Polar domain walls trigger magnetoelectric coupling
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Interface physics in oxides heterostructures is pivotal in material's science. Domain walls (DWs) in ferroic systems are examples of naturally occurring interfaces, where order parameter of neighboring domains is modified and emerging properties may develop. Here we show that electric tuning of ferroelastic domain walls in SrTiO3 leads to dramatic changes of the magnetic domain structure of a neighboring magnetic layer (La_{1/2}Sr_{1/2}MnO3) epitaxially clamped on a SrTiO3 substrate. We show that the properties of the magnetic layer are intimately connected to the existence of polar regions at twin boundaries of SrTiO3, developing at T \approx 105 K, that can be electrically modulated. These findings illustrate that by exploiting the responsiveness of DWs nanoregions to external stimuli, even in absence of any domain contribution, prominent and adjustable macroscopic reactions of neighboring layers can be obtained. We conclude that polar DWs, known to exist in other materials, can be used to trigger tunable responses and may lead to new ways for the manipulation of interfacial emerging properties.

For many years strontium titanate SrTiO3 has been the workbench where disruptive concepts and properties in solid state physics have been explored, discovered and tested1. Upon cooling, SrTiO3 (STO) displays2 a transition from a cubic phase (C) to a tetragonal (T), centrosymmetric phase at T_{CT} \approx 105 K. This structural transition involves octahedra rotations along all three cubic axis and it is accompanied by elastic strains3,4. It was earlier recognized, that temperature-dependent electrical permittivity of STO displayed Curie-like behavior down to \approx 35 K signaling a strong tendency towards a ferroelectric instability. However, no ferroelectricity was observed and it was argued that this was blocked by quantum fluctuations, and STO is understood as a quantum paraelectric5. Associated with the C-T transition, ferroelastic twin domains (FTD) are formed. It has been found that upon cooling, the population of distinctly oriented FTD remains basically unperturbed6–8. However, application of electric fields or strain promotes reordering of FTD7 and even the appearance of ferroelectric signatures9,10, including switchable ferroelectric Bloch lines11–13, emerging at T \leq 50 K. Moreover, as the T-phase results from a cooperative rotation of octahedra, regions with clockwise and anti-clockwise rotation should exist, producing antiphase boundaries (APB). Concomitantly, domain walls (DWs) are formed where local symmetry can be further lowered; the interplay of coexisting domains and DWs, both potentially responsive to external stimuli, challenges detailed understanding of the STO properties. The consensus achieved could be summarized as follows: i) bulk STO can be driven to be polar under suitably large electric field or mechanical stress9,10, ii) at \approx 80 K, the local symmetry is reduced to a triclinic-like11–14, and iii) ferroelastic DWs display a polar response, incipient at \approx 105 K12, but clearly visible at T^*_1 (\approx 80 K), and further enhanced below T^*_2 (\approx 45 K)6,11. STO is also the most used substrate for epitaxial growth of functional oxides of interest in spintronics, ferroelectric memories, etc. where it acts as an “inert” substrate and only epitaxial strain arising from structural mismatch with overgrown films, has been exploited to modulate film’s properties6,17. Imposed
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by the continuity of the metal-oxygen coordination polyhedral network, the elastic coupling across interface transmits any structural transformation of the substrate into the overgrown thin film. Indeed, it has been shown that the magnetization of a La0.7Sr0.3MnO3 (LSMO) thin film grown on STO(100) displays remarkable changes upon crossing $T_{\text{CT}}$, which were attributed to changes of the LSMO magnetic domain structure when shackled by the structural transition occurring in STO.

Here, we shall demonstrate that STO can be used as ‘active’ substrate to modify the magnetic properties of a LSMO epitaxial overlayer, namely the magnetic domain configuration, by exploiting its various low-temperature structural transformations and the presence of polar nanoregions at DWs. The results here presented constitute a fresh example of making use of the polar nature of domain walls in one layer to manipulate the magnetic domains in a neighboring layer. This approach differs in its essence from the much investigated strain control - including through piezoelectric substrates - of the magnetic properties of LSMO and other oxides, where domains rather than DWs are the primarily responsive elements of the substrate. To enhance the sensitivity of the magnetic structure of the manganite layer to tiny structural changes, the LSMO composition has been chosen to be close to the verge of the ferromagnetic-antiferromagnetic phase transition; this is achieved in La$_{12/3}$Sr$_{1/3}$MnO$_3$, an instability further reinforced by the tensile strain imposed by the STO substrate. Moreover, it will be shown that upon applying a suitable electric field to the STO substrate, an unparalleled response, and even a reversal of magnetic moment ($m$) of the LSMO layer can be obtained.

