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Abstract

The results obtained in this article aim at analyzing Bogdanov-Takens bifurcation in a predator prey model with an age structure for the predator. Firstly, we give the existence result of the Bogdanov-Takens singularity. Then we describe the bifurcation behavior of the parameterized predator prey model with Bogdanov-Takens singularity.
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1 Introduction

The goal of this article is to study an example of Bogdanov-Takens normal form computation for a non standard class of partial differential equation. Bifurcation theory has a long history and for infinite dimensional dynamical systems an important reduction tool is the center manifold theory. Indeed, the existence of such a locally invariant manifold permits to identify an infinite dimensional system to a finite dimension system of ordinary differential equations. Nevertheless even if the reduction is theoretically possible its applicability is not always guaranteed because such an invariant manifold is only implicitly defined.

\textsuperscript{*} Research was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11871007 and 11811530272) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.
Normal form theory has been extended to various classes of partial differential equations. In the context of partial differential equations many classes of equations have been considered and we refer to [2, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 24, 25, 26, 31, 35, 43, 44] for a nice overview on this topic.

The center manifold that we are using here is extending the one obtained by Vanderbauwhede and Iooss [38]. For partial differential equations with homogeneous boundary condition we also refer to the book of Haragus and Iooss [17] for a very nice overview on this topic. Bogdanov-Takens normal form computation is also considered in [17, p.102] which corresponds to $0^2$-bifurcation.

In the book of Magal and Ruan [30] the non densely defined case is considered. Roughly speaking the non-densely defined Cauchy problems correspond to the non-linear boundary conditions in some classes of partial differential equations.

Due to the non-linear boundary condition for such a class of partial differential equation, such a system can only be rewritten as a non densely defined semilinear Cauchy problem. That is to say that

$$v'(t) = Lv(t) + F(v(t)), \quad t \geq 0, \text{ and } v(0) = x \in \overline{D(L)}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $L = D(L) \subset X \to X$ is a linear operator on a Banach space $X$, and $F : D(L) \to X$ is a sufficiently smooth map that is also Lipschitz on bounded sets with $F(0_X) = 0_X$ and $DF(0_X) = 0_{L(X)}$.

The above system is called non-densely defined whenever

$$\overline{D(L)} \neq X.$$

**Definition 1.1** A **mild solution** (or integrated solution) of (1.1) is a continuous function $v \in C([0, \tau], \overline{D(L)})$ satisfying the two following properties:

$$\int_0^t v(s)ds \in \overline{D(L)}, \forall t \in [0, \tau]$$

and

$$v(t) = x + L \int_0^t v(s)ds + \int_0^t F(v(s))ds, \forall t \in [0, \tau].$$

It is important to observe that in such a problem the solution belongs to a strictly smaller subspace $\overline{D(L)}$, namely

$$v(t) \in \overline{D(L)}, \forall t \in [0, \tau].$$

Therefore the equation (1.1) generates a semiflow on the smaller subspace $\overline{D(L)}$.

The linearized equation around the equilibrium $0$ is

$$w'(t) = Lw(t) + DF(0)(w(t)), \forall t \geq 0, \text{ and } w(0) = x \in \overline{D(L)}. \quad (1.2)$$

The major difficulty in the normal form computations is coming from the fact that the linearized equation generates a $C_0$-semigroup on $\overline{D(L)}$ while the range
of $F$ is the larger space $X \neq D(L)$. Therefore the normal form theory used in this article is non standard compared with the one by Haragus and Iooss [17].

Our motivation is coming from a predator prey system with an age structure for the predator. The system considered is the following

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{dU(t)}{dt} &= rU(t) \left(1 - \kappa^{-1}U(t)\right) - \frac{A(t)U(t)}{\alpha + U(t)^2}, \\
\partial_t v(t,a) + \partial_a v(t,a) &= -D v(t,a), \text{ for } a \geq 0, \\
v(t,0) &= \mu \frac{A(t)U(t)}{\alpha + U(t)^2},
\end{align*}
$$

(1.3)

for $t \geq 0$ and with initial distribution

$$
U(0) = U_0 \geq 0 \text{ and } v(0,a) = v_0(a) \in L^1_+(0,\infty).
$$

In the model (1.3), $U(t)$ is the number of prey at time $t$, $a \to v(t,a)$ is the population density of predator structured with respect to the chronological age $a$ of the predator. That is to say that

$$
\int_{a_1}^{a_2} v(t,a) da
$$

is the number of predator with age between $a_1$ and $a_2$. We assume that the predators become adults (i.e. can reproduce) when they reach the age $\tau > 0$ and we set

$$
A(t) = \int_\tau^\infty v(t,a) da,
$$

(1.4)

which is the number of adult predators.

Here we use the simplified Monod-Haldane (also called simplified Holling type IV) functional response, that was used by Andrew [1]. This functional response takes the following form

$$
\frac{U}{\alpha + U^2}.
$$

It means that the rate of predation increases when the number of prey is small and then decreases when the number of prey is above some threshold value. This type of functional response has also been used by Xiao and Ruan [40, 41], Pan and Wang [33] and many others.

By using (1.3), we obtain the following delay differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{dU(t)}{dt} &= rU(t) \left(1 - \kappa^{-1}U(t)\right) - \frac{A(t)U(t)}{\alpha + U(t)^2}, \\
\frac{dA(t)}{dt} &= e^{-D\tau} \mu \frac{A(t-\tau)U(t-\tau)}{\alpha + U(t-\tau)^2} - D A(t),
\end{align*}
$$

(1.5)

whenever $t \geq \tau$. 3
When $\tau = 0$, the number of adult predators $A(t)$ coincides with the total number of predators 

$$V(t) := \int_0^\infty v(t, a) da, \forall t \geq 0$$

and the system (1.3) is equivalent to the following ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{dU(t)}{dt} = rU(t) (1 - \kappa^{-1}U(t)) - \frac{V(t)U(t)}{\alpha + U(t)^2}, \\
\frac{dV(t)}{dt} = \mu \frac{V(t)U(t)}{\alpha + U(t)^2} - DV(t).
\end{cases}$$

Global qualitative analysis and Bogdanov-Takens bifurcation were proved for (1.6) by Ruan and Xiao [32]. The goal of this article is to extend their results to the age structured model (1.3)-(1.4).

Consider the new time variable $\hat{t} = \frac{t}{\tau}$ and the new age variable $\hat{a} = \frac{a}{\tau}$ and set

$$\hat{U} (\hat{t}) = U (\tau \hat{t}) \text{ and } \hat{v} (\hat{t}, a) = \tau v (\tau \hat{t}, \tau a).$$

Then

$$\hat{A}(\hat{t}) := \int_1^\infty \hat{v} (\hat{t}, a) d\hat{a} = \int_1^\infty \tau v (\tau \hat{t}, \tau \hat{a}) d\hat{a} = \int_\tau^\infty v (\tau \hat{t}, a) da = A(\tau \hat{t}).$$

By using this rescaling (and dropping the hat notation) we obtain the following system for $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{dU(t)}{dt} = \tau \left[ rU(t) (1 - \kappa^{-1}U(t)) - \frac{A(t)U(t)}{\alpha + U(t)^2} \right], \\
\partial_t v(t, a) + \partial_a v(t, a) = -\tau D v(t, a), \text{ for } a \geq 0,
\end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

with

$$A(t) = \int_1^\infty v(t, a) da. \tag{1.8}$$

In the rest of the paper we will consider the rescaled system (1.7)-(1.8) instead of (1.3)-(1.4).

