Hepatic Nuclear Factor 1α (HNF1α) Dysfunction Down-regulates X-box-binding Protein 1 (XBP1) and Sensitizes β-Cells to Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress
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Correct endoplasmic reticulum (ER) function is critical for the health of secretory cells, such as the pancreatic β-cell, and ER stress is often a contributory factor to β-cell death in type 2 diabetes. We have used an insulin-secreting cell line with inducible expression of dominant negative (DN) HNF1α, a transcription factor vital for correct β-cell development and function, to show that HNF1α is required for Xbp1 transcription and maintenance of the normal ER stress response. DN HNF1α expression sensitizes the β-cell to ER stress by directly down-regulating Xbp1 transcription, whereas Atf6 is unaffected. Furthermore, DN HNF1α alters calcium homeostasis, resulting in elevated cytoplasmic calcium and increased store-operated calcium entry, whereas mitochondrial calcium uptake is normal. Loss of function of XBP1 is toxic to the β-cell and decreases production of the ER chaperone BiP, even in the absence of ER stress. DN HNF1α-induced sensitivity to cyclopiazonic acid can be partially rescued with the chemical chaperone tauroursodeoxycholate. Rat insulin 2 promoter-DN HNF1α mouse islets express lower levels of BiP mRNA, synthesize less insulin, and are sensitized to ER stress relative to matched control mouse islets, suggesting that this mechanism is also operating in vivo.

Maturity onset diabetes of the young 3 (MODY3) is caused by heterozygous mutations in the transcription factor Hnf1α (1), which lead to a primary defect in β-cell function without insulin resistance. Almost 200 MODY3 mutations in Hnf1α have been characterized, with missense mutations occurring most frequently, whereas the appearance of frameshift or nonsense mutations is also observed. MODY3 mutations often act through haploinsufficiency (2), but they can also act through a dominant negative mechanism, as is the case for the (frequently occurring) frameshift mutation P291 fsinsC (3, 4). HNF1α was originally discovered as a regulator of hepatic gene expression but has since been found to be expressed in the pancreas (5) and involved in transcription of β-cell-specific genes (6, 7). However, genome-wide studies have also demonstrated that its effects on gene expression in the liver and pancreatic islet are strikingly different (8). To characterize the role of HNF1α in β-cells, a dominant negative (DN) mutant has been used, which carries a substitution of 83 amino acids in its DNA-binding domain, whereas its dimerization domain remains intact (Fig. 1A). This artificial DN mutant forms non-functional dimers with the endogenous protein, preventing it from activating its target genes (9). This has led to the discovery that in insulin-secreting INS-1 cells, suppression of HNF1α activity following induction of the DN gene impairs metabolism-secretion coupling (7, 10) and reduces insulin secretion in response to glucose, as well as reducing transcription of the insulin 1 gene (11). Expression of the transgene also leads to apoptosis in these cells after 48 h of induction (12).

During insulin biosynthesis, the nascent chain is translocated into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), where it is folded into the correct conformation by the chaperone BiP (13), and the disulfide bonds are formed by protein-disulfide isomerase. Because insulin makes up to 14% of the total protein produced by the β-cell, it is clear that ER function must be maintained to allow sufficient insulin production to control blood glucose homeostasis. When the β-cell responds to high blood glucose by up-regulating insulin translation (14), the demand on the ER protein folding machinery can overwhelm its ability to process the incoming nascent proteins. The condition known as ER stress occurs when the protein folding and processing capacity of the ER is perturbed, either by increased protein load or by interference with the folding machinery (15, 16). The initial response to
ER stress involves transcriptional induction of ER chaperones to normalize the unfolded protein load in the ER while simultaneously reducing translation to reduce the rate of unfolded protein entry into the ER (17). If these mechanisms fail to restore normal protein folding in the ER, apoptosis is induced through up-regulation of the transcription factor CHOP (18). Several in vitro studies have shown that inducing ER stress leads to cell death (19–22), and this has also been observed in mouse models of type 2 diabetes, including the db/db mouse (23) and the Akita mouse, which carries a point mutation in the insulin 2 gene (13). Importantly, in the Akita mouse, diabetes resulted purely as a consequence of insulin misfolding leading to ER stress in the absence of any defect of insulin production or sensitivity, showing that ER stress can play a causal role in diabetes development. Cell lines established from β-cells of these mice exhibited continuous activation of the master regulators of the ER stress response, ATF6 and XBP1 (24). Examination of post-mortem sections of pancreata from normal compared with type 2 diabetic subjects showed up-regulation of ER stress markers, including BiP, DnaJC3 (p58H), and CHOP in the pancreata from diabetic subjects (23). Ex vivo, islets from human type 2 diabetic donors are more sensitive to ER stress induced by high glucose than islets from control individuals (25). Therefore, ER stress can be considered as a factor in the development of multiple types of diabetes, whether induced by environmental or genetic factors.