**Results**

**Oxide epitaxial heterostructures.** Several LSMO thin films of different thickness were grown on 0.5 nm thick STO(001) single crystals. Data reported here correspond to films of 34 nm and 10 nm. The STO substrate induces a tensile strain (1.5%). X-ray measurements have been done to assess the quality of the films, their strain state (Supplementary Information 1) and to estimate the film thickness. A LSMO film (20 nm) was grown on LaAlO$_3$(001) single crystalline substrate under identical conditions, for comparison purposes.

**Magnetic properties of films.** The 34 nm thick LSMO on STO(001) sample displays a Curie temperature and a saturation magnetization typical for strained films of this composition (Supplementary Information 2). The low temperature magnetic moment ($m(T)$) data (Fig. 1(left-bottom)) recorded upon warming, either after magnetic field-cooling (H-FC) or after a zero-field-cooling (H-ZFC), solid and empty circles respectively, do not merge. This is a common feature of ferromagnetic systems when measured at small magnetic fields. Of more interest is the observation that the H-ZFC branch displays remarkable changes of slope at some temperatures: $T_0 \approx 108$ K, $T_1 \approx 90$ K and $T_2 \approx 45$ K. One immediately recognizes that $T_0$ closely coincides with the cubic-to-tetragonal transition temperature $T_{\text{CT}}$. This is a fingerprint of the magnetic domain reordering of LSMO when the STO substrate transforms to the STO substrate. Effect on the magnetic properties of LSMO films. As emphasized by sketch in Fig. 1(left-top), $T_1 \approx T_1^\ast$ (≈90 K) - where triclinic distortions, compatible with polar DW regions develop, and $T_2 \approx T_2^\ast$ (≈45 K) - where the polar character in STO is reinforced. For comparison, we include in Fig. 1(bottom) the $m(T)$ data of a similar LSMO sample, 10 nm thick (triangles); the pronounced features at $T_{\text{CT}}$, $T_1$ and $T_2$ can also be appreciated. These data indicate that the magnetic state of LSMO is a probe to the structural, microstructural and dielectric changes occurring at the substrate. As FTD orientation can be modified by electric fields and polar (piezoelectric) DWs sensitive to electric fields, are formed at temperatures below $T_{\text{CT}}$, it should be expected that application of a suitable electric field to the STO substrate would induce a domain and domain wall reconstruction, which in turn should impact, via interface magnetoelastic coupling, the magnetic state of the LSMO layer (Fig. 1 right).

**Electrical biasing of SrTiO$_3$ substrate. Effect on the magnetic properties of LSMO films.** To demonstrate this effect, thermomagnetic curves were measured at a fixed magnetic field ($H_{\text{meas}}$) under different constant electrical field ($E$) applied as indicated in Fig. 2a (see also Supplementary Information 3). Prior each run, the sample was cooled down to 5 K from $T \gg T_{\text{CT}}$, in an E-field identical to the E-field under which the corresponding thermomagnetic curve was recorded. Any possible E-field effect on the magnetic response should be more pronounced close to the magnetic coercivity field ($\approx 60–70$ Oe at 10 K, for this particular sample). This is why in the experiment of Fig. 2b, the sample was cooled down in a negative magnetic field ($-65$ Oe) and $m(T)$ was measured either during the cooling process or using a positive $H_{\text{meas}} = 65$ Oe field upon warming. The comparison of data recorded upon warming (Fig. 2b-top), under $V = 0$, $+150$ V, and $+210$ V shows that whereas for $T > 80$ K, the $m(T)$ data match those recorded at $V = 0$ V, this is not the case for $T < 80$ K where a strong dependence of $m(T)$ on $V$ is observed. We note that the magnetic moment measured (after the H-ZFC procedure) is smaller when
m(T) measurements are performed under E-field. Further after, the m(T) data recorded during the H-FC process (Fig. 2b-bottom) (H_{meas} = -65 Oe) also displays the characteristic feature at T_{CT} \approx 108 K, and splitting between the E-ZFC and E-FC. Consistently, |m| is smaller when measured under E-field (Fig. 2b), implying electric-field induced magnetic “hardening”.

Data in Fig. 2b indicate that an electric-field-induced modification of the crystalline domain structure of STO translates into different magnetic domain structures in the LSMO layer and therefore into magnetization differences. In this scenario, it can be expected that similar effect should be visible in isothermal measurements. We show in Fig. 3a isothermal (5 K) measurements of m as a function of the applied E-field. Prior to measurements, sample was first cooled down to 5 K under V = 0 V and nominally H = 0 Oe conditions. The measuring magnetic field H_{meas} = 55 Oe was applied at 5 K and m was recorded subsequently. First, a sequence of measurements was performed for t \approx 50 min to monitor the eventual presence of E-independent magnetic aftereffects28. As shown in Fig. 3a, the magnetic moment only varies by about 2% in 50 min. Next, an E-field step sequence (Fig. 3a (inset)) was applied as indicated. It follows from data in Fig. 3a that any V change, irrespectively of its sign, induces an increase of magnetic moment. This implies that the magnetization is driven towards its equilibrium value by V steps (Supplementary Information 4). Therefore, it should be possible to reverse the magnetic moment of the sample by a V-step, if the measurement is performed under appropriate H > 0 on a sample previously cooled down in H < 0. Indeed, this is clearly observed in Fig. 3b where m is recorded isothermally (21 K) after cooling in H = -65 Oe and measuring in +55 Oe.

