Photometric and kinematical analysis of Koposov 12 and Koposov 43 open clusters
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Abstract. We present a photometric and kinematical analysis of two poorly studied open clusters, Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and Koposov 43 (FSR 848), by using cross-matched data from PPMXL and Gaia DR2 catalogs. We used astrometric parameters to identify 285 and 310 cluster members for Koposov 12 and Koposov 43, respectively. Using the extracted member candidates and isochrone fitting to near-infrared (J, H, and Ks) and Gaia DR2 bands (G, G_BP, and G_RP), and color-magnitude diagrams (CMDs), we have estimated ages: \( \log(\text{age yr}^{-1}) = 9.00 \pm 0.20 \) and \( 9.50 \pm 0.20 \) and distances \( d = 1850 \pm 43 \) and \( 2500 \pm 50 \) pc for Koposov 12 and Koposov 43, respectively, assuming solar metallicity \( (Z = 0.019) \). The estimated masses of the cluster derived using the initial mass function and synthetic (CMD) are \( 364 \pm 19 \) and \( 352 \pm 19 \) M\(_{\odot} \), respectively. We have also computed their velocity ellipsoid parameters based on \((3 \times 3)\) matrix elements \((\mu_{ij})\).
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1. Introduction

Open star clusters are interesting targets as they provide vital information on stellar structure, kinematics, and evolution of the Galactic disk (Yadav et al. 2011). The present paper is a part of our continuing series (Elsanhoury & Nouh 2019), the purpose of our research work is to determine the main astrophysical and kinematical properties of open clusters considering crossmatch between near-infrared region (NIR), J, H, and K\(_s\), due to the positions and proper motions on the International Celestial Reference System (ICRS) (PPMXL catalog; Roeser et al. 2010) and Gaia photometric system, G, G_BP, and G_RP (Gaia DR2 catalog; Gaia Collaboration 2018).

Since a new interstellar extinction in the NIR, J, H, and K\(_s\), provides an opportunity to profoundly burrow in the spiral arm regions where most of the open clusters are concentrated. The availability of huge NIR surveys allows researchers to perform an automated search to investigate new clusters (Yadav et al. 2011). Utilizing accessible information due to PPMXL by combining United States Naval Observatory (USNO-B1.0; Monet et al. 2003) all-sky catalog completeness down to \( V = 21 \) and the 2 \( \mu \)m all-sky survey catalogs (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006) got to be confirmed by coordinating utilizing the later catalog such as a Global Astrometric Interferometer for Astrophysics Data Release 2 (Evans et al. 2018). Gaia data give recent reliable distances and kinematics to a large number of cluster members with higher accuracy. These recently available space parameters are very crucial and give clues to cluster disruption and the build-up of the field population (Fürnkranz et al. 2019). Recent publications making use of second Gaia data have provided membership lists for over 1000 clusters; however, many nearby objects listed in the literature have so far evaded detection (Cantat-Gaudin & Anders 2020).

The catalog of the second Gaia data release comes to a G-band magnitude of 21 (nine magnitudes fainter...
than Tycho-Gaia Astrometric Solution (TGAS; Michalik et al. 2015). At its faint end, the Gaia DR2 astrometric precision is accurate with that of TGAS, whereas for stars brighter than \( G \lesssim 15 \) the precision is about 10 times better than in TGAS, thus allowing to extend membership determinations to fainter and more distant objects (like our clusters under investigations). For more than 1.3 billion sources, the Gaia DR2 catalog presents five astrometric parameter solutions; central coordinates, proper motion in right ascension and declination, and parallaxes \((\alpha, \delta, \mu_a \cos \delta, \mu_b, \pi)\); moreover, the magnitudes in the three passbands of the Gaia photometric system, \( G \), \( G_{BP} \), and \( G_{RP} \), with precisions at the mmag level. Thus, leads to analyze the dynamical and kinematical evolutions.

Koposov et al. (2008) looked for Galactic star clusters in large multiband surveys to find new star clusters. Glushkova et al. (2010) recorded 168 newly open clusters, among which 26 are embedded ones. From this list, we have chosen two clusters: Koposov 12 (FSR 802) with a diameter of 9 arcmin; \((\alpha, \delta)_{2000} = (06^h00^m56^s.20, 35^d16^m36^s.00)\) with \((l, b) = (176^\circ.17014, 06^\circ.01963)\), and Koposov 43 (FSR 848) with a diameter of 8 arcmin; \((\alpha, \delta)_{2000} = (05^h52^m14^s.60, 29^d55^m00^s.00)\) with \((l, b) = (179^\circ.92431, 01^\circ.73987)\) (Koposov et al. 2008), in order to subject them to a photometric and kinematical investigation.

In this paper, we aim to understand the photometric and kinematic structures of these two open clusters. We have re-estimated their cluster parameters (reddening, distance modulus, and ages) concerned with \( J, H, K_s, G, G_{BP}, \) and \( G_{RP} \) photometry using the membership selection based on the full astrometric solution (utilizing proper motions and magnitude uncertainties). On the other hand, our study of these two open clusters is the first one to estimate their kinematical and dynamical properties, into which we have computed their velocity ellipsoid parameters (VEPs) based on the spatial velocities estimation \((U, V, W)\), matrix elements \((\mu_{ij})\), projected distances \((X_\odot, Y_\odot, \) and \(Z_\odot)\), and solar elements \((S_\odot, l_A, b_A, z_A, \) and \(\delta_A)\).

This paper is organized in such a way that Section 2 describes the data used in this study to estimate the centers and surface density distribution. Section 3 deals with age, reddening, and distance. While Section 4 shows the luminosity and mass functions (LF and MF). Section 5 deals with the relaxation and internal motion processes of these open clusters due to the study of their dynamical and kinematical structures. Finally, the conclusion of this work is drawn in Section 6.

2. Data analysis

We have used the fundamental parameters of these two open clusters inferred by Koposov et al. (2008) and Sampedro et al. (2017), and the Milky Way Star Clusters project (Kharchenko et al. 2013), which is based on 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006) photometry and PPMXL (Roester et al. 2010) astrometry. The parameters are listed in Table 1.

For our purpose of analysis, we have cross-matched two sources of data. One is concerned with the second intermediate Gaia Data Release (Gaia DR2) for row data collected within the first 22 months of the nominal mission processed by the Gaia Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC). Gaia DR2 is setting a new major achievement for Gaia’s mission in stellar, Galactic, and extra Galactic studies. It provides position, trigonometric parallax, radial velocity, and proper motions in both directions for more than 1 billion stars, as well as three broadband photometric magnitudes: \( G \) (330–1050 nm), the Blue Prism \( G_{BP} \) (330–680 nm), and Red Prism \( G_{RP} \) (630–1050 nm) for sources brighter than 21 mag (Weiler 2018).

