The Brain Microenvironment Induces DNMT1 Suppression and Indolence of Metastatic Cancer Cells

HIGHLIGHTS
- Indolence of brain metastatic melanoma cells is associated with DNMT1 inhibition
- Reactive astrocytes and mechanically soft surroundings suppress DNMT1 expression
- DNMT1 suppression dually activates pro-survival and anti-proliferative signals
- DNMT1-aB crystallin axis supports the survival of indolent brain metastatic cells
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SUMMARY
Brain metastasis is an ineffective process, and many cancer cells enter into an indolent state following extravasation in the brain. Single cell RNA sequencing of melanoma brain metastases reveals that non-proliferating brain metastatic melanoma cells exhibit a pattern of gene expression associated with inhibition of DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1). The brain microenvironment, specifically the combination of reactive astrocytes and mechanically soft surroundings, suppressed DNMT1 expression in various cancer types and caused cell cycle delay. Somewhat unexpectedly, we find that DNMT1 suppression not only induces cell cycle delay but also activates pro-survival signals in brain metastatic cancer cells, including L1CAM and CRYAB. Our results demonstrate that transcriptional changes triggered by DNMT1 suppression is a key step for cancer cells to survive in the brain microenvironment and that they also restrict cancer cell proliferation. The dual consequences of DNMT1 suppression can explain the persistence of indolent cancer cells in the brain microenvironment.

INTRODUCTION
Brain metastasis is a functionally devastating complication with a very poor prognosis, and the number of cases is greatly increasing in association with marked improvement of overall survival of patients with cancer (Smedby et al., 2009; Tabouret et al., 2012; Valiente et al., 2018). Melanoma, lung, and some sub-types of breast cancer have a high propensity for brain metastasis (Cagney et al., 2017). Traditional autopsy studies and recent advances in cancer genomics have revealed that brain metastasis can occur in the early stage of cancer progression, and the fate of these disseminated cancer cells is strongly dependent on the interaction with brain microenvironment (Brastianos et al., 2015; Ghajar, 2015; McAllister and Weinberg, 2014; Noltenius and Noltenius, 1985; Obenauf and Massague, 2015; Sosa et al., 2014). The brain microenvironment consists of specialized cell types such as neurons, astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, microglial cells, and brain capillary endothelial cells (Quail and Joyce, 2017; Winkler, 2015). These cell types can affect metastasis progression in a variety of ways; in particular, interaction with blood vessels is essential for cancer cells to survive in the early stage of brain metastasis (Er et al., 2018; Kienast et al., 2010; Valiente et al., 2014). The cerebrospinal fluid that permeates the brain can also influence the response of melanoma cells to therapy (Seifert et al., 2015).

The progression of brain metastasis is spatio-temporally heterogeneous among cancer types, clinical cases, or even different lesions in a patient (Cagney et al., 2017). In some cases, brain metastasis is evident soon after detection of the primary tumor, whereas in other cases, there can be a long period of remission before cancer recurrence and the development of brain metastases, a phenomenon called cancer dormancy (Sosa et al., 2014; Yeh and Ramaswamy, 2015). The causes of cancer dormancy and mechanisms of re-awakening are multi-factorial and can include differential interactions with components of the tumor microenvironment, such as endothelial cells and infiltrating leukocytes (De Cock et al., 2016; Ghajar et al., 2013; Montagner and Sahai, 2020). In the case of brain metastasis, Kienast et al. reported that VEGF-A inhibition can induce angiogenic dormancy (Kienast et al., 2010), and Malladi et al. showed that suppression of Wnt signaling by autocrine DKK1 secretion can cause immunogenic dormancy (Malladi et al., 2016). However, the mechanism of cellular dormancy in brain metastasis remains incompletely understood.
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The majority of cancer cells that extravasate into the brain parenchyma die (Kienast et al., 2010; Winkler, 2015). One potential explanation is that plasmin from the reactive brain stroma kills metastastic cancer cells via conversion of a membrane-bound FasL to a soluble variant and/or direct degradation of L1CAM, and cancer cell-derived anti-plasminogen activator serpin families work as a shield against these cell death signals (Valiente et al., 2014). Other mechanisms also exist to protect disseminated cells from apoptotic cell death. For example, αB-crystallin, a small heat shock protein that directly binds to caspase-3 and inhibits apoptosis (Kamract et al., 2002, 2005), has been linked to brain metastasis (Malin et al., 2014; Voduc et al., 2015).

In this study, we delineate the transcriptome of proliferating and indolent non-proliferating brain metastases (Figure 1A and Data S1). Intriguingly, there was considerable variation in the size of the metastases, with single cells still observed 30 days after injection suggesting that a subset of WM266.4 cells enter an indolent or dormant state. For subsequent analysis we classified the metastases in four classes based on their size: single cell (SC), micro-cluster (MC, a cell cluster consists of less than five cells), micro-metastasis (MM, <100 mm), and established metastasis (EM, >100 mm) (Figures 1Ba and 1C). We performed Ki67 staining to investigate the relationship between metastasis size and proliferative state and found that there is no simple relationship between metastasis size and the proportion of Ki67-positive cells (Figures 1D–1F). The majority of SC and MC do not contain Ki67-positive cells (Figure 1D). In contrast, EM have a relatively consistent proportion of Ki67-positive cells suggesting that they grow in an exponential manner (Figures 1E and 1F). The situation for MM is more complex: on average 45% of cells are Ki67-positive at day 20, although there is a wide spread in this value between metastases (Figure 1E). However, the average percentage of Ki67-positive cells declines to 29% at day 30 (Figure 1F). This can be explained if some of the MM lesions at day 20 with higher proportions of Ki67-positive cells transition into becoming larger EMs at day 30; this is supported by the increasing numbers of EM between day 20 and 30 in Figure 1C.

In contrast, those MM with a lower proportion of Ki67-positive cells remain as MM (Figure 1F). The transition of the more proliferative metastases into the EM category can explain the drop of the average percentage of Ki67-positive cells in MM. In addition, several MM have no Ki67-positive cells at day 30, suggesting that cells can exit from a proliferative state. Furthermore, because there is little change in the total number of MM between day 20 and day 30, despite the presence of proliferative cells, it is possible that proliferation in
suppress cell cycle progression in both a DNA demethylation-dependent and -independent manner (Seelan et al., 2018; Tsai et al., 2012). Because DNA methylation is mainly maintained by DNA methyltransferase-1 (DNMT1) in cancer cells (Zhang and Xu, 2017) and WM266.4 cells express no or little DNMT3A, 3B, and 3L (Figures 2E and 2F). Interestingly, quantitative analysis revealed that the expression levels of DNMT1 in WM266.4 cells distribute far more widely than in vitro (Figure S2B), suggesting that DNMT1 expression is highly influenced in brain. We also found that the expression of Ki67 and DNMT1 clearly overlapped (Figure S2C). These data raise the possibility that DNMT1 down-regulation by brain microenvironment might be the cause of cell-cycle arrest in indolent brain metastasis.

A situation of low levels of ongoing proliferation and cell death would be predicted to result in the dilution of a stable cell label. Therefore, we labeled WM266.4-EGFP cells with a stable dye, CellVue Claret Far Red, just before intra-cardiac injection (Bantly et al., 2007). We extracted the cancer cells from the mouse brain at day 20 and examined the number of EGFP/CellVue Claret Far Red double-positive cells. We found that only 16 among 3,077 EGFP-positive cells were positive for the dye (positive rate = 0.52%) (Figure S1A). Thus, WM266.4 melanoma cells show diverse and interesting behaviors upon arrival in the brain. Some cells establish large metastases that grow progressively, whereas others form either micro-metastases with balanced proliferation and cell death or enter a predominantly indolent state with only rare proliferation or death. This is consistent with intravital imaging studies that also report the diverse fates of metastatic cells in the brain (Kienast et al., 2010).

To try to understand some of the mechanisms underpinning the divergent behavior of WM266.4 melanoma cells in the brain environment, we performed single cell RNA-seq of brain metastatic WM266.4 cells at day 20 using the cells to Fluidigm C1 system (Figure S1B). Principal component analysis (PCA) with ninety-nine house-keeping genes (the list provided in Table S1) selected 61 samples as unbiasedly amplified cDNA libraries (Figure S1C and Data S2). Interestingly, MKI67 expression clearly divided the cells into two groups, termed “Proliferating” and “Non-proliferating” (Figure 2A). The expression of well-established cell-cycle linked genes TK1, MCM7, TOP2A, and PCNA (Aufderklamm et al., 2012) were all higher in the “Proliferating” group (Figures 2B and 2C and Data S3). Furthermore, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) (Subramanian et al., 2005) showed that the genes related to cell cycle progression, DNA replication, and E2F transcription were enriched in the “Proliferating” group (Figure S1D), indicating that we can successfully separate the cells into two groups as intended.

