TITS ALGEBRAS AND COHOMOLOGY THEORIES

NIKITA SEMENOV

Abstract. In the present article we discuss different approaches to cohomological invariants of algebraic groups over a field. We focus on the Tits algebras and on the Rost invariant and relate them to the Morava $K$-theory. Furthermore, we compute the Morava $K$-theory of an affine norm quadric.

1. Introduction

The notion of a Tits algebra was introduced by Jacques Tits in his celebrated paper on irreducible representations [Ti71]. This invariant of a linear algebraic group $G$ plays a crucial role in the computation of the $K$-theory of twisted flag varieties by Panin [Pa94] and in the index reduction formulas by Merkurjev, Panin and Wadsworth [MPW96]. It has important applications to the classification of linear algebraic groups and to the study of associated homogeneous varieties.

Tits algebras are examples of cohomological invariants of algebraic groups of degree 2. The idea to use cohomological invariants in the classification of algebraic groups goes back to Jean-Pierre Serre. In particular, Serre conjectured the existence of an invariant of degree 3 for groups of type $F_4$ and $E_8$. This invariant was later constructed by Markus Rost for all $G$-torsors, where $G$ is a simple simply-connected algebraic group, and is now called the Rost invariant (see [GMS03]).

Furthermore, the Milnor conjecture (proved by Voevodsky) provides a classification of quadratic forms over fields in terms of the Galois cohomology, i.e., in terms of cohomological invariants.

In the present article we discuss different approaches to Tits algebras and generalize some of them to invariants of higher degree. In particular, we consider the Morava $K$-theories, which are the universal oriented cohomology theories in the sense of Levine-Morel with respect to the Lubin-Tate formal group law (see Section 6). It turns out that the Morava $K$-theories (more precisely the Morava-motives) detect, whether certain cohomological invariants of an algebraic group are zero or not. In particular, the second Morava $K$-theory is responsible for the Rost invariant (see Theorem 6.5). We remark that in the same spirit Panin showed in [Pa94] that the Grothendieck's $K_0$ functor detects the triviality of the Tits algebras.

Besides from that, we discuss another approach to cohomological invariants which uses an exact sequence of Voevodsky (4.5). For example, this sequence was used in [Sem13].
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to construct an invariant of degree 5 modulo 2 for some groups of type $E_8$ and to solve a problem posed by Serre.

We try to keep the exposition elementary and try to avoid technical generalizations, which would rather hide the ideas. For example, we mostly assume that the groups under considerations are of inner type, though this can be avoided introducing more notation in the formulae.

It is quite amazing that different ideas from algebra, geometry and topology come together, when dealing with cohomological invariants of algebraic groups. For example, the Tits algebras are related to representation theory, $K$-theory, but also to motivic cohomology of simplicial schemes. Looking at the invariants of higher degree one finds relations with algebraic cobordism of Levine-Morel, classifying spaces of algebraic groups (Totaro, Morel, Voevodsky) and motives; see e.g. [Me13], [MNZ13], [SV14].

2. Definitions and notation

In the present article we assume that $F$ is a field of characteristic 0. This assumption is not needed at the most places. In some places it can be removed by changing the étale topology by the fpqc topology. Nevertheless, we would like to avoid a too technical exposition. Aside from that, at some places (e.g. when we consider the Morava $K$-theory) the assumption on the characteristic is needed. By $F_{\text{sep}}$ we denote a separable closure of $F$.

Let $G$ be a semisimple linear algebraic group over a field $F$ (see [Spr], [KMRT], [GMS03]). A $G$-torsor over $F$ is an algebraic variety $P$ equipped with an action of $G$ such that $P(F_{\text{sep}}) \neq \emptyset$ and the action of $G(F_{\text{sep}})$ on $P(F_{\text{sep}})$ is simply transitive.

The set of isomorphism classes of $G$-torsors over $F$ is a pointed set (with the base point given by the trivial $G$-torsor $G$) is in natural one-to-one correspondence with the (non-abelian) Galois cohomology set $H^1_{\text{ét}}(F, G)$.

Let $A$ be some algebraic structure over $F$ (e.g. an algebra or quadratic space) such that $\text{Aut}(A)$ is an algebraic group over $F$. Then an algebraic structure $B$ is called a twisted form of $A$, if over a separable closure of $F$ the structures $A$ and $B$ are isomorphic. There is a natural bijection between $H^1_{\text{ét}}(F, \text{Aut}(A))$ and the set of isomorphism classes of the twisted forms of $A$.

For example, if $A$ is an octonion algebra over $F$, then $\text{Aut}(A)$ is a group of type $G_2$ and $H^1_{\text{ét}}(F, \text{Aut}(A))$ is in 1-to-1 correspondence with the twisted forms of $A$, i.e., with the octonion algebras over $F$ (since any two octonion algebras over $F$ are isomorphic over a separable closure of $F$ and since any algebra, which is isomorphic to an octonion algebra over a separable closure of $F$, is an octonion algebra).

By $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n)$ we denote the Galois-module $\varprojlim \mu_{l^n}$ taken over all $l$ (see [KMRT] p. 431).

In the article we use notions from the theory of quadratic forms over fields (e.g. Pfister-forms, Witt-ring). We follow [KMRT], [Lam], and [EKM]. Further, we use the notion of motives; see [Ma68], [EKM].

