Intersecting surface defects and 3d superconformal indices
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ABSTRACT: We compute the 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal indices for 3d/1d coupled systems, which arise as the worldvolume theories of intersecting surface defects engineered by Higgsing 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge theories. We generalize some known 3d dualities, including non-Abelian 3d mirror symmetry and 3d/3d correspondence, to some of the simple 3d/1d coupled systems. Finally we propose a $q$-Virasoro construction for the superconformal indices.
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1 Introduction

Since the seminal work by Pestun [1], numerous exact results have been derived using the technique of supersymmetric localization for supersymmetric theories in different dimensions. Two simplest quantities that admit localization computations are superconformal indices and sphere partition functions\(^1\) [10–23], which can be further decorated with local BPS operators [24–33] or non-local BPS defects [34–39]. Among these BPS insertions are the particularly interesting codimension-two defects, which are usually referred to simply as surface defects. They are considered important tools to identify phases of quantum field theories [40].

The field-theoretic construction of surface defects usually falls into three, probably overlapping if properly identified, categories. One is by prescribing some symmetry-preserving singular behavior of the fundamental fields in the theory near the locus where the defect resides [41]. A second approach is to place a supersymmetric theory on the locus

---

\(^1\)See also some localization computations performed on manifolds with boundaries [2–6], which are closely related to the factorization [7–9] of partition functions and indices.
that will couple to the bulk theory in such a way that a certain amount of supersymmetry is preserved. A third way is to trigger an RG-flow by giving a position dependent vacuum expectation value to some operator and then look at the resulting theory in the IR [42, 43]. In simplest cases, the locus of the defect is a submanifold in the bulk space, while generally it can be the union of multiple intersecting submanifolds. In the latter cases, the second approach above would require one to place two supersymmetric theories on the two codimension-two submanifolds, and some further supersymmetric theories on the intersection of higher codimensions, while all these lower-dimensional theories are further coupled to the original bulk theory in a supersymmetric manner, forming a $\frac{n}{n-2}d/\frac{n}{n-4}d$ coupled system. We shall refer to these general defects as intersecting surface defects [44–49].

The sphere partition functions in the presence of a wide variety of surface defects, including intersecting surface defects, are computed and shown to participate in different dualities. For example, surface defects in a 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs engineered by coupling a class of 2d gauged linear sigma models to the bulk are shown to be dual to general degenerate vertex operators in the Liouville/Toda theory through the AGT-duality [45, 50–53]. A similar 5d uplift to $S^5$-partition function and to 5d index were also discussed [54]. When the bulk theory is a free theory, the $(n-2)d$ part (or the $(n-2)d/\frac{n}{n-4}d$ part in the intersecting case) of the full theory can be isolated and one can study dualities they enjoy. For instance, as defect worldvolume theories in a 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theory, a class of (intersecting) 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SQCDA with one flavor are shown to enjoy 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ mirror symmetry that descends from the fiber-base duality [47, 55–57]. The list goes on.

In this paper, we continue to investigate the 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal indices of 3d/1d coupled systems, viewed as surface defects in a 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theory. Starting with the 5d index of the standard $U(N)$ SQCD, we perform the Higgsing procedure to extract the indices of the resulting 3d/1d coupled systems. Viewing the 5d index as a $S^4_{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \times S^1$ partition function, the 3d/1d coupled systems are built out of two 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $U(n^{L,R})$ gauge theories on $S^2_{L,R} \times S^1 \subset S^4 \times S^1$ which further interact with some 1d bifundamental chiral multiplets on the intersection $S^1$. These 3d/1d systems if circle-reduced to 2d/0d would correspond to the general degenerate Liouville/Toda vertex operators labeled by a pair of symmetric representations.

3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories (possibly with Chern-Simons term) are known to enjoy 3d mirror symmetry [56, 58], which is a generalization to the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ version [59, 60]. For example, a standard mirror symmetry is between $U(1)_2$ theory with one fundamental chiral and the free theory with one chiral. When we place two such theories on $S^2_{L} \times S^1 \cup S^2_{R} \times S^1$ and couple them to a bifundamental chiral on the intersection, we show that the mirror symmetry generalizes. Similarly, if one starts with a 5d $U(1)$ SQED, it is well-known that it enjoys a fiber-base duality with some 5d free theory. The duality is expected to descend to 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ mirror symmetry between 3d/1d coupled systems, generalizing the usual duality between SQED and the XYZ model. This has been checked by comparing the $S^3_{L} \cup S^3_{R}$ partition functions, and in this paper we provide further evidence by also computing the superconformal indices for such 3d/1d coupled systems.

A class of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories $T[M]$ can be engineered by a twisted compactification from 6d on a three manifold $M$ [61–67], similar to the class-$S$ construction [68, 69]. In
particular, the superconformal indices of $\mathcal{T}[M]$ are known to compute the partition functions of complex Chern-Simons theory on $M$ at real level 0, which is one simple entry in the 3d/3d correspondence. It is therefore natural to ask if it generalizes to intersecting 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories. We report an equality at the level of $D^2 \times S^1$ partition function of a simplest theory of intersecting SQEDs and a matrix integral, which could be a generalization to the known duality. However, the precise physical interpretation remain unclear and is left for future study.

Partition functions and indices of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories can be constructed using the screening charges $q$-Virasoro algebras [70], and therefore they sit in the kernel of some differential operators if proper formal variables are included into the partition functions and indices [70, 71]. Generalization to higher dimension is also possible [72]. Another generalization, refereed to as a modular triple [73], to accommodate partition functions of intersecting theories on $S^3(1) \cup S^3(2) \cup S^3(3)$ was proposed and was shown to be the only solutions under the requirement that all the participating screening charges commute with all the participating $q$-Virasoro stress tensors. In this paper we propose a similar construction for intersecting superconformal indices, and also argue the uniqueness of the construction.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we will review the Higgsing procedure that engineers a type of surface defects that we will be studying, as well as the corresponding brane construction. In section 3, we apply the procedure to 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SQCD and extract the 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ indices of the worldvolume theories as 3d/1d coupled systems. In section 4, we investigate some possible dualities that these types of theories enjoy, including 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ mirror symmetry and 3d/3d correspondence. In section 5, we propose a $q$-Virasoro construction of the superconformal indices for the 3d/1d coupled systems and argue its uniqueness.

2 Higgsing and surface defects

In this section we review an approach to constructing a class of codimension-two BPS defects in 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ (or 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$) supersymmetric gauge theories, referred to as the Higgsing procedure following [42].

Consider a theory $\mathcal{T}$ with a flavor symmetry subgroup $SU(N)$. One can bring in an additional $N^2$ free hypermultiplets with flavor symmetry subgroup $SU(N) \times SU(N) \times U(1)$ and gauge the diagonal subgroup of the $SU(N)$ from $\mathcal{T}$ and one $SU(N)$ factor from the free hypermultiplets. The resulting theory will be called $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ and has an additional $U(1)$ flavor symmetry, compared with $\mathcal{T}$. One can then turn on the vacuum expectation value of a baryonic Higgs branch operator associated to the $U(1)$ factor and trigger an RG-flow. In particular, the expectation value can be position dependent with a core where the expectation vanishes. If the position dependence is trivial, in the IR one recovers the original theory $\mathcal{T}$, while for non-trivial dependence, one recovers the original theory coupled to a surface defect of codimension-two sitting at the core. Note that the core is not necessarily a submanifold, but in general could be the union of two intersecting submanifolds. In such case, we will refer to the surface defects as an intersecting surface defect.
The above construction can be further visualized in detail at the level of sphere partition functions or superconformal indices. For the purpose of this paper, we consider the case of the superconformal index of a 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge theory. One can compute the index of $\mathcal{T}$ which will be a function of the U(1) flavor fugacity $b$. The index has poles at special values of $b$, which correspond to the values of $b$ such that the integration contour is pinched by poles of the integrand therein. More concretely, if one starts with $\mathcal{T}$ as $N^2$ free hypermultiplets, then $\mathcal{T}$ will be the standard SU($N$) SQCD with $N$ fundamental and $N$ anti-fundamental hypermultiplets. The index reads

$$I = \int_{|z_i|=1} \frac{dz_A}{2\pi i z_A} \frac{1}{N!} \prod_{A,B=1 \atop A \neq B}^{N} (z_A z_B^{-1}; p, q) (z_A^{-1} z_B^{-1}; p, q),$$

(2.1)

where (with $\prod_{A=1}^{N} z_A = 1$ imposed implicitly everywhere)

$$Z_{1\text{-loop-VM}} \equiv \frac{(p; p, q)^{N-1}(q; p, q)^{N-1}}{N!} \prod_{A,B=1}^{N} (z_A z_B^{-1}; p, q) (z_A^{-1} z_B^{-1}; p, q),$$

(2.2)

$$Z_{1\text{-loop-HM}} \equiv \prod_{A=1}^{N} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{pq} z_A b^{-1} \mu_i^{-1}; p, q)} (\sqrt{pq} z_A^{-1} b \mu_i; p, q) (\sqrt{pq} z_A^{-1} \mu_i^{-1}; p, q).$$

Here we have separate the U(1) flavor fugacity $b$ from the SU($N$) flavor fugacities $\mu_i$ satisfies $\prod_{i=1}^{N} \mu_i = 1$. $p$ and $q$ are fugacities associated to the U(1) $\times$ U(1) rotations of $S^4$, related to the exponentiated $\Omega$-deformation parameters $\epsilon_{1,2}$ in 4d by $p \equiv e^{2\pi i \epsilon_1}$, $q \equiv e^{2\pi i \epsilon_2}$. We choose $|p|, |q| < 1$ as usual.

The baryonic simple poles that we will be interested in are

$$b \rightarrow p^{n^L + \frac{1}{2}} q^{n^R + \frac{1}{2}}, \quad n^L, n^R \in \mathbb{N},$$

(2.3)

At these values, the poles of the following form, coming from the fundamental hypermultiplet one-loop contributions, of the integrand pinch the contour since there are only $N - 1$ independent variables $z_A$,

$$z_A = b \mu_i p^{-n^L_\ell - \frac{1}{2}} q^{-n^R_\ell - \frac{1}{2}}, \quad \sum_{A=1}^{N} n^L_A = n^R_A = \ell \in S_N,$$

(2.4)

and as a result, the contour integral picks up residues at these poles, which is a sum over integer partitions of $n^L$ and $n^R$ (on top of the sum over Higgs vacua $\iota$). The residue can also be extracted by performing a contour integral of $b$, which effectively gauges U(1) flavor symmetry and making the SU($N$) theory into a U($N$) theory. By a redefinition of variables, $b$ can be absorbed into the $z$’s making all $N$ variables $z_A$ independent, and one simply needs to collect the residues of the integrand at

$$z_A = \mu_i p^{-n^L_\ell - \frac{1}{2}} q^{-n^R_\ell - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

(2.5)

A symmetry observation implies that the dependence of the residue on the Higgs vacua is trivial, and one may simply put $\iota_A = A$, while the degeneracy then removes the $\frac{1}{N!}$ up front.
Table 1. Directions along which a brane extends are denoted by a bar (–), while · denotes the directions along which a brane takes some fixed values. Finally, / implies that a (1,1)-brane extends along a line with a −1 slope within the 56 plane.

|   | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| D5 | – | – | – | – | – | – | · | · | · | · |
| NS5 | – | – | – | – | – | – | · | · | · | · |
| (1,1) | – | – | – | – | / | / | · | · | · | · |
| D3L | – | – | – | · | · | · | – | · | · | · |
| D3R | – | · | · | – | · | · | – | · | · | · |

Figure 1. The figure on the left is a \((p,q)\)-web diagram that engineers a SU(2) SQCD, which can be constructed by gluing two strip geometry. In the middle figure, the Coulomb branch parameter has been tuned to special values corresponding to the root of the Higgs branch, where some NS5 branes and D5-branes are aligned reconnected. In the figure on the right, the NS5-brane on the right is pulled away from the web while suspending some D3-branes (represented by the dashed lines) which engineer codimension-two defects in the remaining 5d theory, which is a free theory with 4 fundamentals in this case.

One may look at the brane realization of such procedure. Consider a linear SU\((N)\) quiver gauge theory \(T\) engineered by a five-brane web in type IIB string theory; see figure 1 for an simplest example. We also tabulate in table 1 the spacetime directions spanned by the branes. The horizontal external legs on the two sides represents fundamental/anti-fundamental hypermultiplets associated to the manifest SU\((N) \times SU(N)\) flavor symmetry. Gauging in additional \(N^2\) hypermultiplets to one side corresponds to gluing in an additional strip of D5-NS5 geometry to that side of the web, making a SU\((N)\) quiver gauge theory with one additional gauge node. One can then tune the adjacent Coulomb branch parameter to the root of Higgs branch, or, in terms of the \((p,q)\) web, by aligning the D5/NS5 branes on the side. One can further pull away the NS5 brane from the web while stretching \(n^L\) D3L and \(n^R\) D3R branes which extend in different directions as shown in the above table. These D3-branes support the worldvolume theories of the codimension-two defects in the bulk 5d theory.

The \((p,q)\) web diagram can also be understood in terms of refined topological vertex, which straightforwardly produces the Nekrasov partition function of the 5d theory. In particular, the S-duality of the \((p,q)\)-web leaves the partition function invariant, which is associated to identities involving the (skew-) Macdonald polynomials \cite{74}. 

