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Abstract

BiSbTeSe$_2$ is a 3D topological insulator with Dirac type surface states and low bulk carrier density, as donors and acceptors compensate each other. Dominating low temperature surface transport in this material is heralded by Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations and the quantum Hall effect. Here, we experimentally probe the electronic density of states (DOS) in thin layers of BiSbTeSe$_2$ by capacitance experiments both without and in quantizing magnetic fields. By probing the lowest Landau levels, we show that a large fraction of the electrons filled via field effect into the system ends up in (localized) bulk states and appears as a background DOS. The surprisingly strong temperature dependence of such background DOS can be traced back to the Coulomb gap in the system.
An ideal three-dimensional (3D) topological insulator (TI) has an insulating bulk, characterized by a gap in the energy spectrum, and symmetry protected, conducting surface states (1,2 and references therein). As these helical surface states are not spin degenerate, 3D-TIs provide a promising platform to realize topological superconductivity, a prerequisite to search, e.g., for Majorana zero modes (3,4). Experimentally available TIs like Bi$_2$Se$_3$ or Bi$_2$Te$_3$ are, however, far from ideal as they feature, due to intrinsic defects, a relatively high electron or hole density larger than $10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ (see 5 and references therein). By combining $p$-type and $n$-type TI materials, i.e., by compensation, the bulk concentration can be suppressed (20). This comes at the price of large potential fluctuations at low temperatures as the resulting ionized donor and acceptor states are poorly screened and constitute a randomly fluctuating Coulomb potential, bending the band edges and creating electron and hole puddles (7,8). These were observed by, e.g., optical spectroscopy (5). In the absence of metallic surface states, i.e., in fully compensated conventional semiconductors, variable range hopping governs low-temperature transport ($T < 100$ K) (9). Recently, Skinner et al. have shown that the electronic density of states (DOS) in the bulk is nearly constant under these circumstances and features a Coulomb gap at the Fermi level $\mu$ (7,8). In 3D-TIs, in addition, Dirac surface states, which form a two-dimensional (2D) electron (hole) system, encase the bulk and constitute the dominating transport channel at low temperatures.

Here, we explore the interplay between topological surface and trivial bulk states. To this end we probe the DOS of the Dirac surface states by capacitance spectroscopy. The total capacitance $C$, measured between a metallic top gate and the Dirac surface states, depends on the geometric capacitance per unit area, $C_0 = \epsilon \epsilon_0 / d$, and on the quantum capacitance $\epsilon^2 D(\mu)$,

$$C^{-1} = C_0^{-1} + [\epsilon^2 D(\mu)]^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

Here $\epsilon, d$ are, respectively, dielectric constant and insulator thickness, $\epsilon_0$ the vacuum dielectric constant, and $D(\mu)$ the DOS at the Fermi level (chemical potential) $\mu$. The quantum capacitance, connected in series to $C_0$, reflects the energy spectrum of 2D electron systems (10-12), and probes preferentially the top surface DOS in 3D-TIs (13). At higher temperatures, $D$ has to be replaced by the thermodynamic density of states (TDOS) at $\mu$, $D(\mu) = dn/d\mu$, with $n$ the carrier density. While gating of 3D-TI and tuning of the carrier densities of top and bottom surfaces has been explored in the past (14,15), the quantum capacitance and the DOS in a compensated TI like BiSbTeSe$_2$ remained uncharted. Our measurements show that, while
Dirac surface states dominate low-$T$ transport as expected, the bulk provides a background of Coulomb glass type, capable of absorbing a large amount of charge carriers. These missing charges is very common in 3D-TI transport experiments, yet to the best of our knowledge unexplained$^{16-19}$.

