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Abstract This study aimed to investigate the relationship between eighth grade elementary students’ operational and measurable prediction skills and their mathematical literacy. Mathematical literacy is a very broad term but in the present study it was narrowed with students’ daily life issues and used for regarding students’ mathematics usage in their daily life according to their levels. In the study, quantitative methodology to investigate the levels of the students’ prediction skills and mathematical literacy and qualitative methodology to determine the students’ strategies in the prediction question were chosen. The participants of the study were chosen with a stratified sampling random strategy from a medium-scaled city of East Anatolian Region of Turkey in the academic year 2011–2012. The participants were 221 eighth grade students from 20 national elementary schools. As data collection tools, a “Measurable and Operational Prediction Skill Test” including ten questions for the prediction skill and “Mathematical Literacy Test” including 20 questions were used. The findings showed that there was a significant relationship between measurable and operational prediction skills. Also it was observed that the students having high score of measurable and operational prediction skills became more successful in the mathematical literacy test. The gender did not have any significant impact on these variables.
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1. Introduction

Mathematics is a natural effort of the human being who makes some generalizations from the concrete objects to abstract events and tries to reach world-wide general acceptance of these generalizations with a systematic doctrine. This effort progresses each day and gradually gains importance. Therefore, all countries give some place to teaching mathematics in their educational curricula. Mathematics education is much more than teaching numbers, mathematical operations, calculation skills which are the indispensable parts of daily life, it is actually related to teaching thinking, making connections between events, reasoning, making predictions and problem-solving [42]. One of the fundamental goals of mathematics education is using mathematics as a tool that facilities our life. Therefore, in order to overcome barriers faced in daily life students need some skills such as critical thinking, reasoning, evaluating the cases and generating predicting for a present issue. The prediction skill, one of these skills is one of the fundamental skills that facilitate our life [2]. Predicting a part or total of a problem is not a random event, it is highly dependent on an individual’s mathematical knowledge. Then, elementary mathematics curricula give place to the prediction skill [41]. Predictions can be right or wrong, and the issue can be resulted in an expected way or not. In that case, the result does not matter much as it develops an individual’s many mathematical skills such as logical relations, spatial visualizations, understanding measurements and numbers ([6,20]). Although the prediction is defined differently in many studies (e.g. [23,25,27,33]), it is probable to say that it is a kind of calculation reaching a probable result without using paper and pen ([2,9]). The prediction used in many areas is divided into three types as cumulative prediction ([2,16,38,41]), measurable prediction ([2,41]) and operational prediction ([2,9,17,25,26,29,38,41]). Operational prediction is the processes of getting approximate arithmetic mean scores without calculation ([2,9,17,26,38]). Operational prediction is a complex skill including problem-solving features [25]. One of the strongest and beneficial ways of operational prediction is its facilitating role of the life with the usage in daily life. With the prediction, one can measure an ordinary event, daily life issues and mathematical experiences [29].
similar measurements. It also includes predicting the weight of a tool or a pen, the height of a building, the length of a rope or the perimeter of a area [2]. With the effects of the studies about the prediction skills (e.g., [2,3,4,5,8,10,11,14,15,17,21,23,27,28,30,33,34,35,38,40,41,43]), teaching mathematics curricula are developed and modified according the actual needs. In order to be updated to reach these developments, Turkey has made radical changes in teaching mathematics curricula of elementary schools and applied new programs after 2005 in Turkey. Then, new topics such as “patterns, transformation geometry, probability, object graph and prediction” were added into the curricula. As the concepts in mathematics like prediction skill, knowledge of formula and operations are necessary for all citizens, all individuals need some literacy on these issues and mathematical knowledge ([12,13]). Relating life with the mathematics in the school or developing simply students’ abstract thinking skills is not an adequate for the goal of a universal discipline, mathematics [13]. Mathematical literacy ([1,13,18,24,32,37,39]) is much more than doing mathematical operations and in reality it is the level of determining mathematical problems in the context of real life, defining them as mathematical problems and overcoming these problems ([31,37]). Individuals equipped with the knowledge of mathematical literacy have the skill of determining and using the mathematical relations among social, updated and scientific events. Also those are conscious citizens and consumers analysing and interpreting the knowledge in newspapers, TV channels and internet [24]. They can decide on the accuracy and logicalness of the probable results of a problem. In a limited time or less time to calculate with measurement tools, they can use their prediction skills in order to predict the accurate solution [13]. Therefore, there is a need for the investigation of individuals’ prediction skills and mathematical literacy.

