Comparative analysis of respiratory health profile among female beedi and non-beedi workers in a district of West Bengal
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ABSTRACT

Background: Beedi workers are more prone to develop chronic respiratory diseases over time.
Aims and Objectives: The present research aimed to investigate and compare the respiratory health profile and the factors associated among the beedi workers and non-beedi workers.
Materials and Methods: An analytical, community based, cross sectional study was conducted among 60 female beedi and non-beedi workers. Multistage sampling was used to select three wards out of twenty wards under a municipality of the study district. After interview with a pre-designed structured questionnaire, the respondents were examined clinically and pulmonary function test was done using a portable spirometer. Results: All beedi workers were married and 23.3% were illiterate. Most of them had 1 to 10 years exposure. Half of them initiated their work between 11 to 20 years. Rate of tobacco smoking, obesity, hypertension, asthma, diabetes was high among beedi workers. Forced Vital Capacity, Forced Expiratory Flow 25-75 and Peak Expiratory Flow Rate were significantly more among non-beedi workers. Forced Expiratory Volume in 1st second was more among non-beedi workers but FEV1/FVC ratio was same for both the group. Conclusion: Significantly better respiratory health profile of non-beedi workers have reflected beedi binding as a reason behind chronic respiratory disease. Therefore, awareness generation session regarding occupation based adverse effects and safety measures must be conducted at regular interval to make the working condition favorable.
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INTRODUCTION

India is the third largest producer and sixth largest exporter of tobacco.¹ It is estimated from the annual survey of industries (1997-1998) data that almost 85% of employees of tobacco manufacturing industries are employed in the beedi industry. Since beedi rolling is largely considered to be a cottage industry, it generates much more employment at the manufacturing stage.² BP Chattopadhyay et al.,³ concluded in the study conducted in Murshidabad, West Bengal, that the respiratory symptoms were found higher in beedi workers compared to control subjects. A trend of decrement of lung volumes with the duration of work exposure was observed. The pulmonary function abnormalities found among the male beedi workers were obstructive, restrictive and ‘combined restrictive and obstructive’ type.³ It is a known fact, tobacco dust contains harmful substance like Nitrosamines which get absorbed in lungs and mucous membrane of mouth, nose, and intestine.⁴ Studies have shown that nicotine levels in the bodily fluids of beedi workers increased largely.⁵ A comparative study conducted in Patna, India and studied the health problems of 197 female beedi rollers to ascertain the effects of beedi rolling on health. The study found that more than 70% of the respondents suffered from respiratory problems including COPD and asthma, while more than 40% of the beedi rollers suffered from eye, gastrointestinal and nervous problem and more than 25% of the respondents faced osteological problems.⁶
problem. Workers showed a significantly higher prevalence of wheezing, attacks of shortness of breath with wheezing, dyspnea etc. Thus the study concluded that beedi rolling may cause significant health hazards, especially respiratory diseases like COPD. As it is seen that beedi workers are very much prone for occupational asthma which can be diagnosed by a non-invasive simple test like pulmonary function test. Burge and coworkers using the combination of history and specific challenges as the gold standard, the sensitivity of visual analysis of PEF (peak expiratory flow) monitoring varied from 77% in colophony-induced occupational asthma to 100% in isocyanate induced asthma. A large population living in the district of 24 north 24 parganas in West Bengal, earns their living by beedi binding. The mortality and morbidity associated with tobacco use is mainly due to respiratory diseases moreover tobacco is a risk factor for tuberculosis and for death from tuberculosis. Therefore, it is worth exploring the idea of respiratory and other impairment associated with chronic exposure. In the recent study, we did Pulmonary Function Test (PFT) using spirometry as a tool to measure respiratory morbidity of beedi workers in the study area.

The advantages of using PFT as a diagnostic tool are that, PFT can be done to assess patients’ lung function outside the laboratory in a natural setting, at work, and at home. It is simple and non-invasive and thus it is useful in differentiating between non-occupational asthma and work related asthma; relationship between asthma and workplace.

