A Point Prevalence Survey of Antimicrobial Prescribing in a South Indian Tertiary Hospital; Using Global PPS Tool
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Abstract

Identifying the targets for improving antimicrobial prescription and development of antimicrobial stewardship interventions can better serve to combat the situation of antimicrobial resistance. The primary objective was to determine the prevalence of antimicrobial use at tertiary care teaching hospital with both acute and long-term care patients. A periodical point prevalence survey was done in a tertiary care hospital of South India during 2019 using manual and web-based GLOBAL-PPS tool. Of 945 patients eligible for the study, 645 (69.5 %) received at least one antibiotic, with highest rates in the adult surgical ward and pediatrics. Of 645 therapeutic antibiotic prescriptions, 58.6% prescriptions have an infectious indication of them, 62.6% are community-acquired infections. Third-generation cephalosporins were the most prescribed antibiotics. The prevalence of antibiotic use is very high, and our study evidence that the country needs a robust antimicrobial stewardship intervention program.
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1. Introduction

Antimicrobial drugs have revolutionized the treatment of infectious diseases, becoming the cornerstone of therapy for infectious diseases to reduce morbidity and mortality⁹. However, there is increasing Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) as a result of their overuse, which has become a serious problem worldwide⁹. India is the world’s largest consumer of antibiotics for human health, which was reported 12.9 x 10⁹ units (10.7 units per person) in 2010⁹. The Indian Government has issued a National Policy for Containment of Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) to promote surveillance on antimicrobial use in the community and hospitals settings across the country. Data about the quantity and quality of antimicrobial prescribing constitute the cornerstone for guiding antimicrobial stewardship (ASP’s) interventions⁹. India has implemented certain measures to control irrational antimicrobial use; “red strip labelling of antimicrobial packages” which indicates the drug should not be dispensed without a legal prescription⁹.

Antimicrobial consumption data of 65 countries presented in the WHO report for 2015-18, found wide discrepancies in consumption rates between countries. However, no countries from south-east Asia, including India, have submitted their report while efforts are initiated and ongoing in such countries with the national surveillance programs. Initial reports from India stated a very high level of consumption of third-generation cephalosporins in all its states. The WHO reported India as one of the countries for high irrational antimicrobial
use and inadequate surveillance and high rates of drug resistance⁶.

Point Prevalence Survey (PPS) in a structured qualitative assessment of Antimicrobial consumption at a given point of time. It is a feasible method to access data on antimicrobial use and helps to target improvement in the quality of antimicrobial prescribing and establish interventions for better ASH. Periodical PPS serve better ASH and assist in the fight against AMR⁷. PPS is a based stewardship tool in a few developed countries, but in other countries across the globe, clinicians have just begun to understand and explore how to use it⁸-⁹. In India, very few Point prevalent surveys of antibiotic use were reported, and most of them are registered in pediatrics⁷-¹². To our knowledge; our present study is probably the first from Andhra Pradesh state of South India. The data gives an insight into the pattern of antimicrobial use and help in improvements.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Settings and Design

Global PPS method was adopted for the present point prevalence survey on antibiotic use at a tertiary care hospital. Point prevalence study (PPS) carried out periodically during January, May, August and December 2019 in a tertiary care hospital from Andhra Pradesh state of South India. It is a private multispecialty hospital (300 beds) located in the of Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh province in South India. The present PPS study was designed and conducted using a structured web-based Global-PPS tool. The study tools were prepared based on point prevalence survey methodology on antibiotic use in hospitals from WHO- version 1.1 as a reference tool¹³,¹⁴.

The Institutional ethical committee at Andhra Hospitals initially approved the study proposal. The study is a non-experimental surveillance study with minimal risk; however, written informed consent was obtained from the patient or legal guardian under International Conference on Harmonization-Good Clinical Practice (ICH GCP) guidelines.