Recently, codimension 2 bifurcations, such as Bogdanov-Takens bifurcation [18, 4], zero-Hopf bifurcation [42, 3] and others, have attracted the attention of numerous researchers in the context of population dynamics. We also refer to Haragus and Iooss [17] for more results going in that direction.

Bogdanov and Takens’s bifurcation firstly revealed the bifurcation phenomena (Hopf and homoclinic bifurcations) caused by Bogdanov-Takens singularity in planar systems which is an important discovery in the bifurcation theory. Bogdanov-Takens bifurcation is a well-studied example of a bifurcation in two-parameter family of autonomous differential equations, meaning that two parameters must be varied for the bifurcation to occur, the two equilibria (a saddle...
and a nonsaddle of the system collide via a saddle-node bifurcation and a limit cycle is generated by an Hopf bifurcation around the nonsaddle equilibrium which degenerates into an orbit homoclinic to the saddle and disappears via a homoclinic bifurcation. We refer to the books [5, 16, 23, 34]. We also refer to [19, 20] for some nice illustration in the context of population dynamics.

Age structured models have a long history and we refer to the books of [7, 21, 22, 39]. Here we use the integrated semigroup to define a notion of solution. This was first used in this context by Thieme [36].

The first result of Bogdanov-Takens bifurcation for an age structured model was investigated in [29] by using the integrated semigroup theory and the normal form theory developed in [28] for non densely defined Cauchy problems. We refer to the book of Magal and Ruan [30] for more results on this subject. In [29], in order to derive Bogdanov-Takens bifurcation we were forced to extend the original age structured model to a larger class of partial differential equation. Here we do not need to extend the system. Therefore all the properties obtained by using Bogdanov-Takens bifurcation for the planar system are inherited by the system (1.3)-(1.4).

The plan of the paper is the following. In section 2, we study the equilibrium solutions. We will rewrite the system (1.7) as an abstract non densely defined Cauchy problem in section 3. The linearized equation and the characteristic equation are considered in section 4 and section 5, respectively. In section 6, we study Bogdanov-Takens singularity. Section 7 is devoted to Bogdanov-Takens bifurcation. Finally, in the last section, we present some numerical simulations.

2 Equilibria

By using system (1.7) we obtain the following system for the equilibria

\[
\begin{align*}
0 &= \tau \left[ r U (1 - \kappa^{-1} U) - \frac{A U}{\alpha + U^2} \right], \\
\overline{v}'(a) &= -\tau D \overline{v}(a), \quad \text{for } a \geq 0, \\
\overline{v}(0) &= \tau \mu \frac{A U}{\alpha + U^2},
\end{align*}
\]

with

\[
\overline{A} = \int_0^{\infty} \overline{v}(a) da. \tag{2.2}
\]

From the second equation of (2.1), we have

\[
\overline{v}(a) = e^{-\tau Da} \overline{v}(0), \quad \forall a \geq 0,
\]

and by using (2.2) and the last equation (2.1), we obtain

\[
\overline{A} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\tau Da} da \overline{v}(0) = \frac{e^{-\tau D}}{\tau D} \overline{v}(0)
\]
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and
\[ \tau(0) = \tau \frac{\mu}{\alpha + U^2} \int_1^\infty e^{-\tau Ds} da \tau(0), \]  
(2.3)
respectively. We can see that there are two cases.

**Case 1:** Assume that \( \tau(0) = 0 \). Then
\[ \overline{A} = 0 \]
and
\[ \overline{U} = 0 \text{ or } \overline{U} = \kappa. \]

**Case 2:** Assume that \( \tau(0) > 0 \). Then from equation (2.3) we deduce that
\[ \alpha + U^2 = \frac{\mu}{D} e^{-\tau D} \overline{U}. \]

**Remark 2.1** As a consequence of the above formula we have
\[ \frac{\overline{U}}{\overline{U} + \alpha} = \frac{D}{\mu} e^{-\tau D}. \]  
(2.4)
So we should consider the zeros of the following second order function
\[ p(x) = x^2 - \frac{\mu}{D} e^{-\tau D} x + \alpha \]
with
\[ p(0) = \alpha > 0 \text{ and } p'(0) = -\frac{\mu}{D} e^{-\tau D} < 0. \]
Therefore whenever \( p(x) \) has some real roots they must be all strictly positive. Moreover the discriminant of \( p(x) \) is
\[ \Delta = \left( \frac{\mu}{D} e^{-\tau D} \right)^2 - 4\alpha. \]
Then we have two cases.
**Case 2)-(a):**
\[ \Delta = 0 \iff \sqrt{\alpha} = \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} \]
and in the case we have
\[ \overline{U} = \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D}. \]  
(2.5)
**Case 2)-(b):**
\[ \Delta > 0 \iff \sqrt{\alpha} < \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} \]
and we have two solutions
\[ 0 < \underline{U} := \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} - \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2} < \overline{U} := \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} + \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2}. \]
Whenever \( \overline{U} > 0 \) and by considering the first equation of (2.1), we deduce that
\[ \overline{A} = \mu \left( \alpha + \overline{U}^2 \right) \left( 1 - \kappa^{-1} \overline{U} \right). \]  
(2.6)
To summarize, we have the following result.
Lemma 2.2 (Equilibria) The boundary equilibria 
\[(U, v) = (0_R, 0_{L_1}) \text{ and } (U, v) = (\kappa, 0_{L_1})\]
always exist.
Moreover we have the following alternatives:

(i) If 
\[
\sqrt{\alpha} = \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} \text{ and } \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} \frac{1}{\kappa} < 1,
\]
then the interior equilibrium is unique and given by 
\[
U = \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} \text{ and } v(a) = e^{-\tau D a} v(0)
\]
with \(v(0) = \tau D e^{\tau D} A_\kappa \) and \(A_\kappa \) is given by (2.6).