---
3 Intersecting surface defects on $S^4 \times S^1$

3.1 Higgsing

Let us consider $\mathcal{N} = 1$ U($N$) gauge theories coupled with $N$ fundamental, $N$ anti-fundamental hypermultiplet on $S^4 \times S^1$. The index reads [43, 75, 76],

\[ I = \oint_{\mathcal{C}_A} \frac{dz_A}{2\pi i z_A} Z_{\text{1-loop-VM}}(z) Z_{\text{1-loop-HM}}(z) |Z_{\text{inst}}(Q; z, \mu^\epsilon, \bar{\mu}^\epsilon; p, q)|^2, \]

For convenience, we reproduce the one-loop factors here,\(^2\)

\[ Z_{\text{1-loop-VM}} \equiv \frac{1}{N!} \prod_{A,B=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{det} P} \prod_{i=1}^{N} (z_A z_B^{-1}; p,q)} (z_A z_B^{-1}pq; p,q), \]

\[ Z_{\text{1-loop-HM}} \equiv \frac{1}{N!} \prod_{A=1}^{N} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{det} P} \prod_{i=1}^{N} (z_A z_B^{-1}; p,q)} (z_A z_B^{-1}pq; p,q), \]

\[ \equiv Z_{\text{1-loop-fund}} Z_{\text{1-loop-afund}}. \]

Here $\mu$ and $\bar{\mu}$ are flavor fugacities for the fundamental and anti-fundamental hypermultiplets respectively,\(^3\) and we define $Z_{\text{1-loop-fund/afund}}$ to be the corresponding contributions in the above factor.

The instanton partition function is given by a sum over $N$-tuples of Young diagrams

\[ Z_{\text{inst}}(Q; z, \mu^\epsilon, \bar{\mu}^\epsilon; p, q) = \sum_{\mathcal{Y}} \prod_{A=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{det} P} \prod_{i=1}^{N} (z_A z_B^{-1}; p,q)} (z_A z_B^{-1}pq; p,q), \]

where relevant factors are collected in appendix B, and $Q$ encodes the Yang-Mills coupling constant. The superscript $\epsilon$ denotes the shift [77]

\[ \mu^\epsilon = \mu \sqrt{pq}, \quad \bar{\mu}^\epsilon = \bar{\mu} \sqrt{pq}. \]

The modular square is defined by inverting $Q$ together with all other fugacities,

\[ |f(Q; z, \mu; p, q)|^2 = f(Q; z, \mu; p; q) f(Q^{-1}; z^{-1}, \mu^{-1}; p^{-1}, q^{-1}). \]

To access the partition functions of intersecting surface defects, we focus on the following set of simple poles labeled by two partitions $\{ n^L_A, n^R_A \}$ outside the unit circles of $z_A$,

\[ z_A = \mu_A p^{-n^L_A - \frac{1}{2}} q^{-n^R_A - \frac{1}{2}}, \quad \sum_A n^L_A = n^L, \quad \sum_A n^R_A = n^R, \]

\(^2\)Here we adopt the convention in which the fugacities $p, q$ are related to four-dimensional $\Omega$-deformation parameters $\epsilon_{1,2}$ by $p = e^{2\pi i \epsilon_1}, \quad q = e^{2\pi i \epsilon_2}$. In some literature, one uses the notation $q \equiv p$ and $t \equiv q^{-1}$ instead. Consequently, $p \equiv q^{-1} = pq$.

\(^3\)The distinguishing of anti-fundamental from fundamental hypermultiplets is merely for bookkeeping purpose.
where \( n^{L,R} \in \mathbb{N} \) are fixed natural numbers. These poles come from the perturbative
one-loop factor \( Z_{1\text{-loop-HM}} \) in (3.3) associated with the fundamental hypermultiplets, while
the remaining perturbative and non-perturbative factors are regular at these values.

The residue of the one-loop factors can be computed straightforwardly and simplified
using the shift properties (A.7)(A.8) of the double \( q \)-Pochhammer symbol. The anti-
fundamental factor in (3.3) evaluated at the pole gives

\[
Z_{1\text{-loop-afund}} \bigg|_{\text{pole}} = Z_{\text{S}^4 \times S^1 \text{free}} Z_{\text{afund}} Z_{\text{afund-extra}},
\]

where \( Z_{\text{free}} \) is the 5d index of \( N^2 \)-free hypermultiplets with flavor fugacity \( \mu_{I,J}^{-1} \).

The factors \( Z_{\text{afund}} \) denote the contributions from anti-fundamental chirals to the Higgs-
branch-localized form of the 3d \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) index of the world-volume gauge theory living on
\( S^2_{L,R} \times S^1 \). See (C.6). The residue of vector multiplet and fundamental hypermultiplets
factors read

\[
Z_{1\text{-loop-VM}} \text{ Res } Z_{1\text{-loop-fund}} \bigg|_{\text{pole}} = Z_{\text{fund}+\text{adj}} Z_{\text{VF-extra}}.
\]

Similar to \( Z_{\text{afund}} \), \( Z_{\text{fund}+\text{adj}} \) captures the contributions from the fundamental and adjoint
chirals to the Higgs-branched-localized form of the index, defined in (C.6). The factor
\( Z_{\text{matter-extra}} \) are simple factors that depend on \( n^{L,R}_A \) which soon participate in partial
cancellation with similar factors from the evaluation of the instanton partition function.

Next we turn to the instanton partition function which is a sum over tuples \( \{Y_A\}_{A=1}^N \)
of Young diagrams. It can be seen immediately that the sum is truncated to a sum over
tuples of hook Young diagrams \( \{Y_A\}_{A=1}^N \) where each \( Y_A \) does not contain the “forbidden
box” at \( (n^{L}_A + 1, n^{R}_A + 1) \). This is because the fundamental hypermultiplets contribute a
factor at the pole,

\[
\prod_{I=1}^{N} \prod_{A=1}^{N} \prod_{(r,s) \in Y_A} 2 \sinh \pi i \beta (\hat{z}_A - \hat{\mu}_I + r \epsilon_1 + s \epsilon_2)
\]

\[
\rightarrow \prod_{I=1}^{N} \prod_{A=1}^{N} \prod_{(r,s) \in Y_A} \sinh \pi i \beta \left[ (\hat{z}_A - \hat{\mu}_I) + (r - n^{L}_A - 1) \epsilon_1 + (s - n^{R}_A - 1) \epsilon_2 \right],
\]

which vanishes whenever one \( Y_A \) contains that box. As explained in [44, 45], it is crucial to
divide these tuples of hook Young diagrams into two classes, called “large” and “small”. In
the former type of tuples, every member Young diagram contains the \( n^{L}_A \times n^{R}_A \) rectangle of
boxes with the box \( (1,1) \) inside. Tuples that are not large are then referred as small. It
is easiest to deal with the contributions from the large Young diagrams. First of all, each
large Yong diagram can be alternatively described by two subdiagrams located at the lower
left and upper right corner, sandwiching the rectangle. The lower left subdiagram will be
Figure 2. In the left figure, a large Young diagram $Y$ is depicted with a red “forbidden box”, where we identify the subdiagrams $Y_{L,R}$ as the shaded parts. Apparently, the large diagram contains a $n_L \times n_R$ (width × height)-rectangle of boxes colored in white. On the right, we depict a small Young diagram called $Y_A^L$ while the one at the upper right $Y_A^R$. From them, one can further define two non-decreasing sequences of natural numbers

$$m_{A\mu}^L = Y^L_{A,n_A^L-\mu}, \quad \mu = 0, \ldots, n_A^L - 1, \quad m_{A\nu}^R = (Y^R_{A,n_A^R-\nu})^\lor, \quad \nu = 0, \ldots, n_A^R - 1,$$

(3.14)

where $\lor$ denotes transposition of a Young diagram. The contributions from such a tuple of large Young diagram at the pole factorizes into

$$\text{large diagram} \to Z^\lor_{\text{vortex}} \left( m; k_{\text{CS}}^5, Q; t, \bar{t}, v, \tau, q \right)_L Z^\lor_{\text{vortex}} \left( m; k_{\text{CS}}^5, Q; t, \bar{t}, v, \tau, q \right)_R Z^\lor_{\text{intersection}} \left( m^L, m^R \right) Z^\lor_{\text{cl-extra}} \left( Z^\lor_{\text{afund-extra}} Z^\lor_{\text{VF-extra}} \right)^{-1},$$

(3.15)

(3.16)

where (summands of) vortex partition functions $Z^\lor_{\text{vortex}}$ on $S^2 \times q_L, S^1_\beta$ appears with 3d fugacities

$$\left( t_i \tau \right)_L = \mu_i p^{-1} q^{-1/2}, \quad \left( \bar{t}_i \tau \right)_L = \bar{\mu}_i q^{-1/2}, \quad v_L = p^{-1}, \quad q_L = q,$$

$$\left( t_i \tau \right)_R = \mu_i q^{-1} p^{-1/2}, \quad \left( \bar{t}_i \tau \right)_R = \bar{\mu}_i p^{1/2}, \quad v_R = q^{-1}, \quad q_R = p.$$

(3.17)

(3.18)

See (C.11) for the detail definition. It is easy to observe the fugacity relations

$$\left( t_i \tau q^{-1/2} \right)_L = \left( t_i \tau q^{-1/2} \right)_R, \quad \left( \bar{t}_i \tau q^{-1/2} \right)_L = \left( \bar{t}_i \tau q^{-1/2} \right)_R,$$

(3.19)

which arise from superpotentials coupling the free 5d hypermultiplets and the 3d chiral multiplets. The factors $(Z^\lor_{\text{afund-extra}} Z^\lor_{\text{VF-extra}})^{-1}$ appearing in the factorization cancel those from the one-loop factors when computing the residue. The classical extra factor reads

$$Z^\lor_{\text{cl-extra}} = \prod_{A=1}^N Q^{n_A^L n_A^R} \left( \mu p^{-1/2} (n_A^L+1) q^{-1/2} (n_A^R+1) \right)^{-k_{\text{CS}}^5 n_A^L n_A^R}.$$

(3.20)
Obviously, such factor is independent of $\vec{Y}$, and can be relocated outside of the sum over hook Young diagrams. Finally the intersection factor is the most crucial one in the following discussion, which reads

$$
Z_{\text{intersection}}^{\vec{n}_L, \vec{n}_R} = \prod_{A,B} \prod_{\mu=0}^{n^L_A-1} \prod_{\nu=0}^{n^R_B-1} \frac{1}{2 \sinh \pi i / \beta} \left( \frac{\epsilon_2 - (m^R_{B\nu} + \mu) \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2 - (m^R_{B\nu} + \mu) \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2} \right),
$$

(3.21)

One may view this factor as a product over all the boxes inside the $n^L_A \times n^R_A$ rectangle region, which of course precisely fill that entire region since we are dealing with large Young diagrams.

The contributions from the small Young diagram tuples are less trivial. Nonetheless, one can still define non-decreasing integers (which however could be negative for $m^L_A$) by

$$m^L_{A\mu} = Y_{A,n^L_A - \mu} - n^L_A, \quad \mu = 0, \ldots, n^L_A - 1, \quad m^R_A = (Y^R)_A,n^R_A, \quad \nu = 0, \ldots, n^R_A - 1.
$$

(3.23)

The contribution from a tuple of small (or a tuple containing both small and large) Young diagram reads

$$\text{small diagram} \rightarrow Z_{\text{semi-vortex}}^{\vec{n}_L,m^L_A} Z_{\text{vortex}}^{\vec{n}_R,m^R_A} Z_{\text{intersection}}^{\vec{n}_L,\vec{n}_R} Z_{\text{cl-extra}}^{\vec{n}_L,m^L_A} Z_{\text{afund-extra}}^{\vec{n}_R,m^R_A} Z_{\text{fund-extra}}^{\vec{n}_L,\vec{n}_R}.\ (3.24)$$

Here, as indicated by the prime, the intersection factor $Z_{\text{intersection}}^{\vec{n}_L,\vec{n}_R}$ is a product of the same factors as in the large Young diagram case, but now only over the boxes inside the rectangle region.

Putting both the large and small Young diagram contributions together, we have the instanton partition function evaluated at the pole

$$
\left| Z_{\text{cl-extra}}^{\vec{n}_L,\vec{n}_R} Z_{\text{afund-extra}}^{\vec{n}_L,\vec{n}_R} Z_{\text{fund-extra}}^{\vec{n}_L,\vec{n}_R} \left[ \sum_{\text{large}} Z_{\text{vortex}}^{\vec{n}_L,m^L_A} Z_{\text{vortex}}^{\vec{n}_R,m^R_A} Z_{\text{intersection}}^{\vec{n}_L,\vec{n}_R} Z_{\text{fund-extra}}^{\vec{n}_L,\vec{n}_R} \right]^2.\ (3.25)
$$

To avoid clutter, we have omitted the fugacities from the expression. Immediately we recall that the modular-square is defined by inverting all fugacities including $Q$, and since the $Z_{\text{cl-extra}}$ is a monomial of these fugacity, the classical extra factor actually cancel within the $[\ldots]^2$. As advertised before, the extra factors from the anti-fundamental and fundamental hypermultiplets will annihilate with those from the residue computation of the perturbative
We are now ready to identify the above result from Higgsing the 5d
We will see shortly that this expression can be reorganized into the index of a 5d/3d/1d
and the second lines at the south pole
Here the first line comes from the degrees of freedom localized at the north pole
and additionally a pair of bifundamental 1d \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) chiral multiplet
living at each of the two common circle intersections \( \{ N \} \times S^1 \) and \( \{ S \} \times S^1 \), where \( N \) and \( S \) refer to the common north and south poles of the two \( S^2 \)'s. We propose the index to be
given simply by a sum of contour integrals
\[
I^{n_L, n_R} = \sum_{\beta_L, \beta_R} \int \prod_{a=1}^{n_L} \frac{dz_a^L}{2\pi i z_a^L} \prod_{a=1}^{n_R} \frac{dz_a^R}{2\pi i z_a^R} Z_{S^1 \times q_L \times q_R}^{S_L \times q_L \times S^1} (z^L, B^L) Z_{S^1 \times q_R \times S^1}^{S_L \times q_R \times S^1} (z^R, B^R) Z_{\text{intersection}}^{S^1} (z^L, B^L, z^R, B^R),
\]
where \( Z_{S^1 \times q_L, q_R}^{S_L \times q_L} (z^{L,R}) \) denotes the usual integrand of the index of a \( U(n_L, R) \) SQCD with
\( n_l = n_{af} = N \), see (C.1), while the last factor \( Z_{\text{intersection}}^{S^1} (z^L, z^R) \) captures the contribution from the one-dimensional bifundamental chiral multiplet,
\[
Z_{\text{intersection}}^{S^1} (z^L, z^R) = \prod_{a=1}^{n_L} \prod_{b=1}^{n_R} \frac{1}{2 \sinh \pi i \beta \left( \left( z_a^L - \frac{1}{2} B_a^L \epsilon_2 \right) - \left( z_b^R + \frac{1}{2} B_a^R \epsilon_1 \right) \pm \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \right)} \times \prod_{a=1}^{n_L} \prod_{b=1}^{n_R} \frac{1}{2 \sinh \pi i \beta \left( \left( z_a^L - \frac{1}{2} B_a^L \epsilon_2 \right) - \left( z_b^R + \frac{1}{2} B_a^R \epsilon_1 \right) \pm \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \right)}.
\]
Here the first line comes from the degrees of freedom localized at the north pole \( \{ N \} \times S^1 \)
and the second lines at the south pole \( \{ S \} \times S^1 \). The quiver diagram of the theory associated
to this superconformal index is given in figure 3.
Figure 3. The worldvolume theory of the surface defect is described by the quiver diagram. Each of the two unitary gauge theories on each $S^2 \times S^1$ is coupled to $N$ fundamental, $N$ anti-fundamental and one adjoint chiral multiplets, and further to the 1d bifundamental chiral multiplet supported on the intersecting, as colored in purple. The red line above indicates the free theory of $N^2$ hypermultiplets on $S^4 \times S^1$.

