Upper gastrointestinal anatomy detection with multi-task convolutional neural networks
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Esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) has been widely applied for gastrointestinal (GI) examinations. However, there is a lack of mature technology to evaluate the quality of the EGD inspection process. In this Letter, the authors design a multi-task anatomy detection convolutional neural network (MT-AD-CNN) to evaluate the EGD inspection quality by combining the detection task of the upper digestive tract with ten anatomical structures and the classification task of informative video frames. The authors’ model is able to eliminate non-informative frames of the gastroscopic videos and detect the anatomies in real time. Specifically, a sub-branch is added to the detection network to classify NBI images, informative and non-informative images. By doing so, the detected box will be only displayed on the informative frames, which can reduce the false-positive rate. They can determine the video frames on which each anatomical location is effectively examined, so that they can analyse the diagnosis quality. Their method reaches the performance of 93.74% mean average precision for the detection task and 98.77% accuracy for the classification task. Their model can reflect the detailed circumstance of the gastroscopy examination process, which shows application potential in improving the quality of examinations.

1. Introduction: Since gastroscopy is able to observe the interior of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract directly, it has been widely used for GI examinations [1]. The conventional gastroscopy procedure usually needs the clinicians to comprehensively observe the anatomies of the upper digestive tract of the patients, including oesophagus, stomach and duodenum, to make a diagnosis. Due to operating habits, many doctors tend to miss some mucosal areas or fail to observe some physiological, anatomical structures during examinations, resulting in missed diagnosis [2]. Furthermore, for inexperienced doctors, they may tend to over-close the lens to gastric mucosa, which may cause a large number of non-informative frames and affects the quality of the gastroscopy. However, there is currently no effective method to supervise and evaluate the quality of the gastroscopy, and there is no quantitative assessment to determine whether the doctor has performed an efficient examination of each anatomy.

Recently, deep learning, especially the convolutional neural network (CNN) has been applied in the medical domain [3] and has demonstrated success in diverse medical image analysis tasks [4, 5]. Endoscopy is one of them [6, 7], including the classification and detection of precancerous diseases [8, 9] and early gastric cancer screening under conventional endoscopy [10, 11]. When we find or depict a lesion, it is necessary to identify the anatomical locations. However, most researchers focus on using computer vision techniques to detect lesions under endoscopy. Few studies focus on the recognition of the anatomies, which is the first crucial step for GI diseases. Without learning these normal anatomical features, it is very difficult to recognise abnormalities and to diagnose diseases properly. In [12], a CNN-based diagnostic program was constructed to classify four major anatomical locations (larynx, oesophagus, stomach and duodenum) and three subsequent sub-classifications. However, the division of the stomach is relatively rough, which may not suitable for real clinical situations. In [13], researchers tried to train the deep CNN to classify gastric locations into 10 or 26 parts, with the accuracy of 90 and 65.9%, respectively. However, the accuracy of 26 parts is not outstanding and classifying anatomies cannot ensure the lesions’ location.

To solve the problems mentioned above, we propose a real-time multi-task anatomy detection network (MT-AD) based on CNN for gastroscopy inspection. MT-AD can perform two tasks simultaneously. (i) Classify three image types, including informative and non-informative images under white-light gastroscopy and narrow-band imaging (NBI) [14]. Non-informative images are images with a large area of specific artefacts including motion blur, defocus, specular reflections and bubbles. (ii) Detect ten gastric anatomies in real time, including oesophagus, dentate line, cardia (observed from the inside of the stomach), fundus, body, antrum, angle, pylorus, duodenal bulb (DB) and duodenal descending part (DDP). The specific workflow of MT-AD is shown in Fig. 1. When a video is fed into MT-AD, it performs one forward calculation for each frame. If the classification result shows that the frame is not informative, the detection results will not be displayed on the related frame. Consequently, we can count the number of frames of specific anatomy appearing in an examination, and thus the precise time at which each anatomy is effectively examined can be determined. This will help reflect the quality of the gastroscopy inspection process and assist the clinicians in screening the areas that are easily missed during the examinations, and therefore decreasing the rate of missed diagnosis.

