overall mortality rate was 2.4%, whereas the mortality rate was 8.8% in those who developed complications.

**Conclusion:** We found that 28% of patients developed postoperative complications. The overall mortality was 2.4% but was higher (8.8%) in those who developed complications. Age and complex surgical procedures independently predicted complications, while lower preoperative hemoglobin appeared to be protective.
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**Introduction**

More than 97% of the population in South Asia have no access to health care. In a spatial analytic study, Dare et al. attempted to quantify age-standardized deaths from surgical conditions from 1.1 million representative households in India. They found in most cases mortality occurred at home (71%) and in the rural area (87%). If one lived more than 50 km from a well-resourced hospital, the odds of being included in the high mortality cluster were very high [odds ratio (OR) = 4.4, 99% confidence interval (CI) = 3.2–6.0]. This is true for many low-income countries across the world. The vision of a Lancet Commission on global surgery is universal access when needed to affordable, safe, surgical treatment, and anesthesia care. Initial steps have been taken in some of these countries, including India (https://www.lancetglobalsurgery.org/india). Consequently, this will lead to an increased number of surgical procedures and their complications, need for intensive care, costs, and impact on quality of life.

European Surgical Outcomes Study (EuSOS), an international epidemiological European study, assessed the outcomes after noncardiac surgery, which found a higher than anticipated overall crude mortality rate of 4%. However, EuSOS did not study postoperative complications and the length of stay (LOS). International Surgical Outcomes Study (ISOS) was designed to evaluate the global incidence of complications, associated risk factors, and mortality following elective inpatient surgery. Since there were fewer than ten participating centers from India, Indian data was not incorporated in the primary analysis. Currently, there is no literature on clinical outcomes following elective surgery in India. We present unpublished Indian data as a comparative analysis of characteristics and postoperative outcomes in patients who developed and did not develop complications, and patients who were and were not electively admitted to ICU after surgery.
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**Materials and Methods**

This was a 7-day observational cohort study of complications following elective in-patient surgery. Institutional Ethics Committees of all participating centers approved the study with a waiver of informed consent. All adult patients aged ≥18 years undergoing elective surgery during the 7-day study period, with a planned overnight stay, were included. Patients undergoing emergency surgery, planned day-case surgery, or radiological procedures were excluded. The individual centers chose a 7-day period between April and June 2014 for data collection. Patients were followed up until 30 days after surgery. The primary outcome measure was in-hospital postoperative complications from any cause (censored at 30 days). Secondary outcomes were in-hospital all-cause mortality, relationship between intensive care admission and postoperative complications, and the duration of primary hospital stay. Baseline demographics, American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) grade, comorbidities, preoperative laboratory parameters, the severity of the surgical procedure, type of surgery, use of surgical checklist, elective ICU admission, postoperative complications, and mortality data were collected for all patients. ICU was defined as a facility capable of admitting patients requiring invasive ventilation overnight. Failure to rescue was defined as mortality in patients admitted to ICU for the treatment of complications. Complications were graded as mild, moderate, and severe according to predefined criteria. For definitions of postoperative complications and grades, refer to supplementary material.

**Statistics**

Continuous variables are described as mean and standard deviation (if normally distributed) or median and interquartile range (if not normally distributed) and are compared using an independent t-test or Mann–Whitney U test as applicable. Categorical variables are described as proportions and compared using chi-square or Fisher’s exact test. Univariate logistic regression analysis was performed for all variables to identify the factors associated with postoperative complications and in patients electively admitted to ICU versus those who were not. Factors entered into the models were based on their univariate relation to postoperative complications (p < 0.2) and biological plausibility. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed by backward stepwise selection of variables to identify factors independently associated with postoperative complications and to adjust for differences in confounding factors. Results of logistic regression are reported as adjusted OR with 95% CIs. P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. IBM® SPSS® Statistics version 20 was used for statistical analysis.

