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Wide range tunable bandgap and composition β-phase (AlGa)2O3 thin film by thermal annealing

ABSTRACT
We have demonstrated wide bandgap and composition range β-(AlxGa1-x)2O3 thin films by employing thermal annealing of β-Ga2O3/sapphire templates. With proper annealing conditions at 1000–1500 °C, the β-Ga2O3 thin films transformed to the β-(AlxGa1-x)2O3 thin films with different bandgaps and compositions due to the AI diffusion from sapphire. Meanwhile, the Ga atoms diffused into sapphire. The interdiffusion process caused an increased film thickness, which was enhanced in proportion to the annealing temperature. It was confirmed by secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) and transmission electron microscopy. Thus, higher temperatures resulted in high Al contents in the β-(AlxGa1-x)2O3 films. Also, the SIMS measurements show highly homogeneous Al contents throughout the β-(AlxGa1-x)2O3 films annealed at 1200 °C and above. Evaluated by x-ray diffraction (XRD), the Al content range of the samples is 0–0.81 for the β-Ga2O3 templates without annealing and with annealing up to 1500 °C. Evaluated by UV-Vis spectroscopy, the optical bandgap range of the samples is 4.88–6.38 eV for the β-(AlxGa1-x)2O3 films. Moreover, the crystal quality of β-(AlxGa1-x)2O3 improved as the Al composition became larger due to higher annealing temperatures. The proposed technique is promising for the preparation of β-(AlxGa1-x)2O3 thin films without employing “direct-growth” techniques.

III-oxide semiconductors such as Ga2O3 have attracted increasing attention recently because of the wide bandgap, excellent stability, and availability of bulk substrates for high-performance deep-ultraviolet (DUV) solar-blind photodetectors (PDs), transparent electronics, and availability of bulk substrates for high-performance ultraviolet (UV) and power electronics.1–15 The monoclinic β-phase is widely recognized as the most stable Ga2O3 crystalline structure,16 amid bulk β-Ga2O3 crystal growth17–19 and thin film deposition.20–26 For further development of III-oxide optical and power devices, the progress would benefit greatly from the alloy formation, tunability of the alloy composition, and, thereby, the associated properties such as bandgap, absorption spectrum, and breakdown field. In particular, (AlGa)2O3 alloys are promising candidates for shorter-wavelength and higher-power devices due to large tunable bandgaps from about 4.9 (β-Ga2O3) to above 8.8 eV (β-Al2O3).

To form the (AlGa)2O3 alloys, researchers have employed RF sputtering,27 PLD,28,29 LPCVD,30 mist-CVD,31,32 laser MBE,33,34 MOCVD,35–37 and MBE.38 These “direct-growth” techniques offer the tunability of material composition and, thus, bandgap. Since most of those studies concern the β phase, the bandgap and composition ranges of the β-(AlGa)2O3 alloys are summarized in Table I. For instance, the bandgap range reported by Shi et al. for the β-(AlGa)2O3 alloys is 6.10–6.22 eV by LPCVD.31 Zhang et al. have demonstrated β-(AlGa)2O3 films deposited by PLD with the wide-range bandgap tunability up to 6.1 eV, above which the X-ray diffraction (XRD) 2θ (−201) and higher-order peaks were almost invisible.39 Overall, the associated costs of the direct-growth techniques are not trivial because of various requirements: targets with different compositions, high-purity precursors/sources, and demanding environments such as an ultrahigh vacuum.

In the 1990s, Fleischer and Battiston et al. discovered that the thermal annealing of Ga2O3 films on sapphire could lead to Al diffusion into the films.40,41 Later, Kokubun et al. found that increased temperatures from 600 to 1200 °C during thermal treatment of Ga2O3 sol-gel can boost the polycrystalline Ga2O3 bandgap from 4.95 to 5.53 eV which the authors attributed to the Al diffusion.20 Recently, Goyal et al. varied annealing temperatures of polycrystalline Ga2O3 films on sapphire from 600 to 1000 °C to obtain a changing bandgap from 4.63 to 5.15 eV with an annealing time of 36 h.43 They performed...
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In this work, we report on a wide range bandgap and composition tuning technique of using common β-Ga₂O₃/sapphire templates to thermal diffusion, which is low cost and straightforward as opposed to the direct-growth techniques. However, the Al compositions were still low and inhomogeneous in those studies, which greatly hindered the application prospects. Besides, the III-oxide/sapphire interface has not been systematically examined, preventing a good understanding of the diffusion process amid the thermal annealing.

