Critical analysis of the value of the rabbit median nerve model for biomedical research on peripheral nerve grafts
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Abstract
The rabbit has been proposed to represent an animal model that allows studying peripheral nerve regeneration across extended gap lengths. We describe here our experiences with the rabbit median nerve model and the obstacles it comes along with. This short communication is meant to inform the community and to prevent other researcher from investing time and animal lives in a model with low translational power.
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Novel bioartificial or tissue-engineered nerve guidance channels could serve as substitute or as an alternative to autologous nerve grafts for peripheral nerve repair. New developments are pre-clinically studied in animal models with different potentials for translation into regenerative medicine.

The rat sciatic nerve model is the most commonly used pre-clinical animal model (Angius et al., 2012; Geuna, 2015). The model is economic, it is easy to compare new results to the literature and, most importantly, from the translational point of view, the model allows studying the outcome of functional peripheral nerve recovery (Navarro, 2016). Over the last two decades, the use of the rat median nerve model also has been increased in pre-clinical research on bioartificial nerve grafts, and the rabbit has been proposed as an animal model that allows investigations on extended gap lengths up to 3–6 cm in the median nerve (Ronchi et al., 2019).

We have broad experience in using rat and mouse models for evaluating peripheral nerve degeneration and regeneration and describe below the anatomy of the rabbit median nerve and give a report on our own experiences with this model. We define several obstacles of the rabbit median nerve model that are considerably reducing its value for pre-clinical nerve graft evaluation.

On the first look, the model appears an optimal one because the rabbit brachial plexus demonstrates a high similarity to the human brachial plexus (Reichert et al., 2015). From the available literature
Mencalha, Sousa, Costa & Abidu-Figueiredo, 2016; Popesko, Rajtová & Horák, 1992; Reichert et al., 2014; Reichert et al., 2015), it can be summarized that the median nerve separates from the ventral rami of the cervical C7, C8, the thoracic Th1 and in rare cases, the Th2 spinal nerves.

At their origin, the median and ulnar nerve form a common trunk and only separate in the area where the axillary artery and vein give rise to the median artery. This artery travels in between the median and the ulnar nerve from their bifurcation point towards the medial elbow (Popesko et al., 1992). The median nerve is located cranial to the median artery and the ulnar nerve caudal to it. From the elbow, the median nerve runs towards the cranial lower limb along the radial bone passing by the cranial rim of the pronator teres muscle, while the ulnar nerve travels more caudally along the ulnar bone (Popesko et al., 1992). On its way towards the lower limb, the median nerve gives immediate rise to a muscle branch innervating the pronator teres muscle. More distally, it innervates also the flexor muscles of the paw. Figure 1 is illustrating the anatomic situation as it presented during our own examinations.

For nerve surgery, general anaesthesia was induced by intraperitoneal application of ketamine (25-mg/kg body weight) and xylazine (3-mg/kg body weight), and the animals were placed on their back with both forelimbs tape-fixed (left forelimb in a 45° angle to the trunk and with its lateral surface fixed down on the surgery plate). On the left forelimb, a skin incision was made with a surgical blade along the humerus. The subcutaneous fat tissue was pushed aside by blunt dissection thus exposing the median nerve. The wound was kept open with the aid of small retractors.

The median nerve was exposed along its way from axilla to elbow and 2–5 mm more into distal direction. It could then be securely transected with a single microscissor cut using the neighbouring olecranon as a landmark (Figure 2a,b). Sterile scale paper was used to exactly measure the gap length created by transection and the length and location of the implanted nerve graft (Figure 2b).

For nerve autotransplantation, a 2.6-cm median nerve segment was excised from the distal transection site into proximal direction. Therefore, the nerve was separated from the distal muscular branch of the musculocutaneous nerve. Before re-sutured, the nerve segment was reversed (distal–proximal). Two epineurial sutures (10-0 or 9-0) were placed 180° apart, each at the proximal and distal coaptation sites. Each suture was placed by passing first the needle through the proximal nerve end (out-in, exit underneath the epi-perineurium at the cross-section of the nerve fascicle), then through the epi-perineurium of the distal nerve end (in-out) and then knotted for loosely bringing the two nerve ends together (Figure 2c).

