Chemical-genomic dissection of the CTD code
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Sequential modifications of the RNA polymerase II (Pol II) C-terminal domain (CTD) coordinate the stage-specific association and release of cellular machines during transcription. Here we examine the genome-wide distributions of the ‘early’ (phospho-Ser5 (Ser5-P)), ‘mid’ (Ser7-P) and ‘late’ (Ser2-P) CTD marks. We identify gene class-specific patterns and find widespread co-occurrence of the CTD marks. Contrary to its role in 3′-processing of noncoding RNA, the Ser7-P marks are placed early and retained until transcription termination at all Pol II–dependent genes. Chemical-genomic analysis reveals that the promoter-distal Ser7-P marks are not remnants of early phosphorylation but are placed anew by the CTD kinase Bur1. Consistent with the ability of Bur1 to facilitate transcription elongation and suppress cryptic transcription, high levels of Ser7-P are observed at highly transcribed genes. We propose that Ser7-P could facilitate elongation and suppress cryptic transcription.

The C-terminal domain (CTD) of the largest subunit of RNA polymerase II (Pol II) orchestrates dynamic interactions with proteins that are required for various stages of transcription1. The structural plasticity of the CTD and its proximity to the RNA exit tunnel of the polymerase enable it to interact with multiple protein complexes, including those that process the nascent transcript (Supplementary Fig. 1). The CTD is composed of 26 repeating heptapeptides ( Tyr1-Ser2-Pro3-Thr4-Ser5-Pro6-Ser7) in budding yeast. Five of the seven residues (Tyr1, Ser2, Thr4, Ser5 and Ser7) can be phosphorylated or glycosylated, and the proline residues (Pro3 and Pro6) can exist in two stereoisomeric states (cis or trans). The stage-specific association and exchange of protein partners is mediated by sequential post-translational modifications of different residues of the heptapeptide repeats4–9. During transcription initiation, Ser5 residues of the CTD are phosphorylated by the Kin28/Cdk7 subunit of transcription factor IIH and by the Srb10/Cdk8 subunit of the Mediator complex8–10. This ‘early’ modification releases Pol II from the promoter-bound preinitiation complex8,11 and facilitates the association of the capping enzyme complex and the Set1 histone methyltransferase12–16. Shortly after promoter release, Rtr1, an atypical phosphatase, erases the phospho-Ser5 (Ser5-P) marks on the elongating Pol II17. Next, the Cdk9 kinase of the positive transcription elongation factor b complex phosphorylates the Ser2 residues of the CTD17,18. This ‘late’ post-translational mark facilitates transcription elongation as well as the association of splicing factors and the Set2 histone methyltransferase that places repressive marks, thus preventing cryptic transcription within coding regions1,4,19,20. In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the role of Cdk9 is split between two homologous kinases, Ctk1 and Bur1 (ref. 21). Ctk1 is the primary Ser2 kinase, whereas Bur1 is thought to stimulate elongation and suppress cryptic transcription by acting on non-CTD substrates21–25. Toward the end of the coding region, the Ser2-P marks are recognized in conjunction with the polyadenylation sequence by proteins involved in polyadenylation of the nascent transcript26–28. During transcription termination, Fcp1 and Ssu72, two CTD phosphatases, dephosphorylate the CTD, making it available for the next cycle of transcription29–31. In mammalian cells, Ser7-P marks are important for recruitment of the Integrator, a complex that plays an important role in 3′-processing of noncoding RNA32,33. Whereas the substitution of this evolutionarily conserved residue with an alanine (S7A) has modest effects on growth, the substitution with a charged glutamate (S7E) is lethal34,35. Thus, the placement and, more importantly, the removal of this phosphate moiety is critical in the transcription cycle.

The CTD code hypothesis posits that sequential CTD marks specify a recognition code in a manner akin to the histone code1–3,7,36,37. Whether the patterns that underlie the CTD code are retained across different classes of genes in the genome remains a fundamentally important question. The observations that Ser2-P marks are not required for the expression of the p53-regulated p21 gene, histone genes or snRNA genes raises the specter that this mark is not universally needed for RNA synthesis38,39. The early Ser5-P mark, thought to be essential for promoter release, is largely dispensable for mRNA synthesis8,40–42. Despite its role in 3′-processing of non-coding genes32,34, the Ser7-P mark is observed at promoters of all Pol II transcribed genes43–45. It is unclear what role Ser7-P marks serve at protein-coding genes. Furthermore, the cross-talk between different modifications is an important but poorly understood phenomenon. Early experiments suggested that Ser5-P and Ser2-P modifications were placed independently29. In fact, inhibition of one mark was shown to increase the abundance of the other, suggesting an antagonistic relationship46. However, Ser5-P was recently shown to prime the CTD for subsequent phosphorylation of Ser2 by Bur1/Cdk9.
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(refs. 22,47,48). Notably, the interdependence and co-occurrence of Ser7-P is only now beginning to be explored. Thus, many questions about the universality of the sequential patterning of CTD marks remain to be resolved.

