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Cosmic microwave background (CMB) temperature and polarization anisotropies from Planck have estimated a lower value of the optical depth to reionization \( \tau \) compared to WMAP. A significant period in the reionization history would then fall within \( 6 < \text{redshift} (z) < 10 \), where detection of galaxies with Hubble frontier fields program and independent estimation of neutral hydrogen in the inter galactic medium by Lyman-\( \alpha \) observations are also available. This overlap allows an analysis of cosmic reionization which utilizes a direct combination of CMB and these astrophysical measurements and potentially breaks degeneracies in parameters describing the physics of reionization. For the first time we reconstruct reionization histories by assuming photoionization and recombination rates to be free-form and by allowing underlying cosmological parameters to vary with CMB (temperature and polarization anisotropies and lensing) data from Planck 2018 release and a compilation of astrophysical data. We find an excellent agreement between the low-\( l \) Planck 2018 High Frequency Instrument polarization likelihood and astrophysical data in determining the integrated optical depth. By combining both data, we report for a minimal reconstruction \( \tau = 0.051^{+0.001+0.002}_{-0.001-0.002} \) at 68% and 95% C.L., which, for the errors in the current astrophysical measurements quoted in the literature, is nearly twice better than the projected cosmic variance limited CMB measurements. For the duration of reionization, redshift interval between 10%, and complete ionization, we get \( 2.9^{+0.12+0.29}_{-0.16-0.26} \) at 68% and 95% C.L., which improves significantly on the corresponding result obtained by using Planck 2015 data. By a Bayesian analysis of the combined results we do not find evidence beyond monotonic reionization histories, therefore a multiphase reionization scenario such as a first burst of reionization followed by recombination plateau and thereafter complete reionization is disfavored compared to minimal alternatives.
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Introduction.—The two cosmic transitions between the ionized and neutral state for the hydrogen atom are imprinted in key astrophysical and cosmological observations. The first transition from ionized plasma to neutral state for atoms, cosmological recombination, occurred around 13.8 billion of years ago (or, equivalently, at a redshift \( z \sim 1100 \)). After half a billion years, the hydrogen became ionized again during cosmic reionization, which followed the so-called dark ages. Evidence for cosmic reionization comes from astrophysical measurements, such as the Gunn-Peterson test in high redshift quasars or the declining visibility of Lyman-\( \alpha \) high redshift galaxies, and from cosmological observations as the large angular scale polarization pattern of cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropies. While astrophysical measurements mostly encode the central stage and the completion of cosmic reionization, the CMB anisotropy pattern is mostly sensitive to its duration through the integrated optical depth \( \tau \), and marginally to its early stage.

Recent determinations of \( \tau \) from Planck assuming a nearly instantaneous transition for the ionization fraction [1–5] have revealed preferences for lower values compared to WMAP, owing to the understanding of the Galactic dust contamination to microwave polarization at large angular
scales. Recent works demonstrate that star forming galaxies detected until \( z \approx 10 \) as a source of reionization offer a consistent scenario with this optical depth [6]. Observation of galaxies at high redshifts \( (z \sim 6-10) \), mainly with recent six cluster observations (Abell 2744, MACSJ0416, MACSJ0717, MACSJ1149, AbellS1063, and Abell370) by the Hubble frontier fields (HFF) program [7–9] up to a limiting AB magnitude of 29, provides the shape of UV luminosity densities that determine the ionizing photon emission history. On the other hand, the Gunn-Peterson optical depth [10,11], ionized near the zone around high redshift quasars [12,13], dark gaps in quasar spectra [14], damping wings of gamma-ray burst 050904 [15,16] and quasars [17–19], Lyman-\( \alpha \) emitters [20,21], and Lyman-\( \alpha \) emission from galaxies [22–29] provide measurement of remaining neutral hydrogen in the intergalactic medium (IGM) between redshift 5–8. Redshift overlap of HFF and Lyman-\( \alpha \) observations with the reionization as measured by Planck calls for a joint analysis in a model independent framework. Since physics describing cosmic reionization is partially degenerate with cosmological parameters [30,31], it is important to perform this analysis by allowing the underlying cosmological model to vary as well (see Refs. [6,32–40] for previous works in which all but the reionization parameters are kept fixed).

In this Letter we perform for the first time a joint analysis using updated CMB anisotropy and a combination of astrophysical data to reconstruct reionization histories, where solutions to ionization equations of hydrogen with free-form ionization and recombination rates are used instead of conventional free-electron fraction parametrization [31,41–49]. Our analysis removes parametric model dependence with this generic construct. Use of the ionization equation allows us to include all three types of data (CMB, UV luminosities, and neutral fraction) in a single framework. At the same time use of complete CMB data and freedom in the cosmological parameters exploits the degeneracies and provides conservative constraints.

