Primary healthcare system readiness to prevent and manage non-communicable diseases in Bangladesh: a mixed-method study protocol

Ashraful Kabir, Md Nazmul Karim, Baki Billah

ABSTRACT

Introduction The burden of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) is rapidly increasing in Bangladesh. Currently, it contributes to 67% of annual deaths, and accounts for approximately 64% of the disease burden. Since 70% of the Bangladeshi population residing in the rural area rely on the primary healthcare system, assessment of its capacity is crucial for guiding public health decisions to prevent and manage NCDs. This protocol is designed to recognise and assess the Bangladeshi health system's readiness for NCDs at the primary level.

Methods and analysis The study will use a mixed-method design. Numerical data will be collected using household and health facilities surveys, while qualitative data will be collected by interviewing healthcare providers, policy planners, health administrators and community members. The WHO's Service Availability and Readiness Assessment (SARA) methodology and Package of Essential Non-communicable (PEN) Disease Interventions for Primary Healthcare reference manuals will be used to assess the readiness of the primary healthcare facilities for NCD services. Furthermore, Health System Dynamics Framework will be used to examine health system factors. Using the supportive items outlined in the WHO PEN package, and indicators proposed in WHO SARA methodology, a composite score will be created to analyse facility-level data. Two independent samples t-test, analysis of variance and χ² test methods will be used for bivariate analysis, and multiple regression analysis will be used for multivariable analysis. Complementarily, the thematic analysis approach will be used to analyse qualitative data.

Ethics and dissemination The project has been approved by the Monash University Human Research Ethics Committee (Project ID: 27112), and Bangladesh Medical Research Council (Ref: BMRC/NREC/2019-2022/270). The research findings will be shared through research articles, conference proceedings or in other scientific media. The reports or publications will not have any information that can be used to identify any of the study participants.

INTRODUCTION

Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) have become the dominant cause of disabilities and deaths globally, resulting in the loss of 41 million lives in 2018, and accounting for 71% of all deaths, over three-quarters of which occurred in low-income and middle-income countries. It has been projected that NCD-related deaths will reach 52 million by 2030, if the current trends continue. A joint study conducted by the World Economic Forum and Harvard University showed that NCD-related costs will increase to US$47 trillion, equivalent to 75% of global domestic product from 2010 to 2030, if appropriate measures are not taken.

Primary healthcare (PHC) has been recognised as a powerful strategy as well as a practical approach for preventing and managing NCDs because of its wide range of coverage, cost-effectiveness and healthcare infrastructure. As such, the WHO and the UNICEF emphasised, in a joint declaration,
the importance and adoption of the PHC approach to achieve global health goals. In past decades, numerous efforts have also been proposed and/or advocated to prepare the PHC system as an effective mechanism for preventing and managing NCDs at the individual and population levels. Several studies in South Asia, Southeast Asia and sub-Saharan Africa investigated the PHC system readiness for NCDs from the supply-side perspective, mainly the facility-level readiness using the WHO Service Availability and Readiness Assessment (SARA) reference manual and/or WHO package of essential non-communicable (PEN) intervention package. However, the demand-side aspect of PHC system readiness, such as the community characteristics and associated determinants, remains largely unexplored.

In recent years, Bangladesh has made remarkable advances in health outcomes with declined maternal as well as child mortality, and fertility rates, increased longevity, coverage of vaccinations, and vitamin A and D supplementation. However, the World Bank reported that the country is currently undergoing sociodemographic and epidemiological transitions. Demographic transitions such as increasing longevity and decreasing fertility are thus fueling shifts in disease epidemiology, wherein NCDs are becoming evident, and infectious diseases are taking a back seat. Studies conducted in various geographical locations (rural/urban settings), among different age groups, sexes and ethnicities in Bangladesh showed that the prevalence of NCDs had been rapidly increasing over the last decades. The Global Burden of Diseases study reported that the proportion of deaths from NCDs gradually increased in Bangladesh from 43.4% in 2000 to 66.9% in 2015. In 2016, an estimated 85,600 deaths (67% of total deaths) were attributed to NCDs, which was approximately 64% of the country’s disease burden. Previous studies also noted that an alarming increase of NCD-related factors such as life-style changes (sedentary behaviour), unhealthy diets (processed/highly flavoured foods) and harmful use of tobacco products will lead to the rise of NCDs in the coming years unless appropriate measures are taken.

