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Abstract

Understanding crystallization of lead halide perovskites by industrially relevant techniques using non-toxic solvents is a topic that needs development. To this date, highest efficiency devices are prepared by deposition of the perovskite layer using non-scalable techniques, toxic solvents and/or require additional processing steps. In this work, we show that efficient one-step perovskite solar cells can be obtained by doctor blade. The perovskite film is formed under supersaturation regime from non-toxic solvents following spherulitic growth. This method results in highly crystalline perovskite films with preferential crystal orientation. Co-local photoluminescence and light-beam induced current experiments show that generated chemical defects are confined at the boundary of spherulites and these do not have a negative effect on the extracted photocurrent. Strikingly, spherulitic formation, rather than being detrimental, can lead to better photovoltaic performance in hybrid perovskite films. This is further confirmed in photovoltaic devices with record efficiencies of 18.0% for MAPbI3 (MA= Methyl ammonium) for doctor bladed processing using non-toxic solvents. Moreover, large area devices (1.53 cm2) fabricated using doctor blade show remarkable efficiencies (14.2%) reinforcing the viability of this solar technology towards industrialization.
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1. Introduction

Hybrid organic-inorganic perovskite materials have recently emerged as a serious alternative for high-power, conversion-efficient (PCE) photovoltaic devices at low costs. Photoactive perovskite films can be produced by depositing precursor inks from solution, which represents a real economic advantage over commercially available photovoltaic technologies relying on high vacuum processes. Recently, impressive record efficiencies of ~22% have been reported at lab scale for small area (i.e. 0.16 cm²) using a non-continuous technique, namely spin coating. Unfortunately, highest efficiency devices are typically produced with toxic solvents such as N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and require further steps to improve the uniformity of the films and reduce chemical defects. Alternatives to spin coating and to DMF are being searched that meet the stringent requirements demanded by large scale production.

Different industrially compatible deposition techniques like doctor blade, inkjet printing, slot die or spray coating have been employed with relative success, achieving efficiencies ranging from 10% to 18%. From these techniques, doctor blade is a promising lab-scale deposition method since the rheological properties of the precursor solution, the drying dynamics as well as the mechanical shearing can be partially transferred to other continuous-like deposition techniques such as slot die coating. In doctor blade, the precursor solution is placed between the edge of the blade and the substrate. Then, the substrate (or the blade) is unidirectionally moved spreading homogeneously the solution (Figure 1a), leaving a wet thin film on the substrate which dries upon solvent evaporation. Until now, crystallization by doctor blade has been problematic since the kinetics of the growth have not been fully understood, leading to irregular film thickness and/or pinholes. One strategy to reduce the presence of pinholes has been to increase the thickness of the perovskite layer to values beyond 1 µm achieving high efficiencies (15 %). Recently, the problem with the crystallization kinetics has been overcome for doctor bladed devices by means of using an additional step like the anti-solvent extraction. All these results highlight the fact that crystallization by doctor blade using one-step methods is yet to be fully mastered.

On the other hand, the precursor inks employed in the preparation of lead halide perovskites by doctor blade have been formulated containing the toxic solvent DMF. The role of the DMF in the ink formulation consists in dissolving the lead halide precursor. However, difficulties removing DMF from the films yields non-uniform perovskite films. For this reason, the use of additional steps such as anti-solvent treatment that washes out the excess solvent is required. A suitable non-toxic alternative to DMF is dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Removing DMSO from the film is, however, still less favored than removal of DMF due to the higher boiling point of DMSO and its stronger coordination ability towards Pb. Therefore, further ink formulation development is required in order to meet the industrial standards of safety and environmental protection.
In this work, we present a method to obtain high quality MAPbI₃ under saturation regime by one-step doctor blade in combination with non-toxic solvents. This method produces highly crystalline perovskite films in one step by using inks at the solubility limit of the precursors and relatively high deposition temperatures of 150 °C. The process readily forms highly oriented perovskite layers that crystallize via spherulite growth. The space filling nature of this type of growth means that the gaps between the primary branches of spherulites are filled with smaller branches, thus minimizing the presence of pinholes. Interestingly, we show that chemical defects are mainly confined at the spherulite grain boundaries and these do not have a negative impact on device performance. Device efficiency can be further improved by deposition of the film under dry conditions leading record efficiency of 18.0 % for devices fabricated by doctor blade using non-toxic solvents.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Ink and Deposition Optimization