Our genome-wide analysis reveals an unexpected degree of co-occurrence of all three CTD marks, suggesting a bivalent or even multivalent mode of recognition by docking partners. A particularly unexpected observation is that Ser7-P is placed early in transcription and retained at robust levels until transcription termination. Chemical inhibition studies show that the promoter-distal Ser7-P marks are not remnants of early phosphorylation events but are replenished by Bur1. This defines a new role for Bur1 and suggests that Ser7-P marks are important for efficient transcription elongation. Finally, although we highlight the differential patterns of CTD marks at coding and noncoding genes, our genome-wide atlas of CTD marks serves as a general resource to identify new regulatory mechanisms that underlie the CTD code.

RESULTS

Genome-wide analyses reveal unexpected profiles

To explore the universality of the CTD code, we examined the occupancy profiles of Pol II and the three major CTD marks across the S. cerevisiae genome. We performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments and identified enriched DNA fragments via high-resolution tiled genomic microarrays (ChIP-chip). We immuno-precipitated Pol II using a monoclonal antibody against Rpb3, an integral subunit of the polymerase that is not influenced by CTD phosphorylation. We examined CTD phosphorylations using epitope-specific antibodies (see Online Methods for details). The high-resolution profiles revealed previously unknown patterns of Pol II association across some genes and confirmed known binding patterns at other genes (Fig. 1, traces in blue). For example, high occupancy of Pol II across the ribosomal protein gene RPL16B and rapid depletion of Pol II across the NRD1 gene have been well documented (Fig. 1a). By contrast, the enrichment of Pol II at the 3' end of the MRPL4 gene or the enrichment at the 5' and 3' ends of the RIM1 gene have not previously been observed (Fig. 1a). Although cryptic unstable transcripts (CUTs), stable unannotated transcripts (SUTs)50,51 and neighboring convergent genes may contribute to some of these profiles, there are some genes at which there is no neighboring or overlapping transcription to account for the 3'-enrichment of Pol II (Supplementary Fig. 2).

We then examined the genome-wide patterns of CTD phosphorylation. To ensure that the profiles of CTD modifications were not skewed by unusual Pol II profiles, we focused on genes bearing uniformly high levels of Pol II across the transcription unit (Fig. 1b). Particular examples include the protein-coding genes: PDC1, COX18 and PSA1, as well as the noncoding polycistronic cluster SNR78-72. The Ser7-P profile across the SNR cluster is enriched at the 5' end of the gene, with dissipation of the signal toward the 3' end of the transcription unit. The protein-coding genes show different Ser7-P profiles. Unlike previous reports in human cells22,23, we failed to detect Ser7-P enrichment solely in the middle of the coding region across the yeast genome.

By contrast, the reciprocal enrichment of Ser5-P at promoters and Ser2-P at the 3' ends is frequently observed and defines the current paradigm. However, the levels of Ser5-P across COX18 and Ser2-P on the SNR78-72 cluster do not conform to the paradigm and are lower than expected. The unexpected patterns of CTD marks at different genes are not due to experimental variability (Supplementary Fig. 3) but may arise from CUTs and SUTs.

Clustering genome-wide profiles of Pol II and CTD marks

To examine commonalities between patterns of Pol II and its modifications across the genome, we used an average transcription unit analysis (Fig. 2a). In this analysis, the entire transcription unit, from the transcription start site (TSS; Fig. 2a, arrow at 5' end) to the cleavage and polyadenylation site (CPS; Fig. 2a, vertical red bar at the 3' end), was represented by ten evenly scaled bins across all annotated genes in the genome (see Online Methods for details). The Pol II and CTD modification profiles are sorted by unrestrained k-means clustering, which partitions the genes into k clusters based on their ChIP-chip profiles. The profiles coalesce into four general clusters (Fig. 2b): uniform enrichment across the transcription unit (Fig. 2b, denoted by U), 5'-enrichment (Fig. 2b, denoted by 5), 3'-enrichment (Fig. 2b, denoted by 3) or 5'- and 3'-enrichments (Fig. 2b, denoted by 5+3). The four panels show the clustered profiles of Pol II and its modifications across all genes in the genome (Fig. 2b).

