PARTICLE TRANSPORT IN YOUNG PULSAR WIND NEBULAE
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ABSTRACT

The model for pulsar wind nebulae (PWNe) as a result of the magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) downstream flow from a shocked, relativistic pulsar wind has been successful in reproducing many features of the nebulae observed close to central pulsars. However, observations of well-studied young nebulae like the Crab Nebula, 3C 58, and G21.5–0.9 do not show the toroidal magnetic field on a larger scale that might be expected in the MHD flow model; in addition, the radial variation of spectral index due to synchrotron losses is smoother than expected in the MHD flow model. We find that pure diffusion models can reproduce the basic data on nebular size and spectral index variation for the Crab, 3C 58, and G21.5–0.9. Most of our models use an energy-independent diffusion coefficient; power-law variations of the coefficient with energy are degenerate with variation in the input particle energy distribution index in the steady state, transmitting boundary case. Energy-dependent diffusion is a possible reason for the smaller diffusion coefficient inferred for the Crab. Monte Carlo simulations of the particle transport allowing for advection and diffusion of particles suggest that diffusion dominates over much of the total nebular volume of the Crab. Advection dominates close to the pulsar and is likely to play a role in the X-ray half-light radius. The source of diffusion and mixing of particles is uncertain, but may be related to the Rayleigh–Taylor instability at the outer boundary of a young PWN or to instabilities in the toroidal magnetic field structure.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The finding of the diminishing size of the Crab synchrotron nebula with increasing frequency supports the picture that energetic electrons are injected in the vicinity of the pulsar and lose energy to synchrotron radiation in the larger nebula (Wilson 1972; Rees & Gunn 1974). The radial emission profiles were first modeled as a central particle source with diffusion into the larger nebula (Gratton 1972; Wilson 1972). Rees & Gunn (1974) specified a termination shock in the pulsar wind as the source of the particle acceleration and viewed the outer part of the nebula as a place where the pulsar magnetic field winds up and the flow decelerates. This view was put on a firmer basis by Kennel & Coroniti (1984a, 1984b, hereafter KC), who calculated the conditions at the relativistic MHD shock and followed the time-independent downstream flow of fields and particles. This one-dimensional model with advected particles was able to reproduce the observed sizes of the optical and X-ray emission in the Crab Nebula, but did not address the radio emission.

High-resolution imaging of the Crab with the Hubble telescope at optical wavelengths and Chandra at X-ray wavelengths shows an active system of toroidal filaments and jets close to the pulsar in line with the expected position of the termination shock (Hester 2008). These observations have motivated two-dimensional MHD simulations, which allow for a polar angle dependence of the pulsar wind power (Komissarov & Lyubarsky 2003; Del Zanna et al. 2004). In these models, the wind is stronger in the equatorial plane, producing the toroidal filaments. Hoop stresses in the shocked flow bring material back to the axis to form the jets. Current models for the filaments are able to reproduce many aspects of the filaments (see Bucciantini 2011 for a review), including the integrated spectrum of the Crab from radio to TeV (Volpi et al. 2008) and the time variability of the inner structure (Volpi et al. 2008; Camus et al. 2009; Komissarov & Lyutikov 2011). The jet-torus structure near the pulsar has been commonly observed in X-ray images of pulsar nebulae (Kargaltsev & Pavlov 2008), and the structure is presumed to be a standard feature of pulsar nebulae. Although models for the toroidal filaments are now convincing, the nature of the flow beyond the filaments to the edge of the nebula remains uncertain.

The primary way of exploring particle transport is to model the structure of the nebulae at different photon energies or, equivalently, the structure and photon index distribution. The crucial point is that the particles lose energy to synchrotron radiation as they age so that the photon index distribution provides a good test of the particle transport mechanism. Provided the magnetic field is not strongly varying, the spectral index in a particular location gives information on the mean age of the particles. Observations of the Crab Nebula at optical (Veron-Cetty & Wolter 1993) and infrared (Temim et al. 2006) wavelengths show a monotonic change in spectral index from the center to the edge of the nebula, where edge is defined by the decrease in surface brightness at that particular wavelength. The well-known bays in the Crab are asymmetric structures, but the spectral index at the bay edge is similar to that at other edges. The data do not indicate a highly asymmetric flow in the nebula. Thus, we assume spherical symmetry in our models.

In Section 2, we discuss issues with existing models for the larger scale flow and, in Section 3, consider a diffusion/advection model for the particle propagation. The model is applied to the phase when the pulsar wind nebula (hereafter PWN) is expanding into the freely expanding supernova gas, before a reverse shock front moves in due to interaction with the surroundings. We concentrate on comparing our models to the Crab Nebula, 3C 58, and G21.5–0.9 because they are the
best-observed PWNe within that phase. In Section 4, we discuss the diffusion process.

2. OUTER STRUCTURE OF YOUNG PULSAR NEBULAE

Current data on the Crab Nebula convincingly show that there is a relativistic flow in the interior that must slow down to match the outer boundary (Hester 2008). The issue treated in this paper is how the particles are transported between the inner, toroidal region and the outer boundary of the PWN. In the KC model, the particles are advected with a toroidal magnetic field. Cross-field transport could result in thorough mixing, with this structure, the larger nebula has a complex structure that includes a radial component to the magnetic field. As summarized by Hester (2008), there are layers of magnetic fields folded on top of each other such that adjoining field lines in one place move away from each other. Although diffusion across magnetic field lines is expected to be small, even a small amount of cross-field transport could result in thorough mixing, with this magnetic field configuration. We thus consider models with radial diffusion.

One uncertainty for the models is the degree to which particles are transmitted through the outer boundary of the PWN. The expectation is that the PWN magnetic field is contained within the wind bubble, which is bounded by supernova ejecta in young PWNe; the outer boundary of the Crab Nebula shows loop structures at radio wavelengths that are likely to delineate the magnetic field (Hester 2008). The characteristic mean free path for particles to cross the magnetic field is limited to the particle gyroradius (Bohm limit)

\[ \lambda = 10^{-3} \left( \frac{E_e}{100 \text{ TeV}} \right) \left( \frac{B}{100 \mu \text{G}} \right)^{-1} \text{pc}, \]  

where the particle energy, \( E_e \), corresponds to an energy of synchrotron radiation through \( E_e = \left( 20 \text{ TeV} \right) \left( B/100 \mu \text{G} \right)^{-1/2} E_{keV}^{1/2} \), and \( B \) is the magnetic field. The escape time for Bohm diffusion from a region of size \( R \) is then (de Jager & D'Jannati-Ataï 2009)

\[ t_{\text{esc}} \approx 16,000 \left( \frac{R}{2 \text{ pc}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{E_e}{100 \text{ TeV}} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{B}{100 \mu \text{G}} \right) \text{yr}, \]  

which is long compared to the ages of the PWNe considered here (~10^1 yr), particularly for particles radiating below X-ray wavelengths. However, there is some chance for escape from a narrow region close to the edge of the nebula.