In order to show the potential of the blade coating deposition process, we have chosen to work with the most simple and studied perovskite formulation containing MAPbI₃ (MA=Methyl ammonium). The optimization of the film quality involves the precise control of some key parameters, namely the concentration and the deposited volume of the precursor solution, the speed of the moving blade, the height of the gap and the temperature of the substrate. Moreover, the solvent formulation is important since it must contain a highly polar solvent to fully dissolve the lead halide (PbI₂). DMF, DMSO and their mixtures are the main choice published in literature. As crystallization of the perovskite takes place via intermediate coordination complexes (MAPbI₃·DMSO).

**Figure 1:** a) Schematic diagram of the doctor blade set up under operation conditions to produce MAPbI₃ films. SEM images of films and complete devices fabricated using undersaturated conditions b-d) and saturated conditions e-f). The inset in f) shows a representative spherulite-type domain. b,c and e) are cross-sectional view images at different magnifications. d) and f) are top view images.
it is clear that the coordinating chemistry nature of the solvents will determine the crystallization kinetics. In our work, DMSO (b.p. 190 °C) was selected as solubilizing solvent for PbI₂ as it adequately assists the crystallization of the perovskite. One negative effect of using the required highly coordinating solvents is its trapping within the perovskite film, which makes difficult its removal. In addition, a secondary solvent like γ-butyrolactone (GBL) is introduced to control the solvent evaporation rate and crystallization of the perovskite due to its lower coordination ability in comparison to DMSO, allowing the modification of different ink properties simultaneously.

Complete optimization using GBL:DMSO was carried out as described in the supporting information and methods. The best conditions were obtained with a volume ratio of 3:2 (GBL:DMSO), as it provides a good combination between the ability of DMSO to dissolve the PbI₂ and the GBL to control the evaporation kinetics. By using a 1 M solution of MAI:PbI₂ in the GBL:DMSO mixture we were able to reproduce the concentric ring morphology (Figure 1d) reported by Huang for a totally different ink formulation containing DMF. It is not surprising that this structure was reported to produce relatively low PCE (~12 %) as the dark rings represent areas where the thickness of the perovskite is too thin (Fig. 1b and 1c). Indeed, in photovoltaic devices the Electron Transport Layer (ETL, TiO₂) is exposed to the Hole Transport Layer (ETL) in those dark areas leading to charge shunting pathways, as confirmed in the cross section images. Here we note that this type of morphology has been previously observed for different polymers known to follow spherulitic growth like poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) or poly(vinylidene fluoride).

Importantly, when the concentration of the perovskite precursors is increased to 1.2 M the solubility limit in this solvent mixture is reached, as observed in this work, and saturation of the ink during crystallization provides high quality perovskite layers in one step. Cross-section SEM image (Fig. 1e) shows highly ordered morphology with regular thickness of MAPbI₃ of about 550 nm on top of the mesoporous TiO₂ layer. Importantly, the perovskite layer does not show exposed areas of TiO₂, as can be seen in the analysis of large area image scans in cross section (Supporting Information). The top view (Fig. 1f) shows radial fibrous units that arrange around a central crystallization seed with domains of over hundreds of microns. This type of crystal growth is often referred to as spherulitic, and is space filling in nature, only stopping when two spherulites meet each other. During spherulitic growth, impurities are characteristically pushed towards the grain boundaries. Indeed, the spherulites are delimited by grain boundaries observed in the SEM as white lines. These bright edges are likely due to boundaries enriched with heavy atoms such as Pb or I as confirmed by EDX. In this respect, self-passivation by generation of PbI₂ has been reported previously to have a beneficial effect in photovoltaic devices. The presence of perfectly formed spherulites can be detected in several areas of the film, see inset in Figure 1f.
2.2. Structural and Optical properties of the film

