Molecular mechanisms of Ebola virus pathogenesis: focus on cell death
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Ebola virus (EBOV) belongs to the Filoviridae family and is responsible for a severe disease characterized by the sudden onset of fever and malaise accompanied by other non-specific signs and symptoms; in 30–50% of cases hemorrhagic symptoms are present. Multiorgan dysfunction occurs in severe forms with a mortality up to 90%. The EBOV first attacks macrophages and dendritic immune cells. The innate immune reaction is characterized by a cytokine storm, with secretion of numerous pro-inflammatory cytokines, which induces a huge number of contradictory signals and hurts the immune cells, as well as other tissues. Other highly pathogenic viruses also trigger cytokine storms, but Filoviruses are thought to be particularly lethal because they affect a wide array of tissues. In addition, EBOV causes liver, lungs and kidneys to shut down their functions and the blood vessels to leak fluid into surrounding tissues. In this review, we analyze the molecular mechanisms at the basis of Ebola pathogenesis with a particular focus on the cell death pathways induced by the virus. We also discuss how the treatment of the infection can benefit from the recent experience of blocking/modulating cell death in human degenerative diseases.
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Facts

- The knowledge about Ebola-dependent pathogenesis is limited owing to the need of work into biosafety level 4 (BSL4) laboratories and this represents a significant barrier for experimental study.
- Life cycle modeling systems, including minigenome systems and transcription- and replication-competent virus-like particle (VLP) systems, allow modeling of the virus life cycle under BSL2 conditions; however, all current systems model only some aspects of the virus life cycle relying on plasmid-based viral protein expression.
- Cytopathic effect have been observed in in vitro filovirus-infected cells, but the mechanisms leading to cell death in EBOV infection are far from being understood.
- Electron microscopic analysis of tissues from EBOV-infected animals indicate that infected cells do not undergo apoptosis, but show vacuolization and sign of necrosis.

Open Questions

- What are the mechanisms that control cell fate in EBOV-infected cells?
- How different steps of EBOV life cycle interact/interfere with cell death machinery (apoptosis and autophagy)?
- The modulation of cell death pathways could represent potential therapeutic strategy against EBOV infection?

The virus structure. The Ebola virus (EBOV) belongs to the family Filoviridae. Filoviruses are membrane-enveloped filamentous viruses that contain a negative sense single-stranded RNA. The virus shape is very variable with long tubes and many turns and branches. Morphologically, when studied under the electron microscope, the viral particles look like long stretched filaments with some particles tending to curve into an appearance looking like the number 6'. The long filaments are 80 nm in diameter and either 800–1000 nanometers long. RNA is only 1% of the mass of the virus.1
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The large virus structure is composed of three compartments: the nucleocapsid, the matrix space and the envelope. EBOV does an incredible job being composed of only seven genes, coding for eight proteins (Figure 1). The seven genes are for the nucleoprotein (NP), the viral proteins VP24-VP30-VP35-VP40, L (polymerase) and the glycoprotein (GP). The surface GP is coded by the GP gene, and is expressed in two molecular forms (GP1 and GP2) that are generated by an RNA editing mechanism; it has important roles in virus infection and pathogenesis, and its expression is tightly regulated during virus replication. It has been recently demonstrated that the level of GP1 and 2 expression regulates the virus production and release. The NP embeds the genetic material, forming with proteins VP30 and VP35, a large complex that is involved in synthesizing virus RNAs. Separate genes code for proteins VP40 and VP24 localized in virus matrix space.

Five species of EBOV are known all named after the region where has been identified: Bundibugyo, Reston, Sudan, Tai Forest (formerly Côte d’Ivoire ebolavirus) and Zaire.

The two Zaire (EBOV) variants causing human outbreaks in 2014 in West Africa countries (mainly Guinea Konacry, Sierra Leone and Liberia) and in the Democratic Republic of Congo have been demonstrated, using phylogenetic analysis, to be distinct from each other and from variants known from previous EVD outbreaks. The two viruses have been named ‘Makona’ after the Makona River close to the border between Liberia, Guinea, and Sierra Leone (Ebola virus/H.sapiens/2014/Makona) and ‘Lomela’ after the Lomela River in Democratic Republic of Congo (Ebola virus/H.sapiens/2014/Lomela).

