Hexose kinases and their role in sugar-sensing and plant development
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THE ORIGIN OF HEXOSES AND OTHER SUGARS FOUND IN SOURCE AND SINK PLANT TISSUES AND THEIR INTRACELLULAR LOCALIZATION

Sugars such as the disaccharide sucrose and the hexoses glucose and fructose are the primary products of photosynthesis and the initial building blocks of most natural organic matter. In photosynthesis, atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) is incorporated into organic molecules via the Calvin cycle in the chloroplast to yield triose-phosphates (Triose-P) (Figure 1). These Triose-P may then be exported to the cytoplasm, where two molecules of Triose-P are combined to form fructose 1,6-bisphosphate (F1,6BP), the first phosphorylated hexose (hexose-P); that very same enzymatic reaction may take place in the chloroplast, as well. Following additional consecutive enzymatic reactions in the cytoplasm or chloroplast, F1,6BP can yield fructose 6-phosphate (F6P), glucose 6-phosphate (G6P), glucose 1-phosphate (G1P), and the nucleotide sugars UDP-glucose (UDP-G), and ADP-glucose (ADP-G) (Figure 1) (Dennis and Blakeley, 2000).

In the chloroplast, ADP-G is used for starch synthesis, to store extra sugar and to form a pool of reserve carbohydrates. The starch stored in the chloroplast can be degraded into the disaccharide [at (1 → 4) glucose–glucose] maltose, glucose, or G1P, but probably only glucose and maltose are exported (via specific carriers) to the cytoplasm (Zeeman et al., 2007). The breakdown of maltose in the cytoplasm yields glucose monomers. Glucose must be phosphorylated to produce G6P, which can then be used for metabolic processes. G6P can be isomerized into F6P to initiate glycolysis, respiration, and catabolic and anabolic processes. Alternatively, it may be mutated to G1P and the phosphate (P) group may be replaced by nucleotide diphosphates, such as UDP and ADP, to form the nucleotide sugars UDP-G and ADP-G, which are substrates for many biosynthetic and glycosylation reactions (Bar-Peled and O’Neill, 2011). UDP-G may be combined with F6P to form sucrose-phosphate (Suc-P), which is dephosphorylated to produce sucrose. This sucrose can then be stored in the vacuole or exported out of the photosynthetic (source) tissues through the phloem to non-photosynthetic (sink) tissues, where it serves as an initial substrate for all organic metabolic pathways (Figure 1). UDP-G may also be combined with G6P to form trehalose-6-phosphate (T6P), which is dephosphorylated to produce trehalose. T6P and trehalose usually exist in very small amounts in plants, coordinating metabolism with plant growth (Paul et al., 2008).

Sucrose, a glucose-fructose disaccharide, is the main photosynthetic transported from source to sink tissues in many plants. Some plant families, such as the Cucurbitaceae, also translocate raffinose-family oligosaccharides (RFOs), which are galactosyl derivatives of sucrose that contain one or more galactose moieties (Keller and Pharr, 1996). However, the metabolism of the
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RFOs in sink tissues starts with the removal of the galactose moiety and the release of sucrose (Gao and Schaffer, 1999; Carmi et al., 2003). A few plant species translocate other sugars, such as the sugar alcohols sorbitol or mannitol, or mannoseglycosulose. Nevertheless, those species also produce and translocate sucrose and the translocated alcohols are eventually converted into fructose in sink tissues (Rennie and Turgeon, 2009; Turgeon and Wolf, 2009). Hence, sucrose and hexose metabolism are probably ubiquitous in all plant species.

Upon arriving in sink tissues, sucrose may be metabolized immediately, stored in vacuoles or converted into and stored as starch in plastids, following several enzymatic reactions. In both sink and photosynthetic source tissues, to be metabolized, sucrose must be cleaved by either invertase (INV) or sucrose synthase (SUS), the only two families of sucrose-cleaving enzyme that have been identified in plants (Dennis and Blakeley, 2000). INV cleaves sucrose into the monomer hexoses glucose and fructose; whereas SUS cleaves sucrose in the presence of UDP to yield fructose and UDP-G. It has been suggested that SUS may also cleave sucrose in the presence of ADP instead of UDP, to yield fructose and ADP-G (Baroja-Fernandez et al., 2003; Munoz et al., 2005). ADP-G may be used for starch biosynthesis; whereas UDP-G can be used in various metabolic processes, such as cellulose synthesis, re-synthesis of Suc-P, and glycosylation reactions (Dennis and Blakeley, 2000; Munoz et al., 2005; Bar-Peled and O’Neill, 2011). However, the hexoses glucose and fructose must be phosphorylated before they can be used in metabolic processes. While SUS has been localized in the cytoplasm and in mitochondria and also appears to be associated with the plasma membrane and Golgi membrane, INVs are located in the apoplast (cell wall INV – cwINV), cytosol (cytoplasmic invertase-cINV), and vacuoles (vINV) (Amor et al., 1995; Carlson and Chourey, 1996; Buckeridge et al., 1999; Dennis and Blakeley, 2000; Winter and Huber, 2000; Roitsch and Gonzalez, 2004; Subbaiah et al., 2006) (Figure 1). The degradation of starch releases plastidic and cytoplasmic glucose, and glucose may also enter plastids via a plastidic glucose transporter (Weber et al., 2000; Butowt et al., 2003). Hence, glucose and fructose may be present in the apoplast, cytoplasm, vacuoles, and plastids. The apoplastic glucose and fructose must enter the cells to be phosphorylated, as there is no evidence for any extracellular hexose-phosphorylating enzymes.

**DISCOVERY OF HXKs AND FRKs AND THEIR SUBSTRATES**

To date, only two types of glucose- and fructose-phosphorylating enzymes have been discovered in plants, hexokinases (HXKs), and fructokinases (FRKs). HXKs and FRKs were first purified from protein extracts of sink and source tissues by ion-exchange chromatography in the early 1950s (Müller et al., 1951; Saltman, 1953; Medina and Solórzano, 1956). Several HXK and FRK isozymes have been identified in protein extracts of various plant species. While FRK activities have been found to be specific to fructose, the HXKs from various species have been found to be capable of phosphorylating glucose, fructose, mannose, and glucosamine, but not galactose (Saltman, 1953), similar to fungal, mammalian, and protozoan HXKs (Otieno et al., 1975; Cardenas et al., 1984; Doehlert, 1989, 1990; Xu et al., 1995; Panneman et al., 1998; Kroschewski et al., 2000; Fekete et al., 2004; Rui and Hahn, 2007). Isozymes capable of phosphorylating glucose have often been identified as glucokinases. However, unlike the situation in the fungal kingdom, no glucose-specific glucokinase has been found in plants (Dai et al., 2002b). Hence, in plants, glucose can be phosphorylated only by HXK while fructose can be phosphorylated by either HXK or FRK. As such, HXK and FRK are the gateway for most organic metabolism in plants. These enzymes catalyze irreversible reactions and therefore may play important roles in the regulation of plant sugar metabolism.

