Epigenomic profiling of retinal progenitors reveals LHX2 is required for developmental regulation of open chromatin
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Retinal neurogenesis occurs through partially overlapping temporal windows, driven by concerted actions of transcription factors which, in turn, may contribute to the establishment of divergent genetic programs in the developing retina by coordinating variations in chromatin landscapes. Here we comprehensively profile murine retinal progenitors by integrating next generation sequencing methods and interrogate changes in chromatin accessibility at embryonic and post-natal stages. An unbiased search for motifs in open chromatin regions identifies putative factors involved in the developmental progression of the epigenome in retinal progenitor cells. Among these factors, the transcription factor LHX2 exhibits a developmentally regulated cis-regulatory repertoire and stage-dependent motif instances. Using loss-of-function assays, we determine LHX2 coordinates variations in chromatin accessibility, by competition for nucleosome occupancy and secondary regulation of candidate pioneer factors.
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R
tinal neurogenesis occurs through partially overlapping
temporal windows giving rise to individual cell types, as
result of temporal patterning\textsuperscript{1,2} and context-dependent
regulatory functions governed by transcription factors (TFs)\textsuperscript{3-6}. A subset of transcription factors, commonly referred to as pioneer
factors, occupy compacted chromatin regions to initiate or
mediate chromatin opening, enabling nucleosomal accessibility to
the transcriptional apparatus and instructing recruitment of accessory
factors to reprogram cellular identity.

Even though the expression patterns have been extensively
characterized for most of the retinal transcription factors, the mechanisms by which these coordinate epigenetic changes across retinal development remain elusive, as they are limited to select cases and often perturbed by experimental biases intrinsic to cell cultures systems and specific genetic background.

The LIM homeodomain transcription factor LHX2 has been
characterized in a broad range of developmental contexts and it is
required for the eye field specification and the morphogenesis of the
optic cup through cell autonomous regulation of gene expression\textsuperscript{7}. While Lhx2 mutants are anophthalmic, display
hypoplasia of the neocortex and severe anemia, neuroretina
specific loss-of-function of Lhx2 causes severe microphthalmia, loss of expression of a subset of retinal progenitor cells (RPC)-specific genes and ectopic expression of hypothalamic genes\textsuperscript{8}. Ocular expression of Lhx2 has been observed as early as embryonic day e8.5, it can be detected in the retinal neuro-epithelium and retinal pigmented epithelium at e10 and ciliary margin by e14, becoming progressively restricted to the inner
nuclear layer. In the post natal retina, LHX2 is expressed in mitotic retinal progenitor cells that co-express VSX2 and mK\textsuperscript{67}. By post
nata l day P7, LHX2 expression becomes restricted to differentiating
Müller glia and is preserved in adult Müller glia, a subset of bipolar
and starburst amacrine cells\textsuperscript{9}. Early embryonic deletion of Lhx2 results in proliferative defects of glial committed precursors while early post natal ablation, as well as overexpression\textsuperscript{9} results in the loss of glial markers and dysmorphic apical structures.

While temporally controlled conditional knockouts indicate that LHX2 induces and stabilizes the retinal glial fate during first
post natal week of development, by sustaining the expression of the
Hes5-mediated Notch signaling effectors\textsuperscript{9,10}, its function does
not seem to be confined to the differentiation of glial precursors:
early embryonic ablation of Lhx2 at e12.5 results in the depletion of multipotent retinal progenitors and overproduction of retinal
ganglion cells (RGCs), while overproduction of rods is observed
days three later. This suggests LHX2 may contribute to the
maintenance of embryonic progenitors in a proliferative and
multipotent state, by restricting their exit from the cell cycle and
allowing for the generation of later cell types within discrete
temporal windows\textsuperscript{11}.

To investigate the mechanistic basis by which LHX2 orches-
trates diverse developmental programs, we perform flow cyto-
meter sorted analysis of dissociated retinal cells obtained from the
neuro-retinal reporter Chx10-Cre\textsuperscript{12} transgenic line\textsuperscript{12} that
allows preferential retention of proliferating retinal progenitors at
early and late stages of retinal development. We query the
deriving epigenomic and transcriptional profiles obtained from
natively extracted retinal progenitor cells for LHX2 representa-
tion and function over the course of retinal neurogenesis and we
show LHX2 controls chromatin accessibility by modulating
expression and co-opting action of developmentally regulated
transcription factors with high pioneer potential.

Results

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting was adopted to isolate retinal
progenitor cells from mice expressing the Chx10-Cre\textsuperscript{12} transgene\textsuperscript{12} from embryonic day (E)14 and post natal day (P)2 retina, representing early and late stages of retinal progenitors
competence, respectively\textsuperscript{1}. The brightest fraction of GFP-positive
cells expresses the retinal progenitor-specific markers VSX2 (Chx10),
mK\textsuperscript{67} and CCND1 and was separated from the dim and
GFP-negative (Supplementary Fig. 1A–I). Proliferating reti-
nal progenitor cells will be hereafter referred to as RPCs or GFP+
and the post mitotic pool as GFP−. Flow-sorted cells were
profiled by RNA-Seq and ATAC-Seq, relying on direct in vitro
transposition of sequencing adapters into native chromatin\textsuperscript{13}. The preliminary screening of the ATAC-Seq-derived open
chromatin regions identified LHX2 as top candidate transcription
factor. ChIP-Seq was then performed on LHX2 and the related
binding sites were integrated and compared with the age-matched RNA-Seq and ATAC-Seq profiles from control and knock-out
retinas (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 1J, K).

