Observations of radio-quiet quasars at 10-mas resolution by use of gravitational lensing
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ABSTRACT

We present Very Large Array detections of radio emission in 4 four-image gravitational lens systems with quasar sources: HS 0810+2554, RX J0911+0511, HE 0435−1223 and SDSS J0924+0219, and extended Multi-Element Remote Linked Interferometer (e-MERLIN) observations of two of the systems. The first three are detected at a high level of significance, and SDSS J0924+0219 is detected. HS 0810+2554 is resolved, allowing us for the first time to achieve 10-mas resolution of the source frame in the structure of a radio-quiet quasar. The others are unresolved or marginally resolved. All four objects are among the faintest radio sources yet detected, with intrinsic flux densities in the range 1–5 µJy; such radio objects, if unlensed, will only be observable routinely with the Square Kilometre Array. The observations of HS 0810+2554, which is also detected with e-MERLIN, strongly suggest the presence of a mini active galactic nucleus, with a radio core and milliarcsecond scale jet. The flux densities of the lensed images in all but HE 0435−1223 are consistent with smooth galaxy lens models without the requirement for smaller scale substructure in the model, although some interesting anomalies are seen between optical and radio flux densities. These are probably due to microlensing effects in the optical.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Strong gravitational lens systems, in which background sources are multiply imaged by foreground galaxies, are important for two main reasons. First, the lensing effect magnifies the background source; although the surface brightness is conserved, the area increases and we can observe background sources with typically 5–10 times better signal-to-noise ratio than without the lensing. Second, the lensing effect allows us to probe the mass distribution of the lensing galaxy, on scales from the overall mass profile down to the scales of individual stars. General reviews of strong lensing and its applications relevant to this work are given by Kochanek (2006), Courbin, Saha & Schechter (2002), Zackrisson & Riehm (2010), Bartelmann (2010) and Jackson (2013).

Lens systems in which the background source is a quasar were the first class of systems to be discovered, mostly in radio surveys (Walsh et al. 1979; Hewitt et al. 1988; Browne et al. 2003) but later in optical surveys (e.g. Wisotzki et al. 1993; Inada et al. 2003a). Lens systems with optical, or ‘radio-quiet’, quasars as the source now dominate the sample of strongly lensed quasars. The radio-selected sample is mostly composed of the 22 lenses from the Cosmic Lens All-Sky Survey (CLASS; Browne et al. 2003; Myers et al. 2003) together with smaller samples from the MIT–Greenbank (MG) and southern surveys (e.g. Hewitt et al. 1992; Winn et al. 2002). This sample has not expanded significantly in the last 15 yr, because of the difficulty – in the era before the Square Kilometre Array (SKA) – of conducting more sensitive wide-field radio surveys at the necessary sub-arcsecond resolution for lens discovery.

In order to increase the size of the sample of quasar lenses observed at radio wavelengths, we can use the fact that all quasars are likely to have radio emission at some level. For example, White et al. (2007) performed a stacking analysis at the positions of radio-‘quiet’ quasars from the Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty cm (FIRST) radio survey (Becker, White & Helfand 1995) and found that quasars not detected at the 1-mJy level are likely, on average, to have radio flux densities which fall with decreasing optical...
In sources of intermediate flux density, jets characteristic of ordinary powerful jet close to a black hole – also apply to radio-quiet quasars, which power radio-loud quasars – accretion and the formation of a nucleus (AGN) paradigm applies to these objects. In fainter cases (1 mJy at 1.4 GHz at redshifts of a few tenths) however, Condon et al. (1993; Burud et al. 2002; Poindexter, Morgan & Kochanek 2002) and the radio sources appear to be variable (Barvainis et al. 2005). Both of these observations suggest that the active galactic nucleus (AGN) paradigm applies to these objects. In fainter cases (<1 mJy at 1.4 GHz at redshifts of a few tenths) however, Condon et al. (2013) argue that star formation is the primary mechanism for the emission. This is inferred from an analysis showing that the radio luminosity function of quasi-stellar objects (QSOs) turns up sharply below $L_{1.4\,\text{GHz}} < 10^{22}$ W Hz$^{-1}$ and suggesting that a second population emerges at these luminosities. It is also possible that a different emission mechanism is at work in the core of the sources. Blundell & Kuncic (2007) suggest the presence of optically thin bremsstrahlung emission (although see Steenbrugge et al. 2011) for evidence against this view) and Laor & Behar (2008) propose the possibility of emission from magnetically heated coronae, rather than a classical AGN source. It is important to achieve high-resolution radio imaging of these sources in order to separate the possibilities. This is typically very difficult to achieve with current long-baseline interferometers in very faint objects. However, the use of lensing magnification provides a way to detect otherwise unobservable objects.

The second motivation is that radio and optical observations are subject to different propagation effects. The main such effect in the optical is microlensing due to stars in the lens galaxy, which produces measurable flux changes because the size of the optical source is very small. Repeated optical monitoring can reveal the flux density variations associated with the movement of the source with respect to the microlensing caustic patterns (Irwin et al. 1989; Wozotzki et al. 1993; Burud et al. 2002; Pointdexter, Morgan & Kochanek 2008; Blackburne et al. 2011; Muñoz et al. 2011). At radio wavelengths, the source is larger, and therefore much less susceptible to microlensing; hence, comparison between the two wavebands can reveal the effects of microlensing directly. In the radio, the only significant propagation effect is scattering by ionized media (Koopmans et al. 2003). This seems to be particularly noticeable in a few cases, such as CLASS B0128+437 (Phillips et al. 2000; Biggs et al. 2004) but should in principle decrease strongly at higher radio frequencies. A corresponding problem at optical wavelengths is extinction by dust in the lensing galaxy, which can be used to learn about the properties of the dust if the intrinsic fluxes are known (e.g. Jackson, Xanthopoulos & Browne 2000; Eliasdóttir et al. 2006; Ostman, Goobar & Mörtsell 2008). Radio wavelengths therefore provide an important input to any programme which aims to disentangle the effects of substructure in the lens galaxy from those of microlensing and extinction.

