Transfer-matrix formulation of the scattering of electromagnetic waves and broadband invisibility in three dimensions
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Abstract

We develop a transfer-matrix formulation of the scattering of electromagnetic waves by a general isotropic medium which makes use of a notion of electromagnetic transfer matrix \( \hat{M} \) that does not involve slicing of the scattering medium or discretization of some of the position- or momentum-space variables. This is a linear operator that we can express as a \( 4 \times 4 \) matrix with operator entries and identify with the S-matrix of an effective nonunitary quantum system. We use this observation to establish the composition property of \( \hat{M} \), obtain an exact solution of the scattering problem for a non-magnetic point scatterer that avoids the divergences of the Green’s function approaches, and prove a general invisibility theorem. The latter allows for an explicit characterization of a class of isotropic media \( \mathcal{M} \) displaying perfect broadband invisibility for electromagnetic waves of arbitrary polarization provided that their wavenumber \( k \) does not exceed a preassigned critical value \( \alpha \), i.e., \( \mathcal{M} \) behaves exactly like vacuum for \( k \leq \alpha \). Generalizing this phenomenon, we introduce and study \( \alpha \)-equivalent media that, by definition, have identical scattering features for \( k \leq \alpha \).

1 Introduction

Scattering of electromagnetic (EM) waves has been a subject of intensive research for over a century. There are excellent monographs covering its various aspects [1, 2, 3, 4]. Most of the theoretical developments in this subject are based on the use of Green’s functions and the related integral equations [3, 5]. The purpose of the present article is to offer an alternative formulation of the scattering of EM waves by isotropic media [1] that has significant advantages over the standard Green’s
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1By an isotropic medium we mean a linear stationary medium whose electromagnetic properties are characterized by scalar permittivity \( \varepsilon \) and permeability profiles \( \mu \). These are generally complex scalar functions of space.
function methods. This formulation relies on a notion of transfer matrix (operator) that can be employed for the study of the scattering of EM waves by an arbitrary isotropic medium.

The use of transfer matrices in the study of the scattering of waves has a long history. There are hundreds of research publications on theoretical aspects and applications of transfer matrix theories. The notion of a transfer matrix was initially developed for solving one-dimensional scattering problems for scalar waves [7, 8, 9] and found important applications in the study of (effectively) one-dimensional optical [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15], condensed matter [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22], and acoustic systems [23, 24, 25]. The multichannel extensions of the transfer matrix have also been considered [26, 27, 28, 29, 30] and its generalization to two- and three-dimensional systems were developed through appropriate discretizations of the transverse degrees of freedom to the scattering axis [31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37]. The common feature of the transfer matrices considered in the above references is that they are numerical matrices (of different sizes) storing the information about the scattering properties of the system and fulfilling an extremely useful composition rule. The latter allows for the calculation of the scattering properties of a medium by slicing it into thin layers, obtaining the transfer matrix for each slice, and determining the transfer matrix for the medium from those of its slices by invoking their composition rule.

The simplest example of a transfer matrix is that of time-independent scattering theory in one dimension. Consider a possibly complex-valued scattering potential $v(x)$ and time-harmonic scalar waves $e^{-i\omega t}\psi(x)$ satisfying the Schrödinger equation:

$$-\psi''(x) + v(x)\psi(x) = k^2\psi(x).$$  

(1)

Here $k$ is the wavenumber for the incident wave which takes real and positive values. Suppose that $v(x)$ decays to zero for $x \to \pm \infty$ at such a rate that every solution of (1) fulfills the asymptotic boundary conditions:

$$\psi(x) \to A_\pm e^{ikx} + B_\pm e^{-ikx} \quad \text{for} \quad x \to \pm \infty,$$

(2)

where $A_\pm$ and $B_\pm$ are possibly $k$-dependent complex coefficients. The transfer matrix of $v(x)$ is the unique $2 \times 2$ matrix $M$ that satisfies

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_+ \\ B_+ \end{bmatrix} = M \begin{bmatrix} A_- \\ B_- \end{bmatrix},$$

(3)

and is independent of $A_-$ and $B_-$, [38, 39]. This matrix has two important properties [40]:

1. Its entries determine the reflection and transmission amplitudes of $v(x)$.

2. If $v_1(x)$ and $v_2(x)$ are potentials such that $v(x) = v_1(x) + v_2(x)$, and the support of $v_1(x)$ is to the left of the support of $v_2(x)$, i.e., there is a real number $a$ such that $v_1(x) = 0$ for $x > a$ and $v_2(x) = 0$ for $x < a$, then the transfer matrices $M$, $M_1$, and $M_2$ of $v(x)$, $v_1(x)$, and $v_2(x)$ satisfy $M = M_2M_1$.

Property 1 shows that the computation of $M$ is equivalent to the solution of the scattering problem for $v(x)$. Property 2 allows for dissecting the support of $v(x)$ into a collection of intervals $I_j$, with $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and $I_j$ lying to the left of $I_{j+1}$, and reducing the scattering problem for $v(x)$ to that of its restriction onto $I_j$. Specifically, denoting the latter by $v_j(x)$, so that

$$v_j(x) := \begin{cases} v(x) & \text{for } x \in I_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_j(x) = v(x),$$


and labeling the transfer matrix of \( v_j(x) \) by \( M_j \), we have
\[
M = M_n M_{n-1} \cdots M_1.
\] (4)

This is the main reason for the popularity of transfer matrices in dealing with scattering of scalar waves by effectively one-dimensional multilayer and locally periodic media [8, 12, 41, 42, 43].

The composition rule (4) has provided the main guideline for various generalizations of the transfer matrix that are tailored for dealing with the scattering of scalar and electromagnetic waves in higher dimensions [18, 32, 34, 35]. The main strategy leading to these generalizations is most succinctly summarized by McLean and Pendry [35]: “The methodology underlying all transfer matrix theories is extremely simple. The system that we wish to study is nominally partitioned into smaller sub-units (conventionally planar slices for a three-dimensional system). The relevant quantities that concern our theory are defined locally within the sub-units and the transfer matrix then links spatially adjacent units. The physical process of adding the sub-units together sequentially to reproduce the bulk system is then described mathematically by the product of the individual transfer matrices for each sub-unit taken in the correct order.” As we noted above, the definition of these generalized transfer matrices involves certain discretization of the position, momentum, or a mixture of these spaces. This leads typically to large numerical transfer matrices that require appropriate numerical treatments [32, 34, 35].

In Ref. [44] we introduce a multi-dimensional transfer matrix whose definition does not rely on a slicing or discretization scheme. It rather makes use of a remarkable feature of the transfer matrix of the one-dimensional scattering theory [3], namely that it can be written as the S-matrix of a nonunitary effective two-level quantum system [15]. This means that we can express it as the time-ordered exponential of a non-Hermitian effective Hamiltonian operator that acts in a certain infinite-dimensional function space. Therefore, the transfer matrix is no longer a numerical matrix; it is a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix with operator entries. This formulation of potential scattering leads to a multidimensional extension of the notion of unidirectional invisibility [48, 49], allows for the exact solution of the scattering problem for infinite classes of scattering potentials in two and three dimensions [17]. In this formulation the transfer matrix is given by the time-ordered exponential of a non-Hermitian effective Hamiltonian operator that acts in a certain infinite-dimensional function space. Therefore, the transfer matrix is no longer a numerical matrix; it is a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix with operator entries. This formulation of potential scattering leads to a multidimensional extension of the notion of unidirectional invisibility [48, 49], allows for the exact solution of the scattering problem for infinite classes of scattering potentials in two and three dimensions [50, 51], and yields a method for constructing potentials that display perfect broadband invisibility in two dimensions [52]. The latter model the scattering of transverse electric (TE) and transverse magnetic (TM) waves by certain effectively two-dimensional isotropic media. Motivated by these developments, in this article, we propose a fundamental transfer-matrix formulation of the scattering of EM waves by general isotropic media. Unlike the EM transfer matrices considered in the literature [32, 34, 36, 37], neither the definition nor the application of our EM transfer matrix requires a slicing of the scattering medium or a discretization of the position or momentum space.
The organization of the article is as follows. In Section 2 we review the standard setup for EM scattering. In Section 3 we provide the basic ingredients of our approach, introduce the EM transfer matrix, and explain how it can be used to determine the scattering amplitude and differential cross section for the scattering of EM waves by an arbitrary isotropic scattering medium. In Section 4 we identify the transfer matrix with the S-matrix of an effective nonunitary quantum system and establish its composition property. In Section 5 we use our EM transfer matrix to solve the scattering problem for a nonmagnetic delta-function point scatterer. This turns out to avoid the divergences arising in the application of the Green’s function methods to this problem and produces a finite expression for the scattering amplitude. The latter agrees with the known result provided that we identify the coupling constant of our approach with a renormalized coupling constant of the Green’s function approach. In Section 6 we discuss the application of EM transfer matrix in obtaining a general criterion for perfect broadband invisibility that applies for isotropic media with no particular symmetry. This allows us to construct an infinite class of isotropic media specified by a wavenumber scale \( \alpha \) that do not scatter monochromatic EM waves with wavenumber \( k \leq \alpha \) (and their superpositions.) In Section 7 we consider the problem of identifying isotropic media with identical scattering features for wavenumbers \( k \leq \alpha \). We call these “\( \alpha \)-equivalent” and give simple criteria for \( \alpha \)-equivalence. Section 8 includes our concluding remarks.

2 Basic setup for scattering of EM waves

Consider a time-harmonic EM wave with electric and magnetic fields, \( e^{-i\omega t}E(\mathbf{r}) \) and \( e^{-i\omega t}H(\mathbf{r}) \), that propagates in a stationary isotropic medium \( \mathcal{M} \) specified by the permittivity and permeability profiles: \( \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) \) and \( \mu(\mathbf{r}) \). Here \( \mathbf{r} := x \hat{e}_x + y \hat{e}_y + z \hat{e}_z \) is the position vector, \( x \), \( y \), and \( z \) are coordinates in a Cartesian coordinate system with axes aligned along the unit vectors \( \hat{e}_x \), \( \hat{e}_y \), and \( \hat{e}_z \).

Let \( \varepsilon_0 \) and \( \mu_0 \) respectively denote the permittivity and permeability of the vacuum, and introduce the scaled electric and magnetic fields:

\[
\mathcal{E} := \sqrt{\varepsilon_0} E, \quad \mathcal{H} := \sqrt{\mu_0} H, \tag{6}
\]

and the relative permittivity and permeability:

\[
\hat{\varepsilon} := \varepsilon / \varepsilon_0, \quad \hat{\mu} := \mu / \mu_0,
\]

which are generally complex-valued functions of \( \mathbf{r} \). Then the dynamical Maxwell equations take the form:

\[
\nabla \times \mathcal{E} - i k \hat{\mu} \mathcal{H} = 0, \tag{7}
\]
\[
\nabla \times \mathcal{H} + i k \hat{\varepsilon} \mathcal{E} = 0, \tag{8}
\]

where \( k = \omega / c \) is the wavenumber, and \( c = 1 / \sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0} \) is the speed of light in vacuum. In terms of the scaled electric and magnetic fields, the time-averaged Poynting vector takes the form:

\[
\langle S \rangle = \frac{c}{2} \text{Re}(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{H}^*), \tag{9}
\]

where “Re” stands for the real part of its argument.
The standard setup for the scattering of EM waves rests on the assumption that for \( r := |\mathbf{r}| \to \infty \) the inhomogeneity of \( \mathcal{M} \) diminishes, i.e., \( \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}) \to 1 \) and \( \hat{\mu}(\mathbf{r}) \to 1 \), at such a rate that (7) and (8) admit solutions fulfilling the asymptotic boundary condition:

\[
\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{E}_0 \left[ e^{i\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i + \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} f(\mathbf{k}_s, \mathbf{k}_i) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_s \right] \quad \text{for} \quad r \to \infty, \tag{10}
\]

where \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) is a constant, \( \mathbf{k}_i \) and \( \mathbf{k}_s := \mathbf{k}r/r = k\hat{\mathbf{r}} \) are respectively the wave vectors for the incident and scattered waves, \( \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{e}}_s \) are the unit vectors specifying the polarization of the incident and scattered waves, and \( f(\mathbf{k}_s, \mathbf{k}_i) \) is the scattering amplitude whose modulus-square yields the differential cross section:

\[
\sigma_d(\mathbf{k}_s, \mathbf{k}_i) = |f(\mathbf{k}_s, \mathbf{k}_i)|^2. \tag{11}
\]

The first and second terms in the square bracket in (10) respectively correspond to the incident and scattered waves;

\[
\mathbf{E}_i(\mathbf{r}) := \mathcal{E}_0 e^{i\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i, \quad \mathbf{E}_s(\mathbf{r}) := \frac{\mathcal{E}_0 e^{ikr}}{r} f(\mathbf{k}_s, \mathbf{k}_i) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_s. \tag{12}
\]

Their wave and polarization vectors satisfy:

\[
|\mathbf{k}_i| = |\mathbf{k}_s| = k, \quad \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \cdot \mathbf{k}_i = 0, \quad \hat{\mathbf{e}}_s \cdot \mathbf{k}_s = k \hat{\mathbf{e}}_s \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} = 0.
\]

Recall also that the differential cross section is defined in terms of the time-averaged Poynting vectors for the incident and scattered waves, \( \langle S_i \rangle \) and \( \langle S_s \rangle \), according to [2]:

\[
\sigma_d(\mathbf{k}_s, \mathbf{k}_i) := \frac{r^2 |\langle S_s \rangle|}{|\langle S_i \rangle|}. \tag{13}
\]

Solving the scattering problem for \( \mathcal{M} \) means determining the scattering amplitude \( f(\mathbf{k}_s, \mathbf{k}_i) \), which is generally a complex-valued function of \( k \), the directions \( \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i = \mathbf{k}_i/k \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{k}}_s = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \) of the incident and scattered wavevectors, and their polarization \( \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{e}}_s \).

