miR-20a and miR-290, multi-faceted players with a role in tumourigenesis and senescence
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Abstract

Expression of microRNAs changes markedly in tumours and evidence indicates that they are causatively related to tumourigenesis, behaving as tumour suppressor microRNAs or onco microRNAs; in some cases they can behave as both depending on the type of cancer. Some tumour suppressor microRNAs appear to be an integral part of the p53 and Retinoblastoma (RB) network, the main regulatory pathways controlling senescence, a major tumour suppressor mechanism. The INK4a/ARF locus which codifies for two proteins, p19ARF and p16INK4a, plays a central role in senescence by controlling both p53 and RB. Recent evidence shows that the proto-oncogene leukaemia/lymphoma related factor, a p19ARF specific repressor, is controlled by miRNAs and that miRNAs, in particular miR-20a and miR-290, are causatively involved in mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEF) senescence in culture. Intriguingly, both miR-20a, member of the oncogenic miR-17–92 cluster, and miR-290, belonging to the miR-290–295 cluster, are highly expressed in embryonic stem (ES) cells. The pro-senescence role of miR-20a and miR-290 in MEF is apparently in contrast with their proliferative role in tumour and ES cells. We propose that miRNAs may exert opposing functions depending on the miRNAs repertoire as well as target/s level/s present in different cellular contexts, suggesting the importance of evaluating miRNAs activity in diverse genetic settings before their therapeutic use as tumour suppressors.
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Cellular senescence is a powerful tumour suppressor mechanism

A cell is defined as senescent when it fails to proliferate in response to growth factors while remaining alive for a long time. Senescent cells are phenotypically distinguishable from young cells in that they are characterized by a typical flat morphology and express specific biochemical markers such as pH-6 β-galactosidase, p16INK4a, p21CIP1, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) and phosphorylated H2AX [1–3].

The most studied in vitro models of senescent cells are human primary fibroblasts (HF) and mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEF). In the case of HF it is believed that the main stimulus is telomere shortening [3]. After 50–80 population doublings telomere shortening leads to uncapping of the chromosomal ends, which is recognized as double strand breaks leading to p53 activation with consequent cell cycle block and senescence [3]. This type of senescence is called intrinsic senescence to distinguish from telomere independent extrinsic senescence. HF can undergo extrinsic senescence when exposed to a variety of stress factors such as ionizing radiation, UV, DNA damaging drugs or reactive oxygen species [4]. MEF in culture mainly undergo extrinsic senescence, which takes place after about 10 population doublings, despite the presence of normal length telomeres. In this case the accumulation of reactive oxygen species during cell replication, with the consequent induction of cellular (DNA, protein) damage, appears to be responsible for senescence [5]. Oncogene activation, which induces DNA damage due to DNA hyper-replication [6], is a strong inducer of extrinsic senescence in both MEF and HF: in this case senescence represents a potent anti-tumourigenic mechanism protecting the organism from unwanted cell proliferation. Tumour suppressor mechanisms have evolved in organisms with renewable tissues in order to lower the probability of tumourigenesis but the same mechanisms might be responsible...
for aging [7, 8]. One of the commonest points of view of aging is that telomere shortening and accumulation of DNA damage occurs during the lifespan of the organism in stem cells as well as in more committed cells. Thus aging could result from the exhaustion of the regenerative potential of stem cells, although at present it is still a matter of debate whether senescence is the only mechanism responsible for stem cell pool exhaustion [7].

Although diverse stimuli can induce senescence, they appear to converge on either or both of the two main pathways that establish and maintain senescence growth arrest. These pathways are governed by the tumour suppressor proteins, p53 and Retinoblastoma (RB) [9–11]. One of the main upstream controllers of the p53 and RB pathways is the INK4a/ARF locus. This locus encodes, by alternate reading frames, two different proteins p19ARF (mouse) or p14ARF (human) and p16INK4a [12], which activate, respectively, p53 and RB [13]. As expected this tumour suppressor locus is frequently found deleted or rearranged in various types of cancer such as glioblastoma, melanoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, non-small cell lung cancer, bladder carcinoma, oropharyngeal cancer [12] and primary lymphoma [14].