To identify the index with the result from the previous section, it is crucial to correctly specify the integration contour. We require that the contour integral picks up residues of four types of poles, which we call type old, type $N$, type $S$ and type $NS$ [44, 45, 78], all of which depend on a choice of integer partitions \( \{ n_{i}^{L,R} \}_{i=1}^{N} \) labeling the isolated massive Higgs branch vacua. Doing so, the color indices $a/b = 1, \ldots, n^L/n^R$ will be reorganized into \( \{(i\mu)|i = 1, \ldots, N, \mu = 0, \ldots, n_{i}^{L,R} - 1\} \).

The poles of type old are simply the familiar poles of the factors $Z_{S^2 \times S^1}^{S^2 \times S^1}$ that one would pick up to perform factorization of the usual index on $S^2 \times S^1$ separately. Concretely,

\[
\text{type old:} \quad \left( z_{i\mu} q^{B_{i}} \right)_{L,R} = ( t_i \tau v^\mu q^{m_{i\mu}} )_{L,R}, \quad \left( z_{i\mu} q^{-B_{i}} \right)_{L,R} = ( t_i \tau v^\mu q^{m_{i\mu}} )_{L,R}, \tag{3.29}
\]

where $m_{i\mu}^{L,R}$, $m_{i\mu}^{L,R}$ are non-decreasing sequences of natural numbers, e.g., $0 \leq m_{i\mu} \leq m_{i\mu+1}$. The other three types of poles arise from first taking a subset of the standard $z^R$-poles from $Z_{S^2 \times S^1}^{S^2 \times S^1}$, and then a combination of $z^L$-poles from $Z_{S^2 \times S^1}^{S^2 \times S^1}$ and the intersection factor. Concretely, they are poles of the form

\[
\left( z_{i\mu} q^{B_{i}} \right)_{L,R} = ( t_i \tau v^\mu q^{m_{i\mu}} )_{L,R}, \quad \left( z_{i\mu} q^{-B_{i}} \right)_{L,R} = ( t_i \tau v^\mu q^{m_{i\mu}} )_{L,R}, \tag{3.30}
\]

where the non-decreasing sequences $m_{i\mu}^{L}$, $m_{i\mu}^{R}$ take values in some different range rather than natural numbers, determined for each type by a set of integers $\tilde{\nu}_{i} \in \{-1, 0, \ldots, n^R - 1\}$ where not all $\tilde{\nu}_{i} = -1$:

- Type $N_{\tilde{\nu}}$:

\[
m_{i,n^R-1}^{R} \geq \ldots \geq m_{i,\tilde{\nu}_{i}}^{R} = \ldots = m_{i,0}^{R} = 0, \quad m_{i,n^R-1}^{L} \geq \ldots \geq m_{i,\tilde{\nu}_{i}}^{L} \geq m_{i,0}^{L} = 0 \tag{3.31}
\]

- Type $S_{\tilde{\nu}}$:

\[
m_{i,n^R-1}^{R} \geq \ldots \geq m_{i,0}^{R} = 0, \quad m_{i,n^R-1}^{R} \geq \ldots \geq m_{i,\tilde{\nu}_{i}}^{R} = \ldots = m_{i,0}^{R} = 0 \tag{3.32}
\]
This limit was exploited in [10, 11] to reduce the 3d index, the matter contributions therein following [10].

... of the index of the 3d gauge theories is chosen to reproduce the ... are associated to different scaling of parameters when sending ... 3.2.1 Reduction to 2d

Finally, poles of type NS correspond to the double sum over tuples of large Young diagrams in the instanton part, which is a product of the north and south pole contributions. Poles of type N then correspond to the double sum of tuples of large and small diagrams in the north and south pole respectively, while poles of type S correspond to the other way around. Finally, poles of type NS correspond to the double sum over tuples of small Young diagrams.

3.2.1 Reduction to 2d

Note that the two $S^2_{L,R} \times S^1$ shares the same circle $(1,0)$ whose length is controlled by the parameter $\beta$ entering into fugacities $q_{L,R}$. Therefore, sending $\beta \to 0$ effectively shrinks the $S^1$ and in the end one expects to find the $S^2$-partition function, or in more general cases, $S^2_L \cup S^2_R$-partition function from the index computed in the previous discussions.

The reduction of indices is due to the limit

$$\lim_{q \to 1} \frac{(q^a;q)(1-q)^{a-b}}{(q^b;q)} = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)}.$$ 

(3.34)

This limit was exploited in [10, 11] to reduce the 3d index, the matter contributions therein in particular, to $S^2$-partition function by sending the radius $\beta$ of the temporal $S^1$ to zero while rescaling the fugacities properly and inserting the factors of $\Gamma(1) - q$ by hand. In the case of $n_f = n_{af}$ and also for the adjoint chiral contributions, such factor are harmless. Defining $q = e^{2\pi i \beta}$, $z = q^i = e^{2\pi i \beta z}$, and similarly for other fugacities, one has the needed factors for the fundamental and anti-fundamental chiralss in a $U(n)$ gauge theory given by

$$\prod_{a=1}^{n_f} \prod_{i=1}^{n_f} (1-q)^{2z_a - 2(z_i + \bar{z}) + 1} (1-q)^{-2z_a - 2(z_i + \bar{z}) + 1} = \prod_{a=1}^{n_f} \prod_{i=1}^{n_f} (1-q)^{-2(z_i + \bar{z} + 2\beta - 1)},$$

(3.35)

and for the adjoint

$$\prod_{a,b=1}^{n_f} (1-q)^{2z_a - 2z_b - 2\bar{z} + 1} = \prod_{a,b=1}^{n_f} (1-q)^{-2\bar{z} + 1}.$$ 

(3.36)

\footnote{Note that there maybe more than one limits one can take in such dimensional reduction [79], which are associated to different scaling of parameters when sending $\beta$ to zero. In this section the reduction of the index of the 3d gauge theories is chosen to reproduce the $S^2$-partition function 2d gauge theories, following [10].}
These factors are simple constant factors that can be taken out of the integral over $z$’s and the sum over $B$’s.

This procedure straightforwardly carries over to the intersecting case, where the chiral multiplets and the vector multiplet contributions in the index reduce to those in the $S^2_L$- and $S^2_R$-partition functions. The remaining factors to reduce are the contributions from the 1d matters. Let us parametrize, using $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$ which originates from the 5d $\Omega$-deformation parameters,

$$q_L = e^{2\pi i \beta \epsilon_2}, \quad q_R = e^{2\pi i \beta \epsilon_1},$$

and define the hatted fugacities, for example,

$$L_a = e^{2\pi i \beta \epsilon_2 \hat{z}_L^a}, \quad q_L^{1/2} B_L^a = e^{\pi i \beta \epsilon_2 B_L^a}, \quad z_R^a = e^{2\pi i \beta \epsilon_1 \hat{z}_R^a}, \quad q_R^{1/2} B_R^a = e^{\pi i \beta \epsilon_2 B_R^a}. \quad (3.38)$$

In this parametrization, $\beta$ encodes the radius of the common $S^1$. The contribution from the 1d chiral multiplet then reads

$$\prod_{\pm} \prod_{\pm'} \frac{1}{2 \sinh \pi i \beta} \left( \epsilon_2 \left( \frac{z_L^a \pm B_L^a}{2} \right) - \epsilon_1 \left( \frac{z_R^a \pm B_R^a}{2} \right) \pm' \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \right), \quad (3.39)$$

$$\beta \to 0 \quad \prod_{\pm} \prod_{\pm'} \frac{1}{2 \pi i \beta} \left( \epsilon_2 \left( \frac{z_L^a \pm B_L^a}{2} \right) - \epsilon_1 \left( \frac{z_R^a \pm B_R^a}{2} \right) \pm' \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \right), \quad (3.40)$$

which is simply the contributions from the 0d chiral multiplets living at the north and south pole of $S^2_L$ and $S^2_R$ where they intersect.

4 3d dualities

4.1 3d mirror symmetry

It is well-known that various 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories enjoy an IR duality called 3d mirror symmetry, where two UV supersymmetric gauge theories flow in the IR to two SCFTs with the Higgs branch of one SCFT identified with the Coulomb branch of the other, among many other identifications of physical quantities [59]. Such duality can usually be realized by the S-duality in type IIB string theory acting on brane systems that engineer these gauge theories [80, 81]. 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories admit deformations to theories with only $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry, such as turning on complex masses, FI parameters and/or superpotentials. With less supersymmetry, one typically has less control of various dualities, including 3d mirror symmetry. However it remains an interesting yet challenging arena to explore. In the following, we will discuss two examples of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ mirror symmetry, at the level of superconformal indices, generalized to the case with intersecting space $S^2_L \times S^1 \cup S^2_R \times S^1$.

The first example is the generalization of the basic duality between the $U(1)_{k=1/2}$ theory coupled to a fundamental chiral and the theory of a free chiral multiplet. The second example is to reduce the S-duality, i.e., the fiber-base duality, to the 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ mirror symmetry between a class of simple 3d theories on $S^2_L \times S^1 \cup S^2_R \times S^1$ through the Higgsing procedure. The identical indices of these theories on $S^3_L \cup S^3_R$ are taken as an evidence of mirror duality.
4.1.1 $U(1)_{1 \over 2}$ theory

Let us first recall the duality between a $U(1)^k_{1 \over 2}$ theory coupled to one fundamental chiral multiplet (fund) and the theory of a free chiral multiplet. At the level of indices, one has

$$I_{U(1)_{1 \over 2} + \text{fund}} = \sum_B \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} (-z)^{-{1 \over 2} B} (-w)^B \left( -z^{-1} t \tau q^{-{1 \over 2}} \right) \frac{z (t \tau)^{-1} q^{-{B \over 2}}; q}{(z^{-1} t \tau q^{-{B \over 2}}; q)}$$

$$= \left( \frac{(t \tau q^{-{1 \over 2}})^{1 \over 2} w^{-1} q; q}{(t \tau q^{-{1 \over 2}})^{-{1 \over 2} w q^{-{B \over 2}}}; q} \right) = I_{\text{chiral}}. \quad (4.1)$$

Note that this basic duality between the two theories can be used to induce the order-3 $ST \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ action on the $U(1)^k_{1 \over 2}$ theory coupled to a fundamental chiral [58, 82]. To see this, we introduce a background Chern-Simons term $z^{-1} \tilde{B}$ with unit Chern-Simons level into the $U(1)^k_{1 \over 2}$ theory, and the above equality is refined to

$$I_{U(1)_{1 \over 2} + \text{fund}} (\tilde{B}) = (t \tau)^{-1} \tilde{B} \left( \frac{(t \tau q^{-{1 \over 2}})^{1 \over 2} w^{-1} q^{-{B \over 2}}; q}{(t \tau q^{-{1 \over 2}})^{-{1 \over 2} w q^{-{B \over 2}}}; q} \right), \quad (4.2)$$

meaning that any chiral multiplet contribution in the index of an interacting theory can be effectively replaced by a $U(1)^k_{1 \over 2}$ theory coupled to one fundamental chiral. In particular, the index of a $U(1)^k_{1 \over 2}$ theory coupled to a fundamental chiral is

$$I_{U(1)^k_{1 \over 2} + \text{fund}} = \sum_B \oint \frac{d\tilde{z}}{2\pi i \tilde{z}} (-\tilde{z})^{-k \tilde{B}} (-w)^{\tilde{B}} \left( -\tilde{z}^{-1} t \tau q^{-{1 \over 2}} \right) \frac{(t \tau q^{-{1 \over 2}})^{1 \over 2} w^{-1} q^{-{B \over 2}}; q}{(t \tau q^{-{1 \over 2}})^{-{1 \over 2} w q^{-{B \over 2}}}; q)},$$

(4.3)

where the last factor can be replaced by $I_{U(1)_{1 \over 2} + \text{fund}}(\tilde{B})$. The sum over $\tilde{B}$ and the integral over $\tilde{z}$ can be easily performed, giving

$$I_{U(1)^k_{1 \over 2} + \text{fund}}(w) = I_{U(1)^{\tilde{k}}_{1 \over 2} + \text{fund}}(\tilde{w}), \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$\tilde{k} = \frac{2k - 3}{4k + 2}, \quad \tilde{w} = \left[ (t \tau)^3 q^{-1 \over 2} \right]^{2k + 2 \over 4k + 2} w^{-2 \over 2k + 1} e^{2k - 5 \over 2k + 1 i \pi}. \quad (4.5)$$

Define the map $ST : (k, w) \rightarrow (\tilde{k}, \tilde{w})$ by the above relation. Even though the expression for $\tilde{w}$ looks complicated, it is straightforward to check that indeed $(ST)^3 = 1$.

