Genetic and pharmacological inhibition of acid ceramidase prevents asymmetric cell division by neosis

Shai White-Gilbertson, Ping Lu, James S. Norris, and Christina Voelkel-Johnson
Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC 29425
ORCID ID: 0000-0001-6909-6678 (C.V.-J.)

Abstract Radiation treatment failure or relapse after initial response to chemotherapy presents significant clinical challenges in cancer patients. Escape from initial courses of treatment can involve reactivation of embryonic developmental stages, with the formation of polynuclear giant cancer cells (PGCCs). This strategy of dedifferentiation can insulate cancer cells from a variety of treatments and allows a residual subpopulation to reestablish tumors after treatment. Using radiation or docetaxel chemotherapy, we generated PGCCs from prostate cancer cells. Here, we show that expression of acid ceramidase (ASAH1), an enzyme in the sphingolipid pathway linked to therapy resistance and poor outcomes, is elevated in PGCCs. Targeting ASAH1 with shRNA or treatment with the ASAH1 inhibitor, LCL-521, did not impair the formation of PGCCs, but prevented the formation of PGCC progeny that arise through an asymmetric cell division called neosis. Similar results were obtained in lung cancer cells that had been exposed to radiation or cisplatin chemotherapy as stressors. In summary, our data suggest that endoreplication occurs independent of ASAH1 while neosis is ASAH1-dependent in both prostate and lung cancer cells. Because ASAH1 knockout is embryonic lethal but not deleterious to adult animals, targeting this enzyme has the potential to be highly specific to cells undergoing the dedifferentiation process to escape cancer treatments. Pharmacological inhibition of ASAH1 is a potentially powerful strategy to eliminate cells that could otherwise serve as seed populations for recurrence.—White-Gilbertson, S., P. Lu, J. S. Norris, and C. Voelkel-Johnson. Genetic and pharmacological inhibition of acid ceramidase prevents asymmetric cell division by neosis. J. Lipid Res. 2019. 60: 1225–1235.
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The majority of cancer therapies aim at disrupting the mitotic process, which in cancer, by definition, is both deregulated and overactive. Treatments such as ionizing radiation and chemotherapy decommission various pieces of the mitotic machinery, leading to well-known side effects in patients as healthy mitotic cells are invariably impacted. A less understood and unintended effect on the cancer cells is endoreplication (also known as endoreduplication), a process during which genetic material is copied in the absence of mitotic division, resulting in the accumulation of multiple nuclei in a single cell to create polynuclear giant cancer cells (PGCCs) (1, 2). This functionality requires remarkable dedifferentiation and may only occur in a minority of treated cancer cells. Niu and colleagues have elegantly described these treatment-resistant cancer cells as being the somatic equivalent of blastomeres, which comprise the 2- to 16-cell stage of embryogenesis (3, 4). As PGCCs are no longer tied to mitosis, they can survive radiation and/or chemotherapy and have the potential to form the seed population for cancer relapse (5–9). Once treatment stress is relieved, PGCCs undergo a form of asymmetric cell division known as neosis, which is akin to budding and circumvents mitotic catastrophe (10–12). A major challenge in cancer research is to understand how neosis can be prevented in PGCCs.

In recent years, the enzyme, acid ceramidase (ASAH1), has increasingly gained attention as playing a role in therapy resistance and tumor aggressiveness (13–16). ASAH1 is a lysosomal enzyme in the sphingolipid metabolic pathway that hydrolyzes the pro-apoptotic sphingolipid, ceramide, to generate sphingosine. ASAH1 has recently been found to be critical in the survival of cancer stem cells (CSCs) in
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ports our hypothesis and provides a map for how to leverage these similarities.

However, the underlying mechanism by which ASAH1 inhibition resulted in apparent cures remained elusive.