**Virus entry.** EBOV enters the human body via mucosal surfaces, abrasions and injuries in the skin or by direct parental transmission. EBOV then attacks many other organs; in fact, the virus is able to invade almost all human cells using different attachment mechanisms for each cell type, except for lymphocytes. It has been proposed that EBOV can enter the target cells by using different uptake mechanisms including lipid raft, receptor-mediated endocytosis and macropinocytosis (Figure 2). Recent reports have shown that cytoskeletal proteins dynamics, and the involvement of the class I phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase–Akt pathway are critical for EBOV uptake. However, the size of EBOV particles, which have a uniform diameter of 80 nm, varies dramatically in length ranging from 600–1400 nm, and peak infectivity is associated with 805-nm particles. Thus, the size of EBOV particles argues against host cell entry by caveolae (typical for particle sizes ranging from 50–100 nm) or ‘canonical’ clathrin-coated pits (typical for particle sizes, 200 nm). Another group of proteins involved in Filovirus entry are the β1-integrins, which are involved in the uptake of a variety of different viruses. Interestingly, detailed study on one of these integrins, the α5β1-integrin, has demonstrated that it is involved not in EBOV internalization, but rather in the regulation of endosomal cathepsin required for EBOV fusion.

The cholesterol-enriched lipid raft microdomains seem to be very important for EBOV entry. In fact, it has been shown that EBOV entry requires functional rafts. In keeping with this assumption, Filoviruses released from infected cells contain raft-associated molecules, suggesting that viral exit occurs at the rafts. There is a consensus to accept that the plasma membrane raft microdomains represent the gateway for the entry and exit of Filoviruses and generation of VLPs.

The EBOV entry is mediated by the viral spike GP, which docks viral particles to the cell surface. However, additional host factors shuttling from the plasma membrane to the endosomal compartment are required because, subsequent...
to internalization, the virus utilizes the conventional endolysosomal pathway and is trafficked through early and late endosomes before membrane fusion takes place (Figure 2). Using a genome-wide haploid genetic screen in human cells to identify host factors required for EBOV entry, it has been shown that the membrane fusion mediated by the EBOV GPs, as well as the viral escape from the vesicular compartment require the Niemann-Pick C1 (NPC1) protein, independent of its known function in cholesterol transport. The screen also identified the six members of the homotypic fusion and vacuole protein sorting (HOPS) multisubunit tethering complex, which are involved in the fusion of endosomes to lysosomes, as essential intracellular partners of the virus. In line with these findings, cells defective for the HOPS complex or primary fibroblasts derived from human NPC1 disease patients, are resistant to Ebola infection.

Fusion of the viral and cellular membrane is mediated by GP2, which results from proteolytic cleavage of GP1 by the endosomal proteases cathepsin B and cathepsin L. Interestingly, the cathepsin dependence of virus entry seems to be cell-type specific. Although virus entry into Vero cells is dependent on the activity of both cathepsin B and cathepsin L, infection of human dendritic cells (DCs) by EBOV does not require active cathepsin L. Fusion of the viral and cellular membrane leads to the release of the viral nucleocapsid into the cytoplasm of the infected cell where transcription and replication of the viral genome take place. Viral budding occurs either at intracellular membranes, the multivesicular bodies or at the plasma membrane.

**Ebola-induced Major Pathogenetic Events**

The complex array of pathogenetic events involved in the severe clinical manifestation of Ebola derives from a number of mechanisms. They include the direct cytopathogenic effects of the virus, that causes the destruction of infected cells, and indirect effects, that represent an amplifying mechanism leading to the destruction/impairment of several crucial body functions, as those played by the innate and adaptive immune system and by the endothelium.