Open chromatin unbiased screening reveals top candidate TF.
The ATAC-Seq-derived open chromatin regions were identified by pairwise comparison of normalized read counts from VSX2 (Chx10)-positive cell fractions at E14 and P2 and assessed for
reproducibility (Supplementary Fig. 2A–D). The open chromatin
regions shared between time points represent 2.4% of the genome
and those specific to either E14 or P2 RPCs represent 0.72 and
0.80% of the genome, respectively (Fig. 1b).

We next scanned open chromatin regions common to E14 and
P2 RPCs for enriched oligonucleotide motifs to identify candidate
transcription factor binding sites. Hierarchical clustering of
probabilistically assigned position weight matrices revealed that
homeobox domain-containing transcription factor motifs con-
stitute the prevalent cluster, representing 284 out of 373 putative
matches (Fig. 1c), followed by C2H2-type, GC-rich and KLF zinc
finger proteins, then POU-homeodomain, MADS box and
CCAT-Binding factors; individual motif instances were found
associated to NFY factors and the insulator protein CTCF,
involved in chromatin looping and conformation. Among the
putatively assigned homeobox transcription factors for which a
motif instance could be found, just few are expressed in RPCs
(Fig. 1d) and many represented positional variations of the LHX2
consensus (Fig. 1e and Supplementary Fig. 2E). LHX2 enrichment
was inferred by binomial scoring of known position weight
matrices as the most represented transcription factor motif in
open chromatin regions common to early and late RPCs (Fig. 1f
and Supplementary Table 1), and variably represented in stage-
specific accessible regions (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). LHX2
occupancy resulted into detectable footprints at both stages
(Fig. 1g). ChIP-Seq was performed for the top candidate
transcription factor LHX2 in E14 and P2 mouse retina and
integrated with age-matched RNA-Seq and ATAC-Seq profiles from
flow-sorted RPCs (Figs. 1h and 2a and Supplementary
Fig. 3A–G).

Dynamic allocation of LHX2-binding sites across development.
LHX2 ChIP-Seq-binding sites are predominantly located in
intergenic and intronic regions of the genome (Supplementary
Fig. 4A) and enriched in promoter regions, 5′ UTR, introns and
ncRNA at both time points (Fig. 2b). The associated genes are
enriched for functions related to the control of retinal develop-
ment (Supplementary Fig. 4B, C) and the binding sites exhibit
developmental differences in chromatin accessibility (Supple-
mentary Fig. 4D).

The LHX2 cis-regulatory repertoire (ChIP-Seq peaks) (Supple-
mentary Fig. 3G) was annotated based on proximity to the closest
TSS profiled by RNA-Seq from age-matched RPCs (Supplemen-
tary Table 4) and annotated after the manually curated lists of
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retinal cell-type specific/enriched genes (Supplementary Data 1). Gene Ontology enrichment was computed based on the number of LHX2 target genes in a term, for which at least one nearest peak could be found, populating a given ontology (Fig. 2c–f).

In order to evaluate whether LHX2 directly impacts the retinal transcriptome, RNA-Seq transcriptional profiles were also obtained from E14 (Chx10Cre;Lhx2lox/lox) and P2 (Lhx2lox/lox) retinas electroporated with pCAG-Cre-GFP at P0 and isolated by FACS) Lhx2 cKO conditions (Supplementary Fig. 5A–D) and P2 (Lhx2lox/lox) retinas electroporated with pCAG-Cre-GFP at P0 and isolated by FACS). LHX2 ChIP-Seq peaks shared between time points were preferentially associated with late-stage RPCs genes and, to a lesser extent, with Müller glia and anterodorsal hypothalamus (Fig. 2c), while peaks found only at