Intriguingly, in this analysis, the top-ranked gene sets up-regulated and down-regulated in “Non-proliferating” group were the genes induced and suppressed by decitabine treatment, respectively (Figure 2D, Data S4 and S5) (Missiaglia et al., 2005). Decitabine, a cytidine analog, is a DNA methyltransferase inhibitor and known to suppress cell cycle progression in both a DNA demethylation-dependent and -independent manner (Seelan et al., 2018; Tsai et al., 2012). Because DNA methylation is mainly maintained by DNA methyltransferase-1 (DNMT1) in cancer cells (Zhang and Xu, 2017) and WM266.4 cells express no or little DNMT3A, 3B, and 3L (Figure S2A), we examined the expression of DNMT1 in brain metastatic WM266.4 cells. Immunostaining shows that most of SC and MC do not express DNMT1, whereas about 27% and 42% of the cells in MM and EM at day 30 expressed DNMT1, respectively (Figures 2E and 2F). Interestingly, quantitative analysis revealed that the expression levels of DNMT1 in WM266.4 cells distribute far more widely in vivo than in vitro (Figure S2B), suggesting that DNMT1 expression is highly influenced in brain. We also found that the expression of K667 and DNMT1 clearly overlapped (Figure S2C). These data raise the possibility that DNMT1 down-regulation by brain microenvironment might be the cause of cell-cycle arrest in indolent brain metastasis.

**Brain Microenvironment Suppresses DNMT1 and Cell Cycle Progression in Various Types of Cancer Cells**

DNMT1 ablation is well known to suppress cell cycle progression in many cell types; therefore, we sought to investigate the relationship between DNMT1 and proliferation in the context of brain metastasis. We found that DNMT1 knockdown using four independent siRNA suppressed WM266.4 cell cycle progression in vitro (Figures 3A–3G). More importantly, silencing of DNMT1 affected systemic metastasis progression (Figures 3H–3J).
Figure 3. DNMT1 Suppression Induces Cell Cycle Delay in WM266.4 Cells

(A) The time course of siRNA experiments. WM266.4 cells were transfected with control siRNA or siRNA against DNMT1 at days 0 and 3, and further experiments were started at day 7.

(B) Immunoblotting at day 7 showed effective ablation of DNMT1 in WM266.4 cells treated with four different siRNAs (siDNMT1 #1–4).

(C) Cell proliferation analysis of WM266.4 cells treated with siCtrl (#1) or siDNMT1 (#1–4).

(D) Immunoblotting at day 7 showed effective ablation of DNMT1 in WM266.4 cells treated with siCtrl smart pool (siCtrl-SP) or siDNMT1 smart pool (siDNMT1-SP).

(E and F) Cell cycle analysis of WM266.4 cells treated with siCtrl-SP or siDNMT1-SP. Representative FACS images with cell cycle profiling by EdU incorporation (y-axis) and DNA content (x-axis, DAPI staining) are shown in (E) and the percentages of the cells in G0/1, S and G2/M phase are shown in (F) (n = 3).

(G) CpG methylation analysis at transcription start site of the indicated genes by pyrosequence. LINE-1 is used as a marker of global DNA methylation (average of three CpG sites, n = 2) and EBF3 as a representative highly methylated region in melanoma cells (n = 2).

(H and I) WM266.4 cells pre-treated with siCtrl-SP or siDNMT1-SP were injected into mouse hearts and induced brain metastasis. Representative images of bioluminescence detection (H) and total flux from the whole body (photons/s) at day 0 (after injection) and day 29 (siCtrl-SP; n = 5, siDNMT1-SP; n = 6) (I) are shown.

(J and K) Representative images of sagittal brain sections stained for Ki67 (J) and the number of lesions per sagittal brain section at day 29 (K) are shown. A total of 10 brain slices from 5 mice (siCtrl-SP) and 12 brain slices from 6 mice (siDNMT1-SP) were used for quantification. Green: WM266.4 cells pre-treated with siCtrl-SP or siDNMT1-SP, Blue: DAPI, Red: Ki67. Scale bar, 2.5 mm (large images), 100 μm (small panels). Data are mean (I), mean ± SD (C, F, and G), or mean ± SEM (K).
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Figure 4. Brain Microenvironment Suppresses DNMT1 and Cell Cycle Progression

(A) A representative image of MC at day 10, stained for glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP). Green: WM266.4 cells, Blue: DAPI, Red: GFAP. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(B) Representative images of primary astrocytes established from C57BL/6 neonatal mouse brains. Cells were stained for GFAP and confirmed that more than 90% of the cells were positive for this astrocyte marker. Green: GFAP, Magenta: DAPI. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(C) Representative images of immunoblotting for DNMT1 in WM266.4 cells cultured in DMEM/10% FBS or conditioned media from the indicated cell cultures.

(D) Relative expression of DNMT1/β-tubulin in WM266.4 cells cultured in DMEM/10% FBS or conditioned media from astrocytes was quantified (n = 3).

(E) A cartoon of quasi microenvironment experiment on poly-acrylic acid (PAA) gels with defined stiffness.

(F) DNMT1 expression in WM266.4 cells cultured on different stiffness. Y axis indicates normalized intensity of DNMT1/DAPI (n = 166–305).

(G) and (H) Representative images of WM266.4 cells cultured in the indicated conditions and stained for DNMT1 (G) and normalized intensity of DNMT1/DAPI was quantified (n = 227–338) (H). Scale bar, 100 μm.

(I) Edu incorporation by WM266.4 cells and WM266.4 cells overexpressing DNMT1 (WM266.4-DNMT1) in the indicated culture conditions (n = 6).

(J) and (K) WM266.4 or WM266.4-DNMT1 cells were injected into mouse hearts and induced brain metastasis. Representative images of bioluminescence detection in vivo (day 0) and ex vivo (day 28) (J) and total flux from the brain (photon/sec) (n = 5 in each group) (K) are shown. (L and M) Representative images of sagittal brain sections stained for Ki67 (L) and the number of lesions per sagittal brain section at day 28 (M) are shown. A total of 20 brain slices from 5 mice (WM266.4) and 24 brain slices from 6 mice (WM266.4-DNMT1) were used for quantification. Green: WM266.4 or WM266.4-DNMT1 cells, Blue: DAPI, Red: Ki67. Scale bar, 2.5 mm (large images), 100 μm (small panels).

(N) WM266.4 cells labeled with mCherry and WM266.4-DNMT1 cells labeled with mEGFP were mixed at 1:1 ratio and injected into mouse hearts. The number of lesions per sagittal brain section at day 10 are quantified. A total of 36 brain slices from 6 mice were used for quantification. Data are mean (K), mean ± SD (D, F, H, and I) or mean ± SEM (M and N).

3H and 3I) including brain metastasis (Figures 3J and 3K). Of particular note, the number of larger metastases (MM + EM) was greatly reduced, whereas the number of indolent metastatic lesions (SC + MC) was not altered (Figure 3K), indicating that reduced DNMT1 levels seem to prevent the progression of metastatic lesions.