An observational test for the transmission of particles would be synchrotron emission from energetic particles that have left the main PWN. There has been little evidence for such emission, but Bamba et al. (2010) have recently found X-ray emission around a number of PWNe, which they interpret as synchrotron emission from escaped particles. To have X-ray emitting particles extend to such large radial distances requires
a surprisingly low magnetic field strength in order to avoid synchrotron losses. One of the PWNe discussed by Bamba et al. (2010) is G21.5–0.9, which is also one of the primary remnants treated here. However, there are other interpretations for the extended emission. Bocchino et al. (2005) attributed the emission to a combination of a dust scattering halo plus emission from a surrounding shell; Matheson & Safi-Harb (2010) have shown clear evidence for shell emission.

Escape of electrons and positrons from PWNe has also come up in the context of a possible source for features in the Galactic cosmic-ray spectrum of electrons and positrons (e.g., Chang et al. 2008). However, the escape can be from elderly PWNe (>10^5 yr old) and does not require escape from young objects like those discussed here (e.g., Malyshev et al. 2009). Hinton et al. (2011) suggested the escape of particles from the Vela X PWN to explain the steeper particle spectrum in the outer parts of the nebula; however, this is an older nebula that has likely been affected by the reverse shock wave (Blondin et al. 2001). Overall, particle escape in PWNe with ages ~10^5 yr does not appear likely. In Section 3.2, we model the effect of the outer boundary condition for the PWN.

In the early diffusion models for the Crab Nebula (Gratton 1972; Wilson 1972; Weinberg & Silk 1976), the diffusion coefficient was assumed to be constant with energy, and that is the assumption that we make in most of our modeling. Weinberg & Silk (1976) argued for a constant coefficient based on the fact that the diffusion length is likely to be related to the size of magnetic filaments and is much larger than the gyroradius. However, there are reasons to consider energy-dependent diffusion of the form \( D(E) = D_0 E^\alpha \), where \( \alpha \) is a constant. On the observational side, cosmic rays are known to diffuse from the Galaxy in an energy-dependent way (e.g., Strong et al. 2007 and references therein). The data indicate \( \alpha = 0.3–0.6 \), with a diffusion coefficient of (3–5) \times 10^{28} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \) at a reference particle energy of 1 GeV (Strong et al. 2007). Interstellar turbulence is thought to play a role in the energy-dependent diffusion. Also, there is the possibility that the diffusion coefficient is proportional to the particle gyroradius, as in Bohm diffusion, leading to \( \alpha = 1 \). This “Bohm-type” value of \( \alpha \) is used by Van Etten & Romani (2011) and Hinton et al. (2011) in their modeling of evolved PWNe. However, the diffusion length is much larger than the particle gyroradius, so there is not a clear argument for \( \alpha = 1 \) in the PWN case. We consider the possible effect of energy-dependent diffusion in Section 3.1.

3. MODELS WITH DIFFUSION

3.1. Pure diffusion model

Wilson (1972) first showed that the spatial and spectral distribution of the Crab Nebula in the optical could be explained by a diffusion model with synchrotron radiation losses. Observations of 3C 58 (Bocchino et al. 2001; Slane et al. 2004) and G21.5-0.9 (Slane et al. 2000; Safi-Harb et al. 2001) taken by Chandra also gave a photon index distribution that is similar to the optical spectral index distribution in the Crab and is incompatible with the KC model (Reynolds 2003; Slane et al. 2004). Although observations of the Crab clearly show evidence for a relativistic wind close to the pulsar, a pure diffusion model illustrates one limiting case of the expected particle transport. We used the pure diffusion model developed by Gratton (1972) to fit the spectral index distribution of the Crab from radio to optical, and 3C 58 and G21.5-0.9 in X-rays. We also used the model to calculate the half-light radius of the Crab from radio to X-rays. This is the same model used by Wilson (1972) in his model for the Crab. The model assumes that a point source injects particles into an infinite space with spherical symmetry, and the injected particles follow a power-law distribution \( N(E, r = 0) = K E^{-p} \). The transport mechanism for the injected particles is diffusion. In order to satisfy the spherical symmetry assumption, the objects we discuss in this paper are young PWNe that are observed to have approximate spherical symmetry. In our initial model we have a pre-defined PWN radius \( R \); when we calculate the half-light radius and integrated spectrum, we only consider the particles that are within the nebular radius \( R \), reasoning that the magnetic field in the freely expanding ejecta outside \( R \) is small. The outer nebular boundary is a transmitting boundary. The model also assumes that the diffusion coefficient \( D \) and magnetic field \( B \) inside \( R \) are constant with radius. Here we neglect the adiabatic expansion energy losses and assume synchrotron radiation loss is the only energy loss, so that \( dE/dt = -Q E \), where \( Q = 2.37 \times 10^{-3} B_7^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) in cgs units and \( B_7 = (2/3)B^2 \) (Pacholczyk 1970). We examine the assumptions of point-source injection, pure diffusion, and a transmitting boundary in Section 3.2. In this section, we use this simple pure diffusion model to analyze the spectral index distribution and half-light radius of PWNe.

Based on these assumptions, the number density distribution \( N(E, r, t) \) is (Gratton 1972)

\[
N(E, r, t) = \frac{K}{4\pi r D} E^{-p} f_p(u, v),
\]

where

\[
u = \frac{r^2 Q E}{4D},
\]

and

\[
f_p(u, v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \frac{u}{x}\right)^{p-2} e^{-x} \sqrt{x} dx.
\]

The lower limit \( v \) of the integral \( f_p(u, v) \) is

\[
v = \begin{cases} \frac{r^2 Q E}{4D} & \text{if } t < \frac{1}{Q E} \\ \frac{r^2 Q E}{4D} & \text{if } t > \frac{1}{Q E} \end{cases}
\]

if there is no upper limit for the injection particle energy. Here \( t \) is the age of the nebula.

