Histamine in Some Fish Products
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Abstract

Histamine compounds are members of a group of compounds known as biogenic amines normally produced by decarboxylation of free amino acids and are present in a variety of foods. In the present study a total of 90 random samples of salted and smoked fish products represented by fesiekh, salted sardine, and smoked herring (30 of each) were collected from different fish markets in Middle Delta area, Egypt and examined for the presence of histamine by ELISA. The results recorded that the histamine mean values in examined fish samples were 20.76 ± 0.54, 15.49 ± 0.31 and 9.82 ± 0.26 mg/kg, unaccepted samples were 53.3%, 36.7% and 30% for fesiekh, sardine and smoked herring, respectively.
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Introduction

Fish is very important source of protein especially in Egypt. Fish and fish products are one of the most important food stuffs as they are one of the cheapest sources of animal protein. Fish are enriched with essential minerals, vitamins, and unsaturated fatty acids [1]. Histamine is known as a biogenic amine which is low molecular weight and possesses biological activity [2]. The levels of histamine have been suggested as rapid fish spoilage indicators [2,3]. Gram-negative histamine producing bacteria are more common in fish. A wide range of Gram-negative bacteria can produce histamine in fish, but the major types are mesophilic enteric and marine bacteria. Morganella morgani, Morganella psychrotolerans, Photobacterium damselae, Photobacterium phosphoreum, Raoultella planticola, Hafnia alvei were reported as histamine formers. In the case of fermented sea food, Staphylococcus spp. and Tetragenococcus spp [4]. These types of bacteria naturally present on the gills, external surfaces and in the gut of live saltwater fish with no harm to the fish. Up on death, the defense mechanism of the fish no longer inhibits bacterial growth in the muscle tissue and histamine forming bacteria may start to grow resulting in the formation of biogenic amines [5]. Scombroid poisoning is a form of toxicity caused by the ingestion of spoiled dark-flesh fishes, mainly of the scombroid family. The clinical picture is secondary to histamine toxicity, manifested as flushing, headache, palpitations, and abdominal cramps [6]. Inadequate cooling following harvest promotes bacterial histamine production and can result in outbreaks of scombroid poisoning [7] which results from the ingestion of histamine-contaminated fish of the scombroid fish including tuna, mackerel, and non-scombroid fish include sardine, herring and anchovy [8]. The symptoms of scombroid poisoning appear within a few minutes after eating fish of Scombridae family and related species. The first symptoms are cutaneous, with flush, pruritus, and erythema of the face and trunk having an urticarial appearance, together with faintness. Gastrointestinal symptoms include nausea, vomiting, abdominal cramps and occasionally diarrhea [9].

This work aimed to determine histamine residue in salted and smoked fish collected from different fish markets in Gharbia governorate, Egypt by using ELISA technique to assess quality of fish.

Materials and Methods

Collection of Samples

A total of 90 random samples of salted and smoked fish products represented by fesiekh, salted sardine, and smoked herring (30 of each) were collected from different fish markets in Gharbia governorate, Egypt. The collected samples were labeled and preserved individually in an insulated ice box as well as transferred to the laboratory as quickly as possible.
Determination of Histamine By ELISA

Sample Preparation and Acylation

i. Pipette 25 µL of standards, 25 µL of controls, 25 µL of plasma samples, 10 µL of fish samples, or 50 µL of supernatant from the release test* into the respective wells of the Reaction Plate.

ii. 25 µL of Acylation Buffer were added to all wells.

iii. 25 µL of Acylation Reagent were added to all wells.

iv. Incubated for 45 min at RT (20-25°C) on a shaker (approx. 600 rpm).

v. 200 µL of distilled water were added to all wells.

vi. Incubated for 15 min. at RT (20-25°C) on a shaker (approx. 600 rpm).

vii. 25 µL of the prepared standards, controls, and samples were taken for the Histamine ELISA.

*For the release test the Histamine Release supplementary kit (available for purchase separately, cat. no. BA E-1100) must be used.

Histamine ELISA

i. 25 µL of the acylated standards, controls, and samples were pipetted into the appropriate wells of the Histamine Microtiter Strips.

ii. 100 µL of the Histamine Antiserum were pipetted into all wells and cover plate with adhesive foil.

iii. Incubated for 3 hours at RT (20-25°C) on a shaker (approx. 600 rpm).

Alternatively: shake the Histamine Microtiter Strips briefly by hand and incubate for 15-20 hours at 2-8°C.

i. The foil was removed. The contents of the wells were discarded or aspirated, and each well was washed 4 times thoroughly with 300 µL Wash Buffer. Blotted dry by tapping the inverted plate on absorbent material.

ii. 100 µL of the Enzyme Conjugate was pipetted into all wells.

iii. Incubated for 30 min at RT (20-25°C) on a shaker (approx. 600 rpm).

iv. The contents of well were discarded or aspirated, and each well was washed 4 times thoroughly with 300 µL Wash Buffer. Blotted dry by tapping the inverted plate on absorbent material.

v. 100 µL of the Substrate were pipetted into all wells and incubate for 20-30 min at RT (20-25°C) on a shaker (approx. 600 rpm). Avoid exposure to direct sunlight.

vi. 100 µL of the Stop Solution were pipetted to each well and shake the microtiter plate to ensure a homogeneous distribution of the solution. The absorbance of the solution in the wells was read within 10 minutes, using a microplate reader set to 450 nm with a reference wavelength between 620 nm and 650 nm.

