TGFβ1 signaling sustains aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) expression and restrains the pathogenic potential of T_H17 cells by an AHR-independent mechanism
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Abstract
The aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) is a transcription factor activated by ligand highly expressed on T_H17 cells, and AHR-deficient CD4+ T cells have impaired production of IL-17A and IL-22. Although AHR activation can exacerbate in vivo T_H17 cell-mediated autoimmunity, accumulating data indicate that AHR is a nonpathogenic T_H17 marker. Thus it remains unclear how AHR activation is regulated and impacts on the generation of T_H17 subsets. Here we demonstrated that AHR pathway is activated during in vitro pathogenic T_H17 polarization, but it is quickly downregulated. Under these conditions, additional AHR activation promoted IL-22 but not IL-17A. Interestingly, AHR high sustained expression and IL-17A promotion were only achieved when TGFβ1 was present in the culture. In addition to the effect on AHR regulation, TGFβ1 presented a dual role by simultaneously suppressing the T_H17 pathogenic phenotype acquisition. This latter effect was independent of AHR stimulation, since its activation did not confer a T_H17 anti-inflammatory profile and Ahr−/− cells did not upregulate any T_H17 pathogenic marker. Through the use of EAE model, we demonstrated that AHR is still functional in encephalitogenic CD4+ T cells and the adoptive transfer of Ahr−/− T_H17 cells to recipient mice resulted in milder EAE development when compared to their WT counterparts. Altogether, our data demonstrated that although AHR is highly expressed on in vitro-generated nonpathogenic T_H17 cells, its ligation does not shift T_H17 cells to an anti-inflammatory phenotype. Further studies investigating the role of AHR beyond T_H17 differentiation may provide a useful understanding of the physiopathology of autoimmune diseases.

Introduction
T helper type 17 (T_H17) cells are characterized by the production of interleukin-17A (IL-17A), IL-17F, and IL-22 and have emerged as a subset of effector CD4+ T cells with an important role in the control of specific pathogens as well as in the development of autoimmune diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis.12,13 T_H17 cell differentiation is classically driven by the cytokines transforming growth factor-β1 (TGFβ1) and IL-6, which induce retinoic acid–related orphan receptor γt (RORγt), the lineage-defining transcription factor for T_H17 cells.3–6 Additionally, other transcription factors, such as the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR), the interferon regulatory factor 4 (IRF4), and the basic leucine zipper ATF-like transcription factor (BATF), participate in T_H17 polarization.7–9 AHR is highly expressed on T_H17 cells and has an important role in the in vivo and in vitro generation of these cells.10,11 Although CD4+ T cells from AHR-deficient mice can differentiate into T_H17 cells, they...
have impaired production of IL-17A and undetectable IL-22 production\textsuperscript{11}. On the other hand, AHR activation under TH17 cell-inducing conditions by 6-formylindolo[3,2-b]carbazole (FICZ), a tryptophan-derived photoproduct with high affinity for the AHR receptor, strongly enhances IL-17A production and the percentage of IL-22-producing cells\textsuperscript{11–13}. Additionally, AHR activation by FICZ can exacerbate in vivo TH17 cell-mediated autoimmunity, such as experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) and collagen-induced arthritis\textsuperscript{11,12,14}. Although TH17 cells are thought to be pathogenic, accumulating data indicate the existence of in vitro-generated nonpathogenic IL-17A-producing TH17 cells\textsuperscript{15–20}. Pathogenic and nonpathogenic TH17 cell nomenclature was recently suggested through the use of the EAE passive model, since transfer of (1) TGFβ1, IL-6, and IL-23; (2) IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-23; or (3) TGFβ3 and IL-6 differentiated MOG-specific CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells led to the development of severe disease in mice, whereas mild or no disease was observed when TGFβ1 and IL-6-differentiated T cells were transferred\textsuperscript{21}. For this reason, the classically TGFβ1 and IL-6 in vitro-generated Th17 cells are described as nonpathogenic Th17 cells. Intriguingly, AHR has been described as a nonpathogenic Th17 marker, and under specific conditions, its activation induces regulatory T cell polarization\textsuperscript{12,21–24}. Thus it remains unclear how AHR is regulated during in vitro pathogenic Th17 cell generation and how its modulation impacts on the generation of these subpopulations of Th17 cells.