The compact nature of the yeast genome complicated the analysis due to signal bleeding over from neighboring genes and their regulatory elements. Therefore, we parsed the genes into three sets: the first (set I) included all 6,147 annotated genes in the genome, the second (set II) focused on 615 genes that have the highest levels (top 10%) of Pol II occupancy and the third (set III) is comprised of 60 genes.
that show robust Pol II occupancy but are isolated from other known or predicted genes by at least 400 base pairs (bp). Notably, genes in set III are also devoid of overlapping or neighboring CUTs and SUTs. The profiles of Pol II and its modifications across the three sets of genes are summarized as pie charts (Fig. 2c).

The summary profiles of the 60 well-isolated protein-coding genes (set III) show that Ser7-P and Ser5-P marks are enriched at 5′ end of genes (combining 5, 5+3 and U), whereas the Ser2-P mark is enriched at the 3′ end of genes. A quantitative fit of the profiles show that Ser5-P and Ser7-P maxima occur within 50 bp of the start site, whereas the Ser2-P marks reach their first maxima by 517 ± 226 bp (Supplementary Fig. 4a,b). Unexpectedly, at ~36% of the genes, the Ser5-P ‘early’ mark is also enriched at the 3′ end (5+3 profile). The noncanonical enrichment of Ser5-P at the 3′ ends and the occurrence of ‘late’ Ser2-P mark at the 5′ ends of genes are unexpected. Moreover, only 15% of the set III genes show the canonical Ser5-P enrichment only at the 5′ end followed by the reciprocal Ser2-P enrichment at the 3′ end of transcription units. Thus, the accepted paradigm that Ser5-P is enriched only at promoters, Ser7-P in the middle of the coding regions and Ser2-P toward the end does not adequately describe the patterns of CTD marks observed across the genome, and the paradigm, therefore, should be revised.

To assess the functional importance of Ser7-P profiles, we examined gene ontology enrichment within each cluster. We refined the

Figure 2 Genome-wide Pol II and CTD phosphorylation profiles. (a) The diagram summarizes the scale used in the average transcription unit analysis. Bins within transcribed region are equivalent to 10% of the transcribed region length, and bins flanking this region (−1, +1) are a constant 157 bp. (b) Representative clusters from the k-means clustering analysis for Pol II, Ser7-P, Ser5-P and Ser2-P occupancy profiles across the genome using average transcription unit analysis (see Online Methods). U, uniform enrichment across the transcription unit; 5, 5′ enrichment; 3, 3′ enrichment; 5+3, 5′ and 3′ enrichments. The dominant profile(s) for each is highlighted. (c) Pie chart diagrams showing the distribution of genes within each gene set for Pol II, Ser7-P, Ser5-P and Ser2-P having each of the profiles shown in b. Set I, annotated protein-coding genes (6,147 genes); set II, protein-coding genes with an average Pol II enrichment in the top 10% (615 genes); set III, protein-coding genes isolated by 400 bp and having an average Pol II enrichment greater than or equal to 1 (60 genes). (d) Histogram of significantly enriched gene ontology (GO) categories within individual Ser7-P clusters (U, 5, 3 and 5+3). Details for each GO category are provided in Supplementary Table 1. (e) Box plots of transcription frequency for genes within each Ser7-P cluster52. The uniform (U) Ser7-P cluster is strongly enriched for genes with higher rates of transcription frequency compared to the genome average (P = 3.35 x 10−30). (f) Bar graph of transcription machinery at the upstream activating sequence within individual Ser7-P clusters. The individual proteins are listed in Supplementary Figure 6. Proteins that are enriched within the cluster are represented by positive log10(P) values, whereas proteins that are depleted are represented by negative log10(P) values53. Dashed lines segregate the components of each complex. GTFs, general transcription factors; Med, Mediator complex; TFs, transcription factors.
clusters obtained using k-means using silhouette analysis, in which scores were assigned to the genes within each cluster. A silhouette score gives a measure of how well a gene profile fits in a given cluster. Iterative optimization allowed us to obtain the highest-confidence genes for each cluster (Supplementary Fig. 5). We then examined the clusters to find groups of ontologically related genes unique to each cluster (Fig. 2d, Supplementary Fig. 6 and Supplementary Table 1). The strong enrichment of ribosomal biogenesis genes with the uniform Ser7-P profile was especially striking ($P < 10^{-14}$). To further validate this result, we performed a hypergeometric comparison of genes within the uniform cluster with known genome-wide binding sites of all yeast transcription factors. Consistent with the gene ontology enrichment, transcription factors involved in ribosome biogenesis (FHL1, RAP1 and SFP1) were significantly enriched in the uniform cluster (Supplementary Fig. 6a). To examine the generality of our initial observations, we compared the known rates of RNA synthesis for genes within each cluster25. The uniform cluster was enriched for highly transcribed genes. The reciprocal analysis of the genes transcribed at the highest frequency revealed that 86% had the uniform Ser7-P profile. (Fig. 2e and Supplementary Fig. 6c). Further comparison with genome-wide maps of components of the transcriptional machinery, chromatin remodeling machines and transcription factors revealed that genes within the uniform cluster were highly enriched for factors that stimulate high levels of transcription25 (Fig. 2f and Supplementary Fig. 7). In essence, multiple analyses of datasets from independent sources tie highly expressed genes to uniform patterns of Ser7-P marks across the transcribed regions. Thus, the Ser7-P mark may contribute to efficient transcription elongation.

