Radiofrequency Energy Loop Primes Cardiac, Neuronal, and Skeletal Muscle Differentiation in Mouse Embryonic Stem Cells: A New Tool for Improving Tissue Regeneration
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Radiofrequency (RF) waves from Wi-Fi (wireless fidelity) technologies have become ubiquitous, with Internet access spreading into homes, and public areas. The human body harbors multipotent stem cells with various grading of potentiality. Whether stem cells may be affected by Wi-Fi RF energy remains unknown. We exposed mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells to a Radio Electric Asymmetric Conveyer (REAC), an innovative device delivering Wi-Fi RF of 2.4 GHz with its conveyer electrodes immersed into the culture medium. Cell responses were investigated by real-time PCR, Western blot, and confocal microscopy. Single RF burst duration, radiated power, electric and magnetic fields, specific absorption rate, and current density in culture medium were monitored. REAC stimulation primed transcription of genes involved in cardiac (GATA4, Nkx-2.5, and prodynorphin), skeletal muscle (myoD) and neuronal (neurogenin1) commitment, while downregulating the self renewal/pluripotency-associated genes Sox2, Oct4, and Nanog. REAC exposure enhanced the expression of cardiac, skeletal, and neuronal lineage-restricted marker proteins. The number of spontaneously beating ES-derived myocardial cells was also increased. In conclusion, REAC stimulation provided a “physical milieu” optimizing stem cell expression of pluripotentiality and the attainment of three major target lineages for regenerative medicine, without using chemical agonists or vector-mediated gene delivery.
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INTRODUCTION

Radiofrequency (RF) waves have long been used for different types of information exchange via the airwaves, wireless Morse code, radio, television, and wireless telephony. In addition to the increasing prevalence of cellular telephones, there has been continuing expansion of wireless Internet access, such as Wi-Fi (wireless fidelity), into homes, schools, workplaces, and public areas, supporting local, over-the-air computer communication via a wireless local area network (WLAN). Typically, the transmission frequency is approximately 2.4 or 5.5 GHz, and Wi-Fi provides data transmission rates in the range of 1–50 Mbps (megabytes per second). There is increasing evidence that cellular homeostasis, not only is affected by chemical agonists, but can also be regulated by physical stimuli. We have previously shown that exposure of mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells to extremely low frequency (50 Hz, 0.8 m Trms) magnetic fields remarkably increased the transcription of cardiogenic and cardiac-specific genes, enhancing the yield of ES-derived cardiomyocytes (32). The human body harbors multipotent stem cells within different “niches,” including the bone marrow, dental pulp, and adipose tissue (1,6,18,28). Moreover, resident stem cells with various grading of potentiality can be virtually
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found in any adult organ (7,10,15). Whether stem cell fate may be controlled by the Wi-Fi RF energy remains to be established.

To address this issue, we exposed mouse ES cells to RF in the field of very low power microwaves through a radio electric asymmetric conveyer (REAC) (19,20), an innovative medical device that was originally designed to use the effects produced by the interaction between the electromagnetic field of the human body (27) and that produced by the instrument. We investigated whether REAC stimulation at Wi-Fi transmission frequency of 2.4 GHz may affect the expression of genes involved in pluripotency or multiple lineage commitment, and may eventually prime the attainment of terminal differentiation features.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Description of Radio Electric Asymmetric Conveyer (REAC)**

The REAC apparatus was placed into a CO₂ incubator, set at a Wi-Fi transmission frequency of 2.4 GHz and its conveyer electrodes were immersed into the culture medium of mouse R1 ES cells.

The distance between the emitter at 2.4 GHz and the culture medium is approximately 35 cm. The electromagnetic quantities have been measured with the spectrum analyzer Tektronix model 2754p, orienting the receiving antenna for maximum signal. With duration of single radiofrequency burst 200 ms and an off interval of 2.5 s, we have obtained the following results. Radiated power is about 2 mW, electric field E ≈ 0.4 V/m, magnetic field 1 mA/m, specific absorption rate (SAR) 0.128 µW/g; determine σ = 1 A/V.m and ρ = 1000K g/m³ the density of radio electric current flowing in the culture medium during the REAC single radiofrequency burst is $J = 30 \mu A/cm^2$. The electromagnetic field around the device is, of course, very irregular because of the presence of the metal walls of the incubator. However, easily detectable points of the highest level could be determined within the incubator. At a distance of 35 cm from the emitter, and in a very limited area around the receiving antenna we measured values of specific power around 400 µW/m². The instrument that we used is registered under the trademark “Convogliatore di Radianza Modulante—CRM,” produced by ASMED, Italy.