Cancer cells that survive initial treatment and drive recurrence are thought to be generally more fit, have increased drug efflux capacity, or to be senescent, but the novel idea of dedifferentiation as an escape strategy is also gaining support (4, 20, 21). Studies with knockout mice have shown that deletion of ASAH1 is embryonic lethal at the 2- to 4-cell stage, yet conditional knockout mice did not exhibit defects, with the exception of reduced fertility in females (22, 23). We hypothesized that ASAH1 plays a parallel role in the survival of embryonic cells at the blasto-mere stage and dedifferentiated PGCCs, prompting us to investigate the role of ASAH1 in this cancer cell subpopulation. Here, we report that neosis in PGCCs is critically dependent on ASAH1 expression and activity, which supports our hypothesis and provides a map for how to leverage these similarities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture, cell lines, generation of PGCCs

Sources of cell lines were as follows: PPC1 prostate adenocarcinoma cells were obtained from Dr. Dean Tang (Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY); A549 small cell lung cancer cells were a gift from Dr. Besim Ogretmen (Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC); and SW620 colon carcinoma cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD). Cells were cultured in RPMI medium (PPC1) or DMEM (A549, SW620) (Cellgro, Manassas, VA) supplemented with 10% FBS (HyClone, Logan, UT) and 1% anti-biotic-antimycotic solution (Gibco, Grand Island, NY). Cells were maintained at 5% CO2 in a humidified incubator and were periodically verified to be free of mycoplasma contamination with a MycoAlert PLUS kit (Lonza, Allendale, NJ).

To generate PPC1 cells expressing the ASAH1-driven GFP reporter plasmid, pcdNAS3-GFP (plasmid #13031, Addgene) and pGL3-ASAH (a kind gift from Dr. Marion Sewer) containing the −2,740 to +1 ASAH1 promoter were digested with Mlu1/BamH1 to remove the CMV and ASAH1 promoters (24). Following gel purification, the ASAH1 promoter was inserted into the Mlu1/BamH1 sites of the GFP plasmid. PPC1 cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and selected in 600 µg/ml G418 (Cellgro).

SmartVector inducible human shRNA plasmids were purchased from Dharmacon (LaFayette, CO) (ASAH1 shRNA: V3SHH11252-226369646, V3SHH11252-230593210; nontargeting control: VSC11651). Lentiviral vectors were generated according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Dharmacon trans-lentiviral packaging kits).

To generate PGCCs, cells were plated as described for each assay and treated with 5 nM docetaxel (Zydus Hospira Oncology, Lake Forest, IL), 30 µM cisplatin (a gift from Dr. Besim Ogretmen), or were irradiated in a 137Cs γ-iradiator (J. L. Shepherd and Associates, San Fernando, CA) to the indicated dose. Cells were then allowed to undergo endoreplication until PGCCs could be visually confirmed to be the majority of the remaining adherent cells, typically about 48 h. While the doses and timeframes for docetaxel were determined empirically, similar experimental approaches have previously been reported to be dose- and time-dependent phenomena after application of the drug (7). For radiation treatment, we confirmed that PGCC formation in response was also a simple dose- and time-dependent phenomenon by comparing the more experimentally convenient single dose of radiation to an equal fractionated dose, and confirmed that cell responses were similar (supplemental Fig. S1). LCL-521 was developed by and obtained from the Medical University of South Carolina Lipidomics Core facility (25). LCL-521 was dissolved to 50 mM in 100% ethanol and stored at −20°C for no longer than 3 months.

Flow cytometry

For quantification of PGCCs by size, cells were detached with 0.25% trypsin (Gibco), fixed in 4% formaldehyde (Thermo Scientific, Kalamazoo, MI) at 4°C for at least 30 min, washed with PBS (Mediatech, Manassas, VA), and resuspended in FACS buffer (PBS, 5% FBS, 0.5 M EDTA from EM Science, Gibbstown, NJ, and 0.8 mM sodium azide from Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ). As a negative control, a polygon gate was used to describe the size of untreated PPC1 cells, and larger cells outside that gate were considered PGCCs. Alternatively, a histogram over a side-scatter x-axis was used to define PGCCs. For cell cycle analysis, cells were trypanosed and fixed by the dropwise addition of 100% ice-cold ethanol to a final concentration of 50%. Cells were fixed for 30 min at room temperature, spun down, and resuspended in 10 µg/ml RNase (Fisher Biocareagents, Fair Lawn, NJ) and 20 µg/ml propidium iodide (AnaSpec, Inc., Fremont, CA) for 20 min at room temperature. Cells were then washed with PBS twice and resuspended in FACS buffer. For all PGCC quantification assays, 10,000 events per sample were acquired on a BD LSRFortessa cell analyzer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Debris was gated out and the remaining cells (typically 8,500–9,500) were analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc., San Diego, CA). For GFP-expressing PPC1 cells, an additional analysis comparing GFP signal between treatments was performed on the same gated populations.