**FIGURE 1** (a) Medial aspect of the left forelimb: after dissection of the cutaneous trunci muscle and the pectoral muscles, the course of the median nerve can be followed from its bifurcation with the ulnar nerve down to the cranio-medial elbow. (b, c) Medial aspect of the right forelimb: after the median nerve passed the elbow, its first muscle branch exits to innervate the flexor carpi radialis muscle. This muscle branch travels below the pronator teres muscle. (d) Medial aspect of the left forelimb: the median nerve can easily be exposed along a distance of 2.5–3 cm in order to apply nerve transection injury and long nerve gap repair [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
For nerve conduit repair, 2 cm of the proximal median nerve end have been removed prior to implantation of a 3-cm transparent chitosan guide. The nerve guide was sutured in an out-in/in-out fashion with a single epineurial suture at each ending. The sutures pulled the nerve ends straight into the lumen of the conduit and exact tube-site placement of the sutures ensured production of 2.6-cm gap defects between the nerve ends. The proximal nerve end was sutured with exclusion of the distal muscular branch of the musculocutaneous nerve. After both nerve ends had been sutured, sterile NaCl solution was injected into the nerve conduit (e.g., using a 27G × 1/2" needle) to avoid blood clot formation inside the hollow lumen of the nerve guide (Figure 2d).

After nerve reconstruction was finished, the area was flushed with cold saline solution. Finally, the repaired nerve was covered with the fat tissue flap placed aside at the beginning of the surgery, and the skin was closed with 4-0 to 3-0 sutures. The wound was disinfected and covered with collagen spray.

When the animal was still anaesthetized, an Elizabethan collar was put to prevent events of immediate licking and suture removal for up to 7–10 days after surgery. During this time, the animals and their wounds were visited 2–3 times daily.

It has to be considered that the anatomy of the rabbits' paw does provide thenar muscles for analysing palmar muscle reinnervation by electrodiagnostical recordings. We therefore decided to record evoked compound muscle action potentials (CMAPs) from the flexor carpi radialis muscle in the lower forelimb of the rabbits turned out to be vulnerable to co-stimulation of neighbouring muscles. The conclusion was drawn from the fact that although clearly depicting a dramatic difference in the calculated mean amplitude heights between the reconstructed and the healthy contralateral side, transcutaneous recordings always displayed evocable CMAP signals. And this was also the case when the following exploration of an implanted nerve conduit revealed that the nerve did not regenerate the graft. The transcutaneous approach had therefore to be judged as biased (high risk for false positivity). For demonstrating that end-point analysis with direct nerve stimulation and recording from the exposed muscle at the end of the observation time would at least be feasible, we tried the same in a single animal with a nerve autograft.

For end-point CMAP recording, the animal was anaesthetized (as above) and placed in the same position as for the surgery on a heating pad to stabilize the body temperature.

A ground needle electrode was placed subcutaneously at the lateral side of the trunk of the animal. The flexor carpi radialis muscle was exposed as was the muscular branch of the median nerve innervating the same muscle, and a monopolar needle electrode was inserted into the belly of the muscle. The reference needle electrode was placed into the tip of one digit of the same paw. The median nerve was stimulated with single electrical pulses (100-μs duration and supramaximal intensity) delivered by a steel hook electrode proximal to the injury. Co-stimulation of the ulnar nerve was avoided by shielding it with plastic pads.

The CMAPs were recorded and displayed in the oscilloscope of an EMG machine (Dantec Keypoint Portable System, Natus Europe). Electrical stimuli of 100-μs duration were delivered with progressively
increasing intensity (starting with 1 mA and increasing in increments of about 2 mA) until a maximal amplitude CMAP is obtained, which does not increase with further stimulation (until about 30% over the stimulation that elicits the maximal CMAP). The filters for recording were set at 2 Hz and 2 kHz. Control values were recorded from the right unoperated forelimb.