In order to simplify the calculation of the emission, we further assume that all the radiated power \( P \) of an electron of energy \( E \) goes into radiation of a frequency \( \nu \) corresponding to the maximum synchrotron radiation power. Therefore

\[
P(\nu) = C(B_\perp \nu)^{1/2} N(E(\nu)),
\]

where \( C \) is a constant, and

\[
E(\nu) = \left(\frac{4\pi m_e^3 c^5}{0.29 \times 3e} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\nu}{B \sin \theta}\right)^{1/2},
\]

where \( m_e \) and \( e \) are the mass and charge of an electron, and \( \theta \) is the particle pitch angle. Here we assume \( B \sin \theta = B_\perp = (2/3)B_7 \), yielding \( E(\nu) = 7.42 \times 10^{-10}(\nu/B_7)^{1/2} \text{ erg} \). The spectral index distribution \( S(\nu) \) between frequency \( \nu_1 \) and \( \nu_2 \) is given by

\[
S(\nu) = \frac{\log[P_{\nu_1}(r)/P_{\nu_2}(r)]}{\log(\nu_1/\nu_2)} = \log(\nu_1/\nu_2)^{1/2} + \log[N_{\text{tot}}(\nu_1, r)/N_{\text{tot}}(\nu_2, r)]/\log(\nu_1/\nu_2),
\]
where $N_{\text{tot}}(v, r)$ is the total number of particles emitted per unit area per unit time, per unit frequency with frequency $v$ and at radius $r$ from a central point source after integration along the line of sight. Gratton (1972) assumed a point source which makes $r = 0$ a singularity in $N_{\text{tot}}(v, r)$. We performed integrations along the line of sight starting at a cutoff radius, but this did not affect the larger scale results.

There is a critical energy for synchrotron cooling, $E_{\text{crit}} = 1/Qt$, which is relevant for the number density distribution $N(E, r, t)$. If $E > E_{\text{crit}}$, $N(E, r, t)$ reaches a steady-state solution $\dot{N}(E, r)$. If $E < E_{\text{crit}}$, only particles with $E_{\text{initial}} < E/(1 - QE)$ contribute to the spectrum at the frequency $v$ and $N(E, r, t)$ evolves with time. The corresponding peak synchrotron radiation frequency of particle with $E_{\text{crit}}$ is

$$v_{\text{crit}} = 6 \times 10^{14} \left(\frac{10^3 \text{yr}}{t}\right)^2 \left(\frac{100 \mu\text{G}}{B}\right)^3 \text{Hz}. \quad (7)$$

If the injected particles have an upper limit of energy $E^*$, the lower limit $v$ of the integral $f_p(u, v)$ changes to

$$v = \begin{cases} \frac{u^2}{4D} & \text{if } t < \frac{1}{Q} \left(\frac{E}{E^*} - \frac{1}{E}\right) \\ \frac{r^2 Q}{4D (E/E^*)^{1/2}} & \text{if } t > \frac{1}{Q} \left(\frac{E}{E^*} - \frac{1}{E}\right) \end{cases}$$

and the critical energy becomes $E^*/(1 + QtE^*)$. When the energy range of interest satisfies $E < E^*$, the $E^*$ term in $v$ is not important and can be neglected, which means we can assume the injection particle energy has no upper limit. A plausible estimate for $E^*$ is that the gyroradius of the particle equals to the termination shock radius, $R_s$, or $R_s = R_{\text{prox}} = E/eB$ for a relativistic electron. We then have $E^* = R_e eB$ and the corresponding peak synchrotron emission frequency is

$$v^* = 3.3 \times 10^{23} \left(\frac{R_s}{0.1 \text{pc}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{B}{100 \mu\text{G}}\right)^3 \text{Hz}.$$  

For the objects considered in this paper, electron energy injection with no upper limit is always a good assumption for frequencies below soft X-rays.

The spectral index distribution of the system at a certain frequency band mainly depends on the ratio $\eta$ between diffusion distance $d = (6Dt)^{1/2}$ and the nebular size $R$. At a certain frequency, the same $\eta = d/R = (6Dt/R^2)^{1/2}$ gives roughly the same spectral index distribution. The index $p$ of the injected particles would also affect the spectral index profile to some extent. Since we have good observational data for the spectral index of the PWNe considered here, its value can be directly estimated; in order to get an accurate diffusion coefficient $D$, we need to know the magnetic field $B$ of the system. One way to estimate $B$ is based on the synchrotron break frequency in the integrated spectrum (Equation (7)). However, the break is not a sharp feature and it is difficult to locate the synchrotron break frequency of the Crab and 3C 58 based on current observational data (Slane et al. 2008; Arendt et al. 2011). Another way is to model the high-energy inverse Compton emission. The magnetic field obtained by using inverse Compton fitting is slightly different from the magnetic field defined in our pure diffusion model, because in our model we solve for the constant magnetic field $B$ which is an average $B$ of the PWN over its lifetime. Our average magnetic field should be slightly larger than the magnetic field indicated by inverse Compton modeling. The minimum energy method for synchrotron emission also gives an estimate for $B$, but it depends on an uncertain assumption. If the frequency band is in the non-steady-state regime, $\eta = d/R = (6Dt/R^2)^{1/2}$, where $t$ is now the age of the nebula. The diffusion coefficient $D$ and magnetic field $B$ are now decoupled and $\eta \propto (D)^{1/2}$. However, in this regime the particles do not suffer synchrotron losses, so their spectrum is not changed from the injection spectrum and they do not give useful information about the diffusion coefficient.

Next we consider how the spectral index profile varies as a function of the ratio $\eta$. As we are mainly interested in the steady-state case, we now consider the critical frequency $v_R$ corresponding to the case $\eta \approx (4D)^{1/2}/R = (4D/QE)^{1/2} = 1$. It is the same as $v_B$ defined in Gratton (1972):

$$v_R = 1 \times 10^{17} \left(\frac{D}{10^{27} \text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1 \text{pc}}{R}\right)^4 \left(\frac{100 \mu\text{G}}{B}\right)^3 \text{Hz}. \quad (8)$$

We assume a PWN in steady state with $p = 2.5$, and scale to a nebular size $R = 1 \text{ pc}$, magnetic field $B = 100 \mu\text{G}$, and diffusion coefficient $D = 10^{27} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$. We calculate the spectral index distribution for the three cases: $v \ll v_R$, $v \approx v_R$, and $v \gg v_R$ (Figure 1). When $v \ll v_R$, which corresponds to $v \gg \eta \approx 1$, the photon index profile is flat. At high frequency the photon index profile first changes into a power law when $v \approx v_R$, $\eta \approx 1$, and then into an exponential when $v > v_R$, $\eta \ll 1$. When the diffusion distance $d \gg R$, the diffusing particles within the nebula are well mixed so the spectral index profile tends to be flat. When the diffusion distance $d < R$, the particle density drops quickly along the radial direction because of the short cooling time, so the spectral index shows steepening in the radial direction.

