Diabetic Hand Infections: Factors at Presentation Influencing Amputation and Number of Surgical Procedures
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Abstract

Background  Diabetic hand infections are associated with significant morbidity and disability. Amputations cause permanent disability, and multiple surgical procedures lead to morbidity. Diabetic foot infections have been well-studied but literature on hand infections is limited. We undertook a retrospective study of patients with diabetic hand infections operated at our center to study the factors at presentation with significant association with amputation and number of surgical procedures.

Patients and Methods  Demographic data of 51 patients was collected. The six parameters, namely, duration of diabetes, “onset of symptoms to presentation” interval, presence of comorbidities, HbA1c level, random blood sugar (RBS) levels at admission, and culture characteristics were selected for statistical analysis to find a relationship with the two outcome variables: number of procedures done and need for amputation.

Results  On bivariate analysis, Gram-negative infection was found to have a significant relationship with the need for multiple of procedures (p = 0.014). The mean difference between the “onset of symptoms to presentation” interval between the amputation/non-amputation groups (2.9 days, p = 0.04) and the multiple procedures/non-multiple procedure groups (4.4 days, p = 0.02) was found to be statistically significant. Presence of comorbidities, long duration of diabetes, HbA1c, and RBS levels at admission did not show any statistically significant association with the two outcome variables studied.

Conclusion  In the present study, we found that infection with Gram-negative organisms is significantly related to the need for multiple surgical procedures. A delay in presentation can influence the risk of amputation as well as multiple procedures. Institution of early appropriate care is important to get a good outcome.

Introduction

Diabetic hand infections can result in significant morbidity and disability. Literature on diabetic hand infections is sparse compared with diabetic foot infections. Mann and Peacock in 1977 stated that the importance of these infections is often referred to but seldom reported.¹ Literature review done by them then showed only two recorded case reports.
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According to their study, 5% of all inpatients with hand infections are diabetics. Subsequent studies have quoted an incidence ranging from 0.7% to as high as 12%. A PubMed search for articles on diabetic hand infection from India provided three retrievable original articles; one each from the northern, eastern and southern parts of India. One of the main concerns among patients with diabetic hand infections is the risk of amputation and multiple surgical procedures which, in turn, influence the length of inpatient stay and the cost of care, which are the second common concern of the patients. This study was intended to find out the factors present at the time of arrival, which have a significant relationship with the risk of amputation and multiple surgical procedures.

**Patients and Methods**

A retrospective study on 51 diabetic patients, who were operated for hand infections at our hospital from August 2015 to October 2019, was done. The basic demographic and treatment related details were collected (Table 1). Out of these variables, six parameters, namely, 1) duration of diabetes, 2) “onset of symptoms to presentation” interval, 3) presence of comorbidities, 4) HbA1c level, 5) random blood sugar (RBS) level at admission, and 6) culture characteristics were chosen for bivariate analysis to assess the relationship with the outcome variables, namely, the need for multiple procedures and amputation. We chose to study these six variables, since they have been the subject of examination in some earlier studies. Quantitative variables are summarized using mean and standard deviation (SD). Qualitative variables are summarized using frequency and proportion. Independent t-test and Mann–Whitney U test were used for comparison of selected quantitative variables. Mann–Whitney U test was used for analysis of non-parametric variables. Chi-square

**Table 1** The list of variables studied

| Sl. no. | Variables studied                                      | N  | Mean (SD) | Median (IQR) | Minimum | Maximum |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------|----|-----------|--------------|---------|---------|
| 1     | Age                                                    | 51 | 56.0 (9.9) | 56 (13)      | 34      | 86      |
| 2     | Gender                                                 | 51 | 6.6 (7.1)  | 5 (9.2)      | 0       | 30      |
| 3     | Duration of diabetes Mellitus (years)                  | 49 | 11.4 (9.9) | 10 (8.5)     | 1       | 14.8    |
| 4     | Presence of comorbidities—hypertension, ischemic heart disease, renal dysfunction, renal transplantation | 45 | 10.2 (4.8) | 11.2 (4.8)   | 5       | 596     |
| 5     | Onset of symptoms to presentation interval             | 42 | 274.9 (130.4) | 231 (187.9) | 95     |
| 6     | Diagnosis at presentation                             | 27 | 187.9 (122.2) | 179 (118.7) | 69     |
| 7     | Onset—spontaneous/predisposing factors                | 25 | 9012 (2945.5) | 9100 (2945.5) | 1500   | 16500   |

Abbreviations: RBS, random blood glucose; WBC, white blood cell.