Having established the link between low DNMT1 levels and lack of proliferation in the brain microenvironment, we investigated if there were any factors that might down-regulate DNMT1 in cancer cells arriving in the brain. The presence of reactive astrocytes (recognized as glial fibrillary acidic protein [GFAP]-positive cells) around WM266.4 cells even at early stages of brain metastasis led us to test whether astrocytes might modulate DNMT1 expression (Figure 4A). We also found that microglial cells (recognized as ionized calcium binding adapter molecule-1 [Iba-1]-positive cells) are recruited to the metastatic lesions; however, the accumulation is not as prominent as reactive astrocytes, especially around SC and MC (Figure S3A). We established primary astrocytes (Figure 4B) and microglial cells (Figure S3B) in culture and tested the effect of media conditioned by these two cell types. As a control, we used media conditioned by melanoma-associated fibroblasts (MAFs) (Hirata et al., 2015). Remarkably, conditioned media from astrocytes, but not microglial cells or MAFs, suppressed DNMT1 expression in WM266.4 cells (Figures 4C, 4D, S3C, and S3D). Furthermore, because the brain is very soft (Young’s modulus ~0.2 kPa) compared with most primary cancer tissues (3–10 kPa) (Barnes et al., 2017), we also studied the effect of differential mechanical properties of the brain microenvironment. To this end, we designed two “quasi microenvironments” reflecting primary tumors where cancer cells were cultured on a hard substrate (3 kPa) with conditioned media from MAFs or the brain with cells cultured on a soft substrate (0.2 kPa) with conditioned media from astrocytes (Figure 4E). We found that culture on soft substrates suppressed DNMT1 expression in WM266.4 cells (Figure 4F) and the effect was further enhanced by conditioned media from astrocytes (Figures 4G and 4H). Cell culture on a soft substrate with astrocyte-conditioned media significantly suppressed cell cycle progression compared with cell culture on a hard substrate with MAF-conditioned media, which was partially rescued by DNMT1 over-expression in WM266.4 cells (Figure 4I). To determine whether this suppression of DNMT1 by the combination of astrocyte-derived factors and soft ECM was restricted to WM266.4 melanoma cells, we also investigated cancer models capable of brain metastasis. We obtained the similar results with MDA231 human breast cancer cells and PC9 human lung cancer cells, where DNMT1 knockdown suppressed cell cycle progression (Figures S4A–S4D and SS A–SSD) and cell culture on a soft substrate with astrocyte-conditioned media suppressed DNMT1 expression and cell cycle progression (Figures S4E–S4H and S5E–S5H). Taken together, these data demonstrate the down-regulation of DNMT1 by the brain microenvironment and establish its functional role in altered cell cycle progression.

Forced Expression of DNMT1 Unexpectedly Reduced the Number of Brain Metastatic Lesions

The data above suggest that forced DNMT1 expression might circumvent cell-cycle arrest/delay and enable rapid outgrowth of brain metastasis. However, somewhat surprisingly, DNMT1-overexpressing WM266.4 cells
failed to form brain metastasis (Figures 4J and 4K), although the growth rate was similar to the parental cells in vitro (Figures S6A and S6B). Detailed histopathological analysis revealed that there was a significant decrease even in single cell metastases (Figures 4L and 4M), suggesting that the reduced number of metastases might reflect a defect in the ability of cells to survive in the brain. The few remaining metastases had a similar range of sizes to control WM266.4 cells. We also found that similar results were observed following DNMT1 overexpression in MDA231 cells (Figures S4I–S4L). Thus, both DNMT1 suppression and over-expression reduce the overall metastatic burden, but they do so in different ways with high DNMT1 expression hindering survival upon arrival in the brain and low/absent DNMT1 expression precluding outgrowth of micrometastases. To confirm more precisely whether DNMT1 overexpression hinders survival of the cells in the early stage of brain metastasis, we labeled WM266.4 and WM266.4-DNMT1 cells with different fluorescent proteins (mCherry and mEGFP, respectively), mixed the cells in a 1:1 ratio before intra-cardiac injection to seed brain metastasis. Histopathological analysis at day 10 clearly showed that the number of lesions is greatly reduced by DNMT1 overexpression (Figure 4N). We further investigated the small number of SC and MC in mice injected with DNMT1-overexpressing WM266.4 cells. Intriguingly, DNMT1 staining of the limited number of brain metastatic lesions induced by intra-cardiac injection of DNMT1-overexpressing WM266.4 cells revealed that SC and MC do not express DNMT1 (Figure S6C), suggesting that these cells might have silenced the construct driving DNMT1 over-expression or reduced its levels post-transcriptionally. These results further strengthen the argument that DNMT1-low cells and/or the cells in which DNMT1 is strongly suppressed by the brain microenvironment form indolent brain metastasis. Moreover, although the number is limited, MM and EM express high levels of DNMT1 (Figure S6C). Taken all together, these data support a model in which low levels of DNMT1 are advantageous for both the initial survival of metastatic cells in the brain and their persistence as indolent single cell or micro-cluster metastases. In contrast, the transition to large growing EM is driven by elevated DNMT1 levels.

**DNMT1 Suppression Activates Pro-survival Signals Essential for Brain Metastasis Initiation**

The results described above evoked the idea that DNMT1 suppression by brain microenvironment might simultaneously activate pro-survival (pro-tumor) and anti-proliferative (anti-tumor) signals in brain metastatic cancer cells. Hence, low DNMT1 expression would be associated with persistent but indolent metastases. To explore this idea and seek pro-survival factors, we performed RNA-seq to examine the effect of DNMT1 ablation on gene expression in vitro. The 262 genes suppressed by DNMT1 included L1CAM, SERRPIND1, and E2, the genes reported to be essential for brain metastasis initiation (Valiente et al., 2014) (Figures 5A and 5B and Data S6). Technical constraints precluded us from directly analyzing CpG promoter methylation in single cell metastases; we therefore investigated if DNMT1 targets might be differentially expressed in melanoma cells selected for efficient brain metastasis and if this correlated with altered DNA methylation. To this end, we established WM266.4 cells with enhanced brain metastatic ability by repetition of intra-cardiac injection and cancer cell collection from the mouse brain (WM266.4-BrM1, 2, and 3) (Figures S7A–S7G and Data S1 and S7) (Boi et al., 2009). We found that WM266.4-BrM3 expressed higher levels of the DNMT1 target, L1CAM (Data S7). As might be expected for a gene regulated by DNMT1, the promoter CpG site of L1CAM was extensively demethylated in WM266.4-BrM3 cells, whereas that of LINE-1 was not affected (Figure 5C). Intriguingly, total DNMT1 levels were not altered in WM266.4-BrM3 cells (Figure 5D), suggesting that its activity may be regulated by additional mechanisms besides protein expression. Together, these data support the idea that DNA demethylation of pro-survival genes favors successful brain metastasis in BrM3 cells.

Interestingly, we also found that forced expression of DNMT1 in WM266.4-BrM3 cells canceled their enhanced ability to form brain metastases without affecting cell growth in vitro (Figures 5E–5J). To test the universality of this observation, we generated PC9 cells with enhanced brain metastatic ability using the same method as for WM266.4 cells. Reassuringly, similar results were obtained with PC9 and PC9-BrM4 cells (Figures S7H–S7M and S8A–S8G and Data S1 and S8). Taken all together, these results reinforce the view that the relationship between DNMT1 and metastasis is complex. More specifically, efficient metastasis requires an ability to temporarily down-regulate DNMT1 upon arrival in the brain and subsequently re-activate it to outgrow. Cells selected for efficient brain metastases do not have different levels of DNMT1 but show increased expression of some DNMT1-regulated genes.

**α-B-Crystallin Is Required for the Survival of Non-proliferating Brain Metastatic Cancer Cells**

To further identify players in the survival of dormant brain metastases, we interrogated the results of single cell RNA-seq again for pro-survival genes up-regulated in the indolent cells. The volcano plot showed that CRYAB was the most highly expressed gene in the “Non-proliferating” group (Figures 6A and 6B and Data
Figure 5. Brain Microenvironment Activates Pro-survival Signals via DNMT1 Suppression

(A) Transcriptome analysis of WM266.4 cells treated with siCtrl-SP or siDNMT1-SP. Genes up-regulated by DNMT1 knockdown are shown with fold change and p value (n = 3). Please also refer to Data S6.

(B) Relative expression of the indicated genes in WM266.4 cells treated with siDNMT1-#1 or -#2 compared with siCtrl-#1 were quantified by quantitative RT-PCR.

(C) CpG methylation analysis of the indicated genes in WM266.4 (parent) and its derivatives (BrM1-3) by pyrosequence. The methylation of L1CAM is the average of five CpG sites in the promoter region (n = 2), and LINE-1 is used as a marker of global DNA methylation (average of three CpG sites, n = 2).

(D) Immunoblotting for DNMT1 in WM266.4 (parent) and its derivatives with enhanced brain metastasis (BrM1-3).

(E) Immunoblotting for DNMT1 in WM266.4-BrM3 cells and WM266.4-BrM3 cells overexpressing DNMT1 (WM266.4-BrM3-DNMT1).

(F) Cell proliferation analysis of WM266.4-BrM3 and WM266.4-BrM3-DNMT1 cells (n = 3).