The experiments described so far signal the active role of STO and its responsivity to electric field stimulus to induce changes in the magnetic state of the LSMO layer. The rationale behind is directly related to the genuine properties of the low-temperature phase of STO, namely the presence of polar regions with their concomitant piezoresponse (Fig. 1 right). It thus follows that if similar experiments were performed using substrates where this instability was absent, one should not expect any change in the magnetic state of the magnetic layer upon applying a voltage at the substrate. We have performed such experiments on a LSMO (20 nm) film grown on LaAlO3(001) (Supplementary Information 2). In Fig. 3c we show the magnetic moment measured at 5 K, after cooling in nominally H = 0, under H_{meas} = 230 Oe (a value similar to the coercivity field) when successive V-steps are applied. It is obvious that the applied electric field does not modify the magnetic moment of the LSMO layer.
Discussion

The results presented above show that the magnetic moment of the LSMO films, when measured in absence of electric fields (Fig. 1), displays remarkable changes at some characteristic temperatures that closely coincide with: (i) cubic-to-tetragonal structural transition, (ii) the onset of the reported lowering of symmetry to a triclinic-like phase \( T_{\text{CT}} \) where polar domain walls are formed \(^{11}\), and (iii) at some lower temperature, where a polar nature of the DW appears to be reinforced \(^{11}\). These effects connect the changes in the domain structure and DW properties \(^{11,29}\) of the substrate with those of the magnetic films, epitaxially clamped on its surface. In fact, coupling between ferroic domain structure in piezo substrates and overgrown films has been shown to be a suitable way to modify the magnetic properties of the latter by applying an electric field to the former \(^{30,31}\). The magnetic layer is thus a sensitive probe of the substrate structural transformations.

More remarkable is the observation that when cooling the sample from \( T \gg T_{\text{CT}} \) under an E-field, the low-temperature magnetic structure of the film is modified and thus its magnetic response changes accordingly. This observation reflects that the microstructure of the STO substrate, namely its FTD distribution is modified, during the cooling process, by the presence of E field. At this point a question arises: is the observed effect related to the already reported redistribution of twin domains due to their anisotropic electrostriction? \(^7\) To address this point we note that we observe E-field effects developing at \( T < 90 \text{ K} \) (Fig. 2b). In this temperature region, and within the sensitivity of X-ray diffraction experiments, effects were only perceptible, when E \( > 8 \text{kV/cm} \). Therefore, as our applied electric field (Supplementary Information 3) is estimated to be \( \approx 2.1 \text{kV/cm} \), this mechanism is unlikely to be prominent. Instead, the polar nature of nanoregions in STO, either within the domains or at the domain walls, provides a simple framework to explain the observed effects. Indeed, in the temperature-dependent \( m(T) \) experiments (Fig. 2), we have observed that substantial E-field effects emerge below \( \approx 90 \text{K} \), close to the temperature where polar domain walls have been already identified \(^{11}\). Therefore, the applied E-field could be effective in changing the twin domain walls in STO and correspondingly sensed by the LSMO magnetization, as observed. Consistently, an even larger effect is observed at \( T \) below \( \approx 45 \text{K} \), which is where the DWs in STO appear to reinforce their polar character and their mobility \(^{11}\). It is interesting to note that the applied E-field is mainly concentrated under the electrodes (Fig. 2a and S2), thus affecting only about 20% of the

---

**Figure 2.** Electric connection of the sample and its electric-field and temperature-dependent magnetic moment. (a) Sketch of sample connection for electrical biasing and electric field distribution (Supplementary Information 3). Color indicates the strength of the electric field. (b) Temperature dependence of magnetic moment of a LSMO (34 nm) sample measured at different electric fields. Top: on warming, under \( H_{\text{meas}} = +65 \text{ Oe} \), after cooling at different electric fields. During cooling and warming the electric field is identical. Bottom: on cooling down (\( H_{\text{meas}} = -65 \text{ Oe} \)), with and without electrical field applied. Prior each measurement the sample was cooled down from \( T \gg T_{\text{CT}} \) in magnetic field of \( -65 \text{ Oe} \).
LSMO volume. Still the E-induced changes of \(|m|\) are remarkably large (up to 50%, see Supplementary Information 4). This indicates that substrate domain walls modification promotes magnetic avalanches in the LSMO, as commonly found in many magnetic systems 32.