In our laboratory, a transgenic mouse has been developed, which expresses the same DN Hnf1α gene as the DN Hnf1α cell line (7). Whereas the INS DN Hnf1α cell line has the transgene under the control of the Tet-On system, in the mice, the transgene was placed under the control of the rat insulin 2 promoter (RIP) so that expression would be restricted to the β-cells of the islet and (to a lesser extent) the brain (26). These mice developed diabetes at 6 weeks of age. Male mice exhibited defects in insulin secretion and loss of β-cell mass, recapitulating the MODY3 phenotype (27), in contrast to the Hnf1α global knock-out mouse, which exhibits pleiotropic effects (28), and the heterozygous Hnf1α+/− mouse, which does not develop diabetes (29). Ultrastructural analysis of islets of 6-week-old RIP-DN Hnf1α mice showed that the β-cells were highly disorganized with swollen mitochondria, dilated ER cisternae (a morphological sign of ER stress also observed in the Akita mouse), and fewer mature secretory granules. This led us to investigate whether HNF1α dysfunction was associated with β-cell ER stress. Here we used the INS DN Hnf1α cell line to demonstrate that HNF1α controls transcription of Xbp1, a master regulator of the ER stress response. We also demonstrate that XBP1 is required not only during ER stress but for maintenance of basal transcription of the major ER chaperone BiP, responsible for insulin folding in the ER. Analysis of islets from the RIP-DN Hnf1α transgenic mouse revealed attenuated BiP mRNA levels and increased sensitivity to cyclopiazonic acid (CPA)-induced apoptosis, suggesting that this mechanism is also operating in vivo. These data support a novel ER-related role for HNF1α in the β-cell.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Materials**—Cyclopiazonic acid (CPA), actinomycin D (ActD), tauroursodeoxycholate (TUDCA), Histopaque, and anti-rabbit IgG HRP conjugate were obtained from Sigma (Basel, Switzerland). Details of antibodies used for Western blotting are provided in supplemental Table S1. Cell culture media, transfection reagents and media, and fluorescent secondary antibodies were obtained from Invitrogen (Basel, Switzerland).

**Cell Culture**—INS DN Hnf1α, INS WT Hnf1α, and INS-1E cells were cultured as described previously (7, 30). Immunofluorescence of INS DN Hnf1α cells was performed as described (31).

**Quantitative RT-PCR**—RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and Q-PCR reactions were performed as described (31) except that genomic DNA was removed by DNase I digestion (Ambion/Applied Biosystems, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) prior to cDNA synthesis. Primer sequences are provided in supplemental Table S2. All transcripts were normalized to the housekeeping gene Rps29 unless otherwise stated. Test reactions were performed on DNase I-treated RNA to ensure that no product was amplified from contaminating DNA.

**Cell Viability Assays**—The 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazolyl-2)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay was used to assess cell viability as described (32). Apoptosis was quantified with the Cell Death Detection ELISAPLUS kit (Roche Applied Science) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, except that cells were seeded in 24-well plates at a density of 1.5 × 10⁵ cells/well for the ELISA analysis.

**Cytosolic and Mitochondrial Calcium Measurements**—Cytosolic and mitochondrial calcium measurements were performed as described (33, 34). Cells were plated onto polyornithine-coated coverslips and infected with adenoviruses expressing either cytosolic or mitochondrially targeted aequorin under the control of the chicken actin promoter. After a 24-h induction of the transgene, DN Hnf1α-induced and uninduced cells were loaded with 5 µM coelenterazine (Calbiochem/Merck) for 2 h at 37 °C. The cells were perfused with KRBB buffer containing 2.5 mM glucose at a rate of 1 ml/min. Luminescence was measured using a photomultiplier apparatus (EMI 9789, Thorn EMI Electron Tubes Ltd.).

**Western Blotting**—Protein extraction and Western blotting were performed as described (32). Images were recorded using a LAS-4000 imager equipped with a CCD camera (Fujifilm, Düsseldorf, Germany). Densitometry was calculated by the Multigauge software (Fujifilm).