Analyses of human samples obtained from succumbed patients or from experimentally infected animal models indicated that monocytes/macrophages, DCs, fibroblasts, hepatocytes, adrenal cells and epithelial cells can be productively infected by this virus. Furthermore, various studies suggested that monocytes/macrophages and DC are the early replication sites during EBOV infection. These cells also have key roles in the dissemination of the virus by migrating out of the spleen and lymph nodes to other tissues. Several immunological mechanisms are involved in the pathogenesis of EBOV infection involving both innate and adaptive immune response. In particular, innate immune deregulation (Figure 3) involves inhibition of type-I IFNs response, perturbation of cytokines/chemokines network, functional impairment of DC and natural killer (NK) cells.
Adaptive immune deregulation involves both humoral and cell-mediated immune arms (Figure 4).

Inhibition of type-I IFNs response. Type-I IFNs response is one of the early and key innate mechanisms involved in the antiviral immune response. A protective role of IFN-α was suggested during EBOV infection, as the early IFN-α production was correlated to survival in a mouse model of EBOV infection and in humans. Nevertheless, several observations in vitro and in vivo strongly suggest that EBOV is able to evade type-I IFNs response (IFN-α and IFN-β; Figure 3a). Inhibition of type-I IFNs was initially described in EBOV-infected endothelial cells, and seems to have a key role in filovirus pathogenesis. Moreover, EBOV infection of
Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) failed to induce type I IFNs and inhibited IFN-α production induced by double-stranded RNA. Several viral proteins are involved in this process. The VP35 has been shown to suppress IFN-β production through multiple inhibitory effects that include the disruption of RIG-1 pathway by preventing IRF-3 phosphorylation, the inactivation of IRF-7, and the inhibition of activation of IFN-inducible dsRNA and Dicer-dependent protein kinase R. In addition, other studies suggest a role of VP24 in disrupting both type-I and type II IFNs signaling, by inhibiting the transcription of antiviral genes. Specifically, VP24 prevents the nuclear accumulation of dimerized phosphorylated STAT-1, which participates in both type I (i.e., STAT-1/STAT-2 phosphorylation) and type II (STAT-1/STAT-1phosphorylated-dimer) signal propagation cascades. Finally, recent observations showed that residues within the transmembrane domain of GP contribute to the inhibition of tetherin integrity, steric interference between viral and cellular mechanisms have been proposed such as interference with virus budding from plasma membranes. Several possible mechanisms have been proposed such as interference with tetherin integrity, steric interference between viral and cellular membranes and exclusion of tetherin from the region of plasma membrane from which EBOV bud.

Cytokines/chemokines deregulation. In vitro studies showed that EBOV infection is able to induce a massive cytokines/chemokines production by PBMC or monocytes/macrophages (Figure 3b). Indeed, virion attachment and entry into human macrophages profoundly affects early cellular gene expression. Several inflammatory mediators are induced within the first hour of EBOV exposure, that is, prior to virus gene expression, suggesting a direct role of the GP present on virion surface in inducing an initial inflammatory response. Moreover, the ability of shed GP (resulting from the cleavage of surface GP by the cellular metalloprotease TACE) in inducing inflammatory mediators release has been recently shown. Shed GP is able to bind and activate non-infected DC and macrophages mainly through TLR4 engagement, inducing the secretion of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines. This newly discovered activation mechanism of non-infected immune cells by shed GP could have an important role in the establishment of systemic inflammation during infection, provoking the excessive cytokine storm that appears to be detrimental to survival after infection.

Massive pro-inflammatory cytokines/chemokines release was confirmed during in vivo EBOV infection both in animal models and in humans. Different profiles were associated to different clinical outcome, consistent with the idea that systemic inflammation may contribute to a fatal outcome. Survivors of Ebola infection showed an early and short-lived rise in serum cytokines/chemokines, indicative of innate immune response activation, whereas fatal infection is associated to a deregulated inflammatory immune response. Delayed elevation in serum viral RNA, concurrent with a delayed inflammatory cytokine and chemokine response seems to be associated with survival in the macaque model. Specifically, EBOV infection and functional impairment. The ability of EBOV to infect and replicate in DC has been demonstrated in vitro and in vivo. Interestingly, infected DC exhibited relatively little cell death over 6 days of infection. This sustained ability to survive while infected could offer the virus opportunities to disseminate in vivo.

