Interactome screening of C9orf72 dipeptide repeats reveals VCP sequestration and functional impairment by polyGA
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Repeat expansions in the C9orf72 gene are a common cause of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and frontotemporal lobar degeneration, two devastating neurodegenerative disorders. One of the proposed mechanisms of GGGGCC repeat expansion is their translation into non-canonical dipeptide repeats, which can then accumulate as aggregates and contribute to these pathologies. There are five different dipeptide repeat proteins (polyGA, polyGR, polyPR, polyPA and polyGP), some of which are known to be neurotoxic.

In the present study, we used BioID2 proximity labelling to identify the interactomes of all five dipeptide repeat proteins consisting of 125 repeats each. We identified 113 interacting partners for polyGR, 90 for polyGA, 106 for polyPR, 25 for polyPA and 27 for polyGP. Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of the proteomic data revealed that these target interaction partners are involved in a variety of functions, including protein translation, signal transduction pathways, protein catabolic processes, amide metabolic processes and RNA-binding. Using autopsy brain tissue from patients with C9orf72 expansion complemented with cell culture analysis, we evaluated the interactions between polyGA and valosin containing protein (VCP). Functional analysis of this interaction revealed sequestration of VCP with polyGA aggregates, altering levels of soluble valosin-containing protein. VCP also functions in autophagy processes, and consistent with this, we observed altered autophagy in cells expressing polyGA. We also observed altered co-localization of polyGA aggregates and p62 in cells depleted of VCP.

All together, these data suggest that sequestration of VCP with polyGA aggregates contributes to the loss of VCP function, and consequently to alterations in autophagy processes in C9orf72 expansion disorders.
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Introduction

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) are related neurodegenerative diseases. Mutations in > 30 different genes have been linked to ALS and FTLD, including a hexanucleotide GGGGCC repeat expansion (G_{4}C_{2} repeat) in the C9orf72 gene, which is the most common genetic cause of both ALS and FTLD. Patients with a G_{4}C_{2} expansion have hundreds, or even thousands, of these repeats, while healthy individuals typically have between two and 23 repeats.

There are three proposed mechanisms of how the G_{4}C_{2} repeats cause these diseases. The first is the loss of function of the C9orf72 gene due to haploinsufficiency. The second is the production of sense and antisense repeat RNA, which can form RNA foci known to bind and sequester RNA-binding proteins. The third proposed mechanism is the translation of repeat RNA by repeat-associated non-ATG translation, resulting in the production of dipeptide repeat proteins (DPRs) that can accumulate in aggregates and contribute to these pathologies. There are five different DPRs, as polyGA, polyGR and polyGP from the sense strand, and polyPA, polyPR and again polyGP from the antisense strand. These are associated with variably toxicities.

Studies have shown that expression of arginine-containing DPRs (i.e. polyGR, polyPR) is toxic in human cell lines and in Drosophila and mouse models. Although the molecular pathomechanisms of polyGR- and polyPR-induced neuronal toxicity are not fully understood, they are believed to involve cytoplasmic RNA induction by inhibition of translation, by nuclear dysfunction, and disruption of nucleocytoplasmic transport. In addition, many independent studies have demonstrated the cellular toxicity of polyGA in various models, but again with only partially described mechanisms of this pathogenesis. PolyGA is highly prone to aggregation, and can therefore form large cytoplasmic inclusions, which then induce endoplasmic reticulum stress, affect nucleocytoplasmic transport proteins and proteasomal degradation. In contrast, polyGP and polyPA have been reported to be non-toxic.

To gain deeper insight into the molecular mechanisms of DPR-induced cytotoxicity, we performed proximity labelling proteomics for all five of these DPRs and validated the most significant ones. Furthermore, we functionally evaluated the interactions between VCP and polyGA.

Materials and methods

Antibodies

The following antibodies were sourced and used in this study: Myc-tagged mouse monoclonal antibody (9B11; Cell Signalling Technology; #2276); Myc-tagged rabbit polyclonal antibody (Proteintech; #16286–1-AP), histone H3 (trimethyl Lys9) monoclonal antibody (6F12-H4; Novus Biologicals; #NB1-30141), GAPDH rabbit polyclonal antibody (Proteintech; #10494–1-AP), VCP mouse monoclonal antibody (Proteintech; #60096–1-Ig), NMT1 rabbit polyclonal antibody (Proteintech; #11546–1-AP), RPL23A rabbit polyclonal antibody (Proteintech; #16386–1-AP), MAP4 rabbit polyclonal antibody (Proteintech; #11229–1-AP), ribosomal protein L17 mouse monoclonal antibody (C-8; Santa Cruz Biotechnology; #sc-51904), hnRNPc mouse monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; #sc-32308), NOP2 mouse monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; #sc-398884), SRPS4 mouse monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; #sc-193855), RRS1 mouse monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; #sc-15462), p2 mouse monoclonal antibody (Abcam; #ab 56416), LC3B rabbit monoclonal antibody (Abcam; #ab192890), ubiquitin rabbit monoclonal antibody (Millipore, #MABN 889), VCP rabbit recombinant antibody (Abcam; #ab 109240), MAP2 chicken polyclonal antibody (Abcam; #ab 5392), SYP mouse monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; #sc-17750), HRP goat anti-mouse antibody (Jackson Immunoresearch; #115–035-068), HRP goat anti-rabbit antibody (Jackson Immunoresearch; #115–035-045), Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific; #A11006), Alexa Fluor 546 goat anti-rabbit antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific; #A11010), Alexa Fluor 550 goat anti-mouse antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific; #A21424), Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific; #A11008), Alexa Fluor 647 goat anti-rabbit antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific; #A21071) and rabbit Anti-TAR DNA-Binding Protein 45 (TDP-43) (CosmoBio; #CAC-TIP-PTD-M01).

