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Abstract—In this paper we address the problem of transmission of correlated sources over a fast fading multiple access channel (MAC) with partial channel state information available at both the encoders and the decoder. We provide sufficient conditions for transmission with given distortions. Next these conditions are specialized to a Gaussian MAC (GMAC). We provide the optimal power allocation strategy and compare the strategy with various levels of channel state information.

I. INTRODUCTION AND SURVEY

Sensor networks are used in a wide variety of applications due to their ability to operate in environments where human penetration is not possible. These networks are characterized by inexpensive sensing nodes with limited battery power and storage and hence limited computing and communication capabilities [2]. The sensor nodes may often be deployed for monitoring a random field. Due to the spatial proximity of the nodes, sensor observations are correlated. One often needs to transmit these observations to a fusion center through wireless links which experience multipath fading. The encoders and decoder often have only a partial information about the channel state as it has to be learnt. This happens because the estimate of the channel state will often not be accurate. But some estimate of the channel state may be available from ‘Hello’ messages passed. A fundamental building block for such a network is a fading Multiple Access Channel (MAC) with partial channel state information at the encoders and the decoder. We study such a system in this paper.

In the following we survey the related literature. Cover, El Gamal and Salehi [5] provided sufficient conditions for transmitting losslessly discrete correlated observations over a discrete MAC. They also show that unlike for independent sources, the source-channel separation does not hold. These techniques were extended to more general models with discrete sources and channels and lossless transmission in [1]. Reference [19] extends the result in [5] and obtains sufficient conditions for lossy transmission of correlated sources over a MAC with side information.

This work was partially supported by the DRDO-IISc program on Advanced Research in Mathematical Engineering.

The multi-access fading channels with independent inputs were considered in the excellent survey [3]. They show that unlike in the single user case, in the multi-access realm the optimal power control yields a substantial gain in capacity. The optimal power allocation strategy for the symmetric case is to allow the user with the best channel to transmit at a time (Random TDMA) ([11]). The instantaneous power allocated to a user is by the well known ‘water-filling’ algorithm in time.

Multiple-access techniques for fading cellular uplink model with adjacent cell interference are discussed in [20]. Das and Narayan [7] obtained the capacity for a time varying MAC with varying degrees of channel state information at the encoders and the decoder as a union of regions characterised by limits of mutual information. A single letter specialization of this result to a fading memoryless channel with stationary ergodic state process, perfect CSIR and partial CSIT is given in [10]. The case with perfect CSIT and no CSIR is studied in [21].

The capacity of a fading Gaussian channel with channel state information (CSI) at the transmitter and receiver and at the receiver alone are provided in [9]. It was shown that optimal power adaptation when CSI is available both at the transmitter and the receiver is ‘water filling’ in time. The capacity region of the Gaussian MAC (GMAC) with independent inputs is available in [6]. The distributed Gaussian source coding problem is discussed in [15], [23]. Exact rate region for two users is provided in [23]. Joint source-channel coding schemes for transmission of correlated sources over a Gaussian MAC are also discussed in [8] and [12]. Hanly and Tse ([22]) have generalized the results on a GMAC with independent inputs to a flat fading GMAC with perfect CSI at both the transmitters and the receiver. Gaussian MAC with independent sources, partial CSIT and perfect CSIR is studied in [14] for lossless transmission.

An explicit characterization of the ergodic capacity region and a simple encoding-decoding scheme for a fading GMAC with common data is given in [13] for lossless transmission. Optimum power allocation schemes are also provided.

Joint source channel coding for correlated data on a fading MAC with perfect CSI at the transmitters (CSIT) and perfect CSI at the receiver (CSIR) is studied in [18]. Various power
allocation schemes are studied and it is shown that the Random TDMA scheme is suboptimal for transmission of correlated sources. Optimal power allocation strategies to minimize the sum distortion for transmission of discrete and Gaussian sources over a GMAC are given.