**OCCURRENCE OF HXK AND FRK GENES IN VARIOUS PLANT SPECIES**

The potato (Solanum tuberosum) FRK (StFRK) was the first hexose-phosphorylating gene to be isolated from a plant (Smith et al., 1993; Taylor et al., 1995) and the first HXK gene was isolated from Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana, AtHXK1) (Dai et al., 1995). Since those first discoveries, several HXK and FRK genes have been isolated from different plant species (Tables 1 and 2). For example, four HXK and four FRK genes have been isolated from tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), three HXK and three HXK-like (HXKL) genes have been isolated from Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) and 10 HXK genes have been isolated from rice (Oryza sativa) (Dai et al., 2002a; German et al., 2002, 2004; Cho et al., 2006a; Guglielmotti et al., 2006; Karve et al., 2008, 2010). Several other FRK isoforms from a few different species have been isolated and characterized and there are also examples of FRKs that have been identified based only on their partial protein sequence, though the genes corresponding to these enzymes have not yet been identified (Table 2). The increased availability of plant genome sequences allowed Karve et al. (2010) to estimate the number of HXK genes in the moss Physcomitrella patens, the lycophyte Selaginella longipteris, three eudicot species, and three monocot species. They concluded that the number of sequences associated with HXK gene families ranged from 11 sequences in Physcomitrella to 5–7 sequences for Selaginella and the eudicot species, and 8–10 sequences in the monocot species. Based on genome sequence data, Thelander et al. (2009) estimated that there are seven FRK genes in Arabidopsis, three in rice, and eight in Physcomitrella. It appears that both HXK and FRK exist in species from the main land plant lineages, including mosses, lycophytes, gymnosperms, and angiosperms (Tables 1 and 2).

**ENZYMATIC CHARACTERIZATION OF HXK- AND FRK-ENCODED ISOZYMES**

The enzymatic activity and biochemical characteristics of a limited number of enzymes encoded by isolated HXK and FRK genes were determined following the expression of those genes in yeast, bacteria, or plant protoplasts. These genes were isolated from Arabidopsis (three HXK and three HXK-like genes), tomato (four HXK and four FRK genes), potato (a single FRK gene), Solanum chacoense (a wild relative of the cultivated potato), Sorghum bicolor, grape (Vitis vinifera; two HXK genes), rice (10 genes), and Physcomitrella and Selaginella (three HXK genes each) (Taylor et al., 1995; Dai et al., 1997, 1999, 2002b; Kanayama et al., 1997, 1998; Menum et al., 2001; Petracek et al., 2001; German et al., 2002, 2004; Cho et al.,...
FIGURE 1 | Schematic presentation of sugar metabolism in source and sink tissues during the day and night, and localization of HXK and FRK enzymes in eudicots and monocots. Triose-phosphate (Triose-P), the product of photosynthetic CO$_2$ fixation in the Calvin cycle, is exported to the cytoplasm. Consecutive cytoplasmic enzymatic steps lead to the formation of G6P independent of HXK and FRK. Further metabolism of G6P yields sucrose, which remains in the cytosol, is temporarily stored in the vacuole or is exported to the apoplast. G6P metabolism may also yield T6P and trehalose. Within the chloroplast, Triose-P is used for the formation of starch during the day. During the dark period, starch is degraded to maltose, glucose, and glucose-1-phosphate (G1P). Maltose cleavage also releases glucose, and vacuolar and cytosolic sucrose might be cleaved by cytosolic (cINV) and vacuolar (vINV) invertases to produce glucose and fructose. While fructose can be phosphorylated by FRK, glucose must be phosphorylated by HXK. Orange stained HXK and FRK indicate the localization of these enzymes in monocots and eudicots. Cytosolic HXK (stained green) is also found in monocots. Sucrose transported to the apoplast, during the day or night enters the phloem via sucrose transporters. Within the vascular tissues, sucrose can be cleaved by sucrose synthase (SUS) to support vascular development or transported to other sink tissues. Sucrose unloading in sink tissues may proceed symplasmically via plasmodesmata or through the apoplast via sucrose transporters. Alternatively, sucrose might be cleaved by apoplastic (cell wall) invertase (cwINV) to produce glucose and fructose that would enter sink cells via specific hexose transporters. The enzymatic steps of sugar metabolism in sink tissues are similar to those found in source tissues. In addition to the metabolic function of HXK, this enzyme also senses the presence of glucose and represses the expression of photosynthetic genes in the nucleus of source photosynthetic tissues. The origin of the glucose in photosynthetic tissues that is sensed by HXK is not known. Potential sources are presented by dashed lines: cleavage of sucrose or trehalose within the cytosol, or apoplastic cleavage of sucrose followed by uptake of the released (Continued)
Arabidopsis FRK1 and FRK2 isozymes exhibit substrate inhibition (Renz and Stitt, 1993). The biological significance of such a mechanism in tissues like potato tubers that accumulate starch is not yet clear (Davies et al., 2005), but it has been proposed that such a mechanism might control the amount of sucrose used for vascular development (German et al., 2003; Granot, 2007).

**INTRACELLULAR LOCALIZATION OF HXKs AND FRKs**

The intracellular localization of HXK and FRK isoforms has been the subject of intense examination. Initial HXK and FRK localization studies using cellular fractionation methods have been carried out in a variety of plant species, including mung bean, potato, wheat, and several others (Copeland and Morell, 1985a; Copeland and Tanner, 1988; Schnarrenberger, 1990). Most of these studies suggested that \textit{in vivo} the majority of HXK activity is associated with the mitochondria, while there is also some HXK activity associated with plastids. A few studies suggested that HXK may be present in the cytosol as well (reviewed in Granot, 2008; Troncoso-Ponce et al., 2009). FRK activity was usually identified in the cytosolic fraction (Copeland and Morell, 1985a; Troncoso-Ponce et al., 2009). However, the major breakthrough concerning the intracellular localization of plant HXKS and FRKSs was achieved with the cloning of HXK and FRK genes. With the sequences of HXK and FRK genes in hand, it became possible to look for signal peptides that may indicate the intracellular localization of specific HXK and FRK isoforms and to verify that localization using tagged proteins (Tables 1 and 2).

**HXK LOCALIZATION**

Based on their N-terminal amino acid sequences, plant HXK genes were first classified into two major groups, type A and type B (Olsson et al., 2003). Type A HXKS have a chloroplast transit peptide of about 30 amino acids; whereas type B HXKS share a common N-terminal hydrophobic membrane anchor domain of about 24 amino acids and are probably associated with membranes. Studies with GFP fusion proteins have localized type A HXK isoforms of moss (**N. patens**), tobacco (**N. tabacum**), tomato, rice, and Arabidopsis within plastid stroma (Olsson et al., 2003; Giese et al., 2005; Cho et al., 2006a; Kandel-Kfir et al., 2006; Karve et al., 2008). The tomato plastidic HXK (SIHXK4) has also been observed within stromules, which are stroma-filled tubular extensions of the plastid envelope that form connections between plastids to allow the transport of proteins between plastids (Kohler et al., 1997; Kandel-Kfir et al., 2006).

