Photo-curing kinetics of hydroxyethyl acrylate (HEA): synergetic effect of dye/amine photoinitiator systems
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Abstract

The aim of this study is to examine and evaluate several dye/amine systems as photoinitiators for photopolymerization of 2-hydroxyethyl acrylate (HEA) monomer under visible light conditions. For this purpose, a series of dye/amine photoinitiators were formed using methylene blue (MB) or acridine orange (AO) as photosensitizers, and triethanolamine (TEOA), ethyl 4-(dimethylamino) benzoate (EDMAB), trioctylamine (TOA), and N,N-diméthylallylamine (DMAA) as co-initiators. The photopolymerization kinetic of the HEA monomer in the presence of proposed dye/amine systems was performed using Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis and the synergetic effect of the dye/amine photoinitiators systems on the photopolymerization efficiency was examined. Interestingly, (MB/EDMAB) system shows the better reactivity with a total conversion of HEA monomer.
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Introduction

Visible light-activated photoinitiators (VALP’s) have received considerable attention owing to their involvement in visible light-induced polymerization. The attractiveness and potential use of visible light in material science steam essentially from its harmless character and higher penetration ability as compared for example with UV light [1, 2].

Indeed, from a polymer chemistry perspective, photopolymerization using visible light offers a plethora of advantages encompassing solvent-free formulation, rapid curing at ambient temperature and appealing cost-effective bias that have led to broad application in industrial fields such as pigmented coatings, paints, dental adhesives, holographic records, inks and electronics [3–5].

As far as photopolymerization is concerned, a careful selection of the photoinitiator (PI) is crucial to this process. Two types of PI are currently used. TypeI photoinitiator, in which a hemolytic cleavage of its species is taking place, triggers the free radical formation and hence, the initiation of the photopolymerization. In type II, where in the generally accepted mechanism more than two species are involved, the radicals are formed by an electron transfer reaction succeeded by hydrogen transfer between a photosensitizer (PS) and a co-initiator [6].

As a typical example of typeII photoinitiator, VALP’s are generally based on a combination of a carbogenic dye acting as PS and an amine-bearing compound as co-initiator [7–9]. In some cases, addition of electron acceptors such as iodonium or sulfonium salts to the mixture has been reported in the literature [10–12].

In as much, the photosensitization step is determining for subsequent evolution of the polymerization process as whole, practical requirements ought to be implemented for achieving high conversion rates. These include: (i) good miscibility of PI with monomers, (ii) maximum light absorption, (iii) high quantum yield coupled to long lifetime of the triplet state and, (iv) excellent hydrogen-donating character of...
the co-initiator. In other words, of the four above-mentioned requirements, three are of physical nature and only (iv) is chemically driven parameter [13, 14].

As application of these concepts, photopolymerization using LED’s as visible light source has been recently reported. A remarkable enhancement of light absorbance as well as improved efficiency of VALP’s was achieved through the use of panchromatic visible light irradiation [15, 16]. In a forward move, high monomer conversion rates for both cationic and radical photopolymerization were reported by a subtle combination of LED’s and redox systems [6, 17]. However, chemical hazards inherent to the use of powerful oxidizing agents in polymerization processes have severely limited the generalization of this option, even though a soft redox system based on copper complex–phosphine interaction was introduced for methacrylate polymerization [18].

From a literature survey, notwithstanding the impressive efforts that were devoted to implement a safe and efficient photopolymerization using visible laser or LED’s, all of which are grouped in physical type strategies, a reliable chemical approach based on a careful selection of dye/amine (PS system) deserves to be considered.

In an attempt to investigate the efficiency of dye/amine couple as PI system in the photopolymerization of hydroxyl ethyl acrylate (HEA) under visible light irradiation in soft condition (halogen lamp, air atmosphere), we have prepared five PI couples, namely MB/TEOA, AO/TEOA, MB/DMAA, MB/TAO and MB/EDMAB. The photochemical behavior of MB and AO were first evaluated by UV–Visible spectroscopy. In the next task, we turned our attention to the selection of PI, by focusing on the synergistic effect between PS and its amine co-initiator partner. In each case, HEA polymerization was monitored by FTIR. The conversion yield is discussed in terms of PI system chemical combination.

**Materials and methods**

**Reagents and chemicals**

All the reactants were used as received and are shown in Fig. 1. 2-Hydroxyethyl acrylate (HEA), methylthioninium chloride (methylene blue MB), 3-N,N,N,N-tetramethylacridine-3,6 diamine (acridine orange AO), triethanolamine (TEOA), N,N-dimethylallylamine (DMAA), trioctylamine (TOA) and ethyl 4- (dimethylamino) benzoate (EDMAB) were purchased from Aldrich.

**Instrumentation and characterization**

Absorption spectra of dyes and photoinitiator systems (dye/amine) were recorded on Agilent Cary 60 UV–Visible
spectrophotometer, using distilled water as solvent. To study the photoreactivity of dyes in the presence of amine molecule, a set of experiments with dye/amine ratio of (0.01/3 wt%) were conducted at different times.