**MicroRNAs are implicated in tumorigenesis and senescence**

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are non-coding short 22 nt RNA molecules which have recently come to stage as important players in basic cellular functions such as cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis and senescence [15, 16]. Interestingly, increasing evidence indicates that many disease states such as cardiovascular, neurodegenerative, liver and kidney diseases [16] as well as cancer [17] occur or are sustained by miRNA disregulation. Expression of miRNAs changes markedly in tumours [18] and an increasing body of evidence indicates that they can behave either as tumour suppressor genes (TS miRNAs) or as oncogenes (onco miRNAs) [19–21], although it is becoming clearer that some miRNAs have a double role (TS and onco miRNAs) according to the cellular context [22]. MiRNAs are negative regulators of gene expression by imperfectly pairing to sequences (named seed match) in the 3’UTR of the target mRNA and inhibiting its translation [23]: thus miRNAs may behave as oncogenes when they inhibit tumour suppressor genes, while their tumour suppressor activity is due to the inhibition of oncogenes (Fig. 1). TS miRNAs, identified as they were markedly under-expressed in tumours, have been shown to play a role both in senescence and apoptosis (the two main tumour suppressor mechanisms). A list of the most common TS miRNAs as well as of miRNAs with a double behaviour (TS and onco miRNAs) and their relative targets is given in Table 1. It is evident that while few TS miRNAs have been shown to exert a pro-senescence activity, others target well-known anti-senescence genes suggesting a possible role in senescence. One of the first discovered TS miRNA was let-7, down-regulated in various solid tumours [19, 24]. The proto-oncogene RAS was the first validated target of let-7 [25], but recently let-7 has also been shown to have a role in senescence and
aging by targeting a negative regulator of the INK4a/ARF locus, the so-called high-mobility group AT-hook 2 (HMGA2) protein [26].

Recently, attention has been focused on miRNAs regulated by the tumour suppressor gene p53; these studies have shed new light on how this master gene regulator utilizes miRNAs to induce senescence. One of the most studied p53 responsive miRNA is miRNA-34a (miR-34a), which in human colon cancer cells induces growth arrest accompanied by morphological and biochemical changes characteristic of senescence (such as enlarged cellular size and β-galactosidase positive cells) [27]. Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) [28] and the transcription factor E2F3 [27] are some of the targets involved in miR-34 induced senescence. Interestingly miR-34a also targets the myelocytomatosis oncogene (cMYC) [29], which in turn represses various TS miRNAs [30] among which miR-26a successfully used in replacement therapy in liver cancer [31]. As miR-26a specifically targets the methyl transferase enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) [32], a known repressor of the INK4/a/ARF locus, the examples shown in the table reinforce the concept that miRNAs affect the expression of multiple genes, many of which modulate directly or indirectly the p53 and RB pathways; moreover the cellular context is fundamental in determining their final effect. We believe that the pro-senescence role exerted by miR-20a [41] and miR-290 [42] in MEF is a proof of these principles and highlights the importance of understanding the mechanisms of action of miRNAs in different cellular contexts.

**Table 1** MiRNAs implicated in tumourigenesis with a role in senescence

| miRNA       | Relevant targets                                      | Function in cancer | Involvement in senescence | References |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Let-7 family| RAS, cMYC, HMGA2, CCND1, CDC25a, CDC34, CDK6           | Tumour suppressor  | +                         | [25, 26, 66]|
| miR-34 family| SIRT1, cMYC, E2F3, HMGA2, BCL2, CCND1, CCNE2, CDK4/6   | Tumour suppressor  | +                         | [27–29]    |
| miR-26a     | EZH2, CCNE2, CCND2                                      | Tumour suppressor  | +?                        | [31, 32]   |
| miR-143, miR-145 | cMYC, ERK5, kRAS                                    | Tumour suppressor  | +?                        | [34, 67, 68]|
| miR-101     | EZH2, COX2, MCL1                                      | Tumour suppressor  | +?                        | [69–71]    |
| miR-29 family| DNMT3A/B, CDC42, BCL2, MCL1                           | Tumour suppressor  | –                         | [35–37]    |
| TTP         | Oncogene                                               | –                  |                           | [72]       |
| miR-125a/b  | E2F3, ERBB2/3                                          | Tumour suppressor  | –                         | [39, 73]   |
| p53         | Oncogene                                               | –                  |                           | [56]       |
| miR-17      | E2F1, AIB1                                             | Tumour suppressor  | +?                        | [38]       |
| miR-20a     | E2F1                                                   | Oncogene           | –                         | [51]       |

