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Abstract: In this paper a theoretical design of two degrees of freedom (single leg module) of a hexapod underwater robot is carried out. The length of the two links together is (30 cm) and the motor for the joint 2 is mounted inside the robot. In addition, forward kinematics analysis is performed to specify angle of movement for each joint by applying Denavit- Hartenberg notation. Furthermore, 3d drawings show the leg implementation. Finally, MATLAB Simulink is used to design PID controller and examine the control signal.
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1. Introduction

Hexapod robot is a reprogrammable device with six legs which are directly or indirectly attached to the main body of the robot [1]. Those legs are controlled by a number of degrees of freedom that measure the autonomy and allow the robot to move within the environment surrounded to accomplish a particular task. Many studies in the field of legged robots have mainly focused on six legged robot because of some characteristics related to the stability and flexibility of the robot movement. Thus, the importance of studying and analyzing this kind (i.e.: hexapod) is derived from some prevalent strong points, which is possessed by the legged robots in general and the hexapod in particular, that summarized in the table1 below [2-3]:

Table 1. Illustration of the major characteristics of six legged robot.

| The crucial points of studying a six legged robot | 1- The ability of avoiding obstacles | 2- Ease of climbing rough terrain | 3- The flexibility of selecting criteria of the supporting points on the ground | 4- Variable geometry designs could be made in terms of legs. | 5- Discovering some biological characteristics such as the morphology of living creatures | 6- There is no slip that means the turnabout function disappears during walking. |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|

A vital aspect of this paper is to propose a leg design for the underwater walking robot. There are several works have being conducted recently in this regard. The contemporary representative underwater robots, little Crabster (which denotes the two words crabs and lobster) underwater robot "LCR200" as a result of modifying Multi-Legged Seabed robot "CR200" has been thorough developed. In [4], "LCR200" leg structure is quite complex, however it is precise because for instance number of sensors inside the leg such as force/ torque sensors. Two types of joints mechanism can be found in little "Crabster 200". The first one, the motor shaft and the input shaft with harmonic gear are connected indirectly by the pair (pulley -belt) whereas in the second kind the shaft of the motors connects with the other directly ; therefore narrow design and compact is required but the length of the actuator will be long. Figure 1 reveals the final 3d implementation of the four degrees of freedom of "LCR200" to cover the complex functions that are walking and swimming in shallow and deep seas.
Another example of underwater legged-robot has been projected in [5] namely bio-inspired underwater robot. The authors in this research deal with the robot in terms of multi-functionality. Figure 2 displays the inspired design of the leg which enables both swimming and walking capability to the robot. This conceptual design of the leg is inspired by diving beetles. Thus, they state that this design has structural benefits. The passive joint mechanism has been verified in this research.

The leg design for the robot in Figure 2 can be shown in Figure 3 below:

This paper focuses on building a theoretical design of a two joints leg module of the robot that can walk under the water. A design concept is like a hybrid of taking the advantage of underwater robot design and employ this feature to the six legs walking robot. In other words, the motors of the designed leg must be in the mounting side inside the robot. Consequently, the robot can walk under or above the water. Additionally, this paper comprises controller design to control link 2 of the leg.

### 2. The Design Concept

#### 2.1. Model Description

The mechanism that will be designed inspired largely by the spider but in two degrees of freedom. In addition, many researchers enrolled with the underwater inspection robots. This design is a hybrid of mainly walking robot with some features to be added in order to make it active in the water. To this end, worm gear or rack and pinion couple have been applied. The purpose of this type of gear is to achieve the possibility of placing the motor of the second joint away from the joint inside the mounting kit. The design excludes the feet or waterproofing. Figure 4 illustrates the fundamental linkages of the leg design.

