First Evidence for Family-Specific QTL for Temperature-Dependent Sex Reversal in Nile Tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus)
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Abstract
This study for the first time screens microsatellite markers for associations with the temperature-dependent sex of Oreochromis niloticus. Previous studies revealed markers on linkage groups (LG) 1, 3, and 23 to be linked to the phenotypic sex of Oreochromis spp. at normal rearing temperatures. Moreover, candidate genes for sex determination and differentiation have been mapped to these linkage groups. Here, 6 families of a temperature-treated genetically all-female (XX) F1-population were genotyped for 21 microsatellites on the 3 LGs. No population-wide QTL (quantitative trait loci) or marker-trait associations could be detected. However, family-specific QTL were found on LG 1 flanked by UNH995 and UNH104, on LG 3 at the position of GM213, and on LG 23 next to GM283. Moreover, family-specific single marker associations for UNH995 and UNH104 on LG 1, GM213 on LG 3, as well as for UNH898 and GM283 on LG 23 were detected. Yet, marker-trait associations could not explain the temperature-dependent sex of all fish in the respective families. The molecular cue for the temperature-dependent sex in Nile tilapia might partially coincide with allelic variants at major and minor genetic sex determining factors. Moreover, additional QTL contributing to variable liabilities towards temperature might persist on other LGs.

The determination of sex in Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) is a very complex and not yet completely understood mechanism. Oreochromis niloticus has a genetic sex determination (GSD) system with male heterogamety (XX/XY) as the major genetic factor [Mair et al., 1991]. It is, however, postulated that further minor autosomal factors are able to override the major genetic sex determination [Mair et al., 1991; Müller-Belecke and Hörstgen-Schwark, 1995]. Furthermore, elevated temperatures of 34 °C and more are also capable to switch the sex of genetically female (XX) Nile tilapia into phenotypic males [Baroiller et al., 1995a] if applied during the critical sensitive period when the gonads are still sexually undifferentiated [Baroiller et al., 1995a, b]. Tessema et al. [2006] postulated a highly effective temperature treatment for 10 days at 36 °C between day 10 and 20 post fertilization (dpf). Temperature-dependent phenotypic sex is under genetic control. Male ratios in temperature-treated progenies are strongly dependent on the population and on the combination of the parental animals [Baroiller and
D’Cotta, 2001; Tessema et al., 2006]. Moreover, it has been proven that temperature-dependent sex ratio is a heritable trait and it can be selected for as a quantitative trait. Wessels and Hörstgen-Schwark [2007, 2011] succeeded in the selection for high and low temperature response: within 3 generations of selection, the percentage of males in temperature-treated progeny groups of the ‘high’ and the ‘low’ line was $>92\%$ and $<53\%$, respectively. The cumulated realized heritability for the high and low line was 0.63 and 0.84, respectively.

A comprehensive linkage map, derived from a F$_2$-family of the hybrid cross $O$. niloticus × $O$. aureus, exists for tilapia [Lee et al., 2005]. The map spans 1,311 cM in 24 linkage groups (LG). It consists of 525 microsatellite markers and 21 gene-based markers. Associations with the phenotypic sex in Oreochromis spp. and interspecies crosses were reported for markers on LG 1, 3, and 23 [Shirak et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2003; Cnaani et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2004, 2005, 2011]. Furthermore, several candidate genes (amh, dmo, dmrt1a, sox14, and wt1b) as putative master key regulators of sex determination in tilapia were in addition mapped to LG 23 [Lee et al., 2005; Shirak et al., 2006; Lee and Kocher, 2007; Cnaani et al., 2008].