(G and H) WM266.4-BrM3 or WM266.4-BrM3-DNMT1 cells were injected into mouse hearts and induced brain metastasis. Representative images of bioluminescence detection in vivo (day 0) and ex vivo (day 21) (G) and total flux from the brain (photons/s) (H) are shown. (I and J) Representative images of sagittal brain sections stained for Ki67 (I) and the number of lesions per sagittal brain section at day 25 (J) are shown. A total of 12 brain slices from 6 mice were used for quantification in each group. Green: WM266.4-BrM3 or WM266.4-BrM3-DNMT1 cells, Blue: DAPI, Red: Ki67. Scale bar, 2.5 mm (large images), 100 μm (small panels).

Data are mean ± SD (B, C, and F), or mean ± SEM (J).
Figure 6. DNMT1-αB-Crystallin Axis Plays a Pivotal Role for the Survival of Non-proliferating Brain Metastatic WM266.4 Cells

(A) A volcano plot showing average gene expression and p value in Proliferating and Non-proliferating groups.

(B) FPKM of CRYAB in Proliferating (P) and Non-proliferating (NP) groups. Bars indicate mean ± SEM.

(C) Transcriptome analysis of WM266.4 cells treated with siCtrl-SP or siDNMT1-SP shows that CRYAB is up-regulated by DNMT1 knockdown (n = 3).

(D) Relative expression of CRYAB mRNA in WM266.4 cells treated with siDNMT1-1 or -2 compared with siCtrl-1 were quantified by quantitative RT-PCR.

(E and F) Representative images of immunoblotting for αB-crystallin in WM266.4 cells treated with siCtrl-SP or siDNMT1-SP (E) and relative expression of αB-crystallin/β-tubulin are quantified (F) (n = 3).

(G) Representative images of WM266.4 brain metastasis, stained for αB-crystallin. Green: WM266.4 cells, Blue: DAPI, Red: αB-crystallin. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(H and I) Representative images of immunoblotting for αB-crystallin in WM266.4 (Parent) and its derivatives with enhanced brain metastasis (BrM1-3) (H) and relative expression of αB-crystallin/β-tubulin are shown (I) (n = 3).

(J) Immunoblotting for αB-crystallin in WM266.4 cells and WM266.4 cells knocked out for αB-crystallin (WM266.4-CRYAB-KO).

(K) Cell proliferation analysis of WM266.4 and WM266.4-CRYAB-KO cells.

(L and M) WM266.4 or WM266.4-CRYAB-KO cells were injected into mouse hearts and induced brain metastasis. Representative images of bioluminescence detection in vivo (day 0) and ex vivo (day 30) (L) and total flux from the brain (photon/sec) (n = 5 in each group) (M) are shown.

(N and O) Representative images of sagittal brain sections stained for Ki67 (N) and the number of lesions per sagittal brain section at day 30 (O) are shown. A total of 16 brain slices from 4 mice (WM266.4) and 10 brain slices from 5 mice (WM266.4-CRYAB-KO) were used for the quantification. Green: WM266.4, Blue: DAPI, Red: Ki67. Scale bar, 2.5 mm. Data are mean (B and M), mean ± SD (D, F, I, and K), or mean ± SEM (O).

S3). Moreover, we found that αB-crystallin (encoded by CRYAB) expression was strongly induced by DNMT1 suppression (Figures 6C–6F). αB-crystallin is a molecular chaperon protein that suppresses apoptosis by inhibiting caspase-3 activation (Shin et al., 2009) and is related to breast cancer brain metastasis (Malin et al., 2014; Voduc et al., 2015). Consistent with low DNMT1 levels being linked to elevated levels of CRYAB, we found that the expression of αB-crystallin was restricted to a very small population in MM and EM (Figure 6G) and is not related to enhanced brain metastasis (Figures 6H and 6I), suggesting that this protein might be required for the survival of SC and MC. Crucially, we found that knockout (KO) of αB-crystallin did not affect cell growth in vitro (Figures 6J and 6K) but strongly suppressed brain metastasis (Figures 6L and 6M). Similar to forced DNMT1 expression, although the overall number of metastases was greatly reduced, the probability of single cell metastases progressing to macro-metastases was not affected by CRYAB deletion (Figures 6N and 6O). These results demonstrate that DNMT1-αB-crystallin axis plays a pivotal role for the survival of SC and MC brain metastases.

DISCUSSION

Brain tissue presents a very different microenvironment from the other sites of metastases. Following successful extravasation, cancer cells that arrive in the brain will encounter reactive astrocytes and mechanically soft environment. The combination of these factors strongly suppresses DNMT1 expression in cancer cells. Multiple factors are likely to contribute to DNMT1 down-regulation. Schnepp et al. have reported that glial cells can suppress DNMT1 in breast cancer cells via clusterin secretion (Schnepp et al., 2017). Furthermore, Ras-c-Jun signaling pathway is reported to be the major inducer of DNMT1 (Bigey et al., 2000), and L1CAM, are stably increased in cells with enhanced brain metastatic ability (Data S6, S7, and S8). This could be the result of either a more specific down-regulation of DNMT1 activity at the L1CAM promoter or the action of other DNA methylases and de-methylases. In addition, although the mechanisms of regulation of DNMT1 that we document are inherently brain specific because they involve astrocyte-derived factors, the DNMT1-knockdown experiments suggest that differential regulation of DNMT1 might affect metastasis progression even in other organs (Figures 3H and 3I and discuss further below).
We also identified αB-crystallin as a key mediator of cancer cell survival downstream of DNMT1 suppression. Although it is required for the survival of single cell brain metastases, elevated αB-crystallin expression was not observed in either advanced brain metastasis (MM and EM) (Figure 6G) or cells with enhanced brain metastasis (BrM1-BrM3) (Figures 6H and 6I). These data are consistent with CRYAB being dynamically regulated by DNMT1; however, they also imply that αB-crystallin has a context-specific effect on cell survival. Intriguingly, a recent report by Montagner et al. shows that CRYAB is much higher in indolent breast cancer cells (D2.0R cells) than in the aggressive counterparts (D2A1 cells), although it does not appear to be regulated by the lung microenvironment (Figure S9). However, in these cells, CRYAA seems to be the regulated isoform of crystallin-α and this is inversely correlated with DNMT1 levels (Figure S9) (Montagner et al., 2020). These results suggest that diverse cues in different organs might be able to regulate DNMT1 and the expression of crystallins and are consistent with the effects of DNMT1 manipulation on extra-cranial metastases. We propose that single cell metastases and micro-clusters experience particularly high levels of cellular and metabolic stress and therefore are particularly dependent on crystallins, which are reported to suppress stress-induced apoptosis (Hamann et al., 2013; Mao et al., 2004). In cases where metastatic cells transition to active growth, their apparent dependence on αB-crystallin decreases. Thus, in addition to the possibility of blood-brain barrier-permeable decitabine for the treatment of growing brain metastasis (Chabot et al., 1983; Riccadonna et al., 2016; Tawbi et al., 2013), targeting αB-crystallin and other pro-survival factors expressed in dormant cells may represent an attractive strategy for eliminating indolent single cells and micro-clusters. Furthermore, we can also hypothesize from our results that only the cells that undergo reprogramming for cell survival and also circumvent anti-proliferative effects might progress to macro-metastasis. Multiple events may enable the circumvention of growth arrest (Liddelow and Barres, 2017; Wasilewski et al., 2017); excessive activation of astrocytes may increase the mechanical properties of the metastatic microenvironment and promote the re-expression of DNMT1 and cell cycle entry.

In conclusion, we identify how brain microenvironment-induced reprogramming via DNMT1 suppression determines the fate of brain metastatic cancer cells. If cells fail to down-regulate DNMT1 in the brain microenvironment, then they will lack survival molecules required in single metastatic cells. If they stably down-regulate DNMT1, then they will survive but with minimal ability to actively resume growth leading to an indolent state. Only if DNMT1 can be dynamically modulated can the cells efficiently form macroscopic metastases. The initial triggers for DNMT1 down-regulation are astrocytes and the soft brain environment. In the future, it will be interesting to determine the subsequent triggers for DNMT1 re-expression and metastatic outgrowth.