**Distinct patterns at noncoding versus protein-coding genes**

Ser7-P is only thought to be important for 3′-processing of snRNA; however, it is also placed robustly at protein-coding genes. It is unknown what role this CTD mark may serve at protein-coding genes. We therefore examined whether the patterns of CTD marks differ between noncoding and protein-coding genes (Fig. 3a). The Ser7-P and Ser5-P profiles on SNR7 and SNR14 are strikingly similar, but they differ from the profiles at protein-coding genes (YDJ1 and FBA1 in Fig. 3a). At protein-coding genes, Ser7-P and Ser5-P profiles coincide at the promoter but rapidly deviate within coding regions. Whereas Ser5-P levels abate, Ser7-P levels are retained at high levels until the 3′ end. Moreover, markedly lower levels of Ser2-P are apparent across noncoding genes when compared to those of protein-coding genes. None of the profiles show any correlation with the well-positioned nucleosomes at promoters of genes (Fig. 3a).

To extend the comparison beyond a few representative genes, we calculated the average levels of Ser7-P and Ser2-P marks for the 82 noncoding genes (small nuclear RNA (snRNA) and small nucleolar RNA) transcribed by Pol II and the 60 protein-coding genes of set III (from Fig. 2b). Other than distance from neighboring genes, the set III protein-coding genes are ontologically unrelated to each other, and they provide unambiguous occupancy profiles. The genome-scale data clearly show that the extent of Ser7-P modification on both classes of genes is equivalent. However, the levels of Ser2-P are 400% lower on noncoding genes ($P = 4.4 \times 10^{-21}$) (Fig. 3b). Even when correcting for the shorter size of noncoding genes, we find that the levels of Ser2-P are 275% lower than the signals observed within the first 300 bp of protein-coding genes ($P = 1.9 \times 10^{-10}$) (Supplementary Fig. 4c). The lower levels of Ser2-P marks and the abundance of Ser7-P at noncoding genes likely serve as a signal for enzymes that process noncoding transcripts.

**Chemical-genomics reveals cross-talk between CTD marks**

To examine the cross-talk between the CTD marks, we used a chemical-genetic approach to inhibit the two major kinases that phosphorylate Ser5 during transcription initiation: transcription factor IIH-associated Kin28/Cdk7 and, to a lesser extent, the Mediator-associated Srb10/Cdk8 (refs. 8, 40) (Supplementary Fig. 8a). To inhibit these two kinases selectively, their ATP binding pockets were genetically altered to accept cell-permeable inhibitor analogs4,54 (Supplementary Fig. 8a). Consistent with our previous studies, targeted chemical inhibition of Kin28/Cdk7 in combination with Srb10/Cdk8 (Kin28as + Srb10as) blocks a significant portion of the kinase activity and leads to a rapid decrease in cell growth4,40 (Supplementary Fig. 8a). Chemical inhibition of Kin28as + Srb10as in vivo leads to a precipitous decrease in Ser5-P marks at the 5′ end of several genes (SED1 in Fig. 4a). Unexpectedly, under similar treatment, Ser7-P marks are only attenuated at the promoter. The promoter-proximal loss of both marks is particularly evident upon normalization to Pol II (Supplementary Fig. 8b).