The second source is devoted here with the PPMXL catalog (Roester et al. 2010), which determines the mean positions and proper motions on the ICRS by combining USNO-B1.0 and 2MASS astrometry. PPMXL (Roester et al. 2010) contains about 900 million objects, some 410 million with 2MASS photometry (Roester et al. 2010). 2MASS had drawn photometric passband observations of the sky for millions of Galaxies and nearly half-billion stars (Carpenter 2001) simultaneously (NIR) regions; \( J \) (1.25 \( \mu \)m), \( H \) (1.65 \( \mu \)m), and \( K_s \) (2.17 \( \mu \)m) with sensitivity; \( J \) (5.8 mag), \( H \) (15.1 mag), and \( K_s \) (14.3 mag) bands at \( S/N = 10 \).

2.1 Cluster center determination

To start our calculations and to download complete row data, we utilized the Gaia DR2\(^1\) source. Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and Koposov 43 (FSR 848) open clusters are located near the Galactic plane; \( |b| < 6^\circ \), Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and \( |b| < 2^\circ \), Koposov 43 (FSR 848) have diameters <10 arcmin. To identify the

\(^{1}\)https://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/VizieR?-source=I/345.
extent of the cluster from the background stellar density, we use a radial density profile (RDP), where we performed star count over an extracted data within 10 arcmin. The data include angular distances (in arcmin) from the center, right ascension (in degrees), and declination (in degrees) with epoch 2015.0 about 3147 stars; Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and 4164 stars; Koposov 43 (FSR 848) open clusters are downloaded.

We performed binning along the right ascension ($\alpha$) and declination ($\delta$), with a bin size of 1.00 arcmin (Maciejewski & Niedzielski 2007; Maciejewski et al. 2009) by two opposite strips were cut along ($\alpha$, $\delta$). Figure 1 shows the resulting histogram, which was built along those ($\alpha$, $\delta$) and the two Gaussian curve fittings are applied to the profiles of star counts in right ascension ($\alpha$) and declination ($\delta$), respectively. Table 2 presents our estimated values of the new centers (i.e., maximum peaks) for both clusters, respectively, including the mean (average) ($\mu$) with the standard errors taken to be ($\pm 1\sigma$) (i.e., standard deviation) of the Gaussian distribution function $f(x)$, i.e., $f(x) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \exp[-(x - \mu)^2/2\sigma^2]$. With such results, we may conclude that

- Our new results of right ascension ($\alpha$) for Koposov 12 (FSR 802) bounded between Sampedro et al. (2017) and Kharchenko et al. (2013), where our estimation is greater by about 01°.61 that given by Sampedro et al. (2017) and smaller by about 05°.04 that given by Kharchenko et al. (2013). Also, our new estimation of declination ($\delta$) is greater by about 19°.19 and 18°.00 for those both authors, respectively.
- On the other hand, the comparison may be accomplished with the other Koposov 43 (FSR 848) cluster into which our new estimation of right ascension ($\alpha$) is smaller by about 00°.42 than that, our declination ($\delta$) is smaller by about 04°.21 that given by Sampedro et al. (2017), and is greater by about 01°15°.60 that given by Kharchenko et al. (2013).

### 2.2 Radial density profile

We downloaded a new worksheet data: 3173 stars, Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and 4162 stars, Koposov 43 (FSR 848), with our new center estimation shown in Table 2, we apply the King (1962) profile with annular bins; 0.90 arcmin (Koposov 12) and 1.00 arcmin (Koposov 43), to estimate their structural parameters, i.e., core radius ($r_{\text{core}}$), central surface density, we use a radial density profile (RDP), where we performed star count over an extracted data within 10 arcmin. The data include angular distances (in arcmin) from the center, right ascension (in degrees), and declination (in degrees) with epoch 2015.0 about 3147 stars; Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and 4164 stars; Koposov 43 (FSR 848) open clusters are downloaded.

We performed binning along the right ascension ($\alpha$) and declination ($\delta$), with a bin size of 1.00 arcmin (Maciejewski & Niedzielski 2007; Maciejewski et al. 2009) by two opposite strips were cut along ($\alpha$, $\delta$). Figure 1 shows the resulting histogram, which was built along those ($\alpha$, $\delta$) and the two Gaussian curve fittings are applied to the profiles of star counts in right ascension ($\alpha$) and declination ($\delta$), respectively. Table 2 presents our estimated values of the new centers (i.e., maximum peaks) for both clusters, respectively, including the mean (average) ($\mu$) with the standard errors taken to be ($\pm 1\sigma$) (i.e., standard deviation) of the Gaussian distribution function $f(x)$, i.e., $f(x) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \exp[-(x - \mu)^2/2\sigma^2]$. With such results, we may conclude that

- Our new results of right ascension ($\alpha$) for Koposov 12 (FSR 802) bounded between Sampedro et al. (2017) and Kharchenko et al. (2013), where our estimation is greater by about 01°.61 that given by Sampedro et al. (2017) and smaller by about 05°.04 that given by Kharchenko et al. (2013). Also, our new estimation of declination ($\delta$) is greater by about 19°.19 and 18°.00 for those both authors, respectively.
- On the other hand, the comparison may be accomplished with the other Koposov 43 (FSR 848) cluster into which our new estimation of right ascension ($\alpha$) is smaller by about 00°.42 than that, our declination ($\delta$) is smaller by about 04°.21 that given by Sampedro et al. (2017), and is greater by about 01°15°.60 that given by Kharchenko et al. (2013).
density \( (f_o) \), and background surface density \( (f_{bg}) \):
\[
\rho(r) = f_{bg} + \frac{f_o}{1 + (r/r_{core})^2}.
\]  

Figure 2 shows the King model fit and its uncertainties (estimated using OriginPro package) for the density distribution of these two open clusters. In expansion, we will characterize the limiting radius \( (r_{lim}) \) (Tadross & Bendary 2014) as the radius at which the line represents the value of the background density that intersects the King model fitting curve. At this point, the background star density \( (\rho_b = f_{bg} + 3\sigma_{bg}) \), where \( (\sigma_{bg}) \) is the fluctuation (uncertainty) of the background surface density \( (f_{bg}) \). Mathematically, we have
\[
r_{lim} = r_{core} \sqrt{\frac{f_o}{3\sigma_{bg}}} - 1.
\]  

In what takes after, we provided a microscopic investigation of our internal cluster structure estimation, counting \( r_{core}, f_{bg}, \) and \( f_o \), where numerical values of these parameters are listed in Table 3. We may take note that our calculated core radius \( (r_{core}) \) is higher than by \( \sim 0.142 \) pc and smaller with \( 0.110 \) pc for both clusters, respectively, as compared with Kharchenko et al. (2013). Our limiting radius \( (r_{lim}) \) is smaller than by almost \( 0.014 \) and \( 1.294 \) pc for those clusters, respectively, as compared with Kharchenko et al. (2013) database.