AIB1, amplified in breast cancer 1; CCND1, cyclin D1; CCND2, cyclin D2; CCNE2, cyclin E2; DNMT3A, DNA methyltransferase 3A; DNMT3B, DNA methyltransferase 3B; ERBB2, v-erb-b2 erythroblast viral oncogene 2; ERBB3, v-erb-b2 erythroblast viral oncogene 3; ERK5 extracellular signal-regulated kinase-5; HMGA2, high-mobility group AT-hook 2; MCL1, myeloid cell leukaemia sequence 1 and TTP, tristetraprolin. Targets in bold are significant for senescence. (+), miRNAs which induce senescence; (+?), miRNAs which regulate senescence associated genes and (−), miRNAs not associated to senescence.

The examples shown in the table reinforce the concept that miRNAs affect the expression of multiple genes, many of which modulate directly or indirectly the p53 and RB pathways; moreover the cellular context is fundamental in determining their final effect. We believe that the pro-senescence role exerted by miR-20a [41] and miR-290 [42] in MEF is a proof of these principles and highlights the importance of understanding the mechanisms of action of miRNAs in different cellular contexts.

**MiR-20a and miR-290 induce senescence in MEF**

As previously mentioned the INK4a/ARF locus is the master controller of p53 and RB pathways and as a consequence it regulates senescence and apoptosis. In turn this locus is tightly controlled by a series of activators and repressors, in order to prevent tumourigenesis [33]. As expected, many of the genes which suppress this locus have oncogenic activity. In MEF one of them is the leukaemia/lymphoma related factor (LRF) which, by specifically repressing the transcription of the tumour suppressor p19ARF, bypasses the senescence response elicited by transfection of single oncogenes [43]. In silico analysis showed that LRF 3’ UTR contains target sites for at least seven miRNA families [44] among which miR-20a, part of the miR-17–92 cluster, involved in tumourigenesis. The cluster behaves as an oncogene in different cell backgrounds.
types of tumours [45, 46] among which lymphomas [47], while it is deleted in other types of cancers [48–50] suggesting a tumour suppressor role. The interaction between miR-20a and LRF 3′UTR was experimentally validated and, as expected, miR-20a overexpression in MEF provoked LRF down-regulation with consequent p19ARF increase and senescence induction [41]. However, the power of miR-20a to induce senescence goes beyond LRF down-regulation, as it is a stronger senescence inducer than a short interfering RNA specific for LRF (siLRF). Indeed miR-20a overexpression in MEF also induced a marked down-regulation of E2F1 along with LRF, so it is likely that the combined depletion of these two factors contributes to senescence [41].

Double role of miR-20a as oncogene and pro-senescence gene

The pro-senescence role of miR-20a [41] appears to be in contrast with its oncogenic role [47]; however a more subtle interpretation of the data highlights a particular aspect of miRNA properties discussed above: i.e. the cellular context may be decisive for the final effect of miRNAs. In tumour cells the miR-17–92 cluster increases the oncogenic power of cMYC in a self-regulating circuit whereby cMYC binds the promoter of the cluster as well as that of E2F1 increasing their transcription; in turn E2F1 induces the cluster transcription. MiR-20a, a member of the cluster, directly targets E2F1 in order to control its level. In tumour cells, where E2F1 level is high, miR-20a increases the oncogenic power of cMYC by keeping E2F1 level below the pro-apoptotic threshold (left side). This concept is schematically visualized as a bar which represents the full range of cellular E2F1 level variation; the red rectangles within the bar represent the actual E2F1 quantities which determine the final biological outcome (cell proliferation in the case of tumour cells). On the contrary, in MEF, where E2F1 level is limiting, miR-20a induces cell cycle block and senescence by down-regulating E2F1 below the cell proliferation threshold (right side). Blue lines represent thresholds between the different biological outcome (apoptosis, proliferation and cell cycle block). (B) miR-20a induces senescence by affecting multiple pathways: it down-regulates LRF thereby stabilizing p53 via p19ARF activation, it down-regulates directly E2F1 and indirectly up-regulates p16INK4a. Dashed lines indicate hypothetical pathways.

