Retrospective analysis of HSG in primary infertility
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Abstract

Introduction: Hysterosalpingography used to be a common Radiological Investigation since long time for the investigation of infertility. In the current era of imaging HSG remains to be a choice of investigation for infertility cases. It provides anatomical depiction of uterus and fallopian tubes by introduction of contrast agent.

Objective: Objective of this study is to analyze the common pathological conditions detected on HSG in cases of primary infertility.

Methodology: 140 cases of HSG were reviewed retrospectively in cases of primary infertility. Study covered age ranging from 20 yrs to 42 yrs. The largest number of patients who reported were between the age of 26 – 30 years – 47.14%. Maximum patients were in the marital duration of 2-5 yrs -65%, followed by 6-10 Yrs -24% and remaining 16% above the duration of more than 10 yrs. Routine use of contraceptives by both partners were excluded in this study. Infertility caused by the male factor was also excluded. Standard protocol for HSG was followed with use of water soluble contrast.

Results: Analysis of 140 cases show common cause of infertility as congenital uterine anomalies - 6.42%, various levels of tubal blocks–17.2%, Uterine SOL/ Fibroid– 4.28% and pelvic adhesions- 6.42%. Conclusion: Hysterosalpingography still remains the choice of investigation in cases of primary infertility. Most of the cases with primary infertility had a normal HSG, followed by various uterine congenital anomalies and fallopian tube obstruction with or with hydrosalpinx. HSG is repeatable, cost effective, safe and less time-consuming procedure except for the fact that it involves small X-ray exposure to patient.
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Introduction

Hysterosalpingography delineates the female reproductive organs. It is in practice since over 50 years in clinical gynaecology for evaluation of cause of primary infertility. According to WHO the primary infertility is defined as if the couple has never conceived despite cohabitation and exposure to pregnancy, without use of any contraceptive device for a period of two years. WHO states that 50 – 80 million of couple are infertile globally. In India the number was 8% of the population in 1981 and showing rising trend since then [1,2]. HSG evaluates female genital tract and radiographically delineates uterine and tubal cavity [3]. In radiological practice number of HSG procedure have increased in last few years due to advances in reproductive medicine and tendency of females for delaying childbearing [4]. HSG provides good morphology of fallopian tubes and uterine cavity with emphasis on tubal patency [5,6]. Properly performed HSG gives accurate information about endo-cervical canal, diameter, configuration of internal canal, location and direction of fallopian tubes, status of fimbriae and spillage of contrast into peritoneal cavity. Interpretation requires cautions as under or over read may lead to mismanagement [7,8]. By making the correct diagnosis patients of infertility are benefited [4,9].

Methodology

Study design and setting- Study was conducted in tertiary care teaching hospital of central India. It
included retrospective analysis of HSG carried out in cases of primary infertility referred to the department from December 2015 to July 2017.

A detailed personal history was obtained regarding duration of married life, cohabitation, use of contraceptives and exposure to pregnancy.

**Inclusion criteria** - Only cases having primary infertility as per WHO criteria reported for HSG to the department.

**Exclusion criteria**
- Cases having secondary infertility.
- Cases using contraceptive methods during this period.
- Infertility caused by male factor.

**Results**

In the study period 140 cases of HSG were included in study.

**Marital duration:** Maximum cases of the marital duration were between 2-10 Years – 82%, with the peak of 2-5 Years -62%.

Table-1: Age distribution of patients in our study.

| Age in Years | Number of cases | Percentage % |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| 20-25        | 35              | 25%          |
| 26-30        | 66              | 47.14%       |
| 31-35        | 27              | 19.28%       |
| 36 and above | 12              | 8.57%        |

The analysis of above study shows that the commonest age group reported was between the ages of 20 – 30 yrs-71.14% with peak range at 26 – 30 yrs – 47.14%. Rest were above the age of 31 – 35 yrs – 19.28% and above 36 yrs – 8.57%.

Table-2- Various uterine pathology patterns observed.

| Findings               | Number of cases | Percentage % |
|------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Normal                 | 123             | 87.8         |
| Uterine congenital anomalies | 9        | 6.42         |
| Uterine Inflammatory lesions | 3        | 2.14         |
| SOL (fibroid)          | 6               | 4.28         |
| Syneacae               | 1               | 0.71         |
| Pelvic adhesion        | 9               | 6.42         |
| Venous intravasation   | 3               | 2.14         |

In 87.8% cases the uterine cavity was normal while 6.42% showed various congenital anomalies. Uterine inflammatory lesions were observed in 2.14% cases. Space occupying lesions (Fibroids) were seen in 4.28%, syneacae in 0.71% and pelvic adhesion in 6.42% cases. Venous intravasation of contrast was noted in 2.14% cases in our series.
Table-3: Various tubal pathology patterns observed.

| Findings                             | Number of cases | Percentage % |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Right proximal block                 | 4               | 2.85          |
| Right distal block                   | 1               | 0.71          |
| Left proximal block                  | 3               | 2.14          |
| Left Distal block                    | 1               | 0.71          |
| Bilateral block                      | 9               | 6.42          |
| Proximal                             | 7               | 5             |
| Distal                               | 2               | 1.42          |
| Hydrosalpinx with block on Right side| 2               | 1.42          |
| Left side                            | 3               | 2.14          |
| Both sides                           | 3               | 2.14          |
| Only right sided block with P/H – salpingectomy | 1 | 0.71 |
| Bilateral Normal                     | 116             | 82.8          |
| Only left normal                     | 5               | 3.57          |
| Only right normal                    | 4               | 2.85          |

