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Abstract

Significance: Traumatic brain injury (TBI) and spinal cord injury (SCI) are major causes of death and long-term disability worldwide. Despite important pathophysiological differences between these disorders, in many respects, mechanisms of injury are similar. During both TBI and SCI, some cells are directly mechanically injured, but more die as a result of injury-induced biochemical changes (secondary injury). Autophagy, a lysosome-dependent cellular degradation pathway with neuroprotective properties, has been implicated both clinically and experimentally in the delayed response to TBI and SCI. However, until recently, its mechanisms and function remained unknown, reflecting in part the difficulty of isolating autophagic processes from ongoing cell death and other cellular events. Recent Advances: Emerging data suggest that depending on the location and severity of traumatic injury, autophagy flux—defined as the progress of cargo through the autophagy system and leading to its degradation—may be either increased or decreased after central nervous system trauma. Critical Issues: While increased autophagy flux may be protective after mild injury, after more severe trauma inhibition of autophagy flux may contribute to neuronal cell death, indicating disruption of autophagy as a part of the secondary injury mechanism. Future Directions: Augmentation and/or restoration of autophagy flux may provide a potential therapeutic target for treatment of TBI and SCI. Development of those treatments will require thorough characterization of changes in autophagy flux, its mechanisms and function over time after injury. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 23, 565–577.

Introduction

Central nervous system (CNS) trauma, including traumatic brain injury (TBI) and spinal cord injury (SCI), is one of the most important causes of death and long-term disability among young adults worldwide (22, 69). Since the patients are often disabled during the most productive periods of their lives, the injuries have an enormous physical, emotional, and economic burden on both the individuals and the society. More than 2.5 million new cases of TBI are reported annually in the United States (1). They can lead to long-term cognitive, sensorimotor, and psychiatric changes, with the extent dependent on the severity of injury (69). TBI may also cause epilepsy and increase the risk of developing neurodegenerative diseases with age.

SCI has an annual incidence of 12,000–20,000 and a prevalence of nearly half a million in the United States (2). It can result in sensorimotor deficits, autonomic changes, and chronic pain (22). Depending on the level and severity of the injury, SCI may also affect respiratory, urinary, or gastrointestinal function. Long-term complications of SCI may additionally include cognitive impairments, depression, and anxiety (21, 33).

Over the years, many animal models have been developed to study the mechanisms and the functional consequences of TBI and SCI [recently reviewed in more detail in (14, 18, 46)]. Although no single model can recapitulate the complexities of human TBI, considered among the most heterogeneous of neurological disorders, they are essential for elucidating pathological mechanisms of injury and...
evaluating potential therapeutic interventions (14, 39). An example is the commonly used rodent controlled cortical impact model, where craniotomy is performed to remove a part of the skull, followed by a localized contusive impact delivered directly to the exposed dura (25). Additional models have been developed to allow studies of specific types or aspects of injury, such as concussion, blast injury, or remote degeneration, in distant brain regions functionally connected to the lesion area.

Similarly, many animal models have been developed that reflect different components of SCI. Clinically, approximately half of SCI cases result from contusion injuries (45). In rodent models, spinal contusion injuries commonly involve laminectomy to allow direct access to the spinal cord, followed by a localized contusive impact. Additional specialized models include spinal cord transection, chronic compression, and models of neuropathic pain, such as spinal root ligation (18).

Mechanisms of Traumatic Injury to the CNS

There are currently no well-established neuroprotective treatments for either TBI or SCI. This is at least in part due to the complex nature of the injury mechanisms. Trauma causes both direct mechanical tissue damage (primary injury) and biochemical changes that cause more delayed or progressive cell loss (secondary injury) (5, 69). The primary mechanical damage results in cellular strain and plasma membrane rupture or damage, leading, among others, to ionic imbalance, excitatory amino-acid release, and oxidative species formation in the area of injury (3). These events initiate complex secondary changes that collectively spread the injury to the intact neighboring cells. In addition, the initial physical damage to the blood–brain barrier or the blood–spinal cord barrier allows infiltration of macrophages and other immune cells (76). The resulting inflammatory changes involve both infiltrating macrophages and activated resident microglia and contribute to secondary cell damage and loss. Recent studies also demonstrate a potential second peak of inflammation till 2 months after injury as well as chronic neuroinflammatory changes (6, 71). Additional damage can occur at a distance over days and months after injury via Wallerian degeneration and/or demyelination, reflecting CNS connectivity (54, 65).

