A recessive gene \textit{pepy-1} encoding Pelota confers resistance to begomovirus isolates of PepYLCIV and PepYLCAV in \textit{Capsicum annuum}
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Abstract

\textbf{Key message} A begomovirus resistance gene \textit{pepy-1}, which encodes the messenger RNA surveillance factor Pelota, was identified in pepper (\textit{C. annuum}) through map-based cloning and functional characterization.

Abstract Pepper yellow leaf curl disease caused by begomoviruses seriously affects pepper (\textit{Capsicum} spp.) production in a number of regions around the world. \textit{Ty} genes of tomato, which confer resistance to the tomato yellow leaf curl virus, are the only begomovirus resistance genes cloned to date. In this study, we focused on the identification of begomovirus resistance genes in \textit{Capsicum annuum}. BaPep-5 was identified as a novel source of resistance against pepper yellow leaf curl Indonesia virus (PepYLCIV) and pepper yellow leaf curl Aceh virus (PepYLCAV). A single recessive locus, which we named as \textit{pepper yellow leaf curl disease virus resistance 1} (\textit{pepy-1}), responsible for PepYLCAV resistance in BaPep-5 was identified on chromosome 5 in an \textit{F2} population derived from a cross between BaPep-5 and the begomovirus susceptible accession BaPep-4. In the target region spanning 34 kb, a single candidate gene, the messenger RNA surveillance factor Pelota, was identified. Whole-genome resequencing of BaPep-4 and BaPep-5 and comparison of their genomic DNA sequences revealed a single nucleotide polymorphism (A to G) located at the splice site of the 9th intron of \textit{CaPelota} in BaPep-5, which caused the insertion of the 9th intron into the transcript, resulting in the addition of 28 amino acids to \textit{CaPelota} protein without causing a frameshift. Virus-induced gene silencing of \textit{CaPelota} in the begomovirus susceptible pepper No.218 resulted in the gain of resistance against PepYLCIV, a phenotype consistent with BaPep-5. The DNA marker developed in this study will greatly facilitate marker-assisted breeding of begomovirus resistance in peppers.

Introduction

Five domesticated species of \textit{Capsicum} have been identified to date, among which \textit{Capsicum annuum} is economically the most important around the world (Pickersgill 1997; Bosland and Votava 2000). In 2019, 4.3 million tonnes of dried pepper fruits and 38 million tonnes of fresh pepper fruits were produced in the world (FAOSTAT). The largest producers of fresh peppers include China, Mexico, Turkey, Indonesia, and Spain, and together, they produce approximately 75% of the world’s fresh market pepper. In Indonesia, 2.6 million tonnes of fresh market pepper fruits were produced. Chili peppers are an important source of income for vegetable farmers in Indonesia, occupying an area of at least 155,000 ha and involving more than 500,000 farmers in the country (Vos and Duriat 1995; Mustafa et al. 2006).

Pepper yellow leaf curl disease (PepYLC) seriously affects pepper production in Indonesia (Kenyon et al. 2014b; Koeda et al. 2016). PepYLC was first identified in West Java in 1999 (Sulandari et al. 2001, 2006; Sumardiyo et al. 2003), and an outbreak of PepYLC was detected based on the annual crop census data from 2000 to 2006 (De Barro et al. 2008). PepYLC is caused by viruses belonging to the \textit{Begomovirus} genus of the \textit{Geminiviridae} family. The genus \textit{Begomovirus} encompasses 424 virus species, which
carry a circular single-stranded DNA genome encapsulated in twinned icosahedral particles and rely on whitefly (Bemisia tabaci) as vectors for plant-to-plant transmission (ICTV 2019). Most begomoviruses exhibit bipartite genomes composed of two circular DNA components (A and B) of approximately 2800 nt each (Hanley-Bowdoin et al. 2013). By contrast, monopartite begomoviruses carry only a DNA A-like genome component.

Over the past three decades, diseases caused by begomoviruses have caused substantial yield losses in solanaceous crops, particularly tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), pepper, and eggplant (Solanum melongena), in many tropical and subtropical regions of the world (Kenyon et al. 2014b). With the increasing international importance of yellow leaf curl disease in tomato, immense efforts have been made to identify begomovirus resistance sources and to incorporate this resistance into improved tomato cultivars (Kenyon et al. 2014b). To date, six major loci (Ty-1–Ty-6) conferring resistance to the monopartite tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV) have been identified in wild tomato accessions (Zamir et al. 1994; Agrama and Scott 2006; Anbinder et al. 2009; Ji et al. 2009a, b; Hutton and Scott 2014), and three of these gene (Ty-1/Ty-3/Ty-3a, Ty-2, and ty-5) have been cloned. The Ty-1, Ty-3, and Ty-3a loci are allelic and originated from Solanum chilense accessions LA1969, LA2779, and LA1932, respectively (Zamir et al. 1994; Agrama and Scott 2006). These allelic loci encode an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RDR) (Verlaan et al. 2013). The Ty-2 locus cloned from Solanum habrochaites accession B6013, encodes a nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat (NB-LRR) protein (Yamaguchi et al. 2018). The ty-5 gene is the only reported recessive resistance gene effective against TYLCV, which encodes the messenger RNA surveillance factor Pelota (Lapidot et al. 2015). The above-mentioned genes are the only begomovirus resistance genes identified to date, although cloning of additional resistance genes in other crops and vegetables is urgently needed.

Begomoviruses limit the cultivation of peppers in South and Southeast Asia, East and West Africa, Middle East, and North, Central and South America (Torres-Pacheco et al. 1996; Kashina et al. 2003; Khan et al. 2007; Sakata et al. 2008; Zhou et al. 2008; Zambrano et al. 2011; Martínez-Ayala et al. 2014; Ala-Poikela et al. 2005; Kumar et al. 2015; Inoue-Nagata et al. 2016; Barboza et al. 2018; Chiemsombat et al. 2018; Yasmin et al. 2018; Bornancini et al. 2020). However, breeding of resistance to begomovirus is much less advanced in pepper than in tomato, and as of yet, there are no commercial pepper cultivars carrying resistance to begomovirus infection (Kenyon et al. 2014b). However, naturally occurring begomovirus resistance has been reported in several Capsicum accessions including C. annuum accessions UAS12 (Retes-Manjarrez et al. 2019), S-343 (Thakur et al. 2019), DLS-Sel-10, WBC-Sel-5, PBC 142, PBC 145, PBC 345 (Srivastava et al. 2015, 2017), PBC 143, PBC 144, PBC 149, PBC 495, VI012005 (Kenyon et al. 2014a), GKC-29, BS-35, EC-497636 (Kumar et al. 2006), 9852-123 (Barchenger et al. 2019), and ‘Kalyanpur Chanchal’ (Singh et al. 2016), and C. chinense accessions BG-3821 (García-Neria and Rivera-Bustamante 2011) and ‘Bhut Jolokia’ (C. chinense) (Rai et al. 2014; Adluri et al. 2017). These alleles will serve as important genetic resources for breeding of begomovirus resistant commercial cultivars in the future; however, genes responsible for begomovirus resistance in these accessions have not been identified. There is still a need to continue screening the pepper germplasm for the identification of novel sources of broad, durable resistance to begomoviruses, and for cloning begomovirus resistance genes for marker-assisted breeding.