The intracellular locations of type B HXK isoforms have been determined by several means. Proteomic analysis of chloroplastic proteins has located Arabidopsis AtHXK1 and AtHXK2 enzymes on the outside of the mitochondrial membrane (Giese et al., 2003; Heazlewood et al., 2004). Studies based on the use of GFP fusion proteins have shown that Arabidopsis AtHXK1 and AtHXK2, tomato SIHXK1, 2, and 3, **Nicotiana benthamiana** NbHXK1, rice...
| Species               | Gene       | Accession no | Type/intracellular localization | Physiological function                                                                 | Reference                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------|------------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **EUDICOTS**         |            |              |                                  |                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Arabidopsis thaliana | AtHXK1     | AT4G29130    | Type B/M, N                      | Glc sensing, PCD, mediates sugar and hormonal interactions, growth and development, photosynthetic gene repression, transpiration, actin-filament reorganization, oxidative-stress response, pathogen resistance, directional root growth, leaf senescence | Jang et al. (1997), Dai et al. (1999), Yanagisawa et al. (2003), Moore et al. (2003), Leon and Sheen (2003), Kim et al. (2006), Pourtau et al. (2006), Cho et al. (2006a), Rolland et al. (2006), Chen (2007), Aki et al. (2007), Balasubramanian et al. (2007, 2008), Sarowar et al. (2008), Karve et al. (2008), Ju et al. (2009), Karve et al. (2010), Kushwah et al. (2011), Kelly et al. (2012) |
|                      | AtHXK2     | AT2G19860    | Type B/M                         | Glc sensing, PCD, photosynthetic gene repression                                       | Jang et al. (1997), Kim et al. (2006), Karve et al. (2008)                                                                                                                                             |
|                      | AtHXK3     | AT1G47840    | Type A/P                         | Glc sensing, abiotic stress response                                                  | Claeyssen and Rivoal (2007), Karve et al. (2008), Zhang et al. (2010)                                                                                                                                    |
|                      | AtHKL1     | AT1G50460    | Type B/M                         | Growth, root hair development, mediates Glc-ethylene crosstalk, abiotic stress response | Claeyssen and Rivoal (2007), Karve et al. (2008), Karve and Moore (2009, 2012)                                                                                                                              |
|                      | AtHKL2     | AT3G20040    | Type B/M                         |                                                                                       |                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|                      | AtHKL3     | AT4G37840    | Type B/M                         | Abiotic stress response                                                              | Claeyssen and Rivoal (2007), Karve et al. (2008)                                                                                                                                                         |
| Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) | SiHXK1     | AJ401153    | Type B/M                         |                                                                                       | Damari-Weissler et al. (2006)                                                                                                                                                                           |
|                      | SiHXK2     | AF208543     | Type B/M                         |                                                                                       | Menu et al. (2001), Damari-Weissler et al. (2006)                                                                                                                                                       |
|                      | SiHXK3     | DQ056861     | Type B/M                         |                                                                                       | Kandel-Kfir et al. (2006)                                                                                                                                                                               |
|                      | SiHXK4     | DQ056862     | Type A/P                         |                                                                                       | Kandel-Kfir et al. (2006)                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Solanum chacoense   | ScHXK2     | DQ177440     | ND                               |                                                                                       | Claeyssen et al. (2006)                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Potato (Solanum tuberosum) | SHXK1     | X94302       | ND                               | Glc sensing, Leaves starch content,                                                    | Veramendi et al. (1999), Veramendi et al. (2002)                                                                                                                                                        |
|                      | SHXK2     | AF106068     | ND                               | Glc sensing                                                                           | Veramendi et al. (2002)                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum/benthamiana) | NHXK2     | AY553215     | Type A/P                         |                                                                                       | Giese et al. (2005)                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Sunflower (Helianthus annuus) | HaHXK1     | DQ835563     | ND                               | Seed development                                                                      | Troncoso-Ponce et al. (2011)                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Poplar (Populus trichocarpa) | PHXK1     | XP_002325031 | Type B/M                         | Glc sensing                                                                           | Karve et al. (2010)                                                                                                                                                                                      |

(Continued)
Table 1 | Continued

| Species | Gene | Accession no | Type/intracellular localization | Physiological function | Reference |
|---------|------|--------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| **GRAPE (Vitis vinifera L. cv. Cabernet Sauvignon)** | VvHXK1 | JN118544 | ND | | Yu et al. (2012) |
| | VvHXK2 | JN118545 | ND | | Yu et al. (2012) |
| **SPINACH (Spinacia oleracea)** | SoHXK1 | AF118132 | Type B/M | | Wiese et al. (1999), Damari-Weissler et al. (2007) |
| **MONOCOTS** | | | | | |
| **Rice (Oryza sativa)** | OsHXK1 | DQ116383 | Type C/C, N | | Cho et al. (2006a), Cheng et al. (2011) |
| | OsHXK2 | DQ116384 | Type B/M | | Cheng et al. (2011) |
| | OsHXK3 | DQ116385 | Type B/M | | Cheng et al. (2011) |
| | OsHXK4 | DQ116386 | Type A/P | | Cho et al. (2006a), Cheng et al. (2011) |
| | OsHXK5 | DQ116387 | Type B/M, N | Glc sensing, photosynthetic gene repression, Shoot growth | Cho et al. (2009a), Cheng et al. (2011) |
| | OsHXK6 | DQ116388 | Type B/M, N | Glc sensing, photosynthetic gene repression, Shoot growth | Aki and Yanagisawa (2009), Cho et al. (2009a), Cheng et al. (2011) |
| | OsHXK7 | DQ116389 | Type C/C, N | | Cho et al. (2006a), Cheng et al. (2011) |
| | OsHXK8 | DQ116390 | Type C/C, N | | Cheng et al. (2011) |
| | OsHXK9 | DQ116391 | Type B/M | | Cheng et al. (2011) |
| | OsHXK10 | DQ116392 | C and/or M | Pollen germination | Xu et al. (2008), Cheng et al. (2011) |
| **SORGHUM (Sorghum bicolor)** | SbHXK3 | XP_002459072 | Type B/M | | Karve et al. (2010) |
| | SbHXK8 | XP_002455027 | C | No Glc sensing role | Karve et al. (2010) |
| **LYCOPHYTES** | | | | Controls triose-phosphate/phosphate translocation | Sun et al. (2006) |
| **WHEAT (Triticum aestivum)** | HXK | AY974231 | ND | | |
| **SPICE MOSS (Selaginella mollendorffii)** | SmHXK3 | 260000047* | C | Glc sensing | Karve et al. (2010) |
| | SmHXK5 | 573571* | C | | Karve et al. (2010) |
| **BRYOPHYTES** | | | | | |
| **MOSS (Physcomitrella patens)** | PbHXK1 | AY260967 | Type A/P | Filamentous type and growth | Olsson et al. (2003), Thelander et al. (2005) |
| | PbHXK2 | XM_001784578 | Type B/M, P | | Nilsson et al. (2011) |
| | PbHXK3 | XM_001784282 | Type B/M, P | | Nilsson et al. (2011) |

(Continued)
Table 1 | Continued

| Species | Accession no | Type | Intracellular localization | Physiological function | Reference |
|---------|--------------|------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| PpHXK4  | XM_001760896 | Type C | N                         | Physiological function | Nilsson et al. (2011) |
| PpHXK5  | XM_001766381 | Type A | P                         | Physiological function | Nilsson et al. (2011) |
| PpHXK6  | XM_001762899 | Type A | P                         | Physiological function | Nilsson et al. (2011) |
| PpHXK7  | XM_001754096 | Type B | M, P                      | Physiological function | Nilsson et al. (2011) |
| PpHXK8  | XM_001752177 | Type B | M, P                      | Physiological function | Nilsson et al. (2011) |
| PpHXK9  | XM_001770125 | Type D | M, P                      | Physiological function | Nilsson et al. (2011) |
| PpHXK10 | XM_001776713 | Type D | M, P                      | Physiological function | Nilsson et al. (2011) |
| PpHXK11 | XM_001776748 | Type D | M, P                      | Physiological function | Nilsson et al. (2011) |

Type A, localized in plastid stroma; Type B, associated with the mitochondria; Type C, localized in the cytosol and nucleus; Type D, associated with the mitochondria, different from Type B in sequence. M, mitochondria-associated; P, plastid; N, nucleus; C, cytosol; ND, not determined; PCD, programmed cell death; Glc, glucose.