The MAPbI$_3$ crystalline properties of the films fabricated under saturation conditions (1.2 M) were further evaluated by measuring XRD diffraction patterns and were compared with films fabricated by spin coating with the standard antisolvent washing and DMF:DMSO mixtures (Figure 2a). These measurements were performed over the same substrates that were in photovoltaic devices (Glass/FTO/TiO$_2$-cp/TiO$_2$-ms/MAPbI$_3$) in the final section. Both deposition methods exhibit the same crystalline structure for the perovskite. There are, however, differences in crystallite orientation with respect to the substrate. Whilst the perovskite films fabricated by spin coating are highly oriented preferentially over the 110 plane on the substrate, those fabricated by doctor blade are mostly oriented over the 112 plane. Both crystallographic planes are schematically shown in Fig. 2b. The intensity of the peaks related to the 112 plane is much higher than that of the 110 plane. Moreover, these peaks are strongly enhanced in our films compared to other reported doctor bladed devices from DMF solution, which highlights the highly crystalline nature of the prepared samples.\(^9\) We noted that the ratio of crystallization plane 110 or 112 using blade coated devices do not vary much using the two selected solvents and concentration, see Figure SI2. For this reason we believe that crystallization is compatible with Landau–Levich regime induced by the doctor blade in which the drying solution is created by the moving blade which is pulled out of a liquid surface. The 112 plane is also shown in the cross-section SEM image (Figure 1e) denoting the preferential cleave of the film on this plane for cross-section sample preparation.

Figure 2: a) XRD diffraction patterns of perovskite films deposited by either spin coating or doctor blade on Glass/FTO/TiO$_2$-cp/TiO$_2$-ms. b) Main crystallographic planes observed in a). c) Absorption spectra of MAPbI$_3$ prepared by doctor blade either in air conditions (R.H.~45%) or inside a dry box (<0.01 vol% H$_2$O).

We have previously reported that different polyplumbate ions (PbI$_5^{5+}$, PbI$_3$S$_4$, PbI$_3$S$_3^{-}$, PbI$_4$S$_2^{-}$, …) are formed during MAPbI$_3$ crystallization if the solvent and ambient conditions are not properly controlled.\(^{17}\) These species are detected by absorption
measurements in the region of 300-450 nm and can act as chemical defects having an impact on solar cell performance.\textsuperscript{17,18} Here, we performed absorption measurements to discern whether this type of chemical impurities is present in films prepared by doctor blade. Undercoordinated polyplumbate ions are present in the films prepared under different solvent formulations under ambient conditions, see supporting information in Figure S13. In particular undercoordinated lead atoms were identified by absorption measurements when high proportion of fibers and uncovered surfaces. This result pointed to the presence of undercoordinated lead close to the boundary of the fibers. Interestingly, we will show below that these type of chemical defects do not have a negative impact on PL intensity. Therefore, these defects do not appear to act as non-radiative recombination centers. Figure 2c shows the effect of processing in ambient conditions (under a relative humidity of ca. 45%), in which case, coordinated plumbate ions are inevitably present. Moreover, we note that the detector is nearly saturated due to the high intensity of the signal and, hence, the signal is noisy in this region. These impurities can be attributed to coordinated complexes with water molecules as they were not detectable when the blade coating was performed inside a glove box (containing less than 0.01 vol% H\textsubscript{2}O). In this sense we have recently reported that crystallized water is usually present when devices are processed in R.H. 45% and are related to the bulk properties of the films.\textsuperscript{24} As discussed below, devices prepared under dry conditions perform better than those prepared under ambient conditions. Therefore, we highlight that not only the morphology of the perovskite layer is important but also the actual chemical impurities present in the film.

### 2.3. Electrical properties of Spherulites and chemical defects

In order to unravel the morphology-performance dependence in the blade coated samples, co-local photoluminescence (PL) and light-beam induced current (LBIC) experiments were performed in fully functional photovoltaic devices. The PL serves to identify the different microstructures and morphologies that coexist in the perovskite film, as well as detecting the presence of defects, typically acting as non-radiative recombination centers for photogenerated carriers. On the other hand, the LBIC signal provides the corresponding local device photocurrent. To do so, we use a 633 nm He-Ne laser to probe the different perovskite morphologies according to the shift and intensity of their main PL peak, while we collect simultaneously the output photocurrent due to the exposure of the device to the focused laser beam. We note that the phenomenology observed was the same when we repeated some of the experiments using a 785 nm solid state laser excitation. In Figure 3 we compare the data for representative spin coated and blade coated devices, the latter containing spherulites.

