A descriptive study of high resolution total colonic intracavitary manometry and colonic transit test in the diagnostic efficacy of functional constipation in Chinese patients
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Abstract
Background: This study was to observe the diagnostic efficacy of high resolution total colonic intracavitary manometry (HRCM) vs colonic transit test (CTT) in the assessment of functional constipation (FC) in Chinese patients.

Methods: Seventy-nine cases of patients with severe FC who were admitted and received colon resection between July 2016 and July 2019 at the Tianjin Union Medical Center were retrospectively reviewed. Before operation, all patients received CTT at outpatient service, followed by HRCM at ward. The resected tissues were subject to histological observation, which was used to determine the diagnostic efficacy of HRCM vs CTT.

Results: The accuracy of CTT for the FC diagnosis was 69.6% (55/79), and the false negative ratio was 30.4%. The accuracy of HRCM for the FC diagnosis was 81.0% (64/79), and the false negative ratio was 19.0% (15/79). Twelve patients showed normal characteristics after CTT but abnormal after HRCM. In contrast, only 4 showed normal after HRCM but abnormal after CTT. In addition, among the 79 patients 12 were detected normal by both CTT and HRCM.

Conclusion: HRCM can be more suitable to assess FC compared with CTT, while CTT is still indispensable for HRCM to diagnose FC.
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Background
In China the prevalence of constipation was 8.2% in general population, which was particularly higher in the elderly and pediatric populations (18.1% and 18.8%, respectively) [1]. Slow-transit constipation (STC) is the most common type of constipation. Functional constipation (FC), mainly including STC and caused by various factors except intestinal or systemic organic pathological ones, is characterized by reduced stool frequency, prolonged stool interval, less fecal volume and hard quality, and difficulty in defecation. The etiology of constipation is still unclear, and currently there are no related specific drugs in clinics. For patients without response to drugs, surgical treatment is needed. Surgery is mainly to resect the colons without peristaltic function, but before the operation it is necessary to exclude the cases of small bowel dysfunction and gastric emptying disorder. However, there are still no specific methods for the examination of colonic functions. The present guideline just recommends the colonic transit test (CTT) to assess the general colonic...
peristalsis functions based on the imaging features, which can neither be used to determine the exact part of colons with poor peristalsis function nor to assess the extent of the peristaltic function attenuation. Therefore, the current guideline has limited value for instructing such clinical surgeries.

During surgery, to decide whether or not to retain the ileocecal parts and ascending colons, it is necessary to assess the functions of cecum and ascending colons, which is difficult to be evaluated through CTT. The colonic manometry can accurately determine the peristaltic pressure in all parts of the colons and the trend of colonic peristalsis to instruct the choice of appropriate clinical surgical means. Colonic manometry has been used in the diagnosis of chronic constipation [2]. For example, Dranove et al. used colonic manometry to evaluate the aspects of colonic functions in children with refractory constipation [3]. Currently, the commonly used facility emergingly involved non-high-resolution (low-resolution) catheters [4], and low-resolution colonic manometry has some disadvantages, e.g., it may bring about gross misinterpretation of the frequency, morphology and polarity of the colonic propagating sequences, key for the movement of colonic content and defecation [5].

Recently, high-resolution colonic manometry (HRCM), with more closely spaced sensors, has been rapidly developing for more effectively evaluating the colonic peristaltic contractions of the entire human colons, such as colon motility, manometry, operation, and colonic and anal motor activity [6–13], in the diagnosis and classification of constipation. For example, Li et al. used HRCM to effectively determine the colonic motility patterns and morphological changes in the colonic walls of patients (151 cases) with constipation to diagnose and classify the types of constipation as well as accurately identify the diseased colonic segments in order to optimize the appropriate treatment [6]. Sintusek et al. applied HRCM to assess the colonic motor activity in children with treatment-unresponsive STC [7].