For CD133 staining, PGCCs were prepared as above, harvested with Cell Stripper (Cellgro), pelleted, and resuspended in 400 µl of PBS, which was then split into two aliquots of 200 µl each for each sample. One aliquot was incubated with 1 µl of anti-CD133 conjugated to a PE fluorophore [Miltenyi Biotech, Cambridge, MA; #CD133/1(AC135)-PE] for 15 min on ice, and one was incubated without antibody. Samples were washed with PBS, resuspended in 3.7% formaldehyde for at least 20 min on ice, washed, resuspended in FACS buffer, and acquired on a BD LSRFortessa. Unstained samples were used to create a negative gate and stained samples overlaid using FlowJo software. Stained cells with signal exceeding the unstained cells were considered positive for CD133. SW620 cells were used as a positive control.

For aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) activity analysis, cells were prepared according to manufacturer’s instructions for the Aldefluor kit (Stemcell, Cambridge, MA). Briefly, cells were trypsinized, resuspended in assay buffer, and each sample split into two aliquots, both of which received substrate, but only one of which received aldehyde activity inhibitor (DEAB). Samples were incubated at 37°C for 40 min and FITC signal indicating aldehyde activity was quantified. DEAB(−)-containing samples were used to draw negative gates for each sample in FlowJo. The matching DEAB(+) sample was overlaid to quantify the percent of positive signal per sample compared with a self-negative control.

Western blotting

Cells were plated at 6 × 105 cells per 100 mm dish and allowed to adhere overnight. The next day, docetaxel (5 nM) or radiation
RESULTS

PPC1 docetaxel- and radiation-derived PGCCs are not canonical stem cells

Radiation treatment failure or relapse after initial response to chemotherapy presents significant clinical challenges in prostate cancer patients. Most cancer treatment modalities target the mitotic process, with radiation inducing mitotic catastrophe and chemotherapeutic agents, such as docetaxel or cisplatin, promoting apoptosis. Therapy response varies between patients but can, in best case scenarios, eliminate the bulk of tumor cells to levels below clinical detection. However, even these patients may relapse, suggesting that a small residual fraction of malignant cells escaped therapy and formed a seed population that enabled the relapse. The goal of this study was to characterize cells that escape treatment. Here, we show that castration-resistant PPC1 prostate cancer cells that survive treatment with 5 nM docetaxel or 8 Gy of ionizing radiation are characterized by a large polyploid morphology (Fig. 1A). Despite the aberrant ploidy of these cells, they do not undergo mitotic catastrophe but, instead, reproduce through neosis, a process similar to budding with cellular extrusions carrying nuclei as shown in Fig. 1B. To determine whether these cells could be characterized as PGCCs as a consequence of endoreplication, we evaluated DNA content and cell size by flow cytometry. Results confirmed that the surviving population after treatment is highly enriched for G2+ cells, a population defined as having more than two full copies of genetic material. The polyploid cells were also measurably larger and more complex, as shown via forward scatter count (FSC) and side scatter count (SCC), respectively. The SCC measure was found to be sufficient to capture the PGCC population, with the G2+ population, scatter plot PGCCs, and high SCC cells all representing the same subset of cells (Fig. 1C, D; supplemental Fig. S2). We also used flow cytometry to determine whether PGCCs are enriched for stem cells that express CD133 and/or have increased ALDH activity (28). CD133 was not detected in any PPC1 sample (Fig. 1E, F), and ALDH activity remained stable after formation of PGCCs (Fig. 1G, H). Despite the lack of these stemness markers, PPC1 PGCCs were able to reestablish a mitotic population. Colonies derived from PGCCs typically present with a seed PGCC and a halo of small mitotic daughter cells (Fig. 1I).
ASAH1 is upregulated in PGCCs