BaPep-5, a C. annuum accession grown locally in the Aceh province of Indonesia, was identified as a begomovirus resistant genetic resource in our preliminary analyses. Several pepper-infecting begomovirus species commonly occur in Aceh province, including bipartite begomoviruses, such as pepper yellow leaf curl Indonesia virus (PepYL-CIV), pepper yellow leaf curl Aceh virus (PepYLCAV), and tomato yellow leaf curl Kanchanaburi virus (TYLCKaV), and a monopartite begomovirus, ageratum yellow vein virus (Koeda et al. 2016; Kesumawati et al. 2019, 2020). In the present study, we used a map-based cloning approach to identify the begomovirus resistance gene in an F2 population derived from a cross between BaPep-5 and the begomovirus susceptible C. annuum accession BaPep-4. The work presented here demonstrates that the recessive resistance of BaPep-5 against PepYL-CIV and PepYLCAV results from the putative loss-of-function mutation in the messenger RNA surveillance factor Pelota.

Materials and methods

Plant material

Two Capsicum annuum accessions, BaPep-5 (locally called Perintis) and BaPep-4 (locally called Kencana), were used in this study. F1 and F2 populations obtained by crossing BaPep-5 with BaPep-4 were used for restriction site-associated DNA sequencing (RAD-seq) and fine mapping of the candidate gene. Plants were grown in a growth room with temperatures ranging from 23 to 30 °C under 13 h light/11 h dark photoperiod.
Begomovirus isolates

Isolates of two bipartite begomoviruses, originally isolated in Indonesia, were used in this study: PepYLCIV isolate BA_D1-1 (Accession number of GenBank for DNA A: LC051114, DNA B: LC314794) (Koeda et al. 2016, 2018) and PepYLCAV isolate BA Pep-V2 (DNA A: LC387327, DNA B: LC387329) (Kesumawati et al. 2019). PepYLCAV, originally isolated from pepper, tomato, and tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) plants, is a recombinant begomovirus derived from PepYLCIV, the putative major parent, and pumpkin yellow mosaic virus and tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus as the minor parents (Kesumawati et al. 2019).

Infectious clones

The infectious clone of PepYLCIV isolate BA_D1-1 was previously constructed by our group through the transformation of Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV2260 with pGreenII-p35S-PepYLCIV-DNA-A+B (Koeda et al. 2018). To construct an infective PepYLCAV clone, full-length PepYLCAV DNA A and DNA B sequences of isolate BAPep-V2 were cloned into pGreenII-p35S, as described previously (Koeda et al. 2017). To clone PepYLCAV DNA A, two fragments were amplified by PCR: fragment 1 (full-length copy of viral DNA A) with PepYLCAV fra1 F/R primer pair, and fragment 2 (partial-length copy of viral DNA A) with PepYLCAV fra2 F/R primer pair. The linearized pGreenII-p35S plasmid (Koeda et al. 2017) was ligated with fragments 1 and 2 using the In-Fusion HD Cloning Kit (Takara Bio, Kusatsu, Shiga, Japan), and the resultant construct (pGreenII-p35S-PepYLCAV-DNA-A) was introduced into Escherichia coli (Stellar Competent Cells) (Takara Bio). To clone PepYLCAV DNA B, two fragments were amplified: fragment 1 (partial-length copy of viral DNA B) with PepYLCAV DNA-B fra1 F/R primer pair, and fragment 2 (full-length copy of viral DNA B) with PepYLCAV DNA-B fra2 F/R primers. Ligation of linearized pGreenII-p35S and fragments 1 and 2, followed by E. coli transformation of the resultant construct (pGreenII-p35S-PepYLCAV-DNA-A-B) were conducted as described above. To clone both DNA A and DNA B of PepYLCAV into the same pGreenII-p35S vector, the DNA B partial repeat in pGreenII-p35S-PepYLCAV-DNA-B was amplified by PCR. The amplified fragment and Smal-digested pGreenII-p35S-PepYLCAV-DNA A were ligated using the In-Fusion HD Cloning Kit (Takara Bio). The resultant construct, pGreenII-p35S-PepYLCAV-DNA-A+B, was transformed into E. coli. Primers used for plasmid construction are presented in Supplementary Table S1. A. tumefaciens (GV2260) was transformed with pGreenII-p35S-PepYLCAV-DNA-A+B and pSoup (Hellens et al. 2000). A frozen stock of the transformed Agrobacterium was stored at −80 °C until needed for subsequent experiments.

Inoculation of pepper plants with begomoviruses

A single inoculation experiment was conducted for graft transmission of PepYLCIV into BaPep-5, BaPep-4, and F1 plants. The begomovirus susceptible C. annuum accession No.218 was agroinfiltrated with pGreenII-p35S-PepYLCAV-DNA-A+B, as described previously (Koeda et al. 2018). The plant developmental stage is critically important for the successful infection of begomovirus by agroinfiltration. Agroinfiltration was conducted with the optical density of 0.1 to the abaxial surface of the cotyledons which has just come out from the seed coat. The agroinfiltrated No.218 plants were used as scions, and uninoculated BaPep-5, BaPep-4, and F1 plants were used as rootstocks. Scion plants were grafted onto rootstocks 30 days after agroinfiltration, and the grafted plants were covered by polybags to maintain high humidity. Plants were acclimatized 14 days after grafting, and most of the scion was decapitated to stimulate lateral branch growth of the rootstock. The newly developed lateral branches of BaPep-5, BaPep-4, and F1 plants were evaluated for disease symptoms at 86 days after grafting, and young upper leaves were collected and stored at −80 °C until needed for DNA extraction.

Single inoculation experiments with PepYLCIV or PepYLCAV were conducted by agroinfiltration. The abaxial surface of the cotyledons of BaPep-5, BaPep-4, and F1 plants were agroinfiltrated with pGreenII-p35S-PepYLCIV-DNA-A+B or pGreenII-p35S-PepYLCAV-DNA-A+B, as described previously (Koeda et al. 2017, 2018). Disease symptoms were surveyed at approximately 60 days postinoculation (dpi), and young upper leaves were collected and stored at −80 °C until needed for DNA and/or RNA extraction.

The cotyledons of F2 plants (n = 536) were agroinfiltrated with pGreenII-p35S-PepYLCAV-DNA-A+B, and symptoms surveys were conducted at 54 and 88 dpi. Young upper leaves were collected at 21 and 54 dpi, and stored at −80 °C until needed for DNA extraction.

