Stromal Protein-Mediated Immune Regulation in Digestive Cancers
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Abstract: The stromal tumor microenvironment (TME) consists of immune cells, vascular and neural structures, cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), as well as extracellular matrix (ECM), and favors immune escape mechanisms promoting the initiation and progression of digestive cancers. Numerous ECM proteins released by stromal and tumor cells are crucial in providing physical rigidity to the TME, though they are also key regulators of the immune response against cancer cells by interacting directly with immune cells or engaging with immune regulatory molecules. Here, we discuss current knowledge of stromal proteins in digestive cancers including pancreatic cancer, colorectal cancer, and gastric cancer, focusing on their functions in inhibiting tumor immunity and enabling drug resistance. Moreover, we will discuss the implication of stromal proteins as therapeutic targets to unleash efficient immunotherapy-based treatments.
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1. Introduction

Colorectal, gastric, and pancreatic cancer represent three of the most frequent digestive cancers accounting for more than three million new cases per year worldwide as reported by the International Agency for Research on Cancer in 2018 [1]. Even though they share common characteristics, they mainly differ by their prognosis, being overall better for colorectal than gastric and pancreatic cancer. When metastatic, patient overall survival ranges from 12 to 30 months for colorectal cancer, depending on the patient’s rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (RAS) and B-Raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1 (BRAF) statuses, and does not usually exceed 12 months for gastric and pancreatic cancer. Therapeutic management for digestive cancers is based on chemotherapy and if feasible, surgery of metastatic sites. Targeted therapies based on vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor as well as human epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibition can be indicated in colorectal and gastric cancer on analysis of individual biomarkers [2–5].
Checkpoint inhibitor-based treatments are approved in cases harboring microsatellite instability or with high tumor mutational burden [6–11].

Colorectal, gastric, and pancreatic cancer are often characterized by an abundant desmoplastic stroma reaction that can outnumber the neoplastic cells by far and harbors the tumor microenvironment (TME). The stromal TME is composed of non-cancerous cells including immune cells (e.g., lymphocytes, macrophages, and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs)), vascular and neural structures, as well as cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) [12,13]. The cellular composition and differentiation of the TME is complex and has been extensively reviewed elsewhere [14–16]. In addition to the cellular compartment, the TME comprises a large amount of acellular components: the tumor-associated extracellular matrix (ECM), which consists of a complex meshwork of structural and regulatory proteins [17]. ECM proteins provide versatile signals to cells via the engagement with cell-surface receptors such as integrins or the binding and modulation of growth factors to activate pathways that are implicated in cellular proliferation, survival, adhesion, motility, and morphology [18,19]. Hence, ECM proteins of the TME have been recognized to contribute to cancer progression and invasion directly by promoting cellular transformation and metastasis but also indirectly by affecting stroma-regulated cellular processes such as inflammation and angiogenesis [20,21]. Moreover, ECM protein-mediated fibrosis compromises immune cell access and drug delivery, and it promotes resistance against cytotoxic therapies [22–24].

2. Extracellular Matrix Proteins

ECM proteins are endowed with both structural and regulatory functions. The genes encoding for ECM proteins and ECM-associated proteins are collectively known as the matrisome, which encompasses two main groups of genes: the core matrisome genes encoding collagens, glycoproteins, and proteoglycans, or matrisome-associated genes encoding ECM-affiliated proteins, ECM regulators, and secreted factors that are involved in the regulation or modulation of ECM functions [17,25]. Among the core matrisome proteins, collagens and the glycoproteins elastin, fibronectin, and laminins are considered to be the main fibrous ECM proteins determining the ECM structure [26]. Another group of glycoproteins comprised of matricellular proteins, including secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine (SPARC) and tenascin, has regulatory functions but does not contribute significantly to the ECM structure [27]. Proteoglycans are found in the extracellular interstitial space and are associated with a wide range of functions implicated in the formation and physiochemical properties of the ECM [28].

Although cancer cells have the ability to release ECM proteins into the TME, stromal cells (e.g., fibroblasts) and invading immune cells remain the main source of ECM proteins [29,30]. However, the ECM protein signature between stromal and tumor cells differs as demonstrated by a recent study analyzing different stages of pancreatic cancer in pre-clinical mouse models and clinical samples. Indeed, Tian et al. showed that stromal cells predominantly produce ECM proteins belonging to the core matrisome, while cancer cells produce a wide range of both core matrisome and matrisome-associated proteins [31]. Moreover, whereas cancer cell-derived ECM proteins are largely associated with poor survival, ECM proteins exclusively produced by stromal cells are either positively or negatively correlated with survival [31,32]. Another study analyzed changes in the ECM signature in metastatic sites of high-grade serous ovarian cancer ranging from low to extensive disease. They determined a set of proteins associated with the pre-metastatic niche and poor prognosis [13]. It is now widely accepted that ECM proteins of the stromal TME have both pro- and anti-tumoral functions acting at different levels of tumor progression (e.g., epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), proliferation, migration) [18,20,21]. However, a more detailed understanding of the acellular TME compartments could provide targets for selective therapeutic approaches [33]. Albeit there is long-standing knowledge of the immune modulatory functions of many ECM-associated proteins, namely cytokines and chemokines, such properties of core matrisome ECM proteins have only recently
emerged [34,35]. Therefore, in this review we will focus on this latter category of ECM proteins and on their interactions with immune cells promoting immune escape and immune suppression during the initiation and progression of colorectal, gastric and pancreatic cancer, which are associated with abundant stromal reactions [36–38].

3. Immune Regulation by ECM Proteins in Digestive Cancers

It is important to emphasize that very few reports have been devoted to studying the pitfalls of the immune surveillance mechanisms that lead to tumor immune escape in the context of digestive cancers. The immune system plays a crucial role in preventing tumorigenesis. Moreover, the overall survival and therapeutic efficiency is strongly linked to the presence and distribution of immune cells within the TME. Recently, two retrospective studies evaluating pancreatic tumor infiltration by innate and adaptive immune cells found an association between prolonged survival and presence of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells as well as the NKT cells and their interaction with macrophages [39,40]. Indeed, the immune system recognizes and eliminates tumor cells by exerting a selective pressure on tumor cells attempting to escape immune surveillance. This latter is composed of three steps: elimination, equilibrium and escape, highlighting a coordinated effort by immune cell populations produced by the thymus and recruited locally to their target organ [41,42].

The elimination phase occurs at an early stage in tumor development. Natural killer (NK) cells of the innate immune system as well as adaptive immune cells, such as CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and γδ T lymphocytes, are the unique actors of this phenomenon [42]. During this phase, neoplastic lesions are eliminated before any clinical signs occur. However, some neoplastic lesions may not be completely eliminated and acquire additional mutations, rendering them resistant to the cytotoxic attacks of the immune system. This phase is known as an equilibrium phase [43]. Very few reports have focused on this phase since it is clinically silent. However, during this phase, the immunogenicity of the tumor is remodeled by the pressure enforced by the immune system. During cancer development, the variants of the tumor cells escaping the CD8+ T cell cytotoxic attack proliferate. This immune evasion in cancer is associated with profound immune suppression in which the stroma plays an important role in dampening the effective immune response. Indeed, a recent study investigated the role of growth arrest-specific protein 6 (Gas6), a glycoprotein which is produced by macrophages and CAFs in the TME, in the suppression of NK cells [44]. By employing antibody-mediated blockage of Gas6 in an orthotopic model of pancreatic cancer, the researchers could show that NK cell activation was restored, resulting in reduced tumor weight and less lung metastases [44]. Furthermore, the recruitment of MDSCs, tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) and regulatory T (T reg) cells skew the immune response and modifies the pancreatic microenvironment favoring immune escape [45,46]. TAMs have been divided into M1 and M2 macrophages by analogy to helper T cell differentiation [47,48].

In the following section we will describe the implication of several core matrisome ECM proteins associated with colorectal, gastric, and pancreatic cancer in promoting immune modulation, which facilitates immune evasion of neoplastic cells (Table 1).

3.1. Collagens

Collagen is the most abundant ECM protein that self-assembles into fibrils, provides tissue support for cell growth, and contributes to mechanical resilience of connective tissue [49]. The broad diversity of collagens and their complex assembly has been extensively reviewed elsewhere [50–52]. Briefly, each collagen molecule consists of a triple helical region formed by three polypeptide chains, and two non-helical regions at either end. To date, 29 distinct homo- and/or heterotrimeric molecules have been described. The collagen molecules are wound into each other forming rope-like structures with a length and diameter of 280 nm and 1.4 nm, respectively. Collagen organization strongly influences cell behavior and tissue mechanics [53–55]. By using two-photon microscopy on tissue samples from patients with ovarian cancer to visualize collagen fibers using second
harmonic generation label-free illumination, Pearce et al. showed that in tissues with a low disease score, collagen fibers are thin and mostly arranged around the adipocytes [13]. In contrast, in tissues with a high disease score denser arrays of long collagen bundles with a predominant micro-scale orientation were observed, and this orientation was largely correlated with disease score [13]. As with the disease score, the collagen composition appeared to change over time as shown by another study comparing the ECM composition in different states of pancreatic cancer as well as pancreatitis [31]. While the fibrillar collagens COLA1A1, COL1A2, and COL3A1 are the most abundant in all stages of pancreatic cancer and pancreatitis in both mouse and human, COL6A3 is highly enriched in advanced pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) [31]. Moreover, the representation of collagens (as well as other ECM proteins) not only differs between healthy and tumor tissue but also between the initial tumor and the metastatic site [56,57].

As collagen is the most abundant ECM protein, it seems reasonable to assume that it does not only provide mechanical functions but also affects invading immune cells. Mechanically collagen provides tissue stiffness and rigidity to the TME, which can lead to the exclusion of immune cells such as CD8+ T cells from the tumor [58,59]. Interestingly, a study analyzing the T cell infiltration of pancreatic cancer showed that although the spatial distribution of T cells within the tumor is relevant for patient outcome, ECM collagen has no significant impact on their infiltration [60], similarly to T cell infiltration in breast cancer [61]. However, in this particular study the activation status of infiltrated T cells was not determined [60]. Nevertheless, it has been shown that high collagen density can reduce the cytotoxic activity of tumor-infiltrating T cells and up-regulate regulatory cell markers [62].

Interestingly, it has been shown that experimental tumors combining Kristen rat sarcoma gene (KRAS) mutations and transforming growth factor (TGF)-β type II receptor deletion are highly fibrotic and exhibit a pronounced mesenchymal-like phenotype following stromal ablation [63,64]. Laklai et al. showed that in PDAC patient biopsies, higher collagen I content and activated STAT3 were associated with SMAD4 mutation and shorter survival [65]. These findings suggest the implication of epithelial tension and matricellular fibrosis in the aggressiveness of SMAD4 mutant pancreatic tumors, and highlight greater CD68+ macrophage recruitment to stiffer STAT3+ zones as key drivers of this phenotype.

To further investigate the immune modulatory function of collagen, Pinto and colleagues employed a model of decellularized tissue samples from colorectal cancer patients, in which they showed that collagen was the main remaining component of the scaffold following decellularization [66]. Moreover, the decellularized ECM scaffold promoted macrophage polarization toward the anti-inflammatory M2 phenotype, as indicated by their release of interleukin (IL)-10, TGF-β, and CCL18 [66]. As such, educated M2 macrophages also drove cancer cell invasion in a CCL18-dependent manner [66]. A recent study on gastric cancer revealed that the tumor immune cell infiltrate was correlated with the expression of different collagens [67]. This expression was in turn associated with the presence of M2 macrophages, indicating that they promote immunosuppressive phenotypes [68].

### 3.2. Fibrous and Non-Fibrous Glycoproteins
#### 3.2.1. Fibronectin

Fibronectin is a high molecular weight glycoprotein consisting of two subunits that range in size (230–270 kDa) depending on alternative splicing and that are linked by disulfide bonds between c-terminal cysteine residues of each subunit [69]. Fibronectin exists as soluble plasma-fibronectin that is secreted by hepatocytes into the bloodstream [70] or as a structural protein expressed in tissues by fibroblasts and other cell types [71,72]. Fibronectin mainly interacts through integrins, and due to alternative splicing and post-translational modulations several isoforms of fibronectin exist. Fibronectin mediates multiple cellular processes such as attachment, motility, contractility, and ECM assembly [69,71,72]. Fibronectin binds to several ECM proteins including collagen, which leads to ECM maturation implicated in both physiological processes and pathologies [73].
As mentioned above, tumors are infiltrated by TAMs derived from circulating monocytes that can be pro- or anti-inflammatory depending on their polarization. Fibronectin produced by the TME appears to be crucial for the recruitment of TAMs, since it has been demonstrated to facilitate the migration of mouse and human macrophages by activating the focal adhesion kinase (FAK) pathway [74]. Here, FAK activation, which was shown to be mediated by the interaction of fibronectin and integrin α5β1 [75], resulted in colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF-R1) phosphorylation required for macrophage migration [74]. Moreover, the interaction of monocytes with fibronectin modulates their expression of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), especially MMP9, when they are co-cultured with gastric carcinoma-derived cancer cells, whereas depletion of fibronectin results in diminished MMP9 production [76]. However, in vitro cell invasion of gastric carcinoma-derived cancer cells was only promoted when the TAM-like monocytes expressed MMP9.