We used pristine, slightly $p$-doped BiSbTeSe$_2$ crystals grown by the modified Bridgman method$^6$. Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy has demonstrated that Dirac point and $\mu$ of this material lie in the bulk gap$^{20}$. BiSbTeSe$_2$ flakes were exfoliated onto highly $p$-doped Si chips (used as backgate) coated by 285 nm SiO$_2$. The flakes were processed into quasi-Hallbars with Ti/Au (10/100 nm) ohmic contacts. A larger h-BN flake, transferred on top of BiSbTeSe$_2$ serves as gate dielectric. Finally, we use Ti/Au (10/100 nm) as a top gate contact. Fig. 1(a) and 1(b) display the layer sequence and optical micrograph of one of the devices, respectively.

Transport measurements using low ac excitation currents (10 nA at 13 Hz) were carried out between 1.5 K and 58 K and in magnetic fields $B$ up to 14 T. Temperature dependent measurements show (see Fig. S1 in$^{21}$) that at 1.5 K transport is entirely dominated by the surface with negligible contribution from the bulk. The carrier density and $\mu$ of top and bottom surfaces can be adjusted by top and bottom gate voltages, $V_{tg}, V_{bg}$, respectively. This is shown for the Hall resistivity at 14 T in Fig. 1(c). The device displays well developed quantum Hall plateaus at total filling factor $\nu = -1, 0$ and $1^{22}$. The plateaus are well separated from each other and marked by dashed purple lines. As these lines run nearly parallel to the $V_{tg}$- and $V_{bg}$-axes, respectively, we conclude that the carrier density on top and bottom can be tuned nearly independently.

**Capacitance measurements** – We use a two-terminal setup with one contact connected to the top gate, the other to the BiSbTeSe$_2$ layer, see inset in Fig. 1(d). A high-precision capacitance bridge AH2700 with ac modulation of 0.1 V was used at the lowest operation frequency of 50 Hz to suppress resistive effects$^{13}$. To minimize hysteresis, we always sweep $V_{tg}$ in one direction only. Figure 1(d) shows the measured capacitance $C$, which is directly connected to the DOS, see Eq. (1). The measured trace with a minimum at the Dirac or charge neutrality point (CNP) resembles the quantum capacitance measured for graphene, apart from a pronounced electron-hole asymmetry$^{12,23,24}$ due to a parabolic contribution to the linear $E(k)$ dispersion$^{24}$. Explicitly, the latter reads $E = \hbar v_F k + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m^*}$, with $\hbar$ the reduced Planck constant, $v_F$ the Fermi velocity at the Dirac point, and $m^*$ the effective mass.
FIG. 1. (a) Design of layer sequence. Red lines sketch the topological surface states. (b) Optical micrograph of the device. The dashed yellow line marks the capacitor area of $1.8 \times 10^3 \mu m^2$. (c) $\rho_{xy}$ as function of $V_{tg}$ and $V_{bg}$, respectively, at $T = 1.5$ K and $B = 14$ T. The almost horizontal and vertical dashed purple lines separate the region of well developed QHE with total filling factors of -1, 0, and 1 (unit $h/e^2$), from regions of higher filling factors. (d) $C(V_{tg})$ at $T = 1.5$ K and $B = 0$ T. The pronounced minimum reflects the bulk gap. For better comparison, the CNP of all measurements is shifted to zero via $V_{CNP}$. The red line is a fit using a Gaussian broadening of the Fermi level with $\sigma = 29.4$ meV (see text). To compare with experiment we added a parasitic capacitance of $\sim 3.07$ pF. The lower left inset illustrates the measurement principle, the upper inset the energy dispersion of the surface states in the bulk gap (left) and the corresponding DOS (right).

It is sketched in the upper inset of Fig. 1(d), together with the electron-hole asymmetric, nearly $E$-linear DOS, given by $D(E) = \left| \frac{m^*(\Omega - m^*v_F)}{2\pi\hbar^2} \right|$. Here we used that $k = \sqrt{4\pi n}$ and $\Omega = \sqrt{(m^*v_F)^2 + 2Em^*}$. 

\[ ]
While in a perfect system $D(E)$ vanishes at the CNP, disorder smears the singularity, as in case of graphene\textsuperscript{12}. We model the potential fluctuations by a Gaussian distribution of energies with width $\sigma$, resulting in an average DOS $\langle D(\mu) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D(E) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(E-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] dE$.