In $O$. niloticus, the major sex determinant has been mapped to the chromosomal region between microsatellites GM201 and UNH104 on LG 1 (11 cM distance) [Lee et al., 2003; Lee and Kocher, 2007]. Later, Cnaani et al. [2008] mapped the major sex determiner to a 1 cM region on the same chromosome flanked by UNH104 and UNH995. Recently, Eshel et al. [2011] described a strong association of the microsatellite UNH898 on LG 23 with the phenotypic sex in mixed sex (XX/XY) populations of $O$. niloticus. UNH898 has been mapped next to amh (1 cM distance) [Shirak et al., 2006] which shows a strongly sex dimorphic expression in brains of $O$. niloticus at 14 dpf shortly before the initial start of the gonadal differentiation [Poonlaphdecha et al., 2011]. Despite of the suggestive associations with the major sex determiner in different populations, the markers on the above mentioned LG 1, 3, and 23 do not explain the sex ratio in all investigated families. Thus, it is hypothesized that only the interaction of major and minor genetic factors together with temperature-dependent factors will contribute to finally explain the variety of sex ratios [Lee and Kocher, 2007; Cnaani et al., 2008].

There is no ample knowledge of genetic components contributing to temperature effects on the phenotypic sex in Nile tilapia. So far, a key role in temperature-dependent sex expression in Nile tilapia was only shown for cyp19a due to reduced expression in undifferentiated gonads of temperature-treated all-female fish [D’Cotta et al., 2001]. Cyp19a was mapped to LG 1, flanked by GM633 and UNH985 [Shirak et al., 2006; Lee and Kocher, 2007]. The evolutionary role, the development and the interdependence of GSD, temperature effects on the phenotypic sex, and temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) are still under discussion [Sarre et al., 2004; Bull, 2008; Ospina-Alvarez and Piferrer, 2008; Shoemaker and Crews, 2009]. Bull [1980] proposed that GSD and TSD systems were mutually exclusive. In Nile tilapia, a coexistence of GSD and temperature effects on the phenotypic sex has been postulated [Wessels and Hörstgen-Schwark, 2007]. However, it is still questionable if a genetic correlation between GSD and temperature effects on the phenotypic sex persists. To test this hypothesis, 21 microsatellite markers on LG 1, 3, and 23 were investigated to prove any putative associations with the temperature-dependent sex of 180 temperature-treated fish derived from a cross between normal females (XX) and temperature sex-reversed males (Δ♀XX).

### Materials and Methods

#### Mapping Population

A genetically all-female (XX) mapping population of 6 genetically unrelated F$_2$-families was established, derived from the Lake Manzala population (Egypt) (table 1). Six XX-females from the low line and 5 temperature sex-reversed Δ♀XX males from the high line originating from a previous selection experiment were

| Family | Treatment, °C | Males (Σ = 189) | Females (Σ = 982) | Male ratio, % |
|--------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|
| 1      | 28            | 0               | 107              | 0.0          |
|        | 36            | 37              | 63               | 37.0         |
| 2      | 28            | 0               | 101              | 0.0          |
|        | 36            | 47              | 30               | 61.0         |
| 3      | 28            | 0               | 108              | 0.0          |
|        | 36            | 27              | 79               | 25.5         |
| 4      | 28            | 0               | 95               | 0.0          |
|        | 36            | 19              | 81               | 19.0         |
| 5      | 28            | 0               | 96               | 0.0          |
|        | 36            | 17              | 80               | 17.5         |
| 6      | 28            | 2               | 94               | 2.1          |
|        | 36            | 40              | 58               | 40.8         |
used as parents [Wessels and Hörstgen-Schwark, 2007, 2011]. The temperature-dependent male ratios in the families of the low line females were 60%. In the sire families, the temperature-dependent male ratios were 193%. The males were previously tested for their genetic sex (XX/XY) by progeny testing [Lühmann et al., 2009], and fin clips were collected from each individual (n = 11). For individual identification, all fish were tagged using passive integrated transponders. After artificial fertilization, the progeny groups were incubated for 10 days at 28 °C until the larvae were split in 2 groups per family, each comprising 110 larvae. The control group was kept at 28 °C and the temperature treatment was carried out at 36 °C from days 10–20 post fertilization as previously described by Tessema et al. [2006]. Temperature was checked 3 times a day. After 10 days of treatment, the groups were raised separately at 28 °C for at least 2 months in mesh covered 80-liter glass aquaria. Finally, 15 males and 15 females of each of the 6 temperature-treated groups were sexed alive by examination of the genital papilla, and fin clips were taken as DNA source. Surplus fish of both the temperature-treated groups and the control groups were killed by an overdose of anesthetics (diethylether), and the individual sex was microscopically examined using gonad squashes according to Guerrero and Shelton [1974].