In this study, we characterized the AHR expression pattern of in vitro-generated pathogenic and nonpathogenic Th17 cells, and we demonstrated that the AHR pathway is expressed and activated during pathogenic cell polarization, but it is rapidly downregulated with the absence of TGFβ1 in the cocktail. TGFβ1 signaling had a dual role by inducing high AHR expression and consequently more Th17 cell differentiation, but it also inhibited Th17 pathogenic phenotype acquisition, which was mediated by AHR-independent mechanisms. Consistent with the AHR participation in both in vitro and in vivo Th17 cell generation, we demonstrated that AHR is still highly expressed and functional in pathogenic central nervous system (CNS)-infiltrating CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells, and most importantly, the adoptive transfer of Ahr\textsuperscript{−−} MOG-specific fully differentiated Th17 cells to recipient Rag1\textsuperscript{−−} mice resulted in milder EAE development when compared to their WT counterparts. Taken together, our study demonstrates that, although AHR is highly expressed on in vitro-generated nonpathogenic Th17 cells, its activation per se is not responsible for shifting Th17 cells to an anti-inflammatory phenotype. Further studies investigating the mechanisms by which AHR mediates a potential pathogenicity in Th17 cells may provide a useful understanding of the physiopathology of autoimmune diseases.

**Materials and methods**

**Mice**

C57BL/6 wild-type (WT), Ahr\textsuperscript{−−}, and Rag1\textsuperscript{−−} (purchased from Jackson Laboratory) mice were housed and maintained in a conventional pathogen-free facility at Ribeirao Preto Medical School, University of Sao Paulo. All mice received water and food ad libitum. All the experiments received approval and were performed in accordance to the guidelines outlined by the Standing Committee on Animals at Ribeirao Preto Medical School, University of Sao Paulo.

**In vitro T cell differentiation**

CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells were purified from spleen and lymph nodes (LNs) with anti-CD4 microbeads (Miltenyi Biotech) and then further sorted as naïve CD4\textsuperscript{+}CD44\textsuperscript{lo}CD62L\textsuperscript{hi} T cells using a FACSaria III sorter (BD Biosciences). Sorted cells were activated with plate-bound anti-CD3 (4 μg/mL) and soluble anti-CD28 (2 μg/mL, both BD Biosciences) in the presence of polarizing cytokines. For Th17 differentiation, the following reagents were used: 2.5 ng/mL recombinant human TGFβ1 (eBioscience) and 20 ng/mL recombinant mouse IL-6 (R&D Systems) for nonpathogenic Th17 cells. Pathogenic Th17 cells were differentiated with 25 ng/mL of IL-1β (R&D Systems), 20 ng/mL of IL-6, and 20 ng/mL of IL-23 (R&D Systems). Alternatively, as indicated in the text, TGFβ1-induced pathogenic Th17 cells were differentiated in the presence of 2.5 ng/mL TGFβ1, 20 ng/mL IL-6, and 20 ng/mL of IL-23. TGFβ3-induced cells were generated with 2.5 ng/mL TGFβ3 (R&D Systems) and 20 ng/mL IL-6. Cells were cultured for 3 days and collected for RNA, intracellular cytokine staining, and flow cytometry. FICZ (Enzo Life Sciences; 100 nM) and CH223191 (Sigma-Aldrich; 30 μM) were added at the start of the cultures where indicated.

**Flow cytometric analysis**

Cells were stimulated for 4 h with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (50 ng/mL; Sigma-Aldrich), ionomycin (500 ng/mL; Sigma-Aldrich), and a protein-transport inhibitor containing Brefeldin (1.5 μL/mL GolgiPlug; BD Biosciences) before detection by staining with antibodies. Surface markers were stained for 10 min at room temperature, then were fixed in Cytoperm/Cytofix (BD Biosciences), permeabilized with Perm/Wash Buffer (BD Biosciences), and stained with intracellular antibodies diluted in Perm/Wash buffer. Data were collected with a FACSClara or FACSScout II (BD Biosciences) and then were analyzed with the FlowJo 10 software (Treestar).
RNA was extracted using a PureLink® RNA Mini Kit (Life Technologies), reverse-transcribed with a High Capacity Kit (Life Technologies), and analyzed by quantitative reverse transcriptase-PCR into a Step One Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). The comparative threshold cycle method and an internal control (Gapdh) were used for normalization of the target genes. The probes used were identified by the following Applied Biosystems assay numbers: Ahr (Mm00478932_m1), Ahrr (Mm01352370_m1), Csf2 (Mm01290062_m1), Cyp1a1 (Mm00487218_m1), Il10 (Mm01288386_m1), Il17a (Mm00439618_m1), Il22 (Mm01226722_g1), Il23r (Mm0519943_m1), Gapdh (Mm99999995_g1), Maf (Mm02581355_s1), Rorc (Mm01261022_m1), and Tbx21 (Mm00450960_m1).