HRCM can provide more detailed information about the constipation. However, CTT, with limited beneficial information, is still recommended in the guidelines for the FC treatment. It is important to assess if HRCM can be superior to and substitute CTT in the diagnosis of constipation. Until now, however, there are no such reports yet. In this study, we reviewed the clinical information of patients with FC who underwent the CTT and whole HRCM in sequence before colectomy so as to compare the efficacy of these two methods in diagnosis of FC.

Methods

Patients

Patients with FC who were admitted to the Tianjin Union Medical Center (Tianjin, China) during July 2016 and July 2019 were retrospectively reviewed. Patients met the Roman IV Functional Constipation Criteria and were pathologically confirmed to have FC. Patients, who did not meet the Roman IV criteria, just had hirschsprung, had no pathological results, or had mental illness, were excluded. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Tianjin Union Medical Center, and the patients’ anonymity were strictly maintained. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

HRCM

Referred to Li et al’s method [6]. Solar GI high HRCM machine (Medical Measurement System, Holland) was applied to record the colorectal motility. Briefly, the catheter was first kept horizontally at the level of patient’s gut to make the pressure zero-balance and was then moved vertically to check the registration of the transducers. Under the guiding of colonoscope, a solid-state catheter (2.0 cm long and 0.45 cm in diameter) with 36 channels was placed in different segments of colons (rectum, and sigmoid and descending colons), 5 cm apart from each other. The minimum pressure was obtained by calculating all the channel pressures minus their offset pressures. HRCM lasted for over 24 h, and the amplitude, intensity and trends in the whole colonic peristaltic contractions were monitored and recorded in the states of rest, after meals, during sleep and wakefulness. Manometric data were analyzed and displayed as pressure topography using the Medical Measurement Systems software. Low amplitude peristaltic contraction (LAPC), which was defined as contraction amplitude < 75 mmHg but > 5 mmHg [6], represented HRCM positive (abnormal) result, including myogenic, partial myogenic, neurogenic, partial neurogenic, and mixed (combination of myogenic with neurogenic) constipation. [6].

CTT

CTT was carried out before the operation according to the routine protocols. Briefly, patients were orally administered labelled drugs and were measured their movement and distribution by X-ray examination. CTT results included pathologic and mixed (mixture of pathologic and functional outlet obstruction) constipation.

