Shifting Eating Time Alters Rumen Dynamics in Once-daily Fed Dairy Cows
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Abstract

The objective was to establish effects of providing a total mixed ration (TMR) at either 0900 h or 2100 h on rumen fermentation and passage kinetics, and microbial protein biomass in lactating cows. Four multiparous and four primiparous Holstein cows were used in a cross-over design study with two 6-week periods, each with 3-week adaptation. Total urine was collected during a sampling week in each period to measure urinary excretion of purine derivatives to estimate microbial protein biomass. Rumen ammonia concentrations were lower in primiparous cows fed at 2100 h vs. 0900 h (10 vs. 11 mg/L, P<0.05). Rumen propionate was lower (26.5 vs. 28 mmol/L) and the acetate to propionate ratio was higher (2.1 vs. 1.9) in multiparous cow fed at 2100 h vs. 0900 h. Microbial protein estimates were not significantly affected by eating time. Evening fed cows tended to realize a greater rumen volume than morning fed cows (107 vs. 90 L, P<0.01). Therefore, feed delivery at 2100 h vs. 0900 h increased rumen volume and fermentation without significantly affecting microbial protein synthesis.
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Introduction

Most recently and in Latin square design studies with 14-d adaptation periods, feeding TMR at 2100 vs. 0900 h increased rumen digestion and milk fat yield [1]. The results have contributed to the emergence of a multicience now known as “ruminant chronophysiological management”; particularly related to the timing of eating [2,5]. The objective was to determine rumen fermentation indices and kinetics, and microbial protein synthesis estimates with 21-d adaptation periods in response to feeding at 2100 h vs. 0900 h.

Materials and Methods

Four multiparous (645 ± 75 kg body weight; 77 ± 25 days in milk; mean ± SD) and four primiparous (576 ± 46 kg BW; 90 ± 33 days in milk) lactating Holstein cows were monitored in a cross-over design experiment with two 42-d periods. Each period had 21-d of adaptation. Four cows were rumen-cannulated. Cows received a TMR with forage to concentrate ratio of 50:2:49.8 (DM basis) ad libitum for the entire experiment, permitting 5-10% orts. The average outside temperature and relative humidity during sampling weeks were -3.7°C and 78.9%, respectively. Lights were turned on at 03:45 just before morning milking, and were turned off at 22:45 h. Experimental treatments were feeding a TMR either at 0900 h or at 2100 h. The forage portion of the TMR was a 50:50 mixture of alfalfa silage and barley silage.

Cows were milked twice daily at 0400 and 1600 h in their stalls. Co-EDTA and Cr-mordanted alfalfa were used as the respective markers for measuring passage rates of rumen fluid and solids in the cannulated cows. Both markers were prepared according to Uden et al. [4]. A total of 50 g Co-EDTA was dissolved in 300 ml of distilled water and infused into the rumen via the cannula at feed delivery. Simultaneously, 300 g of Cr-mordanted alfalfa was introduced into 10 different rumen sites. Rumen fluid and solids were sampled at 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, 24, 36, 48, and 72 h after marker introduction. The rumen markers concentrations were regressed against time using a first-order exponential equation to acquire passage rates (i.e., slopes) [5]. Rumen volume was calculated by dividing the infused amount of Co or Cr by the equation’s intercept for individual cows.

Data were analyzed as linear MIXED MODELS [6]. For repeated rumen measures, models included additional fixed effects of sampling time, treatment × sampling time, parity × sampling time, and treatment × parity × sampling time. Effects of cow within parity and period were modeled as random. Least square means were estimated with the Restricted Maximum Likelihood (REML) method, and degrees of freedom were calculated using Satterwaith method [6]. Fixed effects were declared significant at P<0.05, and trends were discussed at 0.05<P<0.10. Results were reported as least square means ± difference standard errors.