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)  

**Figure 1.** Flux spectral index ($\beta$) distribution of a PWN with $p = 2.5$, nebular radius $R = 1 \text{ pc}$, $B = 100 \mu\text{G}$, and $D = 10^{27} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ in a pure diffusion model. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
The nebular size for the pure diffusion model can be estimated by setting \( \eta = 1 \), which yields \( R \sim (6D/QE)^{1/2} \).

We first use parameters known from observations such as age, nebular size, and magnetic field to fit the spectral index distribution of the Crab, 3C 58, and G21.5–0.9. Fitting a model yields the diffusion coefficient \( D \) and \( p \) of the PWNe. We then discuss the nebular size behavior. For the Crab we use a magnetic field \( B = 300 \mu G \). This is slightly larger than the value, \( \sim 200 \mu G \), found by de Jager et al. (1996) and Aharonian et al. (2004) from inverse Compton emission, which gives the current value of the field. Our value gives a sufficiently high diffusion coefficient \( D \) to explain the size of the Crab. Radio data for the Crab show \( p = 1.52 \). The spectral index distribution from radio (5 GHz) to optical \( 6 \times 10^{14} \) Hz is shown in Figure 3.

By comparing our results with the major axis data in Wilson (1972), we find that \( D = 2.5 \times 10^{26} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \) gives a good fit to the data. Since we do not know exactly the optical frequency used in Wilson (1972), we did not do a least-squares fit. We then used the diffusion coefficient \( D = 2.5 \times 10^{26} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \) to calculate the spectral index distribution for infrared (3.6–4.5 \( \mu \)m) and optical (5364–9241 \( \AA \)) wavelengths, which are shown in Figures 4. In the infrared (IR), fitting the spectral index variation from 0.3 to 0.8 within the Crab nebula found by Temim et al. (2006) requires the nebular radius to be \( \sim 130'' \). Our simulation indicates that in the IR the nebular size of the Crab has decreased due to synchrotron losses, which is consistent with Figure 3 of Temim et al. (2006). The spectral index variation from 0.6 to 1.1 within the Crab nebula found by Veron-Cetty & Woltjer (1993) implies the nebular size of the Crab is \( \sim 100'' \), which is consistent with the results in Figure 2 of Amato et al. (2000). Comparing our simulation results in Figures 3 and 4 with the data in Wilson (1972) and Veron-Cetty & Woltjer (1993) shows that our spectral index in the central region is lower than the observed value if the optical band is involved.
above, the diffusion coefficient $D$ can use the steady-state solution to calculate the photon index $p$.

The two parameters in each fit are the injected particle spectral index $p$ and diffusion coefficient $D$. The best fit for 3C 58 between 2.2 keV and 8 keV with $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 0.83$ gives $p = 2.93$ and $D = 6.1 \times 10^{27} \, \text{cm}^2 \, \text{s}^{-1}$ (Figure 5). For G21.5–0.9, the best fit between 0.5 keV and 10 keV with $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 3.28$ gives $p = 2.08$ and $D = 3.7 \times 10^{27} \, \text{cm}^2 \, \text{s}^{-1}$ (Figure 6). The diffusion coefficients $D$ we obtained for 3C 58 and G21.5–0.9 are higher than for the Crab. Part of the reason may be uncertainties in the magnetic field $B$ of 3C 58 and G21.5–0.9. As discussed above, the diffusion coefficient $D$ and magnetic field $B$ follow $D \propto B^{3/2}$. If the magnetic field $B$ is lower than our estimate, the diffusion coefficient $D$ also drops; this would imply a high particle energy in the nebulae because we used the minimum energy value of $B$. We found that the value of $p$ is insensitive to $B$ and $D$.

By using Equation (8), we obtain $\nu_R = 2 \times 10^{13} \, \text{Hz}$ for the Crab if $D = 2.5 \times 10^{26} \, \text{cm}^2 \, \text{s}^{-1}$ and $B = 80 \, \mu \text{G}$; $\nu_R = 1.3 \times 10^{18} \, \text{Hz}$ for 3C 58 if $D = 6.1 \times 10^{27} \, \text{cm}^2 \, \text{s}^{-1}$ and $B = 80 \, \mu \text{G}$; $\nu_R = 2.6 \times 10^{17} \, \text{Hz}$ for G21.5–0.9 if $D = 3.7 \times 10^{27} \, \text{cm}^2 \, \text{s}^{-1}$ and $B = 180 \, \mu \text{G}$. For the Crab, X-ray, optical and near-IR frequencies are all in the $\nu > \nu_R$ regime, so the nebular size decreases from radio to X-ray. For 3C 58 and G21.5–0.9, all frequencies below soft X-rays are in the $\nu < \nu_R$ regime, so the radio, optical, and soft X-ray nebular sizes of 3C 58 and G21.5–0.9 tend to be similar. The different behavior of nebular size as a function of frequency among the Crab, 3C 58, and G21.5–0.9 is due to the fact that the Crab has a larger magnetic field but lower diffusion coefficient. We use our pure diffusion model to calculate the half-light radius of the Crab Nebula with $p = 1.52$, $B = 300 \, \mu \text{G}$, and $D = 2.5 \times 10^{26} \, \text{cm}^2 \, \text{s}^{-1}$ (Figure 7), assuming that there is an upper limit to the injected particle energy $E$ which corresponds to a frequency of $5 \times 10^{15} \, \text{Hz}$. There is a bump in the half-light radius plot, mainly because for the Crab $p = 1.52$, which is $< 2$ (Pacholczyk 1970), and we are assuming synchrotron radiation only emits at the peak frequency. If we consider the full synchrotron spectrum, the bump is diminished. Comparing our results to Figure 2 in Amato et al. (2000) shows that our model prediction gives half-light radii near the lower limit of radio and optical data for the Crab. At X-ray wavelengths, our theoretical half-light radius is much smaller than the observed

| Object   | Frequency Band | Magnetic Field $B$ ($\mu \text{G}$) | Distance (kpc) | Angular Size of PWN (arcsec) | Age (yr) |
|----------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Crab     | $5 \times 10^9$–$6 \times 10^{14}$ Hz | 300                           | 2.0            | 190                         | 957     |
| Crab     | 3.6–4.5 $\mu \text{m}$                | 300                           | 2.0            | 190                         | 957     |
| Crab     | 5364–9241 Å                               | 300                           | 2.0            | 190                         | 957     |
| 3C 58    | 2.2–8 keV                                 | 80                            | 3.2            | 100                         |         |
| G21.5–0.9| 0.5–10 keV                                | 180                           | 5.0            | 40                          |         |