(ii) If 
\[
\sqrt{\alpha} < \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} \text{ and } \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} \frac{1}{\kappa} < 1,
\]
then we have the following alternatives:

(a) If 
\[
\frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} + \sqrt{\Delta} > \kappa,
\]
the system has exactly one positive interior equilibrium and this interior equilibrium is given by 
\[
U_- = \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} - \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2} \text{ and } v_- (a) = e^{-\tau D a} v_- (0)
\]
with \(v_- (0) = \tau D e^{\tau D} A_- \) and 
\[
A_- = r \left( \alpha + U_-^2 \right) \left( 1 - \kappa^{-1} U_- \right).
\]

(b) If 
\[
\frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} + \sqrt{\Delta} < \kappa,
\]
the system has exactly two positive interior equilibria and the interior equilibria are given by 
\[
U_\pm = \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} \pm \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2} \text{ and } v_\pm (a) = e^{-\tau D a} v_\pm (0)
\]
with \(v_\pm (0) = \tau D e^{\tau D} A_\pm \) and 
\[
A_\pm = r \left( \alpha + U_\pm^2 \right) \left( 1 - \kappa^{-1} U_\pm \right).
\]

Remark 2.3 Whenever \(\sqrt{\alpha} = \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D}\) we have 
\[
U = \sqrt{\alpha} \text{ and } U^2 = \alpha.
\]
3 Abstract Cauchy problem formulation

In this section we will rewrite the system (1.7) as an abstract non densely defined Cauchy problem. We adapt the idea of Thieme in [36]. Consider \( X = \mathbb{R}^2 \times L^1(0, \infty) \) endowed with the usual product norm

\[
\left\| \begin{pmatrix} U \\ \gamma \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right\| = |U| + |\gamma| + \|v\|_{L^1}.
\]

Consider the closed subspace of \( X \)

\[
X_0 = \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \times L^1(0, \infty) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} U \\ \gamma \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in X \right\}. \tag{3.1}
\]

Let \( L : D(L) \subset X \to X \) be the linear operator defined by

\[
L \begin{pmatrix} U \\ 0 \mathbb{R} \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\chi U \\ -v(0) \\ -v' - \tau Dv \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.2}
\]

and

\[
D(L) := \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \times W^{1,1}(0, \infty). \tag{3.3}
\]

Then we can observe that \( X_0 = \overline{D(L)} \) and \( L \) is non densely defined. Let \( F : X_0 \to X \) be the nonlinear operator defined by

\[
F \begin{pmatrix} U \\ 0 \mathbb{R} \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_1(U, A) \\ B_2(U, A) \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.4}
\]

where

\[
A := \int_1^{+ \infty} v(a) da, \quad B_1(U, A) := \chi U + \tau \left[ r \left( 1 - \kappa^{-1} U \right) - \frac{A}{\alpha + U^2} \right] U,
\]

\[
B_2(U, A) := \tau \mu - \frac{AU}{\alpha + U^2}.
\]

The partial differential equation (1.7) can be rewritten as the following non-densely defined abstract Cauchy problem

\[
\frac{dw(t)}{dt} = Lw(t) + F (w(t)) \text{ for } t \geq 0, \ w(0) = w_0 \in X_0. \tag{3.6}
\]

4 Computation of the linearized equation

In the rest of the paper, we will make the following assumption.
Assumption 4.1 We assume that
\[ \sqrt{\alpha} = \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} \text{ and } \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} < \kappa. \] (4.1)

Set
\[ \mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{U} \\ 0_R \end{pmatrix} \]
where \( \mathbf{U} \) and \( 0_R \) are described in Lemma 2.2-(i). Then we have
\[ \mathbf{w} \in D(L) \text{ and } L\mathbf{w} + F(\mathbf{w}) = 0. \]

In order to work around a 0-equilibrium we use the following change of variable
\[ x(t) = w(t) - \mathbf{w} \]
and in the rest of the paper the solution \( x(t) \) we will consider is a mild solution of the abstract Cauchy problem
\[ \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = Lx(t) + F(x(t) + \mathbf{w}) - F(\mathbf{w}), \text{ for } t \geq 0, \ x(0) = x_0 \in X_0. \] (4.2)

The linearized equation of (4.2) around the equilibrium 0 is
\[ \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = Lx(t) + DF(\mathbf{w})x(t) \text{ for } t \geq 0, \ x(0) \in X_0, \] (4.3)
where
\[ DF(\mathbf{w}) \begin{pmatrix} U \\ 0_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 U + \alpha_2 A \\ \gamma_2 A \\ 0_{L,1} \end{pmatrix} \]
with
\[ A := \int_{1}^{+\infty} v(a)da, \alpha_1 = \chi + \tau r \left( 1 - \frac{\mu e^{-\tau D}}{\kappa D} \right), \alpha_2 = -\frac{\tau D}{\mu} e^{\tau D}, \gamma_2 = \tau De^{\tau D}. \]

5 Computation of the characteristic equation

Abstract Cauchy problem (4.2) can be rewritten as
\[ \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = Px(t) + H(x(t)), \text{ for } t \geq 0, \] (5.1)
where
\[ P = L + DF(\mathbf{w}) \]
is a linear operator and
\[ H(x) = F(x + \mathbf{w}) - F(\mathbf{w}) - DF(\mathbf{w})x \]
satisfies $H(0) = 0$ and $DH(0) = 0$. Next we will study the spectral properties of the linearized equation of (5.1) in order to investigate the dynamical behavior for system (5.1). Denote

$$\Omega := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}(\lambda) > -\min\{\chi, \tau D\} \}. \quad (5.2)$$

By applying the results of Liu, Magal and Ruan [27], we obtain that if $\lambda \in \Omega$, then $\lambda \in \rho(L)$ and

$$(\lambda I - L)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\delta} \\ 0_R \end{pmatrix}, \text{ whenever } \begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} \in X \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\delta} \\ 0_R \end{pmatrix} \in D(L),$$

where

$$\tilde{\delta} = \frac{\delta}{\lambda + \chi}, \quad \tilde{\nu}(a) = e^{-(\lambda + \tau D)a \gamma} + \int_0^a e^{-(\lambda + \tau D)(a-s)\gamma} \nu(s) \, ds.$$

Moreover, $L$ is a Hille-Yosida operator.

Now it remains to precise the spectral properties of $P := L + DF(w)$. For convenience, we set $C := DF(w)$. Let $\lambda \in \Omega$. Since $\lambda I - L$ is invertible, it follows that $\lambda I - P = \lambda I - (L + C)$ is invertible if and only if $I - C(\lambda I - L)^{-1}$ is invertible. Moreover, when $I - C(\lambda I - L)^{-1}$ is invertible we have

$$(\lambda I - (L + C))^{-1} = (\lambda I - L)^{-1} (I - C(\lambda I - L)^{-1})^{-1}.$$ 

Consider

$$\begin{pmatrix} I - C(\lambda I - L)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Then we obtain

$$v = \tilde{\nu}$$

and we should have

$$\Delta(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 + \alpha_1 \int_1^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^a e^{(\lambda + \tau D)(s-a)\gamma} \tilde{\nu}(s) \, ds \right) \, da \\ \zeta_2 + \alpha_2 \int_1^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^a e^{(\lambda + \tau D)(s-a)\gamma} \tilde{\nu}(s) \, ds \right) \, da \end{pmatrix},$$

where the 2 by 2 matrix $\Delta(\lambda)$ is defined by

$$\Delta(\lambda) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda + \chi} & -\alpha_2 \int_1^{+\infty} e^{-(\lambda + \tau D)a} \, da \\ 0 & 1 - \gamma_2 \int_1^{+\infty} e^{-(\lambda + \tau D)a} \, da \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.3)$$

Whenever $\Delta(\lambda)$ is invertible, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \Delta(\lambda)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 + \alpha_1 \int_1^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^a e^{(\lambda + \tau D)(s-a)\gamma} \tilde{\nu}(s) \, ds \right) \, da \\ \zeta_2 + \alpha_2 \int_1^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^a e^{(\lambda + \tau D)(s-a)\gamma} \tilde{\nu}(s) \, ds \right) \, da \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

As a consequence we obtain the following lemma.
Lemma 5.1  The following results hold.