To convert energies into voltages we use $n = C_0(V_{tg} - V_0)/e$, with $e$ the elementary charge and $V_0$ describing $n$ at zero voltage. By fitting $\langle D(\mu) \rangle$ to the data in Fig. 1(d) we extract $\sigma = 29.4$ meV, $v_F = 3.2 \cdot 10^5$ m/s and $m^* = 0.47m_0$ ($m_0 = $ free electron mass). The broadening $\sigma$ is only important in the immediate vicinity of the CNP but hardly affects the values of $v_F$ and $m^*$. The obtained $v_F$ and $m^*$ values agree well with ARPES data\textsuperscript{14,20} and values extracted from Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations\textsuperscript{25}.

**$B$-field dependence of capacitance measurements** – Our key result arises when we crank up the magnetic field and measure signatures of the Landau level (LL) spectrum, shown in Fig. 2(a). At the 0-th LL level position a local maxima emerges with increasing $B$-field, flanked by minima at each side. The two minima, highlighted by arrows, correspond to the Landau gaps between LLs 0, and ±1 [see Fig. 2(a)]. Due to the large broadening, higher LLs do not get resolved. Lowering $T$ down to 50 mK does not resolve more structure, indicating that disorder broadening is the limiting factor. By sweeping $V_{tg}$ across the 0-th LL, $i.e.$, from arrow position to arrow position, the carrier density changes by the LL degeneracy $\Delta n = eB/h$. In contrast, the change of carrier density $\Delta n$, calculated via capacitance, $\Delta n = \frac{C_0}{e} \Delta V_{tg}$, is by a factor 1.4 higher. Hence, we must assume that a large fraction of the carriers, induced by field effect, ends up in the bulk and is localized at low $T$.

To compare with these experiments we calculate $C(V_{tg})$ using Gaussian-broadened LLs,

$$D_{LL}(E) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Gamma}} \frac{eB}{h} \sum \exp \left[ -\frac{(E-E_n)^2}{2\Gamma^2} \right],$$

with broadening $\Gamma$. The LL spectrum dispersion reads\textsuperscript{29}

$$E_n = |n| \frac{\hbar eB}{m^*} + \text{sgn}(n) \sqrt{\left( \frac{\hbar eB}{2m^*} \right)^2 + 2eBn v_F^2 |n|}, \quad (2)$$

with $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$, and the tiny Zeeman splitting was neglected. Using the above DOS is insufficient to describe the data - the distance $\Delta V_{tg}$ between adjacent Landau gaps (marked, $e.g.$, by arrows in Fig. 2(a) for the 14 T trace) is too small\textsuperscript{21}. $\Delta V_{tg}$ is the voltage needed to fully fill the 0-th LL of the surface states. Since $\Delta V_{tg}$ in experiment is larger than calculation (relies on the filling rate $dn/dV_{tg} \approx C_0/e$), it means that a fraction of the field-induced electrons does not go to the surface states but eventually into the bulk. Thus a higher voltage (higher $\delta n$) is needed to fill the zeroth LL. On contrast, we obtain almost
FIG. 2. (a) $C(V_{tg})$ for $B$ ranging from 4 T to 14 T. The lower inset sketches the LL DOS for LLs -1, 0 and 1. Arrows mark the position of the Landau gaps for the 14 T trace. (b) Model calculations to (a) based on Eq. (3) after adding a parasitic capacitance of $\sim 3.06$ pF. Parameters of the fit at 1.5 K: $\Gamma = 14.9$ to 15.9 meV; $D_b = 2.4 \cdot 10^{35}$ m$^{-2}$J$^{-1}$. 

perfect agreement – see Fig. 2(b) – if we introduce an energy-independent background DOS $D_b$ which models these bulk states. The calculated TDOS we compare with experiment thus reads

$$D(\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [D_{LL}(E) + D_b] \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu} dE,$$

with $f = f(E - \mu, T)$ the Fermi function.