Thus, the secondary injury can occur over hours, days, and months after initial impact, further intensifying and spreading tissue damage and functional deficits (Fig. 1). Since primary injury is almost instantaneous and cell damage in the directly impacted area is often severe and irreversible, it cannot be treated. However, the secondary injury takes time and involves changes in specific biochemical, cellular, and molecular pathways, thus presenting a therapeutic opportunity.

Cell damage and death resulting from secondary injury are followed and often overlap with a restorative phase during which the brain or the spinal cord remodels itself in an attempt to compensate for the tissue damage (54). Additional manipulations are often able to suppress cell death after injury; however, these improvements are only partial and sometimes temporary. This has lead to more recent proposals for therapeutic use of multiple drug cocktail or multifunctional drugs that target upstream biochemical changes and cellular events involved in the initiation of multiple pro-death pathways (58).
Among posttraumatic secondary biochemical responses, signs of macroautophagy (hereafter called autophagy) have also been observed. However, until recently, its mechanisms and the consequences have remained obscure. In this review, we detail recent advances in the study of autophagy after CNS trauma, which have begun to clarify how autophagy levels and flux are affected by injury and how their manipulation may represent a potential novel neuroprotective target.

**Autophagy and Autophagy Flux**

Autophagy is a lysosome-dependent essential cellular catabolic pathway that serves to degrade cytoplasmic proteins, protein aggregates, and organelles. During autophagy, double-membrane vesicles (autophagosomes) sequester cytoplasmic components, including damaged organelles and toxic protein aggregates. Autophagosomes fuse with lysosomes to allow degradation of cargo by lysosomal proteases. The progress of cargo through the autophagy system and leading to its delivery and degradation in the lysosomes is termed autophagy flux (Fig. 2).

Although under certain conditions pathologically increased autophagy has been implicated in cell death (44), under most circumstances autophagy is considered cytoprotective. Basal levels of autophagy are important for homeostasis in all cells but are especially crucial in terminally differentiated cells such as neurons and oligodendrocytes. Its importance is underscored by the fact that mice with neural tissue specific knockout of the essential autophagy genes *Atg5* or *Atg7* develop severe neurodegeneration, leading to abnormal motor function and reflexes. The proposed mechanisms contributing to cell injury and death when autophagy flux is inhibited include accumulation of toxic protein aggregates and defective organelles (11, 44). Accumulated dysfunctional autophagosomes themselves may also serve a pathologic function, for example, by serving as sites for amyloid β generation or platforms for assembly of pro-death signaling complexes (4). Autophagy is also upregulated, and often plays a protective function, in response to cell injury (12, 72). For example, autophagy is activated in response to and can limit effects of homeostasis perturbation in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress. Conversely, defects in autophagy flux can exacerbate ER stress and potentiate ER stress-induced apoptosis (12).

Impaired autophagy flux has been implicated in neurodegenerative disorders such as Parkinson's, Alzheimer's, and Huntington's disease and in lysosomal storage disorders (42, 44). In lysosomal storage diseases, defects in autophagy are secondary to deficiencies in specific lysosomal hydrolases and consequent impairment of the lysosomal function (56). Neurodegenerative diseases are associated with autophagy defects contributing to accumulation of ubiquitin-positive protein aggregates and to neuronal cell dysfunction and death (44). Conversely, increasing efficiency of autophagic flux can improve outcomes in animal models of neurodegenerative diseases (11) and has been proposed as a potential therapeutic approach.

**Autophagy and Autophagy Flux After CNS Trauma**

Increased markers of autophagy have been observed after both TBI and SCI, but their mechanisms and functions remain controversial. It is clear that accumulation of autophagosomes is an early event during secondary injury, which is initiated within hours after initial impact (28, 31, 37). This has been observed in both rat and mouse models of TBI and SCI, as well as in human TBI autopsy samples (51). Many reports, including those referencing human samples (51), also noted that markers of autophagy remained elevated for weeks to months after injury. This long-term upregulation may reflect potential function for autophagy not only in the acute phase of the secondary injury but also more chronically in association with neurodegenerative and/or restorative processes (Fig. 1). Further long-term studies in animal models will be necessary to elucidate the role of such persistent changes.