In a follow-up study the same researchers demonstrated that the invasiveness of gastric carcinoma-derived cancer cells was promoted by tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α released from macrophages in a fibrinogen-dependent manner [76,77].

Fibronectin not only promotes the invasiveness of the primary tumor but it also participates in preparing pre-metastatic niches. By employing an experimental model of intra-splenic PDAC cell injection, Costa-Silva and colleagues demonstrated that PDAC-derived exosomes fuse with hepatic Kupffer cells. This fusion results in TGF-β signaling-induced up-regulation of fibronectin by hepatic stellate cells, which was required for recruitment of bone marrow-derived macrophages [78]. Moreover, they showed that the pro-metastatic effect in this model was dependent on macrophage recruitment, since the elimination of CD11b+ cells using a diphtheria toxin-based approach resulted in fewer liver metastases [78].

Although the presented studies did not further investigate the impact of fibronectin on skewing the phenotype of TAMs toward M1 or M2, in other malignancies fibronectin has been demonstrated to affect the phenotype of infiltrating cells. As mentioned above fibronectin can be present in several isoforms depending on alternative splicing [79]. The alternatively spliced extra domain (ED) A of fibronectin is found in large amounts in the stroma of most solid tumors [80]. A study assessing the role of fibronectin in a mouse model of fibrosis showed that EDA-fibronectin acts on integrin α5β1 and converts myeloid cells into anti-inflammatory MDSCs with increased expression of arginase-1 [81]. While EDA-fibronectin pre-exposition of MDSCs is beneficial in fibrosis owing to the prevention of exacerbated inflammatory tissue damage, it is detrimental in mice injected with B16 melanoma cells as it results in arginase-mediated cancer growth [81]. In addition to recruiting and priming immunosuppressive cells, fibronectin controls the migration of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes preventing them from encountering tumor cells. By analyzing the trajectories of T cells in freshly isolated human lung tumors, both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells were shown to be entrapped within fibronectin- and collagen-rich stromal regions and the restricted access to the tumor could be lifted by treating the tumor samples with collagenase [59]. These results imply that in addition to promote macrophage-derived MMP-mediated tumor invasion, fibronectin also contributes to immune evasion by hampering proper tumor infiltration by T cells.

3.2.2. Laminin

Laminins are a group of large molecular weight glycoproteins (~400 kDa), key in shaping the basement membrane that separates the endothelium and epithelium from underlying connective tissue [82,83]. As with fibronectin, laminins form networks by binding cellular receptors [84,85]. Currently, 16 laminin isoforms are known, constituted by the assembly of three disulfide-linked polypeptides, the α, β, and γ chain [84,85]. The location of laminins within the basement membrane, which is also a stem cell niche, advocates for their strong involvement in shaping the stem cell phenotype [86,87]. Although modulation of immune cell recruitment and functions by laminins has been described in addition to their structural function [88], their immunomodulatory role in digestive cancers remains to be
clarified. As mentioned above, T cells can be excluded from solid tumors [59,89] and must cross the basement membrane to enter tumor areas. Interestingly, different laminins have been associated with permissive (LAMA8, LAMA4) [90,91] or non-permissive (LAMA5) T cell migration signals [92]. In a pre-clinical model of colorectal cancer, it was demonstrated that up-regulation of superoxide dismutase 3 (SOD3) enhances tumor infiltration by CD8+ T cells by activating WNT pathway in the ECM [93]. WNT activation normalized the tumor endothelium by up-regulating the expression of LAMA4 and becoming permissive to T cell transfer [93]. Moreover, tumor-infiltrating DCs, which are important to establish efficient T cell responses, have been shown to interact with laminins and to be affected by this interaction [94]. DCs isolated from tumors in a mouse model of ovarian cancer express CD49f, a well-known receptor of laminin [95,96]. DCs can establish long-term interactions with laminins resulting in impaired immunological functions. Such long-term in vitro culture of DCs in contact with laminin resulted in DCs less efficient in inducing T cell proliferation compared to DCs cultured in laminin-free control conditions [96]. Since dysregulated DC responses have been detected in digestive cancers [97], it is tempting to speculate that similar mechanisms of laminin-induced DC tolerance may arise in which the TME promotes tumor immune escape.

3.2.3. Elastin

While collagen is the structural ECM protein that provides support and resistance to tissues, elastin contributes to the elasticity of many soft tissues including large arteries, skin, lung, elastic cartilage, ligament and tendon [98]. Elastin is an insoluble polymer that is formed by extensive crosslinking of its precursor monomer tropoelastin [99]. Tropoelastin, which has a molecular weight of 60–70 kDa, is secreted by various cell types such as fibroblasts, endothelial, epithelial cells or smooth muscle cells [100]. Elastin degradation by elastases including serine-, cysteine-, and metalloproteinases is a process associated with aging but also malignancies such as cancer [101]. In a recent study, researchers showed that elastin expression increased in colorectal cancer and this increase was associated with high MMP9 and MMP12 expression, as well as that of their inhibitor TIMP 3 [102]. Moreover, they determined that TNF secretion by macrophages was induced by the interaction of macrophages and elastin. Thus, elastin contributes to the generation of a pro-tumorigenic microenvironment [102]. Elastin-associated inflammatory processes are usually mediated by fragments of the proteins, which arise during its degradation e.g., by metalloproteinases. In line with the findings described above, another study reported the chemotactic activity of elastin fragments on monocytes in a mouse model of lung emphysema [103].

3.3. Matricellular Glycoproteins

Matricellular proteins are important components of the ECM, as they are endowed with pleiotropic regulatory but not structural functions involved in tissue remodeling and repair. These include proteins of the connective tissue growth factor, cysteine-rich proteins and the nephroblastoma overexpressed gene (CNN) family and secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine (SPARC) family as well as thrombospondins, periostin, tenascins, and osteopontin. Matricellular proteins have been associated with many malignancies [104], and are produced by stromal and tumor cells but also by TME-invading cells such as macrophages [105]. They can bind to other structural ECM proteins such as collagens and fibronectin, influencing matrix formation and thereby promoting tumor growth. Moreover, matricellular proteins have been shown to interact with growth factors and integrins to regulate cell growth, adhesion, and motility [106]. As with other ECM proteins, matricellular proteins are substrates for metalloproteinases and other proteases, and their cleavage can result in the exposure or rupture of active domains [107,108]. Moreover, matricellular proteins impact various cellular processes including immune responses through their matrix modulatory capacities, their interactions with cell-surface receptors and their cleavage by proteases [106].
3.3.1. SPARC/Osteonectin

Secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine (SPARC) (also referred to as osteonectin or basement membrane protein 40) is a 32 kDa matricellular protein involved in cell-cell interactions, growth factor signaling, and ECM remodeling via its regulation of collagen deposition and MMP production and activation [109–114]. In addition, SPARC has been reported to influence numerous pathways associated with proliferation, migration, differentiation, adhesion, apoptosis, wound healing and EMT [109,115–118]. Given its vast variety of functions, SPARC has been reported to be a tumor promoter [119–121] and suppressor [122,123] depending on the type of cancer. Interestingly, in pancreatic cancer a compartmentalization of SPARC can be observed and stromal SPARC expression is associated with poor patient survival independently of the tumor-derived SPARC [124]. To evaluate the effect of host-derived endogenous or tumor cell-derived SPARC, researchers performed subcutaneous injections of pancreatic cancer cells into SPARC-/- mice. SPARC displayed anti-tumorigenic properties by regulating ECM deposition and inhibiting the growth of tumor cells [125]. A similar effect of SPARC was unveiled in gastric cancer, where SPARC overexpression was associated with fewer lung metastasis [126]. Moreover, an altered distribution of macrophages was detected in SPARC-/- compared to WT control mice after pancreatic tumor cell injection. While WT mice displayed a marginal location of macrophages, an clear intratumoral distribution of macrophages was observed in SPARC-/- mice [125]. In a follow-up study, employing an orthotopic mouse model of pancreatic cancer, the researchers further characterized infiltrating macrophages. They found that overall infiltration increased in mice lacking SPARC expression. While the activation of M1 macrophages did not differ between SPARC-/- and WT mice, a significantly increase in M2 macrophage activation was observed in mice lacking SPARC [127]. Stabilin-1 has been described as a SPARC receptor on macrophages, which is internalized and subjected to endocytosis after binding. By permitting the internalization of SPARC, stabilin-1 was surmised to fulfill regulatory functions in macrophages driving their phenotype [128]. Moreover, SPARC-/- mice exhibited increased levels of activated TGF-β, which was accompanied with increased frequencies of T reg cells. While macrophage infiltration was unaffected and M2 activation was mildly increased upon TGF-β inactivation in SPARC-/- mice, the increase in T reg cells was abrogated resulting in reduced tumor progression and survival [129]. The authors speculated that alterations in the ECM due to the lack of SPARC increased TGF-β availability. Aside from these finding, the use of SPARC-/- mice must be carefully interpreted, since SPARC expression impacts both spleen development and lymphocyte trafficking through the spleen [130]. Also, SPARC-/- mice were shown to mount insufficient innate immune responses [130]. In addition to tumor cells and fibroblasts, M2 macrophages have been shown to be a major source of SPARC in gastric cancer [131]. Moreover, this recent study demonstrated that SPARC overexpression in M2 macrophages reduced M2-mediated functions including proliferation of gastric cancer cells, emphasizing the anti-tumorigenic role of SPARC in digestive cancers [131].

Also in other malignancies SPARC was reported to affect anti-tumor immune responses. A study using an oncogene-induced model of bladder cancer in SPARC WT and SPARC-/- mice, demonstrated that the loss of SPARC promotes the inflammatory phenotype of TAMs (as well as CAFs) through the activation of the transcription factors NF-κB and AP-1. Conversely, inhibition of the NF-κB and AP-1 in the presence of SPARC results in reduced expression of TGF-β1 and stromal cell-derived factor 1 (SDF1). Although here the loss of SPARC results in increased inflammation, the investigators observed increased tumor progression and decreased survival [132].

3.3.2. Osteopontin

Osteopontin, which was first discovered in osteoblasts, where it is crucial for bone homeostasis, is a 34–62 kDA multifunctional secretory acidic glycoprotein [133]. Osteopontin is encoded by the secreted phosphoprotein 1 (SPP1) gene, which has five isoforms and is also associated with neoplastic transformations [134]. Osteopontin is not only
produced within the ECM but also by immune cells including activated lymphocytes, macrophages and dendritic cells (DCs) and is therefore also called early T-lymphocyte activation-1 (Eta-1) [135]. Osteopontin is involved in a variety of cellular processes such as adhesion, motility, and survival and its functional versatility can be explained by its capacity to engage with multiple receptors including various integrins as well as CD44 variants [136]. While osteopontin has a physiological regulatory role in developmental processes and tissue remodeling, its aberrant expression is associated with cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and cancer [137]. Osteopontin is the physiological ligand of CD44, which is expressed by a variety of cell types including activated T cells [138]. Binding of osteopontin to CD44 leads to the suppression of CD8+ T cell activation and proliferation [136]. Osteopontin production is regulated by interferon regulatory factor (IRF) 8, which is expressed in myeloid and cancer cells [139]. Interestingly, in colorectal cancer IRF8 is often silenced by DNA methylation [140]. Klement and colleagues showed that this loss resulted in elevated osteopontin levels leading to CD8+ T cell suppression and poor prognosis [141]. Aside from directly interacting with T cells, osteopontin also affects the recruitment and differentiation of macrophages in gastric cancer, promoting a pro-tumorigenic immune response. A study investigating the relationship between osteopontin expression and macrophages in human gastric cancer showed that osteopontin serves as a chemoattractant for macrophages and promotes their skewing towards a CD204+ M2 phenotype [142]. Consequently, high osteopontin expression in combination with high CD204 expression on macrophages is associated with poorer survival of the patients [142]. In line with these findings, another study based on a mouse model of gastric cancer induced by *Helicobacter pylori* infection showed that osteopontin deficiency suppresses the migration of macrophages, resulting in reduced proliferation of gastric cancer cells [143].