---

**Fig. 1** Pairwise comparison of ATAC-Seq data identifies open chromatin regions from early and late RPCs, with a broad overrepresentation of LHX2 related motifs. a Workflow for epigenomic profiling of RPC. b Venn-diagram represents open chromatin regions identified in early- and late-stage VSX2 (CHX10)—GFP-positive RPCs. c Hierarchical clustering of known motifs in the vertebrate genome (left, Jaspar 2016 non-redundant vertebrates core). Inset represents the major cluster of probabilistically assigned position weight matrices (PWMs) identified in open chromatin regions from early- and late-stage RPCs (scale = 1 node/pixel) (linkage = average; similarity threshold cor = 0.6, ncor = 0.4, w = 5) (Lhx2 instances as blue pixel strokes). d Relative RNA-Seq expression for homeobox transcription factors. e Representative LHX2 logos (MA0700.1, k-mer sig = 300, e-value = 1e-300), with positional variations of the same motif instance. f Known motifs enrichment in open chromatin regions from early and late RPCs by binomial scoring of PWMs. g LHX2 footprints from open chromatin regions identified in early- and late-stage RPCs. h Custom tracks of RPC-derived ATAC-Seq profiles and aged-matched LHX2 ChIP-Seq feature the Vsx2 locus (mm9).
LHX2 regulates cell cycle genes and the Notch signaling pathway by targeting promoters and non-coding elements in nucleosome free regions associated with active enhancers. a LHX2 motif density around the centers of ChIP-Seq peaks at E14 and P2. b Enrichment of LHX2 ChIP-Seq peaks distributed across different genomic regions (log2 fold enrichment). c-f Percentage of LHX2 target genes in retinal term assigned to at least one age-matched LHX2 ChIP-Seq peak. Enrichment was computed between target genes in term and total number of known genes in term (Supplementary Table 4). c-k Heatmaps of raw reads from LHX2 ChIP-Seq peaks are plotted across nucleosome centered regions from age-matched ATAC-Seq samples. Each row represents a 3 kb window centered at E14 (background in gray, opposite strands replicates in hue). a, b Heatmaps of raw reads from LHX2 ChIP-Seq peaks are plotted across nucleosome centered regions from age-matched ATAC-Seq samples. Each row represents a 3 kb window centered at E14 (background in gray, opposite strands replicates in hue).
E14 were selectively associated with genes expressed in early-stage RPCs (Fig. 2d). Conversely, late RPCs were overrepresented in the P2 ChIP-Seq dataset (Fig. 2d).

More than 70% of the amacrine and retinal ganglion cell-enriched genes were induced in the E14 Lhx2 cKO retinas, followed by horizontals, bipolars and late RPCs related genes, while Müller glia, early RPCs and photoreceptors related genes were prevalently downregulated. Conversely, photoreceptor-enriched genes were prevalently induced in the P2 Lhx2 cKO retinas while horizontals related genes were lost (Supplementary Fig. 5H and Supplementary Data 2.4). As a fraction of LHX2 ChIP-Seq-binding sites fall in chromatin regions that are not transcriptionally active in RPCs at P2 (Supplementary Fig. 4D), LHX2 may potentially repress retinal type specific targets within critical windows to prevent their ectopic expression, as suggested by previous knock-out studies.11 In total, >30% of the LHX2 peaks shared between time points and 20% of the stage-specific peaks could be assigned by proximity to differentially expressed genes detected from the comparison between E14 and P2 RPCs, potentially accounting for 10–14% of the differentially expressed transcriptome. Most of the differentially expressed genes, as well as genes constitutively enriched in early and late RPCs compared to the corresponding post mitotic fraction, were associated with stage-specific LHX2 peaks (Supplementary Table 4). Importantly, 47% of all differentially expressed RPCs associated genes show dependence on Lhx2 expression in at least one developmental time point exhibiting variations in expression upon Lhx2 loss-of-function (Supplementary Fig. 5G, Supplementary Table 5, and Supplementary Data 2). This indicates a central role of LHX2 in the regulation of RPCs transcriptome.

LHX2 binds within coordinately accessible chromatin modules. In total, 4.25% and 3.48% of open chromatin regions overlapped with age-matched LHX2 ChIP-Seq peaks identified at E14 and P2, respectively, and a higher fraction of closely co-localizing peaks was observed at E14 compared to P2 (Supplementary Table 6).

Notably, LHX2 ChIP-Seq peaks were preferentially co-localized with positioned nucleosomes at E14 (Fig. 2g) but bimodal at P2 (Fig. 2h), suggesting LHX2 may compete for nucleosomes binding in early RPCs. Overall, 9.87% of the nucleosomes interspersed open chromatin regions identified in E14 RPCs, and 9.25% of those identified at P2 are coupled with bidirectionally transcribed sites to which LHX2 binding is associated. These represent 19.27% of the high-confidence LHX2 ChIP-Seq peaks at E14 (0.76% co-localizing) and 17.37% at P2 (0.73% co-localizing). The subset of LHX2 targets that flanked positioned nucleosomes within open chromatin regions were enriched at 5’UTR and promoter regions at E14 (Fig. 2g, log2FE = 6.27, 5.45, respectively) and P2 (Fig. 2h, log2FE = 6.33, 5.2). Furthermore, LHX2-binding sites were coupled with bimodally distributed H3K27ac profiles at P2, a characteristic of active enhancers. Exons and ncRNA were also found among the enriched categories (E14 log2FE = 2.05, 2; P2 log2FE = 2.28, 2.16, respectively), where co-localization of transcripts with H3K27ac meta-profiles may potentially underlie the biogenesis of enhancer-templated eRNAs. The LHX2 targets identified in the E14 RPCs open chromatin regions were involved in regulatory RNA pathways, promoter opening and cell cycle checkpoints (Fig. 2i) and those in P2 RPCs population were enriched for functional categories related cell cycle progression, Notch signaling and DNA replication, as well as phenotypes such as retina hypoplasia and axonal dystrophy (Fig. 2j). Enrichment for the related ontologies was confirmed by comparison with Lhx2-dependent gene sets, identified by RNA-Seq from the age-matched Lhx2 cKO (Supplementary Table 6.2). Examples of such LHX2 regulated genes include the locus encoding Ninf, upregulated in P2 Lhx2 cKO cells and the late-stage RPC and Müller glia -enriched Car2 locus, which is downregulated in P2 Lhx2 cKO cells.