When analysing the amplitude height of the recorded CMAPs upon stimulation of the reconstructed and the healthy contralateral nerves, clear differences should be detectable depending on the success rate of nerve regeneration.

Our example recordings from an animal of the autograft group at 4 months after surgery revealed a mean amplitude height of 35.86 mV (±0.52 standard deviation) on the contralateral healthy side. On the reconstructed side, the values were still dramatically reduced 4 months after surgery (10.34 ± 0.37 mV). This finding was unexpected given the commonly good results reported from autograft groups in rats and mice. Although we were surprised by this specific finding, it is very clear that it may represent a unique situation, and we do not propose that nerve autograft repair in rabbits is generally less effective than in other rodent models.

Overall, from our attempt to use the rabbit median nerve as a challenging gap length model for nerve graft evaluation, we had to conclude that the model has serious limitations. (1) Although we did not observe automutilation behaviour affecting the paws, we still observed loosening of the skin sutures whenever the animals had access to the suture site for more than a few minutes. The animals started to clean themselves and to remove the sutures. (2) Furthermore, we observed disconnection of the nerve guides from the single epineurial sutures that had been applied during surgery. To be more specific, upon exploration 4 months after surgery, we regularly found the distal nerve end not to be in contact to the distal end of the nerve guide, nerve guides that did not contain regrown nerve tissue and indication of neuroma formation at the proximal nerve end that was still hold in place by the single epineurial suture. Since this was never observed in our previous rat or mouse studies, this had to be attributed to the fact that the movement of the rabbit puts too much sudden tension on the median nerve when reconstructed with a nerve guide. For autograft repair, we did not observe a disconnection of the nerve sutures. (3) It has to be considered that functional evaluation, by means of electrodiagnostic recordings, could only be performed as end-point measurements. The anatomy of the rabbit forelimb does not allow for reliable transcutaneous stimulation of the median nerve alone, and co-stimulation of the neighbouring ulnar nerve gives serious bias to the results. (4) Repetitive anaesthesia is also an issue; in our hands, the rabbits showed some habituation effect after the initial anaesthesia, resulting in the need to increase dosage and reapplication of anaesthetics for consecutive anaesthesia, sometimes even above the tolerated maximum. In conclusion of the discouraging experiences we report here, we would not recommend using the rabbit as an animal model for median nerve injury and repair in biomedical research. On the other hand, there may be potential to avoid some of the difficulties we have experienced. (1) An option for avoiding self-removal of the sutures used for skin closure, for example, may be the application of metal clips for wound closure. When applying these, however, one should consider that the skin of the rabbit at the medial part of the forelimb is rather thin and any attempt to get rid of the clips may cause even worse scenarios. (2) Nerve guides with an improved elasticity that is more similar to that of the natural nerve would eventually not be disconnected as easy as our chitosan nerve guides from the distal nerve ends. Therefore, for analysing other nerve guide materials, the model may still be considered. In the contrary, the material considered for testing should have undergone comprehensive testing of tensile strength and elasticity for making sure that the guides will not be stretched, ruptured or distorted during the jerky movement of the rabbit forelimb. (3) We did not consider the evaluation of any mathematical way to isolate the false-positive CMAP signals derived from unwanted transcutaneous ulnar nerve stimulation and neighbouring muscle activity. If this would be feasible, repetitive non-invasive evaluation of electrodiagnostically detectable nerve recovery would be worth another consideration. From our observations, however, the efforts and ethical concerns for setting an adequate standard for this technique, getting this realized have to be carefully ranked against the benefit the model could then provide for translational pre-clinical research. (4) Our ethically approved anaesthetic regime was not appropriate for repetitive anaesthesia but other regimes, e.g., inhalation anaesthesia, may better qualify.

Overall, we do not want to generalize our experiences in a way that fully negates a scientific value of the rabbit median nerve injury and repair model, but our usage of the same clearly showed discouraging outcome. We hope that the readership finds our case study helpful in order to avoid wasting time and animal lives with a model of low translational power for specific questions.
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