Limitation of the Study
We established WM266.4 brain metastasis models and re-derived experimental models of brain metastasis that are widely used in previous studies (Bos et al., 2009; Priego et al., 2018; Valiente et al., 2014) and could successfully analyze the process of brain metastasis progression at single cell levels. To further verify the epigenetic reprogramming by the brain microenvironment, DNA methylation analysis at single cell levels (Farlik et al., 2015) should be very useful; however, this remains technically challenging to set up. Therefore, we investigated CpG demethylation in the cells that survived and proliferated in brain microenvironment and could speculate that selective DNA demethylation of pro-survival genes favors successful brain metastasis in these cells. Another challenge should be more detailed and prolonged observation of brain metastatic cancer cells in vivo—we could not know in this study whether indolent cancer cells are capable of regrowing by some triggers. In addition, we could only obtain indirect evidence about the time frame of brain metastasis progression and DNMT1 regulation. To this end, real-time intra-vital imaging with dynamic visualization of DNMT1 expression should be powerful approach in the future. Syngeneic mouse models should also help to totally describe complicated cancer-stroma interactions in these experiments.

In this study, we have only considered mechanisms that might control indolence in the brain. However, indolent metastases can be found in many organs and the brain is the only organ with astrocytes, implying that the mechanism we describe would not apply universally to all organs. Interestingly, examination of RNA-seq of indolent breast cancer cells in the lung environment reveals apparent convergence on DNMT1 and crystallin regulation.
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The Brain Microenvironment Induces DNMT1 Suppression and Indolence of Metastatic Cancer Cells
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Fig. S1. Hirata et al.
Figure S1 (Related to Figure 1). Collection of brain metastatic WM266.4 cells and preparation of cDNA libraries for single cell RNA sequencing. **A**, WM266.4-EGFP cells labeled with CellVue Claret Far Red were injected into mouse hearts and induced brain metastasis. WM266.4-EGFP cells were collected from the mouse brains at day 20 and analyzed with ASONE Cell Picking System. The left panel shows GFP channel and the right panel shows the dye channel (CellVue Claret Far Red). A double positive cell is highlighted with a colored circle. Please note that only 16 cells were positive for the dye among 3,077 EGFP-positive cells (positive ratio = 0.52%). **B**, A WM266.4 cell captured on a Fluidigm C1 IFC. **C**, Principal component analysis (PCA) with 99 housekeeping genes selected 61 samples (within an orange circle) as unbiasedly amplified cDNA libraries. **D**, Results of GSEA in Non-proliferating (NP) versus Proliferating (P) group with the indicated gene sets.
Fig. S2. Hirata et al.
Figure S2 (Related to Figure 2). Ki67 and DNMT1 are co-expressed in brain metastatic WM266.4 cells. A, Expression levels of DNMT family genes in Non-proliferating (NP) and Proliferating (P) group. Bars indicate mean. B, Distribution of normalized intensity of DNMT1/DAPI in WM266.4 cells in vitro (cultured on a glass bottom dish with DMEM/10% FBS) and in vivo (in EM lesions at day 30). 416 cells (in vitro) and 350 cells in six EM lesions (in vivo) were analyzed. C, Representative images of brain metastatic WM266.4 cells double-stained for Ki67 and DNMT1. Arrows indicate representative double-positive cells in EM and enlarged in the small panel. Blue: DAPI, Green: Ki67, Red: DNMT1. Scale = 100 μm (large panels), 20 μm (small panel). Data are mean (A) or mean ± s.d (B)
**Fig. S3** Hirata et al.
Figure S3 (Related to Figure 4). Conditioned media from cultured microglial cells did not suppress DNMT1 in WM266.4 cells. A, Representative images of brain metastatic WM266.4 cells stained for Iba-1. Green: WM266.4 cells, Blue: DAPI, Red: Iba-1. Scale = 100 μm. B, Representative images of primary microglial cells established from C57BL/6 neonatal mouse brains. Cells were stained for Iba-1 and confirmed that more than 90% of the cells were positive for this microglia marker. Green: Iba-1, Magenta: DAPI. Scale = 100 μm. C and D, Representative images of immunoblotting for DNMT1 in WM266.4 cells cultured in DMEM/10% FBS or conditioned media prepared from microglial cells (C) and relative expression of DNMT1/β-tubulin was quantified (D) (n = 3). Data are mean ± s.d (D).
Fig. S4  Hirata et al.
Figure S4 (Related to Figure 3 and 4). DNMT1 suppression induces cell cycle delay in MDA231 human breast cancer cells. A, Immunoblotting at day 7 showed effective ablation of DNMT1 in MDA231 cells treated with siCtrl smart pool (siCtrl-SP) or siDNMT1 smart pool (siDNMT1-SP). B, Cell proliferation analysis of MDA231 cells treated with siCtrl-SP or siDNMT1-SP. C and D, Cell cycle analysis of MDA231 cells treated with siCtrl-SP or siDNMT1-SP with EdU incorporation and DAPI staining (n = 3). E, DNMT1 expression in MDA231 cells cultured in the indicated conditions. Y-axis indicates normalized intensity of DNMT1/DAPI (n = 287-359). F, Immunoblotting for DNMT1 in MDA231 cells (MDA231) and MDA231 cells overexpressing DNMT1 (MDA231-DNMT1). G, Cell proliferation analysis of MDA231 and MDA231-DNMT1 cells (n = 3). H, EdU incorporation by MDA231 and MDA231-DNMT1 cells in the indicated culture conditions (n = 6). I and J, MDA231 or MDA231-DNMT1 cells were injected into mouse hearts and induced brain metastasis. Representative images of bioluminescence detection (I) and total flux from brain (J) at day 0 (after injection) and day 28 (MDA231; n = 3, MDA231-DNMT1; n = 4) are shown. K and L, Representative images of sagittal brain sections stained for Ki67 (K) and relative tumor areas in the brain sections (% GFP area) at day 28 (L) are shown. 6 brain slices from 3 mice (MDA231) and 8 brain slices from 4 mice (MDA231-DNMT1) were used for quantification. Green: MDA-MB-231 cells, Blue: DAPI, Red: Ki67. Scale = 2.5 mm (large images), 100 μm (small panels). Data are mean (J) or mean ± s.d (B, D, E, G, H and L).
Fig. S5 Hirata et al.
Figure S5 (Related to Figure 3 and 4). DNMT1 suppression induces cell cycle delay in PC9 human lung cancer cells. A, Immunoblotting at day 7 showed effective ablation of DNMT1 in PC9 cells treated with siCtrl smart pool (siCtrl-SP) or siDNMT1 smart pool (siDNMT1-SP). B, Cell proliferation analysis of PC9 cells treated with siCtrl-SP or siDNMT1-SP. C and D, Cell cycle analysis of PC9 cells treated with siCtrl-SP or siDNMT1-SP with EdU incorporation and DAPI staining (n = 3). E, DNMT1 expression in PC9 cells cultured in the indicated conditions. Y-axis indicates normalized intensity of DNMT1/DAPI (n = 258-312). F, Immunoblotting for DNMT1 in PC9 cells and PC9 cells overexpressing DNMT1 (PC9-DNMT1). G, Cell proliferation analysis of PC9 and PC9-DNMT1 cells (n = 3). H, EdU incorporation by PC9 and PC9-DNMT1 cells in the indicated culture conditions (n = 6). Data are all mean ± s.d.
**Fig. S6  Hirata et al.**

### Graph B

![Graph showing relative cell number over days for WM266.4 and WM266.4-DNMT1](image)

### Table C

|            | WM266.4 | WM266.4-DNMT1 |
|------------|---------|---------------|
| **EM**     | ![Image](image) | ![Image](image) |
| **SC**     | ![Image](image) | ![Image](image) |
| **MC**     | ![Image](image) | ![Image](image) |
| **MM**     | ![Image](image) | ![Image](image) |
| **EM**     | ![Image](image) | ![Image](image) |

**Western Blot:**

- **WM266.4:**
  - DNMT1
  - β-tubulin

- **WM266.4-DNMT1:**
  - DNMT1

**Protein Bands (kD):**

- 250 kD
- 150 kD
- 50 kD

**Images:**

- **EGFP:**
- **DAPI:**
- **DNMT1:**
- **EGFP / DNMT1:**
Figure S6 (Related to Figure 4). Indolent brain metastases induced by intra-cardiac injection of DNMT1-overexpressing WM266.4 cells express low levels of DNMT1. A, Immunoblotting for DNMT1 in WM266.4 cells and WM266.4 cells overexpressing DNMT1 (WM266.4-DNMT1). B, Cell proliferation analysis of WM266.4 and WM266.4-DNMT1 cells. C, Representative images of brain metastatic WM266.4 cells (reference) and WM266.4-DNMT1 cells stained for DNMT1. Green: WM266.4 or WM266.4-DNMT1, Magenta: DNMT1. Scale = 100 μm. Data are all mean ± s.d.
Intra-cardiac injection of cancer cells

