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Abstract

Starting with the quasi-Bell states of the qubit-oscillator system, we obtain time evolution
of the density matrix under the adiabatic approximation. The composite density matrix
leads to, via partial tracing of the qubit degree of freedom, the reduced density matrix of
the oscillator that is utilized to obtain the quasi-probability distributions such as Glauber-
Sudarshan P function, Wigner W function and Husimi Q function. The negativity of the
Wigner function acts as a measure of the nonclassicality of the state. The negativity becomes
particularly relevant in understanding a comparison between the Wigner entropy with the
Wehrl entropy, which are based on the W function and Q function, respectively.

I Introduction

A two-level system (qubit) that interacts with a radiation field represented by a single oscil-
lator mode is one of the important models in quantum optics. This model has been studied
extensively under rotating wave approximation (RWA) \[1\] that holds good for weak coupling
regime. Following the recent developments in experiments \[2-4\], it is of interest to study the
system with higher qubit-oscillator coupling. In the (ultra) strong coupling domain and for low
qubit frequency where the oscillator frequency sets the scale, the system has been investigated
using adiabatic approximation \[5, 6\] that utilizes the separation of the time scales of the high
oscillator frequency and the low (renormalized) frequency of the qubit.

To study the dynamics of the quantum state, we employ various quasi-probability distri-
butions on the phase space as preferred tools. For instance, the Wigner function \[7\] gives a
connection between the classical and quantum dynamics. Unlike the classical (true) probability
distributions, the Wigner function \(W\) may assume negative values. This negativity \[8\] serves as
an indicator of the nonclassicality of the quantum state. On the other hand, entropy is a key
concept for the quantum systems that provides a framework for studying the loss of information.
The \(W\) distribution has also been recently employed \[10, 11\] for developing a new measure of
quantum entropy. This is found to have close correspondence with the negativity parameter.

The present manuscript is organized as follows: The initial quasi-Bell composite state and
the corresponding reduced density matrix of the oscillator are considered under adiabatic ap-
proximation in Sec.II. This density matrix is utilized in Sec.III to derive the Glauber-Sudarshan
\(P\) distribution \[12, 13\]. In Sec.IV we evaluate the Wigner \(W\) function \[7\] which is used to study
the nonclassicality and decoherence of the system. The relevant Husimi \(Q\) distribution \[9\] ad-
mits, in the weak coupling regime, a closed from evaluation à la the procedure employed in
\[5\]. Comparison of the time evolution characteristics of the Wherl entropy \[14\] based on the \(Q\)
distribution, and that of the Wigner entropy \[10, 11\], which is based on the \(W\) distribution, is
discussed in the context of negativity of the associated quantum state.
II Reduced density matrix of the oscillator

We study a coupled qubit-oscillator system with the Hamiltonian [5, 6] that reads in natural units \( (\hbar = 1) \) as follows:

\[
H = -\frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_x - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sigma_z + \omega a^\dagger a + \lambda \sigma_z (a^\dagger + a),
\]  
(2.1)

where the harmonic oscillator with a frequency \( \omega \) is described by the raising and lowering operators \( (a^\dagger, a) \). The qubit characterized by an energy splitting \( \Delta \) as well as an external static bias \( \epsilon \) is expressed via the spin variables \( (\sigma_x, \sigma_z) \). The qubit-oscillator coupling strength is denoted by \( \lambda \). The Fock states \( |n\rangle \), \( n = 0, 1, \ldots \); \( a |n\rangle = \sqrt{n} |n-1\rangle, a^\dagger |n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1} |n+1\rangle \) provide the basis for the oscillator, whereas the eigenstates \( |\pm\rangle = \pm |\pm\rangle \) span the space of the qubit. The Hamiltonian (2.1) is not known to be exactly solvable. In the present work we follow the adiabatic approximation [5, 6] that hinges on the separation of the time scales governed by the high oscillator frequency and the (renormalized) low qubit frequency: \( \omega \gg \Delta \).