Historically, in Bangladesh, the PHC system focused on responding to acute conditions, primarily infectious diseases and parasitic infestations. A large share of healthcare resources and the PHC workforce being deployed mainly for family planning as well as maternal and child health services. Consequently, the focus on NCDs remained less prioritised over the decades. Studies showed that the shifting of epidemiological and demographic conditions could pose new challenges (ageing population, urban sprawl, environmental degradation) for Bangladesh’s PHC system. NCD-related services are required for continuous facility-based healthcare and family support, involving higher treatment costs, skilled and qualified healthcare workforces, constant supplies of medical equipment, medicines and so forth. Presumably, people living in rural settings (approximately 70% of the country’s total population) are likely to be substantially affected by NCDs due to insufficient healthcare facilities and infrastructure, unavailability of healthcare professionals, lower socioeconomic conditions (eg, poverty and income inequality) and disadvantaged social positions (eg, illiteracy, lack of awareness and poor health education).

The PHC system in Bangladesh has an extensive network of healthcare facilities at the upazila (subdistrict) level, which is responsible for delivering basic healthcare services (vaccination, reproductive and child healthcare, nutrition and health education, screening of NCDs, treating common disease and referral to higher facilities) in both community and facility levels. Although some recent studies addressed the prevalence of NCDs and its associated factors, the capacity of Bangladesh’s PHC system, which is the first-line contact for the health needs of approximately two-thirds of the population, has not been adequately investigated. A few studies were conducted with a specific focus on service availability or general readiness of the facilities at the upazila health complex (UHC) (primary-level hospitals located at the subdistrict), and district hospitals (secondary-level hospitals located in the district) for diabetes and/or hypertension. However, a comprehensive analysis of the readiness of the PHC system (ie, service, workforce, information systems, supplies and logistics, leadership and governance, and financing) largely remain under-researched. Given the importance of better planning, best use of resources and ensuring complete health needs, the readiness of the primary-level healthcare system is vital to address the rising burden of NCDs. Thus, this study protocol is designed to evaluate research questions/objectives regarding the primary health system’s readiness to prevent and manage NCDs. The outcomes of this study protocol will address a critical information gap, and eventually help to guide public health decisions for preventing and managing NCDs in Bangladesh and similar settings elsewhere.

Research objective

General objective
The overall objective of this study protocol is to outline a study design to explore the PHC system’s readiness to prevent and manage NCDs, which is comprised of the following specific objectives.

Specific objectives
1. To assess the readiness of the Bangladesh PHC system in preventing and managing NCDs.
2. To evaluate the characteristics of community members and their contexts for receiving services from the PHC level for preventing and managing NCDs.
3. To identify gaps and opportunities in existing NCDs prevention and management services at the PHC system, and explore feasible ways for service optimisation.
Outcomes measure

The outcomes of the current study will be the readiness (availability and functions) of a set of supportive items that have been identified in the WHO PEN package, and indicators proposed in the WHO SARA methodology. These items will be measured under three major categories: (1) staff and guidelines, (2) basic medicine and commodities and (3) diagnostic equipment. Additionally, the capacity of several health system components, and community contexts that influence NCDs-related service organisation and delivery will be assessed, as presented in table 1.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Study context, PHC system and health service delivery in Bangladesh

Bangladesh’s health system is pluralistic, wherein multiple actors and providers play roles by applying a mixed system of medical practices. The Ministry of Health and Family Welfare is the apex body for designing, formulating and overseeing health relation actions, and has two divisions: health services, and medical education and family welfare. The health services are provided by four key formal providers: the government or public sector, private operators for profit, non-governmental organisations (NGOs) and charities (not-for-profit) or donor agencies (developing partners/aids). Apart from these, there is an extensive pervasiveness across the country of informal healthcare providers (ie, traditional healers, faith healers, herbalists, quacks and homeopaths).

According to its administrative structure, Bangladesh has approximately 87310 villages, 40977 wards, 4553 unions, 490 upazilas, 64 districts, 4 metropolitan cities and 8 divisions. Based on this, the healthcare services are organised and delivered through three levels: tertiary, secondary and primary levels. The tertiary-level facilities mostly exist at the divisional and national levels, providing specialised and advanced care. The secondary level exists at the district level, providing specialised care in addition to PHC. Finally, the primary care level exists at the upazila level, which provides basic healthcare services.