Immature DC function as sentinels of the adaptive immune system. EBOV-infected DC failed to produce cytokines, including type-I IFNs, and were unable to perform a correct maturation process (Figure 1c). Specifically, EBOV infection, non-survivors develop extremely high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-1RA, IL-6, IL-8, IL-15 and IL-16), chemokines (MIP-1α, MIP-1β, MCP-1, MIF, IP-10 GRO-α and eotaxin) that began rising shortly after disease onset and continued to rise until the last sampling within 2–3 days before death.

In two recent and relatively large studies on human infection, non-survivors develop extremely high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-1RA, IL-6, IL-8, IL-15 and IL-16), chemokines (MIP-1α, MIP-1β, MCP-1, MIF, IP-10 GRO-α and eotaxin) that began rising shortly after disease onset and continued to rise until the last sampling within 2–3 days before death. In contrast, sCD40L, that may represent ongoing repair of altered endothelium by activated platelets, was detected at high levels in survivors and has been proposed as a novel biomarker of clinical outcome. Interestingly, other soluble mediators have been proposed as markers of survival/fatality (IFN-α, IFN-γ, IL-12, IL-17 and TNFα) but different studies showed contrasting results, probably owing to different time of sampling. It is interesting to note that IL-10 may have a critical role in modulating the inflammation/regulation profile. Although IL-10 was mildly elevated in survivors, probably as a feedback mechanism to control the inflammatory response, the increase was short lived, as would be expected once cytokine levels returned to normal levels. However, IL-10 was 6- to 10-fold higher in fatal cases and remained elevated until death.

Thus, suggesting that EBOV-infected macrophages and DC produce inflammatory mediators and chemokines able to recruit additional macrophages and DC to areas of infection, making more target cells available for viral exploitation and further amplifying an already deregulated host response.

As disease progresses, abnormal production of nitric oxide has been shown, inducing several pathological disorders including apoptosis of bystander lymphocytes, tissue damage and loss of vascular integrity, which might contribute to virus-induced shock. Subsequent extensive viral replication leads to increased levels of additional pro-inflammatory cytokines, which then triggers the coagulation cascade. Moreover, death and hemorrhage were associated with elevated thrombomodulin and ferritin levels. An increase of ferritin was also observed in other viral hemorrhagic fever infections such as Dengue and Crimean Congo hemorrhagic fevers. Other soluble mediators were found associated with hemorrhagic manifestation, such as MCSF, MIP-1α, IP-10 and sICAM. In one possible model, these cytokines could recruit leukocytes to areas of inflammation, and the production of adhesion molecules, such as ICAM, would facilitate leukocyte adhesion, rolling, and diapedesis. This would leave an activated, leukocyte-enriched, procoagulant endothelium, causing deregulated hemostasis, which could manifest clinically as hemorrhage. Overall, virus-induced expression of these mediators seems to result in an immunological imbalance, thus contributing to the pathogenesis and disease progression.
infection induced 'aberrant' DC maturation, evidenced by upregulation of cell-surface CD40 and CD80, only small increase of CD86 and HLA-DR, absence of CD11c, CD83 upregulation and failure to decrease CCR5, increased expression of cytokine, chemokine, antiviral and anti-apoptotic genes, without significant changes for the expression of lymph node homing receptors or T-cell co-stimulatory molecule genes.56,59 Aberrant expression of cytokines, chemokines and DC differentiation impairment observed during EBOV infection resulted mainly from the cooperative effect of two different viral proteins VP35 and VP24.60 As expected, the aberrant DC differentiation results in ineffective DC/-T-cell synapses that are unable to induce a correct adaptive immune response (Figure 4). Indeed, EBOV-infected DCs failed to stimulate T-cell proliferation,36,39,57 suggesting that EBOV suppression of DC function prevent initiation of adaptive immune responses and facilitate uncontrolled, systemic virus replication. On the other hand, the downstream effects of antigen-presenting cell dysfunction are profound with a marked lack of adaptive immunity noted in fatal cases of filovirus infection.