Diagnosis of constipation

Constipation was diagnosed according to the Rome criteria IV [6], including: (1) the presence, in the preceding
| Patient | Sex     | Age      | Wexner | CTT (type) | HRCM (type) | Surgical treatment                                                                                                                                 |
|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1       | Female  | 60–65    | 13     | Pathologic | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, and lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum                                                |
| 2       | Female  | 50–55    | 13     | Pathologic | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum, and terminal ileostomy                               |
| 3       | Male    | 50–55    | 11     | Pathologic | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum, terminal ileostomy, and colonoscopic hemostasis of anastomotic bleeding |
| 4       | Female  | 50–55    | 12     | Pathologic | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum                                                |
| 5       | Female  | 65–70    | 7      | Pathologic | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic total colectomy, ileoproctostomy, and terminal ileostomy                                                                            |
| 6       | Female  | 45–50    | 12     | Mixed¹     | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum                                                |
| 7       | Male    | 75–80    | 8      | Pathologic | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum, and terminal ileostomy                               |
| 8       | Female  | 55–60    | 14     | Mixed¹     | Partial myogenic | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum                                                |
| 9       | Female  | 55–60    | 9      | Pathologic | Mixed²      | Laparoscopic total colectomy, adhesiolysis, appendectomy and ileoproctostomy                                                                  |
| 10      | Male    | 60–65    | 12     | Pathologic | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic partial colectomy, enterolysis, appendectomy, peritoneal drainage, and colostomy                                                  |
| 11      | Female  | 65–70    | 13     | Mixed¹     | Mixed²      | Laparoscopic total colectomy, enterolysis, lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum, terminal ileostomy                     |
| 12      | Male    | 65–70    | 10     | Pathologic | Mixed²      | Laparoscopic total colectomy                                                                                                                                 |
| 13      | Female  | 65–70    | 9      | Pathologic | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic total colectomy, enterolysis, and permanent terminal ileostomy                                                                        |
| 14      | Female  | 40–45    | 12     | Mixed¹     | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic total colectomy, enterolysis, peritoneal drainage, and ileoproctostomy                                                             |
| 15      | Female  | 40–45    | 14     | Normal     | Normal      | Laparoscopic total colectomy, ileoproctostomy                                                                                                  |
| 16      | Female  | 60–65    | 13     | Mixed¹     | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic total colectomy, enterolysis, peritoneal drainage, and permanent terminal ileostomy                                                  |
| 17      | Female  | 50–55    | 17     | Mixed¹     | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic total colectomy, appendectomy, enterolysis, peritoneal drainage, and lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum   |
| 18      | Male    | 75–80    | 11     | Mixed¹     | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum, and terminal ileostomy                               |
| 19      | Female  | 50–55    | 13     | Pathologic | Partial neurogenic | Laparoscopic total colectomy, ileoproctostomy, ileostomy, and complex adhesiolysis                                                         |
| 20      | Male    | 60–65    | 13     | Pathologic | Partial myogenic | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum, and complex adhesiolysis                                                  |
| 21      | Female  | 65–70    | 10     | Normal     | Normal      | Laparoscopic total colectomy, ileoproctostomy, ileostomy, and complex adhesiolysis                                                          |
| 22      | Female  | 35–40    | 12     | Pathologic | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic total colectomy, and prophylactic ileostomy                                                                                  |
| 23      | Male    | 65–70    | 14     | Normal     | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, and lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum                                             |
| Patient | Sex   | Age (years) | Wexner Score | CTT (type)  | HRCM (type) | Surgical treatment |