Measurement of cytokines
The cytokine supernatants were harvested and the concentrations of IL-22 (eBioscience) and IL-17A (R&D Systems) were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Active and passive EAE induction
For active induction of EAE, mice were immunized by subcutaneous injection of 200 μg MOG35–55 (MEVG-WYRSPFSRVVHLRNGK) in Complete Freund’s Adjuvant (CFA; Sigma Aldrich) and received 200 ng of pertussis toxin intraperitoneally on days 0 and 2 (Sigma-Aldrich). For the passive induction, CD4−/− mice were intraperitoneally injected with 200 ng of pertussis toxin on days 0 and 2 (Sigma-Aldrich). For the passive induction, CD4−/− mice were positively selected from draining LNs (dLNs) of WT and Ahrr−/− mice immunized with MOG-CFA. These cells were co-cultured with fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS)-sorted CD11c+ dendritic cells (DCs) isolated from WT immunized mice (1:10, DC to CD4+ cells) plus 50 μg/mL MOG (35–55) and 10 ng/mL of IL-23 to skew cells toward a pathogenic Th17 phenotype. Differentiation status was checked on day 4 by intracellular cytokine staining and 2.5 × 105 IL-17A-positive T cells were transferred intravenously into Rag1−/− recipient mice (n = 8 mice/group). Recipient mice were also given 200 ng of pertussis toxin intraperitoneally on days 0 and 2. Mice were monitored and assigned scores daily for the development of classical and atypical signs of EAE according to the following criteria: 0; no disease; 1, decreased tail tone or mild balance defects; 2, hind limb weakness, partial paralysis, or severe balance defects that cause spontaneous falling over; 3, complete hind limb paralysis or very severe balance defects that prevent walking; 4, front and hind limb paralysis or inability to move body weight into a different position; 5, moribund state.

Isolation of CNS-infiltrating cells
Mice with scores 2–3 were sacrificed and perfused through the left ventricle of the heart with cold phosphate-buffered saline. The spinal cord was minced with a sharp razor blade and digested for 45 min at 37 °C with collagenase D (2.5 mg/mL; Roche Diagnostics). Mononuclear cells were isolated by passage of the tissue through a cell strainer (40 μm), followed by centrifugation through a Percoll gradient (37% and 70%). Mononuclear cells in the interphase were removed, washed, and resuspended in culture medium for further analysis.

Statistical analysis
GraphPad Prism 6.0 was used for statistical analysis (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t test or two-way analysis of variance). Differences were considered statistically significant with a P value of <0.05.

Results
Differential AHR expression during in vitro pathogenic and nonpathogenic Th17 cell differentiation
It is well known that AHR activation mediates IL-22 production in Th17 cells both in vitro and in vivo.10,11 Accordingly, incubation of CD4+CD44+CD25− naive T cells with Th17 differentiation cocktail (TGFβ1 and IL-6) plus an AHR agonist (FICZ) increased Th17 cell differentiation, as seen by higher IL-17A+ and IL-22+ cell frequency and IL-22 secretion into the culture supernatants. On the other hand, AHR-deficient naive T CD4+ cells, or the pharmacological inhibition of AHR with CH223191, impaired the polarization of Th17 cells producing both IL-17A and IL-22 and stopped the secretion of IL-22 (Supplemental Figs. 1A, 1B and 1C).

Although IL-22 is highly expressed in pathogenic Th17 cells, it has been described that these cells have modest AHR expression compared to nonpathogenic Th17 cells15,16 (Supplemental Fig. 1D). To gain a better understanding of these mechanisms, we investigated whether the AHR pathway is differently activated during in vitro pathogenic and nonpathogenic Th17 cell polarization. Pathogenic and nonpathogenic cells were differentiated using IL-1β+IL-6+IL-23 or TGFβ1 plus IL-6, respectively, and the kinetic of AHR-regulated genes was quantified by real-time PCR (qPCR). AHR gene expression was upregulated significantly from 12 h after the start of Th17 differentiation, and its expression remained high throughout the nonpathogenic Th17 differentiation (Fig. 1a and Supplemental Table 1). Of note, the upregulation of Ahr transcripts was followed by the expression of the gene reporter of AHR activation, Cyp1a1, which supports the endogenous AHR stimulation. The AHR repressor (Ahrr) gene (encoded by Ahrr) appeared in the late stage of nonpathogenic Th17
induction (Fig. 1a and Supplemental Table 1). In contrast to nonpathogenic TH17 cells and, although we also have observed induction of Ahr and Cyp1a1, these genes were quickly downregulated about 36–48 h after the start of pathogenic cell polarization (Fig. 1a and Supplemental Table 1). Additionally, Il22/Il17a genes had the highest expression during the late time points of differentiation. These results demonstrate that the AHR pathway is activated during both pathogenic and nonpathogenic TH17 cell differentiation; however, during pathogenic conditions, its expression is quickly downregulated.

Interestingly, when TGF β3 was used in the cocktail to induce pathogenic TH17 cell differentiation, the AHR kinetic pathway was comparable to IL-1β-induced pathogenic cells (Supplemental Fig. 2A and Supplemental Table 1).