To further clarify the remodeling of occupancy patterns, we examined the genes in set II that show uniformly high levels of Pol II occupancy throughout the transcription unit (Fig. 4b). The profiles from the untreated and the chemically inhibited double mutant strain were binned as described above (see Fig. 2). The mean of 415 genes is shown.
Figure 4  Small-molecule inhibition of CTD phosphorylation. (a) Inhibition effects on total RNA (black), Pol II (blue), Ser7-P (purple), Ser5-P (red) and Ser2-P (green) occupancy profiles for SED1. Solid line, uninhibited profiles; dashed line, inhibited profiles. (b) Summary of average Kin28as + Srb10as responses to inhibition for Pol II (blue), Ser7-P (purple), Ser5-P (red) and Ser2-P (green). Data shown for 415 genes with ‘uniform’ Pol II profiles from set II in the wild-type strain (see Supplementary Fig. 9, central Pol II pie). Solid and dashed lines, uninhibited and inhibited Kin28as + Srb10as average profiles, respectively. Scale on the x axis is shown as distance from the TSS using average transcription unit analysis (described in Fig. 2a). Scale on y axis represents fold enrichment of immunoprecipitation over input on a log2 scale. (c) Comprehensive diagrams showing the comparison between the uninhibited wild-type strain (large central pies) and the inhibited double-mutant strain (smaller pies) for all the genes in set III (described in Fig. 2c). Summaries shown for Pol II (blue), Ser7-P (purple), Ser5-P (red) and Ser2-P (green). The smaller pie charts correspond to a slice of the wild-type chart and show the partitioning of the genes from the double-mutant data within that slice after the inhibitor was added. The profiles U, 5, 3 and 5+3 are as described in Figure 2, and I is used to indicate the profiles seen after inhibition (I5, I3, I5+3).

(Fig. 4b). Upon inhibition, the Pol II profile shows a clear decrease in the middle of the coding region, resulting in a bimodal distribution with peaks at the 5’ and 3’ ends of the transcription unit (profile I5+3). Ser5-P and Ser7-P marks are markedly reduced at 5’ ends, but they are unperturbed within coding regions. In agreement with recent reports, this decrease in Ser5-P leads to a subtle but detectable decrease in Ser2-P. However, it is noteworthy that, in contrast to Ser5-P and Ser7-P, the overall profile of the Ser2-P mark does not change.

We then examined the consequences of inhibiting Kin28as + Srb10as across the genome (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Fig. 9). The profile clusters for the inhibited strain resemble those seen previously (U, 5, 3, 5+3), but they were labeled with an ‘I’ (inhibitor-treated) to...
differentiate them from the clusters from the untreated wild-type strain (Figs. 2c and 4c). Using this nomenclature, we compared the profiles from wild-type strains (central pie charts, U, S, 5, 3, 5+3) with the profiles for the same genes in the chemically inhibited Kin28as + Srb10as strain (surrounding pie charts: 11, 1, 11, 15+3; Fig. 4c and Supplementary Fig. 9). The inhibition data for Pol II is striking, as a significant fraction of genes from the uniform and 5′-enriched clusters (U, S) are altered to the 5′+3′ enriched profile (15+3 in dashed circles). In addition, the Ser7-P profiles are remodeled with a loss of 5′-enriched patterns and an increase of 3′-enrichment (11) within the coding regions. Ser5-P profiles are also remodeled, as the 5′-enrichment is lost. The 3′-enriched fraction of Ser2-P profiles is not substantially altered. Taken together, the data indicate that promoter-proximal Ser5-P and Ser7-P marks are strongly coupled, whereas promoter-distal Ser2-P and Ser7-P marks are not strictly dependent on early CTD marks. The coupling of Ser5-P and Ser7-P at promoters is consistent with the role of Kin28as/Cdk7 in phosphorylating these residues43–45. However, the occurrence of Ser7-P within coding regions is unexpected.