ASAH1 has been associated with therapy resistance in prostate cancer and is significantly elevated in patients who experience radiation treatment failure (19, 29). We hypothesized that ASAH1 would be necessary for escaping treatment stress. To test this hypothesis, we exposed cells to docetaxel or ionizing radiation and performed molecular analysis on cells that responded differently to the therapeutic stimulus. At 48 h, a significant portion of the culture appeared apoptotic and was collected as the “floating” fraction. The remaining attached population assumed PGCC morphology and was collected as the “adherent” fraction. Samples were then analyzed for differences in expression of sphingolipid metabolism enzymes. As shown in Fig. 2A, cleaved PARP indicating apoptosis was detected only in floating cells. In contrast, ASAH1 was detected only in the adherent population. Interestingly, expression of enzymes that function directly upstream (DES1) or downstream (SK1) of ASAH1 were not altered (Fig. 2A).

Next, we investigated the functional consequences of ASAH1 enrichment in the adherent cells and analyzed sphingolipids in apoptotic (ASAH1-null) and surviving (ASAH1-high) populations after exposure to docetaxel or radiation. In apoptotic cells that lack ASAH1 expression, total ceramides increased 2- to 3-fold, although the effect on individual ceramide species differed slightly (Table 1). C16-ceramide increased most significantly with both radiation and docetaxel treatment (~2.5-fold), while sphingosine was 2-fold lower in the floating compared with the adherent population (Fig. 2B, C). Sphingosine serves as a substrate for SKs, which generate the pro-survival metabolite, S1P. However, despite changes in ceramides and sphingosine, S1P levels were not significantly altered between floating and adherent populations (Table 1).

We previously demonstrated that ceramide generated in response to radiation stress transcriptionally induces ASAH1 (19). To determine whether transcriptional activation of ASAH1 occurred in PGCCs, we generated a reporter plasmid in which GFP was expressed from the ASAH1 promoter. Analysis of adherent cells revealed that high levels of GFP are detected in approximately 50% of the cells (Fig. 2D, E). Docetaxel- and radiation-treated cells were gated to obtain two populations each: the high-SCC population that contains PGCCs and the high-GFP population that contains cells with activated ASAH1 promoters. When overlaid, these two populations were found to be overlapping but not fully concordant. Of interest, some PGCCs were not high-GFP (indicated by the red arrows in Fig. 2F). Fewer cells were high-GFP, but not identified as PGCCs (blue arrows in Fig. 2F). We concluded that the ASAH1 upregulation observed in Fig. 2A was primarily accounted for by PGCCs in the adherent population, and that this was fed at the transcriptional level. To rule out a genome-wide amplification of gene expression due to polyploidy, we measured DNA and RNA content in the treatment-resistant cells. DNA content was confirmed to be approximately 8-fold higher per cell after PGCC conversion, while RNA content was only about 2-fold higher (supplemental Fig. S3).
Acid ceramidase is required for neosis