The majority of LHX2 target sites are transcriptionally accessible: 76.66% of the E14 LHX2 cis-regulatory sites fall in GFP-positive open chromatin regions and 61.72% of the P2 ChIP-Seq peaks co-occur with P2 GFP-positive open chromatin regions (Supplementary Table 7).

We next scanned LHX2 ChIP-Seq peaks from early and late retinal stages to infer transcription factors co-occurrences. Multiple transcription factor motif instances were found within a broad range of similarity to the known LHX2 consensus and exhibited differential occupancy, possibly underlying differences in affinity and/or combinatorial interaction with other co-factors (Supplementary Fig. 6A–I).

Novel LHX2 motifs were overrepresented within each ChIP-Seq dataset (Fig. 3a, b), followed by putative co-factors; some are Yamanaka factors, known to regulate the developmental signaling network in mouse embryonic stem cells14 and to exert a pioneer function in non-retinal developmental contexts.5,16 The closest assignments were confirmed at each developmental stage by known motifs (Supplementary Fig. 7A) with preferential representation of homeobox/hHLH transcription factors at E14 (Fig. 3c) and CTF/NF-I and forkhead factors at P2 (Fig. 3d), although a wider variety was seen in the repertoire of P2 preferential motifs (Supplementary Fig. 7B). Specifically, among LHX2 co-occurrent motifs, the SoxB1 related family members (SOX2)16 and MADS transcription factors were found at E14 (Fig. 3a) while Kruppel like factors (KLF9/13)14, NF-I family members (NFIA/NFIB/NFIC/NFIX)17, SoxE (SOX8/9)18, and ASCL119 were found at P2 (Fig. 3b).

LHX2 regulates local and global chromatin accessibility. To assess whether LHX2 regulates chromatin accessibility, we compared ATAC-Seq profiles from purified RPCs at E14 and P2 to those obtained from age-matched conditional knock-outs (Fig. 4a–f and Supplementary Fig. 8A–E). In E14 Chx10Cre; Lhx2lox/lox retinas, the read coverage and footprint depth at LHX2 center were fourfold and eightfold reduced, respectively (Fig. 4a), while in P2 Lhx2lox/lox retinas, a detectable, yet less pronounced reduction in the read coverage and footprint depth could be observed (Fig. 4b), possibly as a result of LHX2 perdurance in P0 electroporated cells, following CRE-mediated deletion.

Upon Lhx2 loss-of-function variations in local accessibility occur at LHX2 target sites (Fig. 4c, d upper panel, Fig. 5a–d, and Supplementary Table 7) and a correlation between local accessibility and LHX2 occupancy was observed at both time points (Fig. 5a, b). Specifically, 8% of the LHX2 targets lose accessibility in Lhx2 cKO at P2, while 40% of the LHX2 targets are affected at embryonic stages (Supplementary Table 7, and Supplementary Figs. 9 and 10), indicating LHX2 contributes to the maintenance of chromatin accessibility of its binding sites.

The effect of Lhx2 loss-of-function on chromatin accessibility was not merely focal, but extended genome-wide. While ATAC-Seq profiles from E14 whole retina (Fig. 5e) and P2 electroporated control (Fig. 5f) showed high correlation with those from each corresponding flow-sorted RPCs fraction, overall, 50% of the globally profiled open chromatin regions are lost in E14 Lhx2 cKO retinas and 22% are lost at P2 (Fig. 4c, d lower panel, Fig. 4e, f, and Supplementary Table 8), suggesting Lhx2-dependent mechanisms may concur to the global loss of chromatin accessibility. This may result from the regulation of chromatin remodeling factors and pioneer transcription factors that are expressed in the developing RPCs. Indeed, multiple
LHX2 cis-regulatory elements that exhibit variation in local accessibility upon Lhx2 loss-of-function and, that are assigned by proximity to Lhx2-dependent genes sets, encode for chromatin remodeling factors (Supplementary Table 6.2) and transcription factors with predicted pioneer function (Fig. 5c, d and Supplementary Table 9).

Lhx2-dependent TFs pioneer chromatin opening. We next determined whether binding by known and putative pioneer factors expressed in RPCs occurs (Fig. 5g) and tested whether it was altered by loss of LHX2 (Supplementary Fig. 5H and Supplementary Tables 10 and 11), as result of transcriptional deregulation and/or loss of steric interaction.

Some retinal progenitor-expressed transcription factors, whose motifs are predicted to co-occur with LHX2 peaks, (Fig. 3c, d and Supplementary Fig. 7A, B) have been previously characterized for their pioneer function in non-retinal contexts, such as KLF13, SoxB1 family members15, and NF-I (NFIA/NFIB/NFIC/NFIX)16. Sox2 is expressed in early and late-stage RPCs and is also a transcriptional target of LHX2 (Fig.5c) for which coordinated variations in local accessibility are found within a range of 500 Kb from the TSS (Fig. 6).