3. Algebraic constructions of Tits algebras

In this section we will briefly describe two classical constructions of Tits algebras following Tits [Ti71].
3.1 (Construction using the representation theory of algebraic groups). Let $G_0$ be a split semisimple algebraic group of rank $n$ over $F$ and $T$ be a split maximal torus of $G_0$. Denote by $\hat{T}$ the group of characters of $T$. This is a free abelian group of rank $n$. Then there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between the isomorphism classes of the irreducible finite dimensional representations of $G_0$ and the elements in $\Lambda_+ \cap \hat{T}$, where $\Lambda_+$ denotes the cone of dominant weights. This correspondence associates with an irreducible representation of $G_0$ its highest weight.

Let now $G$ be an arbitrary (not necessarily split) semisimple algebraic group over $F$ which is a twisted form of $G_0$. A Tits algebra of $G$ corresponding to an element $\omega \in \Lambda_+ \cap \hat{T}$ is a central simple algebra $A$ over $F$ such that there exists a group homomorphism $\rho: G \to \text{GL}_1(A)$ such that the representation $\rho \otimes F_{\text{sep}}: G \otimes F_{\text{sep}} \to \text{GL}_1(A \otimes F_{\text{sep}})$ of the split group $G \otimes F_{\text{sep}}$ is the representation with the highest weight $\omega$.

Let $\Gamma$ denote the absolute Galois group of $F$. Tits showed that for any $\Gamma$-invariant $\omega$ the Tits algebra exists and is unique up to an isomorphism. Moreover, there exists a group homomorphism (called the Tits homomorphism)

$$\beta: (\hat{T}/\Lambda_r)^\Gamma \to \text{Br}(F)$$

$$\lambda \mapsto [A_\lambda]$$

where $\Lambda_r$ is the root lattice and $A_\lambda$ is the Tits algebra of $G$ of the weight $\chi(\lambda)$, where $\chi(\lambda) \in \Lambda_+ \cap \hat{T}$ is a unique representative of $\lambda$ in the coset $\hat{T}/\Lambda_r$. If $G$ is a group of inner type, then the action of $\Gamma$ on $\hat{T}/\Lambda_r$ is trivial.

**Example 3.2.**
1. If $G = \text{SL}_1(A)$, where $A$ is a central simple algebra of degree $n + 1$, then the Tits algebra of the first fundamental weight $\omega_1$ (corresponding to the standard representation of $\text{SL}_{n+1}$) is the algebra $A$ itself. The Tits algebra for the last fundamental weight $\omega_n$ (corresponding to the dual representation) is the opposite algebra $A^{\text{op}}$.

2. If $G = \text{Spin}_{2n+1}(q)$, where $q$ is a regular quadratic form of dimension $2n+1$, then the Tits algebra of the weight $\omega_n$ (enumeration of simple roots follows Bourbaki) is the even Clifford algebra of $q$. This corresponds to the spinor representation. The Tits algebra of $G$ for the standard representation with the highest weight $\omega_1$ is the split matrix algebra of degree $2n + 1$.

3. If $G$ is a group of inner type $E_6$ (resp. of type $E_7$), then the Tits algebra of the weight $\omega_1$ (resp. $\omega_7$) is a central simple algebra of degree 27, index dividing 27 and exponent dividing 3 (resp. of degree 56, index dividing 8 and exponent dividing 2).

4. The Tits algebras of groups of types $G_2$, $F_4$ and $E_8$ are split matrix algebras.

3.3 (Construction using the boundary homomorphism). Another classical construction of Tits algebras goes as follows.

Let $G$ be a split semisimple algebraic group over $F$ with center $Z = Z(G)$. The short exact sequence

$$1 \to Z \to G \to G/Z \to 1$$

of algebraic groups induces the long exact sequence of cohomology

$$H^1_\text{et}(F,G) \to H^1_\text{et}(F,G/Z) \to \partial \to H^2_\text{et}(F,Z).$$

We remark that $G/Z$ is the adjoint group of the same type as $G$. 
For an irreducible representation $\rho: G \to GL_n$ denote by $\lambda_\rho$ the restriction of $\rho$ to the center $Z$. Then $\lambda_\rho$ is a homomorphism from $Z$ to $Z(GL_n) = G_m$, i.e., $\lambda_\rho \in \text{Hom}(Z, G_m) = \hat{T}/\Lambda_r$.

Consider the composite map

$$H^1_{\text{et}}(F, G/Z) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^2_{\text{et}}(F, Z) \xrightarrow{(\lambda_\rho)} H^2_{\text{et}}(F, G_m) = \text{Br}(F).$$

Then an element $\xi \in H^1_{\text{et}}(F, G/Z)$ maps under this composite map to the Tits algebra $A_\rho$ of the (inner) twisted group $\xi G$ corresponding to the representation $\rho$. (Instead of a split $G$ one can start with a quasi-split group $G$. Then the analogous construction will cover all twisted forms of $G$.)