Statistical Analysis

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test was applied for statistical evaluation of the obtained results of each detected residue in the examined samples of salted and smoked fish products according to Feldman et al., (2003).

Results

It is evident from the results recorded in Table 1 that the Histamine values in examined fish samples were varied from 2.9-36.1 mg/kg with an average of 20.76 ± 0.54 for Fesiekh; 2.2-29.8 mg/kg with an average of 15.49 ± 0.31 for sardine and 1.4-21.7 mg/kg with an average of 9.82 ± 0.26 for smoked herring. The differences between the examined samples of different fish species were high significant (P<0.01). Table 2 revealed that 46.7%, 73.3% and 70% of the examined samples of Fesiekh, sardine and smoked herring were accepted, however, 53.3%, 36.7% and 30% of such samples were unaccepted, respectively.

Table 1: Concentrations of histamine (mg/Kg) in the examined samples of salted and smoked fish (n=30).

| Fish products | Min | Max | Mean ± S.E* |
|---------------|-----|-----|-------------|
| Fesiekh       | 2.9 | 36.1| 20.76 ± 0.54|
| Sardine       | 2.2 | 29.8| 15.49 ± 0.31|
| Smoked herring| 1.4 | 21.7| 9.82 ± 0.26 |

S.E* = Standard error of mean.

Table 2: Validity of the examined salted and smoked fish based on their histamine contents (n=30).

| Fish products | MRL (mg/Kg)* | Accepted samples | Unaccepted samples |
|---------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------|
|               | No | %  | No | %  |
| Fesiekh       | 20 | 14 | 46.7| 16 | 53.3|
| Sardine       | 20 | 19 | 73.3| 11 | 36.7|
| Smoked herring| 20 | 21 | 70  | 9  | 30  |
| Total (90)    | 54 | 60 | 36  | 40 |

*MRL = Maximum Residue Limit

Discussion

The results recorded that the histamine mean values in examined fish samples were 20.76 ± 0.54, 15.49 ± 0.31 and 9.82 ± 0.26 mg/kg, unaccepted samples were 53.3%, 36.7% and 30% for fesiekh, sardine and smoked herring, respectively.

Results of histamine in fesiekh nearly like those obtained by Edris 2014 [10] (18.06 ± 0.99 mg/kg) who investigated histamine in 90 samples of fesiekh, sardine and melloha (30 of each) collected from different retail markets.

Lower than that recorded by Nader [11] (33.21±1.15 mg/100g) who investigated histamine in 20 samples of fesiekh were collected from markets in kafr El- Sheikh. Higher than that recorded by
Elshafey-Wesam [12] (16.80± 0.31 mg/kg). measured histamine in 15 samples of Mugil Cephalus.

Results of histamine in sardine lower than [13](29.65±1.41 ppm) estimated the level of histamine in sardine samples using HPLC.[10] (23.51±1.21 mg/kg)who investigated histamine in 30 samples of sardine collected from different retail markets[11] (28.14±1.02mg/100g)[12] (28.74 ± 0.52 mg/kg).

Results of histamine in smoked herring lower than [11] (23.12±0.86 mg /100g).Fish commonly implicated in histamine fish poisoning include both scombroid (mackerel, tuna and saury) and non- scombroid (sardine, anchovies, blue fish, as they contain large amount of free histadine [14].sardines characterized by the presence of high levels of free histamine in their muscle, also according to the season of the year, genetics, environment, food, sex, physiological stage, storage period and sampled tissue [15]. High levels of biogenic amines can be prevented by the application of good hygiene practices and proper temperature during handling, delivery and storage [16].Biogenic amines can be used as quality index, once they are formed by bacterial activity and are resistant to thermal treatment, thus reflecting the quality of the raw material and hygienic conditions of food processing [17] and [18].Acceptability of examined fish samples based on their levels of histamine according to EOS (2010) the results revealed that 46.7%,73.3% and 70%of the examined samples of fesiekh, sardine and smoked herring were accepted, however, 53.3%, 36.7% and 30% of such samples were unaccepted, respectively. Unfortunately, unhygienic practices, insufficient refrigeration cause increase susceptible to contamination by BAs-producing microorganisms and other spoilage bacteria (proteolytic and lipolytic) leading to rapid spoil agenda outbreaks of fish poisoning [19].

Conclusion

As conclusion, histamine is the main marker for the evaluation of quality and safety of fish. Also, the application of good hygiene practices and proper temperatures during handling, delivery and storage reduce the bacterial growth and multiplication with further undesirable changes [20].
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