The third motivation for radio observations of four-image gravitational lens systems is their potential to probe substructure in the lens galaxies. In principle, quasar lens systems are useful for probing small-scale structure within the lens potential, down to $10^6 M_\odot$ and below (Mao & Schneider 1998), because the flux density of the lensed image can be perturbed by small-scale mass structures close to the corresponding ray path. Such subgalactic scale structures are important predictions of cold dark matter (CDM) models. Initially they were thought not to be present in required quantities in the Milky Way (Klypin et al. 1999; Moore et al. 1999). The situation is now less clear, as a population of faint Milky Way satellites have since been discovered (Belokurov et al. 2006; Zucker et al. 2006; Koposov et al. 2015). The Milky Way halo mass is a critical variable (Wang et al. 2012; Kafle et al. 2014) as the predicted halo population is sensitive to it. In lens systems, the flux density of the lensed images is particularly sensitive to small structures, because it depends on the second derivative of the lensing potential, as opposed to the image positions which depend on the first derivative. The usual evidence for a detection of substructure is therefore a set of image flux ratios which cannot be fit by smooth models. More particularly, four-image lenses in cusp configurations (where the source is close to the cusp of the astroid caustic) and fold configurations (resulting from the source being close to the caustic fold) give clear theoretical expectations for image flux ratios which must be obeyed by any smooth model. In cusp lenses, there are three close images and the middle image is expected to have the brightness of the sum of the outer two (Schneider & Weiss 1992); in fold lenses, the two close images are expected to have the same flux (Keeton, Gaudi & Petters 2003; Congdon, Keeton & Nordgren 2008). Because of optical microlensing, radio lens systems have traditionally been used for this work (Mao & Schneider 1998; Fassnacht et al. 1999; Metcalf & Zhao 2002; Metcalf 2005; Kratzer et al. 2011). The statistics of such objects were analysed by Dalal & Kochanek (2002) who found a fraction of between 0.6 and 7 per cent of mass in $10^6 - 10^7 M_\odot$ substructures at the radius probed by the lensing. More recent theoretical work has used more realistic treatment of lens galaxies via the use of numerical simulations (Bradac et al. 2004; Amara 2006; Macciò et al. 2006; Xu et al. 2009). The conclusions are generally that there is, if anything, an excess of substructure over what is predicted to exist in CDM (though see Metcalf & Amara 2012; Xu et al. 2015). At the same time, analyses of individual lens systems have yielded constraints on substructures at the $\sim 10^6 M_\odot$ level (e.g. Fadely & Keeton 2012). The major problem in using quasar lenses to constrain substructure has been the lack of large enough samples of radio-loud four-image lenses; the seven studied by Dalal & Kochanek (2002) have formed the sample for most subsequent investigations. Possible solutions to this problem include the use of mid-infrared (IR) fluxes (Chiba et al. 2005; Fadely & Keeton 2011) assuming that the mid-IR source is large enough not to be subject to microlensing (but see Sluse et al. 2013). An alternative approach is to use the narrow line region of quasars (Moustakas & Metcalf 2003; Sugai et al. 2007; Nierenberg et al. 2014) which should also
be large enough to be unaffected by microlensing, or submillimetre observations in the case of new lenses from *Herschel* and the South Pole Telescope (SPT; Hezaveh et al. 2013). A further alternative is to perform lens reconstruction of systems with extended sources (Warren & Dye 2003; Dye & Warren 2005; Koopmans 2005; Vegetti & Koopmans 2009; Vegetti et al. 2012). Cases of substructure detections have already been reported from these works, although the sensitivity is mainly towards the higher mass end of the substructure mass function; quasar lenses are thus likely to be usefully complementary to this method.

This work presents a continuation of a programme to detect and image faint radio sources in gravitational lens systems. Its aim is to increase the number of four-image lenses with detected radio fluxes, both to increase the sample sizes of quasar lens systems suitable for the investigation of subgalactic scale substructures in the lens, and to begin the study of the very faint radio sources which are imaged by the foreground lens galaxies. Where necessary we use a standard flat cosmology with $\Omega_m = 0.27$ and $H_0 = 68$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

## 2 Sample and Observations

### 2.1 Sample Selection

Our target sample includes all known gravitational lens systems with four lensed images, no detected radio emission above the $\sim 1$ mJy level reached in large-scale sky surveys such as the FIRST and NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS) 1.4-GHz surveys (Becker et al. 1995; Condon et al. 1998), and with declination $\geq$ $-$20° for accessibility to the VLA and e-MERLIN radio arrays. There are 13 of these in current compilations such as the CASTLES (Kochanek et al. 1998) and Masterlens (Moustakas et al. 2012) lists, which represents a potential factor of 3 improvement in statistics if radio flux densities can be measured for all of them. One of these objects, SDSS J0004+4112, was already detected by Jackson (2011) using the VLA in the lower resolution C-configuration. This is a wide-separation object (Inada et al. 2003a) resulting from the lensing action of a cluster, whose mass distribution is correspondingly more difficult to model. Most such objects, however, are lensed by individual galaxies; we have in this preliminary phase used the VLA (resolution $\sim 0.3$ arcsec at 5 GHz) to investigate the wider separation objects within this sample. A further object, RX J1131$-$1231, was previously detected by Wucknitz & Volino (2008) in archival VLA data, and subsequently with the VLA and MERLIN [although not with very long baseline interferometry (VLBI)].

Table 1 shows a summary of the lens systems observed (including, for completeness, SDSS J0004+4112) together with the source and lens redshifts and other observational information.