|---------|-------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|
| 24      | Male  | 55–60       | 10           | Mixed¹      | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic total colectomy, and ileoproctostomy |
| 25      | Female| 25–30       | 8            | Mixed¹      | Neurogenic  | Laparoscopic total colectomy, and ileoproctostomy |
| 26      | Female| 55–60       | 12           | Pathologic  | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, and lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum |
| 27      | Female| 70–75       | 20           | Pathologic  | Mild myogenic | Laparoscopic total colectomy, enterolysis, ileoproctostomy, peritoneal drainage, and ileostomy |
| 28      | Female| 65–70       | 17           | Mixed¹      | Mixed²      | Laparoscopic total colectomy, enterolysis, preventive ileostomy, and peritoneal drainage |
| 29      | Female| 70–75       | 12           | Pathologic  | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic total colectomy, ileoproctostomy, ileostomy, complex enterolysis, and repairment of incisional hernia |
| 30      | Female| 60–65       | 17           | Pathologic  | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, and lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum |
| 31      | Female| 65–70       | 8            | Pathologic  | Partial myogenic | Laparoscopic total colectomy, ileoproctostomy, and peritoneal drainage |
| 32      | Female| 70–75       | 22           | Pathologic  | Mild myogenic | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, and lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum |
| 33      | Female| 75–80       | 2            | Mixed¹      | Normal      | Laparoscopic total mesorectal excision, and preventive ileostomy |
| 34      | Female| 70–75       | 11           | Pathologic  | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic total colectomy, and ileoproctostomy |
| 35      | Male  | 60–65       | 8            | Mixed¹      | Myogenic    | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum, and ileostomy |
| 36      | Female| 30–35       | 11           | Mixed¹      | Neurogenic  | Laparoscopic total colectomy, and ileoproctostomy |
| 37      | Female| 50–55       | 15           | Abnormal    | Mild myogenic | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, and lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum |
| 38      | Female| 50–55       | 14           | Normal      | Abnormal    | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, and lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum |
| 39      | Female| 60–65       | 7            | Pathologic  | Moderate mixed | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum, ileostomy, complex enterolysis, and catheter drainage |
| 40      | Male  | 60–65       | 13           | Abnormal (STC) | Abnormal    | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, and lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum |
| 41      | Female| 30–35       | 7            | Pathologic  | Mild mixed² | Laparoscopic total colectomy, ileoproctostomy, ileostomy, and complex adhesiolysis |
| 42      | Female| 25–30       | 10           | Abnormal (STC) | Mild myogenic | Laparoscopic total colectomy, and prophylactic ileostomy |
| 43      | Female| 30–35       | 21           | Abnormal (STC) | Severe myogenic | Laparoscopic total colectomy, and prophylactic ileostomy |
| 44      | Female| 65–70       | 13           | Abnormal (STC) | Neurogenic  | Laparoscopic total colectomy, and ileoproctostomy, ileostomy, complex intestinal mucosa lysis |
| 45      | Female| 60–65       | 7            | Abnormal (STC) | Myogenic CST in left side and normal in right side | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, and ileoproctostomy, complex enterolysis |
| 46      | Female| 50–55       | 19           | Abnormal (STC) | Mixed² (myogenic and neurogenic) | Laparoscopic total colectomy, and ileoproctostomy |
| Patient | Sex | Age       | Wexner | CTT (type)                  | HRCM (type)                           | Surgical treatment                                                                 |
|---------|-----|-----------|--------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 47      | Female | 70–75 | 12     | Abnormal (STC)              | Mixed² (moderate myogenic CST with neurogenic CST) | Laparoscopic total colectomy, and ileoproctostomy                                  |
| 48      | Female | 45–50 | 12     | Normal                      | Moderate myogenic                      | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, and lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum |
| 49      | Male   | 55–60 | 14     | Abnormal (STC)              | Mixed² (moderate myogenic CST with neurogenic CST) | Laparoscopic total colectomy, ileoproctostomy, complex adhesiolysis, and peritoneal drainage |
| 50      | Female | 35–40 | 7      | Abnormal (STC)              | Mixed² (severe myogenic CST in left side and neurogenic CST in right side)       | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum, and preventative fistulization |
| 51      | Female | 45–50 | 14     | Abnormal (STC)              | Mixed² (severe myogenic CST in right side with neurogenic CST in left side)     | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum |
| 52      | Male   | 35–40 | 13     | Normal                      | Mild myogenic                         | Laparoscopic total colectomy, and ileoproctostomy                                  |
| 53      | Female | 55–60 | 12     | Abnormal (STC)              | Moderate myogenic                      | Laparoscopic total colectomy, ileoproctostomy, and complex adhesiolysis             |
| 54      | Female | 40–45 | 22     | Normal                      | Mixed² (moderate myogenic CST with neurogenic CST) | Laparoscopic total colectomy, ileoproctostomy, transanorectal mucosa circumferential resection, and double luminal enterostomy |
| 55      | Female | 60–65 | 12     | Abnormal (STC)              | Mixed² (severe myogenic CST in neurogenic CST) | Laparoscopic subtotal colectomy, and lateral side-to-side anastomosis of ascending colon and rectum |
| 56      | Female | 45–50 | 13     | Abnormal (STC)              | Mixed² (moderate myogenic CST and partial neurogenic CST) | Laparoscopic total colectomy, and ileoproctostomy                                  |
| 57      | Female | 60–65 | 12     | Abnormal (STC)              | Moderate myogenic                      | Laparoscopic total colectomy, ileoproctostomy, complex adhesiolysis, and peritoneal drainage |
| 58      | Female | 50–55 | 10     | Abnormal (STC)              | Moderate myogenic                      | Laparoscopic total colectomy, ileoproctostomy, complex adhesiolysis, and peritoneal drainage |
| 59      | Male   | 65–70 | 4      | Mixed¹                      | Severe myogenic                       | Laparoscopic total colectomy, ileoproctostomy, complex adhesiolysis, and peritoneal drainage |
| 60      | Male   | 60–65 | 13     | Abnormal (STC)              | Mixed² (mild myogenic CST in right side and neurogenic CST in left side)      | Laparoscopic total colectomy, ileoproctostomy, complex adhesiolysis, and peritoneal drainage |
| 61      | Female | 55–60 | 10     | Normal                      | Mild myogenic                         | Tissue-selecting therapy stapler                                                  |
| 62      | Female | 40–45 | 9      | Normal                      | Myogenic                              | Tissue-selecting therapy stapler                                                  |
| 63      | Female | 25–30 | 10     | Normal                      | Mild myogenic                         | Tissue-selecting therapy stapler                                                  |
| 64      | Female | 70–75 | 7      | Normal                      | Normal                                 | Tissue-selecting therapy stapler                                                  |
| 65      | Female | 45–50 | 6      | Normal                      | Normal                                 | Tissue-selecting therapy stapler                                                  |
| 66      | Female | 45–50 | 16     | Normal                      | Normal                                 | Tissue-selecting therapy stapler                                                  |
| 67      | Female | 45–50 | 11     | Normal                      | Myogenic                              | Transvaginal repair of the rectal prolapse                                        |
| 68      | Female | 45–50 | 14     | Normal                      | Mixed¹                                 | Tissue-selecting therapy stapler                                                  |
| 69      | Female | 65–70 | 11     | Normal                      | Normal                                 | Tissue-selecting therapy stapler                                                  |
| 70      | Female | 35–40 | 9      | Normal                      | Normal                                 | Tissue-selecting therapy stapler                                                  |
| 71      | Female | 70–75 | 11     | Normal                      | Normal                                 | Tissue-selecting therapy stapler                                                  |
| 72      | Female | 50–55 | 14     | Normal                      | Normal                                 | Partial rectal mucosa resection                                                   |
| 73      | Female | 55–60 | 9      | Normal                      | Myogenic                              | Tissue-selecting therapy stapler                                                  |
| 74      | Female | 50–55 | 6      | Mixed¹                      | Normal                                 | Posterior pelvic floor reconstruction, and tissue-selecting therapy stapler        |
| 75      | Female | 55–60 | 14     | Abnormal (STC)              | Normal                                 | Tissue-selecting therapy stapler, and haemorrhoidectomy                            |
| 76      | Female | 55–60 | 16     | Normal                      | Normal                                 | Gastric polyp electrocision                                                      |
| 77      | Male   | 65–70 | 14     | Normal                      | Mixed (myogenic CST in left side and neurogenic CST in right side)             | Laparoscopic terminal ileum single cavity fistula |
Table 1 (continued)