**Results**

Three Indian centers participated and collected the data, recruiting 209 patients. Results are reported for 207 patients, and two patients were excluded from analysis because of missing data. To evaluate the characteristics of postoperative complications and the relationship between elective ICU admission and postoperative complications, results are described between patients with and without complications and between patients who were electively admitted and not to ICU immediately after surgery, respectively. Centers 1, 2, and 3 recruited 116 (56%), 53 (25.6%), and 38 (18.4%) patients, respectively. Complications occurred in 57 (27.5%) patients (Table 1). The median age of the patients was 49 (18–80) years. Patients who developed complications were significantly older (53 vs 47 years, p < 0.001) and had ASA Grade III and IV physical status (p = 0.029). There was no difference in the gender, comorbidities, and proportion of smokers between patients who developed and did not develop complications. Patients with complications had significantly higher hemoglobin level. Other preoperative laboratory parameters were similar. Major surgical procedure was performed in 138 (65.7%) patients. Head and neck surgery was performed in 68 (31.9%) patients. Centre 1 contributed majority of the cancer patient’s 110/116 (94.8%), center 2 contributed all the cardiac surgery patients 21/53 (39.6%), and center 3 contributed head and neck 27/38 (71%) and orthopedic cases. Complications were more common in patients who had undergone head and neck, gastrointestinal, urological, and thoracic surgeries. Sixty-three percent of patients (n = 132) underwent cancer surgery. Overall compliance with the surgical checklist was 80.7%. There was no association between checklist compliance and morbidity and mortality (2.4% vs 2.5%, p = 1.000). Patients electively admitted to ICU had significantly higher compliance with the checklist. There was no difference in anesthesia technique between the groups.

Twenty-five percent of patients were electively admitted to ICU postoperatively. They were significantly older, with ASA grade III and IV physical status, and underwent major surgical procedures for cardiac, abdominal, and cancer conditions (Table 1).

**Postoperative Outcomes**

Complications occurred in 57 (27.5%) patients. There was no difference in the time spent in the postanesthesia care unit (PACU) between patients with and without complications. Infectious complications occurred in 19.8% of patients, of these 9.7% patients had superficial surgical site infection and 5% developed pneumonia. Ten (4.8%) patients developed cardiovascular complications such as myocardial infarction, arrhythmias, pulmonary edema, pulmonary embolism, and cardiac arrest. Three patients developed neurological complications. Acute kidney injury (AKI) occurred in 8 (3.9%) patients and was associated with significantly high mortality (50% vs 0.5%, p < 0.001). Patients with complications needed blood transfusion and/or drug therapy (47.4%) and surgical or radiological interventional (28%) procedures (Tables 2, 3).

Overall 18 patients (31.6%) required ICU admission for the treatment of complications (Table 3). The overall mortality rate was 2.4% and 8.8% in those with complications (failure to rescue). One of the centers had a protocol of caring for postoperative cardiothoracic patients in the ICU for 48 to 72 hours, and another center cared for neurosurgery patients in the ICU until a bed was available in the ward. Consequently, these patients were cared for in the ICU despite not developing complications. Postoperative complications were associated with significantly increased ICU and postoperative LOS.

Postoperatively 53 patients (25.6%) were electively admitted to ICU. Patients who were not electively admitted to ICU after surgery spent significantly more time in PACU (Table 3). Postoperative complications were significantly higher (41.5% vs 22.7%) in patients electively admitted to ICU. There was a trend toward increased cardiovascular (9.4% vs 3.2%, p = 0.07) and infectious (28.3% vs 16.9%, p = 0.072) complications in these patients (Table 3). They required significantly more blood transfusions, drug therapy, and interventional procedures and developed AKI (9.4% vs 1.9%). There was no difference in mortality between the elective admission groups. Patients who were electively admitted to ICU had significantly longer ICU and postoperative hospital stay. There...
Table 1: Baseline demographics and characteristics of patients with and without complications and patients electively admitted or not to ICU after surgery