### 2.2 Observations and Data Reduction

#### 2.2.1 VLA Observations

Objects were observed with a total bandwidth of 2 GHz in 16 intermediate frequencies of 128 MHz over the frequency range 4488$-$6512 MHz (C band). The exception was HS 0810+2554 which was observed at X band, with a similar spectral arrangement over the frequency range 7988$-$10036 MHz, in order to achieve the resolution needed for the smaller spatial scale of this source. Integration times were generally a few hours (Table 1) and observations were taken at various times during the autumn of 2012. Although the individual 3- or 1.5-h observations were taken at different times, we do not detect variability in any case between individual epochs. All new observations were taken in A-configuration, which has a maximum baseline of 36 km and a theoretical resolution of 0.35 arcsec at 5 GHz and 0.22 arcsec at 8.4 GHz. Data were taken in spectral-line mode, with 2-MHz channels, although this was reduced in subsequent processing as only a small area of sky was required.

Nearby phase calibrators were observed at regular intervals, with a pattern of 1 min on the calibrator and 5 min on source, in order to calibrate the instrumental and atmospheric phases. Sources of known flux density, either 3C 138 or 3C 286, were observed in order to fix the flux density to the Baars et al. (1977) scale.

Data analysis was performed using the National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO) *AIPS* package. The data were fringe fitted to remove instrumental delays using the phase calibrator observations, and a phase and amplitude solution was performed using the phase calibrator and a point source model. Maps were also made of the phase calibrator and used where necessary to iterate the phase and amplitude calibration, and the flux density solution was transferred from the flux calibrators. The resulting calibration was then applied to the target sources, which were imaged using natural weighting in order to achieve the best possible signal-to-noise ratio. In general we obtain image noise levels close to the theoretical value, usually about 3 $\mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$ for 6 h of on-source time.

#### 2.2.2 e-MERLIN Observations

Two of the objects (HS 0810+2554 and RX J0911+0551) were also observed with the e-MERLIN array (Table 2). The observations were carried out at L band, with a bandwidth covering the wavelength range 1287$-$1799 MHz. In addition to the target sources, observations of nearby phase calibrators were carried out, with a cycle of 7 min on the target and 3 min on the phase calibrator. Additional observations of 3C 286 were carried out in order to set the flux scale, and of the bright point source OQ 208 in order to calibrate the bandpass. Data reduction followed standard procedures.
spread functions (PSFs; Table 3), whose extent is known accurately. We do this by modelling the structure, in each case, with four point radio flux densities are given in Table 3. All four objects were detected in these observations, of which all but 2554 was complicated by the presence of a 200-mJy confusing source 6 arcmin from the target; this source was mapped simultaneously with the target, and was also used to refine the phase calibration of these observations. Noise levels achieved in these observations were about 15–30 μJy, depending on the details of the mapping strategy.

3 RESULTS AND MODELS

All four objects were detected in these observations, of which all but SDSS J0924+0219 have individually measured flux densities for each lensed image. We discuss the results for each object separately, before making more general remarks about the measurements. The radio flux densities are given in Table 3.

The approach to modelling the observations is the same in each case. First, we make a preliminary assessment of whether the radio map is consistent with lensing of a point source into point images. We do this by modelling the structure, in each case, with four point spread functions (PSFs; Table 3), whose extent is known accurately from the radio clean procedure. In this model, we fix the separation between the four individual components using measurements from archive Hubble Space Telescope (HST) images as reported by the CASTLES astrometry (which are accurate to a few milliarcseconds), but the overall registration of the image has been allowed to vary. There are thus six free parameters in the model, two for the registration, and four from the flux densities of the individual points. Second, we make a lens model using constraints from the image-plane radio map; for this, we assume a singular isothermal mass distribution (except in the case of HE 0435–1223) for the lenses together with a contribution from external shear. The source is assumed to be of Gaussian profile, and the resulting image plane is compared to the data, optimizing the lens galaxy parameters together with the source position, flux density, size and ellipticity. We note that modern wide-bandwidth interferometers at centimetre wavelengths, such as the VLA and e-MERLIN, come close to filling the u−v plane. Because of this virtually filled aperture, there is no need to fit the data directly in the u−v plane. This contrasts with the situation in early Atacama Large Millimetre Array (ALMA) data sets used to map submillimetre lenses (e.g. Hezaveh et al. 2013).

3.1 HS 0810+2554

HS 0810+2554 was discovered by Reimers et al. (2002) and consists of four images with the two south-western, bright images in a merging pair configuration. In HST imaging (Reimers et al. 2002) the lensing galaxy is detected, with an unknown redshift (it is estimated as 0.89 by Mosquera & Kochanek 2011 from the separation and the redshift distribution of existing lenses). These images also show a 0.7-mag difference in brightness between the components of the merging pair, contrary to the expectations of simple models, but this is likely to be due to microlensing in the lens galaxy. The source is a narrow absorption line quasar, with relativistic outflows detected using X-ray absorption spectra (Chartas et al. 2014). These high-velocity outflows may be magnetically driven.

Our radio maps from the VLA and e-MERLIN are shown in Fig. 1. The components in the VLA image appear extended, and a faint arc is visible around the bright merging pair. This extension can be quantified by attempting to model the lensed structure only with point sources, with separations fixed to that of archival HST data. The best fit shows significant residuals, in particular around the bright merging components, but also at a lower level around the line connecting images B and C (Fig. 2). It is obvious from visual inspection that the shape of the A–B complex in the data is significantly different from that of a two-Gaussian realization. We therefore conclude that the source is likely to be extended and model it as such.

