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Family history and the risk of gastric cancer

M Yaghoobi1,2, R Bijarchi3 and SA Narod*,2
1Department of Clinical and Metabolic Genetics, Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; 2Women’s College Research Institute, Women’s College Hospital and the University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; 3Division of Respirology, Department of Medicine, St. Michael’s Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Both environmental and genetic factors have a role in the aetiology of gastric cancer. The nature of the genetic factors has not been well-studied and, outside of a few rare cancer syndromes, the genes involved have not been identified. Having a first-degree relative with gastric cancer is a consistent risk factor for gastric cancer, although the magnitude of the odds ratio (OR) associated with a positive family history varies with the ethnic group and with the geographic region. In published case–control studies, the odds ratio varies from approximately 2 to 10, depending on the country. Unlike other common adult cancers, the risk of gastric cancer in migrants is similar to that of the population of origin and does not approach that of the host population in the first generation post-migration. It is hoped that molecular studies, including genomewide association studies (GWAS), will illuminate the genetic factors underlying this important association.
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Gastric cancer is the fourth most common cancer worldwide and is the second most frequent cause of death from cancer (Parkin, 2004). Each year, approximately 700 000 people die of gastric cancer, representing about 10% of all cancer deaths (Parkin et al, 2001). Gastric cancers have been subdivided on the basis of their histological appearance into diffuse and intestinal types, and into cardia and non-cardia sites on the basis of their location (Lauren, 1965). The diffuse type appears, on average, at an earlier age than the intestinal type (Eto et al, 2006). It is believed that both environmental and genetic factors have causative roles, and it is possible that the risk factors are different for the various subtypes. The most important environmental risk factor is infection with Helicobacter Pylori, in particular for the non-cardia type of gastric cancer (Helicobacter and Cancer Collaborative Group, 2001). Suggested dietary risk factors include an increased intake of nitrates, nitrosamines and of salted foods and a low intake of fruits and vegetables (Jakszyn and González, 2006; Tsugane and Sasazuki, 2007). Smoking is also a risk factor (Neugut et al, 1996). The roles of familial and genetic factors have not been well-studied. Approaches to the study of genetic factors include case–control studies, molecular studies of cancer susceptibility and family-based studies. The key studies in the field are reviewed below.

WORLDWIDE DISTRIBUTION OF GASTRIC CANCER

The international distribution of gastric cancer differs markedly from that of most other common adult tumours (e.g., breast, prostate and colon) in that the worldwide trend in incidence does not seem to parallel trends in westernization; that is, the rates across populations do not seem to be associated with increasing BMI, with a high-fat diet or with a sedentary lifestyle. In most western countries, the risk of (non-cardia) gastric cancer has declined steadily over the past 50 years, but the risk of cardia gastric cancer is stable, or is rising. In addition, the regional variation in the incidence of gastric cancer is also remarkable, which is much more pronounced than that of other common cancers; for example, in China, the annual adjusted rate is 20 per 100 000 for men in Beijing, compared with 145 for men in the Changle province (Cancer Incidence in Five Continents, 2002). Again, within a given geographic region, there is marked variation according to the ethnic group – this is not observed to the same extent for other common cancers. For example, in Los Angeles, the rates of stomach cancer vary from 7 to 43 per 100 000 per year by ethnic group, whereas, in the same city, the ethnic-specific rates for colon cancer vary modestly – from 17 to 28 per 100 000 per year (Table 1 and Figure 1) (Cancer Incidence in Five Continents, 2002). The reason for the extensive variation is unknown, and may include environmental exposures, but is also consistent with an important genetic contribution (however, the decline in incidence in western populations argues against a purely genetic aetiology – likely both factors will prove to be important).

FAMILIAL RISK

Familial relative risk is a hallmark of genetic susceptibility. Theoretically, familial clustering may also be because of non-
hereditary factors, such as exposure to \textit{H. Pylori}, smoking or to a common diet. It is also possible that a gene/environment interaction is involved – this would be the case when genetic

susceptibility to infection or to the mutagenic effects of smoking (or another carcinogen) were responsible for the observed familial association.