This paper makes the following contributions. Sufficient conditions for lossless and lossy transmission of correlated sources over a fading MAC with partial state information available at the encoders and the decoder are obtained. The source alphabet and/or the channel alphabet can be discrete or continuous. The conditions are specialized for GMAC and an optimal power allocation policy is derived. The performance of the power allocation policy for various levels of channel state information is studied. The power allocation policies are used in combination with joint sources-channel codes to transmit correlated sources over fading channels. Various previous results are shown as special cases.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Sufficient conditions for transmission of correlated sources over a fading MAC with partial CSIT and partial CSIR are provided in Section II. The special cases are provided in Section III. These conditions are specialized to a fading GMAC in Section IV. Section V obtains the optimal power allocation policy. Section VI concludes the paper. Proof of the main result is given in the Appendix.

II. TRANSMISSION OF CORRELATED SOURCES OVER A FAADING MAC WITH PARTIAL CSIT AND PARTIAL CSIR

In this section we consider the transmission of memoryless dependent sources, through a memoryless fading multiple access channel. The sources and/or the channel input/output alphabets can be discrete or continuous. The transmitters and the receiver have partial knowledge of the fade state of the channel at that time.

We consider two sources \( (U_1, U_2) \) with a known joint distribution \( F(u_1, u_2) \). The random vector sequence \( \{(U_{1n}, U_{2n}), n \geq 1\} \) formed from the source outputs with distribution \( F \) is independent identically distributed (iid) in time. The sources transmit their code words \( X_i \)'s to a single decoder through a memoryless, flat, fast fading multiple access channel. Let \( (H_{1n}, H_{2n}) \) be the fade state at time \( n, n \geq 1 \). We assume \( \{(H_{1n}, H_{2n}), n \geq 1\} \) to be an iid sequence, although \( (H_{1n}, H_{2n}) \) can be dependent and can be discrete or continuous valued. Similarly \( (\hat{H}_{1n}, \hat{H}_{2n}), (\hat{H}_{1n}, \hat{H}_{2n}) \) denote the channel state information available at the transmitters and the receiver respectively. \( (H_{1n}, H_{2n}, \hat{H}_{1n}, \hat{H}_{2n}, \hat{H}_{1n}, \hat{H}_{2n}) \) are iid in time. The channel output \( Y \) has distribution \( p(y|x_1, x_2, \hat{h}_1, \hat{h}_2) \) if \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) are transmitted at that time and the channel is in the fade state \((h_1, h_2)\). The decoder receives \( Y \) and estimates the source observations \( U_i \) as \( \hat{U}_i \), \( i = 1, 2 \).

It is of interest to find encoders and a decoder such that \( \{(U_{1n}, U_{2n}, n \geq 1\} \) can be transmitted over the given fading MAC with \( E[d_i(U_i, \hat{U}_i)] \leq D_i, i = 1, 2 \) where \( d_i \) are non-negative distortion measures and \( D_i \) are the given distortion constraints. Encoder \( i \) knows \( U_{in} \) and \( (H_{1n}, H_{2n}) \) at time \( n \).

This situation corresponds to the scenario where the channel state is broadcasted to the encoder via a third party by means of wayside channels (see [4]). We will assume that \( d_i \) are such that \( d_i(u, u') = 0 \) if and only if \( u = u' \). If the distortion measures are unbounded we also assume that there exist \( u_i^*, i = 1, 2 \) such that \( E[d_i(U_i, u_i^*]) < \infty, i = 1, 2 \). This condition is satisfied in the important special case of mean square error if we take \( u_i^* \) to be \( E[U_i] \) and \( E[U_i^2] < \infty \).

Due to correlated sources, source channel separation does not hold in this case.

We will denote \( U_{ij}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) by \( U_{ni}, i = 1, 2 \). Also \( X \) will denote a realization of a random variable \( X \).

Definition: The sources \( (U_{1n}, U_{2n}) \) can be transmitted over the fading multiple access channel with distortions \( D \) if for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) there is an \( n_0 \) such that for all \( n > n_0 \) there exist encoders \( f^n_{E_i}, i = 1, 2 \) such that \( \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{ \hat{U}_{ij} } d(U_{ij}, \hat{U}_{ij}) \leq D_i + \epsilon \), \( i = 1, 2 \) and a decoder \( f^n : Y^n \times H^n \rightarrow U^n \) such that \( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n E[d(U_{ij}, \hat{U}_{ij})] \leq D_i + \epsilon, i = 1, 2 \).