| Variables                      | All patients N = 207 (%) | No complications N = 150 (%) | Complications N = 57 (%) | Elective ICU—no N = 154 (%) | Elective ICU—yes N = 53 (%) | P value |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)*                  |                          |                               |                          |                               |                               |         |
| Male, N (%)                   | 119 (57.5)               | 86 (57.3)                     | 33 (57.9)                | 81 (52.6)                     | 38 (71.7)                     | 0.015   |
| Female, N (%)                 | 88 (42.5)                | 64 (42.7)                     | 24 (42.1)                | 73 (47.4)                     | 15 (28.3)                     |         |
| Smoker, N (%)                 | 14 (6.8)                 | 10 (6.7)                      | 4 (7)                    | 9 (5.8)                       | 5 (9.4)                       | 0.369   |
| ASA grade, N (%)              | I                        | 91 (44)                       | 72 (48)                  | 19 (33)                       | 82 (53.2)                     | <0.001  |
|                              | II                       | 91 (44)                       | 64 (42.7)                | 27 (47.4)                     | 67 (43.5)                     |         |
|                              | III                      | 23 (11)                       | 14 (9.3)                 | 9 (15.8)                      | 5 (3.2)                       |         |
| Comorbid diseases, n (%)      |                          |                               |                          |                               |                               |         |
| Ischemic heart disease        | 27 (13)                  | 21 (14)                       | 6 (10.5)                 | 5 (3.2)                       | 22 (41.5)                     | <0.001  |
| Heart failure                 | 4 (1.9)                  | 3 (2)                         | 1 (1.8)                  | 1.000                         | 1 (0.6)                       | 3 (5.7) |
| Diabetes mellitus             | 40 (19.3)                | 27 (18)                       | 13 (22.8)                | 0.434                         | 23 (14.9)                     | 0.006   |
| Metastatic cancer             | 5 (2.4)                  | 3 (2)                         | 2 (3.5)                  | 0.617                         | 2 (1.3)                       | 3 (5.7) |
| Stroke                        | 1 (0.5)                  | 1 (0.7)                       | –                       | 1.000                         | –                             | 1 (1.9) |
| COPD/asthma                   | 6 (2.9)                  | 5 (3.3)                       | 1 (1.8)                  | 1.000                         | 4 (2.6)                       | 2 (3.8) |
| Other                         | 59 (28.2)                | 38 (25.3)                     | 21 (36.8)                | 0.101                         | 38 (24.7)                     | 0.038   |
| Laboratory parameters, median (range) |                    |                               |                          |                               |                               |         |
| Hb (g %)*                     | 12.3 (7–16.4)            | 11 (7–16.4)                   | 11.7 (8–15.6)            | 0.002                         | 12.3 (7.2–16.4)               | 0.831   |
| White cell count (10³/L)*     | 8 (2.5–27)               | 8 (2.5–23.4)                  | 8.4 (2.5–27)            | 0.354                         | 7.9 (2.5–24)                  | 0.033   |
| Sodium (mEq/L)*               | 137 (121–154)            | 137 (125–144)                 | 138 (121–154)           | 0.300                         | 138 (128–144)                 | 0.799   |
| Creatinine (mg/dL)*           | 0.9 (0.4–5.2)            | 0.9 (0.4–5.2)                 | 0.9 (0.4–3.6)           | 0.101                         | 0.89 (0.4–5.2)                | 0.9 (0.4–3.6) |
| Severity of surgery, N (%)    | Minor                    | 18 (8.7)                      | 15 (10)                 | 3 (5.3)                       | 16 (10.4)                     | 2 (3.8) |
|                              | Intermediate             | 53 (25.6)                     | 43 (28.7)               | 10 (17.5)                     | 47 (30.5)                     | 6 (11.3) |
|                              | Major                    | 138 (65.7)                    | 92 (61.3)               | 44 (77.2)                     | 91 (59.1)                     | 45 (84.9) |
| Surgical procedures, N (%)    | Orthopedic               | 24 (11.6)                     | 22 (14.7)               | 2 (3.5)                       | 22 (14.3)                     | 2 (3.8) |
|                              | Breast                   | 23 (11.1)                     | 18 (12)                 | 5 (8.8)                       | 22 (14.3)                     | 1 (1.9) |
|                              | Obstetrics and gynecology| 12 (5.8)                      | 9 (6)                   | 3 (5.3)                       | 10 (6.5)                      | 2 (3.8) |
|                              | Urology and kidney       | 21 (10.1)                     | 15 (10)                 | 6 (10.5)                      | 15 (9.7)                      | 6 (11.3) |
|                              | Gastrointestinal         | 13 (6.3)                      | 5 (3.3)                 | 8 (14)                        | 7 (4.5)                       | 6 (11.3) |
|                              | Hepatobiliary            | 9 (4.3)                       | 6 (4)                   | 3 (5.3)                       | 4 (2.6)                       | 5 (9.4) |
|                              | Vascular                 | 2 (1)                         | 2 (1.3)                 | –                             | 1 (0.6)                       | 1 (1.9) |
|                              | Head and neck            | 68 (31.9)                     | 50 (33.3)               | 16 (28.1)                     | 61 (39.6)                     | 5 (9.4) |
|                              | Plastics and cutaneous   | 6 (2.9)                       | 3 (2)                   | 3 (5.3)                       | 5 (3.2)                       | 1 (1.9) |
|                              | Cardiac                  | 21 (10.1)                     | 17 (11.3)               | 4 (7)                         | –                             | 21 (39.6) |
|                              | Thoracic                 | 8 (3.9)                       | 2 (1.3)                 | 6 (10.5)                      | 6 (3.9)                       | 2 (3.8) |
|                              | Other                    | 2 (1)                         | 1 (0.7)                 | 1 (1.8)                       | 1 (0.6)                       | 1 (1.9) |
| Other details, N (%)          | Laparoscopic surgery     | 7 (3.4)                       | 3 (2)                   | 4 (7)                         | 0.093                         | 5 (3.2) |
|                              | Cancer surgery           | 132 (62.8)                    | 91 (60.7)               | 39 (68.4)                     | 0.302                         | 112 (72.7) | 18 (34) |
|                              | Surgical check list      | 167 (80.7)                    | 117 (78)                | 50 (87.7)                     | 0.114                        | 116 (75.3) | 51 (96.2) |
| Anesthesia, N (%)             | General                  | 204 (98.6)                    | 147 (98)                | 57 (100)                      | 0.282                         | 151 (98.1) | 53 (100) |
|                              | Spinal                   | 2 (1)                         | 2 (1.3)                 | –                             | 1.000                         | 2 (1.3)  | 1.000   |
|                              | Epidural                 | 23 (11.1)                     | 14 (9.3)                | 9 (15.8)                      | 0.187                         | 13 (8.4) | 10 (18.9) |
|                              | Sedation/local           | 1 (0.5)                       | 1 (0.7)                 | –                             | 1.000                         | 1 (0.6)  | 1.000   |