The importance of family history as a risk factor for gastric cancer is most readily evaluated using the case–control approach. We reviewed 15 case–control studies of family history and gastric cancer (Table 2); six studies were from Europe, seven from East Asia, one from India and one from the United States. In these studies, the odds ratios (OR) of gastric cancer were calculated with reference to one or more first- or second-degree relatives with gastric cancer, but the definition of a positive family history varied between studies. Most studies combined all first-degree relatives (i.e., siblings and parents) but one study published results separately for siblings and parents (Bakir \textit{et al}, 2000, 2003).

Dhillon \textit{et al} (2001) studied 695 cases and 629 population-based controls from the United States and estimated the familial relative risk for gastric cancer to be 2.2 (95% CI 1.5–3.3). They adjusted for age, gender, smoking, alcohol intake, BMI and household income, but not for \textit{H. pylori} infection. The risk was stronger for individuals reporting two or more relatives with gastric cancer (OR = 12.1; 95% CI 1.4–108).

Seven European studies were reviewed, two each from Turkey and Italy and one each from Poland, Germany and Spain.

In a population-based case–control study of 464 gastric cancer patients and 480 controls from Warsaw, Poland, a three-fold increase in risk was associated with a history of gastric cancer in a first-degree relative (OR = 3.5; 95% CI 2.0–6.2) (see Lisowska \textit{et al}, 1999). No excess risk was observed with any other form of cancer.

In the large Turkish study, the results for siblings were published in 2000 (see Bakir \textit{et al}, 2000) and the results for parents were published in 2003 (see Bakir \textit{et al}, 2003). This large study included 1240 cases of gastric cancer and 1240 hospital-based non-cancer controls. In that study, 14% of the cases reported a sibling with gastric cancer (168 out of 1240) and 12% of the cases reported a parent with gastric cancer (148 out of 1240). The corresponding OR were 10.1 for siblings (95% CI 6.1–16.8) and 6.6 for parents (95% CI 4.2–10.4). The results were not adjusted for other environmental factors.

In Italy, Palli \textit{et al} (2001) estimated the OR to be 1.8 (95% CI 1.6–2.0), based on 126 cases of gastric cancer and 561 community-based healthy controls. La Vecchia \textit{et al} (1992) also studied Italian

Table 1  Rates of stomach and colon cancer in Los Angeles (males, per 100 000 per year)

| Country       | Stomach | Colon | Ratio |
|---------------|---------|-------|-------|
| Non-Hispanic  | 7.3     | 24.5  | 0.30  |
| Hispanic      | 14.4    | 21.0  | 0.69  |
| Black         | 11.1    | 29.6  | 0.38  |
| Chinese       | 14.5    | 19.9  | 0.73  |
| Filipino      | 7.4     | 17.2  | 0.43  |
| Japanese      | 21.8    | 27.7  | 0.79  |
| Korean        | 43.4    | 20.0  | 2.17  |

Source: Cancer incidence in Five Continents, volume VIII.

Figure 1  The ratio of gastric cancer to colon cancer in selected countries.