**Table 2** Summary of the quantitative variables

| Factors                              | N  | Minimum | Maximum | Mean (SD) | Median (IQR) |
|--------------------------------------|----|---------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| Duration of Diabetes Mellitus (years)| 51 | 0       | 30      | 6.6 (7.1) | 5 (9.2)      |
| Onset to presentation (days)         | 49 | 1       | 60      | 11.4 (9.9)| 10 (8.5)    |
| HbA1C (%)                            | 45 | 1.5     | 4.5     | 10.2 (4.8)| 11.2 (4.8)  |
| RBS (mg/dL)                          | 42 | 95      | 596     | 274.9 (130.4)| 231 (187.9) |
| FBS (mg/dL)                          | 27 | 69      | 1500    | 187.9 (122.2)| 179 (118.7) |
| WBC count (cells per mm³)            | 25 | 9100    | 16500   | 9012 (2945.5)| 9100 (2945.5)|
and Fischer tests were used for comparison of the selected categorical variables. We obtained the approval of the Institutional Review Board for conducting the study.

All the study patients were admitted for surgical management. White blood cell (WBC) count, renal function tests (RFT), estimation of C-reactive protein (CRP), HbA1c, and glucose levels were measured on admission. Debridement, incision and drainage and amputations were done as emergency procedures, as per need. Adequate debridement was made to achieve healthy wound margins. The tissue or pus was sent for microbiological culture and antibiotic sensitivity. All the patients received intravenous cefuroxime 1.5 g on admission, and antibiotics were changed later, as per the culture and sensitivity report. Wounds were dressed daily, and redebridement and further reconstructive procedures were done as per the condition of the wound. Physiotherapy was initiated within 48 to 72 hours of surgery.

### Results

A total of 51 patients were included in the study, and the mean age at presentation was 56 ± 9.9 years (range: 34–86 years). In total, 66 percent (n = 34) of the patients were males. Twenty-seven percent (n = 34) of the patients were diagnosed to have diabetes only at admission. The results are summarized in **Table 2** and **Table 3**. Thirty-nine percent (n = 20) of patients had associated comorbid illnesses other than diabetes: systemic hypertension (n = 14), renal transplantation (n = 2), ischemic heart disease (n = 8), and cerebrovascular accident (n = 1). Five patients had associated diabetic foot ulcer. At admission, eight patients had a history of amputation of either upper or lower extremity parts due to diabetes.

Only one patient presented to us within 48 hours of onset of symptoms; in this case, the presenting feature was a bleb. The mean of the time interval from onset of symptoms to presentation at our hospital was 11.4 days with an SD of 9.9 days. During this period, 10 patients resorted to self-treatment. Six patients did not take any treatment due to lack of concern and ignorance regarding the potential consequences. The rest of the patients sought appropriate medical help late, as they took initial treatment in the form of local application of ointments or antibiotics and underwent inadequate drainage or debridement. None of the patients who underwent surgical treatment within 7 days of onset of symptoms had to undergo amputation.

The laboratory results are given in **Table 2**. The microbiological examination of the infected pus or tissue was sent in 23 patients. Eleven cultures showed no growth, six grew *Staphylococcus* species (four were coagulase-negative Staphylococci [CoNS] and two were *Staphylococcus aureus* species), four grew *Klebsiella* species, and two grew *Escherichia coli*. The antibiotic sensitivity reports and admission details revealed that the empirical cefuroxime was changed to the sensitive antibiotic in five patients; the organisms were sensitive to cephalexin, ofloxacin and linezolid.