This is equivalent to the equivalent to the statement that \( (U_{1n}, U_{2n}) \) is \( \epsilon \)-distortion equivalent to \( (\hat{U}_{1n}, \hat{U}_{2n}) \) with distortion bound \( D_i \). The random vector sequence \( \{(U_{1n}, U_{2n}), n \geq 1\} \) is independent identically distributed (iid) in time. The channel input alphabets are continuous valued then the channel state information is distributed in a continuous random variables fashion over the memoryless fading multiple access channel with distortions \( D \).

Theorem 1: A source can be transmitted over a fading multiple access channel with distortions \( (D_1, D_2) \) if there exist random variables \( (W_1, W_2, X_1, X_2) \) such that

1. \( p(h_1, h_2, \hat{h}_1, \hat{h}_2, u_1, u_2, w_1, w_2, x_1, x_2, y) = p(h_1, h_2, \hat{h}_1, \hat{h}_2)(p(u_1, u_2)p(w_1|u_1)p(w_2|u_2)p(x_1|w_1, h_1, h_2)p(x_2|w_2, h_1, h_2))(y|x_1, x_2, h_1, h_2) \)

2. There exists a function \( f_D : W_1 \times W_2 \times H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow (U_1 \times U_2) \) such that \( E[d(U_i, \hat{U}_i)] \leq D_i, i = 1, 2 \) and the constraints

\[
I(U_1; W_1 | W_2) < I(X_1; Y | X_2, W_2, \hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2),
I(U_2; W_2 | W_1) < I(X_2; Y | X_1, W_1, \hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2),
I(U_1, U_2; W_1, W_2) < I(X_1, X_2; Y | \hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2),
\]

are satisfied where \( W_i \) is the set in which \( W_i \) take values.

If the channel input alphabets are continuous valued then the channel state information is distributed in a continuous random variables fashion over the memoryless fading multiple access channel with distortions \( D \).

Theorem 2: Sources \( (U_{ni}, i \in S) \) can be communicated in a distributed fashion over the memoryless fading multiple access channel with distortions \( D \).
channel $p(y|x_i, i \in S)$ with distortions $(D_i, i \in S)$ if there exist auxiliary random variables $(W_i, X_i, i \in S)$ satisfying

1. $(p(u_i, w_i, x_i, y, h_1, \hat{h}_i, \hat{h}_i, i \in S)) = (p(h_1, \hat{h}_i, \hat{h}_i, i \in S))$
2. $(p(u_i, i \in S)p(y|x_i, h_1, \hat{h}_i, \hat{h}_i, i \in S) \prod_{j \in S} p(w_j|u_j)p(x_j|w_j, \hat{h}_S^i)).$

There exists a function $f_D: \prod_{j \in S} W_j \times \tilde{H} \rightarrow (\hat{U}_i, i \in S)$ such that $E[d(U_i, \hat{U}_i)] \leq D_i$, $i \in S$ and the constraints

$I(U_A; W_A|A^c) < I(X_A; Y|X_{A^c}, W_{A^c}, \tilde{H}_S)$

are satisfied for all $A \subset S$ (in case of continuous channel alphabets we also need the power constraints $E[X_i^2] \leq \mathcal{P}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, M$).

In Theorem 1 it is possible to include other distortion constraints. For example, in addition to the bounds on $E[d(U_i, \hat{U}_i)]$ one may want a bound on the joint distortion $E[d((U_1, U_2), (\hat{U}_1, \hat{U}_2))]$. Then the only modification needed in the statement of the above theorem is to include this also as a condition in defining $f_D$.}

### III. Special Cases

In the following we show that our results contain several previous studies as special cases.

A. **Lossy transmission of correlated sources with perfect CSIT, perfect CSIR**

Take $(\hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2) = (\hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2) = (H_1, H_2)$, then we recover the conditions given in [18].