Immune regulatory functions of osteopontin have been demonstrated in several other neoplastic malignancies. Indeed, a study using a human lung adenocarcinoma cell line revealed that tumor cell-derived osteopontin regulates M2 polarization by the up-regulation of programmed cell death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) on macrophages, and osteopontin knockdown results in macrophages with increased CD4+ T cell activation capacity [144].

### 3.3.3. Periostin

Periostin is a multimodular protein with four isoforms ranging in molecular weight from 83–93 KD [145]. Periostin is physiologically expressed in the periosteum, a specialized membrane covering the outer surface of bone [146], and other connective tissues rich in collagen, where it plays a key role in ECM structuring via interactions with collagen and several other ECM proteins [147–149].

In pancreatic cancer, periostin has been detected in cancer epithelial cells, pancreatic stellate cells, and tumor stroma [150–152]. High periostin expression is observed at advanced stages of the disease and is associated with poor survival [150,152,153]. In a hepatic metastasis model of pancreatic cancer, periostin was shown to be induced by granulin secreted from metastasis-associated macrophages (MAMs) [154]. Although the exact mechanism underlying hepatic stellate cell activation by MAMs to produce periostin is currently unknown, periostin seems to be essential for preparing the metastatic niche. In a colitis-associated colorectal cancer model using periostin-/- mice, lack of periostin expression resulted in fewer inflammatory peritoneal macrophages, as evidenced by a reduced expression of TNF-α and IL-1β, and up-regulation of TGF-β and IL-10 [155]. Interestingly, in patients with intestinal type gastric cancer, low periostin levels were associated with poor survival and lymph node metastasis [156]. The same study revealed that high periostin levels in diffuse gastric cancer was associated with high M2 macrophage infiltration, whereas this was not the case in intestinal gastric cancer. An interaction between periostin and TAMs has also been observed in several other malignancies. In ovarian cancer, the expression of periostin promotes tumor infiltration with macrophages. Moreover, periostin expression by ovarian cancer cells was induced by TGF-β from infiltrating macrophages, which in turn promoted their skewing towards
the M2 phenotype [157]. In wound healing and scar formation, periostin-mediated up-regulation of TNF-α on infiltrating monocytes appears to be beneficial since it promotes the proliferation of pericytes and thereby enhances functional recovery after injury [158]. Finally, in a murine model of melanoma within an inflammatory environment as well as in the skin of melanoma patients the recruitment of M2 macrophages was promoted in the presence of high periostin levels [159].

3.3.4. βig-h3/TGF-β-Induced Protein

βig-h3 (also known as TGF-β-induced protein or TGFβi) is a 68 kDa ECM protein containing a secretory signal sequence, a N-terminal cysteine-rich domain, four fasciclin 1 domains, and a RGD (Arg-Gly-Asp) cell adhesive motif that was first isolated from human lung adenocarcinoma treated with TGF-β [160,161]. βig-h3, is expressed in a wide variety of tissues and the physiological functions of βig-h3 include cell-matrix interactions and cell migration [162]. In addition, βig-h3 interacts with various integrins [163–165] as well as other ECM molecules such as type I, II, and IV collagens, fibronectin, the proteoglycans biglycan and decorin, as well as periostin [166,167].

We and others have recently demonstrated that βig-h3 plays an important role in modulating the tissue stiffness of the TME in pancreatic cancer and its expression is associated with poor outcome [168,169]. Moreover, we showed that βig-h3 is a key regulator of immune responses during pancreatic cancer where it is expressed by tumor and stromal cells. By employing pre-clinical mouse models of pancreatic cancer, we showed that βig-h3 inhibits CD8+ T cell proliferation and activation. Moreover, βig-h3 diminishes the production of IFNγ and TNF-α by macrophages skewing them toward a non-inflammatory phenotype. Interactions of βig-h3 with both CD8+ T cells and macrophages were facilitated by binding of CD61 on their surface [168].

Also, in other diseases, βig-h3 has been associated with poor outcome. We showed that this matricellular protein has immunosuppressive properties in an autoimmune context [170]. Also, in their recent study Fico and Santamaria-Martinez demonstrated that βig-h3 promotes breast cancer metastasis and plays an important role in tumor angiogenesis [171]. Moreover, employing a KO model of βig-h3 as well as in silico analysis of human breast cancer samples, they showed that βig-h3 overexpression is positively linked with tumor hypoxia and M2 macrophages but negatively associated with CD8+ T cell infiltration [171]. In fibrosis and wound healing, macrophage-derived βig-h3 that is released after the uptake of apoptotic cells is considered to control collagen turnover as shown in a study from Nacu et al. [172]. Here, βig-h3 controlled the expression of MMP14, which is a critical factor for physiological collagen turnover and prevention of fibrosis [172]. Recently, it has been demonstrated that βig-h3 (as well as tenascin C and fibronectin) is produced by M2 but not M1 TAMs in ovarian cancer, where it contributes to tumor migration and thereby might promote metastatic spreading [173].

3.4. Proteoglycans

Versican

Versican is a hyaluronan-binding proteoglycan with a molecular weight of ~400 kDa in its largest isoform V0. Four more isoforms V1, V2, V3, and V4 have been described that are generated by alternative splicing [28]. Versican is involved in cell adhesion, proliferation, migration, inflammation, and ECM assembly [174,175]. As with other ECM proteins, versican has pro- and anti-inflammatory properties, making it a key player in cancer development.

Such a dual function was reported in a study investigating the role of cancer cell-derived versican in colorectal versus breast cancer cells [176]. On the one hand, colorectal cancer cell-derived versican promoted the polarization of macrophages toward the pro-inflammatory M1 phenotype with up-regulated release of IL-6, IL-12 and TNF-α. On the other hand, breast cancer cell-derived versican promoted a M2 phenotype [176]. Moreover, versican binding to TLR2 on myeloid cells promotes the production of IL-6 and TNF-α [177].
While versican in its intact form mostly promotes tolerogenic actions, versican proteolysis results in the release of the versikine, a versican-derived matrikine that antagonizes the tolerogenic actions of its parent by promoting immunogenicity [178]. In colorectal cancer, versikine has been shown to promote T cell infiltration through the regulation of CD103+ conventional DC differentiation [179]. In both DCs and macrophages, induction of IRF8 expression was promoted by versikine, resulting in increased T cell recruitment and activation [178,179]. In addition, in gastric cancer versican expression serves as a biomarker [180]. The analysis of human gastric cancer tissue revealed a positive correlation between versican expression and T reg cells as well as other immunosuppressive proteins such as TIGIT or IDO1 but not PD1 [181].

Such diverse actions of versican can also be observed in other diseases. In cervical cancer, high stromal versican expression is associated with low numbers of intratumoral CD8+ T cells [182]. In mesothelioma, tumor cell-derived versican promotes both macrophage migration and their polarization toward the M2 phenotype [183]. As with gastric cancer, versican expression was associated with the accumulation of T reg cells, which further contributes to mesothelioma progression by hampering the anti-tumor immune responses [183]. In a murine melanoma model, the expression of inhibitory checkpoint ligand PD-L1 on macrophages was reported to be dependent on TNF-α expression by macrophages. Indeed, tumor cell-derived versican regulated TNF-α production in a TLR2-dependent manner [184].

Table 1. ECM proteins with immune modulatory functions in colorectal (CRC), gastric (GC) and pancreatic cancer (PC).

| Matrisome Category | Name                  | Digestive Cancer | Immune Modulatory Function                                                                 | Secreted By                  |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Collagens          | PC [31]               | M2 macrophage polarization [66] | Stromal fibroblasts [31,185]                                                            |
|                    | GC [58]               | T cell exclusion [58,59] | Tumor cells [31,185]                                                                     |
|                    | CRC [66]              | T cell suppression [62] | Tumor cells [31,185]                                                                     |
| Fibronectin        | PC [186]              | Monocyte recruitment [74,78] | Stromal fibroblasts [186]                                                                |
|                    | GC [187]              | Monocyte differentiation [76,77] | Monocytes/Macrophages [189]                                                             |
|                    | CRC [188]             |                  | Tumor cells [189]                                                                        |
| Laminin            | PC [190]              | T cell infiltration/exclusion [93] | Tumor basement membrane [82,83]                                                          |
|                    | GC [191]              |                  |                                                                                  |
|                    | CRC [192]             |                  |                                                                                  |
| Elastin            | PC [193]              | Macrophage activation [102] | Stromal fibroblasts [100]                                                                |
|                    | CRC [102]             |                  |                                                                                  |
| SPARC/Osteonectin  | PC [124]              | Macrophage recruitment/location [125] | Stromal fibroblasts [124,195]                                                              |
|                    | GC [194]              | M2 macrophage suppression [127] | Macrophages/microvesicles [131]                                                           |
|                    | CRC [195]             | TGF-β suppression [129] | Tumor cells [196]                                                                        |
|                    |                       | T reg cell suppression [129] |                                                                                  |
| Osteopontin        | PC [197]              | CD8+ T cell suppression [141] | Tumor cells [200,201]                                                                    |
|                    | GC [198]              | Macrophage recruitment/differentiation [142] | Macrophages [201]                                                                      |
|                    | CRC [199]             |                  |                                                                                  |
| Periostin          | PC [202]              | Macrophage recruitment [155] | Stromal fibroblasts [150–152]                                                             |
|                    | GC [156]              | M2 macrophage polarization [156] | Tumor cells [152]                                                                       |
|                    | CRC [155]             |                  |                                                                                  |
| βig-H3/TGFβi       | PC [168,169]          | T cell suppression [168,170] | Stromal fibroblasts [168,203]                                                              |
|                    | GC [203]              |                  | Tumor cells [168,203]                                                                    |
|                    | CRC [165]             |                  | Tumor cells [168,203]                                                                    |
| Proteoglycans      | Versican              | Macrophage differentiation [175] | Stromal fibroblasts [174,207]                                                              |
|                    |                       | Macrophages- and DC-mediated T cell activation [178,179] | Tumor cells [174,207]                                                               |
|                    |                       | T reg cell recruitment [181] | Infiltrating leukocytes [174,207]                                                          |

4. Stromal ECM Proteins as Selective Therapeutic Targets

Since CAFs have been shown to be the major source of ECM proteins in the TME [208], therapeutic approaches aimed at non-selectively depleting tumor-associated stromal cells using inhibitors of the hedgehog pathway, which resulted in improved outcome in preclinical mouse models [24]. Then, hedgehog inhibitors have been tested in patients with pancreatic and colorectal cancer but their administration was interrupted since they failed to reproduce promising pre-clinical results in a clinical setting and even paradoxically accelerated diseases progression [209–214]. These findings provided insight into the con-
stitution of TME that comprises components that can either promote or restrain tumor progression, and that these components need to be targeted in a selective manner in order to develop novel therapeutic agents [33]. Indeed, several pre-clinical and clinical studies addressed various ECM proteins as targets. In the following section, we will discuss several therapeutic approaches tested (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Therapeutic approaches targeting ECM proteins. ECM proteins within the TME have been selectively targeted by different approaches: Albumin-bound nab-Paclitaxel was shown to bind to SPARC-rich TMEs; MMP-inhibitors prevent the dissemination of basement membranes and vascular structures resulting in reduced tumor cell invasion; Hyaluronidases depolymerize HA and have suggested to make the TME permissive for immune cell infiltration and drug delivery; Prevention of FAK-phosphorylation prevents FAK activation resulting in increased sensitivity to chemotherapy and immunotherapy; Detection of the extradomain B of fibrinogen by immunocytokines has been proposed to facilitate specific antibody delivery of cytokines such as IL-2. (The displayed figure was partially generated with elements obtained from Servier Medical Art https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/legalcode).

4.1. Hyaluronan and Hyaluronidases

Hyaluronan (HA) is an extracellular glycosaminoglycan component that binds to ECM proteins contributing to their complex network. HA accumulation was observed in many solid cancers including PDAC where it is associated with more aggressive disease progression and limited delivery and distribution of therapeutic agents [215,216]. Since HA accumulation is associated with the deposition of collagen and proteoglycans forming a protumorigenic TME, it represents a very attractive therapeutic target to make the TME more permissive to invading immune cells and therapeutic agents [217–219]. Hyaluronidases...
are enzymes with the capacity to depolymerize HA, and were formerly used in oncology to facilitate the access of chemotherapeutic agents to the tumor. Furthermore, depletion of HA through hyaluronidases diminishes collagen synthesis and thereby remodels the TME [220,221]. However, clinical development of hyaluronidases was limited due to poor pharmaceutical characteristics including allergic reactions and development of antibodies against bovine-derived hyaluronidase [222]. Recombinant human hyaluronidases have been developed and recently, pegvorhyaluronidase alfa (PEGPH20), a pegylated hyaluronidase, was developed in the hope of reducing systemic clearance and prolonging circulatory time of the molecule. In a clinical trial investigating the efficiency of PEGPH20 in PDAC, the pegylated hyaluronidase was combined with nab-paclitaxel/gemcitabine (PAG). As a control, nab-paclitaxel/gemcitabine (AG) treatment was applied [223]. The results of the randomized phase II study advocated for the use of the PAG over AG regimen, although the delay in median progression-free survival (PFS) was modest (0.7 months) [223]. Moreover, the PFS increased within the subgroup of patients with high HA-expressing tumors that were defined as displaying ≥50% of hyaluronan positive staining within the TME. Although a pre-clinical study investigating the effect of PEGPH20 in a mouse model of pancreatic cancer showed that HA depletion resulted in increased intratumoral effector T cells [224], these results were not confirmed in a follow-up phase III trial [225]. Indeed, the PAG regimen did not improve the overall survival even though the selected patient cohort had been restricted to HA high-expressing tumors [225]. In addition, a genetically modified adenovirus encoding human PH20 hyaluronidase (VCN-01) was evaluated for its potential intratumoral administration to PDAC patients in combination with nab-paclitaxel/gemcitabine treatment. To date, the results have not been disclosed (NCT02045589).