**Table 1** Parameters Used for Modeling Photon Index Profile

Figure 5. Flux spectral index ($p$) distribution of 3C 58 from 2.2 keV to 8 keV, assuming $p = 2.93$, $B = 80 \, \mu \text{G}$, and $D = 6.1 \times 10^{27} \, \text{cm}^2 \, \text{s}^{-1}$ in a pure diffusion model.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 6. Flux spectral index ($p$) distribution of G21.5–0.9 from 0.5 keV to 10 keV assuming $p = 2.08$, $B = 180 \, \mu \text{G}$, and $D = 3.66 \times 10^{27} \, \text{cm}^2 \, \text{s}^{-1}$ in a pure diffusion model.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
value. There are several reasons for this. First, the spherical symmetry assumption breaks down at X-ray wavelengths for the Crab. The Chandra image of the Crab shows clear toroidal structure near the termination shock (Hester 2008). Second, in our model we assume a point source while it is in fact an extended source. The termination shock has an angular size ∼10″ (0.1 pc) at the Crab Nebula. At radio and optical wavelengths, the nebular size is much larger than the size of the injection region so the point-source assumption is adequate, but at X-ray wavelengths it is no longer true. The last reason is that our pure diffusion model does not include the effect of advection. It is likely that both advection and diffusion play a role in PWNe. Assuming $V_{adv} \propto r^{-2}$ near the pulsar wind termination shock (KC), $t_{adv}/t_{diff} \propto R$, so advection becomes more important in the inner regions. We expect advection to play some role in X-ray emission from the Crab, and we discuss it in Section 3.2.

In considering the integrated number density $N(E,t)$, we note the result for synchrotron losses only (Pacholczyk 1970):

$$N(E,t) = \frac{K}{(p - 1)Q} E^{-(p + 1)} \left[1 - (QEt)^{p - 1}\right], \quad \text{if } QEt \leq 1$$

(9)

$$N(E,t) = \frac{K}{(p - 1)Q} E^{-(p + 1)} \left[1 - (QEt)^{p - 1}\right], \quad \text{if } QEt > 1.$$  

(10)

Our pure diffusion model deviates from this result because we have a pre-defined PWN radius $R$ and assume a transparent outer boundary at $R$; particles that diffuse out of $R$ are not taken into account in the integrated number density $N(E,t)$. The results shown in Equation (10) would apply to a pure diffusion model with a constant magnetic field $B$ and a reflecting outer boundary which counts all the injection particles. As discussed in Section 2, the issue of transmission through the outer boundary of a PWN is uncertain from the observational point of view. We discuss the spectral index distribution and half-light radius of a model with a reflecting outer boundary in Section 3.2.

The Crab, 3C 58, and G21.5–0.9 show flat radio spectra and cannot be fitted by a single power-law injection spectrum at radio through X-ray wavelengths even taking into account the evolution of the PWN (e.g., Reynolds & Chevalier 1984). Bucciantini et al. (2011) considered a one-zone model with a broken power-law injection spectrum and long-term evolution of the PWN, showing that it can explain the integrated spectra of the Crab and 3C 58 from radio to X-rays. The intrinsic spectral breaks for all PWNe considered are at a similar energy. Sironi & Spitkovsky (2011) did both three-dimensional and two-dimensional particle-in-cell simulation for the termination shock and showed that it could create both a flat power law ($p \sim 1.5$) and steep power-law ($p \sim 2.5$) components in the post-shock spectrum. The spectral break of PWNe between radio and optical wavelengths may be a natural consequence of particle acceleration at the termination shock. In fitting the optical spectral index distribution for the Crab, we already mentioned that there are indications of another power-law component in the Crab. Here we use a double power-law injection spectrum in our pure diffusion model and re-calculate the spectral index distribution and half-light radius of the Crab. The evolution of the PWN is still ignored here because of the additional complications. For 3C 58 and G21.5–0.9, the X-ray spectral index data are well above the spectral break frequency and our modeling is not affected by the double power-law feature. We continue using a magnetic field $B = 300 \mu$G and $p_1 = 1.5$ for the low-energy component of Crab particle distribution. We use $p_2 = 2.35$ and a break energy $4 \times 10^{11}$ eV for the other power-law component as found by Bucciantini et al. (2011) and find that $D = 6.0 \times 10^{26}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ gives a good fit to the major axis data in Wilson (1972), as shown in Figure 8. Then we use the same diffusion coefficient $D$ to calculate the spectral index distribution at IR and optical wavelengths (Figure 8). After adding another power-law component, we obtain a better fit to the central spectral index data in Wilson (1972) and Veron-Cetty & Woltjer (1993). The nebular radius we need to explain the spectral index variation at IR (Temim et al. 2006) and optical (Veron-Cetty & Woltjer 1993) wavelengths becomes smaller: ∼110″ in IR and ∼70″ in optical wavelengths. Our simulation gives a spectral index of 0.7 at the center of the Crab at optical wavelengths, which is slightly larger than the observed value. This is due to the fact that we use $p_2 = 2.35$ (Bucciantini et al. 2011) for the steep power law. However, the best-fit parameter Bucciantini et al. (2011) obtained in their one-dimensional
evolution model may not be the best-fit parameter for our case as we are considering a steady-state situation. If we change the break energy for the two power laws, we could obtain a better fit to the optical nebular radius, but the improvement is not significant in view of the other uncertainties in the model. The half-light radius of the Crab with double power-law injection spectrum is shown in Figure 7. The double power-law fit gives a larger nebular size in the radio band which is in the non-steady-state regime, mainly because we use a larger diffusion coefficient \( D \) in the double power-law fit.

