ATP binding controls distinct structural transitions of Escherichia coli DNA gyrase in complex with DNA
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DNA gyrase is a molecular motor that harnesses the free energy of ATP hydrolysis to introduce negative supercoils into DNA. A critical step in this reaction is the formation of a chiral DNA wrap. Here we observe gyrase structural dynamics using a single-molecule assay in which gyrase drives the processive, stepwise rotation of a nanosphere attached to the side of a stretched DNA molecule. Analysis of rotational pauses and measurements of DNA contraction reveal multiple ATP-modulated structural transitions. DNA wrapping is coordinated with the ATPase cycle and proceeds by way of an unanticipated structural intermediate that dominates the kinetics of supercoiling. Our findings reveal a conformational landscape of loosely coupled transitions funneling the motor toward productive energy transduction, a feature that may be common to the reaction cycles of other DNA and protein remodeling machines.
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rotation of the fluorescent bead, allowing visualization of enzymatic steps. Supercoils trapped by structural intermediates can also be visualized, because the rotor spins to relax compensatory strain, in a single-molecule analog of topology footprinting. Substeps in the cycle of DNA gyrase can thus be mapped along a repeating two-rotation reaction coordinate, analogous to the repeating 120° reaction coordinate defined by rotation of the gamma shaft in F1 ATPase.

In a previous RBT study of DNA gyrase, supercoiling was analyzed at saturating ATP concentrations in order to map a major rate-limiting transition that may be coupled to the release of hydrolysis products. ATP concentration–limited substeps were studied under distributive (but not processive) conditions and were consistent with the formation of a chirally wrapped ATP waiting state at ~1 rotation.

We set out to characterize gyrase mechanobiology using new RBT assays that permit simultaneous measurements of DNA rotation and contraction, direct characterization of ATP waiting states during processive supercoiling, and high spatiotemporal resolution. Unexpectedly, we distinguished ATP concentration–dependent dwell at multiple locations along the reaction coordinate. We show that the dominant dwell corresponds to a previously unknown structural intermediate that sequesters substantial DNA contour length but does not trap supercoils. Conversion of the newly found intermediate to a chiral wrap depends on an ATP-accelerated conformational rearrangement, contradicting prevailing models in which chiral wrapping is fast and ATP independent.

RESULTS

A high resolution assay for DNA gyrase

To observe substeps in the enzymatic cycle of DNA gyrase, we made modifications to the RBT assay (Fig. 1b), including reducing the drag of the rotor bead and shortening the DNA tether, allowing angular measurements with high spatiotemporal resolution to be made at sufficiently low tensions to permit processive gyrase activity.

The dominant ATP waiting pause occurs at ~0 rotations

At limiting ATP concentration, previous models predict a dominant pause at ~1 rotation, as the enzyme is expected to dwell primarily in a chirally wrapped conformation awaiting nucleotide-gated strand passage. Previous RBT measurements of individual isolated cycles at low ATP concentration show that there is sometimes a pause at ~1 rotation, as expected. However, any pauses near zero rotations would be undetectable in these experiments. There have been no previous single-molecule measurements of processive stepping at low ATP concentrations, and whether there are ATP-dependent pauses at additional positions in the cycle is still unknown.

To directly investigate ATP waiting intermediates, we collected processive RBT traces under varying ATP concentration (Fig. 2). Unexpectedly, lowering ATP concentration primarily lengthens a pause at ~0 rotations. This result implies that the dominant ATP waiting intermediate does not trap DNA supercoils, and falsifies the previously proposed model in which the rate-limiting pause at ~0 rotations is followed by a rapid transition to a chirally wrapped ATP waiting intermediate at ~1 rotation.

Distributions of waiting times in single molecule traces can provide information about hidden kinetic steps. At low ATP concentrations, waiting-time distributions for DNA gyrase stepping are closely approximated by single exponentials, as expected if the kinetics is dominated by waiting for a single chemical event. Our observations are compatible with a two-ATP mechanism if the
first ATP binds in rapid equilibrium, or if one ATP binds much faster than the other. Mechanisms can be further distinguished by examining the dependence of mean waiting times on ATP concentration, as discussed later.