2.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

In the hospital, all the in-patients admitted at 8 am receiving at least one antimicrobial, for at least one clinical condition, prophylaxis on the day of the survey was included for the study. A patient receiving an antibiotic, e.g., every 48 hours but not receiving this antibiotic on the survey day was considered ongoing antimicrobial treatment. New-born healthy children on a maternity ward receiving antibiotics were encoded as a Neonatal Medical Ward (NMW) and included in the study. Surgical ward was surveyed on the day following the day when most elective surgical interventions usually take place or have been planned. Data was not collected from the out patients, patients discharged before 8 o’clock and admitted with intervention after that study time are excluded from the study.

2.3 Sample Size

The estimated sample size necessary for the study was obtained using sample size calculator as 377, where ‘p’ was assumed to be 50%, allowable error ‘e’ was 5% and ‘z’ being the standard normal deviate with a value of 1.96¹⁵. To conduct any drug utilization studies, a sample size of at least 100 subjects was suggested by the world health organization (WHO)¹⁶. However in our study, a sample size of 645 was evaluated to obtain the outcomes.

2.4 Data Collection

Data of antibiotic use patterns were collected from the hospital on a single day following the ESAC and Global-PPS audit tool guidelines, also known as PPS tool¹⁷. A well-structured data collection forms and definitions of various variables are available at Global-PPS website; ward data collection form and patient data collection form were primarily used for the survey. Antimicrobials were classified using the World Health Organization’s (WHO) Anatomic Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification¹⁶. The ATC groups included as antimicrobial are J01 (Antibacterial for systemic use), J02 (Antimitotics), J04A (Anti-tubercular drugs), A07AA (Antibiotics for intestinal infections), D01BA (Antifungals), J05 (Antiviral's), P01AB (Antiprotozoals) and P01B (antimalarials).

Additional quality indicators included; the documented indication before the initiation of treatment; the compliance of prescription to the standard guidelines on antibiotic selection; if a stop or review information entered in the notes; prophylaxis, empiric or targeted treatment (based on microbiological data); the use of a biomarker of infection (e.g., C reactive protein) to inform antibiotic treatment was noted. Further information...
on the definitions used in the Global-PPS protocol is available online. In the first three months, the data from data collection forms were verified before entering into a validation form. Analysis of data was performed using SPSS version 16.0 software. In the month of December, our hospital got registered in Global-PPS web-based application system, where the present participating hospital data is being freely entered. The Global –PPS website team performed statistical analysis for the month of December and generated a report on complete antimicrobial use at our hospital during the respective period. The data from this report was pooled and utilized for further analysis.

3. Results

Out of 945 patients admitted with various indications in the hospital during survey days, 645 (69.5%) received at least one antibiotic on the day of PPS. The highest antimicrobial use was evident in the adult surgical ward (78.8%) pediatric (61.1%) and neonatal medical wards (64%) (Table 1).

Table 1. Overall antimicrobial prevalence

| Antimicrobial prevalence in the medical wards |  |
|---------------------------------------------|---|
| No. of hospitalised patients | Total (N) | Treated patients (%) |
| 945 | 645 (69.5) |

| AMW = Adult Medical Wards | 455 | 330 (72.5) |
| P−AMW = Pneumology AMW | 40 | 40 (100) |
| ASW = Adult Surgical Ward | 180 | 142 (78.8) |
| AICU = Adult Intensive Care Unit | 130 | 40 (30.7) |
| PMW = Pediatric Medical Ward | 90 | 55 (61.1) |
| NICU = Neonatal Intensive Care Unit | 50 | 50 (100) |

*Patients (N) = number of admitted adults.
Treated patients (%) = 100*(number of adults treated with at least one antimicrobial/number of admitted adults).

Of 645 therapeutic antibiotic prescriptions, 378 (58.6%) prescriptions have an infectious indication of them, 237 (62.6%) are community-acquired infections, and 37.3% are hospital-acquired infections while 267 (41.4%) prescriptions were issued for medical (28.4%), surgical (67.4%) prophylaxis and 4.1% targeted therapy. Among the top ten diagnoses for which antibiotics were prescribed gastrointestinal infections (26.9%) and bacteremia (23.5%) account for the highest rate. According to the ATC classification system, antibacterial for systemic use (J01) accounted for 82.3% (Table 2).