Table 2  Case–control studies of gastric cancer and family history

| Study          | Country   | Family history            | Case group | Control group |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------|---------------|
| (Lisewska \textit{et al}, 1999) | Poland | First-degree relative | 464 | 480 | 3.5 (2.0–6.2) |
| (Brenner \textit{et al}, 2000) | Germany | | 10 | 12 | 95% CI 4.2–6.5 |
| (La Vecchia \textit{et al}, 1992) | Italy | First-degree relatives | 79 | 87 | 95% CI 1.9–3.4 |
| (Palli \textit{et al}, 2001) | Spain | One first-degree or two second-degree relatives | 40 | 74 | 95% CI 1.6–2.0 |
| (García-González \textit{et al}, 2007) | India | | 51 | 17 | 95% CI 3.0–5.0 |
| (Bakir \textit{et al}, 2000) | Turkey | Siblings | 168 | 19 | 95% CI 6.1–16.8 |
| (Bakir \textit{et al}, 2003) | Turkey | Parents | 148 | 25 | 95% CI 4.2–10.4 |
| (Dhillon \textit{et al}, 2001) | USA | First-degree relative | 70 | 35 | 95% CI 2.2–3.5 |
| (Gajalakshmi and Shanta, 1996) | India | | 12 | 2 | 95% CI 5.7–10.8 |
| (Minami and Tateno, 2003) | Japan | First-degree relatives | 140 | 369 | 95% CI 1.3–1.8 |
| (Huang \textit{et al}, 1999) | Japan | First-degree relatives | 207 | 3608 | 95% CI 1.6–2.1 |
| (Ikeguchi \textit{et al}, 2001) | Japan | First-, second- and third-degree relatives | 216 | 254 | 95% CI 1.6–2.2 |
| (Nagase \textit{et al}, 1996) | Japan | First-degree relative | 49 | 28 | 95% CI 2.7–4.4 |
| (Eto \textit{et al}, 2006) | Japan | First-degree relatives | 543 | 1475 | 95% CI 3.3–3.8 |
| (Hong \textit{et al}, 2006) | Korea | First-degree relatives | 94 | 21 | 95% CI 9.9–6.5 |
| (Chen \textit{et al}, 2004) | Taiwan | First-degree relatives | 47 | 54 | 95% CI 2.5–3.4 |
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patients, and estimated the familial relative risk to be 2.6 (95% CI 1.9–3.4), based on 628 cases and 1776 hospital-based controls.

A small study from Germany (Brenner et al, 2000) showed a relative risk of 2.9 (95% CI 1.3–6.5). In this study, Brenner et al showed that a family history of gastric cancer was also associated with an increased prevalence of H. pylori infection. Individuals with both H. pylori infection and a positive family history of gastric cancer faced an eight-fold increased risk of development of gastric cancer, compared with people with neither risk factor. However, after adjustment for H pylori, the OR for the development of gastric cancer, given a positive family history, was 2.8, indicating that the familial and infectious risk factors are independent.

A study from Spain with 404 cases and 404 controls reported an OR of 3.4 (OR = 3.4; 95% CI 1.9–6.0) (García-González et al, 2007).

In general, the rates of gastric cancer in Asia are higher than the rates in Europe or North America, but there is marked variation within the continent. The highest reported rates are from Japan and Korea; in these countries, the rates are typically about 70 per 100 000 per year (Cancer Incidence in Five Continents, 2002). In contrast, India has one of the lowest reported rates (Cancer Incidence in Five Continents, 2002). Of the eight identified case–control studies from Asia, the highest reported OR was from Korea (RR = 9.9; 95% CI 6.5–15), but this study was relatively small (238 cases and 108 hospital-based controls) (Hong et al, 2006).

Remarkably, 94 of 108 Korean patients (87%) with gastric cancer reported a first-degree relative with gastric cancer – this is a much higher proportion than has been reported elsewhere.

Five studies from different regions of Japan reported OR ranging from 1.5 to 3.5. The highest OR was reported by Eto et al (2006). The OR was 3.5 (95% CI 3.3–3.8) after adjustment for age and gender. In this study, the overall OR were similar for the intestinal and diffuse subtypes, but among patients diagnosed with gastric cancer before age 43, the OR for a family history with the intestinal type was 12.5 (95% CI 4.8–32). The lowest reported OR in Japan was 1.5 (95% CI 1.2–1.8), based on a large study on 614 cases and 2444 hospital-based controls by Minami et al (2003). Ikeguchi et al (2001) studied 2025 cases of gastric cancer and 926 hospital-based controls. The familial OR was approximately 2 (RR = 1.9; 1.6–2.2).

In this study, 14 of the 926 cases (1.5%) were from a family with four or more cases of gastric cancer, suggesting that a dominant gene may be responsible for a small proportion of cases. Huang et al (1999) studied 887 cases and 28,619 non-gastric cancer controls. They also estimated the OR to be 1.9 (95% CI 1.6–2.1).