**Example 3.4.** Let $G = \text{SL}_n$ and let $\rho$ be the standard $n$-dimensional representation of $G$. Then $Z = \mu_n$, $G/Z = \text{PGL}_n$, and an element $\xi \in H^1_{\text{et}}(F, \text{PGL}_n)$ corresponds to a central simple algebra $A$ over $F$ of degree $n$. The $H^2_{\text{et}}(F, Z) = n \text{Br}(F) := \{ x \in \text{Br}(F) | nx = 0 \} \subset \text{Br}(F)$ and the boundary homomorphism $\partial$ maps $\xi$ to the class of $A$ in the Brauer group of $F$. The composite map $(\lambda_\rho) \circ \partial$ maps $\xi$ also to $[A]$, which is the Tits algebra for $\rho$.

Let now $G = \text{PGL}_n$ and $\rho$ be an irreducible representation of $G$. Then $Z = 1$ and the Tits algebra of $\rho$ is a split matrix algebra. This corresponds to the fact that the elements of the root lattice $\Lambda_r$ map to 0 under the Tits homomorphism.

4. **Geometric constructions of Tits algebras**

4.1 (Tits algebras and the Picard group). Another construction of Tits algebras is related to the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence. For a smooth variety $X$ over $F$ one has

$$H^p_{\text{et}}(\Gamma, H^q_{\text{et}}(X_s, \mathcal{F})) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}_{\text{et}}(X, \mathcal{F}),$$

where $X_s = X \times F_{\text{sep}}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is an étale sheaf. The induced 5-term exact sequence is

$$0 \to H^1_{\text{et}}(\Gamma, H^0_{\text{et}}(X_s, \mathcal{F})) \to H^1_{\text{et}}(X, \mathcal{F}) \to H^0_{\text{et}}(\Gamma, H^1_{\text{et}}(X_s, \mathcal{F})) \to H^2_{\text{et}}(\Gamma, H^0_{\text{et}}(X_s, \mathcal{F})).$$

Let $\mathcal{F} = G_m$ and $X$ be a smooth projective variety. Then

$$H^1_{\text{et}}(\Gamma, H^0_{\text{et}}(X_s, G_m)) = H^1_{\text{et}}(\Gamma, F^0_{\text{sep}}) = 0$$

by Hilbert 90, $H^1_{\text{et}}(X, G_m) = \text{Pic}(X)$, $H^0_{\text{et}}(\Gamma, H^1_{\text{et}}(X_s, G_m)) = H^0_{\text{et}}(\Gamma, \text{Pic} X_s) = (\text{Pic} X_s)^\Gamma$, and $H^2_{\text{et}}(\Gamma, H^0_{\text{et}}(X_s, G_m)) = H^2_{\text{et}}(\Gamma, F^0_{\text{sep}}) = \text{Br}(F)$. Thus, we obtain an exact sequence

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \to \text{Pic} X \to (\text{Pic} X_s)^\Gamma \to \text{Br}(F)$$

The map $\text{Pic} X \to (\text{Pic} X_s)^\Gamma$ is the restriction map and the homomorphism

$$(\text{Pic} X_s)^\Gamma \xrightarrow{f} \text{Br}(F)$$

was described by Merkurjev and Tignol in [MT95, Section 2] when $X$ is the variety of Borel subgroups of a semisimple algebraic group $G$. Namely, the Picard group of $X_s$ can be identified with the free abelian group with basis $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n$ consisting of the fundamental weights. If $\omega_i$ is $\Gamma$-invariant (e.g. if $G$ is of inner type), then $f(\omega_i) = [A_i]$ is the Tits algebra of $G$ corresponding to the (fundamental) representation with the highest weight $\omega_i$ (see [MT95] for a general description of the homomorphism $f$).
Moreover, one can continue the exact sequence (4.2), namely, the sequence
\[ 0 \to \text{Pic} X \to (\text{Pic} X_s)^\Gamma \to \text{Br}(F) \to \text{Br}(F(X)) \]
is exact, where the last map is the restriction homomorphism (see [MT95]).

4.3 (Tits algebras and \(K_0\)). There is another interpretation of the Tits algebras related to Grothendieck’s \(K_0\) functor. Let \(G\) be a semisimple algebraic group over \(F\) of inner type and \(X\) be the variety of Borel subgroups of \(G\). By Panin [Pa94] the \(K_0\)-motive of \(X\) is isomorphic to a direct sum of \(|W|\) motives, where \(W\) denotes the Weyl group of \(G\).

Denote these motives by \(L_w, w \in W\).

For \(w \in W\) consider
\[ \rho_w = \sum_{\{\alpha_k \in \Pi | w^{-1}(\alpha_k) \in \Phi^-\}} w^{-1}(\omega_k) \in \Lambda, \]
where \(\Pi\) is the set of simple roots and \(\Phi^-\) is the set of negative roots. Using these elements one can describe the Steinberg basis of \(K_0(X_K)\) over a splitting field \(K\); see [Pa94, Section 12.5], [QSZ12, Section 2].

Over a splitting field \(K\) of \(G\), the motive \((L_w)_K\) is isomorphic to a Tate motive and the restriction homomorphism \(K_0((L_w)_K) = \mathbb{Z}\) is an injection \(\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}\) given by the multiplication by \(\lambda\), where \(\lambda = \beta(\rho_w) \in \text{Br}(F)\) for the Tits homomorphism \(\beta\). In particular, the motives \(L_w\) can be parametrized by the Tits algebras.