In order to model the extended source, we have assumed a simple lens model (singular isothermal ellipsoid plus external shear) and treated the source as an ellipse with a flux density, axial ratio, position and orientation which are free to vary. For each iteration of the model, the source is projected through the lens model, and the result convolved with the PSF of the radio map. Minimization of the χ² between the model image and data is carried out, where the χ² value is determined from a comparison of the model with the image in all regions where either model or image contains flux above 2σ. Correlations between pixels are neglected. The position of the galaxy is fixed by the use of the HST image during this process. In practice, the quality of the fit does not depend significantly on this quantity, provided that the source is allowed to move to keep the same distance between it and the galaxy. An acceptable fit of

### Table 2. Details of the e-MERLIN observations of two of the sources.

| Object         | Phase calibrator | Date      | Exposure | Frequency          |
|----------------|------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------|
| HS 0810+2554   | JVAS 0813+2435    | 31/03/2014| 8 h      | 1287–1799 MHz      |
| RX J0911+0551  | SDSS J0901+0448   | 26/04/2014| 8 h      | 1287–1799 MHz      |

### Table 3. Radio flux measurements for the sample of four-image lens systems observed with the VLA/e-MERLIN, in which fluxes can be measured. For HS 0810+2554 the flux densities are from the VLA map at 8.4 GHz; the corresponding flux densities in the e-MERLIN image are (161, 173, 129 and 216) for A, B, C and D, respectively, with errors of approximately 30 μJy in each case.

| Object         | Type   | Cpt. | Flux density (radio, μJy) |
|----------------|--------|-----|---------------------------|
| HE 0435–1223   | Cross  | A   | 36.0 ± 2.1                |
|                |        | B   | 26.4 ± 2.1                |
|                |        | C   | 34.3 ± 2.1                |
|                |        | D   | 16.1 ± 2.1                |
| HS 0810+2554   | Fold   | A   | 85.1 ± 3.7                |
|                |        | B   | 83.7 ± 3.7                |
|                |        | C   | 60.0 ± 3.7                |
|                |        | D   | 49.1 ± 3.7                |
| RX J0911+0551  | Cusp   | A   | 26.9 ± 2.2                |
|                |        | B   | 53.2 ± 2.2                |
|                |        | C   | 19.7 ± 2.2                |
|                |        | D   | 9.4 ± 3.0                 |
|                |        | G   | 18.3 ± 2.2                |
The noise level is approximately 29 µJy beam\(^{-1}\); all the images are detected at >5σ significance.

\[\chi^2 = 1.6\] is obtained with such a procedure; the parameters of this fit are given in Table 4 and shown in Figs 3 and 4. The two close images, A and B, are of approximately the same flux density, as expected in the absence of millilensing-scale substructure and in contrast to the measurements in the optical and near-IR. The model implies a magnification of about 25 for the brightest image, yielding an intrinsic flux density of 3.5 µJy for the source. The implied magnification is a factor of 2 less than that of the model by Assef et al. (2011), but HS 0810+2554 is in the high-magnification regime where the source is contained within a very small astroid caustic, and minor movements in the source position produce major changes in the implied magnification.

In order to derive uncertainties, the parameters have been run through a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) process using the publicly available \textsc{emcee} routine (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). We have assumed a number of hard priors, namely limits of 0–1 arcsec for the Einstein radius of the galaxy, limits of 0 < y < 0.5 for the external shear contribution, a requirement that the source flux and source width are positive, and that ellipticities of the source and galaxy must be <1. Finally, we have imposed a Gaussian prior on the position of the lens galaxy, based on the measured position in the \textit{HST} image and with a width of 10 mas. The results (Table 4) make it clear that the source is extended by approximately 10 mas in the source plane, corresponding to about 70 pc in physical scale, at a position angle of approximately 50°. As usual with strong lens systems, we obtain a relatively accurate measurement of the Einstein radius of the lens galaxy, which is modelled as being almost circular. This is consistent with its appearance on archival \textit{HST} images.

Although the existing e-MERLIN images do not have very high signal-to-noise ratio, they do allow us to measure an approximate overall spectral index, because the resolution of the e-MERLIN 1.6-GHz image is very similar to that of the VLA at 8.4 GHz. This spectral index is moderately steep, at ~0.55 ± 0.1.

3.2 HE 0435−1223

HE 0435−1223 was discovered by Wisotzki et al. (2002) and identified as a four-image system with an early-type lens galaxy. The lens redshift was obtained by Morgan et al. (2005), who also found that the lens is part of a group of galaxies. Microlensing was detected in a subsequent monitoring campaign (Kochanek et al. 2006) which probably affects the A component most strongly (Courbin et al. 2011; Ricci et al. 2011), and it has also been shown that the broad-line region in this object is subject to microlensing (Sluse et al. 2012a; Braibant et al. 2014). Modelling of the lens is able to reproduce well the positions of the lensed images, using only a single-galaxy deflector model (Sluse et al. 2012b). Fadely & Keeton (2012) examined and modelled this object extensively in a search for evidence of substructure in the lensing galaxy, using their L′-band mid-IR fluxes of the four components as inputs for the modelling. Since much of the quasar mid-IR emission comes from a circumnuclear torus, this may be immune to microlensing as the torus is likely to be relatively large.

Our radio map (Fig. 5) shows all four lensed images, at positions negligibly different from those of the optical and IR \textit{HST} images (obtained from the \textit{HST} archive). Once again, therefore, we have modelled the radio map assuming that it consists of four point sources, whose separation is determined by the \textit{HST} optical image. The results of this procedure are shown in Fig. 6. There are hints of emission outside the four point sources, particularly close to image C, but these are at the 2σ level and would need deeper observations to confirm or rule out. The image fluxes are given in Table 3, and, with a ratio of 1.05:0.77:1.00:0.47 between A:B:C:D images, differ

Figure 1. Left: VLA grey-scale radio map of HS 0810+2554 at 8.4 GHz. The beam is of full width at half-maximum (FWHM) 300 × 240 mas in position angle –65.17. Archival \textit{HST} Near-Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrometer (NICMOS, red) and Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS, green) contours have been performed using image C. The conventional nomenclature of the images (Reimers et al. 2002) is that the merging complex in the south-west consists of images A and B, with A being further north. Right: e-MERLIN image of HS 0810+2554 at approximately the same resolution, but a frequency of 1.6 GHz. The noise level is approximately 29 µJy beam\(^{-1}\). The panel represents 1 arcsec, and the colour scales in the side bars are in units of µJy beam\(^{-1}\).