Less certain, at least in TBI, is whether accumulation of autophagosomes after injury is due to increased autophagosome biosynthesis and elevation of autophagy flux or to decreased autophagosome degradation and inhibition of flux. Many of the earliest reports described accumulation of autophagosomes based on electron microscope studies and/or accumulation of the autophagosome marker protein LC3-II (MAP1LC3B, Fig. 3A); however, they did not address the issue of flux (19, 20, 23, 37). More recently, autophagy flux has been assessed in several models of TBI based on the
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**FIG. 2.** Autophagy flux under normal and pathological conditions. (A) During autophagy, double-membrane vesicles (autophagosomes) sequester cytoplasmic components, including damaged organelles and toxic protein aggregates, and then fuse with lysosomes to allow degradation of cargo by lysosomal proteases. This progress of cargo through the autophagy system is termed autophagy flux and generally serves a cytoprotective function. (B) Under pathological conditions, autophagy flux may be blocked, for example due to lysosomal defects. This can lead to accumulation of dysfunctional autophagosomes and contribute to cell damage and death. To see this illustration in color, the reader is referred to the web version of this article at www.liebertpub.com/ars
levels of the autophagic substrate protein sequestosome 1/p62 (SQSTM1) (30). SQSTM1 is an adaptor protein that directs ubiquitinated cargo to autophagosomes for degradation. As SQSTM1 is degraded along with its cargo, when autophagy flux is increased, its protein levels decrease; conversely, when autophagy flux is inhibited, SQSTM1 levels increase (Fig. 3B, C). It is important to note that Sqstm1/SQSTM1 can also be regulated at the transcriptional level. Therefore, it is necessary to also assess its mRNA levels to distinguish altered rate of degradation from synthesis.

Decreased levels of SQSTM1 have been reported to accompany LC3-II accumulation in a mouse models of contusive TBI (weight-drop model) (41). These and other reports also noted an increase in BECN1 (Beclin 1), a regulatory subunit of the type III PI3 kinase, which is necessary for autophagosome formation. Increased autophagy flux was also described in a mouse model of acute focal cerebellar lesion, where it was confirmed by in vivo LC3-II flux assay (64). During the flux assay, usually carried out in vitro, LC3-II protein levels are compared in the presence or absence of a lysosomal inhibitor such as chloroquine (CQ, a lysosomotropic compound that neutralizes lysosomal pH), Bafilomycin A (V-ATPase inhibitor), or E-64d/pepstatin A (lysosomal hydrolase inhibitors) (30). When flux is high, CQ (or any other lysosomal inhibitor) leads to additional accumulation of LC3-II. When flux is blocked, CQ cannot further increase LC3-II levels. Molinari and colleagues (64) performed this assay in vivo in GFP-LC3 transgenic autophagy reporter mice under conditions when autophagy flux is induced (in vivo Rapamycin treatment for 48 h) versus when autophagy flux is inhibited (24 h after controlled cortical impact injury). Data adapted from Sarkar et al. (53) with permission of authors. PE, phosphatidylethanolamine.

In contrast, inhibition of autophagy flux was recently reported after contusion TBI (using pneumatic impactor) in GFP-Lc3 mice (53). Accumulation of autophagosomes near the injury site was accompanied by increased levels of SQSTM1 (Fig. 3C), demonstrated by both Western blot and immunohistochemistry. This was further confirmed by ex vivo LC3-II flux experiment where organotypic brain slices from control and injured animals were incubated in the

![FIG. 3. Common methods used to assess autophagy and autophagy flux. (A) When autophagy is induced, cytosolic LC3-I protein is covalently conjugated to PE to form LC3-II, which translocates to the autophagosomal membrane. Accumulation of LC3-II can be measured as a marker of autophagosome formation. (B, C) Comparison of LC3-II and SQSTM1 levels under conditions when autophagy flux is increased or inhibited. (B) When flux is induced, numbers of autophagosomes and levels of LC3-II increase but levels of autophagy substrates such as SQSTM1 decrease. When autophagy flux is blocked, both numbers of autophagosomes (LC3-II) and autophagy substrates (SQSTM1) increase. (C) Comparison of levels of LC3 (GFP-LC3 fluorescence—green) and SQSTM1 (immunohistochemistry—red) in the cortex of GFP-Lc3 transgenic autophagy reporter mice under conditions when autophagy flux is induced (in vivo Rapamycin treatment for 48 h) versus when autophagy flux is inhibited (24 h after controlled cortical impact injury). Data adapted from Sarkar et al. (53) with permission of authors. PE, phosphatidylethanolamine.](https://liebertpub.com/ars)

