Structural and functional analyses of the interaction of archaeal RNA polymerase with DNA
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ABSTRACT

Multi-subunit RNA polymerases (RNAPs) in all three domains of life share a common ancestry. The composition of the archaeal RNAP (aRNAP) is not identical between phyla and species, with subunits Rpo8 and Rpo13 found in restricted subsets of archaea. While Rpo8 has an ortholog, Rpb8, in the nuclear eukaryal RNAPs, Rpo13 lacks clear eukaryal orthologs. Here, we report crystal structures of the DNA-bound and free form of the aRNAP from Sulfolobus shibatae. Together with biochemical and biophysical analyses, these data show that Rpo13 C-terminus binds non-specifically to double-stranded DNA. These interactions map on our RNAP–DNA binary complex on the downstream DNA at the far end of the DNA entry channel. Our findings thus support Rpo13 as a RNAP–DNA stabilization factor, a role reminiscent of eukaryotic general transcriptional factors. The data further yield insight into the mechanisms and evolution of RNAP–DNA interaction.

INTRODUCTION

The archaeal transcription apparatus is a simplified form of the eukaryal machinery (1). In addition to the conservation of RNA polymerase (RNAP) subunits, the archaeal general transcription factors are related to those of eukaryotes, with orthologs of the TATA-box binding protein (TBP), TFIIB and the alpha subunit of TFIIE present in archaea. While homologs of the XPB and XPD helicase subunits of TFIIF present in archaea, there is no evidence for their participating roles in transcription (2). To date, no archaeal counterparts of TFIIA or TFIIF have been detected. Intriguingly, the RNAP II accessory factor TFIIF subunits (Rap74 and Rap30 in mammalian and Tfg1 and Tfg2 in yeast) are homologous to constitutively attached subunits of RNAP I (A49 and A34.5) and RNAP III (C37 and C53), suggesting that the last common ancestor of all three nuclear RNAPs possessed a TFIIF-like factor or subunit(s) (3,4). TFIIF facilitates promoter binding by RNAP II via contacts with DNA downstream of the transcription start site (TSS) (5). In contrast to the three task-specific nuclear RNAPs present in Eukarya, whose subunit composition ranges from 12 to 17, Archaea possess only one single RNAP. Nevertheless, the presence of subunits Rpo8 and Rpo13, described in the structure of the complete 13-subunit archaeal RNAP (aRNAP) from Sulfolobus shibatae (SshRNAP) (6), mainly distinguish the architecture of aRNAPs between different species and phyla.

Given the pivotal location proximal to the downstream-DNA binding cleft, the α-helical secondary structure and the helix-turn-helix (HTH) topology of Rpo13, we investigated the role of Rpo13 in transcription, reporting here the X-ray crystal structures of the DNA-bound aRNAP binary complex at 4.3 Å and of the naked SshRNAP improved at 3.2 Å resolution. Aided by structure comparisons, circular dichroism (CD), NMR spectroscopy, chromatin immuno-precipitation sequencing and electrophoretic mobility essays (EMSAs), we have built an atomic and molecular model for the aRNAP–DNA complex. Our model unveils a mechanism of RNAP–DNA complex stabilization via Rpo13 that might pre-date the separation of archaeal and eukaryotic RNAPs. Interestingly, CD and NMR data reveal that Rpo13 behaves as a molten-globule protein with intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs).

These results yield knowledge into archaeal transcription and Rpo13’s function and provide mechanistic insights into the evolution of the RNAP–DNA stabilization mechanisms across the three domains of life.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Crystallization of naked aRNAP and aRNAP–DNA complex

The aRNAP was produced as previously described (7). Crystals were obtained using vapour diffusion technique using nanolitre sitting-drop (400 nl) set up by a Mosquito robot. Crystallization conditions were set up around the initial hit (6) by increasing the concentration of KCl up to 500 mM and including 2 mM ZnCl2. Crystals were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen using the crystallization solution with 25% glycerol as a cryo-protectant. To obtain crystals of the RNAP in complex with DNA (Figure 1A), prior to DNA soaking the crystals were de-salted using a newly implemented protocol (M.N.W. and N.G.A.A. submitted for publication). Of the designed deoxy-oligonucleotides inspired on the T6 promoter that we screened, the successful sequence is shown in Figure 1B and incorporates a mismatch region mimicking a bubble formation. Diffraction data were collected at the ESRF (aRNAP–DNA complex at 4.3 Å resolution) and at the SLS (apo-aRNAP at 3.2 Å resolution) and processed using HKL2000 (8) (Table 1).