Figures 4A and 4B show two views of two degrees of freedom leg module.
2.2. Mechanical Considerations

The mechanical system consists of three main parts. Link 1, link 2 and worm shaft with worm gear as shown below:

![Fig. 5a. Solidworks design with mounting.](image)

![Fig. 5b. Top view.](image)

The underwater walking robot links and joints are:
- A- Joint 1 which actuates the shoulder
- B- The pin joint between Link 1 and Link 2
- C- Worm shaft

The combination actions of B and C, which are rotation and transition, acts as actuator of the elbow. The worm shaft extends to enter the gearbox as shown in Figure 5 a through the nut as shown in Figure 5 b. the red box in the above picture contains the worm gear and part of the worm shaft. When the motor that is attached to the worm gear rotates the motion converts to linear transition that transfers to the link 2 to obtain remote rotation of joint 2 which is the key aspect of this design. Worm gear has advantages and disadvantages as shown in the table below [6-7]:

| Benefits of worm gears | Drawbacks of worm gear |
|------------------------|------------------------|
| Silent and smooth (less noisy than other gears) | It is considered an expensive |
| Self-locking mode | There is high power losses |
| Reduce speed but increasing the torque | Sliding action leads to low efficiency |
| High velocity ratio | Heat is generated |

The purpose behind this 2 DOF design is to maximize the payload and to minimize the current drawn due to lightweight aluminum body material. Thus, the inertia will be reduced because of reducing the total mass as much as possible. On the other hand, joint 1 is attached directly with a servo motor.

2.3. Kinematics Analysis

1) Applying Forward kinematics by applying Denavit–Hartenberg notation as shown below:

![Fig. 6. DH - notation.](image)

Finding DH- parameters: (the index of the T-matrix refers to the joint number).

\[
\begin{align*}
^{0}T & = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_1 & -\sin \theta_1 & 0 & 0 \\
\sin \theta_1 & \cos \theta_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \\
^{2}T & = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_2 & -\sin \theta_2 & 0 & a1 \\
\sin \theta_2 & \cos \theta_2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \\
^{3}T & = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_3 & -\sin \theta_3 & 0 & a1C_1 \\
\sin \theta_3 & \cos \theta_3 & 0 & a1S_1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & a2 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \\
^{0}T & = \begin{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix} C_{12} & -S_{12} & 0 & a1C_1 \\
S_{12} & C_{12} & 0 & a1S_1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = ^{0}T \times \begin{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\
^{3}T & = \begin{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix} C_{12} & -S_{12} & 0 & a1C_1 + a2C_{12} \\
S_{12} & C_{12} & 0 & a1S_1 + a2S_{12} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

While \(^{0}T = \begin{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \). Hence, the final homogenous transformation matrix that describes DH notation parameters is:
\[ c_{12} = \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2) = \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 - \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \]
\[ s_{12} = \sin(\theta_1 + \theta_2) = \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 - \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \]

Thus, the coordinate system represented by \( x, y \) and \( z \) can be determined as follow:

\[ x = a_1 c_{1} + a_2 c_{12} \]  
(1)
\[ y = a_1 s_{1} + a_2 s_{12} \]  
(2)

\( z = 0 \), there is no movement of the two links along Z-axis just along both directions \( x \) and \( y \).

The link parameters for the two links consistent with the leg design in Fig. 5 are exposed in the following table.

### Table 3. Advantages vs. disadvantages of using worm gear.

| \( i \) | Link twist \( a_{i-1} \) | Link length \( a_i \) | Joint angle \( \theta_i \) | Link offset \( d_i \) |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 2   | 0   | 1   | 1   | 0   |
| 3   | 0   | 1   | 1   | 0   |

2) Implementation of DH notation in MATLAB

### Table 4. Joint angles range with calculated values X and Y.

| Joint angle (\( \theta \)) range | X coordinate | Y coordinate |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| \( \theta_1 = -30^\circ, \theta_2 = -90^\circ \) | 21.2432 cm | -20.0256 cm |
| \( \theta_1 = -90^\circ, \theta_2 = -150^\circ \) | 10.4888 cm  | -4.2769 cm  |

The positions of the leg has been extracted from the two joint angles. Then the following is the workspace of the two links leg is revealed as shown in Figure 7.