(i) \(\sigma(P) \cap \Omega = \sigma_{p}(P) \cap \Omega = \{ \lambda \in \Omega : \det \Delta(\lambda) = 0 \} \), where \(\sigma_{p}(P)\) is the point spectrum of \(P\).

(ii) If \(\lambda \in \rho(P) \cap \Omega\), we have the following formula for the resolvent

\[
(\lambda I - P)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \\ \hat{v} \\ v^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta^* \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} 
\]

where

\[
\begin{cases}
\delta^* = \frac{\delta}{\lambda + \alpha} \\
v^*(a) = e^{-(\lambda + \tau D)a} \gamma + \int_0^a e^{(\lambda + \tau D)(s-a)} \hat{v}(s) \, ds,
\end{cases}
\]

and \(\delta, \gamma\) are given by the following formula

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \Delta(\lambda)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} h_1(\lambda) \\ h_2(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}
\]

with

\[
\begin{pmatrix} h_1(\lambda) \\ h_2(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 + \alpha_2 \int_1^{+\infty} (\int_0^a e^{(\lambda + \tau D)(s-a)} \hat{v}(s) \, ds) \, da \\ \zeta_2 + \gamma_2 \int_1^{+\infty} (\int_0^a e^{(\lambda + \tau D)(s-a)} \hat{v}(s) \, ds) \, da \end{pmatrix}
\]

and \(\Delta(\lambda)\) are defined in (5.3).

From the explicit formula for the resolvent we deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2  For each \(\lambda_0 \in \sigma(P) \cap \Omega\), \(\lambda_0\) is a pole of the resolvent of order \(k_0\) if and only if \(\lambda_0\) is a root of order \(k_0\) of \(\Delta(\lambda)\).

Since \(L\) is a Hille-Yosida operator, and \(DF(\pi)\) is bounded, \(P\) is also a Hille-Yosida operator. Consequently \(P_0\) (the part of \(P\) in \(X_0\)) generates a strongly continuous semigroup \(\{T_{P_0}(t)\}\) on \(X_0\). In order to apply the center manifold theorem and normal form theory, we need to study the essential growth bound of \(P_0\). By using the perturbation result in Thieme [37] or Ducrot Liu and Magal [8] we obtain the following estimation.

Proposition 5.3  The essential growth rate of the strongly continuous semigroup generated by \(P_0\) is strictly negative.

6  Bogdanov-Takens singularity

In this section, we prove that the equilibrium \(0_X\) of (4.2) is a Bogdanov-Takens singularity under some assumptions. Notice that

\[
\sigma(P) \cap \Omega = \sigma_{p}(P) \cap \Omega = \{ \lambda \in \Omega : \det \Delta(\lambda) = 0 \}.
\]

Since the matrix \(\Delta(\lambda)\) in (5.3) is triangular we obtain

\[
\det \Delta(\lambda) = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda + \chi}\right) \left(1 - \gamma_2 \int_1^{+\infty} e^{-(\lambda + \tau D)a} \, da\right), \lambda \in \Omega.
\]
Assumption 6.1 We assume that
\[ \sqrt{\alpha} = \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} \text{ and } \kappa = 2\sqrt{\alpha}. \] (6.1)

Remark 6.2 The condition (6.1) implies that \( \frac{\mu}{2D} e^{-\tau D} < \kappa. \) Hence under Assumption 6.1, the system (1.7) has a unique interior equilibrium.

Under Assumption 6.1,
\[ \det \Delta(\lambda) = \frac{f(\lambda)}{g(\lambda)} \]
with
\[ f(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + \tau D \lambda (1 - e^{-\lambda}), \quad g(\lambda) = (\lambda + \chi) (\lambda + \tau D). \] (6.2)

Then \( \lambda = 0 \) is a root of \( \det \Delta(\lambda) = 0 \) with algebraic multiplicity 2 and
\[ \sigma(P) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \{0\} \text{ and } \omega_{0,\text{ess}}(P_0) < 0. \]

Now we compute the projectors on the generalized eigenspace associated to eigenvalue 0 of \( P \). From the above discussion we already knew that 0 is a pole of \( (\lambda I - P)^{-1} \) of finite order 2. This means that 0 is isolated in \( \sigma(P) \cap \Omega \) and the Laurent’s expansion of the resolvent around 0 takes the following form
\[ (\lambda I - P)^{-1} = \sum_{n=-2}^{+\infty} \lambda^n B_{n,0}^P. \]

The bounded linear operator \( B_{-1,0}^P \) is the projector on the generalized eigenspace of \( P \) associated to 0. We remark that
\[ \lambda^2 (\lambda I - P)^{-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \lambda^m B_{m-2,0}^P. \]

So we have the following approximation formula
\[ B_{-1,0}^P = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left( \lambda^2 (\lambda I - P)^{-1} \right) \]
and by computation, we can obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 6.3 Let Assumption 6.1 be satisfied. Then 0 is a pole of \( (\lambda I - P)^{-1} \) of order 2, and the projector on the generalized eigenspace of \( P \) associated to the eigenvalue 0 is given by
\[ B_{-1,0}^P \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \\ \hat{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta^# \\ 0 \Re \\ v^# \end{pmatrix} \]
with
\[
\delta^\# = \frac{\tau D h_2(0)}{\mu(1+\tau D)} - \frac{\tau D h_2(0)}{\mu(1+\tau D)} h_1(0) + \frac{\tau D(1+\tau D)(\chi-2)+\chi}{2\mu(1+\tau D)^2},
\]
\[
v^\#(a) = \frac{\tau D h_2(0)}{1+\tau D} e^{-\tau D a}, \quad h_1(0) = \zeta + \alpha_2 \int_1^{\infty} (f_0^a e^{\tau D(s-a)} \hat{\nu}(s)) \, da,
\]
\[
h_2(0) = \gamma_2 \int_1^{\infty} (f_0^a (s-a) e^{\tau D(s-a)} \hat{\nu}(s)) \, da,
\]
\[
h_2'(0) = \gamma_2 \int_1^{\infty} (f_0^a (s-a) e^{\tau D(s-a)} \hat{\nu}(s)) \, da.
\]

From the above results, we obtain a state space decomposition with respect to the spectral properties of the linear operator \( P \). More precisely, the projector on the linear center manifold is defined by
\[
\Pi^P \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \\ \hat{\nu} \end{pmatrix} = B_{-1,0}^P \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \\ \hat{\nu} \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
\Pi^P \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \\ \hat{\nu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta^\# \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Set \( \Pi^P_h := I - \Pi^P \).