Let us now consider the intersecting $U(1)^k_{1 \over 2}$ theory coupled to one fundamental chiral on $S_L^2 \times S^1 \cup S_R^2 \times S^1$ with additional bifundamental 1d chiral multiplets. The index can
be computed by summing over four types of poles,

|  | \(z(\alpha) \rightarrow \left(\tau q^{1/2}(m+\overline{m})\right)_{(\alpha)}\) | \(B(\alpha) \rightarrow (m-\overline{m})(\alpha)\) |
|---|---|---|
| N | \(z_L \rightarrow \left(\tau q^{1/2}(-1+\overline{m})\right)_L\) | \(B_L \rightarrow -1-\overline{m}_L\) |
|   | \(z_R \rightarrow \left(\tau q^{1/2}(\overline{m})\right)_R\) | \(B_L \rightarrow -\overline{m}_R\) |
| S | \(z_L \rightarrow \left(\tau q^{1/2}(m-1)\right)_L\) | \(B_L \rightarrow m_L - (-1)\) |
|   | \(z_R \rightarrow \left(\tau q^{1/2}(\overline{m})\right)_R\) | \(B_R \rightarrow (m)_R\) |
| NS | \(z_L \rightarrow (\tau q^{-1})_L\) | \(B_L \rightarrow 0\) |
|   | \(z_R \rightarrow (\tau)_R\) | \(B_R \rightarrow 0\) |

The full residue can be organized into a factorized form,

\[
\frac{(-w)^{-1}}{q_L^{-1/2}q_R^{-1/2}-q_L^{-1}q_R^{-1}} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\sum_{m(\alpha)=0}^{+\infty} \text{Z}_{\text{vortex}}(m|q)_L \text{Z}_{\text{vortex}}(m|q)_R \text{Z}_{\text{intersection}}(m_L,m_R) \\
\sum_{m(\alpha)=0}^{+\infty} \text{Z}_{\text{vortex}}(\overline{m}|q^{-1})_L \text{Z}_{\text{vortex}}(\overline{m}|q^{-1})_R \text{Z}_{\text{intersection}}(\overline{m}_L,\overline{m}_R)
\end{array} \right],
\]

(4.6)

where

\[
\text{Z}_{\text{vortex}}(m|q) = \left(\tau q^{-1/2}\right)^{-m/2}w^m \frac{(q;q)_m}{(q;q)_m}. 
\]

(4.7)

It is straightforward to check that the above index \(I_{U(1)_{1/2}^+\text{fund}}\) equals the index of the theory of free chirals on \(S^2_L \times \cup S^1 \cup S^2_R \times S^1\),

\[
I_{U(1)_{1/2}^+\text{fund}} = I_{\text{chiral}}^L I_{\text{chiral}}^R I_{1d},
\]

(4.8)

where the indices on the right are given by

\[
I_{\text{chiral}}^{L,R} = \left(\frac{\left(\tau q^{-1/2}\right)^{1/2}w^{-1}q; q}{\left(\tau q^{-1/2}\right)^{-1/2}w; q}\right)_{L,R}, \quad I_{1d} = \left(\frac{w^{1/2}\mu_L^{-1} - w^{1/2}\mu_R^{-1}}{q_Lq_R - q_L^{-1}q_R^{-1}}\frac{w^{1/2}\mu_L^{-1} - w^{-1/2}\mu_L^{-1}}{q_L^{-1}q_R^{-1} - q_Lq_R}\right),
\]

(4.9)

with the fugacity \(\mu_L \equiv \prod_{\alpha=L,R} (\tau q^{-1/2})_{\alpha}^{-1/4}\). Under this duality, the 1d bifundamental chiral multiplets in the SQED on the left are dual to a pair of free 1d fermi and chiral multiplets on the right. It would be interesting to generalize to dualities for intersecting \(U(1)_k\) theories using the one with both \(k = 1/2\).
4.1.2 3d mirror symmetry from fiber-base duality

Next we turn to the 3d consequence of the fiber-base duality between 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SQED and some free theory. They can be realized by simple $(p, q)$-brane web, and their full partition function on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1$ can be effectively computed using the method of refined topological vertex [74, 83]. Here we mainly follow the formalism and convention in [74] (up to some powers of $-1$).

To begin with, the $N_{\lambda\mu}$ function is defined for two Young diagrams by $\lambda, \mu$,\footnote{Note that in some literature with $q \equiv p$, $t \equiv q^{-1}$, the $N_{\lambda\mu}$ function is given by

$$N_{\lambda\mu}(x; q; t^{-1}) = \prod_{(r, s) \in \lambda} (1 - xq^{\lambda_r - s}t^{\mu_s + r + 1}) \prod_{(r, s) \in \mu} (1 - xq^{-\mu_r + s - 1}t^{\lambda_s - r}).$$

However, in [74], the exact same function is denoted as $N_{\lambda\mu}(x; q, t)$ instead.}

$$N_{\lambda\mu}(x; p, q) = \prod_{(r, s) \in \lambda} \left(1 - xp^{\lambda_r - s}q^{-\mu_s + r + 1}\right) \prod_{(r, s) \in \mu} \left(1 - xp^{-\mu_r + s - 1}q^{\lambda_s - r}\right). \tag{4.10}$$

The SQED full partition function on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1$ (including the perturbative contributions) is given by

$$Z_{\text{SQED}}^{\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1} = \frac{2}{\prod_{i=1}^2} \left(\frac{1}{(Q_i(pq); p, q)} \sum_{Y} (pq)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q_0|Y| N_{XY}(Q_1(pq)^\frac{1}{2}; p, q) N_{Y0}(Q_2(pq)^\frac{1}{2}; p, q) \right). \tag{4.11}$$

Here $Q_{1,2}$ encode the flavor and gauge fugacities and $Q_0$ encodes the gauge coupling constant

$$Q_1 = z^{-1}M, \quad Q_2 = zM^{-1}, \quad Q_0 = -e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2}M^{-1/2}M^{-1/2}}. \tag{4.12}$$

The fiber-base duality states that $Z_{\text{SQED}}^{\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1}$ actually equals the partition function [74]

$$Z_{\text{free}}^{\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1} = \left[\frac{2}{\prod_{i=1}^2} \frac{1}{(Q_i(pq); p, q)} \right] (Q_0 Q_1; p, q) (Q_0 Q_2 p q; p, q) \frac{(Q_0 Q_1 Q_2 (pq)^{1/2}; p, q)}{(Q_0 Q_1 Q_2 (pq)^{1/2}; p, q)}. \tag{4.13}$$

Namely,

$$Z_{\text{SQED}}^{\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1} = Z_{\text{free}}^{\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1}. \tag{4.14}$$

The full 5d index of the SQED is given by a contour integral of $|Z_{\text{SQED}}^{\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1}|^2$ where the modular square inverts all fugacities including the $\Omega$-deformation parameters $p, q$. The Higgsing procedure on both sides picks up residues at the poles

$$z \to Mp^{-\frac{n_L}{2}}q^{-n_R-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4.15}$$

As we have discussed in the previous section, the residue on one side of the equality organizes into the index of a $U(n^L) \times U(n^R)$ gauge theory on the intersecting space $S^2_L \times S^1 \cup S^2_R \times S^1$ coupled to one pair of fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral multiplets on each $S^2 \times S^1$, \cite{74}
and additional pair of 1d chiral multiplets in the bifundamental representation under the gauge group $U(n^L) \times U(n^R)$. On the other side, $|Z_{\text{free}}^{5d \times S^1}|^2$ reduces to the index of a collection of free chiral multiplets together with 1d contributions from the Fermi multiplets localized at the north and south circles,

$$|Z_{\text{free}}^{5d \times S^1}|^2 \rightarrow \prod_{k=1}^{n^L} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n^R} \left(1 - w(M \tilde{M}^{-1})^{1/2} p^{1/2} q^{1/2} - t \right) \prod_{\mu=0}^{n^L-1} \prod_{\nu=0}^{n^R-1} \left(1 - w(M \tilde{M}^{-1})^{1/2} p^{1/2} q^{1/2} \right)$$

$$\times \prod_{\mu=0}^{n^L-1} \prod_{\nu=0}^{n^R-1} \left(1 - p^{1/2} q^{1/2} \right) \prod_{\mu=0}^{n^L-1} \prod_{\nu=0}^{n^R-1} \left(1 - M - M p^{1/2} q^{1/2} \right) \prod_{\mu=0}^{n^L-1} \prod_{\nu=0}^{n^R-1} \left(1 - M p^{1/2} q^{1/2} \right)$$

$$\times \left(\text{fugacities} \to \text{fugacities}^{-1}\right).$$

(4.15)

Here $w = -e^{-\frac{2\pi \beta}{\xi_M}}$, and the 5d flavor fugacities $M, \tilde{M}$ can be further rewritten in terms of the 3d fugacities using (3.17). The two results on both sides are of course equal.

Let us go through a few simple instances in the above dualities. We start with the simplest case where $n^L = 1, n^R = 0$. The residue of the 5d SQED index gives the 3d index of the SQED theory in the factorized form (with a decoupled factor $[(v^{-1}q;q)/(v;q)]_L$ from the $U(n^L)$ adjoint chiral to be omitted),

$$I_{\text{SQED}} = Z_{\text{loop}}|Z_{\text{vortex}}|^2,$$

(4.16)

where

$$Z_{\text{loop}} = \left[\frac{(v^{-1}q;q)}{(v;q)} \right]_{L} \left[\frac{(tt\tau^{-1} q ; q)}{(tt\tau^{-1})} \right]_{L}$$

(4.17)

$$Z_{\text{vortex}} = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \left(w (tt\tau^{-2} q^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left[\frac{(tt\tau^{-2}; q)_m}{(q;q)_m} \right]_{L}.$$  

(4.18)

On the other hand, the residue from the $Z_{\text{free}}^{5d}$ gives the index of the standard XYZ model,

$$I_{\text{XYZ}}^{L} = \left[\frac{(tt\tau^{-2} q ; q)}{(tt\tau^{-2})} \right]_{L} \left[\frac{(tt\tau^{-1} q ; q)}{(tt\tau^{-1})} \right]_{L} \left[\frac{(tt\tau^{-1} q ; q)}{(tt\tau^{-1} q ; q)} \right]_{L}$$

(4.19)

$$= \left[\frac{(tt\tau^{-2} q ; q)}{(tt\tau^{-2})} \right]_{L} \left[\frac{(tt\tau^{-1} q ; q)}{(tt\tau^{-1} q ; q)} \right]_{L} \left[\frac{(tt\tau^{-1} q ; q)}{(tt\tau^{-1} q ; q)} \right]_{L} = I_{\text{L}}^{L} I_{\text{L}}^{L} I_{\text{L}}.$$  

(4.20)
It is well-known that the indices of the two theories are equal, as guaranteed by the 3d mirror symmetry. More explicitly, the equalit is due to the identity

\[
\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(a;q)_m}{(q;q)_m} z^m = \frac{(aq;q)_\infty}{(z;q)_\infty},
\]

with \( z = ((t\bar{\tau})^{-1/2} w^\pm q^{1/2})_L \), \( a = (t\bar{\tau}^2)_L^\pm \) and \( q = q^\pm_L \). One may encode the charges of the X, Y, and Z chiral multiplets in

\[
m_X = \left( t\bar{\tau}^2 q^{-1} \right)^{-1/2} w, \quad m_Y = \left( t\bar{\tau}^2 q^{-1} \right)^{-1/2} w^{-1}, \quad m_Z = \left( t\bar{\tau}^2 \right),
\]

which satisfy the superpotential constraint \( m_X m_Y m_Z = q \).

Next we consider the case with \( n^L = n^R = 1 \). As claimed in the previous discussions, the left hand side reduces to the intersecting index of the U(1) × U(1) SQCDA theory on \( S^2 \times S^1 \cup S^2 \times S^1 \) coupled to some 1d chiral multiplets. Indeed, the index can be computed by a JK-residue prescription applied to the contour integral

\[
I_{SQCDA}^{L,R} \equiv \sum_{B_L,B_R \in \mathbb{Z}} \oint_{\gamma} \prod_{a=L,R} \frac{dz(a)}{2\pi iz(a)} (-w(a))^{B(a)} \frac{1}{(v(a);q)(v(a);q^{-1})} \times \prod_{a=L,R} \left( z^{-B} (t\bar{\tau}^2)^{B/-2} \right)_{(a)} \left( z(\bar{\tau})^{-1} q^{1/2};q \right)_{(a)} \left( z^{-1} (t\bar{\tau})^{-1} q^{1+1/2};q \right)_{(a)}
\]

\[
\times \prod_{\pm} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left( z q^{B/2}_L \right)_L \left( z^{-1} q^{B/2}_R \right)_R q^L_{1/2} q^R_{1/2} - \left( z^{-1} q^{B/2}_L \right)_L \left( z q^{B/2}_R \right)_R q^L_{1/2} q^R_{1/2} }} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left( z q^{-B/2}_L \right)_L \left( z^{-1} q^{-B/2}_R \right)_R q^L_{1/2} q^R_{1/2} - \left( z^{-1} q^{-B/2}_L \right)_L \left( z q^{-B/2}_R \right)_R q^L_{1/2} q^R_{1/2} }}
\]

where the fugacities satisfy the relations

\[
w_L = w_R, \quad v_L = q^{-1}_L, \quad v_R = q^L, \quad \left( t\tau q^{-1/2} \right)_L = \left( t\tau q^{-1/2} \right)_R, \quad \left( t\bar{\tau} q^{-1/2} \right)_L = \left( t\bar{\tau} q^{-1/2} \right)_R.
\]

The JK-residue prescription picks out four set of poles. The first set are of type old, given by

\[
\left( z q^{B/2} \right)_{(a)} = (t\tau q^m)_{(a)}, \quad \left( z q^{-B/2} \right)_{(a)} = (t\tau q^{-m})_{(a)}.
\]

Poles of type N are given by

\[
\left( z q^{B/2} \right)_L = \left( t\tau q^{-1} \right)_L, \quad \left( z q^{-B/2} \right)_L = \left( t\tau q^{-1} \right)_L, \quad \left( z q^{B/2} \right)_R = \left( t\tau q^m \right)_R, \quad \left( z q^{-B/2} \right)_R = \left( t\tau q^m \right)_R.
\]