The aim of this study is to investigate the relationship between eighth grade elementary students’ operational and measurable prediction skills and mathematical literacy. Below sub-problems related to this aim were asked in the study.

1. Is there a relationship between the levels of students’ operational and measurable prediction skills?
2. Do the students’ levels of prediction differ with regards to their gender?
3. Do the students’ levels of mathematical literacy differ with regards to their sex?
4. How do the students’ skills related to the calculation of length, area and volume in the test of mathematical literacy?
5. Do the students’ prediction skills differ with regards to their mathematical literacy?

2. Method

The survey research which aims to determine a high numbers of participants’ ideas, features [7] was used in the study. In a survey research, the whole population or a part of the population are chosen for gathering a general conclusion about the population [19]. In description, it is aimed to describe the given issue exactly and in detailed way [7]. In a quantitative research, the precise limits of the variables can be determined and the relationships between the variables can be measured. The causation in the quantitative research can be dealt in a neutral and objective way (Glesne and Peksin, 1992 cited in [44]).

2.1. The Participants of the Study

The participants of the study were comprised of 221 eighth grade students from 20 elementary schools located in East Anatolian Region of Turkey. 112 students were female and 109 students were male students in the study.

2.2. Data Collection Tools and Data Collection Method

The data in the study were collected with a “Prediction Skill Test (PST)” which has 10 open-ended questions having five measurable and five operational prediction skill questions, and a “Mathematical Literacy Test (MLT)” which has 20 questions related to length, area and volume. The details about the data collection tools were given below.

The PST was adapted from the studies of [27,28,30]. Below steps were applied in the process of prediction skill test development.

1. After being analysed the sixth, seventh and eighth grade mathematics education curricula, the outcomes related to the prediction in textbooks were determined.
2. Based on the analysed outcomes, the prediction questions from the texts books about the topics of length, area and volume were prepared.
3. In order to support the face and content validity, the test was reviewed by three mathematics teachers and their ideas were taken. Based on their ideas, some questions were modified or removed from the test. Later, two experts’ ideas about the test were taken and some corrections based on the experts were done. Lastly, two Turkish language teachers’ ideas about the proper language use in the test were taken.
4. The test was given to 24 students and they were informed about the aim of test application and the study. During the application it was observed that test was finished within 20 minutes. During the application, the students’ questions were not answered there and they were noted. Later, they were asked to give details about the missing points in the test. As it was observed that the students did not answer sixth and ninth questions and lived some difficulties in understanding them. Therefore, these questions were changed the new ones.
5. After necessary revisions, the new test was re-applied to 20 students and the students did not asked any questions. Based on the last application, the reliability analyses were conducted.
6. After the second pilot study with 20 students, the Cronbach Alpha technique was used for the reliability measurable and operational prediction skill test.

The questions that students lived difficulty in answering and understanding were changed and new ones were used instead of them. These questions were given below.
Question 9. How many glasses of milk do you gather if you want to empty the 2.35 litre milk packet with a 0.29 litre-glass? Please explain your prediction.

Question 9: If you want to fill in the square prism with sand via the cube figure, how do you predict when the square prism is filled? Please, predict the answer according to your way of prediction.

The first question was changed as the graph seemed more complicated for understanding for the students and the second question was changed as the objects there were not suitable for the prediction and the students tried to answer the question with the operation. PST questions were as follow:

Question-1. (Operational)

4 9
19 11

If you want to predict the result of this mathematical operation, how do you answer it? Please, predict the answer according to your way of solution.