The OR was adjusted for age, sex, smoking, alcohol, consumption of salty foods, and fruit and vegetable intake. Nagase et al (1996) studied 136 cases and 136 controls and reported a crude OR of 2.7 (95% CI 1.7–4.4). In this study, family history was a stronger risk factor for females than for males (OR = 4.5 for females and 1.2 for males).

Chen et al (2004) studied 176 cases of cancer of the gastric cardia and 579 hospital-based controls from Taiwan. They reported a relative risk of 2.5 (95% CI 1.3–4.8), adjusting for age, sex, ethnicity, education, BMI, marital status and smoking status. However, it is not known whether the cancers in the affected relatives were also in the gastric cardia.

A study from India included 388 cases and 388 non-gastric cancer controls. The OR was 5.7 (95% CI 1.3–26) (Gajalakshmi and Shanta, 1996), but this was based on a small number of familial cases (n = 12) and controls (n = 2). In summary, the case–control studies reviewed in this study consistently report that a family history of gastric cancer is a risk factor for gastric cancer. There were no negative studies. In the majority of studies, the risk ratio was between 1.5-fold and 3.5-fold, but studies from Korea, Turkey and India reported higher OR.

It is possible that familial clustering of non-genetic risk factors, such as H. pylori infection or a diet high in salty foods and/or low in fruit and vegetables, could contribute to familial clustering. However, in the studies that adjusted for one or more of these risk factors, the adjustment did not attenuate the relative risk associated with a positive family history. This argues in favour of genetic susceptibility underlying the observed familial clustering. The relevant genes are so far unknown.

A correlation between the national incidence rate and the familial relative risk could be because of international variation in the prevalence of one or more allels of a susceptibility gene. It is of interest that of the three countries with the highest reported familial relative risks, one (Korea) has a very high incidence of gastric cancer, one (India) has a low incidence of gastric cancer and one (Turkey) has an intermediate rate (Table 3).

Furthermore, even when the relative risk of gastric cancer were found to be elevated to a similar extent for first-degree relatives of the patients in the different studies, the actual risk to relatives will depend on the baseline rate, which in turn depends on the ethnic group and the country of residence. For example, the lifetime risk of gastric cancer is approximately 1% in Canada and the United States, but higher in Japan, where the lifetime risk of gastric cancer in men exceeds 10%. In Canada, a male with a first-degree relative with gastric cancer, given a relative risk of 2.9, will face a lifetime risk of about 3% (Cancer Incidence in Five Continents, 2002). In contrast, when a relative risk of 2.9 is applied to relatives of patients in Japan, the lifetime risk may approach 30%.

In the studies reviewed here, the countries with the highest familial relative risks were Turkey, India and Korea, whereas the countries with the highest baseline risks were Japan and Korea. Other countries with high incidences of gastric cancer include Colombia, Costa Rica, Russia, Belarus and the Baltic Republics (Lithuania, Latvia and Estonia) (Table 3). To our knowledge, case–control studies have not been conducted in Latin America or in Eastern Europe.

### GASTRIC CANCER SYNDROMES

Gastric cancer is an infrequent component of several inherited cancer predisposition syndromes, including hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer, familial adenomatous polyposis and...
Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (Giardiello et al, 1987; Offerhaus et al, 1992; Watson and Lynch, 1993; Lynch and Smyrk, 1996). Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer (HDGC) is a rare, autosomal dominant inherited form of gastric cancer. Germline CDH1 mutations were first described in three New Zealand families with early-onset, poorly differentiated, high-grade, diffuse gastric cancer (Guilford et al, 1998). In a recent international study of 38 families with HDGC, 15 CDH1 mutations were found, representing 39% of the families tested (Kaurah et al, 2007). The penetrance for gastric cancer among individuals with a CDH1 mutation is estimated to be about 40%. However, considering the rarity of the syndrome, CDH1 mutations make a small contribution to the total burden of familial gastric cancer.

