Strong anion-exchange fast performance liquid chromatography as a versatile tool for preparation and purification of RNA produced by in vitro transcription
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ABSTRACT

Here we demonstrate the use of strong anion-exchange fast performance liquid chromatography (FPLC) as a simple, fast, and robust method for RNA production by in vitro transcription. With this technique, we have purified different transcription templates from unreacted reagents in large quantities. The same buffer system could be used to readily remove nuclease contamination from the overexpressed pyrophosphatase, the important reagent for in vitro transcription. In addition, the method can be used to monitor in vitro transcription reactions to enable facile optimization of reaction conditions, and we have compared the separation performance between strong and weak anion-exchange FPLC for various transcribed RNAs, including the Diels-Alder ribozyme, the hammerhead ribozyme tRNA, and 4.5S RNA. The functionality of the purified tRNA has been confirmed by the aminoacylation assay. Only the purification by strong anion-exchange FPLC has led to the enrichment of the functional tRNA from run-off transcripts as revealed by both enzymatic and electrophoretic analysis.

INTRODUCTION

Although the existence of RNA in cells has been well known, the discovery of catalytic RNA, RNA interference, long non-coding RNA, and the crystal structure of the ribosome have advanced the overall RNA paradigm recently. RNA was originally thought to be a scaffold molecule for proteins or a molecular intermediary for information transfer from DNA to proteins; however, current models show that RNA actively participates in the regulation of cellular processes (Cech and Bass 1986; Lilley 2003). RNA-related research usually requires pure RNA for structural and biochemical studies. For RNA generation, in vitro transcription is often the method of choice rather than overexpression, due to the difficulties in maintaining the stability of RNA produced within the cells, although protocols trying to overcome this issue have been developed with some success (Ponchon and Dardel 2011). Purification of the transcription products can be hazardous and tedious, and often requires multiple phenol:chloroform extractions and polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) (Hou and Schimmel 1988; Wyatt et al. 1991). Furthermore, ethanol-precipitated RNA can form aggregates (Uhlenbeck 1995) and is often contaminated with acrylamide oligomers (Lukavsky and Puglisi 2004).

Different chromatographic techniques have been used to purify cellular RNAs. In particular, anion-exchange chromatography has been reported in tRNA purification from total cellular RNA (von Ehrenstein 1967) and in the purification of overexpressed tRNA for crystallographic studies (Perona et al. 1988). With the advent of in vitro transcription and the emerging biological significance of short RNA molecules (<40 nt), high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) has been applied to purify short RNA transcripts for NMR structural studies (Anderson et al. 1996). The addition of the hammerhead ribozyme into the sequence has allowed workers to overcome the length limitation of short RNAs in HPLC purification (Shields et al. 1999).

Affinity purification using a tag attached to the 3' end of the target RNA has been developed by Kieft and Batey (2004). The principle includes immobilization based on a protein–RNA
interaction (between the signal recognition particle [SRP] RNA and the RNA-binding domain of the SRP protein from *Tetrahymena thermophila*) followed by cleavage with a cis-acting mutated ribozyme from the hepatitis delta virus. This method has been subsequently improved by replacing the protein–RNA interaction by the interaction between the Histagged maltose-binding protein–MS2 coat fusion protein (MBP-MS2) with the corresponding binding tag coupled to the *glmS* ribozyme, which is readily activated by glucosamine-6-phosphate (Batey and Kieft 2007; Keel et al. 2009). A similar technique has been reported recently, in which the glutathione-Sepharose interaction with glutathione-S-transferase fused with a λN peptide has been exploited to bind BoxB RNA to bacteriophage λ (Di Tomasso et al. 2011).