The main contributions of this Letter are as follows:

(i) We construct a CNN-based gastric anatomy detection network called MT-AD for evaluating the quality of gastroscopy for the first time. By detecting anatomies, it is also promising to help doctors understand the lesions further.
(ii) The MT-AD model can not only detect the anatomies, but also identify whether the target image is informative.
(iii) We figure out the ratio of the informative frames to the non-informative frames in a gastric video and we obtain the number of informative frames that each anatomy is checked. These indicators can reflect the quality of gastric videos and show the potential to help the clinician improve operating gastroscopy skills.

2. Related works

2.1. Object detection networks: Object detection involves locating and classifying objects. In the deep learning era, powered by CNN, object detection approaches can be roughly categorised into two main types of pipelines, namely, two-stage approaches [15, 16] and one-stage approaches [17]. Two-stage approaches divide the object detection task into two stages: extract regions of Interest (ROI), then classify and regress ROI. One-stage approaches remove ROI extraction process and directly classify and regress the candidate anchor boxes, including Single Shot MultiBox Detector (SSD) [18], which uses features from different convolutional layers to regress and classify the anchor boxes to get high performance. Although one-stage approaches perform not as good as two-stage approaches on small object detection, they have advantages on-time performance.

As shown in Fig. 2, most of the classes belong to large objects in pixel level. We finally choose SSD as the network for the detection task in MT-AD. Although there are many detection networks, which can achieve higher precisions, they usually use more complex modules [19], deepen or widen the networks [20], increase the models’ parameters [21], increase the input size [22] and sacrifice time performance [19]. However, we aim to construct a real-time detection network and time performance is paramount.

2.2. Multi-task learning (MTL) networks: MTL is a form of inductive transfer. The inductive transfer can help improve a model by introducing an inductive bias, which causes a model to prefer some hypotheses over others. MTL usually shares the same backbone of convolutional layers, while learning task-specific layers [23].

Suppose we have two related tasks A and B to solve. The conventional approach is to train a model for each task (see Fig. 3 ‘Solution 1’), while MTL enables the tasks to share the same backbone (see Fig. 3 ‘Solution 2’). Compared with the conventional approach, MTL can reduce model complexity, decrease the computation and improve time performance [24]. However, conventional MTL networks usually combine multiple ‘losses’ together for optimisation. Our MT-AD networks only introduce...
a similar architecture from MTL. The training strategies are essentially different from each other (see Section 3.2).

3. Methods: We design a multi-task neural network called MT-AD to realise gastric image classification and anatomy detection at the same time (see Fig. 4). The blue part depicts detection architecture, which is introduced from SSD [18] without any modification. The green part depicts the classification architecture to classify NBI images, informative images and non-informative images under conventional endoscopy. We set the output of ‘Conv4_3 layer’, which is one of the SSD layers, as the input of classification architecture.

3.1. Classification architecture: Fig. 4 shows the classification network. There are seven convolutional layers, one max-pooling layer and one fully connected layer. For convolutional layers, the parameters include the kernel size, the output feature channel and the stride. The kernel size of the max-pooling layer is set as 5 × 5. The output channel of the fully connected layer is set as 3, which is the same as the classes of the classification task. It shares the same backbone with the detection task. The input of the classification network is the output of the Conv4_3, which is one of the SSD layers.

3.2. Training strategies: Since the detection task is more complex than the classification task, we first fix the parameters of the classification network to train the SSD network. After that, we fix the parameters of SSD (including the backbone network parameters) to train the classification network. The proposed networks were implemented using Python on the Pytorch [25] package based on the GeForce RTX 2080Ti.

3.2.1 Training SSD: We apply the pre-trained model, which was downloaded from SSD’s GitHub (https://github.com/weiliu89/caffe/tree/ssd) to initialise model parameters. The input image size of the proposed network is 300 × 300. The batch size is set as 8. The basic learning rate is 0.0005. The training undergoes 120,000 iterations and the learning rate decays after 80,000 and 100,000 iterations. Other hyper-parameters keep the same as conventional SSD.

3.2.2 Training classification network: We randomly initialise the parameters of the classification network. The input image size of the classification network is also 300 × 300. The batch size is set as 8. The basic learning rate is 0.001. The training undergoes 25 epochs. The learning rate decays after 10 and 20 epochs. The momentum is 0.9 and the weight decay is 0.0001. We adopt cross entropy to compute training loss and stochastic gradient descent to update model parameters.