Table 2. General characteristics and antibiotic prescription patterns of patients surveyed

| Indications for antimicrobial prescription | N=645 | No. Of patients | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|----------------|
| Infections (n=378) (58.6%) Community-acquired infection | 237 | 62.6 |
| Hospital-acquired infection | 141 | 37.3 |
| Prophylaxis (n=267) (41.4%) Surgical prophylaxis | 180 | 67.4 |
| Medical Prophylaxis | 76 | 28.4 |
| Targeted therapy | 11 | 4.1 |

| Top Infection for which antibiotics are prescribed n=378 |
|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Bacteremia | 89 | 23.5 |
| Gastro–intestinal infections | 102 | 26.9 |
| Infection of central nervous system | 18 | 4.7 |
| Intra–abdominal sepsis | 31 | 8.2 |
| Pyrexia of unknown origin | 52 | 13.7 |
| Bone/joint infections | 36 | 9.5 |
| Fever neutropaenic patient | 16 | 4.2 |
| Pneumonia | 21 | 5.5 |
| Other | 13 | 3.4 |

| Antimicrobial prescription patterns |
|------------------------------------|
| Parenteral therapy | 531 | 82.3 |
| Multiple ATB diagnosis | 237 | 36.8 |
Third-generation cephalosporins (26.2%) were the most commonly prescribed antibiotic groups, followed by penicillins (13.5%), quinolones (9.8%). (Table 3, Figure 1). The distribution of various classes of antibiotics in medical, surgical, ICU and pediatric units, was depicted in (Figure 2). Among the different antimicrobial agents prescribed for systemic use (J01 ATC), the overall most frequently prescribed antibiotics were ceftriaxone (28.4%), meropenem (7.8%), Amikacin (7%) and pipercillin with a beta-lactamase inhibitor (6.5%) and levofloxacin (11.7%); these five antibiotics accounted for 396 (61.4%) prescriptions (Table 4) (Figure 3). Antimicrobial quality indicators presented in the hospital are shown in the (Table 5). The reason for antimicrobial use recorded for 89% medical, 100% surgical and 74.4% ICU prescriptions. The stop/review date was entered for only 26 % medical and 12% surgical prescriptions. While, 43% medical, 44% surgical, 26% of ICU prescriptions are found in compliance with the hospital antimicrobial guidelines (Table 5).

4. Discussion

The present study is probably the first study describing antimicrobial use in Andhra Pradesh state in India using a point prevalence survey (Global-PPS). A PPS served as an inexpensive, convenient antimicrobial consumption surveillance system. The number of patients increased over time, as did the proportion treated with an antimicrobial. Such a trend is a challenge to the hospitals surveyed and indicates that growing efforts are needed from hospital staff. There is no debate that the rate of antimicrobial prescribing in India is high compared to

| Table 3. Overall proportion of antimicrobial agents prescribed |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
|                  | Total (%) N=645 | Medical (%)    | Surgical (%)  | ICU/ (%)        | Paediatric / NICU (%) |
| Tetracyclines (J01AA) | 4              | 3.1            | 0             | 0.9             | 0                   |
| Penicillins      | 13.5           | 6.6            | 0             | 2.4             | 4.5                 |
| J01C              | 6.3            | 3.8            | 0             | 0.9             | 1.6                 |
| J01CR             | 6.5            | 2.1            | 0             | 1.5             | 2.9                 |
| Other beta−lactams | 42.2           | 0              | 0             | 0               | 0                   |
| J01DB             | 0              | 0              | 0             | 0               | 0                   |
| J01DC             | 1.8            | 1.8            | 0             | 0               | 0                   |
| J01DD             | 26.2           | 15.2           | 3.2           | 4.4             | 3.4                 |
| J01DF             | 0.9            | 0.9            | 0             | 0               | 0                   |
| J01DH             | 8.2            | 3.2            | 0             | 3.2             | 1.8                 |
| J01FA             | 5.1            | 2.1            | 1.3           | 1.1             | 0.6                 |
| J01GB             | 7              | 2.4            | 0             | 1.3             | 3.3                 |
| J01MA             | 9.8            | 5.4            | 2             | 0.3             | 2.1                 |
| P01CA             | 5.2            | 3              | 0             | 1.8             | 0.4                 |
| Others            | 5.5            | 2.3            | 0.2           | 0               | 1.2                 |