However, purification techniques that involve ribozyme cleavage (Price et al. 1995; Ferré-D’Amaré and Doudna 1996) are not always suitable. According to Sherlin et al. (2001), the attached ribozyme may form alternative structures with the desired RNA and thus disrupt the correct folding of the ribozyme, with its self-cleaving power disabled. A different approach with size exclusion chromatography to purify RNA transcripts has also been developed by Lukavsky and Puglisi (2004). This technique could remove unreacted nucleotides, enzymes, short abortive transcripts, oligomeric RNAs, RNA aggregates, and plasmids from the desired full-length monomeric transcript (McKenna et al. 2007). Finally, Easton et al. (2010) has also recently shown that RNA transcription reaction mixtures could be directly purified by weak anion-exchange FPLC to remove free nucleotides, short abortive transcripts, linearized plasmids, and enzymes from the desirable transcripts within 4 h.

While cotranslational protein-folding studies and nascent chain fluorescent labeling are the main focus in our laboratory, we are interested in the production of engineered RNA*59s*, which is a critical material in generating fluorescent macromolecules. In this study, we show the generality of using strong anion-exchange (Mono Q) FPLC for transcript purification and in the studies of transcription reactions. Short linear templates as well as nuclease-free pyrophosphatase, an important enzyme used for in vitro transcription (Rupert and Ferré-D’Amaré 2004), have been obtained/purified in high yields. The applicability of FPLC as an alternative tool to conventional PAGE to follow transcription reactions is demonstrated here. By directly applying the transcription reaction mixture on to the column, unreacted nNTPs (offering the possibility of recycling), short abortive transcripts, and the desired transcript can be readily separated from the template DNA. We have successfully applied this method to a variety of RNAs with different chain lengths, including RNA*59s*, ribozyme transcripts, and 4.5S RNA transcripts. The enrichment of biofunctional transcripts has also been accomplished due to its high separating power as confirmed by the aminoclyation assay. Finally, as part of the study, we have compared the performance of this method with that of weak anion-exchange FPLC.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preparation of nuclease-free pyrophosphatase

The preparation of enzymes is routine for large-scale reactions. Typical enzymes for RNA research include T7 RNA polymerase (He et al. 1997) and aminoclyases (Rodríguez-Hernández and Perona 2011). Pyrophosphatase is an important enzyme for in vitro transcription (Rupert and Ferré-D’Amaré 2004; Easton et al. 2010) and aminoclyation (Lin et al. 2012). Although pyrophosphatase is commercially available, this enzyme can be readily prepared in two steps. Nickel affinity purification can be used after attaching a Histag to the C-terminus. Unfortunately, nuclease impurities are still present, because DNA and RNA are continually being degraded after 12 h of incubation with pyrophosphatase (Fig. 1A,B). Moreover, subsequent purification with strong anion-exchange Mono Q FPLC yields many peaks (Fig. 1C), as evidenced by the UV absorbance at 280 nm. The functional pyrophosphatase has a hexameric structure, and the multiple species arise from various association states of the enzyme in solution. Since the contaminating nucleases may exist only in trace amounts hidden below the signal of pyrophosphatase, we have tested each fraction for DNase contamination (Fig. 1D). The fractions considered free of DNase according to this analysis are then evaluated for RNase activity (Fig. 1E). The combined fractions eluted at higher ionic strengths show negligible nuclease activities (Lane B in Fig. 1F,G).

The specific activity for the combined fractions with no detectable nuclease contamination has been evaluated by the malachite green assay as 5 units/µL. The total enzyme yield from a single 200-ml culture overexpression exceeded 5000 units. Thus, this procedure can provide ample amounts of nuclease-free pyrophosphatase suitable for large-scale RNA preparations, where cost is a serious issue (McKenna et al. 2007).

Preparation of transcription templates

One of the key aspects of transcription reactions is the quality and quantity of the template. Linearized plasmids are a common choice for the ease of amplification and quality control (Hou et al. 1993; Kim et al. 2007; Keel et al. 2009; Pikovskaya et al. 2009; Di Tomasso et al. 2011). Other linear templates such as PCR reaction products or DNA synthesized by refilling of overhanging nucleotides by DNA polymerase I (Sherlin et al. 2001) are sometimes preferred because such templates can contain modified nucleotides (Kao et al. 1999). Typical procedures for the purification of short linear templates involve purification kits or precipitation of nucleic acids in ethanol. However, usual purification kits are generally limited by the base-pair cutoff (usually 70–100 bp, depending on the manufacturer) and relatively low binding capacity (usually 10–20 µg of DNA). On the other hand, ethanol precipitation may precipitate unreacted primers and fragments.
formed in the reaction. This may interfere with the following transcription reaction(s), especially if the nucleotide with the strong promoter can form a hairpin at the 3' end to potentially serve as a polymerase primer for making dsDNA.