Optimal AHR expression and IL-17A promotion by AHR activation are TGFβ1 dependent

We next addressed whether Ahr expression in pathogenic and nonpathogenic T117 cells occurred at the same intensity when different cytokine cocktails were used. Although TGFβ1 or IL-6 alone induced modest Ahr expression, we observed a significant synergism between these cytokines to drive the highest AHR expression (Fig. 1b). Of note, IL-23 and IL-1β addition had a slight negative effect of regulating its expression by T cells. Moreover, Cyp1a1 expression was correlated with the Ahr pattern, and at this early time point (24 h), the AHRR transcription was similar between the conditions containing IL-6 (Fig.1b). Considering that TGFβ3 signaling also drives pathogenic T117 cell generation15, we did not find high Ahr induction in the presence of TGFβ3 plus IL-6 (Supplemental Fig. 2B). Altogether, these data demonstrated that Ahr is weakly induced under pathogenic T117-polarizing conditions, and its optimal and sustained expression is driven by IL-6 in combination with TGFβ1 (but not TGFβ3).

To assess whether AHR is still functional in pathogenic T117 cells, we determined IL-22 and IL-17A promotion in pathogenic cells differentiated upon FICZ stimulation. On the basis of our previous data showing TGFβ1

Fig. 1 AHR is activated during in vitro pathogenic T117 cell differentiation, and it regulates IL-17A production in a TGFβ1-dependent manner. a Heatmap of Ahr, Cyp1a1, Ahr, Il22, and Il17a mRNA expression in CD4+CD44loCD62Lhi naive T cells differentiated for 12, 24, 36, 48, 60, and 72 h under nonpathogenic (TGFβ1 plus IL-6) and pathogenic (IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-23) T117 conditions. b qPCR analysis of Ahr, Cyp1a1, and Ahr mRNA expression of naive CD4+ T cells (white bars) activated 24 h under different combinations of IL-6, TGFβ1, IL-1β, and IL-23 (as indicated). c Frequency of IL-17A+ and IL-22+ cells from pathogenic T117 cells differentiated with TGFβ1, IL-6 and IL-23 (pt17,17 (TGFβ1)) or IL-1β, IL-6 plus IL-23 (pt17,17 (IL-1β)) in the presence of FICZ. d Frequency of IL-17A+ and IL-22+ cells from pT117 cells (TGFβ1, IL-6, and IL-23) differentiated under different concentrations of TGFβ1. b P < 0.05 when compared to *medium; Il-6; cTGF β1, and dTGF β1 + IL-6 groups (two-way ANOVA). NS not significant; *P < 0.05, *P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001 (c, unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t test and d, two-way ANOVA). Data are representative of more than three independent experiments with similar results
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dependence to drive high Ahr expression, pathogenic Th17 cells were polarized with IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-23 or TGFβ1, IL-6, and IL-23. Consistent with the kinetics observation during pathogenic differentiation programs, FICZ stimulation significantly increased the frequency of IL-17A+IL-22+ cells (Fig. 1c), IL-22 gene expression and cytokine secretion (Supplemental Figs. 1E and 1F). The same result was also obtained using pathogenic TH17 cells differentiated by TGFβ3 and IL-6 (Supplemental Fig. 2b).

Interestingly, no significant IL-17A promotion was observed in pathogenic TH17 cells polarized in the absence of exogenous TGFβ1, and the effects of TGFβ1 were dose dependent (Fig. 1c, d and Supp. Figure 2C and D). These data suggested that AHR signaling is still functional during pathogenic TH17 cell differentiation and highlight a pivotal role of TGFβ1 signaling by inducing high levels of AHR expression and consequently IL-17A promotion.

**TGFβ1 maintains AHR expression while opposing IL-23-driven pathogenic conversion of in vitro-generated Th17 cells**

Pathogenic Th17 cells induced by a combination of IL-1β, IL-6 plus IL-23 or TGFβ3 plus IL-6 had transient AHR expression. Th17 cells show a high degree of developmental flexibility, and when exposed to IL-23, they rapidly shift to a TH1 cell-like phenotype frequently associated with pathogenic effector functions. Based on the well-known negative effect of TGFβ1 signaling in the encephalitogenic properties of Th17 cells, we hypothesized that TGFβ1 in the cultures may lead to a misinterpretation that AHR activation is responsible to drive anti-inflammatory functions to T cells. In order to address this hypothesis, we examined the acquisition of pathogenicity markers and the AHR responsiveness of Th17 cells generated and propagated under defined cytokine conditions in vitro.

Supporting our previous data that TGFβ1 signaling is crucial to the AHR-dependent IL-17A induction, we observed that nonpathogenic Th17 cells propagated during a second culture without exogenous TGFβ1 demonstrated no IL-17A promotion upon AHR activation (Fig. 2a, b). Remarkably, cells cultured with IL-23 alone during this second culture acquired more TH1 cell-like phenotype with more interferon-γ (IFN-γ) production (data not shown).

To further correlate these data with the AHR signaling pathway, we re-stimulated fully differentiated non-pathogenic Th17 cells in the combination of TGFβ1, IL-6, and IL-23 cytokines for 24 h, and we performed qPCR of...
AHR-related genes and the pathogenicity $T_{H17}$ cell-like marker, $Tbx21$. Notably, cells maintained only with IL-23 showed $Ahr$, $Cyp1a1$, and $Ahrr$ downregulation but $Tbx21$ upregulation (Fig. 2c). These data suggest that, while TGFβ1 maintains AHR-related gene expression, its presence restrain IL-23-driven nonpathogenic conversion into pathogenic $T_{H17}$ cells.