These poles obviously satisfy, thanks to the above fugacity relations (4.24),

\[
\left( z q^{B/2} \right)_L \left( z q^{B/2} \right)_R^{-1} (q_L q_R)^{1/2} - 1 = \left( t\tau q^{-1} \right)_L \left( t\tau q^{-1} \right)_R (q_L q_R)^{1/2} - 1 = 0,
\]

(4.27)
which corresponds a simple pole of the 1d contribution in the contour integral. Similarly, poles of type S are given by
\[ (zq^\frac{\beta}{2})_R = (t\tau q^m)_R, \quad (zq^\frac{-\beta}{2})_R = (t\tau)_R, \quad m_R \geq 0, \] (4.28)
\[ (zq^\frac{\beta}{2})_L = (t\tau q^m)_L, \quad (zq^\frac{-\beta}{2})_L = (t\tau q^{-1})_L, \quad m_L \geq 0. \] (4.29)

Finally, there is one pole of type NS, given by
\[ (zq^\frac{\beta}{2})_R = (t\tau)_R, \quad (zq^\frac{-\beta}{2})_R = (t\tau)_R \] (4.30)
\[ (zq^\frac{\beta}{2})_L = (t\tau q^{-1})_L, \quad (zq^\frac{-\beta}{2})_L = (t\tau q^{-1})_L. \] (4.31)

Note that although this pole is a double pole of the 1d contribution alone, it also leads to a simple zero in the fundamental chiral contribution on \( S^2_L \times S^1 \), since
\[ (z(t\tau)^{-1}q^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}; q)_L, \quad (z^{-1}(t\tau q^{-1} q^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}; q)_L \sim 0. \] (4.32)

They combine to produce a simple pole of the full integrand. More precisely, one uses
\[ \text{Res}_{z^{-1}} (z; q) \frac{1}{(q)_L} (1-z) = \text{Res}_{z^{-1}} (z; q) \frac{1}{(q)_L} (z^{1/2} - z^{-1/2})^2 = -1. \] (4.33)

Collecting the residues from all four type of poles, we have the index of the intersecting U(1) \times U(1) SQCDA
\[ I^{L/R}_{\text{SQCDA}} = Z_{\text{1-loop}} \left| Z_{\text{semi-vortex}} Z_{\text{intersection}} (m_L = -1, m_R = 0) \right. \]
\[ + \sum_{m_L, m_R = 0}^{+\infty} Z_{\text{vortex}} (m; q)_L Z_{\text{vortex}} (m; q)_R Z_{\text{intersection}} (m_L, m_R) \right|^2, \] (4.34)

where
\[ Z_{\text{1-loop}} = \prod_{\alpha = L, R} \left[ \frac{(v^{-1}; q)_L (t\tau^2; q)_R}{(v; q)_L (t\tau^2; q)_R} \right]_{(\alpha)}, \] (4.35)

and
\[ Z_{\text{vortex}} (m; q) = \left( w (t\tau^2 q^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^m \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \left[ \frac{(t\tau^2 q^{-1})_L}{(q)_L} \right]_{a} \] (4.36)
\[ (Z_{\text{semi-vortex}} Z_{\text{intersection}}) (m_L = -1, m_R = 0) = \left[ \frac{(v^{-1}; q)_{(v)}}{(v; q)_{(v)}^{1/2}} \right] \frac{1}{(q)_L} \frac{1}{(q)_R} \] (4.37)

Thanks to the S-duality, the index (4.34) can be reorganized into the following (ignoring the factor \( \prod_{\alpha = L, R} [v^{-1}; q]_{(v)} \) at (\alpha),)
\[ I^{L/R}_{XYZ} = \left[ \frac{1 - (m_X m_R^{1/2})^2}{1 - (v_L v_R)^2} \right] \left[ \frac{1 - (m_Y m_R^{1/2})^2}{1 - (v_L v_R)^2} \right] \left[ m \rightarrow m^{-1}, v \rightarrow v^{-1} \right] \prod_{\alpha = L, R} (I_X I_Y I_Z)_{\alpha}. \] (4.38)
Here we recognize the index of two $XYZ$ models living on the intersecting $S^2_L \times S^1 \cup S^2_R \times S^1$, with additional contributions from 1d free chiral and free Fermi multiplets on the intersection $S^1$ captured by the fraction in front. The fugacities are defined naturally for $\alpha = L,R$ by

$$m^\alpha_X = (t^2 q^{-1})^{1/2}_\alpha w, \quad m^\alpha_Y = (t^2 q^{-1})^{-1/2}_\alpha w^{-1}, \quad m^\alpha_Z = (t^2 q)^\alpha.$$  \hfill (4.39)

Next we consider the non-abelian but non-intersecting case of the duality with $n^L > 1$, $n^R = 0$. On one side, we obtain the index $I^L_{\text{U}(n^L)-\text{SQCDA}}$ of a $U(n^L)$ gauge theory with a pair of fundamental/anti-fundamental, and one adjoint chiral multiplets. On the other side, one has

$$I_{XYZ}^L \equiv \left[ I^L_Z \prod_{\mu=0}^{n^L-1} I^L_{X\mu} I^L_{Y\mu} \right] \left[ I^L_{\text{adj}} \prod_{\mu=2}^{n^L-1} \frac{1}{I^L_{\beta\mu}} \right] \equiv \frac{I_{XYZ}^L}{I_{\text{adj}}^L},$$  \hfill (4.40)

where we have the free chiral indices $I^L_{\text{matter}} \equiv (m^{-1}q_L,q_L)/(m;q_L)$ with

$$m[X_{\mu}] = \left( w \left( t^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^2 v^{-\mu} \right)_L, \quad m[Y_{\mu}] = \left( w^{-1} \left( t^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^2 v^{-\mu} \right)_L, \quad \mu = 0,1,\ldots,n^L-1$$  \hfill (4.41)

$$m[Z] = \left( \left( t^2 \right)^{-1} q^{-1} v^{-1} \right)_L, \quad m_{\text{adj}} = v$$  \hfill (4.42)

$$m[\gamma_{\mu=0,\ldots,n^L-2}] = \left( \left( t^2 \right)^{-1} q^{-1} v^{-1} \right)_L, \quad m[\beta_{\mu=2,\ldots,n^L}] = \left( v^{-1} \right)_L$$  \hfill (4.43)

and $I_{XYZ}$ collectively denotes the contributions from $X_{\mu},Y_{\mu}$ and $Z$. Here again we notice the fugacity relations $m_X, m_Y, m_Z = q_L$, compatible with an XYZ-type superpotential $\sum_{\mu=0}^{n^L-1} X_{\mu} Y(n^L-1-\mu) Z$. Rearranging the factors of $I_{\beta_{\mu}}$ and $I_{\gamma_{\mu}}$ to the other side, we have

$$I_{XYZ}^L \equiv \frac{I^L_{\text{U}(n^L)-\text{SQCDA}}}{I^L_{\text{adj}}},$$  \hfill (4.44)

where the free fields $\{\beta_{\mu}\}_{\mu=2}^{n^L}$ and $\{\gamma_{\mu}\}_{\mu=0}^{n^L-2}$ have fugacities satisfying

$$m[\gamma_{\mu}] m[q] m[q] m[\Phi^{\mu}] = q, \quad m[\beta_{\mu}] m[\Phi^{\mu}] = q$$  \hfill (4.45)

coming from the superpotential constraint

$$\sum_{\mu=2}^{n^L} \text{tr} \beta_{\mu} \Phi^{\mu}_{\text{adj}} + \sum_{\mu=0}^{n^L-2} \gamma_{\mu} \tilde{Q} \Phi^{\mu}_{\text{adj}} Q,$$  \hfill (4.46)

where we denotes the fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral multiplets by $Q, \tilde{Q}$.

The equality (4.44) can be further refined by including a background Chern-Simons term at level 1 coupled to a $U(1)$ gauge field that weakly gauge the topological $U(1)$ symmetry in the $U(n^L)$ SQCDA. As a result, the integrand on the left contains a term $(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{n^L} z_{\alpha}) B_w$. At this point, one can gauge the $U(1)$ topological symmetry on both side, namely, integrate over $w$ and sum over $B_w$, which simultaneous force $\sum a B_a = 0$ and $\prod a z_a = 1$, reducing the $U(n^L)$ gauge symmetry to $SU(n^L)$. The denominator $I_{\text{adj}}$ also reduces the contribution
from the $n^L$ Cartan components of the $U(n^L)$ adjoint chiral to $n^L - 1$ components of the $SU(n^L)$ adjoint chiral multiplet. In the end, the equality of indices now reads

$$I_{\beta\gamma+SU(n^L)-SQCD} = I_{U(1)+XY}I_Z,$$  \hfill (4.47)

This duality was studied in the context of $S^3$-partition function in [84]. The $SU(n^L)$ theory coupled with the “flip fields” $\beta, \gamma$ is the IR theory of the 3d reduction of a 4d $SU(n^L)$ SQCD which flows (in 4d) to the $(A_1, A_{2n^L-1})$ Argyres-Douglas theory. The 3d mirror dual of that 4d SQCD goes through a sequential confinement after adding a monopole superpotential and flows into the $U(1)$ theory coupled to $n^L$ flavors with an $XYZ$-type superpotential [85, 86].

Finally we are ready to conclude the general case with $(n^L > 0, n^R > 0)$. As mentioned above, on one side we have the index $I_{SQCD}^{LR}$ of the intersecting unitary gauge theories coupled to 3d fundamental/anti-fundamental/adjoint chiral multiplets, and additional 1d chiral multiplets in the bifundamental representation of $U(n^L) \times U(n^R)$. This is equal to

$$I_{SQCD}^{LR} = \frac{I_{XY}^L I_{R}^R}{I_{\beta\gamma}^L I_{\beta\gamma}^R} f^{1d},$$  \hfill (4.48)

where we have the contributions from the one dimensional matters given by

$$\prod_{\mu=0}^{n^L-1} \prod_{\nu=0}^{n^R-1} \left( 1 - \left( q_L^{-1} q_R^{-1} m[X^L_\mu]m[\beta^L_\mu]m[X^R_\nu]m[\beta^R_\nu]\right)^{1/2} \right) (X \leftrightarrow Y) \times \left( \text{fug } \rightarrow \text{fug}^{-1} \right).$$  \hfill (4.49)

### 4.2 3d/3d correspondence

A class of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories $T[M, G]$ can be engineered by compactifying from 6d $(0,2)$ theory of type $G$ on a three manifold $M$ [61, 63]. This construction identifies the supersymmetric vacua of $T[M, G]$ defined on $\mathbb{C} \times S^3$ with the moduli space of flat $G_\mathbb{C}$-connection on $M$, while the partition function of $T[M, G]$ on Lens spaces $L(k, 1)_b$ with the partition function of the complex Chern-Simons theory on $M$ at (complex) level $(k, \sigma)$,

$$Z^{L(k, 1)_b}_{T[M,G]} = Z^{M}_{G-CSk, \sigma}.$$  \hfill (4.50)

In the case with $M = S^3$, the $T[S^3, U(N)]$ theory is given by $U(N)$ gauge theory with an adjoint chiral, and the duality has been studied via another duality from $T[S^3, U(N)]$ to a collection of free chiral multiplets [67],

$$Z^{D^2 \times S^1}_{T[S^3, U(N)]} = Z^{D^2 \times S^1}_{\text{free}} = Z^{S^3}_{CSk, \sigma}.$$  \hfill (4.51)

Concretely, one has

$$Z^{D^2 \times S^1}_{T[S^3, U(N)]} = \frac{1}{N!} \oint_{\gamma} \prod_{a=1}^{N} \frac{dz_a}{2\pi i z_a} \prod_{a \neq b} \Theta(z_a/z_b; q_1) \prod_{a=1}^{N} \Theta(z_a; q_1) \prod_{a,b=1}^{N} (z_a/z_b q_2; q_1) \prod_{a=1}^{N} (z_a; q_1)  \hfill (4.52)$$

$$= \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{q_2; q_1} \right) Z^{D^2 \times S^1}_{\text{free}},$$  \hfill (4.53)
where the Theta function is defined by \( \Theta(z) \equiv \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2} z^n \), capturing the contribution from the boundary degrees of freedom, and the contour integral picks up the constant term of the integrand [87]. The latter agrees with the refined Chern-Simons partition function

\[
Z_{U(N)_k \text{ refined-CS}}^{S^3} = \left( \frac{k}{4\pi^2} \right)^N \frac{1}{N!(q_2; q_1)^N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\sigma_a \prod_{a,b} \left( e^{\frac{\sigma_a - \sigma_b}{2} - q_1^2 e^{\frac{\sigma_a-\sigma_b}{2}}} \right) e^{-\frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{a=1}^N \sigma_a^2}.
\] (4.54)

For example, at the special value \( q_2 = q_1^B \) with \( B \in \mathbb{N} \), the partition function of the refined Chern-Simons theory with integer refinement \( B \) reads

\[
Z_{\text{refined } U(N)_k \text{ CS}} = \left( \frac{k}{4\pi^2} \right)^N \frac{1}{N!(q_2; q_1)^N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\sigma_a \prod_{a,b} \left( e^{\frac{\sigma_a - \sigma_b}{2} - q_1^2 e^{\frac{\sigma_a-\sigma_b}{2}}} \right) e^{-\frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{a=1}^N \sigma_a^2}.
\] (4.55)

This partition function can be rewritten into a contour integral by a change of variable \( e^{\sigma_a} = x_a \), and applying the identity [87]

\[
\sqrt{\frac{k}{4\pi^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\sigma a e^{-\frac{k\sigma^2}{4\pi}} = \sqrt{\frac{k}{4\pi^2}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{x} x^a e^{-\frac{k(\log x)^2}{4\pi}} = \oint \frac{dz}{z} z^a \Theta(z, q) ,
\] (4.56)

The resulting contour integral picks up the constant term of the integrand, and gives

\[
Z_{U(N)_k \text{ refined-CS}}^{S^3} = \prod_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(q_1^{B_\ell}; q_1)} \prod_{n=0}^{B-1} \left( 1 - q_1^{B_\ell} q^n \right)^{N-\ell} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{1}{(q_2^{B_\ell}; q_1)},
\] (4.57)

under the identification \( q_1 = e^{2\pi i} \).