Dwell-time distributions at saturating ATP concentration are highly peaked, as expected for a multistep process (Fig. 2d). Distributions at all ATP concentrations are consistent with a model in which up to 0.5 s of the cycle are occupied by irreversible processes that are independent of the concentration of ATP (see Online Methods), explaining a rising phase that is prominent at 1 mM but nearly negligible at 75 µM ATP. Slow release of ATP hydrolysis products might thus account for a substantial fraction of the cycle time at saturating ATP concentration, as suggested earlier for both gyrases and the related yeast topoisomerase II.

Contour length remains trapped during processive bursts

Having identified a dominant ATP waiting intermediate at ~0 rotations, we used DNA extension measurements to further probe the structure of this intermediate and analyze the dynamics of DNA contraction throughout processive activity. In previous RBT experiments, DNA extension was not directly monitored; changes in DNA extension were only indirectly probed through analysis of force perturbations.

Previous models make conflicting predictions about the dynamics of DNA contraction. Earlier single-molecule studies suggested a model in which the enzyme releases its DNA wrap each cycle to dwell in a vulnerable state at ~0 rotations that does not trap contour length. Atomic force microscopy studies also suggested that the DNA wrap is lost and regained during each cycle, because trapped contour length was released upon nucleotide binding. By contrast, structural observations of multiple alternative CTD positions inspired ‘hand over hand’ models in which mobile CTDs guide strand passage without fully releasing the wrapped DNA.

We used a defocus tracking method to measure the focal depth of the rotor bead simultaneously with its angular position (Fig. 3; see Online Methods). Changes in rotor depth reflect changes in overall DNA extension resulting from enzyme binding or structural rearrangements of the nucleoprotein complex. For all ATP concentrations, the DNA extension drops immediately before each burst of rotation, and it remains contracted throughout the processive burst. These measurements rule out models in which the enzyme dwells substantially in conformations where DNA is released from the enzyme.

The dominant ATP waiting intermediate at ~0 rotations is thus revealed to be a highly contracted conformation of the nucleoprotein complex, which differs from the chirally wrapped conformation in that it does not trap supercoils. Contraction without supercoil trapping could be explained by G-segment bending, which has been observed in cocrystal structures of gyrases and other type IIA topoisomerases with DNA. However, contraction due to DNA bending is suppressed by tension in the 0.2- to 1-pN range, and even a sharp bend is expected to generate only 10–15 nm of contraction under 1 pN of tension. By contrast, we observe that the average contraction actually increases from 29 ± 1 nm at 0.5 pN (mean ± s.e.m., N = 53) to 34 ± 2 nm at 1 pN (mean ± s.e.m., N = 28), a larger contraction than expected from bending alone but consistent with sequestering >100 bp of contour length.

At the start of a processive burst, contraction always precedes rotation (Fig. 3b,c), indicating that the enzyme initially binds in a conformation that sequesters contour length without trapping supercoils. We propose that this initial conformation is then revisited every cycle during the dominant ATP waiting dwell.
Interconverting conformations in the absence of ATP

We have identified a structural intermediate that has two of the expected features of the chirally wrapped DNA gyrase complex: it extensively sequesters DNA contour length, and it accumulates at low ATP concentrations. However, this intermediate lacks a critical feature of the chirally wrapped complex in that it does not trap any supercoils. In the absence of ATP, DNA gyrase traps ~0.8 positive supercoils per enzyme in topology footprinting experiments 14, and it generates reversible excursions to approximately +1.3 rotations in previous RBT assays 29. To provide context for our measurements of structural intermediates during processive supercoiling, we conducted new measurements of contraction and rotation in the absence of ATP, matching the nucleotide condition that had previously been used to study chiral wrapping.

When gyrase was introduced in the absence of ATP (Fig. 4), we observed events in which DNA contraction initially occurred without rotation, and then the rotor made reversible excursions to dwell at positive angles, as expected for reversible trapping of positive supercoils. During contraction events, the rotor appeared to dwell in three distinct states centered at ~0, ~1 and ~1.7 rotations. Averaged over all three states, the mean angle visited during contraction events is 0.74 rotations (Fig. 4b).