The symptoms of each plant were scored on a disease severity index (DSI) ranging from 0 to 4, as follows: 0, no symptoms; 1, very mild symptoms with slight yellowing of the leaf vein; 2, mild yellowing of the leaf with or without the distortion of young upper leaves; 3, moderate yellowing of the leaf with or without the distortion of leaves; 4, heavy yellowing of the leaf with or without distortion. Statistical analysis of average DSI was performed using the Bonferroni–Dunn test of Excel Toukei ver. 7.0 at a p value of 0.05.
PepYLCIV and PepYLCAV viral DNA detection

DNA was extracted from pepper leaves using the Nucleon PhytoPure Kit (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, UK). DNA from the leaves of F₂ individuals (n = 536) collected at 21 dpi was extracted using a simple method, as described previously (Koeda and Fujiwara 2019). The DNA A component of PepYLCIV was detected using Pep uni F and PI uni R primers, while PepYLCAV was detected using Pep uni F and PA uni R primers, which amplified 666- and 466-bp fragments, respectively (Kesumawati et al. 2019). PCR was performed using EmeraldAmp PCR Master Mix (Takara Bio). The amplified PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis using 1.0% (w/v) agarose gel. Primer sequences used for viral DNA detection and PCR condition are listed in Supplementary Table S1 and Table S2.

Quantification of PepYLCIV and PepYLCAV titer by real-time PCR

The PepYLCIV and PepYLCAV DNAs were quantified using the CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), as described previously (Koeda et al. 2020), with a minor modification. The DNA A components of PepYLCIV and PepYLCAV were detected by quantitative PCR (qPCR) using IV-AV Real F and R primers, which amplified 102-bp fragments. Data from the total DNA extracts were normalized relative to the 25S ribosomal RNA gene (93 bp) amplified by qPCR using 25S-rRNA 2F and 2R primers. The qPCR was performed using SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad). Statistical analysis was performed using the Tukey–Kramer test of Excel Toukei ver.7.0 with a p value of 0.05. Primer sequences used for real-time PCR and PCR condition are listed in Supplementary Table S1 and Table S2.

RAD-seq and molecular mapping of the candidate gene

DNA was extracted from pepper leaves using the Nucleon PhytoPure Kit (GE Healthcare). The RAD-seq libraries of 346 F₂ individuals and their parents were constructed as described previously (Koeda et al. 2019), and sequenced with HiSeq X Ten (Illumina, Hercules, CA, USA). Three independent replicates of parental RAD-seq libraries were constructed to minimize any bias due to PCR amplification. Raw sequence reads were preprocessed by Trimmomatic (v.0.39) (Bolger et al. 2014), where the following parameters were applied: trimmomatic PE -threads 16 -phred33 ILLUMINA/CLIP:TruSeq3-PE.fa:2:30:10 LEADING:19 TRAILING:19 SLIDINGWINDOW:30:20 AVGQUAL:20 MINLEN:51. The quality of trimmed reads was verified by FastQC (v.0.11.9) (Andrews 2015). The trimmed reads were mapped on to the whole-genome sequence of C. annuum (CM334, Pepper.v.1.6) by BWA-mem (v.0.7.17-r1188). Variant calling was conducted by GATK (v.4.1.2.0) HaplotypeCaller (Poplin et al. 2017). The obtained vcf file was filtered by VCFtools (v.0.1.16) (Danecek et al. 2011), and missing genotypes were imputed using BEAGLE (v.5.1) (Browning et al. 2018) with the default setting. The obtained RAD tags were filtered by TASSEL (v.5.2.60) (Bradbury et al. 2007), and 316 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) RAD tags were used to construct genetic linkage maps with MSTmap (Wu et al. 2008). Linkage analyses were conducted by composite interval mapping (CIM) of R/qtl (Broman et al. 2003).

Whole-genome resequencing

DNA was extracted from pepper leaves using the Nucleon PhytoPure Kit (GE Healthcare). Whole-genome resequencing of BaPep-5 and BaPep-4 was performed by the Macrogen Japan sequencing service (Macrogen Japan, Tokyo, Japan). Each sequenced sample was prepared according to the Illumina TruSeq DNA sample preparation guide to obtain a final library with an average insert size of 300–400 bp. After quality control analysis of the sample library and quantification of the DNA library templates, sequencing was conducted using NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina). Trimming and mapping of the sequence reads, followed by variant calling were performed as described above for RAD-seq. Variant annotation and functional effect prediction were performed using SnpEff (v.4.3.1t) (Cingolani et al. 2012).

Fine mapping

To reduce the target region of the locus, one indel and five SNP markers were developed. To analyze indels, PCR was performed using the EmeraldAmp PCR Master Mix (Takara Bio). The amplified PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis using 8% polyacrylamide gels. To analyze SNPs, high resolution melting (HRM) analysis was conducted. Each PCR reaction contained 5 μL of genomic DNA (30 ng/μL) in a final volume of 10 μL. PCR was performed using the CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). Primer sequences used for fine mapping and PCR condition are listed in Supplementary Table S3 and Table S2.

The co-dominant cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS) marker was used to determine the allelic state at the candidate gene in all 346 F₂ individuals. PCR was performed with S05_14208507 F/R primer pair using the EmeraldAmp PCR Master Mix (Takara Bio). The PCR products were then digested with StuI restriction enzyme.
Each digestion reaction (10 μL volume) contained 2 μL of the PCR amplicon, 1 μL of buffer (provided with the enzyme), and 0.05 μL of Stul, and was incubated at 37 °C for 2 h. The digested products were subjected to electrophoresis using 1.0% (w/v) agarose gel. Primer sequences used for CAPS genotyping and PCR condition are listed in Supplementary Table S3 and Table S2.

### Sequencing candidate gene

Total RNA was extracted from pepper leaves using the Sepasol-RNA I Super G extraction buffer (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan), according to the manufacturer instructions. The isolated total RNA was purified using the High-Salt Solution for Precipitation (Plant) (Takara Bio). To perform reverse-transcription PCR (RT-PCR), all RNA samples were purified and treated with DNase using the NucleoSpin RNA (Takara Bio) to remove any traces of contaminating DNA. Then, 2 μg of RNA was reverse-transcribed with the oligo(dT)21 primer using the ReverTra Ace (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) by incubation at 42 °C for 60 min. To amplify the open reading frame (ORF) of the candidate gene, RT-PCR was performed with CaPelo full F/R primer pair using the cDNA template and KOD-plus Neo (Toyobo). The PCR products were cloned into the pTAC1 vector (BioDynamics Laboratory, Tokyo, Japan), and the resultant construct was sequenced on ABI PRISM 3100 genetic analyzer with the ABI PRISM BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Primer sequences used for PCR are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

### Phylogenetic analysis

The MUSCLE program (Edgar 2004) was used to align the predicted amino acid sequences of Pelota belonging to different species including C. annuum, C. chinense, C. baccatum, S. lycopersicum, alfalfa (Medicago truncatula), Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), rice (Oryza sativa), wild rice (Oryza brachyantha), sorghum (Sorghum bicolor), maize (Zea mays), Drosophila (Drosophila melanogaster), zebra fish (Danio rerio), and human (Homo sapiens). Yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) Dom34 was used as an outgroup. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the neighbor-joining method, with 1,000 bootstrap replicates, using MEGA 7.0 (Kumar et al. 2016).