So far, the results are under the assumption that all the emission of an electron goes into radiation at a frequency \( \nu \) corresponding to the maximum synchrotron radiation power. We will continue to make this assumption in the next section because it speeds the calculations, but here we carry out the calculation with a full synchrotron spectrum to show the uncertainty caused by our approximation. We considered the Crab, 3C 58, and G21.5–0.9, and our numerical results with the full synchrotron spectrum (Figure 9) show that the diffusion coefficient \( D \) required to fit the observations drops by a factor about two. Here, we integrate the synchrotron radiation function \( F(x = \nu/\nu_c) \) (Pacholczyk 1970) from 0.005 to 500 and assume that for 3C 58 and G21.5–0.9 all the particles within that energy range are in a steady state. Because \( F(x = \nu/\nu_c) \) drops very quickly beyond the peak and we are calculating the spectral index distribution of 3C 58 and G21.5–0.9 in X-rays, time-dependent effects are expected to be small.

In the pure diffusion model we have assumed that the diffusion coefficient is constant. However, it is possible that the diffusion coefficient in the PWN has energy dependence \( D_E(E) \), as discussed in Section 2, and we now investigate how the energy dependence of the diffusion coefficient would affect our pure diffusion model with transmitting boundary. We use the Green’s function method to solve the steady-state equation of the pure diffusion model but now with an energy-dependent diffusion coefficient \( D_E(E) = D_0 E^\alpha \): \[ D_0 E^\alpha \nabla^2 N + Q \frac{\partial E^2 N}{\partial E} = -KE^{-\rho} \delta(r). \] (11)

The resulting particle distribution function is (see the Appendix)

\[ N(E, r) = \frac{K}{4\pi r D_0 E^\alpha} E^{-\rho} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \frac{y}{x}\right)^{\frac{e^{-y}}{\sqrt{x}}} dy, \] (12)
Figure 10. Flux spectral index ($\beta$) distribution of a PWN with $p_E = 2.5 - \alpha$, nebular size $R = 1$ pc, magnetic field $B = 100 \mu$G, and diffusion coefficient $D_E(v = 10^{17} \text{ Hz}) = 10^{27}(1-\alpha)^2$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$, for various values of $\alpha$. A steady state model with transmitting boundary is assumed.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

where

\[ u = \frac{r^2 QE(1-\alpha)}{4D_0E^\alpha}. \]

In the solution, $u$ changes to $u = r^2QE(1-\alpha)/4D_0E^\alpha$; again, $\sqrt{u}$ can be considered as a ratio of the diffusion distance to the nebula radius. In a steady state, $t = 1/QE$, and we have $\sqrt{u} = r(1-\alpha)^{3/2}/(4D_0E^\alpha t)^{1/2}$. Setting $u = 1$, we find that the nebular size $R \propto E^{-(1-\alpha)/2} \propto v^{-(1-\alpha)/4}$. For the spectral index distribution, we note that $\alpha > 0$ implies that more energetic particles diffuse out more rapidly than less energetic particles, which should flatten the spectral index distribution; the same effect results from reducing the magnitude of the diffusion coefficient when $\alpha = 0$. Our simulations show that the case $D_E = D_0E^\alpha$ corresponds to a constant diffusion coefficient case with $D$ if $D_E = (1-\alpha)^3 D$, where $D_0$ is taken at the energy at which the spectral index is measured. The flux spectral index at the center now is $(p_E + \alpha - 1)/2$ (Equation (12)) because the integral part in the solution approaches some constant when $r \to 0$. In order to get the same spectral index at the center as in constant diffusion coefficient case we need $p_E = p - \alpha$. In Figure 10, we consider a PWN with $p_E = p - \alpha = 2.5 - \alpha$, nebular size $R = 1$ pc, magnetic field $B = 100 \mu$G, and diffusion coefficient $D_E = 10^{27}(1-\alpha)^2$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ at an energy corresponding to $v = 10^{17}$ Hz, and plot the spectral index profile for different $\alpha$ values. It is clear that they all have a similar slope, implying that for a certain band, if a pure diffusion model with constant $D$ can fit the data, a pure diffusion model with energy-dependent $D_E$ can also fit the data but with different $p$ and diffusion coefficient at that band. Although energy dependence of the diffusion coefficient does not change the consequences of spectral index fitting with constant $D$ at one wavelength band, it changes the fitting result when multiband data are considered because now the nebular size $R \propto v^{-(1-\alpha)/4}$ instead of $R \propto v^{-1/4}$. We previously found with the constant diffusion coefficient assumption that there was a problem in explaining the nebular size of the Crab beyond optical frequencies. If we take the energy dependence of $D_E$ into account, we can fit the data on nebular size and overall appearance of the spectral index profile in the IR and optical better. However, it is difficult to determine whether energy dependence is required by the data. Since other factors like advection affect the nebular size and spectral index profile of the Crab in a similar way, and the observational data for the Crab Nebula do not have high precision, the energy dependence of the diffusion coefficient is not determined by our models. However, the decreasing size at higher photon energies implies that $\alpha < 1$; as discussed in Section 2, some treatments of diffusion in old PWNe have assumed $\alpha = 1$.

3.2. Diffusion and advection model

We now use Monte Carlo simulations to consider models with diffusion and advection. Monte Carlo methods allow the treatment of cases that cannot be treated in the pure diffusion, analytical model. We expect that advection plays some role in the spectral index distribution and half-light radius, especially in the $v \gg v_R$ regime. In the MHD model of KC, there is an advective flow after the termination shock in which the flow velocity declines from mildly relativistic to the velocity at the edge of the nebula, $\sim 2000$ km s$^{-1}$. If the magnetic field is not dynamically important, the velocity declines as $r^{-2}$ in a steady flow. Another consideration is a reflecting outer boundary condition. For PWNe satisfying $\eta = (6D_t/R^2)^{1/2} \gg 1$, the reflecting boundary should have a significant effect on the spectral index distribution and half-light radius. For the reflecting boundary effect we primarily focus on 3C 58, which has a high diffusion coefficient $D$. The last improvement is treating an extended source, since the termination shock has a finite size $\sim 0.1$ pc which can play a role in the central region. The time dependence of the PWN and non-spherical symmetry are not taken into account.