### Table 2. Characteristics of the chosen microsatellite markers with positions on the genetic map by Lee et al. [2005] and marker trait association statistics ($\chi^2$, p) for the temperature-dependent phenotypic sex

| Locus | GeneBank accession | LG | Kosambi cM | Annealing temperature, °C | Multiplex | No. of alleles | Ho | He | $\chi^2$ | p |
|-------|--------------------|----|------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------------|----|----|---------|---|
| GM633 | BV005530           | 1  | 0          | 57                       | F         | 4              |    |    | 0.69    | 0.63|
| UNH985| G68266             | 1  | 11         | 57                       | D         | 3              |    |    | 0.65    | 0.56|
| GM201 | BV005353           | 1  | 24         | 57                       | E         | 5              |    |    | 0.60    | 0.58|
| UNH995| G68274             | 1  | 35         | 62                       | G         | 5              |    |    | 0.62    | 0.63|
| UNH104| GI2257             | 1  | 36         | 57                       | F         | 5              |    |    | 0.65    | 0.63|
| GM258 | BV005380           | 1  | 46         | 62                       | G         | 3              |    |    | 0.74    | 0.61|
| UNH846| G68185             | 1  | 54         | 54                       | B         | 4              |    |    | 0.74    | 0.64|
| GM354 | BV005419           | 3  | 0          | 62                       | H         | 5              |    |    | 0.85    | 0.70|
| GM271 | BV005386           | 3  | 13         | 57                       | D         | 3              |    |    | 0.72    | 0.54|
| GM213 | BV005364           | 3  | 25         | 54                       | C         | 3              |    |    | 0.62    | 0.55|
| UNH971| G68259             | 3  | 39         | 57                       | D         | 6              |    |    | 0.87    | 0.71|
| GM150 | BV005333           | 3  | 49         | 54                       | A         | 4              |    |    | 0.67    | 0.61|
| UNH106| GI2259             | 3  | 80         | 54                       | B         | 3              |    |    | 0.35    | 0.41|
| UNH982| G68265             | 3  | 95         | 62                       | G         | 4              |    |    | 0.24    | 0.22|
| GM557 | BV005493           | 23 | 0          | 62                       | H         | 4              |    |    | 0.71    | 0.66|
| UNH197| GI2348             | 23 | 9          | 57                       | D         | 4              |    |    | 0.69    | 0.72|
| GM283 | BV005394           | 23 | 22         | 57                       | E         | 6              |    |    | 0.84    | 0.74|
| UNH898| G68215             | 23 | 23         | 62                       | E         | 4              |    |    | 0.59    | 0.62|
| UNH216| GI2367             | 23 | 25         | 54                       | C         | 3              |    |    | 0.70    | 0.64|
| GM576 | BV005504           | 23 | 36         | 62                       | H         | 5              |    |    | 0.95    | 0.71|
| GM163 | BV005338           | 23 | 51         | 54                       | A         | 6              |    |    | 0.79    | 0.65|

For multiplexes (A–H) of 2–4 primer pairs were optimized (table 2). PCR was carried out using 40 ng of genomic DNA with 0.5 Q-Solution (Qiagen), 2.8–14 pmol of each primer (one labeled at the 5’/H11541-end alternatively with fluorescent dyes 6-FAM, CY3 or HEX) in a volume of 14 μl. The cycling conditions used were: 35 cycles at 92 °C for 30 s, a multiplex specific annealing temperature of 54°C, 57°C, or 62°C for 30 s, and an extension period of 35 s at 72°C with an initial denaturation for 5 min at 95°C and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. PCR reactions were performed using the Biometra T-Gradient thermocycler (Biometra, Göttingen, Germany).