We consider the following quasi-Bell initial states of the coupled system

\[
|\psi(0)\rangle^{(\pm)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1, \alpha\rangle \pm | -1, -\alpha\rangle), \quad |\alpha\rangle = D(\alpha)|0\rangle, \quad D(\alpha) = \exp(\alpha a^\dagger - \alpha^* a),
\]  
(2.2)

where the coherent state \( |\alpha\rangle \forall \alpha = \text{Re}(\alpha) + i\text{Im}(\alpha) \subset \mathbb{C} \) for the oscillator degree of freedom is realized by the action of the displacement operator on the vacuum. Under adiabatic approximation [5], the evolution of the initial state (2.2) yields the bipartite density matrix:

\[
\rho^{(\pm)}(t) = |\psi(t))^{(\pm)}\langle \psi(t)|.
\]  
(2.3)

The partial tracing, say, over the qubit degree of freedom produces the reduced density matrix of the oscillator:

\[
\rho^{(\pm)}_O(t) \equiv \text{Tr}_Q |\psi(t))^{(\pm)}\langle \psi(t)|
\]  
(2.4)

that may be expressed [15], in terms of the displaced number states \( |n\rangle = \mathbb{D}(\pm \lambda/\omega) |n\rangle \) as follows:

\[
\rho^{(\pm)}_O(t) = \frac{1}{2} \exp(-|\alpha_+|^2) \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_+)^n (\alpha_+^*)^m}{\sqrt{n!m!}} \left( \mathcal{C}^+_{n,m}(t) \mathcal{C}^+_{m,n}(t)^* |n\rangle_\pm \langle m|_\pm \right) \exp\left(-i(n-m)\omega t\right).  
\]  
(2.5)

The coefficients in (2.5) are given by

\[
\mathcal{C}^+_{n,m}(t) = \mathcal{A}^+_{n,m}(\pm \omega t) + \mathcal{B}^+_{n,m}(\pm \omega t), \quad \mathcal{A}^+_{n,m} = \frac{\chi_n + \tilde{\epsilon} \pm (-1)^n \delta_n}{2\chi_n}, \quad \mathcal{B}^+_{n,m} = \frac{\chi_n - \tilde{\epsilon} \pm (-1)^n \delta_n}{2\chi_n},
\]

where, \( \chi_n = \sqrt{\bar{\Delta}^2 + \bar{\epsilon}^2}, \quad \delta_n = -\frac{\Delta}{2} L_n(x), \quad x = (2\lambda/\omega)^2, \quad \bar{\Delta} = \Delta \exp\left(-\frac{\bar{x}}{2}\right), \quad \bar{\epsilon} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \) and \( \alpha_+ = \alpha + \lambda/\omega \). The reduced density matrix (2.5) of the oscillator may be utilized to calculate the quasi-probability distributions.

III Phase space distributions

A. Glauber-Sudarshan \( P \) function

The well-known Glauber-Sudarshan \( P \) function [12, 13] admits a diagonal representation of the oscillator density matrix in the coherent state basis:

\[
\rho = \int P(\beta) |\beta\rangle \langle \beta| d^2 \beta.
\]  
(3.6)
expressed as
\[ P(\beta) = \frac{e^{i|\beta|^2}}{\pi^2} \int \langle -\gamma|\gamma \rangle e^{i|\gamma|^2} e^{-\gamma^\ast \beta^\ast + \gamma \beta} d^2 \gamma. \] (3.7)

For our choice of the reduced density matrix (2.5) the \( P \) function may be derived readily as follows:
\[
P^{(\pm)}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} \exp(-|\alpha_+|^2) \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n^{\ast} \alpha_m^{\ast}}{n!m!} \left( \frac{i}{\partial \beta} \right)^n \left( \frac{i}{\partial \beta^\ast} \right)^m \times \]
\[
\times \left( (-1)^n + m e_n^{\pm}(t) e_m^{\pm}(t)^\ast e^{i|\beta|^2} \delta_{(2)}(\beta_+) + e_n^{\mp}(t) e_m^{\mp}(t) e^{i|\beta|^2} \delta_{(2)}(\beta_-) \right), \quad (3.8)
\]
where \( \beta_\pm = \beta \pm \frac{\Delta}{\omega} \). The above \( P \) distribution incorporating derivatives of \( \delta \) functions is highly singular. This is a typical behavior observed for nonclassical states. Due to its highly nonsingular nature, employing \( P(\beta) \) distribution directly towards producing a quantitative measure of nonclassicality is complicated. So other quasi-probability distributions should be considered in this regard.