### 3 Transfer matrix for scattering of EM waves

Let us choose a coordinate system in which the source of the incident wave and the detectors are placed on the planes \( z = \pm \infty \). If the source is located at \( z = -\infty \) (respectively \( z = +\infty \)) we use the qualification “left-incident” (respectively “right-incident”) for the incident wave. In this case, \( \mathbf{k}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z > 0 \) (respectively \( \mathbf{k}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z < 0 \)). In the following, we first consider the scattering problem for the left-incident waves, which we refer to as “scattering from the left.”

We begin our analysis by introducing a useful notation: Given a vector or a vector-valued function, \( \mathbf{v} = v_x \mathbf{e}_x + v_y \mathbf{e}_y + v_z \mathbf{e}_z \), we use \( \vec{v} \) to denote the projection of \( \mathbf{v} \) onto the \( x-y \) plane, i.e., \( \vec{v} := v_x \mathbf{e}_x + v_y \mathbf{e}_y \), and identify it with the column vector \( \begin{bmatrix} v_x \\ v_y \end{bmatrix} \).
3.1 Four-component EM fields

In view of (7) and (8), we can express $E_z$ and $H_z$ in the form

$$E_z = \frac{i}{k \hat{\varepsilon}} (\partial_x H_y - \partial_y H_x),$$

$$H_z = -\frac{i}{k \hat{\mu}} (\partial_x E_y - \partial_y E_x).$$

(14)

Substituting these back into (7) and (8), we obtain a system of equations for the components of $\vec{E} := \begin{bmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \end{bmatrix}$ and $\vec{H} := \begin{bmatrix} H_x \\ H_y \end{bmatrix}$. In terms of the four-component field $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{H}$, we have

$$\vec{E} = \hat{\varepsilon} \left[ \begin{array}{c} E_x \\ E_y \end{array} \right], \quad \vec{H} = \hat{\mu} \left[ \begin{array}{c} H_x \\ H_y \end{array} \right].$$

(15)

this is equivalent to the Schrödinger equation:

$$i \partial_z \Phi(x, y, z) = \hat{H} \Phi(x, y, z),$$

(16)

where

$$\hat{H} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{L}_{\hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}, \hat{\mu}} \\ -\hat{L}_{\hat{\mu}^{-1}, \hat{\varepsilon}} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

(17)

$0$ is the $2 \times 2$ null matrix, and for each pair of complex-valued functions $f(r)$ and $g(r)$,

$$\hat{L}[f, g] := \frac{1}{k} \begin{bmatrix} f \partial_x \partial_y + (\partial_x f) \partial_y & -f \partial_x^2 - (\partial_x f) \partial_y - k^2 g \\ f \partial_y^2 + (\partial_y f) \partial_y + k^2 g & -f \partial_y \partial_x - (\partial_y f) \partial_x \end{bmatrix}. $$

(18)

For EM waves propagating in vacuum, where $\hat{\varepsilon} = \hat{\mu} = 1$, we have $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0$, where

$$\hat{H}_0 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{L}_0 \\ -\hat{L}_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{L}_0 := \hat{L}[1, 1].$$

(19)

These together with (18) imply

$$\hat{H}_0^2 = (\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + k^2) I,$$

(20)

where $I$ is the identity matrix. Denoting the four-component field $\Phi$ for waves propagating in vacuum by $\Phi_0$, so that

$$i \partial_z \Phi_0 = \hat{H}_0 \Phi_0,$$

(21)

applying $\hat{H}_0$ to both sides of this equation from the left, and using (20), we find the Helmholtz equation: $(\nabla^2 + k^2) \Phi_0 = 0$. We can express the general plane-wave solutions of this equation in the form,

$$\Phi_0(\vec{r}) = \Phi_0(\vec{r}, z) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^2 \vec{p} e^{i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}} \left[ A(\vec{p}) e^{i \omega(\vec{p}) z} + B(\vec{p}) e^{-i \omega(\vec{p}) z} \right].$$

(22)

---
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where
\[ \vec{p} := p_x \mathbf{e}_x + p_y \mathbf{e}_y, \quad \vec{r} := x \mathbf{e}_x + y \mathbf{e}_y, \]

\[ \mathcal{D}_k := \{ \vec{p} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |\vec{p}| < k \}, \quad \varpi(\vec{p}) := \sqrt{k^2 - |\vec{p}|^2}, \]

and \( A, B : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^4 \) are vector-valued coefficient functions that vanish outside \( \mathcal{D}_k \); they belong to the function space \( \mathcal{F}_k^d \), where

\[ \mathcal{F}_k^d := \left\{ F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^d \mid F(\vec{p}) = 0 \text{ for } |\vec{p}| \geq k \right\}, \quad d = 1, 2, 3, 4. \tag{23} \]

In the following we identify elements \( F \) of \( \mathcal{F}_k^d \) with \( d \)-component fields whose components belong to \( \mathcal{F}_k \). In particular, for \( d = 4 \), we have

\[ F = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \\ F_3 \\ F_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4 \in \mathcal{F}_k. \tag{24} \]

Next, we examine the Fourier transform of the plane-wave solution \( \Phi_0(\vec{p}, z) \) with respect to \( \vec{r} \), i.e.,

\[ \tilde{\Phi}_0(\vec{p}, z) = \mathcal{F}_\vec{p}\{ \Phi_0(\vec{r}, z) \} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2 \vec{r} e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}} \Phi_0(\vec{r}, z). \tag{25} \]

It is clear from \( \Phi_0(\vec{p}, z) \) that

\[ \tilde{\Phi}_0(\vec{p}, z) = A(\vec{p}) e^{i\varpi(\vec{p})z} + B(\vec{p}) e^{-i\varpi(\vec{p})z}. \tag{26} \]

We can use this equation together with \( \Phi_0(\vec{p}, z) \) to show that

\[ \tilde{H}_0(\vec{p}) A(\vec{p}) = -\varpi(\vec{p}) A(\vec{p}), \quad \tilde{H}_0(\vec{p}) B(\vec{p}) = \varpi(\vec{p}) B(\vec{p}), \tag{27} \]

where

\[ \tilde{H}_0(\vec{p}) := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & L_0(\vec{p}) \\ -L_0^*(\vec{p}) & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_0(\vec{p}) := \frac{1}{k} \begin{bmatrix} -p_x p_y & p_x^2 - k^2 \\ -p_x + k^2 & p_x p_y \end{bmatrix}. \tag{28} \]

It is also easy to see that

\[ \tilde{H}_0(\vec{p})^2 = \varpi(\vec{p})^2 \mathbf{I}. \tag{29} \]

According to Eq. \( \Phi_0(\vec{p}, z) \) and the fact that \( \varpi(\vec{p}) \neq 0 \) for \( \vec{p} \in \mathcal{D}_k \), \( A(\vec{p}) \) and \( B(\vec{p}) \) are eigenvectors of \( \tilde{H}_0(\vec{p}) \) with distinct eigenvalues. This implies that they are linearly independent. In particular, we can determine \( A(\vec{p}) \) and \( B(\vec{p}) \) from their sum, \( C(\vec{p}) := A(\vec{p}) + B(\vec{p}) \), using the relations:

\[ A(\vec{p}) = \Pi_1(\vec{p}) C(\vec{p}), \quad B(\vec{p}) = \Pi_2(\vec{p}) C(\vec{p}), \tag{30} \]

where

\[ \Pi_j(\vec{p}) := \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbf{I} + \frac{(-1)^j}{\varpi(\vec{p})^2} \tilde{H}_0(\vec{p}) \right], \quad j = 1, 2. \tag{31} \]

It is easy to see that for every \( F \in \mathcal{F}_k^4 \), \( \Pi_j(\vec{p}) F(\vec{p}) \) is an eigenvector of \( \tilde{H}_0(\vec{p}) \) with eigenvalue \( (-1)^j \varpi(\vec{p}) \), and

\[ \Pi_1(\vec{p}) + \Pi_2(\vec{p}) = \mathbf{I}, \quad \Pi_j(\vec{p}) \Pi_j(\vec{p}) = \delta_{ij} \Pi_j(\vec{p}), \tag{32} \]
where \( \delta_{ij} \) is the Kronecker delta symbol. Let us also note that in light of \([26], [27], [30], \) and \([32]\),
\[
C(\vec{p}) = e^{iz\hat{H}_0(\vec{p})} \hat{\Phi}_0(\vec{p}, z).
\]

Next, we identify \( 4 \times 4 \) matrices \( K \) with the linear operators acting on the space \( \mathbb{C}^4 \) of \( 4 \times 4 \) complex matrices \( X \) by matrix multiplication, i.e., \( X \to KX \). Then \([32]\) identifies \( \{\Pi_1(\vec{p}), \Pi_2(\vec{p})\} \) with a complete orthogonal set of projection operators that project vectors onto the eigenspaces of \( \tilde{H}_0(\vec{p}) \), i.e., they are eigenprojection operators of \( \tilde{H}_0(\vec{p}) \). Because \( \tilde{H}_0(\vec{p}) \) is manifestly non-Hermitian, the existence of a corresponding complete orthogonal set of eigenprojectors may seem unexpected. A simple explanation for this phenomenon is provided by the fact that \( \tilde{H}_0(\vec{p}) \) is an \( \eta_+ \)-pseudo-Hermitian operator, i.e., \( \tilde{H}_0(\vec{p})^\dagger = \eta_+ \tilde{H}_0(\vec{p}) \eta_+^{-1} \), for a positive-definite matrix (metric operator) \( \eta_+ \), \([53, 54]\). This follows from the fact that \( \tilde{H}_0(\vec{p}) \) is a diagonalizable matrix with real eigenvalues and identifies it with a self-adjoint operator acting in the inner-product space \( \mathbb{C}^4_{\eta_+} \) obtained by endowing \( \mathbb{C}^4 \) with the inner product \( \langle X, Y \rangle_{\eta_+} := X^\dagger \eta_+ Y \), \([53, 54]\). We can indeed use the prescription outlined in \([53, 54]\) to determine the general form of \( \eta_+ \). A particular example is
\[
\eta_+ = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},
\]
where
\[
\Theta := \frac{1}{k^2 \omega(\vec{p})^2} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} (k^2 - p_y^2)^2 + p_x^2 p_y^2 & p_x p_y [k^2 + \omega(\vec{p})^2] \\ p_x p_y [k^2 + \omega(\vec{p})^2] & (k^2 - p_x^2)^2 + p_x^2 p_y^2 \end{array} \right].
\]

### 3.2 Definition of transfer matrix

Consider the scattering setup for EM waves and suppose that the inhomogeneity of the medium decays so rapidly for \( z \to \pm \infty \) that every solution of the Maxwell’s equations \([7]\) and \([8]\) tend to a plane wave as \( z \to \pm \infty \). This implies that the four-component field \( \Phi \) has the following asymptotic expression.
\[
\Phi(\vec{r}, z) = \Phi_\pm(\vec{r}, z) \quad \text{for} \quad z \to \pm \infty,
\]
where
\[
\Phi_\pm(\vec{r}, z) := \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_k} d^2 p e^{i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}} \left[ A_\pm(\vec{p}) e^{i\omega(\vec{p})z} + B_\pm(\vec{p}) e^{-i\omega(\vec{p})z} \right],
\]
and \( A_\pm \) and \( B_\pm \) belong to \( \mathcal{F}_k^4 \). Because \( \Phi_\pm(\vec{r}, z) \) are plane-wave solutions of \([21]\), the sum of \( A_\pm \) and \( B_\pm \), i.e.,
\[
C_\pm := A_\pm + B_\pm,
\]
satisfies
\[
C_\pm(\vec{p}) = e^{iz\tilde{H}_0(\vec{p})} \tilde{\Phi}_\pm(\vec{p}, z).
\]

Let us now recall the definition of the transfer matrix in one dimension [Eq. \([3]\)] and its higher dimensional generalization that is given in Ref. \([44]\). These together with \([35]\) and \([36]\) suggest to identity the EM transfer matrix as a linear operator that maps \( A_- \) and \( B_- \) to \( A_+ \) and \( B_+ \). We can introduce the operators \( \tilde{\Pi}_j : \mathcal{F}_k^4 \to \mathcal{F}_k^4 \) by
\[
(\tilde{\Pi}_j F)(\vec{p}) := \Pi_j(\vec{p}) F(\vec{p}) \quad \text{for all} \quad F \in \mathcal{F}_k^4,
\]
where
and express (30) as
\[ A_\pm = \hat{\Pi}_1 C_\pm, \quad B_\pm = \hat{\Pi}_2 C_\pm. \] (40)

According to these equations, we can recover \( A_\pm \) and \( B_\pm \) from \( C_\pm \). Motivated by this observation, we propose the following definition for an EM transfer matrix.