4.2. Immunocytokines

The extradomain B of fibronectin, which is selectively expressed in pancreatic cancer cells, was evaluated as a potential target for the immunocytokine L19-IL2, delivering IL-2 in a specific antibody-mediated manner [226]. A phase I clinical study was initiated combining escalating doses of L19-IL2 and gemcitabine in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer (NCT01198522). However, the study was terminated untimely due to poor patient recruitment.

T cells derived from human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) can be stimulated with a recombinant fragment of fibronectin (FN-CH-296) containing the ligand for VLA-4 and -5, resulting in enhanced T cell effector functions and memory formation [227]. Based on these results, a phase I clinical trial (UMIN000001835) with transfer of FN-CH296 stimulated T cells was conducted in a cohort of nine patients with confirmed esophageal, gastric, colorectal, pancreatic, biliary tract or non-small lung cancer. Transfer of activated T cells resulted in a response rate of 22.2% and a disease control rate of 66.7% [228].

4.3. Inhibition of FAK Activity

FAK is often overexpressed and activated in advanced solid tumors such as PDAC. Since it promotes an immunosuppressive TME, FAK has emerged as a potential therapeutic target [229,230]. Indeed, Zaghdoudi and colleagues showed in a pre-clinical model of pancreatic cancer that FAK inhibition within fibroblasts resulted in increased sensitivity to chemotherapy and immune checkpoint inhibitor blockade. Moreover, they observed a decrease in the occurrence of metastases [231]. Currently, targeting FAK activity is evaluated in several phase I-II clinical trials as monotherapy, as well as in combination with programmed cell death protein 1 (NCT02758587) or mitogen-activated protein kinase (MEK) inhibitors (NCT02428270).

4.4. Inhibition of Matrix Metalloproteases

MMPs are family of 28 proteolytic enzymes with the capacity to degrade numerous components of the ECM and thereby controlling its turnover [232]. High levels of MMPs have been associated with prognosis in multiple cancers [233]. MMPs enhance tumor
progression by promoting local invasion through the degradation of molecular components of basement membranes, tumor stroma, or vascular basal laminae [234]. This contribution to tumor progression as well as promising results in pre-clinical cancer models, made MMPs an attractive therapeutic target and several of them have been evaluated; however, with minor success [235]. For instance, a phase I study of COL-3, an oral MMP inhibitor, in patients with refractory metastatic cancer (NSC-683551) or a dose-seeking trial of PCK3145, an MMP-9 inhibitor, in metastatic prostate cancer (NCT00695851) have been closed without continuation. More recently, a phase I/IIa trial of BT1718 a “bicycle drug conjugate”, which inhibits the function of membrane type 1 (MT1)-MMP has been enrolled (NCT03486730). This agent is supposed to have a double function, since in addition to inhibiting MT1-MMP, after the attachment to its target a segment of the agent (DM1 toxin) in taken into the cancer cell causing it to undergo cell death. However, not results have been available to date.

4.5. Albumin-Based Agent Delivery

Nab-Paclitaxel, a 130 nm albumin-bound formulation of paclitaxel particles, was shown to exert antitumor activity in various cancers that overexpress SPARC, including breast cancer [236,237], lung cancer [238,239], and melanoma [240,241]. Therefore, SPARC positivity within the PDAC microenvironment was initially hypothesized to increase the concentration of nab-paclitaxel in tumors, as well as to serve as a predictive biomarker for nab-paclitaxel efficacy [242]. A phase I/II clinical trial revealed substantial antitumor activity after the administration of nab-paclitaxel in combination with gemcitabine [242]. However, in a phase III trial assessing the administration of nab-paclitaxel in combination with or without gemcitabine, no association between SPARC expression and overall survival could be determined [243].

5. Conclusions

This review provides an overview of the immune modulatory functions of stromal ECM proteins in digestive cancers contributing to the immune evasion of cancer cells. Moreover, we addressed treatment regimens that are currently under evaluation and interfere with immune modulation by targeting main components of the TME. In light of the importance of strong immune responses in successfully treating digestive cancer, a better understanding of how ECM proteins affect this response and could subsequently be targeted is urgently needed. We are certain that lessons can be learned from other solid tumors in which stromal immune modulation also occurs. However, future research in the field of digestive cancers should integrate the early interactions between stromal proteins and the outcome of the immune response in relevant GEMM mouse models. Understanding the mechanisms driving and modulating the orientation of the effective immune responses as a part of the evolving and progressing tumorigenesis is key to propose adapted and personalized therapeutics helping to unleash antitumor immune response.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, P.G., C.D.L.F., and A.H.; writing—original draft preparation, P.G., C.D.L.F., and A.H.; writing—review and editing, P.G., C.D.L.F., and A.H.; artwork, P.G.; supervision, A.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Brigitte Manship for editing the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.
References

1. Available online: https://gco.iarc.fr/today/home (accessed on 15 November 2020).

2. Ducreux, M.; Cuhna, A.S.; Carrella, C.; Hollebecque, A.; Burtin, P.; Geore, D.; Seufferlein, T.; Haustermans, K.; Van Laethem, J.L.; Conroy, T.; et al. Cancer of the pancreas: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann. Oncol. 2015, 26 (Suppl. 5), v56–v68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

3. Smyth, E.C.; Verheij, M.; Allum, W.; Cunningham, D.; Cervantes, A.; Arnold, D.; Committee, E.G. Gastric cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann. Oncol. 2016, 27, v38–v49. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Penthouridakis, G.; Committee, E.G. Recent eUpdates to the ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines on hepatocellular carcinoma, cancer of the pancreas, soft tissue and visceral sarcomas, cancer of the prostate and gastric cancer. Ann. Oncol. 2019, 30, 1395–1397. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Van Cutsem, E.; Cervantes, A.; Adam, R.; Sobrero, A.; Van Krieken, J.H.; Aderka, D.; Aranda Aguilar, E.; Bardelli, A.; Benson, A.; Bodoky, G.; et al. ESMO consensus guidelines for the management of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Ann. Oncol. 2016, 27, 1386–1422. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

6. Marabelle, A.; Fakih, M.; Lopez, J.; Shah, M.; Shapira-Frommer, R.; Nakagawa, K.; Chung, H.C.; Kindler, H.L.; Lopez-Martin, J.A.; Miller, W.H., Jr.; et al. Association of tumour mutational burden with outcomes in patients with advanced solid tumours treated with pembrolizumab: Prospective biomarker analysis of the multicohort, open-label, phase 2 KEYNOTE-158 study. Lancet Oncol. 2020, 21, 1353–1365. [CrossRef]

7. Marabelle, A.; Le, D.T.; Ascierto, P.A.; Di Giacomo, A.M.; De Jesus-Acosta, A.; Delord, J.P.; Geva, R.; Gottfried, M.; Penel, N.; Hansen, A.R.; et al. Efficacy of Pembrolizumab in Patients With Noncolorectal High Microsatellite Instability/Mismatch Repair-Deficient Cancer: Results From the Phase II KEYNOTE-158 Study. J. Clin. Oncol. 2020, 38, 1–10. [CrossRef]

8. Le, D.T.; Uram, J.N.; Wang, H.; Bartlett, B.R.; Kemberling, H.; Eyring, A.D.; Skora, A.D.; Luber, B.S.; Azad, N.S.; Laheru, D.; et al. PD-1 Blockade in Tumors with Mismatch-Repair Deficiency. N. Engl. J. Med. 2015, 372, 2509–2520. [CrossRef]

9. Le, D.T.; Durham, J.N.; Smith, K.N.; Wang, H.; Bartlett, B.R.; Aulakh, L.K.; Lu, S.; Kemberling, H.; Wilt, C.; Luber, B.S.; et al. Mismatch repair deficiency predicts response of solid tumors to PD-1 blockade. Science 2017, 357, 409–413. [CrossRef]

10. Overman, M.J.; McDermott, R.; Leach, J.L.; Lonardi, S.; Lenz, H.J.; Morse, M.A.; Desai, J.; Hill, A.; Axelson, M.; Moss, R.A.; et al. Nivolumab in patients with metastatic DNA mismatch repair-deficient or microsatellite instability-high colorectal cancer (CheckMate 142): An open-label, multicentre, phase 2 study. Lancet Oncol. 2017, 18, 1182–1191. [CrossRef]

11. Overman, M.J.; Lonardi, S.; Wong, K.Y.M.; Lenz, H.J.; Gelsomino, F.; Aglietta, M.; Morse, M.A.; Van Cutsem, E.; McDermott, R.; Hill, A.; et al. Durable Clinical Benefit With Nivolumab Plus Ipilimumab in DNA Mismatch Repair-Deficient/Microsatellite Instability-High Metastatic Colorectal Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2018, 36, 773–779. [CrossRef]

12. Coral, R.J.; Schulick, R.D.; Zhu, Y. Understanding the immune landscape and tumor microenvironment of pancreatic cancer to improve immunotherapy. Mol. Carcinog. 2020. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Pearce, O.M.T.; Delaine-Smith, R.M.; Maniati, E.; Nichols, S.; Wang, J.; Bohm, S.; Rajeeve, V.; Ullah, D.; Chakravarty, P.; Jones, R.R.; et al. Deconstruction of a Metastatic Tumor Microenvironment Reveals a Common Matrix Response in Human Cancers. Cancer Discov. 2018, 8, 304–319. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Ho, W.J.; Jaffe, E.M.; Zheng, L. The tumour microenvironment in pancreatic cancer—Clinical challenges and opportunities. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 2020, 17, 527–540. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Oya, Y.; Hayakawa, Y.; Koike, K. Tumor microenvironment in gastric cancers. Cancer Sci. 2020. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Quante, M.; Varga, J.; Wang, T.C.; Greten, F.R. The gastrointestinal tumor microenvironment. Gastroenterology 2013, 145, 63–78. [CrossRef]

17. Socovich, A.M.; Naba, A. From the cancer matrix: From comprehensive characterization to biomarker discovery. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 2019, 89, 157–166. [CrossRef]

18. Hasting, J.F.; Skhinas, J.N.; Fey, D.; Croucher, D.R.; Cox, T.R. The extracellular matrix as a key regulator of intracellular signalling networks. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2019, 176, 82–92. [CrossRef]

19. Hamidi, H.; Pietilä, M.; Ivaska, J. The complexity of integrins in cancer and new scopes for therapeutic targeting. Br. J. Cancer 2016, 115, 1017–1023. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. Kai, F.; Drain, A.P.; Weaver, V.M. The Extracellular Matrix Modulates the Metastatic Journey. Dev. Cell 2019, 49, 332–346. [CrossRef]

21. Pickup, M.W.; Mouw, J.K.; Weaver, V.M. The extracellular matrix modulates the hallmarks of cancer. EMBO Rep. 2014, 15, 1243–1253. [CrossRef]

22. Hartmann, N.; Giese, N.A.; Giese, T.; Poschke, I.; Offringa, R.; Werner, J.; Ryschich, E. Prevailing role of contact guidance in intratrulal T-cell trapping in human pancreatic cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 2014, 20, 3422–3433. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Yu, M.; Tannock, I.F. Targeting tumor architecture to favor drug penetration: A new weapon to combat chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer? Cancer Cell 2012, 21, 327–329. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Olive, K.P.; Jacobetz, M.A.; Davidson, C.J.; Gopinathan, A.; McIntyre, D.; Honess, D.; Madhu, B.; Goldgraben, M.A.; Caldwell, M.E.; Allard, D.; et al. Inhibition of Hedgehog signaling enhances delivery of chemotherapy in a mouse model of pancreatic cancer. Science 2009, 324, 1457–1461. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Naba, A.; Clauser, K.R.; Ding, H.; Whittaker, C.A.; Carr, S.A.; Hynes, R.O. The extracellular matrix: Tools and insights for the "omics" era. Matrix Biol. 2016, 49, 10–24. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
55. Sorushanova, A.; Delgado, L.M.; Wu, Z.; Shologu, N.; Kshirsagar, A.; Raghunath, R.; Mullen, A.M.; Bayon, Y.; Pandit, A.; Raghunath, M.; et al. The Collagen Suprafamily: From Biosynthesis to Advanced Biomaterial Development. *Adv. Mater.* 2019, 31, e1801651. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