Reconstruction of reionization history: The framework.—

We directly solve the reionization equation [50] for the volume filling factor of ionized regions:

\[
\frac{dQ_{\text{HII}}}{dt} = \frac{\dot{n}_{\text{ion}}}{\langle n_{\text{H}} \rangle} - \frac{Q_{\text{HII}}}{t_{\text{rec}}},
\]

where the source term \( \dot{n}_{\text{ion}} \) is the ionizing photon production rate and is defined by the product of the UV luminosity density \( (\rho_{\text{UV}}) \), the photon production efficiency \( (\xi_{\text{ion}}) \), and the escape fraction \( (f_{\text{esc}}) \). We keep the magnitude averaged product \( \log_{10}(f_{\text{esc}}\xi_{\text{ion}}) = 24.85 \) from Ref. [37], also consistent with other analyses [6,38,51]. The recombination time is defined as \( t_{\text{rec}} = 1/\{C_{\text{HII}}a_b(T)[1 + Y_p/(4X_p)][\langle n_{\text{H}} \rangle(1 + z)^3] \} \) using the clumping factor \( (C_{\text{HII}}) \), recombination coefficient \( (a_b(T)) \), density of hydrogen atom \( (\langle n_{\text{H}} \rangle) \), and hydrogen \( (X_p) \) and helium abundances \( (Y_p) \). In this work, instead of using analytical forms, we define \( \rho_{\text{UV}} \) and \( t_{\text{rec}} \) to be free parameters in different nodes which are allowed to vary between a conservative redshift range for the reionization process, i.e., \( z = 5.5–30 \) (see Ref. [44]). Different nodes are connected using the piecewise cubic Hermite interpolating polynomial. Fixed nodes are located at \( z = 0, 5.5, \) and 30 and values of source and recombination terms are fixed to be consistent to best fit logarithmic double power law [see Eq. (39) of Ref. [37]] and also consistent with Ref. [52] when interpolated at smaller redshifts. However, as we allow the intermediate source and recombination terms to be free, values at fixed nodes do not limit our general construct.

We allow up to three nodes in this moving-bin reconstruction denoted as \( B1, B2, \) and \( B3 \), respectively, and each node comes with three parameters, namely, the intermediate redshift \( (z_{\text{int}}) \) and \( \rho_{\text{UV}} \) and the recombination timescale defined in that redshift. Since reionization progresses with the competition between ionization and recombination, in our analysis we have used the ratios \( (1/t_{\text{rec}})/\langle \dot{n}_{\text{ion}}/\langle n_{\text{H}} \rangle \rangle \) as free parameters instead of \( t_{\text{rec}} \). From the reconstruction, \( t_{\text{rec}} \) can be obtained as a function of redshift and, assuming certain IGM temperature, the clumping factor can also be derived. For a minimal construct we also consider \( B0 \) where we impose at \( z = z_{\text{int}}, \dot{n}_{\text{ion}}/t_{\text{rec}} = \langle n_{\text{H}} \rangle \) (a mathematical limit of \( B1 \) that generalizes the ionization balance). The optical depth is a derived parameter in our approach and is given by the integral from the onset of reionization \( (z_{\text{begin}}) \) until today:

\[
\tau = \int_{z_{\text{begin}}}^{z_{\text{end}}}(c(1+z)^2)/[H(z)]\,d\ln z = \left(\frac{H(z)}{Q_{\text{HII}}(z)}\sigma_{\text{Thomson}}(n_{\text{H}})(1+z)^3 \times (Y_p/4X_p)\right),
\]

where \( \sigma_{\text{Thomson}} \) is the Thomson scattering cross section. We fuse our integrator with CAMB [53] maintaining the standard treatment for helium reionization.