Generation of plasmids

The PolyGA, polyGP, polyGR, polyPA and polyPR sequences (as 125 repeats) were a kind gift from Youn-Bok Lee (King’s College London, UK) and were synthesized by GeneArt (Thermo Fisher Scientific), as described previously. Each protein sequence of each dipeptide was converted to a DNA sequence using a codon-optimization procedure. In this way, possible effects due to hexanucleotide repeats at the RNA level could be excluded. Detailed information about generation of BioID and GFP plasmids are described in the Supplementary material.

Cell culture and transfection

Human embryonic kidney 293T (HEK293T) cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium with high glucose, as GlutaMAX supplement (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with the addition of 10% foetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For the large-scale pull-down experiments, the cells were plated the day before transfection at 3 × 10^6 cells per 100-mm plate. The cells were transfected using PolyJet™ (SignaGen Laboratories), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Neuroblastoma cells SH-SY5Y FlpIn were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium 1:1 (Sigma) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS; tetracycline-free) and penicillin-streptomycin solution in a CO2-incubator (5%) at 37°C and 95%
air humidity. The primary rat neurons (in vitro Day 7 (DIV7)) were cultured in Neurobasal media with 2% B-27, 1% GlutaMAX and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (all from Invitrogen) with 2% horse serum (Sigma-Aldrich). Cultures were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂.

For cell fractionation and whole-cell lysate preparation, the cells were plated the day before transfection at 5 × 10⁶ cells/well in six-well plates. The cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Scientific), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For the autophagic flux experiment, cells were treated with 100 μM bafilomycin A1 (Merck) for 4 h. For VCP silencing, the VCP siRNA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; #sc-51787) and control siRNA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; #sc-37007) were transfected using PepMute siRNA Transfection Reagent (SignaGene Laboratories; #SL100566), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primary rat cortical neurons (DIV7) were transfected with GA and EGFP plasmids with Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Scientific) using 250 ng DNA. Two days later, cells were fixed with 4% PFA for 15 min and ICC was performed.

**FlpIn SH-SY5Y DPR cell lines generation**

SH-SYSY-TR-FRT cell line was generated as described before. FlpIn SH-SYSY-TR-FRT cells containing a single FRT insertion site were used for the generation of an inducible SH-SYSY-TR-FRT-mScarletI-DPR cell line. Gene sequences of PolyGA, polyGP, polyPA and polyPR were cut out of the pEGFP constructs using KpnI and HindIII restriction enzymes (both Thermo Scientific) and polyGR construct were cut out of a pcDNA5-myc plasmid by KpnI and EcoRV (Thermo Scientific) enzymes. All inserts were ligated into a pcDNA5-FRT-TO-mScarletI vector. The newly constructed plasmids were sequenced to ensure the correct sequence. SH-SYSY-TR-FRT cells were cotransfected with pcDNA5-FRT-TO-mScarletI-GA, pcDNA5-FRT-TO-mScarletI-GR, pcDNA5-FRT-TO-mScarletI-PG, pcDNA5-FRT-TO-mScarletI-PR or pcDNA5-FRT-TO-mScarletI-PA and pOG44 vectors in a 9:1 ratio using Lipofectamine 3000 and a stable SH-SYSY-TR-FRT-mScarletI-GA, SH-SYSY-TR-FRT-mScarletI-GR, SH-SYSY-TR-FRT-mScarletI-PG, SH-SYSY-TR-FRT-mScarletI-PR or SH-SYSY-TR-FRT-mScarletI-PA cell lines were established by hygromycin (110 μg/ml) selection and single cell cloning. For production of DPRs, the FlpIn SH-SYSY DPR cell lines were induced by 1 μg/ml of doxycycline (Sigma-Aldrich).

**Differentiation of FlpIn SH-SY5Y DPR cell lines**

All five FlpIn SH-SYSY DPR cell lines were differentiated into neurons. Cells were plated onto 24-well plates with poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips to achieve 50–70% confluence. The next day cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and the differentiation media containing DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 0.5% FBS (tetracycline-free), penicillin–streptomycin, 10 μg/ml of doxycycline (Sigma-Aldrich). Media was changed and new differentiation media containing DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 0.5% FBS (tetracycline-free), penicillin–streptomycin, 10 μg/ml of doxycycline and 50 ng/ml of BDNF was added. On Day 6, cells were fixed and ICC was performed.

**Subcellular fractionation of cells and tissue**

Cell fractionation was performed as described previously by Prpar Mihevc et al. Briefly, the cells were grown in six-well plates and transfected as described. After 24 h they were lysed in cold cell lysis buffer [50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 10 mM NaCl, 0.5% igepal Ca-630 (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.25% Triton X-100, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)] and centrifuged at 3000g for 5 min at 4°C. The soluble supernatants were transferred to fresh tubes and centrifuged at 16,000g for 10 min at 4°C. The first pellets were washed three times in cell lysis buffer, resuspended in 1 × Laemmli buffer, sonicated, boiled for 5 min and centrifuged again. The supernatants obtained represented the insoluble fractions. Tissue fractionation was performed using Subcellular Protein Fractionation kits for tissues (Thermo Scientific; #87790), according to the manufacturer’s instructions, frontal cortex, frozen tissue, n = 3 for normal controls and n = 3 from C9orf72 familial ALS. All the tissues were processed at the same time.

**Immunoblotting**

Further details of immunoblotting and autophagy analyses protocols can be found in the Supplementary material.