We denote by
\[
X_c := \Pi^P_c (X), \quad X_h := \Pi^P_h (X), \quad P_c := P \mid_{X_c}, \quad P_h := P \mid_{X_h}.
\]

Now we have the decomposition
\[
X = X_c \oplus X_h.
\]

Since
\[
B_{-1,0}^P \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
B_{-1,0}^P \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\tau D}{\mu(1+\tau D)} + \frac{\tau D(1+\tau D)(\chi-2)+\chi}{2\mu(1+\tau D)^2} \\ 0 \\ \frac{\tau D}{1+\tau D} e^{-\tau D} \end{pmatrix},
\]
we obtain the basis \( \{ \chi_1, \chi_2 \} \) of \( X_c \) defined by
\[
\chi_1(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi_2(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\mu e^{-\tau D a} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Note that
\[
P \chi_1(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P \chi_2(a) = \chi_1(a).
\]

The matrix of \( P_c \) in the basis \( \{ \chi_1, \chi_2 \} \) of \( X_c \) is given by
\[
P [\chi_1, \chi_2] = [\chi_1, \chi_2] \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

From the above analysis, we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 6.4 Suppose that Assumption 6.1 holds. Then the unique positive equilibrium \((U_0, v)\) of (1.7) is a cusp of codimension 2, i.e., system (1.7) has a Bogdanov-Takens singularity.

7 Bogdanov-Takens bifurcation

It is more interesting to determine a versal unfolding for the original system (1.3) with a Bogdanov–Takens singularity, i.e., to determine which of the parameters can be chosen as bifurcation parameters such that system (1.3) exhibits Bogdanov–Takens bifurcation. For this, choose \(\kappa\) and \(D\) in system (1.3) as the bifurcation parameters, i.e., consider \(1/\kappa + \beta_1\) and \(D + \beta_2\), where \(\beta := (\beta_1, \beta_2)\) vary in a sufficiently small neighborhood of \((0, 0)\). Adding these perturbations to system (1.3), using the same procedure in section 1 and 3, we obtain

\[
\frac{dw(t)}{dt} = Lw(t) + F(\beta, w(t)), \quad t \geq 0, \quad w(0) \in X_0,
\]

which is corresponding to

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dU(t)}{dt} &= \tau \left[ rU(t) (1 - (\kappa^{-1} + \beta_1) U(t)) - \frac{A(t)U(t)}{\alpha + U(t)^2} \right], \\
\partial_t v(t, a) + \partial_a v(t, a) &= -\tau (D + \beta_2) v(t, a), \quad \text{for} \ a \geq 0, \quad v(t, 0) = \tau \mu A(t) U(t)^{\alpha},
\end{align*}
\]

(7.2)

where \(L\) is defined by

\[
L \begin{pmatrix} U \\ 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\chi U \\ -v(0) \\ -v' - \tau Dv \end{pmatrix}
\]

and \(F : D(L) \rightarrow X\) is defined by

\[
F(\beta, w) = \begin{pmatrix} B_1^* (\beta, w) \\ B_2^* (\beta, w) \end{pmatrix}
\]

for \(w = \begin{pmatrix} U \\ 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in D(L)\), here

\[
B_1^* (\beta, w) = \chi U + \tau r U \left[ 1 - (1/\kappa + \beta_1) U \right] - \frac{\tau AU}{\alpha + U^2},
\]

\[
B_2^* (\beta, w) = \frac{\tau \mu AU}{\alpha + U^2}
\]

with \(A := \int_1^{+\infty} v(a)da\).
In the following, we assume Assumption 6.1 holds. By making the change of variables \( x(t) := w(t) - \overline{w}, \) (7.1) becomes

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = Lx(t) + \mathcal{H}(\beta, x(t)), & t \geq 0, \\
x(0) = w(0) - \overline{w} =: x_0 \in D(L).
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \mathcal{H}(\beta, x(t)) = F(\beta, x(t) + \overline{w}) - F(0, \overline{w}). \) To determine that system (7.1) is the versal unfolding of system (1.3) with Bogdanov-Takens singularity, we include the parameter \( \beta \) into the state variable. Consider the system

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{d\beta(t)}{dt} = 0, & \text{for } t \geq 0, \\
\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = Lx(t) + \mathcal{H}(\beta(t), x(t)), \\
x(0) = w(0) - \overline{w} =: x_0 \in D(L) \\
\beta(0) = \beta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2.
\end{cases}
\]

Under Assumption 6.1, 0 is an equilibrium of system (7.3). Note that for \( w = \begin{pmatrix} U \\ 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in D(L), \)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{H}(0, 0) \begin{pmatrix} U \\ 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 U + \alpha_2 A \\ \gamma_2 A \\ 0_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \mathcal{H}(0, 0) \beta = \begin{pmatrix} -\tau \mu \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ -e^{-\tau D_\alpha \frac{\tau}{\kappa} D_\alpha D_{\beta_2}} \end{pmatrix}
\]

where

\[
\alpha_1 = \chi, \alpha_2 = -\frac{\tau}{\kappa}, \gamma_2 = \frac{\mu \tau}{\kappa}, A = \int_1^{+\infty} v(a) da.
\]

In order to rewrite (7.3) as an abstract Cauchy problem, we set

\[
\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times X
\]

and consider the linear operator \( A : D(A) \subset \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X} \) defined by

\[
A \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{\mathbb{R}_2} \\ U \\ 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P \begin{pmatrix} U \\ 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \mathcal{H}(0, 0) \beta \end{pmatrix}, \forall \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{\mathbb{R}_2} \\ U \\ 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in D(A)
\]

with \( P \) is defined in (5.1), \( D(A) = \mathbb{R}^2 \times D(P) \). Then

\[
\overline{D(A)} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \overline{D(P)} := X_0.
\]

Since \( P \) is a Hille-Yosida operator, we can prove that \( A \) is also a Hille-Yosida operator. Consider \( \mathcal{F} : \overline{D(A)} \to \mathcal{X} \) the nonlinear map defined by

\[
\mathcal{F} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{\mathbb{R}_2} \\ U \\ 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{\mathbb{R}_2} \\ \hat{H} \left( \beta, \begin{pmatrix} U \\ 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{\mathbb{R}_2} \\ U \\ 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in \overline{D(A)}
\]
with
\[
\hat{H} \left( \beta, \begin{pmatrix} U \\ 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right) = H \left( \beta, \begin{pmatrix} U \\ 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} H(0, 0) \begin{pmatrix} U \\ 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} H(0, 0) \beta.
\]

Then we have
\[
\mathcal{F} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\ 0_X \end{pmatrix} \right) = 0 \text{ and } D\mathcal{F} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\ 0_X \end{pmatrix} \right) = 0.
\]

Now we can reformulate system (7.3) as the following system
\[
\frac{d\xi(t)}{dt} = A\xi(t) + \mathcal{F} \left( \xi(t) \right), \quad \xi(0) = \xi_0 \in \overline{D(A)}.
\]

(7.4)

Note that
\[
\sigma(P) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \{0\} \text{ and } \omega_{0,\text{ess}}((P)_0) < 0.
\]

For the proof of the following Lemma the reader is referred to Liu, Magal and Xiao [29, lemma 5.1].