56. Naba, A.; Clauser, K.R.; Whittaker, C.A.; Carr, S.A.; Tanabe, K.K.; Hynes, R.O. Extracellular matrix signatures of human primary metastatic colon cancers and their metastases to liver. *BMC Cancer* 2014, 14, 518. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

57. Naba, A.; Pearce, O.M.T.; Del Rosario, A.; Ma, D.; Ding, H.; Rajeeve, V.; Cutillas, P.R.; Balkwill, F.R.; Hynes, R.O. Characterization of the Extracellular Matrix of Normal and Diseased Tissues Using Proteomics. *J. Proteome Res.* 2017, 16, 3083–3091. [CrossRef]

58. Ohno, S.; Tachibana, M.; Fujii, T.; Ueda, S.; Kubota, H.; Nagasue, N. Role of stromal collagen in immunomodulation and prognosis of advanced gastric carcinoma. *Int. J. Cancer* 2002, 97, 770–774. [CrossRef]

59. Salmon, H.; Franciszkiewicz, K.; Damotte, D.; Dieu-Nosjean, M.C.; Validire, P.; Trautmann, A.; Mami-Chouaib, F.; Donnadieu, E. Matrix architecture defines the preferential localization and migration of T cells into the stroma of human lung tumors. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2012, 122, 899–910. [CrossRef]

60. Carstens, J.L.; Correa de Sampaio, P.; Yang, D.; Barua, S.; Wang, H.; Rao, A.; Allison, J.P.; LeBleu, V.S.; Kalluri, R. Spatial computation of intratumoral T cells correlates with survival of patients with pancreatic cancer. *Nat. Commun.* 2017, 8, 15095. [CrossRef]

61. Li, X.; Gruosso, T.; Zuo, D.; Omeroglu, A.; Meterissian, S.; Guiot, M.C.; Salazar, A.; Park, M.; Levine, H. Infiltration of CD8(+) T cells into tumor cell clusters in triple-negative breast cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2019, 116, 3678–3687. [CrossRef]

62. Kuczek, D.E.; Larsen, A.M.H.; Thorseth, M.L.; Carretta, M.; Kalvisa, A.; Siersbaek, M.S.; Simoes, A.M.C.; Roslind, A.; Engelholm, L.H.; Noessner, E.; et al. Collagen density regulates the activity of tumor-infiltrating T cells. *J. Immunother. Cancer* 2019, 7, 68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

63. Ozdemir, B.C.; Pentcheva-Hoang, T.; Carstens, J.L.; Zheng, X.; Wu, C.C.; Simpson, T.R.; Laklai, H.; Sugimoto, H.; Kahlert, C.; Novitsky, S.V.; et al. Depletion of carcinoma-associated fibroblasts and fibrosis induces immunosuppression and accelerates pancreas cancer with reduced survival. *Cancer Cell* 2014, 25, 719–734. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

64. Ijichi, H.; Chytil, A.; Gorska, A.E.; Aakre, M.E.; Fujitani, Y.; Fujitani, S.; Wright, C.V.; Moses, H.L. Aggressive pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma in mice caused by pancreas-specific blockade of transforming growth factor-beta signaling in cooperation with active Kras expression. *Genes Dev.* 2006, 20, 3147–3160. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Laklai, H.; Miroshnikova, Y.A.; Pickup, M.W.; Collisson, E.A.; Kim, G.E.; Barrett, A.S.; Hill, R.C.; Lakins, J.N.; Schlaepfer, D.D.; Mouw, J.K.; et al. Genotype tunes pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma tissue tension to induce matricellular fibrosis and tumor progression. *Nat. Med.* 2016, 22, 497–505. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

66. Pinto, M.L.; Rios, E.; Silva, A.C.; Neves, S.C.; Caires, H.R.; Pinto, A.T.; Duraes, C.; Carvalho, F.A.; Cardoso, A.P.; Santos, N.C.; et al. Decellularized human colorectal cancer matrices polarize macrophages towards an anti-inflammatory phenotype promoting cancer cell invasion via CCL18. *Biomaterials* 2017, 124, 211–224. [CrossRef]

67. Chen, Y.; Chen, W.; Dai, X.; Zhang, C.; Zhang, Q.; Lu, J. Identification of the collagen family as prognostic biomarkers and immune-associated targets in gastric cancer. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 2020, 87, 106798. [CrossRef]

68. Chen, J.; Hou, C.; Zheng, Z.; Lin, H.; Lv, G.; Zhou, D. Identification of Secreted Phosphoprotein 1 (SPP1) as a Prognostic Factor in Lower-Grade Gliomas. *World Neurosurg.* 2019, 130, e775–e785. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

69. Singh, P.; Carracher, C.; Schwarzbauer, J.E. Assembly of fibroactin extracellular matrix. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2010, 26, 397–419. [CrossRef]

70. Zardi, L.; Cecconi, C.; Barbieri, O.; Carnemolla, B.; Picca, M.; Santi, L. Concentration of fibronectin in plasma of tumor-bearing mice and synthesis by Ehrlich ascites tumor cells. *Biomaterials* 2017, 124, 211–224. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

71. Mao, Y.; Schwarzbauer, J.E. Fibroactin fibrillogenesis, a cell-mediated matrix assembly process. *Matrix Biol.* 2005, 24, 389–399. [CrossRef]

72. To, W.S.; Midwood, K.S. Plasma and cellular fibronectin: Distinct and independent functions during tissue repair. *Fibrogenesis Tissue Repair* 2011, 4, 21. [CrossRef]

73. Dallas, S.L.; Chen, Q.; Sivakumar, P. Dynamics of assembly and reorganization of extracellular matrix proteins. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* 2006, 75, 1–24. [CrossRef]

74. Digiacomo, G.; Tusa, I.; Bacci, M.; Cipolleschi, M.G.; Dello Sbarba, P.; Rovida, E. Fibroactin induces macrophage migration through a SFK-FAK/CSF1-R1 pathway. *Cell Adhes. Migr.* 2017, 11, 327–337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

75. Abshire, M.Y.; Thomas, K.S.; Owen, K.A.; Bouton, A.H. Macrophage motility requires distinct alpha5beta1/FAK and alpha4beta1/paxillin signaling events. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 2011, 89, 251–257. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

76. Kamoshida, G.; Matsuura, A.; Sekine, W.; Mizuno, H.; Oku, T.; Itoh, S.; Irimura, T.; Tsujii, T. Monocyte differentiation induced by co-culture with tumor cells uses RGD-dependent cell adhesion to extracellular matrix. *Cancer Lett.* 2012, 315, 145–152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

77. Kamoshida, G.; Matsuura, A.; Miura, R.; Takashima, Y.; Katsura, A.; Tsujii, T. Potentiation of tumor cell invasion by co-culture with monocytes accompanying enhanced production of matrix metalloproteinase and fibroactin. *Clin. Exp. Metastasis* 2013, 30, 289–297. [CrossRef]

78. Costa-Silva, B.; Aiello, N.M.; Ocean, A.J.; Singh, S.; Zhang, H.; Thakur, B.K.; Becker, A.; Hoshino, A.; Mark, M.T.; Molina, H.; et al. Pancreatic cancer exosomes initiate pre-metastatic niche formation in the liver. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2015, 17, 816–826. [CrossRef]

79. Pankov, R.; Yamada, K.M. Fibroactin at a glance. *J. Cell Sci.* 2002, 115, 3861–3863. [CrossRef]
80. Rybak, J.N.; Roesli, C.; Kaspar, M.; Villa, A.; Neri, D. The extra-domain A of fibronectin is a vascular marker of solid tumors and metastases. Cancer Res. 2007, 67, 10948–10957. [CrossRef]

81. Rossnagl, S.; Altrock, E.; Sens, C.; Kraft, S.; Rau, K.; Milsom, M.D.; Giese, T.; Samstag, Y.; Nakchbandi, I.A. EDA-Fibronectin Originating from Osteoblasts Inhibits the Immune Response against Cancer. PLoS Biol. 2016, 14, e1002562. [CrossRef]

82. Qin, Y.; Rodin, S.; Simonson, O.E.; Hollande, F. Laminins and cancer stem cells: Partners in crime? Semin. Cancer Biol. 2017, 45, 3–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

83. Timpl, R.; Rohde, H.; Robey, P.G.; Rennard, S.I.; Foidart, J.M.; Martin, G.R. Laminin—A glycoprotein from basement membranes. J. Biol. Chem. 1979, 254, 9933–9937. [PubMed]

84. Aumailley, M. The laminin family. Cell Adhes. Migr. 2013, 7, 48–55. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

85. Yamada, M.; Sekiguchi, K. Molecular Basis of Laminin-Integrin Interactions. Curr. Top. Membr. 2015, 97, 197–229. [CrossRef]

86. Rodin, S.; Domogatskaya, A.; Strom, S.; Hansson, E.M.; Chien, K.R.; Inzunza, J.; Hovatta, O.; Tryggvason, K. Long-term self-renewal of human pluripotent stem cells on human recombinant laminin-511. Nat. Biotechnol. 2010, 28, 611–615. [CrossRef]

87. Miyazaki, T.; Futaki, S.; Hasegawa, K.; Kawasaki, M.; Sanzen, N.; Hayashi, M.; Kawase, E.; Sekiguchi, K.; Nakatsuji, N.; Suemori, H. Recombinant human laminin isoforms can support the undifferentiated growth of human embryonic stem cells. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2008, 375, 27–32. [CrossRef]

88. Simon, T.; Bromberg, J.S. Regulation of the Immune System by Laminins. Trends Immunol. 2017, 38, 858–871. [CrossRef]

89. Fernandes, N.R.J.; Reilly, N.S.; Schrock, D.C.; Hocking, D.C.; Oakes, P.W.; Fowell, D.J. CD4(+) T Cell Interstitial Migration Controlled by Fibronectin in the Inflamed Skin. Front. Immunol. 2020, 11, 1501. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

90. Sixt, M.; Engelhardt, B.; Pausch, F.; Hallmann, R.; Wendler, O.; Sorokin, L.M. Endothelial cell laminin isoforms, laminins 8 and 10, play decisive roles in T cell recruitment across the blood-brain barrier in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. J. Biol. Chem. 2001, 153, 933–946. [CrossRef]

91. Warren, K.J.; Iwami, D.; Harris, D.G.; Bromberg, J.S.; Burrell, B.E. Laminins affect T cell trafficking and allograft fate. J. Clin. Investig. 2014, 124, 2204–2218. [CrossRef]

92. Wu, C.; Ivars, F.; Anderson, P.; Hallmann, R.; Vestweber, D.; Nilsson, P.; Robenek, H.; Tryggvason, K.; Song, J.; Korpos, E.; et al. Endothelial basement membrane laminin alpha5 selectively inhibits T lymphocyte extravasation into the brain. Nat. Med. 2009, 15, 519–527. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

93. Carmona-Rodriguez, L.; Martinez-Rey, D.; Fernandez-Acenero, M.J.; Gonzalez-Martín, A.; Paz-Cabezas, M.; Rodriguez-Rodriguez, N.; Perez-Villamil, B.; Saez, M.E.; Diaz-Rubio, E.; Mira, E.; et al. SOD3 induces a HIF-2alpha-dependent program in endothelial cells that provides a selective signal for tumor infiltration by T cells. J. Immunother. Cancer 2020, 8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

94. Kohl, K.; Schnautz, S.; Pesch, M.; Klein, E.; Aumailley, M.; Bieber, T.; Koch, S. Subpopulations of human dendritic cells display a distinct phenotype and bind differentially to proteins of the extracellular matrix. Eur. J. Cell Biol. 2007, 86, 719–730. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

95. Miner, J.H. Laminins and their roles in mammals. Microsc. Res. Tech. 2008, 71, 349–356. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

96. Phillipp, B.; Singh, M.; Loftus, T.; Smith, H.; Muccioli, M.; Wright, J.; Pate, M.; Benencia, F. Effect of laminin environments and tumor factors on the biology of myeloid dendritic cells. Immunobiology 2020, 225, 151854. [CrossRef]