ASAH1 is not required for the formation of PGCCs

To further probe the role of ASAH1 in PGCCs, we generated PPC1 cells that stably express an inducible shRNA-targeting ASAH1. ASAH1 knockdown was confirmed by Western blot analysis, and lipidomics analysis was used to evaluate sphingolipid modulation (supplemental Fig. S4A–C). To test whether cells expressing ASAH1 shRNA are able to form PGCCs, we exposed them to docetaxel and radiation. After 2 days of treatment, similar percentages of PGCCs were detected regardless of ASAH1 expression, and cultures visually appeared the same, with loss of apoptotic cells and the formation of a robust monolayer of PGCCs (Fig. 3A). These results suggest that reduced expression of ASAH1 does not affect the initial response of cells to therapy. Next, we confirmed the results obtained via genetic knockdown of ASAH1 through pharmacological inhibition of ASAH1 with LCL-521. LCL-521 is structurally based on the ASAH1 inhibitor, B13, but is modified with N,N-di-methylglycine (DMG) esters to generate a pro-drug with lysosomotropic properties (25). Through lysosomal targeting, LCL-521 specifically affects ASAH1 (supplemental Fig. S4D). Treatment of PPC1 cells with LCL-521 for 5 h resulted in significantly reduced levels of sphingosine and an associated increase in ceramide (supplemental Fig. S4E, F). Interestingly, sphingosine levels rebounded within 24 h, while ceramide remained elevated (supplemental Fig. S4E, F). Similar to ASAH1 shRNA, pharmacological inhibition of the enzyme with LCL-521 did not affect the formation of PGCCs (Fig. 3B).

ASAH1 is required for PGCC neosis in prostate cancer cells

It has been established that lack of ASAH1 is embryonic lethal at the 2-cell stage (22), and recently Niu et al. (3) have elegantly described treatment-resistant PGCCs as the somatic equivalent of blastomeres at the 2- to 16-cell stage of embryogenesis. We hypothesized that ASAH1 plays a parallel role in neosis of blastomeres and PGCCs. To test this hypothesis, we treated cells expressing nontargeting or ASAH1 shRNAs with docetaxel or radiation to form PGCCs and then allowed those populations to recover. As shown in Fig. 3A, cells expressing the nontargeting (scrambled) shRNA were able to repopulate, with small cells filling in gaps between PGCCs, while lack of ASAH1 expression resulted in significantly reduced levels of sphingosine and an associated increase in ceramide (supplemental Fig. S4E, F). Interestingly, sphingosine levels rebounded within 24 h, while ceramide remained elevated (supplemental Fig. S4E, F). Similar to ASAH1 shRNA, pharmacological inhibition of the enzyme with LCL-521 did not affect the formation of PGCCs (Fig. 3B).
M LCL-521 did not preclude this reproduction, leaving only scattered PGCCs. The arrested PGCCs expressing ASAH1 shRNA retained their polynuclear status, and also sprouted thin branching appendages, but appeared unable to successfully extrude nuclei for budding (Fig. 4A). We repeated the experiment using LCL-521 to pharmacologically inhibit ASAH1. Again, only PGCCs under control conditions were able to generate “neotic offspring,” whereas PGCCs in the presence of LCL-521 did not (Fig. 4B). Cellular morphology with pharmacological ASAH1 inhibition was similar to ASAH1 genetic knockout (Fig. 4B).

To further confirm the hypothesis that ASAH1 is required for neosis by PGCCs, we enriched PGCCs with a filtration step. Docetaxel- and radiation-induced PGCCs were generated, the surviving cells passed through a 20 micron filter, and cells retained by the filter were considered to be an enriched PGCC population. These cells were then sparsely and evenly replated, allowed to recover in the absence or presence of LCL-521, and PGCCs that successfully generated new colonies were counted. Colony formation in the presence of LCL-521 was significantly reduced in PGCCs generated in response to chemotherapy or radiation stress (Fig. 5A, B). These results support our hypothesis that LCL-521 functions as a neosis inhibitor.

**Lung cancer cells are also dependent on ASAH1 for neosis**

Although prostate cancer is the second most common cancer in males, the most deadly malignancy is lung cancer (30). Because neosis has been observed in lung cancer models (9), we were interested in determining whether ASAH1 also functioned as a neosis inhibitor in this cancer. For evaluation of LCL-521 in lung cancer, we selected A549, a non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cell line. Compared with PPC1 cells, A549 cells express higher basal levels of ASAH1 (supplemental Fig. S5A). In the absence of treatment stress, exposure to 10 μM LCL-521 did not significantly affect viability (supplemental Fig. S5B). Exposure to LCL-521 for 5 h significantly decreased levels of sphingosine and increased ceramide (supplemental Fig. S5C, D). Because cisplatin is the clinically relevant drug for NSCLC, we used cisplatin rather than docetaxel as the chemotherapy stress in this model. Similar to PPC1 cells, exposure of A549 cells to radiation or chemotherapy resulted in the formation of multi-nucleated cells capable of undergoing neosis (supplemental Fig. S5E, F). Next, we repeated the filtration-based PGCC selection followed by the colony counting assay. Following therapy stress, only PGCCs in the control groups were able to undergo neosis, while treatment with 10 μM LCL-521 nearly completely prevented recovery (Fig. 5C, D). Taken together, our results suggest that LCL-521 acts as a neosis inhibitor across cells derived from different cancers and multiple treatment modalities.