* Values are expressed as median (range); elective ICU—no: patients not admitted electively postoperatively in ICU; elective ICU—yes: patients admitted electively postoperatively in ICU; N: number of patients
Outcomes of Patients after Elective Surgery

Table 2: Type and severity of postoperative complications (N = 57)

| Variables                          | All patients N = 207 (%) | Mild N (%) | Moderate N (%) | Severe N (%) |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|
| Infectious complications: 41 (19.8%) | 18 (9.7)                | 11 (5.3)  | 3 (1.4)        | 4 (1.9)      |
| Superficial surgical site          | 9 (4.4)                  | 6 (2.9)   | 2 (1)          | 1 (0.5)      |
| Deep surgical site                 | 4 (1.9)                  | 1 (0.5)   | 2 (1)          | 1 (0.5)      |
| Pneumonia                          | 11 (5.3)                 | –         | 6 (2.9)        | 5 (2.4)      |
| Urinary tract                      | 6 (2.9)                  | 2 (1)     | 3 (1.4)        | 1 (0.5)      |
| Bloodstream                        | 6 (2.9)                  | 1 (0.5)   | 3 (1.4)        | 2 (1)        |
| Cardiovascular complications: 10 (4.8%) |                          |            |                |              |
| Myocardial infarction              | 2 (1)                    | 1 (0.5)   | 1 (0.5)        | –            |
| Arrhythmia                         | 1 (0.5)                  | –         | –              | 1 (0.5)      |
| Pulmonary edema                    | 1 (0.5)                  | 1 (0.5)   | –              | –            |
| Pulmonary embolism                 | 1 (0.5)                  | –         | 1 (0.5)        | –            |
| Stroke                             | 3 (1.5)                  | 1 (0.5)   | 2 (1)          | –            |
| Cardiac arrest                     | 5 (2.4)                  | –         | –              | 5 (2.4)      |
| Other complications: 31 (14.9%)    |                          |            |                |              |
| AKI                                | 8 (3.9)                  | 1 (0.5)   | 4 (1.9)        | 3 (1.4)      |
| Postoperative bleed                | 6 (2.9)                  | –         | 6 (2.9)        | –            |
| ARDS                               | 1 (0.5)                  | –         | –              | 1 (0.5)      |
| Anastomotic leak                   | 1 (0.5)                  | –         | –              | 1 (0.5)      |
| All others                          | 15 (7.2)                 | 9 (4.3)   | 6 (2.9)        |              |