![FIG. 4. Changes in autophagy flux after mild versus severe CNS trauma. In some models, TBI can lead to an increase in autophagy flux (green line), which likely serves a protective function. In other models, TBI or SCI can inhibit autophagy flux (red line), contributing to secondary injury. At later time points (dashed lines), autophagy flux may be increased in all models as compared with uninjured animals, suggesting a potential beneficial function. SCI, spinal cord injury; TBI, traumatic brain injury. To see this illustration in color, the reader is referred to the web version of this article at www.liebertpub.com/ars](https://liebertpub.com/ars)
presence or absence of CQ, CQ increased LC3-II levels in control, but not in injured brains, confirming inhibition of autophagy flux. In this model, inhibition of flux was temporary as in vivo levels of SQSTM1 returned to baseline 1 week after injury.

The reasons for the differences in autophagy flux across these studies are not clear. It is possible that different injury models or injury severity may differentially affect autophagy activation, as well as the ability of autophagy flux to proceed to completion. For example, a relatively mild injury could lead to upstream activation of autophagy flux as a protective mechanism (Fig. 4). This may be the case especially in the cerebellar lesion model (64), which examined autophagy levels not in the direct lesion area but rather in distant sites functionally connected to the cerebellum. In this model, activation of autophagy flux was also shown to be neuroprotective, as levels of cell death were increased and functional outcomes were worsened in injured autophagy-deficient Becn1+/− mice as compared with wild-type controls. On the other hand, more severe injury could alter the ability of cells near the injury area to correctly deliver and degrade autophagosomal cargo, leading to inhibition of flux. This perspective is supported by a recent investigation of human TBI brain autopsies samples (51), where elevated levels of SQSTM1 were found in the majority of samples, with accumulation being the most pronounced in those with shortest survival times, implying more severe TBI.

Increased markers of autophagy have also been observed in SCI models (27, 28, 55, 60). Most reports describe concomitant accumulation of both LC3-II and SQSTM1 after injury, suggesting more uniform inhibition of autophagy flux. This includes diverse injury models such as acute contusion SCI in rat (38) and mouse (Lipinski and Wu, unpublished), chronic spinal cord compression in mouse and rat (17, 60), and a mouse spinal nerve ligation model of neuropathic pain (8). The fact that autophagy flux was inhibited even in the latter mild injury model may suggest that spinal cord neurons may be more sensitive to autophagy flux perturbations on injury than cortical or hippocampal neurons examined in most TBI models. However, studies directly comparing sensitivity of different subtypes of spinal and brain neurons will be needed to test this hypothesis.

**Cell Type Specificity of Autophagy After CNS Trauma**

Neurons are the cell type most commonly reported to accumulate autophagosomes after both TBI and SCI. An increase in autophagy in neurons occurs early, initiated within 24 h after injury. In brain injury, this includes both cortical and hippocampal neurons in the hemisphere ipsilateral to the injury (19, 37, 53). After SCI, most reports either do not specify the type or location of investigated neurons or focus exclusively on the ventral horn motor neurons. However, a recent paper (38) noted more pronounced accumulation of both LC3 and SQSTM1 in the ventral horn motor neurons as compared with the dorsal horn sensory neurons, despite greater proximity of the latter to the impact area. This suggests that motor neurons may be particularly vulnerable to disruption of autophagy flux. The dorsal sensory neurons can, however, also be affected. For example, spinal nerve ligation in a model of neuropathic pain led to disruption of autophagy flux in the dorsal horn (8, 77). In addition to neuronal cell bodies, autophagosome accumulation has been reported to occur in axons after both TBI and SCI (19, 49).