**ES Cells**

Mouse R1 ES cells were kindly provided by Dr. W. L. Stanford (University of Toronto, Canada). The R1 cells were maintained in the undifferentiated state by culturing onto a layer of mitotically inactivated mouse embryo fibroblasts in the presence of knockout DMEM containing 15% fetal bovine serum, supplemented with a final concentration of 1,000 U/ml leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF). Cell differentiation was performed as previously described (34). Briefly, cells were plated onto specialty plates (Costar ultra-low attachment clusters), containing culture medium lacking the supplemental LIF. After 2 days of culture, the resulting embryoid bodies (EBs) were plated onto tissue culture dishes.

**Gene Expression**

Total RNA was isolated using Trizol reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). Total RNA was dissolved in RNAase-free water and, for RT-PCR, cDNA was synthesized in a 50-µl reaction volume with 1 µg of total RNA and Moloney murine leukemia virus (MMLV) reverse transcriptase (RT) according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Invitrogen). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using an iCycler Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad). Two µl cDNA were amplified in 50-µl reactions using Platinum Supermix UDG (Invitrogen), 200 nM of each primer, 10 nM fluorescein (BioRad), and SYBR Green. After an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 10 min, temperature cycling was initiated. Each cycle consisted of 94°C for 15 s, 55–59°C for 30 s, and 60°C for 30 s, the fluorescence being read at the end of this step. All primers used in this study were from Invitrogen and previously described (5,8,12,13,17,35,36). To evaluate the quality of product of real-time PCR assays, melting curve analysis was performed after each assay. Relative expression was determined using the “delta-CT method” with glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) as reference gene (13).

**Immunoblotting Analysis**

ES cells were collected in PBS, then pellets were lysed with cell extraction buffer (Invitrogen). Total cell lysates were electrophoresed on 10% novex tris-glycine polyacrylamide gels (Invitrogen, CA), in 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid sodium dodecyl sulfate (MOPS SDS) running buffer, using an XCell SureLock™ mini-cell, according to the instruction provided by the manufacturer. After protein transfer to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Invitrogen, CA), membrane saturation and washing, the immunoreaction was carried out for 1 h at room temperature in the presence of the primary antibody [antisera against GATA binding protein 4 (GATA4), myogenic differentiation 1 (MyoD), β-3-tubulin, sex determining region Y-box 2 (Sox2), and Nanog] diluted 1:1,000. After additional washing, membranes were incubated with anti-rabbit (Sox2 and Nanog) or anti-mouse (GATA4, MyoD, β-3-tubulin) horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated secondary antibody (AbCAM). Targeted protein expression was assessed by a chemioluminescence detection system.
(ECL Western blotting detection reagents were from Amersham Biosciences).

**Immunostaining**

R1 cells cultured for 3 days with or without REAC exposure were treated with trypsin, and the resulting suspension was cultured at low density to permit visualization of individual cells. The cultures were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. Cells were exposed for 1 h at 37°C to mouse monoclonal antibodies against α-sarcomeric actinin, β-3-tubulin, myoD or myogenin, or with rabbit polyclonal antibodies against myosin heavy chain, and stained at 37°C for 1 h with fluorescein-conjugated goat IgG. All microscopy was performed with a Leica confocal microscope (LEICA TCSSP5). DNA was visualized with propidium iodide (1 µg/ml).

**Data Analysis**

The statistical analysis of the data was performed by using Student’s t-test, assuming a value of $p < 0.05$ as the limit of significance.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

To expose mouse R1 ES cells to Wi-Fi RF energy, we used a REAC apparatus that was originally designed to use the effects produced by the interaction between the electromagnetic field of the human body (27) and that produced by the instrument working with typical range frequencies of 2.4, 5.7, or 10.5 GHz, selectable by operator. REAC stimulation has previously been shown to be efficacious in ameliorating stress-related depression and anxiety (3,14,21–23).