Structure solution and refinement

First, we solved the apo-aRNAP structure by molecular replacement technique in Phaser (9) using the previously published aRNAP model (PDB ID 2WAQ). The refinement to 3.2 Å resolution was carried out using Phenix version 1.6.2 (10) with tight X-ray/stereochemistry weight (0.01), secondary structure restraints, TLS and B-group. The quality of the resulting (2Fobs–Fc)ei density was evaluated using the General Averaging Program (Stuart, D.I. and Grimes, J.M., unpublished software available on request). The averaging procedure allowed the comparison of the initial (2Fobs–Fc)ei map, the averaged map Faveei and the (2Fobs–Fc)ei map for the DNA region (Supplementary Figure S1). The unequivocal density corresponding to double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) was then fitted in COOT with an idealized B-form dsDNA model and then rigid-body refined. Nucleotides with no 2Fo–Fc density were removed and the remaining ones grouped in 2-bp steps and rigid-body refined. After minor adjustments, careful refinement resumed with five cycles of individual positions refinement with tight geometry restraints (0.01), 2-fold NCS and secondary structure restraints including Watson–Crick base pairing.

The final Rwork/Rfree (%) of the free and DNA-bound RNAPs are, respectively, 24.1/30.0 and 29.2/31.1% (Table 1). Figures were created with Pymol software (http://www.pymol.org/).

Chromatin immuno-precipitation sequencing

Chromatin immuno-precipitation (ChIP) was performed essentially as described (12). Formaldehyde was added to a final concentration of 1% to an exponentially growing culture of Sulfolobus acidocaldarius which was then cooled to room temperature for 20 min. The reaction was quenched by the addition of glycine to 125 mM. Cells were harvested and washed with phosphate-buffered saline, then resuspended and lysed in 4 ml TBSTT (20 mM Tris-Cl [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20, 0.1% Triton X-100). The extract was sonicated and clarified by centrifugation. Immuno-precipitations were performed by incubating 3 μl α-Rpo2 [a kind gift from S. Qureshi (13)] or α-Rpo13 antiserum with 10 μg of extract in 100 μl TBSTT with shaking at 4°C for 3 h. After addition of 25 μl of 50% slurry of protein A sepharose, incubation was continued further for an hour. Immune complexes were collected by centrifugation and washed by five consecutive 5 min incubations with 1.2 ml TBSTT with vigorous shaking at room temperature. Beads were then washed once with TBSTT containing 500 mM NaCl and once with TBSTT containing Tween 20 and Triton X-100 at 0.5%. Finally, immune complexes were disrupted by resuspending the beads in 20 mM Tris-Cl [pH 7.8], 10 mM EDTA and 0.5% SDS and heating to 65°C for 30 min. Beads were removed, and DNA was recovered by treating samples with 10 μg/ml proteinase K for 6 h at 65°C then 10 h at 37°C, followed by extraction with phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol and back-extraction of the organic phase with TE buffer, then chloroform extraction. Finally, DNA was precipitated with ethanol in the presence of 20 μg glycerone then resuspended in 50 μl TE buffer. Input samples were treated as above without the addition of antiserum and beads. DNA was processed for next-generation sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 by Source Bioscience (Nottingham, UK). More than 60 000 000 reads were obtained per sample, each yielding over 5 × 10^9 bases, of which >85% were of Q30 or higher quality and over 70% mapped to the S. acidocaldarius genome. Reads were mapped to the S. acidocaldarius genome using SAMtools and BEDTools (http://samtools.sourceforge.net/ http://code.google.com/p/bedtools/) to generate .bed files which were then visualized and quantified using SeqMonk (http://www.bioinformatics.bbsrc.ac.uk/projects/seqmonk/) with read counts evaluated over a running window probe size of 20 nt and normalized to input DNA.

DNA–Rpo13 binding assay

EMSAas involving Rpo13 were performed with radiolabelled single-stranded 40 nt DNA (ORB1 mut2 top 5’-ATATTTCCTTTAAGTTCTACCGTGAAAACAA GGGTTTT-3’), where the double-stranded probe was
Figure 1. αRNAP-DNA binary complex. (A) DNA-bound αRNAP structure represented as cartoon tube with schematic in the centre; DNA and αRNAP colour coded as in keys (centre and below). (B) DNA sequence used for soaking coloured as in (A); characters with darker colours indicate the structurally ordered nucleotides; nucleotides outside the box pre-form the DNA bubble. Negative and positive numbers indicate upstream and downstream nucleotide/position with respect to the start-site A (due to the limited resolution this sequence assignment is not unique; see Supplementary Figure S2). Residues within 8Å distance from the DNA are indicated and colour-coded according to subunit and domain to which they belong. The red circle indicates the magnesium ion at the catalytic site.
generated by annealing the above oligonucleotide to its complement (5'-AAACCCCTTGTGTTCCACGTAG AACTTAAGGTAAATAT-3'). Binding reactions were performed in 50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8), 25 mM MgCl2, 75 mM KCl, 10 mM DTT, 5% glycerol and 0.1 mg/ml of BSA for 15 min at 48°C (14). Protein–DNA complexes were electrophoresed on native 10% acrylamide gels in Tris-glycine buffer at 13 V cm−1 before drying and phosphomimagery.