### Expression analysis of candidate gene

The expression of the candidate gene was analyzed by real-time quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (real-time qRT-PCR) using the CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). Each 10-μL reaction contained 5 μL of SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad), 0.4 μM of each primer, and 2.0 μL of tenfold diluted cDNA. Transcript level of the candidate gene was normalized relative to that of CaActin (AY572427) reference gene, and relative expression of the candidate gene was calculated using the 2−ΔΔCt method. Five biological replicates, each containing three technical replicates, were analyzed. Statistical analysis was performed using Student’s t test with a p value of 0.05. Primer sequences used for real-time qRT-PCR and PCR condition are listed in Supplementary Table S1 and Table S2.

### Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS)

VIGS was carried out using the tobacco rattle virus (TRV) vectors, pTRV1, pTRV2, pTRV2:CaPDS, and pTRV2::GFP, kindly provided by Dr. Dinesh Kumar (University of California, Davis) and Dr. Doil Choi (Seoul National University) (Chung et al. 2004). The pTRV2::CaPelota plasmid was constructed in the present study. Briefly, a partial coding sequence of CaPelota (200 bp) was amplified from BaPep-4 using KOD-plus Neo (Toyobo) with CaPelo fra1 F and R primers (Supplementary Table S1). The amplified product was ligated with BamHI- and XhoI-digested pTRV2 using the In-Fusion HD Cloning Kit (Takara Bio). The SGN VIGS tool was used to optimize the design of VIGS constructs to minimize similarity to off-target genes (Fernandez-Pozo et al. 2015). A. tumefaciens (GV2260) was transformed with pTRV1, pTRV2::CaPDS, pTRV2::GFP, or pTRV2::CaPelota. Frozen stocks of the transformed Agrobacterium were stored at − 80 °C until further use.

Agrobacterium carrying pGreenII-p35S-PepYLCIV-DNA-A+B, pTRV1, pTRV2::CaPDS, pTRV2::GFP, or pTRV2::CaPelota was grown overnight at 28 °C in 6 mL of LB medium containing rifampicin (50 μg/mL) and kanamycin (50 μg/mL), as described previously (Chung et al. 2004). The transformed Agrobacterium cells were harvested by centrifugation at 13,000 × g for 2 min at 20 °C and then resuspended in 10 mM MES, 10 mM MgCl2, and 200 μM acetosyringone to the final optical density of 0.1 at 600 nm. Cell suspensions were incubated at room temperature with shaking for 4 h. Agrobacterium cultures containing pGreenII-p35S-PepYLCIV-DNA-A+B and pTRV1 with pTRV2::PDS, pTRV2::GFP, or pTRV2::CaPelota were mixed at a ratio of 1:1:1 for inoculation. The inoculated plants were incubated in a growth chamber maintained at 23 °C and 12-h light/12-h dark photoperiod. Disease symptoms were surveyed at 23 dpi, and young upper leaves were collected and stored at − 80 °C until needed for DNA and/or RNA extraction. PCR-based diagnosis of PepYLCIV and TRV was conducted using Pep uni F/P1 uni R and TRV2 insert F/R primer pairs, respectively (Table S1). Statistical
analysis was performed using Student’s t test with a p value of 0.05.

Results

BaPep-5 shows resistance against PepYLCIV and PepYLCAV

The transmission of PepYLCIV from a symptomatic No.218 scion to healthy BaPep-5, BaPep-4, or F1 rootstock was evaluated 86 days after grafting. The infection of No.218 scion by PepYLCIV was confirmed prior to grafting by PCR. The successfully grafted plants were all infected by PepYLCIV (Table 1). The PepYLCIV-infected BePep-4 (n = 13) and F1 (n = 4) plants showed typical yellowing in the newly developed mature leaves with an average DSI of 4, whereas the PepYLCIV-infected BaPep-5 plants (n = 10) showed symptom with very low severity (DSI = 1) (Fig. 1a, Table 1). Approximately 21–27% of the BaPep-5, BaPep-4, and F1 plants agroinfiltrated were infected by PepYLCIV (Table 1). These PepYLCIV-infected plants were evaluated for the disease severity. At 65 dpi, PepYLCIV-infected BaPep-4 (n = 3) and F1 (n = 3) plants exhibited intense yellowing symptom (average DSI = 4), whereas BaPep-5 plants (n = 4) showed symptom with very low severity (DSI = 0.5) (Fig. 1b, Table 1).

Approximately 66–80% of the BaPep-5, BaPep-4, and F1 plants agroinfiltrated were infected by PepYLCAV and showed disease symptoms; this proportion of infected plants was higher than that infected by PepYLCIV (Table 1). These PepYLCAV-infected plants were evaluated for the disease severity. At 62 dpi, PepYLCAV-infected BaPep-4 (n = 33) and F1 (n = 8) plants exhibited intense yellowing and distortion of leaves (average = DSI 4) (Fig. 1c, Table 1). By contrast, BaPep-5 plants showed mild symptoms, with DSI of 0 (n = 5), 1 (n = 10), 2 (n = 5), and 3 (n = 9) (Fig. 1d). The average DSI of BaPep-5 (n = 29) was 1.6, which is significantly lower than that of BaPep-4 and F1 plants (Table 1).

Plants infected with begomovirus via graft-inoculation and agroinfiltration were randomly selected for the quantification of PepYLCIV or PepYLCAV DNA by qPCR using DNA extracted from young upper leaves. At 86 days after grafting, the accumulation of PepYLCIV DNA in BaPep-5 plants (n = 10) was significantly lower than that in BaPep-4 (n = 13) and F1 (n = 4) plants (Fig. 2a). Consistent results were obtained in PepYLCIV-infected BaPep-5 (n = 4), BaPep-4 (n = 3), and F1 (n = 3) plants at 65 days post-agroinfiltration (Fig. 2b). Moreover, the accumulation of PepYLCAV DNA in BaPep-5 plants (n = 19; DSI = 0–3), was significantly lower at 62 dpi compared with BaPep-4 plants (n = 10; DSI = 4) and F1 plants (n = 8; DSI = 4) (Fig. 2c). The BaPep-5 plants with higher DSI tended to show higher PepYLCAV DNA accumulation. Together, these results suggest that unlike BaPep-4, BaPep-5 exhibits resistance to PepYLCIV and PepYLCAV by restricting the accumulation of viral DNA. Since F1 plants were also susceptible to both begomoviruses, the resistance of BaPep-5 seems to be a recessive trait.