These measurements reconcile previous studies of supercoil trapping in the absence of ATP. Previous RBT experiments did not measure DNA compaction and thus ignored the complex that traps approximately zero supercoils, which was indistinguishable from free DNA. The earlier RBT study also did not distinguish between dwells at ~1 and ~1.7 rotations, and it reported an angle intermediate between these values. Our observed average number of supercoils trapped in contracted complexes (0.74 ± 0.07) closely matches results from bulk topology footprinting 13,14. The bulk measurement can now be seen to reflect an equilibrium average over three interconverting complexes that differ in the number of supercoils trapped.
To differentiate among substates of the wrapped DNA gyrase complex, we used ‘Ω state’ to designate complexes that trap supercoils and ‘α state’ to designate complexes that trap contour length without trapping supercoils (Fig. 4b). We can now use ‘Ω state’ to describe the dominant ATP waiting intermediate we observed during processive supercoiling.

ATP concentration controls exit from the α state

Trapping of positive supercoils in the absence of ATP has long been proposed to reflect a critical on-pathway intermediate that confers directionality on the subsequent ATP-dependent strand passage reaction. However, slow excursions to supercoil-trapping α states at zero ATP could instead represent an off-pathway process unrelated to the supercoiling function of DNA gyrase. In order to test the on-pathway model in which ATP binding to the α state leads to directional strand passage, we examined whether ATP concentration affects exit from α states.

Reversible excursions to α states were observed interspersed with complete forward cycles at 35 μM and 75 μM ATP (examples marked with * in Fig. 3 and compiled in Fig. 5a). If these states are competent for ATP-dependent strand passage, they should have reduced lifetimes at higher ATP concentrations, owing to the increased contribution of ATP binding to the rate of exit from the α state. Mean dwell times for these reversible excursions did indeed decrease monotonically from 9 ± 3 s in the absence of ATP (mean ± s.e.m., N = 50) to 2.0 ± 0.3 s at 75 μM ATP (mean ± s.e.m., N = 40), supporting a model in which α is an on-pathway state.

Direct visualization of on-pathway intermediates

On-pathway α states should also be detectable as pauses at ~1 or ~1.7 rotations in the middle of forward steps. Midcyle pauses can be seen in some, but not all, steps at low ATP concentrations (examples marked with * in Fig. 3 and compiled in Fig. 5b), agreeing with previous reports that a midcyle pause can be seen in some isolated cycles at 25 μM ATP29. Midcyle pauses at ~1.7 rotations are harder to detect than midcyle pauses at ~1 rotation because of the proximity of the subsequent plateau at two rotations.

Distinct contracted intermediates of the DNA–gyrase complex can be distinguished as populations in two-dimensional histograms of angle and z under varying ATP concentrations (Figs. 4b and 5c). Ω states are clearly separated from free DNA along the z coordinate and from α states along the angle coordinate. α states at ~1 and ~1.7 rotations are sampled in the absence of ATP, and an α state at ~1 rotation remains detectable at low ATP concentrations. Our measurements suggest that for all ATP concentrations, the enzyme initially binds in an Ω conformation and then undergoes a transition to an α conformation before either completing a forward cycle or returning unproductively from α to Ω.

The Ω-to-α transition may correspond to T-segment docking

The kinetics of supercoiling is dominated by dwells in the newly identified Ω state, which is distinguished by sequestering substantial contour length without trapping supercoils. The properties of this state can be explained (Fig. 6) if the DNA is bent around the CTDs but traces a nearly planar path, or a path that fluctuates to trap zero writhe on average. The slow transition to the α state may then correspond to docking of a T-segment between the ATPase domains, trapping positive writhe. The strong ATP-concentration dependence of the rate of exit from the Ω state (Fig. 6c) indicates that ATP favors the Ω→α transition. Rapid T-segment capture may be mediated by ATP-dependent closure of the N-gate.

The extent of DNA contraction remains approximately constant during the Ω→α transition (Figs. 3–5). The conformational rearrangement may involve active or passive reorientation of the CTDs, while retaining extensive contact between the CTDs and the DNA. Detailed structural proposals for the conformations of these states must remain speculative at this stage, but some possible geometries under tension are illustrated in Supplementary Figure 1.

