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Abstract

Postjunctional M2Rs on airway smooth muscle (ASM) outnumber M3Rs by a ratio of 4:1 in most species, however, it is the M3Rs that are thought to mediate the bronchoconstrictor effects of acetylcholine. In this study, we describe a novel and profound M2R-mediated hypersensitization of M3R-dependent contractions of ASM at low stimulus frequencies. Contractions induced by 2Hz EFS were augmented by > 2.5-fold when the stimulus interval was reduced from 100 to 10 s. This effect was reversed by the M2R antagonists, methoctramine, and AFDX116, and was absent in M2R null mice. The M3R antagonist 4-DAMP abolished the entire response in both WT and M2R KO mice. The M2R-mediated potentiation of EFS-induced contractions was not observed when the stimulus frequency was increased to 20 Hz. A subthreshold concentration of carbachol enhanced the amplitude of EFS-evoked contractions in WT, but not M2R null mice. These data highlight a significant M2R-mediated potentiation of M3R-dependent contractions of ASM at low frequency stimulation that could be relevant in diseases such as asthma and COPD.
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Introduction

Acetylcholine (ACh) released from parasympathetic nerves induces bronchoconstriction via activation of postjunctional muscarinic receptors (MRs) on airway smooth muscle (ASM). Elevated cholinergic tone and sensitivity to cholinergic agonists is associated with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and obstructive lung diseases. Anticholinergic agents are used in the treatment of COPD to block the M3Rs responsible for bronchoconstriction, without affecting the autoinhibitory M2Rs that would enhance ACh output. For example, the M2/M3R antagonist tiotropium was shown to have a greater effect than M3R antagonists or high nerve stimulation frequencies to induce contractions of murine ileum. Studies on small airways of M3R knockout mice showed that cholinergic responses were 60% smaller than wild-type controls, but were absent in mice that had both M2 and M3Rs knocked out, suggesting that both M2Rs and M3Rs are involved in cholinergic contractions of ASM. In particular, we examined responses induced at a low stimulus frequency (2 Hz) in isolated murine trachea that were induced by submicromolar concentrations of carbacbol (CCh). Furthermore, they also reported that the threshold concentration for the inhibitory effects of AFDX116 strongly depended on the concentration of cholinergic agonist, increasing from 0.05 to 0.2 μM when the CCh concentration was increased from 0.5 to 3 μM. Studies on C57BL/6 wild-type (WT) and B6N.129S4(Cg)-Chrm2tm1Jwe/J, (M2R knockout) mice with WT controls were performed at the same time.

Materials and Methods

Tissue Dissection

All procedures were carried out in accordance with current EU legislation and with the approval of Dundalk Institute of Technology Animal Use and Care Committee. Male and female C57BL/6 wild-type (WT) and B6N.129S4(Cg)-Chrm2tm1Jwe/J, (M2R knock out) mice aged 10–16-weeks-old were humanely killed by intraperitoneal injection of pentobarbitone and the lungs were removed and placed in oxygenated Krebs solution. M2R knock out (M2R KO) mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, Maine, USA). Homozygote M2R KOs were generated from the breeding of heterozygotes and were identified by genotyping using PCR as per JAX protocols and reagents. Confirmation that smooth muscle cells from M2R KO mice lacked M2Rs was provided by immunocytochemistry experiments, which showed that the robust immunofluorescence observed in wild-type ASM cells stained with M2R antibodies, was absent in M2R KOs (Figure S1). The bronchial tree was exposed by a sharp dissection under a microscope to remove surrounding blood vessels and lung tissue. The left and right bronchi were removed and cut into rings and placed in Krebs solution.

Isometric Tension Recordings

Rings, 1–2 mm from the right and left main bronchi were mounted in water-jacketed organ baths, perfused with warmed Krebs solution, adjusted to 5 mN tension, and equilibrated for 40 min. Isometric contractions, were recorded using a Myobath system, and data acquired using DataTrax2 software (WPI). Mean contraction amplitude was measured by averaging peak contraction amplitude of 10 electric-field stimulation (EFS)-induced contractions during each parameter, or before and during drug addition (when they had their maximal effect). Drugs were added directly to the organ bath, where they were diluted in Krebs solution to their final concentration. Transmural nerve stimulation was applied via 2 platinum electrode wires (5 mm length and 2.5 mm apart) by a MultiStim system-D330 stimulator (Digitimer Ltd, England), which delivered 1 s trains of pulses (pulse amplitude 20 V, nominal; pulse duration 0.3 ms) at frequencies of 2 to 20 Hz, at intervals of 10 or 100 s. All EFS responses were blocked by application of tetrodotoxin (100 nM; Figure S2). Experiments which compared responses in M2R KO mice with WT controls were performed at the same time.