Table 1 Disease incidence of PepYLCAV or PepYLCIV inoculated BaPep-5, BaPep-4, and F1 plants

| Inoculation method | Days post-inoculation | Inoculated begomovirus | Pepper accession | Number of plants (%) | Disease severity index (DSI) score |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
|                    |                       |                        |                 | Inoculated          | Infectedz                         |
| Grafting           | 86                    | PepYLCIV               | BaPep-5         | 10                   | 100 1a                           |
|                    |                       |                        | BaPep-4         | 13                   | 100 4b                           |
|                    |                       |                        | F1              | 4                    | 100 4b                           |
| Agroinfiltration   | 65                    | PepYLCIV               | BaPep-5         | 18                   | 44 1.6 ± 1.1                     |
|                    |                       |                        | BaPep-4         | 14                   | 33 4b                             |
|                    |                       |                        | F1              | 11                   | 33 4b                             |
| Agroinfiltration   | 62                    | PepYLCAV               | BaPep-5         | 44                   | 29 1.6 ± 1.1                     |
|                    |                       |                        | BaPep-4         | 46                   | 33 4b                             |
|                    |                       |                        | F1              | 10                   | 8 4b                              |

*Virus infection was detected by PCR

(Number of plants infected/number of plants inoculated) × 100

*The DSI ranged from a score of 0 to 4 as follows: 0, no symptoms; 1, very mild symptoms with slight yellowing of the leaf vein; 2, mild yellowing of the leaf with/without distortion of upper young leaves; 3, moderate yellowing of the leaf with/without distortion of leaves; 4, heavy yellowing of the leaf with/without distortion of leaves. Different letters indicate significant differences between means (Bonferroni–Dunn test, P < 0.05)
Genetic mapping of the candidate gene

The F2 individuals (n = 536), derived from a cross between BaPep-5 and BaPep-4, were agroinfiltrated with PepYLCAV BAPep-V2 isolate; PepYLCAV was chosen for agroinfiltration because of its higher infectivity (less inoculation escape) than PepYLCIV (Table 1). At 21 dpi, DNA was extracted from the young upper leaves of F2 individuals for PCR-based diagnosis of PepYLCAV. Out of 536 F2 individuals, 346 were positive for PepYLCAV infection. The infectivity rate was approximately 65%, which was consistent with the infectivity rate of BaPep-5, BaPep-4, or F1 plants (64–72%) (Table 1). To avoid the use of inoculation-escaped F2 individuals for linkage analysis, further study was conducted using only 346 PepYLCAV-positive F2 individuals.

At 54 dpi, the F2 individuals showed the following phenotypic segregation: n = 14, DSI = 0; n = 6, DSI = 1; n = 2, DSI = 2; n = 6, DSI = 3; and n = 318, DSI = 4 (Fig. 3a). At 88 dpi, the following segregation was observed: n = 24, DSI = 0; n = 4, DSI = 1; and n = 318, DSI = 4 (Fig. 3b). Thus, the same 318 F2 individuals were constantly susceptible to PepYLCAV with DSI of 4, and symptoms recovered for the putative resistant individuals. Furthermore, we quantified PepYLCAV DNA in pepper DNA extracted from young upper leaves collected at 54 dpi by qPCR. The relative PepYLCAV DNA level was less than 135 in resistant 28 F2 individuals and greater than 200 in susceptible 318 individuals (Fig. 3c). Additionally, the average PepYLCAV DNA accumulation was 34.3 ± 30.7 for BaPep-5 (n = 19), 632.9 ± 209.1 for BaPep-4 (n = 10), and 536.7 ± 104.9 for F1.
Although the segregation ratio followed the recessive nature of the resistant, the ratio of the susceptible (3:1) individuals to the resistant (28) individuals did not fit by χ² test (52.75, p = 3.80E-13).

Next, linkage analysis of PepYLCAV resistance in BaPep-5 was conducted using 316 SNPs obtained from RAD-seq data. The linkage map consisted of 12 linkage groups, which was equivalent to the chromosome number of pepper (C. annuum), and the average distance between DNA markers was 3.4 cm (Supplementary Fig. S1). Linkage analysis performed by CIM resulted in the detection of a single peak on chromosome 5 with the highest logarithm of the odds (LOD) score of 118 (DSI at 54 dpi), 172 (DSI at 88 dpi), and 54.4 (viral DNA accumulation at 54 dpi) (Fig. 4).

We named this single locus as pepper yellow leaf curl disease virus resistance 1 (pepy-1), considering its recessive nature.

Initial mapping inferred that the candidate gene is located between RAD markers S05_9614395 and S05_17254971, spanning a physical distance of 4622 kb in the reference genome sequence (CM334, Pepper.v.1.6) (Kim et al. 2014), and S05_14236545 was identified as the nearest RAD marker (Fig. 5). To reduce the size of the candidate region, one indel marker (S05_13024889) and five HRM SNP markers (S04_12817392, S05_14202764, S05_14228102, S04_14299330, and S04_14312617) were developed, based on the comparison of whole-genome sequences of BaPep-5 and BaPep-4. A total of 29 recombinants were identified based on genotypic and phenotypic comparisons. The susceptible phenotype of recombinant #297 did not match the homozygous recessive (BaPep-5 allele) genotype of the S05_14202764 marker. Similarly, the resistance phenotype of recombinant #324 did not match the heterozygous genotype of the S05_14236545 marker. The S05_14228102 marker genotype perfectly co-segregated with the phenotype in all 346 F2 individuals. These results indicated that the candidate gene was located within the genomic region of 14,203–14,237 kb on chromosome 5. Consequently, the candidate region was delimited to a 34 kb region flanked by S05_14202764 and S05_14236545 markers on either side (Fig. 5).
Candidate gene analysis

Analysis of the annotation data of the reference genome of CM334 (Pepper.v.1.6) (Kim et al. 2014) revealed that the candidate region contained a single gene, CA.PGAv.1.6.scaffold186.68 (Fig. 5). Investigation of the reference genome of Zunla (Qin et al. 2014), another C. annuum accession, also showed that a single gene (LOC107870304) was located within the candidate region. BLAST analysis of the putative amino acid sequence of LOC107870304 (XP_016572281) revealed that this gene was a homolog of the messenger RNA surveillance factor Pelota, which corresponds to the TYCLV resistance gene ty-5 in tomato (Lapidot et al. 2015). We named this candidate gene as CaPelota.