**BioID2 pull-down assay**

The BioID2 pull-down assays were performed as described previously. Briefly, for the large-scale pull-down assays, 24 h after transfection, 50 μM biotin was added to the cells in 100-mm plates. After a 16-h incubation with biotin, the cells were washed three times in Dulbecco’s PBS, and lysed in 600 μl of lysis buffer [50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 500 mM NaCl, 0.2% sodium dodecyl sulphate, 1 mM dithiothreitol, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)]. When the cells were collected, Triton X-100 was added to 2% final concentration. After sonication (UP200S; Hielsher Ultrasound Technology) an equal volume of cold Tris pH 7.4 was added to the lysates. The lysates were centrifuged at 16,000g for 10 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was collected and incubated with 100 μg Dynabeads MyOne Streptavidin T1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) overnight on a rotating mixer at 4°C. After incubation, the beads were collected using a magnetic stand and washed twice with 1.5 ml 2% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulphate. During each washing step, the samples were incubated on a rotating mixer for 8 min, followed by collection of the beads on a magnetic stand. Then the beads were washed three times with wash buffer 1 [0.1% (w/v) deoxycholic acid, 1% (w/v) Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, 500 mM NaCl, 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5], then three times with wash buffer 2 [0.5% (w/v) deoxycholic acid, 0.5% (w/v) NP-40, 1 mM EDTA, 250 mM LiCl, 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4] and once with 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4. Finally, 20% of the samples was saved for future analysis, and the remaining 80% was resuspended in 50 μl 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ for mass spectrometry.

**Immunofluorescence—co-localization analysis**

The cells were grown on poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips in 24-well plates at a density of 1 × 10⁶ cells/well. Twenty-four hours after transient transfection using PolyJet (SignaGen Laboratories), according to the manufacturer’s instructions, the cells were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS or absolute methanol (chilled at –20°C for 15 min), and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min. After three washing steps with PBS, the coverslips were blocked in blocking buffer [10% goat serum (Euroclone) in PBS, containing 0.1% Tween] at room temperature for 30 min. Then the coverslips were incubated with the primary antibodies in 1% BSA in PBS, containing 0.1% Tween overnight at 4°C. After three washes in PBS, the coverslips were incubated with Alexa Fluor 488- or 647-conjugated secondary anti-rabbit or anti-mouse antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology) in 1% BSA in PBS, containing 0.1% Tween, for 1 h at room temperature. Alternatively, checking for biotinylated proteins in cells, on fixation and washing, coverslips were incubated with ATTO-488 streptavidin (ATTO-Tech; #AD488-61). After additional washing steps, the nuclei were counterstained with DAPI and the coverslips were mounted on glass slides using ProLong Gold (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Images were acquired using an inverted laser scanning microscope (LSM 710;...
Zeiss) with an oil immersion objective (Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.4 NA M27) with immersion oil (Carl Zeiss), using the ZEN 2011 image software (Carl Zeiss).

**In situ proximity ligation assay**

The in situ proximity ligation assays were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Duolink PLA, Sigma-Aldrich). After fixation and blocking steps, the covesslips with cells were incubated with a Myc-tagged rabbit polyclonal antibody (ProteinTech; #16286–1-AP) and the VCP mouse monoclonal anti--incubated with a Myc-tagged rabbit polyclonal antibody (Proteintech; #60316–1-lg), overnight at 4°C. For the Myc-tagged-VCP interaction proximity ligation assay probe, anti-mouse minus was used for VCP and anti-rabbit plus was used for the Myc tag. The probes were diluted (Duolink in situ antibody diluent) and incubated for 1h at 37°C in a humidified chamber. Ligation and amplification were performed using detection reagent orange (Duolink). Controls were prepared in the absence of one or both of the antibodies. After additional washing steps, the nuclei were counterstained with DAPI and the covesslips were mounted on glass slides (ProLong Gold; Thermo Fisher Scientific) followed by image acquisition.

**Mass spectrometry**

All of the samples were subjected to on-bead digestion. Beads in 50 μl NH4HCO3, buffer were diluted with 100 μl Tris-HCl, pH 7.8 and digested overnight at 37°C with 1 μg trypsin. After acidifying to a final 1% formic acid, the samples were desalted on C-18 cartridges (SOLA HRP) and dried under vacuum centrifugation. The samples were resuspended in 50 μl 100 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB). Peptide quantification was carried out using colorimetric peptide quantification kit (Pierce) with TEAB diluted to 5 μm. According to these, 15.6 μg peptide was labelled with the tandem mass tags (TMT) as follows, as triplicates fo all samples: BioID + TMT126; GP + TMT127N; GA + TMT127C; PA + TMT128N; GR + TMT128C; PR + TMT129N; and two pools as 1/18 Pool TMT 129C and 1% Pool (1% of each sample) TMT 130N. The samples were separated on a 50-cm spray column (ES803; EASY, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and analysed on a Lumos platform (Dionex Ultimate 3000/Orbitrap Fusion; Thermo Fisher Scientific), as described previously. The data were acquired using the MultiNotch MS3 method22 with the parameters as deposited.

The liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry data were analysed using Proteome Discoverer 2.2. The proteins were identified using Sequest HT, against a reviewed Uniprot Homo sapiens database (retrieved June 2018). The search parameters included: mass tolerances, 10 ppm for precursor and 0.5 Da fragment mass tolerance; TMT10plex (K), acetylation (protein N-term) and oxidation (M) were set as dynamic modifications, and TMT10plex (N-term) and alkylation (C) as static modifications; up to two missed cleavages with trypsin; and the results were filtered to 1% [e discovery rate stringency based on a target-decoy database strategy (percolator)]

The triplicate sample sets were quantified and the fold-change enrichment of proteins were determined relative to the negative control (BioID), which represented any changed association of the identified proteins with the DPR substrates.

**Bioinformatics**

The significantly enriched Gene Ontology (GO) terms for the DPR interactors were searched for using the DAVID bioinformatics tool (DAVID Bioinformatics Resources 6.8; available at https://david.ncifcrf.gov/ accessed 20 September 2020) and GOrilla (gene ontology enrichment analysis and visualization tool) available at http://cbl-gorilla.cs.technion.ac.il/, accessed 18 September 2020. For analysis of enriched GO ontologies (e.g. cellular compartmentation, molecular functions, biological processes) of the various DPR interaction partners (specific DPR versus pooled control), the interacting partners used were only those where more than two unique peptides were obtained using mass spectrometry, and where the fold-change compared to the control had at least doubled (P < 2), and P < 0.05 determined. In addition, a search for functional association of VCP within each DPR’s candidate set, has been performed by BioMine tool (https://biomine.jhsph.edu/, accessed 18 July 2021).