Cell Dispersal and Immunocytochemistry

Single airway smooth muscle cells (ASMC) were isolated using a collagenase/proteinase mixture consisting of (per 5 mL of Hanks’ Ca2+-free solution) 15 mg collagenase (Sigma type 1a), 1 mg proteinase (Sigma type XXIV), 10 mg BSA (Sigma), and 10 mg trypsin inhibitor (Sigma) for ~5 min at 37°C. They were then placed in Hanks’ Ca2+-free solution and stirred for a further 10–20 min to release single relaxed smooth muscle cells. These were stored in Hanks’ Ca2+-free solution (with Ca2+ added to a concentration of 100 μM) at 4°C. Coverslips (22 × 22 mm, #1.5, VWR) were prepared by treatment with poly-L lysine (Sigma Aldrich) for 30 min, followed by multiple washes with double distilled water. After coverslips were left to completely air-dry they were placed in a 6-well plate. A total of 150–200 μL of cellular suspension containing isolated ASMCs was pipetted onto each coverslip and allowed to settle for 45 min on ice. Cells were fixed in 2 mL of 2% paraformaldehyde (PFA, Sigma Aldrich) made up in phosphate buffered saline solution (PBS, Gibco) for 15 min at room temperature. Cells were washed 3 times with PBS for 5 min and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma Aldrich) for 10 min at 4°C. In total, three 5-minute washes with PBS were performed prior to the blocking step, which required blocking the cells with SEA Block (Thermofischer) for 2 h at 4°C. Antibody incubation solution (20% SEA Block, 0.05% Triton X, and 1% BSA) was prepared and mixed with the primary antibodies (AntiCHRM2; 1:200 dilution, Atlas Antibodies; AntiCHRM3, 1:100 dilution, and Atlas Antibodies; smooth
Figure 1. Reduction in stimulus interval enhances the amplitude of ASM contractions induced at 2 Hz, but not 20 Hz, EFS. (A) Representative trace showing that decreasing stimulus interval from 100 to 10 s increased the amplitude of contractions of murine bronchial rings evoked by 2 Hz EFS. (B) Summary plot of mean peak contraction amplitude (2 Hz EFS) at 100 s (open bar) and 10 s (shaded bar) intervals (n = 46, N = 32, P < .0001). (C) Representative trace showing that contractions of murine bronchial rings evoked by 20 Hz EFS were not augmented by a reduction in stimulus interval from 100 to 10 s. (D) Summary plot of mean peak amplitude of EFS-evoked contractions (20 Hz) at 100 s (open bar) and 10 s (shaded bar) intervals (n = 13, N = 8, P < .01). Error bars represent SEM. ** and **** denote P < .01, and P < .0001, respectively.

Drugs and Solutions

4-DAMP, AFDX-116, tetrodotoxin (Tocris), carbachol, methocromine (Sigma Aldrich), and indomethacin (Abcam) were solubilized in DMSO, ethanol, or distilled water. Krebs solution was composed of (mM) 120 NaCl, 5.9 KCl, 25 NaHCO3, 1.2 NaH2PO4·2H2O, 5.5 glucose, 1.2 MgCl2, and 2.5 CaCl2. pH was adjusted to 7.4 by bubbling the solution with 95% O2–5% CO2.

Data Analysis and Statistics

Experimental series were obtained from 4 or more animals; n refers to the number of tissue strips studied and N to the number of animals. Data were analyzed using Prism software (GraphPad). Summary data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical comparisons were performed on original (non-normalized) data using either Student’s paired t-test or, if 3 experimental groups were compared, ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc test, with P < .05 considered statistically significant.
**Results**