In this work, we report on a wide range bandgap and composition tuning technique of using common β-Ga₂O₃/sapphire templates to obtain ternary β-(AlGa)₂O₃ alloys by thermal annealing at various elevated temperatures. We found that controlling annealing temperature from 1000 to 1500 °C can tune the diffusivity rate, leading to a large optical bandgap change from 4.88 to 6.38 eV for β-(AlₓGa₁₋ₓ)₂O₃. The corresponding material bandgap and film thickness were characterized systematically by multiple techniques. Besides, the thin-film/sapphire interface was investigated by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) to understand the interdiffusion process.

The 50-nm thick β-Ga₂O₃ thin-films were deposited on c-plane sapphire substrates by the PLD. Although the PLD was utilized, it is important to note that the same studies can be performed for the binary β-Ga₂O₃ films on sapphire grown by other techniques such as CVD, MBE, and MOCVD because we expect that the thermal diffusion could occur regardless of how the β-Ga₂O₃/sapphire templates are prepared. The PLD conditions include a heater temperature of 960 °C, a chamber pressure of 4.5 mTorr, and a KrF (248 nm) excimer laser power of 400 mJ with a repetition rate of 5 Hz. An undoped Ga₂O₃ target of 99.99% purity provided by Sigma-Aldrich was employed. Thermal annealing was conducted in an MTI KSL-1700X-A4-DC furnace at different temperatures of 1000 – 1500 °C with the step of 100 °C in air at atmospheric pressure for three hours. The ramp rate for the annealing process is +4 °C/min below 800 °C and increased to +5 °C/min (above 800 °C); the cooling rate is –5 °C/min down to 200 °C. The crystal structures, material quality, material composition, optical properties, thin film thickness, and interface were characterized by using XRD, SIMS, optical UV-Vis transmission, and TEM. The XRD 20–0 scans were carried out using a Bruker D2 PHASER system with a wavelength of λ = 1.5406 Å. The SIMS experiments for elemental depth profiling were performed using a Dynamic SIMS system from Hiden Analytical. The optical transmission was measured using a Shimadzu UV-3600 spectrophotometer. The TEM specimens were obtained using a Helios focused ion beam (FIB) system. The cross-sectional TEM images and EDX mapping were acquired using an FEI Titan ST system with a Gatan EDX module.

Figure 1 shows the XRD 20–0 scan spectra of the as-deposited and annealed Ga₂O₃ thin films on sapphire with different annealing temperatures. For the as-deposited Ga₂O₃ sample, there are three dominating peaks from (−201) and higher-order diffraction planes of β-Ga₂O₃ film. The samples annealed at 1000 and 1100 °C manifest mainly the only (−201) and higher order (−402) and (−603) peaks for

| Technique | Substrate | Bandgap range | Al content range |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|
| RF sputtering | c-sapphire | 5.0–5.4 eV (UV-Vis) | 0–0.059 (EDS) |
| PLD | c-sapphire | 5.2–6.1 eV (XPS) | 0.24–0.76 (XPS) |
| LPCVD | c-sapphire | 6.10–6.22 eV (UV-Vis) | 0.49–0.52 (XPS) |
| Laser MBE | c-sapphire | 4.5–5.5 eV (UV-Vis) | 0–0.46 (XRD) 0–0.54 (XPS) |
| Laser MBE | c-sapphire | 4.89–5.29 eV (UV-Vis) | 0–0.35 (XPS) |
| MOCVD | c-sapphire | 4.9–5.52 eV (UV-Vis) | 0–0.43 (RBS) |
| MOCVD | (010) β-Ga₂O₃ | NA | 0.1–0.20 (XRD, APT) |
| MOCVD | (100) β-Ga₂O₃ | 5.1–5.7 eV (XPS) for x = 0.17–0.47 (XRD) | 0.1–0.52 (XRD) 0.15–0.48 (EDS) |
| MOCVD | (−201) β-Ga₂O₃ | 5.20–5.72 eV (XPS) | 0.21–0.48 (XRD, XPS) |
| MBE | (100) β-Ga₂O₃ | NA | 0.33–0.61 (XPS) |
| Annealing (this work) | c-sapphire | 4.88–6.38 eV for ≤1400 °C (UV-Vis) | 0–0.72 for ≤1400 °C (UV-Vis) 0–0.81 for ≤1500 °C (XRD) |