An internal Ser7 kinase acts within coding regions of genes
To determine whether Ser7-P marks detected within coding regions are not remnants of marks placed at the promoter, we examined the distribution of this mark at the inducible GAL1 gene. We first inhibited Kin28as + Srb10as for 60 min in noninducing conditions. Under these conditions, we detect no Pol II at the GAL1 promoter and have previously shown that both Kin28as and Srb10as remain chemically inhibited46.60. Next, we induced the GAL genes for 30 min and used ChIP with quantitative PCR (ChIP-qPCR) to examine the distribution of Pol II and Ser7-P marks at the 5′ and 3′ ends of the GAL1 gene (Fig. 5a). The data reveal an unambiguous diminution of Ser7-P marks at the 5′ end and a robust signal for this mark at the 3′ end. In other words, the internal Ser7-P marks are placed anew by a different kinase(s); one that is not sensitive to inhibitors of Kin28as and Srb10as.

To explore whether internal Ser7-P marks are also placed anew in noncoding genes, we compared the changes in the Ser7-P profiles at protein-coding and noncoding genes that are of similar length and have similar polymerase occupancy. The SNR78-72 polycistronic cluster and GLN1 gene show comparable levels of Ser7-P and Ser5-P marks at the promoter and are equally responsive to the inhibitor at the 5′ end (Fig. 5b). However, in contrast to GLN1, Ser7-P marks are not replenished at the 3′ end of the SNR78-72 cluster. We systematically examined the levels of Ser7-P marks at the 3′ end of 82 noncoding genes and the 60 protein-coding genes from set III (Fig. 5c). The data unambiguously show that inhibiting promoter-proximal kinases leads to a loss of Ser7-P at noncoding genes but not at protein-coding genes. Thus, chemical-genomic analysis reveals the existence of a Ser7 kinase that acts on elongating polymerases.

To identify the internal Ser7 kinase, we purified the four kinases that phosphorylate the CTD during different stages of transcription: Kin28as/Cdk7, Srb10as(Srb11)/Cdk8, Bur1as/Cdk9 and Ctk1as/Cdk9 (Supplementary Fig. 1). Consistent with our previous work, Kin28as phosphorylated Ser5 and Ser7 residues on a GST fusion protein bearing four or more heptapeptide repeats43 (Fig. 6a). Whereas Bur1as does not phosphorylate substrates bearing 3 heptapeptide repeats54, it phosphorylates Ser7 on substrates bearing 4 or 14 repeats (Fig. 6a). Our results are consistent with recent reports of Bur1 preferentially phosphorylating longer CTD substrates52. The kinase activity was quantified using an ELISA (Fig. 6b). Notably, neither Srb10 nor the control Cka1 kinase phosphorylated Ser7 in parallel assays (Fig. 6a and Supplementary Fig. 10a).

To validate these observations, we used a strain in which we engineered Bur1 to bind a bulky purine analog, 3-MB-PP1 (ref. 22). Unlike the wild-type enzyme, the enlarged ATP binding site of the engineered Bur1 (Bur1as) is inhibited by 3-MB-PP1 and can utilize the bulky ATP analog N9-benzyl-ATP to phosphorylate Ser7 in vitro (Fig. 6c). The inhibitor has only a modest affect on the growth rate of Bur1as cells, suggesting a partial in vivo inhibition of this kinase whose function is vital for cell viability (Supplementary Fig. 10b). However, this degree of inhibition is sufficient to reduce the ability of Bur1 to modify Spt5 or CTD in vivo22,44. In agreement with its in vitro activity, chemical inhibition of the Bur1as strain led to a loss of Ser7-P marks in vivo at the SNR cluster and GLN1 (Supplementary Fig. 10d). This loss of Ser7-P within the coding region is not observed in chemically treated isogenic wild-type strain or the Kin28as + Srb10as strain (Supplementary Fig. 10c). In contrast, the loss of Ctk1 nearly abolishes Ser2-P marks but has no affect on Ser7-P at the SNR cluster and the internal site of GLN1. The decrease in Ser7-P levels at GLN1 in the ctk1Δ strain may result from
latent Ser7-P activity of this kinase in vivo or the inability of Pol II to release basal transcription factors in the absence of Ctk1 (ref. 55).

To examine the genome-wide contribution of Bur1 to Ser7-P profiles, we performed ChIP-chip analysis on the Bur1as strain in the presence or absence of the inhibitor. Unlike the promoter-proximal decrease in Ser7-P upon inhibition of Kin28as + Srb10as, inhibition of Bur1 reduces Ser7-P within the coding region (Fig. 6d). The summarized data on genes in set II with uniformly Pol II profiles show that the decrease is most apparent within the coding region, with the greatest effect toward the 3’ end (Fig. 6e). Moreover, a direct comparison of changes in Ser7-P levels upon chemical inhibition of Kin28as + Srb10as or Bur1as further highlights the role of Kin28 at the 5’ end and Bur1 at the 3’ end of genes (Fig. 6f). The results are consistent with the ability of Bur1 to act on elongating polymerases from promoter-proximal regions until the 3’ end of genes.