The relation between the refined Chern-Simons and \( Z_{\text{free}} \) is also the well-known result of the open-closed duality between the open topological string on \( T^*S^3 \) with A-branes warping \( S^3 \subset T^*S^3 \) and the topological string theory on \( O(-1) \oplus O(-1) \to \mathbb{C}P^1 \). The latter partition function is given by

\[
Z_{\text{top}} = (Q; q_1, q_2)^{-1},
\] (4.58)

where \( Q \) encodes the Kahler parameter of the \( \mathbb{C}P^1 \). The geometric transition can be implemented in the partition function by taking the residue at a pole \( Q \to q_2^N \), giving \( Z_{\text{free}} \) as the result [67],

\[
\text{Res } Z_{\text{top}} = \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{1}{(q_2^{\ell}; q_1)}.
\] (4.59)

which is expected to be dual to the \( S^3 \) partition function of the refined \( U(N)_k \) Chern-Simons.

Based on the above discussion, it is natural to consider a more general residue of the form \( Q \to q_2^{-n_L} q_1^{-n_R} \). From the perspective of the brane web that engineers the pure
super-Yang-Mills, the residue corresponds to suspending two set of D3 branes between the D5 and NS five branes in figure ( ). The result is simply

\[
\text{Res } Z_{\text{top}} = \prod_{\ell'=1}^{n^L} \frac{1}{(q_2^\ell'; q_1)} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n^R} \frac{1}{(q_2^\ell; q_2)} \prod_{\ell'=1}^{n^L} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n^R} \frac{1}{1 - q_1^{\ell'} q_2^\ell}. \tag{4.60}
\]

In the following we focus on the simplest case \( n^L = n^R = 1 \) while leaving the more general situations for future study. The above residue then takes the form of free chiral multiplets on \((D^2 \times q_1 S^1) \cup (D^2 \times q_2 S^1)\) with additional 1d chiral multiplets,

\[
\text{Res } Z_{\text{top}} = \frac{1}{(q_2; q_1)} \frac{1}{(q_1; q_2)} \frac{1}{1 - q_1 q_2}. \tag{4.61}
\]

Next we look for the corresponding gauge theory dual of this free theory, and we propose the dual to be the intersecting SQED(A) whose partition function is given by

\[
Z_{\text{SQCD}^2} = \frac{\Theta(z_1; q_1) \Theta(z_2; q_2)}{(q_2^2 q_1^2) (q_2 q_1) (1 - q_1^{-1/2} q_2^{1/2} z_1) (1 - q_1^{-1/2} q_2^{1/2} z_2)}. \tag{4.62}
\]

Treating the integrand as a Laurent series in \( z_1, z_2 \) in the region \(|z_1| < |z_2|\), one extracts its constant terms, and obtain a \( q \)-series

\[
Z_{\text{SQED(A)}^2} = -\frac{(q_1/q_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{(q_2^2 q_1^2)} \sum_{m,n=0}^{+\infty} (q_1/q_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (m-n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (m+n+1)^2. \tag{4.63}
\]

Let us consider the double sum above. First of all, one can verify (to very high order in \( q \)) that

\[
G(q) \equiv \sum_{m,n\geq 0} q^{\frac{1}{2}[(m+n+1)^2-(m-n)]-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{1-q}. \tag{4.64}
\]

Moreover, we have

\[
G(q) \equiv \sum_{m,n\geq 0} q^{\frac{1}{2}[(m+n+1)^2-(m-n)]-\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{m,n\geq 1} q^{\frac{1}{2}[(m+n-1)^2-(m-n)]-\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{m,n<0} q^{\frac{1}{2}[(m+n+1)^2+(m-n)]-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.65}
\]

\[
= \sum_{m,n<0} q^{\frac{1}{2}[(m+n+1)^2-(m-n)]-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

In the last step, we swapped \( m \leftrightarrow n \) which keeps the sum invariant. As a result, we may write

\[
G(q) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{m,n\geq 0} + \sum_{m,n<0} \right) q^{\frac{1}{2}[(m+n+1)^2-(m-n)]-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4.66}
\]
Such double sum turns out to coincide with the special value $\frac{1}{2} g_{1,1,1}(-1, -q^2, q)$ of the false Theta function defined in [88],

$$g_{a,b,c}(x, y, q) \equiv \left( \sum_{m,n \geq 0} + \sum_{m,n < 0} \right) (-1)^{m+n} x^m y^n q^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2} + bmn + c(n-1)} .$$  \hfill (4.67)

To summarize, the partition function evaluates to

$$Z_{\text{SQCDA}} = \frac{1}{(q_2:q_1)(q_1:q_2)} \frac{1}{1 - q_1^{-1} q_2} = \text{Res} \ Z_{\text{top}} ,$$  \hfill (4.68)

reproducing the free theory index coming from the refined geometric transition. Finally, treating the integrand of the contour integral as series in $z_1, z_2$ in the region $|z_1| < |z_2|$, one can retrace the steps which proves the (4.51), and show that

$$Z_{\text{SQCDA}} = \text{Res} Z_{\text{top}} \propto \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\sigma_1 d\sigma_2 \frac{e^{\frac{k_1}{4\pi} \sigma_1^2 - \frac{k_2}{4\pi} \sigma_2^2}}{\prod \sinh \pi i (\epsilon_2 \sigma_1 - \epsilon_1 \sigma_2 \pm \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2))} .$$  \hfill (4.69)

The expression on the right looks like the $S^3$-partition function of two $U(1)_k$ theories coupled through some 1d bifundamental chiral multiplets. However, the precise physical interpretation of the expression and the generalization of the above computation to the non-abelian cases remains to be explored, which we leave to future work. Also it would be interesting to understand if the appearance of the false-theta function have physical interpretation (although in the case considered above the false-theta function evaluates to a somewhat trivial rational function $\frac{1}{1-q}$).

## 5 $q$-Virasoro construction

In this section we follow the idea of [46, 70, 72, 73] using $q$-Virasoro algebra to construct and study the algebraic properties of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal index of intersecting gauge theories. We will begin by reviewing the construction in [70] and then generalize to theories on $S_L^2 \times S^1 \cup S_R^2 \times S^1$. Then we argue the uniqueness of the algebraic construction.

The $q$-Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{V}_{q,t}$ is generated by a set of infinitely many generators $T_m$ satisfying the commutation relations

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} f_k (T_{m-k} T_{n+k} - T_{n-k} T_{m+k}) = -\frac{(1-q)(1-t^{-1})}{1-p} (p^m - p^{-m}) \delta_{m+n,0} .$$  \hfill (5.1)

Here $p \equiv qt^{-1}$ and $f_k$ is defined by the relation $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} f_k z^k = \exp \left[ \sum_{m>0} \frac{(1-q^m)(1-t^{-m})}{1-p^m} z^m \right]$. One can also pack the $T_m$ in the stress tensor $T(z) = \sum_m T_m z^{-m}$. The algebra admits a free field realization in terms of the Heisenberg operators $\{ a_m; P, Q \}$ with commutation relations

$$[a_m, a_n] = -\frac{1}{m} (q^{m/2} - q^{-m/2}) \left( t^{-m/2} - t^{m/2} \right) \left( p^{m/2} + p^{-m/2} \right) \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad [P, Q] = 2 ,$$  \hfill (5.2)
and, by defining $\beta$ via $t = q^\beta$ and $\ldots$ to denote the normal ordering pushing $a_{<0}$ to the left,

$$T(z) = Y\left(p^{-1/2}z\right) + Y\left(p^{1/2}z\right)^{-1}, \quad Y(z) \equiv \exp\left[\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{a_m z^{-m}}{p^{m/2} + p^{-m/2}}\right] q^{\sqrt{\beta} p^{1/2}} : .$$

(5.3)

An immediate observation is that the algebra $\mathbb{V}_{q,t}$ is actually invariant under $q \leftrightarrow t^{-1}$ since the commutation relation (5.1) is.

The algebra contains special operators refereed to the screening currents given by

$$S_+(x) \equiv \exp \left[ - \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{a_m x^{-m}}{q^{m/2} - q^{-m/2}} + \sqrt{\beta} Q \right] z^{\sqrt{\beta} P} : ,$$

(5.4)

$$S_-(x) \equiv \exp \left[ - \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{a_m x^{-m}}{t^{-m/2} - t^{m/2}} - \sqrt{\beta}^{-1} Q \right] z^{-\sqrt{\beta}^{-1} P} : ,$$

(5.5)

which satisfy

$$[T_m, S_+(x)] = \frac{i}{x} (O(qx) - O(x)), \quad [T_m, S_-(x)] = \frac{i}{x} \left( O(t^{-1}x) - O(x) \right)$$

(5.6)

$$\Rightarrow [T_m, \oint dx S_\pm(x)] = 0 ,$$

(5.7)

for an appropriate contour.

In [70, 73], two $S_+$'s from two $q$-Virasoro algebras $\mathbb{V}_{q,t^{-1}}$, $\mathbb{V}_{q,t^{-1}}$ sharing the same $\beta$ are fused into a modular double screening current,

$$S(z, \bar{z}) \equiv \exp \left[ - \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{a_m z^{-m}}{q^{m/2} - q^{-m/2}} - \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{a_m \bar{z}^{-m}}{q^{m/2} - q^{-m/2}} - \sqrt{\beta} Q \right] f(z, \bar{z}, \sqrt{\beta} P) ,$$

(5.8)

where $f(z, \bar{z}, \sqrt{\beta} P)$ satisfies certain periodic condition under $z \rightarrow qz$ and $\bar{z} \rightarrow q^{-1}\bar{z}$ depending on the geometry. The (sum of) normal ordered products of modular doubles then produce $S^3$ or $S^3 \times S^1$ partition functions of $N = 2$ unitary gauge theories coupled to one adjoint chiral multiplet, and fundamental/anti-fundamental chiral multiplets if further shifts in the construction are implemented.\(^6\)

\(^6\)Note that $z$ and $\bar{z}$ are not independent, and therefore the shift in $z$ will also affect $\bar{z}$ in certain way, depending on the specific modular double construction.

\(^7\)To do this, one first represents the Heisenberg operators $a_{<n}$ by $(q^{n/2} - q^{-n/2})\tau_n$, and $a_n$ by $\frac{1}{n} (t^{n/2} - t^{-n/2})(p^{n/2} + p^{-n/2})\tilde{\tau}_n$. After taking normal ordered product of the screening currents, one may shift [70]

$$\tau_n \rightarrow \tau_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{t_{-i}^{-m}}{n(1 - q^n)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\tilde{t}_i^{n}}{n(1 - q^n)}$$

to generate additional factors associated to fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral multiplets, where $t_i, \tilde{t}_i$ encode the flavor fugacities. Alternatively one may consider additional vertex operator insertions to include chiral contributions.
Following the logic, we consider the modular doubles $S_\pm$ by merging $S_\pm$ with $\bar{S}_\pm$ from the mutually commuting $q$-Virasoro algebras $V_{q,t}$ and $V_{q^{-1},t^{-1}}$.

\[
S_+(z,B) \equiv q^B \exp \left[ -\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{a_m \left( zq^\frac{1}{m} \right)^{-m}}{q^{\frac{1}{m}} - q^{-\frac{1}{m}}} - \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{\bar{a}_m \left( z^{-1}q^{-\frac{1}{m}} \right)^{-m}}{q^{\frac{1}{m}} - q^{-\frac{1}{m}}} + \sqrt{\beta}Q \right] q^B \sqrt{\beta}P \tag{5.9}
\]

\[
S_-(z,B) \equiv t^{-B} \exp \left[ -\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{a_m \left( zt^{-\frac{1}{m}} \right)^{-m}}{t^{-\frac{1}{m}} - t^{\frac{1}{m}}} - \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{\bar{a}_m \left( z^{-1}t^{-\frac{1}{m}} \right)^{-m}}{t^{-\frac{1}{m}} - t^{\frac{1}{m}}} - \frac{1}{\beta}Q \right] t^{-B} \sqrt{\beta}P \tag{5.10}
\]

Note that the last factor in $S_+$,

\[
q^B \sqrt{\beta}P = (zq^\frac{B}{2}) \sqrt{\beta}P (z^{-1}q^{-\frac{B}{2}}) \sqrt{\beta}P ,
\]

is the product of the $P$ factor in $S_+(zq^\frac{B}{2})$ and $\bar{S}_+(z^{-1}q^{-\frac{B}{2}})$ which merge into $S_+$. In other words, an $S$ is essentially the product of $S$ and $\bar{S}$, except that they now share the $Q$ dependence. Product of such screening currents gives

\[
\prod_{a=1}^{n_L} S_+(z_a, B_a)_L \prod_{a=1}^{n_R} S_-(z_a, B_a)_R = \prod_{a=1}^{n_L} S_+(z_a, B_a)_L \prod_{a=1}^{n_R} S_-(z_a, B_a)_R : \prod_{i=L,R} Z_{VM}(z)(z) Z_{adj}^{(i)}(z)(i) : Z_{1d \text{ chiral}} \left( z^L, B^R, z^R, B^R \right) ,
\]

where (temporarily leaving the label $i$ implicit)

\[
Z_{VM}(z) = \prod_{a<b} q^{\frac{B_a-B_b}{2}} \left( 1 - z_a z_b^{-1} q^{\frac{B_a-B_b}{2}} \right) \left( 1 - z_b z_a^{-1} q^{\frac{B_a-B_b}{2}} \right) \tag{5.13}
\]

\[
Z_{adj}(z) = \frac{(t^{-1}q;q)_n}{(t;q)_n} \prod_{a<b} (q^{-1/2}t)^{-1}(B_a-B_b) \left( z_a^{-1} t^{1-1} q^{1+\frac{B_a-B_b}{2}} ; q \right) \left( z_a^{-1} z_a t^{-1} q^{1+\frac{B_a-B_b}{2}} ; q \right)
\]

\[
\left( z_a z_b^{-1} t q^{\frac{B_a-B_b}{2}} ; q \right) \left( z_b z_a^{-1} t q^{\frac{B_a-B_b}{2}} ; q \right) \tag{5.14}
\]

Here we have reorganized the contribution from the adjoint chiral multiplet following [9]. The 1d bifundamental chiral naturally arise from the normal ordering between $S$ and $\bar{S}$, since (up to some unimportant factors of $q,t$)

\[
\prod_{a=1}^{n_L} S_+(z_a, B_a)_L \prod_{b=1}^{n_R} S_-(z_b, B_b)_R = \prod_{a=1}^{n_L} S_+(z_a, B_a)_L \prod_{b=1}^{n_R} S_-(z_b, B_b)_R : Z_{1d \text{ chiral}} . \tag{5.15}
\]
Finally, summing over all the integers $B^{(i)}_a$, we have the index of an intersecting $U(n^L) \times U(n^R)$ gauge theory coupled to 3d adjoint chiral multiplets and additional 1d bifundamental chiralons given by the matrix element

$$I = \langle w | \sum_{B^{(i)}_a} \oint \prod_{a,i} \frac{d z a^{(i)}}{2 \pi i z a^{(i)}} \prod_{a=1}^{n^L} S(z_a, B_a) \prod_{a=1}^{n^R} S(z_a, B_a) \{ z a, z a \} | w \rangle, \quad (5.16)$$

where the ket-states $| w \rangle$ and bra-state $\langle w |$ are appropriate Fock states. Finally, it is straightforward to generate pairs of fundamental and anti-fundamental chirons using a differential operator realization of the Heisenberg operators,

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n} \left( q^\frac{a}{2} - t^{-\frac{a}{2}} \right) \left( p^\frac{a}{2} + p^{-\frac{a}{2}} \right) \frac{d}{d \tau_n}, \quad a_{-n} = \left( q^\frac{a}{2} - q^{-\frac{a}{2}} \right) \tau_n, \quad (5.17)$$

$$P = 2 \frac{d}{d \tau_0}, \quad Q = \tau_0. \quad (5.18)$$

A shift $\tau_n \rightarrow \tau_n + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{t^{-n_i} - t^{n_i}}{n_i} \frac{t^{-n_i}}{(1-\frac{t}{\tau_0})}$ leads to the desired contributions [70].