**ChIP and EMSA**—ChIP was performed as described (35). Purified DNA (input or ChIP) was used as the template for PCR with primers designed to amplify a product including the HNF1α binding site of the insulin or Xbp1 promoters or an unrelated product from the MyoD promoter (supplemental Table S3). Quantification of ChIP sample/input ratios was by the 2−ΔΔCt method. Nuclear extracts were prepared as described (36). Biotin-labeled 20-mer oligonucleotides (supplemental Table S3) were obtained from Eurogentec (Liège, Belgium) and hybridized to form the probe. EMSA binding reactions, electrophoresis, and detection were performed as described (35).

**Luciferase Assays**—A 948-bp segment of the Xbp1 promoter containing the HNF1α binding site was amplified by PCR with primers xbp1_5′ and xbp1_3′ (supplemental Table S3) from...
INS-1E total DNA and cloned into the vector pGEM-T Easy (Promega, Dübendorf, Switzerland). After sequencing, the amplicon was excised and cloned into the Smal site of the pGL3 Basic luciferase reporter vector (Promega). The resulting construct (3 μg) was transfected into DN Hnf1α or WT Hnf1α cells with Lipofectamine 2000 along with the pSV-β-galactosidase vector (1 μg) (Promega) to normalize for transfection efficiency. Transfected cells were incubated with doxycycline (Dox) for the indicated times (in duplicate) prior to the assay for luciferase and β-galactosidase activity. Luciferase and β-galactosidase assays were performed as described (35), and the data were expressed as ratio of luciferase to β-galactosidase activity.

Adenovirus Production and Infection—Ad-LacZ (Clontech, Mountain View, CA), Ad-DN ATf6, and Ad-DN XBP1 (37) were amplified in HEK293 cells and purified by ultracentrifugation in a CsCl gradient followed by Sepharose column desalting. Adenoviral infection was for 2 h at 37 °C, and then the cells were washed and replenished with fresh medium, and incubation continued for the indicated times.

Mouse Islet Isolation and Analysis—The founder mice (26) had been backcrossed for 10 generations to achieve genetic homogeneity (27). Full details of genotypes and breeding were described previously (26, 27). Animals were housed and treated in accordance with institutional ethical guidelines. All experiments were performed on male mice at the age of 12–15 weeks. Glyceria was measured using a glucose electrode on blood samples from the tail vein. Islets were isolated by collagenase digestion followed by purification on a Histopaque gradient (32302) and cloned into the vector pGEM-T Easy (Promega). The resulting construct (3 μg) was transfected into DN Hnf1α or WT Hnf1α cells with Lipofectamine 2000 along with the pSV-β-galactosidase vector (1 μg) (Promega) to normalize for transfection efficiency. Transfected cells were incubated with doxycycline (Dox) for the indicated times (in duplicate) prior to the assay for luciferase and β-galactosidase activity. Luciferase and β-galactosidase assays were performed as described (35), and the data were expressed as ratio of luciferase to β-galactosidase activity.

RESULTS

Overexpression of DN Hnf1α Induces an Atypical ER Stress Response—we focused this study on DN Hnf1α (Fig. 1A) because endogenous HNF1α expression in β-cells is extremely low relative to other tissues (38), making it an unsuitable target for siRNA-mediated knockdown due to the difficulty of confirming whether gene expression has been efficiently suppressed. DN HNF1α protein in INS DN Hnf1α cells was strongly overexpressed after treatment with Dox (Fig. 1B), present in >95% of cells and localized to the nucleus with no sign of accumulation in the ER (Fig. 1C). Transcription of ER stress-associated genes was measured in INS DN Hnf1α cells with or without 48 h of Dox treatment. Atf4 was increased after DN Hnf1α induction, but Bip, Chop, and protein-disulfide isomerase were unchanged, whereas Xbp1 was down-regulated (Fig. 1D). This contrasted with the classical ER stress response, where transcription of all of these genes would be expected to increase in conditions of ER stress (39). INS cells overexpressing DN Hnf1α (the MODY1 gene), were also tested for ER stress gene transcription, and no Dox-dependent changes were seen in these cells (Fig. 1E) or in INS-1E cells carrying no transgene (not shown). The effect of DN HNF1α overexpression appeared to be cumulative because we observed a progressive decrease of Xbp1 transcript over time (supplemental Fig. 1A) despite the protein level of DN HNF1α being constant between 24 and 48 h of induction. Therefore, HNF1α dysfunction causes an atypical response that differs from the general induction of chaperones normally observed during ER stress.