![FIG. 1. XRD 20–0 scan spectra of the as-deposited and annealed Ga₂O₃ thin films on sapphire with different annealing temperatures of 1000–1500 °C and a bare sapphire substrate as the reference.](image-url)
the \( \beta \) phase. When the temperature increases to 1200–1400 °C, the \((-402)\) peak splits into two peaks: one remains the \((-402)\) peak and the other might be the \((401)\) peak. For the 1400 °C annealed sample, several extra peaks such as \((002)\) and \((400)\) and the higher order peaks were observed, which could be attributed to the lattice rotation or tilting due to thermal strain induced by high temperature annealing.\(^4\) However, for the 1500 °C sample, those peaks disappeared, indicating good crystallinity. Figure S1 in the supplementary material shows XRD phi-scan of the \((-401)\) plane of the as-deposited \(\beta\)-Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) sample and three \(\beta\)-(AlGa)\(_2\)O\(_3\) samples annealed at 1000, 1200, and 1400 °C. All exhibit sixfold symmetry diffraction peaks, which demonstrate the single \(\beta\) phase.

Table II summarizes the positions of the \((201)\) and higher-order \((-402)\) and \((-603)\) peaks in Fig. 1. They shift toward higher angles as the annealing temperature increases from 1000 to 1500 °C, suggesting reduced lattice constants and, thus, increased Al contents. Based on Bragg’s law and the positions of the \((-603)\) peaks, the \(d\) spacings were calculated for the samples. Then, using the lattice parameter formulas of \(\beta\)-(AlGa)\(_2\)O\(_3\) from the study by Kranert et al.,\(^5\) \(a = (12.21 - 0.42x)\ A, b = (3.04 - 0.13x)\ A, c = (5.81 - 0.17x)\ A, \beta = (103.87 + 0.31x)^\circ,\) and Eq. (1),\(^6\) the Al content \(x\) values were calculated, as shown in Table II. They manifest a wide range from 0 to 0.81 for the \(\beta\)-(AlGa)\(_2\)O\(_3\) samples thanks to the thermal annealing.

\[
\frac{1}{d^2} = \frac{h^2}{a^2 \sin^2 \beta} + \frac{k^2}{b^2} + \frac{l^2}{c^2 \sin^2 \beta} - \frac{2hkl \cos \beta}{ac \sin \beta}. \tag{1}
\]

Table S1 shows the crystal quality and the surface roughness of the samples. The crystal quality of \(\beta\)-(AlGa)\(_2\)O\(_3\) improves proportional to the annealing temperature and, thus, the Al content. The XRD \((-201)\) rocking curve (RC) FWHM drops from 2.51° for as-deposited Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) to 0.15° for the \(\beta\)-(AlGa)\(_2\)O\(_3\) sample annealed at 1500 °C. This is in marked contrast to the previous works by direct-growth techniques where the crystallinity and crystal quality generally deteriorated when the Al content in the \(\beta\)-(AlGa)\(_2\)O\(_3\) alloys increased.\(^7\) The surface roughness probed by \(5 \times 5\ \mu m^2\) atomic force microscopy (AFM) scan remains less than 2 nm for the annealing temperatures from 1000 to 1400 °C. But for the 1500 °C annealed sample, the surface starts to decompose due to high temperature, making the surface roughness non-uniform across the sample surface.

The SIMS measurements were carried out by depth profiling of Al, Ga, and O elements for the as-deposited and annealed samples at 1000, 1200, and 1400 °C shown in Fig. 2. Figure 2(a) shows that the Al concentration of as-deposited Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) is at or below the detection limit level, indicating minimal Al diffusion at the PLD temperature of 800 °C. Also, the figure shows that the Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) film thickness is 50 nm. With the annealing at 1000 °C, significant Al diffusion occurred unambiguously, as shown in Fig. 2(b). The annealing also increased the film thickness to 110 nm, indicating that the interdiffusion process of Ga and Al atoms occurred at the III-oxide/sapphire interface. However, there is a small gradient of Ga and Al concentrations throughout the film. Thus, the alloy material composition is not strictly homogeneous for this sample. With higher temperatures of 1200 and 1400 °C, the film thickness increased further to 190 and 250 nm, respectively, suggesting stronger interdiffusion shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d). More importantly, the Al concentrations are highly homogeneous throughout the films for both samples, indicating that the samples with annealing temperatures of 1200 °C or higher would possess a homogeneous structure.
composition. The carbon signals in Figs. 2(a)–2(d) appear to be below the detection limit, indicating the low impurity level and, thus, low contamination amid the processes.