As shown in Fig. 2(b), the constant background $D_b$ leads to excellent agreement with experiment. Although the bulk DOS is hardly directly accessible by the quantum capacitance itself (i.e., by its value), we probe it indirectly via the missing charge given by the Landau gap positions. This missing charge carrier issue holds also for the quantum Hall trace in Fig. 1(c), where $\sim 30\%$ of the induced electrons are missing. Indeed, it also appears in several other publications with missing electron fractions ranging from 30\% (as here) to 75\% (see 16–19).

The bottom line is: The change of surface carrier density extracted from the Landau gap positions is smaller than the one "loaded" into the system within the same voltage interval. Further, the filling rate $dn/dV_{tg}$ determined by the classical Hall effect at 1.5 K is consistent with the one found for the surface states. Thus, the charge carriers loaded at low $T$ into the bulk are localized and do not contribute to transport. This is consistent with transport experiments, and also in line with what is expected in fully compensated...
FIG. 3. (a) $C(V_{tg})$ at $B = 14$ T for various $T$s. Arrows mark the minima corresponding to Landau gaps. For increasing $T$ the voltage difference $\Delta V_{tg}$ between adjacent gaps increases. The trace at 1.5 K was shifted down by 0.032 pF for clarity. (b) Calculated $C(V_{tg})$ using Eq. (3) with $D_b$ values in (d), and $\Gamma = 13 - 15.2$ meV. (c) $\Delta V_{tg}$ vs. $T$. (d) Extracted $D_b$.

semiconductors, as was recently highlighted in Ref. 7. There, bulk transport of compensated TI was considered, where local puddles of $n$- and $p$-regions form. In this regime, low-$T$ transport is governed by variable range hopping, the DOS within the gap is essentially constant, while filled and empty states are separated by the Coulomb gap at $\mu$.  

Using a constant background affects somewhat the values extracted above from $C(V_{tg}, B = 0)$. Thus, we fitted the trace in Fig. 1(d) using the same $D_b = 2.4 \cdot 10^{35}$ m$^{-2}$J$^{-1/2}$. Now a reduced broadening $\sigma = 15$ meV is needed. $C(V_{tg})$ is then best described by slightly modified values: $v_F = 2.8 \cdot 10^5$ m/s and $m^* = 0.57m_0$, respectively, still compatible with results reported elsewhere.  

Temperature dependence of quantum capacitance – The background DOS rises quickly with temperature. Corresponding $C(V_{tg})$ data for 14 T and various $T$s up to 58 K is shown in Fig. 3(a). The local minima due to Landau gaps, marked by arrows, shift with increasing $T$ to larger $V_{tg}$. The corresponding $\Delta V_{tg}(T)$ is shown in Fig. 3(c). For fixed $B$ the Landau
degeneracy $eB/h$ is constant and does not depend on temperature. The increasing $\Delta V_{tg}$ needed to fill the 0-th LL of the surface states thus indicates that, with increasing $T$, more carriers are lost to the bulk. Similar behavior was found for quantum Hall data \cite{16,27}. Clearly, to model the Landau gap positions correctly, a strongly $T$-dependent TDOS is required. A simple approach consists in introducing a $T$-dependent background DOS, $D_b \rightarrow D_b(T)$. Its values used to fit the data of Fig. 3(a) are shown in Fig. 3(d); the resulting $C(V_{tg})$ traces for different temperatures are plotted in Fig. 3(b). $D_b$ is nearly constant at low $T$ but rises quickly at higher temperatures. However, a problem with this procedure is that for non-interacting electrons the number of single-particle states in a given energy (voltage) window by definition does not depend on $T$. The corresponding TDOS can still be $T$-dependent, but only as long as the tails of $\partial f/\partial \mu$, can reach regions with substantial changes of the single particle DOS, typically close to gap edges \cite{28}. Yet the strongly temperature-dependent signal is obtained by scanning $V_{tg}$ deep into the BiSbTeSe$_2$ gap, whose width of 300 meV is far too large to explain the observations.