On the other hand, for our case study, it is the first one ever to compute the density contrast parameter \( (\delta_c) \) (i.e., \( \delta_c = 1 + f_o / f_{bg} \)) such as in Table 3. Interestingly, the density contrast parameter \( (\delta_c) \) comes to high values \( (7 \leq \delta_c \leq 23) \) (Bonatto & Bica 2009) as expected from the compact star clusters.

The last row of Table 3 gives the concentration parameter \( (C) \) of these both clusters, which is concerned with the ratio between limiting and core radii (i.e., \( C = r_{lim}/r_{core} \)). Nilakshi et al. (2002) concluded that the angular size of the coronal region is almost...
 Whereas Maciejewski & Niedzielski (2007) detailed that \( r_{\text{lim}} \) extended between \( 2r_{\text{core}} \) and \( 7r_{\text{core}} \). Our concentration parameter \( C \) is in a good agreement with Maciejewski & Niedzielski (2007), which is about \( 4.305 \pm 0.482 \) and \( 6.911 \pm 0.380 \), respectively, for both clusters.

Now, our ultimate objective is to determine the space density (stars arcmin\(^{-2}\)). Consider for the stellar system (e.g., star clusters) with radius \( R \), the luminosity per unit volume at position \( r (r < R) \) shows that the surface brightness \( I(R) \) and the luminosity density \( j(r) \) are related by the formula (Binney & Tremaine 2008)

\[
I(R) = 2 \int_{R}^{\infty} dr \frac{rj(r)}{\sqrt{r^2 - R^2}} ,
\]

(3)

where

\[
j(r) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{dR}{\sqrt{R^2 - r^2}} \frac{dI}{dR}.
\]

(4)

The classical space density distribution (surface brightness per unit volume) in a specific direction of the Galactic plane of a given kind of association (e.g., star clusters) is usually determined by Blaauw & Schmidt (1965). Here the volume element (i.e., density) is determined by dividing the cluster into shells with a certain width depending on the number of stars in each radius interval (shell), then we divided the counts in each shell by the volume (zone) of this shell (van Rhijn 1965; Elsanhoury et al. 2011; Elsanhoury 2020).

**Table 3.** Our results of the internal structure for Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and Koposov 43 (FSR 848) with other published ones.

| Parameters | Koposov 12 (FSR 802) | Koposov 43 (FSR 848) | References |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| \( f_{\text{bg}} \) (stars arcmin\(^{-2}\)) | 0.069 ± 0.016 | 0.093 ± 0.006 | Present study |
| \( f_o \) (stars arcmin\(^{-2}\)) | 0.921 ± 0.111 | 0.951 ± 0.028 | Present study |
| \( r_{\text{core}} \) (pc) | 0.805 ± 0.002 | 0.571 ± 0.003 | Present study |
|  | 0.663 | 0.681 | Kharchenko et al. (2013) |
| \( r_{\text{lim}} \) (pc) | 3.466 ± 0.240 | 3.946 ± 0.213 | Present study |
|  | 3.48 | 5.24 | Kharchenko et al. (2013) |
| \( \delta_c \) | 14.324 ± 3.785 | 11.226 ± 3.351 | Present study |
| \( C \) | 4.305 ± 0.482 | 6.911 ± 0.380 | Present study |

**Figure 2.** RDP of clusters: the left panel considered with Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and the right panel presents that for Koposov 43 (FSR 848) with error bars. The fitted solid lines were applied with King’s model and the dashed lines represent the background field density \( f_{\text{bg}} \).
To compute the star density (stars arcmin\(^{-3}\)) according to the Freedman & Diaconis (1981) rule, we can divide the above remarks of \( n = 3173 \) stars, Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and 4162 stars, Koposov 43 (FSR 848) into 16 and 17 groups, respectively, according to their radial distances (arcmin). Statistical steps in constructing a frequency distribution of these points under consideration are shown in Table 4, into which

- The number (\( k \)) of intervals can be determined with 
  \[ k = (\text{max distance} - \text{min distance})/h, \]
  where \( h \) is the bin width.

Table 4. Statistical parameters of Koposov 12 and Koposov 43 open clusters according to the Freedman & Diaconis (1981) rule.

| Statistical parameters | Koposov 12 (FSR 802) | Koposov 43 (FSR 848) |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| \( n \)                | 3173                 | 4162                 |
| Min. distance (arcmin) | 0.1818               | 0.1365               |
| Max. distance (arcmin) | 9.9997               | 9.99959              |
| IQR                    | 4.707                | 4.834                |
| \( h \)                | 0.641                | 0.601                |
| \( k \)                | 16.00                | 17.00                |

Table 5. Frequency distribution of 3173 stars, Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and 4162 stars, Koposov 43 (FSR 848) open clusters under investigations.