### Genotyping of Microsatellite Markers

The 21 microsatellite markers (table 2) used in this study were chosen from LGs 1, 3, and 23 published by Lee et al. [2005]. The selection of microsatellites aimed to achieve an even distribution over the linkage groups with an average distance of 9.5 cM per LG.

DNA was isolated from the fin clips by phenol-chloroform extraction [Chomczynski and Sacchi, 1987]. Evaluation of microsatellites and allele calling were done on the ABI PRISM 3100 Genetic Analyzer with the ABI-software GENESCAN 3.7 and GENOTYPER 3.6 using GENESCAN TM-500ROX TM as internal size standards.
Statistical Analysis
For the examination of marker properties, the expected heterozygosity (also known as allelic diversity) (He) and the observed heterozygosity (Ho) were estimated for each microsatellite marker using the procedure ALLELE within the SAS/Genetics® software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc, N.C., USA).

The linkage map for the genetically all-female (XX) F1-population was constructed using JOINMAP 4.0 (Kyazma, Wageningen, Netherlands) [Van Ooijen, 2006] under the cross population function. The Kosambi mapping function was applied with LOD scores of ≥ 3. Maps of the 6 single F1-families were constructed and merged to one integrated linkage map. The comparative map charts of the current linkage map and the reference mapping by Lee et al. [2005] was drawn using the software MapChart 2.1 [Voorrips, 2002]. Both linkage maps were compared by drawing homologous loci within MapChart 2.1.

The QTL (quantitative trait loci) mapping was carried out using GridQTL 2.1.5 [Seaton et al., 2006]. As a dependent variable, temperature-dependent phenotypic sex of the genetically all-female F1-population was considered as a binary trait, coded 1 for males and 2 for females. A chromosome-wide analysis, assuming a single QTL, was performed using the Visscher and Hopper [2001] option in 1 cM intervals. The chromosome-wide significance thresholds for p = 0.05 and p = 0.01 were determined by bootstrapping with 1,000 iterations. Additionally, Pearson χ² statistics (SAS/STAT® software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc), Proc GLIMMIX) was performed to test for linkage between the single marker genotypes and the temperature-dependent phenotypic sex at the population and the family level. Families showing significant marker trait associations were analysed again for the respective families or in the whole mapping population (table 2). Moreover, segregation distortions for these markers could be deduced from parental genotypes in most cases. For UNH982, the null alleles 112 and 118 were found in 1 family, with both alleles coming from the maternal genotype. It was not possible to deduce these alleles and they were set as missing values. For UNH216, the null alleles could not be deduced for family 6 and were thus set as missing values. Additionally, the genotyping of family 4 for UNH216 was insufficient. Only 5 males and 10 females were genotyped. Therefore, 1.2% of all missing alleles resulted from missing genotypes at the loci UNH216. However, UNH216 has been included in all statistical analysis.

Linkage Map
GM633 and UNH985 remained unmapped, but an integrated linkage map consisting of 4 LGs (LOD ≥ 3; fig. 1) was constructed. The total map length was 85.1 cM with an average marker distance of 4.8 cM. LG 1 was 17.6 cM long with 5 markers having an average distance of 4.4 cM. LG 3a consisted of 5 markers with an average distance of 7.9 cM and a total length of 31.7 cM. LG 3b included 2 markers with a distance of 1.1 cM. Seven markers were mapped to LG 23 over a total length of 34.7 cM and an average marker distance of 5.8 cM.

Marker Trait Associations for Temperature-Dependent Phenotypic Sex
The population wide QTL search for the phenotypic sex of the temperature-treated genetically all-female F1-population showed no significant QTL on any of the LGs (table 3). LOD scores were as high as 1.1 for LG 23 and as low as 0.03 for LG 3b. F-values were below the F-statistic thresholds for p = 0.05. Pearson χ² test statistics for single marker associations did also not reveal significances at the population level (table 2). However, the family-based approach revealed several significant associations with the phenotypic sex: UNH995 and UNH104 on LG 1 in family 6, GM213 on LG 3a in family 2, and UNH898 (LG 23) and GM283 (LG 23) in family 4. Fisher’s exact statistics for these 5 markers and the respective families are displayed in table 4. The subsequent QTL studies using GridQTL confirmed the associations for families 2, 4, and 6 (fig. 2–4). Furthermore, segregation distortions for GM283 and UNH898 genotypes were found for family 4. The 4 genotypes per marker significantly differed from the expected Mendelian segregation of 1:1:1:1 (p < 0.05).
Discussion