Fig. 3 Binding sites for transcription factors with predicted pioneer function co-occur with LHX2 peaks. a, b Hierarchical clustering of LHX2 ChIP-Seq regulatory motifs and assigned representative logos are represented at E14 (a) and P2 (b) (linkage = average; similarity threshold cor = 0.6, ncor = 0.4, w = 5). The most enriched cluster comprises LHX2 and multiple variations of the same motif. c, d Known transcription factor motifs preferentially enriched in either E14 or P2 LHX2 ChIP-Seq peaks and identified by pairwise comparison are shown. Percentage of motif occurrences are reported for input and background datasets. The relative expression level of the corresponding transcription factor mRNA at E14/P2 is indicated by the blue/red color gradient, respectively.
First, we computed the probability of occupancy for candidate transcription factor binding sites in the genome to which position weight matrices can be assigned and where focal depletion of the ATAC-Seq reads is observed (footprints). We then estimated transcription factor-specific chromatin opening indexes from ATAC-Seq developmental time points at P2 compared to E14. Finally, we iterated the analysis in the Lhx2 cKO conditions. Hence, we predicted recruitment of putative pioneer factors, by querying ATAC-Seq profiles around age-matched LHX2 ChIP-Seq peaks centers and across all the identified age-matched open chromatin regions in a 3 kb window. The densitometric difference in read coverage between control and Lhx2 cKO is reported on the right. Read distribution profiles flanking nucleosomes centered regions (nrc) are derived from ATAC-Seq control and Lhx2 cKO and plotted across all the identified age-matched open chromatin regions in a 3 kb window.

In E14 Chx10Cre;Lhx2lox/lox retinas, the average cut frequency at LHX2 motif center was reduced and in P2 Lhx2lox/lox retinas a minor reduction in the average cut frequency (Fig. 7a–f) was also observed. Overall, 96% of LHX2 footprints from E14 RPCs were lost in E14 Lhx2 cKO retinas and 39% were lost at P2 (Supplementary Tables 10 and 11). Footprints associated with SOX2, NF-I, SOX8/9 and ASCL1 were statistically reduced in E14 Lhx2 cKO (Fig. 5h), indicating that the recruitment of these transcription factors was altered following loss of LHX2.

SOX2 and NF-I exhibited a 93 and 84% reduction in the footprints count (Supplementary Table 10), together with KLF4, ASCL1, and HES5; SOX2 and NF-I had altered mean scores (Supplementary Table 11) and meta-profiles around motif centers (Fig. 7b, c). Variations in mean scores and footprinting meta-profiles were also observed for the predicted pioneer KLF4/9, as well as ASCL1 and HES5 (Fig. 7d–f), known neurogenic and gliogenic factors respectively19,20, expressed in late-stage RPCs21,22. Other transcription factors that exhibit a high pioneer potential in the retina were transcriptionally targeted by LHX2, such as SOX9, SMAD3, ZFX, and ETV5, because variations in recruitment at active sites (Supplementary Table 11) and in the average footprint count (Fig. 5h and Supplementary Table 12) were observed upon Lhx2 loss-of-function.

Nucleosome occupancy at the aforementioned motifs was altered in Lhx2 cKO samples (Fig. 7g–l), suggesting that LHX2 may facilitate or stabilize recruitment of cognate factors on chromatin regions, prior to or upon chromatin opening. LHX2 seemed to compete for nucleosome occupancy (Fig. 7g), as its meta-profiles were reminiscent of those previously
LHX2 affects local and global chromatin accessibility by epistatic and steric regulation of transcription factors with high pioneer potential.

Fig. 5 LHX2 affects local and global chromatin accessibility by epistatic and steric regulation of transcription factors with high pioneer potential. 

a, b Correlation between LHX2 occupancy and local accessibility at LHX2 target sites in age-matched open chromatin regions in E14 and P2 control conditions and in Lhx2 cKO. Two-tailed t-test statistics is reported. For loss of accessibility statistics at LHX2 targets, refer to Supplementary Table 7.

c, d Paired variations in gene expression and local chromatin accessibility are displayed for loci encoding LHX2 targeted transcription factors in embryonic Lhx2 cKO (Supplementary Data 3) (c) and developmentally regulated post natal targets (d). Representative transcription factors are highlighted with arrows (green/red for up/downregulation by RNA-Seq). e, f Correlation of open chromatin profiles obtained from ATAC-Seq flow-sorted fractions from control and Lhx2 cKO, normalized by the library size. Two-tailed t-test statistics is reported. For global loss of accessibility refer to Supplementary Table 8.

g Cumulative distribution of differentially expressed transcription factors across intervals of pioneer potential. Transcription factors with predicted pioneer potential based on P2 RPCs accessibility profiles compared to E14 were identified and filtered based on RNA-Seq. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was applied to putative pioneer TF with differential (blue) or comparable (red) expression between time points. Differentially expressed genes are distributed across higher intervals of pioneer potential than those shared between time points. h Footprint counts for individual transcription factors with predicted pioneer activity matching LHX2 co-occurrent motifs are shown in control and Lhx2 cKO from E14 and P2 retina. For predicted pioneer potential, refer to Supplementary Table 12.
described for the non-canonical pioneer factor NFIB\textsuperscript{17}. In E14 and P2 Lhx2 cKO retinas, an overall increase in nucleosomes coverage occurs around LHX2 motif center, consistent with a reduction in chromatin accessibility observed upon Lhx2 loss-of-function. Similarly, nucleosome depletion was observed at ASCL1 motif center in control conditions. Conversely, chromatin compaction occurs following Lhx2 loss-of-function, as seen from rescued levels of nucleosome occupancy (Fig. 7k). Moreover, we noticed a global reduction in nucleosome occupancy 500 bp around NF-1, KLF4/9, and HES5 motif centers in E14 Lhx2 cKO retinas and observed the opposite effect at post natal stages. No difference in competition for nucleosome binding could be noticed directly on NF-1 and KLF4/9 motif centers following Lhx2 loss-of-function (Fig. 7i, j, l). Chromatin compaction also