**DISCUSSION**

The parallels between embryogenesis and carcinogenesis have been explored in great detail, covering cell signaling, morphology, cell fate, and phenotypic markers (10, 31). One aspect of biology that has not been adequately considered from this viewpoint is the role of sphingolipid metabolism. Here, we explored the specific aspect of carcinogenesis inherent in posttreatment cancer relapse. Our group has been interested in how ASAH1 inhibition promotes relapse since observing that the ASAH1 inhibitor, LCL-521, could eliminate relapse in a radiation treatment xenograft model of prostate cancer (19). The finding was striking in its binary result: loss of ASAH1 function abrogated relapse entirely, rather than simply decreasing its frequency or severity. In this model, radiation alone or radiation combined with LCL-521 was used to treat PPC1 tumor xenografts, and all animals reached clinical remission. However, over the course of a 100 day follow-up study,

### TABLE 1. Sphingolipid composition in PPC1 cells

| Sphingolipid       | Adherent [pmol SL/nmol Pi (×100)] | Floating [pmol SL/nmol Pi (×100)] | Radiation [pmol SL/nmol Pi (×100)] |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                    | [pmol SL/nmol Pi (×100)]         | [pmol SL/nmol Pi (×100)]         | [pmol SL/nmol Pi (×100)]         |
| C22:1-ceramide     | 21.28 ± 2.09                      | 52.92 ± 9.03                      | 21.82 ± 4.42                     |
| C24:0-ceramide     | 26.12 ± 10.13                     | 78.98 ± 8.38                      | 27.72 ± 17.08                    |
| C23:1-ceramide     | 10.88 ± 4.60                      | 30.52 ± 4.96                      | 12.08 ± 7.18                     |
| C24:1-ceramide     | 139.32 ± 25.97                    | 322.35 ± 27.71                    | 147.66 ± 71.10                   |
| C24:2-ceramide     | 137.48 ± 65.35                    | 322.87 ± 47.60                    | 142.54 ± 96.40                   |
| C25:1-ceramide     | 23.51 ± 1.85                      | 53.49 ± 9.30                      | 20.61 ± 7.13                     |
| C25:2-ceramide     | 22.65 ± 5.31                      | 49.19 ± 4.70                      | 17.23 ± 7.82                     |
| Other ceramides    | 12.73 ± 3.07                      | 24.24 ± 8.57                      | 14.37 ± 0.69                     |
| dhC16-ceramide     | 1.12 ± 0.44                       | 3.36 ± 1.36                       | 0.85 ± 0.35                      |
| Sphinganine        | 11.67 ± 1.84                      | 5.49 ± 1.16                       | 11.87 ± 1.52                     |
| SMP                 | 0.21 ± 0.05                       | 0.21 ± 0.03                       | 0.30 ± 0.06                      |
| Total ceramide     | 394.0 ± 106.6                     | 964.6 ± 74.1                      | 404.0 ± 218.5                    |

Cells were exposed to 5 nM docetaxel or 8 Gy radiation. After 48 h, floating and adherent cells were analyzed for sphingolipids using LC/MS. Data are the average and standard deviation from two independent experiments each performed in triplicate expressed as picomoles of sphingolipid (SL) per nanomole of inorganic phosphate (Pi) (pmol SL/nmol Pi) × 100. Other ceramides represent the sum of C14, C16, C18:1, C20, C22:1, and C22:2.
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All animals treated with radiation alone robustly regrew tumors, while animals cotreated with radiation and LCL-521 showed no sign of tumor regrowth. This finding suggested that the appearance of remission in animals treated with only radiation actually included an undetectable population of resistant cells that could reestablish the cancer over time, whereas this unnamed population was inhibited or eliminated by LCL-521 treatment.