B. **Lossless transmission of independent sources with partial CSIT, perfect CSIR**

Take $(U_1, U_2)$ as discrete valued and $(\hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2) = (H_1, H_2)$. Also, $H = (H_1, H_2)$, $U_1 \perp U_2$ (i.e., $U_1$ is independent of $U_2$). We also take $(W_1, W_2) = (U_1, U_2)$. Then we need to code at rate $R_1$ and $R_2$ satisfying

\[
H(U_1) < R_1 < I(X_1; Y|X_2, H),
\]

\[
H(U_2) < R_2 < I(X_2; Y|X_1, H),
\]

\[
H(U_1, U_2) < R_1 + R_2 < I(X_1, X_2; Y|H).
\]

These are the conditions given in [10] and specializations of the general conditions in [7].

C. **Lossless transmission of independent sources with Perfect CSIT; no CSIR**

Take $(\hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2) = (H_1, H_2, 1, 1)$ and $(W_1, W_2) = (U_1, U_2)$. We consider no channel state information at the decoder and perfect channel state information at the encoders. Then one needs to code at rates $R_1$ and $R_2$ satisfying

\[
H(U_1) < R_1 < I(X_1; Y|X_2),
\]

\[
H(U_2) < R_2 < I(X_2; Y|X_1),
\]

\[
H(U_1, U_2) < R_1 + R_2 < I(X_1, X_2; Y).
\]

These are the conditions in [21].

### IV. Fading Gaussian MAC with partial CSIT, perfect CSIR

In a fading Gaussian MAC the channel output $Y_n$ at time $n$ is given by $Y_n = H_1nX_{n1} + H_2nX_{n2} + N_n$ where $X_{n1}$ and $X_{n2}$ are the channel inputs at time $n$ and $N_n$ is iid with a Gaussian distribution and is independent of $X_{n1}$ and $X_{n2}$. Also, $E[N_n] = 0$ and $\text{var}(N_n) = \sigma_n^2$. $H_{1n}$ and $H_{2n}$ are the fade states of the channel at time $n$. The power constraints on the channel inputs are $E[X_n^2] \leq \mathcal{P}_n$, $i = 1, 2$. The distortion measure will be Mean Square Error. Let $\rho$ be the correlation between the channel inputs $X_{n1}, X_{n2}$.

For this GMAC, following the experience in [17] we relax the first two inequalities in (1) to make them more explicit. These are then used to obtain efficient signaling schemes to satisfy (1). For this the right hand side (RHS) of the first two inequalities in (1) are replaced by upper bounds $I(X_1; Y|X_2, H_1, \hat{H}_2)$ and $I(X_2; Y|X_1, H_1, \hat{H}_2)$ respectively. It is shown in [17] that these upper bounds are quite tight whenever these two inequalities are active (generally it is the third inequality which is tight). Also, it is shown in [17] that for a given $(h_1, h_2)$, these upper bounds and the RHS of the third inequality in (1) are maximized by choosing $(X_1, X_2)$ to be zero mean, jointly Gaussian r.v.s with $E[X_1^2] = P_l(h_1, h_2)$ where $P_l(h_1, h_2)$ are appropriately chosen. If such $(X_1, X_2)$ have correlation $\rho$ these three bounds provide (2).

The expectation is over the joint fade state $(h_1, h_2, \hat{h}_1, \hat{h}_2)$. We also need to choose the power control policies $P_l(h_1, h_2)$ such that the average power constraints

\[
E[P_l(\hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2)] \leq \mathcal{P}_i, i = 1, 2,
\]

are satisfied. This motivates us to consider Gaussian coding schemes.
An advantage of (2) is that we will be able to obtain explicit source-channel coding schemes to satisfy (2). These may be difficult to identify from (1) itself. Once we have obtained these coding schemes we can verify the sufficient conditions (1) themselves. If satisfied these will ensure that the coding schemes can ensure transmission with given distortions. If not, one can change $\tilde{\rho}$ to finally satisfy (1). Thus in the rest of the paper we consider some power allocation policies along with Gaussian signaling schemes which can be used to satisfy the conditions (1).

A. Special Case

Specializing the theorem for independent sources and lossless transmission recovers the result in [14].