Question-2. (Measurable)

If you want to predict 60% of this rectangle, how do you answer it? Please, predict 60% of the figure according to your way of answer.

Question-3. (Operational)

25 8
17 9

If you want to predict the result of this mathematical operation, how do you answer it? Please, predict the answer according to your way of solution.

Question-4. (Measurable)

If you want to predict peripheral length of the figure, how do you answer it? Please, predict the peripheral length of the figure according to your way of answer?

Question-5. (Operational)

7, 098, 5, 61

If you want to predict the result of this mathematical operation, how do you answer it? Please, predict the answer according to your way of solution.

Question-6. (Operational)

If you want to predict peripheral length of the figure, how do you answer it? Please, predict the peripheral length of the figure according to your way of answer?

Question-7. (Operational)

24, 708 – 9, 006

If you want to predict the result of this mathematical operation, how do you answer it? Please, predict the answer according to your way of solution.

Question-8. (Measurable)

If you want to predict the number of unit cubes that unite the above prisms, how do you answer it? Please, predict the number of unit cubes according to your way of answer.

Question-9. (Operational)

If you want to fill in the square prism with sand via the cube figure, how do you predict when the square prism is filled? Please, predict the answer according to your way of prediction.

Question-10. (Measurable)
If you accept the area of the above figure as 1 cm\(^2\), how do you predict the area of the figures? Please, predict the area of the figures according to your way of prediction.

In a similar way, a literature review was conducted for the development of MLT and the questions related to the students’ daily life were prepared. The test development steps were as follow:

1. Firstly, the students’ daily usage areas of mathematics in life were determined.
2. Later, some categorizations like mathematics in home, game, shopping and daily life were done and the length, area and volume questions about these categories were prepared.
3. The test questions were presented to two mathematics teachers and three experts and some revisions were done according to their suggestions. The test was evaluated by two Turkish language teachers in view of language use, spelling and semantics.
4. After these processes, the test was applied to 24 students and the pilot study lasted in 20 minutes. During the application, the students’ questions were not answered and they were noted. After the application, the students were asked about the missing points of the questions and the reasons of their misunderstanding were taken. Based on the students’ responses, some changes were done.

The questions that students lived difficulty in answering and understanding were changed and new ones were used. These questions were as follow:

**Question 1:** Think approximately how many m\(^2\)s can be the area of classroom floor.

**Question 1:** How many m\(^2\)s is the area of your classroom floor approximately?

**Question 19:** Think how many handbreadths can be the peripheral of the tennis table?

**Question 19:** How many handbreadths is there a table having 200 cm width and 249 cm length?

As the first question’ expression “Think approximately” caused misunderstandings and the second question was not relevant for the prediction and the students could not answer them properly, they were changed with side questions based on the experts’ suggestions. The questions of MLT were as follow:

1. How many cm\(^2\)s is the area of your classroom floor approximately?
2. How many cm\(^3\)s is the volume of your classroom computer tower approximately
3. How many footsteps do you take steps from the entrance gate of your school to the classroom door
4. How many cm\(^2\)s is the perimeter of your classroom board approximately?
5. How many students are there in your school approximately
6. If you drink water with 10 full of palms, how much ml water do you drink approximately
7. How many m\(^2\)s is the area of LCD TV if the area of wall is 6 m\(^2\)s in the picture?
8. The area of East Anatolian Region is 164,000 km\(^2\). Then, what is the approximate area of the city of Erzincan?
9. You are living with a new-born sibling, a 19 year-old older brother, 35 year-old mother and 43 year-old father. What is the approximate age mean of your family?
10. What is the approximate volume of the backpack?
11. How many pieces of rice can be approximately in a tea spoon?

12. How many kilograms can be ten medium-sized apples?

13. You want to buy a wristband for your mathematics teacher for her/his birthday. How many centimetres can it be approximately?