OsHXK 2, 3, 5, 6, and 9, Sorghum SbHXK3, Populus trichocarpa PtHXK1, and spinach (Spinacia oleracea) SoHXK1 are associated with mitochondria (Cho et al., 2006a; Damari-Weissler et al., 2006, 2007; Kandel-Kfir et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2006; Balasubramanian et al., 2007; Karve et al., 2008, 2010; Cheng et al., 2011). Deletion of the putative N-terminal membrane anchor domains of the Arabidopsis AtHXK1, tomato SIHXK 1, 2, and 3, and the N. benthamiana NbHXK1 proteins resulted in their localization to the cytosol, confirming that this domain is a membrane anchor domain (Damari-Weissler et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2006; Balasubramanian et al., 2007). Lastly, fusion of the Arabidopsis AtHXK1 N-terminal domain to GFP was sufficient to cause mitochondrial association (Balasubramanian et al., 2007), indicating that the N-terminal membrane anchor domain of a type B HXK determines mitochondrial association. None of the HXK isozymes deduced from the numerous HXK genes that have been cloned from seed plants seem to be located on the outer plastidic envelope or on the plasma membrane, as had been suggested previously (Stitt et al., 1978; Wiese et al., 1999; Claeyssen et al., 2006; Claeyssen and Rivoal, 2007; Granot, 2008). The type B HXKs of Arabidopsis (AtHXK1) and rice (OsHXK5 and OsHXK6) were also found in the nucleus, where they might regulate gene expression (Cho et al., 2006b, 2009a).

To date, all of the HXKs examined in eudicots have been found to have either a plastidic signal peptide (type A) or an N-terminal membrane anchor domain (type B) (Olsson et al., 2003; Claeyssen et al., 2006; Granot, 2007). However, cytosolic HXK were also identified in monocots. Four of the 10 rice HXKs, OsHXK1, OsHXK7, OsHXK8, and OsHXK10 lack or have a truncated N-terminal membrane anchor domain and are located in the cytosol (Cho et al., 2006a; Cheng et al., 2011). Cheng et al. (2011) suggested that OsHXK1, OsHXK7, and OsHXK8 are also located within nuclei.

Karve et al. (2010) developed a way to predict the localization of HXK based on sequence identity. Their prediction analysis supports the three locations in seed plants mentioned above: in association with the mitochondria, the plastid stroma, and the cytosol (in monocots). HXK were also found in plastids, associated with the mitochondria and in the cytosol of the primitive plant species Physcomitrella, and in the cytosol and associated with the mitochondria of the lycophyte Selaginella (Karve et al., 2010; Nilsson et al., 2011). Nilsson et al. (2011) suggested that in addition to types A and B, Physcomitrella has two new types of HXK with no obvious orthologs in vascular plants. Type C, encoded by a single gene, has neither transit peptide nor membrane anchor, and is found in the cytosol and in the nucleus. Type D HXKs, encoded by three genes, have membrane anchors and are associated with the mitochondria, but their sequences differ from those of the type B HXK. One type D HXK, PpHXK1, is also found on the chloroplast envelope (Nilsson et al., 2011). Interestingly, the 11 putative Physcomitrella HXKs are more closely related to each other than to any HXK from vascular plants. This is in contrast to the situation in seed plants, in which HXKs of the same type from different plants typically are more closely related to each other than HXKs of different types from the same plant (Karve et al., 2010; Nilsson et al., 2011). Nevertheless, the sequence of the membrane anchor domain in the type B HXK is highly conserved.
between seed plants and Physcomitrella. Nilsson et al. (2011) suggested that several genes encoding different types of HXK may have been present in the common ancestor of mosses and seed plants and that, unlike the situation in seed plants, these genes may have co-evolved in Physcomitrella by gene conversion, making them appear to be more closely related to each other than they really are (Nilsson et al., 2011).

**FRK LOCALIZATION**

The intracellular localization of FRK isozymes has been studied with GFP fusion proteins of the four tomato FRKs (Damari-Weissler et al., 2006). Three of these tomato FRKs are located in the cytosol and one (SFRK3) is located within plastids and stromules. Although previously suggested (Schnarrenberger, 1990; Singh et al., 1993; Wiese et al., 1999), the presence of FRK in plastids is quite surprising since, unlike glucose, the source of the fructose found in plastids is not clear. It is assumed that fructose could be transported into plastids by a fructose or hexose transporter or formed within plastids following the cleavage of sucrose. There is evidence that fructose may enter plastids through carrier-mediated diffusion (Schäfer and Heber, 1977), but no fructose transporter has been characterized to date. Efficient movement of sucrose into plastids has been suggested (Gerrits et al., 2001) and a recently identified plastidic INV (Vargas et al., 2008) may also explain the presence of fructose in plastids. Nevertheless, the presence of FRK in plastids implies that fructose phosphorylation does occur in plastids.

The association of HXK with mitochondria and the cytosolic localization of FRK in eudicots may suggest that, in the cytoplasm, glucose is phosphorylated adjacent to the mitochondria; whereas fructose might be phosphorylated either adjacent to the mitochondria by HXK or in the cytosol by cytoplasmic FRKs. Considering the higher affinity of FRKs for fructose, as compared to that of HXKs, it is possible that fructose phosphorylation is primarily carried out by FRK in the cytosol. Such an intracellular spatial separation of glucose and fructose phosphorylation may raise the as yet unexplored option of microcompartmentalization and routing of glucose and fructose within the cytoplasm toward the mitochondria-associated HXK and the cytosolic FRK, respectively.

**EXPRESSION PATTERN OF HXK AND FRK**

Theoretical considerations may predict temporal and spatial expression patterns of HXKs and FRKs in different plant organs and tissues. For example, there is presumably no need for HXK and FRK in photosynthetic source tissues during the day as there is seemingly no production of free glucose or fructose in those tissues in the presence of light (Figure 1). Rather, in photosynthetic (source) tissues, HXK and FRK might be needed a priori mainly during the dark period, when starch and sucrose degradation yield glucose and fructose monomers. In contrast, in sink (non-photosynthetic) tissues, HXK and FRK might be required during both dark and light periods. Nevertheless, temporal and spatial expression patterns of HXKs and FRKs do not necessarily conform to these theoretical expectations. It appears that HXK and FRK genes are expressed in both source and sink tissues regardless of the time of day (Jang and Sheen, 1997; German et al., 2004; Cho et al., 2006a; Kandel-Kfir et al., 2006). Yet, in most cases, it is not known in which specific tissues and cell types these genes are expressed.