Moreover, if all Tits algebras of \(G\) are split, then the \(K_0\)-motive of \(X\) is a direct sum of Tate motives over \(F\).

4.4 (Tits algebras and simplicial varieties). Let \(Y\) be a smooth irreducible variety over \(F\). Consider the standard simplicial scheme \(X_Y\) associated with \(Y\), i.e. the simplicial scheme \(Y \leftarrow Y \times Y \leftarrow Y \times Y \times Y \cdots \)

Then for all \(n \geq 2\) there is a long exact sequence of cohomology groups (see [Ro07, Cor. 2.2] and [Vo07, Proof of Lemma 6.5]):

\[
0 \to H^{n,n-1}_M(X_Y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{f} H^n_{\text{ét}}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n-1)) \to H^n_{\text{ét}}(F(Y), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n-1)),
\]
where \(H^{n,n-1}_M\) is the motivic cohomology and the homomorphism \(f\) is induced by the change of topology (from Nisnevich to étale).

Let \(n = 2\) and \(Y\) be the variety of Borel subgroups of an algebraic group \(G\) of inner type. Then \(H^2_{\text{ét}}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)) = \text{Br}(F)\) and we have a long exact sequence

\[
0 \to H^{2,1}_M(X_Y) \xrightarrow{f} \text{Br}(F) \to \text{Br}(F(Y))
\]
Thus, \(H^{2,1}_M(X_Y) = \Lambda/\hat{T}\), where \(\Lambda\) is the weight lattice, and \(f\) turns out to be the Tits homomorphism. This gives one more interpretation of the Tits algebras.

5. Higher cohomological invariants

The Tits algebras are examples of cohomological invariants of \(G\)-torsors of degree 2 (since they lie in \(H^2_{\text{ét}}(F, \mathbb{G}_m) = \text{Br}(F))\). In general, a cohomological invariant of \(G\)-torsors of degree \(n\) with values in a Galois-module \(M\) is a transformation of functors
$H^1_{\text{ét}}(-, G) \to H^0_{\text{ét}}(-, M)$ from the category of field extensions of $F$ to the category of pointed sets.

For example, if $G$ is a split orthogonal group of degree $n$ and $M = \mathbb{Z}/2$, then $H^1_{\text{ét}}(F, G)$ classifies the isomorphism classes of regular quadratic forms of dimension $n$ over $F$, $H^0_{\text{ét}}(F, M) = \mathbb{Z}/2$, $H^1_{\text{ét}}(F, M) = F^x/F^{x^2}$ by Hilbert 90, $H^2_{\text{ét}}(F, M) = 2 \text{Br}(F) = \{x \in \text{Br}(F) \mid 2x = 0\}$, and the invariants $H^1_{\text{ét}}(F, G) \to H^0_{\text{ét}}(F, M)$ are given for $n = 0, 1, 2$ resp. by the dimension mod 2, by the discriminant, and by the Clifford invariant of the respective quadratic form.

For $n \geq 3$ one can define cohomological invariants for quadratic forms, for which the previous cohomological invariants (of degree strictly less than $n$) are trivial. Namely, such forms lie in the $n$-th power of the fundamental ideal $I$ in the Witt ring of $F$, and there are invariants $e_n : I^n \to H^n_{\text{ét}}(F, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ for all $n$ such that for $q \in I^n$ the invariant $e_n(q) = 0$ iff $q \in I^{n+1}$, $n \geq 0$. Moreover, if $q$ and $q'$ are two quadratic forms, then one can test whether $q \simeq q'$ looking at $e_n$ of the difference $q - q'$ starting from $n = 0$. If $e_n(q - q') \neq 0$, then $q$ and $q'$ are not isomorphic. Otherwise, $q - q' \in I^{n+1}$ and one proceeds to $e_{n+1}$. Since by the Arason-Pfister Hauptsatz $\cap_{n \geq 0} I^n = 0$ (see [Lam, Hauptsatz 5.1, Ch. X]), the invariants $e_n$ allow us to check an isomorphism between two quadratic forms.

Moreover, under some conditions it is possible to reconstruct the original quadratic form from its invariants. Namely, start for simplicity with an even dimensional regular quadratic form $q$ over $F$. Since $H^n_{\text{ét}}(F, \mathbb{Z}/2) \simeq I^n/I^{n+1}$ (see [Lam]), we can choose representatives of elements in $H^n_{\text{ét}}(F, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ as linear combinations of $n$-fold Pfister forms. Computing $e_1(q) \in H^1_{\text{ét}}(F, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ we can modify $q$ by $e_1(q)$, which is a linear combination of 1-fold Pfister forms. Since $e_1(q - e_1(q)) = 0$, the form $q - e_1(q) \in I^2$ and we can proceed to the next invariant $e_2$. If this process stops, i.e. if starting from some point we will get zeroes (e.g. if the base field has finite cohomological dimension), then saving the representatives of the invariants $e_1(q)$, $e_2(q - e_1(q))$ and so on, will allow us to restore the original form $q$.

The same philosophy can be applied to other algebraic groups. For example, if $G$ is a simple simply connected algebraic group, then there is an invariant

$$H^1_{\text{ét}}(-, G) \to H^3_{\text{ét}}(-, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))$$

of degree 3, called the Rost invariant (see [GMS03]). If $G$ is the spinor group, this invariant is called the Arason invariant.