DN Hnf1α Attenuates the Transcriptional Response to ER Stress and Sensitizes Cells to ER Stress-induced Apoptosis—CPA causes ER stress by preventing calcium uptake through the sarco/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase pumps into the ER, depleting it of calcium and preventing the correct function of ER chaperones. Pilot experiments showed that inducing “physiological” ER stress with high glucose (16) for up to 48 h did not affect transcription of Bip, Chop, or Atf4 with or without Dox in this cell line (not shown), so this was not studied further. Transcription of ER stress genes increased upon exposure to CPA. However, for Bip, this increase was attenuated when DN HNF1α was expressed (Fig. 2A), whereas Chop was unaffected (Fig. 2B). Protein-disulfide isomerase and DnaJC3 mRNAs were affected similarly to Bip (Fig. 2, C and D). Other transcripts, including Atf4 and Xbp1 (supplemental Fig. 1, B and C), were unaffected by DN Hnf1α induction prior to CPA addition, suggesting that there are HNF1α-dependent and -independent modes of regulation of different ER stress genes. The effect of DN Hnf1α on CPA-induced cell death was assessed using the MTT assay and by measurement of release of cytoplasmic nucleosomes. Significantly more cell death was observed at 20 and 40 μM CPA in cells expressing DN Hnf1α (Fig. 2, E and F). Therefore, DN Hnf1α expression sensitizes cells to ER stress-induced apoptosis, probably by preventing normal transcriptional induction of chaperone genes.

DN Hnf1α Alters Calcium Homeostasis—in addition to its role in protein folding, the ER is crucial for the regulation of calcium homeostasis (40, 41). Given the link between DN Hnf1α and the ER stress response, we studied calcium signaling...
after induction of the transgene for 24 h. Cytoplasmic calcium rises were induced by carbachol, CPA, or KCl and in all cases were higher in cells overexpressing DN Hnf1α (Fig. 3, A–C). However, using the same stimuli, mitochondrial calcium rises were unchanged following transgene induction (Fig. 3, D–F). Therefore, the enhanced cytoplasmic calcium responses are not due to inefficient calcium sequestering by mitochondria. Because cytoplasmic calcium responses were increased following the addition of CPA (which blocks uptake into the ER) as well as carbachol (which induces opening of ER calcium channels), we conclude that increased calcium mobilization from the ER does not explain the enhanced cytosolic calcium rises. We observed that the basal calcium concentration was elevated in DN Hnf1α cells (Fig. 3G) but that it was lowered to the same level in induced and uninduced cells after removal of extracellular calcium. This suggests that calcium influx from the extracellular space is increased in DN Hnf1α cells, perhaps due to enhanced store-operated calcium entry. This was tested by depleting the ER of calcium following the removal of calcium from the medium and stimulation with CPA. Readdition of extracellular calcium indeed demonstrated enhanced store-operated calcium entry in DN Hnf1α cells (Fig. 3H). Therefore, calcium handling by the ER and cytoplasm is already imbalanced after 24 h of DN Hnf1α expression, whereas mitochondrial calcium handling remains unchanged.

**DN Hnf1α Prevents Activation of XBP1 at the Protein Level in Response to ER Stress**—Upon ER stress, XBP1 is activated by mRNA splicing, where a 26-nucleotide intron is excised by the ER stress sensor Ire1, leading to a reading frameshift and production of a larger isoform of the protein, which is capable of transcribing its target genes (42). We quantified protein levels of BiP and the two isoforms of XBP1 (Fig. 4, A–D). Dox did not alter BiP or 54 kDa (active) XBP1, but it decreased 29 kDa (inactive) XBP1, consistent with the Dox-induced decrease in Xbp1 mRNA. CPA alone did not affect 29 kDa XBP1, probably because transcription of the Xbp1 precursor RNA is increased by CPA under these conditions (supplemental Fig. 1C). CPA increased BiP and 54 kDa XBP1, and this increase was attenuated for both proteins in the presence of CPA plus Dox. CPA plus Dox decreased 29 kDa XBP1 to a greater extent than Dox.
alone. We conclude that activation of XBP1 in response to ER stress is reduced by DN Hnf1α induction, with consequences for the up-regulation of BiP, and that this is due to loss of the mRNA precursor, which is spliced by Ire1 to provide the mRNA of the active isoform. Under the same conditions, the active isoform of ATF6 showed no differences between conditions (Fig. 4E), and levels of the loading control GAPDH were consistently unchanged (Fig. 4A). Therefore, the attenuation of BiP protein production by DN Hnf1α is most likely mediated by loss of XBP1 rather than loss of ATF6.

