Association analysis between genomic variants within advanced glycation end product specific receptor (AGER) gene and risk of breast cancer in Iranian women
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ABSTRACT

The advanced glycation end product specific receptor (AGER) gene codes for a cell surface receptor which is one of the immunoglobulin superfamily members. This gene has a number of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) whose variants are associated with altered function of the encoded protein. In the current project, we examined association between rs184003 and rs1800625 SNPs and susceptibility to breast cancer in an Iranian population. The current study excludes participation of rs184003 AGER variant in conferring cancer risk. However, for the rs1800625, based on the calculated P value, the results should be assessed in larger cohorts. Primarily, the rs1800625 SNP was associated with breast cancer risk in dominant model (OR (95% CI) = 1.79 (1.03–3.11)), but after correction for multiple comparisons it did not reach the level of significance (adjusted P value = 0.07). The other SNP was not associated with breast cancer risk in any inheritance model. Haplotype analyses revealed a trend toward association between the GC haplotype (rs184003 and rs1800625 respectively) and risk of breast cancer (OR (95% CI) = 1.77 (1.09–2.88), adjusted P value = 0.08)). The current study excludes participation of rs184003 AGER variants in conferring cancer risk. However, for the rs1800625, based on the calculated P value, the results should be assessed in larger cohorts.

1. Introduction

The advanced glycation end product specific receptor (AGER) gene codes for a cell surface receptor namely RAGE which is one of the immunoglobulin superfamily members. Being a multiligand receptor, it chiefly binds to glycation products of proteins or lipids which are called advanced glycation end products (AGE). The interaction between RAGE and its ligands induces some signaling pathways including proinflammatory routes [1]. Decreasing AGE amounts suppresses development of breast cancer in mice model [2]. Moreover, lack of RAGE expression diminishes chemically-induced inflammation in animal models [3]. The RAGE protein has a soluble form (sRAGE) which reverses the effects of RAGE by acting as a decoy. A previous study in Chinese population has shown elevated serum levels of AGES, while decreased levels of sRAGE in breast cancer patients compared to normal persons [4]. Another study in the same population has reported association between rs184003 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) within AGER gene and breast cancer risk. Moreover, a certain haplotype within this gene containing four SNPs frequency including rs1800625 and rs184003 has been demonstrated to confer risk of this malignancy [5]. A single study in Iranian population reported no association between three AGER SNPs namely −374T/A, −429T/C, and 63 bp Ins/del polymorphisms in a cohort of 71 breast cancer cases and 93 normal subjects [6].

Based on the provided clues regarding the role of RAGE in the pathogenesis of breast cancer and unavailability of comprehensive data regarding the effects of its SNPs in this malignancy in Iranian population, we performed the present work to genotype two AGER SNPs (rs184003 (c.870 +49G > T) and rs1800625 (-429C > T)) in a cohort of Iranian breast cancer patients. The reasons for selection of these SNPs were the presence of evidences regarding their contribution in cancer risk [5] and their functions in modulation of sRAGE levels. In vitro studies have shown that the rs1800625 SNP enhances the transcription of AGER about
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One hundred and six breast cancer patients with mean age (standard deviation (SD)) of 39.3 (1.2) and 120 healthy individuals with mean age (SD) of 38.9 (1.5) participated in the study. All study participants were female. Patients were admitted in Department of surgery, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Iran in the period of February 2014 to December 2016. Diagnosis was confirmed by a pathologist. Samples were gathered before chemo/radiotherapy. Control group consisted of females referred for a routine health workup during the same period. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study protocol was approved by ethical committee of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences.

2.2. Genotyping

Routine salting out method was used for DNA extraction. The genotypes of the rs184003 and rs1800625 were assessed using tetra primer-amplification refractory mutation system-PCR strategy. The detailed information about PCR conditions, sequences of inner and outer primers and PCR product sizes are shown in Table 1. The results of the mentioned method were confirmed by sequencing of 10% of the amplified reactions.

2.3. Statistical methods

Agreement of genotypes rates with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) principle was judged by $\chi^2$ test using SNPStats [10]. The association between rs184003 and rs1800625 variants and occurrence of breast cancer was assessed using recessive, dominant and co-dominant models through measuring odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The occurrence of anticipated AGER haplotypes was calculated in both cases and controls. $D'$ and $r$ values were quantified for appraisal of the linkage disequilibrium (LD) between rs184003 and rs1800625. $P$ values were corrected using Bonferroni method considering the number of genotyped SNPs. Corrected $P$ values less than 0.05 were regarded as significant. Difference in mean age between case and control groups were assessed using $t$-test. Other numerical variables including body mass index (BMI), menarche age, menopause age and breast feeding duration were regarded as significant. Chi-square test was applied for categorical variables. Moreover, Binomial Logistic Regression model was used with including genotypes, age, BMI, menarche age, menopause status, pregnancy history, and breast feeding duration variables. Nagelkerke R Square was calculated to understand how much variation in the dependent variable can be explained by the model. Wald test was used to determine statistical significance for each of the independent variables.