**Human post-mortem tissue**

The human post-mortem brain (i.e. cortex, hippocampus) samples fixed in buffered formalin were obtained from the archives of the Department of (Neuro)Pathology (Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands), n = 6 C9or72 ALS-FTD patients, and n = 5 age-matched controls. For western blot analysis frozen tissue samples from cortex [n = 3 C9or72 ALS-FTD patients, n = 3 controls were used from the archives of the Department of (Neuro) Pathology (Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands) and the Institute of Neuropathology (Aachen, Germany)]. Both the control and the ALS cases were selected from a retrospective searchable neuropathological database that was reviewed independently by two neuropathologists (E.A. and Dirk Troost), which included cases with consent for post-mortem brain/spinal cord autopsy and use of the autopsy tissue and their medical records for research purposes. All of the C9or72 ALS-FTD patients suffered from clinical signs and symptoms of lower and upper motor neuron disease, with the eventual involvement of the cortex and brainstem motor nuclei. All of the patients had fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for ALS. The control participants included in the present study were adult individuals without any history of neurological diseases, based on their last clinical evaluation. The clinical details of the cases used in this study are provided in Supplementary Table 1.

**Diaminobenzidine staining**

Further details on diaminobenzidine staining can be found in the Supplementary material.

**Immunofluorescence of cortex and hippocampal tissue sections**

Double immunofluorescence staining was performed as described. In brief, deparaffinized tissue sections were heated in citrate buffer, pH 6 (Dako), for 20 min in a pressure cooker. Sections were blocked with 10% normal goat serum (Life Technologies), for 1 h at room temperature, and incubated with the required primary antibody (dilutions: VCP, 1:50; GA, 1:100; GR, 1:100), at 4°C overnight. After washing in TBST for 10 min, the sections were incubated with Alexa conjugated secondary antibody (dilution, 1: 500 in PBS) at room temperature for 2 h. Sections were washed in TBST (2 × 10 min) and stained for 10 min with 0.1% Sudan Black in 80% ethanol, to suppress endogenous lipo-fuscin auto-fluorescence. Finally, the sections were washed for 5 min in TBST and mounted with Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories) containing DAPI. Images were obtained with a laser scanning confocal microscope (LSM 700; Zeiss) using the 40× and 63× objectives (Zeiss). Images were acquired by averaging four scans per area of interest resulting in an image size of 1024 × 1024 pixels. The laser intensity was kept constant for all of the sections examined and images were analysed using Adobe Photoshop CS5 and ZEN (Blue edition) 2009 software.
Ethics statement
The human post-mortem tissue samples were used in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The studies were approved by the Ethical Committees of the Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen (EK 127/18) and of the Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam (W11 073).

Statistical analysis
Data are presented as means ± standard deviation. Prism software (GraphPad Software, USA) was used for the statistical analysis, using either unpaired or paired Student’s t-tests or one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s or ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. The use of each specific test is depicted in the figure legends. P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Data availability
All of the data are available on reasonable request. The liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry data are available via ProteomeXchange with identifier PXD024120.

Results
Characterization of the DPR interactome in HEK293 cells using BioID2 proximity-dependent labelling
To determine which proteins interact with the DPRs in living cells, the five different DPRs were conjugated to biotin ligase, which can biotinylate proteins, based on proximity.30 DPRs with 125 repeats of GA, GP, GR, PA and PR were conjugated at the C-terminus with the Myc-tagged biA enzyme and an intermediate 13-amino-acid-long linker was included for GA, GP and GR, and a 20-amino-acid-long linker for PA and PR (Fig. 1A). The DNA sequence encoding the codon optimized DPRs with an ATG start that allowed protein expression was included for GA, GP, GR, PA and PR (Fig. 1A). The DNA sequence encoding the codon optimized DPRs with an ATG start that allowed protein expression was included for GA, GP, GR, PA and PR (Fig. 1A).

The constructs were transiently transfected into HEK293T cells. The localization and biotinylation efficiency of the overexpressed DPRs were determined for each experiment separately, by immunoblotting and immunocytochemistry (Supplementary Fig. 1). Supplementary Fig. 1A shows polyGA mainly localized as distinct perinuclear aggregates, as reported previously.31,32 PolyGP and polyPA were soluble and evenly distributed in the cell cytoplasm, similar to the control BioID2. PolyGR also distributed in the cell cytoplasm and showed accumulation in the nucleoli of certain cells. PolyPR was predominantly in the form of nucleolar aggregates, as reported previously.31,32 Staining of biotinylated proteins (with streptavidin–Atto 488) showed the level of co-localization of the overexpressed DPRs with the biotinylated protein interactors (Supplementary Fig. 1B).

After pull-down purification of the biotinylated protein candidates, liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry proteomic analysis was performed to identify the interactors, which included three biological replicates. Samples of cells transfected with the Myc-BioID vector served as controls. In total, 220 proteins bound to different DPRs with two or more unique peptides and a fold-change > 2.0 (Quant ratio versus control; Supplementary Table 2A) were revealed. We identified 27 interactors for polyGP and 25 for polyPA. The number of interactors was higher in the case of the hydrophobic polyGA, where 90 interacting proteins were identified, similar to polyPR and polyGR, with 106 and 113, respectively (Fig. 1B). This is consistent with the notion that polyGP, polyPA and polyGA are all uncharged; however, unlike polyGA, the first two DPRs have a flexible coil structure and are unable to aggregate by themselves.33–36 Consistent with their structures, polyGP and polyPA possibly interact with fewer intracellular proteins when compared to other DPR species and are thus less toxic.37 Only two of the proteins identified were interactors of all of these five different DPRs (Fig. 1B), namely nucleophosmin (NPM1) and nascent polypeptide-associated complex subunit x and muscle-specific form (NACA). Previous studies have shown that polyPR co-localizes with NPM1.33,37,38 For polyGA, 27 of the 90 interactors were unique to polyGA, while 25 of the interactors were also interactors of polyGR and polyPR (Fig. 1B).