Increased autophagy has been also reported for other cell types in the CNS. Glial fibrillary acid protein positive astrocytes have been reported to accumulate autophagosomes (20, 23, 28, 60), and increased levels of autophagy have been documented in oligodendrocytes after both TBI and SCI (28, 38, 53, 60). Recent reports also noted increased LC3 levels in microglia after TBI and SCI (38, 53, 60). Only the most activated, CD68-expressing microglia with amoeboid morphology were affected in TBI (53), suggesting potential involvement of autophagy in regulation of microglial activation or function after injury. In all glial sub-types, the extent of autophagosome accumulation generally correlated with the proximity to the injury site. In addition, accumulation of autophagosomes in glial cells was observed later than neurons (starting around day 3 after injury in most reports), suggesting that these cells may have differential sensitivity to perturbation of autophagy or that different mechanisms may be involved than in neurons.

**Mechanisms of Autophagy After CNS Trauma**

Depending on whether induction or inhibition of autophagy flux was observed, authors have proposed different mechanisms of how autophagy may be regulated after CNS injury. However, in general, evidence for the involvement of specific signaling pathways is limited and mostly circumstantial. This is, in part, due to the fact that there are no accurate in vitro model systems of CNS trauma (43). Therefore, much more work, including in vivo manipulation of specific pro- and anti-autophagy molecules and pathways, will be necessary before its mechanisms and consequences can be more fully understood.

The most commonly invoked mechanisms for upregulation of autophagy flux after TBI include increased BECN1 protein levels and decreased BECN1/BCL2 complexes (20, 28, 64), which should increase the type III PI3 kinase activity and autophagosome biogenesis. The requirement for the activity of this kinase in injury models leading to increase in autophagy flux is supported by the finding that accumulation of autophagosomes and degradation of SQSTM1 are attenuated after focal cerebellar lesion in Becn1+/− mice (64).

It remains unknown how levels of BECN1 may be upregulated after CNS trauma. One potential mechanism was proposed by Keane and colleagues (9), who noted that in the brains of sham rats some BECN1 protein was localized to membrane rafts, where it formed complexes with the N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor 2B (NR2B). TBI caused dissociation of BECN1/NR2B complexes and release of BECN1, which may have contributed to the induction of autophagy. Another potential mechanism could involve the gap junction protein connexin 43/CX43 (GJA1), which was recently shown to directly interact with the BECN1 complex and regulate autophagosome biogenesis (7). Levels and activity of CX43 are affected after TBI and may contribute to regulation of autophagy (59). Other proposed pathways include mTOR, GSK3β, JNK, and BNIP3 (9, 35, 75); however, their involvement will need to be confirmed by further studies.

Decreased levels and activity of the lysosomal protein cathepsin D (CTSD) and in numbers of CTSD-positive lysosomes was recently reported to accompany inhibition of
autophagy flux in both TBI and SCI (38, 53). This suggests the possibility that SCI trauma may lead to lysosomal damage and dysfunction, which could cause defects in autophagy flux. Both studies also noted a decrease in proportion of LC3+/CTSD+ autolysosomes as compared with total autophagosomes, consistent with deficiencies in autophagosome processing (Fig. 5). It remains to be determined whether this may be due to a specific defect in autophagosome-lysosome fusion or to a general decline in lysosomal function, leading to accumulation of unprocessed autophagosomes.

It is also not clear how lysosomes may be damaged after CNS trauma. The simplest explanation would be mechanical trauma leading to loss of lysosomal membrane integrity. However, no gross leakage of soluble lysosomal enzymes into the cytosol was observed, at least after TBI (53). This does not exclude the possibility of more subtle lysosomal membrane damage. Such limited lysosomal membrane permeabilization (LMP) has been linked to neuronal damage in ischemia and neurodegenerative diseases (10, 73), but its mechanisms are only now being elucidated. Potential mediators include channel formation by pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins such as BAX (10), and calpain-mediated cleavage and inhibition of the chaperone heat shock protein HSP70, leading to lysosomal membrane destabilization (73). Both BAX and HSP70 have been shown to be involved after CNS trauma (50, 79), but their potential contribution to LMP in this context has yet to be investigated. Importantly, lysosomal defects can lead to cytotoxicity in both an autophagy-dependent and -independent manner, further underscoring the need to understand their mechanisms and effects after CNS trauma.