14. Your 12-year old friend win the picture wants to go to the tailor for a suit. How many square meters does the tailor need for that suit?

15. If an average nut’s volume is 4 cm³s, what is the approximate volume of a walnut?

16. There are 100 marbles in a container. If you want to fill in the container with ping pong balls instead of marbles, how many balls can you need approximately?

17. You will play a game with ten people with a footstep distance between students by creating a circle. Find the approximate area of the circle

18. How many centimetres can be the basketball basket in the picture?

19. How many handbreadths is there a table having 200 cm width and 249 cm length?

20. You will play a game with your friend on the prediction of the numbers of sheets. Let’s see who will find the closest answer. How many sheets are there here?

2.3. Data Collection

In the first step, the permissions from the relevant institutions for the application were taken and the mathematics teachers of the schools were informed about the application. The mathematical literacy test and prediction skill test were applied to students in the class during a course hour period. At the beginning, the students were told about the aim and significance of the study, and it was emphasised the difference of the test that they were acquainted with before. The questions were presented to the students with separate two sheets. While students were answering the prediction test, they were asked to explain their ways of solution. For easing the understanding of the mathematical literacy test, some objects were carried into the class and the students were allowed to touch the objects. Some of the students’ behaviours during the answering the questions were photographed and described in detail. In addition, they were informed about painting of 60% of the figure in the second question of the test. Some photographs taken while the students were answering the test of mathematical literacy were shown below.
In order to calculate the perimeter of the blackboard, the student firstly found how many handbreadths are the edges of the board and then made her prediction based on the prediction of the length of a handbreadth?

2.1.5. Figures

She made a prediction on the volume of a nut and a walnut by comparing their volumes.

He made a prediction by touching the sheets in order to predict the actual numbers of the sheets.

He found the volume of a computer tower by calculating the numbers of handbreadths of the tower.

He calculated the perimeter of the board with his overarms.

2.4. Data Analysis

The students’ answers to each question were evaluated with a 5-point graduation (i.e. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5). Each student’s scores of mathematical literacy test, prediction skill test, measurable prediction, operational prediction, area skill, length skill, volume skill were calculated and these scores’ percentages were presented to avoid confusion.

3. Findings and Discussions

In this section, the findings about the sub-problems were presented one by one and discussions about the findings were given.

3.1. Findings With Regards to the First Sub-Problem

The findings about Eighth grade students’ levels of operational and measurable prediction skills were presented in Table 1.

Table 1. The results of the analysis with regards to the students’ levels of operational and measurable prediction skills

|                | Measurable Prediction | Operational Prediction |
|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Measurable Prediction Pearson Correlation | P | N | r | 1 | .390** |
|                | 221 | .000 | 221 | 1 |

Table 1 showed that there was a positive correlation between the students’ operational and measurable prediction skills (r = 0.390).

3.2. Findings With Regards to the Second Sub-Problem

The students’ prediction skills according to their gender type were presented below.

Table 2. Prediction skills of students according to gender

| Gender | Female | | Male | |
|--------|--------|------|------|------|
| Prediction Skill Score | N | % | N | % |
| Worse | 9 | 8.04 | 5 | 4.59 |
| Bad | 9 | 8.04 | 13 | 11.93 |
| Average | 21 | 18.75 | 28 | 25.69 |
| Good | 46 | 41.07 | 49 | 44.95 |
| Better | 27 | 24.10 | 14 | 12.84 |
| Total | 112 | 100.00 | 109 | 100.00 |

When the students’ scores were divided into five categories, it was seen that female students’ and male students’ scores of the prediction skill were close to each other with percentages 41.07% and 44.95%.