3. Results

Table 2 summarizes the features of enrolled cases and controls. There was no difference in age, marriage status or history of pregnancy between cases and controls. However, significant difference was found between patients and controls in BMI and breast feeding duration. Accordance of genotypes frequencies with HWE was approved in both study groups. Table 3 shows the results of $\chi^2$ test. The frequency of C allele of the rs1800625 was more among breast cancer patients compared with normal subjects (OR (95% CI) = 1.77 (1.11–1.82), $P$-value = 0.015). Primarily, this SNP was associated with breast cancer risk in dominant model (OR (95% CI) = 1.79 (1.03–3.11)), but after correction for multiple comparisons it did not reach the level of significance (adjusted $P$ value = 0.07). The other SNP was not associated with breast cancer risk in any inheritance model (Table 4). Binomial Logistic Regression model was performed. The calculated Nagelkerke R Square was 0.79. The Wald test ("Wald" column) was used to determine statistical significance for each of independent variables. The statistical significance of the test is found in Table 5. Statistical analyses showed

Table 1

| SNPs           | Primer sequence                      | Tm  | Annealing temperature | PCR product size (bp) |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| rs184003       | Forward inner primer (C allele):     | 75°C| 61°C                  | 211 bp (C allele)     |
|                | GATGAGAGGTAGGTGTAACCTAACCACCG C      |     |                       |                       |
|                | Reverse inner primer (A allele):     | 75°C|                       |                       |
|                | GCTGAGCAGTCTGGACCTTCGTCGA A          |     |                       |                       |
|                | Forward outer primer:               | 75°C|                       |                       |
|                | ATGAGCAGTACGGTACCTAACAAGTG C         |     |                       |                       |
|                | Reverse outer primer:               | 77°C|                       |                       |
|                | TCAGGGAGAGGATAGCAGGCTGGCTG C         |     |                       |                       |
| rs1800625      | Forward inner primer (T allele):     | 65°C| 60°C                  | 203 bp (T allele)     |
|                | AGATAAACTGTGACCTTTCCAGAGC T          |     |                       |                       |
|                | Reverse inner primer (C allele):     | 65°C|                       |                       |
|                | GCGAAATAGGAGAACCGTGTGTGTAG C         |     |                       |                       |
|                | Forward outer primer:               | 65°C|                       |                       |
|                | TTTCACAGAGCCTAGGGTTGG C              |     |                       |                       |
|                | Reverse outer primer:               | 65°C|                       |                       |
|                | CGATGCTATATTCTCATCAGTCCATCA S        |     |                       |                       |
respectively) and risk of breast cancer. The rs1800625 has not been associated with risk of pancreatic cancer in Czech population [13] or breast cancer in Iranian population [6]. Based on the relative small sample size, we could not assess associations between genotypes and patients' characteristics. So, we recommend conduction of upcoming research projects with larger sample sizes to appraise putative correlations.

Table 3

| SNP  | rs184003 | rs1800625 |
|------|----------|-----------|
|      | Minor Allele (T) Number (Frequency in %) | Minor Allele (C) Number (Frequency in %) | P-value | Adjusted P-value |
|      | GG       | GT        | TT       | TT       | TC       | CC       |          |             |
| Patients | 49 (23)  | 33 (8)  | 0.201 | 53 (25)  | 61 | 37 | 8 | 0.476 |
| Controls | 64 (29) | 13 (3) | 0.169 | 38 (16) | 85 | 32 | 3 | 0.763 |