The Rost invariant can be constructed as follows. Let $G$ be a simple simply-connected algebraic group and $Y$ be a $G$-torsor. Then there is a long exact sequence (see [GMS03 Section 9])

$$0 \to A^1(Y, K_2) \to A^1(Y_{\text{sep}}, K_2)^{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{2} \text{Ker}(H^3_{\text{ét}}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \to H^3_{\text{ét}}(F(Y), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))) \to \text{CH}^2(Y)$$

where the $K$-cohomology group $A^1(-, K_2)$ is defined in [GMS03, Section 4] and $\Gamma$ is the absolute Galois group. Moreover, $A^1(Y_{\text{sep}}, K_2)^{\Gamma} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{CH}^2(Y) = 0$. The Rost invariant of $Y$ is the image of $1 \in A^1(Y_{\text{sep}}, K_2)$ under the homomorphism $g$. We remark that sequence (5.1) is analogous to the sequence (4.2) for the Tits algebras arising from the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence.
We remark also that if $G$ is a group of inner type with trivial Tits algebras (simply-connected or not), then there is a well-defined Rost invariant of $G$ itself (not of $G$-torsors); see [GP07, Section 2].

The idea to use cohomological invariant to study linear algebraic groups and torsors is due to Jean-Pierre Serre. For example, the Serre-Rost conjecture for groups of type $F_4$ says that the map

$$H^1_{\text{ét}}(F, F_4) \hookrightarrow H^3_{\text{ét}}(F, \mathbb{Z}/2) \oplus H^3_{\text{ét}}(F, \mathbb{Z}/3) \oplus H^5_{\text{ét}}(F, \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

induced by the invariants $f_3$, $g_3$ and $f_5$ described in [KMRT, §40] ($f_3$ and $g_3$ are the modulo 2 and modulo 3 components of the Rost invariant), is injective. This allows to exchange the study of the set $H^1_{\text{ét}}(F, F_4)$ of isomorphism classes of groups of type $F_4$ over $F$ (equiv. of isomorphism classes of $F_4$-torsors or of isomorphism classes of Albert algebras) by the abelian group $H^3_{\text{ét}}(F, \mathbb{Z}/2) \oplus H^3_{\text{ét}}(F, \mathbb{Z}/3) \oplus H^5_{\text{ét}}(F, \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

In the same spirit one can formulate the Serre conjecture II, saying in particular that $H^1_{\text{ét}}(F, E_8) = 1$ if the field $F$ has cohomological dimension 2. Namely, for such fields $H^1_{\text{ét}}(F, M) = 0$ for all $n \geq 3$ and all torsion modules $M$. In particular, for groups over $F$ there are no invariants of degree $\geq 3$, and the Serre conjecture II predicts that the groups of type $E_8$ over $F$ themselves are split.

Nowadays there exist a number of techniques to construct and study cohomological invariants. In the literature one can find constructions using $K_0$, Chow rings, motivic cohomology. Moreover, the algebraic cobordism, general oriented cohomology theories, classifying spaces of algebraic groups and even motives are useful. For example, in the next section we will describe a relation between invariants and the Morava $K$-theories.

### 6. Morava $K$-theory

In this section we will introduce a geometric cohomology theory — the Morava $K$-theory, and prove that it detects the triviality of some cohomological invariants (in particular, of the Rost invariant) of algebraic groups.

Consider the algebraic cobordism $\Omega$ of Levine-Morel (see [LM]). By [LM, Thm. 1.2.6] the algebraic cobordism is a universal oriented cohomology theory and there is a (unique) morphism of theories $\Omega^* \to A^*$ for any oriented cohomology theory $A^*$ in the sense of Levine-Morel.

Each oriented cohomology theory $A$ is equipped with a 1-dimensional commutative formal group law $\text{FGL}_A$. E.g., for the Chow theory $\text{CH}^*$ this is the additive formal group law, for $K_0$ the multiplicative formal group law and for $\Omega$ the universal formal group law. Moreover, these theories are universal for the respective formal group laws.

For a theory $A^*$ we consider the category of $A^*$-motives with coefficients in a commutative ring $R$, which is defined in the same way as the category of Grothendieck’s Chow motives with $\text{CH}^*$ replaced by $A^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$ (see [Ma68, EKM]). In the present section the ring $R$ is $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, or $\mathbb{Z}(p)$ for a prime number $p$.

For a prime number $p$ and a natural number $n$ we consider the $n$-th Morava $K$-theory $K(n)$ with respect to $p$. Note that we do not include $p$ in the notation. We define this theory as the universal oriented cohomology theory for the Lubin-Tate formal group law of height $n$ with the coefficient ring $\mathbb{Z}(p)[v_n, v_n^{-1}]$ (see below for the definition of the Lubin-Tate formal group law).
For a variety $X$ over $F$ one has
$$K(n)(X) = \Omega(X) \otimes_L \mathbb{Z}_p[v_n, v_n^{-1}],$$
and $v_n$ is a $v_n$-element in the Lazard ring $L$ (see e.g. [Sem13, Def. 2.3]). The degree of $v_n$ is negative and equals $-(p^n - 1)$. In particular, $K(n)(\text{Spec } F) = \mathbb{Z}_p[v_n, v_n^{-1}]$. We remark that usually one considers the Morava $K$-theory with the coefficient ring $\mathbb{F}_p[v_n, v_n^{-1}]$. For any prime $p$ we define $K(0)$ as $\text{CH}_* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

If $n = 1$ and $p = 2$, one has $K(1)(X) = K^0(X)[v_1, v_1^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, since the Lubin-Tate formal group law is isomorphic to the multiplicative formal group law in this case.