The students’ mean, standard deviation and t-test results of the prediction skill with regards to gender were shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Mean, standard deviation and t-test results of the prediction skill with regards to gender

| Gender | N | X | Se | t | Sd | p |
|--------|---|---|----|---|----|---|
| Female | 112 | 63.89 | 20.01 | .859 | 219 | .391 |
| Male | 109 | 61.66 | 18.57 | | | |

According to the Table 3, the female students’ mean of prediction skill was 63.89 and the male students’ mean was 61.66. In spite of this difference, there was not a significant difference between the means in views of gender (t219 : 859, p >. 05 ).
The students’ mean, standard deviation and t-test results of the operational prediction skill with regards to gender were shown in Table 4.

| Gender | N   | X   | Ss  | t    | Sd  | p    |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|------|
| Female | 112 | 70.07 | 26.34 | .673 | 219  | .502 |
| Male   | 109 | 67.82 | 23.35 | .673 | 219  | .502 |

According to the Table 4, the female students’ mean of operational prediction skill was 70.07 and the male students’ mean was 67.82. In spite of this difference, there was not a significant difference between the means in views of gender ($t_{219} : .673, p >. 05$).

The students’ mean, standard deviation and t-test results of the measurable prediction skill with regards to gender were shown in Table 5.

| Gender | N   | X   | Ss  | t    | Sd  | p    |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|------|
| Female | 112 | 60.07 | 21.72 | .673 | 219  | .525 |
| Male   | 109 | 58.20 | 21.89 | .673 | 219  | .525 |

According to the Table 4, the female students’ mean of operational prediction skill was 60.07 and the male students’ mean was 58.20. In spite of this difference, there was not a significant difference between the means in views of gender ($t_{219} : -1.365, p >. 05$).

3.3. Findings With Regards to the Third Sub-Problem

The students’ scores of mathematical literacy according to their gender type were presented below.

Table 6. The scores of mathematical literacy of students according to gender

| Gender | Female | Male | The score of mathematical literacy | N | % | N | % |
|--------|--------|------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Worse  | 6      | 5,36 | 6                               | 5,50 |
| Bad    | 19     | 16,96 | 15                              | 13,76 |
| Average| 54     | 48,22 | 45                              | 41,29 |
| Good   | 28     | 25,00 | 40                              | 36,70 |
| Better | 5      | 4,46  | 3                               | 2,75 |
| Total  | 112    | 100,00 | 109                           | 100,00 |

According to the Table 6, it was seen that female students’ (48.22) and male students’ (41.29) scores of the operational prediction skill were close to each other and their scores are at average level in five categories.

The relationship between the students’ levels of mathematical literacy and gender was presented in Table 7.

Table 7. Mean, standard deviation and t-test results of the of mathematical literacy with regards to gender

| Gender | N   | X   | Ss  | T    | Sd  | p    |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|------|
| Female | 112 | 63.30 | 9.99 | -1.365 | 219  | .174 |
| Male   | 109 | 65.29 | 9.53 |      |     |      |

The Table 7 showed that the female students’ mean of mathematical literacy (63.50%) was less than the male ones’ mean (65.29%). In spite of this mean difference, there was not a significant difference between the means in views of gender ($t_{219} : -1.365, p >. 05$).

3.4. Findings With Regards to the Fourth Sub-Problem

The means and standard deviations of the students’ skills in the issues of length, area and volume in the mathematical literacy test, the prediction skill, the measurable and operational prediction skills were given in the below table.

Table 8. The means and standard deviations related to the skills of length, area and volume in the mathematical literacy test, the prediction, the measurable and operational prediction

| N | X   | Ss  |
|---|-----|-----|
| The skill of mathematical literacy | 221 | 64,38 | 9,78 |
| The skill of area calculation | 221 | 72,54 | 16,85 |
| The skill of volume calculation | 221 | 69,67 | 13,74 |
| The skill of length calculation | 221 | 71,07 | 17,09 |
| The skill of prediction | 221 | 63,79 | 19,30 |
| The skill of operational prediction | 221 | 68,96 | 24,88 |
| The skill of measurable prediction | 221 | 59,15 | 21,77 |

According to the Table 8, though the students’ means of mathematical literacy (72.54) and length calculation (71.07) were close to each other, the volume calculation (49.67) less than these two means. Also the students’ mean of operational prediction (68.96) was higher than the mean of measurable prediction (59.15).