![Fig. 6 LHX2 coordinates variations in chromatin accessibility at the Sox2 locus. Custom tracks of E14 and P2 LHX2 ChIP-Seq reads and age-matched ATAC-Seq from purified RPCs and post mitotic precursors were configured on the mm10 UCSC murine genome assembly. Regions of interest targeted by LHX2, where variations by RNA-Seq and/or ATAC-Seq coverage are observed in Lhx2 cKO retinas are highlighted. Association with H3K27ac-labeled enhancer elements is also indicated. The LHX2-coordinated regulatory module is defined as follows: regulatory regions that display variations in ATAC-Seq coverage without a corresponding variation in the nearest transcript by E14 Lhx2 cKO RNA-Seq are putatively assigned (arrows) to the nearest gene exhibiting variation in transcript levels.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-019-0375-9)
occurs around SOX2 motif center in the Lhx2 cKO (Fig. 7h), with dips in nucleosomes distribution flanking SOX2 motif centers, suggesting SOX2 may preferentially be recruited on nucleosome free regions at E14, potentially acting as a settler factor whose binding occurs in proximity to pre-existing open chromatin states, as established by pioneer factors15.

Discussion
In this study, we report a central role for LHX2 in the global control of chromatin accessibility in retinal progenitor cells, we identify possible mechanisms by which LHX2 may mediate retinal transcriptional networks across development and we provide what is, to our knowledge, the first systematic query of candidate
pioneer factors that may contribute to the establishment and maintenance of open chromatin states in the native context of the developing murine retina.

First, an unbiased search for transcription factor motifs enriched in accessible chromatin regions from early and late retinal progenitor cells identified LHX2 as the most represented canonical transcription factor. LHX2 cis-regulatory repertoire changes over time, where the selective occupancy of retinal targets is reflected in gene variations upon Lhx2 loss-of-function. At embryonic stages, LHX2 preferentially targets known early retinal progenitor-related genes while, at postnatal stages, LHX2 preferentially targets known late progenitor-related genes. Divergence of LHX2 motif instances from the consensus results in developmentally regulated occupancy and may possibly underlie preferential targeting of retinal type specific targets in RPCs.

Individual overexpression of the Sry-related high-mobility group (HMG) transcription factors SOX2, SOX8, and SOX9 in the postnatal retina has been shown to promote amacrine cells formation in Lhx2 cKO mouse, but not in wild-type animals. Likewise, inactivation of the Notch intracellular domain, N1ICD in Lhx2 cKO mice inhibits RPCs maintenance while promoting the expression of gliogenic markers, yet the phenotype was not observed electroporating the wild type retina, suggesting LHX2 may deflect default developmental pathways by combinatorial interaction with co-factors, such as SOX9, restraining their ability to give rise to cellular diversity.

While LHX2 has a prominent role in the regulation of the transcriptome of early progenitors, a more moderate effect can be seen at postnatal stages. The lower extent to which Lhx2 loss-of-function affects gene expression at postnatal stages may be, in part, result of LHX2 residual expression in neonatal electroporated cells, where the perudence of the GFP reporter in progenitors exiting the cell cycle after transfection may contribute to the profiles analyzed. However, differential motif enrichment analysis indicates the postnatal retinal transcriptome relies on a broader set of transcriptional networks, which may compensate for Lhx2 ablation, limiting the magnitude of the transcriptional variations. This would be particularly relevant in the postnatal retina as opposed to the embryonic knock-out, as the endogenous expression of LHX2 that precedes its experimental ablation at P0, hence a phasic drop in protein expression, may enable a feed-forward mechanism.

Notably, immunostaining for P27 Kip1 and GLUL in Müller Glia is reduced both by overexpression and downregulation of Lhx2 in the neonatal retina, suggesting a balanced amount of LHX2 protein may be necessary to mediate the gliogenic process and a graded transcriptional response of target genes. Transcriptional de-regulation of P27 Kip1 and Glul may ultimately result from threshold responses that override the feed-forward regulatory loop controlling Lhx2 expression.

LHX2 regulatory role likely relies on remodeling of nucleosomes units, variably coupled with active enhancers marks, flanked by active promoter and non-coding regions within modules of coordinately accessible chromatin. As a result, Lhx2 loss-of-function affects genes involved in the cell cycle checkpoints, DNA replication, retinal disease phenotypes and the Notch signaling pathway, consistent with previous findings. LHX2 has been previously shown to act as a regulator of genes that control cortical neuronal subtype identity by interaction with members of the nucleosome and histone deacetylase remodeling complex, although the mechanistic basis behind its regulatory role had not been investigated.