In the context of innate immune response, a decisive role of NK cells in inducing a protective immunity by EBOV-like particle administration was suggested in a mouse model.61 VLPs directly activated human NK cells in vitro inducing pro-inflammatory cytokine production and CD95L- or perforin-mediated cytolyis of target cells.62 Differently from what happens in wild-type mice, treatment of NK-deficient or -depleted mice with VLPs had no protective effect against EBOV infection and NK cells treated with VLPs protected against EBOV infection when adoptively transferred to naive mice.61 Nevertheless, a massive loss of NK cells was observed in vivo during Ebola infection both in mice63 and in non-human primates64,65 (Figure 3d). It is well known that NK cells have a crucial role for their ability to mediate direct cytolyis of target cells.62 Differently from what happens in wild-type mice, treatment of NK-deficient or -depleted mice with VLPs had no protective effect against EBOV infection and NK cells treated with VLPs protected against EBOV infection when adoptively transferred to naive mice.61 Nevertheless, a massive loss of NK cells was observed in vivo during Ebola infection both in mice63 and in non-human primates64,65 (Figure 3d). It is well known that NK cells have a crucial role for their ability to mediate direct protective cytotoxicity and to drive adaptive immune response by helping DC maturation.65,66 Thus, the massive NK cell loss in the peripheral blood may have impact on the failure of infected cells clearance but also be partially responsible for the unbalanced maturation signals for DC (Figure 3d).

Adaptive immune response impairment and lymphocytes loss. An effective immune response needs the coordinate activities of both humoral and cellular arms. In recovered patients, robust immune responses, with early and increasing levels of IgM and IgG, was developed during the acute phase of EBOV infection,57 followed by clearance of circulating viral replication markers, although fatal infections were characterized by impaired humoral responses, with absent virus-specific IgG and barely detectable IgM.48 Interestingly, humoral immune response seems to be long lasting, as survivors of EBOV infection have been recently shown to present serum-neutralizing activity and GP-specific IgG 12 years after infection.68 Several mechanisms have been developed by EBOV to escape humoral immune response (Figure 4). Recently a role of heavy glycosylation of the mucin-like domain of viral GP in shielding the cell-free virus from access to potential virus-neutralizing antibodies was described.69 Moreover, EBOV is able to produce a secreted form of GP that can modulate or misdirect host immune response.70,71 In particular, soluble GP promote immune evasion by serving as an antibody decoy for GP or by presenting alternative non-neutralizing antibody epitopes.72 During Ebola infection, the antibody titer represents the best correlate of protection,73,74 however several evidences suggest a key role of T cells in mediating a protective immune response.74–76 The transfer of both serum and splenocytes from EBOV VLP-vaccinated mice, but not serum or splenocytes alone, conferred protection against lethal-EBOV infection, suggesting that both B and T lymphocytes are absolutely required for VLP-mediated protection against EBOV infection.74 Studies measuring the antigen-specific T-cell response are limited by the difficulty to obtain viable PBMC samples and to perform T-cell functional assay in BSL4 facilities. In recovered patients, early and increasing levels of IgG were followed by a parallel activation of cytotoxic T cells, which was indicated by the upregulation of FasL, perforin, CD28 and IFN-γ mRNA in PBMC.77 Notably, T-cell activation was observed at the time of viral clearance, indicating that cytotoxic responses may also be implicated in the resolution of infection through Fas/FasL and perforin pathways. In contrast, in fatal cases, early expression of IFN-γ was paralleled by a massive increase of the apoptotic marker CD95, and was followed by the disappearance of T-cell related mRNA (including CD3 and CD8) in the days preceding death. Moreover, DNA fragmentation in blood leukocytes was observed, indicating that massive intravascular apoptosis occurred during the days immediately preceding death.77 The ability of EBOV-infected PBMC to induce lymphocyte apoptosis was shown in vitro78 and confirmed in vivo in mice,61 in non-human primate animal models69 and in humans.51 In human fatal cases of EBOV infection, a massive CD4 and CD8 T-lymphocyte loss was observed: CD4 and CD8 lymphocytes represented only 9.2% and 6% of PBMC in fatal cases, compared with >40 and 20% in healthy individuals and survivors. Respectively, 54.1% and 75.8% of these cells expressed CD95, values about 10 times higher than those observed in the healthy individuals, suggesting apoptotic mechanisms largely through Fas/FasL interaction.51 Interestingly, despite the apparent lack of virus-specific IgG in non-human primates and in non-surviving human patients,54,77 in non-human primates the number of CD20+ B lymphocytes in the blood appears not to be significantly altered after the filovirus infection.