**HNF1α Affects ER Stress through XBP1**

Promoter analysis of ER stress genes in the rat for HNF1α binding sites revealed one site, at 673 bases upstream of the transcription start site of Xbp1. A similar site was observed in the human XBP1 gene at 569 bases upstream of the transcription start site and five sites (although with lower similarity to the consensus) in the mouse Xbp1 gene (Fig. 5A). We analyzed binding of HNF1α to the Xbp1 promoter *in vivo* by chromatin immunoprecipitation in a cell line overexpressing WT HNF1α (due to the low abundance of endogenous HNF1α). Specific products were amplified from the Xbp1 and insulin promoters (Fig. 5B), whereas quantifiable chromatin precipitation was not observed for Xbp1 with the negative control antibody (anti-ATF4) or for HNF1α with the negative control promoter

**FIGURE 2.** ER stress-induced gene transcription is attenuated and cell death increased after induction of DN Hnf1α. A–D, Q-PCR of BiP, CHOP, protein-disulfide isomerase (PDI), and DnaJ C3 mRNA after a dose response of CPA with or without 24-h preinduction of DN Hnf1α (n = 3). E, MTT assay of similarly treated cells (n = 5). Absorbance (570 nm) of the samples was normalized to that of the control. F, cell death ELISA PLUS apoptosis assay of similarly treated cells (n = 4). Data are shown as absolute absorbance values. Error bars, S.E.
MyoD (Fig. 5C). To examine the effect of DN Hnf1α on the Xbp1 promoter, we prepared nuclear extracts from DN Hnf1α cells with or without Dox (48 h) of 500 ng/ml Dox. A, calcium mobilization from the ER induced by the muscarinic agonist carbachol (100 μM) (n = 4). B, ER calcium uptake blocked by CPA (20 μM) (n = 3). C, plasma membrane depolarized by KCl (30 mM) (n = 3). D–F, DN Hnf1α expressing mitochondrially targeted aequorin with or without 24 h of 500 ng/ml Dox. Carbachol, CPA, or KCl was added as indicated (n = 3 for each experiment). G, DN Hnf1α expressing cytosolic aequorin with or without 24 h of 500 ng/ml Dox, basal calcium before and after removal of extracellular calcium by supplementation of EGTA (0.4 mM) (n = 7). H, DN Hnf1α expressing cytosolic aequorin with or without 24 h of 500 ng/ml Dox. Removal of extracellular calcium was as in G. Readdition of calcium following CPA was as indicated to monitor store-operated calcium influx (n = 4).

The rat Xbp1 promoter (supplemental Fig. 3) was cloned into a luciferase reporter plasmid and transfected into DN Hnf1α cells to analyze promoter activity. Although no difference was observed at 24 h of Dox, 48 h of Dox reduced the promoter activity (Fig. 5E), consistent with the EMSA results. When promoter activity was analyzed in WT Hnf1α cells, little effect was observed. Low doses of Dox for 24 h induced a slight but insig-
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significant increase in promoter activity (Fig. 5F), whereas pilot experiments with longer exposure times (48 h) or higher doses of Dox had no effect (not shown). Therefore, DN HNF1α reduces the activity of the promoter in INS cells, but overexpression of WT HNF1α is not sufficient to increase it. These data support a model where HNF1α binding to its site in the Xbp1 promoter is required for basal transcription of Xbp1, but it is an essential accessory factor rather than a positive regulator capable of independently up-regulating Xbp1. Such a model has also been proposed for HNF1α target genes in embryonic liver (43). Given the importance of basal Xbp1 expression, we then used ActD to examine the degradation rate of Xbp1 and BiP mRNAs. BiP mRNA was unaffected either by 24-h Dox or 1-h ActD exposure (Fig. 5G). However, Xbp1 mRNA was strongly decreased by 1 h of ActD with or without Dox (Fig. 5H). A time course of ActD confirmed that the rate of degradation was similar for both mRNAs with or without Dox (supplemental Fig. 2B). We conclude that Xbp1 mRNA is unstable and that maintenance of basal levels of Xbp1 transcript depends on de novo transcription for which HNF1α binding is required.