LHX2 affects both local and global chromatin accessibility by competition for nucleosome occupancy, whereby secondary regulation of chromatin remodeling factors and pioneer factors is likely to concur to the widespread decrease in accessibility, observed upon Lhx2 loss-of-function.

Secondary regulation of candidate pioneer factors may be achieved transcriptionally, by Lhx2-coordinated variations in local accessibility, paired with epistatic variations in gene expression, as is the case of Sox2, and/or by steric interaction of predicted cofactors, both of which would presumably result in altered transcription factors recruitment and nucleosome remodeling.

Transcriptional dependence of candidate pioneer factors on LHX2 can be exemplified with the following cases, wherein variations in local accessibility at their closest cis-regulatory sites bound by LHX2 co-occur with variations in expression levels upon Lhx2 loss-of-function and/or across development. These genes include Hes5, Neurod4, Meis1, Rax, Dlx2, and Neurod1. Additional transcription factors, whose encoding genes are transcriptionally targeted by LHX2, exhibit high predicted pioneer potential such as ATF1, ATOH7, CREB1, DEAF1, EGR1, ET52, ETV5, HEY1, HEY2, MYCN, NR2F2, PROX1, REST, SP4, TEAD1, VAX2, VSX2, ZBTB33, and ZFX.

The E14 retinal transcriptome shows greater Lhx2-dependence than would be expected based on peaks association by proximity to the closest promoter region. This may partially reflect the preponderant distribution of LHX2-binding sites at intergenic regions, exerting distal regulation of target genes. On the other hand, the extent to which Lhx2 loss-of-function impacts retinal gene expression in early RPCs, may depend on collateral transcriptional networks evoked by LHX2, whereby the observed variations in gene expression following E14 Lhx2 loss-of-function would be amplified by Lhx2-dependent transcription factors.

Among the many transcription factors predicted to co-occur with LHX2 ChIP-Seq peaks, some had predicted pioneer potential for which we observed variations in footprints counts upon Lhx2 loss-of-function at E14. Affinity might be affected at both stages for many of the predicted interactors, as shown in their meta-profiles distribution and mean scores from motif centers. Moreover, nucleosomes depletion was observed at motif center of NF-I, KLF4/9, ASCL1, and HES5, while variations in nucleosomes coverage were detected upon Lhx2 loss-of-function, suggesting LHX2 may be necessary to stabilize candidate pioneer co-factors prior to or during recruitment to target sites.

While several of the predicted pioneer transcription factors affected by LHX2, such as HES5, SOX8/9, and NFIA, play important roles in the control of retinal gliogenesis, a process that is dependent on LHX2 expression, Ascl1 plays an essential role in conferring neurogenic competence to late-stage RPCs. Among additional LHX2 targets, Pax6 and Sox2 instead show broad temporal expression profiles and exert distinct functions across development. Some of the known and candidate pioneer factors that exhibit developmentally regulated nucleosome-binding signatures, like NF-I, SOX2, KLF4, may preserve chromatin in a highly compacted state at embryonic states, while mediating chromatin unfolding later in development.

**Methods**

**Experimental design.** The experimental pipeline involves the generation and integration of high-throughput sequencing libraries from purified fractions of murine retinal progenitor cells (RPCs). Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) was adopted to isolate RPCs from mice expressing the RPC-specific Chx10-Cre: eGFP transgene from embryonic day E14 and postnatal day P2 retina, representing early and late stages of RPC competence, respectively. Flow-sorted cells were profiled by RNA-Seq and ATAC-Seq, relying on direct in vitro transposition of sequencing adapters into native chromatin. ChIP-Seq was then performed on a select transcription factor candidate, identified in the preliminary screening of the ATAC-Seq-derived open chromatin regions. ChIP-Seq binding sites for the candidate factor were ultimately integrated and compared with the age-matched RNA-Seq and ATAC-Seq profiles from control and knock-out retinas. For each experimental condition involving a point-source factor and broad regions (ChIP-Seq and ATAC-Seq, respectively) a minimum of 20 million uniquely mappable reads or ≥10 million uniquely mappable reads for each biological replicate were collected, according to the ENCODE's guidelines. For point-source datasets, non-redundant mapped reads were retained for downstream analysis.
**Animals.** CD-1 mice of either sex were euthanized at embryonic day 14 (E14) and postnatal day 2 (P2) according to Johns Hopkins IACUC-approved protocols. Timed-pregnant CD-1 mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratories. Otx1-Cre-GFP mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratories. Retinas were freshly dissected, incubated in a suspension of papain and DNase for 30 min at 37 °C, inactivated with bovine serum albumin, resuspended in equilibrated Earle's balanced salt solution and subject to fluorescence-activated cell sorting (98–99% purity) where viability was assessed by the propidium iodide exclusion. Cell fractions were collected on poly-t-lysine coated slides. Fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, permeabilized in TritonX-100 and stained for CHX10 (Cat. # X1179P, Exalpha), GFP (Cat.# 600-101-215, Rockland), mKi67 (Cat.# RM-9106-S1,Thermo Scientific®), H3K27Ac (Cat.# 07-447, Millipore), mHoeschst® (Cat.# SC-180 Santa Cruz). The nuclear fraction, differing of fourfold mean intensity for GFP, relative to the dim fraction, was always referred for subsequent processing and hereafter was referred to as GFP-positive, RFP-encircled fraction. Lhx2 conditional embryonic knockouts were obtained by crossing Otx1-Cre-GFP with Lhxbios+/- mice, and harvesting at E1412. Postnatal Lhx2 knockout were generated by electroporation of pCAG-Cre-GFP construct into P0.5 wild-type CD-1 animals or Lhxbios+/- animals. Retinas were harvested at P2, dissociated, and GFP-positive electroporated cells were isolated by FACS. Overall electroperoration efficiency was 2–3%.