We argue that the sum of products of the integrated screening currents above (with appropriate contour) sits in the kernel of both $T_{q,t}$ and $T_{q',t'}$. This can be seen by the following elementary computation done for the modes $T_m$ of $T_{q,t}$ (or $\tilde{T}_m$ for $T_{q',t'}$) and $S_+$,

$$\sum_{B \in Z} \left[ T_m, q^B S_+ \left( z q^B \right) S_+ \left( z^{-1} q^B \right) \right] = \sum_{B \in Z} q^B \left[ T_m, S_+ \left( z q^B \right) \right] S_+ \left( z^{-1} q^B \right)
= \sum_{B \in Z} \frac{1}{z q^B} \left( O_m \left( z q^B \right) - O_m \left( z q^B \right) \right) S_+ \left( z^{-1} q^B \right)
= \sum_{B \in Z} \frac{1}{z q^B} O_m \left( \left( z q^B \right)^\frac{1}{2} \right) S_+ \left( \left( z q^B \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) - \sum_{B \in Z} \frac{1}{z q^B} O_m \left( z q^B \right) S_+ \left( z^{-1} q^B \right)
= \sum_{B \in Z} O_m \left( \frac{1}{z q^B} \right) - O_m \left( z q^B \right), \quad (5.19)$$

which is a total difference, and when integrated with a appropriate contour, the commutator vanishes. In the above we have schematically split the $S_+$ into a $S_+$ and a $\tilde{S}_+$ piece where the prime indicates that the current lacks the $Q$ factor, since that factor has been allocated to $S_+$ which participates in the commutator with $T_m$ (which commutes with $\tilde{S}_+$). The fact that the commutator gives a total difference implies that with appropriate integration contour, the product is annihilated by both the stress tensors.

In the above construction, we effectively glued two modular-doubles built from the two $q$-Virasoro algebras, where each modular-double is engineered to generate the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal index, and both the modular-double screening charges $f \mathcal{S}$ commute with the two $q$-Virasoro stress tensors. We argue that such a construction is in fact the maximal one, in the sense that one cannot glue successively more than two modular doubles of such types while requiring the commutativity between all the screening charges and the $q$-Virasoro stress tensors. For example, consider three $q$-Virasoro algebras $V_{q,t}$ generated by the Heisenberg operators $\{ a_{in}, P_i, Q_i \}$. One can set $q_2 = q^{-1} \equiv q_1^{-1}, t_2 = t_1^{-1} \equiv t^{-1}$,
Figure 4. Gluing three \( q \)-Virasoro algebras would fail the commutativity requirement, unless the third algebra is identified with the first, as shown on the right.

\[
q_3 = q_1 = q, \quad t_3 = t_1 = t \quad \text{and also identify the zero modes} \quad P = P_1, \quad Q = Q_1
\]
in order to construct modular doubles

\[
S_{12}(z,B) = \exp \left[ -\sum a_{1n} \frac{(z q_1^n)^{-n}}{q_1^{n/2} - q_1^{-n/2}} - \sum a_{2n} \frac{(z^{-1} q_2^n)^{-n}}{q_2^{n/2} - q_2^{-n/2}} + \sqrt{\beta} P \right] q_1^{B \sqrt{\beta} P} \tag{5.20}
\]

\[
S_{23}(z,B) = \exp \left[ -\sum a_{2n} \frac{(z q_1^n)^{-n}}{q_1^{n/2} - q_1^{-n/2}} - \sum a_{3n} \frac{(z^{-1} t_2^n)^{-n}}{t_2^{n/2} - t_2^{-n/2}} - \sqrt{\beta} Q \right] t_2^{B \sqrt{\beta} P} \tag{5.21}
\]

It is straightforward to observe that \( T_{1m} \) and \( T_{2m} \) commute with \( S_{12} \), but \( T_{1m} \) does not commute with \( S_{23} \), since it commutes only with \( a_{2n} \) and \( a_{3n} \) but not \( Q \). The only remedy one can make is to further identify \( a_{3n} \) with \( a_{1n} \), thus reproducing the construction discussed above.
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A Special functions

The \( q \)-Pochhammer symbol is defined by the (regularized) infinite

\[
(z; q) \equiv \prod_{k=0}^{+\infty} (1 - z q^k), \quad |q| < 1.
\tag{A.1}
\]

One can extend the definition to regions with \( |q| > 1 \) by

\[
(z; q) = (z q^{-1}; q^{-1})^{-1}.
\tag{A.2}
\]
The \( q \)-Pochhammer symbol has simple and useful series expansions given by
\[
(z; q) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}}{(q; q)_n} z^n, \quad \frac{1}{(z; q)} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{z^n}{(q; q)_n}.
\] (A.3)

Similarly, the double \( q \)-Pochhammer symbol is defined by
\[
(z; p, q) = \prod_{k,\ell=0}^{+\infty} \left(1 - zp^k q^\ell\right), \quad |p|, |q| < 1.
\] (A.4)

To extend beyond the above \( p,q \) region, one uses
\[
(z; p, q) = \frac{1}{(zp^{-1}; p^{-1}, q)} = \frac{1}{(zq^{-1}; p, q^{-1})} = \left(zp^{-1}q^{-1}; p^{-1}, q^{-1}\right).
\] (A.5)

Both \( q \)-Pochhammer symbols enjoy well-known shift properties. For \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[
\frac{(zq^n; q)}{(z; q)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - zq^k)}, \quad \frac{(zq^{-n}; q)}{(z; q)} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 - zq^{-k}\right),
\] (A.6)

and also
\[
\frac{(zp^m q^n; p, q)}{(z; p, q)} = \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \prod_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - zp^k q^\ell\right)}{(zp^{k}; q) \prod_{\ell=0}^{n-1} (zq^\ell; p)},
\] (A.7)

\[
\frac{(zp^{-m} q^{-n}; p, q)}{(z; p, q)} = \prod_{k=1}^{m} \left(zp^{-k}; q\right) \prod_{\ell=1}^{n} \left(zq^{-\ell}; p\right) \prod_{k=1}^{m} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n} \left(1 - zp^{-k} q^{-\ell}\right).
\] (A.8)

### B Instanton partition function

The \( U(N) \) SQCD instanton partition function on \( \mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1 \) with \( \Omega \)-deformation parameters \( p \equiv e^{2\pi i e_1}, q \equiv e^{2\pi i e_2} \) is given by a sum over \( N \)-tuples of Young diagrams [89–92]
\[
Z_{\text{inst}} \left( Q, k_{CS}^{5d}; z, \mu, \tilde{\mu}; p, q \right) \equiv \sum_{Y} Q^{\bar{Y}} Z_{\text{CS}} \left( \bar{Y}; k_{CS}^{5d}, z \right) Z_{\text{VM}} \left( \bar{Y}; z, \mu, \tilde{\mu} \right),
\] (B.1)

where \( Q \) denotes the exponentiated Yang-Mills coupling, and \( Z_{\text{CS}} \) captures the contribution from the Chern-Simons term [91],
\[
Z_{\text{CS}} = \prod_{A} z_{A}^{-k_{CS}^{5d}|Y_{A}|} q^{-\frac{1}{2}||Y_{A}||^{2}} p^{-\frac{1}{2}||Y_{A}^{\vee}||^{2}},
\] (B.2)

For any Young diagram \( Y \), we use the symbol \( ||Y||^{2} \equiv \sum_{r=1} Y_{r}^{2} \), and \( Y^{\vee} \) denotes the transposition of the Young diagram \( Y \). It can be shown that
\[
||Y^{\vee}||^{2} = - \sum_{r=1} Y_{r}(1 - 2r).
\] (B.3)
Although most of the discussions in the main text focus on vanishing Chern-Simons level, however, it is crucial to keep it general in order to completely fix the fugacities relation between the 5d and 3d theories related by Higgsing.

The vector multiplet contribution is given by

$$z_{\text{vec}} = \prod_{A,B=1}^{N} \prod_{r,s=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\hat{z}_{AB} - \epsilon_1(s-r+1) - Y_{Ba} \epsilon_2)_{Y_{Ar}}^{\text{sinh}}}{(\hat{z}_{AB} - \epsilon_1(s-r+1) - Y_{Ba} \epsilon_2)_{Y_{Ar}}^{\text{sinh}}} \left( \epsilon_2^{-1} \hat{z}_{AB} - \epsilon_1(s-r) - Y_{Ba} \epsilon_2 \right)_{Y_{Ar}}^{\text{sinh}}, \quad (B.4)$$

where $z \equiv e^{2\pi i \beta}$, $\hat{z}_{AB} = \hat{z}_A - \hat{z}_B$, and we define the (regularized) infinite product

$$(x)_{\beta}^{\text{sinh}} \equiv \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} 2 \sinh \pi i \beta (x + k \epsilon_2). \quad (B.5)$$

The fundamental and anti-fundamental hypermultiplets contribute

$$z_{(a)\text{fund}}(\hat{Y}; z, \mu_I^f) = \prod_{A=1}^{N} \prod_{I=1}^{N} \prod_{r=1}^{+\infty} (\hat{z}_A - \mu_I^f + \epsilon_I r + \epsilon_2)_{Y_{Ar}}^{\text{sinh}}$$

$$= \prod_{A=1}^{N} \prod_{I=1}^{N} \prod_{r=1}^{+\infty} 2 \sinh \pi i \beta (\hat{z}_A - \mu_I^f + r \epsilon_1 + s \epsilon_2), \quad (B.6)$$

When $z_A$ are specified to the special values $z_A = \mu_A p^{-n_A - \frac{1}{2}} q^{-n_A - \frac{1}{2}}$, each summand corresponding to a tuple $\hat{Y}$ factorizes. In particular, when $\hat{Y}$ is a tuple of only large Young diagrams with respect to the forbidden boxes $\{(n_A^L + 1, n_R + 1)\}_{A=1}^{N}$, we can encode $\hat{Y}$ into the sequences $\{m_{A\mu}^{L,R}\}$, and we have

$$Z_{\text{afund}}(\hat{Y}) \rightarrow Z^{\text{afund}}_{\text{vortex-afund}}(m,q)_L Z^{\text{afund}}_{\text{vortex-afund}}(m,q)_R \left( Z^{\text{afund-extra}}_{\text{afund}} \right)^{-1} \quad (B.7)$$

$$Z_{\text{VF}}(\hat{Y}) \rightarrow Z^{\text{afund}}_{\text{vortex-adj}}(m,q)_L Z^{\text{afund}}_{\text{vortex-adj}}(m,q)_R Z^{\text{afund-extra}}_{\text{vortex-intersection}} \left( m^L, m^R \right) \left( Z^{\text{afund-extra}}_{\text{afund}} \right)^{-1}. \quad (B.8)$$

Here the factors $Z_{\text{vortex-afund}}$ and $Z_{\text{vortex-adj}}$ are factors in the vortex partition function summand (C.11) that we review in the next appendix. The parameters in the 5d and the 3d theories are identified by

$$(t_i \tau)_L = \mu_i p^{-1} q^{-1/2}, \quad (\bar{t}_i \bar{\tau})_L = \bar{\mu}_i^{-1} q^{1/2}, \quad v_L = p^{-1}, \quad q_L = q, \quad (B.9)$$

$$(t_i \tau)_R = \mu_i q^{-1} p^{-1/2}, \quad (\bar{t}_i \bar{\tau})_R = \bar{\mu}_i^{-1} p^{1/2}, \quad v_R = q^{-1}, \quad q_R = p. \quad (B.10)$$