Kinetics reflect parallel pathways for the Ω-to-α transition

Our results suggest a model in which dominant pauses at ~0 rotations correspond to dwells in the Ω state awaiting supercoil trapping mediated by T-segment docking, which can occur slowly in the absence of ATP or quickly when ATP is bound. We challenged our model by analyzing the lifetimes of rotational pauses (Fig. 6). In our model, the lag Tstart between initial contraction and subsequent rotation at the beginning of a processive burst corresponds to a dwell in Ω,
and the enzyme dwells in the same Ω state during measured pauses τ₀ that recur each cycle during processive supercoiling (Fig. 6b). As expected, the mean values of τ_start and τ_stop are similar as a function of ATP concentration (Fig. 6c). The rate of exit from Ω has a quadratic dependence on ATP concentration, consistent with a model in which rapid T-segment capture requires binding of two ATP molecules. A nonzero intercept for the rate of exit as a function of ATP concentration reflects a slow alternative process in which a T-segment can be reversibly docked in the absence of nucleotide (Fig. 6d).

Increasing the tension from 0.5 to 1 pN does not substantially affect the rate of exit from the Ω state (Supplementary Fig. 2).

**Strand passage competes with futile T-segment release**

At low ATP concentrations, we often observed pauses at ~1 or ~1.7 rotations, which we have ascribed to dwells in a chirally wrapped α state awaiting ATP-dependent strand passage. Our measurements of midcycle pauses τ₁ as well as reversible excursions τ_futile were both expected to reflect the lifetime of the α state, with τ_futile

---

**Figure 6** Structure and kinetics of intermediates. (a) Cartoons showing hypothetical conformations of the nucleoprotein complex (see also Supplementary Fig. 1). In the Ω state, the DNA (green) makes extensive contacts with the CTDs but does not form a chiral loop. Ω states can be converted to the previously proposed chirally wrapped α states through reorientation of a CTD and docking of a T-segment between the ATPase domains, trapping positive writhe. (b) Stepwise fits (solid lines) to angle and z traces were used to extract four categories of dwell times: dominant pauses (τ₀), midcycle pauses (τ₁), reversible excursions (τ_futile) and lag times between contraction and rotation at the start of processive bursts (τ_start). (c) ATP concentration-dependent kinetics of α and Ω states. Error bars indicate s.e.m. The rate of exit from the Ω state saturates at high ATP concentrations (solid green line; see Supplementary Note). At low ATP concentrations, the ATP-concentration dependence of exit rates from both the α state and the Ω state can be approximated by a parabola (solid blue line) with a nonzero intercept (dashed line). (d) Cartoon of models in which an ATP-independent process competes with a process requiring two ATP molecules. The kinetics of the Ω state is explained by a model in which T-segment docking can occur through either a slow ATP-independent process or an ATP-accelerated process requiring two ATP molecules. Similarly, the kinetics of the α state can be explained by the competition between forward progress (requiring two ATP) and slow reversion to.

---

**Figure 7** Branched kinetic model for structural transitions and ATP coupling in DNA gyrase. (See also Supplementary Fig. 3.) (a) Diagram of allowed transitions in the model. Several ATP binding transitions are approximated as rapid equilibria with state-dependent affinities K₁, K₂ and K₃. Rate constants are labeled for other transitions. Parameter values are shown in Table 1. Some reverse rates are assumed to be negligible and are not shown. The dissociation rate k₁off(F) varies with the tension F in the DNA molecule; all other rates are tension-independent. Unlabeled arrows represent transitions of unknown kinetics that are fast relative to k₂; in aggregate, they may occur as much as 0.5 s of the cycle (Fig. 2b). (b,c) Overall supercoiling velocity (expressed in strand passages per second, in b) and processivity of the enzyme (defined as the probability of completing a forward step before dissociating, in c) as a function of ATP concentration, as measured experimentally (red symbols) and predicted from the kinetic model (blue lines). Inset in b expands the low ATP concentration region. Inset in c shows the transition processivity, defined as the probability of docking a T-segment before dissociating, which remains measurable in the absence of ATP. Error bars indicate s.e.m.
reflecting events in which futile T-segment release wins a kinetic competition with strand passage. As expected, $\tau_1$ and $\tau_{\text{futile}}$ had similar mean values as a function of ATP concentration (Fig. 6c). As with the $\Omega$ state, the ATP-dependent kinetics of exit were described by a parabola with a nonzero intercept, as expected if a process requiring two ATP molecules (in this case, strand passage) competes with an ATP-independent process (in this case, futile T-segment release) (Fig. 6d).