The clinical features ranged from cellulitis to necrotizing fasciitis, as shown in **Fig. 1,2**. The etiology when analyzed showed that it was spontaneous in 30 of these patients, and the next common causes were traumatic injuries and prick injuries.

The surgical procedures ranged from incision and drainage of the abscess, debridement of infected tissue to soft tissue cover. Sixteen patients had to undergo amputation, and one post renal transplant patient underwent multiple procedures for shortening and closure of the digit. Eighteen patients

| Variables                              | Category          | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------|
| Gender (n = 51)                         | Male              | 34        | 66.7    |
|                                        | Female            | 17        | 33.3    |
| Presence of comorbidities (n = 51)     | Present           | 20        | 39.2    |
|                                        | Absent            | 31        | 60.8    |
| HbA1C (%) (n = 45)                      | 7% and above      | 38        | 84.4    |
|                                        | less than 7%      | 7         | 15.6    |
| RBS (mg/dL) (n = 42)                    | 200 and above     | 28        | 66.7    |
|                                        | Less than 200     | 14        | 33.3    |
| Culture characteristics (n = 23)        | Gram-negative     | 6         | 26.7    |
|                                        | Gram-positive and others | 17 | 73.9    |
| Mode of injury (n = 51)                 | Spontaneous       | 30        | 58.8    |
|                                        | Injury            | 21        | 41.2    |
| Amputation status (n = 51)              | Yes               | 16        | 31.4    |
|                                        | No                | 35        | 68.6    |
| Multiple procedures (n = 49)            | Yes               | 18        | 36.7    |
|                                        | No                | 31        | 63.3    |

Abbreviation: RBS, random blood sugar.

**Table 3** Frequency of the variables studied
underwent two or more surgeries. Flap division and surgical syndactyly separation were excluded from this count. Seven patients underwent reconstructive surgery in the form of tendon repair (1), split thickness skin grafts (5), local transposition flap (1), cross finger flap (3) and groin/hypogastric flap (7) (Figs. 3, 4 and 5). Primary flap cover was done in 3 out of the 11 patients who underwent flap cover. In the other eight patients, the mean time interval between debridement and flap cover was 15 days. The skin grafts showed 100% take, and all the flaps survived. The mean duration of hospital stay was 6 days.

Bivariate analysis was done to assess the relation between selected independent variables (duration of diabetes, onset to presentation interval, presence of comorbidities, HbA1C, RBS, and culture characteristics) with the outcome variables (amputation and multiple procedures) (Table 4 and 5). Gram-negative infection was found to have a significant relationship with the risk of multiple procedures ($p = 0.014$). A significant difference was noted in the mean duration of onset of symptoms to presentation between amputation and non-amputation groups (delay of 2.9 days, $p = 0.04$) as well as between multiple and single procedure categories (delay of 4.4 days, $p = 0.02$) (Table 6). Long duration of diabetes, presence of comorbidities, and HbA1c and RBS levels at admission did not show any significant relation to both the outcomes studied.

Diabetic Hand Sepsis and Mortality

Of the 51 patients in the study, three patients expired. Two of them died due to severity of sepsis, and one expired 3 years later due to causes unrelated to hand infection. One of the expired patients was a 70-year-old man who presented to us with necrotizing fasciitis of the forearm and hand, following a thorn prick in the hand, and presented to us 60 days later. He had a random blood glucose level of 171 mg/dL and an HbA1c of 11%. Debridement was done twice; he had acute kidney injury, hypotension and diabetic ketoacidosis, and he expired on the 10th postoperative day. The second patient had an infected wound with cellulitis and presented to us after 10 days. He had a RBS level of 221 mg/dL and underwent debridement twice. He developed acute kidney dysfunction and acute respiratory distress syndrome. After 9 days of hospitalization and intensive care, he expired. The third patient had an uneventful postoperative period.

---

**Fig. 1** (A, B, C and D) Varied presentation ranging from paronychia to necrotizing fasciitis.

**Fig. 2** Clinical features of the patients at the time of presentation.
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for the hand infection. Three years after the hand infection episode, he underwent left below knee amputation elsewhere for diabetic foot ulcer and expired 3 weeks post amputation.