**Definition 1:** The transfer matrix for the electromagnetic waves scattered by an isotropic medium is the linear operator \( \hat{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{F}_k^4 \to \mathcal{F}_k^4 \) satisfying,
\[ C_+ = \hat{\mathcal{M}} C_-, \] (41)
where \( C_\pm := A_\pm + B_\pm \), and \( A_\pm \) and \( B_\pm \) are the coefficient functions determining the asymptotic expression for the scattering solutions of (16) via (35) and (36).

Because \( \mathcal{F}_k^4 \) consists of four-component fields (24) with components belonging to \( \mathcal{F}_k^1 \), \( \hat{\mathcal{M}} \) is a \( 4 \times 4 \) matrix with operator entries acting in \( \mathcal{F}_k^1 \); it is not a numerical matrix. We can identify it with an integral operator that has a complex \( 4 \times 4 \) matrix-valued kernel \( M(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) \):
\[ (\hat{\mathcal{M}} F)(\vec{p}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2 \vec{q} M(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) F(\vec{q}). \]

The question of the existence and uniqueness of \( \hat{\mathcal{M}} \) is equivalent to whether the asymptotic expression for the electromagnetic field at \( z = -\infty \) determines the field and consequently its asymptotic expression at \( z = +\infty \) in a unique manner. The latter is a physical condition that is clearly fulfilled for situations where \( \hat{\varepsilon} - 1 \) and \( \hat{\mu} - 1 \) have compact supports.

### 3.3 Transfer matrix and the Reflection and transmission amplitudes

Consider a left-incident plane wave with wavevector \( \mathbf{k}_i \), polarization vector \( \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \), and the scaled electric and magnetic fields:
\[ \mathcal{E}_i(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{E}_0 e^{i\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i, \quad \mathcal{H}_i(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{E}_0 e^{i\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i. \] (42)

Because \( \mathbf{k}_i \) has a positive component along the \( z \)-axis, we can express it in the form
\[ \mathbf{k}_i = \vec{k}_i + \varpi(\vec{k}_i) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z, \] (43)
where \( \vec{k}_i \) is the projection of \( \mathbf{k}_i \) onto the \( x-y \) plane, and
\[ \varpi(\vec{k}_i) := \sqrt{k^2 - |\vec{k}_i|^2}. \]

In view of (42), the four-component field (15) for the above left-incident wave is given by
\[ \Phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{E}_0 e^{i\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{\Upsilon}_i, \] (44)
where
\[ \mathbf{\Upsilon}_i := \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x \cdot (\mathbf{k}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i) \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y \cdot (\mathbf{k}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \\ (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x \times \mathbf{k}_i) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \\ (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y \times \mathbf{k}_i) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \end{bmatrix}. \] (45)
Equation (44) together with the fact that in the scattering process for a left-incident wave there is no wave emitted from a source located on the plane \( z = +\infty \) show that the scattering solution (10) corresponds to the following choice for the coefficient functions \( A_-(\vec{p}) \) and \( B_+ (\vec{p}) \) entering (36):

\[
A_-(\vec{p}) = 4\pi^2 \delta(\vec{p} - \vec{k}_i) \mathbf{Y}_i, \quad B_+(\vec{p}) = 0. \tag{46}
\]

Furthermore, according to (32) and (40),

\[
\Pi_1 (\vec{p}) A_\pm (\vec{p}) = A_\pm (\vec{p}), \quad \Pi_2 (\vec{p}) B_\pm (\vec{p}) = B_\pm (\vec{p}), \quad \Pi_1 (\vec{p}) B_\pm (\vec{p}) = \Pi_2 (\vec{p}) A_\pm (\vec{p}) = 0. \tag{47}
\]

The first of these relations together with (46) imply

\[
\Pi_1 (\vec{k}_i) \mathbf{Y}_i = \mathbf{Y}_i, \quad \Pi_2 (\vec{k}_i) \mathbf{Y}_i = 0. \tag{48}
\]

Next, we introduce:

\[
T_- := B_- - B_+, \quad T_+ := A_+ - A_-. \tag{49}
\]

For the scattering of a left-incident plane wave, where (46) holds, \( T_\pm \) yield the left reflection and transmission amplitudes:

\[
T_-^l (\vec{p}) := B_- (\vec{p}), \quad T_+^l (\vec{p}) := A_+ (\vec{p}) - 4\pi^2 \delta(\vec{p} - \vec{k}_i) \mathbf{Y}_i. \tag{50}
\]

To see the reason for this terminology, consider the asymptotic form of the four-component field for the scattered wave which we label by \( \Phi_{s}(r) \). According to (10) and (12), the scaled electric field for the scattered wave has the form \( \mathbf{E}(r) - \mathbf{E}_i(r) \) for \( r \to \infty \). This shows that

\[
\Phi_{s}(r) = \Phi(r) - \Phi_i(r), \tag{51}
\]

where \( \Phi(r) \) is the four-component field given by (35), (36), and (46). Evaluating the Fourier transform of the both sides of (51) with respect to \( \vec{r} \), taking the limit \( z \to \pm\infty \), and using (50), we find

\[
\tilde{\Phi}_{s}(\vec{p}, z) = \begin{cases} 
T_-^l (\vec{p}) e^{-i\omega(z)z} & \text{for } z \to -\infty, \\
T_+^l (\vec{p}) e^{i\omega(z)z} & \text{for } z \to +\infty.
\end{cases} \tag{52}
\]

Let us also note the following simple consequences of (39), (47), (48), and (50).

\[
\tilde{\Pi}_1 T_+^l = T_+^l, \quad \tilde{\Pi}_2 T_-^l = T_-^l, \quad \tilde{\Pi}_1 T_-^l = \tilde{\Pi}_2 T_+^l = 0. \tag{53}
\]

With the help of (46) and (50), we can express the coefficient functions \( C_\pm \) of (37) in the form:

\[
C_\pm = T_-^l + 4\pi^2 \mathbf{Y}_i \delta_{\vec{k}_i}, \tag{54}
\]

where \( \delta_{\vec{k}_i} \) stands for the Dirac delta function centered at \( \vec{k}_i \), i.e.,

\[
\delta_{\vec{k}_i} (\vec{p}) := \delta(\vec{p} - \vec{k}_i). \tag{55}
\]

Substituting (54) in (41), we find

\[
T_+^l = \tilde{\mathbf{M}} T_-^l + 4\pi^2 (\mathbf{M} - \tilde{\mathbf{I}}) \mathbf{Y}_i \delta_{\vec{k}_i}. \tag{56}
\]
Here and in what follows $\hat{I}$ stands for the identity operator acting in $\mathcal{F}_k^d$, i.e., for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_k^d$, $\hat{I}F := F = IF$. If we apply the projection operator $\hat{\Pi}_2$ of (39) to both sides of (56) and make use of (18) and (53), we obtain

$$\hat{\Pi}_2\hat{M}T_+ = -4\pi^2\hat{\Pi}_2\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Y}}\delta_{k_i}. \quad (57)$$

Furthermore, using (53) we can respectively establish the following consequences of (56) and (57).

$$T_+ = -\hat{\Pi}_1\left(\hat{I} - \hat{M}\right)\left(T_+ + 4\pi^2\mathcal{Y}_i\delta_{k_i}\right), \quad (58)$$

$$T_- = \hat{\Pi}_2\left(\hat{I} - \hat{M}\right)\left(T_- + 4\pi^2\mathcal{Y}_i\delta_{k_i}\right). \quad (59)$$

Equations (56) and (57) turn out to be equivalent to a pair of equations that have the same structure as those satisfied by the entries of the transfer matrix of potential scattering in two and three dimensions [44]. To see this we introduce the operators $\hat{M}_{ij} : \mathcal{F}_k^d \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_k^d$ according to

$$\hat{M}_{ij} := \hat{\Pi}_i\hat{M}\hat{\Pi}_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad (60)$$

and employ (53) to express (57) as

$$\hat{M}_{22}T_- = -4\pi^2\hat{M}_{21}\mathcal{Y}_i\delta_{k_i}. \quad (61)$$

Similarly by applying $\hat{\Pi}_1$ to both sides of (56), we have

$$T_+ = \hat{M}_{12}T_- + 4\pi^2(\hat{M}_{11} - \hat{I})\mathcal{Y}_i\delta_{k_i}. \quad (62)$$

Equation (61) is a system of linear non-homogeneous integral equations for the left reflection amplitude $T_- (\vec{p})$. Solving this system and inserting the result in (56) we can determine the left transmission amplitude $T_+ (\vec{p})$. Expressing the solution of (56) as the application of the inverse of the operator $\hat{M}_{22}$ on the right-hand side of this equation and using the result in (62), we find

$$T_- = -4\pi^2\hat{M}_{22}^{-1}\hat{M}_{21}\mathcal{Y}_i\delta_{k_i}, \quad (63)$$

$$T_+ = 4\pi^2\left(\hat{M}_{11} - \hat{I} - \hat{M}_{12}\hat{M}_{22}^{-1}\hat{M}_{21}\right)\mathcal{Y}_i\delta_{k_i}. \quad (64)$$

It is absolutely remarkable that dropping $\mathcal{Y}_i$ in (63) and (64) we recover equations (20) of Ref. [44] which are derived for the entries of the transfer matrix of potential scattering in two and three dimensions.

### 3.4 Connection to scattering amplitude and cross section

In the preceding subsection, we show that the reflection and transmission amplitudes $T_+ (\vec{p})$ satisfy a set of linear equations involving the EM transfer matrix $\hat{M}$. Here we derive explicit expressions for the scattering amplitude and differential cross section in terms of $T_+ (\vec{p})$. This in turn establishes the physical significance of our transfer matrix as a linear (integral) operator containing the complete information about the scattering features of the scattering medium.

First, we consider the four-component field for the scattered wave,

$$\Phi_s (r) = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{E}_s (r) \\ \tilde{H}_s (r) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (65)$$
and recall that in view of (7), (12), and the fact that $\hat{\mu} = 1$ for $r \to \infty$,

$$
\mathcal{E}_s(r) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_0}{r} e^{ikr} f(k_i, k_s) \hat{e}_s \quad \text{for} \quad r \to \infty,
$$

(66)

$$
\mathcal{H}_s(r) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_0}{r} e^{ikr} f(k_i, k_s) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{e}_s \quad \text{for} \quad r \to \infty.
$$

(67)

We can also obtain the following asymptotic expression for $\Phi_s(r)$ by evaluating the inverse Fourier transform of both sides of (52) with respect to $\hat{p}$.

$$
\Phi_s(r, z) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d^2 \hat{p} \mathbf{T}_\pm(\hat{p}) e^{\pm i\varphi(\hat{p}) z} e^{i\hat{r} \cdot \hat{p}} \quad \text{for} \quad z \to \pm \infty.
$$

(68)

Using the asymptotic expression for $e^{\pm i\varphi(\hat{p}) z} e^{i\hat{r} \cdot \hat{p}}$ that is derived in Appendix F of Ref. [44], we can write (68) in the form:

$$
\Phi_s(r, z) = \frac{-i\mathcal{E}_0}{2\pi r} (\mathcal{E}_s) \mathbf{T}_\pm(\mathbf{k}_s) \quad \text{for} \quad z \to \pm \infty.
$$

(69)

Substituting (66) and (67) in (65) and comparing the result with (69), we find

$$
\mathcal{E}_s(k_i, k_s) \mathbf{Y}_s = \frac{-i\mathcal{E}_0}{2\pi} \mathbf{T}_\pm(\mathbf{k}_s) \quad \text{for} \quad \pm \hat{e}_z \cdot \hat{k}_s > 0,
$$

