Study of Pleural Fluid Cytology in a Tertiary Care Hospital
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Abstract: Introduction: Identification of malignant cells in any body fluid always poses a challenge for any cytopathologist. This often requires additional information like clinical history, morphological evaluation and sometimes modern techniques like cytochemistry and immunohistochemistry. This will help the clinician, surgeon and oncologist in treating the patient and it is the determining factor for treating patient. Materials and Methods: It is an observational, retrospective study. The data was collected from the patients who were admitted in our hospital which is situated in Gwalior. Total 80 cases of pleural fluid cytology were studied over 1 years period and analysed. Result: We studied 80 cases of pleural effusion cytology and we found that out of 80 cases, 69 cases were of non malignant effusion and 5 cases were of malignant effusion. Conclusion: Definite diagnosis of pleural fluid effusion can be done by doing cytology in most of the cases, however in some cases adjuvant techniques such as cytochemistry, immunohistochemistry, ploidy and proliferation markers are found very handy. Pleural fluid cytology is the gold standard and still the first line of investigation in ruling out neoplastic lesions. It is also useful in sub typing of non neoplastic lesions and helps clinician in management of patients.
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1. Introduction

Cytological study of body fluids has a long way in the history of pathology as it is an inexpensive, simple procedure to perform. There are three serous body cavities in our body comprising of pleural, peritoneal and pericardial cavity.1 These cavities are lined by parietal and visceral layer of epithelium. Normally they contain very little amount of fluid which is required for lubricating underlying viscera. Accumulation of fluid is known as effusion which occurs due to imbalance between fluid formation and removal.2 Pleural fluid effusion is one of the most common effusions which encountered in day to day medical practice. Pleural cavity is one of the three serous cavities of our body; each cavity is made up of a double layered serous membrane namely visceral layer and parietal layer. Pleural cavity contains only a small volume of lubricant fluid. Disturbances of the mechanism that normally maintains the dynamic flow may result in accumulation of excess fluid. Two types of effusion are recognized, transudates & exudates. Transudate is generally thin and watery contains very few cells and low protein. Exudate is generally thick and viscous and rich in cells and proteins. Other type of effusion is neoplastic and chylous.3

Cytology of pleural fluid is the low cost, rapid and safe investigation and of valuable investigation for diagnosis of cancer and for staging and prognosis of the patients. Apart from help in cancer detection, it also helps regarding systemic pathology and various inflammatory conditions of the pleural cavity.4

The study of pleural fluid cytology has paramount importance in identifying atypical cells in effusions which in turn helps to know the advancement of the disease process in the body.4

The role of pathologist in malignant pleural fluid effusion is to identify cancer cells accurately and to identify the tumor type and if possible the site of primary origin. The most common type of tumor to produce metastasis in pleural cavity is the broad group of adenocarcinomas, most often from Lung and also common are from breast, GIT. However there are occasions when unusual malignancies are encountered. The diagnosis of such requires a constellation of cytomorphological criteria and correlation with the clinical history and other investigation of the patients. The rate of diagnostically equivocal effusions in routine cytology is dependent on the effusion examined, type of preparation and staining, experience of examiner, clinical history and application of ancillary method.

2. Material and Methods

It is observational, retrospective study of 1 years carried out at a tertiary care hospital. Thoracocentesis or pleural tap is an invasive procedure to remove fluid from pleural cavity and performed by clinician. We received 80 cases of pleural effusion for cytology at our department along with pretested proforma, which include clinical findings, clinical diagnosis & other supportive investigations. Sample was received in sterile plastic container. Fresh sample of pleural fluid was evaluated for the study. From the received fresh sample, 5 ml fluid was taken and fluid was centrifuged at 2500 rpm for
15 minutes and a minimum of four thin smears were prepared from the sediment and were immediately fixed in 95% alcohol and stained with giemsa. After confirming final diagnosis, each data was analysed. Samples which were less than 5 ml, not received in sterile container were excluded from our study.

3. Results

Total 80 cases of pleural fluid effusion were received and studied.