Based on observations in the current study, we postulated that the cell population capable of surviving treatment and later initiating relapse consists of PGCCs that are strictly dependent on ASAH1. PGCCs formed in both prostate cancer (PPC1) cells and NSCLC (A549) cells when exposed to their clinically relevant treatments of docetaxel/radiation or cisplatin/radiation, respectively. Analysis of the treatment-resistant PPC1-derived PGCCs revealed that ASAH1 was upregulated relative to treatment-sensitive cells (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, PGCCs required ASAH1 for neosis (Figs. 4, 5). The underlying mechanism for this dependence on ASAH1 is of great interest. Previous studies have suggested that ASAH1-generated sphingosine can serve as substrate for SK1 in cancer cells to promote Akt-mediated signaling and the nuclear export of the tumor suppressor, PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog) (32, 33). However, PGCCs did not express elevated levels of SK1 and the SK product, S1P, a pro-survival lipid, increased compared with treatment-sensitive cells (Fig. 2A, Table 1). Intracellular sphingosine levels in adherent cells are higher than in cells that are dying. One possibility is that, in PGCCs, sphingosine has a different role than simply serving as an intermediary between ceramide and S1P. A recent report showed that one biological target of sphingosine is cyclin-dependent kinase 1 (CDK1). Diril et al. (35) demonstrated that intracellular accumulation of sphingosine results in inactivation of CDK1, thereby pausing mitosis, whereas conversion of sphingosine to S1P strongly promoted mitosis (34). CDK1-deficient mouse hepatocytes are unable to divide, but can regenerate through endoreplication, which further supports a relationship between polyploidy and CDK1 (35). On the other hand, cells expressing ASAH1 shRNA did not have reduced sphingosine levels compared with control shRNA, and pharmacological inhibition of ASAH1 with LCL-521 only temporarily decreased sphingosine with levels rebounding by 24 h (supplemental Fig. S3). This suggests the possibility that other ceramidases compensate for reduced levels of sphingosine in the absence of ASAH1 activity. In contrast, the increase in ceramide was maintained in cells lacking ASAH1 and after 24 h of LCL-521 treatment, indicating the possibility that sustained elevated levels of ceramide are required to prevent neosis.

Our results demonstrate that the formation of PGCCs is ASAH1-independent (Fig. 3), but that neosis is ASAH1-dependent (Figs. 4, 5). The dependence of PGCCs on ASAH1 fits seamlessly with the established idea of PGCCs being formed through a process of dedifferentiation to a blastomere-like state, which led to our working model that describes the parallel roles of ASAH1 in embryonic development and cancer (Fig. 6). During embryonic development, lack of ASAH1 does not interfere with the formation of zygotes, as an ASAH1-deficient egg and ASAH1-deficient sperm can fuse. However, the resulting zygote can only
perform cleavage once, reaching the 2-cell blastomere stage before ASAH1 dependence becomes critical (22). Similarly, the formation PGCCs in response to mitotic poisons in cancer cells is ASAH1-independent, but regeneration via neosis is ASAH1-dependent.

PGCCs have been observed in a wide variety of cancers, including after treatment in relapsed cancer (2, 7, 10, 36–40). Certainly, they fit some of the functional definitions of stem cells, in that they survive treatment, divide asymmetrically, and are able to reestablish disease. A single PGCC can establish a tumor in animal models, suggesting that these cells have properties of CSCs (39). However, our experiments suggest that PGCCs may not be canonical stem cells, because known stem cell markers remain unchanged (Fig. 1E–H). Additional analysis will be required to determine the nature and possible markers of PGCCs. Other groups studying the biology of neosis have suggested that the PGCC stage may function as a warehouse for the next generation of cells, and that this new generation more closely matches the definition of CSCs (10, 12). These PGCC progeny, termed “Raju” cells, share transient CSC features (such as reactivation of telomerase and potential to differentiate) and are also worthy of focused attention as drivers of relapse. However, if neosis in PGCCs can be prevented though ASAH1 inhibition, the threat of stem-like Raju cells could be addressed preemptively, thus preventing relapse.