| Patient | Sex   | Age   | Wexner | CTT (type) | HRCM (type) | Surgical treatment                                      |
|---------|-------|-------|--------|------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 78      | Female| 60–65 | 8      | Normal     | Normal      | Procedure for prolapse and hemorrhoids, and rectocele repair, and haemorrhoidectomy |
| 79      | Female| 55–60 | 8      | Normal     | Normal      | Transanorectal mucous circumferential resection         |

Mixed¹, mixed type of FC by CTT (combination of pathological and defecation disorder)

Mixed², mixed type (myogenic and neurogenic type) of FC by HRCM

Results

Seventy-nine cases of subjects with STC, who received CTT and HRCM in sequence and then underwent surgical resection of the colon tissues, were reviewed, including 15 males and 64 females. The median age was 58 (range 26–77) years. The median Wexner score upon admission was 12 (range 2–22). All the resected colon tissue samples were paraffin-embedded and subject to the histological observation by HE staining, indicating vacuolar degeneration of nerve plexuses and muscularis propria and fibrosis in the outer layers (typical HE staining of the resected colon samples was shown in Figs. 3C, 4C and 5C), which confirmed the diagnosis of constipation in all the 79 samples.

6 months, of at least 2 of the following: (i) straining at the time of > 1/4 defecations, (ii) a sensation of incomplete evacuation at the time of > 1/4 defecations, (iii) a sensation of anorectal obstruction/blockage at the time of > 1/4 defecations, and (iv) manual maneuvers to facilitate > 1/4 defecations (e.g., digital evacuation, or need for pelvic floor support), (2) no loose stool without the use of laxative, and (3) inadequate evidence for the diagnosis of irritable bowel syndrome. Constipation was further confirmed by histological observation through hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining on the resected colonic tissues according to our previous report [6], which was used to validate the CTT and HRCM examination results.
The detailed information of the patients was shown in Table 1. Representative CTT images in FC patients were shown in Fig. 1, including pathogenic FC in Case 3 (Fig. 1A), and mixed FC in Case 6 (Fig. 1B). For CTT examination, 24 (#15, 21, 23, 38, 48, 52, 54, 61–73, 76–79) of the 79 cases, which were confirmed to have FC by histological observation, were normal after CTT. The accuracy of CTT for STC diagnosis was 69.6% (55/79), and the false negative ratio was 30.4%. Figure 2 showed representative HRCM images in FC patients, including myogenic FC in Case 3 (Fig. 2A), neurogenic FC in Case 25 (Fig. 2B), and mixed FC in Case 51 (Fig. 2C). In contrast, 15 (#15, 21, 33, 64–66, 69–72, 74–76, 78, 79) of 79 were normal after HRCM. The accuracy of HRCM for STC diagnosis was 81.0% (64/79), and the false negative ratio was 19.0%.

Among the 79 cases, 12 (#15/21/64/65/66/69–72/76/78/79, 12/79) with apparent symptoms of constipation were normal by both CTT and HRCM, while were confirmed to have STC (mild positive) by histological observation after surgical treatment due to unsatisfactory efficacy of conservative treatment. As shown in Fig. 3, in a representative case of FC patient (Case 15) both CTT (Fig. 3A) and HRCM were normal (negative, Fig. 3B) but the HE staining examination showed positive (Fig. 3C). For CTT examination, 12 cases (#22/38/48/52/54/61/63/67/68/73/77, 12/79) showed normal after CTT examination but abnormal after HRCM. A representative case (Case 54) was presented, showing CTT normal (negative, Fig. 4A) but HRCM positive (Fig. 4B), which was validated by HE staining examination (Fig. 4C). In contrast, 4 cases (#33/65/74/75, 4/79) showed normal after HRCM but abnormal after CTT. A representative case (Case 33) with normal HRCM (Fig. 5A) but positive CTT (Fig. 5B) results was similarly presented, as evidenced by HE examination (Fig. 5C).

CTT can only determine normal, and pathological and mixed (combination of pathological and defecation disorder) constipation (Table 1). In contrast, HRCM can identify the detailed types of STC, such as myogenic colonic slow transmission (CST, #1–7, 10, 13, 14, 16–18, 22, 23, 24, 26, 29, 30, 34, 35, 62, 67, and 73), partial myogenic...
CST (#8, 20, 31, and 45, e.g. in Case 45 left side showed myogenic CST but right side normal), mild myogenic CST (#27, 32, 37, 42, 52, 61, and 63), moderate myogenic CST (#48, 53, 57, and 58), severe myogenic CST (#43, and 59), neurogenic CST (#25, 36, and 44), partial neurogenic CST (#19), mixed CST (i.e. combination of myogenic and neurogenic CST, #9, 11, 12, 28, 46, 68, and 77). Mixed CST comprised several subtypes, including mild myogenic CST in right and neurogenic CST in left side (#60), myogenic CST in left and neurogenic CST in right side (#77), moderate myogenic CST and partial neurogenic CST (#56), mild mixed CST (#41), moderate mixed CST (#39), severe myogenic CST with neurogenic CST (#55), and moderate myogenic CST with neurogenic CST (#47, 49, and 54). HRCM even could determine the sites of different kinds of CST, e.g. severe myogenic CST in left side with neurogenic CST in right side (#50), and severe myogenic CST in right side with neurogenic CST in left side (#51).