Figure 1  Health service delivery organisational structure in Bangladesh. Adapted from Bangladesh Health System Review.

| Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MOHFW) |
| --- |
| Directorate General of Health Services (DGHS) |
| Medical College & Specialized Hospitals |
| District & General Hospitals |
| Maternal and Child Welfare Centres (MCWC) |
| Ministry of Local Government Rural Development and Cooperatives (MOLGRDC) |
| City Corporation (MOLGRDC) |
| Local NGO or Private Provider |
| Upazila Health Complex (UHC) |
| Union Health & Welfare Centres (UH&WC) |
| Union Sub-Centres (USC), Rural Sub-Centres (RSC) |
| Community Clinic (CC) |

There are various type of healthcare facilities in the primary care level including ‘community clinics (CC)’ located at the village/ward, Union Health and Family Welfare Centers, Rural Health Clinics (RHC) and union subcentres (USC) are set up at the union level (several villages comprise a union, which is the lowest administrative unit in Bangladesh). The UHC hospitals are situated at the upazila headquarters (several unions comprise a upazila, and a few upazilas comprise a district) (figure 1). According to the latest data, there are 420 UHC hospitals with 18432 inpatient beds (31–50 beds per UHC) across the country, which are linked to the district-level hospitals (100–250 beds) (table 2).

Study population

Bangladesh’s health system is considerably uniform in terms of health service delivery, organisation of the healthcare workforce, logistics and supplies. However, distinctive sociodemographic characteristics, geographical features,
| Objectives | Questions to be answered | Outcomes to be measured | Indicators/possible codes (but not limited to what are presented here) | Data sources |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| **Objective 1** | Is the primary healthcare level aware about the burden and magnitudes of NCDs? | Awareness and concern of the primary healthcare level regarding NCDs. | Facility-level NCD statistics; support, service utilisation; qualitative codes/themes such as service providers’ knowledge and about health seeking process, attitude and practice of the affected population. | Survey of health facilities, and key informant interviews |
| | Are the primary healthcare-level facilities ready to manage NCDs, and if so, to what extent? | Capacity of primary healthcare-level facilities to manage NCDs. | Three major categories (groups) of items viz. (1) staff and guideline (2) basic medicine and commodities, and (3) diagnostic equipments, which has been identified in the WHO SARA manual, qualitative codes/themes such as service provision and resource mobilisation and allocation, service utilisation challenges and solutions. | |
| | Are the primary healthcare-level facilities ready to establish effective surveillance, information and referral systems to manage NCDs, and if so, to what extent? | Capacity of primary healthcare-level facilities for surveillance, information management and referral systems to manage NCDs. | Facility-level NCD statistics, qualitative codes/themes such as NCD prevalence, individual risk factors identification and documentation, database creation and management. | |
| | Has the primary healthcare-level facilities effective leadership, governance, and stewardship capacity, and if so, to what extent? | Leadership, governance, and stewardship capacity of primary healthcare-level facilities managers/administrators. | Qualitative codes/themes such as coordination and communicate among stakeholders/parties, work plan/job description for care providers/field staff, need assessment and professional trainings supports, non-monetary strategy (appreciation/motivation), challenge identification for services delivery, timely follow-up and review work progress, teamwork and collaboration, maximisation of resource usages | Key informant interviews |
| **Objective 2** | Are the community members ready to receive services from primary care settings to prevent and manage NCDs and if so, to what extent? | Community characteristics and contexts within which NCD services are received. | Qualitative codes/themes such as health-seeking process, self-management practice, perception, risk factors, berries and enablers of the current NCD services | Survey of household, focus group discussions, and in-depth interviews |
| | Are the community members capable to bear NCD-related cost and if so, to what extent? | NCD-related healthcare expenditure | Out-of-pocket expenditure for NCDs. qualitative codes/themes (out-of-pocket expenditure for treatment and travel, availability of supports at primary healthcare settings). | Survey of household and focus group discussions, and in-depth interviews |
| **Objective 3** | Are there gaps and opportunities in the existing healthcare system to manage NCDs, and if so, to what extent services may be optimised? | Identification of gaps and opportunities, along with proposals for future directions. | Facility-level NCD statistics such as service provision, resource limitation and allocation, household-level health factors, qualitative codes/themes such as health-seeking process, attitude and practice of the communities, service gaps and expectations. | Survey of household and facilities and focus group discussions, in-depth interviews, key informant interviews |