All data expressed as n (%) number (%); ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome

Table 3: Postoperative outcomes in patients with and without complications and patients electively admitted or not to ICU after surgery

| Variables                                  | All patients N = 207 | No complications N = 150 (%) | Complications N = 57 (%) | P value | Elective ICU—no N = 154 (%) | Elective ICU—yes N = 53 (%) | P value |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Elective ICU admission                     | 53 (25.6)            | 31 (20.7)                   | 22 (38.6)                | 0.008   | –                           | 53 (100)                    | <0.001  |
| Postoperative time in PACU (hours)         | 2 (0–36)             | 2 (0–35)                    | 2 (0–36)                 | 0.679   | 2 (0–36)                    | 0 (0–35)                    | <0.001  |
| Complications yes                          | 57 (27.5)            | –                           | 57 (100)                 | <0.001  | 5 (3.2)                     | 13 (24.5)                   | <0.001  |
| ICU admission for complications             | 18 (8.7)             | –                           | 18 (31.6)                | <0.001  | 5 (3.2)                     | 13 (24.5)                   | <0.001  |
| CVS complications                           | 10 (4.8)             | –                           | 10 (17.5)                | <0.001  | 5 (3.2)                     | 5 (9.4)                     | 0.070   |
| Postoperative AKI                           | 8 (3.9)              | –                           | 8 (14)                   | <0.001  | 3 (1.9)                     | 5 (9.4)                     | 0.028   |
| Infectious complications                    | 41 (19.8)            | –                           | 41 (71.9)                | <0.001  | 26 (16.9)                   | 15 (28.3)                   | 0.072   |
| Blood transfusion/drug therapy              | 27 (13)              | –                           | 27 (47.4)                | <0.001  | 9 (5.8)                     | 18 (34)                     | <0.001  |
| Interventionsal procedures (surgery/radiology) | 16 (7.7)            | –                           | 16 (28.1)                | <0.001  | 8 (5.2)                     | 8 (15.1)                    | 0.020   |
| Mortality                                   | 5 (2.4)              | –                           | 5 (8.8)                  | <0.001  | 4 (2.6)                     | 1 (1.9)                     | 1.000   |
| ICU LOS days, median (range)                | 0 (0–30)             | 0 (1–19)                    | 6 (1–30)                 | <0.001  | 0 (0–7)                     | 4 (0–30)                    | <0.001  |
| Hospital LOS days, median (range)           | 5 (1–30)             | 4 (1–30)                    | 9 (1–30)                 | <0.001  | 4 (1–28)                    | 7 (1–30)                    | <0.001  |

Elective ICU—no: patients not admitted electively postoperatively in ICU; elective ICU—yes and elective ICU admission: patients admitted electively in ICU immediately after surgery; N: number of patients; PACU, postoperative anesthesia care unit; AKI, acute kidney injury; CVS, cardiovascular; LOS, length of stay (postoperative)

was no significant difference in failure to rescue rate between the patient groups after elective admission to ICU.

Age, preoperative hemoglobin level, and major surgical procedure were identified as independent predictors for postoperative complications on multivariate analysis (Table 4). The risk of complication increased by 3% for every 1-year increase in age. The odds of developing complications increased by 2.5 times following major surgery, whereas lower hemoglobin appears protective.
DISCUSSION

This prospective cohort subset of international study has given us insights into patient characteristics, morbidity, and mortality following elective surgery in India. The observed complication and mortality rate following surgery in the Indian subset were 27.5% and 2.4%, respectively. This is considerably higher, compared to 19.8% and 0.5% in high-income countries (HICs) and 11% and 0.4% in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), respectively. African Surgical Outcomes Study (ASOS) included data from 25 African countries undergoing all surgical procedures. They observed 13.4% complication and 1% mortality rate after elective surgery. However, surgical patients in ASOS were younger and had lower ASA grade. Therefore, LMICs and ASOS data should be interpreted with caution, as their patients were younger and healthier (ASA grade I and II).