T-cell apoptosis can be the result of a deregulated DC/T synapse during EBOV infection. It is well known that T-cell activation needs a coordination of three different signals (Figure 4): (i) TCR recognition of MHC-peptide; (ii) binding of several co-stimulatory molecules between DC and T cells; and (iii) balanced ensemble of soluble factors in the microenvironment. A well-orchestrated DC/T-cell interaction of all three signals is necessary to effectively activate CD4 T cells that, in turn, exploit all their help activities, such as clonal expansion of specific T-cell clones, driving CD8 T-cell cytotoxicity, and sustaining antibody-producing B cells. During EBOV infection, we can speculate that T/DC synapsis is ineffective as it is characterized by TCR/MHC-peptide recognition (signal 1) in a high inflammatory microenvironment (deregulated signal 3) but in absence of co-stimulatory accessory molecules on DC surface (ineffective signal 2). As well known, this inappropriate
interaction induces T-cell apoptosis, thus blocking all T-cell helper functions on CD8-mediated cytotoxicity and the production of antibodies by B cells (Figure 4). The final result is a marked collapse of adaptive immune response.

Notably, in a mice model, a residual T-cell function is observed in the remaining cells despite their massive loss in numbers.63 The number of functional T cells that are generated during the late phase of infection is likely too low to control high viral titers although they are sufficient upon transfer to newly infected animals to induce disease control.78 Studies in mouse model indicate that although immediate control of EBOV infection may be achieved by CD8+ T cells, B and CD4+ T cells are important for long-term control and clearance of virus replication.75 During Ebola infection, lymphoid depletion and necrosis have also been reported in spleen, thymus and lymph nodes of dying patients, as well as and in experimentally infected non-human primates. Studies carried out, after the 2000 Ebola outbreak in Uganda, showed a drastic decrease in the number of circulating T lymphocytes in succumbing people but not in survivors. Interestingly, despite the large loss of lymphocytes occurring during the infection, no signs of virus infection in lymphocytes could be detected, suggesting a bystander mechanism of apoptosis. The molecular mechanism leading to the apoptosis induction in bystander lymphocytes during the Ebola infection is not defined, however it has been proposed that it results from the activation of several different cell death modalities. These might include the death receptors pathways mediated by both TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand and Fas, stimulated by soluble mediators or possibly by direct interactions between lymphocytes and EBOV proteins. In addition, recent studies have shown that EBOV does not induce apoptosis in infected cells but rather leads to a non-apoptotic form of cell death,79 possibly by direct interactions between lymphocytes and EBOV proteins. In addition, recent studies have shown that EBOV does not induce apoptosis in infected cells but rather leads to a non-apoptotic form of cell death,79 which is known to induce a long-lasting decrease in endothelial-cell barrier function and is hypothesized to have multiple roles in EBOV pathogenesis.81

Over the last decade, the knowledge of cell death signals involved in disease pathogenesis totally changed. Indeed, in addition to apoptosis, multiple forms of regulated necrosis have been shown to have a key role in pathologies such as sepsis, inflammatory diseases and infectious disorders.82,83 In particular, the regulation of necroptosis in vivo is currently under the focus of many laboratories.84,85 Considering the essential role of TNFα in modulating necroptosis/apoptosis or cell survival, it would be very interesting to determine whether during the EBOV infection the reported necrosis is because of the activation of this cell death modality.