The genetic abnormalities that predispose to gastric cancer seem not to be the same in all countries – possibly because of different frequencies of the predisposing mutations or the need for environmental co-factors. There are several examples. Gastric cancer is not a classical component of the breast–ovarian cancer syndrome, but in Poland, BRCA2 germline mutations were identified in 21% of families with both gastric and breast cancer (Jakubowska et al, 2002). In Japan, gastric cancer is an expression of familial polyposis coli, whereas in North America, colon cancers are dominant (Shimoyama et al, 2004). Similarly, gastric cancer is not a central component of the Li-Fraumeni syndrome in North America, but germline mutations in p53 have been reported in three gastric cancer families from Japan (Yamada et al, 1996). In one study, germline p53 mutations were first described in three New Zealand families with gastric cancer (Renault et al, 1996), and in 35 gastric cancer families from Germany. One germline p53 mutation was found in each of these series (Keller et al, 2004; Oliveira et al, 2004).

Carriers of germline mutations in the mismatch repair (MMR) genes, MSH2 and MLH1, also have an increased risk of gastric cancer (Imai and Yamamoto, 2008). Typically, germline mutations in the MMR genes are the cause of hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer (HNPPC), but somatic (i.e., cancer specific) mutations in these genes are also common in non-familial cases of colon cancer. Germline or somatic mutations in mismatch repair genes usually result in the presence of microsatellite instability (MSI) in the colon tumour tissue. Although MSI is present in 20 to 30% of cases of gastric cancer (Renault et al, 1996), germline or somatic mutations in the mismatch repair genes are rarely observed in MSI-positive cases (Keller et al, 1996). In one study, MSI was significantly associated with antral tumours of the stomach and a positive family history of gastric cancer (Ottini et al, 1997).

### POLYMORPHIC VARIANTS

Currently, there is much interest in the identification of low-penetrance alleles that are associated with an increased risk for common forms of cancer. It is believed that for many adult cancers, familial aggregation may be more the result of a number of low-penetrant alleles acting in combination, rather than one or a few highly penetrant dominant cancer genes. Until recently, gastric cancer has not been well-studied in this regard, but over the past few years, several investigators have begun to evaluate candidate genes. Genes have been selected either because they are believed to influence susceptibility to *H. Pylori* infection, to limit the production of gastric acid, or moderate local inflammation or influence the metabolism of potential carcinogens. To date, no consistent association has emerged and only a few of the key studies are reviewed here.

A series of studies has been conducted on a sample of Polish gastric patients and controls; these focus largely on the cytokines and related proteins that are believed to be involved in inflammatory processes. Interleukin 1B (IL1B) is pro-inflammatory and is an inhibitor of gastric acid secretion. El-Omar et al studied a non-coding polymorphism in IL1B; they found that individuals who carried two T alleles at this locus faced a 2.6-fold increase in the risk of gastric cancer (95% CI 1.7–3.9) (El-Omar et al, 2000). A related gene (IL1RN) from the same chromosome region is also polymorphic; the researchers reported a 3.7-fold risk (95% CI 2.4–5.7) associated with a specific genotype of a VNTR polymorphism in the gene (El-Omar et al, 2000). A polymorphic variant in the promoter of mannose-binding lectin-2 was also associated with a 1.8-fold increase in the risk of gastric cancer in Poland (95% CI 1.1–2.9) (Baccarelli et al, 2006).

In a study of gastric cancer patients from Mexico, the IL10-592 CC genotype was associated with an OR of 2.2 (95% CI 1.0–4.6) for intestinal-type gastric cancer (Sicinschi et al, 2006). A later Spanish study, which included most of these cytokine variants, did not confirm the reported associations (Garcia-Gonzalez et al, 2007). Wang et al conducted a meta-analysis of 39 studies, which included 6863 cases and 8434 controls (Wang et al, 2007). The summary OR of gastric cancer risk associated with the IL-1B-511T polymorphism was 1.26 (95% CI 1.03–1.55) and the summary OR associated with the IL-1RN*2 allele was 1.20 (95% CI 1.01–1.41). The IL-1B-511T polymorphism was associated with an increased risk of gastric cancer of the intestinal type (OR = 1.76, 95% CI 1.12–2.57). The IL-1RN*2 variant was associated with an increased risk of gastric cancer among Caucasians (OR = 1.30, 95% CI 1.09–1.54).

