Synthesis and phototoxicity of isomeric 7,9-diglutathione pyrrole adducts: Formation of reactive oxygen species and induction of lipid peroxidation
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ABSTRACT

Pyrrolizidine alkaloids (PAs) are hepatotoxic, genotoxic, and carcinogenic in experimental animals. Because of their widespread distribution in the world, PA-containing plants are probably the most common poisonous plants affecting livestock, wildlife, and humans. Upon metabolism, PAs generate reactive dehydro-PAs and other pyrrolic metabolites that lead to toxicity. Dehydro-PAs are known to react with glutathione (GSH) to form 7-GSH\(_{-6,7\text{-dihydro-7-hydroxy-1-hydroxymethyl-5H-pyrrolizine (7-GS-DHP) in vivo and in vitro}}\) and 7,9-diGS-DHP in vitro. To date, the phototoxicity of GS-DHP adducts has not been well studied. In this study, we synthesized 7-GS-DHP, a tentatively assigned 9-GS-DHP, and two enantiomeric 7,9-diGS-DHP adducts by reaction of dehydromonocrotaline with GSH. The two 7,9-diGS-DHPs were separated by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and their structures were characterized by \(^1\)H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and \(^1\)H-\(^1\)H correlation spectroscopy (COSY) NMR spectral analysis. Photoirradiation of 7-GS-DHP, 9-GS-DHP, and the two 7,9-diGS-DHPs as well as dehydromonocrotaline, dehydroheliotrine, and the 7-R enantiomer of DHP (DHR), by UVA light at 0 J/cm\(^2\), 14 J/cm\(^2\), and 35 J/cm\(^2\) in the presence of a lipid, methyl linoleate, all resulted in lipid peroxidation in a light dose-responsive manner. The levels of lipid peroxidation induced by the two isomeric 7,9-diGS-DHPs were significantly higher than that by 7-GS-DHP and 9-GS-DHP. When 7,9-diGS-DHP was irradiated in the presence of sodium azide (NaN\(_3\)), the level of lipid peroxidation decreased; lipid peroxidation was enhanced when methanol was replaced by deuterated methanol. These results suggest that singlet oxygen is a product induced by the irradiation of 7,9-diGS-DHP. When irradiated in the presence of superoxide dismutase (SOD), the level of lipid peroxidation decreased, indicating that lipid peroxidation is also mediated by superoxide. These results indicate that lipid peroxidation is mediated by
1. Introduction

More than 660 pyrrolizidine alkaloids (PAs) and PA N-oxides have been identified in > 6000 plant species distributed worldwide. To date, about half of these phytochemicals have been determined to be hepatotoxic, and many of them are genotoxic and tumorigenic in experimental animals, suggesting that PA-containing plants are probably the most common poisonous plants affecting livestock, wildlife, and humans [1–9].

PAs require metabolism to generate reactive pyrrolic metabolites to exert cytotoxicity, genotoxicity, and tumorigenicity [1,3–5,10–16]. The primary pyrrolic metabolites, dehydro-PAs, are highly unstable and reactive, and readily react with water in the medium to form (±)-6,7-dihydro-1-hydroxymethyl-5H-pyrrolizine (DHP) as a secondary pyrrolic metabolite [1]. Both dehydro-PAs and DHP can bind to cellular proteins and DNA leading to DHP-protein and DNA adducts that are responsible for PA-induced toxicity in liver and other tissues such as lung, kidney, and skin [1,9]. In addition to skin cancer, PAs also cause secondary (hepatogenous) photosensitization [17,18].

Dehydro-PAs and DHP as well as the 7R enantiomer of DHP (DHR) also react with glutathione (GSH) to form 7-glutathionyl-DHP (7-GS-DHP) [22–24]. Fashe et al [25] recently identified (3H-pyrrolizin-7-yl)methanol, a newly found reactive metabolite, from human liver microsomal metabolism of the tumorigenic PAs, retorsine, lasiocarpine, and senkirkine. Reaction of (3H-pyrrolizin-7-yl)methanol with GSH also generated both 7-GS-DHP and 7,9-diGS-DHP adducts in vitro [25].