MiR-20a activates the INK4a/ARF locus

Intriguingly, in MEF, miR-20a not only increased p19ARF (via LRF down-regulation), but also p16INK4a [41], the other protein encoded by the INK4a/ARF locus, by unknown mechanism (Fig. 2B).
These results indicated that mRNAs other than those of LRF and E2F1 are affected by miR-20a, in keeping with the idea that miRNAs regulate the expression of multiple genes, so their final biological effect depends on the sum of the affected targets [55]. In this regard it is worth mentioning that miR-100 and miR-125b, which directly down-regulate LRF, did not induce senescence in MEF, but rather increased cell proliferation [42]. These unexpected results may find an explanation in a recently published paper, showing that one of the targets of miR-125b is p53 [56], which has strong anti-proliferative and pro-senescence properties in MEF [57].

**Fig. 3** MiR-290–295 cluster is causively involved in MEF senescence. MiR-290–295 cluster induces senescence by activating the INK4a/ARF locus. Possible mechanisms are: (i) LRF down-regulation with activation of p19ARF and p53 and (ii) p16INK4a up-regulation by EZH2 down-regulation. Other candidate targets are members of the MAPK family, among which MAPK1/ERK1, known to activate cell proliferation. The induction of p16INK4a by miR-20a (see Fig. 2C) could be mediated by miR-290–295 cluster.

These results indicated that mRNAs other than those of LRF and E2F1 are affected by miR-20a, in keeping with the idea that miRNAs regulate the expression of multiple genes, so their final biological effect depends on the sum of the affected targets [55]. In this regard it is worth mentioning that miR-100 and miR-125b, which directly down-regulate LRF, did not induce senescence in MEF, but rather increased cell proliferation [42]. These unexpected results may find an explanation in a recently published paper, showing that one of the targets of miR-125b is p53 [56], which has strong anti-proliferative and pro-senescence properties in MEF [57].

**MiR-290–295 cluster is causatively connected with culture-induced senescence in MEF**

In accordance with the idea that miRNAs control pathways, we found that senescence, induced by different stimuli (culture, siLRF or miR-20a) is accompanied by up-regulation of a specific set of miRNAs [42]. Singularly, miR-290 (belonging to the miR-290–295 cluster) was the most overexpressed in the three conditions [42]. The time course of miR-290 up-regulation during culture-induced senescence shows that this miRNA, along with other members of the cluster (specifically miR-291–3p, miR-292–3p and miR-295) is expressed at low levels in early passages MEF but steadily increases during cell propagation in culture with a time course which paralleled LRF down-regulation [42]. LRF down-regulation is due to post-transcriptional silencing as the mRNA levels remain constant, suggesting that modulation by miRNAs could play a role. Interestingly, while miR-20a is unlikely to be responsible for LRF down-regulation as it diminishes along with passages (Verduci et al., JBC, under revision), miR-292–3p, which increases during senescence [42], could potentially target LRF [44].

**The INK4a/ARF locus is implicated in miR-290 induced senescence**

A recurrent result found in culture-induced senescent MEF is the consistent association between miR-290 up-regulation and the increased expression of the INK4a/ARF locus (p19ARF and mainly p16INK4a) [42]. It has been shown that the increase of p16INK4a and to a lesser extent that of p19ARF observed during senescence in primary fibroblast (including MEF) is due to down-regulation of EZH2, part of the PRC2 complex which inhibits the INK4a/ARF locus [58]. *In silico* analysis and preliminary experiments utilizing a gene reporter assay indicate that the 3’UTR of murine EZH2 is a target of miR-290 (Rainaldini G., unpublished data). Future studies will establish whether EZH2 down-regulation during MEF senescence is causatively connected to miR-290 up-regulation; if this were the case p16INK4a increase observed after miR-20a overexpression [41] could be miR-290 dependent (Fig. 3).

Finally, the mechanisms by which miR-290 induces senescence may be multiple, indeed other interesting predicted targets of miR-290 are various members of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) family, among which MAPK1/ERK1, known to activate cell proliferation [59]. Thus it is possible that miR-290 drives cells towards senescence by the combined down-regulation of cell proliferation signalling pathways (MAPK), and up-regulation of cell cycle inhibitors such as p16INK4a (*via* EZH2 inhibition) (Fig. 3).