Another factor that could contribute to altered autophagy flux after CNS trauma is reactive oxygen species (ROS). ROS have been shown to both positively and negatively regulate autophagy, depending on the levels and context (24, 36). The positive effects of ROS on autophagy flux can be exerted directly via redox-sensitive mediators such as ATG4, or indirectly via upstream regulatory pathways such as the adenosine 5’-monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) (80). On the other hand, excessive ROS as well as reactive nitrogen species (RNS) can inhibit autophagy, for example, by means of S-nitrosylation of the components of JNK and mTOR pathways (52). HSP70 can also be carbonylated under oxidative conditions, making it a better substrate for calpain-mediated cleavage. This has been shown to contribute to LMP during brain ischemia (73) and could play a similar function after TBI and SCI. Alternatively, lysosomal membranes could be directly subject to oxidative damage. Therefore, depending on the level and mechanism of injury, ROS and RNS could contribute to both activation and inhibition of autophagy flux.

**Function of Autophagy in Neurons After CNS Trauma**

The function of autophagy in the secondary neurodegeneration after TBI and SCI has long been a source of controversy, with both beneficial (23, 26, 55, 61) and detrimental roles proposed (31, 41). This may reflect the fact that either induction or inhibition of autophagy flux may occur after CNS injury. The function of autophagy can change drastically depending on flux, with unobstructed flux usually contributing to cytoprotection and flux inhibition promoting cell death. In addition, since autophagy is often induced as a response to cell stress (12, 72), care must be taken to distinguish between association and causation of cell death.

The early TBI and SCI studies noted co-localization of autophagy markers in brain and spinal cord regions showing high levels of neuronal cell death, leading to conclusions that autophagy may be contributing to neurodegeneration (20, 28). This view was supported by reports demonstrating decreased levels of autophagy markers after neuroprotective drug treatments (19, 31). However, most of the treatments used were not specific inhibitors of autophagy and without knowing whether autophagy flux was increased or decreased after injury, it is difficult to interpret these results. For example, signs of autophagy could be decreased because neuroprotective treatment increased autophagy flux and thus clearance of accumulated autophagosomes. Alternatively, the treatment could act independently of autophagy by making cells healthier. Thus, if autophagy flux was increased as a protective response by injured cells, healthier cells may no longer upregulate it.

More recent data support the idea that in models where autophagy flux is increased after injury, it likely serves as a protective function. The best evidence comes from the focal cerebellar lesion model, where induction of autophagy flux was increased and functional outcomes were worsened in autophagy-deficient Beclin1−/− mice as compared with wild-type controls (64). This was associated with decreased neuronal survival, indicating that increased autophagy flux was neuroprotective. This must, however, be confirmed in other injury models, including SCI and contusive TBI.
The mechanisms by which increased autophagy flux contributes to neuroprotection after injury have yet to be investigated. CNS trauma can lead to generation of damaged cellular components such as mitochondria, lysosomes, and peroxisomes, which are both vulnerable to and a source of oxidative stress. Increased autophagy flux could help eliminate these injured organelles to protect the cells from further damage. Consistent with this possibility, accumulation of autophagosomes, including damaged mitochondria and increased BNIP3, both of which suggest induction of mitophagy, have been noted after SCI (75). However, its function and mechanisms remain to be further investigated. Autophagy may also serve to remove toxic ubiquitin-positive protein aggregates generated after injury (40), and it provides necessary building blocks as well as energy required for the initiation of restorative processes after trauma.

In models where CNS injury leads to inhibition of autophagy flux, it likely contributes to neuronal cell death. This is supported by recent reports indicating specific accumulation of SQSTM1 in dying neuronal cells after both TBI and SCI (38, 53). The cell death markers included those specific for both apoptotic and non-apoptotic mechanisms, suggesting that inhibition of autophagy flux after CNS injury may contribute to the induction and/or amplification of multiple cell death pathways. However, a causative role for inhibited autophagy flux has yet to be directly confirmed in those models.

In both TBI and SCI, a specific connection was noted between inhibition of autophagy flux and ER stress in neurons (38, 53). ER stress has been implicated as a part of the secondary injury after CNS trauma (32, 47), but its mechanisms remain unknown. As autophagy is commonly increased and can serve as a protective mechanism in response to ER stress (12), its inhibition after injury could exacerbate ER stress, contributing to the induction of apoptosis.