(70)

where

$$
\mathbf{Y}_s := 
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{e}_x \cdot \hat{e}_s \\
\hat{e}_y \cdot \hat{e}_s \\
\hat{e}_z \cdot (\hat{r} \times \hat{e}_s) \\
\hat{e}_x \cdot (\hat{r} \times \hat{e}_s)
\end{pmatrix} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{e}_x \cdot \hat{e}_s \\
\hat{e}_y \cdot \hat{e}_s \\
(\hat{e}_x \times \hat{r}) \cdot \hat{e}_s \\
(\hat{e}_y \times \hat{r}) \cdot \hat{e}_s
\end{pmatrix} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{e}_x \cdot \hat{e}_s \\
\hat{e}_y \cdot \hat{e}_s \\
(-\cos \vartheta \hat{e}_y + \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi \hat{e}_z) \cdot \hat{e}_s \\
(\cos \vartheta \hat{e}_x - \sin \vartheta \cos \varphi \hat{e}_z) \cdot \hat{e}_s
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(71)

and $\vartheta$ and $\varphi$ are respectively the polar and azimuthal angles in the spherical coordinates, so that

$$
\hat{k}_s = \hat{r} = \sin \vartheta \cos \varphi \hat{e}_x + \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi \hat{e}_y + \cos \vartheta \hat{e}_z.
$$

We can use (71) to determine $\hat{e}_s$ in terms of $\mathbf{Y}_s$. To see this, we introduce

$$
\mathbf{e}_x := 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_y := 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_z := 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
\sin \vartheta \sin \varphi \\
-\sin \vartheta \cos \varphi
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(72)

and use $\hat{r} \cdot \hat{e}_s = 0$ to check that $\mathbf{e}_j^\dagger \mathbf{Y}_s = \hat{e}_j \cdot \hat{e}_s$ for $j = x, y, z$. The latter equation implies

$$
\hat{e}_s = \Xi^\dagger \mathbf{Y}_s,
$$

(73)

where

$$
\Xi^\dagger := \hat{e}_x \mathbf{e}_x^\dagger + \hat{e}_y \mathbf{e}_y^\dagger + \hat{e}_z \mathbf{e}_z^\dagger.
$$

(74)

Applying $\Xi^\dagger$ to both sides of (70) from the left and using (72) yield

$$
f(k_i, k_s) \hat{e}_s = \frac{-i\mathcal{E}_0}{2\pi} \Xi^\dagger \mathbf{T}_\pm(\mathbf{k}_s) \quad \text{for} \quad \pm \hat{e}_z \cdot \mathbf{k}_s > 0.
$$

(74)
Dividing both sides of this equation by the norm of its right-hand side and noting that $\hat{e}_s$ is a unit vector, we can determine it up to a phase factor. Substituting the result in (74) yields $f(k_i, k_s)$. The undetermined phase factor is physically irrelevant, because it does not enter the expression for the scattered electric field. The latter is uniquely determined by the right-hand side of (74).

Another consequence of (71) is the identity: $\hat{\Upsilon}_s^f \Upsilon_s = 1 + \cos^2 \vartheta$. This together with (70) imply

$$f(k_i, k_s) = -\frac{i \varpi(\vec{k}_s)}{2\pi \sqrt{1 + \cos^2 \vartheta}} \hat{\Upsilon}_s^f T^l_{\pm} (\vec{k}_s) \quad \text{for} \quad \pm \cos \vartheta > 0,$$

where $\hat{\Upsilon}_s := (1 + \cos^2 \vartheta)^{-1/2} \Upsilon_s$. According to (11) and (75), the differential cross section is given by

$$\sigma_d(k_i, k_s) = \frac{\varpi(\vec{k}_s)^2 T^l_{\pm} (\vec{k}_s) T^{l*}_{\pm} (\vec{k}_s)}{4\pi^2 (1 + \cos^2 \vartheta)} \quad \text{for} \quad \pm \cos \vartheta > 0,$$

where we have made use of the fact that $T^l_{\pm} (\vec{k}_s)$ is a scalar multiple of $\hat{\Upsilon}_s$, which is a unit four-component vector. In Appendix A we offer an alternative derivation of (76).

The above analysis reduces the solution of the scattering problem for left-incident EM waves scattered by an isotropic medium to the determination of the transfer matrix $\hat{M}$ and the solution of the integral equation for $T^l$, namely (61). This together with (62), (75), and (76) yield the scattering amplitude and differential cross section.

Now, consider a right-incident wave. Then the incident wavevector $k_i$ has a negative $z$-component, so that $k_i = \vec{k}_i - \varpi(\vec{k}) \hat{e}_z$, and the coefficient functions $A_-$ and $B_+$ appearing in the asymptotic expression for the four-component field (36) satisfy

$$A_-(\vec{p}) = 0, \quad B_+(\vec{p}) = 4\pi^2 \delta(\vec{p} - \vec{k}_i) \Upsilon_1.$$

Substituting these in (12), we find $T^l_{\pm}(\vec{p}) = T^r_{\pm}(\vec{p})$ where

$$T^r_-(\vec{p}) := B_-(\vec{p}) - 4\pi^2 \delta(\vec{p} - \vec{k}_i) \Upsilon_1, \quad T^r_+(\vec{p}) := A_+(\vec{p}).$$

We can respectively interpret these as the transmission and reflection amplitudes for the right-incident wave. In view of (30) and (77), we have $\Pi_1(\vec{k}_i) \Upsilon_1 = 0$ and $\Pi_2(\vec{k}_i) \Upsilon_1 = \Upsilon_1$. Making use of these relations, we can repeat our derivation of the relationship between $\hat{M}$ and $T^l_{\pm}$ to obtain the analogs of (61) and (62) for right-incident waves. This results in

$$\hat{M}_{22} T^r_- = 4\pi^2 \left( \hat{I} - \hat{M}_{22} \right) \Upsilon_1 \delta_{\vec{k}_i}, \quad T^r_+ = \hat{M}_{12} (T^r_- + 4\pi^2 \Upsilon_1 \delta_{\vec{k}_i}).$$

Writing the solution of (79) in terms of the inverse of the operator $\hat{M}_{22}$ and substituting the result in (80), we find the following analogs of (63) and (64).

$$T^r_- = 4\pi^2 \left( \hat{M}_{22}^{-1} - \hat{I} \right) \Upsilon_1 \delta_{\vec{k}_i}, \quad T^r_+ = 4\pi^2 \hat{M}_{12} \hat{M}_{22}^{-1} \Upsilon_1 \delta_{\vec{k}_i}.$$  

These equations have remarkably the same form as their analogs for the scattering of scalar waves.

For a right-incident wave, the four-component field corresponding to the scattered wave is given by (69) with $T^l_{\pm}$ changed to $T^r_{\pm}$. Because (70)–(76) follow from (69), we can use them for right-incident waves provided that we change $T^l_{\pm}$ to $T^r_{\pm}$. In particular, making this change in (75) and (76) we find the scattering amplitude and differential cross section for a right-incident wave.
4 S-matrix description of the EM transfer matrix and its composition property

In this section, we show that the transfer matrix \( \hat{M} \) for EM scattering can be expressed as the S-matrix of an effective quantum system, i.e., it satisfies \( \bar{\Phi} \) for some Hamiltonian operator \( \mathcal{H} \). This in particular allows us to establish the composition property for the EM transfer matrices that generalizes \( \mu \).

First, we examine the Fourier transform of the four-component field \( \Phi(\vec{r}, z) \) with respect to \( \vec{r} \), i.e.,

\[
\hat{\Phi}(\vec{R}, z) = \mathcal{F}_{\vec{R}}\{\Phi(\vec{r}, z)\} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2 \vec{r} e^{-i\vec{r} \cdot \vec{R}} \Phi(\vec{r}, z).
\]

We can use (16) to show that it satisfies:

\[
i \partial_z \hat{\Phi}(\vec{R}, z) = \hat{H}(z) \hat{\Phi}(\vec{R}, z),
\]

where

\[
\hat{H}(z) := \mathcal{F}_{\vec{R}} \hat{H} \mathcal{F}_{\vec{R}}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{L}[\hat{\epsilon}^{-1}, \hat{\mu}] \\ -\mathcal{L}[\hat{\mu}^{-1}, \hat{\epsilon}] & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}[f, g] := \mathcal{F}_{\vec{R}} \mathcal{L}[f(\vec{r}, z), g(\vec{r}, z)] \mathcal{F}_{\vec{R}}^{-1} = \mathcal{L}[f(\vec{r}, z), g(\vec{r}, z)] \big|_{\vec{r} \to i\vec{R}, \vec{r} \to i\vec{R}},
\]

\( \mathcal{F}_{\vec{R}}^{-1} \) denotes inverse Fourier transformation with respect to \( \vec{R} \), i.e.,

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\vec{R}}^{-1}\{\psi(\vec{R})\} := \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2 \vec{r} e^{i\vec{r} \cdot \vec{R}} \psi(\vec{R}),
\]

\( \vec{\nabla}_R := e_x \partial_{\vec{R}_x} + e_y \partial_{\vec{R}_y}, \vec{\nabla}_r := e_x \partial_x + e_y \partial_y, \) and the \( \mathcal{L}[f(\vec{r}, z), g(\vec{r}, z)] \) appearing in (81) is the normal-ordered operator given by (18). The entries of this operator are second-order differential operators with the following general structure:

\[
\mathcal{L}(\vec{r}, \vec{\nabla}_r) := \sum_{i,j=x,y} f_{ij}(\vec{r}) \partial_i \partial_j + \sum_{i=x,y} g_i(\vec{r}) \partial_i + h(\vec{r}).
\]

Therefore the computation of \( \hat{H}(z) \) involves evaluating operators of the form: \( \mathcal{F}_{\vec{R}} \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\vec{R}, \vec{\nabla}_r) \mathcal{F}_{\vec{R}}^{-1} \).

Applying this operator on a test function \( \xi \) and using the definition of \( \mathcal{F}_{\vec{R}} \) and \( \mathcal{F}_{\vec{R}}^{-1} \), we have

\[
[\mathcal{F}_{\vec{R}} \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\vec{R}, \vec{\nabla}_r) \mathcal{F}_{\vec{R}}^{-1} \xi](\vec{R}) = [\mathcal{F}_{\vec{R}} \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\vec{R}, \vec{\nabla}_r) \mathcal{F}_{\vec{R}}^{-1} \{\xi(q)\}] = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2 \vec{q} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}(\vec{R} - \vec{q}, i\vec{q}) \xi(\vec{q}),
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}(\vec{R}, i\vec{q}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2 \vec{r} e^{-i\vec{r} \cdot \vec{q}} \mathcal{S}_L(\vec{r}, i\vec{q})
\]

is the Fourier transform with respect to \( \vec{r} \) of

\[
\mathcal{S}_L(\vec{r}, i\vec{q}) := -\sum_{i,j=x,y} f_{ij}(\vec{r}) q_i q_j + i \sum_{i=x,y} g_i(\vec{r}) q_i + h(\vec{r}).
\]
The latter is known as the symbol of $\hat{L}(\vec{r}, \nabla_r)$.

For each value of $z$, the four-component field $\Phi(\vec{r}, z)$ defines a function $\Phi(\cdot, z) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$, which for brevity we denote by $\Phi(z)$. This allows us to express (82) as

$$i\partial_z \Phi(z) = \hat{H}(z) \Phi(z).$$

This is a time-dependent Schrödinger equation with $z$ playing the role of time. Let $z_0$ be an initial value of $z$, and $\hat{U}(z, z_0)$ denote the evolution operator associated with the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(z)$. By definition, it satisfies

$$i\partial_z \hat{U}(z, z_0) = \hat{H}(z) \hat{U}(z, z_0), \quad \hat{U}(z_0, z_0) = \hat{I},$$

(89)

$$\Phi(z) = \hat{U}(z, z_0) \Phi(z_0).$$

(90)

A simple consequence of (35) and (90) is

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow -\infty} \Phi_+(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow -\infty} \lim_{z_0 \rightarrow -\infty} \hat{U}(z, z_0) \Phi_-(z_0).$$

(91)

We can use this equation together with (38) to show that

$$\begin{align*}
\Phi_+ = \lim_{z \rightarrow -\infty} e^{iz\hat{H}_0} \Phi_+(z) = & \left[ \lim_{z \rightarrow -\infty} \lim_{z_0 \rightarrow -\infty} e^{iz\hat{H}_0} \hat{U}(z, z_0) e^{-iz_0\hat{H}_0} \right] \Phi_-
= \mathcal{W}(\infty, -\infty) \Phi_-,
\end{align*}$$

(92)

where $\hat{H}_0 : \mathcal{F}_4^k \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_4^k$ is the linear operator defined by

$$\hat{H}_0(\vec{p}) := \hat{H}_0(\vec{p}) \Phi(\vec{p}) \quad \text{for} \quad \Phi \in \mathcal{F}_4^k,$$

(93)

$\hat{H}_0(\vec{p})$ is the $4 \times 4$ matrix given by (28),

$$\hat{W}(z, z_0) := e^{iz\hat{H}_0} \hat{U}(z, z_0) e^{-iz_0\hat{H}_0} = \mathcal{T} \exp \left[ -i \int_{z_0}^z dz' \hat{H}(z') \right]$$

is the evolution operator for the interaction-picture Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H}(z) := e^{iz\hat{H}_0} \left[ \hat{H}(z) - \hat{H}_0 \right] e^{-iz\hat{H}_0},$$

(94)

and $\mathcal{T}$ stands for the “time-ordering” operation with $z$ playing the role of “time.” The operator $\mathcal{W}(\infty, -\infty)$ is known as the scattering operator (S-matrix) [55] for the effective quantum system $\mathcal{S}$ determined by the Hamiltonian operator $\hat{H}(z)$. Because $\Phi_\pm$ belong to the function space $\mathcal{F}_4^k$, we should think of $\hat{H}(z)$ and $\hat{H}(z)$ as operators acting in $\mathcal{F}_4^k$. They are indeed integral operators whose integral kernels we derive in Appendix B.