Figure 2. Model of HS 0810+2554, using a point source model fit (see text). The data, model and residual are plotted; unlike the case with the extended source model, there appear to be significant features in the residual. Note that in this case, and unlike the case of the extended source model, the overall shape of the A–B complex is not well reproduced. The bar in each panel represents 1 arcsec, and the colour scales in the side bars are in units of µJy beam\(^{-1}\).
Table 4. Model fitting results for the three lens systems for which lens modelling is possible (all observed lenses except SDSS 0924+0219). The source position is quoted relative to the galaxy position, and all distances are given in units of milliarcsecond. For HE 0435−1223 and RX J0911+0551, the galaxy critical radius corresponds to the Einstein radius measured along the major axis.

| Quantity                      | HS 0810+2554 | HE 0435−1223 | RX J0911+0551 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Source position (mas)         | 0.1 E, 13.0 S | −68 E, 18 S  | 468 E, 28 S   |
| Source FWHM along major axis (mas) | 12 ± 1      | 80.5 ± 5    | 131 ± 11      |
| Source flux (µJy)             | 3.6 ± 0.2    | 2.9 ± 0.4   | 3.7 ± 0.2     |
| Source b/a                    | 0.66 ± 0.06  | 1.0         | 1.0           |
| Source position angle         | (47° ± 5°)   | −          | −             |
| Galaxy critical radius (mas)  | 473 ± 10     | 1138 ± 19   | 1047 ± 11     |
| Density slope (2 = isothermal)| ≡2.0         | 2.0 ± 0.06  | 2.0           |
| Galaxy ellipticity            | 0.0003 ± 0.0003 | 0.26 ± 0.02 | 0.15 ± 0.03   |
| External shear                | 0.023 ± 0.006, (29° ± 4°) | 0.039 ± 0.014, (−30° ± 7°) | 0.373 ± 0.033, (9° ± 2°) |

Figure 3. Models of HS 0810+2554, using a singular isothermal sphere model plus external shear (see text) together with a best-fitting extended source. The reconstructed source, data, model and residual are plotted. The white bar represents 10 mas in the panel of the reconstructed source, and 1 arcsec in all other cases. In these and subsequent figures, the data and model are plotted on the same colour scale, and the residuals are scaled to the minimum/maximum of the residual map. The colour bars represent flux densities in µJy beam$^{-1}$; the colour scale of the source is arbitrary, but its parameters are given in Table 4. A good fit is obtained with an unlensed source size of between 10 and 15 mas.

Figure 4. MCMC realizations of the model of HS 0810+2554, plotted as probability density of source size against source axis ratio. The preferred source size corresponds to a linear scale of approximately 100 pc, a unique resolution for such a faint radio source.

This difference in flux density ratios, and its explanation, is a difficult and intriguing problem. Fadely & Keeton (2012) undertook extensive modelling of this system using a softened power law for the primary galaxy, together with a singular isothermal model for the nearby galaxy, G22, which is about 4 arcsec to the south-west. They also included a shear component, to account for the more significantly from the $L'$ ratios 1.71:0.99:1.00:0.81 of Fadely & Keeton (2012). In particular, the A/C and C/D ratios differ by about 3σ between the two sets of observations, the difference in C/D ratio being particularly obvious from Fig. 5.

Figure 5. VLA radio map of HE 0435−1223. The grey-scale runs from 0 to 20 µJy beam$^{-1}$. The beam is circular, and of FWHM 0.45 arcsec. Archival NICMOS (red contours) and ACS (green contours) images are overlaid. Registration of these images has been performed using image A. The conventional nomenclature of the images is that A is the easternmost and B, C, D proceed clockwise around the lens galaxy.
B/C and D/C ratios are in disagreement at the 1.3 \( \sigma \) ellipticity of respectively. For the main lensing galaxy, the best model prefers an follow the approach of Fadely & Keeton (2012). Briefly, substruc-
explained by invoking substructure. To explore this possibility, we
the flux ratios.
that the steep density slope may be driven by the model trying to fit
freedom.3 Like Fadely & Keeton (2012), we find that more massive
A, B or C do not improve the fit. However, clumps over a large
range of masses are considered, whose masses enclosed within the
Einstein radii range from \( 10^6 \) to \( 10^9 \) M\(_{\odot}\). Modelling the smooth
lens component as before, we find that clumps placed near images
A, B or C do not improve the fit. However, clumps over a large
range of masses placed near image D can bring the model into good
agreement with the data, yielding a \( \chi^2 \) of 1.5 for one degree of freedom.3 Like Fadely & Keeton (2012), we find that more massive
clumps can be placed farther away from the image, while less mas-
sive clumps must be placed nearer. Furthermore, the clumps cannot
lie within approximately two Einstein radii of image D. Otherwise,
image splitting can magnify the image, making matters worse. As
for the main lensing galaxy, ellipticities of \( e \approx 0.33 \) and steeper-
than-isothermal power-law slopes of \( \gamma' \approx 2.33 \) are preferred.