B. The Wigner \( W \) function

For an arbitrary density matrix \( \rho \) the Wigner quasi-probability distribution is defined \([7]\) via the displacement operator as
\[
W(\beta) = \pi^2 \int \text{Tr}[\rho D(\gamma)] e^{i\beta \gamma^\ast - i\beta^\ast \gamma} d^2 \gamma. \] (3.9)

But the evaluation of the Wigner function using the definition (3.9) is not always easy. An alternate series representation of the distribution \( W(\beta) \) in terms of the diagonal matrix elements in the displaced number states is known \([16]\):
\[
W(\beta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \langle \beta, k|\rho|\beta, k \rangle, \quad |\beta, k \rangle = D(\beta) |k \rangle. \] (3.10)

Substituting (2.5) in (3.10) we obtain the time evolution of the Wigner function for the initial quasi-Bell states:
\[
W^{(\pm)}(\beta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \exp(-|\alpha_+|^2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_+^{\ast})^m e_n^{\pm}(t)^*}{\sqrt{m!}} D_{mk}(\beta_+) e^{i\omega mt} \right]^2 \]
\[
+ \left| \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m (\alpha_+^{\ast})^m e_n^{\mp}(t) \frac{D_{mk}(\beta_-)}{\sqrt{m!}} e^{i\omega mt} \right|^2 \quad (3.11)
\]
The component \( D_{mk}(\beta) \) is evaluated using the hypergeometric function as
\[
D_{mk}(\beta) = (-1)^k \exp(-|\beta|^2/2) \frac{(\beta)^m (\beta^*)^k}{\sqrt{m!}} 2F_0 \left( -m, -k; \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{|\beta|^2} \right), \quad (3.12)
\]
where the generalized hypergeometric function \( 2F_0(m, n; \omega z) \) reads
\[
2F_0(m, n; \omega z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m)_r (n)_r}{r!} \omega^r. \] (3.13)
The identity
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k x^k}{k!} 2F_0 \left( -k, -m; - \frac{1}{x} \right) 2F_0 \left( -k, -n; - \frac{1}{x} \right) = 2^{n+m} e^{-x} 2F_0 \left( -m, -n; - \frac{1}{4x} \right)
\]

yields the Wigner function (3.11) in the following form:
\[
W^{(\pm)}(\beta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \exp(-|\alpha|^2) \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2\alpha_+)^n (2\alpha_-)^m}{n!m!} \exp(-i\omega(n-m)t) \left( \exp(-2|\beta_+|^2) \times \right.
\]
\[
\cdot (\beta_+)^n (\beta_-)^m c_n^+(t) c_m^+(t)^* 2F_0 \left( -m, -n; - \frac{1}{4|\beta_+|^2} \right) + (-1)^{n+m} \times
\]
\[
\cdot \exp(-2|\beta_-|^2) (\beta_+)^n (\beta_-)^m c_n^-t^* c_m^-t^* 2F_0 \left( -m, -n; - \frac{1}{4|\beta_-|^2} \right) \Bigg). \tag{3.15}
\]

The expression (3.15) satisfies the normalization condition:
\[
\int W^{(\pm)}(\beta) d^2\beta = 1. \tag{3.16}
\]

Fig. 1 shows the Wigner function at three different instances of time. The distribution for the initial state consists of two Gaussian peaks as it is the superposition of two well-separated coherent states. As time evolves it assumes negative values demonstrating the nonclassical nature of the state. For a suitably strong qubit-oscillator coupling a large number of interacting modes set in. The quantum interference between these modes give rise to negative values of the W-distribution in the zone of the phase space intermediate between the positive peaks. The volume of the negative domain of the Wigner function on the phase space is considered as a quantitative measure of nonclassicality of the density matrix [8]:
\[
\Lambda^{(\pm)} = \int |W^{(\pm)}(\beta)| d^2\beta - 1. \tag{3.17}
\]

A non-zero value of \(\Lambda^{(\pm)}\) indicates the characteristic quantum nature of the given state. The time evolution of \(\Lambda^{(\pm)}\) for various coupling regime is discussed in the following subsection.
C. Husimi Q function

The Husimi Q function \[Q(\beta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \langle \beta | \rho | \beta \rangle.\] (3.18)

For the state (2.5) under consideration the Q-function assumes the explicit positive definite form

\[Q^{(\pm)}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp(-|\alpha_+|^2) \left( \exp(-|\beta_+|^2)|\mathcal{X}^\pm|^2 + \exp(-|\beta_-|^2)|\mathcal{Y}^\pm|^2 \right).\] (3.19)

The Fourier sums in (3.19) are given by

\[\mathcal{X}^\pm = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_+\beta_+)^n}{n!} c^\pm_n(t) \exp(-i\omega t), \quad \mathcal{Y}^\pm = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(\alpha_+\beta_+)^n}{n!} d^\pm_n(t) \exp(-i\omega t).\] (3.20)