Massaro (1985) discussed a diffusion and advection model, but many assumptions were needed to obtain an analytical model. Weinberg & Silk (1976) and Reynolds & Jones (1991) investigated approximate solutions for a pure diffusion model with an extended source, but they omit some of the physical effects that we wish to include. We therefore developed a code to carry out Monte Carlo simulations that allowed a general treatment of diffusion and advection processes. We modeled both the Crab and 3C 58. For 3C 58 we mainly focus on the variation of spectral index distribution while for the Crab we are interested in the variation of the half-light radius with photon energy. In simulating 3C 58, a total number of $10^6$ effective particles were injected into a spherical shell at time intervals of $5.4 \times 10^4$ s. Since we are considering the X-ray band of 3C 58, which is in a steady state, only particles injected within a time $1/QE$ of the present are taken into account. In simulating the Crab, at least $10^8$ effective particles were injected into a spherical shell at time intervals of a half day. The motion of the particles is a superposition of advection motion and three-dimensional random motion. The displacement in each time interval is

\[ \Delta s_{\text{tot}} = V_{\text{adv}} \Delta t \textbf{r}_o \pm (2D_\text{adv})^{1/2} x_o \pm (2D_\text{adv})^{1/2} y_o \]

\[ \pm (2D_\text{adv})^{1/2} z_o, \]

where $x_o$, $y_o$, $z_o$, and $r_o$ are unit vectors in $x$, $y$, $z$ and radial, and $V_{\text{adv}} \propto r^{-2}$, $V(r = R_{\text{out}}) = 630$ km s$^{-1}$ (Bietenholz 2006) and the ratio of outer radius to inner radius to be $R_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{in}} = 100/8 = 12.5$ for 3C 58 (Slane et al. 2004). In this case, we only consider the synchrotron radiation loss since the adiabatic expansion energy loss, $\dot{E} \propto \nabla \cdot V_{\text{adv}}$, is zero for our velocity profile. For the Crab, we use some of the parameters from the model of Kennel & Coroniti (1984b), assuming that the velocity at the termination shock is $c/3$, the
velocity $V_{\text{adv}} \propto r^{-2}$ between the termination shock radius $R_s$ and a critical radius $R_c$, and $V_{\text{adv}}$ remains constant between the critical radius $R_c$ and the outer radius of the nebula $R_{\text{out}}$. We assume $R_s = 15$ arcsec in angular size (Hester et al. 2002), and in order to set $V_{\text{adv}}(R_{\text{out}}) = 2000$ km s$^{-1}$ (Kennel & Coroniti 1984a) we further assume $R_c = 50^{1/2} R_s$. We consider adiabatic expansion energy losses in addition to synchrotron radiation losses for $R_s < R < R_{\text{out}}$; its $dE/dt = -2 V_{\text{adv}}(R_{\text{out}}) E/3\tau$ for relativistic particles in a medium with constant flow velocity. In all the simulations, we use a constant magnetic field $B$ to simplify the calculation. In spherical symmetry, $D_\tau = D_r = D_\zeta \equiv D$. If particles move into the inner boundary due to their random motions, they are forced to bounce back into the PWN region. A reflecting boundary was used at the outer radius.

The simulation results for 3C 58 are shown in Figure 11. The additional physical processes allowed a better fit to the data. In order to discern what role advection and a reflecting boundary play in the photon index distribution, we did one simulation for pure diffusion with only an inner reflecting boundary and one for pure diffusion with both inner and outer reflecting boundaries (Figure 11). After comparing the results of the two cases (Figure 11), we find that the outer reflecting boundary condition mainly makes the photon index steeper and the part near the outer boundary relatively flat. The diffusion coefficient $D$ required to fit the data becomes higher. Advection is not very important in the fit because the ratio of diffusion time to advection time is low. In the Figures 11, we have shown the ratio of diffusion time to advection time $t_{\text{diff}}/t_{\text{adv}}$, where $t_{\text{diff}}$ is estimated by $(6D_{\text{diff}})^{1/2} = R_{\text{out}} - R_{\text{in}}$ and $t_{\text{adv}}$ is calculated by $t_{\text{adv}} = \int_{R_{\text{in}}}^{R_{\text{out}}} dr/V_{\text{adv}}$. The angular size of the flat region in the radial direction can be estimated as follows. Since $t_{\text{diff}} \ll t_{\text{adv}}$ in our simulation, advection can be neglected. For particles in a steady state, the diffusion distance is $R_{\text{diff}} = (6Dt_{\text{diff}})^{1/2} = (6D/QER)^{1/2}$, so that $\theta_{\text{flat}} \approx \theta \times (R_{\text{diff}}/R - 1)$, where $\theta$ is the angular size of PWN. Substituting the expression for $R_{\text{diff}}$ and recalling that $E_R$ satisfies $R = (4D/QER)^{1/2}$, we obtain

$$\theta_{\text{flat}} \approx \theta \left( \left[ \frac{3E_R}{2E} \right]^{1/2} - 1 \right) = \theta \left( \frac{6^{1/2}}{2} \left[ \frac{pR}{v} \right]^{1/4} - 1 \right). \quad (14)$$

For 3C 58 with $D = 8.8 \times 10^27$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$, $B = 80$ $\mu$G, and $R = 1.55$ pc, Equation (14) implies $\theta_{\text{flat}} \approx 30^\circ$, which is consistent...
with the results in Figure 11. The results shown in Figure 11 are calculated for 2.2–8 keV photon energies. When we do the calculation for $\theta_{\text{flat}}$ we use 8 keV as it gives a lower diffusion distance. We emphasize that Equation (14) is only correct for a steady state and requires that $1 \gg (\sqrt{6}/2)(v_\text{R}/v)^{1/4} - 1 > 0$. When $(\sqrt{6}/2)(v_\text{R}/v)^{1/4} - 1 < 0$, the cooling time is lower than the diffusion time, and few particles reach the outer boundary. When $(\sqrt{6}/2)(v_\text{R}/v)^{1/4} - 1 > 1$, due to the boundary effect, the diffusion coefficient $D$ is large enough to smear out the spectral index structure in the nebula, so the spectral index distribution is flat within that energy band.

We calculate the spectral index distribution of the Crab at optical wavelengths (Figure 12) and its half-light radius from ultraviolet (UV) to X-ray frequencies (Table 2), which are above assuming with the results in Figure 11. The results shown in Figure 11. The velocity and magnetic field profiles for the diffusion and advection case must be analyzed for more exact models. The uncertainty in the termination shock radius and the flow velocity at the outer radius of the nebula also affect the simulation results.

As discussed in Section 1, two-dimensional MHD models reproduce many features observed in the inner Crab Nebula. Diffusion is not a factor in this region for two reasons: the short advection timescale because of the high flow velocities and a long timescale for radial diffusion because of the toroidal magnetic field. In the Crab, the prominent toroidal structure observed at X-ray and optical wavelengths extends to $\sim 40''$ from the pulsar, while the nebular radius is 200''. Our model applies to the outer 4/5 of the nebula. Toroidal structure is less prominent in 3C 58 and G21.5–0.9.