| Intervals (arcmin) | Midpoint (arcmin) | Frequencies (counts) | Density (stars arcmin\(^{-3}\)) | Intervals (arcmin) | Midpoint (arcmin) | Frequencies (counts) | Density (stars arcmin\(^{-3}\)) |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 0.1818–0.8228      | 0.5023            | 23                   | 9.9857                        | 0.1365–0.7375     | 0.4370            | 31                   | 18.6064                       |
| 0.8228–1.4638      | 1.1433            | 66                   | 6.1212                        | 0.7375–1.3885     | 1.0380            | 68                   | 8.1466                        |
| 1.4638–2.1048      | 1.7843            | 93                   | 3.5954                        | 1.3385–1.9395     | 1.6390            | 114                  | 5.5685                        |
| 2.1048–2.7458      | 2.4253            | 114                  | 2.3972                        | 1.9395–2.5405     | 2.2400            | 157                  | 4.1270                        |
| 2.7458–3.3868      | 3.0663            | 146                  | 1.9248                        | 2.5405–3.1415     | 2.8410            | 183                  | 2.9972                        |
| 3.3868–4.0278      | 3.7073            | 156                  | 1.4086                        | 3.1415–3.7425     | 3.4420            | 194                  | 2.1672                        |
| 4.0278–4.6688      | 4.3483            | 175                  | 1.1494                        | 3.7425–4.3435     | 4.0430            | 215                  | 1.7420                        |
| 4.6688–5.3098      | 4.9893            | 214                  | 1.0680                        | 4.3435–4.9445     | 4.6440            | 220                  | 1.3516                        |
| 5.3098–5.9508      | 5.6303            | 221                  | 0.8664                        | 4.9445–5.5455     | 5.2450            | 258                  | 1.2430                        |
| 5.9508–6.5918      | 6.2713            | 259                  | 0.8186                        | 5.5455–6.1465     | 5.8460            | 259                  | 1.0047                        |
| 6.5918–7.2328      | 6.9123            | 270                  | 0.7025                        | 6.1465–6.7475     | 6.4470            | 330                  | 1.0527                        |
| 7.2328–7.8738      | 7.5533            | 288                  | 0.6276                        | 6.7475–7.3485     | 7.0480            | 327                  | 0.8729                        |
| 7.8738–8.5148      | 8.1943            | 337                  | 0.6241                        | 7.3485–7.9495     | 7.6490            | 353                  | 0.8001                        |
| 8.5148–9.1558      | 8.8353            | 303                  | 0.4827                        | 7.9495–8.5505     | 8.2500            | 399                  | 0.7775                        |
| 9.1558–9.7968      | 9.4763            | 385                  | 0.5332                        | 8.5505–9.1515     | 8.8510            | 388                  | 0.6569                        |
| 9.7968–10.4378     | 10.1173           | 123                  | 0.1494                        | 9.1515–9.7525     | 9.4520            | 459                  | 0.6815                        |
| –                   | –                 | –                    | –                              | 9.7525–10.3535    | 10.053            | 207                  | 0.2717                        |

\( \Sigma = 3173 \) \hspace{1cm} \( \Sigma = 4162 \)

- The bin width (\( h \)) in Freedman & Diaconis (1981) is 
  \[ h = (2 \times \text{IQR}/\sqrt{n}), \]
  where \( n \) is the sample size and IQR is the sample interquartile range.

Table 5 presents the intervals (classes) into which the first and second columns give the intervals (arcmin) and the center of the interval (arcmin), respectively, the third column presents the frequencies (counts), and the last column gives the density (stars arcmin\(^{-3}\)). Figure 3 shows our development volume density profile (VDP) (stars arcmin\(^{-3}\)) distribution of both clusters.

3. Age, reddening, and distance

In this section, we need to determine many parameters of the cluster (reddening, distance modulus, and ages) due to a photometric analysis by constructing the color magnitude diagram (CMD) with a reduced field star contamination (i.e., established membership). Presently, Gaia DR2 catalog will be arranged to download worksheet row data with parallaxes greater than or equal to zero. Therefore, we have 558 stars (4.5 arcmin radius) of Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and 612 stars (4 arcmin radius) of Koposov 43 (FSR 848). Following the consideration devoted by Roeser et al. (2010),
into which (i) stars with proper motions uncertainties $\geq 4.0$ (mas yr$^{-1}$) were rejected, (ii) stars with observational uncertainties $\geq 0.2$ mag (Claria & Lapasset 1986). Therefore, the obtained results are 505 stars of Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and 534 stars of Koposov 43 (FSR 848).

Since proper motions play a vital role to separate field stars from the main sequence and to derive authentic fundamental parameters as well (Yadav et al. 2011; Sariya et al. 2018, 2019; Bisht et al. 2020a). Figure 4 shows a proper motion vector point diagram (VPD) on both sides with a distribution histogram of 1.00 (mas yr$^{-1}$) bins. The Gaussian function fit to the central bins provides the mean proper motion in both directions. Therefore (iii) all data within the range $(\pm 1\sigma)$ can be considered probable astrometric members (candidates).

Finally, we used these lists of members and interfere those with the PPMXL catalog (Roeser et al. 2010) via a cross-match to get a corresponding near a region of the clusters in $J$, $H$, and $K_s$ pass-bands utilizing TOPCAT\(^2\) (Taylor 2005) based on The Starlink Tables Infrastructure Library. It is powerful in our analysis for working with tabular data to evaluate a random number in the range of $0 \leq x < 1$, and offers many facilities for

\(^2\)http://www.star.bris.ac.uk/~mbt/topcat/.
manipulation of data such as astronomical catalogs. As a result of the above procedures, we have a high membership probability of $\frac{50}{\%}$, i.e., 285 stars of Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and 310 stars of Koposov 43 (FSR 848), respectively.

The cluster parameters (reddening, distance modulus, and ages) were estimated with several isochrones of different ages with the theoretical Padova isochrones\(^3\) (Marigo et al. 2017) for $(J, H, K_s, G, G_{BP}$, and $G_{RP}$) colors as in a case of Evans et al. (2018). The best fit should be obtained at the same distance modulus for both diagrams, and the reddening of the photometric system given by Fiorucci & Munari (2003).

Our fitted color-magnitude diagrams (CMDs) for $(J-K_s, J-H, J)$, and $(G_{BP}-G_{RP}, G)$ are shown in Figure 5. All numerically astrophysical data appeared in Table 6 with comments.

Our estimation may be done with solar metallicity ($Z = 0.019$) (Froebrich et al. 2008) and are found in a region not heavily contaminated by field stars (Bonatto et al. 2004), we adopt $\log(\text{age yr}^{-1}) = 9.00 \pm 0.20$ for Koposov 12 (FSR 802), which is greater than those obtained (Sampedro et al. 2017; Kharchenko et al. 2013) by about $\log(\text{age yr}^{-1}) = 0.22$

Figure 5. Padova color magnitude diagram (CMD) isochrones over $[K_s \text{ vs. } (J - K_s), J \text{ vs. } (J - H), \text{ and } G \text{ vs. } (G_{BP} - G_{RP})]$ with Evans et al. (2018) for Koposov 12 (FSR 802): upper panel and Koposov 43 (FSR 848): lower panel.

\(^3\)http://stev.oapd.inaf.it/cgi-bin/cmd.
and 0.81, respectively; and log(age yr\(^{-1}\)) = 9.50 ± 0.20 for Koposov 43 (FSR 848), which is also greater than those obtained by same above authors by about log(age yr\(^{-1}\)) = 0.20 and 0.385, respectively.