The Husimi distribution (3.19) of the reduced density matrix (2.5) does not have any zero on the phase space except at asymptotically large radial distances. It satisfies the normalization condition: \(\int Q^{(\pm)}(\beta) d^2 \beta = 1\). Adopting the procedure developed in [5] where the Laguerre functions are approximated by their linear parts in the regime \(\frac{x}{\Delta} \ll 0.1\) we may approximate the Fourier sums (3.20) in closed forms as

\[\mathcal{X}^{(\pm)} = \exp(\Phi_t^\pm) \cos \left( \Phi_t^\pm - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \pm i \exp(-\Phi_t^\pm) \sin \left( \Phi_t^\pm + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \]

\[\mp i \frac{\epsilon}{2\Delta^2} \exp(-\Phi_t^\pm) \left\{ \sin \left( \Phi_t^\pm + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) - 2x \Phi_t \sin \left( \Phi_t^\pm + \frac{(1-x)\tau}{2} \right) \right\},\]

and

\[\mathcal{Y}^{(\pm)} = \exp(-\Psi_t^\pm) \cos \left( \Psi_t^\pm + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \mp i \exp(\Psi_t^\pm) \sin \left( \Psi_t^\pm - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \]

\[\mp i \frac{\epsilon}{2\Delta^2} \exp(-\Phi_t^\pm) \left\{ \sin \left( \Psi_t^\pm - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) - 2x \Psi_t \sin \left( \Psi_t^\pm - \frac{(1-x)\tau}{2} \right) \right\}.\] (3.21)

In (3.21) we have used the notations \(\Phi_t = \alpha_+^\pm \exp(-i\omega t)\), \(\Psi_t = \alpha_+^\pm \exp(-i\omega t)\), \(\Phi_t^\parallel = \Phi_t \cos \frac{\omega t}{2}\), \(\Phi_t^\perp = \Phi_t \sin \frac{\omega t}{2}\), \(\Psi_t^\parallel = \Psi_t \sin \frac{\omega t}{2}\), \(\Psi_t^\perp = \Psi_t \sin \frac{\omega t}{2}\) and \(\tau = \Delta t\).

Employing the following well-known interrelations [17] the Wigner and Husimi functions can also be directly obtained from the P distribution:

\[Q(\beta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int e^{-|\beta-\gamma|^2} P(\gamma) d^2 \gamma, \quad W(\beta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int e^{-2|\beta-\gamma|^2} P(\gamma) d^2 \gamma.\] (3.22)

On the other hand, the following property [17] suggests that the Q function may be considered as a ‘coarse-grained’ behavior of the W function:

\[Q(\beta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int e^{-2|\beta-\gamma|^2} W(\gamma) d^2 \gamma,\] (3.23)

where the Q function is obtained after a suitable ‘smearing’ of the W function with a positive definite kernel. The results obtained earlier for the quasi-probability distributions using the direct formulae and the interrelation are found to be consistent. To understand the role of
negativity of the Wigner distribution we now do a comparative study of the Wehrl entropy [14] that is based on the $Q$ function and the recently proposed [11] measure of entropy based on the Wigner $W$ function. The Wehrl entropy [14] defined as

$$S_{Q}^{(\pm)} = -\int Q^{(\pm)}(\beta) \log Q^{(\pm)}(\beta) d^2 \beta$$

acts as an information-theoretic measure describing the delocalization of the oscillator on the phase space. It is also of interest to study the quantum entropy based on the modulus of the Wigner distribution $|W(\beta)|$ [11] which is a non-negative quantity:

$$S_{W}^{(\pm)} = -\int |W^{(\pm)}(\beta)| \log |W^{(\pm)}(\beta)| d^2 \beta.$$  (3.25)