Our results imply that the T-segment can remain docked for ~10 s in the absence of nucleotide. The docked state may be stabilized by partial N-gate closure; a recent FRET study reported narrowing of the N-gate in nucleotide-free DNA–gyrase complexes, compared with gyrase alone.

A branched kinetic model for gyrase mechanochemistry

In the mechanochemical model that emerges from this study, the principal pathway involves binding of two ATP molecules in the $\Omega$ state, followed by an accelerated $\Omega \rightarrow \alpha$ transition in which a T-segment is docked in the upper cavity between the ATPase domains. Subsequent strand passage is rapid, explaining why many observed cycles contain no detectable dwell in the $\alpha$ state, even at low ATP concentrations (Figs. 2a and 5b). In a parallel pathway, T-segment docking can occur through a slow ATP-independent process. In this case, the enzyme reaches an ATP-free $\alpha$ state and must wait for two ATP molecules to bind before proceeding to strand passage, yielding a substantial dwell in $\alpha$. We have incorporated this branching model into an overall mechanochemical cycle (Fig. 7; see also Supplementary Fig. 3) that quantitatively explains many of our single-molecule observations, including the dependence of $\alpha$ and $\Omega$ lifetimes on ATP concentration (Fig. 6c), the distributions of $\Omega$ lifetimes at low ATP concentrations (Fig. 2d) and the ATP-concentration dependence of supercoiling velocity (Fig. 7b) and processivity (Fig. 7c).

Parameters for our model are summarized in Table 1. Transitions are modeled as requiring two ATP binding events, with the first ATP binding weakly and reversibly. We assume that the contracted $\Omega$ state is vulnerable to dissociation, which explains the high sensitivity of processivity to force and further predicts that processivity should decrease at low ATP concentrations, as we observed (Fig. 7c). This trend is in the opposite direction from the ATP-concentration dependence of processivity in dimeric walking myosins, and it contradicts a previous model in which processivity should be insensitive to ATP concentration.

DISCUSSION

In a longstanding model for directional supercoiling by DNA gyrase, formation of a chirally wrapped intermediate is an ATP-independent process that closely coincides with DNA binding, and ATP is only required to drive the subsequent strand-transfer reaction. We have now shown that ATP is required to overcome a kinetic barrier to chiral wrap formation. By mapping out structural intermediates on a twist-extension plane, we have distinguished chirally wrapped $\alpha$ states from a newly defined $\Omega$ state that sequesters the DNA contour length involved in the wrap but does not trap a positive supercoil. The $\Omega \rightarrow \alpha$ remodeling transition dominates the kinetics of processive supercoiling over a wide range of ATP concentrations, reflecting a high barrier to spontaneous rearrangement. ATP binding accelerates the $\Omega \rightarrow \alpha$ transition by at least an order of magnitude (Fig. 6c and Table 1) through a mechanism that we propose is linked to T-segment capture (Fig. 6).

Multiple conformations of the DNA–gyrase complex

Our results prompt a re-examination of the wrapped DNA–gyrase complex. In the absence of ATP, the DNA–gyrase complex samples several functionally relevant conformations that all sequester contour length but differ in the number of supercoils trapped (Fig. 4). Previous bulk studies of the nucleotide-free wrapped complex (using topology footprinting, hydroxyl radical footprinting and small-angle X-ray scattering) probably measured averaged properties of coexisting conformations, whereas previous RBT measurements in the absence of ATP selectively measured $\alpha$ states, overlooking $\Omega$ states because of the absence of extension measurements.