Comparing (41) and (92), we are led to the following remarkable result.

Theorem 1: The electromagnetic transfer matrix coincides with the S-matrix of $\mathcal{S}$, i.e.,

$$\hat{M} = \mathcal{W}(\infty, -\infty) = \mathcal{T} \exp \left[ -i \int_{-\infty}^\infty dz \hat{H}(z) \right]$$

$$= \hat{I} + \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty (-i)^\ell \int_{-\infty}^\infty \, dz_\ell \int_{-\infty}^{z_\ell} \, dz_{\ell-1} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{z_2} \hat{H}(z_1) \hat{H}(z_{\ell-1}) \cdots \hat{H}(z_1).$$

(95)
A straightforward consequence of this theorem is the composition property of the EM transfer matrix.

Consider the scattering of EM waves by an isotropic medium \( \mathcal{M} \). Let us divide the space by \( n - 1 \) planes that are orthogonal to the \( z \)-axis and intersect it at \( z = a_1, z = a_2, \ldots, z = a_{n-1} \). This yields a partition of the space into \( n \) regions that we identify with their intersection with the \( z \)-axis, namely \( I_1 := (a_0, a_1], I_2 := (a_1, a_2], \ldots, I_{n-1} := (a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}], \) and \( I_n := (a_{n-1}, a_n) \), where \( a_0 := -\infty \) and \( a_n := \infty \). Clearly, along the \( z \)-axis, \( I_i \) is to the left of \( I_j \) if and only if \( i < j \). Let \( \mathcal{M}_\ell \) be a medium whose electromagnetic properties are identical to those of \( \mathcal{M} \) in the region \( I_\ell \) and coincides with vacuum outside \( I_\ell \). We refer to \( I_\ell \) as the “support” of \( \mathcal{M}_\ell \). The interaction-picture Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}(z) \) for \( \mathcal{M}_\ell \) is identical to that of \( \mathcal{M} \) whenever \( z \in I_\ell \) and vanishes for \( z \notin I_\ell \). Consequently, the transfer matrix of \( \mathcal{M}_\ell \) is given by \( \hat{\mathcal{M}}_\ell = \hat{\mathcal{W}}(a_\ell-1, a_\ell) \). This observation together with the fact that \( \hat{\mathcal{W}}(\infty, -\infty) = \hat{\mathcal{W}}(\infty, a_{n-1}) \hat{\mathcal{W}}(a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}) \cdots \hat{\mathcal{W}}(a_1, -\infty) \) proves the following theorem.

**Theorem 2:** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( \mathcal{M}_\ell \) with \( \ell = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) be isotropic scattering media as described above. In particular, \( \mathcal{M}_\ell \) has the same permittivity and permeability profiles as \( \mathcal{M} \) in its support, and the support of \( \mathcal{M}_\ell \) lies to the left of that of \( \mathcal{M}_{\ell+1} \) along the \( z \)-axis. Then the transfer matrix \( \hat{\mathcal{M}} \) of \( \mathcal{M} \) can be expressed in terms of the transfer matrix \( \hat{\mathcal{M}}_\ell \) of \( \mathcal{M}_\ell \) according to

\[
\hat{\mathcal{M}} = \hat{\mathcal{M}}_n \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{n-1} \cdots \hat{\mathcal{M}}_1.
\] (96)

This theorem draws attention to the potential applications of the EM transfer matrix in developing numerical schemes for solving EM scattering problems.

It is important to realize that in contrast to the earlier EM transfer-matrix theories [34, 35], the composition rule [96] does not enter the definition or construction of the transfer matrix we have introduced in Sec. 3. Consequently, the application of our EM transfer-matrix formalism does not require slicing of the medium (scatterer) along the \( z \)-direction, its discretization along the \( x \)- and \( y \)-directions (in position or momentum space), or the use of the composition property [96]. In principle, we can use our approach to describe the scattering of EM waves by an arbitrary isotropic medium that needs not have any particular symmetry. As we show in Secs. 5-7, one can try to determine the transfer matrix by directly evaluating the terms in the Dyson series appearing in the right-hand side of [95]. This makes the applications described in these sections beyond the reach of the conventional transfer-matrix theories.

## 5 Application for a point scatterer

The scattering of electromagnetic waves by a point scatterer is of central importance for various applications and, as a result, has been extensively studied in the literature. Ref. [35] provides an illuminating review of the application of the standard Green’s function methods to this problem. It is well-known that these methods lead to divergences which require renormalization of the coupling constant. In this section, we use the electromagnetic transfer-matrix method we have developed in the preceding sections to offer a solution of the scattering problem for a nonmagnetic point scatterer, i.e., the permittivity and permeability profile given by

\[
\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}) = 1 + \mathbf{3} \delta(\mathbf{r}), \quad \hat{\mu}(\mathbf{r}) = 1,
\] (97)
where \( \mathfrak{z} \) is a possibly complex coupling constant, and \( \delta(\mathbf{r}) \) is the Dirac delta function in three dimensions; \( \delta(\mathbf{r}) := \delta(x)\delta(y)\delta(z) \).

First, we note that according to (94),

\[
\hat{\mathcal{H}}(z) = e^{iz\hat{H}_0}\delta(\hat{H}(z))e^{-iz\hat{H}_0},
\]

(98)

where

\[
\delta\hat{H}(z) := \hat{H}(z) - \hat{H}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \delta\hat{L}_1 \\ -\delta\hat{L}_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

(99)

\[
\delta\hat{L}_1 := \hat{L}[\hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}, \hat{\mu}] - \hat{L}_0 = \hat{L}[\hat{\varepsilon}^{-1} - 1, \hat{\mu} - 1] = \hat{L}[\eta_{\hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}}, \eta_{\hat{\mu}}],
\]

(100)

\[
\delta\hat{L}_2 := \hat{L}[\hat{\mu}^{-1}, \hat{\varepsilon}] - \hat{L}_0 = \hat{L}[\hat{\mu}^{-1} - 1, \hat{\varepsilon} - 1] = \hat{L}[\eta_{\hat{\mu}^{-1}}, \eta_{\hat{\varepsilon}}],
\]

(101)

\( \hat{L}[\cdot, \cdot] \) is defined by (83), \( \hat{L}_0 := \hat{L}[1, 1] \), and for every function \( f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C} \), we use the symbol \( \eta_f \) to denote \( f - 1 \), i.e.,

\[
\eta_f(\mathbf{r}) := f(\mathbf{r}) - 1.
\]

Notice also that \( \hat{L}_0\phi(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \hat{L}_0(\hat{\mathbf{p}})\phi(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) \), where \( \phi \in \mathcal{F}_k^2 \) is an arbitrary test function, and \( \hat{L}_0(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) \) is the \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix given by (28).

For a point scatterer specified by (97),

\[
\eta_{\hat{\varepsilon}}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathfrak{z} \delta(\mathbf{r}) = \mathfrak{m} \delta(\mathbf{r})\delta(z), \quad \eta_{\hat{\mu}}(\mathbf{r}) = \eta_{\hat{\mu}^{-1}}(\mathbf{r}) = 0.
\]

(102)

It is also not difficult to show that for every smooth test function \( \xi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C} \),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r}'\xi(\mathbf{r}') \eta_{\hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}}(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r}' \frac{-\mathfrak{z} \xi'(\mathbf{r}')\delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r})}{1 + \mathfrak{z} \delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r})} = -\frac{\mathfrak{z} \xi(\mathbf{r})}{1 + \mathfrak{z} \delta(0)} = 0.
\]

Therefore, \( \eta_{\hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}}(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \). In view of this relation, (18), and (98) - (102),

\[
\delta\hat{L}_1 = 0, \quad \delta\hat{L}_2 = -i\mathfrak{m} \delta(\mathbf{r})\sigma_2,
\]

(103)

\[
\hat{\mathcal{H}}(z) = i\mathfrak{m} \delta(z)\delta(i\nabla_{\mathbf{p}})\mathbf{K},
\]

(104)

where

\[
\sigma_2 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{K} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

(105)

and \( \delta(i\nabla_{\mathbf{p}}) \) is the operator acting in the function space \( \mathcal{F}_k^d \) according to

\[
\delta(i\nabla_{\mathbf{p}})\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{p}) := \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}_k} d\mathbf{q} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{q}).
\]

(106)

Notice that the right-hand side of this equation does not involve \( \hat{\mathbf{p}} \), i.e., it takes the same value for all \( \hat{\mathbf{p}} \in \mathcal{D}_k \).
Because $K^2 = 0$, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(z_1)\hat{\mathcal{H}}(z_2) = 0$. Therefore, the Dyson series expansion (95) of the EM transfer matrix terminates, and we find

$$\hat{M} = \hat{I} + k_3 \delta(i\vec{v}_p)K = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ k_3 \delta(i\vec{v}_p)\sigma_2 & I \end{bmatrix}. \quad (107)$$

Next, we compute the reflection and transmission amplitudes $T^\pm_l(\vec{p})$. To do this, we first use (107) to express (59) in the form

$$T^l_-(\vec{p}) = -k_3 \Pi(\vec{p})X, \quad (108)$$

where $\vec{p} \in \mathcal{D}_k$ is arbitrary, and

$$X := \delta(i\vec{v}_p)K \left[T^l_-(\vec{p}) + 4\pi^2 \sigma_2 \delta(\vec{p} - \vec{k}_i)\right]. \quad (109)$$

It is important to note that, according to (106), $X$ does not depend on $\vec{p}$.

Let us express $T^l_-(\vec{p})$, $X$, and $\Upsilon_i$ as:

$$T^l_-(\vec{p}) = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{T}^+_- (\vec{p}) \\ \tilde{T}^-_- (\vec{p}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{X}^+ \\ \tilde{X}^- \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Upsilon_i = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\Upsilon}^+_i \\ \tilde{\Upsilon}^-_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad (110)$$

where $\tilde{T}^\pm_- (\vec{p})$, $\tilde{X}^\pm$, and $\tilde{\Upsilon}^\pm_i$ are two-component column vectors. Then in view of (31), (105), (106), and (108) – (110), we have

$$\tilde{X}^+ = 0, \quad \tilde{T}^+_-(\vec{p}) = -\frac{k_3}{2\varphi(\vec{p})} \hat{L}_0(\vec{p})\tilde{X}^-, \quad \tilde{T}^-_-(\vec{p}) = -\frac{k_3}{2} \tilde{X}^- -, \quad (111)$$

where $\hat{L}_0(\vec{p})$ is given in (28). This reduces the calculation of $T^l_-(\vec{p})$ to that of $\tilde{X}^-$. To determine the latter, we substitute (110) and (111) in (109) and use the result together with (28) and (106) to show that

$$\tilde{X}^- = \sigma_2 \delta(i\vec{v}_p) \left[\tilde{T}^-_-(\vec{p}) + 4\pi^2 \tilde{\Upsilon}^+_i \delta(\vec{p} - \vec{k}_i)\right]$$
$$= -\frac{k_3}{2} \delta(i\vec{v}_p) \left[\varphi(\vec{p})^{-1} \sigma_2 \hat{L}_0(\vec{p})\tilde{X}^- + \sigma_2 \tilde{\Upsilon}^+_i \right]$$
$$= \frac{ik_3^3}{6\pi} \tilde{X}^- + \sigma_2 \tilde{\Upsilon}^+_i.$$

This in turn implies

$$\tilde{X}^- = \sigma_2 \tilde{\Upsilon}^+_i \frac{1}{1 - i3k^3/6\pi}. \quad (112)$$

In view of (28), (31), and (110) – (112),

$$T^l_-(\vec{p}) = \frac{\tilde{\Upsilon}_i}{2\varphi(\vec{p})(1 - i3k^3/6\pi)} \left[\hat{L}_0(\vec{p})\sigma_2 \tilde{\Upsilon}^+_i \right]$$
$$= \frac{3k \Pi_2(\vec{p})K \Upsilon_i}{1 - i3k^3/6\pi}. \quad (113)$$
Similarly, we can use (58) to show that
\[ T^l_\pm(p) = k_\mp \Pi_1(p) X = \frac{3}{1 - i3k^3/6\pi} k \Pi_1(p) K \Upsilon_1. \]  
(114)