Previous studies have indicated that several genetic markers on LG 1, 3, and 23 are linked to the phenotypic sex of Oreochromis spp. [Shirak et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2003; Cnaani et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2004, 2005, 2011]. Moreover, different genes which are putatively involved in the sex differentiation have also been mapped to these linkage groups [Lee et al., 2005; Shirak et al., 2006; Lee and Kocher, 2007; Cnaani et al., 2008].

The current study is the first attempt to identify marker trait associations and QTL for the temperature-dependent phenotypic sex in a cichlid species with a sex determination system composed of major and minor genetic factors as well as clear temperature effects on the expression of the phenotypic sex.

Phenotyping – Temperature-Dependent Phenotypic Sex

In order to assure that all males observed in the temperature-treated groups were temperature sex-reversed, a genetically all-female population was used in the present study. This genetically all-female population was derived from a cross of a high (♀XX) and a low temperature sensitive line (♂XX) [Wessels and Hörstgen-Schwark, 2007, 2011]. In the current study, no males were observed in 5 of the 6 control groups of the mapping population. Thus, autosomal sex factors can be excluded in these families. However, in the control group of family 6, 2 males out of 96 fish were found which might result from a sex reversal caused by autosomal factors. A contamination of the control groups by other fish is very unlikely as all aquaria were mesh covered to prevent jumping over of fish. Nevertheless, all males in the temperature-treated groups of family 6 were assumed to be temperature sex-reversed.

Table 3. Population wide QTL statistics for temperature-dependent phenotypic sex in a genetically all-female (XX) population of *O. niloticus*

| LG | F statistic | F statistic threshold (p = 0.05) | LOD |
|----|-------------|---------------------------------|-----|
| 1  | 3.600       | 6.870                           | 0.781 |
| 3a | 2.440       | 7.195                           | 0.529 |
| 3b | 0.130       | 5.291                           | 0.029 |
| 23 | 4.980       | 7.284                           | 1.081 |

Fig. 1. Comparison of the genetic linkage map of Lee et al. [2005] (white) and the combined linkage map of 6 genetically all-female (XX) temperature-treated F1-families of *O. niloticus* (grey). Marker distances in Kosambi cM are given to the left of each linkage group.
Marker Properties

Within the F1-mapping population the microsatellites were highly informative. Both average values for Ho (0.68) and He (0.61) were moderately high, confirming their suitability for linkage and QTL mapping. Further, in the present study null alleles for several loci were observed (table 2). For GM271 also Cnaani and Kocher [2008] found null alleles in O. tanganicae, and they discussed that the occurrence of null alleles indicates developing sex chromosomes. This has also been described for stickleback species (Gasterosteidae) where null alleles were shown to occur sex specifically as a sign of sex chro-

Table 4. Number of males and females for each genotype of family-specific significantly associated loci with the temperature-dependent sex

| Locus  | LG  | Familya | χ²  | p b  | Genotypec | Males | Females |
|--------|-----|---------|-----|------|-----------|-------|---------|
| UNH995 | 1   | 6 (172/172 × 172/220) | 6.65 | 0.025 | 172/220   | 10    | 3       |
|        |     |         |     |      | 172/172   | 5     | 12      |
| UNH104 | 1   | 6 (129/129 × 129/178) | 6.53 | 0.027 | 129/178   | 11    | 4       |
|        |     |         |     |      | 129/129   | 4     | 11      |
| GM213  | 3a  | 2 (76/83 × 83/83)   | 6.65 | 0.025 | 76/83     | 10    | 3       |
|        |     |         |     |      | 83/83     | 5     | 12      |
| UNH898 | 23  | 4 (254/274 × 254/262) | 8.25 | 0.037 | 254/274   | 1     | 4       |
|        |     |         |     |      | 254/254   | 10    | 4       |
|        |     |         |     |      | 262/274   | 1     | 6       |
|        |     |         |     |      | 254/262   | 2     | 1       |
|        |     |         |     |      | 176/178   | 10    | 3       |
|        |     |         |     |      | 164/176   | 2     | 7       |
|        |     |         |     |      | 164/178   | 1     | 4       |
|        |     |         |     |      | 176/176   | 2     | 1       |