Our initial attempts to produce transcription templates using oligonucleotide extension with Klenow fragments involve purification using a commercial purification kit, which leads to a pure template (Fig. 2A). However, yields do not exceed 6 µg per column. As such, the purification is performed using the Mono Q column. First, we determine the optimal reaction conditions by altering the cycle length and the number of cycles (Fig. 2B–E). The desired dsDNA product is expected to bind the column tightly and require high ionic strength for elution. Fifty-five-nucleotide ssDNA reagents are expected to be eluted by lower ionic strengths. With the optimized temperature program (Fig. 2E), the reaction volume is scaled up to 500 µL. Importantly, Mono Q FPLC could purify 100 bp of DNA from ∼55-base nucleotides and unreacted dNTPs (Fig. 2F).

The fractions 8 with 9 and 10 with 11 (Fig. 2F) are separately combined, precipitated, and redissolved in 40 µL of TE buffer, and analyzed with agarose electrophoresis. The combined fractions 8 with 9 contain mostly the unreacted oligonucleotides (Fig. 2G). According to the UV absorbance at 260 nm, the concentration of the combined fractions 8 with 9 is 250 ng/µL, and the concentration of the combined fractions 10 with 11 is 1350 ng/µL. The total yield of the purified DNA template exceeds 50 µg.

Monitoring RNA transcription optimization

High yields of RNA transcripts often require optimization for each reaction (e.g., concentration of Mg²⁺, rNTPs, template). To optimize RNA production, a procedure for facile screening of transcription conditions has been developed. To determine the sensitivity of this method, several dilutions of our stock RNA transcript mixed each with 500 pmol of (TG)₆ oligonucleotide are prepared. The sample is applied on to the strong anion-exchange Mono Q column preequilibrated in 20 mM HEPES and 0.32 M NaCl (pH 7.5), and the sample is eluted by a linear gradient of the B buffer. We find that 78 ng of RNA could not be distinguished from the background noise, whereas 275 ng of RNA is above the limit of detection (Fig. 3A). The signal is linearly proportional to the amount of RNA applied to the column (R² = 0.99) (Fig. 3B), suggesting that Mono Q FPLC is a suitable alternative to determine RNA transcription conditions accurately. Accordingly, we have used the strong anion-exchange Mono Q FPLC to evaluate the RNA produced by in vitro transcription under different conditions.

Several variables are tested including concentration of rNTPs, MgCl₂, dithiotreitol (DTT), pyrophosphatase, and single-strand binding protein (SSB). It is apparent that the concentration of MgCl₂ and rNTPs (Fig. 3C,D) has more influence on the transcription yield compared with the other components, which is consistent with previously published reports (Sherlin et al. 2001; McKenna et al. 2007; Easton et al. 2010). Importantly, the need to optimize each batch of
reagents (McKenna et al. 2007) makes strong anion-exchange FPLC an alternative to standard screening procedures. A typical screening is performed in 20–25 µL (Easton et al. 2010), which is sufficient and convenient for the Mono Q FPLC system.

The performance of FPLC analysis makes it a compelling method for cases in which only a limited number of conditions are screened if time is a major concern. With larger amounts of screening reactions, electrophoresis is less time demanding because it allows multiple sample analysis. Nevertheless, an additional advantage of FPLC in the screening is that it circumvents the use of potentially hazardous chemicals that are typically employed for polyacrylamide gel preparation and nucleic acid staining. The digital output of the UV absorption signal is also more convenient for data quantification. In the end, the PAGE analysis should still be the preferred method for setting up a new transcription reaction when multiple variables must be adjusted to optimize the reaction conditions. However, the advantages of the FPLC analysis are apparent for routine checks of reagent batches (such as the template or the polymerase).