Although 7-GS-DHP has long been considered a detoxified metabolite [1,5,26], we recently found that 7-GS-DHP can bind to calf thymus DNA to form the same set of DHP-DNA adducts that have been shown to be biomarkers of PA exposure and potential biomarkers of PA-induced tumorigenicity [27]. Under similar experimental conditions, 7,9-diGS-DHP did not react with calf thymus DNA to form DHP-DNA adducts [27].

We have been interested in studying PA-induced phototoxicity [17,18]. We found that upon UVA irradiation, a series of dehydro-PAs (dehydromonocrotaline, dehydrodidielline, dehydroretorsine, dehydrosereneionine, dehydroserenciphylline, dehydrolasiacarpine, and dehydroheliotrine) and 7-GS-DHP adducts all induce lipid peroxidation mediated by reactive oxygen species (ROS). These results suggest that 7,9-diGS-DHPs are phototoxic, generating lipid peroxidation mediated by ROS.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

Monocrotaline, GSH, formic acid, sodium azide (NaN₃), and superoxide dismutase (SOD) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Dimethylformamide (DMF), acetonitrile, potassium carbonate, chloroform, and diethyl ether were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburg, PA, USA). Heliotrine was obtained from Accurate Chemical & Scientific Corporation (Westbury, NY, USA). Dehydromonocrotaline and dehydroheliotrine were prepared by dehydrogenation of monocrotaline and heliotrine, respectively, in chloroform with o-chloranil following the procedure reported previously [17,28]. C18 (particle size: 56.1 µm) was obtained from Waters (Milford, Massachusetts, USA).

2.2. Light sources

The UVA light box consisting of four UVA lamps (National Biologics, Twinsburg, OH, USA) was custom made [29–31]. The light spectral irradiance of the light box was determined using an Optronics O1754 spectroradiometer (Optronics Laboratories, Orlando, FL, USA). The light dose of the UVA light box, with the maximum emission between 340 nm and 355 nm, was routinely measured using a Solar Light PMA-2110 UVA detector (Solar Light Inc., Philadelphia, PA, USA). As reported previously [29,30], the light intensities at wavelengths < 320 nm (UVB light) and > 400 nm (visible light) are approximately two orders of magnitude lower than the maximum in the 340–355 nm spectral region.

In this study, the UVA-irradiation doses were 14 J/cm² and 35 J/cm², which were obtained by approximately 46 minutes and 115 minutes of exposure, respectively, at the dose rate of 5 mW/cm² [17]. UVA 10 J/cm² equates to about 2 hours of exposure at noon on sunny summer days, based upon observation of UVA intensity of 5.4 mW/cm² in Paris, France, in July [32].

2.3. Synthesis of 7-GS-DHP and 9-GS-DHP adducts

7-GS-DHP and 9-GS-DHP adducts were synthesized following our previously reported procedure for the synthesis of 7-GS-DHP with modification [27]. GSH (13 mg, 42 µmol), dissolved in 70 µL phosphate-buffered saline.
(0.1M, pH 7.4), was added to a solution of dehydromonocrotaline (20 mg, 62 µmol) in 1 mL DMF. The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 hours and then quenched with 10 mL phosphate-buffered saline. The products were separated by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). HPLC conditions: Phenomenex Luna C18 (2) column, 250 × 4.6 mm, monitored at 220 nm, with flow rate at 1 mL/min; isocratic program: 3% acetonitrile in 0.01% formic acid and ammonia solution (pH 8).