The relationships among the length, area and volume calculation in the mathematical literacy test were presented in Table 9.

Table 9. The results of the relationships among the length, area and volume calculation in the mathematical literacy test

|                           | The skill of area calculation | The skill of volume calculation | The skill of length calculation |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| The skill of area calculation | Pearson Correlation | 1                              | .164*                          | .171*                          |
|                           | P                             | .015                            | .002                           |
|                           | N                             | 221                             | 221                            | 221                            |
| The skill of volume calculation | Pearson Correlation | .164*                          | 1                              | .205**                         |
|                           | P                             | .015                            | .002                           |
|                           | N                             | 221                             | 221                            | 221                            |
| The skill of length calculation | Pearson Correlation | .171*                          | .205**                         | 1                              |
|                           | P                             | .011                            | .002                           |
|                           | N                             | 221                             | 221                            | 221                            |

The results indicated that there were positive correlations between the area and volume skills ($r$: 0.164, $p <. 05$), the area and length skills ($r$: 0.171, $p <. 05$), the volume and length skills ($r$: 0.205, $p <. 05$).
3.5. Findings With Regards to the Fifth Sub-Problem

The relationship between the students’ skills of mathematical literacy and prediction was presented below.

Table 10. The relationship between the students’ skills of mathematical literacy and prediction

| The skill of mathematical literacy | The skill of prediction |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Pearson Correlation               |                         |
| 1                                 |                         |
| P                                 | .461**                  |
| N                                 | .000                    |
|                                  | 221                     |

The result showed that there was a positive correlation between the mathematical literacy and prediction (r = 0.461, p < .05).

Table 11. The relationship between the students’ skills of mathematical literacy and operational prediction

| Operational prediction | Mathematical literacy |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Pearson Correlation    |                         |
| 1                      | .447**                |
| P                      | .000                  |
| N                      | 221                   |

The table showed that there was a positive correlation between the mathematical literacy and operational prediction (r = 0.447, p < .05).

Table 12. The relationship between the students’ skills of mathematical literacy and measurable prediction

| Measurable prediction | Mathematical literacy |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Pearson Correlation   |                         |
| 1                     | .346**                |
| P                     | .000                  |
| N                     | 221                   |

The Table 12 showed that there was a positive correlation between the mathematical literacy and measurable prediction (r = 0.346, p < .05).

The results of MANOVA test with regards to the students’ skills of mathematical literacy, measurable and operational prediction were presented in Table 13.

In the MANOVA test, it is assumed that in-group (dependent variables) matrices of covariance are equal to each other. According to the result of Box’s M test F(88, 3114)=1.05, p=.371), the dependent variables supported the equality of matrixes of covariance. Therefore, one way MANOVA can be applied for this question and the result of the test was given in Table 14.

Table 13. The results of MANOVA test with regards to mathematical literacy, measurable and operational prediction

| Box’s test of covariance matrix equality |
|-----------------------------------------|
| Box’s M                                |
| 113.383                                |
| F                                      |
| 1.045                                  |
| Sd1                                    |
| 84                                     |
| Sd2                                    |
| 3114.560                               |
| p                                       |
| .371                                   |

Table 14. The results of One way MANOVA

| Multivariate Test | Value | F    | Hypothesis sd | Error sd | p    |
|-------------------|-------|------|---------------|----------|------|
| Mathematical literacy | Wilks’ Lambda | 51   | 1.62          | 85.00    | 350.00 | .001 |

Value =0.51, F(88,350)=1.62, p<.005, the population means of the dependent variables (measurable and operational prediction) was not the same for the mathematical literacy (H0= Declined). To understand this difference, Levene’s Variance Homogeneity Test was applied and the result was presented in Table 15.