We also used Monte Carlo simulations to investigate the case where there is particle transport across the nebular boundary at $R$ and particles are lost from the system once they cross $R$. The effect is to flatten the spectral index profile in the outer part of the nebula and to reduce the value of $D$ by a factor of two compared to the simple model (Section 3.1). However, we consider the reflecting boundary model to be more plausible, for the reasons given in Section 2.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have argued that the structure of young PWNe is not described by a toroidal magnetic field, as expected in a model like that of Kennel & Coroniti (1984a), but has a more chaotic magnetic structure. In Section 2, we noted various observational studies that showed considerable structure in young nebulae, not a clear toroidal structure. In Section 3, models with diffusion of particles were presented and compared to observations of three nebulae. Emphasis was placed on fitting the spectral index profiles of the nebulae as well as the surface brightness profiles. Models with diffusion were much better able to fit spectral index profiles than pure advection models. The best estimates of the diffusion coefficient come from the Monte Carlo simulations, but these values need to be somewhat reduced because they do not include the full synchrotron spectrum in the calculation of the emission. Estimates of the diffusion coefficient and the corresponding particle mean free path are given in Table 3. The assumed magnetic field is given for each case because $D \propto B^{5/2}$ and the magnetic field strength is uncertain.

Table 3 shows that the diffusion coefficient and length for the Crab is considerably smaller than that for 3C 58 and G21.5–0.9. The length does not scale with the size of the PWN because the Crab is larger than both 3C 58 and G21.5–0.9. One possibility is that there is frequency-dependent diffusion coefficient. The coefficient for the Crab is derived from optical/IR observations, so that the lower energy particles are being observed compared to 3C 58 and G21.5–0.9 where X-ray observations are used.
Table 3 shows the corresponding particle energies for diffusion coefficients. An energy-dependent diffusion with $\alpha \sim 0.5$–0.6 would explain the difference between the Crab and the other remnants. As discussed in Section 3.1, this is consistent with our results and we suggest it as a possible explanation for the difference between the PWNe.

The spectral indices along magnetic filaments in the Crab show relatively little variation while the spectral indices show steepening away from the filament center (Seward et al. 2006; Hester 2008), which is consistent with rapid particle motions along filaments and slow diffusion across filaments. However, the diffusion mean free paths (Table 3) are smaller than characteristic structures in the nebulae. The length for the Crab is about a factor of 10 smaller than the scale indicated by optical polarization (Section 2), and the length for 3C 58 is about a factor of 10 smaller than the scale of apparent magnetic loops seen in the X-ray image (Slane et al. 2004). The actual longer diffusion time (due to the smaller length) may indicate that the magnetic structure is not completely random.

Our models have been designed for comparison with young PWNe like the Crab, 3C 58, and G21.5–0.9. They are likely to be in an evolutionary phase where the nebulae are accelerating into freely expanding supernova ejecta. In a subsequent phase of evolution, the reverse shock wave from interaction with the interstellar medium comes back toward the center and can push off the PWN, creating an asymmetric nebula (Blondin et al. 2001). Van Etten & Romani (2011) have investigated evolutionary models for the PWN HESS J1825–137, which probably belongs to the class of post-reverse shock nebulae. As mentioned in Section 2, Hinton et al. (2011) have considered diffusion from the evolved PWN Vela X. A chaotic magnetic field is expected in these objects because of instabilities related to the toroidal magnetic field is expected in these objects because of instabilities related to the toroidal magnetic field (Bell 1998) and Rayleigh–Taylor instabilities in the outer parts of the nebulae.

We are grateful to the referee for helpful comments. This research was supported in part by NASA grant NNX07AG78G and NSF grant AST-0807727.

APPENDIX

In order to solve the equation

$$D_0 E^\alpha \nabla^2 N + \frac{\partial E^2 N}{\partial E} = -K E^{-\alpha} \delta(r),$$

(A1)

we first let $\Phi = QE^2 N$ and $4\pi J = (Q/D_0)E^{2-\alpha} K E^{-\alpha} \delta(r)$, so Equation (A1) can be simplified to

$$\nabla^2 \Phi - \frac{Q}{D_0} (1 - \alpha) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial E^{-1}} = -4\pi J.$$  

(A2)

Further, assuming $m^2 = (Q/D_0)(1 - \alpha)$ and $\tau = E^{-1}$, we have

$$\nabla^2 \Phi - m^2 \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \tau} = -4\pi J.$$  

(A3)

Here we only consider the case $m^2 > 0$, which requires $\alpha < 1$, as it is consistent with the situation in the Crab. If $\alpha > 1$, the nebular size of the Crab does not decrease with increasing frequency. Next we consider the Green’s function equation corresponding to the above equation:

$$\nabla^2 G - m^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial \tau} = -4\pi \delta(\tau - \tau') \delta(r - r').$$  

(A4)

If we do not consider the boundary effect, the Green’s function solution for Equation (A4) is

$$G(r, \tau/\tau', \tau') = \frac{m}{2\sqrt{\pi} (\tau - \tau')^{1.5}} e^{m^2 (\tau'/\tau)^{1.5}} \Phi(\tau - \tau'),$$  

(A5)

where $\Phi(\tau - \tau')$ is the step function. Here, $G(r, \tau/\tau', \tau')$ is already normalized to

$$\frac{m^2}{4\pi} \int G dV = 1.$$  

(A6)

Then

$$\Phi(r, \tau) = \int_0^\tau d\tau' \int dV' J(r', \tau') G(r, \tau/\tau', \tau').$$  

(A7)

After some calculation, we obtain

$$\Phi(r, E) = K \frac{Q}{4\pi r^4} |E^{-\alpha} (1 - \alpha)^{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial E}\int_0^\infty \left(1 - \frac{u}{x}\right) e^{-x} \frac{e^{-x}}{\sqrt{x}} dx,$$

where $u = (Q(1 - \alpha)^2/4D_0)E^{1-\alpha}$. Since $N(r, E) = \Phi/Q E^2$, we finally obtain

$$N(r, E) = K \frac{Q}{4\pi r^4 D_0 E^\alpha} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} \left(1 - \frac{u}{x}\right) e^{-x} \frac{e^{-x}}{\sqrt{x}} dx,$$

where $u = (Q(1 - \alpha)^2/4D_0)E^{1-\alpha}$.
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