A recent review suggested that the current treatment focus on inhibiting mitosis in cancer cells is only addressing part of the disease process. Without a tandem inhibition of neosis, cancer patients may be left with a posttreatment occult subpopulation of dedifferentiated cancer cells that are less ordered and more dangerous than the original disease (8). It is widely understood that the biology of cancer relapse tends to be more aggressive, more metastatic, and more resistant to treatment, which has largely been attributed to the fact that the cells remaining after treatment have been selected for hardiness. Research in the neosis field suggests that the resistant cells are not only hardy, but, due to dedifferentiation and highly aberrant asymmetrical division of nuclear material, are in fact more genetically unstable (41).

Our data suggest that making ASAH1 a priority target in cancer therapy could, for the first time, rationally address a mechanism that underlies relapse, which has immediate clinical applications. While ASAH1 is a druggable target, specific inhibitors of this enzyme are still under development (29). However, two drugs that are in clinical use have been shown to inhibit ASAH1. Recently, the 5-fluorouracil derivative, carmofur, was shown to directly bind to and inactivate ASAH1 (42). Carmofur has been used as an adjuvant chemotherapy in China, Japan, and Finland, but is not FDA-approved in the United States (43). Tamoxifen also inhibits ASAH1 in cancer cells, although the mechanism appears to involve a decrease in ASAH1 protein levels rather than direct enzymatic inhibition (44).

An alternate approach to targeting ASAH1 is to identify other gene products for which full genetic ablation is embryonic lethal at the blastomere stage but largely phenotype-free in adult animals, which could lead to additional novel druggable targets to inhibit neosis. To date, research...
Acid ceramidase is required for neosis on therapies that target neosis has been limited. One drug with possible anti-neotic effects is mifepristone. A recent study demonstrated that mifepristone, an antiprogestin that is used as emergency contraception, inhibited the regrowth of a cisplatin-resistant A549 lung cancer cell subpopulation, which presumably reproduced via neosis (45). Interestingly, similar to LCL-521, mifepristone resulted in the formation of spindle-like cellular projections. Furthermore, like the inhibition of ASAH1, mifepristone would normally be considered toxic to early stages of development without dire consequences for differentiated cells. Although carmofur and tamoxifen inhibit ASAH1 through “off-target” effects, it is not known whether mifepristone inhibits ASAH1 or whether the drug inhibits a different target that is required for neosis. Neosis inhibitors have the potential to be used in conjunction with radiation or chemotherapy to eliminate PGCCs, as they are generated due to therapy stress. Because neosis or neosis-like processes are limited to PGCC or embryonic development, such an approach would presumably have relatively few side effects.

In summary, parallels between embryogenesis and carcinogenesis have been elucidated with increasing levels of sophistication over decades. We were particularly interested in the connection between PGCCs and blastomeres (3). During development, blastomeres are not only dependent on ASAH1, but form the basis of the later stage trophoblasts, which also share characteristics with PGCCs, including a tendency toward polyploidy and dependence on ASAH1 (2, 10, 22, 46). Our study presents actionable data that suggests a way to leverage these parallels into cancer treatment. Expression of ASAH1 shRNA had very little phenotypic effect on PPC1 cells at baseline, and pharmacological inhibition of ASAH1 inhibition was also largely ineffective against mitosis, because neither PPC1 prostate cancer cells nor A549 lung cancer cells were significantly impacted by exposure to LCL-521 alone. In contrast, once cancer cells were forced into a PGCC state to avoid mitotic poisons, inhibition of ASAH1 resulted in dramatic loss of potential to undergo neosis. Therefore, combination of traditional mitosis-targeting treatments for bulk tumor reduction and ASAH1 inhibition to prevent neosis in PGCCs may be the key to a robustly effective therapy.
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