The PL intensity maps of spin-coated complete devices (left top image in Figure 3a) exhibit stripes of alternating intensity distributed all over the background of the image. These correspond to thickness oscillations throughout the solution processed perovskite films. While they are not so pronounced, we have also observed small thickness
oscillations in the blade coated samples. Spin coated films also exhibit small black spots, which have been previously associated to the Spiro-OMeTAD layer. On the other hand, the spherulites obtained during blade coating are clearly seen in the PL images. Generally speaking, in short-circuit conditions the PL intensity is strongly quenched at defects and particularly at the spherulite borders, where impurities are concentrated. Interestingly, when measuring the PL at open circuit or in regions without top electrode, PL is stronger precisely at the center and boundaries of the spherulites (see e.g. Figures SI3 and SI4). In addition, the shift of the PL peak energy may reflect a slightly different local morphology or stoichiometry. The shifts observed in both types of samples are, however smaller than those observed when changing the phase of the perovskite from tetragonal to cubic.

Figure 3. (a) PL and LBIC maps taken in spin coated (red rectangle) and blade coated (green rectangle) perovskite devices. The top images correspond to the integrated PL intensity. The middle images correspond to the location of PL maximum. The bottom images represent the electrical response of the device (short circuit photocurrent) upon the exposure to the 633 nm laser used to measure the PL. The XY scale bar corresponds to 400 microns. The intensity scale was kept constant in each pair of images.
(integrated PL, PL maximum and photocurrent) to easy the comparison between deposition methods. (b) Statistical distribution of the data presented in the images for the same spin coated (red line) and blade coated (green line) devices. PL intensities as well as photocurrent values were normalized taking into account the incident laser power.

The combination of the PL maps with the electrical response of the devices (Figure 3a) clearly shows the location of the defects, which correlate perfectly with the large variations in the PL shift and the spikes (drops) in photocurrent. While the equipment resolution when measuring through the glass is low compared to the characteristic features, we can observe in the larger defects that these consist of a central core in which there is no current flowing, and PL is quenched and blue shifted, surrounded by a halo with exactly the opposite behavior (higher than average current and red shifted PL). Higher resolution images taken by focusing the laser directly on the surface of the perovskite in an area without electrode confirms this defect topology (see Figure SI4 and SI5). For the blade coated samples, the spherulites are clearly apparent in the maps of the three monitored magnitudes: PL intensity and maximum position as well as photocurrent. The first observation would be that the photocurrent at the spherulites appears larger than in the regions between spherulites, while the PL intensity does the opposite (see e.g. highlighted blue square in Figure 3a). The texture of the photocurrent images is, however, much more diffuse than that of the PL features, suggesting in part a more homogeneous photocurrent distribution compared to the optical properties distribution (vide infra). Figure 3b shows the histograms for the three quantities, as obtained from the statistical analysis of the corresponding images, normalized by the incident laser power. In particular, the variations in position of the PL maximum (PL shift) which have several maxima for the spin coated sample, suggest that the microstructure of the perovskite film varies significantly. The photocurrent, on the other hand, exhibits a narrower modal distribution as compared to the PL properties. This fact indicates that the perovskite films are rather tolerant to the presence of defects and small morphological variations, which is a key feature towards the industrialization of this technology.

One very interesting observation is that for the blade coated sample the spherulites display the weakest PL emission but simultaneously the strongest light beam induced current. This finding is interpreted as evidence that photovoltaic action is more efficient at the spherulites, since radiative recombination and charge generation are mutually exclusive relaxation channels for the photogenerated electron-hole pairs at short-circuit conditions. If we do not take into account the small spherulite nucleus in which both PL and photocurrent vanish, there seems to be an anti-correlation between LBIC and PL. This is so both, spatially and integrated. To see the spatial anti-correlation, see, for instance the area highlighted with the blue square in Figure 3a. As for the integrated values, Figure 3b shows that the peak photocurrent is more than twice as large in the blade coated sample as compared to the spin coated one, this is especially relevant since
spin coated devices (350 nm) are thinner than the doctor blade devices (550 nm). Alternatively, the PL is much less intense in the doctor blade sample than in the latter case despite the lower thickness. Strikingly, this implies that spherulitic formation, rather than being detrimental, can lead to better photovoltaic performance in hybrid perovskite films. Considering that the PL maximum is located at the domain boundaries as observed in Figure 3a (middle image) and that at this position the photocurrent does not decrease (bottom image) we can conclude that these defects do not compromise performance because they do not introduce additional non-radiative recombination pathways. As we will show, the macroscopic device performance also follows this trend.