2.4. Synthesis of 7,9-diGS-DHP adducts

Dehydromonocrotaline (20 mg, 62 µmol), dissolved in 300 µL DMF, was directly added to a solution of GSH (100 mg, 325 µmol) in 600 µL deionized water (pH 5) at 4°C. The reaction mixture was sonicated at ambient temperature for 30 minutes and then the reddish precipitate was removed by Centrifugation (4000g). The product, contained in the two peaks, was separated by HPLC [Phenomenex Luna C18 (2) column, 250 mm × 10 mm] using 20% methanol in 0.1% formic acid water solution with flow rate at 3 mL/min. The solvent was removed from the two products under reduced pressure; 18 mg 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and 18 mg 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 (each 4% yield) were obtained. The purity was checked by HPLC [Phenomenex Luna C18 (2) column, 250 mm × 4.6 mm].

2.5. Photoirradiation of 7-GS-DHP, 9-GS-DHP, and 7,9-diGS-DHPs with UVA light in the presence of methyl linoleate

Experiments were conducted using a solution of 100 mM methyl linoleate and 0.1 mM 7-GS-DHP, 9-GS-DHP, or 7,9-diGS-DHP in methanol. Samples were placed in a UV-transparent cuvette and irradiated with 0 J/cm², 14 J/cm², or 35 J/cm² of UVA light. After irradiation, the methyl linoleate hydroperoxide products were separated by HPLC using a Prodigy 5 µm Octadecylsilyl (ODS) column (250 mm × 4.6 mm, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA) eluted isocratically with 10% water in methanol (v/v) at 1 mL/min. The level of lipid peroxidation was determined by HPLC and was quantified by monitoring HPLC peak areas at 235 nm followed by conversion to concentrations based on the molar extinction coefficient (at 235 nm) [29–31].

2.6. Photoirradiation of dehydromonocrotaline, dehydroheliotrine, and DHR with UVA light in the presence of methyl linoleate

For comparison of phototoxicity with other PA pyrrolic metabolites, photoirradiation of 0.1 mM dehydromonocrotaline, dehydroheliotrine, and DHR was conducted in parallel with 7-GS-DHP, 9-GS-DHP, and 7,9-diGS-DHP adducts.

2.7. UVA light-induced lipid peroxidation by 7,9-diGS-DHP in the presence of a free radical scavenger or an enhancer

The experiments were carried out as described above, in the presence of NaN₃, or SOD. The concentration of SOD was 200 U/mL and the concentration of NaN₃ was 20 mM. Photoirradiation of 7,9-diGS-DHP in CH₃OH containing deuterium methanol (CH₃OD) was studied similarly.

2.8. Liquid chromatography-electrospray-mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry analysis of GSH-DHP adducts

GSH-DHP adducts were analyzed by the liquid chromatography-electrospray-mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry (LC-ES-MS/MS) method. The Shimadzu Prominence HPLC system consisted of a CBM-20A system controller, two LC-20AD pumps, a SIL-20AC HT autosampler, an SPD-20A U/VIS detector (Shimadzu Scientific Instrument, Columbia, MD, USA), and an automated switching valve (TPMV, Rheodyne, Cotati, CA, USA). The HPLC was coupled with an AB Sciex 4000 QTrap LC/MS/MS system (AB Sciex, Foster City, CA, USA) and a Turbo V ion source. Desolvation temperature was set at 450°C and nitrogen was used as curtain gas, nebulizer gas, heater gas, and collision gas. The samples were acquired in positive ion spray mode using MS full scan and enhanced product ion scan. The ion spray voltage was 5000 V; the ion source gas 1 was set to 60 and gas 2 was set to 50. The declustering potential was 50 V and the collision energy was 25 eV for enhanced product ion [33].

Each sample (10–30 µL) was loaded onto a reverse phase column (ACE 3 C18, 4.6 mm × 150 mm, 3 µm, MAC-MOD Analytical Inc., Chadds Ford, PA, USA), with a water/acetonitrile gradient at 0.7 mL/min. The column chamber temperature was set to 40°C. The mobile phases were A: 2 mM ammonium acetate in water containing 0.1% formic acid; and B: acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid. The initial 0% organic mobile phase was held for 5 minutes, then increased linearly to 25% over 30 minutes. The organic mobile phase was increased from 25% to 35% in 10 minutes followed by washing column at 95% for 3 minutes then back to the initial condition [33].