a The parental genotypes are given in brackets (dam × sire).

b Fisher’s exact probability.

c Allele sizes in basepairs.
mosome differentiation [Ross et al., 2009; Shikano et al., 2011]. However, a sex-specific occurrence of null alleles could not be reported here.

**Linkage Mapping**

A comparison between the present linkage map and the reference map by Lee et al. [2005] revealed some differences. First of all, the linkage of GM633 and UNH985 to LG 1 could not be confirmed here (LOD ≤ 2). Additionally, no linkage between both loci could be found in the present study; however, both markers were informative in the current mapping population. This lack of linkage may be due to the different fish species and populations used. Lee et al. [2005] worked with a species cross of *O. niloticus* and *O. aureus* whereas the present linkage map was constructed for an *O. niloticus* population. Additionally, the mapping population in the current study consisted of a genetically all-female (XX) population. The reported linkage of GM633 and UNH985 could thus have resulted from the mixed sex progeny of the crossed species.

Secondly, LG 3 was split in the present study, although the order of the loci persisted. Lee et al. [2005] reported a distance of 31 cM between GM150 and UNHI06. In the present investigation, the distance could even be larger as no linkage between the markers was observed leading to LG 3a and LG 3b.

Thirdly, on LG 23 the loci UNH898 and GM283 switched in order compared to the map calculated by Lee et al. [2005]. Again, species and population differences of the 2 studies might have attributed to the different results. Additionally, the current linkage groups (in cM) were shorter compared to the proposed length of the reference map (fig. 1). This may be due to the lower marker density for each linkage group as only a subset of the mapped markers was investigated in this study. Additionally, sex-specific recombination rates might explain the differences in LG lengths. Higher recombination rates in the telomeric region of males and in the centromeric region of females have been described for several fish species like rainbow trout and zebrafish [Sakamoto et al., 2000; Singer et al., 2002]. Also, Lee et al. [2004, 2005] observed different recombination rates for males and females in tilapia depending on the chromosomal region. Therefore, the current length of LGs could be specific for genetically female fish of *O. niloticus*.

**Marker Trait Associations for Temperature-Dependent Phenotypic Sex**

It is still controversially discussed if loci responsible for temperature-dependent sex and GSD reside on the same linkage groups, if they are located closely to each other, or if they are basically identical.

Sarre et al. [2004] proposed a continuum of GSD and temperature-dependent phenotypic sex, with the same genes involved in both systems within a species. In this study, no QTL on the population level were identified, but some were mapped for families 2, 4, and 6. The QTL were in the majority localized in regions with known associations between the phenotypic sexes at normal temperature. In family 6, significant associations to the temperature-dependent sex were found on LG 1 for the markers UNH995 (5 cM) and UNH104 (8.2 cM), confirmed by a significant QTL (LOD = 3.8) at the position of 6 cM (fig. 2). For both markers the specific paternal alleles 220 (UNH995) and 178 (UNH104) were mostly found in males and might thus be suggested to be associated with phenotypic males after temperature treatment within this family. However, as not all of the male progenies possess these alleles, additional factors must control TSD in this family. In fact, the chromosomal region flanked by UNH995 and UNH104 harbors the major male sex determinant for *O. niloticus* [Cnaani et al., 2008]. One putative key regulator for sex determination, wt1b, has been mapped to LG 1, 5.9 cM away from UNH995 and 6.6 cM away from UNH104. But it has been excluded as the major male determiner [Lee and Kocher, 2007]. For tilapia, no temperature-dependent expression studies exist for wt1b.

