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ABSTRACT

Objective: To study epidemiology, presentation and management of cases with unilateral proptosis presenting at a tertiary care hospital.

Study Design: Case series.

Place and Duration of Study: Armed Forces Institute of Ophthalmology, Rawalpindi Pakistan, from Jan 2016 to Dec 2017.

Methodology: Retrospective analysis of hospital record of patients with unilateral proptosis was carried out. Data was entered and analyzed in SPSS version 22. Chi square test was used for statistical analysis.

Results: Thirty-three cases of unilateral proptosis with a mean age of 41.79 ± 3.87 years were included in the study. Pain was the most common association. Proptosis was mild in 42.4%, moderate in 48.5% and severe in 9.1% cases. In 61% cases, diagnosis was made on excisional or incisional biopsy and on the basis of radio imaging in 39% cases. Most common cause of proptosis found was pleomorphic adenoma (12%). Patients with axial and non-axial proptosis were 48.5% and 51.5% respectively. Fourteen cases (42.5%) were managed surgically and 2 cases (6%) were observed. Total of 22 cases (67%) improved after treatment, 8 cases (24%) went into remission, 2 (6%) deteriorated, and 1 (3%) died in the study period.

Conclusion: Unilateral proptosis is a diagnostic challenge. Pertinent history taking and detail examination is the key, but associated signs or symptoms not always point towards correct diagnosis. Tissue biopsy provides a conclusive diagnosis especially where radio imaging is doubtful.
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INTRODUCTION

The term proptosis and exophthalmos are generally used interchangeably. Some authors use term exophthalmos for causes related to endocrine problems and proptosis for others. Others use the severity of protrusion to differentiate between the two with more severe cases termed exophthalmos.

The absolute cut off value to label proptosis varies in literature (16mm or 18mm) but the difference of 2 mm between two eyes is more specific. Proptosis can also be assessed radiologically with CT or MRI axial scan using the interzygomatic line. Distance is measured between this line and the anterior (normal <21 mm) or posterior globe margin (normal <5mm).

There are also different methods to measure proptosis. Various instruments have been designed for clinical measurement of proptosis, ranging from a simple ruler to more precise instruments like hertel, naugle and leudde’s exophthalmometers but hertel exophthalmometer which was designed in 1905 is most commonly used. However, exophthalmometry is affected by several factors such as ethnicity, gender and age. Interobserver variations has been reported in literature ranging between various designs.

Proptosis can present with painful red eye, chemosis, loss of sight or color vision or restriction of extraocular movements. Other associations include fever, pain, diplopia, epiphora and nasal discharge. Depending upon the age of patient, presentation and laterality of disease, etiology ranges from simple diseases like thyroid ophthalmopathy to more serious infections like mucormycosis, benign or malignant tumors like adenomas, hemangiomas, meningiomas, gliomas and secondary metastasis.

Unilateral proptosis is a diagnostic challenge. The objective of this study was to know about its varying presentations and etiologies. Timely referral to tertiary care center and following standardized operating procedures in assessment helps in early diagnosis and effective treatment.

METHODOLOGY

It was a case series, conducted at Armed Forces Institute of Ophthalmology, Rawalpindi Pakistan, from January 2016 to December 2017. Thirty three Patients of unilateral proptosis were managed. Detailed eye
examination was done and variables including demographic data, associated symptoms, physical examination, laboratory studies, radiological workup, direction and laterality, Treatment and follow-up were recorded on a predesigned study proforma

**Inclusion Criteria:** Patients of unilateral proptosis were included. Proptosis was measured using Hertel’s exophthalmometer.

**Exclusion Criteria:** The cases with bilateral exophthalmos, pseudoproptosis, those with obvious craniofacial anomalies in which proptosis was a feature and recurrent cases managed in the past were excluded from the study.

For this study, proptosis was defined as a reading of ≥18mm or a difference between both the two eyes of >2mm whichever applicable. Proposis of 2-4mm was considered as mild until 6mm as moderate and >6mm as severe. Diagnosis was made provisionally based on history, detailed ophthalmic examination and after relevant radiological investigation like computerised tomography, magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasound B-scan whichever indicated. Prior biopsy was also performed in some patients before definitive management. The inflammations were managed medically with systemic antibiotics and steroids while for tumors either benign or malignant, surgical management (orbital explorations, orbitotomies, exenterations) was planned.