NCDs, non-communicable diseases; SARA, Service Availability and Readiness Assessment.
livelihood patterns and sociocultural practices may affect different health outcomes. Taking this variation into account, this study will cover various regions applying a multistage cluster random sampling approach. At the first step of this process, four administrative divisions (out of eight) were randomly selected: Dhaka, Khulna, Rajshahi and Sylhet. One administrative district was then randomly selected from each division: Cumilla, Jhenaidah, Rajshahi and Sylhet, respectively (figure 2). Finally, two subdistricts (locally known as upazila) were selected at random from each of these four districts. Due to various factors such as study budget and field constraints, complex healthcare delivery mechanisms, target groups, resource mobilisation and allocation modality, and relatively small coverage, we decided to exclude metropolitan cities in this study.

**Study design and conceptual frameworks**

This study will use a mixed-method study design (figure 3), which will include both quantitative and qualitative approaches. A mixed-method design implies combining quantitative and qualitative approaches to evaluate research questions. A mixed-method design is viewed as appropriate to get a comprehensive understanding as it examines the observed phenomena from multiple perspectives and to validate findings through triangulation of methods, participants and sources. The mixed-method study includes various designs: convergent design, explanatory sequential design and exploratory sequential design. This study will use convergent design by collecting qualitative and quantitative data in parallel, then analysing them separately, and finally merging qualitative and quantitative data. A relevant theoretical framework will be implied to address each objective/research question.

In the quantitative part, WHO’s SARA methodology and PEN Disease Interventions for PHC frameworks will be used to assess the readiness of healthcare facilities to deliver NCD-related services. WHO’s SARA methodology offers core indicators of general and service-specific readiness, with service availability (physical presence of services) and readiness (capacity to deliver services) being the two major domains. General and service-specific indicators included (1) trained staff and guidelines, (2) availability of basic equipment, (3) medicines and commodities and diagnostics, and (4) standard precaution for infection control. Likewise, PEN Disease Interventions for PHC identified a set of core technologies, medicines, risk prediction tools and essential NCD interventions at the PHC in low-resource settings. These indicators will be used to assess the availability and readiness of relevant health system components (ie, health service delivery, healthcare workforce, supplies and logistics).

In the qualitative component, this study will apply the ‘health system dynamics framework’ (figure 4) to assess the characteristics of community members and their contexts regarding NCD-related service organisation and delivery. This framework builds on the WHO’s previous health system framework, the six building block model and concurrent approaches. However, the health

---

**Table 2** Primary healthcare facilities in the public sector in Bangladesh

| Level       | Type of facility                           | Type of service         | No of facilities (n) |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Upazila     | Upazila health complex (50 beds)           | Hospital                | 297                 |
|             | Upazila health complex (31 beds)           | Hospital                | 112                 |
|             | Upazila health complex (10 beds)           | Hospital                | 11                  |
|             | Total of upazila health complexes          |                         | 420                 |
|             | Hospitals outside health complexes         |                         |                     |
|             | 31-bed hospital                            | Hospital                | 4                   |
|             | 30-bed hospital                            | Hospital                | 1                   |
|             | 25-bed hospital                            | Hospital                | 1                   |
|             | Mother and child welfare centre            | Hospital                | 12                  |
| Union       | Union-level facilities under DGHS          | Hospital                | 32                  |
|             | 20-bed hospital                            | Hospital                | 19                  |
|             | 10-bed hospital                            | Hospital                |                     |
|             | Union subcentre                            | Outpatient only         | 1275                |
|             | Union health and family welfare centre     | Outpatient only         | 87                  |
|             | Union-level facilities under DGFP          |                         |                     |
|             | Mother and child welfare centre            | Hospital                | 24                  |
|             | Union health and family welfare centre     | Outpatient only         | 3924                |
| Ward        | Community clinic                           | Outpatient only         | 13,442              |

Adapted from Bangladesh Health Facility Survey 2017. DGFP, Directorate General of Family Planning; DGHS, Directorate General of Health Services.
system dynamics framework consists of ten components (ie, goals and outcomes, values and principles, service delivery, population, context, leadership and governance, finance, human resources, infrastructure and supplies, knowledge and information). This framework can adequately explain how and whether different health system elements within a broader societal context interact and influence each other, and how population/individuals’ behaviour and choices, and the process affect this mechanism. This framework will offer a comprehensive approach to explore and explain how the PHC system is constructed, and whether it is functioning well within the study context.