Almost all of the studied HXK and FRK genes are expressed at various levels in almost all plant organs. One exception is the cytosolic tomato SIFRK4, which is expressed specifically in anthers and pollen (German et al., 2002). This specific expression has been confirmed with the GUS reporter gene expressed under the SIFRK4 promoter (David-Schwartz et al., 2013). FRKs that are specifically expressed in anthers and pollen are apparently present in other plants species as well, but the reason for a specific FRK in these organs is not known (David-Schwartz et al., 2013). The other three tomato FRK genes, SIFRK1, 2, and 3, are known to be expressed primarily in vascular tissues (unpublished data). Another exception is the tobacco plastidic HXK2. Promoter expression analysis of the tobacco HXK2, in which GUS was used as a reporter gene, indicated that it is expressed mainly in cells of the vascular starch sheath and xylem parenchyma, guard cells and root tips (Giese et al., 2005).

**PHYSIOLOGICAL ROLES OF HXK AND FRK**

It has been proposed that sugar levels and metabolism in the plant are monitored and regulated to ensure optimal growth. Due to their central roles in sugar metabolism, HXK, and FRK are promising candidates for the role of coordinating sugar metabolism with plant development and physiology.

**ROLE OF HXK**

The physiological roles of HXK genes have been studied in several different ways: through the exposure of plant–cell cultures, seeds, seedlings, and plants to exogenous sugar and sugar analogs; through the modification of the expression of HXK in transgenic plants and through the selection and analysis of mutants. The effects of each of these treatments on gene expression, plant physiology, and plant development have been observed.

In the early 1990s, it was first suggested that, in addition to their metabolic function, plant HXK might play a sugar-sensing role in the regulation of sugar metabolism, similar to that of yeast HXK, which controls sugar metabolism (Gancedo, 1992; Jang and Sheen, 1994). To study this hypothesis, maize protoplasts were exposed to exogenous sugars and the effect of this exposure on photosynthetic gene expression was monitored (Jang and Sheen, 1994). Repressed expression of photosynthetic genes such as rubisco (RBCS) and chlorophyll A/B binding protein (CAB) were accepted as markers for the study of sugar-sensing in plants (Jang and Sheen, 1997; Jang et al., 1997; Moore et al., 2003). Sugars that are substrates of HXK repressed the expression of these genes; whereas sugar analogs that are not substrates of HXK had no effect. Furthermore, the glucose analog 2-deoxyglucose, which is phosphorylated by HXK, but is not further metabolized, also inhibited the expression of the photosynthetic genes (Jang and Sheen, 1994). Similar results were also obtained in a study of Arabidopsis seedlings grown on synthetic media in the presence of glucose and glucose analogs (Jang and Sheen, 1997). These results established the notion that, in addition to its metabolic function, HXK plays a sugar-sensing role independent of the downstream metabolism of its products G6P and F6P (Jang and Sheen, 1997;
| Species          | Gene/Isozyme | Accession no      | Substrate inhibition | Intracellular localization | Physiological function                                      | Reference                                                                 |
|------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **EUDICOTS**     |              |                   |                      |                            |                                                               |                                                                          |
| Pea (Pisum sativum) | FRK2         | NA                | Yes                  | ND                         |                                                              | Copeland et al. (1984)                                                   |
|                  | FRK1         | NA                | Yes                  | ND                         |                                                              | Turner et al. (1977)                                                     |
| Soybean (Glycine max) | FRK          | NA                | Yes                  | C                          |                                                              | Copeland and Morell (1985a,b)                                            |
| Honey locust (Gleditsia triacanthos) | FRK | NA | Yes | ND | | Myers and Matheson (1994) |
| Arabidopsis thaliana | FRK1         | NA                | Yes                  | ND                         |                                                              | Gonzali et al. (2001)                                                   |
|                  | FRK2         | NA                | Yes                  | ND                         |                                                              | Gonzali et al. (2001)                                                   |
| Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) | SIFRK1/FKII | U64817/AAB57733   | No                   | C                          | Promotes transition to flowering                              | Kanayama et al. (1997), Kanayama et al. (1998), Betreikov et al. (2001), Odanaka et al. (2002) |
|                  | SIFRK2/FKI  | U64818/AAB57734   | Yes                  | C                          | Stem and root growth xylem and seed development               | Kanayama et al. (1997), Kanayama et al. (1998), Betreikov et al. (2001), Odanaka et al. (2002), Dai et al. (2002a), German et al. (2003) |
|                  | SIFRK3/FKII | AY323226/AAR24912, O6VWJ5 | Yes | P | *Involved in pollen development | Betreikov et al. (2001), German et al. (2004) |
|                  | SIFRK4      | AY099454/AAM44084 | No                   | C                          | *Involved in pollen development                              | German et al. (2002), David-Schwartz et al. (2013)                       |
| Potato (Solanum tuberosum) | SIFK1/FRK | Z12823/C.AA78283 | Yes                  | ND                         | Regulates sucrose metabolism together with SUS               | Smith et al. (1993), Taylor et al. (1995), Dai et al. (1997), Davies et al. (2005) |
|                  | FK1         | NA                | Yes                  | ND                         |                                                              | Gardner et al. (1992)                                                   |
|                  | FK2         | NA                | Yes                  | ND                         |                                                              | Gardner et al. (1992)                                                   |
|                  | FK3         | NA                | No                   | ND                         |                                                              | Gardner et al. (1992)                                                   |
|                  | FK          | NA                | ND                   | ND                         | *Expressed in vascular tissue                                | Sergeeva and Vreugdenhil (2002)                                          |
| Sunflower (Helianthus annuus) | FRK3 | NA | ND | ND | *Involved in response to drought stress | Fulda et al. (2011) |
| Camellia (Camellia japonica) | FRK | NA | Yes | ND | *Involved in pollen development and function | Nakamura et al. (1991) |

(Continued)
Table 2 | Continued

| Species | Gene/Isozyme | Accession no | Substrate inhibition | Intracellular localization | Physiological function | Reference |
|---------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Spinach (Spinacia oleracea) | FK I | NA | Yes | C | | Schnarrenberger (1990) |
| | FK II | NA | No | P | | Schnarrenberger (1990) |
| Sugar beet (Beta vulgaris) | FK | NA | Yes | ND | *Associated with vascular development | Chaubron et al. (1995) |

