Dirac eigenvalue correlations in quenched QCD at finite density
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We compare eigenvalue correlations of the Dirac operator with a chemical potential obtained from lattice simulations of quenched QCD with analytic predictions obtained from chiral effective theories in the zero-momentum limit. By comparing the density and two-point correlation function we show that the analytic results agree with QCD at low energies. We also examine the scale (Thouless energy) up to which the zero-momentum approximation is valid.
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1. Introduction

One of the hardest problems in lattice QCD has been the simulation of QCD with a nonzero baryon chemical potential $\mu$. Some progress has been made recently in lattice simulations with small chemical potentials at temperatures around the chiral symmetry restoration transition [1], however for large $\mu$ the main progress has come from analytic approaches. Much of the analytic work at large $\mu$ has focused on the phase diagram for $\mu$ larger than the critical $\mu_c$ for chiral symmetry restoration [2]. Here we will instead focus on the low-energy properties of QCD for $\mu < \mu_c$ where chiral effective theories can provide exact results.

The low-energy eigenvalue density of quenched QCD with a chemical potential was first derived from chiral effective partition functions using the replica limit of the Toda lattice equation [3]. The same density was later obtained by exact diagonalization of a chiral random matrix theory (RMT) using the orthogonal polynomial method [4]. The agreement between the results that were derived from two different models suggests that there is a common universality class to which both belong. Comparisons to the quenched eigenvalue density for lattice QCD with a chemical potential were carried out previously [5] using a slightly different theoretical result [6] that turned out to be not quite the correct result for the chiral universality class. A later comparison of the correct density to lattice QCD data found very good agreement [7].

The solution of the RMT also provided results for all eigenvalue correlations and was extended to the unquenched case. The differences between the quenched and unquenched results have already provided much insight into the nature of chiral symmetry breaking in finite density QCD [8]. Here we look only at quenched eigenvalues since they are easily obtained and save the comparison of unquenched eigenvalues for a future work. We note that recently comparisons of unquenched Dirac eigenvalues for two-color QCD with lattice results were made [9]. For three-color QCD, all the low-energy eigenvalue correlations in a finite volume $V$ with topological charge $\nu$ can be obtained from the kernel

$$\mathcal{K}(x,y) = \frac{\hat{x}^{\nu+1}}{4\pi \hat{\mu}^2 (\hat{x}^2)} \sqrt{K_y \left( \frac{\hat{y}^2}{4\hat{\mu}^2} \right)} \frac{e^{-\frac{\nu(\hat{x}_+^2+\hat{x}_-^2)}{8\hat{\mu}^2}}}{\sqrt{\nu}} \int_0^1 e^{-2\nu^2 \hat{I}_y(\hat{\nu} \sqrt{t}) \hat{I}_y(\hat{\nu} \sqrt{t})} dt$$

(1.1)

with $\hat{x} = x\Sigma + F\sqrt{V}$, $\hat{y} = y\Sigma$ and $\hat{\mu} = \mu F \sqrt{V}$. The low-energy constants $\Sigma$ and $F$ are the tree level chiral condensate and pion decay constant that appear in the chiral Lagrangian. The density is then $\rho(z) = \mathcal{K}(z,z)$ and the two-point correlation function used below is $Y_2(x,y) = |\mathcal{K}(x,y)|^2$.

Since (1.1) is obtained from the zero-momentum limit of the chiral Lagrangian, it is only valid as long as the higher momentum modes can be neglected. At $\mu = 0$ this approximation is valid as long as the eigenvalues are smaller than the scale $E_c \sim F^2/\Sigma \sqrt{V}$ [10] which is the equivalent of the Thouless energy in condensed matter systems. The average eigenvalue spacing at the origin for $\mu = 0$ is known to be $\Delta = \pi/\Sigma V$ [11] so that the dimensionless Thouless energy (conductance) is $g \equiv E_c/\Delta \sim F^2/\sqrt{V}$ (ignoring numerical factors). Here we examine how well the analytic predictions for the eigenvalue correlations agree with quenched lattice simulations, identify the Thouless energy and see how it varies with $\mu$ and $V$.

2. Determining the low-energy constants by fitting the density

The lattices used for this study were all generated using the standard plaquette action at lattice
coupling $\beta = 5.0$. The eigenvalues were obtained from the staggered Dirac operator with different chemical potentials. The lattice sizes and values of $\mu$ used along with the number of configurations studied are given in table 1. The smallest value of $\mu$ for each volume was chosen to keep the value of $\sqrt{V}$ that appears in the analytic result (1.1) constant. More details on the choice of parameters and calculation of the eigenvalues can be found in [5].

First we need to determine the parameters $\Sigma$ and $F$ that appear in the spectral correlations. This is easily done by fitting to the eigenvalue density. One approach is to fit the density along thin strips in the complex plane that are essentially one dimensional as was done in [5]. In order to use as much data as possible we take a different approach. We fit to the integrated density

\[ I(r, \theta) = \int_0^r s \, ds \int_0^\theta d\phi \, \rho(z = se^{i\theta}) . \]  

(2.1)

| size | $6^4$ | $8^4$ | $6^6$ | $6^6$ | $6^8$ | $6^8$ | $6^6$ | $6^8$ | $8^4$ | $8^8$ | $10^4$ | $10^8$ |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| $\mu a$ | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.003375 | 0.2 | 0.00216 | 0.2 |
| configs | 15500 | 508 | 19000 | 15802 | 15346 | 18500 | 15346 | 15342 | 20000 | 18609 | 4000 | 4000 |
| $a r_{max}$ | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.015 | - | - | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.0004 | 0.001 |

Table 1: Lattice simulation and fitting parameters.
Figure 2: Fitted values of the low-energy constants $\Sigma$ and $F$ for the different values of $\mu$ and $V$. All the fitted values are consistent with statistical errors between 0.3–3%.