In brief, an applied electric field, benefiting from the presence of polar nanoregions in STO and its associated piezoresponse, produce dramatic changes in the magnetic state of an overlying layer, magnetoelastically coupled to the substrate. In an appropriate magnetic state, the magnetic moment of the films can be even reversed by an electric field applied to its substrate. These results show, for the first time, that STO can be used as active substrate and they suggest new ways to explore and exploit its properties. We strength that STO domain wall responsiveness to electric fields, due to its inherent polar nature, is at the heart of the observed response which thus constitute an example of exploiting polar domain walls to trigger a magnetic response. The experiments here reported have been made in the simplest back-contacting configuration, which although good enough to demonstrate the soaked effects, can be largely improved by suitable nanotechnology contacting procedures 33. The impact of these findings can be far reaching. For instance, in the celebrated 2DEGs formed at LAO-STO (001) and (110) interfaces 34, and particularly in gating experiments 35,36 where large electric fields are applied across films, the piezoresponse shown here could have interesting impacts on the observed properties of the gas and their anisotropy. Finally, it is worth noticing that polar DWs are not unique to STO but other systems have been shown to have ferroelectric DWs, even offering the possibility to be operated at room temperature 37. We thus conclude that our results constitute a contribution towards domain wall nanoelectronic 38.

**Methods**

**Thin film growth and structural characterization.** Several \(\text{La}_{0.67}\text{Sr}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3\) (LSMO) thin films of different thicknesses were grown on as-received 0.5 mm thick STO(001) single crystals \((5 \times 5 \text{ mm}^2)\) by Pulsed Laser Deposition. Films have been deposited at 725°C in 0.2 mbar oxygen pressure with subsequent free cooling in 100 mbar oxygen pressure. The STO substrate induces a tensile strain (1.5%). X-ray measurements have been done to assess the quality of the films, their strain state (Supplementary Information 1) and to estimate the film thickness 27. For comparison purposes, a LSMO film (20 nm) was grown on \(\text{LaAlO}_3\) (001) single crystalline substrate under identical conditions. The growth rate has been calibrated by measuring, by X-ray reflectivity (XRR), the thickness of some on-purpose prepared films. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) and XRR measurements were carried out using Cu-Kα radiation, in a Siemens D-5000 diffractometer and a Rigaku RU-200B diffractometers. Reciprocal space maps (RSM) were collected using a Bruker 1T8 Advance diffractometer equipped with a bidimensional detector, and used to determine in-plane cell parameters.
**Magnetic measurements.** Magnetic measurements were conducted using a SQUID magnetometer (QD) equipped with an in-house made wiring set-up that allows applying in-situ electric fields on samples. In all experiments reported here, the magnetic field has been applied in plane of the film. It turned out that all the fine details of the sample magnetic moment variations are very sensitive to the specific measuring conditions, i.e. the strength of the magnetic field in which the sample is cooled down and the strength of the magnetic field, in which the magnetic moment is recorded as well as the temperature from which the sample is cooled down, and the number of measurements/cycles (magnetic history). In magnetic zero-field cooling (H-ZFC) experiments the samples are cooled down from temperatures well above $T_{C_{\text{ref}}}$ in nominally zero magnetic field after carefully demagnetizing the Mu-metal shield surrounding the SQUID magnet and also quenching the magnet to get rid of the trapped field.

**Electric biasing.** For electric biasing, two wires were connected to the back of the crystalline substrate, along the edges of the substrate using conducting graphite paste (Fig. 2a). The distance between the wires is of about 4 mm. Electric field was applied by voltage $V$ (up to $\pm 210$ V) using a Keithley 2400 sourcemeter to the connecting wires. Due to the geometry used in the experiment, the electric field inside the dielectric STO is inhomogeneous: mostly concentrated under the electrodes with a relatively large value ($E \approx V/d$, where $d$ is the substrate thickness), reaching up to $2.1 \text{kV/cm}$ for the maximum voltage used) and much smaller field $E'$ between the electrodes; for the used geometry, at the midline between electrodes, $E'$ averages to $\approx 0.1 \text{kV/cm}$ (for $V = 210$ V) and depends on the permittivity of STO (Supplementary information 3). When appropriate, we use $E$ as a label of the applied electric field and accordingly, the applied fields are within the range: $-2.1 \text{kV/cm} < E < +2.1 \text{kV/cm}$. During measurements, the current flowing was monitored and it was always found to be limited by the sensitivity of the test set-up ($<10 \text{nA}$); no changes were observed either when applying $210$ V at the electrodes or upon varying the temperature, confirming that no appreciable current was flowing across the device and confirming the absence of shortcuts. Magnetic measurements were typically started within 20–30 sec after setting the voltage values. It is worth mentioning that, when exploring the $m(T,V)$ data under different $V$-bias, such as in Fig. 2b-top, results depend slightly on sample history.
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