### 2.4. Bill of Materials

In order to organize the bill of the materials that constitutes the leg module, three separate bills will be created. Firstly, bill of the material of servo motor and its related products is presented. Here, it is necessary to mention that there are two categories of servo motor under which the servo can be classified. Digital servo motor and analogue. There is no difference between the two categories in terms of case, gears and even the feedback wiper of the potentiometer. However, the core difference between them is the feedback signal that is compatible with a data acquisition card. In other words, the processing of the information that is sent to the controller will be dealt differently [8]. Consequently, in this paper the digital type will be selected because of the modern computerized systems are dealing with digital data.

### Table 5a. First bill of material for actuating joint 1.

| Servo motor components for joint 1 | Product ID | Brief description | weight (kg) | Qty | Price |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------|-----|-------|
| Hitec HS-5646WP Waterproof, High Torque Digital Servo | 3 poles Bearing type : Ball Torque: 17-19 Speed: 0.2-0.18 Voltage: 6 V-7.4 V | 0.061 | 1 | £33.7 |
| HPP-21 Digital Servo Programmer | | PC Programmer for Hitec digital servos 4.8V battery & USB cable required | 0.01 | 1 | £16.8 |
| Arduino Uno USB Microcontroller Rev 3 | | It is intended for roboticists, artists, designers and hobbyists Variety of I/O pins including analog, digital, PWM and more | 0.025 | 1 | £15 |

Second, the sensors that is required for leg as shown in the following table:

### Table 5b. Second bill of material for sensing sector.

| Sensors for the two links module | Product ID | Brief description | weight (kg) |
|---------------------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Phidgets Force Sensor for underwater detection | | Ratio metric force sensor | 0.1 |
| Interlink Electronics 0.2” Circular FSR | | good for exact control applications | 0.002 |
| Lynxmotion Hexapod Foot Sensor (Pair) | | measuring leg running speed/pace and distance | 0.06 |

Finally, the actuators for joint two and mechanical linkages:

### Table 5c. Third bill of material for actuating joint 2.

| Actuator for joint 2 and linkages | Product ID | Brief description | weight (kg) |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------|
| GW312Y 14mm Shaft Turbine worm Gear Box Motor | 14RPM DC 12V | | 0.36 |
| Lynxmotion Pan and Tilt Kit | Aluminum (Made using of two manufacturer servo brackets) | | 0.05 |
| Legs Alum Alloy Hexapod | 2 DOF Leg Frame set | | 0.4 |
3. Control and Analysis

3.1. Force Analysis

The predictable total mass of the leg module is approximately 1 kg.

\[ \text{Weight} = \text{mass} \times \text{acceleration} = 1 \times 9.81 = 9.81 \text{ N} \downarrow \]

While the total designed length is 30 cm when the leg is extended fully (the two links are aligned to the longest reach)

\[ \text{Torque} = \text{Force} \times \text{distance} = 9.81 \times 0.3 = 2.943 \text{ N.m} \downarrow \]

However, if we took that the force is located in the center of the two links, the distance would be 15 cm. Therefore, the torque required as a minimum is:

\[ \text{Torque} = \text{Force} \times \text{distance} = 9.81 \times 0.15 = 1.4715 \text{ N.m} \]

Hence, the minimum force required is 10 N to ensure that the leg module does not fail.