**ATAC-Seq, RNA-Seq, and ChiP-Seq analysis.** Chromatin derived from flow-sorted Otx1-Cre-GFP + ve and GFP-ve retinal fractions was processed as previously described13. Briefly, chromatin was extracted and processed for Trn-mediated fragmentation and adapter incorporation according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Nextera DNA sample preparation kit, Illumina®) at 37 °C for 30 min. Reduced-cycle amplification was carried out in presence of compatibly indexed sequencing adapters. The quality of the libraries was assessed by fluorescent DNA incorporation-based assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific®) and automated electrophoresis (Agilent Technologies, Inc.) and up to 500 ng samples per lane were pooled and run as 50 bp paired ends on a HiSeq2500 Illumina sequencer.

RNA was processed with Qian RNAeasy Mini kit, subject to DNase digestion, and samples with a minimum RNA integrity number (RIN) of seven were further processed for sequencing. Libraries were prepared using Illumina TruSeq RNA Sample kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) following the manufacturer’s recommended procedure. Briefly, total RNA was denatured at 65 °C for 5 min, cooled on ice, purified and incubated at 80 °C for 2 min. The eluted mRNA was fragmented at 94 °C for 8 min and converted to double stranded cDNA, end repaired, and ligated with adapters and run on a HiSeq2500 Illumina sequencer. The quality of the libraries was assessed by fluorimetric RNA incorporation-based assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific™) and automated capillary electrophoresis (Agilent Technologies, Inc).

ChiP was performed as described previously35. Whole dissected retinas were dissociated in a collagenase 1 suspension, cross-linked in 1% formaldehyde for 15 min, and quenched in 125 mM glycine. The extracted nuclei were sheared to 150 bp single read, paired ends) were run on a HiSeq2500 Illumina sequencer. RNA-Seq reads were aligned to mouse transcriptome (mm9 UCSC build) using Tophat234, and differentially expressed genes or Spearman correlation was computed by hypergeometric test with a default minimum overlap of 0.6, ncor = 0.4, rl = 5, where ncor is Pearson’s correlation (cor) by relative alignment length (el) divided by the overall alignment length. (e.val = p-val x enriched oligos)24,25.

For ChiP-Seq analysis, binomial probability analysis of regulatory transcription factor motifs was calculated in the overall set of high-confidence peaks (minimum of two normalized replicates). The regions size was empirically determined and motifs were found by cumulative binomial distribution of known position weight matrices assuming a random representation of decamers. Motif finding was performed on the repeats masked mm9murine genome, optimized for the top enriched 20 putative motifs, randomized and repeated twice to estimate FDR24,25.

**Footprint analysis.** FIMO45 was used to scan the genome for candidate binding sites of different transcription factors. We then used BPAC to identify the actual binding sites among these candidate sites40. The number of active binding sites was analyzed at E14 and P2. Genome-wide changes in footprint counts and nucleosome occupancy for individual transcription factor motifs were estimated for all candidate binding sites at E14 and P2. Footprints scores were calculated for Lhx2, KLF9/13, NF-I (NFIA/B/X), and SOX2. T-test on mean footprints scores distributed 200 bp from footprint centers were calculated for the paired control and Lhx2 cKO conditions.

**Statistical analysis.** Co-occurrence statistics for point-source and broad regions of interest was computed by hypergeometric test with a default minimum overlap of 1 bp, unless otherwise specified. Coverage was adopted as reproducibility metrics for ChiP-Seq and ATAC-Seq experimental replicates (fraction of reads/10 Million non-redundant uniquely mappable reads) and FPKM for RNA-Seq, where correlation was reported by Pearson’s or Spearman’s coefficient. Binomial probability analysis of regulatory transcription factor motifs was applied genome-wide to identify enriched position weight matrices (PWMs) and clustered by average linkage. Fisher’s exact test was adopted to compute gene ontology enrichment and corrected for multiple hypothesis (Bonferroni–Hochberg) and RNA-Seq-derived gene sets from flow-sorted retinal cell fractions and control vs. experimental conditions (Lhx2 cKO) with q-val (FDR) < 0.05 were retained for downstream analysis, unless otherwise specified. Two-tailed t-test was adopted to compare the average footprints counts for candidate pioneer factors in control and Lhx2 knock-out conditions.

**Reporting Summary.** Further information on experimental design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

**Data availability**

Auxiliary data, files, and tables have been deposited in the GEO repository, Accession number GSE99818. Metadata descriptions for the GEO repository are available in Supplementary Data 4. All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. Additional data are available from the authors upon request.
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