**AHR signaling does not confer anti-inflammatory properties to $T_{H17}$ cells**

Even though AHR expression in nonpathogenic $T_{H17}$ cells has been described, its role in regulating preferentially anti-inflammatory molecules in these cells has not been clearly shown. To isolate the indirect effect mediated through TGFβ1 signaling, we investigated whether AHR-deficient $T_{H17}$ cells would attain more pathogenic characteristics. For this purpose, we polarized WT or $Ahr^{-/-}$ naive CD4$^+$ T cells into nonpathogenic and pathogenic $T_{H17}$ cells and compared their expression of the $T_{H17}$ pathogenicity markers. In accordance to previous reports, WT pathogenic $T_{H17}$ cells differentiated by IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-23 were characterized by higher expression of $Il22$ and $Csf2$ transcripts when compared to $T_{H17}$ cells polarized in the presence of TGFβ1 (Fig. 3a). These cells also showed less expression of genes encoding anti-inflammatory markers, such as $Maf$ and $Il10$. Notably, under TGFβ1 signaling stimulation, AHR-deficient $T_{H17}$ cells expressed diminished levels of $Maf$ and all cytokines evaluated ($Il17a$, $Il22$, $Csf2$, and $Il10$) (Fig. 3a). The lack of AHR was also related to lower expression of $Csf2$ and $Il22$ in IL-1β- and TGFβ3-induced pathogenic cells (Fig. 3a and Supplemental Fig. 2E, respectively).

Given the reported results, we further validated the AHR dependence of IL-17A, IL-10, and granulocyte macrophages colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) protein expression during TGFβ1-induced pathogenic $T_{H17}$ polarization. Consistent with the qPCR data, FACS analysis supported AHR regulation of both pro- and anti-inflammatory mediators in $T_{H17}$ cells (Fig. 3b). Of note, neither AHR activation nor its deficiency was able to modulate GM-CSF and IL-10 protein levels in pathogenic $T_{H17}$ cells differentiated by IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-23, whereas IL-17A/GM-CSF double positive cells were significantly decreased in TGFβ3-induced pathogenic $T_{H17}$ cells from $Ahr^{-/-}$ cells (Supplemental Fig. 2F). Altogether, these findings demonstrate that AHR signaling participates as a cytokine regulator in both pathogenic and nonpathogenic cells and do not support AHR signaling as an anti-inflammatory factor for in vitro-differentiated $T_{H17}$ cells.

**AHR is highly expressed and functional in pathogenic CNS-infiltrating CD4$^+$ T cells**

It remains unknown whether the phenotype observed in vitro occurs during pathogenic conversion of in vivo-generated $T_{H17}$ cells. Transcriptional changes have been reported in cells differentiated in vitro when compared to in vivo-generated $T_{H17}$ cells$^{27}$. Furthermore, CNS-infiltrating $T_{H17}$ cells of EAE mice have high $Ahr$ expression$^{27}$. To assess the in vivo relevance of our in vitro findings and to investigate the AHR modulation in in vivo-generated $T_{H17}$ cells, we immunized WT mice with MOG (35–55) emulsified in CFA and evaluated the expression of AHR-related genes in CD4$^+$ T cells isolated...
from the draining LNs (dLNs) and spinal cord of EAE mice.

In accordance to AHR participation during disease progression, the Ahr/Cyp1a1 transcripts expression in dLN CD4+ T cells was correlated with the expression pattern of other TH17 effector markers, such as Il17a, Tbx21, Rorc, and Il22 (Fig. 4a). Most importantly, high Ahr/Cyp1a1 expression were also observed in CD4+ T cells in infiltrating the CNS of these mice, demonstrating that AHR signaling pathway is still activated in in vivo pathogenic T CD4+ cells (Fig. 4a). Notably, approximately 20% of these CNS-infiltrating cells produced IL-17A with approximately 50% of GM-CSF and IL-22 co-expression (Supplemental Fig. 2G).

We next sought to validate the functional activity of the in vivo reported AHR pathway expression. For this, we isolated dLNs and spinal cord cells of MOG-CFA-immunized mice and reactivated these cells with MOG peptide upon FICZ stimulation. In agreement with the Ahr expression pattern, the presence of FICZ resulted in considerable enhancement of IL-22 production in dLN cells isolated at day 7 post-immunization and in CNS-infiltrating cells 14 days after MOG-CFA immunization re-stimulated for 72 h with MOG (50 µg/mL) with or without FICZ (300 nM). NS not significant; *P<0.05 (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test).