Phylogenetic analysis was conducted using the putative amino acid sequences of Pelota belonging to diverse species, with the yeast Dom34 as an outgroup (Fig. 6). The Drosophila, zebra fish, and human Pelota proteins constituted a single clade, while the Pelota proteins of dicot and monocot plants constituted independent clades. CaPelota showed high sequence similarity with the amino acid sequences of Pelota from C. chinense (CcPelota; PHU17047.1), C. baccatum (CbPelota; PHT54191.1), and tomato (SlPelota; AGJ52123.1). OsPelota, which confers resistance against bacterial blight in rice (Qin et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2018), was grouped in the clade of monocot plants.

pepy-1 encodes CaPelota

Whole-genome resequencing of BaPep-5 and BaPep-4 and comparison of their genome sequences revealed a SNP (A to G in BaPep-5) at the splice site of the 9th intron (Fig. 7a). According to the Chambon’s rule, the first two and the last two nucleotides of introns are GT and AG, respectively (Rédei 2008). The nucleotide sequences of the splice site were AG in BaPep-4 and GG in BaPep-5, which was presumed to result in a splicing variant of BaPep-5.
Fig. 6 Analysis of the phylogenetic relationship of CaPelota with Pelota of plants, animals, and fungi. A phylogenetic tree was constructed using the neighbor-joining method. Saccharomyces cerevisiae Dom34 was used as an outgroup. Bootstrap values are indicated at the nodes (based on 1000 replicates). The branch lengths are proportional to the number of nucleotide changes, as indicated by the scale bar (0.05 substitutions per site).

Sequencing the CaPelota ORF of BaPep-5 and BaPep-4 showed that the 9th intron was not spliced, and rather inserted into the BaPep-5 transcript (Fig. 7a). Pelota has a tripartite structure with three domains (Lee et al. 2007). Analysis of the putative amino acid sequence of CaPelota of BaPep-5 revealed that 28 amino acids were inserted into domain 2 without causing a frameshift (Fig. 7b). The substitution of valine (V) (susceptible M82 line) by glycine (G) as it lacked the Stu recognition site (AGG CCT) (Fig. 8b). A single 759-bp fragment was detected in BaPep-5, whereas a single 258 and 501-bp fragments were detected in BaPep-4 (Fig. 8b). When the PCR amplicon was digested with StuI, two fragments of 258 and 501 bp were detected in BaPep-5, as it lacked the StuI recognition site (AGGCCCT) (Fig. 8b). Genotyping all 346 F2 individuals with this CAPS marker S05_14208507 revealed that the SNP in CaPelota perfectly co-segregated with PepYLCAV resistance in the F2 population (Table 2). The genotype of this marker explained 86.5% (DSI at 54 dpi), 99.1% (DSI at 88 dpi), and 50.6% (viral DNA accumulation at 54 dpi) of the phenotypic variation.

Expression analysis and VIGS of CaPelota

The expression of CaPelota in the leaves of PepYLCAV- and mock-inoculated pepper plants was analyzed by real-time qRT-PCR (Fig. 9a). The expression of CaPelota was significantly lower in mock-inoculated BaPep-5 than in mock-inoculated BaPep-4 at 46 dpi (Supplementary Fig. S3). Similar results were observed in PepYLCAV-inoculated BaPep-5 and BaPep-4 plants at 46 and 53 dpi. The expression of CaPelota in PepYLCAV-inoculated BaPep-5 and BaPep-4 plants was higher at 53 dpi than 46 dpi.

DNA sequence analysis suggested CaPelota as a strong candidate gene responsible for PepYLCIV and PepYLCAV resistance in BaPep-5. To analyze the function of CaPelota in begomovirus resistance, we performed VIGS of CaPelota in the begomovirus susceptible accession No. 218. VIGS is a powerful tool for reverse genetics in pepper, which is recalcitrant to transformation (Chung et al. 2004). The SGN VIGS tool was used to design the VIGS construct, pTRV2::CaPelota, specific for CaPelota without any off-target results.

Our preliminary investigation showed that No. 218 plants co-inoculated with PepYLCAV and TRV exhibited severe disease symptoms due to the synergistic interaction of the two viruses. Therefore, PepYLCIV, which shows lower pathogenicity than PepYLCAV, was used for VIGS analysis of CaPelota. At 23 dpi, No. 218 plants infected with PepYLCIV and TRV2::PDS (n = 7) showed photobleaching due to the silencing of the phytoene desaturase (PDS) gene (Fig. 9b). Infection by PepYLCAV and TRV was confirmed by PCR prior to further analysis. CaPelota expression, PepYLCIV DNA quantification, and DSI evaluation were conducted in No. 218 plants co-infected with PepYLCAV and TRV harboring partial sequences of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) gene or CaPelota. The expression
of CaPelota in the young upper leaves of plants inoculated with TRV2::CaPelota (n = 4) was significantly lower than that in plants inoculated with TRV2::GFP (n = 6) (Fig. 9c). qPCR analysis showed that the accumulation of PepYLCIV DNA in the young upper leaves was significantly lower in plants inoculated with TRV2::CaPelota compared to those infected with TRV2::GFP (Fig. 9d). Furthermore, PepYLCIV symptoms and average DSI were significantly reduced in TRV2::CaPelota-inoculated plants (Fig. 9b, e). Since the VIGS of CaPelota in No. 218 plants resulted in the gain of resistance against PepYLCIV, we concluded that begomovirus resistance in BaPep-5 is caused by the putative loss-of-function mutation in CaPelota.

Discussion

Begomoviruses, transmitted by whiteflies, have emerged as serious limitations to the cultivation of a wide variety of crops worldwide (Navas-Castillo et al. 2011; Rojas et al. 2018). This has motivated researchers and breeders to identify begomovirus resistant sources and to conduct genetic mapping of resistance loci in various crops such as tomato, pepper, melon (Cucumis melo), squash (Cucurbita moschata), common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris), cassava (Manihot_esculenta), and cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) (Blair and Morales 2008; Lapidot and Levin 2017; Sáez et al. 2017, 2020; Beam and Ascencio-Ibáñez 2020; Thakur et al. 2020; Zaidi et al. 2020). To the best of our knowledge, tomato Ty-1/Ty-3/Ty-3a (encoding RDR), Ty-2 (encoding an NB-LRR protein), and ty-5 (encoding Pelota) are the only begovirus resistance genes cloned to date (Verlaan et al. 2013; Lapidot et al. 2015; Yamaguchi et al. 2018). In the present study, we identified a begovirus resistance gene, pepy-1 (encoding Pelota in pepper [C. annuum]), through map-based cloning and functional characterization.