2.4. Crystallization mechanism

Spherulites, aka spherical crystallites, are associated to a type of crystal growth common to many materials including minerals, synthetic polymers, organic compounds and inorganic salts. The growth starts at primary nuclei (Fig. 4a) and, unlike single crystal growth, the growth progresses in a space filling manner by branching from the radial crystallites branch in slightly different crystallographic orientations (Fig. 4b). The radially symmetric polycrystalline aggregates grow until the fibers impinge either an external boundary or another spherulite growing from a neighboring nucleus (Fig. 4c). The size of the spherulites depends on a complex interplay between the number of nuclei, the crystal growth velocity, solvent evaporation rate, diffusion coefficients of the different components, temperature, etc.

![Figure 4: Different stages during spherulitic growth of the perovskite layer.](image)

The formation of ring-like or radial fibrillary structures is strongly related to the concentration of the solution. For unsaturated solutions, rings are formed due to the alternating occurrence of locally saturated solution and solute depleted solution as the spherical aggregate grows. Kajioka and co-workers have shown that the periodicity and amplitude of the ring structure is associated to the available volume, as well as substrate temperature. Saturated solutions, however, give rise to spherulitic textures more similar to those observed in polymers quenched from the melt or minerals crystallized from magmas, in which crystallites are radially oriented. The two key aspects for this to occur are a very high viscosity and a relatively slow crystal growth. In this work, we casted the precursor ink at 1.2 M, which corresponds to the saturation regime and, moreover, we kept the temperature ~50º below the boiling point of the solvents enabling a relatively slow solvent drying. The high viscosity granted by the saturation conditions
clarifies why rings are not observed in the films formed by blade coating, as no solute depleted solvent is present. On the other hand, the need for relatively slow crystallization explains why we have not observed spherulite formation in the fast dried spin coated films, even if saturation concentrations are used. Looking at several blade coated samples, it is apparent that most of them have regions with spherulites and regions that appear homogeneous, or homogeneous regions between spherulites (like in Figure 3a).

The PL images serve to obtain further insights regarding crystal formation. We have not found differences in the PL intensity upon vertical or horizontal polarization of the laser beam (see supporting information), which suggest that either crystallites are not oriented radially or the degree of optical anisotropy is very small in the tetragonal phase, as it was also apparent in the dielectric tensor. Measurements of polarized optical microscopy (POM) did not reveal anisotropy either in transmission with cross-polarizer configuration, i.e. no Maltese cross was detectable.

As the MAPbI3 crystallites grow radially from a nucleus, they branch out filling the whole space. This has several consequences. First, no pin-holes appear in the perovskite layer. Second, slightly less crystalline regions may appear between radial crystallites. Some evidence of this is seen in the PL images as variations in PL intensity and PL maximum (Figure 3a). Impurities are expelled from the spherulite as it is created in order to form the crystallites (only a limited number of defects can be accommodated in the polycrystalline structure). These impurities accumulate at the spherulite boundaries. Evidence of the presence of self-passivated PbI2 between adjacent spherulites is seen in the SEM images (Figure 1) as brighter boundaries, and in the PL maps as strong PL shifts (Figure 3a). Finally, for many of the large spherulites for which enough resolution is available in the PL/LBIC experiment, an increase in the PL intensity and a decrease in photocurrent can be observed at the nucleus of the spherulite, which suggests that crystallite seeds might also be unconverted precursors or impurities.

In any case, judging by the local and macroscopic (discussed below) performances, it is clear that reaching a rapid saturation regime is beneficial to obtain a MAPbI3 morphology that will lead to high efficiency devices in one deposition step.