**The double life of miR-20a and miR-290 in stem cells and MEF**

MiR-290–295 cluster is expressed in stem as well as in senescent cells

MiR-20a [41] and miR-290 [42] belong to two clusters (respectively, miR-17–92 and miR-290–295) which represent the
majority of miRNAs expressed in embryonic stem (ES) cells [60]. MiR-290–295 cluster in particular is important not only to maintain pluripotency in ES cells [60, 61], but also to increase the efficiency of MEF reprogramming to induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells by the transcription factors Oct4, Sox2 and Klf4 [62]. This work [62] demonstrated that in early passages MEF the miR-290–295 cluster is silenced by methylation of histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27) on the promoter and can be re-expressed after transfection with the three above mentioned transcription factors and cMYC. The re-expression takes place late in the reprogramming process, suggesting that miR-290–295 cluster is downstream of cMYC and requires epigenetic remodelling before being expressed. We clearly showed that the whole miR-290–295 cluster is up-regulated in MEF during serial passages in culture, the maximum up-regulation being when cells reach senescence [42]. These data are not in contrast with the work of Judson et al. [62] rather suggesting that during senescence a remodelling of the chromatin takes place with removal of transcriptional silencing of the cluster, permitting its transcription. As during MEF senescence two chromatin modifiers, the trimethylase EZH2 [58] as well as the deacetylase recruiter LRF [42] are down-regulated, it will be interesting to explore whether they are causally connected to miR-290–295 cluster de-repression.

The cellular context influences the final biological effect of miR-20a and miR-290

Both miR-20a and miR-290 belong to the also called ES-cell-specific cell-cycle-regulating (ESCC) miRNAs, required to regulate G1-S transition and promote rapid cell proliferation [61]; it is then singular that the both miRNAs exert a pro-senescence role in MEF [41, 42]. We suggest that the opposite effects of miR-20a [41] and miR-290 [42] in MEF and ES cells may depend on the presence of (1) different miRNAs repertoire as well as (2) target/s level/s present in the two cellular contexts.

1. As previously mentioned, transfection of ESCC miRNAs in MEF together with ES specific transcription factors induce iPS cells [62]; in a subsequent paper [63] the same authors found that depletion of let-7, normally expressed at high levels in MEF [60], enhances MEF reprogramming. They propose that let-7 family and miR-290–295 cluster have opposing effects acting in self-reinforcing loop to maintain the ES cell self-renewal versus differentiated state; according to this model let-7 should never be co-expressed with the miR-290–295 cluster. In this regard it would be interesting to verify whether MEF undergoing senescence continue to express high levels of let-7 and in this case whether the concomitant expression of miR-290 and let-7 has a causative role in senescence.

2. Computational analysis has predicted that in ES cells the two miRNA clusters (miR-17–92 and miR-290–295) operate by a series of so-called type 1 circuits [64], where both miRNAs and putative targets are positively correlated; the hypothetical model is that miRNAs allow the translation of the target genes which are transcribed above critical threshold [64]. In this way the role of the miRNAs is to fine tune the target expression, avoiding unwanted excess; examples of this type of circuit are represented by miR-17–92 cluster co-expressed with high levels of E2F1, and by miR-290–295 cluster co-expressed with high levels of EZH2 [64, 65]. It is worth noting that the miR-17–92/E2F1 connection in ES cells, closely resembles that described in tumour cells (Fig. 2A, left side), establishing a potential link between ES and cancer cells [65]. We suggest that in MEF, miR-20a and miR-290 operate in so-called type 2 circuits, where miRNAs and their targets are negatively correlated [64]; in particular miR-20a and miR-290 up-regulation takes place in a situation where the respective targets (E2F1 and EZH2) are limiting so the final effect is down-regulation of the proteins below a critical threshold, with consequent cell proliferation inhibition and senescence induction.