**Lemma 7.1** Let Assumption 6.1 be satisfied. Then
\[
\sigma(A) = \sigma(A_0) = \sigma(P_0) = \sigma(P),
\]

and for each $\lambda \in \rho(A)$,
\[
(\lambda I - A)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta} \\ \hat{U} \\ \hat{v} \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda I - P)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1} \hat{\beta} \\ \hat{U} \\ \hat{v} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} H(0, 0) \lambda^{-1} \hat{\beta}.
\]

(7.5)

Next we compute the projectors on the generalized eigenspace associated to eigenvalue 0 of $A$. Note that
\[
B^A_{k,0} := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\text{Spec}(0,\varepsilon)^+} \lambda^{-(k+1)} (\lambda I - A)^{-1} \, d\lambda.
\]

Set
\[
\begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ x \end{pmatrix} := B^A_{-1,0} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta} \\ \hat{x} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Since
\[
(\lambda I - A)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta} \\ \hat{x} \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda I - P)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1} \hat{\beta} \\ x + \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} H(0,0) \lambda^{-1} \hat{\beta} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=-2}^{0} \lambda^j B^P_{j,0} \hat{x} + \sum_{j=-2}^{0} \lambda^{j-1} B^P_{j,0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} H(0,0) \hat{\beta},
\]
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it follows that
\[
B_k^A \left( \hat{\beta} \frac{\hat{x}}{k} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S_c(0,\varepsilon)^+} \lambda^{-(k+1)} \left( \sum_{j=-2}^0 \lambda^j B_{j,0}^P \hat{x} \right) + \lambda^{-(k+1)} \left( \sum_{j=-2}^0 \lambda^{j-1} B_{j,0}^P \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \mathcal{H}(0,0) \hat{\beta} \right) d\lambda.
\]

Then
\[
\beta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S_c(0,\varepsilon)^+} \lambda^{k-1} \lambda^{-1} \hat{\beta} d\lambda, \\
x = \sum_{j=-2}^1 \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S_c(0,\varepsilon)^+} \lambda^{k-1} \lambda^j B_{j,0}^P \hat{x} d\lambda + \sum_{j=-2}^1 \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S_c(0,\varepsilon)^+} \lambda^{k-1} \lambda^j B_{j,0}^P \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \mathcal{H}(0,0) \hat{\beta} d\lambda.
\]

Since
\[
\int_{S_c(0,\varepsilon)^+} \lambda^{-k-2+j} d\lambda = \begin{cases} 2\pi i, & \text{if } j = k+1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

and
\[
\int_{S_c(0,\varepsilon)^+} \lambda^{-k-1+j} d\lambda = \begin{cases} 2\pi i, & \text{if } j = k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

we have
\[
\beta = \begin{cases} \hat{\beta}, & k = -1 \\ 0, & k \neq -1 \end{cases}, \\
x = \begin{cases} B_{k+1,0}^P \left( \begin{array}{c} -\tau r \alpha \hat{\beta}_1 \\ 0 \\ -e^{-\tau \alpha r \tau^2 D} e^{\tau D} \hat{\beta}_2 \end{array} \right), & -2 > k > -4 \\ B_{k+1,0}^P \left( \begin{array}{c} -\tau r \alpha \hat{\beta}_1 \\ 0 \\ -e^{-\tau \alpha r \tau^2 D} e^{\tau D} \hat{\beta}_2 \end{array} \right) + B_{k,0}^P \frac{\hat{x}}{k}, & k \geq -2 \end{cases}
\]

and
\[
B_{-1,0}^A \left( \frac{\hat{\beta}}{\hat{x}} \right) = \left( B_{0,0}^P \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \mathcal{H}(0,0) \hat{\beta} + B_{-1,0}^P \frac{\hat{x}}{k} \right).
\]

Note that
We first have the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.2** We have

\[
B_{0,0}^P \left( \begin{array}{c} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \\ \hat{v} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \kappa_1 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \kappa_2(a) + \int_0^a e^{\tau D(s-a)} \hat{v}(s) \, ds \end{array} \right)
\]

with

\[
\kappa_1 = \frac{\tau D(-\tau^2 \chi D^2 + 6 \tau^2 D^2 - 4 \tau \chi D + 18 \tau D - 6 \chi + 12)}{6 \chi \mu(\tau D + 37 \tau D + 3\tau D + 1)} - \frac{\tau D(2 \tau D)}{\mu \chi (1 + \tau D)} \rho_1 +
\]

\[
\kappa_2(a) = e^{-\tau D a} \rho_1 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(\tau D + 1)^2} \right) + 2 e^{-\tau D a} \frac{\tau D}{1 + \tau D} \rho_2 - 2 e^{-\tau D a} a \frac{\tau D}{1 + \tau D} \rho_1,
\]

where

\[
\rho_1 = \zeta_2 + \gamma_2 \int_{1}^{+\infty} \left( \int_{0}^{a} e^{\tau D(s-a)} \hat{v}(s) \, ds \right) \, da,
\]

\[
\rho_2 = \gamma_2 \int_{1}^{+\infty} \left( \int_{0}^{a} (s-a) e^{\tau D(s-a)} \hat{v}(s) \, ds \right) \, da,
\]

\[
\rho_3 = \alpha_2 \int_{1}^{+\infty} \left( \int_{0}^{a} (s-a)^2 e^{\tau D(s-a)} \hat{v}(s) \, ds \right) \, da,
\]

\[
\rho_4 = \gamma_2 \int_{1}^{+\infty} \left( \int_{0}^{a} (s-a)^2 e^{\tau D(s-a)} \hat{v}(s) \, ds \right) \, da.
\]

The projector on the generalized eigenspace of \( A \) associated to 0 is given in the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.3** 0 is a pole of order 3 of the resolvent of \( A \) and the projector on the generalized eigenspace of \( A \) associated to 0 is given by

\[
B_{-1,0}^A \left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{\beta} \\ \hat{x} \end{array} \right) = \left( B_{0,0}^P B_{-1,0}^{P\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}} \mathbf{P}(0,0)\hat{\beta} + B_{-1,0}^P \right).
\]

In particular we have

\[
B_{-1,0}^A \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right),
\]

\[
B_{-1,0}^A \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right).
\]
\[ B_{-1,0}^A \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \frac{\beta_2}{2} \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \kappa_1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{\beta_2}{2} \kappa_2 (a) - \beta_2 r \alpha r^2 De^{-\tau D} e^{-\tau D} \end{array} \right), \]

with

\[ \kappa_1 = \frac{\tau D (-r^2 \chi D^2 + 6 r^2 D^2 - 4 \tau \chi D + 9 \tau D - 3 \chi + 12)}{6 \chi \mu (\tau D + 3 r^2 D^2 + 3 \tau D + 1)} - \frac{\tau D (2 + \tau D)}{\mu (1 + \tau D)^2} \rho_1 + \frac{(2 + \tau D) \tau D}{\mu (1 + \tau D)^2} \rho_2 + 2 \rho_3 - \frac{\tau D}{\mu (1 + \tau D)} \rho_4, \]