**RESULTS**

**X-ray structure of the aRNAP–DNA binary complex**

We solved the structure of SshRNAP bound to a duplex DNA oligonucleotide with an internal pre-formed bubble to facilitate loading into the active site (Figure 1). The DNA fragment was soaked in pre-treated naked RNAP crystals (M.N.W. and N.G.A.A., submitted for publication). Of the several crystals screened, only one, in space group P21, diffracted successfully to 4.3 Å resolution (Table 1). The structure was solved by molecular replacement using the improved 3.2 Å apo-aRNAP structure. The two RNAP molecules composing the asymmetric unit clearly show density corresponding to bound DNA (Supplementary Figure S1). This aRNAP–DNA complex structure (Figure 1A) lacks general transcription factors and thus cannot strictly depict an open complex (OC) state. However, we note that the *Sulfolobus* TFB promoter has a start site that is factor independent and therefore our complex could either mimic an open complex-like state (13) or be akin to an elongation complex without an RNA primer in the transcription bubble. These complexes can also be formed by the eukaryotic RNAPs independently of RNA primers (15).

Of the several crystals screened, only one, in space group P21, diffracted successfully to 4.3 Å resolution (Table 1). The structure was solved by molecular replacement using the improved 3.2 Å apo-aRNAP structure. The two RNAP molecules composing the asymmetric unit clearly show density corresponding to bound DNA (Supplementary Figure S1). This aRNAP–DNA complex structure (Figure 1A) lacks general transcription factors and thus cannot strictly depict an open complex (OC) state. However, we note that the *Sulfolobus* TFB promoter has a start site that is factor independent and therefore our complex could either mimic an open complex-like state (13) or be akin to an elongation complex without an RNA primer in the transcription bubble. These complexes can also be formed by the eukaryotic RNAPs independently of RNA primers (15).

Because of the relatively low resolution of the aRNAP–DNA structure, the DNA sequence cannot be unambiguously assigned in the structure and in principle alternative DNA binding modes can be envisaged (See Supplementary Figure S2). However, crystal packing and DNA melting temperature considerations favour the scheme illustrated in Figure 1.

Our RNAP–DNA binary complex informs on the possible interactions that allow positioning of the nucleic acid within the DNA binding cleft, highlighting those residues that are conserved across Pol II and aRNAP and those that are archaeal specific (Figure 1B). Furthermore, the archaeal binary complex reveals that from the fork-loop 2 (Rpo2: amino acids 434–445), the dsDNA unwinds at position +3 leaving 10 bp from +4 to +10 in canonical B-form (Figure 2). Fork-loop 2 and switches 1 (Rpo1C: amino acids 329–351) and 2 (Rpo1N: amino acids 301–319) are in close proximity to the template strand (Figure 2A). Densities for nucleotides in the template strand at positions +2 and +1 (TSS) are visible although weaker for the +1 base. Upstream of the TSS, the density becomes increasingly weaker, indicating that the nucleic acid strand is not further ordered (Figure 2). Similarly, there is no evidence of ordered nucleotides upstream of position +2 in the non-template strand. Downstream, at positions +14 and +15, Watson–Crick pairing is lost and bases appear to swing out and the remaining nucleotides are not resolved (Figures 1B and 2).

The overall geometry of the translocation coordinator bridge helix (Rpo1N: amino acids 791–827) is bent, as in the eukaryotic counterpart OC, (Figure 2B) with a maximum bending angle of ~24.6° in correspondence of S814 (~22.4° in correspondence of K830 in Pol II OC) which is the closest residue to the start site phosphate group (~5 Å Cα–P). Finally, residues from 87 to 99 within the trigger loop (Rpo1C: amino acids 71–116) remain disordered as it is also the case of the eukaryal Pol II OC (PDB ID 4A3I) and transcription elongation complex (TEC) (PDB ID 1R9T).

**aRNAP–DNA versus eukaryotic RNAP–DNA complexes**

While the interactions of the SshRNAP with the DNA approaching the active site are equivalent to those observed in the eukaryotic Pol II OC and TEC (15–18) (Figures 1B and 2A) four key differences distinguish the DNA-bound aRNAP. First, stabilization of the downstream DNA occurs at positions +13 and +12 of the non-template strand via a lysine-rich region of the jaw domain (Figures 1B, 3A, left and 3B) that is constitutively further in the cleft; ~20° rotation inwards is necessary for the eukaryotic jaw domain to lock onto the archaeal counterpart, a movement hindered by the contacts with the adjacent eukaryotic specific Rpb9 subunit (absent in Archaea) (Figure 3A, right). Second, the downstream
DNA path deviates, respectively, by ~11° and ~17° from the helix direction observed in the downstream dsDNA helix-axis in the binary Pol II–DNA OC (15) and Pol II–DNA/RNA TEC (18) (Figures 2A and 3B). In eukaryota, the N-terminal domain of Rpb5 appears to act as a ‘guard rail’ for DNA docking, thus helping define its trajectory (Figure 3B). Notably, archaical Rpo5 lacks this domain, its place, in Sulfolobus, instead being occupied by Rpo13 (Figure 3B).