![Fig. 4. QTL statistics for LG 23 within family 4 of the F1-mapping population.](image-url)
In family 2, GM213 on LG 3a was significantly associated with the phenotypic sex, confirmed by a significant QTL on LG 3a at position of 11 cM (fig. 3). In this family, most female progenies possessed the identical-by-state microsatellite alleles of the sire, whereas the identical-by-state alleles of the dam were mostly found in male progenies. For O. aureus, a tilapia species with a female heterogametic sex determination system (ZZ/WZ), maternally inherited alleles of LG 3 markers are assumed to be sex-specific [Cnaani et al., 2008]. Additionally, dmo, which is involved in the gonadal development of the ovaries [Guan et al., 2000], has been mapped to the region between GM150 and UNH106 on LG 3, approximately 29 cM away from GM213 [Lee et al., 2005]. Because of the large interval, a higher marker density should be applied to detect putative associations with dmo.

Moreover, significant associations with UNH898 on LG 23 were found in family 4 (fig. 4). Three different alleles for UNH898 (254, 262, and 274) could be determined for this family. Progenies with the genotype 254/254 were male biased (10:4), whereas the genotype 254/274 produced almost all-females (1:4). If allele 262 was present, no association with the phenotypic sex could be observed. Therefore, it might be assumed that each allele of UNH898 and their respective combinations represent a different liability to temperature within this family. Eshel et al. [2011] described the microsatellite marker UNH898 at LG 23 to be associated with the phenotypic sex of O. niloticus. The authors found allele 276 almost exclusively in males, and fish exhibiting genotypes with the alleles 253/253, 253/274, as well as 274/274 were almost exclusively females. In the present study, allele 276 was not detected. This could be reasonable as a genetically all-female (XX) mapping population was used and no males were found in the control groups. However, allele sizes strongly depend on the method of microsatellite analysis, as respective sizes in bp can differ between the techniques. Here, alleles were not sequenced and might therefore not be completely comparable. Thus, individuals that are homzygous for allele 274, respectively for allele 276, should comparatively be sequenced to assess the degree of identity.

Strong associations were found for GM283 on LG 23 in family 4. Ten out of 15 males showed the paternal genotype (176/178) whereas 12 out of 15 females were devoid of it. The QTL, detected for family 4, was mapped to 15 cM (LOD = 7.2), close to GM283 (14.5 cM). In family 4, segregation distortion was found for the genotypes of both microsatellites GM283 and UNH898 on LG 23. Cnaani et al. [2008] also reported deviations from the expected Mendelian segregation for sex-linked markers on LG 1 in O. aureus and O. mossambicus. The authors concluded that lethal alleles were linked to the sex determining factor. In the current mapping population no differences in mortality in dependence to the combination of alleles were detected after the 9th dpf. If lethal alleles caused the segregation distortion in family 4, they would have had an impact on the survival before the 9th dpf.

Different putative master key regulators for sex determination have been mapped to LG 23 [Shirak et al., 2002, 2006; Cnaani et al., 2007]. Amh has been mapped to the position of GM283, just 1 cM away from UNH898 [Shirak et al., 2002]. Although amh exhibited a sex-specific expression in brains of 14 dpf old O. niloticus fry, there is no definite proof that the expression of amh is also temperature-dependent [D’Cotta et al., 2007]. Within other species, the role of amh in TSD is controversially discussed. Amh is involved in the regulation of cyp19a expression [Josso et al., 1998]. Therefore, a temperature-related expression of amh could be the result of a feedback effect of cyp19a expression [Pieau, 1996].

Shirak et al. [2006] and Lee and Kocher [2007] mapped cyp19a to LG 1 between the microsatellites GM633 and UNH985. Both microsatellites could not be mapped to the present linkage map (fig. 1). Therefore, the QTL study could not cover this chromosomal region, and no QTL or single marker-trait association could be found here. To achieve linkage in further experiments, a higher density of markers especially in this region should be realized.