**Probing the Coulomb gap** – A way out of this apparent dead-end is provided by the strongly fluctuating potential landscape of compensated TIs like BiSbTeSe$_2$, sketched in Fig. 4(a)-(b), where Coulomb interaction dominates \cite{7}. In a nutshell, the background DOS emerges as an *effective* single particle DOS describing the ensemble of strongly interacting electrons filling bulk impurity states. As such, it is actually a $\mu$- and $T$-dependent object, $D_b \rightarrow D_b(E, \mu, T)$, characterized by a Coulomb gap at $E = \mu$ \cite{9}. The TDOS we compare in Fig. 4(c) with experiment is then given by $D_b(\mu) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \int D_b(E, \mu, T)f(E - \mu)dE$. Since both $D_b$ and the Fermi function $f$ depend on $\mu$, the derivative with respect to $\mu$ generates two terms:

$$D_b(\mu) = \int D_b \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu}dE + \int f \frac{\partial D_b}{\partial \mu}dE.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

To describe the experiment we need to assume that the Coulomb gap cannot instantaneously follow $\mu$ if the carrier density is, as in our capacitance experiments, modulated by 50 Hz. The Coulomb gap stems from rearrangement of unscreened charges in a strongly disordered landscape, and its formation time depends on disorder strength and type, temperature, and magnetic field \cite{29,31}. Indeed, the electronic many-body system is known to behave as a Coulomb glass, characterized by long reaction/relaxation times \cite{32}. Determining the exact shape and temperature dependence of the Coulomb gap DOS is a complex problem, whose details are still under debate \cite{32,38}, and which is here further complicated by the presence of
FIG. 4. (a) Conduction ($E_c$) and valence band ($E_v$) profiles, fluctuating due to long range Coulomb interactions. A sketch of the bulk DOS including the Coulomb gap at $\mu$ is shown at right. The DOS at $\mu$ is probed in experiment. (b) Sketch of electron and hole puddles in the $\mu$ plane, consequence of the strongly fluctuating band edges in (a). (c) Comparison of calculated and measured $D(T)$. Filled squares are experimental data. Different curves are model results for different values of the parameter $b$ in Eq. (5). All curves are normalized to their values at $T = 1.5 \text{ K}$. The TDOS grows by approximately a factor 8 between $T = 1.5 \text{ K}$ and $T = 58 \text{ K}$. Such a relative increase is perfectly reproduced for $a = 0.051, b = 11.7 \text{ meV}$. The best fit values lead to the TDOS associated with the Coulomb gap shown in panel (d) for different temperatures. Here, $\mu$ is at zero energy.

topological surface states.\cite{39}

On the time scale of 20 ms, set by the experiment, the Coulomb gap very likely cannot follow $\mu$, i.e. $D_b$ is only a function of $E$ for these short times at fixed $T$. Hence, the second term on the right hand side of Eq. (4) vanishes. On the other hand, the timescale of about 1 minute needed to produce each data point after changing $V_{tg}$ should be sufficiently long to form a gap, at least partially. As the precise time scales for BiSbTeSe$_2$ are not known, we resort to simple DOS models to test the feasibility of our approach.
For a qualitative understanding of our experimental data, it suffices to consider a bare-bone model for the bulk DOS, given in normalized form

\[ \tilde{D}_b(E, \mu) = \frac{\tanh \left[ a + \left( \frac{E-\mu}{b} \right)^2 \right]}{\int dE' \tanh \left[ a + \left( \frac{E'-\mu}{b} \right)^2 \right]}. \] (5)