3.2. System Modelling and Analysis

The electrical circuit that represents the DC motor of joint 2 is shown in figure 8:

After taking Laplace transform for the above four equations and substitute one inside each other, it will end up with the two transfer functions:

\[ G_1(s) = \frac{T(s)}{\theta(s)} = \frac{1}{Js^2 + Cts + K} \text{ N.m rad} \]

\[ G_2(s) = \frac{\theta(s)}{V} = \frac{K}{s((Js + Ct)(Ls + R) + K^2)} \text{ rad} \]

The open loop transfer function \( G_1(s) \) can be obtained by entering the values of (moment of inertia \( J \), damping \( C_t \) and rotational stiffness) into MATLAB. The response waveform depends on the estimated values of \( J \), \( C_t \) and stiffness. Since the system does not have stiffness, third term of the denominator of \( G_1(s) \) will be zero. Consequently, the final transfer function that will be examined is:

\[ G_1(s) = \frac{T(s)}{\theta(s)} = \frac{1}{Js^2 + Cts} \text{ N.m rad} \]

3.3. Open Loop Response

In the open loop scheme, the parameter which is required such as the angle \( \theta \), is adjusted manually by the operator through changing the input torque which varies proportionally with the current flow in the motor. Another aspect of open loop system is the continuity observation which may lead to overshoot [9]. The response for this system is shown below:

From the above plot, it is clear that when 1 N.m is applied as an input torque the position of the motor in terms of radians unrestrainedly. In particular, the steady state error seems to be zero. However, it is not stable as well. To this end, the command 'isstable' is used to indicate that the system is stable or not. In order to achieve the best response, closed loop model must be applied as shown in the block diagram below:
The main advantage of closed loop system is to observe the actual output ($\theta$) with the desired, and the error signal will enter the controller to perform the correction required. This process will continue till the error signal becomes zero. The closed loop (feedback system) response with only proportional controller ($K_P=1$) is:

$$C(s) = K_P + \frac{K_I}{s} + K_Ds = \frac{K_Ds^2 + K_ps + K_I}{s}$$

This transfer function is multiplied by $G_1(s) = \frac{1}{Js^2 + Cts}$. In order to see smooth response without overshoot and small settling time, three factors must be tuned carefully. First, the proportional controller ($K_P$) which is responsible for tolerating continuous cycling of the system is tuned. The second term integral ($K_I$) is used with proportional to reset the steady state error to zero. Finally, derivative part is employed for stability issues such as reducing overshoot and rise time.

3.4. PID Controller Design

The transfer function of the PID controller can be presented as:

$$C(s) = K_P + \frac{K_I}{s} + K_Ds = \frac{K_Ds^2 + K_ps + K_I}{s}$$

Just by reducing the derivative controller 0.01 the sparks of actuator saturation becomes much better as shown:

$$At \ K_P=12, \ K_I=10 \ and \ K_D=0.79$$

Fig. 10. Feedback system model.

Fig. 11. Feedback system step response with $K_P=1$.

Fig. 12. First try of tuning at $K_P=12, \ K_I=10$ and $K_D=2$.

Fig. 13. Second attempt of tuning PID controller.

Fig. 14. Third attempt of tuning PID controller.
4. Conclusion

In this paper, the leg of a hexapod underwater robot has been designed by Solidworks.

The solution, which is found for the two links leg to be compatible with working underwater, is the worm shaft and gear. This add-in design moved the motor of the joint two away from the elbow that usually used to use motor at each joint. Eventually, combination between underwater walking robot leg design and underwater inspection robot leg has can be accomplished.

The kinematic results from Denavit - Hartenberg notation have been presented in MATLAB workspace.

PID controller design for joint 2 had a lion’s share of this work. Manual tuning of PID parameters was the approach to achieving the best performance of the closed loop system of joint 2. The results of tuning have provided much better response out of three rounds. The first attempt had high overshoot with zero steady state error while the second one had very little overshoot with multi saturation sparks. Finally, by tuned KD the sparks has been reduced to minimum but it is still found. Consequently, the saturation of actuator cannot be eliminated completely because the actuator very small to implement large control exertion. Thus, to cancel reset windup we should use other sizes of actuator (motor) to ensure that the integral action can eliminate not only steady state error but also this effect.
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