Figure 2. αRNApol-DNA binding cleft interactions and bridge helix. (A) Stereo-figure of the DNA with 2Fo–Fc map (slate-blue mesh at 1.0σ) with refined DNA phases included; αRNApol’s subunits as cartoon tube with semi-transparent surface and DNA coloured as Figure 1; in light pink and steel blue the DNA backbone (as tube) of the eukaryotic Pol II–DNA open complex (PDB ID 4A31) and of Pol II DNA/RNA elongation complex (PDB ID 1R9T) superimposed onto the DNA bound to our αRNApol [corresponding superimpositions of Rpb1 onto Rpo1, RMSD 2.8 Å, 1183 Cα equivalences and 2.8 Å, 1174 Cα equivalences were performed using the Structural Homology Program (SHP) (33)]; dark blue, light pink, and steel blue straight lines correspond to helix axes; black curved arrow indicates the tilting required (~17°) for superimposing the DNA of Pol II TEC (steel blue axis) onto the DNA bound to αRNApol (dark blue axis); a tilting of ~11° in the same direction is required to superimpose the DNA of Pol II OC (light pink axis) onto the DNA bound to αRNApol (dark blue axis). Labelled some of the relevant structural domains; geometrical symbols on the right corner of panel relate the current view relative to the RNAP as viewed in Figure 1A, left. (B) Superimposition of bridge helices of the archaical RNAP (white smoke) and Pol II OC (light pink) represented as cartoon with DNA bound to αRNApol depicted and density contoured as in (A) with numbering describing the nucleotide positions with respect to the TSS +1.

Figure 3. Comparisons of archaical and eukaryotic structural domains downstream the DNA binding cleft and RNA exit channel. (A) Left, superimposition of the eukaryotic Rpb1 (light pink cartoon tube; PDB ID 4A31) onto the archaical Rpo1N and Rpo1C (white smoke as cartoon tube) complexed with DNA (this study) represented as spheres (non-template and template strands in green and blue, respectively) viewed quasi along the DNA axis; analysis of the hinge movement (~20°) that the eukaryotic Jaw domain (aa 1141–1275) would need to superimpose onto the archaical counterpart was performed with SHP (Similar results were obtained using the eukaryotic Rpb1 in PDB IDs 1Y1W and 1R9T). Right, same superimposition as in left but viewed from the top of the Jaw domain with eukaryotic Rpb9 coloured in yellow interacting with the eukaryotic Jaw domain; DNA depicted as backbone. (B) Stereo-view of the DNA binding cleft viewed along the archaical DNA helix axis; αRNApol is represented as surface and colour coded as in Figure 1; in cartoon tube (light pink) the corresponding location of Rpb5 N-terminal subunit in Pol II and corresponding DNA helix axes as in Figure 2A. (C) Stereo-view of the region corresponding to the RNA exit channel with the αRNApol-DNA (white smoke), Pol II open (light pink) and transcription (blue aquamarine) complexes superimposed; the shorter FL1 in the αRNApol-DNA is constitutively in ‘up’ conformation in contrast to its counterpart in the Pol II open complex (light pink). The DNA (template in blue and non-template in green) in the binding cleft corresponds to the current study whereas the RNA (red) and DNA (blue) in the exit channel corresponds to the elongation complex (PDB ID 1R9T), all represented as cartoon tube.
Third, contrary to the disorder/order transition observed in some important structural elements [e.g. Fork loops 1 (FL1) and 2 (FL2)] between eukaryotic naked Pol II (PDB ID 1WCM) and Pol II–DNA/RNA complexes upon nucleic acids binding (for comparison see PDB IDs 4A3I, 1R9T and 1Y1W), the archaeal apo-and DNA-bound RNAP forms do not show any striking order conversions or major re-adjustments upon DNA binding. All switches, 1–5, are ordered in the apo- and DNA-bound aRNAP structures, however, we note that switch 3, facing the RNA exit path, might readjust in presence of RNA whereas the flap remains disordered as in the case of the eukaryal apo-and nucleic acid bound Pol II. Interestingly, FL1 is also ordered in both archaeal structures (in apo-Pol II it is disordered; PDB ID 1Y1W), probably due to being shorter by ~6 residues compared with the equivalent FL1 in Pol II, but it adopts an ‘up’ conformation (Figure 3C) that may lock down once RNA is synthesized. As with FL1, FL2 is well-defined (Figure 2A) as is its eukaryal counterpart in the complete elongation complex (PDB ID 1Y1W). However, this same loop is not ordered in the Pol II open and transcribing complexes (PDB IDs 4A3I, 1R9T). These findings indicate that aRNAPs require only minimal adjustments for the transition from the DNA unbound-to-bound form.