**Table 1: Distribution of the sample by age and sex**

| Age groups (In Years) | Male | Female | Total |
|-----------------------|------|--------|-------|
| 0 - 10                | 00   | 00     | 00    |
| 11 - 20               | 02   | 02     | 04    |
| 21 - 30               | 07   | 06     | 13    |
| 31 - 40               | 06   | 13     | 19    |
| 41 - 50               | 10   | 12.5   | 22.5  |
| 51 - 60               | 10   | 3.75   | 13.75 |
| 61 - 70               | 03   | 2.5    | 5.25  |

Maximum number of patients was in the age group of 41 - 50 years accounting 32% of total cases. In male, maximum number of cases was in the age group of 41 - 50 while in female it is 31 - 40 years. Male to Female ratio is 1.22:1.

**Table 2: Cytodiagnosis of pleural fluid Effusion**

| S. No. | Diagnosis               | No of cases | Percentage |
|--------|-------------------------|-------------|------------|
| 1      | Reactive effusion       | 30          | 37.5       |
| 2      | Acute inflammatory lesion| 9           | 11.25      |
| 3      | Chronic inflammatory lesion| 30          | 37.5       |
| 4      | Malignant mesothelial tumour| 1           | 1.25       |
| 5      | Suspicious for malignancy| 5           | 6.25       |
| 6      | Positive for malignancy | 5           | 6.25       |

Out of 80 cases, 69% cases were of non neoplastic lesion while 11% cases were of neoplastic lesion. Neoplastic lesion had higher male female ratio.

**Figure 1: Lymphocyte rich effusion (H & E stain) 10x**

**Figure 2: Malignant Mesothelioma (Giemsa stain) 40x**

4. Discussion

Diagnostic cytology is the scientific art of interpretation of cells from the human body that exfoliate or are removed from their physiologic millieu. Cytodiagnosis of pleural fluid represents the cell population from a much larger representative area than that obtained from needle biopsy. Cytology has a greater opportunity than needle biopsy technique to retrieve malignant cells. In non neoplastic effusion, various inflammatory cells like lymphocytes, neutrophils, plasma cells were found. Out of 80 cases, highest numbers of cases were from mixed inflammatory cells accounting for 60 cases, followed by 9 cases of acute inflammatory lesion.

All pleural fluid were tested for pH, glucose, proteins, LDH, total ADA, microscopy and microbial testing (Grams staining, Z N Staining, cultures). Pleural fluid Adenosine Deaminase (ADA) nowadays widely used for confirmation of tuberculosis inflammation in case of lymphocyte rich effusion while fluid protein and fluid LDH are used for diagnosis of exudates.

Cases with mixed inflammatory cells were of pneumonia, hypoproteinemina and non specific inflammation.

Malignant cells have moderate cytoplasm, hyperchromatic, pleomorphic nuclei, granularity of the chromatin and abnormal mitoses with prominent nucleoli and form gland like or tubular structures with central lumina also referred by some as spheroids or hollow sphere.3 dimensional clusters and complex papillary clusters are also seen.

Irregular nuclear membranes, nuclear moulding with absence of "windows", are the features which are useful to differentiate them from mesothelial cells.

Cytospin and cell block techniques are extremely useful in improving cell yield of pleural fluid effusions and ensure high diagnostic efficacy especially when cellularity is low. They also have advantage of better preservation of cellular morphology compare to conventional method.
In some difficult cases new techniques like immunohistochemistry can help in final diagnosis. In general, the best positive mesothelioma tissue markers are Calretinin, CK 5/6, WT1 and podoplanin. CEA, MOC - 31, B72.3 and Ber - EP4 are the best negative markers to distinguish between epithelioid mesotheliomas and adenocarcinomas. Monoclonal antibody D2 - 40 has been proved to be helpful in distinguishing between epithelioid mesotheliomas and adenocarcinomas nowadays.

5. Conclusion

Confirmative diagnosis of pleural fluid effusion can be achieved by cytological analysis in most of the cases, however in some cases novel techniques such as cytochemistry, immunohistochemistry, ploidy and proliferation markers are being found very handy. Pleural fluid cytology is a very cost effective first line of investigation and important to clinician, surgeon for early diagnosis, staging, and prognosis of disease and helpful in management of patients.
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