Most of the virus resistance genes in plants either prevent viral replication or restrict viral replication to the cells targeted by the virus to enter the host (Kang et al. 2005a, b). The pepy-1-conferred resistance of BaPep-5 is a levels of virus tolerance rather than an immune response. In plants harboring the pepy-1 gene, a low level of PepYLCIV and PepYLCAV DNA accumulation was detected in systemic leaves, but with nearly no or mild symptoms (Figs. 1, 2, 3). The TYLCV resistance genes, Ty-1/Ty-3, Ty-2, and ty-5, are a level of virus tolerance as well rather than immunity, consistent with our results (Verlaan et al. 2013; Lapidot et al. 2015; Yamaguchi et al. 2018).

The effectiveness of Ty gene-mediated resistance against different begomoviruses is well studied in tomato. The Ty-3a gene is fully effective against PepYLCIV but only partially effective against TYLCKaV (Koeda et al. 2020). Additionally, Ty-2-conferred resistance is effective only against some monopartite begomoviruses, such as TYLCV-Mld and other monopartite or bipartite begomoviruses (Hanson et al. 2000; Barbieri et al. 2010; Tsai et al. 2011; Shahid et al. 2013; Prasanna et al. 2015; Ohnishi et al. 2016; Yamaguchi et al. 2018). Furthermore, ty-5 is effective against TYLCV but completely ineffective against the bipartite tomato mottle virus (Gill et al. 2019). In BaPep-5, the resistance conferred by pepy-1 was more effective against PepYLCAV than against PepYLCIV at approximately 60 dpi. PepYLCAV-infected BaPep-5 plants showed constant disease symptom with average DSI of 0.5 (Table 1). In contrast, PepYLCAV-infected BaPep-5 plants showed DSI score of 0–3 and plants with a higher disease scores tended to show higher viral accumulation (Table 1; Fig. 2c). PepYLCAV-infected pepy-1 homozygous F2 individuals also showed the symptom range of DSI 0–3 at 54 dpi, but symptom recovery was observed at 88 dpi (Fig. 3). It is possible that the disease symptoms of PepYLCAV-infected BaPep-5 would have recovered until 88 dpi; however, the DSI scores of these plants were recorded only until 62 dpi (Table 1). Our preliminary data showed that PepYLCIV exhibits higher pathogenicity than PepYLCAV in the begomovirus susceptible tomato cultivar ‘Momotaro’ and pepper accession No. 218 (Okabe et al. 2019). Overall, we conclude that the pepy-1 gene-conferred resistance is effective against PepYLCAV as well as PepYLCIV, and the difference between the response to PepYLCAV and PepYLCAV corresponds to the higher pathogenicity of PepYLCAV, which is a recombinant virus derived from PepYLCAV (Kesumawati et al. 2019).

The segregation ratio of phenotype in the F2 population did not fit the expected 3:1 by χ2 test. In our previous study, graft-inoculation was a reliable method to avoid inoculation escapes, which are inevitably observed in agroinfiltrated pepper plants (Koeda et al. 2018). However, because the graft-inoculation method is much more laborious compared to agroinfiltration, we chose efficient agroinfiltration in the study of the F2 population. There is a possibility that selecting 346 PepYLCAV-infected F2 plants out of 536 inoculated plants at 21 dpi has led to experimental bias in the segregation ratio. However, because linkage analysis conducted by CIM detected a single peak above the threshold only in chromosome 5 (Fig. 4), the genotype of SNP located at the splice site of the 9th intron of CaPelota showed perfect co-segregation with the observed phenotype (Table 2), and the phenotypic variation explained by the developed CAPS marker was considerably high (99.1% for DSI at 88 dpi), we concluded that the begomovirus resistance of BaPep-5 is controlled by a single recessive gene pepy-1. The field test in Indonesia using the same F2 populations is ongoing, this will further clarify the segregation ratio of each genotype.

Protein translation is a strictly controlled cellular process and a part of the mechanism that eliminates aberrant
transcripts and proteins (Gerovac and Tampé, 2019). Rescue of nonproductive stalled ribosomes and the associated mRNA decay is mediated by Pelota (Dom34 in yeast) and Hbs1. In the transposon-inserted Drosophila mutants or knockout mutant of Pelota, the production of Pelota protein is disrupted or eliminated, and these mutants show resistance against drosophila C virus (DCV), a single-stranded RNA virus (Wu et al. 2014). It is presumed that the lack of Pelota protein impairs the recycling of stalled ribosomes, which reduces the availability of free ribosomes and limits the high-level synthesis of DCV capsid proteins, thus restricting the replication of DCV. Interestingly, the pelota mutant pelo−/− of Drosophila also restricted the replication of cricket paralysis virus (a single-stranded RNA virus),
weight (Feng et al. 2013; Qin et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2018).

tiller number, panicle length, seed-setting, and 1000-grain performance in agronomic traits, including plant height, showed lesion mimicking phenotype and exhibited poor resistance against bacterial blight and rice blast, the mutant plants in rice resulted in resistance to bacterial blight and rice blast.

Although the mutation of male infertility is observed in drosophila X virus (a double-stranded RNA virus), and invertebrate iridescent virus (a double-stranded DNA virus). In female mosquitoes (Aedes aegypti) co-existing with Wolbachia, a bacterial endosymbiont, the Pelota protein was downregulated, and its subcellular localization was altered, which possibly contributed to the reduction in dengue virus replication (Asad et al. 2018). Furthermore, the micro RNA (miRNA) Bta-miR-2411 induced the downregulation of the Pelota mRNA in the Madin-Darby bovine kidney cells, which restricted the replication of bovine viral diarrhea virus (Shi et al. 2018). These studies strongly suggest that PepYLCAV resistance in BaPep-5 (pepy-1) is the result of restricted replication of begomoviral DNA by Pelota deflection (Fig. 2).

Restricted viral replication caused by a mutation in Pelota seems to be the common phenomenon observed in plants and animals; however, the effect of mutation on other traits varies among organisms. The knockout mutation of Pelota is lethal in mice (Mus musculus) but not in yeast and Drosophila (Eberhart and Wasserman 1995; Davis and Engbrecht, 1998; Adham et al. 2003; Wu et al. 2014). However, the growth of the dom34 mutant strain of yeast is particularly slow at low temperature because of the reduced ribosome supply during the transition from the stationary phase, and male infertility is observed in pelota mutant of Drosophila. Although the mutation of OsPelota in rice resulted in resistance against bacterial blight and rice blast, the mutant plants showed lesion mimicking phenotype and exhibited poor performance in agronomic traits, including plant height, tiller number, panicle length, seed-setting, and 1000-grain weight (Feng et al. 2013; Qin et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2018).