In the presence of AMP-PNP, gyrase retains a large DNA footprint but does not trap supercoils, and it shows an altered pattern of DNA protection relative to the nucleotide-free enzyme. These properties are consistent with specific stabilization of the $\Omega$ state; after a single round of strand passage supported by AMP-PNP, the enzyme may adopt an $\Omega$-like configuration. The T-segment is not recaptured, because the N-gate is clamped shut, and the enzyme cannot reset without hydrolysis.

Subdivision of the wrapped complex also enables reinterpretation of previous dynamic single-molecule data, whose interpretation relied on the erroneous assumption that sequestering contour length is always coincident with trapping supercoils. Because the rate-limiting dwell was observed in a state that did not trap supercoils, it was assumed that this state did not sequester contour length. Processivity was found to be a strong function of DNA tension, which was explained by a rapid tension-sensitive wrapping step that competes with dissociation. In our new model, the force sensitivity of processivity is explained by a force-sensitive dissociation rate for the highly contracted $\Omega$ state.

Structural rearrangements during the gyrase cycle have been proposed to involve changes in the positions of the CTDs, based on observations of complexes with CTDs in widely different positions: in a plane with the DNA gate in a crystal structure of the related topoisomerase IV enzyme and positioned near the exit gate in small-angle X-ray scattering studies of GyrA and holoenzyme. FRET measurements further indicate that the CTD orientation in the DNA–gyrase complex differs from the orientation in gyrase alone. Dynamic cycling of CTD positions has still not been directly observed, but our measurements of the $\Omega \rightarrow \alpha$ transition would be difficult to reconcile with a model in which the CTD positions remain static, and it is likely that this kinetically limiting transition involves marked CTD reorientation.

Although our measurements reveal a central role for the newly defined $\Omega$ state, we also confirm the role of chirally wrapped intermediates

Table 1 Parameters in the mechanochemical model for DNA gyrase

| Quantity | Value | Comments |
|----------|-------|----------|
| $k_1K_2$ | $114 \pm 14 \mu$M | Modeling assumes $k_1 \gg K_2$. A previous model predicted $K_1 = 590 \mu$M and $K_2 = 70 \mu$M, giving $\sqrt{k_1K_2} = 203 \mu$M. |
| $k_2$ | $1.05 \pm 0.09 \text{s}^{-1}$ | For simplicity, the value of $k_2$ used here corresponds to the maximum supercoiling velocity, underestimating the raw transition rate. |
| $k_3$ | $0.08 \pm 0.03 \text{s}^{-1}$ | Rate of ATP-independent T-segment docking. |
| $k_{\text{rel}}$ | $0.10 \pm 0.03 \text{s}^{-1}$ | Rate of futile T-segment release |
| $k_{\text{eff}}$ (0.5 pN) | $0.038 \pm 0.008 \text{s}^{-1}$ | All other rates are assumed to be tension independent. |

Data are insufficient to independently constrain $k_3$ and $K_3$. For fitting procedures and error analysis, see Supplementary Note.
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(α states) as on-pathway intermediates for directional supercoiling. Additionally, we observed two conformational variants of the α state in which either ~1 or ~1.7 supercoils were trapped (Fig. 4b). These variants were structurally distinct but functionally and kinetically indistinguishable in our assays. We can only speculate on the structural details of the variants, but it is plausible that trapping ~1 supercoil requires the rearrangement of only one CTD, whereas trapping ~1.7 supercoils requires a concerted rearrangement of both CTDs (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Mechanochemical coupling in type II topoisomerases

Molecular motor mechanisms are often described using models with a strict correspondence between chemical states and structural intermediates. However, loose coupling between individual mechanical transitions and substeps of the ATP hydrolysis cycle has emerged as an important feature of type II topoisomerase mechanisms. Strand passage was initially thought to be independent of ATP hydrolysis, because one round of passage can be supported by non-hydrolyzable ATP analogs47,48. Single turnover assays of yeast topoisomerase II ultimately showed that strand passage is slow unless it is accelerated by ATP hydrolysis50. For DNA gyrase, we have now similarly shown that trapping a positive supercoil is slow unless it is accelerated by ATP binding.