**Effect of Stimulus Parameters on EFS-evoked Contractions of Murine Bronchial Rings**

Repetitive EFS (frequency 2 Hz, duration 10 s, and 100 s intervals) of murine bronchial rings induced robust and consistent contractions. These responses were inhibited by tetrodotoxin (TTX (100 nM); Figure S2), confirming that these responses were neurogenic in origin. When the interval between stimulation was reduced from 100 to 10 s, contraction amplitude increased (Figure 1A). In 46 preparations, reducing the stimulus interval from 100 to 10 s increased mean contraction amplitude >2.5-fold, from 1.18 ± 0.11 to 2.97 ± 0.23 mN (n = 46, N = 32, P < .0001; Figure 1B). When this protocol was repeated using a higher stimulus frequency (20 Hz), no increase in contraction amplitude was observed, indeed the mean amplitude of contraction was reduced from 5.27 ± 0.46 mN at 100 s intervals, to 4.65 ± 0.4 mN at 10 s intervals (P < .01, n = 13, N = 8; Figure 1C and D). Therefore, cholinergic nerve-mediated contractions of ASM were potentiated by a reduction in stimulus interval, but this was only evident at low stimulus frequencies and not at higher frequencies which have been used in other studies to characterize nerve-mediated contractions of ASM.

**Contribution of M2Rs to Potentiation of EFS-evoked Contractions of Murine Bronchial Rings**

To examine if the augmented cholinergic contractions induced by reduction in stimulus interval involved activation of M2Rs, responses were compared in WT and M2R null mice (Figure 2A and B, respectively). It was clear that the large potentiation of EFS-evoked contractions observed in WT mice (Figures 1A

---

*Figure 2.* Potentiation of ASM contractions induced by a reduction in stimulus interval is absent in M2R knock out mice. (A) Representative trace from a wild type (WT) mouse showing that a reduction in stimulus interval from 100 to 10 s increased the amplitude of contractions of murine bronchial rings evoked by 2Hz EFS. (B) Representative trace from an M2R knock out (KO) mouse showing that a reduction in stimulus interval from 100 to 10 s only slightly increased the amplitude of contractions of murine bronchial rings evoked by 2 Hz EFS. (C) and (D) Summary plots of mean peak contraction amplitude (2 Hz EFS) at 100 s (open bar) and 10 s (shaded bar) intervals in WT (C) and M2R KO mice (D). Error bars represent SEM. ** denotes P < .01.
and 2A) was not evident in mice lacking M2Rs. Summary data presented in Figure 2C and D, respectively, shows that mean contraction amplitude in WT mice increased by \( >218\% \) \((n = 9, N = 4, P < .01)\) compared to 19\% in M2R KO mice \((n = 10, N = 4, P < .01)\).

Next, experiments were performed to examine if the augmented responses in WT mice were affected by the selective M2R antagonists methoctramine (100 nM) and AFDX116 (300 nM). The representative traces in Figure 3A and C, respectively, show that each drug reversed the increase in contraction amplitude induced upon reduction in stimulus interval from 100 to 10 s. The summary plots in Figure 3B and D show mean contraction amplitude at 100 s and 10 s intervals, before and during application of methoctramine and AFDX116, respectively. Methoctramine significantly reduced contraction amplitude by 45\% from 2.9 \(\pm\) 0.3 to 1.6 \(\pm\) 0.2 mN \((P < .0001, n = 24, N = 18)\) and AFDX116 reduced mean contraction amplitude by 64\% from 2.2 \(\pm\) 0.3 to 0. \(\pm\) 0.15 mN \((P < .0001, n = 18, N = 15)\). Methoctramine did not affect contractions evoked at 100 s intervals (Figure S3).

In order to examine if the inhibitory effects of methoctramine (100 nM) and AFDX116 (300 nM) resulted from off-target actions, not involving M2Rs, their effects were examined on M2R KO mice. Figure 4 compares the effect of methoctramine (100 nM) on EFS-evoked contractions of ASM (2 Hz, 10 s interval) in WT (Figure 4A) and M2R KO mice (Figure 4B). Responses in WT mice were attenuated by methoctramine, whereas contractions in M2R KO mice were unaffected. Summary data in Figure 4C and D shows that methoctramine reduced mean contraction amplitude in WT mice by 63\%, from 1.33 \(\pm\) 0.3 to 0.49 \(\pm\) 0.2 mN \((n = 6, N = 5, P < .001)\), but did not affect responses in M2R KO mice \((n = 7, N = 4, \text{ns})\). Similarly, AFDX-116 failed to inhibit contractions in M2R KO mice (Figure 5B). Summary data in Figure 5C and D show that mean contraction amplitude of ASM taken from WT mice was reduced by 66\% in the presence of AFDX-116 (from 1.32 \(\pm\) 0.3 to 0.45 \(\pm\) 0.18 mN, \(n = 4, N = 4, P < .05\); Figure 5C), whereas no significant effects occurred in M2R KO preparations \((n = 9, N = 4, \text{ns}, \text{Figure 5D})\).