**MONOCOTS**

| Species | Gene/Isozyme | Accession no | Substrate inhibition | Intracellular localization | Physiological function | Reference |
|---------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Barley (Hordeum vulgare) | FK Ia | NA | Yes | ND | | Baysdorfer et al. (1989) |
| | FK Ib | NA | Yes | ND | | Baysdorfer et al. (1989) |
| | FK II | NA | No | ND | | Baysdorfer et al. (1989) |
| Rice (Oryza sativa) | OsFKI/OsFKI | AF429948/AAL26573 | Yes | ND | *Dominant under aerobic conditions, up-regulated in late stages of pollen development, accumulates in grains | Jiang et al. (2003), Kerim et al. (2003), Guglielminetti et al. (2006) |
| | OsFKI/OsFKII | AF429947/AAL26574 | No | ND | *Involved in response to anoxic conditions | Jiang et al. (2003), Guglielminetti et al. (2006) |
| | FK | NA | ND | ND | *Supports the glycolytic pathway for ATP production under anoxic conditions | Kato-Noguchi (2004) |
| Maize (Zea mays) | FK-1 | NA | Yes | ND | | Doehlert (1989, 1990) |
| | FK-2 | NA | Yes | ND | | | |
| | ZmFRK1/ZmFrk1 | AY197772/AAP42805 | Yes | ND | *Cellulose synthesis | Zhang et al. (2003), Melida et al. (2011) |
| | ZmFRK2/ZmFrk2 | AY197773/AAP42806 | Yes | ND | *Involved in response to salt stress | Zhang et al. (2003), Zorb et al. (2011) |
| Sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum) | FRK1 | NA | No | ND | | Hoepfner and Botha (2004) |
| | FRK2 | NA | Yes | ND | | Hoepfner and Botha (2004) |
| Lily (Lilium longiflorum and L. lancifolium) | FRK | NA | Yes | ND | *Pollen development and function | Nakamura et al. (1991) |

*Predicted physiological function through the examination of gene expression profiles.
NA, not available; ND, not determined; C, cytosol; P, plastid.
Jang et al., 1997). This dual-function of HXK was confirmed when the catalytic and the signaling activities of Arabidopsis HXK1 (AtHXK1) were uncoupled (Moore et al., 2003). Two catalytically inactive HXK1 alleles with an amino acid substitution in their catalytic domains (G104D and S177A) mediated glucose repression of chlorophyll accumulation and photosynthetic gene expression in the AtHXK1 loss-of-function gin2 (glucose insensitive 2) mutant background (Moore et al., 2003). These results confirmed that AtHXK1 is a dual-function enzyme that possesses both metabolic hexose-phosphorylation activity and a glucose-sensing function that is independent of its catalytic phosphorylation activity.

Repression of chlorophyll accumulation and photosynthetic gene expression by type B HXKs such as AtHXK1 implies the presence of free glucose in the cytoplasm of photosynthetic tissues during the day. This is in contrast to the theoretical considerations described above, which questioned whether free glucose is present and whether HXK is needed in photosynthetically active mesophyll cells during the day. Yet, available glucose may originate from various sources in photosynthetically active mesophyll cells during the day, as depicted in Figure 1. This glucose may be generated from the degradation of starch, the cleavage of intracellular sucrose by cINV and/or the cleavage of some of the exported extracellular sucrose by cell wall invertase (cwINV) and subsequently importation. This glucose could also be generated through the cleavage of trehalose (a glucose–glucose disaccharide) by trehalase (Figure 1). The trehalose metabolic pathway has emerged as an important regulatory mechanism in plants, affecting sugar metabolism and plant growth (Paul et al., 2008). Trehalose cleavage as a source of glucose sensed by HXK might seem plausible as the involvement of a trehalose pathway in sensing sucrose level and sugar status of the cell has been suggested (Paul et al., 2008). Yet, the effects of trehalase on plant growth and sugar metabolism occur independently of the expression level of AtHXK1, perhaps eliminating trehalase as a potential source of the glucose sensed by HXK (Ramon et al., 2007).

Most studies of the signaling role of HXK in plants have involved the Arabidopsis AtHXK1 gene, which encodes a mitochondria-associated (type B) enzyme (Rolland and Sheen, 2005). Overexpression of AtHXK1 in Arabidopsis plants, under the control of the 35S promoter, inhibited seedling development, cotyledon greening and the expression of photosynthetic genes upon germination in the presence of high concentrations of glucose (3–6%) of exogenous glucose (Jang et al., 1997; Xiao et al., 2000). The use of a high concentration of glucose to obtain sugar-sensing effects has raised concerns about the physiological relevance of these assays (Leon and Sheen, 2003; Rook and Bevan, 2003). Unlike what was observed in the Arabidopsis study, tomato plants expressing AtHXK1 exhibited sugar-sensing effects when grown in soil under natural growth conditions independent of exogenous sugar (Dai et al., 1999). It has been hypothesized that due to the growth inhibition effects of AtHXK1, transgenic Arabidopsis plants with high levels of AtHXK1 expression were discriminated against throughout the transformation selection procedure, in favor of plants with lower levels of AtHXK1 expression. As a result, only transgenic plants with moderate expression of AtHXK1 were selected and, therefore, a high level of exogenous sugar was required to obtain a sugar-sensing response. To examine this hypothesis, Arabidopsis plants were transformed with AtHXK1 and poorly growing kanamycin-resistant transformants were isolated. Indeed, several independent new transformants with high levels of AtHXK1 expression exhibited classical sugar-sensing effects independent of exogenous sugar, alleviating the concern about the physiological relevance of these assays (Kelly et al., 2012). These new transgenic lines, together with the tomato lines that express high levels of AtHXK1, provide a way to study the role of AtHXK1 at all developmental stages and in all plant organs and tissues.

The growth-arrest phenotype of Arabidopsis seedlings observed in the presence of exogenous sugars enabled the isolation of a number of sugar-insensitive and sugar-hypersensitive mutants. Characterization of these mutants revealed connections between sugar and plant hormone signaling pathways (reviewed in Leon and Sheen, 2003; Rolland et al., 2006; Rognoni et al., 2007; Ramon et al., 2008). Several of the isolated mutants turned out to be allelic to known ABA-synthesis (aba) and ABA-insensitive (abi) mutants (Zhou et al., 1998; Arenas-Huertero et al., 2000; Laby et al., 2000; Rook et al., 2001; Cheng et al., 2002). Overexpression of AtHXK1 in the glucose insensitive (gin) mutants gin1/aba2 and gin5/ab14 does not restore the glucose sensitivity, indicating that ABA acts downstream of AtHXK1 and is required for AtHXK1-mediated glucose responses (Zhou et al., 1998; Arenas-Huertero et al., 2000). In addition, exogenous glucose increased the expression of ABA-synthesis and signaling genes, as well as endogenous ABA levels (Cheng et al., 2002). It has been concluded that ABA plays a central role in AtHXK1-mediated sugar-signaling effects (Leon and Sheen, 2003). Yet, it is not known whether ABA biosynthesis is directly involved in the sugar signal transduction cascade or indirectly stimulated by sugars, modulating sugar-responsiveness (Ramon et al., 2008).