**2.5. Device preparation and characterization**

Photovoltaic devices were fabricated following the device architecture Glass/FTO/TiO2-cp/TiO2-ms/MAPbI3/Spiro-OMeTAD/Au and using optimum conditions to minimize byproducts according to the optical properties discussed above. Further details on the preparation can be found in the methods section. It is important to highlight, however, that the deposition of the MAPbI3 by doctor blade does not require any additional step such as the use of antisolvent to wash out undesired solvents present in the precursor solution or thermal or solvent annealing. Photovoltaic results are summarized in Table 1 and representative $J-V$ curves are shown in Figure 5a. Further performance results using different solvent mixtures are shown as supporting
information. Reference spin coated devices show efficiencies exceeding 16-17 % in good agreement with reported devices fabricated in ambient conditions with a relative humidity of 45 ± 10 %.18
Table 1: Summary of photovoltaic performance parameters for champion devices fabricated under different conditions by doctor blade using GBL:DMSO (6:4) in comparison to reference cells fabricated by spin coating. Illuminated area: 0.11 cm$^2$. In parenthesis, average values over >10 independent devices.

|                | $J_{sc}$ (mA/cm$^2$) | $V_{oc}$ (V) | FF (%) | PCE (%) |
|----------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------|---------|
| Spin coating in Ambient | 20.9 (20.0) | 1.06 (1.03) | 73 (72) | 16.2 (16.0±0.4) |
| Blade in Ambient      | 20.6 (19.3) | 1.01 (0.97) | 75 (73) | 15.6 (13.7±0.6) |
| Blade in Dry box      | 22.9 (22.3) | 1.04 (1.00) | 77 (72) | 18.0 (16.1 ±1.2) |

Conditions: R.H.=45±10%, Dry box: dry air with R.H. <0.01%.

Similarly, we also prepared devices by doctor blade using the optimum ink in ambient conditions at R.H. of ~45 %. Maximum efficiencies of 15.6 % are obtained with $V_{oc}$ ≈ 40 mV lower than samples obtained by spin coating with similar photocurrent densities and filling factors (FFs). The reduced efficiency compared to the spin coated devices is related to the chemical species observed in the absorption spectra (Figure 1b) that lead to recombination of carriers. In order to avoid the presence of undesirable water molecules during the perovskite deposition, the doctor blade setup was placed in a dry air environment (R.H. <0.01%). The devices fabricated under these conditions show a considerably higher efficiency leading to a record efficiency of 18.0 % for devices fabricated by doctor blade using non-toxic solvents. $J$-$V$ curves of selected devices are shown in Figure 5a. As it can be observed, perovskites with spherulitic morphology show higher photocurrent and FF than the spin coated devices. Photocurrents are in good agreement with the integrated photocurrent extracted from EQE measurements, see supporting information. Figure 5b shows statistical data of efficiencies of devices with their perovskite layer deposited under dry conditions. The average efficiency over 45 devices is 16.1 %, ranging efficiencies from 14% up to 18.0%. It was observed that the dispersion of the data was related to the ambient humidity during deposition of the compact and mesoporous layers of TiO$_2$. Unfortunately, the deposition techniques used to manufacture TiO$_2$ cannot be transferred to the dry box due to our experimental limitations. In general, the best efficiencies were obtained when the TiO$_2$ layers were deposited under low humidity conditions (R.H. < 35%). Therefore, we can conclude that doctor blade deposition technique for perovskite deposition is robust.
Figure 5: a) $J-V$ curves of devices fabricated under different conditions: spin coating and doctor blade (GBL:DMSO, 6:4), measurement carried out under reverse bias at 50 mV/s at 1 sun illumination. b) Statistical efficiency data of 45 devices fabricated by doctor blade conditions under dry air. c) Stabilized efficiency measured at maximum power point tracking under 1 sun illumination conditions. d) Representative illumination $J-V$ curve of device fabricated with an active area of 1.53 cm$^2$ measured with same conditions as in a).