The liver is another important target for EBOV,86–89 probably having an important role in the disease pathogenesis and hepatocellular necrosis have been reported both in patients and in experimental animal models.80 Indeed, the hemorrhagic events typical of the classic Ebola infection could be related to impaired synthesis of blood coagulation protein/enzymes owing to the severe hepatocellular necrosis.51 In a recent study, 399 microRNAs were identified by deep sequencing of tissues of the Black flying fox (a confirmed natural reservoir of the human hemorrhagic fever-inducing pathogens), which has a key role in protecting these animals from developing the disease. Of the microRNAs identified, several were predicted to target genes involved in the DNA damage response, apoptosis and autophagy.92 These findings underline the important link between the hemorrhagic fever pathogenesis and the role played by autophagy in the organism homeostasis. Autophagy aids in the removal of pathogens (a process called xenophagy) by working in conjunction with the innate immune system.93–96 However, several microorganisms have evolved unique mechanisms to circumvent, suppress or exploit autophagic machinery to ensure their own survival and replication.97,98 For instance, HSV-1 and HIV-1 block autophagy to abrogate their degradation through this pathway by blunting autophagosome formation or interrupting autophagosome–lysosome fusion, respectively.99–102 By contrast, pathogens such as polio and dengue activate autophagy to enhance their own replication.103 Ebola VLP containing VP40, GP and NP protect rodents and non-human primates from lethal-EBOV infection, thus representing as good candidates for vaccine. Various findings indicate that eVLP stimulate early innate immune responses through TLRs and type-I IFNs signaling pathways to protect the host from EBOV infection. Interestingly, both TLRs and type-I IFNs mediate induction of autophagy promoting the autophagosome fusion with pathogen-containing phagosomes leading to the elimination of intracellular pathogens.104 In addition, proteins that regulate transport and fusion events between vesicles are important in autophagosome formation and maturation. Rab 7, a protein involved in transport to late endosomes and in the biogenesis of the perinuclear lysosome compartment, is required for the normal progression of autophagosomes to autophagolysosomes.105 EBOV VP24 has been shown by a mass spectrometry approach to interact with many proteins which modulate endosomal trafficking such as Rab-11B and Rab-7a.106 A very recent study identified a role for two-pore channels (TPCs) in EBOV infection.104 The blockade of the TPC

Cytopathogenesis of Non-immune Cells

Although the classic severe EBOV disease presentation is characterized by hemorrhagic events (petechiae, ecchymosis, mucosal hemorrhages and visceral hemorrhagic effusions), studies defining the molecular mechanisms of endothelial impairment are elusive. The major pathogenic events for the endothelial cells seem to be determined by the EBOV GP. The GP has been suggested to have a key role in the induction of cytotoxicity and injury in endothelial cells, which is characterized by cell rounding and detachment associated by down-regulating cell-adhesion molecules typical of anokia.80 It has been shown that VLPs consisting of the EBOV matrix protein VP40 and GP (1,2) can activate endothelial cells and induce a decrease of their barrier function. In contrast, the soluble GP does not activate endothelial cells or change the endothelial barrier function. Interestingly, the VLP-induced decrease in barrier function is further enhanced by TNF-α, which is known to induce a long-lasting decrease in
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function by the selective inhibitor bis-benzylisoquinoline alkaloid, tetrandrine, prevents EBOV from escaping the endosomal network into the cell cytoplasm, and consequently the virus spreading. TPCs are localized in endosomes and lysosomes and their stimulation by nicotinic acid adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NAADP) mediates the release of cytosolic Ca^{2+}.\textsuperscript{105} Interestingly we reported that the activation of TPCs by NAADP leads to an induction of autophagy.\textsuperscript{105} In keeping with these findings, it has been shown that tetrandrine is a potent autophagy agonist. In fact, low dose of this compound induce the formation of autophagolysosomes and the accumulation of GFP-LC3 puncta.\textsuperscript{107} These findings suggest an important role of autophagy in the host response to EBOV infection. Future studies should address this hypothesis.