**Purification of transcription products including tRNA, ribozyme, and 4.5S RNA transcripts**

To purify the desired RNA transcripts from unreacted rNTPs, DNA template, and abortive short fragments, we have also resorted to strong anion-exchange Mono Q FPLC. When a steep elution gradient of the B buffer is applied to the FPLC column (increment of 50 mM NaCl per column volume [CV]), numerous peaks with absorbance at 254 nm are observed (Fig. 4A). Some of these peaks correspond to free nucleotides and others are short abortive transcripts. Importantly, the desired 74-nt-long RNA transcript (tRNACys) is fully separated from the free nucleotides and the short abortive transcripts, although still not fully separated from the template peak.

When a shallower gradient is applied (25 mM NaCl per CV) to the column preequilibrated with 20 mM HEPES, 0.32 M NaCl, pH 7.5 (30% B buffer), free nucleotides are eluted in the void volume, and the separation of the desired tRNA transcript from the remaining template was greatly improved (Fig. 4B). The remaining template could be recycled as described for linearized plasmids (Jahn et al. 1991). We
believe that the non-Gaussian distribution of the transcript peak is caused by the presence of runoff transcripts in the product. The identity of the transcript is confirmed by denaturing acetate–urea PAGE based on its size (Fig. 4C), and by an aminoacylation assay that shows an acceptor activity of the eluted RNA for cysteine in the presence of ATP and cysteinyl-tRNA-synthetase measured as 35S-cysteine containing tri-chloroacetic acid (TCA) precipitates retained on the 3MM filter. We confirm the identity of the fraction 17b as the template DNA by the TBE agarose gel electrophoresis after incubation with DNase I (Fig. 4D).

To further test the ability of the strong anion-exchange column in separating the runoff transcripts from the active product, two different templates are transcribed; one contains 2′-O-methyl-nucleotides at the 5′ end of the complementary strand (Fig. 4E) and the other encoding for only standard 2′-deoxynucleotides (Fig. 4F). An unmodified template is known to produce high amounts of the runoff transcripts, while 2′-O-methylation of the last two nucleotides in the template can significantly reduce the 3′-RNA heterogeneity (Kao et al. 1999; Sherlin et al. 2001). Surprisingly, the difference between transcripts of the template containing 2′-O-methyl nucleotides and transcripts of the template containing 2′-deoxynucleotides turns out to be remarkable, because we have expected only minor differences in the shapes of the eluted transcript peaks. Each fraction of the RNA transcribed from the template without modification (Fig. 4F) is tested for the cysteine acceptor activity. The fractions 13f and 14f show twofold to threefold higher cysteine acceptor activity per absorbance unit at 260 nm than the fractions 12f and 15f. This result is consistent with the distribution of the major peak in Figure 4E, which is obtained by the transcription of the template with the modified nucleotides to reduce the runoff transcripts. The overlay of the two chromatograms is depicted in Figure 4G. To compare this method with weak anion-exchange chromatography, we have purified...
FIGURE 4. Purification of the transcription reaction and the impact of different gradient slopes on observed peaks. (A) Different nucleotides can be distinguished from each other if eluted with a steep gradient starting at 20 mM NaCl concentration. (B) Starting at 30% B Buffer allows better separation of the transcription products, including full separation of the transcribed tRNA (fractions 13b and 14b) from the short template (Fraction 17b). (C) Visualization of the collected fractions from A and B on 15% NaOAc polyacrylamide gel (pH 5.0) with SybrGreen. While the fractions 9a and 10a cannot be visualized on gel, the pooled fractions 6b and 7b clearly show to contain short abortive transcripts (bands below 50-nt marker). (D) The identity of the fraction 17b is confirmed on a 2% agarose gel. Incubation of small RNAs is done in the same manner to highlight the difference. (E) A shallow gradient elution profile of the reaction transcribed from the modified template (2′-O-methyl modifications on the 5′ end of the complementary strand) and (F) from the template without the modifications. The fractions 12f, 13f, 14f, and 15f are collected, precipitated, and, after refolding, their aminoacylation yield is measured. The aminoacylation yield distribution is similar to G, which is the overlay of E and F chromatograms with the maximum in the fractions 13 and 14. (H) The tRNA transcription reaction from the template without the modified nucleotides purified on DEAE Sepharose shows a Gaussian peak distribution. The analysis on 12% TBE–urea PAGE revealed (I) an accumulation of the full-length transcript when the reaction is purified on the Mono Q column (J), but this accumulation is not observed in the fractions collected from the DEAE–Sepharose-purified transcript.
the transcription reaction using the unmodified template on DEAE Sepharose (Fig. 4H). Denaturing electrophoresis of the Mono Q–purified transcription reaction shows intensive bands of the full-length transcript in the fractions 13f and 14f, while a lower content of the full-length transcript could be observed in the fractions 12f and 15f (Fig. 4I). The fractions obtained from DEAE Sepharose do not show any enrichment of the full-length transcript, as judged by the band intensity on the denaturing electrophoresis (Fig. 4J).