Concluding remarks

In conclusion the mechanism of action of miR-20a [41] and miR-290 [42] in MEF reinforces the concept that miRNAs affect pathways rather than single genes, so that even small perturbation of miRNA levels may have a significant impact on cell fate. Moreover, the final biological effects of miRNAs strongly depends on the repertoire of miRNAs, mRNA targets, and their level, expressed in cells, so the same miRNA may have opposite roles (TS miRNA or onco miRNA) in different cellular context. As recent studies have highlighted the therapeutic properties of miRNAs against cancer, significant work remains to be done in order to determine, as accurately as possible, their potential tumour suppressor activity in diverse genetic settings.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. M. Minks for revision of the manuscript. We apologize to those authors whose work we have not been able to cite due to space constraints. This work is supported by Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro, AIRC (project no. 4753) and by Istituto Superiore di Sanità, ISS (project no. 527/A/3A/4). Dr M. Rizzo is supported by an AIRC grant.

Conflict of interest

The authors confirm that there are no conflicts of interest.
References

1. Dimri GP, Lee X, Basile G, et al. A biomarker that identifies senescent human cells in culture and in aging skin in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1995; 92: 9363–7.
2. Suzuki T, Minagawa S, Michishita E, et al. Induction of senescence-associated genes by 5-bromodeoxyuridine in HeLa cells. Exp Gerontol. 2001; 36: 465–74.
3. d’Adda di Fagagna F, Reap PM, Clay-Farrace L, et al. A DNA damage checkpoint point response in telomere-initiated senescence. Nature. 2003; 426: 194–8.
4. Chen JH, Hales CN, Ozanne SE. DNA damage response triggered by DNA hyper-replication. Nature. 2006; 444: 638–42.
5. Sharpless NE. Oncogene-induced senescence is a tumor-suppressor mechanism. Trends Cell Biol. 2001; 11: S27–31.
6. Di Micco R, Fumagalli M, Cicaese A, et al. Oncogene-induced senescence is a DNA damage response triggered by DNA hyper-replication. Nature. 2006; 444: 638–42.
7. Campisi J. Senescent cells, tumor suppression, and organismal aging: good citizens, bad neighbors. Cell 2005; 120: 513–22.
8. Collado M, Blasco MA, Serrano M. Cellular senescence in cancer and aging. Cell. 2007; 130: 223–33.
9. Bringold F, Serrano M. Tumor suppressors and oncogenes in cellular senescence. Exp Gerontol. 2000; 35: 317–29.
10. Lundberg AS, Hahn WC, Gupta P, et al. Genes involved in senescence and immortalization. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2000; 12: 705–9.
11. Campisi J. Cellular senescence as a tumor-suppressor mechanism. Trends Cell Biol. 2001; 11: S27–31.
12. Sharpless NE. INK4a/ARF: a multifunctional tumor suppressor locus. Mutat Res. 2005; 576: 22–38.
13. Lowe SW, Sherr CJ. Tumor suppression by Ink4a-Arf: progress and puzzles. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2003; 13: 77–83.
14. Helmrich A, Lee S, O’Brien P, et al. Recurrent chromosomal aberrations in INK4a/ARF defective primary lymphomas predict drug responses in vivo. Oncogene. 2005; 24: 4174–82.
15. Grilleri J, Grillari-Voglauer R. Novel modulators of senescence, aging, and longevity: small non-coding RNAs enter the stage. Exp Gerontol. 2010; 45: 302–11.
16. Zhang C. Novel functions for small RNA molecules. Curr Opin Mol Ther. 2009; 11: 641–51.
17. Davalos V, Esteller M. MicroRNAs and cancer epigenetics: a macrorevolution. Curr Opin Oncol. 2010; 22: 35–45.
18. Volinia S, Calin GA, Liu CG, et al. A microRNA expression signature of human solid tumors defines cancer gene targets. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006; 103: 2257–61.
19. Esquela-Kerscher A, Slack FJ. Oncomirs — microRNAs with a role in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2006; 6: 259–69.
20. Kent OA, Mendell JT. A small piece in the cancer puzzle: microRNAs as tumor suppressors and oncogenes. Oncogene. 2006; 25: 6188–96.
21. Garzon R, Calin GA, Croce CM. MicroRNAs in Cancer. Annu Rev Med. 2009; 60: 167–79.
22. Shenouda SK, Alahari SK. MicroRNA function in cancer: oncogene or a tumor suppressor? Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2009; 28: 369–78.
23. Filipowicz W, Bhattacharyya SN, Sonenberg N. Mechanisms of post-transcriptional regulation by microRNAs: are the answers in sight? Nat Rev Genet. 2008; 9: 102–14.
24. Lu J, Getz G, Miska EA, et al. MicroRNA expression profiles classify human cancers. Nature. 2005; 435: 834–8.
25. Johnson SM, Grosshans H, Shingara J, et al. RAS is regulated by the let-7 microRNA family. Cell. 2005; 120: 635–47.
26. Nishino J, Kim I, Chada K, et al. Hmga2 promotes neural stem cell self-renewal in young but not old mice by reducing p16Ink4a and p19Arf expression. Cell. 2008; 135: 227–39.
27. Tazawa H, Tsuchiya N, Izumiya M, et al. MicroRNA-29 family reverts aberrant expression of miR-34a/miR-34b. Oncogene. 2006; 25: 6188–96.
28. MYC stimulates EZH2 expression by repression of its negative regulator miR-26a. Blood. 2008; 112: 4202–12.
29. Gil J, Peters G. Regulation of the INK4b-ARFINK4a tumour suppressor locus: all for one or one for all. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2006; 7: 667–77.
30. Sachdeva M, Zhu S, Wu F, et al. p53 represses c-Myc through induction of the tumor suppressor miR-145. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009; 106: 3207–12.
31. Fabbri M, Garzon R, Cinmimo A, et al. MicroRNA-29 family reverts aberrant methylation in lung cancer by targeting DNA methyltransferases 3A and 3B. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009; 106: 3207–12.
32. Park SY, Lee JH, Ha M, et al. miR-29 miRNAs activate p53 by targeting p85 alpha and CDC42. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2009; 16: 23–9.
33. Hossain A, Kuo MT, Saunders GF. MiR-17–5p regulates breast cancer cell proliferation by inhibiting translation of AliB1 mRNA. Mol Cell Biol. 2006; 26: 8191–201.
34. Huang L, Luo J, Cai Q, et al. MicroRNA-125b suppresses the development of bladder cancer by targeting E2F3. Int J Cancer. 2010; doi:10.1002/Ijc.25509.
35. Park C, Lee I, Kang WK. E2F-1 is a critical modulator of cellular senescence in human cancer. Int J Mol Med. 2006; 17: 715–20.
36. Poliseno L, Pitto L, Simili M, et al. The proto-oncogene LRF is under post-transcriptional control of MiR-20a: implications for senescence. PLoS One. 2008; 3: e2542.
37. Pitto L, Rizzo M, Simili M, et al. miR-29 acts as a physiological effector of senescence in mouse embryo fibroblasts. Physiol Genomics. 2009; 39: 210–8.
38. Maeda T, Hobbs RM, Merghoub T, et al. Role of the proto-oncogene Pokemon in cellular transformation and ARF repression. Nature. 2005; 433: 278–85.
44. Lewis BP, Burge CB, Bartel DP. Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenines, indicates that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. Cell. 2005;120:15–20.