Loss of XBP1 Function Is Toxic and Reduces Transcription of Endogenous XBP1 and BiP—HNF1α is a regulator of multiple genes involved in β-cell development and function. Its dysfunction results in pleiotropic effects on metabolism-secretion coupling, which may impact on the ER in these cells. In order to examine the effects of loss of XBP1 in INS-1E cells with normal HNF1α function, we employed adenovirus vectors overexpressing DN forms of XBP1 or ATF6 (37) compared with a control adenovirus (Ad-LacZ). Surprisingly, we found that Ad-DN ATF6 and Ad-DN XBP1 were toxic to the cells even in the absence of an ER stress stimulus (Fig. 6A). Ad-LacZ was not toxic to the cells even at the highest dose tested (160 virus units/cell). However, both Ad-DN XBP1 and Ad-DN ATF6 caused significant cell death, which reached a maximum at 80 virus units/cell, and Ad-DN XBP1 appeared to be more toxic than Ad-DN ATF6. Immunofluorescence showed that 20 virus units/cell resulted in infection rates of ~90–95% (supplemental Fig. 4A), and DN ATF6 protein levels were comparable with endogenous ATF6 (supplemental Fig. 4B), so ER stress gene expression was examined at this virus titer. BiP expression was suppressed by both Ad-DN ATF6 and Ad-DN XBP1 with or without CPA (Fig. 6B), whereas Atf4 was only affected by Ad-DN Atf6 plus CPA (Fig. 6C), and Chop was unaffected (Fig. 6D). Endogenous Atf6 was reduced with CPA by Ad-DN Atf6 and Ad-DN Xbp1 (but not at the basal level; Fig. 6E), whereas endogenous Xbp1 was suppressed by both similarly to BiP (Fig. 6F). Therefore, although ATF6 and XBP1 are both required for ER stress-induced transcription of these genes, as previously reported (44), it appears that they are also required for basal transcription of BiP and Xbp1 in the β-cell. Furthermore, in the presence of DN XBP1, ATF6 cannot compensate for XBP1 loss of function in the presence or absence of ER stress.

Increased Sensitivity to CPA in DN Hnf1α-expressing Cells Is Partially Rescued by TUDCA—If the sensitization to ER stress observed in DN Hnf1α cells is due to loss of XBP1-mediated chaperone production, then boosting the protein folding capacity of DN Hnf1α cells should rescue this effect. However, we were reluctant to overexpress the active form of XBP1 protein because this has been shown to down-regulate insulin secretion, decrease levels of the β-cell factors Pdx1 and MafA, and cause apoptosis (45). Chemical chaperones such as TUDCA should not interfere with β-cell function in this manner, and they have been shown to protect against ER stress in vivo (46). We therefore carried out apoptosis measurements with 48 h TUDCA exposure. At 48 h of Dox, TUDCA had no effect (not shown). We therefore shortened the Dox exposure time to 30 h, when sensitization to CPA but unchanged basal cell death is observed (Fig. 2, E and F). With 30 h of Dox (Fig. 7), there was no protection from basal or high glucose-induced cell death in the presence of Dox, but the cells were partially protected against the increased CPA-induced cell death. Therefore, chemical chaperones can help compensate for the defective ER stress response in DN Hnf1α-induced cells, although this effect is only seen before Dox-induced cell death occurs.
Islets of RIP-DN HNF1α Transgenic Mice Have Reduced Basal Levels of BiP and Are Sensitized to ER Stress-induced Apoptosis—Fasting glycemia was measured to confirm that the transgenic mice were diabetic at the age when the experiments were performed (Fig. 8A), consistent with previous reports (26, 27). Upon measurement of ER stress gene transcription, RIP-DN Hnf1α islets had lower basal BiP and Chop mRNA relative to non-transgenic controls, although the response to CPA was intact (Fig. 8, B and C). Given the hyperglycemia observed in the transgenic animals, it was unexpected to find expression of these genes decreased, considering that high glucose in vitro is known to increase their expression in diabetic human islets (25). Although we had not observed an effect of glucose on ER stress gene expression in INS cells, 30 mM glucose induced an increase in BiP mRNA in control islets (79%; Fig. 8D), which was ablated in DN Hnf1α islets. Chop mRNA
expression was unaffected under these conditions (not shown). This suggests that the changes in expression of BiP and Chop in the transgenic islets are due to the transgene rather than hyperglycemia. Total Xbp1 mRNA was measured in islets with or without CPA, and although there was a slight decrease of basal Xbp1 in DN Hnf1α islets relative to the control, this was not significant (Fig. 8E). Similarly, spliced Xbp1 was reduced in DN Hnf1α islets in the basal state, although the levels of this transcript were even more variable, and here also the difference was not significant (Fig. 8F). However, in these experiments, gene expression was measured in whole islets, whereas DN Hnf1α is only present in the β-cells. This would lead to an underestimation of the effects of HNF1α deficiency on spliced or total Xbp1 mRNA using this model, because a reduced ER stress response in the DN Hnf1α-expressing β-cells would be masked by the intact ER stress response of the other islet cells. We therefore measured Xbp1 in islets and liver from Hnf1α global knock-out mice. We observed a decrease in both tissues of the knock-out mice relative to controls (34% in islets, 35% in liver; Fig. 8G). These findings show that Xbp1 expression is lowered in a separate model of HNF1α loss, independent of dominant negative dysfunction.