Although full separation of the transcription products from the runoff transcripts remains difficult, the enrichment of the transcript with acceptor activity offers promise for further development of the strong-anion exchange resin for the chromatographic separation of transcription products. Flanagan et al. (2003) have mentioned that strong anion-exchange chromatography allows certain separation of the acceptor active tRNA transcripts from the inactive transcripts; however, no details have been published. Similarly, Gubbens et al. (2010) have measured the acceptor activity of wild-type (WT) tRNA\textsuperscript{Cys}, produced from linearized plasmids and purified on the Mono Q column, to be 480 pmol per unit of absorbance at 260 nm corresponding to ~30% of the theoretical aminoacylation yield. Our aminoacylation yields for tRNA\textsuperscript{Cys} after refolding are mostly between 25% and 35% for tRNA\textsuperscript{Cys} derived from \textit{Escherichia coli} (non-refolded tRNA could be aminoacylated up to 15% of the theoretical yield) and up to 25% for tRNA\textsuperscript{Cys} derived from \textit{Bacillus subtilis}. A typical aminoacylation yields for tRNA\textsuperscript{Cys} from \textit{E. coli} transcribed using the 2'-O-methyl-modified template and purified by PAGE are 60%–70% (Hauenstein et al. 2004).

To further characterize the differences between strong anion-exchange chromatography (Mono Q) and weak anion-exchange chromatography (DEAE Sepharose), we have transcribed several other RNAs, with different lengths and conformations including the Diels-Alder ribozyme (Seeleg and Jäschke 1999), the hammerhead ribozyme (Avis et al. 2012), and 4.58 RNA (Gu et al. 2005). Each transcription reaction (600 µL) has been divided into two aliquots and purified on Mono Q or DEAE Sepharose; the collected fractions are pooled and analyzed on denaturing acetate–urea PAGE (Fig. 5).

In general, all transcripts have been eluted under similar conditions. For the strong anion-exchange column, a gradient from 0.46 to 0.62 M NaCl over 25 CV is used; the weak anion-exchange column requires a gradient from 0.26 to 0.42 M NaCl over 25 CV. In the case of the Diels-Alder ribozyme transcript (28 nt) (Fig. 5A–C) and the tRNA transcript (74 nt) (Fig. 5G–I), purification by the Mono Q column and DEAE Sepharose yields a single transcription product. In the transcription reactions with multiple detectable bands on denaturing PAGE (Fig. 5D–F and J–L), the desirable product is identified by its size on the gel. The DNA band has been identified by incubation with RNase A (data not shown). One additional RNA product is also observed in the hammerhead ribozyme transcription reaction (Fig. 5D–F).