We construct the formal group law for the $n$-th Morava $K$-theory modulo $p$ (the Lubin-Tate formal group law) following [Haz] and [Rav]. The logarithm of the formal group law of the Brown-Peterson cohomology equals
$$l(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} m_i t^{p^i},$$
where $m_0 = 1$ and the remaining variables $m_i$ are related to $v_j$ as follows:
$$m_j = \frac{1}{p} \cdot (v_j + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} m_i v_j^{p^i}).$$

Let $e(t)$ be the compositional inverse of $l(t)$. The Brown-Peterson formal group law is given by $e(l(x) + l(y))$.

The $n$-th Morava formal group law is obtained from the $BP$ formal group law by sending all $v_j$ with $j \neq n$ to zero. Modulo the ideal $I$ generated by $p, x^{p^n}, y^{p^n}$ the formal group law for the $n$-th Morava $K$-theory equals
$$\text{FGL}_{K(n)}(x, y) = x + y - v_n \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i} x^{ip^{n-1}} y^{(p-i)p^{n-1}} \mod I.$$

**Definition 6.1.** For an oriented cohomology theory $A$ and a motive $M$ in the category of $A$-motives over $F$ we say that $M$ is **split**, if it is a direct sum of (twisted) Tate motives over $F$. Note that this property depends very much on the theory $A$.

Let $(a_1) \cup \ldots \cup (a_m) \in H^m_{\text{et}}(F, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ be a pure symbol, $a_i \in F^\times$. The quadratic form $q = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1} \rangle \perp \langle -a_m \rangle$ is called a **norm form** and the respective projective quadric given by $q = 0$ is called a (projective) **norm quadric** (We use the standard notation from the quadratic form theory as in [KMRT] and [EKML]). The respective **affine norm quadric** is an open subvariety of the projective norm quadric given by the equation
$$\langle a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1} \rangle = a_m,$$
i.e. setting the last coordinate to $1$.

The proof of the following proposition is close to [AI2, Section 8].

**Proposition 6.2.** Let $0 \leq n < m - 1$ and set $p = 2$. Consider the affine norm quadric $X^{\text{aff}}$ of dimension $2^{m-1} - 1$ corresponding to a pure symbol in $H^m_{\text{et}}(F, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Then the pullback of the structural morphism $X^{\text{aff}} \to \text{Spec } F = \text{pt}$ induces an isomorphism
$$K(n)(X^{\text{aff}}) = K(n)(\text{pt}).$$
Proof. Let \((a_1) \cup \ldots \cup (a_m) \in H_{\text{et}}^m(F, \mathbb{Z}/2)\) be our pure symbol, \(a_i \in F^\times\), and \(q = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1} \rangle \perp \langle -a_m \rangle\) be the respective norm form. Let \(Y\) be the projective norm quadric of dimension \(2^n-1\) corresponding to the subsymbol \((a_1) \cup \ldots \cup (a_{n+1}) \in H_{\text{et}}^{n+1}(F, \mathbb{Z}/2)\).

We have the following localization diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\lim_{Y' \subset Y} K(n)(Y') & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & K(n)(Y) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & K(n)(F(Y)) & \rightarrow 0 \\
\lim_{Y' \subset Y} K(n)(X^{\text{aff}} \times Y') & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & K(n)(X^{\text{aff}} \times Y) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & K(n)(X^{\text{aff}}_{F(Y)}) & \rightarrow 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

where the vertical arrows are pullbacks of the respective projections and the limits are taken over all closed subvarieties of \(Y\) of codimension \(\geq 1\).

By the choice of \(Y\) the quadratic form \(q\) is split completely over \(F(y)\) for any point \(y\) of \(Y\) (not necessarily a closed point). In particular, \(X^{\text{aff}}_{F(Y)}\) is a split odd-dimensional affine quadric. Hence the right vertical arrow is an isomorphism. By induction on dimension of \(Y\) the left vertical arrow is surjective. It follows by a diagram chase that the middle vertical arrow is surjective as well.

Let \(a: Y \to \text{pt}\) be the structural morphism, \(b: X^{\text{aff}} \times Y \to Y\) and \(c: X^{\text{aff}} \times Y \to X^{\text{aff}}\) be the projections. Consider now another commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
K(n)(\text{pt}) & \xrightarrow{a^*} & K(n)(Y) & \xrightarrow{b^*} & K(n)(X^{\text{aff}} \times Y) & \rightarrow 0 \\
\xrightarrow{\pi^*} & & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & \\
K(n)(\text{pt}) & \xrightarrow{a^*} & K(n)(Y) & \xrightarrow{b^*} & K(n)(X^{\text{aff}} \times Y) & \rightarrow 0 \\
\xrightarrow{c^*} & & \xrightarrow{c^*} & & \xrightarrow{c^*} & \\
K(n)(\text{pt}) & \xrightarrow{a^*} & K(n)(Y) & \xrightarrow{b^*} & K(n)(X^{\text{aff}} \times Y) & \rightarrow 0 \\
\xrightarrow{c^*} & & \xrightarrow{c^*} & & \xrightarrow{c^*} & \\
\end{array}
\]

By the above considerations the homomorphism \(b^*\) is surjective. The left and the right vertical arrows are isomorphisms, since they are multiplications by the Morava-Euler characteristic of \(Y\) which is odd by [LM, Prop. 4.4.22], since \(Y\) is a \(\nu_n\)-variety (see e.g. [Sem13, Section 2]).