97. Lin, J.H.; Huffman, A.P.; Wattenberg, M.M.; Walter, D.M.; Carpenter, E.L.; Feldser, D.M.; Beatty, G.L.; Furth, E.E.; Vanderheide, R.H. Type 1 conventional dendritic cells are systemically dysregulated early in pancreatic carcinogenesis. J. Exp. Med. 2020, 217. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

98. Scandolera, A.; Odoul, L.; Salesse, S.; Guillot, A.; Blaise, S.; Kawecki, C.; Maurice, P.; El Btaouri, H.; Romier-Crouzet, B.; Martiny, L.; et al. The Elastin Receptor Complex: A Unique Matricellular Receptor with High Anti-tumoral Potential. Front. Pharmacol. 2016, 7, 32. [CrossRef]

99. Vindin, H.; Thiebaut, S.M.; Weiss, A.S. Elastin architecture. Matrix Biol. 2019, 84, 4–16. [CrossRef]

100. Wise, S.G.; Weiss, A.S. Tropoelastin. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 2009, 41, 494–497. [CrossRef]

101. Salesse, S.; Odoul, L.; Chazee, L.; Garbar, C.; Duca, L.; Martiny, L.; Mahmoudi, R.; Debelle, L. Elastin molecular aging promotes MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell invasiveness. FEBS Open Bio 2018, 8, 1395–1404. [CrossRef]

102. Li, J.; Xu, X.; Jiang, Y.; Hansbro, N.G.; Habsbro, P.M.; Xu, J.; Liu, G. Elastin is a key factor of tumor development in colorectal cancer. BMC Cancer 2020, 20, 217. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

103. Houghton, A.M.; Quintero, P.A.; Perkins, D.L.; Kobayashi, D.K.; Kelley, D.G.; Marconcini, L.A.; Mecham, R.P.; Senior, R.M.; Shapiro, S.D. Elastin fragments drive disease progression in a murine model of emphysema. J. Clin. Investig. 2006, 116, 753–759. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

104. Murphy-Ullrich, J.E.; Sage, E.H. Revisiting the matricellular concept. Matrix Biol. 2014, 37, 1–14. [CrossRef]

105. Sangalleti, S.; Di Carlo, E.; Gariboldi, S.; Miotti, S.; Cappetti, B.; Parenza, M.; Rumio, C.; Breken, R.A.; Chiodoni, C.; Colombo, M.P. Macrophage-derived SPARC bridges tumor cell-extracellular matrix interactions toward metastasis. Cancer Res. 2008, 68, 9050–9059. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

106. Viloria, K.; Hill, N.J. Embracing the complexity of matricellular proteins: The functional and clinical significance of splice variation. Biomol. Concepts 2016, 7, 117–132. [CrossRef]
107. Sage, E.H.; Reed, M.; Funk, S.E.; Truong, T.; Steadele, M.; Puolakkainen, P.; Maurice, D.H.; Bassuk, J.A. Cleavage of the matricellular protein SPARC by matrix metalloproteinase 3 produces polypeptides that influence angiogenesis. J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 37849–37857. [CrossRef]

108. Kehlet, S.N.; Manon-Jensen, T.; Sun, S.; Brix, S.; Leeming, D.J.; Karsdal, M.A.; Willumsen, N. A fragment of SPARC reflecting increased collagen affinity shows pathological relevance in lung cancer—Implications of a new collagen chaperone function of SPARC. Cancer Biol. Ther. 2018, 19, 904–912. [CrossRef]

109. Bradshaw, A.D.; Sage, E.H. SPARC, a matricellular protein that functions in cellular differentiation and tissue response to injury. J. Clin. Investig. 2001, 107, 1049–1054. [CrossRef]

110. Bradshaw, A.D. The role of SPARC in extracellular matrix assembly. J. Cell Commun. Signal. 2009, 3, 239–246. [CrossRef]

111. Gilles, C.; Bassuk, J.A.; Pulyaeva, H.; Sage, E.H.; Foidart, J.M.; Thompson, E.W. SPARC/osteonectin induces matrix metalloproteinase 2 activation in human breast cancer cell lines. Cancer Res. 1998, 58, 5529–5536.

112. Weaver, M.S.; Workman, G.; Sage, E.H. The copper binding domain of SPARC mediates cell survival in vitro via interaction with integrin beta1 and activation of integrin-linked kinase. J. Biol. Chem. 2008, 283, 22826–22837. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

113. Guweidhi, A.; Kleeff, J.; Adwan, H.; Giese, N.A.; Wente, M.N.; Giese, T.; Büchler, M.W.; Berger, M.R.; Friess, H. Osteonectin influences growth and invasion of pancreatic cancer cells. Ann. Surg. 2005, 242, 224–234. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

114. Bradshaw, A.D.; Puolakkainen, P.; Dasgupta, J.; Davidson, J.M.; Wight, T.N.; Helene Sage, E. SPARC-null mice display abnormalities in the dermis characterized by decreased collagen fibril diameter and reduced tensile strength. J. Investig. Dermatol. 2003, 120, 949–955. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

115. Yan, Q.; Sage, E.H. SPARC, a matricellular glycoprotein with important biological functions. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 1999, 47, 1495–1506. [CrossRef]

116. Chen, J.; Wang, M.; Xi, B.; Xue, J.; He, D.; Zhang, J.; Zhao, Y. SPARC is a key regulator of proliferation, apoptosis and invasion in human ovarian cancer. PLoS ONE 2012, 7, e2413. [CrossRef]

117. Zhang, F.; Zhang, Y.; Da, J.; Jia, Z.; Wu, H.; Gu, K. Downregulation of SPARC Expression Decreases Cell Migration and Invasion Involving Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition through the p-FAK/p-ERK Pathway in Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. J. Cancer 2020, 11, 414–420. [CrossRef]

118. Rempel, S.A.; Golembieski, W.A.; Fisher, J.L.; Maile, M.; Nakeff, A. SPARC modulates cell growth, attachment and migration of U87 glioma cells on brain extracellular matrix proteins. J. Neurooncol. 2001, 53, 149–160. [CrossRef]

119. Schultz, C.; Lemke, N.; Ge, S.; Golembieski, W.A.; Rempel, S.A. Secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine promotes glioma invasion and delays tumor growth in vivo. Cancer Res. 2002, 62, 6270–6277.

120. Chin, D.; Boyle, G.M.; Williams, R.M.; Ferguson, K.; Pandey, N.; Pedley, J.; Campbell, C.M.; Theile, D.R.; Parsons, P.G.; Coman, W.B. Novel markers for poor prognosis in head and neck cancer. Int. J. Cancer 2005, 113, 789–797. [CrossRef]

121. Kato, Y.; Nagashima, Y.; Baba, Y.; Kawano, T.; Furukawa, M.; Kubota, A.; Yanoma, S.; Imagawa-Ishiguro, Y.; Satake, K.; Taguchi, T.; et al. Expression of SPARC in tongue carcinoma of stage II is associated with poor prognosis: An immunohistochemical study of 86 cases. Int. J. Mol. Med. 2005, 16, 263–268. [CrossRef]

122. Kurtul, N.; Tasdemir, E.A.; Unal, D.; Izmirl, M.; Ergolu, C. SPARC: As a prognostic biomarker in rectal cancer patients treated with chemoradiotherapy. Cancer Biomark. 2017, 18, 459–466. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

123. John, B.; Naczki, C.; Patel, C.; Ghoneum, A.; Qasem, S.; Salih, Z.; Said, N. Regulation of the bi-directional cross-talk between ovarian cancer cells and adipocytes by SPARC. Oncogene 2019, 38, 4366–4383. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

124. Infante, J.R.; Matsubayashi, H.; Sato, N.; Tonascia, J.; Klein, A.P.; Riall, T.A.; Yang, Y.; Schultz, C.R.; Schultz, L.R.; Golembieski, W.; Kobinski, J.; et al. Splenic and immune alterations of the SPARC-null mouse accompany a lack of immune response. Genes Immun. 2007, 8, 262–274. [CrossRef]
131. Hu, J.; Ma, Y.; Ma, J.; Chen, S.; Zhang, X.; Guo, S.; Huang, Z.; Yue, T.; Yang, Y.; Ning, Y.; et al. Macrophage-derived SPARC attenuates M2-mediated pro-tumour phenotypes. *J. Cancer* 2020, 11, 2981–2992. [CrossRef]

132. Said, N.; Frierson, H.F.; Sanchez-Carbayo, M.; Brekken, R.A.; Theodorescu, D. Loss of SPARC in bladder cancer enhances carcinogenesis and progression. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2013, 123, 751–766. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

133. Denhardt, D.T.; Guo, X. Osteopontin: A protein with diverse functions. *FASEB J.* 1993, 7, 1475–1482. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

134. Patarca, R.; Freeman, G.J.; Singh, R.P.; Wei, F.Y.; Durfee, T.; Blattner, F.; Regnier, D.C.; Kozak, C.A.; Mock, B.A.; Morse, H.C., 3rd; et al. Structural and functional studies of the early T lymphocyte activation 1 (Eta-1) gene. Definition of a novel T cell-dependent response associated with genetic resistance to bacterial infection. *J. Exp. Med.* 1989, 170, 145–161. [CrossRef]

135. Weber, G.F.; Ashkar, S.; Gimlicher, M.J.; Cantor, H. Receptor-ligand interaction between CD44 and osteopontin (Eta-1). *Science* 1996, 271, 509–512. [CrossRef]

136. Icer, M.A.; Gezmen-Karadag, M. The multiple functions and mechanisms of osteopontin. *Clin. Biochem.* 2018, 59, 17–24. [CrossRef]

137. Baaten, B.J.; Li, C.R.; Bradley, L.M. Multifaceted regulation of T cells by CD44. *Commun. Integr. Biol.* 2010, 3, 508–512. [CrossRef]

138. Jefferies, C.A. Regulating IRFs in IFN Driven Disease. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 2017, 74, 4259–4268. [CrossRef]

139. Bonnet, N.; Garnero, P.; Ferrari, S. Periostin action in bone. *Mol. Cell Endocrinol.* 2016, 432, 75–82. [CrossRef]

140. Canty, E.G.; Kadler, K.E. Procollagen trafficking, processing and fibrillogenesis. *J. Cell Sci.* 2005, 118, 1341–1353. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

141. Li, G.; Jin, R.; Norris, R.A.; Zhang, L.; Yu, S.; Wu, F.; Markwald, R.R.; Nanda, A.; Conway, S.J.; Smyth, S.S.; et al. Periostin mediates cell-dependent response associated with genetic resistance to bacterial infection. *J. Exp. Med.* 2019, 170, 145–161. [CrossRef]

142. Icer, M.A.; Gezmen-Karadag, M. The multiple functions and mechanisms of osteopontin. *Clin. Biochem.* 2018, 59, 17–24. [CrossRef]

143. Park, J.W.; Lee, S.H.; Go, M.; Kim, H.K.; Kwon, H.J.; Kim, D.Y. Osteopontin depletion decreases inflammation and gastric epithelial proliferation during Helicobacter pylori infection in mice. *Lab. Invest.* 2015, 95, 660–671. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

144. Zhang, Y.; Du, W.; Chen, Z.; Xiang, C. Upregulation of PD-L1 by SPP1 mediates macrophage polarization and facilitates immune escape in lung adenocarcinoma. *Exp. Cell Res.* 2017, 359, 449–457. [CrossRef]

145. Kudo, A. Introductory review: Periostin—gene and protein structure. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2017, 18, 25–36. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

146. Li, G.; Jin, R.; Norris, R.A.; Zhang, L.; Yu, S.; Wu, F.; Markwald, R.R.; Nanda, A.; Conway, S.J.; Smyth, S.S.; et al. Periostin mediates vascular smooth muscle cell migration through the integrins alphavbeta3 and alphavbeta5 and focal adhesion kinase (FAK) pathway. *Atherosclerosis* 2010, 208, 358–365. [CrossRef]

147. Kii, I.; Itou, H. Periostin and its interacting proteins in the construction of extracellular architectures. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 2017, 74, 4269–4277. [CrossRef]

148. Liu, Y.; Du, L. Role of pancreatic stellate cells and periostin in pancreatic cancer progression. *Tumour Biol.* 2015, 36, 3171–3177. [CrossRef]

149. Liu, Y.; Li, F.; Gao, F.; Xiong, L.; Qin, P.; Liang, X.; Zhang, J.; Qiao, X.; Lin, L.; Zhao, Q.; et al. Role of microenvironmental periostin in pancreatic cancer progression. *Oncotarget* 2017, 8, 89552–89565. [CrossRef]

150. Gonzalez-Gonzalez, L.; Alonso, J. Periostin: A Matricellular Protein With Multiple Functions in Cancer Development and Progression. *Front. Oncol.* 2018, 8, 225. [CrossRef]