2.9. Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as means ± standard deviation (n = 3). One-way analysis of variance followed by pairwise-comparisons using Dunnett’s t tests was used to determine the significance of difference in photoinduced hydroperoxidation between samples with substrates and a control sample (methyl linoleate only). The difference was considered statistically significant when p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Synthesis of 7-GS-DHP, 9-GS-DHP, and 7,9-diGS-DHP adducts

Following our previously published synthetic procedure for the synthesis of 7-GS-DHP with modification [27], reaction of dehydromonocrotaline with GSH at a 1:0.67 molar ratio was conducted for 2 hours and the resulting reaction products were separated by HPLC (Fig. 1A). LC/MS/MS spectral analysis of the reaction mixture indicated three mono-GS-DHP adducts, each having an [M – H₂O + H]⁺ ion...
at m/z 425, an [M + H]^+ ion at m/z 443, and an [M + Na]^+ ion at m/z 465 (Fig. 2), and two diGS-DHP adducts, each having an [M − H_2O + H]^+ ion at m/z 733 and an [M − H_2O + Na]^+ ion at m/z 755 (Fig. 3). 7-GS-DHP-1 and 7-GS-DHP-2 were the predominant adducts (a total of 12% yield) and the two 7,9-diGS-DHPs were the minor adducts (a total of 0.5% yield; Fig. 4). In addition to mass spectrometric analysis (Fig. 3), their structures were confirmed by 1H NMR (Fig. 5) and 1H correlation spectroscopy (COSY) NMR (Fig. 6) spectral analysis, as well as by comparison of their 1H NMR spectra with that of 7-GS-DHP (Fig. 5; Table 1). As shown in Table 1, the spectra of 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 adducts are highly similar to that of 7-GS-DHP, with the exception that the chemical shift of the two C-9 protons at 4.46–4.57 ppm in 7-GS-DHP are shifted upfield to 3.7–3.92 ppm, a > 0.65 ppm upfield shift, in both 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 (Table 1). This upfield shift is consistent with the observation by Robertson et al [34] and contributed to the different shielding characteristics and electronegativity of substituents from an oxygen atom (such as the C7 hydroxyl group of DHR) compared to a sulfur atom in the formation of 7-GS-DHP and

The reaction of dehydromonocrotaline with GSH at a 1:0.67 molar ratio produced 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 adducts (Fig. 1A) only in a 0.5% yield (Fig. 4). When five-fold excess GHS was used for reaction, 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 adducts were generated in a 6% yield (Fig. 1B and 4). In addition to mass spectrometric analysis (Fig. 3), their structures were confirmed by 1H NMR (Fig. 5) and 1H−1H correlation spectroscopy (COSY) NMR (Fig. 6) spectral analysis, as well as by comparison of their 1H NMR spectra with that of 7-GS-DHP (Fig. 5; Table 1). As shown in Table 1, the spectra of 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 adducts are highly similar to that of 7-GS-DHP, with the exception that the chemical shift of the two C-9 protons at 4.46–4.57 ppm in 7-GS-DHP are shifted upfield to 3.7–3.92 ppm, a > 0.65 ppm upfield shift, in both 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 (Table 1). This upfield shift is consistent with the observation by Robertson et al [34] and contributed to the different shielding characteristics and electronegativity of substituents from an oxygen atom (such as the C7 hydroxyl group of DHR) compared to a sulfur atom in the formation of 7-GS-DHP and

A third GS-DHP isomer that eluted at 29.5 minutes in Fig. 1 was a minor product produced in a 0.5% yield (Fig. 4). It was highly unstable and could not be isolated in a sufficient amount for structural characterization by NMR spectral analysis. Based on its molecular weight and the understanding that a pyrrolic compound has two reactive electrophilic sites at C7 and C9 positions [1], this third GS-DHP product was tentatively assigned as 9-GS-DHP.