Unlike ABA, ethylene was shown to act in an antagonistic manner to glucose responses. The ethylene precursor 1-aminoacyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) prevented inhibition of cotyledon greening and seedling development at high concentrations of glucose in wild type seedlings (Zhou et al., 1998; Gibson et al., 2001). Repression by ACC of the glucose-dependent developmental arrest requires the AtHKL1 protein (Karve et al., 2012). A glucose insensitive (gin) phenotype was also displayed in constitutive ethylene biosynthesis (eto1) and constitutive ethylene signaling (ctrl) mutants (Zhou et al., 1998; Leon and Sheen, 2003; Moore et al., 2003; Rolland et al., 2006; Rognoni et al., 2007; Ramon et al., 2008; Cho et al., 2010). Lastly, the ethylene-insensitive mutants ctr1-1, ein2, ein3 as well as mkk9 exhibit glucose hypersensitivity (Ramon et al., 2008). Thus, ethylene acts as an antagonist of the glucose response while ABA promotes it. A link between these hormones with respect to AtHXK1-related sugar effects has been studied with the double mutants gin1/aba2 ctr1 and gin1/aba2 ein2. These double mutants display the glucose insensitive phenotype of the gin1/aba2 mutant (Zhou et al., 1998; Yanagisawa et al., 2003). Hence, ABA appears to be epistatic over ethylene, which seems to affect glucose response through ABA. While the connection between ABA, ethylene and AtHXK1 has been observed in Arabidopsis seedlings grown in the presence of high concentrations (6%) of glucose, that connection was uncoupled at low (2%) concentration of glucose in absence of nitrogen source (Cho et al., 2003).
Arabidopsis plants grown in soil, in which high levels of AtHXK1 and OsHXK5 the complex formation has not been examined. Cose promotes the transport of AtHXK1 to the nucleus or facilitates could alter the activity of VHA-B1 and RPT5B in the putative suggested that glucose-induced conformation change of AtHXK1 modulate their expression independent of glucose metabolism. It can bind the promoters of specific genes, such as CAB2, and may modulate their expression independent of glucose metabolism. It is likely that a conserved glucose binding site on AtHXK1 acts as a sensor and responds directly to the presence of glucose. It has been suggested that glucose-induced conformation change of AtHXK1 could alter the activity of VHA-B1 and RPT5B in the putative nuclear sugar-sensing complex (Cho et al., 2006b). Whether glucose promotes the transport of AtHXK1 to the nucleus or facilitates the complex formation has not been examined.

In addition to the original sugar-sensing roles of AtHXK1 (e.g., regulating seedling development, photosynthesis, and plant growth), AtHXK1 accelerates senescence, enhances the appearance of lateral buds, affects root growth, closes stomata, and controls transpiration (Dai et al., 1999; Xiao et al., 2000; Kelly et al., 2012). These effects were observed when AtHXK1 was expressed under the global promoter 35S; whether native expression levels of AtHXK1 in various plants parts and tissues also regulate these physiological responses remains to be addressed. The AtHXK1 mutant gln2 exhibited a smaller root system, tiny leaves and delayed senescence, but this phenotype may indicate a metabolic role for AtHXK1 (Moore et al., 2003). Yet, transgenic Arabidopsis plants expressing the two catalytically inactive AtHXK1 mutant alleles (G104D and S177A) in the gln2 null mutant background displayed substantial leaf expansion when grown in soil in the presence of intense light. Therefore, it has been suggested that catalytically inactive AtHXK1 mutants support both growth-inhibiting and growth-promoting roles of AtHXK1 under different growth conditions (Moore et al., 2003).

The effect of HXK on Arabidopsis seedlings growing on high-sugar-containing medium (6% glucose) was showed to be dependent on auxin as well (Moore et al., 2003). However, these results differ from those presented of recent work performed with mature Arabidopsis plants grown in soil, in which high levels of AtHXK1 expression suppressed auxin-response genes (Kelly et al., 2012). These opposite effects might be due to the different developmental stages examined in the two experiments.

A role for HXK in sugar-sensing has been reported not only for AtHXK1, but also for other mitochondria-associated (type B) HXKs. Expression of potato StHXK1 and StHXK2 and rice OsHXK5 and OsHXK6 in Arabidopsis plants lacking AtHXK1 (gln2 mutant) complemented glucose sensitivity, indicating their putative role in sugar-sensing (Veramendi et al., 2002; Cho et al., 2009a,b). Transgenic rice plants overexpressing OsHXK5 or OsHXK6 exhibited growth inhibition and reduced expression of photosynthetic genes in response to glucose treatment (Cho et al., 2009a). In addition, sense and antisense expression of the Arabidopsis AtHXK2 in Arabidopsis plants, as well as the expression of poplar (Populus trichocarpa) PthHXK1 and rice OsHXK5, OsHXK6 in plant protoplasts were also correlated with photosynthetic gene expression, suggesting that these enzymes play a sensing role as well (Jang and Sheen, 1997; Cho et al., 2009a; Karve et al., 2010). Recent work has suggested that the mitochondria-associated non-catalytic homolog of AtHXK1, HKL1, may also mediate some glucose responses in Arabidopsis (Karve and Moore, 2009; Karve et al., 2012). A screen of HXKs from Selaginella revealed that a cytosolic HXXK, SmHXK3, also conveys repression of a photosynthetic gene in maize protoplasts (Karve et al., 2010). This is the first evidence of a non-mitochondria-associated HXXK that is involved in sugar-sensing. It would be interesting to test whether other cytosolic HXKs in monocots and mosses play similar roles.

The physiological role of the plastidic (type A) HXKs is not yet known, but was examined in a single study of the Arabidopsis plastidic HXXK AtHXK3. In that study, the AtHXK3 knockout mutant was found to be insensitive to 7% glucose, suggesting that type A HXKs may also play a role in sugar-sensing (Zhang et al., 2010). In Physcomitrella, the plastidic PpHXXK1 was found to regulate development by controlling the type of filamentous gametophyte formed. Mosses have two types of filaments, chloronemata cells, which are photosynthetically active, and caulonemata cells, which spread the colony. Using a knockout hxxk1 mutant, Olsson et al. (2003) and Thelander et al. (2005) demonstrated that when there is a surplus of available energy, there is a shift from the production of chloronemata to the production of caulonemata. This shift is reversed when the energy supply is limited. This cell-type transition is mediated by the plastidic PpHXXK1 (Olsson et al., 2003; Thelander et al., 2005).

It has also been suggested that HXKs may prevent programmed cell death (Kim et al., 2006), dictate actin-filament reorganization (Balasubramanian et al., 2007, 2008), and regulate seed development (Troncoso-Ponce et al., 2011), starch content (Veramendi et al., 1999; Giese et al., 2005), and pollen germination (Xu et al., 2008). HXKs may also be involved in biotic and abiotic stress responses (Claeyssen and Rivoal, 2007; Sarowar et al., 2008), particularly responses to pathogens (Sarowar et al., 2008). A study performed in wheat demonstrated that HXK can also control trisose-phosphate/phosphate translocator content, thereby affecting the distribution of assimilates in the chloroplasts (Sun et al., 2006). Yet the question of which of the above roles are related to sugar-sensing and which are the result of the metabolic catalytic functions of HXK remains to be studied.