Having calculated \( T^l_\pm(p) \), we can employ (73) and (74) to determine the scattering amplitude and differential cross section for the point scatterer. To derive a more explicit expression for these, we first establish the identities:
\[ \varpi(p) \Pi_j(p) K = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} (1 + i)jk \varpi(p) & 0 \\ \varpi(p) \sigma_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \]
(115)
\[ \varpi(p)^2 \Pi_j(p) K \Pi_j(p) K = \frac{k^2}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varpi(p)^2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \]
(116)
where \( j = 1, 2 \) and
\[ J(p) := \frac{i}{k} \hat{\Pi}_0(p) \sigma_2 = \frac{1}{k^2} \begin{bmatrix} k^2 - p_x^2 & -p_x p_y \\ -p_x p_y & k^2 - p_y^2 \end{bmatrix}. \]
In particular,
\[ J(\tilde{k}_s) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \sin^2 \vartheta \cos^2 \phi & -\sin^2 \vartheta \sin \phi \cos \phi \\ -\sin^2 \vartheta \sin \phi \cos \phi & 1 - \sin^2 \vartheta \sin^2 \phi \end{bmatrix}. \]
(117)

Substituting (113) and (114) in (74) and (76) and making use of (45), (73), and (115) – (117) we find
\[ f(k, k_s) e_s = \frac{t(k) \left[ (\hat{r} \cdot \vec{e}_i) \hat{r} - \vec{e}_i \right]}{4\pi \sqrt{|t(k)|^2 - |\hat{r} \cdot \vec{e}_i|^2}}, \]
(118)
\[ \sigma_d(k, k_s) = \frac{|t(k)|^2 (|\vec{e}_i|^2 - |\hat{r} \cdot \vec{e}_i|^2)}{16\pi^2}, \]
(119)
where \( \vec{e}_i \) is the projection of \( \hat{e}_i \) onto the \( x-y \) plane, i.e., \( \vec{e}_i := (\hat{e}_x \cdot \hat{e}_i) \hat{e}_x + (\hat{e}_y \cdot \hat{e}_i) \hat{e}_y = \hat{e}_i - (\hat{e}_z \cdot \hat{e}_i) \hat{e}_z \), and
\[ t(k) := \frac{-k^2}{\bar{3} - i k^3/6\pi}. \]
(120)

As we mentioned above the standard treatment of the scattering problem for the point scatterer yields a Born series involving divergent terms. Ref. [5] outlines a regularization of these divergences. It involves identifying the coupling constant \( 3 \) of (77) with a bare coupling constant \( 3_B \) and introducing a pair of momentum cutoffs \( \Lambda_L \) and \( \Lambda_T \) associated with the divergences arising from the longitudinal and transverse Green’s functions. These enter in the expression for the scattered field after one sums the regularized Born series. This procedure leads to the very same formulas we have found for the scattering amplitude and differential cross-section, namely (118) and (119), provided that we set
\[ t(k) := \frac{-k^2}{3_B - \Lambda_L^2 - k^2 \Lambda_T - i k^3/6\pi}. \]
(121)

Comparing (120) and (121), we see that our method is equivalent to identifying \( 3 \) with the renormalized coupling constant defined by
\[ 3 := \frac{3_B}{1 - (\Lambda_L^2 - k^2 \Lambda_T) / 3_B / 6\pi}. \]
(122)

Note, however, that we did not need to deal with any divergent terms throughout our calculations. Nor did we sum an infinite Born series after renormalizing its terms. This is an important advantage of our method over the standard Green’s function approaches.
6 Perfect broadband invisibility

A medium $\mathcal{M}$ does not scatter an incident EM wave with polarization vector $\hat{e}_i$ and wave vector $\mathbf{k}_i$ if and only if the corresponding scattering amplitude vanishes for every choice of the polarization and wavevector of the scattered wave, $\hat{e}_s$ and $\mathbf{k}_s$. If this happens for a finite or infinite interval of values of the wavenumber $k$ and irrespectively of the choice of $\hat{e}_i$ and $\mathbf{k}_i$, we say that $\mathcal{M}$ displays broadband invisibility. In this section, we derive a simple criterion for invisibility of an isotropic medium for wavenumbers not exceeding a prescribed critical value $\alpha$. To emphasize that our derivation does not rely on any approximation scheme, we call this phenomenon: “perfect broadband invisibility.”

The search for broadband invisibility has a long history. Recent results on the use of conformal mappings [56], metamaterials [57, 58], and transformation optics [59] have led to some important progress in the subject. Our route to perfect broadband invisibility is fundamentally different from these, because as we explain below it makes use of ordinary isotropic media without invoking geometric optics arguments.

According to (75) $\mathcal{M}$ is invisible if and only if $T^{l/r}_{\pm} = 0$. We also recall that $T^{l/r}_{\pm}$ belong to the function space $\mathcal{F}_4^k$, and the EM transfer matrix $\hat{M}$ is a linear operator acting in this space.

In view of (63) and (64), the invisibility condition, $T^{l/r}_{\pm} = 0$, (123) holds, if $\hat{M} = \hat{I}$. Because $\hat{M}$ is the time-ordered exponential of the interaction-picture Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(z)$, we can satisfy (123) by demanding that $\hat{H}(z)$ vanishes identically on $\mathcal{F}_4^k$. We can use (83) and (98) to express this condition in the form

$$\delta \hat{L}_\ell \phi(\vec{p}) = 0 \quad \text{for } \phi \in \mathcal{F}_1^k. \quad (124)$$

According to (99), we can fulfill (124), if $\delta \hat{L}_\ell \phi(\vec{p}) = 0$ for every $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_1^k$ and $\ell = 1, 2$. This in turn means that the entries $[\delta \hat{L}_\ell]_{ij}$ of $\hat{L}_\ell$ satisfy

$$[\delta \hat{L}_\ell]_{ij} \phi(\vec{p}) = 0 \quad \text{for } \phi \in \mathcal{F}_1^k. \quad (125)$$

With the help of (18) and (84) – (87), we can establish (125) by demanding that the following requirement holds for $f = \hat{\epsilon}, \hat{\epsilon}^{-1}, \hat{\mu},$ and $\hat{\mu}^{-1}$.

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_k} d^2 \vec{q} \, \tilde{\eta}_f(\vec{p} - \vec{q}, z) \, \phi(\vec{q}) = 0 \quad \text{for } \phi \in \mathcal{F}_1^k. \quad (126)$$

Making the change of variable: $\vec{q} \rightarrow \vec{q}' := \vec{p} - \vec{q}$, we can express (126) as

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_k(\vec{p})} d^2 \vec{q}' \, \tilde{\eta}_f(\vec{q}', z) \, \phi(\vec{p} - \vec{q}') = 0 \quad \text{for } \phi \in \mathcal{F}_1^k, \quad (127)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_k(\vec{p}) := \{ \vec{q}' \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |\vec{q}' - \vec{p}| < k \}$.

In summary, (127) is a sufficient condition for the vanishing of the scattering amplitude. The following invisibility theorem is a direct consequence of this condition.
Theorem 3: Consider an isotropic scattering medium $\mathcal{M}$ with relative permittivity and permeability profiles $\hat{\varepsilon}$ and $\hat{\mu}$. Let $\eta_f := f - 1$, and $\alpha$ be a given wavenumber scale. Suppose that for $f = \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}, \hat{\mu},$ and $\hat{\mu}^{-1}$, the Fourier transform of $\eta_f(\vec{r}, z)$ with respect to $\vec{r}$, which we denote by $\tilde{\eta}_f(\vec{R}, z)$, satisfies:

$$\tilde{\eta}_f(\vec{R}, z) = 0 \text{ for } |\vec{R}| < 2\alpha. \quad (128)$$

Then $\mathcal{M}$ does not scatter any incident EM plane wave whose wavenumber $k \leq \alpha$.

Proof: Suppose that $k \leq \alpha$. Then for all $\vec{p} \in \mathcal{D}_k$ and $\vec{q}' \in \mathcal{D}_k(\vec{p})$, we have $\vec{q} := \vec{p} - \vec{q}' \in \mathcal{D}_k$ and $|\vec{q}'| = |\vec{p} - \vec{q}| \leq |\vec{p}| + |\vec{q}| < 2k \leq 2\alpha$. This relation together with the hypothesis of the theorem imply that the $\tilde{\eta}_f(\vec{q}', z)$ appearing in $(127)$ vanishes for $f = \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}, \hat{\mu},$ and $\hat{\mu}^{-1}$. Therefore $(127)$ holds, and $\mathcal{M}$ is invisible for incident plane waves with $k \leq \alpha$. $\square$

The characterization of functions $f(\vec{r}, z)$ with $\hat{f}(\vec{R}, z) = \hat{f}^{-1}(\vec{R}, z) = 0$ for $|\vec{R}| \leq 2\alpha$ is not easy. In the following we present a slightly weaker invisibility theorem that allows for a simple construction of permittivity and permeability profiles displaying perfect broadband invisibility. We give a proof of this theorem in Appendix C.

Theorem 4: Let $\mathcal{M}, \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{\mu}, \eta_{\varepsilon}$, and $\eta_{\mu}$ be as in Theorem 3, $\alpha_x$ and $\alpha_y$ be the smallest of $\alpha_x$ and $\alpha_y$, and $\hat{f}(\vec{R}_x, y, z)$ and $\hat{f}(x, \vec{R}_y, z)$ denote the Fourier transform of $f(x, y, z)$ with respect to $x$ and $y$, respectively. Suppose that $\hat{\varepsilon}$ and $\hat{\mu}$ are bounded functions whose real part has a positive lower bound, and the following conditions hold for $f = \hat{\varepsilon}$ and $\hat{\mu}$.

$$\tilde{\eta}_f(\vec{R}_x, y, z) = 0 \text{ for } \vec{R}_x < 2\alpha_x, \quad (129)$$

$$\tilde{\eta}_f(x, \vec{R}_y, z) = 0 \text{ for } \vec{R}_y < 2\alpha_y,$$

Then $\mathcal{M}$ does not scatter any incident EM plane wave whose wavenumber $k \leq \alpha$.

It is easy to check that $(129)$ is equivalent to

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(x, y, z) = e^{2i\alpha_x x}u_{\varepsilon}(x, y, z) + e^{2i\alpha_y y}v_{\varepsilon}(x, y, z) + 1,$$

$$\hat{\mu}(x, y, z) = e^{2i\alpha_x x}u_{\mu}(x, y, z) + e^{2i\alpha_y y}v_{\mu}(x, y, z) + 1,$$  

where $u_{\varepsilon}, u_{\mu}, v_{\varepsilon}, v_{\mu} : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$ are functions fulfilling:

$$\tilde{u}_f(\vec{R}_x, y, z) = \tilde{v}_f(\vec{R}_x, y, z) = 0 \text{ for } \vec{R}_x < 0, \quad (131)$$

$$\tilde{u}_f(x, \vec{R}_y, z) = \tilde{v}_f(x, \vec{R}_y, z) = 0 \text{ for } \vec{R}_y < 0.$$

We can construct concrete examples of such functions by noting that they are inverse Fourier transform of functions $\tilde{w}(\vec{R}_x, \vec{R}_y, z) \equiv 0$ vanishing for $\vec{R}_x < 0$ and $\vec{R}_y < 0$, i.e., they have the generic form:

$$w(\vec{r}, z) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{\infty} d\vec{R}_x \int_0^{\infty} d\vec{R}_y e^{i\vec{R} \cdot \vec{r}} \tilde{w}(\vec{R}_x, \vec{R}_y, z), \quad (132)$$

where $\tilde{w} : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$ is any function such that $\int_0^{\infty} d^2\vec{R} |\tilde{w}(\vec{R}, z)| < \infty$. A typical example is

$$\tilde{w}(\vec{R}_x, \vec{R}_y, z) = \tilde{w}_{\chi_{az}}(\vec{R}_x, \vec{R}_y, z) = \sum_{n_x, n_y} \chi_{an_x}(z) \chi_{an_y}(z), \quad (133)$$

where

$$\chi_{az}(z) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } z \in [0, a], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \quad (133)$$
where \( \mathbf{a} = (\bar{a}, a_z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), \( \bar{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathbb{C} \), and \( n_x \) and \( n_y \) are positive integers. Substituting (133) in (132), we find

\[
w(\vec{r}, z) = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{z}} \chi_{\alpha} (z)}{(x/a_x + i)^{n_x+1} (y/a_y + i)^{n_y+1}},
\]

(134)

where \( \bar{\mathbf{z}} := n_x n_y ! \bar{\mathbf{z}} \big/ [4\pi^2 (-ia_x)^{n_x+1}(-ia_y)^{n_y+1}] \). Our analysis shows that if the relative permittivity and permeability of an isotropic medium \( \mathcal{M} \) is given by (130), and \( u_\varepsilon, u_\mu, v_\varepsilon, \) and \( v_\mu \) have the form (134) with possibly different choices for \( \bar{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{a}, n_x, \) and \( n_y \), then \( \mathcal{M} \) will be invisible for every incident plane wave whose wavenumber \( k \) is smaller than both \( \alpha_x \) and \( \alpha_y \). It is not difficult to see that \( \mathcal{M} \) describes a slab of thickness \( a_z \) that occupies the space between the planes \( z = 0 \) and \( z = a_z \) and is surrounded by vacuum.