**Discussion**

It is vital important for clinicians to determine and classify constipation and to accurately assess the clinical stages and identify the lesions in the colon segments to select the appropriate treatments. The current recommended method by the related guideline is the CTT to assess the general colonic peristalsis function, but it cannot further classify constipation and accurately identify the diseased colonic segments, let alone determination of the colonic peristaltic functions. HRCM has been increasingly developing for more effectively evaluating the colonic peristaltic contractions of the entire human colons, such as colon motility, manometry, operation, and colonic and anal motor activity [8–13], in the diagnosis and classification of constipation. Until now, however, it is still not clear if HRCM is superior to and can substitute CTT in the diagnosis of constipation.
In this study, we reviewed the clinical information of 79 patients with FC who underwent the CTT and whole HRCM in sequence before colonectomy to compare the diagnostic efficacy of HRCM vs CTT. We showed that the diagnostic accuracy of HRCM for STC was higher than that of CTT (81.0% vs 69.6%), and the false negative ratio of HRCM was lower (19.0% vs 30.4%). In addition, 12 cases showed normal by CTT examination but abnormal by HRCM, while only 4 cases showed normal by HRCM but abnormal by CTT. This indicates the advantage of HRCM in the diagnosis of FC in comparison with CTT.

We reported that HRCM could identify the detailed types of STC, such as myogenic, partial myogenic, mild myogenic, moderate myogenic, severe myogenic, neurogenic, partial neurogenic, and mixed STC. Moreover, mixed STC comprised several subtypes, including mild myogenic STC in right and neurogenic STC in left side, myogenic STC in left and neurogenic STC in right side, moderate myogenic STC and partial neurogenic STC, mild mixed STC, moderate mixed STC, severe myogenic STC with neurogenic STC, and moderate myogenic STC with neurogenic STC. We showed HRCM even could determine the sites of the various kinds of STC, e.g. severe myogenic STC in left side and neurogenic STC in right side, and severe myogenic STC in right side and neurogenic STC in left side. Such detailed observation on FC by HRCM has not been previously reported.

Worth of mention, among the 79 cases, 12 (#15, 21, 64-66, 69-72, 76, 78, and 79/79) with apparent symptoms of constipation were normal by both CTT and HRCM, while they were all confirmed STC (mild positive) by histological observation. This indicates that both CTT and HRCM have defaults (false negative) and not sufficient in the diagnosis of FC because colonic peristalsis based on which CTT and HRCM determine constipation may be influenced by various factors (such as nervousness and diets) during the detection period.
thus resulting in false negative result. Therefore, CTT and HRCM detection results should be combined with clinical symptoms in the diagnosis of constipation, and combined application of CTT and HRCM and following validation by histological observation are needed.

There were limitations in this study. This was a retrospective study that there would be some bias in selection of cases since only patients who underwent both HRCM and CTT and received surgery were reviewed. In addition, the case number was not big. Next, prospective studies involving more patients with HRCM or CTT examination, or the combination will be carried out to validate this study.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we concluded that: 1) HRCM is more effective in the diagnosis of FC than CTT, both in the diagnostic accuracy and in obtaining adequate information of FC, 2) CTT is indispensable because it increases the diagnostic value of HRCM, 3) both CTT and HRCM are not sufficient enough in the diagnosis of FC, which calls validation of histological observation. Next, more patients will be recruited to validate this result, and the diagnostic value of HRCM in the identification of the subtypes of FC will be assessed as well.
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