Eq. (5) describes a \( T \)-independent Coulomb gap, whose (small) residual value at \( E = \mu \) is determined by the dimensionless parameter \( a \ll 1 \), while \( b \) (with dimension of energy) fixes its width. The form is chosen so that for \( E \to \mu \) it reproduces the known 3D Efros-Shklovskii form \( \propto (E - \mu)^2 \), with the addition of a residual value. The corresponding \( T \)-dependent TDOS is then given by \( \tilde{D}_b(\mu) = \int \tilde{D}_b(E, \mu) \frac{\partial f(E-\mu)}{\partial \mu} dE \). We also considered an explicitly \( T \)-dependent DOS model, mimicking the progressive filling of the Coulomb gap by thermal excitations\(^{33-38} \). Since the simple model fits the data equally well, we do not discuss it here\(^{21} \).

In Fig. 4(c) we compare the measured TDOS, \( D_b(T) \) and the calculated one, \( \tilde{D}_b(T) \), each normalized to the corresponding value at 1.5 K. Using a Coulomb gap width of 11.7 meV yields perfect agreement with experiment. The corresponding TDOS \( \tilde{D}_b(E, 0) \), calculated using Eq.(4) for \( \mu = 0 \) is displayed in Fig. 4(d). At the position of \( \mu \), the TDOS is strongly \( T \)-dependent. It is this value which we conclude to observe in experiment. If, for a given temperature, the chemical potential is shifted via \( V_{\text{tg}} \), the gap follows. This is the reason why using a constant background TDOS gives excellent agreement with the experimental data, as shown in Fig. 3.

In summary, we found that correctly describing the position of the Landau gaps in the BiSbTeSe\(_2\) capacitance-voltage signal requires to introduce a constant but strongly temperature-dependent background TDOS. This suggests that we are probing the effective single particle DOS in the Coulomb gap. The latter follows the chemical potential as we adjust the reference top gate potential, but is accessible to our capacitance measurement due to its slow (glassy) dynamics. Our picture accounts for the large amount of charge missing from surface states in BiSbTeSe\(_2\) transport experiments.
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I. Resitivity-Temperature Relation

Fig. S1 shows the temperature dependence of the device discussed in the main part of the text. With decreasing temperature, the resistivity of the device increases down to $\sim 65$ K, characteristic for semiconductor-like, bulk dominated conduction, and decreases below $\sim 65$ K, typical for metallic-like, surface dominated conduction.

![Image](image_url)

**FIG. S1.** $R$-$T$ relation of the device. (a) The experimental data (blue squares) and corresponding fit (red line). The dashed line approximately separates the bulk and surface conduction dominated regions. (b) The same graph as in (a) in log scale and together with the fitted (see text) bulk (purple) and surface (green) contributions. The inset in (a) shows the measurement configuration.
Useful information, such as carrier density $n$ and activation energy $E_a$ can be extracted from the graph. Following a recent paper\textsuperscript{[1]}, the surface and the bulk of the topological insulator contribute to the conduction independently, equivalent to resistors connected in parallel. The resistivity of each surface ($\rho_s$) can be modeled as metallic like, $\rho_s = \rho_{s,0} + A \cdot T$, where $\rho_{s,0}$ and $A$ are constants. The bulk resistivity $\rho_b$ is thermally activated for temperatures beyond the variable range hopping regime: $\rho_b = \rho_{b,0} \cdot \exp(E_a/k_B T)$, where $\rho_{b,0}$ is a constant, $E_a$ is half the energy gap $\Delta$, and $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant. Thus the total resistivity is $\rho = (2 \cdot \rho_s^{-1} + \rho_b^{-1})^{-1}$. Here we assume, for simplicity, that the two surfaces are equivalent, having the same carrier density $n_s$ and mobility $\mu_s$. The corresponding fit is shown in Fig. S1a, where we obtained remarkable good agreement. In addition, the fitting also yields $\rho_s = 3801 + 20.5 \cdot T$, and $\rho_b = 234 \cdot \exp(31 \text{ meV}/k_B T)$ and thus the effective energy gap $\Delta' = 62 \text{ meV}$. Compared to the nominal energy gap $\Delta \approx 300 \text{ meV}$\textsuperscript{[2]} the effective gap is 5-times smaller. This is consistent with previous reports\textsuperscript{[3]} and a consequence of the coexisting electron and hole puddles which stem from the large potential fluctuations in BSTS.