The reddening (color excess) of the clusters have been determined using the relations determined by Schlegel et al. (1998) and Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011), where the coefficient ratios \(A_J/A_V = 0.276\) and \(A_H/A_V = 0.176\) are inferred using absorption ratios determined by Schlegel et al. (1998), whereas the ratio \(A_K/A_V = 0.118\) was derived by Dutra et al. (2002). For our calculations with \((J, H, K_s)\), we used the following results for the color excess of the photometric system by Fiorucci & Munari (2003); \(E(J-H)/E(B-V) = 0.309 ± 0.130\), \(E(J-K_s)/E(B-V) = 0.485 ± 0.150\), where \(R_V = A_V/E(B-V) = 3.1\). By using these formulae for these two clusters under examination to rectify the effects of reddening on the color magnitude diagrams (CMDs) with extinction coefficients \((A_V)\), i.e., \(A_V = 1.407\) and 1.490, therefore the extinction coefficients for both clusters are \(A = 0.276\) and 0.283, respectively. The line of sight extinction \((A_G)\) and the reddening \(E(G_{BP} - G_{RP})\) are estimated like in Hendy (2018) as \(A_G/A_V = 0.859\) and \(E(B-V) = 1.289E(G_{BP} - G_{RP})\). In this manner we have \(A_G = 1.209\) and \(E(G_{BP} - G_{RP}) = 0.352\) for Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and \(A_G = 1.280\) and \(E(G_{BP} - G_{RP}) = 0.374\) for Koposov 43 (FSR 848).

One of the most reasons for our CMDs is that it demonstrates the heliocentric distances \[d = 10^{[(m-M)-A+S/5]};\text{pc}\] is of the order of about 1,850 ± 43 and 2,500 ± 50 pc, and the reddening \(E(B-V)\) are almost 0.454 ± 0.05 and 0.482 ± 0.05 mag for Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and Koposov 43 (FSR 848), respectively.

### 4. Luminosity and mass functions

In this section, the luminosity function (LF) and mass function (MF) of the clusters have been estimated. Good results in the photometric parameters and position of the clusters have been obtained. Hence, we will induce their LF and describe the total number of stars in different absolute magnitudes and MF. Figure 6 presents LFs of Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and Koposov 43 (FSR 848) with absolute \((M_K)\)

| Parameters | Koposov 12 (FSR 802) | Koposov 43 (FSR 848) | References |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| log(age yr\(^{-1}\)) | 9.00 ± 0.20 | 9.50 ± 0.20 | Present study |
| 8.78 | 9.30 | Sampedro et al. (2017) |
| 8.190 | 9.115 | Kharchenko et al. (2013) |
| 8.80 | – | Yadav et al. (2011) |
| 9.00 | 9.3 | Froebrich et al. (2008) |
| \(d\) (pc) | 1850 ± 43 | 2500 ± 50 | Present study |
| 2351.20 | 4787.50 | Soubiran et al. (2018) |
| 2525.25 | 5555.56 | Cantat-Gaudin et al. (2018) |
| 2000 | 2800 | Sampedro et al. (2017) |
| 1900 | 3000 | Kharchenko et al. (2013) |
| 2000 ± 200 | – | Yadav et al. (2011) |
| 2050 | 2800 | Froebrich et al. (2008) |
| \(E(B-V)\) | 0.454 ± 0.05 | 0.482 ± 0.05 | Present study |
| 0.51 | 0.38 | Sampedro et al. (2017) |
| 0.45 | 0.65 | Kharchenko et al. (2013) |
| 0.51 ± 0.05 | – | Yadav et al. (2011) |
| \(E(J-K_s)\) | 0.220 ± 0.06 | 0.233 ± 0.06 | Present study |
| 0.216 | 0.312 | Kharchenko et al. (2013) |
| \(E(J-H)\) | 0.140 ± 0.08 | 0.149 ± 0.07 | Present study |
| 0.144 | 0.208 | Kharchenko et al. (2013) |
| \((m-M)\) | 11.60 ± 0.28 | 12.25 ± 0.28 | Present study |
| 11.55 ± 0.03 | 12.21 ± 0.09 | Koposov et al. (2008) |
magnitude in the range \(-3.415 < M_K < 5.658\) and \(-3.234 < M_K < 4.982\), respectively. The total luminosities have computed for both clusters with values of \(2.84 \pm 1.37\) and \(2.57 \pm 1.33\) mag, respectively. Mass segregation massive stars are concentrated toward the cluster core than fainter ones and this phenomenon has been reported recently for many open clusters (Piatti 2016; Zeidler et al. 2017; Dib et al. 2018; Rangwal et al. 2019; Bisht et al. 2020b; Joshi et al. 2020).

To compute the MF of these open clusters, we have characterized the initial MF (IMF) with power law as follows:

\[
\frac{dN}{dM} = M^{-\Gamma},
\]

where \((dN/dM)\) is the number of stars on the mass interval \((M; M + dM)\) and \((\Gamma)\) is a dimensionless exponent. From Salpeter (1955), the IMF for massive stars \((\geq 1M_\odot)\) has been considered and well built-up (i.e., \(\Gamma = 2.35\)).

According to the well-known MLR and accounting the absolute magnitudes \((M_K)\) and masses \((M/M_\odot)\) of the adopted isochrones (Evans et al. 2018), we can infer the MLR of each cluster (Elsanhoury & Nouh 2019). This relationship is polynomial functions of the second order for two ranges of luminosities, as shown in Figure 7. Therefore,

- For Koposov 12 (FSR 802):

\[
\left[ \frac{M}{M_\odot} \right]_{\text{Koposov 12}} = 2.042 - 0.162M_K - 0.032M_K^2.
\]

- For Koposov 43 (FSR 848):

\[
\left[ \frac{M}{M_\odot} \right]_{\text{Koposov 43}} = 1.476 - 0.055M_K - 0.024M_K^2.
\]

Then, the total masses are \(364 \pm 19 M_\odot\) and \(352 \pm 19 M_\odot\) for Koposov 12 and Koposov 43, respectively.

Figure 8 presents the MFs of these open clusters with error bars, showing their fitted line with slopes \(-2.62 \pm 0.56\) (Koposov 12) and \(-2.22 \pm 0.90\) (Koposov 43), which are in good agreement with Salpeter’s value (1955).

5. Dynamical and kinematical structures

Now, we are going to consider the dynamical and kinematical processes involved in these two open clusters, the results obtained are shown in Table 7 with comments.