DPR protein interactors are involved in various biological processes
To identify overrepresented biological processes and molecular functions for our hit list, we performed GO enrichment analysis using the DAVID Functional Annotation Tool.39,40 For the GO analyses, the increased number of interacting partners, including proteins with one or more unique peptides and a fold-change > 2.0 was used (Quant ratio versus control, Supplementary Table 2B). The GO analysis for each set of interactors showed enrichment in proteins involved in the biological processes of translational initiation, translation, rRNA processing, SRF-dependent cotranslational protein targeting of membranes and nuclear transcribed mRNA catabolic processes (Fig. 1C). For polyGA, the interactors were also seen to be involved in mitotic nuclear division, and proteasome-mediated ubiquitin-dependent catabolic processes. For polyPR, there was enrichment in proteins involved in nucleosome assembly and RNA splicing, in agreement with the nuclear localization of overexpressed polyPR (Fig. 1C). GO analysis by cellular compartments confirmed the identified location of interactors in the nucleus, nucleoli and ribosomes, with the levels of significance higher for polyGR/PR interactors (Supplementary Fig. 2A). Of note, the last is consistent with previous co-immunoprecipitation studies.35,36,41,42

When the interactors of all five of DPRs were compared according to their molecular functions, they shared RNA-binding function. In addition, for polyGA and polyPR, there were interactors involved in ATP binding (Supplementary Fig. 2B).

Validation of protein interactors by immunoblotting and immunocytochemistry
To validate the proteomic data on DPR interactors obtained, pull-down samples 27 specific interactors (characterized by the most significant fold-change and/or link to ALS) were tested and 12 that worked for western blot were quantified for enrichment. In all, 10 of protein interaction candidates were confirmed to interact with: polyGA: NPM1, NMT1, RPL17, VCP and SRP54; polyGR: NPM1, NMT1, RPL17, VCP, RPL23A, SRP54 and RRS1; polyPR: NPM1, HNRNPC, SRP54, NOP2 and RS1; polyGP: NMT1 and SRP54; polyPA: MAP4, whereas two of them USPs5 and ANXA5 did not show enrichment in polyGA samples (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 3). Immunocytochemistry analysis of co-localization and/or redistribution of interactors showed significant co-localization only for polyGA and the VCP (Fig. 3A). Co-localization or redistribution was not observed for NPM1, NMT1, RPL17, HNRNPC, RPL23A, MAP4, SRP54, NOP2 and RS1, due to the diffuse distribution of the soluble proteins all over the cell.

Moreover, the analysis of the interacting protein candidates with the VCP within each DPR’s candidate set, was performed in BioMine (https://biomine.ijs.si/) (Supplementary Fig. 4). There it is shown that among the set of polyGA identified protein interactors are indeed a lot of them that directly functionally associate with the VCP pathway.
To validate the interactions between the DPRs and VCP in cells, Myc-tagged DPR constructs were expressed in HEK293 cells and stained for Myc and endogenous VCP (Fig. 3A). VCP showed co-localization with polyGA aggregates, but not with the other DPRs. The co-localization of VCP and polyGA was confirmed using line profile plots (Fig. 3A). This interaction between VCP and polyGA was additionally confirmed in HEK293 cells using in situ proximity ligation assays (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, we confirmed co-localization of polyGA and VCP in FlpIn SH-SY5Y GA cells (Fig. 4A) and their differentiated neuronal derivative stably expressing polyGA (Fig. 4B and Supplementary Fig. 5), and in primary rat cortical neurons transfected with GFP-GA expression plasmids (Fig. 4C).

We next sought to validate the cell culture results in autopsy brain tissue obtained from C9orf72 ALS-FTD patients, in
Figure 2 DPR interactors validated by immunoblotting. Representative immunoblotting showing validation of the 10 indicated DPR interactors (out of 27) in pull-down assays after BioID.

Figure 3 Cellular localization of DPRs and VCP in HEK293 cells. (A) HEK293 cells transfected with the DPR constructs, revealed using an anti-Myc antibody (green) and endogenous VCP (red). VCP co-localized with polyGA, but not with the other DPRs. The line profile plot indicates the intensity distribution of the green and red channels through the white line in the magnified view of the region of interest (ROI) in the merged panel (middle). Scale bars = 20 μm. (B) Representative proximity ligation assay (PLA) using anti-Myc and anti-VCP antibodies revealed interactions between polyGA and VCP (red dots).
comparison to non-neuropathological (‘normal’) controls. Serial sections from the frontal cortex and hippocampus (Fig. 5A and B) were analysed here, where abundant polyGA aggregates were detected using a polyGA antibody (Fig. 5B).