No significant QTL or single marker association could be found for any of the markers in families 1, 3, and 5. Additionally, significant marker trait associations could not explain the temperature-dependent sex of all fish in the respective families. Therefore, it can be assumed that additional family-specific QTL for the temperature-dependent phenotypic sex might be found on other LGs as well. D’Cotta et al. [2007], for example, provided a first evidence for a temperature-dependent expression of sox9 in O. niloticus, resulting in an earlier increase of sox9 expression in XX-gonads compared to XY-gonads. Moreover, dmrt1 expression is known to be up-regulated by male producing temperature in different TSD-species like Trachemys scripta, Lepidochelys olivacea, and Oryzias latipes [e.g. Kettlewell et al., 2000; Torres et al., 2002; Hattori et al., 2007]. Dmrt1 has been mapped to LG 12 in tilapia [Lee et al., 2005]. Hence, a genome-wide approach with special emphasis on chromosomal regions harboring candidate genes for sex determining processes seems to be promising.
The QTL detected herein correspond to regions known for sex determination at normal rearing temperatures. Summarizing the controversial discussion about the interdependence of genetic and temperature effects on sex determination of vertebrates, Grossen et al. [2011] suggested for species with GSD that the temperature effect is hidden by genes with major effects. But a certain threshold of liability values has to be exceeded [Grossen et al., 2011]. This threshold model could also be suggested for the sex determination process in *O. niloticus*. A certain number of temperature-responsive allelic variants have to be exceeded to result in phenotypic male differentiation during temperature treatments. This might be the reason why not all progenies become male in a temperature treatment. Multiple interacting loci have also been postulated for autosomal sex determination in Nile tilapia [Müller-Belecke and Hörstgen-Schwark, 1995; Ser et al., 2010], yet the number of underlying QTL in both systems of sex determination remains to be determined.

Therefore, temperature-dependent sex expression in Nile tilapia might rather be a result of a polygenic system causing several liability values which might overlap with liability values for GSD.

This is further underlined when looking at the allele inheritance of the significant markers in family 6 in which the sex determining allele came from the sire and was located on LG 1. Therefore, factors influencing temperature and genetic effects on sex determination might at least partially reside in the same major sex determining region (LG 1), also showing patterns of inheritance comparable to the XX/XY system for GSD. In contrast to that the sex determining allele of *GM213* in family 2 came from the dam and was located on LG 3 like in a WZ/ZZ system. The inheritance of sex determining alleles from LG 3 has never been described before for *O. niloticus*. However, studies about sex linked markers on LG 1 in *O. niloticus* reported missing linkage for markers on LG 1 [Lee et al., 2003; Ezaz et al., 2004]. The sex determining loci on LG 3 may be an evolutionary rudiment, because LG 3 has been suggested to be the ancestral sex chromosome and that sex determination loci have shifted to LG 1 [Cnaani et al., 2008]. Shifts of sex determining loci from the ancestral sex chromosome to other LGs could also be the reason for the detected linkage of *UNH898* and *GM283* on LG 23 in family 4. Temperature effects on sex determination (and their absence) might play a crucial role in evolution and fixation of novel sex chromosomes or major sex factors as observed in Nile tilapia on LG 1.

Moreover, only a single QTL has been found per family. Additionally, the QTL detected here were different for the families. Therefore, the chromosomal regions or genes on which the temperature acts seem to be dependent on the family. Because of the high variability of significant markers and QTL for the different families, it can be assumed that the present mapping population will provide an excellent basis for further studies. Furthermore, the analysis of candidate genes is very promising, especially of *amh* and *cyp19a*. For both genes, polymorphisms have already been described for *Oreochromis spp.* [Shirak et al., 2006]. Additionally, the microsatellite markers mostly show a high informativeness in this population which increases their suitability for further experiments. A following F2-population will provide additional segregations of the markers and QTL, including further LGs. Moreover, a higher accuracy and confirmation of the QTL positions is aimed to be achieved by using more markers in the current QTL regions.
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