Datasets and priors.—Three different datasets have been mostly used in this work. For CMB we use the latest publicly available likelihoods in temperature, polarization, and lensing from the Planck 2018 release (hereafter P18) [2,54] and the Planck 2015 release (hereafter P15) [55,56]. We use the full angular power spectrum data in order to fully account for non-negligible correlations between reionization history and other cosmological parameters [31]. For UV luminosity density, we use [6,57] data spanning \( z \approx 6-11 \) derived from Hubble frontier fields [8,58] observations. The density is obtained by integrating the UV luminosity function by fitting the Schechter function until a truncation magnitude of \( -17 \) (hereafter UV17) (we use the recent data compiled by Ishigaki et al. [6] exploiting the full six-cluster HFF data). We also use direct \( Q_{\text{HII}} \) constraints (hereafter QHII) from Refs. [14–21,24,25,28,29]. These data cover a redshift range of 5.6–8 and thereby overlap with the UV density. For \( B0 \) and \( B1 \) the intermediate redshift is algged to vary between the entire range \( z = 5.5–30 \). For \( B2, z_{\text{int}} \) can vary between \( z = 5.5–12 \) and \( z_{\text{int}} \) between \( z = 12–30 \); for \( B3, z_{\text{int}}^1, z_{\text{int}}^2, \)
and \(z_{\text{int}}\) move within 5.5–8, 8–12, and 12–30, respectively. The redshift ranges for nodes in B2 and B3 are chosen in a way that the UV data can constrain the source term variation until \(z = 12\) and the CMB constrains the last node by constraining the integrated optical depth. We allow \(\Omega_bh^2\), \(\Omega_{CDM}h^2\), \(\theta\), \(A_s\), \(n_s\), foregrounds and calibration nuisance parameters in the Planck likelihood to vary. We use publicly available CosmoMC [59] for parameter estimation. We also consider the stability of our results allowing \(\log_{10}(f_{\text{esc}}\xi_{\text{ion}})\) to vary and using UV luminosity data with truncation magnitude of \(-15\).

**Constraints and concordances.**—We first show the consistency of the low-\(\ell\) Planck 2018 polarization likelihood low\(E\) and astrophysical data UV17 + QHII in determining the integrated optical depth \(\tau\). By combining Planck 2018 TT and astrophysical data we obtain for B0, \(\tau = 0.051 \pm 0.001\) at 68% C.L. This determination of \(\tau\) is in excellent agreement, but much more precise, than the 68% C.L. estimate obtained by Planck 2018 TT + low\(E\), \(\tau = 0.052 \pm 0.008\). The consistency of Planck low\(E\) and astrophysical data in determining \(\tau\) is robust to the addition of Planck high-\(\ell\) polarization and/or lensing and to the addition of nodes in the rates.

We now proceed with the joint constraints using P15, P18, P18 + UV17, and P18 + UV17 + QHII in the minimal single node (B0), single node (B1), and two nodes (B2) cases, and P15 + UV17, P18 + UV17, and P18 + UV17 + QHII in three nodes (B3) case. For B3 we do not explore CMB-only constraints owing to its inability to provide reasonable constraints in such an extended parameter space.

In Table I we provide the constraints on \(\tau\), \(\Delta_z^{\text{reion}}\), i.e., the redshift interval between 10% and complete ionization, the fit to the data (\(\chi^2_{\text{eff}}\)), and the Bayesian evidences (\(\ln B\)) calculated from the chains using MCEvidence [60,61]. We find that \(\ln B\) for B1 is close to 0 with respect to B0. While B2 and B3 improve the fit to all data combinations, the addition of extra parameters is penalized by the Bayes factor and become disfavored compared to B1. It can be readily identified for B0 and B1, which allow monotonic histories, \(\tau\) can be constrained with much better precision when our compilation of astrophysical data from UV17 and UV17 + QHII are combined compared to P18 alone. In all the cases, mean values of \(\tau\) remain similar and the low-\(\ell\) polarization likelihood from P18 using High Frequency Instrument (HFI), plays an important role making the histories consistent with the astrophysical data. With more general histories allowed in B2, the addition of UV17 and UV17 + QHII only improves the constraint by 50% compared to P18. Since in B2 and B3, the last node is only constrained by P18, upper bound on optical depth gets worse. In Ref. [39], keeping fixed the underlying cosmology and using five (four star formation history parameters and clumping factor) and six (allowing \(f_{\text{esc}}\) to vary alongside) parameters, the authors report the standard deviations of \(\tau\) to be 0.019 and 0.002, respectively, when all datasets are used. In B2 and B3, that allows 6 and 9 parameters to describe the reionization, we obtain standard deviation \(\pm 0.003 - 0.0035\), 50% wider compared to Ref. [39]. The constraints become more conservative as our reconstructions allow more flexibilities compared to fixed form parametrization. While our framework allows a wide range of \(\Delta_{z_{\text{reion}}}^\text{max}\), the constraints demonstrate that in a P18 + UV17 + QHII data combination, even the most flexible model (B3) must have \(\Delta_{z_{\text{reion}}}^\text{max} > 2\) at 95% C.L.