Inhibition of autophagy flux could also contribute to non-apoptotic neuronal cell death after CNS trauma. For example, TBI neurons with signs of inhibited autophagy also accumulated AIF (AIFM1) (53). During caspase-independent cell death, AIF translocates from the mitochondrial inner membrane to the cytosol. As damaged mitochondria can be targeted by autophagy/mitophagy, this suggests the possibility that impaired autophagic clearance could contribute to accumulation of damaged mitochondria after TBI. Another cell death pathway that could be affected by autophagy is necroptosis, an RIPK1/RIPK3-dependent regulated necrosis (62). Inhibition of necroptosis can improve functional outcomes after both TBI and SCI, demonstrating involvement of this pathway in the secondary injury (67, 74). Recent data suggest that autophagosomes can serve as platforms for the assembly of necroptosis-inducing complexes (necrosomes), including RIPK1, RIPK3, and ATG5 (4). Therefore, accumulation of autophagosomes after CNS trauma could also potentially contribute to necroptosis.

Defects in autophagy flux have been noted in multiple neurodegenerative and lysosomal diseases, where they contribute to neuronal cell death. In lysosomal storage diseases, defects in specific lysosomal hydrolases lead to lysosomal dysfunction and, as a consequence, inhibition of autophagy flux (56). Lysosomal function abnormalities have been also reported in some neurodegenerative diseases and proposed to contribute to pathological accumulation of autophagosomes and to neuronal dysfunction and death (63). It appears that a similar situation may occur after CNS trauma, with impairment of lysosomal function contributing to defects in autophagic clearance and neuronal cell death. This suggests lysosomal and autophagy flux defects as a potential common mechanism contributing to neuronal cell death due to chronic (neurodegenerative and lysosomal storage diseases) and acute (CNS trauma) insults.

It is also important to note that in models where autophagy flux is inhibited after trauma, this effect may be only transient (38, 53). Therefore, early after SCI or severe TBI, the accumulation of autophagosomes may contribute to neuronal cell death, whereas at later time points when autophagic flux is restored, autophagy may play a neuroprotective role (Fig. 4). While it is not clear how autophagy flux may be restored after CNS trauma, the timing correlates with increased lysosomal biogenesis (53, 78), which could compensate for the initial damage to these organelles. Alternatively, increased flux could reflect death of the affected cells.

**Function of Autophagy in Other Cell Types**

In addition to neurons, markers of autophagy are increased in other cell types after CNS trauma, including all major glial sub-types (astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and microglia). However, much less is known about either the mechanisms or the function of autophagy in these cells. Oligodendrocyte cell death is prevalent after CNS injury and is a major component of secondary injury (5). Similar to the situation observed in neurons, depending on whether autophagy flux is activated or inhibited, it could contribute to either survival or death of oligodendrocytes. In addition, after TBI, increased markers of autophagy have been observed not only in mature oligodendrocytes but also in neural/glia1 antigen 2 (NG2/CSPG4)-positive oligodendrocyte progenitors (53). Autophagy can promote oligodendrocyte precursor survival and myelin development in a myelin mutant rat model (57), and it is possible that it may play a similar function after CNS trauma.

**FIG. 6.** Potential mechanisms and consequences of restoring or increasing autophagy flux after CNS trauma. Inhibition of mTOR can increase initiation of autophagy by stimulating activity of the type III PI3 kinase that is necessary for initiation of autophagosome biogenesis. Inhibition of mTOR can also lead to activation of transcription of lysosomal genes by TFEB and increased lysosomal biogenesis. This could restore and/or increase autophagy flux after CNS trauma, leading to neuroprotection and functional improvements. TFEB, transcription factor EB. To see this illustration in color, the reader is referred to the web version of this article at www.liebertpub.com/ars
Recent data indicate that autophagy can regulate inflammatory responses via the NFκB pathway (70). For example, in cancer-associated macrophages, autophagy can selectively degrade NFκB RELA/p65, thereby reducing production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (15). SQSTM1 can also stimulate the NFκB pathway through its interaction with TRAF6. It is possible that autophagy may similarly contribute to regulation of inflammatory responses in microglia and/or infiltrating macrophages after CNS injury. However, further work is needed to determine how autophagy may affect glial cell survival and function after CNS trauma.