V. OPTIMAL POWER ALLOCATION FOR THE GMAC

We consider a power allocation policy such that the RHS in the third inequality of (2) is maximized and the other conditions are satisfied. This is done because often the third inequality is the constraining condition. In [18] this policy was compared with several other power allocation policies and was found to perform better. This optimal power allocation policy is obtained numerically. It depends upon $\tilde{\rho}$ which in turn depends on the source correlation $\rho$.

To find a $\tilde{\rho}$ such that all the three inequalities are satisfied by the optimal policy, we can use the following procedure.

We consider an iterative algorithm in which the channel input correlation $\tilde{\rho}$ is chosen in such a way that the third inequality is satisfied. Then we check for the other two inequalities. If they fail then the $\tilde{\rho}$ is decreased so that all the three conditions are satisfied, if possible. These computation need to be done only once for a given source pair distribution. Also, the computational requirements are modest.

One of the performance measures is to maximize the RHS in the third inequality of (2). The optimal power allocation policy discussed in the beginning of this section maximizes this. We take $P_1^* = P_2^* = 1$. The channel correlation ($\tilde{\rho}$) achievable depends on the source correlations and the scheme used. The fade states $h_1, h_2$ take values in $(1, 0.5)$ with equal probability and are independent of each other. The channel noise is zero mean with unit variance. The channel state information at the transmitter (CSIT) is a noise corrupted version of the fade state. Thus the CSIT can be modeled as the output of a binary symmetric channel (BSC) with fade states as the input and with a suitable cross over probability $p$. The results provided are exact computations of RHS of (2).

We compare the performance of the optimum power allocation that maximizes the RHS in the third inequality of (2) for various values of CSIT. Figure 1 compares the cases with perfect CSIT ($p = 0$), partial CSIT ($p = 0.1, 0.25$) and no CSIT ($p = 0.5$). For the no CSIT case uniform power allocation (UPA) is the optimal power allocation policy as the objective function is monotonic in both $P_1$ and $P_2$.

We observe that uniform power allocation (UPA) performs well at high $\rho$ and is comparable to the optimum power allocation when $p$ is close to 0.5.

Fig. 1. Maximum sum rate with partial CSIT, $P_1^* = P_2^* = 1$

Figure 2 shows the variation in the maximum sum rate for various $p$.

Fig. 2. Maximum sum rate vs Cross over probability for optimum power allocation

A. Discrete sources

Next we obtain the optimum power allocation for transmitting correlated discrete sources over a GMAC with various levels of partial CSIT. Consider the lossless transmission of discrete sources $(U_1, U_2)$ over a fading GMAC. Such a system is most commonly encountered in practice. We consider the example where the sources $(U_1, U_2)$ have joint distribution given by $P(U_1 = 0; U_2 = 0) = P(U_1 = 1; U_2 = 1) = P(U_1 = 0; U_2 = 1) = 1/3; P(U_1 = 1; U_2 = 0) = 0$. The fade states $h_1, h_2$ take values in $(1, 0.5)$ with equal probability and are independent of each other. The power constraints on the channel inputs $(X_1, X_2)$ are $(5, 5)$. The channel noise is zero mean with unit variance.

For lossless transmission the left hand side (LHS) in (2) become $H(U_1|U_2)$, $H(U_2|U_1)$ and $H(U_1, U_2)$ respectively and they evaluate to 0.667, 0.667 and 1.585. Let the sources
be mapped to channel codewords with correlation $\hat{\rho} = 0.3$. Such correlation preserving mappings are discussed in [17]. If we use UPA the RHS in the third inequality evaluates to 1.5030 and the sources cannot be transmitted over the channel losslessly. Using the optimum power allocation scheme for perfect CSIT and CSIR, RHS evaluates to 1.6071. The RHS in the first two inequalities evaluate to 0.8755 with the optimal policy. This ensures that the sources can be transmitted losslessly.

Now we consider partial CSIT and perfect CSIR for the above example. The partial CSIT is modeled as the output of a BSC with suitable crossover probability $p$ as shown above. For $p = 0.1$ the RHS in the third inequality is 1.585 and the first two inequalities are 0.8650. Hence with this partial CSIT, we are able to transmit the given sources over the GMAC losslessly.