Hackett et al. evaluated the ability of ASA physical status to predict the postoperative complications and mortality in over 22 million patients in the American College of Surgeons ACS National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS-NSQIP) in the 2012 database. They found that ASA physical status was a strong and independent predictive metric for predicting postoperative morbidity and mortality, across all types of surgeries. As the ASA physical status increased, so did the morbidity and mortality.

The overall mortality in LMICs is similar to HICs despite low-risk patients, suggesting scarcity of resources in caring for the sick low-risk patients after developing complications. South African Surgical Outcomes Study (SASOS) replicated the EuSOS study and observed a crude mortality rate of 3.1% and included elective, urgent, and emergency procedures. Failure to rescue the patients after the development of complications in the Indian subset was 8.8%, which is considerably higher compared to 2.6%, 3.3%, and 4.8% in observed HICs, LMICs, and African nations, respectively. Failure to rescue is a well-accepted measure of postoperative quality of care. However, it should be interpreted with caution, especially when comparing data from different nations with varied healthcare systems and case mix. Apart from a higher proportion of ASA grade III and IV patients, the Indian data set had a higher number \((n = 132, 62.8\% \text{ vs } 20.3\%)\) of cancer patients. Leeds et al. analyzed the 2005–2015 NSQIP data set of a gastrointestinal data set for the outcomes of patients undergoing surgeries for malignant versus benign lesions. They found that there was an increased likelihood of 30-day mortality \((OR = 1.18, 95\% \text{ CI } = 1.10–1.28)\), complication rate \((OR = 1.09, 95\% \text{ CI } = 1.07–1.11)\), and the total number of complications \((OR = 1.08, 95\% \text{ CI } = 1.06–1.11)\) in patients undergoing surgery for cancer compared to benign lesions. More patients in the Indian subset underwent major surgical procedures \((65.7\% \text{ vs } 36\%)\), and this may have been an additional factor associated with increased complication rate. Indian patients with complications were older, with ASA grade III and IV physical status, and underwent major surgeries, which are known risk factors for postoperative complications. SASOS found age, ASA grade ≥II, major surgery, and infective etiology as an independent predictor of mortality. Another difference observed was a lower proportion of smokers in the Indian subset \((6.8\% \text{ vs } 17.8\%)\) compared to global data.

In the Indian subset, 25% of patients were electively admitted to ICU postoperatively, compared to the 11.4%, 6.9%, and 7.8% observed in HICs, LMICs, and African nations, respectively. The higher rate observed in this subset may have been secondary to surgical unit protocols of caring for postoperatively in the ICU for 48 to 72 hours and/or until the availability of ward beds. Despite a higher rate of complications, 8.7% of patients were admitted to ICU for the treatment of complications compared to 3.2%, 2%, and 13.8% in HICs, LMICs, and African nations, respectively.

Kahan et al. evaluated the ISOS data to study the impact of elective ICU admission on postoperative outcomes of patient, hospital, and national income levels. After adjusting for known confounders and exclusion of missing relevant data, they observed the rate of admission to ICU after complications increased to 15% and 17%, respectively, in both HICs and LMICs compared to that reported in the initial analysis. The observed mortality was higher in patients admitted to ICU compared to those managed on the wards \((2\% \text{ vs } 0.3\%)\). They attribute this difference to some residual confounders. At the hospital level, they found no association between mortality and elective ICU admission, ICU admission to treat complications. They report no survival benefit of elective postoperative admission to ICU. On the contrary, in the Indian subset, patients who were electively admitted to ICU were significantly older, a greater proportion had ASA grade III and IV physical status, underwent major surgery, and a higher proportion underwent cardiac and abdominal surgery. We observed that significantly more patients with elective admission developed complications \((41.5\% \text{ vs } 22.7\%)\). However, mortality in patients who developed complications was higher in patients who were not electively admitted to ICU \((14.3\% \text{ vs } 12.2\%\) vs 1/22 (4.5%)]. Overall, there was no difference in mortality between the elective admission groups \((2.6\% \text{ vs } 1.9\%)\). The above results seem to justify the decision for elective postoperative admission of these patients to ICU. Conversely, intensive care beds are precious and limited resource in any hospital. Judicious use of this resource can help in lowering the failure to rescue rate. One aspect in the Indian context is the scarcity of trained nurses on the wards to care for these complex patients and therefore the need for establishment of protocols for elective postoperative ICU admission for 48–72 hours or longer based on surgical preference. Higher failure to rescue rate in the Indian subset may have been due to the patient and surgical factors and a possibility of nonavailability of critical care beds for critically ill patients, due to surgical protocols of caring for elective patients postoperatively irrespective of their risk stratification.