Discussion

Diabetes has a negative impact on the outcome of hand infections. Prompt diagnosis and early treatment are the keys to successful outcome in diabetic hand infections. The various factors which are likely to have an impact on the outcome were studied. We found that a delay in presentation and the type of organism causing the infection to have a significant relationship with the outcome of these infections.

Age and Gender

The mean age of presentation in our study was 56 years. Most of the studies on the topic showed a mean age between 50 and 60 years at presentation. Literature review shows that the gender affection varies with population. Our study showed a male preponderance (34/51). The articles on tropical diabetic hand syndrome (TDHS) from Africa and sub-Saharan regions\(^{8,9,12}\) show a higher incidence in females, while those from the rest of the world show a male preponderance.\(^{13,14}\) This is because females are the main labour force of the family in the African homes, and due to their cultural practices, they do not frequent hospitals; hence, the delay in presentation of a post traumatic infected hand ulcer.\(^9\)

Duration of Diabetes

In the present study, 27.5% (n = 14) of patients were diagnosed to have diabetes only on admission for the hand infection. This is higher than those found in studies elsewhere—9% in the study by Gonzales et al\(^{11}\) and 15% in the study by Benotmane et al.\(^2\) This probably indicates poor and infrequent preventive health care check-ups done in Indian population. The ulcer in the hand led to the diagnosis of diabetes in these patients. So, for around one-third of the study population, a diagnosis of diabetes remained undetected. We could not find a significant relationship between long duration of diabetes and the outcome variables, and the above observation could have influenced it. The average duration of...
diabetes was 6.6 ± 7.1 years. Wang et al. from China in their study on hand infections in patients admitted with diabetic foot ulcer found a significant correlation between prognosis of the hand infection and longer duration (> 3 years) of diabetes.

**Onset of the Hand Infection**

Majority of patients, 58.8% (n = 30) in this study could not recollect a precipitating event that led to hand infection. Probably, the trauma to their hands with reduced sensation goes unnoticed and grabs attention only when the wound gets infected. Gonzales et al. documented that 15 out of 45 patients had an unknown or spontaneous onset history, while others had crush or laceration injuries.

**“Onset of Symptoms to Presentation” Interval**

The mean "onset of symptoms to presentation interval" was 11.4 days (SD = 9.9 years). Mann and Peacock in their 1977 publication said that there was a lapse of 10 days before they sought medical help. The scene has not changed much. When it comes to tropical diabetic hand syndrome (TDHS), Abbas et al. and Nthumba et al. found a delay of 14 days and 23 days, respectively. On comparison, there was a statistically significant difference in

| Variables                               | Categories                  | Amputation | Total | p-Value | OR (95% CI) |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------|---------|-------------|
| Duration of DM (n = 51)                 | 5 years and above           | 9          | 31.03 | 0.95    | 0.96 (0.29–3.18) |
|                                          | Less than 5 years           | 7          | 31.82 |         |             |
| Duration from onset to presentation (n = 49) | 10 days and above          | 11         | 40.74 | 0.09    | 3.09 (0.82–11.67) |
|                                          | Less than 10 days           | 4          | 18.18 |         |             |
| Comorbidities other than DM (n = 51)    | Present                     | 7          | 35.00 | 0.65    | 1.32 (0.39–4.38) |
|                                          | Absent                      | 9          | 29.03 |         |             |
| HbA1C (9%) (n = 45)                     | 7% and above                | 13         | 34.21 | 0.29    | 3.12 (0.34–28.74) |
|                                          | Less than 7%                | 1          | 14.29 |         |             |
| RBS (mg/dL) (n = 42)                    | 200 and above               | 7          | 25.00 | 0.80    | 0.83 (0.19–3.52) |
|                                          | Less than 200               | 4          | 28.57 |         |             |
| Culture characteristics (n = 23)        | Gram-negative               | 1          | 16.67 | 0.95    | 0.93 (0.08–11.17) |
|                                          | Gram-positive and others    | 3          | 17.65 |         |             |

Abbreviations: DM, diabetes mellitus; RBS, random blood sugar.