The polymerization of HEA photoinitiated with different photoinitiator (PI) systems was conducted on Agilent cary 640 instruments FTIR spectrophotometer, at 2 cm\(^{-1}\) resolution with 32 scans over the spectral range of 4000–400 cm\(^{-1}\). The photosensitive formulations were deposited on a CaF\(_2\) pellet for irradiation with halogen lamp (\(\lambda > 400\) nm, \(I_0 = 20\) mw/cm\(^2\)), under air atmosphere. The polymerization evolution was monitored by FTIR spectroscopy, on the basis of Eq. 1, by following the decrease of the peak at 1635 cm\(^{-1}\), assigned to the acrylic double bond of the HEA monomer.

\[
\text{Conv (%) } = \frac{A_0 - A_t}{A_0} \times 100,
\]

where \(A_0\) is the initial absorbance at 1635 cm\(^{-1}\) and \(A_t\) the absorbance value at irradiation time \(t\).

A DSC Q2000 from TA Instruments was used for differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analyses under nitrogen atmosphere. Samples of 5–7 mg were sealed in aluminum pans and placed in the DSC cell; the samples were then heated from \(-70\) to \(100\) °C at a heating rate of \(10\) °C/min\(^{-1}\) under nitrogen flow. Transition temperature (Tg) values were collected from the second heating scan.

**Results and discussion**

In this contribution, several combinations of dyes/amines were tested as photoinitiator for the polymerization of HEA under visible light. For this purpose, four amines (TEOA, DMAA, TOA and EDMAB) (see Fig. 1) were used as co-initiators in the presence of two photosensitizers (MB and AO). Before starting the photopolymerization experiments, the response of PIs towards the visible light irradiation was examined. The phototransformation of MB and AO alone and in the presence of TEOA was followed by means of UV–Vis spectrophotometry. Photopolymerization kinetic was then assessed by varying the composition of PI system. Finally, the effect of PI on the transition temperature (Tg) of obtained polymers is highlighted in last section of this paper.

**Phototransformation of MB and AO**

Before tackling the issue of amine effect on the photoinitiation step of HEA polymerization, a preliminary examination of PS response to visible light irradiation was considered. An aqueous solution of each dye was irradiated at different times and examined by means of UV spectroscopy. A graphical plot correlating the absorbance of MB to irradiation time is illustrated in Fig. 2. The spectrum shows the presence of two bands, the most intense of which is centered at 665 nm and is assigned to the \(n-\pi^*\) transition. The second at 600 nm is attributed to the 0–1 vibronic transition [19, 20]. However, their absorbance decreased gradually upon increasing irradiation time (Fig. 2). This decrease in absorbance is attributed to the photoreduction of MB in the presence of oxygen which acts as electron donor, leading to the formation of the leuco form of dye molecules (colorless MB) [21–23]. It was also reported that the photobleaching of MB can be due to the presence in methylene blue of amine groups that act as electron donors in the absence of other reducing agents [10].

Interestingly, Fig. 3 shows that in the presence of TEOA, a sharp drop in absorbance occurred within 3 min of irradiation, corresponding to a rate of 84% of radical formation (photoreduction), while in the absence of TEOA, a conversion of only 37% of MB was observed after 120 min of irradiation (Fig. 2). From a mechanistic point of view, in the presence of TEOA, an electron is transferred from the amine to MB producing a semi-reduced dye radical. Then, the MB dye molecule is photo-reduced by hydrogen abstraction of from the amine, to produce amine and dye radicals [24–26]. In other words, the conversion of MB in the presence of an amine is the result of an electron transfer from TEOA to MB that is excited to a triplet state whose lifetime is estimated at 450 ms [27].
Similarly, we have addressed the use of AO dye as photosensitizer. The evolution of AO’s main absorption band centered at 475 nm, in the absence and in the presence of TEOA, is illustrated in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, respectively.

The absorption of dye decreases upon prolonged exposure to visible light irradiation. A rate of 75% phototransformation of AO is observed after 60 min of irradiation, revealing a fairly high conversion of AO molecules to the triplet state [28]. However, in the presence of amine, 38% of phototransformation is noticed. This is ascribed to a short-lived AO excited triplet state ($t = 50 \mu$s) and the occurrence of a triplet–triplet electron-transfer process, which decreases the photo-reduced dye molecules [26, 29]. Figure 6 underlines the phototransformation mechanism of these dyes in presence of TEOA.

The evolution of HEA photopolymerization in the presence of MB/TEOA or AO/TEOA (0.01/3wt%) was examined for different irradiation times. The monomer conversion plots versus time are depicted in Fig. 7a, b.

In the presence of MB, a monomer conversion of about 100% is noticed, while that in the presence of AO is 95% at 30 min of irradiation (Fig. 7a).

The photoinitiation mechanism in the presence of MB/TEOA or AO/TEOA involves the electron transfer, followed by a proton transfer from the tertiary amine to the dye leading to the formation of amine radical (TEOA•), which will initiate the polymerization, and the dye radical which acts as a terminating agent [12, 30].