151. Shi, C.; Washington, M.K.; Chatuvvedi, R.; Drosos, Y.; Revetta, F.L.; Weaver, C.J.; Buzhardt, E.; Yull, F.E.; Blackwell, T.S.; Sosa-Pineda, B.; et al. Fibrogenesis in pancreatic cancer is a dynamic process regulated by macrophage-stellate cell interaction. *Lab. Invest.* 2014, 94, 409–421. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

152. Nielsen, S.R.; Quarda, V.; Linford, A.; Emeagi, P.; Rainer, C.; Santos, A.; Ireland, L.; Sakai, T.; Sakai, K.; Kim, Y.S.; et al. Macrophage-secreted granulin supports pancreatic cancer metastasis by inducing liver fibrosis. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2018, 15, 549–560. [CrossRef]

153. Koh, S.J.; Kim, J.W.; Kim, B.G.; Lee, K.L.; Kim, D.W.; Kim, J.S. Matricellular protein periostin promotes colitis-associated colon tumorigenesis in mice. *Carcinogenesis* 2019, 40, 102–111. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

154. Zhang, T.; Han, Z.; Chando, A.; Huang, X.; Sun, X.; Ye, L.; Hu, C.; Xue, X.; Huang, Y.; Shen, X.; et al. Low periostin expression predicts poor survival in intestinal type gastric cancer patients. *Cancer Manag. Res.* 2019, 11, 25–36. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

155. Tang, M.; Liu, B.; Bu, X.; Zhao, P. Cross-talk between ovarian cancer cells and macrophages through periostin promotes macrophage recruitment. *Cancer Sci.* 2018, 109, 1309–1318. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

156. Yokota, K.; Kobayakawa, K.; Saito, T.; Hara, M.; Kijima, K.; Okawa, Y.; Harada, A.; Okazaki, K.; Ishihara, K.; Yoshida, S.; et al. Periostin Promotes Scar Formation through the Interaction between Pericytes and Infiltrating Monocytes/Macrophages after Spinal Cord Injury. *Am. J. Pathol.* 2017, 187, 639–653. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

157. Ohno, F.; Nakahara, T.; Kido-Nakahara, M.; Ito, T.; Nunomura, S.; Izuahara, K.; Furue, M. Periostin Links Skin Inflammation to Melanoma Progression in Humans and Mice. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 169. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
160. Skonier, J.; Neubauer, M.; Madisen, L.; Bennett, K.; Plowman, G.D.; Purchio, A.F. cDNA cloning and sequence analysis of beta-ig-h3, a novel gene induced in a human adenocarcinoma cell line after treatment with transforming growth factor-beta. DNA Cell Biol. 1992, 11, 511–522. [CrossRef]

161. Skonier, J.; Bennett, K.; Rotherwell, V.; Kosowsk, S.; Plowman, G.; Wallace, P.; Edelhoff, S.; Disteche, C.; Neubauer, M.; Marquardt, H.; et al. beta-ig-h3: A transforming growth factor-beta-responsive gene encoding a secreted protein that inhibits cell attachment in vitro and suppresses the growth of CHO cells in nude mice. DNA Cell Biol. 1994, 13, 571–584. [CrossRef]

162. Bae, J.S.; Lee, S.H.; Kim, J.E.; Choi, J.Y.; Park, R.W.; Yong Park, J.; Park, H.S.; Sohn, Y.S.; Lee, D.S.; Bae Lee, E.; et al. Betaig-h3 supports keratinocyte adhesion, migration, and proliferation through alpha3beta1 integrin. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2002, 294, 940–948. [CrossRef]

163. Nam, J.O.; Kim, J.E.; Jeong, H.W.; Lee, S.J.; Lee, B.H.; Choi, J.Y.; Park, R.W.; Park, J.Y.; Kim, I.S. Identification of the alphavbeta3 integrin-interacting motif of beta-ig-h3 and its anti-angiogenic effect. J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 25902–25909. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

164. Ohno, S.; Noshiro, M.; Makihira, S.; Kawamoto, T.; Shen, M.; Yan, W.; Kawashima-Ohya, Y.; Fujimoto, K.; Tanne, K.; Kato, Y. RGD-CAP (betaig-h3) enhances the spreading of chondrocytes and fibroblasts via integrin alpha1beta1. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1999, 1451, 196–205. [CrossRef]

165. Ma, C.; Rong, Y.; Radilloff, D.R.; Datto, M.B.; Centeno, B.; Bao, S.; Cheng, A.W.; Lin, F.; Jiang, S.; Yeatman, T.J.; et al. Extracellular matrix protein betaig-h3/TGFBI promotes metastasis of colon cancer by enhancing cell extravasation. Genes Dev. 2008, 22, 308–321. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

166. Hashimoto, K.; Noshiro, M.; Ohno, S.; Kawamoto, T.; Sataked, H.; Akagawa, Y.; Nakashima, K.; Okimura, A.; Ishida, H.; Okamoto, T.; et al. Characterization of a cartilage-derived 66-kDa protein (RGD-CAP/beta-ig-h3) that binds to collagen. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1997, 1355, 303–314. [CrossRef]

167. Billings, P.C.; Whitbeck, J.C.; Adams, C.S.; Abrams, W.R.; Cohen, A.J.; Engelsberg, B.N.; Howard, P.S.; Rosenbloom, J. The transforming growth factor-beta-inducible matrix protein (betaig-h3) interacts with fibronectin. J. Biol. Chem. 2002, 277, 28003–28009. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

168. Goehrig, D.; Nigri, G.; Samain, R.; Wu, Z.; Cappello, P.; Gabiane, G.; Zhang, X.; Zhao, Y.; Kim, I.S.; Chanal, M.; et al. Stromal protein betaig-h3 reprogrammes tumour microenvironment in pancreatic cancer. Gut 2019, 68, 693–707. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

169. Costanza, B.; Rademaker, G.; Tiamiou, A.; De Tullio, P.; Leenders, J.; Blomme, A.; Bellier, J.; Bianchi, E.; Turtoi, A.; Delvenne, P.; et al. Transforming growth factor beta-induced, an extracellular matrix interacting protein, enhances glycolysis and promotes pancreatic cancer cell migration. Int. J. Cancer 2019, 145, 1570–1584. [CrossRef]

170. Patry, M.; Teinturier, R.; Goehrig, D.; Zetu, C.; Ripoche, D.; Kim, I.S.; Bertolino, P.; Hennino, A. betaig-h3 Represses T-Cell Activation in Type 1 Diabetes. Diabetes 2015, 64, 4212–4219. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

171. Fico, F.; Santamaria-Martinez, A. TGFBI modulates tumour hypoxia and promotes breast cancer metastasis. Mol. Oncol. 2020, 14, 3198–3210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

172. Nacu, N.; Luzina, I.G.; Highsmith, K.; Lockatell, V.; Pochetuhen, K.; Cooper, Z.A.; Gillmeister, M.P.; Todd, N.W.; Atamas, S.P.; Madisen, L.; Bennett, K.; Plowman, G.D.; Disteche, C.; Neubauer, M.; Marquardt, H.; et al. betaig-h3: A transforming growth factor-beta-responsive gene encoding a secreted protein that inhibits cell attachment in vitro and suppresses the growth of CHO cells in nude mice. DNA Cell Biol. 1994, 13, 571–584. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

173. Stett, A.M.; Steffes, A.; Finkernael, F.; Unger, A.; Sommerfeld, L.; Jansen, J.M.; Wagner, U.; Graumann, J.; Muller, R.; Reinarz, S. Tumor-associated macrophages promote ovarian cancer cell migration by secreting transforming growth factor beta induced (TGFBI) and tenascin C. Cell Death Dis. 2020, 11, 249. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

174. Wight, T.N.; Kang, I.; Evanuko, S.P.; Harten, I.A.; Chang, M.Y.; Pearce, O.M.T.; Allen, C.E.; Frevert, C.W. Versican-A Critical Extracellular Matrix Regulator of Immunity and Inflammation. Front. Immunol. 2020, 11, 512. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

175. Wight, T.N. Versican: A versatile extracellular matrix proteoglycan in cell biology. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 2002, 14, 617–623. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

176. Bügels, M.; Braster, R.; Nijland, P.G.; Gül, N.; van de Luijtgaarden, W.; Fijneman, R.J.; Meijer, G.A.; Jimenez, C.R.; Beelen, R.H.; van Egmond, M. Carcinoma origin dictates differential skewing of monocyte function. Oncoimmunology 2012, 1, 798–809. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

177. Kim, S.; Takahashi, H.; Lin, W.W.; Descargues, P.; Grivennikov, S.; Kim, Y.; Luo, J.L.; Karin, M. Carcinoma-produced factors activate myeloid cells through TLR2 to stimulate metastasis. Nature 2009, 457, 102–106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

178. Hope, C.; Foulier, S.; Jagodinsky, J.; Chen, S.X.; Jensen, J.L.; Patel, S.; Leitch, C.; Maroulakou, I.; Callander, N.; Miyamoto, S.; et al. Immunoregulatory roles of versican proteolysis in the myeloma microenvironment. Blood 2016, 128, 680–685. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

179. Hope, C.; Emmerich, P.B.; Papadas, A.; Pagenkopf, A.; Matkowskyj, K.A.; Van De Hey, D.R.; Payne, S.N.; Clipson, L.; Callander, N.S.; Hematti, P.; et al. Versican-Derived Matrilin2s Regulate Batf3-Dendritic Cell Differentiation and Promote T Cell Infiltration in Colorectal Cancer. J. Immunol. 2017, 199, 1933–1941. [CrossRef]

180. Li, W.; Han, F.; Fu, M.; Wang, Z. High expression of VCAN is an independent predictor of poor prognosis in gastric cancer. J. Int. Med. Res. 2020, 48, 300060519891271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

181. Cheng, Y.; Sun, H.; Wu, L.; Wu, F.; Tang, W.; Wang, X.; Lv, C. VU-Regulation of VCAN Promotes the Proliferation, Invasion and Migration and Serves as a Biomarker in Gastric Cancer. Onco Targets Ther. 2020, 13, 6665–6675. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
196. Khetan, K.; Baloda, V.; Sahoo, R.K.; Vishnubhathla, S.; Yadav, R.; Saraya, A.; Sharma, A.; Gupta, S.D.; Das, P. SPARC expression.

197. Papadas, A.; Arauz, G.; Cicala, A.; Wiesner, J.; Asimakopoulos, F. Versican and Versican-matrikines in Cancer Progression, 2005. De Wit, M.; Carvalho, B.; Delis-van Diemen, P.M.; van Alphen, C.; Beliën, J.A.M.; Meijer, G.A.; Fijneman, R.J.A. Lumican and

198. Liu, Y.; Li, F.; Gao, F.; Xing, L.; Qin, P.; Liang, X.; Zhang, J.; Qiao, X.; Lin, L.; Zhao, Q.; et al. Periostin promotes tumor angiogenesis.

199. Wei, R.; Wong, J.P.C.; Lyu, P.; Xi, X.; Tong, O.; Zhang, S.D.; Yuen, H.F.; Shirasawa, S.; Kwok, H.F. In vitro and clinical data analysis of Osteopontin as a prognostic indicator in colorectal cancer. J. Cell Mol. Med. 2018, 22, 4097–4105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

200. Lin, T.C.; Yang, C.H.; Cheng, L.H.; Chang, W.T.; Lin, Y.R.; Cheng, H.C. Fibronectin in Cancer: Friend or Foe.

201. Likui, W.; Hong, W.; Shuwen, Z. Clinical significance of the upregulated osteopontin mRNA expression in human colorectal cancer. J. Gastrointest. Surg. 2010, 14, 74–81. [CrossRef]

202. Sedivy, R.; Peters, K.; Kloppel, G. Osteopontin expression in ductal adenocarcinomas and undifferentiated carcinomas of the pancreas. Virchows Arch. 2005, 446, 41–45. [CrossRef]

203. Skandalis, S.S.; Kletas, D.; Kyriakopoulou, D.; Stavropoulos, M.; Theocaris, D.A. The greatly increased amounts of accumulated versican and decorin with specific post-translational modifications may be closely associated with the malignant phenotype of pancreatic cancer. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2006, 1760, 1217–1225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

204. Öhlund, D.; Franklin, O.; Lundberg, E.; Lundin, E.; Sund, M. Type IV collagen stimulates pancreatic cancer cell proliferation, migration, and inhibits apoptosis through an autocrine loop. BMC Cancer 2013, 13, 154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

205. Shen, X.H.; Lin, W.R.; Xu, M.D.; Qi, P.; Dong, L.; Zhang, Q.Y.; Ni, S.J.; Weng, W.W.; Tan, C.; Huang, D.; et al. Prognostic significance of Versican expression in gastric adenocarcinoma. Oncogenesis 2015, 4, e178. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

206. Galatenko, V.V.; Maltseva, D.V.; Galatenko, A.V.; Rodin, S.; Tonevitsky, A.G. Cumulative prognostic power of laminin genes in colorectal cancer. J. Cell Biochem. 2018, 119, 732–740. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

207. Papadas, A.; Arauz, G.; Cicala, A.; Wiesner, J.; Asimakopoulos, F. Versican and Versican-matrikines in Cancer Progression, Inflammation, and Immunity. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 2020. [CrossRef]

208. Papadas, A.; Arauz, G.; Cicala, A.; Wiesner, J.; Asimakopoulos, F. Versican and Versican-matrikines in Cancer Progression, Inflammation, and Immunity. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 2020. [CrossRef]

209. Khetan, K.; Baloda, V.; Sahoo, R.K.; Vishnubhathla, S.; Yadav, R.; Saraya, A.; Sharma, A.; Gupta, S.D.; Das, P. SPARC expression.