Study participants and data collection
In the quantitative component, we will collect data from primary-level healthcare facilities and households located in the randomly selected eight subdistricts. Using the WHO’s SARA and PEN interventions framework, facility-level data will be collected from both public (UHC, USC, RHC and CC) and privately-run healthcare facilities (private clinics/hospitals) (table 3) to assess the readiness of the primary-level healthcare facilities to prevent and manage NCDs. The heads of the respective healthcare facilities such as managers, or any designated persons will be interviewed to collect health service data. Additionally, epidemiological, sociodemographic and context-specific data will be collected using household survey methods.

Figure 2  Study site map.
Adult community members (aged ≥ 18 years) will be interviewed using cross-section survey design to obtain socio-demographic and NCD-related data from the selected subdistricts.

In the qualitative component, we will collect data from community members, healthcare providers and policymakers regarding the characteristics of communities, and their contexts that influence access to and utilisation of NCD-related services at the primary-level facilities. At the same time, information on the views, perceptions and understanding, lifestyle factors, perspectives and experiences of communities regarding NCD-related treatment access, adherence, follow-up and referral systems will be collected by interviewing (in-depth interviews (IDI),

Figure 3  Study design with data collection method and analysis. FGD, focus group discussions; IDI, in-depth interviews; KII, key informant interviews; NCD PEN, non-communicable disease package of essential interventions; SARA, Service Availability and Readiness Assessment.

Figure 4  Health system dynamics framework. Source: adapted from van Olmen et al. NCDs, non-communicable diseases.
focus group discussions (FGDs) and key informant interviews (KIIs) key stakeholders such as policy planners, health administrators, physicians, researchers and epidemiologists. Policy-oriented and programmatic data will be collected through collating and appraising the relevant key policy documents, strategy papers, guidelines, protocols, action plans and related documents.

### Sample size calculation

#### Quantitative (household survey)

For the household survey, we used the following formula.

Here,

- \( n \) = the desired sample size (respondents)
- \( p \) = the proportion of the target population. We took the nationally representative data that reported the age-adjusted prevalence of diabetes as 9.7%\(^{58} \) into account, which was the highest in Bangladesh.
- \( d \) = degree of accuracy desired, which is set at (0.02) 2%.

\[
Z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96
\]

\[
\frac{Z^2 \cdot p (1-p)}{d^2} = n
\]

\[
\frac{(1.96)^2 \cdot 0.097 \cdot (1-0.097)}{(0.02)^2} = 3.840 \cdot 0.097 \cdot 0.903 = 0.0001
\]

\[
\frac{3.840}{0.0001} = 3840
\]

\[
\sqrt{n} = \sqrt{3840} = 62
\]

\[
n = 3840 \cdot 0.087 = 0.336
\]

\[
0.0004 = 0.0004
\]

\[
n = 840 \text{ (respondents)}
\]

This calculation provided the minimum required sample size of 840 respondents. Considering the nationwide coverage and sociodemographic heterogeneity of the population, the sample size was multiplied by the design effect of 1.5,\(^{59} \) to adjust sampling variance caused by the multistage study design,\(^{60} \) which resulted in a sample size of 840 \times 1.5 = 1260. Additionally, a 10% non-response rate was applied as it is less than 10% in individual study,\(^{60} \) as well as nationally representative demographic and health surveys.\(^{61} \)\(^{62} \) which increased the sample size by 126, resulting in a final sample size of 1386 respondents.

#### Quantitative (healthcare facility survey)

We have used the formula (below) taken from the sampling manual for facility surveys developed by MEASURE (Monitoring and Evaluation to ASsess and Use REsults) Evaluation\(^{63} \) to calculate sample size for the healthcare facilities.