**Fig. 4 AHR is still highly expressed and functional on CNS-infiltrating pathogenic CD4+ T cells.** a qPCR analysis of Ahr, Cyp1a1, Il17a, Tbx21, Rorc, and Il22 transcripts of CD4+ T cells isolated from draining lymph nodes (dLNs) after 3, 6, or 14 days after EAE induction. Spinal cord (SC) CD4+ T cells were isolated 14 days after MOG immunization. b IL-22 production of purified dLNs cells from WT mice 7 and 14 days after EAE induction and CNS-infiltrating cells 14 days after MOG-CFA immunization re-stimulated for 72 h with MOG (50 µg/mL) with or without FICZ (300 nM). NS not significant; *P<0.05 (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test).
AHR during the disease course significantly impaired EAE development, which reinforces AHR participation in TH17-driven inflammation (Fig. 5a). We further investigated whether the EAE resistance observed in the CH223191-treated animals was associated with a defect in cytokine production from T cells. Consistent with the EAE clinical score, at peak disease, CNS-infiltrating CD4+ T cells from AHR-blocked mice revealed a significant defect in the expression of IL-17A, as well as in the coexpression of IL-17A with IFN-γ and GM-CSF (Fig. 5b, c). The AHR inhibition during EAE course also negatively impacted on the frequency of total GM-CSF+ cells, whereas IFN-γ levels were unaffected (Fig. 5b, c).

To exclude the AHR modulation in others cells by the systemic treatment with CH223191 and since AHR activation also participate during TH17 generation, we next analyzed the in vivo pathogenicity and effector functions of fully differentiated AHR-deficient T17 cells by using a model of passive transfer of EAE. We immunized WT and Ahr−/− mice with MOG (35–55) and reactivated lymphocytes from these mice in vitro with MOG peptide in the presence of IL-23 to skew the population expansion of pathogenic T17 cells. AHR-deficient T cells reactivated under T17-favoring conditions had significantly less ability to induce EAE after transfer into host mice, suggesting that AHR activation is important to maintain the encephalitogenic properties during late stages of T17 development.

**Discussion**

The idea that T17 cells can be defined as pathogenic and nonpathogenic subsets came mostly from in vitro studies where isolated T CD4+ cells are cultured in the presence of different cytokine combinations, which may mislead the data interpretation. The AHR is highly expressed in vitro-generated nonpathogenic T17 cells; however, its activation is related to higher IL-17A/IL-22 production and exacerbation of in vivo T17
cell-mediated autoimmunity. Thus it remains unclear how AHR modulation impacts on the generation of subpopulations of T117 cells.

By performing a series of experiments showing both the expression pattern and AHR responsiveness of different subsets of in vitro-polarized T117 cells, we demonstrated that Ahr/Cyp1a1 genes were upregulated under both pathogenic and nonpathogenic T117 cell-polarizing conditions. However, while AHR was highly expressed throughout the nonpathogenic differentiation, pathogenic T117 subsets expressed modest and transient levels of Ahr/Cyp1a1 transcripts. As result of the shorter AHR activity in pathogenic T117 cells, the AHRR—another AHR target gene—was quickly shut off in this condition.

TGFβ1 has been described as an important player for inducing Ahr expression in CD4+ T cells. While previous studies did not identify IL-6 as an AHR inducer, we have observed a modest, however, significant AHR induction. Quintana and colleagues (2008) stimulated naive T cells with different cytokine combinations and analyzed AHR expression after 4 days. Kimura’s study evaluated Ahr transcription after 48 h, by using a different experimental approach, microarray analysis. In this latter case, it is important to note that TGFβ1 by itself presented similar effect with IL-6 stimulation. Based on the kinetics experiment showed in our study, the peak of Ahr expression is 24 h and it is followed by the rapid gene downregulation under IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-23 polarization (or TGFβ3+IL-6 condition). Similar profile of AHR expression was also observed when the CD4+ cells were stimulated with IL-6 alone (data not shown). Thus the most reasonable explanation for these differences is the time point examined in the studies.

Although TGFβ1 is as a poor Ahr inducer, it is clear that IL-6 downstream signaling presents a critical synergic role driving AHR gene expression. We extended these studies and demonstrated that optimal and sustained AHR expression occurs in both pathogenic and nonpathogenic T117 cells when TGFβ1 is present. Interestingly, the combination of TGFβ1, but not TGFβ3, and IL-6 induced high Ahr expression. Although both TGFβ isoforms induce signaling through the TβRII receptor, TGFβ1 preferentially induces Smad2/3 phosphorylation. Thus these data raise the possibility that the TGFβ1/Smad2/3 pathway is responsible for inducing high and sustained AHR expression during T117 cell differentiation. However, further studies are necessary to address this subject.