4. Experiments and results

4.1. Dataset preparing: We invited two experienced endoscopists to label 60,233 gastric images (see the examples of the labelled images in Fig. 2) for the detection task and 40,145 gastric images for the classification task. The datasets for detection and classification are independent and do not overlap with each other. For detection dataset, the training images were collected from 1 May to 15 June 2015. The testing images were collected from 16 to 30 June 2015. The details of the datasets are shown in Tables 1–3. All the images were taken from OLYMPUS EVIS LUCERA ELITE CLV-290SL or OLYMPUS EVIS LUCERA ELITE CLV-260SL, which is the model name of the endoscope device from the same hospital.

4.2. Performance of the detection network: We adopt the PASCAL VOC metric of 2010 as the evaluation method. The mean Average Precision (mAP) of the ten anatomies’ detection is 93.74% when we set the confidence threshold as 0.5. The precision–recall (P–R) curve is shown in Fig. 5.

From Fig. 5 and Table 4, we can find that only the average precision (AP) of the dentate line is 84.93%; other anatomies’ APs are higher than 90%, which means that our MT-AD performs excellently on detecting anatomies.

4.3. Performance of classification network: The classification task aims to classify informative images, NBI images, and non-informative images. The confusion matrix of the classification results is shown in Fig. 6. We can figure out that the accuracy of

---

**Table 1** Dataset of the detection task

| Class     | Training | Testing |
|-----------|----------|---------|
| patients  | 2932     | 843     |
| images    | 47,623   | 12,600  |
| labelled boxes | 59,513 | 15,762 |

**Table 2** Images for each class of the detection dataset

| Class     | Training | Testing |
|-----------|----------|---------|
| oesophagus| 6851     | 1766    |
| dentate line | 4951 | 1356   |
| cardia    | 3955     | 1054    |
| fundus    | 5684     | 1503    |
| body      | 10,723   | 2932    |
| antrum    | 7209     | 1916    |
| angle     | 5050     | 1357    |
| pylorus   | 5930     | 1597    |
| DB        | 4832     | 1175    |
| DDP       | 4328     | 1106    |
| total     | 59,513   | 15,762  |

**Table 3** Dataset of the classification task

| Class            | Training | Testing |
|------------------|----------|---------|
| informative images | 10,053   | 2000    |
| non-informative images | 10,138  | 2000    |
| NBI images       | 13,954   | 2000    |
| total images     | 34,145   | 6000    |
the classification is 98.77%. Each class’s F1 score is 99.01, 99.13 and 98.18%. The high accuracy of the classification task is a prerequisite for the high reliability of the final video statistical results of MT-AD.

4.4. Video statistical results from MT-AD: We totally collected 83 gastric videos from a hospital. All the videos which were taken from OLYMPUS EVIS LUCERA ELITE CLV-290SL with 25 FPS were operated by the same doctor. MT-AD can detect these videos in real time with 30.8 FPS, which is promising for clinical application. Fig. 7 shows examples of MT-AD’s performance. The first row denotes the input images. The second row shows the results generated by MT-AD. And the classification results determine whether display detection results in the images. This method can reduce false positives to some extent.

Fig. 8 shows the statistical results processed by MT-AD. We can find out that the ratio of informative frames and non-informative frames is about 7:3. From Fig. 9, we can also figure out that the doctor takes more time to check the body of the stomach. On the contrary, the time for checking the cardia and the dentate line is relatively short.

All the indicators mentioned in this Letter can reflect the detailed circumstance of the gastroscopy examination process to some degree. These indicators prove that our model has great application potential value for improving the quality of examinations.

| Method  | mAP | Oesophagus | Cardia | Dentate line | Fundus | Body | Antrum | Angle | Pylorus | DB | DDP |
|---------|-----|------------|--------|--------------|--------|------|--------|-------|---------|----|-----|
| MT-AD, % | 93.74 | 94.04 | 94.76 | 84.93 | 95.95 | 95.39 | 93.38 | 95.52 | 93.92 | 94.42 | 95.12 |
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