Co-immunolabelling using anti-VCP and anti-GA antibodies revealed distinct patterns of immunoreactivity, where >70% of the cortical and hippocampal dentate gyrus neurons showed presence of large globular aggregates of VCP (Fig. 5A and C, red arrowheads; bottom enlarged panels). Such large globular aggregates of VCP appeared to actually decorate the polyGA aggregates and were found to be often co-localized (Fig. 5A and C, white arrows), which were later confirmed by line profile obtained from one of the representative dentate gyrus neuron harbouring both VCP and GA aggregate (Fig. 5A, right). Further examination of these C9orf72 patients hippocampal dentate gyrus neurons revealed that such VCP inclusions, which decorates GA aggregates were also co-aggregating with pTDP-43 aggregates (Supplementary Fig. 6A). Interestingly, in many instance GA aggregates appeared in the core of pTDP-43 inclusions (Supplementary Fig. 6B). In contrast, co-immunolabelling using the VCP antibody together with GR antibody did not yield such significant co-localization in the cortical and hippocampal dentate gyrus neurons (data not shown). The cortical and the dentate gyrus neurons from the normal control brain tissue showed a basal level of cytoplasmic (top panel) as well

Figure 4 Cellular localization of DPRs and VCP in FlpIn SH-SY5Y DPR cell lines and their differentiated neuronal derivatives. (A) FlpIn SH-SY5Y DPR cell lines activated with doxycycline for 24 h (red) and endogenous VCP (green). VCP co-localized with polyGA, but not with the other DPRs. The enlarged images represent co-localized VCP in GA aggregates. Scale bars = 20 μm. (B) Differentiated FlpIn SH-SY5Y DPR activated with doxycycline for 24 h (red) and endogenous VCP (green). VCP co-localized with polyGA, but not with the other DPRs. The enlarged images represent co-localized VCP in GA aggregates. Scale bars = 20 μm. (C) Primary rat cortical neurons (DIV7) transfected with EGFP-GA and EGFP plasmids (green). The cells were stained with VCP (red) and MAP2 (purple) antibody and images were taken by Nikon A1R confocal. Scale bar = 20 μm.
as nuclear immunoreactivity of VCP in many neurons (arrows in control panel; Fig. 5A), and they were devoid of polyGA aggregates (Fig. 5A and C).

PolyGA sequesters VCP

To understand the pathophysiology of this VCP–polyGA interaction in both cell culture and in C9orf72 cases, immunoblotting for VCP was performed with soluble/cytosolic fractions and insoluble/nuclear fractions. Here, we analysed VCP in three independent lysates of HEK293 cells transfected with the BioID2 construct, and three lysates of HEK293 cells transfected with the BioID-polyGA construct. Figure 6A and B both show lower VCP levels in the soluble fraction. In contrast, the VCP content was higher in the insoluble fraction of polyGA-expressing cells (Fig. 6C and D). The level of GA expression was tested by dot blots (Fig. 6A and C).
There was also sequestration of VCP within the polyGA aggregates (Figs 3A, 4 and 5), with these large insoluble aggregates formed by polyGA explaining the higher VCP levels in the insoluble fraction.

To support these findings in C9orf72 ALS-FTD, subcellular fractionation was performed using frozen human brain tissue (frontal cortex) from three normal controls as well as from three C9orf72 ALS-FTD patients. To avoid ambiguity and to maintain the consistency, we used the frozen tissue from the same brain samples that were used for the immunohistochemical analysis (Fig. 5) and also used the same VCP antibody for the western blot analysis. These
overexpression of polyGA, but not other DPRs, leads to accumulation of autophagic markers LC3, p62 and ubiquitin

Several recent reports have suggested VCP as a key mediator of autophagy processes, in addition to its major role in mediating UPS mediated degradation\(^{44–47}\) and displaying disaggregase activity on Tau neurofibrillary tangles formation.\(^{46}\) Since we observed that VCP is sequestered specifically with the polyGA aggregates rather than other DPRs, the next logical step was to determine the autophagy status in these cells overexpressing the DPRs, including polyGA. Autophagy can be monitored at different stages by several well-established methods, along with assays based on lipidation of LC3I to LC3II, and the ratio of which is indicative of altered autophagy (by increased autophagy flux due increased autophagosome formation or decreased autophagy flux due decreased autophagosome turnover).\(^{45,49}\) Western blot analysis performed on the lysates of HEK293 cells transfected with the DPRs showed higher levels of LC3II (reflected by increased LC3II/LC3I ratio) specifically in the cells overexpressing polyGA, and not in cells expressing the other DPRs (Fig. 7A). Furthermore, the autophagic flux was measured for control cells and cells that expressed GA in the presence of bafilomycin A (Fig. 7B). Autophagic flux is measured as the difference between LC3 II intensity in bafilomycin treated cells and LC3 II intensity of bafilomycin untreated cells. Here, a significantly reduced levels of VCP (Fig. 6F and G), but no change of VCP was observed in other nuclear extract fraction (not shown) in C9orf72 ALS-FTD patients’ brain compared to the normal controls (Fig. 6E–G).

Overexpression of polyGA, but not other DPRs, leads to accumulation of autophagic markers LC3, p62 and ubiquitin