Unilateral Right proximal level blocks were observed in 2.8%, Right distal level blocks in 0.7%. Unilateral left proximal level blocks in 2.14% and Left distal level block in 0.7%. Bilateral tubal blocks were seen in 6.42%. (Proximal 5% & distal 1.42%). Hydrosalpinx with block on Right side was seen in 1.4% while on Left side 2.14%. Hydrosalpinx with bilateral block was observed in 2.14%. In one case right sided block was seen due to Past History of salpingectomy (0.7%). Tubes were Normal bilaterally in 82.8% cases.

Discussion

Infertility is one of the most common problem in women’s health in developing countries. Poverty, pollution, lack of education and awareness, gender inequality and infectious diseases are major contributing factors.

HSG was performed following the menses considering 10 days rule as the isthmas is at its most distensible phase and fallopian tubes are most readily filled by the contrast media during this period. HSG was not performed in the second half of the cycle because of the fear of inadvertently radiating the ongoing pregnancy if any and because of the thickened endometrium which could lead to false positive block of proximal part of the tubes [8,9,10].

The analysis shows that the commonest age group affected was between the ages of 20 – 30 yrs – 71.14% with peak range at 26 – 30 yrs – 47.14%. This age group coincides with the most active reproductive period in female. Maximum cases of the marital duration were between 2-10 Yrs – 82%, with the peak of 2-5 Yrs -62%. These findings correlate well with the previous study [3,7]. HSG is an established procedure for evaluation of morphology of uterus and fallopian tubes [11,12,13].

Analysis of our study shows bilateral tubal block in 9 patients, followed by right tubal block in 5 patients and left tubal block in 4 patients. In our study there were equal distribution of unilateral and bilateral tubal block. This findings are in contrast with the earlier finding of Joseph Bako Igashi et al [3]. Majority of our Hydrosalpinx was observed on both sides in 3 cases, only on left side in 3 cases and only on right side in 2 cases. There was a case of right tubal block with past history of salpingectomy while left tube was patent. Tubal block is most likely due to infection which may be in subclinical stage in most of the cases and especially sexually transmitted disease. Chronically inflamed appendix may lead to tubal block. The appendicular inflammation may remain in subclinical stage because of the current use of antibiotics [3,4,9]. In early stage of infection tubal patency is not affected but delaying in treatment lead to total block.
Fig-1: Normal HSG late filling and spillage phase

Fig-2: Uterus bicornisbicollis case 1

Fig-3: Uterus bicornisbicollis with hydrosalpinx right

Fig-4: Unicornuate uterus with normal spillage left
Uterine SOL/fibroid was the next common uterine abnormality noted in our study accounting for 6 cases (4.28%). Incidence of uterine fibroids in the study of Joseph Bako Igashi et al [3] was 16% which shows that the incidence of uterine fibroids were less in our study. Leiomyomas or fibroids are benign tumours arising from smooth muscles of the uterus [14]. The exact etiology is unknown however it is diagnosed in younger age group and more common in black races. [4, 14]. Fibroids are estrogen dependent and decrease in size with age. Fibroids are of different types depending on their location such as intramural, submucosal and subserosal. Rarely they may be pedunculated.

Pelvic adhesions were noted amongst the peritoneal abnormalities which are depicted as delayed or localized spill, lack of tubal mobility and abnormality in tubal contour. Laparoscopy is better for extrinsic tubal pathology evaluation as compared to HSG. [15,16]. Hysterosalpingography is not the investigation of choice for visualization of ovaries however ovaries are enlarged could be seen when a large quantity of contrast material freely spilled surrounding the ovaries in peritoneal cavity. [17]. Synechiae was seen in only one case in our study group. This could be result of improperly treated infection. [17]. Venous intravasation of the contrast were seen in 3 cases. Venous intravasation could occur due to accidental injection of contrast into endometrium which could be due excess injection pressure, trauma to the endometrium by the tip of canula and if the examination is performed during the deficient endometrium thickness. It is harmless but at times it obscures the optimal evaluation of HSG. In our series 9 cases (6.42%) conceived immediately following the HSG procedure.

This could mean that fallopian tube patency was improved after the HSG procedure [12]. We have not encountered any case of peritoneal irritation caused by the use of water soluble contrast material spilling into the peritoneal cavity. This finding concurs with the study of Heun Y. Yune [17]. Population control has also played an adverse roll. Children in the family are associated with happiness, wellbeing and social security, particularly in Indian society. Childless couple often leads to adverse social and societal consequences [18].

**Conclusion**

Hysterosalpingography still remains the choice of investigation in cases of primary infertility. Most of the cases with primary infertility had a normal HSG. Population studied showed variable causes for primary infertility like various congenital anomalies and fallopian tube obstruction consisting of unilateral or bilateral block with or with hydrosalpinx. Uterine SOL/ fibroid were next finding noticed on HSG. HSG is repeatable, OPD based, cost effective and less time consuming procedure however there is exposure to radiation which combat the benefit to the patient. No untoward reaction was found in our series. This points towards the need for more emphasis on early evaluation and treatment.
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