At sufficiently low temperature (e.g., 1.5 K), the conduction is almost entirely dominated by Dirac surface states, also verified in Ref\textsuperscript{[1]}. However, at higher temperatures, bulk carriers are activated and contribute to transport. At $T = 58$ K, using the results from the fit above, and by assuming a typical, temperature independent value of $\mu_b = 200 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$, we obtain $n_b = 2.7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The same way, the carrier density at zero gate voltage on each surface is $n_s = 6.3 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, using $\mu_b = 2000 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$. Thus the delocalized bulk carrier density is comparable to that in the surface states.

The bulk carrier density at 58 K, $n_b$, can also be estimated from the constant DOS background $D_b(T)$. ARPES measurements show that pristine BSTS is slightly $p$-type doped\textsuperscript{[4]} Thus, the bulk hole concentration $n_b = \int_{-\infty}^{0} D_b(T = 58 \text{ K})(1 - f(E - \mu))dE$, where $f(E - \mu)$ is the Fermi-Dirac function. $D_b(T = 58 \text{ K}) = 17.4 \cdot 10^{35} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ J}^{-1}$, can be read from Fig. 3d in the main text. Here, $\mu$ is slightly below the Dirac point, $\mu \approx -25 \text{ meV}$\textsuperscript{[2]} With these values one obtains $n_b = 7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, which is of the same order and comparable to the estimate given above.
FIG. S2. $C-V$ trace at 20 K and 14 T, and the corresponding second derivative $d^2C/dV_{tg}^2$, used to determine $\Delta V_{tg}$.

II. DETERMINING $\Delta V_{tg}$

Here we show how $\Delta V_{tg}$ in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 is determined. As example we use the experimental data taken at 20 K and 14 T, shown in Fig. S2. To enhance the visibility of the minima and to suppress effects of the background we calculate the second derivative of the trace. This results in two pronounced peaks at the position of the minima. The distance between the 2 peaks gives $\Delta V_{tg}$. This procedure is particularly useful at elevated temperatures where it is more difficult to determine the minimum position accurately from the original capacitance trace.

III. FAILURE OF FITTING $C-V$ TRACE AT 14 T WITHOUT BACKGROUND DOS

In Fig. S3 we compare the capacitance measured at $B = 14 \text{T}$ and $T = 1.5 \text{K}$ to fits with and without using a constant background density. The fits without background density fail to describe the data, especially the voltage difference between the capacitance minima which correspond to the Landau gaps. The distance between calculated, adjacent Landau gaps is
FIG. S3. Comparison of fits to the experimental data at 1.5 K and 14 T, with and without finite $D_b = 2.4 \cdot 10^{35} \text{ m}^{-2}\text{ J}^{-1}$, while the other parameters are kept the same: Fermi velocity $\nu_F = 2.8 \cdot 10^5 \text{ m/s}$, effective mass $m^* = 0.57m_0$, Gaussian energy broadening $\sigma = 15.2 \text{ meV}$, and parasitic capacitance $C_{\text{para}} = 3.0635 \text{ pF}$.

always smaller (marked by $\Delta V_{tg}$ in Fig. S3) than observed in experiment if $D_b$ is set to 0, no matter how the other parameters are chosen. This discrepancy becomes much larger at higher temperatures.

IV. ESTIMATING THE FILLING RATE FROM HALL MEASUREMENTS.

The filling rate $dn/dV_{tg}$ extracted from the position of the Landau gaps on the gate voltage scale in the main text (Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 3(a)) is smaller than $C_0/e$, expected from the insulator capacitance $C_0$. Fig. S4 shows that the reduced filling rate is also measured by the classical Hall slope.