Hysteresis in the $J-V$ curves was observed for all doctor bladed and spin coated devices, see Supporting Information. We point out that it has been previously reported that hysteretic behavior in the $J-V$ curve is related to the presence of ion migration towards the external interfaces in the perovskite.\textsuperscript{28, 29} For example, Sargent et al. have recently canceled hysteresis by chemically modifying the TiO$_2$ electron selective layer.\textsuperscript{2} However, modification of the external layers is outside the scope of this work and thus, further optimization of the external contacts may lead to higher efficiencies. In order to test the stability, devices were tracked at the maximum power point as a function of time (Figure 5c). The dynamic effects leading to hysteresis are also observed with photocurrent stabilizing after the initial 50 s to provide stabilized efficiencies of around 16.1 %. Similarly, degradation experiments following adequate protocols are beyond the scope and have not been carried out due to the lack of adequate equipment to promote degradation under well controlled conditions.\textsuperscript{30} However, we can state that in general devices were as stable as spin coated devices prepared and stored under similar ambient conditions, see supporting information.
Finally, to prove the scalability of doctor blade, large area devices were produced and measured. Table 2 shows a summary of average photovoltaic parameters fabricated with device areas ranging from 0.23 to 1.53 cm². The efficiencies of larger cells are slightly lower than small record devices (14.82%). Surprisingly, in all cases the photovoltaic parameters do not exhibit a clear dependence with the active area and it seems to depend more on other factors such as the reproducibility of the perovskite deposition or the crystallization processes. Reduced $FF$ are expected in comparison to small area devices due to the increased series resistance of large area devices where no special engineering layouts are employed. Figure 5d shows a representative $J$-$V$ curve of a device with a 2.2 cm² active area measured with a mask of 1.53 cm², an image of the device is shown in the inset. In any case, the efficiencies for large area devices are within the highest reported for perovskite devices for one step and use of non-toxic solvents, in agreement with the small proportion of pinholes observed that slightly reduce the $V_{oc}$ and $FF$.\textsuperscript{16}

**Table 2:** Summary of average photovoltaic performance parameters for devices fabricated with different active areas.

| Area (cm²) | $I_{sc}$ (mA) | $J_{sc}$ (mA/cm²) | $V_{oc}$ (V) | $FF$ (%) | $PCE$ (%) |
|------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| 0.23       | 5.22          | 22.7              | 0.96         | 67       | 14.8±1.3 |
| 0.56       | 12.9          | 22.5              | 0.99         | 62       | 14.0±1.6 |
| 1.53       | 34.7          | 22.7              | 0.99         | 63       | 14.2±1.5 |

3. Conclusions

In this work, we presented a simplified method to produce highly crystalline perovskite films using doctor blade in combination with non-toxic solvents. The process follows a spherulitic growth by working in the supersaturation regime to form highly crystalline perovskite layers. Spherulitic growth is shown to be beneficial for the device performance since chemical defects confined at the grain boundaries of the spherulites do not have a negative impact on charge extraction. Very importantly, the method does not require additional steps like antisolvent addition or solvent annealing to obtain adequate morphology, which clearly represents a great advantage towards commercialization of this technology.

4. Methods

4.1. Materials and precursor solutions

All materials were used as received: FTO glasses (25 × 25 mm, Pilkington TEC15, ~15 Ω/sq resistance), TiO₂ paste (Dyesol 30NRD, 300 nm average particle size), CH₃NH₃I (DYESOL), PbI₂ (TCI, 99.99 %), titanium diisopropoxidebis (acetylacetonate) (75% in isopropanol, Sigma-Aldrich), spiro-OMeTAD (Merck). The perovskite precursor solution was prepared by mixing GBL:DMSO solutions with MAI...
and PbI₂ (1:1 mol %). GBL:DMSO ratio was modified as required for optimization. In the best conditions concentration of 1.2 M and 6:4 volume ratio is used: PbI₂ 553.2 mg, MAI 190.8 mg, GBL 600 µL, DMSO 400 µL. The spiro-OMeTAD solution was prepared by dissolving in 1 mL of chlorobenzene 72.3 mg of (2,2′,7,7′-tetrakis(N,N′-di-p-methoxyphenylamine)-9,9′-spirobifluorene), 28.8 µL of 4-tert-butylpyridine, and 17.5 µL of a stock solution of 520 mg/mL of lithium bis- (trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide in acetonitrile.