Here, a REAC apparatus (Fig. 1), working in a CO2 incubator, was set at a Wi-Fi transmission frequency of 2.4 GHz and its conveyer electrodes were immersed into the culture medium of mouse R1 ES cells (Fig. 2). Radiated power is about 2 mM. The electromagnetic field $E = 0.4$ V/m, with culture medium of mouse R1 ES cells 35 cm from source. Duration of single radiofrequency burst was 200 ms with an off interval of 2.5 s.

Under these experimental conditions, we investigated the effects of REAC stimulation on the transcription of prodynorphin, GATA4, and Nhx-2.5 for cardiogenesis, myoD for skeletal myogenesis, and neurogenin1 for neurogenesis. Real-time RT-PCR revealed a significant increase in prodynorphin gene expression after 24 h of exposure to REAC, being still evident after 2 days of treatment (Fig. 3A). Interestingly, upon cessation of a 48-h REAC stimulation, the transcriptional enhancement even persisted for the following 7 days (Fig. 3A), being superimposable to that obtained with a continuous 10-day exposure (not shown). The REAC effect on prodynorphin transcription is worthy of consideration due to the ability of this gene and its related product dynorphin B to control cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ homeostasis and contractility in adult cardiomyocytes (29,30,33), and induce the transcription of cardiogenic genes in ES cells through the activation of autocrine circuits, (31,34), and “intracrine” signaling by nuclear opioid receptors (35). Highlighting the pivotal role of the prodynorphin gene in cardiogenesis, REAC-treated ES cells showed a significant increase in GATA4 and Nhx-2.5 gene expression (Fig. 3B, C). These genes encode respectively for a zinc finger and a homeodomain essential for cardiogenesis in different animal species (2,11), including humans (24). Transcription of myoD and neurogenin1 was also significantly enhanced by REAC with similar time courses and persistence after stimulus withdrawal (Fig. 3D, E).

To balance self-renewal and differentiation, ES cells must carefully control the transcription of several factors, including Sox2, Nanog, and octamer binding protein 4 (Oct4) (25). Sox2 can act synergistically with Oct3/4 to activate Oct-Sox enhancers, which regulate the expression of pluripotent stem cell specific genes, including Nanog, Oct3/4, and Sox2 itself (9). Ablation of the Oct4 gene in mouse embryos prevented proliferation of inner cell mass (ICM) cells and promoted differentiation into trophoderm (16). Once expressed, Nanog blocks differentiation (16). Thus, negative regulation of Nanog is required to sustain differentiation during ES cell commitment (4). Early phases of ES cell differentiation, after removal of LIF, involved downregulation of Sox2 expression (Fig. 4A). Here, we show that REAC treatment could further down-regulate Sox2 mRNA levels 24 h after LIF removal (Fig. 4A).
results, albeit with a different time-course, were yielded by REAC exposure on Oct4 and Nanog gene expression (Fig. 4B, C).

In order to evaluate whether the observed transcriptional responses may represent a prelude for an increase in the yield of differentiation, we studied the effect of REAC on the expression of a number of tissue-restricted marker proteins. Western blot analysis revealed that GATA4, β-3-tubulin, and myoD, representative for cardiac, neuronal, and skeletal muscular specification, respectively, were all significantly more expressed in REAC-treated than in untreated cells (Fig. 5A–C). Akin to the transcriptional effect, such an increase was evident after 2 days of treatment, persisting during the following 7 days even in the absence of stimulation (Fig. 5A–C). In REAC-exposed cells, the protein expression of Sox2 and Nanog mirrored the transcriptional responses elicited by REAC stimulation, being significantly down-regulated in exposed, as compared to unexposed cells (Fig. 5D, E).

Overexpression of selected tissue-restricted marker proteins in REAC-exposed cultures was also confirmed by confocal microscopy analysis, (Fig. 6), indicating the ability of REAC treatment to control the patterning of lineage-restricted proteins at the intact cell level. The attainment of a cardiac phenotype was further inferred from the observation that REAC treatment resulted in a remarkable increase in the number of ES-derived spontaneously beating colonies (Fig. 6).