Therefore by a diagram chase the bottom horizontal arrow is surjective. But \(K(n)(\text{pt})\) is a direct summand of \(K(n)(X^{\text{aff}})\). Therefore the bottom arrow is an isomorphism. \(\square\)

Consider the Witt-ring of the field \(F\) and denote by \(I\) its fundamental ideal.

**Proposition 6.3.** Let \(m \geq 1\) and set \(p = 2\). A regular even-dimensional quadratic form \(q\) belongs to \(I^m\) iff the Morava motives \(K(n)\) of the respective projective quadric are split for all \(0 \leq n < m - 1\).

**Proof.** Assume that \(q\) does not belong to \(I^m\). Let \(1 \leq s < m\) be the maximal number with \(q \in I^s\). By [OVV07, Thm. 2.10] there exists a field extension \(K\) of \(F\) such that \(q_K\) as an element of the Witt-ring of \(F\) is an anisotropic \(s\)-fold Pfister form. By [PS14, Prop. 4.1] its \((s - 1)\)-st Morava motive is not split. Contradiction.
Conversely, assume that \( q \) belongs to \( F^m \) and let \( Q \) be the respective projective quadric. Then we can present \( q \) as a finite sum of (up to proportionality) \( s \)-fold Pfister forms with \( s \geq m \). We prove our statement using induction on the length of such a presentation in the Witt-ring. If \( q \) is an \( s \)-fold Pfister-form, then, since \( s \geq m > n + 1 \), by \cite[Prop. 4.1]{PS14} the \( K(n) \)-motive of \( Q \) is split.

Let \( \alpha \) be an \( s \)-fold Pfister form in the decomposition of \( q \). Let \( X_{\text{aff}} \) be the affine norm quadric of dimension \( 2n - 1 \) corresponding to a subsymbol of \( \alpha \) from \( H_{\text{et}}^{n+1}(F, \mathbb{Z}/2) \) (note that \( n + 1 < m \leq s \)). Then the length of \( q \) over \( F(X_{\text{aff}}) \) is strictly smaller than the length of \( q \) over \( F \).

Consider the following commutative diagram of localization sequences:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\lim_{Y' \subseteq Q \times Q} K(n)(Y') \longrightarrow K(n)(Q \times Q) \longrightarrow K(n)(F(\bar{Q} \times Q)) \longrightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
\lim_{Y' \subseteq Q \times Q} K(n)(X_{\text{aff}} \times Y') \longrightarrow K(n)(X_{\text{aff}} \times Q \times Q) \longrightarrow K(n)(X_{\text{aff}}(\bar{Q} \times Q)) \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]

where the vertical arrows are pullbacks of the respective projections and the limits are taken over all closed subvarieties of \( Q \times Q \) of codimension \( \geq 1 \).

The right vertical arrow is an isomorphism by Proposition 6.2. The left vertical arrow is surjective by induction on the dimension of the variety \( Q \times Q \) (we do not use here that \( Q \) is a quadric). Therefore by a diagram chase the middle vertical arrow is surjective.

But by the localization sequence

\[
K(n)(X_{\text{aff}} \times Q \times Q) \rightarrow K(n)((Q \times Q)_{\bar{F}(X_{\text{aff}})})
\]

is surjective. By the induction hypothesis on the length of \( q \), the restriction homomorphism

\[
K(n)((Q \times Q)_{\bar{F}(X_{\text{aff}})}) \rightarrow K(n)((Q \times Q)_K)
\]

to a splitting field \( K \) of \( Q_{\bar{F}(X_{\text{aff}})} \) is surjective. Therefore the restriction homomorphism

\[
K(n)(Q \times Q) \rightarrow K(n)((Q \times Q)_K)
\]

to the splitting field \( K \) is surjective. In particular, since the projectors for the Morava-motive of \( Q \) lie in \( K(n)(Q \times Q) \), the \( K(n) \)-motive of \( Q \) over \( F \) is split. \( \square \)

**Remark 6.4.** The same statement with a similar proof holds for the variety of totally isotropic subspaces of dimension \( k \) for all \( 1 \leq k \leq \frac{(\dim q)}{2} \).

**Theorem 6.5.** Let \( p \) be a prime number. Let \( G \) be a simple algebraic group over \( F \) and let \( X \) be the variety of Borel subgroups of \( G \). Then

1. \( G \) is of inner type iff the \( K(0) \)-motive of \( X \) is split.
2. Assume that \( G \) is of inner type. All Tits algebras of \( G \) are split iff the \( K_0 \)-motive with integral coefficients of \( X \) is split.
3. Assume that \( G \) is of inner type and the \( p \)-components of the Tits algebras of \( G \) are split. Then the \( p \)-component of the Rost invariant of \( G \) is zero iff the \( K(2) \)-motive of \( X \) is split.
(4) Let $p = 2$. Assume that $G$ is of type $E_8$ with trivial Rost invariant. Then $G$ is split by an odd degree field extension iff the $K(m)$-motive of $X$ is split for some $m \geq 4$ iff the $K(m)$-motive of $X$ is split for all $m \geq 4$.