Fourth, structural comparison of the individual subunits (and sub-domains) between the archaeal apo- and the DNA-bound form of the aRNAP shows no open–close movement of the clamp upon DNA binding. We point out the obvious caveat that alternative conformations of the RNAP clamp could exist in solution. Nonetheless, minor movements (<2° rotation) can be detected in the jaw domain (aa 147–234 in Rpo1C) and in the clamp-head (amino acids 86–208 in Rpo1N) (Figure 4A). However, DNA-binding does not induce folding of Rpo13’s glutamate-rich N- and lysine-rich C-terminal ends, though deviation of the DNA helical path and additional density (0.7σ) at the N-terminus suggest interactions with the DNA (Figures 2A, 3B and Supplementary Figure S3). The improved model of Rpo13 from the naked RNAP structure (see below; Figure 4B) together with the first archaeal DNA-bound RNAP structure (Figure 1) prompted a thorough biochemical, biophysical and systematics analysis of Rpo13.

Apo-aRNAP at 3.2 Å: structural basis of Rpo13-RNAP specificity

Prior to obtaining the aRNAP–DNA crystal, we solved the structure of the apo-form of SshRNAP at 3.2 Å, improving on the previous 3.4 Å structure (6). The apparent minimal increase in resolution translates into ~29,000 additional measured reflections and into a higher signal-to-noise ratio (overall and in the highest resolution shell) and thus in higher completeness, contributing to a more stable structure refinement and a more reliable aRNAP model (Table 1). The most important result, a consequence of the improved quality of the electron density, has been the unequivocal register of the sequence of Rpo13 (Supplemental Methods). This has revealed the basis of its interaction with the RNAP. The core of Rpo13 forms a HTH comprising helix-1 (ξ1; residues 38–56) and helix-2 (ξ2; residues 61–82) with the first visible Cα N-terminal residue (F33) facing the DNA-binding cleft (Figure 4B). Density at both the N- and C-termini is relatively weak (0.6σ) and CD and NMR analysis of recombinant Rpo13 (see below) show that residues outside the core HTH motif are indeed inherently flexible. The two helices’ axes are almost parallel to each other and this conformation appears to be stabilized by inter-helix contacts mainly of hydrophobic nature (I50, I59, A64, L67). ξ1 docks into the RNAP complex (Figure 4B) with hydrophobic contributions from residues F58 and L44, whereas ξ2 is the helix most exposed to the solvent and thus, in principle, available for interactions with additional ligands. ξ2 is characterized by polar residues. Interestingly, this region shows some similarity to the charged region of Tfg1-like factors of TFIIF, Supplementary Figure S4). Residues N47 and W51 with T48 and D52 of Rpo13’s ξ1 confer specificity for binding to the RNAP. The former amino acids bind to the Rpo5 subunit and the latter two to the clamp-head domain of Rpo1N. Two tryptophan residues, one from Rpo13 (W51) and the other from the clamp-head (W125) reciprocally dock via hydrogen bonds onto G60 of Rpo5 and T48 of Rpo13, respectively (Figure 4B). Further hydrogen bonds between Rpo13 N47 and P58 of Rpo5 and D52 of Rpo13 and R124 of the clamp-head domain strengthen the binding of Rpo13 to the crevice formed by Rpo5 and the clamp head (Figure 4B).

Moreover, the improved data allowed us to put in register segments of sequence in Rpo1 and Rpo2 subunits and to model a fully ordered clamp-core and -head domains in the Rpo1N subunit (residues 32–42 and 155–177); the latter adopting a three-antiparallel strands motif (Figure 4B). In Rpo2, residues 55–58, 180–187 and 195–199 of the protrusion domain, residues 589–603 of the external two domains and residues 1071–1091 of the hybrid-binding domain have been also re-modelled.