To estimate β-cell function, we measured islet insulin content and found that islets from the transgenic mice had insulin content reduced by 54% (Fig. 8H). Reduced islet insulin content is also seen in the Akita mouse, where extreme ER stress is the direct cause of diabetes (13). Apoptosis in freshly isolated islets was measured by the TUNEL assay with insulin immunostaining to identify apoptotic β-cells, showing a 3-fold increase in apoptotic β-cells in the DN Hnf1α islets relative to controls (Fig. 8J). Finally, we analyzed the apoptotic effect of CPA on the islets by cytoplasmic nucleosome release. CPA provoked a 10.2% increase in apoptosis in control islets relative to vehicle but a 31.8% increase in apoptosis in RIP-DN Hnf1α islets (Fig. 8J). We conclude that the islets of the RIP-DN Hnf1α mouse are sensitized to ER stress, similarly to the DN Hnf1α-overexpressing cell line.

**DISCUSSION**

We find that upon induction of DN Hnf1α in INS DN Hnf1α cells, binding of HNF1α to the Xbp1 promoter is reduced, basal transcription of Xbp1 is down-regulated, and the cells are sensitized to ER stress. Reduction of basal transcription leads to a decrease in steady state Xbp1 mRNA levels because we show here that the transcript is unstable and requires constant de novo transcription to compensate for its high turnover. When ER stress is induced in the presence of DN Hnf1α, there is insufficient substrate for Ire1 to produce the mRNA of the active XBP1 isoform, and therefore genes that require XBP1 for their transcription in response to stress are not up-regulated. The attenuation of the ER stress response increases cell death following induction of ER stress in DN Hnf1α-expressing cells. Inactivation of XBP1 by a dominant negative mechanism in INS-1E cells confirms that functional XBP1 is required for β-cell viability both in the presence and absence of ER stress. Notably, DN XBP1 was toxic after 24 h of adenovirus infection (with no apparent sensitization to CPA, data not shown), whereas DN HNF1α induced sensitization to CPA at 24 h of induction but death at 48 h, as reported previously (12). We hypothesize that a lesser amount of functional XBP1 remains in the DN Hnf1α-expressing cells, probably due to Xbp1 transcription driven by other factors, such as ATF6 through the
RIP-DN Hnf1α mouse islets express less BiP and Chop mRNA and are sensitized to ER stress. A, fasting glycemia of DN Hnf1α (n = 19) or littermate control (n = 15) mice. B and C, Q-PCR of BiP mRNA in control or DN Hnf1α islets after overnight culture followed by 6 h of 40 μM CPA or vehicle (n = 3). D, Q-PCR of BiP mRNA in control or DN Hnf1α islets with or without 30 mM glucose for 4 days (n = 3). Expression was normalized to cyclophilin D in this experiment because Rps29 mRNA was increased by high glucose treatment (not shown). E, Q-PCR of total Xbp1 mRNA in control or DN Hnf1α islets with or without 6 h of 40 μM CPA (n = 3). F, Q-PCR of spliced Xbp1 mRNA in control or DN Hnf1α islets with or without 6 h of 40 μM CPA (n = 3). G, Q-PCR of total Xbp1 mRNA in control or Hnf1α knock-out islets and liver (n = 3 wild type and 4 knock-out mice for islet expression and 6 wild type and 5 knock-out mice for liver expression). Four of the Hnf1α knock-out mice and two wild type mice were analyzed for both islet and liver expression; the remainder were different animals. Expression was normalized to cyclophilin D in this experiment because ribosomal protein mRNA expression can vary widely between tissues (58). H, islet insulin content of control or DN Hnf1α islets (n = 6 control and 6 DN Hnf1α mice). I, TUNEL assay for apoptosis in control or DN Hnf1α islets with or without 6 h of 40 μM CPA. Results are expressed as mean ± S.E. (error bars) of the percentage increase in cytoplasmic nucleosome content in the CPA-treated relative to the vehicle-treated islets (n = 3). For Q-PCR experiments with DN Hnf1α islets, islet mRNA was pooled from 2–5 mice each time. For Q-PCR with Hnf1α knock-out islets and liver, the islet insulin measurements and the apoptosis assay, samples from each mouse were analyzed individually.
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NF-Y/ATF6 site in the Xbp1 promoter (47) (supplemental Fig. 3) but insufficient for the normal functioning of the cell. This would explain the partial preservation of Xbp1 promoter activity observed after 48 h of Dox in DN Hnf1α-expressing cells as well as the incomplete suppression of Xbp1 mRNA in the Hnf1α knock-out mouse islets and liver. The combined data from the Hnf1α knock-out and DN Hnf1α cell and animal models support the hypothesis that HNF1α binding to the Xbp1 promoter is essential for full Xbp1 transcription and the β-cell ER stress response.