Proof. (1) Since $K(0) = CH \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by definition, the statement follows from the fact that $G$ is of inner type iff the absolute Galois group of $F$ acts trivially on $CH(X_{sep}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and from the fact that over a splitting field $F_{sep}$ of $G$ the variety $X_{F_{sep}}$ is cellular.

(2) Follows from [Pa94]; see also Section 4.3.

(3) First we make several standard reductions. Since all prime numbers coprime to $p$ are invertible in the coefficient ring of the Morava $K$-theory, by transfer argument we are free to take finite field extensions of the base field of degree coprime to $p$. Hence we can assume that only the $p$-components of the Tits algebras are split, but that the Tits algebras are completely split (and the same for the Rost invariant).

If $G$ is a group of inner type $A$ or $C$ with trivial Tits algebras, then $G$ is split and the statement follows. If $G$ is a group of type $B$ or $D$, then the statement follows from Proposition 6.3 (In Prop. 6.3 we assume that the quadratic form $q$ is even-dimensional. We use it only to conclude that $q \in I$ as a starting point in the proof.)

Let now $G$ be a group of an exceptional type. Assume that the $K(2)$-motive of $X$ is split, but the Rost invariant of $G$ is not trivial. By [PS10] Thm. 5.7 if $G$ is not split already, there is a field extension $K$ of $F$ such that the Rost invariant of $G_K$ is a pure non-zero symbol (For example, if $p = 2$ and $G$ is of type $E_8$, then one can take $K = F(Y)$ with $Y$ the variety of maximal parabolic subgroups of $G$ of type 6; enumeration of simple roots follows Bourbaki). Then the motive of $X$ is a direct sum of Rost motives corresponding to this symbol of degree 3 (see [PSZ08]). This gives a contradiction with [PS14] Prop. 4.1.

Conversely, if the Rost invariant of $G$ is zero and $G$ is not of type $E_8$, then by [Ga01] Thm. 0.5 the group $G$ is split and the statement follows. If $G$ is of type $E_8$ with trivial Rost invariant, then by [Sem13] Cor. 8.7] $G$ has an invariant $u \in H^3_{et}(F, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ such that for a field extension $K/F$ the invariant $u_K = 0$ iff $G_K$ splits over a field extension of $K$ of odd degree. Exactly as in the proof of Prop. 6.3 we can reduce to the case when $u$ is a pure symbol. But then by [PSZ08] the motive of the variety $X$ (Chow motive and cobordism motive and hence Morava-motive) is a direct sum of Rost motives for $u$ and by [PS14] Prop. 4.1] the $K(2)$-Rost motive for a symbol of degree $5 > 3$ is split.

(4) If $G$ is split by an odd degree field extension, then the $K(m)$-m motives of $X$ are split for all $m$, since $p = 2$. Conversely, if $G$ does not split over an odd degree field extension of $F$, then the invariant $u$ is not zero. By [OVV07] Thm. 2.10] there is field extension $K$ of $F$ such that $u_K$ is a non-zero pure symbol. Over $K$ the motive of $X$ is a direct sum of Rost motives corresponding to $u_K$. By [PS14] Prop. 4.1] the $K(m)$-Rost motives for a symbol of degree 5 are not split, if $m \geq 4$.

Finally we remark that sequence (4.3.) can be used to define the Rost invariant in general, the invariant $f_5$ for groups of type $F_4$ and an invariant of degree 5 for groups of type $E_8$ with trivial Rost invariant (see [Sem13]). Namely, for the Rost invariant let $G$ be a simple simply-connected algebraic group over $F$. Let $Y$ be a $G$-torsor and set $n = 3$. Then sequence (4.3.) gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^3_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{A}_Y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to \text{Ker} \left( H^3_{et}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \to H^3_{et}(F(Y), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \right) \to 0$$
But by sequence (5.1) \( \text{Ker} \left( H^3_{\text{et}}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow H^3_{\text{et}}(F(Y), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \right) \) is a finite cyclic group. Therefore \( H^3_{\text{et}}(\mathcal{X}_Y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \) is a finite cyclic group and the Rost invariant of \( Y \) is the image of \( 1 \in H^3_{\text{et}}(\mathcal{X}_Y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \) in \( H^3_{\text{et}}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \).

To construct invariants of degree 5 for \( F_4 \) (resp. for \( E_8 \)) one takes \( n = 5 \) and \( Y \) to be the variety of parabolic subgroups of type 4 for \( F_4 \) (the enumeration of simple roots follows Bourbaki) and resp. the variety of parabolic subgroups of any type for \( E_8 \). In both cases \( H^5_{\text{et}}(\mathcal{X}_Y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \) is cyclic of order 2 and the invariant is the image of \( 1 \in H^5_{\text{et}}(\mathcal{X}_Y, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \) in \( H^5_{\text{et}}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(4)) \); see [Sem13].
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