In India, majority of beedi binders were usually female and belonged to unorganized sector leading to unprotected labor. Women’s involvement in beedi rolling has been linked to the ease of learning the skill, its manual operations, the fact that work can be carried out at home and soon. However, there are references to women and children being better at the job, especially girl children. According to government estimates, beedi rolling employs nearly 50 lakh beedi workers in the country, of whom 70 to 80 per cent are women and children. Moreover, this work is so poorly paid that some never break the cycle of poverty that locks them into unhealthy lives.

Therefore, we aim to investigate the respiratory health profile among the female beedi and non-beedi laborers in a district of West Bengal and also to find out the association between the working status and respiratory morbidity among them.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

An observational analytical study with cross sectional design was planned among the beedi workers and non-beedi workers of the study area after receiving the Institutional ethical clearance. The study area was in different wards of Kalyani municipality under Nadia district, West Bengal, India. The research was conducted for a period of twelve months, three months for proposal, six months for collection of data and three months for analysis and report writing. The current study included two groups, first group included adult female beedi workers and other groups had adult female population not involved with beedi work. The study included only those permanent resident (more than six months) beedi workers who were working in the beedi industry for at least one year or more. The pregnant females, having reported past history of acute myocardial infarction, pulmonary embolism, heart failure, any abdomino-thoracic, head and neck or cataract surgery in last six week were excluded from the research. Sample size was calculated was 30 in each group after multiplying with design effect of 2. Multistage sampling was to select three wards out of twenty wards under Kalyani Municipality. Sampling frame of female beedi workers were prepared by line listing in each ward with help of medico-social workers of department of Community Medicine. From each ward, 10 female beedi workers were selected using simple random sampling and 10 female members of subsequent household who were not engaged in beedi production were selected after satisfying inclusion and exclusion criteria. On the days of survey, households of beedi makers and non-beedi makers were visited. The nature and purpose of the study with associated risks and benefits were described in details individually to the participants, confidentiality of their information was assured and written consent was obtained. Participants were interviewed by a pre-designed pre-tested structured questionnaire. Then participants were examined clinically and anthropometric measurement was carried out as per standard operative procedure. Spirometric examination was carried out using an auto-calibrated portable spirometer (RMS Helios 702) in sitting posture as per standard method. Ethnic correction using Indian predicted equation and temperature correction were done by software provided with Spirometer. Respiratory health profile of respondents were assessed by FVC (% of predicted value), FEV₁ (% of predicted value), FVC/FEV₁, FIVC, PEF 25-75 (% of predicted value) and PEFR (% of predicted value).

**Ethics**

At first, the study proposal was presented before scientific review committee of the institution and modified according to the directive of the governing body of the same. The modified research proposal was again sent to Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC) for the final permission. After obtaining the permission of IEC the study was conducted.
**RESULTS**

**Socio-demographic profile**  
The present study had a total of 60 respondents. One-third of the beedi workers belonged to the age group of 35-39 years, while two-third of the non-beedi workers belonged to the 20-29 years age group. The mean (SD) age of beedi and non-beedi workers was 35.97(8.16) and 26.63(5.37) years respectively. All beedi workers were married while two-third (66.7%) of non-beedi workers were married. Nearly one in every three non-beedi workers were graduate. Illiteracy rate was 13.3% among non-beedi workers. Two-third of the beedi workers belonged to lower middle class as per modified BG Prasad scale [January, 2020]. In this study, 36.7% of the beedi workers were smokers, but none of the non-beedi workers were smoking tobacco. Overcrowding was present in 33.4% of beedi workers.

**Occupational profile**  
The study that around two third (66.7%) of beedi workers rolled 401-600 beedi per day with mean [SD] beedi rolled per day was 510 and around 53.3% of them had 1-10 years exposure and 30% beedi workers had 11-20 years exposure. Around half [53.3%] of the beedi workers were initiated their work in between 11-20 years and one third [33.3%] beedi workers contributed 1501-2000 INR in their family with mean [SD] contribution 1403.33 [768.11] (Table 1).  