Easton et al. (2010) have promoted DEAE Sepharose as a rapid nondenaturing purification method for the purification of properly folded RNA transcripts. Our aminoacylation data on tRNA acceptor activity suggest that purification on a strong anion-exchange column may interfere with the RNA conformation, possibly due to the higher ionic strength required for the transcript elution. Unlike size exclusion chromatography (Lukavsky and Puglisi 2004; McKenna et al. 2007), both weak and strong anion-exchange chromatography avoid phenol–chloroform extraction as well as desalting after in vitro transcription, thus minimizing the need for the sample manipulation prior to the purification. The separation of RNA on size exclusion chromatography is highly dependent on the overall transcript size and shape. McKenna et al. (2007) have found that stem–loop RNA molecules behave like proteins of fourfold to sixfold sizes. Another limitation of gel filtration chromatography is the sample loading, because there is a maximum volume of the sample that can be loaded if ideal performance is to be achieved. Anion-exchange chromatography is limited only by the ability of the column to bind a sample, regardless of the volume load. Weak anion-exchange chromatography has been reported to be suitable for purification of transcripts 30–500 nt long (Easton et al. 2010) when the method can efficiently separate RNA products from short abortive transcripts and linearized plasmid templates. Our study has shown that DEAE Sepharose may not be a suitable method when short dsDNA (e.g., PCR product) is used as a template since they tend to be coeluted with the main transcript fractions (Fig. 5F, L).

To achieve full RNA recovery, Mono Q FPLC has been reported to require multiple elutions (Pikovskaya et al. 2009). This is not observed in the present study, but the outcome could be highly dependent on the overall RNA structure and the amount loaded. Most importantly, the resolution of the Mono Q column is superior to the resolution of DEAE Sepharose, which is also noted in a comparison of the strong and weak anion-exchange monolithic columns (Romanovskaya et al. 2013). The elution profiles of the Mono Q–eluted transcripts exhibit generally a smaller peak width, while the transcripts from DEAE Sepharose are usually eluted as a broad peak mixed with the template DNA. The higher resolving power of the strong anion-exchange column is clearly evident by standard chromatographic characteristics (peak width) as well as by the aminoacylation assay that shows the enhancement of the full-length transcript.

Overall, strong anion-exchange FPLC is a powerful and universal tool in the RNA production via in vitro transcription. It can be used for the preparation of enzymes and templates as well as in routine monitoring of the transcription reaction. We have also demonstrated how the strong anion-exchange FPLC could be used to purify tRNA transcripts from unreacted nucleotides, templates, and short abortive transcripts. Importantly, fractions with a higher aminoacylation activity are obtained, suggesting good separation of the full-length RNA product from heterogeneous transcripts.
We have compared the resolving power directly with the DEAE Sepharose column of the same volume on different RNA transcripts. All tested transcripts show either better or similar resolution on the Mono Q column. Our results suggest that strong anion-exchange chromatography is superior to DEAE Sepharose in the separation of the RNA transcripts from the short oligonucleotide templates. However, the higher salt elution conditions of Mono Q FPLC do present certain disadvantages because these conditions may interfere with the RNA folding. All protocols are performed with only slight variations without the need for special buffer systems, demonstrating the robustness of strong anion-exchange FPLC. The methods described and the findings derived from this study should be valuable for RNA production by in vitro transcription.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**General**

We use strains *E. coli* DH5α and BL21 (DE3) for cloning, protein production, and a source of genomic material. Strains are cultured...
at 37°C on the standard LB agar plates or in the LB liquid medium with constant shaking (140 rpm). The oligonucleotides used in this work are all obtained from Genomics, the commercial enzymes and proteins are purchased from New England Biolabs, standard chemicals are obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, and the radioactive cysteine is purchased from PerkinElmer.

The Akta FPLC system is equipped with the strong anion binding Mono Q column 50/5 GL (GE) with a 1-mL column volume (CV) or HiTrap FF DEAE Sepharose with a 1-mL column volume. Buffer A in the FPLC system is composed of 20 mM HEPES-KOH, 20 mM NaCl (pH 7.5), and Buffer B is composed of 20 mM HEPES-KOH, 1.02 M NaCl (pH 7.5); both buffers are prepared from Diethylyricarbonate (DEPC)–treated water.