Table 4

| SNP   | Model   | Cases (%) | Controls (%) | OR (95% CI) | P-value | Adjusted P-value |
|-------|---------|-----------|--------------|-------------|---------|-----------------|
| rs184003 | Allele | T vs. G  | 49 (23)      | 69 (29)  | 0.74 (0.49-1.14) | 0.173 | 0.347 |
|        |        | T vs. G  | 163 (77)     | 171 (71) | 0.47 (0.27-0.81) | 0.033 | 0.066 |
|        | Co-dominant | TT vs GG | 8 (7.5) | 13 (10.8) | 0.61 (0.23-1.56) | 0.438 | 0.875 |
|        |        | GT vs GG | 33 (31.2)   | 43 (35.9) | 0.76 (0.43-1.33) | 0.226 | 0.452 |
|        | Dominant | DT + TT vs GG | 41 (38.7) | 56 (46.7) | 0.72 (0.42-1.22) | 0.396 | 0.792 |
|        |        | 65 (61.3) | 64 (53.3) | 0.72 (0.42-1.22) | 0.396 | 0.792 |
|        |        | TT vs GG | 8 (7.5) | 13 (10.8) | 0.67 (0.27-1.69) | 0.396 | 0.792 |
| rs1800625 | Allele | C vs T  | 53 (25)      | 38 (16)  | 1.77 (1.11-2.82) | 0.015 | 0.031 |
|        |        | C vs T  | 159 (75)    | 202 (84) | 1.77 (1.11-2.82) | 0.015 | 0.031 |
|        | Co-dominant | CC vs TT | 8 (7.5) | 3 (2.5) | 3.70 (0.94-14.28) | 0.057 | 0.114 |
|        |        | CC vs TT | 37 (35)     | 32 (26.7) | 1.61 (0.91-2.86) | 0.043 | 0.086 |
|        | Dominant | TC + CC vs TT | 45 (42.5) | 35 (23.2) | 1.79 (1.03-3.11) | 0.007 | 0.047 |
|        |        | 61 (57.5) | 85 (70.8) | 1.79 (1.03-3.11) | 0.007 | 0.047 |
|        |        | CC vs TC + TT | 8 (7.5) | 3 (2.5) | 3.18 (0.82-12.33) | 0.078 | 0.157 |
|        |        | 98 (92.5) | 117 (97.5) | 3.18 (0.82-12.33) | 0.078 | 0.157 |

Table 5

| Independent variables | Wald | Significance |
|----------------------|------|--------------|
| rs1800625 genotypes  | 5.04 | 0.08         |
| BMI                  | 58.61| <0.001       |
| Age of start Menarche| 2.74 | 0.09         |

significance of BMI in conferring risk of breast cancer. However, other variables had no significant effect on breast cancer risk.

Breast cancer as a leading cause of cancer-related death in female has encouraged investigators to find genetic markers that predict susceptibility to this malignancy. Among putative susceptibility loci for breast cancer are SNPs within AGER gene which codes a protein with multiple functions in carcinogenesis especially metastatic process. RAGE protein enhances cell survival in the situations of nutrients or oxygen deprivation through induction of autophagy and suppression of apoptosis. This process is involved in development of epithelial malignancies cancers [3].

In this investigation, we appraised associations between two AGER SNPs and susceptibility to breast cancer in Iranian population. The association between rs184003 and rs1800625 SNPs and hepatocellular carcinoma has been previously assessed in Taiwanese [11]. Researchers have found association between rs1800625 and higher risk of this malignancy. However, rs184003 was not associated with hepatocellular carcinoma [11]. While the rs1800625 was correlated with gastric cancer risk, both SNPs were associated with tumor stage in these patients [12]. However, we could not find any association between mentioned SNPs and risk of breast cancer. The rs1800625 has not been associated with risk of pancreatic cancer in Czech population [13] or breast cancer in Iranian population [6]. Based on the relative small sample size, we could not assess associations between genotypes and patients’ characteristics. So, we recommend conduction of upcoming research projects with larger sample sizes to appraise putative correlations.

Table 6

| SNP   | Frequency of estimated AGER haplotypes in cases and controls (Adjusted P values were calculated by multiplying the P values by the number of estimated haplotypes). |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| rs184003 | rs1800625 | Frequency in cases | Frequency in controls | Total frequency | OR (95% CI) | P-value | Adjusted P-value |
| G      | T        | 0.56 | 0.59 | 0.58 | 0.89 (0.61-1.29) | 0.544 | 1.00 |
| T      | G        | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.70 (0.45-1.09) | 0.117 | 0.466 |
| G      | C        | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 1.77 (1.09-2.88) | 0.020 | 0.079 |
| T      | C        | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 1.42 (0.38-5.38) | 0.851 | 1.00 |
should be assessed in larger cohorts. Associations between other SNPs within this gene and risk of breast cancer should also been assessed in future studies. Meta-analyses of the obtained data from different populations would provide more conclusive results in this regard. However, it should be emphasized that certain SNPs might have distinct roles in the tumorigenesis based on the ethnic-based factors.

Finally, assessment of relative expressions of RAGE and sRAGE in patients and controls might provide further clues for understanding the role of SNPs in conferring risk of cancer.

Declarations

Author contribution statement

Mohammad Taheri, Soudeh Ghafouri-Fard: conceived and designed the experiments; wrote the paper.
Rezvan Noroozi: analyzed and interpreted the data.
Mehrnoush Mousavi: performed the experiments.

Funding statement

This work was supported by Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences.

Competing interest statement

The study was financially supported by Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences.

Additional information

No additional information is available for this paper.