B. Gaussian Sources

Consider the transmission of correlated Gaussian sources over a GMAC. The sources are assumed to have zero mean, unit variance and correlation $\rho$. The power constraints on the channel inputs are $(P_1, P_2)$ and channel noise variance is unity. The performance measure is to find the minimum distortion at the decoder for given sources, power constraints and channel noise variance. The distortion criterion is mean square error (MSE).

In [16] and [18] we have discussed three joint source channel coding schemes for transmission of Gaussian sources over a GMAC. It is found that the Lapidoth-Tinguely (LT) scheme (developed in [12]) is a good coding scheme that performs well over all SNR regions. In this scheme the sources are vector quantized and mapped to correlated Gaussian codewords. The decoding is by jointly typical decoding followed by estimation of the sources.

We use the optimum power allocation scheme obtained above with LT scheme to obtain the distortions for different levels of CSIT. We assume perfect CSIR in all cases. We will take $(P_1, P_2) = (5, 5)$ and $\sigma_n^2 = 1$. The fading processes are as in Section V-A.

The minimum distortions achieved for $p = 0, 0.1, 0.25$ and 0.5 are plotted in Figure 3 The distortions for the Gaussian sources are also computed from (2) by choosing $W_i$ as vector quantized version of $U_i$ with rate $R_i$ and finding $\text{var}[U_i|W_i, W_2], i = 1, 2, p = 0$ corresponds to the perfect CSIT case and $p = 0.5$ corresponds to UPA. For comparison purposes the minimum distortions achieved in a channel without fading are also provided. It is seen from this figure that the partial CSIT affects the distortions more at lower $\rho$. The fade state (1, 0.5) is not that strong a channel value. If the channel state can get worse, our optimal algorithm will perform better compared to UPA as the power allocation guarantees allocation of power to good states only.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, sufficient conditions for transmission of correlated sources over a fading MAC with partial CSIT and CSIR are provided. These conditions are specialized to a GMAC and used to obtain a good power allocation policy. This policy is then used with efficient joint source-channel coding schemes for transmission of discrete and Gaussian sources. Consequently, we have identified very efficient (even though not provably optimum) signalling schemes for a fading GMAC with partial CSIT and CSIR.

The results in this paper are information-theoretic and hence address the fundamental limitations of the communication system. In this paper, the codes used are of infinite length and the encoders and decoder used provide the best conditions that are feasible. In practice, one needs to develop practical coding schemes to design a system that can perform close to the optimal conditions provided here. Also, our results, as often happens in information theory, can provide significant insights in designing practical codes and the power control algorithms.

APPENDIX

First we consider discrete sources and channel inputs. Comments to include the continuous sources/channel inputs are provided at the end of the proof.

The scheme involves distributed quantization $(W_1^*, W_2^*)$ of the sources followed by a correlation preserving mapping to the channel codewords depending on the channel state. The decoding approach involves first decoding the quantized version $(W_1^*, W_2^*)$ of $(U_1, U_2)$ using $(Y^n, H_1^n, H_2^n)$ and then obtaining estimate $(\hat{U}_1, \hat{U}_2)$ as a function of $(W_1^*, W_2^*)$.

We show the achievability of all points in the rate region (1).

Proof: Fix $p(w_1|u_1), p(w_2|u_2), p(x_1|w_1, \hat{h}_1, \hat{h}_2), p(x_2|w_2, \hat{h}_1, \hat{h}_2)$ as well as $f_{d\delta}$ satisfying the distortion constraints.

Codebook generation: Let $R_i = I(U_i; W_i) + \delta, i = 1, 2$ for some $\delta > 0$. Generate $2^{nR_i}$ codewords of length $n$, sampled iid from the marginal distribution $p(w_i), i = 1, 2$. For each $w_i^n$ and $(\hat{h}_1^n, \hat{h}_2^n)$ independently generate sequence $X_i^n$.
according to \( \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(x_{ij} | w_{ij}, \hat{h}_{ij}, \hat{h}_{2j}) \), \( i = 1, 2 \). Call these sequences \( x^n_1(w^n_1, \hat{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2), i = 1, 2 \). Reveal the codebooks to the encoders and the decoder.