- 3 passages for WM266.4-BrM3 cells
- 4 passages for PC9-BrM4 cells

Cancer cell collection from the brain tissues

---

**Fig. S7** Hirata et al.
Figure S7 (Related to Figure 5). Characterization of WM266.4 human melanoma cells and PC9 human lung cancer cells with enhanced capability of brain metastasis. A, A scheme of consecutive injection and collection of brain metastatic cancer cells to establish cells with enhanced capability of brain metastasis. B-G, WM266.4 or WM266.4-BrM3 cells were injected into mouse hearts and induced brain metastasis. Representative images of bioluminescence detection (B and E), total flux from the whole body (photon/sec) (n = 6 in each group) (C and F) and representative images of sagittal brain sections stained for Ki67 (D and G) at the indicated days are shown. Green: WM266.4 or WM266.4-BrM3, Blue: DAPI, Red: Ki67. Scale = 2.5 mm. Note; Both WM266.4 and WM266.4-BrM3 cells metastasize to eye balls and the mice need to be euthanized around 4-5 weeks and 3-4 weeks, respectively. H-M, PC9 or PC9-BrM4 cells were injected into mouse hearts and induced brain metastasis. Representative images of bioluminescence detection (H and K), total flux from the whole body (photon/sec) (PC9; n = 11, PC9-BrM4; n = 5) (I and L) and representative images of sagittal brain sections stained for Ki67 (J and M) at the indicated days are shown. Green: PC9 or PC9-BrM4, Blue: DAPI, Red: Ki67. Scale = 2.5 mm. Please also refer to Supplementary File S1 for detailed characterization of the mouse models, and Supplementary File S7 and S8 for comparative transcriptome analyses.
Fig. S8  Hirata et al.
Figure S8 (Related to Figure 5). Forced expression of DNMT1 cancelled the brain tropism of PC9-BrM4 cells. A, Immunoblotting for DNMT1 in PC9 (parent) and its derivatives with enhanced brain tropism (BrM1-4). B, Immunoblotting for DNMT1 in PC9-BrM4 cells and PC9-BrM4 cells overexpressing DNMT1 (PC9-BrM4-DNMT1). C, Cell proliferation analysis of PC9-BrM4 and PC9-BrM4-DNMT1 cells (n = 3). D and E, PC9-BrM4 or PC9-BrM4-DNMT1 cells were injected into mouse hearts and induced brain metastasis. Representative images of bioluminescence detection at day 0 (after injection) and day 28 (D) and total flux from the brain (photon/sec) (PC9-BrM4; n = 5, PC9-BrM4-DNMT1; n = 4) (E) are shown. F and G, Representative images of sagittal brain sections stained for Ki67 (F) and the relative tumor area in the brain sections (% GFP area) at day 28 (I) are shown. 10 brain slices from 5 mice (PC9-BrM4) and 8 brain slices from 4 mice (PC9-BrM4-DNMT1) were used for quantification. Green: PC9-BrM4 or PC9-BrM4-DNMT1, Blue: DAPI, Red: Ki67. Scale = 2.5 mm (large images), 100 μm (small panels). Data are mean (E) or mean ± s.d (C and G).
Fig. S9 Hirata et al.
Figure S9 (Related to Figure 6). CRYAA is highly induced in indolent lung-metastatic breast cancer cells. Gene expression analysis of D2A1 and D2.0R mouse breast cancer cells in vitro and in vivo (lung metastasis). RNA sequencing results are from Montagner et al., Nat Cell Biol. 2020 (GSE120628). Data are all mean ± s.d.
Table S1 (Related to Figure 1). The list of 99 house-keeping genes used in PCA.

| Gene_symbol | RefSeq      | Gene_symbol | RefSeq      | Gene_symbol | RefSeq      |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| ABCF1       | NM_001090   | HNRNPM      | NM_005968   | RPL4        | NM_000968   |
| ANAPC5      | NM_016237   | HSP90AB1    | NM_007355   | RPL6        | NM_000970   |
| ARF1        | NM_001658   | IK          | NM_006083   | RPL9        | NM_000661   |
| ARF3        | NM_001659   | ILF2        | NM_004515   | RPS10       | NM_001014   |
| ATP6V0B     | NM_004047   | JTB         | NM_006694   | RPS11       | NM_001015   |
| ATP6V0E1    | NM_003945   | KARS        | NM_005548   | RPS13       | NM_001017   |
| C11orf58    | NM_014267   | KAT7        | NM_007067   | RPS24       | NM_001026   |
| C1D         | NM_006333   | KHDBR51     | NM_006559   | RPS25       | NM_001028   |
| CANX        | NM_001746   | KXD1        | NM_024069   | RPS27A      | NM_002954   |
| CAPNS1      | NM_001749   | NARS        | NM_004539   | RPS3A       | NM_001006   |
| CBX3        | NM_016587   | NONO        | NM_007363   | RPS5        | NM_001009   |
| CFL1        | NM_005507   | NPM1        | NM_002520   | RPS6        | NM_001010   |
| CNPPD1      | NM_015680   | OAZ1        | NM_004152   | RPS7        | NM_001011   |
| COX4I1      | NM_001861   | PARK7       | NM_007262   | RTCB        | NM_014306   |
| CTDNEP1     | NM_015343   | PRPF8       | NM_006445   | SART1       | NM_005146   |
| DAD1        | NM_001344   | PSMB2       | NM_002794   | SART3       | NM_014706   |
| DDX39B      | NM_004640   | RHOA        | NM_001664   | SEPT2       | NM_004404   |
| DDX5        | NM_004396   | RNPS1       | NM_006711   | SLC25A3     | NM_002635   |
| EEF2        | NM_001961   | RPL10A      | NM_007104   | SMNDC1      | NM_005871   |
| EIF3D       | NM_003753   | RPL11       | NM_000975   | SNRNP200    | NM_014014   |
| EIF3F       | NM_003754   | RPL12       | NM_000976   | SNX3        | NM_003795   |
| EIF4G2      | NM_001418   | RPL14       | NM_003973   | SPAG7       | NM_004890   |
| ERH         | NM_004450   | RPL17       | NM_000985   | SRP14       | NM_003134   |
| FAU         | NM_001997   | RPL18       | NM_000979   | SRSF9       | NM_003769   |
| FNTA        | NM_002027   | RPL19       | NM_000981   | STARD7      | NM_020151   |
| GDI2        | NM_001494   | RPL21       | NM_000982   | TAF10       | NM_006284   |
| GUK1        | NM_000858   | RPL24       | NM_000986   | TARBP       | NM_007375   |
| H3F3A       | NM_002107   | RPL27       | NM_000988   | TCE2        | NM_007108   |
| HINT1       | NM_005340   | RPL28       | NM_000991   | TMED2       | NM_006815   |
| HNRNPA1     | NM_002136   | RPL30       | NM_000989   | USP22       | NM_015276   |
| HNRNPC      | NM_004500   | RPL34       | NM_000995   | YY1         | NM_003403   |
| HNRNPD      | NM_002138   | RPL35       | NM_007209   | ZNF146      | NM_007145   |
| HNRNPK      | NM_002140   | RPL37       | NM_000997   | ZPR1        | NM_003904   |
TRANSPARENT METHODS

Cells and probes

WM266.4 human melanoma cell line is a gift from late Prof. Chris Marshall (Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK). MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cell line and PC9 human lung cancer cell line were obtained from the Cell services unit at The Francis Crick Institute and RIKEN Cell Bank, respectively. Mouse primary astrocytes and microglial cells were established from euthanized neonatal C57BL6 mice (P1-3, male and female) by using gentleMACS Dissociator and MACS Cell Separation system with anti-ACSA2 antibody and anti-CD11b antibody, respectively (Miltenyi Biotec). The origins of human melanoma-associated fibroblasts (MAF1, MAF2) are described previously (Hirata et al., 2015). All the cells were maintained in DMEM with 10% FBS / 1% PenStrep (GIBCO), except that primary astrocytes were cultured in Astrocyte Medium (ScienCell) during establishment period. All the constructs (EGFP, mCherry, firefly luciferase, human DNMT1) were cloned into pBabe or pCX4 retrovirus vector (Akagi et al., 2003) and introduced into the cells. pLenti-U6-sgRNA-SFFV-cas9-2A-Puro was obtained from abm for αB-crystallin knock out with the target sequence; GTGGATGGCGATGTCCATG.