**ROLE OF FRK**

Compared to the roles of HXK, the physiological roles of the different FRK isozymes in plants are less clear. The lack of FRK plant mutants may suggest that FRK genes are either essential or have highly redundant functions under normal growth conditions. Consequently, information about the function of FRK isozymes has been gathered mostly from transgenic plants and through the examination of gene expression profiles under different growth conditions. It has been proposed that FRKs affect starch accumulation in different plant species, including tomato (Schaffer and Petreikov, 1997b). Yet, analysis of FRK2- and FRK1-antisense tomato and potato plants demonstrated that starch accumulation is not affected by FRK (Dai et al., 2002a; Odanaka et al., 2002; Davies et al., 2005). Instead, FRK2 was found to be essential...
Reduced expression of FRK2 in antisense plants resulted in deformed vasculature, smaller cell size in the xylem and phloem, reduced cambium activity and secondary wall formation in vessels, and small sieve elements with low levels of callose deposition (Damari-Weissler et al., 2009). The development of xylem and phloem is dependent upon sucrose metabolism in the vascular system (Figure 1). To be metabolized, sucrose must first be cleaved by either INV or sucrose synthase (SUS). SUS1, SUS2, and FRK2 are expressed at high levels in the vascular tissues of tomato stems (German et al., 2003; Goren et al., 2011). Both products of sucrose cleavage by SUS, UDP-G, and fructose, might be central for vascular development. UDP-G may be used for cellulose and wall synthesis, while phosphorylated fructose can be utilized for energy production or fed into other metabolic pathways. SUS is feedback-inhibited by its product, fructose, when the concentration of fructose exceeds 0.5–1 mM (Schaffer and Petreikov, 1997a) (Figure 1). Hence, the phosphorylation of fructose by FRK2 might be necessary for the sucrose cleavage, sugar metabolism, and cell wall synthesis that are essential for proper development of the vascular tissues (German et al., 2003; Damari-Weissler et al., 2009).

Reduced FRK activity in potato due to antisense suppression of StFK1 (the potato homolog of tomato FRK2) resulted in reduced tuber yield (Davies et al., 2005). Although that report did not include a detailed analysis of the vasculature system of the affected plants, it is tempting to speculate that deformation of the vascular system is responsible for the reduced tuber yield. The involvement of FRK in the vasculature of potato tubers is further supported by the results of in situ staining of FRK activity showing localization in vascular bundles (Sergeeva and Vreugdenhil, 2002).

A physiological role for FRK1 has been suggested based on the phenotype of FRK1-antisense tomato plants. Although both FRK1- and FRK2-antisense transgenic tomato plants exhibited reduced carbohydrate content, the transition to flowering was delayed only in the FRK1-suppressed plants (Odanaka et al., 2002). Sugar involvement in flowering transition was reported for Arabidopsis and tobacco. In those species, impaired sugar translocation in delayed flowering resulted in increased sugar synthesis caused plants to flower early (Burkle et al., 1998; Corbesier et al., 1998; Heyer et al., 2004). Although the mechanism by which carboxydrates control the transition to flowering is not yet clear, the different phenotypes of antisense FRK1 and FRK2 suggest that the transition to flowering is not simply affected by carbohydrate status, but rather that FRK1 might mediate a signal promoting this process. Still, an additional line of evidence is required to support this hypothesis.

Fructokinases has also been shown to play a role in anther development. The developing anther is a photosynthetically inactive organ and thus requires sucrose as a source of energy for its development. It has been suggested that FRK plays a central role in providing fructose 6-phosphate and thus facilitating the production of UDP-G to support the synthesis of cellulose for the elongating cell wall (Karni and Aloni, 2002). A recent study suggested a role for FRK in providing F6P for sucrose synthesis (Pressman et al., 2012). The further biological significance of FRK has been demonstrated by the specific expression of FRK4 in tomato anthers during late stages of pollen development and during pollen germination (German et al., 2002). Interestingly, the Arabidopsis FRK ortholog (AtFK0260) displays a similar restricted expression pattern. It is likely that other species possess pollen-specific FRK isozymes as well (David-Schwartz et al., 2013).

In a study that aimed to elucidate the protein interaction network underlying the process of pollen development in rice, Kerim et al. (2003) found two isoforms of FRKII that were up-regulated in late stages of pollen development. These isoforms were co-regulated with two isoforms of vacuolar acid INV. The up-regulation of both INV and FRK coincides with increased starch accumulation in the developing pollen grains (Kerim et al., 2003). Hence, FRK may be implicated in both the accumulation of starch during pollen development and the degradation of starch during pollen tube elongation.

The involvement of FRK in plant responses to abiotic stress has been reported recently in sunflower, maize, and rice. In sunflower, proteins related to basic carbon metabolism, including an ortholog of the plastidic SIFRK3, are up-regulated in response to drought stress (Fulda et al., 2011). Up-regulation of OsFK2 was reported in rice under anoxic conditions, implying that this gene plays a role in anaerobic energy production. In contrast, OsFK1 is expressed under aerobic conditions (Guglielminti et al., 2006). Another example of a specific FRK isozyme that is expressed in response to abiotic stress was found in maize, in which FRK2 is up-regulated in response to short-term salt stress (Zorb et al., 2011). This isoform, together with other carbohydrate-metabolism enzymes, may serve as a marker for early signs of salt stress (Zorb et al., 2011). The expression of specific FRK isozymes in response to abiotic stress may imply a role for this enzyme in plants’ adaptations to various types of stress.

**SUMMARY AND AVENUES FOR FUTURE WORK**

The phosphorylation of glucose and fructose by HK and FRK is pivotal for all metabolic processes. Most plant species have a single plastidic HKX and a single plastidic FRK, with multiple HKX and FRK isozymes in the cytoplasm. While the cytoplasmic FRKs are located within the cytosol, all of the cytoplasmic HKXs in eudicots and most of the cytoplasmic HKXs in monocots are associated with the mitochondria. HKXs may also appear in the nucleus. The different intracellular locations of HKXs and FRKs are of particular interest. They not only support the theory that HKXs and FRKs play different roles, but also raise questions about the intracellular trafficking of glucose and fructose.

Sugar-sensing roles (in addition to the metabolic function) with regard to several physiological processes, primarily related to photosynthesis and photosynthetic tissues, have been documented for a few HKXs. However, the molecular mechanisms of these processes and the roles of the various HKXs in sink and other tissues are not yet understood. Specifically, the molecular and physiological nature of the interactions between HKXs and plant hormones remain unclear. Unlike some of the HKXs, there is no strong evidence that FRK plays any sugar-sensing role. Rather, FRK may function primarily in the regulation of sugar metabolism in sink and vascular tissues. Nevertheless, the roles of HK and FRK may be dictated by the specific tissues and cell types in which they are found. Therefore, it is essential to explore in which tissues and types of cells the various HKX and FRK genes...
are expressed. Later on, tissue-specific modulated expression of the corresponding genes (using mutants or gene expression under specific promoters) may help clarify the roles of these enzymes in different tissues.

The study of both HXK and FRK has led to significant discoveries, such as the dual-function of the mitochondria-associated AtHXK1 and the role of FRK in vascular development. Due to the central roles of HXK and FRK in plant physiology, it is very likely that the modulation of specific HXK or FRK isoforms in certain tissues may have profound effects on specific economic traits. For example, FRK may control the amount of sugar allocated for vascular tissues and may be used to enhance xylem and vascular development in woody plants. Similarly, HXK may be used to control transpiration in agricultural crops. We believe that these discoveries and the potential uses of these enzymes will encourage further exploration of these gene families.
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