45. Hayasita Y, Osada H, Tatematsu Y, et al. A polycistronic microRNA cluster, miR-17–92, is overexpressed in human lung cancers and enhances cell proliferation. Cancer Res. 2005;65:9628–32.

46. Venturini L, Battmer K, Castoldi M, et al. Expression of the miR-17–92 polycistron in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) CD34+ cells. Blood. 2007;109:4399–405.

47. He L, Thomson JM, Hemann MT, et al. A microRNA polycistron as a potential human oncogene. Nature. 2005;435:828–33.

48. Eiriksdottir G, Johannesdottir G, Ingvarsson S, et al. Mapping loss of heterozygosity at chromosome 13q: loss at 13q12–q13 is associated with breast tumour progression and poor prognosis. Eur J Cancer. 1998;34:2076–81.

49. Lin YW, Sheu JC, Liu LY, et al. Loss of heterozygosity at chromosome 13q in hepatocellular carcinoma: identification of three independent regions. Eur J Cancer. 1999;35:1730–4.

50. Shao J, Li Y, Wu Q, et al. High frequency loss of heterozygosity on the long arms of chromosomes 13 and 14 in nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Southern China. Chin Med J. 2002;115:571–5.

51. O’Donnell KA, Wentzel EA, Zeller KI, et al. c-Myc-regulated microRNAs modulate E2F1 expression. Nature. 2005;435:839–43.