We next observed that AHR seems to activate distinct pathways to promote IL-17A and IL-22 production by T117 cells. Confirming previous studies, we demonstrated that IL-22 production can be regulated by AHR-dependent and -independent mechanisms. Indeed, although to a lesser extent than TGFβ1-induced T117 cells, cells cultured without TGFβ1 were able to enhance IL-22, but not IL-17A, upon FICZ stimulation. Whether high Ahr expression driven by TGFβ1 is necessary for AHR to promote IL17a transactivation or it indirectly regulates other AHR-associated molecules is not clear at this stage.

TGFβ1 signaling had a dual role not only by inducing optimal AHR pathway expression (and more T117 cell differentiation) but also by inhibiting a T117 pathogenic phenotype acquisition. Thus nonpathogenic T117 subpopulation re-cultured with IL-23 had, as expected, a downregulation of Ahr expression and no promotion of IL-17A-induced by AHR activation. They had increased expression of the T111-like pathogenicity marker T-bet (Tbx21). These anti-inflammatory mechanisms mediated by TGFβ1 seem to be AHR independent, since AHR-deficient T117 cells did not increase pathogenic features and expressed lower levels of cytokines when compared to their AHR-sufficient counterparts. Taken together, these findings suggested that TGFβ1 signaling is crucial to maintain high Ahr expression and, at the same time, it opposes IL-23-driven pathogenic T117 cell conversion.

By performing in vivo experiments, we were able to investigate how the AHR expression pattern is regulated on T CD4+ cells during a T117-mediated autoimmune inflammation. Consistent with its deleterious role, we observed that AHR was positively modulated in CD4+ T cells during the course of EAE. CNS-infiltrating pathogenic T cells from these animals had high Ahr/Cyp1a1 expression and enhanced IL-22 production upon AHR activation during ex vivo MOG recall. Although we and others have suggested that IL-17A is an AHR downstream effector molecule during autoimmune tissue inflammation, we did not find enhancement of IL-17A during our MOG recall assay.

In accordance to published data, we further demonstrated that AHR inhibition protected mice from EAE development, with a negative impact on the frequency of encephalitogenic CNS-infiltrating T117 cells. Indeed, this effect was specific to AHR-deficiency in T117 cells, since we observed that Rag1−/− recipient mice developed milder EAE following the adoptive transfer of fully differentiated AHR-deficient IL-17A− cells.

Taken together with our in vitro data, these findings suggest that, while mediators present in the microenvironment shift T117 cells to either pro- or anti-inflammatory phenotype (e.g., IL-23 and TGFβ1 respectively), AHR activation would boost cytokine production in these cells. Thus, with regards to T117-mediated autoimmune diseases, the lack of AHR would impair the disease development. On the other hand, when T117 cells are host-protective, such as the C. rodentium infection, the absence of AHR signaling would negatively impact on the cytokine production of T117 cells and it
enhances the animals’ susceptibility\textsuperscript{35}. In summary, the main results provided by our work unravel why and how AHR activation has been misinterpreted as an anti-inflammatory player in T\textsubscript{H}17 cells generated in vitro. Future studies performing fate mapping or inducible deletion of AHR activity during in vivo generation of these cells may provide useful understanding to clarify these mechanisms.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Denise Brufato Ferraz, Marco Antonio, Diva Amâbile de Souza, Giuliana Bertozzi, Sergio Rosa, Ana Kátia Santos, and Ieda Regina dos Santos for technical assistance. The research leading to these results received funding from the Sao Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) under grant agreement numbers 2013/04942-0 and 2016/05013-1 (to K.A.L) and 2013/08216-2 (Center for Research in Inflammatory Diseases).

Authors’ contributions

K.A.L. designed the study, planned and performed experiments, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript. F.Q.C designed the study, provided essential materials, performed important hypothesis discussion, and wrote the manuscript; P.B.D., J.T., M.D.-F., and R.S.P. performed experiments; T.M.C. and J.C. provided essential materials and performed important hypothesis discussion.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-018-1107-7).