Alternatively, if we do not invoke substructure, another possible
solution arises if the radio emission region is extended and differ-
centially magnified (see e.g. Serjeant 2012). Because the size of the
caucus is less than approximately 400 mas, a wide range of
source sizes below this scale can reproduce the data. We again use a
two-deflector model, including the main lensing galaxy as an ellipt-
oidal power law with a contribution from external shear and G22
as a SIS. The source is modelled as a spherical Gaussian. Owing
to the large number of image pixels (3150 pixels) used to constrain
the model, including time delay constraints for a point source at
the position of the source does not significantly affect our results,
and so we include them for consistency with the previous analyses.
Additionally, to try to account for the noise correlation in the data
and to be conservative in our parameter inference, we follow the
suggestion of Riechers et al. (2008) and increase the input noise
level by a factor dependent on the noise correlation length scale (a
factor of 3, in this case). Our best model achieves a \( \chi^2 \) of 854 for
3141 degrees of freedom. After marginalizing over all lens model
parameters, our MCMC analysis finds that an isothermal slope is
preferred for the main lensing galaxy (\( \gamma = 2.00^{+0.09}_{-0.06} \)) and that the
source is of FWHM \( 80^{+3}_{-5} \) mas. This optimal source size leads to
an image-plane model that shows discernible extended structure
(Fig. 7). By visual inspection, we find that source sizes more than
an order of magnitude smaller\(^4\) can also fit the data reasonably
well but result in point-like images at these resolutions and leave larger
model residuals. Sources a factor of 2 larger, on the other hand,
are clearly unfavourable by both visual inspection and the MCMC
analysis.

Of the possible choices for explaining the data, we prefer the
option that the source is extended and differentially magnified. As
radio sources are likely to be more extended than their optical or
mid-IR counterparts, this seems to be the most natural choice.
Furthermore, finite source size effects would likely be required in
order to simultaneously explain the flux ratio anomalies in the mid-
IR as well. We note, however, that due to the non-Gaussianity and
correlation of the noise in the immediate regions surrounding the
lensed images, we do not strictly limit our conclusions by the results
of the MCMC analysis. Instead, we provide a conservative upper
limit of 200 mas for the source size. For sources larger than this,
a visual inspection of the model residuals clearly shows that the
source has become too large.

3.3 RX J0911+0551
RX J0911+0551 (Bade et al. 1997) is a cusp-configuration lens
system, with three close images (A, B and C) and a fourth image
some distance to the west. The mass environment is relatively com-
plicated; the lens lies close to a massive cluster about 40 arcsec
away and in addition to the primary galaxy, a second galaxy lies
close to the system, complicating the process of mass modelling.
Our VLA 5-GHz image is shown in Fig. 8 and has a noise level
of just under 2 \( \mu \)Jy beam\(^{-1}\). All four lensed images are clearly de-
tected in the radio map, and in addition we detect radio emission
at the position of the lensing galaxy. We do not detect any of the
components in the e-MERLIN 1.5-GHz image, which has a noise
level of 16 \( \mu \)Jy beam\(^{-1}\).
To quantify the non-detection, and thus derive limits on spec-
tral index between the e-MERLIN and VLA observations, the

\[^3\] Because of the intrinsic non-linearity of the lens modelling and the strong
Gaussian priors placed on the galaxy positions, calculating the number of
degrees of freedom is non-trivial. Thus, we have chosen to count each galaxy
position parameter as half a degree of freedom, and so the ‘true’ number of
degrees of freedom may be \( \pm 2 \) of the number we quote here.

\[^4\] The image plane is appropriately oversampled to ensure that fluxes are
calculated accurately.
Figure 7. Models of HE 0435−1223, from left to right: source model; data; image-plane model; residual. The white bars represent 100 mas in the source plane (left-hand panel) and 1 arcsec in all other panels. Three different fits are shown. Top: small 3 mas source; middle: optimal 80 mas source; bottom: large 200 mas source. Although the 80 mas source is preferred by our MCMC analysis, smaller sources provide an equally good visual fit, while larger sources lead to significant model residuals. The colour bars represent flux densities in µJy beam$^{-1}$; the colour scale of the source is arbitrary, but its parameters are given in Table 4.

Figure 8. JVLA radio map of RX J0911+0551 (grey scaled from 0 to 20 µJy) with contours from archival HST/NICMOS data superimposed, aligned on image D. The beam is of FWHM 560 × 390 mas in position angle $−39.2$. The three close images to the left are A, B, C (from south to north), and image D is at the right of the picture. The lensing galaxy (between the images) is radio-loud, with a flux density of about 16 µJy.

e-MERLIN observation was conservatively simulated with four components of the size of the VLA beam ($\sim$500 mas), whose flux densities were given by the VLA map. Gaussian noise was added to the map at the same level as the observations (i.e. rms 16 µJy). The components were used to generate a $u - v$ data set with the sampling function and noise level of the actual e-MERLIN observations. This was imaged and the lower limit on the spectral index resulted from the input fluxes for which the components could just not be recovered from the simulated image by model fitting. The lower limit on the spectral index was found to be $\alpha = -0.5$.

We have again attempted to model the image plane, represented by the VLA map, as a sum of PSFs whose relative position is determined by the HST astrometry (Fig. 9). Here it does appear that point models have difficulty in reproducing the structure, in particular the shape of the A–B–C complex, although we recover good estimates for the flux densities of each image (Table 3). The flux densities of the A, B and C images in the radio have a ratio very close to 1:2:1, close to that expected by the cusp relation and suggesting that substructure does not need to be invoked in this case. This contrasts with the optical flux densities, and in particular with the flux density ratio 2:2:1 between A, B and C measured by Burud et al. (1998). Hence the optical measurements are almost certainly affected by microlensing. By contrast, Sluse et al. (2012b) model this lens using astrometric constraints and a model consisting of
Radio-quiet lensed quasars

Figure 9. Models of RX J0911+0551, using a point-source model fit (above, see text). The data, model and residual are plotted. In this case, the point-image model appears to have significant difficulty in fitting the A–B–C complex at the eastern end of the system. The bar in each panel represents 1 arcsec. Model using an extended source (below). The panels contain the source plane, the data, the model and the residual. The area around the galaxy has been blanked and excluded from the fit. In all cases the numbers on the colour bars are in $\mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$; the source panel colour scale is arbitrary, but the source parameters are given in Table 4.

a singular isothermal ellipsoid plus shear. They obtain image flux ratios (A:B:C:D) of 1:1.87:0.88:0.34. Our corresponding values are 1:2.05:0.73:0.35, consistent within the errors with Sluse et al.’s model. The source flux density predicted by this lens model is about 5 $\mu$Jy.