The above characterization of broadband invisibility in isotropic media generalizes the results of [52] on the construction of effectively two-dimensional isotropic media that are invisible for the TE and TM waves with wavenumber not exceeding a critical value. This construction also involves functions whose Fourier transform vanishes on the negative real axis. The relevance of these functions to broadband invisibility in effectively one-dimensional optical systems has been originally noted in [60]. See also [61, 62, 63, 64]. Another notable aspect of our construction is that it does not rely on any approximation scheme; the broadband invisibility displayed by these media is absolutely exact.

### 7 \( \alpha \)-Equivalent Scattering Media

In the preceding section we have obtained simple criteria for the broadband invisibility of isotropic media for wavenumbers \( k \leq \alpha \), where \( \alpha \) is an arbitrary preassigned wavenumber scale. As far as its EM scattering properties are concerned such a medium is equivalent to vacuum whenever the incident wave is an EM plane wave with wavenumber not exceeding \( \alpha \) or a superposition of such plane waves. In the following we extend this notion of equivalence to a pair of scattering media.

**Definition 2:** Let \( \alpha \) be a wavelength scale, and \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_2 \) be a pair of isotropic scattering media. \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_2 \) are said to be \( \alpha \)-equivalent if they have the same scattering amplitude for every incident plane wave whose wavenumber does not exceed \( \alpha \).

The transfer-matrix formulation of the scattering of EM waves provides a simple characterization of the \( \alpha \)-equivalence of scattering media. This is the electromagnetic generalization of the notion of \( \alpha \)-equivalent potentials we have recently developed in Ref. [65].

Let us label the relative permittivity and permeability of the medium \( \mathcal{M}_\ell \) by \( \hat{\varepsilon}_\ell \) and \( \hat{\mu}_\ell \), respectively, where \( \ell = 1, 2 \). If \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_2 \) have the same transfer matrix for \( k \leq \alpha \), then they are \( \alpha \)-equivalent. Because the transfer matrix is the S-matrix for a corresponding effective quantum system, the requirement that the Hamiltonian operators \( \hat{\mathbf{H}}_1 (z) \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{H}}_2 (z) \) for \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_2 \) coincide ensures the equality of their transfer matrices and hence their \( \alpha \)-equivalence. In view of (83), we can ensure \( \hat{\mathbf{H}}_1 (z) = \hat{\mathbf{H}}_2 (z) \) for a given \( k \) by demanding that for every \( \xi \in \mathcal{P}^2_\alpha \),

\[
\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{[\hat{\varepsilon}_1^{-1}, \hat{\mu}_1]} \mathbf{\xi}(\vec{p}) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{[\hat{\varepsilon}_2^{-1}, \hat{\mu}_2]} \mathbf{\xi}(\vec{p}), \quad \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{[\hat{\mu}_1^{-1}, \hat{\varepsilon}_1]} \mathbf{\xi}(\vec{p}) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{[\hat{\mu}_2^{-1}, \hat{\varepsilon}_2]} \mathbf{\xi}(\vec{p}).
\]
Because, according to (13), \( \hat{\mathbf{L}}[f, g] \) is a linear function of both \( f \) and \( g \), these relations are equivalent to

\[
\hat{\mathbf{L}}[\hat{\varepsilon}_1^{-1} - \hat{\varepsilon}_2^{-1}, \hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2]n(p) = 0, \quad \hat{\mathbf{L}}[\hat{\mu}_1^{-1} - \hat{\mu}_2^{-1}, \hat{\varepsilon}_1 - \hat{\varepsilon}_2]n(p) = 0.
\] (135)

As we explain in our proof of Theorem 3, we can satisfy (135) for \( k \leq \alpha \) provided that \( \eta(\vec{K}, z) = 0 \) for \( |\vec{K}| \leq 2\alpha \) and \( \eta = \hat{\varepsilon}_1 - \hat{\varepsilon}_2, \hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\varepsilon}_1^{-1} - \hat{\varepsilon}_2^{-1}, \) and \( \hat{\mu}_1^{-1} - \hat{\mu}_2^{-1} \). This proves the following theorem.

**Theorem 5:** Let \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_2 \) be scattering media with \( \hat{\varepsilon}_\ell \) and \( \hat{\mu}_\ell \) respectively denoting the relative permittivity and permeability of \( \mathcal{M}_\ell \). Then \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_2 \) are \( \alpha \)-equivalent, if the following condition holds for \( \eta = \hat{\varepsilon}_1 - \hat{\varepsilon}_2, \hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\varepsilon}_1^{-1} - \hat{\varepsilon}_2^{-1}, \) and \( \hat{\mu}_1^{-1} - \hat{\mu}_2^{-1} \).

\[
\eta(\vec{K}, z) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |\vec{K}| \leq 2\alpha.
\] (136)

Combining the content of Theorems 4 and 5 we are led to the following theorem on the construction of \( \alpha \)-equivalent pairs of scattering media.

**Theorem 6:** Let \( \mathcal{M}_\ell \) with \( \ell = 1, 2, 3, 4 \) be isotropic scattering media with relative permittivity and permeability, \( \hat{\varepsilon}_\ell \) and \( \hat{\mu}_\ell \). Suppose that \( \mathcal{M}_3 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_4 \) satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 4, i.e., (129) holds for \( f = \hat{\varepsilon}_3, \hat{\varepsilon}_4, \hat{\mu}_3, \) and \( \hat{\mu}_4, \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{\varepsilon}_1 &= \frac{\eta_{\varepsilon_3}}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 + 4/\eta_{\varepsilon_3}\eta_{\varepsilon_4}} + 1 \right), \\
\hat{\mu}_1 &= \frac{\eta_{\mu_3}}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 + 4/\eta_{\mu_3}\eta_{\mu_4}} + 1 \right),
\end{align*}
\] (137)

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{\varepsilon}_2 &= \frac{\eta_{\varepsilon_3}}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 + 4/\eta_{\varepsilon_3}\eta_{\varepsilon_4}} - 1 \right), \\
\hat{\mu}_2 &= \frac{\eta_{\mu_3}}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 + 4/\eta_{\mu_3}\eta_{\mu_4}} - 1 \right),
\end{align*}
\] (138)

where \( \eta_f := f - 1 \). Then \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_2 \) are \( \alpha \)-equivalent.

**Proof:** We know that (129) and consequently (128) hold for \( f = \hat{\varepsilon}_{3,4} \) and \( \hat{\mu}_{3,4} \). We use this observation to set \( \hat{\varepsilon}_1 - \hat{\varepsilon}_2 = \eta_{\varepsilon_3} \) and \( \hat{\varepsilon}_1^{-1} - \hat{\varepsilon}_2^{-1} = -\eta_{\varepsilon_4} \). Solving these equations for \( \hat{\varepsilon}_1 \) and \( \hat{\varepsilon}_2 \), and demanding that \( \text{Re}(\hat{\varepsilon}_\ell) > 0 \) yield (137). Replacing the role of \( \hat{\varepsilon}_\ell \) in this argument by \( \hat{\mu}_\ell \), we obtain (138). By construction this choice for \( \hat{\varepsilon}_{1,2} \) and \( \hat{\mu}_{1,2} \) fulfills the hypothesis of Theorem 5. Therefore \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_2 \) are \( \alpha \)-equivalent. \( \square \)

### 8 Concluding Remarks

Transfer matrices are used in the potential scattering in one dimension mainly because of their composition property. A recent study of the similarity between this property and the composition rule for evolution operators in quantum mechanics has led to the identification of the transfer matrix with the S-matrix of a nonunitary effective two-level system [15, 16]. This curious fact has in turn paved the way for the introduction of a multidimensional generalization of the transfer matrix and a corresponding transfer-matrix formulation of potential scattering in two and three dimensions [14]. The latter is a genuine alternative to the standard (Lipman-Schwinger) approach to scattering theory with many interesting applications [48, 50, 51, 52, 65].

In the present article we have developed a transfer-matrix formulation of the scattering of EM waves by general isotropic media. This is a highly nontrivial generalization of the transfer-matrix
approach to the scattering of scalar waves developed in Ref. [44]. We have shown that this EM
transfer matrix shares the basic features of its analog for the scalar waves. In particular, it has a
similar composition property which should facilitate its numerical implementations. Note however
that this property and the related slicing of the scattering medium is by no means essential for the
application of this approach in dealing with specific EM scattering problems. The latter involves
addressing two basic problems:

1. Determining the transfer matrix \( \hat{M} \) which is in general an integral operator acting in the
function space \( \mathcal{F}_k \). This happens to coincide with the S-matrix for an effective non-unitary
quantum system and admits a Dyson series expansion of the form (95).

2. Solving the integral equations (61) and (79) and substituting the result in (62) and (80) to
determine \( T^{l/r} \), which in turn yield the scattering amplitude for the left- and right-incident
waves.

Developing various exact, approximate, and numerical methods of achieving these will play an
important role in making the EM transfer-matrix formalism into a mainstream method of solving
EM scattering problems.

A most interesting application of the transfer matrix of Ref. [44] is that it leads to an exact
solution of the scattering problem for the \( \delta \)-function potentials in two and three dimensions while
avoiding the divergences of the conventional approach [44, 51]. In a sense, this transfer matrix
method has a built-in regularization mechanism. The EM transfer matrix we have introduced in
the present article has the same property; it yields an exact and finite expression for the scattering
amplitude of a non-magnetic delta-function point scatterer which agrees with the known results after
we identify the original coupling constant of our approach with a renormalized coupling constant
of the Green’s function methods. This reveals a striking advantage of our method, because its
application to a point scatterer does not require dealing with divergent quantities.

Another concrete evidence for the effectiveness of our approach to EM scattering is its role
in the discovery of a large class of isotropic media that display perfect broadband invisibility for
wavenumbers \( k \) not exceeding a prescribed value \( \alpha \). For \( k \leq \alpha \) such a medium behaves exactly like
vacuum. Motivated by this observation, we have introduced the notion of \( \alpha \)-equivalent media, which
share the same scattering features for wavenumbers \( k \leq \alpha \). We have employed our transfer-matrix
formulation of the scattering of EM waves to obtain a simple quantitative scheme for constructing
\( \alpha \)-equivalent media.

The utility of our EM transfer matrix theory in dealing with basic problems such as the
singularity-free treatment of point scatterers, the characterization of isotropic media displaying
exact broadband invisibility, and the study of \( \alpha \)-equivalent media reveals some of its advantages
over the previously studied transfer matrix theories. Because the application of the latter for a
general inhomogeneous medium requires slicing the medium, discretization of the slices, and the
numerical evaluation of their transfer matrices, these theories cannot be effectively used for perform-
ing exact and analytic calculations. This in turn limits their effectiveness in dealing with the
type of basic problems we address in Secs. [57].
Appendix A: Direct calculation of differential cross section

In this appendix, we offer an alternative derivation of Eq. (76) that avoids the calculation of the scattering amplitude. First, we note that according to (11), (66), and (67),

\[ |\mathcal{E}_s(r)|^2 + |\mathcal{H}_s(r)|^2 = \frac{2|\mathcal{E}_0|^2}{r^2} \sigma_d(k_i, k_s) \quad \text{for} \quad r \to \infty. \]  

(139)

Because \( \{\hat{r}, \hat{e}_s, \hat{r} \times \hat{e}_s\} \) forms an orthonormal basis of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), Pythagorean theorem states that

\[ (\hat{e}_z \cdot \hat{e}_s)^2 + [\hat{e}_z \cdot (\hat{r} \times \hat{e}_s)]^2 = 1 - (\hat{e}_z \cdot \hat{r})^2 = 1 - \cos^2 \vartheta. \]

(140)

Equations (12), (65), (67), (139), and (140) allow us to relate \( |f(k_i, k_s)|^2 \) to the asymptotic expression for \( |\Phi_s(r)|^2 := \Phi_s(r)^\dagger \Phi_s(r) \). The result is:

\[
|\Phi_s(r)|^2 = |\mathcal{E}_s(r)|^2 + |\mathcal{H}_s(r)|^2 = |\mathcal{E}_s(r)|^2 + |\mathcal{H}_s(r)|^2 - \hat{e}_z \cdot \mathcal{E}_s(r) - \hat{e}_z \cdot \mathcal{H}_s(r) \]

\[ = \frac{|\mathcal{E}_0|^2}{r^2} (1 + \cos^2 \vartheta) \sigma_d(k_i, k_s) \quad \text{for} \quad r \to \infty. \]

(141)

Substituting (69) in this equation and solving for \( \sigma_d(k_i, k_s) \), we find (76).