Fig. 1 – High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) profiles of: (A) 7-GS-DHP-1, 7-GS-DHP-2, 9-GS-DHP, 7,9-diGS-DHP-1, and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 formed from reaction of glutathione (GSH) with dehydromonocrotaline; and (B) 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 adducts upon further HPLC separation. Conditions: Phenomenex Luna C18 (2) column, 250 mm × 4.6 mm, monitored at 220 nm, with flow rate at 1 mL/min; gradient program: 0–60 minutes, 5–15% acetonitrile in 0.01% formic acid water solution. 7-GS-DHP = 7-glutathione-(±)-6,7-dihydro-1-hydroxymethyl-5H-pyrrolizine; 9-GS-DHP = 9-glutathione-(±)-6,7-dihydro-1-hydroxymethyl-5H-pyrrolizine; 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 = 7,9-diglutathione-(±)-6,7-dihydro-1-hydroxymethyl-5H-pyrrolizine-1; 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 = 7,9-diglutathione-(±)-6,7-dihydro-1-hydroxymethyl-5H-pyrrolizine-2; 7-GS-DHP-1 = 7-glutathione-(±)-6,7-dihydro-1-hydroxymethyl-5H-pyrrolizine-1 and 7-GS-DHP-2 = 7-glutathione-(±)-6,7-dihydro-1-hydroxymethyl-5H-pyrrolizine-2.
7-cysteine-DHP from reactions of DHR with GSH and cysteine.

3.2. Photoirradiation of 7-GS-DHP, 9-GS-DHP, 7,9-diGSH-DHP-1, and 7,9-diGSH-DHP-2 with UVA light in the presence of methyl linoleate.

7-GS-DHP, 9-GS-DHP, 7,9-diGS-DHP-1, and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 in methanol were individually photoirradiated with 0 J/cm², 14 J/cm², or 35 J/cm² UVA light in the presence of methyl linoleate. For comparison, photolysis of methyl linoleate alone, and dehydromonocrotaline, dehydroheliotrine, and DHR in the presence of methyl linoleate was also conducted. The extent of lipid peroxide formed by irradiation was measured by calculation of the amount of methyl linoleate hydroperoxide based on the HPLC peak areas detected at 235 nm [29–31,36].

The results indicated that the UVA irradiation of these GS-DHP adducts all generated lipid peroxidation significantly higher than that of the control (Fig. 7A). Similarly, photoirradiation of dehydromonocrotaline, dehydroheliotrine, and DHR also generated lipid peroxidation (Fig. 7B). The results shown in Table 2 indicate that the levels of lipid peroxidation are in the order: 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 > 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 > dehydromonocrotaline > dehydroheliotrine > 7-GS-DHP > 9-GS-DHP > DHR > control [17].

Fig. 2 – Liquid chromatography (LC)/UV/mass spectrometry (MS) spectral data of 7-GS-DHP adducts (A, B) and 9-GS-DHP adduct (C). GSH = glutathione; MH = molecular weight.
Fig. 3 – Liquid chromatography (LC)/UV/mass spectrometry (MS) spectra of 7,9-diGS-DHP adducts. GSH = glutathione; MH = molecular weight.

Fig. 4 – Synthesis of glutathione-(±)-6,7-dihydro-1-hydroxymethyl-5H-pyrrolizine (GSH-DHP) adducts from reaction of dehydromonocrotaline and GSH.
Fig. 5 – $^1$H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra of 7-GS-DHP, 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 measured in D$_2$O. The absolute stereochemistry depicted at C7 is arbitrary.

Fig. 6 – $^1$H–$^1$H correlation spectroscopy (COSY) spectra of 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 adducts measured in D$_2$O. The absolute stereochemistry depicted at C7 is arbitrary.