Rpo13 subunit: a molten-globule protein

Rpo13’s sequence is characterized by a strikingly polarized distribution of negative and positive charges (14 glutamates in the first 32 residues and 11 lysines in the last 22 residues) (Figure 4B). These terminal ends are not seen in any of the aRNAP structures so far solved (6,19,20). Preliminary biophysical analysis on Rpo13 purified following expression in E. coli has shown that in solution Rpo13 forms predominantly dimers (20), a behaviour displayed by other transcription factors, including TFIIF which contains a specific dimerization domain preceding the charged region (3,5). CD spectroscopy in the far UV region on Rpo13 reveals a protein with ~35% α-helical, 48% random coil and 17% beta-sheet content (Figure 5A, left; Supplementary Methods). This secondary structure assignment of Rpo13 in solution fits the X-ray secondary structural description of Rpo13 bound to the entire enzyme where only 39 residues out of 104 are ordered. Also, controlled heat-induced unfolding experiments over the temperature range 22°C to 82°C.
Figure 4. Apo-αRNAP structure at 3.2 Å and comparison with DNA-bound form. (A) Top, stereo-view of superimposed apo-αRNAP structure (black) onto DNA-bound αRNAP (coloured as Figure 1A); as red sphere, the magnesium ion in the active site. Superimposition of the apo-αRNAP onto the αRNAP–DNA complex was carried out with the SHP software (33) using the active-site (amino acids 319–483) as pivot. (B) Left, apo-αRNAP structure colour-coded by subunit as Figure 1A. Centre top, the modelled clamp head-domain. Centre below, the newly modelled Rpo13 fitting the crevice formed by Rpo5 and clamp-head (as cartoon and semi-transparent surface); as spheres the Cα of Rpo13’s conserved patch ISYDEA (see Supplementary Figure S4); in sticks the tryptophans with binding partners. Right, stereo-view of details of the Rpo13 α1 helix and Rpo5 (top) and clamp-head domain (below) interactions with 2Fo–Fc density (slate blue mesh at 1.0σ) providing Rpo13 specificity for the RNAP; below panels Rpo13 sequence layout.

(Figure 5A, right) show that the percentage of the α-helical content is marginally affected at 82°C (approximately 25%), perhaps not surprising, given the extreme growth conditions of Sulfolobus species (75–80°C) (21). On the other hand, the thermal denaturation curves obtained by monitoring the CD signal at 222 nm in both directions overlap but do not show a characteristic sigmoidal unfolding curve of a protein with a well-defined tertiary structure (Supplementary Figure S5). This observation suggests that the folding into a topological HTH motif is coupled to the association with the rest of the archaeal enzyme.

Full-length Rpo13 was also studied by NMR spectroscopy (Supplemental Methods). The 1H–15N–HSQC spectrum (Figure 5B) shows a poor dispersion in the proton chemical shift, indicating a loss in both secondary and tertiary structure. Interestingly, the analysis of the peaks cannot account for all residues (even considering signal overlap) and the spectrum is showing resonances for a subset of residues only. The missing peaks that include the tryptophan residue used for the specific interaction with Rpo1N, may have disappeared because of conformational exchange in the microsecond–millisecond timescale or because of segmental aggregation. This
Figure 5. Characterisation of Rpo13 and its association with RNAP. (A) Left, CD spectrum of recombinant Rpo13 collected from 200 to 240 nm at room temperature with secondary structure assignment displayed in the inset table. The continuous line represents the experimental data whereas the dotted line is the reconstructed data by K2d software in DichroWeb (34). Right, CD spectra of Rpo13 recorded at different temperatures ranging from 22 to 82°C; measurements were taken every 5°C with 1°C/min slope. (B) Contour plot of 2D-^{1}H-^{15}N HSQC spectrum from based titration experiments showing peaks with chemical shift variation (filled arrow head) and chemical shift disappearance (open square) upon dsDNA binding. Both effects shall be attributed to the binding event and are probably due to the different exchange timescale introduced with the DNA binding. The solid horizontal lines connect the two peaks for the N and Q side-chain amide groups. (C) Upper panel, elution profiles, following absorbance at 280 nm, of recombinant *S. acidocaldarius* (*Sac*) Rpo13, purified core *Sac* RNAP and *Sac* whole cell extract over a Superdex 200 column. Lower panel, protein content of the 1 ml fractions collected from the Superdex 200 column (fractions are aligned to the chromatographic traces in the upper panel). The Rpo2 and Rpo1N components of pure RNAP were detected by silver staining of the gel following SDS PAGE, recombinant Rpo13 by Coomassie staining, proteins in the remaining panels were detected by western blotting with the indicated antisera. (D) ChIP-Seq data generated using antisera against Rpo2 (middle track) or Rpo13 (bottom track) are shown for coordinates 435 882–504 402 of the *S. acidocaldarius* chromosome. Open reading frames are shown as boxes in the top track; red indicates transcription left to right and white the opposite direction, a region that encodes a highly transcribed cluster of ribosomal protein genes is indicated. The arrows underneath show the positions of promoters for the polycistronic units [data from *S. solfataricus* (35), the gene order of the ribosomal protein cluster is conserved in *S. acidocaldarius*].
complex behaviour implies a molten globular structure that undergoes exchange between several conformations.

Rpo13, an in vivo constituent RNAP subunit that binds to dsDNA

The Rpo13–DNA interactions inferred from our aRNAP-DNA X-ray structure and Rpo13’s molten-globule nature prompted us to address the related questions of the nature of the association of this protein with the RNAP and the role of Rpo13 in DNA binding. We therefore sought to address whether (i) Rpo13 is only found associated with soluble RNAP or if a free pool of the Rpo13 could exist in cells, (ii) Rpo13 is associated with the RNAP during in vivo transcription and (iii) the recombinant protein might bind DNA.