Tetracyclines (J01AA), Penicillins with extended spectrum (J01CA), Combinations of penicillins, incl. beta−lactamase inhibitors (J01CR), Second−generation cephalosporins (J01DC), Third−generation cephalosporins (J01DD), Fourth−generation cephalosporins (J01DF), Carbapenems (J01DH), Macrolides (J01FA), Lincosamides (J01FF), Aminoglycosides (J01GB), Quinolones (J01MA), Nitroimidazole Derivatives (P01CA)
Tetracyclines (J01AA), Penicillins with extended spectrum (J01CA), Combinations of penicillins, incl. beta--lactamase inhibitors (J01CR), Second--generation cephalosporins (J01DC), Third--generation cephalosporins (J01DD), Fourth--generation cephalosporins (J01DF), Carbapenems (J01DH), Macrolides (J01FA), Lincosamides (J01FF), Aminoglycosides (J01GB), Quinolones (J01MA), Nitroimidazole Derivatives (P01CA)

Figure 1. Portion of antibiotic use in the study settings.

Figure 2. Antimicrobials prescribed by classification.

Figure 3. Top 5 most frequently used prescribed antibiotics in adults and children.

many other countries, which is a concern that requires addressing urgently.

We report a higher rate of antimicrobial use (62.6%) similar to few studies from India 51.6% & 61.5% & 64.74%7,9-10. PPS studies from various countries reported antimicrobial use; French (32.2%), Sweden (33.3%), turkey (30.6%), Jordan (46.2%), Belgian (27.1%), Brazil (52.2%), Nigeria (69.7%), Switzerland (33%) Canada (65%), Egypt (59%), Saudi (46.9%) and China (56%)19-30. However, this overall prevalence masks important regional differences: 2017 data showed that among 68 hospitals in East and South Asia the prevalence of antimicrobial use was 48.2%, compared with 29.6% in 106 European hospitals. There have been few previous studies in India. However, our data are comparable to a study in Eastern India, which reported antimicrobial use prevalence of 62% in 2014 and 69.1% in 201737. From (figure 2), the highest prevalence of antibiotic use in the present study was in surgical and pediatrics while it was in Gynecology ward reported in a similar study done at south India37.

In our study, a significant portion of antibiotics was mostly used for the treatment of community-acquired infections (62.6%), and these results are similar to studies in Nigeria and Sweden and to two other studies conducted in India, which reports community acquired infections (CAI) as an indication with the highest prevalence of antimicrobial use7,12. The clinical sign documented for the treatment with antimicrobials is vital to evaluate compliance with national antimicrobial treatment guide lines (ICMR Guidelines).

On reviewing empirical antimicrobial therapies with CAI, the two most common antimicrobials were third generation cephalosporins (26.2%) (Table 3) which is similar to two other Indian studies7,11. The similar widespread use of cephalosporins was reported in Turkey, Jordan, Brazil, Nigeria and Saudi. However, in European countries, wide use of Fluoroquinolones in French and Belgian, Amoxicillin/Clavulanic acid combination in Northern Ireland and Switzerland were reported19-28. Guidelines recommend the use of third-generation Cephalosporins only when first-line agents are ineffective31. Hence this study identified an opportunity to improve antimicrobial use by prescribing first-line drugs for hospitalized CAI patients.

Some poor-prescribing practices such as indications for the therapy and low documentation rate of stop or review dates to guide the scheduled antimicrobial therapy may result in healthcare staff administering medication…
5. Conclusion

This study indicated a high rate of antibiotic prescription with increased usage of third-generation Cephalosporin's. These findings suggest critical areas for interventions and propose implementation of antimicrobial stewardship policies in the present study hospital. The current survey was in a singles institute, and we further suggest such periodical surveys in multiple institutions across the state for the development of strong antibiotic policies. Our study evidence the country needs strong antimicrobial stewardship intervention program.
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