Mouse models, tissue preparation, immunohistochemistry, image acquisition and data analysis

Animal experiments were done in accordance with UK regulations under project license PPL/80/2368, the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Kanazawa Medical University (2018-27) and the Institute for Experimental Animals of Kanazawa University (AP-184026). For systemic/brain metastasis models, cancer cells stably expressing a fluorescent protein (mEGFP or mCherry) and firefly luciferase (1 x 10^6 cells/200 µl PBS in total) were injected into the left ventricle of anesthetized 8-weeks-old female nude mice (Balb/c nu/nu). Successful injection was confirmed by intraperitoneal
injection of D-Luciferin (150 mg/kg) and bioluminescence imaging (BLI) with IVIS Spectrum or IVIS LuminaXRMS (PerkinElmer). Progression of systemic metastasis was confirmed by follow up BLI and mice were euthanized at proper day for further analysis. For ex vivo BLI, mouse brains were surgically extracted after in vivo BLI, cultured in D-Luciferin-containing PBS (0.3 mg/ml) and imaged with IVIS XRMS. For histopathological analysis, mouse brains were fixed with 10% NBF or Mildform (WAKO) and frozen in O.C.T compound (Sakura Finetek). A tissue slice of 10 μm thickness was prepared with Cryostat (Leica) and mounted on silane-coated glass slides. We prepared serial sections from the center of the brain hemispheres and included both sides for immunostaining and quantification. The brain slices were blocked with 5% BSA and 1% FBS in PBS and incubated overnight with the primary antibodies listed in the last section of this Supplementary Information. On the next day, the samples were washed with PBS and incubated with secondary antibodies (also listed in the last section of this Supplementary Information) for 2 hours at room temperature. After washing with PBS, the samples were covered with VECTASHIELD with DAPI (Vector Laboratories), and the slides were scanned with NanoZoomer (Hamamatsu Photonics) or IX83 inverted microscope (Olympus). Acquired images were analyzed with MetaMorph software (Universal Imaging). The number of metastatic lesions were counted manually, and the relative tumor area (% GFP area) was calculated semi-automatically. For the quantification of Ki67-index (Fig. 1E and 1F) and DNMT1-positive ratio (Fig. 2F), cells with higher nuclear Ki67 or DNMT1 intensity than the background staining were manually counted as positive on 24-bit ndpi image files (Hamamatsu Photonics), and we objectively analyzed 117 lesions (MM at day 20), 64 lesions (EM at day 20), 123 lesions (MM at day 30) and 82 lesions (EM at day 30) for Ki67-index and 37 lesions (SC at day 30), 32 lesions (MC at day 30), 39 lesions (MM at day 30) and 21 lesions (EM at day 30) for DNMT1-positive ratio. For the quantification of normalized intensity of DNMT1/DAPI in vivo (Supplementary Fig. S2B), six EM lesions at day 30 were imaged
with IX83 inverted microscope and analyzed with background-subtracted 14-bit grayscale images. Regarding the number of brain slices we used for quantification, we used 28-32 slices in the first analysis (Fig. 1), but later we found that we could obtain equivalent results with smaller number of sections (6-12 slices). In the case of DNMT1 overexpression, we analyzed additional number of slices (20-24 slices) because we obtained unexpected results that induction of DNMT1 reduced the number of brain metastatic lesions.

Establishment of cancer cell lines with enhanced capability of brain metastasis

Cancer cells with enhanced brain tropism were established as described elsewhere (Bos et al., 2009). Briefly, WM266.4 human melanoma cells or PC9 human lung cancer cells expressing EGFP and firefly luciferase were injected into the left ventricle of anesthetized nude mice. The mouse brains were cut out ~33 days after intra-cardiac injection and dissociated into single cells by using gentleMACS Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec). The dispersed cells were cultured on a plastic dish with appropriate antibiotics to select cancer cells and BrM1 cells were established. BrM2, BrM3 and BrM4 cells were established by the repetition of the intra-cardiac injection and cancer cell collection.

Collection of brain metastatic cancer cells and single cell RNA sequencing

Mouse brain was cut out 20 days after intra-cardiac injection of WM266.4-Luc-EGFP cells or WM266.4-Luc-EGFP cells pre-labeled with CellVue Claret Far Red (Sigma-Aldrich), and dissociated into single cells by using gentleMACS Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec). The dispersed cells were seeded onto a plastic dish and cultured for 1 hours, then the cells attached on the bottom of the plate were collected. We successfully analyzed 3,077 EGFP-positive cells (WM266.4-Luc-mEGFP cells) from 6 mice to detect CellVue Claret Far Red-positive cells with ASONE Cell Picking System (ASONE), and successfully collected about 3,000 EGFP-positive cells (the estimated number of the cells
by microscopic analysis was 3,094) from 6 mice for single cell RNA sequencing. The cells were applied to the C1 Single-Cell Auto Prep System (Fluidigm) and confirmed that the Fluidigm C1 ICF contains a single WM266.4 cell by real-time imaging with its size and appearance. Then, we underwent on-chip RNA preparation and whole-transcriptome amplification with SMART-Seq v4 Ultra kit for 96 individual cells. The products were then converted to Illumina sequencing libraries using Nextera XT (Illumina) and was subjected to next-generation sequencing from both ends with the HiSeq 2500 platform (Illumina). For expression profiling with RNAseq data, paired-end reads were aligned to the human genome assembly (hg19) using the TopHat2 (https://ccb.jhu.edu/software/tophat/index.shtml). Fragments Per Kilobase Million (FPKM) values for each gene were calculated from mapped read counts using Cuffquant and normalized with the Cuffnorm (http://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/cufflinks/). We confirmed that all the cDNA libraries were effectively mapped on hg19 human genome assembly, indicating that all the analyzed cells were WM266.4 cells. To removing the outlier cells, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed with FPKM values of 99 house keeping genes (provided in Supplementary Table S1). Further PCA was done to classify the remaining 61 cells using FPKM of CELL_CYCLE_PROCESS gene set of MsigDB (http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/index.jsp).

**Gene set enrichment analysis**

Single cell RNAseq data was processed and analysed using the Gene-set enrichment analysis software following the program guidelines (Subramanian et al., 2005). Values in the tables represent normalized enrichment score (NES), nominal p-value and false discovery rate q-value of each gene set. Details of all gene sets used are available at the Broad Institute website (http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/index.jsp)

**RNAseq library construction and data analysis**
Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) and the integrity was examined with the TapeStation (Agilent Technologies). cDNA was prepared from polyA-selected RNA by using an NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep kit (New England BioLabs) and was subjected to next-generation sequencing from both ends with the HiSeq 2500 platform (Illumina). For expression profiling, paired-end reads were aligned to the human genome assembly (hg19) using the TopHat2. FPKM for each genes were calculated and normalized with Cufflinks pipeline. Genes of which average expression levels are in the top 20% in siDNMT1-treated cells (FPKM > 13.44) and upregulated > 1.5 fold compared with siCtrl treated cells with p-value < 0.0001 were considered to be suppressed by DNMT1.

Immunocytofluorescence

Cultured cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, permeabilized with 0.4% Triton X-100 in PBS, blocked with 5% BSA and 1% FBS in PBS and incubated overnight with the primary antibodies listed in the last section of this Supplementary Information. On the next day, the cells were washed with PBS and incubated with secondary antibodies (also listed in the last section of this Supplementary Information) for 1 hour at room temperature. After rinsing in PBS, the cells were stained with DAPI and imaged with IX83 inverted microscope (Olympus). Acquired images were analyzed with MetaMorph software (Universal Imaging) and signal intensities in nuclei were calculated semi-automatically.

Cell culture on PAA/Bis-AA gels with defined stiffness

PAA/Bis-AA gels with defined stiffness were set up on glass bottom dishes as reported previously (Hirata et al., 2015). Briefly, 25 µl of PAA/Bis-AA solution (the composition provided below) was put on a glass bottom dish, covered with a cover glass with 18 mm in diameter and left for 1 hr at RT to set a gel with about 100 µm in
thickness. After removing the cover glass, the gel was coated with 200 μl of Sulfo-SANPAH photoreactive crosslinker (ThermoFisher Scientific) and activated by UV illumination for 10 min, followed by coating with 50 mg/ml fibronectin overnight. The next day, cells were seeded onto the gel (2x10^4 cells in 200 μl DMEM) and cultured for up to 4 hours. Once the cells were found to adhere on the gel, the media were changed to the conditioned media. To obtain conditioned media, 60-80% confluent astrocytes, microglial cells or fibroblasts were incubated for 48 h in DMEM with 10% FBS / 1% PenStrep, and then supernatants were collected. The cells were cultured for 5 days by changing the media every two days and sent for the following experiments.