In addition to the possibility of strand passage without hydrolysis, it is also possible for hydrolysis to occur without strand passage, with detrimental effects on the fuel efficiency of the enzyme. In yeast topoisomerase II, approximately eight ATP molecules are hydrolyzed for each strand passage event at saturating ATP concentrations51. In DNA gyrase, more efficient coupling ratios have been reported close to one ATP molecule per strand passage when the substrate is a relaxed DNA molecule52, although coupling becomes poor in the presence of high levels of negative supercoiling. A comparison of our measured overall reaction velocity at saturating ATP concentration (1.1 s⁻¹) with the previously measured ATPase rate (0.9 s⁻¹) on nicked DNA53 is consistent with efficient coupling, and our kinetic model (Fig. 7) can be extended to suggest a mechanism in which futile hydrolysis is avoided by coordination between T-segment docking and N-gate closure (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Chiral DNA wrapping is the critical step that confers directionality to the supercoiling function of DNA gyrase. We have shown that wrapping is a coordinated multistep process in which sequestering contour length is followed by an ATP-accelerated conformational rearrangement that traps positive supercoils. This study contributes to an emerging picture of loosely coupled mechanochemical transitions in GHL ATPases, in which nucleotide states cannot be uniquely identified with structural intermediates54. Our results illustrate the ability of dynamic measurements to complement structural investigations of trapped intermediates, by identifying functional states, directly characterizing transitions and revealing mixtures of conformations. Our methods may further be used to identify substeps in the gyrase cycle affected by antibiotic drugs that target the enzyme6 and to characterize the mechanisms of new inhibitors developed during ongoing drug discovery efforts24.

METHODS

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper at http://www.nature.com/NSMB/. Note: Supplementary information is available on the Nature Structural & Molecular Biology website.
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ONLINE METHODS

Preparation of DNA, proteins and beads. DNA constructs for RBT were prepared by ligation of three modified PCR fragments digested with BbsI (New England Biolabs, NEB) to generate non-palindromic overhangs. The lower segment (302 bp) was body-labeled with digoxigenin–dUTP (Roche) as described28; the middle segment (802 bp from pMP1000, containing a variant of the µ phage strong gyrase site56) was singly labeled with biotin using an internally modified PCR primer; and the top segment (3,900 bp amplified from pBiex-1, Novagen) was labeled with fluorescein using a 5’ modified primer. One-micron carboxy-modified superparamagnetic beads (MyOne, Invitrogen) were cross-linked with rabbit anti-fluorescein (Invitrogen)29. Rotor beads were ‘yellow-carboxy-modified superparamagnetic beads (MyOne, Invitrogen) were cross-linked with rabbit anti-fluorescein (Invitrogen)29. Rotor beads were ‘yellow-green’ neuromagnetic-coated Fluospheres (Invitrogen) with a nominal diameter of 200 nm; measured diameters were 300 ± 20 nm (mean ± s.d., N = 20) based on rotor orbits. E. coli GyRA and GyRB subunits were individually expressed and purified as described27, mixed to reconstitute tetramers and stored at −80 °C in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM potassium glutamate, 2 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, and 10% (v/v) glycerol.

Chamber preparation. Flow chambers were assembled using Nesofilms gaskets and hole-punched coverslips, with the objective-side coverslip spin-coated with 0.1% (w/v) nitrocellulose (Ernest F. Fullam). To prepare rotor–DNA complexes, Fluospheres were centrifuged, resuspended in PB1 (400 µl of 5 mg ml−1 acetylated BSA (Invitrogen) in PBS (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄ and 2 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.5)) to match their original suspended volume, sonicated (20 min), and incubated (1 h) with DNA (−5 µM). Chambers were incubated with 0.79 µg ml⁻¹ anti-digoxigenin (Roche) in PBS (30 min), washed with 400 µl PB1, and incubated in PB1 (1 h) followed by rotor–DNA complexes (1 h). Chambers were then washed with 400 µl PB1, incubated with magnetic beads in PB1 (30 min), and finally washed with 400 µl of 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ BSA (NEB) in PBS.