**Reported morbidity profile**  
Majority (70%) of beedi workers reported high blood pressure. One-fifth (20%) beedi workers had asthma. Nearly one-fourth (26.7%) beedi workers had diabetes. One of them had history of tuberculosis (3.3%). None of non-beedi workers reported any co-morbidity. The proportions of overweight and obesity among beedi workers were 33.3% and 10.0% respectively. Around half [55%] of study participants had normal BMI (Table 2). Wheeze was found among four (13.3%) beedi workers. Pallor was seen among 6.7% beedi workers and 3.3% non-beedi workers.

**Comparative analysis of respiratory health profile**  
The median Forced Vital Capacity (in percentage of predictive value) was more among non-beedi workers [89,87] compare to non-beedi workers [77,04] and the difference was statistically significant (P = 0.003). The median Forced Expiratory Volume in 1st second was more among non-beedi workers [96.19] than the beedi workers [90.68]. However, this difference was not statistically significant (P=0.315). Both median Forced Expiratory Flow 25-75 and Peak Expiratory Flow Rate was more among non-beedi workers [117.25 and 99.66 respectively] than the beedi workers [91.28 and 74.55]. The difference was found to be statistically significant (P= 0.006 and P=.001) in both cases. In the current study, the median Forced Inspiratory Vital Capacity was significantly more among non-beedi workers [2.04] than the beedi workers [1.66] (P= 0.007) ([Figure 1](#)).

**DISCUSSION**  
The present observational study was carried among 60 adults female beedi and non-beedi workers residing in the wards of a district of West Bengal. There were thirty workers in each group.  
The respondents were aged between 20 to 49 years; with majority were less than 35 years of age. The mean (SD) age of study population was 31.30(8.31) years. All beedi workers were smokers, but none of the non-beedi workers were smokers/tobacco. Overcrowding was present in 33.4% of beedi workers. The median Forced Vital Capacity (in percentage of predictive value) was more among non-beedi workers [89,87] compare to non-beedi workers [77,04] and the difference was statistically significant (P = 0.003). The median Forced Expiratory Volume in 1st second was more among non-beedi workers [96.19] than the beedi workers [90.68]. However, this difference was not statistically significant (P=0.315). Both median Forced Expiratory Flow 25-75 and Peak Expiratory Flow Rate was more among non-beedi workers [117.25 and 99.66 respectively] than the beedi workers [91.28 and 74.55]. The difference was found to be statistically significant (P= 0.006 and P=.001) in both cases. In the current study, the median Forced Inspiratory Vital Capacity was significantly more among non-beedi workers [2.04] than the beedi workers [1.66] (P= 0.007). It was also seen, that, the median FEV1/FVC ratio was same [0.99] among both the study groups (Table 3) ([Figure 1](#)).