Silencing of VCP decreases the level of co-localization of polyGA and p62

Prompted by the observed accumulation of p62 in polyGA-overexpressing cells, and also with co-localization of VCP and polyGA aggregates, we next performed silencing of VCP to further investigate the functional significance of this VCP-polyGA interaction on p62 localization and autophagy status. HEK293 cells were cotransfected with the siRNA control (siScrambled) and the EGFP-polyGA construct, or with the siRNA sequence against VCP (siVCP) and the EGFP-polyGA construct. Almost 70% silencing of VCP was achieved in cells cotransfected with siVCP and EGFP-polyGA construct compared with control cells transfected with siScrambled RNA and EGFP-polyGA (Fig. 8A and B), whereas nearly 80% silencing of VCP was achieved in FlpIn SH-SY5Y-GA cells (Fig. 8D and E). Immunocytochemistry of these cells confirmed the lower signals for VCP immunoreactivity (Fig. 8A and D). Interestingly, although the levels of VCP were rather low (Fig. 8B and E), VCP was still found to be sequestered within GA aggregates (Fig. 8A and D). Consistent with this, we observed increased levels of LC3 by western blot analysis in VCP-depleted cells (Fig. 8C and F), indicating abnormal or inhibited autophagy/autophagosome accumulation. We observed increased accumulation of LC3 and p62 in cells that were VCP-deficient and expressed EGFP-polyGA, versus control cells that were VCP-deficient but expressed only EGFP (Fig. 8C). The same accumulation of LC3 and p62 was observed in FlpIn SH-SY5Y GA cells on VCP silencing (Fig. 8F). These data suggest that polyGA aggregates can sequester the remaining VCP and p62 were also observed, indicating inhibited autophagy in the cells overexpressing polyGA.\(^{50,51}\) In agreement with the findings that expression of polyGA can lead to accumulation of ubiquitylated proteins, significantly higher levels of ubiquitin conjugates were observed in dot blot analysis, again, specific to the polyGA-overexpressing cells (Fig. 7D). The decreased autophagic flux and the increase in LC3 II and p62 determine inhibition of autophagy\(^{42}\) in the late stage. Overall, thus our data suggest that polyGA aggregates impair late-stage autophagy.
Figure 8 VCP deficiency prevents co-localization of polyGA and p62. (A) Representative immunofluorescence of HEK293 cells cotransfected with GFP-GA (green) with siScrambled or siVCP constructs (as indicated) and stained with anti-VCP antibodies (red) and for nuclear DAPI (blue). Arrows show co-localization of polyGA and VCP. Scale bars = 20 μm. (B) Representative immunoblotting showing efficient silencing of VCP (green) in HEK293 cells cotransfected with siScrambled and GFP/GFP-GA or siVCP and GFP/GFP-GA constructs. Red, GAPDH loading control. Quantification of immunoblotting is also shown. Data are means ± SEM (n = 3 biological repeats). **P < 0.01 (ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test). (C) Representative immunoblotting showing higher LC3II/LC3I ratio and accumulation of p62 protein in HEK293 cells cotransfected with siVCP and GFP-GA. Quantification of immunoblotting is also shown. Data are means ± SEM (n = 3 biological repeats). ***P < 0.001 (ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test). (D) Representative immunofluorescence of FlpIn SH-SY5Y GA cells (red) transfected with with siScrambled or siVCP constructs (as indicated) and stained with anti-VCP antibodies (red) and for nuclear DAPI (blue). Arrows show co-localization of polyGA and VCP. Scale bars = 20 μm. (E) Representative immunoblotting showing efficient silencing of VCP (green) in FlpIn SH-SY5Y GA and FlpIn SH-SY5Y cells transfected with siScrambled or siVCP. Red, GAPDH loading control. Quantification of immunoblotting is also shown. Data are means ± SEM (n = 3 biological repeats). **P < 0.01 (ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test). (F) Representative immunoblotting showing a higher LC3II/LC3I ratio and accumulation of p62 protein in FlpIn SH-SY5Y GA cells transfected with siVCP. Quantification of immunoblotting is also shown. Data are means ± SEM (n = 3 biological repeats). *P < 0.05 (ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test). (G) Representative immunofluorescence of HEK293 cells cotransfected with GFP-GA (green) and siScrambled or siVCP constructs, stained with anti-p62 antibodies (red) and for nuclear DAPI (blue). Arrows: co-localization of polyGA and p62 (yellow) in the top panels; no co-localization in the bottom panels. Scale bars = 20 μm. Right: Quantification of Manders’ coefficients for GA overlapping with p62, as shown on the left. Data are means ± SEM from three independent experiments n = 3 (five frames measured for every experiment). *P < 0.05 (two-tailed paired t-tests). (H) Representative immunofluorescence of FlpIn SH-SY5Y GA cells transfected siScrambled or siVCP constructs, stained with anti-p62 antibodies (green) and for nuclear DAPI (blue). Arrows: co-localization of polyGA and p62 (yellow) in the top panels; no co-localization in the bottom panels. Scale bars = 20 μm. Right: Quantification of Manders’ coefficients for GA overlapping with p62, as shown on the left. Data are means ± SEM from three independent experiments n = 3 (five frames measured for every experiment). **P < 0.01 (two-tailed paired t-tests).
enhance the accumulation of LC3 and p62. Furthermore, we analyzed co-localization of polyGA aggregates with the autophagy-some marker p62 (Fig. 8G) in HEK293 cells cotransfected with siScrambled and EGF-polyGA or siVCP and EGF-polyGA, and in siScrambled FlpIn SH-SY5Y GA or siVCP FlpIn SH-SY5Y GA cells (Fig. 8H). Here, in the cells with endogenous VCP expression, most polyGA aggregates co-localized with p62 (Fig. 8G and H, top panel, arrows). In contrast, co-localization of polyGA aggregates and p62 appeared decreased in the cells deficient in VCP (Fig. 8G and H, bottom panel). PolyGA/p62 co-localization was quantified by the overlap between polyGA and p62 according to Manders’ overlap coefficients. Analysis of 15 frames from three independent experiments revealed significantly reduced overlap of polyGA with p62 in the VCP-silenced cells (Fig. 8G and H). Given the function of VCP as a mediator of selective autophagy,53 we would suggest here that VCP recognizes polyGA as its substrate and guides it to autophagy.

Discussion

Here, we identified the interactome of all five of these DPRs harbouring 125 pathogenic repeats using proximity-dependent labeling with BioID2. BioID is a unique method to screen for physiologically relevant protein interactions that occur in living cells. The method is unique and has several advantages over other methods for screening protein interactions, especially when searching for interactions with insoluble or membrane-associated proteins. Other advantages include the identifying weak and/or transient interactions and screening for interactions in a relatively natural cellular environment.90

A previous study on the interactome of DPRs (of only 50 repeats) using HEK293 cells overexpressing GFP-DPR constructs and immunoprecipitation with an anti-GFP nanobody, identified 190 interacting partners for polyGR, 147 for polyPR, 14 for polyGA and none for polyPA and polyGP.91 In contrast, in the present BioID2 study, we identified interacting partners for all five of these DPRs, more for polyGA than seen by Lee et al.33 and Moens et al.13