Autophagy-Lysosomal Pathway as a New Therapeutic Target Against CNS Trauma

Treatments that increase autophagy flux have been shown to offer neuroprotection in both TBI and SCI. The most commonly used agent is the mTOR inhibitor Rapamycin. Even a single administration of Rapamycin early (till 4 h) after injury decreased neuronal cell death and inflammation and improved functional recovery till a month later in multiple models of CNS trauma (16, 23, 55, 61, 64, 68). Several of these studies demonstrated increased autophagy flux after Rapamycin treatment, suggesting the neuroprotective potential of increasing autophagy after CNS injury. However, Rapamycin is known to affect other cellular pathways and functions in addition to autophagy. This includes a well-documented anti-inflammatory function, which may help attenuate neuroinflammation after SCI (16).

The best evidence for the involvement of autophagy in Rapamycin-induced neuroprotection comes from the acute focal cerebellar lesion model (64), where both induction of autophagy flux and the beneficial effects of Rapamycin on neuronal cell survival and functional recovery were attenuated in autophagy hypomorph Beclin1−/− mice. Therefore, the neuroprotective role of Rapamycin appears to depend on its ability to induce autophagy in this system. Similar results were obtained in a neonatal hypoxia-ischemia injury model, where protective effects of Rapamycin were attenuated in animals treated with the type III PI3 kinase inhibitor 3-methyladenine (3MA), which inhibits autophagosome formation (13). In the latter model, Rapamycin-induced neuroprotection was also attenuated by AKT1 inhibition, suggesting that both autophagy and AKT1 signaling may be involved downstream of mTOR. However, since mechanisms of hypoxia-ischemia-induced neuronal damage differ in many ways from those after CNS trauma, involvement of this pathway remains to be confirmed in TBI and SCI.

It will also be important to confirm that Rapamycin or other pro-autophagy treatments are able to restore flux in CNS trauma models where it is inhibited. This is an important consideration, as under conditions where autophagic degradation cannot proceed to completion, increasing input into the system may be deleterious rather than beneficial (36). In fact, at least one report has noted the beneficial effects of upstream inhibition of autophagy flux with 3MA after TBI (41), although this has not been confirmed in other models (13, 61).

Based on our preliminary data (Lipinski and Wu, unpublished), treatment with Rapamycin leads to restoration of flux after SCI. This could be mediated through enhanced activity of the master regulator of lysosomal biosynthesis, the transcription factor EB, which is negatively regulated by mTOR (48) (Fig. 6). Increasing lysosomal biogenesis can augment autophagy flux and improve outcomes in animal models of neurodegenerative diseases (11). This supports the idea that enhancing lysosomal biogenesis and autophagy-lysosomal function may also represent a potential treatment strategy after CNS trauma. Such interventions may directly decrease the extent of neuronal and oligodendrocyte cell death as well as attenuate neuroinflammation and promote re-myelination that is necessary for long-term recovery. However, mTOR activity has been recently shown to play a vital function in processes that are necessary for recovery after neurotrauma, such as oligodendrocyte differentiation and myelination (66) and axonal sprouting (34). Therefore, drugs that are capable of promoting lysosomal biogenesis and autophagy flux in an mTOR-independent manner may be necessary for achieving the best therapeutic benefit.

Conclusions

Recently, there has been considerable progress in characterizing autophagy and its potential involvement in CNS trauma. However, much work remains to be done. More detailed delineation of changes in autophagy flux over time after TBI and SCI is needed. Such temporal resolution is essential, as recent studies indicate that the rate of autophagy flux may change over time after injury (38, 53), suggesting potentially important changes in function and mechanisms. Functional studies in transgenic animals with defects in autophagy, such as the Beclin1+/− mice, should also be performed. In addition, investigation of selective types of autophagy such as mitophagy (75) after CNS trauma is only now beginning. Finally, the major focus thus far has been on the function and mechanisms of autophagy in neurons. As markers of autophagy may be also altered in astrocytes, microglia, and oligodendrocytes, it will be important to determine its function and mechanisms in these cell types.

Emerging data suggest that depending on the location and severity of the injury, autophagy flux may be either increased or decreased after CNS trauma. Therefore, autophagy may play either beneficial or detrimental functional roles after injury. However, it appears that in all cases restoration and/or augmentation of autophagy flux can increase cell survival and improve functional recovery after injury, suggesting the autophagy pathway as a potential therapeutic target for TBI and SCI. Because of the unique importance of oligodendrocyte differentiation and axonal sprouting for recovery after injury, this may require the development of novel drugs that are able to increase lysosomal biogenesis and autophagy flux without mTOR inhibition.
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