Received: 18 May 2018 Revised: 21 June 2018 Accepted: 25 June 2018

Published online: 13 November 2018

References

1. Korn, T., Bettelli, E., Oukka, M. & Kuchroo, V. K. IL-17 and Th17 Cells. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 27, 485–517 (2009).
2. Gaffen, S. L., Jain, R., Garg, A. V. & Cua, D. J. The IL-23-IL-17 immune axis: from mechanisms to therapeutic testing. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 14, 585–600 (2014).
3. Bettelli, E. et al. Reciprocal developmental pathways for the generation of pathogenic effector Th17 and regulatory T cells. Nature 441, 235–238 (2006).
4. Mangan, P. R. et al. Transforming growth factor-beta induces development of the Th17 lineage. Nature 441, 251–254 (2006).
5. Veldhoen, M., Hocking, R. J., Atkins, C. J., Locksley, R. M. & Stockinger, B. TGFbeta in the context of an inflammatory cytokine milieu supports de novo differentiation of IL-17-producing T cells. Immunity 24, 179–189 (2006).
6. Ivanov, I. I. et al. The orphan nuclear receptor RORgammat directs the differentiation program of proinflammatory IL-17+T helper cells. Cell 126, 1121–1133 (2006).
7. Cafani, M. et al. A validated regulatory network for Th17 cell specification. Cell 151, 289–303 (2012).
8. Yosef, N. et al. Dynamic regulatory network controlling TH17 cell differentiation. Nature 496, 461–468 (2013).
9. Gaublomme, J. T. et al. Single-cell genomics unveils critical regulators of Th17 cell pathogenicity. Cell 163, 1400–1412 (2015).
10. Veldhoen, M., Hirota, K., Christensen, J., O’Garra, A. & Stockinger, B. Natural agonists for aryl hydrocarbon receptor in culture medium are essential for optimal differentiation of Th17 T cells. J. Exp. Med. 206, 43–49 (2009).
11. Veldhoen, M. et al. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor links Th17-cell-mediated autoimmunity to environmental toxins. Nature 453, 106–109 (2008).
12. Quintana, F. J. et al. Control of T(reg) and Th17 cell differentiation by the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. Nature 453, 65–71 (2008).
13. Duarte, J. H., Di Meglio, P., Hirota, K., Aihlors, H. & Stockinger, B. Differential influences of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor on Th17 mediated responses in vitro and in vivo. PLoS ONE 8, e79819 (2013).
14. Nakahama, T. et al. Ablation of aryl hydrocarbon receptor deficiency in T cells suppresses the development of collagen-induced arthritis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108, 14222–14227 (2011).
15. Lee, Y. et al. Induction and molecular signature of pathogenic TH17 cells. Nat. Immunol. 13, 991–999 (2012).
16. Ghoreschi, K. et al. Generation of pathogenic Th17 cells in the absence of TGF-beta. Nature 467, 967–971 (2010).
17. Wang, C. et al. CD8+ T cells induce the transcriptional program of TH17-cell differentiation. Science 327, 113–117 (2010).
18. Wang, C. et al. CD8+ T cells induce the transcriptional program of TH17-cell differentiation. Science 327, 113–117 (2010).
19. McGeachy, M. J. et al. The interleukin 17 receptor is essential for the terminal differentiation of interleukin 17-producing effector T helper cells in vivo. Nat. Immunol. 10, 314–324 (2009).
20. Stockinger, B. & Omenetti, S. The dichotomous nature of T helper 17 cells. Nat. Rev. Immunol. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2015.70 (2017).
21. Apebey, L. et al. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor interacts with c-Maf to promote the differentiation of type 1 regulatory T cells induced by Il-27. Nat. Immunol. 11, 854–861 (2010).
22. Gandhi, R. et al. Activation of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor induces human type 1 regulatory T cell-like and Foxp3(+)-regulatory T cells. Nat. Immunol. 11, 846–853 (2010).
23. Gagliani, N. et al. Th17 cells transdifferentiate into regulatory T cells during resolution of inflammation. Nature 523, 221–225 (2015).
24. Macsici, J. D. et al. Metabolic control of type 1 regulatory T cell differentiation by AHR and HIF-1a. Nat. Med. 21, 638–646 (2015).
25. Lee, Y. K. et al. Late developmental plasticity in the T helper 17 lineage. Immunity 30, 92–107 (2009).
26. McGeachy, M. J. GM-CSF: the secret weapon in the T(H)17 arsenal. Nat. Immunol. 12, 521–522 (2011).
27. Hirota, K. et al. Fate mapping of IL-17-producing T cells in inflammatory responses. Nat. Immunol. 12, 255–263 (2011).
28. Yang, Y. et al. T-bet is essential for eosinophilic polyposis of both Th1 and Th17 cells. J. Exp. Med. 206, 1549–1564 (2009).
29. Heinik, S. et al. Trans-presentation of IL-6 by dendritic cells is required for the priming of pathogenic Th17 cells. Nat. Immunol. 18, 74–85 (2017).
30. Kimura, A., Naka, T., Nohara, K., Fujii-Kuriyama, Y. & Kishimoto, T. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor regulates lipid biosynthesis and restrains Th17 cell pathogenicity. Cell 163, 1413–1427 (2015).
31. Chalmian, F. et al. Stat3 and Gli-1 transcription factors control Th17 cell immunosuppressive activity via the regulation of ectonucleotidase expression. Immunity 36, 362–373 (2012).
32. McGeachy, M. J. et al. The interleukin 23 receptor is essential for the terminal differentiation of interleukin 17-producing effector T cell help in vivo. Nat. Immunol. 10, 314–324 (2009).
33. Kimura, A., Naka, T., Nohara, K., Fujii-Kuriyama, Y. & Kishimoto, T. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor regulates Stat1 activation and participates in the development of Th17 cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105, 21803–21808 (2008).
34. Kimura, A., Naka, T., Nohara, K., Fujii-Kuriyama, Y. & Kishimoto, T. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor regulates Stat1 activation and participates in the development of Th17 cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105, 21803–21808 (2008).