References

[1] M. Serveaux-Dancer, M. Jabaudon, I. Creveaux, C. Belville, R. Blondonne, C. Gross, et al., Pathological Implications of Receptor for Advanced Glycation End-Product (AGER) Gene Polymorphism. Disease Markers 2019, 2019, p. 2067353. PubMed PMID: 30863465. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC6378764. Epub 2019/03/14. eng.
[2] M.W. Nasser, N.A. Wani, D.K. Ahirwar, C.A. Powell, J. Ravi, M. Elbaz, et al., RAGE mediates S100A7-induced breast cancer growth and metastasis by modulating the tumor microenvironment. Cancer Res. 75 (6) (2015 Mar 15) 974–985. PubMed PMID: 25572331. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC4359968. Epub 2015/01/13. eng.
[3] L.J. Sparvero, D. Asafu-Adjei, R. Kang, D. Tang, N. Amin, J. Im, et al., RAGE (Receptor for Advanced Glycation Endproducts), RAGE ligands, and their role in cancer and inflammation. J. Transl. Med. 7 (2009 Mar 17) 17. PubMed PMID: 19292913. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC2666642. Epub 2009/03/19. eng.
[4] P. Tesarova, M. Kalousova, M. Jachymova, O. Mestek, L. Petruzelka, T. Zima, Receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE)-soluble form (sRAGE) and gene polymorphisms in patients with breast cancer, Cancer. Invest. 25 (8) (2007 Dec) 720–725. PubMed PMID: 18058469. Epub 2007/12/07. eng.
[5] H. Pan, L. He, B. Wang, W. Niu, The relationship between RAGE gene four common polymorphisms and breast cancer risk in northeastern Han Chinese. Sci. Rep. 4 (2014 Mar 12) 4355. PubMed PMID: 24619131. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC3594748. Epub 2014/03/13. eng.
[6] M. Hashemi, A. Moazeni-Roodi, F. Arjabi, A. Fazaeli, E.E. Nasab, M. Taheri, et al., Genotyping of -374A/T, -429A/G, and 63 bp In/Del polymorphisms of RAGE by rapid one-step hexamer amplification refractory mutation system polymerase chain reaction in breast cancer patients, Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids 31 (5) (2012 May) 401–410. PubMed PMID: 22497255. Epub 2012/04/14. eng.
[7] I.C. Santos, D.R. Daga, H.R. Frigeri, R.R. Rea, A.C. Almeida, E.M. Souza, et al., The functional polymorphisms -420T>C and -374T>A of the RAGE gene promoter are not associated with gestational diabetes in Euro-Brazilians, Genet. Mol. Res.: GMR 9 (2) (2010 Jun 15) 1130–1135. PubMed PMID: 20568058. Epub 2010/06/23. eng.
[8] A.Z. Kalea, A.M. Schmidt, B.I. Hudson, RAGE: a novel biological and genetic marker for vascular disease, Clinical science (London, England : 1979 116 (8) (2009 Apr) 621–637. PubMed PMID: 19275767. Epub 2009/03/12. eng.
[9] M. Miyashita, T. Watanabe, T. Ichikawa, K. Toriumi, Y. Horiuchi, A. Kobori, et al., The regulation of soluble receptor for AGEs contributes to carbonyl stress in schizophrenia, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 479 (3) (2016 Oct 21) 447–452.
[10] X. Sole, E. Guino, J. Vallis, R. Iniesta, V. Moreno, SNMPs: a web tool for the analysis of association studies, Bioinformatics 22 (15) (2006 Aug 1) 1928–1929. PubMed PMID: 16720584. Epub 2006/05/25. eng.
[11] S.C. Su, M.J. Hsieh, Y.E. Chou, W.L. Fan, C.B. Yeh, S.F. Yang, Effects of RAGE gene polymorphisms on the risk and progression of hepatocellular carcinoma, Medicine 94 (34) (2015 Aug), e1396. PubMed PMID: 26313784. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC4602925. Epub 2015/08/28. eng.
[12] D. Hu, Q. Liu, X. Lin, H. Zhang, J. Lin, X. Zheng, et al., Association of RAGE gene four single nucleotide polymorphisms with the risk, invasion, metastasis and overall survival of gastric cancer in Chinese, J. Cancer 10 (2) (2019) 504–509. PubMed PMID: 30719146. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC6300312. Epub 2019/02/06. eng.
[13] T. Krechler, M. Jachymova, O. Mestek, A. Zak, T. Zima, M. Kalousova, Soluble receptor for advanced glycation end products (sRAGE) and polymorphisms of RAGE and glyoxalase I genes in patients with pancreas cancer. Clin. Biochem. 43 (10–11) (2010 Jul) 882–886. PubMed PMID: 20398646. Epub 2010/04/20. eng.