To investigate the contribution of M3Rs to EFS-induced contractions of ASM we examined the effect of the M3R antagonist, 4-DAMP (3nM). Data in Figure 6 shows that 4-DAMP abolished EFS (2 Hz, 10 s interval) -evoked contractions in both WT \((n = 8, N = 6)\) and M2R KO \((n = 9, N = 4)\) mice. Therefore, although a reduction in stimulus interval potentiated contraction amplitude via activation of M2Rs, there was an absolute requirement for M3Rs to initiate these responses.

We reasoned that the M2R-mediated potentiation of nerve-evoked contractions of ASM, at short stimulus intervals, could be due to a small increase in ACh levels, and tested this idea by examining the effect of a sub-threshold concentration of CCh on EFS-evoked contractions at long (100 s) intervals. CCh (10 nM) enhanced contraction amplitude in WT mice and this
Figure 4. Inhibitory effects of methoctramine on EFS-evoked contractions of ASM are absent in M2R KO mice. (A) and (B) Representative traces from a wild type (WT) and M2R KO mouse, respectively, showing that methoctramine (100 nM) inhibited EFS-evoked (2 Hz, 10 s intervals) contractions in WT (A), but not M2R KO mice (B). C & D) Summary plots of mean contraction amplitude under control conditions and in the presence of methoctramine in WT (C) and M2R KO (D) mice. Error bars represent SEM. *** denote P < .001.

Discussion

The results of the present study demonstrate that postjunctional M2Rs make a greater contribution to cholinergic nerve-induced bronchoconstriction than previously realized. Using low frequency EFS (2 Hz), we demonstrated that activation of M2Rs sensitized ASM to M3R stimulation, resulting in a doubling of the force of M3R-dependent contractions. This represents a paradigm shift in our understanding of the mechanisms that regulate ASM contraction and brings into question the logic of excluding antimuscarinic drugs that affect M2Rs as potential therapeutic options for treatment of obstructive lung conditions.

M2Rs are G protein-coupled receptors that reduce intracellular cAMP levels via inhibition of adenylate cyclase and are known to be involved in cholinergic-mediated contractions of the stomach, ileum, and bladder. However, despite their relatively high expression levels in ASM, and studies which indicate that their activation can induce ASM contraction, the consensus is that M2Rs are not involved in cholinergic nerve-mediated contractions of the airways. Instead, it is generally accepted that activation of postjunctional M2Rs can provide a functional antagonism to β-adrenoceptor (β-AR)-induced relaxations of ASM by counteracting the rise in cAMP levels induced by β-AR activation. In the present study, we showed that nerve-evoked contractions of ASM, at low stimulus frequencies, were potentiated by a reduction in stimulus interval. This effect was reversed by the M2R antagonists methoctramine and AFDX116, and was absent in M2R KO mice.
In contrast, the M3R antagonist 4-DAMP abolished the entire contraction. These data support the idea that M3Rs are essential for cholinergic contractions of ASM, but that M2Rs act in concert to augment the M3R-dependent contractions. This concept was noted previously by Ehlert, who reported that M2Rs could exert “low potency potentiation of the contractile response to M3 receptor activation” and that activation of M2Rs could enhance M3R-mediated contractions in asthma. However, our findings show that the contribution of postjunctional M2Rs to cholinergic contractions of ASM is much greater than previously realized and that M2R blockers reduced cholinergic contractions, rather than enhancing them due to block of prejunctional M2Rs. However, we also demonstrated that, under different experimental conditions (20 Hz EFS, 100 s intervals, Figure S4), application of the M2R antagonist methoctramine could enhance these responses, as described previously. Therefore, the contribution of postjunctional M2Rs to ASM contraction is highly dependent on the stimulus parameters used and may have been missed in previous studies that used high stimulus frequencies and high agonist concentrations.