Composition of the gels are described below.

| Composition of PAA/Bis-AA gels |
|-------------------------------|
| Young's modulus | 0.2 kPa | 3 kPa |
| 10mM HEPES | 424.7 μl | 403 μl |
| 40% PAA | 37.5 μl | 68.6 μl |
| 2% Bis-AA | 7.5 μl | 22.48 μl |
| APS | 2.5 μl | 2.5 μl |
| TEMED | 0.25 μl | 0.25 μl |
| (Fibronectin coating) | (50 mg/ml) | (50 mg/ml) |

Cell proliferation assay

1 x 10^5 cells in DMEM with 10% FBS were seeded onto 6-well plate (2 ml) and the numbers of the cells were counted by using Countess II Automated Cell Counter (Thermo Fisher Scientific) on the indicated days. For MTS assay, 5,000 cells in DMEM with 10% FBS were seeded onto 96-well plate (100 μl) and relative cell numbers were calculated with CellTiter 96® Aqueous One Solution (Promega Corporation) following the manufacture's instruction. Media were changed every two days. For in vitro
bioluminescence imaging, 5,000 cells stably expressing firefly luciferase were seeded onto 96-well plate (100 µl) and relative cell numbers were calculated with IVIS LuminaXRMS.

**siRNA transfection**

Cells were prepared on 6-well plate the day before, and control siRNA (ON-TARGET plus Non-targeting Pool, Dharmacon) or siRNA against DNMT1 (ON-TARGET plus Human DNMT1 siRNA SMARTpool, Dharmacon) were transfected with lipofectamine RNAiMax (Invitorgen) according to the manufacture’s protocol on day 0. The cells were subcultured to 9 cm dish on day 2 and siRNAs were transfected again on day 3. The cells were further expanded and sent for the following experiments on day 7. The siRNA sequences are provided below.

| siRNA                      | Company  | Sequence                        |
|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| **Non-targeting Pool**     | Dharmacon| #1 GAACGGAUUGAUGAAUGA           |
|                            |          | #2 CCACAGAAGUUAACAUUAA          |
|                            |          | #3 GCACAGAUCCAAGUUUCA           |
|                            |          | #4 CAAGAGGGCGAAGAUUAC           |
| **Human DNMT1 siRNA SMARTpool** | Dharmacon| #1 GAACAUGGCGACCUGAUU         |
|                            |          | #2 GAAGUUUGGUGUUGUGGAA         |
|                            |          | #3 GAAGAUAAAGCCAACAUGA          |
|                            |          | #4 AGAUGUUGGUUUAAAGCGA          |

**Cell cycle analysis**

EdU incorporation assay was performed by using Click-iT Plus EdU Flow Cytometry Assay Kits or Click-iT Plus EdU Imaging Kits (ThermoFisher Scientific) following the manufacture’s protocol. Briefly, cancer cells transfected with control siRNAs or cultured on PAA/Bis-AA gels were incubated with 10 µM EdU for 1 hour. For flow cytometry
analysis, the cells were trypsinized, fixed with 4% PFA, permeabilized and labeled with Alexa Fluor 647 picolyl azide. Then, the cells were stained with DAPI and analyzed with Cell Sorter SH800 (Sony). For imaging analysis, the cells on the gels were directly fixed with 4% PFA, permeabilized and labeled with Alexa Fluor 555 picolyl azide. Then, the cells were stained with DAPI and imaged under epifluorescent microscope (IX83, Olympus).

**RNA extraction and real-time PCR.**

Total RNA was extracted by using TRIZOL reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacture’s protocol. cDNA was synthesized with oligo-dT primer by using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and real-time PCR was performed by using THUNDERBIRD SYBR qPCR Mix (TOYOBO) and Mx3000P qPCR System (Agilent). Relative expression of each gene was normalized by GAPDH expression. The primer sequences are listed below.

| Target gene | Forward | Reverse |
|-------------|---------|---------|
| **DNMT1**   | CCACCACCAAGCTGGTCTACC | AACCATGTCCCTGGGCGCTT |
| **CRYAB**   | GTCAACCTGGATGGAAGCA  | GTGGAACTCCCTGGGATGA  |
| **L1CAM**   | ATGGTACAGCTGCGCAAGG  | CGGGGCCATATTTGTTATG  |
| **SERPIND1**| CTCACCAAGGCGCTCATAAA| TCTCTCTATGCGCCGGAAGT |
| **SERPINE2**| CTGAGGGATCCAGCCTCTG  | TACACTGCCGGACGAGGAC  |
| **GAPDH**   | AAATCCCATCACCATCTTCCA | AATGAGCCCGAGCGCTTCTC |

**DNA methylation analysis**

Genomic DNAs from cancer cells were extracted with NucleoSpin Tissue kit (Macherey-Nagel). Two μg of DNA was treated with bisulfite using an EpiTect Plus Bisulfite kit (Qiagen). DNA methylation status was analyzed by pyrosequencing technology (PyroMark Q24, Qiagen) (Goto et al., 2009). PCR primers for
pyrosequencing were designed by Pyromark Assay Design 2.0 (Qiagen) and provided below. *LINE-1* is used as a marker of global DNA methylation (average of three CpG sites: ttCGtggtgCGtCGttt) and *EBF3* as a representative highly-methylated region in melanoma cells (Chatterjee et al., 2017). The methylation of *L1CAM* is the average of five CpG sites in the promoter region (CGcaggagcCGgtgctcCGCGgCG).

| Target gene | Forward   | Reverse          | Sequencing                          |
|-------------|-----------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| *LINE-1*    | TTTTGAGTTAGGTGGGATATA | AAAATCAAAAAATTCCCTTTTC | AGTTAGGGTGGGGATA TAGT               |
| *EBF3*      | GGGACACCGCTGATGCTTGATTTTTGTAGTTTGGTTTGGTTAGA | ACACAATTACAACTTTAACCCTTTAATAC | CRAAAAAAAAATAAAAAAAAAAACAATTC     |
| *L1CAM*     | GGGAAAGGTYGAAATGGGGTTAAT | GGGCCCGGCTGATGCTTTACCTAAAAACCCCAAATCAAAATCCTATTAA | TGGGTTTGGGGTG                  |

**Immunoblotting**

Protein lysates were processed following standard procedures and analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting. Precast SDS-polyacrylamide gels (4–15% Mini-PROTEAN TGX Precast Gel) and Trans-Blot Turbo transfer system were purchased from BioRad and bound antibodies were detected with secondary antibodies conjugated with IRDye680 or IRDye800 and analyzed with an Odyssey Imager system (LICOR). Antibody description and working dilutions can be found in the last section of this Supplementary Information.

**Primary antibodies**

| Target | Company | Cat. No | Dilution |
|--------|---------|---------|----------|
| Ki67   | Abcam   | ab15580 | IF 1 µg/ml |
| Ki67   | Invitrogen | 14-5698-82 | WB 5 µg/ml |
| DNMT1  | Abcam   | ab188453 | IHC 1:1000 |
| Protein       | Manufacturer | Catalog Number | Dilution | Concentration |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------|---------------|
| αB-crystallin| Abcam        | ab76467        | 1:250    | 1:1000        |
| GFAP         | Abcam        | ab7260         |          | 1:1000        |
| Iba-1        | WAKO         | 019-19741      | 1:1000   |               |
| β-tubulin    | Sigma        | T7816          |          | 1:20000       |

**Secondary antibodies**

1. Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor Plus 555 (ThermoFisher, A-32732) 1:500
2. Goat anti-Rat IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 647 (ThermoFisher, A-21247) 1:500
3. IRDye® 680RD Donkey-anti-Rabbit Antibody (LI-COR, 926-68073) 1:10,000
4. IRDye® 800 Donkey Anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) (LI-COR, 926-32212) 1:10,000

**Statistical analysis**

Data were subjected to one-way ANOVA analysis, followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test. When two groups were compared, a two-tailed paired or unpaired Student’s t-test was applied.
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