DISCUSSION

This study provides the first genome-wide atlas to our knowledge of CTD modifications that orchestrate stage-specific processes in RNA biogenesis. The data reveal (i) ubiquitous co-occurrence of CTD marks across the transcribed units, (ii) the existence of gene class–specific patterns of Pol II and its modifications, (iii) weak coupling between promoter-proximal and promoter-distal CTD marks, (iv) that Ser7-P marks within coding regions are placed anew and are not remnants of marks placed by Kin28 at the promoter and (v) a novel role of Bur1 as a Ser7 kinase that acts on elongating Pol II. Taken together, our data suggest that accepted paradigms underlying the CTD code should be revised to accommodate combinatorial patterns of CTD modifications.

The widespread co-occurrence of CTD marks does not mean that they are placed at equivalent levels at all genes across the genome. We observe distinct patterns of the three marks between noncoding and protein-coding genes. Ser7-P closely mirrors the patterns of Ser5-P across the entire noncoding gene, whereas at protein-coding genes, the Ser7-P mark persists well beyond the point where Ser5-P levels drop markedly. Moreover, Ser2-P marks are underrepresented at noncoding genes, even when the length of the transcript is taken into consideration. The distinct patterns of CTD marks at these two gene classes reflect the different mechanisms of transcription termination and 3’-end processing that act on these two classes of RNA.

The co-occurrence of CTD marks is consistent with our observation that two kinases known to phosphorylate the CTD also place bivalent marks. We and others previously identified the ability of Kin28/Cdk7 to phosphorylate Ser5 and Ser7 (refs. 43–45). Because Kin28/Cdk7 associates with Pol II at promoters, the co-occurrence of Ser5 and Ser7 marks within 50 bp of the TSS can be ascribed to the action of Kin28/Cdk7. We also show that Srb10, another Ser5 kinase, does not contribute to Ser7-P marks at promoters or within coding regions (Fig. 4). Inhibition of Kin28as + Srb10as revealed, for the first time to our knowledge, the existence of other kinases that act on Ser7 residues of the elongating polymerase. A series of kinase assays on CTD substrates bearing more than three heptapeptide repeats revealed that Bur1 phosphorylates Ser7. The requirement for longer CTD substrates was previously noted in studies that examined the ability of Bur1 to phosphorylate Ser2 (ref. 48). The inhibition of Bur1 as well as cell-permeable inhibitors further confirmed its role as a Ser7-P kinase in vivo (Fig. 6d and Supplementary Fig. 10c,d). This is a previously unknown role for Bur1, one that couples the placement of Ser7-P with Ser2-P on elongating polymerases.

In performing these experiments, we were surprised to find that promoter-distal Ser7-P marks were not eliminated by inhibition of the promoter-proximal Ser7 kinase. This observation is inconsistent with the notion that promoter-distal Ser7-P marks were remnants of the marks placed by Kin28 at the promoter. Similarly, promoter-distal Ser2-P and Ser5-P marks were not eliminated upon simultaneous inhibition of promoter-proximal Ser5 kinases (Srb10 and Kin28). Moreover, it was recently suggested that promoter-proximal Ser5-P marks were critical for sequential placement of Ser2-P marks on the elongating Pol II. Thus, contrary to the expectation that early marks prime the CTD for subsequent modifications, our data indicate that promoter-distal placement of CTD modifications is not acutely dependent on early marks.

Previous mutational analyses highlight the importance of dynamic placement of Ser7-P marks. The substitution of Ser7 with a glutamate (S7E) is lethal, whereas the inability to phosphorylate this position (S7A in T4A containing CTDs) only results in a decreased growth rate at suboptimal temperatures. The removal and replenishment of Ser7-P marks within coding regions may serve as a critical signal for the exchange of factors during the transition from promoter release to productive elongation. A role for Ser7-P in efficient elongation is consistent with the observation that highly transcribed genes show high levels of this CTD mark. Moreover, the ability of Bur1 to place this mark is consistent with its ability to associate with transcribing Pol II and stimulate transcriptional elongation. In addition to improving elongation via phosphorylation of Spt5 (refs. 22,23), Bur1-mediated placement of Ser7-P marks could help recruit cellular machinery that facilitates elongation and suppresses cryptic transcripts. This could well explain why Ser7-P marks are observed across all protein-coding genes even though their function is only defined at noncoding genes.