Here,

- \( n \) = the desired sample size \( p \) = anticipated proportion of the facilities with the attribute of interest as 50%, reported by a previous study,\(^{64} \)
- \( d \) = design effect as 1.2 and the width of CI for key estimates at \( p \) \( \pm 0.2 \% \).
- \( V^2 \) = the relative variance. It is the square of the relative error taken as 20%.

\[
Z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96
\]

\[
\frac{Z^2 \cdot p (1-p)}{d^2} = n
\]

\[
\frac{(1.96)^2 \cdot 0.50 \cdot (1-0.50)}{(0.2)^2} = 3.840 \cdot 0.50 \cdot 0.50 = 0.0001
\]

\[
\frac{3.840}{0.0001} = 3840
\]

\[
\sqrt{n} = \sqrt{3840} = 62
\]

\[
\sqrt{n} = \sqrt{3840 \cdot 1.2} = 84
\]

\[
n = 3.840 \cdot 0.50 \cdot 0.50 = 0.0001
\]

\[
\frac{3.840}{0.0001} = 3840
\]

\[
n = 0.336
\]

\[
0.0004 = 0.0004
\]

\[
n = 840 \text{ (respondents)}
\]

\[
n = 840 \text{ (respondents)}
\]
n = \frac{(Z^2 \times p \times q)}{(V^2)} = \frac{(1.96^2 \times 0.05 \times 1.2)}{(0.02^2)} = \frac{(3.84 \times 0.6)}{0.04} = 2.304 \times 0.6

n = 115 (healthcare facilities).

This calculation yielded the minimum required sample size of 115 healthcare facilities. Anticipating a 10% non-response rate, the sample size was further increased by 15. Thus, the final sample size was 125.

Sample size for qualitative component (IDIs, FGDs and KIIIs)
The number of interviews (IDIs, KIIIs and FGDs) will be determined on the principle of ‘data saturation’ (a point of time when no new information, themes, dimensions emerge). Based on this principle, the final number of interviews may not be determined until the complete list of interviews are conducted. However, we propose to conduct 16 IDIs, 13–15 KIIIs and 16 FGDs according to Guest et al’s proposition to reach data saturation. This number may differ based on the data redundancy noted during the data collection.

Sampling strategy
Quantitative (household survey)
For household surveys, this study will adopt a multi-stage stratified cluster sampling procedure (figure 5) to ensure nationwide coverage, effective management and supervision of data collection activities. Bangladesh is divided into eight administrative divisions: Barisal, Chittagong, Dhaka, Khulna, Mymensingh, Rajshahi, Rangpur and Sylhet. Each division consists of several districts, and each district is further divided into subdistricts. Each subdistrict has several union parishes in rural areas, which are further subdivided into mouzas. In urban areas, each subdistrict is divided into several mahallas. The entire country has been divided by the Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS) into 296 718 enumeration areas (EAs) according to the EA map in the latest ‘Population and Housing Census’. On an average, each EA consists of 120 households. For its sampling frame, this study used the list of EAs provided by the BBS. In the first stage, it randomly selected four administrative divisions. From each of the selected divisions, a district was randomly selected. The number of participants required was determined by the proportion of the population in the urban and rural areas. Based on the latest estimated population

![Figure 5](http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-051961 on 7 September 2021. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/)
in the country, the rural and urban populations were categorised as 36% and 64%, respectively. The sample size of 1323 was divided into all the four randomly chosen districts. The smallest number of participants required in any single EA was 65 (in Jhenaidah). This number was considered as the maximum sampling intensity in an available EA. Thereafter, 17 EAs in rural, and 9 EAs in urban areas were randomly chosen. In the next stage, a systematic random sampling procedure will be used to select 63 households, starting from the centre of an EA, which will be located by asking local residents/community members. Field enumerators will reckon the household closest to the centre point as the first household to be enrolled. In the next stage, applying inclusion criteria (age ≥18 years, not pregnant, no history of surgery for the last 3 months) reported by a previous study, an adult aged 18 years and above will be interviewed. Data will be collected from an eligible member in the selected household following the ‘Kish Grid’ method, which will allow us to interview only a single member of the selected household. In case of the unavailability of household members (ie, household shut down during data collection, or decline to participate), the next eligible household will be approached. The second eligible household will be selected by skipping the next household, and choosing the subsequent household (ie, every alternate household). This process will be repeatedly followed until the expected sample size is reached.