First, we prepared a cell-free extract from S. acidocaldarius and fractionated this over a Superdex 200 gel filtration column. The elution profiles of bulk RNAP and Rpo13 were followed by western blotting with α-Rpo2 and α-Rpo13 antisera, respectively (Figure 5C). Purified recombinant Rpo13 (see Supplementary Methods) and purified native RNAP were also passed over the column. Purified Rpo13 eluted with a retention time compatible with formation of dimers in solution, as seen previously (20). Purified RNAP eluted at ~450 kDa. RNAP in cell-free extracts, monitored by western blotting using anti-Rpo2 antisera, had a broader elution profile than the purified core enzyme, eluting as a broad peak between the void volume of the column and the same fractions that the purified enzyme occupied. We note that the samples were pre-treated with ethidium bromide to prevent interactions with DNA, suggesting that the higher molecular weight complexes may contain additional protein factors in a holo-enzyme complex. As seen previously (13), the general transcription factor, TBP, elutes as a dimer and is not detectably associated with the RNAP. Notably, while we observe co-elution of Rpo13 with Rpo2, we also detect Rpo13 over a broad range with a minor peak (lanes 14–15 in Figure 5C) corresponding to the elution of purified recombinant Rpo13, indicating that the extract has a population of free Rpo13 protein.

The co-purification and crystallization of Rpo13 with RNAP clearly indicates a stable association in solution. We therefore wished to address whether Rpo13 is also associated with RNAP during transcription elongation in vivo. Accordingly, we utilized the α-Rpo2 and α-Rpo13 antisera to perform ChIP-sequencing (ChIP-Seq) experiments to profile the genomic localization of these proteins in exponentially growing asynchronous S. acidocaldarius cell cultures. From the examples shown in Figure 5D, it can be seen that both proteins show enrichment over transcribed regions with the highest peaks corresponding to heavily transcribed ribosomal protein genes.

We also detect elevated signals of both proteins in some intragenic regions, perhaps indicative of polymerase pausing [an extensive genome-wide analysis will be published elsewhere (M.M. and S.D.B., unpublished data)]. Taken together, these data indicate that Rpo13 co-localizes with elongating RNAP.

Finally, using EMSAs (Figure 6) we could readily detect interaction between purified recombinant Rpo13 and dsDNA with an apparent Kd of ~2 μM, consistent with our NMR data (Figure 5B). We could not detect any significant single stranded DNA (ssDNA) binding activity (Figure 6A, left). We additionally performed supershift experiments to identify Rpo13 as the DNA binder (Figure 6A, right). Neither major groove nor minor groove interacting compounds (methyl green and netropsin, respectively) had a major impact on binding to dsDNA (Supplementary Figure S6).

To identify which regions within Rpo13 were responsible for DNA binding, we prepared deletion constructs of Rpo13 lacking either or both N- and C-terminal tails and tested these in EMSAs (Figure 6B and 6C). Deletion of the N-terminal tail rendered the protein prone to aggregation, but DNA binding was still detectable at low concentrations (Figure 6C, top). Importantly, however, deletion of the C-terminal tail (amino acids 85–104) had no detectable effect on protein stability or solubility but abrogated DNA binding (Figure 6C, below).

DISCUSSION

We have provided insight into archaeal transcription and Rpo13’s structure and function. We have demonstrated that the binary interactions between DNA and aRNAP towards the active site are ancestrally equivalent to those of eukaryotic Pol II bound to DNA and that the aRNAP does not show major structural rearrangements or element folding upon DNA binding. However, significant differences occur at the far end of the DNA-binding channel. Our structural and functional findings reveal how the RNAP of the model archaea of the genus Sulfolobus achieves and enhances DNA downstream stabilization via two universal mechanisms: (i) by a hinge movement of the jaw domain constitutively locking onto the downstream DNA and (ii) by the interaction of a novel subunit (Rpo13) that is able to bind dsDNA but not ssDNA.

We note that the N-terminal domain of Rpb5 is conserved in all eukaryal nuclear RNAPs but absent from archaea. This, together with the acquisition of the Pol II-specific Rpb9 subunit, that constrains the adjacent jaw domain, indicates that eukarya have evolved their own mechanisms for binding and orienting downstream DNA in the enzyme cleft.

Rpo13’s structure from the apo- and DNA-bound aRNAP crystals and from the isolated Rpo13 in solution studies suggest (i) that Rpo13’s secondary structure purified as individual protein recapitulates the secondary structure of Rpo13 in the crystal structure of the entire enzyme and (ii) that the unstructured component of Rpo13 considered either as individual protein or as subunit of the RNAP complex is an inherent property of the protein. Thus, Rpo13 can be classified as a molten-globule containing IDRs (22). Indeed many proteins which possess disordered regions [including 49% of human transcription factors (23,24)] function by binding
specifically to other proteins, DNA or RNA, and a recent computational study has also revealed the presence and the relevance of IDRs in the archaeal proteome (25). Furthermore, current views on intrinsically disordered proteins (IDP) and IDRs, which are often characterized by an enrichment of charged residues, suggest that they may evolve at accelerated rates, providing enhanced diversity upon which selective processes can act (26,27). Significantly, it has also been proposed that they can modulate affinity in DNA–protein interactions (28).