4.2. Fabrication of MAPbI₃ photovoltaic devices

Prior to the deposition of TiO₂ compact layer, the FTO substrate was partially etched with zinc powder and HCl (2 M) and cleaned by ultra-sonic bath in Hellmanex detergent, rinsed with Milli-Q water and in a solution of ethanol: isopropanol (1:1 v/v). The substrates were treated in a UV−O₃ cleaner for 10 min prior to deposition of the TiO₂ compact layer. TiO₂ compact layer was deposited by aerosol spray pyrolysis at 450 °C, using a commercial titanium diisopropoxide bis(acetylacetonate) solution (75% in 2-propanol, Sigma-Aldrich) diluted in ethanol (1:9, v/v) as precursor, with oxygen as carrier gas and spraying a total volume of 5 mL (approx.), performed by 3 steps spraying of 6 s each one and waiting 30 s between steps. To form the mesoporous TiO₂ layer, a paste of TiO₂ (Dyesol 30NRD) is diluted in ethanol (1:5, weight ratio) and was spin-coated at 2000 rpm for 10 s. The sample is initially heated at 100°C for 10 min followed by a sintering step at 500°C for 30 min under room atmosphere. The perovskite precursor solution (50 µL) was coated on a doctor blade coater (Zehntner automatic film applicator coater, model ZAA 2300) with substrate heated at 150 ºC, at a blade speed of 1 mm/s and a gap between blade and substrate of 150 µm. The perovskite dark phase formed within the first few seconds. Perovskite precursor deposition was performed either in ambient conditions (R.H.=45±10%) or in a dry chamber containing dry air (R.H.<0.01%). The Spiro-OMeTAD precursor was prepared by mixing 72.3 mg of (2,2′,7,7′-tetrakis(N,N′-di-p-methoxyphenylamine)-9,9′-spirobifluorene), 28.8 µL of 4-tert-butylpyridine and 17.5 µL lithium bis-(trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide in acetonitrile (from a stock solution of 520 mg/mL) and 1 ml of Chlorobenzene. The perovskite films were then covered with 50 µL of the HTL solution by spin coating at 4000 rpm, 800 rpm/s of acceleration for 30 s. Finally, 60 nm of gold was thermally evaporated on top of the device as a back contact, using a commercial Univex 250 chamber, in the Oerlikon Leybold Vacuum chamber.

4.3. Film and Device characterization

The film morphology was analysed with a field-emission scanning electron microscope (JEOL 7001F), XRD. Photovoltaic devices were characterized using an Abett Solar simulator equipped with 1.5 AM filter. The light intensity was adjusted to100 mWcm⁻² using a calibrated Si solar cell. Devices were measured using a mask with different sizes as described in the manuscript.
Photoluminescence (PL) and light-beam induced current (LBIC) experiments were measured co-locally (simultaneously) using a 633 nm He-Ne laser in a WITec alpha 300 RA+ confocal setup. Alternatively, a 785 nm diode laser was also employed in some measurements. Light from the laser arrives linearly polarized to the sample. We employed a Zeiss LD "Plan-Neofluar" 40X magnification objective with a glass correction collar to measure through the glass substrate of the perovskite devices (glass correction set to 2.0 mm). The focused laser spot on the perovskite film was less than 10 microns in size and a typical confocality of 1 micron. PL was collected in backscattering mode. After passing through the Raman filter set (to eliminate the laser component), PL was redirected through a multimode optical fiber to a lens based 300 mm focal length UHTS300 spectrometer, dispersed with a 600 lines/mm grating with BLA at 500 nm and collected using a Peltier cooled, front-illuminated CCD camera. Additional polarizers were also used in some measurements to evaluate if PL was polarized. These were introduced after the filters. Due to the large PL efficiency of the MAPI, we employed laser powers of the order of a few µW (<50 µW in all cases) to collect the PL without saturation of the CCD camera and to avoid the photodegradation of the film. The acquisition time was set to 50 ms per point. The PL and photocurrent images typically consisted of 2x2 mm² regions analyzed in lateral steps of 20 µm, thus collecting a total of 10,000 spectra per image. PL images were taken either by focusing on the perovskite film illuminating electrode less areas (Figures SI 4 and SI 5) or through the glass in complete device structures (Figure 3 in the main text). For the latter, LBIC was measured simultaneously at the same time as the PL measurement was taken, using the PL excitation laser also as the LBIC excitation source. The photocurrent was collected in short circuit conditions. As it was been photogenerated the signal was current-voltage amplified close to the sample, and then processed using the WITec electronics through the auxiliary channel of the WITec current sensing module. The analysis was performed using WITec Project FOUR software.

Supporting Information.
Photovoltaic optimization parameters, additional SEM images, polarized PL images of spherulites of different dimensions, and further J-V curves.
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