Table 15. Test of Levene’s variance homogeneity

| Levene’s Variance Homogeneity | Value | F    | Sd1 | Sd2 | p    |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|-----|-----|------|
| Operational prediction        | 1.951 | 44   | 176 | .001|      |
| Measurable prediction         | 1.628 | 44   | 176 | .015|      |

According to the result of the test of homogeneity, the difference in variables mathematical literacy and the dependent variables, these differences were (p=.001) for operational prediction and (p=.015) for measurable prediction. In other words, the skill of operational prediction compared to the skill of measurable prediction was much sensitive to the mathematical literacy.

4. Discussions and Suggestions

In this section the findings of the research sub-problems were described in detail with separate paragraphs and supported with the citations. Lastly, some pedagogical suggestions were given based on these findings and discussions.

The result of the first sub question showed that there was a positive correlation between the eighth grade students’ skills of the operational and measurable prediction. This result implies that the students having high level of the skill of operational prediction have high
level of measurable prediction. This finding is in similar to the study, [41] which proposes that the students in three different grade (6.7. and 8.) levels having high operational prediction skill have also high rate of measurable prediction skill.

The result of the second sub-question indicated that there was not a significant difference in the scores of the students’ skills of operational, measurable and general prediction with regards to the gender type. Though there are some different findings which are in favour of male students ([11,30,34,41]), there are many findings in parallel to this finding ([5,15,28,35,36]).

Results of the third sub-question indicated that when the students’ scores from the mathematical literacy test were divided into five categories, it was seen that the female and male students’ literacy levels were at moderate level. Thought the arithmetic mean of the male students were higher that the female ones, this difference between the scores of mathematical literacy were not significant.

Results of the fourth sub-question showed that the students were better in calculation of the area and length the volume. Another finding was the students’ operational prediction skills were better than the measurable prediction skills. In addition, there were significant relationships between the skills of area and volume, the skills of area and length, the skills of volume and length.

Results of the fifth sub-question showed that there were positive relationships between the students’ measurable prediction skill and mathematical literacy. There are very few studies on this issue, the studies mostly focused on the measurable prediction skill and mathematics achievement. [41] which found significant positive relationships between the sixth, seventh and eighth grade students’ measurable prediction skill and mathematics achievement, and also showed the positive relationship is an example of these studies. Another finding of this sub-question was that there were positive relationships between the students’ operational prediction skill and mathematical literacy. In addition, the relationship between the students’ operational prediction skill and mathematical literacy level was higher than the relationship between the students’ measurable prediction skill and mathematical literacy level. There are very few studies in the literature related to this issue, the studies are mostly about operational prediction skill and mathematical achievement. In [10,21,27] it was found that the students having high numbers in mathematical achievement were also better in the prediction skills. In a similar way, [41] found that the students’ cores of prediction skills were increased based on the results of their scores of mathematical achievement. In general, this sub question found that there was a positive relationship between the students’ prediction skill and mathematical literacy and the similar findings were about the prediction skill and mathematical achievement ([22,41]).

Based on the above results, some suggestions were developed.

The study found that there was a significant relationship between the students’ prediction skills and mathematical literacy levels. The similar research should be conducted within the literacy of the nature of the disciplines and it should be investigated whether the prediction literacy is the intersection set of all disciplines’ literacy or not. If so, a new content in the name of the prediction literacy should be created.

It should be forgotten that the individuals having high level of prediction skills are the better ones in mathematical literacy. Then, the development of prediction skills should be emphasised for the development of mathematical literacy. In that case, the teachers have much responsibility and they need in-service training on the prediction skills.

In addition, separate measurement and evaluation forms for the prediction skills should be developed in the in mathematics education curricula.

Reading activities for the development of students’ perception and evaluation skills should be enhanced and new appropriate materials for the students in urban areas should be developed.

In the activity selection, individual differences should be considered.

The idea of a mathematics problem has a unique solution should be abandoned and students should be taught on how to express their ideas within the closest frames of the solutions of the problem.

Lastly, the prediction issues should be selected from the real life issues.
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