\[ \kappa_2 (a) = e^{-\tau D} a \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(\tau D + 1)^2} - 2 a \frac{\tau D}{1 + \tau D} \right) \rho_1 + 2 e^{-\tau D} a \frac{\tau D}{1 + \tau D} \rho_2; \]

\[ \rho_1 = \gamma_2 \int_1^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^a e^{\tau D(s-a)} (-e^{-\tau D} a r \alpha r^2 De^{-\tau D} \beta_2) ds \right) da, \]

\[ \rho_2 = \gamma_2 \int_1^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^a (s-a) e^{\tau D(s-a)} (-e^{-\tau D} a r \alpha r^2 De^{-\tau D} \beta_2) ds \right) da, \]

\[ \rho_3 = \alpha_2 \int_1^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^a (s-a)^2 e^{\tau D(s-a)} (-e^{-\tau D} a r \alpha r^2 De^{-\tau D} \beta_2) ds \right) da, \]

\[ \rho_4 = \gamma_2 \int_1^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^a (s-a)^2 e^{\tau D(s-a)} (-e^{-\tau D} a r \alpha r^2 De^{-\tau D} \beta_2) ds \right) da, \]

\[ B_{-1,0}^A \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right), \]

\[ B_{-1,0}^A \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right), \]

Let
\begin{align*}
e_1(a) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_2(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ -\mu e^{-\tau Da} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
e_3(a) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\mu}{\tau D e^{-\tau Da}} \\ \frac{\mu e^{-\tau Da}}{\tau D (\tau D + 1)} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\rho}_2(a) - \mu e^{-\tau Da} a \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_4(a) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\tau D a} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \\
\end{align*}

with

\begin{align*}
\tilde{\rho}_2(a) &= e^{-\tau Da} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(\tau D + 1)^2} - \frac{2a}{1 + \tau D} \right) \rho_1 + 2e^{-\tau Da} \frac{\tau D}{1 + \tau D} \rho_2, \\
\rho_1 &= -\gamma_2 \int_1^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^a e^{-\tau Da} \mu ds \right) da, \quad \rho_2 = -\gamma_2 \int_1^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^a (s-a) e^{-\tau Da} \mu ds \right) da.
\end{align*}

Then \( e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \) are independent and

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A} e_1(a) &= 0, \quad \mathcal{A} e_2(a) = e_1(a), \quad \mathcal{A} e_3(a) = e_2(a), \quad \mathcal{A} e_4(a) = 0.
\end{align*}

From the above results, we obtain a state space decomposition with respect to the spectral properties of the linear operator \( \mathcal{A} \). More precisely, the projector on the linear center manifold is defined by

\[ \Pi^A \left( \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{x}} \right) = B^-_{1,0} \left( \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{x}} \right). \]

Set

\[ \Pi^A_h := I - \Pi^A_c. \]

We denote by

\[ \mathcal{X}_c := \Pi^A_c (\mathcal{X}), \quad \mathcal{X}_h := \Pi^A_h (\mathcal{X}), \quad \mathcal{A}_c := \mathcal{A} \big|_{\mathcal{X}_c}, \quad \mathcal{A}_h := \mathcal{A} \big|_{\mathcal{X}_h}. \]

Now we have the decomposition

\[ \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_c \oplus \mathcal{X}_h. \]

Let \( G \in V^2(\mathcal{X}_c, D(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{X}_h) \) be a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2. Consider the following global change of variable

\[ \varsigma = \xi - G(\Pi^A_c \xi). \]

By using the results in Liu, Magal and Ruan [28, lemma 5.1], we obtain that the Taylor expansion of up to second order term on the local center manifold is given by the ordinary differential equation on \( \mathcal{X}_c \):

\[ \frac{d\varsigma_c(t)}{dt} = \mathcal{A}_c \varsigma_c(t) + \frac{1}{2!} \Pi^A_c D^2 \mathcal{F} (0) (\varsigma_c(t), \varsigma_c(t)) + \text{h.o.t.} \]
Now we compute $\frac{1}{2!} \Pi_c^4 D^2 \mathcal{F}(0) (\zeta_c(t),\zeta_c(t))$ expressed in terms of the basis $\{e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4\}$. $\zeta_c$ can be expressed by

$$\zeta_c = x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + \beta_1 e_3 + \beta_2 e_4$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix}
\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{1}{\mu \tau} \beta_2 \\
x_1
\end{array}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0_r
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
\mu e^{-\tau D a} \\
\frac{1}{\tau D (\tau D + 1)} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{S}_2(a) - \mu e^{-\tau D a a} \end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\beta_1 + \mu e^{-\tau D a} \beta_2 - \mu e^{-\tau D a x_2} \\
0_r
\end{pmatrix}
\right)
\right).
$$

By computation, we have

$$\frac{1}{2!} \Pi_c^4 D^2 \mathcal{F}(0) = \begin{pmatrix}
\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{1}{\mu \tau} \beta_2 \\
x_1
\end{array}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0_r
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
\mu e^{-\tau D a} \\
\frac{1}{\tau D (\tau D + 1)} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{S}_2(a) - \mu e^{-\tau D a a} \end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\beta_1 + \mu e^{-\tau D a} \beta_2 - \mu e^{-\tau D a x_2} \\
0_r
\end{pmatrix}
\right)
\right)^2
$$

with

$$\varrho_1 = \left(\frac{\tau D}{\lambda \mu (1+\tau D)} + \frac{\tau D (1+\tau D) (x - 1 + x)}{2 \lambda \mu (1+\tau D)^2} x_1 \right) h_2(0) - \frac{\tau D}{\mu (1+\tau D)} h_2'(0) + h_1(0),$$

$$\varrho_2(a) = \frac{\mu e^{-\tau D a}}{\tau D (\tau D + 1)} h_2(0),$$

$$h_1(0) = \int_0^\infty \left( j_{0} - \frac{2}{\mu \tau} \frac{1}{\tau D (\tau D + 1)} \int_1^\infty \int_0^a \frac{2}{\tau D (\tau D + 1)} \tau e^{\tau D (s-a)} ds de \right) da,$$

$$h_2(0) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mu e^{-\tau D}}{\tau D (\tau D + 1)} \int_1^\infty \int_0^a \frac{2}{\tau D (\tau D + 1)} \tau e^{\tau D (s-a)} ds de \right) da,$$

$$h_2'(0) = \frac{\mu e^{-\tau D}}{\tau D (\tau D + 1)} \int_1^\infty \int_0^a \frac{2}{\tau D (\tau D + 1)} \tau e^{\tau D (s-a)} ds de \right) da,$$

$$v(s) = \frac{\mu e^{-\tau D}}{\tau D (\tau D + 1)} \int_1^\infty \int_0^a \frac{2}{\tau D (\tau D + 1)} \tau e^{\tau D (s-a)} ds de \right) da,$$

Define the basis of $X_c$ by $\{e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4\}$ and the matrix of $A_c$ in the basis $\{e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4\}$ of $X_c$ is given by

$$A [e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4] = [e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4]$$

Thus by choosing $\chi = 2$ we obtain the Taylor expansion of up to second order term on the local center manifold as follows