The results of the present study show that subtle changes to stimulus parameters can elicit profound changes on the contractile response of ASM and this could be very important in terms of airway hyperresponsiveness and the pathogenesis of obstructive lung disorders. In intestinal smooth muscle, it has been reported that M2Rs primarily contribute to contractions induced by low agonist concentrations, while M3Rs dominate at higher concentrations and similar findings have been reported in ASM. Such findings are consistent with the lack of involvement of M2Rs in responses induced by 20Hz EFS in the present study. However, we found that contractions elicited by low frequency (2 Hz) EFS, at 100 s intervals were unaffected by the M2R antagonists, methoctramine and AFDX-116, but were abolished by the M3R antagonist 4-DAMP, indicating that M3Rs can dominate even at low stimulus frequencies. It was only when the stimulus interval was decreased that the M2R component became apparent. This effect was mimicked by application of a low, sub-threshold, concentration (10 nM) of CCh, therefore, the potentiating effects induced by reduction in stimulus interval, could be associated with a small increase in the ambi-
ent ACh concentration. These findings are consistent with a model in which M3Rs are located at nerve-smooth muscle junctions and represent the primary target for neuronally released ACh, whereas M2Rs are located extrajunctionally and are activated by small increases in the ambient ACh concentration. This idea is reinforced by the finding that application of the acetylcholinesterase inhibitor neostigmine induced contractions that were inhibited by methoctramine. It is also analogous to the situation in the murine stomach whereby ACh released from motor nerves primarily targets M3Rs on interstitial cells of Cajal, but that overflow of ACh can bind to extrajunctional M2Rs on smooth muscle cells.27,28

Further investigation is required to elucidate the mechanisms that underlie activation of M2Rs in ASM. ACh can be released from several cell types in the airways, including epithelial cells and lymphocytes, which both possess the components required for ACh synthesis.29 However, since the responses in this study were abolished by tetrodotoxin, it appears likely that the source of ACh responsible for the augmented contractions induced by reduction in stimulus interval was neuronal in origin. It is tempting then to speculate that the potentiation of contraction amplitude resulted from overspill of junctional ACh, leading to activation of extrajunctional M2Rs. However, this would require saturation of junctional acetylcholinesterase enzymes which, given the their very high catalytic activity30, would require high ACh levels, normally associated with long duration and high frequency stimulation. For example, Bhetwal et al., showed that bath applied CCh to the murine gastric fundus increased CPI-17 and MYPT1 phosphorylation, whereas EFS (5–20 Hz, for 5–30 s duration) only increased CPI-17 phosphorylation.28 However, when acetylcholinesterase enzymes were inhibited EFS elicited similar responses to CCh demonstrating

Figure 6. The M3R antagonist, 4-DAMP, abolishes EFS-evoked contractions in wild-type and M2R-KO mice. (A) and (B) Representative traces from a wild type (WT) and M2R KO mouse, respectively, showing that 4-DAMP (3 nM) abolished EFS-evoked (2 Hz, 10 s intervals) contractions in WT (A) and M2R KO mice (B). (C) and (D) Summary plots of mean contraction amplitude under control conditions and in the presence of 4-DAMP in WT (C) and M2R KO (D) mice. Error bars represent SEM. ** and **** denote $P < .01$ and $P < .0001$, respectively.
Figure 7. CCh-induced potentiation of EFS-evoked contractions is dependent on activation of M2Rs. (A) Representative trace from a WT mouse showing that CCh (10 nM) enhanced the amplitude of EFS-evoked contractions (2 Hz, 100 s intervals) of ASM in WT mice, and this effect was reversed by application of methoctramine. (B) Summary plot showing mean contraction amplitude of EFS-evoked contractions under control conditions, in the presence of CCh and in the presence of CCh plus methoctramine. (C) Representative trace from an M2R KO mouse showing that CCh (10 nM) did not enhance the amplitude of EFS-evoked contractions (2 Hz, 100 s intervals). (D) Summary plot of mean contraction amplitude before and during the presence of CCh in bronchial rings taken from M2R KO mice. Error bars represent SEM. *, **, *** and **** denote $P < .05$, $P < .01$, and $P < .0001$, respectively.

that, even at higher frequency and longer duration EFS, acetylcholinesterase enzymes prevented neurally-released ACh from activating MRs located on the bulk smooth muscle. Therefore, it appears unlikely that the stimulus parameters employed in the present study (2 Hz, 1 s duration, 10 s intervals) would be capable of producing enough ACh to overwhelm the ACh clearance mechanisms required to induce overspill of junctional ACh.