It is known that in the Galactic disk, the effect on the gravitational massive body (e.g., star cluster) is given by Röser & Elena (2019) equation, i.e.,

\[
x_L = \left[ \frac{GM_C}{4A(A - B)} \right]^{1/3} = \left[ \frac{GM_C}{4\Omega^2 - k^2} \right]^{1/3},
\]

where \(x_L\) is the gap of the Lagrangian points within the center, \(M_C\) is the sum of the collective mass (with Equations (6) and (7)) toward the gap from the center, which is mentioned to be the tidal radius \((r_t)\) of the cluster (i.e., \(x_L \approx r_t\)) into which the star undergoes equal forces due to the gravitational pull toward the cluster and in the opposite
direction (i.e., the Galactic center), \( \Omega_\odot = A - B \) the angular velocity and \( \kappa = \sqrt{-4B(A - B)} \) the epicyclic frequency at the position of the Sun (both in \( \text{km s}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-1} \)) (Röser et al. 2011). \( A \) and \( B \) are Oort’s constants: 15.3 \pm 0.4 and \(-11.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-1} \) with Bovy (2017) and also equals to 15.6 \pm 1.6 and \(-13.9 \pm 1.8 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-1} \) by Nouh & Elsanhoury (2020), and 
\[
G = 4.30 \times 10^{-6} \text{ kpc} \text{M}_\odot^{-1} \text{(km s}^{-1} \text{)}^2
\]
the gravitational constant. In such a way, our obtained \( r_t \approx 9.80 \pm 3.13 \text{ pc} \) as a function of our total estimated masses \( (M_C) \) for Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and \( 9.70 \pm 3.11 \text{ pc} \) for Koposov 43 (FSR) clusters.

Due to forces of contraction and/or destruction, open clusters come to the Maxwellian stability equilibrium with a time characterized as a relaxation time \( T_{\text{relax}} \), into which the cluster will lose all traces of its initial dynamic condition (Yadav et al. 2011; Bisht et al. 2019). \( T_{\text{relax}} \) depends on dynamical crossing time \( T_{\text{cross}} \) and the number \( N \) of member stars (Lada & Lada 2003). During relaxation time \( T_{\text{relax}} \), low mass stars possess the largest random velocity,

Figure 7. MLR between absolute magnitude \( (M_K) \) and masses \( (M/M_\odot) \) with solar metallicity \( (Z = 0.019) \) (gray circles) from isochrones (Evans et al. 2018) and its fitted lines (black solid) for Koposov 12 (FSR 802, left panel) and Koposov 43 (FSR 848, right panel).

Figure 8. The MFs of both Koposov 12 (FSR 802, left panel) and Koposov 43 (FSR 848, right panel) with their fitted lines.
Table 7. Our dynamical and kinematical parameters of Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and Koposov 43 (FSR 848) open clusters.

| Parameters            | Koposov 12 (FSR 802) | Koposov 43 (FSR 848) | References               |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| No. of members (N)    | 285                   | 310                   | Present study            |
| \( \mu_2 \cos \delta \) (mas yr\(^{-1}\)) | \(0.632 \pm 0.006\) | \(0.517 \pm 0.057\) | Present study            |
| \( \mu_3 \) (mas yr\(^{-1}\)) | \(-1.945 \pm 0.006\) | \(-1.810 \pm 0.057\) | Present study            |
| Luminosity (mag)      | 2.84 ± 1.37           | 2.57 ± 1.33           | Present study            |
| \( \Gamma \)          | 2.62 ± 0.56           | 2.22 ± 0.90           | Present study            |
| Total mass \( M_c \) (\(M_\odot\)) | 364 ± 19              | 352 ± 19              | Present study            |
| Average mass (\(M_\odot\)) | 1.276                 | 1.138                 | Present study            |
| \( r_1 \) (pc)        | 9.80 ± 3.13           | 9.70 ± 3.11           | Present study            |
| \( T_{\text{cross}} \) (Myr) | 10.316 ± 3.22         | 12.750 ± 3.57         | Present study            |
| \( T_{\text{relax}} \) (Myr) | 65.017 ± 8.06         | 86.125 ± 9.28         | Present study            |
| \( \tau_{\text{ev}} \) (Myr) | 6501 ± 80.67          | 8613 ± 92.81          | Present study            |
| \( \tau \)            | 15.38 ± 3.92          | 36.72 ± 6.06          | Present study            |
| \( V_{\text{esc}} \) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | 311 ± 5.67            | 332 ± 5.48            | Present study            |
| \( \langle V_x, V_y, V_z \rangle \) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(-15.62 ± 3.95, 32.50 ± 5.70, -22.50 ± 4.74\) | \(-31.17 ± 5.58, 55.68 ± 7.46, -41.56 ± 6.45\) | Present study |
| \( \langle U, V, W \rangle \) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(-16.60 ± 4.07, -39.03 ± 2.25, -20.80 ± 0.60\) | \(-26.74 ± 5.17, -71.27 ± 8.44, -2.27 ± 0.66\) | Present study |
| \( \langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \rangle \) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | 13841.10, 827.71, 134.46 | 236661.82, 8411.11, 608.45 | Present study |
| \( \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \rangle \) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | 117.65, 28.77, 11.60 | 486.48, 91.71, 24.67 | Present study |
| \( \sigma_Y \) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | 122 ± 9.00            | 496 ± 4.50            | Present study            |
| \( l_1, m_1, n_1 \) \(^\circ\) | 0.088, 0.983, -0.158 | 0.002, -0.921, 0.389 | Present study            |
| \( l_2, m_2, n_2 \) \(^\circ\) | -0.313, -0.123, -0.942 | -0.129, -0.386, -0.913 | Present study |
| \( l_3, m_3, n_3 \) \(^\circ\) | 0.946, -0.133, -0.297 | 0.992, -0.048, -0.120 | Present study |
| \( X, y, z \) (pc)    | -17.074, 3792.7, 2683.33 | 334.563, 10042.5, 5787.05 | Present study |
| \( B_j, j = 1, 2, 3 \) | 9°.100, -70°.359, -17°.253 | 22°.902, -65°.977, -6°.865 | Present study |
| \( L_j, j = 1, 2, 3 \) | -84°.865, 158°.471, -172°.014 | 89°.589, 108°.446, -177°.226 | Present study |