To measure the optical bandgap and, thus, the composition of the samples, the UV-Vis transmission measurement was conducted, as shown in Fig. 3(a). The blueshift in the absorption edge with higher annealing temperature can be observed, which suggests the increased Al composition in good agreement with the XRD experiments. Because the minimum detection wavelength is 190 nm, the transmission curves of the samples annealed at 1300 and 1400 °C are less complete. Also, it was not possible to obtain the absorption edge of the sample annealed at 1500 °C due to an even larger bandgap, which is, thus, not included in Fig. 3. Nevertheless, the bandgaps of the annealed samples at 1400 °C and below were first deduced from the Tauc plot shown in Fig. 3(b) calculated from the transmission spectra. Then, the bandgaps were determined by extrapolating linear regions of these curves to the horizontal axis. An increase in the bandgap with annealing temperature was observed. The optical bandgaps for as-deposited $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ and annealed $\beta$-(Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$)$_2$O$_3$ at 1000, 1100, 1200, 1300, and 1400 °C are 4.88, 5.31, 5.82, 6.06, 6.21, and 6.38 eV, respectively.

Based on Figs. 2 and 3, the relationships of the film thickness vs temperature and the optical bandgap vs temperature show the quasi-linear behavior in Fig. 4(a). This suggests that the annealing temperature is an excellent knob to control the two important thin film quantities. Another potential knob is the annealing time, which is worth further investigation. Subsequently, the Al contents of $\beta$-(Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$)$_2$O$_3$ were estimated by the equation $E_g(x) = (1-x) E_g(\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$) + x E_g(\beta$-Al$_2$O$_3$) – bx(1–x)eV. The bandgap $E_g$ values of monoclinic $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ and $\beta$-Al$_2$O$_3$ are 4.88 and 7.24 eV, and the bowing parameter b is 0.93 eV. The Al contents of the annealed $\beta$-(Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$)$_2$O$_3$ films at 1000,
The STEM HAADF images and EDX maps of the two samples in the vicinity of the interface are shown in Figs. 6(a) and 6(d). The Al and Ga gradients in the EDX maps show that the Al atoms diffused from the sapphire substrate to the (AlGa)2O3 layer, which is the opposite of the Ga diffusion. Meanwhile, the O distribution was homogeneous. In Figs. 6(b) and 6(d), the EDX signal overlap maps show a tiny orange region comprising Al red and Ga green signals at the interface of (AlGa)2O3/sapphire, reaffirming the AlGa2O3/(AlGa)2O3 interface can be designed to form ternary (AlGa)2O3 ternary thin films were highly homogeneous with higher temperatures (>1200 °C). The Ga atoms diffused concurrently into the sapphire substrate during the annealing, resulting in an increased β-(AlGa)2O3 film thickness compared to the Ga2O3 templates. Besides, the crystal quality of β-(AlGa1−x)2O3 could improve significantly amid higher temperature annealing. Since the annealing temperature is an excellent knob to control the bandgap and composition, one could design a proper initial thickness and composition of ternary β-(AlGa)2O3 to reach the desired thickness and composition of ternary β-(AlGa)2O3 films by utilizing the annealing technique. The proposed method does not involve the direct-growth techniques for alloys and, therefore, is promising for straightforward and low-cost production of the high-quality β-(AlGa)2O3 alloys.

In summary, based on the binary β-Ga2O3/sapphire templates, we have employed the thermal annealing method at 1000–1500 °C to demonstrate ternary β-(Al,Ga)2O3 films with wide ranges of optical bandgaps and compositions. Higher annealing temperatures resulted in larger Al contents for the β-(Al,Ga1−x)2O3 films. The optical bandgap range of the samples was 4.88–6.38 eV translating to the Al content range of 0–0.72 for the β-Ga2O3 templates without annealing and with annealing up to 1400 °C. Evaluated by XRD, the Al content range of the samples was 0–0.81 for the templates without annealing and with annealing up to 1500 °C. The ternary alloy formation was caused by the interdiffusion of Ga and Al atoms from the thin film and substrate, respectively, which was proportional to the annealing temperatures. The interdiffusion was confirmed by SIMS and TEM. The Al contents of β-(Al,Ga1−x)2O3 ternary thin films were highly homogeneous with higher temperatures (>1200 °C). The Ga atoms diffused concurrently into the sapphire substrate during the annealing, resulting in an increased β-(AlGa)2O3 film thickness compared to the Ga2O3 templates. Besides, the crystal quality of β-(Al,Ga1−x)2O3 could improve significantly amid higher temperature annealing. Since the annealing temperature is an excellent knob to control the bandgap and composition, one could design a proper initial thickness and composition of binary β-Ga2O3 and β-(AlGa)2O3 to reach the desired thickness and composition of ternary β-(AlGa)2O3 films by utilizing the annealing technique. The proposed method does not involve the direct-growth techniques for alloys and, therefore, is promising for straightforward and low-cost production of the high-quality β-(AlGa)2O3 alloys.

