Probing gluon nuclear PDF with direct photon production in association with a heavy quark
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Abstract. We investigate a possible use of direct photon production in association with a heavy quark in $pA$ collisions at the large hadron collider (LHC) to constrain the nuclear gluon parton distribution function (PDF). This process is sensitive to both, the nuclear heavy quark and gluon parton distribution functions and is a very promising candidate to help determine the gluon nuclear PDF which is still largely untested.
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INTRODUCTION

Parton distribution functions (PDFs) are an essential component of any prediction involving colliding hadrons. The PDFs are non-perturbative objects which have to be determined from experimental input and link theoretical perturbative QCD predictions to observable phenomena at hadron colliders. In view of their importance, the proton PDFs have been a focus of long and dedicated global analyses performed by various groups. Over the last decade, global analyses of PDFs in nuclei – or nuclear PDFs (nPDFs) – have been performed by several groups: nCTEQ [1, 2], nDS [3], EPS09 [4], and HKN07 [5]. In a manner analogous to the proton PDFs, the nPDFs are needed in order to predict observables in proton–nucleus ($pA$) and nucleus–nucleus (AA) collisions. However, as compared to the proton case, the nuclear parton distribution functions are far less well constrained. Data that can be used in a global analysis are available for fewer hard processes and also cover a smaller kinematic range. Here, we focus on constraining parton densities in nuclei using the production of a direct photon in association with a heavy-quark jet in $pA$ collisions (all details of the analysis presented here can be found in [6]).

DIRECT PHOTONS

Single direct photons have long been considered an excellent probe of the structure of the proton due to their point-like electromagnetic coupling to quarks and due to the fact that they escape confinement. Their study can naturally be extended to high-energy nuclear collisions where one can use direct photons to investigate the structure of nuclei as well. Concentrating on a double inclusive production of a direct photon with a heavy quark allows access to different PDF components. Single direct photons couple mostly
to valence quarks in the proton or nuclei. By investigating direct photons accompanied by heavy quark jets, one gains access to the gluon and the heavy quark PDF. That is because, at leading order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$, the direct photon with a heavy quark arises only from $gQ \rightarrow \gamma Q$ Compton scattering process as opposed to the single photon in which case a Compton scattering contribution $gq \rightarrow \gamma q$ competes against a contribution from quark annihilation $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma g$. At leading order, we see that the initial state for the direct photon production with a heavy quark jet depends only on gluon PDF and heavy quark PDF where also the latter is often radiatively generated from the gluon leading to even stronger dependence of this process on the gluon PDF.

**PROBING NUCLEAR GLUON PDF**

In order to obtain results in hadronic collisions, the partonic NLO cross-section for direct photon in association with a heavy quark has to be convoluted with PDFs for protons and/or nuclei [7]. For nuclei we show results using the most recent nCTEQ [1], EPS09 [4], and HKN07 [5] nuclear PDF sets. Each set of nuclear PDFs is connected to a set of proton PDFs to which it reduces in the limit $A \rightarrow 1$ where $A$ is the atomic mass number of the nucleus. Therefore we use the various nPDFs together with their corresponding proton PDFs in the calculations.

No current nuclear PDF analysis include a possibility of an intrinsic heavy quark component at the input scale and so the heavy quark PDF at a higher scale is generated using the gluon PDF where the gluon splits into heavy quark and anti-quark. Therefore, the heavy quark PDF follows in shape the gluon PDF rather closely (see Fig. 1) and we will concentrate on discussing the gluon PDF in more detail.

A common feature of all nPDF global analyses is that the nuclear gluon distribution is only very weakly constrained in the $x$-range $0.02 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.2$ from the $Q^2$-dependence.
of structure function ratios in deep-inelastic scattering (DIS) $F_2^{A}(x,Q^2)/F_2^{C}(x,Q^2)$, measured by the NMC collaboration [8]. In order to compare the various nPDF sets, we plot in Fig. 2 the gluon distribution ratio $R_{g}^{A}(x,Q) = g_{P/A}(x,Q)/g_{P}(x,Q)$ as a function of $x$ for a lead nucleus at $Q = 50$ GeV. The chosen hard scale $Q = 50$ GeV is typical for direct photon production at the LHC and the box highlights the $x$-region probed by the LHC.

The fact that the nuclear gluon distribution is poorly constrained is reflected by large PDF uncertainty bands of the gluon PDF of the HKN07 and EPS09. Moreover, additional uncertainty is connected to the choice of parameterization and other assumptions that are an integral part of any PDF analysis. The second source of uncertainties causes uncertainty bands of HKN07 and EPS09 to not overlap in some regions and causes also the nCTEQ gluon PDF to lie outside of the other uncertainty bands. Also the rather narrow and overlapping bands at small $x < 0.02$ do not reflect any constraints by data, but instead are theoretical assumptions imposed on the small-$x$ behavior of the gluon distributions.

The nuclear production ratio $R_{pPb}^{\gamma+c} = \frac{1}{d\sigma/dp_T(\gamma+c+X)} \frac{d\sigma/dp_T(\gamma+c+X)}{d\sigma/dp_T(\gamma+c+X)}$ in a kinematic range probed by the ALICE experiment at the LHC is shown in Fig. 2 (right) using several nuclear PDFs EPS09 (dashed blue line), HKN07 (dash-dotted red line) and a series of nCTEQ fits decut3 (solid black line), decut3g3 (dotted black line) and decut3g9 (dash-dot-dashed black line) which differ in assumptions on the small $x$ behavior of the gluon PDF (details see [6]). For the first two cases the bands represent the nPDF uncertainties. Remarkably, there is almost no overlap between the EPS09, the HKN and nCTEQ predictions, therefore an appropriate measurement of this process will be able to distinguish between the nPDF sets.

In the ALICE experiment, photons can be identified in the EMCal electromagnetic calorimeter, or in the PHOS spectrometer with a somewhat more limited acceptance.
In Fig. 3, we show results of calculations carried out for $p$–Pb collisions at the LHC nominal energy $\sqrt{s} = 8.8$ TeV using the cuts and acceptances of both EMCal and PHOS detectors. The differential NLO cross-section is plotted as a function of the photon transverse momentum in the $\gamma + c$ ($\gamma + b$) channel in Fig. 3 left (right) for both PHOS (lower band) and EMCal (upper band); the dotted curves indicate the theoretical scale uncertainty.

The total integrated cross-section for the EMCal electromagnetic calorimeter is 119000 pb for $\gamma + c$ process and 22700 pb for $\gamma + b$.

CONCLUSION

We have presented a detailed phenomenological study of direct photon production in association with a heavy-quark jet in $pA$ collisions at the LHC, at next-to-leading order in QCD. The dominant contribution to this process is given by the $gQ \to \gamma Q^* + g$ subprocess. This offers a sensitive mechanism to constrain the heavy-quark and gluon distributions in nuclei, whose precise knowledge is necessary in order to predict the rates of hard processes in heavy-ion collisions where quark-gluon plasma is expected to be formed.
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