Figure 6. EMSA analysis of Rpo13 DNA binding activity. (A) Left, varying amounts of *S. acidocaldarius* (Sac) or *S. shibatae* (Ssh) Rpo13, purified as recombinant proteins from *E. coli*, were incubated with single-stranded 40 nt DNA or double-stranded 40 bp DNA prior to electrophoresis on a 10% polyacrylamide gel in Tris-glycine. Reactions contained 0.1 nmol (lanes 1, 6, 11 and 16), 0.2 nmol (lanes 2, 7, 12 and 17), 0.4 nmol (lanes 3, 8, 13 and 18), 0.8 nmol (lanes 4, 9, 14 and 19) or 0 nmol (lanes 5, 10, 15 and 20) of the relevant Rpo13. Right, ‘supershift’ analysis of Rpo13–DNA complexes. Binding reactions containing 0.0164 nmol Sac Rpo13 (lanes 3 and 4) were incubated with 2 and 1 µl of antisera raised against Rpo13 (lanes 6 and 7) or, as a non-specific control, the cell division protein Vps4 (lanes 4 and 5). The reaction electrophoresed in lane 2 lacked Rpo13 but contained 2 µl of α-Rpo13 antisera. (B) Cartoon of wild-type and deletion derivatives of Rpo13. ΔN corresponds to residues 34–104 of the full-length protein, ΔC to residues 1–80 and Core to residues 34–80. 3 µg of each of the purified proteins were resolved on a 4–12% gradient NuPAGE gel and visualized by staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue. (C) Upper panel, the N-terminal region of Rpo13 contributes to protein stability but is dispensable for DNA binding. Quantitation of EMSA experiments to determine the relative DNA binding activities of full length (triangle) and ΔN (rhombus) proteins. The experiments were performed at lower protein concentrations that those in the panel below because of the propensity of the ΔN protein to form insoluble aggregates at concentrations above 1.25 µM. Right, the basic C-terminal region of Rpo13 is critical for DNA binding. Lower panel, quantitation of EMSA experiments to determine the relative DNA binding activities of full length (triangle), ΔC (circle) and core (square) proteins. All experiments were performed in triplicate and error bars indicate the standard deviation.
upon interaction with the DNA, thus precluding a clear
snapshot of direct contacts at the C-terminal end (there
are however some indications at the N-terminus; see
Supplementary Figure S3). Indeed, the non-sequence
specific but discriminatory binding to dsDNA displayed
by Rpo13 in EMSA experiments as well as its
demonstrated molten-globule character provides a clear
justification for this. It is known that lysines interact
non-specifically with the DNA, a clear example being the
lysine-rich tails of histones, physiologically relevant
in stabilizing chromatin structure but whose promiscuous
binding to DNA makes them unfavourable for crystal-
lographic snapshots (29). It is enticing to note that
this basic segment of Rpo13 possesses a lysine residues
that is a target for methylation in S. solfataricus (30).
Comparisons may also be drawn to polyamine polymers,
such as spermine/spermidine, which function to stabilize
the double helical structure of DNA but are invisible in
crystal structures with DNA (31,32).

Taken together, our X-ray structures of DNA-bound
and naked aRNAP structures, Rpo13’s molten-globule
nature manifesting in the inherent flexibility of the N-
and the C-termini, the biophysical properties of Rpo13
and the in vivo ChIP data, implicate Rpo13 in both tran-
scription initiation and elongation by interactions with
dsDNA at the downstream end of the RNAP’s cleft.
The weak DNA binding affinity (K_d ~2 μM) is compatible
with Rpo13 not compromising transcriptional processivity
but rather modulating the balance between non-specific
and specific DNA interactions.

In all eukaryotes, the Rpb5 subunit is embellished with
an additional N-terminal domain when compared with the
archaean Rpo5 and, as stated above, this eukaryote-
specific domain acts as a ‘guard rail’ for DNA entering
the enzyme (see Figure 3B). However, no archaeal species
from any phylum possesses this domain and we have
shown that its place in the Sulfolobales, and by inference
the Desulfurococcales, Acidilobales and Korarchaeus, is
occupied by Rpo13 (Supplementary Figures S7 and S8).
We speculate that either the low sequence complexity of
Rpo13 has prevented its detection in archaea beyond these
species or that other archaeal species have analogous
rather than orthologous factors or subunits that occupy
the predicted and conserved void between Rpo5 and
Rpo1N. In this scenario, Rpo13 may represent a ‘fossil’,
supplanted by the appearance of the Rpb5 N-terminal
domain in eukaryotes.
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