Quantitative (healthcare facility survey)
Healthcare facilities will be randomly selected for facility survey. We will prepare the list of healthcare facilities at the primary care level by collecting basic information (facility type, location, service availability, operating hours, etc) from the ‘Directorate General of Health Services’ database. From this list, we will randomly select healthcare facilities located at various levels with the variation of size and patient load (eg, UHC, UHC/union subcentre CC, private clinic/hospital, NGO clinic/hospital). Facility-level data will be gathered by face-to-face interviewing facility heads/designated personnel.

Qualitative component (IDIs, FGDs and KIIs)
Purposive sampling strategy will be adopted for selecting the interview participants. Some predefined inclusion criteria ((1) aged ≥18 years living in the community; (2) persons who are better aware of NCDs (ie, school teachers, faith leaders, village head); (3) person engaged in NCD-related policy formulation or having expertise (policy planners, individual consultants, NGO activities, govt. official); (4) willing to participate voluntarily) will be applied to select the participants. However, we will take the following three basic principles, widely used in purposive sampling, into account: (1) maximum variation (we will include participant from roles and backgrounds, locations/subdistricts, gender, age), (2) iterative process (we will consider reinterviewing and revisiting the participant if required to probe or validate specific information) and (3) reflexivity (assess the self-roles/researchers).

Data analysis
Quantitative (household survey)
Household-level quantitative data will be analysed to uncover factors associated with NCD-related service and care seeking. The outcome variable will be the ‘care-seeking for NCDs’, which will be recorded in the following three categories: no care or self-care, semiqualified professional care, and qualified professional care. The potential explanatory variables will be the individual characteristics (ie, age, sex, education, comorbidity, occupation), household characteristics (ie, socioeconomic status, household size) and contextual characteristics (ie, the distance of the facility from the household, type of residence). The relationship of these variables with ‘care-seeking for NCDs’ will be assessed by employing multiple multinomial logistic regression analyses, as the outcome variable has more than two categories.

Quantitative (healthcare facility survey)
Facility-level quantitative data will be analysed following the SARA manual to assess service specific readiness of the PHC facilities. The primary outcome variable is ‘readiness’ of the PHC system in Bangladesh, where it is measured in binary scale (has two categories: ‘ready’ and ‘not-ready’). The readiness variable will be rated as an index grouped into following three domains as proposed in the WHO SARA methodology: (1) staff and guidelines, (2) diagnostic equipment and (3) medicines and essential commodities. Each of these domains has multiple indicators, which will be measured in nominal scales: ‘yes’ and ‘no’. An index for each domain will be calculated as the mean availability of indicators, which ranges from 0.00% to 100%. The facility readiness index (composite score) will then be calculated as the mean of all these three domains and a facility will be considered as ‘ready’ if its index is above 50%. The binary multiple logistic regression analysis will be performed to evaluate the relationship between the outcome ‘readiness’ and several potential explanatory variables such as facility type (eg, inpatients, outpatient), facility location (eg, rural, urban) and managing authority (eg, public, private) of the facility.

Qualitative (IDIs, FGDs and KIIs)
A thematic analysis approach will be used to analyse qualitative data (IDIs, KIIs and FGDs). Initially, we will transcribe the interviews in verbatim fashion, and subsequently translate them into English. At this step, all authors will independently read the interviews and familiarise themselves with the information and contexts. In the next step, we will code the data in three steps (open code, axial code and selective codes). Once data coding is completed, we will form several clusters (comprise a few codes), and then a few themes (comprise a few clusters). Finally, we will present the findings under several themes.
A stepwise procedure will be followed in this process. All authors will independently prepare some codes and themes, and any disagreements will be resolved following a discussion or consensus. Text management software (ie, NVivo, Atlas-ti) will be used to analyse data. A triangulation of methods and participants will be performed to increase data validity and research rigours.

**Patient and public involvement**

No patient involved.

**Participants’ consent**

At the beginning of the interview, data collectors will inform participants about the purpose of the study. An explanatory Statement will then be provided by to the participants, which they can read, and then ask any questions they have. Participants are then required to read and sign a consent form, agreeing to participate. The consent form (written in Bangla) will explain the purpose of the study, the freedom to participate and how participants’ information will be used while maintaining individual/facility confidentiality.