Motivated by the residuals observed in the point-source model, we have again fitted a model in which a Gaussian-shaped extended source is lensed. Provided that the source size is not very small, neither it nor the source shape is well constrained (Table 4). The modelled shear is large, suggesting that we are seeing the influence of the cluster to the south. The good overall fit to the data, $\chi^2 = 3518$ for 2290 degrees of freedom, gives no significant evidence for effects of substructure in the lens galaxy.

3.4 SDSS J0924+0219

SDSS J0924+0219 (Inada et al. 2003b) is a very interesting object because it has such a large optical flux anomaly, almost certainly as a result of microlensing (Morgan et al. 2008). This anomaly decreases with increasing observation wavelength (Floyd, Bate & Webster 2009) allowing the size of the accretion disc to be measured, but the anomaly persists over a period of $\sim$7 yr (Faure et al. 2011) raising the possibility that some of it may be due to the effects of lensing by substructure.

Although we detect the object at a reasonable level of significance (Fig. 10), we unfortunately do not have sufficient signal-to-noise ratio, in the 3 h of observation time allocated to this object, to measure the flux densities separately. At a total flux density of $\sim$15 $\mu$Jy, this is by far the weakest of the objects studied. Using an isothermal model, plus external shear, fitted to the positions reported by Inada et al. (2003b), we obtain magnifications for the four components (A, B, C and D) of approximately 13, 5, 5 and 11. Our overall flux density of $\sim$50 $\mu$Jy implies an unlensed source flux density of about 1.5 $\mu$Jy. This is the second faintest radio source yet detected, the faintest being SDSS J1004+4112 (Jackson 2011); further observations of the sample of radio-quiet quasars are likely to yield the first detected nano-Jy radio source.

Figure 10. VLA radio map of SDSS J0924+0219, with grey-scale from 0 to 20 $\mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$, and a restoring beam of 696 × 416 mas in PA $\sim$43°. The object is detected, but individual flux densities for the images are impossible to measure. Image registration to archival HST/NICMOS data (contours) has been done by eye, but this procedure is not well defined to better than the absolute astrometry of the HST image.

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

4.1 Radio properties of the lensing galaxies

We detect the lensing galaxy in only one of the four objects: RX J0911+0551, at a level of 18 $\mu$Jy. The radio flux density of the lensing galaxies in the other three objects is $<6$–8 $\mu$Jy (3$\sigma$).
The lensing galaxy flux density in RX J0911+0551 corresponds to a luminosity of $5 \times 10^{22}$ W Hz$^{-1}$, which is at the top of the range that can plausibly be attributed to star formation; this range is bounded by the $10^{21}$ W Hz$^{-1}$ of the Milky Way and $10^{23}$ W Hz$^{-1}$ for extreme star-forming galaxies. It is also close to the boundary between star-forming radio emitters and AGN found by Kimball et al. (2011) in their study of radio luminosity functions of nearby ($z \sim 0.2$) AGN. There is no evidence for on-going star formation in the lens galaxy, which seems to be an early-type galaxy, in other wavebands. For example, Burud et al. (1998) conducted optical and near-IR imaging and found that the lensing galaxy has a similar red colour to that of nearby members of the cluster of which it is part.

4.2 The nature of radio-quiet quasars

This work has resulted in the measurement of radio flux densities, and in some cases resolved radio structure, in a significant number of radio-‘quiet’ lensed quasar systems. Such radio sources, if unlensed, would be beyond the reach of current instruments in all but exceptional observing times, and are objects whose study will only become routine with the SKA. The luminosity of an object of intrinsic flux density of 1 µJy and flat spectral index is about $1.0 \times 10^{21}$ W Hz$^{-1}$ at $z = 0.5$, $5 \times 10^{21}$ W Hz$^{-1}$ at $z = 1$ and $30 \times 10^{21}$ W Hz$^{-1}$ at $z = 2$, orders of magnitude below what is typically accessible with current surveys except at low redshift (e.g. fig. 4 of Condon et al. 2013). Previous studies of radio-quiet quasars have focused on optically bright quasars, such as the Palomar-Green sample (Kellermann et al. 1994). In accordance with the radio–optical correlation noticed by White et al. (2007), these objects have typical radio flux densities of a few hundred µJy, two orders of magnitude brighter than the intrinsic flux densities of the objects studied here.

We have observed four of the 15 known optically selected, four-image quasar lenses with $\delta > -20^\circ$, and all of them have intrinsic radio flux density of between 1 and 5 µJy. Of the other nine, three are known to have significant radio emission. PG 1115+080 has VLA archival data at 8.4 GHz taken in the compact (D) configuration, which yield a total radio flux density of 153 ± 17 µJy, although the resolution of a few arcseconds does not allow the flux density of individual components to be determined. However, the likely magnifications in this lens system suggest that the intrinsic flux density of the source is also a few µJy. A similar result can be derived for the lens system RX J1131−1321, which was found to have significant radio emission by Wucknitz & Volino (2008). Finally, H1413+117 is a radio-intermediate object which has been studied with the VLA by Kaysar et al. (1990). Further lenses from the Cosmological Evolution Survey (COSMOS; Faure et al. 2008; Jackson 2008) do not have significant radio emission (Schinnerer et al. 2007, 2010) in the VLA–COSMOS survey, and three quad lens systems from the Sloan Quasar Lens Survey (SDSS J1133+0314, SDSS J1251+2935, SDSS J1330+1810) do not yet have deep radio imaging. It is therefore likely that at least half of optically selected quasar lens systems will show radio emission at the µJy level, if examined carefully, and a more complete census will be the focus of future work.