\( X_\odot \) (kpc) | -1.836 ± 0.043 | -2.499 ± 0.050 | Present study |
\( Y_\odot \) (kpc) | 0.124 ± 0.001 | 0.004 ± 0.0002 | Present study |
\( Z_\odot \) (kpc) | 0.1568 ± 0.002 | 0.006 ± 0.0003 | Present study |
\( R_{gc} \) (kpc) | 9.347 ± 0.097 | 10.00 ± 0.100 | Present study |
\( S_\odot \) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | 42.50 | 76.15 | Present study |
\( u_\alpha, v_\alpha \) \(^w\) s.v.c. | -66.96, 3.77 | -69.44, 1.71 | Present study |
\( \delta_\alpha, \delta_\alpha \) \(^w\) s.v.c. | -64.33, 31.96 | -60.76, 33.08 | Present study |

where \( T_{\text{cross}} = D/\sigma_Y \) is the dynamical crossing time; namely defined as the time needed (independent of the size and shape of the orbit) for the cluster to cross the Galaxy once (Binney & Merrifield 1998). Typically, crossing time (\( T_{\text{cross}} \)) in open clusters is \( \sim 10^6 \) years.
(Lada & Lada 2003) and \( D \) is the cluster diameter (Maciejewski & Niedzielski 2007) with expression corresponding to Lada & Lada (2003) as \( D \simeq 2r_{\text{lim}} \). All numerical values of crossing time \( (T_{\text{cross}}) \) and relaxation time \( (T_{\text{relax}}) \) are drawn here with those in Table 7.

For enough cluster members, the time needed to eject all its members from internal stellar encounters defined as the evaporation time \( (\tau_{\text{ev}}) \) (Adams & Myers 2001) to be \( >10^8 \) years; \( (\tau_{\text{ev}}) \) for a stellar system in virial equilibrium is of the order \( 10^2 (T_{\text{relax}}) \). The escaping velocity of stars from the cluster is defined as \( V_{\text{esc}} = R_{\text{gc}} \sqrt{2GM_C/3r_i^3} \) (Fich & Tremaine 1991; Fukushima & Heggie 2000) and must be less than the dispersion velocity \( (\sigma_v) \) (Lada & Lada 2003). Thus, a bound group will emerge only if the star-formation efficiency (characterizes most cluster-forming dense cores) is \( >50\% \) (Wilking & Lada 1983).

Finally, we can describe the dynamical state of these clusters by computing their dynamical evolution parameter \( (\tau = \text{age}/T_{\text{relax}}) \). If the cluster age was founded greater than its relaxation time, i.e., \( \tau > 1 \) then the cluster was dynamically relaxed and vice versa.

Now, we focused on some kinematics and VEPs for our objects with member \( (N) \) stars due to the computational algorithm presented (Elsanhoury 2015; Elsanhoury et al. 2015, 2016, 2018; Bisht et al. 2020a; Postnikova et al. 2020) by considering members coordinated with \( (x, \delta) \) located at a distance \( d_i \) (pc), proper motions \( (\text{mas yr}^{-1}) \) in both directions and radial velocities \( V_r \) (km s\(^{-1}\)), which are listed in Table 1. Therefore, and according to the well-known basic equations that governs the positions \( (x, y, z; \text{pc}) \) from the Sun along with the equatorial system and distance \( d \) (pc) of the star members (Mihalas & Binney 1981), i.e.,

\[
x = d \cos \delta \cos \alpha, \\
y = d \cos \delta \sin \alpha, \\
z = d \sin \delta.
\]

In this method, differentiating Equations (10)–(12) with respect to time, we obtain the velocity components \( (V_x, V_y, V_z; \text{km s}^{-1}) \) along \( x, y, \) and \( z \) axes in the coordinate system with respect to the Sun (Smart 1968), i.e.,

\[
V_x = -4.74d_i \mu_x \cos \delta \sin \alpha - 4.74d_i \mu_\delta \sin \delta \cos \alpha + V_r \cos \delta \cos \alpha, \\
V_y = +4.74d_i \mu_x \cos \delta \cos \alpha - 4.74d_i \mu_\delta \sin \delta \sin \alpha + V_r \cos \delta \sin \alpha, \\
V_z = +4.74d_i \mu_\delta \cos \delta + V_r \sin \delta.
\]  

To obtain the components of space velocities \( (U, V, W) \) along with Galactic coordinates as a function of space stellar velocities \( (V_x, V_y, V_z) \) whose definite to the Sun were derived with Liu et al. (2011); i.e., from the equatorial to the Galactic coordinates, based on NIR by 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006) and radio observation data, i.e.,

\[
U = -0.0518807421V_x - 0.872226427V_y - 0.4863497200V_z, \\
V = +0.484692369V_x - 0.4477920852V_y + 0.7513692061V_z, \\
W = -0.8731447899V_x - 0.1967483417V_y + 0.4459913295V_z.
\]

In this section, we will give a brief description of the algorithm mentioned above. Let \( (\bar{z}) \) and its zero points focuses coincide with the center of the distribution and let \( (l, m, n) \) be the direction cosines of the axis with respect to the shifted one, then the coordinates \( (Q_i) \) of the point \( (i) \) with respect to the \( \bar{z}-\text{axis} \) are given by

\[
Q_i = l(U_i - \overline{U}) + m(V_i - \overline{V}) + n(W_i - \overline{W}),
\]

where \( (\overline{U}, \overline{V}, \overline{W}) \) are the mean velocities and considering \( (\sigma^2) \) maybe a generalization of the mean square deviation, i.e.,

\[
\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2.
\]

Using the mean velocities \( (\overline{U}, \overline{V}, \overline{W}) \), and Equations (19) and (20), one deduces that

\[
\sigma^2 = \chi^T B \chi,
\]

where \( (\chi) \) is the \( (3 \times 3) \) direction cosines vector and \( B \) is the \( (3 \times 3) \) symmetric matrix with elements \( (\mu_{ij}) \):

\[
\mu_{11} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} U_i^2 - (\overline{U})^2; \mu_{12} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} U_i V_i - \overline{U \overline{V}}, \\
\mu_{13} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} U_i W_i - \overline{U \overline{W}}, \mu_{22} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} V_i^2 - (\overline{V})^2, \\
\mu_{23} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} V_i W_i - \overline{V \overline{W}}, \mu_{33} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_i^2 - (\overline{W})^2.
\]
Now, the necessary condition for an extremum is as follows:
\[(B - \lambda I)\vec{x} = 0.\]  
(23)

These are three homogeneous equations in three unknowns that have a nontrivial solution if and only if
\[D(\lambda) = |B - \lambda I| = 0.\]  
(24)