**Workshop for data collection team and pilot study**

Four university graduates with a background in research methods as well as data collection tools and techniques will be hired on an ad hoc basis. The first and second authors will train them on data collection methods and techniques, and use the Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) for collecting and recording data, and administering the questionnaire. REDCap is a secure web-based application for completing electronic surveys and data collection for research studies. It provides (1) a user-friendly interface with validated data entry; (2) audit trails for tracking data manipulation and (3) an automated export procedure for seamless data downloads to standard statistical packages. All questionnaires, observation checklists and interview guidelines will be piloted in Sylhet and Rajshahi districts (beyond these sampled eight districts) to assess their comprehensibility, appropriateness and conformability to the participants and interviewers. Based on the piloting, required changes will be made before finalising the questionnaires, observation checklists and interview guidelines.

**Quality assurance measures for data collection**

To ensure data quality, various steps such as accuracy, relevance, completeness and consistency of data will be monitored and checked by all investigators via REDCap application. In addition, the student investigator will carry out a random consistency check for at least 5% of the interviewed questionnaires. The student investigator will observe the interviews and group discussion session by physical participation in the interview sessions. If physical presence of the investigator becomes limited, the interview sessions will be observed via audio-visual technique (Zoom Video Communication, Skype video chat or voice call). Supportive supervision will be provided to the interviewers, if required.

**Ethics and dissemination**

The project has been approved by the Monash University Human Research Ethics Committee (Project ID: 27112). The project also received ethical approval from the Bangladesh Medical Research Council (Ref: BMRC/NREC/2019-2022/270). The ethical standards set by the ethics committee will be fully complied with throughout the research process. Any sensitive and hidden issues will be addressed according to the ethical values and standards established and exercised by the university and/or institution. The study participants’ confidentiality and anonymity will be maintained at all stages by removing their names. During the data collection period, data will be saved in the secure REDCap web-based application hosted at Monash University. The application will be accessible only by the research team. When the data collection is completed, the data will be exported to IBM SPSS statistical package, and will be saved in the secure faculty-allocated network storage (Monash (S:) drive). Participants’ identifiers such as names and designations will be removed from the main database and saved in a separate secure electronic folder, and will not be used for data analysis. The research findings will be published in scientific public health journals. The published reports will include group data only, and no individual data or information will be shared. Summary of the research findings will be disseminated to the community through news media.

**DISCUSSION**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first hybrid study that aims to provide a deeper analysis and assessment of the PHC system in Bangladesh in the context of NCD-related services. Although NCDs lists in Bangladesh include some more diseases or conditions (including arsenicosis, mental health disorders, hearing disabilities, birth defects and road injuries), our study will include the major NCDs (diabetes mellitus, chronic respiratory diseases, cardiovascular disease and cancer) prioritised by WHO. Therefore, we did not require to modify any tool to include these locally defined NCDs.

The existing studies mainly investigated supply-side factors to assess the healthcare system readiness for NCDs (ie, medicine, basic amenities, medical products and technologies) as devised in the WHO SARA methodology and/or WHO PEN interventions. However, the demand-side factors, which is an essential consideration in addressing NCDs, remain largely underexplored. The proposed study will examine healthcare system readiness by adopting a mixed-method approach and applying the relevant health system framework. In this present study, use of a conceptual framework and combining various methods/tools will offer a deeper and comprehensive understanding of the healthcare system’s readiness from both supply-side and demand-side perspectives.

Bangladesh’s PHC system is quite unitary (overly centralised) in terms of management structure,
organisational hierarchy, resource allocation, workforce deployment, health-seeking behaviour and sociocultural characteristics of the population. The proposed study will fill critical information gaps in the NCD-related service organisation and delivery mechanism. Since this study will reflect the holistic features of the PHC system currently functioning at subdistrict level, it will constitute comprehensive information to assess the current health system’s extent of preparedness, and whether better NCD services at the population level need to be provided. Therefore, the study findings will benefit policy planners, programme implementers, healthcare professionals and community members to develop a need-oriented, effective and patient-centred NCD services mechanism at the PHC level in Bangladesh. Overall, the study findings will guide public health decisions for NCD prevention and management efforts at the PHC system in Bangladesh and the similar contexts elsewhere.
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