To avoid complications involved with fitting to two variables we look at two different one-dimensional functions. First is the radial dependence $I(r, \pi)$ which reduces to just the integrated density along the imaginary axis when $\mu = 0$. Here we only need to integrate to $\pi$ due to chiral symmetry. We also look at the angular dependence given by $I(r_{\text{max}}, \theta)$ with $r_{\text{max}}$ fixed. We will compare the lattice data to the analytic expression at $\nu = 0$ since the staggered fermions at large lattice spacing do not see other topological sectors.

In figure 1 we show a few examples of the fits for various $\mu$. In all cases the fits were obtained using the integrated density just described, though for $\mu a = 0.006$ we plot the density given by $dI(r_{\text{max}}, \theta)/d\theta$ or $dI(r, \pi)/dr$ for better illustration. The values of $r_{\text{max}}$ we used in the angular dependence (see table 1) are also the maximum values of $r$ we used in the radial fits. This was determined by increasing $r_{\text{max}}$ until the $\chi^2/DOF$ of the radial fit was around one.

At $\mu = 0$ the eigenvalues are purely imaginary and the angular dependence becomes a delta function at $\theta = \pi/2$. The radial dependence clearly shows the characteristic oscillations of the chiral RMT ensemble. For small $\mu$ the angular dependence moves from a delta function to a sharply peaked Gaussian while there is little change in the radial dependence. As $\mu$ is increased more, the angular dependence becomes broader and approaches a constant. Meanwhile the radial dependence loses the oscillations as $\mu$ is increased.

Since we are using staggered fermions we actually have four “tastes” in the simulation instead of just one flavor. To correct for this we can simply replace $V$ in (1.1) with $4V$ \cite{12}. In that paper the authors also demonstrate how to obtain values for $\Sigma$ and $F$, however they use the eigenvalue correlation function for imaginary isospin chemical potential. In figure 3 we show the obtained fit values for the different volumes and values of $\mu$ used. We cannot obtain independent fits for $\Sigma$ and $F$ for all values of $\mu$ because in the large-$\hat{\mu}$ limit the density reduces to a function only of the ratio $\Sigma/F$ \cite{6}. All the values of $\Sigma$ and $F$ that we were able to obtain are consistent with each other.

3. Finding the Thouless energy by examining the eigenvalue correlations

Now that the parameters have been fixed, we look at the eigenvalue correlations to see what
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Figure 3: Number variance $\Sigma_2(r)$ versus the average number of eigenvalues $r$ in a circle of varying size around the origin. The dashed lines are the analytic curves using the values of the low-energy constants obtained from the density fits.

the effective range of the zero-momentum theory is. One convenient quantity to use is the number variance. For complex eigenvalues this can be defined for some region $A$ of the complex plane as

$$\Sigma_2(r) = r - \int_A d^2 z_1 \int_A d^2 z_2 Y_2(z_1, z_2)$$

(3.1)

with $r = \int_A d^2 z \rho(z)$ [13]. Once the values of $\Sigma$ and $F$ are set there are no more free parameters. Here we take $A$ to be circles centered on the origin with varying radius.

In figure 3 we show the number variance versus level number ($r$ above) on $6^4$ lattices over a range of values of $\mu$. For all values of $\mu a \leq 0.4$ we see very good agreement between the lattice results and the theoretical prediction up to the scale of a few eigenvalues. For smaller $\mu$ distinctive oscillations are clearly seen in both the lattice data and theoretical result. As $\mu$ increases the oscillations go away and the number variance approaches a curve given by taking $\hat{\mu}$ to infinity in (1.1). This can be seen in the lower right plot of figure 3 for values of $\mu a = 0.1, 0.2$ and $0.4$ which all agree for small values of $r$ and eventually turn away. However for $\mu a = 1$ the number variance jumps up dramatically and does not agree with the theory. This is most likely due to saturation of the particle number on the finite lattice. Here we expect the eigenvalue statistics to move from RMT to Poisson as was seen in [14]. By estimating the Thouless energy as the point where the number variance on the lattice begins to deviate from the theoretical curve, we can see that it increases with $\mu$ from about 3 to about 10 eigenvalues. The precise form of the $\mu$-dependence needs further study.

Next we look at the scaling of the Thouless energy with $V$. In figure 4 we show the number variance for the smallest values of $\mu$ for each volume. Here we clearly see that the Thouless energy is increasing with the volume. We do not have enough statistics at the largest volume to accurately
measure the exact scaling, but it does seem to be consistent with the $\sqrt{V}$ behavior expected at $\mu = 0$. For larger $\mu a = 0.2$ (see figure 3), the Thouless energy also appears to increase with volume but again we do not yet have enough statistics for an accurate determination of the scaling.

4. Conclusions

We have shown that the low-energy eigenvalue density and two-point correlations of quenched QCD with a chemical potential are accurately determined from the chiral effective theory. From fitting the density to lattice calculations we easily obtained the low-energy constants $\Sigma$ and $F$. We also could clearly see the Thouless energy and its general dependence on $V$ and $\mu$. In future work, this dependence should be quantified. We should also move to weaker coupling and larger lattices.

We would like to thank J.J.M. Verbaarschot for helpful discussions that inspired this work.
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