### Table 1: Occupational attributes (n=30)

| Attributes                  | Number (%) | Descriptive Statistics |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------------------|
| Beedi rolling per day       |            |                        |
| 200-400                     | 6 (20.0)   | Mean (SD)= 510 (194.94) |
| 401-600                     | 20 (66.7)  | Median (IQR)= 500 [500, 500] |
| 601-800                     | 1 (3.3)    | Range=200-1000         |
| 800-1000                    | 3 (10.0)   | Range=1000             |
| Duration of exposure (years)|            |                        |
| 1 – 10                      | 16 (53.3)  | Mean (SD) =13.55 (9.02) |
| 11-20                       | 9 (30.0)   | Median (IQR) = 10 (5-20) |
| 21-30                       | 4 (13.3)   | Range=1-35             |
| >= 31                       | 1 (3.4)    |                        |
| Total                       | 30 (100)   |                        |
| Age of initiation (years)   |            |                        |
| <11                         | 1 (3.3)    | Mean (SD) =22.20 (6.33) |
| 11-20                       | 16 (53.3)  | Median (IQR)= 20.00 (18.75 – 25.00) |
| 21-30                       | 11 (36.7)  | Range=10 – 40          |
| 31-40                       | 2 (6.7)    |                        |
| Total                       | 30 (100)   |                        |
| Monthly family contribution (Rs.) |        |                        |
| <= 500                      | 4 (13.3)   | Mean (SD) =1403.33 (768.11) |
| 501-1000                    | 9 (30.0)   | Median (IQR)= 1350 [1000-2000] |
| 1001-1500                   | 5 (16.7)   | Range=0-3000           |
| 1501-2000                   | 10 (33.3)  |                        |
| > 2000                      | 2 (6.7)    |                        |
| Total                       | 30 (100)   |                        |
workers were Hindus. Illiteracy rate among beedi workers was 23.3%. Among the literates, 73.4% had studied up to primary level only. As per modified BG Prasad scale [January, 2020], 61.3% of study subjects belonged to Class IV and Class V. In a study done among the 52 beedi workers residing in the urban slums of Mumbai had all Hindu female workers the mean (SD) age (45±8) years) and illiteracy rate (42.31%) were more than our study respondents. The reason for more illiteracy may be due to living in urban slums. Among literates, 48.08% and 9.62% had studied till secondary and primary level respectively. According to Modified BG Prasad classification, 42.31% and 25% belonged to class IV and class III respectively. A cross sectional study from similar study settings of West Bengal had 103 all Hindu, female beedi workers. The mean age (38.69 years) of the beedi workers was nearly

**Table 2: Prevalence of overweight/obesity among study population (n=60)**

| BMI category [kg/m²] | Beedi workers n = 30 | Non beedi workers n = 30 | Total n = 60 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
|                      | Number (%)           | Number (%)                | Number (%)  |
| Underweight [<18.5]  | 3 (10.0)              | 4 (13.3)                  | 7 (11.7)    |
| Normal [18.5 – 24.9] | 14 (46.7)             | 19 (63.3)                 | 33 (55.0)   |
| Overweight [25-29.9] | 10 (33.3)             | 6 (20.0)                  | 16 (26.7)   |
| Obesity [≥ 30]       | 3 (10.0)              | 1 (3.3)                   | 4 (6.6)     |
| Total                | 30 (100)              | 30 (100)                  | 60 (100)    |

**Table 3: Comparative Analysis of Respiratory health profile (n=60)**

| FVC (% of predictive value) among Beedi workers and non-Beedi workers |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|
| FVC (% of predictive value) among Beedi workers and non-Beedi workers |
| Descriptive statistics | Beedi workers n=30 | Non beedi workers n=30 | Total n=60 | P value * |
| Mean (SD) | 78.55 (16.27) | 99.48 (36.74) | 89.02 (30.08) | 0.003 |
| Median (IQR) | 77.04 (67.42 – 85.40) | 89.87 (80.06 – 100.36) | 82.12 (72.84 – 94.73) |
| Range | 55.80 – 127.72 | 55.36-200.82 | 55.36 – 200.82 |

**Table 3: Comparative Analysis of Respiratory health profile (n=60)**

| FEV1 (% of predictive value) among Beedi workers and non-Beedi workers |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|
| FEV1 (% of predictive value) among Beedi workers and non-Beedi workers |
| Descriptive statistics | Beedi workers n=30 | Non beedi workers n=30 | Total n=60 | P value * |
| Mean (SD) | 93.86 (20.29) | 97.77 (15.98) | 95.82 (95.82) | 0.315 |
| Median (IQR) | 90.88 (80.89 – 105.26) | 96.19 (93.81 – 97.97) | 95.96 (88.38 – 100.47) |
| Range | 52.84-151.76 | 70.22 – 147.02 | 52.84 – 151.76 |

**Table 3: Comparative Analysis of Respiratory health profile (n=60)**

| FEF 25-75 (% of predictive value) among Beedi workers and non-Beedi workers |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|
| FEF 25-75 (% of predictive value) among Beedi workers and non-Beedi workers |
| Descriptive statistics | Beedi workers n=30 | Non beedi workers n=30 | Total n=60 | P value * |
| Mean (SD) | 91.01 (32.72) | 123.45 (41.97) | 107.23 (40.73) | 0.006 |
| Median (IQR) | 91.28 (65.78 – 113.93) | 117.25 (88.01 – 144.95) | 100.66 (83.86 – 126.14) |
| Range | 23.33 – 148.88 | 78.41 – 200 | 23.33 – 200 |