Patients were managed in consultation with other specialties like ENT, Radiology, neurosurgery, and oncology and were referred for post op radiotherapy and chemotherapy wherever indicated. Data was grouped into variables and analyzed with SPSS version 22. Chi-square test was used for statistical analysis. \( p \)-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

Thirty-three cases of unilateral proptosis were managed during the study period with a mean age of 41.79 ± 3.87 years. Seventeen (51.5%) patients were male and 16 (48.5%) were female. Out of them 19 (48.5%) patients presented with axial and 20 (51.5%) patients presented with non-axial proptosis. The duration of proptosis is shown in the Table-I.

A total of 29 cases presented with gradual onset of proptosis, including 19 cases (57.6%) with gradual painless and 10 cases (30%) with gradual painful. Only 4 cases (12%) had sudden painful unilateral proptosis (acute orbit). There was no significant difference in the frequency distribution of these case presentations and the accuracy of proptosis diagnosis (\( p = 0.63 \)).

Only 2 cases (6%) had no associated signs or symptoms while the most common presentation was pain (headache or ocular pain) in 14 cases (42.5%). Rest of the cases presented with variable associations like diplopia in 4 (12%), ptosis in 6 (18.2%), reduced vision in 5 (15.2%) ocular pain and redness in 2 (6%) each (Table-II).

| Diagnosis                  | Frequency (n) |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| Malignant Tumors           | 7             |
| Lymphoma                   | 3             |
| Malignant fibrous tumor     | 1             |
| Sebaceous gland carcinoma  | 1             |
| Adenoidcystic carcinoma    | 1             |
| Rhabdomyosarcoma           | 1             |
| Benign Tumors              | 12            |
| Pleomorphic adenoma        | 4             |
| Meningioma                 | 2             |
| Capillary hemangioma       | 2             |
| Cavernous hemangioma       | 2             |
| Deep dermoid              | 1             |
| Pilocytic astrocytoma      | 1             |
| Inflammation                | 3             |
| IgG4 Orbitalogy            | 1             |
| Thyroid eye disease        | 1             |
| Fibrovascular tissue inflam.| 1             |
| Acute Orbit                | 4             |
| Panophthalmitis             | 1             |
| Orbital Haemorrhage        | 1             |
| Chocolate Cyst, Lymphangioma| 1             |
| Cavernous Sinus Thrombosis | 1             |
| Miscellaneous              | 7             |
| Mucormycosis               | 2             |
| Pseudotumor                | 1             |
| Metastasis                 | 1             |
| Frontal Mucocele           | 1             |
| Lacrimal adenitis          | 1             |
| Carotid cavernous fistula  | 1             |

A total of 3 cases (9%) presented with an initial visual acuity of no perception of light, while 16 cases (48.5%) had normal vision at presentation. Remaining 14 cases (42.4%) had a variable vision from 6/9 to 6/60 at presentation. Proptosis was mild in 14 cases
(42.4%), moderate in 16 cases (48.5%) and severe in 3 cases (9.1%). Extraocular movements were limited to a variable extent in 7 cases (21%) while it was full range in remaining 26 (79%).

In all 33 cases radio-imaging was done first to reach a provisional diagnosis. In 17 cases (51.5%) the diagnosis was confirmed after excision biopsy. Fourteen were surgically managed by orbitotomies or orbital exploration out of which 3 underwent exenteration. Following surgery, 5 underwent radiotherapy and chemotherapy. In 3 cases (9%) incisional biopsy confirmed the diagnosis and these all were managed medically. In remaining 13 cases (39.4%) radio imaging helped in making the diagnosis. In tumors, histopathology reports confirmed the final diagnosis. Tissue biopsy was statistically found to be significantly superior to radioimaging in correctness of diagnosis ($p<0.01$), as illustrated in the Table-III.

| Table-III: Diagnostic frequencies of tissue biopsy and radio imaging. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Tissue Biopsy | Radio Imaging | $p$-value |
|-------|--------------|-----------|
| Correct Diagnosis | 18 (90%) | 13 (39.4%) | <0.01 |
| Incorrect/Inconclusive Diagnosis | 2 (10%) | 20 (60.6%) | |

| Table-IV: Etiology of unilateral proptosis, duration of proptosis. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Duration | Cases | Percentage(%) |
|---------|------|---------------|
| <1 month | 03 | 9% |
| 1-6 months | 09 | 27.3% |
| 6-12 months | 09 | 27.3% |
| 1-2 Years | 05 | 15% |
| >2 Years | 07 | 21% |