It has been reported that BiP is a transcriptional target of ATF6 during ER stress (44, 48) and that in MEFs, knock-out of Atf6 has a larger effect on BiP transcription than knock-out of Xbp1 (49). However, we show here that although ATF6 is indeed important for ER stress-induced BiP transcription, XBP1 is also required, and they are not redundant. Although DN ATF6 had equivalent or larger effects on ER stress gene transcription compared with DN XBP1, endogenous ATF6 was unable to compensate for lack of function of XBP1. It could have been possible that DN ATF6 and DN XBP1 both bind to the same cis-acting element in ER stress-responsive promoters and are therefore not exerting independent effects, but we do not believe this to be the case due to their differential effects on transcription of Atf4 and on cell death. Interestingly, a recent study in vivo has shown that mice with Xbp1 knocked out specifically in the β-cells had defective insulin processing, impaired glucose tolerance, and swollen ER and mitochondria (50), whereas mice lacking Atf6 did not show any such defects (44, 51).

We also found that both ATF6 and XBP1 were required for basal transcription of BiP. It had previously been shown that basal transcription of BiP did not depend on the unfolded protein response pathway but on growth factor signaling (52). However, this study was performed on other cell lines, so this requirement of ATF6 and XBP1 for basal transcription of BiP may be unique to β-cells. It had been shown in INS cells that reduction of basal chaperone transcription by IFN-γ sensitizes cells to ER stress-induced cell death, in agreement with our findings, although the mechanism of basal transcription was not described (22). It has been suggested that Atf6 and Xbp1 are “housekeeping” rather than stress response genes in the β-cell (53), and our data are consistent with this hypothesis. It was recently reported that islets of Pdx1 (MODY4) haploinsufficient mice are sensitized to ER stress through reduction of Atf4 and its target genes (54), showing that another MODY gene can play an important role in maintenance of ER function as well as β-cell development. However, we observed a positive rather than negative effect of DN-Hnf1α on Atf4 (Fig. 1D).

Altered calcium handling was already observed in the DN Hnf1α cells before the addition of calcium-raising stimuli, reflected in increased basal cytosolic calcium after only 24 h of Dox induction. ER calcium mobilization and plasma membrane depolarization caused higher calcium rises in the cytosol but not the mitochondria of DN Hnf1α-induced cells. When calcium stores were depleted in order to measure store-operated calcium entry (40), we observed increased activity in DN Hnf1α-expressing cells after 24 h of Dox. Although the DN Hnf1α cells and mice had lower mRNA levels of sarco/endo-
induce mitochondrial apoptosis directly in response to acute ER stress stimulation in other cell types (56). A lack of increase in CHOP expression during an otherwise active ER stress response in β-cells was also observed by Kennedy et al. (57). The partial rescue of the DN Hnf1α-induced sensitivity to CPA by the chemical chaperone TUDCA shows that improving the protein folding capacity of the cells can (partially) compensate for the insufficient up-regulation of endogenous chaperones due to the lack of active XBP1. Together, these data suggest a new mechanism by which HNF1α dysfunction is toxic to β-cells and which may act upstream of the mitochondrial defects characterized previously (4, 7, 10). The contribution of HNF1α to ER function by maintenance of appropriate XBP1 levels is an intriguing new role for this transcription factor.
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