Cloning and purification of pyrophosphatase

The pyrophosphatase gene is amplified from genomic DNA of *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) using primers AGCTGTGCTATAGCTGTAAGTCAA CGTCCCTGCGGG and ATTTCTCGAGTTAGTGGTGTTGGTG TGGTTGTATTATCGCGCGGCTGAAGGAGG to introduce the encoded His-tag to the C terminus of the protein and restriction sites NdeI and Xhol, which are used for a ligation into the pET21a vector. The construct is sequenced and transformed into the production strain, i.e., *E. coli* BL21 (DE3).

The cells are grown in 200 mL of LB medium with ampicillin (100 µg/mL) at 37°C to an O.D.₆₀₀ₙ₉₀₉ of 0.6 and are induced by 0.5 mM IPTG. The induced cells are harvested after 2 h of additional incubation by centrifugation at 6000g for 10 min. Cell lysis is performed in a lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES, 250 mM NaCl at pH 7.5) by a French-press at 20,000 psi. The cell lysate is then separated into a supernatant and pellet by centrifugation at 15,000g for 30 min.

The supernatant is applied to a Sepharose Ni²⁺ column (3 mL) preequilibrated with the lysis buffer, washed with 4× the column volume (CV) of the lysis buffer with the addition of 10 mM imidazole, 3× CV of the lysis buffer with 50 mM imidazole, and eluted with 3× CV of the lysis buffer with 200 and 500 mM imidazole.

The eluted protein is found mostly in the fractions eluted with 500 mM imidazole. The buffer is exchanged on a PD-10 column (GE) to 20 mM HEPES, 20 mM NaCl (pH 7.5), and the protein is concentrated using an Amicon ultrafiltration column (MW cutoff = 3 kDa). The specific enzyme activity in 50 mM Tris–HCl and 10 mM MgCl₂ (pH 8.8) is determined using the malachite green assay (Baykov et al. 1988). The protein is incubated for 12 h at 37°C with 300 ng of pET21a plasmid DNA and 1 µg of small RNA (von Ehrenstein 1967) to determine DNase and RNase contaminations. After incubation, the DNA and RNA samples are resolved by 0.7% agarose electrophoresis for DNA and 3% agarose electrophoresis for RNA; both types of gels are stained with SYBR Green. The fractions with no detectable DNase contamination are tested for RNase contamination by incubation of 9 µL of each fraction with 500 ng of small RNA in a 10-µL reaction with 10 mM MgCl₂ for at least 12 h at 37°C. The samples are analyzed on a 3% agarose gel stained with SYBR Green. The fractions with no detectable nuclease contamination are combined together and stored in -20°C in 10 mM HEPES, 10 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTG, and 50% glycerol buffer (pH 7.5). The pyrophosphatase activity is determined by the malachite green assay. To confirm the removal of nuclease contamination, the DNase and RNase assays are performed by incubating 10 units of the pyrophosphatase with 300 ng of DNA and 500 ng of small RNA using the same conditions as previously.

Preparation of transcription templates

The tRNA transcription templates are prepared from overlapping oligonucleotides using 2′-O-methyl nucleotides on the 5′ end of the complementary strand (Sherlin et al. 2001). Our *E. coli* tRNA transcription template was described previously (Hauenstein et al. 2004) (i.e., 5′-mUmGGAGCGCCGTTCCGGAGTCTGGTGGTGGTGGTTGGTAATGCCGCTACAT and 5′-ATTCTCTGCGGAATACCGAATTACGACTCAT). The other template that does not contain the modified nucleotides is derived from the cystine tRNA gene of *B. subtilis* (5′-TGAGGCG GCACCCCCGATTGCCAACGGGATAAAGGTTTTGCGACCTC TGCCCTTA and 5′-ATTCTCTGCCGTAAATACGACTCACTCATAG CGGCATGCAAGTGG(CAGCAGAGGT)). The same template is also used with the modified nucleotides on the 5′ end of the complementary strand (i.e., 5′-mUmGGAGCGCCGACTCG AATTCCGACGGGATAAAGGTTTTGCGACCTC TGCCCTTA and 5′-ATTCTCTGCCGTAAATACGACTCACTCATAG CGGCATGCAAGTGG(CAGCAGAGGT)).