In Fig. 1 we plot the constraints from the MCMC analyses. We plot 95% bounds on \(Q_{\text{HII}}\) (top row) for all

| Model and data | P18 | P18 + UV17 | P18 + UV17 + QHII |
|---------------|-----|------------|--------------------|
| \(\chi^2_{\text{eff}}\) | 2779.9 | 2783.4 | 2792 |
| \(\ln B\) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \(\tau\) | 0.051 \(\pm 0.006\) | 0.05 \(\pm 0.001\) | 0.051 \(\pm 0.001\) |
| \(\Delta_z^{\text{reion}}\) | 3.049 \(\pm 0.77\) | 2.8 \(\pm 0.11\) | 2.9 \(\pm 0.12\) |
| \(\chi^2_{\text{eff}}\) | 2780.5 | 2782 | 2790.3 |
| \(\ln B\) | -0.4 | -0.1 | 0 |
| \(\tau\) | 0.052 \(\pm 0.006\) | 0.05 \(\pm 0.001\) | 0.051 \(\pm 0.001\) |
| \(\Delta_z^{\text{reion}}\) | 3.08 \(\pm 3.1\) | 2.8 \(\pm 0.15\) | 2.9 \(\pm 0.12\) |
| \(\chi^2_{\text{eff}}\) | 2778.8 | 2782 | 2789 |
| \(\ln B\) | -2.2 | -3.5 | -3.2 |
| \(\tau\) | 0.05 \(\pm 0.008\) | 0.049 \(\pm 0.007\) | 0.052 \(\pm 0.008\) |
| \(\Delta_z^{\text{reion}}\) | 3.3 \(\pm 0.7\) | 2.7 \(\pm 0.3\) | 3.05 \(\pm 0.8\) |
| \(\chi^2_{\text{eff}}\) | ... | 2781.8 | 2786.5 |
| \(\ln B\) | ... | -6.6 | -8.2 |
| \(\tau\) | ... | 0.05 \(\pm 0.005\) | 0.052 \(\pm 0.006\) |
| \(\Delta_z^{\text{reion}}\) | ... | 2.9 \(\pm 0.08\) | 2.86 \(\pm 0.07\) |
the data combinations as a function of $z$. Constraints from P15 for $B_0$–$B_2$ and P15 + UV17 for $B_3$ are provided in the background stripes. The improvement in P18 constraints using HFI polarization compared to P15 data is significant, as anticipated in Refs. [3,4]. While $B_0$ produces monotonic power law reionization histories, $B_1$ allows extended and steplike histories. $B_2$ and $B_3$ with extra nodes provide the scopes for nonmonotonic and complex histories. As we know, P18 mainly constrains the integrated optical depth, therefore the ionization histories are not well constrained in all three cases ($B_0$, $B_1$, and $B_2$). UV luminosity density data allow only a small subset of histories from P18 and the derived bounds on $\tau$ improve significantly in P18 + UV17.

In middle row, we plot 68% and 95% constraints on corresponding source term, $\rho_{UV}$ and on top we display the Bouwens et al. (2014) and Ishigaki et al. [6] compiled data [also containing Bouwens et al. [57]]. Bottom: Marginalized probability distribution function of $\tau$. It is evident from the plots that a sharp history of reionization cannot make all three datasets agree.

In Fig. 2 we plot reconstructed samples of the recombination timescale and its lower limits obtained from the later inclines towards higher mean values of $\tau$, although with larger uncertainties.

In Fig. 2 we plot reconstructed samples of the recombination timescale in Gyr as a function of redshift in minimal to three nodes cases (top to bottom) are plotted. The $2\sigma$ lower bounds are also provided in thick black curves. The volume filling factors in all samples are colored to demonstrate the progress of reionization and its dependence on $t_{\text{rec}}$. 

FIG. 1. (Left to right): Results for minimal single node ($B_0$), single node ($B_1$), two nodes ($B_2$), and three nodes ($B_3$) reconstructions, respectively. Planck best fits for Tanh reionization are plotted in gray. SROLL2 refers to the independent low-$E$-mode polarization likelihood based on Planck data [62]. Top: The volume filling factor as a function of redshift. Constraints are computed from the entire MCMC samples. Middle: UV luminosity density with the Ishigaki et al. [6] compiled data [also containing Bouwens et al. [57]]. Bottom: Marginalized probability distribution function of $\tau$. It is evident from the plots that a sharp history of reionization cannot make all three datasets agree.