**Table 3: Comparative Analysis of Respiratory health profile (n=60)**

| PEFR (% of predictive value) among Beedi workers and non-Beedi workers |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|
| PEFR (% of predictive value) among Beedi workers and non-Beedi workers |
| Descriptive statistics | Beedi workers n=30 | Non beedi workers n=30 | Total n=60 | P value * |
| Mean (SD) | 74.56 (20.84) | 97.89 (23.31) | 86.23 (24.87) | 0.001 |
| Median (IQR) | 74.55 (61.79 – 95.46) | 99.66 (91.41 – 114.30) | 93.13 (66.78 – 103.43) |
| Range | 29.24 – 104.46 | 53.33 – 127.25 | 29.24 – 127.25 |

**Table 3: Comparative Analysis of Respiratory health profile (n=60)**

| FIVC among Beedi workers and non-Beedi workers |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|
| FIVC among Beedi workers and non-Beedi workers |
| Descriptive statistics | Beedi workers n=30 | Non beedi workers n=30 | Total n=60 | P value * |
| Mean (SD) | 1.61 (0.33) | 1.83 (0.38) | 1.71 (0.37) | 0.007 |
| Median (IQR) | 1.66 (1.36 – 1.85) | 2.04 (1.65 – 2.06) | 1.73 (1.38 – 2.05) |
| Range | 1.04 – 2.76 | 1.15 – 2.50 | 1.04 – 2.50 |

**Table 3: Comparative Analysis of Respiratory health profile (n=60)**

| FEV1/FVC ratio among Beedi workers and non-Beedi workers |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|
| FEV1/FVC ratio among Beedi workers and non-Beedi workers |
| Descriptive statistics | Beedi workers n=30 | Non beedi workers n=30 | Total n=60 | P value * |
| Mean (SD) | 0.95 (0.08) | 0.95 (0.15) | 0.95 (0.12) | 0.833 |
| Median (IQR) | 0.99 (0.94 – 1.00) | 0.99 (0.84 – 1.03) | 0.99 (0.89 – 1.00) |
| Range | 0.66-1.00 | 0.69 – 1.45 | 0.66 – 1.45 |

*Mann Whitney U test
Higher median of FVC, FEV1, FEF25-75 and PEFR was noted among non-beedi workers compared to beedi workers. Median FEV1 was similar in both groups. Several outliers were noted in both groups. 

Figure 1: Multiple box plot showing comparison of distribution of different spirometry parameters (in percentage of predictive value) among Beedi and non-Beedi workers.

Past literature suggested high burden of moderate respiratory problems among beedi workers. Chattopadhyay et al. found that diminished FVC, FEV1, FEF25-75 and PEFR values similar to our study among male beedi binders with moderate to high burden of restrictive (5.9%), obstructive (11.8%) and mixed pattern (5.9%) of chronic respiratory diseases.3 Annalaxmi et al.,2 noticed nearly two-fifth had respiratory symptoms like cold. Mukhopadhyay et al.,4 found that nearly half (53.4%) of beedi workers were suffering from respiratory illnesses among which 39.7% had COPD and 24.4% had TB. But in our study, we found no one with pure obstructive type illness, one (3.3%) had mixed type and majority (63.4%) had pure restrictive type illness. No one had severe chronic respiratory illness. However nearly half (46.7%) mild and one-fifth (20.0%) had moderate disease. Low prevalence of obstructive disease despite of significantly diminished PEFR and FEF25-75 value denotes early changes in small airways which might not contributed to a clinically significant level of obstruction during expiration. This can be justified with relatively lower age predisposition of study subjects which might not allowed enough alveolar damage by the prolonged exposure of those deadly toxins from tobacco. Significantly decreased vital capacities like FIVC and FVC can be attributed to increased airway resistance due to immediate bronchoconstriction effect and central nervous system mediated apnea causing effect of nicotine during occupational exposure of tobacco dust.20 High burden of non-communicable diseases like hypertension (70%), diabetes (26.7%), asthma (20%) were also noted among them which could be attributed to cardiovascular and metabolic effect of nicotine.20 Hegde et al.,21 Banu et al also found beedi workers had significantly poor outcome in spirometry in terms of FVC, FEV1, FEF25-75 compared to controls like the present study.21,22 This result was supported by findings of Zhang et al, Ghosh et al. and Abdel Rasoul et al.23-25 Most of the studies showed concomitant findings with present study however slight differences of prevalence of morbidities were noticed which might be a local variation. 