Conclusions and Future Perspectives

The ongoing Ebola epidemics, determined by a separate clade of EBOV, from the previously identified strains,\textsuperscript{106} has led to \textgreater\textsuperscript{10} 10,000 deaths so far, significantly highlighting the need for specific therapies. At the moment, no approved vaccine or drug is available for Ebola. Experimental vaccines and treatments for Ebola are under development, but they have not yet been fully tested for safety or effectiveness. Current experimental approaches for treatment, or post-exposure prophylaxis of EBOV diseases, are based on: antivirals directly targeting the virus (i.e., small molecules inhibiting viral polymerase, phosphor-oligonucleotides to block viral protein production, single or multiple small interfering RNAs to silence viral genes); compounds targeting host functions required for viral replication and spread (i.e., multi-ion channel inhibitor and adrenocorticotropin antagonist as inhibitor of filovirus cell entry; selective estrogen receptor modulators to control late viral entry); immune-modulating drugs, aiming at promoting of host defense and modulate the harmful host immune responses (i.e., compounds addressing coagulation factors and/or cytokines activity, multiple interferons and anti-opioid peptides); single or multiple mono or polyclonal antibodies for viral neutralization and killing of infected cells; passive transfers of immunity using convalescent plasma; vaccines for post-exposure treatment; finally, advanced life support is recognized as a key intervention to sustain and restore perturbed vital functions in infected patients.\textsuperscript{109–111}

EBOV is able to evade innate and adaptive (both humoral and cellular) responses by encoding for multiple viral proteins that inhibit both type-I IFNs synthesis and response, by masking viral epitopes by glycosylation processes, by deregulating inflammatory response, by preventing DC maturation, thus resulting in a catastrophic failure of innate and adaptive immunity. Thus host factors have a key role for viral replication and release, and may represent good targets for therapeutic strategies. Among host factors representing potentially promising targets for anti-Ebola strategies, newly discovered mechanisms may provide a new perspective for elaborating innovative strategies. In particular, we can take some advantage from the knowledge of cell death in the Ebola pathogenesis to open up the way to new strategies toward the development of antiviral therapeutic approaches. Considering the similarities between the role played by TNF in the pathogenesis of bacterial sepsis and the EBOV infection, it might be possible to envisage the treatment of hemorrhagic fevers with anti-TNF antibodies which are known to protect from sepsis.\textsuperscript{108} TNF inhibition can be achieved with several commercially available monoclonal antibodies or with a circulating receptor fusion protein.\textsuperscript{108} The anti-TNF monoclonal antibody biologics are all currently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for human use and there are no major side effects for short-term treatments as in the case of the EBOV infections. Studies in patients with sepsis have shown that acute statin treatment reduces the risk of developing severe sepsis (multi-organ failure) by 83\%, and multi-organ failure is what kills people with EBOV infection. Moreover, acute treatment with statins and other immunomodulatory agents (e.g., ACE inhibitors, ARBs etc.) significantly improves the 30-day survival in patients hospitalized with pneumonia and sepsis.\textsuperscript{112}

Another possible attempt would be to prevent cell death and in particular necroptosis. For this it would be very important to test in animal models the effects of necrostatin1 which has been shown to be a potent inhibitor of this form of programmed necrosis. In connection with this, when the EBOV-infected cells were treated with dsRNA-dependent caspase recruiter (dsCARE) virus titers were strongly reduced.\textsuperscript{79}

Finally, on the basis of our hypothesis that autophagy can help the host’s innate immune response to fight the EBOV infection, the treatment with autophagy inducers such as rapamycin, resveratrol and other compounds should be tested in animal models.\textsuperscript{113} Thus from these considerations it is possible to conclude that alternative strategies to combat the hemorrhagic viral infections exist, although the development of a specific vaccine is for sure the best approach to prevent these pandemic infections.\textsuperscript{114,115}
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