**Encoding:** For \( i \in \{1, 2\} \), given the source sequence \( U^n_i \) and \( \hat{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2 \), the \( i \)\textsuperscript{th} encoder looks for a codeword \( W^n_i \) such that \( (U^n_i, W^n_i) \in T^n_{r_e}(U_i, W_i) \) and then transmits \( X^n_i(W^n_i, \hat{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2) \) where \( T^n_{r_e}() \) is the set of weakly \( \epsilon \)-typical sequences \((6)\) of length \( n \).

**Decoding:** Upon receiving \( Y^n \), for a given \( (\tilde{h}^n_1, \hat{h}^n_2) \) the decoder finds the unique \((W^n_1, W^n_2)\) pair such that \((W^n_1, W^n_2) \in T^n_\epsilon\) \( X^n_1(W^n_1, \hat{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2), X^n_2(W^n_1, \hat{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2), Y^n) \in T^n_\epsilon \), where \( \tilde{h}^n_1, \hat{h}^n_2 \) takes values in \( \hat{h}^n_1, h^n_2 \). If it fails to find such a unique pair, the decoder declares \((u^n_1, u^n_2)\).

In the following we show that the probability of error for the above encoding, decoding scheme tends to zero as \( n \to \infty \). By Markov Lemma \((6)\),

\[
P\{ (U^n_1, U^n_2, W^n_1(U^n_1), W^n_2(U^n_2), X^n_1(W^n_1, \hat{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2), X^n_2(W^n_1, \hat{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2), Y^n) \in T^n_{r_e} \} \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{6}
\]
The error can occur because of the following three events E1-E3. We show that \( P(E_i) \to 0 \), for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \). For simplicity we take \( \delta = \epsilon \).

**E1** The encoders do not find the codewords. However from rate distortion theory \([6]\), P. 356,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} P(E_1) = 0 \text{ if } R_i > I(U_i; W_i), i = 1, 2.
\]

**E2** There exists another codeword \( \tilde{w}^n_1 \) such that \((\tilde{w}^n_1, W^n_2, x^n_1(\tilde{w}^n_1, \hat{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2), x^n_2(W^n_1, \hat{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2), Y^n) \in T^n_{r_e} \).

Define \( \alpha = \delta \tilde{w}^n_1, W^n_2, x^n_1(\tilde{w}^n_1, \hat{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2), x^n_2(W^n_1, \hat{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2), Y^n) \).

Then,

\[
P(E_2) = P\{ \tilde{w}^n_1 \neq w^n_1 : \alpha \in T^n_{r_e} \} \leq \sum_{\tilde{w}^n_1 \neq w^n_1} P(\alpha \in T^n_{r_e}) \tag{4} \]

Denote \( \{ (x^n_1(\cdot), x^n_2(\cdot), y^n) : \alpha \in T^n_{r_e} \) by \( A \). The probability term inside the summation in \( (4) \) is

\[
\leq \sum_{\alpha \in A} P\{ x^n_1(\tilde{w}^n_1, \hat{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2), x^n_2(\tilde{w}^n_1, \hat{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2), y^n | w^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2 \}
\leq \sum_{\alpha \in A} P\{ x^n_1(\tilde{w}^n_1, \hat{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2) | w^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2 \}
\leq 2^{-n(H(X, Y) | W, \hat{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2, H, H_2) - 6\epsilon}.
\]

But from hypothesis, we have

\[
H(X, Y) | W, \hat{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2) - H(X | W, \hat{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2) \leq -I(X, Y) | X, W, \hat{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2.
\]

Hence,

\[
P\{ x^n_1(\tilde{w}^n_1, \hat{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2), x^n_2(\tilde{w}^n_1, \hat{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2), \tilde{y}^n_{w^n_1}, \tilde{h}^n_1, \tilde{h}^n_2 \} \leq 2^{-n(I(X, Y) | X, W, \hat{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2) - 6\epsilon}.
\]
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