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 + \frac{\tau D (2 + \tau D)}{4 \mu (1 + \tau D)^2} h_2(0) - \frac{\tau D}{2 \mu (1 + \tau D)} h_2'(0) + \frac{1}{2} h_1(0) + O(||(\beta_1,\beta_2,x_1,x_2)||^3)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = \beta_1 - \frac{\tau D}{2 \mu (1 + \tau D)} h_2(0) + O(||(\beta_1,\beta_2,x_1,x_2)||^3).$$

(7.6)
Following the procedure of deriving normal form in [5], we can transform the above system into

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= x_2 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= \tilde{\alpha}_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_2 x_2 + x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 + O((|\beta_1, \beta_2, x_1, x_2|)^3),
\end{align*}
\]  

(7.7)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\alpha}_1 &= (\beta_1 + A_1 \beta_2^2 + A_2 \beta_1 \beta_2) \frac{16 A_4^2}{A_1^3}, \\
\tilde{\alpha}_2 &= -(A_5 \beta_1 + A_6 \beta_2) \frac{2 A_3}{A_4}
\end{align*}
\]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
A_1 &= \left( \frac{2 \mu (\tau D + 1) + \left( (\tau D + 1)^2 + 1 \right) \tau D \rho_1}{\tau^2 \tau D (1 + \tau D)^2 + 2 (1 + \tau D) \tau^2 D^2 e^{2 \tau D}} + \frac{\mu \gamma_2 \tau D (2 + \tau D) + \mu \gamma_2 (3 \tau D + 2) + 2 \alpha^2 \mu^2 (1 + \tau D)^2}{2 (1 + \tau D) \tau^2 D^2 e^{2 \tau D}} \right), \\
A_2 &= \frac{\mu}{r \alpha e^D}, A_3 = -\frac{\tau \gamma (6 + 14 \tau D + 7 \tau^2 D^2)}{8 \sqrt{\alpha} (1 + \tau D)^2} < 0, \\
A_4 &= \frac{\tau^2 r D}{4 \sqrt{\alpha} (1 + \tau D)} > 0, A_5 = -A_2, A_6 = \frac{2 \sqrt{\alpha}}{\alpha}.
\end{align*}
\]

**Theorem 7.4** Assume that Assumption 6.1 holds. Then system (7.2) can undergo Bogdanov-Takens bifurcation in a small neighborhood of the unique positive equilibrium as the bifurcating parameters \((\beta_1, \beta_2)\) vary in a small neighborhood of \((0, 0)\). More precisely, there exist four bifurcation curves: 2 saddle-node bifurcation curves \(SN^+\) and \(SN^-\), Hopf bifurcation curve \(H\) and homoclinic bifurcation curve \(HL\), in the small neighborhood of \((0, 0)\) of parameter plane \((\beta_1, \beta_2)\), such that system (7.2) has a unique stable limit cycle as \((\beta_1, \beta_2)\) lies between \(H\) and \(HL\), and no limit cycle for system (7.2) outside this region. The local representations of these bifurcations curves are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
SN^+ &= \left\{ (\beta_1, \beta_2) : \tilde{\alpha}_1 = 0, \tilde{\alpha}_2 > 0 \right\}, \\
SN^- &= \left\{ (\beta_1, \beta_2) : \tilde{\alpha}_1 = 0, \tilde{\alpha}_2 < 0 \right\}, \\
H &= \left\{ (\beta_1, \beta_2) : \tilde{\alpha}_1 = -\tilde{\alpha}_2^2, \tilde{\alpha}_2 < 0 \right\}
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
HL = \left\{ (\beta_1, \beta_2) : \tilde{\alpha}_1 = -\frac{49}{25} \tilde{\alpha}_2^2 + O(\tilde{\alpha}_2^{5/2}) \text{, and } \tilde{\alpha}_2 < 0 \right\}.
\]

The corresponding bifurcation diagram is shown in Figure 1.
Figure 1: The Bogdanov-Takens bifurcation diagram of system (7.7) in the small neighborhood of \((\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2) = (0, 0)\).

8 Numerical simulations

In order to illustrate the Bogdanov-Takens bifurcation for system (7.2), we present some numerical simulations.

Symbol | First set of parameters values | Second set of parameters values
---|---|---
\(\tau\) | 0.1 | 1
\(r\) | 0.8 | 0.8
\(D\) | 0.8 | 0.8
\(\alpha\) | 500 | 500
\(\kappa := 2\sqrt{\alpha}\) | 44.7214 | 44.7214
\(\mu := \kappa De^\tau\) | 38.7569 | 79.6234
\(\beta_1\) | \(-10^{-3}\) | \(-10^{-3}\)
\(\beta_2\) | \(-0.2362\) | \(-0.3322\)

Table 1: The formulas used for \(\kappa\) and \(\mu\) in the first column of the table serve to satisfy Assumption 6.1. We fix the parameter \(\tau = 0.1\) (respectively \(\tau = 1\)) in the second column (respectively third column). The parameters in the second column are computed by using the formula for \(H\). The parameters in the last line are computed by using the formula for \(HL\).

The parameters values used for the simulation of system (7.2) are listed in Table 1. In Figure 2 and Figure 3 we run a simulation of the system (7.2) by using respectively the second and third column of Table 1. In the simulations
we use the equilibrium solution of the system whenever $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$. Namely we use the formula in Lemma 2.2-(i).

Figure 2 corresponds to a simulation of the model (7.2) with the parameters fixed in the second column of Table 1. We observe that the solution is converging to a periodic orbit. Actually since $\tau = 0$, $A(t)$ coincides with the total number of predators $V(t)$ and the Figure 2-(c) corresponds the convergence of the solution to a periodic orbit of system (7.2) in the phase plane $(U, V)$.

Figure 3 corresponds to a simulation of the model (7.2) with the parameters fixed in the third column of Table 1. In this figure we fix in particular $\tau = 1$. By comparing Figure 2-(c) and Figure 3-(c) we observe that the limit behavior is much more irregular when $\tau = 1$ than when $\tau = 0.1$. In Figure 3-(c) the limit cycle follows the $U$-axis and the $A$-axis. The picks in Figure 3-(a) and Figure 3-(b) are much sharper than in Figure 2-(a) and Figure 2-(b).

![Figure 2: In this figure we run a simulation of model (7.2) with the first set of parameters values listed in the second column of Table 1. In figures (a) and (b) we plot the function $t \to U(t)$ and $t \to A(t)$ respectively. In figure (c) we plot the function $t \to (U(t), A(t))$ in the phase plane $(U, A)$. In figure (d) we plot the $(t, a) \to v(t, a)$ the age structured density of predators when the time $t$ varies.](image-url)
Figure 3: In this figure we run a simulation of model (7.2) with the second set of parameters values listed in the third column of Table 1. In figures (a) and (b) we plot the function $t \rightarrow U(t)$ and $t \rightarrow A(t)$ respectively. In figure (c) we plot the function $t \rightarrow (U(t), A(t))$ in the phase plane $(U, A)$. In figure (d) we plot the $(t, a) \rightarrow v(t, a)$ the age structured density of predators when the time $t$ varies.
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