The $Q$ factor and the Chern-Simons term also happily factorize when $z$ is specialized. It is easy to see that the former indeed factorizes into $Q^{\text{Y}} = Q^{\sum_{A=1}^{N} m_A^L} Q^{\sum_{A=1}^{N} m_A^R} Q^{\sum_{A=1}^{N} n_A^L n_A^R}$. The latter requires a bit of straightforward computation. At the special $z$, the Chern-Simons factor reads

$$\prod_{A=1}^{N} \mu_A^{k_{CS}^L Y_A^L + k_{CS}^R Y_A^R - \frac{1}{2} k_{CS}^L \sum_{s=1}^{Y_A^L} Y_A^L - \frac{1}{2} k_{CS}^R \sum_{s=1}^{Y_A^R} Y_A^R} q^{(n_A^L + \frac{1}{2}) k_{CS}^L \sum_{s=1}^{Y_A^L} (Y_A^L)^2} \prod_{A=1}^{N} \mu_A^{k_{CS}^L Y_A^L + k_{CS}^R Y_A^R - \frac{1}{2} k_{CS}^L \sum_{s=1}^{Y_A^L} Y_A^L - \frac{1}{2} k_{CS}^R \sum_{s=1}^{Y_A^R} (Y_A^R)^2}. \quad (B.11)$$
One can rewrite \( Y^\nu_A(n^\nu_A - \mu) = n^R_A + m^R_A\mu \), for \( \mu = 0, \ldots, n^R_A - 1 \), \( (Y^\nu_A)^{n^R_A - \nu} = n^L_A + m^L_A\mu \), for \( \nu = 0, \ldots, n^R_A - 1 \), and

\[
\sum_{r=n^L_A+1}^{\infty} (Y^\nu_{Ar})^2 = \frac{||((Y^\nu_A)^{r})||^2}{2} = -\sum_{s=1}^{n^L_A} (Y^\nu_A)^{s}(1 - 2s), \tag{B.12}
\]

\[
\sum_{r=n^L_A+1}^{\infty} (Y^\nu_A)^2 = \frac{||((Y^\nu_A)^{r})||^2}{2} = -\sum_{r=1}^{n^L_A} Y^L_{Ar}(1 - 2r), \tag{B.13}
\]

where again \( Y^L_{Ar} = m^L_A(n^L_A - r) \), \( Y^R_{Ar} = m^R_A(n^R_A - s) \) for \( r = 1, \ldots, n^L_A \), \( s = 1, \ldots, n^R_A \). In the end, the Chern-Simons factor factorizes

\[
= \prod_{A=1}^{N} \left( \mu_A p^{-\frac{n^L_A+1}{2}} q^{-\frac{n^R_A+1}{2}} \right) \tag{B.14}
\]

\[
\times \prod_{\mu=0}^{n^L_A-1} \left( \mu_A q^{-1/2} p^{-1} q^{-\mu \frac{m^L_A}{m^R_A}} \right) \tag{C.1}
\]

\[
\times \prod_{\mu=0}^{n^R_A-1} \left( \mu_A p^{-1/2} q^{-1} q^{-\mu \frac{m^R_A}{m^L_A}} \right) \tag{C.1}
\]

\[
\times \prod_{A=1}^{N} \left( \mu_A p^{-\frac{n^L_A+1}{2}} q^{-\frac{n^R_A+1}{2}} \right)
\]

\[
\times \prod_{\mu=0}^{n^L_A-1} \left( \mu_A q^{-1/2} p^{-1} q^{-\mu \frac{m^L_A}{m^R_A}} \right)
\]

\[
\times \prod_{\mu=0}^{n^R_A-1} \left( \mu_A p^{-1/2} q^{-1} q^{-\mu \frac{m^R_A}{m^L_A}} \right)
\]

\[
\times \prod_{A=1}^{N} \left( \mu_A p^{-\frac{n^L_A+1}{2}} q^{-\frac{n^R_A+1}{2}} \right)
\]

\[
\times \prod_{\mu=0}^{n^L_A-1} \left( \mu_A q^{-1/2} p^{-1} q^{-\mu \frac{m^L_A}{m^R_A}} \right)
\]

\[
\times \prod_{\mu=0}^{n^R_A-1} \left( \mu_A p^{-1/2} q^{-1} q^{-\mu \frac{m^R_A}{m^L_A}} \right)
\]

Let us pause and comment on the role of the Chern-Simons factor in parameter identification. Without the Chern-Simons factor, the vortex partition function depends only on the ratios, e.g. \( t_i t_j^{-1} \), between flavor fugacities, and therefore the identification of the vortex partition function at vanishing Chern-Simons level only fixes the relations between the 5d fugacity ratios and 3d fugacity ratios. The Chern-Simons term however provide additional constraints which lead to the final complete identification (B.14).

**C Factorization of 3d index**

Here we collect some detail on the factorization of the index of a 3d \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) U(\( n \)) gauge theory with Chern-Simons level \( k_{\text{CS}} \) coupled to an adjoint, \( n_f \) fundamental and \( n_{\text{af}} \) antifundamental chiral multiplets. Part of the following computation follows that in [9], while towards the end the result is reorganized following [44].

The index can be computed in terms of a contour integral

\[
I = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{B \in \mathbb{N}^n} \oint_{|z_a|=1} \prod_{a=1}^{n} \frac{dz_a}{2\pi iz_a} \prod_{a=1}^{n} \left( -z_a - k_{\text{CS}} B_a(-u) B_a \right) q^{-\frac{|B_a - B_b|}{2}} (1 - z_a z_b^{-1} \frac{|B_a - B_b|}{2})
\]

\[
\times \prod_{a,b=1}^{n} \left( q^{-\frac{|B_a - B_b|}{2}} \right) \frac{\left( z_a z_b^{-1} v^{-1} q^{2 + \frac{|B_a - B_b|}{2}} ; q \right)}{\left( z_a z_b^{-1} v q^{\frac{|B_a - B_b|}{2}} ; q \right)}
\]

\[
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n_f} \prod_{a=1}^{n} \left( q^{-\frac{|B_a|}{2}} (-z_a^{-1})(t_i) \right) \frac{\left( z_a (t_i \tau)^{-1} q^{2 + \frac{|B_a|}{2}} ; q \right)}{\left( z_a (t_i \tau)^{-1} q^{\frac{|B_a|}{2}} ; q \right)}
\]

\[
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n_f} \prod_{a=1}^{n} \left( q^{-\frac{|B_a|}{2}} (-z_a)(t_i \tau) \right) \frac{\left( z_a^{-1} (t_i \tau)^{-1} q^{2 + \frac{|B_a|}{2}} ; q \right)}{\left( z_a (t_i \tau)^{-1} q^{\frac{|B_a|}{2}} ; q \right)}.
\]
The factorization computation begins with identifying a set of poles coming from the fundamental one-loop contribution. They are labeled by the partitions \( \{ n_i, i = 1, \ldots, n_l \} \) of \( n \) (which labels Higgs vacua of the gauge theory) and two sets of non-decreasing sequence of natural numbers \( m_{ij}, m_{\bar{i}j} \) where \( \mu = 0, 1, \ldots, n_i - 1 \). Explicitly, they are given by

\[
z_{i\mu}^+ = z_{i\mu} q^{\frac{m_{i\mu}}{2}} = t_i v^\mu q^{m_{i\mu}}, \quad z_{i\mu}^- = z_{i\mu} q^{-\frac{m_{i\mu}}{2}} = t_i v^\mu q^{m_{i\mu}}, \tag{C.2}
\]

where \( B \) and \( m, \bar{m} \) are related by

\[
B_{ij} = m_{ij} - m_{\bar{i}j}. \tag{C.3}
\]

To compute the residue at these poles, it is more convenient to rewrite the integrand using the identities for any integer \( n \)

\[
\frac{\left( x - q^{\frac{1}{2}(|B|+B)} \right)}{\left( xq^{\frac{1}{2}|B|} \right)} = \left( -x q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(|B|+B)} \frac{\left( x - q^{\frac{1}{2}B} \right)}{\left( xq^{\frac{1}{2}B} \right)} \tag{C.4}
\]

and

\[
\left( 1 - z^{-B} \right) \left( 1 - z^{-1} - B \right) = -q^{B} - (zq^{-B})^{-1/2} \left( (zq^{-B})^{-1/2} - (zq^{-B})^{-1/2} \right). \tag{C.5}
\]

As a result, we remove almost all the absolute values in the integral and we have

\[
I = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \int |z_a| = 1 \prod_{a=1}^{n} \frac{dz_a}{2\pi i z_a} (-z_a)^{-kCSB_a} (-w)^{B_a} \prod_{a < b} \left[ \left( \frac{z_a^+}{z_b^+} \right)^{1/2} - \left( \frac{z_a^-}{z_b^-} \right)^{-1/2} \right] z^+ \to z^- \]

\[
\times \prod_{a,b=1}^{n} (1 - z_a z_b)^{-1/2} \left( z_a^+ z_b^+ \right)^{B_a - B_b} \left( z_a^- z_b^- \right)^{B_a - B_b} \left( z_a^+ z_b^- \right)^{-1/2} \left( z_a^- z_b^+ \right)^{-1/2} \tag{C.6}
\]

\[
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n_l} \prod_{a=1}^{n} \left( q^{\frac{1}{2}i - z_a} (t_i \tau) \right)^{B_a} \left( z_a^+ (t_i \tau) \right)^{-1} \left( z_a^- (t_i \tau) \right)^{-1} \tag{C.6}
\]

\[
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n_l} \prod_{a=1}^{n} \left( q^{\frac{1}{2}i - z_a} (\tilde{t}_i \tilde{\tau}) \right)^{-B_a} \left( z_a^- (\tilde{t}_i \tilde{\tau}) \right) \left( z_a^+ (\tilde{t}_i \tilde{\tau}) \right),
\]

where again \( z_a^\pm \equiv z_a q^{\pm \frac{m_{i\mu}}{2}} \).

It is straightforward to compute the residue at the above listed poles. Corresponding to a given partition \( \vec{n} \equiv \{ n_i \} \) of \( n \), by standard arguments the sum over poles joins with the sum over magnetic fluxes \( \vec{B} \) to form a double sum over sequences denoted as \( m, \bar{m} \geq 0 \). Using the shift properties of the \( q \)-Pochhammer symbols, one can separate factors independent of \( m, \bar{m} \) and group them into the Higgs-branch-localized one-loop factors \( Z_{1\text{-loop}}^{\vec{n}} \), while the remaining factors that do depend on \( m, \bar{m} \) will be grouped into the vortex partition function. Explicitly

\[
Z_{1\text{-loop}}^{\vec{n}} = \prod_{j=1}^{n_l} \prod_{i=1}^{n_f} \prod_{\mu=0}^{n_i-1} \left( t_i t_j \tau^2 v^\mu \right)^{-1} \left( \nu^{q; q} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n_l} \prod_{i=1}^{n_f} \prod_{\mu=0}^{n_i-1} \left( t_i j \nu^{-n_j+\mu} q; q \right) \tag{C.6}
\]
where the first factor obviously comes from the anti-fundamental chiral one-loop, and the second factor comes from the fundamental and adjoint chiral.\footnote{To obtain this factor, it is convenient to apply the following identity with $f(x) \equiv (x^{-1};q;q)(x;q)^{-1}$}

\[ \prod_{i,j=1}^{n_f} \prod_{\mu=0}^{n_f-1} f(-t_{ij}^{-1}v^{-\mu}) \prod_{i,j=1}^{n_f} \prod_{\mu=0}^{n_f-1} f(-t_{ij}^{-1}v^{-\mu}) f(t_{ij} v^{\mu-\nu}) f(t_{ij} v^{\mu-\nu}) \]

The remaining factors go into the vortex partition function which factorizes into those containing $m$ and those containing $\bar{m}$. The former reads

\[ Z_{\text{vortex}}(m;k_{\text{CS}}, w; t, \hat{t}, v, \tau; q) \]

where we define the hatted variables uniformly by $x = e^{2\pi i \beta \hat{x}}$. Some more massaging turns this expression into a more recognizable form

\[ Z_{\text{vortex}}(m;k_{\text{CS}}, w; t, \hat{t}, v, \tau; q) = \prod_{i,j=1}^{n_f} \prod_{\mu=0}^{n_f-1} f(-t_{ij}^{-1}v^{-\mu}) \prod_{i,j=1}^{n_f} \prod_{\mu=0}^{n_f-1} f(-t_{ij}^{-1}v^{-\mu}) f(t_{ij} v^{\mu-\nu}) f(t_{ij} v^{\mu-\nu}) \]

\[ \times \prod_{i,j=1}^{n_f} \prod_{\mu=0}^{n_f-1} f(-t_{ij}^{-1}v^{-\mu}) \prod_{i,j=1}^{n_f} \prod_{\mu=0}^{n_f-1} f(-t_{ij}^{-1}v^{-\mu}) f(t_{ij} v^{\mu-\nu}) f(t_{ij} v^{\mu-\nu}) \]

\[ \times \prod_{(i,\mu)} (1)_{\mu} \prod_{(i,\mu)} \prod_{a<b} \frac{1}{2 \sinh \pi i \beta (\hat{t}_{a b} + \hat{v} (\hat{m}_{\mu} - \hat{m}_{\bar{\nu}}))} \]

\[ \times \prod_{(i,\mu)} (1)_{\mu} \prod_{(i,\mu)} \prod_{a<b} \frac{1}{2 \sinh \pi i \beta (\hat{t}_{a b} + \hat{v} (\hat{m}_{\mu} - \hat{m}_{\bar{\nu}}))} \]

\[ \times \prod_{(i,\mu)} (1)_{\mu} \prod_{(i,\mu)} \prod_{a<b} \frac{1}{2 \sinh \pi i \beta (\hat{t}_{a b} + \hat{v} (\hat{m}_{\mu} - \hat{m}_{\bar{\nu}}))} \]
This expression makes up the summand $Z^\vec{n}_{\text{vortex}}(m; k_{\text{CS}}, w; t, \tilde{t}, v, \tau; q)$ of the full vortex partition function

$$Z^\vec{n}_{\text{vortex}}(k_{\text{CS}}, w; t, \tilde{t}, v, \tau; q) = \sum_m Z^\vec{n}_{\text{vortex}}(m; k_{\text{CS}}, w; t, \tilde{t}, v, \tau; q).$$

(C.12)

Finally, the index is now written in a factorized form

$$I = \sum_{\vec{n}} Z^{\vec{n}}_{1\text{-loop}} Z^{\vec{n}}_{\text{vortex}}(k_{\text{CS}}, w; t, \tilde{t}, v, \tau; q) Z^{\vec{n}}_{\text{vortex}}(k_{\text{CS}}, w^{-1}; t^{-1}, \tilde{t}^{-1}, v^{-1}, \tau^{-1}; q^{-1}).$$

(C.13)
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