* Chi-square test.
* Fisher's exact test.

| Variables                               | Categories                  | Multiple procedures | Total | p-Value | OR (95% CI) |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------|---------|-------------|
| Duration of DM (n = 49)                 | 5 years and above           | 7                   | 25.93 | 0.08    | 0.35 (0.11–1.16) |
|                                          | Less than 5 years           | 11                  | 50.00 |         |             |
| Duration from onset to presentation (n = 47) | 10 days and above          | 12                  | 48.00 | 0.07    | 3.14 (0.88–11.16) |
|                                          | Less than 10 days           | 5                   | 22.73 |         |             |
| Comorbidities other than DM (n = 49)    | Present                     | 8                   | 44.44 | 0.39    | 1.68 (0.51–5.56) |
|                                          | Absent                      | 10                  | 32.26 |         |             |
| HbA1C level (n = 44)                    | 7% and above                | 14                  | 37.84 | 1.00    | 0.81 (0.16–4.18) |
|                                          | Less than 7%                | 3                   | 42.86 |         |             |
| RBS level (n = 40)                      | 200 and above               | 11                  | 40.74 | 0.89    | 1.10 (0.28–4.26) |
|                                          | Less than 200               | 5                   | 38.46 |         |             |
| Culture characteristics (n = 23)        | Gram-negative               | 6                   | 100.00 | 0.014  | –           |
|                                          | Gram-positive and others    | 6                   | 35.29 |         |             |

Abbreviations: DM, diabetes mellitus; RBS, random blood sugar.

* Chi-square test.
* Fisher's exact test.
the mean of “onset of symptoms to presentation” interval between amputation and non-amputation groups ($p = 0.04$) and multiple and single procedure groups ($p = 0.02$). Early presentation to hospital is critical to the outcome. The common factors which led to delayed presentation in all the studies are ignorance of the patient or the health care provider and resorting to traditional healing methods.

Presence of Comorbidities
We did not find a relationship between the presence of comorbidities and the outcome variables. But we observed that two of our patients, who were renal transplant recipients, underwent digital amputation. This has been previously reported by Francel et al.\textsuperscript{15} where he states a 100% amputation rate in diabetic patients who had renal transplantation. Gonzales et al.\textsuperscript{11} found that the need for amputation had a correlation with renal failure, polymicrobial, anaerobic or Gram-negative infection, and deep infection.

Laboratory Results
Among the laboratory values, HbA1C and RBS at admission were analyzed and were not found to have a significant relationship with the outcomes. Gurbuz and Ekinci\textsuperscript{16} have reported that although HbA1C is a good biomarker for glucose level monitoring, it cannot predict the severity of the diabetic hand infection, and our study has also found the same. Although hyperglycemia is associated with suppression of immunity and in turn the occurrence of soft-tissue infections, we did not find a significant association between HbA1C or RBS and the outcome variables, risk of amputation and multiple procedures.

Culture Characteristics
In our study, there was equal distribution of Gram-positive and negative cultures. The patients with infections with Gram-negative cultures needed more procedures, which was statistically significant ($p = 0.014$). Significant variability has been noted among studies regarding the culture characteristics of the microorganism involved in diabetic hand infections.\textsuperscript{1,2,11,13-15,17} In a study on the microbiology of diabetic foot ulcers, the isolation rate of Staphylococcus species was 18.5%, of which 80% were Staphylococcus aureus and 20% were CoNS.\textsuperscript{18} However, in our study on diabetic hand infections, 67% of the Staphylococci were CoNS.

Surgical Procedures
We performed a total of 101 procedures in 51 patients, an average of two surgeries per patient, with eight being the maximum number of procedures done in a patient. Gonzales\textsuperscript{11} in their study on 46 patients mentioned that 50% of the patients underwent two or more procedures. Four needed either skin graft or groin flap. Mokifoya et al.\textsuperscript{19} in their study on 21 patients reported that two of their patients needed skin graft and two needed flap cover. They did a radial forearm artery flap, and both the cases suffered a partial loss. In our study, we found that seven patients required a second debridement due to fulminant infection and extension of the abscess. Skin/soft-tissue cover was needed in 17 of our patients.