More than half workers had lower limb weakness. Pallor was noted in 19.4% workers, three times more than present study. More than one-third was in pre hypertension stage. Isolated systolic and diastolic hypertension was recorded in 16.50% and 4.85% respectively. Overweight and obesity was present 44.66% and 13.59% of beedi workers.27 Most common morbidity was weakness and fatigue followed by lower backache.16 The prevalence of pallor reported was too less than the South India study (19.41% vs. 40%).28 The proportion of beedi workers with high BP in a study from West Bengal was similar to current study.29 In the present study, more than two-thirds of beedi workers reported high blood pressure. Asthma was a complaint for 20% beedi workers. Diabetes was also reported by 26.7% workers. None of non-beedi workers reported any co-morbidity. The proportions of overweight and obesity among beedi workers were 33.3% and 10.0% respectively. West Bengal study from similar settings revealed, dull aching headache was the most common symptom followed by lower back pain, neck pain, difficulty in vision, palpitation. 

In our study, two-third of beedi makers rolled between 400 to 600 beedi per day. Among the workers, more than half had exposure varied between one to ten years and nearly one-third more than ten years exposure to this industry. More than half [53.3%] had started beedi rolling at the age of 11 to 20 years. One worker even started at the age of 7 years. Mean age of starting beedi rolling was 22.20 years in the present study. In the urban slum study from Mumbai, it was seen that, around 48.08% had started rolling at the age of 11 to 15 years. Two of them had started at 5 years. Maximum age of initiating rolling was 30 years. Mean years of service was 30 years. Women work on an average for eight hours. Number of beedis rolled was between 500 to 1000 per day. Around 75% of the women make 1000 beedi per day. (16) The study from similar area of West Bengal showed that, more than half (57.28%) started beedi rolling in the age range 15 to 25 years, nearly similar to our study. The maximum and minimum age of initiation was 50 and 6 years, respectively. The average number of beedi rolled per day was quite similar (571 vs. 510) to our study.18 

In the present study, more than two-thirds of beedi workers reported high blood pressure. Asthma was a complaint for 20% beedi workers. Diabetes was also reported by 26.7% workers. None of non-beedi workers reported any co-morbidity. The proportions of overweight and obesity among beedi workers were 33.3% and 10.0% respectively. West Bengal study from similar settings revealed, dull aching headache was the most common symptom followed by lower back pain, neck pain, difficulty in vision, palpitation.

same with the mean age of current study’s beedi workers (35.97 years). The illiteracy rate was found high (40.77%) in previous research from similar settings. Present research had overcrowding in 33.4% houses of beedi workers, similar with the earlier study.17
CONCLUSION

The present study revealed the poor socioeconomic condition as well as unfavourable working environment of female beedi rollers of the study district. Poverty, illiteracy, poor pay package, prolonged exposure without any personal protective equipment, overcrowding created vicious cycle of poor quality of life of these workers. High prevalence of diabetes, high blood pressure and fatigue was observed among beedi workers. More than half of respondents have pure restrictive type of respiratory illness with moderate decrease of the respiratory parameters. Therefore, there is an absolute need to impart health education regarding the work and working environment related adverse effects and the beneficial effects of personal protective measures. An alternative source of livelihood must be sought out for these people by the policy makers.
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