Table 1 – Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopic data (500 MHz) of the nucine base in the synthetically prepared 7-GS-DHP, 7,9-DiGS-DHP-1, and 7,9-DiGS-DHP-2 adducts measured in D$_2$O (δ in ppm, J in Hz).

| Assignment | 7-GS-DHP | 7,9-DiGS-DHP-1 | 7,9-DiGS-DHP-2 |
|------------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| H2         | 6.24 (1H, d, 2.6) | 6.22 (1H, d, 2.6) | 6.22 (1H, d, 2.6) |
| H3         | 6.71 (1H, d, 2.6) | 6.73 (1H, d, 2.6) | 6.73 (1H, d, 2.6) |
| H5a        | 4.07 (1H, m) | 4.08 (1H, m) | 4.08 (1H, m) |
| H5b        | 3.99 (1H, m) | 3.99 (1H, m) | 3.98 (1H, m) |
| H6a        | 2.98 (1H, m) | 2.96 (1H, m) | 2.97 (1H, m) |
| H6b        | 2.55 (1H, m) | 2.57 (1H, m) | 2.55 (1H, m) |
| H7         | 4.46–4.57 (1H, m) | 4.50 (1H, m) | 4.52 (1H, m) |
| H9a        | 4.46–4.57 (1H, m) | 3.92 (1H, m) | 3.79 (1H, m) |
| H9b        | 4.46–4.57 (1H, m) | 3.87 (1H, m) | 3.70 (1H, m) |
3.3. **UVA light-induced lipid peroxidation by 7,9-diGS-DHP in the presence of a free radical scavenger or enhancer**

The effect on the levels of lipid peroxide induced from photolysis of 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 with UVA light in the presence of NaN₃, SOD (superoxide scavenger), or CH₃OD (enhancement of singlet oxygen lifetime) was also investigated. The concentration of NaN₃ and SOD was 20 mM and 200 U/mL, respectively, and the amount of CH₃OD was 10% in methanol.

**Table 2** – UVA photolysis of 0.1 mM GS-DHP conjugates in the presence of methyl linoleate. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3).

| Chemical       | Methyl linoleate hydroperoxide (mM) | UVA 14 J/cm² | UVA 35 J/cm² |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Methyl linoleate | 0.87 ± 0.11                         | 2.98 ± 0.30  |
| 7-GS-DHP       | 9.90 ± 1.54                         | 29.47 ± 4.90 |
| 9-GS-DHP       | 8.37 ± 2.77                         | 27.57 ± 4.74 |
| 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 | 15.75 ± 1.57                        | 39.19 ± 4.80 |
| 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 | 15.83 ± 2.13                        | 39.86 ± 6.91 |
| Dehydromonocrotaline | 11.05 ± 1.30                 | 33.76 ± 1.52 |
| Dehydroheliotrine | 11.77 ± 0.45                      | 32.38 ± 0.55 |
| DHR b          | 6.57 ± 0.50                         | 23.09 ± 3.15 |

DHR = 7-R enantiomer of DHP.

* Possibly due to DHR decomposition during the experiment, in our previous study [17], UVA photolysis of DHR did not induce lipid peroxidation at a level significantly higher than in the control.

**Table 2**

**Fig. 7** — Induction of lipid peroxidation by photoirradiation of: (A) 0.1 mM 7-GS-DHP, 9-GS-DHP, 7,9-diGS-DHP-1, and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2; and (B) dehydromonocrotaline, dehydroheliotrine, and DHR (the 7R-enantiomer of DHP) in methanol in the presence of methyl linoleate (ML) with UVA light at light doses of 0 J/cm², 14 J/cm², and 35 J/cm², respectively, in methanol in the presence of ML, respectively. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). The levels of peroxidation were measured by high performance liquid chromatography monitored at 235 nm. * Significant difference from control (ML only; p < 0.05).

**Fig. 8** — Effects of deuterated methanol, NaN₃, and superoxide dismutase (SOD) on peroxidation of methyl linoleate initiated by UVA photolysis of 0.1 mM (A) 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and (B) 7,9-diGS-DHP-2. The levels of peroxidation were measured by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) monitored at 235 nm. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3).

* Significant difference from negative control (p < 0.05).