Abbott et al. reported the use of surgical checklist in 89.8% of patients in the ISOS data set. They found that exposure to surgical checklist was favorably associated with reduced mortality, but no difference in complications. In the Indian subset, surgical checklist compliance was 80.7%. Similar to Abbott et al., there was no significant difference in complications despite increased compliance with surgical checklist in patients who developed complications. In contrast to Abbott et al., we did not find any association between surgical checklist compliance and mortality. These differences may have occurred due to patient characteristics such as older age, ASA grade II and IV, and major surgical procedures performed in our patients. The infection

Table 4: Independent predictors of postoperative complications on multivariate analysis

| Variables in equation | Odds ratio | 95% CI | P value |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| Age                   | 1.031     | 1.006–1.058 | 0.016   |
| Preoperative hemoglobin | 0.722     | 0.617–0.846 | <0.001  |
| ASA grades III and IV | 2.366     | 0.892–6.279 | 0.084   |
| Severity of surgery: major | 2.525   | 1.166–5.469 | 0.019   |

Reference group in multivariate analysis for ASA grades and severity of surgery were I/II and minor/intermediate grade, respectively.
rate in our data set was more than twice the global rate (19.8% vs 8.9%), suggesting considerable scope for improvement and need for strengthening perioperative infection control measures. Chaudery et al. analyzed global ISOS data and reported 2% incidence of AKI in all patients and 4% following major surgery. We observed postoperative AKI was twice the global rate (3.9% vs 2%) for all patients and following major surgery (8.8% vs 4%). This difference may have been due to older patients, with ASA grade III and IV, and different surgical case mix in the Indian subset compared to the global ISOS population. Similarly, we observed significantly higher mortality in patients who developed AKI. Cardiovascular complications were similar to the global rate (4.8% vs 4.5%). We identified preoperative hemoglobin as one of the independent predictors of complications, i.e., low hemoglobin was associated with a better outcome. We believe this to be a

Based on the current study, one in three patients is likely to develop complications after elective surgery, and one in 11 patients is likely to die if they develop complications. These results are dismal compared to global data where one in six patients is likely to develop complications after elective surgery, and one in 35 patients is likely to die if they develop complications. Comparing data with other countries can help in setting benchmarks; however, various confounding factors such as case mix, ethnicity, differences in health-care systems, genetic predisposition, disease patterns, and access to health care should be taken into consideration.

Surgery is a global health priority as per Lancet’s global surgery initiative (https://www.lancetglobalsurgery.org/india). Involvement of international organizations with national policymakers is likely to increase the surgical volumes in India. It is essential that future research should be directed in studying nationwide postoperative morbidity and mortality rates following elective surgery to establish a baseline and set benchmarks for improving outcomes and quality of care delivered.

There are certain limitations in the present study. Firstly, only three centers participated in this study, as a consequence Indian data was not analyzed for the primary outcome in the original study. Three centers cannot be considered to be representative of a nation like India with very diverse healthcare programs at the state level, availability of necessary facilities, skills and expertise, and access to health care in both government-funded and private hospitals. Nevertheless, it does give us some insights into patient characteristics and outcomes. Secondly, the data set collected limits our understanding of various other confounding factors within each hospital, type of institution (public or private), funded, or otherwise. Since much of healthcare expenditure is borne by the patients, there is a possibility that this can be an additional confounding factor for increased complications observed.

**Conclusion**

Based on the current study, one in three patients is likely to develop complications after elective surgery, and one in 11 patients is likely to die if they develop complications. These patients were older, had higher ASA grade (III and IV), and underwent complex surgical procedures. Future research should be directed toward creating at least a minimum national data for various surgical procedures and outcomes not only to establish national baseline and benchmarks but also more importantly to improve the quality of care delivered.
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