It is evident from the Figs. 2 (a) and (b) that the time evolutions of the Wigner entropy $S_{W}^{(\pm)}$ and negativity parameter $\Lambda_q^{(\pm)}$ have close kinship with each other. An increasing $S_{W}^{(\pm)}$ reciprocates increment in $\Lambda_q^{(\pm)}$ and vice versa. In this sense the Wigner entropy $S_{W}^{(\pm)}$ reveals the extent of nonclassicality of a quantum density matrix. We distinguish between two possible scenarios depending upon the qubit-oscillator coupling strength. (i) In the strong coupling regime ($\lambda/\omega \lesssim 0.1$) we observe (Fig. 2 (a)) that a periodic structure in the phase space distribution develop, and, consequently, the physical variables such as the Wigner entropy $S_{W}^{(\pm)}$, negativity $\Lambda_q^{(\pm)}$ and Wehrl entropy $S_{Q}^{(\pm)}$ follow similar periodic patterns that may be identified with the revival and collapse of the qubit density matrix elements. For a dominant value of $\Lambda_q^{(\pm)}$ when quantum interference effects are overwhelming, we, expectedly, find $S_{W}^{(\pm)} < S_{Q}^{(\pm)}$. On the other hand, for a low $\Lambda_q^{(\pm)}$ region the inequality is reversed: $S_{W}^{(\pm)} > S_{Q}^{(\pm)}$. As the $Q$-function is obtained from the $W$-function (3.22) after suitable averaging with a positive definite kernel, the Wehrl entropy $S_{Q}^{(\pm)}$ would be larger in a low negativity $\Lambda_q^{(\pm)}$ regime [10]. (ii) In the ultra strong coupling regime ($\lambda/\omega \gg 0.1$) all Fourier modes for the qubit-oscillator interaction are excited and a fully randomized interference pattern very quickly evolves. The randomized interferences of a large number of Fourier modes lead to quasi-stationary values of the phase space observables (Fig. 2 (b)). Moreover, these interferences necessarily develop areas on the phase space with
negative values of $W$-distribution. The average value of the negativity parameter $\Lambda^{(+)}$ increases with increase in the coupling strength. To keep, however, the normalization sum rule intact, suitable increment in the magnitude of the $W$ function takes place leading to increased value of the entropy $S_W$. In the quasi-stationary state the negativity $\Lambda^{(+)}$ is statistically preserved. The quasi-stabilization of $\Lambda^{(+)}$ occurs after a suitable decoherence time. Consequently, we observe that except for a brief initial period the Wigner entropy $S_W^{(+)}$ is consistently more than the Wehrl entropy $S_Q^{(+)}$, even though the positive definite $Q$ function may viewed as the smeared form of the $W$ distribution.

IV Conclusion

Phase space dynamics of the strongly coupled qubit-oscillator system is studied under adiabatic approximation. Using this approximation the oscillator density matrix have been written in terms of the displaced number states. This density matrix is employed to calculate the quasi-probability distributions such as Glauber-Sudarshan $P$ function, Wigner $W$ function and the Husimi $Q$ function. The negative values of the Wigner distribution acts as an witness of the nonclassicality of the state. The time evolution of this nonclassicality parameter of the state is obtained. In the ultra strong coupling regime the negativity assumes, after a suitable decoherence time, a quasi-stationary value. The quantum entropy based on Wigner function exhibits qualitatively similar behavior as that of the nonclassicality. The value on the nonclassicality measure serves as the key to understand the relative values of the entropies based on Wigner and Husimi distributions.

Acknowledgement

One of us (BVJ) acknowledges the support from UGC (India) under the Maulana Azad National Fellowship scheme.

References

[1] E.T. Jaynes, F.W. Cummings, Proc. IEEE 51, 89 (1963).
[2] A.D. Armour, M.P. Blencowe, K.C. Schwab, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 148301 (2002).
[3] A.A. Anappara, S.D. Liberato, A. Tredicucci, C. Ciuti, G. Biasiol, L. Sorba, F. Beltram, Phys. Rev. B 79, 201303 (2009).
[4] T. Niemczyk, F. Deppe, H. Huebl, E.P. Menzel, F. Hocke, M.J. Schwarz, J.J. Garcia-Ripoll, D. Zueco, T. Hümmer, E. Solano, A. Marx, R. Gross, Nature Physics 6, 772 (2010).
[5] E.K. Irish, J. Gea-Banacloche, J. Martin, K.C. Schwab, Phys. Rev. B 72, 195410 (2005).
[6] S. Ashhab, F. Nori, Phys. Rev. A 81, 042311 (2010).
[7] E. P. Wigner, Phys. Rev. 40, 749 (1932).
[8] A. Kenfack and K. Zyczkowski, J. Opt. B 6, 396 (2004).
[9] K. Husimi, Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Jpn. 22, 264 (1940).
[10] G. Manfredi and M.R. Feix, Phys. Rev. E 62, 4665 (2000).
[11] P. Sadeghi, S. Khademi, A.H. Darooneh Phys. Rev. A 86, 012119 (2012).
[12] R.J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. 131, 2766 (1963).
[13] E.C.G. Sudarshan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10, 277 (1963).
[14] A. Wehrl, Rev. Mod. Phys. 50, 221 (1978).
[15] R. Chakrabarti, B.V. Jenisha, *Quasi-Bell states in a strongly coupled qubit-oscillator system and their delocalization in phase space*, arXiv:1302.2771v3 (2014).
[16] H. Moya Cessa, P.L. Knight, Phys. Rev. A 48, 2479 (1993).
[17] H.J. Carmichael, *Statistical Methods in Quantum Optics I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1998).