Previous proteomic studies of the DPR interactome have focused primarily on the polyPR and polyGA interactomes, as these have been shown to be the most cytotoxic.10–12,37 Consistent with previous studies, we have confirmed here that polyPR- and polyGR-interacting partners are involved in translation, RNA processing and regulation of gene expression.13,33,41,42 Since polyGA has shown toxicity in some models,15,17,66 and GA aggregates are the most abundant of these DPRs in patient tissue,65,67 (see also Fig. 4A), it is important to identify and understand the polyGA-interacting partners. We identified 90 different interacting proteins for polyGA, including proteins that participate in translation, nuclear-transcribed mRNA catabolic processes, the mitotic cell cycle and proteasome-mediated ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic processes. Five interactors are seen in common with the polyGA-interactors identified by Moens et al.13 namely ACACA, HSPA8, MCCC1, PC and RPS27A, although there are no matches with the top 20 polyGA-interactors reported by May et al.15 However, in agreement with May et al.,15 we both detected components of the ubiquitin-proteasome system as major polyGA-interactors. Among the other interacting proteins, we identified VCP as a strong polyGA interactor. C9orf72 ALS/FTD patients probably express all DPRs rather than a single specific DPR.92 Moreover it was demonstrated that DPRs may not be translated as single dipeptide units but may be translated in combinations due to ribosomal frameshifting and the term ‘chimeric DPRs’ was ascribed to these putative DPRs.93 So to actually understand how DPRs contribute to disease, future research should necessarily focus on disease models that express multiple DPRs, ideally at physiologically relevant levels. To further address the issue that some of our identified interacting proteins appear to be associated with a particular subcellular localization and this way influence the interpretation of our in vitro results, it is important to recognize that the greatest challenge facing C9orf72 ALS/FTD research today is to reconcile the apparent discrepancy between the pattern of DPR pathology in human post-mortem tissues and in different animal and in vitro models. Although polyGR and polyPR are significantly more hydrophilic and less prone to aggregation than polyGA, they are nevertheless readily transported into the nucleus in vitro and in vivo in patients,6,10,31,56,65 despite the general belief that DPRs are mainly localized in the cytoplasm. The demonstrated localization of polyGR and polyPR DPRs in the nucleolus, cytoplasm and even mitochondria12,33,35,58,62 is consistent with the results of our pathway analyses of the identified interacting proteins. Post-mortem studies also indicated the localization polyPR in intranuclear aggregates,13 supporting the notion that DPR-positive inclusions in C9orf72-diseases may be localized in the nucleolus in addition to the cytoplasman.55,64 In this regard, we believe that our newly generated FlpIn SH-SY5Y DPR cell lines, which stably express DPRs localized in distinct subcellular localization, may prove to be a valuable model.

The in situ structure of polyGA aggregates did not reveal an abundance of VCP.91 Interestingly, however, here we showed significant co-localization of VCP with polyGA aggregates in HEK293 cells, and in cortical and hippocampal dentate gyrus neurons from C9orf72 ALS and FTLD patients. Of note, consistent with the previous reports, we also confirmed that VCP positive TDP-43 inclusions were also abundantly present in our samples together with a cellular GA aggregate core. These results are intriguing; however, they warrant a more detailed understanding of the role of VCP specifically in the degradation of aggregate-prone proteins for the maintenance of the neuronal proteostasis in ALS-FTLD.66–68 Moreover, we would suggest that polyGA sequesters VCP and probably modulates proteins involved in nucleocytoplasmic transport,15 as well as the transport factor UNC119.57 Expression of polyGA leads to accumulation of ubiquitinated conjugates and the p62 and LC3 proteins, which suggests proteotoxic stress resulting in impairment of protein degradation pathways. The lower autophagic flux further explains that in cells expressing polyGA, autophagy is impaired in its late stage. The present data are in line with previous reports showing accumulation of p62 and ubiquitin after polyGA expression,15,57 and impaired autophagy on loss of the C9ORF72 protein, as well as following DPR expression.91 In addition, the two known VCP cofactors, namely NPLOC4/NPL4 (NPL4 homolog, ubiquitin recognition factor) and UFD1 (Ubiquitin recognition factor in ER associated degradation)70 were found together with the VCP adaptor protein UBXN1 (UBX Domain protein 1)71 as shown in our Supplementary Table 2A and Supplementary Fig. 4, suggesting a possible interaction with GA (although not significant). VCP, in combination with these adaptors, has the role of identifying ubiquitylated proteins and targeting them for degradation by the proteasome or by autophagy. The ATP-dependent protein unfolding activity of VCP is dependent on NPLOC4-UFD1, ATP hydrolysis and substrate ubiquitination.72 Since this is shown to be impaired in cells with mutant or silenced VCP,70,73 it is likely that GA induced sequestration of VCP may similarly affect aggresome formation and cell clearance mechanism.

Impairment of VCP function or VCP deficiency might lead to impaired autophagy by inhibiting the fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes.94 Consistent with this, we observed significantly lower VCP levels in the soluble fraction after polyGA expression, which suggests that sequestration of VCP within polyGA aggregates leads to a partial loss of VCP function, and contributes to the polyGA pathology. These data are supported by the impairment of autophagy in its late stage similar to impairment of autophagy when VCP is deficient. In line with this, we consistently observed
lower levels of VCP in subcellular fractions obtained from cortical lysates of C9orf72 patients. Moreover, consistent with the report that PolyGA aggregates efficiently co-localized with p62,42,57,65 we observed that the overlap of polyGA with p62 was significantly lower in VCP-depleted cells. Of note, VCP recognizes poly-ubiquitinated proteins via adaptor subunits to direct them to the proteasome4;76; however, emerging evidence suggests that VCP may also act as a crucial mediator of selective autophagy.93,75,76 Based on the evidence presented here, it is therefore reasonable to propose that VCP is involved in the pathophysiology in C9orf72 ALS and FTLD by mediating selective autophagy of polyGA aggregates.
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