Assays. Gyrase tetramer (0.6–6 nM) was added in GB (35 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 24 mM potassium glutamate, 4 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM DTT, 0.25 mg ml⁻¹ BSA (NEB) and 0.2 mM spermidine) containing indicated ATP concentrations, 10 mM phosphocreatine and 1.23 µM creatine phosphokinase (Calbiochem) for ATP regeneration, and an oxygen-scavenging system consisting of 0.4% (w/v) glucose, 216 µg ml⁻¹ glucose oxidase (Calbiochem), 36 µg ml⁻¹ Aspergillus niger catalase (Calbiochem) and 26 µg ml⁻¹ bovine liver catalase (Sigma). Assays were carried out at ambient temperature (22 ± 1 °C).

Instrumentation. Magnetic tweezers were implemented on a modified Nikon TE2000 inverted microscope. The fluorescent rotor bead was excited with a 488-nm diode-pumped solid-state laser (Spectra Physics) using dualview optics on an EMCCD camera (Andor iXon DV860) at a frame rate of 250 Hz. Forces were applied using permanent magnets (K&J Magnetics) to generate non-palindromic overhangs. The variable k_ds was determined independently for each rotor as above. Step locations were obtained by least-squares fitting, assuming that each forward cycle contains a dwell at 0 rotations, and may contain either a detectable (>1 s) dwell at 1 rotation, a detectable (>1 s) dwell at 1.7 rotations, or no detectable midcycle pause. The value of τ was shifted by the detection limit cutoff to correct for undetected short pauses46.

Waiting time distributions. Dashed lines in Figure 2d were calculated from a multistep model in which ATP-independent processes were approximated as three irreversible steps with equal rate constants k_ds, leading to a distribution that was the convolution of four exponential functions representing one ATP concentration–dependent and three ATP concentration–independent steps. The variable k_ds was determined independently for each rotor as above. Step locations were obtained by least-squares fitting, assuming that each forward cycle contains a dwell at 0 rotations, and may contain either a detectable (>1 s) dwell at 1 rotation, a detectable (>1 s) dwell at 1.7 rotations, or no detectable midcycle pause. The value of τ was shifted by the detection limit cutoff to correct for undetected short pauses46.

Software used. Analysis was carried out using custom scripts in MATLAB (MathWorks). Figures were prepared using MATLAB and Adobe Illustrator.
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\[ \phi = \frac{\text{std} \{\tau_X\} - \text{std} \{\tau_Y\}}{\text{std} \{\tau_X\} + \text{std} \{\tau_Y\}} \]  

where std{τ_X} and std{τ_Y} are the standard deviations of the pixel intensities binned along each respective axis. The function φ(τ) was calibrated for each rotor bead by stepping the objective focal plane using the piezo stage, accounting for the focal shift (0.78, measured as described59) arising from index mismatch between the immersion oil and the aqueous sample.

Spatiotemporal resolution. Thermal fluctuations of harmonically constrained rotors are described by an angular variance

\[ \langle \Delta \theta^2 \rangle = \frac{k_B T}{k} \]  

and a relaxation time

\[ \tau = \frac{\gamma}{k} \]  

where k is the torsional stiffness of the tether and γ is the rotational drag of the rotor. Under gyrase assay conditions, we measured <Δθ²> = 4.2 ± 0.4 rad² and τ = 0.29 ± 0.09 s (mean ± s.d., N = 20), where the value of τ was obtained by fitting the mean square deviation of the rotor angle, as described46.

Substep analysis. The angular trace was modeled as a series of stepwise changes in the equilibrium rotor angle. The instantaneous rotor angle follows the equilibrium angle with a lag, modeled as an exponential relaxation with the characteristic decay time τ determined independently for each rotor as above. Step locations were obtained by least-squares fitting, assuming that each forward cycle contains a dwell at 0 rotations, and may contain either a detectable (>1 s) dwell at 1 rotation, a detectable (>1 s) dwell at 1.7 rotations, or no detectable midcycle pause. The value of τ was shifted by the detection limit cutoff to correct for undetected short pauses46.
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