METHODS

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper at http://www.nature.com/nsmb/.

Accession codes. ArrayExpress: Data has been deposited under the accession number E-MEXP-2850.

Note: Supplementary information is available on the Nature Structural & Molecular Biology website.
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ONLINE METHODS

Genome-wide location and transcriptional analyses. ChIP with antibodies against Pol II (αRPB3) and the Ser7-P (4E12), Ser5-P (H14) and Ser2-P forms of Pol II was performed as described previously60 with several modifications (see Supplementary Methods). The ChIP samples were amplified using ligation-mediated PCR and hybridized to high density tiling microarrays from NimbleGen (Roche NimbleGen, Inc.). Total RNA samples were isolated before formaldehyde cross-linking during the ChIP experiments and were processed by Roche NimbleGen hybridization to high-density tiling microarrays having the same design as those used for the ChIP-chip experiments.

Data analysis. ChIP-chip immunoprecipitated data was mean-scaled against its respective ‘input’ sample data, and then the ratio of scaled immunoprecipitation to the input was log₂-transformed. For total RNA data, the probe intensities were divided by the peak intensity from the raw data histogram and then log₂-transformed. Both data types were subjected to computational repeat sequence masking based on probe-sequence repetitiveness relative to the sequence composition of the probes on the microarray (see Supplementary Methods). A moving average was used to smooth the microarray data, and baseline corrections were applied through comparison between polymerase and transcript data (see Supplementary Methods).

Average transcription unit analysis was applied to the data to obtain occupancy profiles normalized for gene length for every gene in gene sets I, II and III. This allowed for alignment of the occupancy patterns for all the genes in the genome (see Supplementary Methods). K-means clustering was performed to partition the genes into categories based on their occupancy profiles. These clusters were then manually collapsed into four representative groups. Clusters were refined by cluster reassignments and gene silhouette score filtering62 (see Supplementary Methods). Transcription factor enrichments were determined via hypergeometric probabilities calculated from published binding data63.

Kinase assays. The kinase assay was performed as described previously64. Briefly, 200 ng of GST-CTD1 or GST-CTD14 (four or fourteen repeats of YSPTSPS fused to GST) or its alanine substitutions (2A, 5A and 7A) were phosphorylated by four different kinases or whole cell extract at 25 °C for one hour in a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 2.5 mM EGTA, 15 mM magnesium acetate, 0.8 mM ATP, 10% (v/v) glycerol, protease inhibitors and phosphatase inhibitors (1 mM Na3N, 1 mM NaF, 0.4 mM Na3VO3). We directly spotted 4 μl from the reaction mix onto nitrocellulose membrane (GE Healthcare) and processed it further as a standard dot-blotting protocol. For the assay, a 1:50(αSer2-P), 1:500(αSer5-P) or 1:200(αSer7-P) dilution of primary rat IgG and 1:10,000 dilution of secondary HRP anti-rat IgG (Southern Biotech) antibodies were used.

For the Bur1s inhibition study, 200 ng of GST-CTD14 was used. TAP-tagged WT Bur1 and FLAG3×-tagged Bur1as were incubated with 5 μM 3MB-PP1 for 5 min in kinase assay buffer without ATP at room temperature (20–24 °C). Following the inhibition, 0.8 mM ATP or 0.66 mM N6-benzyl-ATP was added, and the reaction was allowed to run for 30 min at room temperature. We directly spotted 5 μl from the reaction mix onto nitrocellulose membrane and processed it as a standard dot-blotting protocol. For the assay, a 1:30(αSer2-P), 1:1,000(αSer5-P), or 1:100(αSer7-P) dilution of primary rat IgG and 1:12,500 dilution of secondary HRP anti-rat IgG (Southern Biotech) antibodies were used.

For ELISA, the recombinant kinase (100 ng) was incubated with excess CTD-peptide (YSPTSPSYSPTSPSYSPTSPSYSPTSPSC; Peptide Specialty Laboratories) or its alanine substitutions (2A, 5A and 7A) were phosphorylated by four different kinases or whole cell extract at 25 °C for one hour in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.4), 20 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 1 μM DTT and 2 μM ATP at 28 °C for 60 min. Phosphorylation of CTD was quantitated via ELISA experiments after incubation with αSer2-P, αSer5-P and αSer7-P-specific antibodies.
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