Results

Except for ammonia and molar percents of rumen propionate and ‘acetate+butyrate’ to propionate ratio, parity did not interact with feeding time on rumen fermentation indices. Time of feeding and parity did not affect (P=0.45) rumen pH. Treatments did not affect rumen concentrations of total VFA, acetate, propionate, butyrate, isobutyrate, valerate, and lactate. The rumen concentration of isovalerate tended to be higher (P=0.09) for 0900 h than for 2100 h feeding. When expressed as molar proportions of total VFA, the 2100 h-fed cows had higher (P=0.01) rumen acetate than the 0900 h-fed cows. Rumen ammonia was lower (P<0.05) in 2100 h-fed vs. 0900 h-fed primiparous cows, but not in multiparous cows. The 2100 h-fed multiparous cows had lower rumen propionate (P<0.01) than their 0900 h-fed peers. The acetate+butyrate to propionate ratio was higher (P<0.01) in multiparous cows fed at 2100 h vs. 0900 h. Feeding time did not affect rumen fluid and solids retention times. Rumen volume tended to increase (P=0.07) by evening vs. morning feeding (Table 1). Urinary purine derivatives and estimates of microbial protein synthesis were not significantly different (Table 1).

| TF | P-value |
|----|---------|
|    |         |


Table 1: Time of feeding (TF) effects on rumen kinetics and urinary purine derivative (PD) excretion and duodenal estimates of microbial protein.

|                          | 0900 h | 2100 h | SEM  | TF  | Parity | TF × P |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|------|-----|--------|--------|
| Volume, L                | 90     | 107    | 7.7  | 0.0 | 7      | 0.06   | 0.55   |
| Fluid passage rate, %/h  | 11.9   | 11.7   | 0.5  | 0.8 | 1      | 0.35   | 0.67   |
| Fluid retention time, h   | 8.2    | 8.9    | 0.7  | 0.3 | 1      | 0.4    | 0.74   |
| Solids passage rate %/h  | 3      | 3.3    | 0.7  | 0.5 | 2      | 0.52   | 0.04   |
| Solids retention time, h  | 33     | 31     | 1.8  | 0.5 | 2      | 0.53   | 0.11   |
| Urinary uric acid, mmol/d | 52.8   | 48.6   | 2.23 | 0.1 | 2      | 0.43   | 0.15   |
| Urinary allantoin, mmol/d | 454.6  | 421.4  | 28.9 | 0.3 | 2      | 0.34   | 0.57   |
| Total PD, mmol/d         | 507.3  | 470.1  | 28.34| 0.24| 0.34   | 0.48   |
| Absorbed PD, mmol/d      | 540.1  | 497.2  | 33.45| 0.26| 0.36   | 0.47   |
| Microbial N synthesis, g/d | 392.6  | 361.5  | 24.36| 0.26| 0.35   | 0.47   |

Discussion

Increased rumen ammonia in morning vs. evening fed cows may suggest a more efficient N incorporation into microbial metabolism by evening feeding. The rumen fluid volume was increased whilst retention times were unaltered by evening feeding. These data were consistent with the increased nutrient intake within 3 h of feed delivery in 2100 h-fed cows [3]. The current study for the first time uncovers the dependence of rumen kinetics on feeding time in lactating cows. The greater N intake of primiparous cows due to feeding at 2100 h was in agreement with Robinson et al. who found that cows fed a protein-meal at 0030 vs. 0830 h ate more of it [7]. The decreased urinary N partitioning by evening feeding is in accordance with the lower rumen ammonia concentrations for the 2100 vs. 0900 h feeding.

Conclusions

Provision of a total mixed ration at 2100 h vs. 0900 h did not significantly affect urinary purine derivatives and estimates of duodenal microbial proteins. Rumen ammonia and propionate concentrations were lower and the acetate to propionate ratio was higher for cows fed at 2100 vs. 0900 h. The evening fed cows tended to have a greater rumen volume than the morning fed cows. Evening feeding increased milk fat and energy outputs. Findings establish timing of eating as a regulator of rumen kinetics and volume that is largely dependent on post-feeding intake patterns.
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