The present data provide evidence that the orchestration of a differentiating program is feasible following ES cell exposure to RF energy within the Wi-Fi emission band, when the RF loop of the REAC apparatus is closed by means of the probes being connected and immersed into the culture medium. Differently from extremely low frequency magnetic fields that only enhanced ES cell cardiogenesis (32), the REAC treatment afforded a consistent increase in the commitment along three major target lineages for regenerative medicine (i.e., cardio-, neuro-, and skeletal myogenesis). Notably these differentiating outcomes could be achieved without the use of chemical agonists or vector-mediated gene delivery. Our findings also raise the largest question as to whether Wi-Fi radiofrequency REAC loop may act as a suitable “physical milieu” that may be supplied to a culture system to optimize stem cell expression of pluripotentiality.

Studies are in progress to unravel the intimate molecular mechanism(s) underlying the REAC action. Addressing whether REAC stimulation may also affect human stem cells will be relevant in view of novel cell therapy approaches. This is the subject of our future investigations on the effects of REAC exposure on the differentiating and rescuing potential of adipose-derived
**Figure 3.** Effect of REAC stimulation on the expression of genes orchestrating ES cell commitment towards cardiogenic, skeletal myogenic, and neurogenic lineages. Cells were exposed for 24 or 48 h (embryoid bodies; EBs), in the absence (white bars) or presence (black bars) of REAC, or cultured for additional 7 days after 48 h of EBs aggregation in the absence (white bars) or presence (black bars) of REAC. The amount of prodynorphin (A), GATA binding protein 4 (GATA4) (B), Nkx-2.5 (C), myogenic differentiation 1 (MyoD) (D), and neurogenin 1 (E) mRNA from untreated (white bars) or REAC-treated (black bars) cells was normalized to glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and was plotted as fold change relative to the mRNA expression at the time of leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) removal (time 0), defined as 1 (mean ± SE; n = 6). *Significantly different from untreated cells.

**Figure 4.** REAC stimulation downregulates the expression of undifferentiated ES cell marker genes. Cells were exposed for 24 or 48 h (EBs), in the absence (white bars) or presence (black bars) of REAC, or cultured for additional 7 days after 48 h of EBs aggregation in the absence (white bars) or presence (black bars) of REAC. The amount of sex determining region Y-box 2 (Sox2) (A), octamer binding protein 4 (Oct4) (B), and Nanog (C) mRNA from untreated (white bars) or REAC-treated (black bars) cells was normalized to GAPDH and was plotted as fold change relative to the mRNA expression at the time of LIF removal (time 0), defined as 1 (mean ± SE; n = 6). *Significantly different from untreated cells.
Figure 5. ES cell exposure to REAC modulates the expression of selected, tissue-restricted, and stemness-related proteins. Total lysates were obtained from undifferentiated R1 cells at the time of LIF removal (time 0), or from EBs (24 h, 48 h), cultured in the absence (white bars) or presence (black bars) of REAC, or ES cells cultured for additional 7 days after a 48-h aggregation as EBs with (black bars) or without (white bars) REAC stimulation. Samples were subjected to Western blot analysis, using polyclonal antisera raised against GATA4, (A) β3-tubulin (B), MyoD (C), Sox2 (D), and Nanog (E). Sizes of the bands were determined with prestained marker proteins. Densitometric analysis was performed using quantity one (BioRad). Data are reported relative to the expression in undifferentiated cells, and normalized to the expression level of GAPDH (mean ± SE; n = 6). *Significantly different from untreated cells.
Figure 6. REAC-mediated ES cell differentiation. Expression of β-3-tubulin (β-tubulin), MyoD, myogenin, myosin heavy chain (MHC), and α-sarcomeric actinin (α-actinin) was assessed in cells aggregated for 48 h as EBs in the absence or presence of REAC, and cultured for additional 24 h in tissue chamber slides suitable for immunofluorescence staining. Scale bars: 40 µm. Nuclei are labeled with propidium iodide (red). Representative of five separate experiments. The lower right panel reports the analysis of the yield of spontaneously beating colonies obtained from cells aggregated as EBs for 48 h after LIF removal in the absence (open circles) or presence of REAC (filled circles), and then assayed for the indicated time periods for the appearance of a contractile activity (mean ± SE; n = 6). *Significantly different from untreated cells.

human stem cells, a multipotent cell population that can be obtained after minimally invasive harvesting, even in the absence of any enzymatic digestion or growth factor additives (26).
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