**DISCUSSION**

In case of bilateral protrusion of eyeballs, the provisional list of diagnosis is rather short however, unilateral cases have a long list of etiologies. Bilateral cases may initially present unilaterally. The patient can present with varying signs and symptoms as mentioned in the literature. Fever, diplopia, pain, redness, chemosis or associated signs like epiphora, running nose, difficult breathing are few leading ones. The causes like inflammatory, endocrine and neoplastic all can present initially with a protrusion of eyeball that is, proptosis. Malignant causes can present with sudden protrusion and loss of visual acuity. Orbital metastasis can have a primary source elsewhere in the body. On account of these variable presentations, signs, symptoms and course of disease that it follows, each case of proptosis is in fact a diagnostic challenge. Timely diagnosis and appropriate management can relieve the patient’s agony and may be helpful in preserving the vision (Figure-1a, b & c).

Most common associated presentation in our study is pain whether ocular pain or headache comparable to another study however, it was not a statistically significant in differentiating the etiology of proptosis ($p>0.05$).

Regarding the cause of proptosis, 12 patients (36.36%) had benign etiology, most common being pleomorphic adenoma in 4 cases (33.33%). Malignant tumours were found in 7 patients (21.11%) with most common diagnosis of orbital lymphoma in 3 out of 7 cases (42.85%). Remaining 14 patients had variable diagnosis as mentioned (Table-IV). During the study period 22 cases (67%) improved with no residual disease, in 8 cases (24%) disease went into remission phase, 2 (6%) steadily deteriorated, and there was 1 (3%) mortality in the study period.

As far as the investigations are concerned, both laboratory and radiological modalities are helpful. Doppler ultrasound is useful in differentiating vascular tumors however, tissue biopsy is diagnostic and

---

**Figure-1(a-c):** A case of acute orbit, a): 47-years old housewife, initially treated as preseptal cellulitis left eye. History revealed cardiac surgery a month back followed by IV anticoagulants and now raised INR, b): On CT Orbits, it turned out as orbital hemorrhage left eye. An urgent canthotomy and cantholysis relieved the patient’s agony, c): 2 weeks later.
must be performed in all doubtful cases. Thyroid function tests are necessary in the evaluation of any case with unilateral or bilateral proptosis, especially in absence of other signs and symptoms pointing towards a specific etiology. In our study, there was only one case of thyroid eye disease that presented with unilateral proptosis and raised serum thyroid hormones level. It was managed by antithyroid drugs as well as oral steroids to control the acute inflammation in collaboration with medical specialist. After the medical treatment, there was total recovery from the inflammatory stage and mild reduction in proptosis.

Pertinent radiological tests include CT scan, MRI and ultrasound. CT scan carries the risk of radiation exposure however MRI is safe in this context, is preferred for orbital apex lesions, optic nerve disease, and is helpful in assessing intracranial extension of a lesion. In case of orbital disease, both the coronal and axial views are helpful in assessing the extent and dimensions of the lesion. These radiological modalities also help in differentiating between an intracranal and intraconal lesion. Generally, an intracranal mass cause axial while extracranal mass causes non axial proptosis or dystopia. In this study, the percentage of patients with axial and non-axial proptosis was 48.5% and 51.5% respectively however another study reflects the converse.

Majority of patients in this study had a space occupying lesion in orbit that was causing the protrusion. Being either benign or malignant majority was managed surgically followed by chemo/radiotherapy in a few. Common benign cause of proptosis is pleomorphic adenoma while the most common malignant cause found was lymphoma in comparison to other studies in which they concluded the inflammation being the most common cause. Most of other cases with inflammatory or other miscellaneous conditions were managed medically depending upon the specific etiology. Majority of patients in our study were completely treated and there was only one death during the study period. As mentioned in literature, tissue biopsy is considered a gold standard in diagnosis, we also found it to be significantly superior to radio imaging.

**CONCLUSION**

Unilateral proptosis is a diagnostic challenge. Pertinent history taking and detail examination is the key, but associated signs or symptoms not always point towards correct diagnosis. Tissue biopsy may be conclusive especially where diagnosis by radio imaging is doubtful.
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