Figure 7b shows that the monomer conversion is about 90% in the first 35 s of irradiation, when AO photosensitizer is used, whereas is about 40% in the case of MB. This result can be assigned to the rapid reduction of AO in the presence of TEOA which is due to a total triplet-state conversion of AO molecules [28].

In that case, many radicals are quickly formed, but their efficiency to initiate the polymerization of HEA

![Fig. 3 UV–Visible spectra of MB/TEOA (0.01/3wt%) photoinitiator system, at different irradiation time](image1)

![Fig. 4 UV–Visible spectra of AO dye ([c] = 0.01 g/l), at different irradiation time](image2)

![Fig. 5 UV–Visible spectra of AO/TEOA (0.01/3wt%) photoinitiator system, at different irradiation time](image3)
may be conditioned by their short-lived triplet-state time ($t = 50 \mu s$). Furthermore, many hydroxyl ethacrylate radicals can be formed (HEA.), leading to the formation of short polymer chains or oligomers. However, the conversion evolution of HEA monomer in presence of MB is slow, which is related to long live time of triplet state (450 $\mu s$), and the probability that the hydroxyethyl acrylate radical (HEA.) is added on growing macroradical $\rightarrow$ HEA.is more important. In this case, the PHEA undergoes a longer polymer chains.

**Synergy between MB dye and co-initiators**

To underline the effect of co-initiator nature on the HEA monomer conversion, the MB dye was selected as photosensitizer. Figure 8a depicts the evolution of this conversion in the presence of the four co-initiator selected in this study.

It can be deduced from Fig. 8a that in all systems, the monomer conversion increases by increasing the irradiation time until reaching a maximum value. It appears also that the efficiency of the dye/amine photoinitiator depend markedly on the chemical structure of amine. During the electron–proton transfer between the excited photosensitizer and the hydrogen donors, both active radical moieties and photosensitizer radicals are generated. It has been reported that the use of tertiary amine in visible light-induced polymerization under air is important not only to initiate polymerization but also to eliminate or reduce the oxygen inhibition. The process involves the reaction of formed amine radicals with molecular oxygen; this reaction is faster than that with active radicals, which lead to the continuous consumption of oxygen [31, 32].

It is important to note that for time ranging 0–10 s (Fig. 8b), the monomer conversion is in the range of 25–40%, with a remarkable evolution of the conversion in the presence of TEOA. After 5 min of irradiation, the formulation containing the EDMAB underlines a monomer conversion exceeding 95%, whereas in the case of TEOA, this conversion is less than 80%. In the case of DMAA and TOA a conversions of 60% and 40% were noticed, respectively. This difference is relied to the stability of amine radicals formed during the phototransformation step.

The curing mechanisms are shown in Fig. 9.

After irradiation, the excited dye reacts with amine to form the amine radical cation, this reaction is followed by proton transfer from the amine to the dye, leading to the formation of free radical active center capable to initiate polymerization, and the dye radicals act as terminating agents, according to the reaction below:

$$\text{Dye}^* + \text{R}_3\text{N} : \quad \rightarrow \text{Dye}^- + \text{N}^+ + \text{H}^- \rightarrow \text{Dye}^- + \text{A}^-.$$
It has been reported that the efficiency of amine as co-initiator depends on the radical cation persistence and the proton transfer ability [30, 33]. More the proton transfer is delayed more the radical cation is persistent.

In the case of TOA, the difficulty of losing a proton due to its bulky substituents, leads to the formation of highly persistence radical cation.

In addition of the proton transfer process, the photoinitiation efficiency depends also on the stability and architecture of amine radicals. Comparing the systems containing EDMAB and TEOA, the more efficient system is EDMAB/MB, because the radical formed in the case of EDMBA is more free that in the case of TEOA. In the case of DMAA, the amine radical is less stable due to the mesomeric effect.
Hence, the order of efficiency of amines as co-initiator is EDMAB > TEOA > DMAA > TOA.

To show the effect of the dye and the amine natures on the glass transition temperatures (Tg) the obtained polymers were characterized by DSC. Figure 10 shows thermograms from DSC measurements of the obtained polymers in the presence of different dye/amine systems.

It is noted that the choice of dye/amine systems has an effect on the glass transition temperature of polyHEA. Further thermal studies will be conducted to better understand this effect.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we investigated the photopolymerization reaction induced by the visible light, in the presence of different photoinitiators dye/amine systems under soft conditions. The phototransformation kinetic of the different dyes in the absence and in the presence of amine was carried out by UV–Visible spectrophotometer. The two dyes OA and MB showed a strong decrease of their absorption bands in the visible by becoming colorless. The photopolymerization of HEA in the presence of AO and MB showed that the important conversion percentage was obtained with MB dye. To analyze the effect of electron
Fig. 10 Thermograms of polyHEA in the presence of different photo-initiating systems

donor structure on the polymerization, four amines were proposed, and the most efficient one is EDMAB.
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