In summary, the studies to date in aggregate do not support the hypothesis that familial aggregation of gastric cancer can be accounted for by low-penetrance polymorphic variants. However, association studies to date have been small and few ethnic groups have been represented. It is possible that the susceptibility variants are different for different populations, due to variability in modifier genes or environmental factors. It is therefore important that large-scale international studies and/or meta-analyses be conducted to see whether there are consistent genetic associations or whether ethnic-specific effects are present. It is expected that these will soon be extended to include genomewide association studies (GWAS).

### SCREENING AND PREVENTION

It is hoped that the identification of genetic factors for gastric cancer will lead to the development of a clinical test that can be used to identify individuals at high risk. This classification may allow us to focus preventive efforts and screening programs on individuals at high risk. The exact means of prevention may depend on the susceptibility gene involved (and the molecular pathway to be targeted). It may be that different genes operate in different high-risk populations.

Aspirin use has been associated with a modest reduction in the risk of gastric cancer. The use of aspirin (HR, 95% CI 0.64, 0.47–0.86) or other NSAIDs (0.68, 0.51–0.92) was associated with a significantly lower risk of gastric non-cardia cancer (Abnet et al, 2009). It is important to ask whether proton pump inhibitors, which are prescribed to reduce gastric acid protection, can potentially be used for chemoprevention of gastric cancer in high-risk individuals.

It is hoped that the eradication of *H Pylori* infection through antibiotic therapy will reduce the incidence of gastric cancer. A randomised trial of antibiotic therapy was conducted in a cohort of patients with resectable gastric cancer, with the aim of preventing second primary cancers (Fukase et al, 2008). After 3 years of follow-up, a second gastric carcinoma developed in nine patients in the treatment arm and in 24 patients in the control group (OR = 0.35, 95% CI 0.16–0.78; P = 0.009). It may be that in high-risk areas all residents should be screened for *H. Pylori* infection and offered eradication therapy. However, in low-risk areas, therapy might be targeted to high-risk individuals, such as those who are genetically predisposed.
To date, with the exception of diffuse familial gastric cancer (Lynch et al, 2008), genetic markers cannot be used to identify individuals at high risk of gastric cancer. Similarly, preventive surgery is limited to those with a mutation in E-cadherin, which predisposes to hereditary diffuse gastric cancer (Lynch et al, 2008).

The risk of gastric cancer for an individual can be estimated on the basis of family history, sex and place of residence. Currently, endoscopy screening is recommended only in high-risk areas such as Japan, and is based on residence and ethnic group rather than family history. It is possible that in the future, endoscopy might be offered to individuals in other countries who are determined to be at high risk, based on genetic markers.

CONCLUSION

A positive family history is a strong and consistently reported risk factor for gastric cancer, but the molecular basis for the familial aggregation is largely unknown. The known cancer syndromes do not account for a large part of the familial clustering. Unlike the situation for other common cancers, guidelines have not been developed for the assessment of the family history of individuals with gastric cancer. A recent systematic review of clinical patterns of genetic assessment and referral for 11 different types of cancer in the United Kingdom did not include gastric cancer (Featherstone et al, 2007). To some extent, this lack of attention may be because of the international distribution of gastric cancer cases; that is, high rates in Asia, Eastern Europe and Latin America, but relatively low rates in North America and Europe. There may also be a lack of awareness of the extent to which gastric cancer is familial. In most studies, the familial relative risk is approximately three-fold, which is larger than that observed for most other adult forms of solid cancer, with the exception of ovarian cancer. In India, Korea and Turkey, much higher relative risks have been reported. It will be important to confirm these results and to conduct studies in other regions of high incidence. Molecular epidemiology studies, such as GWAS, may prove to be useful in identifying the genetic factors responsible.
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