Appendix B: Integral kernels for \( \hat{\mathcal{H}}(z) \) and \( \hat{\mathcal{H}}(z) \)

The Hamiltonian operators \( \hat{\mathcal{H}}(z) \) and \( \hat{\mathcal{H}}(z) \) are integral operators acting on the function space \( \mathcal{F}_k^4 \). We can express them in terms of the corresponding integral kernels, \( \mathcal{H}(z; \vec{p}, \vec{q}) \) and \( \mathcal{H}(z; \vec{p}, \vec{q}) \). The latter determine the action of \( \hat{\mathcal{H}}(z) \) and \( \hat{\mathcal{H}}(z) \) on the four-component fields \( \mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{F}_k^4 \) according to

\[
(\hat{\mathcal{H}}(z) \mathbf{F})(\vec{p}) = \int_{\mathcal{F}_k^4} d^2 \vec{q} \mathcal{H}(z; \vec{p}, \vec{q}) \mathbf{F}(\vec{q}), \\
(\hat{\mathcal{H}}(z) \mathbf{F})(\vec{p}) = \int_{\mathcal{F}_k^4} d^2 \vec{q} \mathcal{H}(z; \vec{p}, \vec{q}) \mathbf{F}(\vec{q}).
\]

(142)

In this appendix we derive explicit expressions for the integral kernels \( \hat{\mathcal{H}}(z; \vec{p}, \vec{q}) \) and \( \mathcal{H}(z; \vec{p}, \vec{q}) \).

First, we observe that according to (83), \( \hat{\mathcal{H}}(z; \vec{p}, \vec{q}) \) has the following structure.

\[
\hat{\mathcal{H}}(z; \vec{p}, \vec{q}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{h}_+(z; \vec{p}, \vec{q}) \\ \hat{h}_-(z; \vec{p}, \vec{q}) & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

(143)

where \( \hat{h}_\pm(z; \vec{p}, \vec{q}) \) are \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices depending on \( z, \vec{p}, \) and \( \vec{q} \). In light of this equation, if we express \( \mathbf{F} \) in the form,

\[
\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \phi_- \end{bmatrix},
\]

(144)

where \( \phi_\pm \in \mathcal{F}_k^2 \), we have

\[
\hat{\mathcal{H}}(z; \vec{p}, \vec{q}) \mathbf{F}(\vec{q}) = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{h}_+(z; \vec{p}, \vec{q}) \phi_+(\vec{q}) \\ \hat{h}_-(z; \vec{p}, \vec{q}) \phi_-(\vec{q}) \end{bmatrix}.
\]

(145)

Next, we introduce

\[
\hat{\mathbf{L}}_+: = \hat{\mathbf{L}}[\varepsilon^{-1}, \hat{\mu}], \\
\hat{\mathbf{L}}_- := \hat{\mathbf{L}}[\hat{\mu}^{-1}, \varepsilon],
\]

(146)
so that, according to (83),
\[
\left(\hat{H}(z)\mathbf{g}\right)(\vec{p}) = \begin{bmatrix}
(\hat{L}_+ \phi_-)(\vec{p}) \\
-(\hat{L}_- \phi_+)(\vec{p})
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
We can use (84), (85), and (142) – (147) to infer that
\[
\left(\hat{L}_\pm \phi_\mp\right)(\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_k^2} d^2\vec{q} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_\pm(z;\vec{p} - \vec{q}, i\vec{q})\phi_\mp(\vec{q}),
\]
where
\[
\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_\pm(z;\vec{r}, i\vec{q}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2\vec{r} e^{-i\vec{r} \cdot \vec{q}} \mathcal{G}_\pm(z;\vec{r}, i\vec{q}),
\]
and \(\mathcal{G}_\pm(z;\vec{r}, i\vec{q})\) are 2 \times 2 matrices with the entries \(\mathcal{G}_{\pm,ij}(z;\vec{r}, i\vec{q})\) given by
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{G}_{+,11}(z;\vec{r}, i\vec{q}) & := k^{-1} q_y \left\{ -\varepsilon(\vec{r}, z)^{-1} q_x + i\partial_x[\varepsilon(\vec{r}, z)^{-1}] \right\}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{+,12}(z;\vec{r}, i\vec{q}) & := k^{-1} \left\{ \varepsilon(\vec{r}, z)^{-1} q_x^2 - i q_x \partial_x[\varepsilon(\vec{r}, z)^{-1}] - k^2 \mu(\vec{r}, z) \right\}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{+,21}(z;\vec{r}, i\vec{q}) & := k^{-1} \left\{ -\varepsilon(\vec{r}, z)^{-1} q_y^2 + i q_y \partial_y[\varepsilon(\vec{r}, z)^{-1}] + k^2 \mu(\vec{r}, z) \right\}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{+,22}(z;\vec{r}, i\vec{q}) & := k^{-1} q_x \left\{ \varepsilon(\vec{r}, z)^{-1} q_y - i\partial_y[\varepsilon(\vec{r}, z)^{-1}] \right\}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{-,11}(z;\vec{r}, i\vec{q}) & := k^{-1} q_y \left\{ -\mu(\vec{r}, z)^{-1} q_x + i\partial_x[\mu(\vec{r}, z)^{-1}] \right\}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{-,12}(z;\vec{r}, i\vec{q}) & := k^{-1} \left\{ \mu(\vec{r}, z)^{-1} q_x^2 - i q_x \partial_x[\mu(\vec{r}, z)^{-1}] - k^2 \varepsilon(\vec{r}, z) \right\}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{-,21}(z;\vec{r}, i\vec{q}) & := k^{-1} \left\{ -\mu(\vec{r}, z)^{-1} q_y^2 + i q_y \partial_y[\mu(\vec{r}, z)^{-1}] + k^2 \varepsilon(\vec{r}, z) \right\}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{-,22}(z;\vec{r}, i\vec{q}) & := k^{-1} q_x \left\{ \mu(\vec{r}, z)^{-1} q_y - i\partial_y[\mu(\vec{r}, z)^{-1}] \right\}.
\end{align*}
\]
In view of (142) and (147) – (149), we have
\[
\hat{H}_\pm(z;\vec{p}, \vec{q}) = \pm \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_\pm(z;\vec{p} - \vec{q}, i\vec{q}) = \pm \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2\vec{r} e^{-i(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \cdot \vec{r}} \mathcal{G}_\pm(z;\vec{r}, i\vec{q}).
\]
Substituting this equation in (143), we find the following expression for the integral kernel of the Hamiltonian \(\hat{H}(z)\).
\[
\hat{H}(z;\vec{p}, \vec{q}) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2\vec{r} e^{-i(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \cdot \vec{r}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{G}_+(z;\vec{r}, i\vec{q}) \\ -\mathcal{G}_-(z;\vec{r}, i\vec{q}) & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
Next, we determine the integral kernel \(\mathcal{K}(z;\vec{p}, \vec{q})\) for the interaction-picture Hamiltonian \(\hat{H}(z)\).
\[
\mathcal{K}(z;\vec{p}, \vec{q}) = e^{i\hat{H}_0(\vec{p})} \hat{H}(z;\vec{p}, \vec{q}) e^{-i\hat{H}_0(\vec{q})} - \delta(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \hat{H}_0(\vec{q}),
\]
where \(\hat{H}_0(\vec{p})\) is the 4 \times 4 matrix given by (28), and \(\delta(\vec{p})\) is the Dirac delta-function in two dimensions. In view of (142) and (152),
\[
\mathcal{K}(z;\vec{p}, \vec{q}) = e^{i\hat{H}_0(\vec{p})} \hat{H}(z;\vec{p}, \vec{q}) e^{-i\hat{H}_0(\vec{q})} - \delta(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \hat{H}_0(\vec{q}).
\]
Appendix C: Proof of Theorem 4

To prove Theorem 4 we use the following lemmas.

Lemma 1: Let \( \eta_1, \eta_2 : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) be functions satisfying
\[
\tilde{\eta}_1(\mathcal{R}_x, y, z) = \tilde{\eta}_2(\mathcal{R}_x, y, z) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mathcal{R}_x < 2\alpha_x, \tag{154}
\]
\[
\tilde{\eta}_1(x, \mathcal{R}_y, z) = \tilde{\eta}_2(x, \mathcal{R}_y, z) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mathcal{R}_y < 2\alpha_y, \tag{155}
\]
and \( \eta_3 := \eta_1\eta_2 \). Then
\[
\tilde{\eta}_3(\mathcal{R}_x, y, z) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mathcal{R}_x < 2\alpha_x, \tag{156}
\]
\[
\tilde{\eta}_3(x, \mathcal{R}_y, z) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mathcal{R}_y < 2\alpha_y. \tag{157}
\]

Proof: According to (154) and the convolution formula for Fourier transform,
\[
\tilde{\eta}_3(\mathcal{R}_x, y, z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \tilde{\eta}_1(\mathcal{R}_x - q, y, z)\tilde{\eta}_2(q, y, z) = \int_{2\alpha_x}^{\infty} dq \tilde{\eta}_1(\mathcal{R}_x - q, y, z)\tilde{\eta}_2(q, y, z). \tag{159}
\]
The \( \mathcal{R}_x - q \) appearing in the latter integral takes values in the interval \( (-\infty, \mathcal{R}_x - 2\alpha) \). For \( \mathcal{R}_x < 2\alpha_x, \mathcal{R}_x - q < 4\alpha \). Therefore, (154) implies that \( \tilde{\eta}_1(\mathcal{R}_x - q, y, z) = 0 \). This proves (156). Swapping the roles of \( x \) and \( y \) in this argument yields a proof of (157). \( \square \)

Lemma 2: If \( f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) is a bounded function whose real part is bounded below by a positive number, then \( [1 + \eta_f(\mathbf{r})]^{-1} \) admits a convergent series expansion in powers of a degree-1 polynomial in \( \eta_f(\mathbf{r}) \).

Proof: By the hypothesis of the lemma, there are real numbers \( m \) and \( M \) such that for all \( \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \),
\[
0 < m \leq \Re[f(\mathbf{r})] \leq |f(\mathbf{r})| \leq M. \tag{158}
\]
Let \( \beta(\mathbf{r}) := \eta_f(\mathbf{r}) - Q |(1 + Q) \) and \( Q := (M^2 + 1)/2m \). Then a simple calculation shows that
\[
\frac{1}{1 + \eta_f} = \frac{1}{(1 + Q)(1 + \beta)}. \tag{159}
\]
The right-hand side of (159) admits a convergent power series in \( \beta \) provided that \( |\beta(\mathbf{r})| < 1 \) for all \( \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \). To verify this, we use \( \eta_f = f - 1 \) and (158) to establish:
\[
|\eta_f(\mathbf{r})|^2 \leq |f(\mathbf{r})|^2 + 1 \leq M^2 + 1 \leq \frac{M^2 \Re[f(\mathbf{r})]}{m} + 1 = \left(2Q - \frac{1}{m}\right) \Re[f(\mathbf{r})] + 1 < 2Q \Re[f(\mathbf{r})] + 1 = 2Q \Re[\eta_f(\mathbf{r})] + 2 + 1.
\]
This in turn implies
\[
|\beta| = \frac{|\eta_f - Q|}{1 + Q} = \sqrt{[\Re(\eta_f) - Q]^2 + \Im(\eta_f)^2} = \frac{|\eta_f|^2 - 2Q \Re(\eta_f) + Q^2}{1 + Q} < 1, \tag{160}
\]
where we have dropped the $r$-dependence of $\beta$ and $\eta_f$ for brevity. In view of (160), we can expand the right-hand side of (159) as a convergent power series in powers of $\beta(r)$, i.e., for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we have

\[
[1 + \eta(r)]^{-1} = (1 + Q)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \beta(r)^{n}.
\]

(161)

□

Proof of Theorem 4: It is easy to see that (129) implies (128). Because the hypothesis of the theorem assumes the validity of (129) for $f = \hat{\varepsilon}$ and $\hat{\mu}$, we only need to prove (129) for $f = \hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}$ and $\hat{\mu}^{-1}$. We can achieve this by showing that we can replace $f$ by $f^{-1}$ in (129). To do this, we make use of Lemmas 1 and 2. According to (161) of Lemma 2 and the identity $\eta_f^{-1} = -\eta_f/\eta_f + 1 = -\eta_f \eta_f + 1)$, we can express $\eta_f^{-1}$ as a convergent power series whose terms are constant multiples of $\eta_f \beta^n = \eta_f (a_1 \eta_f + a_0)^n$. This is a linear combination of $\eta_f, \eta_f^2, \ldots, \eta_f^{n+1}$. Lemma 1 states that these satisfy (129). Therefore, the same holds for $\eta_f \beta^n$ and terms of the above-mentioned series expansion of $\eta_f^{-1}$. This in turn implies that the sum of the series, i.e., $\eta_f^{-1}$, also satisfies (129). Applying this argument for $f = \hat{\varepsilon}$ and $\hat{\mu}$ we see that (129) holds for $f = \hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}$ and $\hat{\mu}^{-1}$. □
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