The tRNA transcription templates are prepared from overlapping oligonucleotides using 2′-O-methyl nucleotides on the 5′ end of the complementary strand (Sherlin et al. 2001). Our *E. coli* tRNA transcription template was described previously (Hauenstein et al. 2004) (i.e., 5′-mUmGGAGCGCCGTTCCGGAGTCTGGTGGTGGTGGTTGGTAATGCCGCTACAT and 5′-ATTCTCTGCGGAATACCGAATTACGACTCAT). The other template that does not contain the modified nucleotides is derived from the cystine tRNA gene of *B. subtilis* (5′-TGAGGCG GCACCCCCGATTGCCAACGGGATAAAGGTTTTGCGACCTC TGCCCTTA and 5′-ATTCTCTGCCGTAAATACGACTCACTCATAG CGGCATGCAAGTGG(CAGCAGAGGT)). The same template is also used with the modified nucleotides on the 5′ end of the complementary strand (i.e., 5′-mUmGGAGCGCCGACTCG AATTCCGACGGGATAAAGGTTTTGCGACCTC TGCCCTTA and 5′-ATTCTCTGCCGTAAATACGACTCACTCATAG CGGCATGCAAGTGG(CAGCAGAGGT)).
The transcription is usually performed in 500-µL reactions, containing 40 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM DTT, 25 units/mL pyrophosphatase, 1.6 mM each rNTPs, 2.5 µg/mL single-strand binding protein (SSB), 1000 units/mL T7 RNA polymerase, and 50 µg/mL template in a 20-µL reaction.

The screening is composed of one variable component with the rest of the components fixed. The tested MgCl₂ concentrations include 10 mM, 15 mM, 20 mM, 30 mM, and 60 mM; for DTT, 10 mM, 15 mM, and 20 mM are tested; pyrophosphatase is tested with the final activity 0 units/mL, 25 units/mL, and 75 units/mL; the rNTPs screening covers concentrations 0.4 mM, 1.6 mM, 3.2 mM, and 4.0 mM final concentration, and the reaction is also checked in the presence or absence of SSB.

The reaction time is set to 12 h, after which 530 µL of water is added and the sample is applied onto the Mono Q column equilibrated with 20 mM HEPES, 0.32 M NaCl (pH 7.5) (30% of Buffer B; buffer system is not changed) followed by a linear gradient to a final 20 mM HEPES, 0.82 M NaCl (pH 7.5) (80% of Buffer B) over 10 CV.

The elution programs include linear gradients 0.02–0.62 M NaCl, 0.32–0.82 M NaCl, and 0.46–0.62 M NaCl. The absorbance is monitored at 254 nm and 1-ml fractions are collected.

Alternatively, the transcripts are purified on a DEAE Sepharose column. The reactions are directly applied on the column equilibrated to 0.26 M NaCl, the products are eluted by a linear gradient from 0.26 to 0.42 M NaCl, and 1-ml fractions are collected.

The transcription products are analyzed by 12% or 15% NaOAc-urea PAGE (0.15 M NaOAc, 7 M urea at pH 5.5) (Varshney et al. 1991), 12% TBE-urea PAGE (89 mM Tris, 89 mM Borate, 1 mM EDTA, 7 M urea at pH 8.3), and on a 2% TBE agarose gel. Nucleic acids are stained with SYBR Green. The identity of the peak with the template DNA is confirmed with incubation with 0.25 units of DNase I for 10 min at 37°C. The aminoacylation assays are performed according to Franklyn et al. (2008) using 35S-cysteine as the labeled substrate. The aminoacylation yield is plotted as a ratio of the incorporated 35S-cysteine per absorption unit, considering 1600 pmol of 35S cysteine per 1 AU as 100% incorporation yield.
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