52. Sylvestre Y, De Guire V, Querido E, et al. An E2F/miR-20a autoregulatory feedback loop. J Biol Chem. 2007;282:2135–43.

53. Woods K, Thomson JM, Hammond SM. Direct regulation of an oncogenic microRNA cluster by E2F transcription factors. J Biol Chem. 2007;282:2130–4.

54. Ghosh R, Nadiminty N, Fitzpatrick JE, et al. Eugenol causes melanoma growth suppression through inhibition of E2F1 transcriptional activity. J Biol Chem. 2005;280:5812–9.

55. Pittlo L, Ripoli A, Cremini F, et al. miRNA(interference) networks are embedded in the gene regulatory networks. Cell Cycle. 2008;7:2458–61.

56. Le MT, Teh C, Shyh-Chang N, et al. MicroRNA-125b is a novel negative regulator of p53. Genes Dev. 2009;23:862–76.

57. Serrano M, Lin AW, McCurrach ME, et al. Oncogenic ras provokes premature cell senescence associated with accumulation of p53 and p16INK4a. Cell. 1997;88:593–602.

58. Bracken AP, Kleine-Kohlbrecher D, Dietrich N, et al. The Polycomb group proteins bind throughout the INK4A-ARF locus and are disassociated in senescent cells. Genes Dev. 2007;21:525–30.

59. Meloche S, Pouysségur J. The ERK1/2 mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway as a master regulator of the G1- to S-phase transition. Oncogene. 2007;26:3227–39.

60. Marson A, Levine SS, Cole MF, et al. Connecting microRNA genes to the core transcriptional regulatory circuitry of embryonic stem cells. Cell. 2008;134:521–33.

61. Wang Y, Baskerville S, Shenoy A, et al. Embryonic stem cell-specific microRNAs regulate the G1-S transition and promote rapid proliferation. Nat Genet. 2008;40:1478–83.

62. Judson RL, Babiarcz JE, Venere M, et al. Embryonic stem cell-specific microRNAs promote induced pluripotency. Nat Biotechnol. 2009;27:459–61.

63. Melton C, Judson RL, Bleiholder R. Opposing microRNA families regulate self-renewal in mouse embryonic stem cells. Nature. 2010;463:621–6.

64. Gu P, Reid JG, Gao X, et al. Novel microRNA candidates and miRNA-mRNA pairs in embryonic stem (ES) cells. PLoS One. 2008;3:e2548.

65. Gunaratne PH. Embryonic stem cell microRNAs: defining factors in induced pluripotent (iPS) and cancer (CSC) stem cells? Curr Stem Cell Res Ther. 2009;4:168–77.

66. Barh D, Malhotra R, Ravi B, et al. MicroRNA let-7: an emerging next-generation cancer therapeutic. Curr Oncol. 2010;17:70–80.

67. Chen X, Guo X, Zhang H, et al. Role of miR-143 targeting KRAS in colorectal tumorigenesis. Oncogene. 2009;28:1385–92.

68. Clape C, Fritz V, Henrique C, et al. miR-143 interferes with ERK5 signaling, and abrogates prostate cancer progression in mice. PLoS One. 2009;4:e7542.

69. Strillacci A, Griffoni C, Sansone P, et al. MiR-101 downregulation is involved in cyclooxygenase-2 overexpression in human colon cancer cells. Exp Cell Res. 2009;315:1439–47.

70. Su H, Yang JR, Xu T, et al. MicroRNA-101, down-regulated in hepatocellular carcinoma, promotes apoptosis and suppresses tumorigenicity. Cancer Res. 2009;69:1135–42.

71. Friedman JM, Liang G, Liu CC, et al. The putative tumor suppressor microRNA-101 modulates the cancer epigenome by repressing the polycomb group protein EZH2. Cancer Res. 2009;69:2623–9.

72. Gebeshuber CA, Zatilouka K, Martinez J, miR-29a suppresses tristetraprolin, which is a regulator of epithelial polarity and metastasis. EMBO Rep. 2009;10:400–5.

73. Scott GK, Goga A, Bhaumik D, et al. Coordinate suppression of ERBB2 and ERBB3 by enforced expression of microRNA miR-125a or miR-125b. J Biol Chem. 2007;282:1479–86.