210. Lin, T.C.; Yang, C.H.; Cheng, L.H.; Chang, W.T.; Lin, Y.R.; Cheng, H.C. Fibronectin in Cancer: Friend or Foe.

211. Zhivkova-Galunska, M.; Adwan, H.; Eyol, E.; Kleeff, J.; Kolb, A.; Bergmann, F.; Berger, M.R. Osteopontin but not osteonectin expression in gastric adenocarcinoma. Oncogenesis 2014, 3, e21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
Cancers 2021, 13, 146

209. Kim, E.J.; Sahai, V.; Abel, E.V.; Griffith, K.A.; Greenson, J.K.; Takebe, N.; Khan, G.N.; Blau, J.L.; Craig, R.; Balis, U.G.; et al. Pilot clinical trial of hedgehog pathway inhibitor GDC-0449 (vismodegib) in combination with gemcitabine in patients with metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2014, 20, 5937–5945. [CrossRef]

210. Goldman, J.; Eckhardt, S.G.; Borad, M.J.; Curtis, K.K.; Hidalgo, M.; Calvo, E.; Ryan, D.P.; Wirth, L.J.; Parikh, A.; Partyka, J.; et al. Phase I dose-escalation trial of the oral investigational Hedgehog signaling pathway inhibitor TAK-441 in patients with advanced solid tumors. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2015, 21, 1002–1009. [CrossRef]

211. Ko, A.H.; LoConte, N.; Tempiero, M.A.; Walker, E.J.; Kate Kelley, R.; Lewis, S.; Chang, W.C.; Kantoff, E.; Vannier, M.W.; Catenacci, D.V.; et al. A Phase I Study of FOLFIRINOX Plus IPI-926, a Hedgehog Pathway Inhibitor, for Advanced Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma. *Pancancers* 2016, 45, 370–375. [CrossRef]

212. Catenacci, D.V.; Junttila, M.R.; Karrison, T.; Bahary, N.; Horiba, M.N.; Nattam, S.R.; Marsh, R.; Wallace, J.; Kozloff, M.; Rajdev, L.; et al. Randomized Phase Ib/II Study of Gemcitabine Plus Placebo or Vismodegib, a Hedgehog Pathway Inhibitor, in Patients With Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2015, 33, 4284–4292. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

213. De Jesus-Acosta, A.; Sugar, E.A.; O’Dwyer, PJ.; Ramanathan, R.K.; Von Hoff, D.D.; Rasheed, Z.; Zheng, L.; Begum, A.; Anders, R.; Maitra, A.; et al. Phase 2 study of vismodegib, a hedgehog inhibitor, combined with gemcitabine and paclitaxel in patients with untreated metastatic adenocarcinoma. *Br. J. Cancer* 2020, 122, 498–505. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

214. Amakye, D.; Jagani, Z.; Dorsch, M. Unraveling the therapeutic potential of the Hedgehog pathway in cancer. *Nat. Med.* 2013, 19, 1410–1422. [CrossRef]

215. Cheng, X.B.; Sato, N.; Kohi, S.; Yamaguchi, K. Prognostic impact of hyaluronan and its regulators in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *PloS ONE* 2013, 8, e60765. [CrossRef]

216. Provenzano, P.P.; Hingorani, S.R. Hyaluronan, fluid pressure, and stromal resistance in pancreas cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* 2013, 108, 1–8. [CrossRef]

217. Whatcott, C.J.; Diep, C.H.; Jiang, P.; Watanabe, A.; LoBello, J.; Sima, C.; Hostetter, G.; Shepard, H.M.; Von Hoff, D.D.; Han, H. Desmoplasia in Primary Tumors and Metastatic Lesions of Pancreatic Cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2015, 21, 3561–3568. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

218. Sironen, R.K.; Tammi, M.; Tammi, R.; Auvinen, P.K.; Anttila, M.; Kosma, V.M. Hyaluronan in human malignancies. *Exp. Cell Res.* 2011, 317, 383–391. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

219. Caon, I.; Bartolini, B.; Parnigoni, A.; Carava, E.; Moretto, P.; Viola, M.; Karousou, E.; Vigetti, D.; Passi, A. Revisiting the hallmarks of cancer: The role of hyaluronan. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* 2020, 62, 9–19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

220. Elahi-Gedwillo, K.Y.; Carlson, M.; Zettervall, J.; Provenzano, P.P. Antifibrotic Therapy Disrupts Stromal Barriers and Modulates the Immune Landscape in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Res.* 2019, 79, 372–386. [CrossRef]

221. Provenzano, P.P.; Cuevas, C.; Chang, A.E.; Goel, V.K.; Von Hoff, D.D.; Hingorani, S.R. Enzymatic targeting of the stroma ablates physical barriers to treatment of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Cell* 2012, 21, 418–429. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

222. Whatcott, C.J.; Han, H.; Posner, R.G.; Hostetter, G.; Von Hoff, D.D. Targeting the tumor microenvironment in cancer: Why hyaluronidase deserves a second look. *Cancer Discov.* 2011, 1, 291–296. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

223. Hingorani, S.R.; Zheng, L.; Bullock, A.J.; Seery, T.E.; Harris, W.P.; Sigal, D.S.; Braiteh, F.; Ritch, P.S.; Zalupski, M.M.; Bahary, N.; et al. HALO 202: Randomized Phase II Study of PEGPH20 Plus Nab-Paclitaxel/Gemcitabine Versus Nab-Paclitaxel/Gemcitabine in Patients With Untreated, Metastatic Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2018, 36, 359–366. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

224. Blair, A.B.; Kim, V.M.; Muth, S.T.; Saung, M.T.; Lokker, N.; Blouw, B.; Armstrong, T.D.; Jaffe, E.M.; Tsujikawa, T.; Coussens, L.M.; et al. Dissecting the Stromal Signaling and Regulation of Myeloid Cells and Memory Effector T Cells in Pancreatic Cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2019, 25, 5351–5363. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

225. Van Cutsem, E.; Tempero, M.A.; Sigal, D.; Oh, D.Y.; Fazio, N.; Macarulla, T.; Hitre, E.; Hammel, P.; Hendiifar, A.E.; Bates, S.E.; et al. Randomized Phase III Trial of Pegvorhyaluronidase Alfa With Nab-Paclitaxel Plus Gemcitabine for Patients With Hyaluronan-High Metastatic Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2020, 38, 3185–3194. [CrossRef]

226. Wagner, K.; Schulz, P.; Scholz, A.; Wiedenmann, B.; Menrad, A. The targeted immunocytokine L19-IL2 efficiently inhibits the growth of orthotopic pancreatic cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2008, 14, 4951–4960. [CrossRef]

227. Hosoi, H.; Ikeda, H.; Imai, N.; Amaike, C.; Wang, L.; Orito, Y.; Yamane, M.; Ueno, H.; Ideno, M.; Nukaya, I.; et al. Stimulation through very late antigen-4 and -5 improves the multifunctionality and memory formation of CD8(+) T cells. *J. Exp. Immunol.* 2014, 44, 1747–1758. [CrossRef]

228. Ishikawa, T.; Kokura, S.; Enoki, T.; Sakamoto, N.; Okayama, T.; Ideno, M.; Mineno, J.; Uno, K.; Yoshida, N.; Kamada, K.; et al. Phase I clinical trial of fibronectin CH296-stimulated T cell therapy in patients with advanced cancer. *PloS ONE* 2014, 9, e83786. [CrossRef]

229. Sulzmaier, F.J.; Jean, C.; Schlaepfer, D.D. FAK in cancer: Mechanistic findings and clinical applications. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2014, 14, 598–610. [CrossRef]

230. Zhao, J.; Guan, J.L. Signal transduction by focal adhesion kinase in cancer. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 2009, 28, 35–49. [CrossRef]

231. Zaghdoudi, S.; Decaup, E.; Belhabib, I.; Samain, R.; Cassant-Sourdry, S.; Rochette, J.; Brunel, A.; Schlaepfer, D.; Cros, J.; Neuzillet, C.; et al. FAK activity in cancer-associated fibroblasts is a prognostic marker and a druggable key metastatic player in pancreatic cancer. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 2020, 12, e12010. [CrossRef]

232. Kapoor, C.; Vaidya, S.; Wadhwan, V.; Hitesh; Kaur, G.; Pathak, A. Seesaw of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). *J. Cancer Res. Ther.* 2016, 12, 28–35. [CrossRef]
233. Coussens, L.M.; Fingleton, B.; Matrisian, L.M. Matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors and cancer: Trials and tribulations. Science 2002, 295, 2387–2392. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

234. Dreymueller, D.; Theodorou, K.; Donners, M.; Ludwig, A. Fine Tuning Cell Migration by a Disintegrin and Metalloproteinases. Mediat. Inflamm. 2017, 2017, 9621724. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

235. Fields, G.B. The Rebirth of Matrix Metalloproteinase Inhibitors: Moving Beyond the Dogma. Cells 2019, 8, 984. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

236. Gradishar, W.J.; Tjulandin, S.; Davidson, N.; Shaw, H.; Desai, N.; Bhar, P.; Hawkins, M.; O’Shaughnessy, J. Phase III trial of nanoparticle albumin-bound paclitaxel compared with polyethylated castor oil-based paclitaxel in women with breast cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2005, 23, 7794–7803. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

237. Watkins, G.; Douglas-Jones, A.; Bryce, R.; Mansel, R.E.; Jiang, W.G. Increased levels of SPARC (osteonectin) in human breast cancer tissues and its association with clinical outcomes. Prostaglandins Leukot. Essent. Fat. Acids 2005, 72, 267–272. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

238. Socinski, M.A.; Manikhas, G.M.; Stroyakovsky, D.L.; Makhson, A.N.; Cheporov, S.V.; Orlov, S.V.; Yablonsky, P.K.; Bhar, P.; Iglesias, J. A dose finding study of weekly and every-3-week nab-Paclitaxel followed by carboplatin as first-line therapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. J. Thorac. Oncol. 2010, 5, 852–861. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

239. Koukourakis, M.I.; Giatromanolaki, A.; Brekken, R.A.; Sivridis, E.; Gatter, K.C.; Harris, A.L.; Sage, E.H. Enhanced expression of SPARC/osteonectin in the tumor-associated stroma of non-small cell lung cancer is correlated with markers of hypoxia/acidity and with poor prognosis of patients. Cancer Res. 2003, 63, 5376–5380.

240. Hersh, E.M.; O’Day, S.J.; Ribas, A.; Samlowski, W.E.; Gordon, M.S.; Shechter, D.E.; Clawson, A.A.; Gonzalez, R. A phase 2 clinical trial of nab-paclitaxel in previously treated and chemotherapy-naive patients with metastatic melanoma. Cancer 2010, 116, 155–163. [CrossRef]

241. Massi, D.; Franchi, A.; Borgognoni, L.; Reali, U.M.; Santucci, M. Osteonectin expression correlates with clinical outcome in thin cutaneous malignant melanomas. Hum. Pathol. 1999, 30, 339–344. [CrossRef]

242. Von Hoff, D.D.; Ramanathan, R.K.; Borad, M.J.; Laheru, D.A.; Smith, L.S.; Wood, T.E.; Korn, R.L.; Desai, N.; Trieu, V.; Iglesias, J.L.; et al. Gemcitabine plus nab-paclitaxel is an active regimen in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: A phase I/II trial. J. Clin. Oncol. 2011, 29, 4548–4554. [CrossRef]

243. Hidalgo, M.; Plaza, C.; Musteanu, M.; Illei, P.; Brachmann, C.B.; Heise, C.; Pierce, D.; Lopez-Casas, P.P.; Menendez, C.; Tabernero, J.; et al. SPARC Expression Did Not Predict Efficacy of nab-Paclitaxel plus Gemcitabine or Gemcitabine Alone for Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer in an Exploratory Analysis of the Phase III MPACT Trial. Clin. Cancer Res. 2015, 21, 4811–4818. [CrossRef]