**Fig. 8**

**Table 2**
determining whether or not singlet oxygen is involved in lipid peroxidation.

The results shown in Fig. 8A clearly indicate that lipid peroxidation was inhibited by NaN₃ and SOD (all with \( p \leq 0.05 \), but was enhanced by the presence of CH₃OD \( (p \leq 0.05) \). These results suggest that peroxidation of methyl linoleate initiated by UVA irradiation of 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 is mediated by free radicals. In addition, the inhibition of lipid peroxidation by NaN₃ and enhancement by CH₃OD indicate that singlet oxygen is involved in peroxidation.

UVA irradiation of 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 obtained nearly identical results, e.g., inhibition of lipid peroxidation by NaN₃ and SOD, and enhancement of lipid peroxidation by CH₃OD (Fig. 8B).

4. Discussion

The synthesis of 7-GS-DHP and a mixture of the two isomeric 7,9-diGS-DHP adducts was previously reported \([24,27,35]\); however, the reaction yields of these products were not determined and the two 7,9-diGS-DHP adducts were not separated for the structural identification. In the present study, we repeated the synthesis with modification, provided the reaction yields, separated 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 adducts by HPLC, and elucidated their structures by mass, \(^1\)H NMR, and COSY NMR spectral analysis. A mono-GS-DHP, tentatively assigned as 9-GS-DHP, was also produced from the reaction. Compared with the geometric isomer 7-GS-DHP, this tentatively assigned 9-GS-DHP is highly unstable and could not be collected in sufficient quantity for structural characterization by NMR spectral analysis. By contrast, although both 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 also possess a glutathionyl group at the C9 position of the neocine base, they are relatively highly stable. The reasons for the marked difference in stability among these compounds warrant investigation.

In the present study, we demonstrate that upon UVA irradiation, 7,9-diGS-DHP-1, 7,9-diGS-DHP-2, 7-GS-DHP, and 9-GS-DHP all generate ROS that leads to the induction of lipid peroxidation. 7,9-DiGS-DHP adducts are metabolites formed in vitro \([24,25,35]\). We recently reported that 7-GS-DHP is a potential DNA reactive metabolite of PAs, capable of reacting with calf thymus DNA to produce DHP-DNA adducts, which are biomarkers of PA exposure and potential biomarkers of PA-induced liver tumors \([27]\). We also found that 7,9-diGS-DHP did not react with calf thymus DNA \([27]\), indicating that 7,9-diGS-DHP may be biologically inactive. In the present paper, we report the first demonstration that 7,9-diGS-DHP adducts are chemically phototoxic and capable of generating lipid peroxidation upon UVA irradiation. These results suggest that 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 can potentially cause skin damage in humans.

The phototoxicity potency of 7-GS-DHP, 9-GS-DHP, 7,9-diGS-DHP-1, and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 was about the same level as that of dehydro-PAs, including dehydromonocrotaline, dehydroniddelline, dehydroretrosine, dehydrosecoecine, dehydrosecoephyllyine, dehydrolosicarpine, and dehydrolasione \([17]\). The lipid peroxidation generated by UVA irradiation of 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 was mediated by ROS. The involvement of singlet oxygen in UVA light-induced lipid peroxidation by both 7,9-diGS-DHP-1 and 7,9-diGS-DHP-2 was determined by the inhibition effect by NaN₃ and the enhancement by deuterated methanol (Fig. 8). The inhibition of lipid peroxidation by SOD suggested that lipid peroxidation was mediated by superoxide (Fig. 8). The results of this mechanistic study are very similar to those we previously reported for UVA photoirradiation of dehydro-PAs \([17]\).

The overproduction of ROS and lipid peroxidation in humans is associated with many age-related diseases including cancer, atherosclerosis, ischemia, inflammation, liver injury, and aging \([39,40]\). Skin is the organ that can concomitantly be exposed to sunlight and PAs, PA N-oxides, and possibly their metabolites, such as dehydro-PAs, DHP, and pyrrole-GSH adducts. The results from this and previous studies suggest that when 7-GS-DHP, 7,9-diGS-DHP, dehydro-PAs, and DHP are present in the skin, they can induce ROS and lipid peroxidation, and lead to skin damage.
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