Kajekar et al., reported 2 different types of human bronchial parasympathetic ganglia neurons, based on distinct action potential firing patterns (tonic and phasic) in response to depolarizing stimuli. It was found that the threshold for activating phasic neurons was 2.2-fold higher than tonic neurons. Therefore, it is possible that changes to the stimulus interval in the present study resulted from activation of a greater number of cholinergic neurons, which would increase the probability of M2R activation. An alternative hypothesis is that both M2 and M3Rs are located junctionally and that the enhanced responses result from a functional synergism between both receptors. In ileal smooth muscle, it has been reported that M2 and M3Rs are tightly connected and that activation of both receptors is required to activate a non-selective cation current referred to as $\text{m}_\text{I}$. Such a mechanism fits with the effects of M2 and M3R antagonists on the potentiated responses described in the present study. However, if both receptors are closely associated at nerve-smooth muscle junctions it is unclear why M2Rs were not involved in responses to EFS at 100 s intervals. It is clear that further investigation is required to unravel these issues.

The cellular mechanisms that underlie M2R-dependent contraction of ASM also require further investigation. ASMC possess plasmalemmal voltage-dependent Ca$^{2+}$ channels (VDCC) however, there is a lack of consensus as to the role played by membrane potential and VDCC in contraction of ASM in response to agonist stimulation. Nevertheless, studies have shown the stimulation of MRs can lead to closure of BK channels and activation of $\text{m}_\text{I}$ that would both tend to depolarize membrane potential and activate VDCC. In the ileal smooth muscle cells, $\text{m}_\text{I}$ is mediated by TRPC4 channels, whereas TRPC3 channels have been reported to account for $\text{m}_\text{I}$ in ASMC. While activation of $\text{m}_\text{I}$ would be expected to induce depolarization and stimulate Ca$^{2+}$ influx via VDCCs, it may also have hyperpolarizing effects as a result of accumulation of intracellular Na$^+$, increasing the activity of the Na$^+$/K$^+$ pump. If M2R-dependent contractions of ASM are dependent on Ca$^{2+}$ influx via VDCC then it is possible that these responses could be suppressed by Ca$^{2+}$-induced inactivation of Ca$^{2+}$ channels following IP$_3$-mediated Ca$^{2+}$ release from intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ stores, as is the case in intestinal smooth muscle. This may explain why M2R-dependent responses were not apparent at higher stimulation frequencies in the present study.
Reducing the stimulus frequency in M2R KO preparations resulted in a small (19%) increase in contraction amplitude, compared to that observed in WT controls (218% increase). These responses were abolished by 4-DAMP indicating that they were reliant on activation of M3Rs. In addition, although the amplitude of contractions induced by a decrease in stimulus interval in WT tissues was greatly diminished by methoctramine, they were still at slightly elevated level compared to control. Therefore, these data leave open the possibility that a small proportion of the enhancement of contraction amplitude may be due to stimulation of M3Rs. However, it is also possible that methoctramine did not maximally block M2Rs at the concentration used and that these responses were still M2R-dependent. Furthermore, it was notable that the small increase in contraction amplitude in M2R KO tissues was associated with an increase in tone, which was not observed in WT tissues. Reasons for this difference are unclear but may reflect compensatory changes in the M3R pathway when M2R expression is ablated and could affect responses to EFS.

Our conclusions on the role of M2Rs in ASM are, in part, dependent on the selectivity of the M2R antagonists used in this study. Methoctramine binds to M2Rs with high affinity and M3Rs with low affinity and Melchiorre et al., reported a 100-fold separation between the effects of methoctramine on M2 vs. M3Rs. Jakubik et al., noted that the negative logarithm of inhibition constants (pKi) for methoctramine on M2Rs vs. M3Rs were 7.29 and 5.69, respectively. Similarly, AFDX-116 also showed high selectivity for M2Rs over M3Rs with pKi values of 7.18 vs. 5.28, respectively. Therefore, at the concentrations used in this study, the effects of these drugs are consistent with an effect on M2Rs. In addition, since these drugs failed to inhibit EFS-evoked contractions in M2R KO mice, it seems highly likely that their effects in WT mice are brought about by selective block of M2Rs. In contrast, 4-DAMP poorly discriminates between M3R and M2Rs (pKi values of 8.5 and 7.72, respectively, opening up the possibility that some responses attributed to M3Rs in this study may be caused by inhibition of M2Rs. Nevertheless, given the low concentration used in this study (3 nM) and the similarity of the effects of 4-DAMP in WT and M2R KO mice, it seems most likely that the effects of 4-DAMP were due to inhibition of M3Rs.

In summary, we report a novel and profound M2R-mediated hypersensitization of M3R-dependent contractions of ASM at low stimulus frequencies and intervals. We speculate that a small increase in ambient Ach concentration, possibly from non-neuronal sources, could be a causative factor in the generation of airway hyperresponsiveness in diseases such as asthma and COPD.
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