The above equation is the characteristic equation for the matrix \(B\), where \(\lambda\) is the eigenvalue, \(\vec{x}\) and \(B\) could be written as
\[
\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} l \\ m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{11} & \mu_{12} & \mu_{13} \\ \mu_{21} & \mu_{22} & \mu_{23} \\ \mu_{31} & \mu_{32} & \mu_{33} \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Then, the required roots (i.e., eigenvalues) are
\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_1 &= 2\rho^2 \cos \frac{\phi}{3} - \frac{k_1}{3}, \\
\lambda_2 &= -\rho^2 \left\{ \cos \frac{\phi}{3} + \sqrt{3} \sin \frac{\phi}{3} \right\} - \frac{k_1}{3}, \\
\lambda_3 &= -\rho^2 \left\{ \cos \frac{\phi}{3} - \sqrt{3} \sin \frac{\phi}{3} \right\} - \frac{k_1}{3},
\end{align*}
\]
(25)

where
\[
\begin{align*}
k_1 &= -(\mu_{11} + \mu_{22} + \mu_{33}), \\
k_2 &= \mu_{11}\mu_{22} + \mu_{11}\mu_{33} + \mu_{22}\mu_{33} - (\mu_{12}^2 + \mu_{13}^2 + \mu_{23}^2), \\
k_3 &= \mu_{12}^2\mu_{33} + \mu_{13}^2\mu_{22} + \mu_{23}^2\mu_{11} - \mu_{11}\mu_{22}\mu_{33} \\
&\quad - 2\mu_{12}\mu_{13}\mu_{23},
\end{align*}
\]
(26)

\[
q = \frac{1}{3}k_2 - \frac{1}{9}k_1; \quad r = \frac{1}{6}(k_1k_2 - 3k_3) - \frac{1}{27}k_1^3,
\]
(27)

\[
\rho = \sqrt{-q^3},
\]
(28)

\[
\phi = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{X}}{r}\right),
\]
(30)

Depending on the matrix that controls the eigenvalue problem (Equation 24) for the velocity ellipsoid, we built up analytical expressions of some parameters in terms of the \((3 \times 3)\) matrix elements \((\mu_{ij})\). Table 7 shows all those numerical results.

- **The Galactic longitude and latitude parameters:** Let \((L_j)\) and \((B_j)\), \((\forall j = 1, 2, 3)\) be the Galactic longitude and latitude of the directions, respectively, which correspond to the extreme values of the dispersion, then
\[
L_j = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{-m_j}{l_j}\right),
\]
(34)

\[
B_j = \sin^{-1}(n_j).
\]
(35)

- **The center of the cluster:** The center of the cluster \((x_c, y_c, z_c)\) can be derived by the simple method of finding the equatorial coordinates of the center of mass for the number \((N_i)\) of discrete objects, i.e.,
\[
x_c = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i \cos \alpha_i \cos \delta_i \right] / N,
\]
(36)

\[
y_c = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i \sin \alpha_i \cos \delta_i \right] / N,
\]
(37)

\[
z_c = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i \sin \delta_i \right] / N.
\]
(38)

- **Projected distances:** Considering our estimated distances \(d\) (pc) with over markers, consequently, we infer to include those distances to the Galactic
center \( (R_{gc}) \) (Mihalas & Binney 1981) like a function of the Sun’s distance from the Galactic center (i.e., \( R_0 = 8.20 \pm 0.10 \) kpc) as mentioned recently (Bland-Hawthorn et al. 2019); i.e., \( R_{gc}^2 = R_0^2 + d^2 - 2R_0d \cos l \), in such a way the anticipated (projected) distances toward the Galactic plane \((X_\odot, Y_\odot)\) and the distance from the Galactic plane \((Z_\odot)\) (Tadross 2011) maybe computed as follows:

\[
X_\odot = d \cos b \cos l, \\
Y_\odot = d \cos b \sin l, \\
Z_\odot = d \sin b.
\]

- **Solar elements:** Consider a group with spatial velocities \((\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W})\). The components of Sun’s velocities are \((U_\odot, V_\odot, W_\odot)\) are given as \((U_\odot = -\mathbf{U}), (V_\odot = -\mathbf{V}), (W_\odot = -\mathbf{W})\). Therefore, we have the solar elements with spatial velocities considered w.r.v.c. like

\[
S_\odot = \sqrt{U^2 + V^2 + W^2}, \\
l_A = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{-V}{U}\right), \\
b_A = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{-W}{S_\odot}\right).
\]

Now consider the position along \(x, y,\) and \(z\) axes in the coordinate system whose centered at the Sun, then the Sun’s velocities with respect to this same group and referred to the same axes are given as \((X^*_\odot = -\mathbf{V}_x), (Y^*_\odot = -\mathbf{V}_y), (Z^*_\odot = -\mathbf{V}_z)\). Therefore, we obtained the solar elements with radial velocities considered w.r.v.c. as follows:

\[
S_\odot = \sqrt{(X^*_\odot)^2 + (Y^*_\odot)^2 + (Z^*_\odot)^2}, \\
z_A = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{Y^*_\odot}{X^*_\odot}\right), \\
\delta_A = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{Z^*_\odot}{\sqrt{(X^*_\odot)^2 + (Y^*_\odot)^2}}\right),
\]

where \((l_A, z_A)\) is the Galactic, longitude and right ascension of the solar apex and \((b_A, \delta_A)\) are the Galactic, latitude and declination of the solar apex, where \((S_\odot)\) is considered as the absolute value of Sun’s velocity relative to our groups under investigations.

### 6. Conclusion

In this paper, we have investigated the poorly studied open clusters, Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and Koposov 43 (FSR 848), using PPMXL and Gaia DR2 data via cross-match. Here, we re-estimated the cluster center and core radius based on their RDP.

To derive their fundamental and kinematical parameters within \((J, H, K_s, G, G_{BP}, G_{RP})\) regions, we have estimated their membership (utilizing proper motions and magnitude uncertainties) with probabilities \(\geq 50\%\), and we have found 285 and 310 member stars, respectively.

Based on the NIR and Gaia (CMDs) of the cluster members, we have estimated the cluster parameters (reddening, distance modulus, and ages) listed in Table 6, which are in good agreement with previous studies. The dynamical and kinematical properties of these clusters (tidal radii, crossing, relaxation and evaporation times, space velocities, and VEPs) are numerically mentioned in Table 7.

Based on the estimated dynamical evolution parameter \((\tau \gg 1)\), i.e., \(\tau = 15.38 \pm 3.92\) for Koposov 12 (FSR 802) and 36.72 \pm 6.06 for Koposov 43 (FRS 848), we infer that these clusters are dynamically relaxed.
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