The existing data are plotted in Fig. 11. The radio fluxes have been derived from the literature (see the figure caption), with in some cases a limit of 1 mJy inferred from their absence from the FIRST 1.4-GHz catalogue. The current sample is small. However, we note that the median radio flux density inferred by White et al. (2007) from their stacking analysis is about 50–70 µJy at $20 < I < 21$. This, combined with the distribution of detections in our optically selected sample, suggests that there is a large scatter in radio flux densities at this optical magnitude, if not an outright bimodality.

There are a number of theoretical models for the origin of radio emission in radio-quiet quasars, each of which makes rather different predictions for what should be observed. The first possibility is that of a smaller version of a radio-loud quasar, where a flat-spectrum radio core and steeper spectrum radio jet emission are present in some ratio (Urry & Padovani 1995; Ulvestad et al. 2005). In this case, we would expect steep-spectrum emission on scales of parsec to tens of parsec in addition to a compact, flat-spectrum radio core. A second possibility is the emission from radio starbursts in a similar manner to nearby examples such as M82 (e.g. Condon et al. 2013). This emission is expected to be optically thin synchrotron from supernova remnants, but should extend over most of the galaxy disc and therefore have a characteristic size of about 1 arcsec, or 5–10 kpc; studies of star-forming radio sources in the Hubble Deep Field (HDF), with mean redshifts around 1, have shown that the radio emission nearly always displays a similar characteristic size (Muxlow et al. 2005). Alternatively, the radio emission could be produced by thermal processes close to the accretion disc. Suggestions for this include optically thin free–free emission from a disc wind (Blundell & Kuncic 2007) and emission from magnetically heated coronae (Laor & Behar 2008). In both cases the emission would be expected to originate close to the centre. In the case of disc winds, this scale is likely to be at least 0.1–1 pc, but for magnetically heated coronae the scale would be smaller. In both these cases, however, the radio spectral index should be approximately flat.

We can use our data to confront the models in a number of ways. The first relevant result is the source sizes implied by our lens modelling, which are of the order of 70 pc in HS 0810+2554. In HE 0435−1223 we find a characteristic size which is more uncertain, but probably greater than a few milliarcseconds and certainly less than 200 mas (<2 kpc). In RX J0911+0551 we again detect a significantly extended source, but whose size is likely to be 100–150 mas (~1 kpc) rather than the larger values which would be
expected for a typical star-forming region in a radio source. Either we have an unrepresentative sample, or the star formation model is disfavoured compared to the non-thermal AGN hypothesis. This is in contrast to the inference of star formation as the cause of the radio emission, made by Wucknitz & Volino in the case of RX J1131−1231. A definitive test should be available using VLBI, as a non-thermal source should contain high-brightness emission at the μJy level which is detectable with current VLBI sensitivities.

A second, although rather more equivocal, result concerns the measured spectral indices. The spectral index of the radio emission in HS 0810+2554 appears to be steep, consistent with synchrotron emission from either a non-thermal source or a star-forming component. Both the spectral index and the characteristic size disfavour coronal emission and emission from disc winds, which would be expected to be relatively flat-spectrum and to be emitted from a smaller region. However, the VLA and e-MERLIN observations of RX J0911+0551 may be consistent with such models. Because the spectral index limit is currently relatively loose (α > −0.5), further e-MERLIN observations are needed to make a more definite statement.

4.3 Substructure in lensing galaxies

Radio flux densities in four-image lens systems are important because they potentially give indications of substructure in lensing galaxies (or along the line of sight), in the form of flux anomalies (Mao & Schneider 1998; Dalal & Kochanek 2002). In particular, violations of the cusp and fold relation allow us to quantify the levels of substructure present (e.g. Xu et al. 2009, 2015). Flux ratios between images can also be affected by propagation effects (scattering in the case of the radio waveband), microlensing (in the case when the source is smaller than the intrinsic size of the Einstein radius of stars in the lensing galaxy, or about 1 μarsec), variability (in the case of a source which varies significantly over the time delay between the images) and source size (which can affect flux ratios; e.g. Amara et al. 2006; Metcalf & Amara 2012). The use of cusp and fold relations, rather than the observation of disagreement with a smooth model, is important because otherwise the effect of substructure on the image flux ratios can be partially absorbed by varying the smooth model.

We detect no new evidence in our objects for substructure. Indeed, the flux ratios of RX J0911+0551 obey the cusp relation within the errors of the measured radio flux densities. The flux ratios of the fold system HS 0810+2554 are also consistent with a smooth model, as the brightnesses of the merging images are equal to within the errors, unlike the optical case in which microlensing affects one of the images. The case of HE 0435−1223 is more interesting. Here we obtain flux density ratios which are inconsistent with not only the optical but also the mid-IR bands. There are a number of explanations for this which we do not favour. Radio microlensing could affect the radio flux densities, but would require a very small radio source size (microarcseconds rather than milliarcseconds). Variability of the radio source, together with a time delay, is also possible. However, intrinsic variations in typical radio-faint quasars are on time-scales of several weeks to months with fluctuations at the 10–20 per cent level (Barvainis et al. 2005). In order to reproduce these observations, a variation in the source flux of ~40 per cent would be required within the time-delay scales measured by Courbin et al. (2011) (~6.5 and ~14.3 d for B–D and C–D, respectively). Flux anomalies due to variations in the mid-IR are still less likely, because the L′ band is expected to have a significant contribution from a dusty torus, whose size is >1 pc, implying a light crossing-time of several years or more. Differential extinction due to dust at non-radio wavelengths is not a likely culprit, as the colours (Wisotzki et al. 2002) and the continuum slopes (Wisotzki et al. 2004; Morgan et al. 2005) are nearly identical for the four lensed images. While substructure can be used to explain the various flux ratio anomalies seen in the optical, mid-IR and radio, it may prove difficult and would perhaps require fine-tuning to simultaneously explain all the observations with substructure alone. Instead, at least some of the explanation is likely to be the effects of finite source sizes; especially given the results in the other objects, an intrinsic radio source size of order a few parsecs is the explanation that we favour.
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