The Hall data were taken at 1.5 K by sweeping the top gate voltage ($V_{tg}$) at fixed $B$, as well as by sweeping $B$ at fixed $V_{tg}$. The device shows at such low temperature surface dominated conduction, which takes place in top and bottom surface. From Fig. 1(c) in the
FIG. S4. Estimate of the total carrier density $p$ using the Hall slope giving $p = \left( e \frac{d \rho_{xy}}{dB} \right)^{-1}$ for low magnetic field data. Here the electron density is defined positive, the hole density negative.

In the main text, we see that at zero gate voltage, both top and bottom surfaces are slightly $p$-doped. By grounding the back gate and sweeping $V_{tg}$ from 5 to -5 V, one changes the carrier type in the top surface. For $V_{tg} < 0$ in Fig. S4, $p$-type conduction prevails in top and bottom surfaces. The total carrier density and the total filling rate in this regime can be simply obtained from the one carrier Drude model. The filling rate, i.e., the change of total density with gate voltage, is given by the slope of the red line in Fig. S4. The corresponding filling rate is $3.2 \cdot 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$V$^{-1}$. This is consistent with the filling rate displayed by the QHE data in the main text ($4 \cdot 10^{11}$cm$^{-2}$V$^{-1}$, using $\Delta V_{tg} = 0.84$ V from Fig. 3(c)). Similar conclusions can be found in [4].

V. COMPARING FITTING OF C-V AT $B = 0$ T AND $T = 1.5$ K WITH AND WITHOUT BACKGROUND DOS

The $B = 0$ capacitance trace in Fig. 1(d) of the main text we fitted without using a background DOS $D_b$. While the $B = 0$ data can be well fitted using $D_b = 0$, the capacitance data in quantizing magnetic field can not. In Fig. S5 we show that the data of Fig. 1(d)
FIG. S5. Fitting the data of Fig. 1(d) with and without $D_b$ at $B = 0$ T and $T = 1.5$ K. The red dashed line is the same as the one shown in Fig. 1(d), with fitting parameters $v_F = 3.2 \cdot 10^5$ m/s, $m^* = 0.47 m_0$, $\sigma = 29.4$ meV, parasitic capacitance 3.073 pF, and $D_b = 0$. The blue trace, in contrast, was obtained using the same $D_b = 2.4 \cdot 10^{35}$ m$^{-2}$J$^{-1}$ extracted at the same $T$ from the 14 T data. The other fit parameters are then $v_F = 2.8 \cdot 10^5$ m/s, $m^* = 0.57 m_0$, $\sigma = 15.2$ meV, and parasitic capacitance 3.065 pF.

can be likewise fitted with a finite DOS $D_b$. As a result, the energy broadening becomes significantly smaller, but the important parameters like Fermi velocity $v_F$ and effective mass $m^*$ stay within 20% the same.

VI. A TEMPERATURE-DEPENDENT DOS MODEL

Consider the (normalised) model DOS

$$\tilde{D}_b(E, \mu, T) = \frac{\tanh \left[ a + \left( 1 + \left( \frac{E - \mu}{bT} \right)^2 \right) cT^2 \right]}{\int E' \tanh \left[ a + \left( 1 + \left( \frac{E' - \mu}{bT} \right)^2 \right) cT^2 \right] \, dE'}$$

Eq. (6) extends the $T$-independent minimal model employed in the manuscript, and takes into account the Coulomb gap $T$-dependence which arises from its progressive filling by thermal excitations once $T \neq 0$. It does it so as to agree with the universal behavior
obtained by Mogilyanskii and Raikh at low $T$ and low energy, with the addition of a constant $E = \mu$ offset fixed by $a \ll 1$. The parameters $b, c$ set the gap shape. Within our phenomenological approach, Eq. (6) does not yield any discernible improvement in the fit of the experimental data.
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