On the other hand, in a near isogenic BC\textsubscript{4}F\textsubscript{3} population of tomato developed by crossing TY172 (TYLCV resistant) and M82 (TYLCV susceptible), although begomovirus non-inoculated ty-5 homozygous plants displayed a reduction in fruit size and total fruit yield compared with Ty-5 homozygous plants, they showed no lesion mimicking phenotype or arrested growth (Lapidot et al. 2015). BaPep-5 showed no visible growth defects or lesion mimicking phenotype in begomovirus non-infected plants (Supplementary Fig. S3). The less negative effects of Pelota mutations in pepper and tomato genetic materials enable their use for agricultural production. Because almost all pepper plants cultivated in the field are infected by begomoviruses in Indonesia (Koeda et al. 2016), even if there was a small penalty caused by the Pelota mutation, the benefit of resistance would most likely exceed the penalty cost. Evaluation of agronomic traits of BaPep-5 and its progeny in the field under non-infected and begomovirus-infected conditions will be highly informative, and such experiments are currently being set up.

Analysis of the putative amino acid sequence of CaPelota in BaPep-5 revealed that 28 amino acids were inserted into domain 2 without causing a frameshift (Fig. 7b). Based on this finding, we conducted VIGS of CaPelota in the begomovirus susceptible pepper No.218 and revealed that silencing CaPelota seems to be the common phenomenon observed in plants and animals; however, the effect of mutation on other traits varies among organisms. The knockout mutation of Pelota is lethal in mice (Mus musculus) but not in yeast and Drosophila (Eberhart and Wasserman 1995; Davis and Engbrecht, 1998; Adham et al. 2003; Wu et al. 2014). However, the growth of the dom34 mutant strain of yeast is particularly slow at low temperature because of the reduced ribosome supply during the transition from the stationary phase, and male infertility is observed in pelota mutant of Drosophila. Although the mutation of OsPelota in rice resulted in resistance against bacterial blight and rice blast, the mutant plants showed lesion mimicking phenotype and exhibited poor performance in agronomic traits, including plant height, tiller number, panicle length, seed-setting, and 1000-grain weight (Feng et al. 2013; Qin et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2018).

On the other hand, in a near isogenic BC\textsubscript{4}F\textsubscript{3} population of tomato developed by crossing TY172 (TYLCV resistant) and M82 (TYLCV susceptible), although begomovirus non-inoculated ty-5 homozygous plants displayed a reduction in fruit size and total fruit yield compared with Ty-5 homozygous plants, they showed no lesion mimicking phenotype or arrested growth (Lapidot et al. 2015). BaPep-5 showed no visible growth defects or lesion mimicking phenotype in begomovirus non-infected plants (Supplementary Fig. S3). The less negative effects of Pelota mutations in pepper and tomato genetic materials enable their use for agricultural production. Because almost all pepper plants cultivated in the field are infected by begomoviruses in Indonesia (Koeda et al. 2016), even if there was a small penalty caused by the Pelota mutation, the benefit of resistance would most likely exceed the penalty cost. Evaluation of agronomic traits of BaPep-5 and its progeny in the field under non-infected and begomovirus-infected conditions will be highly informative, and such experiments are currently being set up.

Analysis of the putative amino acid sequence of CaPelota in BaPep-5 revealed that 28 amino acids were inserted into domain 2 without causing a frameshift (Fig. 7b). Based on this finding, we conducted VIGS of CaPelota in the begomovirus susceptible pepper No.218 and revealed that silencing CaPelota...
resulted in the gain of resistance against PepYLCIV (Fig. 9). Our result is also supported by recently reported patent that the artificial Maor (C. annuum) mutant of CaPelota possessing 3 amino acids deletion in the 4th exon showed resistant against PepYLCIV, pepper leaf curl virus, and pepper huasteco yellow vein virus (Prins et al. 2019). Interestingly, the position of mutation in CaPelota in BaPep-5 differed from previously reported mutations of Pelota genes. The amino acid substitutions caused by SNPs in TY172, lml1, and HM47 mutants conferred resistance against begomovirus or bacterial blight (Lapidot et al. 2015; Qin et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2018). Introducing the deletion or insertion of several nucleotides at the splice site will be relatively easy to

### Table 2 Phenotypic segregation of the PepYLCAV resistance of F2 population

| Genotype of Pepy-1 locus | Population size | Number of plants |
|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
|                         | Resistant | Susceptible |
| Pepy-1/Pepy-1           | 114       | 0          | 114 |
| Pepy-1/pepy-1           | 204       | 0          | 204 |
| pepy-1/pepy-1           | 28        | 28         | 0   |

*Genotyping was conducted by PCR using primers S05_14208507 F and R. Pepy-1 and pepy-1 indicate wildtype and mutated allele of CaPelota

*Begomovirus resistance of each individual was evaluated by agroinfiltration of PepYLCAV.

![Fig. 9](image-url) Expression analysis and virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) of CaPelota. a Real-time quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (real-time qRT-PCR) analysis of CaPelota in the leaves of mock-inoculated or PepYLCAV-infected BaPep-5 and BaPep-4 plants. Effect of VIGS on b PepYLCIV symptoms in No.218, c CaPelota expression levels, d PepYLCIV DNA levels, and e DSI scores at 23 dpi. Young upper leaves were used for analysis. Viral DNA values were normalized relative to the 25S rRNA gene. Biological replicates are indicated in the figures. Data represent mean ± SD. Asterisk indicates significant differences among means (Student’s t test, p < 0.05)
achieve by genome editing using the CRISPR/Cas9 system compared with introducing nonsynonymous substitutions, which needs the additional delivery of the oligonucleotide donor sequences (Bortesi and Fischer 2015). Thus, our findings provide a new opportunity for the control of begomoviruses using genome editing techniques targeted on Pelota.

As part of the Columbian Exchange, Capsicum was first introduced into Europe at the end of the fifteenth century, and its use as a horticultural crop spread rapidly throughout the Old World. Since Indonesia is not the place of origin of Capsicum, the genetic diversity for local Capsicum cultivars is presumably not as high as in Central and South America. A large outbreak of PepYLCD caused by begomoviruses in Indonesia might have increased the chance for the local farmers to select BaPep-5, which has a mutation in a plant disease susceptibility (S) gene, such as Pelota. In practice, the majority of resistance breeding programs aim to introgress plant resistance (R) genes from wild species into their cultivated relatives (Pavan et al. 2010). In most cases, resistance conferred by R genes can be overcome by pathogens, resulting in widespread epidemics (Van der Hoorn et al. 2002; Panstruga and Dodds 2009). In contrast to R genes, the loss-of-function mutation of S genes often leads to durable and broad-spectrum resistance, such as mlo-based and eIF4-based resistance (Büschges et al. 1997; Lellis et al. 2002; Piffanelli et al. 2004; Kang et al. 2005a, b; Humphry et al. 2006; Nieto et al. 2006; Bai et al. 2008; Pavan et al. 2008). BaPep-5 is a highly valuable genetic resource with recessive resistance to begomoviruses, and the DNA marker developed in this study will greatly facilitate the marker-assisted breeding of begomovirus resistance in peppers.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-021-03870-7.
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