To investigate the III-oxide/sapphire interface, we performed cross-sectional TEM experiments of the samples annealed at 1000 and 1400 °C shown in Fig. 5. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the thicknesses of the samples annealed at 1000 and 1400 °C, which are 122 and 242 nm, consistent with the SIMS experiments in Figs. 2(b) and 2(d), respectively. According to the insets of Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), there is a thin transition layer between β-(Al,Ga)2O3 and sapphire.

To further study the interface of the two samples in Fig. 5, the cross-sectional HR-TEM images were obtained in the vicinity of the interface shown in Figs. 6(a) and 6(c). The transition layers around 3.6 nm and 4.5 nm thick at the β-Al2O3/sapphire interface can be identified for the 1000 and 1400 °C annealed samples, respectively. The fast Fourier transform (FFT) diffraction patterns below and above the transition layers of both samples confirm the β-(Al,Ga)2O3 and sapphire (0001) planes. The STEM HAADF images and EDX maps of the two samples in the vicinity of the interface are shown in Figs. 6(b) and 6(d). The Al and Ga gradients in the EDX maps show that the Al atoms diffused from the sapphire substrate to the (Al,Ga)2O3 layer, which is the opposite of the Ga diffusion. Meanwhile, the O distribution was homogeneous. In Figs. 6(b) and 6(d), the EDX signal overlap maps show a tiny orange region comprising Al red and Ga green signals at the interface of β-(Al,Ga)2O3/sapphire, reaffirming the existence of the transition layer. For the EDX spectra in Figs. 6(b) and 6(d), the signal intensity of Al is weaker than that of Ga for the sample annealed at 1000 °C in Fig. 6(b) amid the β-(Al,Ga)2O3 layer. However, it is stronger than that of Ga for the sample annealed at 1400 °C in Fig. 6(d). The results reiterate that with higher annealing temperatures, more Al atoms could diffuse into the Al2O3 layer, leading to higher Al contents. It is noted that because the film thickness increased amid the annealing due to the interdiffusion process, one could design experiments with different initial thicknesses and contents to reach the desired thicknesses and contents by utilizing the knobs of the annealing temperature and perhaps the annealing time.

In summary, based on the binary β-Ga2O3/sapphire templates, we have employed the thermal annealing method at 1000–1500 °C to demonstrate ternary β-(Al,Ga1−x)2O3 films with wide ranges of optical bandgaps and compositions. Higher annealing temperatures resulted in larger Al contents for the β-(Al,Ga1−x)2O3 films. The optical bandgap range of the samples was 4.88–6.38 eV translating to the Al content range of 0–0.72 for the β-Ga2O3 templates without annealing and with annealing up to 1400 °C. Evaluated by XRD, the Al content range of the samples was 0–0.81 for the templates without annealing and with annealing up to 1500 °C. This ternary alloy formation was caused by the interdiffusion of Ga and Al atoms from the thin film and substrate, respectively, which was proportional to the annealing temperature. The interdiffusion was confirmed by SIMS and TEM. The Al contents of β-(Al,Ga1−x)2O3 ternary thin films were highly homogeneous with higher temperatures (>1200 °C). The Ga atoms diffused concurrently into the sapphire substrate during the annealing, resulting in an increased β-(AlGa)2O3 film thickness compared to the Ga2O3 templates. Besides, the crystal quality of β-(Al,Ga1−x)2O3 could improve significantly amid higher temperature annealing. Since the annealing temperature is an excellent knob to control the bandgap and composition, one could design a proper initial thickness and composition of binary β-Ga2O3 and β-(AlGa)2O3 to reach the desired thickness and composition of ternary β-(AlGa)2O3 films by utilizing the annealing technique. The proposed method does not involve the direct-growth techniques for alloys and, therefore, is promising for straightforward and low-cost production of the high-quality β-(AlGa)2O3 alloys.
See the supplementary material for XRD phi-scan of the (−401) plane and a table summary of XRD (−201) rocking curve FWHMs and 5 × 5 μm² AFM RMS surface roughness of the samples.
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