We looked up the Indian studies on diabetic hand infections and found that in the study by Raveendran et al.\textsuperscript{5} the study population consisted of 39 cases admitted in their center over 6 years. The profile showed a male preponderance: 24/39 patients (61.5%). Bacteriological culture revealed

---

**Table 6** Comparison of the mean of the selected factors between different groups

| Variables          | Amputation                        | Multiple procedure                  |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                    | Amputation status ($n$) | Mean (SD) | $p$-Value* | Multiple procedure status ($n$) | Mean | $p$-Value* |
| Duration of DM (years) | Yes (16) | 6.76 (8.33) | 0.70* | Yes (18) | 3.80 (5.18) | 0.02* |
|                    | No (35) | 6.54 (6.55) | | No (31) | 8.31 (7.76) | |
| Onset to presentation (days) | Yes (15) | 13.40 (7.30) | 0.04* | Yes (17) | 13.18 (7.28) | 0.02* |
|                    | No (34) | 10.50 (10.84) | | No (30) | 8.80 (6.55) | |
| HbA1C (%)           | Yes (14) | 9.44 (2.38) | 0.23 | Yes (17) | 10.56 (2.92) | 0.44 |
|                    | No (31) | 10.50 (2.84) | | No (27) | 9.89 (2.67) | |
| RBS (mg/dl)         | Yes (12) | 277.15 (125.76) | 0.98* | Yes (16) | 276.88 (125.9) | 0.91* |
|                    | No (30) | 274.01 (134.36) | | No (24) | 280.01 (139.23) | |
| WBC count ($n$)     | Yes (6) | 8700.00 (2651.04) | 0.76 | Yes (8) | 10062.50 (3841.11) | 0.46 |
|                    | No (19) | 9110.53 (3094.06) | | No (16) | 8956.25 (1625.62) | |

Abbreviations: DM, diabetes mellitus; RBS, random blood sugar; SD, standard deviation; WBC, white blood cell.

* Independent $t$-test.

Mann–Whitney U test.
a polymicrobial picture in 13/25 (52%) culture-positive patients. Seven patients (17.94%) required amputation and 15 patients (38%) required skin and soft-tissue cover; 12 needed split thickness skin grafting and three needed flap cover (two cross-finger flaps and one posterior interosseous flap). They found an association between severe necrotizing infections and the parameters: poor glycemic control, polymicrobial infection, and delay in presentation. The duration of hospitalization and amputation rates was greater in these patients. The study on the cutaneous manifestations of diabetes showed that 41% of the skin lesions were related to infections. Another study was a case report of two cases with TDHS, which were aggressively managed and went on to give good outcome.

**Limitations**

The follow-up and long-term outcome of the patients who had undergone surgical procedures were not studied. The status of peripheral neuropathy and peripheral vascular disease was not addressed in this study. Although all the diabetic hand infection patients over a period of 4 years were included in the study, the sample size was small enough to find out any significant association between the independent and outcome variables.

**Conclusion**

The “onset of symptoms to presentation” interval was found to be an important variable in the prognosis of diabetic patients with hand infections. There was a difference in delay of 2.9 days and 4.4 days between the amputation/nonamputation groups and multiple/nonmultiple procedure groups, respectively, and the difference was found significant ($p = 0.04, p = 0.02$). Awareness is needed to refer diabetic patients with symptoms of hand infection early enough in the disease course to avoid any delay in seeking appropriate treatment, since it can lead to loss of the limb or multiple surgeries like serial debridement and soft-tissue cover, as evidenced by our study. Hand infection with Gram-negative organisms need prompt and aggressive management to avoid the disease course to avoid any delay in seeking appropriate treatment. Although all the diabetic hand infection patients over a period of 4 years were included in the study, the sample size was small enough to find out any significant association between the independent and outcome variables.
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