FIG. 2. Samples of recombination timescale in Gyr as a function of redshift in minimal to three nodes cases (top to bottom) are plotted. The $2\sigma$ lower bounds are also provided in thick black curves. The volume filling factors in all samples are colored to demonstrate the progress of reionization and its dependence on $t_{\text{rec}}$. 
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P18 + UV17 + QHII. Within $z = 6$–8, the lower limit on the timescale is found to be about 1 Gyr. The evolution of ionization is mapped with colored samples.

In Fig. 3, we plot the 68.3% and 95% C.L. on $Q_{\text{HII}}$ as a function of redshift in the four different cases considered. Data points and limits are also plotted. In the gray dotted, solid, and dashed lines we plot best fit Tanh model for Planck 2018, Planck 2018 with SROLL2 low-$\ell$ EE likelihood, and Planck 2015, respectively. The family of reionization histories obtained in our reconstruction address the data more efficiently compared to the Tanh model.

Below we summarize the main results of our analysis:

1. We find an excellent consistency between the low-$\ell$ Planck 2018 HFI polarization likelihood and our compilation of astrophysical data in determining the integrated optical depth $\tau$. Considering jointly P18 + UV17 + QHII data, we obtain $\tau = 0.051 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.002$ at 68.3% and 95% C.L. (single node), which is highly consistent with the value $\tau = 0.051^{+0.006}_{-0.009} +0.013$ obtained with P18. We note that with the nominal errors in our compilation of astrophysical data, we obtain a joint constraint tighter by a nearly a factor of 2 with respect to the projected constraint from cosmic variance limited proposed CMB space missions [65–68].

2. A joint analysis that includes Planck 2018 data, UV luminosity density integrated up to $z = 6$, and monotonically increasing with decrease in redshift. This result is completely consistent with parametric $C_{\text{HII}} \approx 2.9[(1+z)/6]^{-1.1}$ fit to simulation [63]. This bound is expected to be degenerate with $\langle f_{\text{esc}} \xi_{\text{ion}} \rangle$ if allowed to be free (for more discussion on $f_{\text{esc}}$ see Ref. [64]).

Our analysis finds correlations between other background cosmological parameters with the reconstructed reionization histories and in Fig. 4 we present the correlation between derived parameter $\tau$ and $n_s$ and between $\sigma_8$ and $\Delta_{\text{reion}}^2$ for B1. HFI polarization data on large angular scales and astrophysical data helps in breaking the degeneracies and provide tighter constraint on the reionization histories and therefore on $\tau$. Tighter constraints on $n_s$ and $\sigma_8$ are also important to compare CMB with large scale structure data.

Conclusion.—In this Letter we have reconstructed the history of reionization using CMB and a compilation of astrophysical data. This free form reconstruction allows more conservative variation in ionizing UV flux (the source term $\eta_{\text{ion}}$) and recombination times or rates ($t_{\text{rec}}$) at flexible redshift nodes. Instead of varying the ionizing hydrogen fraction since we are reconstructing the source and sink terms in the ionization equation, we obtain direct constraints on the evolution of these quantities. We are also able to combine data from UV luminosities in addition to those for CMB and neutral hydrogen. This framework allows sharp to highly extended reionization histories that also involves nonmonotonic changes in the ionization fraction.
of 20 000 K (correspondingly, we find 95% lower bound of $t_{\text{rec}} \sim 1$ Gyr). (5) When $\langle f_{\text{esc}}\xi_{\text{ion}} \rangle$ is allowed to vary, the combined datasets constrains $\tau = 0.052 \pm 0.002$ at 95% in the single node case.

Allowing $\langle f_{\text{esc}}\xi_{\text{ion}} \rangle$ as free parameter uses different UV luminosity data with truncation magnitude of $-15$ (UV15) provides $\tau = 0.054 \pm 0.003$ at 95% in the single node case. Contribution towards ionization from dimer sources is reflected in the higher value of optical depth. Higher uncertainty in the UV15 leads to relaxed bounds with respect to the UV17 case.

Discussions on such extensions and constraints on $f_{\text{esc}}\xi_{\text{ion}}$ in different cases and data combinations will be provided in a detailed paper [69]. (6) We find that for simple monotonic models that can be described by a single intermediate node, degeneracies between reionization history and other cosmological parameters can be lifted completely with current astrophysical data.

Our analysis opens up to conservative constraints on reionization, allowing the combination of astrophysical measurements with CMB in this newly introduced free-form reconstruction. It will be interesting to see the performance of such a method for constraining physical models of reionization in the perspective of future cosmological measurements.
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